Level spacing statistics in a randomly-inhomogeneous acoustic waveguide
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Dynamics of a randomly-perturbed quantum system with 3/2-degrees of freedom is considered. We introduce a transfer operator being the quantum analogue of the specific Poincaré map. This map was proposed in (Makarov, Uleysky, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 2006) for exploring domains of finite-time stability, which survive under random excitation. Our attention is concentrated on level spacing distribution of the transfer operator, averaged over ensemble of realizations. The problem of level sequence becomes non-universal due to large number of nearly-degenerate levels whose contribution grows with time. Occurrence of nearly-degenerate levels is attributed to bifurcations of classical periodic orbits, caused by fast spatial oscillations of the random perturbation.
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Manifestations of classical chaos in quantum motion are one of the most intriguing fields in the quantum theory. It is recognized that quantum behavior qualitatively depends on whether the classical counterpart is regular or chaotic. Regular classical motion typically corresponds to laminar and coherent quantum evolution. In contrast, chaos in the classical limit gives rise to diffusive wavepacket spreading, fast decoherence, and irregular nodal patterns of eigenfunctions. In quantum spectra, classical chaos infers repulsion of the nearest energy levels and Wigner-like statistics of level spacings, as opposed to Poissonian statistics in the integrable case. Thus, level spacing distribution is a good indicator of chaotic manifestations into quantum motion. Hamiltonian systems usually have mixed phase space with the coexistence of regular and chaotic domains. In the semiclassical limit, level sequences contributed from regular and chaotic domains are independent, and spacing distribution obeys the Berry-Robnik law, deviating from it as quantum corrections grow.

If the quantum system is subjected to a random perturbation, it is reasonable to expect purely chaotic diffusive behavior due to the absence of stable domains. However, if we deal with finite-time evolution, there can be phase space domains where Lyapunov stability and coherent motion still survive. They can be found by computing distribution of finite-time Lyapunov exponents in phase space, or finding eigenfunctions of the classical transfer operator. In this Letter we consider the method based on the construction of the specific Poincaré map for a typical realization of the random force. We describe briefly the main scenarios of global chaos and examine how they are reflected on the quantum level. In particular, we introduce the quantum version of the specific Poincaré map, and study how its spectral statistics depends on the length of the time interval. It is shown that this dependence can be non-trivial when classical chaos is caused by cascade of periodic orbit bifurcations. Sound propagation in an oceanic waveguide is considered as an example.

We begin with the classical level. Consider the Hamiltonian of a unit-mass particle

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2} + U(q) + \varepsilon V(q)\xi(q,t),$$

where \( p \) and \( q \) are momentum and position, respectively, \( U(q) \) is an unperturbed potential, \( \varepsilon \ll 1 \), \( V(q) \) is some smooth function, and \( \xi(q,t) \) is a zero-mean random function. Assume that \( \xi \) is differentiable, stationary, and normalized as \( \langle \xi \rangle^2 = 1 \). If we consider a single realization of \( \xi \), we can regard it as a deterministic function with a broad temporal spectrum. According to the specific Poincaré map, one can seek for those sets in phase space that transform to themselves without mixing in the course of evolution from \( t = t_0 \) to \( t = t_0 + \tau \). Such sets correspond to trajectories that stable by Lyapunov within the interval \([t_0 : t_0 + \tau] \). They can be found using the map

$$p_{i+1} = p(t_0 + \tau|p_i, q_i), \quad q_{i+1} = q(t_0 + \tau|p_i, q_i),$$
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where \( p(t_0 + \tau | p_1, q_1) \) and \( q(t_0 + \tau | p_1, q_1) \) are the solutions of the Hamiltonian equations at \( t = t_0 + \tau \) with initial conditions \( p(t_0) = p_1, q(t_0) = q_1 \). It means that values of \( p \) and \( q \) on the \( i \)-th step of mapping become the initial conditions for the \( i + 1 \)-th step. After the time shift \( t' = t - t_0 \), the specific Poincaré map (2) becomes equivalent to the usual Poincaré map corresponding to the Hamiltonian

\[
\tilde{H} = \frac{p^2}{2} + U(q) + \epsilon V(q) \tilde{\xi}(q, t')
\]

(3)

where \( \tilde{\xi}(t') \) is periodic function being a train of identical pieces of \( \xi(t) \)

\[
\tilde{\xi}(q, t' + n\tau) = \xi(q, t'), \quad 0 \leq t' \leq \tau,
\]

(4)

with \( n \) being an integer. Thus, we replace the original Hamiltonian by a periodically-driven one. This replacement is justified by the restriction to examine evolution only within the interval \([t_0 : t_0 + \tau]\). The main property of the map (2): each point of a continuous closed invariant set of the map (2) corresponds to an initial point of a trajectory that is stable by Lyapunov within the interval \([t_0 : t_0 + \tau]\). The map (2) provides a sufficient but not necessary criterion of stability.

There can be two different scenarios of chaos onset for the map (2), depending on whether \( \tilde{\xi} \) varies with \( q \) slowly or fastly. For slow variations, transition to global chaos can be described by means of overlapping of resonances \([11, 12]\). It is convenient to transform variables \( p - q \) to the action-angle variables \( I - \vartheta \) \([12]\). Resonances are determined by the condition

\[
m\tau = nT(I = I_{res}),
\]

(5)

where \( m \) and \( n \) are integers, and \( T \) is a period of unperturbed oscillations. Strength of a resonance can be quantified by the modulo of the Fourier-amplitude

\[
H_{m,n} = \frac{1}{2\pi\tau} \int_{\vartheta=0}^{2\pi} \int_{t'=0}^{\tau} V\tilde{\xi} e^{-i(m\vartheta+n\nu t')} \, d\vartheta dt',
\]

(6)

where \( \nu = 2\pi/\tau \). It is natural to expect that \(|H_{m,n}| \) decays, on average, with \( m \) and \( n \), therefore, only some finite set of dominant resonances \([5]\) should be taken into account. The case of \( \tau \ll T \) corresponds to high-frequency driving when all resonances are weak and can be neglected. Then the Hamiltonian \([3]\) can be reduced to a time-independent and integrable one using the averaging method \([13]\). In the limit \( \tau \gg T \) a distance between neighboring resonances \([5]\) scales as \( \tau^{-1} \), and they eventually overlap completely, i. e., motion becomes fully chaotic according to the Chirikov’s criterion. However, small islands of stability can persist even on timescales large compared with \( T \) \([9, 10]\).

Fast oscillations of \( \tilde{\xi} \) with \( q \) (and, thus, with \( \vartheta \)) lead to a more complicated scenario. In this case the integration over \( \vartheta \) in (6) can be carried out using the stationary phase techniques. The respective stationary phase condition can be easily derived if we substitute \( \tilde{\xi} = \Upsilon \exp(i\alpha) + c. c. \), where \( \Upsilon \) is a slowly-varying term, into (6). Then neglecting the slow dependencies of \( V \) and \( \Upsilon \) on \( \vartheta \), we obtain the desired condition \( \partial\alpha/\partial\vartheta \pm m = 0 \). If this condition is fulfilled simultaneously with vanishing \( \partial^2\alpha/\partial\vartheta^2 \) (the second derivative of the phase in (6)), certain resonances (5) are enhanced singularly, as well as the corresponding derivatives \( \partial H_{m,n}/\partial I \) and \( \partial^2 H_{m,n}/\partial I^2 \). These derivatives quantify perturbation-induced variations of the frequency, and their enhancement can cause violation of nondegeneracy, i. e. leads to nonmonotonic dependence of frequency of oscillations on the action. This phenomenon is closely linked to the occurrence of nonstationary wavelike distortions of the potential, caused by the perturbation imposed. These distortions can scatter classical trajectories making them chaotic. As one considers how classical dynamics changes with increasing \( \varepsilon \), the nondegeneracy violation in vicinities of resonances (5) corresponds to multiplication of fixed points due to the cascade of pitchfork and saddle-center bifurcations. As a result, there arise clusters of splitted orbits. If the bifurcations appear, global chaos can emerge even for low \( \tau \). As \( \tau \) increases, the number of orbits undergoing bifurcations grows. A more detailed description of this scenario can be found in \([14, 15]\).

One of the most important properties of the specific Poincaré map is that area of the overall regular domain weakly depends on a realization of \( \tilde{\xi} \), albeit details of a phase space pattern are typically different. This circumstance validates the usage of such a quasideterministic map for studying randomly-driven systems. A more comprehensive analysis of the specific Poincaré map can be found in \([14]\). In \([14]\), the specific Poincaré map was used in the presence of dissipation.

Following the analogy with the specific Poincaré map, one can introduce a quantum transfer operator \( \tilde{F} \) which describes evolution of the quantum state between time instants \( t_0 \) and \( t_0 + \tau \) under a perturbation involving a particular realization of the random function \( \xi(t) \)

\[
\tilde{F}\Phi(q, t_0 + \tau) = \Phi(q, t_0).
\]

(7)
It is analogous to the Floquet operator corresponding to a classical Hamiltonian \([3]\). One can define eigenstates and eigenvalues of the operator \(\hat{F}\) by means of the equation

\[
\hat{F}\Phi_m(q, t_0 + \tau) = e^{-i\epsilon_m/\hbar}\Phi_m(q, t_0),
\]

where quantity \(\epsilon_m\) plays the role of quasienergy. In the semiclassical limit \(\hbar \to 0\), quantum behavior described by the operator \(\hat{F}\) is consistent with the classical map \([2]\). So, one should expect some signatures of the transient stable domains in the spectrum of \(\hat{F}\). We can introduce ensemble-averaged level spacing distribution

\[
\rho(s, \tau) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_n(s, \tau),
\]

where \(s\) is a difference between two neighboring values of quasienergy, \(P_n(s, \tau)\) is a level spacing distribution for \(\hat{F}\) calculated with \(n\)-th realization of \(\xi(q, t)\). \(\rho(s, \tau)\) can serve as a representative characteristics describing quantum evolution within the temporal interval of a length \(\tau\). Transformation of \(\rho(s, \tau)\) with increasing \(\tau\) should be attributed to the crossover from an initial laminar phase to intermittency, and, eventually, to ergodic diffusive behavior \([2]\).

The example we represent in this paper doesn’t relate to quantum mechanics directly but is equivalent to quantum-mechanical problems in the mathematical sense. That is long-range sound propagation in a randomly-inhomogeneous acoustic waveguide in an ocean. The waveguide occurs due to the nonmonotonic depth dependence of the sound speed, with a minimum at some depth, and maintains sound waves inside water column with weak attenuation. Wavefield is described by the standard parabolic equation

\[
\frac{i}{k_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} = \hat{H}\Phi, \quad \hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2k_0^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + U(z, r),
\]

\[
U(z, r) = \frac{1}{c_0} [\Delta c(z) + \delta c(z, r)].
\]

Here \(k_0 = 2\pi f/c_0\) is the wavenumber in the reference medium with \(c = c_0\), \(f\) is signal frequency. The parabolic equation formally coincides with the time-dependent Shrödinger equation for a unit-mass quantum particle. In this analogy, range \(r\) plays the role of the timelike variable, the inverse wavenumber \(k_0^{-1}\) serves as the Planck constant, and \(U(z, r)\) is a potential consisted of an unperturbed part \(\Delta c(z)/c_0\) and a small perturbation \(\delta c(z, r)/c_0\) caused by oceanic internal waves.

Ray trajectories obey the Hamiltonian equations

\[
\frac{dz}{dr} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \quad \frac{dp}{dr} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial z}, \quad H = \frac{p^2}{2} + U(z, r),
\]

where \(p = \tan \chi\) is the analog to mechanical momentum, \(\chi\) is a grazing angle of a sound ray. Perturbation becomes a significant factor on long ranges, inducing ray chaos \([14, 17]\). We use the following expression for \(\delta c\):

\[
\delta c(z, r) = \varepsilon c_0 \frac{z}{B} e^{-\frac{z}{c_0}} \sin \left[ \pi \left( u e^{-\frac{z}{c_0}} + \mu(r) \right) \right] \mu(r).
\]

Here \(\varepsilon\) is a small constant taken of 0.0014, \(B = 1\) km is a thermocline depth, and \(\mu(r)\) is a random function being a sum of 10000 randomly-phased harmonics with horizontal wavenumbers \(k_r\) distributed in the range from \(2\pi/100\) km\(^{-1}\) to \(2\pi/1\) km\(^{-1}\), with spectral density decaying as \(k_r^{-2}\). \(\mu\) is normalized as \(\langle \mu^2 \rangle = 1\). Despite the model \([12]\) is artificial and idealized, it maintains the main properties of realistic internal-wave fields, which are responsible for sound scattering, such as the presence of vertical oscillations with a depth-dependent wavenumber. Rate of these oscillations depends on the parameter \(u\). We consider two cases: \(u = 5\) (moderate oscillations) and \(u = 20\) (fast oscillations). Unperturbed sound-speed profile is taken in the form \([14]\)

\[
\Delta c(z) = \frac{c_0 h^2}{2} \left( e^{-az} - \eta \right)^2, \quad 0 \leq z \leq h,
\]

where \(h = 4.0\) km is the depth of the ocean bottom, \(a = 0.5\) km\(^{-1}\), \(\eta = 0.6065\), \(c_0 = 1480\) m/s. Ray cycle length (the analogue of the period \(T\)) in the unperturbed waveguide varies from 37 km to 55 km. Fig. \([1]\) represents examples of Poincaré plots. In the case of \(u = 5\), the Chirikov’s scenario prevails. Area of the regular domain slowly decreases with increasing \(\tau\), and small islands of stability survive for the values of \(\tau\) of hundreds kilometers. When \(u = 20\), the scenario of bifurcation-induced chaos dominates, and all islands of stability cease completely already for \(\tau = 30\) km (see Fig. \([1]\)d).
In order to examine how peculiarities of classical ray dynamics reveal themselves with finite wavelengths, we solve numerically the parabolic equation (10) with different realizations of $\mu$, and then construct the respective operators $\hat{F}$ in the basis of eigenfunctions of the unperturbed Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$. Reflections off the ocean surface $z = 0$ are fairly modeled using the Dirichlet boundary condition $\Phi = 0$. Here we are mainly interested in nearly-horizontal propagation, so sound absorption at the bottom can be disregarded, and the bottom is treated as the horizontal perfectly-reflecting rigid wall with the Neumann boundary condition $d\Phi/dz = 0$. We calculated $\rho(s, \tau)$ for $\tau$ ranging from 5 to 500 km. Number of realizations of $\xi$ decreased with increasing $\tau$ from 1000 to 10 due to the limitations of computational facilities. For each realization, the number of levels taken into account is 200 for $f = 200$ Hz, and 600 for $f = 600$ Hz. The dependence $\rho(s)$ obtained with each $\tau$ is fitted to the Brody distribution

$$P_B(s) = (\beta + 1) A_\beta s^\beta \exp(-A_\beta s^{\beta+1}),$$

where $A_\beta = [\Gamma((\beta + 2)/(\beta + 1))]^{\beta+1}$, and $\Gamma$ is the Euler gamma function. The cases $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ correspond to Poissonian and Wigner distributions, respectively. Figure shows how the parameter $\beta$ corresponding to the best fit.
depends on $\tau$. For $f = 200$ Hz, $\beta(\tau)$ reveals the crossover from Poissonian to Wigner-like statistics. The fluctuations of $\beta$ indicate on the fact that, according to the Gutzwiller theory [18], spectrum of the operator $\hat{F}$ depends on the spectrum of periodic orbits of the map (2), which varies with $\tau$, and these variations infer some weak nonmonotonicity in the behavior of $\beta$. The growth is slower for $u = 5$ due to the presence of long-living regular domains (see Fig. 1(b)). For $u = 20$, $\beta$ increases slower than it is expected by the specific Poincaré map. This can be regarded as a manifestation of dynamical localization [1, 5]. For $f = 600$ Hz and $u = 20$ the behavior is different: $\beta$ increases rapidly for low $\tau$ and then, for $\tau > 150$ km, decreases. Fig. 3 shows that $\beta$ decreases due to the growth of the number of nearly-degenerate levels. This phenomenon can be thought of as the consequence of large fluctuations of spectral density, caused by periodic orbit bifurcations [19]. This effect is not seen with $f = 200$ Hz because the majority of bifurcated orbits appear poorly isolated and don’t give individual contributions into spectrum. For $f = 600$ Hz and $u = 5$ the influence of bifurcations is much less pronounced, therefore, $\beta$ grows until $\tau \simeq 400$ km, and only then starts decreasing (not shown).

In summary, we calculated level spacing distribution for the operator which is the quantum analogue of the specific Poincaré map and describes evolution of a randomly-driven quantum system between two time instants. If global classical chaos emerges solely according to the Chirikov’s scenario, one expects the transition from Poissonian to Wigner-like statistics with increasing the length of the time interval. As an example, we considered guided sound propagation in the ocean, when fast depth oscillations of the sound-speed perturbation give rise to cascade of periodic orbit bifurcations. As a result, there occur large non-universal spectral fluctuations, and level spacing distribution for high acoustic frequencies doesn’t exhibit the transition from Poissonian to Wigner-like statistics, despite of the onset of ergodic chaos. However, this transition is recovered for smaller acoustic frequencies due to the wave-based suppression of bifurcation influence. We suppose that transformation of $\rho(s)$ with $\tau$ can efficiently describe quantum decoherence in systems subjected to temporal noise, when Chirikov’s scenario should dominate.
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