Effects of pressure and magnetic field on superconductivity in ZrTe₃: local pair-induced superconductivity
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Abstract

In this work, the origin of the highly anisotropic superconducting transition in ZrTe₃, where the resistance along the a axis, \( R_a \), is reduced at 4 K but those along the b axis, \( R_b \), and c' axis, \( R_{c'} \), are reduced at 2 K, was explored with the application of a magnetic field and pressure by the electrical resistance measurements. We found that the behavior of the upper critical field and its anisotropy as well as the pressure dependence determined by the \( R_b \) measurements are quite similar to those of \( R_{c'} \). Moreover, the excess conductivity for \( R_b \) indicates anomalous behavior. These results support an unconventional origin for the anisotropic transition rather than conventional superconducting fluctuation. The reduction in \( R_b \) is due to filamentary superconductivity (SC) induced by locally bound electron pairs (local pairs), which correspond to bi-polarons, and the transition of \( R_b \) corresponds to the emergence of bulk SC originating from the Cooper pairs triggered by the transfer of the local pairs.

1. Introduction

Up to now, the coexistence and competition between charge density waves (CDWs) and superconductivity (SC) have been a major research topic in the field of condensed matter physics. Indeed, CDW transitions have been observed in low-dimensional materials such as transition-metal di- and trichalcogenides [1–4], which have chain and layered crystal structures, as well as cuprates [5–8]. Many compounds exhibit SC behavior at low temperatures in the CDW ordering state [9, 10]. In some cases, the CDW and SC states are suppressed or enhanced by pressure, indicating that their peculiar electronic structures due to low dimensionality can play a crucial role with regard to CDW and SC coexistence and competition. It is of interest and value to reveal the mechanism of such CDWs and SC.

Among these compounds, ZrTe₃ has attracted considerable attention owing to its unique electronic structures, which originate from the low dimensionality of the crystal structure [11, 12]. Strikingly, when the temperature is reduced below the CDW transition temperature \( T_{CDW} \) (∼63 K), a highly anisotropic resistive transition in the SC has been observed. The resistance \( R_a \) along the a axis starts to decrease at 4 K, whereas the resistances \( R_b \) and \( R_{c'} \) along the b and c' axis, respectively exhibit relatively rapid reductions at 2 K [13, 14]. Since magnetic measurements have shown that a diamagnetic signal is not observed down to 2 K [14], the reduction of \( R_c \) can arise from filamentary SC rather than bulk SC. This unusual behavior can be explained by two scenarios, conventional superconducting fluctuation and SC induced by locally bound electron pairs (local pairs). In the former, the reduction in \( R_b \) is attributed to a fluctuation in the bulk SC enhanced by the low dimensionality. In the latter, the transitions of \( R_b \) and \( R_{c'} \) correspond to filamentary and bulk SC, which originate from the formation of local pairs, namely bi-polarons, and Cooper pairs induced by the transfer of the local pairs, respectively, owing to a peculiar electronic structure after the CDW transition [15]. At this moment, it remains unclear which interpretation is acceptable. Moreover, although formation of the local pairs has been discussed as...
a possible mechanism of high-temperature SC for a long time [16–18], experimental indications are still limited. In this sense, investigations on SC in ZrTe3 can provide important insights regarding the presence of local-pair induced SC.

To understand SC in more detail, we discuss the influence of pressure and the magnetic field on the SC in ZrTe3, as determined via Ra and Rb measurements. The experimental results are shown in section 3. In section 3.1, the pressure–temperature (P–T) phase diagram is constructed. The behaviors of the upper critical fields \( H_{c2} \) are discussed using the Ginzburg–Landau (GL) theory in sections 3.2 and 3.3. We found that the temperature and pressure dependences of \( H_{c2} \) obtained by Ra measurement is similar to those obtained by Rb measurement. Moreover, to explore the peculiar properties of the filamentary SC, the \( H_{c2} \) curves and \( H_{c2} \) anisotropy are assessed with several models based on the GL theory. Additionally, in the analysis of the superconducting fluctuation with the Aslamazov and Larkin (AL) theory (section 3.4), the excess conductivity of Rb seems to have a one-dimensional (1D) character, although the bulk SC is observed in this current configuration. As discussed in section 4, by taking into consideration previous studies, we conclude that our results indicate unconventional origins for the anisotropic superconducting transition, which is attributed to local-pair-induced SC rather than conventional superconducting fluctuation.

2. Experimental

Single crystals of ZrTe3 were prepared using the iodine (I2) vapor transport method [15]. The crystal structure is shown in figure 1(a). Chains consisting of ZrTe3 prisms formed along the b axis. In the figure, upright and inverted chains are alternately arranged along the a axis, forming layers. The layers are stacked perpendicularly to the ab plane (along the c’ axis), where the c’ axis is tilted slightly from the c axis because of the monoclinic crystal structure. Band structure calculations predicted quasi-one-dimensional (Q1D) Fermi surface (FS) sheets, a three-dimensional (3D) sheet, and a van Hove singularity (vHS), which consists of the intersection of Q1D and 3D (Q1D + 3D) FSs, [12] as shown in figure 1(b).

The electrical resistivity was measured using a standard four-terminal method. The typical crystal sizes used in the experiments conducted in this study were \( 1 \times 0.5 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}^3 \). Four gold wires 20 \( \mu \text{m} \) in diameter were attached to the cleaved surfaces of the samples using carbon paste. To detect the anisotropic SC transition, \( R_a \) and \( R_b \) were measured with 0.1 mA of AC current directed along the a axis for samples \( \#1 \) and \( \#3 \) and along the b axis for \( \#2 \) and \( \#4 \), respectively. For the measurements conducted under ambient pressure, \( \#1 \) and \( \#2 \) were mounted on the same sample holder and the data were recorded simultaneously. For the pressure measurements, samples \( \#3 \) and \( \#4 \) and lead (Pb), which was used as the manometer [19], were set in a piston-cylinder-type pressure cell with the pressure medium (Daphne 7373). The experiments were carried out using \( ^3\text{He} \) and dilution refrigerators with an SC magnet.
3. Results

3.1. P–T phase diagram

At room temperature, the resistivities of all ZrTe$_3$ samples were roughly estimated under 0 kbar as 1.5, 1.0, 0.4 and 1.0 $\times 10^{-6}$ $\Omega$ m, which are in good agreement with those of the previous report [20]. Figures 2(a) and (b) show the temperature dependence of the $R_a$ and $R_b$ under various pressures, respectively. For $I$ $\parallel$ $a$, the resistance reduced with decreasing temperature and then suddenly increased at approximately 70 K. No visible anomaly was observed for $I$ $\parallel$ $b$. This behavior is attributed to the CDW transition. Further, as the CDW forms along the direction between the $a$ and $c$ axes [21], the anomaly in the $R_b$ is extremely small [14]. In this study, when pressure was applied, $T_{CDW}$ shifted to the high-temperature side. Figures 2(c) and (d) show the $R_a$ and $R_b$ at various pressures in the low-temperature region, respectively, which are plotted as a function of temperature on a log scale. For 0 GPa, the $R_a$ drops to zero at 2.2 K, while the $R_b$ decreases to zero at 1.4 K. The highly anisotropic SC transitions are reflected by the filamentary and bulk nature of the SC. Note that the filamentary SC occurs along the $a$ axis and no visible anomaly in the specific heat [15]. On the other hand, the zero resistance for $I$ $\parallel$ $b$ at 1.4 K corresponds to the bulk SC transition, where a specific heat anomaly has previously been observed [15]. For $I$ $\parallel$ $a$, the small drops of resistance were observed at 0.50 GPa. At 0.82 GPa, however, no reductions in resistance were observed, even at temperatures lower than 0.1 K. On the other hand, for $I$ $\parallel$ $b$, no resistance drops were observed at pressures higher than 0.50 GPa.

A P–T phase diagram can be constructed from the above data, as shown in figure 3. Note that $T_{CDW}$ was defined as the onset temperature of the resistance anomaly. For the SC transition, we defined $T_c$(onset) and $T_c(R = 0)$ as the intersection between the extrapolation from the high temperatures and the tangent line at half of the normal state resistance, and the extrapolation of the tangent line to zero resistance, respectively, as shown in the inset of figure 4(c). As the pressure was increased, $T_{CDW}$ also increases, while the $T_c$ of the filamentary SC reduced; these results conform to behavior reported in the previous study [22]. This behavior and the resistivity agreement at room temperature ensure measurement reproducibility of the measurements. Strikingly, the $T_c$ of the bulk SC also decreases in the same manner as that of the filamentary SC. This means that the origin of the bulk SC can be related to that of the filamentary SC. Moreover, as $T_c$ seems to be negatively correlated with the $T_{CDW}$, both the SC phases can compete with the CDW phase.

Figure 2. (a), (b) Temperature dependence of resistance for various pressures in $I$ $\parallel$ $a$ (1 and 3) and $I$ $\parallel$ $b$ (2 and 4), respectively. (c), (d) Temperature dependence of resistance in low temperature region on logarithmic scales.
3.2. Upper critical fields

Figures 4 (a) and (b) show the temperature dependence of $R_a$ and $R_b$, respectively, for various magnetic fields, which were applied with respect to the $ab$ plane (along the $\hat{c}$ axis) under ambient pressure. When the magnetic field increases above 0.2 T for $I \parallel a$, $T_c$ is shifted to the low temperature side and the transition became broad.
Moreover, for $I \parallel b$, $T_c$ decreases with increasing magnetic field. Figures 4 (c) and (d) show the magnetoresistance results for several temperatures for $I \parallel a$ and $I \parallel b$, respectively. For $I \parallel a$, the resistance curve exhibits a steep increase at magnetic fields 0.2 T at 0.4 K, while for $I \parallel b$, this increase occurs for magnetic fields above 0.04 T. Using the criteria shown in the inset of figure 4(c), we defined the upper critical field $H_{c2}$,(onset) and $H_{c2}(R = 0)$. Figure 5(a) shows the phase diagram for $H \parallel c'$. The open and closed symbols represent the $H_{c2}$ derived from the temperature and the magnetic-field dependence measurements, respectively.

The upper critical field can be associated with two different types of suppression mechanism of SC, i.e. the Pauli paramagnetic and orbital effects. In the former mechanism, the SC states are broken by Zeeman splitting [23]. For Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer (BCS) superconductors, the Pauli paramagnetic limit can be obtained as $H_p = \Delta / \sqrt{2} \mu_B = 1.84 T_c$, where $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton and $\Delta = 1.76 k_B T_c$ is the BCS energy gap in the weak coupling limit. For example, by substituting $T_c = 3.4$ K for $H_{c2}$(onset) with $I \parallel a$, $\mu_B H_p$ is estimated as 6.07 T, which is significantly larger than the $H_{c2}$(onset) value of $\sim 0.9$ T obtained from linear extrapolation to $T = 0$ K. On the other hand, in the latter case, the SC is suppressed by increased kinetic energy.
due to the orbital current to exclude the magnetic field. The upper critical field determined by the orbital effect at $T = 0$ K is given by [24]

$$\mu_0 H_{c2}^{\text{orb}}(0) = -0.69 T_c \left( \frac{\partial H_{c2}}{\partial T} \right)_{T=T_c},$$

(1)

where $\partial H_{c2}/\partial T$ is the initial slope at $T_c$. For $H_{c2}(\text{onset})$ with $I \parallel a$, $\partial H_{c2}/\partial T \sim -0.341$, which provides $H_{c2}^{\text{orb}}(T = 0) \sim 0.8$ T. The $H_{c2}$ curve was fitted using this value and the empirical expression based on the GL theory [25], which provides the phase boundary determined by the orbital effect. The GL expression is expressed as

$$H_{c2}(T) = H_{c2}^{\text{orb}}(0) \frac{1 - t^2}{1 + t^2},$$

(2)

where $t$ is the reduced temperature $T/T_c$. The results of the calculations are in good agreement with the $H_{c2}(\text{onset})$ and $H_{c2}(R = 0)$ curves for $I \parallel a$ and $I \parallel b$ over the entire temperature range, as shown in figure 5(a). The $\mu_0 H_p$ and $\mu_0 H_{c2}^{\text{orb}}(T = 0)$ results for all the $H_{c2}(\text{onset})$ and $H_{c2}(R = 0)$ values are summarized in table 1. These results indicate that the orbital effect is dominant for suppression of both the bulk and filamentary SC, when a magnetic field is applied.

To investigate the filamentary SC further, the $H_{c2}$ curves were compared with those predicted by a model based on GL theory [26]. This theory proposes two types of filamentary SC, where the SC filaments are either coupled or isolated. In the former case, the SC filaments interact via the Josephson coupling and the $I$ paths can be enclosed. As a result, $H_{c2}$ is expected to be proportional to $|(T - T_c)/T_c|^{1/2}$ near $T_c$. On the other hand, SC filaments are decoupled in the latter case. Thus, the screening $I$ path is not enclosed, leading to suppression of the orbital effect. In that case, $H_{c2}$ is proportional to $|(T - T_c)/T_c|^2$. Therefore, the difference between these two types of filamentary SC is most significantly pronounced near $T_c$. As shown by the plot in figure 5(a), the coupled model fits the obtained data more closely than the isolated model. Further, the deviation between the coupled model and our data at low temperatures is irrelevant because the models are valid near $T_c$ only.

The $H$–$T$ phase diagrams obtained under 0.12 and 0.37 GPa are shown in figures 5(b) and (c), respectively. The $H_{c2}$ curves are quite similar to that for 0 GPa and fit the GL expression (equation (2)) well. Therefore, the suppression mechanism of SC for application of the magnetic field is unchanged by pressure. All the $\mu_0 dH_{c2}/dT$ and $H_{c2}^{\text{orb}}(0)$ values are also summarized in table 1.

### 3.3. Angular dependence of $H_{c2}$

For the filamentary SC, the angular dependence of $H_{c2}$ was measured. Figure 6(a) shows the magnetic-field dependence of the resistance for various angles at 0.4 K under 0 GPa. The field angle $\phi$ was defined as the angle between the $b$ axis and magnetic field, as shown in the inset of figure 6(b). When the magnetic field was tilted from the 90° direction ($H \parallel c'$), the resistive transition was shifted to the high-field side. Figure 6(b) shows the $\phi$ dependence of $H_{c2}(R = 0)$ for $I \parallel a$. The $H_{c2}(R = 0)$ values in the vicinity of 0° are approximately two times greater than those at high $\phi$, indicating anisotropic SC. We tentatively compared the data with two different theoretical models, the anisotropic GL (AGL) model [27] and the two-dimensional (2D) model. For the AGL model, the anisotropy of SC is included as that of $H_{c2}$ or the effective mass. The $\phi$ dependence of $H_{c2}$ is described as

$$\left( \frac{H_{c2}(\phi) \cos(\phi)}{H_{c2}^1} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{H_{c2}(\phi) \sin(\phi)}{H_{c2}^2} \right)^2 = 1,$$

(3)

where $H_{c2}^1$ and $H_{c2}^2$ are the upper critical fields for the perpendicular and parallel field directions relative to SC layers, respectively. On the other hand, in the case of 2D superconductors, the layers of which are connected via Josephson coupling, the $\phi$ dependence of the critical field is given by [28]
The solid and dotted curves in figure (b) correspond to the calculated results of the AGL and 2D models, respectively. At low $\gamma$, the $H_{c2}(R = 0)$ values are close to those of the AGL model (equation (3)), but tend to agree with the 2D model (equation (4)) at high $\gamma$. Remarkably, the anisotropy direction, as well as the $\phi$ in the filamentary SC, agree reasonably well with the result of $\gamma \sim 3$ obtained for the bulk SC [14], indicating similarity between the filamentary and bulk SCs.

To explore the peculiar properties of the filamentary SC, we compared the azimuthal $\phi$ dependence data with the theoretical model [26]. As the filamentary SC model is very simple [26], it can provide useful clues concerning the behavior of the filamentary SC. In the case that SC filaments are arranged in a rectangular lattice, $H_{c2}$ is expected to exhibit a unique $\phi$ dependence of $H_{c2}$, with a minimum value for the azimuthal angle $\phi = 45^\circ$ well below $T_c$. This behavior corresponds to variation of $H_{c2}$ with a 90° period. On the other hand, near $T_c$, the $\phi$ dependence is identical to that of the AGL model (equation (3)). In our results for $T/T_c \sim 0.4$ (figure 6), $H_{c2}$ varied with a 180° period which is consistent with the AGL model. This can indicate that the SC filaments cannot be arranged regularly.

3.4. Excess conductivity
To investigate the SC fluctuation, we extracted the excess conductivity from the resistance data. Figures 7 (a) and (b) show the temperature dependence of the normalized excess conductivity $\Delta \sigma/\sigma_0 = (\sigma - \sigma_0)/\sigma_0$, where $\sigma_0$ is the conductivity under various pressures, for $I \parallel a$ (at 5 K) and $I \parallel b$ (3 K), respectively. $T_c(R = 0)$ values were used. The $\Delta \sigma/\sigma_0$ curves were found to be qualitatively similar for pressure variations in both current configurations. According to the AL theory [29], the excess conductivity due to SC fluctuation is given by

$$\Delta \sigma_{3D} \propto \left( \frac{T - T_c}{T_c} \right)^2,$$

$$\Delta \sigma_{1D} \propto \left( \frac{T - T_c}{T_c} \right)^2,$$

for 3D and 1D superconductors, respectively. The dashed lines in figure 7 are calculations for the 3D and 1D cases, which have been included to facilitate a qualitative comparison in the temperature dependence. For $I \parallel a$, the experimental results agree with the 1D AL model, which is consistent with a previous report [30]. For $I \parallel b$, the temperature dependence corresponds to the 1D rather than the 3D model, although the bulk SC is observed in this $I$ configuration.
4. Discussion

We now discuss the origins of the bulk and filamentary SCs from the perspective of the electronic structure. Recent ARPES measurements have shown that the Q1D FSs vanish as a result of the CDW formation (see figure 1(b)) and, simultaneously, a large enhancement in the electronic density of states near the vHS can be observed at the Fermi energy (\(E_F\)) below \(T_{CDW}\) [31]. As has been shown in figure 3, \(T_{CDW}\) increases when the \(T_c\) values of both SCs decrease with increasing pressure. This indicates that the remaining Q1D+3D FSs are related to the emergence of the SC, rather than the 3D FSs.

In the band calculations [12], the energy dispersions of the Q1D+3D FSs in the vicinity of the vHS are almost flat, corresponding to \(v_F \sim 0\), where \(v_F\) is the Fermi velocity. It is known that a vHS at \(E_F\) can have FS instabilities that introduce a SC state [32, 33]. If electron pairs of Q1D parts of the FSs are formed, the spatial size of the corresponding wave packet can be estimated based on the Pippard coherence length \(\xi_0 \sim \frac{\hbar v_F}{k_B T}\), where \(\hbar\) and \(k_B\) denote the Planck and Boltzmann constants, respectively [25]. As \(v_F \sim 0\), \(\xi_0\) is expected to be very small, yielding electron pairs with very short coherence length that tend to be localized. Such local pairs correspond to bipolarons consisting of electrons bound by strong electron–phonon interaction [16–18]. As they have Bose characters, the local pairs can condense into a SC state analogous to the superfluid state of \(^4\)He II [34].

In ZrTe\(_3\), the local pairs form along the \(a\) axis; this is because Q1D FS consists of electrons in the narrow band originating from the \(p_x\) orbitals of the Te(2)–Te(3) chain parallel to the \(a\) axis, as has been shown in figure 1(a). With decreasing temperature, local pairs are induced and phase coherence develops gradually, causing broad resistive transition along the \(a\) axis. In this manner, the unique electronic structure of ZrTe\(_3\) favors SC as a result of the local pair formation.

Furthermore, several theories have predicted that, in the case of narrow-and wide-band hybridization, the local pairs in the narrow band can induce Cooper pairs spontaneously among the electrons of the wide band [16, 17]. In ZrTe\(_3\), as the 3D FS is derived from wide-band electrons, such hybridization is realized in the vicinity of vHS [12]. If a large number of local pairs are induced as the temperature decreases, the local pairs of the narrow band are transferred to the wide-band states of the Q1D+3D FSs, inducing Cooper pairs. This behavior yields the bulk SC. In fact, this picture corresponds to a crossover between the filamentary and bulk SC with varying temperature, which explains the anomalous behavior of the specific heat well, as was discussed in a previous report [15].

The inseparable relationship between the two types of SC induced by the local and Cooper pairs is consistent with the implications of our results. As shown in figure 5, the \(H_{c2}\) curves of the filamentary SC were quite similar to those of the bulk SC. Moreover, the filamentary SC phase was decreased in the same manner as the bulk phase, when the pressure was increased. As regards the anisotropy of \(H_{c2}\), the direction and \(\gamma\) value of the filamentary SC are in agreement with those of the bulk SC.

In ZrTe\(_3\), the onset temperature of \(R_s\) is much higher than that of \(R_p\) by \(\sim 3\) K. This behavior indicates that bulk SC coherence is not easy to establish because coupling between the SC filaments is very weak because of a
very short coherence length. This is consistent with their extremely short coherence length of less than 10 Å for the filamentary SC, which suggested by the specific heat measurements [15].

On the other hand, a similar two-stage resistive transition of SC has been reported in the Q1D materials family such as Tl2Mo6Se6 and Na2Mo6Se6 [35, 36]. As temperature decreases, the resistivity reduces but does not become zero in Tl2Mo6Se6. This behavior can be explained by appearance of SC fluctuation owing to a highly 1D crystal structure. When the temperature is lowered further, such 1D SC bundles become coupled with each other and establish 3D phase coherence, showing the zero–resistive transition due to the bulk SC. However, the onset temperature of the SC fluctuation is higher than that of the bulk SC by only ∼1 K, indicating that 3D SC correlation develops more easily than it does in ZrTe3. Therefore, the coherence length of the SC fluctuation is expected to be longer than that in ZrTe3. This finding suggests that the filamentary SC in ZrTe3 can arise from the local pairs as a limit for a very short coherence length rather than the ordinary Cooper pairs.

Our results regarding the excess conductivity are in agreement with the 1D AL model rather than the 3D model, for both the I configurations. This agreement is reasonable for I || a, because the SC channel develops along the a axis, indicating that the fluctuation is derived from the highly 1D SC. On the other hand, for I || b, the disagreement with the 3D model is unexpected, as the bulk SC can originate from the 3D FS. Similar behaviors have been observed in the cuprates and suggest the existence of 1D conducting channels in the compound [37]. However, as such a 1D conducting channel is expected along the a axis in ZrTe3, this finding cannot explain our results. Instead, this anomalous behavior may indicate that the conventional fluctuation theory is not appropriate for our data. According to the local-pair induction scenario, the bulk SC emerges in addition to the filamentary SC. Thus, we speculate that such a mixing of two kinds of SC, that is, the unconventional mechanism of the bulk SC increases the complexity of the fluctuation phenomena. This behavior can yield unusual excess conductivity, corresponding to deviation from the 3D AL model.

Here, we summarize the above discussions and consider the origin of the filamentary SC. In our results, the pressure dependencies of \( T_c \) (figure 3) and \( H_{c2} \) (figure 5), as well as the magnetic field angular dependence of \( H_{c2} \) (figure 6) of the filamentary SC are similar to those of the bulk SC, indicating a connection between these types of SC. These discussions are not sufficient to conclude whether the filamentary SC can be regarded as a fluctuation in the bulk SC enhanced by low dimensionality alone or local-pair-induced SC. However, the discussion of the electronic structure based on the band structure calculation [12] and ARPES measurements [31] indicates that the Q1D+3D FSs after the CDW transition are relevant to an SC emergence that favors local-pair formation. The comparison with the SC transition in Tl2Mo6Se6 suggests that the filamentary SC in ZrTe3 can be attributed to Cooper pairs characterized by extremely short coherence lengths. Moreover, the excess conductivity observed in this study (figure 7) and the anomalous specific heat [15] suggest that bulk SC fluctuation does not agree with conventional fluctuation theory. Therefore, from a comprehensive perspective, it is reasonable to conclude that our results favor the local-pair-induced SC scenario rather than conventional SC.

The \( H_{c2} \) of the filamentary SC is worth noting as it can reflect the characteristics of the local pair-induced SC. Usually, for Josephson-coupled superconductors, the \( H_{c2} \) values at low temperatures are large. In previous studies, they exceed \( H_{c2} \) [38, 39] when a magnetic field was applied to the Josephson-coupled SC chains or layers, because the orbital current path was enclosed by the Josephson junctions, suppressing an increase in the kinetic energy. In contrast to the behavior reported in those studies, although the SC filaments were coupled by the Josephson effect in this study, the \( H_{c2} \) curves indicate that the orbital pair-breaking effect played a dominant role in the SC. The weakness of the filamentary SC under the influence of a magnetic field may be unique to ZrTe3. As the local pairs are confined within narrow SC filaments having extremely small cross-sectional area (as a result of highly 1D electronic structure of ZrTe3), a critical value for the screening current can be obtained more easily than for other high-dimensional superconductors. This may be the reason why the \( H_{c2} \) curves of the filamentary SC are dominated by the orbital pair-breaking effect.

Several theoretical models of local pair-induced SC have predicted that \( H_{c2} \) is determined by the Pauli paramagnetic effect [40] and that the \( H_{c2} \) curve has upward curvature and shows no saturation behavior at low temperatures [41]. However, our results show that the \( H_{c2} \) curves are in good agreement with those expected based on consideration of the orbital effect. This discrepancy may be due to the difference in dimensionality. In all the theoretical models, a 2D electron system is assumed. However, in ZrTe3, FSs in which electrons have Q1D characteristics play a crucial role. As has been discussed above, the small critical current in the filamentary SC can make a significant contribution to the behavior of the corresponding \( H_{c2} \).

The small critical current of the filamentary SC may have an effect on the magnetic response. Previous magnetic measurements have shown that a diamagnetic signal is not observed down to 2 K [14]. This may indicate that SC filaments are not coupled, which seems to contradict our results. In the filamentary SC, the critical value of the current can be very small, and the screening current will be small even if SC filaments are coupled. This will cause difficulty in detecting the diamagnetic response in the filamentary SC.
5. Conclusion

By measuring the resistance of ZrTe$_3$ with different I configurations under an applied pressure and magnetic fields, we showed the filamentary and bulk SC nature, which shows resistive transition along the a axis at 4 K and along the other axes at 2 K. The $H_{c2}$ curves, $H_{c2}$ anisotropy, and pressure evolution obtained from the measurement for $I \parallel a$ were quite similar to those for $I \parallel b$, indicating that the origin of the filamentary SC can be linked to that of the bulk SC. Moreover, the bulk SC fluctuation exhibited unusual behavior. The results suggest that the emergence of filamentary and bulk SC is a consequence of local-pair formation and local-pair induced Cooper pairs.
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