Introduction

Internal hernia is a rare entity wherein herniation of the viscus occurs through large fovea, fossa or defects in mesentery and peritoneum but remain within the cavity and merely a fraction of such hernias lead to intestinal obstruction. Very infrequently, they are diagnosed preoperatively due to nonspecific symptoms, signs, imaging findings, and lack of suspicion. Internal hernias ensuing as a result of the defect in the pouch of Douglas is extremely sporadic. A rummage into the available literature revealed only six such cases reported so far in the literature. We describe here a case of internal hernia through a rent in the pouch of Douglas presenting with intestinal obstruction.

Case Report

A 74-year-old female patient presented to our emergency department with the complaints of abdominal distension and persistent vomiting which started about 10 h ago. The symptoms had progressively worsened since the onset. She had undergone a hysterectomy 12 years back. She had similar complaints a year ago for which she was managed conservatively and was asymptomatic later. On examination, the patient was dehydrated and vitals were stable; abdomen was distended and diffusely tender with increased bowel sounds. Blood tests revealed leukocytosis (15,600 cells/cumm) with neutrophilia (9360 cells/cumm), hemoglobin of 9 g/dl, hematocrit of 27% and deranged renal parameters (urea - 60 mg/dl, creatinine - 2.2 mg/dl). Abdominal radiography revealed dilated small bowel loops with air-fluid levels suggestive of small bowel obstruction (Figure 1a). The patient was started on the conservative line of management with intravenous fluids and nasogastric decompression. After 12 h of conservative management, the patient showed no improvement and repeated abdominal radiograph showed worsening of the intestinal obstruction (Figure 1b). Hence, exploratory laparotomy through a midline vertical incision was performed which showed herniation of a loop of ileum about 75 cm oral to ileocecal junction through a 2.5 cm × 3 cm sized rent in the pouch of Douglas with proximal dilated and distal collapsed small bowel (Figure 2). Perineal musculature was intact with no evidence of weakness. After reducing the bowel loop and confirming the viability, the rent was closed and surgery completed (Figure 3).

Postoperatively, she demonstrated satisfactory recovery and was discharged on the 10th postoperative day with normal renal parameters. The Patient is doing well on follow-up visits after 3 months.
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Intestinal obstruction attributable to internal hernia as a cause is a rare phenomenon with a reported incidence of 0.6%–5.8%. Internal hernias ensuing as a result of defect in the pouch of Douglas is extremely rare with only six such cases reported so far in the literature. We present a case of 74-year-old posthysterectomy status female who presented with features of intestinal obstruction. Intraoperatively, the site of obstruction was found to be a rent in the peritoneum of the pouch of Douglas through which a loop of ileum was found herniating. The viability of the bowel was confirmed, and the defect was closed. The postoperative course was uneventful. This report presents an extremely rare type of internal hernia caused by defect in the pouch of Douglas and review of the literature so far available.
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Discussion

Intestinal obstruction attributable to internal hernia as a cause is a rare phenomenon with a reported incidence of 0.6%–5.8%.[2] Paraduodenal hernias accounts for about 53% of all internal hernias.[3] Other sites for internal hernia include defects in parts of the greater omentum, mesentery of the small intestine, foramen of Winslow, mesentry of the colon, pericecal region or retroanastomotic region. The incidence of internal hernia occurring in the pelvis is approximately 7% and is anatomically classified into the obturator, the sciatic and the perineal hernias based on the location of the defects.[3] The perineal hernia is herniation of the viscus through the pelvic diaphragm into the perineum due to the frailty of the musculature. These may occur primarily due to congenital defects or secondary to extensive pelvic surgery. The perineal hernia encompasses ischiorectal hernias, subpubic hernias, pudendal hernias, vaginal hernias, posterior labial hernias, and hernias of the pouch of Douglas.[4] Our case contrasts from the hernia of the pouch of Douglas in the fact that it was associated only with a rent in the peritoneum of the pouch of Douglas and there was no weakness of the pelvic diaphragm [Figure 4]. A search for analogous cases in the literature revealed only six such cases, making this an exceedingly scarce occurrence.[1,2,5-8]

The minuitae of six case reports are prepared in Table 1. The hypothesized causes of pelvic hernia are congenital abnormalities and trauma resulting from pelvic surgery, pregnancy, or delivery.[9,10] All these cases were described in females and age of presentation and history of pregnancy varied in the reported cases. In the described cases, the basis of the hernia was assumed to be hysterectomy in three cases,[2,7,8] congenital in two cases[1,5] and in one case cause was undetermined.[6] As our patient had a hysterectomy 12 years earlier, the plausible cause of hernia would be hysterectomy.
As far as investigations are concerned for the diagnosis of this uncommon entity, most of them are nonspecific. Abdominal radiography would show air-fluid levels, suggesting intestinal obstruction and in cases of perforation following gangrene of the involved bowel, free air may be noted. Ultrasonography may show features of dilated bowel loops with to and fro peristalsis indicating an intestinal obstruction. However, hypothetically trans-vaginal or rectal ultrasonography would reveal the abnormally low-lying bowel loops and in experienced hands may also disclose the defect in the peritoneum of the pouch of Douglas, though there is no clinical evidence to support this statement. There have been retrospective reports that, computed tomography findings might show the presence of this rare type of hernia. One such finding was, cluster of loops of small bowel seen between the uterine cervix and the rectum protruding through a peritoneal defect. Without a high index of suspicion about the diagnosis, this hernia would be impossible to diagnose even in experienced hands due to its rarity. Almost always the diagnosis would be intraoperative rather than preoperative; during the laparotomy or laparoscopy for intestinal obstruction the rent and the internal hernia are diagnosed as it was in the majority of the cases reported so far.

As far as treatment for this hernia is concerned a primary closure of the rent is often sufficient and mesh repair is often not required, as the cause for this hernia is the rent in the peritoneum rather than the weakness of musculofascial tissues. The approach to the surgery may be open or laparoscopic, and there is very little data to favor either. As in other types of hernia one should be ready to face a strangulated or a gangrenous loop of bowel in this type of hernia as well.

Conclusion

This report presents an extremely rare type of internal hernia caused by a defect in the pouch of Douglas and review of the literature so far available. Furthermore, to gain better insight into this unusual entity all diagnosed cases however trivial should be published.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Suwa K, Yamagata T, Hanyu K, Suzuki T, Okamoto T, Yanaga K. Internal hernia through a peritoneal defect in the pouch of Douglas: Report of a case. Int J Surg Case Rep 2013;4:115-7.
2. Inoue Y, Shibata T, Ishida T. CT of internal hernia through a peritoneal defect of the pouch of Douglas. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2002;179:1305-6.
3. Ghahremani GG. Internal abdominal hernias. Surg Clin North Am 1984;64:393-406.
4. Carter JE. Sciatic, obturator, and perineal hernias: A view from the gynecologist. In: Fitzgibbons RJ, editor. Nyhus & Condon’s Hernia. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2002. p. 539-49.
5. Fiirgaard B, Agertoft A. Internal Richter’s hernia due to congenital peritoneal defect. Case report. Acta Chir Scand 1988;154:537.
6. Bunni J, Teichmann D, Berstock JR. Pouch of Douglas pelvic hernia: A rare entity managed laparoscopically. Hernia 2012;16:601-3.
7. Yang D, Cho SH, Shin HW, Kim TE, Kim BY, Kim HJ. Internal hernia through the pouch of Douglas after hysterectomy: A case report. J Korean Soc Radiol 2012;66:469-72.
8. Apturkar DK, Dandekar KB, Jorwekar GJ, Baviskar PK, Bhangale KD. A rare case of internal hernia through a rent in pouch of Douglas, six years after abdominal hysterectomy. Int J Biomed Adv Res 2013;4:258-60.
9. Barbier Brion B, Daragon C, Idelcadi O, Mantion G, Kastler B, Delabrousse E. Small bowel obstruction due to broad ligament hernia: Computed tomography findings. Hernia 2011;15:353-5.
10. Langan RC, Holzman K, Coblenz M. Strangulated hernia through a defect in the broad ligament: A sheep in wolf’s clothing. Hernia 2012;16:481-3.

Table 1: The minutiae of reports of internal hernia occurring through a peritoneal defect of the pouch of Douglas

| Author (year) | Age | History of pelvic surgery | Probable cause of hernia | Operational approach |
|---------------|-----|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Fiirgaard and Agertoft (1988) | 17  | None                      | Congenital               | Open primary closure |
| Inoue et al. (2002) | 80  | Hysterectomy               | Previous hysterectomy    | Open primary closure |
| Bunni et al. (2012) | 77  | None                      | Undetermined             | Lap prosthetic repair |
| Yang et al. (2012) | 60  | Hysterectomy               | Previous hysterectomy    | Not mentioned        |
| Suwa et al. (2013) | 28  | None                      | Congenital               | Open primary closure |
| Apturkar et al. (2013) | 50  | Hysterectomy               | Previous hysterectomy    | Open primary closure |
| Our case      | 74  | Hysterectomy               | Previous hysterectomy    | Open primary closure |