Evaluation of Mechanical Properties and Cell Viability of Poly (3-Hydroxybutyrate)-Chitosan/Al$_2$O$_3$ Nanocomposite Scaffold for Cartilage Tissue Engineering

Abstract

**Background:** The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of alumina nanowires as reinforcement phases in polyhydroxybutyrate-chitosan (PHB-CTS) scaffolds to apply in cartilage tissue engineering. **Methods:** A certain proportion of polymers and alumina was chosen. After optimization of electrospun parameters, PHB, PHB-CTS, and PHB-CTS/3% Al$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite scaffolds were randomly electrospun. Scanning electron microscopy, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, water contact angle measurement, tensile strength, and chondrocyte cell culture studies were used to evaluate the physical, mechanical, and biological properties of the scaffolds. **Results:** The average fiber diameter of scaffolds was 300–550 nm and the porosity percentages for the first layer of all types of scaffolds were more than 81%. Scaffolds' hydrophilicity was increased by adding alumina and CTS. The tensile strength of scaffolds decreased by adding CTS and increased up to more than 10 folds after adding alumina. Chondrocyte viability and proliferation on scaffolds were better after adding CTS and alumina to PHB. **Conclusion:** With regard to the results, electrospun PHB-CTS/3% Al$_2$O$_3$ scaffold has the appropriate potential to apply in cartilage tissue engineering.
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Introduction

Trauma and disease have important roles in damaging or losing the ability of cartilage. One of the attractive areas for the reproduction of cartilage tissue is tissue engineering. Tissue engineering is a combination of medical science and engineering that is aimed at restoring, improving, or maintaining the biological function of damaged tissues. Scaffold, cells, and the environmental factors are the three key points; proper interaction between which can lead to an appropriate biological function. Since scaffolds in tissue engineering have a mimicking extracellular matrix (ECM) role, methods of scaffolds preparation as well as selecting biomaterials are of great importance. Tissue engineering scaffolds should have appropriate biocompatible and biodegradable properties. Furthermore, their biodegradation products should be nontoxic. In addition, since cartilages are mostly under load, these scaffolds should also have appropriate mechanical and physical properties. Today, because of its ability to mimic the ECM, the electrospinning method is used to design tissue engineering scaffolds. In addition to economic considerations and its simplicity, the most important feature of this technique is the high level of cells attaching and rapid transfer of food and metabolic products from the scaffolds. Studies have shown that each polymer has some drawbacks, in addition to its merits, which limit the application of polymers in pure form and thus composites are introduced. For example, although polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) has positive features such as biocompatibility, high mechanical properties, and nontoxic degradation products, the hydrophobic nature, low degradation, and brittleness of the PHB limit its sole application in tissue engineering. It seems that using a natural and hydrophilic polymer such as chitosan (CTS), undesirable properties of PHB can be overcome. Hydrophilicity was increased after adding CTS to PHB. In addition, intrinsic brittleness of PHB-CTS was improved, and the cell response was better. However, some reports also
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show that mechanical strength is reduced after adding CTS to PHB. One of the best methods to improve the mechanical properties of polymers is to make composites of them using ceramics as reinforcement phases. One-dimensional nanostructures (nanowires, nanowhiskers, and nanotubes) have shown to be the most effective in enhancing mechanical properties due to their interdiffusion and entanglement in host polymer. One of the most merits of electrospinning methods is the capacity of aligning one-dimensional nanostructures in the fibers. In addition to improving the physical and mechanical properties, these nanostructures can also enhance the cell response. Alumina, as the first bioceramics, can be a proper choice due to its low cost, good compatibility, and high modulus. Alumina nanostructures have shown a significant increase in mechanical properties of poly (ε-caprolactone) and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA). The effects of alumina in different dimensions to enhance mechanical properties of composites based on PMMA were compared, and it was reported that one-dimensional reinforcements (such as whiskers and fibers) can be more effective compared to spherical nanoparticles of alumina. There are also reports of the positive effects of alumina nanostructures on differentiation, growth, and proliferation of mesenchymal stem cells. From alumina nanowires, no genotoxic, cytotoxic, and nuclear damage were observed in fibroblastic studies.

In this work, PHB, PHB-CTS, and PHB-CTS scaffolds reinforced with alumina nanowires and are fabricated using electrospinning method. The surface morphology, hydrophilicity, and mechanical properties are evaluated. For rabbit chondrocytes cells, cell viability and cell attachment were assessed and compared on PHB, PHB-CTS, and PHB-CTS/3% Al₂O₃ composite scaffolds.

**Methods**

PHB (Mw = 300,000 g/mol), CTS (Mw = 1526.454 g/mol, deacetylation degree = 75%–85%), and alumina nanowires (200–400 nm in length and 2–4 nm in diameters) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (USA). Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA = CF₃COOH, Mw = 169.87 g/mol, density = 1.49 g/ml, and purity percent = 99%) was purchased from Merck (Germany). In the cell studies, the rabbit chondrocyte cells (Pasteur Institute/Iran), culture media (F12, GIBCO/USA), and MTT powder (Sigma Aldrich/USA) were used.

**Preparation of electrospun scaffolds**

As proposed by Sadeghi et al., 20% CTS was added to a 9% wt solution of PHB. To prevent the agglomeration, Al₂O₃ nanowires suspension (3% wt of alumina nanowires in 0.2 cc of TFA) was sonicated for 12 s. PHB, PHB-CTS, and PHB-CTS/3% Al₂O₃ random nanofibers were electrospun. The optimum electrospinning parameters were 25 cm as the distance between the collecting plate and the tip of the needle, and 0.01 mL/min as the flow rate and 22 kV as the high voltage were applied.

**Scanning electron microscopy evaluations**

The morphologies of the fibers are assessed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, SERONTECHNOLOGIES, AIS2100/South Korea) at ×10,000 magnifications. The mean of the fiber diameters and the porosity is measured through Image J (Wayne Rasband, National Institute of Health/USA) and MATLAB (R2016a) software, respectively. The average fiber diameter is calculated by Image J software, and MATLAB software is used for the analysis of porosity. The water contact angle was measured by a contact angle meter (CA-ES10, Fars EOR/Iran) with a droplet of water (1 μL) placed on the fiber surface. The mean of contact angles is calculated for at least five points on the same fiber.

**Fourier-transform infrared analyses**

Attenuated total reflection-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) (Bruker, Tensor 27/Germany) was done to evaluate chemical bonds in electrospun scaffolds. The angle of double-deionized water drop is recorded after 10 s using contact angle meter (CA-ES10, Fars EOR/Iran) to determine the hydrophilicity of the scaffolds. In the experiments, the angle of water drop on the fiber is measured by a contact angle meter (CA-ES10, Fars EOR/Iran) and the mean of contact angles is calculated for at least five points on the same fiber.

**Analysis of mechanical properties of scaffolds**

Mechanical properties of scaffolds were analyzed by tensile testing (INSTRON-5566/USA) in accordance with DIN EN ISO 05/1995. Scaffolds were cut in 3 cm × 0.5 cm, and the test was done in triplicate for each specimen. The load cell was adjusted in 20 (N), the extension rate was kept at 1 mm/min, and the distance between the two jaws was 20 mm.

**Cell behavior assay**

PHB, PHB-CTS, and PHB-CTS/3% Al₂O₃ composite scaffolds were cut into circular sheets and sterilized through PBS (for 15 min), ethanol (for 15 min), and ultraviolet light (for 60 min) before cell culture; then, they were placed on 24-well plates. The chondrocyte cells were seeded with the density 5 × 10⁵ on each scaffold and incubated at 37°C, and their medium was refreshed every other day. The morphology and adhesion of rabbit chondrocyte cells were assessed by SEM on days 1 and 7 after culturing. MTT assay determined the viable cell count on days 1, 3, and 7 according to ISO-10993-5 standard.

**Statistical analysis**

All experiments were performed in at least three replications. The results were expressed as the means ± standard error. The value of *P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The one-way ANOVA analysis was applied to compare the samples.

**Results**

**Fibers’ morphology evaluation**

SEM images are shown in Figure 1. The average fiber diameters and their porosity percentage are tabulated in Table 1. All of the scaffolds were fully porous, uniform,
and bead free. The fiber diameters of scaffolds were increased by increasing CTS and alumina to PHB. More than 80% porosities for the first layer, nearly 50% for the second layer, and 20% for the third layer were evaluated through MATLAB software in all three types of scaffolds.

Fourier transform infrared spectrometry

FTIR-ATR spectrum of PHB, PHB-CTS, and PHB-CTS/3% Al₂O₃ is shown in Figure 2a-c, respectively. The characteristic band of PHB at 1720 cm⁻¹, related to stretching absorption of carbonyl group (υC = O), was observed.[14] In addition, peaks at 980, 1227, and 1276 cm⁻¹, corresponding to crystalline phases of PHB and 1184 cm⁻¹, were attributed to the amorphous phase of PHB.[15] The carboxyl group was also absorbed from 3041 to 2794 cm⁻¹, and the hydroxyl group was absorbed at 3433 cm⁻¹.[16] After adding CTS to PHB, a small shoulder appeared at 1670 cm⁻¹ (near 1724 cm⁻¹), which was related to amide I group in CTS.[17] After adding alumina to PHB-CTS, the peak at 1720 cm⁻¹ was sharper. Furthermore, it was noteworthy that the intensity of absorption spectroscopy in the carboxylic peaks of 3041-2704 cm⁻¹ decreased after adding CTS and alumina nanowires to PHB.

Hydrophilicity

The water contact angle for PHB scaffold was measured at 80.5° ± 2.0°. The hydrophilicity of PHB scaffolds significantly increased after adding the CTS and alumina (P < 0.05), and the results are tabulated in Table 1.

Mechanical properties

The addition of CTS to PHB led to a reduction in tensile strength from 2.81 ± 0.15 MPa to 0.89 ± 0.26 MPa significantly (P < 0.05). After adding alumina, the tensile strength for PHB-CTS/3% Al₂O₃ was enhanced more than 10 folds, that is, 11.18 ± 1.24 MPa (P < 0.05), and the results are tabulated in Table 1.

Cell studies

To assess the adhesion and proliferation of chondrocyte cells, SEM images on day 1 and on day 7 were taken from PHB, PHB-CTS, and PHB-CTS/3% Al₂O₃ scaffolds [Figure 3], and cell viability of PHB, PHB-CTS, and PHB-CTS/3% Al₂O₃ is shown in Figure 4. The minimum of chondrocyte cell viability was observed for PHB scaffolds.

Discussion

After adding CTS and Al₂O₃, scaffolds had appropriate fiber diameters and porosity percentages as the initial

| Samples              | Average fiber diameters (nm) | Porosity percentage | Water contact angle | Tensile strength (MPa) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                      |                             | First layer | Second layer | Third layer |                             |                             |                             |
| PHB                  | 321.1±61                    | 81.70       | 51.71       | 20.14      | 80.5±2.0                | 2.81±0.15                   |
| PHB-CTS              | 426.5±36                    | 81.51       | 46.61       | 22.09      | 54.3±0.9                | 0.89±0.26                   |
| PHB-CTS/3% Al₂O₃     | 518.0±71                    | 81.38       | 48.11       | 25.95      | 31.1±0.7                | 11.18±1.24                  |

PHB-CTS – Polyhydroxybutyrate-chitosan

Table 1: The average fiber diameters of the scaffolds, the porosity percentage, water contact angle, and tensile strength for polyhydroxybutyrate, polyhydroxybutyrate-chitosan, and polyhydroxybutyrate-chitosan/3% Al₂O₃ scaffolds.
requirement in tissue engineering. Porosity percentages in three layers also showed an appropriate interconnectivity in the scaffolds.\[18\] The fiber diameters of scaffolds were increased by increasing CTS to PHB. Formation of hydrogen bond between CTS and PHB is responsible for it. This increase was also observed after CTS was added to PHB and added to PLA.\[6,14\] The same trend was observed after adding alumina to PHB-CTS, which can result from some existing interactions between alumina and polymers. Formation of polar bonds and hydrogen bonding between alumina nanoparticles and polyesters was reported by Rodríguez-Lorenzo et al.\[19\] In a similar study, after adding alumina whiskers in polycaprolactone (PCL), the same trend followed about average fiber diameters.\[10\]

There are some evidence of the formation of hydrogen bonding between PHB and CTS, and alumina nanowires can be seen from the reduction in the absorption intensity of carboxylic groups in FTIR [Figure 2]. The change from 1720 cm\(^{-1}\) to 1724 cm\(^{-1}\) can also explain by miscibility and distribution of crystalline portion, which resulted from appropriate interactions of two polymers.\[17\] Adding alumina to the PHB-CTS was confirmed by observing two closed peaks at 806 cm\(^{-1}\) and 820 cm\(^{-1}\) in Figure 2c. The peak at 820 cm\(^{-1}\) was related to PHB-CTS, while 806 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to alumina nanowires.\[20\]

Surface hydrophilicity assessment is very important in the cellular behavior of scaffolds. PHB scaffolds, because of their low hydrophilic nature, present the major contact angle among specimens. The hydrophilic nature of CTS and alumina leads to a decrease in the water contact angle. Furthermore, the effect of hydrogen bonds, which formed after adding CTS and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) to PHB confirmed by FTIR, should not be ignored.

After adding CTS to PHB, the tensile strength was reduced, resulting from the CTS amorphous nature. This decrease was observed by other researchers.\[14\] Increase in the tensile strength of PHB-CTS/3% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanocomposite scaffold was observed, and the high intrinsic strength of alumina was attributed to it. The PCL electrospun fibers also showed a significant increase in tensile strength after adding alumina nanowhiskers to them as the reinforcement phase.\[10\] It was reported that elastic modulus of healthy cartilage in regions with meniscus cover is in the range from 2.13 ± 0.74 to 5.13 ± 1.91 MPa.\[23\] Thus, alumina, as reinforcement phase, can be promising materials to enhance mechanical properties of scaffolds in tissue engineering.

For cell assessments, as it can be observed, the cell morphology still in round shape on the PHB scaffold which is attributed to low hydrophilicity of PHB reported by other researchers.\[16\] However, the cells spread on PHB-CTS scaffolds and PHB-CTS/3% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) scaffolds by their pseudopodia. The hydrophilic natures of CTS and alumina were attributed to it. Adding natural polymers such as gelatin and CTS to PHB can contribute to more cell growth and proliferation.\[13,24\] The PHB-CTS scaffolds reinforced with alumina nanowires and maintained the appropriate cell morphology and proliferation.\[10\] The results are confirmed by MTT. The minimum of chondrocyte cell viability was observed for PHB scaffolds, while PHB-CTS and PHB-CTS/3% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) had higher amounts (\(P < 0.05\)). Although cell viability on PHB-CTS scaffold was higher than PHB-CTS/3% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), this difference is not statistically significant (\(P < 0.05\)).
Conclusions
Alumina nanowires were added to PHB-CTS solution and were randomly electrospun. Adding CTS and alumina nanowires has no undesirable effects on the fiber diameters and porosities. The hydrophilicity of scaffolds was enhanced after adding CTS and alumina to PHB. The presence of alumina nanowires significantly increased the tensile strength of PHB and PHB-CTS scaffolds. The results of cell studies showed that the chondrocyte cells spread on PHB-CTS and PHB-CTS/3% Al₂O₃ scaffolds more than PHB scaffolds. These results indicate that PHB-CTS/3% Al₂O₃ is a promising material for applying as a cartilage tissue engineering scaffold.
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