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Abstract: Machine learning techniques with high performance computing technologies can create various new opportunities in the agriculture domain. This paper does comprehensive review of various papers which are concentrating on machine learning (ML) and deep learning application in agriculture. This paper is categorized into three sections a) Yield prediction using machine learning technique b) Price prediction c) Leaf disease detection using neural networks. In this paper we study the comparison of neural network models with existing models. The findings of this survey paper indicate Deep learning models give high accuracy and outperform traditional image processing technique and ML techniques outperforms various traditional techniques in prediction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Using DL and ML will help to tackle lot of challenges in agriculture which may be related to production or food security. In this review paper the following research questions are analyzed.
1. Which crop related problem it addresses?
2. Which deep learning models were used for analysis?
3. Which models were compared with their proposed model?
4. Which attributes in the dataset were considered for preprocessing?
5. What is the performance of model they employed and did they test their dataset with other models?

DL is complex model which we used for leaf disease detection. Because of its complexity it can solve more complex problems with good accuracy and less error in the model. DL has feature extraction technique, extracts features from raw data. DL consists of various features like pooling, activation, convolutions, fully connected layers, gates, memory cells and many more. DL is hierarchical in structure and performs classification and prediction well. We have employed CNN for leaf disease detection. Architectures employed in CNN are AlexNet, VGG16, GoogleLeNet and so on.

II. RELATED WORK

In [1] paper investigates the author discuss about Zambia’s Crop Forecast Survey (CFS) and its limitations. In this proposed method at the end of season harvest the data is collected. The data about crop yield and area of production is collected from the actual harvest from households in real time. Using this data a forecast model for yield is developed using Extreme Gradient Boost. To test the accuracy values RMSE is used. From results it is found that CFS accuracy is less compared to gradient boost. In [2] this paper, the corn yield prediction is made using deep neural network model from environment and genotype data. The dataset consist of 148,452 samples for training. Yield performance is calculated using yield and check yield using deep neural networks. Neural network in his paper is also used for weather prediction. The model accuracy is tested using RMSE 11% error for single hidden layer with 300 hidden neurons. In [3] The paper compares the accuracy in forecasting the price of agricultural products using Auto ARIMA model and back propagation (BP) network method. A web crawler technology is also used to get prediction of price for the crop commodities. The data is collected about the cucumber crop. The data obtained is normalized in order to scale it down to (0, 1) range. The dataset is consist of linear data on which ARIMA algorithm is applied for forecasting. The ARIMA model does not consider the rapid changes in the prize of the crop that arises due to instability in seasons. In order to consider such changes BP network model is applied to the data. BP network model contains set of input, hidden and output layers. The number of output layers is increased in order to check whether the price prediction for long-term is accurate or not.RNN model used to process sequential data is applied on the normalized data to obtain the price. The results show that the forecasting for monthly, weekly and daily price of crop ARIMA does well if it is for short term but accuracy is not proper for long term. So, BP neural network is used for such issues which gives high accuracy. In [4] Agribusiness is the foundation of Indian economy. The agrarian yield is fundamentally relies uponclimate conditions like temperature, rainfall, biomass. The agriculturists essentially require an advantageous incite to anticipate the future yield profitability and an investigation to help the farmer’s to enlarge harvest creation in their products.
Despite the fact that a great deal of research has been led for building up the choice emotionally supportive network for agriculturists, the greater part of the examination centre around the harvest administration, edit illness administration and product yield estimating. The agriculturist's harvest determination at the prior stage is a standout amongst the most critical components since proper product choice at the prior stage will help ranchers to enhance edit administration and product yield. The results of Fuzzy C-Means is used for implementation which is using clustering method. It gives less error rate in terms of degree of membership means which data points have higher probability or how much similarity is there in between the data points.

In [5] the study was done for Maharashtra zone for wheat, rice, jowar, bajra, pulses the main aim was to achieve increased crop yield. The dataset parameters include min and max temperature, evapotranspiration, area under production, crop yield for previous years. Artificial neural network is used for prediction with multilayer perceptron. For the analysis of dataset Weka tool is used and confusion matrix was generated using multilayer perceptron. The three layer feed forward network is used for training the dataset and authors could achieve the accuracy of 97.54%. An ANN with 10 fold cross validation function is used for subset of dataset. For training and testing the linear data linear regression is used and for non linear data ANN is used. The accuracy of the model is obtained using RMSE, RAE.

In [7] In this paper, price forecasting for Mysore district is done. As farmers are not getting the expected price to improve this problem price forecasting is done using ANN with feed forward back propagation scheme. The attributes include pressure, temperature,soil type, humidity, seed variety. MSE is used to evaluate the accuracy of the model. The proposed model is compared with decision tre, genetic algorithm. The accuracy with proposed model is high.

In [8] the proposed method mainly aims at creating a system which uses the new generation high computing technologies for detection of plant diseases. By using the fast GPU’s and embedded processors we can considerably increase the accuracy and the rate of output results in image classification. Also, by making this image classification available locally on mobile phones, it will be very useful for farmers. The main method the paper focuses on is using the CNN with ‘n’ number of hidden layers, which would normally take days to train, and then training it on the new faster processors and CPU’s. We are also able to observe that the model does considerably better than using other conventional methods.

In [9] the proposes a deep learning technique using a jaya algorithm for classification of paddy leaf diseases, which uses a feedback loop system in the post processing step. The main methodology mainly includes five steps-Image acquisition, Pre-processing, Segmentation, Feature Extraction and Classification. And the feedback loop system takes the values from the classification back to the Segmentation unit. The Segmentation is based on k-means clustering. This gives an enhanced deep learning method where the error is reduced considerably. The method is formally called the DNN_JOA method of image classification.

In [10] it proposes a method of 10-fold cross validation method strategy which will give a higher accurate output then one with the straightforward CNN with 10 or more hidden layers. This also saves computational time and memory to save the ‘n’ weights achieved from training the image data. They use the standard BP-algorithm and then a SVM and then to finally decrease the SME by using the particle swarm optimization (PSO). CNN can be designed using AlexNET or GoogleNET or the standard libraries in Python like Tensorflow and Keras or in MATLAB or Simulink.

In [11] K-Means Clustering method is used for the segmentation of pomegranate leaf disease. The image of the leaf is segmented using K-Means Clustering method. The dataset is a mixture of different healthy and unhealthy images of leaves. The image data is first preprocessed and then segmented into three sub-images each containing different features. The diseased leaves are detected after this step. Image processing and segmentation of images into three sub-images each makes it easy for the detection of diseases associated with it. The process used in this paper does not provide a great accuracy rate. The sub-images obtained after segmentation are not clear enough to help detect diseases.

In [12] potato leaf diseases detection and classification are based on neural networks and make use K-Means Clustering for segmentation. Various leaves of healthy and unhealthy types are used as the dataset. Algorithms are developed to extract more than 24 features. The gray level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) is used to extract texture features. To identify whether the leaf is diseased or not and to classify the diseases a back propagation neural network (BPNN)- based classifier is made use of. The features are used to train the network which is hence used to detect and classify the leaf samples.

The classification has an accuracy of more than 92%. This method is quick, automatic and accurate for leaf disease detection and classification.

In [13] proposes a method for the detection of diseases in cotton crop leaves. The image data is pre-processed, segmentation is carried out using thresholding method which takes RGB input image resulting from K-Means Clustering method. Pixels values of red, green and blue bands are read separately. Feature extraction makes use of GLCM methodology.

In [14] The diseased portion of the plants are identified clearly in the green band in the thresholding method. Using this method, only the region of interest (diseased area) can be extracted. Diseases can be classified using Euclidean classifier.
Table 1: Applications of Machine Learning in Agriculture

| Reference | Problem Description                                                                 | Crop                                      | Algorithm /Models       | Attributes used for data analysis and prediction |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| [15]      | Optimize the wheat production using existing soil and climate data.                   | Wheat, Rice, Jowar, Groundnut, Cotton     | PAM, CLARA and DBSCAN, MLR | crop, district, year, production, area, yield    |
| [16]      | Crop yield estimation is done using a mining techniques.                               | Rainfall as key parameter                 | k-means, Multiple        | Year, Rainfall, Area of Sowing and Production     |
| [17]      | Identifying the sowing time for farmers and seed quality soil based on requirements which crop can grown. Proposed methods help farmers to increase crop yield and profit. | millet, groundnut, pulses, cotton, vegetables, banana, paddy, sorghum, sugarcane, coriander | ANN, Naïve CHAID, Bayes, Random Tree | Soil Ph, soil color, depth, soil texture, water holding capacity etc., |
| [18]      | Precision agriculture for vegetable production                                        | Beijing vegetable                         | stochastic frontier      | Seed quality, soil properties (NPK), temperature |
| [19]      | Identify different irrigation schedules for rice crop and recommendation of NPK for crop. study investigated the interactive effects of rice cultivation methods with different irrigation schedules and plant density on the uptake and concentration of micronutrients in India. | Rice                                      | Recommendation of uptake of nutrients | Nitrogen, Potassium, Phosphorus, sulphur, iron, aluminium |
| [20]      | A comparative analysis is done with various classification technique and concluded as Bagging algorithm of classification technique is best suited for soybean crop prediction. | Soya bean                                | SVM, Random Forest, Neural Network, REPTree, Bagging, and Bayes. | Soil properties and weather data                   |
| [21]      | The proposed method uses eXtensible Crop Yield Prediction Framework (XCYPF). This framework has provision for crop selection and other variables dependent on crop for precision agriculture. | Rice and Sugarcane                        | eXtensible Crop Yield Prediction Framework (XCYPF) is proposed | NDVI, Temperature                                  |
| [22]      | Crop yield prediction using historical data                                             | Paddy, wheat                             | Self-organizing maps and multi-dimensional scaling techniques (Sammon’s mapping) | Area under cultivation, total production, productivity |
Maximize the crop yield using data mining techniques.

Nutrition System for desired yield targets of maize has been developed for deep black calcareous, Pilamedu soil series (Typic Haplustert) of Tamil Nadu

| [23] | Maximize the crop yield using data mining techniques. | Rice, Wheat, Mung | Crop selection algorithm | weather, soil type, water density, crop type, sowing time, plantation days and predicted yield rate |
| [24] | Nutrition System for desired yield targets of maize has been developed for deep black calcareous, Pilamedu soil series (Typic Haplustert) of Tamil Nadu | Maize | Soil type recommendation using real time testing of soil | N, P and K, fertilizer doses of N, P2O5 and K2O and FYM levels |

### III. METHODOLOGY

Figure 1 shows the classification data mining algorithms were applied on a data set containing different crops, rainfall, production, area and pH values. The dataset includes rainfall, soil pH, soil attributes. The raw dataset is collected and data is preprocessed to remove noise. On the processed dataset data mining algorithms are applied and accuracy of the dataset is calculated using F-measure. Comparative study is done to analyze and understand various other algorithms. And finally best algorithm is found based on accuracy Weka tool is used for analysis of dataset.

![Fig 1: System Architecture](image1)

### IV. IMPLEMENTATION

#### A. Artificial neural networks

As shown in figure 2 the WEKA tool is used analysis for the dataset with attributes NPK values of soil, crop yield, district, area of production, rainfall, pH soil type for yield prediction using ANN model for multilayer perceptron. The districted names are shown in the figure for which the prediction is done.

![Fig 2: Analysis using ANN multilayer perceptron](image2)

#### B. Naive Bayes

As shown in figure 3 Naive bayes is an algorithm for prediction. Naive Bayes algorithm totally depends on the probability model’s precise nature.

![Fig 3: Analysis using Naïve bayes algorithm](image3)

#### C. J48

Figure 4 shows the implementation using J48 algorithm. Which is a decision tree algorithm. This Algorithm is continuation of Quinlan’s ID3 algorithm which was enhanced as C4.5 by himself. An ID3 algorithm being re utilized for diminishing of decision trees, derivations of rules, value extents and so on. J48 algorithm is used for statistical analysis.

![Fig 4: Implementation using J48](image4)
D. The Sequential Minimal Optimization (SMO) algorithm

Figure 5 shows SMO algorithm implementation using Weka tool. The power of this technique resides in the fact that the optimization problem for two data points admits an analytical solution, eliminating the need to use an iterative quadratic programming optimizer as part of the algorithm. The requirement that the condition \( \sum_{i=1}^{P} y_i \alpha_i = 0 \) is enforced throughout the iterations implies that the smallest number of multipliers that can be optimized at each step. Whenever one multiplier is updated, at least one other multiplier needs to be adjusted in order to keep the condition true.

E. RepTree

Figure 6 shows RepTree algorithm which is using regression tree for analysis. This algorithm is the fast decision tree algorithm.

F. LMT

Figure 7 shows LMT algorithm which is supervised algorithm uses classification. Logistic model trees use a decision tree that has linear regression models at its leaves to provide a section wise linear regression.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The cross validation method used to analyze the datasets. Various performance measures for all the datasets mentioned. Comparative analysis of various decision tree classifications, simulation results as follows.
Table 2: Comparison of accuracy

| Algorithm | Precision | F1-Measure | Recall | Time-Taken (sec) |
|-----------|-----------|------------|--------|------------------|
| LMT       | 0.559     | 0.59       | 0.633  | 11.62            |
| Naïve Bayes| 0.539    | 0.571      | 0.614  | 0.02             |
| RepTree   | 0.576     | 0.596      | 0.635  | 0.1              |
| SMO       | 0.548     | 0.566      | 0.616  | 0.65             |
| ANN       | 0.591     | 0.574      | 0.615  | 9.74             |
| J48       | 0.582     | 0.609      | 0.652  | 0.15             |

**Precision (P):** It is to determine of exactness. It is the ratio of the predicted positive cases that were correct to the total number of predicted positive cases.

\[
P = \frac{\text{TruePositive}}{\text{TruePositive} + \text{FalsePositive}}
\]

**Recall (R):** Recall is determine of completeness. It is the proportion of positive cases that were correctly recognized to the total number of positive cases. It is also known as sensitivity or true positive rate (TPR).

\[
R = \frac{\text{TruePositive}}{\text{TruePositive} + \text{FalseNegative}}
\]

**F-Measure:** The harmonic mean of precision and recall. It is an important measure as it gives equal importance to precision and recall.

\[
F - \text{Measure} = \frac{2 \times \text{Recall} \times \text{Precision}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}
\]

**Time-Taken:** The running time of an algorithm for a specific input depends on the number of operations executed. The greater the number of operations, the longer the running time of an algorithm. We usually want to know how many operations an algorithm will execute in proportion to the size of its input, which we will call N.
Time taken for the algorithm to process could be one of the criteria to consider as it will tell which algorithm is more efficient and faster also. In our case LMT is the slowest as it takes about 11.62 seconds to process and the quickest is Naïve bayes.

VI. CONCLUSION

This survey paper introduces cheap, practical and easy to develop and use model using neural network. This will help to analyze various papers with different parameters in dataset how this help to increase accuracy of dataset. Applying ML and DL on sensed data the crop yield, crop price and other various other prediction like rainfall, temperature etc., can be made. These techniques have made the predictions easy by providing high recommendations for correct decision making by farmers and government agencies to improve crop productivity.
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