Hyperphalangy in a new sinemydid turtle from the Early Cretaceous Jehol Biota
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ABSTRACT

Hyperphalangy is a rare condition in extant aquatic turtles, and mainly limited to soft-shelled turtles. Here we report a new freshwater turtle, *Jeholochelys lingyuanensis* gen. et sp. nov. from the Early Cretaceous Jehol Biota of western Liaoning, China. This new turtle is characterized by a hyperphalangy condition with one additional phalanx in pedal digit V, rather than the primitive condition (phalangeal formula: 2-3-3-3-3) of crown turtles. *J. lingyuanensis* is recovered with other coexisting turtles in the family Sinemydidae in the phylogenetic analysis. This discovery further confirms that hyperphalangy occurred multiple times in the early evolutionary history of the crown turtles. Hyperphalangy is possibly a homoplasy in *Jeholochelys* and the soft-shelled turtles to adapt to the aquatic environments.

INTRODUCTION

Extant turtles of terrestrial, semi-aquatic, and aquatic habitats have evolved diverse morphologic adaptations for these environments that involves the shell, limb proportions, and the phalangeal count (Joyce & Gauthier, 2004; Renous et al., 2008). Terrestrial turtles (e.g., testudinids) have relatively short manus and pedes with reduced phalangeal count (Crumly & Sánchez-Villagra, 2004; Joyce & Gauthier, 2004). In contrast, aquatic turtles have elongate manus and pedes, and for example, highly elongate phalanges are present in marine turtles (chelonioids) and freshwater pig-nosed turtles (carettochelyids) (Joyce & Gauthier, 2004). On the other hand, freshwater soft-shelled turtles (trionychids) tend to have an increased phalangeal number, a condition termed as hyperphalangy (Kükenthal, 1889, 1893). Hyperphalangy is frequently present in aquatic tetrapods, and is well known in cetaceans, ichthyosaurs, and plesiosaurs, where the phalanges form a long flipper. Although hyperphalangy in soft-shelled turtles does not contribute to the formation of longer flippers, the additional phalanges could help to enlarge the paddle surface to facilitate aquatic mobility (Delfino, Fritz & Sánchez-Villagra, 2010). Hyperphalangy is rarely known in other turtle lineages (Delfino, Fritz & Sánchez-Villagra, 2010; De la Fuente & Fernández, 2011; Li, Joyce & Liu, 2015).
In this study, a new sinemydid turtle is described from the Early Cretaceous Jiufotang Formation of Sihe dang, Lingyuan, western Liaoning, China. This turtle is characterized by a series of features including a phalangeal formula of 2-3-3-3-4 for the pes, as a contrast to the common condition of the 2-3-3-3-3 formula of crown turtles. The new taxon shows hyperphalangy with one additional phalanx in pedal digit V provides an opportunity for investigating the evolution of hyperphalangy in turtles.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Material**

The fossil specimens of the new taxon are recovered from the Early Cretaceous Jiufotang Formation of the Jehol Biota at a site (40°54′46″N; 119°29′45″E) near Liuligou, Sihe dang Township, Lingyuan City, western Liaoning Province, China (Fig. 1). The specimens were prepared and are kept in the Paleontological Museum of Liaoning (PMOL). Seven specimens (Figs. 2–6 and Figs. S1–S4) are described in this study, five of which (PMOL-AR00190, AR00211, AR00213, AR00214, and AR00222) are nearly complete, and two others (PMOL-AR00217 and AR00218) represented by shells. Four skeletons (PMOL-AR00190, AR00213, AR00214 and AR00222) are juvenile due to open costal-peripheral fenestrae and central plastral fenestrae while other specimens have fully ossified shell. Hundreds of turtle specimens were found at this locality during the last decade. The fossil-bearing layer is within the Sihe dang beds of the third member of the Jiufotang Formation, which is a lacustrine unit mainly consisting of dark-gray mudstones intersected with green-gray shales (Zhang, 2016). Associated vertebrates include avians, pterosaurs, and non-avian dinosaurs (Wang et al., 2014; Zhou, O’Connor & Wang, 2014; Xu & Qin, 2017).

**Phylogenetic analysis**

A new phylogenetic analysis is performed with TNT v1.5 beta (Goloboff & Catalano, 2016) based on the latest dataset of global turtle phylogeny (Joyce et al., 2016), which was updated from previous analyses (Joyce, 2007; Zhou, Rabi & Joyce, 2014; Zhou & Rabi, 2015). We used the traditional search option and tree-bisection-reconnection swapping algorithm with 1,000 random addition sequence replicates and 100 trees saved per replicate. All multistate characters were treated as ordered. Two runs were conducted in order to capture as many most parsimonious trees (MPTs). As in the previous analyses, the relationships of extant taxa are constrained by the molecular backbone topology of Crawford et al. (2015). Joyce et al. (2016) accidentally figured a slightly incorrect backbone topology in their supplementary file but we here follow Crawford et al. (2015). In addition, Odontochelys semitestacea is indicated as being part of the backbone constraint in Joyce et al. (2016) but in fact this was an error and this taxon was not constrained (M. Rabi, 2018, personal communication) and therefore we do not constrain it here either. Due to the limited memory of the program, 1,000,000 most parsimonious trees have been saved with a tree length of 969 steps (CI = 0.278; RI = 0.723). More detailed information of the phylogenetic analysis is included in the Supplementary Data.
Comparative fossil taxa

Data on relevant basal eucryptodires come from published literature, photographs, and personal observations and include Changmachelys bohlini Brinkman et al., 2013; Dracochelys bicuspid Gaffney & Ye, 1992 (Brinkman, 2001); Kirgizemys (=Hangaiemys) hoburensis Sukhanov, 2000; Kirgizemys leptis Sukhanov & Narmandakh, 2006, K. exaratus Nessov & Khosatzky, 1973; K. dmitrievi Nessov & Khosatzky, 1981 (Danilov et al., 2006); Judithemys sukhanovi Parham & Hutchison, 2003; Liaochelys
jianchangensis Zhou, 2010a (PMOL-AR00140 holotype, PMOL-AR00160, and two undescribed new specimens SDUST-V1004 and SDUST-V1005, Figs. S5 and S6); Macrobaena mongolica Tatarinov, 1959 (PIN 533-4 holotype); Manchurochelys manchoukuoensis Endo & Shikama, 1942 (PMOL-AR00007, PMOL-AR00008, PMOL-AR00180, and a undescribed new specimen PKUP V1070; Zhou, 2010b; Zhou, Rabi & Joyce, 2014; Shao et al., 2017); Ordosemys leios Brinkman & Peng, 1993a; O. liaoxiensis Ji, 1995 (a undescribed new specimen SDUST-V1020, Fig. S7; Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004); Sinemys lens Wiman, 1930 (Brinkman & Peng, 1993b); S. gamera Brinkman & Peng, 1993b; S. brevispinus Tong & Brinkman, 2013; Wuguia hutubeiensis Matzke et al., 2004; Xiaochelys ningchengensis Zhou & Rabi, 2015 (PMOL-AR00210 holotype).

Nomenclatural acts
The electronic version of this article in portable document format will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix http://zoobank.org/. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.
SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

Testudinata  *Klein*, 1760
Testudines  *Batsch*, 1788
Pan-Cryptodira  *Joyce, Parham & Gauthier*, 2004
Sinemydidae sensu *Rabi et al.*, 2014

*Jeholocheles lingyuanensis* gen. et sp. nov.
LSID: zoobank.org:act: 348B9DD1-4479-4EE5-88E8-9B85B36F9622
LSID: zoobank.org:act: D43DDDF6-ACAD-4450-BBAA-02E18F303593
(Figs. 2–6 and Figs. S1–S4)

Etymology

“Jehol,” refers to “the Jehol Biota”; “chelys” is “turtle” in Greek; the specific epithet refers to the type locality.

Holotype

Paleontological Museum of Liaoning-AR00211, an articulated and complete skeleton (Figs. 2, 3, 6A and 6B).
Paratypes
Paleontological Museum of Liaoning-AR00190 (Fig. S1), a nearly complete skeleton exposed in dorsal view, but missing the tail and the right hindlimb; PMOL-AR00213 (Figs. 4 and 6D), a complete skeleton exposed in ventral view; PMOL-AR00214 (Fig. S2), a nearly complete skeleton exposed in dorsal view, but the posterior half of the carapace is slightly deformed; PMOL-AR00217 (Fig. S3), a complete carapace exposed in dorsal view, with disarticulated vertebrae and long bones; PMOL-AR00218 (Fig. 5), a complete shell exposed in ventral view, with disarticulated cervical and caudal vertebrae, pectoral girdles, and femora; PMOL-AR00222, a complete skeleton exposed in dorsal view (Fig. 6C and Fig. S4).

Type locality and horizon
The fossil site (40°54′46″N; 119°29′45″E; Fig. 1) near Liuligou, Sihedang Township, Lingyuan City, western Liaoning Province; Early Cretaceous, Sihedang beds of the third member of the Jiufotang Formation (Aptian; Chang et al., 2009).
Diagnosis

This new taxon has a low-domed shell, as in other Cretaceous sinemydids, and it is diagnosed with an unusual combination of features: nasal absent; midline contact of prefrontals; interorbital roof narrow; parietal expanded bilaterally along the supraoccipital crest; parietal separated from squamosal; supraoccipital crest slightly longer than squamosal horn; upper temporal emargination moderately developed; cranial scales present; oval carapace distinctly longer than wide; nuchal emargination shallow; preneural absent; eight neurals; two subequal suprapygals; pygal present; third costals with parallel anterior and posterior sides; cervical scale present; vertebral scales wider than long; first vertebral wider than nuchal and contact second marginal; central and posterior plastral fenestrae absent; lateral plastral fenestrae large; four phalanges of pedal digit V.

*J. lingyuanensis* differs from *Sinemys* spp. in having pygal, cervical scales, the absence of the lateral process or spine of peripheral 7, and vertebrals 2–4 wider than long. *J. lingyuanensis* differs from *M. manchoukuoensis* in having a shorter supraoccipital crest, vertebral scales 2–4 wider than long, two subequal suprapygals, and large lateral plastral fenestrae. *J. lingyuanensis* differs from *D. bicuspis* in having eight neurals, the costo peripheral fenestrae closed, the anterolateral peripherals guttered, the presence of cervical scales, and the medial plastral fenestrae closed. *J. lingyuanensis* differs from *Kirgizemys* spp. in the absence of nasal, eight neurals, and vertebral scales 2–4 wider than long. *J. lingyuanensis* differs from *Ordosemys* spp. in having a midline contact of the prefrontals,
the preneural absent, the oval carapace longer than wide, and vertebrals 2–4 narrower than vertebral 1. *J. lingyuanensis* differs from *L. jianchangensis* in having a longer supraoccipital crest, the third costals with parallel anterior and posterior sides, and vertebrals 2–4 narrower than vertebral 1. *Jeholochelys lingyuanensis* differs from *X. ningchengensis* in having an oval carapace longer than wide, and large lateral plastral fenestrae. *J. lingyuanensis* differs from *C. bohlini* in having an oval carapace longer than wide, a closed costal-peripheral fenestrae, and wider vertebral scales 2–4.

**DESCRIPTION**

**Skull**

The roof of the skull of *J. lingyuanensis* is sculptured by tiny ridges and pits (Figs. 2 and 3). Several shallow and broad sulci can be identified, possibly implying the presence of cranial scales, as in *Kirgizemys* spp. The interorbital roof is proportionally narrower than
that of *K. dmitrievi* and *M. mongolica*. The preorbital portion of the skull is subtriangular, making up about 35.4% of the cranial length (from the anterior tip of the premaxilla to the posterior end of the squamosal). Posterior to the orbit, the skull is sub-rectangular, whereas it is strongly sculptured by the supraoccipital crest and squamosal crests further posteriorly. The supraoccipital crest is slightly beyond the posterior end of the squamosal, relatively longer than that of *O. liaoxiensis*, and *L. jianchangensis* (*Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004; Zhou, 2010a*). The temporal emargination is moderately developed, resulting in the processus trochlearis oticum unexposed in dorsal view, as in *Ordosemys* spp. and *Kirgizemys* spp.

The nasals are not present in known specimens (PMOL-AR00211 holotype, PMOL-AR00190, and PMOL-AR00222). The prefrontals are well preserved and form the interorbital roof with the frontals. Anteriorly, the prefrontals enclose the external naris with the maxillae and the premaxillae. Laterally, the prefrontal bears a stout process to contact the maxilla, and this process along with the maxilla separate the external naris from the orbit. Medially, the prefrontals meet along most of their length, and are partially separated posteriorly by the frontals, as a contrast to *Sinemys* spp. and *Ordosemys* spp., in which the prefrontals are entirely separated (*Brinkman & Peng, 1993a, 1993b; Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004*). Posteriorly, the frontals are positioned among the prefrontals anteriorly, the postorbitals laterally and the parietals posteriorly. The frontal has a limited contribution to the orbital margin.

The parietal is a large element of the cranial roof. The parietals meet firmly along the midline, and separated posteriorly by the supraoccipital crest. The posterior portion of the parietal is shelf-like and expands laterally, partially overhanging the foramen stapedio-temporale, as in *Kirgizemys* spp. (*Sukhanov, 2000; Danilov et al., 2006*). Posteriorly, the parietal almost reaches the posterior end of the supraoccipital crest. Along the upper temporal emargination, the parietal bears a lateral process and possibly fails to contact the squamosal.

The small premaxillae are positioned at the anterior tip of the skull. They form the ventral rim of the naris with the maxillae. The maxilla forms the ventral margin of the orbit along the buccal side, and bears a dorsal process to contact the prefrontal. The maxilla encloses the foramen palatinum posterius with the medially-positioned palatine, as exposed through the orbit.

The jugal is partially exposed at the posteroventral corner of the orbit. The postorbital is posterodorsal to the orbit, and possibly forms the rim of the temporal emargination with the parietal and squamosal. The squamosal bears a low crest that forms the lateral margin of the upper temporal emargination. The squamosal is pinched posteriorly and directed posterolaterally. Its posterior end fails to reach the distal end of the supraoccipital crest.

The prootic, the opisthotic, and the quadrate can be identified through the upper temporal emargination. They probably enclose the foramen stapedio-temporale, which is partially obscured by the parietal.

**Axial skeleton**

The cervical series is well exposed in articulation in PMOL-AR00213 (*Fig. 4*). Cervical vertebra 3 appears to have a posterior cotyle, possibly implying an opisthocoelous
condition. Cervical vertebrae 4 is biconvex. The remaining cervicals are possibly procoelous. As in other sinemydids, the transverse processes of the cervical vertebra are anteriorly positioned. The ventral keels of the cervical vertebra are well developed. The cervical ribs are not observed in known specimens, and possibly absent in *Jeholochelys lingyuanensis*. In contrast, the cervical ribs are present in *L. jianchangensis* (*Zhou, 2010a*), which coexisted with *Jeholochelys lingyuanensis*.

As in other coexisting turtles, the tail of *J. lingyuanensis* is elongate, comparable with the carapace length. In PMOL-AR00213 (*Fig. 4*), a complete tail is preserved with 30 caudal vertebrae. The anterior five caudals are procoelous, the sixth is amphicoelous, and the remaining caudal vertebrae are opisthocoelous. The position of the amphicoelous caudal vary in the known sinemydids, and for example it is the sixth caudal in *J. sukhanovi*, the third caudal in *Ordosemys* spp., and is the fourth in *S. brevispinus* (*Brinkman & Peng, 1993a; Parham & Hutchison, 2003; Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004; Tong & Brinkman, 2013*). The transverse processes are spine-like. The size of transverse processes reduces posteriorly along the caudal series, and they disappear on the 20th caudal. The first three caudal centra bear ventral keels like in the sacral vertebrae. On the lateral surface, the caudal is sculptured by one or two foramina. The chevron is well developed and attached firmly at the posteroventral corner of the centrum along the entire length of the tail.

**Appendicular skeleton**

The pectoral girdle is poorly exposed in ventral view (*Figs. 4 and 5*). The scapula is notable with the bifurcate scapular and acromial processes. The scapula bears a distinct neck to participate in the glenoid fossa. The glenoid fossa comprises the scapula and the coracoid. The coracoid bears a strong constriction posterior to the glenoid fossa, and then becomes flat distally.

The humerus is a massive element with two expanded ends. Proximally, the lateral process is developed. Distally, the ectepicondylar foramen is exposed in dorsal view. The ulna and the radius are much slender and shorter than the humerus. The ulna is more robust than the radius, and both bones are subequal in length.

The manus is moderately elongate, about 172–179% of the length of the ulna, comparable with that of the soft-shelled turtles (*Joyce & Gauthier, 2004*). The length increases gradually from metacarpal I to metacarpal IV, and metacarpal V has a length between metacarpals I and II. The width of the shaft also reduces gradually from metacarpal I to metacarpal V. Metacarpal I is the shortest and most robust metacarpal. The five digits are clawed and with a phalangeal formula of 2-3-3-3-3, as the plesiomorphic condition of crown turtles (*Joyce, 2007*). Digits III and IV are elongate and subequal in length. The claws of digits II–III are larger than other ungual phalanges; while the claw of digit V is reduced and uncurved.

The pelvic girdles are poorly exposed in the known specimens, while the hindlimbs are well exposed in dorsal or ventral views (*Figs. 2 and 4*). The femur is robust, and is slightly longer than the humerus. The slender tibia and fibula are comparable in length.
In the known specimens, the astragalus and calcaneum are fused as the astragalocalcaneum, which becomes the largest tarsal element.

The pes is well preserved (Figs. 2, 4 and 6). The curved metatarsal V (= the anulate bone in *Joyce, Werneburg & Lyson, 2013*) is notable with a large size. In contrast, metatarsals I–IV are elongate and rod-like. Metatarsal I is the shortest and the most robust among the metatarsals, while metatarsal III is the longest. The phalangeal formula is 2-3-3-4, different from the primitive condition of 2-3-3-3-3 in the crown turtles. The additional phalanx of digit V is also known in few extant turtles and fossil turtles as hyperphalangy (*Zug, 1971; Ludwig, Auer & Fritz, 2007; Delfino, Fritz & Sánchez-Villagra, 2010*). The additional phalanx V-4 is small and unclawed. The pedal claws in digits I–IV appear to be more robust than the manual claws. The size of the pedal claws is reduced gradually along the series.

**Carapace**

The oval carapace is distinctly longer than it is wide, different from *Ordosemys* spp., *C. bohlini*, and *X. ningchengensis*, in which the carapace is subequal in width and length (*Brinkman & Peng, 1993a; Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004; Brinkman et al., 2013; Zhou & Rabi, 2015*). The posterior half of the carapace is constricted and tightly curved, as in *O. liaoxiensis*, *M. manchoukuoensis*, *D. bicuspis*, and *L. jianchangensis*. The carapacial surface is generally smooth. Plications are posteriorly-directed and weakly developed along the vertebral sulci in the known specimens. However, in the holotype, some plications are well developed along the sulci of marginals 6–11 (Fig. 2), but the plications are absent from these positions in other specimens (PMOL-AR00214 and PMOL-AR00217; Figs. S3 and S4).

The nuchal plate is large and forms a shallow emargination, as in *M. manchoukuoensis*, *Kirgizemys* spp., *L. jianchangensis*, and *X. ningchengensis*, and different from *C. bohlini* and *Ordosemys* spp., in which the emargination is more developed (*Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004; Brinkman et al., 2013*). The nuchal contacts the first peripherals laterally, the first costals posterolaterally, and the first neural posteriorly. In contrast, a limited contact of the nuchal and second peripherals is present in *O. leios*, *O. liaoxiensis* and *D. bicuspis* (*Brinkman & Peng, 1993a; Brinkman, 2001; Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004*).

The neural series is complete and composed of eight elements. The first three neurals are subrectangular and large. Neurals 4–7 appear to be hexagonal with very short anterolateral sides. They decrease in size along the series, and neural 7 is the smallest. Neural 7 tapers posteriorly to form a narrow contact with neural 8. Neural 8 is subtrapezoidal with a longer posterior side.

Two trapezoidal suprapygals are present and comparable in size, different from *M. manchoukuoensis* and *L. jianchangensis* in which the first suprapygal is small (*Zhou, 2010a, 2010b*). The first suprapygal is separated from the peripherals by a broad contact with the second suprapygal and the eighth costals, as in *M. manchoukuoensis*, *L. jianchangensis*, *K. leptis*, *O. liaoxiensis*, and *X. ningchengensis*. The suture between the two suprapygals is nearly straight. Distally, the suprapygal-pygal suture is distinctly beyond the sulci of vertebral and marginal scales.
The pygal is a small element between the eleventh peripherals, forming a shallow emargination at the distal end of the shell.

A total of eight pairs of costal plates are present. The costo-peripheral fenestrae are fully closed in the large individuals, while a partially-closed condition is present in the smaller ones (PMOL-AR00190, PMOL-AR00124, and PMOL-AR00222), as a juvenile feature that is also observed in other sinemydids (Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004; Shao et al., 2017). The first costal plate has a relatively broad contact with the first peripheral. Posteriorly, the costal plates widen gradually, reaching the maximum width at the fourth costal plate. Costals 2 and 3 are subparallel in anterior and posterior margins, unlike the distally-convergent costal 2 and the distally-expanded costal 3 of *L. jianchangensis* (Zhou, 2010a). Costal 4 is slightly expanded distally. The succeeding costals are gradually reduced in size and directed obliquely. The distal ends of the posterior costals have a tiny extrusion within the peripherals in dorsal view, like in *L. jianchangensis*, *S. brevispinus*, *O. liaoxiensis*, *K. exaratus*, *M. manchoukuoensis*, *D. bicupis*, and *X. ningchengensis* (Sukhanov, 2000; Brinkman, 2001; Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004; Zhou, 2010a, 2010b; Tong & Brinkman, 2013; Zhou & Rabi, 2015).

A total of 11 pairs of peripheral plates form the carapace with the nuchal and the pygal. Along the peripheral ring, a shallow gutter extends to peripheral 6 on each side. Peripheral 1 is small and subtriangular. Peripherals 2 and 3 are enlarged and have a rectangular outline. Their medial sides are beyond the pleural-marginal sulci. Peripherals 4, 5, and 6 are slender and gradually increase in size. Their sutures with the costals are confluent with the pleural-marginal sulci. In contrast, peripherals 7–11 are distinctly enlarged and expanded medially beyond the pleural-marginal sulci.

**Carapacial scales**

The carapacial scalation is distinct (Fig. 2). The cervical scale is slender and limited within the nuchal emargination. The vertebrals are wider than long, different from a longer condition in vertebrals 2–4 of *Sinemys* spp., *M. manchoukuoensis*, *Kirgizemys* spp., *W. hutubeiensis*, and *C. bohlini* (Brinkman & Peng, 1993b; Matzke et al., 2004; Danilov et al., 2006; Zhou, 2010b; Brinkman et al., 2013). Vertebrals 2–4 are comparable with the pleurals in width. In contrast, vertebrals 2–4 are wider than the pleurals in *Ordoemys* spp., *L. jianchangensis*, and *X. ningchengensis* (Brinkman & Peng, 1993a; Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004; Zhou & Rabi, 2015).

Vertebral 1 is slightly wider than the nuchal. Vertebrals 2–4 are hexagonal. Vertebrals 2 and 3 have comparable sizes to each other, and vertebral 4 is slightly smaller. The vertebral 1–2 sulcus has a small anterior midline projection across the mid-portion of neural 1. A similar condition is also present in other inter-vertebral sulci, associated with shallow sulcus plications. The vertebral 3–4 sulcus crosses the posterior portion of neural 5. The posterior side of vertebral 4 is reduced, and its posterolateral sides are slightly longer than the anterolateral sides. Vertebral 5 is subpentagonal, and it is larger than the suprapygals and extends onto peripherals 10 and 11 laterally.

Pleurals are paired and bilaterally positioned along the vertebrals. Pleurals 1–3 are wider than long. Pleural 4 is reduced in size and has a similar width and length.
The marginals increase in size posteriorly. They are restricted to the peripherals, except for the first marginals that extend onto the nuchal. Marginal 2 has a broad contact with vertebral 1, unlike the point-like contact in *O. leios*, *O. liaoxiensis*, and *J. sukhanovi* (*Brinkman & Peng, 1993a; Parham & Hutchison, 2003; Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004*). The pleuro-marginal sulci of marginals 4–6 match up with the costo-peripheral suture; while the pleuro-marginal sulci of marginals 7–11 are limited on the associated peripherals. Marginals 12 meet each other along the midline, and cover the pygal at the distal end of the carapace. In the holotype, marked sulcus plications are unusually present at corner point of marginals 6–11. The plication are directed posterolaterally without crossing the marginal scale. However, this feature is absent in other specimens, possibly representing an individual variation.

**Plastron**

As in other sinemydids, the plastron connects to the carapace via ligaments and pegs. It is cruciform, as wide as it is long, different from the wider than long condition in *J. sukhanovi* (*Parham & Hutchison, 2003*). In PMOL-AR00213 (*Fig. 4*), the plastral fenestrae are developed, whereas in the larger individual (PMOL-AR00218; *Fig. 5*), central and posterior (hypo-xiphiplastra) fenestrae are closed, and two large and paired lateral fenestrae are developed. In contrast, the lateral fenestrae are extremely reduced in *K. exaratus* and *J. sukhanovi* (*Sukhanov, 2000; Parham & Hutchison, 2003*). The anterior lobe is triangular and stout. The posterior lobe is elongate and wide. It has a broad base and tapers distally, forming a narrow distal end. The bridge is anteroposteriorly short, less than 30% of the plastral length. It is distinctly longer than the anterior lobe, but shorter than the posterior lobe. The plastral surface is sculptured by numerous pits and ridges.

The epiplastra and entoplastron are not preserved in any known specimens (PMOL-AR00213 and PMOL-AR00218). The hyoplastra are large elements, anteriorly separated by the entoplastron, but they meet each other posteriorly along a jagged suture. Laterally, the hyoplastron bears a deep axillary notch along its anterior margin. The axillary buttress wedges into the medial fossa of peripheral 2. Posteriorly, the hyoplastron has a nearly straight suture with the following hypoplastron. The inguinal notch is well-developed, and defines a shorter bridge with the axillary notch anteriorly. The inguinal buttress is relatively stout, in contrast to the slender buttress in *L. jianchangensis* (*Zhou, 2010a*). The xiphiplastra are reduced and form a relatively sharp distal margin of the posterior lobe.

The plastral scalation is partially exposed in PMOL-AR00218. The major elements, including the humeral scales, the pectoral scales, the abdominal scales, the femoral scales and the anal scales are observed. The humeral-pectoral sulcus is nearly straight, and is behind the entoplastron. The pectoral scales are restricted to the hyoplastron. The pectoral-abdominal and abdominal-femoral sulci are also nearly straight. The femoral-anal sulcus is confluent with the hyo-xiphiplastral suture medially. The inframarginal scales are developed, but their number is uncertain.
DISCUSSION

Phylogenetic position of *Jeholochelys lingyuanensis*

*J. lingyuanensis* was recovered in the clade of Sinemydidae (sensu *Rabi et al., 2014*) in our phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 7). In the strict consensus tree, *Jeholochelys lingyuanensis* is positioned in a polytomy with *X. ningchengensis*, *C. bohlini*, the *K. hoburensis–Judithemys sukhanovi* clade, and the rest of sinemydids. The clade of Sinemydidae is weakly supported by four synapomorphies, which include paired pits on the ventral surface of basisphenoid (78-1), narrow and elongate epiplastra and entoplastron (142-1), distal end of dorsal rib visible only within the costo-peripheral fenestrae on the dorsal face of the carapace (230-1), and cruciform plastron (233-1).

*J. lingyuanensis* probably introduced character conflict relative to other members of the Sinemydidae. For example, the cranial structure of *Jeholochelys lingyuanensis* is more similar to that of *C. bohlini, Judithemys sukhanovi, Kirgizemys spp.*, and *X. ningchengensis*. These features include a long supraoccipital crest and the laterally expanded parietal. In contrast, these features are less developed in most of the other sinemydids (e.g., *Sinemys* spp., *M. manchoukuoensis*, *Ordosemys* spp., *L. jianchangensis; Brinkman & Peng, 1993*; *Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004; Zhou, 2010a, 2010b*). On the other hand, vertebrals 2–4 are almost as wide as long in *Jeholochelys lingyuanensis*, like that of *D. bicuspis* and *Judithemys sukhanovi*, but different from the proportionally wide vertebrals (e.g., *Ordosemys* spp., *L. jianchangensis*, and *X. ningchengensis; Brinkman & Peng, 1993*; *Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004; Zhou, 2010a; Zhou & Rabi, 2015*) and the proportionally narrow vertebrals (e.g., *Sinemys* spp., *M. manchoukuoensis*, *Kirgizemys* spp.; *Brinkman & Peng, 1993*; *Sukhanov, 2000; Zhou, 2010b*) in other taxa. The central plastral fenestrae are closed in adult individuals, as in most of sinemydids, but different from the open condition in *Ordosemys* spp., *L. jianchangensis*, *D. bicuspis*, *C. bohlini*, and *S. brevispinus* (*Brinkman, 2001; Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004; Zhou, 2010a; Brinkman et al., 2013; Tong & Brinkman, 2013*). However, the large lateral plastral fenestrae are comparable to that of *O. liaoxiensis*, *L. jianchangensis*, *D. bicuspis*, and *C. bohlini*, but different from a reduced or enclosed condition in other sinemydids (*Brinkman & Peng, 1993*; *Sukhanov, 2000; Zhou & Rabi, 2015*).

Hyperphalangy of the crown turtles

In the crown turtles, the variation of the phalangeal counts of the manus and the pes is associated with the adaptation to terrestrial or aquatic environments. The terrestrial turtles tend to have decreased phalangeal count and shorter phalanges compared to freshwater turtles. Apart from this variation, the phalangeal formula of 2-3-3-3-3 for both the manus and the pes is widely distributed (Fig. 7) among crown turtles including stem-cryptodires and pleurodires (e.g., thalassochelydians, xinjiangchelyids and sinemydids, and platychelyids; *Joyce, 2000; Tong, Ji & Ji, 2004; Karl & Tichy, 2006; Zhou, 2010a, 2010b; Brinkman et al., 2013; Rabi et al., 2013; Anquetin, Püntener & Joyce, 2017*) and is therefore considered the plesiomorphic condition of the crown (*Joyce, 2007; Sánchez-Villagra, Winkler & Wurst, 2007*).
Hyperphalangy is rare in turtles, and is mostly found in representatives of Trionychidae with inter- and infraspecific variations. Trionychids have a distinctly increased phalangeal count (2-3-3-6-5/2-3-3-5-4 in the maximum manual/pedal phalangeal count) (Delﬁno, Fritz & Sánchez-Villagra, 2010). Hyperphalangy is otherwise present in few
extant turtles, all of which are aquatic, including the pleurodiran *Acanthochelys pallidipectoris* (additional phalanx in manual digit IV), *Phrynops* spp. (a pedal phalangeal formula of 2-3-3-3-5), and the cryptodiran *Dermatemys mawii* and *Pangshura smithii* (supplementary phalanx in pedal digit V) (*Zug, 1971; Ludwig, Auer & Fritz, 2007; Sánchez-Villagra, Winkler & Wurst, 2007; Bona & Alcalde, 2009; Delfino, Fritz & Sánchez-Villagra, 2010*).

Hyperphalangy among fossil crown turtles has been reported for the Early Cretaceous soft-shelled species *Perochelys lamadongensis* (pedal phalangeal formula of 2-3-3-4-?; *Li, Joyce & Liu, 2015*), and in the primitive marine pancryptodiran *Neusticemys neuquina* from the Late Jurassic (pedal phalangeal formula of 2-3-3-3-5 (2-3-3-3-4 in *De la Fuente & Fernández, 2011*, as they considered the hooked element as distal tarsal V)). In contrast, other thalassochelydians (sensu *Anquetin, Püntener & Joyce, 2017*, but is recovered as paraphyletic in this study, including plesiochelyids, eurysternids, and thalassemymids; *Joyce, 2000; Anquetin & Joyce, 2014; Anquetin, Püntener & Joyce, 2017*).

In the sinemydid *J. lingyuanensis*, the additional phalanx is a tiny element, observed in four specimens (PMOL-AR00211, AR00213, AR00214, and AR00222; Figs. 2, 4 and 6) in which the pedal digits are completely preserved. We therefore interpret the pedal phalangeal formula of 2-3-3-3-4 as typical for *J. lingyuanensis*, instead of an infraspecific variation like in some extant turtles (*Zug, 1971; Ludwig, Auer & Fritz, 2007; Sánchez-Villagra, Winkler & Wurst, 2007; Bona & Alcalde, 2009; Delfino, Fritz & Sánchez-Villagra, 2010*). In contrast, the additional phalanx in pedal digit V is absent in other coexisting sinemydid turtles, e.g., *C. bohlini* (*Brinkman et al., 2013*), *M. manchoukuoensis* (PKUP V1070), *L. jianchangensis* (SDUST-V1004 and SDUST-V1005; Figs. S5 and S6) and *O. liaoxiensis* (SDUST-V1020; Figs. S7).

In soft-shelled turtles, the additional phalanges are present in the unclawed digits IV and V of both the manus and pes, possibly to achieve higher mobility in water by enlarging the paddle surface (*Delfino, Fritz & Sánchez-Villagra, 2010*). Similarly, one additional phalanx of the unclawed digit V is present in *Jeholochelys lingyuanensis*. As mentioned above, the proportions of the forelimb in *J. lingyuanensis* is comparable to that of soft-shelled turtles, possibly implying a similar degree of aquatic adaptation like in trionychids (*Joyce & Gauthier, 2004*).

**INSTITUTIONAL ABBREVIATIONS**

**PIN** Paleontological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia  
**PMOL** Paleontological Museum of Liaoning, Shenyang Normal University, Shenyang, China  
**PKUP** Paleontological Collections, School of Earth and Space Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China  
**SDUST** College of Earth Science and Engineering, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, China
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
The authors would like to thank Dr. Rui Pei (The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong) for his helpful corrections on earlier versions of the manuscript. Thanks to Dr. Jérémy Anquetin (Jurassica Museum, Porrentruy), Dr. Márton Rabi (Martin Luther University, Halle), and Dr. Walter G. Joyce (University of Fribourg, Fribourg) for their critical reviews which greatly improved the quality of this manuscript.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding
This project was funded by the Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (ZR2017MD031), Liaoning BaiQianWan Talents Program (No. 2014Q110) and Research Fund of Shandong University of Science and Technology (2015TDJH101). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures
The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:
Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation: ZR2017MD031.
Liaoning BaiQianWan Talents Program: 2014Q110.
Research Fund of Shandong University of Science and Technology: 2015TDJH101.

Competing Interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions
- Shuai Shao contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, approved the final draft.
- Lan Li conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Yang Yang contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, approved the final draft.
- Chang-Fu Zhou conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

New Species Registration
The following information was supplied regarding the registration of a newly described species:
Publication LSID:
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:74F005E5-4268-4374-9983-ADA9752B8400
Genus name: Jeholochelys
ur:lsid:zoobank.org:act:348B9DD1-4479-4EE5-88E8-9B85B36F9622,
Species name: Jeholochelys lingyuanensis
ur:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D43DDDF6-ACAD-4450-BBAA-02E18F303593.
Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The specimens of *Jeholochelys lingyuanensis* described in this study are stored in Paleontological Museum of Liaoning, Shenyang Normal University, Shenyang, China. Accession numbers: PMOL-AR00190, PMOL-AR00211, PMOL-AR00213, PMOL-AR00214, PMOL-AR00217, PMOL-AR00218, PMOL-AR00222.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5371#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

Anquetin J, Joyce WG. 2014. A reassessment of the Late Jurassic turtle *Eurysternum wagleri* (Eucryptodira, Eurysternidae). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 34:1317–1328 DOI 10.31233/osf.io/f52pb.

Anquetin J, Püntener C, Joyce WG. 2017. A review of the fossil record of turtles of the clade Thalassochelydia. *Bulletin of the Peabody Museum of Natural History* 58(2):317–369 DOI 10.3374/014.058.0205.

Batsch AJGC. 1788. *Versuch einer Anleitung, zur Kenntniß und Geschichte der Thiere und Mineralien*. Jena: Akademische Buchhandlung.

Bona P, Alcalde L. 2009. Chondrocranium and skeletal development of *Phrynops hilarii* (Pleurodira: Chelidae). *Acta Zoologica* 90(4):301–325 DOI 10.1111/j.1463-6395.2008.00356.x.

Brinkman DB. 2001. New material of *Dracochelys* (Eucryptodira: Sinemydidae) from the Junggar Basin, Xinjiang, People’s Republic of China. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 38(12):1645–1651 DOI 10.1139/e01-047.

Brinkman DB, Peng J-H. 1993a. *Ordosemys leios*, n. gen., n. sp., a new turtle from the Early Cretaceous of the Ordos Basin, Inner Mongolia. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 30(10):2128–2138 DOI 10.1139/e93-184.

Brinkman DB, Peng J-H. 1993b. New material of *Sinemys* (Testudines, Sinemydidae) from the Early Cretaceous of China. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 30(10):2139–2152 DOI 10.1139/e93-185.

Brinkman DB, Yuan C-X, Ji Q, Li D-Q, You H-L. 2013. A new turtle from the Xiagou Formation (Early Cretaceous) of Changma Basin, Gansu Province, P. R. China. *Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments* 93(3):367–382 DOI 10.1007/S12549-013-0113-0.

Chang S-C, Gao K-G, Zhou C-F, Jourdan F. 2017. New chronostratigraphic constraints on the Xixian Formation with implications for the Jehol Biota. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 487:399–406 DOI 10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.09.026.

Chang S-C, Zhang H, Renne PR, Fang Y. 2009. High-precision $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age for the Jehol Biota. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 280(1–2):94–104 DOI 10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.06.021.

Crawford NG, Parham JF, Sellas AB, Faircloth BC, Glenn TC, Papenfuss TJ, Henderson JB, Hansen MH, Simison WB. 2015. A phylogenomic analysis of turtles. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 83:250–257 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2014.10.021.

Crumly CR, Sánchez-Villagra MR. 2004. Patterns of variation in the phalangeal formulae of land tortoises (Testudinidae): developmental constraint, size, and phylogenetic history. *Journal of*
Danilov IG, Averianov AO, Skutchas PP, Rezvyi AS. 2006. *Kirgizemys* (Testudines, Macrobaenidae): new material from the Lower Cretaceous of Buryatia (Russia) and taxonomic revision. *Fossil Turtle Research* 1:46–62.

De la Fuente MS, Fernández MS. 2011. An unusual pattern of limb morphology in the Tithonian marine turtle *Neusticemys neuquina* from the Vaca Muerta Formation, Neuquén Basin, Argentina. *Lethaia* 44(1):15–25 DOI 10.1111/j.1502-3931.2010.00217.x.

Delfino M, Fritz U, Sánchez-Villagra MR. 2010. Evolutionary and developmental aspects of phalangeal formula variation in pig-nose and soft-shelled turtles (Carettochelyidae and Trionychidae). *Organisms Diversity & Evolution* 10(1):69–79 DOI 10.1007/s13127-010-0019-x.

Endo R, Shikama R. 1942. Mesozoic reptilian fauna in the Jehol mountainland, Manchoukuo. *Bulletin of the Central National Museum of Manchouku* 3:1–20.

Gaffney ES, Ye XK. 1992. *Dracochelys*, a new cryptodiran turtle from the Early Cretaceous of China. *American Museum Novitates* 3048:1–13.

Goloboff PA, Catalano SA. 2016. TNT version 1.5, including a full implementation of phylogenetic morphometrics. *Cladistics* 32(3):221–238 DOI 10.1111/cla.12160.

Joyce WG. 2000. The first complete skeleton of *Solnhofia parsonsi* (Cryptodira, Eurysternidae) from the Upper Jurassic of Germany and its taxonomic implications. *Journal of Paleontology* 74(4):684–700 DOI 10.1017/s0022336000032807.

Joyce WG. 2007. Phylogenetic relationships of Mesozoic turtles. *Bulletin of the Peabody Museum of Natural History* 48(1):3–102 DOI 10.3374/0079-032x(2007)48[3:promt]2.0.co;2.

Joyce WG, Gauthier JA. 2004. Palaeoecology of Triassic stem turtles sheds new light on turtle origins. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 271(1534):1–5 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2003.2523.

Joyce WG, Parham JF, Gauthier JA. 2004. Developing a protocol for the conversion of rank-based taxon names to phylogenetically defined clade names, as exemplified by turtles. *Journal of Paleontology* 78(5):989–1013 DOI 10.1666/0022-3360(2004)078[989:vdacfn]2.0.co;2.

Joyce WG, Rabi M, Clark JM, Xu X. 2016. A toothed turtle from the Late Jurassic of China and the global biogeographic history of turtles. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 16(1):236 DOI 10.1186/S12862-016-0762-5.

Joyce WG, Werneburg I, Lyson TR. 2013. The hooked element in the pes of turtles (Testudines): a global approach to exploring primary and secondary homology. *Journal of Anatomy* 223:421–441 DOI 10.1111/joa.12103.

Karl H-V, Tichy G. 2006. Altmühlalt: neue Schildkrötenfunde im Plattenkalk. *Biologie in unserer Zeit* 36(4):214–215 DOI 10.1002/biou.200690061.

Klein IT. 1760. *Klassifizierung und kurze Geschichte der Vierfüssigen Thiere* (translation by Behn FD). Lübeck: Jonas Schmidt.

Kükenthal W. 1889. *Vergleichend-anatomische und entwicklungs geschichtliche Untersuchungen an Walthieren. Erster Theil. Kapitel II: Die Hand der Cetaceen*. Jena: Gustav Fischer.

Kükenthal W. 1893. *Vergleichend-anatomische und entwicklungs geschichtliche Untersuchungen an Walthieren, Zweiter Theil, Kapitel V.4: Die Brustflosse*. Jena: Gustav Fischer.

Li L, Joyce WG, Liu J. 2015. The first soft-shelled turtle from the Jehol Biota of China. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 35(2):e909450 DOI 10.1080/02724634.2014.909450.
Ludwig M, Auer M, Fritz U. 2007. Phalangeal formulae of geemydid terrapins (Batagur, Callagur, Hardella, Heosemys, Kachuga, Orlitia, Pangshura, Rhinoclemmys) reflect distinct modes of life. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 28(4):574–576 DOI 10.1163/1568538077882152570.

Matzke AT, Maisch MW, Pfretzschner H-U, Sun G, Stöhr H. 2004. A new basal sinemydid turtle (Reptilia: Testudines) from the Lower Cretaceous Tugulu Group of Junggar Basin (NW China). *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Monatshefte* 2004:151–167.

Nessov LA, Khosatzky LI. 1973. Early Cretaceous turtles from southeastern Fergana. *Voprosy Gerpetologii*. Leningrad: Doklady III Vsesoyuznoi Gerpetologicheskoi Konferentsii, 132–133 [in Russian].

Nessov LA, Khosatzky LI. 1981. Turtles of the Early Cretaceous of Transbaikalia. In: Borkin L, ed. *Herpetological Investigations in Siberia and the Far East*. Leningrad: Academy of Sciences of the USSR, 74–78 [in Russian].

Parham JF, Hutchison JH. 2003. A new eucryptodiran turtle from the Late Cretaceous of North America (Dinosaur Provincial Park, Alberta, Canada). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 23(4):783–798 DOI 10.1671/5.

Rabi M, Sukhanov VB, Egorova VN, Danilov I, Joyce WG. 2014. Osteology, relationships, and ecology of Annemys (Testudines, Eucryptodira) from the Late Jurassic of Shar Teg, Mongolia, and phylogenetic definitions for Xinjiangchelyidae, Sinemydidae, and Macrobaenidae. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 34(2):327–352 DOI 10.1080/02724634.2013.807274.

Rabi M, Zhou C-F, Wings O, Sun G, Joyce WG. 2013. A new xinjiangchelyid turtle from the Middle Jurassic of Xinjiang. China and the evolution of the basipterygoid process in Mesozoic turtles. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 13(1):203 DOI 10.1186/1471-2148-13-203.

Renous S, Lapparent de Broin F, Depecker M, Davenport J, Bels V. 2008. Evolution of locomotion in aquatic turtles. In: Wyneken J, Godfrey MH, Bels V, eds. *Biology of Turtles*. New York: CRC Press, 97–138.

Shao S, Yang Y, Li L, Sun D-Y, Zhou C-F. 2017. The first juvenile specimen of Manchurochelys manchoukuoensis from the Early Cretaceous Jehol Biota. *PeerJ* 5:e3274 DOI 10.7717/peerj.3274.

Sukhanov VB. 2000. Mesozoic turtles of Middle and Central Asia. In: Benton MJ, Shishkin MA, Unwin DM, Kurochkin EN, eds. *The Age of Dinosaurs in Russia and Mongolia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 309–367.

Sukhanov VB, Narmandakh P. 2006. New taxa of Mesozoic turtles from Mongolia. *Fossil Turtle Research* 1:119–127.

Sánchez-Villagra MR, Winkler JD, Wurst L. 2007. Autopodial skeleton evolution in side-necked turtle (Pleurodira). *Acta Zoologica* 88(3):199–209 DOI 10.1111/j.1463-6395.2007.00267.x.

Tatarinov LP. 1959. A new turtle of the family Baenidae from the lower Eocene of Mongolia. *Paleontologicheskii Zhurnal* 1:100–113.

Tong H, Brinkman D. 2013. A new species of Sinemys (Testudines: Cryptodira: Sinemydidae) from the Early Cretaceous of Inner Mongolia, China. *Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments* 93(3):355–366 DOI 10.1007/s12549-012-0110-8.

Tong H, Ji S-A, Ji Q. 2004. Orodovesmys (Testudines: Cryptodira) from the Yixian Formation of Liaoning Province, northeastern China: new specimens and systematic revision. *American Museum Novitates* 3438(1):1–20 DOI 10.1206/0003-0082(2004)4382.0.co;2.

Wang X, Rodrigues T, Jiang S, Cheng X, Kellner AWA. 2014. An Early Cretaceous pterosaur with an unusual mandibular crest from China and a potential novel feeding strategy. *Scientific Reports* 4(1):6329 DOI 10.1038/srep06329.

Wiman C. 1930. Fossile Schildkroten aus China. *Palaeontologia Sinica Series C* 6:5–53.
Xu X, Qin Z-C. 2017. A new tiny dromaeosaurid dinosaur from the Lower Cretaceous Jehol Group of western Liaoning and niche differentiation among the Jehol dromaeosaurids. *Vertebrata PalAsiatica* 55:129–144.

Zhang G. 2016. *Investigation and Evaluation of Fossil Resources in Liaoning Province*. Dalian: Liaoning Provincial Institute of Geological Exploration. [in Chinese].

Zhou C-F. 2010a. A new eucryptodiran turtle from the Early Cretaceous Jiufotang Formation of western Liaoning, China. *Zootaxa* 2676:45–56.

Zhou C-F. 2010b. A second specimen of *Manchurochelys manchoukuoensis* Endo & Shikama, 1942 (Testudines: Eucryptodira) from the Early Cretaceous Yixian Formation of western Liaoning, China. *Zootaxa* 2534(1):57–66 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.2534.1.4.

Zhou S, O’Connor JK, Wang M. 2014. A new species from an ornithuromorph (Aves: Ornithothoraces) dominated locality of the Jehol Biota. *Chinese Science Bulletin* 59(36):5366–5378 DOI 10.1007/s11434-014-0669-8.

Zhou C-F, Rabi M. 2015. A sinemydid turtle from the Jehol Biota provides insights into the basal divergence of crown turtles. *Scientific Reports* 5(1):16299 DOI 10.1038/srep16299.

Zhou C-F, Rabi M, Joyce WG. 2014. A new specimen of *Manchurochelys manchoukuoensis* from the Early Cretaceous Jehol Biota of Chifeng, Inner Mongolia, China and the phylogeny of Cretaceous basal eucryptodiran turtles. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 14(1):77 DOI 10.1186/1471-2148-14-77.

Zug GR. 1971. Buoyancy, locomotion, morphology of the pelvic girdle and hindlimb, and systematics of cryptodiran turtles. *Miscellaneous Publications, Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan* 142:1–98.