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**Abstract.** The article studies features of verbalization of the concept *family*. Based on the extensive proverbial material, the system of value attitudes and stereotypical representations of *family* as a traditional social institution in the understanding of Russian and Ukrainian peoples is analyzed: the role of family and marriage in the culture of Russians and Ukrainians, stages of family formation including selection of a bride or a groom, distribution of family duties, and the role of parents, attitude towards step-children. As a result of the comparative analysis of Russian and Ukrainian paremias in which family relations are reflected, general and national-specific features related to mentality, value preferences, customs and traditions of close Slavic ethnic groups are revealed. It was concluded that despite the specific features of the material and spiritual culture of Russian and Ukrainian peoples, the understanding of family and its role in human life is similar. This coincidence proves that the main functions of family as a small social group based on marriage and consanguinity are education of children and preservation of national and universal values.

1 Introduction

Currently, when the world can no longer live in isolation, one of the most relevant areas of anthropocentric linguistic research is historical, cultural and linguistic traditions. Language as a means of transmitting cultural information reflects culture of people, their worldview, features of material and spiritual life which allows us to view linguistics as a compulsory part of humanitarian knowledge forming personality [1].

Values and stereotypes are verbalized in proverbs and sayings, representing “commandments of truth” that have turned into worldly wisdom.” [2]  Proverbs and sayings have been recorded for a long time being reflected in figurative statements that regulate economic and social activities. The concept “family” is presented in paremias which are a means of representing various linguistic and cultural realities [3].

In modern society, family is an important component of universal human culture which keeps traditional national values. In the context of the ongoing social changes - the growth of national identity, returning to the roots, and breaking stereotypes, changing attitudes towards family values, it is very important to maintain an understanding of family as a traditional social institution - a community of people connected by blood relationship and common life and mutual responsibility. In this regard, the study of paremias which reflects universal and national family values is relevant.

The study of the concept in general and the concept “family” in particular was carried out on the basis of various languages [4-6], including in terms of their comparison [7-10]. Particular attention is given to equivalent concepts which allows us to identify features of national mentality and identify similarities and differences in the linguistic cultures of different peoples [11].

**The purpose** is to show how the concept “family” is reflected in the proverbs and sayings of two Slavic peoples - Russian and Ukrainian ones, to describe the system of stereotypical representations of the family, to identify general and specific features of mentality, moral values, customs and traditions of different ethnic groups.

2 Materials and methods

A theoretical analysis of national and foreign scientific literature allowed us to determine methodological foundations and conceptual ideas of the study. The analogy method and systematic approach were used in studying the language material [2]. Due to the systematic approach, the most common linguistic and cultural patterns of an understanding of family relations in the Russian and Ukrainian languages were identified. The synergetic approach, according to which complex open systems are considered as self-organizing, is used to study the evolution of historical and cultural traditions that have developed in two related languages. Using the empirical methods, in particular observation, the results can be used when teaching "Actual problems of modern linguistics", general and comparative linguistics, writing diploma theses, etc.

The material and research methods are determined by the aim to show national features of two Slavic languages that have common roots and history.
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3 Discussion and conclusion

Traditionally, family was understood as “a group of relatives living together; parents with children; a married son or a married daughter living separately from another family” [16]. Accordingly, it is necessary to distinguish between a large family with an expanded composition and including several generations of relatives living together, and a small family consisting of a married couple and excluding married children. This article is based on the understanding of family as a small social group based on marriage and blood relationship.

Our analysis of proverbs and sayings of Russian and Ukrainian peoples revealed productivity of paremias characterizing family relationships. This is not accidental: family in Slavic culture has always been an integral part of life. Happiness has been associated with the well-being of family: Rus. Семья – опора счастия; Ukr. Без семьи немає щастя на землі. In accordance with the popular ideas, a man should not live alone, family is a reliable stronghold and protection, it gives rise to a new life; therefore, family is a vital need for both man and woman: Rus. И в розу жить тяжко одному; Не жена – не человек; Семья воует, а одинокий горюет; Ukr. Де одинець – зрадується кінець, де сім – щастя всім.

Researchers say that the Russians considered it suspicious if a person in adulthood remained a bachelor [17]; according to popular beliefs, Ukrainians could not appear without a wife even in the next world [18]. Men and women who did not create families were disapproved. It is reflected in both Russian and Ukrainian paremias: Rus. Много невест разбирать – век женину не бывает; Старое мясо не уваришь, старого жениха не оженишь; Ukr. Перестояна трава – ні сім’ї, ні солодка, а стара дівка – ні жінки, ні дівчата; Старого нарбуху женити як стаєле м’яко варити.

Despite the fact that marriage was originally based on economic interests and pragmatic goals, an analysis showed that love was considered an important condition for marriage (Rus. Где любовь, там свет; Человек по сердцу – полюбина вениця, Ukr. Коля люб, гарний шлюб. The family based on love and harmony was able to perform economic, reproductive, educational, social and other functions: Rus. В семье любовь да совет, так и нужны нет; Ukr. Не потрібен і клад, коли у чоловіка з жінкою лад.

It is noteworthy that folk wisdom reflected in proverbs and sayings represents all the stages of family formation starting with the choice of a bride or groom (Жениюсь – легко ошибиться; Женитесь – не запой надеять; Замуж выходи – в оба обло). Marriage is the most important step in life, which changes the social status of a person and imposes new responsibilities on both a man and a woman. In this regard, the choice of a wife or a husband became sacred; therefore, one of the crucial conditions was caution, prudence (Rus. Выбирай жenu не в хороводе, а в огороде; Гляди на мать, берi дочь; Ukr. Перш нiж оздоровитися, требa роздивитися; Траву дивися в день, як обохоня роса, а дівку у будь-як, як необрата та боса.

It is noteworthy that when choosing the bride should have had housekeeping abilities, to be a good wife and mother; the bride’s main virtues were health, industriousness and chastity: Rus. Жену выбирай не глазами, а по добroy славе; Не берi богатую, берi непочатую; Не богатство, а честь не велету красит; Ukr. Честь дивою щастя бережи. When choosing a bride, it was necessary to take into account her origin; preference was given to economically and socially equal marriages: Rus. Равные обычаи – крепкая любовь; Ukr. Ворона до ворони сідає, кожний рівного собі шукає.

Observations show that proverbs reflected negative ideas about marriage as well, which speaks for moral preferences of the ancient Slavs, revealing the depth of their understanding of marriage, its paramount importance in human life. The proverbs contain a warning against rash decisions, which include:

- hasty marriage (Rus. Не захватывай рябину не взявши, не берi девку не взвиавши; Женился скоро, да на долгое горе; Женился раз, а плачешься век; Свадьба скорая чьо вода полая, Оженився на сорок руку та на довжу муку;

- inability to maintain family (Rus. Тогда женень, когда деньги завелись, Сорочки немає, а жениться годі;

- marriage of convenience (Rus. Лучше на убогой жениться, чем с богатой браться; Не жить с приданым, а жить с богатыми; Краше в ставку потопить, як з нелюбим а не любим, Лучше в пруду утонуть, чем в выйти замуж на нелюбимого; Не с багатством женись, а з людиною; Як люб дружина, то люба й в родини;

- unequal marriage (Rus. По себе дерево руби, по себе жену берi; Ukr. Не старайся голубки до півня, бо голубки північне не півня, Свято в пруду утонути, як з нелюбим а не любим, Особа в пруду утонути, як з нелюбим а не любим;

- marriage of convenience (Rus. Лучше на убогой жениться, чем с богатой браться; Не жить с приданым, а жить с богатыми; Краше в ставку потопить, як з нелюбим а не любим, Лучше в пруду утонуть, чем в выйти замуж на нелюбимого; Не с багатством женись, а з людиною; Як люб дружина, то люба й в родини;

- marriage of convenience (Rus. Лучше на убогой жениться, чем с богатой браться; Не жить с приданым, а жить с богатыми; Краше в ставку потопить, як з нелюбим а не любим, Лучше в пруду утонуть, чем в выйти замуж на нелюбимого; Не с багатством женись, а з людиною; Як люб дружина, то люба й в родини;

- marriage of convenience (Rus. Лучше на убогой жениться, чем с богатой браться; Не жить с приданым, а жить с богатыми; Краше в ставку потопить, як з нелюбим а не любим, Лучше в пруду утонуть, чем в выйти замуж на нелюбимого; Не с багатством женись, а з людиною; Як люб дружина, то люба й в родини;

- marriage of convenience (Rus. Лучше на убогой жениться, чем с богатой браться; Не жить с приданым, а жить с богатыми; Краше в ставку потопить, як з нелюбим а не любим, Лучше в пруду утонуть, чем в выйти замуж на нелюбимого; Не с багатством женись, а з людиною; Як люб дружина, то люба й в родини;

- marriage of convenience (Rus. Лучше на убогой жениться, чем с богатой браться; Не жить с приданым, а жить с богатыми; Краше в ставку потопить, як з нелюбим а не любим, Лучше в пруду утонуть, чем в выйти замуж на нелюбимого; Не с багатством женись, а з людиною; Як люб дружина, то люба й в родини;
ничего не боюсь; За хорошим мужем и жена хороша; Укр. Наша ж грози, коли чоловік хороший. Without a husband, she experienced material difficulties and mental suffering. This side of family life is also reflected in proverbs (Rus. Жена без мужа – одною хуже; Жена без мужа – конь без уди; Какой бы муж ни взяли, во жене оборона; Ukr. Краще сім раз горіти, аніж один раз вдleetcode.

The wife played an important role in preserving family. She must be a good housewife, help her husband, do household work and raise children: Rus. Как хорошая жена да з-Version замечательная, так другого добра не ищи; Хозяйка в доме – что оладьи в меду; Ukr. Нема кращого друга, як вірна супруга. Despite the presence of proverbs and sayings indicating female disempowerment, subordination and violence, there are numerous evidences that the wife also had authority and, after the death of her husband, she became the family head representing family interests at general meetings [17].

Much attention is paid to the image of a negative attitude to vices that destroy family:
- laziness, mismanagement Rus. В прилежном доме густо, а в ленивом доме пусто; Возьмешь дурной нерв, не сошьтешь мужу рубаху; Лучше черта любить, чем с неловкой жить; Ukr. За легким человеком женка матиця, за хорошим – молодец;
- grumpiness, bad temper (Rus. Лучше смею со злобою, чем со злого женою; Сварливая жена – в доме пожар; Когда муж с женой бранится, тогда и горожек не терпится; Ukr. Лучше есть хліб з водою, чим жить з жіною лихо;
- drunkenness (Rus. Пьяныйства – душа потопление, семьи разорение; Ukr. Коли чоловік в нинку схаве, то жінка дома плаче;
- jealousy (Rus. Супругесская ревность – отрава жизни; Ревнивый муж ишит как уж; Ukr. Не дай Бог коня лінівого, а чоловіка ревнивого;
- cheating (Rus. В чужую жень черт мед кладет, в свою уксус подливает; Чужая жена, а лебедушка, а своя – ползьь гольякая; Ukr. На чужих жінок не заводисяся, а за свою надивися; Чужого волів любити – себе побули; Драний кожух не одежа, чужий чоловік не надежа.

Despite the fact that the law allowed for divorces in case of impossible cohabitation, society was against them. A characteristic feature of Slavic mentality is as follows: unwritten laws which became proverbs turned out to more effective than written laws: Rus. Дай бог раз жениться, раз креститься и раз умирать; Женитьба есть – разженятость нет; Поп схватит, никто не разжевает; Смерть да жена Богом суждены; Умне сходятся, а дураки разводятся; Ukr. Все пільги до часу, а жінка до смерті; З ким пінчатися, з тим кінчатися; Не дай, боже, два рази жениться та три рази святятися. In a difficult situation, the husband as a family head must be aware of his responsibility towards his wife: Rus. Знайте с кумою – рассставить с женой; Чужую жену полюбить – свою полюбить; Ukr. І в люби годину не кидай другужину; Не заглядай на чужих жінок, бо свою здебільш.

Family cannot exist without children who were blood relatives of parents and for each other. They created a force of the family axis” [20]. Children are a core of family life in many proverbs and sayings: Rus. Дети – благодать божья; Дети не в тягость, а в радость; Дом с детьми – базар, без детей – могила; Супругам без ребятишек – что змей без дровяшек; У кого детей нет – во грехе живет; укр. Без глюк не дерево, без дитей – не сим’я. In addition, the proverbs emphasize the role of parents in raising children hardworking, obedient, respectful (Rus. Верная узкая – не кузак, а заска; Дитято, что тесно: как замесил, так и вырощу; Ukr. Годуй діда на печі, бо й сам будешь там; Не вхи дитину штурмачини, а хорошима слівами: Яке дерево, такі його квіти; які батьки, такі й дити.

Patriarchal families were large. The gender of a newborn was also important, since the allotment of arable land was allocated only to a male child which means that the well-being of family depended on the number of men; daughters caused only expenses on weddings and dowry [17; 18]: Rus. Распишь дочку, чтoby лишь в дырявую дочку; С сыном дам наживьё, а с дочкой остаток проживьё; Сын хлебом ходит, а дочь последний кусок унесёт; Ukr. Годуй сина для себе, а дочку – для людой; Дочку годовати – у вікно кидати; Сина приесусь, а дочки і гули рознесуть.

Family legal relations could be based not only on consanguinity. In this regard, adoption is of great interest. It is the oldest Slavic custom according to which orphans were adopted and raised to their adulthood. One of the forms of adoption was adoption of orphans by childless families; adoption of children from poor large families or families of relatives; illegitimate children (with the consent of their mothers); boys, if family had only daughters. Children lived with foster parents until they have created their own families. Adopted children had the same rights as own children. All these features of family relations are reflected in Russian and Ukrainian proverbs which emphasize a high degree of spiritual kinship between two peoples: Rus. Не та мать, которая роха, а та, которая всполна-вскоростила; Не тот батюшка, кто родит, а тот, кто уму-разуму научит; Не тот отец, кто родил, а тот, кто всполна, вскоростило, да доброму научит; Ukr. Не та мати, що народила, а та, яка виховала й виходила; Не той батько, що зродив, а той, що до ума довів.

4 Discussion and conclusion
In the Russian and Ukrainian proverbs and sayings, life of a small family, its responsibilities and traditions are represented; legal norms regulating personal non-property relations of husbands and wives and other family members are reflected; recommendations how to behave in different situations, household disputes are provided.

An analysis of paremias reflecting family legal relations showed that, despite specific features of material and spiritual culture of two peoples, an understanding of family is similar. An analysis of proverbs and sayings of another thematic group revealed less similarities due to the specifics of the worldview of these peoples [21, p. 48]. This is due to the fact that family is a social institution whose main functions are upbringing of the younger generation and preservation of national and universal values.
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