Agmatine requires GluN2B-containing NMDA receptors to inhibit the development of neuropathic pain
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Abstract
A decarboxylated form of L-arginine, agmatine, preferentially antagonizes NMDArs containing GluN2B subunits within the spinal cord and lacks motor side effects commonly associated with non-subunit-selective NMDAr antagonism, namely sedation and motor impairment. Spinally delivered agmatine has been previously shown to reduce the development of tactile hypersensitivity arising from spinal nerve ligation. The present study interrogated the dependence of agmatine’s alleviation of neuropathic pain (spared nerve injury (SNI) model) on GluN2B-containing NMDArs. SNI-induced hypersensitivity was induced in mice with significant reduction of levels of spinal GluN2B subunit of the NMDAr and their floxed controls. Agmatine reduced development of SNI-induced tactile hypersensitivity in controls but had no effect in subjects with reduced levels of GluN2B subunits. Ifenprodil, a known GluN2B-subunit-selective antagonist, similarly reduced tactile hypersensitivity in controls but not in the GluN2B-deficient mice. In contrast, MK-801, an NMDA receptor channel blocker, reduced hypersensitivity in both control and GluN2B-deficient mice, consistent with a pharmacological pattern expected from a NMDAr antagonist that does not have preference for GluN2B subtypes. Additionally, we observed that spinally delivered agmatine, ifenprodil and MK-801 inhibited nociceptive behaviors following intrathecal delivery of NMDA in control mice. By contrast, in GluN2B-deficient mice, MK-801 reduced NMDA-evoked nociceptive behaviors, but agmatine had a blunted effect and ifenprodil had no effect. These results demonstrate that agmatine requires the GluN2B subunit of the NMDAr receptor for inhibitory pharmacological actions in pre-clinical models of NMDA receptor-dependent hypersensitivity.
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Introduction
Rising mortality following use of natural and synthetic prescription opioids, as well as illicit opioids, has led to the pursuit of novel, safe, and effective strategies for management of chronic pain, including non-opioid analgesics. Agmatine, the decarboxylated form of L-arginine, is endogenously synthesized in mammals by arginine decarboxylase and meets many of the criteria of acting as a neurotransmitter/neuromodulator, including synthesis in neurons, release from nerve terminals, and binding to post-synaptic receptors. Agmatine has been demonstrated to reverse pain behaviors in models of inflammation, neuropathy, and spinal cord injury.
but is not analgesic in acute measures of nociception. Agmatine also reduces nociceptive behaviors and thermal hypersensitivity that arises from spinal delivery of NMDA. Agmatine is an antagonist of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAr), making it likely that agmatine’s inhibitory analgesic effects on chronic pain are due to NMDAr antagonism. However, it has not been evaluated previously for in vivo NMDAr subunit selectivity.

The NMDA receptor is composed of four subunits, typically composed of two GluN1 and two GluN2 subunits. Of the GluN2 subunits, four subtypes exist (A-D), with each having differential expression and functional properties across the central nervous system (CNS) and throughout development, and each encoded by a separate gene. The subunit composition of the NMDAr determines its pharmacological and physiological characteristics; NR1/NR2A receptors display a faster inactivation rate than NR1/NR2B receptors. NMDAr activity is altered in several ways following peripheral nerve injury. The NR1 subunit experiences a significantly increased phosphorylation level in dorsal spinal cord and gracile nucleus ipsilateral to the site of injury as compared to the contralateral side. Long-term potentiation (LTP) is a defining electrophysiological signature of central nervous system neuroplasticity. In the spinal cord, LTP requires the activation of NMDA receptors and can be induced by pre-treating subjects with NMDA receptor antagonists, such as AP5. NMDA receptor-dependent spinal LTP can be induced either through repetitive electrical stimulation of the sural nerve, nociceptive stimulation of the area of sural nerve innervation or acute nerve injury. The NR2B subunit of the NMDA receptor is known to contribute to LTP; the GluN2B subunit selective antagonist, Ro-25-6981 has been shown to concentration-dependently reduce the induction of spinal LTP in rats by high frequency stimulation of the sciatic nerve. Similarly, GluN2B subunit selective antagonist, ifenprodil has been shown to block the induction of spinal LTP in rats by BDNF application. The demonstration that GluN2B subunit contributes to LTP in the spinal cord is consistent with evidence for its role in the development of neuropathic pain.

Analysis of the GluN2B subunit-selective NMDAr antagonists indicates that GluN2B-containing NMDArs are critical to the development of neuropathic pain at early stages following injury, and for the development of long-lasting enhanced spinal excitability. Further evidence supporting the involvement of GluN2B-containing NMDArs in the spinal plasticity and central sensitization of pain lies in the tyrosine phosphorylation of this 2B subunit and its increase in multiple pain states. The responsiveness of ionotropic glutamate receptors to glutamate agonists is potentiated following phosphorylation. Following injection of complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) to mouse hindpaws, a prolonged increase in tyrosine phosphorylation is seen in GluN2B- but not GLuN2A-containing NMDArs that is correlated to the temporal expression of hyperalgesia and inflammation. Hindpaw injection of saline results in only a transient increase in tyrosine phosphorylation of GluN2B subunits, indicating that the phosphorylation of GluN2B is maintained by primary afferent input from the site of injury. Taken in total, these data indicate that prolonged antagonism of GluN2B-containing NMDArs following neuropathic pain is a viable strategy to reduce neuropathic pain.

We have previously demonstrated in a spinal cord slice preparation that agmatine inhibition of NMDAr-mediated excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) in small lamina II dorsal horn neurons requires the GluN2B subunit. Targeting the GluN2B subunit is of interest for therapeutic drug development for the treatment of chronic pain states due to the more restricted nature of the GluN2B subunit containing NMDA receptors; such an expression pattern favors an improved therapeutic index. Specifically, it is anticipated that motor dysfunction commonly associated with non-selective NMDA receptor antagonists is not observed with antagonists selective for the GluN2B subunit-containing NMDA receptors. To determine whether agmatine inhibition of chronic pain responses in the intact and nerve-injured animal demonstrates a requirement for GluN2B receptors and reduced motor dysfunction, we tested agmatine for agmatine reduction of tactile hypersensitivity and impact on motor performance in nerve injury-induced neuropathic pain. We also evaluated the anti-allodynic effect of agmatine in a model of NMDA-evoked nociceptive behavior for dependency on NMDArs containing GluN2B subunits.

Materials and methods

Animals

Male and female ICR mice (21–24 g, Harlan) or C57Bl/6 (as described below) were housed with continuous access to water and food in a humidity- and temperature-controlled environment. Four male and five female mice were housed per cage with 12-hour light/dark cycles. All experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Minnesota.

Generation of GluN2B-deficient mice

Generation of the GluN2B knock-down (KD) mouse was initiated by Dr. E. Delpire (Vanderbilt University), as previously described. The GluN2B-mutant mouse was generated by the Gene-Targeted Mouse Core of
the INIA-stress consortium. They are on a C57Bl/6 genetic background. This Integrative Neuroscience Initiative on Alcoholism examines the link between stress and alcohol. The consortium is supported by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. The Gene-Targeted Mouse Core is supported by NIH grant U01 AA013514 (to E.D.). A breeding colony of homogenous GluN2B-floxed mice was established. At time of weaning (p21), all subjects received either an intrathecal injection of 5 microliters of 0.9% saline (floxed control subjects) or AAV9.hSYN.HI.eGFP-Cre.WPRE.SV40 (GluN2B-KD subjects) (Penn Vector Core, University of Pennsylvania).

**Rt-qPCR confirmation of GluN2B-deficiency**

A decrease of GluN2B subunits in lumbar dorsal horn of AAV9-hSYN-Cre-injected subjects as compared to saline-injected controls was performed as previously described. Briefly, lumbar spinal cord tissue was collected in TRIzol Reagent (phenol and guanidine isothiocyanate solution) and the expression levels of GluN2B subunits were determined by estimating the messenger RNA copy number through quantitative real-time reverse transcription (RT-qPCR). The oligonucleotide primers used were mouse GluN2B: F 5'-ATGAAGAGGGCAGAGATT-3' and R 5'-CGATGATGGAGGAGACTTGG-3', and mouse 18S F 5'-AAAGACGATCAGATACCGTCGTAG-3' and R 5'-TCCGTCAATTCTTTAAGTTTC-3'. 18S was used as an endogenous control as it has been validated as a stable normalization gene for RT-qPCR. To obtain the ΔCt value for each of the samples, the Ct value of 18S was subtracted from the Ct value of target (GluN2B). The ΔΔCt was obtained by using the ΔCt experimental value (AAV9-hSYN-Cre-injected) minus the ΔCt control value (saline-injected). Then the fold change ($2^{-\Delta\Delta\text{Ct}}$) was calculated.

**Chemicals and reagents**

Agmatine sulfate, dizocilpine maleate ((+)-MK-801), ifenprodil, and putrescine dihydrochloride were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). All drugs were dissolved in 0.9% saline.

**Intrathecal injections**

All drugs were delivered in 5 μL volumes via intrathecal injection in conscious mice. Briefly, the mice were held by the iliac crest and a 30-gauge, 0.5-inch needle attached to a 50 μL Luer-hub Hamilton syringe delivered 5 μL of injectate into the intrathecal space of the awake mice.

**NMDA-evoked responses**

Intrathecally-delivered NMDA induces both a transient thermal hypersensitivity, measured by warm water tail immersion, and caudally directed scratching and biting behaviors that persist for 1 minutes. NMDA responses are typically induced by a single intrathecal injection (0.3 nmol-1 nmol) of NMDA. The animal’s scratching and biting responses in the first minute after injection were counted. Increasing doses of intrathecally-delivered NMDA were injected in control (0.03, 0.1, 0.3 nmol, i.t.) and in GluN2B-KD (0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 1 nmol, i.t.) subjects. This information was used to select single doses against which doses of agmatine, MK-801, and ifenprodil were tested for inhibition of the NMDA-induced scratching and biting response. This was accomplished by intrathecal co-administration of a dose of NMDA together with a dose of either of the three antagonists.

**Spared nerve injury**

Tactile hypersensitivity was induced using the spared nerve injury (SNI) model described by Decosterd and Woolf. Subjects are placed under isoflurane anesthesia and the left sciatic nerve is exposed, along with its three terminal branches. The common peroneal and tibial nerves were ligated with 5.0 silk suture. These two nerves were then sectioned 2 mm distal to the ligation site. The sural nerve remained uninjured.

**Tactile hypersensitivity**

Mice were placed on a wire mesh grid under a glass enclosure and allowed to acclimate for 30 minutes prior to testing. Hypersensitivity was tested by using an electronic von Frey (vF) device (Life Sciences, IITC). The left and right hindpaws were stimulated by the tip of the stimulator with enough force to cause the mouse to withdraw its paw. The amount of force required for withdrawal was recorded and reported in grams. Baseline responses before SNI were collected, as well as responses on alternating days following injury. A maximum of 30 days of vF testing was performed after injury. The experimenter was blinded to genotype and pharmacological treatment of the mice.

**Motor coordination**

Motor coordination was assessed via an accelerating rotarod (Ugo Basile, Carese, Italy). After a training session, mice were given the opportunity to walk on the accelerating (4-40 rpm) rotarod for a maximum of 300 seconds. Latency to fall off the rotarod was recorded and compared between treatment groups.
Data analysis

All statistical analyses were considered significant at \( \alpha = 0.05 \). Mechanical paw withdrawal thresholds collected by von Frey filament stimulation were analyzed by repeated measures ANOVA with a Bonferroni post-hoc correction. Analysis of maladaptive neuroplasticity was performed by calculating the AUC for matched saline controls and the study drug and analyzed by unpaired Student’s t-test. NMDA-evoked behavior for each compound was compared to a subject receiving a saline control injection and was analyzed by ANOVA with Dunnett’s post-hoc test for multiple comparisons to a control. Analysis of RT-qPCR was performed by normalizing the data to a housekeeping gene, 18S, and compared by unpaired Student’s t-test.

Results

Agmatine inhibits injury-induced neuroplasticity

The first goal of this study was to determine agmatine’s efficacy at reversing neuropathic pain behaviors as measured by von Frey threshold. To address this question, we utilized the spared nerve injury (SNI) neuropathic pain model, a well-characterized model of neuropathic pain in rodents.\(^{41}\) Immediately prior to surgery, each mouse was injected intrathecally with a 5\(\mu\)L solution of 10 nmol agmatine, as well as on alternating days following surgery (days 2, 4 and 6). Subjects were assessed for their von Frey thresholds prior to surgery and on alternating days after surgery (days 1, 3, 5 and 7) on both the injured (ipsilateral) and non-injured (contralateral) hindpaws. Additional von Frey testing continued weekly for a maximum of 30 days following injury. These data are presented in Figure 1(a).

Agmatine does not impair motor performance in neuropathic subjects

In addition to reflexive (von Frey) behavioral testing, we assessed whether central delivery of agmatine attenuated motor performance. To this end, we performed SNI on male ICR mice. Following injury, mice were assessed for their motor performance on the rotarod assay and separated into groups of equal rotarod performance. They were then injected i.t. with 10 nmol agmatine, 10 nmol MK-801 or saline control. Fifteen minutes following injection, mice were again placed on the accelerating rotarod and their latency to falling off of the rotarod were recorded (Figure 2). SNI reduced time spent on the rotarod. Subjects treated with agmatine spent significantly more time than saline-treated subjects on the rotarod, whereas MK-801 manifested its established motor impairment.

Agmatine requires GluN2B-Containing NMDA receptors to attenuate neuropathic pain

Two GluN2B-floxed cohorts were run in parallel, one that had received an injection of saline at time of weaning (floxed control) and another that had received an injection of AAV9-Cre at time of weaning (GluN2B-KD). A minimum of 4 weeks following this injection, every subject in both cohorts received SNI to establish a state of chronic neuropathic pain. All subjects were assessed for their von Frey thresholds prior to surgery and alternating days after surgery (days 1, 3, 5 and 7). Agmatine (10 nmol) or saline was delivered intrathecally immediately prior to surgery and on days 2, 4 and 6 post-surgery. Additional von Frey testing continued weekly for a maximum of 30 days following injury (Figure 3(a)). Following completion of behavioral testing, spinal cords were extracted and analyzed for GluN2B mRNA levels (Figure 5). We observed that nerve injury reduced vF thresholds in both GluN2B-deficient and floxed control mice. During the induction phase (first post-operative week), intrathecal treatment with agmatine had little effect in either group. During the maintenance phase (period of established chronic pain, week 2 and beyond) agmatine demonstrated no effect in the GluN2B-deficient mice whereas it reduced tactile hypersensitivity in the floxed control mice. These results suggest that the anti-allodynic effects of agmatine require the GluN2B subunit of the NMDA receptor.

Ifenprodil requires GluN2B-containing NMDA receptors to attenuate neuropathic pain

Ifenprodil has been demonstrated to be selective for the 2B subunit of the NMDA receptor.\(^{42-44}\) As such, we examined ifenprodil’s effect in this experimental paradigm (Figure 3(b)) and compared this effect to what was observed with agmatine treatment (Figure 3(a)). We observed that, like in the agmatine-treated cohort, ifenprodil had little impact on hypersensitivity thresholds during the induction phase of tactile hypersensitivity following SNI. Similar to agmatine, during the
maintenance phase of the injury, ifenprodil showed no effect in the GluN2B-deficient mice but did reduce tactile hypersensitivity in the wild type mice, consistent with ifenprodil’s established requirement for GluN2B-subunits of NMDA Rs.

Mk-801 does not require the GluN2B subunit of the NMDA receptor to attenuate neuropathic pain

Based on agmatine and ifenprodil’s lack of efficacy in GluN2B-deficient mice in the SNI model of neuropathic pain, we expanded this work to include an additional, gold standard NMDA antagonist. It is well established that MK-801 blocks the open channel of NMDA receptors, and as such should not require the presence of GluN2B subunits in order to have efficacy in reversing pain behaviors. Again, two GluN2B-floxed cohorts were run in parallel, a floxed control cohort and a GluN2B-deficient cohort. All subjects were behaviorally assessed prior to SNI and days 1, 3, 5 and 7 following SNI (Figure 3(c)). We observed moderate efficacy of MK-801 in both the wild type and GluN2B-deficient mice.
at reversing long-term hypersensitivity following SNI. These data suggest that, unlike agmatine, the GluN2B receptor subunit is not required for MK-801’s anti-hyperalgesic effects in nerve-injured mice.

Impact of GluN2B reduction on spinal agmatine, MK-801, and ifenprodil inhibition of NMDA responses in vivo

From previous biochemical,47,48 electrophysiological,6,7 and pharmacological,6,7,49,50 studies of agmatine’s mechanism of action, agmatine likely exerts its effect on chronic pain through inhibition of the NMDAR/nitric oxide synthase cascade. We have previously shown that agmatine inhibits thermal hyperalgesia and nociceptive behavior induced when NMDA is delivered intrathecally to mice. However, the impact of agmatine inhibition on responses induced by intrathecal NMDA has not heretofore been assessed in GluN2B-deficient subjects. Therefore, we performed dose-response analysis in floxed control and GluN2B-KD mice (n = 4–11 mice/dose, male and female) following i.t. NMDA and quantified the number of caudally directed scratching and biting nociceptive behaviors characteristic of this assay (Figure 4(a)). We observed that GluN2B-KD mice require an increased dose of i.t. NMDA in order to elicit the same number of nociceptive behaviors as their floxed control controls.

Using the results from Figure 4(a), we then quantified the nociceptive behaviors following co-injection of 0.3 nmol NMDA with saline, agmatine, MK-801, or ifenprodil in floxed control and GluN2B-KD subjects. In the floxed control subjects (n = 4–11 mice/treatment, male and female), agmatine, MK-801 and ifenprodil were all effective at reducing the numbers of nociceptive behaviors (Figure 4(b)) compared to NMDA co-injected with saline. However, in GluN2B-KD subjects injected with 0.3 nmol NMDA (n = 4–8 per treatment, male and female), none of the compounds were effective at reducing nociceptive behaviors (Figure 4(c)). While MK-801 reached a significance value of p = 0.06, the lack of significant reduction of nociceptive behaviors is likely due to a floor effect due to the limited number of behaviors expressed by GluN2B-KD subjects at 0.3 nmol NMDA; therefore, we performed this assay with 1.0 nmol NMDA (Figure 4(d)). In this assay (n = 8–10 mice/treatment, male and female), ifenprodil was ineffective at reducing the number of nociceptive behaviors in these GluN2B-KD mice as compared to mice injected with 1.0 nmol NMDA co-injected with saline. Agmatine was partially effective at reducing nociceptive behaviors; finally, MK-801 was effective at reducing nociceptive behaviors.

Post-hoc tissue analysis

The spinal cord was collected, and lumbar spinal cord was dissected from GluN2B-floxed, saline-injected control mice and AAV9-hSYN-Cre injected GluN2B-KD mice. Levels of grin2B mRNA, the gene encoding GluN2B subunits, were evaluated using RT-qPCR in both GluN2B-KD and control mice. Grin2b mRNA expression was reduced in AAV9-hSYN-Cre injected subjects as compared to saline controls (Figure 5). These results confirm reduction of spinal GluN2B in the AAV9-hSYN-Cre injected mice.

Discussion

Relief of chronic neuropathic pain remains a substantial public health concern and burden for patients,51 and increasing concern over the long-term use of opioids has led to the call for development of non-opioid therapeutics.

Mechanism of action of agmatine in neuropathic pain relief

A key observation in these experiments is that central administration of agmatine results in the reduction of chronic neuropathic pain behavior. Most notably, Figure 1 demonstrates the reduction in chronic pain behaviors for days to weeks following the cessation of intrathecal injections of agmatine. Agmatine was delivered a minimum of 12 hours prior to sensory testing, suggesting that the impact of agmatine in this paradigm
is likely due to inhibition of neuroplasticity rather than to an acute analgesic effect. The rationale for this timing of central delivery of agmatine and behavioral assessment of sensitivity thresholds is partially due to agmatine’s 12 hour half-life following central delivery. In addition, we determined that agmatine delivery was sufficient to attenuate the neuroplasticity involved in development of neuropathic pain consistent with the effect of the gold standard NMDA receptor antagonist, MK-801, and greater than the magnitude of the GluN2B subunit-selective antagonist ifenprodil. It is important to note that, while agmatine attenuated the development of chronic neuropathic pain, its primary metabolite, putrescine, had no effect. This result indicates that the pharmacological effect observed results from agmatine’s action rather than from any action of its metabolic product.

**Side effects assessment of NMDAr antagonism**

Due to the NMDAr’s extensive history as a pharmacological target, the side effect profile of a classic NMDAr antagonist is well characterized. Motor impairment, psychotic symptoms, and memory impairment are seen in both animal modeling and clinically available NMDA antagonist therapeutics. In consideration of these established concerns, we conducted the most widely used assay for motor coordination, rotarod performance, and saw a significant increase as compared to saline controls in the time that neuropathic pain animals intrathecally injected with agmatine were able to walk and balance on the accelerating rotarod (Figure 2). In contrast to agmatine’s increase, intrathecally delivered MK-801 significantly decreased each subject’s motor coordination, as indicated by a decrease in the amount of time they were able to remain on the accelerating rotarod. In addition to time spent on the rotarod, a notable behavioral phenotype was observed where animals dropped their injured paw off of the rotarod and used only their three uninjured paws, presumably due to pain-related sparing of the injured paw. This observation aligns with recent publications seeking to characterize non-reflexive measures of pain in animal models.
including voluntary wheel running, grid-climbing, voluntary movement such as rearing or distance traveled, exploratory behavior, and dynamic weight bearing. Intrathecal delivery of agmatine, shown to be antihyperalgesic in evaluations of mechanical hyperalgesia as measured by von Frey stimulation, significantly increased rather than decreased the time that neuropathic animals were able to remain on the rotarod. It is probable that agmatine reduced the hyperalgesia of the paw at a resting state, leading to less pain-related sparing of the injured paw and an increase in the injured paw's use on the rotarod, thus increasing the time spent on the rotarod as compared to the saline-injected neuropathic controls. In contrast, intrathecal delivery of MK-801 resulted in motor discoordination, a known characteristic of wide-spread NMDAr antagonism.

**Development of targeted GluN2B reduction**

An important component of this study was the use of a viable knockdown of the GluN2B subunit of the NMDA receptor in lumbar spinal cord while avoiding the characterized side effects of a global knockout from birth. Pharmacologically, compounds such as ifenprodil, Ro25–6981, and polyamines and protons demonstrate selectivity for the 2B over the 2A subunits of the NMDA receptor. Probing the physiological function and relevance of the 2B subunit of the NMDA receptor has historically been difficult to resolve, as a global knockout of this gene demonstrates severe and even lethal side effects. One early study utilizing mutant mice deficient in this subunit concluded that GluN2B was essential for synaptic plasticity and neuronal pattern formation; these mice lacked a suckling response and died shortly after birth unless hand fed, likely due to...
the absence of NMDA-mediated developmental regulation. The hippocampus of these mutant mice did not respond to a standard long-term depression (LTD) protocol and lacked synaptic NMDA responses in the trigeminal nucleus, indicating the GluN2B subunit is required for development, synaptic plasticity and neuronal pattern formation such as in the formation of memory.

Advances in gene editing technology led to the development of GluN2B-floxed mice. These mice were initially crossed with transgenic mice expressing CAMKII-driven Cre recombinase, which enabled the creation of mice with reduced GluN2B expression in neurons of the cortex and CA1 region of the hippocampus. Further studies utilizing these mice have demonstrated their viability for use in a site-specific knockdown of GluN2B-KD mice compared with controls. **p < 0.01; significant difference from saline control (unpaired Student’s t-test).

Figure 5. GluN2B analysis of mouse lumbar spinal cord. Quantitative real-time reverse transcription (RT-qPCR) analysis of mouse lumbar spinal cord mRNA to confirm GluN2B-knockdown. At the time of weaning, GluN2B-floxed mice were injected with saline (floxed control) or adeno-associated virus serotype 9 vectors expressing Cre recombinase (AAV9-Cre; GluN2B-knockdown). RT-qPCR indicates a significant decrease in grin2B mRNA in GluN2B-KD mice compared with controls. **p < 0.01; significant difference from saline control (unpaired Student’s t-test).

Consequently, the decrement observed in our spinal tissue may not fully reflect the total source of the GluN2B reduction associated with the loss of agmatine effect. Additionally, we measured total GluN2B mRNA from spinal cord; AAV9-hSYN-cre construct would not impact any non-neuronal GluN2B expression, such as has been reported in astrocytes, microglia or satellite glial cells. We feature the data from the spinal cord, however, as proof of principle that the intrathecal delivery of the AAV9-hSYN-cre vector results in reduction of GluN2B mRNA.

Loss of GluN2B impacts nociceptive processing of intrathecal NMDA

Intrathecally delivered excitatory amino acids (EAAs) including N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) are well characterized as eliciting distinct response profiles: an early-onset behavioral expression of grooming and scratching behaviors and a transient thermal hyperalgesia. These behaviors can be inhibited by pre- or co-treatment with NMDA antagonists, norepinephrine or opioid agonists. These documented behaviors can be used to interrogate the spinal circuitry underlying the initiation and modification of distinct nociceptive signaling. We therefore characterized the effect of intrathecally-delivered NMDA and the ability of co-administered NMDA receptor antagonists including MK-801, ifenprodil, and agmatine to inhibit these NMDA-elicited responses in both floxed control and GluN2B-knockdown mice. We first observed that an equivalent dose of NMDA elicited fewer nociceptive behaviors in GluN2B-KD mice as compared to floxed control, and that this decrease in efficacy could be overcome by increasing the intrathecal dose of NMDA (Figure 4(a)). MK-801 was able to inhibit nociceptive behaviors in both floxed control and GluN2B-KD animals, but ifenprodil was not effective at inhibiting nociceptive behaviors in GluN2B-KD animals given 1.0 nmol of NMDA. It is of interest that agmatine partially reduced the number of nociceptive behaviors in the GluN2B-KD mice given 1.0 nmol NMDA. It is noteworthy that in the cohort of floxed control animals, agmatine prevented 74% of nociceptive behaviors expressed by the group co-injected with saline + NMDA (Figure 4(b)). However, in GluN2B-KD animals that received 1.0 nmol NMDA, co-injection with agmatine only prevented 47% of nociceptive behaviors expressed by the group co-injected with saline + NMDA (Figure 4(d)). This difference, indicating that agmatine is less effective at preventing nociceptive behaviors in GluN2B-KD as compared to floxed control subjects, was found to be statistically significant by Student’s two-tailed t-test (p = 0.0017). We interpret this to be consistent with the proposal that agmatine requires GluN2B-subunits of NMDAr for its full anti-
Hyperalgesic effect. The partial rather than full reduction of nociceptive behaviors in the GluN2B-KD subjects may be due to agmatine’s actions at receptors other than GluN2B-containing NMDArs or activity at GluN2B subunits still present in lumbar spinal cord due to the viral knockdown strategy.

Summary
These data provide integrated in vivo pharmacological evidence that agmatine preferentially antagonizes GluN2B-containing NMDA receptors. Previously reported electrophysiological studies, as well as the presently reported behavioral pharmacology and molecular data all support this mechanism of action. This report also demonstrates that agmatine is effective at inhibiting maladaptive neuroplasticity induced by chronic neuropathic pain. This characteristic of agmatine, as well as its lack of side effects characteristic to NMDAr antagonism, makes it an attractive and viable structure upon which to develop therapeutics for translation to clinical use.
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