O-Linked Sialoglycans Modulate the Proteolysis of SARS-CoV-2 Spike and Likely Contribute to the Mutational Trajectory in Variants of Concern
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ABSTRACT: The emergence of a polybasic cleavage motif for the protease furin in SARS-CoV-2 spike has been established as a major factor for human viral transmission. The region N-terminal to that motif is extensively mutated in variants of concern (VOCs). Besides furin, spikes from these variants appear to rely on other proteases for maturation, including TMPRSS2. Glycans near the cleavage site have raised questions about proteolytic processing and the consequences of variant-borne mutations. Here, we identify that sialic acid-containing O-linked glycans on Thr678 of SARS-CoV-2 spike influence furin and TMPRSS2 cleavage and posit O-linked glycosylation as a likely driving force for the emergence of VOC mutations. We provide direct evidence that the glycosyltransferase GalNAc-T1 primes glycosylation at Thr678 in the living cell, an event that is suppressed by mutations in the VOCs Alpha, Delta, and Omicron. We found that the sole incorporation of N-acetylgalactosamine did not impact furin activity in synthetic O-glycopeptides, but the presence of sialic acid reduced the furin rate by up to 65%. Similarly, O-glycosylation with a sialylated trisaccharide had a negative impact on TMPRSS2 cleavage. With a chemistry-centered approach, we substantiate O-glycosylation as a major determinant of spike maturation and propose disruption of O-glycosylation as a substantial driving force for VOC evolution.

INTRODUCTION

The viral surface spike protein has been the subject of intense scientific efforts to understand and curb SARS-CoV-2 transmission.1−15 Spike is a trimeric, multidomain glycoprotein (Figure 1A), with a glycan coat that plays crucial structural, immunological, and functional roles.16−29 An evolutionarily novel arginine-rich peptide sequence in SARS-CoV-2 spike has been identified as the cleavage site for the Golgi-localized convertase furin.15 This furin cleavage site (FCS) is crucial for SARS-CoV-2 transmission, as cleavage enhances receptor binding and likely the fusion activity of spike.3,30−33 On a molecular level, furin hydrolyses the peptide bond between Arg685 and Ser686, converting full-length spike (termed FL-S) into the fragments S1 and S2 in the mature protein.3,34−41

Another host protease, TMPRSS2, has been proposed to act synergistically with furin, potentially also targeting the FCS with preference to cleave before arginine.42,43 Circulating variants of concern (VOCs) display increased proteolytic processing of spike into S1/S2.35,39,44−46 This increase has been associated with a remarkable polymorphism in the peptide stretch preceding the FCS between residues Gln675 and Pro681. Most VOCs and many minor circulating variants carry at least one mutation in that peptide region: Alpha (B.1.1.7) and Delta (B.1.617.2) display mutations at Pro681 to His and Arg, respectively, whereas all Omicron sublineages including BA.1, BA.2, and BA.5 combine P681H with the mutation N679K. Mutations in this region appear to have arisen more than once independently: Delta (P681R), Alpha (P681H), and Omicron lineages (P681H) are suggested to be on different arms of the evolutionary tree with common ancestors that do not contain mutations around the FCS, indicating that some selection pressure on this sequence must
have been present during their evolution. Lower-prominence variants have featured substitutions at Gln675 and Gln677, usually to amino acids with basic functionalities (Figure 1A). In line with the cleavage enhancing effect of these mutations, preparations of VOC spike from eukaryotic expression systems contain less FL-S than WT (Wuhan/WH04/2020) spike preparations. While it is tempting to suggest that an increase in basic amino acids enhances furin activity simply due to extension of the polybasic cleavage site, this amino-acid-centric notion neglects the impact of post-translational modifications. Accordingly, Whittaker and colleagues observed that the P681H mutation alone does not increase furin cleavage of synthetic peptides. Due to the importance of spike in the viral infectious cycle, the key determinants of processing offer essential insights into the cell biology of viral maturation.

Like most surface proteins on animal viruses, SARS-CoV-2 spike is extensively coated with glycans that impact infectivity and immunogenicity of the mature virus. Among these, Asn (N)-linked glycosylation is straightforward to predict due to the existence of a peptide consensus sequence (N-X-S/T; where X = any amino acid except Pro). In contrast, the prediction of Ser/Thr-linked N-acetylgalactosamine (O-GalNAc) glycosylation, which also greatly impacts viral biology, is an analytical challenge due to its greater biosynthetic complexity and the lack of a peptide consensus sequence. Notably, the peptide region between Gln675 and Pro681 of SARS-CoV-2 spike harbors multiple Ser/Thr residues that may carry O-GalNAc glycans. Despite the analytical difficulties in understanding O-glycan biology, emerging data suggests that O-GalNAc glycosylation impacts furin-mediated spike cleavage. Due to the relevance of furin cleavage for viral infectivity, understanding the role of glycosylation in this process is essential.

The biosynthesis of O-GalNAc glycans is initiated by the introduction of the sugar N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc) from the activated substrate uridine diphosphate (UDP)-GalNAc on to Ser/Thr side chains by a family of 20 GalNAc transferase (GalNAc-T1...T20) isoenzymes. GalNAc-Ts are often associated with isoenzyme-specific, decisive roles in physiological processes that are beginning to be unraveled. Understanding the substrate profiles of individual GalNAc-T isoenzymes yields insight into the regulation of such processes and can be the basis for the development of tools, diagnostics, and therapeutics. However, assigning glycosylation sites to individual GalNAc-Ts is challenging due to their complex and often overlapping interplay in the secretory pathway. Additionally, the initial GalNAc residue is often further elaborated, generating mature glycans containing galactose (Gal), N-acetylgalactosamine (GlcNac), and the acidic monosaccharide

Figure 1. Dissecting O-glycosylation on SARS-CoV-2 spike. (A) Left: SARS-CoV-2 spike model (PDB ID: 6ZGE) and (middle) its corresponding cartoon representation with the furin cleavage site (FCS) proximal region highlighted in yellow. The blue and bold T corresponds to Thr678, which is a potential glycosylation site within the FCS proximal region. Underlined R and S residues correspond to the FCS. The S1 domain (including Thr678) lies N-terminal to the FCS, and the S2 domain is C-terminal to the FCS. Right: Peptide alignment for SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (VOCs) and related coronaviruses showing the emergence of the polybasic motif in the FCS proximal region. Highlighted in yellow is the polybasic motif of SARS-CoV-2 spike. Bold and red are amino acid changes in positions 679 and 681 in VOCs. (B) Bump-and-hole engineering allows for GalNAc-T isoenzyme-specific tagging of glycosylation substrates using the clickable substrate UDP-GalN6yne. FCS = furin cleavage site; VOCs = variants of concern.
N-acetylenuraminic acid (Neu5Ac) as a capping structure, further complicating the analytical profiling of O-GalNAc glycoproteins by mass spectrometry (MS) glycoproteomics. Indirect methods are thus employed to establish links between GalNAc-T isoforms and the glycosylation sites they modify to yield insights into O-glycan biology.3,7,8 By co-expression of the individual human GalNAc-Ts with spike in insect cells and lectin staining, Ten Hagen and colleagues found that GalNAc-T1 introduces GalNAc into recombinant spike, resulting in reduced proteolytic processing of S to S1/S2 and decreasing syncytia formation in a cellular infection model.74 Mutations at P681, including the mutation P681H, led to increased S processing related to WT spike.75 These findings are in line with earlier reports that furin cleavage of other secreted proteins can be impacted by O-glycosylation.89−93 However, the biosynthetic complexity and technical challenges associated with O-glycoproteome analysis have thus far hindered closer investigation into the molecular details behind these observations. Specifically, we currently lack knowledge on the precise glycan attachment site(s) and the impact of glycan structure on proteolysis. We also do not know yet which VOC mutations impact either O-GalNAc glycosylation, direct proteolysis, or both.

Chemical tools have provided an insight into glycobiology that is orthogonal to classical methods of molecular biology. For example, by using a tactic termed “bump-and-hole engineering”, we have developed a chemical reporter strategy for the activities of individual GalNAc-T isoforms in the living cell (Figure 1B).94,95 Through structure-based design, the active site of a GalNAc-T isoform was expanded by mutagenesis to contain a “hole”, which is complementary to a “bump” in a chemically modified analogue of the substrate UDP-GalNAc.95 The bumped substrate “UDP-GalN6yne” contained an alkyne moiety that enabled the bioorthogonal ligation of fluorophores or biotin after transfer to a glycoprotein, allowing the profiling of the substrates of individual GalNAc-Ts.95 Recently, we introduced a clickable, positively charged imidazolium tag (termed ITag) that enhances MS-based analysis by increasing the charge state and improving the fragmentation-based sequencing of glycopeptides.96 Importantly, UDP-GalN6yne can be biosynthesized in the living cell through the introduction of an artificial metabolic pathway and feeding with a membrane-permeable peracetylated GalN6yne precursor (AcGalN6yne), allowing for the installation of a fully functional GalNAc-T bump-and-hole system.95,96 Building on the power of our chemical tools to dissect the role of O-GalNAc glycosylation, we sought to map the molecular details of glycan-mediated modulation of spike processing.

Here, with aid from this repertoire of chemical biology tools, we spotlight O-linked glycosylation as a major determinant of SARS-CoV-2 spike cleavage by the host proteases furin and TMRSS2. We provide direct evidence by MS-glycoproteomics that identifies GalNAc-T1 as the glycosyltransferase initiating Thr678 glycosylation in the living cell. We demonstrate that the presence of elaborated glycans on Thr678 reduces proteolytic processing of spike. By emphasizing O-glycosylation as a major determinant of SARS-CoV-2 spike maturation, we propose disruption of O-GalNAc glycosylation as a considerable evolutionary driver for the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 VOCs.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Establishing a protein as a GalNAc-T substrate classically features expression in cells either lacking or overexpressing the respective GalNAc-T, followed by detection by GalNAc-recognizing lectins.74,75,86−88 While generally powerful, identifying the modified glycosylation sites is often challenging by these methods due to the interplay and ensuing compensatory effects between GalNAc-T isoforms. Bump-and-hole engineering enables a direct relation to the engineered GalNAc-T isoenzyme by introduction of a GalNAc analogue which can be bioorthogonally tagged and detected by various analytical techniques (Figure 2). We incubated recombinant SARS-CoV-2 spike WT, P681R, or P681H preparations produced in human Expi293F cells with recombinant WT or bump-and-hole-engineered GalNAc-T1 (“BH” = I238A/L295A double mutant) or T2 (BH = I253A/L310A double mutant) and the bumped nucleotide sugar UDP-GalN6yne.94 We then tagged the glycosylated proteins with biotin picoyl azide by Cu(I)-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) and

**Figure 2.** GalNAc-T isoenzyme-specific *in vitro* glycosylation of recombinant SARS-CoV-2 spike preparations. (A) Overview of *in vitro* enzymatic experiments comparing WT and BH-GalNAc-T1/T2 glycosylation with ensuing click-biotinylation of WT and P681 mutant (P681H and P681R) spike preparations. (B) Streptavidin blot of the glycosylated and biotin containing recombinant SARS-CoV-2 spike WT and P681 mutants. Visualized via IRDye 800CW-streptavidin-fluorescence. Data are representative of three independent experiments.

SARS-CoV-2 spike WT, P681R, or P681H preparations produced in human Expi293F cells with recombinant WT or bump-and-hole-engineered GalNAc-T1 (“BH” = I238A/L295A double mutant) or T2 (BH = I253A/L310A double mutant) and the bumped nucleotide sugar UDP-GalN6yne.94 We then tagged the glycosylated proteins with biotin picoyl azide by Cu(I)-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) and
visualized glycosylation via streptavidin blot (Figure 2A). An intense, single band corresponding to the S1 fragment was observed when WT (Wuhan/WH04/2020) spike was incubated with BH-GalNAc-T1 (Figure 2B). Negligible signal was observed on preparations incubated with either BH-T2 or the corresponding WT-GalNAc-Ts. A single substitution in spike of Pro681 to either His or Arg led to near-complete abrogation of glycosylation by BH-T1. These data suggest that recombinant WT-S1 contains a dedicated GalNAc-T1 glycosylation site which is absent in recombinant unprocessed full-length protein (WT-FL-S) and obstructed upon variant-specific mutation of Pro681.

We then used a panel of synthetic peptides to study the effect of spike mutations on GalNAc-T1-mediated glycosylation. The peptide panel included variant-related mutations at the major hotspots: Gln675, Gln677, Asn679, and Pro681 (Figure 3A). GalNAc-T1 generated both mono- and diglycopeptides from a WT (Wuhan) substrate peptide (Figure 3B). Consistent with the work by Ten Hagen and colleagues, notable reductions in glycosylation were observed for P681H and P681R peptides with approximately 80 and 90% of non-glycosylated peptide remaining in the reaction mixture, respectively. These results validated the importance of a Pro in position +3 for GalNAc-T1 glycosylation.

Single mutations at positions 675, 677, and 679, including N679K found in Omicron, reduced the amount of diglycosylation but largely retained monoglycosylation with almost complete consumption of the starting material. In contrast, the combination of two mutations at positions 675 and 677 showed a critical reduction of glycosylation. This was evidenced by reactions with the double mutant peptides Q675H and Q677H. We then used a panel of synthetic peptides to study the effect of spike mutations on GalNAc-T1-mediated glycosylation. The peptide panel included variant-related mutations at the major hotspots: Gln675, Gln677, Asn679, and Pro681 (Figure 3A). GalNAc-T1 generated both mono- and diglycopeptides from a WT (Wuhan) substrate peptide (Figure 3B). Consistent with the work by Ten Hagen and colleagues, notable reductions in glycosylation were observed for P681H and P681R peptides with approximately 80 and 90% of non-glycosylated peptide remaining in the reaction mixture, respectively. These results validated the importance of a Pro in position +3 for GalNAc-T1 glycosylation. Single mutations at positions 675, 677, and 679, including N679K found in Omicron, reduced the amount of diglycosylation but largely retained monoglycosylation with almost complete consumption of the starting material. In contrast, the combination of two mutations at positions 675 and 677 showed a critical reduction of glycosylation. This was evidenced by reactions with the double mutant peptides Q675H and Q677H.
+ Q677H and Q675H + Q677R resulting in 9.7 and 5.7% conversion, respectively. These data confirmed the dependence of GalNAc-T1 on Pro681 but indicate that only certain VOC mutations impact O-GalNAc glycosylation. Mass spectrometry with electron-transfer dissociation (ETD) fragmentation revealed that monoglycopeptides are exclusively GalNAc-modified on Thr678, while diglycopeptides are modified at Thr676 and Thr678, indicating a hierarchy of sites where Thr678 is glycosylated first (Figure 3C and Supporting Figure 1). When BH-engineered GalNAc-T1 and UDP-GalN6yne were used in an in vitro glycosylation assay with WT spike-derived peptides, we observed a similar trend of glycosylating Thr678 and Thr676 sequentially, confirming that BH-T1 recapitulates the substrate specificity of WT-T1 (Figure 3C and Supporting Figure 1).

Having established a link between VOC mutations and glycosylation, we sought to rule out an immunological implication of the corresponding (glyco-)peptides that might impact any mechanistic deductions. Peptides WT, P681H, and WT-GalNAc (Figure 3A; “WT-GalNAc” corresponds to the glycosylation product of the WT peptide carrying an O-GalNAc on Thr678), were evaluated in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) from n = 48 SARS-CoV-2 vaccinated individuals for T cell interferon-gamma (IFN-g) secretion using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot (ELISpot) assay. As shown in Supporting Figure 2, the median [IQR] response to the spike protein was 33.5 [16.7–69] spot forming cells (SFC) per million PBMC and to the combined pool of M and N proteins was 10 [0–29] SFC/million PBMC. The median [IQR] response to the peptide WT-GalNAc was 0 [0–3.7] in n = 44 individuals, compared to the peptide P681H 0 [0–3.5] in n = 21 and WT 10 [0–27] in n = 3 individuals. These results indicate that neither (glyco-)peptide is a T cell target in vaccinated individuals.

GalNAc-T Selective MS-Glycoproteomics Analysis Allows O-Glycosite and Glycan Composition Investigation in Vitro and in Engineered Cells. The complex dynamics of GalNAc-T isoenzymes in the secretory pathway requires new approaches to assigning their activities to specific glycosylation sites in living cells. Furthermore, the FCS-adjacent region lacks cleavage sites of the proteases most commonly used in MS sample preparation, resulting in large glycopeptides that hamper MS analyses. The use of specialized chemical tools can address these shortcomings and report on GalNAc-T activity in the secretory pathway while offering a bioorthogonal handle to

Figure 4. Uncovering the relationship between GalNAc-T1 and Thr678 by chemical tools. (A) GalNAc salvage pathway and UDP-GalN6yne biosynthesis. Expression of the kinase NahK and the pyrophosphorylase AGX1\(^{1383A}\) permits biosynthesis of UDP-GalN6yne in engineered cells. (B) Graphical representation of the MS-glycoproteomics methodology for engineered cells: SARS-CoV-2 spike was recombinantly expressed in Expi293F cells coexpressing NahK, AGX1\(^{1383A}\), and either BH-GalNAc-T1 or T2. Following purification and de-N-glycosylation, ITag-azide was introduced by CuAAC, and the protein preparation subjected to in-gel digestion and MS by HCD-triggered ETD. (C) Annotated tandem MS (ETD) spectra of the major hits tagged by BH-GalNAc-T1 (left) and BH-GalNAc-T2 (right). c ions are indicated in green, z ions in orange, and y ions in pink. Data are representative from one experiment using 10 \(\mu\)g recombinant spike which was confirmed in a second experiment with a 2 \(\mu\)g preparation. Yellow square = GalNAc. Brown alkyne = GalN6yne. Ac\(_4\)GalN6yne precursor = membrane-permeable peracetylated GalN6yne. BH-T1 cells = Expi293F cells cotransfected with WT SARS-CoV-2 spike and BH-GalNAc-T1. BH-T2 cells = Expi293F cells cotransfected with WT SARS-CoV-2 spike and BH-GalNAc-T2.
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aid MS analysis. We stably transfected Expi293F cells with constructs for both SARS-CoV-2 spike (Wuhan) and either WT- or BH-versions of GalNAc-T1 or T2, along with the biosynthetic machinery to generate UDP-GalN6yne in the cell from a membrane-permeable precursor (Ac\textsubscript{4}GalN6yne) that was introduced via cell feeding (Figure 4A).

Spike samples were isolated and derivatized by CuAAC with an azide-functionalized imidazolium group containing a permanent positive charge (ITag-azide). This treatment introduced GalN6yne in an isoenzyme-specific fashion while endowing glycopeptides with an additional positive charge that facilitates MS analysis.\textsuperscript{98,103–105} The separated FL-S and S1/S2 fractions were subjected to in-gel digestion and analyzed by tandem MS. While collisional fragmentation (i.e., higher-energy collision dissociation, HCD) allows for the determination of monosaccharide compositions and naked peptide backbone sequences, this technique does not allow for the localization of O-glycans to their glycosites. The energy associated with collisional dissociation methods breaks the most labile bonds, which in the case of glycopeptides, are the glycosidic linkages between monosaccharides and the connection of the glycan to the peptide itself. To resolve site information in O-glycopeptides, electron-based dissociation methods must be employed; commonly, this involves electron-transfer dissociation (ETD).\textsuperscript{72,106} Thus, we used high intensity collision-induced dissociation (HCD) to obtain naked peptide sequences and glycan compositions and then used the ITag-containing GalN6yne diagnostic ion to trigge ETD fragmentation of the peptide backbone (Figure 4B).\textsuperscript{73,98,107,108} Through both computational (Byonic, ProteinMetrics) and manual validation, we found that Thr678 carried ITag-modified GalN6yne in both FL-S and S1 samples exclusively in cells expressing BH-T1, but not BH-T2 or any WT-GalNAc-Ts (Figure 4C and Supplementary Data 1). The additional positive charge of the ligated O-glycans to their glycosites. The energy associated with collisional dissociation methods breaks the most labile bonds, which in the case of glycopeptides, are the glycosidic linkages between monosaccharides and the connection of the glycan to the peptide itself. To resolve site information in O-glycopeptides, electron-based dissociation methods must be employed; commonly, this involves electron-transfer dissociation (ETD).\textsuperscript{72,106} Thus, we used high intensity collision-induced dissociation (HCD) to obtain naked peptide sequences and glycan compositions and then used the ITag-containing GalN6yne diagnostic ion to trigge ETD fragmentation of the peptide backbone (Figure 4B).\textsuperscript{73,98,107,108} Through both computational (Byonic, ProteinMetrics) and manual validation, we found that Thr678 carried ITag-modified GalN6yne in both FL-S and S1 samples exclusively in cells expressing BH-T1, but not BH-T2 or any WT-GalNAc-Ts (Figure 4C and Supplementary Data 1). The additional positive charge of the ligated
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ITag permitted straightforward ETD fragmentation of a 21-amino-acid glycopeptide. In contrast, the corresponding glycopeptide in samples expressing WT-T1 could not be unambiguously sequenced, highlighting the ability of chemical tools to help advance site-specific O-glycoproteomics. We further found that both BH-T1 and BH-T2 glycosylated Thr323, a previously detected glycosylation site that had thus far not been associated with any GalNAc-T isoenzyme (Figure 4C and Supporting Figure 3). These glycosylation annotations were recapitulated through *in vitro* glycosylation of recombinantly expressed spike with recombinantly expressed soluble constructs of BH-GalNAc-T1 and BH-GalNAc-T2, followed by CuAAC ligation of ITag-azide and MS-glycoproteomics analysis (SI and Supporting Figure 4). Our data directly proves that GalNAc-T1 glycosylates Thr678 in the living cell.

**Elaborated O-Linked Sialoglycans on Thr678 Confer Proteolytic Resistance on SARS-CoV-2 Spike.** Glycosylation has the potential to modulate the proteolytic processing of a peptide depending on the distance to the cleavage site and glycan composition, as previously proposed for spike upon co-expression with GalNAc-T1. 74,89,92,93 We used a direct method to investigate whether O-GalNAc glycans on Thr678 modulate cleavage by furin. To this end, we designed synthetic Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET)-active substrate peptides to assess proteolytic activity. Peptides spanning residues 672 to 689 contained N-terminal 2-aminobenzoyl (Abz) and C-terminal 3-nitrotyrosine (3-NO₂Tyr) as fluorescence donor and quencher moieties, respectively. An increase in fluorescence intensity indicated proteolytic cleavage (Figure 5A).109 To test whether the P681H mutation could have any intrinsic, non-glycan-dependent impact on furin activity, we first compared non-glycosylated substrates corresponding to either WT (FRET-1) or P681H mutant spike (FRET-2). The P681H mutation had no discernible effect on the rate of furin-mediated cleavage, confirming the data by Whittaker and colleagues that the addition of a basic amino acid is not by itself a defining characteristic of spike furin cleavage enhancement in existing VOCs.57

We hypothesized that an increase of furin processing in VOC mutant spike may not stem directly from recognition of the bare peptide sequence but rather a decreased capacity of GalNAc-T1 to introduce O-GalNAc glycans to peptides with mutations proximal to the furin recognition site. We thus tested whether glycosylation of furin substrate peptides impacts proteolytic cleavage. FRET reporter peptides carrying GalNAc, the simplest O-glycan, (FRET-3) or its alkyne-containing analogue GalN6yne (FRET-4) were generated by chemical and chemoenzymatic synthesis, respectively. Glycosylation with the single monosaccharides alone did not substantially impact the furin cleavage rate compared to the WT peptide FRET-1 (Figure 5C and Supporting Figure 5). We speculated that elaboration of GalNAc to larger or charged glycans might introduce additional structural constraints on furin recognition. To test this notion, the alkyne tag present on GalN6yne gave an opportunity to modify the biophysical properties of glycopeptides in a straightforward fashion, enabling synthetic efforts to furnish glycopeptides with specific additional groups or functionalities. We reacted the alkyne-equipped glycopeptide FRET-4 with two organic azides under CuAAC conditions: to evaluate the impact of a larger glycan, we used 6-azido-6-deoxy-glucose yielding pseudodisaccharide FRET-5, while 3-azido-propionic acid introduced an additional acidic functionality to investigate the impact of a negative charge on furin cleavage in glycopeptide FRET-6. Both click-elaborated glycopeptides displayed a significant reduction in furin cleavage (Figure 5C and Supporting Figure 5). FRET-5 exhibited an 80% decrease in the rate of furin cleavage with respect to FRET-1, which is attributable to the relative steric expansion. Strikingly, FRET-6,
which carried a smaller, negatively charged modification, resulted in a 93% rate reduction, almost completely abrogating furin activity. While these modifications are not naturally occurring, we concluded that the elaboration of O-glycans on Thr678, especially with negatively charged modifications, severely impedes the activity of furin.

Sialic acid, a common capping monosaccharide of O-glycans, is negatively charged under physiological pH. With the results above, we reasoned that in naturally occurring O-glycans, the presence of elaborated glycans, especially sialic-acid-containing ones, might modulate furin cleavage. We chemoezymatically synthesized a set of spike-derived glycopeptides carrying common elaborated mammalian O-glycans to test on our cleavage assay. First, Drosophila melanogaster C1GalT1 was used to extend FRET-3 with β3-linked galactose to give Core-1 O-GalNAc disaccharide FRET-7. α2,6-Linked sialic acid was introduced into FRET-3 and FRET-7 using the enzymes ST6GALNAC1 and ST6GALNAC2, respectively, to yield the sialoglycopeptides FRET-8 and FRET-9 (Figure 5D). While the uncharged disaccharide in FRET-7 only had a marginal (3.5% decrease) effect on the furin rate compared to the parental peptide FRET-1, the presence of a sialic acid led to a striking 45% reduction of furin rate in glycopeptide FRET-8 and a 65% reduction in glycopeptide FRET-9 (Figure 5E). We concluded that the elaboration of O-glycans on Thr678 with negatively charged sialic acid residues severely hampers furin activity.

To our knowledge, in contrast to furin, TMPRSS2 has not been comprehensively probed for cleavage of glycopeptide substrates. We sought to establish whether O-GalNAc glycans could modulate TMPRSS2 activity in a similar fashion to furin. Recombinant TMPRSS2 was subjected to our selection of (glyco-)peptide FRET substrates FRET-1, FRET-3, and FRET-7 to FRET-9 (Figure 5E). While all glycans somewhat impacted TMPRSS2 activity with respect to the non-glycosylated peptide FRET-1, the trisaccharide-containing sialoglycopeptide FRET-9 (70% rate reduction) impeded cleavage more drastically than all other (glyco-)peptides.

Our *in vitro* glycosylation experiments suggested that S1 contains the only available GalNAc-T1 substrate on WT spike after secretion from human cell culture (Figure 2B). Such behavior could be explained by the presence of elaborated, sialylated O-glycans on recombinant FL-S, which would both prevent furin cleavage and block access by GalNAc-T1 *in vitro*. This would suggest an enrichment of sialylated O-glycans on FL-S relative to processed S1. After SDS-PAGE, in-gel digestion allowed us to directly test this notion by individually cutting out the bands corresponding to the cleaved (S1/S2) and uncleaved (FL-S) fractions from the same recombinant WT-spike preparation. We subjected the FL-S and S1/S2 gel bands to MS-glycoproteomics analysis, searching for both simple and elaborated O-GalNAc glycans in each of the fractions. By calculating the intact masses of various expected O-glycopeptides in recombinant spike and then obtaining the associated extracted ion chromatograms (XICs), we found that over 5-fold higher glycopetide signal is present in FL-S when compared to cleaved S1/S2 fractions from the same spike preparation (Figure 6A and Supplementary Data 2). Furthermore, when accounting for sialic-acid-containing glycopeptides only, an ~8-fold higher abundance was observed for FL-S relative to S1/S2 (Supplementary Data 2).

**CONCLUSION**

Our data strongly indicate that elaborated, negatively charged O-GalNAc glycans on Thr678 of SARS-CoV-2 spike have a supressing effect on proteolytic cleavage. Such glycans are produced on lung epithelial cells which express GalNAc-T1, suggesting that glycosylation is a physiologically relevant modification that could restrict the maturation (by proteolysis) of spike in WT SARS-CoV-2. The propensity of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern to outcompete each other has been linked to both increased infectivity and immune escape. Within the evolutionary trajectory to the Alpha, Delta, and Omicron variants, notable changes in the amino acid sequence proximal to the FCS indicated that proteolytic cleavage is gradually enhanced, congruent with their increased infectivity. Mutations of Pro681 have been detected in early variants such as Alpha (P681H). We found that this mutation did not intrinsically increase the rate of cleavage by both furin and TMPRSS2 but impacted O-glycosylation as a restricting factor for spike processing. Notably, the analogous mutation found on the more transmissible Delta variant (P681R) has been linked to an increase in furin cleavage, suggesting an evolutionary trajectory that convolves suppression of O-glycosylation with increasing intrinsic furin recognition. This trend is further underlined by the mutations found in Omicron, which features both the P681H and the N679K mutations: in contrast to P681H and consistent with mapped amino acid preferences of GalNAc-T1, the N679K mutation does not substantially impact glycosylation, but it leads to enhanced furin cleavage of synthetic peptides. These Omicron mutations therefore act synergistically and have likely evolved to both suppress glycosylation and intrinsically enhance furin cleavage. Since the closest relatives to SARS-CoV-2, the strains RaTG13 Bat-CoV and GD Pangolin-CoV, share the exact same peptide sequence with WT (Wuhan) spike but without an FCS (Figure 1), we speculate that O-glycosylation might have been a remnant of ancestral strains that is being lost due to selective pressure toward increased furin cleavage, apparently without directly mutating the Thr678 residue.

The presence of O-GalNAc glycans adjacent to proteolytic cleavage sites has been found to impact processing of secreted proteins. The glycosylation site at Thr678 of spike is not in direct proximity of the FCS, potentially explaining why a single GalNAc residue is not sufficient to modulate furin activity and only minimally impacts TMPRSS2 activity. The necessity for the glycan to be elaborated or sialylated to reveal the suppressing effect upon the rates of cleavage further highlights the need for accurate glycan tracing techniques. Tuning the chemical properties of glycopeptides in a straightforward fashion by CuAAC was pivotal in enabling an initial understanding of the substrate-activity relationship of furin. This strategy informed the targeted synthesis of elaborated O-glycopeptide substrates, providing a convenient method to fine-tune substrate scope in a time- and resource-efficient manner. Chemical tools thus yielded insights into glycosyltransferase specificity, improved the efficacy of detection by detectability by MS, and allowed the exploration of protease substrate specificity, showcasing the power of such tools in biomedical discovery.
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