Exercise intensity and energy expenditure of a multicomponent home-based training program: Xiangya hospital circuit training (X-CircuiT)
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Introduction: Our previous studies showed that Xiangya Hospital Circuit Training (X-CircuiT) effectively improved physical fitness and reversed pre-frailty in community-dwelling older adults. This study aimed to explore the generalizability and applicability of X-CircuiT in different aged populations in the context of exercise intensity and energy expenditure.

Methods: We prospectively recruited 72 community-dwelling sedentary adults, twelve adults divided into 6 age groups ranging from 20 to 80 years old and separated by decades. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing was performed to determine peak heart rate (HRpeak). An individual HR-oxygen consumption regression equation was fit for each participant, and then a session of remote heart rate monitored X-CircuiT was performed. Exercise intensity (%HRpeak) and energy expenditure of X-CircuiT among the six age groups were assessed. Further sub-analysis was conducted by dividing the participants by peak metabolic equivalent (MET) values, <5 METs, 5–7 METs, and more than 7METs to explore the relationship between maximum exercise capacity and exercise intensity of X-CircuiT.

Results: The average %HRpeak of X-CircuiT for subjects in the 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, and 70–80 age groups were 54 ± 6, 59 ± 8, 60 ± 8, 62 ± 5, 66 ± 10, and 67 ± 13, respectively (p = 0.008); and the average energy expenditure was 121.9 ± 26.5, 123.3 ± 33.8, 129.2 ± 40.9, 130.9 ± 31.8, 146.8 ± 29.0, and 125.0 ± 28.4 kcal, respectively. The average %HRpeak for the warm-up, aerobic, acupoint patting, resistance, and stretching stages in overall subjects was 61 ± 9, 70 ± 10, 70 ± 10, 63 ± 9, and 57 ± 9, respectively. Furthermore, when subjects were divided by peak METs, it was found that the lower the peak METs, the greater the value of the relative exercise intensity indicators. The aerobic and acupoint stages of X-CircuiT could illicit a response of high intensity for those with peak METs <5, moderate intensity in those...
with peak METs of 5–7, and low-intensity for those with peak METs of more than 7.

Conclusion: Xiangya Hospital Circuit Training followed the principle of low-intensity warm-up and medium-intensity training with multicomponent exercise training. It is classified as a moderate-intensity exercise for sedentary middle-aged and older adults, or those with a maximum exercise capacity of 5–7 METs, and is classified as a low-intensity exercise for young people.
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Introduction
Physical inactivity and increased sedentary time, are prominent factors in the etiology of cardiovascular (1) and metabolic diseases, as well as negatively affecting psychological well-being (2, 3). Conversely, routine exercise is effective for the prevention as well as treatment of multiple somatic and psychological health issues (3–6). Despite the overwhelming evidence and well-known benefits of exercise, however, most adults maintain relatively sedentary lifestyles. Moreover, as physical inactivity accounts for approximately 3.2 million deaths a year globally (7), there is an impetus to introduce potential methods to reduce this.

Barriers to initiating an exercise regime are multidimensional and include both internal and external reasons. The most commonly cited external factors often include perceived lack of time and lack of facilities, while internal factors include lack of training knowledge and lack of motivation/effort (8). Furthermore, newcomers to exercise may select singular forms of increased physical activity while perhaps lacking the awareness of the multinational guidelines and recommendations on further facets of the multiple components of physical exercise (9).

The onset of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has presented a new challenge. Lockdowns and travel restrictions have been established, substantially disrupting the physical activity and dietary habits of people (10). During quarantine, barriers to exercise, particularly accessibility to equipment and ease of use, have become ever more prevalent. The resulting increased sedentary time and limited physical activity have led to increased weight and worsening physical and psychological health across multiple populations, such as heightened depression and anxiety (11) among young adults and more so in the middle-aged and older adults (12, 13).

To overcome or mitigate these issues, community or home-based exercises have been promoted by clinicians and entities alike (14), including our team. The Xiangya Hospital circuit training (X-CircuiT) program is a multi-component exercise program developed by the cardiac rehabilitation team, based on the current multinational guidelines, such as components of cardiopulmonary, resistance, flexibility, and neuromotor exercise, as well as stretching (15). X-CircuiT has been used for the past 8 years. It was previously found to safely and effectively improve heart and lung function, muscle strength, and endurance in healthy adults (16) and could reverse pre-frailty in pre-frail older adults (17). Furthermore, due to its ease of practice and instructional video, X-CircuiT was found to have high accessibility and acceptability (17).

Our program consists of five distinct stages: warm-up, aerobic, acupoint patting, elastic band resistance, and stretching training. Within each of these stages, we included elements of flexibility, coordination, and balance and integrated elements of the traditional Chinese neuromotor exercise. Research has established that moderate aerobic exercise and resistance training bring marked improvements in cardiovascular health and mobility (18, 19), and can effectively improve muscle strength, gait function, balance, and quality of life; flexibility training can effectively restore range of motion in various joints and improve functional outcomes (20). Acupoint patting has shown promising results in the treatment of anxiety, depression, and stress disorder (21).

Despite evidence of the use of X-CircuiT, further research is required to elucidate the generalizability and applicability of X-CircuiT in different age and sex populations. Therefore, this study intends to evaluate the intensity and energy expenditure of the X-CircuiT, which may provide the basis for the proliferation of its use.

Methods
Subjects studied
This cross-sectional study enrolled 72 volunteering sedentary adults from the Qing Yuan community, Changsha, China, between 1 August 2021 and 30 November 2021. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age > 18 years; (2)
edentary adults [defined in this study as spending more than 8 h/day sitting or reclined (22)]; and (3) voluntarily signed informed consent and actively participated in the exercise program. Participants were excluded for any one of the following reasons: (1) the presence of any unstable clinical condition; (2) an inability to exercise or a condition that may interfere with the exercise performance; (3) myocardial infarction in the past 3 months; (4) comorbidities that may influence exercise physiological response or energy expenditure, such as hyperthyroidism; (5) signs of ischemia during exercise testing; (6) high risk of cardiovascular events or injury during community exercise; and (7) current participation in an ongoing exercise intervention. The flowchart of this study is displayed in Figure 1. Subjects were enrolled into one of six groups based on their age: 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, and 70–80 years old, with 12 adults per group.

Sample size calculation: The preliminary pre-experiment included 12 subjects aged 20–29 years and found that the standard deviation (SD) of exercise intensity (%HRpeak) of X-CircuiT σ was 6 and the allowable error δ was 4 (95% CI/2). A sample size of 9 people would be needed to achieve an σ of 0.05, according to the formula: n = (Z1-α/2 σ/δ)². This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xiangya Hospital, Central South University (No. 202009264). All subjects signed an informed consent form.

Cardiopulmonary exercise testing

From August to November 2021, all participants performed a cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) 1 week before practicing X-CircuiT in Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, to obtain the peak heart rate (HRpeak), peak oxygen uptake (VO2peak), and the individual regression equation of HR and VO2. The standard of procedure for performing CPET has been described previously (23, 24). The HR and VO2 data collected from the CPET were used to fit the individual regression equation of HR-VO2, according to which we calculated the VO2 during X-CircuiT. The reliability and validity of this method has been reported previously (25–27).

X-CircuiT action plan

Xiangya Hospital Circuit Training consists of five stages lasting a total of 46 min. The instructional video and guide of X-CircuiT have been demonstrated previously (17). Briefly, each session began with a 4.5-min warm-up incorporating full coordinated upper and lower movement and dynamic stretching. This was followed by the aerobic stage, which along with the acupoint patting was divided into “elementary-level, intermediate-level, and advanced-level” sections based on the increased complexity of movement incorporated.

The aerobic stage (6.5-min) consists of 5 main movements, such as lateral steps, lateral cross-steps, knee-ups, forward lunges, and front steps. The Acupoint patting (6-min) involved coordinated aerobic movements along with open palm strikes directed at the Jian Jing (located on the shoulder) and Ba Liao (lower pelvic region), Ying and Yang meridians located on the inside and outside of the arms, respectively, Hegu (between the 1st and the 2nd metacarpal bones) and Houxi (when the fist is half-closed, the point is located in a depression proximal to the head of the fifth metacarpal bone), abdomen and lower back channels, and acupoints located in the leg.

The fourth stage of the circuit is the elastic band resistance training (15-min) targeting the large upper and lower limbs muscle groups, biceps, triceps, and quadriceps. The action sequence includes elastic band pull-aparts, front and lateral raises, elbow flexion/bicep curl, and elastic band lateral leg abductions. The elastic bands were color-coded, pink, orange, green, and blue (Sanctband elastic band), increasing tensile resistance in turn. Subjects were individually assigned an elastic band color based on the load-repetition relationship of resistance training, and we selected 50–60% of 1-RM, that is biceps curl to fatigue by repeating 17–20 repetitions. Valsalva movements were avoided during elastic band resistance training (17).

The final stage, flexibility training (14-min), focused on the stretching of major muscles, such as the pectoral muscle group, erector spinae, latissimus dorsi, trapezius, and major muscle groups in the leg. The main actions included dynamic and static stretching shoulder flexion, shoulder abduction, shoulder extension, and trunk stretch.

Exercise intensity and energy expenditure of X-CircuiT

The common indicators of exercise intensity were HR and VO2, and their derivative variables, such as metabolic equivalents (METs), percentage of achieved HR to HRpeak (%HRpeak), percentage of achieved VO2 to VO2peak.
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(%VO\textsubscript{2peak}), and percentage of HR reserve and VO\textsubscript{2} reserve (%HRR and %VO\textsubscript{2}R). VO\textsubscript{2} during the X-CircuiT (VO\textsubscript{2ex}) was calculated by the individual HR-V\textsubscript{O2} equation, VO\textsubscript{2} in L/min = coefficient × HRex in bpm + constant. The derivative variables of HR and VO\textsubscript{2} were calculated by the following equations: (i) MET\textsubscript{s} = VO\textsubscript{2ex} in L/min × 1,000/body weight in kg/3.5; (ii) %VO\textsubscript{2peak} = (VO\textsubscript{2ex}/VO\textsubscript{2peak}) × 100%; (iii) %VO\textsubscript{2R} = (VO\textsubscript{2ex} - VO\textsubscript{2rest})/(VO\textsubscript{2peak} - VO\textsubscript{2rest}) × 100%; (vi) %HR\textsubscript{peak} = (HR\textsubscript{ex}/HR\textsubscript{peak}) × 100%; and (v) %HRR = (HR\textsubscript{ex} - HR\textsubscript{rest})/(HR\textsubscript{peak} - HR\textsubscript{rest}) × 100%. We followed the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) criteria to classify the level of exercise intensity (27, 28). Energy expenditure was calculated by the equation of calories = [MET\textsubscript{s} × body weight in kg × 3.5]/200 × exercise time (29).

Statistical analysis
Continuous variables, such as demographics, exercise intensity, and energy expenditure of participants are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD), while categorical variables, such as sex, and whether overweight as a number (percentage). Differences between groups, divided by age, sex, and peak MET values, were analyzed using independent sample t-tests or analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables, while the chi-square test was used for categorical variables, according to the type of distribution. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS v. 25.0 (IBM Corporation, Chicago, IL, USA) and GraphPad Prism v.7.0 (GraphPad Software Corporation, California, USA) and statistical significance was set at α = 5%.

Results

Demographics and characteristics of subjects

The demographics and characteristics of the 72 subjects enrolled in the study are presented in Table 1. The difference between the six groups in HR\textsubscript{peak}, VO\textsubscript{2peak},...
Table 1 Demographics of the participants.

|                | Total (n = 72) | 20–29 yrs (n = 12) | 30–39 yrs (n = 12) | 40–49 yrs (n = 12) | 50–59 yrs (n = 12) | 60–69 yrs (n = 12) | 70–79 yrs (n = 12) | P-value |
|----------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Female, n (%)  | 41 (59)       | 6 (50)            | 9 (75)            | 8 (66)            | 7 (58)            | 6 (50)            | 6 (50)            | 0.75    |
| Body weight, kg| 61.8 ± 10.6   | 58.6 ± 8.4        | 60.1 ± 10.5       | 62.8 ± 12.5       | 65.9 ± 8.9        | 62.7 ± 11.2       | 60.1 ± 12.2       | 0.57    |
| Height, cm     | 163 ± 9       | 165 ± 10          | 165 ± 10          | 163 ± 8           | 163 ± 9           | 161 ± 7           | 160 ± 9           | 0.70    |
| BMI, kg/m²     | 23.2 ± 2.9    | 21.6 ± 1.5        | 22.9 ± 1.9        | 23.5 ± 3.2        | 24.7 ± 2.2        | 24.2 ± 4.0        | 23.5 ± 3.1        | 0.08    |
| Overweight, n (%) | 25 (35.7) | 1 (8.3)           | 2 (16.7)          | 4 (33.3)          | 7 (58.3)          | 5 (41.7)          | 6 (60.0)          | 0.05    |

CPET parameters:

|                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |         |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| HRrest, bpm    | 72 ± 10        | 72 ± 10        | 75 ± 12        | 74 ± 11        | 75 ± 9         | 68 ± 7         | 68 ± 8         | 0.42    |
| HRpeak, bpm    | 153 ± 22       | 170 ± 11       | 166 ± 19       | 163 ± 8        | 153 ± 17       | 135 ± 20       | 126 ± 20       | <0.001  |
| Peak VO₂, L/min| 1.50 ± 0.50    | 1.83 ± 0.61    | 1.48 ± 0.46    | 1.62 ± 0.59    | 1.45 ± 0.30    | 1.47 ± 0.42    | 1.07 ± 0.32    | <0.001  |
| Peak VO₂, ml/kg/min | 24.1 ± 6.2 | 30.6 ± 6.9 | 24.3 ± 4.1 | 25.4 ± 5.3 | 21.9 ± 2.7 | 23.6 ± 6.6 | 17.8 ± 4.3 | <0.001  |
| Peak METs      | 6.9 ± 1.7      | 8.5 ± 2.0      | 7.0 ± 1.2      | 7.2 ± 1.5      | 6.2 ± 0.8      | 6.7 ± 1.7      | 5.1 ± 1.2      | <0.001  |
| Peak RER       | 1.16 ± 0.09    | 1.15 ± 0.07    | 1.20 ± 0.09    | 1.15 ± 0.12    | 1.15 ± 0.10    | 1.18 ± 0.09    | 1.14 ± 0.06    | 0.61    |
| Peak workload, watts | 111 ± 39 | 144 ± 47 | 122 ± 43 | 118 ± 32 | 105 ± 27 | 92 ± 20 | 73 ± 25 | <0.001 |

BMI, body mass index; CPET, cardiopulmonary exercise testing; HR, heart rate; VO₂, oxygen consumption; METs, metabolic equivalents; RER, respiratory exchange rate.

VO₂peak per kg, peak workload, and peak METs value was significant (p < 0.001 for each comparison), with a trend for gradual decrease with age (Table 1). The differences between the six groups in sex, weight, body mass index (BMI), HRrest, and peak respiratory exchange rate are displayed in Table 1. No significant difference was observed in blood pressure and peak VO₂ pulse between the six groups.

X-CircuiT heart rate response

Figure 2 shows the trend of HR response to X-CircuiT. HR gradually increased from the warm-up to the aerobic exercise stage, and then gradually decreased following the acupoint patting stage. The warm-up, aerobic, acupoint patting, resistance training, and flexibility training showed a heart rate of 92 ± 12, 106 ± 13, 105 ± 13, 95 ± 13, and 86 ± 11 beats per min (bpm), respectively. The average HR of the whole set was 95 ± 12 bpm, the HR fluctuated between 83 and 107 bpm, and the highest HR occurred at the 8 min and 30 s timepoint (107 ± 12 bpm), during the advanced-complexity part of the aerobic exercise stage, the lowest HR occurred at the 40th min (83 ± 11 bpm), during the flexibility training knee raise. This trend remained true across age groups (Figure 2B) and sex (Figure 2C).

X-CircuiT exercise intensity

Oxygen utilization in L/min during the X-CircuiT was calculated by the individual linear regression equation previously shown (18, 19). Figure 3 shows that under the continuous activity of X-CircuiT, the aerobic exercise stage had the greatest intensity, followed by the acupoint patting stage, gradually falling in intensity thereafter with the flexibility training stages having the lowest exercise intensity. In addition, for the 40–80 age group, whether regarding absolute exercise intensity (METs) or relative exercise intensity (%HRpeak, %VO₂peak, %HRR, and %VO₂R), the warm-up and flexibility stages were low-intensity exercises, while aerobic exercise and acupoint patting stages were of moderate-intensity. The absolute and relative intensities of each stage are presented in Figure 3. There were no statistical differences in absolute exercise intensity between the six age groups (p > 0.05), while the differences between the indicators of relative exercise intensity (%HRpeak, %VO₂peak, %HRR, and %VO₂R) were statistically significant (p < 0.05). Figures 3A,B shows a comparison between the six groups in %HRpeak and %VO₂peak, respectively. Each stage of X-CircuiT was statistically significant (p < 0.05), and the %HRpeak and %VO₂peak were observably greater with increased age. Figures 3C,D shows that the %HRR, and %VO₂R in X-CircuiT's aerobic exercise and acupoint patting stages were significantly different with increased age (p < 0.05).

Figure 4 shows the relationship between the maximum exercise capacity of participants and the exercise intensity of X-CircuiT. We found that the lower the peak METs, the greater the relative exercise intensity indicators (%HRpeak, %VO₂peak, %HRR, %VO₂R, and p < 0.05), while the aerobic training stage of the >7 METs group showed greater absolute exercise intensity than the other two groups (p < 0.05). The relative intensity and absolute intensity of aerobic training and acupoint patting stages of the <5 METs group (n = 11, 8 women), are all high-intensity,
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Actions, heart rate (HR) response of Xiangya Hospital Circuit Training (X-CircuiT). (A) shows an excerpt of X-CircuiT actions; (B,C) presents the heart rate response of X-CircuiT in the different age or sex groups, respectively. * indicates the difference between groups $p < 0.05$; ** indicates the difference between groups $p < 0.01$ (ANOVA) in (B). An independent sample t-test in (C).
of the 5–7 METs group (n = 33, 25 women), moderate-intensity, and for the >7METs group (n = 28, 9 women), low-intensity.

We found absolute exercise intensity (METs) of X-CircuiT was greater among men than in women. However, no significant differences were found between sex in relative exercise intensity (%HR\text{peak}, %VO\text{2peak}, %HRR, and %VO\text{2}R) (Figure 5).

### Energy expenditure of X-CircuiT

As shown in Figure 3F, under the continuous activity involved when undertaking X-CircuiT, the energy expenditure of the warm-up, aerobic training, acupoint patting, resistance training, and flexibility training was 11.8 ± 3.0, 23.8 ± 5.2, 21.3 ± 5.0, 42.4 ± 11.3, and 30.4 ± 9.7 kcal, respectively. The energy expenditure of the overall X-CircuiT program was 129.6 ± 32.1 kcal.

### Discussion

Xiangya Hospital Circuit Training is a multi-domain exercise program that was previously shown to be accessible, effective, and safe in improving function in older adults (16, 17).
FIGURE 4
The exercise intensity and energy expenditure of the X-CircuiT program between different peak METs value groups. (A) Shows the peak heart rate percentage (\%HR\text{peak}); (B) Shows the peak oxygen intake percentage (\%VO\text{\textsubscript{2peak}}); (C) Shows the heart rate reserve percentage (\%HRR); (D) Shows the oxygen uptake reserve percentage (\%VO\text{\textsubscript{2R}}); (E) Shows metabolic equivalent (METs); and (F) Shows the energy expenditure of X-CircuiT. The dotted lines are the medium intensity exercise (lower line) or high intensity (higher line) exercise boundary. *Indicates the difference of the corresponding stage between groups \( p < 0.05 \); and **indicates the difference between groups \( p < 0.01 \) (ANOVA).

It has an accompanying video and is tailored for practice at home or in the community. It has shown high acceptability in adults and may be a tool to improve sedentary behaviors and promote healthy lifestyles.

In the present study, we found X-CircuiT to be a moderate-intensity exercise for sedentary adults that elicits an energy expenditure and intensity similar to that of brisk walking or light dance, meeting the requirements of a low-intensity warm-up and cooldown and moderate-intensity aerobic training as recommended by multinational guidelines \((28, 29)\). It can be concluded that the absolute exercise intensity and relative exercise intensity of the warm-up and flexibility training stages of the X-CircuiT are categorized as low-intensity exercise. Broken down into age brackets, the aerobic exercise and acupoint patting stages constitute moderate-intensity exercise in the middle-aged and older brackets, and low-intensity for young people. Furthermore, the X-CircuiT aerobic and acupoint patting stages are classified as moderate-intensity exercises for people with a maximum exercise capacity of 5–7 METs.

The absolute intensity of X-CircuiT is not related to age. However, the relative intensity of exercise is closely related to age, meaning that the older the age, the greater the relative intensity of exercise. This may be explained by the physiological effects of aging, where aerobic capacity is reduced, which is
The exercise intensity of X-CircuiT in different sex groups. (A) Shows the peak heart rate percentage (%HR_{peak}); (B) Shows the peak oxygen uptake percentage (%VO_{2peak}); (C) Shows the heart rate reserve percentage (%HRR); (D) Shows the oxygen intake reserve percentage (%VO_{2R}); and (E) Shows metabolic equivalent (METs). The dotted lines are the medium intensity exercise (lower line) or high intensity (higher line) exercise boundary. *Indicates the difference of the corresponding stage between groups p < 0.05; and **indicates the difference between groups p < 0.01 (an independent sample t-test).

Highly related to the training load, in that if the same form of exercise is completed, the lower the aerobic capacity, the greater the training load would be (29). To test this theory, participants were also grouped based on peak METs rather than age. As predicted, the relative intensities of those with lower peak METs were significantly higher than those who achieved greater peak METs.

Relative exercise intensity is more accurate than absolute exercise intensity and more suitable as a prescription for exercise in older populations, taking into account the difference in individual exercise capacity levels (29, 30). In addition, the absolute intensity of X-CircuiT was sex-dependent, and the absolute intensity of men was greater than that of women, while the relative intensity of exercise was sex-independent. This may be because men's weight and BMI is larger than women's, and their coordination is inferior to women's, all of which increase their exercise intensity.
The benefits of exercise are well known, but it takes a certain amount of exercise. The American College of Sports Medicine recommends that adults maintain and promote health by performing no <150–300 min of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise per week combined with muscle-strengthening activities two times a week (28). As such the basic recommendations are categorized by cardiorespiratory exercise, resistance exercise, flexibility exercise, and neuromotor exercise.

Therefore, according to the results of this study, and following the fundamentals of intensity, volume, and frequency, it is recommended to carry out X-CircuiT 7 times a week in which the aerobic and acupoint patting stages would need to be repeated two times or combined with other forms of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (e.g., walking) to reach 150 min per week, and carrying out elastic band resistance training one time every other day.

Limitations

This study has certain limitations. First, although this study calculated and collected a certain sample size based on the results of the previous pre-experiments, the sample size is still relatively small, and a larger sample size will be included in the later stages to more accurately measure the intensity and energy expenditure of X-CircuiT. Second, this study measures the intensity and energy expenditure of motion under the continuous movement of the program rather than individual stages, and the heart rate and oxygen consumption between the parts may affect each other. However, in clinical application, these can be applied as a whole, and the acupoint patting stage can be applied separately. So the later stage will measure the intensity and energy consumption of the X-CircuiT acupoint patting stage.

Conclusion

Xiangya Hospital Circuit Training conforms to the principle of low-intensity warm-up and medium-intensity training and could be recommended as a community/home-based exercise program for sedentary adults. Therefore, X-CircuiT should be used as a regular exercise program for sedentary middle-aged and older adults or those with an exercise capacity of 5–7 METs, and can be used as supplementary training in young adults.
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