Miraculous Cancellation and Pick’s Theorem.

K. E. Feldman

ABSTRACT. We show that the Cappell–Shaneson version of Pick’s theorem for simple lattice polytopes is a consequence of a general relation between characteristic numbers of virtual submanifolds dual to the characteristic classes of a stably almost complex manifold. This relation is analogous to the miraculous cancellation formula of Alvarez-Gaume and Witten, and is imposed by the action of the Landweber–Novikov algebra in the complex cobordism ring of a point.

Introduction

Studying gravitational anomalies Alvarez-Gaume and Witten [1] discovered a remarkable cancellation which they called the miraculous cancellation formula. The formula is a consequence of the following relation between Pontryagin characteristic numbers of 12-dimensional oriented manifolds:

(1) \[ L(M) = 8A(M, T) - 32\hat{A}(M), \]

where \( L(M) \) is the \( L \)-genus (the signature) of \( M \) corresponding to the power series \( L(x) = x / \tanh(x) \), \( \hat{A}(M) \) is the \( \hat{A} \)-genus of \( M \) corresponding to the power series \( A(x) = x / 2 \sinh(x/2) \), and \( A(M, T) \) is the twisted \( \hat{A} \)-genus defined by cohomology Kronecker product

\[
\left\langle \prod_j A(x_j) \left( \sum_j e^{x_j} + e^{-x_j} \right) , [M] \right\rangle
\]

\( \pm x_j \) are the formal Chern roots of \( \mathbb{C} \otimes \tau(M) \) in ordinary cohomology and \([M] \in H^{\dim M}(M, \mathbb{Q}) \) is the fundamental class.

The miraculous cancellation formula was generalized by Kefeng Liu [21] to higher dimensions with the use of modular invariance of certain elliptic operators on the loop space, it was strengthen further in [16].

Motivated by this development Buchstaber and Veselov suggested in [5] that a general formula exists which relates characteristic numbers of virtual submanifolds Poincare dual to cobordism characteristic classes of a given manifold. In particular, they found that the signatures of manifolds Poincare dual to the tangent Pontryagin
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classes with values in complex cobordism of an oriented manifold $M^{4n}$ are related as

$$L(M^{4n}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k L \left( [P^l_k (\tau(M^{4n}))] \right) = 0 \left( \text{mod} 2^{\alpha(n)} \right),$$

where $\alpha(n)$ is the number of ones in the binary expansion of the number $n$. The general formula which expresses all relations between Chern characteristic numbers of virtual submanifolds was obtained in [13].

Various applications of miraculous cancellation type formulae to the questions of divisibility of topological invariants were found in [12, 13, 16, 22]. In this paper we show that Cappell–Shaneson version [8] of Pick’s theorem is, in fact, also a consequence of a cancellation formula for the Chern characteristic numbers of virtual submanifolds.

Classical Pick’s theorem [27] states that the area of a convex polygon $P$ with all vertexes in the standard two-dimensional unit lattice $Z^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ can be expressed in terms of the number of lattice points inside the polygon as

$$\text{Area}(P) = \text{Int}(P) + \frac{\text{Bd}(P)}{2} - 1,$$

where $\text{Int}(P)$ is the number of lattice points strictly inside $P$ and $\text{Bd}(P)$ is the number of lattice points on the boundary of $P$. Numerous generalizations (see [4, 13, 17, 18, 24, 28] and references there) of this statement to higher dimensions are based on the theory of toric varieties [11]. Toric varieties are algebraic varieties naturally associated with a simple lattice polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The twisted Todd genus of a toric variety is the number of lattice points in the corresponding lattice polytope. Lack of elementary description of the Todd class results in complexity of combinatorial formulae for the number of lattice points [24, 28].

One may look at the classical Pick’s theorem from a slightly different angle. We may argue that in Pick’s theorem lattice points should be counted with different weights depending on the dimension of faces they belong to. Then for a lattice $n$-gon $P$ Pick’s theorem takes the form

$$\text{Area}(P) = \text{Int}(P) + \frac{\text{Edg}(P)}{2} + \frac{\text{Vert}(P)}{4} - \frac{4 - n}{4},$$

where $\text{Edg}(P)$ is the number of lattice points inside each edge of $P$ and $\text{Vert}(P) = n$ is the number of vertexes of $P$. Cappell and Shaneson observed that for a general simple lattice polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ the sum

$$\sharp(\text{rel.int}(P) \cap Z^n) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^k \sum_{F \subset P} \sharp \left( \text{rel.int}(F) \cap Z^n \right)$$

can be expressed in terms of the polytope algebra over the ring of infinite order constant coefficient differential operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In this paper we prove that for any stably almost complex manifold $M^{2n}$ with some fixed cohomology class $w \in H^2(M^{2n}, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\langle \exp(w) \text{Td}(M), [M] \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^k \langle \exp(w_k) \text{Td}([c_k(\tau(M))] \rangle, [c_k(\tau(M))] \rangle =$$

$$= \langle \exp(w) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{x_i/2}{\tanh(x_i/2)}, [M] \rangle,$$
where \( [c_k(\tau(M))] \) are manifolds Poincare dual to the cobordism Chern characteristic classes of \( \tau(M) \), Td is the Todd class, \( x_i \) are the tangent Chern roots of \( M \) and \( w_k \in H^2(\tau(M)) \) are the pullbacks of \( w \) under the canonical embeddings \( [c_k(\tau(M))] \subset M \times \mathbb{R}^N \).

In the case of toric varieties this formula displays a duality between cobordism invariants of a smooth toric variety and its canonical submanifolds defined by faces of the corresponding polytope. Twisted Todd genera of these submanifolds are exactly the numbers of lattice points inside the closures of the corresponding faces and the Pick’s type theorem reads as

\[
\sharp(P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \sum_{\dim F \leq k} \sharp(F \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) = \int_{M_P} \exp(w_P) \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{x_i/2}{\tanh(x_i/2)},
\]

where \( w_P \) is the Kahler class of a toric variety \( M_P \) corresponding to the simple lattice polytope \( P \), and \( x_i, i = 1, \ldots, m \), are Chern classes of the canonical line bundles corresponding to the codimension one faces of \( P \). The right hand side of (2) is a weighted analogue of the twisted signature of \( M_P \).

In lower dimensions the twisted signature can be developed explicitly. We use it for evaluation of a Pick’s type theorem for a three-dimensional example. As an intermediate step in order to compute the twisted signature we obtain a general expression for monomial Chern characteristic numbers of a smooth toric variety of any dimension in terms of primitive vectors which define codimension one faces of the polytope.

The paper is organized as follows. The first three sections give a brief account of the facts used for the proof of the miraculous cancellation type formula. All information in these sections is well known to experts and is given mainly to set up the notations. The cancellation formula itself is proved in Section 4. In Section 5 we show how the cancelation formula of Alvarez-Gaume and Witten can be deduced within the same cobordism technique. In Section 6 we state basic facts about toric manifolds and give an expression for the Gysin map in terms of the fixed point data. Finally, in Section 7 we derive Cappell–Shaneson type formula and evaluate Pick’s theorem for some low-dimensional examples.

1. Bordism Ring of Manifolds with Line Bundles

Let us consider a set of all pairs consisting of a compact stably almost complex manifold without boundary and a complex line bundle over it. We introduce an equivalence relation on this set by means of a standard construction from cobordism theory. We say that two pairs \((M^n_1, \eta_1)\) and \((M^n_2, \eta_2)\) are equivalent if there is a compact stably almost complex manifold \(W\) of dimension \(n+1\) with a complex line bundle \(\eta\) over it such that

\[
\partial W = M^n_1 \cup M^n_2, \quad \eta|_{M^n_1} \cong \eta_1, \quad \eta|_{M^n_2} \cong \eta_2,
\]

and the restrictions of the almost complex structure in the normal bundle \(\nu(W)\) of \(W\) on \(M^n_i, i = 1, 2\), coincide with \(\nu(M^n_i)\) and \(\prod_{c} \eta \oplus \nu(M^n_2)\) respectively (\([1]_c\) is the trivial complex line bundle). Where it is necessary we write \((M, \nu(M), \eta)\) instead of \((M, \eta)\) to underline the fixed (in the normal bundle) stably almost complex structure on \(M\).

The set of pairs \((M, \eta)\) factorized by the above equivalence relation, is a graded Abelian group with an addition given by a disjoint union of manifolds. The inverse
to \((M, \nu(M), \eta)\) is \((M, [1]_C \oplus \nu(M), \eta)\). Zero is the empty set. We refer to this group as \(\Omega^L_{LU}\).

We introduce a multiplication in \(\Omega^L_{LU}\) by the formula given on representatives of bordism classes:

\[
[(M_1, \eta_1)] \cdot [(M_2, \eta_2)] = [(M_1 \times M_2, \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)].
\]

Obviously, \(\Omega^L_{SU}\) equipped with such an operation becomes a commutative ring with a unit \((pt, [1]_C)\). We can easily calculate the ring \(\Omega^L_{SU}\).

**Proposition 1.** The graded Abelian group \(\Omega^L_{SU}\) is isomorphic to the complex bordism group of the infinite complex projective space:

\[
\Omega^L_{SU} \cong U_*(CP(\infty), \emptyset).
\]

Therefore, the ring \(\Omega^L_{SU}\) is the polynomial ring \(\Omega^U_1[[t]]\), where \(\Omega^U_1\) is the complex bordism ring (complex cobordism group of a point).

For any pair of topological spaces \((X, A)\) with basepoints we define the corresponding \(\Omega^L_{SU}\)-bordism group \(LU_*(X, A)\) which consists of all triples \((M, \eta, f)\), where \(M\) is a compact stably almost complex manifold with boundary, \(\eta\) is a complex line bundle over \(M\) and \(f\) is a continuous map:

\[
f : (M, \partial M) \to (X, A),
\]

factorized by the bordism relation:

\[
(M_1, \eta_1, f_1) \sim (M_2, \eta_2, f_2),
\]

if and only if there is a triple \((W, \eta, F)\), such that

\[
\partial W = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup V, \quad F : (W, V) \to (X, A),
\]

\[
F|_{M_1} = f, \quad \nu|_{M_1} = \nu_1, \quad \nu|_{M_2} = [1]_C \oplus \nu_1.
\]

Denote the Thom space of the tautological complex vector bundle \(\eta_0\) over \(BU(n)\) by \(MU(n)\). Let \(E_{2k}\), \(k = 0, 1, \ldots\), be the wedges \(CP(\infty)_+ \wedge MU(k)\), where \(X_+\) is a disjoint union of a topological space \(X\) and a point \(\{pt\}\), we will also use an identification \(X_+ = (X, 0)\). Set \(E_{2k+1} = \Sigma E_{2k}\). Define a sequence of maps:

\[
f_k : \Sigma E_k \to E_{k+1}
\]

by the formulae

\[
f_{2k} = id;
\]

\[
f_{2k+1} : \Sigma E_{2k+1} = \Sigma^2 E_{2k} = CP(\infty)_+ \wedge \Sigma^2 MU(k) \xrightarrow{id \wedge j_k} CP(\infty)_+ \wedge MU(k + 1),
\]

where \(j_k : \Sigma^2 MU(k) \to MU(k + 1)\) is the standard embedding.

There is a sequence of canonical maps \(i_n : S^n \to E_n\) which is inherited from the canonical embedding \(S^{2n} \subset MU(n)\). We also define a graded paring for any two spaces \(E_k\) and \(E_m\):

\[
\mu_{km} : E_k \wedge E_m \to E_{k+m},
\]

by the formula given on even spaces \(E_n\):

\[
\mu_{2k, 2m} : E_{2k} \wedge E_{2m} = (CP(\infty) \times CP(\infty))_+ \wedge MU(k) \wedge MU(m) \xrightarrow{\otimes \wedge i} CP(\infty)_+ \wedge MU(k + m),
\]

where \(\otimes : CP(\infty) \times CP(\infty) \to CP(\infty)\) is the classifying map for the tensor product of Hopf’s line bundles over \(CP(\infty)\), \(i : MU(k) \wedge MU(m) \to MU(k + m)\) is the canonical embedding.
The corresponding cohomology theory is multiplicative.

Assume that this manifold is equipped with a complex line bundle by the formula

\[ \rho \in [\mathcal{E}(X/A), E_{\text{struct}}] \]

Denote the embedding \( L \) normal bundle of the embedding \( L \), dim \( L = n - k \), in \( M^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \) (\( N \) is large) without boundary, equipped with a complex line bundle \( \zeta_L \) and with a fixed stable complex structure \( \nu \) in the normal bundle of the embedding \( L \subset M^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \) satisfying \( \nu(L) \cong_{\mathbb{C}} \nu \otimes \nu(M \times \mathbb{R}^N) \). Denote the embedding \( L \subset M^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \) by \( i \) and the projection \( M^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \to M^n \) by \( p \). Define a map:

\[ D : LU^*(M^n) \to LU_{n-*}(M^n) \]

by the formula

\[ D[(L, \nu, \zeta_L)] = [(L, \zeta_L \otimes i^*p^*\zeta)] \]

**Proposition 3.** The bordism theory \( LU_*(\cdot) \) coincides with the homology theory \( h_*(\cdot) \).

We denote the corresponding cobordism theory by \( LU^*(\cdot) \cong h^*(\cdot) \).

## 2. Poincaré Duality and the Euler Class

Let us consider a compact stably almost complex manifold \( M^n \) without boundary. Assume that this manifold is equipped with a complex line bundle \( \zeta \). Any element \( \alpha \in LU^k(M^n) \) can be realised as a compact stably almost complex submanifold \( L \), dim \( L = n - k \), in \( M^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \) (\( N \) is large) without boundary, equipped with a complex line bundle \( \zeta_L \) and with a fixed stable complex structure \( \nu \) in the normal bundle of the embedding \( L \subset M^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \) satisfying \( \nu(L) \cong_{\mathbb{C}} \nu \otimes \nu(M \times \mathbb{R}^N) \). Denote the embedding \( L \subset M^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \) by \( i \) and the projection \( M^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \to M^n \) by \( p \). Define a map:

\[ D : LU^*(M^n) \to LU_{n-*}(M^n) \]

by the formula

\[ D[(L, \nu, \zeta_L)] = [(L, \zeta_L \otimes i^*p^*\zeta)] \]

**Proposition 4.** For any compact stably almost complex manifold \( M^n \) equipped with a line bundle \( \zeta \) the map

\[ D = D(M^n; \zeta) : LU^*(M^n) \to LU_{n-*}(M^n) \]

is an isomorphism.

**Corollary 1.** For any compact closed stably almost complex manifold \( M^n \) equipped with a complex line bundle \( \eta \), the fundamental class of \( M^n \), i.e. \( D \)-dual to \( 1 \in LU^0(M^n) \), is

\[ [M^n, \eta] = [(M^n, id, \eta)] \in LU_n(M^n). \]

Let us consider a complex \( n \)-dimensional vector bundle \( \xi \) over a base space \( X \). Assume that \( X \) is equipped with a complex line bundle \( \zeta \).

**Definition 1.** The canonical Thom class of \((\xi, \zeta)\) is an element \( u(\xi, \zeta) \in LU^{2n}(T\xi) \) represented by the map

\[ \alpha : T\xi \xrightarrow{p \otimes \text{id}} X+ \wedge T\xi \xrightarrow{f_\zeta \otimes q_\xi} CP(\infty)_+ \wedge MU(n), \]

where \( p(x, v) = x \) is the projection outside infinity, \( f_\zeta : X \to CP(\infty) \) is the map classifying \( \zeta \), \( g_\xi : T\xi \to MU(n) \) is the map classifying \( \xi \). The homotopy class of \( \alpha \) lies in \( [T\xi, CP(\infty)_+ \wedge MU(n)] \) and, thus, it defines an element

\[ [\alpha] \in \lim_{k \to \infty} [\Sigma^{2k}T\xi, CP(\infty)_+ \wedge MU(n+k)] = LU^{2n}(T\xi); \]
Proposition 5. For a pair $(\xi, \zeta)$ of two complex vector bundles over a finite CW-complex $X$ (dim$_C \xi = n$, dim$_C \zeta = 1$) the multiplication by $u(\xi, \zeta)$

$$LU^*(X, \emptyset) \longrightarrow LU^{*+2n}(T\xi),$$

is an isomorphism.

Consider a complex $n$-dimensional vector bundle $\xi$ over a topological space $X$. Assume that $X$ is equipped with a complex line bundle $\zeta$. Denote the classifying maps for $\xi$ and $\zeta$ by $f, g$ respectively:

$$f : X \to BU(n), \quad \xi = f^*\eta_n, \quad \hat{f} : T\xi \to MU(n);$$

$$g : X \to CP(\infty), \quad \zeta = g^*\eta_1.$$  

Let $s_0 : X \to T\xi$ be the embedding onto zero section of $\xi$.

Definition 2. The Euler class of the pair $(\xi, \zeta)$ is

$$\chi(\xi, \zeta) = s_0^*u(\xi, \zeta);$$

$$\chi(\xi, \zeta) = [g \wedge (\hat{f} \circ s_0)] = \lim_{t \to -\infty}[\Sigma^{2t}(X_+), CP(\infty)_+ \wedge MU(n + t)] = LU^{2n}(X, \emptyset).$$

Once we have defined the Euler class we can continue and introduce the other characteristic classes for $(\xi, \zeta)$ following techniques from [6, 9].

Let $CG^n_k(\xi)$ be the complex grassmannization of the complex vector bundle $\xi$. Denote the canonical $k$-dimensional complex vector bundle over $CG^n_k(\xi)$ by $\xi(k)$. Let $p_k : CG^n_k(\xi) \to X$ be the projection on the base space $X$, and $\tau(p_k) : S^n \wedge X_+ \to S^n \wedge CG^n_k(\xi)_+$ be the transfer map of Becker–Gottlieb [3] for fibre bundle $(CG^n_k(\xi), CG^n_k(X, p_k))$.

Definition 3. Characteristic classes $c_{km}^{LU}(\xi, \zeta)$ with values in $LU^*(X, \emptyset)$ of $(\xi, \zeta)$ are defined by

$$c_{km}^{LU}(\xi, \zeta) = \tau(p_k)^* \{\chi(\xi(k), p_k^*\zeta^m)\} \in LU^{2k}(X, \emptyset),$$

here dim$_C \xi = n$, dim$_C \zeta = 1$.

3. The Chern-Dold character

For any multiplicative cohomology theory $h^*(\cdot)$ there is a unique multiplicative transformation

$$ch_h : h^*(\cdot) \to H^*(\cdot; h^*(pt) \otimes \mathbb{Q}),$$

such that for a point it is the identical embedding. Tensored with the rational numbers this transformation is an isomorphism of cohomology theories.

Consider a compact stably almost complex manifold $M^{2n}$ with a fixed complex structure in its normal bundle $\nu$, dim$_C \nu = m$. Let $\zeta$ be a complex line bundle over $M$. Chose $1 \in LU^0(M^{2n}, \emptyset)$. This element can be represented by a triple $(M^{2n}, [1], \zeta, id)$.

Proposition 6. Let $p : M^{2n} \to \{pt\}$ be the constant map. In the notations described above:

$$[M^{2n}, \zeta] = ch_{LU} \circ p_{LU}^* (1) = (T_{LU}(\nu), [M^{2n}]),$$

where $p_{LU}^* : LU^*(M^{2n}, \emptyset) \to LU^{*+2n}(S^0)$ is the Gysin map, $T_{LU}$ is the Todd class of the transformation $ch_{LU}$, $[M^{2n}]$ is the fundamental class of the manifold $M^{2n}$ in homology $H_*(M^{2n}; \Omega^*_{LU} \otimes \mathbb{Q})$. 
Proof. The first equality follows from Corollary 1 and the second equality is the standard consequence of the Riemann–Roch theorem.

For any compact closed stably almost complex manifold \( M^{2n} \) equipped with a complex line bundle \( \zeta \) we define normal mixed characteristic numbers:

\[
c_I(M, \zeta) = \langle c_I(\nu(M))c_{n-|I|}^1(\zeta); [M^{2n}] \rangle,
\]
where \( c_I \) is the Chern class in ordinary cohomology corresponding to the partition \( I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k) \), \( |I| = i_1 + \ldots + i_k \leq n \). It is a well-known fact that two compact closed stably almost complex manifolds \( M_1, M_2 \) equipped with complex line bundles \( \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \) respectively represent the same element in \( \Omega^*_{LU} \) if and only if they have the same mixed characteristic numbers.

Let \( S(x) \) be an infinite power series in \( x \) defined by the formula:

\[
S(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_i x)^{-1},
\]
where \( y_i, i = 1, \ldots \), are independent variables. Define a map:

\[
S_t: \Omega^*_{LU} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \Lambda_y[t],
\]

\[
S_t([\nu(M^{2n}), \zeta]) = \langle e^{-t\zeta_1(\zeta)} \prod_j S(x_j); [M^{2n}] \rangle,
\]
where \( \Lambda_y \) is the ring of symmetric polynomials over \( \mathbb{Q} \) in variables \( y_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots \), and \( x_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots \), are the Chern roots of the normal bundle \( \nu(M^{2n}) \).

Proposition 7. The map \( S_t \) is a monomorphism which induces an isomorphism

\[
S_t: \Omega^*_{LU} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \Lambda_y[t].
\]

Corollary 2. For every ring homomorphism \( \phi: \Omega^*_{LU} \to \mathbb{Q} \) there is such a rational valued specialization for elementary symmetric functions \( e_i = e_i(y_1, y_2, \ldots) \) and for variable \( t \), that \( S_t \) for this specialization coincides with \( \phi \).

Let \( S^*_t \) be the transformation

\[
H^*(\cdot; \Omega^*_{LU} \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(\cdot; \Lambda_y[t]),
\]
induced by the coefficient ring homomorphism \( S_t \).

Proposition 8. The Todd class of the composition \( \tau = S^*_t \circ ch_{LU} \) is

\[
T_\tau(x, z) = e^{-t z} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_i x)^{-1}.
\]

In this expression for a pair \( (\xi, \zeta) \) of complex bundles over the base space \( X \) (\( \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta = 1 \)) the variable \( x \) is fixed for the Chern roots in ordinary cohomology of \( \xi \), and the variable \( z \) is fixed for the first Chern class of \( \zeta \).

Let us calculate \( LU^*(CP(n), \emptyset) \). We fix the canonical line bundle \( \eta_1 \) over \( CP(n) \). Observe also that \( CP(n+1) \approx T\eta_1 \). Thus we have

Lemma 1. There is an isomorphism

\[
LU^{*+2}(CP(n+1)) \cong LU^*(CP(n), \emptyset),
\]
via multiplication by the Thom class \( u(\bar{\eta}_1, \eta_1) \).
COROLLARY 3. The ring $LU^*(CP(n), \emptyset)$ is a polynomial ring $\Omega^{LU}[u]/\{u^{n+1} = 0\}$. It is additively generated by $[[CP(k), \eta_i^{1-k}, i_k]], \ i_k : CP(k) \to CP(n), \ k = 1, \ldots, n$. The multiplication is given by the rule:

$$[[CP(k), \eta_i^{1-k}, i_k]] \cdot [[CP(m), \eta_i^{2n-m}, i_m]] = [[CP(k + m - n), \eta_i^{2n-k-m}, i_{k+m-n}]]$$

PROPOSITION 9. Via the map

$$\tau = S_t^* \circ ch_{LU} : LU^*(CP(\infty), \emptyset) \to H^*(CP(\infty); \Lambda_y[t])$$

the canonical generator $u \in LU^*(CP(\infty), \emptyset)$ goes to

$$xe^{-tx} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_i x)^{-1}$$

where $x$ is the canonical generator of $H^*(CP(\infty), \Lambda_y[t])$.

4. General Cancellation Formula

The theory $LU^*$ possesses an algebra of stable operations similar to that of Landweber–Novikov algebra in complex cobordism [19, 25]. Namely, we can combine them in one multiplicative operation which on the Thom class $u(\eta_n, \eta_1)$ of $(CP(\infty)_+) \wedge MU(n)$ takes the following value:

$$\mathcal{S}(u(\eta_n, \eta_1)) = u(\eta_n, \eta_1) \left(1 + \sum_{\lambda > 0} h_\lambda(z) m_\lambda(\eta_n)\right),$$

where $h_\lambda(z)$ is the complete symmetric function corresponding to the partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq 0)$ [23], $m_\lambda(\eta_n)$ is the $LU^*$-Chern class corresponding to the monomial symmetric function $m_\lambda$ and a trivial line bundle. Similar to [13] we conclude that

PROPOSITION 10. The composition $\Theta = S_{(y,t)}^* \circ ch_{LU} \circ \mathcal{S}(z)$:

$$\Theta : LU^*(\cdot) \to H^*(\cdot, \Lambda_y \otimes \Lambda_z \otimes \mathbb{Q}[t])$$

is a multiplicative transformation of cohomology theories and its Todd class is

$$T_\Theta(\eta, \zeta) = e^{-tu} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_j x_i)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z_{kx_i}}{\prod_{l=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_{l} x_i)} \right)^{-1} \right),$$

where $u$ is the first Chern class of the complex line bundle $\zeta$, $x_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are the Chern roots in integral cohomology of the complex vector bundle $\eta$, $n = \dim \eta$.

Applying the Riemann–Roch theorem to the transformation $\Theta$ and to the constant map $p : M \to \{pt\}$ with $LU$-oriented manifold $(M^{2n}, \zeta)$, we deduce the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. Let $([m_\lambda(\nu(M^{2n}))], \iota_\zeta^* \zeta)$ be manifolds $LU$-dual to the $LU$-Chern classes of the normal bundle $\nu(M^{2n})$ which correspond to the monomial symmetric functions $m_\lambda$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq 0)$. Then

$$T_\Theta(\nu(M^{2n}), \zeta) = (T_\tau(\nu(M^{2n}), \zeta), [M^{2n}]) = \langle T_\tau(\nu(M^{2n}), \zeta), [M^{2n}] \rangle + \sum_{\lambda > 0} h_\lambda(z) T_\tau(\nu_\lambda, \iota_\zeta^* \zeta, [m_\lambda(\nu(M^{2n}))]),$$

where $\nu_\lambda$ is the normal bundle of $m_\lambda(\nu(M^{2n}))$ with the induced stable almost complex structure.
Let $M$ be a stably almost complex manifold and $x_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots$, be the Chern roots of the stable complex tangent bundle to $M$. We define the Todd class of $M$ by

$$
\text{Td}(M) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-x_i}}.
$$

This is a symmetric polynomial in $x_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots$, which can be expressed as a series in tangent Chern classes of $M$ in ordinary cohomology.

**Theorem 2.** Let $M$ be a stably complex manifold and $w \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Then for the manifolds $[c_k(\tau(M))]$ dual to the complex cobordism Chern classes $c_k^U$ of $\tau(M)$ the following formula holds

$$
\langle \exp(w) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{x_i/2}{\tanh(x_i/2)}, [M] \rangle = \langle \exp(w) \text{Td}(M), [M] \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{-1}{2} \right)^k \langle \exp(w_k) \text{Td}([c_k(\tau(M))],[c_k(\tau(M))]) \rangle,
$$

where $x_i$ are the tangent Chern roots of $M$ and $w_k \in H^2([c_k(\tau(M))],[\mathbb{Z}]$ are the pullbacks of $w$ under the canonical embeddings $[c_k(\tau(M))] \subset M \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

**Proof.** Let us substitute the following values for the variables $t, z_i$ and $y_j$ in the series $T_\Theta(\eta, \zeta)$, where $\eta$ is the normal bundle of $M$, and $\zeta$ is a line bundle over $M$ with $c_1(\zeta) = w$:

$$
t = 1, \quad z_1 = 1/2, \quad z_2 = z_3 = \ldots = 0,
$$

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_j x) = \frac{1 - e^{-x}}{x}.
$$

For these values of variables we obtain

$$
T_\Theta(\eta, \zeta) = e^u \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 - e^{-x_i}}{x_i} \frac{2}{1 + e^{-x_i}} = e^u \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\tanh(x_i/2)}{x_i/2},
$$

where $x_i$ are the Chern roots of $\eta$ and $u = c_1(\zeta)$. Therefore, for the tangent Chern roots $x'_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots$, the left hand side of the formula in Theorem 1 becomes

$$
\langle \exp(w) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{x'_i/2}{\tanh(x'_i/2)}, [M] \rangle.
$$

Because $c_k(\tau(M)) = (-1)^k h_k(\nu(M))$ for our choice of variables $z_i$, the right hand side of Theorem 1 is exactly

$$
\langle \exp(w) \text{Td}(M), [M] \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{-1}{2} \right)^k \langle \exp(w_k) \text{Td}([c_k(\tau(M))],[c_k(\tau(M))]) \rangle.
$$

Therefore, the statement of the theorem is verified.
The gravitational anomaly cancellation formula (1) of Alvarez-Gaume and Witten can also be obtained from Theorem 1 by a suitable substitution. Let us consider a 12-dimensional compact stably almost complex manifold $M$ without boundary. Choose values of variables $z_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, in such a way that
\[ \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - z_k) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4}x^2}, \]
we also define variables $y_j$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, to satisfy
\[ \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_jx)^{-1} = \frac{\sinh(x/2)}{x/2}. \]
Using Theorem 1 with this choice of $z_i$, $y_j$, $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots$, and $t = 0$, we obtain:
\[
\frac{1}{26} L(M) = \hat{A}(M) + \frac{1}{24} \hat{A}([P_1(\tau(M))]) + \frac{1}{27} \hat{A}(4[P_2(\tau(M)) - [P_2^2(\tau(M))]) + \frac{1}{20} (p_{111} - 4p_{12} + 8p_3),
\]
where $[P_1(\tau(M))]$, $[P_2(\tau(M))]$, $[P_2^2(\tau(M))]$ are manifolds Poincare dual to the corresponding tangent Pontryagin classes in cobordism, and $p_{111}$, $p_{12}$, $p_3$ are the tangent Pontryagin numbers.

Collecting similar terms in (3) we arrive at Alvarez-Gaume and Witten formula.

**Corollary 4.** For a 12-dimensional compact stably almost complex manifold without boundary
\[ L(M) = 8A(M, TM) - 32\hat{A}(M). \]

**Remark 1.** This formula is true for any compact oriented 12-dimensional manifold without boundary, as it only depends on Pontryagin classes.
6. Toric Manifolds

A toric variety is a closure of an orbit of an algebraic action of $T^n$, and the quotient of the variety with respect to this action is a simple polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (recall that a polytope is simple if at every its vertex exactly $n$ faces of codimension one meet each other). Toric varieties are not always smooth. Polytopes for which the corresponding toric variety is smooth, are regular (or Delzant) polytopes and varieties themselves in this case are toric manifolds. More precisely, a simple lattice polytope is regular if the edges emanating from each vertex lie along vectors that generate the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Each face $F_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, of codimension one of a regular polytope $P$ corresponds to a submanifold $M_{F_i}$ of codimension two in the toric manifold $M_P$, $\dim M_P = 2n$. The torus action $T^n \times M_P \to M_P$ has a one-dimensional subgroup $S^{F_i} \subset T^n$ which keeps $M_{F_i}$ fixed. $S^{F_i}$ can be described by a primitive vector $\lambda_i = (\lambda^1_i, \ldots, \lambda^n_i)$ of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ which is inward normal to the face $F_i \subset P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. At each vertex $p \in P$ we get $n$ vectors $\lambda_{ij}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, corresponding to each of the faces in the intersection:

$$p = F_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_n}.$$ 

Together, $\lambda_{ij}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, form a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

There is also a smooth manifold $L_P$ of dimension $n + m$ where $m$ is the number of codimension one faces of $P^n$ such that $M^{2n}$ is a quotient of $L_P$ over the action of a torus $T^{m-n}$. The manifold $L_P$ can be described as follows. Let us list all codimension one faces of $P$:

$$\mathfrak{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m\}.$$ 

For every face $F_i$ in $\mathfrak{F}$ we define the corresponding one-dimensional coordinate subgroup of $T^\mathfrak{F} = T^m$ by $T^{F_i}$. Now for a general face $G$ of $P$ let

$$T^G = \prod_{G \subset F_i} T^{F_i} \subset T^\mathfrak{F}.$$ 

**Definition 4.** For a given simple polytope we define

$$L_P = (T^\mathfrak{F} \times P^n) \slash \sim,$$

where $(t_1, p) \sim (t_2, q)$ if and only if $p = q$ and $t_1 t_2^{-1} \in T^{G(q)}$.

(In this definition $G(q)$ is the face of $P$ which contains $q$ strictly in its interior.)

For a toric manifold $M_P$ we define the characteristic homomorphism $\lambda : T^\mathfrak{F} \to T^n$, by means of isomorphisms

$$\lambda(T^{F_i}) \cong S^{F_i}.$$ 

This is a characteristic map in the sense of [7, 10]. The kernel $H(\lambda)$ of $\lambda$ is $(m-n)$-dimensional subgroup of $T^\mathfrak{F}$ and as such it acts on $L_P$. This action is free and the factor space

$$L_P^{H(\lambda)} = L_P \slash H(\lambda) \cong M_P$$

is the corresponding toric manifold. For each co-dimension one face $F_i$ of the polytope $P$ we define a representation:

$$\rho_i : T^\mathfrak{F} \to GL(1, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$$
by means of a projection $T^3 \to T^F_i \subset GL(1, \mathbb{C})$. Consider a one-dimensional complex line bundle $L_i$ over $BP = ET^3 \times T^3 \Sigma_P$ given by

$$L_i = ET^m \times T^3 \Sigma_P \times (\Sigma_P \times \mathbb{C}).$$

The following theorem [10] describes the equivariant cohomology of $\Sigma_P$.

**Theorem 3.** The space $ET^m \times T^3 \Sigma_P$ is homotopy equivalent to $ET^m \times T^n M^2_{BP}$.

The cohomology ring

$$H^*(ET^m \times T^3 \Sigma_P, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(ET^m \times T^n M^2_{BP}, \mathbb{Z})$$

is isomorphic to the face ring of $P$

$$\mathbb{Z}[v_1, \ldots, v_m]/I, \quad v_i = c_1(L_i).$$

Under the projection $\pi_P : ET^m \times T^3 M^2_{BP} \to BT^n$ the generator

$$u_j \in H^*(BT^n, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[u_1, \ldots, u_n]$$

goes into

$$\pi_P^*(u_j) = \lambda_1^j v_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m^j v_m \in H^*(ET^m \times T^3 M^2_{BP}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

At each vertex $p = F_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_n}$ of $P$ we get $n$ linear independent vectors $\lambda_i, \ldots, \lambda_n$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $M^i_p = (\mu_{p, i_1}, \ldots, \mu_{p, i_n})$ be an $n \times n$ column–matrix $(\mu_{p, j} = (\mu_{p, j}^1, \ldots, \mu_{p, j}^n)^T)$ such that

$$M_p \cdot \Lambda_p = I_n, \quad \Lambda_p = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n),$$

and $I_n$ is the $n \times n$–identity matrix. Pick a generic vector $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ which is not orthogonal to any of $\mu_{p, j}$.

**Lemma 2.** Under the Gysin map

$$(\pi_P)_*: H^*(ET^m \times T^n M^2_{BP}, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(BT^n, \mathbb{Z})$$

the $n$th power of the first Chern class of $L_i$ goes into

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-1} \leq i} \frac{\mu_{p_{i_1, i_2}} \cdots \mu_{p_{i_{n-1}, i_n}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (\mu_{p_{i_j, i_{j+1}}})} \cdot \vec{u}^{n-1},$$

which is independent of the choice of a generic vector $\vec{u}$.

**Proof.** This is an elementary consequence of the fixed point theory for compact Lie group actions [21, 26, 29]. We write down the fixed point formula for the action of $T^n$ on $M_P$. At the fixed point corresponding to the vertex $p_I$ we get a simple expression in terms of $v_1, v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_{n-1}}$:

$$v_{i_1}^n \cdot v_{i_2} \cdots v_{i_{n-1}}.$$  

Rewriting it uniformly in terms of $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in H^*(BT^n, \mathbb{Z})$ and substituting $u_j = \vec{u}_j$ leads to the expression in the statement.

Let $\omega = (w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \cdots \geq 0)$ be a partition of $n$ of length $l$. Denote by $c_\omega(\eta)$ the product of Chern classes $c_{w_1}(\eta), c_{w_2}(\eta), \ldots$. Similar to Lemma 3 we deduce
Theorem 4. For a smooth toric variety $M^{2n}$ its Chern number $c_\omega(M)$ corresponding to a partition $\omega$ of $n$ can be computed in terms of the fixed point data by means of a formula:

$$
c_\omega(M) = \sum_{I_1 = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_l\}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_l(\omega)} \prod_{j=1}^l \left( \mu_{p_j,i_j} u_1 + \cdots + \mu_{p_1,i_j} u_n \right)^{w_{n(j)} - 1} \prod_{j=l+1}^n \left( \mu_{p_j,i_j} u_1 + \cdots + \mu_{p_j,i_j} u_n \right)
$$

where the first summation is taken over $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ and with

$$p_t = F_i \cap \cdots \cap F_i \cap F_{i+1} \cap \cdots \cap F_m \neq \emptyset.$$

The formula is independent of the choice of a generic vector $\vec{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In dimension three all formulae can be rewritten just in terms of $\lambda_j$ because

$$\mu_{p,i} = \pm \frac{[\lambda_{p,j}, \lambda_{p,k}]}{\det \Lambda_p}.$$

In particular,

$$(\pi_P)_* (c_1(L_i)) = \sum_{p \in F_i \cap F_{i+1} \cap F_{i+2} \neq \emptyset} \frac{\langle \lambda_{p,i}, \lambda_{p,j}, \vec{u} \rangle^2}{\langle \lambda_{p,i}, \lambda_{p,j}, \vec{u} \rangle \langle \lambda_{p,i}, \lambda_{p,j}, \vec{u} \rangle}$$

where for three vectors $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we denote the corresponding oriented volume by $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \rangle$.

7. Pick’s Theorem

In this section we apply Theorem 4 for the case of toric manifolds and its Chern classes. Recall that the $m$-th tangent Chern class $c_m(\tau(M))$ of a toric manifold $M = M_\mathcal{P}$ associated to a simple polytope $P$ of dimension $n$ is given by a dual cohomology class to the sum of fundamental classes of toric submanifolds $M_F$ associated to $(n-m)$-dimensional faces $F = F_j \subset (\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ of $P$. Let $w_P$ be the Kahler form on $M_P$. It is well known [15] that the equivariant class of the canonical Kahler form $w_P$ on $M_P$ is

$$-a_1 v_1 - \cdots - a_m v_m \in H^2(ET^n \times \mathbb{T}^m M^{2n}_\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $a_j, j = 1, \ldots, m$, are defined by means of a system of inequalities:

$$x \in P \iff \langle x, \lambda_i \rangle \geq a_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.$$

We also set $x_j = i^*(v_j)$ where

$$i : M^{2n} \subset ET^n \times \mathbb{T}^m M^{2n} \approx ET^n \times \mathbb{T}^m \Sigma_P$$

is an embedding on any fibre.

Corollary 5. The sum over all faces of a regular lattice polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of the numbers of integral points inside the closures of the faces taken with weights $(-1/2)^{n-\dim F}$, is the twisted signature of the corresponding toric variety $M_P$:

$$\langle \exp(w_P) \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{x_i}{\tanh(x_i/2)}, [M_P] \rangle = \sharp(P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^k \sum_{\dim F = (n-k)} \sharp(F \cap \mathbb{Z}^n),$$

where $x_i$ are the tangent Chern roots of $M_P$ (m is the number of codimension one faces).
Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 2 as due to \([11]\) the value

\[
\langle \exp(w_P) \text{Td}(M_P), [M_P] \rangle
\]

is exactly the number of integral points in the face \(F\).

Remark 2. Formula \((\text{6})\) from Corollary 5 is valid for any simple lattice polytope. In order to verify this one needs to apply an equivariant generalization of Theorem 2 to the fibre bundle \(ET^m \times \Sigma_p \rightarrow BT^n\).

Example 1. Let \(n = 2\) and \(P\) be a convex polygon in \(\mathbb{R}^2\) with \(m\) integral vertexes. Then the left hand side of \((\text{4})\) is:

\[
\langle \exp(w_P) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{x_i/2}{\tanh(x_i/2)}, [M_P] \rangle = \langle \frac{w_P^2}{2}, [M_P] \rangle + \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{x_i/2}{\tanh(x_i/2)}, [M_P] \rangle =
\]

\[
= \text{Area}(P) + \frac{\sigma(M_P)}{4},
\]

where \(\sigma(M_P)\) is the signature of the corresponding toric manifold. For any toric variety

\[
\sigma(M_P) = h_0(P) - h_1(P) + \cdots + (-1)^n h_n(P) = (-1)^n h_P(-1),
\]

where \((h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_n)\) is the \(h\)-vector of \(P\) and

\[
h_P(t) = h_0 t^n + \cdots + h_n
\]

is the \(h\)-polynomial \([20]\). In particular, for \(n = 2\)

\[
\sigma(M_P) = h_0(P) - h_1(P) + h_2(P) = 4 - m.
\]

Combining these observations with Corollary 5 we get

\[
(\text{7}) \quad \text{Area}(P) + \frac{4 - m}{4} = (\text{Int} + \text{Bd}) - \frac{\text{Bd} + m}{2} + \frac{m}{4} = \text{Int} + \frac{\text{Bd}}{2} - \frac{m}{4},
\]

where \(\text{Int}\) is the number of integral points inside polygon \(P\) and \(\text{Bd}\) is the number of integral points on the boundary of \(P\). It is easy to see that \((\text{7})\) is equivalent to the classical Pick’s theorem:

\[
\text{Area}(P) = \text{Int} + \frac{\text{Bd}}{2} - 1.
\]

Example 2. Let \(n = 3\) and \(P\) be a regular (Delzant) tetrahedron in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) with 2-dimensional faces \(F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4\). Then the left hand side of \((\text{4})\) is

\[
\langle \exp(w_P) \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{x_i/2}{\tanh(x_i/2)}, [M_P] \rangle = \langle \frac{w_P^3}{6}, [M_P] \rangle + \langle w_P \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{x_i/2}{\tanh(x_i/2)}, [M_P] \rangle =
\]

\[
= \text{Vol}(P) - \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_j \langle \frac{x_j}{3}, \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{x_i^2}{4}, [M_P] \rangle =
\]

\[
= \text{Vol}(P) - \sum_{j=1}^{4} \frac{a_j}{4} \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{x_i^2}{3}, [M_{F_i}] \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_j \langle \frac{x_j^3}{12}, [M_P] \rangle =
\]

\[
= \text{Vol}(P) - \sum_{j=1}^{4} \frac{a_j}{4} h_{F_j}(-1) - \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_j \langle \frac{x_j^3}{12}, [M_P] \rangle.
\]
Using (15) we obtain for each fixed $j = 1, \ldots, 4$:

$$\langle x^3_j, [M_P] \rangle = \sum_{\substack{p = p_1 \cap p_2 \cap p_2 \not\in \emptyset \not\in \emptyset}} \frac{\langle \lambda_{p_1,i_1}, \lambda_{p_1,i_2}, \lambda_{p_1,i_3} \rangle^2}{\langle \lambda_{p_1,j}, \lambda_{p_1,i_1}, \lambda_{p_1,i_3} \rangle} \langle \lambda_{p_1,i_2}, \lambda_{p_1,j}, \lambda_{p_1,i_3} \rangle,$$

where $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is an arbitrary vector. For some fixed $i_1, i_2 \neq j$ chose $\vec{u} = \lambda_{i_3}$, $i_3 \neq i_1, i_2, j$, because $\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta, \lambda_\gamma$ form a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ for any choice of pairwise different indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ we obtain that

$$\langle x^3_j, [M_P] \rangle = \langle \lambda_{p_1,i_1}, \lambda_{p_1,i_2}, \lambda_{p_1,i_3} \rangle^2 \langle \lambda_{p_1,j}, \lambda_{p_1,i_1}, \lambda_{p_1,i_3} \rangle \langle \lambda_{p_1,i_2}, \lambda_{p_1,j}, \lambda_{p_1,i_3} \rangle = 1.$$

Thus,

$$\langle \exp(w_P) \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{x_i/2}{\tanh(x_i/2)}, [M_P] \rangle = \text{Vol}(P) - \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{a_j}{4} - \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{a_j}{12}.$$

Let $\text{Int}, \text{Fac}, \text{Edg}$ be the numbers of integral points inside polyhedron $P \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, all faces of $P$ and all edges of $P$ respectively. If $\text{Vert}$ is the number of vertexes of $P$ then the right-hand side of (6) becomes

$$\text{Int} + \text{Fac} + \text{Edg} + \text{Vert} - \frac{\text{Fac} + 2\text{Edg} + 3\text{Vert}}{2} + \frac{\text{Edg} + 3\text{Vert}}{4} - \frac{\text{Vert}}{8} =$$

$$= \text{Int} + \frac{\text{Fac}}{2} + \frac{\text{Edg}}{4} + \frac{\text{Vert}}{8}.$$

**Corollary 6.** For a regular (Delzant) tetrahedron in $\mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\text{Int} + \frac{\text{Fac}}{2} + \frac{\text{Edg}}{4} + \frac{\text{Vert}}{8} = \text{Vol}(P) - \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{a_j}{3}.$$  

**8. Conclusion**  

Applying the new cancellation formula for the twisted signature and Todd genera of smooth toric varieties we derived a Pick’s type theorem for simple lattice polytopes. Explicit calculations by means of this formula are possible only in low-dimensional examples due to the lack of a simple combinatorial description for the $L$-class of toric varieties. Finding such a description could lead to an interesting new invariant of simple polytopes.

**Acknowledgements.** The author expresses deep gratitude to the anonymous referee for numerous valuable comments and to Misha Feigin for a useful discussion.

**References**

[1] L. Alvarez-Gaume, E. Witten, *Gravitational anomalies*, Nuclear Phys. B 234 (1984), no. 2, 269–330.

[2] M. Audin, The topology of torus actions on symplectic manifolds. Progress in Mathematics, 93. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 1991.

[3] J. C. Becker, D. H. Gottlieb, *The transfer map and fibre bundles*, Topology 14 (1975), 1–12.

[4] M. Brion, M. Vergne, *Lattice points in simple polytopes*, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1997), 371–392.

[5] V. M. Buchstaber, A. P. Veselov, *On a remarkable functional equation in the theory of generalized Dunkl operators and transformations of elliptic genera*, Math. Zeitschr. 223 (1996), 595–607.
[6] V. M. Buchstaber, Characteristic classes in cobordism and topological applications of the theory of single-valued and two-valued formal groups Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 10, pp. 5–178, 288, VINITI, Moscow, 1978.

[7] V. M. Buchstaber, T. E. Panov, Torus actions in topology and combinatorics, Moskovskii Tsentr Nemyrevynogo Matematicheskogo Obrazovaniya, Moscow, 2004.

[8] S. E. Cappell, J. L. Shaneson, Genera of algebraic varieties and counting lattice points, Bull. A.M.S. 30 (1994), 62–69.

[9] P. E. Conner, E. E. Floyd, The relation of cobordism to $K$-theories, Lecture Notes in Math. 28 (1966).

[10] M. Davis, T. Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions, Duke Math. J. 62 (1991), 417–451.

[11] V. I. Danilov, The geometry of toric varieties, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 33 (1978), no. 2(200), 85–134.

[12] J. L. Fast, S. Ochanine, On the KO characteristic cycle of a Spin$^c$ manifold, Manuscripta Math. 115 (2004), no. 1, 73–83.

[13] K. E. Feldman, Chern numbers of Chern submanifolds, Quart. J. Math. V. 53 (2002), no. 4, 421–429.

[14] V. Guillemin, Riemann-Roch for toric orbifolds, J. Diff. Geom. 45 (1997), 53-73.

[15] V. Guillemin, Moment maps and combinatorial invariants of Hamiltonian $T^n$-spaces. Progress in Mathematics, 122. Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.

[16] F. Han, W. Zhang, Modular invariance, characteristic numbers and $\eta$ invariants, J. Differential Geom. 67 (2004), no. 2, 257–288.

[17] Y. Karshon, S. Sternberg, J. Weitsman, Euler-Maclaurin with remainder for a simple integral polytope, Duke Math. J. 130 (2005), no. 3, 401–434.

[18] A. G. Khovanskii, A. V. Pukhlikov, The Riemann-Roch theorem for integrals and sums of quasipolynomials on virtual polytopes, St. Petersburg Math. J. 4 (1993), 337-356.

[19] P. S. Landweber, Cobordism operations and Hopf algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (1967), 94–110.

[20] N. C. Leung, V. Reiner, The signature of a toric variety, Duke Math. J. 111 (2002), no. 2, 253–286.

[21] K. Liu, Modular invariance and characteristic numbers, Commun. Math. Phys. 174 (1995) 29–42.

[22] K. Liu, W. Zhang, Elliptic genus and $\eta$-invariant, Int. Math. Res. Notes 8 (1994), 319–328.

[23] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, 2nd edition, Oxford mathematical monographs, 1995.

[24] R. Morelli, Pick’s theorem and the Todd class of a toric variety, Adv. Math. 100 (1993), 183–231.

[25] S. P. Novikov, Methods of algebraic topology from the point of view of cobordism theory (in Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 31 (1967), 855–951.

[26] T. E. Panov, Hirzebruch genera of manifolds with torus action, Izv. Math. 65 (2001), no. 3, 543–556.

[27] G. Pick, Geometrisches zur Zahlentheorie, Sitzenber. Lotos (Prague) 19 (1899), 311–319.

[28] J. E. Pommersheim, Toric varieties, lattice points and Dedekind sums, Math. Ann. 295 (1993), 1–24.

[29] D. Quillen, Elementary proofs of some results of cobordism theory using Steenrod operations, Advances in Math. 7 (1971), 29–56.

[30] R. E. Stong, Notes on cobordism theory, Mathematical notes Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo 1968.

DPMMS, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE, UK, CB3 0WB
E-mail address: k.feldman@dpmms.cam.ac.uk