Introduction

Despite the entry into force in April 1997 of the Chemical Weapons Convention forbidding the development, production, stockpiling and use of chemical warfare agents, the world has seen a rapid proliferation of such agents (19). The chemical warfare agents include nerve agents, highly toxic organophosphorus compounds (OPs) that exert their toxic effects by phosphorylation and subsequent inactivation of acetylcholinesterase (AChE, EC 3.1.1.7). The inactivation of this enzyme allows the accumulation of acetylcholine (ACh) in the synaptic terminals of the central and peripheral nervous systems with subsequent widespread overstimulation of cholinergic receptors (17,22).

Despite the long-term knowledgement of the basic mechanism of their acute toxicity, some highly toxic OPs were found to be resistant to the standard antidotal treatment which consists of anticholinergic drugs to counteract the accumulation of ACh and oximes to reactivate nerve agent-inhibited AChE (1, 8).

Tabun (O-ethyl-N,N-dimethyl phosphoramidocyanide) is probably one of the most dangerous compounds among nerve agents, since its deleterious effects are extraordinarily difficult to counteract because of the existence of a lone electron pair located on an amodic group that makes the nucleophilic attack of oximes almost impossible (2,4, 5,7,14).

The ability of currently used monopyridinium (e.g. pralidoxime) and bipyridinium oximes (e.g. pralidoxime, obidoxime, HI-6) in combination with atropine to eliminate acute toxic effects of nerve agents is rather low regardless of the choice of oxime (7,13,14). It is known that the efficacy of antidotes depends on their therapeutic dose that is limited by their toxicity. Based on our experimental results, the reactivating efficacy of the oxime HI-6 to reactivate tabun-inhibited AChE is lower compared to obidoxime (16) but it can be administered in higher dose than obidoxime due to its lower toxicity and, therefore, its efficacy to eliminate acute lethal effects of tabun is comparable with the effectiveness of obidoxime when they are administered at equieffective, human relevant doses (2% LD50) (11).

Another fact influencing the efficacy of antidotal treatment of poisonings with nerve agents is the time interval between nerve agent exposure and the administration of antidotes. It is expected that the shortening of the time between nerve agent poisoning and the administration of antidotes should bring higher potency of antidotes to eliminate acute lethal effects of tabun (9). The present study investigates the influence of the time of antidotal mixture administration on the efficacy of currently used oximes (pralidoxime, obidoxime, trimedoxime, HI-6) in combination with atropine against tabun-induced acute poisoning in mice.
Methods

Male mice weighing between 20 and 25 g were obtained from Konárovice. The animals were maintained in an air-conditioned room with light from 07:00 to 19:00 h and were allowed free access to standard food and tap water. The principles of laboratory animal care were followed and the handling of animals was made under the supervision of the Ethics Committee of Medical Faculty of Charles University and Purkyně Military Medical Academy in Hradec Králové.

Tabun of 95% purity was purchased from Military Technical Institute Brno. Its purity was assayed by acidimetric titration. The oxime HI-6 of 98.5% purity was synthesized at the Department of Toxicology of Purkyně Military Medical Academy in Hradec Králové. Its purity was analyzed using HPLC. All other chemicals and drugs of analytical grade were obtained commercially and used without further purification.

In our experiments, tabun-poisoned mice were treated intramuscularly (i.m.) with one of tested oximes (pralidoxime, obidoxime, trimedoxime and the oxime HI-6) at equipotent doses (2% LD₅₀) in combination with atropine (21 mg/kg) one, three or five min after i.m. challenge of tabun.

The effectiveness of tested antidotal mixtures was evaluated by the assessment of the LD₅₀ values and their 95% confidence limits using probit-logarithmical analysis of death occurring within 24 h after i.m. administration of tabun at five different doses with six mice per dose (21). The efficacy of tested antidotal mixtures was expressed as protective ratio A (LD₅₀ value of tabun in protected mice/ LD₅₀ value of tabun in unprotected mice). The influence of the time of antidotal mixture administration was expressed as protective ratio B (LD₅₀ value of tabun in mice protected at 3 or 5 min following tabun poisoning/ LD₅₀ value of tabun in mice protected at 1 min following tabun poisoning).

Tab. 1: The influence of the time of administration of pralidoxime in combination with atropine on its potency to eliminate acute lethal effects of tabun. *significantly different from the untreated group at the level of p < 0.05, †significantly different from the group treated at 1 min following tabun poisoning at the level of p < 0.05.

| Treatment          | Time of treatment | LD₅₀ (µg/kg) ± 95% IS | Protective ratio A | Protective ratio B |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Obidoxime + atropine | 1 min             | 295.9 (275.5 – 317.9)  | 1.11              | ——                |
|                    | 3 min             | 328.3 (314.0 – 343.3)  | 1.11              | 1.00              |
|                    | 5 min             | 303.9 (290.3 – 318.2)  | 1.03              | 0.92              |

Tab. 2: The influence of the time of administration of obidoxime in combination with atropine on its potency to eliminate acute lethal effects of tabun. Statistical significance – see Table 1.

| Treatment          | Time of treatment | LD₅₀ (µg/kg) ± 95% IS | Protective ratio A | Protective ratio B |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| HI-6 + atropine    | 1 min             | 265.9 (249.1 – 283.9)  | 1.64              | ——                |
|                    | 3 min             | 435.2 (410.6 – 461.4)* | 1.30              | 0.88              |
|                    | 5 min             | 347.4 (333.6 – 361.9)* | 1.17              | 0.80              |

Tab. 3: The influence of the time of administration of the oxime HI-6 in combination with atropine on its potency to eliminate acute lethal effects of tabun. Statistical significance – see Table 1.

| Treatment          | Time of treatment | LD₅₀ (µg/kg) ± 95% IS | Protective ratio A | Protective ratio B |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Tramedoxime + atropine | 1 min             | 320.4 (310.6 – 330.6)  | 1.71              | ——                |
|                    | 3 min             | 549.3 (513.6 – 587.4)* | 1.70              | 0.99              |
|                    | 5 min             | 510.2 (477.4 – 545.3)* | 1.59              | 0.93              |

Tab. 4: The influence of the time of administration of trimedoxime in combination with atropine on its potency to eliminate acute lethal effects of tabun. Statistical significance – see Table 1.
Statistical significance was determined by the use of Student’s t-test and differences were considered significant when p < 0.05.

Results

The evaluation of therapeutic efficacy of antidotal mixtures consisting of various oximes in combination with atropine administered at various time intervals following tabun challenge is summarized in Tables 1–4. These results show that the efficacy of tested antidotal mixtures is decreasing when the time interval between tabun poisoning and antidotal treatment is prolonged regardless of the choice of the oxime. Nevertheless, the difference of the therapeutical efficacy of tested antidotal mixtures administered at various time intervals following tabun poisoning is significant in the case of usage of obidoxime or the oxime HI-6 only (p < 0.05) (Table 2,3). The decrease in the potency of pralidoxime and trimedoxime to eliminate tabun-induced acute toxicity with the prolonged time interval between tabun poisoning and antidotal treatment is negligible (Table 1,4).

To compare the potency of oximes, administered at the same time after tabun poisoning, to eliminate lethal effects of tabun, trimedoxime seems to be the most efficacious reactivator of tabun-inhibited AChE in the elimination of tabun-induced acute toxic effects in mice, when the antidotal treatment is administered at 5 min following tabun poisoning (p < 0.05). On the other hand, pralidoxime seems to be the weakest reactivator of tabun-inhibited AChE in the elimination of tabun-induced acute toxicity regardless of the time interval between tabun challenge and antidotal treatment (Tables 1–4).

Discussion

Nerve agents are still considered to be the most important chemical warfare agents. With the existing threat of the use of chemical weapons not only in military conflicts but also in terroristic attacks, the search for effective protection is the central concern of different laboratories both civilian and military (4,18).

The effectiveness of antidotal treatment of acute poisoning with tabun is not sufficient regardless of the choice of the oxime because tabun-inhibited AChE is very difficult to reactivate (3,7,20,23). The reason for the weak reactivation potency of the oximes is not the rate of aging of phosphorylated AChE that is relatively low (6) but the presence of a lone electron pair located on an amidic nitrogen. This lone electron pair makes the nucleophilic attack very difficult (5). Due to the relatively low efficacy of antidotal treatment against tabun, it is necessary to optimize the dose of antidotes and the time of antidotal administration. The previous results confirm the importance of the dose of oximes to counteract lethal effects of tabun (11). Generally, low toxicity of oximes makes possible to use them at higher therapeutic doses. The oxime HI-6 is as efficacious as obidoxime at equieffective doses to eliminate lethal effects of tabun due to its low toxicity (11) although it is weaker reactivator of tabun-inhibited AChE compared to obidoxime (3,7,20,23).

Our results confirm that the time of administration of antidotes following tabun poisoning is also very important. Commonly, the efficacy of antidotal treatment rapidly decreases when the time of its administration following tabun poisoning is prolonged. Nevertheless, the dependence of the efficacy of antidotes on the time of their administration is different for each oxime. While the therapeutic efficacy of mostly used oximes (obidoxime and the oxime HI-6) significantly depends on the time of their administration after tabun challenge, this dependence is not so strong in the case of usage of pralidoxime or trimedoxime but for different reasons. Pralidoxime is practically ineffective to eliminate lethal effects of tabun (10,12,15) and, therefore, the time of its administration cannot influence its efficacy. On the other hand, trimedoxime is considered to be the most efficacious oxime to counteract lethal effects of tabun (11) and, therefore, its dependence on the time of administration is not so strong compared to obidoxime and the oxime HI-6. The efficacy of trimedoxime to eliminate tabun-induced lethal effects seems to be relatively sufficient on the contrary of other tested oximes when it is administered in combination with atropine till 5 min following tabun poisoning.

In conclusion, our data indicate the necessity to administer the first dose of antidotes as soon as possible following exposure to tabun because the factor of the time seems to be very important for the efficacy of antidotal treatment to counteract the acute lethal effects of tabun. In addition, the correct choice of AChE reactivator is also very important for the survival of tabun-poisoned experimental animals because there is a significant difference in the efficacy of currently used oximes to eliminate tabun-induced acute toxic effects in tabun-poisoned mice.
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