An ioMRI-assisted case of cervical intramedullary diffuse glioma resection
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Purpose: To date, application of intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging (ioMRI) to enhance surgical quality for spinal intramedullary neoplastic lesions has been rarely reported. Moreover, in developing countries or regions, ioMRI accessibility remains very limited. This report describes a technology design of high-field ioMRI accessible for multiprocedure rooms via a case presentation of an imaging-assisted surgical excision of human cervical spinal cord diffuse glioma.

Patient and methods: The patient was a 44-year-old woman with symptomatic and progressive C2–5 intramedullary diffuse glioma (IDG). Our ioMRI system was designed and arranged with accessibility to multiple operation rooms, which was used to assure more complete spinal cord or brain tumor removal. The intraoperative diagnostic aspects and the system setup technical details are presented for future applications of the system in hospitals where a designated ioMRI suite is not available.

Results: After a conventionally defined complete removal of C2–C5 IDG using a well-established surgical approach, ioMRI examination was able to detect residual tumor tissues that were indistinguishable under the surgical microscope. The IDG clusters were subsequently excised. The operation regimen resulted in a gross total elimination of the tumor, which enabled the patient to show very satisfactory postsurgery recovery and prognosis.

Conclusion: ioMRI-assisted surgical removal of cervical spinal cord diffuse glioma should be systematically developed and applied to enhance therapeutic efficacy. The reported logistic flow of operating room tasks and imaging technical management are innovative for performing the tumor removal procedures in hospitals where designated ioMRI surgical suites do not exist. Critically, we emphasize implementation of stringent quality control measures for patient transportation safety and contamination prevention in establishing and maintaining such a system.
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Introduction

Intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging (ioMRI) has been increasingly used for improving efficacy of surgical treatment, particularly for intracranial neurosurgical procedures.¹ Tangible clinical reports have demonstrated that effective use of ioMRI systems helps to augment the efficiency of pathological lesion targeting and tumoral removal.² ³ However, establishing standard ioMRI setting in a totally designated operation room (OR) equipped with titanium MRI-safe instruments/tools is an exceedingly expensive endeavor, which has not been readily available for hospitals in developing countries or regions. Therefore, despite highly positive outcomes and recommendations from the initial exploration of ioMRI use in spine surgeries,⁴ judicious application of this technology to enhance surgical outcome quality for spinal intramedullary neoplastic lesions has been very rarely reported to date (Table S1).⁶

Correspondence: Yang D Teng
Departments of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation and Neurosurgery, Harvard Medical School, 300 1st Avenue, BLD-79/96, Charlestown, MA 02129, USA
Tel +1 617 952 6172
Fax +1 617 952 6060
Email yang_teng@hms.harvard.edu

Zafer Orkun Toktas
Department of Neurosurgery, Bahçeşehir University Medical Faculty, Istanbul, Turkey
Tel +90 532 307 8489
Email drzafertoktas@gmail.com
Intramedullary spinal cord tumors (IMSCT) comprise about 2%-4% of all central nervous system tumors, representing approximately 20% of spine tumors in adults and up to 35% of spine tumors in children.7 Surgery of the cervical intramedullary glia-origin tumors presents an extraordinary challenge due to concentrated neurobiological centers governing vital functions in the spinal cord segments. The completeness of surgical resection has been determined as a key factor for determining survival prognosis and as a main predictor of long-term outcomes.8,9 Here, we report an ioMRI-assisted surgical protocol designed for a cervical intramedullary diffuse glioma case. The paper is focused on assessing the creative use of the ioMRI equipment for resecting IMSCT, as well as its advantages, disadvantages, and safety issues.

Clinical case and methods

Patient
A previously healthy 44-year-old woman presented with intermittent episodes of bilateral upper limb numbness over 8 months with worsening symptoms associated with bilateral hand clumsiness and unsteady gait in the past month. She denied having any sphincter dysfunction. Her neurological function status was Nurick grade III. Cervical MRI scan was prescribed for detecting possible pathologic conditions.

Diagnosis, assessment, and treatment plan
The patient’s general physical examination was unremarkable. The pathologic findings on neurological examination were as follows: spastic quadriparesis that was most prominent on the left side, hypoesthesia mainly between C5 and T1, exaggerated deep tendon reflexes on the left side limbs, and a positive Babinski’s sign in the left foot. There was reduced vibration and position sense in the left toe and ankle. Rectal tone and sensation were both normal. The patient also exhibited Romberg’s sign and spastic-ataxic type gait disturbances. T2-weighted MRI examination of her cervical spine revealed a hyperintense C2–C5 intramedullary lesion with spinal cord expansion and edema extending rostrally into the medulla and caudally to the thoracic spinal cord (Figure 1). There was no contrast enhancement. We therefore decided to perform microsurgical tumor resection with the aid of a unique imaging protocol that allowed application of high-field ioMRI in combination with neurophysiological monitoring of somatosensory and motor-evoked potentials (MEPs). For the ioMRI T2WI-detected hyperintensity zone, the area was first explored under microscopic guidance. Following tumor confirmation, the resection was performed under stringent control of MEP neuromonitoring. The design prevented us from mistaking operation-induced hyperintensities as tumor masses. Our approach, with enhanced safety measures for patient protection, augmented surgical completeness of IMSCT resection in a multiple operating room (OR) setting that did not have a designated ioMRI operation suite.

Multi-OR ioMRI concept
At Bahcesehir University, Goztepe Medical Park Hospital, any of the six operating rooms in our surgical theater is designed to have access to the ioMRI (MRW450) system between surgical procedures or stages (Figure 2). Implementation of this novel concept permits that each OR can be assigned for its routine operations with prephased access to ioMRI assistance. Furthermore, the use of MR compatible headrest–head coil unit (General Electric Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) allows the patient to be imaged directly at planned procedure time point. The surgical tables (Maquet GmbH, Rastatt, Germany) used in our OR are adapted to be interchangeable with an MRI compatible transfer table that enables transient transportation of patient to MRI gantry under general anesthesia. In the present case, the patient was positioned and fixed in prone position for posterior midline approach to the cervical intramedullary region. The thorax and pelvis of the patient were supported by silicon pads. At time of transfer, the operative field was covered with a new drape, coverings beyond the operative field were removed, and the patient was transferred through the connection door to the ioMRI system. Then, the MRI head coil was mounted on the headrest. After ioMRI scanning, the patient was brought back to the operating table, with a new drape being placed on the operative site followed by a general redraping. Images were processed and displayed immediately after acquisition in the monitoring room (Figure 3). Each step was controlled manually, and the members of the surgical team (ie, the primary surgeon and assistant surgeon, anesthesiologist, and radiologist) supervised the transfer to ensure patient safety. The primary neurosurgeon and radiologist interpreted the ioMRI results before refinement microsurgery was performed to ensure a complete removal of the neoplastic mass (Figure 3; Supplementary video).

Tissue bank
The surgically removed tumoral tissue was preserved in The Bahcesehir University Tissue Bank (BUTB). BUTB maintains a tissue database with links to our general clinico-pathological data storage. The tissue sample was snap-frozen.
in liquid nitrogen immediately after surgical removal and subsequently transferred from the OR to the tissue bank’s liquid nitrogen bank for long-term storage and future analytical studies.

**Results**

Surgery was started after positioning of the needles for neurophysiological monitoring of somatosensory and MEPs. The neoplastic lesion was reached via posterior median approach...
to the cervical spine and spinal cord. After the primary neurosurgeon microscopically achieved a satisfactory resection by the best conventional neurosurgical judgment, the patient was transiently transported for receiving an ioMRI evaluation per procedures detailed in the “Methods”. MRI outcome was jointly assessed with the onsite radiologist. They identified a small volume of residual tumor located toward the cranial end of the surgical field that was subsequently and accordingly removed as confirmed by MRI diagnosis afterwards. Postsurgery pathological assessment defined the tumor as low-grade astrocytoma based on World Health Organization classifications. With the patient’s written consent, the removed tissue was kept at BUTB. The patient recovered without any complication and remained well in our last following 6 months later.

During the whole operation, somatosensory and MEPs remained stable. No anesthesia-related problems or perioperative complications were encountered. The interprocedural ioMRI evaluation added about 40% more anesthesia time compared with a conventional approach without neural imaging assistance. Based on published data, we deemed the benefits of the enhanced completeness of tumor resection outweighed potential drawbacks of the limited increase of anesthesia period.

Discussion
In our institution, the multi-OR ioMRI concept and design, an upgrade from the so-called “shared-resource” system enables interprocedural imaging assistance for microsurgical resection of intramedullary diffuse neoplasm in high risk regions such as cervical spinal cord. It permits allocating the high-field MRI unit for outpatient diagnostic use when imaging is not needed intraoperatively.1,10 In this setting, the interroom gating is provided by a magnetically shielded whole piece door and patient transportation via an MRI-safe transfer table. Under this system, the OR and MRI room can be used either combinatorially or independently. Therefore, the system is cost-efficient for regions and countries where establishing commercially engineered OR equipped with a designated ioMRI unit (eg, Brain Suite) is not financially feasible.

Although there are a number of reports on ioMRI-assisted brain operations,1–4,11,12 only very limited cases have been published regarding the use of ioMRI systems in spinal surgery,1,6,13 in spite of the initial favorable evaluation and strong recommendation.5 For example, Giordano et al6 described two patients for whom operations were performed in a Brain Suite, a dedicated radiofrequency-shielded operating room equipped with a high-field 1.5 T MR imager.6,11 Another group performed a posterior approach for intramedullary tumor biopsy with the coil and setting standardly deployed for intracranial surgery.7 Additional reports on the use of various ioMRI systems in spinal surgery concerned treatments of nontumoral pathologies, including discectomy, posterior decompression for degenerative diseases, and anterior decompression and fusion for cervical spondylotic myelopathy.5,13,14 Thus, to our best knowledge, we performed one of the first cases in the literature of an intramedullary tumor surgery with ioMRI; through this report, we introduced a novel multi-OR ioMRI concept and an effective ioMRI system that incorporated both high-field MR imaging and a shared-resource design for spinal neurosurgery.

For this case, we did not have any software of diffusion tensor imaging to assess possible tumor encasing of white matter axons.15 Thus, maximum feasible tumor resection was performed, based on intraoperative MEP neuromonitoring-defined safe surgery zones. Our MRI-safe transfer table, exchangeable operating table, and headrest coil had certain limitations that, depending on specific needs of some patients, might affect practicality of performing certain spinal procedures. For instance, patients with broad shoulders and short necks could not be readily positioned in the aforementioned system. We are designing additional equipment for increased physical adaptation capacity. We emphasize that in order to
maximize quality control under a shared-resource design, the members of the surgical team (i.e., primary, assistant surgeons and nurses plus onsite anesthesiologist and radiologist) should have a predelegated responsibility sharing plan. All should participate and/or supervise task execution to secure achieving stage-specific goals. Lastly, OR-related infection has been a known risk factor,16,17 but our experience showed that carefully applied sterile draping before and after each procedure transition and thorough MRI room sterilization before and after each intraoperative visit could help to avoid wound contamination. To establish the cost-effective approach for the ioMRI access we reported, there are serious training and organization endpoints that the team members must accomplish. These include safety insurance for the patients during transportation, establishing a reliable collaborative OR workflow, and sterile maintenance for the patient’s stabilization instruments, OR, transportation corridor, and ioMRI room. All, in fact, can be achieved through a systematically designed quality control system with periodical performance validations.

The ioMRI technique shows special value in delineating the tumor–spinal cord interface where normal anatomy has been deformed and surgical microscopic discrimination between tumor and cord tissue is mostly infeasible, especially in larger tumor cases. Encouragingly, less expensive intraoperative imaging navigation systems recently became available, which has shown benefits for doing more precise resections of intracranial gliomas.18–20 Theoretically, the use of such a navigation system to guide a surgical resection plan that is based on an MRI-defined tumor topology profile could also be an improved approach for hospitals where a designated ioMRI suite is not available. Systematical studies to test the efficacy of such combinatorial applications should be carried out in the future to maximize surgical efficacy and help with development of targeted treatments for intramedullary diffuse glioma.20

Conclusion

The present case demonstrates that a unique ioMRI system, which has a distinct capability to sequentially serve multiple patients during their surgeries and carries excellent value to eliminate residual volume of cervical spinal cord diffuse glioma. The operation was simultaneously supported by neurophysiological monitoring, an important technical enhancement that is not practicable in current Brain Suite or Brain Suite-like settings. We highlight the importance of sterile handling and safety standards in patient transportation, and acknowledge that well-designed longitudinal prospective studies are needed in the future to further validate the beneficial impact of similar systems.
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