The Toll-like Receptor Protein RP105 Regulates Lipopolysaccharide Signaling in B Cells
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Abstract

The susceptibility to infections induced by Gram-negative bacteria is largely determined by innate immune responses to bacteria cell wall lipopolysaccharide (LPS). The stimulation of B cells by LPS enhances their antigen-presenting capacity and is accompanied by B cell proliferation and secretion of large quantities of LPS-neutralizing antibodies. Similar to macrophages and neutrophils, the LPS-induced activation of B cells is dependent on Toll-like receptor (TLR)4. Here, we demonstrate that the responses of B cells to LPS are also regulated by another TLR protein, RP105, which is predominantly expressed on mature B cells in mice and humans. The analysis of mice homozygous for the null mutation in the RP105 gene revealed impaired proliferative and humoral immune responses of RP105-deficient B cells to LPS. Using originally LPS-unresponsive Ba/F3 cells expressing exogenous TLR4 and RP105, we demonstrate the functional cooperation between TLR4 and RP105 in LPS-induced nuclear factor κB activation. These data suggest the existence of the TLR4–RP105 signaling module in the LPS-induced B cell activation.
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Introduction

A large body of evidence supports the important role of B cells in host responses against Gram-negative bacteria. These responses are largely triggered by bacteria cell wall LPS. Stimulation of B cells by LPS enhances their antigen-presenting capacity and is accompanied by B cell proliferation and secretion of large quantities of LPS-neutralizing Abs (1, 2). In turn, LPS-neutralizing Abs are important for clearing LPS and Gram-negative bacteria out of the circulation (3). The responses of B cells to LPS are mediated by cell surface Toll-like receptor (TLR)4, which belongs to the multimember family of mammalian proteins homologous to Toll protein of Drosophila melanogaster (4, 5). The TLR proteins possess an extracellular leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain, a single transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic domain homologous to the cytoplasmic portion of the IL-1 receptor (5). The significance of TLR4 in B cell activation by LPS was underscored by profoundly reduced in vitro and in vivo LPS responses of B cells harboring spontaneous or genetically engineered null mutations in the TLR4 gene (6–8). The LPS signaling via TLR4 is dependent on association of the LRR domain of TLR4 with the MD-2 protein produced by TLR4-expressing cells (9).

The essential role of TLR4 in B cell responses to LPS is contrasted by the relatively low expression levels of the TLR4-MD-2 complex on the surface of ex vivo–isolated mature B cells compared with peritoneal macrophages. Using the MTS510 mAb that in flow cytometry analyses recognizes TLR4 in association with MD-2 but not TLR4 alone, we found the expression levels of TLR4-MD-2 on B cells to be >10 times lower than on the peritoneal thioglycollate-elicited macrophages (10). The hardly detectable expression of TLR4-MD-2 on B cells suggests the possible cooperation between TLR4 and other TLR protein(s) in B cell signaling of LPS. In addition to TLR4, B cells express another member of the TLR family, the R P105 protein (11–13). In contrast to TLR4, which is expressed on immune cells of different types, the expression...
of RP105 is largely restricted to mature peripheral B cells (11). RP105 is associated with the M D-2 homologous protein M D-1 (14, 15). R obust B cell proliferation and upregulation of the cell surface–expressed M H C class II and costimulatory proteins B7.1 and B7.2 induced by A b-mediated cross-linking of RP105 revealed this T L R as a potent regulator of B cell activation (11, 16). To test whether R P105 controls B cell responses to LPS, as well as to address the possible role of RP105 in B cell development and activation, we have generated mice homozygous for the null mutation of the R P105 gene. U sing mice deficient for R P105, we revealed an important role of R P105 in B cell activation by LPS in vitro and in vivo.

Materials and Methods

Generation of the R P105-deficient M ice. The DNA clone containing the R P105 gene was obtained from Genome Systems, Inc., and the R P105 gene was subcloned into the pBluescript vector (Stratagene). For the construction of the R P105 gene-targeting vector, the 2.4-kb fragment of the exon 3 of the R P105 gene was replaced by the neomycin resistance (neo) gene under the control of the mouse phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK)-1 gene promoter. The HSV thymidine kinase gene driven by the PGK-1 promoter was introduced at the 3’ end of the targeting vector. The linearized DNA of the targeting vector was transfected into the E14.1 embryonic stem (ES) cells, followed by selection of the G418- and Gancyclovir-resistant ES cell clones as described previously (17). The clones of double-resistant cells were collected and genotyped by Southern blot analysis using the probe located outside the targeting construct. Cells of three independent recombinant ES clones were used to produce chimeric mice, followed by the generation of heterozygous R P105+/− and homozygous R P105−/− mice. The R P105−/− mice were backcrossed four times to C57BL/6 mice and R P105−/− mice (F5) at 6–15 wk of age, and were used for most of the experiments. The wild-type littermates derived from the breeding of the R P105+/+ mice were used as control. C3H/H eJ and C3H/H eN mice were obtained from Japan SLC, Inc. Mice were maintained in the animal facilities at Saga Medical School and Institute for Genetics.

Cell Staining and Flow Cytometry. Single cell suspensions were prepared from different lymphoid organs and incubated at 10^6 cells per 20 μl on ice in staining buffer (PBS containing 0.5% BSA and 0.01% NaN3) with optimal amounts of FITC-, PE-, or biotin-conjugated Abs for 10 min. The following mAbs were purchased from BD PharMingen: S7 (anti-CD43), B3B4 (anti-CD23), and Ly1 (anti-CD5). The following Abs were prepared: RA3-6B2 (anti-B220), R33-24.12 (anti-IgM), 1.3-5 (anti-IgD), 2B10 (anti-CD8), and F(ab’)2-epitope (Sigma-Aldrich) that had been attached at the COOH-terminal of human TLR4 and TLR4–RP105–MD-1 were established by sequential transfection of those expressing TLR4 and the reporter gene. Cell surface expression of human TLR4 and TLR4–MD-2 was shown previously (9). Expression of human R P105 and M D-1 was determined with the anti-R P105 mAb or the Ab against FLAG epitope (Sigma-Aldrich) that had been attached at the COOH terminus of M D-1, respectively. The expression levels of mouse R P105–MD-1 and T L R4–MD-2 were determined by FACScan® using the mAbs R P404 and M TS510, which recognize R P105–MD-1 and T L R4–MD-2 complexes, respectively, but not R P105 or T L R4 alone (10; our unpublished results). Expression of mouse T L R4 alone was determined by immunoblot analysis with the Abs to the FLAG epitope that had been attached at the COOH terminus of T L R4 (10). Transfected Ba/F3 cells were treated with lipid A at various concentrations for 4 h. The luciferase activity was measured in cell lysates as described (9).
Results and Discussion
To test whether RP105 controls B cell responses to LPS, as well as to address the possible role of RP105 in B cell development and activation, we have generated mice homozygous for the null mutation of the RP105 gene. The exon 3 of the RP105 gene, encoding 575 of 661 amino acid residues of RP105 protein, was replaced by the neo’ gene in E14.1 ES cells, which were used to generate R105−/− mice (Fig. 1, a and b). The targeted modification of the RP105 gene eliminates the region encoding most of the extracellular LRR domain, the transmembrane, and cytoplasmic domains. As expected, B cells derived from R105−/− mice do not express RP105 on the cell surface (Fig. 1 c), and because of the replacement of almost an entire RP105 gene by neo’ are unlikely to express potentially functional truncated RP105 protein.

The flow cytometric analyses and absolute numbers of the bone marrow cells, splenocytes, lymph nodes, and peritoneal cells derived from RP105-deficient mice did not reveal changes in sizes of developing and mature B cell subpopulations compared with the control wild-type mice (Fig. 2; Table I). These data exclude the significant role of RP105 in B cell development.

The responsiveness of RP105-deficient B cells to LPS was tested in vitro. Using the MTS510 mAb that recognizes TLR4 in association with MD-2, but not TLR4 alone, we found the expression levels of TLR4–MD-2 on wild-type and RP105-deficient cells to be >10 times lower than on the surface of peritoneal thioglycollate-elicited macrophages (10). Furthermore, incubation of the wild-type and RP105-deficient B cells with LPS, anti-IgM, or anti-CD40 Abs did not upregulate surface expression of TLR4–MD-2 (data not shown). Incubation of the purified splenic RP105-deficient B cells with different amounts of...
Low LPS Responsiveness in B Cells Lacking RP105

LPS derived from three different bacteria strains (E. coli 0111: B4, E. coli 055: B5, and S. minnesota Re595) as well as with synthetic lipid A results in B cell proliferation at levels significantly lower than of LPS-triggered wild-type control B cells (Fig. 3 a). However, RP105-deficient B cells were not as impaired as TLR4-mutated C3H/HeJ B cells, which did not respond to LPS or lipid A (Fig. 3 a). Reduced proliferative response of RP105-deficient B cells was due to the reduced number of cells entering the cell cycle within the first 24 h after the stimulation with LPS, and could not be overcome by costimulation of B cells with IL-4 (data not shown). In contrast to impaired proliferation in response to LPS, the proliferative responses of RP105-deficient B cells and control wild-type B cells to the Abs to IgM, CD40, or CD38 and IL-4 were similar (Fig. 3 b; data not shown). These data indicate the specific role of RP105 in B cell responses to LPS.

Lyn-deficient B cells are impaired in LPS-induced B cell proliferation, demonstrating an important role of Lyn in B cell signaling of LPS (22, 23). Our earlier studies revealed the involvement of the Lyn-Btk–protein kinase Cβ signaling chain in B cell activation by RP105 (16). It seems possible that RP105 has a role in LPS-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of Lyn. We examined tyrosine phosphorylation of Lyn after LPS stimulation in wild-type and RP105-deficient B cells (Fig. 3 c). A slight but appreciable increase in phosphorylation was observed 1 h after LPS addition in wild-type B cells (Fig. 3 c, lanes 1–3), whereas LPS-induced Lyn phosphorylation appeared to be weaker in RP105-deficient B cells (Fig. 3 c, lanes 4–6).

| Cells of lymphoid organs were counted, and absolute numbers of lymphoid cells were calculated from the percentage of each cell population. | N.D., not done; Exp., experiment.
|**Table I.** Absolute Numbers of B Lineage Cells in Lymphoid Organs| |
| | | R P105+/+ | R P105−/− |
| | | Exp. 1 | Exp. 2 | Exp. 1 | Exp. 2 |
| Bone marrow* | | (×10−6) | (×10−6) | (×10−6) | (×10−6) |
| Pro-B | 0.26 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Pre-B | 0.78 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 2.0 |
| Immature B | 0.58 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Recirculating B | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 0.3 |
| Spleen | | | | |
| B | 21.0 | 14.0 | 40.0 | 22.0 |
| T | 9.0 | 8.6 | 23.0 | 17.0 |
| Lymph nodes ‡ | | | | |
| B | 1.6 | N.D | 2.8 | N.D |
| T | 9.0 | N.D | 10.0 | N.D |
| Peritoneal cavity | | | | |
| CD5+IgM+ B | 1.9 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| CD5−IgM− B | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

*From one tibia and one femur.
‡From two inguinal lymph nodes.

Figure 3. B cell activation in vitro. (a) Purified cells were stimulated with LPS from E. coli 0111:B4, E. coli 055:B5, S. minnesota Re595, and synthetic lipid A. After 3 d, cells were pulsed with 1 μCi/well 3H-TdR and harvested, and (3H)thymidine incorporation was counted as described (reference 9). Results were shown as mean values of cpm from triplicate wells with standard errors. (b) Top: purified B cells were stimulated with the following stimuli: the goat anti–mouse IgM F(ab9)2 Ab (5 μg/ml), the mAb to CD40 (5 μg/ml), and recombinant mouse IL-4 (5 ng/ml). After 3 d, cells were pulsed with 1 μCi/well 3H-TdR, and B cell proliferation was measured as described above. (b) Bottom: purified B cells were stimulated with goat anti-mouse IgM F(ab9)2 Abs at various concentrations with or without IL-4, and proliferation was measured as described above. (c) Purified B cells were stimulated with lipid A (1 μg/ml) for 1 h (lanes 2 and 5) or 3 h (lanes 3 and 6). Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-Lyn mAb, and equally divided precipitates were subjected to SDS-PAGE (9% acrylamide) and electroblotting. Phosphotyrosine (top) or Lyn (bottom) was probed with antiphosphotyrosine or anti-Lyn mAb, respectively. Similar results were obtained from two independent experiments.
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The positive role of RP105 in B cell activation by LPS was further confirmed by the analysis of humoral immune responses in RP105-deficient mice immunized with TNP-LPS. The titers of TNP-specific IgM and IgG3 were significantly lower in the sera of the immunized RP105-deficient mice compared with control mice (Fig. 4 a). However, immunization with T cell–independent antigen NP-Ficoll and T cell–dependent antigen NP-CG resulted in equally strong immune responses in both wild-type and RP105-deficient mice (Fig. 4, b and c). Furthermore, similar titers of serum immunoglobulins of different isotypes in RP105-deficient and wild-type control mice (data not shown) suggest unaltered humoral responses of RP105-deficient B cells to environmental antigens present in the conventional animal facility.

The involvement of RP105 in LPS signaling suggests the possible function of RP105 either as a TLR4-independent LPS receptor or as a coreceptor of TLR4. Considering unresponsiveness in C3H/HeJ mice (Fig. 3 a), RP105–MD-1 cannot substitute for the lack of TLR4. We showed previously that association of MD-2 greatly enhances LPS signaling via TLR4 (9, 10). However, the TLR4–MD-2 complex is hardly detectable on the B cell surface with the MTS510 mAb that specifically reacts with the TLR4–MD-2 complex (10). Moreover, the MTS510 mAb has little effect on LPS-dependent proliferation of B cells (our unpublished results). B cells may employ another molecule to regulate LPS signaling via TLR4. We hypothesized that the R P105–MD-1 complex, instead of MD-2, helps TLR4 to recognize and signal LPS in B cells. The functional cooperation between TLR4 and R P105 was tested in murine Ba/F3 cells that express neither TLR4 nor R P105 and do not respond to LPS (9). The Ba/F3 cells carrying the stably integrated exogenous TLR4, R P105, MD-1, or MD-2 genes alone or in different combinations were used. The expression levels of TLR4 or R P105 in the presence or absence of coexpressed R P105–MD-1 or TLR4, respectively, were similar as judged by immunoprecipitation or cell surface staining with the corresponding Abs (10; data not shown). The LPS-induced activation of NF-κB in these cells was tested by the analysis of the NF-κB-induced upregulation of the luciferase gene activity controlled by the NF-κB responsive element (20). The Ba/F3 cells expressing R P105–MD-1 did not respond to LPS derived from S. minnesota Re595 or lipid A (Fig. 5 a; data not shown). On the other hand, Ba/F3 cells expressing mouse TLR4 alone respond to LPS, albeit at levels significantly reduced compared with Ba/F3 cells expressing TLR4 and MD-2 (Fig. 5 a; refer-
The RP105 influence on the LPS signaling via TLR4 was addressed by expression of RP105 with or without MD-1 and TLR4 in Ba/F3 cells. The LPS signaling via TLR4 alone was not affected by expression of RP105 alone, whereas it was significantly enhanced in the presence of RP105–MD-1 (Fig. 5 a). We also examined Ba/F3 cells expressing both TLR4–MD-2 and RP105–MD-1, the LPS responses of which were not different from Ba/F3 cells expressing TLR4–MD-2 (Fig. 5 b).

Roles of human RP105–MD-1 in the LPS signaling via human TLR4 were next addressed. Similar experiments were conducted with Ba/F3 cells expressing human TLR4 and RP105. The surface expression levels of TLR4 or RP105 in the presence or absence of coexpressed RP105–MD-1 or TLR4-MD-1, respectively, were similar (9; data not shown). In contrast to the Ba/F3 cells expressing murine TLR4, the Ba/F3 cells that express human TLR4 alone do not respond to lipid A (Fig. 5 c; reference 9). The reason for the observed species-specific differences in LPS signaling via murine and human TLR4 alone is unknown. Coexpression of RP105–MD-1 conferred LPS responsiveness less efficiently than that of MD-2 (Fig. 5 c). Treatment of Ba/F3 cells coexpressing human TLR4 and RP105–MD-1 with the mAb directed against human RP105 inhibited LPS-induced NF-κB activation as effectively as with anti-TLR4 mAb alone (Fig. 5 d). Treatment of Ba/F3 cells coexpressing human TLR4 and RP105–MD-1 with a combination of the anti-RP105 and anti-TLR4 mAbs did not result in further decrease in LPS-induced NF-κB activation (Fig. 5 d), thus supporting the cooperative rather than independent signaling capacities of TLR4 and RP105–MD-1.

RP105–MD-1 is thus able to enhance LPS signaling via TLR4 as MD-2 does. Either MD-2 or RP105–MD-1 is required for LPS recognition and signaling by TLR4. However, the TLR4–MD-2 complex is hardly detectable on the mouse B cell surface (10), where RP105–MD-1 is abundantly expressed. Taken together with the results with Ba/F3 transfectants, we would like to conclude that the RP105–MD-1 complex, rather than MD-2, regulates LPS signaling via TLR4 on the B cell surface. The structural basis for the RP105–MD-1 and TLR4 cooperation remains unclear. Immunoprecipitation of TLR4 or RP105 from lysates of splenic B cells or Ba/F3 cells coexpressing RP105–MD-1 and TLR4 has not resulted in coprecipitation of TLR4 or RP105, respectively (data not shown). Immunoprecipitation in the presence of LPS did not make any difference.

Finally, the important role of RP105 in B cell activation by LPS makes it a possible target for pharmacological intervention aimed at enhancement of humoral immune response against Gram-negative bacteria.
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