Identification of lncRNAs involved in rice ovule development and female gametophyte abortion by genome-wide screening and functional analysis
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Abstract

Background: As important female reproductive tissues, the rice (Oryza sativa L.) ovule and female gametophyte is significant in terms of their fertility. Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) play important and wide-ranging roles in the growth and development of plants and have become a major research focus in recent years. Therefore, we explored the characterization and expression change of lncRNAs during ovule development and female gametophytic abortion.

Results: In our study, whole-transcriptome strand-specific RNA sequencing (ssRNA-seq) was performed in the ovules of a high-frequency female-sterile rice line (fsv1) and a wild-type rice line (Gui99) at the megaspore mother cell meiosis stage (stage 1), functional megaspore mitosis stage (stage 2) and female gametophyte mature stage (stage 3). By comparing two rice lines, we identified 152, 233, and 197 differentially expressed lncRNAs at the three ovule developmental stages. Functional analysis of the coherent target genes of these differentially expressed lncRNAs indicated that many lncRNAs participate in multiple pathways such as hormone and cellular metabolism and signal transduction. Moreover, there were many differentially expressed lncRNAs acting as the precursors of some miRNAs that are involved in the development of ovules and female gametophytes. In addition, we have found that lncRNAs can act as decoys, competing with mRNAs for binding to miRNAs to maintain the normal expression of genes related to ovule and female gametophyte development.

Conclusion: These results provide important clues for elucidating the female gametophyte abortion mechanism in rice. This study also expands our understanding about the biological functions of lncRNAs and the annotation of the rice genome.
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Background

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are defined as RNAs whose lengths are greater than 200 bp and that lack protein-encoding function. According to the relative positions of lncRNAs in the genome and adjacent protein coding genes, they can be divided into five categories: (1) sense lncRNAs; (2) antisense transcripts (NATs) derived from introns; (3) intergenic noncoding (nc) RNAs (lincRNAs); (4) intronic nc RNAs (incRNAs); and (5) bidirectional lncRNAs [1]. Based on their mechanism of action, the function of lncRNAs can be grouped into four classes: signal, decoy, guide, and scaffold [2]. The lncRNAs can act as signal molecules for regulating gene transcriptional activity, and the spatial, temporal and expression status of regulatory factors can be judged by the expression of lncRNAs [3]. As decoy molecules, lncRNAs can directly or indirectly achieve the regulation of the expression of the target genes by recruiting some

* Correspondence: brzhao652@hhrrc.ac.cn; jbwang@whu.edu.cn
²State Key Laboratory of Hybrid Rice, Hunan Hybrid Rice Research Center, Changsha 410125, China
¹State Key Laboratory of Hybrid Rice, College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

© The Author(s). 2019 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.
RNA-binding proteins [4]. The third mode of action of lncRNAs is that they direct the ribonucleoprotein complex to localize at a particular site [5]. In addition to the above three mechanisms, lncRNAs can also serve as scaffold, and their specific domain can bind to different types of proteins or transcription factors to form a skeleton complex, thereby regulating the effector elements upstream or downstream of the genes to activate or inhibit gene transcription [6]. Previous studies have shown that lncRNAs can recruit chromatin remodeling complexes to specific genomic sites where the chromatin is then modified to cause changes in gene expression [7, 8].

LncRNA-mediated regulation of coding genes at the transcriptional level can be divided into cis-regulation and trans-regulation. Cis-regulation refers to a lncRNA derived from a gene promoter or intergenic region that combines with a transcription factor to activate or inhibit the expression of an adjacent gene. For example, FLOWER-ING LOCUS C (FLC) is an important gene that regulates flowering time in plants, and its NAT lncRNA, COOLAIR, regulates the FLC gene through cis-regulation and thus affects flowering time [9, 10]. Respondingly, trans-regulation involves lncRNAs that bind to transcription factors or alter a transcription factor's subcellular localization to activate or inhibit gene expression at another site, or they assist in the conversion of a protein from an inactive to an active state to regulate downstream gene expression. The lncRNA COLDAIR transcribed from the FLC intron region silences the FLC gene by binding to the PcG protein complex and inhibiting the methylation of FLC-associated histones [10]. In addition, some studies have found that lncRNAs can be transcribed from the antisense strands of genes, and such lncRNAs are often involved in the posttranslational splicing, editing, transport, translation and degradation of mRNA. LncRNAs not only participate in the formation of small RNAs but also act as trans-regulatory factors to regulate the formation of other small RNAs, and some lncRNAs can directly bind to small RNAs to regulate the functions of miRNAs [11].

In recent years, there has been a growing amount of evidence that suggests that lncRNAs are involved in the sexual reproduction of plants [12, 13]. Aberrant expression of lncRNAs can lead to defects in or abortion of gametophyte development. For example, in Arabidopsis, a natural antisense lncRNA, asHSFB2a, affects female gametophyte development by controlling the heat-stress transcription factor HSFB2a, and the development of female gametophytes is impaired when asHSFB2a expression levels are abnormal [14]. In addition, the long-day-specific male-fertility-associated RNA (LDMAR), a lncRNA of 1236 bases, regulates photoperiod-sensitive male sterility in rice. Under long-day conditions, the reduced transcription of LDMAR leads to premature programmed cell death in another development and causes male sterility [12].

As rice is an important cereal crop and monocotyledonous model plant, clarifying its reproductive mechanism has important theoretical significance and practical value. The ovule is a female reproductive organ in rice that plays important roles in the process of reproduction. In ovules, megasporocyte mother cells (MMCs) undergo meiosis to form functional megaspores, and then, the functional megaspores form female gametophyte structures through three rounds of mitosis. The development of the female gametophyte and ovule sporophytic tissue are carried out simultaneously, and the development of the female gametophyte is completely dependent on the ovule sporophytic tissue for nutrient transport and mechanical support. In recent years, researchers have identified crosstalk between the ovule sporophytic tissue and female gametophyte [15]. Changes in gene expression in the ovule sporophytic tissue will affect the normal growth and development of the female gametophyte, and gene expression in the female gametophyte will also affect ovule sporophytic tissue [16–19].

A high-frequency female-sterile mutant rice line (fsv1) was used in our experiment. Phenotypic analysis showed abnormal development of female gametophytes in fsv1, in which most of functional megaspores degraded in advance and could not undergo normal mitosis [18]. Furthermore, the miRNA profiles of fsv1 and Gui99 ovule development and female gametophytic abortion have been identified by high-throughput sequencing [20]. These findings will help us further reveal the mechanism of female gametophytic abortion and guide the present study.

In this study, high-throughput sequencing methods were used to analyze lncRNAs and their target genes during ovule development in fsv1 and Gui99. The purpose of this work was to elucidate the expression profiles and regulatory mechanisms of lncRNA during ovule development and female gametophyte abortion. The study will provide some clues for further elucidation of ovule development and female gametophyte abortion.

Methods

Plant materials

Two lines of rice (Oryza sativa ssp. indica), a high-frequency female-sterile rice line (fsv1) and a rice wild-type line (Gui99) were used for these experiments. The fsv1 line is a genetically stable mutant obtained by introducing the genomic DNA of Panicum maximum into rice (cultivar Gui99) via ear-stem injection. Approximately 80.5% of the female gametophytes were aborted in fsv1, and other traits were similar to Gui99, including pollen fertility and plant morphology. The seeds of fsv1 and Gui99 were obtained from State Key Laboratory of Hybrid Rice, Hunan Hybrid Rice Research Center, Changsha, China, and provided by Dr. Bingran Zhao and Dr. Bigang Mao. A detailed description of fsv1...
can be found in [18, 21]. The material plants were grown and maintained in the greenhouse of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China. Based on the known correspondence between the morphological characteristics of rice florets and the developmental period of the female gametophyte [22], we collected ovules in the MMC meiosis stage (stage 1), functional megaspore mitosis stage (stage 2) and female gametophyte mature stage (stage 3). The ovules were removed from the ovary with needles under stereomicroscopy, then rapidly placed in liquid nitrogen for RNA extraction. For each sample, we randomly selected 200 spikelets from 50 plants in the growing season, and performed three biologic replicates for each sample.

RNA extraction, ss-RNA library construction and sequencing

Total RNA was extracted with Trizol (Invitrogen, Burlington, ON, Canada) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration and purity of the RNA and the OD$_{260}$/OD$_{280}$ ratio were determined using an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer. The ribosomal RNA in the total RNA was removed using the Ribo-Zero$^\text{TM}$ rRNA removal kit, and then the RNA was fragmented at random. Using the fragmented RNA as templates, the first strand of cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription with a random six-base primer. After that, the cDNA secondary chain was synthesized by replacing dTTP with dUTP, followed by purification, end repair, and the addition of A bases and adaptors. For PCR amplification, the Illumina-specific Taq enzyme did not reach the U-base of the secondary chain of the cDNA, while the first strand of the cDNA was amplified. The libraries were subjected to quality control and quantitative PCR analysis. Finally, the cDNA libraries were sequenced by Illumina HiSeq4000, and the sequencing length was 100 bp.

Identification of IncRNAs

After removing the adaptors and low quality reads of the raw data obtained from Illumina sequencing, we evaluated the clean data. Using HISAT2 (Version 2.0.4), the clean reads were searched against the rice genome. The transcripts obtained were assembled using StringTie (Version 1.0.4) and then the final transcripts were generated with Cuffmerge. Furthermore, the transcripts that mapped to known genes or that contained no information were eliminated from further identification as IncRNAs. Using CPC (Coding Potential Calculator), CNCI (Coding Non Coding Index) and txCdsPredict to predict the coding potential of these sequences, requiring CPC and CNCI scores that were less than 0 and txCdsPredict scores less than 500 as indicators for potential IncRNAs. This analysis was combined with information from the Pfam protein database, ensuring that predicted IncRNA transcripts did not contain protein-coding domains. If at least three of the above four judgment methods were in agreement, the transcripts were determined to be IncRNAs.

Differential expression analysis of IncRNAs

The HISAT2 software was used to align clean reads to the reference genome and then RSEM was performed to calculate the expression levels of genes and transcripts. To make gene expression data comparable across samples, it is necessary to standardize the gene expression levels. The standardized method used by RSEM (http://deweylab.biostat.wisc.edu/rsem) is FPKM. The FPKM-specific formula is as follows:

$$\text{FPKM} = \frac{10^6 C}{NL/10^4}$$

Let FPKM (A) be the expression level of gene A, then C is the number of unique alignments to the gene A, N is the total number of haplotypes that align to the reference gene, and L is the number of bases in the gene A coding region. The FPKM method can eliminate the influence of gene length and sequencing difference on the calculation of gene expression levels, and the calculated gene expression level can be directly used for comparing differential gene expression among different samples.

DEGseq [23] software was used for the intergroup difference analysis. The false discovery rate (FDR) method was used to correct the $P$ value in multiple tests [24]. Fold change $\geq 2.00$ and FDR $\leq 0.001$ were taken as thresholds to judge significant differences in IncRNA filter conditions.

Prediction and functional analysis of target genes of differentially expressed IncRNAs

The functions of IncRNAs are executed on coding genes via cis- or trans-regulation, the IncRNA and its target coding genes were considered to be IncRNA-mRNA pairs. Correlation test was performed on the expression levels of IncRNAs and mRNAs in all samples. The statistical analysis method was as follows: calculating the Spearman and Pearson correlation coefficients [25] of IncRNAs and mRNAs, requiring Spearman values $\geq 0.6$ and Pearson values $\geq 0.6$. The basis for predicting cis-regulation is related to the positional relationship of IncRNA genes and coding genes on the genome. It was determined to be cis-regulatory if IncRNA gene were within 10 kb upstream or 20 kb downstream of coding genes. If there was an overlap between the IncRNA genes and coding genes, we made a detailed classification of the overlap, which helped us understand the details of cis-regulation [26, 27]. Since trans-regulation is not dependent on a positional relationship, RNAplex...
software [28] was used to analyze the binding energy of the lncRNA and coding genes according to sequence complementarity, and a binding energy of<30 was determined to be a trans-regulatory system.

If there were differentially expressed target coding genes for lncRNAs, they were considered to be candidate genes of interest. To determine which functional modules these candidate coding genes were more focused on, we conducted GO functional analysis. The methods for the GO analysis were detailed in the description by Yang et al. [18].

Prediction of miRNA precursor of lncRNA
Blast (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) was used to align lncRNAs to miRBase (http://www.mirbase.org) to find potential miRNA precursors, and any alignments between lncRNAs with miRNA precursors that were greater than 90% were selected.

Analysis of lncRNA, miRNA and mRNA network interactions
lncRNAs target mRNAs through cis- or trans-actions. Coupled with the targeted relationship between miRNAs and mRNAs and the possible targeted relationships between miRNAs and lncRNAs, networks of lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA interactions were identified. The results of this analysis were visualized using Cytoscape (http://www.cytoscape.org) software. In the network diagram, the connections indicate possible regulatory relationships. The yellow square represents miRNAs, the blue square represents mRNAs, and the red square represents lncRNAs.

Validation by real-time quantitative PCR
Seven lncRNAs and their target genes were randomly selected for verification of the ssRNA-Seq results by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). Total RNA was extracted from ovules at different stages using Trizol (Invitrogen), and ovules at each stage from two rice lines were measured in three biological replicates. The mRNA was reverse-transcribed using oligo (dT) primers and SuperScriptIII Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen). The primers were designed using Primer5 software for qRT-PCR and are listed in Additional file 1: Table S1. OsActin1 [22] was taken as an internal reference control to standardize the results. The ABI Step One Plus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) was used for qRT-PCR with the Thunderbird SYBR qPCR mix (Toyobo, Kita-ku, Osaka, Japan). The qRT-PCR amplification reactions were carried out via the following program: 95 °C for 10 min, 95 °C for 15 s and 72 °C for 20 s, the last two steps were performed for 40 cycles.

Results
Overview of the ssRNA library sequencing data
The samples of each stage were measured in three biological replicates. To elucidate the roles of lncRNAs in ovule development and female gametophyte abortion, a total of 18 ss-RNA libraries were constructed for deep sequencing on the Illumina 4000 platform. The Gui99 ovules at stages 1, 2 and 3 were represented by A1, A2 and A3; correspondingly, stage 1, 2 and 3 of fsv1 ovules were represented by B1, B2 and B3, respectively. An average of 18 libraries with an output of approximately 12.74 Gb of data that has been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database was used (https://trace.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra/sra.cgi?vlew=run_browser). The accession numbers of the 18 SRA runs are SRR8002616 - SRR8002633. HISAT2 was used to align clean reads to the reference genome and StringTie was used for assembly. Of the 18 library alignments, the uniquely mapping ratios between clean reads and the reference genome were 75.36–79.04%, suggesting that our sequencing results were reliable (Additional file 2: Table S2). After assembly, a total of 66,338 known transcripts were obtained. In addition, 33,518 novel transcripts were assembled, of which transcript lengths of 1500–5000 nt accounted for a high proportion, a large number of transcripts were found for more than ten exons, and a large number of genes were found having either one or more than ten transcripts (Fig. 1).

Identification and quantitative analysis of lncRNAs
The CPC software, txCdsPredict, CNCI and the Pfam database were used to score the coding capacity of 33,518 novel transcripts simultaneously to identify lncRNAs. As a result, 12,736 novel transcripts were identified as lncRNAs (Fig. 2). Beyond that, 66,338 known transcripts were identified as mRNAs. The number of lncRNAs was very small compared to the number of coding RNAs, with 200–6500 nt transcripts representing 95% of the total number of lncRNAs. Most identified lncRNAs had only one exon in their transcripts, and most lncRNAs are derived from genes having only one transcript (Fig. 3a-c). Moreover, the GC content of lncRNAs varied from 23.61 to 79.25%, with an average content of 45.14%, while the GC content of mRNAs was relatively flat, with an average content of 52.88% (Fig. 3d).

RSEM was used to calculate the expression levels of genes and transcripts. In order to facilitate subsequent analysis during the three developmental stages in fsv1 and Gui99 ovules, three biological replicates of each sample were homogenized and the final integration result was the expression level of lncRNA or mRNA (for example A1-A, A1-B, A1-C integrated into A1). The numbers of lncRNAs and mRNAs derived from
expressed transcripts in each stage are shown in Table 1, and the normalized FPKM values for all IncRNAs and mRNAs in all samples were shown in Additional file 3: Table S3. Circos [29] software was used to visually describe the expression level distributions of IncRNAs and mRNAs on 12 chromosomes. The Circos results show that IncRNAs and mRNAs are highly expressed on chromosomes 1 and 2, and both the expression numbers and expression levels of mRNAs are higher than IncRNAs in the whole genome (Fig. 3e-f). In detail, 2965 IncRNAs (2373 IncRNAs in A1, 2349 IncRNAs in A2, 2325 IncRNAs in A3, 2426 IncRNAs in B1, 2391 IncRNAs in B2 and 2333 IncRNAs in B3) were obtained, and Venn diagrams were used to describe the expression of IncRNAs at three developmental stages in Gui99 and fsv1 ovules (Fig. 4). During fsv1 and Gui99 ovule development, most of the IncRNAs were continuously expressed, while some IncRNAs were only expressed at specific stages, suggesting that IncRNAs may contribute to the regulation of rice ovule development. In addition, the number of coexpressed IncRNAs decreased with the development of ovules in fsv1 and Gui99 rice lines, indicating that IncRNAs may be involved in the regulation of female gametophyte fertility mainly at the early stage of ovule development.

**Differentially expressed IncRNAs during the three developmental stages of fsv1 and Gui99 ovules**

The DEGseq software was used to calculate the expression levels of IncRNAs across different samples. The thresholds were \( \geq 2.00 \) for fold change and \( \leq 0.001 \) for FDR to define significant differential expression of IncRNAs. As a result, 257, 284, 654, 387, 268, 670, 152, 233 and 197 IncRNAs were found to be significantly

---

**Fig. 1** The qualitative analysis of assembled 33,518 novel transcripts. (a) Length distribution of novel transcripts; (b) Exon number distribution of novel transcripts; (c) The distribution of novel transcript number transcribed from genes

**Fig. 2** Three software CPC, txCdsPredict, CNCI and a protein database pfam were used to predict IncRNAs, and the transcript was determined when at least three of the four methods were consistent
differentially expressed in A1 vs. A2, A2 vs. A3, A1 vs. A3, B1 vs. B2, B2 vs. B3, B1 vs. B3, A1 vs. B1, A2 vs. B2 and A3 vs. B3, respectively (Additional file 4: Table S4). From stage 1 to stage 3, there were more downregulated lncRNAs than upregulated lncRNAs in Gui99 and fsv1 ovules (Fig. 5a), indicating that downregulated lncRNAs occupied a larger proportion of differentially expressed lncRNAs during ovule development. To elucidate the role of lncRNA in rice female sterility, the lncRNA expression levels in fsv1 and Gui99 were compared across ovules at the three developmental stages. There were 152, 233 and 197 lncRNAs that were significantly differentially expressed at stage 1, stage 2 and stage 3, respectively. In detail, 87 lncRNAs in fsv1 ovules were upregulated and 65 were downregulated at stage 1, 121 upregulated and 112 downregulated lncRNAs at stage 2, and 130 upregulated and 67 downregulated lncRNAs at stage 3, compared with Gui99. Across the three stages of ovule development, the number of upregulated lncRNAs was always more than that of downregulated lncRNAs, suggesting that upregulated lncRNAs may play important roles in female gametophyte fertility. In addition, Cluster and JAVA TreeView software were used to cluster the lncRNAs that were differentially expressed in all three developmental stages of fsv1 and Gui99 (Fig. 5b). The results showed that 8 of the 24 lncRNAs were continuously upregulated with ovule development and 4 were continuously downregulated, and these lncRNAs may be deeply involved in the process of female gametophytes formation.

**Prediction of coherent target protein-coding genes and functional analysis of lncRNAs**

lncRNAs act via cis- and trans-regulation of target genes for biological function. As a result, a total of 708 matched lncRNA-mRNAs pairs for 353 differentially expressed lncRNAs and 579 mRNAs were predicted, of which 573 were cis-regulatory and 135 were trans-regulatory (Fig. 6 and Additional file 5: Table S5). From the data in Additional file 5: Table S5, it can be seen that there were positive and negative correlations between the expression of lncRNAs and their coherent target genes.

To further understand the regulatory functions of lncRNAs, all predicted target genes were annotated to different GO function entries using Blast2GO (version 4.1.9) (https://www.blast2go.com/). With rice genome as a reference, the functional information of coherent target genes of differentially expressed lncRNAs at three developmental stages in fsv1 and Gui99 ovules was classified using the WEGO website (http://wego.genomics.org.cn/). As shown in Additional file 5: Table S5, one
In the Gui99 and fsv1 ovules, the coherent target genes of differentially expressed lncRNAs in A1 vs. A2, A2 vs. A3, and A1 vs. A3; and B1 vs. B2, B2 vs. B3, and B1 vs. B3 were classified into 188 and 192 GO terms, respectively (Additional file 6: Table S6). The most abundant GO terms in the cellular component, molecular function, and biological process categories were intracellular part (GO:0044424), organic cyclic compound binding (GO:0097159) and organic substance metabolic process (GO:0071704), respectively. In addition, the GO terms cell (GO:0005623), cell part (GO:0044464), intracellular part (GO:0044424), organelle (GO:0043226), membrane part (GO:0044424), and protein-containing complex (GO:0032991) in the cellular component category; hydrolase activity (GO:0016787),

![Venn diagram for novel lncRNAs expressed in Gui99 and fsv1 ovules at three developmental stages. Total of 2076 lncRNAs were expressed at all three ovule developmental stages in Gui99, 92 lncRNAs were coexpressed in A1 and A2, 66 lncRNAs were coexpressed in A2 and A3, and 70 lncRNAs were coexpressed in A1 and A3. There were 135, 115 and 113 lncRNAs expressed exclusively in A1, A2 and A3, respectively. In fsv1 ovules, 2077 lncRNAs were coexpressed in B1, B2 and B3. In addition, 110 lncRNAs were coexpressed in B1 and B2, 75 lncRNAs were coexpressed in B2 and B3, and 69 lncRNAs were coexpressed in B1 and B3. The number of exclusively expressed lncRNAs in B1, B2 and B3 were 170, 129 and 112, respectively. During ovule development, the number of coexpressed lncRNAs in Gui99 and fsv1 were 2196, 2187 and 2133 at stage 1, stage 2 and stage 3, respectively. The number of exclusively expressed lncRNAs were 177 (A1) and 233 (B1) at stage 1, 162 (A2) and 204 (B2) at stage 2, and 192 (A3) and 200 (B3) at stage 3.

![The profile of differentially expressed lncRNAs in fsv1 and Gui99 ovules. a] The distribution of upregulated and downregulated differentially expressed lncRNAs in each comparison. b Hierarchical cluster analysis of the differentially expressed lncRNAs in all three developmental stages. The color key represented FPKM normalized log, transformed counts. The yellow color represented higher expression, and the blue color represented lower expression. Each column represented a comparison, while each row represented a lncRNA.
transferase activity (GO:0016740), heterocyclic compound binding (GO:1901363), and carbohydrate derivative binding (GO:0097367) in the molecular function category; and establishment of localization (GO:0051234), response to stimulus (GO:0050896), organic substance metabolic process (GO:0071704), cellular metabolic process (GO:0044237), and regulation of cellular process (GO:0050794) in the biological process category were significantly enriched in the three developmental stages of fsv1 and Gui99 ovules (Fig. 7a).

These GO terms were significantly enriched by the coherent target genes of differentially expressed lncRNAs, and they may participate significantly in the ovule developmental process.

To clarify the regulatory effects of lncRNAs on female gametophyte infertility, we further analyzed the functions of coherent target genes of differentially expressed lncRNAs in A1 vs. B1, A2 vs. B2, and A3 vs. B3 (Fig. 7). First, we can see that coherent target genes of differentially expressed lncRNAs in these three comparisons were annotated to several GO terms, such as cell (GO:0005623), cell part (GO:0044464), binding (GO:0005488), metabolic process (GO:0008152) and cellular process (GO:0009987). Second, there were some entries where the cellular component category was significantly enriched, including cell (GO:0005623), cell part (GO:0044464), intracellular part (GO:0044424), intracellular (GO:0005622), organelle (GO:0043226), intracellular organelle (GO:0043229) and membrane-bounded organelle (GO:0043227). Third, some coherent target genes were enriched into specific GO terms. For instance, only the comparison of A1 vs. B1 was enriched with target genes related to isomerase activity (GO:0016853). Additionally, only the comparison of A2 vs. B2 was enriched with genes associated with membrane protein complex (GO:0098796), origin recognition complex (GO:0000808), protein transporter activity (GO:0008565), response to chemical (GO:0042221), methylation (GO:0032259), and signal transduction (GO:0007165), and A3 vs. B3 was enriched in genes associated with organellar ribosome (GO:0000313), extracellular region part (GO:0044421), hormone metabolic process (GO:0042445), and maintenance of location (GO:0051235). In summary, these GO annotations indicate that the coherent target genes regulated by differentially expressed lncRNAs have different functions involved in various biological processes, and the lncRNAs might play important roles in female gametophyte sterility by regulating these coherent target genes.

Prediction of lncRNAs acting as miRNA precursors
lncRNAs can act as precursors for small RNA biosynthesis, so lncRNAs were aligned to miRBase to screen for miRNA precursors using BLAST. As a result, 53 expressed lncRNAs were identified as 44 miRNA precursors, of which 26 lncRNAs were significantly differentially expressed in fsv1 and Gui99 ovules across three developmental stages (Table 2). As shown in Table 2, a lncRNA might serve as a miRNA precursor or several miRNA precursors, and several lncRNAs might also be precursors for the same miRNA. For example,
LTCONS_00035558, LTCONS_00012871 and LTCONS_00040186 are the miRNA precursor of miR166d, miR172b and miR444b, respectively. LTCONS_00009363 is the miRNA precursor of osa-miR439a, osa-miR439b, osa-miR439c, osa-miR439d, osa-miR439e, osa-miR439f, osa-miR439g and osa-miR439h, and it was significantly downregulated in the comparisons of A1 vs. A2, A2 vs. A3, A1 vs. A3, B1 vs. B2, B2 vs. B3, B1 vs. B3), which may drive expression changes for miR160a and its target genes to affect ovule development and female gametophyte fertility.

**IncRNAs act as decoys to compete with miRNAs for combining to miRNAs**

Studies have shown that IncRNAs can be used as decoys via pairing to miRNAs to prevent target mRNA binding, thus maintaining the stability of mRNA expression levels [11, 30]. To further understand the regulatory relationship between IncRNA, miRNA and mRNA during ovule development and female gametophyte abortion, a IncRNA-miRNA-mRNA expression interaction network was constructed in combination with the miRNA sequencing data performed by Yang et al. [20]. A total of 108 differentially expressed miRNAs were targeted by 114 IncRNAs and 330 mRNAs in the network (Additional file 7: Table S7), and Fig. 9 shows that most IncRNAs have a significantly weaker ability to compete with miRNA target sites than mRNAs. For example, miR156a had 24 target sites including 8 IncRNAs and 16 mRNAs, of which OsSPL14 and OsSPL16 were important transcription factor genes (Fig. 9a). The miR159b were targeted by LTCONS_00019359 and 12 mRNAs, including 6 transcripts derived from transcription factor genes OsGAmyb, Osmyb5, OsDUO1 and a calmodulin gene OsCML27 (Fig. 9b). We also found that different miRNAs simultaneously regulate several target sites; for example, the target sites of miR160a-5p, miR160b-5p, miR160c-5p, miR160d-5p, miR160e-5p are LTCONS_00032617, OsARF8, OsARF10, OsARF13, OsARF22 (Fig. 9c). Moreover, the target genes of miR169a partly overlap with miR169b and miR169c, e.g., in LTCONS_000578, LTCONS_00057539, LTCONS_00057540, OsHAP2E, and OsHAP2G, while other target genes were specific, such as OsHAP2H (Fig. 9d). In addition, the target genes of miR444b.1 and miR444c.1, LTCONS_00041518 and LTCONS_00041519 were competing with three MADS-box genes OsMADS23, OsMADS27, OsMADS57 and several other genes.

**Validation of the sequencing data by qRT-PCR**

In our study, the expression profiles of seven IncRNAs and their predicted differentially expressed target genes were randomly selected for verification by qRT-PCR...
| MiRNA ID   | LncRNA ID            | MiRNA precursor length (nt) | LncRNA length (nt) | Alignment length (nt) | E-value | Alignment ratio |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|
| osa-miR1428b | LTCONS_00009984*     | 124                        | 22,003             | 124                   | 3.00E-65 | 1              |
| osa-miR1428b | LTCONS_00009985*     | 124                        | 20,702             | 124                   | 3.00E-65 | 1              |
| osa-miR1428b | LTCONS_00009986*     | 124                        | 20,691             | 124                   | 3.00E-65 | 1              |
| osa-miR1428b | LTCONS_00009987*     | 124                        | 22,838             | 124                   | 3.00E-65 | 1              |
| osa-miR1428d | LTCONS_00009984*     | 124                        | 22,003             | 124                   | 9.00E-44 | 0.919          |
| osa-miR1428d | LTCONS_00009985*     | 124                        | 20,702             | 124                   | 9.00E-44 | 0.919          |
| osa-miR1428d | LTCONS_00009986*     | 124                        | 20,691             | 124                   | 9.00E-44 | 0.919          |
| osa-miR1428d | LTCONS_00009987*     | 124                        | 22,838             | 124                   | 9.00E-44 | 0.919          |
| osa-miR156d  | LTCONS_00037940      | 129                        | 1438               | 129                   | 3.00E-68 | 1              |
| osa-miR156d  | LTCONS_00037941      | 129                        | 7353               | 129                   | 3.00E-68 | 1              |
| osa-miR156d  | LTCONS_00037942*     | 129                        | 5325               | 129                   | 3.00E-68 | 1              |
| osa-miR156i  | LTCONS_00042575      | 90                         | 1718               | 90                    | 4.00E-45 | 1              |
| osa-miR159b  | LTCONS_00000263      | 188                        | 2026               | 188                   | 3.00E-103| 1              |
| osa-miR160a  | LTCONS_00032617      | 88                         | 6768               | 88                    | 6.00E-44 | 1              |
| osa-miR160a  | LTCONS_00037788*     | 88                         | 2442               | 88                    | 6.00E-44 | 1              |
| osa-miR160b  | LTCONS_00074702      | 131                        | 2815               | 131                   | 8.00E-60 | 0.921          |
| osa-miR162a  | LTCONS_00035247*     | 171                        | 2307               | 171                   | 4.00E-93 | 1              |
| osa-miR166b  | LTCONS_000079180*    | 206                        | 6730               | 206                   | 9.00E-107| 0.981         |
| osa-miR166d  | LTCONS_00035558*     | 125                        | 3329               | 125                   | 8.00E-66 | 1              |
| osa-miR166h  | LTCONS_00042628*     | 119                        | 4024               | 119                   | 3.00E-62 | 1              |
| osa-miR166k  | LTCONS_00042628*     | 127                        | 4024               | 127                   | 5.00E-67 | 1              |
| osa-miR171c  | LTCONS_00062303*     | 99                         | 3985               | 99                    | 8.00E-47 | 0.98          |
| osa-miR172b  | LTCONS_00012871*     | 238                        | 4300               | 238                   | 6.00E-133| 1              |
| osa-miR1846d | LTCONS_00006050      | 116                        | 5861               | 116                   | 2.00E-60 | 1              |
| osa-miR1846d | LTCONS_00006052*     | 116                        | 5547               | 116                   | 2.00E-60 | 1              |
| osa-miR1846d | LTCONS_00006054      | 116                        | 4851               | 116                   | 2.00E-60 | 1              |
| osa-miR1848  | LTCONS_00032626      | 63                         | 4883               | 69                    | 4.00E-07 | 0.921         |
| osa-miR1850  | LTCONS_00070604      | 133                        | 1974               | 133                   | 5.00E-67 | 0.985         |
| osa-miR1863a | LTCONS_00033360*     | 374                        | 8365               | 374                   | 0        | 1              |
| osa-miR1863a | LTCONS_00033362      | 374                        | 12,189             | 374                   | 0        | 1              |
| osa-miR1863a | LTCONS_00033363      | 374                        | 11,237             | 374                   | 0        | 1              |
| osa-miR1863a | LTCONS_00033364*     | 374                        | 8172               | 374                   | 0        | 1              |
| osa-miR1863a | LTCONS_00033365*     | 374                        | 10,187             | 374                   | 0        | 1              |
| osa-miR1863a | LTCONS_00033366      | 374                        | 12,392             | 374                   | 0        | 1              |
| osa-miR1863a | LTCONS_00033368*     | 374                        | 10,972             | 374                   | 0        | 1              |
| osa-miR1863a | LTCONS_00033369*     | 374                        | 10,699             | 374                   | 0        | 1              |
| osa-miR1863a | LTCONS_00033371*     | 374                        | 12,855             | 374                   | 0        | 1              |
| osa-miR1863b | LTCONS_00026174      | 360                        | 10,196             | 360                   | 4.00E-172| 0.981         |
| osa-miR1863c | LTCONS_00026174      | 291                        | 10,196             | 291                   | 1.00E-140| 0.979         |
| osa-miR1878  | LTCONS_00096092      | 100                        | 6930               | 100                   | 2.00E-41 | 0.98          |
| osa-miR2118j | LTCONS_00056168      | 164                        | 5137               | 164                   | 1.00E-74 | 0.936         |
| osa-miR2118m | LTCONS_00056168      | 170                        | 5137               | 170                   | 3.00E-78 | 0.982         |
| osa-miR2867  | LTCONS_00020748      | 101                        | 4991               | 100                   | 3.00E-46 | 0.98          |
| osa-miR2867  | LTCONS_00020749      | 101                        | 5318               | 100                   | 3.00E-46 | 0.98          |
| osa-miR390   | LTCONS_00053270*     | 91                         | 3035               | 91                    | 4.00E-42 | 0.967         |
| osa-miR394   | LTCONS_00042593*     | 110                        | 3523               | 110                   | 6.00E-57 | 1              |
| osa-miR399k  | LTCONS_00038421      | 116                        | 5367               | 116                   | 2.00E-60 | 1              |
### Table 2 Prediction of miRNA precursor of lncRNA (Continued)

| MiRNA ID     | lncRNA ID       | MiRNA precursor length (nt) | LncRNA length (nt) | Alignment length (nt) | E-value   | Alignment ratio |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| osa-miR439a  | LTCONS_00009363* | 93                         | 5016               | 93                    | 7.00E-47  | 1               |
| osa-miR439b  | LTCONS_00009363* | 60                         | 5016               | 58                    | 2.00E-21  | 0.917           |
| osa-miR439c  | LTCONS_00009363* | 93                         | 5016               | 93                    | 7.00E-47  | 1               |
| osa-miR439d  | LTCONS_00009363* | 98                         | 5016               | 98                    | 1.00E-42  | 0.969           |
| osa-miR439e  | LTCONS_00009363* | 98                         | 5016               | 98                    | 8.00E-50  | 1               |
| osa-miR439f  | LTCONS_00009363* | 96                         | 5016               | 96                    | 1.00E-48  | 1               |
| osa-miR439g  | LTCONS_00009363* | 88                         | 5016               | 87                    | 2.00E-43  | 0.989           |
| osa-miR439h  | LTCONS_00009363* | 99                         | 5016               | 99                    | 5.00E-48  | 0.99            |
| osa-miR444a  | LTCONS_00089505  | 126                        | 4370               | 126                   | 2.00E-54  | 0.939           |
| osa-miR444b  | LTCONS_00040186* | 138                        | 2386               | 138                   | 2.00E-73  | 1               |
| osa-miR444d  | LTCONS_00041518  | 143                        | 2640               | 143                   | 2.00E-76  | 1               |
| osa-miR444d  | LTCONS_00041519  | 143                        | 2656               | 159                   | 2.00E-54  | 1               |
| osa-miR5150  | LTCONS_00055710  | 79                         | 5612               | 79                    | 5.00E-29  | 0.975           |
| osa-miR5179  | LTCONS_00033804* | 224                        | 5064               | 224                   | 1.00E-124 | 1               |
| osa-miR5179  | LTCONS_00033805* | 224                        | 5393               | 224                   | 1.00E-124 | 1               |
| osa-miR535   | LTCONS_00023938  | 94                         | 7835               | 94                    | 7.00E-44  | 0.968           |
| osa-miR6246  | LTCONS_00043017  | 84                         | 4204               | 84                    | 5.00E-32  | 0.976           |
| osa-miR7695  | LTCONS_00001730  | 487                        | 2728               | 487                   | 0         | 1               |
| osa-miR7695  | LTCONS_00001731  | 487                        | 2349               | 487                   | 0         | 1               |
| osa-miR812q  | LTCONS_00006225* | 242                        | 3184               | 243                   | 2.00E-83  | 0.917           |

*indicated that significantly differentially expressed lncRNAs

---

**Fig. 8** Prediction of miRNA Precursor of lncRNA (take LTCONS_00037788 for example)

**LTCONS_00037788**

miR160a stem-loop:

- 3p: GCGUGCAAGGAGCCAAUCAG
- 5p: UGCCUGGCUCCUGUAUGCCA
analysis. After verification, the qRT-PCR expression patterns of IncRNAs and their target genes were consistent with the sequencing results, indicating that our sequencing results were accurate. The primers used for qRT-PCR are available in Additional file 1: Table S1 and the qRT-PCR results are shown in Additional file 8: Figure S1.

Discussion
Recent studies have shown that IncRNAs play important roles in plant growth and sexual reproduction [13, 31]. In particular, IncRNAs have been reported to be involved in the male fertility of several plants [12, 32, 33], but the roles of IncRNAs in female fertility have not yet been elucidated. In our study, high-throughput sequencing techniques were used to examine the expression of IncRNAs in the ovules of a high-frequency female-sterile rice line and a wild-type rice line at different developmental stages. As a result, a total of 2965 IncRNAs across the entire genome were screened after sequencing and bioinformatics analysis. To confirm sequencing quality, we randomly selected six IncRNAs and their related target genes for qRT-PCR validation. The results showed that the expression levels of IncRNAs and mRNAs obtained by sequencing were in accordance with our qRT-PCR results, indicating that the sequencing data were reliable. Moreover, a total of 1131 IncRNAs revealed significant differential expression between fsv1 and Gui99 ovules after differential expression analysis, suggesting that IncRNAs may be an important regulator involved in ovule development and female gametophyte abortion.

Rice ovule development is a very complex and delicate process involving multiple genes and diverse biological pathways [22]. Studies have shown that there is “cross-talk” between ovule sporophytic tissue and the female gametophyte; hence, abnormal expression of genes related to ovule development might affect the fertility of the female gametophyte [15, 34, 35]. As an important gene expression regulator, IncRNAs have been reported to be involved in ovule development and abortion of the female gametophyte in plants [14, 36]. In Arabidopsis, cytochrome P450 monooxygenase is involved in the initiation of 5female gametogenesis and chromosome pairing during meiosis of MMC [37, 38]. In our study, LTCONS_00000849, LTCONS_00005124, LTCONS_000035676, LTCONS_00042496, LTCONS_00042583 and LTCONS_00078014 were significantly downregulated in
the fsv1 and Gui99 ovule developmental stages. All of these lncRNAs were predicted to target cytochrome P450 monooxygenase genes CYP90D2 (LOC_Os01g10040) and CYP734A6 (LOC_Os01g29150), and downregulation of these lncRNAs might result in changes in the expression levels of the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase genes that lead to the abnormal development of the ovule and female gametophyte. Cell cycle regulation is essential for normal plant development [39]. In Arabidopsis, RETINOBLASTOMA-RELATED (RBR) loss-of-function mutants lack the ability to transition from the G1 to S phase of the mitotic cell cycle, and the central cell of the mature female gametophyte becomes multinucleated, indicating that cell cycle arrest fails [40, 41]. However, the activation of the cyclin gene CYCD7;1 in the central cell overcomes cell cycle arrest in the female gametophyte [42]. In the present study, two significantly downregulated lncRNAs in the fsv1 and Gui99 ovules, LTCONS_0007790 and LTCONS_0005124, targeting two cyclin genes, CycA1;1 (LOC_Os01g13260) and CycB1;1 (LOC_Os01g59120), respectively, might affect the cell cycle and result in female gametophyte abortion.

Previous studies have shown that auxin, one of the most important plant hormones, plays an important role in the development of plant ovules and female gametophytes. Therefore, changes in the expression levels of auxin-related genes in ovules might influence the normal development of the female gametophyte [17, 43]. In this study, LTCONS_00037788 and LTCONS_00030633, which were significantly differentially expressed in fsv1 and Gui99 ovules, were predicted to target two auxin response factor genes, OsARF6 (LOC_Os02g06910) and OsARF24 (LOC_Os12g29520), respectively. The differentially expressed lncRNAs may affect the female gametophyte by affecting the auxin response. As important transcriptional regulatory elements, transcription factors play crucial roles in the reproductive process of female plants [44–46]. In our data, LTCONS_00004164, LTCONS_0007807, LTCONS_00011471, and LTCNS_00042441 were significantly downregulated; LTCONS_00041464 and LTCONS_00070807 targeted two MYB transcription factor genes, Osmyb4 (LOC_Os01g50110) and Osmyb55 (LOC_Os05g48010), respectively, and LTCONS_00011471 and LTCONS_00042441 targeted the two bZIP transcription factor genes OsbZIP09 (LOC_Os01g59760) and OsbZIP24 (LOC_Os02g58670), respectively. Previous studies have shown that MYB transcription factors can regulate female reproduction in flowering plants and that they are required for cellularization and differentiation during female gametogenesis, while the loss of MYB transcription factors reduces the number of ovules to cause female fertility reduction [45, 47]. The bZIP transcription factor genes were reported to be expressed preferentially in ovules and might regulate genes during cotton fiber elongation in Gossypium hirsutum, and a bZIP protein participates in the determination of floral organ number in Arabidopsis thaliana [48, 49]. Therefore, the significantly differential expression of these lncRNAs might affect the expression of transcription factor genes and lead to female gametophyte abortion.

As important gene regulators, miRNAs are widely involved in ovule development [50, 51]. changes to the expression levels of lncRNAs that act as miRNA precursors might generate important effects on miRNA expression levels [52, 53]. In tomatoes, miR156 is expressed in ovarian tissue, including the placenta and ovules, and mediates the cleavage of SPB-box genes that are involved in gynoecia development [54]. Furthermore, the detection of miRNA expression patterns in fsv1 and Gui99 ovules at the three developmental stages also revealed that miR156i-5p is significantly differentially expressed during stage 1, suggesting that miR156 has an important effect on female gametophyte abortion [20]. In this study, three lncRNAs, LTCONS_00037940, LTCONS_00037941 and LTCONS_00037942, were determined to be the precursors of miR156d, and LTCONS_00042575 was determined to be a precursor of miR156i. LTCONS_00037942 was upregulated in stage 3 of the Gui99 and fsv1 ovules. Changes in the expression of these precursor lncRNAs might cause alterations to miR156 function and thus affect its interaction with target genes, leading to abortion of the female gametophyte. As mentioned above, auxin is important for normal ovule and female gametophyte development. In Arabidopsis, miR160 targets ARF10, ARF16 and ARF17, and abnormal expression causes multiple developmental reproductive defects, such as premature inflorescence development, reduced petal size, and infertility [55]. In our study, two lncRNAs, LTCONS_00032617 and LTCONS_00037788, were identified as precursors of miR160a, and LTCONS_00074702 was found to be a precursor of miR160b. Among them, the significant downregulation of LTCONS_00037788 lead to a continuous decrease of miR160a expression in the development of fsv1 ovules [20]. The target genes of miR160a (such as OsARF8, OsARF10, OsARF18, OsARF22) were also significantly differentially expressed, indicating a potential role for miR160a in the regulation of ovule development and fertile female gametophyte formation [20]. Moreover, three significantly downregulated lncRNAs, LTCONS_00079180, LTCONS_00042628 and LTCONS_00042628, were precursors of miR166b, miR166h and miR166k, respectively, and their expression changes lead to the downregulation of miR166b, miR166h and miR166k in fsv1 ovule development [20]. Thus, downregulation of miR166 expression levels might be relevant.
to fertile female gametophyte formation, as previous studies have found that miR166 can regulate HD-ZIP III family genes which are involved in female gametophyte formation [56, 57]. In rice, overexpression of miR172 delayed the transition from spikelet meristem to flower meristem, leading to floral developmental defects and lower fertility [58]. LTCONS_00012871, the precursor of miR172b, was upregulated at the mature female gametophyte stage in both Gui99 and fsv1 ovules [20]. The significant increase in expression may be one of the causes of fsv1 female gametophyte abortion. In addition, LTCONS_00089505, LTCONS_00040186, LTCONS_0041518, LTCONS_00041519, LTCONS_00033804 and LTCONS_00033805 were the precursors of miR444a/b/d and miR5179 in fsv1 and Gui99 ovules. MiR444 and miR5179 have been shown to target MADS-box genes [59, 60], which are crucial for floral organs and are differentially expressed in fsv1 and Gui99 ovules [20]. These lncRNAs may contribute to the development of the female gametophyte.

One of the important functions of lncRNA is its role as a decoy molecule, and studies have shown that lncRNAs can regulate gene expression by binding to miRNAs in competition with mRNAs [11, 30]. We discussed miR156 above, and we found two target genes of miR156a/b-5p/c-5p/d/e-5p/g-5p/h-5p/i-5p/l-5p, OsSPL14 and OsSPL16 that might be involved in female gametophyte abortion [19]. Additionally, six significantly differentially expressed lncRNAs, LTCONS_00092006, LTCONS_00092007, LTCONS_00092008, LTCONS_0092010, LTCONS_00092016 and LTCONS_00092018, were targeted by these miRNAs, indicating that the lncRNAs may compete with OsSPL14 and OsSPL16 to affect their expression levels and influence female gametophyte fertility. As described above, auxins play an essential role in the formation of rice ovules and female gametophytes. In our study, we found that miR160a/b/c/d/e-5p targets four uniform ARF genes, OsARF8, OsARF10, OsARF13 and OsARF22. As the other target gene of miR160a/b/c/d/e-5p, LTCONS_00032617 may participate in competitive miRNA binding. In addition, LTCONS_00041518 competes with three MADS-box genes, OsMADS23, OsMADS27 and OsMADS57, for binding to miR444b.1 and miR444c.1. This may also be an important factor affecting the fertility of the female gametophyte.

Conclusions

In summary, we examined the ovular expression patterns of lncRNAs at different developmental stages and identified and screened lncRNAs in the fsv1 high-frequency female-sterile rice line and the wild-type rice line Gui99. In our study, functional modes were revealed for lncRNAs, including regulation of coherent target genes, acting as precursors of miRNAs, and competing with mRNAs for binding to miRNAs. Functional analysis of coherent target genes uncovered that lncRNAs are involved in multiple biological processes, such as signal transduction and hormone metabolism.

We hypothesize that abortion of a female gametophyte is a complex and delicate biological event, and changes in lncRNAs are important factors that affect this event. In conclusion, the studies above reveal whole genome expression profiles of lncRNAs in fsv1 high-frequency female-sterile and Gui99 wild-type rice ovules, providing important evidence for further research on the molecular mechanisms of female gametophyte fertility.
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