Mitochondrial mutations alter endurance exercise response and determinants in mice
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Primary mitochondrial diseases (PMDs) are a heterogeneous group of metabolic disorders that can be caused by hundreds of mutations in both mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and nuclear DNA (nDNA) genes. Current therapeutic approaches are limited, although one approach has been exercise training. Endurance exercise is known to improve mitochondrial function in healthy subjects and reduce risk for secondary metabolic disorders such as diabetes or neurodegenerative disorders. However, in PMDs the benefit of endurance exercise is unclear, and exercise might be beneficial for some mitochondrial disorders but contraindicated in others. Here we investigate the effect of an endurance exercise regimen in mouse models for PMDs harboring distinct mitochondrial mutations. We show that while an mtDNA ND6 mutation in complex I demonstrated improvement in response to exercise, mice with a CO1 mutation affecting complex IV showed significantly fewer positive effects, and mice with an ND5 complex I mutation did not respond to exercise at all. For mice deficient in the nDNA adenine nucleotide translocase 1 (Ant1), endurance exercise actually worsened the dilated cardiomyopathy. Correlating the gene expression profile of skeletal muscle and heart with the physiologic exercise response identified oxidative phosphorylation, amino acid metabolism, matrisome (extracellular matrix [ECM]) structure, and cell cycle regulation as key pathways in the exercise response. This emphasizes the crucial role of mitochondria in determining the exercise capacity and exercise response. Consequently, the benefit of endurance exercise in PMDs strongly depends on the underlying mutation, although our results suggest a general beneficial effect.

Significance

Primary mitochondrial diseases (PMDs) are the most prevalent inborn metabolic disorders, affecting an estimated 1 in 4,200 individuals. Endurance exercise is generally known to improve mitochondrial function, but its indication in the heterogeneous group of PMDs is unclear. We determined the relationship between mitochondrial mutations, endurance exercise response, and the underlying molecular pathways in mice with distinct mitochondrial mutations. This revealed that mitochondria are crucial regulators of exercise capacity and exercise response. Endurance exercise proved to be mostly beneficial across the different mitochondrial mutant mice with the exception of a worsened dilated cardiomyopathy in Ant1-deficient mice. Thus, therapeutic exercises, especially in patients with PMDs, should take into account the physical and mitochondrial genetic status of the patient.
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myopathy with a massive proliferation of abnormal mitochondria (9, 10). Likewise, ANT1-deficient mice show ragged red muscle fibers, cardiomyopathy, and mitochondrial proliferation with minor uncoupling in both heart and skeletal muscle (11). On the molecular level, an induction of OxPhos enzymes both at the transcriptional and on the activity level was found in skeletal muscle (12, 13). Ant1 has been shown to regulate the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (14, 15), represent the primary proton channel for the mitochondrial inner membrane (16), and be essential for the function of PINK/Parkin mitophagy (17).

In addition to directly pathogenic mitochondrial mutations, region-specific mtDNA polymorphic lineages (haplogroups) (18, 19) have been associated with a broad spectrum of common diseases. These range from metabolic syndrome including diabetes, obesity, and cardiac and cardiovascular disease to neurological diseases including Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease, cancer, and aging (1, 19).

Endurance exercise was shown to be beneficial in neurodegenerative and cardiovascular diseases by improving mitochondrial function (20, 21). However, whether or not exercise is indicated in PMDs is still unclear, with most patients displaying a strong exercise intolerance. Some studies suggest positive effects of moderate endurance exercise, improving exercise intolerance and quality of daily living (22–24). However, due to the heterogeneous nature of mitochondrial diseases, a general conclusion on the outcome of exercising is impossible without a deeper understanding of the molecular adaptions among the different mitochondrial mutations.

Endurance exercise disrupts the cellular homeostasis, resulting in a temporal energy depletion, increased ROS production, and alterations to the calcium levels and redox state (25, 26). These primary mediators activate downstream signaling pathways such as AMPK, calcineurin, or TNFα that mediate the adaptive response (27). In healthy subjects, this includes an increase in mitochondrial biogenesis and antioxidative capacity, up-regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism, and altered cell growth signaling (28).

Most of the primary molecular mediators of an exercise stimulus are directly associated with the mitochondria. The relationship between exercise and mitochondria is demonstrated by the enrichment of African mtDNA lineage L0 in long-distance runners versus enrichment of Eurasian J, Uk, and F in sprinters (29–35). Consequently, mitochondrial mutations in PMDs might affect not only the exercise capacity but also the exercise response.

Common exercise response pathways such as AMPK are often activated in patients with PMDs due to the continuous disruption of energy homeostasis (9). It follows, then, that additional activation of these pathways by exercise may benefit some PMD patients.

We hypothesize that the endurance exercise response and the physiological benefits vary strongly with the underlying mitochondrial mutations. To test this hypothesis, we have subjected a set of distinct mouse models of PMD to endurance exercise and investigated the effects on physiology and cellular and molecular parameters. These studies have revealed that different genetic defects have different responses to endurance exercise, suggesting that therapeutic exercises should take into account the physical and genetic status of the patient.

Results

To assess the effect of mitochondrial mutations on exercise capacity and response, we created mice harboring different mitochondrial mutations. These include C57BL/6 (B6) mice homoplasmic for mtDNA mutations in ND6<sup>P25L</sup> or the ND3<sup>S204F</sup> subunits of complex I, mice homoplasmic for the CO1<sup>V42L</sup> mutation in a subunit of complex IV, and mice homozygous for the nDNA Slk25a4<sup>A</sup> (ANT1<sup>C</sup>) mutation. We compared the exercise capacity and physiological as well as molecular response to an 8-wk endurance exercise protocol in 4- to 6-mo-old male mice.

Mitochondrial Mutant Mice Manifest Different Exercise Capacities. First, we assessed how the mtDNA and nDNA mitochondrial mutations affect exercise capacity. This was tested in 4- to 6-mo-old mice compared to B6 control mice.

The ND5<sup>S204F</sup> baseline and exercise stress test. ND5 mice, compared to B6 controls, showed no differences in VO<sub>2max</sub> (Fig. 1A) or respiratory exchange ratio (RER) (Fig. 1B) during rest but displayed reduced activity levels (Fig. 1C) and a trend toward a reduced voluntary running wheel activity (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). However, in the exercise stress test, ND5 mice show tendencies toward improved VO<sub>2max</sub> (Fig. 1D) and running time (Fig. 1F) but no changes in the RER during running (Fig. 1E). Among the ND5 mice, we found a positive correlation between VO<sub>2max</sub> and running time (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B), similar to that seen among the B6 control mice, indicating a reliance on OxPhos during exercise.

Next, we assessed the two common limiting factors for VO<sub>2max</sub>, which are heart function and muscle respiration. Using echocardiography, we found no impairment of left ventricular (LV) function or structure in ND5 mice relative to B6 controls (Fig. 2A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S2) and no correlation between ejection fraction and running time (SI Appendix, Fig. S2E), suggesting that heart function is not limiting exercise capacity. In skeletal muscle, high-resolution respirometry showed no deficiencies in mitochondrial respiration or ROS production in ND5 mice compared to control (Fig. 2C and D and SI Appendix, Fig. S3), consistent with no changes in VO<sub>2max</sub> and exercise capacity.

To screen for alterations in skeletal muscle function, we performed RNA sequencing (RNASeq) of the soleus muscle (Fig. 2E). We found a mild up-regulation of mitochondrial translation and the electron transport chain components in ND5 mice relative to B6 controls (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). To tease out the molecular determinants of the natural variability in the exercise capacity of ND5 mice, we correlated the RNASeq data of individual mice with their own individual exercise capacity data (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A). We found positive correlations of OxPhos and amino acid metabolism with running time (Fig. 2G), demonstrating that ND5 mice with a high expression of these genes exhibit a higher exercise capacity than littersmates with a low expression of OxPhos and amino acid metabolism genes. Thus, these genes are determinants of the exercise capacity in ND5 mice. In contrast, we found no correlations of glycolytic gene expression or of beta oxidation with exercise capacity in ND5 mice (Fig. 2G). In summary, ND5 mice show no exercise intolerance, and their exercise capacity appears to be limited by the oxidative capacity of their skeletal muscle.

The ND6<sup>P25L</sup> baseline and exercise stress test. ND6 mice, compared to B6 controls, showed no alterations in their basal metabolism (Fig. 1A and B) except for reduced activity levels (Fig. 1C). In the exercise stress test, ND6 mice showed a consistent trend toward a lower VO<sub>2max</sub> (Fig. 1D) and running time (Fig. 1F), indicating a mildly reduced exercise capacity. We did not detect any signs of an impaired heart function (Fig. 2A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S2), but ND6 mice displayed reduced mitochondrial respiration in skeletal muscle (Fig. 2C).
and SI Appendix, Fig. S3). RNASeq of skeletal muscle demonstrated a moderate up-regulation of mitochondrial gene expression (SI Appendix, Fig. S4), consistent with a trend toward an increase in mitochondrial mass (SI Appendix, Fig. S3E). We again correlated gene expression with exercise capacity on the individual mouse level. This revealed positive correlations for OxPhos, amino acid metabolism, and beta oxidation (Fig. 2G), indicating oxidative metabolism is an important determinant of exercise capacity in ND6 mice. In summary, ND6 mice show a mild exercise intolerance due to a reduced mitochondrial respiratory capacity of skeletal muscle.

The CO1V421A baseline and exercise stress test. CO1 mice, relative to B6 controls, showed reduced general activity levels (Fig. 1C), a decreased VO2max (Fig. 1D), and a trend toward a decreased running time (Fig. 1F) during the exercise stress test. Interestingly, in analyzing the individual CO1 mice, we found no correlation between VO2max and running time (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B), indicating a lower reliance on oxidative metabolism during exercise. However, this is in contrast to a strong positive correlation between OxPhos gene expression and exercise capacity (again on the individual mouse level) in the CO1 skeletal muscle (Fig. 2G). Compared to B6 controls, skeletal muscle respiration tends to be lower across all respiratory states, although not reaching significance (Fig. 2C and SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Consistent with a compensatory up-regulation of mitochondrial biogenesis, we found a trend toward an increased mitochondrial mass (SI Appendix, Fig. S3E) and increased OxPhos gene expression (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). Heart function was not negatively affected in CO1 mice (Fig. 2A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S2). In summary, CO1 mice show a moderate exercise intolerance, partially due to a decreased mitochondrial respiration.

The Ant1+/− baseline and exercise stress test. ANT1 mice, compared to B6 controls, showed a drastically reduced VO2max (Fig. 1D) and running time (Fig. 1F) in the exercise stress test. Consistent with previous reports (11), ANT1 mice displayed a compensated cardiomyopathy with reduced ejection fraction (Fig. 2A), thickening of the LV posterior wall (LVPW) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A), and increased LV mass (Fig. 2B) but unaltered stroke volume (SI Appendix, Fig. S2D). In skeletal muscle, mitochondrial respiration normalized to mitochondrial mass is strongly reduced (Fig. 2C and SI Appendix, Fig. S3A, B), consistent with a strong induction of mitochondrial gene expression (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). When looking at individual ANT1 mice, VO2max is not correlated to running time (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B). This is confirmed by a strong negative correlation of OxPhos gene expression with running time in skeletal muscle (Fig. 2G). Thus, the exercise capacity of ANT1 mice does not rely on OxPhos, which is consistent with impaired mitochondrial ATP export to the cytosol. Individual ANT1 mice with a higher OxPhos gene expression have a lower exercise capacity (Fig. 2G). This could be a result of the most severely affected ANT1 mice having the highest compensatory induction of mitochondrial biogenesis, or it could be that more mitochondria deplete the muscle fibers of substrates for glycolysis. Consistent with impaired OxPhos, we found evidence of an increased carbohydrate metabolism during exercise due to an increased RER during running (Fig. 1E), although we found no correlation between expression of glycolytic genes in skeletal muscle and running time among the ANT1 mice (Fig. 2G).

ANT1 mice not only display a very distinct gene expression profile in skeletal muscle (Fig. 2E), the molecular determinants of exercise capacity are also strongly altered. Forty-one of 54 pathways that correlate with a high running time in the ANT1 mice are negatively correlated with running time in the B6 mice (Fig. 2F). Vice versa, out of the 46 pathways that correlate positively with running time in the B6 mice, none correlate positively in the ANT1 mice, and 13 are even associated with a low running time (Fig. 2F). In summary, AN1 T mice display a severe exercise intolerance with underlying cardiac and skeletal muscle pathology and strongly altered determinants of exercise capacity. This implies that activation of no single pathway would likely increase the exercise capacity of both B6 mice and ANT1 mice, highlighting the potential importance of differential exercise recommendations for different PMDs.

The differential exercise capacity of mitochondrial mutations. Distinct mitochondrial mutations affect exercise capacity differently, resulting in no (ND5) to severe (ANT1) exercise intolerance (Table 1). In addition, by correlating skeletal muscle gene expression to running time, we identified four crucial molecular determinants of exercise capacity: oxidative phosphorylation, the extracellular matrix (ECM) matrisome, amino acid metabolism, and cell cycle regulation. While there is a well-known connection...
between expression of OxPhos genes and, perhaps, amino acid metabolism and exercise capacity, much less is known about the role of the expression of ECM genes and the regulation of p27 and p21 in shaping exercise capacity. Notably however, none of the four determinants correlate in the same direction in all five mouse strains (SI Appendix, Fig. S5), demonstrating how different mitochondrial mutations affect determinants of exercise capacity in different ways.

**Mitochondrial Mutations Alter the Responsiveness to Endurance Exercise.** To determine if endurance exercise is beneficial for the different mitochondrial mutant mice, 4-mo-old mice of the five genetic strains were subjected to an 8-wk endurance exercise regimen in which the mice ran every second day for 45 min at 50% of the maximal speed reached in the initial exercise stress test. We tested the exercise performance using both the exercise stress test and the calorimetry before (Fig. 1 and SI Appendix, Fig. S1) and after the 8-wk exercise regimen (Fig. 3; SI Appendix, Fig. S6; and Dataset S12). The exercise trained group of mice were compared to a control group of genotype and age matched untrained (rested) mice using the following formula: (exercise trainedpost/exercise trained prior)/(untrained [rested] post/untrained [rested] prior). Thus, deviation from 1 indicates the effects of training relative to untrained controls.

**Effects of exercise training on B6 mice.** In B6 mice, exercise training did not significantly alter VO2 or RER at rest (Fig. 3 A and B) However, it resulted in significant improvement in...
Fig. 4 expressed between trained and untrained mice, these genes response; if genes are significantly correlated and not differentially expressed as a result of training, they are static determinants of exercise response; i.e., the genes may affect exercise response, but the training does not change the expression of the genes.

Specifically, at the individual mouse level we found a positive correlation between OxPhos gene expression and improvement in running time with training (SI Appendix, Fig. S9B). However, analysis of trained vs. untrained mice showed that OxPhos gene expression was not up-regulated upon exercise training in B6 mice; rather, mice that had higher OxPhos gene expression pretraining responded better to training, while mice with lower initial OxPhos gene expression did not improve as significantly. This indicates that OxPhos gene expression is a determinant for exercise response, rather than a mediator. In summary, B6 control mice respond positively to exercise training, which is most likely due to systemic adaptations (e.g., increase in oxygen transport to the muscle) since no increase in skeletal muscle oxidative capacity was seen as a result of training.

### Effects of exercise training on ND5<sup>2044A</sup> mice.

Contrary to B6 mice, ND5 mice showed no improvement in either VO<sub>2</sub>max or running time upon exercise training (Fig. 3 C and D). Exercise training even decreased OxPhos capacity (Fig. 4E) and CI capacity (SI Appendix, Fig. S7E) in skeletal muscle of ND5 mice. This is partially compensated by a trend toward a higher mitochondrial mass (SI Appendix, Fig. S7F) and an induction of OxPhos gene expression in skeletal muscle (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). ND5 mice further showed an induction of stress response genes (SI Appendix, Fig. S8) upon exercise training, but ROS levels in skeletal muscle were not altered (Fig. 4F). Similarly, heart function was not compromised in exercise-trained ND5 mice (Fig. 4 A–C). In summary, ND5 mice demonstrate the strongest transcriptional response in skeletal muscle upon exercise training but did not improve with respect to their exercise capacity and muscle oxidative capacity, possibly due to activation of the stress response.

### Effects of exercise training on ND6<sup>9252A</sup> mice.

ND6 mice improved upon exercise training with respect to both VO<sub>2</sub>max (Fig. 3C) and running time (Fig. 3D), but activity levels were slightly lowered (SI Appendix, Fig. S6C), consistent with a minor decrease in heat production (SI Appendix, Fig. S6D). Voluntary running wheel activity was not negatively affected in exercise-trained ND6 mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S6B). In addition, the ND6 mice showed a reduced RER during running (Fig. 3E), indicating an increased beta-oxidation. In skeletal muscle, we detected an increased complex I respiration (SI Appendix, Fig. S7E) and a trend toward an increased OxPhos capacity (Fig. 4E), suggesting that the improvement in exercise physiology is due to an improved skeletal muscle oxidative capacity. However, we found a slight decrease in mitochondrial mass (SI Appendix, Fig. S7G) but no induction of mitochondrial gene expression (SI Appendix, Fig. S8) in ND6 mice upon exercise training. Heart function was not altered (Fig. 4 A–C). In summary, ND6 mice respond well to exercise training, improving in their exercise capacity due to increased muscle oxidative capacities.

### Effects of exercise training on COI<sup>921A</sup> mice.

COI mice improved in their VO<sub>2</sub>max (Fig. 3C) but not their running time (Fig. 3D) upon exercise training. The improvement in one but not the other parameter is in line with the absence of a correlation between both parameters that we already observed when we compared the individual COI mice prior to exercise training (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B). Similar to ND6 mice, exercise-trained COI mice display reduced activity levels (SI...
Effects of exercise training on mitochondrial mutations. To understand the molecular mechanisms that underlie the cardiomyopathy and its exercise-induced aggravation in ANT1 mice, we performed RNASeq of the heart in six exercise-trained and six nonexercised mice. First, we correlated the gene expression to ejection fraction among all individuals of the ANT1 strain, identifying pathways that are associated with a low cardiac function among the ANT1 mouse (SI Appendix, Fig. S10, and Fig. 4D, second column). Then we identified pathways that are altered in ANT1 hearts upon exercise training (SI Appendix, Fig. S10, and Fig. 4D, third column). Combining both approaches revealed eight candidate pathways that could mediate the worsening of the dilated cardiomyopathy in ANT1 mice, spanning cellular stress response, amino acid metabolism, translation, immune activation, and signal transduction (Fig. 4D). For example, high expression levels of the amino acid metabolism are associated with a low ejection fraction in ANT1 mice, and amino acid metabolism is up-regulated in ANT1 hearts upon exercise training. In summary, exercise training improved exercise capacity in ANT1 mice, perhaps by removing damaged mitochondria and by increasing the efficiency of the ETS in the muscle. However, exercise training aggravated the mild, preexisting cardiomyopathy in ANT1 mice, possibly by inducing the cellular stress response or altering the amino acid metabolism, constituting the first negative effect of exercise training in any of the mitochondrial mutant mice.

Differential effects of exercise training on mitochondrial mutations. To sum up, mitochondrial mutations can alter the exercise response dramatically, resulting in high responders (B6 control and ND6 mice), partial/low responders (ANT1 and CO1 mice), and nonresponders (ND5 mice) (Fig. 3A), with the latter one being the most surprising given how little of an effect the ND5 mutation had on baseline (untrained) exercise capacity (Fig. 1D and F). To evaluate if the chosen exercise training intensity affected the exercise response, we correlated the average number of shocks each mouse received during the training period (surrogate marker for individual exercise training intensity) with their exercise response. We did not find any significant correlations for either VO₂ max (SI Appendix, Fig. S11A) or running time (SI Appendix, Fig. S11B) with the exercise training intensity in any of the strains, providing no evidence for a suboptimal training intensity.

On the gene expression level, mitochondrial mutations altered the determinants of exercise response (SI Appendix, Fig. S9B), consistent with the observed alterations in exercise
We revealed a total of 19 pathways that reached significance in all five strains, encompassing OxPhos, amino acid metabolism, the matrisome, and translation (SI Appendix, Fig. S9B). Out of these 19 pathways, only expression of OxPhos and TCA cycle genes showed a uniform directionality across all five mouse strains (SI Appendix, Fig. S9B), suggesting these pathways could serve as predictive markers for endurance exercise response. Last, we asked if the expression of any individual gene could act as a predictive marker of exercise response. However, no single gene showed a significant correlation with exercise response and uniform directionality of the correlation in more than three mouse strains (SI Appendix, Fig. S9C). Thus, our results demonstrate that the various mitochondrial mutations have differing effects on exercise physiology, resulting not only in an altered exercise response but also in modification of cellular and molecular determinants of exercise capacity and response (Table 2). While endurance exercise proved mostly beneficial in mitochondrial mutant mice, the aggravation of cardiomyopathy in one out of five strains warns against a generalized exercise recommendation in PMD patients and emphasizes the need to take into account their specific mutations.

**Discussion**

Analyzing the effects of endurance exercise on our mouse models with defined but distinct mitochondrial mutations has produced three important findings. First, we demonstrated the crucial role of mitochondria in mediating and shaping the exercise response. This is true not only for severe mitochondrial mutations such as the ND6, COI, and ANT1 that heavily impact the exercise capacity but also for the mild ND5 variant which abrogated endurance exercise response despite no effect on the general exercise capacity at baseline. Consequently, activation of mitochondrial biogenesis is considered a key factor in the positive adaption to endurance exercise, we revealed that it correlates with a low exercise capacity in some mitochondrial mutant mice. Consequently,
Table 2. Overview of effects of exercise training on mitochondrial mutant mice

| Exercise compared to nonexercised | B6 | ND5 | ND6 | COI | ANT1 |
|-----------------------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| **CLAMS**                         |    |     |     |     |      |
| VO₂ rest (Fig. 3A)                | n.s.| n.s.| n.s.| n.s.| n.s. |
| RER rest (Fig. 3B)                | n.s.| n.s.| n.s.| n.s.| n.s. |
| Weight (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A)    |    |     |     |     |      |
| Running wheel (SI Appendix, Fig. S5B) | #  |    |     |     |      |
| Activity (SI Appendix, Fig. S5C)  | n.s.| n.s. | #   | #   | #    |
| Heat production (SI Appendix, Fig. S5D) | n.s.| n.s. | #   | n.s.| n.s. |
| **Exercise stress test**          |    |     |     |     |      |
| VO₂max (Fig. 3C)                  | #  | n.s.| #   | n.s.| n.s. |
| Running time (Fig. 3D)            | ### | n.s.| #   | n.s.| #    |
| RER average (Fig. 3E)             | n.s.| #   | n.s.| n.s.| n.s. |
| **Skeletal muscle respirometry**  |    |     |     |     |      |
| OxPhos capacity (Fig. 4E)         | n.s.| #   | n.s.| n.s.| n.s. |
| ROS production at OxPhos (Fig. 4F) | n.s.| n.s.| n.s.| #   |      |
| Leak/ETS (Fig. 4G)                | #  | n.s.| n.s.| n.s.| #    |
| ETS capacity (SI Appendix, Fig. S6D) | # |    |     |     |      |
| Complex I capacity (SI Appendix, Fig. S6E) | # |    |     |     |      |
| Mitochondrial mass (SI Appendix, Fig. S6F) | n.s.| #   | n.s.| n.s.| n.s. |
| **Echocardiography**              |    |     |     |     |      |
| Ejection fraction (Fig. 4A)       | n.s.| n.s.| n.s.| n.s.| #    |
| Diameter diastole (Fig. 4B)       | n.s.| n.s.| n.s.| #   |      |
| LV mass (Fig. 4C)                 | n.s.| n.s.| n.s.| #   |      |
| LVPMV,d (SI Appendix, Fig. S6A)   | n.s.| n.s.| #   | n.s.| n.s. |
| LVAW,d (SI Appendix, Fig. S6B)    | n.s.| n.s.| #   | n.s.| n.s. |
| Stroke volume (SI Appendix, Fig. S6C) | n.s.| n.s.| n.s.| n.s.| n.s. |

Physiology of exercise-trained mitochondrial mutant mice compared to nonexercised control mice. Color indicates directionality (red indicates down, blue indicates up, and white indicates no difference), and color richness indicates strength of effect. Significances are indicated by pound symbols (*P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001).
response. While this is true in ANT1 mice, CO1 mice show a strong negative correlation of ECM expression with the physiologic exercise response. ECM homeostasis is a balance between anabolic and catabolic processes, and it was shown that metabolic disorders can result in a dysbalance (52). Thus, our results point to the ECM homeostasis being affected differently in ANT1 and CO1 mice, thereby explaining the opposite directionality of the correlation of matrisome gene expression with exercise capacity in those strains and pointing to a role for mitochondria in ECM regulation and connective tissue disorder.

Taken together, mitochondrial mutations affect both the exercise response as well as its determinants. This implies that there may not be universal biomarkers for exercise response, since a marker that correlates with a beneficial exercise response in individuals of one mitochondrial genotype might correlate with an adverse exercise response in individuals of another mitochondrial genotype. Indeed, this finding might explain the relatively small overlap of predictors of exercise capacity identified in different studies (53) and emphasizes the need to account for the mitochondrial genotype in future trials.

Our findings have particular significance for mitochondrial patients, highlighting the need for personalized prescriptions of physical activity dependent on the underlying mitochondrial mutation. The same is true for the use of exercise mimetics, given the significant changes in determinants of exercise capacity with the different mitochondrial mutations. Unfortunately, we could not identify reliable predictors for exercise capacity that are valid across all mitochondrial mutant mice. In contrast, high expression of OxPhos genes was correlated with a high physiologic exercise response across all strains. Given that we did not observe an up-regulation of OxPhos genes with exercise, this indicates that the expression levels of OxPhos genes could serve as a predictor for exercise response.

Some limitations of our study are important to consider. First, we only used male mice since males are often more affected by mitochondrial disorders. However, sex might be a crucial biological variable that future studies would need to address to allow generalizable conclusions. Second, we focused mostly on parameters associated to a peripheral limitation (oxygen consumption by skeletal muscle) instead of central limitation (oxygen transport to the muscle) since a peripheral limitation is more likely in mitochondrial disorders. However, it is important to consider additional adaptive mechanisms to endurance exercise, such as angiogenesis, which can be modulated by mitochondria (54). These adaptions to central limitations are likely responsible for the increased exercise capacity in B6 control mice upon training since we did not find an increased oxidative capacity on the skeletal muscle level.

We performed both respirometry and RNASeq in the soleus muscle, which is an atypical muscle in mice due to a high percentage of type I fibers. We chose this muscle because mitochondrial mutations will mostly affect the oxidative type I fibers. In addition, the human muscles have a much higher percentage of type I fibers compared to mice, so the gene expression response of the soleus muscle in mice is the most comparable to human. Last, we focused on gene expression, which can be more responsive directly after an exercise stimulus. Thus, future studies correlating the proteome with exercise capacity and response might reveal additional determinants of exercise capacity.

Despite the significant variations in exercise response and determinants of exercise capacity with the mitochondrial mutations, we mostly found a positive exercise response with increased exercise capacity and reduced ROS production. This is in line with previous studies that reported beneficial effects of endurance exercise in the mitochondrial mutator mouse (55) and a complex I-deficient mouse model (56). It was shown that on the skeletal muscle level, mitochondrial function in mice translates well into humans (57). With respect to exercise physiology, humans are mostly limited by the oxygen transport to the muscles (central limitation), while mice are more limited by mitochondrial oxygen utilization (58, 59). However, in mitochondrial patients the mutations predominantly limit the mitochondrial oxygen utilization, thereby resulting in a shift toward a peripheral limitation of exercise capacity. This suggests that mice are valid models for the exercise adaptions in mitochondrial patients upon endurance exercise training and that endurance exercise might benefit patients with mitochondrial diseases. This is in line with a recent study that found an increased physical capacity in mitochondrial patients after an 8-wk exercise intervention (60).

Importantly, however, in ANT1 mice we found an aggravation of the preexisting, mild cardiomyopathy with endurance exercise. Endurance exercise can cause cardiac remodeling by volume overload, resulting in an increased LV diameter and increased wall thickness accompanied with a slight reduction in ejection fraction (61). This is known as athletes’ heart and is often hard to distinguish from cardiomyopathy (62, 63). Exercised ANT1 mice do show LV dilation but no increase in wall thickness. In combination with the preexisting reduction in ejection fraction and the natural development of dilated cardiomyopathy with age (64), this indicates that the exercise-induced cardiac remodeling in ANT1 mice is pathologic rather than adaptive. Similarly, exercise-induced cardiomyopathy has been described in rats and humans but mostly for right ventricular dysfunction associated with ventricular arrhythmias (65, 66) or a higher prevalence of atrial fibrillation in endurance athletes (67). There has been no prior evidence that endurance exercise can worsen dilated cardiomyopathy. Mechanistically, the volume overload upon exercise could underlie the cardiac dilation in exercise-trained ANT1 mice. However, no LV dilation was observed in the other mitochondrial mutant mouse strains, suggesting an ANT1-specific mechanism. RNASEq indicated a role for stress response, immune system activation, and remodeling of electric coupling in the maladaptive response to exercise training in ANT1 mice, both of which have been described in cardiac remodeling upon exercise (68, 69). In contrast, we did not find an increase in the integrated stress response in skeletal muscle of ANT1 mice upon exercise training, suggesting no systemic stress or inflammatory response.

Current recommendations for patients with cardiomyopathy suggest moderate physical activity due to the cardiovascular benefits outweighing the increased risk of sudden cardiac deaths (70, 71). Here we revealed another risk factor in adverse cardiac remodeling of a mitochondrial cardiomyopathy upon endurance exercise.

Taken together, we demonstrated that endurance exercise is generally beneficial across different mitochondrial mutant mice, indicating its potential for PMD patients. In addition, we revealed that mitochondrial variations alter the exercise capacity, exercise response, and the determinants thereof, requiring personalized exercise recommendations in mitochondrial patients to avoid adverse events.

Materials and Methods

Mice. Five mouse strains were used for this study, all on the C57BL/6J (Nnt+/+)

background. ND5 mice harboring the mtDNA mutation ND5 m.12352C > T (ND5T), ND6 mice harboring the mtDNA mutation ND6 m.13997G > A
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(ND6<sup>255L</sup>, CO1 mice harboring the mtDNA mutation CO1m.6589T & C (CO1<sup>1421T</sup>), AN1 mice deficient for the nuclear-encoded adenine nucleotide translocator 1 (ANT1, Slc25a4<sup>−/−</sup>) and harboring the ND5 m.12352C > T (ND5<sup>2046</sup>) mutation, and wild-type mice (B6). All mice were maintained on a 12:12 h light-dark cycle and fed SIOD diet from Picolab. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee from the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia approved all protocols, and the protocols comply with all relevant ethical regulations regarding animal research.

**Study Design.** Starting at the age of 16 wk, male mice were housed in the Comprehensive Lab Animal Monitoring System (CLAMS; Columbus Instruments) for 48 h to record their basal metabolism. After 2 d of recovery in their home cages, mice were subjected to an initial exercise stress test on a metabolic treadmill (Columbus Instruments) to assess their baseline exercise physiology. Two days later, half of the mice (selected randomly) started exercise training on an Exer 3/6 (Columbus Instruments). Exercise training consisted of running every second day for 45 min at 50% of the maximal step completed in the initial exercise stress test for a duration of 8 wk (28 training sessions). Nonexercised littermates were handled but were not run. Two days after the last training session, all mice were housed in the CLAMS again for 48 h. After 2 d of recovery in their home cages, mice performed an exercise stress test using the same protocol and performed at the same time of day as the initial test. After another 2 d of recovery in their home cages, echocardiography was performed on the mice, and mice were killed the same day within a time window of 4 h. Heart, gastrocnemius muscle, soleus muscle, tibialis anterior and extensor digitorum longus (EDL) muscles were flash frozen. From 1/4 of the mice, one gastrocnemius muscle was subjected to NADH Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy (FLIM) measurements of the NAD+/NADH redox state, and from 3/4 of the mice, one soleus muscle was subjected to FluorRespirometry to assess skeletal muscle respiration. Mice were not fasted prior to any of the readouts or prior to being killed.

**CLAMS.** The CLAMS environmental chamber was set to 23 °C and a 12:12 light-dark cycle. The Oxymax (Columbus Instruments) was calibrated immediately prior to every measurement using a mix of 20.5% O<sub>2</sub> and 0.5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Airflow was set to 0.5 L/min fresh air to each of the eight individual CLAMS cages. Mice were weighted and put in the CLAMS (Columbus Instruments) in the morning (10 AM to noon). Quantification of parameters started the following day with start of the light cycle at 7 AM and lasted for 24 h. Parameters quantified were VO<sub>2</sub>, VCO<sub>2</sub>, activity, running wheel activity, and food consumption at time intervals of ∼15 min for every mouse. This allowed calculation of the RER (VCO<sub>2</sub>/VO<sub>2</sub>) and energy expenditure (heat = (3.815 ÷ 1.232 × RER) × VO<sub>2</sub>).

**Metabolic Treadmill.** The Oxymax (Columbus Instruments) was calibrated once per day using a mix of 20.5% O<sub>2</sub> and 0.5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Airflow was set to 0.5 L/min and the sampling interval to 5 s. The shock grid was set to shock intervals of 1/s and at the lowest shock intensity of 0.35 mA. The stepwise ramp protocol started with a 15-min acclimation period with the belt unmoving and the incline at 0°. The first step at 3 min was held for 5 min for the mouse getting used to the moving belt. From there, the speed was increased every 2 min to 5/7/5/10 min/min continuing in 2 min steps. At 12/16/20/26/30 min/min the speed was held for 4 min, and after the 2 min of the intervals, the incline of the treadmill was increased to 5/10/15/20/25°, respectively. Mice were run until exhaustion, which was defined as 5 consecutive seconds on the shock grid. Upon exhaustion, the belt was stopped and the shock grid turned off. Mice were kept another 12 min in the treadmill to record the recovery period. We chose this exercise stress test protocol with a relatively slow start and a faster ramp up of exercise intensity at 12 min/min through more frequent increase of incline to keep exercise time scales between AN1 mice and the other mice as comparable as possible while maintaining enough differentiation to tease out the minor exercise intolerance of ND6 and CO1 mice.

**Echocardiography.** Echocardiography was performed using a Vevo2100 and a MS550D transducer (VisualSonics). Mice were anesthetized with 3% isoflurane in 100% O<sub>2</sub>, which was lowered to 1.5% during the procedure, targeting a heart rate of 450 bpm for the images. Average anesthesia length was ∼10 min per mouse. First, B-mode images of the parasternal long-axis view were taken, followed by B- and M-mode images of the parasternal short-axis view. For analysis, the LV trace function of VEVO LAB 3.2.6 (Visual Sonics) was used on the M-mode images of the parasternal short-axis view. To correct cardiac parameters for variations in heart rate, cardiac parameters of every mouse quantified at different heart rates were plotted over the heart rate. The resulting linear correlations for each strain were used to correct for the effects of differences in heart rate on cardiac parameters between individual mice.

**FluorRespirometry.** Mitochondrial respiration and ROS production in soleus muscle were assessed by the Oroboros Oxygraph-2K FluorRespirometry (Oroboros Instruments) as described previously (72). The Oroboros Oxygraph-2k was first calibrated to air with Mir05CR respiratory buffer (0.5 mM EGTA, 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 60 mM lactobionic acid, 20 mM taurine, 10 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 20 mM Hepes, 110 mM D-sucrose, and fatty acid-free BSA [1 g/L] with the addition of 20 mM creatine and 5 mM DTPA) using the following settings: block temperature, 37°C; stirrer speed, 750 rpm; oxygen sensor gain, 2; data recording interval, 2 s; gain of fluorescence-module-green, 300. Calibration for ROS quantification was performed before the start of each respirometry run with the injection of 2 μL of 10 mM AmplexUM UltraRed (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 2 μL of peroxidase (500 U/mL), 2 μL of superoxide dismutase (5 U/mL), and calibration standards of 2 × 4 μL of 2.5 mM hydrogen peroxide. Additional calibrations using hydrogen peroxide were performed after the addition of the tissues and at the end of the measurement to account for a potential decrease in sensitivity.

Solei were dissected from mice and cut into four pieces, starting at the distal end. Each cut was perpendicular to the direction of the muscle fibers, allowing for permeabilization of the muscle fibers (validated by the absence of routine respiration before the addition of substrates). The resulting 2 × 2 pieces were weighed and suspended in Mir05CR respiratory buffer, and two muscle pieces were added into each respiration chamber in 400 μL of Mir05CR.

Substrates for mitochondrial respiration were added, and both respiration and ROS production were quantified after each addition. First, 5 μL of 2 M pyruvate, 2.5 μL of 400 mM malate, and 10 μL of 2 M glutamate were added, followed by 20 μL of 0.5 M adenosine diphosphate to measure complex I respiration. Addition of 20 μL of 1 M succinate provided OXPhos capacity. Then, 0.5 μL of 10 mM oligomycin was added to block complex V and determine leak respiration, followed by a titration of the uncoupler carbonyl cyanide-p-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone (FCCP, 1 mM stock in 1-μL increments) to assess the ETS capacity. The chambers were reoxygenated prior to the addition of FCCP to avoid oxygen availability as a potential limiting factor. Five microliters of 1 mM rotenone was injected to ascertain complex II respiration. Finally, 2 μL of 5 mM antimycin A was added to assess nonmitochondrial background respiration, for which all other respiratory states were corrected. The chambers were reoxygenated again, providing a quantification of ROS production at the same respiratory state with two different oxygen concentrations. This allowed us to correct for the effect of oxygen levels on ROS production. In addition, ROS production after Antimycin A and upon ambient oxygen levels provided a surrogate marker for mitochondrial mass (SI Appendix, Fig. S12) due to homologation of mitochondrial ROS production in the presence of all uncouplers and inhibitors (5). Data were recorded and analyzed using DatLab7.

**Citrates Synthase Activity.** Flash-frozen gastrocnemius muscle was powdered using a mortar and pestle on dry ice. The powder was weighed and resuspended in homogenization buffer (50 mM triethanolamine, 1 mM EDTA) at 1:10 wt:vol and incubated for 15 min at 4°C. Citrate synthase (CS) enzyme activity was determined by the change in absorbance of DTNB (Ellman’s reagent) measured at 412 nm in kinetic mode. In a 96-well plate, 20 μL of CS assay mix (200 mM Tris, 10 mM acetyl-CoA, 10 mM DTNB, 1% Triton X-100), 7 μL of oxa-loacetate (2 mM), and 10 μL of homogenate were added.

**RNASeq.**

**Skeletal muscle.** Sixty flash-frozen mouse soleus muscle samples, consisting of six non-exercise-trained, three exercise-trained responder, and three exercise-trained nonresponder samples for each of the five strains; i.e., B6 control, ND5, ND6, CO1, and ANT1, were sent to Geneviz for RNASeq using Poly-A-primers. Responders and nonresponders were selected as the highest (responders) or lowest (nonresponders) average fold change in VO<sub>2</sub>max and running time upon exercise training. RNASeq fastq files were processed using the Spliced Transcripts Alignment to a Reference (STAR) alignment tool and subsequently normalized using the RNA-Seq by Expectation-Maximization (RSEM) package based upon the mm10 reference genome and the gencode version M17 gene annotation.
Quality control (QC) was performed by clustering all samples using principal component analysis (PCA). Differential gene expression analysis was performed by comparing each gene in the exercise-trained vs. the non-exercise-trained group within each strain. The voom procedure was used to normalize the RSEM-generated expected counts followed by differential expression testing using R package limma to obtain P values and LogFC. Specifically, a total of 58,581 genes were tested for differential expression between the control and treatment samples. Pathway enrichment was performed using Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) version 4.1.0 using a weighted scoring scheme and Hallmark and C2 CP gene sets. The same procedure of differential gene expression and pathway enrichment using GSEA was repeated for comparisons of 1) non-exercise-trained samples between each strain and 2) exercise-trained samples between each strain. In addition, enrichment analysis was performed in Metascape (73) between non-exercise-trained mutant strains versus non-exercise-trained B6 control (including genes with adjusted P value < 0.05) and between exercise-trained versus non-exercise-trained within each strain (including genes with nominal P value < 0.05).

Regression analysis was performed in order to find gene expression profiles associated with physiologic covariabiles within each strain and across all strains. Similarly, linear regression was also performed to find covariabiles associated with strain.

Next, we wanted to identify pathways enriched for the genes associated with select covariabiles like running time and VO2max. The covariate analysis output was first split into positively correlated genes with estimate > 0 and negatively correlated genes with estimate < 0. Next, both lists were sorted by ascending P values and descending r-squared values. Sequential ranks were assigned from the most positively correlated to the most positively correlated genes from low to high. Using the ranked covariate output, gSEA (fast pre-ranked gene set enrichment analysis) was performed using the R package fGSEA. This process was repeated for running time, VO2max, delta running time (improvement in running time by exercise training, in exercise-trained mice only), and delta VO2max (improvement in VO2max by exercise training, in exercise-trained samples only) for each of the five strains as well as across all strains.

Heart. Sixty flash-frozen mouse heart samples, consisting of six non-exercise-trained, three exercise-trained responder, and three exercise-trained nonresponder samples for each of the five strains, i.e., B6 control, NDS, N6d, CO1, and AN1 were sent to Genewiz for RNASeq using Poly-A-primers. RNASeq fastq files were processed using the STAR alignment tool and subsequently normalized using the RSEM package based upon the mm10 reference genome and the gene code version M17 gene annotation.

QC was performed by clustering all samples using PCA. Differential gene expression analysis was performed by comparing each gene in the exercised vs. the non-exercised group within each strain. The voom procedure was used to normalize the RSEM-generated expected counts followed by differential expression testing using R package limma to obtain P values and LogFC. Specifically, a total of 58,581 genes were tested for differential expression between the control and treatment samples. Pathway enrichment was performed using GSEA version 4.1.0 using a weighted scoring scheme and Hallmark and C2 CP gene sets. The same procedure of differential gene expression and pathway enrichment using GSEA was repeated for comparisons of 1) non-exercise-trained samples between each strain and 2) exercise-trained samples between each strain.

Regression analysis was performed in order to find gene expression profiles associated with physiologic covariabiles within each strain and across all strains.

Similarly, linear regression was also performed to find covariabiles associated with strain.

Next, we wanted to get pathways enriched for the genes associated with select covariabiles like LV diameter, stroke volume, ejection fraction, fractional shortening, LV mass, LV anterior wall thickness, and LVPW thickness for each of the five strains as well as across all strains. The covariate analysis output was first split into positively correlated genes with estimate > 0 and negatively correlated genes with estimate < 0. Next, both lists were sorted by ascending P values and descending r-squared values. Sequential ranks were assigned from the most negatively correlated to the most positively correlated genes from low to high. Using the ranked covariate output, gSEA analysis was performed using the R package fGSEA.

Data Availability. Code is available via GitHub (https://github.com/komalsrathi/skeletal-muscle-maseq). The raw and processed RNASeq data have been deposited at NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and are accessible through GEO Series accession no. GSE198229 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE198229). Differential gene expression and GSEA results are attached to this publication as supporting datasets (Datasets S1–S11).

Statistics. Gaussian distributions of the data were checked using a D’Agostino and Pearson omnibus normality test (significance level α < 0.05). If the normality test was passed, a two-tailed t test or a one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction for multiple testing was performed; if the normality test failed, a Mann-Whitney test or Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparison tests was used.

The effects of exercise training in tests that were performed before and after training are displayed as fold change normalized to nonexercised (rested) and calculated as

$$\frac{\text{exercised}_{\text{post}} - \text{exercised}_{\text{pre}}}{\text{rested}_{\text{post}} - \text{rested}_{\text{pre}}}.$$  

This normalization was performed to correct for potential change in the parameters with age in the nonexercised cohort. Significance between strains were indicated graphically as asterisks and between exercised and rested as * (P < 0.05), **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001. Statistics for RNASeq are described in RNASeq.
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