The Roles of H₂O/Tetrahydrofuran System in Lignocellulose Valorization
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Lignocellulosic biomass as a potential alternative to fossil resource for the production of valuable chemicals and fuels has attracted substantial attention, while reducing the recalcitrance of lignocellulosic biomass is still challenging due to the complex and cross-linking structure of biomass. Solvent system plays important roles in the pretreatment of lignocellulose, enabling the transformation of solid biomass to liquid fluid with better mass and heat transfer, as well as in the selective formation of target products. In particular, H₂O/tetrahydrofuran (H₂O/THF) system has recently been widely applied in lignocellulose valorization, which has been proved to exhibit outstanding efficiency for the conversion of lignocellulose, solubilization of the intermediates and products, and shifting reaction equilibrium, thereby significantly improving the yield and selectivity of target products, as well as the full utilization of lignocellulose. In addition, THF shows low toxicity, and is known as a renewable solvent which can be produced from bio-derived chemicals. Herein, this review concentrates on the advances of H₂O/THF system in lignocellulose valorization in recent years. Several aspects relative to the roles of H₂O/THF system are discussed as follows: the pretreatment of lignin, conversion of hemicellulose and cellulose components in lignocelluloses, and the promoting formation of valuable chemicals like furfural, 5-hydroxymethyl furfural (HMF), levulinic acid, and so on, as well as the inhibiting role in humins formation. This review might provide useful information for the design of effective solvent system in full utilization of lignocellulosic biomass.
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INTRODUCTION

The over-exploitation of fossil resources and the resultant environmental concerns have impelled the development of renewable alternative feedstock to replace the depleting fossil resources. In recent years, increasing interests have been focused on the valorization of renewable lignocellulosic biomass instead of the conventional fossil resources for the production of value-added chemicals and biofuels (Lim et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2013). Every year, about 220 billion tons of dry biomass (ca. 45 EJ of energy content) can be obtained, and lignocellulosic biomass occupies about 70–95% of it. Cellulose, as the major component (40–50%) and elementary fibrils of lignocellulosic biomass, is a homopolysaccharide, comprised of D-glucose units via β-1,4-glucosidic bonds (Figure 1). It has high degree of crystallinity, polymerization (from 100 to 20,000) with high molecular weight, caused by the abundant hydrogen bonds between different anhydroglucan chains. Hemicellulose, surrounding the cellulose fibrils, bonds with cellulose and forms the gel matrix. Hemicelluloses (occupied 25–35% of the lignocellulose) are amorphous polysaccharides, and are made up of...
mainly two pentoses (xylose and arabinose) and three hexoses (galactose, glucose, and mannose). Compared to cellulose, hemicellulose has lower degree of polymerization (80–200). Different from carbohydrate-based cellulose and hemicellulose, lignin (occupied 15–20% of the lignocellulose) with high molecular weights in a range of 600–15,000 kDa, is comprised of three phenyl-propanols linking with each other via C-O linkages (e.g., β-O-4, α-O-4, and so on) and C-C linkages (e.g., β-β′, β-5, and 5–5′ linkages, etc.) (Zhou et al., 2011; Tuck et al., 2012). Via effective utilization of the natural structure of raw lignocellulose, various platform chemicals and fine chemicals can be produced. Typically, xylose, furfural, and acetic acid can be produced from hemicellulose in lignocellulose. Glucose, fructose, sorbitol, ethylene glycol, 5-hydroxymethyl furfural (HMF), levulinic acid (LA) as well as lactic acid, can be obtained from the conversion of cellulose component in lignocellulose (Kunkes et al., 2008). Among these platform chemicals, furfural, HMF, and levulinic acid have been recently defined as the top candidates of future bio-based chemicals (Zakzeski et al., 2010; Cai et al., 2014a). From the degradation of lignin in lignocellulosic biomass, many aromatic compounds, such as guaiacol, 4-ethylphenol, 4-vinylphenol, 2,6-dimethoxyphenol, and so on, can be obtained (Collard and Blin, 2014; Graglia et al., 2015). Most of these aromatic compounds can be used as the feedstock for the synthesis of polymers and materials with novel interesting properties. However, the complex composition and cross-linked structure of these three main components make the degradation of lignocellulose challenging.

Liquefaction in the presence of solvent has been considered as an efficient approach for the production of valuable chemicals or biofuels from lignocellulose, since solvents significantly improve the mass and heat transfer of solid lignocellulosic biomass (Li et al., 2018). Compared to pyrolysis, solvent liquefaction requires relatively moderate reaction temperature. Importantly, it also greatly improves the reaction rates, selectivity and the stability of desired products, as well as the economics of downstream separation. Moreover, solvent liquefaction (usually in H2O solvent) endures the presence of water in lignocellulose feedstock, thus eliminating the process of drying raw feedstock. Several studies have suggested that the mixture of polar aprotic solvent with water in lignocellulose conversion can promote the solubility of substrate fractions and influence the chemical reaction thermodynamics, thus leading to high reaction rates and improving the selectivity toward target products, where either homogeneous catalysts (acids, alkaline) or heterogeneous catalysts are usually employed (Liu et al., 2015; McCallum et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019; Maneechakr and Karnjanakom, 2019). The type and properties of solvent not only determines the distribution of products and their yields, but also affects the subsequent separation of target products (Pace et al., 2012; Shuai et al., 2016). Shuai and Luterbacher (2016) divided the solvent effects into two categories: effects on the solubility of biomass components and their derivatives, and effects on chemical thermodynamics, which could be represented by considering the typical kinetic expression of a first-order reaction rate: \( r = k \times C \). Here, \( r \) represents the reaction rate and \( k \) represents...
the rate constant. $C$ is the reactant concentration. Due to the low concentrations ($C$) of insoluble biomass in solvent, the reaction rates of biomass conversion are often restricted. Therefore, enhancing the biomass solubility can effectively improve the reaction rate of biomass conversion. Besides, increasing the rate constant ($k$) can also promote biomass conversion, which can be achieved by using a solvent that affects the thermodynamics of the molecules and complexes that participate in the chemical reaction.

Recently, $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{Tetrahydrofuran (H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF)$ mixture has been proved to act as a promising solvent system with multifunctions for the fractionation of lignocellulose and solubilizing the resulting derivatives to obtain valuable chemicals with high yield and selectivity. In addition, THF can be synthesized from biomass-based derivatives, such as furfural, maleic anhydride, or 1,4-butanediol, thus is a promising renewable and green solvent. Table 1 summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of THF system compared to other solvents for the conversion of biomass. Herein, this review tends to concentrate on the advances and roles of $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF$ mixture in lignocellulose valorization in recent years.

**TABLE 1 | The comparison of THF with other solvents.**

| Organic solvents | Density (g cm$^{-3}$) | Boiling point (K) | Solubility in water | Advantages, disadvantages for biomass conversion |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| DMSO             | 1.1                   | 462.1             | Miscible            | Poor solubility, environmental unfriendly and non-renewable, difficult recycle, high boiling point |
| Dioxane          | 1.0                   | 374.0             | Miscible            | Poor solubility, environmental unfriendly and non-renewable |
| Water            | 1.0                   | 373.1             | –                   | Green, safe, abundant, but limited solubility for lignin |
| THF              | 0.9                   | 339.0             | Miscible            | Easy separation and recycle, renewable, but poor solubility for cellulose and hemicellulose |
| Ethanol          | 0.79                  | 351.3             | Miscible            | Safe, renewable, easy separation and recycle, but limited solubility for biomass |
| GVL              | 1.05                  | 480.1             | Miscible            | Safe, renewable, good solubility for lignin, but high boiling point leads to difficult separation and recycle |

LIGNIN SOLUBILIZATION AND DEPOLYMERIZATION IN $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF$ SYSTEM

The extensive covalent cross-linking of lignin with hemicellulose (or cellulose) in lignocelluloses limits the accessibility to carbohydrates and prevents the extraction of polysaccharides. Therefore, lignin removal is usually necessary in many industries, such as paper industry and bio-ethanol production, in which lignin is firstly fractionated and conversion from lignocellulose as a "lignin-first" approach. However, the efficient solubilization of lignin is still challenging. It is reported that the solubility of lignin in solvent is related to the Hildebrand solubility parameter ($\delta$), which is defined as follows (Schuerch, 1952; Ni and Hu, 1995; Meng et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018).

$$\delta = (E/V)^{1/2}$$

Here $V$ represents the molar volume of solvent. $E$ represents the vaporization energy at free pressure, which is constituted by three parameters corresponding to hydrogen bonding forces, non-polar/dispersion forces, and dipole forces, showing as follows:

$$\delta^2 = \delta_D^2 + \delta_P^2 + \delta_H^2$$
Here, $\delta_D$ represents the dispersion interaction and $\delta_P$ is polar interaction, while $\delta_H$ represents the hydrogen bonding interaction. It is considered that solvent, whose $\delta$-values is approximate to 22.5 MPa$^{1/2}$, shows good solubility for lignin. The $\delta$ value of water is 23.4 (cal/cm$^3$)$^{1/2}$, and the $\delta$ value of THF is 9.5 (cal/cm$^3$)$^{1/2}$. H$_2$O/THF co-solvent is commonly considered as a good solvent for lignin (Mlynar and Sarkanen, 1996; Hansen, 2007). For example, Xin et al. (2012) used HSP to study the characteristics of several solute–solvent pairs, including three organic solvents (1,4-dioxane, ethyl acetate, and THF) and 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate, and proved that THF was the best solvent for lignin among the three investigated solvent systems, followed by dioxiane and ethyl acetate. In the process of lignin dissolution in H$_2$O/THF co-solvent, it is considered that H$_2$O molecules with smaller molecular size than organic solvent can act as an efficient plasticizer, which favors organic solvent diffusion into the compact lignin complexes, thereby leading to the solubilization of lignin in water-organic solvent mixture (Wang et al., 2018; Meng et al., 2019).

Recently, a “lignin-first” strategy, called as Co-solvent Enhanced Lignocellulosic Fractionation (CELF), has been widely applied in the fractionation of lignin from raw lignocellulose with high efficiency, in which H$_2$O/THF co-solvent is employed as the solvent system with the help of dilute acid (Nguyen et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2016a,b,c). In H$_2$O/THF mixture, about 85–90% of lignin in raw lignocellulosic biomass can be fractionated and solubilized. After boiling off the THF solvent, a lignin product without ash and sugar can be obtained by precipitation, which can act as a potential feedstock and be upgraded to high value-added chemicals and fuels in the further bio-refinery (Zhuo et al., 2018). The pretreatment in H$_2$O/THF system drastically reduces the molecular weight of lignin, and the cross-condensation reactions can be also efficiently minimized. For example, Meng et al. (2018) investigated the CELF lignin structure isolated under different conditions, and revealed that the molecular weight of lignin obtained sharply reduced by up to ~90% when compared to the native lignin. Moreover, the extensive cleavage of $\beta$-O-4 linkages in lignin after pretreatment was also found, in addition to an obvious decrease of aliphatic OH groups resulting from the oxidation of side chains in lignin. However, the amount of phenolic OH groups greatly increased caused by the breakage of inter-unit linkages in lignin (Cai et al., 2013, 2014a,b; Nguyen et al., 2015).

Zhang et al. (2018) compared the performances of several solvents, including THF, 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (MeTHF), $\gamma$-valerolactone (GVL), ethyl acetate (EAC), and $\gamma$-butyrolactone (GBL), on the solubilization of lignin in corn cob residue, and indicated that miscible co-solvents (e.g., H$_2$O-THF, H$_2$O-GVL, and H$_2$O-GBL) generally showed better ability for lignin fractionation than both single solvents and immiscible co-solvents like H$_2$O-MeTHF and H$_2$O-EAC under mild temperature. It was revealed that H$_2$O and organic solvent showed significant synergistic effect which favored the cleavage of linkages between lignin and amorphous cellulose in corn cob residue. Organic solvent with different structure and property also influenced the solubilization of lignin with various structure units. For instance, MeTHF, EAC, and GVL preferentially dissolved S- and G-type lignin, while THF solvent showed high selectivity to fractionate and solubilize lignin with G and H units. In H$_2$O–THF, H$_2$O–MeTHF, H$_2$O–EAC, and H$_2$O–GBL co-solvents, $\beta$–$\gamma$ bond was easier to be broken than $\beta$–O–4 bond, thereby giving 4-ethylguaiacol and 4-ethylphenol at higher temperature which occupied ~70% of the total determined monophenols. In contrast, in H$_2$O–GVL co-solvent, $\alpha$–$\beta$ bond was firstly broken, yielding guaiacol as the main product which occupied ~75% of the total determined monophenols. Jiang et al. (2014) investigated the conversion of lignin in corn cob residue in H$_2$O/THF co-solvent, and revealed that H$_2$O and THF solvents showed a synergistic effect on facilitating the dissolution of lignin, in which the ratio of H$_2$O to THF played an important role. It was found that the conversion of lignin in corn cob residue initially increased with an increasing ratio of H$_2$O/THF, and got a maximum (89.8%) at the ratio of 3:7 (v/v), then decreased (Figure 2). H$_2$O, as a nucleophile agent, was necessary to break the linkages between lignin and cellulose components, such as hydrogen bonds, ether and ester bonds, ascribing to its high hydrogen bond acceptor ability. Due to the lower hydrogen bond acceptor ability of THF than that of H$_2$O, THF mainly presented a core effect on dissolving the fragment of dissolved lignin. The fractionated and solubilized lignin existed as oligomers with little monophenolic compound formation. When the solubilized lignin was further converted at higher temperature (300°C) in single THF solvent, the yield of monophenols was significantly enhanced. In particular, the yield of 2,6-dimethoxylphenol, 4-ethylguaiacol and 4-ethylphenol sharply increased to 6.6, 4.0, 10.5 wt%, respectively. The yield of determined monophenols was raised to 24.3 wt% in the absence of extra hydrogen source addition. It was considered that the special properties of THF as a supercritical fluid under the reaction conditions contributed to the great increase of
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monophenol yield at 300°C. Thus, they proposed that THF solvent significantly favored the depolymerization of resulted lignin oligomers yielding monophenols under severe reaction conditions. Meng also investigated the solubilization of CELF lignin in several solvents. Results showed that 50 mL H₂O/THF co-solvent (40% THF) could solubilize about 2 g of lignin. 30% THF/H₂O co-solvent gave the maximum fraction yield (~51%), however, there was no obvious relationship between the THF content and the fraction yield (Meng et al., 2019). Both molecular weights of the individual fractions obtained sharply decreased with the decrease of THF concentration from 35 to 20%.

The introduction of catalyst, especially alkaline, into H₂O/THF system could selectively improve the solubility and depolymerization of fractionated lignin (Nakasaka et al., 2017). For instance, it was pointed out that, with the introduction of MgO, much higher phenolic monomer yield (13.2%) was obtained in THF solvent than that without catalyst, attributing to the excellent fractionation and dissolution of lignin and the promoting effect on the catalytic activity of MgO in THF (Table 2; Long et al., 2014). Furthermore, it was considered that O atom of THF could coordinate with Lewis acids, thereby increasing the basicity of catalyst. When Na₂CO₃ was introduced into H₂O/THF co-solvent, it was found that almost all hydrogen bonds, ester and ether bonds between the cellulose and lignin components in corn cob residue were broken at 140°C, leading to 94.6% removal of lignin (Jiang et al., 2016). In addition, the Cα-Cβ in aliphatic side-chain of lignin as well as β-O-4 linkage could be cleaved, yielding aryl aldehydes. In this work, H₂O was considered to contribute to the breakage of weak inter-linkages between lignin and cellulose components in corn cob residue, and THF primarily solubilized the resulted fragments under mild temperature. Higher temperature (300°C) enabled the further cleavage of Cαr-Cα bond, selectively giving monophenols with limited substituted alkyl groups. In the absence of extra hydrogen sources, 26.9 wt% yield of monophenol was achieved.

Given the outstanding lignin solubility in H₂O/THF co-solvent, many efforts have been devoted to reveal the solubilization mechanism of lignin in H₂O/THF co-solvent. Smith et al. (2016a,b) used temperature replica-exchange molecular dynamics to simulate lignin structure in H₂O/THF co-solvent, and revealed that single water media was a bad solvent for lignin, in which polymer–polymer interactions were favored. In this case, the lignin polymer collapsed to “globular” conformation, in which monomers were tightly packed. On the contrary, H₂O/THF co-solvent acted as a “theta” solvent, wherein lignin adopted a random coil state, unlike the collapsed globular conformation in single water medium. Because the interactions between polymer and solvent was preferential to the interactions between polymer and polymer, the self-aggregate of lignin with coil conformation could not happen, thereby resulting in the easy removal of lignin. Since H₂O/THF co-solvent system passed through a temperature gap in which H₂O and THF became immiscible, they also investigated the conformation of lignin in H₂O/THF co-solvent at this temperature regime. At the ratio of H₂O to THF commonly adopted in the process of lignin pretreatment, H₂O and THF became immiscible near 348 K and turned to miscible again above 410 K, which was just lower than the temperature (445–475 K) of lignin pretreatment in CELF approach (Smith et al., 2016b). It was worthy of noting that lignin was still a flexible random coil in H₂O/THF co-solvent for T ≥ 303 K (Smith et al., 2018). At lower temperature (T = 283 K), it was found that lignin did swell in H₂O/THF co-solvent when compared to that in single water, although it did not exist in a random-coil conformation. This indicated that the presence of THF promoted the transform of lignin conformations from crumpled-globules to random-coil states, thereby benefiting to lignin removal. Because this effect was invariant to the temperature, it was proposed that H₂O/THF system was effective to fractionate and solubilize lignin from lignocellulose biomass even at low temperatures (Smith et al., 2016a,b). Three models for the transform from globule lignin to coil lignin were proposed, those are, one-state model, two-state model and three-state model. The free energy ΔG for the transition was obtained from the fitted kinetic constants, in which the slope and intercept of ΔG-temperature function were taken as the ΔS and ΔH values, respectively. The results showed that the best fit among these three models was the two-state model. Due to the negative ΔG, the globule-to-coil transition

---

**TABLE 2** | The effect of reaction medium on lignin conversion.

| Solvent      | Catalyst | Conversion of lignin (%) | Yield of phenolic monomer (%) | References |
|--------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
|              |          |                          | Phenol | Guaiacol | Syringol | Others |
| C₆H₁₂O₆      | MgO      | 90.7                     | 0.25  | 1.36    | 1.19    | 6.46   | Long et al., 2014 |
| C₂H₁₂O₆      | MgO      | 84.5                     | 0.25  | 1.42    | 1.24    | 5.64   | Long et al., 2014 |
| C₅H₁₀O₄      | MgO      | 92.5                     | 0.82  | 1.58    | 1.32    | 7.52   | Long et al., 2014 |
| THF          | MgO      | 97.5                     | 0.75  | 2.81    | 1.45    | 7.79   | Long et al., 2014 |
| H₂O          | MgO      | 42.3                     | 0.75  | 0.70    | 0.52    | 0.74   | Long et al., 2014 |
| H₂O/THF      | Na₂CO₃   | –                        | 7.4   | 5.2     | 6.0     | 4.8    | Jiang et al., 2016 |
| H₂O/THF      | Na₂CO₃   | –                        | 5.5   | 4.9     | 12.2    | 4.2    | Jiang et al., 2016 |
| THF          | –        | –                        | 6.5   | 2.79    | 1.01    | 1.39   | Jiang et al., 2014 |

*a Condition: solvent 40 mL, MgO 3.0 mmol, and lignin 0.5 g, 250°C, 30 min.

*b In step-one: Na₂CO₃ was introduced into 100 mL solvent (H₂O/THF: 3:7, v/v), 140°C.

*c In step-one: Na₂CO₃ was introduced into 100 mL solvent (H₂O/THF: 5:5, v/v), 140°C.
TABLE 3 | Effects of reaction systems on the production of furfural from xylose/xylan.

| Entry | Feedstock | Solvent system          | Catalyst | T (°C) | Furfural yield (%) | References        |
|-------|-----------|-------------------------|----------|--------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1     | Xylose    | H$_2$O                  | CrPO$_4$ | 160    | 18                 | Xu et al., 2018b  |
| 2     | Xylose    | NaCl·H$_2$O/n-Butanol (10/30,v/v) | CrPO$_4$ | 160    | 48                 | Xu et al., 2018b  |
| 3     | Xylose    | NaCl·H$_2$O/2-Butanol(10/30,v/v) | CrPO$_4$ | 160    | 19                 | Xu et al., 2018b  |
| 4     | Xylose    | NaCl·H$_2$O/Toluene(10/30,v/v) | CrPO$_4$ | 160    | 71                 | Xu et al., 2018b  |
| 5     | Xylose    | NaCl·H$_2$O/MIBK(10/30,v/v) | CrPO$_4$ | 160    | 86                 | Xu et al., 2018b  |
| 6     | Xylose    | NaCl·H$_2$O/MeTHF(10/30,v/v) | CrPO$_4$ | 160    | 82                 | Xu et al., 2018b  |
| 7     | Xylose    | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF(10/30,v/v) | CrPO$_4$ | 160    | 88                 | Xu et al., 2018b  |
| 8     | Xylose    | NaCl·H$_2$O/TF(10/20,v/v) | CrPO$_4$ | 160    | 69                 | Xu et al., 2018b  |
| 9     | Xylose    | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF(10/40,v/v) | CrPO$_4$ | 160    | 43                 | Xu et al., 2018b  |
| 10    | Xylose    | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF(1/4,v/v) | AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O | 140 | 75 | Yang et al., 2012b |
| 11    | Xylose    | H$_2$O/THF               | CO$_2$   | 160    | 53.3               | Özpek et al., 2018|
| 12    | Hemicellulose hydrolysate| H$_2$O/THF         | CO$_2$   | 170    | 39.6               | Özpek et al., 2018|
| 13    | Brown seaweed | H$_2$O/THF (5/95,v/v) | H$_2$PW$_{12}$O$_{40}$ | 180 | 33.8  | Park et al., 2016  |
| 14    | Wheat straw | H$_2$O/THF/MIBK(1/1/1, v/v) | CO$_2$   | 180    | 43                 | Morais et al., 2016|

was spontaneous at room temperature, which was also both enthalpically and entropically favorable (Smith et al., 2016a,b).

Hemicellulose fractionation and solubilization and further conversion to furfural in H$_2$O/THF system

Hemicellulose with low degree of polymerization can be fractionated and further converted to furfural. The solubilization of hemicellulose/xylan and the further conversion of the resulted derivatives have also been conducted in H$_2$O/THF co-solvent. Smith et al. (2018) demonstrated that both H$_2$O/THF co-solvent and single water solvent acted as “good” solvents for hemicellulose/xylan conversion. In H$_2$O/THF co-solvent, the temperature-phase behavior determined the constitution of xylan solvation shell. When H$_2$O and THF were immiscible in the temperature range of 333–418 K, THF was left from the solvation shell of fractionated hemicellulose/xylan. In contrast, both H$_2$O and THF were present in the solvation shell of fractionated hemicellulose/xylan when the temperature was below and above this temperature regime. This implied that the fractionated hemicellulose/xylan solubilization in single water medium was just similar to that in H$_2$O/THF co-solvent in a temperature range of 333–418 K, but was greatly different outside this temperature range.

In addition to H$_2$O/THF single phasic system, it is known that the addition of NaCl to H$_2$O/THF co-solvent leads to the formation of biphasic NaCl-H$_2$O/THF system. NaCl·H$_2$O/THF biphasic system has been proved to show excellent efficiency for furfural formation from hemicellulose/xylan, where the further degradation of furfural produced in aqueous phase to the unwanted by-products like polymer and humins could be significantly inhibited, due to the immediate transfer of furfural from aqueous phase to organic phase. For instance, Xu et al. (2018b) examined the effect of organic solvents [methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK), toluene, THF, MeTHF, and so on] on the production of furfural from xylose by the catalysis of CrPO$_4$.

It was indicated that the addition of organic solvents could obviously promoted xylose conversion when compared to single water medium (Table 3). The highest furfural yield was achieved in NaCl·H$_2$O/THF system, attributing to the highest partition coefficient of THF for furfural among the selected solvent systems, which also efficiently eliminated furfural degradation in the aqueous phase. In addition, it was indicated that the H$_2$O/THF ratio substantially influenced the furfural yield, and H$_2$O/THF system with a 10/30 (mL/mL) ratio of H$_2$O to THF gave much higher furfural yield (88%) than that with 10/20 (mL/mL) and 10/40 (mL/mL) of H$_2$O/THF ratio. Yang et al. (2012b) also reported the benefits of NaCl·H$_2$O/THF biphasic system, in which a 75 mol% of furfural yield from xylose conversion was obtained with AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O as catalyst under microwave heating.

Besides NaCl, other reagents have also been introduced into H$_2$O/THF co-solvent, affording a biphasic H$_2$O/THF system. For example, Özpek et al. (2018) studied the production of furfural in an H$_2$O/THF system with the addition of high-pressure CO$_2$. It was found that, in the presence of THF, CO$_2$ generated biphasic H$_2$O/THF system, because gaseous CO$_2$ had low solubility in THF and was also insoluble in water medium. Consequently, the formed furfural could be continuously transferred from aqueous phase to CO$_2$/THF phase. Under the optimal pretreatment conditions, the highest furfural yield was up to 53.3 mol% when a model solution (including xylose and acetic acid) was used as starting material. In the case of real hemicellulose hydrolysate as feedstock, the yield of furfural was 39.6 mol% with 40.0 mol% of selectivity. Morais et al. (2016) proposed a two-stage strategy for the production of furfural from raw biomass. The first step involved the production of a water-soluble fraction (including xylose and xylo-oligosaccharide) via extracting hemicellulose component in wheat straw in H$_2$O system with high-pressure CO$_2$. In the second step, the resulted liquid fraction was further converted to furfural in H$_2$O/THF/MIBK-high-pressure CO$_2$
system, where MIBK was considered as the extracting solvent. However, it was found that the conversion of xylose decreased by 5 mol% in the presence of THF than that in a single water solvent. This was possibly due to the lower dielectric constant of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF} (\varepsilon = 40) \) than that of pure water (\( \varepsilon = 78.5 \) at 25°C) which decreased the acidity of reactions (Crichtfield et al., 1953; Muinasmaa et al., 1997). In final, furfural with 56.6 mol% of yield and 62.3 mol% of selectivity was obtained in this \( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF}/\text{MIBK} \)-high-pressure \( \text{CO}_2 \) system (50 bar).

**CELLULOSE FRACTIONATION AND SOLUBILIZATION IN \( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF} \) SYSTEM**

The presence of substantial hydrogen bonding in cellulose leads to a rigid structure with high crystallinity, which is strongly resistant to fractionation and dissolution and depolymerization in water. Several studies have demonstrated that polar aprotic solvents can simultaneously accelerate cellulose fractionation and dissolution and suppress the further dehydration reaction of monosaccharides, yielding target products with high yield and selectivity (Varhegyi et al., 1994; Ghosh et al., 2018). Typically, Ghosh et al. (2016) investigated the conversion of cellulose in a series of polar aprotic solvents, such as GVL, IMBK, acetonitrile, THF, 1,4-dioxane, ethyl acetate, and acetone. The results demonstrated that all the selected solvent fractionated and solubilized cellulose and gave desired products with high yield within a short time, possibly attributing to the decreased activation energy of cellulose depolymerization in polar aprotic solvents. The maximum yield of solubilized products from cellulose was 72–98% at 350°C. Combing the efficiency of solvents with their properties, it was revealed that the polar solubility parameter of a solvent might be the key factor contributing to its different fractionation and solubilization efficiency for cellulose (Archer, 1991; Su et al., 2009). Levoglucosan was the primary solubilized carbohydrate product, whose yield increased with increasing polar solubility parameter of solvents. The same group then investigated the efficiency of these polar aprotic solvents for the depolymerization of cellulose with the help of acid catalyst, producing water-soluble carbohydrates (Ghosh et al., 2018). The results indicated that acid catalyst significantly weakened the differences of yields in different solvents. In THF solvent, the levoglucosan selectivity at maximum yields reached 80%. Moreover, it was found that low rate of levoglucosan degradation was observed in those polar aprotic solvents with low polarity, thus enhancing the stability and promoting the yield of anhydrosgurat.

Among the investigated polar aprotic solvent mixture with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF} \) co-solvent system has been widely applied in the fractionation and solubilization/decrystallization of cellulose in lignocellulosic biomass (Zhang et al., 2014; Odabas et al., 2016). For instance, Jiang et al. (2016) pointed out that the introduction of THF into \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) could greatly enhance cellulose conversion from 17.2 to 45.9% with the assistance of heteropolyacid catalysts (\( \text{CH}_3\text{H}_2\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40} \)), which benefited the formation of HMF whose yield increased from 5.3 to 29.7%. Jiang et al. (2018) deeply investigated the performance of THF in NaCl-\( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF} \) biphase system via experimental approaches, and found that the conversion of microcrystalline cellulose (M-cellulose) could reach up to 96.6%, and the cellulose component in actual lignocelluloses could also be completely solubilized (Figure 3). In \( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF} \) co-solvent system, it was revealed that THF could promote the cleavage of a half of intermolecular hydrogen bonds (O₆-H-O₃), while the role of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) in breaking intra-molecular hydrogen bonds (O₂-H-O₂) was significantly impeded. In NaCl-\( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF} \) biphase system, THF could significantly improve the performances of both \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and NaCl on the cleavage of O₂-H-O₂ and O₃-H-O₃ intra-molecular hydrogen bonds, respectively (Figure 4). Moreover, it was indicated that cellulose-derived products could be immediately transferred from aqueous phase to organic phase, ascribing to the formation of hydrogen bonds between O atom of THF and aldehyde group in HMF or H atom in C₆-OH of glucose. This promoted the combination of more NaCl to –OH in M-cellulose, thus further disrupting the hydrogen bonding in M-cellulose and favoring the formation of products with small molecular weight (in particular HMF), which promoted the dissolution of cellulose in turn.

To elucidate the solubilization mechanism of cellulose in \( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF} \) system, Mostofian et al. (2016) employed all-atom molecular dynamic simulation to investigate cellulose structure in \( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF} \) mixture, as well as the interactions between cellulose and solvents. The results showed that \( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF} \) co-solvent afforded different characteristics of both \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and THF, resulting in the fractionation and solubilization of single cellulose chains and cellulose fibers via distinct ways. With the addition of THF to a water-only media, the reduction of cellulose hydration, especially for its hydrophobic faces, was observed, demonstrating that THF perturbed the interactions between \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) molecules and cellulose. In \( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF} \) co-solvent system, \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and THF spontaneously phase-separated on the
surface of cellulose fiber, in which H$_2$O accumulated at the hydrophilic cellulose faces due to the presence of hydrogen bonds between H$_2$O molecules and cellulose on the hydrophilic faces of cellulose, while THF stacked on the hydrophobic faces of cellulose. In contrast, the cellulose chain with full solvation was preferentially bound by H$_2$O molecules, indicating the easy hydrolysis of cellulose with the addition of THF. They also employed molecular dynamic simulations to compare the behavior of other H$_2$O-organosolv co-solvent systems (acetone, ethanol, and GVL) with H$_2$O/THF system (Smith et al., 2017). It was found that there were only weak differences in the selected solvent systems between the total amounts of hydrogen bonds in cellulose chain/strand-solvent and that of H$_2$O molecules in the cellulose solvation shell. However, the selected H$_2$O-organosolv co-solvents showed significantly distinct behavior of phase separation at the interface of co-solvent-cellulose, and the physical process of cellulose deconstruction was also different for these co-solvents. Particularly, in H$_2$O/THF co-solvent, the fraction of surface water was much slower than that in H$_2$O/GVL co-solvent, which might be important for promoting the chemical destroying of cellulose structure, since it could increase the reaction of THF-H$_2$O-cellulose, even when H$_2$O amount around cellulose was significantly decreased.

**PROMOTING CHEMICAL PRODUCTION FROM LIGNOCELLULOSE IN H$_2$O/THF SYSTEM**

THF owns outstanding ability for the extraction of biomass-based chemicals, such as levulinic acid, HMF, and furfural, from water mixtures, thus significantly increasing the yield of target products and minimizing the generation of unwanted by-products like polymers and humins. The investigations of the catalytic conversion of lignocellulose to platform chemicals have shown that chemicals with high yield and selectivity could be achieved in H$_2$O/THF system. For instance, Cai et al. (2013) reported THF could efficiently “protect” the formed furfural in aqueous phase from further degradation and minimizing furfural loss. In particular, NaCl-H$_2$O/THF biphasic system significantly enhances the partitioning of target chemicals into organic phase, which has been successfully adopted to increase the yield of products derived from lignocellulosic feedstock (Xie et al., 2019). Saha and Abu-Omar (2014) reviewed the benefits of biphasic solvent system (including H$_2$O/THF biphasic system) for the production of valuable chemicals (mainly HMF) from lignocellulose. In NaCl-H$_2$O/THF biphasic solvent system, H$_2$O is commonly recognized as the reactive phase for polysaccharide hydrolysis. The effects of THF can be classified into physical and chemical effects, wherein chemical effects involved the change of activation energy barriers in the key reaction step induced by the variant dynamics or environment in different solvent systems. The physical effect of THF related to the preferential solvation of the special functional groups in lignocellulosic derivatives, thus inhibiting their further conversion to form undesired by-products, in addition to immediate extracting the produced products from aqueous phase into organic phase once it was formed. Moreover, the extraction of chemicals in THF phase can improve the purity of obtained chemicals and make them be easily separated, thus enabling the treatment of lignocellulose economically and environmentally competitive. In this section,

**FIGURE 4** | Effect of THF on the dissolution and depolymerization of M-cellulose in NaCl-H$_2$O-THF system. Reaction conditions: M-cellulose (2.0 g) and NaCl (10 g) in solvent (100 mL, H$_2$O-organic solvent: 1:1 v/v) at 200 °C for 2 h. Reproduced from Jiang et al. (2018) with permission from Wiley.
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the roles of H$_2$O/THF system in promoting the formation of several typical chemicals, including levogluconan, HMF, levulinic acid, as well as the co-production of furfural and HMF, is attentively reviewed.

**Levogluconan and Fermentable Sugar**
Levogluconone (LGO), an anhydro-sugar with a double bond combined with a ketone and aldehyde, in addition to two hydroxyl groups (Tang et al., 2017b; Krishna et al., 2018), has shown a huge potential to serve as a bio-based platform chemical (Nguyen et al., 2015; He et al., 2017a; Li et al., 2018). Cao et al. (2015) found that the highest yields of LGO could be obtained in both THF and GVL aqueous co-solvents. However, LGO seemed to be more stable in THF because THF inhibited the further conversion of LGO to HMF. On the contrary, GVL favored the isomerization of LGO to HMF, leading to its degradation. In addition, it was demonstrated that the water content controlled the product distribution derived from cellulose, in which the increase of water content to 5 wt% led to HMF production, and no LGO was produced when using single water as solvent. They also compared the yield of LGO in H$_2$O/THF with other H$_2$O-organic co-solvents (such as diglyme, tetraglyme, cyclopentyl methyl ether, 1,4-dioxane, and dimethyl sulfoxide), and found that H$_2$O/THF (1:2.5 wt% H$_2$O) afforded the highest yields of HMF and LGO (~65 carbon%), with sulfuric acid as catalyst (He et al., 2017b). Weingarten et al. (2014) deeply investigated the influence of H$_2$O/THF ratio on cellulose degradation with dilute sulfuric acid as catalyst, and indicated that higher carbon yield of LGO was obtained in both single THF solvent and H$_2$O/THF co-solvent with a ratio of 40:1 (H$_2$O/THF) compared to the other reaction mixtures. As for the TOF value of cellulose conversion, it was more than 20 times in THF higher than that in single water solvent. As for HMF generation in THF solvent, the TOF value was 40 times higher than that in single water medium. The promoting performance of THF under this reaction condition was attributed to the fact that proton catalyst was more stable in THF solvent than in water medium (by 5.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$), thus resulting in the high reactivity of proton catalyst. Krishna et al. (2017) also showed that THF played a role in LGO stabilization and inhibited its isomerization reaction, thus resulting in the decrease of the yields of HMF and levulinic acid. The yield of xylose, arabinose, and glucose was up to 95% using corn stover as feedstock in H$_2$O/THF aqueous dilute acid system.

**HMF Production**
As for HMF production from lignocellulosic biomass, Weingarten et al. (2014) investigated the influence of a series of single organic solvents using H$_2$SO$_4$ as catalyst under mild conditions, and demonstrated that the polar aprotic solvents including THF, GVL, and acetone, gave a much higher HMF yield from cellulose when compared with water, ethyl acetate, and ethanol solvents. THF solvent afforded the highest HMF yield among the selected solvents. Compared to single THF solvent, the introduction of DMSO to THF solvent further improved the yield of HMF (98.0%). Wang et al. (2013) pointed out that the introduction of a small amount of water (<2.5 vol%) into THF was also beneficial for HMF production. The highest HMF yield from cellulose was 44%. In H$_2$O/THF (1:4 v/v) co-solvent, the yields of fructose and HMF from glucose conversion were 61 and 30%, respectively, by the catalysis of a base (~NH$_2$) functionalized mesoporous silica (aminopropyl-FMS) catalyst and a mesoporous silica with ~SO$_3$H (propylsulfonic acid-FMS) (Huang et al., 2014). Tucker et al. (2013) showed that the use of H$_2$O/THF co-solvent resulted in the significant increase of HMF selectivity (>70%) with a 80% of fructose conversion.

Although HMF yield can be promoted in H$_2$O/THF monophasic system, wherein the addition of a certain amount of H$_2$O can favor the dissolution of polysaccharides in addition to promoting the degradation of LGO to produce HMF, HMF degradation to levulinic and formic acids would take place with further increasing H$_2$O amount. To ensure the complete dissolution of feedstock in water but avoiding the degradation of HMF produced, NaCl–H$_2$O/THF biphasic system appears to be a promising solution, which has been proved to efficiently inhibit the rehydration of HMF to levulinic acid in water by immediate extraction of HMF to organic phase (Table 4; Chen et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2018a,b). Glucose is a typical feedstock for the production of HMF, with the help of various catalysts. For example, Nikolla et al. (2011) described the conversion of glucose to HMF using Sn-Beta with acid as catalysts in a H$_2$O/THF biphasic system, and obtained the highest HMF selectivity (72%). Manganese phosphate (MnPO$_4$) also exhibited good efficiency for HMF production from biomass-derived carbohydrates, and the yield of HMF was 59% using glucose as feedstock in H$_2$O/THF at 160°C under microwave heating. They demonstrated that THF inhibited HMF rehydration to generate levulinic acid, and also decreased the yield of lactic acid. Moreover, THF also showed high partitioning coefficient for HMF, and HMF recovery reached up to 94% when using HMF as starting material. The same group next investigated the reaction kinetics of glucose conversion to HMF in water, H$_2$O/THF, and NaCl–H$_2$O/THF reaction solvents by the catalysis of AlCl$_3$ (Tang et al., 2017a). Different product distributions were obtained in the three solvent systems, in which NaCl–H$_2$O/THF biphasic system gave the highest yield and selectivity to HMF. The kinetics of possible reaction pathways in these three solvent systems was investigated. The results demonstrated that the rate constants in the kinetic model were very sensitive to the ratios of solvent compositions. In the H$_2$O–THF co-solvent, the rates for fructose isomerization to glucose and formic acid formation from glucose and fructose were accelerated. On the contrary, the isomerization of glucose to fructose, fructose dehydration to HMF, and humins formation from fructose was decelerated. These results suggested that THF contributed to the inhibition of the rehydration and polymerization reactions of HMF, decreasing the formation of unwanted byproducts, as well as the dehydration of fructose to HMF and the polymerization of fructose to humins, but improved formic acid formation directly from glucose/fructose degradation without levulinic acid production. The yield of formic acid was therefore higher than that of levulinic acid in these selected solvents. Both in H$_2$O/THF and NaCl–H$_2$O/THF
systems, the ratios of formic acid to levulinic acid were higher with lower levulinic acid yield, compared to that in water. Liang et al. (2019) indicated that HMF yield from glucose conversion in NaCl-H$_2$O/THF biphasic system was higher than that in any single phase solvents by the catalysis of bifunctional porous polymer (PCP) [PCP(Cr)-NH$_2$-x]. They also investigated the influence of H$_2$O-to-THF ratio, and revealed that the yield of HMF greatly increased with the increase of H$_2$O-to-THF ratio (from 1:1 to 1:2). In the case of 1:2 H$_2$O-to-THF ratio, the yield of HMF was 65.9% with 99.9% of glucose conversion. However, the minor decrease of HMF yield was observed above this ratio, possibly ascribing to the reductive performance of catalyst with the addition of excessive THF.

TABLE 4 | The production of HMF from carbohydrates in different reaction systems.

| Entry | Feedstock | Catalyst | Solvent system | T (°C) | HMF yield (%) | References |
|-------|-----------|----------|----------------|-------|---------------|------------|
| 1     | Glucose   | THF      | PCP(Cr)-NH$_2$-x | 180   | 4.5           | Liang et al., 2019 |
| 2     | Glucose   | H$_2$O   | PCP(Cr)-NH$_2$-x | 160   | 30.7          | Liang et al., 2019 |
| 3     | Glucose   | H$_2$O   | PCP(Cr)-NH$_2$-x | 180   | 36.6          | Liang et al., 2019 |
| 4     | Glucose   | H$_2$O   | PCP(Cr)-NH$_2$-x | 200   | 30.2          | Liang et al., 2019 |
| 5     | Glucose   | H$_2$O/THF (1:2) | PCP(Cr)-NH$_2$-x | 180   | 58.3          | Liang et al., 2019 |
| 6     | Glucose   | H$_2$O/THF (1:1) | PCP(Cr)-NH$_2$-x | 190   | 56.4          | Liang et al., 2019 |
| 7     | Glucose   | H$_2$O/THF (1:2) | PCP(Cr)-NH$_2$-x | 190   | 65.9          | Liang et al., 2019 |
| 8     | Glucose   | H$_2$O/THF (1:3) | PCP(Cr)-NH$_2$-x | 190   | 64.3          | Liang et al., 2019 |
| 9     | Glucose   | H$_2$O/THF (1:4) | PCP(Cr)-NH$_2$-x | 190   | 52.0          | Liang et al., 2019 |
| 10    | Glucose   | H$_2$O/THF (1:2) | PCP(Cr)-NH$_2$-x | 200   | 60.0          | Liang et al., 2019 |
| 11    | Glucose   | H$_2$O   | AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O | 160   | 22            | Yang et al., 2012a |
| 12    | Glucose   | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF | AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O | 160   | 52            | Yang et al., 2012a |
| 13    | Glucose   | NaCl·H$_2$O | AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O | 160   | 17            | Yang et al., 2012a |
| 14    | Glucose   | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF | AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O | 160   | 61            | Yang et al., 2012a |
| 15    | Glucose   | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF | HCl              | 160   | 12            | Yang et al., 2012a |
| 16    | Glucose   | H$_2$O   | Sn-Beta, HCl    | 160   | 2.7           | Nikolla et al., 2011 |
| 17    | Glucose   | H$_2$O/1-butanol | Sn-Beta, HCl   | 160   | 20.0          | Nikolla et al., 2011 |
| 18    | Glucose   | NaCl·H$_2$O/1-butanol | Sn-Beta, HCl | 160   | 13.5          | Nikolla et al., 2011 |
| 19    | Glucose   | NaCl·H$_2$O/1-butanol | HCl             | 160   | 10.4          | Nikolla et al., 2011 |
| 20    | Glucose   | NaCl·H$_2$O/1-butanol | Sn-Beta, HCl  | 160   | 41.3          | Nikolla et al., 2011 |
| 21    | Glucose   | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF | Sn-Beta, HCl    | 180   | 56.9          | Nikolla et al., 2011 |
| 22    | Glucose   | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF | Ti-Beta, HCl    | 180   | 53.2          | Nikolla et al., 2011 |
| 23    | Glucose   | H$_2$O/THF | Aminopropyl-FMS, propylsulfonic acid-FMS | 90   | 30           | Hu et al., 2014 |
| 24    | Glucose   | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF | MnPO$_4$        | 160   | 59            | Xu et al., 2018a |
| 25    | Fructose  | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF | FePO$_4$        | 140   | 71.5          | Yang et al., 2015 |
| 26    | Cellobiose | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF | Sn-Beta, HCl    | 180   | 13.0          | Nikolla et al., 2011 |
| 27    | Cellobiose | THF      | H$_2$SO$_4$     | 190   | 44            | Yang et al., 2015 |
| 28    | Cellobiose | H$_2$O/THF | NaHSO$_4$/ZrO$_2$ | 190   | 86.5          | Fang et al., 2018 |
| 29    | Bamboo fiber | H$_2$O | –                | 180   | Trace        | Sun et al., 2015 |
| 30    | Bamboo fiber | H$_2$O | NH$_2$SO$_4$H    | 180   | 20.9          | Sun et al., 2015 |
| 31    | Bamboo fiber | H$_2$O·NaCl | NH$_2$SO$_4$H    | 180   | 11.6          | Sun et al., 2015 |
| 32    | Bamboo fiber | H$_2$O/THF (1:3) | NH$_2$SO$_4$H  | 180   | 30.7          | Sun et al., 2015 |
| 33    | Bamboo fiber | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF (3:1) | NH$_2$SO$_4$H | 180   | 11.3          | Sun et al., 2015 |
| 34    | Bamboo fiber | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF (1:1) | NH$_2$SO$_4$H | 180   | 39.7          | Sun et al., 2015 |
| 35    | Bamboo fiber | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF (1:3) | NH$_2$SO$_4$H | 180   | 52.2          | Sun et al., 2015 |
| 36    | Bamboo fiber | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF (1:5) | NH$_2$SO$_4$H | 180   | 49.2          | Sun et al., 2015 |
| 37    | Starch    | NaCl·H$_2$O/THF | Sn-Beta, HCl    | 180   | 51.8          | Nikolla et al., 2011 |

When using fructose as feedstock, fructose could transform to furanoid form, which could be converted to HMF more easily (Zhu et al., 2011). For instance, Yang et al. (2015) obtained the highest yield (71.5 mol%) of HMF when using fructose as starting material in biphasic H$_2$O/THF system with FePO$_4$ as catalyst.

In addition to glucose/fructose, the conversion of cellulose even raw lignocellulosic biomass could yield HMF in H$_2$O/THF biphasic reaction system (Zhang et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2017). Fang et al. (2018) studied the production of HMF from cellulose in H$_2$O/THF biphasic system with NaHSO$_4$ and ZrO$_2$. It was pointed out that the yield of HMF linearly increased when THF dosage was raised to 32 g, and kept stable with more THF addition. Instead, the yield of levulinic acid gave an opposite
tendency to that of HMF with the increase of THF amount. The increasing THF amount also led to the enhancement of HMF extracting capacity. Consequently, the degradation of HMF to levulinic acid could be remarkably inhibited. More importantly, limited humins was formed with the addition of more THF, suggesting the efficient prevention of HMF condensation with glucose due to the transfer of HMF from aqueous phase to THF phase. The highest yield of HMF was up to 86.5%. Xuan et al. (2018) also showed that the volume ratio of H$_2$O/THF could influence the conversion of M-cellulose to HMF in H$_2$O/THF biphasic system with 1-(3-sulfonic acid)-propyl-3-methylimidazolium hydrogen sulfate ([PSMIM]HSO$_4$) and ZnSO$_4$·7H$_2$O as catalyst, since altering the water content led to a change in catalyst concentration. The yields of HMF exhibited a volcano trend with increasing H$_2$O volume, and a maximal value (58.8%) was achieved at a H$_2$O/THF ratio of 2:20 (v/v), in which the side reactions in the aqueous phase was remarkably suppressed. Sun et al. (2015) investigated the production of HMF from lignocellulosic biomass by the catalysis of solid organic acid catalyst NH$_2$SO$_3$H (SA) in H$_2$O/THF biphasic system with microwave heating, and found that THF contributed to suppress HMF rehydration, thus giving the highest HMF yield of 52.2%.

**The Co-production of HMF and Furfural**

As we know, furfural is unstable and highly reactive, which can be further degraded especially in the presence of dilute acid at high temperature, therefore mild reaction conditions are generally benefited to reducing furfural degradation. On the contrary, the conversion rate of glucan/cellulose to HMF in pure water is much slower because of the slow rate of glucan hydrolysis, thus severer conditions are required to enhance the generation rate for HMF. When raw lignocellulose is employed as feedstock, the loss of furfural usually exceeds the rate of HMF generation. Therefore, the co-production of furfural and HMF is always challenging because the different requirement of activation barrier for HMF and furfural formation. Recently, it has been proved that H$_2$O/THF co-solvent can overcome the practical barrier, which enables the simultaneous production of furfural and HMF from lignocellulose (Table 5). For example, Smith et al. (2018) observed that the formation of furfural was slower in H$_2$O/THF system, although the rate of disappearance of xylose monomers was faster than that of bulk water. THF seemed to co-catalyze the dehydration reaction of both C5 and C6 sugars via a kinetically favorable pathway. 

Tan et al. (2018) investigated the production of furfural and HMF in different organic solvents, and found that THF media gave the highest yield (49.4%) of furfural, HMF and levulinic acid among the selected solvents over H$_2$P$_2$O$_5$ zeolite catalyst, followed by dioxane with the total yield of 43.8%. Due to the formation of char, both sulfolane and DMSO gave low yield of furfural, although the conversion of glucose was high. It was reported that H$_2$P$_2$O$_5$ zeolite catalyst showed a similar activity to a strong Bronsted acid, which depended on the solvation of proton relative to solvent polarity (Mellmer et al., 2014). Dioxane and THF solvents had smaller dipole moments, suggesting their weaker polarity compared with that of other solvents, thus resulting in less proton solvation and decreasing the degradation/polymerization of the generated HMF and furfural (Karinen et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2014). However, further increasing the water content in THF enhanced the rehydration of HMF forming levulinic acid and formic acid. Besides, the formation of by-products, such as polymer and humins resulted from the polymerization of sugars with HMF or levulinic acid could be also promoted owing to the increase of solution polarity. Cai et al. (2013) compared the yields of furfural, HMF and levulinic acid derived from raw maple wood chips in H$_2$O/THF co-solvent to that in non-solvent system, and indicated that THF promoted both the hydrolysis of polysaccharides in maple wood as well as the next dehydration reactions of C5 and C6 sugars. The control experiments by varying the H$_2$O/THF ratio in H$_2$O/THF co-solvent demonstrated that the highest yield was realized with 1:3 solutions, obtaining 86% furfural, 21% HMF, and 40% levulinic acid. They also achieved the highest total yields of HMF (51%) and furfural (95%) directly from lignocellulosic biomass using FeCl$_3$ as catalyst in H$_2$O/THF co-solvent, whereas the yield of levulinic acid was very low (6%) (Cai et al., 2014a).

In general, biphasic NaCl-H$_2$O/THF system is more effective for the simultaneous production of furfural and HMF than miscible co-solvent, with the assistance of catalysts. In H$_2$O/THF biphasic system, Fang et al. (2019) obtained 76 and 81% yields of HMF and furfural from corn stover, respectively, using H$_2$SO$_4$/Na$_2$SO$_4$ as catalyst. In combination of Lewis acid with Bronsted acids in NaCl-H$_2$O/THF biphasic system, the yields of HMF and furfural was 44.0 and 92.2% catalyzed by AlCl$_3$/HCl and 36.5 and 81.4% by the catalysis of ZnCl$_2$/HCl, respectively (Gomes et al., 2018). As high as 71% yield of furfural and 30% yield of HMF could be simultaneously produced from wheat straw in NaCl-H$_2$O/THF biphasic system catalyzed by SnCl$_2$/PTA/β (Phosphotungstic acid) catalyst (Xu et al., 2019). Over NbOP$_4$ and Sn-Mont catalyst, the simultaneous production of furfural and HMF from lignocellulose in NaCl-H$_2$O/THF biphasic system could be further converted to 2,5-dimethylfuran (DMF) and 2-methylfuran over Ru/Co$_3$O$_4$ catalyst, both of which could act as the promising liquid biofuels (Wang et al., 2014).

**Levulinic Acid**

The influence of different solvent systems, including water, THF and toluene solvents, on the production of levulinic acid from typical C6 sugar monomers/oligomers have also been investigated over solid acid catalysts, such as Amberlyst 70 (Hu et al., 2015). It was shown that solvent greatly influenced the yield of levulinic acid, in which the highest yield of levulinic acid was obtained in water, while the lowest yield was obtained in THF with dried A70 in toluene. This was possibly attributed to the different dispersion of sugars, products and catalyst in the solvents. In addition, solvent polarity affected the behaviors of Amberlyst 70, as well as the ability for the transfer of hydrogen ions and changing its dispersion in solvent. Mellmer et al. (2015) investigated the production of levulinic acid from furfuryl alcohol in a series of solvents, and indicated that the maximum levulinic acid yield (>70%) was achieved in monophasic H$_2$O/THF (1:4, w/w) solvent system using HZSM-5 zeolite as catalyst (Figure 5). It was revealed that the hydrophobic feature of ZSM-5 could change the solvent microenvironment within the framework of zeolite, enabling the formation of levulinic acid with high yield, even though the concentration of THF was very low.
(2:1, H₂O/THF, w/w). The reaction kinetic studies demonstrated that the increase of yield was attributed to the fact that the reaction rate could be significantly enhanced in polar aprotic solvents. The obtained levulinic acid could be further converted to GVL in THF medium. For example, 100% yield of GVL could be produced using molecular H₂ over Ni/SA catalyst in THF medium, whereas much lower yield was found in water medium (Gundekari and Srinivasan, 2019).

### INHIBITING HUMINS FORMATION

One of the most important contributions of H₂O/THF system for the improvement of both yield and selectivity to target products is relative to its role in inhibiting humins formation, which can also significantly enhance the carbon balance, as well as benefiting to the effective utilization of lignocellulose. This has been proved by substantial experimental results. For instance, Fu et al. (2017) showed that the presence of THF in CO₂-H₂O/THF system (1:1,V₃H₂O/V₃THF) inhibited the generation of oligomers as well as increasing carbon balance. This suggested the promoting effect of THF on the retro-aldol condensation of fructose and the rehydration reaction of HMF, in addition to the inhibitory performance on the condensation of glucose via retro-aldol reaction (Figure 6). To deeply reveal the mechanism of H₂O/THF co-solvent on the inhibition of humins formation, Vasudevan and Mushrif (2015) conducted molecular dynamics simulations to study glucose solvation in H₂O/THF co-solvent. It was demonstrated that the added THF preferentially occupied the first solvation shell of glucose by competing with water molecules, in which most of water molecules were driven away from the first shell and existed in the second shell. Even in the presence of only a few THF, about 50% water molecules could be driven away from the first shell. Although the number of water molecules, which directly coordinated with glucose, became fewer with the addition of THF, the interaction between

### Table 5: The production of furfural and HMF from lignocellulosic feedstock.

| Entry | Solvent | Substrate | Catalyst | T (°C) | Yields (%) | References |
|-------|---------|-----------|----------|--------|------------|------------|
|       |         |           |          |        | Furfural | HMF        |            |
| 1     | None    | Maple wood | 1 wt% H₂SO₄ | 170    | 62ᵃ       | 2.4ᵃ       | Cai et al., 2013 |
| 2     | 1:3 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | 1 wt% H₂SO₄ | 170    | 76ᵃ       | 4.9ᵃ       | Cai et al., 2013 |
| 3     | 1:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | 1 wt% H₂SO₄ | 170    | 87ᵃ       | 13ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2013 |
| 4     | 3:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | 1 wt% H₂SO₄ | 170    | 87ᵃ       | 21ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2013 |
| 5     | 3:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | 1 wt% H₂SO₄ | 170    | 86ᵃ       | 21ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2013 |
| 6     | None    | Maple wood | 1 wt% H₂SO₄ | 170    | 39ᵃ       | 2.6ᵃ       | Cai et al., 2013 |
| 7     | 1:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | 1 wt% H₂SO₄ | 170    | 69ᵃ       | 7.6ᵃ       | Cai et al., 2013 |
| 8     | None    | Maple wood | 1.5 wt% H₂SO₄ | 200    | –         | –          | Cai et al., 2013 |
| 9     | 1:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | FeCl₃ | 170    | 85ᵃ       | 16ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 10    | 1:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | CuCl₂ | 170    | 83ᵃ       | 14ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 11    | 1:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | AlCl₃ | 170    | 58ᵃ       | 18ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 12    | 1:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | CrCl₃ | 170    | 43ᵃ       | 15ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 13    | 1:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | ZrOCl₂ | 170    | 44ᵃ       | 14ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 14    | 1:1 THF-H₂O | Corn stover | H₂SO₄ | 170    | 84ᵃ       | 16ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 15    | 1:1 THF-H₂O | Corn stover | FeCl₃ | 170    | 85ᵃ       | 12ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 16    | 1:1 THF-H₂O | Corn stover | ZrOCl₂ | 170    | 38ᵃ       | 14ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 17    | 3:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | H₂SO₄ | 170    | 86ᵃ       | 21ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 18    | 3:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | FeCl₃ | 170    | 97ᵃ       | 41ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 19    | 3:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | CuCl₂ | 170    | 81ᵃ       | 22ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 20    | 3:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | AlCl₃ | 170    | 75ᵃ       | 33ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 21    | 3:1 THF-H₂O | Corn stover | FeCl₃ | 170    | 97ᵃ       | 42ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 22    | 3:1 THF-H₂O | Corn stover | CuCl₂ | 170    | 89ᵃ       | 22ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 23    | 3:1 THF-H₂O | Corn stover | AlCl₃ | 170    | 76ᵃ       | 36ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 24    | 4:1 THF-H₂O | Maple wood | FeCl₃ | 170    | 95ᵃ       | 51ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 25    | 4:1 THF-H₂O | Corn stover | FeCl₃ | 170    | 95ᵃ       | 45ᵃ        | Cai et al., 2014a |
| 26    | NaCl·H₂O/THF (1/3) | Wheat straw | SnCl₂·PTA/β | 180 | 71 | 30 | Xu et al., 2019 |
| 27    | NaCl·H₂O/THF | Cellulose | AlCl₃·HCl | 180 | 92.2 | 44 | Gomes et al., 2018 |
| 28    | NaCl·H₂O/THF | Cellulose | ZnCl₂·HCl | 180 | 81.4 | 36.5 | Gomes et al., 2018 |
| 29    | H₂O/THF | Corn stover | H₂SO₄ | 190 | 81 | 76 | Fang et al., 2019 |

ᵃThe yield was based on the theoretical yield.
ᵇ5 wt% solid loading.
ᶜ10% total solid loading.
CONCLUDING REMARKS AND PERSPECTIVE

In summary, H₂O/THF co-solvent significantly fractionated and solubilized lignocellulosic biomass, especially for lignin and cellulose components, and lowered the recalcitrance of lignocellulose, affording liquid fluid with better mass and heat transfer, and enabling the adequate contact with catalyst, thereby favoring its further upgrading. In addition, H₂O/THF system also promotes the hydrolysis of fractionated cellulose/hemicellulose to sugars, as well as the next sugar dehydration, thereby achieving high yields of valuable chemicals with high selectivity (e.g., furfural, HMF, and levulinic acid). More importantly, H₂O/THF system, in particular NaCl-H₂O/THF biphasic system, also own extraordinary properties for extracting these chemical compounds from water mixture, thus inhibiting their further conversion and significantly increasing the yield and selectivity of target products, and minimizing the formation of byproducts, such as polymerization products and humins. Therefore, H₂O/THF system is a promising solvent system with multi-functions including not only the pretreatment and fractionation of lignocellulose but also the next conversion.
of solubilized oligomers to obtain valuable chemicals with high yield and selectivity. After reaction, THF could be separated and recycled by simple distillation, due to its lower boiling point, which could be reused for the next run. Thus, the valorization of biomass in H$_2$O/THF system is considered as an economical approach.

There are still several problems which are not clarified and need deep investigation. For instance, the synergetic effect of H$_2$O and organic solvent (e.g., THF) in the process of fractionated lignin or cellulose/hemicellulose solubilization, as well as the influence of solvent system on the structure and property of resulted liquid fluid which decides the yield and selectivity of downstream product, required further studies. In addition, the recent research has pointed out that co-solvent mixture with various organic solvent structure or different ratios of H$_2$O to organic solvent exhibited unique selectivity for the solubilization of different components in lignocellulosic biomass. However, it is unknown about the reason and relationship between the properties of solvent system and its selectivity toward different components in lignocellulosic biomass. As for the further conversion of the resulted fluid, more information on the performance of solvent system needs to be revealed, besides the well-known extraction function. The influence of solvent system on the performance of catalyst also needs further clarification. In future work, we think more attention would be focused on the following aspects: (1) the influence of type and properties of solvent system on the selective fractionation and solubilization of one or two components in lignocellulosic biomass, (2) the deep elucidation of fractionation and solubilization in various solvents, (3) the performances of solvent system on the subsequent liquid fluid conversion, (4) mechanistic aspects at molecular level of the above conversion processes.
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