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ABSTRACT

The discovery of gamma-ray burst (GRB) afterglows revealed a new class of variable sources at optical and radio wavelengths. At present, the environment and precise redshift of the detected afterglows are still unknown. We show that if a GRB source resides in a compact (\(\leq 100\) pc) gas-rich environment, the afterglow spectrum will show time-dependent absorption features due to the gradual ionization of the surrounding medium by the afterglow radiation. Detection of this time dependence can be used to constrain the size and density of the surrounding gaseous system. For example, the Mg II absorption line detected in GRB 970508 should have weakened considerably during the first month if the absorption occurred in a gas cloud of size \(\leq 100\) pc around the source. The time-dependent H I or metal absorption features provide a precise determination of the GRB redshift.

Subject headings: cosmology: theory — gamma rays: bursts

1. INTRODUCTION

The detection of delayed emission in X-ray (Costa et al. 1997), optical (van Paradijs et al. 1997; Bond 1997), and radio (Frail et al. 1997) wavelengths following gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), the so-called afterglows, can be reasonably explained by models in which the bursts are produced by relativistically expanding fireballs (Paczynski & Rhoads 1993; Meszaros & Rees 1997; Vietri 1997a; Waxman 1997a, 1997b; Wijers, Rees, & Meszaros 1997b; Vietri 1997b; Sari 1997). On encountering an external medium, the relativistic shell that emitted the initial GRB decelerates and converts its bulk kinetic energy to synchrotron radiation, giving rise to the afterglow. Since the emission occurs on time and length scales that are much larger than those of the initial explosion, the dynamics of the expanding shell is self-similar and thus insensitive to the properties of the energy source (Blandford & McKee 1976). The source size inferred from radio scintillation data of GRB 970508 (Frail et al. 1997; Taylor et al. 1997) is consistent with the generic prediction of this model (Goodman 1997; Waxman, Kulkarni, & Frail 1998). Moreover, synchrotron emission by Fermi-accelerated electrons behind the expanding shock can successfully explain the gross properties of the time-dependent spectra observed in the afterglows of GRB 970228 and GRB 970508 for a fireball energy of \(~10^{52}\) ergs (Waxman 1997a, 1997b; Wijers et al. 1997a; Sari, Piran, & Narayan 1997).

In the simplest emission model, the afterglow flux is proportional to the square root of the ambient medium density (e.g., Waxman 1997a), and could therefore span a dynamic range of more than five decades if the ambient density varies between its characteristic values in dense molecular clouds (\(\sim 10^4\) cm\(^{-3}\)) and in the intergalactic medium (\(\sim 10^{-6}\) cm\(^{-3}\)). Even merely galactic environments span a wide range of possible gas densities, between those of ellipticals and spirals. The possibility that GRB sources reside in both gas-rich and gas-poor environments might account for the absence of afterglow emission in some GRBs (e.g., Groot et al. 1998). Any direct observational verification of the postulated connection between the afterglow flux and the GRB environment would provide a crucial test of the basic fireball model.

Despite the expectation that bright afterglow sources should reside in gas-rich environments, there is currently no direct measurement of the density, size, or redshift of the host system for known afterglows. The discovery of absorption lines in the optical afterglow of GRB 970508 provided the first direct estimate of source distance, constraining its redshift to the range \(0.835 \leq z \leq 2.3\) (Metzger et al. 1997; Djorgovski et al. 1997). The possible existence of host galaxies has yet to be conclusively determined from direct imaging of afterglow fields (Sahu et al. 1997; Fruchter et al. 1997; Pian et al. 1997).

If a GRB source resides within the H I disk of a spiral galaxy, its optical-UV spectrum is likely to show a Lyman-limit trough, a damped Ly\(\alpha\) absorption, and metal absorption lines, all at the source redshift. However, the same absorption features could also be produced by an intervinging galaxy along the line of sight to the source. The ambiguity between the GRB host and an interloper could be removed by detecting the Ly\(\alpha\) forest, which should end at the true source redshift. However, for source redshift \(z < 2\), such a spectroscopic detection cannot be made with ground-based telescopes, requiring the use of the Hubble Space Telescope (hence being feasible only for bright afterglows, because of the small collecting area). In this paper we argue that if the absorption occurs in a sufficiently compact region (\(\leq 100\) pc) around the GRB source itself, then the afterglow radiation will ionize the region and change the observed absorption features with time. The release of \(~3 \times 10^{51}\) ergs in ionizing photons could ionize \(~10^{62}\) hydrogen atoms (or \(~10^3 M_\odot\)) and create an ionized bubble of radius \(~100\) pc \(n_1^{-1/3}\) in the surrounding galaxy, where \(n_1\) is the ambient proton density in cm\(^{-3}\). Given the typical H I column densities found in galactic disks (Broeils & van Woerden 1994), such a bubble could be big enough to poke a hole in the disk and eliminate the absorption features of the host altogether. Aside from establishing the GRB redshift, detection of this time-dependent effect could be used to constrain the gas density and the size of the GRB host. GRB afterglows are much more effective at ionizing their environments than supernovae, because of their considerably higher UV luminosity.
The computation of the time dependence of the absorption spectrum is a nontrivial problem. A snapshot of the ionizing effect of the radiation shell on the ambient medium is schematically illustrated in Figure 1. At the late times under consideration, the blast wave that produced this radiation is already nonrelativistic and lags behind the radiation front. Only the front of the afterglow light pulse moves into the unperturbed gas. Each of the subsequent radiation shells propagates into a medium that had already been partially ionized by prior shells. Since each radiation shell has a different spectrum at emission and a flux that declines with radius as $1/r^2$, the ionization state of the ambient medium is generally inhomogeneous and time dependent. The eventual absorption spectrum seen by a distant observer can be calculated by coupling the radiative transfer equation to the radiative ionization equation for the medium. In §2, we formulate the set of causal equations that describe the processed afterglow spectrum, and in §3 we present numerical results for the time evolution of the associated absorption lines. Our discussion ignores recombination, since the recombination time ($\sim 10^5$ yr $n^{-1}_i$) is typically much longer than the lifetime of the afterglow. Our main conclusions are summarized in §4.

2. IONIZATION DYNAMICS

We consider a GRB source that turns on at time $t = 0$ and illuminates a stationary ambient medium of uniform density $n$, with a time-dependent luminosity per unit frequency, $L_a(t)$. Since most of the interstellar absorption occurs at large radii, we ignore the initial subparsec fireball phase, during which the radiation is still coupled to the hydrodynamics. In the late phase of interest, the pressure wave has already slowed down to subrelativistic speeds, so that it lags behind the radiation field and has no effect on the absorption spectrum of the outgoing radiation shell. The ionization state of the gas is then affected only by the luminosity $L_a(t)$ at frequencies higher than the ionization threshold $v_0$.

The photoionization rate per atom of species $a$ (= hydrogen, helium, or metals) is given by

$$ -\frac{1}{n_a(t,r)} \frac{dn_a(r,t)}{dt} = \int_{v_1,0}^{\infty} \frac{dF_a(r,t)}{hv_a} \sigma_{bf,a}(v), \quad (1) $$

where $F_a(r,t) = L_a(t)/4\pi r^2$ is the flux at a radius $r$ from the source, and $\sigma_{bf,a}(v)$ is the photoionization (bound-free) cross section at a photon frequency $v$. All photons are assumed to propagate radially away from the central source. Equation (1) determines the evolution of the number density of atoms, $n_a(t,r)$, from that of the flux, $F_a(r,t)$. This flux is absorbed in the medium through both bound-free and bound-bound transitions. The radiative transfer equation in spherical symmetry reads

$$ \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d[r^2 F_a(r,t)]}{dr} = -F_a(r,t) \sum_a n_a(r,t) \times [\sigma_{bf,a}(v) + \sigma_{bb,a}(v)], \quad (2) $$

where $\sigma_{bb}$ is the cross section for bound-bound absorption.

The coupled equations (1) and (2) determine the evolution and spatial distribution of the flux and the ionization fraction for a given set of initial and boundary conditions. We assume for simplicity that the source is embedded in a spherical gas cloud of uniform density and radius $R$, namely,

$$ n_a(t = 0, r) = \begin{cases} n_{a,0} & \text{for } r \leq R \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3) $$

and start the calculation at a radius $r_0 \sim 10^{17}$ cm, with the boundary condition

$$ F_a(r_0, t) = \frac{L_a(t)}{4\pi r_0^2}. \quad (4) $$

Our results are insensitive to the precise choice of $r_0$, as long as this radius is much smaller than the scale over which the ambient interstellar medium is distributed.

Following Waxman (1997a, 1997b), we model the time and frequency dependence of the afterglow luminosity at emission as

$$ L_a(t) = L_{\text{rms}} \left( \frac{v}{v_{\text{rms}}(t)} \right)^{-x}, \quad (5) $$

where

$$ v_{\text{rms}}(t) = 1.7 \times 10^{16} \left( \frac{\xi_B}{0.2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\xi_e}{0.1} \right)^{1/2} E_{52}^{1/2} t_{\text{hr}}^{-3/2} \text{ Hz}. \quad (6) $$

Here $\xi_B$ and $\xi_e$ are the fractions of the equipartition energy in magnetic field and accelerated electrons, $E = 10^{52} E_{52}$ ergs is the fireball energy, $t_{\text{hr}} \equiv (t/\text{hr})$, and

$$ L_{\text{rms}} = 8.65 \times 10^{29} \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\xi_B}{0.1} \right) E_{52} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}, \quad (7) $$

where $n_i$ is the ambient proton density in units of 1 cm$^{-3}$.

In our numerical examples, we use the typical parameter values that are required to fit the existing afterglow data,
namely, \( \xi E_{52}/2^{1/2} = 0.2 \), \( \xi_B = 0.1 \), \((n_l)^{1/2}E_{52} = 1 \), \( \alpha = 0.5 \) for \( v > v_m \), and \( \alpha = -1/3 \) for \( v < v_m \).

Finally, the atomic cross sections in equation (2) are calculated as follows.

1. **Bound-Bound absorption.** The cross section for transitions between two bound states \( i \) and \( k \) of an atom has a Lorentzian shape (Rybicki & Lightman 1979, p. 289),

\[
\sigma_{i,k} = \frac{3\lambda_{i,k}^2}{8\pi} \left( \frac{\lambda_{i,k}}{v_{i,k}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\lambda_{k,i}}{v_{k,i}} \right) + \frac{\lambda_{k,i}^2}{4},
\]

where \( \lambda_{i,k} = c/v_{i,k} \) is the transition wavelength and \( \Lambda_{k,i} \) is the transition probability, which is related to the absorption oscillator strength \( f_{k,i} \) through

\[
\Lambda_{k,i} = 6.67 \times 10^{15} \text{ s}^{-1} \frac{g_k f_{k,i}}{g_i (\lambda_{k,i}/\lambda)^2},
\]

where \( g_i \) and \( g_k \) are the statistical weights of the levels \( i \) and \( k \), respectively. The values of these parameters for permitted resonance lines of the most important astrophysical elements are summarized by Verner, Verner, & Ferland (1996).

For simplicity, we assume a cold, quiescent absorber. Thermal or turbulent broadening would change the line profile but not affect its time dependence, which is dictated by bound-free transitions (cf. eq. [1]).

2. **Bound-Free absorption.** An analytical expression for the photoionization cross section exists for single-electron atoms (Hall 1936), and for hydrogen reads

\[
\sigma_{p,\text{H}}(v) = A_0 \left( \frac{v_0}{v} \right)^4 \exp \left[ \frac{4 - (4 \tan^{-1} v/e)}{1 - \exp (-2v/e)} \right],
\]

for \( v \geq v_0 \),

where \( A_0 = 6.30 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2 \) and \( \epsilon = (v/v_0 - 1)^{1/2} \), where \( v_0 = 3.29 \times 10^{18} \text{ Hz} \) is the photoionization frequency threshold for an electron in the ground state. For simplicity, we assume that all neutral hydrogen atoms are in the ground state; the excitation rate to higher levels does not exceed the photoionization rate for the characteristic power-law spectrum of GRB afterglows.

For non-hydrogenic atoms, Verner et al. (1993) obtained a useful analytical fit to the photoionization cross section \( \sigma_{p,\text{H}}(v) \) for an electron in a shell with quantum numbers \( n, l \).

The cross section can be written as \( \sigma_{n,l}(v) = \sigma_{\lambda} F(v) \) for frequencies \( v \geq v_{n,l} \), where \( y \equiv v/v_* \). Here \( v_{n,l} \) is the threshold frequency for photoionization of an electron in the shell \( n, l \); \( \sigma_{\lambda} = \sigma_{\lambda}(n, l, Z, N) \) and \( v_* = v_*(n, l, Z, N) \) are fitting parameters that depend on the atomic number \( Z \) and number of electrons \( N \), and \( F(y) = [(y - 1)^2 + y_*^2]^{-2/3} [1 + (y/y_*)^{3/2}]^{-2} \), where \( Q = 5.5 + l - 0.5P \), and \( y_* \) and \( P \) are three additional fit parameters that depend on \( n, l, Z, \) and \( N \). The total cross section to photoionization is obtained by summing over all shells,

\[
\sigma_{p}(v) = \sum_{n,l} \sigma_{n,l}(v).
\]

We ignore secondary ionization by fast electrons created by X-ray or gamma-ray photoionization.

3. **NUMERICAL RESULTS: TIME DEPENDENCE OF ABSORPTION LINES**

Since hydrogen is by far the most abundant element in galaxies, we examine first its prominent Ly\( \alpha \) absorption signature. Figure 2 shows the expected evolution of the afterglow spectrum in a narrow frequency band around the Ly\( \alpha \) resonance for an \( \text{H} \)\( \alpha \) column density of \( 3 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and two different cases of spherical absorbing clouds around the source: (1) a compact \( (R = 1 \text{ pc}) \) and dense \( (n_{\text{H,0}} = 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}) \) cloud (Fig. 2, left panels), and (2) a more extended \( (R = 100 \text{ pc}) \) and rarefied \( (n_{\text{H,0}} = 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}) \) cloud (Fig. 2, right panels). The first case illustrates the conditions in a molecular cloud, while the second corresponds to a galactic disk of scale height \( \sim 100 \text{ pc} \). Although the two spectra initially possess the same absorption profile, because of their identical column density, their time evolution is very different. The smaller absorber is ionized faster, because its atoms are exposed to a higher ionizing flux and because it requires fewer photons to get ionized as a consequence of its smaller mass.

The photoionization efficiency can be quantified through the change in the equivalent width (EW) of the absorption line, defined as

\[
\text{EW} = \int d\lambda \frac{(F_{\lambda,0} - F_{\lambda})}{F_{\lambda,0}},
\]

where \( F_{\lambda}(\lambda) \) is the observed spectral flux (at \( r \gg R \)) as a function of wavelength across the line, and \( F_{\lambda,0}(\lambda) \) is the continuum flux that the afterglow would have had if there were no absorption. The values of the EW for the Ly\( \alpha \) line are listed in the different panels of Figure 2. For a compact absorbing region such as a molecular cloud, the EW could decrease by orders of magnitude within several days after the GRB detection.

Although ground-based observations are not capable of detecting Ly\( \alpha \) absorption for absorber redshifts \( z < 2 \), they can still probe metal absorption lines, as demonstrated in the case of GRB 970508 (Metzger et al. 1997; Djorgovski et al. 1997). Because metal lines are often unsaturated, it is easier to detect the time evolution in their case than in the damped Ly\( \alpha \) trough. Figure 3 illustrates the expected evolution of the Mg \( \alpha \) absorption line at \( \lambda_0 = 2798 \text{ Å} \), which was detected in the spectrum of GRB 970508. Our calculations assume a solar abundance of Mg \( \alpha \) (Anders & Grevesse 1989). Since there are far fewer Mg \( \alpha \) atoms than H \( \alpha \) atoms, it takes fewer photons and less time from the onset of the afterglow to ionize them. Therefore, the evolution of the metal-line EW in Figure 3 is more rapid than that of the Ly\( \alpha \) EW in Figure 2.

In Figure 4 we show a broader range of the spectrum, including the UV and X-ray regimes, where flux is absorbed by photoionization of hydrogen. For a \( \sim 1 \text{ pc} \) absorber, the absorption profile narrows considerably after a couple of weeks. Consequently, the observed flux from a source at a redshift \( z_s = 1 \) in the emission band of 13.6–150 eV increases from \( 10^{-11} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) after 6 hr to \( 4.2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) after 2 days, despite the overall fading of the afterglow emission.

All of the above time dependences occur only for absorbers that are associated with the GRB source. More distant absorbers along the line of sight are exposed to an afterglow flux that is much too weak to affect their ioniza-

---

2 Note that if Mg \( \alpha \) absorption occurs primarily in galactic halos, as implied by quasar absorption studies (Steidel & Sargent 1992), then it is less likely to be associated with star-forming regions such as galactic disks. In that case, it might be more appropriate to monitor lines that originate in cooler environments, such as Mg \( \lambda \).
tion state during the brief period of time over which the radiation lasts.

If the GRB environment is metal rich, then it is likely to also contain dust. Broadband extinction by dust would suppress the observed optical-UV flux from the afterglow by a wavelength-dependent factor. The deviation from the fireball predictions would weaken at late times as a result of the heating of dust above its sublimation temperature by the afterglow radiation (Waxman & Phinney 1998); this process might explain the transient suppression of optical relative to X-ray emission and the relative reddening during the first 2 days of GRB 970508. The absence of an optical afterglow for GRB 970828 might also have been caused by dust extinction (Groot et al. 1998). This interpretation is supported by the low energy turnover in the *ASCA* X-ray spectrum of GRB 970828, which implies a high hydrogen column density ($\sim 10^{21} - 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) along the line of sight to the source (Murakami et al. 1997). Figure 4 indicates that if this absorption originated from a compact molecular cloud around the GRB source, then the X-ray absorption feature must have evolved on a timescale of days. For a given inferred column density, the level of evolution becomes weaker with increasing absorber mass.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Afterglow models postulate the existence of gas-rich environments around some GRB sources. We have shown that this conjecture can be tested empirically by monitoring...
the afterglow absorption spectra. If the surrounding gas is not fully ionized initially, then the bright afterglow radiation will modify its ionization state. The medium into which the afterglow radiation propagates at late times would be more ionized than the medium into which the early radiation propagated. Hence, the equivalent width (EW) of the associated absorption lines would decline with time during the afterglow (see Fig. 2). The rate of EW variation can be used to constrain the size of the absorbing region; the more compact the absorbing region around the GRB source, the higher the photon flux to which it is exposed, and the faster it gets ionized. When combined with the value of the column density inferred from the EW measurement itself, the size estimate can be used to find the density of the ambient medium. One could then test statistically the predicted correlation between the afterglow flux and this density (cf. eq. [7]). In principle, it might even be possible to infer the profile of a nonuniform density distribution along the line of sight based on frequent monitoring of the EW evolution. Identification of time dependence in the afterglow spectrum would isolate the variable (and hence associated) absorption lines from other lines that are caused by chance intersections of unrelated absorbers along

\[ n_{H,0} = 10 \text{ cm}^{-3} \]

\[ n_{Mg,0} = 3.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-3} \]

\[ n_{Mg,0} = 3.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-3} \]

3 Note that if the absorber size or density can be measured independently by another method, then it might be possible to infer the luminosity distance to the source and by that constrain the values of cosmological parameters.
the line of sight, and hence provide a precise determination of the GRB redshift.

Our results imply that if the observed metal absorption lines of GRB 970508 (Metzger et al. 1997; Djorgovskii et al. 1997) occurred in a region of radius \( R \leq 100 \) pc around the GRB source itself, then the equivalent width of the lines would have declined noticeably over the first month of the afterglow (Fig. 3). Similarly, the soft X-ray absorption that was possibly detected by ASCA in GRB 970828 (Murakami et al. 1997) should have decayed under the same conditions (Fig. 4). Detection of these effects in future GRBs would help determine the properties of their gaseous environments and their redshifts.

Since the peak optical fluxes of afterglows such as GRB 970508 are \( \lesssim 10^2 \) times brighter than supernovae, they can be used to probe galactic environments at exceedingly high redshifts. If the GRB source population traces the star formation history of the universe, then the dimmest GRB events known might originate from a redshift as high as \( z \sim 6 \) (Wijers et al. 1997a). In hierarchical cold dark matter models of structure formation (e.g., Navarro, Frenk, & White 1997), high-redshift hosts are expected to be denser \( [n \propto (1 + z_f)^3] \) and more compact \( [R \propto M^{1/3}(1 + z_f)^{-1}] \), where \( z_f \) is their (higher) formation redshift, and \( M \) is their (smaller) mass than low-redshift galaxies, hence making the effects discussed in this paper even more pronounced.
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