Genetic basis of kidney cancer: Role of genomics for the development of disease-based therapeutics
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Kidney cancer affects nearly 270,000 patients annually worldwide and is responsible for over 115,000 deaths each year (Ferlay et al. 2010). Kidney cancer is not a single disease; rather, it is comprised of a number of different histologically and genetically distinct types of cancer, each with a different clinical course, each of which has a different clinical course and different responses to therapy (Fig. 1; Linehan et al. 2003; Linehan and Zbar 2004). If a patient presents with a small cancer localized to the kidney, this patient can be managed by surgery and can expect a 95% 5- to 10-yr survival. However, if a patient presents with advanced kidney cancer, in which the primary tumor has spread to other organs, nearly 80% will die of this disease within 24 mo (Linehan et al. 2011).

Studies of the inherited forms of kidney cancer have led to the identification of a number of genes important for this disease. There are a number of different types of familial kidney cancers, including von Hippel-Lindau (VHL), hereditary papillary renal carcinoma (HPRC), Birt-Hogg-Dubé (BHD), hereditary leiomyomatosis renal cell carcinoma (HLRCC), succinate dehydrogenase kidney cancer (SHD-RCC), tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), and Cowden’s disease. Identification of the genes that cause kidney cancer has improved the management of patients with kidney cancer and provided the foundation for the development of therapeutic approaches for patients with advanced forms of this disease.

The conventional approach to therapy for patients with localized clear cell, type 1 papillary, or chromophobe kidney cancer has traditionally been to surgically remove the tumor. For decades, the conventional surgical approach has been to remove the entire kidney (“radical nephrectomy”). Over the past two decades, the surgical approach of partial nephrectomy (removal of the tumor and a small margin of normal renal parenchyma) has been developed and is now the recommended approach for most patients diagnosed with localized kidney cancers that are 7 cm or less in size. The role of adjuvant therapy (such as agents targeting the VHL pathway, discussed below) is currently being evaluated in clinical trials. Systemic therapy is reserved for patients who develop metastatic disease.

Clear cell kidney cancer

Clear cell is the most common histologic type of kidney cancer, occurring in 75% of cases. In the initial studies performed to identify the clear cell kidney cancer gene, investigators showed that loss of heterozygosity on the short arm of chromosome 3 was a consistent feature in tumors from patients with clear cell kidney cancer (Zbar et al. 1987). Subsequent fine-mapping studies pinpointed the location of a kidney cancer gene on chromosome 3 and revealed that the region was too large to search by conventional methods available at the time (Anglard et al. 1991).

Von Hippel-Lindau: Clear cell kidney cancer

In order to identify the clear cell kidney cancer gene, investigators studied families with the hereditary form of clear cell kidney cancer, von Hippel-Lindau. Von Hippel-Lindau is an autosomal dominant, hereditary cancer syndrome in which affected individuals are at risk for the development of tumors in a number of organs, including the kidneys, adrenal glands, retina, central nervous system, pancreas, and epididymis. VHL patients are at risk for the development of early onset, bilateral, multifocal clear cell kidney cancer (Fig. 2). There is a clear relationship between prevalence of kidney cancer and VHL genotype, with, for example, a higher prevalence in patients with partial versus complete deletion of the VHL gene (Maranchie et al. 2004). While there is a wide range of the number of detectable kidney tumors (0–70) in an individual kidney in a patient with VHL (Fadahunsi et al. 2011), it is estimated that VHL patients are at risk for the development of up to 600 microscopic tumors and 1100 cysts per kidney (Walther et al. 1995). Historically, 35%–45% of patients with VHL died of...
Kidney cancer is not a single disease; it is made up of a number of cancers that occur in the kidney, each with a distinct histology, each with a different clinical course, each responding differently to therapy, and each caused by a different gene. The VHL gene is mutated in the germline of ~100% of von Hippel-Lindau families and the majority of sporadic clear cell RCCs. The MET gene is mutated in the germline of ~100% of hereditary papillary renal carcinoma families and is mutated in a subset of tumors (13%) from patients with sporadic, type 1 papillary kidney cancer. The fumarate hydratase gene (FH) is the gene for the inherited form of type 2 papillary kidney cancer associated with hereditary leiomyomatosis renal cell carcinoma (HLRCC). Sporadic papillary type 2 RCC is composed of a mixture of kidney cancers including collecting duct and medullary RCC. The gene(s) for sporadic type 2 papillary RCC, collecting duct RCC, and medullary RCC is not known. The FLCN is mutated in 96% of families affected with the inherited form of chromophobe RCC and oncocytoma associated with Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome. The genes for the sporadic forms of chromophobe RCC and oncocytoma are not known. TFE3, TFEB, and MITF are part of the MiT family of transcription factors. TFE3 and TFEB translocation kidney cancer are sporadic (nonhereditary); germline mutations of MITF have been found in the germline of patients with melanoma and kidney cancer or both. (Fig. 1 adapted from Linehan et al. 2003.)

Identification of the VHL gene

In order to identify the gene for von Hippel-Lindau, genetic linkage analysis in VHL families was performed (Hosoe et al. 1990). In a study that took nearly 10 yr to complete, investigators reported the identification of the VHL gene in the spring of 1993 (Latil et al. 1993). Mutations of the VHL gene have been found in nearly 100% of VHL families (Stolle et al. 1998), and a simple blood test for germline mutation of the VHL gene is now used to make the diagnosis. VHL germline mutation testing makes it possible to make the correct diagnosis of von Hippel-Lindau and to determine which at-risk family members are affected. Development of carcinomas in patients with mutation in the VHL gene fits the Knudson model of a classic two-hit tumor suppressor gene, i.e., affected individuals in VHL families inherited a mutated copy of the VHL gene and the second allele is generally deleted in the VHL-associated tumors (Knudson 1995).

Chromosome 3 translocation kidney cancer

In 1979, Cohen et al. reported a family in which multiple individuals were found to have bilateral, multifocal clear cell kidney cancer. Whereas bilateral, multifocal clear cell kidney cancer can occur in VHL patients in their second decade, the kidney tumors in the chromosome 3 translocation families tended to occur in older individuals in the fourth or fifth decades of life. Affected individuals were found to have a balanced germline 3;8 translocation t(3;8)(p14.2;q24.1) between the short arm of chromosome 3 and the long arm of chromosome 8 (Cohen et al. 1979). Subsequent studies revealed that the chromosome 3 to 8 translocation, which included the VHL gene, was deleted in the clear cell tumors and that the remaining somatic VHL allele was mutated in the tumors (Schmidt et al. 1995). Other kidney cancer families have been identified with chromosome 3 translocations involving chromosome 6, t(3;6)(q12;q15), and chromosome 2, t(2;3)(q12;q15) (Eleved et al. 2001; Podolski et al. 2001; Van Erp et al. 2003). The finding that three successive events (“hits”), including germline translocation as the first event, followed by deletion of the long arm of chromosome 8 containing the translocated VHL gene, and finally, by mutation of the remaining somatic VHL allele, could explain why the tumor formation in chromosome 3 translocation kidney cancers is characterized by later onset and fewer kidney tumors than, for example, VHL.

Sporadic, noninherited clear cell kidney cancer: The VHL gene

In order to determine if the VHL gene was associated with the common form of noninherited, sporadic clear cell kidney cancer, VHL gene mutation and loss of heterozygosity analyses were performed in patients with kidney cancer. In patients with sporadic kidney cancer, VHL gene mutation or methylation has been found in a high percentage (nearly 90%) of tumors from patients with clear cell kidney cancer (Gnarra et al. 1994; Herman et al. 1994; Nickerson et al. 2008; Moore et al. 2011). VHL gene mutation is not found in tumors from patients with papillary, chromophobe, collecting duct, or medullary kidney cancer. Thus, the VHL gene is the
predominant cancer gene in sporadic clear cell kidney cancers (Knudson 1995).

**VHL gene pathway: Oxygen sensing**

When the VHL gene was identified, it was a novel gene with no known function. The initial key to elucidation of the function the VHL gene was the identification of the VHL protein binding partners, elongin C, elongin B, CUL2, and Rbx1. The VHL complex targets the hypoxia-inducible factors, HIF1A and EPAS1 (also known as HIF2A), for ubiquitin-mediated degradation in an oxygen-dependent fashion (Duan et al. 1995; Kibel et al. 1995; Kamura et al. 1999; Maxwell et al. 1999; Ohh et al. 2000; Jaakkola et al. 2001). When there are normal levels of oxygen in the cell (normoxia), two proline residues on the hypoxia-inducible factors (HIF1A and HIF2A) are hydroxylated through the action of prolyl hydroxylase enzymes, and this oxygen-dependent hydroxylation enables the VHL complex to bind and ubiquitinate the hypoxia-inducible factors, which targets them for subsequent degradation by the proteosome. When the cellular oxygen concentrations decrease, i.e., there is hypoxia, the VHL complex cannot target and degrade the hypoxia-inducible factors, and the nonhydroxylated hypoxia-inducible factors accumulate. The HIFs are transcription factors. Under hypoxic conditions, when they accumulate, the transcription of factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGFA), platelet derived growth factor (PDGF), the glucose transporter, SLC2A1 (also known as GLUT1), and the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is increased. When there is mutation of the VHL gene, even in normoxia, HIFs accumulate, and the transcription of a large number of downstream genes, such as KDR (also known as VEGFR), PDGFRB, EGFR, and SLC2A1, is increased (for review, see Kaelin 2008).

**Therapeutic approaches targeting the VHL pathway**

Understanding the VHL pathway provided the foundation for the development of therapeutic approaches for patients with advanced clear cell kidney cancer. The first study targeting the VHL pathway in patients with advanced clear cell kidney cancer involved the use of an antibody targeting vascular endothelial growth factor, bevacizumab. Yang et al. (2003) conducted a randomized trial evaluating the effect of bevacizumab on patients with advanced clear cell kidney cancer. While there was no difference in overall survival between the groups, there was a statistically significant increase in time to progression in the high-dose-antibody group (4.8 mo) as compared to the placebo group (2.5 mo, \( P < 0.001 \)) (Yang et al. 2003; Yang 2004). Subsequent studies have identified agents targeting the VHL pathway such as sunitinib maleate,
sorafenib, temsirolimus, everolimus, pazopanib, and axitinib as having significant anti-tumor activity in patients with advanced clear cell kidney cancer (Escudier et al. 2007; Hudes et al. 2007; Motzer et al. 2007, 2008; Sternberg et al. 2010; Rini et al. 2011). While with some of these agents there are responses in nearly 40% of patients and increased survival, most patients eventually progress, and many eventually die of this disease.

In a very elegant recent study, Gerlinger et al. (2012) reported exome sequencing, chromosome aberration analysis, and ploidy profiling on multiple tumor biopsies from primary clear cell kidney cancers and associated metastatic sites. While they found common “truncal” mutations (in genes such as VHL), they also found remarkable somatic intratumoral mutational heterogeneity. When they evaluated the metastatic sites in one patient, there was remarkable similarity among the metastatic sites that correlated with a single site in the primary tumor (suggesting that the metastases had originated from that single site within the tumor). These findings suggest that genomic analysis from a single site may significantly underestimate the genetic heterogeneity of the tumor and that identification of common truncal mutations may provide critical information for the development of effective forms of therapy for an individual cancer (Gerlinger et al. 2012).

Sporadic, noninherited clear cell kidney cancer: The PBRM1 gene

In 2010, Dalgliesh et al. established the importance of the chromatin modification machinery in clear cell kidney cancer when they reported sequencing 101 tumors through 3544 protein-coding genes. They identified inactivating mutations of genes involved in histone modification, including SETD2, a histone H3 lysine 36 methyltransferase, JARID1C, a histone H3 lysine 4 demethylase, as well as mutations in the histone H3 lysine 27 demethylase, UTX (Dalgliesh et al. 2010). Varela et al. (2011) recently reported sequencing of the protein coding exome in clear cell renal cancers and identified truncating mutations of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex gene, PBRM1, in 41% of tumors. These two seminal studies opened chromatin biology as an entirely new area of significant importance in understanding the genetic basis of kidney cancer. Detailed expression and mechanistic analysis will be required to determine the important functional consequences of mutations of the histone modifying genes in clear cell kidney cancer, as reported by Dalgliesh et al. (2010) and Varela et al. (2011).

Genomics approaches in clear cell kidney cancer

Although seven FDA-approved agents that target the VHL pathway have been developed, new agents need to be developed which will be effective in all patients with this disease. Understanding gene copy number variations as well as expression profile changes in the various types of kidney cancer mentioned above will provide potential improvement in disease classification and stratification and could provide novel significant insight into potential therapeutic approaches to therapy. Beroukhim et al. (2009) performed an integrated, genome-wide analysis of copy-number changes and gene expression profiles in 90 sporadic and hereditary clear cell tumors and identified 14 regions of nonrandom copy number change, including seven regions of amplification (1q, 2q, 5q, 7q, 8q, 12q, and 20q) and seven regions of deletion (1q, 3p, 4q, 6q, 8p, 9p, and 14q). VHL was identified as a deleted gene on 3p, as were CDKN2A and CDKN2B from 9p. MYC was found to be amplified in the 8q amplification peak (Beroukhim et al. 2009). Gene expression profiles using DNA microarrays in clear cell kidney cancer have been performed which predict survival, and individual transcripts whose expression was associated with survival have been identified (Vasselli et al. 2003; Zhao et al. 2006). Gene expression profiles have been performed to define a molecular subclassification of clear cell kidney cancer as well as to identify novel biomarkers (Takahashi et al. 2003a,b). Although significant progress has been made in many aspects of kidney cancer, a number of critical questions remain; in-depth genomics approaches will be essential in answering these.

Hereditary papillary renal cell carcinoma: Type I papillary kidney cancer

Hereditary papillary renal carcinoma is a highly penetrant, autosomal dominant hereditary cancer syndrome in which affected individuals are at risk for the development of bilateral, multifocal, type 1 papillary kidney cancer (Fig. 3; Zbar et al. 1994). While there is a wide range of the number of detectable kidney tumors (0–51) in an individual kidney in a patient with HPRC (Herring et al. 2001), it is estimated that HPRC patients are at risk for the development of 1100 to 3400 microscopic tumors per kidney (Ornstein et al. 2000). By carefully monitoring the growth parameters and metastatic potential of HPRC renal tumors over an 18-yr time period, a clinical management approach has been developed involving active surveillance until the largest kidney tumor reaches 3 cm, at which time surgical intervention is recommended (Ornstein et al. 2000; Chernoff et al. 2001; Herring et al. 2001).

The HPRC gene: MET

Genetic linkage analysis was performed in the HPRC families, and the proto-oncogene, MET, was identified as the HPRC gene (Schmidt et al. 1997). MET mutations have also been found in a subset of tumors (13%) from patients with sporadic, nonhereditary papillary kidney cancer (Schmidt et al. 1997). The finding that the MET mutations in the HPRC families are in the tyrosine kinase domain of the gene has led to the evaluation of a targeted approach to therapy with an agent with dual kinase activity against the MET and VEGF receptors in patients with HPRC-associated as well as sporadic papillary kidney cancer. Effectiveness of this agent against tumors with and without MET mutation or amplification is being evaluated (Choueiri et al. 2012).

Birt-Hogg-Dubé: The hereditary form of chromophobe kidney cancer

Birt-Hogg-Dubé is an autosomal dominant, hereditary cancer syndrome in which affected individuals are at risk for the development of bilateral, multifocal kidney tumors as well as pulmonary cysts and cutaneous fibrofolliculomas (Fig. 4; Zbar et al. 2002). Whereas patients affected with von Hippel-Lindau develop clear cell kidney cancer and patients with HPRC develop type 1 papillary kidney cancer, patients affected with BHD are at risk for the development of a number of different histologic types of kidney cancer, including chromophobe, hybrid oncocytic, clear cell, and oncocytoma (Pavlovich et al. 2002). BHD kidney cancer is managed in the same fashion as is kidney cancer in patients with
VHL and HPRC; patients with BHD-associated kidney cancer are managed with active surveillance until the largest tumor reaches the 3-cm threshold. At this point, surgical intervention is recommended (Pavlovich et al. 2005).

Identification of the BHD gene: FLCN

Genetic linkage analysis performed in BHD families led to the identification of FLCN as the BHD gene (Nickerson et al. 2002). FLCN has the characteristics of a tumor suppressor gene; mutation or loss of heterozygosity of the wild-type allele of the FLCN gene was identified in 70% of tumors (Vocke et al. 2005). Germline testing has identified mutations of the FLCN gene in a high percentage of BHD families (Toro et al. 2008).

Function of FLCN: Nutrient sensing

FLCN is in the LKB1/AMPK pathway. The FLCN protein binds two proteins, FNIP1 and FNIP2, which bind the γ-subunit of AMPK (also known as PRKAG2) (Baba et al. 2006; Hasumi et al. 2008). In preclinical and clinical models, when FLCN is deficient, mTORC1 and mTORC2 are activated (Baba et al. 2008; Hasumi et al. 2009). These findings have provided a potential approach to therapy for FLCN-deficient kidney cancer involving targeting the mTOR pathway. Promising results have been seen targeting the mTORC1 pathway in a kidney-specific context.

Figure 3. Type 1 papillary kidney cancer. Hereditary papillary renal carcinoma (HPRC) is an autosomal dominant hereditary cancer syndrome (D) in which affected individuals are at risk for the development of bilateral kidney cancer (A, B) that is type 1 papillary histologic type (C). Patients affected with HPRC are characterized by germline mutation of the MET gene. MET mutations are also found in a subset of tumors (13%) from patients with sporadic, nonhereditary papillary kidney cancer. (Fig. 3 from Linehan et al. 2003.)

Figure 4. Chromophobe kidney cancer. Birt-Hogg-Dubé (BHD) is an autosomal dominant hereditary cancer syndrome in which affected individuals are at risk for the development of cutaneous fibrofolliculomas, pulmonary cysts, and bilateral, multifocal chromophobe and hybrid oncocytic kidney cancer and oncocytoma. BHD is characterized by germline mutation of the FLCN gene. (Fig. 4 from Linehan et al. 2003.)
Hereditary leiomyomatosis renal cell carcinoma: Type II papillary kidney cancer

Hereditary leiomyomatosis renal cell carcinoma (HLRCC) is an autosomal dominant, hereditary cancer syndrome in which affected individuals are at risk for the development of cutaneous and uterine leiomyomas and kidney cancer (Fig. 5; Zbar et al. 1995; Launonen et al. 2001). HLRCC-associated kidney cancer is a very aggressive form of kidney cancer in which very small tumors have the potential to metastasize (Grubb et al. 2007; Merino et al. 2007). In some patients, advanced disease can be fatal within months. In other patients, the disease can be stable for a longer period of time. These tumors should be managed very differently from VHL-, HPRC-, or BHD-associated kidney cancer. When a localized HLRCC kidney cancer is detected, it should be surgically removed. Active surveillance is not recommended for patients who are found to have localized HLRCC-associated kidney cancer. The surgical procedure for removal of an HLRCC kidney cancer should be much more extensive than that performed in other types of kidney cancer. Due to the aggressive, invasive nature of HLRCC-associated kidney cancer, a larger margin of normal renal tissue should be removed than is required, for example, in a patient with a VHL-, HPRC-, or BHD-associated clear cell kidney cancer. Moreover, it is very important to avoid releasing resected tumor cells into the peritoneum or retroperitoneum during surgery, as these aggressive tumor cells may form multiple metastatic foci post-operatively. Very careful annual imaging with abdominal magnetic resonance imaging and/or abdominal computed tomography scans to evaluate for the presence of kidney tumors in individuals affected with hereditary leiomyomatosis renal cell carcinoma should be performed.

HLRCC gene: Fumarate hydratase—the Warburg effect

The gene for HLRCC encodes the Krebs cycle enzyme, fumarate hydratase (FH) (Tomlinson et al. 2002). Germline testing revealed mutations of the FH gene in a high percentage of HLRCC families (Toro et al. 2003; Wei et al. 2006). Fumarate hydratase-deficient kidney cancer models are characterized by aerobic glycolysis and are very dependent on glucose transport and glycolysis for energy production (Isaacs et al. 2005; Yang et al. 2010; Tong et al. 2011). Fumarate hydratase-deficient kidney cancer conforms to the Warburg model of cancer. In the 1920s, Otto Warburg suggested that aerobic glycolysis was a fundamental aspect of cancer (Warburg et al. 1924; Warburg 1956). In tumors that manifest the “Warburg effect,” the cancer depends on aerobic glycolysis instead of oxidative phosphorylation for energy production. In fumarate hydratase-deficient kidney cancer, mitochondrial respiration is severely impaired, and these cancers are very dependent on glycolysis for ATP production (Yang et al. 2010; Tong et al. 2011). In FH-deficient kidney cancer, the Krebs cycle pathway is remodeled, and a reductive glutamine-dependent pathway predominates to support fatty acid synthesis and tumor growth (Mullen et al. 2011). Understanding the fumarate hydratase pathway in FH-deficient kidney cancer has provided the foundation for novel approaches to therapy targeting the tumor vasculature and glucose transport. At the National Cancer Institute, a clinical trial is currently in progress evaluating the role of bevacizumab and erlotinib in patients with advanced forms of HLRCC-associated as well as sporadic, non-HLRCC type 2 papillary kidney cancer to evaluate the effectiveness of this regimen in patients with FH −/− as well as FH +/+ papillary kidney cancer.

Succinate dehydrogenase kidney cancer

Succinate dehydrogenase kidney cancer is a hereditary cancer syndrome in which affected individuals are at risk for the de-
Tuberous sclerosis complex: TSC1/2

Tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) is a familial hamartoma syndrome in which affected individuals are at risk for the development of tumorous growths in a number of organs, including facial angiomyolipomas, cutaneous shagreen patches, CNS lesions including cortical tubers and subependymal giant-cell tumors, cardiac rhabdomyomas, pulmonary lymphangiomatosis, and renal tumors (for a comprehensive review, see Crino et al. 2006). The renal tumors are most often benign angiomylipomas; however, TSC patients are also at risk for the development of renal cell carcinomas. Genetic linkage analysis was used to identify two genes for tuberous sclerosis complex, TSC1, which is located on chromosome 16p13, and TSC2, on chromosome 16p13. The production of TSC1 (hamartin) and TSC2 (tuberin) form a heterodimer which has been shown to inhibit the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway (Crino et al. 2006). Mutation/inactivation of both copies of either TSC1 or TSC2 activates the mTOR pathway and results in increased cellular growth seen in TSC-associated hamartomas/cancers. A clinical trial was recently reported evaluating the role of sirolimus, an agent which suppresses mTOR signaling, in TSC patients. In this trial, Bissler et al. (2008) reported regression of angiomylipomas and improvement in pulmonary parameters of TSC patients treated with sirolimus. Although the angiomylipomas tended to increase in volume after the therapy was discontinued, this important study showed that targeting the TSC1/2-mTOR pathway can have a definite phenotypic effect in these patients and might be a useful approach to ameliorate the manifestations of this disorder (Bissler et al. 2008).

MiT family kidney cancer: TFE3, TFE6, and MITF

TFE3, TFE6, and MITF, members of the MiT family of transcription factors, have also been implicated as causes of kidney cancer. TFE3 has been described as involved in a translocation involving chromosome 1 and the X chromosome, t(X:1)(p11.2;p34), primarily in children and young adults (Clark et al. 1997; Argani et al. 2010). TFE6 has been described as involved in a translocation involving chromosome 6 and chromosome 11, t(6;11)(p21;q13), which is also seen primarily in the pediatric population (Argani et al. 2001; Davis et al. 2003). The microphthalmia-associated transcription factor, MITF, has been implicated in melanoma and kidney cancer. Bertolotto et al. (2011) recently reported the detection of germline missense MITF mutations in patients with kidney cancer, melanoma, or both.

Kidney cancer is a metabolic disease

Each of the kidney cancer genes VHL, MET, FLCN, fumarate hydratase, succinate dehydrogenase, TSC1, TSC2, and TFE3 affects the cell’s ability to sense oxygen, iron, nutrients, or energy. Kidney cancer is fundamentally a metabolic disease. Understanding the fundamental metabolic aspects of kidney cancer will hopefully provide the foundation for the development of novel approaches to therapy for this disease (Fig. 6).

Sporadic (nonfamilial) kidney cancer

What is the genetic basis of clear cell kidney cancer?

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) is conducting an exhaustingly comprehensive study of 500 clear cell kidney cancers, including complete genome sequencing, gene expression and microRNA analysis, analysis of methylation, copy number analysis, and fusion protein analysis. These analyses will be correlated with clinical parameters, including stage, response to therapy, and survival. A number of important questions are being addressed, such as: Are there other mutated genes which contribute to the development and/or progression of clear cell kidney cancer? Systematic gene expression studies will assess novel pathways that may be activated in the initiation or progression phase of this disease. Systematic gene copy number assessment is being performed to determine if there are gains or losses of genes involved in initiation or progression. One of the major accomplishments of TCGA is likely to be the integration of these complex/disparate data types to provide novel insights about pathways and gene sets. The initial findings are being carefully catalogued and will be...
made available as an invaluable resource for investigators studying this disease.

Clear cell kidney cancer that does not have VHL gene mutation is associated with a more aggressive phenotype and diminished survival (Patard et al. 2008). This is most likely because VHL +/+ clear cell kidney cancer is caused by a different gene(s) than VHL −/− clear cell kidney cancer; it appears that it is simply a different disease (with a more aggressive clinical phenotype).

In two studies in which the pathologic phenotype of clear cell kidney cancer was determined in 470 cases by a single, experienced kidney cancer pathologist and where the tumor material was carefully dissected to eliminate noncancerous material, VHL mutation or methylation was detected in nearly 90% of cases (Nickerson et al. 2008; Moore et al. 2011). Other studies have detected a lower VHL mutation rate in clear cell RCC (Young et al. 2009), which could be secondary to variation in histologic evaluation, sample preparation, or ethnic origin of the patient material. Two important unanswered questions that genomic approaches (i.e., whole genome sequencing) will answer are: (1) What are the other genes besides VHL that are involved in the genesis of clear cell kidney cancer? and (2) what is the genetic cause(s) of the non-VHL-deficient (VHL +/+ ) clear cell kidney cancers?

**What is the genetic basis of non-clear cell kidney cancer?**

**Papillary kidney cancer**

Papillary kidney cancer is traditionally subclassified by histologic (microscopic) morphology as type 1 and type 2. Type 1 papillary kidney cancer is characterized by tightly formed, cribriform papillae covered by small cuboidal cells with a single line of uniform nuclei and small nucleoli (Delahunt and Eble 1997; Leroy et al. 2002). Type 1 papillary kidney cancer is much more common than type 2 and tends to have a significantly better prognosis. Sporadic type 1 papillary kidney cancer is most often multifocal, it can be bilateral, and its growth rate often may be very slow. Type 2 papillary kidney cancer is characterized by large and less well-organized papillae with pleomorphic nuclei, prominent nucleoli, and nuclear pseudostatification (Delahunt and Eble 1997; Leroy et al. 2002). Type 2 papillary kidney cancer tends to be solitary and has a tendency to metastasize early. There is no effective form of therapy for patients affected with either type 1 or type 2 kidney cancer.

**Type 1 papillary kidney cancer**

HPRC-associated type 1 kidney cancer is associated with germline mutation of the MET gene on chromosome 7. However, MET gene mutations are found in only a subset of sporadic type 1 kidney cancers, and the genetic basis of sporadic type 1 papillary kidney cancer is not known (Schmidt et al. 1999). Both HPRC-associated as well as sporadic type 1 papillary kidney cancer are characterized by trisomy of chromosome 7; however, the role of trisomy 7 in papillary kidney cancer and whether or not amplification of MET on chromosome 7 will be a marker of response to therapy by agents which target MET has yet to be determined.

**Non-type I papillary renal cell carcinoma**

Non-type I papillary renal cell carcinoma comprises a mixed group of histologies, including type II papillary renal carcinoma, collecting duct carcinoma, medullary renal cell carcinoma, the MiTF kidney cancers (TFE3, TFEB), and HLRCC-associated kidney cancer. Other than the MiTF and HLRCC cancers, little is known about their origin or genetic basis, and there is no effective form of therapy for patients with advanced type II papillary kidney cancer. These tumors are frequently confused with each other, and it is often difficult to make an accurate diagnosis or classification. Even the term “type II papillary kidney cancer” is poorly defined, which is why these cancers are sometimes grouped together as “non-type I kidney cancer.”

The Cancer Genome Atlas is also currently conducting an extensive project to perform complete genome sequencing, copy number analysis, and gene expression analysis in a large number of papillary kidney cancers. It is hoped that this project will identify other genes and other pathways that are involved with the initiation and progression of papillary kidney cancer which will hopefully provide the foundation for the development of effective forms of therapy for this disease.

**Chromophobe kidney cancer and oncocytoma**

BHD-associated chromophobe kidney cancers and oncocytomas are characterized by germline mutation and somatic inactivation of the somatic FLCN allele (Vocke et al. 2005). However, FLCN mutations are not a consistent feature of either sporadic chromophobe RCC or oncocytoma, and the causal genes are not known. Gad et al. (2007) detected FLCN mutations in five of 46 (10.9%) chromophobe renal carcinomas, and TP53 mutations were detected in 11 of 46 (23.9%). The Cancer Genome Atlas has recently instituted a special study to evaluate the genetic basis of chromophobe kidney cancer. Oncocytomas are benign kidney tumors that do not metastasize. A critical biologic question is: What is the genetic basis of oncocytoma and why are oncocytomas benign and nonmetastastic, whereas nearly all other kidney tumors are malignant?

**Discussion**

Is there an inherited predisposition to all kidney cancers? A central unanswered question that needs to be addressed is what role inheritance plays in the development of kidney cancer. Currently, 5%–8% of kidney cancer is considered to have an inherited basis. However, while the autosomal dominant kidney cancer syndromes (VHL, HPRC, BHD, HLRCC, etc.) may comprise 5% of the cases of kidney cancer, there is a much higher incidence of families in which multiple individuals develop kidney cancer with no known genetic basis. Gudbjartsson et al. (2002) conducted a population-based study that included all 1078 patients diagnosed with kidney cancer in Iceland between 1955 and 1999. In this study, 58% of all cases of kidney cancer were found in families with two or more affected members. These authors concluded that “germline mutations are significantly involved in what has been defined as sporadic RCC” (Gudbjartsson et al. 2002). Genome-wide association studies have identified susceptibility loci in patients with “sporadic” kidney cancer (Purdue et al. 2011). The use of complete germline genomic sequencing will likely identify additional genes that predispose to the development of kidney cancer and will hopefully determine the role and extent of genes involved in susceptibility to kidney cancer.

Are mutations in one gene sufficient, or must mutations accrue in seven genes in order to create a kidney cancer? A fundamentally important question is how many mutations it takes to
cause kidney cancer and which genetic pathway represents the most plausible target for a targeted therapeutic approach. It is a widely held view, based on many elegant models and studies by accomplished and thoughtful leaders in the field, that development of a cancer is a multistep process and that it may take up to seven gene mutations to cause a cancer (Vogelstein et al. 1988). However, is that the case in kidney cancer? Is it possible that kidney cancers, unlike colon or other cancers, can be caused by loss of function of a single gene? Patients affected with VHL are at risk for the development of up to 600 tumors per kidney (Walther et al. 1995). These patients inherit a mutation of the VHL gene, and the wild-type (somatic) allele is deleted in the vast majority of the tumors. Do all 600 of these tumors have mutations in VHL and an additional six genes? Based on precise VHL-associated kidney tumor measurements generated over years, it is estimated that 25 years elapse from the time of initiation of the tumor until the tumor reaches a size of 2 cm. It has long been known that cells circulate for years before metastases develop. Is it possible that the process of metastasis in VHL- (or HPRC- or BHD-) associated kidney cancer is simply a function of time and not necessarily dependent on alteration of additional genes, i.e., that as tumors grow over the years and kidney cancer cells continue to circulate until the conditions are right for implantation and growth and the development of clinically detectable metastases? If seven genes are required for tumor development, which gene pathway should be targeted for therapy? When a VHL-deficient kidney cancer cell line is implanted in an athymic nude mouse, it forms a tumor. When the VHL gene is re-expressed in the cell line, either no tumor or a very small tumor appears. Is it possible that mutation of this single gene is sufficient to cause VHL-deficient kidney cancer? Patients affected with hereditary papillary renal carcinoma (HPRC), which is caused by activating mutation of the proto-oncogene, MET, are at risk for the development of up to 1900 tumors per kidney (Ornstein et al. 2000). These tumors are characterized by trisomy of chromosome 7 (where the MET gene is located) and nonrandom duplication of the mutant MET allele (Zhuang et al. 1998). Do each of these 1900 tumors have seven mutations or is duplication of the mutant MET allele sufficient for tumorigenesis? It is estimated that patients affected with Birt-Hogg-Dubé (BHD) are at risk for the development of up to 3000 tumors per kidney. When a FLCN-deficient human kidney cancer cell line is implanted in an athymic nude mouse, it forms a tumor. When the FLCN-restored cell line is implanted in the mouse, either no tumor or a small tumor appears. Similarly, when a fumarate hydratase-deficient cell line (derived from an HLRCC patient) is implanted into an athymic nude mouse, it rapidly forms a tumor, whereas when the enzyme fumarate hydratase is restored in the FH-deficient kidney cancer cell line, it is no longer tumorigenic. It is certainly possible that overexpression of a tumor suppressor gene (such as VHL or FLCN) would inhibit tumorigenesis of a cancer cell line. However, it is quite remarkable that restoration of fumarate hydratase activity is sufficient to reverse the tumorigenic phenotype.

What is the genetic basis of the metabolic shift to aerobic glycolysis? We have shown that fumarate hydratase-deficient kidney cancer is characterized by aerobic glycolysis; mitochondrial respiration is significantly impaired, and the cells depend on increased glucose transport and glycolysis for ATP production. The metabolic glycolytic shift in FH-deficient kidney cancer results in increased energy production, decreased AMPK, and increased mTOR activity and fatty acid biosynthesis, which are required for the rapid anabolic growth that characterizes this form of kidney cancer (Tong et al. 2011). The FH-deficient kidney cancer cells preferentially use glutamine over glucose as a carbon source for lipid biosynthesis, utilizing citrate derived from reductively carboxylated glutamine for fatty acid production (Mullen et al. 2011). Metallo et al. (2012) recently demonstrated that a similar reductive carboxylation pathway for glutamine metabolism by IDH1 mediates de novo lipogenesis in VHL-deficient kidney cancer.

A central unanswered question is whether the metabolic remodeling that occurs in fumarate hydratase- and VHL-deficient kidney cancers is attributable to a coordinated process mediated by methylation or acetylation of metabolic enzymes, to enable coordination of different carbon source utilization to provide building blocks, cofactors, and energy to fuel cell growth (Wang et al. 2010), or whether additional mutations are required to facilitate this process. On one hand, Wang et al. (2010) identified a process of differential and reversible acetylation of central metabolic enzymes in response to different carbon sources, which is conserved in both human and prokaroytes. This reversible acetylation of metabolic enzymes could be the type of mechanism that ensures that cells are able to rapidly respond to changing energy status, such as a metabolic shift to aerobic glycolysis in FH-deficient kidney cancer. An alternate possibility is that mutations of additional genes are required to effect this type of metabolic shift. Insight into a potential mutation-based mechanism for adaptation to, for example, the cell’s need for increased glucose uptake and remodeling of the Krebs cycle to adapt to increased bioenergetic needs of a rapidly growing cancer cell comes from the elegant study of Meyer et al. (2012) who studied the adaptive development of mutations that allowed a virus, bacteriophage λ, to evolve to infect its host, Escherichia coli, through a novel pathway. They discovered that four precise mutations were required to evolve a new site of attachment, and these mutations developed repeatedly within weeks under the appropriate selective pressure (Meyer et al. 2012). A similar type of biological adaptability, evidenced by a stochastic selection of multiple precise additional mutations, could also underlie the transition to a fully transformed phenotype in human cancers. The answer to the question of whether it takes many mutations, or additional gene methylations, or histone acetylations, or copy number changes, or altered miRNAs, versus whether mutation of a single gene can cause cancer over time by remodeling metabolism in each of the various forms of renal cancer will most likely be discovered in comprehensive genomic analyses.

Summary

Genomics approaches have changed the way we think about kidney cancer and provided the foundation for the development of novel approaches to therapy for these diseases. Historically, kidney cancer was thought of as a single disease. Now we know it is made up of a number of genetically and histologically different types of cancer that occur in the kidney. The identification of the genes for kidney cancers allows clinicians to manage patients according to the genotype. The kidney cancers in patients with germline mutations of the VHL, MET, and FLCN gene are each managed very differently from those, for example, in patients with germline mutations of the FH and SDH genes. Importantly, it has become clear through gene identifications that metabolic remodeling is an important part of renal cancer that could be exploited in therapies. For instance, the AMPK activator, metformin, may prove to be a candidate for treatment of FH-associated kidney cancers. There is still much to be done in the genomics of kidney cancers. Deep sequencing of clear cell kidney cancer, type 1 papillary kidney cancer, chromophobe kidney cancer, and chromophobe kidney cancer, type 2 papillary kidney cancer, and hereditary papillary renal carcinoma (HPRC).
cancer, type II papillary kidney cancer, collecting duct cancer, and medullary kidney cancer will be determined which gene or genotypes are critical for initiation of these diseases and for progression to renal cell carcinoma. While a significant effort has been put into targeting the VHL pathway in clear cell kidney cancer, we currently have no targeted therapies which result in complete response for the majority of patients with this disease. Recent findings implicating inactivation of histone modifying genes opens new avenues for prevention as well as therapy of this malignancy. Genomic studies evaluating copy number as well as gene expression patterns in these cancers will also be needed to uncover other cancer gene pathways that will be critical for the development of effective forms of therapy for patients with these diseases.
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