Clustering of multiple health risk behaviours and association with socio-demographic characteristics and psychological distress among adolescents in Ghana: A latent class analysis
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**ABSTRACT**

This study examined the prevalence of multiple health risk behaviours, the clustering patterns of health risk behaviours, the association between socio-demographic characteristics, psychological distress and clusters and the relationship between number of health risk behaviours and psychological distress among adolescents in Ghana. Participants were senior high school (SHS) students aged 11–19 years who participated in the 2012 Global School-based Students Health Survey (n = 1763). Five health risk behaviours (smoking tobacco, inadequate fruit intake, inadequate vegetable intake, alcohol intake and physical inactivity) were measured. Participants were classified to be at risk if they indicated they smoked tobacco, did not eat fruit ≥5 a day, drank alcohol during the past 30 days and did not engage in physical activity for ≥60 min per day during the past 7 days. Latent class analysis and latent regression were used to identify the clusters and factors associated with the clusters respectively. Multiple logistic regression was used to determine the relationship between number of health risk behaviours and psychological distress. The prevalence of multiple health risk behaviours (2 or more) was 94.8%. Two clusters emerged: Cluster 1 (“Poor nutrition, inactive, low substance use cluster”; 91%); Cluster 2 (“High Risk Cluster”; 9%). Using cluster 1 as a reference group, adolescents in the 11–15 years category had lower odds of belonging to cluster 2 (OR = 0.21 CI 0.05–0.91, p = 0.036) while those experiencing symptoms of depression had higher odds of belonging to cluster 2 (OR = 2.45 CI 1.45–4.14, p = 0.001). No significant relationship was found between number of health risk behaviour and psychological distress. Health risk behaviours cluster among adolescents with age and depression associated with the identified clusters. Early interventions that target these clusters are needed at the individual, school and community level to mitigate the burden of non-communicable diseases.

1. Introduction

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as diabetes, stroke, cancer and cardiovascular diseases are the leading cause of death in the world and in Ghana (Loef & Walach, 2012; World Health Organization, 2018). Smoking tobacco, inadequate fruit intake, inadequate vegetable intake, alcohol intake and physical inactivity have consistently been identified as major health risk factors responsible for NCDs and mortality (Liu et al., 2012; Loef & Walach, 2012; Spring, Moller, & Coons, 2012).

Adolescence, a transitional period from childhood to adulthood is a critical period when young people begin to engage in health risk behaviours such as such as smoking tobacco, inadequate fruit consumption, inadequate vegetable consumption, alcohol consumption, and physical inactivity (Matias, Silva, Silva, Mello, & Salmon, 2018; Meader et al., 2016) and experience high level of psychological distress (Patton et al., 2014). According to the biopsychosocial model,
biological/physiological factors (i.e. hormones, genetic predisposition, time of puberty and brain maturation), psychological factors (i.e. self-esteem, sensation seeking and affective states) and social/environmental factors (such as influences of peers and parents, influence of mass media and community norms) interact to explain why adolescents engage in health risk behaviours and how these behaviours cluster (Sales & Irvin, 2009, pp. 31–50).

Available data showed that 9.1% of adolescents aged 13–18 years in Ghana smoke tobacco (Doku, Koivusilta, Raisamo, & Rimpela, 2012), 56% and 48% are not meeting the recommended guideline for fruit and vegetable consumption respectively (Doku, Koivusilta, Raisamo, & Rimpela, 2013), 12.6% reported drinking alcohol (Oppong Asante & Kugbe, 2019), 75% were physically inactive (Seidu et al., 2020), 16% reported experiencing symptoms of anxiety and 18.4% report experiencing symptoms of depression (Asante, Kugbe, Osafo, Quashie, & Sarfo, 2017). These health risk behaviours do not only occur in isolation but they also tend to co-occur or cluster with individuals engaging in multiple health risk behaviours simultaneously (Leech & McNaughton, 2014; Meader et al., 2016). The health impact of clustering or co-occurrence of health risk behaviours is higher than the addictive effects of individual health risk behaviours (Kvaavik, Batt, Ursin, Huxley, & Gale, 2011; Nechuta et al., 2010). Previous studies in developed countries have reported high prevalence of multiple health risk behaviours among adolescents (Boricic, Simic, & Eric, 2015; Dumith, Muniz, Tasistano, Hallal, & Menezes, 2012; Hale & Viner, 2016; Katon et al., 2010; Kipping, Smith, Heron, Hickman, & Campbell, 2015; Pearson, Atkin, Biddle, Gorely, & Edwardsion, 2009; Sanchez et al., 2007). For example, a Brazilian study reported that 93.5% of adolescents engage in multiple health risk behaviours (i.e. smoking, inadequate fruit intake, alcohol intake and/or physical inactivity (Dumith et al., 2012), 80% in the United States (Sanchez et al., 2007), 19.3% in Serbia (Boricic et al., 2015) and 83.2% in a Malaysian study (Feh et al., 2019).

Engaging in these health risks during adolescence are usually carried into adulthood (Kwan, Cairney, Faulkner, & Pullenayegum, 2012) and has impact on health in later life (Liu et al., 2012). In addition to future risk of chronic diseases, engaging in multiple health risk behaviours is associated with psychological distress among adolescents (Arbour-Nicitopoulos, Faulkner, & Irving, 2012). Compared to their peers who do not engage in multiple health risk behaviour or who engage in single health risk behaviours, adolescents who engage in multiple health risk behaviours experience higher levels of depression and anxiety (Bannink, Broeren, Heydelberg, van’t Klooster, & Raat, 2015; Yi et al., 2020). This suggest the need for early preventive health interventions that target multiple health risk behaviours and psychological distress instead of interventions that target multiple health risk behaviours or psychological distress separately.

A systematic review of studies that examined clustering of health risk behaviours among adolescents in developed countries reported a mixed clustering pattern of physical activity and sedentary behaviour and healthy and unhealthy clusters of all three health risk behaviours (i.e. physical activity, sedentary behaviour and diet) (Leech & McNaughton, 2014). Being female, older age and lower socio-economic status (Leech & McNaughton, 2014) were associated with cluster membership. Another systematic review also reported a strong evidence of clustering for risky sexual behaviour and alcohol use, risky sexual behaviour and illicit use of drug and risky sexual behaviour and smoking among young adolescents (Lepele et al., 2016). Socio-economic status and gender were identified as some factors associated with the clusters in these studies (Meader et al., 2016).

Ghana, a developing country in Sub-Saharan Africa is currently burdened with NCDs which accounted for 43% of all deaths in 2016 and higher projected trends in the coming years (World Health Organization, 2018b). Although health risk behaviours have been studied among adolescents in Ghana, these studies focused on prevalence of single health risk behaviours and its related factors (Doku et al., 2012; Glozah, Oppong Asante, & Kugbe, 2018; Manyanga, El-Sayed, Doku, & Randall, 2014; Oppong Asante & Kugbe, 2019). No study has examined the prevalence of multiple health risk behaviours, the clustering patterns of health risk behaviours and factors associated with the clusters and the relationship between number of health risk behaviours and psychological distress among adolescents in Ghana. Changing a single health risk behaviour is good but the benefit associated with changing multiple health risk behaviours is more beneficial (Prochaska, Nigg, Spring, Velicer, & Prochaska, 2010). It is therefore important to examine the prevalence and clustering patterns of multiple health risk behaviour instead of examining them in isolation. Given that previous studies have reported psychological distress among adolescents in Ghana and how they are associated with health risk behaviours, an exploration of how multiple health risk behaviours are associated with psychological distress is also needed.

The present study aimed to examine the prevalence of five key multiple health risk behaviours (i.e. tobacco smoking, inadequate fruit intake, inadequate vegetable intake, alcohol consumption, and physical inactivity), clustering patterns of these health risk behaviours and whether socio-demographic factors (i.e. age, socio-economic status and sex) and psychological distress (i.e. depression and anxiety) are associated with identified clusters, and the association between number of health risk behaviours and psychological distress among adolescents in Ghana. These health risk behaviours were assessed because they are consistently associated with NCDs and mortality. The findings from this study will guide the development of health preventive interventions that target multiple health risk behaviours and psychological distress concurrently.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and data

The cross-sectional design was used for this study. Data for this study was obtained from the 2012 Ghana Global School-Based Student Health Survey (GSHS) (World Health Organization, 2014a). GSHS is a school-based survey undertaken by the World Health Organization (WHO) in partnership with the Centre for Disease Control (CDC), Middle Tennessee State University and the Ghana Education Service (GES) (World Health Organization, 2014a). Participants’ health risk behaviours and other health information were collected using a closed ended questionnaire (World Health Organization, 2014b). Ethical approval was obtained from the Ministry of Education and relevant ethics committee. Written or verbal consents was sought from participants who were 18 years and above and for participants below 18 years, their parents or guardians were contacted to seek consent (World Health Organization, 2014b). Assent was obtained from the participants below 18 years before they participated in the study (World Health Organization, 2014b). Participation in this study was voluntary. Participants were assured of anonymity and confidentiality before data was collected. The student response rate for this study was 74% and the school response rate was 96% (World Health Organization, 2014b).

2.1.1. Sampling procedure and sample size

Participants in this study were sampled using a two-stage cluster sampling technique (World Health Organization, 2014b). Stage one involved the selection of schools from the 10 regions of Ghana and stage two involved the selection of schools using into consideration the number of students enrolled in the school (World Health Organization, 2014b). This was followed by random selection of classes in selected schools. The random selection gave all students an equal chance of being selected to be part of the study. To adjust for non-response and for the different probabilities of selection, probability weighting was applied to each participant’s response. In all, 1984 students participated in the survey (World Health Organization, 2014b).
2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Smoking tobacco

To assess participants smoking of tobacco, they were asked: “During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use any tobacco products other than cigarettes such as tawa snuff powder, chewing tobacco, paper rolled tobacco, dip, cigars, or pipe?” (World Health Organization, 2014b). Response options were: 0 days, 1 or 2 days, 3 to 5 days, 6 to 9 days, 10 to 19 days, 20 to 29 days, and all 30 days. Responses were dichotomised for the purpose of analysis: those who selected 0 days were coded as 0 and those who selected 1 or 2 days and above were assigned the code 1 (Oppong Asante & Kugbey, 2019). The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends no smoking tobacco to maintain a good health hence all Participants who selected 0 days in response to the question were classified as non-smokers and those who chose 1 or 2 days and above were classified as smokers (World Health Organization, n.d.).

2.2.2. Fruit consumption

Fruit consumption was assessed by asking participants: “During the past 30 days how many times per day did you usually eat fruit such as oranges, pineapple, watermelon, banana, guava, pear, sweet apple, mangosteens, or pawpaw?” (World Health Organization, 2014b). Response options varied from: I did not eat fruit during the past 30 days, less than one time per day, 1 time per day, 2 times per day, 3 times per day, 4 times per day, 5 or more times per day (World Health Organization, 2014b). Those who report eating fruits for ≥1 time per day were coded as 1 and those who report eating fruits for ≥2 times per day were coded as 0 for the purpose of analysis (Glozah et al., 2018). The World Health Organization recommends eating a minimum of 400 g of fruits per day (World Health Organization, 2005). The number of times in a day participant ate fruit was considered a proxy for the number of servings for fruits. Participants who had eaten fruits at least 2 times per day during the past 30 days were classified as adequate consumers of fruits and those who consumed fruits less than 2 times per day were classified as inadequate consumers of fruits (Teh et al., 2019).

2.2.3. Vegetable consumption

Participants vegetable consumption was assessed by asking them: “During the past 30 days how many times per day did you usually eat vegetables such as kumontire, garden eggs, lettuce, cabbage, okra, alefu, bira, ayoyo, or bean leaves?” Responses options were: I did not eat vegetables during the past 30 days, less than one time per day, 1 time per day, 2 times per day, 3 times per day, 4 times per day, 5 or more times per day (World Health Organization, 2014b). Participants responses were categorized as those who consumed vegetables for ≥5 times daily (coded 0) and those who consumed vegetables < five times a day were coded as 1 (Teh et al., 2019). The World Health Organization recommends eating at least 400 g vegetable each day to stay healthy (World Health Organization, 2005). The number of times participants ate vegetable was considered a proxy for the number of servings for vegetables. Participants who had eaten vegetables at least 5 times per day during the past 30 days were classified as adequate consumers of vegetables and those who consumed vegetables less than 5 times per day were classified as inadequate consumers of vegetables (Teh et al., 2019).

2.2.4. Alcohol consumption

Participants alcohol consumption was measured by asking the question: “During the past 30 days, on how many days did you usually eat alcohol such as bira, ayoyo, or bean leaves?” Responses options were: I did not eat vegetables during the past 30 days, less than one time per day, 1 time per day, 2 times per day, 3 times per day, 4 times per day, 5 or more times per day (World Health Organization, 2014b). Participants responses were dichotomised as those who consumed alcohol for ≥5 times daily (coded 0) and those who consumed alcohol < five times a day were coded as 1 (Dumith et al., 2012). Participants who indicated they drank alcohol for 1 day and above were considered to be at risk because alcohol consumption among adolescents or underage teenagers is considered illegal and has health consequences (World Health Organization, 2019).

2.2.5. Physical activity

To measure respondents physical activity, they were asked: “During the past 7 days, on how many days were you physically active for a total of at least 60 minutes per day?” The following options were provided: 0 days, 1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 4 days, 5 days, 6 days, and 7 days (World Health Organization, 2014b).

Participants not meeting the WHO recommended guideline of ≥60 min per day of moderate PA on five or more days a week were categorized as 1 and those meeting the guidelines were categorized as 0 (Glozah et al., 2018; World Health Organization, 2010).

2.2.6. Body mass index (BMI)

Participants were asked to report their height in metres and weight in kg. Participants body mass index was calculated by dividing their weight by height in metres squared. Participants were classified as underweight, over-weight or obese (World Health Organization, 2014b).

2.2.7. Psychological distress

Participants level of psychological distress was measured by asking 2 questions: 1. Feeling worried (Anxiety) - “During the past 12 months how often have you been so worried about something that you could not sleep at night? Response options were: Never, Rarely, Sometimes, Most of the time, Always 2. Feeling lonely (Depression) “During the past 12 months how often have you felt lonely?” Response options were: Never, Rarely, Sometimes, Most of the time, Always (World Health Organization, 2014b). Responses were coded 0 if students selected never, rarely and sometimes and 1 for those who selected most of the time and always for the purpose of analysis (Glozah et al., 2018; Oppong Asante & Kugbey, 2019).

2.2.8. Socio-demographic characteristics

The following socio-demographic characteristics were assessed: age, sex, grade and socio-economic status (World Health Organization, 2014b). Table 1 below has the questions that were used to assess socio-demographic characteristics. Hunger status was used as a substitute measure of socio-economic status (Khan, Uddin, Lee, & Tremblay, 2019) because the GSHS did not directly measure socio-economic status.

3. Ethical approval

The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Middle Tennessee State University. Ethical policies of the Ghana Education Service regarding the participation of students in research were strictly adhered to. Written permission was obtained from the Ghana Education Service (GES), participating schools, and teachers. Informed consent was obtained from the participants and parental consent was obtained from

Table 1

| Socio-demographic variables | Questions                                                                 | Recoding for regression analysis |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Age                         | How old are you?                                                          | (1) 11–15 years                  |
| Sex                         | “What is your sex?”                                                       | (2) 16 years and above           |
| Sex                         | “What is your sex?”                                                       | (1) Female                       |
| Socio-Economic Status (SES) | “During the past 30 days, how often did you go hungry because there was not enough food in your home?” | (2) Male                         |
| Class                       | “In what grade are you?”                                                 | (1) Never/Rarely                 |
| Class                       | “In what grade are you?”                                                 | (2) Sometimes/Most of the time/Always |


minors prior to data collection.

4. Statistical analysis

Latent class analysis (LCA) was used to identify clusters of participants with similar profiles of the five health risk behaviours (i.e. smoking tobacco, inadequate fruit intake, inadequate vegetable intake, alcohol consumption and physical inactivity). Each of the five health risk behaviours were coded as 1 indicating presence of risk and 0 indicating absence of risk. We summed them to generate a risk factor index of 0 (no risk factor) to 5 (presence of all risk factors).

LCA is a type of clustering analysis used to identify homogeneous, mutually exclusive classes in a heterogeneous population (Laska, Pauch, Lust, Story, & Ehlinger, 2009). Each latent class is made up of an estimated prevalence and probability of participants in the cluster who has each of the health risk behaviours (Noble et al., 2015). The latent regression model allows covariates to be included and this helps to identify how these covariates are associated with the latent clusters (Linzer & Lewis, 2011).

We used the Goodness of fit and interpretability of the clusters to determine the number of classes (Lanza, Collins, Lemmon, & Schafer, 2007). The LCA model was fit over three classes, the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) and Akaikes Information Criterion (AIC) were generated for each (Lanza et al., 2007). The class with the smallest BIC and AIC was considered a good fit (Lanza et al., 2007). All analysis was performed using STATA 15 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). Participants with missing values were not included in the analysis.

5. Results

5.1. Socio-demographic characteristics

A total of 1984 adolescents were recruited to participate in the study. However, 1763 provided complete data for the health risk behaviours in this study and those without complete data (n = 221) were excluded from the analysis. As shown in Table 2 below, A little above half were males (54.2%), majority were in the 16 and above age category (88%) and belonged to the high socio-economic status group (87%).

5.2. Prevalence of Health risk behaviours and psychological distress

Table 3 above is a summary of the prevalence of each of the health risk behaviours and psychological distress. The prevalence of smoking was 6.2%, 94.4% were not eating vegetables for five or more times per day, 78.1% were not eating fruits for two or more times in a day. The prevalence of alcohol consumption was 12.4% and 75.4% did not consume compared to cluster 1. This cluster also had high prevalence of physical inactivity. Cluster 1 had the lowest prevalence of multiple health risk behaviours (i.e. 2 and more health risk factors) was 94.8%

5.3. Prevalence of multiple health risk behaviours (tobacco smoking, inadequate fruit intake, inadequate vegetable intake, alcohol use, physical activity)

As presented in Fig. 1, 0.5% reported zero risk, 4.8% reported they engaged in one risk, 32.7% reported they engaged in two risks, 53.3% reported they engaged in three risks, 7.6% reported they engaged in four risks, 1.2% reported they engaged in all five risks. The overall prevalence of multiple health risk behaviours (i.e. 2 and more health risk behaviours) was 94.8%

5.4. Cluster profiles

Models with one to three latent classes were fitted without predictor variables. The BIC and AIC of these classes were compared. The result from the Goodness of fit indicated that the 2-class model was the best fit with small AIC (6644.931) and BIC (6705.154). The conditional probabilities of the two clusters are presented in Figs. 2 and 3 below.

Names were given to clusters based the health risk characteristics of each cluster. The two clusters are described as follows:

Cluster 1- “Poor nutrition, inactive, low substance use cluster” (91%). This cluster had the highest prevalence of poor nutrition characterised by inadequate vegetable intake, inadequate fruit intake and high prevalence of physical inactivity. Cluster 1 had the lowest prevalence of students who reported smoking tobacco and drinking alcohol.

Cluster 2- “High risk cluster” (9%): This cluster had the highest probability of students who report smoking tobacco and alcohol consumption compared to cluster 1. This cluster also had high prevalence of inadequate vegetable consumption, inadequate fruit intake and physical inactivity. However, the prevalence of inadequate fruit intake was higher in cluster 1 than this cluster.

5.5. Factors associated with cluster membership

Using cluster 1 as the reference group, the latent regression analysis...
result in Table 4 showed that adolescents in the 11–15 years category had lower odds of belonging to cluster 2 (OR = 0.21 CI 0.05–0.91, p = 0.036), those who reported experiencing a symptom of depression had higher odds of belonging to cluster 2 (OR = 2.45 CI 1.45–4.14, p = 0.001).

5.6. Relationship between number of health risk behaviours and depression

Table 5 below shows the relationship between number of health risk factors and depression using zero as the reference group. No significant association was found between number of health risk behaviours and depression. However, the adjusted odd ratios indicated that participants who reported engaging in two or more health risk behaviours had higher odds of experiencing depression.

5.7. Relationship between number of health risk behaviours and anxiety

Table 6 below shows the relationship between number of health risk behaviours and anxiety using zero as the reference group. No significant association was found between number of health risk behaviours and anxiety. However, the adjusted odd ratios indicated that participants who reported engaging in three or more health risk behaviours had higher odds of experiencing anxiety.

6. Discussion

This is the first study in Ghana to examine the prevalence of five key multiple health risk behaviours (i.e. smoking tobacco, inadequate fruit intake, inadequate vegetable intake, alcohol consumption and physical inactivity) that are associated with NCDs and risk of mortality, the clustering patterns of these health risk behaviours and their association with socio-demographic characteristics (i.e. sex, age and SES) and
psychological distress (i.e. depression and anxiety) and the relationship between number of health risk behaviours and psychological distress (i.e. anxiety and depression). Given that this is the first study in Ghana, a middle-income country in Sub-Saharan Africa, the findings of this study would be compared to similar studies conducted in developed countries.

Although some of the findings in our current study are similar to what was reported by previous studies in developed countries, comparison and generalisation should be done bearing in mind the differences in culture norms, climate and other factors between Ghana and these developed countries.

The prevalence of multiple health risk behaviours among adolescents in this study was 94.8%. This is consistent with previous research conducted among adolescents in Malaysia, United States and Brazil which also reported high prevalence of multiple health risk behaviours among adolescents (Dumith et al., 2012; Sanchez et al., 2007; Teh et al., 2019). This finding shows that adolescents in Ghana do not just engage in single health risk behaviours and it reinforces the need for interventions that address multiple health risk behaviours.

The present study also found health risk behaviours to cluster among the adolescents. The Latent Class Analysis generated two clusters namely: Cluster 1 ("Poor nutrition, inactive, low substance use cluster"). This is consistent with previous studies conducted in developed countries that reported low prevalence of smoking and alcohol among adolescent but high prevalence of inadequate fruit intake, high inadequate vegetable intake and high physical inactivity (Leech & McNaughton, 2014). Cluster 2 in our study had high prevalence of adolescents who smoke, drink alcohol and are physically inactive. This is also consistent with a previous study conducted among adolescents in Germany where being physically inactive clustered with smoking to tobacco and drinking alcohol (Landsberg et al., 2010). Our study also showed that inadequate fruit intake, inadequate vegetable intake clustered with physical inactivity. This is also consistent with previous studies in developed countries that reported clustering of physical inactivity and poor nutrition characterised by inadequate fruit and vegetable intake (Leech & McNaughton, 2014; Loef & Walach, 2012).

Given that the health risk behaviours adolescents engage in during adolescence are mostly carried into adulthood, the pattern of clustering identified in this study should inform the design of culturally effective interventions targeting these health risk behaviours concurrently at an early age which can then reduce the risk of non-communicable diseases.
in mind these limitations. First, the study used a cross-sectional design and psychological distress. Also, other health risk factors such as insufficient sleep, smoking of cigarettes and excessive screen time which cluster with the health risk behaviours we included in this study and have the potential to confound the clusters identified were not included in this study. These health risk behaviours were not measured by the GSHS team. Future studies should therefore include these health risk behaviours. Finally, although the study recruited large number of schools going adolescents using a sophisticated sampling method, the findings may not be generalizable to other adolescents who do not attend school.

6.2. Conclusion

In conclusion, the study indicated that adolescents engage in multiple health risk behaviours. Interventions targeting health risk behaviours should target multiple health risk behaviours instead of targeting risk behaviours in isolation. The clustering patterns of health risk behaviours and their associations with psychological distress should be taken into consideration when interventions are being designed and developed.
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