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Abstract

Semen hyperviscosity (SHV) is one of the factors involved in deficiency in sperm function. This research aimed to evaluate seminal plasma total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and malondialdehyde (MDA) levels in infertile patients with hyperviscous and non-hyperviscous semen samples to understand whether hyperviscous semen is associated with oxidative damage in infertile subjects. In this cross sectional study, 59 semen samples were provided by fertile (n=12) individuals as control, infertile patients with normal viscosity (n=25) and infertile patients with hyperviscosity (n=22). After semen parameters examination, semen viscosity was studied by glass pipettes. Seminal plasma TAC and MDA levels were measured by ferric reducing of antioxidant power (FRAP) and thiobarbituric acid reaction (TBAR) methods, respectively. A probability less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant throughout the article. The mean of sperm parameters including: counts, motility and normal morphology in patients with hyperviscosity were significantly lower than those in non-hyperviscosity patients (p<0.05, p<0.01 and p<0.001, respectively). The mean of seminal plasma TAC value in seminal plasma of non-hyperviscosity patients (1710.31 ± 458.67 µmol/l) was significantly (p<0.01) higher than that of hyperviscosity group (1230.25 ± 352 µmol/l). A trend toward a higher mean of seminal plasma MDA value was estimated for hyperviscous group compared with non-hyperviscous (1.01 ± 0.41 nmol/ml vs. 0.94 ± 0.28 nmol/l); however, it was nonsignificant. Hyperviscous semen impairs seminal plasma TAC which is eventually associated with sperm membrane lipid peroxidation.
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Sperm deficiency induced by oxidative stress (OX) is one of the main idiopathic factors that affect male fertility (1, 2). It occurs as a result of an imbalance between the productions of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the available antioxidants defense system. Human sperm cells during the aerobic metabolism produce different types of ROS, which are highly reactive oxidizing agents and belong to the class of free radicals (2-4). Excessive ROS can be produced by immature sperm and leukocyte cells as well (5). Although they play a significant role in many biological processes, in high levels, they can impair normal sperm function by peroxidation of unsaturated fatty acids in membrane of spermatozoa and by DNA fragmentation (5, 6). They attack the fluidity of sperm plasma membrane, with subsequent loss of the ability for oocyte fusion and fertilization (7-9). In order to counteract the toxic effects of ROS, human semen includes several enzymatic antioxidants such as superoxide dismutase (SOD); glutathione peroxidase/reductase system (GPX) and catalase (CAT);
as well as non-enzymatic antioxidants such as vitamin C, E, Zn, Cu and glutathione that are referred as total antioxidant capacity (TAC) (2). This antioxidant system also compensates for the loss of sperm cytoplasmic enzymes, particularly SOD, CAT and vitamin C during the sperm development and maturation (2, 10). Changes in levels of oxidative damage factors in semen and their relationship to seminal fluid viscosity are not well known. Semen hyperviscosity (SHV) or delayed liquefaction is a condition that can seriously impair the physical and chemical characteristics of seminal fluid (11). It is characterized by a thick and congealed appearance; however, the coagulation and liquefaction of semen and its physiological characteristics is still not fully understood. It seems to be associated with reduced sperm motility, possibly due to a ‘trapping effect’, that prevents normal sperm progression through the female genital tract (7, 11-13). Although the negative effects of hyperviscosity on sperm parameters quality, especially on motility, are well known, the mechanism in which hyperviscous semen affecting spermatozoa are poorly understood. It appears that one of these mechanisms includes seminal antioxidants depletion and high sperm membrane lipid peroxidation, showing to have negative effects on sperm quality and function. Therefore, the general aim of this study was to determine whether the hyperviscous semen is associated with seminal plasma TAC depletion and sperm membrane lipid peroxidation in infertile patients.
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to room temperature, each tube was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 4000 xg, and the supernatant absorbance was read on a spectrophotometer at 534 nm.

All data are reported as means ± standard deviation (SD). An independent t test was considered to compare the scores of each of the measures and some of the parameters between the two groups. ANOVA model was utilized for statistical analyses of TAC and MDA concentrations among all groups. A probability less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed using the statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) version 16.

The mean values of examined sperm parameters in the fertile and infertile men are shown in table 1. There were nonsignificant differences among all groups regarding mean values of semen volume and age. The mean age values of fertile, infertile patients with hyperviscous and non-hyperviscous were 31.21 ± 4.07, 29 ± 3.61 and 29 ± 3.37 years, respectively. Sperm count, sperm motility and sperm normal morphology in fertile group were significantly (p<0.001, p<0.01 and p<0.01, respectively) higher than those in both infertile groups (Table 1). In addition, the mean values of sperm parameters, including count, motility and normal morphology, in patient with hyperviscosity were significantly lower than those values in non-hyperviscosity patients (p<0.05, p<0.01 and p<0.001, respectively).

Comparison of TAC and MDA levels in the seminal plasma groups are shown in figures 1 and 2. The mean concentration of seminal TAC among groups was significantly different (p<0.001, Fig 1). The mean value of TAC in seminal plasma of fertile men (2346 ± 743.54 µmol/l) was considerably higher than the related values in patients with hyperviscous (1230.25 ± 352 µmol/l) and non-hyperviscous (1710.31 ± 458.67 µmol/l) semen (p<0.001). Moreover the mean value of TAC level in hyperviscous group was significantly lower than the related value in non-viscous group (p<0.01).

The mean of seminal MDA levels among groups was significantly different (p<0.01, Fig 2). The mean value of MDA in seminal plasma of fertile men (0.62 ± 0.18 nmol/ml) was significantly lower than the related values in patients with hyperviscous (1.01 ± 0.41 nmol/ml) and non-hyperviscous (0.94 ± 0.28 nmol/l) semen (p<0.001). A trend toward a higher mean of MDA value was seen for hyperviscous compared with non-hyperviscous; however, this difference was not significant (Fig 2).

| Sperm parameters | Fertile men non-viscous | Infertile men with hyperviscous semen | Infertile men with non-hyperviscous semen | P value |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------|
| Semen volume (ml) | 4.32 ± 1.12             | 3.25 ± 1.37                          | 4 ± 1.41                                  | 0.12   |
| Sperm count (×10⁶/ml) | 87.53 ± 13.26          | 29.32 ± 25.35                        | 46.8 ± 26.29                             | <0.001 |
| Total sperm count (×10⁹) | 394.36 ± 143.24      | 99.23 ± 99.29                        | 189.9 ± 115.62                           | <0.001 |
| Sperm motility (%) | 68.39 ± 8.72           | 30.95 ± 19.11                        | 52.8 ± 15.41                             | <0.01  |
| Sperm normal morphology (%)* | 15.68 ± 3.85         | 4.23 ± 2.5                           | 7.56 ± 3.16                              | <0.001 |

Results are presented as mean ± SD and *; According to Kruger’s criteria.
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Fig 1: Comparison of mean (SD) values of seminal plasma TAC levels among groups. *; P<0.001 with respect to fertile group, 2; P<0.01 with respect to non-viscous group and TAC; Total antioxidant capacity.

Fig 2: Comparison of mean (SD) values of seminal plasma MDA levels among groups. *; P<0.001 with respect to fertile patients with non-viscous and hyperviscous semen and Malondialdehyde (MDA).

Hyperviscosity is always associated with male infertility since the spermatozoa are tangled in the fibrous or mucoid mass in the semen and subsequently prevent the movement of sperm through the female genital tract. Also it causes difficulties in assisted reproductive technique (ART) because hyperviscous semen is always difficult to be manipulated in vitro and also considered hard to obtain the spermatozoa of good quality (11). Hyperviscosity of liquefied semen is a biophysical alteration of an ejaculate whose biochemical etiology is scarcely known, despite the different studies that have been carried out on this topic (11-13, 18-22). It seems not to be due to a single pathogenic factor, but rather due to several (biochemical, enzymatic and genetic) factors that act in synergy (11).

Some research showed reduced levels of fructose in SHV, and hypothesized inadequate functioning of the seminal vesicles as the explanation (23, 24). Other studies proposed that hyperviscosity affects sperm motility which is associated with hypofunction of the seminal vesicles (7). Carpino and Siciliano studied the possible correlation between SHV and protein secretion of the epididymis, vesicles and prostate (21). They found that hyperviscosity plays no role in the semen coagulation process. Mendeluk et al. (25) reported that there is no difference in the level of total proteins, DNA, or in the percentage of water content in hyperviscous semen compared to non-viscous semen. In addition, they observed that lysozyme has no direct role in SHV, although a deficiency in cases of chronic infections could be an aggravating factor from a clinical standpoint (19, 26). Rossi et al. (27) showed that genetic factors can influence the fluidity of semen in patients with hyperviscosity.

The other interest is the possible correlation between SHV and infections or inflammation of the genital tract. There are conflicting conclusions about this area of study. According to Munuce et al. (22), there is no association between SHV, positivity in semen culture, leukospermia, or the presence of sperm antibodies. In addition, Dondero et al. (28) reported that there is no correlation between SHV and human immunodeficiency virus infection. However, Wang et al. (29) found that Ureaplasma urealyticum infections were associated with SHV. In a study by La Vignera et al. (18), they evaluated whether the viscosity of semen in 30 patients with male accessory gland infection is related to the extension of the inflammatory process in the various glands. Viscosity of semen sample from patients with accessory gland infection was significantly greater than that in the control groups. Elia et al. (11) also proposed that anti-inflammatory therapy can successfully treat mild SHV, in which the condition seems to be the result of infection or inflammation.

Another area of particular interest is the possible correlation between SHV and impaired semen
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antioxidants system that leads to an increase in oxidative stress and DNA damage. Siciliano et al. (13) have demonstrated, for the first time, a severe impairment of the high and low molecular weight antioxidant in semen of patients with hyperviscosity. They proposed that the low sperm motility is probably related to high oxidative stress in hyperviscous semen. Aydemir et al. (30) also point out increased oxidative damage as a possible risk factor for SHV. They reported that MDA levels in seminal plasma of infertile patients was significantly higher than non-viscous samples, suggesting hyperviscous semen may be related to an increase in oxidative damage in infertile men. Our results are, therefore, in compliance with these mentioned-studies. In our findings, the mean value of TAC concentration in seminal plasma of fertile (control) group was significantly higher than both infertile groups. Patients with hyperviscous semen had significantly lower mean TAC value compared with non-hyperviscous group. On the other hand, the mean of MDA levels in seminal plasma of fertile men was higher than that in both infertile patients groups.

According to our study and other researches, a severe impairment of seminal antioxidative systems and an increased sperm membrane lipid peroxidation are considered as indications for low quality of sperm in patients with hyperviscous semen. But the mechanism in which antioxidants in the seminal plasma of patients with hyperviscous semen occurs has not been fully elucidated. It can also say that treatment with antioxidants may be useful in patients showing abnormal semen consistency to protect sperm cells by peroxidative damage and to improve their functional properties which is merited future studies.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank patients and their healthcare providers for participation in this study. This study was supported with grant obtained from Islamic Azad University, Sari Branch. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

References

1. Ashkani H, Akbari A, Heydari S. Epidemiology of depression among infertile and fertile couples in Shiraz, Southern Iran. Indian J Med Sci. 2006; 60(10): 399-406.
2. Agarwal A, Prabakaran SA. Mechanism, measurement and prevention of oxidative stress in male reproductive physiology. Indian J Exp Biol. 2005; 43(11): 963-974.
3. Atkin RJ, Fisher H. Reactive oxygen species generation and human spermatozoa: the balance of benefit and risk. Bioassays. 1994; 16(4): 259-267.
4. Colagar AH, Marzony ET. Ascorbic acid levels in seminal plasma: determination and its relationship to sperm quality. J Clin Biochem Nutr. 2009; 45(2): 144-149.
5. Agarwal A, Nallella KP, Allamaneni SS, Said TM. Role of antioxidants in treatment of male infertility: an overview of the literature. Reprod Biomed Online. 2004; 8(6): 616-627.
6. Sharma RK, Agarwal A. Role of reactive oxygen species in male infertility. Urology. 1996; 48(6): 835-850.
7. Elzanaty S, Malm J, Giwercman A. Visco-elasticity of seminal fluid in relation to the epididymal and accessory sex gland function and its impact on sperm motility. Int J Androl. 2004; 27(2): 94-100.
8. Hosseinzadeh Colagar A, Pouamir M, Tahmasbpour Marzony E, Jorsaraee GA. Relationship between seminal malondialdehyde levels and sperm quality in fertile and infertile men. Braz Arch Biol Technol. 2009; 52(6): 1387-1392.
9. Laudat A, Lecourbe K, Guechot J, Pailu AM. Values of sperm thiobarbituric acid- reactive substance in fertile men. Clin Chim Acta. 2002; 325(1-2): 113-115.
10. Colagar AH, Marzony AH, Chaichi MJ. Zinc levels in seminal plasma are associated with sperm quality in fertile and infertile men. Nutr Res. 2009; 29(2): 82-88.
Antioxidants Impairment in SHV

11. Elia J, Delfino M, Imbrogno N, Capogreco F, Lucarelli M, Rossi T, et al. Human semen hyperviscosity: prevalence, pathogenesis and therapeutic aspects. Asian J Androl. 2009; 11(5): 609-615.
12. Gonzales GF, Kortebani G, Mazzolli AB. Hyperviscosity and hypofunction of the seminal vesicles. Arch Androl. 1993; 30(1): 63-68.
13. Siciliano L, Tarantino P, Longobardi F, Rago V, De Stefano C, Carpio A. Impaired seminal antioxidant capacity in human semen with hyperviscosity or oligoasthenozoospermia. J Androl. 2001; 22(5): 798-803.
14. Hosseinizadeh Colagari A, Jorsaraee GA, Tahmaspou Marzony E. Cigarette smoking and the risk of male infertility. Pak J Biol Sci. 2007; 10(21): 3870-3874.
15. Kruger TF, Menkveld R, Stander FS, Lombard CJ, Van der Merwe JP, Van Zyl JA, et al. Sperm morphologic features as a prognostic factor in in vitro fertilization. Fertil Steril. 1986; 46(6): 1118-1123.
16. Benzie IF. Lipid peroxidation: a review of causes, consequences, measurement and dietary influences. Int J Food Sci Nutr. 1996; 47(3): 233-261.
17. Rao B, Souffir JC, Martin M, David G. Lipid peroxidation in human spermatozoa as related to midpiece abnormalities and motility. Gamete Res. 1989; 24(2): 127-134.
18. La Vignera S, Condorelli RA, DAagata R, Vicari E, Calogero AE. Semen alterations and flow-citometry evaluation in patients with male accessory gland infections. J Endocrinol Invest. 2012; 35(2): 219-223.
19. Mendeluk GR, Blanco AM, Bregni C. Rheology of human seminal fluid: role of lysozyme. Acta Farm Bonaerense. 1996; 15(4): 163-168.
20. Mendeluk GR, Blanco AM, Bregni C. Viscosity of human seminal fluid: role of lysozyme. Arch Androl. 1997; 38(1): 7-11.
21. Carpio A, Siciliano L. Unaltered protein pattern/genital tract secretion marker levels in seminal plasma of highly viscous human ejaculates. Arch Androl. 1998; 41(1): 31-35.
22. Munuce MJ, Bregni C, Carizza C, Mendeluk G. Semen culture, leukocytospermia, and the presence of sperm antibodies in seminal hyperviscosity. Arch Androl. 1999; 42(1): 21-28.
23. Gonzales GF. Function of seminal vesicles and their role on male fertility. Asian J Androl. 2001; 3(4): 251-258.
24. Andrade-Rocha FT. Physical analysis of ejaculate to evaluate the secretary activity of the seminal vesicles and prostate. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2005; 43(11): 1203-1210.
25. Mendeluk GR, Gonzalez Plecha FL, Castello PR, Bregni C. Factors involved in the biochemical etiology of human seminal plasma hyperviscosity. J Androl. 2000; 21(2): 262-267.
26. Mendeluk GR, Munuce MJ, Carizza C, Sardi M, Bregni C. Sperm motility and ATP content in seminal hyperviscosity. Arch Androl. 1997; 39(3): 223-227.
27. Rossi T, Grandoni F, Mazzilli F, Quattrucci S, Antonelli M, Strom R, et al. High frequency of (TG)m Tn variant tracts in the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene in men with high semen viscosity. Fertil Steril. 2004; 82(5): 1316-1322.
28. Dondero F, Rossi T, Doffizi G, Mazzilli F, Rosso R, Sarandrea N, et al. Sperm analysis in HIV seropositive men and in subjects at high risk for HIV infection. Hum Reprod. 1996; 11(4): 765-768.
29. Wang Y, Liang CL, Wu JQ, Xu C, Qin SX, Gao ES. Do Ureaplasma urealyticum infections in the genital tract affect semen quality?. Asian J Androl. 2006; 8(5): 562-568.
30. Aydemir B, Onaran I, Kiziler AR, Alici B, Akyolcu MC. The influence of oxidative damage on viscosity of seminal fluid in infertile men. J Androl. 2008; 29(1): 41-46.
کارگاه‌های آموزشی مركز اطلاعات علمی

مقاله نویسی علوم انسانی

اصول تنظیم قراردادها

آموزش مهارت های کاربردی در تدوین و چاپ مقاله