The retrospective chart review of 110 patients with T2 supraglottic cancer who underwent radiotherapy was performed to correlate tumor volume with other prognostic factors and to analyze its impact on treatment results. Patients with involved nodes, poor histopathological tumor differentiation, or hemoglobin concentration ≤ 14.3 g/dl had significantly larger tumors. Patients with large tumors had significantly lower 5-year local control rate, overall survival rate and presented significantly higher risk of nodal involvement and the ratio of poor histopathological differentiation of the tumor. Tumor volume significantly impacts radiotherapy outcome and should be considered to optimize treatment strategy for patients with T2 supraglottic cancer.
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Introduction

Treatment results of patients suffering from T2 supraglottic cancer are relatively good and radiotherapy (RT) is often considered as a primary treatment modality [1–3]. Some of T2 supraglottic tumors present however worse prognosis and the tumor size, among other factors, may be the predictor of such clinical course of disease. For these cases additional therapy than RT alone is possibly needed. The prognostic role of tumor volume (TV) for RT of laryngeal cancer is generally known [4, 5]. Up till now however it has been difficult to draw clinically useful conclusions on its value for selected, early supraglottic tumors because most published results were based on relatively small groups of patients with different T stages and various primary tumor localization reported together. Our previous analysis was limited only to T2 laryngeal tumors (glottic and supraglottic) treated exclusively with RT. Besides a significant influence of TV on treatment outcome in that group of patients, TV was found to be strongly related to some other prognostic factors. Moreover, volumes of supraglottic tumors appeared to be higher than glottic ones [6]. It suggested that relationship between TV and other factors may be even stronger expressed for supraglottic tumors and needs to be analyzed independently.

The aim of this study was to assess the impact of TV on RT outcome and to determine the relationship between TV and other prognostic factors in patients with T2 supraglottic cancer treated exclusively with RT.

Material and methods

Records of 110 patients who underwent RT between 2002 and 2010 for previously untreated T2 supraglottic squamous cell carcinoma at the Maria Skłodowska-Curie Memorial Cancer Center and Institute of Oncology, Gliwice Branch, Poland, were reviewed. Five patients had chemotherapy concomitantly with RT and due to this were excluded from further analysis. The group consists of 75 (71%) men and 30 (29%) women with a mean age of 60 years (range: 39–82 years). In 34 (32%) patients, regional, neck lymph nodes were involved (N+). There were 12 (11%) patients with N1 and 22 (21%) patients with N2 stage of disease. No nodal bulky disease was observed in this group.
There were 23 (22%) and 47 (45%) well (G1) and poorly (G2, G3) histopathologically differentiated tumors, respectively. In 35 (33%) patients, no data on this was available. All of the patients were treated exclusively with 3D-RT using intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) in most of cases. The primary tumor (gross tumor volume – GTV) was treated with a minimum of 1 cm margin (PTV) due to laryngeal motion. Clinical target volume (CTV) of high dose involved majority of the larynx and involved nodes with 1 cm margin (CTV1). Remaining nodal levels were encompassed in CTV2. Three dose fractionation schedules had been used in analyzed group of patients. In 15 (14%) patients 62.5 Gy was given in 25 fractions for CTV1 (62.5 Gy/25 fx) and 45 Gy/18 fx for CTV2 (five fractions per week). In 68 (65%) patients 68.4–72 Gy/38–40 fx was given as a concomitant boost (7 fractions per week) for CTV1 and 54 Gy/30 fx was given for CTV2 (5 fractions per week). In 21 (20%) patients 70–72 Gy/35–36 fx and 50 Gy/25 fx was given for CTV1 and CTV2 respectively (five fractions per week). In one patient planned total dose (TD) was not reached due to cardiac problems. For the analysis TD was recalculated as normalized total dose (NTD) if given in 2.0 Gy fractions using L-Q model (with \( \alpha/\beta \) value of 10.0 Gy).

Patients were immobilized using a commercially available thermoplastic mask that covered the head and neck. The TV was manually contoured on pretreatment, planning, contrast-enhanced CT scans acquired in 1–3 mm slices. Additional information concerning tumor spread or superficial infiltration was obtained on endoscopic laryngeal examination completing volume to be contoured. Volumetric three-dimensional measurements (cm\(^3\)) of contoured structures were calculated by the Varian Treatment Planning System (Eclipse) volume algorithm. Only the primary tumor was involved in the TV. Patients were divided into 4 groups according to TV quartiles: TV1 (< 2.6 cm\(^3\); \( n = 27 \)), TV2 (2.6 – ≤ 5.6 cm\(^3\); \( n = 26 \)), TV3 (5.6 – ≤ 9.7 cm\(^3\); \( n = 26 \)) and TV4 (> 9.7 cm\(^3\)).

The median hemoglobin (Hb) concentration before admission to our Cancer Center was 14.3 g/dl. Data concerning Hb concentration was lacking in 10 (9.5%) patients. Symptoms duration (SD) was calculated as the time from the first sign of disease that had been noticed by the patient to the moment of the admission to our Cancer Center. Symptoms included both subjective as: pain, digestive problems, dysphonia and objective ones like tumor on the neck, cough, hoarseness. Median and mean duration of SD was 5 and 8 months (range: 1–60 months). For 13 (12%) patients, data on this was lacking.

**Statistical methods**

The Mann-Whitney test was used for intergroup comparisons of the continuous variables. The distributions of the discrete variables in various groups of patients were compared with Fisher's exact test or Pearson's \( \chi^2 \) test. The role of TV (4 groups) as the risk factor of regional spread of disease were verified by calculating odds ratios (ORs) together with 95% confidence intervals. Confidence intervals on the OR were estimated using the Woolf method. Probabilities of overall survival (OS) and local control (LC) defined as a primary tumor control were estimated from the end of RT using the Kaplan-Meier product-limit estimate and were compared by the log-rank test.

Potential prognostic factors for OS and LC were evaluated using Cox proportional hazards regression. For each variable the assumption of proportional hazards were tested using test based on Schoenfeld residuals (phtest STATA). For LC (apart from continuous TV) and OS all variables satisfied the proportionality assumption.

**Results**

After median follow-up of 4.9 years 5-year LC and OS was 72% and 52% respectively. Local failure appeared as 13 (12%) persistent tumors and 13 (12%) recurrent tumors. Local recurrences developed in the median time of 16 months (range: 12–67 months). There were 5 regional failures. One of them was isolated, three were found concomitantly with local failure, one was found 43 months after local recurrence. Distant metastases were found in three patients after 11, 28 and 59 months after RT completion and in two of them it was the only reason of failure. At the time of analysis 46 (44%) patients died.

**Treatment outcome according to tumor volume**

**Local control**

Median TV of patients locally cured was 5.5 cm\(^3\) and was lower than TV of patients who locally failed – 7.7 cm\(^3\) (\( p = 0.2 \)). When categorized according to median, there was no significant influence of TV on LC (Fig. 1A). Patients from TV4 group had significantly lower 5-year LC rate (46% vs. 77%, \( p = 0.03 \)) (Fig. 1C) and almost 2.5 times higher risk of local failure than patients with smaller tumors (Table 1).

**Overall survival**

Median TV of patients who died during follow-up was 7.8 cm\(^3\) and was significantly higher than TV of those who remained alive during analysis – 5.0 cm\(^3\) (\( p = 0.003 \)). Tumor volume as a continuous variable significantly influenced OS (\( p = 0.0006 \)). When categorized according to median, patients with TV > 5.6 cm\(^3\) had significantly lower 5-year OS compared to those with smaller tumors (Fig. 1B). Patients from TV4 group had significantly lower 5-year OS rate, comparing to patients with smaller tumors (18% vs. 63%, \( p = 0.0004 \)) (Fig. 1D) and had over 3 times higher risk of death than patients with smaller tumors (Table 1).

**Other relationship of tumor volume**

Patients with N+ had significantly higher TV comparing to patients with N0 (7.6 cm\(^3\) vs. 4.8 cm\(^3\); \( p = 0.01 \)). Significantly more frequent occurrence of N+ in patients with TV3 and TV4 compared to patients with TV1 (OR = 4.22, 95% CI: 1.13–15.73, \( p = 0.028 \)) and OR = 4.93, 95% CI: 1.33–18.31, \( p = 0.014 \) respectively) was found (Table 2).
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Fig. 1. Probability of local control (A, C) and overall survival (B, D) of patients with T2 supraglottic cancer in dependence on value of tumor volume. Data are stratified according to quartiles into two groups: below the median (TV ≤ 5.6 cm³, solid thin line) and above the median (TV > 5.6 cm³, dashed line) (A, B) and below the third quartile (TV ≤ 9.7 cm³, solid thin line) and above the third quartile (TV > 9.7 cm³, dashed line) (C, D)
Patients with G2, G3 tumors presented significantly higher TV comparing to patients with G1 tumors (6.5 cm³ vs. 3.6 cm³, \( p = 0.006 \)). There was significantly more frequent occurrence of G2, G3 tumors in TV4 patients comparing to TV1 patients (OR = 4.93, 95% CI: 1.22–59.92, \( p = 0.014 \)) (Table 3).

Patients with SD longer than 5 months presented almost two times lower TV than patients with shorter SD (3.9–7.4 cm³, \( p = 0.03 \)). Patients with Hb \( \leq 14.3 \) g/dl had significantly larger TV than those with higher Hb (7.8 cm³ vs. 4.2 cm³, \( p = 0.002 \)). There was trend of decreasing median Hb with increasing TV. Patients from TV4 group had significantly lower median Hb than TV1 patients (Table 4).

Results of univariate analysis shown that when categorized, NTD above 67.3 Gy (median) was correlated with significantly lower LC rate (HR = 2.5, \( p = 0.02 \)). As a continuous variable Hb was significantly related to OS (\( p = 0.002 \)). While categorized, Hb above median did not influence LC rate, but was correlated with significantly higher 5-year OS rate (Fig. 2). In multivariate analysis only NTD remained significantly related to LC (Table 5). Hemoglobin together with TV remained significantly related to OS. For 1 g/dl increase of Hb concentration, 24% decreased in the risk of death was supposed. For 1 cm³ increase of TV, 8% increase in the risk of death was supposed (Table 6).

| Table 1. Results of local control and overall survival Cox analysis |
|---------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| TV (cm³) | HR   | 95% CI | \( p \) value |
| ≤ 9.7   | 1    | –     | –               |
| > 9.7   | 2.43 | 1.07–5.49 | 0.03             |

TV – tumor volume, categorized acc. to upper quartile = 9.7 cm³

| Table 2. Relative risk of nodal spread according to TV |
|---------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| TV (cm³) | N0 | % | N+ | % | OR (N+ vs. N0) | 95% CI | \( p \) value |
| ≤ 2.5   | 23 | 85.2 | 4 | 14.8 | 1 | – | – |
| > 2.5 – ≤ 5.6 | 19 | 73.1 | 7 | 26.9 | 2.12 | 0.54–8.34 | 0.281 |
| > 5.6 – ≤ 9.7 | 15 | 57.7 | 11 | 42.3 | 4.22 | 1.13–15.73 | 0.028 |
| > 9.70 | 14 | 53.8 | 12 | 46.2 | 4.93 | 1.33–18.31 | 0.014 |

TV – tumor volume; N1 – regional nodes involved; N0 – regional nodes not involved

| Table 3. Relative risk of histopathological differentiation according to tumor volume (TV), G1-well, G2 + G3-poorly histopathologically differentiated tumors |
|---------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| TV (cm³) | G1 | % | G2 + G3 | % | OR (G2 + G3 vs. G1) | 95% CI | \( p \) value |
| ≤ 2.5   | 11 | 47.8 | 9 | 19.1% | 1 | – | – |
| > 2.5 – ≤ 5.6 | 5 | 21.7 | 12 | 25.5% | 2.93 | 0.70–12.29 | 0.123 |
| > 5.6 – ≤ 9.7 | 5 | 21.7 | 12 | 25.5% | 2.93 | 0.70–12.29 | 0.123 |
| > 9.7   | 2  | 8.7  | 14 | 29.8% | 4.93 | 1.22–59.92 | 0.014 |

Test of homogeneity (equal odds): \( \chi^2(3) = 7.52; \ p = 0.0569 \); Score test for trend of odds: \( \chi^2(1) = 6.67; \ p = 0.0098 \)

| Table 4. Changing of hemoglobin concentration according to TV |
|---------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| TV (cm³) | n | Median Hb | \( p \) value |
| ≤ 2.5   | 22 | 14.9 | – |
| > 2.5 – ≤ 5.6 | 25 | 14.5 | 0.78 |
| > 5.6 – ≤ 9.7 | 25 | 14.2 | 0.56 |
| > 9.7   | 23 | 13.6 | 0.01 |

TV – tumor volume; Hb – hemoglobin concentration before RT

| Table 5. Results of multivariate analysis for local control |
|---------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variable | HR | 95% CI | \( p \) value |
| SD (≤ 5 vs. > 5 months) | 2.25 | 0.99–5.10 | 0.05 |
| NTD (≤ 67.3 Gy vs. > 67.3 Gy) | 2.54 | 1.12–5.75 | 0.02 |
| TV (≤ 9.7 vs. > 9.7 cm³) | 2.13 | 0.92–4.96 | 0.07 |

TV – tumor volume; SD – symptoms duration prior RT; NTD – normalized total dose

| Table 6. Results of multivariate analysis for overall survival |
|---------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variable | HR | 95% CI | \( p \) value |
| Hb (g/dl) | 0.76 | 0.60–0.95 | 0.02 |
| TV (cm³) | 1.08 | 1.02–1.13 | 0.002 |

TV – tumor volume; Hb – hemoglobin
Discussion

Several studies have been published in which prognostic role of TV in patients with laryngeal cancer was described [7–11]. Majority of these papers reported small and heterogeneous groups of patients involving both, glottic and supraglottic tumors of various T-stage. Along with that, various cut-off values of TV were proposed to be prognostic but practical conclusions could hardly be drawn, especially for selected, single T-staged tumors. Comprehensive review of published data on this issue can be found elsewhere [4]. In this study the role of TV for patients with T2 supraglottic cancers treated exclusively with RT was analyzed.

So far no significant influence of TV on OS rate in patients with supraglottic cancer has been reported. We found such relation which seems to be even stronger than for LC rate.

The substantial range of TV in a single T-stage of supraglottic tumors is a result of anatomy based, for surgeon rather than for radiation oncologist dedicated, criteria of TNM classification system. The importance of TV for results of RT may be explained by the fact that larger tumors require larger doses for cure. The interpretation of this is that the number of tumor clonogens requiring sterilization increases with TV [12–14]. Both, the large infiltration of supraglottis involving base of the tongue and the small one, but involving at least two subsities of larynx without its fixation are T2 tumors. With no doubt the higher TD is needed to eradicate tumor in previous situation. The analysis of the relationship between TD and initial TV has shown that within the T2 glottic cancer larger tumors with TV of about 5 cm³ (2–2.5 cm in diameter with 10⁶ cancer cells) need an extra 6.5 Gy to achieve similar, 3-year LC as small tumors with 0.5 cm³ (~1 cm in diameter with 10⁹ cancer cells) [15]. We did not find the relation between TD and TV in this study, although TD was negatively correlated with treatment outcome. It may be explained by the assumption that higher radiation doses had been prescribed for larger tumors but it did not compensate greater number of clonogenic tumor cells. It may suggest that despite early disease according to TNM classification system, for larger tumors additional treatment than RT alone should be considered.

Relatively high TV of some supraglottic tumors may be, beside anatomical localization, the reason of higher risk of regional spread of disease. Some data respecting head and neck tumors indicated the relation between TV and the risk of nodal spread [16], other did not confirm this [17]. It has been concluded that TV is not universally prognostic factor of the risk of nodal spread, but depends on tumor localization [18, 19]. We found that for supralaryngeal tumors the risk of regional spread increases significantly with TV. To our knowledge, it is the first time that relationship between TV and the risk of nodal spread for patients with laryngeal cancer was assessed. Due to this, for larger TV even with clinically N0 disease, additional diagnostic procedure prior to RT or close follow up after treatment should be considered.

Benzen et al. [12] analyzing data on the volume-cure relationship for various tumors including HNC, concluded that the effect of TV on tumor cure probability is less that would be predicted from a simple proportionate increase.

**Fig. 2.** Probability of local control (A) and overall survival (B) of patients with T2 supraglottic cancer in dependence on hemoglobin concentration. Data are stratified into two groups: below the median (Hb ≤ 14.3 g/dl, solid thin line) and above the median (Hb > 14.3 g/dl, dashed line).
in tumor clonogen number with TV. Such interpretation of the TV effect should be probably supplemented with additional volume-related factors as clonogenic fraction, hypoxia, clonal radioresistance and intracellular communication. In a view of this assumption, the relationship between TV and other factors of potential prognostic value were analyzed in the study.

Although there is an evidence that tumor hypoxia adversely affects locoregional tumor control and survival in patients with head and neck cancer (HNC), it is still not well established how hypoxic fraction of the tumor could be measured. Results of some studies suggest that TV may, in some way, predict effectiveness of RT both, reflecting status of tumor oxygenation and correlating with Hb concentration.

Hypoxic cells are suspected to be radioresistant. Experimental data have demonstrated that hypoxic cells can be found around or in the necrotic part of the tumor [20]. Kuhnt et al. [21] found that TV was significantly correlated to CT-based amount of necrosis (necrotic volume) whereas T-stage was not. It appeared that tumors with smaller amount of necrosis (< 4 cm³) had a good prognosis regardless of type of the treatment, whereas tumors with larger amount of necrosis (≥ 4 cm³) had a significantly better outcome if treated with CHRT compared to RT alone (2-year event-free survival 70% vs. 0% respectively, \( p < 0.0008 \)). According to authors, the superiority of CHRT may be explained by radiosensitization of radioresistant cells. To quantify approximately the amount of hypoxic tissue which should be correlated to the total number of hypoxic cells in the tumor, hypoxic subvolume (hTV) as the percentage of pO₂-value below 5 mm Hg multiplied by the tissue which should be correlated to the total number of cells. To quantify approximately the amount of hypoxic tissue which should be correlated to the total number of cells. To quantify approximately the amount of hypoxic tissue which should be correlated to the total number of cells.

It also has been found that total amount of hypoxic tissue determined by hTV influenced the prognosis of HNC patients. Additionally, Dunst et al. [23] defined nonhypoxic TV as a difference between the TV and hTV. It appeared that patients who were alive at the time of analysis had significantly lower mean TV: 34 cm³ vs. 54 cm³ (\( p = 0.01 \)) and mean hTV: 11 cm³ vs. 22 cm³ (\( p = 0.009 \)) than those who died. In a multivariate analysis the hTV was strong and independent prognostic factor for survival (\( p = 0.001 \)) and more important than TV (\( p = 0.02 \)) whereas the nonhypoxic TV had no impact on prognosis (\( p = 0.3 \)). We did not analyze the amount of necrosis but probably it would be uncommon due to relatively low TV in our set of patients. Considering however the correlation between quantity of necrosis and TV, significant amount of hypoxic tumor cells effecting with increased radioresistance should be supposed in larger tumors.

Hemoglobin concentration appeared to be an independent prognostic factor for patients with laryngeal cancer treated with RT [6, 24]. Hereby we also found that patients with lower Hb concentration presented significantly poorer OS rate. Beside this, the negative relationship between Hb and TV was found what is in concordance with our previous observation for both, glottic and supraglottic tumors [6]. Negative correlation between Hb concentration and TV was also found by Stadler [22]. Among other assumptions, it has been proposed that Hb is a surrogate marker for tumor hypoxia but still few data exist to test this hypothesis. Nordsmark et al. [25] found both, Hb and tumor hypoxia as significant but independent prognostic factors for locoregional tumor control for HNC patients after RT, and Hb concentration was not a surrogate marker of tumor hypoxia. From another point of view, as it was mentioned above, TV remains in the relation to the amount of hypoxic tissue.

Another predictive aspect of TV was described by Kats et al. [26]. He reported that among patients who underwent total laryngectomy mean TV of those with and without thyroid cartilage penetration was 60.1 cm³ and 28 cm³ respectively (\( p = 0.004 \)). When patients were divided into 3 groups according to TV (< 25 cm³, 25–50 cm³, > 50 cm³) the rates of thyroid cartilage penetration were 23%, 17%, 78%, respectively (\( p = 0.003 \)). Authors recommended incorporation of TV assessment into diagnostic formula for predicting thyroid cartilage penetration and selecting patients who have lower chance of benefiting from laryngeal preservation.

Some data on prognostic role of histopathological tumor differentiation for patients with laryngeal cancer could be found in literature and few if any on the relation between symptoms duration before treatment on its results. We found that histopathologically poorly differentiated tumors presented significantly higher TV and the probability of occurrence of such tumor increase significantly with the TV. Chung et al. [27] also found that the more poorly differentiated the primary laryngeal tumor, the more advanced was disease and higher the incidence of cervical node metastases. Lohynska et al. [28] stated that 2 or more negative prognostic factors including high grade of tumor was associated with significantly higher relapse rate for early laryngeal cancer. Patients with shorter symptoms duration had larger TVs. Patients with SD ≤ 5 months had reduced LC ratio and over 2 times higher risk of local failure. This observation is in concordance with our pervious report [6] but had not been described before. Beside the primary tumor localization in the supraglottic area, also rapid tumor proliferation and fast progression of TV may be the reason of early symptoms. The assumption that poorly differentiated tumors rapidly proliferate turning into larger tumors could not be excluded.

The are some limitations of this research that may restrict the conclusions that could be drawn from the study. Retrospective character and some, mainly tumor-related data, is lacking. Despite of this our results showed that TV is strongly related to RT outcome end may reflect various prognosis for supraglottic tumors in a single T2 stage not only for local control effect but also for overall survival rate. Furthermore, TV should be considered as a predictor due to significant relation to other, tumor and host related factors. TV should be taken into consideration during treatment decision making process. It seems to be of spe-
cial importance for larger tumors even when categorized as early disease according to TNM classification system.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.
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