1. Result without pre-processing white balance

As a supplement of our method, we also evaluate our method on the RAW with post-processed white balance. As shown in Table 2, our model can still recover better RAW data and comparable sRGB images compared to the baselines. Please note that the result is based on JPEG with quality 75 (Q=75).

2. Additional quantitative results

Evaluation Metrics We use PNSR and SSIM to evaluate rendered RGB images and use PSNR for recovered RAW data. Note that our model aims at both rendering good-quality RGB images and realistic RGB images. Thus, to evaluate the overall performance of our results, we leverage the idea from the F1 score, which is a commonly used evaluation metric for binary classification [1], and take the harmonic mean of RGB PSNR and RAW PSNR, denoted as H score.

\[
H = 2 \times \frac{\text{PSNR}_\text{RAW} \times \text{PSNR}_\text{RGB}}{\text{PSNR}_\text{RAW} + \text{PSNR}_\text{RGB}}.
\]

(1)

We calculate H score for Table 1 in main paper.

| Method       | NIKON D700 | Canon EOS 5D |
|--------------|------------|--------------|
| InvGrayscale [3] | 27.98      | 32.39        |
| U-net [2]    | 38.68      | 36.89        |
| Ours         | **40.99**  | **39.73**    |

Table 1: The H score of baselines’ results and ours. Note that CycleISP [4] is not included in this table because it only targets synthesizing RAW data.

We should note that the H score emphasizes the importance of a smaller value, which provides a better metric to evaluate RAW and RGB than the arithmetic mean. As listed in Table 1, although the U-net baseline obtains higher PSNR for RGB reconstruction, its relatively poor performance for RAW recovering restricts H score. Our model can reconstruct good quality RGB and RAW images and thus achieves the highest H score compared with baselines.
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Table 2: Quantitative evaluation among our model and baseline methods without white balance. Overall, various perceptual metrics show that our proposed ISP model outperforms the rest baselines.