Fas Signaling in Dendritic Cells Mediates Th2 Polarization in HDM-Induced Allergic Pulmonary Inflammation
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Fas–Fas ligand (FasL) signaling plays an important role in the development of allergic inflammation, but the cellular and molecular mechanisms are still not well known. By using the bone marrow-derived dendritic cell (BMDC) transfer-induced pulmonary inflammation model, we found that house dust mite (HDM)-stimulated FAS-deficient BMDCs induced higher Th2-mediated allergic inflammation, associated with increased mucus production and eosinophilic inflammation. Moreover, FAS-deficient BMDCs promoted Th2 cell differentiation upon HDM stimulation in vitro. Compared to wild-type BMDCs, the Fas-deficient BMDCs had increased ERK activity and decreased IL-12 production upon HDM stimulation. Inhibition of ERK activity could largely increase IL-12 production, consequently restored the increased Th2 cytokine expression of OT-II CD4⁺ T cells activated by Fas-deficient BMDCs. Thus, our results uncover an important role of DC-specific Fas signaling in Th2 differentiation and allergic inflammation, and modulation of Fas signaling in DCs may offer a useful strategy for the treatment of allergic inflammatory diseases.

Keywords: allergic inflammation, dendritic cells, Fas, house dust mite, Th2

INTRODUCTION

Allergic inflammation has been generally considered as a T helper (Th) 2-mediated chronic immune response (1). Th2 cells produce effector cytokines such as IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 to mediate the respiratory symptoms. Among these effector cytokines, IL-4 is involved in IgE synthesis and IL-5 can drive eosinophilia in lung tissue, while IL-13 contributes to mucus overproduction, airway hyper-responsiveness (AHR), goblet cell metaplasia and airway remodeling (1–3). House dust mite (HDM) has been reported to cause 50–85% of allergic asthmatic inflammation (4). The polarization of a Th2-mediated immune response to inhaled allergens (such as HDM) is determined by the status of dendritic cells (DCs). DCs promote Th2 differentiation through upregulation of the expression of several costimulatory molecules such as CD86, OX40L and polarization cytokines including IL-6, IL-10, and IL-33 (5–8), as well as Th2-cell-attracting chemokines, such as CCL17 and CCL22 (9). Although accumulating evidence suggests that DCs are sufficient and necessary to
initiate Th2 responses, the underlying signaling mechanism for DCs to direct Th2 differentiation and function is still not well-understood.

Fas (CD95, also named APO-1) signaling is widely considered to mediate apoptosis upon binding to its ligand (FasL, also called "CD95L or APO-1L") or its agonist antibody (10). In an ovalbumin (OVA)-induced mouse asthma model, Fas-deficient mice have delayed resolution of airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) compared to wild-type mice (11). Further study indicates that Fas deficiency in T cells contributes to the prolonged resolution of airway inflammation (12). Recent studies have shown that Fas–FasL interaction could also activate non-apoptotic pathways, such as Fas signaling leading to T cell activation, proliferation and differentiation (13) and promoting Th17 polarization and Th17-mediated autoimmunity (14). Fas-deficient mice sensitized with OVA increase the expression of IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 compared to wild-type mice (15). Although DCs are pivotal in regulating T cell activation, proliferation, differentiation and allergic inflammation, the role of Fas signaling in DCs in driving Th2 differentiation and Th2-mediated allergic inflammation still need to be elucidated.

In the present study, we used the well-established model by adoptively transferring HDM-pulsed BMDCs to recipient mice to explore the role of Fas signaling in DCs in pulmonary inflammation. We found that Fas deficiency in DCs led to increased mucus production, eosinophilic inflammation and Th2 response in vivo. Fas-deficient BMDCs promoted the production of Th2-related cytokines such as IL-4 and IL-13. Further mechanistic study showed that DCs directed Th2 differentiation by modulating the Fas–ERK–IL-12 axis. Collectively, our results identify an important signaling mechanism of DC-mediated Th2 responses and modulation of Fas signaling in DCs might offer a useful strategy for the treatment of eosinophilic lung inflammatory diseases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice
B6.MRL-Tnfsf6<sup>lpr</sup> mice were from The Jackson Laboratory. C57BL/6 mice were from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Center (Shanghai, China). All mice were kept in a specific pathogen-free (SPF) barrier facility maintained by Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine. All the experimental mice were used at 6–10 weeks. Animal protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine.

BMDCs Culture
BMDCs were cultured as previously described (16). Briefly, bone marrow cells were collected by perfusing mouse femur and tibia. After red blood cell lysis, cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen Corp.) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS, Gibco), penicillin and streptomycin (Invitrogen), 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich), supernatant from J5 cells (provided by Dr. Qibin Leng, Institut Pasteur of Shanghai, China) expressing GM-CSF (1:50) and 10 ng/ml IL-4 (R&D). On day 3, the entire medium was removed and replaced with fresh differentiation medium. On day 7, the cells were harvested for analyses. The purity of CD11c<sup>+</sup> BMDCs was >80%.

BMDC Adoptive Transfer Experiment
In BMDC adoptive transfer experiment, wild-type or Fas-deficient BMDCs were pulsed with 50 µg/ml HDM (Greer Laboratories, Lenoir, NC) for 12 h, washed, and then 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> HDM-pulsed BMDCs were administered intravenously into naïve C57BL/6 recipients. Recipients transferred with un-pulsed wild-type or Fas-deficient BMDCs as control. On day 10–12, all the recipients were lightly anesthetized and challenged intranasally with 10 µg HDM in 40 µl PBS and the mice were sacrificed for analysis on day 13.

Antibodies and Flow Cytometry
Anti-mouse CD11c (N418), MHC-II (M5/114.15.2), CD11b (M1/70), Ly6G (RB6-8C5), siglec F (E50-2440), CD4 (RM4-5), TCRβ (H57-597), IL-4 (11B11), IL-13 (eBio13A), IL-17A (eBio17B7), CD25 (PC61.5), CD44 (IM7), CD62L (MEL-14), CD69 (H1.2F3), Ki-67 (SolA15), IL-12p40 (C17.8), CD45 (30-F11), CD178 (MFL3) antibodies were obtained from eBioscience. Anti-mouse IL-5 (TRFK5) was obtained from BD Biosciences. Anti-mouse CCR3 (J073E5) was obtained from Biolegend. For surface staining, cells were stained with antibodies in PBS containing 1% FCS (Hyclone) on ice for 30 min. For intracellular staining, cells were stimulated with PMA (Sigma-Aldrich) and ionomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 h in the presence of Golgistop (BD Biosciences) before being stained according to the manufacturer’s instructions (eBioscience). CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell proliferation was detected by anti-Ki-67 staining according to the manufacturer’s instructions (eBioscience) 3 days after co-culture. Labeling OTII CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells with CFSE (carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl diester; Invitrogen), cell proliferation was detected by flow cytometry 4 days after co-culture. For cell apoptosis analysis, cells were stained with CaspACE<sup>™</sup> FITC-VAD-FMK <i>in situ</i> Marker (Promega). The samples were acquired on a FACSChantoII (BD) or LSRRFortessa<sup>™</sup> X-20 (BD) and analyzed with FlowJo software (Treestar).

Bronchoalveolar Lavage Fluid (BALF) Collection and Lung Mononuclear Cell Isolation
For BALF collection, lung tissues were lavaged with 1 ml cold PBS for 3 times and the supernatant was collected. Lung mononuclear cells were prepared as previously described (17). Briefly, lung tissues were removed, minced and digested with 1 mg/ml Collagenase IV (Life Technologies) in RPMI-1640 (Hyclone) with 5% FCS (Hyclone) for 45 min at 37°C. Cells were enriched by using 38% Percoll gradient (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Red blood cells were lysed with ACK lysis buffer (R&D Systems). Cells were harvested for analyses.

Histology
Left lobe of lung tissues were removed from mice after BALF collection, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at room temperature for 24 h and embedded in paraffin, cut into 5-µm sections for hematoxylin and eosin (HE) or periodic acid-Schiff
(PAS) staining. The lung inflammation was blindly quantified using HE-stained sections according to the criteria previously published (18). The quantification of the goblet cell hyperplasia in the airway was done as previously described (19).

RNA Isolation and Quantitative PCR (qPCR)
Total RNAs of lung tissues were isolated using Trizol regent (Invitrogen). Total RNAs of cells were isolated using RNAeasy mini Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 1 µg total RNA was used for reverse transcription with PrimeScript RT Master Mix (TAKARA) according to the manufacturer's instructions in a total volume of 20 µl. qPCR was carried out with SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) in a Viia7 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). mRNA expression of genes was normalized to Hprt. The primers shown below were from Primerbank: Hprt, forward primer: TCAGTCAACGGGGACATAAA, reverse primer: GGGGCTCAAGTGAT; reverse primer: GCCGATGATCTCTCTCC CCAGCTAGT, reverse primer: ACTGAG, reverse primer: CGCCAAGGGAG TTAAAGACTT; Il6, forward primer: GCCAGGGACAGTGTCTTG, reverse primer: TGCTGATGGCGTATTGTCTT; Il17a, forward primer: GCCCAAGGGAG TAAAGACTT; Ifng, forward primer: GCCACGGCACAGTCATTGA, reverse primer: AGTGGTATAGACAGGTATGTTGG; Ctgcaagagacttgctccag, reverse primer: AGTGGTATAGACAGGTATGTTGG; Ifng, forward primer: GCCACGGCACAGTCATTGA, reverse primer: AGTGGTATAGACAGGTATGTTGG; Ctgcaagagacttgctccag, reverse primer: AGTGGTATAGACAGGTATGTTGG; Il12p35, forward primer: CAATCACTGTGAGGATTAC, reverse primer: GTCCTCAGAAGCAGGATGGAC.

Cell Stimulation and Culture
BMDCs were stimulated with HDM in the presence or absence of Fas agonistic antibody Jo2 (1 µg/ml, BD) or Fas antagonistic antibody kpi7-6 (1 mM, Merk) for 5 h for RNA analysis. For drug inhibitor treatments, cells were incubated with vehicle (DMSO) or U0126 (10 µM) (from Calbiochem) for 0.5–1 h before adding other stimuli. For BMDC–T cell co-culture, BMDCs and flow cytometry-sorted naïve OT-II CD4+ T cells (CD4+25–CD44–CD62L+) were mixed at a ratio of 1:10 in the presence of OVA323–339 peptide and HDM, and then the CD4+ T cells were harvested at 48 h for mRNA analysis or supernatant was harvested at 72 h for ELISA. For cytokine treatment, cultures were supplemented with 1 ng/ml IL-12p70 (R&D).

Protein Analysis
Concentrations of IL-4 and IL-13 were measured by ELISA according to the manufacturer’s instructions (R&D; eBioscience). Read the OD values at 450 nm on the MultiSKAN GO microplate reader (Thermo). Immunoblot analysis was performed as described (25) with antibody to ERK phosphorylated at Thr202 and Tyr204, antibody to p38 phosphorylated at Thr180 and Tyr182, antibody to JNK phosphorylated at Thr183 and Tyr185 and antibody to ERK (all from Cell Signaling Technology), antibody to alpha tubulin (Proteintech).

Statistical Analysis
All statistical analyses were performed by unpaired Student’s t-tests or ANOVA using GraphPad Prism software (version 5.0). P < 0.05 was considered significant. Results represent means ± SEM.

RESULTS
Fas-Deficient BMDCs Enhance HDM-Induced Pulmonary Inflammation
To explore the role of Fas signaling in DCs in the regulation of HDM-induced allergic inflammation in mice, we used a BMDC adoptive transfer protocol to induce lung inflammation (Figure 1A). We transferred HDM-pulsed or un-pulsed wild-type or Fas-deficient BMDCs into naïve wild-type recipient mice. After HDM re-challenged, mice received HDM-pulsed BMDCs showed higher total cell number in the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) compared to mice received un-pulsed BMDCs (Figure 1B). A significantly increased total cell number was also observed in the BAL of recipients transferred with HDM-pulsed Fas-deficient BMDCs (Figure 1B). We also observed higher inflammatory cell infiltration and mucus production in lung tissues of recipients transferred with HDM-pulsed Fas-deficient BMDCs than those transferred with HDM-pulsed wild-type BMDCs (Figures 1C,D). Flow cytometry showed that a dramatically increased eosinophil infiltration both in the BAL and lung tissues of recipients transferred with HDM-pulsed Fas-deficient BMDCs compared to those transferred with HDM-pulsed wild-type BMDCs (Supplementary Figures 1A,B). We also analyzed the inflammatory eosinophils (iEos) (CD45+Siglec F1intCCR3+CD62L−) and resident eosinophils (rEos) (CD45+Siglec F1intCCR3+CD62L+) in lung tissues (26). The cell number of iEos was increased in recipients transferred with HDM-pulsed Fas-deficient BMDCs compared to those transferred with HDM-pulsed wild-type BMDCs, but rEos was comparable between recipients transferred with HDM-pulsed wild-type BMDCs and those transferred with Fas-deficient BMDCs (Figure 1E). However, the neutrophil infiltration had no difference between the two groups (Supplementary Figures 1C,D). Together, these data indicate that HDM-pulsed Fas-deficient BMDCs can induce more severe allergic airway inflammation than HDM-pulsed wild-type BMDCs.

Fas Signaling in BMDCs Does Not Affect CD4+ T Cell Proliferation, Apoptosis, and Activation in vivo
In addition to a role of innate immune cells in the development of allergic inflammation, the adaptive immune system also play an important role in driving and sustaining this inflammation (27). A comparable CD4+ T cell activation was observed in lung tissues of the recipients transferred with HDM-pulsed wild-type or Fas-deficient BMDCs (Figure 2A). We also...
examined whether Fas-deficient in BMDCs could affect CD4\(^+\) T cell proliferation or apoptosis in vivo, we performed Ki-67 and VAD staining assay, respectively. Our results showed that the proportion of Ki-67\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T cells and the median fluorescence intensity (MFI) value of VAD\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T cell in lung tissues and mediastinal lymph nodes (mLN) had no differences between the recipients transferred with HDM-pulsed wild-type BMDCs and those transferred with Fas-deficient BMDCs (Figures 2B–E). Taken together, these results show that Fas signaling in BMDCs is not required for CD4\(^+\) T cell activation, proliferation and apoptosis upon HDM treatment.
Fas-Deficient BMDCs Promote Th2 Responses Upon HDM Treatment

Given that there was no difference in the proliferation and apoptosis of CD4\(^+\) T cells in the recipients transferred with HDM-pulsed wild-type or Fas-deficient BMDCs, we reasoned that the difference in inflammatory response might be due to the potential of these cells to produce inflammatory cytokines. Thus, we first measured cytokine expression in lung tissues of these two group mice. The qPCR results showed that the recipients transferred with HDM-pulsed Fas-deficient BMDCs had higher mRNA expression of Il4 (encoding IL-4), Il5 (encoding IL-5), and Il13 (encoding IL-13), but comparable expression of Ifng (encoding IFN\(\gamma\)) and Il17a (encoding IL-17A) in lung tissues than those transferred with HDM-pulsed wild-type BMDCs (Figure 3A). Although a comparable percentage and cell number of CD4\(^+\) T cells was observed in lung tissues of the two HDM-pulsed groups (Figure 3B), intracellular staining showed that recipients transferred with HDM-pulsed Fas-deficient BMDCs had higher percentage of IL-4\(^+\), IL-5\(^+\), and IL-13\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T cells in lung tissues than those transferred with wild-type BMDCs, along with higher cell number of IL-4\(^+\), IL-5\(^+\), and IL-13\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T cells (Figures 3C,D), whereas the percentage and cell number of IL-17\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T cells were similar in the two groups (Supplementary Figures 2A,B). However, the percentage and cell number of IL-4\(^+\), IL-5\(^+\), and IL-13\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T cells had no difference between the recipients transferred with un-pulsed wild-type and Fas-deficient BMDCs (Supplementary Figures 2C,D). Taken together, these results demonstrate that HDM-pulsed Fas-deficient BMDCs promote Th2 responses in vivo.

Fas Signaling in BMDCs Instructs Th2 Cell Differentiation in vitro

To determine whether the increased Th2 response in vivo is due to the direct interaction between DCs and T cells, we cocultured wild-type or Fas-deficient BMDCs with naïve OT-II CD4\(^+\) T cells in the presence of OVA\(_{323–339}\) peptide with or without HDM. The polarization of CD4\(^+\) T cells was determined by qPCR or ELISA, respectively. OT-II CD4\(^+\) T cells activated with HDM-stimulated Fas-deficient BMDCs had higher IL-4 and IL-13 expression both in mRNA and in protein levels than those stimulated with wild-type BMDCs (Figures 4A,B). GATA3, the master transcription factor for Th2 cell differentiation, was also found increased in T cells activated by HDM-pulsed Fas-deficient BMDCs, while the expression of Il9 and Il10 was comparable (Figure 4A). The activation and proliferation of OT-II CD4\(^+\) T cells activated by HDM-pulsed both wild-type and Fas-deficient BMDCs were comparable (Figures 4C–E). Collectively, these data indicate that Fas signaling mediates the direct crosstalk between BMDCs and Th2 cells upon HDM stimulation in vitro.

Fas-Deficient BMDCs Promote Th2 Differentiation by Inhibiting IL-12 Expression

Next we explored the molecular mechanism by which Fas signaling in BMDCs to shape Th2 differentiation upon HDM stimulation. We stimulated wild-type BMDCs with Fas agonistic antibody Jo2, the expression of Cd86, Tslp, Il10, Il6, and Tnfsf4, which had been reported to regulate Th2 polarization, had no difference compared to control group
FIGURE 3 | Fas-deficient BMDCs promote Th2 responses upon HDM treatment. Mice were treated as Figure 1A described. (A) mRNA expression of Il4, Il5, Il13, Ifng, and Il17 in lung tissues was detected by qPCR and normalized to Hprt. (B) Flow cytometry (left), percentage (middle), and cell number (right) of CD4+ T cells in lung tissues. (C) Flow cytometry (left) and percentage of IL-4+, IL-5+, and IL-13+ CD4+ T cells in lung tissues. (D) Cell number of IL-4+, IL-5+, and IL-13+ CD4+ T cells in lung tissues. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ns, not significant. Data are representative of two independent experiments with 4–5 mice per group. Student's t-tests (A–D) were performed and data were presented as mean ± SEM.

(Figure 5A and Supplementary Figure 3A). HDM stimulation could dramatically increase the expression of Il6 and Tnfsf4, while Fas agonistic antibody Jo2 did not affect the expression of these two genes (Figure 5A), indicating that FAS signaling is not required for Il6 and Tnfsf4 expression in DCs upon HDM stimulation. IL-12 has been reported to affect Th2 differentiation (28). Fas agonistic antibody Jo2 stimulation could not affect the expression of Il12p35 and Il12p40 in wild-type BMDCs without HDM stimulation (Figure 5A). Upon HDM stimulation, the expression of Il12p35 and Il12p40 was significantly increased compared with non-HDM stimulation, and Fas agonistic antibody Jo2 could further enhance the expression of Il12p35 and Il12p40 in mRNA level and IL-12p70 in protein level (Figures 5A,B). Given that HDM-stimulated BMDCs had increased FasL, Fas antagonistic antibody kp7-6-treated HDM-pulsed wild-type BMDCs produced lower Il12p70 in mRNA level and IL-12p70 in protein level than those of BMDCs stimulated with HDM alone, but the expression of Il12p35 had no difference between HDM and HDM plus kp7-6 stimulated BMDCs (Figures 5C,D and Supplementary Figure 3B). Fas-deficient BMDCs had decreased expression of Il12p35 and Il12p40 compared to wild-type BMDCs upon HDM stimulation in the presence of Fas agonistic antibody Jo2 (Figure 5E). We next examined whether the lower expression of IL-12 in Fas-deficient BMDCs could contribute to the increased Th2 differentiation. We added exogenous IL-12 into the BMDC–T cell co-culture system and found that the IL-12 supplement could significantly decrease the expression of Th2-related cytokines, such as IL-4 and IL-13 in OT-II CD4+ T cells activated by Fas-deficient BMDCs. The expression of Ifng and IL-17 was comparable between wild-type and Fas-deficient BMDC activated T cells (Figure 5F). Altogether, these results indicate that Fas-deficient BMDCs promote Th2 differentiation through downregulation of IL-12 expression.

Fas Signaling Regulates IL-12 Expression by Modulation of ERK Activation in BMDCs

We next examined the downstream signaling of Fas involved in the regulation of IL-12 expression by analyzing the activation of p38, JNK, and ERK in BMDCs. We found that the phosphorylation of ERK was decreased in wild-type BMDCs treated with Fas agonistic antibody Jo2 and HDM compared to those treated with HDM alone (Figure 6A). Accordingly, the activation of ERK in Fas-deficient BMDCs was increased compared to that of wild-type BMDCs upon HDM and Fas agonistic antibody Jo2 stimulation (Figure 6B). To determine whether the increased ERK activation was contributed to the decreased IL-12 expression in Fas-deficient BMDCs, we treated Fas-deficient BMDCs with specific ERK inhibitor U0126, which resulted in a dramatically increased IL-12p70 expression in Fas-deficient BMDCs (Figure 6C). Consequently, U0126-treated Fas-deficient BMDCs completely restored the increased IL-4 and IL-13 expression in T cells to the level of T cells activated with wild-type BMDCs (Figure 6D). These results indicate that Fas signaling regulates IL-12 expression and Th2 differentiation through modulating the ERK activity in BMDCs.
FIGURE 4 | Fas signaling in BMDCs instructs Th2 cell differentiation in vitro. (A–D) Co-culture of wild-type and Fas-deficient BMDCs with naïve OT-II CD4$^+$ T cells (CD4$^+$CD25$^-$CD62L$^+$CD44$^-$) in the presence of OVA$_{323-339}$ with or without HDM for 48 h (A), 3 days (B,D), 18 h (C), and then CD4$^+$ T cells were harvested for analyses. (A) mRNA expression of Il4, Il13, Gata3, Il9, and Il10 was detected by qPCR and normalized to Hprt. (B) Production of IL-4 and IL-13 was detected by ELISA. (C) Flow cytometry of the activation status of OT-II CD4$^+$ T cells. (D) Flow cytometry of Ki-67 expression in OT-II CD4$^+$ T cells. (E) Naïve OT-II CD4$^+$ T cells were labeled with CFSE and then co-cultured with wild-type or Fas-deficient BMDCs in the presence of OVA$_{323-339}$ and HDM for 4 days. Flow cytometry of CD4$^+$ T cell proliferation. *P < 0.05, ns, not significant. Data are representative of two independent experiments with duplicate or triplicate wells per group (A,B). Student’s t-tests were performed and data were presented as mean ± SEM.

DISCUSSION

Numerous studies have reported that administration of allergen-pulsed DCs is sufficient to induce airway inflammation by polarizing Th2 responses (3, 29–31). However, the mechanism of DCs to regulate Th2 cell differentiation is still unclear (32). In this study, we used a BMDC-transfer protocol to investigate the important role of DC-specific Fas signaling in the pathogenesis of HDM-induced Th2-mediated allergic inflammation. We found that HDM-pulsed Fas-deficient BMDCs could promote Th2 responses and allergic eosinophilic inflammation, without affecting T cell apoptosis and proliferation in the recipients. Our study identified a crucial role of Fas signaling in regulating IL-12 expression by modulating ERK activity in DCs to direct Th2 differentiation upon HDM stimulation, which may provide an attractive treatment strategy for allergic diseases.

DCs constitutively express non-canonical costimulatory molecule Fas (13), which is activated by FasL or Fas agonistic antibody Jo2 to induce an apoptotic signaling. Numerous studies have shown that activated Fas signaling in DCs induces the secretion of cytokines such as IL-1β and CXC or CC chemokines (13, 33, 34), which may play important roles in the recruitment, activation and proliferation of naïve T cells (14, 35). Fas–FasL interaction on T cells has been proposed to promote the differentiation of naïve T cells into functional T cells (14), but little is known about how the Fas signaling in DCs regulating Th2 differentiation. DCs could promote Th2 differentiation through upregulation signal 2 (such as CD86, OX40L) (7, 36, 37) or signal 3 (such as IL-6, IL-10,TSLP) (38–40). In this study, we found that Fas agonistic antibody Jo2 stimulation did not affect the expression of OX40L and IL-6. However, Jo2 stimulation increased IL-12 expression in BMDCs stimulated with HDM. Accordingly, the ablation of Fas in DCs largely reduced the expression of IL-12, which contributed to the increased Th2 differentiation. Our results identify a new mechanism by which DC regulating Th2 responses through modulation of IL-12 production during inflammation development.

ERK signaling has been shown to play important roles in Fas-mediated non-apoptotic function. Ligation of Fas agonistic antibody Jo2 with Fas on DCs can promote the activation of
ERK and subsequent IL-1β secretion (34). In the current study, we found that Jo2 stimulation could dramatically decrease the activity of ERK in the presence of HDM. This different role might be caused by the type of stimuli and the status of the BMDCs, and further study need to be explored the potential mechanism for this different regulation by ERK. ERK signaling could profoundly influence the immune response of T cells. ERK activity in CD4+ T cells has a key role in Th2 cell polarization (41). Blocking MEK-ERK signaling effectively suppresses IL-12p40 production from *Neospora caninum* infected peritoneal
macrophages (42). In contrast, ERK signaling also has been reported to be an important negative regulator of IL-12 secretion in cigarette smoke extract (CSE) stimulated DCs (43). In this study, we found that a higher ERK activity could suppress IL-12 production in HDM-stimulated Fas-deficient BMDCs. Taken together, this study uncovers a specific role of Fas signaling in BMDCs in the regulation of Th2 differentiation and Th2-mediated allergic inflammation. Modulation of Fas signaling on DCs may provide a new strategy for treatment of allergic diseases.
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