Metabolic Syndrome is an Important Cornerstone in the Health-disease Line and Pathological Organ Interaction
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Abstract

Background: Metabolic syndrome (MS) is an important clinical and public health problem, especially in Western society, and is affecting more and more people worldwide. Although most cases of MS are considered obese and insulin-resistant, they are characterized by inflammatory cytokine activity and chronic low-grade inflammation. Also, assumptions about the role of MS and organ interaction during target organ damage become increasingly important.

Method: For this study, online research and screening were carried out in electronic databases such as PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar; using the terms “metabolic syndrome” and “organ crosstalk” as keywords.

Results: Due to the unpredictable clinical course and treatment uncertainty in this multi-factor chronic disease, increased organ interaction and complications lead to an increase of biomarkers in blood circulation, each of which has diagnostic potential. Therefore, a better understanding of inflammatory triggers and organ interferences will also help determine therapeutic targets and prevent organ damage associated with metabolic syndrome.

Conclusion: This mini review presents an overview of the relationship between MS and organ crosstalk. More research is needed to fully elucidate the relationship between MS and organ crosstalk, the pathological conditions it causes, and biomarkers related to MS.
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Introduction

Today, metabolic syndrome (MS) has been regarded as a very important disease due to its complex multifactorial etiology and damage to different organs. In general, obesity, dyslipidemia, hypertension, hyperglycemia, and insulin resistance are the main metabolic abnormalities of the MS. Compared with the others; in particular, insulin resistance and central obesity are considered the main causes in the pathogenesis [1,2]. The interactions between organs in the human body are called ‘organ crosstalk’. This can be part of physiological reflexes that protect the organs during various stimuli or can be part of pathological conditions where an injury to an organ releases cytokines that causes several effects on other organs [3]. In response to various metabolic needs, the human body has developed an inter-organ communication system where individual tissues can affect the metabolic pathways of distant tissues. In the MS, dysregulation of this communication system plays an important role in diseases including diabetes, obesity, atherosclerosis, kidney and liver diseases [4,5]. It is known that organ crosstalk is altered in pathological conditions such as obesity and type 2 diabetes, and MS could be considered as a systemic disease since its pathology involves the interplay of multiple organs [5]. Also, adipose tissue is recognized to be an active metabolic and endocrine organ which directly and indirectly contributes to the metabolic organ interactions and related diseases (Figure 1) [6,7]. Furthermore, adipokines and myokines play a crucial role in the interactions among adipose tissue,
skeletal muscle and other organs [8]. While the studies in human and animal models confirm the relationship between gut microbiota and MS, it is claimed that changes in host-microbiota interactions may be responsible for the current MS prevalence [9]. Notably, many circulating mediators and biomarkers have been reported in recent publications, which are evidence of MS-related organ interactions (Table 1) [5,8].

**Figure 1**: Schematic diagram about metabolic syndrome and organ crosstalk. The main components of the MS, (a) primary mechanistic factors (b) other related factors (c) the effectors of the pathogenesis. The diseases always observed in the MS, (1) more frequent diseases, (2) less common diseases, (3) the diseases that are associated with organ interaction over the years. Adipose tissue, which is one of the main actors in the organ interactions, plays an active role especially in the inflammation-related interactions with gut microbiota.

| Related organ or tissue | Related or associated mediator or markers* | Target or affected organs |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Liver-derived and associated biomarkers | Adropin, Hepassocin, Selenoprotein P, Fetuin A, FGF21, RBP4, etc. | Adipose tissue, muscle, gut and brain |
| Adipose tissue-derived mediator/markers | Leptin, Adiponectin, FABP4, Lipocalin-2, etc. | Liver, muscle, and brain |
| Vasculature-associated biomarkers | GLP-1, Ghrelin, Adiponectin, L5, ANG1 and 2, Irisin, FABP4, ANGPTL3,4,8, etc. | The vasculature is affected by many mediators secreted by adipose tissue, liver, muscle, and gut |
| Muscle-derived mediator/markers | IL-6, Myostatin, Irisin, IL-15, MotS-c, etc. | Adipose tissue and liver |
| Gut-derived and endocrine mediator/markers | Insulin, Ghrelin, Glucagon, Serotonin, GIP, GLP-1 | Adipose tissue, liver, muscle, and muscle |

* While interaction of inflammatory markers and adipocytokines contribute to MS development, ongoing disease and its complications also contribute many organ crosstalk and related diseases or organ failure over the years.

**Table 1**: The biomarkers that play a role in the pathogenesis and progression of metabolic syndrome as well as inter-organ interaction can be used for diagnostic purposes.
Aim and Methods

Since MS and obesity both damaged several tissues and organs, it was aimed to draw attention to the relationship between MS and organ crosstalk. Also, analyzing the original aspects of MS and organ interaction, this mini review will help promote healthy living and develop new diagnostic and treatment strategies for metabolic diseases. For this purpose, it was performed by using electronic database search which included PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The terms ‘metabolic syndrome’ and ‘organ crosstalk’ or ‘organ interaction’ have been used as keywords for online research and screening.

Discussion

Physiological and pathological organ crosstalk

Crosstalk between organs is part of the normal physiology of the human body providing an important physiological function responsible for maintenance of whole metabolic homeostasis. Any organ injuries may be potentiated through crosstalk, resulting in a far greater burden of illness when compared to those situations in which dysfunctions of organs are created individually. Also, there may be pathophysiological pathways of organ crosstalk that could be targets for specific intervention. Coordinated responses to inflammation across many organ systems are part of the physiological responses to the organ injury and metabolic changes [10]. For example, cytokine driven epithelial and endothelial dysfunction is a crucial causative factor in the pathological organ interactions. Also, mitochondrial and immune cell dysfunctions are the other causes. Usually, increased circulating molecules such as damaged tissue-induced DAMP and PAMP caused by low-grade inflammation and gut microbiota are the other contributing factors to this process [3,7].

Relationship between metabolic syndrome and organ interaction

In the PubMed and the other indexes, the data show that there has been a notable increase in publications about the organ crosstalk over the last decade [3,5]. Previously, Luisi et al. [4] proposed a system-based approach to the complex pathogenesis of MS, unlike the traditional genetic and biochemical approach. According to them, there were many interactions between the components of MS traits associated with diabetes and cardiovascular diseases. As we all know, good blood sugar control can bring better clinical results in diabetic patients, thereby delaying cardiovascular complications and organ damage [1]. It has been reported by some other authors that adipokine, cytokine and inflammatory mediators produced in adipose tissue and liver are effective in inter-organ interaction and contribute to insulin resistance [11,12]. Metabolic syndrome, which is also closely related to obesity with multiorgan involvement, is characterized by endothelial dysfunction, atherogenic dyslipidemia, visceral adiposity, and insulin resistance. These disorders are interrelated and share common pathophysiological mechanisms, pathways, and mediators [6]. Insulin resistance and hyperglycemia, which become chronic over time, not only affect the cardiovascular system, kidneys, and liver but also may play a role in long-term damage and failure of these organ systems. In fact, it can be said that MS is an important cornerstone in terms of the interaction between organs, and therefore, it contributes significantly to the pathogenesis and course of organ crosstalk. For instance, MS stimulates the development of the premature atherosclerotic disease, and it is closely related to the occurrence of other cardiovascular complications such as heart attack and cardiac failure [13]. Similarly, insulin resistance and adipokine-mediated metabolic dysregulation have been associated with NAFLD, and hyperinsulinemia may induce inflammatory processes by leading to liver damage [12,14]. Indeed, the development of NAFLD in obese and MS patients is a typical example of organ interaction. Also, musculoskeletal pathologies have emerged as another area associated with MS-related conditions [8]. Yeo et al. [15] reported that gut-skeletal muscle or gut-liver interactions play a crucial role in the development of the insulin resistance of skeletal muscle and NAFLD.

In the MS, inflammation (metaflammation) induced by excessive nutrient intake, recurrent oxidative stress, and mitochondrial dysfunction changes lead to progressive tissue damage in the heart, liver, and other organs over time [3,15]. Adipose tissue, skeletal muscle, pancreas and liver are themselves sites of inflammation in the MS and obesity. Low-grade inflammation of adipose tissue is an important step contributing to pathological organ abnormalities and symbolizes the relationship with MS as in the examples of diabetes, liver, kidney, and cardiovascular diseases [16]. Moreover, patients with MS have an increased frequency of renal vascular sclerosis, tubulointerstitial fibrosis, and tubular atrophy [17]. Although obesity, insulin resistance, and the MS are associated with changes to the gut microbiota, the mechanism of adipose tissue-gut microbiota interaction in the patients with MS is unknown fully. In patients with MS, both gut microbiota and visceral adipose tissue are thought to be responsible for inflammatory changes and damage to target organs over time [9,18]. In particular, NAFLD, which is frequently seen in MS and obese patients, is described as an epiphenomenon of the organ crosstalk and interaction [14].
Biomarkers and mediators associated with organ interaction in metabolic syndrome

Circulating biomarkers diversity is a fledgling but rapidly growing issue in the diagnosis and treatment of MS. Besides genetic biomarkers, MS-associated markers include dyslipidemia, oxidative stress, inflammatory, and cardio-metabolic biomarkers [19]. A number of circulating biomarkers related to obesity and metabolic dysfunction could serve as the risk of MS, the indicator of metabolic organ interactions, and early markers of elevated another disease risk such as type 2 diabetes and NAFLD [3]. The interaction of inflammatory markers, cytokines, and adipokines contributes to the interaction between MS and related organs. While chronic inflammation leads to abnormal cytokine production in obesity and MS, RNA expressions of proinflammatory cytokines, especially TNF-α, IL-1, and IL-6, increase in patients with obesity [20]. Additionally, as miRNAs are released into the bloodstream, they serve as key messengers between cells and tissues, participating in the metabolic organ crosstalk and facilitating interaction [21]. Besides, the increase in circulating modified LDL rates leads to several metabolic disorders associated with high cardiovascular risk and systemic inflammation [22]. At the systemic level, changes in circulating mediator levels such as TNF-α and adiponectin lead to decreased liver and muscle insulin sensitivity through inflammation, toxicity, and increased accumulation of ectopic lipids [8,23]. Srikanthan et al. [24] reported a diagnostic marker panel consisting of the levels of leptin, adiponectin, paraoxonase, Ox-LDL, IL-6, IL-10, TNF-α, uric acid, PAI-1, and ghrelin in the circulation of patients with MS.

Metabolic syndrome is a progressive disease involving various diseases with specific abnormalities at different time intervals. These abnormalities can be diagnosed and monitored by serum biomarkers [24]. Therefore, creating a biomarker panel with known and predictable links to MS can be a tool to identify people at risk and intervene if necessary. In a recent study of Priest and Toronto [5], attention is drawn into inter-organ crosstalk in MS, and many mediators and biomarkers are reported to be secreted from the liver, adipose tissue, skeletal muscle, vascular system, intestine, and endocrine system (Table 1). Because MS affects multiple interacting organ systems (for being a systemic disease), system-level analysis of disease etiopathogenesis is essential for both complete elucidations of its pathophysiology and new treatment methods [25]. For instance, the administration of recombinant GDF15 improves insulin resistance and glucose tolerance by decreasing food intake [26]. Therefore, for circulating biomarkers, improvements in risk estimates have the potential to positively contribute to MS diagnosis and treatment processes. Also, MS is a risk factor that influences COVID-19 progression and prognosis. Cytokine release syndrome - an acute systemic inflammatory immune response - is the major hallmark of the COVID-19, which is characterized by fever and multiple organ dysfunction [27]. Therefore, the rapid course of COVID-19 cases with obese, diabetic, hypertensive, or liver damage indicates the importance of this risk group for monitoring and treatment. As in the control of other epidemics, education of the population about the health hazard of the MS would be very important. Specifically, to prevent MS before it happens, targeting the young population may be a better plan than trying to improve it once it happens.

Conclusion

Despite the increasing number of publications on organ interaction, what is known about the mechanism of crosstalk and the detailed role of interactions is not yet sufficient. However, hypotheses about the role of organ interaction in the course of MS and target organ damage are becoming increasingly important. Due to its complex multifactorial etiology, MS causes damage to the many organs. Specifically, insulin resistance plays a central role in MS pathogenesis and promotes the majority of metabolic impairments, including the organ diseases that originate from MS. For example, the change in white fat tissue and adipocytokine release due to obesity characterizes low-grade inflammation involved in the pathogenesis of liver and heart disorders. As individuals with MS have signs of organ damage such as inflammation and metabolic dysregulation, this and similar changes should be handled holistically for diagnostic purposes, and also treatment management should be directed accordingly. Hopefully, ongoing studies in this area may open new avenues for the diagnosis and management of MS.
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