Platelet count on preoperative day 1 predicts the long-term responses to laparoscopic splenectomy for Chinese patients with medically refractory idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura
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Abstract

Background: Laparoscopic splenectomy (LS) is regarded as a second-line treatment for medically refractory idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), but the predictive factors for the long-term postoperative responses to ITP are still a matter of debate. We aimed to investigate the factors that can predict the long-term response after LS for Chinese patients with medically refractory ITP.

Methods: From January 2011 to September 2016, 78 Chinese patients with ITP who underwent LS were retrospectively analyzed. Twelve parameters were analyzed by univariate and multivariate methods.

Results: Univariate analysis revealed that platelet count on preoperative day (PRD) 1 ($P < 0.001$) and operative time ($P = 0.011$) were significantly associated with long-term response of ITP after LS. Multivariate analysis revealed that platelet count on PRD 1 was a predictive factor of long-term response ($P < 0.001$). Furthermore, a long-term, stable response of platelet count on PRD 1 of $> 30.0 \times 10^9/L$ was easier to achieve than a platelet count on PRD 1 $\leq 30.0 \times 10^9/L$ after LS for ITP.

Conclusions: LS is a valuable and effective option in the treatment of medically refractory ITP. Platelet count on PRD 1 is an independent predicting factor for long-term response after LS for Chinese patients with ITP.
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on standardized definitions, which results in these factors not being consistently confirmed. For example, several clinical studies reported that only a high platelet count on postoperative day 7 [6] or 3 months [12] could predict a good response to splenectomy, but a retrospective cohort study showed that perioperative platelet counts are also predictive factors of long-term response after LS for medically refractory ITP. [14] For pediatric patients with chronic ITP, lower preoperative platelet count and postoperative platelet count after one month are associated with relapse after LS. [15] Given these results, it is imperative for the response and outcome criteria to be based on standardized definitions that are adopted to provide accurate reporting and comparisons rather than on individual opinions. Following the definitions and response criteria as stated by the American Society of Hematology 2011 evidence-based practice guideline, [8] we retrospectively analyzed the clinical data of Chinese ITP patients undergoing LS in our department, hoping to find some clues and thereby identify possible predictive response factors and avoid unnecessary surgery for LS-ineffective ITP patients.

Methods

Patient population

The clinical data of 87 Chinese patients with ITP who underwent LS in the Department of Hepatobiliary surgery of the First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University from January 2011 to September 2016 were collected. The including criteria were (1) ITP cases finally diagnosed through bone marrow aspiration, excluding thrombocytopenia or other causes; (2) treatment with corticosteroid and intravenous immunoglobulin including thrombocytopenia or other causes; (2) treatment with corticosteroid and intravenous immunoglobulin that proved to be insignificant, ineffective, or intolerable; (3) operations completed by the same surgical team; and (4) all underwent total laparoscopic splenectomy. The exclusion criteria were (1) cases with incomplete clinical or follow-up data; (2) cases converted to laparotomy in operation. Three cases for the first reason and six cases for the second were excluded. Finally, 78 cases were included in this study (Table 1). Usually, preoperative imaging such as abdomen ultrasonography, abdominal CT or MRI examination was performed to detect the size of spleens, accessory spleens and so on. Informed consent to use their data in research obtained from all participants was written before surgery. This study was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University.

Primary ITP was defined according to the American Society of Hematology 2011 evidence-based practice guideline as a platelet count less than 100 × 10^9/L, without other causes or disorders that may be associated with thrombocytopenia. [8] Responses were defined as follows: complete response (CR): a platelet count ≥ 100 × 10^9/L and the absence of bleeding; response (R): a platelet count ≥ 30 × 10^9/L but < 100 × 10^9/L and a doubling from baseline and the absence of bleeding; no response (NR): a platelet count < 30 × 10^9/L or a less than two-fold increase in platelet count from baseline or the presence of bleeding. [8] The blood specimen was extracted from the ulnar vein from patients.

Surgical procedure

All procedures were performed by the same staff surgeon (Dr. Xiong Yan) with two surgical residents, an experienced laparoscopic surgical team that had completed more than 100 cases of LS. Briefly, LS was performed in a four-trocar technique. After carefully exploring for

| Table 1 Characteristics of Patients |
|----------------------------------|
| Factors                          | Overall (n = 78) | CR + R (n = 65) | NR (n = 13) | P*  |
| ---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|-----|
| Gender (Female/Male)             | 44/34 (56.4%/43.6%) | 36/29 (55.4%/44.6%) | 8/5 (61.5%/38.5%) | 0.683 |
| Age, yr., median, (range)        | 43.8 (14.2–77.5) | 42.7 (14.2–69.0) | 49.4 (35.0–77.5) | 0.013 |
| Duration of disease, yr., median (range) | 12 (6–132) | 18 (6–78) | 4 (1–132) | 0.370 |
| Response to corticosteroid (yes/no) | 58/20 | 50/15 | 8/5 | 0.246 |
| PLT count, 10^9/L, median (range) | PRD 1 44.0 (4.0–394.0) | 47.0 (22.0–394.0) | 21.0 (4.0–138.0) | 0.001 |
| POD 1 93.0 (21.0–415.0) | 94.0 (59–415.0) | 88.0 (21.0–156.0) | 0.681 |
| POD 3 195.0 (112.0–422.0) | 198.0 (112.0–422.0) | 188.0 (123.0–415.0) | 0.503 |
| POD 7 250.0 (189.0–592.0) | 250.0 (189.0–592.0) | 244.0 (191.0–514.0) | 0.489 |
| Operative time, minutes, median (range) | 146.0 (65.0–280.0) | 165.0 (65.0–201.0) | 139.0 (110.0–189.0) | 0.305 |
| Intraoperative blood loss, ml, median (range) | 200 (10–1200) | 200 (10–650) | 280 (50–1200) | 0.006 |
| Accessory spleen (yes: no)       | 6/72 | 6/59 | 0/3 | 0.583 |
| Perioperative complications (yes: no) | 7/71 | 5/60 | 2/11 | 0.056 |

Abbreviations: CR: complete response; R: response; NR: no response; PLT: platelet; PRD: preoperative day; POD: postoperative day

*P value comparison: CR + R vs NR. Data in bold represents P < 0.05
Accessory spleens, the colosplenic, gastrosplenic, and splenophrenical ligaments were dissected with an ultrasonic dissector or a vessel-sealing system in accordance to the hanging-spleen maneuver. The splenic pedicle was transected en bloc with the aid of a 45-mm linear laparoscopic stapler. After mobilization, the spleen was captured and morcellated in an extraction bag. As an indicator for postoperative bleeding or pancreatic fistula, a drainage tube was placed in the upper left quadrant in all patients routinely.

Follow-up
All patients had a regular follow-up for six to 56 months, and written informed consents were obtained. After surgery and discharge, thrombocyte count (at an interval of at least 7 days), corticosteroid use, mucocutaneous hemorrhage, and visceral hemorrhage events were recorded. Hallmarks for follow-up were sex, age, course of disease (from diagnosis to surgery), perioperative platelet count (PRD 1 and postoperative day [POD] 1, 3, and 7), preoperative response to corticosteroid (effective, dependent, or ineffective), preoperative complications, accessory spleen, operative time, and intraoperative bleeding volume.

Statistical analysis
For continuous variables with normal distribution, data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (\( \bar{X} \pm S \)) for continuous variables with skewed distribution, median, and range. Categorical variables were compared using the \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher’s exact test. Continuous variables were compared using Student’s t-test or non-parametric Mann-Whitney U-tests. Univariate analysis and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate the predictors of response after LS for ITP. All analyses were two-sided test and considered of statistical significance when \( P < 0.05 \). The data were processed by SPSS v.19.0.

Results
Baseline characteristics
The baseline characteristics of 78 Chinese ITP patients after LS are described in Table 1. Among them, there were 34 men and 44 women with a median age of 43.8 years (range, 14.2–77.5 years). The median duration of disease was 12.0 months (range, 6.0–132.0 months). Of the 78 incidents of refractory ITP cases, ten (12.8%) patients had associated diseases, including 3 with diabetes, 3 with viral hepatitis type B, 1 with cholecystolithiasis, 1 with hypertension, 1 with Brenner tumor, and 1 with hypoproteinemia, respectively. The median platelet count on PRD 1 was \( 44.0 \times 10^9/L \) (range, 4.0–394.0 \( \times 10^9/L \)).

Operative outcome
Neither postoperative death nor subsequent fatal infections were observed. The median operation time was 146 min (range, 65.0–280.2 min), and median intraoperative blood loss was around 200 ml (range, 10–1200 ml). Single or multiple accessory spleens were found in 6 patients (7.7%). No malignancy or other specific finding was confirmed by pathology. Complications related to LS occurred in 7 of 78 patients (9.0%), including five patients with postoperative bleeding, one patient with abdominal abscess, and one patient with wound infection, respectively. Patients’ oral intake began quickly after LS. The median postoperative hospitalization was 6 days (range 3–18 days).

Platelet response
The platelet response to LS was monitored regularly. On the whole, the median platelet counts were elevated promptly from \( 44.0 \times 10^9/L \) (range, \( 4.0–394.0 \times 10^9/L \)) on PRD 1 to \( 250.0 \times 10^9/L \) (range, \( 189.0–592.0 \times 10^9/L \)) on POD 7 after surgery (Table 1 and Fig. 1). Taking patients with CR and R together, a total of 71 of 78 (91.0%) patients responded from LS, observed immediately after the operation, and 7 of 78 (9.0%) patients failed to respond. After a median follow-up of 18 months (range, 6–56 months), among the 71 patients with initial CR and R, 6 patients had a loss of response or relapse during the follow-up period. Finally, with regard to the long-term response, a total of 65 of 78 (83.3%) patients had stable remission and no need for further therapy for ITP after LS. Out of 65 patients with long-term
responses, 60 patients had a CR, and 5 patients had an R at the last follow-up.

There were no significant differences between the two groups in gender, disease duration, response to corticosteroid, accessory spleen presence, preoperative complications, and postoperative platelet count (Table 1). Compared with those in Groups CR and R, patients in Group NR were older ($P = 0.013$), they had significantly lower preoperative platelet count ($P = 0.001$) and more intraoperative blood loss ($P = 0.006$) before surgery.

**Predictive factors for CR and R**

All data of patient characteristics and surgical results were used for univariate analysis in association with the achievement of response after the medians were used as cut-off values (Table 2). Platelet count on PRD 1 and operative time were demonstrated to be related to long-term response for ITP after LS ($P < 0.001$ and $P = 0.011$, respectively). Then, multivariate analyses were used to examine the association between significant clinical factors and long-term response. Platelet count on PRD 1 ($P < 0.001$, Fig. 2A) other than operative time ($P = 0.051$) proved to be an independent predictive factor for CR and R for ITP after LS.

In this study, the median platelet counts on PRD 1 were $47.0 \times 10^9/L$ and $21.0 \times 10^9/L$ in Groups CR + R and Group NR, respectively, which was consistent with a previous report stating that patients with preoperative platelet counts < $40.0 \times 10^9/mL$ had poor response to steroid or IgG boosting. [14] Here, we further analyzed whether a lower preoperative platelet count such as $\leq 30.0 \times 10^9/L$ could predict poor response to ITP after LS because low platelet count ($\leq 30.0 \times 10^9/L$) is considered less beneficial in LS with higher morbidity and a low chance to achieve stable response. [14, 16] Our data showed that platelet count on PRD 1 > $30.0 \times 10^9/L$ made it easier to achieve a long-term stable response than platelet count on PRD 1 ≤ $30.0 \times 10^9/L$ after LS for ITP ($P = 0.034$, Fig. 2B).

**Discussion**

Although LS is the second-line therapy for refractory ITP, it is a proper intervention and the most effective treatment, superior to various medical therapies, and yields long-lasting responses (CR and R) in most patients. [5, 17] The present study showed that 83.3% (65/78) refractory ITP patients achieved a long-term response after LS during our follow-up periods; thus, 16.7% of all patients had no response. The site of platelet destruction from autoantibodies binds to platelets, or antiplatelet-specific plasma cells are related to the poor response. [18–20] However, it is difficult for surgeons to explore the site of platelet destruction. Therefore, it is important to evaluate the adverse factors response for the outcomes of ITP after LS. Moreover, we reevaluated some potential perioperative predictors based on the consensus criteria as defined in 2011 and examined whether they had the same predictive value as reported in older studies.

Here, our long-term observations revealed that platelet count on PRD 1, rather than postoperative platelet count, was associated with a long-term response after LS for medically refractory ITP. This result is supported by Rijcken’s research [14], reporting that patients with high preoperative platelet count boosted with steroids and immunoglobulins had a stable long-term response. Another clinical study, with a mean follow-up of 22 months, also suggested that a higher preoperative platelet count (greater than $70 \times 10^9/L$) could predict a successful response to LS. [21] In terms of safety, keeping a

### Table 2: Independent Risk Factors Predicting the longterm response for ITP after LS

| Factors                        | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                               | HR (95%CI)          | P-value               | HR (95%CI)          | P-value               |
| Age (years)                   |                     | 0.194                 |                     |                      |
| Gender (Female/Male)          |                     | 0.683                 |                     |                      |
| Duration of disease           |                     | 0.094                 |                     |                      |
| PLT count on PRD 1            | 1.329 (0.851–1.807) | < 0.001*             | 0.932~ 0.997        | < 0.001*             |
| PLT count on POD 1            |                     | 0.087                 |                     |                      |
| PLT count on POD 3            |                     | 0.174                 |                     |                      |
| PLT count on POD 7            |                     | 0.065                 |                     |                      |
| Operative time,               | 1.573 (0.852–2.294) | 0.011*               | 0.967~ 1.000        | 0.051                |
| Intraoperative bleeding, ml,  |                     | 0.220                 |                     |                      |
| Accessory spleen (yes:no)     |                     | 0.569                 |                     |                      |
| Response to hormone (yes:no)  |                     | 0.417                 |                     |                      |
| Perioperative complications (yes:no) | 0.096 |                     |                      |

Abbreviations: ITP: immune thrombocytopenic purpura; LS: laparoscopic splenectomy; PLT: platelet; PRD: preoperative day; POD: postoperative day; *$P < 0.05$
higher preoperative platelet count is no doubt an appropriate surgical strategy for a safer operation and a benefit to postoperative recovery. [22] Moreover, few studies had an accurate definition for “preoperative day.” From admission to the day of surgery, platelet count could change significantly, especially after boosting with corticosteroid and immunoglobulins, receiving platelet transfusion, or/and persistent platelet destruction in the spleen, which might result in different outcomes from surgery. Interestingly, our data demonstrated that platelet count on PRD 1 higher than 30.0 × 10^9/L had a good response to LS for medically refractory ITP. First, a lower preoperative platelet count might reflect not only platelet destruction in a high splenic capacity but also insufficient platelet production contributed to by incomplete megakaryopoiesis to functional platelets in specific bone marrow niches that would perhaps result in NR after splenectomy. [23, 24] Second, compared to other time points before surgery, platelet count on PRD 1 seems to reflect an authentic milieu in the spleen more accurately, which is closely related to preoperative platelet destruction. Third, from the clinical point of view, a platelet count of at least 30–50 × 10^9/L is supposed to be the “safe” level by most surgeons. A low preoperative platelet count of ≤30 × 10^9/L is proposed to be less beneficial in LS, with more platelet transfusion requirements, and about one-third of transfused platelets are sequestered in the splenic pool and destroyed. [25, 26] Thus, a combination of reducing platelet destruction and stimulating platelet production may increase the efficacy and chances of a favorable outcome in ITP. The patient with low platelet count receiving preoperatively another course of steroids or IgG or eltrombopag or romiplostim maybe should tried out, which could be validated by prospective trials. The optimal perioperative management, especially for patients with ultra low PLT should be developed, such as hematologist’s assessment for potential treatment strategy, preoperative platelet transfusions preparation, intraoperative platelet transfusions, dissecting and clipping the splenic artery as early in the course of the operation as possible [27].

Although some literature is available mentioning other important predictive factors such as postoperative platelet count, age, response to hormone, and disease course, [6, 12, 14, 28] current results showed only that low platelet count on PRD 1 was an independent postoperative response predictor for ITP. Several aspects may contribute to this discrepancy. The first may be different sample sizes and patient characteristics. The second reason could be the heterogeneous nature of ITP. Furthermore, different including criteria and definitions of ITP and response would lead to these inconsistencies. Finally, various discontinuations of medical therapy such as corticosteroids, immunoglobulins, or rituximab should also be taken into account. However, these factors are not the decisive surgical indications, because patients without these predictors still have a reasonable chance of response. Actually, long-term response after LS is crucial for patients with medically refractory ITP.

The present study supported splenectomy as a safe management option with a low complication rate (9.0%) and intraoperative blood loss (median 200 ml in overall), and no deaths were recorded. For the treatment of ITP, LS is a less complicated procedure for ITP, with a relatively short operative time (median 146 min overall). However, it is still noteworthy that the risk of overwhelming sepsis and thrombosis remain long-term threats for ITP patients after LS.

The present study has several limitations. The first is the retrospective nature of the design. Moreover, this
was a single-center study in China with a small sample size. In the future, we need to investigate more preoperative factors, including platelet count on various preoperative days. Hence, these limitations precluded us from drawing any firm conclusions. Larger-size, multicenter, and prospective trials are merited to validate our findings.

Conclusions
In summary, LS is a valuable and effective option in the treatment of medically refractory ITP because the majority of patients (65/78, 83.3%) achieved long-term responses in this study. Moreover, a preoperative 1-day platelet count is an independent predictive factor for surgical efficacy in these Chinese patients. Furthermore, uniform definitions of response obtained some similar results to former surgical studies. Additional studies are warranted to identify predictive factors of response to surgical therapy and might facilitate optimization of the selection of patients suitable for surgery.

Abbreviations
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