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The aim of this article is to obtain some new integral inclusions essentially using the interval-valued harmonically co-ordinated convex functions and κ-Raina’s fractional double integrals. To show the validity of our theoretical results, we also give some numerical examples.

1. Introduction

A convex analysis is the branch of mathematics in which we study the properties of convex sets and convex functions. These classical concepts have a wide range of applications in both pure and applied sciences. For instance, every one is familiar with the role of convexity in the theory of optimization, operations research, mathematical economics, theory of means etc. In recent years the classical concepts of the convexity have been extended and generalized in different directions using novel and innovative ideas. For example, Dragomir [1] extended the notion of classical convex functions on the coordinates and introduced the class of co-ordinated convex functions. Iscan [2] introduced the notion of harmonically convex functions and observed that this class enjoys some nice properties which the convex functions have. Nikodem [3] introduced the class of interval-valued convex functions and discussed its properties. Zhao et al. [4] introduced the notion of interval-valued harmonically convex functions. For more details, interested readers are referred to the book [5].

Another charming aspect of the theory of convexity is its relation with the theory of inequalities. Many inequalities which are known to us are direct consequences of the applications of the convexity property of the functions. In this regard, one of the most studied results is Hermite-Hadamard’s inequality. This inequality provides us with a necessary and sufficient condition for a function to be convex. It reads as,

\[ \Theta\left(\frac{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\varphi_2 - \varphi_1} \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \Theta(x)dx \leq \frac{\Theta(\varphi_1) + \Theta(\varphi_2)}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

This result is one of the most significant results pertaining to the convexity property of the functions which has been studied extensively as well as intensively. In recent years this result has been extended and generalized in different ways using novel and innovative ideas. For example, Dragomir [1] obtained a new version of Hermite-Hadamard’s inequality by using the co-ordinated convexity property of the functions. Iscan [2] obtained Hermite-Hadamard’s inequality using the class of harmonic convex functions. Zhao obtained a similar result by using the interval-valued harmonically convex functions. Sarikaya et al. [6] have utilized the concepts of fractional calculus and obtained fractional analogues of Hermite-Hadamard’s inequality. For some more recent studies regarding Hermite-Hadamard’s inequality and its applications, see [7].
On the other hand, the interval analysis, which is used in mathematics and computer models as one of the ways for resolving interval uncertainty, is an important material in mathematics. Despite the fact that this theory has a lengthy history dating back to Archimedes’ estimate of the circumference of a circle, a substantial research in this topic was not published until the 1950s. In 1966, Moore, the pioneer of interval calculus, released the first book [8] on interval analysis. Following that, a slew of researchers delved into the theory and applications of interval analysis. Many authors have recently focused on integral inequalities derived from interval-valued functions. Sadowska [9] discovered the Hermite–Hadamard inequality for set-valued functions, interval-valued functions. Sadowska [9] discovered the equality pertaining to the co-ordinated convex functions. Noor et al. [21] introduced the class of co-ordinated harmonic convex function on \( \Delta \). A function \( \Theta \) is a nondecreasing harmonic convex function if

\[
\Theta((1-t)x_1 + ty_1, (1-t)x_2 + ty_2) \leq (1-t)\Theta(x_1, y_1) + t\Theta(x_2, y_2),
\]

holds for all \( x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \Delta \), \( t \in [0, 1] \).

The following result is the Hermite–Hadamard’s inequality pertaining to the co-ordinated convex functions.

\[ \Theta \left( \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \Theta(x) \, dx \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \Theta(x) \, dx \]

Iscan [2] introduced the notion of harmonically convex functions as follows.

\[ \Theta \left( \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3}{3} \right) \leq \frac{1}{3} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \Theta(x) \, dx \leq \frac{1}{3} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \Theta(x) \, dx \]

Dragomir extended the notion of classical convexity and introduced the class of co-ordinated convex functions as follows.

\[ \Theta((1-t)x + ty, (1-t)u + rw) \leq (1-t)\Theta(x, u) + t\Theta(y, w) \]

A set \( \mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R} \) is said to be convex, if

\[ (1-t)x + ty \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}, t \in [0, 1]. \] (3)

A function \( \Theta: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is said to be convex, if

\[ \Theta((1-t)x + ty) \leq (1-t)\Theta(x) + t\Theta(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}, t \in [0, 1]. \] (4)

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we discuss some preliminary concepts and results.

A set \( \mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R} \) is said to be convex, if

A function \( \Theta: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is said to be convex, if

\[ \Theta((1-t)x + ty) \leq (1-t)\Theta(x) + t\Theta(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}, t \in [0, 1]. \] (4)

Proposition 1 (see [2])

1. If \( \Theta(x) \subset (0, \infty) \) is a nondecreasing function, then \( \Theta(x) \) is a harmonically convex function.

2. If \( \Theta(x) \subset (0, \infty) \) is a nonincreasing harmonic convex function, then \( \Theta(x) \) is a convex function.

Theorem 3 (see [2]). Let \( \Theta: \mathcal{F} = [\varphi_1, \varphi_2] \subset (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a harmonically convex function, then

\[ \Theta \left( \frac{2\varphi_1\varphi_2}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2} \right) \leq \frac{2\varphi_1\varphi_2}{\varphi_2 - \varphi_1} \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \frac{\Theta(x)}{x^2} \, dx \leq \frac{2\varphi_1\varphi_2}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2} \frac{\Theta(\varphi_1) + \Theta(\varphi_2)}{2}. \] (8)

Noor et al. [21] introduced the class of co-ordinated harmonically convex functions and derived associated Hermite–Hadamard’s inequality.

Definition 3 (see [21]). Consider a rectangle \( \Delta = [\varphi_1, \varphi_2] \times [\varphi_3, \varphi_4] \subset (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \). A function \( \Theta: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is said to be co-ordinated harmonically convex function on \( \Delta \), if

\[ \Theta((1-t)x + ty, (1-t)u + rw) \leq (1-t)\Theta(x, u) + t\Theta(y, w) \]

A function \( \Theta: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is said to be harmonically convex, if

\[ \Theta((1-t)x + ty) \leq (1-t)\Theta(x) + t\Theta(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{D}, t \in [0, 1]. \] (4)

Proposition 1 (see [2])

1. If \( \Theta(x) \subset (0, \infty) \) is a nondecreasing function, then \( \Theta(x) \) is a harmonically convex function.

2. If \( \Theta(x) \subset (0, \infty) \) is a nonincreasing harmonic convex function, then \( \Theta(x) \) is a convex function.

Theorem 3 (see [2]). Let \( \Theta: \mathcal{F} = [\varphi_1, \varphi_2] \subset (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a harmonically convex function, then

\[ \Theta \left( \frac{2\varphi_1\varphi_2}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2} \right) \leq \frac{2\varphi_1\varphi_2}{\varphi_2 - \varphi_1} \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \frac{\Theta(x)}{x^2} \, dx \leq \frac{2\varphi_1\varphi_2}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2} \frac{\Theta(\varphi_1) + \Theta(\varphi_2)}{2}. \] (8)

Noor et al. [21] introduced the class of co-ordinated harmonically convex functions and derived associated Hermite–Hadamard’s inequality.

Definition 3 (see [21]). Consider a rectangle \( \Delta = [\varphi_1, \varphi_2] \times [\varphi_3, \varphi_4] \subset (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \). A function \( \Theta: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is said to be co-ordinated harmonically convex function on \( \Delta \), if

\[ \Theta((1-t)x + ty, (1-t)u + rw) \leq (1-t)\Theta(x, u) + t\Theta(y, w) \]

A function \( \Theta: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is said to be harmonically convex, if

\[ \Theta((1-t)x + ty) \leq (1-t)\Theta(x) + t\Theta(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{D}, t \in [0, 1]. \] (4)
\[ \Theta \left( \frac{xy}{tx + (1-t)y} \right) \leq (1-t) (x, u) + t (1-r) \Theta (y, u) \]

\[ + (1-t) r \Theta (x, w) + tr \Theta (y, w), \]

whenever \( x, y \in [\rho_1, \rho_2], u, w \in [\rho_3, \rho_4] \) and \( t, r \in [0, 1] \).

**Theorem 4** (see [21]). Suppose that \( \Theta : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a coordinate harmonically convex function, then

\[ \Theta \left( \frac{2\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 + 2\rho_2 \rho_3 + \rho_4} \right) \leq \frac{abc d}{(\rho_2 - \rho_1)(\rho_4 - \rho_3)} \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} \Theta (x, y) \, dx \, dy \]

\[ \leq \Theta (\rho_1, \rho_3) + \Theta (\rho_1, \rho_4) + \Theta (\rho_2, \rho_3) + \Theta (\rho_2, \rho_4) \]

\[ \leq \frac{\Theta (\rho_1, \rho_3)}{4} + \Theta (\rho_1, \rho_4) + \Theta (\rho_2, \rho_3) + \Theta (\rho_2, \rho_4) \quad (10) \]

We now discuss some preliminaries from interval analysis. The idea behind the interval analysis is the observation that if you compute a number \( \mu \) and a bound \( M \) on the total error in \( \mu \), as an approximation to some unknown number \( X \), such that \( |X - \mu| \leq M \), then no matter how you computed \( \mu \) and \( M \), you will know that \( X \) lies in the interval \( [\mu - M, \mu + M] \).

Let \( \mathcal{X}_{\rho} \) be a collection of all closed and bounded intervals of the form \( [w_s, w^*] \) for all \( w_s, w^* \in \mathbb{R} \). If \( w_s, w^* \geq 0 \), then \( [w_s, w^*] \) is called a positive interval. Furthermore, \( \mathcal{X}_{\rho}^+ = [w_s, w^*], \forall w_s \geq 0 \) is known as the set of all positive intervals.

We now discuss about interval arithmetics and related properties. Unlike the usual arithmetic the interval addition, subtraction product and division is defined as follows:

\[ [u_s, u^*] + [w_s, w^*] = [u_s + w_s, u^* + w^*], \]
\[ [u_s, u^*] - [w_s, w^*] = [u_s - w_s, u^* - w^*], \]
\[ [u_s, u^*] \cdot [w_s, w^*] = [r_s, r^*], \]

where

\[ r_s = \min\{u_s w_s, u_s w^*, u^* w_s, u^* w^*\}, \]
\[ r^* = \max\{u_s w_s, u_s w^*, u^* w_s, u^* w^*\}. \]

Also, if \( w \) is an interval without zero element, then

\[ \frac{1}{w} = \left[ \frac{1}{w}, \frac{1}{w} \right]. \]

so quotient of \( u \) and \( w \) is defined as follows:

\[ \frac{u}{w} = \frac{u - 1}{w}. \]

Note that, addition, subtraction, product, and quotient of intervals is again an interval.

Let \( \mathbb{R}_I, \mathbb{R}_P, \) and \( \mathbb{R}_T \) are set of closed intervals, set of positive closed intervals and set of negative closed intervals, respectively, then following are some algebraic properties of intervals:

(i) \( (u + v) + w = u + (v + w), \forall u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}_I \) (Associativity of addition)

(ii) \( u + v = v + u, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}_I \) (Commutative property)

(iii) \( u + 0 = u, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}_I \) (Additive element)

(iv) \( u + w = v \Rightarrow u = v, \forall u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}_I \) (Cancellation law)

All of these properties also hold for multiplication. But distributive law does not hold for intervals. The following example illustrates this fact.

**Example 1**

\[ u = [-3, -2], \]
\[ y = [-2, 0], \]
\[ w = [0, 1], \]

then

\[ u \cdot (v + w) = [-3, -2]([-2, 0] + [0, 1]) \]
\[ = [-3, -2][-2, 1] \]
\[ = [-3, 6]. \]

But

\[ u \cdot v + u \cdot w = [-3, -2][-2, 0] + [-3, -2][0, 1] \]
\[ = [0.6] + [0.3] \]
\[ = [0.9]. \]

For \( A_1 = [u_s, u^*], A_2 = [w_s, w^*] \in \mathcal{X}_{\rho} \), the inclusion \( \subseteq \) is given by the following equation:

\[ [u_s, u^*] \subseteq [w_s, w^*], \quad \text{if} \ w_s \leq u_s, \ u^* \leq w^*. \]

Now, we rewrite the subsequent distance of intervals \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) is regarded as Hausdorff-Pompeiu distance as follows:

\[ \rho_4 (A_1, A_2) = \rho_4 ([u_s, u^*], [w_s, w^*]) \]
\[ = \max\{|u_s - w_s|, |u^* - w^*|\}. \]

We now discuss the integration of interval-valued functions.

If \( B([\rho_1, \rho_2]) \) is set of all partions of \( [\rho_1, \rho_2] \) and \( B(\rho, [\rho_1, \rho_2]) \) be the set of all points \( P \) such that mesh \( (P) < \rho \), then \( \Theta : [\rho_1, \rho_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_I \) is called interval Riemann integrable on \([\rho_1, \rho_2], \) if there exist \( K \in \mathbb{R}_I \) and for each \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists \( \rho > 0 \) such that

\[ \rho_4 (S(\Theta, P, \rho), K) < \epsilon. \]
\[
K = \int (\mathbb{R}) \int_{\partial \Gamma}^p \Theta(t) \, dt. \tag{21}
\]

Theorem 5. Let \(\Theta : [p_1, p_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) be an interval-valued function such that \(\Theta(t) = [\Theta_\star(t), \Theta_\star^\star(t)]\), \(\Theta \in IR_{[p_1, p_2]}\) if and only if \(\Theta_\star, \Theta_\star^\star \in R_{[p_1, p_2]}\) and

\[
(\mathbb{R}) \int_{p_1}^{p_2} \Theta(t) \, dt = \left[ \left( R \int_{p_1}^{p_2} \Theta_{\star}(t) \, dt, (R \int_{p_1}^{p_2} \Theta_{\star}^\star(t) \, dt) \right) \right]. \tag{22}
\]

Now, we discuss interval-valued integration of double integrals and generalized Raina’s interval-valued integrals.

Suppose that the rectangle \(\Delta = [p_1, p_2] \times [\varphi_1, \varphi_2]\) where \(\varphi_1 < \varphi_2\) and \(\varphi_1 < \varphi_2\). A group of numbers \(\{x_{i-1}, \mu_i, x_i\}_{i=1}^m\) is termed as a tagged partition \(P_i\) with respect to interval \([p_1, p_2]\) if \(P_i = [x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_m = p_2]\) and \(x_1 - \delta < x_i < x_{i+1}\), \(i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m\). We also consider \(\Lambda x_i = x_i - x_{i-1}\), and if \(\Lambda x_i - \delta\) for every \(i\), then partition \(P_i\) is known as \(\delta\)-fine. If \(\Lambda (\delta, [p_1, p_2])\) is collection of all \(\delta\)-fine partitions of interval \([p_1, p_2]\). Suppose that \(\{x_{i-1}, \mu_i, x_i\}_{i=1}^m\) belongs to \(\delta\)-fine \(P_1\) regarding \([p_1, p_2]\) and \(\{y_{j-1}, \lambda_j, y_j\}_{j=1}^m\) is another \(\delta\)-fine \(P_2\) regarding \([\varphi_1, \varphi_2]\), then the series of rectangles \(\Lambda = [x_{i-1}, x_i] \times [y_{j-1}, y_j]\) are the partitions of \(\Delta\) and the points \((\mu_i, \lambda_j) \in \Lambda^\prime\). Furthermore, letting \(\Lambda (\delta, \Delta)\) be the set of all \(\delta\)-fine partitions of rectangle \(\Delta\) along with \(P_1 \times P_2\), in which \(P_1 \in \Lambda (\delta, [p_1, p_2])\) along with \(P_2 \in \Lambda (\delta, [\varphi_1, \varphi_2])\). We consider using the notation \(\Lambda A_{i,j}\) denote the area of the rectangle \(\delta_{i,j}\). Within every rectangle \(\delta_{i,j}\) for \(1 \leq i \leq m\) and \(1 \leq j \leq n\), taking into account arbitrary \(\mu_i, \lambda_j\) and we have \(S(\Theta, P, \delta, \Delta) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta(\mu_i, \lambda_j) \Lambda A_{i,j}\), which is named as \(S(\Theta, P, \delta, \Delta)\) is the integral sum of \(\Theta\) in connection \(\Delta (\delta, \Delta)\).

Theorem 6. Assume that the interval-valued function \(\Theta : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\), then \(\Theta\) is called double integrable defined on rectangle \(\Delta\) with interval-valued double integral \(U = \int_\Delta \Theta(x, y) \, dA\) if for every \(e > 0\) there exist \(\delta > 0\) satisfying that \(\varphi_4(S(\Theta, \mathbb{P}, \delta, \Delta)) < e\), for each \(P \in \mathbb{P}(\delta, \Delta)\). \tag{23}

Theorem 7. Assume that \(\Theta : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is interval-valued double integrable defined on \(\Delta\), then

\[
\int_\Delta \Theta(x, y) \, dA = \int_{\partial \Gamma}^{\varphi_2} \int_{\partial \Gamma}^{\varphi_1} \Theta(x, y) \, dy \, dx. \tag{24}
\]

For more details, see [8, 22].

The class of interval-valued convex functions is defined as follows.

Definition 4 (see [3]). A function \(\Theta : [p_1, p_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is said to be interval-valued convex function, if the following inclusion holds:

\[
\Theta((1-t)x + ty) \geq (1-t)\Theta(x) + t\Theta(y), \quad x, y \in [p_1, p_2],
\]

where \(0 \leq p_1 < p_2\) and \(t \in [0, 1]\).

Now, we recall the definition of interval-valued harmonically convexity, which is defined as follows.

Definition 5 (see [4]). Let \(\Theta : [p_1, p_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) be interval-valued harmonically convex function if the following inclusion holds:

\[
\Theta\left(\frac{p_1 p_2}{(1-t)x + ty}\right) \geq t\Theta(x) + (1-t)\Theta(y), \quad x, y \in [p_1, p_2],
\]

\[
\text{where } 0 \leq p_1 < p_2 \text{ and } t \in [0, 1].
\]

The following is the definition of an interval-valued co-ordinated convex function.

Definition 6 (see [17]). Suppose that \(\Theta : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) belongs to an interval-valued function regarding two variable form, in which \(\Delta = [p_1, p_2] \times [\varphi_1, \varphi_2]\) is given a rectangle. We say that \(\Theta\) is an interval-valued co-ordinated convex function, if

\[
\Theta((1-t)x + ty, (1-r)u + rw) \geq (1-t)(1-r)\Theta(x, u) + r(1-t)\Theta(x, w) + t(1-r)\Theta(y, u) + tr\Theta(y, w),
\]

\[
\text{holds for every } (x, y), (u, w) \in \Delta \text{ together with } t, r \in [0, 1].
\]

Proposition 2. Let function \(\Theta : [p_1, p_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) be an interval-valued function with \(\Theta(x) = [\Theta_\star(x), \Theta_\star^\star(x)]\). Then, \(\Theta(x)\) will be interval-valued convex function if and only if \(\Theta_\star(x)\) is a convex function and \(\Theta_\star^\star(x)\) is a concave function.

We now recall some concepts from fractional calculus. The classical Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals are defined as:

Definition 7 (see [23]). Let \(\Theta \in L_1([p_1, p_2]).\) The Riemann-Liouville integrals \(J^\alpha_{p_1} \Theta\) and \(J^\alpha_{p_2} \Theta\) of order \(\alpha > 0\) are defined by the following equation:

\[
J^\alpha_{p_1} \Theta(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{p_1}^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1}\Theta(t) \, dt, \quad x > p_1,
\]

\[
J^\alpha_{p_1} \Theta(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x}^{p_2} (t-x)^{\alpha-1}\Theta(t) \, dt, \quad x < p_2.
\]

Sarikaya et al. [6] have obtained the following fractional analogue of Hermite–Hadamard’s inequality:

Theorem 8. Let \(\Theta : [p_1, p_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) be a positive function with \(0 \leq p_1 < p_2\) and \(\Theta : \mathbb{L}_1([p_1, p_2]).\) If \(\Theta\) is a convex function on \([p_1, p_2]\), then

\[
\Theta\left(\frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{2(p_2 - p_1)} \left[ J^\alpha_{p_1} \Theta(p_2) + J^\alpha_{p_2} \Theta(p_1) \right]
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\Theta(p_1) + \Theta(p_2)}{2}
\]

This motivated Iscan and Wu [24] and as result, they have obtained a fractional analogue of Hermite–Hadamard’s inequality.
inequality essentially using the class of harmonically convex functions.

Mubeen and Habibullah [25] introduced the notion of \(\kappa\)-Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals \(I_{\rho}^{\alpha,\kappa}\) and \(J_{\rho}^{\alpha,\kappa}\) of order \(\alpha > 0\) are defined by the following equation:

\[
I_{\rho}^{\alpha,\kappa}\Theta(x) = \frac{1}{kI_{\rho}^{\alpha,\kappa}(\alpha)} \int_{\rho}^{x} (x - r)^{-\alpha(k)-1}\Theta(r)dr, \quad x > \rho_1,
\]

\[
J_{\rho}^{\alpha,\kappa}\Theta(x) = \frac{1}{kI_{\rho}^{\alpha,\kappa}(\alpha)} \int_{x}^{\rho_2} (r - x)^{-\alpha(k)-1}\Theta(r)dr, \quad x < \rho_2,
\]

(30)

Using the definitions of \(\kappa\)-Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals Noor et al. [21] have obtained \(\kappa\)-fractional analogue of Hermite-Hadamard’s inequality.

We now introduce the \(\kappa\)-Raina’s fractional double integrals, which are defined as follows.

\[
\rho,\alpha,\omega,\rho_1,\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4,\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\varphi_3 : \Theta_{\rho_2,\rho_3}(x) = \int_{\rho}^{\rho_2} \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} (\rho_2 - t)^{-\alpha(k)-1}(\rho_4 - s)^{-\alpha(k)-1}\mathcal{A}_{\rho_1,\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4}(w_1(\rho_2 - t)^{\varphi_1}\rho_1,\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4)\Theta(t, s)dsdt,
\]

(31)

Here, \(\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2), \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), w = (w_1, w_2)\) and \(\mathcal{A}_{\rho,\lambda}(z)\) is the \(\kappa\)-Raina’s function which is defined as follows:

\[
\mathcal{A}_{\rho,\lambda}(z) = \mathcal{A}_{\rho,\lambda}(0,\sigma(1),...)(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(i)}{kI_{\rho,\lambda}(\lambda)(ki+\lambda)} z^i, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},
\]

(33)

where \(\rho, \lambda > 0\), with bounded modulus \(|z| < M\), and \(\sigma = \{0, \sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(i), \ldots\}\) is a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. For details, see [27].

In the following example, we give the numerical verification of Definition (10).

**Example 2.** We choose \([\varphi_1, \varphi_2] \times [\varphi_3, \varphi_4] = [0, 1] \times [1, 2]\) and \(\Theta(t, s) = [st, s + t]\), then we have the following equation:

\[
\rho,\alpha,\omega,\rho_1,\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4,\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\varphi_3 : \Theta_{\rho_2,\rho_3}(1, 2) = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{1}^{2} (1 - t)^{-\alpha(k)-1}(2 - s)^{-\alpha(k)-1}\mathcal{A}_{\rho_1,\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4}(w_1(1 - t)^{\varphi_1}\rho_1,\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4)\mathcal{A}_{\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4}(w_2(2 - s)^{\varphi_2}\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4)[st, s + t]dsdt.
\]

(34)
Then, (34) can be written as follows:

\[
\rho_{\alpha,\varpi \omega',1}^\varphi(k_1,2) = \left[ \rho_{\alpha,\varpi \omega',1}^\varphi(k_1,2) \Theta_1^\varphi(k_1,2) \right],
\]

where

\[
\rho_{\alpha,\varpi \omega',1}^\varphi(k_1,2) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{1 - t} - 1 \right) (2 - s) k \left[ w_1 (1 - t)^\varphi_1 \right] \left[ w_2 (2 - s)^\varphi_2 \right] \, ds \, dt
\]

\[
= k^2 \rho_{\alpha,\varpi \omega',1}^\varphi(k_1,2) \left[ w_1 \left[ k \rho_{\alpha,\varpi \omega',1}^\varphi(k_1,2) \left[ w_2 \right] + k^2 \rho_{\alpha,\varpi \omega',1}^\varphi(k_1,2) \left[ w_2 \right] \right] \right].
\]

A combination of (35), (36), and (37) yields the required result.

The main objective of this article is to obtain some new integral inclusions essentially using the interval-valued harmonically co-ordinated convex functions and \( k \)-Raina’s fractional double integrals. To show the validity of our theoretical results, we also give some numerical examples. We hope that the ideas and the techniques of this paper will inspire interested readers.

3. Results and Discussions

In this section, we discuss our main results. First of all, we introduce the class of interval-valued harmonically co-ordinated convex functions.

**Definition 11.** Let \( \Theta : [p_1, p_2] \times [p_3, p_4] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^+ \) be interval-valued harmonically co-ordinated convex function, if the following inclusion holds:

\[
\Theta \left( \frac{xy}{(1-t)+ty'} \frac{uw}{(1-s)+sw} \right) \supseteq \Theta(x,u) + (1-t) \Theta(y,u) + (1-t) (1-s) \Theta(y,w),
\]

where \((x,y),(u,w) \in \Delta \) and \( t, s \in [0,1] \) and \( 0 \leq p_1 < p_2 \), \( 0 \leq p_3 < p_4 \). By reversing the above-given inclusion, we obtain the notion of harmonically interval-valued co-ordinated concave function.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \Theta : [p_1, p_2] \times [p_3, p_4] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^+ \) be co-ordinated interval-valued function. Then, \( \Theta \) is co-ordinated interval-valued harmonically convex function if and only if there exist two harmonically convex function \( \Theta_1 \) and \( \Theta_2 \) such that \( \Theta_1(x,y) = \Theta(x,y) \) and \( \Theta_2(x,y) : [p_3, p_4] 

\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^+ \) and \( \Theta_2(x,y) \neq \Theta(x,y) \).

**Theorem 9.** Suppose that \( \Theta : [p_1, p_2] \times [p_3, p_4] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^+ \) is a given interval-valued harmonically convex function defined over a rectangle \([p_1, p_2] \times [p_3, p_4]\) with \( 0 \leq p_1 < p_2 \), \( 0 \leq p_3 < p_4 \) and \( \Theta(x,y) = \Theta_1(x,y) \Theta_2(x,y) \). Then, the following inclusion holds:

\[
\Theta \left( \frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1+p_2} \right) \supseteq \frac{1}{2k \rho_{\alpha,\varpi \omega',1}^\varphi(k_1,2)} \left( \frac{p_1p_2}{p_2-p_1} \right) \Theta_1 \left( \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \Theta_2 \left( \frac{1}{p_2} \right)
\]

\( \supseteq \Theta \left( \frac{p_1}{2} \right) + \Theta \left( \frac{p_2}{2} \right) \)

\( \supseteq \Theta \left( \frac{p_1}{2} \right) + \Theta \left( \frac{p_2}{2} \right) \)
If \( \Theta(x, y) \) is harmonically interval-valued concave function then we have the following equation:

\[
\Theta \left( \frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1 + p_2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2k \mathcal{R}^{p,k}_{\alpha,w,u,k} \left[ w \left( \frac{p_2}{p_1} - p_1 / 2p_1p_2 \right) \right]} \left( \frac{2p_1p_2}{p_2 - p_1} \right)^{a/k} \left[ a_{p,w} f^{p,k}_{1/p_1} \Theta g \left( \frac{1}{p_1} \right) + a_{p,w} f^{p,k}_{1/p_2} \Theta g \left( \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \right] < \Theta \left( \frac{1}{\Theta(p_1) + \Theta(p_2)} \right)
\]

**Proof.** The proof is left for interested readers. \( \square \)

**Example 3.** We set \( \rho = 0, \alpha = 1 \) and \( k = 1 \) with \( \sigma(0) = 1 \) in Theorem 9. If \( \Theta(x) = [x^2, -6x^2 + 12x + 24] \) and \( [p_1, p_2] = [1, 2] \), then

\[
\Theta \left( \frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1 + p_2} \right) = [1.78, 29.33],
\]

\[
\frac{p_1p_2}{p_2 - p_1} \int_{p_1}^{p_2} x \Theta(x) \frac{dx}{x^2} = [2, 28.63],
\]

**Theorem 10.** Suppose \( \Theta: [p_1, p_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*_+ \) be an interval-valued harmonically convex functions with \( 0 \leq p_1 < p_2 \), satisfying \( \Theta(t) = [\Theta_*(t), \Theta^*(t)] \), then

\[
\Theta \left( \frac{1}{\Theta(p_1) + \Theta(p_2)} \right) = [2.5, 27].
\]

(41)–(43) implies that

\[
[1.78, 29.33] \supseteq [2, 28.63] \supseteq [2.5, 27],
\]

which gives the verification of Theorem 9.

**Theorem 11.** Suppose that \( \Theta, G: [p_1, p_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*_+ \) are two interval-valued harmonically convex functions with \( 0 \leq p_1 < p_2 \), satisfying \( \Theta(t) = [\Theta_*(t), \Theta^*(t)] \) and \( G(t) = [G_*(t), G^*(t)] \), then we have the following equation:
\[
\left(\frac{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2 - \varphi_1}\right)^{\alpha} \left[I_{\mu,\alpha}^{\rho,\varphi} \mathcal{G} g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_1}\right) \Theta^* g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_1}\right) + I_{\mu,\alpha}^{\rho,\varphi} \mathcal{G} g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_2}\right) \Theta^* g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_2}\right)\right]
\]
\[
\geq H_1(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \left[2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(i) \omega_i}{(p k i + \alpha + 2k) \Gamma_k (p k i + \alpha)} - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(i) \omega_i}{(p k i + \alpha + k) \Gamma_k (p k i + \alpha)} \right] + H_2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \left[2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(i) \omega_i}{(p k i + \alpha + 2k) \Gamma_k (p k i + \alpha)} - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(i) \omega_i}{(p k i + \alpha + k) \Gamma_k (p k i + \alpha)} \right],
\]

where

\[
H_1(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \Theta(\varphi_1) G_\Theta(\varphi_1) + \Theta(\varphi_2) G_\Theta(\varphi_2),
\]

\[
H_2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \Theta(\varphi_1) G_\Theta(\varphi_2) + \Theta(\varphi_2) G_\Theta(\varphi_1).
\]

Proof 4. From the given hypothesis and interval-valued harmonically convexity property, we have the following equation:

\[
\Theta_* \left(\frac{\varphi_1 \varphi_2}{(1-t) \varphi_1 + t b}\right) G_* \left(\frac{\varphi_1 \varphi_2}{(1-t) \varphi_1 + t b}\right) \leq \Theta_* (\varphi_1) G_\Theta (\varphi_1) + t (1-t) \left[\Theta_* (\varphi_1) G_\Theta (\varphi_2) + \Theta_* (\varphi_2) G_\Theta (\varphi_1)\right] + (1-t)^2 \Theta_* (\varphi_2) G_\Theta (\varphi_2).
\]

Adding (49), (50) and multiplying by \(t^{(\alpha k)_1} \mathcal{P}^{\rho,\varphi}_{\mu,\alpha}[\omega(\varphi_1 \varphi_2/\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)^{\rho} \mathcal{P}] p_i\), we obtain the following equation:

\[
\left(\frac{\varphi_1 \varphi_2}{\varphi_2 - \varphi_1}\right)^{\alpha} \left[I_{\mu,\alpha}^{\rho,\varphi} \mathcal{G} g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_1}\right) \Theta^* g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_1}\right) + I_{\mu,\alpha}^{\rho,\varphi} \mathcal{G} g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_2}\right) \Theta^* g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_2}\right)\right] \leq \left[2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(i) \omega_i}{(p k i + \alpha + 2k) \Gamma_k (p k i + \alpha)} - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(i) \omega_i}{(p k i + \alpha + k) \Gamma_k (p k i + \alpha)} \right] + \Theta_* \left(\varphi_1 \varphi_2/\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\right)^{\rho} \Theta_* (\varphi_1) G_\Theta (\varphi_2) + \Theta_* (\varphi_2) G_\Theta (\varphi_1)\]

Similarly, we can calculate, using interval-valued harmonically convexity,
\[
\left(\frac{\varphi_1^2}{\varphi_2 - \varphi_1}\right)^{\sigma} \Theta_{\sigma}^{\varphi_2}(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \Theta g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_1}\right) G^* g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_2}\right) + \varphi_1^2 \Theta_{\sigma}^{\varphi_2}(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \Theta g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_1}\right) G^* g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_2}\right) \geq \left[2^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\varphi_i \varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \varphi_2 \right] ^{\sigma} \Theta_{\sigma}^{\varphi_2}(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \Theta g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_1}\right) G^* g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_2}\right) + \varphi_1^2 \Theta_{\sigma}^{\varphi_2}(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \Theta g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_1}\right) G^* g\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_2}\right) \right] \]

Combination of (51) and (52) refers to relation (47).
This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 12.** Suppose that \( \Theta: [\varphi_1, \varphi_2] \rightarrow R^+_1 \) is a given interval-valued harmonically co-ordinated convex function

\[
\Theta (x, y) = \Theta (x, y) = \Theta (x, y)
\]

Proof. The proof is left for interested readers.

Firstly, we establish fractional Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

\[
\Theta (x, y) = \Theta (x, y) = \Theta (x, y)
\]

**Theorem 13.** Suppose that \( \Theta: [\varphi_1, \varphi_2] \times [\varphi_3, \varphi_4] \rightarrow R^+_1 \) is a given interval-valued harmonically co-ordinated convex function defined over a rectangle \([\varphi_1, \varphi_2] \times [\varphi_3, \varphi_4] \) with \( 0 \leq \varphi_1 < \varphi_2, 0 \leq \varphi_3 < \varphi_4, \) and \( \Theta (x, y) = \Theta (x, y) = \Theta (x, y) \),

then the following inclusion holds:

\[
\Theta (x, y) = \Theta (x, y) = \Theta (x, y)
\]

If \( \Theta (x, y) \) is an interval-valued harmonically co-ordinated concave function then we have the following equation:
\[
\Theta \left( \frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1 + p_2} \frac{2p_3p_4}{p_3 + p_4} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \Theta \left( \frac{p_1p_2}{tp_1 + (1-t)p_2}, \frac{p_3p_4}{sp_3 + (1-s)p_4} \right) \right. \\
\left. + \Theta \left( \frac{p_1p_2}{tp_1 + (1-t)p_2}, \frac{p_3p_4}{(1-t)p_1 + t'b'}sp_3 + (1-s)p_4 \right) \right] \\
\Theta^* \left( \frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1 + p_2} \frac{2p_3p_4}{p_3 + p_4} \right) \geq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \Theta^* \left( \frac{p_1p_2}{tp_1 + (1-t)p_2}, \frac{p_3p_4}{sp_3 + (1-s)p_4} \right) \right. \\
\left. + \Theta^* \left( \frac{p_1p_2}{tp_1 + (1-t)p_2}, \frac{p_3p_4}{(1-t)p_1 + t'b'}sp_3 + (1-s)p_4 \right) \right]
\]

(56)

(57)

Proof. From the definition of a harmonically co-ordinated convex function and according to a hypothesis, we have the following equation:

\[
\Theta \left( \frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1 + p_2} \frac{2p_3p_4}{p_3 + p_4} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \Theta \left( \frac{p_1p_2}{tp_1 + (1-t)p_2}, \frac{p_3p_4}{sp_3 + (1-s)p_4} \right) \right. \\
\left. + \Theta \left( \frac{p_1p_2}{tp_1 + (1-t)p_2}, \frac{p_3p_4}{(1-t)p_1 + t'b'}sp_3 + (1-s)p_4 \right) \right] \\
\Theta^* \left( \frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1 + p_2} \frac{2p_3p_4}{p_3 + p_4} \right) \geq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \Theta^* \left( \frac{p_1p_2}{tp_1 + (1-t)p_2}, \frac{p_3p_4}{sp_3 + (1-s)p_4} \right) \right. \\
\left. + \Theta^* \left( \frac{p_1p_2}{tp_1 + (1-t)p_2}, \frac{p_3p_4}{(1-t)p_1 + t'b'}sp_3 + (1-s)p_4 \right) \right]
\]

Multiplying both sides of (56) by \( t^{(a_1k)} \) and integrating with respect to \( (t, s) \) in \([0, 1] \times [0, 1] \), then we have the following equation:
\begin{align*}
\Theta \left( \frac{2\varphi_1\varphi_2 - 2\varphi_3\varphi_4}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 - \varphi_3 + \varphi_4} \right) & \geq \Theta \left( \frac{2\varphi_1\varphi_2 - 2\varphi_3\varphi_4}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 - \varphi_3 + \varphi_4} \right) \Theta^* \left( \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2 - \varphi_3\varphi_4}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 - \varphi_3 + \varphi_4} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 t (a_i/k - 1) \left( w_2 \left( \frac{\varphi_4 - \varphi_3}{\varphi_3\varphi_4} \right)^2 \right) dsdr \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \Theta \left( \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2 - \varphi_3\varphi_4}{\varphi_1 + (1-t)\varphi_2 - s\varphi_3 + (1-s)\varphi_4} \right) + \Theta \left( \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2 - \varphi_3\varphi_4}{\varphi_1 + (1-t)\varphi_2 - (1-s)\varphi_3 + s\varphi_4} \right) \right] dsdr.
\end{align*}

After simple computation, we have the following equation:

\begin{align*}
\Theta \left( \frac{2\varphi_1\varphi_2 - 2\varphi_3\varphi_4}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 - \varphi_3 + \varphi_4} \right) & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \Theta \left( \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2 - \varphi_3\varphi_4}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 - \varphi_3 + \varphi_4} \right) \right] dsdr. \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \Theta \left( \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2 - \varphi_3\varphi_4}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 - \varphi_3 + \varphi_4} \right) \right] dsdr.
\end{align*}

From (57), we have the following equation:

\begin{align*}
\Theta \left( \frac{2\varphi_1\varphi_2 - 2\varphi_3\varphi_4}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 - \varphi_3 + \varphi_4} \right) & \geq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \Theta \left( \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2 - \varphi_3\varphi_4}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 - \varphi_3 + \varphi_4} \right) \right] dsdr. \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \Theta \left( \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2 - \varphi_3\varphi_4}{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 - \varphi_3 + \varphi_4} \right) \right] dsdr.
\end{align*}

Combination of (71) and (60), we obtain the first inclusion of (54).

To prove our second inclusion, we use a definition of harmonically co-ordinated convexity.
\[
\Theta_*(\frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2}{(1-t)\varphi_1 + tb'} (1-s)\varphi_3 + s \, d') \leq tsF_*(\varphi_1, \varphi_3) + t(1-s)\Theta_*(\varphi_1, \varphi_3) + (1-t)\Theta_*(\varphi_2, \varphi_4) + (1-t)(1-s)\Theta_*(\varphi_2, \varphi_4), (61)
\]

\[
\Theta_*(\frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2}{(1-t)\varphi_1 + tb'} (1-s)\varphi_3 + s \, d) \leq t(1-s)\Theta_*(\varphi_1, \varphi_3) + tsF_*(\varphi_1, \varphi_4) + (1-t)(1-s)\Theta_*(\varphi_2, \varphi_3) + (1-t)sF_*(\varphi_2, \varphi_4), (62)
\]

\[
\Theta_*(\frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2}{tp_1 + (1-t)p_2} (1-s)p_3 + s \, d) \leq (1-t)(1-s)\Theta_*(\varphi_1, \varphi_3) + (1-t)sF_*(\varphi_1, \varphi_4) + t(1-s)\Theta_*(\varphi_2, \varphi_3) + tsF_*(\varphi_2, \varphi_4). (63)
\]

Adding (61)–(63) and (64), we have the following equation:

\[
\Theta_*(\frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2}{(1-t)\varphi_1 + tb'} (1-s)p_3 + s \, d') + \Theta_*(\frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2}{(1-t)\varphi_1 + tb'} (1-s)p_3 + s \, d) \leq \Theta_*(\varphi_1, \varphi_3) + \Theta_*(\varphi_1, \varphi_4) + \Theta_*(\varphi_2, \varphi_3) + \Theta_*(\varphi_2, \varphi_4). (65)
\]

Multiplying both sides of (65) by \(t^{(a_1, k)} l^{(a_2, k) - 1} R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}^{\alpha_1, \varphi_2} \left[ w_1 (\varphi_2 - \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \varphi_1 \right] R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}^{\alpha_2, \varphi_2} \left[ w_2 (\varphi_2 - \varphi_3, \varphi_4) \varphi_2 \right] s \varphi_2 \) and integration with respect to \((t, s)\) in \([0,1] \times [0,1]\), then we have the following equation:

\[
4k^2 R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}^{\alpha_1, \varphi_2} \left[ w_1 (\varphi_2 - \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \varphi_1 \right] \text{"starts" with (', 'hskip p')"} \text{"hskip p""substring - after (preceding - sibling :: comment ()\)}} \text{"starts" with ('} (66)
\]

Using the harmonically co-ordinated concavity and similar argument as (66), we obtain the following inequality.
Combining (66) and (67), we obtain the second part of our required result. This completes the proof.

Now, we develop the new midpoint Hermite-Hadamard’s inequality in the sense of interval-valued harmonically co-ordinated convexity.

\[
\Theta \left( \frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{2p_3p_4}{p_3 + p_4} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4k^2} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,k}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{2,k}} \left[ w_1 \left( p_2 - p_1 / 2p_1 p_2 \right)^\alpha \right] \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,k}}^{\sigma_{k}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{2,k}}^{\sigma_{k}} \left[ w_2 \left( p_4 - p_3 / 2p_3 p_4 \right)^\rho \right] \left( \frac{p_1 p_2}{p_2 - p_1} \right)^{\alpha_{i,k}} \left( \frac{p_3 p_4}{p_4 - p_3} \right)^{\alpha_{j,k}}
\]

\[
\times \left[ + \alpha_{p,w}^{\sigma_{k}} \left( p_1 p_2 / 2p_1 p_2 \right) \right] \Theta \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} \right) + \alpha_{p,w}^{\sigma_{k}} \left( p_3 p_4 / 2p_3 p_4 \right) \Theta \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_3 p_4} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\Theta \left( p_1, p_2 \right) + \Theta \left( p_3, p_4 \right) }{4}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{4k^2} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,k}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{2,k}} \left[ w_1 \left( p_2 - p_1 / 2p_1 p_2 \right)^\alpha \right] \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,k}}^{\sigma_{k}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{2,k}}^{\sigma_{k}} \left[ w_2 \left( p_4 - p_3 / 2p_3 p_4 \right)^\rho \right] \left( \frac{p_1 p_2}{p_2 - p_1} \right)^{\alpha_{i,k}} \left( \frac{p_3 p_4}{p_4 - p_3} \right)^{\alpha_{j,k}}
\]

\[
\times \left[ + \alpha_{p,w}^{\sigma_{k}} \left( p_1 p_2 / 2p_1 p_2 \right) \right] \Theta \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} \right) + \alpha_{p,w}^{\sigma_{k}} \left( p_3 p_4 / 2p_3 p_4 \right) \Theta \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_3 p_4} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\Theta \left( p_1, p_3 \right) + \Theta \left( p_2, p_3 \right) + \Theta \left( p_2, p_4 \right) }{4}
\]

\[
\Theta \left( \frac{2p_1 p_2 + 2p_3 p_4}{p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4k^2} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,k}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{2,k}} \left[ w_1 \left( p_2 - p_1 / 2p_1 p_2 \right)^\alpha \right] \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,k}}^{\sigma_{k}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{2,k}}^{\sigma_{k}} \left[ w_2 \left( p_4 - p_3 / 2p_3 p_4 \right)^\rho \right] \left( \frac{p_1 p_2}{p_2 - p_1} \right)^{\alpha_{i,k}} \left( \frac{p_3 p_4}{p_4 - p_3} \right)^{\alpha_{j,k}}
\]

\[
\times \left[ + \alpha_{p,w}^{\sigma_{k}} \left( p_1 p_2 / 2p_1 p_2 \right) \right] \Theta \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} \right) + \alpha_{p,w}^{\sigma_{k}} \left( p_3 p_4 / 2p_3 p_4 \right) \Theta \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_3 p_4} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\Theta \left( p_1, p_3 \right) + \Theta \left( p_2, p_3 \right) + \Theta \left( p_2, p_4 \right) }{4}
\]

Theorem 14. Suppose that $\Theta: [p_1, p_2] \times [p_3, p_4] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ is a given interval-valued harmonically co-ordinated convex function defined over a rectangle $[p_1, p_2] \times [p_3, p_4]$ with $0 \leq p_1 < p_2, 0 \leq p_3 < p_4$ and $\Theta(x, y) = [\Theta_\ast(x, y), \Theta_\ast(x, y)]$, then the following inclusion holds:

\[
\Theta \left( \frac{2p_1 p_2 + 2p_3 p_4}{p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4k^2} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,k}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{2,k}} \left[ w_1 \left( p_2 - p_1 / 2p_1 p_2 \right)^\alpha \right] \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,k}}^{\sigma_{k}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{2,k}}^{\sigma_{k}} \left[ w_2 \left( p_4 - p_3 / 2p_3 p_4 \right)^\rho \right] \left( \frac{p_1 p_2}{p_2 - p_1} \right)^{\alpha_{i,k}} \left( \frac{p_3 p_4}{p_4 - p_3} \right)^{\alpha_{j,k}}
\]

\[
\times \left[ + \alpha_{p,w}^{\sigma_{k}} \left( p_1 p_2 / 2p_1 p_2 \right) \right] \Theta \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} \right) + \alpha_{p,w}^{\sigma_{k}} \left( p_3 p_4 / 2p_3 p_4 \right) \Theta \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_3 p_4} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\Theta \left( p_1, p_3 \right) + \Theta \left( p_2, p_3 \right) + \Theta \left( p_2, p_4 \right) }{4}
\]
Proof. From the definition of a harmonically co-ordinated convex function, we have the following equation:

\[
\Theta_\ast \left( \frac{2\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}, \frac{2\rho_3 \rho_4}{\rho_3 + \rho_4} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \Theta_\ast \left( \frac{2\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 + (2-t)\rho_2}, \frac{2\rho_3 \rho_4}{\rho_3 + (2-s)\rho_4} \right) \right. \\
+ \Theta_\ast \left( \frac{2\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 + (2-t)\rho_2}, \frac{2\rho_3 \rho_4}{(2-s)\rho_3 + s} \right) \Theta_\ast \left( \frac{2\rho_1 \rho_2}{(2-t)\rho_1 + tb}, \frac{2\rho_3 \rho_4}{s} \right) \\
+ \Theta_\ast \left( \frac{2\rho_1 \rho_2}{(2-t)\rho_1 + tb}, \frac{2\rho_3 \rho_4}{(2-s)\rho_3 + s} \right) \left. \right]
\]

(70)

Multiplying both sides of (70) by \( t^{(a/k)}(s^{a/k}) \) and integration with respect to \((t, s)\) in \([0, 1] \times [0, 1]\), then we have the following equation:

\[
\Theta_\ast \left( \frac{2\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}, \frac{2\rho_3 \rho_4}{\rho_3 + \rho_4} \right) \left[ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 t^{(a/k)}(s^{a/k}) \Theta_\ast \left( \frac{w_1}{\rho_1 + (2-t)\rho_2}, \frac{w_2(\rho_4 - \rho_1)}{2\rho_1 \rho_2} \right) \right] \\
\leq \frac{1}{4} \left( \Theta_\ast \left( \frac{2\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 + (2-t)\rho_2}, \frac{2\rho_3 \rho_4}{\rho_3 + (2-s)\rho_4} \right) + \Theta_\ast \left( \frac{2\rho_1 \rho_2}{(2-t)\rho_1 + tb}, \frac{2\rho_3 \rho_4}{(2-s)\rho_3 + s} \right) \right) \left. \right]
\]

(71)

After simple computation, we have the following equation:

\[
\Theta_\ast \left( \frac{2\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}, \frac{2\rho_3 \rho_4}{\rho_3 + \rho_4} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4k^2} \Theta_\ast \left( \frac{w_1}{\rho_1 + (2-t)\rho_2}, \frac{w_2(\rho_4 - \rho_1)}{2\rho_1 \rho_2} \right) \left[ \Theta_\ast \left( \frac{w_1}{\rho_1 + (2-t)\rho_2}, \frac{w_2(\rho_4 - \rho_1)}{2\rho_1 \rho_2} \right) \right]
\]

(72)

Similarly, we have the following equation:
By the combination of (72) and (73), we obtain first inclusion of (68).

To prove our second inclusion, we use a definition of harmonically co-ordinated convexity.

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta^* & \left( \frac{2\rho_1 p_2}{2 - t \rho_1 + t b} \frac{2\rho_3 p_4}{2 - s \rho_3 + s d} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ t s \Theta^* (p_1, p_3) + t \Theta^* (p_1, p_4) + (2 - t) s F_*(p_2, p_3) + (2 - t) (2 - s) \Theta^* (p_2, p_4) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

(74)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta^* & \left( \frac{2\rho_1 p_2}{2 - t \rho_1 + t b} \frac{2\rho_3 p_4}{2 - s \rho_3 + s d} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ t (2 - s) \Theta^* (p_1, p_3) + t s F_*(p_1, p_4) + (2 - t) (2 - s) \Theta^* (p_2, p_3) + (2 - t) s F_*(p_2, p_4) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

(75)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta^* & \left( \frac{2\rho_1 p_2}{2 t \rho_1 + (2 - t) \rho_2} \frac{2\rho_3 p_4}{2 - s \rho_3 + s d} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ t (2 - t) s F_*(p_1, p_3) + t (2 - t) (2 - s) \Theta^* (p_1, p_4) + t s F_*(p_2, p_3) + t (2 - s) \Theta^* (p_2, p_4) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

(76)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta^* & \left( \frac{2\rho_1 p_2}{2 t \rho_1 + (2 - t) \rho_2} \frac{2\rho_3 p_4}{2 - s \rho_3 + s d} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ (2 - t) (2 - s) \Theta^* (p_1, p_3) + (2 - t) s F_*(p_1, p_4) + t (2 - s) \Theta^* (p_2, p_3) + t s F_*(p_2, p_4) \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(77)

By adding (74)–(76) and (77), we have the following equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta^* & \left( \frac{2\rho_1 p_2}{2 - t \rho_1 + t b} \frac{2\rho_3 p_4}{2 - s \rho_3 + s d} \right) + \Theta^* \left( \frac{2\rho_1 p_2}{2 - t \rho_1 + t b} \frac{2\rho_3 p_4}{2 - s \rho_3 + s d} \right) \\
& + \Theta^* \left( \frac{2\rho_1 p_2}{2 t \rho_1 + (2 - t) \rho_2} \frac{2\rho_3 p_4}{2 - s \rho_3 + s d} \right) + \Theta^* \left( \frac{2\rho_1 p_2}{2 t \rho_1 + (2 - t) \rho_2} \frac{2\rho_3 p_4}{2 - s \rho_3 + s d} \right) \\
& \leq \Theta^* (p_1, p_3) + \Theta^* (p_1, p_4) + \Theta^* (p_2, p_3) + \Theta^* (p_2, p_4).
\end{align*}
\]
Multiplying both sides of (78) by \( t^{\sigma_i/k - 1}g^{\alpha_i/k - 1} \) \( \mathcal{K}_{\rho_i, w_j, a_i} \) \( w_1 (p_2 - p_1) / \rho_1 (p_2)^{p_1} / p_1 (p_2)^{p_1} / p_3 (p_4)^{p_3} \) \( \mathcal{K}_{\rho_j, w_j, a_j} \) \( w_2 (p_4 - p_3) / \rho_j (p_4)^{p_3} / p_3 (p_4)^{p_3} / p_4 (p_5)^{p_4} \) and integration with respect to \((t, s)\) in \([0, 1] \times [0, 1]\), then we have the following equation:

\[
\frac{1}{4k^2} \mathcal{K}_{\rho_i, w_j, a_i} \left[ w_1 (p_2 - p_1) / \rho_1 / (p_2)^{p_1} \right] \mathcal{K}_{\rho_j, w_j, a_j} \left[ w_2 (p_4 - p_3) / \rho_j / (p_4)^{p_3} \right] \left( \frac{2\rho_1 p_2}{p_2 - p_1} \right)^{\alpha_i/k} \left( \frac{2\rho_3 p_4}{p_4 - p_3} \right)^{\alpha_j/k} \\
\times \left[ a, p, w \right]^{\sigma_i/k} \left( p_1^{p_2} / \rho_1^{p_2} / (p_2)^{p_2} \right) \Theta^* \circ g \left( \frac{p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4}{2p_1 p_2}, \frac{p_3 p_4}{2p_3 p_4} \right) + a, p, w \left[ \sigma_j/k \right] \left( p_1^{p_2} / \rho_1^{p_2} / (p_2)^{p_2} \right) \Theta^* \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1} \frac{1}{p_4} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \Theta^* (p_1, p_3) + \Theta^* (p_2, p_4) + \Theta^* (p_2, p_3) + \Theta^* (p_2, p_4).
\]

Using the harmonically co-ordinated concavity and similar argument as (79), we obtain the following inequality:

\[
\frac{1}{4k^2} \mathcal{K}_{\rho_i, w_j, a_i} \left[ w_1 (p_2 - p_1) / \rho_1 / (p_2)^{p_1} \right] \mathcal{K}_{\rho_j, w_j, a_j} \left[ w_2 (p_4 - p_3) / \rho_j / (p_4)^{p_3} \right] \left( \frac{2\rho_1 p_2}{p_2 - p_1} \right)^{\alpha_i/k} \left( \frac{2\rho_3 p_4}{p_4 - p_3} \right)^{\alpha_j/k} \\
\times \left[ a, p, w \right]^{\sigma_i/k} \left( p_1^{p_2} / \rho_1^{p_2} / (p_2)^{p_2} \right) \Theta^* \circ g \left( \frac{p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4}{2p_1 p_2}, \frac{p_3 p_4}{2p_3 p_4} \right) + a, p, w \left[ \sigma_j/k \right] \left( p_1^{p_2} / \rho_1^{p_2} / (p_2)^{p_2} \right) \Theta^* \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1} \frac{1}{p_4} \right)
\]

\[
\geq \Theta^* (p_1, p_3) + \Theta^* (p_2, p_4) + \Theta^* (p_2, p_3) + \Theta^* (p_2, p_4).
\]

Combining (79) and (80), we obtain the second part of our required result.

**Example 4.** We set \( \rho_1 = 0 = \rho_2, a_1 = 1 = a_2, k = 1 \) with \( \sigma (0) = 1 \) in Theorem 13. If \( \Theta (x, y) = \left[ 2x^2 y^5, (-4x^2 + 12x + 6)(-2y^2 + 4y + 2) \right] \) and \( [p_1, p_2] = [p_3, p_4] = [1, 2] \), then

\[
\Theta \left( \frac{2\rho_1 p_2}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{2\rho_3 p_4}{p_3 + p_4} \right) = [5.71, 56.77],
\]

\[
\Theta (p_1, p_3) + \Theta (p_2, p_4) + \Theta (p_2, p_3) + \Theta (p_2, p_4)
\]

\[
[12.5, 42].
\]
(81), (82), and (83) imply that

\[ [5.71, 56.77] \supset [8, 51.92] \supset [12.5, 42]. \]

which provides the verification of Theorem 13.

Next, we establish some Hermite-Hadamard-Fejer type inequality as well.

\[
\phi(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
\phi \left( \frac{1}{\rho_1 + 1/\rho_2} - 1/x, y \right), \\
\phi \left( x, \frac{1}{(\rho_1 + (\rho_3/\rho_4) - (1/y))} \right), \\
\phi \left( \frac{1}{\rho_1 + 1/\rho_2} - 1/x, (\rho_3/\rho_4) + (1/y) \right).
\end{cases}
\]

then the following inclusion holds:

\[
\Theta \left( \frac{2\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2 \rho_3 + \rho_4} \right) \left[ a_{\rho, \omega}^{R_k} \left( (\rho_3), (\rho_4) \right) \phi \circ \left( \frac{1}{\rho_1}, \frac{1}{\rho_3}, \frac{1}{\rho_4} \right) + a_{\rho, \omega}^{R_k} \left( (\rho_1), (\rho_4) \right) \phi \circ \left( \frac{1}{\rho_1}, \frac{1}{\rho_3}, \frac{1}{\rho_4} \right) \right]
\]

\[
\geq a_{\rho, \omega}^{R_k} \left( (\rho_3), (\rho_4) \right) \Theta \circ \left( \frac{1}{\rho_1}, \frac{1}{\rho_3}, \frac{1}{\rho_4} \right) \phi \circ \left( \frac{1}{\rho_1}, \frac{1}{\rho_3}, \frac{1}{\rho_4} \right) + a_{\rho, \omega}^{R_k} \left( (\rho_1), (\rho_4) \right) \phi \circ \left( \frac{1}{\rho_1}, \frac{1}{\rho_3}, \frac{1}{\rho_4} \right)
\]

If \( \Theta(x, y) \) is a interval-valued harmonically co-ordinated concave, then the above-given relation holds in a reverse direction.

**Proof.** We start our proof from relation (56) and multiplying both sides of it by \( R_{\rho_1, 1}^{R_k} [ w_1 (\rho_3 - \rho_4) ] \).

\[
Theorem 15. \text{ Suppose that } \Theta : [\rho_1, \rho_2] \times [\rho_3, \rho_4] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+_t \text{ is a given interval-valued harmonically co-ordinated convex function defined over a rectangle } [\rho_1, \rho_2] \times [\rho_3, \rho_4] \text{ with } 0 \leq \rho_1 < \rho_2, 0 \leq \rho_3 < \rho_4 \text{ and } \Theta(x, y) = [\Theta^*(x, y), \Theta^*(x, y)]. \]

If \( \phi : [\rho_1, \rho_2] \times [\rho_3, \rho_4] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a non-negative, integrable, and symmetric function of two variables:

\[
\phi \text{ equation: } (\rho_1, \rho_2) \times (\rho_3, \rho_4) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+_t \text{ and taking integration with respect to } (t, s) \text{ on } [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \text{ we have the following equation:}
\]

\[
(86)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \left[ w_1 (\rho_3 - \rho_4) \right] + \frac{1}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \left[ w_2 (\rho_4 - \rho_3) \right] + \frac{1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left[ \rho_3 + \rho_4 \right] + \frac{1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left[ \rho_3 + \rho_4 \right] \rho_3 + \rho_4 \text{ and taking integration with respect to } (t, s) \text{ on } [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \text{ we have the following equation:}
\]

\[
(86)
\]
\[
4\Theta \alpha \left( \frac{2\mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 - 2\mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_4}{\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2 + \mathcal{P}_3 + \mathcal{P}_4} \right) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left( x_{(a/k)} - 1 \right) \mathbf{w}_{1} \left( \mathcal{P}_2 - \mathcal{P}_1 \right) \mathbf{r}_1 \mathbf{r}_3 \mathbf{G}_{ij}\right) \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{d}_s \right) ds dt
\]

\[
\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left( x_{(a/k)} - 1 \right) \mathbf{w}_{1} \left( \mathcal{P}_2 - \mathcal{P}_1 \right) \mathbf{r}_1 \mathbf{r}_3 \mathbf{G}_{ij}\right) \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{d}_s \right) ds dt.
\]

After simplification and making use of symmetry of \( \phi(x, y) \), we obtain the following equation:

\[
\Theta_3 \left( \frac{2\mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 - 2\mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_4}{\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2 + \mathcal{P}_3 + \mathcal{P}_4} \right) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left( x_{(a/k)} - 1 \right) \mathbf{w}_{1} \left( \mathcal{P}_2 - \mathcal{P}_1 \right) \mathbf{r}_1 \mathbf{r}_3 \mathbf{G}_{ij}\right) \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{d}_s \right) ds dt.
\]

Taking integration with respect to \((t, s)\) on \([0,1] \times [0,1]\), we have the following equation:

\[
4\Theta \alpha \left( \frac{2\mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 - 2\mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_4}{\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2 + \mathcal{P}_3 + \mathcal{P}_4} \right) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left( x_{(a/k)} - 1 \right) \mathbf{w}_{1} \left( \mathcal{P}_2 - \mathcal{P}_1 \right) \mathbf{r}_1 \mathbf{r}_3 \mathbf{G}_{ij}\right) \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{d}_s \right) ds dt.
\]
After simplification, we obtain the following equation:

\[
\Theta^* \left( \frac{2p_1 p_2 - 2p_3 p_4}{p_1 + p_2 - p_3 + p_4} \right) \left[ a_{p,w} R_{p_2, w_1}^{(a_k)} \right] \left( \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \phi \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1} \right) + a_{p,w} R_{p_1, w_2}^{(a_k)} \left( \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \phi \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{k^2} \mathcal{S}_{p_1, w_1}^{\rho_{p_2} p_1} \left[ w_1 \left( p_2 - p_1 / p_1, p_2 \right)^{\rho_{p_2}} \right] \left[ w_2 \left( p_4 - p_3 / p_3, p_4 \right)^{\rho_{p_4}} \right] \left( \frac{p_1 p_2}{p_2 - p_1} \right) \left( \frac{p_3 p_4}{p_4 - p_3} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{k^2} \mathcal{S}_{p_1, w_1}^{\rho_{p_2} p_1} \left[ w_1 \left( p_2 - p_1 / p_1, p_2 \right)^{\rho_{p_2}} \right] \left[ w_2 \left( p_4 - p_3 / p_3, p_4 \right)^{\rho_{p_4}} \right] \left( \frac{p_1 p_2}{p_2 - p_1} \right) \left( \frac{p_3 p_4}{p_4 - p_3} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{k^2} \mathcal{S}_{p_1, w_1}^{\rho_{p_2} p_1} \left[ w_1 \left( p_2 - p_1 / p_1, p_2 \right)^{\rho_{p_2}} \right] \left[ w_2 \left( p_4 - p_3 / p_3, p_4 \right)^{\rho_{p_4}} \right] \left( \frac{p_1 p_2}{p_2 - p_1} \right) \left( \frac{p_3 p_4}{p_4 - p_3} \right)
\]

In the context of (88) and (90), the first inclusion preserve.

The proof of the second relation proceeded from (78) and multiplying both sides by \( t^{(a_k) / 2} (a_k) / 2 R_{p_1, w_1}^{(a_k)} \) and taking integration with respect to \((t, s)\) on \([0, 1] \times [0, 1]\), then we have the following equation:

\[
\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ w_1 \left( p_2 - p_1 / p_1, p_2 \right)^{\rho_{p_2}} \right] \left[ w_2 \left( p_4 - p_3 / p_3, p_4 \right)^{\rho_{p_4}} \right] \left( \frac{p_1 p_2}{p_2 - p_1} \right) \left( \frac{p_3 p_4}{p_4 - p_3} \right)
\]

\[
\cdot \left[ \Theta_* \left( \frac{p_1 p_2}{(1 - t)p_1 + t b^* (1 - s)p_3 + s d} \right) + \Theta_* \left( \frac{p_1 p_2}{(1 - t)p_1 + t b^* (1 - s)p_3 + s d} \right) + \Theta_* \left( \frac{p_1 p_2}{(1 - t)p_1 + t b^* (1 - s)p_3 + s d} \right)
\]

\[
\cdot \left[ \frac{p_1 p_2}{(1 - t)p_1 + t b^* (1 - s)p_3 + s d} \right] \] dsdt.

From the above-given inequality, we infer
Hadamard’s and its Fejer type containments in connection
In the recent study, we have interrogated the Hermite-

\[ a_{\rho,w}J_{(1,\rho_1)}(\cdot, (1/\rho_1)) \Theta^* \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_3} \right) + a_{\rho,w}J_{(1,\rho_1)}(\cdot, (1/\rho_1)) \Theta^* \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_3} \right) \]

\[ + a_{\rho,w}J_{(1,\rho_1)}(\cdot, (1/\rho_1)) \Theta^* \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_3} \right) \]

\[ \leq \left[ \Theta^* \left( \frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_3} \right) + \Theta^* \left( \frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_3} \right) + \Theta^* \left( \frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_3} \right) \right] \]

\[ + a_{\rho,w}J_{(1,\rho_1)}(\cdot, (1/\rho_1)) \Theta^* \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_3} \right) + a_{\rho,w}J_{(1,\rho_1)}(\cdot, (1/\rho_1)) \Theta^* \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_3} \right) \]

\[ + a_{\rho,w}J_{(1,\rho_1)}(\cdot, (1/\rho_1)) \Theta^* \circ g \left( \frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_3} \right) \]

(92) and (93) yield the required relation.

4. Conclusion
In the recent study, we have interrogated the Hermite-Hadamard’s and its Fejer type containments in connection with interval analysis. In order to investigate, we define the double integral Raina’s fractional integrals in \( k \) form, which are demonstrated by an example. Furthermore, we introduced the notion of interval-valued harmonically co-ordinated convex functions. Initially, we obtained generalized fractional inclu-
sions in association with harmonically interval-valued convex functions and their numeric verifications, then we established some two dimensional Hermite-Hadamard’s and Hermite-Hadamard-Fejer like inclusions involving co-ordinated harmonically interval-valued convexity. As the theory of convexity and interval analysis has great applications in optimization and error analysis. I hope the technique of the paper will inspire the interested readers and will stimulate the further research in the following direction.
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