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From Seven Sweethearts to Life Begins at Eight Thirty: A Journey From Life’s Simple 7 to Life’s Essential 8 and Beyond

Octavian C. Ioachimescu MD, PhD, MBA

FIRST MOVEMENT

Both in the United States and globally, cardiovascular disease (CVD) remains the number one cause of death. According to the American Heart Association (AHA) 2022 Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics Update, ≈121.5 million people in the United States have hypertension, 100 million have obesity, and more than 28 million people have type 2 diabetes. Furthermore, only 1 in 4 adults report reaching the physical activity (PA) and exercise goals recommended by the US Department of Health and Human Services’ Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans, 2nd edition. Over the past 2 decades, several research studies reported that >80% of all cardiovascular events could be prevented by healthy lifestyle and management of their known risk factors. In 2010, after decades of decline in cardiovascular death rates, AHA expanded its focus on additional strategies, which could directly promote population- and individual-level health. The central tenet of this new approach was the creation of a novel, operational definition of a construct called cardiovascular health (CVH). In defining CVH, the AHA’s 2010 writing group acknowledged that health is much broader and a more “positive” construct than merely the absence of disease. It turns out that the overall heritability of CVH is generally low, indicating that behavioral and environmental exposures are of paramount importance in determining CVH. As such, following and sustaining healthier lifestyles at young ages seem to be the key ingredients of a successful recipe for maintaining better CVH into middle ages and perhaps healthy aging. However, one’s ability to choose healthy lifestyles across the life span is highly variable and strongly influenced by other factors, such as psychological health elements and social or socioeconomic and structural determinants.

See article by Makarem et al.

Created in 2010, Life’s Simple 7 (LS7) was the AHA’s effort to define ideal CVH based on risk factors that can be mitigated via lifestyle changes or interventions. Over time, LS7 has become a proven, powerful tool for understanding how to achieve healthy aging and ways to improve CVH, while decreasing the risks of developing cardiac or cerebrovascular disease, as well as cancer, dementia, and potentially other chronic disorders. The initial definition of CVH was based on 7 health behaviors and health factors that, when optimized, were associated with better CVD-free survival, total longevity, and improved quality of life. The 7 components of CVH (LS7) included health behavior indicators of dietary quality, participation in PA, and exposure to cigarette smoking, and health factors such as body mass index (BMI), fasting blood glucose, total cholesterol, and blood pressure levels. Each metric was classified as poor (0), intermediate (1), or ideal (2) on the basis of generally accepted clinical thresholds. Based on this schema, ideal CVH was

Key Words: Editorials AHA Life’s Essential 8 AHA Life’s Simple 7 sleep duration sleep quality

The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the editors or of the American Heart Association.

Correspondence to: Octavian Ioachimescu, MD, PhD, Atlanta VA Sleep Medicine Center, 250 N Arcadia Ave., Decatur, GA 30030. Email: oioac@yahoo.com

For Disclosures, see page 4.

© 2022 The Author. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

JAHA is available at: www.ahajournals.org/journal/jaha
defined as having all 7 metrics at ideal levels. Interestingly, ideal CVH also formed the basis of a new definition of optimal brain health, which was published in 2017.15

Perhaps unsurprisingly, in the United States the prevalence of ideal CVH is exceedingly low (<1%) for all age groups, including in those as young as 12 years,16 and it declines over time. The prevalence of ≥5 metrics at ideal levels is only 45% among US adolescents, 32% in adults 20 to 39 years, 11% among adults 40 to 59 years, and only 4% in adults ≥60 years of age.16 Thus, although some individuals can preserve higher levels of CVH, most will require some attention or sustained intervention to achieve it or maintain it into later years. The prevalence of ideal diet, as defined in 2010, is consistently negligible (<1%) across all age groups, in fact driving the overall low prevalence of ideal CVH. Population-level CVH scores have been low and fairly stagnant over the past 2 decades in the United States,1 but this general observation conceals several salient facts or “details.” First, although some segments of the population experience modest improvements in CVH, others (generally from lower socioeconomic strata) see worsening CVH,17 reflecting bimodal distributions that are not well characterized by the usual measures of central tendency. Second, wider and more persistent differences seem to exist by race or ethnicity, urban versus rural environment, or by geography, with respect to the prevalence of CVH levels, and these disparities may begin at younger ages.16,18,19 Furthermore, recent data show that the prevalence of high CVH is <10% during pregnancy,20 and poor CVH in pregnancy is associated with poor CVH in offspring, suggesting that ideal CVH is not universal, even at birth.21

To date, more than 2500 scientific articles have cited the original 2010 document describing the AHA’s construct of CVH and explored its prevalence, determinants, outcomes, and mechanisms of CVH in diverse populations and across the life span.3 Because of the data accumulated over the past dozen years of investigation, the definition and quantification of each of the original metrics in the LS7 needed calibration for inter- and intraindividual variances, as well as refinement and further validation against hard outcomes. As such, variability was studied, new metrics were considered, and the age spectrum was expanded to include the entire life span. The foundational contexts of social determinants of health and psychological health were also addressed as crucial factors in optimizing and preserving CVH. As the result of these efforts, an AHA presidential advisory group recently published22 a modified concept and improved tool for assessing CVH, Life’s Essential 8 (LE8), which includes the following:

1. Diet (updated): there is a new guide to assess diet quality for adults and children at individual level (ie, for individual health care and dietary counseling) and at population level (ie, for research and public health purposes). At the population level, dietary assessment is based on daily intake of various elements included in the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension eating pattern, which has 8 subcomponents: high intake of fruits, vegetables, nuts and legumes, whole grains, and low-fat dairy and low intake of sodium, red and processed meats, and sweetened drinks. At the individual level, the Mediterranean Eating Pattern for Americans is used to assess and monitor CVH. The Mediterranean Eating Pattern for Americans is a Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension-style eating pattern that can be measured with 16 yes-or-no-questions about the weekly frequency of consuming olive oil, vegetables, berries, meat, fish, dairy, grains, etc. Of note, the Mediterranean Eating Pattern for Americans screener does not include consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages; as such, clinicians are encouraged to ask separately about the intake of this type of beverages at the time of their assessments.

2. PA (no changes): Activity is measured by the total number of minutes of moderate or vigorous physical activity per week, as defined by the Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans, 2nd edition.2 The optimal level is at least 150 minutes per week of moderate PA, or 75 minutes per week of vigorous-intensity PA for adults; 420 minutes or more per week for children ages 6 and older; and age-specific modifications for younger children.

3. Nicotine exposure (updated): the use of inhaled nicotine-delivery systems, that is, e-cigarettes or vaping devices, was added, because the previous variable incorporated only traditional, combustible cigarettes. This reflects use by both adults and youth and their implications for long-term health. LE8 also includes second-hand smoke exposure for children and adults.

4. BMI (no changes): although BMI is an imperfect predictor, it is easily computed and widely available; therefore, BMI was maintained as a reasonable gauge to assess weight categories in relationship to various health problems. The BMI band of 18.5 to 24.9 is associated with the highest levels of CVH, although specific health risks associated with the BMI strata may differ in people from diverse racial or ethnic backgrounds or ancestries. This aligns with the World Health Organization’s recommendations to adjust BMI ranges for people of Asian or Pacific Islander ancestry because recent evidence indicates their risk of conditions such as CVD or type 2 diabetes is higher at lower BMIs.
5. Blood lipids (updated): the metric for blood lipids (cholesterol and triglycerides) was updated to include non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol as the preferred variable to monitor, rather than total cholesterol. This shift is made because non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol can be measured in nonfasting status and can be reliably calculated in all people.

6. Blood glucose (updated): this metric was expanded to include the use of hemoglobin A1c (which can better reflect long-term glycemic control) or blood glucose levels for people with or without type 1 or type 2 diabetes or prediabetes.

7. Blood pressure (no changes): these criteria remained unchanged from the AHA 2017 guidelines that established levels <120/80 mm Hg as optimal, and hypertension defined as 130 to 139 mm Hg systolic pressure (the top number in a reading) or 80 to 89 mm Hg diastolic pressure (bottom number).

8. Sleep duration (new): Sleep duration has been found repeatedly to be associated with CVH (in a U-shaped pattern). Sleep duration, measured by average hours of sleep per night, is considered at an ideal level when it reaches 7 to 9 hours per day in adults.

Each metric has a new scoring system, ranging from 0 to 100 points, allowing generation of a new composite CVH score (as the unweighted average of all components), which also varies from 0 to 100 points.

For sleep health, the recommended measurement in LE8 is either self-reported average hours of sleep per night or objective sleep/actigraphy data from wearable technology, if available. The scoring system in adults assigns 100 points for 7 to 9, 90 points for 9 to 10, 70 points for 6 to 7, 40 points for 5 to 6 or ≥10, 20 points for 4 to 5 and 0 for <4 hours of sleep. In children, it uses age-appropriate ranges and scoring based on deviations from these intervals, as follows: 100 points for age-appropriate optimal range; 90 points for <1 hour above optimal range; 70 points for <1 hour below optimal range; 40 points for 1 to 2 hours below or ≥1 hour above optimal; 20 points for 2 to 3 hours below optimal range; and 0 points for ≥3 hours below optimal range. For children, age-appropriate optimal sleep durations used were as follows: 4 to 12 months: 12 to 16 hours per 24 hours (includes naps); 1 to 2 years: 11 to 14 hours per 24 hours; 3 to 5 years: 10 to 13 hours per 24 hours; 6 to 12 years: 9 to 12 hours; and age 13 to 18 years, 8 to 10 hours²³

SECOND MOVEMENT

Together with diet and PA, sleep is one of the most important pillars of health. Similar to the high rates of unhealthy diet and PA, poor sleep is also very prevalent in the general population, across all ages. Approximately 1 in 3 US adults are short sleepers (getting <7 hours of habitual sleep per day), less than half report having a good night of sleep every night, and about 1 in 5 report excessive daytime sleepiness.²⁴–²⁶ Furthermore, prevalent sleep disorders such as insomnia and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) have been linked to short or impaired sleep, suggesting that multiple sleep disturbances may occur concurrently and potentially interact, further augmenting the risk for development of chronic diseases.²⁵–²⁶ However, disentangling these collinearities is neither easy nor practical at the point of care.

In this issue of the Journal of the American Heart Association (JAHA), Makarem and collaborators²⁹ present in greater detail work that started several years earlier, which was presented at the AHA Epidemiology and Prevention | Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health Lifestyle Scientific Sessions in Phoenix, Arizona, March 3 to 6, 2020, and published in abstract form.³⁰ The authors started from the observation that, although there is already extensive work published showing that sufficient and healthy sleep is inversely associated with CVD and its risk factors, the AHA’s LS7 tool did not include sleep as a separate domain. The authors studied the inclusion of sleep as a separate CVH metric, akin to other health behaviors, and hypothesized that its inclusion may enhance primordial and primary CVD prevention efforts at the population level. They studied a sample from the MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) Sleep Study, of participants who had complete data on sleep characteristics from overnight polysomnography, 7-day wrist actigraphy, validated questionnaires, and adjudicated cardiovascular outcomes, both at baseline and during the follow-up period. The authors computed

![Figure 1. Life’s Essential 8 elements, with healthy sleep as the new element for cardiovascular health.²²](image-url)
the LS7 scores based on the 0 to 2 grading system and derived 4 iterations of a new CVH score or model: score 1 included LS7+mean sleep duration, score 2 included LS7+sleep characteristics linked to CVD in the general literature (mean sleep duration, insomnia, daytime sleepiness, and OSA), and scores 3 and 4 included LS7 plus sleep characteristics associated with CVD in the MESA trial (score 3: mean sleep duration and efficiency, daytime sleepiness, and OSA; score 4: score 3 plus sleep regularity). Among the 1920 participants analyzed (mean age: 69±9 years, 54% female), there were 95 prevalent CVD events and 93 incident cases observed during a mean follow-up of 4.4 years. In the sample, mean LS7 score was 7.3, whereas averages of the alternate CVH scores 1 to 4 ranged from 7.4 to 7.8. Actigraphy monitoring showed that almost two thirds of the participants slept <7 hours, almost one third slept <6 hours habitually, and 39% and 25% had high night-to-night variability in sleep duration and sleep onset timing, respectively. Overall, 10% had poor sleep efficiency, 1 in 7 had excessive daytime sleepiness, more than a third were classified as having significant insomnia symptoms, and 47% had moderate or severe OSA. Short sleepers were significantly more likely to have low sleep efficiency, excessive daytime sleepiness, high sleep duration and timing variability, and OSA; they also had higher prevalence of overweight or obesity, type 2 diabetes or high blood pressure, and lower mean LS7 scores ($P$<0.001).

The authors found that those in the highest versus the lowest tertile of the LS7 score and CVH scores 1 to 4 had up to 80% lower odds of prevalent CVD. Interestingly, the LS7 score was not significantly associated with incident CVD (hazard ratio [HR], 0.62 [95% CI, 0.37–1.04]). Those in the highest versus lowest tertile of CVH score 1 (which included sleep duration) and CVH score 4 (which included multidimensional sleep health) had 43% and 47% lower incident CVD risk (HR, 0.57 [95% CI, 0.33–0.97] and 0.53 [95% CI, 0.32–0.89]), respectively. The authors were able to demonstrate in this cohort of older adults that, although the LS7 score and new CVH scores that included sleep were all related to prevalent CVD, only CVH scores that include sleep predicted incident CVD. Contrary to their initial hypothesis, even a CVH score that included only objectively assessed sleep duration (a common measure of sleep health, now incorporated in LE8), predicted CVD incidence. Notably, the CVH score that incorporated objective measures of sleep duration, quality, and regularity as well as sleep disorders, was also significantly associated with both CVD prevalence and incidence.

**SCHERZO OR CODA, BUT NOT FINALE**

**Where Do We Go From Here?**

Sleep found its way as a new “wedge” in the pictorial or the “side” in the octagon determined by the individual determinants of CVH in LE8 (Figure 1), almost concurrently with explorations such as the one presented here by Makarem et al.29 These new data show that, in a manner similar to our evidence journey from LS7 to LE8, more investigative and validation efforts are needed to determine how to best measure and incorporate sleep as a relatively independent predictive factor of CVH, including with the use of the more refined scores from the 0 to 100 scale. It is very possible, likely, and desirable that measures of sleep continuity or other measures of sleep quality (Figure 2) may make their way into our next iteration of LE8, and, of course, awaiting the arrival of some ever-elusive biomarkers of known sleep disorders, in the LE8.x. Until then, let us all get back to the work bench …
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