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ABSTRACT
Background. Geographic variation in body size and condition can reveal differential local adaptation to resource availability or climatic factors. Body size and condition are related to fitness in garter snakes (Thamnophis), thus good body condition may increase survival, fecundity in females, and mating success in males. Sympatric species are predicted to exhibit similar body condition when they experience similar environmental conditions. We focused on interspecific and geographical variation in body size and condition in three sympatric Mexican garter snakes from the highlands of Central Mexico.

Methods. We assessed SVL, mass, and body condition (obtained from Major axis linear regression of ln-transformed body mass on ln-transformed SVL) in adults and juveniles of both sexes of Thamnophis eques, T. melanogaster, and T. scalaris sampled at different locations and over a 20-year period.

Results. We provide a heterogeneous pattern of sexual and ontogenic reproductive status variations of body size and condition among local populations. Each garter snake species shows locations with good and poor body condition; juvenile snakes show similar body condition between populations, adults show varying body condition between populations, and adults also show sexual differences in body condition. We discuss variations in body condition as possibly related to the snakes’ life cycle differences.

INTRODUCTION

Organisms usually respond to differences in environmental conditions by exhibiting local adaptation in phenotypic traits. Geographic variation in phenotypic traits associated with body size and condition can reveal differential adaptation of local populations to local biotic and abiotic fluctuations as presence of related species, resource availability, or climatic factors
Bronikowski & Arnold 1999, Bronikowski 2000, Miller et al. 2011). Also, geographic variation in body size and body condition can reveal fundamental variation in selective pressures, especially in reptiles such as snakes (Bronikowski & Arnold 1999, Miller et al. 2011). Thus, analyses of geographic variation in body size and condition are important to explain locally variable adaptations that produce morphological diversity in snake species.

Body condition is an expression of weight and length (size-adjusted body mass), and it is correlated with body reserves (Hayes & Shonkwiler 2001), especially with energy stores in the liver, muscle, and fat of snakes (Bonnet et al. 1998, Falk, Snow & Reed 2017). During periods of low resource availability, starvation and low body reserves are a good predictor of mortality (Shine et al. 2001, Kissner & Weatherhead 2005), decreased reproductive status (Naulleau & Bonnet 1996, Lind & Beaupre 2015, Catherine, LeMaster & Lutterschmidt 2018), and low growth rates in snakes (Bronikowski 2000).

Thus, there is a relation between body size and condition with fitness, but in different ways for the two sexes, especially with reproductive status of snakes. For example, a good body condition may be associated with enhanced survival of both sexes of garter snakes, greater fecundity in female garter snakes, and increased mating success for males (Naulleau & Bonnet 1996); thereby, a reduction in body condition may reduce reproductive capacity (Lind & Beaupre 2015). Conversely, adult female snakes in poor condition that are carrying eggs experience greater mortality (Madsen & Shine 1993, Brown & Weatherhead 1997, Shine et al. 2001).

Additionally, sympatric species are predicted to exhibit similar body condition when they have similar ecology, because they share similar interspecific interactions and selective pressures (i.e. Yom-Tov & Geffen 2006, Koyama et al. 2015, Sivan et al. 2015). For example, closely related species of garter snakes with highly overlapping ranges in Mexico, *Thamnophilis*
melanogaster and T. eques, show similar patterns of neonate body condition as a function of date of birth (Manjarrez & San-Roman-Apolonio 2015).

To understand the complex evolution of body condition, we studied interspecific and geographical variation in traits known to be associated with body condition in three sympatric Mexican garter snakes (Thamnophis sp.) from the highlands of Central Mexico. We hypothesized that traits associated with body condition of snakes would potentially reveal a pattern of geographical variation among local populations that could be influenced by ontogenic reproductive status (juvenile, adult) and sex of snakes. We discuss possible body condition differences as they are related to life cycle differences.

In this study we assessed snout-vent length (SVL), mass, and body condition in adults and juveniles of both sexes from three sympatric garter snakes in the Central Mexican Highlands (Fig. 1); Mexican Garter Snake (Thamnophis eques), Mexican Black-bellied Garter Snake (T. melanogaster), and Longtail Alpine Garter Snake (T. scalaris). They are grouped within the well-supported clade of garter snakes composed of species found mostly in Mexico (de Queiroz, Lawson & Lemos-Espinal 2002, Guo et al. 2012, McVay & Carstens 2013). Garter snakes are the most abundant snake genus in Central Mexico (Flores-Villela, Canseco-Marquez & Ochoa-Ochoa 2010). In general, garter snakes are sexually dimorphic in body size (Shine 1993) with females regularly larger than males (Shine 1994). Almost all studies comparing the body condition of garter snake species were conducted separately for each sex; therefore, there is scarce information of possible sexual differences in garter snake body condition, but see (Rollings et al. 2017).

We chose the species T. eques, T. melanogaster, and T. scalaris that occur in Central Mexico because there are no studies that describe the body condition or its possible interspecific
or spatial variations under natural conditions for these three species. Only one study of *T. melanogaster* and *T. eques* detected body condition patterns in offspring born from females caught in the wild (Manjarrez & San-Roman-Apolonio 2015). For both species, body condition of neonates differed by being lower in the early season and higher in the late season. Snout-vent length of neonates and mean mass of neonates per litter did not change throughout the birth season (Manjarrez & San-Roman-Apolonio 2015).

*Thamnophis eques* is widely distributed from Central Mexico to southern New Mexico and Arizona in the United States (Rossman, Ford & Seigel 1996). It is a generalist snake because it preys on both terrestrial and aquatic prey such as frogs, fish and tadpoles, and occasionally, mice and lizards (Drummond & Macías García 1989, Manjarrez 1998, Manjarrez, Pacheco-Tinoco & Venegas-Barrera 2017). *Thamnophis melanogaster* is endemic to the Central Mexican Plateau. It is a semiaquatic snake present at the edge of water bodies and preys mostly on tadpoles, fish, and leeches (Rossman, Ford & Seigel 1996, Manjarrez, Macías García & Drummond 2013). *Thamnophis scalaris* is also endemic to Central Mexico (Rossman, Ford & Seigel 1996). It inhabits forests and grasslands, where it specializes on earthworms, although it can eat vertebrates such as lizards and mice (Manjarrez, Venegas-Barrera & García-Guadarrama 2007).

**MATERIALS & METHODS**

In Central Mexico, we irregularly sampled garter snakes at eight different locations in the Rio Lerma drainage (Fig. 1A) over a period of 20 years, however, we selected only those five populations (Fig. 1B) with more than 24 records of snakes, which allowed us to make spatial and sex comparisons. We selected the records of snakes collected over three different years for *T.*
scalaris (2003, 2005, and 2010) at three locations; seven years for *T. melanogaster* (2005–2011), at two locations, and eleven years for *T. eques* (2000–2003, 2005–2011) at three locations (Table 1). Among the five sites, mean annual temperature ranged from 13.7–18.1°C and mean annual precipitation ranged from 116 mm–755.8 mm (Table 1). *Thamnophis eques* were captured between March and November, *T. melanogaster* between January and December, and *T. scalaris* between June and November.

We found snakes by searching under rocks and tree trunks, and some were found simply basking on the ground. All snakes were captured by hand. Adult females were carefully examined for the presence of embryos, and those identified as gravid were excluded from analysis. Measurements of captured snakes included sex (visual inspection of tail-base breadth or by everting the male hemipenes in small snakes), snout-vent length (SVL), and mass (measured on an electronic scale [±0.1 g]). Immediately after processing, snakes were released where they had been captured.

**Analysis**

Individual body condition was calculated using residuals from the Major axis (MA) linear regression of ln-transformed body mass on ln-transformed SVL. This residual index is considered an excellent estimator of true snake body condition because it shows a strong association with body fat mass but not SVL (Falk, Snow & Reed 2017). This regression was significant for all species (*T. eques*, $r = 0.90$, $P < 0.0001$; *T. melanogaster*, $r = 0.93$, $P < 0.0001$; *T. scalaris*, $r = 0.95$, $P < 0.0001$). Residuals were used to categorize body condition, with positive residuals corresponding to individuals with good body condition and negative residuals corresponding to individuals with poor body condition (Weatherhead & Brown 1996, Falk, Snow & Reed 2017).
Because the optimal body condition should approximate the true body condition of the snakes and should be unbiased with respect to body size, we evaluated this relationship with Kendall rank correlation coefficient to test for a correlation between body condition and ln-transformed SVL as a measure of size and estimated the percent variation in body condition. Also, with the coefficient of determination ($R^2$), we estimated the percent variation in body condition and mass that can be explained by SVL.

**Geographic comparison.**

The SVL and mass of snakes were transformed with natural logarithms prior to analyses. We utilized one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) to compare body condition, SVL, and mass as dependent variables among populations of each species. In these analyses, we pooled male and female snakes because a three-way ANOVA (locality, year, and sex) indicated that SVL and mass within each species did not differ between sexes, but did differ among locality and between years (Table 2). We used a Chi-square goodness-of-fit test to determine if sex ratio among species was different than 1:1 (Table 3). Statistical significance was assessed at $\alpha = 0.05$. All data are reported as means ± 1 SD.

**Sexual and size status comparison**

Each snake was assigned an ontogenic reproductive status (juvenile, adult) according to size at capture (adult snakes > 39.0, 33.0, and 34 cm SVL for *T. eques*, *T. melanogaster* and *T. scalaris*, respectively; Manjarrez 1998, Manjarrez, Venegas-Barrera & García-Guadarrama 2007). We performed a discriminant function analysis (DFA) for testing intraspecific differences (between location, sex, and size category) according to the mean of the exploratory variables (SVL, mass, and body condition) and for generating linear combinations that classify snakes as a function of their morphological traits associated with snake body condition. The grouping variables were
location, sex (male, female) and ontogenic reproductive status. DFA is an inferential, descriptive multivariate procedure for testing differences between groups according to the mean of all variables and for generating linear combinations that classify objects as a function of their characteristics (Statistica, ver. 12; StatSoft 2012).

The objective of DFA was to test differences between groups and identify which variables discriminate between two or more groups. Comparisons between groups were performed under the null hypothesis that morphological traits between categories of grouping variables were similar, and the estimated value was contrasted with the theoretical value of the F-distribution. We employed a probability of 0.05 to test the hypothesis, where P values lower than 0.05 were associated with groups of snakes showing different morphological traits, whereas values greater than or equal to 0.05 were associated with groups with similar morphological traits. The canonical average of the observations from each category (centroid) for the significant roots (canonical scores) was plotted, which reflects morphological variations between categories of grouping variables. The position of the centroids was interpreted using the variables that contributed most to discriminating between groups.

We chose those variables that exhibited a coefficient of the factor structure higher than 0.5 or lower than -0.5. The coefficients represent the correlation between the original variables and the roots. We applied one-way ANOVAs or Student-t with Statistica software (ver. 8.0 StatSoft, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA) when only one morphological variable exhibited a coefficient of the factor structure higher than 0.5 or lower than –0.5.

This study received the approval of the ethics committee of the Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México (Number 4047/2016SF). All subjects were treated humanely on the basis of guidelines outlined by the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists.
RESULTS

The biggest species of garter snake was *T. eques* with a mean body size of SVL 43.43 ± 17.57 cm (range 12.51–81.30), mass of 55.62 ± 60.56 g (range 1.40–335.86, *n* = 253). *Thamnophis melanogaster* was slightly larger than *T. scalaris* (*T. melanogaster*: SVL 29.17 ± 41 cm [range 14.40–66.0], mass 19.10 ± 23.3 g [range 1.62–196.0], *n* = 686; *T. scalaris*: SVL 28.70 ± 9.21 cm [range 12.10–53.0], mass 16.44 ± 12.59 g [range 1.30–60.70], *n* = 80).

The number of males and females collected was independent of locations sampled for *T. melanogaster* (χ² = 0.001, *df* = 1, *P* = 0.97), and *T. scalaris* (χ² = 3.69, *df* = 2, *P* = 0.15), but dependent on location for *T. eques* (χ² = 10.4, *df* = 2, *P* = 0.006). Considering all individuals collected, the sex ratio was biased toward females. For *T. eques* and *T. scalaris*, the sex ratio was skewed toward females in two of three locations analyzed (Table 3), whereas the sex ratio for *T. melanogaster* was biased toward females in Cuitzeo but not in Lerma (Table 3). For *T. scalaris* the female bias was very distinct, especially Zempoala where no males were found (Table 3).

Both body condition (residuals from MA linear regression of ln-transformed body mass on ln-transformed SVL) and body mass were related to ln-SVL in each garter snake (Table 4). The R² values suggest that more than 80% of the variation in body mass is explained by SVL, and less than 12% of the variation in body condition is explained by SVL (Table 4).

Geographic comparison

*Thamnophis eques.* For the three locations that we analyzed for *T. eques* (Lerma, Cerrillo and Cuitzeo), we observed a difference in mean body condition. *Thamnophis eques* from Lerma showed a mean poor body condition that was the lowest of the three populations (*F*₂,₂₅₀ = 10.7, *P*
< 0.0001; Fig. 2), although snakes in this location were significantly larger than in the other two

(ln-SVL $F_{2,250} = 6.7$, $P = 0.001$). Conversely, *T. eques* from Cuitzeo showed the best body

condition, but the shortest length (Fig. 2). Mean body mass was not different between locations

of *T. eques* ($\text{ln-mass } F_{2,250} = 2.2$, $P = 0.11$).

*Thamnophis melanogaster.* For *T. melanogaster*, the statistical test did not detect a significant

difference in mean body condition between the two locations, Lerma and Cuitzeo ($F_{1,684} = 3.1$, $P$

= 0.07). However, the Lerma snakes were significantly larger ($\text{ln-SVL } F_{1,684} = 42.3$, $P < 0.0001$),

and heavier than those collected in Cuitzeo ($\text{ln-mass } F_{1,684} = 56.4$, $P < 0.0001$; Fig. 2).

*Thamnophis scalaris.* In this species the mean SVL and mass showed no differences among the

three locations analyzed (Lerma, S. Morelos and Zempoala, $\text{ln-SVL } F_{2,77} = 1.55$, $P = 0.21$; $\text{ln-

mass } F_{2,77} = 0.58$, $P = 0.56$), however, mean body condition was good in the individuals from S.

Morelos and poor for those from Zempoala ($F_{2,77} = 20.9$, $P < 0.0001$; Fig. 2).

**Sexual and size status comparison**

The results of DFA showed that each garter snake had a unique pattern of intraspecific

differences.

*Thamnophis eques.* Juvenile females of Cuitzeo had a better body condition than juvenile

females of Lerma ($t_{36} = 2.17$, $P = 0.03$), but body size (SVL and mass) were similar between

Juvenile females of both locations ($F_{2,35} = 2.9$, $P = 0.06$). Juvenile males *T. eques* have similar

body size and body condition between Lerma and Cuitzeo.

Adult males *T. eques* of Cuitzeo had a higher mass (140 ± 130.1 g) than adult males of

Lerma (57.2 ± 32.7 g) and Cerrillo (49.7 ± 18.7 g, ANOVA $F_{2,37} = 8.2$, $P < 0.0001$). Adult

female *T. eques* of Lerma presented greater body size (SVL 59.0 ± 9.3 cm; mass 104.0 ± 68.1 g)
than adult females of Cerrillo (SVL 49.7 ± 8.5 cm; mass 71.0 ± 41.3 g; DFA $F_{4,158} = 3.51, P = 0.008$, Fig. 3).

*Thamnophis melanogaster.* Juvenile male *T. melanogaster* showed that body size traits and the body condition were similar between Lerma and Cuitzeo ($F_{1,165} = 1.3, P = 0.25$). In the case of juvenile female *T. melanogaster*, SVL was greater in Lerma (26.2 ± 4.8 cm) than Cuitzeo (23.3 ± 4.7 cm), and body condition was similar between both locations ($F_{1,260} = 5.06, P = 0.02$).

Adult *T. melanogaster* of both sexes presented a similar pattern. A better body condition in Lerma than Cuitzeo (males: 0.09 ± 0.29 vs. −0.04 ± 0.24; females 0.18 ± 0.34 vs. −0.07 ± 0.33), and similar body size (SVL and mass) between Lerma and Cuitzeo (males: $F_{1,86} = 4.9, P = 0.02$; females: $F_{2,98} = 8.07, P = 0.0006$).

*Thamnophis scalaris.* Only number of female *T. scalaris* (juvenile and adult) was enough to make comparisons between locations. Juvenile female *T. scalaris* of Zempoala were significantly longer, lighter, and had poor body condition than other locations. Lerma snakes showed lower SVL, mass, and average body condition, while snakes from Cerrillo and S. Morelos presented a better body condition, average SVL, and higher mass ($F_{1,165} = 1.3, P = 0.25$, Fig. 4A).

Adult female *T. scalaris* of Lerma and Zempoala had poorer body condition than those of Cerrillo and S. Morelos ($F_{6,62} = 8.4, P < 0.0001$, Fig. 4C).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we provide a heterogeneous pattern of sexual and ontogenic reproductive status variations in body size and condition among populations of three sympatric garter snakes collected in the Central Mexico Highlands over several years. We found: (1) each garter snake species shows good and poor body condition in a variety of locations, (2) juvenile garter snakes
show similar body condition between populations, (3) adults show different body conditions
between populations, and (4) adults also show sexual differences in body condition. Thus,
geographical differences in body condition were present in juvenile female *T. eques*, both sexes
of adult *T. melanogaster*, and juvenile and adult females of *T. scalaris*.

Several problems may confound these inter- and intraspecific patterns of differences in
body condition because each responds to complex interactions between sexual and ontogenic
reproductive status with local environmental variables and local resource availability (Congdon
1989, Shine et al. 2001). Thus, the differences in body condition between sites may reflect result
from differences in local prey availability, dietary quality, or predation efficiency (Britt, Hicks &
Bennett 2006), or a complex spatio-temporal interaction that is reflected in micro-geographic
diet variation, a pattern common in garter snakes (Seigel 1996).

Sympatric and closely related species are expected to exhibit a similar body condition
due to the ecological similarities that impose common selective pressures (i.e. Manjarrez & San-
Roman-Apolonio 2015). However, we cannot assume that the garter snakes we studied make
similar use of local energy supplies, which may vary according to intra-interspecific competition
and available resources (Congdon 1989), especially on prey availability.

We hypothesized that body condition of garter snakes would reveal a pattern of
geographical variation influenced by ontogenic reproductive status (juvenile, adult) and sex.
Growth and body condition in snakes may reflect intraspecific competition intensity that would
correspond to availability and allocation of energy (Bronikowski 2000, Bronikowski & Arnold
1999, Blouin-Demers, Prior & Weatherhead 2002). This is especially applicable for female
garter snakes because they are generally heavier bodied and have greater reproductive energy
demands than males (Naulleau & Bonnet 1996, Shine et al. 2001, Blouin-Demers &
In general, our results of both sexes showed similar variation in body condition among locations, therefore we lack evidence to support a difference in body condition based on sex.

Ontogenic differences in body condition can result from differential resource use. For example, studies on *T. melanogaster*, *T. eques*, and *T. scalaris* have reported intraspecific differences in the diet of snakes, such as the changing of aquatic invertebrate to terrestrial vertebrate prey between small and large snakes (Macias-Garcia & Drummond 1988, Manjarrez, Venegas-Barrera & García-Guadarrama 2007, Manjarrez, Macías García & Drummond 2013, Manjarrez, Pacheco-Tinoco & Venegas-Barrera 2017). This suggests different trade-off strategies between growth rate and body mass for resource allocation among sites, according to sex and ontogenic reproductive status (Naulleau & Bonnet 1996, Lind & Beaupre 2015). This trade-off has been sparsely studied in neonate snakes (i.e *Nerodia sipedon* and *Elaphe obsolete*; Weatherhead et al. 1999, Blouin-Demers & Weatherhead 2007).

Another reason for geographic variation in the body condition of juvenile and adult snakes includes geographic variation in the percentage of juveniles and adults in the population. For *T. melanogaster*, 94% of juveniles and 76% of adults were collected from Cuitzeo; while for *T. eques* 70% of juveniles and 77% of adults were collected Lerma. In *T. scalaris* locations, this age bias was less evident, with collection percentages of juveniles ranging from 17% to 31% by location, and 15% to 27% for adults.

According to our results, the models propose different paths for population fitness of each garter snake species assuming the current body condition. Thus, for *T. eques*, in Lerma with poor body condition, we predict a lower fitness, especially for juvenile females. Conversely, *T. eques* of Cuitzeo, with good body condition, show an improved fitness. For *T. melanogaster*, an
increase in survival and reproduction is predicted in Lerma but not in Cuitzeo, especially for adults of both sex. Finally, for *T. scalaris*, an increase in fitness is expected for S. Morelos and Cerrillo, but a decreased fitness in Zempoala and Lerma. In this way, the future scenario responds according to the local geographic variation of each population, however, this prediction is difficult to rely upon because environmental fluctuations can be unpredictable, and changes in the climate, vegetation, topography, and land use variables will reduce the future potential distribution of these three garter snakes, as has been predicted in Gonzalez et al. (2018).

Another important pattern in this study is the interspecific difference of body condition within the same location. For example, in Cuitzeo, the body condition of *T. eques* is good, and in Lerma it is poor, while in *T. melanogaster* the body condition is inverse; poor in Cuitzeo and good in Lerma. This difference could be explained by interspecific differences in resource use and its differential microdistribution. In this sense, *T. eques* is a generalist in its diet, ingesting aquatic and terrestrial prey, while *T. melanogaster* is a specialist ingesting only aquatic prey. The majority of specialist-generalist trade-offs are related with wide ecological traits that result in distinct performance between specialists and generalists (Drummond 1983, Futuyma & Moreno 1988). If these species exploit different foraging environments, it is likely that they are exposed to different environmental conditions. For example, Cuitzeo is a permanent lake that offers a constant aquatic foraging environment for the aquatic specialist *T. melanogaster*, while Lerma is a wetland environment, more suitable for the aquatic-terrestrial *T. eques*, a differential pattern that is reflected in the interspecific differential body condition within both locations.

**CONCLUSIONS**
In conclusion, our analyses suggest that traits associated with body condition of sympatric Mexican garter snakes *T. eques*, *T. melanogaster*, and *T. scalaris* in the Central Mexico Highlands, reveal a pattern of microgeographical variation among local populations that differ little by ontogenic reproductive status, and therefore, sex has little or no influence on body condition in these garter snakes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

For their assistance in the field and laboratory work we thank all of the students of the Evolutionary Biology Laboratory. Ruthe J. Smith provided comments and corrections regarding the manuscript. EVF is grateful to the graduate program “Maestria en Ciencias Agropecuarias y Recursos Naturales” of “Universidad Autonoma del Estado de Mexico” and to the “Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología”.

REFERENCES

Blouin-Demers G, Prior KA, Weatherhead PJ. 2002. Comparative demography of black rat snakes (*Elaphe obsoleta*) in Ontario and Maryland. *Journal of Zoology*, London 256:1–10. DOI: 10.1017/S0952836902000018.

Blouin-Demers G, Weatherhead PJ. 2007. Allocation of offspring size and sex by female black ratsnakes. *Oikos* 116:1759–1767. DOI: 10.1111/j.0030-1299.2007.15993.x

Bonnet X, Shine R, Naulleau G, Vallas-Vacher M. 1998. Sexual dimorphism in snakes: different reproductive roles favour different body plans. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 265:179–183.
Britt E, Hicks J, Bennett AF. 2006. The energetic consequences of dietary specialization in populations of the garter snake, *Thamnophis elegans*. *The Journal of the Experimental Biology* 209:3164–3169 DOI 10.1242/jeb.02366.

Bronikowski AM. 2000. Experimental evidence for the adaptive evolution of growth rate in the garter snake *Thamnophis elegans*. *Evolution* 54:1760–1767.

Bronikowski AM, Arnold SJ. 1999. The evolutionary ecology of life history variation in the garter snake *Thamnophis elegans*. *Ecology* 80:2314–2325.

Brown GP, Weatherhead PJ. 1997. Effects of reproduction on survival and growth of female northern water snakes, *Nerodia sipedon*. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 75:424–432.

Catherine AD, LeMaster MP, Lutterschmidt DI. 2018. Physiological correlates of reproductive decisions: Relationships among body condition, reproductive status, and the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis in a reptile. *Hormones and Behavior* 100: 1–11. DOI: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2018.02.004

Congdon JD. 1989. Proximate and evolutionary constraints on energy relations of reptiles. *Zoology Physiological* 62:356–373.

de Queiroz A, Lawson R, Lemos-Espinal JA. 2002. Phylogenetic relationships of North American Garter snakes (*Thamnophis*) based on four mitochondrial genes: How much DNA sequence is enough?. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 22:315–329. DOI: 10.1006/mpev.2001.1074

Drummond H. 1983. Aquatic foraging in garter snakes: a comparison specialist and generalist. *Behaviour* 86:1–30.

Drummond H, Macías García C. 1989. Limitations of a generalist: a field comparison of foraging snakes. *Behaviour* 108:23–43. DOI 10.1163/156853989X00033.
Falk BG, Snow RW, Reed RN. 2017. A validation of 11 body-condition indices in a giant snake species that exhibits positive allometry. *PLoS ONE* 12: e0180791. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0180791.

Flores-Villela O, Canseco-Márquez L, Ochoa-Ochoa L. 2010. Geographic distribution and conservation of the herpetofauna of the highlands of Central Mexico. In: Wilson LD, Towsend JH, Johnson JD, eds. Conservation of mesoamerican amphibians and reptiles. Utah: Eagle Mountain Publishing Co., 303–321.

Futuyma DJ, Moreno G. 1988. The evolution of ecological specialization. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 19, 207–233.

González-Fernández A, Manjarrez J, García-Vázquez U, D’Addario M, Sunny A. 2018. Present and future ecological niche modeling of garter snake species from the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt. *PeerJ* 6:e4618. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4618

Guo P, Liu Q, Xu Y, Jiang KM, Ding L, Pyron RA, Burbrink FT. 2012. Out of Asia: natricinae snakes support the Cenozoic Beringian Dispersal Hypothesis. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 63:825-833. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2012.02.021

Hayes J, Shonkwiler J. 2001. Morphometric indicators of body condition: Worthwhile or wishful thinking? In: Speakman JR, ed. Body composition analysis of animals: A handbook of non-destructive methods. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 8–38.

Kissner KJ, Weatherhead PJ. 2005. Phenotypic effects on survival of neonatal northern watersnakes *Nerodia sipedon*. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 74:259–265. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2005.00919.x

Koyama T, Ito H, Kakishima S, Yoshimura J, Cooley JR, Simon C, Sota T. 2015. Geographic body size variation in the periodical cicadas *Magicicada*: implications for life cycle
divergence and local Adaptation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 28: 1270–1277. DOI: 10.1111/jeb.12653

Lind CM, Beaupre SJ. 2015. Male Snakes Allocate Time and Energy according to Individual Energetic Status: Body Condition, Steroid Hormones, and Reproductive Behavior in Timber Rattlesnakes, *Crotalus horridus*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 88: 624–633. DOI: 10.1086/683058

Macias-Garcia C, Drummond H. 1988. Seasonal and ontogenetic variation in the diet of the Mexican garter snake, *Thamnophis eques* in Lake Tecocomulco, Hidalgo. *Journal of Herpetology* 2:129–134.

Madsen T, Shine R. 1993. Costs of reproduction in a population of European adders. *Oecologia* 94, 488–495.

Manjarrez J. 1998. Ecology of the Mexican Garter snake (*Thamnophis eques*) in Toluca, Mexico. *Journal of Herpetology* 32:464–468.

Manjarrez J, Venegas-Barrera CS, García-Guadarrama T. 2007. Ecology of the Mexican alpine blotched garter snake (*Thamnophis scalaris*). *Southwestern Naturalist* 52:258–262. DOI: 10.1894/0038-4909(2007)52[258:EOTMAB]2.0.CO;2

Manjarrez J, Macías García C, Drummond H. 2013. Variation in the diet of the Mexican black-bellied garter snake *Thamnophis melanogaster*: importance of prey availability and snake body size. *Journal of Herpetology* 47: 413–420. DOI: 10.2307/1948469

Manjarrez J, San-Roman-Apolonio E. 2015. Timing of Birth and Body Condition in Neonates of Two Gartersnake Species from Central Mexico. *Herpetologica* 71: 2015, 12–18. DOI: 10.1655/HERPETOLOGICA-D-13-00098.
Manjarrez J, Pacheco-Tinoco M, Venegas-Barrera CS. 2017. Intraspecific variation in the diet of the Mexican garter snake *Thamnophis eques*. *PeerJ* 5:e4036; DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4036.

McVay JD, Carstens B. 2013. Testing monophyly without well-supported gene trees: Evidence from multi-locus nuclear data conflicts with existing taxonomy in the snake tribe Thamnophiini. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 68:425–431. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2013.04.028

Miller DA, Clark WR, Arnold SJ, Bronikowski AM. 2011. Stochastic population dynamics in populations of western terrestrial garter snakes with divergent life histories. *Ecology* 92(8): 1658–1671. DOI: 10.1890/10-1438.1.

Naulleau G, Bonnet X. 1996. Body condition threshold for breeding in a viviparous snake. *Oecologia* 107:301–306.

Rollings N, Uhrig EJ, Krohmer RW, Waye HL, Mason RT, Olsson M, Whittington CM, Friesen CR. 2017. Age-related sex differences in body condition and telomere dynamics of red-sided garter snakes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 284: 20162146. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2016.2146.

Rossman DE, Ford NB, Seigel RA. 1996. The Garter snakes: Evolution and ecology. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press.

Seigel RA. 1996. Ecology and conservation of garter snakes: Masters of plasticity. In: Rossman DA, Ford NB, Seigel RA, eds. The Garter snakes. Evolution and ecology. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 55–89.

Shine R. 1993. Sexual dimorphism in snakes. In: Seigel RA, Collins JT, eds. Snakes: ecology and behavior. New York: McGraw-Hill, 49–86.

Shine R. 1994. Sexual dimorphism in snakes revised. *Copeia* 1994:326–346.
Shine R, Lemaster MP, Moore IT, Olsson MM, Mason RT. 2001. Bumpus in the snake den: effects of sex, size, and body condition on mortality of red-sided Garter snakes. *Evolution* 55: 598–604. DOI: 10.1554/0014-3820(2001)055[0598:BITSDE]2.0.CO;2.

Sivan J, Kam M, Hadad S, Degen AA, Rosenstrauch A. 2015. Body size and seasonal body condition in two small coexisting desert snake species, the Saharan sand viper (*Cerastes vipera*) and the crowned leafnose (*Lytorhynchus diadema*). *Journal of Arid Environments* 114: 8–13. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2014.10.013.

Weatherhead PJ, Brown GP. 1996. Measurement versus estimation of condition in snakes. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 74:1617–162.

Weatherhead PJ, Brown GP, Prosser MR, Kissner KJ. 1999. Factors affecting neonate size variation in northern water snakes, *Nerodia sipedon*. *Journal of Herpetology* 33:577–589.

Yom-Tov Y, Geffen E. 2006. Geographic variation in body size: the effects of ambient temperature and precipitation. *Oecologia* 148: 213–218. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-006-0364-9.
**Table 1** (on next page)

Capture locations of *T. eques*, *T. melanogaster* and *T. scalaris* in Central Mexico.
| Locality                          | Garter snake present | Coordinates N, W (Datum WGS84) | Elevation (m) | Mean annual temperature (°C) | Mean annual precipitation (mm) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Lerma, Estado de México          | T. eques, T. melanogaster, T. scalaris | 19°14'28.73", 99°29'41.14" | 2573          | 15.8                        | 158.7                        |
| Cerrillo, Estado de México       | T. eques             | 19°24'20.86", 99°41'41.05" | 2550          | 13.7                        | 116                          |
| S. Morelos, Estado de México     | T. scalaris          | 19°18'49.58", 99°41'29.07" | 2750          | 13.8                        | 746.9                        |
| Cuitzeo, Michoacan               | T. eques, T. melanogaster | 19°55'32.83", 101°08'26.78" | 1837          | 18.1                        | 755.8                        |
| Zempoala, Morelos                | T. scalaris          | 19°02'53.40", 99°18'44.54" | 2800          | 14.2                        | 514                          |
Table 2 (on next page)

ANOVA of ln-SVL and ln-mass as dependent variables among locations, years and sex for each garter snake species.
Table 2. ANOVA of ln-SVL and ln-mass as dependent variables among locations, years and sex for each garter snake species.

|                      | Location | Year | Sex |
|----------------------|----------|------|-----|
| **T. melanogaster**  |          |      |     |
| SVL                  | 21.58*** | 6.56*** | 0.18 |
| mass                 | 29.21*** | 5.50*** | 0.00 |
| **T. eques**         |          |      |     |
| SVL                  | 12.08*** | 22.75*** | 0.99 |
| mass                 | 7.47**   | 20.82*** | 1.66 |
| **T. scalaris**      |          |      |     |
| SVL                  | 7.12**   | 0.34 | 0.67 |
| mass                 | 2.42     | 3.73* | 1.10 |

* P < 0.05
** P < 0.001
*** P < 0.0001
Table 3 (on next page)

Sex ratio (male:female) of *T. eques*, *T. melanogaster*, and *T. scalaris* for each population collected from Central Mexican Highlands (*df* = 1 for all tests).
Table 3. Sex ratio (male:female) of *T. eques*, *T. melanogaster*, and *T. scalaris* for each population collected from Central Mexican Highlands (df = 1 for all tests).

|        | *T. eques* |        | *T. melanogaster* |        | *T. scalaris* |
|--------|------------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------------|
|        | Sex Ratio  | $\chi^2$ test ($P$) | Sex Ratio  | $\chi^2$ test ($P$) | Sex Ratio  | $\chi^2$ test ($P$) |
| Lerma  | 1:1        | 0.45 (0.49) | 1:1           | 2.0 (0.15)      | 1:1.5      | 12.46 (0.0004)      |
| Cuitzeo| 1:2        | 4.33 (0.03) | 1:1.4         | 14.9 (0.0001)   |            |              |
| Cerrillo| 1:3       | 6.76 (0.009) |              |              |            |              |
| S. Morelos |            |        | 1:1.7      | 9.94 (0.001)    |            |              |
| Zempoala | 0:23      | 23.0 (<0.0001) |            |              |            |              |
**Table 4** (on next page)

Kendall rank correlation and $R^2$ coefficients of ln-mass and body condition on ln-SVL of *T. eques, T. melanogaster* and *T. scalaris*. 

|          | T. eques | T. melanogaster | T. scalaris |
|----------|----------|-----------------|-------------|
Table 4. Kendall rank correlation and $R^2$ coefficients of ln-mass and body condition on ln-SVL of *T. eques*, *T. melanogaster* and *T. scalaris*.

|             | *T. eques* $n = 253$ | $R^2$ | *T. melanogaster* $n = 686$ | $R^2$ | *T. scalaris* $n = 80$ | $R^2$ |
|-------------|----------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
| Ln-mass     | 0.77*                | 0.84  | 0.81*                       | 0.88  | 0.80*                  | 0.92  |
| Body        | −0.25*               | 0.12  | −0.19*                      | 0.08  | −0.21*                 | 0.05  |
| condition   |                      |       |                             |       |                        |       |

* $P < .0001$
Figure 1

(A) Geographic distribution of *T. eques*, *T. melanogaster* and *T. scalaris* in Central Mexico (digitalized from Rossman et al. 1996) and (B) capture locations.
Figure 2

Body condition, SVL and mass (mean ± 1 SE) of wild-caught snakes *T. eques*, *T. melanogaster*, and *T. scalaris* collected from locations in the Central Mexican Highlands over a period of 20 years.
Figure 3

Average canonical position (centroid) for Lerma, Cerrillo and Cuitzeo, obtained from a discriminant function analysis of body condition traits in adult female garter snakes *T. eques* and factor structure.

Isoclines represent variation on SVL of snakes in Lerma, Cerrillo and Cuitzeo.
Figure 4

Canonical position of the centroids of juvenile (A) and adult (C) females of garter snakes *T. scalaris* captured from Lerma, Cerrillo, S. Morelos and Zempoala obtained from a discriminant function analysis and the variables that presented the greatest

Isoclines represent variation of body conditions of snakes in Lerma, Cerrillo, S. Morelos and Zempoala.
