The soft excess of the NLS1 galaxy Mrk 359 studied with an XMM-Newton-NuSTAR monitoring campaign
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ABSTRACT

Context. Joint XMM-Newton and NuSTAR multiple exposures allow us to disentangle the different emission components of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) and to study the evolution of their different spectral features. In this work, we present the timing and spectral properties of five simultaneous XMM-Newton and NuSTAR observations of the Narrow Line Seyfert 1 galaxy Mrk 359. 

Aims. We aim to provide the first broadband spectral modeling of Mrk 359 describing its emission spectrum from the UV up to the hard X-rays.

Methods. We performed temporal and spectral data analysis, characterising the amplitude and spectral changes of the Mrk 359 time series and computing the 2–10 keV normalised excess variance. The spectral broadband modelling assumes the standard hot Comptonising corona and reflection component, while for the soft excess we tested two different models: a warm, optically thick Comptonising corona (the two-corona model) and a reflection model in which the soft-excess is the result of a blurred reflected continuum and line emission (the reflection model).

Results. High and low flux states were observed during the campaign. The former state has a softer spectral shape, while the latter shows a harder one. The photon index is in the 1.75–1.89 range, and only a lower limit to the hot-corona electron temperature can be found. A constant reflection component, likely associated with distant matter, is observed. Regarding the soft excess, we found that among the reflection models we tested, the one providing the better fit (reduced $\chi^2 = 1.14$) is the high-density one. However, a significantly better fit (reduced $\chi^2 = 1.08$) is found by modelling the soft excess with a warm Comptonisation model.

Conclusions. The present analysis suggests the two-corona model as the best scenario for the optical-UV to X-ray emission spectrum of Mrk 359.
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1. Introduction

The primary X-ray emission of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) is usually explained by invoking a two-phase scenario (e.g. Haardt & Maraschi 1991, 1993; Haardt et al. 1996) in which thermal electrons (the so-called hot corona) intercept and Comptonise optical-UV photons arising from the accretion disc. Different arguments (timing and micro-lensing, e.g. Chartas et al. 2009; Morgan et al. 2012; De Marco et al. 2013; Uttley et al. 2014; Kara et al. 2016) support the hot corona to ensure it remains compact and located in the inner regions of the accretion flow. The X-ray primary spectrum is well approximated by a cut-off power law with a photon index ($\Gamma_{\text{pc}}$) in the range of 1.5–2.5 (e.g. Bianchi et al. 2009), and the high-energy rollover ($E_{\text{c}}$) is interpreted as a further signature of thermal Comptonisation. Such phenomenological quantities depend on the opacity and temperature of the hot corona (e.g. Shapiro et al. 1976; Rybicki & Lightman 1979; Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1980; Beloborodov et al. 1999; Petrucci et al. 2001; Middei et al. 2019a).

Circumnuclear matter can reprocess part of the X-ray continuum, giving rise to an Fe Kα fluorescent emission line (e.g. Bianchi et al. 2009), which is sometimes accompanied by weaker features due to ionised iron (see e.g. Molendi et al. 2003; Bianchi et al. 2005). The neutral Fe Kα emission line has an intrinsically narrow profile. However, Doppler shift and gravitational redshift (due to special and general relativity, respectively) can broaden the line profile, and this effect is stronger when the reflection materials are closer to the supermassive black hole (SMBH, e.g. Fabian et al. 2000; Matt et al. 1993). Additionally, reflection off Compton thick matter gives rise to the so-called Compton hump at about 30 keV (e.g. George & Fabian 1991; Matt et al. 1991, 1993; García et al. 2014; Dauser et al. 2016).

An emission in excess of the extrapolated high-energy power law (e.g. Bianchi et al. 2009; Gliozzi & Williams 2020) is often observed in the soft part (below $\sim$1–2 keV) of AGNs’ X-ray spectra. The origin of this soft excess is still debated, and different competing models have been proposed to explain it. At present, the two most popular ones are blurred ionised
reflection from the innermost regions of the accretion disc (e.g. Miniutti & Fabian 2004; Crummy et al. 2006; Walton et al. 2013; Liebmann et al. 2018), and a warm (\(kT \sim 0.5\text{–}1\) keV), optically thick Comptonising layer above the accretion disc (e.g. Magdziarz et al. 1998; Jin et al. 2012; Done et al. 2012; Petrucci et al. 2013; Kubota & Done 2018; Petrucci et al. 2018, 2020).

The Mrk 359 galaxy (\(z = 0.0174\), Maurogordato et al. 1997) is the first discovered narrow-line Seyfert galaxy (NLS1) (Davidson & Kinman 1978; Osterbrock & Dahari 1983), where the width of the broad emission line is smaller than 2000 km s\(^{-1}\). Elvis et al. (1992) first reported on the X-ray properties of this source in the context of the Einstein Slew Survey. Boller et al. (1996) used ROSAT data to report on the steep soft X-ray emission of Mrk 359 (\(\Gamma = 2.4 \pm 0.1\)), while Walter & Fink (1993) claimed the presence of a strong soft X-ray excess. The best knowledge of the X-ray behaviour of this source is derived from an archival XMM-Newton observation (July 2000) analysed by O’Brien et al. (2001). The authors found a power-law-like spectrum with \(\Gamma \sim 1.84\) in the 2–10 keV band, a prominent soft X-ray excess and no significant neutral/warm intrinsic absorption. The spectrum showed a noticeable neutral iron emission line (\(E_{\text{W}} \sim 200\) eV) modelled via two components of approximately equal strengths: a broad iron line from an accretion disc and a narrow unresolved line core (\(E_{\text{W}} \sim 120\) eV) consistent with fluorescence from neutral iron in distant reprocessing matter. This AGN was later analysed by Walton et al. (2013), who studied a 2007 Suzaku observation. They modelled the source spectrum with a power-law-like primary continuum and a reflection component computed using REFLIONX (Ross & Fabian 2005). Walton et al. (2013) found the primary photon index to be \(\Gamma = 1.90 \pm 0.03\), and explained the soft excess with a blurred ionised reflection.

In this paper, we report on the XMM-Newton-NuSTAR observational campaign targeting the unobscured AGN. The aim of the campaign was to study the broadband, time-resolved spectra of this source and shed light on the nature of its prominent soft X-ray excess. The standard cosmology \(\Lambda\)CDM with \(H_0 = 70\) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), \(\Omega_m = 0.27\) and \(\Omega_l = 0.73\) is adopted throughout this work.

### 2. Data reduction

The present dataset consists of five XMM-Newton-NuSTAR simultaneous exposures taken at the beginning of 2019 (see Table 1). Analyses were performed on XMM-Newton-pn/OM and NuSTAR FPM/A/B data, while the MOS detectors were not considered due to the lower statistics of their spectra.

The XMM-Newton data were processed using the XMM-Newton Science Analysis System (SAS, Version 18.0.0). The choice of the source extraction radius and the screening for high background time intervals were performed by an iterative process aimed at maximising the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N, details in Piconcelli et al. 2004). For each observation, we obtain the source radius that maximises the S/N ratio. These radii span between 15 and 40 arcsec, where smaller values are used when the background is higher (specifically for observations 1 and 5). At this stage it is worth noting that these different sizes for the extracting regions are taken into account during the computations of the spectra, hence the results of the spectral analysis are not affected. The background was extracted using a 40 arcsec region close to the source. Spectra were binned to have at least 30 counts for each spectral bin, and not to oversample the instrumental energy resolution by a factor larger than three. We notice that no significant pile-up affects the pn data, as also indicated by the SAS task \texttt{empplot}. Data obtained with the Optical Monitor (Mason et al. 2001, OM) are also used. This telescope on-board XMM-Newton observed Mrk 359 in the UVM2 (2310 Å), UVW1 (2910 Å), and UVW2 (2120 Å) filters, and such measurements are available for all the visits. The OM data were extracted using the on-the-fly data processing and analysis tool RISA, the remote interface SAS analysis and spectral points were converted into a convenient format to be analysed with Xspec Arnaud (1996) using the standard task \texttt{om2pha}. The OM light curves for the different filters are reported in Fig. 1.

In Fig. 2, we show the XMM-Newton/pn average count rates for each observation versus the UVW2 count rate. The correlation between the 0.3–2 keV and the UVW2 is supported by a Pearson’s correlation coefficient of 0.93 accomplished by a null hypothesis probability of 0.04.

The NuSTAR observations were reduced using the standard pipeline (\texttt{nupipeline}) in the NuSTAR Data Analysis Software and by adopting the calibration database x20180710. High-level

### Table 1. Satellite, observation ID, start date, and net exposure time are reported.

| Observatory     | Obs. ID      | Start date | Net exp. |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| XMM-Newton      | 0830550801   | 2019-01-25 | 35       |
| NuSTAR          | 60402021002  |            | 52       |
| XMM-Newton      | 0830550901   | 2019-01-26 | 37       |
| NuSTAR          | 60402021004  |            | 49       |
| XMM-Newton      | 0830551001   | 2019-01-28 | 35       |
| NuSTAR          | 60402021006  |            | 51       |
| XMM-Newton      | 0830551101   | 2019-01-31 | 40       |
| NuSTAR          | 60402021008  |            | 48       |
| XMM-Newton      | 0830551201   | 2019-02-02 | 35       |
| NuSTAR          | 60402021010  |            | 51       |
3. Timing properties

The variability of an AGN is stochastic, aperiodic, and ubiquitously observed across the whole electromagnetic spectrum. In X-rays, AGNs not only exhibit long-term amplitude variations (e.g. Vagnetti et al. 2011, 2016; Paolillo et al. 2017; Gallo et al. 2018), but also show rapid changes down to ks timescales (e.g. Ponti et al. 2012).

During the monitoring, Mrk 359 varied both within and among the observations (see Fig. 4). In accordance with Fig. 4, we can define two different flux states in the XMM-Newton bands: a high one in visits 1, 2, and 3, and a low one (counts decrease by a factor of ∼3) in the fourth and fifth observations. In Fig. 4, the two flux regimes are emphasised by the horizontal vertical solid line, which indicates the average count rate of that specific observation in that band. Interestingly, UVW2 and UVW2 counts drop between observation 3 and 4 (see Fig. 1).

Flux variations are observed at timescales as short as a few ks both in the soft and hard X-ray bands. The largest amplitude variation occurs in observation 3, where the soft X-ray emission increases by a factor of ∼3 in under 50 ks. NuSTAR light curves show a similar variability, though, in this high-energy band, the presence of the two flux regimes seen in the XMM-Newton data is not observed.

In Fig. 4, we also show the ratios of the (0.3–2 keV) and (2–10 keV) bands for the pn data. The hardness ratios do not exhibit strong changes within each pointing, hence, we decided to use the time-averaged spectrum for the forthcoming spectral analysis. Ratios show that the source spectrum is hardening in observations 4 and 5 with respect to visits 1, 2, and 3 and this further supports the change of state during the campaign.

As reported by several authors, the amount of X-ray variability at short timescales is anti-correlated with the AGN’s luminosity (e.g. Barr & Mushotzky 1986; Green et al. 1993; Lawrence & Papadakis 1993; Ponti et al. 2012), and the same behaviour has been found at longer timescales (e.g. Markowitz & Edelson 2001; Vagnetti et al. 2011, 2016). On the other hand, such an anti-correlation has been explained as a by product of a more intrinsic relation between the variability and SMBH mass itself (e.g. Papadakis 2004; McHardy et al. 2006; Körding et al. 2007). For these reasons, X-ray amplitude variations can be used to weight the SMBH mass. Following the prescriptions of Ponti et al. (2012), we computed the normalised excess variance (e.g. Nandra et al. 1997; Vaughan et al. 2003). This estimator can be defined as $\sigma_{\text{ex}}^2 = (S^2 - \langle S \rangle^2) / \langle f \rangle^2$, where $S^2$ and $\sigma_f$ account for the variance and mean square uncertainties of the fluxes, while $\langle f \rangle$ is the unweighted mean of the flux. Adopting a 250 s time bin for estimating the light curves and selecting 20 ks-long segments, we computed the normalised excess variance in the 2–10 keV band to be $\sigma_{\text{ex}}^2 = 0.026 \pm 0.009$. Then, following the relations presented by Ponti et al. (2012), we estimate the Mrk 359 BH mass to be $M_{\text{BH}} = (3.6 \pm 1.4) \times 10^6 M_\odot$.

Such a variability-based estimate is consistent within the errors with the BH mass of $M_{\text{BH}} = 1.7 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ measured by Wang & Lu (2001), who computed the stellar velocity dispersion $\sigma_v$ via the [O III]. Our estimate is also marginally compatible with what has been found by Williams et al. (2018) based on the X-ray scaling method (firstly discussed in Gliozzi et al. 2011). However, the above quoted measures are larger than the one by Botte et al. (2005), who obtained $M_{\text{BH}} = 6.9 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ via a [Ca II] absorption triplet-based stellar velocity dispersion.

We adopt our BH mass estimate to compute the bolometric luminosity and the Eddington ratio of Mrk 359. In particular, we relied on the bolometric correction described by Duras et al. (2020). Such a bolometric correction was calibrated by fitting the spectral energy distribution of $~1000$ unobscured and obscured AGNs (type 1 and 2, respectively) of which luminosities cover six orders of magnitude. Following their paper...
Fig. 4. XMM-Newton light curves (background subtracted) in the 0.3–2 keV and 2–10 keV energy bands are shown in the top and top-middle panels, respectively. Bottom-middle panels: NuSTAR background subtracted light curves in the 10–79 keV band are reported, while the bottom row reports on the ratios between light curves in the 0.3–2 keV and 2–10 keV band. The adopted time binning is 1 ks for all the observations, and the solid violet lines account for the average rate of each observation, while dashed lines account for the standard error of the mean.

Fig. 5. Fractional variability spectra for the different observations. Observations 1, 2, and 3 show smaller variability with respect to observations 4 and 5. Error bars account for 1σ uncertainty.

and using an average 2–10 keV luminosity of $3 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, we obtain $\log(L_{\text{bol}}/\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 43.6$ and an Eddington ratio of $\log(L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}) \approx 8\%$.

We further exploited the current data set by computing the fractional root mean square variability amplitude ($F_{\text{var}}$) for each observation of the monitoring (e.g. Edelson et al. 2002). Such a variability estimator is defined as the square root of the normalised excess variance and it has been widely adopted in literature (e.g. Vaughan et al. 2004; Ponti et al. 2006; Matzeu et al. 2016a,b; 2017; Alston et al. 2019; Parker et al. 2020; De Marco et al. 2020; Igo et al. 2020). We compute $F_{\text{var}}$ following Vaughan et al. (2003) and using the background subtracted pn light curves with a temporal bin of 2500 s. The obtained $F_{\text{var}}$ are shown in Fig. 5 as a function of the energy. These variability spectra further strengthen the idea of two different states: one less variable and corresponding to the higher flux state of observations 1, 2, and 3, and the other being characterised by larger variability and corresponding to the lower flux observations 4 and 5. Interestingly, the decrease of variability with luminosity is naturally explained if the process results by the superposition of $N$ randomly emitting sub-units. Such a scenario, already considered for optical analyses (e.g. Pica & Smith 1983; Aretxaga et al. 1997), predicts a variability amplitude $\propto N^{-0.5} \propto L^{-0.5}$ when, in its simplified 0-th order version, the sub-units are identical and flare independently (e.g. Green et al. 1993; Nandra et al. 1997; Almaini et al. 2000). In the X-ray band, such a behaviour has been reported by many authors, both for local and high-redshift AGNs (e.g. Barr & Mushotzky 1986; Lawrence & Papadakis 1993; Papadakis et al. 2008; Vagnetti et al. 2011, 2016). In accordance with Fig. 5, the different spectral bands vary in a similar amount, at least for the observations 1, 2, and 3, while in observations 4 and 5, the soft X-ray band may have larger variability. As a final test, we searched for correlations between light curves at different energies or, in other words, we checked if the light curves at different energies varied in concert or not. In particular, we extracted the background subtracted light curves in the 0.5–2, 2.5–5 and 8–10 keV bands, these intervals likely being dominated by different emitting components, the soft excess, the primary continuum, and the reflected component. We therefore
computed the Pearson cross-correlation coefficient for the different light curves, finding a strong correlation between the 0.5–2 and 2.5–5 keV bands ($P_{cc} = 0.91$, $P(r < 0) < 10^{-22}$) and a moderate correlation between the 2.5–5 and 8–10 keV bands ($P_{cc} = 0.74$, $P(r < 0) < 10^{-15}$). Finally, the 0.5–2 keV light curve and the 8–10 keV one are only weakly correlated, as supported by a $P_{cc} = 0.42$, $P(r < 0) = 10^{-6}$.

4. Spectral analysis

In the fits, the Galactic hydrogen column density $N_H = 4.38 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (HI4PI Collaboration et al. 2016) is always included and kept frozen to the quoted value. When $OM$ data are analysed, extinction is taken into account with the redden model in XSPEC. We used $R_{B-V} = 0.0468$ (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011), and we kept this value fixed during the computations.

4.1. Primary emission and its reflected component

We started to focus on the Fe Kα properties only using pn data, and ignoring spectra below 3 keV. We adopted a power law to fit the 3–10 keV spectra, with the model parameters untied between the observations and free to vary. This crude fit, only accounting for the primary continuum, returns a $\chi^2 = 525$ for 458 degrees of freedom (d.o.f.). This is mainly due to the prominent emission line at about 6.4 keV, see Fig. 6. To model such residuals, we add a Gaussian component. We therefore tested the model: $tbabs\times(power-law+zgauss)$ in which the line energy centroid, its width, and normalisation were free to vary in all the observations. However, this preliminary fit shows that in none of the pointings the line is resolved (see Fig. 7), thus for the forthcoming fits, we fixed the line width to zero. A value of $\chi^2 = 443$ for 448 d.o.f characterises our model and the corresponding best fit parameters are reported in Table 2. The Fe Kα fluorescent emission-line intensity is consistent with being constant over the campaign. This, together with the narrow profile, suggests an origin from material far from the nuclear region.

To constrain and understand the nature of the reprocessed emission in Mrk 359, we added the NuSTAR FPMA/B 3–79 keV data. The EPIC-pn spectra showed the Fe Kα to be constant and narrow, likely originating from Compton-thick cold material far from the SMBH. Therefore, we studied the 3–79 keV spectra using a cut-off power law to account for the source’s primary continuum and sillver (García et al. 2014; Dauser et al. 2016) to reproduce the neutral Fe Kα line and its associated reflection spectrum. In the fit, we left the high-energy cut off and the primary normalisation untied and free to vary between the observations the photon index. Sillver’s continuum parameters are tied to those of the cut-off power law, with the exception of the normalisation, which is set as free to vary in each observation. The Fe abundance is fitted by tying its value among the pointings, while the ionisation parameter is fixed to log($\xi/\text{erg \ cm}^{-2}$) = 1 (close to neutral). To account for the inter-calibration between the detectors, we include a free constant. The two NuSTAR modules are in good agreement with each other (~3%), and they agree with pn data within $\lesssim 7\%$ in all but the fourth observation, for which a value of about 30% is found. Such a high value is due to the flux increase caught by NuSTAR only (see the blue light curves in Fig. 4). We re-extracted the NuSTAR spectra in order to be truly simultaneous with XMM-Newton. Once truly simultaneous XMM-Newton/NuSTAR data are considered, the different instruments are consistent with each other within ~5%, thus, in the subsequent analysis, we used these truly simultaneous spectra.

These steps lead to a best fit with $\chi^2 = 1298$ for 1242 d.o.f., see Fig. 8. In Table 2, we report the corresponding best fit values. We notice that this model yields higher values for the photon index of the nuclear emission now being in the range 1.68–1.82. Though the photon indices are fairly consistent within the errors, the overall scenario is consistent with the softer when brighter behaviour, in agreement with what commonly observed in nearby Seyfert galaxies (e.g. Sobolewska & Papadakis 2009) or in AGNs samples (e.g. Serafinelli et al. 2017). The high-energy cut off ($E_c$) is unconstrained, and the higher value for its lower limits is $E_c > 220$ keV, found in observation 3. To further assess the constancy of the reflected emission in Mrk 359, we tied the sillver normalisation among the observations. Moreover, we also try to fit the high-energy cut off tying its
value between the pointings. This procedure returns a fit of $\chi^2 = 1302$ for 1250. Only a lower limit is found for the high-energy cut off ($E_c > 340$ keV). The model \textit{xillver} normalisation is $N_{\text{refl}} = (1.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ photons keV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ with an associated flux $F_{3-79 \text{keV}} = (8.7 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-13}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$.

4.2. Broadband data: testing the two-corona model

At this stage of the analysis, we include OM spectral points and \textit{pn} data down to 0.3 keV. Two Comptonising components, one optically thick and warm (warm corona, wc) and the other optically thin and hot (hot corona, hc), have often been used to reproduce broadband AGN spectra (e.g. Porquet et al. 2018; Middei et al. 2018, 2019b; Ursini et al. 2018, 2020; Noda & Done 2018; Kubota & Done 2018; Petrucci et al. 2018; Matzeu et al. 2020), therefore we tested the so-called two-corona model on the present dataset. In \textit{xspec}, such a model appears as: \texttt{redden\_TBabs\_\textit{const}/\textit{smallBB}+nth\texttt{comp}\_\textit{wc}+nth\texttt{comp}\_\textit{hc}+xillver\_\textit{cp}}.

The \texttt{smallBB} component accounts for the broad-line region (BLR), and in particular for the so-called small blue bump (SBB) at about 3000 Å, as described in detail in Mehdipour et al. (2015). By fitting this component (kept tied among the pointings), we find its flux to be $F = 4.5 \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. \textit{Nth\texttt{comp}\_\textit{wc}} (Zdziarski et al. 1996; Zycki et al. 1999) provides a thermally Comptonised continuum whose high- and low-energy rollovers are parametrised by the temperatures of the electrons ($kT_{\text{ew}}$) and the seed photons ($kT_b$). We assumed the seed photons to arise from a disc blackbody, and we fitted the $kT_b$ parameter by typing its value between the various observations. The photon index ($\Gamma_{\text{wc}}$), the warm corona’s temperature, and the normalisation are considered as free parameters in all the observations. Then, \textit{nth\texttt{comp}\_\textit{hc}} and \textit{xillver\_\textit{cp}} are used to reproduce the high-energy emission of Mrk 359. The first model accounts for the primary Comptonised power law, the latter for its associated reflected component. Disc photons accounted by \textit{nth\texttt{comp}\_\textit{hc}} are assumed to arise from the same disc-like blackbody feeding the warm corona, thus we tied the $kT_b$ to the one in \textit{nth\texttt{comp}\_\textit{wc}}. To model the hot component, we fitted the primary photon index ($\Gamma_{\text{hc}}$), the electrons temperature $kT_{\text{hc}}$, and normalisation in all the observations. The normalisation of \textit{xillver\_\textit{cp}} is free for all the visits of the campaign, while the ionisation degree and the iron abundance are free to vary but tied among the different observations.

Such a procedure yields the data best fit ($\chi^2 = 1690$ for 1567 d.o.f.) shown in the left panel of Fig. 9. The contribution of the two coronae to the fit is shown in the right panel of Fig. 9. The best fit values for the parameters are reported in Table 3. The fit returns an iron abundance $A_{\text{Fe}} = 1.3 \pm 0.3$ and an ionisation parameter of log($\xi$/erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) $= 1.33 \pm 0.05$. Using the best fit values for the coronal temperature and the photon index, we derived the opacity of the two coronae.\footnote{In \textit{nhthcomp}, the medium opacity is related to the photon index $\Gamma$ of the asymptotic power law and to the temperature $\theta = kT_b/m_e c^2$ by the relation $\tau = 2.25 + 3(\theta \times (\Gamma + 0.5)^2 - 2.25)^{1/2} - 1.5$, where the subscript “e” refers to the electron.}

4.2.1. Tracing the hot corona

The hot corona is used to trace the temperature of the hot component. In the top panel of Fig. 10, we see that the hot corona temperature (\textit{nth\texttt{comp}\_\textit{hc}}) and the electron temperature $kT_{\text{hc}}$ are correlated. As expected, the hot corona is hotter in the hotter states of the source. In the bottom panel of Fig. 10, we see that the hot corona peak soft photon index ($\Gamma_{\text{wc}}$) is correlated with the lower limit for the coronal temperature (\textit{nth\texttt{comp}\_\textit{wc}}), being lower in the less bright states. For both coronae, we show the contours between the photon index $\Gamma_{\text{wc}}$ and the electron temperature $kT_{\text{wc}}$ in Fig. 11.
be consistent with what was previously found for the two-corona model. The current dataset does not provide any constrain on this temperature, and we set its value to 1.27(4) keV for the two components. The inclination is \( \sin^{-1}(0.27) \) for the current model.

### Notes

Normalisations are in units of photons keV\(^{-2}\) cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). \( ^{\ddagger} \)The parameters fitted but tied between the observations, while the corona opacities are derived from the best fit values.

#### 4.3. Broadband data: testing relativistic reflection

A weak or negligible broad component of the Fe Kα emission line may still allow for a prominent relativistic reflection continuum. For this reason, we investigated whether a blurred ionised reflection could be responsible for the soft excess in Mrk 359. Here, we modify the two-corona model presented in Sect. 4.2, replacing \( \text{nthcomp}_{\text{ac}} \) with \( \text{xillvercp} \) (Dauser et al. 2014, 2016). Such a model calculates a standard relativistic reflection spectrum resulting from a Comptonised continuum irradiating the accretion disc. Moreover, we account for the accretion disc emission including a \( \text{diskbb} \) component. Therefore, we use the following model:

\[
\text{reddex}\times \text{TBabs}\times \text{constx} \\
\times [\text{smallbb}+\text{diskbb}+\text{nthcomp}+\text{xillvercp}+\text{xillvercp}].
\]

**Table 3.** Best fit parameters for the two-corona model.

| \( \Delta \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} \) | Component | Parameter | Obs. 1 | Obs. 2 | Obs. 3 | Obs. 4 | Obs. 5 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1690/1567 | nthcomp\(_{\text{wc}}\) | \( \Gamma_{\text{wc}} \) | 2.46 ± 0.03 | 2.68 ± 0.03 | 2.48 ± 0.01 | 2.66 ± 0.03 | 2.69 ± 0.05 |
| | | \( kT_{\text{wc}} \) (keV) | 0.26 ± 0.02 | 0.25 ± 0.03 | 0.27 ± 0.01 | 0.38 ± 0.05 | 0.32 ± 0.07 |
| | | \( \tau_{\text{wc}} \) | 28.2 ± 1.1 | 25.1 ± 1.5 | 27.8 ± 0.7 | 21.4 ± 1.7 | 23.1 ± 2.1 |
| | | \( kT_{\text{bb}}^{(i)} \) (eV) | 3.2 ± 0.5 | | | | |
| | | Norm (\( \times 10^{-5} \)) | 5.5 ± 0.5 | 4.2 ± 0.6 | 5.4 ± 0.2 | 2.7 ± 0.4 | 1.7 ± 0.5 |
| | nthcomp\(_{\text{hc}}\) | \( \Gamma_{\text{hc}} \) | 1.84 ± 0.02 | 1.83 ± 0.02 | 1.88 ± 0.01 | 1.75 ± 0.03 | 1.84 ± 0.01 |
| | | \( kT_{\text{hc}} \) (keV) | >35 | >15 | >55 | >25 | >23 |
| | | Norm (\( \times 10^{-3} \)) | 1.63 ± 0.02 | 1.58 ± 0.05 | 1.72 ± 0.04 | 0.69 ± 0.05 | 1.14 ± 0.04 |
| | xillvercp | \( A_{\text{Fe}}^{(i)} \) | 1.3 ± 0.3 | | | | |
| | | \( \log \xi^{(i)} \) | 1.33 ± 0.05 | | | | |
| | | Norm (\( \times 10^{-5} \)) | 1.3 ± 0.2 | 1.2 ± 0.2 | 1.7 ± 0.2 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 1.5 ± 0.3 |

Notes. Normalisations are in units of photons keV\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). The parameters fitted but tied between the observations, while the corona opacities are derived from the best fit values.
\( \chi^2 / \text{d.o.f.} = 1834 / 1566 \). In Table 4, the fitted values are shown. The current model returns a slightly supersolar iron abundance \( A_{\text{Fe}} = 1.55 \pm 0.25 \), and a \( \log(\xi / \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}) = 2.72 \pm 0.04 \) is required to reproduce the source soft-excess. The model returns a disc inclination of \( i = 71 \pm 1 \) deg and the inner disc region is consistent with a few gravitational radii. Reflection due to distant matter is compatible with being constant and likely due to almost neutral material \( \log(\xi / \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}) = 0.15 \pm 0.12 \). The primary continuum can be described by a power law of which \( \Gamma \) varies between 1.92 and 2.03, while the electron temperature is \( kT > 140 \) keV. We notice that these photon indices are steeper and not consistent with those obtained in the two-corona model. Relxillcp also allows for a broken power-law emissivity profile of the primary continuum emission \( (q_2) \). However, the assumption of such a different emissivity profile has a negligible impact in terms of statistical quality. \( q_2 \) is found.

Different Relxill versions have been published (e.g. Dauser et al. 2016) and each of them accounts for a specific physical and geometrical corona-disc scenario. Therefore, we further tested the relativistic reflection scenario, replacing relxillcp with relxilllpcp, which accounts for a lamp-post corona-SMBH geometry. In this model, it is possible to fit the height \( h \) (in units of gravitational radii \( r_g \)) of the hot corona above the accretion disc, which is split into multiple zones, with each of them seeing a different incident spectrum due to relativistic energy shifts of the nuclear continuum. The fitting procedure is similar to the one described for the case of relxillcp, and we calculated the coronal height above the accretion disc by tying its value among the pointings. The fit returns \( h = 6.3 \pm 0.5 \) \( r_g \), but the corresponding statistical quality is worse with respect to the previous test as we find \( \chi^2 / \text{d.o.f.} = 1915 / 1567 \). The primary continuum is characterised by changes in the source spectral shape, and the obtained values are slightly steeper with respect to those previously computed with relxillcp. A sub-solar value of \( A_{\text{Fe}} = 0.55 \pm 0.05 \) is obtained. The ionisation parameter for the relativistic reflection component is \( \log(\xi / \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}) = 2.88 \pm 0.04 \), while for sillercta we get \( \log(\xi / \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}) = 0.03 \pm 0.01 \). The current fit returns a well-constrained disc inclination, though its value is extreme at \( i = 84 \pm 1 \) deg. As a last attempt, we left the corona height free to vary between the observations. However, no statistically significant variability of \( h \) is found.

Finally, we tested the high-density version of relxill, which is relxillID (Dauser et al. 2016, high density model in Table 4). Such a model allows for the density of the accretion disc to vary.
Table 4. Fitted values for the models tested in Sect. 4.3.

| Relxill version | χ²/d.o.f. | Component | Parameter      | Obs. 1       | Obs. 2       | Obs. 3       | Obs. 4       | Obs. 5       |
|-----------------|----------|-----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Standard        | 1834/1566| diskbb    | Tbb (×10³ keV) | 3.4 ± 0.5    | 6.5 ± 0.3    | 7.5 ± 0.4    | 6.2 ± 0.3    | 5.4 ± 0.4    |
|                 |          |           | Norm (×10⁸)    |              | 6.8 ± 0.3    | 7.5 ± 0.4    | 6.2 ± 0.3    | 5.4 ± 0.4    |
|                 |          |           | kTc            | >85          | >40          | >140         | >60          | >25          |
|                 |          |           | F               | 2.01 ± 0.01  | 1.95 ± 0.01  | 2.03 ± 0.01  | 1.92 ± 0.01  | 1.93 ± 0.01  |
|                 |          |           | r (deg)        | 69 ± 1       | >9.5         | 2.72 ± 0.04  | 1.55 ± 0.25  |              |
|                 |          |           | q               |              |              |              |              |              |
|                 |          |           | log ξ           | 6.3 ± 0.9    | 7.3 ± 0.7    | 4.0 ± 0.2    | 3.3 ± 0.4    |              |
|                 |          |           | AbF †           | 0.15 ± 0.12  |              |              |              |              |
|                 |          | xillvercp | Norm (×10⁻⁶)    | 2.4 ± 0.2    | 1.8 ± 0.1    | 2.3 ± 0.2    | 1.4 ± 0.3    | 1.5 ± 0.2    |
| lamp post       | 1915/1567| diskbb    | Tbb (×10³ keV) | 3.5 ± 0.5    | 5.6 ± 0.4    | 6.4 ± 0.5    | 5.8 ± 0.4    | 5.1 ± 0.4    |
|                 |          |           | Norm (×10⁸)    |              | 6.1 ± 0.4    | 6.4 ± 0.5    | 5.8 ± 0.4    | 5.1 ± 0.4    |
|                 |          |           | kTc            | >30          | >25          | >120         | >40          | >17          |
|                 |          |           | F               | 2.04 ± 0.01  | 1.99 ± 0.01  | 2.06 ± 0.01  | 1.95 ± 0.02  | 1.96 ± 0.01  |
|                 |          |           | r (deg)        | 84 ± 1       | >3           | >3           | >3           | >3           |
|                 |          |           | q               |              |              |              |              |              |
|                 |          |           | log ξ           | 3.8 ± 0.6    | 5.8 ± 1.0    | 2.7 ± 0.5    | 2.8 ± 1.2    |              |
|                 |          |           | AbF †           | 2.3 ± 0.1    | 1.9 ± 0.1    | 2.3 ± 0.1    | 1.5 ± 0.1    | 1.5 ± 0.1    |
| High density    | 1787/1567| diskbb    | Tbb (×10³ keV) | 3.8 ± 0.5    | 4.0 ± 0.4    | 4.5 ± 0.3    | 4.3 ± 0.3    | 3.7 ± 0.4    |
|                 |          |           | Norm (×10⁸)    |              | 4.4 ± 0.3    | 4.5 ± 0.3    | 4.3 ± 0.3    | 3.7 ± 0.4    |
|                 |          |           | kTc            | >85          | >35          | >105         | >40          | >22          |
|                 |          |           | F               | 2.03 ± 0.01  | 1.98 ± 0.01  | 2.05 ± 0.01  | 1.93 ± 0.02  | 1.94 ± 0.01  |
|                 |          |           | r (deg)        | 84 ± 1       | >1.7         | >1.7         | >1.6         | >2.2         |
|                 |          |           | q               | 2.15 ± 0.10  |              |              |              |              |
|                 |          |           | log ξ           | 1.80 ± 0.03  | 1.3 ± 0.1    | 1.3 ± 0.1    | 0.70 ± 0.08  |              |
|                 |          |           | AbF †           | 0.15 ± 0.2   |              |              |              |              |
|                 |          | xillvercp | Norm (×10⁻⁶)    | 1.63 ± 0.2   | 1.41 ± 0.2   | 1.67 ± 0.2   | 0.9 ± 0.2    |              |
|                 |          |           | log ξ           | 0.07 ± 0.01  |              |              |              |              |
|                 |          |           | AbF †           | 1.3 ± 0.1    |              |              |              |              |

Notes. Normalisations are in units of photons keV⁻¹ cm⁻² s⁻¹.

in the 15–19 range, where the standard version of the reflection code assumes this quantity to be \( \log(\rho/\text{cm}^3) = 15 \). However, in its current version, relxillD does not allow for a variable high-energy cut off that is assumed to be frozen at 300 keV. Once relxillcp had been replaced with its high-density counterpart, we fitted \( \rho \), tying its value between the different observations, while the other parameters were treated as in case of relxillcp. The fit to the data returns a disc-density value of \( \log(\rho/\text{cm}^3) = 18.05 ± 0.03 \), the other parameters being marginally affected by this new model and consistent within the errors with what was previously obtained, with the exception of the ionisation parameter for the relativistic component found to be \( \log(\xi/\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}) = 1.3 ± 0.1 \): much smaller than in previous fits. This model is better on statistical grounds (\( \chi^2 = 1789 \) for 1567 d.o.f.) than the previously tested reflection models. Also, for this modelling the iron abundance is sub-solar (\( \text{Fe} = 0.70 ± 0.08 \)). In this case, the corona emissivity is not compatible with what was previously estimated by relxillcp since the fit gives \( q = 2.15 ± 0.10 \) for this parameters, while an extreme disc inclination of \( i = 83 ± 1 \) deg is found.

The best fit parameters for all the models tested in this section are reported in Table 4. In accordance with our tests, relxillD provides the most satisfactory fit among the relativistic reflection models, though the emerging scenario is characterised by a large disc inclination.

5. Summary and conclusions

In this section, we first summarise the results of the present analysis, and then propose a possible scenario.

5.1. Results

We presented the UV-to-X-ray spectral characterisation of Mrk 359, the first discovered NLSy1. Data belong to a monitoring campaign of 5 × 50 ks XMM-Newton-NuSTAR simultaneous exposures taken every ~2 days, see Table 1. In the following, we highlight the main findings of this work:

- The Seyfert galaxy Mrk 359 varied on different timescales and spectral bands as shown in Fig. 4. In fact, amplitude changes up to a factor of ≤3 occurred in the XMM-Newton 0.3–2 and 2–10 keV bands, as well as in the harder NuSTAR bandpass. Interestingly, the XMM-Newton light curves
computed for the first three observations are a factor of ~3 higher with respect to the fourth and fifth pointings, and a similar behaviour seems to characterise the OMT UVm2 and UVW2 filters (see Fig. 1). The suggestive correlation between UV photons and the soft X-ray band is shown in Fig. 2, and this is in agreement with what is expected from the two-corona model. Hardness ratios between the 0.3–2 and 2–10 keV bands are weakly variable within the same pointing, though spectral changes are observed between the different exposures. The light curves shown in Fig. 4 are consistent with the “softer when brighter” behaviour (e.g. Bianchi et al. 2009; Sobolewska & Papadakis 2009; Serafinelli et al. 2017), meaning that harder spectral states correspond to lower fluxes.

- The Fvar spectra are in agreement with two variability states Mrk 359 underwent during the monitoring; observations 1, 2, and 3 are indeed characterised by smaller amplitude variability with respect to observations 4 and 5. Though limited in terms of S/N, the Fvar spectra may suggest that about the same amount of variability occurs over the different energy intervals. The only exception may be observations 4 and 5, for which the soft X-ray band seems to have larger Fvar values.

- We extracted the EPIC-pn light curves in different energy intervals (0.5–2, 2.5–5 and 8–10 keV) to investigate whether these light curves vary in concert. Such bands, in fact, are likely dominated by three different spectral components: the soft-excess, the primary continuum, and the reflected emission. Based on the Pearson cross-correlation analysis, we find that the strong correlation $P_{cc} = 0.91$, $P(r < 0) < 10^{-22}$ holds between the 0.5–2 and 2.5–5 light curves, while weaker correlation (also in term of statistics) characterises the light curves in the soft X-ray band and the 8–10 keV one ($P_{cc} = 0.42$, $P(r < 0) < 10^{-6}$). Such a result is not consistent with the scenario in which the soft excess is due to relativistic lines blurring, since the reflection component would be present in both energy bands and a correlation would be expected.

- Using the 2–10 keV band, we quantified the amount of variability in Mrk 359 computing the normalised excess variance (see Sect. 3 for details) that we also used it to estimate Mrk 359 SMBH mass. Following the prescriptions by Ponti et al. (2012), we found $M_{BH} = (3.6 \pm 1.4) \times 10^6 M_\odot$.

- The 3–79 keV spectra of Mrk 359 are well described by a power-law-like emission with a $\Gamma_{bc}$ varying between 1.75 and 1.88 and a high-energy cut off $E_c > 220$ keV, see Table 2. This primary continuum emission is accompanied by a neutral Fe Kα emission line ($E_W \sim 90$ eV). Such a feature has a width consistent with zero throughout the campaign (see Fig. 7), with no variability observed. NuSTAR data show a more constant behaviour during the campaign and are characterised by the Compton hump shown in Fig. 6. The flux of the reprocessed emission is constant during the monitoring, and the origin of this component is consistent with Compton-thick and cold matter (as commonly found in other Seyfert galaxies, see e.g. Bianchi et al. 2009; Cappi et al. 2016).

- We fit the broadband UV-to-X-ray emission spectrum of Mrk 359 with two different models: a two-corona model and relativistic reflection. The first model is favoured on statistical grounds and is characterised by a hot component ($kT_{bc} > 55$ keV, $\tau_{bc} < 4.3$), which accounts for the primary continuum, and a warmer one ($kT_{wc} \sim 0.3$ keV, $20 \leq \tau_{wc} \leq 29$) responsible for the UV and soft X-ray emission. The soft-excess can be ascribed to this latter component. Weak/strong spectral variability are observed on the hot/warm phase, as shown in Fig. 11.

The relativistic reflection code accounting for a variable density of the accretion disc, relxillD, provides the better fit among the various relxill versions (see also Fig. 12), though its $\chi^2$/d.o.f. is significantly larger than that of the two-corona model. It is also worth mentioning that the disc inclination angles obtained with all the relativistic reflection models are fairly extreme, and no evidence of such a large inclination exists for Mrk 359. This also weakens a possible relativistic origin of the soft excess in Mrk 359.

5.2. Two coronae in Mrk 359 and a possible physical scenario

Our analysis shows that the two-corona model is the one that best fits the data, so we discuss it in some detail. The evolution of the physical parameters (optical depth, temperature, photon index) of the two coronae can be used to derive a tentative scenario of the spectral behaviour of the source observed during the campaign.

Following Petrucci et al. (2020), we can estimate the amplification factors for the two coronae. We find $A_{cc} < 1.1$ and $A_{bc} \sim 7$ for the warm and hot coronas, respectively. The large amplification factor of the hot corona is commonly found in AGNs and is consistent with a scenario in which the seed photons that are Comptonised represent only a small fraction of the photons produced by the accretion disc. This supports geometries like a patchy corona, or a corona inside a truncated disc, or a corona on the SMBH axis (i.e. the so-called lamp-post model). On the other hand, in the case of the warm corona, the value of the temperature and spectral index agree with an amplification factor of ~1.1, which is consistent with the corona covering a quasi-passive accretion disc. This is shown in Fig. 13, where we report the hot and warm corona’s properties in the spectral photon index versus the temperature plane. This figure is similar
Now the strong dependence of the cooling equation-disc, could be a natural product of this evaporation process. The warm corona, at the surface of the accretions led by the sharp temperature gradient between the hot corona underneath, the evaporation process resulting from thermal instability of the two coronae are shown. The solid lines refer to the corona optical depth $\Gamma$ (red), the amplification factor A (in blue) and the minimal fraction (in %) of disc intrinsic emission (dark green). Warm corona points lie both below (observations 1, 2, and 3) and above (observations 4 and 5) the zero intrinsic-disc emission line, and this agrees with a change of radiative equilibrium for this component (see Petrucci et al. 2020, for a detailed discussion).

For each observation, $\Gamma$ and $kT$ of the two coronae are shown. The solid lines refer to the corona optical depth $\Gamma$ (red), the amplification factor A (in blue) and the minimal fraction (in %) of disc intrinsic emission (dark green). Warm corona points lie both below (observations 1, 2, and 3) and above (observations 4 and 5) the zero intrinsic-disc emission line, and this agrees with a change of radiative equilibrium for this component (see Petrucci et al. 2020, for a detailed discussion).

A tentative scenario to explain the observed spectral variability of Mrk 359 could be the following: assuming a hot corona/truncated-disc geometry where the outer disc is covered by a warm corona, the warm corona’s photons may then enter and cool the hot corona through Comptonisation. This is indeed supported by the suggestive correlation between the hard and soft X-ray flux reported in Fig. 14. We may also assume that the two flux regimes observed during the campaign are the result of a change in the accretion rate $\dot{m}$ (i.e. the accretion rate slightly decreases between the beginning and the end of the monitoring), and that this change of $\dot{m}$ goes along with a change of the truncation radius $R_{tr}$. In fact, such a relation between $\dot{m}$ and $R_{tr}$ is expected in the disc evaporation model developed by, for example, Meyer et al. (2000) and Różańska & Czerny (2000) (see also Czerny & Naddaf Moghaddam 2018, for a review). In this approach, this inner hot corona is fed by matter from the cool layers underneath, the evaporation process resulting from thermal instabilities led by the sharp temperature gradient between the hot corona and the outer disc. The warm corona, at the surface of the accretion disc, could be a natural product of this evaporation process. Now the strong dependence of the cooling efficiency on density leads to an anti-correlation between the accretion rate and the truncated radius. So, in this framework, the apparent decrease of the accretion rate during the campaign of Mrk 359 would go along with an increase of the truncation radius. This would mean that fewer photons coming from the outer accretion flow will enter and cool the hot corona, decreasing the cooling process, and resulting in a hardening of its spectrum as observed (see Fig. 10, bottom). The on the other hand, the decrease in the accretion rate and increase in the truncation radius will naturally decrease the intrinsic warm corona heating power, as well as the illumination from the hot corona. As a consequence, the warm-corona spectrum would soften in agreement with the observations (see Fig. 10, top). We note that this approach supposes small and local variation timescales of the accretion rate and the truncation radius. This is similar to the case of HE 1143-1810 (Ursini et al. 2020), an AGN that behaves similarly to Mrk 359 in X-rays. These timescales are much shorter (<day) than the viscous timescale needed to reach the ISCO (innermost stable circular orbit) from the truncation radius, and this agrees quite well with the variability timescales observed in our monitoring.

While this scenario appears to be in qualitative agreement with the observed spectral behaviour of Mrk 359, it is not entirely satisfactory. For example, it does not explain the apparent increase in the warm-corona temperature during the campaign (see Fig. 11), suggesting that other parameters are also playing a role. In any case, better constraints on the truncation radius are needed here to confirm or rule out the present interpretation, and high-resolution instruments that allow highly statistical analyses, like the X-ray Integral Field Unit of the Athena mission (Barret & Cappi 2019; Barret et al. 2020), will be crucial in this respect.
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![Fig. 13.](image) For each observation, $\Gamma$ and $kT$ of the two coronae are shown. The solid lines refer to the corona optical depth $\Gamma$ (red), the amplification factor A (in blue) and the minimal fraction (in %) of disc intrinsic emission (dark green). Warm corona points lie both below (observations 1, 2, and 3) and above (observations 4 and 5) the zero intrinsic-disc emission line, and this agrees with a change of radiative equilibrium for this component (see Petrucci et al. 2020, for a detailed discussion).

![Fig. 14.](image) Correlation between flux of the hot Comptonisation component in the 2–10 keV band and the soft one estimated in the 0.3–2 keV band. Fluxes are in units of $10^{-12}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$.
