Low evolutionary rate of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) in Italy is associated with reduced virulence in trout
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Abstract

Infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) is a naked double-stranded RNA virus with a bi-segmented genome that is classified within the family Birnaviridae, genus Aquabirnavirus. IPNV was first detected in Italian trout farms in the late 1970s and ultimately became endemic. To characterize the evolution of IPNV circulating in Italy, particularly whether there is a link between evolutionary rate and virulence, we obtained and analyzed the VP1 (polymerase) and the pVP2 (major capsid protein precursor) sequences from 75 IPNV strains sampled between 1978 and 2017. These data revealed that the Italian IPNV exhibit relatively little genetic variation over the sampling period, falling into four genetic clusters within a single genogroup (group 2 for VP1 and genogroup V for pVP2) and contained one example of inter-segment reassortment. The mean evolutionary rates for VP1 and pVP2 were estimated to be 1.70 and 1.45 nucleotide substitutions per site, per year, respectively, and hence significantly lower than those seen in other Birnaviruses. Similarly, the relatively low ratios of non-synonymous (dN) to synonymous (dS) nucleotide substitutions per site in both genes indicated that IPNV was subject to strong selective constraints, again in contrast to other RNA viruses infecting salmonids that co-circulate in the same area during the same time period. Notably, all the Italian IPNV harbored a proline at position 217 (P217) and a threonine at position 221 (T221) in pVP2, both of which are associated with a low virulence phenotype. We therefore suggest the lower virulence of IPNV may have resulted in reduced rates of virus replication and hence lower rates of evolutionary change. The data generated here will be of importance in understanding the factors that shape the evolution of Aquabirnaviruses in nature.
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1. Introduction

Infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) is the type species of the genus *Aquabirnavirus*, family *Birnaviridae*, and appears as a nude, single-shelled icosahedral viral particle with a diameter of approximately 65 nm (Delmas, Mundt, and Vakharia 2012). The IPNV genome comprises two linear double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) molecules termed segment A and B (Dobos 1976). Segment A possesses two open reading frames (ORFs), the larger of which encodes the polyprotein NH₂-pVP2-pVP4-pVP3-COOH that is cleaved during translation to produce the VP2 precursor (pVP2), the VP4 protease, and the minor capsid component VP3. pVP2 is further processed at its C terminal to generate the mature capsid protein VP2 (Dobos and Rowe 1977; Huang et al. 1986; Duncan et al. 1987; Magyar and Dobos 1994) that induces neutralizing antibody production and is related to virulence (Heppell et al. 1995; Santi, Vakharia, and Evansen 2004; Shivappa et al. 2004). Segment A also contains a minor ORF that overlaps the N terminal of the larger ORF and encodes a non-structural protein with anti-apoptotic properties (VP5) (Havarstein et al. 1990; Hong, Gong, and Wu 2002). The RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), also known as VP1, is encoded by segment B and can be found either free or covalently associated to the genomic RNA (Duncan et al. 1991; Dobos 1995).

Aquabirnaviruses are traditionally classified into two serogroups (A and B) comprising 10 different serotypes, namely A1–A9 and B1, also known as West Buxton (WB), Sp, Ab, Hecht (He), Tellina (Te), Canada 1 (Can1), Canada 2 (Can2), Canada 3 (Can3), Jasper and TV-1. The serotype subdivision partially reflects geographical origins. The A1 serotype is largely found in the USA, A2–A5 and B1 are typically found in Europe and Asia, and A6-A9 include isolates from Canada (Hill and Way 1995). Blake et al. (2001) classified IPNV based on a phylogenetic analysis of VP2 sequences, and identified six different genogroups (I–VI). More recently, a seventh genogroup (VII) comprising Japanese aquabirnaviruses was established based on an analysis of the VP2/VP4 junction (Nishizawa, Kinoshita, and Yoshimizu 2005). An additional study of complete VP1 sequences has identified three different IPNV genotypes (Groups 1, 2 and MABV) (Barrera-Mejia et al. 2010).

IPNV triggers serious disease in salmonids reared under intensive conditions, causing sudden increases in mortality in fry and fingerlings (Wolf 1988; Jarp et al. 1995; Johans et al. 2002). Specifically, the 25 μl reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) mix contained 1X reaction mix, 5 mM MgSO₄, 0.4 μM of each primer, 0.5 μl enzyme mix, 5 μl template RNA, 10 μl Rnasin Plus Ribonuclease Inhibitor (Promega) and distilled water to volume. The following thermal profile was applied: 30 min retrotranscription at 55 °C, 2 min pre-denaturation at 94 °C, 40 cycles of 15 sec denaturation at 94 °C, 30 sec annealing at 56 °C, 3 min elongation at 68 °C and a final elongation step at 68 °C for 5 min.

2.2 RNA isolation and RT-PCR

Total RNA was purified from 140 μl of cell culture supernatant using the QiAamp Viral RNA Mini kit (Qiagen) and subsequently quantified spectrophotometrically with Nanodrop One™ (ThermoFisher Scientific). The nucleotide regions corresponding to the viral polymerase (VP1) and the precursor of the outer capsid protein (pVP2) were amplified using the SuperScript III One-Step RT-PCR system with Platinum Taq High Fidelity (ThermoFisher Scientific) and specific primer sets (Table 1). Specifically, the 25 μl reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) mix contained 1X reaction mix, 5 mM MgSO₄, 0.4 μM of each primer, 0.5 μl enzyme mix, 5 μl template RNA, 10 μl RNasin Plus Ribonuclease Inhibitor (Promega) and distilled water to volume. The following thermal profile was applied: 30 min retrotranscription at 55 °C, 2 min pre-denaturation at 94 °C, 40 cycles of 15 sec denaturation at 94 °C, 30 sec annealing at 56 °C, 3 min elongation at 68 °C and a final elongation step at 68 °C for 5 min.

2.3 Genome sequencing

PCR products were purified with Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter) and quantified with Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit (ThermoFisher Scientific). Libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT DNA Sample Preparation kit (illumina), and Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter) was used for fragments selection. Fragment quality and size were checked with High Sensitivity DNA Analysis kit (Agilent). Libraries were pooled in equimolar ratios and finally sequenced with the MiSeq v3 Reagent Kit (600 cycles) using the Illumina MiSeq platform.
Table 1. Primers used for the amplification of the complete VP1 and pVP2 nucleotide sequences of Italian IPNV.

| Primer     | Sequence (5’ – 3’)       | Target (bp) | References                |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| FA5’NC     | GGAAGAGACGTTTCAACG        | pVP2 (1758) | Romero-Brey et al. (2009) |
| P12        | TGCCACCAAGGAAAGATGACTC    |             | Cutrin et al. (2004)      |
| B-5’NC     | GGAACAGTGCTGCTAAGCG       | VP1 (2777)  | Santi, Vakharia, and Evensen (2004) |
| B-Bg3’NC   | GGGTCCTCCTGGCGGAC         |             |                           |

The quality of the reads was assessed using FastQC v0.11.2 (Andrews 2014). Raw data were filtered and processed by removing: (i) reads with more than 10 per cent of undefined bases, (ii) reads with more than 100 bases with Q score <7, (iii) potential duplicate reads, (iv) Illumina adapter sequences using Scythe v0.991 (https://github.com/vsbuffalo/scythe) and (v) low-quality ends with Sickle v1.33 (https://github.com/najoshi/sickle). Reads shorter than 80 bases or unpaired after previous filters were also discarded. High-quality reads were aligned against the reference sequences under the GenBank accession numbers M58757 (VP1) and EF493156 (VP2) using BWA v0.7.12 (Li and Durbin 2010) and standard parameters. Alignments were converted into .bam format with SAMtools v0.1.19 (Li et al. 2009) and sorted by position. Variants were called using LoFreq v2.1.2 (Wilm et al. 2012) by editing potential alignments errors, re-aligning reads in close proximity of insertions and deletions (indels) and re-calibrating base quality with Picard-tools v2.1.0 (http://picard.sourceforge.net) and GATK v3.5 (McKenna et al. 2010; DePristo et al. 2011; Van der Auwera et al. 2013). LoFreq was then run on edited alignments with the option ‘–call-indels’ to produce a .vcf file containing both single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and indels. Indels with a frequency <50 per cent and SNPs with a frequency <.25 per cent were discarded. To obtain consensus sequences using a ‘reference-based’ assembly, the following criteria were adopted: (i) given a position j’, if coverage is <10× an undetermined nucleotide ‘N’ is assigned, (ii) given a position j’, if coverage is ≥10× and no SNPs are observed the nucleotide of the reference sequence is assigned, (iii) given a position j’, if coverage is ≥10× and at least one SNPs is detected the nucleotide corresponding to the observed variants is assigned, according to the IUPAC code (http://www.bioinformatics.org/sms/iupac.html). Finally, the terminal sequences and low coverage regions of the consensus sequences produced were manually checked with Tablet v1.14.10.21 (Milne et al. 2010).

2.4 Phylogenetic analysis

The VP1 and pVP2 consensus sequences obtained here were aligned and compared to reference sequences available in GenBank representative of the known IPNV genogroups using MEGA7 (Kumar, Stecher and Tamura 2016). Phylogenetic trees of these sequences were inferred using the maximum likelihood (ML) method available in the PhyML program version 3.1 (Guindon et al. 2010). These analyses utilized the general time-reversible (GTR) model of nucleotide substitution with a gamma distribution of among-site rate variation (with four rate categories, Γ4) and an SPR branch-swapping search procedure (Darriba et al. 2012). The best-fit model of nucleotide substitution was determined using MEGA7 (Kumar, Stecher, and Tamura 2016). Five hundred bootstrap replicates were performed to assess the robustness of each node using the same substitution model as above. Phylogenetic trees were visualized using the FigTree v1.4 package (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). Detection of possible recombination events was performed with the GARD method available in the Datamonkey/HyPhy package (Delport et al. 2010), employing the GTR nucleotide substitution model and neighbor-joining phylogenetic trees as input. Pairwise nucleotide (nt) and amino acid (aa) distances (p-distance method) among the Italian IPNV were estimated for both VP1 and pVP2 using MEGA7 (Kumar, Stecher, and Tamura 2016).

2.5 Analysis of rates of nucleotide substitution

To assess whether IPNV evolves according to a molecular clock, a necessary prerequisite for accurately estimating evolutionary rate, we performed a regression of root-to-tip genetic distances against sampling date using TempEst v1.5.1 (Rambaut et al. 2016). This analysis utilized both the VP1 and pVP2 Italian sequences, with the ML phylogenetic trees described above as input.

Given the presence of sufficient clock-like structure (see Section 3 (Figs 3 and 4)), mean rates of nucleotide substitution per site, per year (subs/site/year) and times to the most recent common ancestor were estimated for the Italian IPNV using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo method implemented in BEAST version 1.8.4 (Drummond et al. 2012). This analysis employed the GTR model of nucleotide substitution, as determined previously by MEGA7 (Kumar, Stecher, and Tamura 2016), estimated base frequencies, a gamma model for site heterogeneity and no partition into codon positions. To select the best-fit molecular clock model (uncorrelated relaxed clock or strict clock) (Drummond et al. 2006), the estimators of the marginal likelihood—path sampling (PS) and stepping-stone (SS) sampling (Baèl, Lemey, and Vansteelandt 2013)—were calculated. A constant population size coalescent model was used as a tree prior. For each parameter estimate, statistical uncertainty was expressed in values of the 95 per cent highest posterior density (HPD) credible interval. To achieve convergence assessed with Tracer v1.6 (Rambaut 2014), chains were run for 50 million iterations. Finally, TreeAnnotator v1.8.1 (Drummond and Rambaut 2007) was employed to summarize Maximum Clade Credibility (MCC) phylogenetic trees from the posterior distribution of trees, after the removal of an adequate burn-in (10% of the samples). Nodes with high posterior support values (≥0.9) were annotated with age intervals (height 95% HPD). MCC trees were visualized with FigTree v1.4.

2.6 Analysis of selection pressures

Selection pressures in the VP1 and pVP2 gene sequences of the Italian IPNV were estimated using the ratio of non-synonymous (dN) to synonymous (dS) nucleotide substitutions per site (depicted in the ratio dN/dS). Posterior probabilities (PP) values ≥0.9 and P ≤ 0.05 were considered significant. These ratios were estimated using the Single Likelihood Ancestor Counting (SLAC), the Fixed Effects Likelihood (FEL), the Internal Fixed Effects Likelihood (IFEL) and the Fast Unconstrained Bayesian Approximation (FUBAR) methods (Kosakovsky Pond, and Frost 2005; Murrell et al. 2013) available in the Datamonkey/HyPhy package (Delport et al. 2010). These analyses employed the
3. Results

3.1 Sequencing and data accessibility

We determined the consensus sequences of the VP1 and the pVP2 genes of 75 IPNV samples from Italy. Average coverage ranged between 197–6882 × and was therefore sufficient for reliable variant calling and for the production of high-quality consensus sequences. MiSeq raw data were submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the accession numbers SRR6302464–SRR6302538. The VP1 (2,535 bp) and pVP2 (1,536 bp) consensus sequences have also been deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers MG543492–MG543566 (VP1) and MG543567–MG543641 (pVP2).

3.2 Molecular characterization of Italian IPNV

According to the classification proposed by Barrera-Mejia et al. (2010), the ML phylogenetic tree based on the VP1 sequences showed that all the Italian IPNV belonged to genetic group 2 that contains viruses from Europe and Canada (Fig. 1). Similarly, the analysis performed on the pVP2 sequences placed all the Italian IPNV within genogroup V based on the genotype subdivision developed by Blake et al. (2001), which corresponds to the Sp serotype (Fig. 2) that is common in Europe. In both the VP1 and pVP2 phylogenies, the Italian IPNV were distributed into four well-supported genetic clusters, arbitrarily named A–D. All the isolates were consistently placed within groups in both trees, with the exception of virus IPNV/S.trutta/I/UD/406/Jun90 that fell in clusters C and A in the VP1 and pVP2 phylogenies, respectively, reflecting the occurrence of inter-segment reassortment. Group A comprised virus isolates collected during the period 1978–2015, group B was formed by IPNV retrieved between 1988 and 2017, group C comprised two viruses from 1990 and 2011 (VP1) and one from 2011 (pVP2), and group D comprised recent strains collected during the period 2010–16 (Figs 1 and 2). No evidence of intra-segment recombination was found in either data set.

The deduced amino acid sequences of the capsid protein precursor of the Italian IPNV were scrutinized for the presence of amino acid signatures previously found to be associated with putative virulence determinants identified in experimental challenge studies (Bruslind and Reno 2000; Santi, Vakharia, and Evensen 2004; Shivappa et al. 2004; Song et al. 2005) (Table 2). Intriguingly, all the Italian IPNV harbored a proline at position 217 (P217) and a threonine at position 221 (T221) that have been reported previously to be associated with a moderate/low virulent IPNV phenotype (Santi, Vakharia and Evensen 2004; Shivappa et al. 2004; Song et al. 2005).

3.3 Selection pressures in IPNV

The mean \( d_3/d_4 \) ratios estimated for the polymerase and the capsid protein precursor sequences of Italian IPNV were 0.069 and 0.096, respectively. The lower rate of non-synonymous compared to synonymous substitution per site indicates that these sequences are subject to relatively strong purifying selection over the sampling period. Indeed, the only evidence for site-specific positive selection in the Italian VP1 and pVP2 sequences in any of the methods used (SLAC, FEL, IFEL and FUBAR) was at residue 248 of the pVP2 (IFEL, \( P = 0.034 \); FUBAR, \( PP = 0.98 \)).

3.4 Rates and dates of IPNV evolution in Italy

The Italian IPNV strains analyzed here were characterized by low levels of genetic diversity: 0–3.23 per cent for VP1 and 0–2.93 per cent for pVP2. Similarly, at amino acid level, the distances estimated were 0–1.9 per cent for the viral polymerase and 0–2.34 per cent for the capsid protein precursor. The distances calculated between the oldest (IPNV/S.trutta/I/TN/5244/Oct78) and the youngest (IPNV/O.mykiss/I/UD/313/Mar17) samples of the data set were 2.13 per cent (nt) and 0.36 per cent (aa) for VP1 and 2.8 per cent (nt) and 1.37 per cent (aa) for pVP2.

A root-to-tip regression of the genetic distance against sampling date showed the existence of some temporal structure (i.e. clock-like evolution) in both data sets (Figs 3 and 4), with correlation coefficients of 0.63 and 0.41 for VP1 and pVP2, respectively. This allowed a more detailed estimation of the rates of evolution with a Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo approach (Drummond et al. 2012). Accordingly, the estimators of the marginal likelihood (PS and SS) identified the strict and the uncorrelated lognormal relaxed molecular clocks as the best-fit molecular clock models for the polymerase gene and the capsid protein precursor sequence, respectively. Under these models, the mean evolutionary rates of the Italian IPNV were 1.7 × 10⁻⁴ subs/site/year (95% HPD, 1.24–2.2 × 10⁻⁴ subs/site/year) for VP1 and 1.45 × 10⁻⁴ subs/site/year (95% HPD, 0.91–2.03 × 10⁻⁴ subs/site/year) for pVP2. These rates are consistently lower than those observed in other bionviruses (see Section 4). Finally, we used these rates to estimate the times to the common ancestry of the Italian IPNV, which dated to 1878–1936 (95% HPD values; mean 1909) and 1814–1930 (95% HPD values; mean 1875) for the polymerase and the capsid protein genes, respectively, as shown in the MCC trees (Figs 3 and 4).

4. Discussion

We analyzed, for the first time, the evolutionary history and dynamics of IPNV isolated from farmed Italian salmonids between 1978 and 2017. There is currently some discordance in the classification of the infectious pancreatic necrosis virus due to diverse genogroups numbering adopted by different authors. Following the recommendation of Bain, Gregory, and Raynard (2008), we subdivided the data into six genogroups (I–VI) for the pVP2 sequences as proposed by Blake et al. (2001), with the addition of the seventh genogroup (VII) identified by Nishizawa et al. (2005). To enhance our study, we also employed the VP1 classification adopted by Barrera-Mejia et al. (2010). Based on these criteria, we found that all Italian IPNV belonged to a single genogroup in each gene: genogroup V in pVP2, corresponding to the Sp serotype, and genogroup 2 in VP1, and are further divided into four genetic clusters. With the exception of group D, which only contained recent IPNV strains (2010–16), groups A, B and C were formed by viral isolates recovered from wider time periods (1978–2015, 1988–2017 and 1990–2011, respectively) (Figs 1 and 2).

The phylogenetic analysis of both segments enabled us to identify a single reassortment event. Segmented RNA viruses are known to undergo genetic/antigenic shift by exchanging genomic molecules (McDonald et al. 2016), and this has been hypothesized previously also for IPNV by Romero-Brey et al. (2009) and was recently demonstrated by Lago et al. (2017). In the present study, we identified a single reassortant strain (IPNV/S.trutta/I/UD/406/Jun90) that clustered with group C in VP1 and with group A in pVP2. Given the long sampling period (1978–2017) of our study, such reassortment events may be
relatively rare. Clearly, additional investigations are needed to determine the mechanisms that prevent or facilitate the occurrence of reassortment in IPNV, including differential replication and selection of viral genes, preferential linkage between segments A and B, selective packaging, and adaptation to different host species (Lago et al. 2017).

Little is known about evolutionary processes in IPNV in particular, or in the genus Aquabirnavirus in general. Using a data set of 75 samples isolated between 1978 and 2017 from Italian farmed salmonids, we provide the first assessment of evolutionary dynamics in IPNV, the type species of Aquabirnaviridae. Most notably, the viruses sampled here exhibited relatively low levels of genetic diversity (0–3.23% for VP1 and 0–2.93% for pVP2) over a period of almost 40 years, reflecting relatively low rates of nucleotide substitution. Indeed, we observed mean rates of only $1.70 \times 10^{-4}$ subs/site/year for the polymerase gene.

Figure 1. ML phylogenetic tree of the complete VP1 sequence of IPNV (2535 bp). The Italian IPNV strains are shown in bold. Reference strains sequences are shown in grey. The genogroup subdivision (1, 2, MABV) according to Barrera-Mejía et al. (2010) is reported. Monophyletic clusters containing Italian strains and arbitrarily named A, B, C and D are highlighted with grey boxes. The putative reassortant strain, IPNV/S.trutta/UD/406/Jun90, is labeled with two asterisks (**). The numbers at nodes represent bootstrap values (only values ≥ 70% are reported). Branch lengths are scaled according to the number of nucleotide substitutions per site. The tree is midpoint rooted for clarity only.
Figure 2. ML phylogenetic tree of the pVP2 sequence of IPNV (1536 bp). The Italian IPNV strains are shown in bold. Reference strains sequences are shown in grey. The genogroup subdivision (I-VII) according to Blake et al. (2001) and Nishizawa et al. (2005) is reported. Monophyletic clusters containing Italian strains and arbitrarily named A, B, C and D are highlighted with grey boxes. The putative reassortant strain, IPNV/S.trutta/I/UD/406/Jun90, is labeled with two asterisks (**). The numbers at nodes represent bootstrap values (only values ≥ 70% are reported). Branch lengths are scaled according to the number of nucleotide substitutions per site. The tree is mid-point rooted for clarity only.
and $1.45 \times 10^{-4}$ subs/site/year, which is lower than the rate of $3.98 \times 10^{-4}$ subs/site/year previously observed in infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV), another member of the Birnaviridae family infecting poultry: $2.98 \times 10^{-4}$ and $7.09 \times 10^{-4}$ subs/site/year (95% HPD, 2.56–13.7 $\times 10^{-4}$ subs/site/year) for VP1 and $3.29 \times 10^{-4}$ and $8.63 \times 10^{-4}$ subs/site/year (95% HPD, 4.14–14.1 $\times 10^{-4}$ subs/site/year) for VP2 (Alfonso-Morales et al. 2013, 2015; Silva et al. 2013). More notably, it was also reported that the evolutionary rate of very virulent IBDV (vvIBDV) was higher than that of non-vvIBDV: $4.80 \times 10^{-3}$ versus $1.88 \times 10^{-4}$ subs/site/year (Alfonso-Morales et al. 2015). These authors suggested that the low rate in non-vvIBDV reflects its circulation and co-evolution within a restricted host population and, consequently, a different host–virus relationship. Similarly, we speculate that the lower evolutionary rate observed in Italian IPNV might also reflect the seemingly low virulence nature of the viruses sampled here, apparent in both the low mortality recorded in the field and by the presence of amino acid signature associated with low virulent phenotypes (Table 2). The relatively strong purifying selection observed in IPNV—with mean $d_{IN}/d_{SN}$ ratios of only 0.069 for VP1 and 0.096 for pVP2—similarly supports this idea. Notably, an analogous correlation between low mortality and specific amino acid signatures has been noted elsewhere (Eriksson-Kallio et al. 2016; Mutoloki et al. 2016; Bıyıkız et al. 2017; Holopainen, Eriksson-Kallio, and Gadd 2017), although the multi-factorial nature of IPN and of virulence in general means that such relationship is not always clear (Ruane et al. 2009;
In a previous study, we determined the molecular evolution of two salmonid rhabdoviruses in farmed Italian trout over the past 30 years: the IHN virus (IHNV) and the VHS virus (VHSV) (Abbadi et al. 2016). Their mean substitution rates were $11 \times 10^{-4}$ subs/site/year and $7.3 \times 10^{-4}$ subs/site/year, with $d_{S}/d_{S}$ ratios of 0.38 and 0.21, respectively, and hence significantly different from that of IPNV observed here. This is notable as in the context of Italian trout farming IPNV, IHNV and VHSV are subject to common environmental conditions as they affect the same fish population. Indeed, these viruses can frequently co-circulate in the same farm or even in the same individuals. However, our study shows that these three viruses exhibit very different evolutionary dynamics and selection pressures. We hypothesize that the higher substitution rates of IHNV and VHSV might reflect elevated rates of virus replication and, possibly, a higher virulence during disease outbreaks, which in turn might increase positive selection pressure on the virus. Indeed, the mortality due to IHNV and VHSV is very high in the earliest developmental stages of fish (80–100%) and can also heavily affect adult animals. Conversely, IPNV causes moderate mortality (10–30%) in fry only, while survivors act as healthy lifelong carriers (Bootland et al. 1991). Interestingly, the threonine to alanine reversion at position 221 (T221A) of the VP2 protein enhances the replication capacity of IPNV in vitro and results in a higher virulence in vivo (Gadan et al. 2013). It is noteworthy that all the Italian IPNV herein characterized contained the T221 signature (Table 2), which is consistent with the lower replication efficiency of these viruses postulated by Gadan et al. (2013). We therefore propose that lower rates of replication also reduce evolutionary rates, and that lower virulence diminishes
Table 2. VP2 amino acid signatures putatively involved in IPNV virulence.

| Site | Highly virulent | Moderately virulent | Avirulent | Italian IPNV (No.) |
|------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 199  | T<sup>a</sup>   | I<sup>a</sup>       | I<sup>a</sup> | T (1/75), I (74/75) |
| 217  | T<sup>a</sup><sup>b</sup>, A<sup>a</sup><sup>b</sup> | P<sup>a</sup><sup>bc</sup> | P (75/75) |
| 221  | A<sup>a</sup><sup>cd</sup>, T<sup>a</sup> | A<sup>a</sup><sup>d</sup>, T<sup>a</sup><sup>d</sup> | A<sup>a</sup> | T (75/75) |
| 247  | T<sup>b</sup>     | A<sup>b</sup>       | A<sup>b</sup> | A (75/75) |
| 286  | K<sup>b</sup>    | A<sup>b</sup>       | –         | G (28/75), R (47/75) |
| 288  | V<sup>a</sup>    | A<sup>a</sup>       | V<sup>a</sup> | V (75/75) |
| 500  | Y<sup>a</sup>    | H<sup>a</sup>       | H<sup>a</sup> | H (3/75), Y (72/75) |

The specific amino acid residue and the associated phenotype are shown for each site. The number of Italian IPNV strains harboring specific amino acid signatures are reported in the last column.

<sup>a</sup>Shivappa et al. (2004).
<sup>b</sup>Bruslind and Reno (2000).
<sup>c</sup>Santi, Vakharia, and Evensen (2004).
<sup>d</sup>Song et al. (2005).

the extent of host selection on the virus so that selection pressures on the virus are also lower. However, additional work is needed to determine if the low rate of nucleotide substitution in IPNV reflects viral generation time and assess the relative extent of inter- versus intra-host evolution. It is also possible that the different molecular evolutionary dynamics in IPNV, IHNV and VHSV might be shaped by the diverse management approaches adopted for these pathogens. Indeed, as both IHNV and VHSV are the etiological agents of two serious OIE and EU notifiable diseases (World Organization of Animal Health/OIE, 2016; Decision 2006/88/CE) they are actively eradicated, or at least confined to avoid their spread, while no sanitization is generally applied for IPNV. The effects of the absence of control measures against IPNV are worsened by the under-estimation of its prevalence as adult fish act as healthy carriers, which in turn increases the endemicity of IPNV infection.

There is a general consensus that the onset and the development of infectious pancreatic necrosis is the result of a complex interplay between fish species, host immunological status, environmental conditions, disease management and stress factors (Bain, Gregory, and Raynard 2008; Houston et al. 2010; Skjesol et al. 2011; Dadar et al. 2013; Gadan et al. 2013; Tapia et al. 2015; Mutoloki et al. 2016; Büyükekiz et al. 2017). Additionally, the observation of specific amino acid signatures of VP2 related to virulence during in vivo experiments indicates that disease outcome might also depend on intrinsic virological features (Bruslind and Reno 2000; Santi, Vakharia, and Evensen 2004; Shivappa et al. 2004; Song et al. 2005). Obviously, the identification of such signatures is complicated by the fact that IPNV pathogenicity is multifactorial, such that inconsistent data exist in the literature. However, if the VP2 amino acid changes truly are virulence markers, then it is clear that our samples harbored residues associated with moderate/low virulence (Table 2). Indeed, all 75 viruses exhibited the P<sub>217</sub> and T<sub>221</sub> signatures that might explain the low mortality percentages recorded in the field and are consistent with previous observations (Eriksson-Kallio et al. 2016; Holopainen, Eriksson-Kallio, and Gadd 2017; Büyükekiz et al. 2017). However, it must be emphasized that the retrospective association between genomic fingerprints and in vivo virulence phenotype is extremely difficult, mainly because of the lack of systematic record keeping. This underscores that the molecular characterization of IPNV together with the methodical collection of field information are of utmost importance for the study of the mechanisms orchestrating IPNV virulence. Additionally, the limited genetic diversity and low rates of evolutionary change in our samples mean that molecular epidemiological inferences may be poorly informative in the identification of outbreak origins or tracking the spread of the infection among farms. The collection of field information and the application of classic epidemiological analyses will therefore continue to play a key role in understanding the risk factors determining IPNV diffusion and for the implementation of proper control measures.
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