Tailoring Optical Gradient Force and Optical Scattering and Absorption Force
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The introduction of the concept of gradient force and scattering and absorption force is an important milestone in optical trapping. However, the profiles of these forces are usually unknown, even for standard setups. Here, we successfully calculated them analytically via multipole expansion and numerically via Mie theory and fast Fourier transform. The former provides physical insight, while the latter is highly accurate and efficient. A recipe to create truly conservative energy landscapes is presented. These may open up qualitatively new features in optical manipulation.

Optical force is an important tool to manipulate small particles. It has been fruitfully applied in a broad variety of areas, not only spanning the traditional scientific fields, but also in more applied fields\textsuperscript{1-10}. It is customary and useful to theoretically split the optical force as $F = F_g + F_k$, where $F_g$ is the (conservative) gradient force and $F_k$ is the (non-conservative) scattering and absorption force\textsuperscript{11-19}. Here, $\nabla \times F_g = -\nabla \times \nabla U = 0$ and $\nabla \cdot F_k = \nabla \cdot \nabla \times g = 0$. By the optical Earnshaw Theorem\textsuperscript{11}, $\nabla \cdot F_k = 0$ indicates $F_k$ alone cannot confine or trap a particle.

The concept of $F_g$ and $F_k$ are of paramount importance in optical manipulation. They have been guiding our intuition and interpretation\textsuperscript{20}. However, their true profiles are not known in most situations, even for the most standard setup like optical tweezers (fundamental Gaussian beam). We remark that the formalism for calculating the total optical force induced by the standard optical tweezers are given in refs\textsuperscript{21-24}, but $F_g$ and $F_k$ have not. It is highly desirable to calculate $F_g$ and $F_k$ independently. In principle, this could be achieved by using the Helmholtz theorem\textsuperscript{25}. However, calculation of $F_g$ and $F_k$ by Helmholtz theorem at just a single location already requires two vector integrals over the entire open space, making it numerically impractical and physically non-transparent. In the literature, while the total optical force can be calculated\textsuperscript{29-31}, people have not yet succeeded in separately calculating $F_g$ and $F_k$, except for the limiting cases of particle being small\textsuperscript{11,14-16} or large\textsuperscript{13} compared to the wavelength, but not the experimentally accessible micro-particles\textsuperscript{11-13}. We note that there was previous attempt to compute the gradient force for Mie sized particle numerically\textsuperscript{29}. However, our definition of gradient force is the conservative part of the total force, which is not what was calculated previously.

Small particles immersed in a fluid, such as colloids, will exhibit Brownian motion due to the random bombardment by the fluid molecules. If the particles are simultaneously illuminated by an intense laser, their motions can be strongly modified and controlled by optical forces. Tools like optical tweezers, arrays of optical traps\textsuperscript{29-31}, or optical lattices\textsuperscript{32}, are used extensively by researchers to produce "potential energy landscapes"\textsuperscript{32-35}. While these approaches are very useful and the "potential energy landscapes" description does capture the physics, the potential energy is an effective one (the force is non-conservative, but can be considered as conservative because the particles are confined along the beam propagating direction). In the general case, one also need to bear in mind the non-conservative nature of optical forces\textsuperscript{26,36-42}. We estimated that for a 1 micron radius polystyrene sphere trapped by a water immersion objective lens with N.A. = 1.3, on the focal plane, the maximum transverse potential energy is an effective one (the force is non-conservative, but can be considered as conservative because the forces are very useful and the "potential energy landscapes" description does capture the physics, the potential energy is an effective one (the force is non-conservative, but can be considered as conservative because the particles are confined along the beam propagating direction). In the general case, one also need to bear in mind the non-conservative nature of optical forces\textsuperscript{26,36-42}. We estimated that for a 1 micron radius polystyrene sphere trapped by a water immersion objective lens with N.A. = 1.3, on the focal plane, the maximum transverse energy...
non-conservative force amounts to about 5% of the maximum transverse conservative force. While this serves as a perturbation in many cases, we remark that the energy associated with the non-conservative force can accumulate while that of conservative force cannot. An example where the non-conservative force plays a significant role is a particle trapped by a circularly polarized Gaussian beam, where the non-conservative force would rotate the particle about the beam axis. Incident light flows in one direction, this induces non-conservative scattering and absorption force that cannot be described by a potential energy approach. The subtle distinction between conservative and non-conservative forces is that conservative/non-conservative forces can/cannot be derived from a potential energy and the associated work done is path independent/dependent. Consequently, they are of different attributes and have different applications. Generally speaking, \( F_g \) and \( F_k \) are, respectively, responsible for optical trapping\(^{12} \) and particle transportation\(^{40} \). They can also be combined to achieve other functionalities. For a conservative force, the textbook conservative classical mechanics and equilibrium statistical mechanics may be applied, which is in general significantly simpler than their non-conservative analog\(^{43,44} \). Furthermore, a colloid in a conservative force field can also be used to simulate other systems where experiments are more difficult\(^{45,46} \).

Here, we present an analytical and a numerical approach to calculate these forces. With these tools, we created a recipe to produce a fairly general class of conservative optical force field characterized by \( F_k = 0 \). In general, particles immersed in an optical force field do not obey equilibrium statistical mechanics, making the analysis complicated\(^{43,44} \). With conservative forces, these issues are resolved. Such conservative optical force field is required in many applications of optical micromanipulation.

**Results**

**Analytical Method.** Based on a previously derived multipolar expression for optical forces acting on a spherical particle\(^{19,36,47} \), we derived in the supplemental material the analytical expression of \( F_g \) and \( F_k \) for the first few leading multipoles:

\[
F_g = \alpha' \frac{\nabla}{4} \langle \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}}^2 \rangle + \beta' \frac{\nabla}{4} \langle \mathbf{B}_{\text{in}}^2 \rangle + \frac{\gamma'}{4} \text{Re}(\nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}} - \nabla \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}}^\dagger \cdot \nabla \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}}^\dagger) \\
+ \frac{\gamma''}{4} \text{Re}(\nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}_{\text{in}}^\dagger \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}_{\text{in}}^\dagger) + \frac{\Omega' \Omega''}{12} \text{Re}(\nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}}^\dagger \cdot \nabla \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}}^\dagger) + O(k^2 a^4),
\]

\[
F_k = -\frac{\alpha''}{2} \text{Im}(\nabla \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}}) - \frac{k^4}{12 \pi \varepsilon_0 c} \alpha' \beta' \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}_{\text{in}} \times \mathbf{B}_{\text{in}}),
\]

\[
-\frac{k^5}{40 \pi \varepsilon_0} \alpha'' \gamma' \text{Im}(\nabla \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}}^\dagger) + O(k^9 a^8),
\]

(1)

where \( \alpha' \), \( \beta' \), \( \gamma' \), \( \gamma'' \), \( \Omega' \) and \( \Omega'' \) are the multipole moments obtainable from Mie theory and are tabulated in the supplemental material, \( k \) is the wavenumber, \( a \) is the particle radius, and \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}} \) and \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{in}} \) are the arbitrary incident electromagnetic fields. In principle, one may keep adding higher order terms (such as octopole moment and beyond) into the multipole expansion to derive more accurate expression, but in practice the mathematics can be prohibitive, especially when Toroidal moments are involved. We note that Eq. (1) goes beyond the previous dipolar theory and reaches into the Mie regime.

**Numerical Method.** Nevertheless, optically trapped particles often have sizes beyond the validity of Eq. (1). The real advantage of Eq. (1) lies in its transparent physics and insight, rather than its ability to compute the numerical values of \( F_g \) and \( F_k \). Here, we adopted an independent efficient numerical method based on fast Fourier transform (FFT) to treat particles with arbitrary sizes.
where $x$ is the coordinate of the sphere center, $F(q) = (2\pi)^{-3/2}\hat{F}(x)e^{-iq\cdot x}d^3q$ is the Fourier transform of the total optical force $F(x)$. We remark that the use of FFT in Eq. (2) significantly increases the computational speed and

Figure 2. Fields pattern with a Gaussian beam illuminated on a particle. The incident beam is a strongly focused, $z$-propagating, and $x$-polarized fundamental Gaussian beam (i.e. optical tweezers) in water ($\varepsilon_{\text{water}} = 1.33^2$). The Numerical Aperture N.A. is 1.3 and the filling factor is 1. Left: Potential energy $U$ of the gradient force for a 2 micron diameter polystyrene particle, where $F_g = -\nabla U$. Right: Scattering and absorption force. Arrows indicate the direction and magnitude of force in logarithmic scale. Panels (a)-(b), (c)-(d), and (e)-(f) are for the $x=0$, $y=0$, and $z=0$ planes, respectively.
also the wavelet analysis can be an alternative to the FFT. Eq. (2) can be applied to treat sufficiently fast decaying fields or periodic fields. The total optical force needed in the FFT is computed by

\[ F(x) = \oint_{\text{particle surface}} \mathbf{T} \cdot d\mathbf{a} \]

where

\[ \mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E}^* + \frac{1}{2\mu} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^* - \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \left| \mathbf{E} \right|^2 \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{4\mu} \left| \mathbf{H} \right|^2 \mathbf{I} \]

is the time averaged Maxwell stress tensor, with the required \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) calculated by the generalized Mie theory, which at least within classical electrodynamics, is exact. It can be readily verified that in both Eqs (1) and (2), \( F = F_g + F_k \), while \( \nabla \times F_g = 0 \) and \( \nabla \cdot F_k = 0 \), so they are indeed the gradient force and the scattering and absorption force.

Figure 1 plotted \( F_g \) and \( F_k \) for a dielectric spherical particle with a diameter of 300 nm illuminated by an x-polarized fundamental Gaussian beam with a wavelength of 1064 nm, calculated analytically using Eq. (1) (symbols) and numerically using Eq. (2) (solid lines). The strongly focused Gaussian beam, which is known as optical tweezers, is modeled by using the highly accurate generalized vector Debye integral, which is known to generate results that can be directly compared with experiments for particles larger than the wavelength. We remark that for particles smaller than wavelength, one must take into account the astigmatism to obtain an accurate result. In short, the incident unfocused laser beam is illuminated on an objective lens with high numerical aperture. Since the lens is macroscopic in size, the focusing of light can be treated using geometrical optics with negligible errors. Then the vector Debye integral maps the geometrical optics solution to the field in the focal region. As far as the 300nm-diameter dielectric particle is concerned, excellent agreement is achieved. This validates both analytical and numerical approaches.

In Fig. 2, we plotted \( F_g \) and \( F_k \) using the numerical approach (Eq. (2)), for the widely employed linearly polarized fundamental Gaussian beam. To obtain converged calculation for the strongly focused Gaussian beam, the unit cell for FFT is chosen such that the forces near the edges are at least two to three orders of magnitude smaller than that of the center. We also repeated our calculations with different unit cell sizes. For sufficiently large unit cell sizes, the results converge very well.

**Generation of a Conservative Force Field.** We now return to the generation of a conservative force field characterized by \( F_k = 0 \). A careful inspection of Eq. (1) reveals that \( F_k = 0 + O(ka) \) if \( \text{Im}|F_m| = 0 \), which is equivalent to having an incident standing wave. In other words, a standing wave can generate a conservative...
force field in three dimensions for particles with diameter less than roughly half a wavelength. Figure 3(a–c), plotted, respectively, the potential energy $U$ (where $F_g = -\nabla U$), $|F_g|$, and $|F_k|$ for a 1-micron diameter particle illuminated by a standing wave generated by interfering four plane waves (each with an intensity of $10^4 \text{W/cm}^2$). Clearly, a conservative periodic potential is generated, since $|F_k|$ in Fig. 3(c) is very small, on the same order as the numerical noise. We note that a 1-micron diameter particle is beyond the validity of Eq. (1), and therefore the observed conservative force is not a prediction of Eq. (1). We repeated the calculation with 5 microns diameter particle (data not shown), still $F_k$ is comparable with the numerical noise. These findings are consequences of a more general theorem we analytically proved in the supplemental material: for a spherical particle illuminated by TE or TM standing wave in two dimensions (i.e. all incident wave vectors lie on the $z=0$ plane), the optical force is conservative, just as shown in Fig. 3. We remark that the vanishing of the scattering and absorption force is a consequence of symmetry (see supplemental material). We can generate a conservative force even for a lossy, absorptive particle (data not shown), according to our analytical proof in the supplemental material.

For comparison, the forces acting on a 1-micron diameter particle when illuminated by three plane waves are plotted in Fig. 4. These three plane waves do not form a standing wave, but their incident momentum do cancel each other completely, i.e. they have the same amplitude and $\sum_{i=1}^3 k_i = 0$. When the waves are coherent, both $F_k$ and $F_g$ are non-zero due to interference. The force field is clearly non-conservative, as the maximum value of $F_k$ is actually greater than that of $F_g$. This highlights the importance of having a standing wave.

**Discussion**

In summary, we devised an analytical and a numerical approach to calculate the gradient force and the scattering and absorption force for the experimentally accessible micro-particles. The profile of these forces associated with the widely employed optical tweezers is presented. This will enable more detailed analysis and precise control on optical micromanipulation. As an example, we provided a recipe and a sufficient condition to generate or tailor a conservative force field for particles smaller than half a wavelength using arbitrary standing wave. For TE or TM incident standing wave in two dimensions, the induced force field is conservative for spherical particle of any size. This will allow us to create a truly conservative force field, paving the way to mimic a wide variety of phenomena in equilibrium statistical mechanics using optical micromanipulation system. Finally, we remark that our approach can also be used in other non-conservative force field, such as the acoustic force.
References

1. Ashkin, A. Optical trapping and manipulation of neutral particles using lasers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94, 4853–4860 (1997).
2. Grier, D. G. A revolution in optical manipulation. Nature 424, 810–816 (2003).
3. Dholakia, K. & Čižmár, T. Shaping the future of manipulation. Nat. Photon. 5, 335–342 (2011).
4. Dholakia, K. & Reece, P. Optical micromanipulation takes hold. Nanotechnology 1(1), 18–27 (2006).
5. Fazal, F. M. & Block, S. M. Optical tweezers study life under tension. Nat. Photon. 5, 318–321 (2011).
6. Pedrotti, J. M. & Bowman, R. Tweezers with a twist. Nat. Photon. 5, 343–348 (2011).
7. Juan, L. M., Righini, M. & Quindt, R. Plasmon nano-optical tweezers. Nat. Photon. 5, 349–356 (2011).
8. Ashkin, A. & Dziedzic, J. M. Optical trapping and manipulation of viruses and bacteria. Science 235, 1517 (1987).
9. Svoboda, K., Schmidt, C. F., Schnapp, B. J. & Block, S. M. Direct observation of kinesin stepping by optical trapping interferometry. Nature 365, 721 (1993).
10. Xu, H. & Kall, M. Surface-Plasmon-Enhanced Optical Forces in Silver Nanoaggregates. Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 246802 (2002).
11. Ashkin, A. & Gordon, J. P. Stability of radiation-pressure particle traps: an optical Earnshaw theorem. Opt. Lett. 8(10), 511–513 (1983).
12. Ashkin, A., Dziedzic, J. M., Bjorkholm, J. E. & Chu, S. Observation of a single-beam gradient force optical trap of dielectric particles. Opt. Lett. 11(5), 288–290 (1986).
13. Ashkin, A. Forces of a single-beam gradient force laser trap on a dielectric sphere in the ray optics regime. Biophysical Journal 61(2), 569–582 (1992).
14. Sun, B., Grier, D. G. & Grosberg, A. Y. Minimal model for Brownian vortexes. Phys. Rev. E 82(021123), 1–6 (2010).
15. Marques, M. I. & Saenz, J. J. Scattering forces and electromagnetic momentum density in crossed circularly polarized standing waves. Opt. Lett. 37, 2787 (2012).
16. Ruffner, D. B. & Grier, D. G. Optical Forces and Torques in Nonuniform Beams of Light. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 173602 (2012).
17. Yevick, A., Ruffner, D. B. & Grier, D. G. Phys. Rev. A 93, 043807 (2016)
18. Mazolli, A., Maia Neto, P. A. & Nussenzveig, H. M. Theory of trapping forces in optical tweezers. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 459, 3021 (2003).
19. Yevick, A., Evans, D. J. & Grier, D. G. Photokinetic analysis of the forces and torques exerted by optical tweezers carrying angular momentum. Phil. Trans. A 375, 20150432 (2017).
20. Junio, J., Ng, J., Cohen, J. A., Lin, Z. F. & Ou-Yang, H. D. Opt. Lett. 8, 1497 (1991).
21. Ganic, D., Gan, X. & Gu, M. Exact radiation trapping force calculation based on vectorial diffraction theory. Opt. Exp. 12, 2670 (2004).
22. Rohrbach, A. Stiffness of Optical Traps: Quantitative Agreement between Experiment and Electromagnetic Theory. Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 161102 (2005).
23. Dutra, R. S., Viana, N. B., Maia Neto, P. A. & Nussenzveig, H. M. Absolute calibration of forces in optical tweezers. Phys. Rev. A 90, 013825 (2014).
24. Dutra, R. S., Maia Neto, P. A., Nussenzveig, H. M. & Flyvbjerg, H. Theory of optical-tweezers forces near a plane interface. Phys. Rev. A 94, 053848 (2016).
25. Jackson, J. D. Classical Electrodynamics 3rd Ed. (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1998).
26. Ng, J., Lin, Z. F. & Chan, C. T. & Sheng, P. Photonic clusters formed by dielectric microspheres: Numerical simulations. Phys. Rev. B 72, 085130 (2005).
27. Chaumet, P. C. & Nieto-Vesperinas, M. Optical binding of particles with or without the presence of a flat dielectric surface. Phys. Rev. B 64, 035422 (2001).
28. Trusly, T., Meiler, A. & Bar-Ziv, R. Optical Gradient Force of Strongly Localized Fields. Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1738 (1998).
29. Defresne, P. C. & Grier, D. G. Optical tweezer arrays and optical substrates created with diffractive optics. Rev. Sci. Instrument 69, 1974 (1998).
30. Curtis, J. E., Koss, B. A. & Grier, D. G. Dynamic holographic optical tweezers. Opt. Comm. 207(1–6), 169–173 (2002).
31. Ng, J., Lin, Z. & Chan, C. T. Theory of Optical Trapping by an Optical Vortex Beam. Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 103601 (2010).
32. MacDonald, M. P., Spalding, G. C. & Dholakia, K. Microfluidic sorting in an optical lattice. Nature 426, 421 (2003).
33. Ladavac, K., Kasza, K. & Grier, D. G. Sorting mesoscopic objects with periodic potential landscapes: Optical fractionation. Phys. Rev. E 70, 010901(R) (2004).
34. Pelton, M., Ladavac, K. & Grier, D. G. Transport and fractionation in periodic potential-energy landscapes. Phys. Rev. E 70, 031108 (2004).
35. Milne, G., Rhodes, D., MacDonald, M. & Dholakia, K. Fractionation of polydisperse colloid with acousto-optically generated potential energy landscape. Opt. Lett. 32, 1144 (2007).
36. Chen, J., Ng, J., Lin, Z. F. & Chan, C. T. Optical pulling force. Nat. Photon. 5, 531 (2011).
37. Haeften, D., Sukhov, S. & Dogariu, A. Conservative and nonconservative torque in optical binding. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 173602 (2009).
38. Pesce, G., Volpe, G., De Luca, A. C., Rusciano, G. & Volpe, G. Quantitative assessment of non-conservative radiation forces in an optical trap. Europhys. Lett. 86, 30029 (2009).
39. Sukhov, S. & Dogariu, A. Negative nonconservative forces: optical “tractor beams” for arbitrary objects. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 203602 (2011).
40. Roichman, Y., Sun, B., Roichman, Y., Amato-Grill, J. & Grier, D. G. Optical forces arising from phase gradients. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 013602 (2008).
41. Wu, P., Huang, R., Tischer, C., Jonas, A. & Florin, E.-L. Direct measurement of the nonconservative force field generated by optical tweezers. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 108101 (2009).
42. Saenz, J. J. Optical forces: laser tractor beams. Nat. Photon. 5, 514 (2011).
43. Chandrasekhar, S. Stochastic problems in physics and astronomy. Rev. Mod. Phys. 15, 1 (1943).
44. Roichman, Y., Sun, B., Stolarski, A. & Grier, D. G. Influence of nonconservative optical forces on the dynamics of optically trapped colloidal spheres: the foundation of probability. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 28301 (2008).
45. Bechinger, C., Brunner, M. & Leiderer, P. Phase behavior of two-dimensional colloidal systems in the presence of periodic light fields. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 930 (2001).
46. Brunner, M. & Bechinger, C. Phase behavior of colloidal molecular crystals on triangular lattice. Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 248302 (2002).
47. Chaumet, P. C. & Rahmani, A. Electromagnetic force and torque on magnetic and negative-index scatterers. Opt. Exp. 17, 2224 (2009).
48. Deriaz, E. & Perrier, V. Orthogonal Helmholtz decomposition in arbitrary dimension using divergence-free and curl-free wavelets. Appl. Comp. Har. Anal. 26, 249 (2009).
49. Novotny, L. & Hecht, B. Principles of Nano Optics (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006).
50. Zhao, Y., Scott Edgar, J., Jeffries, G. D. M., McGlin, D. & Chiu, D. T. Spin-to-orbital angular momentum conservation conversion in a strongly focused optical beam. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 073901 (2007).
51. Dutra, R. S., Viana, N. B., Maia Neto, P. A. & Nussenzveig, H. M. Absolute Calibration of optical tweezers including aberrations. Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 131115 (2012).
Acknowledgements
The work is supported by Hong Kong RGC through GRF 603312, ECS 209913, and AoE/P-02/12. ZFL was supported by NNSFC through 11574055. JJD is also supported by NNSFC through 11474098 and Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (No. 14ZZ049).

Author Contributions
J. Du and C. H. Yuen contributed equally to this work. All authors discussed the work thoroughly. J. Du wrote the program and performed numerical computations. C. H. Yuen wrote the proof and performed numerical computations. X. Li performed and analyzed numerical calculations to ensure their convergence. K. Ding assisted in the analytical calculations. G. Du assisted the numerical computations. Z. Lin assisted the analytical calculations. C. T. Chan oversaw the project and gave advices. J. Ng initiated the work and developed the early analytical and numerical approach.

Additional Information
Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17874-1.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017