Evaluation of ALDH1 expression in ipsilateral breast cancer recurrence
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Abstract. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1) is a cancer stem cell (CSC) marker that is easily evaluable. The expression and clinical significance of ALDH1 in ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence (IBTR) has yet to be investigated. In the present study, the expression profile of ALDH1 and its correlation with prognosis in IBTR tissues was examined. Patients with IBTR from eight institutions were retrospectively enrolled in the study. Immunohistochemistry was used to examine ALDH1 expression patterns in the tissue specimens of primary cancers and IBTRs. ALDH1 expression levels were investigated in 182 IBTR tumors, which included cases of invasive carcinoma selected from 271 consecutive patients with IBTR. ALDH1 was expressed in 23% of the IBTR tissue samples. The rate of concordant expression between primary cancer and IBTR tissues was 68%. There was no significant association between disease-free survival (DFS) and ALDH1 expression levels in IBTR. IBTRs that expressed ALDH1 and Ki-67 had a poorer prognosis and this expression pattern was significantly associated with DFS (P=0.0073). The percentages of ALDH1 positive expression in each tissue subtype were as follows: Luminal A, 20%; luminal B, 24%; human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2), 35%; triple-negative, 21%. There was a significant correlation between DFS and ALDH1 expression levels in HER2-type IBTR tissue specimens (P=0.034). In conclusion, it is possible that ALDH1 and Ki-67 expression levels may be useful for predicting prognosis in patients with HER2-type tumors.

Introduction

Breast conserving surgery (BCS) is a standard procedure for the treatment of early-stage breast cancer (1). It has been demonstrated in previous studies that the 10-year overall survival (OS) rate of patients treated with BCS (65%) is similar to that of those treated with mastectomy (66%) (1,2); however, there is a higher risk of ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence (IBTR) following BCS (3). As IBTR is associated with an increased risk of distant disease and mortality, adjuvant radiation therapy of the residual breast tissue following BCS is often required to decrease the risk of IBTR (3). Furthermore, adjuvant hormone therapy and chemotherapy, which are used for the majority of patients, may prevent IBTR (3); however, a considerable number of IBTR cases are diagnosed following BCS administered with an adjuvant therapy (4,5).

The risk factors for developing IBTR have yet to be determined, and further studies of the tumor biology of IBTR are required to identify tumor characteristics that may be used in the selection of an appropriate treatment strategy. A previous
study revealed a significant difference in distant disease-free survival following IBTR depending on the subtype of breast cancer tissues present, as determined by immunohistochemical staining (6). In particular, increased or persistently high Ki-67 expression levels in cases of IBTR were significantly associated with a poorer prognosis (7).

A predictive prognostic factor that has gained significant interest in association with breast cancer is the presence of cancer stem cells (CSCs). CSCs have self-renewal and multi-lineage differentiation capacities and are frequently resistant to conventional anti-cancer drug and radiation therapies (8). The presence of CSCs may be an important factor in cases of IBTR occurring subsequent to BCS and adjuvant therapy. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no previous studies that have discussed the presence of CSCs within IBTR tissues. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1) is a marker of CSCs (9) that may be easily evaluated in primary breast cancer tissues and is a potentially useful prognostic factor. In the current study, the expression levels of ALDH1 in the primary lesion and in IBTR tissues were investigated, and their association with other clinicopathological factors and the prognostic impact of ALDH1 in IBTR was determined.

Materials and methods

Patients and samples. A total of 271 consecutive patients with histologically diagnosed IBTR without distant metastases, who underwent definitive surgery for IBTR between 1989 and 2008, were recruited from eight institutions (Okayama University Hospital, Okayama; The Cancer Institute Hospital of the Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, Tokyo; Kumamoto City Hospital, Kumamoto National Hospital Organization; Osaka National Hospital, Osaka; St. Luke's International Hospital, Tokyo; Osaka Medical Center for Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases, Osaka; Osaka Medical College, Osaka; Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto) in Japan. Each institution's review board approved this retrospective study. The inclusion criteria for were as follows: i) The patient was undergoing BCS and axillary surgery (sentinel lymph node biopsy was only permitted if the nodes had no metastasis); ii) IBTR was histologically determined; and iii) the patient was undergoing definitive surgery for IBTR at a time prior to the year 2008. The exclusion criteria were as follows: i) Presence of synchronous metastases (defined as occurring within three months); ii) bilateral breast cancer; iii) history of prior malignancies other than breast cancer; and iv) presence of tumors located in the skin or muscle only, without associated parenchymal disease. Of the 271 IBTR cases, 182 met the criteria and were included in the present study, which investigated the frequency and prognostic impact of the ALDH1 expression profile in IBTR and primary breast cancer tissue.

Immunohistological examination. Estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PgR) status were determined by immunohistochemistry (IHC) (7), and those tumors with ≥10% positively stained tumor cells were classified as being positive for ER. Tissue samples were considered positive for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) if scored as 3+, or if fluorescence in situ hybridization identified a HER2/chromosome 17 ratio of >2.0 (7). The ER and HER2 status in each tissue sample were evaluated independently in each institution. Proliferation activity was assessed by immunostaining with the Ki-67 antibody (clone MIB-1, cat. no., M7240; dilution, 1:100; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) using an autostainer (Benchmark XT; Ventana Medical Systems, Inc., Tucson, AZ, USA). Ki-67 staining was centrally evaluated by one pathologist (Kumamoto City Hospital) who was blinded to the clinical data. The proportion of proliferating cells was determined by counting ≥500 tumor cells in hot spots, defined as having a dense concentration of positive cancer nuclei in each tumor according to a previously described protocol (10,11). Breast cancer tissues were classified using the IHC results, according to a previously described protocol (6), into the following subtypes: Triple-negative (ER-, PgR- and HER2-negative); HER2 (HER2-positive, ER- and PgR-negative); luminal A (ER- and/or PgR-positive, HER2-negative and Ki-67 ≤15%); and luminal B (ER-positive, HER2-negative and Ki-67 ≥15%, or ER-positive and HER2-positive).

IHC was used to evaluate the ALDH1 expression levels in the surgical tissue specimens of primary cancer and IBTR cases that were identified to be invasive carcinomas. The antibody used was anti-ALDH1 (clone 44; #611195; dilution, 1:250; BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Imaging analysis of ALDH1 expression in the breast tumor tissues was performed using fluorescence microscopy with one selected high-power field (magnification, 400x; Olympus BX53; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) per case, as the immunoreactivity of ALDH-1 was approximately homogeneous with the results from a previous study (12-14). The percentage of ALDH1-positive cells was determined as described in previous studies (12-14), in which the tumor specimens were classified into positive and negative groups based on the IHC staining for ALDH1, with positive defined as >1% of tumor cells exhibiting positive staining. Representative results of IHC staining for ALDH1 in breast cancer tissues are presented in Fig. 1.

Statistical analysis. Disease-free survival (DFS) was calculated as the duration from the time of initial surgery for IBTR to the diagnosis of a recurrence. Differences in clinicopathological data were compared using the χ2 test. For comparison between patients with recurrent disease and the recurrence-free patients, the odds ratios for differing variables were assessed by applying a logistic regression model for univariate and multivariate analyses. Survival curves were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and the log-rank test was used to evaluate the statistical significance of the differences in survival among the patient subgroups. Variations between overall survival curves were determined using a log-rank test. For univariate and multivariate analyses, the Cox regression method was used to evaluate the influence of the variables on survival. All of the data were analyzed with the use of JMP version 11.0.0 statistical software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Patient characteristics. Patient clinical characteristics are presented in Table I. A total of 271 patients were registered
for the current study, of which 182 IBTR tissue specimens were examined for the expression of ALDH1. The median ages of the patients at the time of initial breast surgery and surgery for IBTR were 46 years (range, 26‑84 years) and 51 years (range, 29‑88 years), respectively. In the primary tumor tissues, the proportions of cells that were positive for ER, PgR and HER2 were 55, 46 and 11%, respectively; these values were 62, 43 and 22% in the IBTR tissue samples. Following primary surgery, 10% of patients exhibited a positive surgical margin. Adjuvant radiation therapy was administered to 51% of the patients. The median duration from initial surgery to a diagnosis of IBTR was 46 months (range, 2‑206 months).

**Table I. Clinical characteristics of the patients.**

| Characteristic                   | Primary tumor | IBTR  |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------|
| Age, years; median (range)      | 46 (26‑84)    | 51 (29‑88) |
| Median primary tumor size, cm (range) | 2 (0‑6.8)   | -    |
| ER, n (%)                       |               |       |
| Positive                        | 101 (55)      | 113 (62) |
| Negative                        | 64 (35)       | 69 (38)  |
| Unknown                         | 17 (9)        | -     |
| PgR, n (%)                      |               |       |
| Positive                        | 83 (46)       | 78 (43)  |
| Negative                        | 69 (38)       | 104 (57) |
| Unknown                         | 30 (16)       | -     |
| HER2, n (%)                     |               |       |
| Positive                        | 20 (11)       | 40 (22)  |
| Negative                        | 96 (53)       | 142 (78) |
| Unknown                         | 66 (36)       | -     |
| Ki-67, n (%)                    |               |       |
| ≥15%                            | -             | 109 (60) |
| <15%                            | -             | 73 (40)  |

**Table II. Expression profile of ALDH1 in breast cancer.**

| ALDH1 expression | Primary tumor, n (%) | IBTR, n (%) |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| 0                | 114 (63)             | 139 (76)    |
| 1+               | 29 (16)              | 30 (16)     |
| 2+               | 4 (2)                | 7 (4)       |
| 3+               | 4 (2)                | 6 (3)       |
| Unknown          | 31 (17)              | 0 (0)       |

ALDH1, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1; IBTR, ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence; 1+, 1‑5% positively stained cells; 2+, 6‑10% positively stained cells; 3+, >10% positively stained cells.

**Table III. Concordance of ALDH1 expression in primary tumor and IBTR tissues.**

| ALDH1 expression in IBTR | ALDH1 expression in primary tumor, n (%) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Negative                 | 92 (61)                                 |
| Positive                 | 26 (17)                                 |

ALDH1, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1; IBTR, ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence.

**Correlation between ALDH1/Ki-67 expression and disease-free survival.** There was no significant association observed between the rate of DFS following IBTR and ALDH1 expression status in IBTR tissues (Fig. 2); however, patients who exhibited ALDH1-positive tissues in the primary tumor and the IBTR had the poorest DFS (Fig. 3). Multivariate analysis revealed that Ki-67 ≥15% (odds ratio, 2.31; P=0.003) and ER-positive status (odds ratio, 0.48; P=0.027) were statistically significant predictive factors for DFS following IBTR (Table IV); however, ALDH1 did not have prognostic value (P=0.513).

According to ALDH1 and Ki-67 statuses, the tissue samples were further subdivided (Fig. 4). The ALDH1-negative patients with low Ki-67 expression (<15%) had typically better outcomes compared with those patients exhibiting high Ki-67 expression levels (≥15%). However, there were no significant
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Table IV. Multivariate analysis using the Cox proportional hazards model to identify predictors of disease-free survival.

| Variable          | Odds ratio | 95% CI       | P-value* |
|-------------------|------------|--------------|----------|
| ALDH1-positive    | 1.21       | 0.676–2.065  | 0.513    |
| Ki-67 ≥15%        | 2.31       | 1.320–4.260  | 0.003    |
| ER-positive       | 0.48       | 0.233–0.920  | 0.027    |
| PgR-positive      | 0.93       | 0.457–1.959  | 0.846    |
| HER2-positive     | 0.78       | 0.410–1.430  | 0.436    |

CI, confidence interval; ALDH1, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1; ER, estrogen receptor; PgR, progesterone receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.

Table V. Expression of ALDH1 according to ER and HER2 status in cases of ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence.

| Category          | Total cases, n | ALDH1-positive cases, n (%) | P-value* |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------|
| ER                |                |                            | 0.54     |
| Positive          | 113            | 25 (22)                    |          |
| Negative          | 69             | 18 (26)                    |          |
| PgR               |                |                            | 0.84     |
| Positive          | 104            | 24 (23)                    |          |
| Negative          | 78             | 19 (24)                    |          |
| HER2              |                |                            | 0.29     |
| Positive          | 40             | 12 (30)                    |          |
| Negative          | 142            | 31 (22)                    |          |
| Ki-67 ≥15%        | 109            | 30 (28)                    | 0.14     |
| <15%              | 73             | 13 (18)                    |          |
| Subtype           |                |                            | 0.52     |
| Luminal A         | 51             | 10 (20)                    |          |
| Luminal B         | 62             | 15 (24)                    |          |
| HER2 type         |                |                            |          |
| HER2 type         | 26             | 9 (35)                     |          |
| Triple negative   | 43             | 9 (21)                     |          |

P-values were calculated using univariate analysis. *ER and/or PgR-positive, HER2-negative and Ki-67 ≤14%; †ER and/or PgR-positive, HER2-negative and Ki-67 ≥15%, or ER and/or PgR-positive and HER2-positive; ‡ER and PgR-negative and HER2-positive; §ER and PgR-negative and HER2-negative. ALDH1, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1; ER, estrogen receptor; PgR, progesterone receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; IBTR, ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence.

Figure 1. Evaluation of ALDH1 expression. ALDH1 expression was examined by immunohistochemistry in the surgical specimens obtained during the treatment of primary breast cancer and ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence, which were invasive carcinoma tissues. Images show representative ALDH1 immunostaining results in the breast cancer tissues: (A) Negative; (B) 1+ (1.5% positive cells); (C) 2+ (5–10% positive cells); (D) 3+ (>10% positive cells). ALDH1, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1.

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curves revealing the correlation between ALDH1 expression in IBTR tissue and DFS following IBTR. There was no significant association between the DFS following IBTR and ALDH1 expression in IBTR tissues. ALDH1, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1; IBTR, ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence; DFS, disease-free survival.

ALDH1 expression according to subtype. When classified according to ER, HER2 and Ki-67 expression profiles, the subtype distribution of IBTR was as follows: Luminal A, 51 patients (28%); luminal B, 62 patients (34%); HER2, 26 patients (14%); and triple-negative, 43 patients (24%). The proportions of each of the subtypes that were positive for ALDH1 were as follows: Luminal A, 20%; luminal B, 24%; HER2, 35%; and triple-negative, 21% (Table V). The proportions of patients that received adjuvant drug therapy following IBTR for luminal A, luminal B, HER2 and triple-negative types were 93, 92, 58 and 56%, respectively. A total of 7 patients (27%) with the HER2 subtype received trastuzumab following IBTR surgery.

There was no significant correlation observed between ER, PgR, HER2 or Ki-67 expression status and ALDH1 expression status, or between ALDH1 and the specific tissue subtype (Table V). Furthermore, there was no significant association between the administration of adjuvant therapy following primary surgery and ALDH1 expression levels in IBTR tissues (Table VI).

When the prognosis was analyzed with regard to ALDH1 expression and tissue subtype (Fig. 5A-D), the expression status of ALDH1 in IBTR tissues of a HER2 subtype was observed to be a significant prognostic factor for DFS (P=0.034; Fig. 5C).
There was no significant difference observed in the rates of adjuvant trastuzumab administration between the ALDH1-positive and -negative groups (ALDH1-positive, 25%; ALDH1-negative, 16%).

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to investigate the frequency and prognostic value of ALDH1 expression in IBTR tissues. The cut-off level for the classification of positive ALDH1 expression was set at >1% in the current study, as there is no standardized cut-off level or method currently available. The ALDH1 positive cells were counted in hot spots, and positive ALDH1 expression was detected in 20% of the primary tumor samples and 23% of the IBTR tissue samples. The frequency of patients with ALDH1-expressing primary breast cancer (20%) in the current study was similar to that observed in previous studies (19, 17.5%) (10,11); however, the ALDH1 expression the in IBTR group (23%) was higher. It was hypothesized that this variation was due to the dissimilarities between the IBTR and primary tumors. IBTRs included new primary tumors and true recurrences following adjuvant therapy. Therefore, ALDH1-positive cancer stem cells within true recurrence were able to survive following adjuvant systemic and radiation therapy and may be not similar to the cancer cells within the primary tumor. A previous study revealed that the frequency of ALDH1 positive cells in metastatic tumors is relatively high compared with primary tumors (14). This discrepancy may be due to there being no definitive ALDH1 cut-off level; the cut-off level (>1%) used for the present study was lower than the value used in previous reports (12-14). The total number of IBTR tissue samples used in the current study was relatively low, compared with previous reports that analyzed ALDH1 expression in primary breast tumors. Therefore, the associations between ALDH1 expression, tumor subtypes and clinical data could not be analyzed.

A similar expression rate for ALDH1 was observed in the primary tumor and IBTR tissue specimens. The concordance rate between ALDH1 expression and DFS following IBTR was 68%. It was previously reported that variation in the ER, HER2 and Ki-67 statuses between the primary tumors and IBTR tissues was observed in 16.2, 13.7 and 36.8% of cases, respectively (7). The discordance rates for ALDH1 expression and Ki-67 status were higher, compared with ER, PgR and HER2 in our previous study (14). A number of previous studies have evaluated the variations between primary tumors and distant metastases and presented similar results to those observed in the current study (12,15,16). The discordance rates for the expression of ER, HER2, Ki-67 and ALDH1 between primary breast tumor tissues and lung metastases were 0, 21, 43 and 50%, respectively (14), and the corresponding rates for primary breast tumor tissue and axillary lymph node metastases were 12.5, 17.5, 22.5 and 42.5% (12), respectively. It was hypothesized that a reason for the variation in the expression of these specific biomarkers

Table VI. Association between adjuvant therapy administered following the primary surgery and ALDH1 expression levels in IBTR tissues.

| Category | ALDH1-positive cases, n (%) | P-value |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------|
| RT       |                             | 0.21    |
| +        | 19 (21)                     |         |
| -        | 24 (27)                     |         |
| CT and/or HT |                        | 0.73    |
| +        | 34 (25)                     |         |
| -        | 7 (20)                      |         |

P-values were calculated using the χ² test. ALDH1, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1; IBTR, ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence; RT, adjuvant radiation therapy; CT, adjuvant chemotherapy including molecular targeted therapy; HT, adjuvant hormone therapy.
may be the exposure of metastatic tumor tissues to adjuvant systemic chemotherapy or radiation therapy (17).

Tanei et al (18) identified a difference in ALDH1 expression levels in breast tumor tissues prior to and following neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC). Although the association between adjuvant therapy and ALDH1 expression levels in IBTR tissues was investigated in the current study, no significant correlation was demonstrated. However, as the current study was retrospective, further evaluation may be required. The present study also included a mixture of patient tissue samples consisting of ‘true IBTR’ and ‘new primary tumors’; new primary tumors are not expected to have any correlation with the first primary tumor (19-21). The timing of diagnosis of IBTR was distinct from that of the diagnosis following NAC. The post-NAC surgery was performed immediately following the end of systemic therapy. However, the duration between the administration of systemic therapies and time of surgery for IBTR were not homogeneous. There were many cancer stem cells present in tissue specimens following NAC administration, as they are resistant to systemic therapy (18). Therefore, ALDH1 expression levels in IBTR may be varied according to the timing of surgery following systemic therapy.

In previous reports, ALDH1 expression in primary breast tumors was identified to be an independent predictor of early tumor relapse of invasive ductal carcinoma (22), particularly in patients with triple-negative breast cancer (23,24). In the present study, no significant correlation was observed between ALDH1 expression in primary tumors or IBTR tissues, and the prognosis of patients with IBTR. However, the results suggested that low Ki-67 and high ER expression levels in IBTR tissues were significantly associated with improved prognosis. Furthermore, the expression levels of Ki-67 and ALDH1 in IBTR tissues were determined to be significant predictors of poor prognosis and a short DFS time.

Subgroup analysis identified no significant correlation between the tumor subtype and ALDH1 expression levels; however, the expression levels of ALDH1 were significantly associated with prognosis in HER2-positive IBTR, possibly due to HER2-positive IBTR tissue including numerous new primary tumors. In the current study, the rate of HER2 expression in IBTRs (22%) was higher than that in primary tumors (11%), and 54% of primary tumors in HER2-type IBTR tissues were diagnosed as HER2-negative or unknown. Adjuvant trastuzumab therapy was administered to three patients. Adjuvant trastuzumab following primary surgery is recommended for patients with HER2-type breast cancer (25); however, no prospective studies have been conducted to examine the efficacy of adjuvant trastuzumab for IBTR. A total of 74% of the patients with HER2-type IBTR did not receive trastuzumab. A number of patients with luminal A or B subtypes of breast cancer received adjuvant hormonal therapy. Our previous study reported that the IBTR tumor subtype is more important in predicting treatment outcomes than the subtype of the primary tumor (6). There was no significant difference in the proportions of patients that received adjuvant trastuzumab treatment between cases of ALDH1-positive and ALDH1-negative IBTR.
in the present study, therefore, trastuzumab may reduce
the variation in disease free survival between patients with
ALDH1-positive and ALDH1-negative IBTR.
In the present study, only tissue specimens from patients
with IBTR were evaluated and no control specimens from
breast cancer without IBTR were included. Therefore, a
comparative analysis of patients with and without IBTR is
required in future studies. Further studies are required to
evaluate the value of ALDH1 expression as a predictor for IBTR.
It is possible that ALDH1 expression levels in primary tumors
are not a significant predictor of IBTR, as it was identified that
the expression levels of ALDH1 in the primary tumor tissue
samples of the patients with IBTR were not higher compared
with previous studies of patients with primary breast tumors.
Further studies are required to investigate this.

In conclusion, the expression profile of ALDH1 in IBTR
tissue specimens was retrospectively analyzed and ALDH1
was not demonstrated to be an independent prognostic factor.
However, ALDH1 expression levels may be a useful prognostic
factor in certain subgroups or when examined in combination
with other factors, including Ki67 or breast cancer subtypes.
Further studies regarding the prognostic importance of
ALDH1 expression levels in IBTR are required, with a larger
sample number and a prospective approach.
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