Signet-ring cell carcinoma of the appendix: a case report with an emphasis on sonographic findings
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In this report, we present a rare case of primary signet-ring cell carcinoma of the appendix in a 51-year-old woman with right lower quadrant pain. Since non-specific concentric appendiceal wall thickening was found in a radiologic evaluation, it was misdiagnosed as non-tumorous appendicitis. An in-depth examination of the correlation between sonographic and histopathologic findings demonstrated that a single markedly thickened hypoechoic layer was well correlated with the diffuse infiltration of tumor cells in both the submucosal and muscle layers. If this sonographic finding is observed in certain clinical settings, such as potential ovarian and peritoneal metastasis, submucosal infiltrative tumors, including signet-ring cell carcinoma, should be considered in the differential diagnosis.
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Introduction

Primary neoplasms of the appendix constitute approximately 0.5%–1.0% of appendectomy specimens upon pathologic evaluation [1–3]. Signet-ring cell carcinoma of the appendix is extremely rare, comprising only 4% of all primary appendiceal neoplasms [4]. It is often misdiagnosed because patients with an appendiceal tumor present with signs and symptoms suggestive of acute non-tumorous appendicitis [1].

Primary signet-ring cell carcinoma of the appendix is a clinically aggressive type of cancer that often spreads to the pelvic lymph nodes, ovaries, and peritoneal surfaces by the time of diagnosis [3–8]. Therefore, preoperative detection of this type of cancer is essential for determining the extent and approach of surgery. However, its diagnosis is always challenging because its radiologic features are undefined [4,5,7,8]. To our knowledge, few cases have been reported in the literature, and previous reports have only described non-specific computed tomography (CT) and ultrasonography (US) findings similar to non-tumorous appendicitis, without evaluating the histopathologic correlations of the imaging findings [4,5,7,8]. In this case report, we describe the US findings of primary signet-ring cell carcinoma of the appendix in relation to the tumor’s histopathological characteristics.
Case Report

A 51-year-old woman came to our emergency room complaining of pain in the right lower quadrant that had begun the day before. During her physical examination, right lower quadrant tenderness and rebound tenderness were noted. A full blood count was within normal parameters except for a mild elevation of C-reactive protein to approximately 1 mg/dL. US was performed with the clinical suspicion of acute appendicitis.

US revealed a diffusely and markedly thickened appendix measuring approximately 15 mm. US-guided tenderness was positive in the right lower quadrant of the abdomen. These findings were consistent with acute appendicitis. However, several US findings were peculiar in comparison with typical non-tumorous appendicitis. First, the true luminal diameter was smaller than is typically observed in acute appendicitis. Instead, circumferential wall thickening was a prominent feature. Second, the submucosal and muscle layers were markedly hypoechoic and wall stratification was lost. Third, appendiceal wall thickening was more noticeable than periappendiceal fatty infiltration (Fig. 1A). In addition, a soft-tissue mass-like lesion approximately 4 cm in size with a heterogeneous echotexture was observed in the right adnexa (Fig. 1B).

Abdominopelvic CT was performed for further evaluation of the appendix and the ovarian soft-tissue mass. It showed an enhanced, thickened appendiceal wall with mild periappendiceal fatty infiltrations (Fig. 1C). These CT findings were indistinguishable from those usually associated with acute non-tumorous appendicitis. The presence of a 4-cm enhancing ovarian soft-tissue mass was also confirmed (Fig. 1D).

The patient underwent an appendectomy and right-sided salpingo-oophorectomy since acute appendicitis and an ovarian neoplasm were suspected. Upon pathologic examination, the appendiceal wall was observed to be asymmetrically thickened by the infiltration of signet-ring cells (Fig. 1E, F). Vascular, neural, and meso-appendiceal invasions were also noticed, and the ovarian mass was determined to be a metastatic lesion. After the diagnosis was confirmed, the patient underwent right hemicolectomy at a tertiary teaching hospital upon the patient’s request.

Discussion

Primary appendiceal neoplasms are uncommon, with a reported prevalence of 0.5%–1% after appendectomies [1–3]. Data from our hospital are similar, with 0.9% (80 cases) reported in 9,227 appendectomy specimens over the past 14 years. Primary signet-ring cell carcinoma of appendix is even rarer, comprising 4% of all primary appendiceal neoplasms [4]. In our institute, only one case out of 80 primary appendiceal neoplasms was confirmed to be primary signet-ring cell carcinoma of the appendix.

Approximately 30%–50% of patients with primary neoplasms of the appendix, including signet-ring cell carcinoma, present with clinical symptoms that are indistinguishable from those of acute appendicitis. In this case, the presence of a 4-cm enhancing ovarian soft-tissue mass was also noted, which is unusual in acute appendicitis. The differential diagnosis of acute appendicitis includes inflammatory conditions, such as diverticulitis, and non-inflammatory conditions, such as ovarian masses. In this case, the clinical and radiologic findings were consistent with acute appendicitis, but the histologic findings were consistent with primary signet-ring cell carcinoma of the appendix. Therefore, it is important to consider the possibility of a non-infectious cause of lower abdominal pain in patients with suspected acute appendicitis.

Fig. 1. A 51-year-old woman with right lower quadrant pain.
A. An axial sonogram image of the appendix shows a concentrically thickened wall with marked hypoechoic submucosal and muscle layers that are not clearly distinguishable. B. In a color Doppler examination, a well-demarcated heterogeneous echoic solid mass with a weak flow signal, approximately 4 cm in size, was found.
Signet-ring cell carcinoma of the appendix

Ultrasonography 35(2), April 2016

Fig. 1. C. A coronal computed tomography (CT) scan of the appendix shows non-specific appendiceal wall thickening with mild periappendiceal fatty strands (arrowheads). D. An enhancing solid mass was identified in the right adnexa on an axial CT scan. E. A low-power microphotograph shows asymmetrical concentric wall thickening of the appendix (H&E, ×10). Tumor cells were found diffusely infiltrating the submucosal, muscle, and serosal layers. F. Under higher magnification, signet-ring cells are seen diffusely infiltrating a muscle layer of the appendix (arrowheads) (H&E, ×100; inset, H&E, ×400). L, lumen; M, muscle; m, mucosa; S, serosa; sm, submucosa.

non-tumorous appendicitis [1,2]. Our case also presented with symptoms suggestive of acute appendicitis.

Similarly to signet-ring cell carcinoma in other areas of the gastrointestinal tract, such as the stomach and colon, primary signetring cell carcinoma of the vermiform appendix has a poor prognosis [3,9]. The survival rate for this type of tumor in the appendix is worse than that observed for other histological types of tumors [3,6]. Additionally, metastasis to adjacent organs, the regional lymph nodes, or the peritoneal cavity is common at the time of diagnosis [4,9]. Ovaries are the most common site of distant metastasis, as was observed in our case. Furthermore, signet ring cell carcinoma is a histopathologically distinct tumor [6,9]. Under microscopic examination, immature signet-ring cells permeate the wall, extensively involving the full thickness of the bowel wall. The
involved area of the wall turns into a rigid and contracted structure with minimal mucosal alterations [6,9].

For the above reasons, the preoperative detection of primary signet-ring cell carcinoma of the appendix is important for surgical planning. However, preoperative detection is invariably difficult and the correct diagnosis is usually made after surgery, because the radiologic features are non-specific and similar to those of non-tumorous appendicitis.

On US, our case showed non-specific concentric appendiceal wall thickening, suggestive of acute appendicitis. Interestingly, some atypical US findings were present. These findings may be indicative of submucosal infiltrative tumors, including signet-ring cell carcinoma of the appendix. First, the proportion of the thickened wall area was greater than that of the true fluid-filled lumen, as distinct from non-tumorous appendicitis. Second, the submucosal and muscle layers appeared to be a single layer with a homogeneous and markedly hypoechoic echotexture (Fig. 1A). These findings were probably due to tumor cell infiltration and abundant fibrotic tissue, based on the histopathologic findings (Fig. 1E,F). We propose that such concentric areas of wall thickening are more prominent and detailed on US than CT because high-frequency sonographic images provide more information on separate bowel wall layers and better spatial resolution [10,11]. These US findings may overlap with those associated with infiltrative appendiceal tumors, such as lymphoma and goblet cell carcinoid tumor [2,9].

In conclusion, primary signet-ring cell carcinoma of the appendix is extremely rare and its radiologic findings are non-specific. A submucosal infiltrative tumor should be included in the differential diagnosis when concentric hypoechoic submucosal thickening is noted on US, especially in clinical contexts such as potential ovarian and peritoneal metastasis.
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