The zebrafish miR-125c is induced under hypoxic stress via hypoxia-inducible factor 1α and functions in cellular adaptations and embryogenesis
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ABSTRACT

Hypoxia is a unique environmental stress. Hypoxia inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) is a major transcriptional regulator of cellular adaptations to hypoxic stress. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) as posttranscriptional gene expression regulators occupy a crucial role in cell survival under low-oxygen environment. Previous evidences suggested that miR-125c is involved in hypoxia adaptation, but its precise biological roles and the regulatory mechanism underlying hypoxic responses remain unknown. The present study showed that zebrafish miR-125c is upregulated by hypoxia in a Hif-1α-mediated manner in vitro and in vivo. Dual-luciferase assay revealed that cdc25a is a novel target of miR-125c. An inverse correlation between miR-125c and cdc25a was further confirmed in vivo, suggesting miR-125c as a crucial physiological inhibitor of cdc25a which responds to cellular hypoxia. Overexpression of miR-125c suppressed cell proliferation, led to cell cycle arrest at the G1 phase in ZF4 cells and induced apoptotic responses during embryo development. More importantly, miR-125c overexpression resulted in severe malformation and reduction of motility during zebrafish embryonic development. Taken together, we conclude that miR-125c plays a pivotal role in cellular adaptations to hypoxic stress at least in part through the Hif-1α/miR-125c/cdc25a signaling and has great impact on zebrafish early embryonic development.

INTRODUCTION

Oxygen (O2) is a fundamental prerequisite to maintain cellular homeostasis for all aerobic organisms [1]. Consequently, hypoxia, a unique physiological stimulus, is implicated in a wide range of biological and cellular processes, such as cell survival [2], cell proliferation and invasion [3], angiogenesis [4], and neuronal development [5]. The cellular adaptive responses to hypoxic stress are mainly mediated by the hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) which are heterodimeric complexes consisting of an oxygen-labile α subunit (HIF-1α, HIF-2α and HIF-3α) and a constitutively expressed β-subunit (HIF-1β/ARNT) [6, 7]. HIF-1α has been identified as the best characterized oxygen sensor and regulator of the hypoxic-adaptive responses that preserve cell viability [8, 9]. Under normoxic conditions, HIF-1α is hydroxylated by proline-hydroxylase-2 (PHD2) whose activity is oxygen-dependent [10, 11], subsequently recognized by the von-Hippel-Lindau (pVHL) tumor suppressor protein and subjected to proteasomal degradation [12, 13]. Accordingly, under hypoxic conditions, owing to the suppression of hydroxylation, HIF-1α accumulates and dimerizes with HIF-1β. This heterodimer binds to hypoxia response elements (HREs) and transcriptionally activate a large group of hypoxia-sensitive genes [14, 15], which...
are involved in cell differentiation and apoptosis [16], migration and invasion [17], erythropoiesis [18] and angiogenesis [19]. In addition, hypoxia and HIFs are also known to play important roles in tissue formation and embryo morphogenesis [20–23].

It is revealed that a specific subset of microRNAs (miRNAs) is induced by HIF-1 under hypoxic conditions and, in turn, controls the expression of HIF-1, which fine-tunes cellular adaptations to hypoxia [24]. MiRNAs are endogenous noncoding small RNAs (~22 nucleotides in length) which regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level [25, 26]. Recent works indicate that hypoxic stress regulates the expression of a number of miRNAs, termed hypoxamirs, either in a HIF-dependent or HIF-independent manner [27, 28]. A number of these hypoxia-regulated miRNAs are demonstrated to contribute to cellular responses to hypoxia by modulating critical downstream targets, including let-7 [29], miR-429 [30], miR-195 [31], miR-210 [32, 33], miR-322 [34], miR-200a [35], miR-199a [36, 37] and miR-150 [38].

The miR-125 family, a highly conserved miRNA family throughout evolution, has been demonstrated to be implicated in a variety of physiological processes, including cell proliferation and apoptosis [39, 40], cell metastasis [41] and immune response [42]. Recent studies have exhibited the dual function of miR-125 family as tumor suppressor and promotor, and the aberrant expression of miR-125 family is tightly related to tumorigenesis [43, 44]. Furthermore, miR-125a is demonstrated to be hypoxia-induced in human cancer [7]. Interestingly, miR-125c is a unique homolog which is absent in mammals [43, 44]. And our data showed that miR-125c was up-regulated by hypoxia both in zebrafish embryos and ZF4 cells. In the present study, to exhibit the regulatory and biological roles of miR-125c in hypoxia adaptation, we detected the transcriptional regulation of Hif-1α on miR-125c and identified cdc25a as a novel downstream target. In addition, we found that miR-125c suppresses cell proliferation through cell cycle arresting, and induces apoptosis, providing a Hif-1α/miR-125c/cdc25a signaling which may function in cellular adaptations to hypoxia. Additionally, for the first time, we characterized the function of miR-125c in zebrafish normal embryogenesis.

RESULTS

MiR-125c is transcriptionally induced by Hif-1α

Our previous date showed that miR-125c was up-regulated by acute hypoxia in zebrafish embryos exposed to the low oxygen level of 1.0 mg/L by filling nitrogen continually, from 34 hpf to 36 hpf (Supplementary Figure 1). In addition, miR-125c was significantly induced in a time-dependent manner in response to hypoxia-simulating treatment with CoCl₂ in ZF4 cells (Figure 1A), along with the accumulation of Hif-1α [45]. Firstly, we identified four putative HRE motifs on the promoter region of miR-125c from 2 kb upstream of the transcriptional start site (TSS) (Figure 1B). To investigate whether these HREs could respond to Hif-1α and contributes to the up-regulation of miR-125c under hypoxic condition, luciferase reporter assay was performed. As shown in Figure 1C, the HRE1 and HRE3 can be effectively targeted by Hif-1α, resulting in the significant increase of corresponding reporter activity. On the other hand, HRE2 and HRE4 do not contribute to the transcription of miR-125c. To further demonstrate the transcriptional activation of miR-125c by Hif-1α, knockdown of Hif-1α was performed by siRNA transfection in ZF4 cells under hypoxic condition (CoCl₂ treatment for 12 h). As shown in Figure 1D, accumulation of endogenous Hif-1α was effectively inhibited. Accordingly, the expression level of miR-125c was slightly but significantly decreased (Figure 1E).

MiR-125c directly targets cdc25a which responds to cellular hypoxia

Based on TargetScan bioinformatic algorithm, cdc25a was identified as a potential target of miR-125c, with a complementary binding site on the 3′UTR (Figure 2A). To validate the direct targeting of cdc25a by miR-125c, the dual-luciferase assay was carried out, showing that miR-125c overexpression was associated with the reduction of the luciferase activity. The specificity of this inhibition was demonstrated by the finding that the activity of a mutant cdc25a 3′UTR construct was not affected (Figure 2B). To determine the inhibition of cdc25a by miR-125c, overexpression and knockdown were conducted in ZF4 cells. The transfection efficiency was determined by qRT-PCR (Figure 2C). The cdc25a mRNA and protein levels were clearly decreased by miR-125c mimics, but increased by miR-125c inhibitor (Figure 2D, 2E). We further confirmed the negative regulation of cdc25a by miR-125c in zebrafish embryos, and the result showed that ectopic expression of miR-125c resulted in a dramatic reduction of both cdc25a mRNA and protein levels (Figure 2F–2H).

Furthermore, we found that, contrary to miR-125c, the endogenous cdc25a mRNA and protein levels were significantly down-regulated in a time-dependent manner in response to hypoxia-simulating treatment with CoCl₂ in ZF4 cells (Figure 2I, 2J).

MiR-125c represses cell proliferation through cell-cycle arresting and induces apoptotic responses

Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK8) assays were performed to assess the effect of miR-125c on cell proliferation. The result revealed that, compared with negative control, miR-125c significantly (P < 0.01) suppressed the proliferation of ZF4
cells from 36 h to 60 h (Figure 3A). Since several evidences have shown that hypoxia inhibits cell cycle progression by controlling the expression of critical cell cycle genes including *CDC25A*, we further investigate whether miR-125c contributes to the hypoxic blockade of cell cycle progression. The flow cytometry assay revealed that ZF4 cells were effectively synchronized at G0/G1 phase (~70%) by serum starvation, and released from quiescence by fetal calf serum (FCS) addition. As shown in Figure 3B and 3C, miR-125c significantly blocked the cell cycle progression at G1 phase. Meanwhile, we observed a strong accumulation of the canonical G1/S transition regulator Cdk2 by miR-125c overexpression (Figure 3D), leading us to explore the function of miR-125c in cell cycle regulation by assessing the expression of various cell cycle genes, including the G1 phase regulatory genes *cdk2*, *cdk6*, *ccnd1* and S phase regulatory genes *cdk7*, *ccnh*. It was revealed that the *cdk2*, *cdk6*, *ccnd1* mRNA levels were significantly increased by miR-125c mimics but down-regulated by the miR-125c inhibitor. On the contrary, the *cdk7* and *ccnh* mRNA levels were strongly decreased by miR-125c mimics but increased by the miR-125c inhibitor (Figure 3E).

**Figure 1: Hif-1α contributes to the transcription of miR-125c.** (A) Expression of miR-125c in ZF4 cells treated with 100 μM CoCl$_2$ for 0 h, 8 h and 12 h before being collected. U6-1 is used as the endogenous control. Values represent means ± S.D. (*n* = 3, **P < 0.01). (B) A schematic depiction of the miR-125c promoter region. Location and sequence information of four putative HREs (HRE1, HRE2, HRE3 and HRE4) are indicated. A series of promoter fragments (F1, F2, F3 and F4) carrying different HRE motifs were amplified. (C) The luciferase reporters harboring different HREs was cotransfected with the pRL-TK *Renilla* luciferase reporter (internal control) and pCMV-Myc-Hif-1α into HeLa cells. Luciferase activities were detected after stimulating with 100 μM CoCl$_2$, and *Firefly* luciferase expression was normalized to *Renilla* luciferase. Results are presented as mean ± S.E. (*n* = 3, **P < 0.01, NS, not significant). (D) Protein detection of Hif-1α in ZF4 cells transfected with Hif-1α siRNA (siRNA-NC, negative control siRNA) and treated with 100 μM CoCl$_2$ for 12 h before harvest (hypoxia-simulating). β-actin is used to normalize protein levels. Numbers indicate quantification of the Hif-1α band densities relative to β-actin. (E) Expression of miR-125c in ZF4 cells transfected with Hif-1α siRNA and treated with 100 μM CoCl$_2$ for 12 h before harvest. U6-1 is used as the endogenous control. Values represent means ± S.D. (*n* = 3, **P < 0.01).
Figure 2: miR-125c directly targets cdc25a which responds to cellular hypoxia. (A) Schematic illustration of cdc25a 3’UTR fragment harboring a miR-125c binding site (highlighted in italics). The sequence information of the cdc25a 3’UTR in wild type (WT) and its mutant (Mut) with disrupted base pairing are indicated. (B) Dual-luciferase reporter assay for validation of miR-125c binding site on the 3’UTR of cdc25a. HeLa cells were cotransfected with cdc25a 3’UTR dual-luciferase constructs (WT or Mut) plus miR-125c mimics. Renilla luciferase activities were detected and normalized to Firefly luciferase (internal control). Results represent means ± S.E. (n = 3, **P < 0.01). (C) Transfection efficiency of miR-125c mimics and inhibitor in ZF4 cells was detected by qRT-PCR. All data are
MiR-125c is essential for normal embryogenesis of zebrafish

Our results suggested that miR-125c represses ZF4 cell proliferation and induces apoptosis in zebrafish embryos, making it necessary to further determine the potential function of miR-125c in embryogenesis. Therefore, we observed morphological changes induced by microinjection of miR-125c mimics. From 24 hpf, compared to wild-type embryos, miR-125c overexpressed embryos showed pericardial edema, severely impaired head with concomitant reduction of eyes, and abnormally curved tail (Figure 4A). The malformation was observed in a dose-dependent manner and can be partially rescued by miR-125c inhibitor (Figure 4A). The pericardia edema phenotype was first recognized at around 72 hpf, with a tiny heart and bradycardia compared with wild-type embryos. The miR-125c overexpressed embryos also exhibited slower heart rate with the average of 138 beats/min (n = 145), compared with the wild-type (173 beats/min, n = 126).

Furthermore, we assessed the motor ability of zebrafish embryos by touch evoked response assay. We observed that miR-125c overexpressed embryos showed diminished swimming ability in response to mechanosensory stimulation at 3 dpf (Supplementary Videos 1 and 2). Touching the tail provoked the wild-type embryos to swim out of the field of view rapidly (within 120–240 ms), but miR-125c injected embryos swam slowly in circular motions (Figure 4B, Supplementary Videos 1 and 2).

Finally, to evaluate the defects in miR-125c overexpressed embryos further, histological examination was conducted. It was revealed that the lens appeared smaller and the inner plexiform layer (IPL) in eyes became thinner in these embryos (Figure 4C, 4D). Meanwhile, the magnified views showed clumped and irregularly arranged cells in inner nuclear layers (INL) (Figure 4E, 4F). Besides, miR-125c overexpression resulted in a reduction of brain size with tightly clumped cells and decreased extracellular space (Figure 4G–4J). Additionally, in miR-125c injected embryos, smaller myotome and degenerated muscle was seen (Figure 4K, 4L), which may be responsible of the reduced motility. These results indicated that miR-125c is essential for normal embryogenesis in zebrafish.

DISCUSSION

Hypoxia is one of the most important microenvironmental factors and occurs in various physiological and pathological processes, especially in tumor [46]. In order to cope with limitation in availability of oxygen, organisms undergo a variety of cellular responses [2] and a highly coordinated regulation of hypoxia-responsive gene expression [47]. Recently, a specific set of hypoxia-regulated miRNAs, termed hypoxamirs, have been identified to regulate cellular adaptation to hypoxia via controlling target gene expression at the post-transcriptional level [25–28]. In the present study, we have identified miR-125c as a hypoxia-induced miRNA in zebrafish and revealed its significant roles in regulating cell survival and embryogenesis.

Hypoxia is a crucial tumor microenvironment that evokes highly coordinated cellular responses. Recently, miR-125 has been confirmed to be hypoxia-induced in human cancer cells [7], also it was demonstrated to function in the transgenerational effect of hypoxia in medaka testis [48]. But miR-125c is a unique homolog which is absent in mammals and little is known about its regulatory and physiologic functions in hypoxia adaptation. HIF-1α is a master transcriptional regulator that controls the cellular responses to hypoxia by targeting a number of downstream genes [8, 9]. Recently, emerging evidence revealed that numerous hypoxamirs are hypoxia-induced through the HIF-1α-dependent mechanism, among which miR-210 is the most canonical and influential one [27, 28, 49]. Our previous date showed that miR-125c was up-regulated by hypoxia in zebrafish...
Figure 3: miR-125c represses cell proliferation through cell-cycle arresting at G1 phase and induces cell apoptosis. (A) ZF4 cells were transfected with miR-125c mimics (mimics-NC, negative control), and cell proliferation index was continuously detected using CCK-8 assays kit. Mock represents transfection control. All of the values represent the means ± S.D. (n = 3, **P < 0.01). (B) After 24 h transfection with miR-125c mimics (miR-NC, negative control), ZF4 cells were synchronized in G0/G1 by FCS starvation for 35 h (-FCS) and launched into cell cycle process by FCS addition for another 24 h (+FCS). The cell cycle analysis was performed in triplicate.
embryos (Supplementary Figure 1). In addition, miR-125c was significantly induced in a time-dependent manner in response to hypoxia-stimulating with CoCl$_2$ in ZF4 cells. To determine whether Hif-1α contributes to the hypoxic induction of miR-125c, we performed a luciferase assay and confirmed the transcriptional activation of miR-125c by Hif-1α. Additionally, the upregulation of miR-125c expression by hypoxia-stimulating in ZF4 cells was abolished by knockdown of Hif-1α, further demonstrating that the hypoxia-induced expression of miR-125c in zebrafish is mediated via the Hif-1α pathway.

It has been well known that miRNAs can regulate large number of target genes at the post-transcriptional level, consequently, inducing diverse cellular processes, such as cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis [27, 50, 51]. MiR-125 family is highly conserved throughout evolution, and it was demonstrated to regulate tumorigenesis and tumor development by targeting important genes including transcription factors like CBFB and TOF-β [52, 53], anti-apoptotic genes like BCL2, BCL2L12 and Mcl-1 [54], pro-apoptotic protein Bak1 [55], and tumor suppressing protein p53 [55, 56]. Since miR-125c is a unique homolog which is not reported in mammals, we characterized the sequence conservation of the miR-125 family in zebrafish, medaka, human and mouse by multiple sequence alignment which revealed that the seed sequences of miR-125a/b/c were fully conserved (Supplementary Figure 2). Recent study indicated that CDC25A, a critical cell cycle gene, is responsive to cellular hypoxia in human cancer, and HIF-1α-Myc pathway is also involved in the hypoxic downregulation of CDC25A [57]. Our previous date showed that cdc25a was downregulated by hypoxia in zebrafish embryos (Supplementary Figure 1). In addition, contrary to miR-125c, the endogenous cdc25a mRNA and protein levels were significantly suppressed in a time-dependent manner in response to hypoxia-simulating with CoCl$_2$ in ZF4 cells. Interestingly, we identified a putative binding site of miR-125 on zebrafish cdc25a 3′UTR by TargetScan, which is absent on the CDC25A 3′UTR of both human and mouse. These findings prompted interest in understanding whether zebrafish miR-125c contribute to the hypoxic inhibition of cdc25a. Our results demonstrated that miR-125c can repress endogenous Cdc25a mRNA and protein expression by binding the 3′UTR both in ZF4 cells and zebrafish embryos, which confirmed zebrafish cdc25a as a novel downstream target of miR-125c. Taken together, our findings suggested a novel Hif-1α/miR-125c signaling for hypoxic repression of cdc25a.

CDC25A, a dual-specificity phosphatase, is one of the most crucial cell cycle regulators, which is involved in tumorogenesis, and plays important roles in DNA replication, cell proliferation and apoptosis [58]. Cdc25A plays a extensive role in assisting both G1/S and G2/M progression by dephosphorylating CDK4, CDK6 as well as CDK2 and CDK1 [59, 60]. Evidence has shown that hypoxia can block cell cycle progression at the G1/S transition by controlling the expression of critical cell cycle genes including CDC25A [57]. Our results showed that miR-125c overexpression significantly suppressed cell proliferation of ZF4 cells, induced G1/S cell cycle arrest and promoted cell apoptosis. Additionally, the mRNA levels of S phase regulatory genes cdk7 and ccnh were also downregulated by miR-125c. However, it was observed that overexpression of miR-125c upregulated the expression of three G1 phase regulatory genes cdk2, cdk6 and ccnd1, which may be result from its inhibition of another potential target p53. It was reported that miR-125 family has a dual function in suppression and promotion of cancer cells [44]. Evidences demonstrated that, in some cases, miR-125 could directly downregulate p53 and further influence the downstream protein p21 which is a CDK inhibitor [61, 62]. Based on TargetScan bioinformatic algorithm, zebrafish p53 was also identified as a potential target of miR-125 with a putative binding site on the 3′UTR, which is not verified in the current study but provides a new direction for the follow-up study. Overall, our findings suggested that miR-125c may regulates cell survival at least in part through inhibition of cdc25a, but further studies are needed to identify additional targets of miR-125c to fully understand the complex regulatory mechanisms in cellular adaptation to hypoxia.

Apart from inducing cell cycle arrest, miR-125c overexpression in zebrafish embryos resulted in cell apoptosis in brain, eyes and tail, implying an essential role for miR-125c in normal embryogenesis. Recently, miRNAs have been confirmed to regulate zebrafish morphogenesis and differentiation [63], somitogenesis [64], heart [65] and neural development [66]. Both miR-125a and miR-125b play excellent roles in the zebrafish brain development [67], meanwhile miR-125b also regulates the zebrafish eye development [67]. Here, for the first time, we observed that miR-125c-overexpressed embryos exhibit defective phenotypes, including smaller eyes, impaired...
Figure 4: Overexpression of miR-125c results in severe abnormalities and reduction of motility during embryonic development. (A) miR-125c injection induces distinct embryonic malformation in a dose dependent manner during early development (24–96 hpf). Injection of miR-125c mimics together with inhibitor was performed to determine whether knockdown of miR-125c can rescue the morphological defects. Scale bars, 0.2 mm. (B) miR-125c injected embryos exhibit diminished swimming ability in response to mechanosensory stimulation at 3 dpf. a-c) Touching the tail provokes the wild-type embryos to swim away rapidly (within 120-240 ms) \((n = 5)\). d-f) But, miR-125c injected embryos swim slowly in circular motions \((n = 5)\). (C, D) Histological analysis of the eye in miR-125c injected embryos at 4 dpf reveals smaller lens and thinner inner plexiform layer (IPL). Scale bars, 20 µm. (E, F) Magnified views shows clumped and disorganized cells in the inner nuclear layers (INL) in miR-125c injected embryos. (G, H) Smaller brain is observed in miR-125c injected embryos at 4 dpf. Scale bars, 40 µm. (I, J) Magnified views reveals tightly clumped cells with reduced extracellular space in the miR-125c injected embryos. (K, L) miR-125c injected embryos at 4 dpf exhibit smaller myotome and degenerated muscle fibers. Scale bars, 40 µm.
brain, curved tail and trunk. Moreover, injection of miR-125c caused bradycardia accompanied with pericardial edema, suggesting its effect on cardiac function. It has been revealed that deficiency of skeletal muscle fibers in zebrafish embryos at early stages will lead to reduction of motility [68]. In this work, overexpression of miR-125c resulted in degenerating muscle fibers and embryo immotility or unusual circular motions. Additionally, evidences revealed that Cdc25a activity needs to be carefully regulated to preserve normal occurrence of particular morphogenetic events, and restricted expression of Cdc25a is required for somitogenesis and muscle fiber formation [69]. It is possible that miR-125c has a significant influence on the skeletal muscle differentiation through regulation of Cdc25a, but further studies are needed to support this hypothesis. Taken together, these results suggested an essential role for miR-125c in zebrafish normal embryogenesis.

It is reasonable that the responses to hypoxia ultimately contribute to embryonic morphogenesis through effects on cell proliferation, cell differentiation and cell behavior. The understanding of the role of HIF in the cellular adaptation to hypoxia during embryonic development is still unfolding. A number of evidences have demonstrated its effect on tissue formation and many developmental systems, such as hematopoiesis [70], angiogenesis [71], hepatogenesis [72], bone formation [73] and heart development [20]. In vertebrate embryos, regulation of the entry into mitosis is essential for normal morphogenesis, and cdc25 is a key controller of mitotic entry. It has been demonstrated that cdc25a can accelerate the entry of post-blastoderm cells into mitosis, suggesting that levels of cdc25a are rate limiting for cell cycle progression during zebrafish gastrulation [74]. In addition, restricted expression of cdc25a in the tailbud during the somite-forming stage is essential for muscle cell differentiation and morphogenesis of the zebrafish posterior body [69]. In the present study, we have suggested that miR-125c has an indispensable function during zebrafish muscle differentiation partially through regulation of Cdc25a. But it is unprecise to deduce that all the severe malformations are solely resulted from cdc25a downregulation, and further studies are needed to reveal the mechanism through which miR-125c affects embryogenesis.

In conclusion, we identified zebrafish miR-125c as hypoxia-induced via the Hif-1a-mediated manner. Through gain-of-function and rescue approaches, we provide a novel Hif-1a/miR-125c/cdc25a signaling that plays essential roles in cellular adaptations to hypoxia, and suggest a novel function of miR-125c in zebrafish normal embryogenesis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Fish husbandry**

Adult AB strain zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) were raised and maintained on a 14/10 h light–dark schedule in the recirculating water system (28 ± 1°C). Embryos at different development stages were collected as described previously [75].

**Plasmid construction**

The 2kb region upstream from the TSS of miR-125c was obtained using the UCSC Genome Browser. Then the JASPAR CORE Vertebrate database was used to search for potential HREs on the promoter region [76]. The promoter fragments of miR-125c containing different indicated HREs, including F1 (HRE1-4), F2 (HER2-4), two F3 (HRE3, 4) and F4 (HRE4), were amplified and directly inserted into the pGL3-Basic vector (Promega, USA). The recombinant expression vector pCMV-Myc-Hif-1a, expressing recombinant zebrafish Myc-tagged-Hif-1a protein, was constructed in our previous study [45].

The wild-type or mutant 3’UTR fragment of cdc25a (cell division cycle 25a, GenBank: NM_001115095.2) containing the putative miR-125c binding site were amplified and subcloned into the psiCHECK-2 dual-luciferase reporter vector (Promega). All primers used for plasmid construction were listed in Table 1.

**Cell culture and hypoxia-mimicking treatment**

HeLa and ZF4 cells (Cell Collection Center for Freshwater Organisms, Huazhong Agricultural University) were maintained at 37°C and 28°C in a 5% CO, atmosphere in DMEM and DMEM/F12 1:1 medium (Hyclone, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin, respectively.

To induce endogenous Hif-1a protein by mimicking hypoxic condition, ZF4 cells cultured in 6-well plates were treated with 100 μM [45] cobalt chloride (CoCl2, Sigma, USA) for 0 h (control), 8 h and 12 h in turns before being collected for isolation of total RNA (including miRNA) and protein at 24 and 48 h after the cultivation, respectively. For HRE activity assay, HeLa cells were stimulated with 100 μM CoCl2, for 4 h to induce recombinant zebrafish Myc-tagged-Hif-1a protein as previous described [45].

**Cell transfection and luciferase reporter assays**

For miR-125c overexpression and knockdown in ZF4 cells, miR-125c mimics (80 nM), mimics-NC (80 nM), miR-125c inhibitor (120 nM), and inhibitor-NC (80 nM) (GenePharma, China) were transfected separately. Total RNA (including miRNA) and protein were isolated at 24 h and 48 h post transfection, respectively. For Hif-1α knockdown, ZF4 cells were transfected with 120 nM Hif-1α siRNA (Sense: 5'-GATAGACGCTTAAGGTAAATdTdT-3') (GenePharma), then treated with 100 μM CoCl2, [45] for 12 h before being collected for total RNA (including miRNA) and protein isolation.
For HRE activity verification, HeLa cells cultured in 24-well plates were cotransfected with pCMV-Myc-Hif-1α, indicated recombinant HRE-luciferase reporter plasmids pGL3b-F1/F2/F3/F4, and the pRL-TK Renilla luciferase reporter (internal control) (Promega). The cells were then stimulated with 100 µM CoCl2 for 4 h before the luciferase assay at 24 h post transfection [45]. Relative luciferase activities were determined by normalizing Firefly activity to Renilla activity. For the target detection of miR-125c, miRNA mimics or miRNA-NC (negative control) and cdc25a 3′UTR dual-luciferase constructs (WT or Mut) were cotransfected into HeLa cells. The luciferase activity was measured at 24 h post transfection using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega), and Renilla luciferase activity was normalized to Firefly luciferase. All transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, USA).

Table 1: Primers used in qRT-PCR and plasmid construction

| Primer name            | Primer sequence (5′–3′)               |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| ZB-qRT-cdc25a-F        | CCTCCATTACCCCGAACTCT                  |
| ZB-qRT-cdc25a-R        | GTGCCGCTCTTCAGACGAAA                  |
| ZB-qRT-ck2-F           | TCGGAGAGGGAACATACG                    |
| ZB-qRT-ck2-R           | TTCAGAGTGCAAGATGT                   |
| ZB-qRT-cdk6-F          | AGTGCACCTGAAACAT                     |
| ZB-qRT-cdk6-R          | CACGGAAGTAAGGACC                      |
| ZB-qRT-ccnd1-F         | CCTGTTGAAATGACCGGT                   |
| ZB-qRT-ccnd1-R         | CTGTTTTTTTTGTTGGG                    |
| ZB-qRT-ck7-F           | GGAAGCCAAACAGA                       |
| ZB-qRT-ck7-R           | TGTCCACACTACACTAC                     |
| ZB-qRT-ccnh-F          | TAAGOCTGATGTGCTTAA                    |
| ZB-qRT-ccnh-R          | ATACGGATGTCGAA                       |
| ZB-qRT-18s rRNA-F      | CCGAGTTGCAAAGACGAT                   |
| ZB-qRT-18s rRNA-R      | GGTCGGCATCGTAC                       |
| qRT-miR-125c-F         | GGCTGCTCCCTGAGACCTAACT                |
| qRT-miR-125c-R         | TCAACTGTCGTGAGAGTCG                   |
| qRT-miR-125c-Stem loop | CTTACCTGTCGATCGCCGCAATTCA             |
| qRT-U6-F               | TGCTCAGTACGTTGGC                      |
| qRT-U6-R               | AAAACAGCAATATGGAGGC                   |
| ZB-cdc25a-3′UTR-F      | CTCGAGTTGCGTAAACTAATCT               |
| ZB-cdc25a-3′UTR-Rm     | CAGTCCGAGTGTGAAAGCTGTCG             |
| ZB-cdc25a-3′UTR-Fm     | GACTGACAGCCTACACTCGGACT              |
| ZB-cdc25a-3′UTR-R      | CAGTCCGAGTGTGAAAGCTGTCG             |
| ZB-miR-125c-pro-F1     | CGCGACGCCTGTTGTTAAAAAGTCCCGGCG       |
| ZB-miR-125c-pro-F2     | CGCGACGCCTGTTGTTAAAAAGTCCCGGCG       |
| ZB-miR-125c-pro-F3     | CGCGACGCCTGTTGTTAAAAAGTCCCGGCG       |
| ZB-miR-125c-pro-F4     | CGCGACGCCTGTTGTTAAAAAGTCCCGGCG       |
| ZB-miR-125c-pro-R      | CGCGACGCCTGTTGTTAAAAAGTCCCGGCG       |

Cell proliferation, cell cycle and cell apoptosis assays

ZF4 cells were seeded in a flat-bottom 96-well plate and transfected separately with 50 nM miR-125c mimics and mimics-NC. Subsequently, cell proliferation rates were measured at 12, 24, 36, 48 and 60 h post transfection using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, China) following the manufacturer’s instruction. The optical density (OD) 450 nm and 600 nm values were determined by a microplate reader.

Exponentially growing ZF4 cells with 60% confluency were transfected separately with 80 nM miR-125c mimics and mimics-NC. After 24 h, cells were synchronized in G0/G1 phase by serum starvation for 35 h (-FCS) and then released to enter cell cycle progression by adding 10% FCS for another 24 h (+FCS). The -FCS
and +FCS cells were collected separately, and fixed in 70% cold ethanol at 4°C overnight. After washing in PBS, the cells were incubated with RNase A for 30 min at 37°C and stained with propidium iodide (PI) using the Cell Cycle Detection Kit (KeyGen BioTech, China) before flow cytometry analysis. Corresponding cell lysates were collected for detection of Cdk2 protein expression. For the apoptosis analysis, ZF4 cells transfected with miR-125c mimics and mimics-NC were collected at 48 h post transfection, washed in cold PBS, and then subjected to Annexin V-FITC/PI staining for 15 min in dark using the Annexin V-APT assay kit (KeyGen BioTech). All experiments were performed in triplicate by flow cytometry.

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

Total RNA containing miRNA was isolated from zebrafish embryos and ZF4 cells using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Oligo (dT) and specific stem-loop RT primer (Table 1) were used for cDNA synthesis using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). The quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) reactions were performed with gene-specific primers (Table 1) using SYBR Green Mix reagent (Takara) on a Rotor-Gene Q (Qiagen). The abundance of miRNA and mRNA was quantified using the 2-ΔΔCt program. The abundance of miRNA and mRNA was normalized to U6 snRNA and 18s rRNA, respectively.

**Western blot analysis**

ZF4 cells were lysed in RIPA buffer with 1% PMSF (ComWin Biotech, China). Zebrafish embryos were manually de-yolked and lysed in the lysis buffer. Equal amount of protein samples were separated with 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Millipore, USA). The membrane was blocked for 1 h in TBST containing 5% milk at room temperature, followed by 1 h incubation with specific primary antibodies against zebrafish Cdc25a (1:500) (Homemade polyclonal antibody prepared using prokaryotic expression system, affinity-purified and generated in rabbits), Cdk2 (Cyclin-dependent kinase 2, 1:1000) (Aviva Systems Biology, USA), Hif-1α (1:2000) (Homemade polyclonal antibody generated in rabbits) or β-actin (1:500) (Boster, China). The blot was detected with IRDye 800CW anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:10,000) (Li-Cor Biosciences, USA) and visualized using Odyssey CLx Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor Biosciences).

**Microinjection, imaging and apoptosis analysis**

MiR-125c mimics (GenePharma) were microinjected into 1-cell stages zebrafish embryos at a concentration of 5 µM or 10 µM. For the rescue, miR-125c inhibitor was injected at a concentration of 20 µM or 40 µM were immediately mimics.

Zebrafish embryos at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hpf were anesthetized in tricaine methanesulfonate (MS222, Sigma) and imaged by Leica MZ16FA Microscope using MetaVue software. The heart rate data was attained by means of manual count under the microscope. A total of 145 miR-125c injected embryos and 126 wild-type embryos were counted to get the average heart rate per minute.

To detect apoptotic cell death, terminal transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay was performed in whole mount zebrafish embryos. Embryos at 48 hpf were manually dechorionated and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C. After washing with PBS and treating with protease K, these embryos were stained using the In situ Cell Death Detection Kit, POD (Roche, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To determine both necrotic and apoptotic cell death, zebrafish embryos at 48 hpf were collected and incubated in 5 µg/mL acridine orange (AO, Sigma) for 20 min. All the embryos were observed and photographed using a fluorescence microscope (Leica M205FA).

**Touch-evoked escape response assay**

Wild-type and miR-125c injected embryos were raised in Petri dishes at 28.5°C. Mechanosensory stimuli were delivered to the tail of 3 dpf larvae using an insect pin. Time-lapse images of zebrafish embryos were taken at different time intervals using a Nikon smz1500 microscope with SPOT camera system. The length of time for each fish to leave the frame of view was averaged across fishes (n = 6). The larval movements were recorded and monitored using the video tracking mode.

**H.E. staining**

Wild-type and miR-125c injected zebrafish larvae were collected at 4 dpf and fixed in Bouin’s solution. After dehydrated in a series of graded ethanol and cleared in xylene, there fish were embedded in paraffin. Then the 5 µm thin serial paraffin sections were cut and mounted on slides and further stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Statistical analysis**

All analyses were performed in triplicate. Results of qRT-PCR were shown as mean ± SD, other data was represented as mean ± SE. Statistical difference between two groups was evaluated by Student’s t-test, with P < 0.05 considered statistically significant.
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