Non-coding RNAs regulating morphine function: with emphasis on the in vivo and in vitro functions of miR-190
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Non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs), especially microRNAs, are reported to be involved in a variety of biological processes, including several processes related to drug addiction. It has been suggested that the biological functions of opioids, one typical type of addictive drugs, are regulated by ncRNAs. In the current review, we examine a variety of mechanisms through which ncRNAs could regulate μ-opioid receptor (OPRM1) activities and thereby contribute to the development of opioid addiction. Using miR-23b as an example, we present the possible ways in which ncRNA-mediated regulation of OPRM1 expression could impact opioid addiction. Using miR-190 as an example, we demonstrate the critical roles played by ncRNAs in the signal cascade from receptor to systemic responses, including the possible modulation of adult neurogenesis and in vivo contextual memory. After discussing the possible targets of ncRNAs involved in the development of opioid addiction, we summarize the mechanisms underlying the interaction between ncRNAs and opioid addiction and present suggestions for further study.
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The history of opioid addiction can be traced back to the first use of morphine, one of the most efficacious and oldest drugs in the treatment of moderate to severe pain. There are also other opioids used in clinic or laboratory: fentanyl, oxycodone, etorphine, and [D-Ala², N-MePhe⁴, Gly-ol]-enkephalin (DAMGO). Although opioid addiction is considered to be a complex process involving genetic/epigenetic, cellular, and molecular regulation of neuronal plasticity and drug-related contextual memory, opioid receptors are definitely the major determinants in the addiction process because the receptors are the initiation sites of all opioid function. Since the first discovery of the opioid receptor in 1973 by scientists (Pert and Snyder, 1973), four members in the family of opioid receptors have been identified: µ-opioid receptor (OPRM1), δ-opioid receptor (OPRD1), κ-opioid receptor (OPRK1), and nociceptin receptor (ORL1). OPRM1 and OPRM1-related pathways are discussed in the current review.

### OPIOID ADDICTION AND ncRNAs

Although there has been no reported exploration of how ncRNAs regulate opioid addiction, several miRNAs have been suggested to interact with the signaling pathway downstream of OPRM1 and possibly be involved in the opioid addiction process, as reviewed by Dreyer and Li (Dreyer, 2010; Li and van der Vaart, 2011).

As summarized in Table 1, by inducing a decrease in miR-133b and a subsequent increase in the expression of Pitx3, morphine regulated dopaminergic neuron differentiation in zebrafish embryos (Sanchez-Simon et al., 2010). Significant changes in the expression of 26 miRNAs, including miR-15b and miR-181b, were identified between morphine-treated and control human monocyte-derived macrophages (Dave and Khalili, 2010). A let-7 binding site was identified in the 3′-UTR of OPRM1 mRNA, and decreasing the brain level of let-7 partially attenuated the tolerance induced by morphine (He et al., 2010). In the previous reports from our laboratory, long-term morphine treatment increased the expression of miR-20a, miR-23b, miR-224, miR-331, and miR-365 in both mice hippocampi and primary cultures of rat hippocampal neurons. Long-term fentanyl treatment increased the expression of miR-224, miR-331, and miR-365, but decreased the expression of miR-184, miR-190, and miR-301 (Wu et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2010d). The agonist-selective regulation of miR-190 and its target, neurogenic differentiation 1 (NeuroD), resulted in differential influences over the dendritic spine stability of hippocampal neurons (Zheng et al., 2010c). In addition, miR-23b targeted the 3′-UTR of OPRM1 mRNA and regulated the association between OPRM1 mRNA and polysomes (Wu et al., 2008, 2009). Five long ncRNAs were up-regulated in the nucleus accumbens of heroin abusers when compared with drug-free control subjects (Michelhaugh et al., 2011). The ncRNAs listed in Table 1 may contribute to the development of opioid addiction by affecting different aspects of opioid signaling, though further confirmation is still required.

### ncRNAs MAY CONTRIBUTE TO OPIOID ADDICTION BY CONTROLLING RECEPTOR EXPRESSION

On the one hand, opioid treatment affects the expression of several miRNAs: morphine treatment affected the expression levels of miR-23b and let-7, which have binding sites on the 3′-UTR of the OPRM1 mRNA and control the expression of OPRM1 (Wu et al., 2008, 2009; He et al., 2010). On the other hand, the expression level of opioid receptor, especially membrane receptor, is essential for receptor signaling or even opioid addiction. Some OPRM1 agonists, like etorphine and DAMGO, induce receptor internalization and subsequent loss of membrane receptor after acute treatment (El Kouhen et al., 2001; Eisinger and Schulz, 2005). Although debate still exists, receptor internalization correlates closely with signaling desensitization in vitro (Koch et al., 2001; Qu et al., 2003) and analgesia tolerance in vivo (Zuo, 2003; Narita et al., 2006). In addition, OPRM1 down-regulation has been observed after chronic treatment with morphine (Davis et al., 1979) and has been considered as one mechanism for the development of opioid tolerance (Tao et al., 1987; Bhargava and Gulati, 1990). Since tolerance is linked with addiction, it is still reasonable to suggest the involvement of receptor down-regulation in opioid addiction. Thus, the signaling cascade from opioid to the expression of several

**Table 1 | Non-coding RNAs involved in opioid signaling.**

| ncRNAs involved | Phenomena | Reference |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| let-7           | Morphine increases the expression of let-7, which binds to the 3′-UTR of OPRM1 mRNA. Decreasing let-7 impaired morphine-induced tolerance | He et al. (2010) |
| miR-15b, miR-181b, plus 24 additional miRNAs | These 26 miRNAs were regulated in morphine-treated human monocyte-derived macrophages | Dave and Khalili (2010) |
| miR-20a, miR-184, miR-224, miR-301, miR-331, and miR-365 | Morphine increases the expression of miR-20a, miR-23b, miR-224, miR-331, and miR-365. Fentanyl increases the expression of miR-224, miR-331, and miR-365, but decreases the expression of miR-184, miR-190, and miR-301 | Zheng et al. (2010d) |
| miR-23b | Morphine increases the expression of miR-23b, which can regulate the expression of OPRM1 | Wu et al. (2008, 2009) |
| miR-133b | Morphine decreases the expression of miR-133b in zebrafish embryos, which subsequently affects dopaminergic neuron differentiation | Sanchez-Simon et al. (2010) |
| miR-190 | Fentanyl decreases the expression of miR-190, which subsequently affects the NeuroD-related pathways | Zheng et al. (2010a, c, d) |
| MIAT, MEG3, NEAT1, NEAT2, and EMX2OS | These five long ncRNAs are up-regulated in the nucleus accumbens of heroin abusers | Michelhaugh et al. (2011) |
ncRNAs and then to OPRM1 expression may be a mechanism for opioid addiction.

There have been numerous studies of the promoter region and UTR of OPRM1 (Min et al., 1994; Kraus et al., 1995; Shigeta et al., 2008). Two miRNAs have been reported to bind the 3′-UTR of OPRM1 mRNA and regulate the expression of OPRM1. Let-7 bound to the 399–405 region in 3′-UTR of the human OPRM1 mRNA and the 402–408 region in the 3′-UTR of mouse OPRM1 mRNA. It also impaired the association between OPRM1 mRNA and polysomes (He et al., 2010). In our laboratory, the K box in the 3′-UTR of the OPRM1 mRNA (3805–3812 bp downstream from the stop codon) was identified to be a negative cis-acting element (Wu et al., 2008). Since, in Drosophila, K box interacts with miR-2 and miR-16, which have seed sequences homologous to that of miR-23b (Kimura et al., 2004; Kokkola et al., 2005), we assessed the ability of miR-23b to regulate OPRM1 expression. Down-regulation of miR-23b expression increased the endogenous level of OPRM1 protein in NS20Y cells (Wu et al., 2008). In order to determine the involvement of miR-23b in the signaling cascade of OPRM1, we also tested the expression of miR-23b after morphine treatment. Morphine treatment increased the expression of miR-23b in an exogenous system (N2a cells stably expressing OPRM1) as well as an endogenous system (SHSY5Y and NMB cells; Wu et al., 2009). Although transcriptional regulation of OPRM1 mRNA is limited during opioid addiction since OPRM1 mRNA level does not change after morphine treatment (Brodsky et al., 1995), the post-transcriptional regulation of receptor expression should be studied in depth.

Let-7 and miR-23b are definitely not the only ncRNAs that regulate the expression of OPRM1. Additional ncRNAs can be identified via bioinformatics methods, microarray studies, or other experimental procedures. Basing future studies on the current understanding of ncRNAs, it will not be difficult to explore the mechanisms through which the identified ncRNAs regulate OPRM1 expression. However, it will be difficult to explore the roles played by these ncRNAs in opioid addiction. One of the most reasonable studies will be to determine whether opioid treatment can affect the expression of these miRNAs, as with the studies on let-7 and miR-23b.

ncRNAs MAY CONTRIBUTE TO OPIOID ADDICTION VIA miR-190-RELATED PATHWAYS

Addiction is highly related to changes in neuronal activity and involves a number of brain nuclei, thus, modulating neuronal circuitry should be one possible mechanism through which ncRNAs regulate opioid addiction (Di Chiara et al., 2004; Kelley, 2004; Koob, 2009). Since neuronal circuitry is a large and complex topic and ncRNAs can affect the expression of many proteins within the neuronal circuitry (Bartel, 2004; Kosik, 2006), the current discussion focuses on the signaling cascade surrounding miR-190.

Using microarray analysis, we determined the opioid-induced changes in the expression profiles of miRNAs in primary cultures of hippocampal neurons and in mice hippocampi (Zheng et al., 2010d). Two opioids, morphine and fentanyl, were used in our studies, because of their different characteristics in inducing receptor internalization, receptor phosphorylation, and receptor desensitization (Keith et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 1998; Chu et al., 2010; Zheng et al., 2011). The two opioids induced similar changes in the expression of miR-224, miR-331, and miR-365, but had agonist-selective effects on the expression of miR-20a, miR-184, miR-190, and miR-301 (Zheng et al., 2010d). In our several other reports, we delineated both the upstream and downstream signaling pathways of miR-190 (Zheng et al., 2010a,b,c, 2011).

The signaling cascades surrounding miR-190 are summarized in Figure 1. Fentanyl, but not morphine, uses the β-arrestin pathway to induce extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) phosphorylation and leads to the nuclear translocation of phosphorylated ERK. Phosphorylated ERK decreases transcription of Talin2 by affecting the phosphorylation status of Yin Yang 1 (YY1) and the subsequent interaction between YY1 and Talin2 promoter (Zheng et al., 2008, 2010a). Since miR-190 is located in the intron of Talin2, fentanyl treatment decreases the expression of miR-190. Since morphine induces ERK phosphorylation in a PKC-dependent manner, morphine treatment does not affect the expression of miR-190, an example of agonist-selective regulation on miR-190 expression.

**FIGURE 1** Schematic illustration of signaling pathways surrounding miR-190. Morphine uses PKC pathway to induce ERK phosphorylation, fentanyl induces ERK phosphorylation in a β-arrestin-dependent manner. Fentanyl decreases miR-190 expression via β-arrestin pathway, YY1, and talin2. Fentanyl-increased NeuroD protein level was mediated by miR-190. Since both morphine and fentanyl impaired CaMKIIα activity, there is agonist-selective regulation on NeuroD activity. Morphine decreases NeuroD activity, but fentanyl keeps it at basal level. The activity of NeuroD may contribute to DCX expression, dendritic spine stability, neuron functions, adult neurogenesis, learning, and memory.
miR-190 binds to the 3′-UTR of NeuroD mRNA and induces a decrease of NeuroD protein (Zheng et al., 2010d). NeuroD activity is influenced by both the NeuroD protein level and calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII)α-mediated phosphorylation on serine 336 (Gaudilliere et al., 2004). Since both morphine and fentanyl decrease the activity of CaMKIIα, the two opioids regulate NeuroD activity differentially (Zheng et al., 2010c). On the one hand, after fentanyl treatment, decreased expression of miR-190 leads to an increase in NeuroD protein, which counteracts the reduced activity of CaMKIIα. Thus, fentanyl maintains NeuroD activity close to basal levels. On the other hand, after morphine treatment, miR-190 expression is not affected, but the reduced activity of CaMKIIα leads to the impaired activity of NeuroD. Thus, morphine decreases NeuroD activity (Zheng et al., 2010c).

Since NeuroD is critical for neuronal morphology (Gaudilliere et al., 2004), morphine and fentanyl differentially regulate dendritic spine stability and the electrophysiology of hippocampal neurons (Liao et al., 2007a,b; Zheng et al., 2010c). Furthermore, due to the involvement of NeuroD and its target, Doublecortin (DCX), in adult neurogenesis in the subgranular zone of dentate gyrus (Cho and Tsai, 2004; Hevner et al., 2006; von Bohlen Und Halbach, 2007), there may exist a connection between agonist-selective signaling, opioid addiction, and ncRNA expression (Eisch and Harburg, 2006; Leuner et al., 2006).

To characterize the possible connection between miR-190-related signaling pathways and opioid addiction, we categorized the factors mentioned above into three types: (1) those related to agonist-dependent signaling of OPRM1 including β-arrestin, ERK, and YY1; (2) those expressed in the central nervous system with close relation with miR-190, including CaMKIIα and Talin2; and (3) NeuroD and NeuroD-related biological processes, including dendritic spine stability, adult neurogenesis, contextual learning, and memory.

**Agonist-selective signaling**

The first several factors discussed here are closely related to agonist-selective signaling. Different from the “intrinsic efficacy” concept, which suggests that each agonist activates the signaling pathways under one particular receptor with similar efficacies (Furchgott, 1966; Kenakin, 1995), agonist-selective signaling theory suggests that agonists may activate different signaling pathways differentially (Urban et al., 2007; Drake et al., 2008). Although no direct evidence links agonist-selective signaling with opioid addiction, the opioids do have differing abilities to induce tolerance (Duttaroy and Yoburn, 1995).

Extracellular signal-regulated kinase phosphorylation may be involved in opioid addiction. The ERK pathway has been reported to regulate learning and memory, which in turn relate to drug addiction (Mazzucchelli et al., 2002). In addition, addictive and non-addictive drugs induce distinct and specific patterns of ERK activation in the mouse brain (Valjent et al., 2004). The possible involvement of the ERK pathway in opioid addiction is further supported by the roles of ERK in opioid reward (Liu et al., 2007), opioid withdrawal (Asensio et al., 2006; Li et al., 2010), and opioid-induced conditioned place preference (Valjent et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2010).

Agonist-selective ERK phosphorylation may be involved in opioid addiction. Two pathways can be used by G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) to mediate ERK phosphorylation: the PKC/PKA pathway and the β-arrestin pathway, as demonstrated by siRNA and kinase inhibitor studies (Shenoy et al., 2006; DeWire et al., 2007; Violin and Lefkowitz, 2007). The selectivity of agonists for these two pathways is indicated by the abilities of agonists to use the pathways to induce ERK phosphorylation (Azzi et al., 2003; Kohout et al., 2004; Shenoy et al., 2006). For OPRM1, morphine acts through the PKC pathway to induce ERK phosphorylation, and the phosphorylated ERK remains in the cytosol. Etorphine and fentanyl prefer to use the β-arrestin pathway to induce ERK phosphorylation, and the phosphorylated ERK translocates into nucleus (Zheng et al., 2008). Since the differences among opioids in inducing ERK phosphorylation are consistent with their differences in inducing receptor phosphorylation (Zhang et al., 1998; Zheng et al., 2010b, 2011), receptor internalization (Keith et al., 1996; Koch et al., 2005), and receptor desensitization (Johnson et al., 2006; Chu et al., 2008, 2010), agonist-selective ERK phosphorylation may be involved in opioid addiction.

How ERK phosphorylation and agonist-selective ERK phosphorylation contribute to opioid addiction is still unclear. Whether miR-190, which is downstream of ERK pathway, is involved is also not clear. However, miR-190 is not likely to be the only ncRNA whose expression is regulated by ERK pathway. In addition, it is likely that there additional ncRNAs that regulate the expression of ERK or related factors like PKC and β-arrestin. Thus, further investigation is required to define the connection between agonist-selective signaling and opioid addiction.

**Neuronal related factors**

The sequence of miR-190 is conserved between human, mouse, and rat, and is located in the intronic regions of the gene encoding Talin2 in each genome (Griffiths-Jones et al., 2008). Similar to other miRNAs located within the intronic regions (Rodriguez et al., 2004), the expression of miR-190 is regulated by Talin2 promoter activity, at least in part (Zheng et al., 2010d). Although Talin2 has not been well studied, its functions can be predicted by the reports on its homolog, Talin1. Talin1 is considered to be an integrin-associated cytoskeletal protein and induces conformational changes in integrin that increase the affinity of its extracellular domains for ligand (Calderwood, 2004; Critchley, 2009). Talin2 is similar in amino acid sequence to Talin1 (74% identity and 86% similarity). Talin1 expression is highest in the heart and lowest in the brain, as measured in both mouse and human (Ben-Yosef and Francomano, 1999; Monley et al., 2001). The Talin2 expression pattern is different from that of Talin1, with highest expression in heart and the second highest expression in brain (Monley et al., 2001). Considering their sequence similarity and differential expression patterns, Talin2 may execute the function of Talin1 in brain. Thus, the opioid-induced modulation of Talin2 transcription may affect cell adhesion to the extracellular matrix, which may subsequently influence neuronal circuitry and opioid addiction. These functions indicate that Talin2 is a potential start for ncRNA-mediated regulation of opioid addiction.

CaMKIIα activation is one of OPRM1’s downstream signaling pathways (Lou et al., 1999). The activity of CaMKIIα increases...
after acute morphine treatment, gradually returns to basal levels after chronic morphine treatment, and reaches to a high level if naloxone is used to precipitate the opiate withdrawal (Lou et al., 1999). As an important factor in central nervous system, CaMKIIα is essential for synaptic transmission and dendritic morphology (Colbran and Brown, 2004), and its phosphorylation is associated with microtubule stabilization and dendrite formation (Vaillant et al., 2002; Okamoto et al., 2009). In addition, CaMKIIα activation has been reported to be a mediator for the development of opioid tolerance (Fan et al., 1999; Shukla et al., 2006). The CAMKIIα signaling pathway has been considered a common pathway for drug addiction (Steiner et al., 2007; Anderson et al., 2008; Li et al., 2008).

**NeuroD**

Neurogenic differentiation 1 is critical for the development of both the central nervous and endocrine systems. NeuroD null mice have difficulty surviving after birth without insulin supplement. Surviving mice have defects in the cerebella and hippocampi (Cho and Tsai, 2004). NeuroD is an important transcription factor during adult neurogenesis in the subgranular zone of the hippocampus (von Bohlen Und Halbach, 2007), and is expressed at high levels during the differentiation and migration of neuronal stem cells. In addition to supporting the formation of new neuronal circuitry, NeuroD also contributes to the stability of existing circuitry. CaMKIIα-mediated NeuroD phosphorylation supports the formation and maintenance of dendritic morphology in cerebellar granule neurons (Gaudilliere et al., 2004). Reducing NeuroD activity with miR-190 or CaMKIIα inhibitors impairs the stability of dendritic spines in hippocampal neurons (Zheng et al., 2010c). Therefore, by regulating the neuronal circuitry, NeuroD may contribute to opioid addiction.

In addition to NeuroD itself, its target doublecortin (DCX) is also a critical factor in adult neurogenesis (Seo et al., 2007; von Bohlen Und Halbach, 2007). Since addictive drugs such as alcohol, morphine, and cocaine regulate adult neurogenesis in the hippocampus (Eisch et al., 2000; Nixon, 2006; Noonan et al., 2010), a connection between adult neurogenesis and drug addiction has been suggested (Eisch and Harburg, 2006; Canales, 2007). This hypothesis is supported by studies on learning and memory which are connected to both neurogenesis (Leuner et al., 2006; Deng et al., 2010) and drug addiction (Davis and Gould, 2008; Robbins et al., 2008). In addition, miR-19b and miR-124 have been reported to target NeuroD (Liu et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011), thus, these two ncRNAs may regulate opioid addiction by affecting NeuroD-related pathways.

### ncRNAs MAY CONTRIBUTE TO OPIOID ADDICTION BY AFFECTING OTHER BIOLOGICAL PROCESSES

Above we discuss how factors related to miR-190 may contribute to opioid addiction. Other miRNAs, including miR-133b and let-7, will be described in depth in other reviews in this issue. However, not all miRNAs identified in the published reports have been well studied. For example, 24 miRNAs, in addition to miR-15b and miR-181b, were identified in a comparison of miRNA expression in morphine-treated versus control samples (Dave and Khalil, 2010). We also identified several miRNAs whose expression changed significantly after morphine or fentanyl treatment in primary hippocampal cultures and mouse brain (Zheng et al., 2010d). Although the majority of these miRNAs relate to cell proliferation or cancer development, it was demonstrated that several miRNAs are involved in opioid addiction.

For example, miR-26b targets the 5′-UTR of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) mRNA (Caputo et al., 2011). BDNF is a neurotrophin that is an essential part of neuronal development and plasticity (Greenberg et al., 2009). A study on alcohol and cocaine also demonstrated BDNF’s central role in drug addiction (Janak et al., 2006; McGinty et al., 2010). The expression of miR-132 in neuronal cultures is up-regulated by BDNF (Numakawa et al., 2011), and miR-132 mediates the regulation of BDNF on glutamate receptors (Kawashima et al., 2010). Other studies have revealed the interaction between miR-132 and other addiction-related pathways, including CREB and ERK signaling cascades (Remenyi et al., 2010), dendritic spine morphology and synaptic physiology (Edbauer et al., 2010; Mellios et al., 2011), and the integration of newborn neurons into the adult dentate gyrus (Luikart et al., 2011). miR-301 affects the ERK and CREB pathways by targeting EMOX2 (Cao et al., 2010).

### SUMMARY

To further explore the possible mechanisms through which ncRNAs contribute to opioid addiction, a simplified schematic illustration is provided in Figure 2. The binding of an opioid to OPRM1 leads to various biological responses, some of which ultimately lead to addiction. The ncRNAs involved in opioid addiction can be classified into two categories: (1) ncRNAs whose expression are regulated by opioids and mediate one or several biological responses that lead to addiction, and (2) ncRNAs whose expression are not regulated by opioids, but support the cascade from receptor to biological responses and then to addiction. The ncRNAs listed in Table 1 should belong to the first category if their contribution to opioid addiction is confirmed in future investigations, since not all ncRNAs regulated by opioids contribute to the development of addiction. The number of ncRNAs in the second category can be larger than those in the first, since the development of opioid addiction requires a large number of biological steps. Unfortunately, reports on these ncRNAs are limited, possibly because they are not regulated by opioids and thus have relatively lower significance in this field.

In further studies of the relationship between ncRNAs and opioid addiction, the key point will be to confirm the involvement of ncRNAs in the development of opioid addiction. One common method for confirmation is monitoring the development of opioid addiction after modulating the expression of a specific ncRNA. The categorization of ncRNAs mentioned above will be also useful when using the involved ncRNAs as targets to control the development of opioid addiction. Counteracting opioid-induced changes in the expression of ncRNAs in category 1 may lead to fewer side effects than blocking opioid tolerance by modulating the expression of ncRNAs in category 2.

As mentioned above, most functions of ncRNAs target different aspects of gene expression, thus, controlling the expression of essential factors in opioid signaling cascades or neuronal circuitry is one of the most likely mechanisms for regulation of opioid addiction by ncRNAs. In the sections above, we discussed several...
potential targets or target groups, including OPRM1 itself, factors related to agonist-selective signaling, and factors related to neuronal circuitry. As mentioned above, morphine treatment affected the expression levels of miR-23b and let-7, which have binding sites on the 3′-UTR of the OPRM1 mRNA. Since modulating let-7 expression could regulate morphine tolerance, it is reasonable to suggest that modulating miR-23b expression could lead to the similar effects. Furthermore, the other reported functions of miR-190, which is an addictive drug such as morphine, by regulating a single ncRNA level such as miR-190, will have differential effects on cellular response, whether it is proliferation or differentiation, and will be dependent on the network of transcripts being expressed during the drug treatment.

Furthermore, because ncRNAs are end products of transcript maturation, one expects that different neurons, different neural circuitry, and different brain regions will exhibit differential regulation of ncRNAs by the same addictive drugs. Such complex patterns of ncRNA regulation could have significant impact on the overall responses to addictive drugs. Our study on miR-190 regulation of NeuroD activities leading to dendritic morphology changes is only the beginning of a very complex and elaborate process by which addictive drugs such as morphine could alter neural activities and, eventually, behavioral outcome.
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