Introduction.- Quantum entanglement is not only one of the fundamental characteristics that distinguishes the quantum from the classical world, but also an important physical resource for quantum information processing. A lot of measures have been presented to quantify entanglement [1]. However, up till now, no directly measurable observable corresponds to entanglement of a given arbitrary quantum state, owing to the unphysical quantum operations in usual entanglement measure [2], for example, the complex conjugation of concurrence [3] and the partial transpose of negativity [4,5]. To evaluate the entanglement in experiment, a general approach is to reconstruct the density matrix by measuring a complete set of observables [6-8], which is only suitable for small quantum systems. Entanglement witnesses have been proven effective for the detection of entanglement [9], however they depend on the detected states, which implies that a priori knowledge on the states is required. Quite recently, some approaches have been reported for the determination of entanglement in experiment [2,10-12]. The most remarkable one is the new formulation of concurrence [13] in terms of copies of the state which led to the first direct experimental evaluation of entanglement [12]. Later, a measurable multipartite concurrence in terms of a single factorizable observable was presented [14]. The concurrence in terms of copies of states was generalized to mixed states [15], which in fact provides an observable lower bound of concurrence of mixed states and could be understood as a generalized entanglement witness. A natural problem is whether the tripartite entanglement is experimentally measurable.

Unlike bipartite entanglement which can be quantified by only a single quantity due to that any state can be prepared from a maximally entangled state by means of local operations and classical communication (LOCC), in general, tripartite entanglement can not be effectively quantified by a single scalar quantity because three qubits can be entangled in different ways [16]. It is obvious that different kinds of entanglement of a tripartite pure state can not be experimentally determined by the expectation of a single observable. In this Letter, we show that for an arbitrary tripartite quantum pure state of qubits it is possible to directly measure all the different kinds of entanglement based on four different projective measurements, provided that four copies of the tripartite quantum pure state are available. In particular, even the reduced density matrices are mixed, the exact entanglement instead of lower bound can be experimentally determined. In addition, an interesting equation with explicit physical meanings has been introduced by which we show that concurrence of assistance (COA) [17,18] is measurable.

Description of entanglement of tripartite quantum pure states of qubits.- A tripartite quantum pure states of qubits defined in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$ can be written in standard basis by

$$|\psi\rangle_{ABC} = \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{1} a_{ijk} |i\rangle_A |j\rangle_B |k\rangle_C.$$  

(1)

It can be divided into six inequivalent classes under stochastic local operations and classical communication (SLOCC) [16], i.e. (i) unentangled states (tripartite separable states), if $|\psi\rangle_{ABC} = |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\varphi\rangle_B \otimes |\eta\rangle_C$; (ii) A-to-(BC) bipartite separable states, if $|\psi\rangle_{ABC} = |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\varphi\rangle_{BC}$; (iii) B-to-(AC) bipartite separable states, if $|\psi\rangle_{ABC} = |\phi\rangle_B \otimes |\varphi\rangle_{AC}$; (iv) C-to-(AB) bipartite separable states, if $|\psi\rangle_{ABC} = |\phi\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\varphi\rangle_C$; (v) GHZ-type genuine tripartite entangled states with the standard form

$$|\psi_{GHZ}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|000\rangle + |111\rangle),$$  

(2)

and (vi) W-type genuine tripartite entangled states with the standard form

$$|\psi_{W}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|001\rangle + |010\rangle + |100\rangle).$$  

(3)

A direct and complete description of entanglement of tripartite quantum pure states of qubits is to define a four dimensional vector named entanglement vector which can be used to distinguish and quantify all the kinds of entanglement. For instance, define

$$E(|\psi\rangle_{ABC}) = [E_{ii}, E_{iii}, E_{iv}, E_v],$$  

(4)
where $E_{ii} = C(|\psi\rangle_{A(BC)})$ denotes A-to-(BC) bipartite concurrence; $E_{iii}$ and $E_{iv}$ corresponds to B-to-(AC) and C-to-(AB) bipartite concurrence, respectively; $E_v$ denotes the 3-tangle introduced in Ref. [19]. It is obvious that $E = 0$ corresponds to class (i); $E_m = 0$, $m = ii, iii, iv$ corresponds to the $m$th class. $E_v \neq 0$ shows the existence of GHZ-type entanglement. Furthermore, let $\rho_{AB}$ denote the reduced density matrix of two qubits, following the remarkable Coffman-Kundu-Wootters equation [19]

$$E_v + C^2(\rho_{AB}) + C^2(\rho_{AC}) = C^2(|\psi\rangle_{A(BC)}),$$

and those corresponding to other foci, one can always determine the entanglement of reduced density matrices in terms of $E$. Thus the existence of W-type entanglement can also be determined because the W-type relevant entanglement measure can always be given in terms of the entanglement of reduced density matrices [20]. In a word, so long as the entanglement vector $E$ is given, all the kinds of entanglement can be determined. That is to say, if $E$ is measurable, all the entanglement including those of the mixed reduced density matrices can be exactly determined in experiment. Ref. [14] has implied that the bipartite concurrence such as $C(|\psi\rangle_{A(BC)})$ is measurable by a simple projective measurement if two copies of the state are available, therefore all the remaining are to prove 3-tangle can also be measurable.

**Measurable 3-tangle**.-3-tangle can be defined by

$$\tau(|\psi\rangle_{ABC}) = 4|\text{det} R|,$$

where

$$R_{ij} = \langle \psi^* |_{ABC} (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y \otimes |i\rangle \langle j|) |\psi\rangle_{ABC},$$

with $i, j = 0, 1$. $\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\{|i\rangle\}$ denotes the magic basis of $\mathcal{H}_3$. Consider the fourfold copy $\otimes_{k=1}^4 |\psi\rangle_{ABC}$ of $|\psi\rangle_{ABC}$, one can define

$$P_{i_m,i_n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle_{i_m}|1\rangle_{i_n} - |1\rangle_{i_m}|0\rangle_{i_n}),$$

denoting the projector onto the anti-symmetric subspace $\mathcal{H}_{i_m} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{i_n}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{i_m} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{i_n}$ where $i = A, B, C$ corresponds to the subsystems, and $m, n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ marks the different copies of $|\psi\rangle_{ABC}$. Thus a novel definition of 3-tangle can be derived through the expectation value of a self-adjoint operator $A$ as

$$\tau(|\psi\rangle_{ABC}) = \sqrt{256 (\otimes_{k=1}^4 |\psi\rangle_{ABC}) A (\otimes_{k=1}^4 |\psi\rangle_{ABC})},$$

where $A$ can be formally written by

$$A = \left[ \otimes_{k=A,B} P_{(k)j,i+1}^{(k)} \right] \otimes P_{C}^{(C_1C_3)} \otimes P_{C}^{(C_2C_4)}.$$

**Measurable concurrence of assistance**.-Besides the entanglement classified under SLOCC mentioned above, there are another two important entanglement measures for tripartite quantum states, as far as we know. One is the global entanglement which is defined the same to tripartite concurrence in Ref. [14] and in fact turned out to be measurable in Ref. [14], the other is the concurrence of assistance which will be shown to be measurable next by introducing an interesting equation [21].

For $|\psi\rangle_{ABC}$, COA can be defined [17,18] by

$$C_a(\psi)_{ABC} = \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle_{ABC} = Tr \sqrt{\tilde{\rho}_{AB} \rho_{AB} \tilde{\rho}_{AB}},$$

with $\tilde{\rho}_{AB} = (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \rho_{AB} (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)$. $C_a^{(AB)}(|\psi\rangle_{ABC})$ maximizes the average concurrence shared by A and B with
the help of C. Let $C(\rho_{AB})$ denote the concurrence of the reduced density matrix $\rho_{AB}$, then what is the difference between $C^a_{\lambda}(AB)$ and $C(\rho_{AB})$? Let $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ be the square roots of the two eigenvalues of $\rho_{AB}\tilde{\rho}_{AB}$, then $C^a_{\lambda}(AB)$ can be rewritten by $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ and $C(\rho_{AB})$ can be given by $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|$. Thus the difference between them can be directly written by

$$\left[C^a_{\lambda}(AB)\right]^2 - C^2(\rho_{AB}) = 4\lambda_1\lambda_2 = \tau(|\psi\rangle_{ABC}).$$

(12)

Consider the concurrence shared by two different parties among A, B and C, there exist another two analogous equations to eq. (12). Since both $C(\rho_{AB})$ and $\tau(|\psi\rangle_{ABC})$ can be experimentally determined, COA is also measurable.

In fact, besides the main result that eq. (12) shows the measurable COA, eq. (12) also has explicit physical meanings. As we know, $C^2(\rho_{AB})$ denotes the entanglement of Parties A and B, and $\left[C^a_{\lambda}(AB)\right]^2$ is the maximal average entanglement shared by A and B with the help of C taken into account. Eq. (12) implies i) COA includes two contributions: concurrence of the two considered qubits and three-way entanglement; ii) The role of C is to convert the three-way entanglement shared by three parties into bipartite entanglement shared by two parties, thus entanglement shared by two parties is increased. Two most obvious examples are GHZ state and W state. The entanglement of reduced density matrix of GHZ state is zero, hence the COA of GHZ state all comes from the three-way entanglement and equals to 1 (the value of 3-tangle). On the contrary, the W state has no three-way entanglement (only two-way entanglement) [22], hence its COA is only equal to the concurrence ($\frac{1}{2}$) of the two parties. That is to say, for W state, party C can not provide any help to increase the entanglement between A and B.

An alternative description of entanglement of tripartite pure states.-One can find any four quantities will be valid for the entanglement vector if the four quantities can effectively distinguish and quantify all the kinds of entanglement of tripartite pure states. From the previous choice of the entanglement vector, it is not difficult to see that the entanglement vector must be completely determined in order to evaluate the entanglement of a single two-qubit reduced density matrix or a single COA. Hence, a more convenient description is expected. From eq. (11) and the expression of $C(\rho_{AB})$, one has

$$\left[C^a_{\lambda}(AB)\right]^2 = \text{Tr}(\rho_{AB}\tilde{\rho}_{AB}) + \frac{1}{2}\tau(|\psi\rangle_{ABC}),$$

(13)

and

$$C^2(\rho_{AB}) = \text{Tr}(\rho_{AB}\tilde{\rho}_{AB}) - \frac{1}{2}\tau(|\psi\rangle_{ABC}).$$

(14)

In particular, in terms of the twofold copy of $|\psi\rangle_{ABC}$ (or $\rho_{AB}$), one can get

$$\text{Tr}(\rho_{AB}\tilde{\rho}_{AB}) = \sqrt{\text{Tr}[(\rho_{AB} \otimes \rho_{AB})\mathcal{B}]},$$

(14)

where $\mathcal{B} = 4P^A_1A_2 \otimes P^B_1B_2$. $\text{Tr}(\rho_{AB}\tilde{\rho}_{AB})$ has been written in the form of the expectation value of the self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{B}$, hence it is measurable. Consider the other two pairs of equations for $\rho_{AC}$ and $\rho_{BC}$ and the CKW equations, one can determine all the entanglement, provided that two copies of the reduced density matrix of two qubits are available. Thus a new entanglement vector can be constructed by means of replacing $E_{ii}, E_{iii}, E_{iv}$ by three $\text{Tr}(\rho_x\tilde{\rho}_x)$ with $x$ denoting two qubits. With the new entanglement vector, it is not necessary to know all the elements of the vector in order to determine a given entanglement except the global entanglement.

We have considered that the measured quantum states are pure. However, the imperfect preparation procedure may produce mixed states. In practical experiment, analogous to Ref. [2], one can discuss the deviation of measured entanglement by considering the potential errors introduced by impure states and correct the measurement values. Such an comparison procedure is quite simple and omitted here.

Summary.- We have shown that 3-tangle can be experimentally determined by a single factorizable observable, provided that four copies of the state can be provided, by which all the entanglement in terms of SLOCC classification can be determined with the help of the measurable bipartite concurrence or $\text{Tr}\rho_x\tilde{\rho}_x$. COA has also been shown to be measurable by an interesting equation with explicit physical meanings. We would like to emphasize that although reduced density matrices of two qubits are mixed states, the exact concurrence instead of the lower bound can be determined. Even though four copies of the state are required, all the projective measurements are only restrictive on the twofold copies, as has been demonstrated recently in experiment. Furthermore, because a state has to be prepared repeatedly in order to obtain reliable measurement statistics in any experiment [2], a fourfold copy of a state should be feasible in current experiment, which implies the observation of all the entanglement of tripartite pure states of qubits may be feasible.
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