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Abstract. This paper is denoted to study the existence of impulsive differential equations involving integral boundary conditions on the whole line by means of the Leray-Schauder Nonlinear Alternative theorem. An example is demonstrated the effectiveness of the our main result.

1. Introduction

The theory of impulsive differential equation is sufficient mathematical models for description of evolution processes. Those mathematical models whose evolution processes are characterised by combination of a continuous and jump change of their states. Impulsive differential equations arise often in recent studies and have been applied many fields, for example, in physics, natural science, chemical technology, economics, biotecnology, industrial robotics, population dynamics etc. [1, 5, 9, 10, 14–17, 22–25]. There has been a substantial development in impulsive differential equations theory with fixed moments[3].

At the same time the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for linear and nonlinearly second-order impulsive dynamics equations have been extensively studied, [2, 6–8, 12, 13, 19, 27].

The theory of boundary value problems on infinite intervals frequently seen in physics and applied mathematics, such as, in study of plasma physics, in analyzing the heat transfer in radial flow between circular disks, and in an analysis of the mass transfer on a rotating disk in non-Newtonian fluid, see [4, 21] and the references therein. While boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions are of great importance and arise in different fields such as chemical engineering, thermoelasticity, heat conduction, underground water flow and plasma physics [5, 6, 18, 26]. However, to the best our knowledge, the corresponding theory for the double impulsive integral boundary value problems on real line is not considered till now.

Karaca and Aksoy in [11] considered the following impulsive differential equations with integral boundary conditions on an infinite interval,
where \( J = [0, \infty) \), \( J_+ = (0, \infty) \), \( J'_+ = J_+ \setminus \{ t_1, \ldots, t_n \} \), \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_n \), \( \Delta z|_{t_k} = \) and \( \Delta z'|_{t_k} \) denote the jump of \( z(t) \) and \( z'(t) \) at \( t = t_k \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), respectively. The authors showed the existence results of the positive solutions by using the fixed point theorem in cones.

In this article, we aim to investigate the existence of positive solutions for the following second-order impulsive integral boundary value problem (IBVP) with integral boundary conditions on the real line of the form,

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p(t)} (p(t)z'(t))' + f(t, z(t), z'(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \in J,
\Delta z|_{t_k} = I_k(z(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta z'|_{t_k} = -I_k(z(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_1 \lim_{t \to -\infty} z(t) - \beta_1 \lim_{t \to -\infty} p(t)z'(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} g_1(z(s))s ds,
\alpha_2 \lim_{t \to -\infty} z(t) + \beta_2 \lim_{t \to -\infty} p(t)z'(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} g_2(z(s))s ds,
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

where \( J = (-\infty, \infty) \), \( J'_+ = J_+ \setminus \{ t_1, \ldots, t_n \} \), \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_n \), \( \Delta z|_{t_k} \) and \( \Delta z'|_{t_k} \) preserves the jump of \( z(t) \) and \( z'(t) \) at each impulsive point \( t = t_k \), occur so that,

\[
\Delta z|_{t_k} = z(t_k^+ - z(t_k^-), \quad \Delta z'|_{t_k} = p(t_k)(z'(t_k^+) - z'(t_k^-)),
\]

where \( z(t_k^+) \) and \( z(t_k^-) \) and \( z'(t_k^+) \) and \( z'(t_k^-) \) represent the right-hand limit and left-hand limit of \( z(t) \) and \( z'(t) \) at \( t = t_k \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), respectively.

Throughout this research work, we assume that the following fundamental conditions hold;

\[
\begin{align*}
& (H1) \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in J \text{ with } D = \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 B(-\infty, \infty) > 0 \text{ in which } B(t, s) = \int_{t}^{s} \frac{ds}{p(s)},

&(H2) \quad f \in C(J \times [0, \infty) \times J \times [0, \infty)) \text{ and also,}

& \quad f(t, z, y) \leq u(t)k(z, y),

\end{align*}
\]

where \( k \in C([0, \infty) \times J \times [0, \infty)) \) and \( u \in L(J, (0, \infty)) \) for \( t \in J \).

\[
\begin{align*}
& (H3) \quad g_1, g_2 : J \to [0, \infty) \text{ are continuous, nondecreasing functions, and for } t \in J, z \text{ in a bounded set,}

& \quad g_1(z(s)), g_2(z(s)) \text{ are bounded},

&(H4) \quad I_k, \bar{I}_k \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty)) \text{ are bounded functions where}

& \quad [\beta_2 + \alpha_2 B(t_k, \infty)]\bar{I}_k(z(t_k)) - \frac{\alpha_2}{p(t_k)} I_k(z(t_k)) > 0, \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots).
\]
(H5) \( \psi : J \to (0, \infty) \) is a continuous function with \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s) ds < \infty \).

(H6) \( p \in C(J, (0, \infty)) \cap C^1(J^\prime) \) with \( p > 0 \) on \( J \), and \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{p(s)} < \infty \).

We get the existence results of positive solutions for the impulsive IBVP (2) by means of the Leray-Schauder Nonlinear Alternative theorem in \[20\].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give some substantial lemmas that will be used to demonstrate our main result. In Section 3, we give and prove our main result. Finally, in Section 4, we give an example to support our main result.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present several lemmas that will be used in the proof of the our main results.

We indicate \( \theta(t) \) and \( \varphi(t) \) by

\[
\theta(t) = \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{ds}{p(s)},
\varphi(t) = \beta_2 + \alpha_2 \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{p(s)}.
\]

(3)

Lemma 2.1. Assume that (H1) – (H6) are satisfied. Then the impulsive IBVP (2) has a unique solution

\[
z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t, s) p(s) f(s, z(s), z'(s)) ds + \frac{\varphi(t)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(z(s)) \psi(s) ds
\]

\[
+ \frac{\theta(t)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_2(z(s)) \psi(s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t, t_k) I_k(z(t_k)) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k) G_s(t, s)|_{s=t_k} I_k(z(t_k)),
\]

where \( G(t, s) \) is defined by

\[
G(t, s) = \frac{1}{D} \begin{cases}
\theta(t) \varphi(s), & -\infty < t < s < \infty, \\
\varphi(t) \theta(s), & -\infty < s < t < \infty.
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

Remark 2.2. We can easily obtain the following main properties of \( G(t, s) \):

1. \( G(t, s) \) is continuous on \( J \times J \),
2. For each \( s \in J \), \( G(t, s) \) is continuously differentiable on \( J \) except \( t = s \),
3. \( \frac{\partial G(t, s)}{\partial t} \big|_{s=t} - \frac{\partial G(t, s)}{\partial t} \big|_{s^{-}} = \frac{1}{p(s)^{\prime}} 
\)

(4)

4. \( G(t, s) \leq G(s, s) < \infty \), and \( G_s(t, s) \leq G_s(t, t) \big|_{s=t} < \infty \),
5. \( |G_t(t, s)| \leq \frac{c}{p(t)} G(s, s) \), and \( |G_{ss}(t, s)| \leq \frac{c}{p(t)} G_s(t, s) \big|_{s=t} \),

where

\[
c = \frac{\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}}{\min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}}.
\]

(6)
(6) \( \overline{G}(s) = \lim_{t \to \infty} G(t, s) = \frac{\beta_2}{D} \theta(s) \leq G(s, s) < \infty, \)
\[ G(s) = \lim_{t \to \infty} G(t, s) = \frac{\beta_1}{D} \varrho(s) \leq G(s, s) < \infty, \]
(7) \( \overline{G}'(s) = \lim_{t \to \infty} G_v(t, s) = \frac{\beta_2}{D} \theta'(s) \leq G_v(t, s) \bigg|_{t=\infty} < \infty, \)
\[ G_v(s) = \lim_{t \to \infty} G_v(t, s) = \frac{\beta_1}{D} \varrho'(s) \leq G_v(t, s) \bigg|_{t=\infty} < \infty. \]

Set

\[ PC(J) = \{z : J \to [0, \infty) : z \in C(J'), z(t_k^+) \text{ and } z(t_k^-) \text{ exist and } z(t_k) = z(t_k), \ 1 \leq k \leq n \}. \]

\[ PC^1(J) = \{z \in PC(J) : z'(t_k^+) \text{ and } z'(t_k^-) \text{ exist and } z'(t_k) = z'(t_k) \}. \]

\[ BPC^1(J) = \{z \in PC^1(J) : \lim_{t \to -\infty} z(t) < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \to -\infty} z'(t) < \infty \}. \]

Then, we consider the Banach space \( BPC^1(J) \) equipped with norm

\[ \|z\|_{BPC^1} = \max \{\|z\|_{PC^1}, \|z\|_{PC} \} \]

occurrence so that \( \|z\|_{PC^1} = \sup_{t \in J} |z(t)|, \|z\|_{PC} = \sup_{t \in J} |z'(t)|. \) A function \( z \in BPC^1(J) \cap C^2(J'') \) is called a solution of the impulsive IBVP (2) if it satisfies (2).

Define

\[
(Tz)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t, s) p(s) f(s, z(s), z'(s)) ds
+ \frac{\varrho(t)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(z(s)) \psi(s) ds + \frac{\theta(t)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_2(z(s)) \psi(s) ds
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t, t_k) \tilde{I}_k(z(t_k)) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k) G_v(t, t_k) \big|_{z(t_k)} \big|_{z(t_k)} < \infty. \]

(7)

where \( G \) is given by (5).

Obviously, \( x \) is a solution of the impulsive IBVP (2) if and only if \( z \) is a fixed point of the operator \( T \). It is necessary to list the following conditions here that,

(H7) \( 0 < \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s, s) u(s) p(s) ds < \infty. \)

(H8) \( 0 < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) < \infty. \)

(H9) \( 0 < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k) G_v(t, s) \big|_{z(t_k)} \big|_{z(t_k)} < \infty. \)

**Theorem 2.3 (Leray-Schauder Nonlinear Alternative Theorem).** (201) Let \( E \) be a convex subset of a Banach space, \( U \) be a open subset of \( E \) with \( 0 \in U \). Then every completely continuous map \( T : \overline{U} \to E \) has at least one of the two following properties:

(i) There exist an \( u \in \overline{U} \) such that \( Tu = u. \)

(ii) There exist an \( u \in \partial U \) and \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \) such that \( u = \lambda Tu. \)
Lemma 2.4. Let conditions (H1)-(H9) are satisfied, then \( T : BPC^1(J) \rightarrow BPC^1(J) \) is a completely continuous operator.

Proof. First of all, we show that \( T : BPC^1(J) \rightarrow BPC^1(J) \) is well defined. Let \( z \in BPC^1(J) \). There exists a constant \( M > 0 \) such that \( \|z\|_{BPC^1} \leq M \). From (H2), (H3) and (H4), we have

\[
S_M = \sup \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5\},
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
S_1 &= \sup \{k(z, y) : -M \leq z \leq M, -M \leq y \leq M\} < \infty, \\
S_2 &= \sup \{|l_k(z)| : -M \leq z \leq M\} < \infty, \\
S_3 &= \sup \{|l_k(z)| : -M \leq z \leq M\} < \infty, \\
S_4 &= \sup \{|g_1(z)| : -M \leq z \leq M\} < \infty, \\
S_5 &= \sup \{|g_2(z)| : -M \leq z \leq M\} < \infty.
\end{align*}
\]

From (H5), (H7), (H8), (H9), we get

\[
(Tz)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t, s)p(s)f(s, z(s), z'(s))ds + \frac{q(t)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(z(s))\psi(s)ds \\
+ \frac{\theta(t)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_2(z(s))\psi(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t, t_k)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t_k, z(t_k))ds \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k)G(t, z(t_k)) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k)G(t, z(t_k))
\]

\[
< \infty.
\]

So \( T \) is well defined. For any \( t_1, t_2 \in J, t_1 < t_2 \), we get

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(t_1, s) - G(t_2, s)|p(s)f(s, z(s), z'(s))ds \leq 2S_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s, s)p(s)u(s)ds < \infty,
\]

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |G(t_1, t_k) - G(t_2, t_k)|I_k(z(t_k)) \leq 2S_3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) < \infty,
\]

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k)|G(t, s)|_{s=t_k} - G(t, s)|_{s=t_k}|I_k(z(t_k)) \leq 2S_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k)G(t, z(t_k))_{s=t_k} < \infty.
\]

Hence, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and the fact that \( G(t, s) \) is continuous on \( J \times J \), we have for any \( t_1, t_2 \in J, z \in BPC^1(J) \).
\[
\| (Tz)(t_1) - (Tz)(t_2) \| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| G(t_1, s) - G(t_2, s) \right| \left| p(s, z, \dot{z}) \right| ds \\
+ \frac{|\varphi(t_1) - \varphi(t_2)|}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(z(s)) \psi(s) ds \\
+ \frac{|\theta(t_1) - \theta(t_2)|}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_2(z(s)) \psi(s) ds \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |G(t_1, t_k) - G(t_2, t_k)| \bar{I}_k(z(t_k)) \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| p(t_k) G_n(t, s) \right|_{t_k} - p(t_k) G_n(t, s) \right| \bar{I}_k(z(t_k)) \\
\leq S_M \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| G(t_1, s) - G(t_2, s) \right| p(s) u(s) ds \\
+ \left[ \frac{|\varphi(t_1) - \varphi(t_2)|}{D} + \frac{|\theta(t_1) - \theta(t_2)|}{D} \right] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s) ds \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |G(t_1, t_k) - G(t_2, t_k)| \\
+ \frac{1}{D} \sum_{t_k \leq t_1} p(t_k) \theta'(t_k) |\varphi(t_1) - \varphi(t_2)| \\
+ \frac{1}{D} \sum_{t_k \leq t_2} p(t_k) \theta'(t_k) |\theta(t_1) - \theta(t_2)| \\
+ \frac{1}{D} \sum_{t_k \leq t_1, \leq t_2} \left( \theta(t_1) \varphi'(t_k) - \theta'(t_k) \varphi(t_2) \right) \right\} \\
\to 0 \text{ as } t_1 \to t_2, 
\] (10)

\[
\| (Tz')(t_1) - (Tz')(t_2) \| \leq S_M \left\{ \frac{\alpha_2}{D} \left( \frac{1}{p(t_1)} \right) - \frac{1}{p(t_2)} \right\} \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} \theta(s) p(s) u(s) ds + \frac{\alpha_1}{D p(t_2)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \frac{1}{p(t_1)} - \frac{1}{p(t_2)} \right) \psi(s) ds \\
+ \frac{\alpha_1}{D} \left( \frac{1}{p(t_2)} \right) - \frac{1}{p(t_1)} \right\} \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} \theta(s) p(s) u(s) ds \\
+ \frac{\alpha_2}{D} \left( \frac{1}{p(t_1)} \right) - \frac{1}{p(t_2)} \right\} \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} \psi(s) ds \\
+ \frac{\alpha_1}{D} \left( \frac{1}{p(t_1)} \right) - \frac{1}{p(t_2)} \right\} \sum_{t_k \leq t_1} \left[ \varphi(t_k) + \alpha_2 \right] + \frac{\alpha_2}{D p(t_2)} \left( \frac{1}{p(t_1)} - \frac{1}{p(t_2)} \right) \sum_{t_k \leq t_1} \left[ \theta(t_k) + \alpha_1 \right] \\
+ \frac{\alpha_1}{D p(t_2)} \sum_{t_k \leq t_1, \leq t_2} \left[ \varphi(t_k) + \alpha_2 \right] + \frac{\alpha_2}{D p(t_2)} \sum_{t_k \leq t_1, \leq t_2} \left[ \theta(t_k) + \alpha_1 \right] \\
\to 0 \text{ as } t_1 \to t_2. 
\]

Thus, \( Tz \in PC^1(I) \). We can show that \( Tz \in BPC^1(I) \).
Then by (H5), (H7), (H8), (H9), the properties (6), (7) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} (Tz)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s)p(s)f(s, z(s), z'(s))ds + \frac{q(s)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(z(s))\psi(s)ds \\
+ \frac{\theta(\infty)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_2(z(s))\psi(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k)T_k(z(t_k)) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k)G'(t_k)l_k(z(t_k)) \\
< \infty,
\]

\[
\lim_{t \to -\infty} (Tz)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s)p(s)f(s, z(s), z'(s))ds + \frac{q(s)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(z(s))\psi(s)ds \\
+ \frac{\theta(-\infty)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_2(z(s))\psi(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k)T_k(z(t_k)) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k)G'(t_k)l_k(z(t_k)) \\
< \infty
\]

and

\[
|Tz'(t)| \leq S_M \left( \frac{c}{p(t)} \int_{[-\infty,0]} G(s,s)p(s)u(s)ds + \max_\alpha \frac{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}{Dp(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s)ds \\
+ \frac{c}{p(t)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) + \frac{c}{p(t)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G_r(t,s)|_{s=t_k} \right) \\
< \infty.
\]

Therefore, \( \lim_{t \to \infty} |Tz'(t)| < \infty \).

Hence \( T : BPC^1(I) \to BPC^1(I) \).

Next, we prove that \( T \) is continuous. Assume that \( z_n \) be a sequence in \( BPC^1(I) \) such that, then \( \|z_n - z\|_{BPC^1} \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). For this reason, there exists a positive constant \( r_0 \) such that

\[
\max_{n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}} \left( \|z_n\|_{BPC^1}, \|z\|_{BPC^1} \right) \leq r_0.
\]

We will show that \( Tz_n \to Tz \). We have

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t,s)p(s)|f(s, z_n(s), z'_n(s)) - f(s, z(s), z'(s))|ds \leq 2S_{r_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s,s)p(s)u(s)ds < \infty,
\]

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_r(t,s)p(s)|f(s, z_n(s), z'_n(s)) - f(s, z(s), z'(s))|ds \leq 2S_{r_0} \sup_{t \in I} \frac{c}{p(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s,s)p(s)u(s)ds < \infty,
\]

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g_1(z_n(s)) - g_1(z(s))|\psi(s)ds \leq 2S_{r_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s)ds < \infty,
\]

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g_2(z_n(s)) - g_2(z(s))|\psi(s)ds \leq 2S_{r_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s)ds < \infty,
\]

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k)\left| T_k(z_n(t_k)) - T_k(z(t_k)) \right| \leq 2S_{r_0} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) < \infty,
\]

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k)\left| T_k(z_n(t_k)) - T_k(z(t_k)) \right| \leq 2S_{r_0} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) < \infty.
\]
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G_r(t, s)_{|s=t_k} |I_k(z_n(t_k)) - \bar{I}_k(z(t_k))| \leq 2S_{t_0} \sup_{t \in I} \frac{c}{p(t)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) < \infty,
\]

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k) G_n(t, s)_{|s=t_k} |I_k(z_n(t_k)) - I_k(z(t_k))| \leq 2S_{t_0} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k) G_n(t, s)_{|s=t_k} < \infty,
\]

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k) G_n(t, s)_{|s=t_k} |I_k(z_n(t_k)) - \bar{I}_k(z(t_k))| \leq 2S_{t_0} \sup_{t \in I} \frac{c}{p(t)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k) G_n(t, s)_{|s=t_k} < \infty.
\]

Therefore, by the Lebesgue Dominated Converges theorem, we can get

\[
\|(Tz_n)(t) - (Tz)(t)\| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t, s)p(s) |f(s, z_n(s), z'_n(s)) - f(s, z(s), z'(s))| ds
\]

\[
+ \frac{\varphi(-\infty)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g_1(z_n(s)) - g_1(z(s))| \psi(s) ds
\]

\[
+ \frac{\theta(\infty)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g_2(z_n(s)) - g_2(z(s))| \psi(s) ds
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) |I_k(z_n(t_k)) - \bar{I}_k(z(t_k))|
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k) G_n(t, s)_{|s=t_k} |I_k(z_n(t_k)) - I_k(z(t_k))|
\]

\[
\to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty, \tag{14}
\]

and

\[
\|(Tz_n)'(t) - (Tz)'(t)\| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_r(t, s)p(s) |f(s, z_n(s), z'_n(s)) - f(s, z(s), z'(s))| ds
\]

\[
+ \frac{\alpha_2}{Dp(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g_1(z_n(s)) - g_1(z(s))| \psi(s) ds
\]

\[
+ \frac{\alpha_1}{Dp(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g_2(z_n(s)) - g_2(z(s))| \psi(s) ds
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G_r(t, s)_{|s=t_k} |\tilde{I}_k(z_n(t_k)) - \tilde{I}_k(z(t_k))|
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k) G_n(t, s)_{|s=t_k} |\tilde{I}_k(z_n(t_k)) - \tilde{I}_k(z(t_k))|
\]

\[
\to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{15}
\]

So we obtain \(\|Tz_n - Tz\|_{BPC^1} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty\). Consequently, \(T : BPC^1(f) \to BPC^1(f)\) is continuous.

Consequently, we prove that the \(T\) is compact provided that it maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets.
Let $D$ be a bounded subset of $BPC^1(f)$, then there exists $M_1 > 0$ such that $\|z\|_{BPC^1} < M_1$ for any $z \in D$. Hence, we have

$$
|T(z)(t)| \leq S_M\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s, s)p(s)u(s)ds + \frac{\max\{\varphi(-\infty), \theta(\infty)\}}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s)ds \right.
$$

$$
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k)G_s(t, s)_{|s=t_k} \bigg\} < \infty.
$$

(16)

and

$$
|T(z)'(t)| \leq S_M\left\{ \frac{c}{p(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s, s)p(s)u(s)ds + \frac{\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}}{Dp(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s)ds \right.
$$

$$
+ \frac{c}{p(t)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) + \frac{c}{p(t')} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k)G_s(t, s)_{|s=t_k} \bigg\} < \infty.
$$

(17)

It show that $TD$ is uniformly bounded in $BPC^1(f)$.

Using the similar proof as (10), (11), for any $M > 0$, $t_1, t_2 \in [-M, M]$ and $z \in D$ we can get that $|T(z)(t_1) - T(z)(t_2)| \to 0$ and $|T(z)'(t_1) - T(z)'(t_2)| \to 0$ as $t_1 \to t_2$, i.e., $\|T(z)(t_1) - T(z)(t_2)\|_{BPC^1} \to 0$ as $t_1 \to t_2$. Thus $F = \{Tz : z \in D\}$ is equicontinuous on $[-M, M]$. Since $M > 0$ arbitrary, $TD$ is locally equicontinuous on $J$.

By (H7) – (H9) the properties (6), (7) and the Lebesgue dominated converges theorem, we get

$$
|T(z)(\infty) - T(z)| \leq S_M\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\overline{G}(s) - G(t, s)|p(s)u(s)ds 
$$

$$
+ \frac{\varphi(\infty) - \varphi(t)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s)ds + \frac{\theta(\infty) - \theta(t)}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s)ds 
$$

$$
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\overline{G}(t_k) - G(t, s)|_{|s=t_k} | + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k)\overline{G}(t_k) - G_s(t, s)_{|s=t_k} \bigg\}
$$

$$
\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty
$$

and

$$
|T(z)'(\infty) - T(z)'| \leq S_M\left\{ \frac{\alpha_2}{D} \left| \frac{1}{\frac{p(t)}{p(\infty)}} \right| \int_{t}^{t'} \theta(s)p(s)u(s)ds 
$$

$$
+ \frac{\alpha_1}{Dp(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \varphi(s)p(s)u(s)ds + \frac{\alpha_2}{Dp(\infty)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \varphi(s)p(s)u(s)ds 
$$

$$
+ \frac{\alpha_1}{D} \left| \frac{1}{\frac{p(t)}{p(\infty)}} \right| \int_{-\infty}^{t} \psi(s)ds + \frac{\alpha_2}{D} \left| \frac{1}{\frac{p(t)}{p(\infty)}} \right| \int_{-\infty}^{t} \psi(s)ds 
$$

$$
+ \frac{\alpha_1}{Dp(t)} \sum_{t \leq t_k} \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\alpha_2}{D} \left| \frac{1}{\frac{p(t)}{p(\infty)}} \right| \sum_{t \leq t_k} \theta(t_k) + \alpha_1 
$$

$$
+ \frac{\alpha_2}{Dp(\infty)} \sum_{t \leq t_k} \left[ \varphi(t_k) + \alpha_2 \right] \bigg\}
$$

$$
\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.
$$

(18)

Hence, $TD$ is equiconvergent at $\infty$. Similarly, we can obtain that $TD$ is equiconvergent at $-\infty$. Therefore, $T : BPC^1(f) \to BPC^1(f)$ is completely continuous. As a result, Lemma 2.4 is proved.
3. Main Result

In this section, we will apply the Theorem 2.3 to get sufficient conditions for the existence of positive solutions for the impulsive IBVP (2).

Define

\[
M = \left[ 1 + \sup_{t \in J} \frac{c}{p(t)} \right] S \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s, s) p(s) u(s) ds + \frac{\max \{p(-\infty), \theta(\infty)\}}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s) ds \right. \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k) G_k(t, s) |_{s=t_k} \right].
\]

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that the conditions (H1)-(H9) hold and the following condition is satisfied: there exist positive constant \( \delta \) such that

\[
\frac{\delta}{M} \geq 1
\]

Then the impulsive IBVP (2) has a positive solution \( z = z(t) \) such that

\[
0 \leq z(t) \leq \delta, \quad 0 \leq |z'(t)| \leq \delta, \quad t \in J
\]

**Proof.** Consider the following second-order the impulsive IBVP

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{1}{p(t)} (p(t)z'(t))' + \lambda f(t, z(t), z'(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \in J \\
\Delta z(t)|_{t=t_k} = I_k(z(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, ... \\
\Delta z'(t)|_{t=t_k} = -I_k(z(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, ... \\
\alpha_1 \lim_{t \to -\infty} z(t) - \beta_1 \lim_{t \to -\infty} p(t)z'(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(z(s)) \psi(s) ds, \\
\alpha_2 \lim_{t \to -\infty} z(t) + \beta_2 \lim_{t \to -\infty} p(t)z'(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_2(z(s)) \psi(s) ds.
\end{align*}
\]

We know that solving the impulsive IBVP (20) is equivalent the solving \( z = \lambda Tz \).

Define the open set

\[
Z = \{ z \in BPC^1(J) : ||z||_{BPC^1} < \delta \}.
\]

Now, we show that there is no \( z \in \partial Z \) such that \( z = \lambda Tz \) for \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \). If not, then there exist \( z \in \partial Z \) and \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \) such that \( z = \lambda Tz \). Then for \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \), we have

\[
||z(t)||_{PC^1} = ||\lambda \lambda (Tz)(t)||_{PC^1} = \sup_{t \in J} |(Tz)(t)| < \sup_{t \in J} |(Tz)(t)|
\]

\[
\leq S \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s, s) p(s) u(s) ds + \frac{\max \{p(-\infty), \theta(\infty)\}}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s) ds \right. \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k) G_k(t, s) |_{s=t_k} \right].
\]
Similarly we get
\[ ||z'(t)||_{PC} = ||\lambda(Tz)'(t)||_{PC} = \sup_{t \in J} |(Tz)'(t)| < \sup_{t \in J} |(Tz)'(t)| \]
\[ \leq S_0 \left[ \frac{c}{p(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s, s)p(s)u(s)ds + \frac{\max(a_1, a_2)}{Dp(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s)ds \right. \]
\[ + \frac{c}{p(t)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) + \frac{c}{p(t)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k)G_s(t, s)|_{t=t_k} \left] \right. \]
\[ < \sup_{t \in J} \frac{c}{p(t)} S_0 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s, s)p(s)u(s)ds + \frac{\max(\varphi(-\infty), \theta(\infty))}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s)ds \right. \]
\[ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k)G_s(t, s)|_{t=t_k} \right]. \]
Therefore \( \delta = ||z(t)||_{PC} = ||\lambda Tz(t)||_{PC} = \lambda \max(||z(t)||_{PC}, ||z'(t)||_{PC}) < M. \) This yields that
\[ \frac{\delta}{M} < 1, \]
which is contradiction with (19). Thus, Theorem 2.3 implies that the impulsive IBVP (2) has a positive solution \( z = z(t) \) such that
\[ 0 \leq z(t) \leq \delta, \quad 0 \leq |z'(t)| \leq \delta, \quad t \in J. \]

4. Example

To illustrate how our main result can be used in practise we present the following example. Consider the following boundary value problem:
\[ \begin{cases}
1 \prod \left( (1 + t^2)z'(t)' + f(t, z(t), z'(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \in J' \\
\Delta z(t)|_{t=\tan(\pi - \frac{1}{2})} = I_k(z(\tan(\pi - \frac{1}{2}))), \quad k = 1, 2, ... \\
\Delta z'(t)|_{t=\tan(\pi - \frac{1}{2})} = -I_k(z(\tan(\pi - \frac{1}{2}))), \quad k = 1, 2, ... \\
\lim_{t \to -\infty} z(t) - \frac{\pi}{2} \lim_{t \to -\infty} (1 + t^2)z'(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(z(s))\psi(s)ds, \\
\lim_{t \to -\infty} z(t) + \frac{\pi}{2} \lim_{t \to -\infty} (1 + t^2)z'(t) = 0.
\end{cases} \] (23)

where \( f(t, z(t), z'(t)) = \frac{1}{(1 + t^2)(\pi^2 - \arctan^2 t)} \), \( \psi(t) = \frac{1}{1 + t^2} \), \( I_k(z(t)) = \frac{1}{50}(1 + \tan^2 \frac{1}{2})z(t) \), \( T_k(z(t)) = \frac{1}{15^2}z(t), \) \( g_1(z(t)) = \frac{z(t)}{100} \). Let \( k(t) = 1, u(t) = \frac{1}{(1 + t^2)(\pi^2 - \arctan^2 t)}. \)

By simple computation we get \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(t)ds = \pi < \infty, \) \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds = \pi, \) \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(s, s)p(s)u(s)ds = \frac{1}{2} < \infty, \)
\( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G(t_k, t_k) = 1 - \frac{1}{6\pi} < \infty, \) \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(t_k)G_s(t, s)|_{s=t_k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} < \infty. \) Hence the conditions (H1) - (H9) are satisfied. Choose \( \delta = \frac{200}{4 + \pi^2}, \) then \( S_0 = 1. \) Then we get
\[ M = \left( 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \right) S_0 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\pi}{2} + 1 + \frac{1}{3\pi} \right) = (10, 3406) < \delta = (14, 42) \]
Then all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Hence by Theorem 3.1, the impulsive IBVP (23) has at least one positive solution $z = z(t)$ such that

$$0 \leq z(t) \leq \delta, \quad 0 \leq |z'(t)| \leq \delta, \quad t \in J.$$ 
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