Steric constraints control processing of glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchors in Trypanosoma brucei

The transferrin receptor (TfR) of the bloodstream form (BSF) of Trypanosoma brucei is a heterodimer comprising glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored expression site–associated gene 6 (ESAG6 or E6) and soluble ESAG7. Mature E6 has five N-glycans, consisting of three oligomannose and two unprocessed paucimannose structures. Its GPI anchor is modified by the addition of 4–6 α-galactose residues. TfR binds tomato lectin (TL), specific for N-acetyllactosamine (LacNAC) repeats, and previous studies have shown transport-dependent increases in E6 size consistent with post-glycan processing in the endoplasmic reticulum. Using pulse-chase radiolabeling, peptide-N-glycosidase F treatment, lectin pulldowns, and exoglycosidase treatment, we have now investigated TfR N-glycan and GPI processing. E6 increased ~5 kDa during maturation, becoming reactive with both TL and Erythrina cristagalli lectin (ECL, terminal LacNAC), indicating synthesis of poly-LacNAC on paucimannose N-glycans. This processing was lost after exoglycosidase treatment and after RNAi-based silencing of TbSTT3A, the oligosaccharyltransferase that transfers paucimannose structures to nascent secretory polypeptides. These results contradict previous structural studies. Minor GPI processing was also observed, consistent with α-galactose addition. However, increasing the spacing between E6 protein and the GPI ω-site (aa 4–7) resulted in extensive post-translational processing of the GPI anchor to a form that was TL/ECL-reactive, suggesting the addition of LacNAC structures, confirmed by identical assays with BiPNHP, a non-N-glycosylated GPI-anchored reporter. We conclude that BSF trypanosomes can modify GPls by generating structures reminiscent of those present in insect-stage trypanosomes and that steric constraints, not stage-specific expression of glycosyltransferases, regulate GPI processing.
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African trypanosomes of the Trypanosoma brucei ssp. (referred to hereafter as “trypanosomes”) are kinetoplastid protozoa that are the causative agents of human and veterinary trypanosomiasis throughout sub-Saharan Africa, wherever the insect vector (tsetse flies, Genus Glossina) is found (1). Trypanosomes represent an ancient branching group within the Excavata (2), the Kinetoplastida, and whereas they obey the letter of the “eukaryotic law,” they bend the spirit in many remarkable ways. For instance, nuclear gene expression involves concerted polycistronic transcription and trans-splicing to generate typical mature mRNAs with a 5’ cap and 3’ poly-A tail (3). Even more “otherworldly” is mitochondrial RNA editing in which as much as 50% of mitochondrially encoded mRNAs are formed by post-transcriptional insertion/deletion of uridine residues (4). Another example is the compartmentalization of glycolytic enzymes in a peroxisome-like organelle called the glycosome (5), presumably for enhanced enzymatic efficiency.

Although perhaps not as flashy as RNA editing, trypanosomes have also offered some remarkable insights into the full range of eukaryotic glycobiology. Most striking are glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) membrane anchors, which were first fully characterized in bloodstream form (BSF) T. brucei, not surprisingly, as the GPI-anchored variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) comprises 10% of total cellular protein. Rapid attachment of preformed GPls to nascent GPI-anchored proteins in the ER (6, 7), the core GPI structure (8), and the GPI biosynthetic pathway (reviewed in Ref. 9) were all first determined in trypanosomes and subsequently shown to be largely the same throughout eukaryotic phyla. All GPls, with minor variations, have the same core structure (ethanolamine-P-6Manα1–2Manα1–6Manα1–4GlcNα1–6PI), and these can be decorated with various modifications (reviewed in Ref. 10).

In yeast and mammals, a set configuration of ethanolamine moieties are in phosphodiester linkage to the trimannosyl core, and these regulate the exit of nascent GPI anchor precursor
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from the ER. Limited and variable attachment of hexoses to the core can also occur. In trypanosomes, the common core GPI structure, albeit with life cycle stage–specific lipid configurations, is attached to nascent polypeptides in the ER, and subsequent processing leads to distinct glycan structures in BSF and procyclic insect stage (PCF) parasites (Fig. 1A). In BSFs, a spectrum of side-chain galactose residues are added during post-ER trafficking (11, 12). On procyclin, the major surface protein of PCF trypanosomes, a complex branched poly-N-acetyllactosamine (Galβ1–4GlcNAc) and lacto-N-biose (Galβ1–3GlcNAc) structure is elaborated, presumably also in post-ER compartments, although this has not been formally shown. Upon arrival at the cell surface, this GPI structure serves as the acceptor for transfer of terminal sialic acid residues from host serum sialoglycoproteins by an endogenous cell surface trans-sialidase (13).

Trypanosomes also differ markedly from the standard eukaryotic model systems in regard to N-glycosylation. Both yeast and mammals (and most other eukaryotes) transfer glycosylated triantennary Glc3Man9GlcNac2 structures from lipoyeast and mammals (and most other eukaryotes) transfer glucose-glycan processing in African trypanosomes

Another trypanosomal protein that displays a wide range of glyco-modifications is the BSF-specific transferrin receptor (TfR). TfR is a heterodimer of the expression site–associated genes 6 and 7 (ESAG6 and ESAG7) (24–26), both of which are in the larger VSG family (27). The two subunits are highly similar (Fig. 1C), whereas ESAG6 has a GPI anchor that is structurally similar to the galactosylated GPs found on VSGs, with up to six galactose residues (28), ESAG7 is truncated at the C terminus and has no such modification. Structural analyses using lectin reactivity and exoglycosidase treatment of purified TfR and high-performance TLC of released TfR glycans indicated that each is synthesized with a mixture of oligo- and paucimannose N-glycans (29). ESAG6 (E6) has three oligomannose (N28, N237, and N362) and two paucimannose (N112 and N252) structures; ESAG7 (E7) has two oligomannose (N28 and N236) structures and one paucimannose (N112) structure (numbering relative to start codon). All of these have been trimmed by the removal of some or all of the external α1–2-linked mannose residues during intracellular trafficking, but no evidence for processing of the paucimannose glycans to complex type LacNAc-containing oligosaccharides on either subunit was observed. Overall then, this paints a picture of modest post-ER processing—trimming of oligo- and paucimannose N-glycans and attachment of limited GPI side-chain galactose residues.

However, other data sets suggest a more complex situation. The existence of pNAL-containing N-glycans was first inferred from binding studies with tomato lectin (TL) (20), which has a strong specificity for linear LacNac repeats (>3) (30). It also has weaker reactivity with the chitobiose core on trimmed oligomannose structures (31, 32). TfR was shown to be part of the total set of TL-binding proteins in BSF trypanosomes, which includes p67, suggesting some degree of conversion of paucimannose oligosaccharides to complex LacNAc-containing N-glycans on TfR (20). Whether this rises to the level of “giant pNAL” is not clear. Second, in our experience, pulse-chase radiolabeling experiments consistently show a time- and transport-dependent increase in the size of E6 (~5 kDa), but not E7 (33–35) (see below). Such an increase in size cannot be accounted for by minor trimming of N-glycans and limited GPI galactosylation.

In this work, we use in vivo biosynthetic assays in conjunction with sequential lectin pulldowns to investigate the relative contributions of N-glycan and GPI processing to the maturation of native TfR, specifically the GPI-anchored E6 subunit. Our results both confirm (GPI processing) and contradict (N-glycan processing) the previous structural studies.
of these glycoconjugates (see Refs. 28 and 29, respectively). However, manipulation (loosening) of the steric environment immediately upstream of the GPI anchor results in significant GPI glycan processing reminiscent of the branched poly-LacNAc side chains seen on the GPI anchors of procyclic trypanosomes. These results suggest that stage-specific differences in GPI structure are controlled by steric access of substrates in the Golgi to constitutively expressed glycosyltransferases responsible for side-chain attachment, rather than by stage-specific expression of the glycosyltransferases themselves.

Results

Glycosylation of E6 and E7

To initiate our studies, we first reinvestigated the N-glycosylation status of newly synthesized E6 and E7 and the corresponding mature glycoforms by pulse-chase radiolabeling (Fig. 2; shown in annotated format in Fig. S1). In each case, radiolabeled polypeptides were specifically immunoprecipitated and then treated with either endo-β-N-acetylglycosidase H (Endo H), which removes oligomannose N-glycans, or peptide-N-glycanase F (PNGase F), which removes all N-glycans. Note that
Endo H will not cleave paucimannose Man₅GlcNAc₂ structures (11, 17). At T₀, both newly synthesized E6 and E7 are detected as single prominent species, which PNGase F treatment reduces to fully deglycosylated forms consistent with the removal of five and three N-glycans, respectively (Fig. 2). Lane 1 versus lane 3. Endo H treatment generates ladders of partially de-N-glycosylated species, indicating the presence of variable numbers of resistant paucimannose glycans (Fig. 2, lane 2), but in each case, the major remaining glycoform is consistent with the assignments of Mehler et al. (28) (E6, 2 paucimannose; E7, 1 paucimannose). Equivalent analyses of mature E6 and E7 from the end of the chase period (T₆₀) indicates that, whereas the overall patterns of Endo H sensitivity are unaltered (Fig. 2, lane 2 versus lane 5), a number of changes indicative of glycan processing have occurred. First is a decrease in the size of mature E7 (Fig. 2, lane 1 versus lane 4), which we ascribe to trimming of terminal α₁–2-linked mannose residues on all three N-glycans (Fig. S1, shift 1). Second is an increase in the size of mature E6 (lane 1 versus lane 4) that is mirrored in the partially de-N-glycosylated species (Fig. 2, lane 2 versus lane 5). These shifts could be due to processing of paucimannose N-glycans to complex glycoforms and/or processing of the GPI anchor (Fig. S1, shifts 2 and 3, respectively). However, there is also a clear increase in size of the fully de-N-glycosylated species (Fig. 2, lane 3 versus lane 6) that, absent some heretofore unknown post-translational modification, must be attributed to processing of the GPI anchor (Fig. S1, shift 4). As this processing is not large enough to account for the full increase (~5 kDa) seen in intact E6, we conclude that both N-glycan and GPI modification occur during intracellular transport of TFR.

**Processing of E6 and E7 N-glycans**

We have previously defined a rubric for assessing the post-ER addition of N-acetyllactosamine to N-glycans on trypansomone secretory proteins using TL and *Erythrina cristagalli* lectin (ECL) (32). TL binds linear repeats of three or more LacNAc, but also binds to paucimannose glycans (30, 31). ECL is specific for terminal LacNAc units (36). This rubric is illustrated with endogenous p67. Cells were pulse-chase radiolabeled, and p67 polypeptides were immunoprecipitated. Precipitates were then solubilized, and sequential pulldown was performed with either TL or ECL. Free ligands (chitin hydrolase or lactose, respectively) were included as competitors to confirm specificity. Initially, p67 is detected as the newly synthesized gp100 glycoform (Fig. 3A, lanes 1 and 7). This species is readily seen in sequential pulldown with TL due to reactivity with paucimannose glycans (Fig. 3A, lane 2), but not with ECL, because LacNAc addition cannot occur at this early time point (Fig. 3A, lane 8). At the end of the chase, p67 has been converted to the larger Golgi gp150 glycoform by pNAL addition (Fig. 3A, lanes 4 and 10), as borne out by reactivity with both TL and ECL (Fig. 3A, lanes 5 and 11, respectively).

An almost identical pattern of glycosylation was observed with TFR subunits. Newly synthesized E6 and E7 of the expected sizes were observed at T₀ (Fig. 3B, lanes 1 and 7). Both were weakly reactive with TL, but not with ECL, in concordance with the presence of one (E7) or two (E6) paucimannose glycans (Fig. 3B, lanes 2 and 8, respectively). At the end of the chase period, E7 had decreased slightly in size, whereas E6 had increased ~5 kDa, as was seen in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B, lanes 4 and 10). The mature E7 was weakly reactive with both TL and ECL, suggesting limited addition of LacNAc to the single paucimannose glycan (Fig. 3B, lanes 5 and 11). In contrast, mature E6 was strongly reactive with both TL and ECL, indicating significant addition of LacNAc to the two paucimannose glycans. These results strongly suggest that the paucimannose glycans on E6 are subject to post-ER conversion to complex type structures containing Lac-
NAc repeats. However, these results do not formally rule out the addition of LacNac to the GPI anchor, although this modification is typically found only in PCF trypanosomes.

We took a genetic approach to confirm that processing of E6 paucimannose N-glycans was indeed occurring, as such modifications have been previously discounted (29). Using a heterozygous cell line in which a single allele of the TbSTT3 locus was knocked out, we introduced an inducible RNAi construct specifically targeting TbSTT3A, the OST responsible for transfer of paucimannose oligosaccharides. This strategy was used to originally characterize the sequon and N-glycan specificities of the TbSTT3A and TbSTT3B (17). Because complex glycan processing in T. brucei can only occur on paucimannose precursors, we reasoned that ablation of TbSTT3A would reduce the size and lectin reactivity profile of mature E6 if such structures are normally present. In agreement with the work of Izquierdo et al. (17), knockdown of the remaining TbSTT3A allele had no effect on cell viability (Fig. S2A), despite a 50% reduction in TbSTT3A mRNA (Fig. S2B). Presumably, this represents a cumulative reduction of 75% because one allele has been eliminated by knockout. Our standard lectin profiling was performed with lectins and specific inhibitors as in Fig. 3. All pulldowns were fractionated by SDS-PAGE (107 cell equivalents/lane) and visualized by phosphorimaging. The mobilities of p67 glycoforms (gp150 and gp100) and TfR (E6 species only) are indicated on the left. Mobilities of molecular mass standards are indicated on the right (kDa). All data shown are representative of multiple experiments (n = 3).

These results strongly indicate that processing of E6 involves paucimannose oligosaccharides, likely by the addition of LacNac.

Finally, to confirm that this processing does involve the addition of LacNac, we treated immunoprecipitates of mature p67 and TfR with combined exo-β1–4-galactosidase and exo-β1–2,3,4,6-N-acetylgalactosaminidase, resulting in a partial reduction in the size of mature gp150 p67, which is known to have hypermodified pNAL-containing N-glycans. This failure to completely reduce the size of p67 may be due to the highly branched nature of pNAL glycans (21), but this result nevertheless validates the assay. The same treatment reduced mature E6 to a size essentially equivalent to that of the immature precursor. These results corroborate the lectin reactivities and provide compelling evidence that paucimannose N-glycans on E6 and E7 are processed by the addition of LacNac repeats.

These results contrast with those of Mehlert et al. (29), who found no evidence for LacNac modification of paucimannose N-glycans on affinity-purified TfR. In an attempt to reconcile these differing results, we collected TfR by parallel pulldown with anti-TfR and Tf-beads and subjected the bound materials to blotting with either TL or ECL, followed by immunoblotting with anti-TfR. The immunoblot revealed roughly equal amounts of both immature and mature TfR in the steady state pool of both pulldowns. The relative inefficiency of the affinity pulldown may be because TfR is rapidly ligated with serum transferrin upon arrival in the flagellar pocket, rendering it unavailable for binding to Tf-beads (34). Thus, the affinity-purified material likely represents newly synthesized TfR en route to the cell surface. Importantly, the mature species is reactive with both TL and ECL, indicating the presence of LacNac on steady-state TfR. Thus, in our hands, affinity-purified TfR does contain processed paucimannose glycans. Factors contributing to the discrepancy between our results and those of Mehlert et al. (29) are discussed below.
**Figure 6. Affinity purification of TfR.** Native extracts were prepared from log-phase cells, and TfR was selected by immunoprecipitation with αTfR (l, 10^7 cell equivalents) or affinity pulldown with Tf-beads (A, 10^6 equivalents). Matched samples were then sequentially blotted with either TL or ECL (bottom), followed by immunoblotting with αTfR (top) to reveal steady-state E6. A representative data set is presented. Mobilities of immature and mature forms of TfR and molecular mass markers are indicated.

**Processing of the E6 GPI anchor**

To investigate the role of the GPI glycan in the overall processing of E6, we pretreated cells with tunicamycin to flush out all LLO precursors. Pulse-chase radiolabeling followed by sequential lectin pulldowns was then performed (Fig. 7; shown in annotated format in Fig. S3). At T_60 and N-glycosylated E6 and E7 species of the expected size based on the prior PNGase F treatment (Fig. 2) were observed (Fig. 7, lanes 2 and 7 versus lane 9). During the subsequent chase, there was no change in the mobility of E7, as expected, because there are no glycans of any kind. However, there was a clear but modest increase in the size of E6 (Fig. 7, lanes 2 and 7 versus lanes 1 and 8; Fig. S3, shift 1). This mature un-N-glycosylated species was nonreactive with either TL or ECL, confirming the absence of LacNAc (Fig. 7, lanes 3–6). We therefore ascribe this processing to the post-ER addition of ~6 galactose residues, consistent with the TfR GPI structural analyses of Mehlert et al. (28). Interestingly, there was a minor amount of time-dependent hypermodification of E6 generating a larger species that was reactive with both TL and ECL. (Fig. 7, lanes 4 and 6; discussed below). These results indicate that GPI processing makes a minor contribution to the overall ~5-kDa increase in mass of E6 during post-ER trafficking, the bulk of which is due to conversion of paucimannose N-glycans to LacNAc-containing complex glycans.

**Processing of alternate GPI substrates (E6HP/BiPNHP)**

The appearance of a small amount of hypermodified E6 when N-glycosylation is blocked is reminiscent of the processing we previously observed when a procyclin reporter (EPMH) was expressed in BSF cells (Fig. 2 of Ref. 33). EPMH was synthesized as a 40-kDa precursor that was quantitatively converted to an ~55 kDa smear during intracellular transport. EPMH has a single N-glycosylation site (sequon pl 3.28) that most certainly is occupied by a paucimannose structure in BSF trypanosomes. Furthermore, the mature glycoform, but not the precursor, was strongly reactive with TL, consistent with the addition of pNAL. However, when N-glycosylation was blocked with tunicamycin, EPMH was still subject to substantial modification, increasing in size ~7–8 kDa (TL reactivity was not determined). We attributed this residual processing to modification of the GPI anchor because it was not present in a matched GPI-minus reporter, and a second reporter used in the same study supported that conclusion (Fig. 7 of Ref. 33; BiPNHP). BiPNHP is the globular N-terminal ATPase domain of BiP, a resident ER Hsp70 chaperone, fused at the C terminus to an HA epitope. BiPNHP was also subject to marked processing during transport, increasing from a sharp ~55-kDa precursor to a heterogeneous ~65-kDa mature glycoform. Because BiPNHP has no N-glycosylation sites, and the matched soluble BiP reporter is not modified, we concluded that it too was subject to GPI processing.

To determine whether the hypermodification of E6 in the absence of N-glycans was due to GPI processing, and if so whether this might be influenced by steric considerations, we replaced the native C terminus with the fused HA:EP GPI attachment peptide (E6HP), resulting in a net gain of 4–7 residues upstream of the predicted GPI attachment site (Fig. 1D; the exact ω-site of E6 is not known). This construct was used to replace the native E6 ORF in the active expression site, and we then repeated the sequential lectin pulldowns (Fig. 8A). The overall pattern of E6HP synthesis and processing was essentially the same as native E6 (compare Fig. 8A (lanes 1–12) with Fig. 3B (lanes 1–12)), the only difference being a larger quantitative increase in size of the immature precursor (Fig. 8A, lanes 1 and 7) to the mature TL^-/ECL^- glycoform (Fig. 8A, lanes 4 and 5 and lanes 10 and 11) (~12 kDa for E6HP versus ~5 kDa for E6). This size increase is roughly equivalent to the minor amount of hypermodification seen with native E6.

To determine whether the large increase in size of E6HP could be attributed solely to GPI glycan processing, we repeated this experiment with tunicamycin treatment to eliminate the contribution of N-glycans (Fig. 8B). An identical pattern of processing was observed, albeit with global size reductions due to the absence of N-glycans. Importantly, the immature precursor was still quantitatively converted to a larger (~7 kDa) mature
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A. E6HP (control)

| Time (min) | 0 | 60 |
|-----------|---|----|
| Pulldown  | α | T+ |
| E6HPm | 10 | 10 |
| E6HPi | 20 | 20 |

B. E6HP (tuni)

| Time (min) | 0 | 60 |
|-----------|---|----|
| Pulldown  | α | T+ |
| E6HPm | 10 | 10 |
| E6HPi | 20 | 20 |

C. BiPNHP

| Time (min) | 0 | 60 |
|-----------|---|----|
| Pulldown  | α | T+ |
| BiPNHPm | 10 | 10 |
| BiPNHPi | 20 | 20 |

Figure 8. Sequential pulldown of E6HP and BiPNHP with lectins. Cultured BSF trypanosomes (10⁶ cell equivalents/lane) expressing E6HP (A and B) or BiPNHP (C) were [³⁵S]Met/Cys pulse-chase (15/60 min) radiolabeled in the presence of 20 μM tunicamycin. Cell extracts were prepared as described in Fig. 3. E6HP and BiPNHP polypeptides were immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibodies (a), and then solubilized lysates were affinity-selected (T) with TLRBio (T, left) or ECLBio (E, right). Sequential lectin and their effects on GPI processing are discussed below.

Discussion

Structural studies of purified glycoconjugates from T. brucei transferrin receptor indicate the presence of trimmed oligo- and paucimannose N-glycans with no evidence of further processing (e.g., no addition of LacNac to paucimannose oligosaccharides as seen in other BSF glycoproteins) (29). However, our own biosynthesis assays have consistently indicated that the E6 subunit of TFR is subject to significant processing during intracellular transport (33–35). Furthermore, TFR was one of the original BSF trypanosome proteins identified as containing TL-reactive N-glycans (20). Finally, not all published works that have assessed steady-state populations of TFR by immunoblotting, including Mehler et al. (29) typically see a heterogenous “smear” of E6 polypeptides, consistent with N-glycan processing (25–27, 29, 38–40). To resolve this issue, we have now performed detailed biosynthesis studies in conjunction with sequential lectin pulldowns, reverse genetics, and exoglycosidase treatments.

In our biosynthesis assays, the E6 subunit of TFR is processed with a net gain of ~5 kDa. A minor component of this is processing of the mature E6HP was due to GPI glycan processing, we repeated these sequential pulldowns with the BiPNHP reporter, which has no N-glycans (Fig. 8C). Newly synthesized BiPNHP of the expected size (~55 kDa) was detected, and this species was completely non-reactive with either TL or ECL (Fig. 8C, lane 1 versus lane 2 and lane 7 versus lane 8). During the chase, this was converted overwhelmingly to an ~65-kDa mature glycoform that was robustly reactive with TL and less so with ECL (Fig. 8C, lane 4 versus lane 5 and lane 10 versus lane 11). As seen with E6HP, the small amount of mature TL/ECL-reactive BiPNHP at the early time point is due to rapid transport, and the weak reactivity with ECL may be due to terminal non-LacNac disaccharides. These results confirm our previous findings (33) and further establish that facile addition of LacNac to the GPI core can occur in BSF trypanosome if the proper reporter is used.

These findings strongly suggest that polypeptide steric constraints at the extreme C terminus govern processing of the GPI glycan. To demonstrate this in a directly comparative manner, we pulse-chase radiolabeled tunicamycin-treated cells and analyzed immunoprecipitates of the various reporters in relation to native VSG221. VSG221 had a barely perceptible increase in size during intracellular transport (Fig. 9, lanes 1 and 2), consistent with the addition of ~4–~5 GPI galactose residues (37). Likewise, as seen in Fig. 2, native E6 increased in size consistent with the addition of ~4–~6 GPI galactose moieties (28) (Fig. 9, lanes 3 and 4). And as before, a limited amount of GPI hyper-modification was also seen. In contrast, E6HP, which is slightly larger than E6 due to an additional ~4–~7 C-terminal amino acid modification was also seen. In contrast, E6HP, which is slightly larger than E6 due to an additional ~4–~7 C-terminal amino acid residues (Fig. 9, lane 3 versus lane 5), increases dramatically in size during the chase (Fig. 9, lanes 5 and 6). Likewise, BiPNHP shows a dramatic size increase (Fig. 9, lanes 7 and 8). The size increase of E6HP and BiPNHP can only be due to significant processing of the GPI glycan, and the lectin reactivity of each (Fig. 8) indicates this is due to the addition of poly-LacNac, as seen with the procyclin GPI anchor in PCF trypanosome. The structural differences between these reporters (Fig. 9, cartoons) and their effects on GPI processing are discussed below.

Form during intracellular transport (Fig. 8B, compare lane 1 with lane 4 and lane 7 with lane 10; see also Fig. 9 for a direct comparison). This increase can only be due to GPI glycan processing, and the TL and ECL reactivity of the mature species (Fig. 8B, lanes 5 and 11, respectively) suggests that it represents the addition of poly-LacNac, as in the native procyclin GPI structure (see Fig. 1A). The relatively weaker reactivity of the mature E6HP GPI anchor with ECL may be due to the presence of terminal GlcNacβ1–6Gal or lacto-N-biose (Galβ1–3GlcNac) disaccharides. The small amount of mature TL reactive E6HP at the T₁ time point is likely due to rapid transport of this reporter from the ER to the Golgi during the 15-min labeling period. Finally, to unequivocally establish that the TL/ECL reactivity of mature un-N-glycosylated E6HP was due to GPI glycan processing, we repeated these sequential pulldowns with the BiPNHP reporter, which has no N-glycans (Fig. 8C). Newly synthesized BiPNHP of the expected size (~55 kDa) was detected, and this species was completely non-reactive with either TL or ECL (Fig. 8C, lane 1 versus lane 2 and lane 7 versus lane 8). During the chase, this was converted overwhelmingly to an ~65-kDa mature glycoform that was robustly reactive with TL and less so with ECL (Fig. 8C, lane 4 versus lane 5 and lane 10 versus lane 11). As seen with E6HP, the small amount of mature TL/ECL-reactive BiPNHP at the early time point is due to rapid transport, and the weak reactivity with ECL may be due to terminal non-LacNac disaccharides. These results confirm our previous findings (33) and further establish that facile addition of LacNac to the GPI core can occur in BSF trypanosome if the proper reporter is used.

These findings strongly suggest that polypeptide steric constraints at the extreme C terminus govern processing of the GPI glycan. To demonstrate this in a directly comparative manner, we pulse-chase radiolabeled tunicamycin-treated cells and analyzed immunoprecipitates of the various reporters in relation to native VSG221. VSG221 had a barely perceptible increase in size during intracellular transport (Fig. 9, lanes 1 and 2), consistent with the addition of ~4–~5 GPI galactose residues (37). Likewise, as seen in Fig. 2, native E6 increased in size consistent with the addition of ~4–~6 GPI galactose moieties (28) (Fig. 9, lanes 3 and 4). And as before, a limited amount of GPI hyper-modification was also seen. In contrast, E6HP, which is slightly larger than E6 due to an additional ~4–~7 C-terminal amino acid residues (Fig. 9, lane 3 versus lane 5), increases dramatically in size during the chase (Fig. 9, lanes 5 and 6). Likewise, BiPNHP shows a dramatic size increase (Fig. 9, lanes 7 and 8). The size increase of E6HP and BiPNHP can only be due to significant processing of the GPI glycan, and the lectin reactivity of each (Fig. 8) indicates this is due to the addition of poly-LacNac, as seen with the procyclin GPI anchor in PCF trypanosome. The structural differences between these reporters (Fig. 9, cartoons) and their effects on GPI processing are discussed below.

Discussion

Structural studies of purified glycoconjugates from T. brucei transferrin receptor indicate the presence of trimmed oligo- and paucimannose N-glycans with no evidence of further processing (e.g., no addition of LacNac to paucimannose oligosaccharides as seen in other BSF glycoproteins) (29). However, our own biosynthesis assays have consistently indicated that the E6 subunit of TFR is subject to significant processing during intracellular transport (33–35). Furthermore, TFR was one of the original BSF trypanosome proteins identified as containing TL-reactive N-glycans (20). Finally, not all published works that have assessed steady-state populations of TFR by immunoblotting, including Mehler et al. (29) typically see a heterogenous “smear” of E6 polypeptides, consistent with N-glycan processing (25–27, 29, 38–40). To resolve this issue, we have now performed detailed biosynthesis studies in conjunction with sequential lectin pulldowns, reverse genetics, and exoglycosidase treatments.
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Figure 9. GPI processing of VSG, E6, E6HP, and BiPNHP. A, all cultured BSF trypanosomes were pretreated with tunicamycin (300 ng/ml, 1 h) to inhibit N-glycan synthesis (BiPNHP cells were untreated). Cells were then [35S]Met/Cys pulse-chase (15/60 min) radiolabeled in the presence of FMK024 (20 μM) to prevent degradation in the lysosome. Lysates were prepared under native conditions, and immunoprecipitation (IP) was performed with anti-VSG, anti-TfR, or anti-HA as indicated. Precipitates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and phosphorimaging: VSG, 10^6 cell equivalents/lane; all others, 10^7 cell equivalents/lane. The mobilities of un-N-glycosylated glycoforms of VSG (V); E6, E7, and E6HP subunits (E6 and E7); and BiPNHP (B) are indicated on the left of the appropriate panels. Immature, mature, and hypermodified species are indicated as superscripts (i, m, and h). Mobilities of molecular mass markers are on the right (kDa). All samples were run and imaged in consecutive lanes of the same gel and then digitally separated for clearer labeling/presentation. All mobilities are directly comparable between panels; dashed lines allow easy comparison of E6 and E6HP glycoforms. B, corresponding cartoons of the various reporters to highlight the differences between each. VSG is a homodimer with large N-terminal domains and smaller membrane-proximal domains. TfR is a heterodimer of E6 and E7, both of which have similar folds to the VSG N-terminal domain. E6HP and BiPNHP have the same C-terminal fusion of the HA tag and the EP procyclin GPI attachment sequence (HP, black). The net difference between E6 and E6HP is 4–7 residues upstream of the GPI anchor. Reactivity of the mature GPI structures with TL and ECL are indicated (+/-). VSG21 reactivity is based on published structural data (37), and all others are from presented experimental data.

cessing of the GPI anchor consistent with the addition of limited galactose residues as per Ref. 28 (discussed below). However, five observations indicate that the larger part of this processing is the addition of LacNAc repeats to paucimannose oligosaccharides in the Golgi. First, the time-dependent increase in size is blocked by tunicamycin, indicating processing of N-glycans. Second, radiolabeled mature E6 is strongly reactive with both TL and ECL, indicating the presence of multiple LacNAc units. Third, silencing of TbSTT3A, the OST responsible for attachment of paucimannose N-glycans, limits conversion of immature to mature E6. Fourth, exoglycosidase digestion reduces the size of mature E6 to that of immature. Finally, lectin blotting of affinity-purified steady-state TfR confirms reactivity of mature E6 with both TL and ECL. Collectively, these results provide compelling evidence that paucimannose N-glycans on E6, and to a lesser extent E7, are modified during intracellular transport by the addition of multiple LacNAc residues.

Our results conflict with the prior structural studies of Mehlert et al. (29), but we feel that several factors may contribute to this seeming contradiction. First, careful examination of the blotting data (Figs. 2 and S1 of Ref. 29) actually reveals a similar pattern of lectin reactivity. Using affinity-purified steady-state TfR, anti-TfR detected both small (immature) and large (mature) steady-state E6 species in an approximately equal ratio, concanavalin A also strongly detected both species, and both ECL and ricin detected the large species. The latter results were admittedly weak and might have been discounted by the authors. However, TL reactivity was completely negative. Overall then, excepting TL, these results are actually quite consistent with our own. A second factor may be in the glycan structural methodology, which relied on high performance TLC and Dionex ion-exchange chromatography of [3H]borohydride-labeled N-glycans (Figs. 3 and S3 of Ref. 29). In the first case, glycans with multiple LacNAc units will not leave the origin and thus will be excluded from consideration. Likewise in the second case, such structures are unlikely to elute from the column under the conditions used. Unfortunately, we can offer no further insight into this discrepancy.

In contrast to N-glycans, our findings with GPI processing of native E6 (a slight increase in size) are fully consistent with Mehlert et al. (4–6 α-galactose sidechain residues) (28). However, we did observe a small amount of GPI hypermodification that is reminiscent of the processing we have previously seen with several GPI-anchored reporters in BSF trypanosomes (33). In that work, two different monomeric GPI reporters, EPMH and BiPNHP, were subject to 7–10-kDa increases in mass during intracellular trafficking that could only be ascribed to GPI processing. BiPNHP was particularly telling, as it has no post-translational modifications other than a GPI anchor. This led us to ask whether more extensive GPI processing of the TfR GPI anchor can occur, and if so, whether steric constraints at the C terminus might influence access of GPI substrates to glycosyltransferases during transit of the Golgi. Indeed, replacing the native E6 GPI attachment signal with the HP peptide resulted in quantitative GPI processing of ∼7 kDa, in keeping with that seen originally with BiPNHP. The processed E6HP and BiPNHP GPI anchors were reactive with both TL and ECL,
indicating the addition of a poly-LacNAc side-chain structure. We made repeated attempts to degrade this structure with combined exo-β-N-acetylgalactosaminidase/exo-β-galactosidase treatment, with and without neuraminidase or α-galactosidase, without success. Nevertheless, the lectin results are compelling. Thus, the addition of just 4–7 amino acids upstream of the ω site for GPI anchor addition allows quantitative synthesis of a side chain that is likely similar to that found on the native GPI anchor of procyclin in procyclic insect stage trypanosomes (Fig. 1A).

These results strongly suggest that steric constraints do affect GPI processing, presumably by hindering (less processing) or allowing (more processing) access to glycosyltransferases during transit of the Golgi. That this occurs is supported by direct comparison of the degree of processing seen in the reporters used in this work, relative to the processing seen with endogenous VSG (Fig. 9). VSG is a homodimer and presumably has the most constrained “GPI environment” in proximity to the membrane—it is processed by the addition of side-chain galactose residues. The amount of modification (0–6 hexoses) varies, and this has been ascribed to C-terminal structural differences between different VSG classes (i.e. steric constraint) (37, 41). Native TR is a VSG-like heterodimer in which the E7 subunit is truncated. This presumably creates a more open environment around the single GPI anchor on E6, but still processing is largely restricted to the addition of variable galactose residues, albeit on the upper end of what is seen in VSG (4–6 hexose) (28). As noted above, the addition of just 4–7 residues at the GPI anchor addition site apparently releases steric constraints enough to allow quantitative production of a procyclin-like poly-LacNAc type side chain. Finally, the attachment of the HP GPI anchor peptide to BiPN, the globular N-terminal ATPase domain of the ubiquitous ER chaperone, also results in extensive GPI poly-LacNAc modification.

It is probable that the effect of steric constraint on GPI processing is also at play in procyclic trypanosomes. Ectopically expressed MITat1.4 VSG (VSG117) in procyclic parasites received a procyclin-type GPI anchor in regard to lipid arrangement (42), but GPI processing was not evident. However, when the native GPI peptide was replaced with the HP peptide, for a net gain of 15 amino acids, processing occurred consistent with the addition of a poly-LacNAc side chain (note: no lectin binding or exoglycosidase assays were performed). In another instance, a totally different VSG (AnTat11.17) was expressed in procyclins (43). It too received a procyclin-type GPI anchor and, although biosynthesis assays were not performed, exoglycosidase treatment suggested that it was processed by LacNAc addition. Presumably then, the GPI environment in AnTat11.17 is more relaxed than that in MITat1.4, leading to processing of the native protein.

Collectively, these findings suggest that the modification of glycans on secretory glycoproteins in trypanosomes is not extensively regulated by differential expression of the glycosyltransferases involved. Rather, it is other factors that take precedence. In the case of N-glycans, it is the stage-specific expression of OST isoforms with different substrate preferences (LLO and sequon), coupled with the lack of Golgi α-mannosidase II, which limits such processing to the bloodstream stage (17, 18). TbSTT3A is expressed only in BSF trypanosomes, and it has a preference for biantennary Man₉GlcNAc₂ structures that can be extended by LacNAc addition in the Golgi without prior mannose trimming. However, in the absence of TbSTT3A in procyclic trypanosomes, TbSTT3B transfers trisantennary Man₉GlcNAc₂ structures that cannot be trimmed to a form that can then be modified in the Golgi. In the case of GPI anchors, it is apparently protein steric constraint on access to constitutively expressed glycosyltransferases that regulates the extent of processing, although the rate of transit of individual reporters through the Golgi may also contribute. Steric constraint is a concept that has already been invoked in relation to the variable amount of side-chain galactose residues attached to VSGs of differing C-terminal classes expressed in BSF trypanosomes (37, 41). Our work broadens this concept to include stage-specific side-chain modifications found on GPI anchors across the trypanosome life cycle. This, however, does not rule out a contribution of stage-specific expression of glycosyltransferases to the diversity of glycoconjugates found in trypanosomes. Indeed, expression of at least one transferase critical for complex N-glycan formation, GlcNAc transferase II, is 15-fold higher in BSF than PCF trypanosomes (44). It has been estimated that there are a minimum of 38 distinct glycosidic linkages found in T. brucei (19), each requiring a unique glycosyltransferase, and it seems likely that more instances of stage-specific regulation will be found. Altogether then, this paints a rich tapestry of how diversity of glycoconjugate structure is generated in trypanosomes.

**Experimental procedures**

**Cell lines and culture**

All experiments were carried out with the bloodstream form Lister 427 strain of T. brucei brucei (MITat1.2 expressing VSG221) or the derivative SM221 cell line (45), which expresses both T7 RNA polymerase and tetracycline repressor for conditional expression. Cells were grown at 37 °C in HMI9 medium (46) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (tetracycline-free). For all experiments, cells were harvested at mid-to-late log phase (0.5–1 × 10⁹).

**Construction of reporter cell lines**

All GPI-anchored reporter proteins used in this study are shown in Fig. 1C. Generation of the BiPNHP reporter cell line has been described (33). This construct has the globular N-terminal ATPase domain from the ER molecular chaperone BiP joined in-frame with a C-terminal HA-tag:EP1 procyclin GPI signal (codons 120–145) fusion. The sequence of this “HP” segment following GPI attachment is ASYPYDVDPYASPEPG (where 5'-AS is the Nhel cloning site; HA tag underlined).

The E6HP construct was assembled in pXS6 (47) as follows (5'-3'): 5'-UTR–targeting region (nt −484 to +1; relative to the E6 ORF); hygromycin resistance cassette; β-tubulin intergenic region; EP1 procyclin signal sequence (Tb927.10.20160; nt 1–81); RNAi-resistant E6 ORF minus the native signal and GPI sequences (nt 58–1098, codons 20–366) fused in frame with the HP sequence derived from BiPNHP; and 3'-UTR–targeting region (nt 1–601; relative to E6 stop codon). All E6 segments were derived from the cloned BES1 expression site.
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(clone H25N7 (48), gift of Professor Gloria Rudenko, Imperial College). All segments were confirmed by sequencing. The resultant construct was excised with Clal/FseI for homologous replacement of the endogenous E6 gene in the active ES1 of a Tfr RNAi cell line (described previously in Refs. 34 and 49). Note that this construct was originally generated for other reasons and has been repurposed for the experiments described herein. Consequently, silencing of native Tfr was never employed. Because of the replacement of the native E6 C terminus with the C-terminal HP segment, the expressed E6HP protein following GPI addition is expected to have a longer C-terminal amino acid sequence. The Tfr GPI ω-site has not been mapped experimentally, but is predicted to be Ala-375 by the big-PI algorithm (50) (http://mendel.imp.ac.at/gpi/gpi_server.html). However, visual inspection of the E6 C-terminal sequence suggests other potential ω-sites (Gly-376, Ser-377, and Asn-378). Thus, a net increased spacing between the GPI anchor and the bulk of the E6 polypeptide of 4–7 residues is predicted in the E6HP reporter (Fig. 1D).

The TbSTT3A RNAi cell line used in this work was generated by a similar approach as in Ref. 17. An TbSTT3A dsRNA construct was generated in the pLEW100v5X:Pex11 stem loop vector (47). A 498-bp TbSTT3A target sequence (Tb927.5.890, nt 1236–1734 relative to the start codon) was amplified from T. brucei gDNA by PCR. The amplicon was sequentially inserted upstream of the Pex11 stuffer using HindIII/XhoI and then downstream in the opposite orientation using NdeI/Xhol and then downstream in the opposite orientation using NdeI/Xbal. All cloning steps were confirmed by sequencing, and the resultant plasmid was linearized with NcoI for transfection into the TbSTT3A,B,C+− cell line (single TbSTT3 locus knockout, a generous gift of Professor Mike Ferguson, University of Dundee). All transfections and clonal selections were as described (34).

Antibodies and blotting reagents

The following antibodies have been described in our prior publications (47, 49, 51): rabbit anti-VSVG221, mouse mAb anti-HA (HA7, Sigma), mouse monoclonal anti-p67. Monoclonal anti-HA1.1 (HA) was from BioLegend (San Diego, CA; formerly Covance). Rabbit anti-Tfr (BES1-specific) was a generous gift of Drs. Piet Borst and Henri Luenen (Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam). Tomato lectin-biotin (TL:Bio), E. cristagalli lectin:biotin (ECL:Bio), lactose, and chitin hydrol-yse were from Vector Laboratories (Burlingame, CA). Protein A–Sepharose (GE Healthcare) and NeutraAvidin beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used for primary immunoprecipitations and secondary pulldowns, respectively. Secondary reagents for lectin and immunoblotting blotting were IRDye800CW streptavidin and IRDye680-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE). Quantitative fluorescent signals were scanned on an Odyssey CLx Imager (LI-COR Biosciences).

Radiolabeling and immunoprecipitation

Pulse-chase metabolic radiolabeling with [35S]Met/Cys (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) was performed as described previ-ously (33, 34), with and without the lysosomal thiol protease inhibitor FMK024 (morpholinoure-a-phenylalanine-homop-nylalanine-fluoromethylketone, 20 μM; MP Biomedicals, Aurora, OH) as indicated in the figure legends. Also as indicated, cells were pretreated (1 h) with tunicamycin (300 ng/ml; Sigma) and then radiolabeled in the continued presence of FMK024 and tunicamycin. Subsequent immunoprecipitations of specific radiolabeled proteins from cell lysates were performed as described previously (33, 34). Solubilization of primary immunoprecipitations and subsequent reconstitution for secondary pulldowns with TL:Bio or ECL:Bio were performed as described (32). Specific pulse and chase times are indicated in the figure legends. Immunoprecipitates and pulldowns were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by phosphorimaging using a Typhoon FLA 9000 with ImageJ (National Institutes of Health).

Quantitative RT-PCR

TbSTT3A mRNA levels were determined using quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA was isolated from log-phase cultures using an RNaseasy Mini kit (Qiagen). RNA was treated with DNase I on-column using an RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen), and corresponding cDNA was synthesized using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad), both according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using diluted cDNAs and Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies, Inc.) with oligonucleotide pairs targeting the transcripts: TbSTT3A from nt 1668 to 1764 and TbZFP3 (Tb927.3.720) from nt 241 to 301. Amplification was performed using an Applied Biosystems StepOne Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies). For each transcript, post-amplification melting curves indicated a single dominant product. TbSTT3A RNA transcripts were normalized to the internal reference gene TbZFP3 (52). All reactions were performed in technical triplicate, and means ± S.D. for three biological replicates are presented.

Deglycosylation and exoglycosidase treatment

Enzymatic deglycosylation of Tfr using Endo H and PNGase F was performed as described previously (32). Briefly, trypanosomes were pulse-chase radiolabeled with [35S]Met/Cys, and polypeptides were immunoprecipitated with anti-Tfr. Precipitates were solubilized in 1% SDS, collected by ethanol precipitation, and then treated with the glycosidases mentioned above according to the manufacturer’s specifications (New England Biolabs, Ipswich MA). Samples were then fractionated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by phosphorimaging.

Exoglycosidase treatment of p67 and Tfr N-glycans by exo-β1-4-galactosidase and exo-β1–2,3,4,6-N-acetylglucosaminidase (New England Biolabs) was done using a modified version of the protocol described above. After precipitation with ethanol, the pellets were solubilized in 10 μl of 0.5% SDS, 40 mM DTT and heated at 95 °C for 10 min. The denatured glycoproteins were chilled on ice and microcentrifuged for 10 s. The supernatants were used as substrates for the exoglycosidase treatment. The substrates were mock-treated or not in a 50-μl final volume of 5 mM CaCl2, 50 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.5, 8 units of exo-β1–2,3,4,6-N-acetylglucosaminidase, and 16 units of exo-β1–4-galactosidase.
at 37 °C for 16 h. Samples were then fractionated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by phosphorimaging.

**Affinity purification of TFR**

TFR was affinity-purified with transferrin-beads using a methodology similar to that of Mehler et al. (29). BSF cells were harvested, washed, and lysed at 5 × 10^5 cells/ml in TEN buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA) containing 1% Nonidet P-40 and protease inhibitor mixture (33). Lysates were incubated at (37 °C, 10 min) to allow generation of soluble form TFR by GPI hydrolysis and then clarified by centrifugation. Holo-transferrin (Sigma-Aldrich) was coupled to Amino-Link™ beads according to the manufacturer’s directions (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The lysate was mixed with TF-beads (1 ml of lysate, 600 μl of 75% slurry, 4 °C, overnight). After washing, bound TFR was eluted twice using 300 μl of 100 mM glycine, pH 2.5, and rapidly neutralized with 40 μl of 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. The pooled eluates were concentrated to 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. The pooled eluates were concentrated to 100 mM glycine, pH 2.5, and rapidly neutralized with 40 μl of 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. The pooled eluates were concentrated to 100 mM glycine, pH 2.5, and rapidly neutralized with 40 μl of 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. The pooled eluates were concentrated to 100 mM glycine, pH 2.5, and rapidly neutralized with 40 μl of 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5.
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