Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs and Cognitive Function: Are Prostaglandins at the Heart of Cognitive Impairment in Dementia and Delirium?
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Abstract Studies of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in rheumatoid arthritis imply that inflammation is important in the development of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). However, these drugs have not alleviated the symptoms of AD in those who have already developed dementia. This suggests that the primary mediator targeted by these drugs, PGE2, is not actively suppressing memory function in AD. Amyloid-β oligomers appear to be important for the mild cognitive changes seen in AD transgenic mice, yet amyloid immunotherapy has also proven unsuccessful in clinical trials. Collectively, these findings indicate that NSAIDs may target a prodromal process in mice that has already passed in those diagnosed with AD, and that synaptic and neuronal loss are key determinants of cognitive dysfunction in AD. While the role of inflammation has not yet become clear, inflammatory processes definitely have a negative impact on cognitive function during episodes of delirium during dementia. Delirium is an acute and profound impairment of cognitive function frequently occurring in aged and demented patients exposed to systemic inflammatory insults, which is now recognised to contribute to long-term cognitive decline. Recent work in animal models is beginning to shed light on the interactions between systemic inflammation and CNS pathology in these acute exacerbations of dementia. This review will assess the role of prostaglandin synthesis in the memory impairments observed in dementia and delirium and will examine the relative contribution of amyloid, synaptic and neuronal loss.

We will also discuss how understanding the role of inflammatory mediators in delirious episodes will have major implications for ameliorating the rate of decline in the demented population.
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Introduction

There is a long-running debate in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) research as to why long-term treatment with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) very significantly protects against the subsequent development of AD but treatment of AD patients with NSAIDs offers no relief for those already experiencing the devastating memory loss associated with this disease. This review takes as its starting point the position that if NSAIDs offer no relief to patients with AD then it is reasonable to conclude that the observed memory dysfunction is not attributable to a direct inhibition of memory processes by prostaglandins, the primary target of these drugs. If NSAID dosing is appropriate to reduce prostaglandin levels, but does not ameliorate memory function then it is unlikely that prostaglandins are actively interfering with memory in these patients. This, of course, does not rule out the possibility that long-term exposure to prostaglandins may influence the deposition or clearance of amyloid-β (Aβ) or may result in significant damage, the accumulation of which may impair memory function. However, it appears that reducing prostaglandins in the short term is not helpful. This appears contradictory to multiple pre-clinical studies in which NSAIDs protected against cognitive impairments in AD transgenic mice that
might have suggested a straightforward relationship between cyclooxygenase (COX) activity and memory function. In addition, there is clear evidence from the neuroinflammation field that prostaglandins can directly impair memory function. Why, then, have these treatments failed to offer any protection in AD patients? In this review we attempt to examine the roles of COX and PGE2 in memory function, both physiologically and pathologically, and address the very substantial contribution neuronal and synaptic loss makes to the burden of memory deficits in AD.

**Interrogating the key determinants of cognitive dysfunction in AD**

Figure 1 shows a simple scheme summarising the major proposed contributors to cognitive dysfunction in AD and in the proceeding section we will attempt to look at the likely contribution of each of these facets of pathology to cognitive dysfunction in AD, based on what animal models have shown us. It is clear that progressing disease, in humans with AD, induces robust synaptic and neuronal loss, both of which would be predicted to induce cognitive deficits. Aβ deposition and inflammation are also features of disease and there is evidence that each of these factors can induce cognitive deficits. The majority of research in elucidating the molecular mechanisms of memory impairment in AD has come from transgenic mouse models. The hallmark cognitive deficit of patients with AD is a failure of episodic memory and though recent studies have now made innovative efforts at examining this in rats (Iordanova et al. 2009) and have described similar ‘episodic-like’ memory deficits in Tg2576 mice (Good and Hale 2007), there have not yet been any mechanistic studies addressing molecular mechanisms of such deficits. However, a number of different cognitive tasks with some validity for AD have been assessed in mice and it is interesting to examine these with respect to the four possible pathological culprits in Fig. 1.

**Working memory**

Working memory deficits in T-maze alternation tasks are the most frequently reported deficit in the Tg2576 mouse (Stewart et al. 2011) and are present in most widely used Aβ-inducing transgenics (Chapman et al. 1999; Corcoran et al. 2002; Barnes et al. 2004; Caccamo et al. 2007; Cacucci et al. 2008; Frye and Walf 2008; Filali and Lalonde 2009). These models have all been described to show marked amyloidosis and although there is some evidence of neuronal and synaptic loss and disassembly, it has been striking how limited neuronal pathology is in these models compared to that observed in human AD (Wirths and Bayer 2010). This indicates that the presence of Aβ peptides is sufficient to produce working memory dysfunction in the absence of neuronal or synaptic loss. This must be clearly distinguished from synaptic dysfunction, for which there is robust evidence. There is a very substantial literature on glutamate receptor alterations and electrophysiological disruption and caused by oligomeric species of Aβ (Shankar and Walsh 2009) but this is beyond the scope of the current review. Despite any non-reliance of these T-maze deficits on synaptic loss in the models so far studied, robust synaptic loss in the CA1 of the hippocampus is sufficient, of itself, to produce similar working memory deficits, as we have shown in the
ME7 model of prion disease (Guenther et al. 2001; Cunningham et al. 2003). Importantly, this synaptic loss precedes neuronal loss (Cunningham et al. 2003) so we can separate the contribution of these two elements of degeneration. Of course, neuronal loss in the same structure also produces these deficits, as shown in several hippocampal ablation studies (Bannerman et al. 1999, 2002). However, CNS inflammatory mediator production, induced by 200 μg/kg LPS intraperitoneally, fails to produce any deficit on this task (Murray et al. 2010) and there are no demonstrations, to our knowledge of non-neurotoxic doses of inflammogens impairing T-maze alternation.

Visuospatial reference memory

We can pursue a similar line of enquiry with respect to visuospatial reference memory deficits in the Morris Water Maze (MWM). This is the most commonly assessed form of memory in AD transgenics and deficits have consistently been reported in this task. However, these deficits vary in magnitude and time of onset, vary according to background strain (Glazner et al. 2010) and have not been reported by all laboratories (King and Arendash 2002). A recent meta-analysis of multiple studies with Tg2576 reported that when performance of control animals expressing human APP without the Swedish mutation is taken into account, this effect only becomes apparent between 12 and 18 months and requires large numbers of animals (Reed et al. 2010). It is also important to point out that AD transgenic mice can actually learn this MWM task: they simply learn it more slowly than wild-type controls (Kotilinek et al. 2002). Performance in the MWM is well known to require intact hippocampal function (Morris et al. 1982) and thus synaptic and neuronal loss in the hippocampus obviously impair its function. The question of whether inflammation can also affect performance of this task is more complicated. Multiple studies have reported deficits in this task after administration of LPS or IL-1β while others have not noted deficits (Cunningham and Sanderson 2008). However, the task is ill-suited to assessment of cognition in animals that are experiencing the reduced motivation, elevated stress and anxiety, and compromised locomotor function that are features of the sickness response. Measures of latency, distance travelled to find the hidden platform, analysis of route taken and appropriate probe trials must all be examined to correctly distinguish cognitive impairments from emotional and performance deficits that may appear as cognitive deficits, as has been reviewed in detail elsewhere (Cunningham and Sanderson 2008). Careful analysis of these data suggests that inflammatory stimulation does not robustly produce cognitive deficits on this visuospatial reference task. This is an important point because these studies of inflammation and MWM performance, coupled with the impact of NSAIDs in AD transgenics, are usually cited as key evidence that products of inflammation may explain the deficits observed in AD. Neuroinflammation produced by the intracerebroventricular infusion of LPS can induce lasting cognitive deficits in the MWM (Hauss-Wegrzyniak et al. 1998) however this inflammation is more severe than that observed in transgenic models of AD and since this chronic LPS treatment also induces death of CA3 pyramidal neurons it is difficult to separate the cognitive effects of neuroinflammation and cognitive effects of neuronal death. Nonetheless, here are numerous reports that anti-inflammatory treatments ameliorate cognitive dysfunction in AD transgenics so it is necessary to address whether these drugs have successfully targeted inflammatory mediators or have affected other targets.

NSAIDs in AD transgenic mice

The evidence that prostaglandins do have some deleterious effects in learning and memory is relatively clear: direct application of PGE2 to the brain results in working memory deficits in a runway task (Matsumoto et al. 2004) and has also been shown to impair contextual fear-conditioning (Hein et al. 2007). Furthermore there is evidence that COX-2-overexpression can impair neuronal function. Aged animals over-expressing neuronal COX-2 display behavioural deficits and neuronal loss (Andreasson et al. 2001) and female AD double transgensics over-expressing COX-2 have behavioural deficits that are abrogated by COX inhibition (Melnikova et al. 2006), although the sex-specificity of the latter findings makes them less compelling. Thus, PGE2, the major target of NSAIDs, can impair memory function but are prostaglandins key players in the memory deficits seen in AD transgenics and in AD patients? In a number of models of AD, NSAIDs have been applied with beneficial effects. A number of studies have shown amelioration of cognitive deficits in AD transgenic mice after long-term treatment (months) with flurbiprofen (Kukar et al. 2007), ibuprofen (Lim et al. 2001; Kotilinek et al. 2008), naproxen and MF-tricyclic (Kotilinek et al. 2008), and COX-1-specific trifusal (Coma et al. 2010). Long-term treatment with ibuprofen or, to a lesser degree, indomethacin and other COX-1/2 inhibitors can also reduce Aβ plaque load, Aβ1-42 levels or Aβ 42:40 ratios in the hippocampus and cortex (Lim et al. 2000; Yan et al. 2003; Heneka et al. 2005; Morihara et al. 2005; Kukar et al. 2007; McKee et al. 2008; Coma et al. 2010). Most of these drugs show some selectivity towards COX-1. However, the relationship between COX inhibition, prostaglandin reduction and cognitive improvement has not been carefully examined within these studies. Morihara et al. (2005) suggested that ibuprofen, via effects on IL-1 expression, decreased antichymotrypsin levels, thus
reducing plaque load and Lim et al. (2001) and Sung et al. (2004), also showed decreased NFκB activation/IL-1β expression. In those studies where PGE2 was assessed it appears that NSAID treatment does generally decrease prostaglandin levels, but that neither PGE2 nor the enzymes COX-1 and COX-2 showed significant disease-related elevation in the transgenic mouse models used (Quinn et al. 2003; Sung et al. 2004; Kotilinek et al. 2008). Where levels have been examined, those inhibitors with preferential inhibition of COX-1 have decreased PGE2 levels more effectively than COX-2 selective inhibitors (Sung et al. 2004). No studies, to our knowledge have shown that PGE2 is elevated in AD transgenics and is reduced by treatment with COX inhibitors, with a resulting improvement in cognitive function. Therefore, a straightforward relationship between PGE2 production and memory impairment cannot be deduced from these studies despite the propensity of COX inhibition to ameliorate these deficits.

It is also clear that there are ‘off-target’ effects of NSAIDs, affecting relevant inflammatory and amyloidogenic pathways. We mention some of these possibilities here for completeness but comprehensive discussion of these topics is beyond the scope of this review. These have been reviewed previously (Weggen et al. 2007; Landreth et al. 2008). Indomethacin, ibuprofen and diclofenac all act as agonists for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ). This nuclear receptor is a potent down-regulator of NFκB activation and its targeting has had beneficial effects in AD models (Lehmann et al. 1997; Combs et al. 2000; Landreth and Heneka 2001). Thus, the inhibition of aspects of microglial function, such as IL-1β synthesis (Heneka et al. 2005), seems more likely to occur via this pathway than via prostaglandin inhibition. In addition, a subset of NSAIDs including sulindac sulphide, ibuprofen and indomethacin, but not including naproxen, aspirin or selective COX-1 and COX-2 inhibitors SC-560 or celecoxib, lower Aβ1-42 independently of their impact on COX activity (Weggen et al. 2001). This raised the possibility that the effectiveness of NSAIDs in the population may not be related to its effects on limiting prostaglandin production. One recent study has examined prostaglandins, cytokines, Aβ1-42 and cognitive effects in a single study. Kotilinek et al. (2008) showed that ibuprofen, naproxen and a tricyclic COX-2-specific drug all restored memory function in Tg2576 mice and reversed impairments of long-term potentiation (LTP) induced by acute application of Aβ. These effects were independent of effects on Aβ42 and of effects on pro-inflammatory cytokines. The authors reported that all effective drugs shared a COX-2 inhibitory profile and that improved memory function correlated with lower PGE2 levels. However, both COX-2 mRNA and PGE2 levels were lower in transgenics than in wild-type mice and were not affected by the drug treatment. Thus while this study refocuses attention on prostaglandins, the relationship between PGE2, COX expression and memory dysfunction remains very unclear.

Furthermore, the impact of NSAIDs in animal models of AD has generally been assessed by long-term treatment before any cognitive assessment. Therefore, the effect of blocking inflammation and/or amyloidogenesis across a number of weeks/months before cognitive testing has been examined, and the ability of these drugs to lift the active suppression of memory function by inflammatory mediators has not. In contrast, there is evidence for rapid reversal of cognitive dysfunction when Aβ is directly targeted using monoclonal antibodies in the Tg2576 (Kotilinek et al. 2002) or PDAPP (Dodart et al. 2002) strains. Collectively these data suggest that some oligomeric species of Aβ is directly impacting on memory in these mild cognitive impairment or ‘prodromal’ models of AD and indeed there is now good evidence for such direct impacts of Aβ oligomers on hippocampal physiology (Walsh et al. 2002).

In contrast, the recent amyloid immunization clinical trial demonstrates that even very successful removal of amyloid plaques offers no relief to AD patients: all patients followed-up after the AN1792 study died with terminal dementia and an MMSE of zero (Holmes et al. 2008). Therefore, NSAIDs and immunization effects in the animal models may differ from their effects in AD patients and one must make a comparison between the pathological aspects in these models and those in the diseased patients who we know are not protected by these drugs once impairments are apparent (Fig. 2). Most animal models of AD show limited neuronal and synaptic loss (Wirths and Bayer 2010) and this is in sharp contrast to the devastating losses incurred during AD progression: entorhinal cortex and hippocampus show up to 50% pyramidal cell loss (Hyman et al. 1984) and there are very significant losses of basal forebrain cholinergic (Davies and Maloney 1976; Whitehouse et al. 1981, 1982) and locus coeruleus noradrenergic neurons (Tomlinson et al. 1981). In addition, synaptic loss is very marked and correlates better with cognitive dysfunction than any other pathological feature including plaque burden (Terry et al. 1991).

While animal models show that amyloid overproduction can produce cognitive deficits, even the originators of the first animal models of AD now suggest that these models probably represent a stage of disease that resembles mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or perhaps even earlier (Ashe and Zals 2010). Thus it is possible that NSAIDs in AD transgenics are targeting a process that does not occur or has already passed when these drugs are administered to patients with AD. This timing issue could explain the discrepancy between beneficial effects of NSAIDs in models and patients. There is limited evidence that NSAIDs are beneficial at early stages
COXs are the essential and rate-limiting enzyme in the synthesis of prostaglandins from arachidonic acid. PGG2 is initially synthesized via the bis-oxygenase activity of COX, followed by generation of PGH2 via the peroxidase activity. This is then the starting point for a number of other synthase enzymes to synthesize a wide spectrum of prostanooids, the production of all of which would be affected by COX inhibition (Fig. 3). It is now well accepted, from epidemiological studies and meta-analyses thereof, that inhibition of these enzymes with NSAIDs significantly protects against the subsequent development of AD (McGeer et al. 1996; In 'T Veld et al. 2001; Etminan et al. 2003; Vlad et al. 2008). However, the resulting clinical trials have consistently failed to demonstrate beneficial effects in terms of treatment or prevention (Aisen et al. 2003; Lyketsos et al. 2007; Martin et al. 2008). These findings demand not just a better appreciation of the temporal and neuroanatomical aspects of COX-1 and COX-2 activation in disease but also of the normal roles of these enzymes in memory and learning.

Two major isoforms of COX have been described, COX-1 and COX-2, which appear to differentially affect inflammation, blood flow, cell death and fever in the CNS (Bosetti 2007). Both COX-1 and COX-2 are constitutively expressed in the CNS; COX-1 is primarily expressed in microglia and some neuronal populations (Yermakova et al. 1999; Hoozemans et al. 2001) and has recently been shown in perivascular macrophages (PVMs) in rodents (Garcia-Bueno et al. 2009). COX-2 is readily detected in neurons in many brain regions, both in humans and in rodents (Yasojima et al. 1999; Hoozemans et al. 2001). Given that COX-2 is readily induced by inflammatory stimuli in microglia and endothelium (Ek et al. 2001; Uchikado et al. 2004), it has often been the pharmacological target of choice against neuroinflammation. However, the evidence that COX-2 increases as a function of progression of AD is rather limited. It has been described to be elevated at early stages of disease but later decreases (Hoozemans et al. 2001). There are early reports of elevated PGE2 in AD patients with respect to controls (Montine et al. 1999), but one longitudinal study reported early elevated PGE2 followed by declining levels as memory declined (Combrinck et al. 2006). Importantly, higher PGE2 levels also predicted longer survival. These data may be consistent with an early inflammatory response, but also suggest that there is an important role for COX-2 derived PGE2 in maintenance of memory function. There is increasing evidence that constitutive, neuronal COX-2 function is essential for normal hippocampal function. Specific inhibition of basal COX-2 activity was shown to inhibit memory consolidation in Morris Water Maze experiments (Teather et al. 2002) and later experiments repeated this and showed that COX-1 inhibition did not impair memory function (Cowley et al. 2008). Mechanistically, there is evidence that PGE2, released from post-synaptic dendritic spines can bind pre-synaptic prostaglandin receptors EP2 and/or EP4 and increase the amplitude of stimulus-evoked excitatory post-synaptic potentials (EPSPs) in the hippocampus (Sang et al. 2005). This facilitatory role of basal COX-2 derived prostaglandins is dependent on the activity of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (Chen and Bazan 2005), the primary signalling pathway induced by EP2 and EP4 receptor activation. Thus with respect to memory function there are good reasons to believe that inhibition of COX-2 activity would be deleterious. Given these findings, the...
number of clinical trials that have used drugs selectively targeting COX-2 is rather surprising (Aisen et al. 2002, 2003; Reines et al. 2004; Lyketsos et al. 2007; Soininen et al. 2007; Aisen et al. 2008; Leoutsakos et al. 2011).

Conversely COX-1, while generally regarded as constitutively active and not induced by inflammation, is increased in a number of neurodegenerative diseases including AD (Yermakova et al. 1999; Hoozemans et al. 2001) prion disease (Deininger et al. 2003) and HIV dementia (Griffin et al. 1994). Furthermore the hypothesis that COX-1 is central to mediating the neuroinflammatory response has been gaining support in the literature (Choi et al. 2009). Pharmacological inhibition or genetic deletion of COX-1 reduces LPS and β-amyloid-induced neuroinflammation including reduced levels of NFκB-mediated pro-inflammatory cytokine synthesis and decreased histological evidence of microglial activation (Choi et al. 2008; Choi and Bosetti 2009). Recent cognitive studies show that in a model chronic of CNS IL-1 over-expression that COX-1-generated PGE2 produces deficits in contextual fear conditioning (Matousek et al. 2010). With respect to CNS consequences of systemic inflammation, it has been shown that COX-1-selective inhibition protects against LPS-induced behavioural depression while the COX-2 specific nimesulide does not (Teeling et al. 2010). Our own recent work shows that selective COX-1 inhibition can protect against LPS-induced working memory deficits on a background of chronic neurodegenerative disease (Skelly & Cunningham, unpublished data) and there is emerging evidence that COX-1-positive PVMs may be a key interface between systemic and CNS inflammation (Garcia-Bueno et al. 2009). It is clear that exogenously added or pathologically elevated PGE2 can impair memory function in fear conditioning (Hein et al. 2007; Matousek et al. 2010) and runway tasks (Matsumoto et al. 2004) and thus inhibition of COX-1 might be protective in situations in which the levels of this enzyme and resulting prostanoids have become elevated by pathology or acute inflammatory stimulation. The important, but overlooked, role of systemic inflammation in AD-related cognitive decline is discussed below.

**Inflammation exacerbates dementia: delirium**

Despite the ongoing uncertainty about the role of inflammation in cognitive dysfunction in dementia, it is absolutely...
clear that acute inflammatory insults do produce cognitive impairment in cases of delirium during dementia. Despite the complete neglect of this area by basic researchers in AD, understanding the mechanisms of this acute cognitive impairment is likely to contribute to our understanding of how inflammation alters cognition in clinical practice, where patients with dementia frequently suffer co-morbidities including systemic infections, injuries and surgeries. Delirium is an acute and profound impairment of consciousness and cognitive function (Burns et al. 2004; Meagher 2009). It is well established that aging and dementia are the major risk factors for episodes of delirium (Fick et al. 2002) and systemic inflammatory episodes are among the major triggers (MacLullich et al. 2008). Significantly, the occurrence of delirium is known to produce long-term cognitive impairments and to be associated with more rapid progression of dementia (Rockwood et al. 1999; Rahkonen et al. 2000; Fong et al. 2009). Therefore, if systemic inflammation frequently induces delirium in the demented population and this accelerates dementia then identification of the inflammatory mediators responsible for these episodes would be predicted to be therapeutic targets also in dementia per se. However, inhibition of such targets would not be predicted to offer immediate relief in dementia, rather the positive effects could only be appreciated across a relatively long period of treatment during which the negative CNS consequences of systemic inflammation would be blocked. This is consistent with the efficacy of NSAIDs to protect against development of dementia but their inability to treat established disease.

There is a growing body of evidence that systemic inflammation (induced by LPS, poly I:C or chronic systemic expression of IL-1β) can accelerate progression and/or exacerbate features of pathology in a number of animal models of neurodegenerative disease including amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Nguyen et al. 2004), prion disease (Cunningham et al. 2005, 2009; Field et al. 2010), 3xTg-AD (Kitazawa et al. 2005), tau pathology (Lee et al. 2010), APP/PS1 (Sheng et al. 2003) and Parkinson’s Disease (Pott Godoy et al. 2008; Villaran et al. 2010). Furthermore, that systemic inflammation accelerates cognitive decline has also now been demonstrated in AD patients (Holmes et al. 2009). Consistent with the idea of a dementia/delirium continuum we have shown that repeated systemic inflammatory challenges superimposed on advancing disease induce acute dysfunction followed by recovery, but with each successive challenge the acute exacerbation is more severe and less recoverable (Field et al. 2010). It has been apparent for some time that systemic LPS can alter amyloid processing (Brugg et al. 1995; Sly et al. 2001; Sheng et al. 2003; Lee et al. 2010), but it is now clear that systemic inflammation, particularly in the presence of microglia primed by prior pathology, can induce a significant exacerbation of CNS inflammation, causing de novo inflammatory damage. Such damage may occur via iNOS, TNF-α, IL-1β, prostaglandins and many other pathways (Fig. 4). These pathways merit considerably more investigation in dementia but are beyond the scope of this review and have recently been reviewed elsewhere (Perry 2010; Cunningham 2011).

With respect to the delirious episode itself, there have been a number of studies associating pro-inflammatory cytokines with the occurrence of delirium after hip fracture or hip replacement surgery and these studies have consistently implicated IL-6, IL-8 and others (Beloosesky et al. 2007; van Munster et al. 2008, 2010; Maclullich et al. 2011). However, causation cannot be established in such association studies. Thus, there is a need for animal model studies of delirium. We have recently developed the first animal model of delirium during dementia, employing the superimposition of systemic LPS (100 μg/kg) on a background of chronic progressive neurodegenerative disease, using the ME7 model of prion disease (Murray et al. 2010). These LPS challenges produce acute and reversible working memory deficits in animals with prior chronic neurodegenerative disease, but not in normal animals challenged with LPS. This T-maze alternation working memory deficit has good validity for clinical delirium since the task is reliant on attention to the initially sampled arm and short-term memory retention which are key features of the delirious episode as defined by DSM IV and WHO ICD-10 definitions (WHO 1992; APA 1994). In addition, deficits are of acute onset and are transient, key diagnostic criteria for delirium (Inouye et al. 1990).

There also exist somewhat similar studies in aging rodents. Johnson and colleagues have shown working memory deficits that consist of a reduced ability of LPS-treated aged animals to learn the new location of a hidden platform which is moved each day of testing, compared to aged or LPS-treated alone (Chen et al. 2008). Barrientos et al. (2009), have shown in a series of studies that infection with E. coli in aged animals induces impairments of consolidation of new memories in contextual fear conditioning experiments. These latter studies implicated central IL-1β in this process (Frank et al. 2010). The microglial priming hypothesis, whereby microglia are primed by neurodegeneration (Cunningham et al. 2005) or aging (Godbout et al. 2005) to show an exaggerated CNS IL-1β response to systemic inflammatory stimulation, has been used to explain most of these findings on selective memory deficits in neurodegeneration/aging (Fig. 4), although this still requires further proof. In related studies on post-operative cognitive dysfunction IL-1β and TNF-α have been implicated in a failure to consolidate new memory for spatial context following an inflammatory surgical insult (Cibelli et al. 2010; Terrando et al. 2010). Using the T-maze task described above, we have recently shown that the selective...
Cox-1 inhibitor piroxicam protects against this LPS-induced working memory deficit in animals with neurodegeneration while the COX-2-specific inhibitor nimesulide does not. The latter is consistent with evidence that COX-2 inhibition actually exacerbates the CNS consequences of systemic inflammation (Blais et al. 2005) while the former is congruent with our observation of up-regulation of COX-1 in both microglia and PVMs during disease progression (Skelly & Cunningham, unpublished data) and the recently described role of COX-1-positive PVMs as transducers of systemic inflammatory signals to the brain (Garcia-Bueno et al. 2009). Thus, an amplification of the prostaglandin system in microglia and PVMs may be a key facet of this susceptibility of aging and/or neurodegeneration. Inhibition of COX-1 may be more attractive than inhibition of COX-2 for both physiological and inflammatory reasons. It is striking that the COX-1 inhibition reported in our recent studies reduced PGE2 levels but did not lead to any reduction of blood or brain IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-6 or CXCL1 (mouse homolog of IL-8), all of which were previously associated with delirium in clinical studies. Levels of prostaglandins have never been measured in studies of delirium and the role of the cyclooxygenase pathway should be investigated in this population. Given the wide array of prostanoids arising from COX activity (Fig. 3) and the side effects that can be associated with COX inhibitors it will be important to be as precise as possible about which elements of these pathways one might want to inhibit. In this light, further information on the prostanoid receptors involved in this process will also be extremely important.

**CNS physiological and pathological roles of prostanoid receptors**

PGE2 signals through the EP receptors 1–4 (Andreasson 2010). The discrete roles for different prostaglandin receptors are currently an area of considerable research activity and a non-exhaustive list of relevant studies have been summarised in Table 1. Perhaps the most clearly defined role for any of the EPs is EP3, which is responsible for temperature regulation and in the generation fever (Ushikubi et al. 1998; Lazarus et al. 2007) and it appears to be the most highly expressed prostaglandin receptor in the brain (Sugimoto and Narumiya 2007). EP3 has also been hypothesized to be involved in acute cognitive impairments via decreased BDNF transcription (Hein and O'Banion 2009), but direct evidence that EP3 is involved remains limited at this point.

Physiologically, EP1 receptors have an important role in activation of the hypothalamic pituitary axis (HPA) (Matsuoka et al. 2003) and have been shown to have a role in dopamine signalling in the striatum (Matsuoka et al. 2005; Kitaoka et al. 2007). However, EP1 is also thought to mediate neurotoxic effects in ischemia/excitotoxicity since EP1 inhibition or deletion typically results in neuroprotec-
function have been limited by the poor viability of EP4−/− mice, suggesting a key developmental role in the cardiovascular system (Nguyen et al. 1997).

There are, therefore, clear beneficial and deleterious roles for most of the EP receptors and any aspiration to inhibit the function of these receptors, particularly EP2, will have to balance this inhibition with the important physiological roles they serve. In addition to the 4 EP receptors, there are also receptors for PGD2, PGI2, and PGF2a and these have been very little studied in AD although it has been shown that prostaglandin D synthase and the receptor DP1 show increased expression in AD brains and in the Tg2576 AD model (Mohri et al. 2007). Given that inhibition of COX will reduce levels of all of these prostanoids, characterization of these enzymes and receptors in AD is also necessary.

**The future of COX inhibition in AD**

Despite the two decades that have passed since the discovery that NSAIDs protect against subsequent development of AD, the expression and roles of COX-1, COX-2, PG synthases and PG receptors in AD models and patients have still not been adequately characterized. Given that NSAID clinical trials typically inhibit an entire system of physiologically active arachidonic acid metabolites, it is perhaps unsurprising that the results have been confusing and sometimes contradictory. Clinical trials with COX-2 inhibition have failed to show benefits and possibly even increased conversion to AD (Aisen
et al. 2008) and one recent randomised placebo-controlled clinical trial shows that the COX-1 selective inhibitor Triflusal significantly reduces the rate of conversion from amnestic mild cognitive impairment to dementia (Gomez-Isla et al. 2008). The possibility that COX-1 is a more appropriate target than COX-2 has not been given sufficient consideration. The ADAPT trial reported that both the non-selective inhibitor naproxen and the COX-2-specific celecoxib failed to prevent the development of AD (Martin et al. 2008). Follow up of these patients suggests that the non-selective naproxen, but not celecoxib, is protective but only if patients were cognitively normal at the outset of the study and are observed for 3 years or more (Breitner et al. 2011). Further analysis suggests that naproxen may show deleterious effects, while celecoxib may be protective, if patients are already in a period of steep decline at the time of treatment (Leoutsakos et al. 2011). Thus it seems plausible that there is a long temporal window in which NSAIDs, particularly non-selective or even COX-1 selective drugs, may be effective in slowing AD development. However, these drugs may become deleterious in later stages of disease, when inflammation is more pronounced, cognitive reserve is more diminished and inhibition of one or both COX isoforms may interfere with crucial physiological functions. However, we do not yet have the data to state this with confidence. The emerging data on the negative impact of systemic inflammation on AD progression and the implication of COX-1, or indeed cytokines such as IL-1β and TNF-α, in this process requires considerably more investigation. Finally the eligibility criteria of future randomised AD clinical trials must be carefully considered to ensure that we do not exclude patients with co-morbid systemic inflammation, who might finally demonstrate that interfering with inflammation during AD, whether of systemic or central origin, can protect against disease progression.
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