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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Maintenance of drug efficacy and safety over the long term is important to investigate for progressive conditions like type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). This study aimed to evaluate whether efficacy of dapagliflozin added to glimepiride observed at 24 weeks was maintained at 48 weeks, and to provide further safety and tolerability data in patients with T2DM.

Methods: This 24-week randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled trial with a 24-week double-blind extension period enrolled adults whose T2DM was inadequately controlled [glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) 7.0–10.0%] on sulfonylurea monotherapy. Patients were randomized to placebo (n = 146) or dapagliflozin 2.5 mg (n = 154), 5 mg (n = 145), or 10 mg (n = 151) per day added to open-label glimepiride 4 mg/day.

Results: In total, 519 patients (87.1%) completed the study. At 48 weeks, HbA1c adjusted mean changes from baseline for the placebo versus dapagliflozin 2.5/5/10-mg groups were −0.04% versus −0.41%, −0.56% and −0.73%, respectively. There were no meaningful differences in HbA1c changes from baseline from 24 to 48 weeks, indicating that glycemic efficacy was maintained. Improvements in fasting plasma glucose and post-challenge plasma glucose were also observed with dapagliflozin over 48 weeks. Dapagliflozin 2.5/5/10 mg produced sustained...
reductions in weight (−1.36/−1.54/−2.41 kg) versus placebo (−0.77 kg). Adjusted mean reductions from baseline in systolic blood pressure were also greater than placebo for all dapagliflozin doses. In the placebo versus dapagliflozin groups, serious adverse events were 8.9% versus 8.6–11.0%, hypoglycemic events were 6.8% versus 9.7–11.3%, and events suggestive of genital infection were 1.4% versus 5.2–8.6%.

Conclusion: Dapagliflozin added to glimepiride improved glycemic control and body weight, with short-term findings maintained during the study’s extension period. Therapy was generally well tolerated over 48 weeks; hypoglycemic events and events suggestive of genital infection were reported more often in patients receiving dapagliflozin.
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INTRODUCTION

Sulfonylureas are commonly used in the medical management of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) after diet and exercise have failed; however, their long-term effectiveness is poor [1, 2]. Loss of efficacy with glucose-lowering agents over time, particularly with sulfonylureas, is a consequence of progressive worsening of diabetes, characterized by loss of β-cell function [3]. Dapagliflozin, a competitive, reversible, and highly selective inhibitor of sodium–glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2), increases urinary glucose excretion and reduces hyperglycemia independently of insulin secretion or action [4–7]. Thus, its efficacy is expected to be complementary to that of agents with insulin-dependent mechanisms of action. We previously published results from the first 24 weeks of a double-blind study in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled on sulfonylurea monotherapy, which showed that dapagliflozin added to glimepiride significantly reduced glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), post-challenge plasma glucose, and weight compared with placebo added to glimepiride [8]. When considering newer, insulin-independent treatments such as the SGLT2 inhibitor, dapagliflozin, maintenance of efficacy achieved in the short-term over a longer period of time is important to establish, particularly for chronic, progressive conditions such as T2DM where some conventional, insulin-dependent therapies are associated with loss of efficacy over time [2, 9].

The objectives of the present analysis were to evaluate whether efficacy of dapagliflozin at 24 weeks was maintained after 48 weeks of treatment and to provide further safety and tolerability data with dapagliflozin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Patients aged ≥18 years with inadequately controlled T2DM (defined as HbA1c ≥7.0% and ≤10.0%), and who were receiving a stable dose of sulfonylurea monotherapy at a level of at least half the maximum recommended dose for at least 8 weeks prior to enrollment, were eligible for inclusion. In view of the observation that the glucose-lowering effects of sulfonylureas start to reach a plateau at half-maximal doses, and higher doses are not recommended because of excessive risk of
hypoglycemia [2], the patients included in this study were highly likely to have been exposed to a maximally effective dose of glimepiride. In addition, patients had to have an FPG ≤15 mmol/L and fasting C-peptide ≥0.33 nmol/L.

Study Design

This was a 48-week randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled, phase III trial that included a 24-week double-blind, short-term treatment period with a 24-week site- and subject-blinded, long-term extension period conducted from April 2008 to November 2009 at 84 sites in Europe and Asia. The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonisation/Good Clinical Practice Guidelines (July 1996). It was approved by institutional review boards and independent ethics committees for participating centers and is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT00680745). All participants provided informed consent. Full details of the study methods of the 24-week short-term, double-blind treatment period have been previously published [8]. A brief summary of study methods is as follows.

Study Treatments and Interventions

Eligible patients either continued with, or were switched to, open-label glimepiride 4 mg/day during the 8-week lead-in period. During a 1-week qualification period, all inclusion/exclusion criteria were reviewed in those patients switched to glimepiride 4 mg/day, as previously described [8]. Those patients still meeting the study eligibility criteria were randomized to receive double-blind dapagliflozin 2.5, 5, or 10 mg, or placebo, taken orally once daily before the first meal of the day, while continuing open-label glimepiride 4 mg/day. Open-label glimepiride could be downtitrated to 2 mg or discontinued to mitigate recurrent hypoglycemic events at the discretion of the investigator. However, no uptitration was allowed.

Patients with inadequate glycemic control during the treatment period remained in the trial but received open-label rescue therapy with metformin or pioglitazone, or rosiglitazone (if pioglitazone was unavailable). The following were the progressively more stringent glycemic levels for defining inadequate glycemic control and eligibility for rescue therapy: a central laboratory FPG (confirmed on a second measurement within 3–5 days) >15 mmol/L (weeks 4–8); an FPG >13.2 mmol/L (weeks 8–12); or an FPG >11.1 mmol/L (weeks 12–24).

Patients with an HbA1c level >8.0% for a continuous 12-week period, despite maximum tolerated dose of rescue therapy, discontinued the trial. From 24 to 48 weeks, the criterion for rescue was a central laboratory HbA1c value ≥8.0%.

All patients received dietary and lifestyle counseling. In addition, patients with a body mass index of ≥27 kg/m² received advice concerning reducing caloric intake and exercise.

Details of the randomization schedule and methods for maintaining allocation concealment have been previously published [8].

Outcome Measures

The primary efficacy assessment was at 24 weeks. Specified exploratory efficacy assessments included: change in HbA1c percentage from baseline to week 48; proportions of patients achieving a therapeutic glycemic response (defined as HbA1c <7.0% and ≤6.5% at week 48); change in total body weight
from baseline to week 48; change from baseline to week 48 in 2-hour post-challenge plasma glucose rise in response to an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT); change in FPG from baseline to week 48; Diabetes Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire (DTSQ) status at week 48; proportions of patients receiving rescue therapy for failing to reach prespecified glycemic targets or discontinuing for lack of efficacy; seated systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP, respectively); and lipid parameters. Details of OGTT administration have been published previously [8].

Safety and tolerability were evaluated by assessment of adverse events (AEs), laboratory values, electrocardiogram, pulse rate, blood pressure, hypoglycemic events, and calculated creatinine clearance as previously published [8]. A prespecified list of Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) version 13.0 preferred terms identified signs, symptoms, and other reports suggestive of urinary tract infection (UTI) and genital infection in the database. This list included terms for nonspecific signs and symptoms suggestive of genital infection (e.g., genital pruritus, vulvovaginal pruritus) as well as terms for clinical infection (e.g., vaginal infection), but did not include terms for sexually transmitted diseases. Patients reported these events both spontaneously and in response to proactive questioning by the investigators during study visits.

Statistical Analysis

The continuous efficacy analyses over 48 weeks were based on longitudinal repeated measures analyses using “direct likelihood” without imputation of missing data. The SAS procedure PROC MIXED (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA) was used, and model terms included the fixed categorical effects of treatment, week and treatment-by-week interaction, and the continuous fixed covariates of baseline measurement and baseline measurement-by-week interaction. No statistical hypothesis testing was performed for the combined short-term plus extension periods from baseline to week 48, but point estimates and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were provided. Categorical efficacy variables were analyzed using logistic regression methodology from Zhang et al. [10] with adjustment for baseline value. Patients with missing data were included in these analyses and considered non-responders. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to analyze time to onset of rescue therapy for failing to achieve prespecified glycemic targets or patient discontinuation due to poor glycemic control. Efficacy analyses exclude data after rescue, unless otherwise stated. Safety analyses include data after rescue.

Definitions of the full analysis set and safety analysis set and sample size calculations were as previously published [8]. Safety data were summarized with the safety analysis set using descriptive statistics.

RESULTS

Patients

Detailed demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline were previously reported and balanced across the treatment groups [8]. Key baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The majority of randomized patients completed the short-term and the extension periods [519 patients (87.1%)], with the most common reasons for discontinuation being withdrawal of consent.
and AEs [Supplementary Figure S1 in the electronic supplementary material (ESM)].

**Efficacy Variables**

Adjusted mean changes in HbA1c from baseline at 48 weeks after dapagliflozin 2.5, 5, and 10 mg were added to glimepiride were \(-0.41\) (95% CI \(-0.56, -0.26\)), \(-0.56\) (95% CI \(-0.71, -0.41\)), and \(-0.73\) percentage points (95% CI \(-0.87, -0.59\)), respectively, versus \(-0.04\) percentage points (95% CI \(-0.21, 0.14\)) in the placebo plus glimepiride group (excluding data after rescue therapy; Fig. 1a). Differences between the dapagliflozin and placebo groups were statistically significant at 24 weeks [8] and were maintained at 48 weeks with placebo-corrected reductions of \(-0.37\) percentage points (95% CI \(-0.60, -0.14\)) in the dapagliflozin 2.5-mg group, \(-0.53\) percentage points (95% CI \(-0.75, -0.30\)) in the 5-mg group, and \(-0.70\) percentage points (95% CI \(-0.92, -0.47\)) in the 10-mg group. In analyses that included data after rescue therapy, HbA1c changes with dapagliflozin added to glimepiride were similar (Supplementary Figure S2 in the ESM). Comparisons of 24-week versus 48-week HbA1c change from baseline values (excluding data after rescue therapy) revealed no substantive differences in placebo-corrected reductions between these time points (Supplementary Table S1 in the ESM).

The proportion of patients achieving an HbA1c <7.0% or <6.5% was significantly increased with dapagliflozin 5 and 10 mg versus placebo at 24 weeks [8]. This was maintained at week 48, with the proportions of patients achieving an HbA1c <7.0% higher in

| Characteristic | Placebo + glimepiride \((N = 145)\) | Dapagliflozin 2.5 mg + glimepiride \((N = 154)\) | Dapagliflozin 5 mg + glimepiride \((N = 142)\) | Dapagliflozin 10 mg + glimepiride \((N = 151)\) |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Age (years)    | 60.3 ± 10.2                      | 59.9 ± 10.1                                   | 60.2 ± 9.7                                    | 58.9 ± 8.3                                    |
| Type 2 diabetes duration (years) | 7.4 ± 5.7                     | 7.7 ± 6.0                                     | 7.4 ± 5.7                                     | 7.2 ± 5.5                                     |
| Male (%)       | 49.0                             | 50.0                                          | 50.0                                          | 43.7                                          |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | 29.7 ± 4.6                       | 30.0 ± 5.1                                    | 29.8 ± 5.2                                    | 29.8 ± 5.6                                    |
| HbA1c (%)      | 8.15 ± 0.74                      | 8.11 ± 0.75                                   | 8.12 ± 0.78                                   | 8.07 ± 0.79                                   |
| FPG (mmol/L)   | 9.58 ± 2.07                      | 9.56 ± 2.13                                   | 9.68 ± 2.12                                   | 9.55 ± 2.04                                   |
| Prior history of CVD (%) | 37.9                            | 36.4                                          | 38.7                                          | 30.5                                          |
| Hypertension (%) | 80.0                            | 70.1                                          | 70.4                                          | 74.8                                          |
| Overall DTSQ score (maximum 36) | 28.4 ± 7.1                      | 27.8 ± 6.0                                    | 27.4 ± 6.0                                    | 27.6 ± 6.5                                    |

All data are mean ± standard deviation, unless otherwise specified

*BMI* body mass index, *CVD* cardiovascular disease, *DTSQ* Diabetes Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire, *FPG* fasting plasma glucose, *HbA1c* glycated hemoglobin

* At least one event (excluding patients with a history of hypertension only)
Dapagliflozin produced sustained reductions in body weight of up to 2.41 kg at 48 weeks in the 10-mg group (Fig. 1c). Adjusted mean changes in body weight from baseline at 48 weeks after dapagliflozin 2.5, 5, and 10 mg were added to glimepiride were $-1.36$ (95% CI $-1.94, -0.79$), $-1.54$ (95% CI $-2.11, -0.96$), and $-2.41$ kg (95% CI $-2.95, -1.87$), respectively, versus $-0.77$ kg (95% CI $-1.43, -0.12$) in the placebo plus glimepiride group (excluding data after rescue therapy). The differences between the dapagliflozin 5- and 10-mg groups and the placebo group were statistically significant at 24 weeks [8]; and the difference in the dapagliflozin 10-mg group was maintained at 48 weeks with a placebo-corrected reduction of $-1.64$ kg (95% CI $-2.48, -0.79$).

Overall, fewer patients in the dapagliflozin 2.5-, 5-, and 10-mg groups discontinued or received rescue medication due to poor glycemic control over 48 weeks (32.5%, 29.6%, and 17.9%, respectively) compared with the placebo group (53.1%). The probability of discontinuation or rescue was lower in the dapagliflozin groups compared with the placebo group over the entire study period (Fig. 2). Only 7 patients were discontinued due to lack of efficacy: 3 in the placebo group (2.1%), 2 in the dapagliflozin 5-mg group (1.4%), and 1 each in the dapagliflozin 2.5- and 10-mg groups (0.6% and 0.7%, respectively).

For seated SBP, adjusted mean reductions from baseline at week 48 were greater than placebo for all dapagliflozin doses ($-4.33$ to $-6.02$ mmHg). For seated DBP, only the dapagliflozin 10-mg dose showed a meaningful reduction ($-2.94$ mmHg) compared with placebo at week 48 (Supplementary Figure S3).
in the ESM). No meaningful changes in heart rate were observed in any treatment group (mean change from baseline in seated heart rate was 0.6, −0.3, 0.2, and 1.6 beats per minute in the dapagliflozin 2.5, 5, 10-mg groups and placebo group, respectively).

At week 48, small percentage increases in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and free fatty acids from baseline were seen in the dapagliflozin treatment groups compared with placebo, which were slightly more pronounced at week 48 than at week 24. For low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, there were no relevant changes from baseline to week 48; mean percent changes were −0.6% (95% CI −6.7, 5.6) for placebo and 7.8% (95% CI 1.0, 14.6), 5.7% (95% CI −1.8, 13.3), and 3.9% (95% CI −1.1, 8.9) for dapagliflozin 2.5-, 5-, and 10-mg groups, respectively. Similarly, for total cholesterol and triglycerides, no relevant changes from baseline to week 48 or from week 24 to week 48 were noted in any treatment group (Supplementary Table S2 in the ESM). Fasting C-peptide at baseline was above the normal reference range (0.17–0.83 nmol/L) across all groups (Supplementary Table S2); levels were reduced with dapagliflozin at week 24 and to a greater extent at week 48. Fasting C-peptide at week 48 was reduced by −0.09 (95% CI −0.15, −0.03), −0.10 (95% CI

Fig. 2 Probability of rescue therapy for failing to achieve prespecified glycemic targets or of discontinuation because of poor glycemic control over time. Symbols represent censored observations. Progressively more stringent glycemic levels for defining inadequate glycemic control and hence eligibility for rescue therapy were a Central laboratory FPG (confirmed on a second measurement within 3–5 days) >15 mmol/L during weeks 4–8; b an FPG >13.2 mmol/L during weeks 8–12; c an FPG >11.1 mmol/L during weeks 12–24; and d central laboratory HbA1c ≥8.0% during weeks 24–48. DAPA dapagliflozin, FPG fasting plasma glucose, GLI glimepiride, PLA placebo.
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−0.20, 0.01), and −0.07 (95% CI −0.14, −0.01) nmol/L in the dapagliflozin 2.5-, 5-, and 10-mg groups, respectively, but to a lesser extent in the placebo group [−0.01 (95% CI −0.14, 0.12) nmol/L].

Patients’ overall mean DTSQ scores improved slightly from baseline at week 48, although values were similar in the dapagliflozin 2.5-, 5-, and 10-mg groups versus placebo; 3.5 (95% CI 2.3, 4.6), 4.6 (95% CI 3.2, 5.9), and 4.4 (95% CI 3.1, 5.8), respectively, versus 2.2 (95% CI 0.5, 3.9).

Safety and Tolerability

AEs and AEs leading to study discontinuation were balanced across the treatment groups (Table 2). The frequencies of serious AEs and AEs reported as drug-related were higher in the dapagliflozin groups compared with the placebo group (Table 2).

Serious AEs led to discontinuation of study medication in eight patients (<2.2% of patients in each treatment group (Table 2): three in the placebo group (syncope, pulmonary edema, and multiple stenosis of the coronary arteries), three in the dapagliflozin 2.5-mg group (neutropenic fever, chronic lymphatic leukemia, and gastroduodenitis), one in the dapagliflozin 5-mg group (decompensation of diabetes mellitus), and one in the dapagliflozin 10-mg group (stroke). Three deaths were reported, which were assessed as not related to study treatment. These included two deaths [1 in the dapagliflozin 2.5-mg group (cardiopulmonary arrest) and 1 in the 10-mg group (pulmonary embolism after ischemic stroke in a patient with a history of aortic valve replacement)] that occurred during the short-term period and have been previously described [8]. During the extension period, a 66-year-old male patient in the dapagliflozin 2.5-mg group died of an acute myocardial infarction.

Hypoglycemic events were reported more frequently in the dapagliflozin groups (9.7–11.3%) than in the placebo group (6.8%). However, no patients were discontinued due to hypoglycemia (Table 2), and most patients experienced their first event during the first 24 weeks of treatment (Supplementary Figure S4 in the ESM; excluding data after rescue, but potential downtitration/discontinuation of glimepiride should be taken into account when interpreting these results). There was only one major episode of hypoglycemia reported (dapagliflozin 2.5-mg group).

Events suggestive of vulvovaginitis, balanitis, and related genital infection were more frequently reported in all dapagliflozin groups compared with placebo, and their frequency increased with increasing dapagliflozin dose (Table 2). The majority of these patients were females, with most reporting the first event during the short-term period (Fig. 3a). Events suggestive of UTI were reported at similar rates across all treatment groups (Table 2), and most patients reported the first event during the short-term period (Fig. 3b). No kidney infections were reported. All of the events suggestive of genital infections or UTIs were of mild or moderate intensity, and only 1 patient receiving dapagliflozin 10 mg discontinued the study due to an AE suggestive of UTI. Cultures were obtained only in a proportion of cases; thus, not all reports represented confirmed infections. Nevertheless, the majority of these events were treated with antimicrobial agents. For events suggestive of genital infection, 0 out of a total of 2 events in the placebo group and 29 out of a total of 40 events across the dapagliflozin groups were treated; repeated treatment because of inadequate response was
Table 2  Overall summary of patients with an AE over 48 weeks (safety analysis set)

| Preferred term                        | Placebo + glimepiride (N = 146) | Dapagliflozin 2.5 mg + glimepiride (N = 154) | Dapagliflozin 5 mg + glimepiride (N = 145) | Dapagliflozin 10 mg + glimepiride (N = 151) |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Overall summary of patients with an AE, n (%) |                                  |                                               |                                           |                                             |
| One or more AE                        | 81 (55.5)                        | 90 (58.4)                                     | 88 (60.7)                                 | 89 (58.9)                                   |
| One or more drug-related AE\(a\)      | 8 (5.5)                          | 12 (7.8)                                      | 12 (8.3)                                  | 16 (10.6)                                   |
| AE leading to discontinuation         | 5 (3.4)                          | 5 (3.2)                                       | 5 (3.4)                                   | 4 (2.6)                                     |
| One or more SAE\(b\)                 | 13 (8.9)                         | 16 (10.4)                                     | 16 (11.0)                                 | 13 (8.6)                                    |
| SAE leading to discontinuation        | 3 (2.1)                          | 3 (1.9)                                       | 1 (0.7)                                   | 1 (0.7)                                     |
| Deaths                                | 0 (0.0)                          | 2 (1.3)                                       | 0 (0.0)                                   | 1 (0.7)                                     |
| Patients with AEs of \(\geq\)3% in any group (by MedDRA preferred term), n (%) |                                  |                                               |                                           |                                             |
| Nasopharyngitis                       | 10 (6.8)                         | 6 (3.9)                                       | 11 (7.6)                                  | 10 (6.6)                                    |
| Upper respiratory tract infection     | 4 (2.7)                          | 5 (3.2)                                       | 7 (4.8)                                   | 7 (4.6)                                     |
| UTT\(c\)                             | 7 (4.8)                          | 5 (3.2)                                       | 4 (2.8)                                   | 6 (4.0)                                     |
| Bronchitis                            | 1 (0.7)                          | 2 (1.3)                                       | 4 (2.8)                                   | 5 (3.3)                                     |
| Arthralgia                            | 5 (3.4)                          | 8 (5.2)                                       | 0 (0.0)                                   | 3 (2.0)                                     |
| Diarrhea                              | 7 (4.8)                          | 4 (2.6)                                       | 4 (2.8)                                   | 1 (0.7)                                     |
| Dyspepsia                             | 5 (3.4)                          | 2 (1.3)                                       | 4 (2.8)                                   | 1 (0.7)                                     |
| Cough                                 | 2 (1.4)                          | 1 (0.6)                                       | 2 (1.4)                                   | 5 (3.3)                                     |
| Dyslipidemia                          | 0 (0.0)                          | 5 (3.2)                                       | 2 (1.4)                                   | 4 (2.6)                                     |
| Hypertension                          | 10 (6.8)                         | 9 (5.8)                                       | 5 (3.4)                                   | 3 (2.0)                                     |
| Patients with a special interest AE, n (%) |                                  |                                               |                                           |                                             |
| One or more hypoglycemic events\(d,e\) | 10 (6.8)                        | 15 (9.7)                                      | 15 (10.3)                                 | 17 (11.3)                                   |
| Major episode                         | 0 (0.0)                          | 1 (0.6)                                       | 0 (0.0)                                   | 0 (0.0)                                     |
| Minor episode                         | 4 (2.7)                          | 5 (3.2)                                       | 12 (8.3)                                  | 13 (8.6)                                    |
| Other episode                         | 7 (4.8)                          | 11 (7.1)                                      | 5 (3.4)                                   | 5 (3.3)                                     |

\(\triangle\) Adis
Table 2 continued

| Preferred term                        | Placebo + glimepiride (N = 146) | Dapagliflozin 2.5 mg + glimepiride (N = 154) | Dapagliflozin 5 mg + glimepiride (N = 145) | Dapagliflozin 10 mg + glimepiride (N = 151) |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Events suggestive of genital infection$^{d,f}$ |                                  |                                             |                                             |                                             |
| Total                                 | 2 (1.4)                          | 8 (5.2)                                     | 9 (6.2)                                     | 13 (8.6)                                    |
| Males                                 | 0/71 (0.0)                       | 1/77 (1.3)                                  | 2/72 (2.8)                                  | 5/66 (7.6)                                  |
| Females                               | 2/75 (2.7)                       | 7/77 (9.1)                                  | 7/73 (9.6)                                  | 8/85 (9.4)                                  |
| Events suggestive of UTI$^{f}$        |                                  |                                             |                                             |                                             |
| Total                                 | 11 (7.5)                         | 7 (4.5)                                     | 11 (7.6)                                    | 12 (7.9)                                    |
| Males                                 | 1/71 (1.4)                       | 1/77 (1.3)                                  | 4/72 (5.6)                                  | 4/66 (6.1)                                  |
| Females                               | 10/75 (13.3)                     | 6/77 (7.8)                                  | 7/73 (9.6)                                  | 8/85 (9.4)$^{g}$                            |
| Renal impairment/failure$^{h}$        | 3 (2.1)$^{i}$                    | 1 (0.6)$^{j}$                               | 1 (0.7)$^{k}$                               | 0 (0.0)                                     |
| Hypotension/dehydration/hypovolemia$^{d,h}$ | 0 (0.0)                         | 1 (0.6)                                     | 0 (0.0)                                     | 1 (0.7)                                     |

$N$ is the number of patients in the safety analysis set and includes data after rescue therapy.

**Table 2 continued**

- **AE**: adverse event, MedDRA Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities, SAE serious adverse event, UTI Urinary tract infection.
- $^{a}$ Events with certain, probable, possible, or unknown relation to study drug were deemed to be drug-related AEs.
- $^{b}$ No SAE was assessed as drug-related.
- $^{c}$ Based on definitive Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities preferred terms.
- $^{d}$ None led to study discontinuation.
- $^{e}$ Includes hypoglycemic events with onset on or after the first date of double-blind treatment, and on or prior to the last day of short-term or long-term double-blind treatment, plus 4 days or up to end of treatment visit if earlier. Major episode defined as a symptomatic episode requiring external (third party) assistance due to severe impairment in consciousness or behavior with a capillary or plasma glucose value <3 mmol/L and prompt recovery after glucose or glucagon administration. Minor episode defined as either a symptomatic episode with a capillary or plasma glucose measurement <3.5 mmol/L, regardless of need for external assistance, or an asymptomatic capillary or plasma glucose measurement <3.5 mmol/L that did not qualify as a major episode. Other episodes of hypoglycemia defined as suggestive episode reported but not meeting the criteria for major or minor episodes.
- $^{f}$ Events suggestive of genital infection or UTI were identified in the database using the prespecified lists of preferred terms. These events included signs, symptoms, and other reports suggestive of genital infection or UTI, as well as definitive terms for genital infection or UTI.
- $^{g}$ One patient discontinued the study.
- $^{h}$ These events were also identified in the database using prespecified lists of preferred terms, which also included, for example, laboratory values such as serum creatinine.
- $^{i}$ Two renal impairment and one renal failure.
- $^{j}$ Renal impairment.
- $^{k}$ Obstructive uropathy.
rarely required across the dapagliflozin groups (4/40). For events suggestive of UTIs, 18 out of a total of 19 events in the placebo group and 26 out of a total of 32 events across the dapagliflozin groups were treated; repeated treatment because of inadequate response was rarely required (0/19 in the placebo group and 2/32 across the dapagliflozin groups).

AE reports of neoplasms (benign, malignant, and unspecified) occurred in 1.3–3.9% of patients in the dapagliflozin groups compared with 1.4% of patients in the placebo group (Supplementary Table S3 in the ESM).

Overall, changes in clinical laboratory parameters from baseline to week 48 were small in magnitude. There were small mean increases in hematocrit (2.26–2.53%) in the dapagliflozin groups that were not associated with thromboembolic AEs. A small increase in blood urea nitrogen (0.39–0.68 mmol/L) in the dapagliflozin groups was not associated with any worsening of renal function as evidenced

![Graph A](image1.png)

**Fig. 3** Patients with events suggestive of a genital infections and b urinary tract infections (safety analysis set and include data after rescue therapy). *DAPA* dapagliflozin, *GLI* glimepiride, *PLA* placebo
by the lack of any meaningful changes in serum creatinine, calculated creatinine clearance, estimated glomerular filtration rate, and serum cystatin-C (Supplementary Table S4 in the ESM).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study showed that the glycemic efficacy of dapagliflozin appeared to be dose dependent. The glycemic effect of dapagliflozin versus placebo was evident at the first study visit; a sustained, clinically meaningful effect was demonstrated from 12 to 48 weeks in the dapagliflozin 5-mg group and from 8 to 48 weeks in the 10-mg group. Moreover, the placebo-corrected reductions in HbA1c demonstrated with all dapagliflozin doses at 24 weeks [8] were maintained over 48 weeks. In addition to these changes in HbA1c, dapagliflozin treatment was associated with sustained improvements in FPG over 48 weeks and reductions in postprandial plasma glucose rise in response to an OGTT at 24 weeks [8] and 48 weeks.

The proportion of patients requiring rescue medication or discontinuing due to lack of glycemic control was lower in the dapagliflozin groups compared with placebo, with markedly greater differences seen between the dapagliflozin and placebo groups at the end of the extension period than were noted at the end of the short-term period [8]. A sharp increase in the probability of rescue or discontinuation due to lack of glycemic control was evident after week 32 in all treatment groups (Fig. 2), which can be explained by the change in criteria for rescue therapy from an FPG >11.0 mmol/L during the short-term treatment period to an HbA1c >8.0% during the extension period.

Regarding additional clinical benefits with dapagliflozin treatment, sustained reductions in body weight and SBP were noted over 48 weeks, consistent with findings observed in other clinical studies of dapagliflozin [11–13]. Owing to the insulin-dependent mechanism of action of sulfonylureas, these agents are often associated with weight gain [14]. The addition of dapagliflozin to sulfonylurea therapy has the potential, therefore, to not only improve glycemic efficacy, but also to mitigate sulfonylurea-associated weight gain. Reductions in SBP have been shown to reduce the incidence of cardiovascular events in patients with hypertension [15, 16] and in patients with T2DM [17, 18], the majority of whom also have hypertension [19, 20]. Whether the modest reductions in SBP observed with dapagliflozin therapy translate into longer-term reductions in cardiovascular risk await results from the prospective DECLARE study (Clinicaltrials.gov #NCT01730534) [21].

Overall, dapagliflozin was well tolerated. Although hypoglycemic events were more frequently reported in patients treated with dapagliflozin than with placebo, most first events occurred within the first 24 weeks of treatment. An increase in such events is commonly observed when glucose-lowering therapies with a low intrinsic potential to cause hypoglycemia are added to sulfonylurea therapy [22].

A higher proportion of patients treated with dapagliflozin (mostly female) reported events suggestive of vulvovaginitis, balanitis, and related genital infection compared with placebo. Although patients with diabetes are at increased risk of common infections, including genital infections [23–27], an increase in rates of events suggestive of genital infection has been consistently reported in clinical studies of dapagliflozin and canagliflozin [28–31]. Most of these events occurred during the first 24 weeks, were of mild or moderate intensity, responded to routine management, and rarely...
led to study discontinuation. Events suggestive of UTI occurred in similar proportions of patients in the dapagliflozin and placebo groups, in line with similar findings of other SGLT2 inhibitor therapies in patients with T2DM [32]. Findings reported in the present study were also similar to pooled analyses of 12 randomized, placebo-controlled trials of dapagliflozin as monotherapy or add-on therapy, which assessed the occurrence of genital infections and UTIs over 24 weeks [33, 34]. These pooled analyses also demonstrated that when actual clinical diagnoses of genital infections and UTIs (as opposed to events suggestive of) were considered, the proportions of patients with an event decreased across groups.

No deterioration of renal function was noted in the dapagliflozin groups. Although dapagliflozin was associated with modest rises in hematocrit and blood urea nitrogen, there were no changes in serum creatinine, creatinine clearance, estimated glomerular filtration rate, or serum cystatin-C (Supplementary Table S3). Furthermore, there was no increase in the proportions of patients experiencing AEs of renal impairment/failure, or AEs of hypotension/dehydration/hypovolemia (Table 2).

The decrease from baseline in fasting C-peptide to near normal levels observed with dapagliflozin in this study is interesting, given suggestions that high levels may be a marker for hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance [32]. Theoretically, as the mechanism of action of dapagliflozin is independent of insulin secretion, the reduction in fasting C-peptide observed with treatment may be a result of reduced stress on β-cells, which may ultimately improve β-cell function over the long term. Indeed, a recent, small-scale study of 11 patients with T2DM demonstrated that lowering plasma glucose concentration with dapagliflozin improved insulin resistance and β-cell function [35]. Further in-depth investigation, outside the scope of the present study, is required to comprehensively examine SGLT2-facilitated relief of glucotoxicity in a clinical setting.

In our study, the proportion of patients with neoplasms (benign, malignant, and unspecified) was fractionally higher in the dapagliflozin groups compared with placebo, but no apparent anatomical pattern was noted. An analysis of >4,500 patients included in the dapagliflozin phase IIb/III database has indicated that overall incidence rates for malignant and unspecified tumors (per 100 patient-years) were similar for dapagliflozin (1.34) versus control treatments (1.39) [36]. However, trends in specific malignancies will continue to be evaluated in further observational and randomized clinical studies.

Limitations of the study design have been published previously [8]. Although excluding data after rescue therapy allows changes attributable to the investigational treatment to be evaluated (Fig. 1a), the progressive loss of patient numbers from the analysis limits the confidence of these findings. Display of all patient data, including after rescue therapy (Supplementary Figure S2), shows that a high number of patients completed the study. Although including all treated patients comes closer to actual clinical practice, interpretation of the results is confounded by changes in HbA1c and other variables consequent to the addition of rescue medication. We have reported both analyses to evaluate the robustness of dapagliflozin treatment effects and found that the adjusted mean changes from baseline in HbA1c were similar between the two analytic strategies.
CONCLUSION

Loss of efficacy over time is observed with some treatments for T2DM [2, 9]. To our knowledge, this is the longest study to date of an SGLT2 inhibitor added to sulfonylurea monotherapy. In this study, short-term efficacy observed at 24 weeks was maintained over the longer term. Overall, treatment with dapagliflozin improved glycemic control, body weight, and blood pressure over a total of 48 weeks in patients whose T2DM was inadequately controlled on glimepiride. Although hypoglycemic events and events suggestive of genital infections were reported more often in patients receiving dapagliflozin, therapy was well-tolerated in general over 48 weeks. Given the common use of sulfonylureas in the management of T2DM in a number of countries, sustained efficacy and long-term tolerability with add-on therapies of complementary mechanisms of action such as dapagliflozin are important to establish.
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