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OBJECTIVE: To test the hypothesis that pulse pressure respiratory variation (PPV) amplification, observed in hypovolemia, can also be observed during sodium nitroprusside (SNP)-induced vasodilation.

INTRODUCTION: PPV is largely used for early identification of cardiac responsiveness, especially when hypovolemia is suspected. PPV results from respiratory variation in transpulmonary blood flow and reflects the left ventricular preload variations during respiratory cycles. Any factor that decreases left ventricular preload can be associated with PPV amplification, as seen in hypovolemia.

METHODS: Ten anesthetized and mechanically ventilated rabbits underwent progressive hypotension by either controlled hemorrhage (Group 1) or intravenous SNP infusion (Group 2). Animals in Group 1 (n = 5) had graded hemorrhage induced at 10% steps until 50% of the total volume was bled. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) steps were registered and assumed as pressure targets to be reached in Group 2. Group 2 (n = 5) was subjected to a progressive SNP infusion to reach similar pressure targets as those defined in Group 1. Heart rate (HR), systolic pressure variation (SPV) and PPV were measured at each MAP step, and the values were compared between the groups.

RESULTS: SPV and PPV were similar between the experimental models in all steps (p > 0.16). SPV increased earlier in Group 2.

CONCLUSION: Both pharmacologic vasodilation and graded hemorrhage induced PPV amplification similar to that observed in hypovolemia, reinforcing the idea that amplified arterial pressure variation does not necessarily represent hypovolemic status but rather potential cardiovascular responsiveness to fluid infusion.
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INTRODUCTION

Many critically ill patients are hemodynamically unstable. This instability may be caused by cardiac dysfunction, vasodilation, hypovolemia or the association of these factors. Independent of hemodynamic behavior, patients are subjected to volume infusion or withdrawal at some point during their hospitalization. In these situations, the correct and early definition of intravascular content is essential to avoid tissue ischemia, resulting in multiple organ dysfunction. Therefore, the correct diagnosis of fluid status must be accurately and rapidly achieved to avoid both hypoperfusion related to volume depletion and fluid overload followed by unnecessary infusion. Hence, accurate hemodynamic monitoring methods are necessary to help us to perform fluid management.

Pulse pressure respiratory variation (PPV) has generally been demonstrated to be much more accurate for the detection of fluid responsiveness than cardiac filling pressures. The former method is also more reliable than other dynamic parameters, such as systolic pressure respiratory variation (SPV) or stroke volume respiratory variation (SVV), and it can recognize volume contraction...
earlier than the others. Hence, PPV has become largely recommended to guide volume expansion in patients with hemodynamic instability.

We have recently reported pulse oximetry wave variation as a noninvasive tool to assess volume status in cardiac surgery. However, positive pressure ventilation promotes cyclical changes in stroke volume (SV), and is coupled with arterial pulse pressure changes. SV and arterial pulse pressure rise during inspiration. Conversely, SV comes down during expiration. PPV is directly influenced by the SV and is mathematically expressed as the percentage of the variation between systolic and diastolic pulse pressure obtained in a single ventilatory cycle. Patients with low PPV are insensitive to cyclic changes in preload induced by mechanical ventilation, and they operate on the flat portion of the Frank-Starling curve (non-responders). On the other hand, when PPV is high, patients are operating on the steep portion of the Frank-Starling curve and can respond with an increase in SV after fluid infusion (responders).

However, the method has some limitations, and they need to be accounted for. The magnitude of PPV variations can be influenced not only by the cardiovascular responsivity status but can also be related to spontaneous breathing, arrhythmia, tidal volume above 10 ml/kg or below 8 ml/kg, right ventricular dysfunction, pulmonary arterial hypertension, intrinsic or extrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP), and alterations in arterial wall stiffness such as the one which occurs with vasodilation.

Pizov et al. (1988) observed that both absolute (hemorrhagic shock) and relative (pharmacologic vasodilation) hypovolemia are related to the amplification of SPV in anesthetized dogs. They observed that, under absolute hypovolemic conditions, SPV is greater when compared with SPV during pharmacological vasodilation. More recent publications found that PPV has greater accuracy than SPV at evaluating cardiovascular responsivity in critically ill patients.

These data show the relevance of clinical knowledge about the behavior of PPV during vasodilation. Hence, comparing PPV behavior in hypovolemic conditions and under vasodilation may be useful in clinical settings.

The purpose of this study is to compare the effects of hypovolemia and pharmacological vasodilation on arterial pressure traces.

 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and animal preparation

This experimental, observational and comparative study was performed at the Experimental Surgical Center. The study was approved by an Institutional Research Consulting Board. Twenty-one New Zealand male rabbits (1.6 kg ± 0.3 kg) were arbitrarily divided into two groups. Animals in Group 1 (n = 5) had induced hemorrhage, and Group 2 (n = 5) had induced pharmacologic hypotension with a SNP infusion. Five rabbits were used in a pilot study, and six rabbits died before the conclusion of the experiment. The animals were fasted for 12 h before the start of the experiment, and free access to water was allowed.

The animals received subcutaneous pre-anesthetic medication (ketamine 15 mg/kg, acepromazine 1 mg/kg and dexamethasone 150 μg/kg), the auricular vein was cannulated with a 24-gauge catheter and a 0.9% NaCl solution was started at 5 mL/kg/h. The rabbits were placed in the supine position. General anesthesia was started and maintained with venous ketamine (2 mg/kg) and 1% inhaled halothane with oxygen flow at 2 L/min.

After a tracheotomy was performed, an endotracheal tube was inserted midway along the trachea, and controlled mechanical ventilation was started with a neonatal ventilator (Bear BP-200, Bear medical systems, Inc., Riverside, CA, USA). The ventilation settings were: tidal volume of 15 mL/kg, respiratory frequency of 25 rpm, fractional concentration of oxygen (FiO₂) at 100% and PEEP of 3 cm H₂O, resulting in a peak airway pressure between 10 and 15 cm H₂O.

The right carotid and internal jugular vein were cannulated with 22-gauge polyethylene catheters to monitor arterial pressure and fluid administration and to induce the controlled hemorrhage. In order to replace any deficits that may have taken place while fasting prior to the experiment, rabbits were infused with 4 mL/kg of 0.9% NaCl. After 30 min of cardiovascular stabilization, the hemorrhagic shock was initiated.

The arterial catheter was connected to a pressure transducer (DTX Plus DT-4812NA, Becton & Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) that was linked to a multiparametric monitor (S/5 Light-Solo®, Datex-Ohmeda, Helsinki, Finland) to record continuous heart rate (HR) and digital arterial pressure. These parameters were transferred to a personal computer with S/5 Collect® (Datex-Ohmeda, Helsinki, Finland) software.

Experimental protocol

Experimental procedures were performed as follows. Controlled hemorrhagic shock (Group 1): after baseline (T0) data recording (HR, arterial pressure, SPV and PPV), manual blood withdrawal through the central venous catheter was performed with steps of 10% of the estimated volemia (80 mL/kg) at a rate of 5 mL/minute, until 50% of...
the total volume was bled. At each bleeding step (T1-10%, T2-20%, T3-30%, T4-40% and T5-50%), hemodynamic data were digitally recorded again. Pharmacologic hypotension (Group 2): the mean values of mean arterial pressure (MAP) obtained at each of the bleeding steps observed in Group 1 were defined as the target to be reached with SNP infusion. The SNP infusions were started at 0.5 µg/kg/min. Progressive SNP dose increments were performed until the MAP targets were reached. Hemodynamic data were digitally recorded at each induced hypotension step.

The pressure waveforms were analyzed off-line on a personal computer. We identified the arterial pressure respiratory variation and the systolic and diastolic pressures (Figure 1). In each respiratory cycle, systolic pressure and pulse pressure during inspiration (Ps=max and Pp=max) and expiration (Ps=min and Pp=min) were identified. Respiratory changes in SPV and PPV (in %) were calculated using the following formulas:

\[
\text{SPV} \, (\%) = 100 \times (\text{Ps}_{\text{max}} - \text{Ps}_{\text{min}}) / \left[ \left( \text{Ps}_{\text{max}} + \text{Ps}_{\text{min}} \right) / 2 \right];
\]

\[
\text{PPV} \, (\%) = 100 \times (\text{Pp}_{\text{max}} - \text{Pp}_{\text{min}}) / \left[ \left( \text{Pp}_{\text{max}} + \text{Pp}_{\text{min}} \right) / 2 \right].
\]

After the experiments, all animals were euthanized by intravenous pentobarbital overdose and hypertonic potassium solution.

\[\text{PPV} \, (\%) = 100 \times (\text{Pp}_{\text{max}} - \text{Pp}_{\text{min}}) / \left( \text{Ps}_{\text{max}} + \text{Ps}_{\text{min}} \right) / 2;\]

\[\text{SPV} \, (\%) = 100 \times (\text{Ps}_{\text{max}} - \text{Ps}_{\text{min}}) / \left( \text{Ps}_{\text{max}} + \text{Ps}_{\text{min}} \right) / 2.\]

RESULTS

All animals were hemodynamically stable at baseline (BL). Animal weight ranged from 1.3 kg to 1.9 kg. The estimated average volemia (80 mL/kg) ranged from 104 mL to 152 mL. The bleeding time at each step ranged from 10 minutes to 15 minutes depending on animal weight. Sequential hemodynamic changes related to the amount of blood volume removed as well as with SNP administration are shown in Figure 2. The SNP doses necessary to reach predefined MAP goals ranged from 22 µg/kg/min to 56 µg/kg/min. Six animals died during the experimental procedures.

Mixed ANOVA results indicated a significant effect in MAP at every steps of the experiment, but there were no differences between groups, in a marginal non-significant level (F = 2.662, p = 0.053, partial eta² = 0.27). Hence, hemorrhage and SNP groups had similar MAP values at each step of the procedure. The same procedure was conducted to analyze HR, PPV and SPV. HR was different between groups (p < 0.001), but PPV (p = 0.71) or SPV (p = 0.31) did not show any differences.

Although there are no MAP differences between the groups, this parameter presented earlier decreases during SNP infusion (T1, p < 0.03) compared to the decrease observed in the hemorrhagic shock model (T2, p < 0.03). PPV changed significantly in the two groups at T3 when compared to the BL values (p < 0.05). On the other hand, SPV was significantly reduced at T1 (p < 0.04) in the SNP group, while the reduction became significant at T4 in the hemorrhage group (p < 0.04). HR increased at T3 during SNP administration (p < 0.02). HR remained unchanged during all stages of blood withdrawal (p = 0.12), while significant changes were observed in the SNP group at T2 (p < 0.03).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we compare the implications of two shock models (hemorrhagic shock and pharmacologic vasodilation) on arterial pressure traces. We observed that PPV was similar during all steps in both shock models. These results show that exclusive vasodilation (relative hypovolemia) can be confused with real hypovolemic states in clinical settings, especially when deliberate hypotension is necessary. Aortic pulse pressure is directly proportional to SV and is reflected in the peripheral pulse pressure. At the same time, respiratory PPV are inversely proportional to SV and cardiac output (CO). This dependence between pulse pressure and SV explains the PPV amplification observed in hypovolemic status.16,17 During pharmacological hypotension, SV usually remains normal or reduced, while...
vascular resistance is low.\textsuperscript{18,19} Considering the strong relation between PPV and SV, we expected that PPV would be reduced in the SNP group. However, our findings show similar increments of PPV in both the hypovolemic and pharmacological hypotension models.

In our model, requiring small animals, the SNP doses necessary to reach predefined MAP goals were greater than those habitually used in humans. The SNP has arterial and venous vasodilatory capacities, the latter being unpredictable as opposed to the arterial capacity, which is reflected by the arterial pressure. Through its venous action, the large SNP dosage could have increased microvascular volume redistribution, decreased the stressed venous volume and thus reduced the effective blood volume, SV and PPV. The significant HR increments observed in the SNP group, probably for CO compensation, reinforce the possibility of an SV drop. However, this is only a hypothesis that should be confirmed with a more appropriate model. On the other hand, there was no increase in HR in the hypovolemic group. Neural strategies underlying autonomic control may vary depending on the rate of blood loss. Hence, the rate of blood loss has an important impact on autonomic regulation during severe hemorrhage. Porter et al. observed that, depending on blood loss rate, HR may vary in the opposite direction. Another factor that may be involved in maintaining the heart rate in the hemorrhage group is the rate of suppression of autonomic nervous system activity triggered by the anesthesia. Kawase et al. observed heart rate lowering during hemorrhage and isoflurane anesthesia.\textsuperscript{20,21} On the other hand, NPS causes tachycardia, either through vasodilation or NO donation. This feature is important because high SNP doses were used, and the heart rate in the SNP group was significantly higher than the one in the hemorrhage group.

Hypotension during SNP administration is produced by simultaneous reductions of preload and afterload.\textsuperscript{18,19} Hence, the role of arterial compliance during mechanical ventilation must also be considered for this analysis. Ventricular afterload normally drops during mechanical inspiration because of the increased intrathoracic pressure (ITP). The decrease in afterload contributes to an increase in left ventricular SV, which increases systolic arterial pressure and arterial pulse pressure.\textsuperscript{18,22} SNP reduces afterload and may exacerbate the respiratory fluctuation of left ventricular SV, with a corresponding exaggeration in PPV and SPV.\textsuperscript{18,19,22} On the other hand, the adrenergic response, frequently present in hypovolemic states, probably partially reduces PPV and SPV in the hemorrhage group by increasing arterial elasticity and/or shifting blood from an unstressed to a stressed volume. This adrenergic effect on PPV and SPV was previously demonstrated with norepinephrine infusion during a hemorrhagic shock model.\textsuperscript{23} The authors observed that norepinephrine could significantly reduce the value of PPV and SPV and mask a true intravascular volume deficit.\textsuperscript{23}

The present study did not detect an SPV statistical difference between vasodilation and hemorrhage. SPV behavior, such as the one that occurred with PPV, was
slightly greater in the SNP group than in the hemorrhage group. By contrast, Pizov et al. demonstrated that, during NPS infusion in a dog model, SPV was not as strongly affected by pharmacologic hypotension as in the hemorrhagic scenario. These conflicting results may also be affected by an intense reduction in preload and afterload caused by the excessive SNP dosage used in the present study. Additionally, in the present study, the anesthetic procedure included inhalatory anesthesia with halothane, which could have amplified the vasodilatory properties of SNP.

In the SNP group, the SPV amplification was increased earlier (T1) than in the hemorrhage group (T4), contrasting with the PPV alteration that occurred at the same time in both groups (T3). The systolic and pulse pressure reduction seen during expiration reflects the expiratory reduction of SV. Conversely, systolic and pulse pressure increments observed in the inspiratory phase are proportional to the increases in SV. Besides the SV increments, the inspiratory gain observed in systolic pressure is additionally influenced by the aortic surrounding pressure, unlike PPV, which is basically conditioned by SV variations. Hence, at least a fraction of SPV results from airway pressure (P_{aw}) transmission to the vascular bed. In addition, when ITP is minimal during expiration, aortic compliance increases, arterial systolic pressure drops and SPV increases. This expiratory compliance increment may be exaggerated with SNP administration, and expiratory pulse pressure drops deeply, resulting in a major SPV. Hence, the earlier SPV amplification observed in the SNP group may reflect the transmitted airway pressure rather than SV.

When PPV is evaluated, systolic and diastolic blood pressures are measured simultaneously at the same inspiratory ITP and at the same expiratory ITP. The variable effect of ITP on aortic transmural pressure is therefore removed, making PPV a more reliable indicator of preload than SPV. However, we have to consider that, under vasoplegic conditions, expiratory pulse pressure is minimal as a result of increased aortic compliance without any augmentation of the surrounding aortic pressure.

This study allowed us to conclude that PPV amplification is similar in hypovolemia or pharmacologic vasodilation induced by sodium nitroprusside. SPV is influenced sooner by thoracic pressurization in the vasodilation model and may be affected by a stronger transmission of extra mural aortic pressure and reduced arterial compliance. Caution should be exercised before assuming that arterial dynamic evaluation is only a marker of intravascular volume status.
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