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**Abstract**

Fabricating cementitious composites with sustainable materials represents an essential aspect in the recent developments in the construction section. On the other hand, using fibers within the binding matrix to enhance its strength properties is a familiar application and holds significant importance to the research community. In this investigation, the Geopolymer matrix which is based on silica fume (micro silica) and blast furnace slag (GGBS) was reinforced with five different fiber types to form slurry fiber reinforced concrete (SIFCON). Hook-ended steel, crimped steel, elastoplastic, macro twisted, and macro nylon fibers were used, the results revealed the fact that steel-based specimens generally yielded better results when compared to specimens reinforced with other fiber types. Normal condition results of hook-ended and crimped fiber-based specimens yielded an increment of more than 10% in both compressive and flexural strength tests while their elevated temperature results showed strength loss rates less than specimens with other fiber types. Also, with acceptable performance in both conditions, macro twisted fibers can be considered as potential fibers for geopolymer based SIFCON.
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**Farklı Lifli Geopolimer Esaslı SIFCON Betonların Dayanım Davranışı**

Öz

Sürdürülebilir malzemelerle çimentolu kompozitlerin üretilmesi, inşaat bölümünde son gelişmelerde önemli bir yönü temsil ediyor. Öte yandan, mukavemet özelliklerini geliştirmek içinバッグレイオのマトリックス内でライフを用いた研究がたくさん行われてきている。本研究では、Geopolymer matrisine, bulamaç, kıvırcık lif, elastoplastic, makro kıvrımlı, makro nylon lif ile liflerin koruyucu etkisini artırmak için farklı lif türleri kullanıldı. Kancanın başı, kıvrımdaki lif, elastoplastik lif, makro kıvrımlı lif ve makro nylon lif farklı matrislerin lifleri ile çimentosu ve kalıcı liflerin birleşmesi sonucunda elde edilen mukavemet özellikleri, hem basit hem de eğilme testlerinde %10'dan fazla bir artış sağlarken, yüksek sıcaklık sonucunda diğer lif türlerine sahip numunelerde daha az mukavemet kaybı oranları göstermiştir. Ayrıca, her iki koşulda da kabul edilebilir bir performansla makro kıvrımlı lifler, Geopolimer bazı SIFCON için potansiyel lifler olarak kabul edilebilir.

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** Geopolimer, SIFCON, kıvrımlı lifler, Elastoplastik lifler, Makro lifler.

**1. Introduction**

The aforementioned facts led so many researchers to develop new technologies and experimental attempts to fabricate alternative binders that are based on environmentally friendly materials. Within this field, many investigations were conducted to partially or fully replace ordinary Portland cement (OPC) [3]. Geopolymer technology, which was firstly developed by Prof. Joseph Davidovits, holds significant importance in terms of wastes utilization and fabricating non-cementitious binders which are based on wastes and by-product materials [4,5].
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On the other hand, the flexural properties of cement-based composites always show weakness in tension zones due to the brittleness of cement composites [6]. In this concern, using fibers to enhance the flexural behavior is one of the familiar practices because of the high tensile strength of fibers [7,8].

In some experimental applications, the main goal was to gain a flexural strength that is close to the compressive strength. Shurry Fiber Reinforced Concrete (SIFCON) is one of the successful approaches in this field and is found in a wide range of applications that require high flexural strength [9-11].

Many research attempts focused on developing Geopolymer composites and SIFCON separately, but there is a lack of studying their behavior together, thus, the main objective of this investigation will be to evaluate the performance of geopolymer based SIFCON composites. Compressive and flexural strength properties of geopolymer-based SIFCON specimens fabricated with five various fibers (steel-hook ended, steel-crimped, elastoplastic, polyamide and nylon) were determined in normal condition and being subjected to elevated temperatures (250 and 500) °C.

2. Material and Method

The details of used materials, the mixing proportions, and the applied standards and test procedures were explained in this section as follows:

2.1. Materials

The main binding materials used in this study were silica fume (micro-silica) which was provided from Eti Antalya Electrometallurgy Inc. (Kepez–Antalya/Turkey) and ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) which was provided from Bolu Cement Company (Bolu/Turkey), the chemical compositions of silica fume and slag are listed in table 1. Sodium silicate and sodium hydroxide were used as activators; their details are given in tables 2 and 3. Fine river sand which is correspondent to BS EN 196-1 [12] and Rilem Cembureau standard was used in this study. the Sieve analysis of river sand is shown in figure 2.

Five different fibers were in this study and their properties are given in table 4, Samples of the used fibers are shown in figure 3.

### Table 1 Chemical composition of silica fume and GGBS

| Chemical Analysis | GGBS  | Silica Fume |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|
| SiO₂              | 40.55 | 91.57       |
| Al₂O₃             | 12.83 | 0.38        |
| Fe₂O₃             | 1.10  | 0.15        |
| TiO₂              | 0.75  | -           |
| CaO               | 35.58 | 0.32        |
| MgO               | 5.87  | 4.05        |
| K₂O               | 0.68  | 2.58        |
| Na₂O              | 0.79  | 0.55        |
| L.O. I.           | 0.03  | 1.68        |

![Fig. 1 A Sample of a) GGBS and b) silica fume](image)

![Fig. 2 Sieve analysis of river sand](image)

![Fig. 2 Sieve analysis of river sand](image)
Table 2 Properties of sodium hydroxide

|       | NaOH (%) | Na₂CO₃ (%) | Cl (%) | SO₄ (%) | Al (%) | Fe (%) |
|-------|----------|------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
|       | 99.1     | 0.3        | ≤ 0.01 | ≤ 0.01  | ≤ 0.002| ≤ 0.002|

Table 3 Properties of sodium silicate

| Na₂O (%) | SiO₂ (%) | Density (20°C) (g/ml) | Fe (%) | Heavy metals (as Pb) (%) |
|----------|----------|-----------------------|--------|-------------------------|
| 8.2      | 27.0     | 1.360                 | ≤0.005 | ≤ 0.005                 |

Table 4 Properties of used fibers

| Type                  | L (mm) | D (mm) | Sp. Gravity (g/cm³) | Tensile strength MPa | L/D |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|----------------------|-----|
| Hook ended            | 30     | 0.75   | 7.85                | 2100                 | 40  |
| Crimped               | 36     | 0.45   | 7.85                | 910                  | 80  |
| Twisted               | 45     | 0.6    | 0.91                | 850                  | 75  |
| Elastoplastic         | 40     | 0.6    | 0.91                | 750                  | 66  |
| Macro nylon           | 45     | 0.55   | 1.14                | 500                  | 81  |

Table 5 General mixing notes

|                      |                          |
|----------------------|--------------------------|
| **Binder percentage**| 50% silica fume + 50% ggbs|
|                      |                          |
| **Ratio of binder to filler** | 1: 2.25                |
|                      |                          |
| **Ratio of chemical solution to binder** | 0.5                     |
|                      |                          |
| **Molarity of NaOH (M)** | 12                      |
|                      |                          |
| **Curing system**    | Room temp.               |

Table 6 mixing proportions (g)

| Silica fume | GGBS | Sand | Na₂SiO₃ | NaOH |
|-------------|------|------|---------|------|
| 225         | 225  | 1012.5| 150     | 75   |

Fig. 3 Samples of a) steel-hook ended b) steel-crimped c) elastoplastic d) macro nylon e) macro twisted fibers
2.2. Mix proportions, mixing, and testing methods

Sodium hydroxide (12M) was prepared one day before the mixing day and left to cool. On the day of mixing, sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate were mixed to form the chemical activating solution. The mix proportions and chemical solution are given in table 5. Dry materials were first mixed and the chemical solution was added to form Geopolymer paste. Sand was then added to the paste to create Geopolymer slurry, the General mixing notes and the mixing proportions are given in tables 5 and 6, the mixing process is clarified in figure 1.

The designated molds for compressive and flexural strength tests were cubic molds with 50 mm side and prismatic molds with dimensions of (40×40×160) mm, the fibers used in this study were put in the mold before the casting process, the mixing process started by pouring Geopolymer slurry into the molds and then the casted specimens were vibrated to eliminate the air bubbles.

Specimens that were designated for elevated temperature tests were put into a high-temperature furnace, the heat increase rate was 1°C per minute and the heating process at the desired temperature lasted for one hour. After that, the specimens were allowed to cool to be tested later.

Concerning the tests in this study, compressive and flexural strength tests were conducted according to ASTM C109 [13] and ASTM 348 [14], respectively.

Concerning the tests in this study, compressive and flexural strength tests were conducted according to ASTM C109 [13] and ASTM 348 [14], respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

As stated in the previous sections, compressive and flexural strength results were determined and listed in Figures 5 and 6. The strength development from 7 days to 28 days was drawn for the fabricated series.

In addition to that, compressive and flexural strength results were listed comparatively with the 28th day’s results as shown in figures 5 and 6.

3.1. Normal Condition

In general, the strength results for all series state the fact that the fabricated matrix yielded a development with time. As can be noticed from figure 5, compressive strength for all series developed significantly. The development percentages ranged from 7% for the EP series to 24% for the HE series. Series which are reinforced with hook ended and crimped fibers showed the highest increment rates from 7 to 28 days, this can be related to the fact that the interfacial interaction between the geopolymeric matrix and steel fibers is better than that with other fiber types, also, the findings comply with the previous research attempts in this concern [8,9].

Figure 6 shows that the flexural strength development percentages of all series are close to each other, the increment percentages varied from 12% for EP and NY series to 16% for HE series. These close percentages can be explained by knowing that the flexural behavior of SIFCON is mainly related to the behavior of the fibers [15,16]. HE and CR series showed better performance when compared to the other series because of the comparable properties of steel-based fibers [8].
3.2. High Temperature Test

Concerning the elevated temperature tests, the compressive strength results which are given in figure 7 show that the decrement percentages in all series range between 22% for HE series and approximately 30% for NY and EP series at 250°C and between 46% for HE series and 72% for NY series at 500°C.

Flexural strength which is given in figure 8 shows that loss percentages were between 14% (HE series) and 33% at 250°C, and between 35% (HE series) and 53% (NY series) at 500°C.

In general, the results show that specimens with steel fibers yielded better results in comparison to specimens reinforced with other fibers, this performance is related to the good interfacial bonding between fibers and geopolymeric matrix and to the comparable melting points of steel fibers in compliance with the findings [16].

On the other hand, the results of the specimens with macro synthetic twisted fibers revealed the fact that using these fibers is feasible in SIFCON applications, and with geopolymeric matrix, the findings are in line with the previous investigations in the field [17].

Although they showed weak performance when compared to steel and polyamide fibers, elastoplastic and nylon fibers could be used in this application as potential fiber for SIFCON.

4. Conclusion

This experimental investigation aimed to study the usage of different fibers with the geopolymeric matrix to fabricate SIFCON specimens, strength properties, namely compressive and flexural strengths, were determined in normal condition and after being subjected to elevated temperatures, the main conclusions can be drawn out from the results:

1. Generally, fabricating SIFCON with geopolymeric matrix is possible with slag-silica fume as the main precursors.

2. Specimens that were reinforced with steel fibers (hook ended and crimped) showed better results in both conditions when compared to other fibers because of the good fiber-matrix bonding degree as well as the comparable properties of fibers.

3. Using macro synthetic twisted fibers in SIFCON applications was found to be possible since these fibers showed an acceptable performance in the conducted tests.

4. In comparison to other fibers, elastoplastic and nylon fibers showed weaker strength results under the influence of elevated temperatures due to the low melting points of these fibers.
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