Natural Pigments Production by Local Bacterial Isolates for Use as Antibacterial and Antioxidant
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Abstract

Among 46 local bacterial isolates capable synthetized pigments, 26% produced extracellular of blue green and fluorescent whereas 74% produced intra-cellular pigments of red, brown, yellow, orange, and rose. Seven isolates were selected as a potential candidate for the synthesis of pigments. They were Pseudomonas fluorescens, P. aeruginosa, Serratia marcescens, Azotobacter chroococcum, Micrococcus kristinae, M. luteus, and M. roseus after their morphological and biochemical studies. They capable to produce fluorescent, blue green, red, brown, orange, yellow, and rose with maximum absorbance at 400, 520, 530, 320, 440, 460, and 470 nm, with an optical density of 0.62, 1.42, 1.35, 1.11, 0.45, 0.98, and 0.40, respectively. Antibacterial activity of pigments was studied against 7 bacterial pathogenic strains namely P. aeruginosa ATCC27853, Escherichia coli 0157h7 ATCC25922, Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC00607, Salmonella typhimurium ATCC25566, Listeria monocytogenes ATCC19115, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC29737, and Bacillus cereus ATCC33018. The last tested strain behaved high significant (p≤ 0.05) sensitivity for blue green, fluorescent, brown, and rose pigments while, L. monocytogenes ATCC19115 was more susceptible for red, and yellow pigments and S. aureus ATCC29737 had more inhibited by orange pigment. These bacterial pigments seemed to have antioxidant activity which inhibited the formation of diphenyl-2-picylhydrazyl radicals with percentage ranged from 40% to 80%. It could therefore be stated that the bacterial pigments tested had a broad impact on foodborne pathogens and had an antioxidant effect.
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1 Introduction

The word pigment originated in Latin and initially referred to a coloured substance but was later extended to mean coloured objects such as cosmetics. The word was also used at the beginning of the Middle Ages to describe various plant and vegetable extracts, particularly those used as food colourants (Ullmann 1985). Pigments are chemical compounds from the visual region that absorb light. The colour generated is due to the chromophore, a molecular structure that absorbs the sun's energy and causes electron excitation from the outer orbital to the upper orbital, where the non-absorbed energy is refracted or reflected such that the eye can catch it (Cristea and Vilarem 2006). Many pigmented compounds
contain either N or O, all of which are mostly comparatively large molecular weight molecules (Mw) of 200 (anthraquinones), 300 (anthocyanidins), 400 (betalaine), 500 (carotenoids) and 800 for chlorophylls (Hendry and Houghton 1996). Biological pigments can be divided into six main structural groups, including tetrapyrroles, tetraterpenoids, quinines, O-heterocyclics, N-heterocyclics and metalloproteins.

Pigments are extracted from biological materials such as plants, animals, and microbes as a source of natural pigments. These natural colours are usually derived from fruits, vegetables, seeds, roots, and microorganisms and are also referred to as bio-colours owing to their biological origin. Benefits of natural pigments include health and safety aspects of natural colouring: while all natural colours are not 100% healthy, they are less harmful than their synthetic counterparts. Many natural colouring agents, such as turmeric, annatto and saffron, are allowed as food additives. Several natural colourants have pharmacological effects and potential health benefits. Natural pigments are cost effective and made from sustainable materials. There are no issues with recycling since they are biodegradable. Almost no or moderate reactions are involved in their preparation. They are unsophisticated and are in accordance with nature. A wide spectrum of colours can be achieved using separate mordants (Gürses et al 2016). A wide variety of pigments such as carotenoids, melanin, violacein, prodigiosin, pyocyanin, actinorhodin, and zeaxanthin are produced by fungi, algae, actinomycetes, and bacteria (Ahmad et al 2012; Venil et al 2014). Among the microbial pigment-producing organisms, bacteria provide some advantages based on their versatility, quick life cycle and easy propagation techniques. Examples of bacterial pigment-producing species include *Flavobacterium* sp. which produces yellow pigment zeaxanthin, *Agrobacterium auranticum* (pink-red pigment, astaxanthin), *Micrococcus* sp. and *Serratia marcescens* (red pigment), *Micrococcus* sp. and *Xanthomonas* sp. (yellow pigment) *Planococcus maritimus* (orange pigment, carotenoids) and *Chromobacterium* sp. (violet pigment) have been reported by Chaudhari and Jobanputra (2013); Selvi and Iyer (2018) and Joshi et al (2019).

It has been observed that microbial pigments have an antimicrobial activity on some food pathogens and microorganisms that spoil food. Both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and fungi are prevented by microbial pigments (Türkkan 2007). Pigments such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pyocyanine and pyorubin have shown significant antibacterial property against *Citrobacter* sp., which is usually associated with urinary tract and wound infections. (Narsing Rao et al 2017). The pigment had a high antioxidant potential and defended the bacteria against oxidative damage. Microbial pigments used as antioxidants may inhibit the development of some illnesses, such as cancer and heart failure (Correa-Llantén et al 2012; Manimala and Murugesan 2014; Arivizhivendhan et al 2018).

The goal of the current study was to obtain extra- and intra-cellular pigments synthesizing bacterial isolates and to assess bacterial pigments as antibacterial and antioxidant agents.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Sample collection

Various samples collected from various sources of soil (from El-Sharqia and El-Qalyubia governorates), food (pickle water, luncheon, whey and yoghurt) obtained from the local market, and air collected from the Agricultural Microbiology Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. These samples were collected in sterile plastic bottles and placed in an ice box all the time they were delivered to the laboratory and kept at 4°C until they were used.
2.2 Media used

Glucose agar and broth media were used for isolation and preservation of the bacterial cultures and were prepared according to DIFCO (1984). They consist (g/L) of: 10, glucose; 5, peptone; 3, beef extract; 15, agar, and the pH was adjusted to 7.

King’s B medium (King et al 1954) was used for isolation and phenotypic identification of Pseudomonas spp. It was composed (g/L) of 20, proteose peptone; 1.5, K₂HPO₄; 1.5, MgSO₄·7H₂O; 10 ml, glycerol; 15, agar, and then the pH was adjusted to 7.2.

Ashby’s mannitol agar (Subba Rao 1977) was used for isolation and phenotypic identification of Azotobacter sp. It was composed (g/L) of 20, mannitol; 0.2, K₂HPO₄; 0.2, MgSO₄; 0.2, NaCl; 0.1, K₂SO₄; 5, CaCO₃; 15, agar and final pH was 7.4±0.2 (at 25°C).

MacConkey agar medium (OXOID CM0115) used in the cultivation of pathogenic bacteria of Escherichia coli 0157h7 ATCC25922, Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC00607, and Salmonella typhimurium ATCC25566. The weight of the medium powder (51.5 g) was suspended in 1000 ml of distilled water and boiled for full dissolving. The medium was then dispensed in flasks and sterilized at 121°C by autoclaving for 15 min.

Nutrient agar medium (DIFCO 1984) used for cultivation of other tested pathogenic bacteria.

2.3 Pathogenic bacterial strains

Seven strains namely, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC27853, Escherichia coli 0157h7 ATCC25922, Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC00607, Salmonella typhimurium ATCC25566, Listeria monocytogenes ATCC19115, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC29737, and Bacillus cereus ATCC33018 were collected from the Agric. Microbiol. Lab., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt.

2.4 Isolation, purification and maintenance of pigments producing bacteria

The collected samples were used to isolate bacteria producing pigments using glucose agar media and King’s B medium according to the serial plate procedure, 10 g either food or soil (luncheon and yoghurt), and 10 ml of liquid samples (pickle and whey) were suspended individually in 90 ml sterilized water, mixed well for 10 min to obtain serial dilutions from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁸. These suspensions, 1 ml of these diluted suspensions were spread on glucose agar medium and King’s B medium then incubated for 48 h (Sinha et al 2017). The purified cultures of pigment producing bacterial isolates were maintained on slants of glucose agar medium at 4°C in refrigerator until used.

2.5 Identification of the most efficient bacterial isolates

The most efficient bacterial isolates in intra-cellular and extracellular pigments production were completely identified up to species based on their morphological, cultural, and physiological features based to the keys proposed by Holt et al (1994).

2.6 Batch fermentation process

The fermentation process was performed out in plugged Erlenmeyer flasks (250 ml), each including medium glucose broth (100 ml) and inoculated with an inoculum size of 4% (10⁸ colony forming unit (CFU) / ml standard inoculum) for the tested bacterial isolates and were incubated at 37°C for 48 h in a rotary shaker (150 rpm). The fermented medium was separated by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 15 min and the supernatant was used for the assessment of extracellular pigment and pellets for the assessment of intra-cellular pigments as shown below.
2.7 Intra-cellular pigment extraction and determination

Cells (pellets) were obtained from fermented medium by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 15 minutes and washed 3 times with distilled water and then re-suspended in ethyl acetate solvent. The mixture was vortexed, then the suspension was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 minutes and the supernatant was collected. Absorption of the derived pigments was measured by UV-visible spectrophotometer (Unico-UV 2100) (Pore et al 2016).

2.8 UV–Visible Spectrophotometer

Synthesized pigments (supernatant containing extracellular or intra-cellular derived from pellets) were measured by UV-Vis spectral (Unico-UV 2100) at a wavelength of 300-700 nm (Sinha et al 2017) against distilled water or ethyl acetate solvent as blank for extracellular pigments, respectively. Thus, the absorption maxima have been measured.

2.9 Antibacterial activity

The antibacterial efficacy of the pigments was evaluated against seven bacterial pathogens (*P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *S. typhimurium*, *L. monocytogenes*, *S. aureus* and *B. cereus*) as recommended by Waghela and Khan (2018) and express as diameter of inhibition zone using well diffusion agar.

2.10 Antioxidant activity

The antioxidant potential of the extracted pigment was assessed by the diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazile (DPPH) scavenging activity technique as mentioned by Devyani et al (2017). Two hundred microliters of pigment extract were mixed with 2 ml DPPH (0.02%) and incubated in a dark position for 30 minutes. Absorption was estimated at 517 nm by spectrophotometer (Unico-UV 2100), methanol was used as a blank and controls were also retained. The potential to scavenge DPPH radicals was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{DPPH scavenging impact (\%)} = \frac{A_0 - A_1}{A_0} \times 100.
\]

Where, \(A_0\): absorbance of the control reaction and \(A_1\): absorbance of the sample.

2.11 Data statistical analysis

Data were statistically analyzed using IBM® SPSS® Statistics software (2017) according to Duncan’s, (1955) at 5% level.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Isolation of pigments producing bacterial isolates

The distribution number and percentage of total bacteria produced pigments collected from different sources have been shown in Fig (1). A up to a total of 46 bacterial isolates were collected and the widely distributed number of isolates were 23 isolates collected from soil, 5 isolates isolated from air, and 18 isolates (3, 7, 3, and 5 isolates) obtained from food (pickle water, luncheon, whey and yoghurt), respectively. Whereas the percentage distribution of isolates was 50, 11, and 39 % represented in samples collected from soil, air and food, respectively (Fig 1). Bacteria forming pigments were common and available in numerous biological areas, like as soil, rhizospheric soil, desert sand, fresh water, and aquatic samples (Franks et al 2005; Peix et al 2005 Zhu et al 2007; Asker et al 2008; Liu et al 2009).

Among 46 local bacterial isolates capable synthetized pigments, 26% produced extracellular of blue green and fluorescent whereas 94% produced intra-cellular pigments of red, brown, yellow, orange, and rose (Tables 1 and 2).

3.2 Production of pigments by bacterial isolates

This experiment was performed to find the most effective pigment-producing isolates. The data seen in Figs 2 and 3 clearly showed
that the extra-and intra-cellular pigment density produced by bacterial isolates was expressed as OD. In Fig 2, the extracellular pigment intensity of both fluorescence and blue-green pigments displayed optimum absorbance with a spectrophotometer at wavelengths of 400 nm and 520 nm respectively.

The highest significant \((p \leq 0.05)\) fluorescence and blue green pigment (OD) values were noted by SF2 and SG1 isolates being 0.62 and 1.42, respectively. Whereas SF1 and SG6 isolates were reported for the minimum production of fluorescence (0.46) and blue green (0.41) pigments, respectively.

Also, in case of intra-cellular pigments, the maximum absorption of the red, brown, orange, yellow, and rose colours was recorded at 530 nm, 250 nm, 460 nm, and 470 nm, respectively.

Data in Fig 3 indicated that the maximum significant density (OD) of red, brown, orange, yellow, and rose colours produced by isolates LRe6, SB1, AO2, WY12, and WRo5 were 1.35, 1.11, 0.45, 0.98, and 0.40, respectively. Whereas the lowest pigments density values of red (0.05), brown (0.68), orange (0.24), yellow (0.13), and rose (0.17) were obtained by YRe7, SB3, LO3, LY9, and ARo3, respectively.

In addition, it could be stated that the most effective isolates SF2, SG1, LRe6, SB1, AO2, WY12 and WRo5 for different pigment production were selected for sequential studies.

### 3.3 Determine the phenotype of the most efficient bacterial isolates producing pigments

The most efficient pigment producing isolates were completely identified up to species according to phenotypic (cultural, morphology, and physicochemical) characteristics according to the keys proposed by Holt et al. 1994. Both isolates produced blue green and fluorescent pigments are belonging to the genus Pseudomonas. For identification of pseudomonas isolates (SG1) and (SF2) their morphological and physiological characteristics were studied. Data showed that their characterized as short rods, Gram negative, motile, starch hydrolyses negative, lipase positive, gelatin liquefaction positive, and produced blue green pigment at 30°C, and fluorescent pigment at 5°C. Therefore, the experimental isolates (SG1) and (SF2) were identified as pseudomonas aeruginosa and pseudomonas fluorescences, respectively.

The brown pigment producing isolate (SB1) was completely classified as Azotobacter chroococcum which appeared as coccoid, cells are usually single but may occur in pairs, Gram negative, motile, aerobic, produced water-soluble pigment, and peroxidase.

The red pigment producing isolate (LRe6) was completely classified as Serratia marcescens which appeared as rod-shaped, Gram-negative bacteria, facultative anaerobe, motile, grow in temperatures ranging from 5–40°C, and in pH levels ranging from 5 to 9 and presence of NaCl 0-4%, catalase positive, and reduced nitrates.

The yellow pigment producing isolate (WY12) was classified as Micrococcus luteus which appeared as gram positive cocci, smooth colonies with regular edge, nonmotile, endospores are not formed, catalase and oxidase positive, growth on nutrient agar with 7.5 % NaCl, and growth on inorganic nitrogen agar.

The rose pigment producing isolate (WRo5) was classified as Micrococcus roseus which appeared as gram positive cocci, smooth colonies with regular edge, nonmotile, endospores are not formed, catalase and oxidase positive, produced acid from glucose, not growth on nutrient agar with 7.5 % NaCl, and not growth on inorganic nitrogen agar.

The orange pigment producing isolate (AO2) was detected by Micrococcus kristinae which appeared as gram positive cocci, smooth colonies with regular edge, nonmotile, endospores are not formed, catalase and oxidase positive, produced acid from glucose, growth on nutrient agar with 7.5 % NaCl, and not growth on inorganic nitrogen agar.
Fig 1. Distribution percentage of pigments producing bacterial isolates collected from various sources.

Table 1. Percentage number and morphological characters of bacterial isolates capable of producing extracellular pigments

| Pigments colour | Bacterial isolates | Morphological characteristics |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
|                 | No. | Percentage (%) | Gram stain | Shape |
|                 |     |                | G+ve | G-ve | Rod | Cocci |
| Blue green      | 10  | 22             | -    | +    | +    | -     |
| Fluorescent     | 2   | 4              | -    | +    | +    | -     |

- No.= Number, G+ve = Gram positive, and G-ve = Gram negative.

Table 2. Percentage number and morphological characters of bacterial isolates capable of producing intracellular pigments

| Pigments colour | Bacterial isolates | Morphological characteristics |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
|                 | No. | Percentage (%) | Gram stain | Shape |
|                 |     |                | G+ve | G-ve | Rod | Cocci |
| Red             | 7   | 15             | -    | +    | +    | -     |
| Brown           | 3   | 7              | -    | +    | -    | +     |
| Yellow          | 13  | 28             | +    | -    | -    | +     |
| Rose            | 8   | 17             | +    | -    | -    | +     |
| Orange          | 3   | 7              | +    | -    | -    | +     |

- No.= Number, G+ve = Gram positive, and G-ve = Gram negative.
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Fig 2. Extra-cellular pigments fluorescence (A), and blue green (B) production by bacterial isolates

- $^{a,b}$ Values above columns followed by the different letters are significantly difference according to Duncan's ($p \leq 0.05$).
Fig 3. Intra-cellular pigments production by bacterial isolates. (A) Red colour, (B) Brown colour, (C) Orange colour, (D) Yellow colour, and (E) Rose colour

- Values above columns followed by the different letters are significantly different according to Duncan’s (p≤ 0.05).

3.4 Antibacterial activity of pigments extracted from bacterial isolates against some pathogenic bacteria

Prodigiosins, a class of natural red pigments identified by a specific skeleton of pyrrolypyrromethane, are formed by different bacteria that were first described by S. marcescens (Khanafari et al 2006). In addition, Selvi and Iyer 2018 have identified natural colour pigments from bacteria, i.e. yellow (Xanthomonas sp.), orange (Sarcina sp.), and pink-red (Rhodotorula sp.).

In the present investigation, the antibacterial activity of 7 pigments (blue green, fluorescent, red, brown, orange, rose and yellow) extracted from P. aeruginosa (SG1), P. fluorescens (SF2), S. marcescens (LRe6), A. chroococcum (SB1), M. kristinae (AO2), M.
roseus (WRo5), and M. luteus (WY12) respectively were tested against pathogenic bacterial strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC27853, *Escherichia coli* 0157h7 ATCC25922, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC00607, *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC25566, *Listeria monocytogenes* ATCC19115, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC29737, and *Bacillus cereus* ATCC33018. Data in *Table 3* exhibited that both blue green and fluorescent extra-cellular pigment produced from *P. aeruginosa* (SG1), and *P. fluorescens* (SF2) inhibited the growth of all the tested pathogenic bacteria with spectrum activity of 100%. *Bacillus cereus* ATCC33018 was more significant (p≤ 0.05) sensitivity to both blue green and fluorescent pigments which recorded 21 and 26 mm of inhibition zone diameter (IZD). The fluorescent pigment gave higher efficacy against pathogenic bacteria than blue green pigment. The Inhibition zone diameter ranged from 6 to 16 mm against the tested pathogenic bacteria (*P. aeruginosa* ATCC27853, *E. coli* 0157h7 ATCC25922, *K. pneumoniae* ATCC00607, *S. typhimurium* ATCC25566, *L. monocytogenes* ATCC19115, *Staph. aureus* ATCC29737 and *B. cereus* ATCC33018) Whereas the blue green pigment gave inhibition zone ranged from 5 to 6 mm.

Results represented in *Table 3* also indicated that all intra-cellular pigments were achieved 100% spectrum activity against all the tested pathogenic bacteria expect red and orange pigments were 85.7 and 42.9 % of spectrum activity, respectively. *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC25566 was resistant to red pigment extracted from *S. marcescens*, and four strains namely, *K. pneumoniae* ATCC00607, *S. typhimurium* ATCC25566, *L. monocytogenes* ATCC19115, and *B. cereus* ATCC33018 were resistant to orange pigment produced from *M. kristinae*. The antibacterial activity of red pigment toward *L. monocytogenes* ATCC19115 had more significant (p≤ 0.05) with IZD of 11 mm. Brown pigment produced from *A. chroococcum* gave significant IZD for *Staph. Aureus* ATCC29737 and *B. cereus* ATCC33018 being 23 mm. Whereas the pigments produced from *Micrococcus* spp. show antibacterial activity; orange pigment achieved significant activity against *E. coli* 0157h7 ATCC25922 being 21 mm of IZD. Rose pigment recorded high IZD against *K. pneumoniae* ATCC00607, and *B. cereus* ATCC33018 being 13 mm. Yellow pigment registered large significant diameter zone against three strains of *S. typhimurium* ATCC25566, *L. monocytogenes* ATCC19115, and *Staph. aureus* ATCC29737 being 11 mm.

The *M. luteus* KF532949 pigments had shown positive antibacterial effect against relevant pathogens such as *Staphylococcus* sp., *Klebsiella* sp., and *Pseudomonas* sp. (Umadevi and Krishnaveni 2013). Prodigiosin had antibacterial activity at a concentration of 10 g/ml against six chosen food-borne pathogenic strains, such as *E. coli* (0.6 cm), *B. cereus* (0.6 cm), *Staph. aureus* (0.6 cm), *C. botulinum* (0.7 cm), *V. vulnificus* (0.2 cm), and *S. enteritidis* (0.5 cm) have been reported by Arivizhivendhan et al (2018). Selvi and Iyer (2018) reported that the highest inhibition zone of the carotenoid pigments was 13.5 mm of against *Staphylococcus* sp. as well as 12.5 mm against *E. coli*. Koyyati et al (2019) indicated that bacterial pigments isolated from two novel strains of *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* showed effective antibacterial activity in contrast to ampicillin against gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria.

From above result, it could be observed that the different bacterial pigments had antibacterial activity toward some gram positive and negative bacteria.

### 3.5 Antioxidant activity

The antioxidant potential of bacterial synthesizing extra- and intra-cellular pigments was studied by estimating free radicals scavenging assay. Data in *Fig 4* showed that the percentage inhibition of formation of Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radicals with the tested pigments was ranged from 40 to 80%. The scavenging activities of DPPH exerted by both extra-cellular pigments of fluorescence, and blue green showed 60 %, and 70 % inhibition.
Whereas DPPH scavenging activities exerted by intra-cellular pigments of rose, orange, red, brown and yellow gave 40, 50, 65, 72, and 80% inhibition, respectively. The yellow pigment extracted from *M. luteus* (WY12) was more significant scavenging activity of DPPH followed by both blue green and red pigments extracted from *P. aeruginosa* (SG1), and *S. marcescens* (LRe6). So, it could be stated that the tested bacterial pigments had antioxidant effect.

Pigments such as xanthomonad displayed antioxidant function and photo damage safety (Tuli et al 2015). At the 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 g/ml concentration of prodigiosin, the scavenging activity of prodigiosin was observed to be 25, 51, 74, 92, and 99%, respectively (Arivizhivendhan et al 2018). *In vitro* antioxidant effectiveness of bacterial pigments (extracellular) and bacterial extracts (intra-cellular) has been assessed by DPPH assays. More radical scavenging behaviour was seen by the A2 and Rp KRPR02 pigments. The percent inhibition of DPPH radical for pigment A2 (82.74 ± 4.49) and bacterial extract of Rp KRPR02 bacteria (83.33 ± 5.46) is almost identical to the usual percentage inhibition of DPPH radical (ascorbic acid), which is 96.41 ± 1.81 (Koyyati et al 2019).

| Pigments | IZD of Pathogenic Bacteria (mm) | Spectrum activity (%) |
|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
|          |                                 |                       |
|          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Extra-cellular | | | | | | | |
| Blue green | 6b | 5b | 5b | 5b | 6b | 5b | 21a |
| Fluorescent | 6de | 6c | 9cd | 11c | 16b | 6c | 26a |
| Intra-cellular | | | | | | | |
| Red | 3c | 6bc | 6bc | .d | 11a | 6b | 6bc | 85.7 |
| Brown | 8cd | 10c | 13b | 6d | 13b | 23a | 23a | 100 |
| Orange | 3c | 21a | .d | .d | .d | 13b | .d | 42.9 |
| Rose | 8b | 9b | 13a | 8b | 3c | 6bc | 13a | 100 |
| Yellow | 3d | 3d | 5c | 11a | 11b | 11b | 6c | 100 |

- IZD= Inhibition zone diameter, mm= milli meter.
- original zone diameter= 0.7 mm
- 1- *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC27853, 2- *Escherichia coli* 0157h7 ATCC25922, 3- *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC05607, 4- *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC25566, 5- *Listeria monocytogenes* ATCC19115, 6- *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC29737, and 7- *Bacillus cereus* ATCC33018. Spectrum activity = Number of sensitivity pathogenic × 100.
- a,b Values with different superscripts within a row are significantly difference as described by Duncan’s (1955) at p≤ 0.05.

Table 3. Impact of extra and intracellular bacterial pigments on pathogenic bacteria expressed as IZD and their spectrum activity
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Fig. 4. The percentage inhibition of Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging activity of pigments.

- *a, b* Values above columns followed by the different letters are significantly different according to Duncan’s (*p* ≤ 0.05).

4 Conclusions

In this work, pigments are produced by 46 local bacterial isolates obtained from soil, air, and food. Twelve and thirty-six isolates produced extracellular and intracellular pigments, respectively. Of the 46 isolates, 7 isolates had a high production of pigments and were classified as *P. fluorescence*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. marcescens*, *A. chroococcum*, *M. kristinae*, *M. luteus*, and *M. roseus* for the production of fluorescent, blue green, red, brown, orange, yellow, and rose colours, respectively. These pigments were extracted and used as a strong antibacterial agent against some gram positive and negative bacteria and as free radicals scavenging of DPPH.
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الموجز
من بين 46 عزلة بكتيرية محلية قادرة على إنتاج صبغات مخلقة، 26% أنتجت صبغات خارجية من اللون الأخضر المزرق والفلوريسنت بينما 74% أنتجت صبغات داخلية من اللون الأحمر والبني والأصفر والبرتقالي والوردي. وقد تم اختيار سبع عزلات محتملة مرشحة لتخليق الصبغات. هم Pseudomonas، P. aeruginosa، Staphylococcus aureus، Serratia، Azotobacter، Micrococcus kristinae، Micrococcus luteus و M. roseus بعد دراسة الشكل المورفولوجي والخصائص الكيميائية. لهم القدرة على إنتاج الصبغات الفلوريسنت والخضراء والتمور والبنية والبرتقالي والصفراء والأحمر والوردي بأقصى امتصاص 400، 520، 530، 320، 440، 460 و 470 نانومتر و بكثافة بصرية 0.40، 0.45، 0.98، 1.11، 1.35، 1.42، 0.40، 0.45، 0.98، 1.11، 1.35، 1.42. كما تم دراسة الصبغات كنشاط مضاد للبكتيريا ضد 7 سلالات ممرضة.