Chronic Prurigo patients do not report higher impulsiveness or more traumatic life experiences than chronic pruritus patients with non-lesional skin

Dear editor,

Pruritus has been defined as an irritating sensation of the skin, associated with the desire to scratch. However, there are notable clinical differences between pruritus patients: chronic prurigo (CPG) is a chronic pruritic condition associated with extensive scratching, which leads to the development of the typical pruriginous lesions.¹ In the classification of the International Forum for the Study of Itch (IFSI), CPG is therefore classified in group III ‘Chronic Pruritus with chronic scratch lesions’.² On the other hand, patients in IFSI group II have generalized pruritus with non-lesional skin, but the reasons for this difference are still poorly understood and need further elucidation.²

Up to now, the role of motor impulsiveness and less self-control, possibly resulting from traumatic life experiences,³ on scratching activity in CPG patients has not been investigated. In other chronic conditions, e.g. chronic pain, a higher prevalence of traumatic life experiences has been reported.⁴,⁵ Therefore, we intended to investigate whether impulsiveness and traumatic life experiences are more common in patients who scratch extensively (here CPG) when compared to patients with chronic pruritus (CP) on non-lesional skin.

One hundred twenty patients with chronic prurigo (IFSI group III; 60 male and 60 female) were compared to 120 patients with chronic pruritus from IFSI group II (60 male and 60 female). The patients were selected from the database of the Center of Chronic Pruritus and addressed by mail.

After informed consent, all subjects filled in questionnaires regarding sociodemographic aspects, the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire⁶ (CTQ), the Trauma History Questionnaire⁷ (THQ) and the Baratt Impulsiveness Scale⁸ (BIS). They answered seven questions regarding their scratching or skin manipulating behaviour (⁴-⁷ in Table 1) and were asked to assess their average pruritus in the last 24 h between 0 (none) and 10 (maximum pruritus) on a Visual Analogue Scale.

Chronic prurigo and CP patients did not differ significantly in sociodemographic characteristics and age. Although CPG and CP patients reported comparable itch intensity, the self-reports on scratching differed significantly between CPG and CP (Table 1).

The overall impulsiveness and most of the BIS-subscases (especially motor impulsiveness and self-control) were not significantly different between CPG and CP. Only the subscale ‘cognitive instability’ was significantly higher in CP than in CPG (Table 2). Also, there were no significant differences between chronic pruritus and chronic prurigo patients regarding the number of traumatic life experiences (Table 2).

In order to predict the membership in the CPG or CP group, we entered the previously hypothesized explaining variables BIS-motor impulsiveness, BIS-self-control, CTQ and THQ total scores into a discriminant analysis, which resulted in the following statistics: eigenvalue 0.017; canonical correlation 0.018; Wilk’s lambda 0.08; and chi-square for Wilk’s lambda 3.90; P < 0.420. These and the classification result (only 55.1% of the patients were correctly classified as CP or CPG, while the a priori probability for the subgroup membership was 50%) showed no significant contribution of the independent variables for the category CPG or CP.

As a conclusion, we found no evidence that more traumatic life events and/or higher motor impulsiveness/lower self-control
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### Table 1  Sample characteristics and characteristics of pruritus/scratching behaviour in Chronic Prurigo (CPG) and Chronic Pruritus (CP) patients

|                      | Chronic Prurigo (CPG) (n = 120) | Chronic Pruritus (CP) (n = 120) | Statistics |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| **Mean**             | **SD**                          | **Mean**                         | **SD**     | **T**  | **P** |
| **Age**              | 61.98                           | 11.3                            | 62.07      | 11.3  | -0.06 | 0.950 |
| **Average pruritus intensity in the last 24 h (Visual Analogue Scale; 0-10)** | 4.77                           | 2.8                             | 4.39       | 2.5   | 1.08  | 0.281 |

#### Sociodemographic characteristics

| Family status: married | 80 (66.7%) | 91 (75.8%) | Chi-square | df | P |
|------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|----|---|
| Education level: high school degree | 18 (15%) | 27 (22.5%) | 2.22 | 1 | 0.137 |
| Professionally working | 37 (30.8%) | 42 (35.0%) | 0.47 | 1 | 0.492 |

#### Scratching behaviour

| Behaviour                  | n | % | n | % | Chi-square | df | P |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|-------------|----|---|
| Scratch, rub etc?          | 115 | 95.8 | 100 | 83.3 | 10.05 | 1 | 0.002 |
| Remove bumps/impurities?   | 102 | 85.0 | 53 | 44.2 | 43.74 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Harm your skin till scars develop? | 105 | 87.5 | 51 | 42.5 | 53.41 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Relief by scratching?      | 94 | 78.3 | 79 | 65.8 | 4.66 | 1 | 0.031 |
| Several hours/d?           | 43 | 35.8 | 27 | 22.5 | 5.16 | 1 | 0.023 |
| Obsessive/compulsive?      | 71 | 59.2 | 41 | 34.2 | 15.07 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Social conflicts?          | 38 | 31.7 | 10 | 8.3 | 20.42 | 1 | 0.001 |

*Do you repeatedly scratch, rub, touch or sting the itching skin?*  
*Do you intend to remove small bumps or impurities by doing this?*  
*Do you harm your skin by this behaviour so that scars occur or the skin colour changes?*  
*Do you experience joy, satisfaction or relief by scratching, rubbing, touching or stinging your skin?*  
*Does this sometimes keep you occupied for several hours a day?*  
*Do you have the impression that this behaviour is obsessive/compulsive?*  
*Does this behaviour lead to anger or conflicts with your family or friends? Did it lead to problems at your working place?*

Bold indicate significant results (P-value < 0.05).

### Table 2  Impulsiveness and traumatic life experiences in Chronic Prurigo (CPG) and Chronic Pruritus (CP) patients

|                      | Chronic Prurigo Mean | Chronic Pruritus Mean | Statistics |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| **Barratt Impulsiveness scale (BIS)** | **Mean** | **SD** | **Mean** | **SD** | **T**  | **P** |
| Higher scores – higher impulsiveness | | | | | | |
| Attention (5–20)     | 10.30                | 2.6                  | 10.62      | 2.3   | -1.02 | n.s. |
| Motor impulsiveness (7–28) | 13.25 | 3.1 | 13.72 | 2.9 | -1.21 | n.s. |
| Self-control (6–24)  | 12.63                | 3.6                  | 12.22      | 3.0   | 0.049 | n.s. |
| Cognitive complexity (5–20) | 12.45 | 2.1 | 12.40 | 1.9 | 0.19 | n.s. |
| Perseverance (4–16)  | 7.25                 | 1.4                  | 7.21       | 1.5   | 0.21  | n.s. |
| Cognitive instability (3–12) | 5.25 | 1.6 | 5.94 | 1.5 | -3.48 | 0.001 |
| BIS-Sum (range 30–120) | 61.13 | 8.6 | 62.11 | 7.7 | -0.92 | n.s. |

|                      | Chronic Prurigo Mean | Chronic Pruritus Mean | Statistics |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| **Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ)** | | | |
| Emotional abuse      | 7.70                 | 3.9                  | 8.23       | 4.1   | -1.02 | n.s. |
| Physical abuse       | 6.60                 | 3.2                  | 6.62       | 2.8   | -0.05 | n.s. |
| Sexual abuse         | 5.31                 | 1.4                  | 5.28       | 1.3   | 0.19  | n.s. |
| Emotional deprivation | 10.74 | 4.6 | 10.70 | 4.7 | 0.07 | n.s. |
| Physical deprivation | 7.99                 | 2.8                  | 8.06       | 3.0   | -0.19 | n.s. |
| CTQ-Sum (range 25–125) | 38.33 | 11.8 | 38.87 | 11.6 | -0.36 | n.s. |

|                      | Chronic Prurigo Mean | Chronic Pruritus Mean | Statistics |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| **Trauma History Questionnaire (THQ)** | | | |
| Crimes (0–4)         | 0.36                 | 0.7                  | 0.47       | 0.8   | -1.09 | n.s. |
| General catastrophes and trauma (0–13) | 2.46 | 2.1 | 2.54 | 1.8 | -0.33 | n.s. |
| Physical and sexual trauma (0–6) | 0.34 | 0.7 | 0.43 | 1.0 | -0.79 | n.s. |
| THQ-Sum (range 0–23) | 3.33                 | 2.9                  | 3.63       | 2.8   | -0.83 | n.s. |
are associated with the extensive scratching in CPG and resulting in the development of the typical chronic prurigo lesions.

Whether traumatic experiences are more common in CP and/or CPG when compared to population, samples cannot be answered from our data, as our study did not include a general population control group. Also, impulsiveness was measured by a self-report scale which might not be specific enough for pruritus patients, not by objective behavioural measures. Also, the trauma history was self-reported and might be affected by inaccurate memories. On the other hand, police or trial records would be affected by the many cases which are never reported.
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**Catastrophizing thinking towards itch and pain in chronic itch patients**

Dear Editor,

Pain catastrophizing (PC) represents cognitions towards pain which encompass both appraisals which constitute that pain is horrifying, and difficulties in recruiting positive coping mechanisms.1,2 Higher PC is also associated with greater risk for chronic pain disorders.3 The pain catastrophizing scale (PCS) comprises three dimensions: rumination, magnification and helplessness; encompassing cognitive representations associated with pain.1 Similarly, itch has an inherently aversive component which may be shaped by catastrophizing thinking. In addition, there is a close interaction between itch and pain attributable to peripheral and central pain modulation processes,4,5 and perceived severity of both has been linked with negative expectations.6 The effects of catastrophizing thinking on the perception of chronic itch symptom perception however have rarely been investigated. Hence, we explored, in chronic itch patients, whether itch symptoms and pain perception are affected by psycho-cognitive parameters of catastrophizing thinking for both itch and pain. Patients (n = 59) with psoriasis, atopic dermatitis or dry skin disorders with itch of ≥4 (0–10 itch numerical rating scale) and minimum of two daily itch episodes per week were included. Itch degree was defined as the mean of itch intensity, frequency and duration. Severity of skin disease related to affected body surface area (%) and degree of disease severity. Patients completed the PCS1 and Itch catastrophizing scale (ICS), in which we replaced the word pain with itch in each of the PCS items, allowing for a recounting of patient itch symptom experience. Brief Pain Inventory (BPI) assessed intensity and interference of occasional pain. Analysis showed no difference between patients with mild or moderate/severe lesions for itch intensity, frequency or attack duration. The moderate correlation found between ICS and PCS (r = 0.500, P = 0.001) for...