Risk Factors for Overall Complications and Remote Infection After Gastrectomy in Elderly Gastric Cancer Patients
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Abstract. Background/Aim: A significant predictive factor for the occurrence of complications after gastrectomy in elderly gastric cancer patients is yet to be determined. We aimed to evaluate the clinical factors associated with overall complications including remote infection after gastrectomy in elderly gastric cancer patients. Patients and Methods: We retrospectively analyzed data of 101 patients aged over 80 years, who underwent curative gastrectomy. We analyzed the clinicopathological factors that were independently associated with the occurrence of overall complications or remote infection by a logistic regression model. Results: The overall complication rate was 24.8%. We identified pneumonia as a remote infection, and the occurrence rate of remote infections was 5.9%. On multivariate analysis, hemoglobin (<11 g/dl) and operation time (>240 min) were significantly correlated with the occurrence of overall complications. Regarding the occurrence of remote infection, performing total gastrectomy and a hemoglobin level <11 g/dl were identified as significant risk factors. Conclusion: Preoperative anemia and intraoperative factors, including the surgical procedure, could affect the occurrence of postoperative complications in elderly patients.

In particular, Japan is progressing toward an aging society at an unprecedented rate. In this situation, the probability of performing gastrectomy in elderly GC patients is increasing (4). Although endoscopic resection can be an indication for the curative resection of early GC without lymph node metastasis, the main curative therapeutic strategy for GC still consists of gastrectomy. Regarding the treatment for elderly GC patients, surgeons should carefully decide on the indication for surgery and consider the appropriate strategy that is the less aggressive treatment because elderly patients have a high prevalence of complications and mortality after gastrectomy (5, 6).

Due to the fact that surgical techniques and perioperative care skills for GC patients have progressed, recent postoperative prognosis has been improved, even among elderly patients (7). Several studies have confirmed the short-term safety and long-term benefit of surgical resection for GC in elderly patients (8, 9). In a recent study, postoperative mortality in elderly GC patients was reported to be 6.1%, which is lower than that in previous studies (10). However, the risk of complications and mortality associated with surgery in elderly GC patients is still higher than that in younger patients (11, 12). Postoperative complications including remote infection, which is defined as an infection out of the surgical site, could lead to a longer hospital stay and rehabilitation delay in elderly patients. Particularly, pneumonia as a remote infection after surgery could greatly affect the postoperative quality of life and prognosis in elderly GC patients. Regardless of the increased opportunity to perform gastrectomy for elderly patients, the risk factors for remote infection including pneumonia in elderly GC patients remain unknown. Since elderly GC patients usually have some comorbidities or sarcopenia conditions that could lead to occurrence of severe postoperative complications, detailed understanding of the risk factors associated with the occurrence of overall complications or remote infections is very essential for surgical management in elderly GC patients.

In this study, we aimed to evaluate clinical factors associated with occurrence of complications after gastrectomy in elderly GC patients. Preoperative
Table I. Patient characteristics.

| Characteristic            | Value            |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| Gender (male)             | 65 (64.4%)       |
| Age                       | 82 (80-95)       |
| ASA PS (≥2)               | 83 (82.1%)       |
| Comorbidity (+)           | 69 (68.3%)       |
| BMI                       | 21.1 (15.9-28.4) |
| White blood cell (/μl)    | 5,390 (2,620-17,720) |
| NLR                       | 2.4 (0.7-48.9)   |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl)         | 11.5 (4.4-15.8)  |
| CRP (mg/dl)               | 0.12 (0.02-12.2) |
| Total protein (g/dl)      | 6.8 (4.2-8.0)    |
| Albumin (g/dl)            | 3.7 (1.5-4.9)    |
| Cholinesterase (U/l)      | 233 (96-544)     |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)        | 0.90 (0.48-5.74) |
| CEA (ng/ml)               | 3.1 (0.5-426.0)  |
| CA19-9 (U/ml)             | 10.9 (0.5-210.0) |
| pStage I/II/III/IV1        | 52 (51.5%)/23 (22.8%)/24 (23.8%)/2 (2.0%) |
| Operation                 |                 |
| Distal gastrectomy        | 64 (63.4%)       |
| Total gastrectomy         | 13 (12.9%)       |
| Proximal gastrectomy      | 16 (15.8%)       |
| Other                     | 8 (7.9%)         |
| Laparoscopic operation    | 14 (13.9%)       |
| Lymph node dissection (D2)| 8 (7.9%)         |
| Operation time (min)      | 212 (110-577)    |
| Bleeding (ml)             | 65 (0-1600)      |
| Complication (+)          | 25 (24.8%)       |

Values are in n (%) or medians (range). ASA PS: American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status; BMI: body mass index; NLR: neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio; CRP: C-reactive protein; CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen; CA 19-9: carbohydrate antigen 19-9. 1The 8th edition of the UICC TNM Staging System for Gastric Cancer (13).

characteristics, pathological findings, and operative outcomes were analyzed to identify the risk factor for not only overall complications, but also remote infections.

Patients and Methods

We retrospectively analyzed data on 101 patients aged over 80 years, who underwent curative gastrectomy for GC at the Kanazawa Medical University between January 2009 and December 2019. Clinical data before surgery, such as sex, age, American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status (ASA PS) score, comorbidity, and body mass index (BMI), were extracted from our hospital’s database. We collected the results of the blood examination before the surgery, including data regarding the white blood cell (WBC) count, the fraction of neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR) in the WBC differential, hemoglobin level, and serum levels of C-reactive protein (CRP), total protein, albumin, cholinesterase, creatinine, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9). Pathological results were assessed according to the 8th edition of the UICC TNM Staging System for Gastric Cancer (13). Gastrectomy and lymph node dissection were performed according to the 2014 Japanese GC treatment guidelines (version 4) (14), and intraoperative outcomes were evaluated. Postoperative complications were assessed on the basis of the Clavien-Dindo classification (15), and ≥grade II complications were included in the analysis. We obtained informed consent from the patients. This study was approved by the Medicine Ethics Committee of Kanazawa Medical University. The research reported in this paper was in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration.

Statistical analysis. Data were expressed as n (%) or medians (range). Continuous variables were compared using the Student’s t-test, while categorical variables were compared using the χ² test. All p-Values were two-sided, and differences with a p-value of <0.05 were considered statistically significant. A logistic regression model was used to identify clinical factors that were independently associated with the occurrence of postoperative complications. Variables that were associated with the occurrence of postoperative complications at p<0.10 in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP software version 8.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

The patient characteristics are shown in Table I. The median age of the study population was 82 years, and 66.4% of patients were males. More than 80% of patients showed ASA PS ≥2, and 68.3% had comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus or hypertension that needed treatment by medication. The median levels of serum CEA and CA19-9 were within the normal range. Pathological stage I, II, III, and IV accounted for 51.5, 22.8, 23.8, and 2.0% of patients, respectively. Regarding surgical outcomes, distal, total, and proximal gastrectomy were performed in 63.4, 12.9, and 15.8% of patients, respectively, and laparoscopic surgery in 13.9% of patients. Clavien-Dindo classification ≥grade II complications were observed in 25 (24.8%) patients. Regarding the details of complications, delayed gastric emptying, anastomotic leakage, pneumonia, and surgical site infection occurred in 8 (32%), 6 (24%), 6 (24%), and 3 (12%) patients (Table II). All delayed gastric emptying was associated with distal gastrectomy.

In analyzing the correlation between the clinical factors and the occurrence of overall complications (Table III), hemoglobin levels (p=0.001), operation time (p=0.04), serum levels of CRP (p=0.07) and albumin (p=0.09), and ASA PS...
A remote infection (significantly correlated with the occurrence of pneumonia as gastrectomy and a hemoglobin level <11 g/dl were performing total gastrectomy (Table IV), hemoglobin level (between clinical factors and occurrence of pneumonia as a significant predictive factors for overall complications after gastrectomy in elderly GC patients. Of note, a lower hemoglobin level and performing total gastrectomy could be clarified. With the increasing rate of gastrectomy in elderly GC patients, surgical strategy for elderly patients. In this study, we found that preoperative hemoglobin level and operation time were significant predictive factors for overall complications after gastrectomy in elderly GC patients. Of note, a lower hemoglobin level and performing total gastrectomy could

### Table III. Univariate and multivariate analyses to identify risk factors for the occurrence of overall complications.

| Factor                        | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                               | Odds ratio | p-Value | Odds ratio | p-Value |
| Gender (male)                 | 0.81      | 0.66    | 0.92      | 0.59    |
| Age (>82)                     | 1.08      | 0.86    | 1.02      | 0.59    |
| ASA PS (≥2)                   | 3.12      | 0.10    | 3.25      | 0.15    |
| Comorbidity (+)               | 0.98      | 0.97    | 0.92      | 0.93    |
| BMI (<20)                     | 0.67      | 0.41    | 1.97      | 0.43    |
| White blood cell (>9,000/μl)  | 3.22      | 0.27    | 6.13      | 0.20    |
| NLR (>3)                      | 1.75      | 0.25    |           |         |
| Hemoglobin (<11 g/dl)         | 4.66      | 0.001   | 5.00      | 0.002   |
| CRP (>1 mg/dl)                | 3.11      | 0.07    | 1.91      | 0.40    |
| Total protein (<6.5 g/dl)     | 1.46      | 0.48    |           |         |
| Albumin (<3.5 g/dl)           | 2.31      | 0.09    | 1.40      | 0.59    |
| Cholinesterase (<200 U/l)     | 0.76      | 0.61    |           |         |
| Creatinine (>1 mg/dl)         | 0.45      | 0.12    |           |         |
| CEA (>5 ng/ml)                | 1.33      | 0.59    |           |         |
| CA19-9 (<37 U/ml)             | 0.99      | 0.99    |           |         |
| pStage III/IV                  | 1.13      | 0.82    |           |         |
| Total gastrectomy             | 0.71      | 0.60    |           |         |
| Laparoscopic operation        | 0.80      | 0.72    |           |         |
| Lymph node dissection (D2)    | 1.94      | 0.40    |           |         |
| Operation time (>240 min)     | 3.23      | 0.01    | 3.91      | 0.01    |
| Bleeding (>200 ml)            | 1.33      | 0.63    |           |         |

ASA PS: American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status; BMI: body mass index; NLR: neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio; CRP: C-reactive protein; CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen; CA 19-9: carbohydrate antigen 19-9. The 8th edition of the UICC TNM Staging System for Gastric Cancer (13). Bold values indicate statistical significance.

### Table IV. Univariate and multivariate analyses to identify risk factors for the occurrence of remote infection.

| Factor                        | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                               | Odds ratio | p-Value | Odds ratio | p-Value |
| Gender (male)                 | 2.92      | 0.29    | 3.25      | 0.12    |
| Age (>82)                     | 1.02      | 0.98    |           |         |
| ASA PS (≥2)                   | 3.25      | 0.12    | 0.92      | 0.93    |
| White blood cell (>9,000/μl)  | 6.13      | 0.20    |           |         |
| NLR (>3)                      | 1.14      | 0.89    | 43.08     | 0.008   |
| Hemoglobin (<11 g/dl)         | 9.84      | 0.02    | 11.67     | 0.05    |
| CRP (>1 mg/dl)                | 8.50      | 0.02    | 11.67     | 0.05    |
| Total protein (<6.5 g/dl)     | 1.51      | 0.70    |           |         |
| Albumin (<3.5 g/dl)           | 6.26      | 0.03    | 6.02      | 0.15    |
| Cholinesterase (<200 U/l)     | 1.16      | 0.87    |           |         |
| Creatinine (>1 mg/dl)         | 0.33      | 0.27    |           |         |
| CEA (>5 ng/ml)                | 2.09      | 0.50    |           |         |
| CA19-9 (<37 U/ml)             | 2.83      | 0.23    |           |         |
| pStage III/IV                  | 3.13      | 0.19    |           |         |
| Total gastrectomy             | 8.50      | 0.02    | 76.51     | 0.001   |
| Laparoscopic operation        | 0.81      | 0.17    |           |         |
| Lymph node dissection (D2)    | 2.51      | 0.47    |           |         |
| Operation time (>240 min)     | 1.76      | 0.5     |           |         |
| Bleeding (>200 ml)            | 5.79      | 0.05    | 2.67      | 0.39    |

ASA PS: American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status; BMI: body mass index; NLR: neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio; CRP: C-reactive protein; CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen; CA 19-9: carbohydrate antigen 19-9. The 8th edition of the UICC TNM Staging System for Gastric Cancer (13). Bold values indicate statistical significance.

Discussion

Although some studies have been conducted to better adjust the therapeutic strategy for elderly GC patients, treatments for elderly GC patients remain controversial. Elderly patients have more comorbidities than non-elderly patients, and surgery or conventional chemotherapy could be harmful rather than beneficial in some patients. Previous studies have shown that age is a significant predictive factor for postoperative morbidity after GC surgery (16, 17). However, a significant predictive factor for the occurrence of postoperative complications in elderly GC patients is yet to be clarified. With the increasing rate of gastrectomy in elderly GC patients, clinical factors that can predict the occurrence of postoperative complications including remote infection are of great interest for making adjustments in the surgical strategy for elderly patients. In this study, we found that preoperative hemoglobin level and operation time were significant predictive factors for overall complications after gastrectomy in elderly GC patients. Of note, a lower hemoglobin level and performing total gastrectomy could
occurrence of complications. It has been already reported that morbidity in this study. In contrast, we identified that intraoperative factors are significantly associated with the complications. Conversely, preoperative BMI, NLR, or serum albumin levels were reported as a significant risk factor for overall complications after gastrectomy in all generations (23). These preoperative factors did not affect elderly patient oxygenation and hence result in the occurrence of pneumonia after gastrectomy. Preoperative anemia and intraoperative factors, including the type of gastrectomy have a greater influence on postoperative morbidity and that performing total gastrectomy should be avoided in elderly patients who are at a greater risk for pneumonia. In addition, surgeons should more carefully consider the surgical maneuvers used in elderly GC patients in order to reduce the amount of blood loss during surgery and the operation time, thereby preventing overall complications and remote infection.

This study has certain limitations. It was a retrospective study performed at a single institution, with a small sample size. Thus, to confirm the predictive value of the risk factors for overall complication or remote infection after gastrectomy, further research with a prospective cohort study in multiple institutions is warranted.

Conclusions

We evaluated the clinical risk factors associated with the occurrence of overall complications or remote infections after gastrectomy in elderly GC patients. Preoperative anemia and intraoperative factors, including the surgical procedure, could affect the occurrence of postoperative complications. Management for anemia before surgery and the choice of a less invasive surgery could be essential for elderly patients to prevent the occurrence of overall complications or remote infections after gastrectomy.
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