The development of vestibular system and related functions in mammals: impact of gravity
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This chapter reviews the knowledge about the adaptation to Earth gravity during the development of mammals. The impact of early exposure to altered gravity is evaluated at the level of the functions related to the vestibular system, including postural control, homeostatic regulation, and spatial memory. The hypothesis of critical periods in the adaptation to gravity is discussed. Demonstrating a critical period requires removing the gravity stimulus during delimited time windows, what is impossible to do on Earth surface. The surgical destruction of the vestibular apparatus, and the use of mice strains with defective graviceptors have provided useful information on the consequences of missing gravity perception, and the possible compensatory mechanisms, but transitory suppression of the stimulus can only be operated during spatial flight. The rare studies on rat pups housed on board of space shuttle significantly contributed to this problem, but the use of hypergravity environment, produced by means of chronic centrifugation, is the only available tool when repeated experiments must be carried out on Earth. Even though hypergravity is sometimes considered as a mirror situation to microgravity, the two situations cannot be confused because a gravitational force is still present. The theoretical considerations that validate the paradigm of hypergravity to evaluate critical periods are discussed. The question of adaption of graviceptor is questioned from an evolutionary point of view. It is possible that graviception is hardwired, because life on Earth has evolved under the constant pressure of gravity. The rapid acquisition of motor programming by precocial mammals in minutes after birth is consistent with this hypothesis, but the slow development of motor skills in altricial species and the plasticity of vestibular perception in adults suggest that gravity experience is required for the tuning of graviceptors. The possible reasons for this dichotomy are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Gravity has modeled the evolution of life on Earth, and provides the frame of reference for the body orientation and the integration of accelerations in the various planes of space. Given the importance, ubiquity and stability of the gravitational force during the evolution of life, the organisms have the opportunity to develop without the need to adjust their gravity sensing to the external environment. It seems nevertheless that, in addition to a genetically controlled phase of development for target finding, a stimulus controlled phase is required for the fine tuning of synaptic terminals (Bruce, 2003). Studying the consequences of the development in altered gravity is of prime importance to understand how the system proceeds, and to envisage the consequences for long term space conquest.

The detection of the gravitational force requires specific receptors in charge of detecting linear accelerations. Two otolithic organs of the vestibular system, the utricle and saccule, achieve this function. These gravity receptors utilize a layer of otoconia, consisting of a complex arrangement of mineral and organic substance, that lies over sensory receptor areas. The shearing force produced by the inertial mass of otoconia displaced against the stereocilia of the sensory hair cells allows the detection of linear accelerations, and gravity. In mammals, as in reptiles and birds, the otoconia exhibit the crystallographic structure of calcite (calcium carbonate). On the sensory epithelium, two types of hair cells detect the movement of the otoconia layer: the flask-shaped type I cells, surrounded by an afferent nerve calyx, and the cylindrical-shaped type II cells, contacted by afferent buttons. Bipolar vestibular neurons localized in Scarpa’s ganglia connect monosynaptically the hair cells and reach the second order vestibular neurons localized in brainstem vestibular nuclei. The vestibular nuclei receive also projections from other sensory modalities including proprioceptive afferences originating mainly from the cerebellum. The otolithic information is thus integrated with the vestibular information from the semi-circular canals, and with other sensory systems such as vision and proprioception. It participates to various functions by means of afferent fibers sent to different organs through vestibular pathways that project to a variety of brain targets.

A basic function of the vestibular system is to maintain the body equilibrium in the gravitational field. This function requires a permanent control of the head and trunk position in space, and
a control of the head in relation to the trunk. Gaze and postural stabilization result from a complex multisensory integration. The vestibulo-ocular tracts are involved in the movement of eyes to maintain the gaze, and the vestibulo-colic tracts innervate the neck muscles to support the head. The vestibulo-spinal tracts innervate the motor neurons of proximal and axial muscles of upper and lower extremities to maintain posture and balance.

The vestibular system has also privileged relationships with the cerebellum through vestibulo-cerebellar and cerebello-vestibular pathways. The gravising sensory organs make direct and indirect connections with several sub regions of the cerebellum, particularly the flocculo-nodular lobe, that constitutes the vestibular cerebellum. The cerebellum is a structure critical for the motor control coordination, the timing of movement but is also involved in motor learning and cognition (Fiez, 1996; Ito, 2006).

In addition to the role of the vestibular system in perception, oculo-motor and postural control, there is an increasing evidence for an important role in maintaining and organizing the navigation maps. The internal representation of head and trunk movements processed by the vestibular nuclei influence various cortical areas at the origin of the perception of egomotion. The second order neurons located in the vestibular nuclei project to thalamic nuclei where they converge with visual and somatosensory tracts (Shiroyama et al., 1999). Three main vestibulo-thalamic pathways are involved in the vestibulo-somatosensory and motor functions, in the vestibulo-striatal motor functions, and the vestibulo-visual and visuo-motor functions, respectively. The thalamic neurons process and relay information to various cortical areas (Lopez and Blanke, 2011) including the vestibular somatosensory cortex, the primary and premotor cortex, the cingulate cortex and the hippocampus, where the head direction cells and place cells seem to be strongly tuned to vestibular input. Head direction cell signal is a representation of an animal's perceived directional heading with respect to its environment. This signal appears to originate in the vestibular system (Taube, 2007). Current models suggest that otolithic information is involved in the perception of directional heading (Yoder and Taube, 2009). This function requires also the vestibulo-cerebellar pathway (Rochefort et al., 2013).

They are also accumulated evidence of the involvement of vestibular system in regulating the autonomic system. The stimulation of vestibular fibers modulates the activity of sympathetic fibers. For instance the vestibular system is involved in the regulation of the arterial pressure (Kerman and Yates, 1999) and bone mineralization (Denise et al., 2009). The vestibular nuclei could also regulate autonomous functions through a vestibulo-hypothalamic linkage (Fuller et al., 2002; Murakami et al., 2002).

**DEVELOPMENT OF GRAVITY SENSING**

The maturation of gravity sensing requires the development of various levels of integration whose basic structures are mainly genetically programmed, but may depend in part on the exposure to the gravitational stimuli (Fritzsch et al., 2001; Fritzsch, 2003). An expanding set of data shows that the development of sensory functions needs the assistance of environmental information, during a critical period of their development, as was shown for hearing (Tees, 1967), vision (Hubel and Wiesel, 1970), and touch (Simons and Land, 1987), and to some extent olfaction (Poo and Isaacson, 2007). As for the other sensory information, the nervous system probably needs environmental experience to calibrate the gravity information during critical periods of the development. This hypothesis was evoked many times (Walton et al., 1992; Ronca and Alberts, 2000; Wubbels et al., 2002) and is one of the key issues in the developmental biology research in space (Moody and Golden, 2000). The existence of a critical period in the development of the vestibular system was reported in fish developed in microgravity (Moorman et al., 2002) or hypergravity (Wiederhold et al., 2003b), as in amphibians (Horn, 2004), and could be a general rule in the development of the vestibular sensitivity. The delimitation of a critical period is complex because the adaptation to gravity involves many structures and functions which mature with a different time schedule, and the complete maturation of the vestibular sensitivity requires a long delay.

The development of vestibular organs follows the same progression in rats and mice. It starts on the second gestational week and complete maturation is achieved by the 4th postnatal week. The development starts with the formation of the otic placode at E8 (E: embryonic day). At E11 the endolympathic canal forms, and anterior and posterior semi-circular canals appear at E12. The utriculo-saccular canal and the ampullar crests of semi-circular canals are apparent at E15. The proliferation of hair cells precursors may be set aside as early as E10.5 (Fritzsch et al., 2002; Beisel et al., 2005). Hair cells of the utricular macula begin to divide between E14 and E18 with a gradient from the center to the periphery. They are capable of mecano-transduction from E16 (Geleoc and Holt, 2003). They differentiate in type I and II between E16 and E18 (Kawamata and Igarashi, 1993), and most hair cells are formed at birth. Meanwhile the otocnia form between E14 and E16 (Anniko, 1980) and have fully matured at birth. In parallel with the peripheral organ, the first order vestibular neurons of the vestibulo-cochlear nuclei develop between E11 and E18, and the second order vestibular nuclei differentiate between E12 and E14 (Maklad and Fritzsch, 2003a). The synaptic contacts with sensory epithelium develop between E18 and the end of first postnatal week (Mbiene et al., 1988). The type I cells are only partly surrounded by the calyces until birth. The first calyces with adult type appear at PND4 (PND: postnatal day), and the innervation is comparable to the adult at PND10 (Desmedryl and Sans, 1990).

At birth the vestibular structures are therefore morphologically well developed, but they continue to mature. The number of hair cells increases from PND0 to PND3 then decreases from PND3 to PND7, in relation with a process of apoptosis that started at E19 and reach a peak at PND3 to decrease at PND7 (Zheng and Gao, 1997). The cilia are well differentiated at PND7, and they reach their definitive length at PND32. The utricle and sacculus continue to grow until PND32 (Dechesne et al., 1986). The neurons of the vestibulo-cochlear nuclei and the second order vestibular nuclei continue to mature during the two first postnatal weeks (Curthoys, 1979b; Desmedryl, 1991). The vestibular apparatus becomes mature at the end of first postnatal month.

The projections of saccular and posterior cristae are the first afferent fibers to penetrate the cerebellum at E17.5, they reach the uvula and nodulus (Maklad and Fritzsch, 2003b). The cerebellar
Nevertheless the immature neural substrate is clearly capable of birth as well (Ronca et al., 2008). Development occurs prenatally, the system is functioning before only the major milestones of vestibular morphological vestibular (Vinay et al., 2000).

The axons originating from the lateral vestibular nuclei reach the cervical cord at E13–E14, the thoracic level one day later, and the lumbar cord before birth. About 40% of the vestibulospinal axons are present in the lumbar cord at birth and the adult pattern is observed at the end of the second postnatal week (Vinay et al., 2000, 2005). The percentage of ankle extensor neurons recruited by ventral horn stimulation in isolated brainstem/spinal cord preparations increases from 3% at birth to 35% at PND3–5 (Brocard et al., 1999). Thus the influence of the pathways involved in innervating antigravity muscles increases during the first postnatal week. The arrival of serotoninergic projections to the lumbar cord is critical for the development of locomotion (Vinay et al., 2000).

At functional level, the first regular afferent discharges appear in the peripheral apparatus at PND4. They increase progressively until PND30 (Curthoys, 1979a; Desmadryl et al., 1986). Vestibular evoked potentials in responses to linear acceleration appear between PND6 and PND8 (Freeman et al., 1999). Nevertheless the immature neural substrate is clearly capable of transducing vestibular input (Krasnov, 1991). First order vestibular neurons respond to low frequency acceleration from birth. Not only the major milestones of vestibular morphological vestibular development occurs prenatally, the system is functioning before birth as well (Ronca et al., 2008).

**EFFECTS OF ALTERED GRAVITY ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF GRAVITY SENSING**

It is impossible to remove gravity on Earth, thus experiments of deprivation of gravitational stimulus during rat development were limited to the exposure to microgravity during space flight. The missions embarked either pregnant females (Cosmos 1514: E12–E17; STS 66: E8–E19; STS70: E10–E19) or nursing litters (STS-72: PND14–PND30; STS-90: PND8–PND24), but longer exposures were not available due to the technical limitations of shuttle flight. Information was more easily obtained from ground experiments using centrifuges to produce hypergravity. Nevertheless the interpretation of these experiments is limited by the different periods of exposure, the intensity of gravity, the type of centrifuge itself, the age of testing and the species used. The alteration of gravity environment during the development involved changes at various levels of integration of the signal, often with opposite effects between hypergravity and microgravity conditions.

**OTOCONIA**

Several studies showed that the size of otoconia is regulated to achieve a desired weight in a graded manner when the animals are subjected to altered gravity during the development of their statholites. Consequently the size of otoconia is increased in microgravity, and decreased in hypergravity. This process was observed in different species including snails (Wiederhold et al., 2003a), aplysia (Pedrozo et al., 1996), fish (Wiederhold et al., 1997; Anken et al., 2001, 2002a,b; Wiederhold et al., 2003a,b), Xenopus (Lyakhov and Lavrova, 1985), chicken (Hara et al., 1995), hamsters (Sondag et al., 1996), rats (Lim et al., 1974; Krasnov, 1991). At variance the otoconia of animals exposed to altered gravity at maturity did not show any change (Lim et al., 1974; Ross et al., 1985; Hara et al., 1995; Sondag et al., 1995). Some of these results supported the existence of a critical period during the development of otoconia (Wiederhold et al., 2003a,b).

**SENSORY EPITHELIUM**

The exposure to altered gravity also affects the sensory epithelium with variable consequences depending on the period of development. Rats centrifuged (1.75 and 2G) from E9 and sacrificed at E19 showed an increased innervation of vestibulo-cerebellar fibers in the utricle (Bruce et al., 2006). This result, in a period that corresponds to the connection of vestibular afferents to the calyces, suggested that the increased stimulation of hair cells increased the rate of maturation of the utricle. At variance a decreased field of innervation was observed in rats exposed to microgravity during the same period (Bruce and Fritzsch, 1997; Bruce, 2003; Bruce et al., 2006). On the other hand, rats centrifuged (2G) from E9 until 1 week after birth did not show any modification in the time course of the establishment of fibers and calyces (Gaboyard et al., 2003). Thus the peripheral neurogenesis was not modified by hypergravity 1 week after birth. At variance the utricles showed a delayed terminal location of the microvesicles at the apex of calyces that corresponded rather to a delay in the maturation of type I hair cells. Hypergravity was estimated to delay the synaptic stabilization of hair cells by 4 days (Bruegau et al., 2006). At variance, rats exposed to microgravity postnatally (from PND8 to PND25) did not show any defect in the pattern of development (Dememes et al., 2001). These results support the hypothesis of a critical period for vestibular plasticity to occur between birth and PND8.

Overall these results suggest that the overstimulation of hair cells during the prenatal period of the formation of the epithelia contributes to accelerate the development of the sensory connections, but after birth the system corrects the tuning to adapt to the over stimulation with durable modifications of the vestibular epithelia.

**VESTIBULAR NUCLEI**

Exposure to altered gravity during the vestibular development has also consequences on the vestibular nuclei. In fish, the exposure to microgravity increased the number of synapses in some vestibular nuclei (Anken et al., 2002c). In newborn rats the exposure to
microgravity induced a delay in the maturation. Rats exposed to microgravity from half their gestational period showed a delayed synaptogenesis of vestibular nuclei (Savel’ev et al., 1998; Yates et al., 2003) and altered morphology of the cortex, cerebellum and vestibular system (Keefe et al., 1986) that were interpreted as signs of retarded cell development and migration (Alberts et al., 1985). Delayed neuronal development and cell migration was observed immediately after flight (Malacinski et al., 1989), but no change persisted in 15 days old rats. Rats flown prenatally from E8 to E19 showed decreased projections from the saccule to the medial vestibular nucleus, with a reduced branching of the axons, while other vestibular afferents, probably those transducing angular accelerations may have compensated synaptogenesis by increasing synapses number in vestibular nuclei (Ronca et al., 2008). The preponderance of canalar synapses was interpreted as the result of the over stimulation of angular accelerometers due to the abundant rolling movement of the dam during the space flight (Ronca et al., 2008). Even rats exposed to microgravity only during their postnatal development (from PND8 to PND24) showed markedly smaller vestibular cell bodies and less growth of dendritic cell branching with a lack of development, paucity of cerebellar projections to vestibular nuclei (Raymond et al., 2003), and a reduction in motor dendritic tree size and complexity (Walton et al., 2003). These results suggested that the period of sensitivity persisted during the second and third postnatal week in vestibular nuclei, at variance with the sensory epithelium. Contrary to the microgravity, rats centrifuged (1.5G) from E9 to E19 showed a complex segregation of terminal fields of saccular axons into the four laminae (Bruce and Fritzsch, 1997; Bruce, 2003). This result was opposed to the poorly developed saccular axons in the medial vestibular nuclei of rats exposed to microgravity during the same period. The faster rate of vestibular maturation of rats exposed to hypergravity was confirmed by the faster maturation of peripheral utricular connections in rats centrifuged (1.5 and 2G) from E10 to E20 (Bruce et al., 2006). These results suggested that the exposure of microgravity retarded the development while the exposure to hypergravity advanced the maturation.

CEREBELLUM

Effects of microgravity exposure on the vestibular nuclei of rats flown between PND8 and PND24 showed that space flight profoundly affects the postnatal development of cerebellar branching in the vestibular nuclei of rats (Raymond et al., 2003). The effects of hypergravity on the vestibulo-cerebellar connections were analyzed in details by Sadjel-Skulowska and collaborators. Their experiments on rats exposed to hypergravity (1.5 and 1.75G) during the almost complete development of vestibular system (from E8 to PND20) showed a decreased mass of the forebrain and particularly of the cerebellum at PND6-PND9 then at PND21 (Sadje-Sulowska et al., 2001; Ladd et al., 2006), in relation with a decreased number of Purkinje cells (Sadje-Sulowska et al., 2005) that could be related to a transient hypothyroidism induced by hypergravity (Sadje-Sulowska et al., 2001, 2005). Other analyses carried out during limited parts of the development showed two periods more sensitive to hypergravity: the second gestational week that coincides with the period of Purkinje cells birth, and the 2nd and 3rd postnatal weeks that coincide with the peak in granule cell neurogenesis (Nguon et al., 2006b). The alteration of cerebellar development in centrifuged rats could be related to a change in the quantitative or temporal expression of proteins involved in cell-cell interaction (Sadjel-Sulkowska, 2008).

DESCENDING PATHWAYS

At the level of the spinal cord, hypergravity induced a delay in the development of descending pathways, including reticulo- and vestibulo-spinal tracts, (Brocard et al., 2003) and a hyperactivity of lumbar motoneurons (Krasnov et al., 1992). The development in hypergravity also provoked a substantial delay of the development and strong perturbations of monoaminergic projections to the spinal cord in newborn rats centrifuged (1.8G) from E10 to PND15. An anarchic pattern of innervation, with numerous dystrophic profiles mainly of serotonergic system, was present at PND 15 and persisted in 8 months old animals, suggesting that rats submitted to hypergravity during the critical period of onset of monoaminergic projections to the spinal cord are affected durably in the organization and the ultrastructure of these projections (Gimenez y Ribotta et al., 1998). Neonate rats flown postnataIly in a spaceflight from PND8 to PND24 showed a reduced development of dendritic trees in a population of motor neurons innervating axial and proximal muscles (Inglis et al., 2000). This observation suggested a possible reduction of the synaptic activation of these motor neurons due to the hypo activation of the otolithic system in microgravity.

These studies suggested that altering gravity perturb the connectivity of vestibulo-spinal pathways. Together these results suggest that the motor development was delayed when the gravity sensing system was either overstimulated or deprived. They demonstrate that the environment plays a critical role in fine-tuning of axons, and for appropriate development of the projections from gravity receptors to the brain and spinal cord.

COGNITION

The possible consequences of the early exposure to altered gravity on the cognitive development is a pending question because cognitive alterations have been reported in adults exposed to altered gravity. There are two main ways of action of gravity on the cognitive functions. On the one hand, the organization of somatotopic maps is strongly influenced by sensory experience early in life and to a lesser extent in adulthood. The somato-topic maps in primary somatosensory cortex constitute neural networks which play a pivotal role in sensory integration and perceptual learning. Long-lasting changes in the properties of somatosensory cortical neurons and discrimination abilities have been reported after early alteration in tactile experience (Coq and Xerri, 2001). Hypergravity or microgravity change the representations of muscles in the somatosensory cortex of adult rats (D’amelio et al., 1998a,b; Trinel et al., 2013). The durable consequences of early motor experience in altered gravity on the somatosensory maps are unknown, but a study on rats flown in the space station for 16 days from PND14 to PND30 showed durable changes even after 4 months (Defelipe et al., 2002). On the other hand, there is a convergent opinion that changes in peripheral and central vestibular neurotransmission contribute to the impaired spatial
learning through decreased vestibular inputs to areas important for spatial cognition such as the hippocampus. The hypothesis of a role of vestibular inputs on spatial cognition is supported by the demonstrations that loss of vestibular function alters spatial cognition (Brandt et al., 2005; Ventre-Dominey et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2010). A possible explanation for the role of vestibular input on the impairment of spatial learning could be related to a mismatch of the otolithic contribution to the head direction cells (Stackman and Taube, 1997; Taube, 2007; Yoder and Taube, 2009). The influence of gravity on the spatial impairment is supported by changes in the expression of hippocampal genes specifically modulated by hypergravity (Del Signore et al., 2004) or microgravity (Santucci et al., 2012), but changes in the gene expression in the hippocampus was observed also in tail suspended mice, a situation that do not alter gravity sensing (Sarkar et al., 2006). The specific contribution of the altered gravity in the gene expression of the hippocampus is therefore always questionable. Alternately altered cognition and the change of genes expression in the hippocampus could reflect changes in the brain-hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis due to the chronic stressful experience, involving a stressor specifically associated to hypergravity (Del Signore et al., 2004). This hypothesis is supported by the existence of a hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activation induced by vestibular lesions (Glddon et al., 2003a,b).

Gravity changes could also alter cognitive function via modulation of brain vascular reactivity (Porte and Morel, 2011). In addition, high levels of hypergravity induce severe impairments in cognitive abilities which may be associated with brain ischemia (Sun et al., 2009). An influence of hypergravity on the performance of adult rats and mice in spatial memory tests was observed after chronic centrifugation (2G) for 2 weeks (Mitani et al., 2004) or after repeated episodes of 1 h of centrifugation (1.85G) during 5 days (Mandillo et al., 2003), or after short exposure (3 min) to high level of hypergravity (6G) (Cao et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2009). The information about the effect of altered gravity during the development of the cognitive functions was rarely studied. Except for the exposure of peri-adolescent mice to short episodes of acute centrifugation (Francia et al., 2004; Santucci et al., 2009), the unique analysis of cognitive function in rats flown postnatally between PND 14 and 31 did not show any difference in spatial orientation or brain structure (Temple et al., 2002).

**VESTIBULAR REACTIONS**

The evaluation of vestibular efficiency in neonate rodents is mainly based on the observation of righting reflex. The righting from a supine to a prone position is a basic motor pattern that rat and mice pups are able to perform on the first postnatal day (Roubertoux et al., 1985; Pellis et al., 1991), because it is necessary to reach the nipple (Eilam and Smotherman, 1998). Testing the righting reflex is done by means of contact righting, or water immersion, or air righting, to avoid proprioceptive information to aid the response. The righting response involves the proper dynamic interaction of otolith dependent vestibulo-colic and vestibulo-spinal reflexes, and otocochia deficient mice are unable to perform righting response.

**MICROGRAVITY**

A few space missions allowed either a prenatal or a postnatal exposure to microgravity. Rats flown in a space flight postnatally (E8–E19) showed an early righting impairment that was recovered from PND5 (Ronca and Alberts, 1997, 2000; Ronca et al., 2000, 2008). Eventually, they did not show any change on successive testing of hind limb motor development over a period of 81 days after landing (Wong and Desantis, 1997). Rats exposed postnatally to the space environment (from PND15 to PND24 or from PND14 to PND 30) were able to swim (Temple et al., 2002; Walton et al., 2005a), and to perform surface righting at landing time. This result is contradictory with the inability to float of otocochia-deficient mice and suggest that the vestibular apparatus and vestibulo-colic reflexes were functional within hours of landing. The vestibular information required for this reflex was therefore not altered by microgravity. Nevertheless postnatally flown rats showed impaired maturation in the acquisition of adult tactics of surface righting, and the pups flown until PND30 were definitely unable to perform adult tactics for surface righting (Walton et al., 2005b). For the authors the impairment was probably not due to a sensory deficit, but rather to the missing acquisition of the correct motor pattern during a critical period of motor development. Other long term modifications of motor parameters were observed after the postnatal exposure to microgravity (Walton et al., 1992, 2003, 2005a; Walton, 1998), but they are supposed to be related to strong consequence of gravity on the muscles properties and muscle representation in the brain (Defelipe et al., 2002). They are not considered here as direct consequences of an alteration of gravity sensing. The exposure to microgravity seemed to cause a delay in the acquisition of vestibular reactions during prenatal development, whereas the consequences on postnatal development concerned the acquisition of motor skills. Even though they provided relevant results, the conclusions of these experiments are minored by interrogations concerning the good fit of the flight opportunity with potential critical periods. Ronca et al. (2008) suggested that exposure to microgravity throughout the entirety of neurovestibular development likely produce irreversible or at least enduring deficit in vestibular response. Unfortunately the technical limitations of spaceflight missions do not allow to expose rodents for large part of their development.

**HYPERGRAVITY**

Several experiments exposed mammals to hypergravity during the complete maturation of the vestibular system. A first experiment centrifuged hamsters from conception to at least 4 weeks and showed reduced performance in swimming ability and air righting that prolonged after months, particularly in hamsters centrifuged until 20th postnatal week. These results were interpreted to reflect a dysfunction in the otolithic system (Sondag et al., 1997). Later studies on rats did not confirm this result. A similar experiment performed on rats did not show differences between hypergravity and control rats (Wubbels and De Jong, 2000), but experimental artifacts possibly interfered. In another study, rats centrifuged (1.75G) from E8 to PND21 showed a poor score on the rotarod at PND21 (Nguon et al., 2006a). The authors correlated this bad performance with a decreased cerebellar mass. Other experiments on rats centrifuged (1.8G) from
conception to PND21 or PND27 showed a delay in the vestibular reflexes that persisted at PND40 (Bouet et al., 2004b). Another study on rats centrifuged until the age of 3 months showed that a complete behavioral recovery occurred in a delay of 3 weeks (Bouet et al., 2003, 2004a). Mice centrifuged (2G) from conception to PND30 showed a delay in the acquisition of maculo-ocular reflex (Beraneck et al., 2012). At the age of 2 months they were not impaired in vestibular response, but showed a tendency to react slower during free fall that was supposed to result from a perturbation of the connections between vestibular, cerebellar and motor structures (Bojados and Jamon, 2012). Together the hypergravity studies suggested that centrifuging during the full development induced transitory vestibular impairment and a possible alteration of vestibulo-cerebellar connections, but the effects were not as drastic in rat and mice than in hamsters. That discrepancy lets open two possibilities: (1) the persistent impairment in hamsters was related to a different development in cricetidae, although the time schedule of development is rather similar to the rats. (2) detrimental effects of the exposure to hypergravity on the vestibular system could be caused by excitotoxicity in relation with the duration of exposure (Beraneck et al., 2012).

PRENATAL CENTRIFUGATION

Rats centrifuged (1.8G) from conception to PND10 showed a slight delay in the acquisition of vestibular reflexes that was restored within 21 days (Bouet et al., 2004b). Mice centrifuged during the same period and tested at the age of 2 months were not perturbed in the vestibular tests, and even tended to improve their performance. In addition they showed specific improvement of their aerobic capacities, and a change in postural parameters (Bojados and Jamon, 2012; Bojados et al., 2013). These results showed that vestibular performance was not definitely affected by a prenatal exposure to hypergravity, whereas other changes were definitive. When centrifugation of rats was limited to the second or third gestational week, only the former period induced an impaired equilibrium performance on the rotarod at PND21. This result suggested a possible critical period during the second gestational week in relation with the birth of Purkinje cells at E13–E14 (Nguon et al., 2006b).

POSTNATAL CENTRIFUGATION

Postnatal exposure to hypergravity was rarely studied, but rats centrifuged during the second and third weeks of postnatal development showed the more critical sensitivity to hypergravity exposure (Nguon et al., 2006b), as showed the worst performance on the rotarod at PND21. This postnatal period corresponds to a peak in granule neurogenesis, a period that appears to be critical with respect to the maturation of cerebellar structure and function. Mice centrifuged (2G) from PND10 to PND30 were not significantly impaired in the maculo-ocular reflex (Beraneck et al., 2012), but they showed slower vestibular reactions during the drop tests, in addition to changes in the motor pattern (Bojados et al., 2013). The experiments on postnatal exposure to hypergravity suggest that long term impairment was probably related to the connection between vestibular and cerebellar and motor structure.

STUDIES ON VESTIBULAR DEFICIENT MUTANT MICE

Alternative strategies using ground-based experiments take advantage of the development of targeted mutations in mice. More than 25 lines of mice with congenital vestibular mutations were available in 2002 (Anagnostopoulos, 2002), and the number is increasing. Vestibular deficient mice with a null mutation of the KCNE1 potassium-channel gene that leads to the degeneration of hair cells (Vetter et al., 1996) show a permanent shaker/waltzer phenotype (Vidal et al., 2004) that is caused by dopamine asymmetry due to the absence of vestibular input in the striatum during critical period of the development. The complete removal of vestibular organs before PND5 leads to permanent head bobbing in adults (Geisler et al., 1996; Geisler and Gramsbergen, 1998). This phenomenon was related to the lack of semi-circular canals input rather than the lack otolithic afferece (Eugene et al., 2009). Other mutations affect more specifically the graviceptors. Several lines of mice have specific alteration of genes involved in the formation of the otocoria while other components of the vestibular system are intact (Ornitz et al., 1998). The shaker/waltzer phenotype is not observed in these otocoria-deficient mice. They have a typically permanent head tilt phenotype that could be the signature of an otocorial critical period. Studies of mouse strains with graded otocorial deficiencies showed graded loss of function, and confirmed the absence of linear acceleration vestibular evoked potentials in mice lacking completely otocoria (Jones et al., 2004). Morphometric analyses of the vestibular ganglia performed at various stages of the postnatal development of tilted mice showed a slower development during the first postnatal week (either rate of development or cell number), then they reached values similar to control, and eventually possess normal appearing sensory epithelia. The absence of gross abnormality in the vestibular ganglia may be due to the spontaneous activity of receptor cells which maintain tonic stimulation of the ganglionic cells (Smith et al., 2003). It was demonstrated that the presence of otocoria is not required for the general formation and maintenance of synapses (Hoffman et al., 2006) or normal development of vestibular ganglia (Smith et al., 2003). Mutant mice, with the tilted mutation (tilt) that eliminates an essential component necessary for the formation of otocoria, can learn gravity dependent motor task by using semi-circular canals and limb proprioception to compensate otocoria deficiency (Crapón De Caprona et al., 2004). However head tilt (het) mice with a recessive mutation causing a complete lack of otocoria are unable to perform task requiring equilibrium or postnatal reflexes and do not perform righting response. They show also an alteration of working spatial memory and place recognition (Machado et al., 2012), that is supposed to be originating from an abnormal modulation of head direction cells.

There are major limitations with the use of mutant lines to evaluate the consequences of specific alterations of the vestibular apparatus, because most mutants may have potentially compromised hair cells, stereocilia or vestibular ganglia, due to the expression of mutated genes in these structures. In addition the definitive removal of graviception is susceptible to produce compensation mechanisms (Crapón De Caprona et al., 2004). For instance, in the IED (Inner Ear Defect) mice, in the absence of otolithic information, visual inputs become instrumental for
mask the changes induced during a critical period (Bojados et al., 2012). Nevertheless the generation of inducible conditional knockout mice, which allows selected inactivation of genes in tissues at a given time point, is of prime importance to complement micro- and hypergravity studies.

THE HYPOTHESIS OF CRITICAL PERIODS IN THE ADAPTATION TO GRAVITY

A survey of the literature covering the last decade shows that many of the consequences of the exposure to altered gravity during the development of vestibular sensitivity were supposed to involve a critical period. The existence of critical period was invoked about the development of gravity sensing concerning the peripheral organ (otocochal, sensory epithelium), the vestibular nuclei, the cortical projections, the cerebellar connections, and the motor output. In most cases the critical periods were hypothesized when a definitive or at least a long lasting change was observed after the exposure to altered gravity during some developmental stages. The long term duration of the change is not mandatory and some authors prefer to use the term of sensitive period. In addition, further events occurring during the life history are susceptible to reduce or to mask the changes induced during a critical period (Bojados and Jamon, 2012). A more precise assessment of the meaning of critical period have an heuristic value for understanding the consequences of the exposure to altered gravity on developing structures.

A critical period is a time window of the early life when the experience of external information is needed for the normal development of a structure or a function. The brain needs this external sensitivity period to tune the receptor with the source when precise information about the individual or the environment cannot be predicted and therefore cannot be genetically encoded. The critical period corresponds therefore to an interactive specialization in the functional organization of brain regions or cortical areas. A critical period can only open when the structure concerned has achieved its embryological development. GABAergic neurons have a main role in the internal control of critical period timing. For instance the onset and duration of visual critical period is advanced in mice over expressing BDNF, that accelerate maturation of GABAergic neurons (Huang et al., 1999). At variance the critical period for ocular dominance is inhibited in mice lacking Gad65 gene, that show poor GABA release, and is restored with diazepam which acts as a GABA agonist (Hensch et al., 1998).

In addition to the internal process, relevant sensory information is required, and the critical period can be delayed and prolonged to some extent when the information is not available. Dark rearing, for instance, delays the maturation of GABAergic transmission and the onset of visual critical period, but BDNF supplementation abolishes this delay (Hensch and Fagliolini, 2005). The termination of the critical period is a consequence of the mechanisms by which the cortical regions become increasingly specialized and fine-tuned. Changes in the brain neurochemistry as for instance the composition of N-Methyl-D-Aspartate (NMDA) receptor increase the rate of pruning of synapses and results in a freezing of the pattern of functional sensitivity.

The achievement of the critical periods involves three main stages (Hensch, 2004): (1) expansion of axonal branching and synapses formation in association with a high level of growth associated proteins and neurotrophic factors, particularly BDNF. With this process neurons invade narrow brain regions and elaborate new projection fields, the response properties of cortical regions interact and compete to acquire their role in new abilities; (2) a further shaping of the circuit architectures is realized by pruning less solicited axons and synapses, on the basis of the competition between neural inputs on common targets. The structural consequences of the functional competition for brain regions was illustrated by the lateral dominance occurring in the cortical mapping of sensory entries after hemi deprivation of vision, audition or somatosensory system; (3) structural stabilization of potentiated synapses by the insertion of cell adhesion molecules, change in the composition of NMDA receptor and limitation of GABAergic large basket cells in an extracellular matrix. The consolidated synapses become invulnerable to further elimination and make further plasticity harder to occur.

On the basis of the theoretical process of critical period, two main consequences are expected from the lack of gravity sensing during the development in microgravity: (1) a delayed maturation is expected because the onset of critical period is prevented by the unavailability of the sensory information. This should leave immature characteristics in the system; (2) A colonisation of gravity related vestibular projections by competing afferences, particularly the expansion of inputs from the angular acceleration detectors which continue to be stimulated in microgravity. These expected consequences are consistent with the delayed maturation reported in rats flown prenatally. For instance, rats exposed to microgravity during the second half of the gestational development showed a delay in the synaptogenesis of saccular neurones and an increased proportion of angular acceleration synapses (Ronca et al., 2008). These observations were supposed to involve an overstimulation of canalar inputs in utero due to the 3D movements of the floating dam (Ronca et al., 2008), but they are also consistent with delayed development and competition for neural branching, and therefore support the existence of a critical period. In the same way the possibility was evoked for otolith deficient IED mice that in the absence of gravity related signals central vestibular neurons would substitute otolith inputs with spatially non matching canal inputs (Beraneck et al., 2012).

Hypergravity can be considered as a mirror situation to microgravity (Serova et al., 1985; Serova, 1991; Phillips, 2002; Wade, 2005). From the point of view of critical period, the situation is not symmetrical however. Obviously sensory deprivation does not apply to this situation. In the hypergravity environment, the gravitational stimulus is not removed, but increased instead, producing therefore an overstimulation with reference to the other stimuli. It is important to distinguish between over-stimulation, under stimulation and deprivation. Nevertheless two logical consequences of the concept of critical period apply to hypergravity: (1) A possible faster maturation instead of a delay in maturation due to the hyper stimulation; (2) The expansion of gravity related pathways at the detriment of competing afferences. From this point of view, it is noteworthy that various effects observed in rats developed in hypergravity were
associated with faster rates of embryo maturation (Bruce et al., 2006). Indeed several pieces of information seem to comfort the advanced maturation of the peripheral organ when exposed to hypergravity during the morphological development of structures. On the other hand other studies concluded to a retarded development and the persistence of impairments. The interaction of multiple structures, each with different time schedule, produces a complex situation when the developing organisms are exposed to hypergravity, and induces potential factors of trouble at the origin of this dichotomy. Four of them are listed below:

1. The advanced maturation of the vestibular apparatus, that can be theoretically expected in case of overstimulation during a critical period, is susceptible to perturb the synchrony with later developing structures, as for instance the cell-cell interaction between vestibular and cerebellar structures. Note that reciprocal consequence apply to the delayed development of vestibular structures in microgravity. This could explain why both micro- and hypergravity induce a perturbation in vestibular-related structures.

2. The sensory system adapts to the over intensity of the gravity signal by reducing the otoconia mass, the connections in the maculae, and the hair cells responses. Consequently, the vestibular apparatus is under tuned for Earth gravity. This could be another source of dysfunction during the establishment of connections with other structures, and then could participate to the impairment of the vestibulo-cerebellar or vestibulo-motor functions.

3. Due to the competition of sensory entries for neural targets during the critical periods, the overstimulated otolith structures should be overrepresented in vestibular nuclei and brain targets, at the detriment of the canalar afferences. This disequilibrium is a potential source of trouble because the otolithic and canalar afferences are heavily mixed to contribute to the vestibular signal.

4. The prolonged exposure to hypergravity could have detrimental effects on the vestibular system by excitotoxicity due to the overstimulation, as occurs for other sensory entries (Peusner, 2001). This effect should be dependent on the duration and probably of the intensity of the overstimulation, and could explain some long term deficiency observed after a long period of centrifugation.

THE VESTIBULAR DEVELOPMENT IN PRECOCIAL OR ALTRICIAL SPECIES

The analysis of vestibular development refers either to prenatal or postnatal exposure to altered gravity. The consecutive differences are basically related to the development of the vestibular apparatus, that is mainly prenatal, and vestibular-related functions that develop heavily after birth. The vestibular and motor immaturity contribute to the unachieved postural and motor control observed in rats and mice (Clarac et al., 1998; Muir, 2000), even though behavioral adaptations contribute to the silencing of motor activities in nesting mammals (Jamon, 2006). The immaturity of postural control at birth opens speculations about a possible role of the experience *ex utero* for the maturation of postural control. At variance, precocious species are relatively mature and mobile at birth and acquire rapidly the adult-like motor control. Typically altricial species have poorly developed offspring, with eyes and ears closed at birth, virtually no hairs on the body, and are typically born in multiple litters, whereas precocial species have well developed offspring with eyes and ears open at (or soon after) birth, hair coat well developed, and are typically born as singletons. Altricial and precocial mammals exhibit no difference in the rate of growth (Case, 1978), and show similar trend course of neural development (Clancy et al., 2001), with the only difference being the arbitrary point of birth (Brunjes, 1988). The longer gestation period in precocial species results in increased development of the central nervous system at birth (Sacher and Staffeld, 1974). The duration of gestation is therefore the main factor of maturity at birth. The comparative development of guinea pig and rat shows a typical example of the difference in the level of maturity at birth. In guinea pig the gestation lasts 66 days on the average. General movements emerge between E24 and E34 in guinea pig fetuses. The period of E35–E40 is characterized by an established link between the vestibular apparatus and vestibular ganglia (Heywood et al., 1976; Sobin and Anniko, 1983), and myelination of vestibular nerve begins about E40. Cortical differentiation occurs between E41 and E45 (Van Kan et al., 2009). The righting reflex develop *in utero* between E50 and E66 (Sekulic et al., 2009). Standing and walking at present from E63 (Avery, 1928). The motor abilities of precocial species suggest that vestibular functions have matured *in utero*. Even though intrauterine cavity is similar to a microgravity environment (Wood, 1970; Sekulic et al., 2005; Meigal, 2013) because of neutral buoyancy in the amniotic fluid, the otoliths in the intrauterine environment are constantly exposed to the effects of gravity, and are stimulated by the linear accelerations induced by the movements of the mother (Ronca et al., 1993). In addition hair cells do not need the gravitational stimulus to develop. Therefore postnatal experience is no necessary for the system to mature. The process of postnatal maturation in altricial species is therefore independent of vestibular-driven afference. Nevertheless the system continues to develop in precocial species, as well as in altricial species in relation with increasing hair cells number (Jones and Jones, 2000) and the vestibulo-ocular reflex in relation with the increasing size of canals (Straka, 2010). This supports the possibility that the vestibular system is finely tuned with the development of posturo-motor functions. Developing young mice are subjected to direct and indirect effects when exposed to altered gravitational field (Alberts and Ronca, 2005). Among them, the high level of interaction between the mother and pups, particularly in altricial species, is susceptible to be perturbed. The mother and pups constitute a “maternal-offspring system” of paramount importance for the proper development of the young (Ronca, 2003; Alberts and Ronca, 2005) by directing and regulating postnatal development. Licking and grooming are important sources of stimulation that contribute to the brain development. These maternal cares stimulate the expression of BDNF and other neural systems (Curley et al., 2011) in brain parts, resulting in improved emotionality, sociality and learning abilities of pups (Caldji et al., 1998; Liu et al., 2000; Branchi
et al., 2013), and their perturbation is detrimental for cognitive development. Given the importance of BDNF, GABA and glutamate in the regulation of critical periods, the consequences of altered gravity on the maternal care deserve to be investigated further. This aspect could be investigated by means of comparative developmental studies involving altricial (rat and mice) and precocial (Acomys, mesocricetus) species subjected to altered gravity.

CONCLUSIONS

The present review showed accumulating evidence on the sensitivity of the organisms to the alteration of gravity during their development. The peripheral sensory organ adapts to the level of gravity by adjusting the mass of otoconia and the innervation of sensory epithelium. The over or under stimulation advances or delays the maturation of neural connections during the formation of the vestibular apparatus, resulting in inadequate temporal synchrony or sensitivity tuning during the connections with vestibular-related structures, and with potential long-term change in the resulting functions. These results provide further evidence that the gravistatic sensory system has a genetically controlled phase of development for target finding and a stimulus-controlled phase for fine-tuning synaptic terminals. Therefore the level of gravity plays a critical role in fine-tuning of axons and is required for appropriate development of the projections from graviceptors to the brain and spinal cord. Several critical periods for the adaptation to gravity are probably spread along the developmental process, in relation with the timing of the various structures involved, and with variable incidence depending on the plasticity of the structures. The time windows of possible critical periods in the development of the various structures can be hardly answered due to the difficulty to remove gravity vector from Earth environment and the limited access to space missions for rodent studies. This question could be answered with long duration space flight as promised the ISS, but does not seem realistic at present. Facing these difficulties the development of ground based techniques becomes necessary. The use of centrifugation to produce hypergravity is potentially a useful tool to detect the critical periods, provided that a careful attention is given to the expected criteria to detect a critical period when the stimulus is amplified instead of removed. In addition, a standardization of the centrifugation techniques should be desirable. On the other hand the use vestibular deficient mutated mice proved to be useful, and the availability of conditioned KO is a promising tool for the future.
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