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Abstract

Purpose – This study assesses the capability of artificial intelligence integrated customer relationship management (AI-CRM) technology for sustaining family businesses in times of crisis, such as the COVID-19 pandemic. The study also investigates the moderating role of strategic intent in sustaining family businesses in times of crisis.

Design/methodology/approach – The authors used dynamic capability view theory and related literature on family business and technology adoption to develop a conceptual model. This model has been validated using the structural equation modeling technique considering 332 usable responses from people of India involved in family businesses and technology adoption. The study also uses multigroup analysis to examine the moderating role of strategic intent.

Findings – The study finds that adoption of AI-CRM technology significantly and positively impacts dynamic capabilities of the family businesses, such as sensing, seizing and transforming capabilities, which in turn positively and significantly influences their sustainability during crises. The study also highlights the significant moderating impact of strategic intent for sustaining family business firms in uncertain times.

Practical implications – This study has highlighted the importance for family businesses to adopt AI-CRM technology and its influence on their dynamic capabilities. The study also provides important inputs to the management of family businesses regarding adoption of new technologies and their significance during crises. The study also documents that strategic intent could help family businesses to survive during such times. The study is conducted in India and thus cannot be generalized.
Originality/value – This study table is unique in that it investigates the influence of AI-CRM technology and the moderating role of strategic intent on family business sustainability in times of crisis. Moreover, the proposed theoretical model is a unique model with explanatory power of 71%.
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1. Introduction

During the apocalyptic outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, demand in the healthcare industry has skyrocketed, but demands in other industries like air transportation, restaurants and tourism have evaporated. Also, general consumption in private households and consumers’ buying power have been severely affected (del Rio-Chanona et al., 2020; Muellbauer, 2020). In such a crisis, businesses have had to contend with several entangled challenges, including implementing essential and strict health protection measures, reducing production and managing with severe supply chain disruptions (Kraus et al., 2020).

This situation has warranted advanced research to provide firms with valid and executable strategies on how to cope with the challenges during and after COVID-19 pandemic. In such a scenario, this study has holistically explored how family firms could sustain themselves with the help of AI-CRM technology capability during the COVID-19 pandemic (Nguyen et al., 2020; Chatterjee et al., 2021a).

In such a crisis, family businesses have faced new challenges, depending on the social backgrounds and cognitive capability of the decision makers/owners of the firms (Mazzelli et al., 2020). In this scenario, family businesses need to adopt suitable business models to address the changed consumption patterns and behaviors (Kraus et al., 2020; Kim, 2020; Zwanka and Buff, 2020). Given their ubiquity in the business environment, family firms play significant roles in the economy, as wealth creators, employers and innovators. This is especially so during a crisis, since increased family ownership considerably reduces the need to strictly follow formal crisis procedures (Faghfouri et al., 2015). The family’s emotional attachment to the firm also affects its overall performance during a crisis (Arrondo-García et al., 2016).

It is a well-established norm that a firm can survive if it can successfully increase the number of customers, as a business’ success principally depends on customer-centric activities (Rosado-Pinto and Loureiro, 2020; Sharif et al., 2021). Even in crisis, family businesses can survive if they successfully develop their customer relationship management (CRM) system (Graca et al., 2015; Ghosh et al., 2021; Tamilmani et al., 2021).

A CRM system involves “identifying a company’s best customers and maximizing the value from them by satisfying and retaining them” (Kennedy, 2006, p. 58). The CRM ability of a firm could be assessed by how well the firm is able to accurately analyze customer data (Li and Nguyen, 2016). But analyzing huge amounts of customer data is difficult for humans, and, in such context, it is argued for artificial intelligence (AI) to be applied in CRM systems, which is commonly known as an AI-CRM system (Molinillo and Japutra, 2017; Chatterjee et al., 2019; Chaudhuri et al., 2021).

Applying AI-CRM technology in family firms, it is believed that they can address the dynamic market changes from the abrupt outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic (Chatterjee et al., 2021b). After adopting AI-CRM technology in family firms to address the changing business environment due to like COVID-19 pandemic, it is argued that the sensing, seizing and transforming abilities of family businesses (Teece, 2014) would help them to achieve sustainability by using AI-CRM technology.

To explain the situation, dynamic capability view (DCV) theory (Teece et al., 1997) and situational crisis communication theory (Coombs, 2007) have been used in this study. Barring some studies (Herbane, 2013; Kraus et al., 2013, 2020; Faghfouri et al., 2015; Teixeira et al.,
no others were found to have exhaustively investigated how family business firms could manage to overcome a crisis using modern technology to achieve sustainability. Family firms must intend to strategize and properly plan to sustain their competitiveness and success to survive a crisis (Weismeier-Sammer, 2011). Family firms should possess strategic intent (SI) to effectively utilize their resources to adopt AI-CRM (Sciaccia et al., 2015).

The imposition of non-pharmaceutical interference has had a considerable negative impact on the world economy during the COVID-19 pandemic (Anderson et al., 2020). The world has had to face a tremendous shock to supply and demand, and family firms have suffered greatly. In such a situation, this study contributes to understanding how family firms could enhance their sustainability by improving their CRM activities with the help of AI. For this purpose, this study has developed a theoretical model which provides guidance on how a family firm can survive a crisis by changing its business style so that it can pass a “clean and sustainable company onto subsequent generations” (Bauweraerts, 2013, p. 92). Against this background, this study aims to address the following research questions (RQs):

RQ1. Does AI-CRM technology capability help to sustain family businesses in times of crisis?

RQ2. Does strategic intent (SI) have a moderating role of sustaining family business firms during crises?

To answer these research questions, a few theoretical streams have been integrated. It is argued that the sustainability of family businesses is reflected in their exercising their dynamic abilities in turbulent situations. This conceptualization is perceived to be rooted in the concept of situational crisis communication theory and DCV theory. To address the research questions, a conceptual nomological model is proposed, which has been validated by analyzing the inputs of 332 respondents of Indian family firms by applying the technique of partial least squares structural equation modeling.

2. Literature review

Advanced technology helps firms in their day-to-day marketing-based operations (Rust, 2020; Vlačić et al., 2021). However, despite such advantages, family businesses have been facing challenges in the context of adopting, improving and executing modern technologies in times of crisis (Kumar et al., 2020). Firms can use modern technology to make rapid and accurate decisions, but the unprecedented COVID-19 situation appears to have widened the gap between current actual performances and expected performances (Singh and Thirumoorthi, 2019; Cuomo et al., 2020; Singh, 2022).

The COVID-19 pandemic has introduced some new conditions to which firms need to adhere, influencing the reasonings of the decision makers and compelling them to reframe the mechanisms of their marketing operations for their survival by ensuring customers’ welfare (Syam and Sharma, 2018; Singh and Tiwari, 2019; Silva et al., 2021). In this crisis, family businesses, comprising approximately 90% of all firms (Xi et al., 2015), have suffered greatly. They are particularly vulnerable because of their autonomy as well as their family-based standing (Lee, 2006). Several researchers (Runyan, 2006; Kraus et al., 2020; Kubíček et al., 2021; Liew and Devi, 2021) have observed that a crisis typically affects owners of family businesses twice – first because they are private citizens and second because they are business owners (Nordqvist and Melin, 2010).

In defining entrepreneurial family business, Nordqvist and Melin (2010) said it “refers to the family business as a type of organization […] with certain characteristics that can facilitate or constrain entrepreneurial activities, processes, and outcomes” (p. 214). Family businesses usually possess a long-term horizon (Miller and Le Breton-Miller, 2005) and
intend to pass a “clean and sustainable company onto subsequent generations” (Bauweraerts, 2013, p. 92). As a result, family members of the business tend to be aligned to sacrifice short-term financial gains for long-term survival of their family legacy (Minichilli et al., 2016; Salehi et al., 2020).

Thus, to sustain the family business during a crisis, the owners need to improve their CRM activities by accurately analyzing various types of customer data (Kupp et al., 2019; Kiwia et al., 2020). As humans cannot quickly and easily analyze the huge volume of customer data, family firm managers need to adopt an AI-integrated CRM system to achieve sustainability during and after the COVID-19 pandemic (Deb et al., 2018; Ghosh et al., 2019; Chatterjee et al., 2019; Galati et al., 2021; Singh, 2022).

However, merely adopting new technology cannot guarantee that a firm will enjoy the color of success unless it can choose which available opportunities will sustain it, assimilate those opportunities and then transform those opportunities for the betterment of the firm during a crisis (Teece, 2014). Thus, in a crisis, when the business scenario undergoes changes, firms, especially family firms, must possess dynamic abilities, comprising sensing, seizing and reconfiguring abilities, to survive by adopting and using new technology like AI-CRM to address the apocalyptic situation.

This concept corroborates the essence of situational crisis communication theory and DCV theory. To achieve success in a turbulent situation, family businesses must possess SI which drives them to take up specific change initiatives within a portfolio structure (Lois et al., 2020). These initiatives are perceived to act as positive catalysts that facilitate the relationship between customers of the family businesses in crisis with the dynamic capability predictors (Zukowska et al., 2021).

3. Theoretical underpinning and development of conceptual model

3.1 Theoretical underpinning

When a firm faces an abrupt crisis, like COVID-19, it needs to understand the situation and estimate whatever threats it might have to face. Firms need to assess how much damage the crisis could inflict if they do not take any protective and remedial actions in response to the threat. In such a scenario, the treatments that firms need to adopt can be better identified by the concept of situational crisis communication theory (Coombs, 2007). Although this theory principally deals with how a firm can survive a threat to its reputation, the measures prescribed in this theory are perceived to help any firm to survive a crisis.

Situational crisis communication theory posits that the actions the firms need to take to address the crisis depend on the gravity of the crisis. It posits a roadmap for how the key facets of the crisis could impact some of its attributes. This theory classified three types of crises: the victim cluster, the intellectual cluster and the accidental cluster (Coombs, 2016). The crisis associated with the sudden outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic comes under the category of victim cluster when firms become the victims of the situation. This theory prescribes three treatments to combat the crisis: deny, diminish and rebuild.

It is argued that in the context of the emerging crisis from the COVID-19 pandemic, firms need to take up a “rebuild strategy”, where it is perceived that there is necessity to adopt the latest technology to revamp the CRM activities. It is also argued that adoption of CRM integrated with AI could manage the situation. However, it will not suffice for firms to only adopt AI-CRM. The steps enumerated above are common to all types of firms, including family businesses, which is the subject of this study.

After adopting AI-CRM technology to harness its full benefits in the dynamic market situation of the COVID-19 pandemic, firms must possess the dynamic capability to combat the crisis, which is in consonance with DCV theory (Teece et al., 1997). Eckstein et al. (2015) also
called dynamic capability a higher order capability of the firms, which could help them address any highly dynamic and changing business environment. Teece (2012) said that dynamic capability is the “ability to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external resources/competencies to address, and possibly shape, rapidly changing business environments” (p. 1395). Teece (2014) also conceptualized dynamic capability as having three dimensions, which are sensing, seizing and reconfiguring capabilities.

Sensing capability is the ability of a firm to identify or develop, or codevelop, and measure technical opportunities to meet the needs of customers and to improve business value. Seizing capability is conceptualized as the ability of a firm to mobilize the necessary resources to fulfill customers’ need in the dynamic market, which helps to improve the overall value of the firm’s business. The reconfiguring, or transforming, capability of a firm is interpreted as the activities which could “recombine bundles of resources and ordinary capabilities” (Fainshmidt et al., 2016, p. 2) to “innovate and respond to (or bring about) changes in the market and in the business environment more generally” (Teece, 2014, p. 332).

In terms of DCV theory, all three capabilities (sensing, seizing and transforming abilities) are perceived to allow firms to utilize the adopted AI-CRM technology for ensuring their sustainability in times of crisis. Family businesses are perceived to be especially impacted by their SI that enables the firm to successfully implement different transformational projects.

3.2 Development of hypotheses and conceptual model
The inputs of the literature review and the theories helped the researchers to identify that family businesses can address crises, like the COVID-19 pandemic, by adopting an AI-integrated CRM system. By using AI-CRM technology, owners could sustain their family businesses during uncertain times by appropriately developing their dynamic capabilities of sensing, seizing and transforming, with the support of SI. Here, all these determinants will be discussed, and hypotheses will be formulated to develop a theoretical model.

3.2.1 Adoption of AI-CRM technology in family businesses (ACF). Due to the abrupt global crisis caused by the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, family firms have experienced new challenges, and they have had to rely on their decision makers’ cognitive thinking (Mazzelli et al., 2020). The crisis that emerged has drastically changed the patterns of consumer buying behavior (Kim, 2020; Zwanka and Buff, 2020). The new apocalyptic environment necessitated family businesses to change and adjust their business models by adopting, developing and implementing new technology (Kumar et al., 2020; Rust, 2020; Vlačić et al., 2021). In such a situation, success is perceived to have been actuated had the family businesses efficiently, timely and accurately analyzed the huge volume of customer data to meet customers’ needs (Singh and Tiwari, 2019; Silva et al., 2021). As such, it is argued that CRM activities must be considerably developed, which can be achieved by integrating AI-technology with CRM systems of family businesses (Schultz and Pick, 2012; Graca et al., 2015).

The mere adoption of technology in a firm cannot bring it success unless the firm can duly integrate the technology with the available opportunities in the dynamic market. For this, the firms’ dynamic capabilities are to be developed with the help of modern technology, like AI integrated with their CRM system. As opined by Teece (2014), the dynamic capability of a firm is comprised of sensing, seizing and transforming capabilities. Accordingly, it is hypothesized as follows:

H1a. Adoption of AI-CRM technology in a family business (ACF) positively impacts sensing capability (SEN) of the firm.

H1b. Adoption of AI-CRM technology in a family business (ACF) positively impacts seizing capability (SEI) of the firm.
3.2.2 Sensing capability (SEN). Rapid technology developments along with market changes have caused problems to firms in predicting future business development. New knowledge and updated information are considered a laudable source of innovation. From this perspective, when family firms face entangled challenges during a crisis, they need to explore appropriate opportunities in technologies and markets, due to the changing business environment (Zhou et al., 2017).

Therefore, sensing capability (SEN) of the firm is perceived to be fundamental to deal with the situation. SEN is concerned with realizing what changes are required in the existing operations or what are the potential opportunities for developing new services (Zitkiene et al., 2015). SEN effectively influences the innovation of products and services (Kodama, 2018). It is considered to encompass the generation and dissemination of, and responsiveness to, market intelligence (Pavlou and El Sawy, 2011; Teece, 2017). Wamba et al. (2019) explained SEN as “the ability of a given organization to identify, develop, codevelop, and assess technological opportunities that can meet customers’ needs and business opportunities” (p. 3).

In the context of adopting AI-CRM in family businesses during a crisis, we perceive that they can successfully operate and survive in a crisis provided they possess the capability to sense potential and appropriate opportunities. Accordingly, the following hypothesis is developed.

**H2a.** Sensing capability (SEN) of the family businesses positively impacts the sustainability of family businesses in times of crisis (SFB).

3.2.3 Seizing capability (SEI). DCV theory considers that seizing capability (SEI) is considered a dynamic capability of a firm (Teece et al., 1997). It is considered the ability of a firm to effectively seize opportunities by mobilizing resources (Pezeshkan et al., 2016). According to Teece (2007), the ability to seize opportunities includes addressing marketing opportunities with new products, services or processes and appropriately adopting new technology. With SEI, a firm can select an appropriate business design or model to define its investment and commercialization strategies for deciding when, where and how to invest in the dynamic market environment (Teece, 2007).

In an uncertain environment, it is important to capture new opportunities consequent upon the quick obsolescence of the existing business model (Jansen et al., 2006). This is very relevant for small and medium enterprises and for family businesses, which frequently possess limited resources and depend on appropriate opportunities to survive in a crisis (Guo et al., 2016). In the apocalyptic environment, when business flow suffers interruptions for multifarious reasons, family businesses must undertake market-development related activities to properly seize opportunities and eventually create financial value (Conboy et al., 2020). The focal point of SEI is concerned with resource readiness for adaptation (Barreto, 2010). SEI is interpreted as “mobilization of resources to address needs and opportunities” (Teece et al., 2016, p. 13). During the COVID-19 pandemic, it is perceived that family businesses must develop their seizing abilities to trap appropriate opportunities to effectively use AI-CRM to achieve sustainability. Accordingly, the following hypothesis is formulated:

**H2b.** Seizing capability (SEI) of the family business firms positively impacts the sustenance of family business firms in times of crisis (SFB).

3.2.4 Transforming capability (TRA) and sustaining family businesses in times of crisis (SFB). A firm is required to transform its resources which are considered necessary for its sustainability, and which appropriately react and respond to environmental changes. A firm’s transforming capability (TRA) is the ability to integrate, reconstruct, renovate, create
and, in some cases, dispose of some of the existing resources to ensure better innovation (Teece, 2017).

The ability to coordinate, manage and control activities with various firms is also needed for sourcing appropriate external knowledge, which is associated with the ability to innovate (Becker and Dietz, 2004). As an example, Apple, GE, IBM and P&G have already established systems which are dedicated to collaborating with outside firms, and they have developed a knowledge management system for improving the efficiency of solving problems by using internal and external information to obtain essential inputs in time (Prajogo and Ahmed, 2006). In Wamba et al. (2019), the transforming capability “encompasses all activities that ‘recombine bundles of resources and ordinary capabilities’ to ‘innovate and respond to (or bring about) changes in the market and in the business environment more generally’” (p. 3).

TRA is one of the dynamic capabilities envisaged in DCV theory (Teece et al., 1997). In the context of this study, after adoption of AI-CRM technology by family firms to sustain themselves by addressing the COVID-19 pandemic crisis, the firms are perceived to enjoy success if, along with other dynamic capabilities, they possess transforming ability. Accordingly, it is hypothesized as follows:

\[ H2c. \text{ Transforming capability (TRA) of a family business positively impacts the sustainability of the firm in times of crisis (SFB).} \]

3.2.5 Moderating role of strategic intent (SI). SI is conceptualized as a firm’s aspirational plans, and an overarching purpose of approach that is necessary for reaching the firm’s goal or vision (Dvir et al., 2004). SI drives firms to ensure competitive advantage and to even sustain themselves during crises. SI leads a firm to take initiatives to change the strategy to combat any crisis (Zukowska et al., 2021). Firms select proper initiatives that are supported by their SI to address a crisis like the COVID-19 pandemic. These initiatives should be based on the available resources and capabilities which can be safely deployed. In any situation, the SI of a firm supplements the firm for its survival (Weismeier-Sammer, 2011).

To address a crisis like COVID-19, family businesses need to manage the existing resources and planning for the future so that they can effectively utilize the benefits of AI-CRM (Singh and Thirumoorthi, 2019; Chatterjee et al., 2021a). Therefore, family businesses should strategically plan to use AI-CRM technology in the best possible way (Sciascia et al., 2015). They need to utilize the dynamic capabilities by articulating effective strategic planning with proper intent so that they can extract the benefits of dynamic capabilities to achieve better sustainability, even in times of crisis. Accordingly, the following hypotheses are developed.

\[ H3a. \text{ Strategic intent (SI) moderates the relationships between sensing capability (SEN) of a family business and its sustainability in times of crisis (SFB).} \]

\[ H3b. \text{ Strategic intent (SI) moderates the relationships between seizing capability (SEI) of a family business firm and sustenance of family business firms in times of crisis (SFB).} \]

\[ H3c. \text{ Strategic intent (SI) moderates the relationships between transforming capability (TRA) of a family business firm and sustenance of family business firms in times of crisis (SFB).} \]

With all these inputs, a conceptual model has been developed, which is shown in Figure 1.

4. Research methodology
The hypotheses have been tested and the conceptual model has been validated by using the partial least squares structural equation modeling technique. This technique was preferred
because it can easily analyze an exploratory study like this (Peng and Lai, 2012). This technique also allows data which are not normally distributed to be analyzed and which are not permissible with the covariance-based structural equation modeling approach (Rigdon et al., 2017; Kock and Hadaya, 2018). Another advantage is that this method does not restrict the sample size (Willbay et al., 2015; Hair et al., 2018). The technique analyzes the feedback from usable respondents against a structured questionnaire through survey. The responses are quantified using a standard scale, which in this study is a five-point Likert scale with anchors on “Strongly Disagree”, marked as 1, and “Strongly Agree”, marked as 5.

4.1 Measurement instruments
From the inputs of the existing literature and theories, instruments to measure the constructs have been developed to confirm content validity. With a series of corrective steps, as recommended by Carpenter (2018), some instruments could be prepared initially that were appropriate for the context of this study. Then, a pretest was conducted with a small sample. The outcomes of the pretest helped to rectify the defects in the readability of some instruments and to simplify the formats of some of them. After the pretest stage, a pilot test was conducted to assess the contemplated response rate. Then, from the inputs of the pilot test, the questions were corrected so that the prospective respondents would not have any problem understanding them. It was also expected that this step would improve the quality of responses. From the results of the pilot test, some of the instruments were dropped, as the researchers felt they did not explain the constructs properly. Finally, the remaining instruments were verified by some experts with knowledge in the domain of this study. From their advice, the instruments were fine tuned. In this way, 28 questions were prepared.

4.2 Collection of data
For targeting usable respondents, the authors of this study selected Indian family businesses, because there are various successful family business firms in India, some of which have been functioning for more than 100 years. Most well-known Indian family firms have expanded their businesses throughout the world. Some of them, whose employees were respondents in the recent study, have become internationally esteemed multinational firms. As such, consideration of the input of these firms’ employees is deemed to yield a result which is expected to have an international dimension. This concept has been taken from the knowledge of different research studies (Eisenhardt and Graebner, 2007; Yin, 2009). As stated before, this study investigates how adoption of AI-CRM in family firms could help sustain the business even during a crisis, such as the COVID-19 pandemic.
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The data were collected from respondents who have direct or indirect knowledge about the subject of this study. As such, purposive sampling, otherwise known as judgmental or subjective sampling, has been used (Apostolopoulos and Liargovas, 2016). In this method, the researchers used their own judgment to target the respondents. In this study, most of the authors are based in India, and they felt it was more convenient to select respondents from Indian family firms. In this context, convenience sampling methodology has been used (Garg, 2019). Thus, this study employed a dual sampling methodology (purposive and convenience sampling) to target the potential respondents. In particular, this study targeted employees of eight family firms, whose details are provided in Table 1.

We made several attempts to contact the top executives of these eight family businesses of India to request them to allow their managers of different ranks to participate in this survey. Eventually, a consolidated list of 689 employees holding different managerial ranks was procured. All 689 employees were informed that their anonymity and confidentiality would be strictly preserved and that the aim of this study is purely academic. A response sheet was prepared which contained 28 questions with five options each. A guideline was also provided with each response sheet telling the respondents to put a tick mark in one out of five options against each question. Upon providing the prospective respondents with the response sheets, they were requested to respond within two months (March and April 2021). Within the specific period, 346 responses were obtained, which is a response rate of 50.2%. Of the responses, 346 were scrutinized, and it was found that 14 responses were incomplete. Therefore, we analyzed the inputs of 332 respondents against 28 questions. The details of the demographic statistics are provided in Table 2.

5. Data analysis and results

5.1 Measurement properties and discriminant validity test

Content validity of all the items with reference to their constructs has been assessed by estimating the loading factors (LFs) of all the items. It has been observed that all the item loadings are less than 0.70 (Chin, 2010). To assess the reliability and validity of the constructs, composite reliability (CR) and average variance extracted (AVE) of all the constructs have been estimated. The CR values are found to be greater than 0.80, and the AVE values are found to be greater than 0.50 (Hair et al., 2017). For estimating the internal consistency of the constructs, Cronbach’s alpha (α) of all the constructs have been estimated. The results are shown in Table 3.

It has also been observed that the square roots of the AVEs are greater than the respective bifactor correlation coefficients, which confirms discriminant validity (Fornell and Larcker, 1981). The results are shown in Table 4.

5.2 Moderator analysis (multigroup analysis)

In this study, SI has been considered the moderating variable acting on the relationships covering H2a (SEN→SFB), H2b (SEI→SFB) and H2c (TRA→SFB). In this study, the effects of

| Name of the firm   | Founder's name            | Year of establishment | Headquarters |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Aditya Birla group | Seth Shivnarayan Birla    | 1857                  | Mumbai       |
| Godrej group       | Ardeshir Godrej and Pirojsha Burijorji Godrej | 1897                  | Mumbai       |
| Khoday group       | Khoday Eshwara            | 1906                  | Bengaluru    |
| Kirloskar group    | Laxmanrao Kirloskar       | 1911                  | Pune         |
| Murugappa group    | A.M. Murugappa Chattair   | 1900                  | Chennai      |
| Shapoorji Pallonji | Pallonji Mistry           | 1865                  | Mumbai       |
| Tata group         | Jamshedji Tata            | 1868                  | Mumbai       |
| TVS group          | T.V. Sundaramiyengar      | 1911                  | Madurai      |

Table 1. Details of family firms
| Category                  | Particulars                | Number | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------|----------------|
| Gender                    | Male                       | 198    | 59.6           |
|                           | Female                     | 134    | 40.4           |
| Age                       | Below 40 years             | 221    | 66.6           |
|                           | Above 40 years             | 111    | 33.4           |
| Education                 | Undergraduate (school level)| 82     | 24.7           |
|                           | Graduate                   | 202    | 60.8           |
|                           | Professional degree holder  | 48     | 14.5           |
| Employee hierarchy        | Senior manager             | 42     | 12.6           |
|                           | Mid-level manager          | 119    | 35.8           |
|                           | Junior-level manager       | 171    | 51.6           |

| Constructs/Items          | LF  | CR   | AVE  | $t$-values | $\alpha$ |
|---------------------------|-----|------|------|------------|---------|
| ACF                       |     | 0.90 | 0.87 |            | 0.94    |
| ACF1                      |     | 0.95 |      | 26.12      |         |
| ACF2                      |     | 0.97 |      | 37.17      |         |
| ACF3                      |     | 0.89 |      | 38.13      |         |
| ACF4                      |     | 0.94 |      | 30.11      |         |
| ACF5                      |     | 0.95 |      | 35.14      |         |
| ACF6                      |     | 0.90 |      | 27.29      |         |
| SEN                       |     | 0.84 | 0.81 |            | 0.89    |
| SEN1                      |     | 0.89 |      | 38.17      |         |
| SEN2                      |     | 0.82 |      | 29.11      |         |
| SEN3                      |     | 0.92 |      | 22.25      |         |
| SEN4                      |     | 0.95 |      | 27.19      |         |
| SEN5                      |     | 0.86 |      | 24.79      |         |
| SEN6                      |     | 0.96 |      | 39.11      |         |
| SEI                       |     | 0.89 | 0.85 |            | 0.94    |
| SEI1                      |     | 0.94 |      | 29.92      |         |
| SEI2                      |     | 0.96 |      | 22.91      |         |
| SEI3                      |     | 0.87 |      | 26.02      |         |
| SEI4                      |     | 0.90 |      | 27.19      |         |
| SEI5                      |     | 0.89 |      | 31.17      |         |
| SEI6                      |     | 0.95 |      | 28.39      |         |
| TRA                       |     | 0.91 | 0.84 |            | 0.90    |
| TRA1                      |     | 0.92 |      | 24.26      |         |
| TRA2                      |     | 0.89 |      | 27.29      |         |
| TRA3                      |     | 0.96 |      | 28.13      |         |
| TRA4                      |     | 0.87 |      | 27.36      |         |
| TRA5                      |     | 0.90 |      | 25.09      |         |
| TRA6                      |     | 0.91 |      | 26.17      |         |
| SFB                       |     | 0.92 | 0.87 |            | 0.95    |
| SFB1                      |     | 0.95 |      | 25.12      |         |
| SFB2                      |     | 0.90 |      | 24.16      |         |
| SFB3                      |     | 0.92 |      | 29.09      |         |
| SFB4                      |     | 0.95 |      | 32.16      |         |

**Note(s):** ACF, Adoption of AI-CRM technology in a family business; AVE, average variance extracted; CR, composite reliability; LF, loading factor; SEI, Seizing capability; SEN, Sensing capability; SFB, Sustenance of family business firms in times of crisis; TRA, Transforming capability.
SI have been examined by considering its two groups, which are Strong SI and Weak SI. For this, multigroup analysis (MGA) has been used with a bootstrapping procedure considering 5,000 resamples. The \( p \)-value differences in the effects of the two categories of SI on each relationship have been found to be less than 0.05, thus satisfying the condition laid down by Hair et al. (2016). Hence, the moderating effects of SI on these three linkages are significant. The results are shown in Table 5.

5.3 Common method variance (CMV)

Since the results of this study are based on survey data, there is a chance of getting biased replies. To mitigate it, some preemptive procedural remedies have been adopted. In the survey stage, CMV might come from different sources. The most important source seems to be implicit social responsibility in which the respondents answer questions in a specific way, which causes the indicators to share a certain amount of common variance (Podsakoff et al., 2012). To minimize the effects of CMV, during the pretest stage of the survey, some questions and some formats of the questions were corrected to enhance their readability. Also, the prospective respondents were assured that their confidentiality and anonymity would be protected. These procedural remedies were taken with an expectation that the prospective respondents would reply in an unbiased way. Also, to examine the severity of CMV, statistical methods were used, such as Harman’s Single Factor Test (SFT). The results highlighted that the first factor accounted only for 20.3% of the variance, which is less than the highest allowable value of 50% (Podsakoff et al., 2003). However, some scholars have observed that Harman’s SFT does not yield robust results (Ketokivi and Schroeder, 2004). For this, a further marker variable test has been performed (Lindell and Whitney, 2001), which showed that the differences between the original correlations and CMV-adjusted correlations were all very small (\( \leq 0.06 \)) (Mishra et al., 2018) for all the constructs. The results helped to infer that the CMV did not distort the prediction of this study.

5.4 Hypotheses testing (structural equation modeling)

To test the hypotheses, we used cross-validated redundancy measures and considered a separation distance of 7 for each dependent construct. The value of \( Q^2 \) (Predictive relevance)

| Constructs | ACF | SEN | SEI | TRA | SFB |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| ACF        | 0.93|     |     |     |     |
| SEN        | 0.26| 0.90|     |     |     |
| SEI        | 0.19| 0.26| 0.92|     |     |
| TRA        | 0.32| 0.37| 0.24| 0.91|     |
| SFB        | 0.33| 0.29| 0.31| 0.30| 0.93|

**Table 4.** Discriminant validity test (Fornell and Larcker criteria)

**Note(s):** ACF, Adoption of AI-CRM technology in a family business; SEI, Seizing capability; SEN, Sensing capability; SFB, Sustenance of family business firms in times of crisis; TRA, Transforming capability

| Linkages          | Moderator | Hypotheses | \( p \)-value differences | Remarks  |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------|----------|
| (SEN \( \rightarrow \) SFB) \( \times \) SI | SI        | H3a        | 0.04                      | Significant |
| (SEI \( \rightarrow \) SFB) \( \times \) SI | SI        | H3b        | 0.01                      | Significant |
| (TRA \( \rightarrow \) SFB) \( \times \) SI | SI        | H3c        | 0.03                      | Significant |

**Table 5.** Moderating effects (MGA)

**Note(s):** ACF, Adoption of AI-CRM technology in a family business; SEI, Seizing capability; SEN, Sensing capability; SFB, Sustenance of family business firms in times of crisis; TRA, Transforming capability
was found to be 0.073 (positive), indicating that the model possesses predictive relevance (Mishra et al., 2018). To assess the overall model fit, we used standardized root mean square residual as a standard index, and found its values to be 0.062 for PLS (Partial Least Squares) and 0.033 for PLSc (Consistent Partial Least Squares). Both are less than the threshold value of 0.08 (Hu and Bentler, 1999), confirming that the model is in order. This process helps to estimate the path coefficients of all the linkages along with other necessary parameters. The results are provided in Table 6.

With all these inputs, the validated model is provided in Figure 2.

5.5 Results
This study has formulated nine hypotheses out of which three belong to the moderating effects of SI on the linkages H2a, H2b and H2c. All the relationships have been statistically validated. The results demonstrate that ACF could impact SEN, SEI and TRA (H1a, H1b and H1c) significantly and positively, as the concerned path coefficients are 0.21 (p < 0.001, ***), 0.26 (p < 0.01, **) and 0.17 (p < 0.05, *) respectively. The results also demonstrate that SEN, SEI and TRA impact SFB significantly and positively (H2a, H2b and H2c), since the concerned path coefficients are 0.35 (p < 0.001, ***), 0.41 (p < 0.01, **) and 0.38 (p < 0.01, **).

| Linkages Hypotheses | $R^2$ (Coefficient of determination) | Path coefficients | $p$-values | Remarks |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|
| Effects on SEN By ACF | $R^2 = 0.37$ | H1a 0.21 | $p < 0.001$ (***) | Supported |
| Effects on SEI By ACF | $R^2 = 0.32$ | H1b 0.26 | $p < 0.01$ (**) | Supported |
| Effects on TRA By ACF | $R^2 = 0.39$ | H1c 0.17 | $p < 0.05$ (*) | Supported |
| Effects on SFB By SEN | $R^2 = 0.71$ | H2a 0.35 | $p < 0.001$ (***) | Supported |
| By SEI | H2b 0.41 | $p < 0.01$ (**) | Supported |
| By TRA | H2c 0.38 | $p < 0.01$ (**) | Supported |
| (SEN→SFB) X SI | H3a 0.15 | $p < 0.05$ (*) | Supported |
| (SEI→SFB) X SI | H3b 0.18 | $p < 0.01$ (**) | Supported |
| (TRA→SFB) X SI | H3c 0.22 | $p < 0.01$ (**) | Supported |

**Note(s):** ACF, Adoption of AI-CRM technology in a family business; SEI, Seizing capability; SEN, Sensing capability; SFB, Sustenance of family business firms in times of crisis; TRA, Transforming capability. $p < 0.05$ (*); $p < 0.01$ (**); $p < 0.001$ (***)

Table 6. Structural equation modeling
The impacts of the moderator SI on H2a, H2b and H2c are all significant and positive, since the relevant path coefficients are 0.15 ($p < 0.05$, *), 0.18 ($p < 0.01$, **) and 0.22 ($p < 0.01$, **). ACF could explain SEN, SEI and TRA to the extent of 31%, 32% and 39%, respectively, whereas SEN, SEI and TRA could simultaneously explain SFB as much as 71%, which is the explanatory power of the proposed theoretical model.

6. Discussion

Within a limited time, the apocalypse of COVID-19 has claimed numerous lives. It has also caused awful limitations to people’s daily lives and dramatic changes to business activities. Every firm, regardless of its nature or size, has been affected in one way or other. Against such a backdrop, this study has investigated how adoption of an AI-integrated CRM system could sustain family businesses in such a crisis with moderating influence of the firms’ SI. In this context, this study has demonstrated that family businesses can address a rapidly changing business scenario by successfully integrating, building and reconfiguring their capabilities, which are otherwise known as dynamic capabilities, according to DCV theory (Teece et al., 1997). This has received support from a study by Baia and Ferreira (2019), who documented that the dynamic capability of a firm has a direct impact on its performance. This study has highlighted that with the help of dynamic capability, which includes abilities of sensing, seizing and transforming, it is possible to sustain family businesses by adopting an AI-integrated CRM systems. This has also received support from another study (van Lieshout et al., 2021).

This study has also indicated that SI of a family business acts as a positive catalyst to facilitate the adoption of AI-CRM to address the crisis. Our findings also highlight that SI leads to the development of specific change initiatives within a firm’s portfolio structure. This study also shows the moderating effects of SI on H2a, H2b and H2c. The effects of SI have been categorized into two groups, which are Strong SI and Weak SI. The effects of SI have been categorized into two groups, which are Strong SI and Weak SI. Here, we analyze the moderating effects on the three linkages, H2a, H2b and H2c, through graphical representations in Figures 3–5, respectively.

In these three figures, the continuous and the dotted lines represent the effects of Strong SI and the effects of Weak SI on H2a, H2b and H2c, respectively. In all these figures, it appears that with increases of SEN (for H2a in Figure 3), SEI (for H2b in Figure 4) and TRA (for H2c in Figure 5), the rate of increase of SFB is more from the effects of Strong SI compared to the effects of Weak SI, since, in all the three figures, the gradients of the continuous lines are more than the corresponding gradients of the dotted lines.

6.1 Theoretical contributions

This study makes several theoretical contributions. It has shown that family businesses that adopt an AI-integrated CRM system can sustain their firms even during crises, provided they successfully utilize their dynamic capabilities with their SI. No extant literature is known to have investigated these salient issues simultaneously. This is claimed to be a special theoretical contribution of this study. In the context of such a sudden global crisis, due to COVID-19 apocalypse, this study has shown that family businesses felt the urgent necessity to adopt and adapt a new business model (Kraus et al., 2020) by improving their CRM activities with contributions from AI. The study also has demonstrated that family firms have faced significant impediments toward adoption, development and execution of state-of-the-art technology (AI integrated CRM) in such turbulent times.

Again, this study has been able to extend the applicability of situational crisis communication theory (Coombs, 2007) to suggest that family firms can achieve sustainability by adopting an AI-integrated CRM system during a crisis like the COVID-19 pandemic. This
theory posits that at the outbreak of the crisis, the managers concerned of the firm need to respond strategically to overcome the threats, which can help to maintain the firm’s reputation. This concept has been extended in this study to show that during a crisis, family businesses should not only focus on protecting their reputation but also on their sustainability, which AI-CRM tool can complement.

This study has also used DCV theory (Teece et al., 1997). This theory explains that for a firm to perform better in a dynamic business environment, it must possess sensing, seizing and transforming capabilities to react and respond to the changes (Teece, 2012). This idea has been extended in this study by arguing that, during the crisis when the business environment undergoes rapid change, family businesses must successfully utilize their dynamic capabilities by articulating appropriate policy with their SI to achieve sustainability with the help of an AI-integrated CRM system. This is also claimed to be another theoretical contribution of this study.

Figure 3. Effects of SI on H2a

Figure 4. Effects of SI on H2b
Kraus et al. (2020) investigated how family businesses in five European countries had to cope with the COVID-19 crisis. We extended their research in this study to demonstrate how adoption of modern technology, like AI-CRM, could sustain family businesses during a crisis by using their dynamic capabilities of sensing, seizing, as well as transforming with the moderating effects of SI. This study is mainly concerned with adoption of an AI-integrated CRM system to combat any crisis. In doing so, this study might have used a standard adoption model, but the researchers chose not to. Instead, the researchers chose some better-suited antecedents to develop the theoretical model, which achieved a high explanatory power (71%). This is claimed to be a novel theoretical contribution of this study.

6.2 Implication to practice
The findings of this study have provided timely and important implications to owners and managers of the family firms. The theoretical model proposed in this study may be followed by family businesses for effectively responding to a crisis and to come out successful. The aim of developing a model is not only to help businesses to survive the crisis but it also provides a pragmatic prescription for how to emerge from a crisis in a stronger position.

Firms try to know their customers more methodically by “identifying a company’s best customers and maximizing the value from them by satisfying and retaining them” (Kennedy, 2006, p. 58). At this juncture, a CRM tool is deemed to help a firm the most, although the huge volume of customer data needs to be analyzed. This can be done effectively, easily and more accurately at less cost by integrating AI with CRM, especially during the crisis when businesses face multifarious constraints (Chatterjee et al., 2019).

This study highlights that sensing capability helps managers to sustain their family businesses during crises, by identifying and developing appropriate technological and other opportunities. Family business managers need to sense creative product innovation opportunities to satisfy customers’ rapidly changing needs, which will help the firm to achieve sustainability in a turbulent situation.

The study also documents that seizing ability significantly and positively impacts family firms to successfully sustain their businesses during crisis. This implies that to efficiently utilize CRM during a crisis, family business managers need to seize as well as assimilate the sensed opportunities. To accomplish this, they must design the best business model so that they can extract maximum potentials from the AI-CRM applications.
Our research then documents that transforming ability significantly and positively impacts the sustainability of family firms during crisis by adopting AI integrated CRM system. This implies that family business managers must motivate their employees to exchange information with each other to make them uniformly knowledgeable, which could make the best use of AI-CRM applications to achieve sustainability even during a crisis. Though adoption of AI-CRM is expected to help sustain family firms during the COVID-19 pandemic, the unprecedented circumstances exacerbated the gap between the current performance and the expected and desired performance of family firms (Singh and Thirumoorthi, 2019). In such a precarious situation, the managers or owners of the family firms had to reframe their marketing operations, focus on marketing accountability and indulge in activities which could ensure customer welfare as well as the survival of their businesses (Silva et al., 2021).

Finally, this study shows that SI moderates family firms by facilitating their sustainability during a crisis. Therefore, our research suggests that family business owners must articulate effective strategic plans for appropriately using AI-CRM applications during a crisis so that they can sustain their businesses successfully.

6.3 Limitations and future scope of research
This study has provided several theoretical and practical implications, but it is still not free from all limitations. The findings of this study depend on the cross-sectional data, which invites causality defects between the relationships of the constructs. It also causes the problem of endogeneity. It is suggested that future researchers should conduct a longitudinal study to eliminate its occurrence.

The results depend on the input of the respondents based in India. The culture of Indians differs from the cultures of other countries, but the research did not consider cross-cultural aspects. As such, the results of this study lack generalizability. In this context, it is suggested that future researchers may collect input from respondents across the globe. The results derived from such inputs could provide more generalizable results.

With the survey method, we collected inputs from 332 usable respondents. This does not represent a generalizable sample; therefore, it is suggested that future researchers should collect data from more respondents.

This study has used DCV theory (Teece et al., 1997), which Ling Yee (2007) opined suffers from the defect of context insensitivity. This theory is considered unable to accurately identify the conditions under which the ability of family businesses will be most valuable (Dubey et al., 2019). It is suggested to study the optimum condition in which adoption of AI-CRM could sustain family firms during any apocalyptic situation.

The explanatory power of the proposed theoretical model is 71%. Future researchers may consider other boundary conditions and other constructs to examine if, by including them, the explanatory power of the proposed theoretical model could be enhanced.
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