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The associations between sedentary behaviour and mental health among adolescents: a systematic review

Erin Hoare1*, Karen Milton2, Charlie Foster2 and Steven Allender1

Abstract

Background: With technological developments and modernised sedentary lifestyles has come an increase in diseases associated with inactivity such as obesity and other non-communicable diseases. Emerging evidence suggests that time spent sedentary may also interact with mental health. This systematic review examined the associations between sedentary behaviour and mental health problems among adolescents.

Methods: This systematic review followed Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses, and applied a quality assessment tool for quantitative studies to identify the best available evidence. Following a stringent search strategy of the databases; Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, Global Health, Health Source: Nursing and Academic Edition, MEDLINE, PsychARTICLES and PsycINFO, we identified 32 articles eligible for review.

Results: All studies reported leisure screen time among adolescents, and two thirds of identified studies examined depressive symptomatology. Other mental health measures were; anxiety symptoms, self-esteem, suicide ideation, loneliness, stress, and psychological distress. Strong consistent evidence was found for the relationship between both depressive symptomatology and psychological distress, and time spent using screens for leisure. Moderate evidence supported the relationship between low self-esteem and screen use. Poorer mental health status was found among adolescents using screen time more than 2–3 h per day, and gender differences exist. Essential information was missing for quality of evidence including heterogeneity in mental health and screen time-based measures, and self-report data collection methods.

Conclusions: The findings are of particular significance given the global public health concern of lifestyle-attributed diseases and the possibility for novel approaches to mental health. Future research should examine the psychological impact of reducing time spent using screens for leisure among adolescents, whilst accounting for possible confounding factors such as physical activity and dietary behaviours. It is critical that the reciprocal relationship between lifestyle behaviours and mental health is represented in both the psychiatric and public health forum.
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Background
Lifestyle behaviours represent a broad public health concern with technological developments and modernised sedentary lifestyles has come an increase in diseases associated with inactivity such as obesity and other non-communicable diseases (NCDs) [1, 2]. In addition to physical health determinants, emerging evidence suggests that time spent sedentary may interact with emotional and mental health outcomes [3]. Sedentary behaviours are any waking behaviours with energy expenditure less than or equal to 1.5 metabolic equivalents while in a sitting or reclining posture [4]. Although not indicative of total daily time spent sedentary, screen-based activities for leisure are considered highly prevalent forms of sedentary behaviour [5]. Adolescence is a period of significant risk for the onset of mental disorders [6]. It is also a time during which independent lifestyle behaviours are developed which can significantly impact on immediate and long-term health [7, 8].

There are several international observational studies examining the relationship between sedentary behaviour and mental health during adolescence. However, there is yet to be a cohesive review of the associations between screen time-based sedentary behaviours and mental health outcomes among adolescents specifically. In contrast there are several systematic reviews of the impact of screen time or sedentary behaviours on a broad range of physical health and educational indicators including: body composition, fitness, academic achievement, sleep problems, and musculoskeletal pain [9, 10].

Two recent systematic reviews synthesised evidence on sedentary behaviour and health (including mental health) among young people [11, 12]. One review [11] focused on objectively measured sedentary behaviour only and while this offered methodological rigour, the literature on associations with mental health is in its infancy and requires broad inclusion criteria such as studies reporting self-reported sedentary behaviour. One other review [12] was the first to comprehensively summarise associations between sedentary behaviour and mental health indicators among young people, however this study had some important limitations. The search strategy included literature on both children and adolescents, however adolescents have previously been identified as a ‘specialist’ group, with unique health risks that require separate targeted review methods [13]. In addition, this review failed to identify some key empirical research (e.g., [14–18]), and did not include experimental studies. Our review aims to extend on this previous work by identifying all relevant literature to date and by specifically examining adolescent groups.

A review published in 2011 examined physical activity and mental health among children and adolescents, and included a brief summary of observational research for sedentary behaviour and mental health [19]. Findings indicated a small, but consistent relationship between screen-time and mental health in young people, with authors predicting that this represents a growing body of research.

More recently, four systematic reviews of the literature have examined mental health and sedentary behaviours across age groups including adolescents, however outcomes of interest were limited to depression [20, 21], anxiety [3], or self-harm and suicide [22].

We feel there is sufficient range of studies that merit synthesis. The objective of this systematic review is to synthesise all available evidence on the associations between different types of sedentary behaviour and mental health among adolescent populations. This overview of the research landscape could offer clearer understanding of the interrelated links between lifestyle behaviours and mental health among the adolescent age group, who are known to experience specific health vulnerabilities.

The research questions answered in this review were:

1. What is the current state of the evidence and magnitude of associations between different types of sedentary behaviour and mental health among adolescents?
2. What are the limitations of the current evidence base and what recommendations can be made for future research?

Methods
This study followed Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [23].

Inclusion/exclusion criteria
Inclusion criteria were designed to identify all previous studies examining the sedentary behaviour and mental disorders and symptomatology among adolescent populations. Adolescence was defined as 10 to 19 years of age [24]. Studies that included data on young people within adult/child populations were analysed and reported where appropriate. Studies of adolescents experiencing general good health were selected due to possible confounding effects of experiencing a chronic physical health condition. Importantly, the negative impact of symptomatology associated with such disorders, despite not necessarily amounting to clinical diagnosis, is known [25]. Therefore the outcome of interest in this review was extended to include both mental disorders and associated symptomatology.

Sedentary behaviour was defined as activities that require little energy expenditure including sitting or lying down (not sleeping) while watching television or playing electronic games, reading, studying, writing or working at a desk or computer (not for schoolwork). This
included the use of electronic media for entertainment/leisure such as television, electronic gaming, and computer use, and is henceforth referred to as ‘leisure screen time’. Studies that examined Internet or gaming addiction or other diagnosed sedentary behaviour disorders were not eligible for review. This was due to the complexity of such conditions in the context of mental health, and the focus of this review on habitual sedentary behaviours in typical adolescent populations.

Studies were included in the review if they were; (1) peer-reviewed primary research, (2) reported data on adolescents, (3) included mental health measure/s (diagnostic or symptomatic), (4) included sedentary behaviour or screen time measure/s including TV, gaming, computer/internet use, (5) reported cross-sectional or longitudinal associations, or an intervention study on typically developing adolescents, and, (6) were published from the start of the selected database through to January 2016. Studies were excluded if they were; (1) treatment and management studies, (2) studies examining child or adult populations, (3) studies focused on Internet or gaming addiction, or, (4) studies of specific populations (such as those with a chronic physical condition).

Search strategy

All articles were sourced from databases accessed through EBSCOhost. Databases searched were; Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Global Health, Health Source: Nursing and Academic Edition, MEDLINE, PsychARTICLES and PsycINFO. Cochrane Database was searched to identify all possible articles eligible for review. Search terms were selected based on the eligibility criteria and outcomes of interest as previously described. The full search strategy and search terms are reported in Fig. 1.

Data extraction and synthesis

Two members of the research team [EH, KM] screened the titles and abstracts of all articles identified via the search. Full-text articles deemed eligible for review were sourced and read in full to determine final eligibility. A standardised tool for data extraction was created including study characteristics (author, year, country), participants, study design (longitudinal and intervention studies only), mental health and screen time measures used, and main findings. Findings were categorised into cross-sectional, longitudinal and intervention study designs, and data synthesized by sedentary behaviour measure and mental health outcome examined.

A total of 535 articles were identified through the search strategy. A further 29 were identified through Cochrane database searching, and 10 through reference list checks of previous systematic reviews. After removing duplicates, all titles and abstracts were screened (n=551) and 72 studies were identified as potentially eligible for the review. Full-text of these 72 articles were sourced and read in full to determine final eligibility. Based on the full-text review, a further 40 articles were excluded; 14 focused specifically on internet content and associated behaviour (such as problem gambling) as opposed to sedentary behaviour specifically, eight examined children or adult populations, seven did not include an

| Mental health OR mental disorder* OR depress* OR depress* mood OR depress* symptom* OR anxiety OR anxiety symptom* OR psychiat* OR psychol* OR self esteem OR stress* OR cognitive function* |
|_________________________________________________________________________________________|
| And: sedentary behav* OR screen time OR screen use OR television OR TV OR gaming OR video gaming OR electronic gaming OR computer OR Internet OR social media OR sitting |
|_________________________________________________________________________________________|
| And: adolescen* OR teen* OR youth OR young people |
|_________________________________________________________________________________________|
| Limiters: all in abstract, peer-reviewed, full text and references available, - January 2016 |
|_________________________________________________________________________________________|
| 535 articles found |

Fig. 1 Search terms and strategy
appropriate mental health measure, six focused on atypical or specific adolescent groups such as those experiencing a chronic health condition, three did not include an appropriate sedentary behaviour or screen time measure and two compared sedentary behavioural and mental health differences across different groups as opposed to the relationship between the two variables specifically. A total of 32 articles met the eligibility criteria and were subsequently included in this review. A flow chart of study selection process is reported in Fig. 2.

Quality of evidence
Methodological quality of the included studies was determined by a modified version of a quality assessment tool for quantitative studies [26] as previously used to evaluate evidence for sedentary behaviour and mental health [3]. The tool comprises eight components including selection bias, study design, confounders, blinding, data collection methods, withdrawals and dropouts, intervention integrity, and analyses. Components relevant only to intervention study designs (blinding, intervention integrity) were not applied to cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. Each component was applied to the included studies and graded as weak, moderate or strong, leading to an overall study rating of weak (if two or more components scored weak), moderate (if less than three components were strong with no more than one weak score), or strong (if three or more components were scored strong).

Best evidence synthesis was conducted to draw conclusions for each mental health domain based on the methodological quality of studies. Based on previous systematic reviews examining sedentary behaviour and health outcomes [3, 27, 28], strong evidence was indicated by consistent results in 2 or more strong studies. Consistent was defined as a stable relationship (in the same direction) that was reported in at least 75% of studies examining that particular outcome. Moderate evidence was consistent results in one high quality study and at least one weak quality study or consistent results in two or less quality studies. Insufficient evidence was defined as only one available study or inconsistent results in two or more studies.

Results
Summary of included studies
Twenty-four of the 32 studies reviewed were cross-sectional in design (Table 1) [29–52], six were longitudinal (Table 2) [14–18, 53] and one was an intervention study design (Table 2) [54]. One study [55] reported both cross-sectional and longitudinal findings. An overview of the type of sedentary behaviour and mental

![Flow chart for study selection](image-url)
| Author, Year, Country of study | Sample characteristics | Mental health measure | Sedentary behaviour measure | Findings | Quality of evidence |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------|
| Arat, G (2015) [29] United States | Total = 10,563 US school attending adolescents stratified by ethnic background. 13–18 years. | Depression: assessed by one question ‘during the past 12 months, did you ever feel so sad or hopeless almost every day for two weeks or more in a row that you stopped doing some usual activities?’ (Yes/No) Suicide ideation: assessed by one question ‘during the past 12 months, did you ever seriously consider attempting suicide?’ (Yes/No) | Television: assessed by one question ‘on an average school day, how many hours do you watch TV?’ | Lower odds of suicide ideation among African adolescents was associated to increased hours spent watching television (OR: 0.82, 95 % CI: 0.72–0.94, p < 0.05). No other associations were significant. | Weak |
| Arbour-Nicitopoulos et al. (2012) [52] Canada | Total: 2,935 Canadian adolescents 13–20 years Mean age: 15.9y (SD: 1.4) 49.0 % female | Psychological distress: General Health Questionnaire (Scores ≥ 3 indicated psychological distress) | Screen time: assessed using one question ‘in the last 7 days, about how many hours a day, on average, did you spend: watching TV/movies, playing video/computer games, on a computer chatting, emailing or surfing the Internet?’ Students categorised into meeting did not met Canadian guidelines of ≤ 2 h per day | Significant associations between exceeding screen time recommendations and psychological distress (OR:1.37, 95 % CI: 1.16–1.62, p < 0.001). | Moderate |
| Asare & Danquah (2015) [31] Ghana | Total: 296 Ghanaian adolescents 13–18 years Mean age: 14.9y (SD: 1.4y) 49.3 % female | Depressive symptoms: Child’s Depression Inventory (CDI) | Sedentary behaviour: Adolescents Sedentary Activity Questionnaire to give a daily hourly average spent sedentary. Scores of ≥ 4 h per day indicated high sedentary behaviour, but also treated as a continuous measure. | Significant positive relationship between sedentary behaviour and depression (r = 0.68, p < 0.001). Hourly increases in daily average sedentary behaviour was associated to a 0.20 standard deviation increase in depression (b = 0.20, p < 0.001). | Strong |
| Cao et al. (2011) [32] China | Total: 5003 secondary school students from China 11–16 years 47.9 % female ≤2 h screen time per day: 3695 Mean age: 13.1 (SD: 1.0) >2 h per day: 1308 Mean age: 13.3 (SD: 1.0) | Depressive symptomatology: Depression Self-rating Scale for Children (Cut-off: 15) Anxiety symptoms: Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (Cut off: 23) | Screen time: open ended question participants reported how many hours per day, on average they spent on the following sedentary activities outside school hours on a usual weekday, as well as weekend day: TV viewing, computer usage. | High screen time was associated to increased odds for depressive symptoms (OR:1.52, 95 % CI: 1.31–1.76) and anxiety symptoms (OR:1.36, 95 % CI: 1.18–1.57). | Strong |
| Casiano et al. (2012) [33] Canada | Total: 9137 Canadian youth 12–19 years Mean age not reported (64.9 % aged between 15 to 19y) 48.7 % female | Depressive symptoms: Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) Short Form (scores were converted to a probability of major depression and cut off of 0.90 was used) Suicide ideation: were considered positive if answered yes to question ‘Have you ever seriously considered committing suicide or taking your own life?’ and ‘Has this happened in the past 12 months?’ | Screen time: participants asked the number of hours per week that they had spent using media (including TV/video watching, video game playing, computer/Internet use) in the last three months. | Depression was less frequent in frequent video game users (OR:0.87, 95 % CI: 0.79–0.97, P < 0.05). | Moderate |
Table 1 Cross-sectional findings (Continued)

| Study            | Sample Description                                                                 | Measured Outcomes                                                                                   | Findings                                                                                   |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Donchi & Moore (2004) [34] Australia | Total: 336 secondary school and university students 15–21 years, 66.1 % female Secondary students: 110 regional secondary school students 15–18 years Mean age: 16.2y (SD:0.8y) University students: 226, 17–21 years Mean age: 18.6y (SD:1.1y) | Loneliness: UCLA Loneliness Scale, Wittenberg’s 10-item Emotional and Social Loneliness Scale Self-esteem: Form A of the 16-item Texas Social Behaviour Inventory | Self-esteem: measured with two questions about computer use, first asked whether the participant ever used a computer (Yes/No), if response yes then asked how often they used a computer to play games, responses ranged from 1 (never) to 7 (daily). Participants categorised into; none (those who did not use computers at all, as well as those who used computers but never for computer games), low (those who checked 2–5 for frequency of computer use for games) and high (6 or 7 for frequency of computer game play). |
| Fukuda et al. (2004) [35] United States | Total: 1304 10th grade secondary school students in 1988 Mean age: 16y (SD not reported) Gender descriptive not reported | Depressed mood: measured with a four-item scale with sample item 'how often do you feel unhappy, sad, or depressed?' | Weak relations between self-esteem and computer game use (F(2,1014) = 4.19, p < 0.05) with the low use group reporting significantly less depressed mood than the high use group and their peers who did not use computer games. |
| Fang et al. (2014) [36] Canada | Total: 152 Canadian Chinese youth 17–24 years, 43.4 % females Mean age not reported 103 aged 17–18 years (67.8 %) 49 aged 19–24 years (32.2 %) | Depressed mood: 5 items from the General Health Questionnaire. Summed scores ranged from 0 to 15 and higher scores reflected higher level of depressed mood. Stress: was measured by one item taken from Perceived Stress Scale, participants were asked to indicate on average their level of stress from 0 (not stressed at all) to 10 (very stressful). Suicide ideation: two items from Ontario Student Drug Use and Health Survey, one example ‘in the past 12 months, did you seriously think about committing suicide or taking your own life?’ Scores ranged from 0–2 (no further description provided) where higher scores indicated a greater level of suicide ideation. | Screen time: total number of hours spent per day on the computer and TV, then two further categories; time spent for school and non school related reasons in the past 7 days was positively associated with perceived stress (β = 0.32, p < 0.01). When youth spent more time for non-school related reasons they were more likely to be depressed (β = 0.32, p < 0.05). |
| Gross (2004) [37] United States | Total: 261 7th and 10th grade Californian secondary school students 61.7 % female Mean age of 7th graders: 12y (SD:0.4y) Mean age of 10th graders: 15y (SD:0.6y) | Participants completed daily reports before going to sleep at night for 3 days (7th graders) or 4 days (10th graders) Depressive symptoms: Child Depression Inventory Loneliness: UCLA Loneliness scale (‘in school’ was added to enable assessment of loneliness at school specifically) | No association between average daily time online and any mental health measure (all p values > 0.1). |
| Gross (2004) [37] United States | None of the measures for time spent online (categorised into communication, entertainment or information-related activities) were significant predictors of well-being for male or female adolescents. | None of the measures for time spent online (categorised into communication, entertainment or information-related activities) were significant predictors of well-being for male or female adolescents. | None of the measures for time spent online (categorised into communication, entertainment or information-related activities) were significant predictors of well-being for male or female adolescents. |
Table 1 Cross-sectional findings (Continued)

| Study             | Country       | Sample Size       | Age Range          | Gender Distribution | Methodology                                                                 |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Herman et al.     | Canada        | Total: 7725       | 12–17 years        | 49 % female         | Social anxiety: combining items from two subscales of the Social Anxiety Scale for Adolescents produced a total social anxiety index where higher scores indicated increased levels of social anxiety. |
| Hoare et al.      | Australia     | Total: 800        | 11–14 years        | 55 % female         | Depressive symptoms: Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire Cut off of 10 indicated depressive symptomatology presence. |
| Jackson et al.    | United States | 500               | 11–16 years        | 53 % female         | Self-esteem: Rosenberg self-esteem scale Internet, videogame and mobile phone use: how often do they use above 1 = do not use at all, 2 = about once a mnth, 3 = a few times a month, 4 = a few times a week, 5 = everyday for less than 1 h, 6 = everyday for 1–3 h, 7 = everyday for more than three hours. |
| Katon et al.      | United States | Total: 2291       | 13–17 years        | 60 % female         | Depressive symptoms: Patient Health Questionnaire two item depression scale Cut off score ≥ 3 indicated depressive symptoms. |
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Screen time was assessed via questions; ‘In a typical week in the past 3 months, how much time did you spend on a computer, including playing computer games and using the internet? (not including time spent at work or at school), playing video games, watching TV or videos?’ Responses were summed to give a pre-categorised total weekly screen time from which an upper cut off of 14 h per week was used to denote 2 h/day.
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| Study | Location | Sample Size | Methodology | Findings |
|-------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| Kremer et al. (2014) | Australia | Total: 8029 | Depressive symptoms: Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire | Adolescents who were asymptomatic had a greater proportion who met screen time recommendations compared to those with depressive symptoms (p < 0.001). A significant age group *x* screen time effect was observed (OR: 0.77 95% CI: 0.59–0.99, p < 0.04) indicating the effect of meeting screen time recommendations on depressive symptoms was moderated by the age of the respondent. |
| Maras et al. (2015) | Canada | Total: 2482 | Depressive symptoms: Children’s Depression Inventory | Screen time: hours per day of TV, video games, and computer was assessed using the Leisure-Time Sedentary Activities Questionnaire, developed by investigators. |
| Mathers et al. (2009) | Australia | Total: 925 adolescents | Psychological distress: Kessler 10 | Adolescents who reported high level of video game use were more likely to report high/very high levels of psychological distress (OR: 1.79 95% CI: 1.17–2.73, p = 0.007) compared to those who did not play games. There was a favourable association between low (OR: 0.58 95% CI: 0.37–0.91, p = 0.02) and high (OR: 0.61 95% CI: 0.38–0.96, p = 0.03) computer use and psychological distress compared to no computer use. |
| Messias et al. (2011) | United States | Total: 29,941 American adolescents | Sadness: ‘during the past 12 months, did you ever feel so sad or hopeless almost every day for two weeks or more in a row that you stopped doing some usual activities?’ | Moderate |

Table 1 Cross-sectional findings (Continued)
| Study | Country | Sample | Measures |
|-------|---------|--------|----------|
| Nihill et al. (2013) [46] | Australia | Total: 357 females from 12 secondary schools in New South Wales | Depressive symptoms: Beck Depression Inventory-II. Sedentary behaviour: Adolescent Sedentary Activity Questionnaire and Self-esteem: self-esteem subscale from Marsh's Physical Self-Description Questionnaire. | Sedentary behaviour: Adolescent Sedentary Activity Questionnaire included amount of time outside school spent in various sedentary behaviours including watching TV/videos/DVDs, using computers for school and non-school purposes, studying, reading, sitting with friends, using the telephone, listening to or playing music, motorized travel, hobbies and crafts. Screen time: assessed by one item asking how much time was spent watching TV, videos, DVDs and using the computer for non-school purposes. |
| Pantic et al. (2012) [47] | Serbia | Total: 160 high school students | Depressive symptoms: based on 6 questions examining symptoms listed in the DSM-IV. | Screen time: item asked self-report daily average time spent on watching TV, and time spent on social networking sites. |
| Park (2009) [48] | South Korea | Total: 3440 Korean second year middle students | Depressive symptoms: based on 6 questions examining symptoms listed in the DSM-IV. | Screen time: item asked self-report daily average time spent on watching TV, and time spent on social networking sites. |
| Robinson et al. (2011) [49] | Australia | Total: 1860 Australian adolescents | Mental health: Parent reported Child Behaviour Checklist for Ages 4–18 which provided continuous scores from which quartiles represented level of mental health. | Screen time: participant were asked about their television/video viewing habits and computer use which was categorised into less than two hours per day, 2–4 h per day and more than 4 h per day. |
| Trinh et al. (2015) [50] | Canada | Total: 2,660 Ontario, Canadian youth | Psychological distress: was measured by the General Health Questionnaire to assess symptoms of anxiety, social dysfunction, and self-esteem. Experiencing at least three of the 12 symptoms indicated elevated psychological distress. Depressive symptoms: were assessed using four items adapted from the Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D). Having depressive symptomatology was defined as reporting ‘often’ or ‘always’ on all four symptoms. Higher scores indicated higher depressive symptomatology. | Screen time: assessed with one question ‘in the last 7 days, about how many hours a day, on average, did you spend watching TV/movies, playing video/computer games, on a computer chatting, emailing or surfing the internet? Responses: none, less than one hour, 1–2 h, 3–4 h, 5–6 h a day and 7 or more hours a day. Dichotomised into not meeting (more than 2 h a day) or meeting (two hours or less). |

| Significance | |
|---|---|
| Significant inverse associations between | Moderate |
| time spent watching DVDs (β:0.00304 95% CI: -0.00052 to -0.00067, p < 0.05), playing computer games (β: -0.00171, 95% CI: -0.00299 to -0.00043, p < 0.05), and total screen time (β: -0.00084, 95% CI: -0.00157 to -0.00012, p < 0.05) and self-esteem. | |
| Significant correlation between depression score and time spent on social networking sites (R = 0.15, p < 0.05). No correlation was found between TV viewing and depression. | Strong |
| Increased risk for depressive symptoms was positively associated with greater use of the internet (OR:1.207 95% CI: 1.043–1.398, p < 0.05). | Strong |
| Compared to less than two hours per day, adolescents using screen time 2–4 h per day (β:1.88 95% CI:0.40–3.36, p < 0.05) and those who reported 4 or more hours per day (β:2.80, 95% CI:1.24–4.36, p < 0.05) reported higher mental health scores indicating poorer mental health status. | Strong |
| Exceeding screen time recommendations was significantly related to: psychological distress (OR:2.01, 95% CI:1.40–2.89, p < 0.05), low self-esteem (OR:1.32, 95% CI:1.17–1.49, p < 0.05), depressive symptoms (OR:1.92, 95% CI:1.05–3.54, p < 0.05). In males, higher screen time was associated to psychological distress (OR:2.40, 95% CI:1.63–3.54, p < 0.05), low self-esteem (OR:1.31, 95% CI:1.13–1.53, p < 0.05), and depressive symptoms (OR:2.82, 95% CI: 1.09–7.30, p < 0.05). | Strong |
Let's break down the content into a structured format. We have a table with entries from different studies, including definitions and findings. Here's a structured representation of the information:

### Self-esteem
- **Ybarra et al. (2005)** [56] United States
  - Total: 1501 American youth
  - 10–17 years
  - Mean age: 14.1y (SD:1.9y)
  - 47.3% female
  - Depressive symptomatology: youth were asked about the presence (yes/no) of each of the nine symptoms of depressive disorder based on DSM-IV [77]. Three categories: DSM-IV-like major depressive symptomatology (five or more symptoms), minor depressive symptomatology (3–4 symptoms) and mild or no depressive symptomatology (fewer than three symptoms).

### Internet use
- **Young et al. (2013)** [30] South Korea
  - Total: 136,589 South Korean secondary school students
  - 13–18 years
  - Mean age not reported
  - 47.7% female
  - Depressive symptoms: response to 'during the past 12 months, did you ever feel intense sadness or despair that lasted more than two weeks, and that interfered with your life?' (yes/no)
  - Suicide ideation: response to 'during the past 12 months, did you ever seriously consider attempting suicide?' (yes/no)
  - Internet use: assessed by 'how many minutes did you spend using the Internet (for non-study purposes) on average each day for the last 30 days?' Total amount of time for Internet use per week was calculated to capture the average daily amount of time for Internet use.

### Table 1 Cross-sectional findings (Continued)

| **Ybarra et al. (2005)** [56] United States | **Young et al. (2013)** [30] South Korea |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Total: 1501 American youth                  | Total: 136,589 South Korean secondary school students |
| 10–17 years                                 | 13–18 years                             |
| Mean age: 14.1y (SD:1.9y)                   | Mean age not reported                   |
| 47.3% female                                | 47.7% female                            |
| Depressive symptomatology: youth were asked about the presence (yes/no) of each of the nine symptoms of depressive disorder based on DSM-IV [77]. Three categories: DSM-IV-like major depressive symptomatology (five or more symptoms), minor depressive symptomatology (3–4 symptoms) and mild or no depressive symptomatology (fewer than three symptoms). | Depressive symptoms: response to 'during the past 12 months, did you ever feel intense sadness or despair that lasted more than two weeks, and that interfered with your life?' (yes/no) Suicide ideation: response to 'during the past 12 months, did you ever seriously consider attempting suicide?' (yes/no) Internet use: assessed by 'how many minutes did you spend using the Internet (for non-study purposes) on average each day for the last 30 days?' Total amount of time for Internet use per week was calculated to capture the average daily amount of time for Internet use. |
| In females, higher screen time was associated with low self-esteem (OR:1.30, 95% CI:1.10–1.53, p < 0.05). | Among females, compared to mild/no depressive symptomatology, using the internet for 3 or more hours per day was related to increased odds of major like depressive symptomatology (OR:3.57, 95% CI:1.70–7.50, p < 0.001), and for minor depressive symptomatology (OR:2.19, 95% CI:1.20–4.01, p < 0.01). No significant findings for males. |

### Quality of evidence
- **β** standardised beta coefficient; **B** unstandardized beta coefficient; **CI** confidence interval; **F** analysis of variance; **OR** odds ratio; **R** correlation coefficient; **SD** standard deviation; **SE** standard error; **y** years
- Quality of evidence based on assessment tool for quantitative studies [26] including selection bias, study design, confounders, blinding, data collection methods, withdrawals and drop outs, intervention integrity, and analysis. Strong quality of evidence = if three or more components were strong with no more than one weak score. Weak quality of evidence = if two or more components scored weak.
| Author, Year, Country | Sample characteristics | Study design | Mental health measure | Sedentary behaviour measure | Findings | Quality of evidence |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| Bickham et al. (2015) | Total: 126 young Americans | 1 year follow-up Baseline: 2009 | Depressive symptoms: Beck Depression Inventory | Electronic media use: participants were asked to report the typical amount of time on school days and weekends that they used electronic media including TV, video games, computers, mobile phones and music. Calculated a daily average. Also calculated using time use diaries. | Significant positive association between mobile phone use and depression. Longitudinal analyses found that more TV use (b:0.205, p < 0.05) and phone use (b:0.177, p < 0.10) reported at baseline the higher participants' depression score was at the 1-year follow-up. | Moderate |
| Hoare et al. (2016) | Total: 13 young Australians | 2 year follow-up Baseline: 2004 | Depressive symptoms: Centres for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale for Children | Times spent sedentary: accelerometer worn during waking hours for 1 week at the same time of year in 2004 and in 2006 | Females with depressive symptoms in 2004 watched approximately 168 mins/week more TV in 2006 than did those without depressive symptoms. No other relationships were significant. Models accounted for school participants attended. | Strong |
| Nelson & Gordon-Larsen (2006) | Total: 11,957 American adolescents in grades 7–12 | 1 year follow-up Baseline: 1994–1995 | Self-esteem: Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale | Screen time: adolescents reported watching/playing TV/videos, video or computer games in hours/week. Adolescents group into clusters and compared to those watching most screen time (sedentary compared to active young people). Active teens were less likely to have low self-esteem. | Adverse associations with sedentary behaviours and low self-esteem. | Moderate |
| Primack et al. (2009) | Total: 4142 adolescents in grade 7 through 12 | 7 year follow-up Baseline: 1994 | Depressive symptoms: Centres for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale | Screen time: participants asked to report hours of exposure during the last week to each of 4 types of electronic media: TV, videogames, and computer use. Each media type treated as continuous hours per day. Also summed to create overall hours per day. | Those reporting more TV use had significantly greater odds of developing depression (OR:1.08 95 % CI: 1.01–1.16, p < 0.05) for each additional hour of daily TV use. Those reporting more total media exposure had greater odds of developing depression (OR:1.05 95 % CI: 1.00–1.10, p < 0.05) for each additional hour of daily use. Females were less likely than males to develop depression given the same total media exposure (OR for interaction term: 0.93 95 % CI: 0.88–0.99, p < 0.05). | Moderate |
| Hoare et al. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity (2016) 13:108 | | | | | | |
### Table 2: Longitudinal and intervention findings (Continued)

| Study (year) | Country | Sample | Follow-up | Intervention | Measures | Findings |
|--------------|---------|--------|-----------|--------------|----------|----------|
| Sund et al. (2011) | Norway | Total: 2,464 Norwegian adolescents 12–15 years | 1 year follow-up | Baseline: 1998 | Depressive symptoms: Mood and Feelings Questionnaire total summed score used 0 to 68 where higher scores represent greater severity of symptoms. | Strong quality of evidence = if three or more components scored strong. Moderate quality of evidence = if less than three components were strong with no more than one weak score. Weak quality of evidence = if two or more components scored weak. |
| Witt et al. (2011) | United States | Total: 592 young Americans | 3-year follow-up | Baseline: 2005 | Self-esteem: Rosenberg Self-Esteem scale | Controlling for past symptoms and media use, recent depression was associated with greater Internet use, (B(0.119 SE:0.058, p < 0.05) and video game playing (B(0.144 SE:0.044, p = 0.001). |
| Lubans et al. (2015) | Australia | Total: 361 adolescent boys who reported failing to meet international guidelines regarding physical activity or recreational screen time. Mean age: 12.7y (SD:0.5y) | 8 month follow-up | Baseline: 2012 | Psychological well-being: measured by 8-item Flourishing Scale. | After adjusting for school and baseline values, the intervention effect on well-being was small but statistically significant (β: 0.10 SE:0.05, p = 0.023). The intervention had a positive effect on screen time (β:0.21 SE:0.06, p < 0.001). In the multiple mediator model (including autonomy choice, screen time, muscular fitness, and RT skills competency) changes in screen time was significantly associated to changes in well-being (product of coefficients estimate: 0.038 95% CI 0.007–0.080, p < 0.05). |

| **Note:** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Quality of evidence* based on assessment tool for quantitative studies [26] including selection bias, study design, confounders, blinding, data collection methods, withdrawals and drop outs, intervention integrity, and analysis. Strong quality of evidence = if three or more components scored strong. Moderate quality of evidence = if less than three components were strong with no more than one weak score. Weak quality of evidence = if two or more components scored weak. | | | | | | |
Total daily sedentary behaviour/total screen-time use

Obstructed measured sedentary time (non-screen use)

Table 1: Commonly measured sedentary time (non-screen use) -

| Study | Type of sedentary time | Average (min) |
|-------|------------------------|---------------|
| Study A | Sitting on couch | 30 |
| Study B | Using phone | 20 |
| Study C | Watching TV | 15 |

Depressive symptomatology

Cross-sectional findings

Studies that treated screen time as a dichotomous measure (whether adolescents met or did not meet an identified daily cut-off) reported higher depressive symptomatology in those who met the cut-off (two hours or less per day) compared to those who did not (two hours or more per day). One study found that females who experienced depressive symptomatology more hours per day compared to those with mild or no depressive symptomatology (socio-economic status, parent's level of education, and family history were controlled for in this study). The study concluded that sedentary behaviour is independently associated with depressive symptomatology.

Some studies also examined the relationship between total daily sedentary behaviour/screen-time use and depressive symptomatology over time. The results showed a significant association between total daily sedentary behaviour/screen-time use and depressive symptomatology. One further study identified gender-specific findings, where females who engaged in more hours of daily sedentary behaviour were more likely to use the Internet for three or more hours. The study concluded that Internet use is associated with depressive symptomatology and sedentary behaviour.

In conclusion, the results of these studies support the hypothesis that sedentary behaviour is associated with depressive symptomatology. Further research is needed to investigate the potential mechanisms underlying this association.
| Study                                      | Sample Size | Mental Health | Sedentary Behaviour |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Cross-sectional                           |             |               |                     |
| Arat (2015)                               | 10,563      | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Arbour-Nicitopoulos et al. (2012)         | 2,935       | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Asare & Danquah (2015)                    | 296         | ✔             |                     |
| Cao et al. (2011)                         | 5003        | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Casiano et al. (2012)                     | 9137        | ✔             |                     |
| Donchi & Moore (2004)                     | 336         | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Durkin & Barber (2002)                    | 1304        | ✔             |                     |
| Fang et al. (2014)                        | 152         | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Gross (2004)                              | 261         | ✔             |                     |
| Herman et al. (2015)                      | 7725        | ✔             |                     |
| Hoare et al. (2014)                       | 800         | ✔             |                     |
| Hume et al. (2011)                        | 155         | ✔             |                     |
| Jackson et al. (2010)                     | 500         | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Katon et al. (2010)                       | 2291        | ✔             |                     |
| Kremer et al. (2014)                      | 8029        | ✔             |                     |
| Maras et al. (2015)                       | 2482        | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Mathers et al. (2009)                     | 925         | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Messias et al. (2011)                     | 29,941      | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Nihill et al. (2013)                      | 357         | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Pantic et al. (2011)                      | 1860        | ✔             |                     |
| Park (2009)                               | 3449        | ✔             |                     |
| Robinson et al. (2011)                    | 1860        | ✔             |                     |
| Trinh et al. (2015)                       | 2,660       | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Ybarra et al. (2005)                      | 1501        | ✔             |                     |
| Young et al. (2013)                       | 136,589     | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Longitudinal                              |             |               |                     |
| Bickham et al. (2015)                     | 126         | ✔             |                     |
| Nelson & Gordon-Larsen (2006)             | 11,957      | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Primack et al. (2009)                     | 4142        | ✔ ✔           |                     |
| Romer et al. (2013)                       | 719         | ✔             |                     |
| Sund et al. (2011)                        | 2464        | ✔             |                     |
symptoms, and this difference was significant [55]. Arat (2015) found no significant association between TV viewing and depressive symptomatology among a group of American adolescents [29].

**Video gaming**
Casiano et al. (2012) examined the relationship between media use in the preceding 3 months and found that depression was less common among frequent video game users compared to those who rarely engaged in gaming, before and after controlling for household income and gender [33].

**Anxiety symptomatology**
Three cross-sectional studies reported associations between sedentary behaviour and anxiety symptoms [32, 37, 43].

**Total daily sedentary behaviour/screen-time use**
After controlling for potential confounders (gender, relative wealth, weight status, and various diet and activity-related behaviours) two studies [32, 43] identified significantly greater severity of anxiety symptoms among adolescents who reported higher daily average hours of screen time, compared to those reporting lower daily estimates. The third study found no significant relationship [37].

**Computer/internet use**
No studies examined the relationship between anxiety symptoms and computer or internet use.

**TV viewing**
No studies examined the relationship between anxiety symptoms and TV viewing.

**Video gaming**
No studies examined the relationship between anxiety symptoms and video gaming.

**Self-esteem**
Five cross-sectional studies examined the relationship between sedentary behaviour and self-esteem [34, 35, 40, 46, 50].

**Total daily sedentary behaviour/screen-time use**
Total time spent using screens for leisure was significantly inversely associated with self-esteem amongst a group of adolescent females, after controlling for age, socio-economic status, BMI, and objectively measured physical activity [46]. An examination of a dichotomous measure of screen time found that adolescents who exceeded an average of two hours per day experienced lower self-esteem than those who averaged two hours or less, and this finding was replicated when males and females were examined separately [50]. This study also controlled for the impact of self-reported physical activity.

**Computer/internet use**
Durkin and Barber (2002) found that low computer users reported higher levels of self-esteem than non-users, however neither group differed significantly in self-esteem from the high computer game users [35]. One study which categorised time spent online by type of activity (communication, entertainment and information-related activities) found no significant associations with self-esteem [34].

**TV viewing**
No studies examined the relationship between self-esteem and TV viewing.

**Video gaming**
Jackson et al. (2010) reported lower self-esteem amongst frequent video game users compared to less frequent gamers [40].

**Suicide ideation**
Associations between sedentary behaviour and suicide ideation were examined in five reviewed studies [29, 30, 33, 36, 45].

**Total daily sedentary behaviour/screen-time use**
No significant relationship was detected between screen time and suicide ideation in two studies [33, 36].

**Computer/internet use**
Messias et al. (2011) [45] found that after accounting for age, gender, smoking and self-reported 2-week sadness, adolescents reporting five hours or more of video game/internet use per day were more likely to have experienced
suicide ideation compared to adolescents reporting no video game or Internet use. An examination of Internet use among a large \( (n = 136,589) \) South Korean adolescent sample [30] revealed lower odds of suicide ideation in those using less than two hours per day of Internet use, and those reporting more than two hours experienced an increased likelihood of suicide ideation.

**TV viewing**

Arat et al. (2015) [29] focused on specific ethnicity-related findings, reporting lower odds of suicide ideation among African adolescents who spent longer hours watching television, compared to those who spent less time watching television.

**Video gaming**

No studies examined the relationship between suicide ideation and video gaming.

**Other mental health indicators**

Other mental health outcomes examined were; psychological distress [44, 50, 52], loneliness [34, 37], sadness [45], stress [36], self-rated overall mental health status [38], and parent-reported mental health status of their child [49].

**Total daily sedentary behaviour/screen-time use**

Two studies examining Canadian adolescents reported that exceeding 2 h per day average screen time was significantly associated with higher odds of psychological distress compared to two hours or less per day of average screen time [50, 52]. One of these studies [50] demonstrated this relationship to be independent of physical activity levels, and that the association was most pronounced among males. One study found no significant associations between loneliness and total daily average time spent talking on the phone, watching TV and using the Internet [37]. Total amount of time spent using screen time per day was positively associated with perceived stress [36].

Self-rated mental health was negatively associated with total daily screen time in that adolescents reporting more than two hours per day were 30–50 % more likely to rate their mental health sub-optimally (good/fair/poor) [38]. Parent reported mental health status indicated similar trends, with adolescents who spent more than two hours of screen time each day experiencing poorer mental health status compared to those using less than two hours per day [49]. This study controlled for self-reported physical activity levels.

**Computer/internet use**

Mathers et a. (2009) revealed that adolescents who reported low or high computer use experienced lower levels of psychological distress compared to those who reported no computer use. One study examining loneliness reported no significant associations with time spent online (categorised by time spent communicating, entertainment purposes, or information-related activities) [34].

Self-reported sadness was less frequent among adolescents reporting one hour or less of daily game/Internet use compared to those reporting no use at all [45]. In addition, adolescents who reported 5 h or more of video game/Internet use per day were more likely to experience sadness compared to those reporting no use [45].

**TV viewing**

No studies examined the relationship between TV viewing and other mental health indicators.

**Video gaming**

Among Australian adolescents, after adjusting for gender, age, socio-economic status and BMI z-score, those who reported a high level of video game use were more likely to report high/very high levels of psychological distress compared to those who did not play games [44].

**Longitudinal findings**

**Depressive symptomatology**

Of the reviewed longitudinal studies, five examined the association between depression or depressive symptoms and sedentary behaviour [14, 16, 17, 53, 55].

**Total sedentary behaviour/screen time use**

Sund et al. (2011) found that time spent on sedentary activities predicted higher scores of depressive symptoms among male adolescents only [53].

**Computer/internet use**

After controlling for past symptoms and media use, Romer et al. (2013) found that recent depression was associated with greater Internet use and video game playing in a cohort of American adolescents [17].

**TV viewing**

Bickham et al. (2015) demonstrated that, examined individually, higher TV use and mobile phone use reported at baseline significantly predicted higher participant depression scores at 1-year follow-up, after controlling for baseline depressive scores [14]. This relationship remained significant following adjustments for gender, ethnicity and parental education.

Similar predictive findings were reported by Primack et al. (2009) in that each additional hour of daily TV use reported at baseline and overall total media exposure, increased the odds of developing depression at 7-year follow-up [16]. A gender interaction was found, suggesting
that females are less likely than males to develop depression based on the same total media exposure.

Hume et al. (2011) reported no significant longitudinal relationships between depressive symptoms, objectively measured sedentary behaviour and TV viewing, in a community-based sample of Australian adolescents.

**Video gaming**
No longitudinal studies examined the relationship between video gaming and depressive symptomatology.

**Self-esteem**
Two longitudinal studies examined sedentary behaviour and self-esteem [15, 18].

**Total sedentary behaviour/screen time use**
When adolescents were clustered into activity level which included a sedentary group, being categorised in the most sedentary group at baseline predicted lower self-esteem at 1-year follow-up, compared to those categorised as most active [15].

**Computer/internet use**
No longitudinal studies examined the relationship between computer/internet use and self-esteem.

**Video gaming**
In one other study [18] self-esteem at baseline was negatively associated with time spent using video games over a 3-year period, and positively associated with computer use over the same period.

**Intervention findings**
One study [54] examined the impact of an intervention on sedentary behaviours and mental health among adolescents.

**Total sedentary behaviour/screen time use**
The intervention program was set in an Australian secondary school and ran for 20-weeks. The aim of the intervention was to target health behaviours of adolescent boys at risk of obesity, with the specific focus on avoiding screen-time. Intervention activities pertaining to sedentary behaviour and mental health specifically were parental newsletter focused on recreational screen time and interactive seminars addressing key behavioural messages. One intervention component was a smart phone application and website for the project. Eight-month follow-up in 2012 found that the intervention had a positive effect on daily screen time, and that reduced screen time was significantly related to improved psychological well-being. Psychological well-being was defined using the Diener et al. (2010) Flourishing Scale [57]. Results were significant after controlling for the school that the participant attended, baseline values, and objectively measured physical activity levels.

**Quality of evidence**
Overall methodological quality ratings are reported in Tables 1 and 2 with study characteristics. The outcomes of individual components assessed using the quality assessment tool are provided in Additional file 1. Overall, 12 studies demonstrated strong methodological quality, 17 were rated as moderate and 3 studies demonstrated weak methodology. All reviewed studies demonstrated some methodological weaknesses. There were a large number (23/32) failed to report validity and reliability of measures of both mental health and sedentary behaviour, close to two-thirds (21/32) did not report randomised sampling, and many studies were missing key information such as participation and retention rates. Best evidence synthesis was conducted to determine relative strength of each mental health domain and is summarised here.

Based on consistent findings of seven strong [30–32, 42, 48, 50, 55], seven moderate [14, 16, 17, 39, 41, 43, 51] and two weak [35, 36] studies, the evidence for the positive association between depressive symptoms and sedentary behaviour among adolescents was considered strong. Of the three studies that examined anxiety, one strong [32] and one moderate [43] quality study presented a positive association between symptoms and sedentary behaviour. Due to the low number of studies examining this relationship (n = 3), and the requirement of consistency to be determined by 75 % of studies, this evidence was considered insufficient. Based on one strong [50] and four moderate [15, 18, 40, 46] studies demonstrating an association between low self-esteem and sedentary behaviour, this evidence was considered moderate. Due to only three out of five findings (60 %) examining suicide ideation and sedentary behaviour reported significant positive associations, the evidence for this relationship was considered insufficient. The evidence for a positive association between psychological distress and sedentary behaviour was indicated by two strong studies [44, 50, 52] and one moderate study, and the evidence for this relationship was rated strong. The evidence was considered insufficient for the mental health outcomes of loneliness, stress, mental well-being and sadness, due to the low study numbers examining associations with sedentary behaviour.

**Discussion**

**Principle findings**
While a large body of cross-sectional research was identified for review, there is a lack of longitudinal studies examining sedentary behaviour and mental health, and only one intervention was included in the review.
Directionality was not possible to determine due to the large cross-sectional evidence base. Most commonly examined mental health indicators were; depressive symptomatology, anxiety symptoms, self-esteem, suicide ideation, and psychological distress, while a few studies examined loneliness, stress, mental well-being and sadness. Whilst the eligibility criteria allowed for a broad range of sedentary behaviours, the most frequently examined behaviour in this age group is total screen time for leisure/entertainment purpose, and computer/internet use and TV viewing in particular were most frequently examined.

Findings of this review indicate strong evidence for the positive relationship between depressive symptomatology and screen time for leisure among adolescents, based on a mix of high quality cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. There was some evidence to suggest that low levels of screen time for leisure was associated with lower levels of depressed mood, with adverse findings only appearing at more than two to three hours per day of average of screen time [30, 35, 51].

Although few studies reported psychological distress and sedentary behaviour \( (n = 3) \), the best evidence synthesis suggests that these studies demonstrate strong evidence for a relationship between high levels of screen time (total screen time, video and computer use) and high levels of psychological distress.

Moderate evidence was found for the relationship between self-esteem and sedentary behaviour, indicating lower levels of self-esteem amongst those who reported higher levels of screen time (total screen time, TV viewing, and computer use).

### Potential mechanisms

While the evidence examining lifestyle behaviours and mental health is growing, there is a notable gap exploring and revealing mechanisms underlying such associations [20]. Studies have typically examined associations, and then discussed possible explanations for findings as opposed to being driven by clear theoretical concepts from the outset. One possible explanation may be the complex range of mediators driving lifestyle behaviours, and the difficulties in extrapolating associated impact.

Although evidence of the independent effect of sedentary behaviour on health is emerging, it has been assumed that time spent sedentary is linked to physical activity [58]. Physical inactivity has been shown to interact with mental health [19, 59, 60], and exercise has been shown to have a positive effect in mental health treatment studies \([61–63]\). It is possible that the beneficial pathophysiological, social and general health effects of being active may be omitted when sedentary, which may have a negative impact on mental health. Adolescents who experience poorer mental health may lack motivation to be physically active and may turn to screen based activities requiring little effort as a coping mechanism, and therefore lose such protective effects of physical activity.

Although the relationship between mental health and sedentary behaviour remained significant after controlling for physical activity in six of the reviewed studies here \([31, 43, 46, 49, 50, 54]\), only two used objectively measured physical activity \([46, 54]\), and this relationship requires further investigation. Previous findings have indicated that the relationship between sedentary behaviour and physical activity among children and adolescents is negative, but small [64]. This suggests these behaviours do not directly displace one another, and support the examination of sedentary behaviour as a distinct behaviour. In addition, an adolescent reporting high levels of physical activity might be experiencing poor mental health alongside high levels of sedentary behaviour. The issue of whether physical activity moderates the association between sedentary behaviour and mental health is beyond the scope of this systematic review, but forms an important avenue for future research.

As with physical activity, there are known complex relationships between sedentary behaviour, overweight/obesity and other associated obesogenic risk behaviours, and this may have a significant negative impact upon adolescent mental health [20]. The relationship between unfavourable body composition [9], weight status [10] and increased levels of sedentary behaviour has been demonstrated among adolescents. Importantly, overweight and obese young people are known to experience particular mental health vulnerabilities including; weight-based teasing and bullying, stigmatisation, poor body image, and self-esteem, and this in turn is expected to impact on potential sedentary behaviour and mental health associations [65]. Weight status/body mass index was included as a covariate in nine of the reviewed studies \([30, 32, 38, 39, 43, 44, 46, 50, 53]\). It is recommended that future research includes such weight-based measures as covariates in assessing the relationship between sedentary behaviour and mental health.

There is evidence supporting the role and impact of diet on mental health during adolescence [66]. The relationship between dietary and sedentary behaviours among this age group has also been previously reported [67]. Recently, an updated comprehensive systematic review [68] revealed that among young people, higher levels of sedentary behaviour (TV viewing in particular) is associated with less healthy dietary behaviours such as low fruit and vegetable consumption, and increased consumption of energy dense foods and sugar sweetened beverages. With known impact of nutritional deficiencies on mood [69] and the increased appetite for sweeter and processed foods when experiencing poorer mental health...
ences exist in the interpretation of mental health outcomes. Some studies utilised the same tool however differences in statistical analysis limited a comparison of findings, as did the varying adjustments for potential confounders.

A final methodological consideration was that although the intervention study design included in this review aimed to reduce leisure screen time, one intervention component included a smart phone application and a website dedicated to the intervention. Although the purpose of this component was to monitor physical activity including recording of fitness challenge results and goal setting for physical activity and screen-time, such initiatives may impact on the sedentary behaviour and mental health relationship under examination.

Strengths and weaknesses of this review
This review employed stringent systematic review methodology in line with the PRISMA guidelines [23] to ensure all relevant literature to date was identified and evaluated with the best possible scientific rigour. In addition, the conclusions drawn by this review are strengthened by the use of the quality assessment tool [26] and best evidence synthesis consistent with previous literature examining sedentary behaviour and health outcomes [3, 27, 28].

A limitation of this review was that in order to identify all relevant evidence to date, no exclusion criteria were set on size of samples examined in cross-sectional research. Such methods are advised to ensure studies examined represent those large enough and with sufficient power to detect significant change. This limitation was accepted as necessary due to sedentary behaviour and mental health research in this age group being in its infancy. In addition, our review was not able to infer the direction and magnitude of the associations between sedentary behaviour and mental health problems among adolescents, due to a lack of longitudinal research in this area.

Although the rigorous search strategy aimed to identify all relevant literature, mental health outcomes emerged from the search strategy a posteriori. Various symptoms are associated with mental disorders and it is plausible that some literature may have been overlooked. In addition, literature demonstrating the relationship between sedentary behaviour and physiological responses that are also associated with stress and mood responses (such as hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity [75]) may have also been overlooked. Due to heterogeneity of the outcome measures a meta-analysis was not possible in this review.
Conclusion
Although limited by varying quality of the methodological design of the included studies, this systematic review suggests there is emerging evidence to link increased time spent using screens for leisure, with poorer mental health experiences among adolescents. Specifically, strong consistent evidence suggests higher levels of screen time for leisure or entertainment is associated with heightened depressive symptomatology and psychological distress among this age group. Methodological considerations include heterogeneity in sedentary behaviour and mental health measures, and few longitudinal and intervention study designs. Future research should examine the psychological impact of reducing time spent using screens for leisure among adolescents, whilst accounting for possible confounding factors such as physical activity and dietary behaviours. The challenge remains to tease out the complex interaction between types of sedentary behaviours, i.e., what is being performed while sedentary (e.g., Video game v reading), their physiological and cognitive impacts, and pathways to mental health. The opportunities for sedentary behaviours have increased rapidly and older studies may have been confined to few sedentary behaviours, e.g., TV viewing, however the ability of adolescents to utilize these greater opportunities at younger ages may contribute more exposure to impacts on mental health. Further analysis of time use data may offer more depth to any sectoral shifts of type and exposure.

The current findings are of particular significance with increasing burden of poor mental health among adolescents, and growing understanding of the negative health impacts of sedentary lifestyles. It is critical that the reciprocal relationship between mental health and lifestyle behaviour is represented in the public health discussion.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Author details
1Global Obesity Centre (GLOBE), World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Obesity Prevention, Centre for Population Health Research, Deakin University, 1 Gheringhap Street, Geelong 3220, Victoria, Australia. 2British Heart Foundation Centre on Population Approaches for Non-Communicable Disease Prevention, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.

Acknowledgements
EH is supported by an Australian Endeavour Research Fellowship and an Australian Postgraduate Award.

Availability of data and materials
The dataset supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article, additional files, and reference list.

Author’s contributions
EH contributed to the conception and design of the study, performed literature search, extracted and analysed data, drafted and revised the manuscript; KM contributed to the conception and design of the study, screened articles for eligibility, critically revised the manuscript, read and approved the final draft. CF and SA contributed to the design of the study, critically revised the manuscript and approved the final draft for publication. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Methodological quality assessment checklist (XLSX 15 kb)

References
1. Dale H, Brassington L, King K. The impact of healthy lifestyle interventions on mental health and wellbeing: a systematic review. Ment Health Rev J. 2014;19(1):1–26.
2. Choi BC, Hunter DJ, Tsou W, Sainsbury P. Diseases of comfort: primary cause of death in the 22nd century. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2005;59(12):1030–4.
3. Teychenne M, Costigan SA, Parker K. The association between sedentary behaviour and risk of anxiety: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2015;15(1):1.
4. Cart L. Letter to the editor: standardized use of the terms “sedentary” and “sedentary behaviours”. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2012;37:540–2.
5. Australian Bureau of Statistics: Australian health survey: physical activity. 2011–12. In: Canberra; 2015.
6. Kessler RC, Amringer GP, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Alonso J, Lee S, Ustun TB. Age of onset of mental disorders: a review of recent literature. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2007;20(4):359.
7. Biddle SJ, Gorely T, Stensel DJ. Health-enhancing physical activity and sedentary behaviour in children and adolescents. J Sports Sci. 2004;22(8):679–701.
8. Eaton DK, Kann L, Kinchen S, Shanklin S, Flint KH, Hawkins J, Harris WA, Lowry R, McManus T, Chyen D. Youth risk behavior surveillance—United States. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep Surveill Summ (Washington, DC: 2002). 2012;61(4):1–162.
9. Tremblay MS, LeBlanc AG, Kho ME, Saunders TJ, Larouche R, Colley RC, Goldfield G, Gorber SC. Systematic review of sedentary behaviour and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2011;8(1):98.
10. Costigan SA, Barnett L, Plotnikoff RC, Lubans DR. The health indicators associated with screen-based sedentary behavior among adolescent girls: a systematic review. J Adolesc Health. 2013;52(4):382–92.
11. Lowry R, McManus T, Chyen D. Youth risk behavior surveillance—United States. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep Surveill Summ (Washington, DC: 2002). 2012;61(4):1–162.
12. Suchert V, Hanewinkel R, Isenee B. Sedentary behaviour and indicators of mental health in school-aged children and adolescents: A systematic review. Prev Med. 2015;64(8):57.
13. Steel Z, Marnane C, Iranpour C, Chey T, Jackson JW, Patel V, Silove D. The global prevalence of common mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obesity Reviews. 2016;17(4):330–44.
14. Suchert V, Hanewinkel R, Isenee B. Sedentary behaviour and indicators of mental health in school-aged children and adolescents: A systematic review. Prev Med. 2015;64(8):57.
15. Nelson MC, Gordon-Larsen P. Physical activity and sedentary behavior patterns are associated with selected adolescent health risk behaviors. Pediatrics. 2006;117(4):1281–90.
16. Primack BA, Swaner B, Georgiopolous AM, Land SR, Fine MJ. Association between media use in adolescence and depression in young adulthood: a longitudinal study. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2009;66(2):181–8.
63. Stubbs B, Vancampfort D, Rosenbaum S, Ward PB, Richards J, Ussher M, Schuch FB. Challenges Establishing the Efficacy of Exercise as an Antidepressant Treatment: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Control Group Responses in Exercise Randomised Controlled Trials. Sports Med. 2015;46(5):1–15.

64. Pearson N, Braithwaite RE, Biddle SJ, Stujs EMF, Adkin AJ. Associations between sedentary behaviour and physical activity in children and adolescents: a meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2014;15(8):666–75.

65. Russell-Mayhew S, McVey G, Bardick A, Ireland A. Mental health, wellness, and childhood overweight/obesity. J Obes. 2012;2012:281801.

66. O’Neil A, Quirk SE, Houdsen S, Brennan SL, Williams LJ, Pasco JA, Berk M, Jacka FN. Relationship between diet and mental health in children and adolescents: a systematic review. Am J Public Health. 2014;104(10):e31–42.

67. Pearson N, Biddle SJ. Sedentary behavior and dietary intake in children, adolescents, and adults: a systematic review. Am J Prev Med. 2011;41(2):178–88.

68. Hobbs M, Pearson N, Foster PJ, Biddle SJ. Sedentary behaviour and diet across the lifespan: an updated systematic review. Br J Sports Med. 2014;48:bpj7954.

69. Jacka F, Berk M. Food for thought. Acta Neuropsychiatrica. 2007;19(5):321–3.

70. Van Strien T, Cebolla A, Etchemendy E, Gutiérrez-Maldonado J, Ferrer-García M, Botella C, Bahos R. Emotional eating and food intake after sadness and joy. Appetite. 2013;66:20–5.

71. Shapiro LAS, Margolin G. Growing up wired: Social networking sites and adolescent psychosocial development. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2014;17(1):11–18.

72. Horgan A, Sweeney J. Young students’ use of the Internet for mental health information and support. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs. 2010;17(2):117–23.

73. Thackeray R, Crookston BT, West JH. Correlates of health-related social media use among adults. J Med Internet Res. 2013;15(1):e21.

74. Chastin SFM, Schwarz UJ, Skelton DA. Development of a consensus taxonomy of sedentary behaviors (ST): report of Delphi round 1. PLoS One. 2013;8(12):e82313.

75. Martikainen S, Pesonen A-K, Lahti J, Heinonen K, Pyhältö R, Tammelin T, Kajantie E, Strandberg TE, Reynolds RM, Räikkönen K. Physical activity and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis function in adolescents. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2014;49:98–105.

76. Radloff LS. THE CENTER FOR EPIDEMIOLOGIC STUDIES DEPRESSION SCALE A SELF REPORT DEPRESSION SCALE FOR RESEARCH IN THE GENERAL POPULATION. Appl Psychol Measur. 1977;1(3):385–401.

77. Association AP. Diagnostic and statistical manual-text revision (DSM-IV-TRim, 2000): American Psychiatric Association. 2000.