Tunable chemical complexity to control atomic diffusion in alloys
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In this paper we report a new fundamental understanding of chemically-biased diffusion in Ni–Fe random alloys that is tuned/controlled by the intrinsic quantifiable chemical complexity. Development of radiation-tolerant alloys has been a long-standing challenge. Here we show how intrinsic chemical complexity can be utilized to guide the atomic diffusion and suppress radiation damage. The influence of chemical complexity is shown by the example of interstitial atom (IA) diffusion that is the most important defect in radiation effects. We use μ-scale molecular dynamics to reveal sluggish diffusion and percolation of IAs in concentrated Ni–Fe alloys. We develop a mean field diffusion model to take into account the effect of migrating defect energy properties on diffusion percolation, which is verified by a new kinetic Monte Carlo approach addressing detailed processes. We demonstrate that the local variations in the ground state energy of IA configurations in alloys, reflecting the chemical difference between alloying components, drives the percolation effects for atomic diffusion. Percolation, chemically-biased and sluggish diffusion are phenomena that are directly related to the chemical complexity intrinsically to multicomponent alloys.
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INTRODUCTION

Medium- and high-entropy alloys are nearly equiatomic single-phase concentrated solid solution alloys (SP-CSAs) but lack microstructural inhomogeneities. They have attracted broad attention because of potentially desirable properties, such as high fracture resistance, tensile strength, corrosion resistance, thermal stability, and radiation tolerance compared to conventional alloys with one major component. The potential of CSAs as diffusion barriers in the microelectronics industry is also of interest. A great amount of experimental information on different properties of CSAs is accumulated, but a complete understanding why different concentrated alloys, sometimes quite close in composition, may exhibit very different diffusion and mechanical behavior, radiation tolerance, and other properties. Theoretical and experimental efforts have been undertaken to understand the mechanisms that control alloy properties. Mixing enthalpy, defect energetics, local lattice distortions, stresses, and pressure, electronic, and magnetic state disorder were studied. While certain correlations were observed between these properties and alloys performance, clear and predictive models were not developed. Progress was achieved recently in understanding vacancy-based sluggish diffusion in CSAs, which existence was debated for over a decade (see, e.g., pros in refs. and cons in refs.). The existence and origin of vacancy-based sluggish diffusion were revealed by atomistic simulations. It cannot be explained simply by configurational entropy arguments, as expected earlier (see, e.g., ref.). Consequently, it is not a necessary or exclusive attribute of high-entropy alloys. It exists in alloys with different binding between alloying components and vacancies and occurs when concentration of the element with higher binding approaches the corresponding percolation threshold. In binary Ni–Fe alloys, the maximum sluggish effect for vacancy diffusion mechanism was observed at Fe atomic fraction , which is close to the fcc lattice site percolation threshold. Sluggish diffusion, when present, is also associated with chemically-biased diffusion. These two processes: sluggish and chemically biased diffusion, drive component segregation, creep, defect clustering, nucleation and evolution internal lattice heterogeneities; i.e., practically all aspects of microstructure evolution. As demonstrated in, vacancy-based sluggish diffusion is due to a combination of site percolation and composition-dependence of vacancy migration energies. Note that maximum compositional disorder is expected for equiatomic composition, while the maximum chemical complexity depends on the coupling strength of the elements that may involve electron, phonon, magnetic, and mechanical components. Compositional disorder can be described by the elemental concentration whereas the chemical complexity so far has been an ill-defined concept.

In this article, we propose a model that includes the effect of chemical complexity on atomic transport and defect diffusion in CSAs. The measure of chemical complexity in alloys is concretized. μ-scale molecular dynamics (MD) reveal strongly sluggish interstitial atom (IA)-based diffusion in Ni–Fe alloys. It is described as a percolation phenomenon. We develop a mean field model that describes diffusion percolation in alloys on the basis of local energy of migrating defects and analyze the conditions for a variable threshold concentration. We also develop a lattice kinetic Monte Carlo (kMC) model for IA migration with a simple “on-the-fly” definition of the transition states that reproduce the MD results. By cross-verifying theory and kMC results, the local formation energy of migrating defects is revealed to be the parameter controlling the atomic transport. While mixing enthalpy, defect energetics, local lattice distortion, electronic characteristics and magnetic frustration are reported as unique features in CSAs, this parameter is proposed as the measure of...
chemical complexity of the alloys for their observed difference in defect evolution and radiation tolerance.  

RESULTS

For Ni–Fe alloys the MD-calculated composition dependence of the tracer diffusion coefficient is presented in Fig. 1a, where the diffusion coefficient has a minimum at \( C_{\text{Fe}} \approx 0.50–0.65 \), with lower values corresponding to higher temperature. The phenomenon of sluggish diffusion can be described as a decrease of the total tracer diffusion coefficient, \( D^* \), when adding faster diffusing atoms, Fe, into slower migrating Ni. The effect is strong and, for example, at 500 K the tracer diffusion coefficient decreases by ~20 times with respect to that in pure Ni and by ~500 times relative to pure fcc Fe, i.e., \( \min(D^*_{\text{NiFe}}) = 0.05D^*_\text{Ni} = 0.002D^*_\text{Fe} \). A more detailed treatment of the MD results was performed to investigate the composition dependence of the partial diffusion coefficients \( D^*_{\text{Ni}} \) and \( D^*_{\text{Fe}} \), their activation energies \( E^*_{\text{Ni}} \) and \( E^*_{\text{Fe}} \) and chemical composition of migrating <100> interstitial dumb-bells (d-b). In other words, we investigate the chemical configuration of migrating <100> interstitial dumb-bells and their surrounding environment, as well as their corresponding activation energies, in order to reveal the composition dependence of the partial diffusion coefficients. This treatment indicates that the diffusion percolation occurred near the \( \min(D^*_{\text{NiFe}}) \) composition, \( p_c \), as illustrated in Fig. 1b, c. Thus, in this Ni–Fe system at 500 K, \( p_c \approx 0.7 \) (\( C_{\text{Fe}} \approx 0.7 \)). Figure 1b shows that the composition dependences of the partial diffusion coefficients, \( D^*_{\text{Ni}}(C_{\text{Fe}}) \) and \( D^*_{\text{Fe}}(C_{\text{Fe}}) \), intersect just after the \( p_c \) when Fe atom diffusion becomes dominant in the atomic transport. Figure 1c demonstrates that this transition is directly related to the change in chemical composition of the migrating <100> d-b: before \( p_c \), the dumb-bells contain only Ni atoms, whereas at \( C_{Fe} > p_c \) the dumb-bells contain increasingly more Fe, resulting in accelerated transport of Fe-atoms. Note that the equality of the partial diffusivities and dumb-bells chemical composition occurs at \( C_{\text{Fe}} \approx 0.7 \), which is well above the equiatomic composition, where one expects the maximum chemical disorder. This is a clear demonstration of the chemically-biased diffusion when the migrating interstitial defects provide preferential transport of Ni atoms even in Fe-rich alloys. Some evidence of the slow and chemically-biased diffusion observed in DFT and classical MD calculations of IA diffusion in equiatomic alloys have been reported recently, however, a complete picture of the sluggish diffusion phenomenon, percolation and their composition dependence is reported here for the first time.

Existence of a non-monotonic composition dependence of the diffusion coefficient and the percolation threshold at \( C_{\text{Fe}} \approx 0.65–0.70 \) is not consistent with any of the models and mechanisms considered so far. The percolation theory is developed for different cases and the percolation threshold concentrations are estimated for different lattice structures (see, e.g., ref. [31]). For example, the vacancy diffusion studied in [27] corresponds to the site percolation model quite accurately: simulations suggest that Fe are the faster than Ni, and the percolation is observed at \( C_{\text{Fe}} \approx 0.2 \), consistent with the fcc lattice site percolation threshold \( p_{\text{site}} \approx 0.18 \). [31]. The interstitial migration via <100> d-b mechanism in the fcc lattice was studied theoretically in ref. [32] and the percolation threshold concentration, \( p_{\text{site}} \approx 0.2 \), was estimated. In the case of IA migration studied here, diffusion in pure Fe is faster than in pure Ni, and one expects a similar value for \( p_c \). However, the most currently accurate MD modeling of interstitial atom diffusion described above resulted in a quite different percolation threshold concentration \( p_c \approx 0.65–0.70 \) which is clearly associated with the minimum of the composition dependent diffusion coefficient \( D^* \). The significant difference between \( p_c \approx 0.65–0.70 \) and \( p_{\text{site}} \approx 0.2 \) calls for attention to chemically-biased atomic motions.

Theoretical model

To elucidate possible contributions to such a discrepancy, we developed a mean-field theoretical model that takes into account some of the basic physical properties of defects in chemically complex solutions. The aim is to find out how the migrating defect properties contribute to upper layer processes, such as percolation and sluggish diffusion. These phenomena are associated here with alloys’ chemical complexity. The model considers binary alloys with the atomic fractions \( C_i \) (i = A, B): \( C_A + C_B = 1 \), where the migrating defect can be in two, A and B, ground states (equivalent to Ni–Ni and Fe–Fe dumb-balls) and in the transition states between them. Therefore, it operates with three parameters which are two migration energies, \( E^*_{\text{A}} \) and \( E^*_{\text{B}} \), and the energy difference between the ground states, \( \Delta E^* = E^*_B - E^*_A \) (see Fig. 2a). The diffusion coefficient is obtained by the thermodynamic averaging of the defect jump frequencies

\[
D = D_0 \left( p \left( B|j\right)^{A} + p_b \left( B|j\right)^{B} \right),
\]

where \( D_0 \) is the pre-exponential factor of the diffusion coefficient, \( p \) is the probability that site \( i \) is occupied by a defect, and \( \left( B|j\right)^{A} \) is the Boltzmann factor for defect jump from ground state \( A \), averaged over different atomic configurations of \( j \) atoms.
surrounding ground state. The occupation probability of states by a migrating defect is

$$p_i = \frac{C_i b_i^f}{C_A b_A^f + C_B b_B^f},$$

where \(b_i^f = \exp(-\beta E_i^f)\), \(E_i^f\) is the formation energy of state \(i\), \(\beta = k_B T\), \(T\) the absolute temperature and \(k_B\) the Boltzmann constant. In the mean-field approximation we replace averaging over different atomic configurations surrounding ground state by the value corresponding to a single state with neighboring atoms for the alloy-averaged atomic concentrations:

$$\langle B \rangle_i^m = C_i b_i^m + C_B b_B^m,$$

where \(b_i^m = \exp(-\beta E_i^m)\), \(E_i^m\) is the activation energy of jump from \(i\) to \(j\) states.

The diffusion scenario is illustrated in Fig. 2a, where the subsystem B is chosen to have higher defect formation energy (which makes it similar to Fe in Ni–Fe alloy). As indicated in the figure, in this case, \(E_{BA}^m = E_{BB}^m\) and \(E_{AB}^m = E_B^f - E_A^f + E_{BB}^m\) and only two independent parameters define the ratio \(\langle D \rangle / D_B\) (where \(D_B = D_{BB}\), in addition to temperature:

$$\Delta E^f = E_B^f - E_A^f,$$

$$\Delta E^m = E_{AA}^m - E_{BB}^m.$$  

Now we can combine Eqs. (1)–(3) to obtain the main equation

$$\langle D \rangle = \frac{1}{B_m} \left[ C_A + (1 - C_A^2) \beta \Delta E^f \right],$$

$$D_B = \frac{B_m}{C_A + (1 - C_A) \beta},$$

where \(\beta = \exp(-\beta \Delta E^m)\) and \(\Delta E^f = \exp(-\beta \Delta E^f)\), \(\Delta E^SD = E_{BB}^m - E_A^f\) being the difference of the self-diffusion energies: \(E_{AB}^m = E_B^f + E_{BB}^m\).

By differentiating Eq. (6) over \(C_A\) one finds that at certain conditions there is a minimum in the concentration dependence of the diffusion coefficient. So, is \(B^f \ll B^m\), and the position of the minimum is located at

$$C_A^m \approx \sqrt{\Delta E^SD}.$$

Note that the percolation effects (ignored here) must shift the minimum of diffusion coefficient to lower A-atom concentrations for A-rich alloys and to higher B-atom concentrations for B-rich alloys. This is because the long-range diffusion needs transition jumps between A and B subsystems when approaching the corresponding percolation thresholds.

It follows from Eq. (7) that, for the minimum to be located at B-atom concentration higher than \(p_c\), and lower than \(1-p_c\), \(\Delta E^SD\) must not be too high; otherwise the minimum will coincide with the percolation threshold \(1-p_c\) for faster Ni atoms. Also, taking into account the percolation effects on long-range diffusion, \(\Delta E^f\) must be larger than \(\Delta E^m\); otherwise the migration energy difference will prevail, and the minimum will coincide with the percolation threshold \(p_c\) of faster B atoms, as in the conventional approaches for site percolation\(^27\). The diffusion properties of such a system were estimated using Eq. (3) for conditions close to those of the MD modeling. We considered two temperatures 1100 K and 500 K, \(\Delta E^m = 0.08\) eV (the interatomic potentials used in MD modeling\(^33\) provides \(E_{BB}^m = 0.35\) eV and \(E_{AA}^m = 0.27\) eV) and different values of \(\Delta E^f\). Note that the static estimations of defect energies show large spectra of the ground states (see kMC section below), and different energy jumps will be realized depending on the temperatures. The probability of more energetic jumps increases with increasing temperature, but the probability of low energy jumps is always higher. In the model presented here, we use: \(\Delta E^f = (0.1 + 0.4)\) eV that is within the range considered below in kMC modeling. The results are presented in Fig. 2b. The conclusions of the theoretical analysis are as follows:

i. The minimum in the concentration dependence of \(D_{Nfe}^f\) in Fe–Ni alloys is explained by competition of two processes: faster migration of Ni in Ni-rich alloys due to higher stability of Ni–Ni d-b and faster migration of Fe in Fe-rich alloys due to lower migration energy, \(E_{BB}^f < E_{AA}^f\).

ii. The minimum of \(D_{Nfe}^f\) is located at Fe concentrations between \(p_c\) and \(1-p_c\) due to an enhanced probability of energetic transitions between Fe and Ni subsystems at these concentrations.

iii. To observe such a minimum, \(\Delta E^f\) must be larger than \(\Delta E^m\); otherwise it will coincide with the percolation threshold \(p_c\) for faster Fe atoms, as in the conventional models\(^25,26\), and iv. \(\Delta E^SD = \Delta E^f + \Delta E^m\) must not be too high; otherwise it will coincide with the percolation threshold 1–\(p_c\) for faster Ni atoms.

**Lattice kMC model**

In the above theoretical model we considered only two transition states which are not exactly correlated with the dumb-bell migration mechanism where the three states AA, AB, and BB...
energies are necessary to consider the possible cases, namely depends on the particular con
Contribution from interstitial atom formation energy difference barriers estimated in pure Ni and Fe as presented above.

\[ \Delta \text{E}_{\text{fi}} \] and Fe substituted for the interstitial atom migration energies in pure Ni and Fe. \(<\text{E}_{\text{NiNi}}>\), \(<\text{E}_{\text{FeFe}}>\), and \(<\text{E}_{\text{FeNi}}>\) are mean energies for Ni-Ni, Ni-Fe and Fe-Fe dumb-bells calculated from the corresponding energy distributions. Parameters used in kMC modeling for "on-the-fly" estimation of transition state energies for interstitial atom migration in Ni-Fe alloys. Distribution of formation energies of different <100> dumb-bells estimated in the equiatomic NiFe alloy. Energies for each configuration were estimated using static modeling of >700 different random distributions of the same number of Ni and Fe atoms. Energies are presents relatively to the global minimum energy over all the distributions and dumb-bells. Mean energies and their standard deviations are shown for all three dumb-bell types in the inset.

Transition states for <100> dumb-bell migration in Ni-Fe alloys. a Schematic presentation of A1-A2->A2-B1 dumb-bell jump. Eight jumps, that is combinations of A1, A2 and B1, are possible in two-component alloys. b Table of transition state energies, \( E' \), calculated for different jumps of interstitial atom in in the form of <100> dumb-bell in Ni-Fe alloys. \( E_{\text{Ni}} \) and \( E_{\text{Fe}} \) are interstitial atom migration energies in pure Ni and Fe. \(<\text{E}_{\text{NiNi}}>\), \(<\text{E}_{\text{FeFe}}>\), and \(<\text{E}_{\text{FeNi}}>\) are mean energies for Ni-Ni, Ni-Fe and Fe-Fe dumb-bells calculated from the corresponding energy distributions. Parameters used in kMC modeling for "on-the-fly" estimation of transition state energies for interstitial atom migration in Ni-Fe alloys. c Distribution of formation energies of different <100> dumb-bells estimated in the equiatomic NiFe alloy. Energies for each configuration were estimated using static modeling of >700 different random distributions of the same number of Ni and Fe atoms. Energies are present relatively to the global minimum energy over all the distributions and dumb-bells. Mean energies and their standard deviations are shown for all three dumb-bell types in the inset. d Composition dependence of differences of mean formation energies of different dumb-bells: \(<\text{E}_{\text{NiFe}}>-<\text{E}_{\text{NNi}}>(\text{red triangles})\) and \(<\text{E}_{\text{FeFe}}>-<\text{E}_{\text{NNi}}>(\text{blue squares})\). Positive value means increasing transition state energy for the corresponding dumb-bell jump.

may occur. A more accurate description can be obtained by the kMC that takes into account these details. The transition state energy in this kMC model is defined by (1) which Ni or Fe, atom jumps with corresponding migration energy barrier \( E_{\text{Ni}} \) or \( E_{\text{Fe}} \) respectively; and (2) the configuration energy gain for the process occurred, \( \Delta E \), that can be equal to \( \Delta E = \pm E + \pm E \).

The ground state configuration of IAs in fcc lattice is <100> dumbbell and it can consist of different alloying atoms. The possible <100> dumb-bell jumps in a binary alloy are depicted in Fig. 3a. For each current dumbbell composition A1-A2 after either A1 or A2 atom jumps exist three possible compositions such as A2-A2, A1-A1, and A1-A2. Considering three possible initial configurations in the binary alloys considered here: Ni-Ni, Ni-Fe, and Fe-Fe, one can estimate eight possible transition states. In the first approximation, each transition state energy can be estimate as \( E' = E + \Delta E \), where \( E \) is the barrier for the corresponding atom displacement and \( \Delta E \) is the energy change due to the change in the interstitial configuration: This is nothing more than the Bell-Evans-Polanyi principle applied to the specific case considered here. An estimation of activation energies of the possible eight transition states is shown in Fig. 3b. As \( E \) we used barriers estimated in pure Ni and Fe as presented above. Contribution from interstitial atom formation energy difference depends on the particular configuration change. Only two energies are necessary to consider the possible cases, namely \( \Delta E_1 = <\text{E}_{\text{NiFe}}>-<\text{E}_{\text{FeFe}}>, \) and \( \Delta E_2 = <\text{E}_{\text{FeNi}}>-<\text{E}_{\text{FeNi}}>. \) Here \( E_{\text{NiFe}}, E_{\text{FeFe}}, \) and \( E_{\text{NNi}} \) are formation energies of Ni-Fe, Fe-Fe, and Ni-Ni dumbbells correspondingly. While \( E \) depends only on the migrating atoms i.e., Ni or Fe, the above dumbbell formation energies depend on the alloy’s composition. We calculated these energies for different compositions: \( C_{\text{NNi}} = 0.1 \) – 0.9 using static modeling. For each composition we model Ni-Ni, Ni-Fe, and Fe-Fe dumb-bells in crystals with exactly the same number of Ni and Fe atoms distributed randomly. Over 700 different atomic distributions were tested for the each dumb-bell type. The energy difference between each configuration and the global minimum among all energies was calculated for the each dumb-bell type. An example of distributions of these energies calculated for the equiatomic alloys is presented in Fig. 3c. The inset indicates the mean values for the each dumb-bell type and one can see that Ni-Ni dumb-bells have a minimum energy, 3.62 eV, followed by 4.06 eV for Ni-Fe dumb-bell and, finally, Fe-Fe dumb-bell has the maximum mean energy equal to 4.28 eV. These values can be interpreted in the following way. For example, initial Fe-Fe dumbbell jumped into configuration with Fe-Ni composition. The energy balance of this process in average is: \( \Delta E = \Delta E_1 + <\text{E}_{\text{NiFe}}>-<\text{E}_{\text{FeFe}}>, \) which means that when Fe-atom from the Fe-Fe dumb-bell jumps towards Ni-atom and creates Fe-Ni dumbbell the total crystal energy decrease. The transition state energy for such processes is \( E = E' + \Delta E = 0.05 \) eV. The composition dependence of \( \Delta E_1 \) and \( \Delta E_2 \) is presented in Fig. 3d. In the case when \( \Delta E_1 = <\Delta E_2 \) the theoretical and the
Energy, which is composition dependent (see Fig. 3). The resulting chemical complexity results in decreasing percolation and sluggish diffusion. This is the case of a high stability of Ni dumb-bells in different states transforms chemically complex alloy into ideal solid solution.

KMC models are equivalent. For the interatomic potentials used here to describe Ni-Fe system the difference between these energies is ~0.1–0.2 eV and weakly depends on composition (see Fig. 3c, d). In general, dumb-bells have affinity to Ni-atoms, which makes the above theoretical approach qualitatively correct.

To investigate the effect of chemical complexity on atomic transport, we performed kMC modeling with the variable contribution from the difference in local energy to the transition state energy of a migrating dumb-bell: \( E^* = E^0 + \alpha \Delta E \). The parameter \( \alpha \) here controls the contribution from the defect local energy, which is composition dependent (see Fig. 3). The resulting composition dependence of the tracer diffusion coefficient is presented in Fig. 4 for \( \alpha \) (i.e., the quantifiable parameter of chemical complexity) = 1, 0.5, 0.1, and 0. \( \alpha = 1 \) corresponds to alloy with a high level of chemical complexity, resulting in very strong effects of percolation, sluggish and chemically-biased diffusion. This is the case of a high stability of Ni–Ni dumb-bells over other configurations. In this case the Fe diffusion percolation occurs at the site percolation threshold \( C_{\text{sp}} \) between 0.70 and 0.80 (hence \( C_{\text{sp}} \sim 0.20-0.30 \)) when a continuous dumb-bell migration, via Ni–Ni-to-Ni–Ni jumps, is no longer possible. The sudden increase of Fe atoms appearance in the dumb-bells presented in Fig. 1c is a clear demonstration of Fe-atoms diffusion percolation. The shape of the composition dependence of \( D^\alpha \) obtained by kMC (red circles in Fig. 4) reproduces fairly well the MD results (gray diamonds in Fig. 1a). Lower \( \alpha \), i.e., lower alloy’s chemical complexity, results in decreasing percolation and sluggish diffusion effects and shifting the percolation threshold concentration towards lower Fe contents. At \( \alpha = 0 \), the tracer diffusion coefficient becomes a linear function of the alloy composition, realizing an ideal alloy that is predicted by conventional theory with only compositional effects but little or none chemical effects.

For simplicity, we considered initially random solid solutions, which is a common assumption in the high entropy alloys community. This case is related to high temperature states of SP-CSAs, whereas at low temperatures a certain level of local chemical order (LCO) forms in many materials. Inclusion of LCO in direct molecular dynamics modeling would require significant increase of the modeling system to include necessary spectrum of particular LCO configurations. This demands huge computational resources, well beyond even the unprecedented \( \mu \)-scale MD modeling used in the present work. Extended studies of the defects properties in LCO configurations can produce necessary data from lattice Monte Carlo models, similar to that used here, and the role of LCO can be studied at this level. We predict that the LCO will minimize the local free energy and, therefore, local defect formation energy, acting as an additional contribution into the defect effective migration energy \( E^\alpha \) considered above, increasing its value and, thus, the entire effect of chemical complexity. An indirect evidence of this can be found in the recent study of the LCO effects to dislocation glide in SP-CSAs, where it was demonstrated that the LCO heightens the ruggedness of the energy landscape and raises the activation barriers governing dislocation activity. There is also a report of kinetic Activation Relaxation Technique simulations of vacancy and SIA diffusion in ordered and disordered Ni-based alloys that provide evidence to this effect. We expect qualitatively similar effects on the defects’ long-range diffusion.

The percolation and chemically-biased diffusion of IAs described here, coupled to the concentration-dependence of vacancy migration in SP-CSAs, most likely have an important effect on radiation-induced point-defect recombination, as well as interaction of point-defects with extended defects—such as dislocation-type structures. Such effects can be captured in concentrated alloys over experimental timescales by techniques such as the kinetic Activation Relaxation Technique.

**DISCUSSION**

The theoretical and kinetic Monte Carlo analysis of molecular dynamics data on interstitial atom diffusion in Ni–Fe alloys presented here lead to the following important conclusions:

1. Percolation effects on sluggish and chemically-biased diffusion and composition dependence of the tracer diffusion coefficient in real alloys are determined by the variation of local energies, surrounding environment and chemistry of the migrating defects.
2. In particular, the minimum in the concentration dependence of \( D^\alpha \) in Fe–Ni alloys is explained by competition of preferential migration of Ni in Ni-rich alloys due to higher stability of interstitial dumbbell and faster migration of Fe in Fe-rich alloys due to lower migration energy.
3. The distribution of local defect energies is the measure of the quantifiable alloy chemical complexity for atomic diffusion;

Beyond various measures of complexity in such concentrated alloys discussed in the literature, such as mixing enthalpy, defects energy, and local atomic distortions, we added a new metric: the formation and migration energy of local defect configurations. A novel, direct, link was established between defect energies distributions and transport properties of concentrated alloys. Chemical complexity of the alloy and the underlying mechanisms for the composition dependent percolation observed and described above, sluggish and chemically-biased diffusion, can be estimated using the theoretical and Monte Carlo models proposed here with a limited set of energy properties of migrating defects, which can be obtained through classical or ab initio atomistic modeling.

**METHODS**

Atomistic modeling of diffusion in concentrated alloys

Atomic scale methods and mean field theory of diffusion used in this research to study interstitial atom diffusion in Ni–Fe alloys. The empirical potentials for the fcc Ni–Fe alloys developed in ref. , which are consistent with the DFT-defined properties of point defects, were used in MD modeling of thermally activated IA diffusion and static modeling of interstitial formation and migration energies.

**Molecular dynamics**

Molecular dynamics (MD) is an ideal tool for studying dynamic properties of atomic systems evolving at finite temperature with internal and external...
driving forces (see e.g., 38,39, for general information), however, currently, only classical MD methods based on Newtonian equations, in conjunction with empirical interatomic potentials (IAPs) are able to model point defect diffusion over long enough time to provide sufficient statistics of elementary migration events–defect jumps. Microsecond-scale MD simulations have been applied here to study IA diffusion in fcc-type Ni–Fe alloys. Concentrated alloys impose new diffusion mechanisms that (a) decelerate interstitial atom diffusion, (b) introduce new strong correlations in IA jumps and (c) demand much greater statistics of interstitial atom jumps for results to converge. As we have found here the sluggish diffusion effect in Ni–Fe system is much stronger for IA migration than for vacancy migration. The origin of this is a higher stability of Ni containing <100> dumb-bell configurations in fcc Ni–Fe alloys (see Fig. 3c above). This leads to a strongly correlated diffusion when the interstitial atom in dumb-bell configuration keeps trying to jump to Ni–Ni sites and therefore long defect trajectories must be model to reproduce the representative statistics of defect configurations. Here we present results on modeling unprecedentedly long defect diffusion trajectories of up to ~10^5 jumps of the IA over up to ~5 μs physical time. We have modeled thermally activated migration of interstitial atoms in fcc pure Ni and Fe and 17 alloys with Fe concentrations in atomic fraction: C_{Fe} = 0.025, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.25, 0.35, 0.45, 0.5, 0.55, 0.6, 0.65, 0.7, 0.75, 0.8, 0.9, 0.95, and 0.975 over a temperature range 500–1100 K. A large number of alloys was necessary to, first, investigate relatively dilute alloys and, second, accurately define the sluggish diffusion composition range. The general approach to treat MD data is described elsewhere38. An important part of defect diffusion treatment is understanding correlations in defect jumps. These correlations depend on the local environment including composition fluctuations and local chemical order (LCO). A migrating defect should visit a large number of different lattice sites to account for all possible correlations and ensure that its diffusion coefficient saturates for long range diffusion. Two techniques for defect trajectory analysis were applied here: TJD-trajectory jumps decomposition, when the whole defect trajectory is decomposed into segments of the same number of jumps, N_j and TTD-trajectory time decomposition, when the trajectory is decomposed into segments of equal time, t_j (see ref. 38 for details). The overall defect diffusion coefficient, D^*, is defined as the mean over the all trajectory segments in the corresponding statistical treatment. Analysis of D^* behavior versus segment length allows to estimate the scale of correlations in the diffusion process. As can be seen from the Fig. 5, for interstitial atom migrating in the equiatomic alloy at 500 K the diffusion coefficient estimated in TTD and TJD treatments saturate in the same value, D^* = (6.2 ± 0.3) × 10^{-11} m^2/s, for segments longer than t_j = 1.5 ns that, in average, include more than N_j = 300 jumps. The trajectory decomposition parameters, t_j and N_j define the scale of correlations in interstitial atom diffusion. Modeling conditions in this particular case, i.e., >10^5 interstitial atom jumps and ~4.8 μs physical time, mean that the interstitial atom diffusion trajectory consists of ~3 × 10^5 segments that, in average, include necessary fluctuations and correlations, and provide a high accuracy in estimating the overall diffusion properties.

Theoretical model

In an alloy with infinite ratio of the partial diffusion coefficients, the tracer diffusion coefficient reaches its minimum at concentration of faster species equal to the site percolation threshold, p_c (0.72 for the interstitial dumbbell diffusion on the fcc crystal lattice42). In a real, ‘gray’, hence more percolating, system, the minimum of the diffusion coefficient (or change of its slope) must be shifted to lower concentrations.

In contrast, in Fe–Ni, where Ni atoms are faster in Ni-rich alloys due to higher stability of interstitial defect and Fe atoms are faster in Fe-rich alloys due to lower migration energy, the minimum of the diffusion coefficient is found at Fe concentrations higher than p_c and lower than 1−p_c, which are the percolation thresholds for faster Fe and Ni atoms, respectively. Hence, the origin of the effect must be different from that of the classical percolation. For this reason and for simplicity, below we ignore percolation effects.

Lattice kMC model

KMC modeling interstitial atom migration in concentrated alloy is rather complicated for the activation energy for elemental interstitial atom (<100> dumb-bell here) jumps is relatively low, ~0.1–0.3 eV, while the difference in energy of <100> dumb-bell in different positions in a concentrated alloy may be well above an eV as demonstrated for example in refs. 12,27 for the equiatomic NiFe alloy. The general description of kMC
approach can be found elsewhere. Here we developed a version of lattice kMC model where transition states for particular configurations are estimated during modeling on the basis of statistically averaged local energies of different interstitial configurations.

In practice, during the “on-the-fly” kMC modeling of interstitial atom diffusion in NiFe alloys, the following steps were performed:

1. Generate a cubic fcc crystal with dimensions 10a x 10a x 10a with a random distribution of Ni and Fe atoms of a particular composition, with a <100> dumb-bell in the center;
2. Identify the four nearest neighbors for each dumb-bell atom A1 and A2 (see Fig. 3a);
3. Calculate the possible transition states and $E^*$ for A1 and A2 atoms jumps according to Fig. 3a, b;
4. Execute a kMC step according to the reaction chosen for the simulated temperature among the estimated transition state energies;
5. Go to step (2).

For all the reactions modeled by kMC we used a constant attempt frequency (2.5THz) that was estimated by fitting kMC results on tracer diffusivity of <100> dumb-bell in pure Ni at 500 K to the corresponding results obtained by MD.
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