Emission reduction of black carbon and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons during COVID-19 pandemic lockdown
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Abstract

The global pandemic COVID-19 necessitated various responses throughout the world, including social distancing, use of mask, and complete lockdown. While these measures helped prevent the community spread of the virus, the resulting environmental benefits of lockdown remained mostly unnoticed. While many studies documented improvements in air quality index, very few have explored the reduction in black carbon (BC) aerosols and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) concentrations due to lockdown. In this study, we evaluated the changes in concentrations of BC, PAHs, and PM 2.5 before and during the lockdown period. Our results show that lockdown resulted in a significant reduction in concentrations of these pollutants. The average mass concentration of BC, PAHs, and PM 2.5 before the lockdown was 11.71 ± 3.33 μgm⁻³, 108.71 ± 27.77 ngm⁻³, and 147.65 ± 41.77 μgm⁻³, respectively. During the lockdown period, the concentration of BC, PAHs, and PM 2.5 was 2.46 ± 0.95 μgm⁻³, 23.19 ± 11.21 ngm⁻³, and 50.31 ± 11.95 μgm⁻³, respectively. The diagnostic ratio analysis for source apportionment showed changes in the emission sources before and during the lockdown. The primary sources of PAHs emissions before the lockdown were biomass, coal combustion, and vehicular traffic, while during the lockdown, PAHs emissions were primarily from the combustion of biomass and coal. Similarly, before the lockdown, the BC mass concentrations came from fossil-fuel and wood-burning, while during the lockdown period, most of the BC mass concentration came from wood-burning. Human health risk assessment demonstrated a significant reduction in risk due to inhalation of PAHs and BC-contaminated air.

Keywords COVID-19 · Black carbon · PAHs · Aethalometer · Emission sources · Backward trajectory · Health risk assessment

Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols, including black carbon (BC) aerosol and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), can pose an adverse risk to human health. These aerosols are also major contributors to PM 2.5 particulates in the environment. BC mass concentration sources are of either natural or anthropogenic origin. Primary natural processes include forest fires, and volcanic eruptions, while the primary anthropogenic activities include domestic uses, including the burning of fossil fuel, biomass burning for agriculture, and vehicular movements. Among these, fossil fuel and wood-burning are the most predominant sources. Compared to the presence of centuries of atmospheric CO₂, BC has a very short atmospheric lifetime (Kopp and Mauzerall 2010; Grieshop et al. 2009; Ramanathan and Carmichael 2008). BC’s physical characteristics, such as its intense black/dark color, can easily absorb visible light and heat (Cachier 1995; Hansen et al. 1984). Studies have shown the direct effect of this process in the high-altitude Himalayan-Tibetan region, where the heating effect was attributed to BC (Lau et al. 2010). The atmospheric impact of BC emission can be direct as well as indirect. For example, BC absorbs atmospheric water molecules (hygroscopic) and deposit them on the buildings and metal surfaces. It also exhibits optical properties like the scattering of light (He et al. 2015; Khalizov et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2008).

A perusal of literature suggests that, in the year 2000, Asia was one of the most significant contributors to BC emissions (Ohara et al. 2007; Streets et al. 2003). BC emission has been on the rise because of economic growth, industrialization, urbanization, energy demands, uncontrolled agricultural...
waste burning, peat fire, and forest fires in south and east Asian regions (Chen et al. 2017; Rastogi et al. 2016; Vadrevu et al. 2015). According to one estimate, approximately 40% of BC emissions attributed to open biomass burning, 40% to fossil fuel burning, and the remaining 20% to biofuel burning (Ramanathan and Carmichael 2008). Global distribution and emission of BC levels play a critical role in preserving a healthy lifestyle (He et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2020).

The molecular structure of PAHs consists of two to seven fused aromatic rings. They are emitted from natural as well as anthropogenic processes. In the atmosphere, primary anthropogenic sources of PAHs are biomass burning, coal combustion, petroleum, coke, and metal production (Zhang and Tao 2008), while natural sources of PAHs are forest fire and volcanic eruption fires (Baek et al. 1991; Xu et al. 2006). In the atmosphere, the primary source of PAHs is vehicular emission (Bull 2003; Liu et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2007; Mostert et al. 2010) although PAHs are also emitted from industrial activities, especially during energy production and incomplete burning of carbonaceous materials (Xu et al. 2006; Cristale et al. 2012). Most PAHs (around 95%) have a size below 3 μm, and as such, they can be easily transported over long distances (Venkataraman et al. 1994). During the last few decades, the occurrence, fate, and distribution of PAHs in the environment have raised serious concerns because of their carcinogenic, teratogenic, and mutagenic properties (Boeuf et al. 2016). Like many aromatic compounds, PAHs’ occurrence in the atmosphere poses a serious threat to human health (IARC 1984; Di-Toro et al. 2000; Arey and Atkinson 2003).

Nowadays, almost all countries (developed and developing countries alike) are affected by the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19). In China, the metropolitan city of Wuhan, a sudden increase in pneumonia cases were reported in December 2019. These cases were attributed to the infections caused by the novel COVID-19 (Wu et al. 2020; Li et al. 2020). Incidentally, Wuhan city also had the first confirmed case of COVID-19 (Huang et al. 2020) followed by SARS-CoV-2 (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus) in Spain (Saglietto et al. 2020). After that, the number of infected persons increased rapidly with a short doubling time, and within few months, it became a global pandemic (World Health Organization 2020a, b; CDC 2020; Chan et al. 2020). Considering these widely reported cases of COVID-19, the Government of India announced a nationwide lockdown. The lockdown was planned in a phase-wise manner, with Phase I started on March 25th to April 14th, 2020, and lasted for 21 days. Phase I was the strict lockdown phase. The Government of India ordered a complete shutdown of all industrial complexes, factories, educational institutes, and local markets. During this phase, the government put a strict restriction on large public gatherings in places of worship, hotels, restaurants, and shopping malls that impacted residential mobility. Phase II was a continuation of Phase I and lasted for 19 days, ending on May 3rd, 2020. During Phase II, some industries in rural areas were allowed to open to relieve the distress caused by the lockdown. The government allowed the resumption of farm activities and construction of residential buildings and roadways on a limited scale. Phase III & IV started on May 4th to May 31st, 2020 and lasted for 14 days. During these phases, considerable relaxation was granted in areas with a lower incidence of COVID-19 cases. While many countries worldwide suffered human casualties, better environmental prospects were observed an overall improvement in air quality and reduced emissions of BC, PAHs, and PM$_{2.5}$. While many studies reported improvement in air quality (Agarwal et al. 2020; Anil and Alagha 2020; Gautam 2020; Islam et al. 2020; Panda et al. 2020; Sharma et al. 2020; Singh and Chauhan 2020), none have explored the reduction in profile of BC and PAHs because of lockdown. This study presents the monitoring results of BC, PAHs, and PM$_{2.5}$ particulates before and during the phased lockdown in one of the major industrial cities in northeastern India.

### Data collection and methodology

#### Description of study area

Sampling was conducted in Jamshedpur city (22° 80′ N Longitude and 86° 20′ E Latitude) in the southern part of the Jharkhand state of the eastern part of India. The city is spread over the Chota Nagpur Plateau (CNP) region, covering a total area of around 6500 km$^2$. Jamshedpur city is surrounded by dense forest and greenery of Dalma hills and is known as India’s iron city. The total population of Jamshedpur City is approximately 1.3 million (Census of 2011), and the population density is around 6400 people per km$^2$. The CNP has major industrial conglomerates, including TATA Iron and Steel Company (TISCO), TATA motors, cement and chemical industries, power plants, and plastic industries. More than 1000 industries are currently operating in Adityapur under Adityapur Industrial Development Authority (AIDA). The AIDA is one of the largest industrial development authorities in east India. There are many large, medium, and small-scale industries are operating under AIDA. Besides the industrial hub, the highway network is also the primary source of emissions of various atmospheric pollutants. This area may be affected by BC, PAHs, and PM$_{2.5}$ aerosols. Industrial burning of coal, dung cake, wood, and high traffic emissions have been the primary sources of atmospheric pollution in this region. In this study, emissions of BC, PAHs, and PM$_{2.5}$ aerosols were monitored before (from January 3rd to March 23rd) and during the lockdown (from April 1st to June 14th 2020).

During the sampling event, the samplers were deployed above the chemistry department building in the National
Institute of Technology, Jamshedpur (NIT J). The sampling site map is displayed in Fig. 1. The monthly metrological parameter of study areas such as temperature (°F), wind speed (mph), and humidity (%) in the study area (Table 1) was collected from https://www.worldweatheronline.com. Besides the measurements obtained from the satellite study, additional fieldwork was undertaken to determine the BC mass concentrations. The data obtained from the satellite study was coarse and included large areas, while the fieldwork was focused on the target study area of Jamshedpur City, Eastern India.

**Measurement of PM$_{2.5}$ and PAHs concentrations**

The sampling regime mainly consists of monitoring PM$_{2.5}$ and PAHs concentrations once a week on weekdays and weekends before (from 3rd January to 2nd March 2020) and during the lockdown (from 1st April to 14th June 2020). Series of samplers were deployed on the roof of the chemistry department building at NIT-J. A mini volume sampler (Envirotech Model APM 550) operated at a constant flow rate of 16.5 L/min was used for sampling. A 47-mm PTFE filter (Merck, Catalog number - PM2547050) was used to collect particulate associated PAHs during the sampling campaign. Before sampling, the filter was kept in a desiccator and weighed before and after the sampling to determine the weight of the particulate using a single pan-top loading digital weight balance (VWR, Model no: VWR1611-2263: with Weighing chamber L × W × H: 162 × 171 × 225 mm). The filter was stored in the culture box and kept in the refrigerator at or below 40 °C until analysis. Background contamination was checked using operational blanks (unexposed filters), which were processed concurrently with field samples.

A total of 16 priority USEPA-PAHs were extracted by Soxhlet extraction. The filter was carefully cut into two pieces to avoid dust loss and kept into 200-ml distillation vessels for 10 h using dichloromethane (DCM) solvent. The extraction thimble is initially lowered into the solvent. The extraction process was efficient and showed a more than 97% recovery rate. After extractions, the extracted volume was reduced to 10 ml by a rotatory evaporator. The extracted DCM was condensed in a chiller. The solvent was boiled to reduce the total extraction time, and the evaporated solvent condensed quickly for reuse. This process has significantly reduced the amount of solvent required. The extract was purified using sodium sulfate–silica gel column (glass column of 30 cm long and 3-cm diameter). The purified extract was reduced to 1–2 ml by 99.9% pure nitrogen gas and quantified by advanced gas chromatography (GC-FID, Agilent 7890B) coupled with flame ionization detector (FID) equipped with capillary column HP 5MS (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d × 0.25 μm). In splitless mode, 1 μl of each sample was injected. The nitrogen (carrier gas) gas flow rate was maintained at 2 ml min$^{-1}$. The oven temperature ramped from 600 °C for 3 min and increased up to 3200 °C at the rate of 50 °C min$^{-1}$ and remained constant for the next 20 min. According to the peak area of spectra and retention time, the 16 USEPA priority PAHs concentrations were quantified. The laboratory blank and field blank samples were extracted and analyzed in the same way as field samples. No PAHs compounds were detected in blank samples, thereby confirming that no cross-contamination of samples occurred.
Measurement of BC mass concentrations

The Aethalometer is one of the best and easiest techniques to measure the BC mass concentration compared to the other techniques like particle soot absorption photometer, coefficient of haze tape sample, and thermal oxidation/reflectance (Allen et al. 1999). Continuous real-time measurement of BC mass concentrations was performed before and during the lockdown period. An Aethalometer (AE-33, Magee Scientific, USA) was used for analyzing the BC mass. The Aethalometer had seven different wavelengths 370, 470, 520, 590, 660, 880, and 950 nm. This technique is based on the amount of light attenuated by the filter due to the deposition of BC. In the above technique, atmospheric air is pumped over an inlet at the flow rate (about 5 LPM) through a quartz filter. The standard wavelength for measurement of BC mass concentration was 880 nm because BC primarily absorbs the light at this wavelength (other aerosol components have negligible absorption) (Hansen et al. 1984; Weingartner et al. 2003). At the standard wavelength of 880 nm, a light beam from a high-intensity light-emitting-diode lamp was transferred through the sample deposited on the filter strip. The measurement of attenuation of the light beam was linearly proportional to the amount of BC deposited on the filter strip. The measurements were made at every 5-min interval.

Source apportionment of BC and PAHs

The BC primarily results from incomplete combustions of biofuel and atmospheric burning of fossil fuels (Bond et al. 2013; Petzold et al. 2013). While many researchers have proposed various methods to determine the source apportionment of BC, of these some conventional methods used for determining the source allocation of BC are chemical mass balance (CMB; Favez et al. 2010), the macro-tracer method (Larsen et al. 2012), the Aethalometer model (Fuller et al. 2014; Sciare et al. 2011), the radiocarbon method (Zhang et al. 2015), positive matrix factorization (Florou et al. 2017), principal component analysis (Thepnuan et al. 2019), and other specialized models (Briggs and Long 2016; Belis et al. 2013) have gained widespread acceptance. In this study, we used the handy Aethalometer model for source apportionment. Although this model identifies fewer source-categories (e.g., traffic emission and wood-burning), it only requires a different-wavelength light absorption dataset (Zotter et al. 2017). We also describe the fire count data and air backward trajectory to analyze the source apportionment of BC mass concentrations, PAHs, and PM$_{2.5}$. To distinguish between sources of BC mass concentrations of local emissions at Jamshedpur, we have calculated the percent difference of BC measured at two different wavelengths BC$_{370}$ and BC$_{880}$.

\[
\frac{(BC_{370} - BC_{880})}{BC_{880}}
\]

If the fractional BC values are negative, then it suggests that BC emission originated from fossil fuel combustion (diesel and petrol) (Herich et al. 2011). On the other hand, the fractional BC values are positive; then, it suggests that BC emission originated from wood burning (forest fire, residential burning of coal, and dry leaf) (Wang et al. 2011). From the diagnostic ratio analysis, we can determine the different sources of PAHs. For diagnostic ratio analysis, the traffic, industrial, and biomass, and coal-burning sources were analyzed.

Human health risk evaluation for BC

Many studies have demonstrated that exposure to BC and resulting human health consequences is almost identical to passive smoking (Muller and Muller 2013; van der Zee et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2018). Many similarities exist between exposure to BC and smoking and resulting in human health impact. Both have similar exposure routes (inhalation), similar health risks, and spontaneous atmospheric exposure (Van der Zee et al. 2016). Due to its smaller particulate size, BC aerosols are directly inhaled from the proximal local or regional sources, including inhalation of environmental tobacco smoke (Ev.TS). Chronic exposure to BC may pose an adverse risk to
human health, including carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic risks (De Prins et al. 2014; Niranjan and Thakur 2017; Magalhaes et al. 2018). In this study, we have calculated the health risks associated with atmospheric BC exposure to local inhabitants before and during the lockdown period. Children and adults were considered as a potential representative receptor chronically exposed to airborne BC. The amount of mass of BC inhaled by this population is compared with the amount of mass inhaled because of passive cigarette smoking. Van der Zee et al. (2016) developed a risk evaluation method specifically for exposure to Ev.TS. In this method, the authors used Ev.TS to estimate BC’s health risk for four different health conditions. The population with low birth weight (LBWt.), percentage lung function decrement of school-aged children (PLFDSC), cardiovascular mortality (CVM), and lung cancer (LC) were considered for estimating the health risk resulting from BC. All four health issues are noticeable by associating BC pollution and Ev.TS exposure (Kelly and Fussell 2015; WHO (World Health Organization) 2014; Oberg et al. 2010). For each health condition, the relative risk due to exposure to BC and Ev.TS can be calculated. The relative risk describes the relationship between exposure Ev.TS and BC (WHO (World Health Organization) 2003; Rothman et al. 2008; Van der Zee et al. 2016). The contact between a specified change in BC concentration and health risk issue was characterized by the meta-analysis of recorded concentration-response functions (CRFs). For a given health issue (R), an increase in 1 μg m⁻³ of BC concentration is equivalent to the number of passively smoked cigarettes (PSC) (Van der Zee et al. 2016). Therefore, R is written as:

\[
R = \frac{\ln(R_{BC})/\Delta_{\text{Conc}}}{[\ln(R_{\text{Ev.TS}})/\text{assumed number of PSC}]}
\]

(1)

where,

\[
\frac{\ln(R_{BC})/\Delta_{\text{Conc}}}{\text{the resultant risks for change in } \Delta_{\text{Conc}} \text{ (i.e., } 1 \mu g \text{ m}^{-3} \text{) of BC.}}
\]

\[
\frac{[\ln(R_{\text{Ev.TS}})/\text{assumed number of PSC}]}{\text{the resultant risks of Ev.TS exposure for the assumed number of PSC per day.}}
\]

\[
R_{BC} \quad \text{RR of BC with respect to a health issue.}
\]

\[
R_{\text{Ev.TS}} \quad \text{RR of Ev.TS with respect to a health issue.}
\]

The value of \(R_{BC}\) and RR for Ev.TS are taken from Pani et al. (2020) and Van der Zee et al. (2016). In the case of PLFDSC, the assumed number of PSC per day is 9. For a child of a non-smoking mother, the assumed number of PSC per day is 7 for CVM and LC, and the same value was assumed for adults with the risk of LBWt (Van der Zee et al. 2016). The equivalent numbers of PSC per day (i.e., NPSC: passive cigarette-equivalence) were estimated using the following formula:

\[
N_{\text{PSC}} = R \times \Delta BC
\]

and

\[
\Delta BC = (BC_{obs} - BC_{bac})
\]

(3)

where,

\[
BC_{obs} \quad \text{Observed BC concentration.}
\]

\[
BC_{bac} \quad \text{Background BC concentration}
\]

In this research, we focused on health risk estimates of BC pollution but did not evaluate the overall concern regarding the onset of disease due to BC pollution or Ev.TS exposure. The values of \(R_{BC}\) and \(R_{\text{Ev.TS}}\) are derived from thorough, systematic reviews and can be summarized to implement the relevant health risk estimates. Our evaluations are based entirely on the assumptions, including the study conducted by Van der Zee et al. (2016), i.e., 14 daily cigarette consumption as per WHO assessment for smokers in the USA and North-West Europe. As such, the health risk estimate provided in this study has some limitations.

**Human health risk evaluation for PAHs**

Exposure to PAHs through various routes and pathways poses an adverse risk to human health. The toxicity of various forms of PAHs differs significantly; thus, the toxicity equivalent factors (TEF) were established for each specific forms of PAHs. Using these TEFs, toxic equivalency was determined to evaluate the exposure to PAHs (Yu et al. 2008; Yang et al. 2007). The toxic equivalent factors for all PAHs were determined by using the cancer risk of all PAHs relative to the cancer risk of BaP. Equation. 4 was used for estimating the toxic equivalent, which is a simple multiplication of the concentration of each carcinogenic PAHs and their respective TEFs.

\[
\text{TEQ} = \sum Ci \times \text{TEFi}
\]

(4)

where, \(Ci\) represents the concentration of individual PAHs, and \(\text{TEFi}\) represents the toxicity equivalency factor value (Nisbet and Lagoy 1992). Since the toxic equivalent factors were based on the cancer risk relative to BaP, the resulting TEQs were 1 value for BaP and DBahA; 0.1 value for BaA, BkF, and IcP; 0.01 value for Chr, Ant, and B(ghi)P; and 0.01 for Phe, Flt, and Pyr. Additionally, the exposure risk due to individual PAHs can be quantitatively valued by incremental lifetime cancer risk (ILCR) (Peng et al. 2011; U.S. EPA 1991; Chen and Liao 2006). To calculate the ILCR, lifetime average daily dose (LADD) of PAHs for children
(age six years) and adults (age 70 years) were determined. The LADD indicates the mass of PAHs inhaled/ingested by the chronically exposed population per kg of body weight per day over their lifetime. The LADD only establishes the dose an individual inhales/ingest and does not necessarily indicate the adverse health risk. To quantify the risk, the LADD is multiplied by the respective slope factors (inhalation/ingestion/dermal). Equations 5 and 6 were used for quantifying the ILCR.

\[
\text{LADD} \left( \text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1} \right) = \frac{(\text{Cs} \times \text{IR} \times \text{CF} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED})}{(\text{BW} \times \text{AT})} \quad (5)
\]

\[
\text{ILCR} = \frac{\text{LADD} \times \text{CSF}}{(6)}
\]

where,

- \( \text{Cs} \) is the sum of the converted airborne particulate (ng/m\(^3\)) concentration of PAHs based on TEQ value.
- \( \text{IR} \) is the air inhalation rate (m\(^3\)/day).
- \( \text{CF} \) represents the unit conversion factor \( (1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mg/kg}) \).
- \( \text{EF} \) represents the exposure frequency (day/ year).
- \( \text{ED} \) represents the lifetime exposure duration.
- \( \text{BW} \) represents the body weight (kg).
- \( \text{AT} \) represents the averaging time for carcinogens (days).
- \( \text{CSF} \) represents the inhalation cancer slope factor \( (3.85 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}) \) (Peng et al. 2011).

## Results and discussion

### Variation in BC mass concentration

The measurement of BC was obtained from the satellite study through the Giovanni NASA website. These measurements demonstrated that eastern and northeastern India had significantly higher BC concentrations than the rest of the country. In general, the measured BC concentrations in the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP) were higher before and during the lockdown period than those measured during the same period in the rest of the country (Fig. 2). The BC mass concentrations in eastern India, before and during the lockdown period, ranged from 3.5 to 4.2 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \), and 2.2 to 3 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \), respectively (Fig. 2a, b). BC concentration variation is primarily due to the complete lockdown of industries and reduced vehicular traffic during the lockdown period (Fig. 3). The decreasing trend in BC concentration was noticeable, especially when the lockdown was imposed on the last week of March (Fig. 3).

We monitored the BC mass concentrations for 12 h per day before and during the lockdown period. At the study site, the average BC mass concentrations before and during the lockdown period were approximately 11.71 ± 3.33 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \) and 2.46 ± 0.95 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \), respectively (Fig. 4b). The monthly (January, February, and March 2020) average concentration of BC was approximately 12.70 ± 3.86 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \), 12.65 ± 3.14 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \), and 10.03 ± 2.28 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \), respectively. In general, before the lockdown, the BC concentrations varied from 6.61 to 20.94 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \). While during the lockdown period, the monthly (April, May, and June 2020) average concentration of BC was approximately 1.80 ± 0.34 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \), 2.26 ± 0.59 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \), and 3.31 ± 1.02 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \), respectively. In general, the BC concentrations varied from 1.10 to 5.27 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \). Continuous reduction in BC mass concentration emission was recorded during the lockdown period due to the complete shutdown of industries, construction activities, and vehicular traffic during lockdown period.

### Variation in PM\(_{2.5}\) and PAHs concentrations

We monitored the PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations before and during the lockdown period. Throughout the study, variation in PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations was observed (Fig. 4a). The average PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations at the study site before and during the lockdown period were 147.65 ± 41.77 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \) and 50.31 ± 11.95 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \), respectively. The monthly average concentrations of PM\(_{2.5}\) for January, February, and March 2020 were approximately 162.74 ± 47.44 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \), 158.09 ± 39.31 \( \mu \text{gm}^{-3} \), and
125.33 ± 28.54 μg m⁻³, respectively. Before the lockdown, PM₂.₅ concentrations varied from 82.67 to 261.78 μg m⁻³. On the other hand, during the lockdown period, the monthly average concentration of PM₂.₅ were 45.80 ± 8.90 μg m⁻³, 50.43 ± 11.93 μg m⁻³, and 54.70 ± 13.26 μg m⁻³ in April, May, and June 2020, respectively. In general, the PM₂.₅ concentrations varied from 21.36 to 79.35 μg m⁻³.

Like BC and PM₂.₅ concentrations, PAHs concentrations were also observed during this period. In this study, the sum of 16 PAHs concentrations was analyzed. Variations in PAHs concentrations throughout the study period are shown in Fig. 5. The approximate average PAHs concentrations at the study site before and during the lockdown were 108.71 ± 27.77 ng m⁻³ and 23.19 ± 11.21 ng m⁻³, respectively. These measurements show that PAHs concentration gradually decreased during the lockdown. Compared to the pre-lockdown period, approximately one-fifth reduction in emission of PAHs concentrations was recorded during the lockdown period. Before the lockdown, the highest concentrations were recorded for phenanthrene (Phe) 9.23 ± 2.27 ng m⁻³, while the lowest concentrations were recorded for acenaphthylene (Acy) 4.09 ± 1.19 ng m⁻³. During lockdown periods, however, the highest concentrations were recorded for benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbF) 1.89 ± 1.42 ng m⁻³, while the lowest concentrations were recorded for benzo[a]pyrene (BaP) 0.68 ± 0.51 ng m⁻³. Tables 1 and 2 provide the statistical summaries of BC and PM₂.₅ mass concentrations and summary results of all 16 PAHs concentrations. High BC, PAHs, and PM₂.₅ mass concentrations at the study site suggested that the atmosphere is mostly polluted by anthropogenic activities such as coal, wood, and fossil-fuel burning. The regular emission of BC, PM₂.₅, and PAHs concentrations at the study site was partly due to industrial and vehicular emissions and partly due to residential burning of coal, wood, biomass, and kerosene. During the lockdown, all anthropogenic activities were at a standstill resulting in reduced emissions of air pollutants in the atmosphere. In summary, the mandatory lockdown due to the COVID-19 pandemic has significantly reduced the atmospheric emissions of BC, PAHs, and PM₂.₅.

**Backward trajectories analysis**

Backward trajectory analysis demonstrates the transport pathways of air pollutants. It helps determine the direction of airflow and the possible regional sources before pollutants reach the targeted location. Local meteorology, emission, and
photo-chemistry can play essential roles in concentration variation. The higher concentration level of PAHs and BC at the study site is directly or indirectly linked with the local sources of emissions and long-range transport of pollutants from other countries. We have used 7-day back trajectory data for two different situations (before and during the lockdown) to determine the potential sources. The back trajectory was mapped using an online global environmental dataset program—NCEP (National Centers for Environmental Prediction) Climate Forecast System. The overall trajectories were prepared with the help of Meteorological Data Explorer developed by the Centre for Global Environmental Research.

### Table 2: The concentration of PAHs before and during lockdown

| PAHs            | Abbreviation | No. of rings | Before lockdown | During lockdown |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Naphthalene     | Nap          | 2            | 6.47            | 1.03            |
| Acenaphthylene  | Acy          | 3            | 4.09            | 1.3             |
| Acenaphthene    | Ace          | 3            | 5.86            | 1.34            |
| Fluorene        | Flu          | 3            | 5.32            | 1.2             |
| Phenanthrene    | Phe          | 3            | 9.23            | 1.15            |
| Anthracene      | Ant          | 3            | 9.12            | 1.07            |
| Fluoranthene    | Flua         | 4            | 8.81            | 1.73            |
| Pyrene          | Pyr          | 4            | 6.92            | 1.39            |
| Chrysene        | Chr          | 4            | 8.18            | 1.82            |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | BaA        | 4            | 6.16            | 1.79            |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | BbF    | 5            | 7.82            | 1.89            |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | BkF    | 5            | 8.56            | 1.46            |
| Benzo[a]pyrene  | BaP          | 5            | 5.93            | 0.86            |
| Dibenz[a]anthracene | DBahA  | 5            | 5.46            | 1.83            |
| Benzo[ghi]perylene | B(ghi)P | 6            | 4.95            | 1.53            |
| Indeno[123-cd]pyrene | icP     | 6            | 5.83            | 1.8             |
| ΣPAH            |              |              | 108.71          | 23.19           |

![Fig. 5](image-url) Concentration (ng/m³) of PAHs before and during the lockdown periods at NIT J.
Backward trajectories are calculated at different heights (altitude) from 0 to 5000 m using distinct color bands. The fire count data from NASA FIRMS were also incorporated along with back trajectories, as shown in Fig. 6. Mean individual trajectories corresponding to before and during the lockdown periods were considered for finalizing the trajectories during these two intervals. According to the back-trajectory analysis, the air mass originated from different sources during different seasons. Before the lockdown period, the airborne particulate matter was transported from northeast India. Maximum air pollutants were originated from the Himalayan region, while some originated from the Bay of Bengal. Interestingly, compared to other regions, the difference in the height of trajectories in these regions is lower. Long-range atmospheric transport was also observed, spanning as far as Southern and Southwestern Asian countries such as Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iran (Fig. 6a). Maximum air pollutants were originated from Pakistan and Afghanistan. However, the height of trajectories is moderate during the lockdown period (Fig. 6b). During the lockdown period, it was seen that the airborne particulate matter was transported from Northern and Northeastern India. While some particulate matter also originated from the Indian Ocean and the Bay of Bengal.

Source apportionment of BC and PAHs

Source apportionment analysis is critical for accurately identifying various air pollutants originating from various natural and anthropogenic sources. The technique for analyzing the sources varies with the pollutants’ characteristics, even though they may originate from the same sources. For example, BC and PAHs’ mass concentration could originate from the same source, yet they have different characterization techniques for source apportionment analysis. The BC measurement technique could determine the sources of BC mass concentration, while the Aethalometer model can characterize additional source-categories like wood-burning and traffic emissions. In this study, the presence of UV absorbing organic compounds was identified by using 370-nm wavelengths. An analysis of BC measured at 370 nm (UV) and 880 nm (near-IR) wavelengths are favorable for the source recognition of BC (Srivastava et al. 2012). In India, coal-burning is a significant energy source that supplies 76% of the country’s requirements. It is the highest contributory source of BC mass concentration in the atmosphere (SAFAR (System for Air Quality Forecasting and Research) 2010). In this study, source apportionment analysis was conducted before and during the lockdown period. This analysis focuses on differentiating between the BC and PAHs sources originating from wood-burning and fossil fuel.

The source apportionment analysis results show that before the lockdown, the contributions of BC and PAHs from fossil fuel and wood burning were approximately the same. However, during the COVID-19 pandemic (during lockdown), the BC and PAHs emissions from wood-burning were as high as those from fossil fuel burning. These changes in emissions sources could be attributed to the significantly reduced movement of vehicles and complete shutdown of industries operating in the study area (Fig. 7). Among the various sources discussed above, biofuel burning and agriculture fires were recognized as the largest BC emitting sources over the Indo-Genetic Basin (IGB) areas (Venkataraman et al. 2006). The concentration of BC is high before and during the lockdown (Fig. 2). The Himalayan range in this region could have potentially obstructed the wind movement and subsequently impacted the BC mass flow. Otherwise, it is well-known that BC can be transported over long distances because it is chemically inert, and its fine size makes it easily airborne (Wolff 1981).

Diagnostic ratio analysis (DRA)

DRA is a widely used technique for source apportionment (Tobiszewski and Namieśnik 2012). The ratios of Flua/(Flua+Pyr), Ant/(Ant+Phe), IcP/(IcP + B(ghi)P), BaA/(BaA + Chr), and BaP/(B(ghi)P) were used for distinguishing the sources of PAHs in the atmosphere. For example, if the ratio of Flua/(Flua+Pyr) is < 0.1, it indicates that the source is petrogenic/unburned petroleum, between 0.4 and 0.5, the
source is fossil fuel combustion, and > 0.5, then the source is biomass and coal combustion (De La Torre-Roche et al. 2009; Yunker et al. 2002). Since the ratios of Flu/(Flu+Pyr) at the study site before and during the lockdown were 0.55 and 0.53, we inferred that the sources of PAHs at the study site were biomass and coal combustion. Similarly, if the ratio of Ant/(Ant+Phe) is < 0.1, it indicates that the source is petrogenic, and if the ratio is > 0.1, the source is pyrogenic (Pies et al. 2008). Ant/(Ant+Phe) ratio at the study site was 0.50 and 0.46 before and during the lockdown period, respectively, suggesting the pyrogenic source. The IcP/(IcP + B(ghi)P) ratio is an indicator of petroleum combustion, petrogenic, and biomass coal combustion source. The value of 0.5 < Ratio < 0.2 indicates that the PAHs source is petrogenic and biomass combustion. This ratio within the range of 0.2–0.5 also indicates that PAHs originated from petroleum combustion (Ravindra et al. 2008). The BaA/(BaA + Chr) ratios for the study site before and during the lockdown period were 0.42 and 0.45, respectively, suggesting emissions from biomass and coal combustion. The BaA/(BaA + Chr) is an indicator of petrogenic and combustion sources if the value is 0.35 < Ratio < 0.2 (Yunker et al. 2002; Tobiszewski and Namieśnik 2012). The BaA/(BaA + Chr) ratios for the study site before and during the lockdown period were 0.42 and 0.45, respectively, suggesting emissions from biomass and coal combustion. BaP/B(ghi)P ratio indicates that the source of PAHs is from traffic emissions. If this ratio is < 0.6, it indicates a non-traffic source, but if the ratio is > 0.6, it indicates the traffic source of emission. BaP/B(ghi)P ratio values before and during the lockdown were 1.19 and 0.56, respectively, suggesting that PAHs originated from a traffic source before lockdown, but during the lockdown, the PAHs originated from non-traffic sources. The summary calculations for the DRA is presented in Table 3. The comprehensive DRA confirmed that biomass and coal combustion and vehicular emissions were primary sources of PAHs before the lockdown period. During the lockdown period, emissions of PAHs primarily arise from the combustion of biomass and coal.

Human health risk assessment for BC and PAHs

We report the health risk assessment expressed in two different situations, i.e., before the lockdown and during the lockdown period at Jamshedpur city. In the present study, we assumed that BC’s daily exposure for the people living in Jamshedpur city was equivalent to the daily mean BC (balance load concerning the background BC) level. The summary of human health risk assessment for BC shows one to one correspondence for PSC between before and during the lockdown period (Table 4). The risk estimates are presented for an increment of 1 μg m⁻³ in BC concentration. These increments are generally used to express the relative risks of air pollutants such as BC. The BCbac concentration level was determined as the 1.25th percentile of BCobs concentrations for all the datasets (Rupakheti et al. 2017). The observed concentrations before and during the lockdown period were 7.34 μg m⁻³ and 1.07 μg m⁻³, respectively. Before lockdown, the health risk assessment of BC concentration was found to be as high as 15.58, 7.85, 14.06, and 32.08 passive cigarettes-comparable concerning the risk of CVM, LC, LBWt, and PLEDSC, respectively. During the lockdown, the health risk assessment of BC concentration was significantly lower with 4.92, 2.48, 4.44, and 10.12 passive cigarettes comparable concerning the risk of CVM, LC, LBWt, and PLEDSC, respectively.
The risk assessment demonstrates that the health risk was higher during regular days (before the lockdown) than the health risk during the COVID-19 pandemic (during lockdown). Reduction in overall risk assessment due to exposure to BAC is attributed to mandatory and legally enforced lockdown that resulted in complete closure of all the anthropogenic activities, including industries (small and large), workshops, and transportation services. The complete lockdown has significantly reduced the mass concentration of BC from all sources.

Additional human health risk analysis of USEPA designated 16 priority PAHs were conducted. The results show that before the lockdown, the highest carcinogenicity was attributed to BaP (40.6%) and DBahA (39%). During the lockdown period, the carcinogenicity of BaP was reduced to 32%; however, the carcinogenicity due to DBahA increased to 52%. Furthermore, The LADD values for carcinogenic PAHs for adults were found at 1.44 × 10⁻⁶ and 4.3 × 10⁻⁵ mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ over the study site before and during the lockdown, respectively. Similarly, for a child, the LADD values were before

Table 4  The health risk estimates of BC communicated into equivalent numbers of PSC per day with respect to four various health issues

| Parameters | Before lockdown | During Lockdown |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| CVM        | 15.58           | 4.92            |
| LC         | 7.85            | 2.48            |
| LBWt       | 14.06           | 4.44            |
| PLEDSC     | 32.08           | 10.12           |

PSC, passively smoked cigarettes; CVM, cardiovascular mortality; LC: lung cancer; LBWt: low birth weight; PLEDSC, percentage lung function decrement of school-aged children

The risk assessment demonstrates that the health risk was higher during regular days (before the lockdown) than the health risk during the COVID-19 pandemic (during lockdown). Reduction in overall risk assessment due to exposure to BAC is attributed to mandatory and legally enforced lockdown that resulted in complete closure of all the anthropogenic activities, including industries (small and large), workshops, and transportation services. The complete lockdown has significantly reduced the mass concentration of BC from all sources.

Additional human health risk analysis of USEPA designated 16 priority PAHs were conducted. The results show that before the lockdown, the highest carcinogenicity was attributed to BaP (40.6%) and DBahA (39%). During the lockdown period, the carcinogenicity of BaP was reduced to 32%; however, the carcinogenicity due to DBahA increased to 52%. Furthermore, The LADD values for carcinogenic PAHs for adults were found at 1.44 × 10⁻⁶ and 4.3 × 10⁻⁵ mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ over the study site before and during the lockdown, respectively. Similarly, for a child, the LADD values were before

Table 5  Health risk assessment due to PAHs exposure to children and adult over the study area

| Exposure parameters | Exposed population |
|---------------------|--------------------|
|                     | Child  | Adult |
| Body weight (kg)    | 18     | 60    |
| Averaging time (days)| 70    | 70    |
| Inhalation rate (m³/day) | 10  | 20    |
| Exposure frequency (years/year) | 365 | 365   |
| Exposure duration (years) | 24  |       |
| Lifetime average daily dose (mg/kg.day) | Before lockdown | 6×10⁻⁵ | 1.44×10⁻⁶ |
|                     | During lockdown | 1.7×10⁻⁵ | 4.3×10⁻⁵ |
| Incremental lifetime cancer risk | Before lockdown | 6×10⁻⁵ | 1.44×10⁻⁶ |
|                     | During lockdown | 1.7×10⁻⁵ | 1.65×10⁻⁶ |
Conclusions

In this study, we report the spatio-temporal changes in mass concentrations measurement of BC, PAHs, and PM$_{2.5}$ particulates before and during the lockdown period. The mass concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ before the lockdown ranged from 82.67 to 261.78 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, and during the lockdown, it ranged from 21.36 to 79.35 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, respectively. An average PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations before and during the lockdown were recorded as 147.65 ± 41.77 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ and 50.31 ± 11.95 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, respectively. These measurements demonstrate that during the lockdown period, a one-third reduction in emissions of PM$_{2.5}$ occurred. Similar reductions in mass concentrations of BC is observed with the pre lockdown concentrations ranged from 6.61 to 20.94 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, respectively. While during the lockdown, the mass concentration of BC ranged from 1.10 to 5.27 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, respectively. The average mass concentrations of BC before and during the lockdown were 11.71 ± 3.33 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ and 2.46 ± 0.95 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, respectively. Approximately 80% reductions in BC emission could be attributed to reduced fuel consumption and considerable reduction in other emission sources such as power plants, diesel, and biofuel consumption. Potential uncertainties exist to determine the reduction of BC levels accurately. For example, the DRA of BC demonstrated that although there is no difference between the release of BC arising from wood burning and fossil fuel consumption during regular days, during the lockdown, increased emissions from wood burning is observed compared to the emissions from fossil fuel consumptions. Significant reduction in PAHs levels is observed during the lockdown period, with the PAHs mass concentrations before and during the lockdown period were 108.71 ± 27.77 ngm$^{-3}$ and 23.19 ± 11.21 ngm$^{-3}$, respectively. The diagnostic ratio analysis of PAHs suggests that biomass, coal combustion, and vehicle emission were primary sources of PAHs before the lockdown period. However, during the lockdown period, emissions from the combustion of biomass and coal were major contributors of PAHs. The health risk assessment due to exposure to PAHs before and during the lockdown showed that the ELCR is well within the USEPA’s acceptable risk $10^{-5}$–$10^{-4}$. Even though there was a net reduction in the emission of PAHs, the risk posed by reduced PAHs mass concentration was well within the regulatory limit. A significant reduction in human health risk due to BC exposure during the lockdown period was observed. The reduced human health risk to BC could be attributed to the complete shutdown of all industries (small and large), workshops, and transportation activities during the lockdown.
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