Optical interferometry of early-type stars with PAVO@CHARA – I. Fundamental stellar properties
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ABSTRACT
We present interferometric observations of seven main-sequence and three giant stars with spectral types from B2 to F6 using the Precision Astronomical Visible Observations beam combiner at the Center for High Angular Resolution Astronomy array. We have directly determined the angular diameters for these objects with an average precision of 2.3 per cent. We have also computed bolometric fluxes using available photometry in the visible and infrared wavelengths, as well as space-based ultraviolet spectroscopy. Combined with precise Hipparcos parallaxes, we have derived a set of fundamental stellar properties including linear radius, luminosity and effective temperature. Fitting the latter to computed isochrone models, we have inferred masses and ages of the stars. The effective temperatures obtained are in good agreement (at a 3 per cent level) with nearly independent temperature estimations from spectroscopy. They validate recent sixth-order polynomial ($B - V - T_{\text{eff}}$) empirical relations, but suggest that a more conservative third-order solution could adequately describe the ($V - K - T_{\text{eff}}$) relation for main-sequence stars of spectral types A0 and later. Finally, we have compared mass values obtained combining surface gravity with inferred stellar radius ($gravity mass$) and as a result of the comparison of computed luminosity and temperature values with stellar evolutionary models ($isochrone mass$). The strong discrepancy between isochrone and gravity masses obtained for one of the observed stars, $\gamma$ Lyr, suggests that determination of the stellar atmosphere parameters should be revised.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Long-baseline optical interferometry (with baselines up to hundreds of metres in length) has enabled us to measure angular diameters of bright stars, with typical values of a few milliarcseconds. Combined with accurate parallax and photometry, these measurements allow direct determination of fundamental stellar properties, such as the linear radii of their photospheres or the effective surface temperature of the stars, and have become a very valuable tool to contrast observational results with stellar models of increasing complexity.

The pioneering work of Hanbury Brown, Davis & Allen (1974a), using the Narrabri Stellar Intensity Interferometer (NSII), provided angular sizes of 32 O- to F-type stars. Subsequently, Code et al. (1976) established the empirical temperature scale for stars of spectral type F5 and earlier by means of combining Hanbury Brown et al. (1974a) diameters with multiband spectra from which they inferred bolometric fluxes. The majority of the stars observed with the NSII belonged to luminosity classes I–III, and only about one third of them were main-sequence or subgiant stars (luminosity classes IV or V), since the instrument favoured observations of stars with larger diameters, given the same surface brightness. For several decades, all the early-type-star (between O0 and A7) diameter measurements (a total of 16) came from the NSII observations (Davis 1997). Even in more recent years, the papers referenced in the Catalog of High Angular Resolution Measurements (CHARM2) catalogue (Richichi, Percheron & Khristoforova 2005) contained just 24 entries corresponding to direct diameter measurements for main-sequence or subgiant stars. The advent of optical long-baseline interferometry using hectometric baselines, particularly
in the near-infrared, has provided rapid progress in the number of main-sequence and subgiant stars with direct diameter measurements. Most notably, van Belle & von Braun (2009) reported interferometric diameter measurements for 44 G-type or later main-sequence stars, deriving colour–temperature relations, and Boyajian et al. (2012a,b) published results of a survey carried out on a combined sample of 77 dwarfs spanning from A to M spectral types, developing new empirical laws relating broad-band colours and effective temperature.

As a result of resolution and sensitivity constraints particularly in the near-infrared, there is a clear sample bias, as only 5 out of the 121 stars studied in these papers belong to spectral class A or earlier. The major stumbling block has been that to access significant populations of hot stars, resolutions better than 1 mas are required. The rise of beam combiners that can operate in the visible range of the spectrum with improved sensitivity, such as Precision Astrometrical Visible Observations (PAVO)@ Center for High Angular Resolution Astronomy (CHARA), allows routine measurements of submilliarcsecond stellar diameters (Huber et al. 2012a; White et al. 2013) and offers the possibility to extend the spectral range to earlier type stars within similar sensitivity constraints.

Obtaining precise individual properties of B and A main-sequence stars is of considerable importance in stellar astrophysics, since they represent the most massive and luminous objects that can be described by models containing simplifying assumptions such as local thermodynamic equilibrium physics, hydrostatic equilibrium or purely radiative envelopes, in contrast to those corresponding to more massive or evolved objects, providing a useful benchmark for stellar atmosphere models. Furthermore, the intrinsic higher surface brightness of early-type (mainly B and A) stars makes them suitable calibration stars (Boden 2003; Mozurkewich et al. 2003) for correction of instrumental and atmospheric effects in visible and near-infrared interferometry. Therefore, direct measurement of submilliarcsecond angular diameters has the potential to improve calibration of interferometric observations of objects with larger projected sizes.

The drawback is that stars earlier than F6 often rotate rapidly (van Belle 2012). As the rotational velocity approaches its critical value, the centrifugal force induces latitudinal temperature gradients (an effect known as gravity darkening, von Zeipel 1924a,b; Maeder & Meynet 2000) and, depending on the inclination of the stellar rotation axis, the apparent stellar disc may look oblade. Projected rotational velocities can be used to model the effect of rotation in the interferometric observables (Yoon et al. 2007), with the finding that effects of low rotation rates can be neglected for low spatial frequencies.

In this paper, we present the results of our pilot study on a small sample of 10 nearby stars with submilliarcsecond angular size with spectral types in the B2–F6 range. Computed bolometric fluxes, together with precise Hipparcos parallaxes, have been used to derive the fundamental stellar parameter’s linear radius, luminosity and effective temperature, with results that are in good agreement with measurements obtained using independent methods. Finally, we have estimated stellar mass and ages by means of isochrone model fitting.

### 2 TARGET SAMPLE AND OBSERVATIONS

#### 2.1 Target sample

The stars observed in this study are extensively used as calibrators in near-infrared interferometry, mainly in observations using the Michigan InfraRed Combiner instrument (see, e.g., Monnier et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2009; Che et al. 2011). In addition to their use in fundamental parameters of the observed stars (in combination with other measurements), angular diameter estimation constitutes a direct measurement of the interferometric response independent of diameter estimates based on indirect methods (see Cruzalèbes et al. 2010, and references therein) that rely on high-fidelity spectral energy distribution (SED) templates or stellar atmosphere models.

Table 1 lists the objects observed, as well as the physical parameters describing their stellar atmospheres ($T_{\text{eff}}$, log $g$ and metallicity), determined from spectroscopic and photometric observations. It also includes the measured Hipparcos parallaxes (van Leeuwen 2007). These parallaxes correspond to distances smaller than $\sim 200$ pc, with uncertainties ranging from 1 to 5 per cent for most of the stars observed. The more distant stars $\sigma$ Cyg and $o$ And

| Name | HD | SpTy | V | B−V | V−K | $T_{\text{eff}}$ | $\log g$ | [Fe/H] | Hipparcos $\pi$ (mas) | Rotation $\sin i$ |
|------|----|------|---|-----|-----|---------------|--------|--------|---------------------|----------------|
| 40 Leo | HD 89449 | F6IV-V | 4.8 | 0.44 | 1.140 | 6447 ± 40 | 4.11 ± 0.02 | 0.09 ± 0.03 | 46.8 ± 0.24 | 17 ± 2 |
| 7 And | HD 219080 | F1V | 4.54 | 0.279 | 0.753 | 7292 ± 127 | 4.16 ± 0.02 | −0.02 ± 0.08 | 40.67 ± 0.22 | 61 ± 6 |
| 37 And | HD 5448 | A6V | 3.867 | 0.133 | 0.365 | 8090 ± 300 | 3.99 ± 0.15 | 0.03 ± 0.05 | 25.14 ± 0.86 | 70 ± 11 |
| θ Leo | HD 9763 | A2V | 3.324 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 9286 ± 183 | 3.68 ± 0.15 | −0.03 ± 0.13 | 19.76 ± 0.17 | 21 ± 4 |
| b Leo | HD 95608 | A1V | 4.407 | 0.058 | 0.092 | 9372 ± 477 | 4.22 ± 0.20 | 0.00 ± 0.10 | 25.73 ± 0.18 | 18 ± 7 |
| η Aur | HD 32630 | B4V | 3.158 | −0.146 | −0.591 | 16821 ± 295 | 4.10 ± 0.02 | −0.04 ± 0.07 | 13.4 ± 0.2 | 98 ± 25 |
| ζ Cas | HD 33606 | B2IV | 3.666 | −0.183 | −0.849 | 21061 ± 706 | 3.84 ± 0.05 | −0.07 ± 0.08 | 5.5 ± 0.16 | 17 ± 8 |
| γ Lyr | HD 176437 | A1III | 3.25 | −0.05 | −0.036 | 10959 ± 973 | 3.81 ± 0.24 | 0.12 ± 0.03 | 5.26 ± 0.27 | 65 ± 12 |
| σ Cyg | HD 202850 | B9.5Ia | 4.256 | 0.086 | 0.003 | 11839 ± 256 | 2.05 ± 0.06 | 0.15 ± 0.13 | 1.13 ± 0.19 | 25 ± 10 |
| o And | HD 217675 | B6III | 3.633 | −0.081 | −0.360 | 14491 ± 435 | 2.95 ± 0.10 | 0.03 ± 0.14 | 4.75 ± 0.53 | 240 ± 50 |

Notes. V magnitude and (B − V) colour are taken from references in SIMBAD. ($V − K$) colour computed using $K$-band photometry is taken from the General Catalogue of Photometric Data (GCPD; Mermilliod, Mermilliod & Hauck 1997). Stellar atmosphere parameters for every object have been averaged over the values presented in 1Prugniel, Vakils & Koleva (2011), 2Wu et al. (2011), 3Pier, Saha & Kinman (2003), 4Elspamer & North (2003), 5Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005), 6Koleva & Vazdekis (2012), 7Blackwell & Lysnas-Gray (1998), 8Gardiner, Kupka & Smalley (1999), 9Allende Prieto & Lambert (1999), 10Balachandran et al. (1986), 11Ammons et al. (2006), 12Adelman et al. (2002), 13Gies & Lambert (1992), 14Nieva & Przybilla (2012), 15Adelman (1986), 16Adelman (1988), 17Cottrell & Sneden (1986), 18Hill & Landstreet (1993), 19Smith & Dworetsky (1993). Parallaxes are taken from van Leeuwen (2007). Projected rotational velocities ($\sin i$) are taken from Glesbocki & Gucinski (2005).
have larger 17 and 11 per cent errors at a distance of 880 and 210 pc, respectively.

The stars in our sample span from B2 to F6 spectral types (effective temperatures in the 21 000–6400 K range). Most of them (seven) are main sequence or subgiants (luminosity classes V or IV), two are giants (γ Lyr, o And) and one is a supergiant star (σ Cyg). Main-sequence stars of spectral types earlier than ∼F6 (M > 1.5 M⊙), exhibiting radiative envelopes, are expected to rotate rapidly (see van Belle 2012, and references therein). As a consequence, they can show significant projected oblateness depending on the inclination of their polar axis. All the stars in our sample, with the sole exception of o And (o sin i ∼ 250 km s⁻¹, see Balona & Dziembowski 1999; Głębokii & Gnancki 2005), have projected rotational velocities that are significantly less than 50 per cent of the critical rotational velocity (see typical values for different spectral types in Tassoul 2000), and therefore no important deviations from projected circular shapes are expected (Frémat et al. 2005). For o And, Clark, Tarasov & Panko (2003) reported that o And could be seen nearly equator-on, implying that the star rotates at ∼50 per cent of the critical velocity, which would result in an equatorial radius less than 4 per cent larger than the polar radius (Owocki, Cranmer & Blondin 1994). Unfortunately, our observations are not sensitive to oblateness, given that we observed this object using only one baseline.

Among the stars studied, three objects (σ Cyg, b Leo and o And) present some hints for the existence of companions at less than 1° in separation according to the Eggleton & Tokovinin (2008) compilation. Nevertheless, only one object (o And) shows observational evidence of the existence of close companions according to the Washington Double Star catalogue (Mason et al. 2001), the Multiple Star Catalogue (Tokovinin 1997) and the 9th Catalogue of Spectroscopic Binary Orbits (Pourbaix et al. 2004). o And is a complex object, consisting of two components A and B (Olevič & Cvetković 2006), separated by 0.34 arcsec [m_r(A) = 3.63; m_r(B) = 6.03]. Both components have been described as spectroscopic binaries. The Aα–Ab components of the main spectroscopic binary have an estimated separation of 0.05 arcsec. The brightest star of the pair is believed to be a γ Cas-type variable that injects material in a circumstellar shell in rapid discrete ejections (Clark et al. 2003), switching between B- and B-type spectra in a time-scale of ∼10³ d. The short-term photometric variability of this object does not correlate with an enhancement in the shell emission and seems to be photospheric in origin. All these features make o And an extraordinarily difficult object to study, with conditions that might be relatively far from the simplified assumptions (circular projected shape and isothermal surface) considered throughout this paper; its continued use as an interferometric calibrator is not advised. Nevertheless, the measured angular diameter and effective temperature of o And show that the influence of companion objects is smaller than the precision of our observations.

2.2 Interferometry

Interferometric observations of our target sample were carried out using the PAVO beam combiner (Ireland et al. 2008), located at the CHARA array (ten Brummelaar et al. 2005) on Mt Wilson Observatory (CA, USA). The CHARA array is an optical interferometer, consisting of six 1 m telescopes arranged in a Y-shaped configuration. Operating in visible and infrared wavelengths, it provides a total of 15 different baselines at different orientations with lengths in the range 34–331 m. With the longest operational baselines available in the world provided by the CHARA array and the use of visible light (0.6–0.9 μm) in PAVO, the instrumentation used in this study delivers the highest angular resolution yet achieved (∼0.3 mas in the visible).

The PAVO instrument (see detailed description in Ireland et al. 2008) is a pupil-plane Fizeau beam combiner optimized for sensitivity and high angular resolution. We briefly summarize the basics of the instrument here. Visible and infrared light are separated by a dichroic with a cutoff at 1 μm. The visible beams (up to three) enter PAVO, and are focused by a set of achromatic lenses in an image plane. The beams are passed through a three-hole non-redundant mask that acts as a spatial filter. After going through the mask, the beams interfere and produce spatially modulated pupil-plane fringes. The fringes are formed on a lenslet array that divides the pupil in 16 independent segments, allowing an optimal usage of the multi-Δr₀ apertures of the CHARA array. Finally, a prism disperses the fringes and these are reimaged and recorded on a low-noise readout EMCCD detector. Early PAVO@CHARA results have been presented by Bazot et al. (2011), Derekas et al. (2011) and Huber et al. (2012a,b).

Observations of the objects listed in Table 1 using PAVO@CHARA were carried out in 2010 July (2 and 3), 2011 May (12 and 13), 2011 August (11), 2011 October (2 and 3), 2012 August (5 and 6) and 2012 September (7). Most of the observations were done using one baseline (two telescopes) at a time, with the only exception of σ Cyg, that has also been observed in three- or four-telescopes configuration using the S2E2W2 triangle mode, allowing simultaneous data collection in three baselines. The baselines used are given in Table 2. Raw interferometric data (V²) were obtained through the use of standard procedures for PAVO@CHARA data (Ireland et al. 2008; Maestro et al. 2012). Some of the objects (ζ Cas, 37 And and b Leo) have been observed on only one night.

Gauging the point-source response of the interferometer is essential to obtain an accurate calibration of the observed sources. To this end, and according to standard practice in optical interferometry (Boden 2003; van Belle & van Belle 2005), we interleave observations of the science targets with others of calibration stars, in such manner that a bracket calibrator–target–calibrator is completed in 15–20 min. The calibration stars match, as closely as possible, the ideal point-like (θ < 0.25 mas) source located at the smallest angular distance in the sky from the object (at an average distance of ∼7.5 between target and calibrator). Table 3 shows all the calibrators used in our study. Expected diameters have been computed using V − K colours (Kervella et al. 2004), dereddened according to the interstellar extinction maps presented by Drimmel, Cabrera-Lavers & López-Corredoira (2003). We have checked each calibrator in the literature for possible multiplicity or variability prior to observations. Analysis of the data obtained for HD 216523 revealed that the object is in fact a binary star, and therefore it was excluded from the list of calibrators. PAVO@CHARA sensitivity limits impose a

| Table 2. CHARA baselines used. Position angle (PA) is measured in degrees east of north. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Baseline | Length (m) | PA (deg) |
|----------|------------|----------|
| W2W1     | 107.93     | −80.9    |
| W2E2     | 156.26     | +63.2    |
| W2S2     | 177.45     | −20.9    |
| W2S1     | 210.98     | −19.1    |
| E2S2     | 248.13     | +17.9    |
| W1S2     | 249.39     | −42.8    |
| W1E2     | 251.34     | +77.7    |
| E1S1     | 330.70     | +22.3    |
Table 3. List of calibration stars used, including the spectral type, $V - K$ colour and extinction $E(B-V)$, the predicted diameter (in milliarcseconds) from $V - K$ using prescriptions of Kervella et al. (2004) as well as projected rotational velocities ($\upsilon \sin i$, in km s$^{-1}$) from Glöckler & Gnaciński (2005). Photometry has been taken from references in SIMBAD data base and the GCPD (Mermilliod et al. 1997).

| HD    | SpTy   | $V - K$ | $E(B-V)$ | $\theta_{V-K}$ | $\upsilon \sin i$ | ID     |
|-------|--------|---------|----------|----------------|------------------|--------|
| 1279  | B7III  | -0.157  | 0.037    | 0.202          | 25 $\pm$ 9      | b/g    |
| 1606  | B7V    | -0.358  | 0.037    | 0.161          | 120 $\pm$ 22    | c      |
| 4142  | B5V    | -0.334  | 0.034    | 0.193          | 160 $\pm$ 24    | eg     |
| 10390 | B9V    | -0.147  | 0.017    | 0.180          | 58 $\pm$ 15     | c      |
| 29526 | A0V    | -0.001  | 0.017    | 0.243          | 80 $\pm$ 13     | f      |
| 29721 | B9III  | 0.179   | 0.047    | 0.242          | 232 $\pm$ 29    | f      |
| 88737 | F9V    | 1.334   | 0.006    | 0.459          | 10 $\pm$ 3      | a      |
| 89363 | A0     | 0.117   | 0.015    | 0.150          | 1 $\pm$ 2       | w      |
| 92825 | A3V    | 0.155   | 0.008    | 0.352          | 188 $\pm$ 18    | de     |
| 93702 | A2V    | 0.239   | 0.014    | 0.326          | 208 $\pm$ 15    | de     |
| 171301| B8IV   | -0.180  | 0.025    | 0.184          | 53 $\pm$ 15     | h      |
| 174262| A1V    | 0.074   | 0.025    | 0.226          | 111 $\pm$ 11    | h      |
| 174567| A0V    | 0.073   | 0.044    | 0.160          | 18 $\pm$ 7      | h      |
| 176871| B5V    | -0.162  | 0.038    | 0.210          | 268 $\pm$ 34    | h      |
| 179527| B8III  | -0.074  | 0.046    | 0.208          | 30 $\pm$ 12     | h      |
| 197392| B1II   | -0.212  | 0.212    | 0.213          | 33 $\pm$ 9      | i      |
| 204403| A5V    | -0.489  | 0.027    | 0.227          | 117 $\pm$ 18    | i      |
| 207516| B8V    | -0.157  | 0.016    | 0.179          | 103 $\pm$ 18    | i      |
| 211211| A2V    | 0.061   | 0.018    | 0.243          | 238 $\pm$ 27    | ji     |
| 219290| A0V    | -0.011  | 0.023    | 0.178          | 50 $\pm$ 9      | li     |
| 222304| B9V    | -0.052  | 0.024    | 0.269          | 165 $\pm$ 25    | b      |

Notes. Calibrator not used: HD 216523 (binary star). The last column refers to the ID of the target star for which the calibrator has been used (see the first column of Table 4).

3 FUNDAMENTAL STELLAR PARAMETERS

3.1 Angular diameters

The squared-visibility ($V^2$) measurements obtained for each of the stars presented in this work were fitted to the single star limb-darkened disc model (given by Hanbury Brown et al. 1974b)

$$ V = \left( \frac{1 - \mu_x}{2} + \frac{\mu_x}{3} \right)^{-1} \left[ (1 - \mu_x) \frac{J_n(x)}{x} + \mu_x \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{J_1(3x)}{x^{3/2}} \right] $$  

with

$$ x = \frac{\pi B \theta_{1D}}{\lambda}, $$

where $V$ is the visibility, $\mu_x$ is the linear limb-darkening coefficient, $J_n(x)$ is the $n$th-order Bessel function, $B$ is the projected baseline, $\theta_{1D}$ is the angular diameter after limb-darkening correction and $\lambda$ is the wavelength at which the stars are observed. We use $R$-band linear limb-darkening coefficients interpolating within the model grid of Claret & Bloemen (2011), using the atmosphere parameters given in Table 1, and assuming a microturbulent velocity of 2 km s$^{-1}$. The values assumed for $\mu_x$ and its error are the result of taking the median and the 0.158 and 0.842 quantiles of the interpolated values corresponding to 10$^3$ realizations of the normally distributed values of the stellar atmosphere parameters $T_{eff}$, log $g$ and [Fe/H]. The uniform disc diameters were obtained by simply assuming $\mu_x = 0$ in equation (1). Fig. 1 displays the limb-darkening disc model fitted to the calibrated $V^2$. The observations made, as well as the uniform disc (computed assuming $\mu_R = 0$ in equation 1) and limb-darkened disc diameters estimated, are summarized in Table 4.

Errors in diameter have been estimated through model fitting of equation (1) using synthetic data sets (Derekas et al. 2011; Huber et al. 2012a). These data sets are generated considering the uncertainties in (1) the measured $V^2$ values for target and calibrators, (2) the adopted PAVO wavelength scale ($\pm 4.5$ nm), (3) the calibrator angular sizes ($\pm 5$ per cent, except those cases where the calibrator is expected to show larger projected oblateness) and (4) linear limb-darkening coefficient (see Table 4). All quantities are assumed to have values that are normally distributed, using $2 \times 10^4$ simulated data sets for each diameter estimation. Possible correlation between adjacent wavelength channels is also taken into account. The median and the width (derived from the 0.158 and 0.842 quantiles) of the distribution of the fitted diameters give the adopted values for the measured diameter and its uncertainty. The results have been adjusted to assume a reduced-$\chi^2 = 1$, compensating for underestimation of the squared-visibility error estimates (Berger et al. 2006).

Derived angular diameters show an average precision of 2.3 per cent. Fig. 2 shows the comparison between the measured limb-darkened diameters and different estimations using long-baseline interferometry (CHARM2 meta-catalogue; Harmanec et al. 1996; Vakili et al. 1997; Lane, Boden & Kulkarni 2001), or indirect methods: surface brightness methods and calibrations using colour indices [Jean-Marie Mariotti Center (JMMC) catalogue; Kervella et al. 2004; Lafrasse et al. 2010], and using detailed spectrophotometry in the visible and ultraviolet (Zorec et al. 2009). The overall agreement with other results is good (the average value of $\theta_{1D}/\theta_i$ is 0.99 with a scatter of $\pm 0.06$). $V^2$ data for $\alpha$ And do not show evidence of departure from circular shape, although this could be due to the similar orientation, projected on sky, of the baselines used. The stars of earlier spectral types, and particularly $\sigma$ Cyg, $\eta$ Aur and $\xi$ Cas, depart significantly from the range of spectral types of the stars used to infer Kervella’s $(V - K)$-diameter relation (A- to K-type), and therefore show larger deviations. It is worth noting the good concordance between observations and predictions made by Zorec et al. (2009).

Second lobe $V^2$ measurements of $\theta$ Leo make possible simultaneous estimation of both diameter and linear limb-darkening coefficient $\mu$ for this object. As a result, we obtain $\theta(\theta$ Leo) = 0.747 $\pm$ 0.024 and $\mu(\theta$ Leo) = 0.47 $\pm$ 0.03. This constitutes a modest 1 per cent increment in the estimated diameter, but a remarkable increase in the limb-darkening effect with respect to the fixed $\mu$ estimation [0(\theta(\theta$ Leo) = 0.740 $\pm$ 0.024; $\mu(\theta$ Leo) = 0.39 $\pm$ 0.03]. Given the spectral type (A2V) and the low-projected rotational velocity ($\upsilon \sin i \approx 25$ km s$^{-1}$), $\theta$ Leo is likely to be a fast-rotating star viewed nearly pole-on. This results in a higher than expected drop in intensity near the border of the apparent stellar disc, due to the alignment of limb darkening and gravity

1 http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/Cat?II/300
Figure 1. Squared visibility versus spatial frequency (defined as projected baseline divided by wavelength) for all stars in our sample. The red solid lines show the fitted limb-darkened disc model. Error bars for each star have been scaled so that the reduced-$\chi^2$ equals unity.

darkening, similar to that observed in other objects (for example, the widely studied case of the A0V fast rotator Vega; Aufdenberg et al. 2006; Peterson et al. 2006; Monnier et al. 2012).

3.2 Bolometric fluxes

We have computed the bolometric flux, $F_{\text{bol}}$, for all the targets in our sample by fitting the observed absolute SED to grids of ATLAS9 model atmospheres of solar metallicity computed by Castelli & Kurucz (2003). The fit requires collection of available photometry in the Hipparcos ($H_p$ bandpass), Tycho ($B,V$), Johnson ($UBVI-JHK$), Geneva ($UBB1B2V$), WBVR (WBVR) and Stromgren ($ubv$) photometric systems. For the bright objects in our sample, 2MASS $JHK_s$ photometric measurements are generally saturated, and therefore are not suitable for our purpose, with the sole exception of b Leo, for which no other near-infrared colours were found. Photometry longward of near-infrared passbands was not included, as they are frequently affected by infrared excess with non-stellar origin.
Table 4. Summary of observations included in this work and measured angular diameters.

| ID | Name   | HD      | $N_{UV}$ | Baselines       | $\mu_R$    | $\theta_{LD}$ (mas) | $\theta_{LD}$ (mas) | $\theta_{V-K}$ (mas) |
|----|--------|---------|----------|-----------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| a  | 40 Leo | HD 89449| 46       | E2W1            | 0.48 ± 0.04| 0.706 ± 0.026        | 0.731 ± 0.030        | 0.747 ± 0.012        |
| b  | 7 And  | HD 21080| 207      | W1W2, E2W1, S1W2, S2W1 | 0.44 ± 0.04| 0.622 ± 0.006        | 0.648 ± 0.008        | 0.649 ± 0.013        |
| c  | 37 And | HD 5448 | 115      | W1W2, E2W1, S2W1 | 0.43 ± 0.03| 0.678 ± 0.012        | 0.708 ± 0.013        | 0.692 ± 0.013        |
| d  | θ Leo  | HD 97633| 69       | E2W1, S1E1      | 0.39 ± 0.03| 0.710 ± 0.023        | 0.740 ± 0.024        | 0.721 ± 0.017        |
| e  | b Leo  | HD 95608| 46       | E2W1            | 0.38 ± 0.03| 0.416 ± 0.016        | 0.430 ± 0.017        | 0.456 ± 0.009        |
| f  | η Aur  | HD 32630| 115      | W1W2, S2W1      | 0.26 ± 0.03| 0.444 ± 0.011        | 0.453 ± 0.012        | 0.539 ± 0.010        |
| g  | ζ Cas  | HD 3360 | 46       | S1E1            | 0.26 ± 0.03| 0.305 ± 0.010        | 0.311 ± 0.010        | 0.376 ± 0.009        |
| h  | γ Lyr  | HD 176437| 161     | W1W2, W1E2, S1W2| 0.34 ± 0.03| 0.729 ± 0.008        | 0.753 ± 0.009        | 0.738 ± 0.016        |
| i  | σ Cyg  | HD 202850| 368     | W1W2, S2W1, S2E2W2| 0.35 ± 0.04| 0.511 ± 0.014        | 0.527 ± 0.016        | 0.588 ± 0.013        |
| j  | η And  | HD 217675| 46      | E2W1            | 0.31 ± 0.03| 0.494 ± 0.012        | 0.508 ± 0.015        | 0.526 ± 0.011        |

Half the stars in the sample have spectral types earlier than A0, implying surface temperatures in excess of 10 000 K. Therefore, these objects radiate pre-dominantly in the ultraviolet. In order to improve the fit of the emergent flux in the ultraviolet region, we have included coadded low-resolution flux-calibrated ultraviolet spectra (in the 1150–1980 Å and 1850–3350 Å ranges) retrieved from the International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE) archive. To avoid occasional flux miscalibration close to the edges of the spectral range covered, we have considered only the 1200–1900 Å and 1900–3300 Å regions.

All photometric data have been corrected from interstellar reddening using the maps of Drimmel et al. (2003) and the extinction description presented in Fitzpatrick (1999). In the particular case of the highly reddened σ Cyg, we have used the individual interstellar extinction curve presented in Wegner (2002). Photometry was calibrated in flux (using filter responses and zero-points from Kornilov, Mironov & Zakharov 1996; Bessell, Castelli & Plez 1998; Gray 1998; Cohen, Wheaton & Megeath 2003; Bessell & Murphy 2012) and subsequently fitted to the grid of theoretical line-blanketed ATLAS9 spectra, interpolating in both $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log $g$ model parameters. The code can also estimate the reddening correction, yielding to $E(B - V)$ values similar to those mentioned above. Table 5 shows the estimated bolometric fluxes, $F_{\text{bol}}$, computed as the numerical integral of the theoretical spectrum corresponding to the best-fitting model parameters $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log $g$ (also listed in Table 5). Uncertainties in the estimated parameters are computed using a synthetic population of $10^5$ data sets accounting for errors (correlated and uncorrelated) in the photometry used and in its calibration in absolute flux.

Fig. 3 displays plots of the resulting SED fits for the stars in the sample studied. For all the stars, the overall agreement between observed and theoretical fluxes is excellent, both in the ultraviolet and visible/near-infrared regions. The best-fitting physical parameters $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log $g$ are also in excellent agreement with spectroscopic determinations, with the only exception of γ Lyr, that shows a log $g$ value smaller than the one derived from spectroscopy. Temperature measurements of γ Lyr are widely spread in the range 3936–12715 K (Ammons et al. 2006; Koleva & Vazdekis 2012), with associated log $g$ values in the interval 3.5–4.11, that appear abnormally high for a giant star. Despite the fact that the SED-fitting method followed should not be considered accurate enough in terms
of log \( g \) estimation, the large discrepancy observed seems compatible with a lower expected surface gravity for a giant star than the spectroscopic values (see Table 1). The atmospheric parameters of this object will be discussed again in Section 3.6.

3.3 Luminosities, temperatures and linear radii

The combination of the derived values for stellar angular diameters \( \theta_{\text{LD}} \) and bolometric fluxes \( F_{\text{bol}} \) with the \textit{Hipparcos} parallaxes...
Table 6. Linear radii, luminosities and effective temperatures.

| Star     | \(R (R_\odot)\) | \(L (L_\odot)\) | \(T_{\text{eff}} (K)\) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 40 Leo   | 1.68 ± 0.07     | 4.4 ± 0.9       | 6450 ± 140      |
| 7 And    | 1.71 ± 0.02     | 7.8 ± 0.6       | 7380 ± 90       |
| 37 And   | 3.03 ± 0.11     | 40 ± 3          | 8320 ± 150      |
| \(\theta\) Leo | 4.03 ± 0.10 | 118 ± 5         | 9480 ± 120      |
| \(\beta\) Leo | 1.80 ± 0.07   | 24.1 ± 1.4      | 9540 ± 180      |
| \(\eta\) Aur | 3.64 ± 0.10   | 1450 ± 70       | 18 60 ± 230     |
| \(\zeta\) Cas | 6.1 ± 0.3     | 7200 ± 900      | 21 500 ± 400    |
| \(\gamma\) Lyr | 4.03 ± 0.10 | 118 ± 5         | 9480 ± 120      |
| \(\sigma\) Cyg | 50 ± 9         | 33 000 ± 8000   | 10 940 ± 180    |
| \(\rho\) And | 11.5 ± 1.3    | 5300 ± 900      | 14 540 ± 170    |

\(\pi\) provides us with estimations of linear radii, luminosities and effective temperatures for the stars in our sample. Measured angular limb-darkened diameters \(\theta_{\text{LD}}\) are transformed into the linear radius \(R\) for each star using the Hipparcos (van Leeuwen 2007) parallaxes.

The absolute luminosity is computed from the known distance \(d\) to the object and the bolometric flux \(F_{\text{bol}}\) by solving

\[
L = 4\pi d^2 F_{\text{bol}}. \tag{3}
\]

Finally, the combination of angular diameter \(\theta_{\text{LD}}\) with the estimated bolometric flux \(F_{\text{bol}}\) allows us to measure the effective temperature of a star, defined according to

\[
T_{\text{eff}} = \left(\frac{4 F_{\text{bol}}}{\sigma B_{\theta_{\text{LD}}}}\right)^{1/4}. \tag{4}
\]

The values obtained are summarized in Table 6. Fig. 4 displays the results in a Hertzsprung–Russell diagram.

3.4 Effective temperature

Fig. 5 displays a comparison of the derived effective temperature values derived from our measurements of \(\theta_{\text{LD}}\) and \(F_{\text{bol}}\) and values determined using spectroscopy listed in Table 1. There is excellent agreement between both sets of measurements, especially for stars of spectral types A0 or later. The average and median deviations are \(\approx 0.7\) and \(\approx 2.1\) per cent, respectively, with a scatter of 5 per cent. For main-sequence stars, the scatter reduces to \(\approx 2.5\) per cent.

Given the effective temperatures in excess of 10 000 K of stars with spectral types earlier than A0, a significant fraction of the total emergent flux is radiated in the ultraviolet region, where systematics caused by limitations of plane-parallel stellar atmosphere models are conspicuous, especially in the case of giants or supergiants. The physical parameters describing the best-fitting model stellar atmosphere do not necessarily represent the best description of the actual physical parameters of the star. Nonetheless, the \(L_{\text{bol}}(T_{\text{eff}})^{1/4}\) dependence of \(T_{\text{eff}}\) makes the determination of effective temperature to remain robust in spite of the simplified assumptions contained in the stellar atmosphere models used.

Table 7 compares \(T_{\text{eff}}\) derived in our study with results presented in Zorec et al. (2009) for a subset of the five overlapping objects in both samples, with \(T_{\text{eff}} > 9000\) K. The agreement of both sets of measurements is excellent. Small disagreements are likely due to the simultaneous estimation of the photosphere diameter \(\theta_{\text{f}}\), and the identification of model temperature \(T_{\text{eff}}^{\text{model}}\) and effective temperature \(T_{\text{eff}}\) made in Zorec et al. (2009). In our case, including a direct measurement of the limb-darkened diameter, assumed to represent the photosphere diameter, and estimating \(T_{\text{eff}}\) exclusively from the

| Star     | \(T_{\text{eff}} (K)\) | \(T_{\text{Zorec}}^{\text{eff}} (K)\) |
|----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| \(\theta\) Leo | 9480 ± 120             | 9180 ± 290                    |
| \(\eta\) Aur | 18 660 ± 230           | 17 940 ± 1070                 |
| \(\zeta\) Cas | 21 500 ± 400           | 21 850 ± 1390                 |
| \(\gamma\) Lyr | 10 330 ± 80            | 10 000 ± 350                  |
| \(\sigma\) Cyg | 10 940 ± 180           | 11 170 ± 450                  |
estimation of the total amount of radiated energy reduce considerably the model dependence of our results, that is limited to the use of theoretical spectra to fit the observed fluxes.

### 3.5 Colour–effective temperature relations

Whereas the empirical temperature scale for giant stars seems to be firmly established with uncertainties under 2.5 per cent (Code et al. 1976; Underhill et al. 1979; van Belle et al. 1999), the same cannot be said for main-sequence stars (luminosity classes IV and V). For those stars in our sample with $T_{\text{eff}} < 10,000$ K (spectral types A0 or later), we have contrasted the computed effective temperatures with empirical colour–temperature relations presented in Boyajian et al. (2012a) and van Belle & von Braun (2009) using $(V - K)$ and $(B - V)$ colours. Figs 6 and 7 display effective temperature versus $(V - K)$ and $(B - V)$ colours for the stars in our sample lying in the temperature range considered, as well as the results presented in

![Figure 6](image-url)

**Figure 6.** Plot of the effective temperature versus $V - K$ colour for the main-sequence stars in the studied sample with $T_{\text{eff}}$ below 10,000 K. The solid line represents the empirical relation found in Boyajian et al. (2012a) by means of a sixth-order polynomial fitted to a sample of 44 A- to G-type stars (small black dots). Triple dot–dashed line represents the third-order polynomial fit presented in van Belle & von Braun (2009).

![Figure 7](image-url)

**Figure 7.** Effective temperature versus $B - V$ colour for the main-sequence stars in the observed sample with $T_{\text{eff}}$ below 10,000 K. Data from Boyajian et al. (2012a) (small black dots) as well as empirical relation based on a sixth-order polynomial fit (solid line) are also included.

Boyajian et al. (2012a), fitted to a sixth-order polynomial in $(V - K)$ and $(B - V)$, respectively. Fig. 6 adds the empirical relation found by van Belle & von Braun (2009), using a third-order polynomial. Most of the stars in both previous studies are cooler than 7000 K, and both empirical relations nearly overlap in that range of temperatures. The same does not apply for earlier spectral types, as the two fits differ quite significantly between 6500 and 8500 K, where there were no stars in their samples. Three of the stars in our study (37 And, 7 And and 40 Leo) have temperatures within this range. Their location in the $T_{\text{eff}} - (V - K)$ diagram (Fig. 6) shows strong agreement with the more conservative third-order polynomial relation presented by van Belle & von Braun (2009). On the other hand, our data are fully consistent with the $T_{\text{eff}} - (B - V)$ sixth-order polynomial relation of Boyajian et al. (2012a) (Fig. 7). Observational effects induced by ubiquitous fast rotation among stars earlier than F6 could explain the disagreement with Boyajian et al. (2012a) sixth-order $(V - K)$ polynomial relation. Most of the A-type stars studied in that paper show high-projected rotational velocities ($v \sin i$), compatible with fast rotation seen at a lower inclination angle (closer to be equator-on) with respect to the same spectral type stars contained in our sample. Further accurate estimations of $T_{\text{eff}}$ for a larger sample of main-sequence stars earlier than F5 will significantly improve the empirical temperature scale for these stars.

### 3.6 Masses and ages

We have estimated the stellar masses ($M$, iso) and ages for our target sample by fitting the inferred luminosity and effective temperature values to PARSEC$^2$ isochrones (Bressan et al. 2012). Fig. 8 illustrates the method using 7 And as an example. For each object in our sample, we have computed a grid of isochrones equally spaced in log age (age in years) in the range 1 Myr–10 Gyr, and adopt the best fit to the luminosity and effective temperature computed values. We have assumed solar metallicity for all the stars in the sample. Uncertainties are derived by considering solutions at 1σ separation in both luminosity and temperature dimensions. Results obtained are displayed in Table 8.

![Figure 8](image-url)

**Figure 8.** Luminosity–temperature diagram showing the isochrones generated for 7 And.

Using the linear radii estimated from combined measurements of angular diameter and parallax and the spectroscopic determination of log $g$, we can estimate the so-called gravity mass (van Belle, Ciardi & Boden 2007) of each object according to

$$g = \frac{g}{R^2},$$

$^2$ http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cmd
Table 8. Isochrone masses and ages.

| Star   | $M(M_{\odot})$ | Age (Myr) |
|--------|----------------|------------|
| 40 Leo | $1.35 \pm 0.06$ | 2630 $\pm$ 210 |
| 7 And  | $1.6 \pm 0.1$    | 1120 $\pm$ 30  |
| 37 And | $2.21 \pm 0.09$  | 724 $\pm$ 21   |
| $\theta$ Leo | $2.8 \pm 0.1$  | 407 $\pm$ 12  |
| $b$ Leo | $2.11 \pm 0.06$  | 195 $\pm$ 15  |
| $\eta$ Aur | $3.6 \pm 0.1$   | 41 $\pm$ 6    |
| $\zeta$ Cas | $8.96 \pm 0.13$ | 22.9 $\pm$ 1.2 |
| $\gamma$ Lyr | $5.76 \pm 0.13$ | 74.8 $\pm$ 5.1 |
| $\sigma$ Cyg | $11.2 \pm 0.2$ | 19.1 $\pm$ 0.6 |
| $\alpha$ And | $6.5 \pm 0.5$   | 52 $\pm$ 9    |

![Figure 9. Comparison of mass estimations using isochrone fit ($M_{iso}$) and the gravitational mass $M_{grs}$, computed using spectroscopic surface gravity and linear stellar radii (equation 5). The dashed line represents identity. $\gamma$ Lyr ($M_{grs} = 55\,M_{\odot}$, $M_{iso} = 5.76 \pm 0.13\,M_{\odot}$) is not shown in the plot.

\[ G \] is the gravitational constant, and $M_{grs}$ and $R_s$ stand for the mass and linear radius of the star considered. Fig. 9 displays the relation between isochrone and gravitational masses. Comparison of masses determined by both methods show a remarkable good agreement for all the objects in the sample except $\gamma$ Lyr. The reason for the large disagreement in that case is most likely related to the spectroscopic determination of log $g$, since values of 3.5, 4.11 and 3.68 reported by different authors (Balachandran et al. 1986; Prugniel et al. 2011; Koleva & Vazdekis 2012, respectively) are clearly discrepant with the values expected for a B9 giant, which combined with our linear radius estimation result in unphysical gravity mass values of $M_{grs} = 27, 41$ and $111\,M_{\odot}$ ($55\,M_{\odot}$ for the mean value log $g = 3.81$). However, the value of log $g$ derived from our SED fit yields a gravity mass value of $\approx 4.4\,M_{\odot}$, in better agreement with the $M_{iso}$ value obtained.

4 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented results of a pilot study on a sample of 10 stars (7 main sequence or subgiants and 3 supergiants or giants) with spectral types between B2 and F6. For all the objects in the sample, we have measured submilliarcsecond angular diameters and bolometric fluxes with an average precision of 2.3 and 2.1 per cent, respectively. Combined with Hipparcos parallaxes, we have derived fundamental stellar parameters, linear radii, effective temperatures and luminosities with 8, 3 and 5 per cent average relative uncertainties. Finally, we have fitted PARSEC isochrones to the values of temperature and luminosity found in order to obtain estimates of mass and age for every star in the sample. Our findings can be summarized as follows.

(i) Measured diameters show generally good agreement with predictions based on colour relations or SED fits, as well as previous interferometric measurements. $V^2$ data points beyond the first null for $\theta$ Leo enable simultaneous estimation of diameter and linear limb-darkening coefficient $\mu$. Whereas the derived diameter is less than 1 per cent larger than the fixed limb-darkening estimation, the estimated value for $\mu$ exceeds by $\approx 20$ per cent the value interpolated in Claret & Bloemen (2011) using stellar atmosphere parameters. This enhanced limb darkening, together with the star’s low-projected rotational velocity, suggests that $\theta$ Leo is in fact a fast-rotating star viewed nearly pole-on.

(ii) We derived bolometric fluxes from SED fits of theoretical ATLAS9 stellar atmosphere models to optical and near-infrared photometric data, as well as including flux calibrated IUE ultraviolet spectra, accounting for the effects of interstellar reddening. The mean absolute deviation between derived effective temperatures and estimates using spectroscopy is $\approx 3$ per cent. The agreement is excellent for main-sequence stars, and only for two of the B giants, $\gamma$ Lyr and $\sigma$ Cyg, and the main-sequence star $\eta$ Aur, the discrepancy is as large as $\approx 7$ per cent. Comparison with Zorec et al. (2009), for the subset of overlapping objects from our sample reveals excellent agreement in the results of both studies, despite some subtle differences in the modelling assumptions.

(iii) Our derived effective temperatures for A- and F-type stars confirm the sixth-order polynomial ($B - V$) colour-temperature relation presented in Boyajian et al. (2012a). However, there is clear disagreement with their sixth-order ($V - K$)-temperature relation, and our data clearly favour the ($V - K$) cubic polynomial presented by van Belle & von Braun (2009). Different inclination angles of the polar axis between Boyajian’s sample of A and early F stars with respect to ours, together with the effects of fast rotation in these stars might explain the observed discrepancy. New observations of main-sequence stars in this range of temperature, correcting the scarcity of available data should provide the basis for a more detailed empirical colour-temperature relation.

(iv) The PARSEC model isochrone fit in the temperature-luminosity plane provides mass values that are consistent with the estimated gravitational masses computed from spectroscopically determined log $g$, showing larger discrepancies for larger mass values. The unphysical result obtained for $\gamma$ Lyr gravitational mass, together with the large scatter in temperature estimations using spectroscopy, questions the accuracy of $\gamma$ Lyr atmosphere parameters and suggests strong degeneracy between log $g$ and $T_{eff}$. Additionally, a log $g$ estimation based on the SED fit to spectrophotometric data seems to give a gravity mass that is more consistent with the value obtained by means of the isochrone fitting.
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