Formononetin inhibits enterovirus 71 replication by regulating COX-2/PGE₂ expression
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Abstract

Background: The activation of ERK, p38 and JNK signal cascade in host cells has been demonstrated to up-regulate of enterovirus 71 (EV71)-induced cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2)/prostaglandins E₂ (PGE₂) expression which is essential for viral replication. So, we want to know whether a compound can inhibit EV71 infection by suppressing COX-2/PGE₂ expression.

Methods: The antiviral effect of formononetin was determined by cytopathic effect (CPE) assay and the time course assays. The influence of formononetin for EV71 replication was determined by immunofluorescence assay, western blotting assay and qRT-PCR assay. The mechanism of the antiviral activity of formononetin was determined by western blotting assay and ELISA assay.

Results: Formononetin could reduce EV71 RNA and protein synthesis in a dose-dependent manner. The time course assays showed that formononetin displayed significant antiviral activity both before (24 or 12 h) and after (0–6 h) EV71 inoculation in SK-N-SH cells. Formononetin was also able to prevent EV71-induced cytopathic effect (CPE) and suppress the activation of ERK, p38 and JNK signal pathways. Furthermore, formononetin could suppress the EV71-induced COX-2/PGE₂ expression. Also, formononetin exhibited similar antiviral activities against other members of Picornaviridae including coxsackievirus B2 (CVB2), coxsackievirus B3 (CVB3) and coxsackievirus B6 (CVB6).

Conclusions: Formononetin could inhibit EV71-induced COX-2 expression and PGE₂ production via MAPKs pathway including ERK, p38 and JNK. Formononetin exhibited antiviral activities against some members of Picornaviridae. These findings suggest that formononetin could be a potential lead or supplement for the development of new anti-EV71 agents in the future.
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Background
Enterovirus 71 (EV71) is a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA virus belonging to the enterovirus genus of the Picornaviridae family [1]. EV71 is a common enterovirus that usually causes hand, foot and mouth disease in children mostly under 5 years. Most EV71 infections result in mild clinical symptoms and are self-limiting, small part EV71 infections is often associated with neurological diseases include aseptic meningitis, brain stem encephalitis and acute flaccid paralysis. EV71 was first isolated from patients with central nervous system diseases in California in 1969. Since then, outbreaks have been periodically reported worldwide, especially in the Asia-Pacific region. Hundreds of cases involving lethal complications have been reported in each outbreak [2,3].

EV71 is neurotropic virus that can cause severe neurological diseases associated with inducing inflammation in the CNS region [4,5]. And, some researchs reported that EV71 infections were related to cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2)/prostaglandins E₂ (PGE₂) pathway [6,7]. Cyclooxygenase (COX) is the rate-limiting step in the production of prostaglandins (PGs) from arachidonic acid. The acute encephalitis during virus or bacteria infection is partially mediated through cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2)/prostaglandins (PGE₂) expression. It had been reported that the expression of COX-2 could be observed during some viral infections including coxsackievirus B3 (CVB3) and thiel's murine...
encephalomyelitis virus (TMEV) [8]. Studies have reported that COX-2 expression increased in human and rat nerve cell with EV71 infection through the action of MAPKs, NF-kB, and AP-1 and EV71-induced COX-2 expression and PGE$_2$ production promoted viral replication via cAMP signaling [6,9]. Moreover, COX-2 expression and PGE$_2$ generation increased by EV71 might be required for EV71 viral replication. So, targeting COX-2 and their upstream signaling components should yield useful therapeutic targets for EV71 infection.

EV71 could cause severe complications and even death in each outbreak. Unfortunately, currently there is no approved vaccine or antiviral drug for EV71-induced disease prevention and therapy. There is a clear need to develop inhibitors for EV71. There are some compounds reported exhibited antiviral activity against EV71, such as pleconaril [10], pyridyl imidazolidinones [11], Ganoderma lucidum triterpenoids [12], GuiQi [13], 7-Hydroxyflavone [14], chebulagic acid [15], chlorogenic acid [16], epigallocatechin gallate [17], chrysopleninetin [18], penduletin [18], 7-Hydroxyisoflavone [19], chrysin [20], pirodavir [21], and so on. However, it was just a simple evaluation of compounds as anti-EV71 agents and there were no in-depth mechanism researches to be done. So, there is an urgent need to develop an effective anti-EV71 agent for the control of EV71 infection.

In a large-scale screening for novel candidates exhibiting activity against EV71, we found that formononetin was active against EV71 infection (Figure 1C).

Formononetin, a kind of plant isoflavonoids, was isolated from a number of plants and herbs such as the red clover. It has been reported to have multi pharmacological effects such as anti-inflammatory [3,22,23], antioxidant [24], wound healing [25], antitumor [26,27], vasorelaxant [28], cardioprotective activities [29] and neuroprotective activities [30] by regulating the mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) including ERK, p38, c-Jun-N terminal kinase (JNK). However, no antiviral activity has been reported for formononetin although some flavonoids and isoflavonoids reported exhibited anti-EV71 activities such as chrysin [20], 7-Hydroxyflavone [14], chrysopleninetin [18], penduletin [18] and 7-Hydroxyisoflavone [19]. Moreover, most compounds are just a simple evaluation effects as anti-EV71 agents and researches about the anti-EV71 mechanism of flavonoids and isoflavonoids had been reported rarely. Against this background, it would be interesting to know whether formononetin can influence the propagation of EV71 by inhibiting EV71-induced COX-2 expression and PGE$_2$ production via MAPKs pathway including ERK, p38 and JNK. In this study, we evaluated the antiviral effect of formononetin on EV71 replication in Vero and SK-N-SH cells. We further explored the mechanism of the antiviral activity of formononetin against EV71. Our findings will shed new light on the design of anti-EV71 agents for HFMD patients especially those with neurological complications.

Results

Inhibition of EV71 replication by formononetin

Before detecting the antiviral effect of formononetin in vitro, we first detected the toxicity of formononetin in cells. As shown in Table 1, the 50% toxicity concentration (TC$_{50}$) of formononetin was 149.38 μmol/L in Vero cells and 198.80 μmol/L in SK-N-SH cells through the MTS assay. The maximal non-toxicity dose (TC$_{50}$ of formononetin was 41.42 μmol/L in Vero cells and 55.92 μmol/L in SK-N-SH cells through the MTS assay. The TC$_{50}$ of pirodavir was 22.33 μmol/L in Vero cells and 30.30 μmol/L in SK-N-SH cells by MTS assay. The TC$_{50}$ of pirodavir was 7.52 μmol/L in Vero cells and 10.83 μmol/L in SK-N-SH cells through the MTS assay.

The IC$_{50}$ of formononetin for EV71 SHZH98 strain was 3.45 μmol/L in Vero cells and 3.98 μmol/L in SK-N-SH cells. This was much lower than the TC$_{50}$. The selectivity index (SI) of formononetin was 38.11 in Vero cells and 43.07 in SK-N-SH cells (Table 1). The TC$_{50}$, IC$_{50}$ and SI of formononetin for other EV71 strains (JS-52, H and BrCr) in Vero cells are list in Table 2. These results demonstrated that formononetin can significantly inhibit the replication of EV71. Therefore, formononetin was a safe and effective agent against EV71.

Formononetin can reduce the RNA and protein synthesis of EV71

To examine the effect of formononetin on the biological synthesis of EV71, the EV71 (SHZH98 strain) infected cells was treated with formononetin and pirodavir and then the VP1 protein was analyzed by Western blotting. As shown in Figure 2A, formononetin can decrease the expression of VP1 protein in a dose dependent manner. The immunofluorescence assay also showed a similar result (Figure 2B). This result is consistent with the Western blot detection. Furthermore, formononetin treatment dose-dependently decreased the levels of EV71 RNA measured by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) assay (Figure 2C). Pirodavir can also decrease the RNA and protein synthesis of EV71. These results suggested that both formononetin and pirodavir exhibit effectively anti-EV71 activity.

Time course assay

Time course assay was performed to explore which stage formononetin and pirodavir function during EV71 replication. As shown in Figure 1B. We found that treatment with formononetin at 24 and 12 h before, and 0 to 6 h after EV71 infection was able to effectively reduce virus-induced CPE, while it did not exert this effect at −1, 9,
and 12 h of EV71 infection. However, pirodavir treatment functioned only after viral infection (0–9 h p.i.) rather than before or during the infection.

**Formononetin can inhibit EV71-induced phosphorylation of ERK, p38 and JNK**

It was known that ERK, p38 and JNK were downstream components of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling pathways which can be stimulated by several stimuli including EV71 infection in various cell types [31-34]. Thus, we assessed whether the EV71-induced phosphorylation of ERK, p38 and JNK could be reduced by formononetin. As shown in Figure 3, EV71-stimulated phosphorylation of ERK, p38 and JNK were significantly attenuated by treatment with formononetin (14.91 μmol/L) but not pirodavir.

**Formononetin can inhibit EV71-induced COX-2 expression and PGE2 generation**

It had been previously described that MAPKs were involved in COX-2 expression induced by EV71 infection [6]. We found that EV71-stimulated COX-2 expression

---

**Figure 1** The chemical structure of formononetin and time course assay. (A) The illustration of the protocol of viral adsorption and treatment with formononetin and pirodavir. SK-N-SH cells were infected with 80 pfu/well of EV71 (SHZH98). Formononetin (9.21 μM) and pirodavir (0.84 μM) was added at the indicated times, respectively. (B) The morphological changes of EV71-infected cells treated with or without formononetin and pirodavir were observed at 48 h post-infection. The cytopathic effect (CPE) assay was shown. (C) The chemical structure of formononetin.
in SK–N–SH cells was inhibited by pretreatment with for-
mononetin (14.91 μmol/L) but not pirodavir (Figure 4A).
PGE2 is a major product of COX-catalyzed arachidonic
acid metabolism and the PGE2 level can act as a marker of
functional activity of COX-2 expression. EV71-induced
the expression of COX-2 was associated with PGE2
production in SK–N–SH cells [6]. Interestingly, EV71-
stimulated PGE2 release in SK–N–SH cells was attenu-
ated by pretreatment with formononetin but not pirodavir
(Figure 4B). These results demonstrated that EV71-
induced COX-2 expression and PGE2 release in SK–N–
SH cells can be attenuated by formononetin but not
pirodavir.

Inhibition of CVBs replication by formononetin

Several isoflavonoids were found to exhibit broad-spectrum
antiviral activity against picornaviruses. Thus, we speculated
that formononetin might inhibit CVBs replication. The
CPE reduction assay was used to determine the anti-
CVBs activities of formononetin. Indeed, formononetin
significantly inhibited the biosynthesis of CVB2, CVB6
and CVB3 in Vero cells. The IC50 and therapeutic index
of formononetin for CVBs were showed in Table 2.

Discussion

EV71, a member of the Picornaviridae family, causes
HFMD by spreading through contact with virus-containing
body fluids, respiratory droplets, and feces. However, there
is no effective antiviral drug available for the treatment of
HFMD. Some natural medicinal compounds have
demonstrated to be active against the disease by amelio-
rating the symptoms and shortening the course [35,36].

Table 1 The efficiency of formononetin and pirodavir against EV71 in vitro

| Cell        | Compound | Vero TCO (μmol/L) | TCS0 (μmol/L) | IC50 (μmol/L) | SI |
|-------------|----------|------------------|--------------|--------------|----|
|             | Formononetin | 41.42 ± 0        | 149.38 ± 12.15 | 3.45 ± 0.55  | 43.30 |
|             | Pirodavir    | 7.52 ± 0         | 22.33 ± 1.71  | 0.38 ± 0.08  | 58.76 |

| SK-N-SH TCO (μmol/L) | TCS0 (μmol/L) | IC50 (μmol/L) | SI |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|----|
| Formononetin | 55.92 ± 0    | 198.80 ± 6.02 | 3.98 ± 0.80   | 49.95 |
| Pirodavir    | 10.83 ± 0    | 30.30 ± 4.03  | 0.23 ± 0.04   | 131.74 |

Values provided in this table represent the mean of three independent experiments.

The current absence of antiviral strategies for EV71 high-
light the urgency of developing novel antiviral approaches.

Formononetin, a methoxylated isoflavone, is a main
component in many traditional Chinese medicines such as
Trifolium pratense, Radix Puerariae, Astragalus membranae-
cus, Lignum Dalbergiae Odoriferae, Suberect Spatho-
lobus Stem and Radix hedysari. Previous studies have
indicated that formononetin had many pharmacological
properties such as antioxidant [24], anti-inflammatory
[3,22,23], anticancer activities [26,27], acceleration of
wound repair [25], neuronal protective [30] and cardio-
protective effects [29]. As a phytoestrogen, formonone-
tin also functions in menopause treatment. However,
the antiviral activity of formononetin is rarely reported.
In this study, we evaluated the anti-enterovirus activities
of formononetin.

Picornaviruses are important human pathogens. Up to
now, there is still no specific anti-picornavirus agent
approved for clinical application. Three capsid-targeting
molecules known as “WIN” compounds are currently in
clinical development [37,38]. According to our results
dates not shown), the antiviral activity of pirodavir against
EV71 is better than pleconaril. Thus, we used pirodavir as
positive control for the evaluation of the antiviral activity
of formononetin.

In the present study, we found that formononetin
could inhibit the EV71-induced CPE in Vero or SK-N-
SH cells. Formononetin could also inhibit viral RNA and
protein synthesis in a dose-dependent manner. Time
course assay in SK-N-SH cells showed that formononetin
acted at an early stage of EV71 infection. The pretreat-
ment with formononetin before infection also effectively
inhibited EV71 replication. These findings demonstrated
that formononetin exhibited strong antiviral activity
against EV71. Moreover, formononetin showed antiviral
activities against CVB2, CVB3 and CVB6. The preliminary
analysis indicated that formononetin could inhibit EV71-
induced COX-2 expression and PGE2 production via
MAPKs pathway including ERK, p38 and JNK.

There are some flavonoids and isoflavonoids reported
exhibited anti-EV71 activities such as chrysir [20], 7-
Hydroxyflavone [14], chrysospleniten [18], penduletin
[18] and 7-Hydroxyisoflavone [19]. However, most com-
pounds are just a simple evaluation effects as anti-EV71
agents and researchs about the anti-EV71 mechanism of
flavonoids and isoflavonoids had been reported rarely.

Table 2 The efficiency of formononetin against other EV71 strains and CVBs in vitro

| Strain | TC50 (μmol/L) | IC50 (μmol/L) | SI |
|--------|--------------|--------------|----|
| JS-52  | 149.38 ± 12.15 | 17.87 ± 8.51 | 78.36 |
| H      | 149.38 ± 12.15 | 11.11 ± 9.23 | 13.45 |
| BrCr   | 149.38 ± 12.15 | 6.47 ± 4.40  | 23.09 |
| CVB2   | 149.38 ± 12.15 | 9.56 ± 2.23  | 15.63 |
| CVB6   | 149.38 ± 12.15 | 5.33 ± 1.48  | 28.03 |
| CVB3   | 149.38 ± 12.15 | 2.57 ± 0.87  | 58.12 |

Values provided in this table represent the mean of three independent experiments.
The antiviral effect of formononetin and pirodavir against EV71 in SK-N-SH cells. (A) Formononetin and pirodavir reduced the expression of EV71 VP1 protein in SK-N-SH cells by western blot assay. (B) Formononetin and pirodavir reduced the expression of EV71 VP1 protein in SK-N-SH cells by immunofluorescence assay. Cells were examined using a fluorescence microscopy (×100). (C) Formononetin and pirodavir reduced the expression of EV71 VP1 RNA by one-step qRT-PCR assay. *P < 0.001, #P < 0.05.
Figure 3 Formononetin but not pirodavir reduces the phosphorylation of ERK (A), p38 (B), and JNK (C) induced by EV71 infection.

SK-N-SH cells (9 × 10^5 cells/well) were plated into 6-well culture plates. The cells were then pretreated with formononetin (14.91 μmol/L) and pirodavir (1.08 μmol/L), respectively. After 24 h, the cells were mock-infected or infected with 4.8 × 10^5 pfu/well of EV71 (SHZH98) for 15 min (A), 10 min (B), and 30 min (C), respectively. The cells were harvested and ERK (A), p-ERK (A), p38 (B), p-p38 (B), JNK (C), and p-JNK (C) were detected by western blot.
Our research is the first time to report the anti-EV71 mechanism of formononetin. Also, our research is the first time to find that flavonoids and isoflavonoids could inhibit EV71-induced COX-2 expression and PGE$_2$ production via MAPKs pathway including ERK, p38 and JNK. Moreover, the selectivity indices (SI) of formononetin is similar to chrysosplenetin [18] and penduletin [18], and SI of formononetin is better than 7-Hydroxyisoflavone [19].

Overall, our findings provide a new clue for developing the anti-EV71 drug which acts on inhibition of both viral replication and inflammatory factors expression. This may offer hopes in the treatment of HFMD patients with CNS diseases. However, many questions remain, e.g., whether formononetin is effective antiviral therapy against EV71 without adverse toxic effects in vivo and whether the proposed reduction in neurological complications is due to the reduced expression of COX2/PGE$_2$. We will

**Figure 4** Formononetin could inhibit EV71-induced COX-2 expression and PGE$_2$ production. (A) Formononetin but not pirodavir can reduce the expression of COX-2 induced by EV71 infection. SK-N-SH cells were mock-infected or infected with 480 pfu/well of EV71 (SHZH98) for 2 h. The cells were then treated with formononetin (14.91 μmol/L) and pirodavir (1.08 μmol/L), respectively, for 24 h. The cells were harvested and EV71-VP1 and COX-2 were examined by western blot. (B) Formononetin but not pirodavir can reduce the release of PGE$_2$ stimulated by EV71 infection. SK-N-SH cells were pretreated with formononetin (14.91 μmol/L) or pirodavir (1.08 μmol/L) for 24 h. The cells were infected with 4.8 × 10$^5$ pfu/well of EV71 (SHZH98). At 24 h p.i., the culture supernatants were harvested for PGE$_2$ release assay.
validate this approach in mouse models prior to clinical trials in further studies.

Conclusion
In this study, we found that formononetin could inhibit EV71-induced COX-2 expression and PGE2 production via MAPKs pathway including ERK, p38 and JNK. Formononetin exhibited antiviral activities against some members of Picornaviridae. These findings suggest that formononetin could be a potential lead or supplement for the development of new anti-EV71 agent in the future.

Materials and methods

Cells and viruses
Vero cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), and were cultured in Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (GIBCO) and antibiotics (100 U/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin). SK-N-SH cells were also purchased from the ATCC, and were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium: Nutrient Mixture F-12 (DMEM/F-12) supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics (100 U/ml penicillin G and 100 mg/ml streptomycin).

EV71 strain SHZH98 isolated from the throat swab sample of an HFMD case occurring in 1998 in China was kindly provided by Dr. Qi Jin, Institute of Pathogen Biology, Chinese Academy of Medical Science and Peking Union Medical School, Beijing, China. EV71 strain BrCr (VR-1775) and H (VR-1432) were purchased from the ATCC. EV71 strain JS-52 was a kind gift from Dr. Xiangzhong Ye, Beijing Wantai Biological Pharmacy Enterprise Co., Ltd. EV71 strain SHZH98 was passaged in Vero and SK-N-SH cells. EV71 strains BrCr, H, and JS-52 were passaged in Vero cells. CVB2 (strain Ohio-1), CVB3 (strain Nancy) and CVB6 (strain Schmitt) were all got from the ATCC and passaged in Vero cells.

Compounds
Formononetin was purchased from the J&K Chemical Ltd. (Beijing, China) and the purity is no less than 99%. Pirodavir was kindly provided by Professor Junhai Xiao, Laboratory of Computer-Aided Drug Design & Discovery, Beijing Institute of Pharmacology & Toxicology, Beijing, China. Both formononetin and pirodavir were dissolved into DMSO.

Plaque assay for virus titer
Vero cells (3 × 10^5 cells/well) were plated into 24-well plate and the cells were infected with 10-fold serially diluted virus suspension (200 µl/well). Suspension was diluted in MEM with 2% FBS. After absorption at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 2 h, the virus suspension was replaced with MEM containing 2% FBS and 1% methyl cellulose. The medium was removed at 96 h after infection. The cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and then fixed and stained with 5% crystal violet at 37°C for 1 h. Finally, the crystal violet was washed out with water and heat-dried at 37°C. The plaques were counted and virus titer (pfu/ml) was calculated.

Cytotoxicity test
Cytotoxic effect of formononetin on Vero or SK-N-SH cells was assayed by MTS (promega) assay. Briefly, cells (3 × 10^4 cells/well) were seeded into 96-well culture plates and were incubated overnight. Then, the medium was removed and different concentrations of formononetin were applied in triplicate. After 3 days’ incubation, the cytotoxicity of formononetin was determined by MTS assay. The TC_{50} was defined as the concentration that inhibits 50% cellular growth in comparison with the controls and the TC_{0} was defined as the maximal non-toxicity dose.

CPE inhibition assay for anti-EV71
The anti-EV71 activity of formononetin was assayed by CPE inhibition method. Briefly, cells (3 × 10^4 cells/well) were plated into 96-well culture plates for incubation of 24 h. The medium was then removed and cells were infected with EV71 of 80 pfu/well. Then, various concentrations of formononetin were supplemented for incubation of another 48 h. The IC_{50} defined as the minimal concentration of inhibitor required to inhibit 50% of CPE was determined by Reed & Muench method. The selectivity index (SI) was calculated as the ratio of TC_{50}/IC_{50} [19].

Western blot analysis
Cells were lysed in the M-PER mammalian protein extraction reagent (Thermo, Rockford, IL) containing halt protease inhibitor single-use cocktail (Thermo). The protein concentration was determined by the BCA reagents (Thermo). About 10 µg proteins were denatured and applied to sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). The electrophoresis products were transferred to a polyvinyl idenefluoride (PVDF) film and PVDF membranes were then incubated at room temperature with specific primary antibody. After a standard washing, membranes were incubated with horse radish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled secondary antibody. The assay developed using a chemiluminescent substrate. The primary antibodies used in this study included antibodies against β-actin, p-p44/p42 MAPK, p44/p42 MAPK, p-p38 MAPK, p38 MAPK, p-JNK, JNK (Cell Signaling Technology), EV71 VP1 (Abnova) and COX-2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The goat anti-rabbit and anti-mouse HRP-labeled antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology.
**Immunofluorescence assay**

SK-N-SH cells grown on glass coverslips (Thermo) were infected with EV71 (SHZH98) of 160 pfu/well for 2 h. Then, various concentrations of formononetin were supplemented for incubation of another 24 h. After incubation, the culture medium was removed and the cells were washed and fixed. The cells were permeabilized in 0.5% Triton X-100 at room temperature for 15 min and blocked in PBS containing 1% BSA for 60 min at room temperature. Cells were then incubated with an anti-EV71 VP1 antibody (Abnova) at a dilution of 1: 500 for 2 h at room temperature. After washing three times with PBS, the samples were reacted with Alexa Fluor 488-labeled goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, China) for 1 h at room temperature. After washing with PBS, images were taken using a fluorescence microscope (Olympus, IX71) [39].

**Quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) quantification**

SK-N-SH cells (9 x 10⁵ cells/well) were plated into 6-well culture plates for incubation of 16 h. The medium was removed and cells were infected with EV71 (SHZH98) of 480 pfu/well. After 2 h, various concentrations of formononetin were supplemented for incubation of another 24 h. The total RNA of the infected cells was extracted using the RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The one-step qRT-PCR was performed with SuperScript III Platinum SYBR Green One-step RT PCR Kit (Invitrogen) using the ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). The mRNA expression of EV71 VP1 was detected with sense primer 5’-GGACGCCAAAAGAATCTCAC-3’ and antisense primer 5’-ATTTCAGCAGCTTTGGAGTGC-3’ targeting a conserved region of the VP1 gene [40]. The β-actin mRNA was detected using sense primers 5’-TGACGGGCTTACCCACA CGTGCCCATCTA-3’ and antisense primer 5’-CTAGAACGCTTTG CGGT TGAC-3’.

**Time course assay**

The antiviral activity of formononetin was examined at different time periods before and after viral infection as shown in Figure 1A. Briefly, cells (3 x 10⁴ cells/well) were seeded and incubated for 16 h. The cell monolayer was then infected with EV71 of 80 pfu/well. Different concentrations of formononetin were supplemented into cells following the protocol. Cells were then incubated for another 48 h and assayed with CPE assay.

**Measurement of PGE₂ release**

For the detection of PGE₂ release, SK-N-SH cells (3 x 10⁵ cells/well) were seeded into 24-well culture plates and were incubated for 16 h. And then the cells were serum-deprived for 24 h and incubated with EV71 for the indicated time intervals. When drugs were used, they were added 24 h prior to the application of EV71. After treatment, the supernatants were harvested. The supernatant from each sample was assayed using a PGE₂ EIA kit (AMEKO) following the manufacturer’s instruction. Briefly, we added sample, and successively anti-PGE₂ antibody, streptavidin-HRP to the plate. The plate was closed with closure plate membrane and incubated for 60 min at 37°C. After uncovering closure plate membrane, liquid was discarded and the plate was washed with washing buffer for 5 times. The chromogen solution A and B were added to each well and incubated for 10 min at 37°C. The stop solution was then added to each well to stop the reaction. The absorbance of each well was measured at 450 nm [6].

**CPE inhibition assay for anti-CVBs**

The anti-virus activity of formononetin on CVBs was also assayed by CPE method. Briefly, cells (3 x 10⁴ cells/well) were plated into 96-well culture plates for incubation of 24 h. The medium was removed and cells were infected with 100 TCID₅₀ of CVB2, CVB6 or CVB3. Then, various concentrations of formononetin were supplemented for incubation of another 48 h. The IC₅₀ was determined by Reed and Muench method. The SI was calculated as the ratio of TC₅₀/IC₅₀ [19].

**Abbreviations**

EV71: Enterovirus 71; CNS: Central nervous system; CPE: Cytopathic effect; HFMD: Hand foot and mouth disease; TC₅₀: The maximal non-toxicity dose; TC₅₀: 50% toxicity concentration; IC₅₀: 50% inhibitory concentration; SI: Selectivity index.
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