Comparative Study between Wild and Commercial Egyptian Lactobacillus reuteri-Antagonizing Effects in Cryptosporidiosis Models
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Abstract | To investigate the prophylactic and therapeutic potentiality of Egyptian probiotic Lactobacillus reuteri in the cryptosporidiosis model (hereafter home-isolated probiotic). As per the health conditions of the experimental mice, they were deployed into two main batches: immunocompetent and immunocompromised. Each batch comprised three protocols as per the types of the treatment (home-isolated-, commercial probiotics, and Nitazoxanide). A dose of 10⁸ colony-forming unit (cfu) Lactobacillus reuteri isolated from the domestic camels and the commercial strain were administered orally on daily basis for 10 days. Then, mice were infected with approximately 1 x 10⁴ inoculums of Cryptosporidium cysts with continuous administration of probiotics till the end of the experiment (day 20). The regression decay models were utilized to predict the recovery rate of the experimental mice at days different from the tested ones using the data collected from the Nitazoxanide-different time-scheduled protocol. The response to the treatment by probiotics in the immunocompromised mice is less than that of the immunocompetent mice. Positive outcome results are in favor of the home-isolated probiotic treatment. On the contrary, Nitazoxanide is the treatment with the highest number of cysts recovered from the experimental mice. In a nutshell, the results demonstrate that the home-isolated probiotic is efficient in decolonizing the Cryptosporidium spp. cysts and ameliorating the pathogenicity of the disease. Besides, this research study is shedding the light on the importance of isolating and utilizing a country/region-based Lactobacillus reuteri probiotic for high-efficiency treatment of cryptosporidiosis in immunodeficient cases.
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INTRODUCTION

Cryptosporidium spp. is the only genus of the subclass Cryptogregarina listed as a major foodborne protozoan parasite among Apicomplexa (Ryan et al., 2016). This intracellular parasite is transmitted by the ingestion of Cryptosporidium cysts through contaminated water and food (Gerace et al., 2019). Its reproduction and gametes formation develop within the microvillus layer of intestinal epithelial cells in the host’s small intestine (Chen et al., 2005) causing watery diarrhea and malabsorption along with histopathological deterioration (Guitard et al., 2006). Persistent Cryptosporidium spp. infection is associated with villus atrophy, crypt hyperplasia, and variable increases in leukocytes in the lamina propria (Klein et al., 2008). The disease was reported with an extensive veterinary and medical impact worldwide (Salama et al., 2020) for being the main agent inducing moderate to severe diarrhea (Costa et al., 2020) in both immunocompetent and immunodeficient hosts (Chique et al., 2020), and due to causing malnutri-
tion of the children of ages under 5-years old (Checkley et al., 2015). This opportunistic parasite can be life-threatening for patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (Gerace et al., 2019), and hence, there is a need to develop or repurpose a drug to overcome the limitation of action of Nitazoxanide, which is not effective in for the treatment of cryptosporidiosis-induced diarrhea (Chen et al., 2002). So far, up to the authors’ best knowledge, there is no effective drug or vaccine that can eliminate cryptosporidiosis, despite the current promising results with the candidate therapy AN3661, which is still under investigation (Swale et al., 2019).

The approach of introducing probiotics to multiple gut-intestinal disorders has been well-perceived globally by scientists for the promising results recorded in some animal models and human research (Varankovich et al., 2015).

The current research study aimed at isolating and utilizing an Egyptian probiotic strain of *L. reuteri* (hereafter home-isolated probiotic) in experimental cryptosporidiosis. A commercial probiotic of the same strain, Nitazoxanide drug, and infected controls were the references used to evaluate the efficacy of this home-isolated strain.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethical Approval**

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee and local laws and regulations of the National Research Centre, Egypt. Dealing with the experimental animals was carried out per the international valid guidelines and they were kept up under appropriate conditions at the Schistosome Biological Supply Program (SBSP) animal house of TBRI.

**Mice, parasite, and infection**

Parasite-free, 6-week-old male Swiss Albino mice (n=210) weighing 20–24 gm were used for this research study after their stool was examined for 3 consecutive days by the modified Ziehl–Neelsen stain technique (Tahvildar-Biderouni and Salehi, 2014). *Cryptosporidium* oocysts were obtained from the ileal mucous membrane and cecal content of naturally infected calves at slaughterhouses as described by Anderson (1985). Samples were preserved in an equal volume of 2.5% potassium dichromate (K₂Cr₂O₇) as per the protocol of (Dubey, 2018). The infective inoculum was prepared, and cysts were counted as described by Ernst et al. (1982). The *Cryptosporidium* cysts were maintained by a regular passage in suckling rats (Capet et al., 1999), and purified through a sucrose gradient, and 1.25% sodium hypochlorite treatment just before inoculation. All overnight-thirsty experimental mice were infected orally and individually with approximately 1 x 10⁴ inoculums of *Cryptosporidium* cysts, in 0.2 ml of sterilized phosphate buffer solution (PBS), after the priming phase.

**Regimens**

The experimental animals were deployed into two batches run in parallel, immunocompetent and immunocompromised, each with 105 mice. The home-isolated probiotic *L. reuteri* was courteously isolated, characterized, prepared as described in (Abdou et al., 2019) and provided by Dr. Amro Abdu, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, NRC, Giza, Egypt.

**Probiotics administration in immunocompetent batch.** The study was performed over 20 days. Post-priming phase, on day 11, all groups were infected by the prepared inoculums of *Cryptosporidium* cysts in 0.2 ml of sterilized PBS. Over the experimental 20-day duration, three groups of mice, 25 each, were assigned as infected controls administered with 0.2 ml PBS only (group I), commercial probiotic-treated mice administered with 10⁸ colony-forming unit (cfu) in 0.2 ml PBS (group II), and home-isolated probiotic-treated mice administered with 10⁵ cfu in 0.2 ml PBS (group III). Fecal pellets of all mice were examined microscopically for any parasitic infection on the last day of the prime phase. Every other day post-infection (1, 3, 5, 7, and 9), five animals from each group were sacrificed by ether inhalation. Fecal samples were collected for *Cryptosporidium* enumeration. A 1–2 cm length of the proximal stomach, distal ileum, were removed from each mouse and fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin (pH 7.4) for histopathological examination.

**Probiotics administration in the immunocompromised experimental group.** The previous 20-day treatment protocol was applied to the other 75 mice of this batch by considering day 15 post-Dexamethasone (DM) suppression as the zero-day. Dexamethasone (0.25 mg/g/day) was administered orally (Rehg et al., 1988). DM administration was maintained during the whole experimentation as previously described by Certad et al. (2007).

**Nitazoxanide administration in immunocompetent and immunocompromised batches.** Analogous to the previous protocol, sixty mice were deployed into two batches, 30 mice each. Fifteen immunocompetent mice were orally administered with 100mg/kg/day Nitazoxanide 3-days-post-infection for a week. The other 15-infected healthy mice served as controls. On the other hand, the immune system of the other 30 mice was crushed by Dexamethasone (0.25 mg/g/day) as previously described. On the 15th-day post-immune suppression, mice were infected with the prepared inoculums of *Cryptosporidium* cysts and three days later, they were treated with Nitazoxanide (100mg/kg/day) for a week. The other 15-infected immunodefi-
cient mice served as this batch’s controls. Five mice from each group were sacrificed on days 2, 5, and 8 post-treatment using ether inhalation.

**Assessment Instruments**

*Light Microscopic examination (LM) of Cryptosporidium cysts.* A fecal smear was prepared and stained by Ziehl–Neelsen for the microscopy examination (Henriksen and Pohlenz, 1981). The total number of cysts/g was calculated as per a previous method (O’Handley et al., 1999).

*Decay model.* A decay model was developed for each experimental data set to indicate the recovery rate if any. The correlation between the cysts counts and the days after each probiotic treatment protocol was plotted using the Nitazoxanide protocol as a reference.

*Histopathological examination.* Paraffin sections were prepared as previously described (Cardiff et al., 2014). Sections of ilea were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H &E) for evaluation.

**Statistical Analysis**

Two-way ANOVA analysis was performed between the number of days after infection and the treatment protocol applied to all immunocompetent and immunocompromised mice.

**Results**

**Microscopic Counts of Fecal Cryptosporidium Spp. Cysts**

The cysts were counted in all experimental mice at different intervals (1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 post-infection). The lower the number of cysts, the higher the likelihood that the mice are responding to the treatment. For the immunocompetent batch, the number of days after the infection had a statistically significant effect (p = 7.95E-17 compared to 0.01) on the recovery of the treated mice. Whilst the number of cysts dropped down by keeping the mice for a longer period under certain treatment protocols, a surge in the number of cysts was detected in the infected mice when kept over the same period with no treatment. As shown in Figure 1, the responses of experimental mice to the administration of the two probiotics were different (p = 2.4E-37 compared to 0.01). The home-isolated probiotic inoculation induced faster recovery (lower count of cysts) of mice compared to the one experienced with the commercial probiotic. Besides, the number of cysts recovered from the probiotics treated mice reached the peak on day 3, and gradually declined to reach the minimum on day 9, whereas their counterparts of the infected untreated mice reached the peak on day 3, and gradually declined to reach the minimum on day 9.

**Figure 1:** Count of Cryptosporidium cysts every other day post-infection of the groups of immunocompetent mice treated with a commercial- and home-isolated probiotics compared to the infected untreated controls. The thin vertical bars at each point refer to the standard errors of the tested samples.

**Figure 2:** Count of Cryptosporidium cysts every other day post-infection of the groups of immunocompromised mice treated with a commercial- and home-isolated probiotics compared to the infected untreated controls. The thin vertical bars at each point refer to the standard errors of the tested samples.

**Figure 3:** Average count of Cryptosporidium cysts over the 10-day treatment phase for infected, immunocompromised, and immunocompetent mice. The thin vertical bars at each point refer to the standard errors of the tested samples.
reached the peak on day 7 and slightly declined on day 9. Although the values were slightly different, comparable results were observed for the examined immunocompromised mice (Figure 2). The thin vertical bars at each point in the graphs refer to the standard errors of the tested samples. It can be observed that immunocompromised mice (Fig. 2), in general, had a higher count of cysts compared to the immunocompetent mice (Fig. 1). This indicates that the response to the treatment by probiotics in the immunocompromised mice is less than that of the immunocompetent mice. On the other hand, the infected-untreated immunocompromised mice had a higher count of cysts compared to that one recorded in infected-untreated immunocompetent mice. The aforementioned observations are verified by the results shown in (Fig. 3), which depicts a comparison between the immunocompetent and immunocompromised mice under different regimens. The immunocompetent mice had lower average cysts count over the 10-day treatment phase compared to the immunocompromised mice. The thin vertical lines on the histogram columns refer to the standard errors of the tested samples.

**Decay Models Results**

In decay (regression) models, the declined data points respective to the number of days were considered rather than the days with the peak of cysts counts. These data points were considered within the range of 9 days starting on day 3 post-infection. The regression models were expressed for the commercial and isolated treatment protocols, respectively, as follows:

\[
C_{c1} = 12631 e^{-0.4023t} \quad 3 \leq t \leq 9 \quad (1a)
\]

\[
C_{c2} = -811t + 8057 \quad 3 \leq t \leq 9 \quad (1b)
\]

\[
C_{i1} = -2008Ln(t) + 4436 \quad 3 \leq t \leq 9 \quad (2a)
\]

\[
C_{i2} = -4151Ln(t) + 9190 \quad 3 \leq t \leq 9 \quad (2b)
\]

where \( t \) is the number of days after treatment, \( C_{c1} \) and \( C_{c2} \) are the counts of cysts of the immunocompetent and immunocompromised mice administered with the commercial probiotic mice, respectively, and \( C_{i1} \) and \( C_{i2} \) are the counts of cysts of the immunocompetent and immunocompromised mice treated with the home-isolated probiotics, respectively. Figures 4 and 5 show the experimental data fitted to the proposed decay regression models along with the coefficient of determination \( R^2 \) for various models. The \( R^2 \) value was about 0.98 for the various models. The high \( R^2 \) value for all the proposed decay models indicates that there is a strong correspondence between the count of cysts of the mice and the number of days after treatment. The high \( R^2 \) values prove also the reliability of the proposed models and that these decay models can provide satisfactory predictions for the count of cysts of the mice concerning the number of days after treatment for the examined protocols.

**Figure 4:** Fit of the regression decay models to the experimental data of the commercial probiotic. \( R^2 \) value is the coefficient of determination.

**Figure 5:** Fit of the regression decay models to the experimental data of the isolated probiotic. \( R^2 \) value is the coefficient of determination.

**Figure 6:** Treatment protocols for the immunocompetent mice compared to Nitazoxanide. The counts of...
Cryptosporidium spp. cysts on the days 2 and 8 post infection were calculated for the mice treated with the two probiotics using the decay model.

The counts of Cryptosporidium spp. cysts on the days 2 and 8 post infection were calculated for the mice treated with the two probiotics using the decay model.

Figure 7: Treatment protocols for the immunocompromised mice compared to Nitazoxanide
The experimental mice. Similar trends can be observed in the immunocompromised mice, however, the number of cysts of mice, in general, is higher for the immunocompromised mice as could be seen in Figure 7.

Histopathological changes
The intestinal tissues of various probiotic-treated mice were microscopically examined for estimating the effect of those treatments during the different health conditions (competent vs deficient). Tissues of normal mice had a discernible, regular continuous brush border on the columnar epithelium with intact villi (V), Lamina propria (LP), and goblet cells (G), which are noted at intervals between the surface columnar cells (fig. 8A). Challenging the immunocompetent mice with the cryptosporidiosis resulted in some pathological effects noticed in atrophied, short, fused (V) and degenerative changes to secretory glands (arrow), and muscularis (M) as shown in (fig. 8B), whilst on the other hand, treating those infected immunocompetent mice with commercial probiotic considerably ameliorated their intestinal tissue (fig. 8C), and this improvement was best attained by the home-isolated probiotic’s treatment (fig. 8D). In the latter group, most of the villi were integral with their tall columnar epithelium and mild inflammatory cells in their core. On the other hand, the intestinal tissues of infected immunocompromised mice experienced degenerated and fused villi (DV and FV, respectively) with pathological alterations in focal areas, severe vacuolar degeneration in mucosal epithelial cells, and mild inflammatory cell infiltrate in the submucosal layer (I). Also, goblet cells were remarkably diminished compared to those of the normal intestinal tissues (fig. 8E). The intestinal tissues of the infected immunodeficient mice treated with commercial probiotics showed a marked reduction in goblet cells and mild to moderate pathological alterations (Fig. 8F) reflected on the focal area of considerable degenerative glandular changes and mild inflammatory cell infiltrate in the submucosal layer (I). Many villi (V) also showed normal tall columnar epithelium with moderate inflammation in its core. Similarly, the infected immunodeficient mice treated with isolated probiotic maintained mild pathological changes in the tissue structure. Although intact glands with many villi (V) were detected, some villi displayed fusion with proliferated tall columnar epithelium (E) at the tip of the villi, together with the reduction of goblet cells.

Discussion
In this study, the experimental mice received either the Egyptian L reuteri strain or the same commercial strain developed without observed complications or signs of toxicity, which is supported by other studies (Walter et al.,...
antagonizing effect of the L. reuteri probiotics-treated immunocompetent mice indicates an effect to be performed. On the other hand, the remarkable pro-

is a strain-dependent, then, a future comparative study has might raise the question that the period of cysts shedding ed from Egyptian animals and prepared in our lab. This used the cysts of Cryptosporidium spp.

While Nitazoxinde acts directly on the parasitic-protozoa binding sites and /or changing the microenvironment of the pathogen by generating metabolites such as tryptophan and histamine-related enzymes like lactase and bile salt hydrolases that create an unpleasant experience to the parasite and restore gut flora (Oelschlaeger, 2010).

Interestingly, the recovery speed was accelerated in immunocompetent and immunocompromised mice by the home-isolated probiotic rather than by the commercial probiotic as indicated by the average number of fecal cysts shedding. This positive influence might be attributed to the compatibility of Egyptian Lactobacillus reuteri to the gut microbiome of the experimental mice which together with the unified and consistent environmental factors strengthen the action of this bacterium strain as anti-cryptosporidium (Terrapon and Henrissat, 2014).

In the current study, we treated the immunocompetent and immunosuppressed mice with Nitazoxanide, as a well-studied and approved drug reference, implementing a different schedule to use the data for predicting the number of fecal cysts shedding in the hidden days (2, 4, 6, and 8), and hence, to verify the correlation between the number of fecal cysts and infection duration. Surprisingly, the home-isolated probiotic diminished the average number of fecal cysts showing a superior effect over the commercial probiotic and the Nitazoxanide in both immunocompetent and immunocompromised batches. While Nitazoxinde acts directly on the parasitic/protozoa by deconstructing their cell membranes including those of the mitochondria causing inhibition of the pyruvate, ferredoxin/flavodoxin oxidoreductase (PFOR) cycle (Broekhuysen et al., 2000). The probiotics act naturally to enhance the immune system and indirectly compete with the pathogens resulting in their clearance from the gut (Mathipa et al., 2017). These different action modes may be in favor of using the probiotics either alone or combined with Nitazoxanide because the latter does not prevent the autoinfection, whilst the former does by preventing the cysts to inhabit the epithelium cells.

The histopathology of intestinal tissues showed ileum burdened with the cysts and severe microvillus damage, edematous and vacuolated epithelial cells in the infected untreated mice of both immunocompetent and immunosuppressed batches. These results are supported by others’ findings (Certad et al., 2007; Abdou et al., 2013). However, treating those infected mice with the two probiotics remodeled the morphology and cellular configuration of intestinal microvilli and epithelium cells. Moreover, the decolonization of Cryptosporidium cysts in the intestinal tissues of those probiotics-treated mice was remarkable.
This may be, fortunately, due to the low pH microenvironment cherished by Cryptosporidium spp., and endured by L. reuteri probiotics (Krumbeck et al., 2016). Whilst the conditions of the upper small intestine were ideal for the propagation of the parasite, the biological tolerance of L. reuteri enabled it to colonize and efficiently compete Cryptosporidium cysts for adhering themselves to mucus and intestinal epithelia kicking the cysts out to the lumen.

CONCLUSION

This research paper with its findings demonstrates that the Egyptian L. reuteri strain may be effective for the prevention of Cryptosporidium spp. in immunocompromised and immunocompetent subjects. Further and future work will use the same protocol to understand the concomitant T and B cells immune responses including IFN-γamma and IL-10 as well as the IgA.
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