1. INTRODUCTION

Elemental abundances in metal-poor Galactic halo stars are providing evidence of the earliest Galactic nucleosynthesis history and clues about the identities of the first stellar generations, the progenitors (or predecessors) of the halo stars. The neutron-capture (n-capture) elements, formed in slow (s-process) and rapid (r-process) neutron-capture nucleosynthesis, were synthesized in these first stars and later ejected into the interstellar medium and eventually incorporated into the halo stars (see recent reviews by Cowan & Thielemann 2004; Truran et al. 2002; Cowan & Sneden 2004). However, the large (and correlated) scatters of [Eu, Os, Ir, Pt/Fe] suggest that the heaviest neutron-capture r-process elements are not formed in all supernovae. In contrast, the Ge abundances of all program stars track their Fe abundances, very well. An explosive process on iron peak nuclei (e.g., the α-rich freezeout in supernovae), rather than neutron capture, appears to have been the dominant synthesis mechanism for this element at low metallicities: Ge abundances seem completely uncorrelated with Eu. The correlation (with very small scatter) of Ge and Fe abundances suggests that Ge must have been produced rather commonly in stars, even at early times in the Galaxy, over a wide range of metallicity. The Zr abundances show much the same behavior as Ge with (perhaps) somewhat more scatter, suggesting some variations in abundance with respect to Fe. The Zr abundances also do not vary cleanly with Eu abundances, indicating a synthesis origin different than that of heavier neutron-capture elements. Detailed abundance distributions for CS 22892—052 and BD +17°3248, combining the new elemental determinations for Os—Pt and recently published Nd and Ho measurements, show excellent agreement with the solar system r-process curve from the elements Ba to Pb. The lighter n-capture elements, including Ge, in general fall below the same solar system r-process curve that matches the heavier elements.
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2. OBSERVATIONS AND REDUCTIONS

High-resolution ultraviolet spectra were gathered with HST
STIS. The instrumental setup included the echelle grating E230M
centered at 2707 Å, an entrance aperture of 0.72 × 0.06, and the
near-UV MAMA detector. These components yielded spectra
in the wavelength range 2410 Å ≤ λ ≤ 3070 Å with a spectral
resolving power of R = λ/∆λ ≈ 30,000. One to five individual
spectra were obtained during the HST visit for each program star,
depending on the target brightness. The observing se-
quence was a standard routine that included target acquisition,
peak-up, and integration(s). No special calibration exposures
were needed.

Standard HST pipelines produced the one-dimensional, flat-
fielded, wavelength-calibrated spectra from the individual stellar
integrations. Algorithms implemented in the IRAF8 software sys-
tem were then used to reformat these spectrum files into standard
FITS spectral images and to combine the integrations to produce
final spectra. The signal-to-noise ratios (S/Ns) of the spectra were
then used to reformat these spectrum files into standard
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1. T eff−When possible, effective temperatures were taken
from Alonso et al. (1999, 2001), who give values for their cal-
ibration stars (which include HD 6755, HD 122563, HD 122956,
HD 186478, and HD 221170). These temperatures were then
checked against the spectroscopic constraint that the equivalent
widths (EWs) of Fe i lines be uncorrelated with the excitation
potentials of the lines. The Alonso et al. (1999, 2001) tempera-
tures for those stars were all consistent with that requirement.
Effective temperatures were calculated for the remaining stars
(HD 6268, HD 115444, HD 126587, and HD 175305) with the
Alonso et al. (1999, 2001) calibrations for infrared color indices.
The IR colors were taken from the Two Micron All Sky Survey
(2MASS) database, as transformed to a system consistent with
Alonso et al. (1999, 2001; see Simmerer et al. 2004, their § 3.3.1).

2. Surface gravity.—Parameter log g may be derived from
the standard relation involving

T eff, absolute magnitude, and mass (e.g., Simmerer et al. 2004). Most giant stars are too distant to have a well-determined parallax (and hence absolute magnitude), so we used the

M V−derived by Anthony-Twarog & Twarog (1994)

for HD 122563, HD 122956, HD 186476, and HD 221170. The
surface gravity for HD 6775 was calculated from its Hipparcos
parallax (Perryman et al. 1997), as this star is close enough to
have a well-determined value (although the Hipparcos distance
is consistent with that of Anthony-Twarog & Twarog 1994). The
derived gravities were then checked spectroscopically by re-
quiring that the abundances of Fe from Fe i and Fe II be essen-
tially equal (see Thevenin & Idiart 1999). The Anthony-Twarog
& Twarog (1994) values for these stars all met that criterion. The
remaining four stars also had distance estimates from Anthony-
Twarog & Twarog (1994), which we used to calculate surface
gravities.

3. Metallicity.—A small list of Fe i and Fe II lines was used to
check the derived stellar effective temperatures and surface
gravities. This list was also used to assess the

[Fe/H] metallicity. As per the discussion in Thevenin & Idiart (1999), the Fe ion-
ization equilibrium may not be correctly described by the LTE Saha formula. We therefore report both

[Fe i/H] and

[Fe ii/H] abundances in Table 1. Note that Thevenin & Idiart (1999) con-
sidered relatively high gravity stars, and a thorough investigation
of non-LTE effects in low-gravity, low–metallicity stars has yet to
be published. Examples of attempts in this area include those of
Gratton et al. (1999) and Korn (2004). These authors suggest that
non-LTE departures in abundances for stars of interest here may not be large, but the uncertainties in such analyses do not permit
definitive conclusions to be reached on this issue.

The metallicity of the model atmosphere usually was set
0.25 dex higher than the derived Fe abundance to account for ad-
tional opacity from the α−capture elements (whose abundances
are enhanced at low metallicities). The final

X/Fe

−capture elements (whose abundances

were calculated with

[Fe/H] for neutral species and with

[Fe/H]

for ions. For HD 6268, HD 115444, HD 1265887, and HD 175305, we used the

[Fe/H] reported by Burris et al. (2000) and then applied the offsets found by Simmerer et al. (2004) to recover Fe i and Fe II abundances. This results in an offset of +0.04 dex (for

Fe II) and −0.08 dex (for Fe i) from the

[Fe/H] found in Burris

et al. (2000).

4. Microturbulence.—Parameter

v t was set by requiring that

log (EW/λ)

be uncorrelated with the abundance for Fe i lines. Microturbulence values for the four stars not included in Simmerer
et al. (2004) were set to 2.0 km s

−1, a typical value for stars in this

T eff, log g domain.

8 IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
3.2. Transition Data

In cool stellar atmospheres, germanium, osmium, iridium, and platinum can only be detected in their neutral species, whose strongest transitions occur in the UV spectral region (\( \lambda < 3500 \) Å). Such UV lines are the sole abundance indicators of these elements in metal-poor stars. Spectra in this wavelength domain are extremely complex mixes of overlapping atomic and molecular features. No completely unblended features of Ge\( _i \), Os\( _i \), and Pt\( _i \) exist at the spectral resolution of our HST STIS data. Therefore, synthetic spectrum computations were employed in all of the abundance determinations of this paper.

Construction of atomic and molecular line lists has been discussed in several of our previous papers; see Sneden et al. (1996, 2003) and Cowan et al. (2002) for the application to r-process–rich metal-poor giant stars. Sneden et al. (1998) describe in detail and show illustrative spectra of several of the UV features that are employed here. Briefly, we combined laboratory data for the lines of interest with data for other atomic and molecular hydrides features taken from the Kurucz (1999)\(^9\) database to form the initial line lists. Then repeated syntheses of the solar spectrum (Delbouille et al. 1973)\(^10\) for \( \lambda > 3000 \) Å and of the spectrum of the very metal-poor, n-capture–deficient giant star HD 122563 (obtained as part of this program) were used to refine the often poorly known transition probabilities of the atomic contaminants. The line strengths of molecular hydrides (predominantly OH, less often CH and NH) were varied together by changing the CNO abundances of the individual stars until acceptable matches with the observed spectra are obtained.

In this procedure, no alterations were permitted to the laboratory data for the Ge\( _i \), Os\( _i \), Ir\( _i \), and Pt\( _i \) lines. Here we comment on the lines of each species employed in this survey and the sources of their laboratory data.

Germanium.—The best germanium abundance indicator is the Ge\( _i \) \( \lambda 3039 \) 07 line. Following the discussion of Cowan et al. (2002), we adopted the Biémont et al. (1999) \( gf \)-value. As Cowan et al. (2002) noted, two other strong Ge\( _i \) lines at 2651.17 and 2651.57 Å can be detected in the spectra of our program stars. Unfortunately, they comprise parts of a large blended absorption feature stretching over \( \sim 2 \) Å, and we could not derive reliable germanium abundances from these lines. Their line strengths do roughly correlate with that of the \( \lambda 3039 \) line; probably they could become useful transitions with higher resolution (\( R \approx 60,000 \)) spectra.

Osmium.—We analyzed the Os\( _i \) \( \lambda \lambda 2838.63 \) and 3058.18 lines, the same ones used in our original HST Goddard High Resolution Spectrograph (GHIRS) study of osmium in metal-poor giants (Sneden et al. 1998). Spectra of these two lines are displayed in that paper’s Figure 2. We also added the line at 3301.57 Å, which has been shown to be a reliable Os\( _i \) abundance indicator in r-process–rich stars (Cowan et al. 2002; Sneden et al. 2003). Transition probabilities for the \( \lambda \lambda 3058 \) and 3301 lines were adopted from the recent laboratory analysis of Ivarsson et al. (2003): \( \log (gf_{3058}) = -0.45 \) and \( \log (gf_{3301}) = -0.74 \). These values are very close to those used in our previous studies (\(-0.43\) and \(-0.75\), respectively; Kwiatkowski et al. 1984). No recent laboratory study of the Os\( _i \) \( \lambda \lambda 2838 \) line exists, so we adopted the value used by Sneden et al. (1998): \( \log (gf_{3058}) = +0.11 \), from Corliss & Bozman (1962) scaled to the Kwiatkowski et al. (1984) lifetime system. None of the osmium lines are strong in the program star spectra, obviating the need for hyperfine and isotopic substructure calculations.

Iridium.—We used the same Ir\( _i \) transitions as did Cowan et al. (2002): \( \lambda \lambda 3220.76, 3513.65, \) and 3800.12. The original study employed \( gf \)-values based on the lifetime measurements of Gough et al. (1983) and branching ratios from Corliss & Bozman (1962). Happily, Ivarsson et al. (2003) also have provided transition probabilities for two of the lines: \( \log (gf_{3513}) = -1.21 \) and \( \log (gf_{3800}) = -1.44 \), which are in excellent agreement with the older values of \(-1.26\) and \(-1.45\), respectively. We adopted the Ivarsson et al. (2003) values for these lines (see further remarks in the Appendix) and \( \log (gf_{3220}) = -0.52 \) for the third line (Gough et al. 1983).

Platinum.—Den Hartog et al. (2005) have recently completed a new laboratory transition probability analysis of Pt\( _i \); their values are adopted here. That study also searched for the cleanest and strongest lines in our program star BD +17\degree 3248, originally studied in detail by Cowan et al. (2002). This halo giant star was

### Table 1

| Star      | \( T_{\text{eff}} \) | \( \log g \) | \( \nu \) | [Fe \( _i /H \)] | [Fe \( ii /H \)] | References |
|----------|----------------------|-------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| HD 6268  | 4685                 | 1.50        | 2.00    | -2.42           | -2.36           | 1          |
| HD 6755  | 5105                 | 2.95        | 2.50    | -1.68           | -1.57           | 2          |
| HD 115444| 4720                 | 1.75        | 2.00    | -2.90           | -2.71           | 2          |
| HD 122563| 4570                 | 1.35        | 2.90    | -2.72           | -2.61           | 2          |
| HD 122956| 4510                 | 1.55        | 1.60    | -1.95           | -1.69           | 2          |
| HD 126878| 4795                 | 1.95        | 2.00    | -2.93           | -2.81           | 1          |
| HD 175305| 5040                 | 2.85        | 2.00    | -1.48           | -1.36           | 1          |
| HD 186478| 4600                 | 1.45        | 2.00    | -2.56           | -2.44           | 2          |
| HD 221170| 4400                 | 1.10        | 1.70    | -2.35           | -2.03           | 2          |
| BD +17\degree 3248 | 5200 | 1.80        | 1.90    | -2.08           | -2.10           | 3          |
| CS 22892–052 | 4800 | 1.50        | 1.95    | -3.10           | -3.09           | 4          |

References.—(1) Derived in the same manner as the model atmospheres of Simmerer et al. 2004; (2) Simmerer et al. 2004; (3) Cowan et al. 2002; (4) Sneden et al. 2003.
considered the most favorable case for Pt i detections, as it combines low metallicity ([Fe/H] = −2.1), large n-capture/Fe abundance ratios (e.g., [Eu/Fe] = +0.9), relatively high temperature (Teff = 5200 K, which results in substantially weakened molecular contaminating features), and excellent high-resolution spectra in hand from 2500 to 7000 Å. Of the 127 Pt i lines with newly determined gf-values, Den Hartog et al. (2005) found only 11 useful features for their platinum abundance study of BD +17°3248 and recommended only the relatively unblended lines at 2646.68, 2659.45, and 2929.79 Å for application to other metal-poor stars. Those lines were employed in the present study.

Hyperfine and isotopic splitting of Pt i transitions must be taken into account because the stronger lines can be on the damping part of the curve of growth. Platinum has six naturally occurring isotopes existing in solar system percentages of 0.8% (192Pt), 32.9% (194Pt), 33.8% (195Pt), 25.3% (196Pt), and 7.2% (198Pt). The total isotopic splitting for some Pt i lines can be as large as ±0.03 Å. Additionally, the 195Pt lines split into three to four hyperfine structure components that can have total separations of as much as ±0.09 Å. Wavelengths and gf-values of the resulting total of eight to nine transition subcomponents have been taken from Table 7 of Den Hartog et al. (2005). The solar isotopic mix has been adopted in all calculations. In principle, s- and r-process n-capture nucleosynthesis could produce different isotopic platinum mixes. However, this element is a nearly pure r-process element in solar system material (95%, in the most recent breakdown of Simmerer et al. 2004). Therefore, adoption of the solar system platinum isotopic abundances is appropriate for the generally r-process–rich stars of the present sample

Lanthanum and europium.—These two rare earth elements (Z = 57 and 63, respectively), often employed in investigations of the r- and s-processes in the early Galaxy, were employed here to compare with the newly determined very light and very heavy n-capture elements. Six of our program stars were included in the Simmerer et al. (2004) La and Eu survey, and their abundances are adopted here. For stars HD 126587 and HD 175305 we have ground-based Keck I HIRES spectra, so we used synthetic spectrum computations, with the model atmospheres described above and the line lists of Simmerer et al. (2004), to derive these abundances from the La ii λ3998.51, 3995.75, 4086.71, 4123.22, and 4333.75 and the Eu ii λ3513.65, 3513.97, 3513.91, 3513.79, and 3513.04 transitions. For BD +17°3248 and CS 22892−052, we adopted the La and Eu abundances of Cowan et al. (2002) and Sneden et al. (2003), respectively. The only star unavailable to us for these elements was HD 6268. We chose to employ the abundances of Burris et al. (2000) rather than the more recent study of Honda et al. (2004) because the model atmosphere used in our study has nearly the same parameters as those of Burris et al. (2000).

3.3. Abundances of Ge, Zr, Os, and Pt

Abundances were derived from synthetic/observed spectrum matches for one line of Ge i, four lines of Zr ii, and three lines each of Os i, Ir i, and Pt i. The abundances from individual lines are listed in Table 2, and the mean abundances are in Table 3. The low-metallicity halo giant star HD 165195 (Teff = 4235 K, log g = 0.8, [Fe/H] = −2.4; Simmerer et al. 2004) was originally observed as part of our HST STIS program, but in the end it was discarded. Its temperature is about 300 K lower than any of the other stars, producing a very strong lined UV spectrum in spite of its low metallicity. No reliable abundances could be determined for this star.

Very few transitions have been used in the derivation of each element’s abundance. All lines are at least partially blended in our program stars, and many are weak features. Therefore, our mean abundance estimates given in Table 3 have been computed according to the following rules. Uncertain abundances from individual lines (designated with colons in Table 2) have been given equal weight with other abundances. For a couple of stars where only upper limits could be determined for some elements, the lowest values for the upper limits have been quoted in Table 3. For Os i, the 22838 line is given half-weight; it may be blended with an unidentified contaminant, since in the strongest lined stars it often yields a much larger abundance than do the λλ3058 and 3301 lines.

Each of the three Ir i lines has some blending issues that can limit the iridium abundance reliability. The λ3800.12 line is always very weak in the program stars, and it lies in the large wing of the H i λ3797.9 Balmer line. The λ3513.65 line is stronger, but unfortunately it is sandwiched in between the very strong Co i λ3513.49 and Fe i λ3513.83 lines; see Figure 1 (bottom panel) of Sneden et al. (2000) for the appearance of this feature in CS 22892−052. However, iridium abundances derived from syntheses of these two lines are well correlated in all program stars. The 32260.76 line should be the intrinsically strongest transition. However, attempts to synthesize this feature with inclusion of only Ir i absorption yielded iridium abundances that were 0.3–0.4 dex larger than the means of the abundances derived from the λλ3513 and 3800 lines. Additionally, the observed feature appears to be significantly contaminated by another absorber at approximately 3220.72 Å, which could be an Fe i line listed in the Kurucz (1995) database. We arbitrarily set the gf-value of this line to a value that provided a reasonable total fit to the overall feature in typical program stars, but the derived iridium abundance uncertainties here remain large, so the λ3220 line abundance is entered at half-weight into the final averages.

The Pt i λ2646 line also appears to be significantly blended in the stronger lined stars, compared to the λλ2659 and 2909 lines. It too is entered into the means with half-weight.

3.4. Uncertainties

In much of our previous work on n-capture–rich stars we have concentrated on the rare earth elements, 57 ≤ Z ≤ 72. Nearly all detectable transitions of these elements in cool stars arise from low excitation levels of the first ions. Since the ionization potentials of the rare earth elements are relatively low (5.5–6.8 eV), these elements exist almost exclusively as the first ions. In LTE the Boltzmann and Saha factors are essentially the same for all rare earth transitions, leading to almost zero sensitivity to Teff and log g in the element-to-element abundance ratios (see, e.g., Table 3 of Westin et al. 2000).

Most of the species of the present study arise from low excitation levels of the neutral atoms. Therefore, abundance intercomparisons among Ge, Os, Ir, and Pt also have little sensitivity to model atmosphere parameters. Linking these abundances to those of the rare earth elements, however, involves a neutral versus first ion comparison. The average first ionization potential, ⟨IP(Ge, Os, Ir, Pt)⟩ ≈ 8.5 eV, is significantly larger than the values for most Fe peak elements. Thus, these elements exist substantially as Ge i, Os i, Ir i, and Pt i. Their responses to changes in atmospheric parameters are different than those of Fe peak neutral species. Repeated computations with variations in Teff, log g, [M/H], and vT for these elements, as well as for typical Fe i and Eu ii lines, yielded the following average abundance variations (rounding to the nearest 0.05 dex) in the atmospheric parameter domain of our program stars. For 8Teff = ±150 K, δ[Fe/H] ≈ ±0.15, δ[Eu/H] ≈ ±0.10, δ[Ge, Os, Ir, Pt/H] ≈ ±0.20, or δ[Eu/Fe] ≈ ±0.05 and δ[Ge, Os, Ir, Pt/Fe] ≈ ±0.05.
| \( \lambda \) (Å) | Species | Excitation Potential (eV) | log \((gf)\) | HD 6268 | HD 6755 | HD 115444 | HD 122563 | HD 122956 | HD 126587 | HD 175305 | HD 186478 | HD 221170 | BD +17°3248 | CS 22892–052 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3039.07| Ge i | 0.88 | -0.04 | +0.32 | +1.08 | -0.07 | -0.16 | +0.84 | +0.03 | +1.28 | +0.35 | +0.69 | +0.46 | <0.2 |
| 3036.39* | Zr ii | 0.56 | -0.42 | +0.31 | +0.92 | -0.13 | +0.05 | +0.96 | -0.13 | +1.17 | +0.49 | +1.08 | +0.61 | ... |
| 3036.51* | Zr ii | 0.53 | -0.60 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 3054.84 | Zr ii | 1.01 | +0.18 | +0.46 | +1.02 | -0.08 | ... | +1.2 | -0.13 | +1.32 | +0.44 | ... | +0.71 | +0.15 |
| 3060.12 | Zr ii | 0.04 | -1.37 | +0.46 | +1.07 | -0.08 | +0.10 | +0.91 | < -0.4 | +1.17 | +0.69 | ... | +0.71 | +0.30 |
| 3061.33 | Zr ii | 0.10 | -1.38 | +0.3 | +1.02 | -0.5 | +0.03 | +0.91 | < -0.4 | +1.22 | +0.19 | +0.68 | +0.51 | +0.50 |
| 2838.63 | Os i | 0.64 | +0.11 | -0.5 | +0.32 | -0.6 | < -0.8 | -0.14 | -0.6 | +0.52 | -0.6 | -0.17 | +0.45 | ... |
| 3058.65 | Os i | 0.00 | -0.4 | -0.5 | +0.45 | -0.75 | < -1.2 | -0.04 | < -0.7 | +0.67 | -0.46 | -0.15 | +0.30 | +0.05 |
| 3301.57 | Os i | 0.00 | -0.74 | ... | ... | -0.58 | < -1.0 | -0.09 | -0.78 | +0.32 | -0.41 | ... | +0.4 | +0.02 |
| 3220.78 | Ir i | 0.35 | -0.52 | ... | ... | -0.88 | < -1.3 | -0.17 | -1.08 | +0.52 | -0.71 | ... | +0.25 | +0.25 |
| 3513.65 | Ir i | 0.00 | -1.21 | ... | ... | -0.48 | < -0.9 | -0.02 | -0.78 | +0.47 | -0.51 | ... | +0.25 | -0.10 |
| 3800.12 | Ir i | 0.00 | -1.44 | ... | ... | -0.78 | < -1.3 | -0.02 | -1.08 | +0.42 | -0.71 | ... | +0.20 | -0.15 |
| 2646.88 | Pt i | 0.00 | -0.79 | -0.10 | +0.7 | ... | ... | ... | -0.33 | +1.07 | +0.2 | +0.98 | +0.62 | ... |
| 2659.45 | Pt i | 0.00 | -0.03 | +0.01 | +0.2 | -0.48 | < -1.3 | +0.3 | -0.83 | +0.37 | 0.0 | +0.7 | +0.42 | ... |
| 2929.79 | Pt i | 0.00 | -0.70 | +0.01 | +0.57 | -0.48 | < -1.3 | +0.46 | -0.78 | +0.77 | -0.16 | +0.58 | +0.52 | +0.2 |

* The two Zr ii lines at 3036 Å form one blended feature.
licity through variations of this model parameter by

\[
\text{Ir}/\text{C6}
\]

Given these dependencies, the total influence of atmospheric parameter uncertainties in the abundance ratios of Ge, Os, Ir, and Pt to Fe or Eu is \(\approx \pm 0.20\). Adding in typical uncertainties of fit in matching synthetic and observed spectra of \(\pm 0.15\) yields total suggested abundance uncertainties of \(\approx 0.25\). However, abundance ratios taken simply among Ge, Os, Ir, and Pt should be more reliable, since they all arise from low-excitation states of the neutral species. In particular, the very low relative abundances of Ge to the Os-Ir-Pt group or to Fe cannot be explained away from atmospheric parameter uncertainties.

The Zr abundances have been derived from low-excitation ionized species transitions and thus can be compared directly to Eu abundances with little concern about model atmosphere uncertainties. This element has been studied in many of our stars using Zr \(\text{ii}\) lines at longer wavelengths. In Table 4 we

| Star                  | Ge   | Zr   | Os  | Ir   | Pt   | La   | Eu   | References |
|-----------------------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| Sun                   | +3.60| +2.60| +1.41| +1.36| +1.70| +1.16| +0.52| 1           |
| HD 6268               | +0.32| +0.38| -0.53| ...  | -0.01| -0.93| -1.33| 2           |
| HD 6755               | +1.08| +1.01| +0.4 | ...  | +0.45| -0.29| -0.50| 3           |
| HD 115444             | -0.07| -0.20| -0.65| -0.68| -0.48| -1.35| -1.61| 3           |
| HD 122563             | -0.16| -0.06| < -1.2| < -1.3| < -1.3| < -1.3| < -1.3| 3           |
| HD 122956             | +0.84| +1.00| -0.08| -0.05| +0.38| -0.48| -0.79| 3           |
| HD 126587             | +0.03| ...  | -0.71| -0.96| -0.71| -1.70| -1.89| 4           |
| HD 175305             | +1.28| +1.22| +0.50| +0.46| +0.67| -0.06| -0.29| 4           |
| HD 186478             | +0.35| +0.45| -0.43| -0.63| -0.02| -1.29| -1.50| 3           |
| HD 221170             | +0.69| +0.88| -0.16| ...  | +0.48| -0.72| -0.85| 3           |
| BD +17\text{3248}    | +0.46| +0.64| +0.37| +0.23| +0.50| -0.42| -0.67| 5           |
| CS 22892–052         | < -0.2| +0.32| +0.04| -0.05| +0.20| -0.84| -0.95| 6           |

| Star                  | Ge   | Zr   | Os  | Ir   | Pt   | La   | Eu   | References |
|-----------------------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| Sun                   | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1           |
| HD 6268               | -0.86| +0.14| +0.49| ...  | +0.71| +0.26| +0.52| 2           |
| HD 6755               | -0.84| -0.02| +0.67| ...  | +0.43| +0.12| +0.55| 3           |
| HD 115444             | -0.77| -0.10| +0.84| +0.86| +0.72| +0.20| +0.58| 3           |
| HD 122563             | -0.94| +0.07| < +0.1| < +0.1| < -0.3| < -0.90| < -0.50| 3           |
| HD 122956             | -0.81| +0.09| +0.46| +0.54| +0.63| +0.05| +0.38| 3           |
| HD 126587             | -0.64| ...  | +0.81| +0.61| +0.52| -0.05| +0.40| 4           |
| HD 175305             | -0.84| -0.02| +0.57| +0.58| +0.45| +0.14| +0.55| 4           |
| HD 186478             | -0.69| +0.29| +0.68| +0.57| +0.84| -0.01| +0.42| 3           |
| HD 221170             | -0.56| +0.31| +0.78| ...  | +1.13| +0.15| +0.66| 3           |
| BD +17\text{3248}    | -1.06| +0.14| +1.04| +0.95| +0.88| +0.52| +0.91| 5           |
| CS 22892–052         | < -0.7| +0.81| +1.73| +1.69| +1.60| +1.09| +1.62| 6           |

**Notes.**—In the top section, La and Eu are taken from the references listed below. In the bottom section the \(\log \varepsilon\) values derived from Ge, Os, Ir, and Pt are referenced to the Fe \(\varepsilon\) values of Table 1, while those from Zr, La, and Eu are referenced to Fe \(\varepsilon\).

**References.**—(1) McWilliam et al. 1995; (2) Honda et al. 2004; (3) Fulbright 2000; (4) Westin et al. 2000; (5) Johnson 2002; (6) Cowan et al. 2002; (7) Sneden et al. 2003.

| Star                  | HST  | Keck | Burris | Others | References |
|-----------------------|------|------|--------|--------|-------------|
| HD 6268               | +0.14| ...  | +0.32  | +0.17  | +0.12 | 1, 2     |
| HD 6755               | -0.02| ...  | +0.07  | +0.08  |        | 3        |
| HD 115444             | -0.10| +0.05| ...    | +0.18  | +0.37  | +0.26 | 2, 4, 5  |
| HD 122563             | +0.07| -0.08| +0.31  | -0.03  | -0.08  | +0.18  | +0.03 | 2, 3, 4, 5|
| HD 122956             | +0.09| +0.13| +0.16  |        | +0.27  |        | 3        |
| HD 126587             | -0.09| +0.10| ...    | +0.33  | +0.12  |        | 2, 5     |
| HD 175305             | -0.02| +0.14| +0.10  |        | +0.16  |        | 3        |
| HD 186478             | +0.29| +0.26| +0.40  | +0.35  | +0.28  | +0.29  | 1, 2, 5  |
| HD 221170             | +0.31| ...  | +0.35  |        | +0.12  |        | 3        |
| BD +17\text{3248}    | +0.14| +0.50| +0.27  | +0.26  | +0.25  |        | 5, 6     |
| CS 22892–052         | +0.81| +0.73| -0.79  | +0.61  | +0.60  | +0.73  | 1, 2, 7  |

**References.**—(1) McWilliam et al. 1995; (2) Honda et al. 2004; (3) Fulbright 2000; (4) Westin et al. 2000; (5) Johnson 2002; (6) Cowan et al. 2002; (7) Sneden et al. 2003.
summarize the comparisons between our \( HST \)-based \( Zr \) abundances and other results. For the present study, \( Zr \) abundances have been derived from synthetic/observed spectrum matches to the \( Zr \) lines at 3998.96, 4050.33, 4090.51, 4208.98, and 4496.97 Å appearing in our Keck HIRES-I data. For nine stars with both UV and visible-wavelength spectra, we derive \( \delta[Zr/Fe] = -0.08 \pm 0.06 (\sigma = 0.17) \), where \( \delta[Zr/Fe] \) is in the sense \( HST \) STIS minus Keck I HIRES. The survey of Burris et al. (2000) has eight stars in common with the present work, for which we derive \( \delta[Zr/Fe] = -0.08 \pm 0.06 (\sigma = 0.17) \), in the sense this study minus Burris et al. (2000). Some individual stars have been subjected to very detailed analyses. Inspection of Table 4 suggests that the \( HST \) STIS \( Zr \) abundances are systematically lower than most visible-wavelength literature values by \( \sim 0.1 \) dex. This offset cannot be pursued further here because modern laboratory \( gf \) studies of the UV lines of \( Zr \) II have yet to be accomplished.

4. DISCUSSION

Our new observations—\( HST \) STIS spectra of the elements Ge, \( Zr \), Os, and Pt and Keck I HIRES spectra of Ir—allow us to make \( n \)-capture abundance comparisons among the sample of metal-poor Galactic halo stars. We have also incorporated these new detections with previously determined values for other elements to obtain detailed \( n \)-capture elemental abundance distributions for the well-studied and \( r \)-process–rich stars CS 22892–052 and BD +17°3248.

In Figure 1 the entire abundance set is summarized by plotting relative abundance ratios \( [El/Fe] \) versus \( [Fe/H] \) metallicities. A rough progression of increasing abundance ratio with increasing atomic number is evident: large deficiencies of the light \( n \)-capture element Ge in all program stars, weak or no enhancements of the intermediate-mass element \( Zr \) in all stars except the extreme \( r \)-process–rich star CS 22892–052, and large overabundances of the heaviest elements Os, Ir, and Pt in all stars except the \( r \)-process–poor star HD 122563. A similar conclusion may be seen in Figure 2, in which we show the observed spectra of three \( r \)-process abundance levels: HD 122563 (\( r \)-process–poor), HD 115444 (\( r \)-process–enhanced), and CS 22892–052 (extremely \( r \)-process–rich). The Pt line strengths agree well with the general progression of relative \( r \)-process abundance levels. The Ge I line strengths are essentially uncorrelated with them.
moderate-sample systematic study of the heaviest stable \(n\)-capture elements and to compare them with the \(n\)-capture element Eu, which is synthesized almost entirely in the \(r\)-process.

We make direct comparisons of Os, Ir, and Pt abundances with Eu in the three panels of Figure 3. While the ratios \([El/Fe]\) shown in Figure 1 all indicate substantial overabundances of these three elements, the comparisons to Eu in Figure 3 demonstrate the clearly correlated abundance behavior of Eu, Os, Ir, and Pt. The very small deviations from \([El/Eu] = 0.0\) indicated by the solid horizontal lines of each panel \(((Os/Eu)] = +0.15, \sigma = 0.12; [Ir/Eu]) = +0.13, \sigma = 0.09; and [Pt/Eu]) = +0.13, \sigma = 0.20) suggest that the solar system \(r\)-process abundance distribution is mimicked in our sample of (and possibly all) \(r\)-process–rich stars born in the early Galactic halo. We regard the mean \(\sim 0.15\) dex offset as observationally indistinguishable from \([El/Eu] = 0.0\); see the uncertainty discussion of \(\S\) 3.3. These very heavy element abundance comparisons strongly suggest a similar synthesis origin for Eu, Os, Ir, and Pt in the \(r\)-process sites that were the progenitors to the observed halo stars.

We also want to note the La/Eu ratios listed in Table 3 (see also the discussion in \(\S\) 3.2). In solar system material La (dominantly a \(s\)-process element; see Simmerer et al. 2004) is more abundant than the \(r\)-process element Eu. As is seen in the data compiled in Table 3, however, \([La/Eu]) = -0.4\), clearly indicating that all of the stars in our sample are \(r\)-process–rich. (See Simmerer et al. 2004 for further discussion of the synthesis of La in these stars.)

4.2. The Light \(n\)-Capture Elementals Germanium and Zirconium

In Figure 4 we plot \([Ge/H]\) values with respect to the traditional metallicity indicators \([Fe/H]\). It is easy to see that the Ge abundances scale with metallicity but at a depressed (with respect to solar) level; \([Ge/H]) = [Fe/H] - 0.79 \pm 0.04 (\sigma = 0.14). Further supporting this interpretation are abundance comparisons of Ge with respect to the \(n\)-capture element Eu that we illustrate in Figure 5. If Ge and Eu were correlated, the abundances would fall along the straight (diagonal) line illustrated in the figure. Obviously the abundances of Ge seem to be uncorrelated with those of the \(r\)-process element Eu. In fact, \([Ge/Fe]\) for the \(r\)-process–poor star HD 122563 is comparable to the values found for \(r\)-process–rich (i.e., Eu) stars, including the upper limit for CS 22892–052. While \(n\)-capture processes are important for Eu production in solar system material (e.g., Simmerer et al. 2004), these abundance comparisons immediately suggest a different origin for this element early in the history of the Galaxy.

Our abundance data appear to be more consistent with an explosive (or charged particle) synthesis for Ge. This might occur as a result of capture on iron peak nuclei, perhaps during the so-called \(\alpha\)-rich freezeout in a supernova environment. However, calculations to date (Nakamura et al. 1999; Hoffman et al. 2001;
the nucleosynthetic origin of this element is different than that recently discussed in more detail by Travaglio et al. (2004). Their different synthesis origin for these two elements, something represented by the [Zr/Fe] is substantially higher than in, for example, HD 122563. The primary process is also responsible for some fraction of the synthesis of Sr and Y. We note finally that in addition to our abundance determination for CS 22892–052, the halo giant CS 31081–001 (the Hill et al. [2002] “uranium” star) also has very enhanced [Eu/Fe] and [Zr/Fe]. It may be that in these very r-process–enhanced stars, this kind of n-capture nucleosynthesis contribution overwhelms the light primary process proposed by Travaglio et al. (2004), perhaps as a result of fission recycling. We note that the relative constancy of [Zr/Fe] with both [Fe/H] and [Eu/Fe] supports the conclusion of Johnson & Bolte (2002), based on the constancy of the ratio [Y/Zr] with respect to [Zr/Fe] and [Ba/Fe], that the source of Zr in metal-poor stars must be the same for both the r-process–rich and r-process–poor stars.

4.3. Heavy-Element Abundance Scatter

The heavy-element abundance patterns presented here exhibit striking differences as a function of metallicity. The linear correlation (with very small scatter) of Ge and Fe abundances suggests that Ge must have been produced rather commonly in stars, even at early times in the Galaxy, over a wide range of metallicity. The Zr abundances show much the same behavior as Ge with (perhaps) somewhat more scatter, suggesting some variations in abundance with respect to Fe. The pattern of the heavy n-capture elements Eu, Os, Ir, and Pt is, however, very different than that of Ge and Zr. There is a very large star-to-star scatter in the abundance values with respect to iron, particularly at low metallicity: a factor of >100 at [Fe/H] < −2.5. (We note that while there is only one star, HD 122563, in our sample with a very low [Eu/Fe] ratio, observations (see, e.g., Burris et al. 2000) have indicated other such stars. At higher metallicities this scatter diminishes dramatically. This general notion is of course not new, having been seen previously for Eu (e.g., Gilroy et al. 1988; Burris et al. 2000; Sneden & Cowan 2003). This is the first clear indication that third r-process peak elements also show the same scatter.

These apparently conflicting trends can be explained by assuming that at early times (and some low metallicities) the Galaxy was chemically inhomogeneous with some regions containing larger amounts of r-process ejecta than others. Then at higher metallicities (and later times) these differences in the total abundance levels would be minimized: this would be as a result of a higher number of events, which would produce an abundance average and probably mixing throughout the Galaxy. Thus, from Ge to Zr to Eu-Os-Ir-Pt we might be witnessing decreasing event statistics, i.e., a smaller number of (supernova) sites at very low metallicities, which create these elements (Cowan & Thielemann 2004 and references therein). This might further indicate that not all supernovae, or at least those that make lighter n-capture elements like Ge and Zr, are responsible for synthesizing the heavier n-capture elements (these r-process events would have been rare) at very low metallicities early in the history of the Galaxy. Such abundance comparisons and scatter (e,g., [Eu/Fe] vs. [Fe/H]) are also providing new clues into the earliest stars and the chemical evolution of the Galaxy (e.g., Wasserburg & Qian 2000; Fields et al. 2002) and the nature of and site for the r-process, particularly early in the Galaxy (Argast et al. 2004).

4.4. Elemental Abundance Distributions in Individual Stars

Two of our sample stars are the very r-process–rich stars CS 22892–052 and BD +17° 3248. Employing our new observations and new, more reliable abundance determinations for Nd (Den Hartog et al. 2003) and Ho (Lawler et al. 2004), we have updated and supplemented the (ground-based and HST) abundances previously obtained for CS 22892–052 (Sneden et al. 2003) and BD +17° 3248 (Cowan et al. 2002). We show in Figure 7 the detailed abundance distributions for both of these stars. The
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values for BD +17°3248 have been arbitrarily displaced downward for display purposes. We also show for comparison the solar system r-process abundances (solid lines), determined based on the classical s-process model and utilizing the most recent r-/s-process deconvolution reported by Simmerer et al. (2004). Several points are worth noting in this figure. The agreement between the rare earth elements (e.g., Ba and Eu) and the solar system r-process abundances is now seen to extend into, and includes, the third r-process peak elements Os–Pt in CS 22892−052 and BD +17°3248. Note that employing the new atomic experimental data of Den Hartog et al. (2005) results in a shift downward of 0.1 dex, with respect to the previously determined value, in the abundance of Pt. This element (along with Os and Ir) now falls on the same scaled solar system r-process curve that also matches the abundances of the rare earth elements such as Eu, strengthening the apparent synthesis connection between these heavier n-capture elements.

Our new, more reliable abundance determinations for the elements Nd and Ho are also consistent with the solar system r-process distribution. However, as shown in Figure 7, the abundances of elements with Z < 56 (i.e., below Ba) in general fall below the scaled solar r-process curve for CS 22892−052. There are very little data available for BD +17°3248 in this region of 40 ≤ Z ≤ 50, but its Ag abundance, in particular, is much less than the scaled solar system r-process curve. Only upper limits on Ge and Ga were obtained with HST for CS 22892−052, but those abundances fall far below the solar curve, as does the Ge abundance in BD +17°3248. This indicates that there may be two processes and may suggest two astrophysical sites for r-process nucleosynthesis, with one for lighter and another for the heavier n-capture elements. This possibility was suggested earlier (see Wasserburg et al. 1996; Wasserburg & Qian 2000) with supernovae with different masses and frequencies responsible for the two ends of the n-capture abundance distribution. Other models have suggested neutron star binary mergers as one of the possible sites, particularly for the heavier n-capture elements where supernova models have had difficulties in achieving the required high entropies (Freiburghaus et al. 1999; Rosswog et al. 1999; but see Argast et al. 2004). In addition to a combination of supernovae and neutron star mergers, it has been suggested that the light and heavy n-capture elements could also be synthesized in the same core-collapse supernova (Cameron 2001; for further discussion see also recent reviews by Cowan & Sneden 2004; Cowan & Thielemann 2004).

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have made new detections of the elements Ge, Zr, Os, and Pt with HST (STIS), along with Ir using Keck I (HIRES), in a sample of metal-poor Galactic halo stars. These are the first large-sample abundance determinations of these elements in such stars. The abundances of the elements Os, Ir, and Pt in the third r-process peak appear to be correlated among themselves and with Eu, the extensively observed, rare earth, r-process element, indicating a similar nucleosynthesis origin and site. In contrast, the Ge abundance appears to scale with iron in the halo stars and is independent of the Eu abundances in those stars. This suggests an explosive, rather than n-capture, synthesis for this element in stars at very low metallicities. Perhaps this might be the result of some type of α-rich freezeout in supernovae early in the history of the Galaxy: at higher (e.g., near-solar) metallicities and later times, it would be expected that r- and s-process production would dominate production. The Zr abundances in the sample stars do not in general scale with metallicity, nor with the Eu abundances, suggesting a different origin for this element than for the heavier n-capture elements. The one exception is for the very r-process–rich (high Eu abundance) star CS 22892−052, where there is a significant increase in the Zr abundance.

This element, however, has a complicated synthesis with perhaps several processes contributing (see, e.g., Travaglio et al. 2004).

The star-to-star abundance scatter among the elements is quite different, with the lighter element Ge showing very little scatter over the range of metallicity studied. This suggests a common origin (most supernovae making this element) even at very low metallicities. Zr like Ge also shows little scatter with iron except for the case again of CS 22892−052. It has been shown previously that [Eu/Fe] exhibits a large star-to-star scatter as a function of metallicity (see, e.g., Burris et al. 2000). Our results are the first demonstration that the abundances of the third r-process elements Os–Ir–Pt to iron coincide with and exhibit a similar scatter to [Eu/Fe], again suggesting a similar origin for these four elements. These new elemental abundance scatter data also appear to be consistent with the idea that not all supernovae will make Eu (and Os–Pt; these appear to be rarer events than the synthesis of, for example, Ge and Zr) and to point to a lack of chemical homogeneity early in the history of the Galaxy.

The new abundance determinations for Os–Ir–Pt fall on the same solar system scaled r-process curves as the rare earth elements in the r-process–rich stars CS 22892−052 and BD +17°3248. This agreement (or consistency) now extends from Ba through the third r-process peak for these stars, again indicating a similar synthesis origin for these elements. The observed abundances of the lighter n-capture elements (Ge and Zr) do not fall on the same solar curve that matches the heavier such elements and may indicate two sites, or at least astrophysical conditions, for the synthesis of all of the n-capture elements.

While the astrophysical site for the r-process has still not been precisely identified (see, e.g., Cowan & Thielemann 2004), the abundance determinations presented here are consistent with a supernova origin and suggest that not all supernovae may be responsible for synthesizing these n-capture elements. However, additional abundance determinations, particularly over a range of mass number including both lighter and heavier n-capture elements, in stars of very low metallicity will be needed to constrain models of r-process production, to determine if there are
multiple sites, and to understand the history of element, and star, formation at very early times in the history of the Galaxy.
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APPENDIX

SUBSTRUCTURE OF NEUTRAL IRIDIUM TRANSITIONS

Hyperfine and isotopic structure of Ir i lines must be included in the synthesis of stellar spectra for accurate abundance determinations. Complete (reconstructed) line structure patterns of the three most important Ir i lines are given in Table 5 for the users’ convenience. Wavenumbers of transitions were taken from the improved energy levels (Ivarsson et al. 2003) and from Moore (1971) for the λ3220 line. The air wavelengths were computed from the energy levels using the standard index of air (Edlén 1953, 1966).

Solar system isotopic abundances for Ir from Rosman & Taylor (1998) were used. Both 191Ir and 193Ir have nuclear spin F = 3/2. The first half of the listed components for each line are all from 191Ir and the second half are from 193Ir. The component strengths from 191Ir always sum to the solar system abundance of 0.37272 (within rounding error) and the component strengths from 193Ir sum to 0.62728 (within rounding error). Relative component strengths would need to be modified to model nonsolar isotopic abundances. The isotope shift in the λ3513 line of −0.071 cm⁻¹ for 193Ir with respect to 191Ir was adopted from Murakawa & Suwa (1952), and those in the λ3220 and 3800 lines of −0.00095 and −0.064 cm⁻¹, respectively, were adopted from Sawatzky & Winkler (1989). Negative isotope shifts, where the heavier isotope is to the red of the lighter isotope, are common for heavy elements because the field shift from a nonnegligible nuclear size overwhelms the normal and specific mass shifts.

We took hyperfine A and B constants for the ground level from the Büttgenbach et al. (1978) extremely accurate atomic beam magnetic resonance measurements. Upper level hyperfine constants were taken from Gianfrani & Tito (1993) for the λ3220 line and from Murakawa & Suwa (1952) for the λλ3513 and 3800 lines. The log (gf) = −0.52 for the λ3220 line was taken from Gough et al. (1983). The transition probabilities listed by Ivarsson et al. (2003) were used to compute log (gf) = −1.21 for the λ3513 line and

| Frequency Vacuum (cm⁻¹) | Wavelength Air (Å) | Upper F | Lower F | Relative Component Frequency | Relative Component Wavelength | Normalized Component Strength |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 5 6 | −0.04119 | +0.00427 | 0.12113 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 5 5 | +0.00578 | −0.00606 | 0.00683 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 5 4 | +0.06206 | −0.00644 | 0.00016 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 4 5 | −0.01616 | +0.00168 | 0.09566 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 4 4 | +0.04012 | −0.00416 | 0.00897 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 4 3 | +0.09635 | −0.01000 | 0.00019 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 3 4 | +0.02388 | −0.00248 | 0.07474 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 3 3 | +0.08011 | −0.00831 | 0.00679 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 2 3 | +0.06870 | −0.00713 | 0.05824 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 5 6 | −0.04666 | +0.00484 | 0.20387 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 5 5 | +0.00692 | −0.00072 | 0.01150 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 5 4 | +0.06707 | −0.00696 | 0.00026 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 4 5 | −0.01662 | +0.00172 | 0.16100 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 4 4 | +0.04353 | −0.00452 | 0.15109 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 4 3 | +0.10179 | −0.01056 | 0.00033 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 3 4 | +0.02573 | −0.00267 | 0.12578 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 3 3 | +0.08399 | −0.00872 | 0.01143 |
| 31039.450……………… 3220.7765 | 2 3 | +0.07123 | −0.00739 | 0.09801 |
| 28452.318……………… 3513.6473 | 7 6 | +0.1034 | −0.01277 | 0.11648 |
| 28452.318……………… 3513.6473 | 6 6 | +0.0348 | −0.00430 | 0.00459 |
| 28452.318……………… 3513.6473 | 6 5 | +0.0568 | −0.00701 | 0.09636 |
| 28452.318……………… 3513.6473 | 5 6 | −0.0167 | +0.00207 | 0.00007 |
| 28452.318……………… 3513.6473 | 5 5 | +0.0053 | −0.00665 | 0.00606 |
| 28452.318……………… 3513.6473 | 5 4 | +0.0116 | −0.00143 | 0.07929 |
| 28452.318……………… 3513.6473 | 4 5 | −0.0326 | +0.00402 | 0.00008 |
| 28452.318……………… 3513.6473 | 4 4 | −0.0263 | −0.00324 | 0.00457 |
| 28452.318……………… 3513.6473 | 4 3 | −0.0291 | +0.00359 | 0.06523 |
| 28452.318……………… 3513.6473 | 7 6 | +0.0379 | −0.00468 | 0.19602 |
| 28452.318……………… 3513.6473 | 6 6 | −0.0356 | +0.00440 | 0.00772 |
| 28452.318……………… 3513.6473 | 6 5 | −0.0136 | +0.00168 | 0.16217 |
log \((gf)\) = −1.44 for the \(\lambda3800\) line. There is some inconsistency between the Einstein \(A\)-coefficients in Table 4 and the \(log (gf)\) values in Table 5 of Ivarsson et al. (2003). The \(log (gf)\) values in Table 5 of Ivarsson et al. (2003) are smaller than the above values by 0.04 or 0.05. Abundances in our work are based on the above \(log (gf)\) values from the Ivarsson et al. (2003) Einstein \(A\)-coefficients, which are correct (S. Ivarsson 2004, private communication). A similar problem was found in the Ivarsson et al. (2003) \(log (gf)\) for the Os \(\lambda3058\) line. Our value of −0.40 is based on their \(A\)-coefficient for this line.
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