Seroepidemiological study of the exposure to *Toxoplasma gondii* among horses in Algeria and analysis of risk factors
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**Abstract**

**Aim:** The aim of this study was to assess the seroprevalence of the *Toxoplasma gondii* in horses in different parts of Algeria and to determine risk factors for the infection.

**Materials and Methods:** A total of 736 blood samples were collected from horses of various breeds, gender, coat colors, and ages. All horses came from various farms, racecourses, and equestrian centers. The seroprevalence was investigated by three different methods: Indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT) as reference method, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and latex agglutination test (LAT).

**Results:** Out of the 736 sera, 178 (24.18%) were positive for IFAT, 133 (18.07%) for LAT, and 317 (43.07%) for ELISA. It was found that IFAT and LAT were in high agreement (Kappa 0.79), indicating that LAT and IFAT had similar capabilities in the detection of anti-*T. gondii* antibodies from horse sera. Risk factors analysis based on IFAT results indicated that the habit of the animals was significant risk factors (p<0.05) for *Toxoplasma* infection. The seroprevalence was significantly higher in horses living on farms. Moreover, a higher seroprevalence was found in older animals compared to younger ones. Furthermore, the seroprevalence in females was significantly higher than that in males and gelding. Breed, coat color, and water sources are also important factors to influence the seroprevalence of *T. gondii*.

**Conclusion:** The results indicated that *T. gondii* is present in horses throughout Algeria and thus represents a risk for both human and animal health. These results underline the need to increase the vigilance and the preventive measures against this disease not only to protect the horses but also to limit the spread of the parasite.
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**Introduction**

Toxoplasmosis is a worldwide reported zoonotic infection caused by the protozoon *Toxoplasma gondii*. The obligate intracellular parasite infects a broad range of mammalian and avian hosts including approximately one-third of the human population [1]. In this zoonosis, the definitive host of *T. gondii* is the cat and related wild felids; these are the only hosts shedding oocysts into the environment to contaminate pastures, food items, and water [2]. A single cat can pass more than 100 million non-sporulated oocysts, which become infective within 1-5 days after [3]. *T. gondii* forms cysts in host tissues, and infection is considered to be lifelong [4]. Oocysts are central in the life cycle of *T. gondii*. After one up to a few days of maturation (sporulation) in the environment, they become infective to a large variety of warm-blooded intermediate hosts if ingested. In addition to oocysts, there are two further stages of *T. gondii*, which are infective, i.e., tachyzoites and bradyzoites, the latter being present in tissue cysts. After infection, tachyzoites invade host cells, in which they multiply. This replication is strictly intracytoplasmic in parasitophorous vacuoles formed by the parasite. In parallel, after several rounds of multiplication; the parasite establishes intracellular tissue cysts, which contain slowly or no longer replicating bradyzoites. Tissue cyst formation preferentially occurs in brain tissue, the skeletal and heart muscle or also in the retina of infected intermediate hosts [5]. The parasite has a complex lifecycle, and multiple routes of infection are possible [2]. Humans get infected after ingesting undercooked or raw meat, by ingesting cat-shed oocysts through contaminated soil, food, water, or congenitally by transplacental transmission of tachyzoites [6]. Herbivores acquire infection generally by the ingestion of oocysts, shed by infected cats, in water, or contaminated food [7]. Infection with *T. gondii* in domestic equids has been reported in many countries [8]. Horses are most commonly infected by ingestion of sporulated oocysts found in feces of infected cats [9].
infection is subclinical, however, atypical clinical signs of toxoplasmosis such as fever, ataxia, retinal degeneration, and encephalomyelitis may develop in horses [10].

Conventionally, in some areas of Algeria, uncooked horse meat is recommended for convalescence and anemia, which increases the risk of *T. gondii* infection. It is, therefore, important to know the occurrence of *T. gondii* in horses to prevent zoonotic infections by infected meat. In Algeria, there is a lack of information on infection of *T. gondii* in horses. In the present study, to elucidate the seroprevalence of *T. gondii* in horses in Algeria, we evaluated the sensitivity of commercial tests latex agglutination test (LAT) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), in comparison to in-house serologic reference test (indirect fluorescent antibody test [IFAT]) in the detection of specific antibodies against *T. gondii* in horses.

The aim of this study was to assess the seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in horses in different parts of Algeria and to determine risk factors for the infection.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethical approval and informed consent**

This study was approved by the scientific council of High National Veterinary School, Algiers, Algeria. Informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

**Sampling plan and area study**

Algeria is a large country (2,000,000 km²) consisting of three main regions and 48 departments (governorates) (Figure-1).

- Northern Algeria (tell) which has 25 governorates, 4% of the territory and 63% of the population;
- The highlands which have 14 governorates, 9% of the territory and 28% of the population; our study;
- The South or Sahara: This has nine governorates, 87% of the territory and 9% of the population [11].

To sample such a large area, the sample size was calculated according to:

\[ N = \frac{1.96^2 \times P (1-P)}{D^2} \]

Where: \( N \) = Size of the sample; \( P \) = Expected prevalence; \( D \) = Required precision.

Following the data from Mohamed-Cherif *et al.* [12] on horses in Tiaret (Algeria), the expected prevalence of toxoplasmosis in Algeria is 26% and the desired absolute precision is 5%.

Therefore, the following Thrusfield [12], \( N = 292 \).

To increase the absolute precision (\( D = 3\% \)), we randomly selected a sample of 736 individuals.
The areas we have targeted correspond to regions/governorates with a high horse population. We have selected ten governorates in the region north, 12 in the highlands, and three in the region south. They are mentioned by stars in Figures-1 and 2.

**Blood sampling**

Blood samples were obtained from each of the *Equidae* in the periods (from July 2014 to December 2015), from the jugular vein using a sterile collection system (Vacutainer™, Becton-Dickinson, USA) without anticoagulant. The blood was then transported under refrigeration to the laboratory, where they were centrifuged at 2500 g for 10 min to obtain serum, which was transferred to clean microtubes. The sera sampled were stored at −20°C until serological analysis.

**Animals and risk factors**

After acceptance of the horse’s owners, they received a questionnaire asking for: Region/Governorate, habit, breed, gender, age, horse feed (forage, pasture, and concentrated), water source (tap, wells, and water tank), and coat color. A complete clinical examination was performed on each horse.

The study involved a total of 736 horses from 25 governorates: North region (n=363), highlands (n=315), and region south (n=58). All the horses lived in 167 different farms (n=526), 10 racecourses (n=88), and 18 equestrian centers (n=122), including 421 males, 288 females, and 27 gelding. The included horses belonged to different breeds; Barb (n=231), Arab/Barb (n=223), Arabian (n=117), Thoroughbred (n=69), AQPS (French chaser) (n=27), French Saddlebred (n=22), Pony (n=19), Anglo/barb (n=11), Trotter (n=9), Irish Sport Horse (n=3), Anglo/Arabian (n=2), Koninklijke Vereniging Warmbloed Paardenstamboek Nederland (n=2), and Breton (n=1).

The different ages of animals were pooled into four groups [13], one group with an age between 1 and 5 years old (n=154), the second group with horses between 6 and 10 years old (n=377), the third group with horses between 11 and 15 years old (n=164), and the last group with horses >15 years (41). The horses had different colors: Bay (250), Chestnut (226), Grey (194), Roan (41), Seal brown (11), Chestnut roan (7), Piebald (4), Chestnut dun (2), and Buckskin (1).

Domestic and stray cats are in free circulation in all farms, racecourses, and equestrian center of the present study.

**Serological analysis**

**IFAT**

An in house IFAT was used to detect antibodies to *T. gondii* in equine sera, as described previously. The antigens used are the tachyzoïtes of *T. gondii* of the stock RH. Twelve-spot IFAT glass slides (International Medical Products, Brussels, Belgium) were coated, air-dried, and stored at −20°C until use. Sera diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented (1 g/l) with bovine serum albumin (PBS-BSA) (Sigma-Aldrich, Bornem, Belgium) were deposited in separated wells, and the slides were incubated at 37°C for 30 min in a moist atmosphere. Then, they were gently rinsed with IFAT buffer (0.9% NaCl) and incubated for a further 30 min. Fluorescein labeled affinity-purified rabbit anti-equine IgG (SIGMA, Saint Louis, MO, USA) diluted 1/64 in PBS-BSA was added for 30 min. After a final rinse, the slides were mounted in IFAT-buffer (50% v/v glycerol and 0.9% NaCl) and examined under epifluorescence using a Leitz Laborlux S epifluorescence microscope (Leitz, Wetzlar, Germany) [14]. Each batch of slides included a known positive and a known negative control.
equine control sera. Sera were considered positive if the entire surface of the tachyzoites was fluorescent with a titer ≥1/50 [15]. On microscopic examination, the signal for each sample was classified by a simple grading system; no signal, doubtful (d), positive (+), strong positive (++), and highly positive (+++).

Both the IFAT and the preparation of slides containing T. gondii antigens were performed at the Laboratory of Parasitology and Parasitic Disease, University of Liege (Belgium).

**ELISA**

The sera were analyzed using the multi-species ID Screen Toxoplasmosis Indirect lit (IDVET, Montpellier, France) according to the recommendation of the manufacturer.

Positive samples from an antigen-antibody combined horseradish peroxidase complex are revealed by a color change by the revealing solution (TMB). The reaction was stopped with 100 µl per well of 0.5 M sulfuric acid and the optical density (OD) was measured at 450 nm and read in a microplate reader BIO-TEK model EL 800. The mean ODs obtained for the positive and negative sera were 1.026±0.338 (n=317) and 0.228±0.096 (n=419).

**LAT**

Toxo-Latex® (SPINRER EACT, S.A. Ctra. Santa Coloma, Spain) was used to reveal the presence of the anti-T. gondii antibodies in a qualitative and semi-quantitative way. The test was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Different cutoff points ranging from 1/16 to 1/1024 were used with a titer equal to or higher than 1/16 is regarded as positive. In the present study, the smallest tested titer was 1/16, according to the LAT kit instructions.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical differences in proportions were compared using the Chi-square test (Yates corrected) or Fisher’s exact test (Table-3). For the OR calculations, the significant factors were taken into account.

This risk factor analysis led to some conclusions. The horses from equestrian centers were significantly less positive (6.56%, 95 CI: 2.08-11.04, p<0.05). The facts to belong to an equestrian center are a protective factor against T. gondii infection (OR significantly lower than 1).

There was an increase in seroprevalence with the age of the horses. The horses older and younger than 10 years were compared, and the OR indicated that the belonging to older group is a risk factor to be positive in IFAT (OR significantly upper than 1).

The geldings were less contaminated than the males or the females (3.70%, 95% CI: 0-10.97, p<0.05). The gelding appears to be protected from T. gondii infection (OR significantly less than 1).

There was also an association between the genetic of the horse (Breed, and Coat color) and the seroprevalence with several breeds without seropositive samples and some with higher seroprevalence.

Arab/barb and Anglo/barb horses were significantly more susceptible to T. gondii infection (OR significantly higher than 1) in comparison to other breeds.

The type of food is not relevant, but the source of water is important since tap water is a protective factor for T. gondii infection (OR significantly lower than 1).

**Discussion**

T. gondii is a zoonotic parasite responsible for diseases in animals and humans worldwide. The
Table 1: Seroprevalence of Toxoplasma gondii in different Algerian governorates by IFAT, ELISA, and LAT.

| ID-Governorate/region | n   | IFAT     | ELISA     | LAT     | p-value |
|-----------------------|-----|----------|-----------|---------|---------|
|                       |     | Positive | Seroprevalence % (95% CI) | Positive | Seroprevalence % (95% CI) | Positive | Seroprevalence % (95% CI) |
| Total                 | 736 | 178      | 24.18 (21.02-27.34) | 43.07 (39.42-46.72) | 133      | 18.07 (15.23-20.91) | <0.01 |
| Region North          |     |          |           |         |          |         |                     |
| 16-Alger              | 69  | 11       | 15.94 (7.13-24.75) | 24.64 (14.26-35.02) | 9        | 13.04 (4.93-21.15) | NS    |
| 09-Bilda              | 12  | 7        | 58.33 (29.87-86.79) | 75.00 (50-100) | 7        | 58.33 (29.87-86.79) | NS    |
| 44-Ain Defla          | 14  | 4        | 28.57 (4.42-52.72) | 42.86 (16.41-69.31) | 1        | 7.14 (0-20.90) | NS    |
| 41-Souk Ahras         | 10  | 2        | 20.00 (0-45.30) | 30.00 (1.02-58.98) | 2        | 20.00 (0-45.30) | NS    |
| 27-Mosta              | 45  | 0        | 0.00 (0-0) | 6.67 (0-14.11) | 0        | 0.00 (0-0) | NS    |
| 13-Tlemcen            | 45  | 10       | 22.22 (9.83-34.61) | 42.22 (27.49-56.95) | 7        | 15.56 (4.75-26.37) | 0.01  |
| 31-Oran               | 23  | 6        | 26.09 (7.78-44.40) | 73.91 (55.60-92.22) | 2        | 8.70 (0-20.45) | <0.01 |
| 48-Relizane           | 63  | 11       | 17.46 (7.89-27.03) | 41.27 (28.86-53.68) | 7        | 11.11 (3.19-19.03) | <0.01 |
| 22-Sba                | 32  | 4        | 12.50 (0.81-24.19) | 15.63 (2.79-28.47) | 2        | 6.25 (0-14.81) | NS    |
| 29-Mascara            | 50  | 27       | 54.00 (39.90-68.10) | 78.00 (66.28-89.72) | 23       | 46.00 (31.90-60.10) | <0.01 |
| Total North           | 363 | 82       | 22.59 (18.20-26.98) | 39.67 (34.53-44.81) | 60       | 16.53 (12.63-20.43) | <0.01 |
| Highlands             |     |          |           |         |          |         |                     |
| 17-Djelfa             | 19  | 9        | 47.37 (24.46-70.28) | 52.63 (29.72-75.54) | 7        | 36.84 (14.71-58.97) | NS    |
| 03-Laghouat           | 51  | 11       | 21.57 (10.05-33.09) | 54.90 (40.96-68.84) | 9        | 17.65 (6.97-28.33) | <0.01 |
| 28-Msilia             | 15  | 4        | 26.67 (3.83-49.51) | 33.33 (8.99-57.67) | 0        | 0.00 (0-0) | <0.01 |
| 19-Setif              | 33  | 10       | 30.30 (14.30-46.30) | 51.52 (34.12-68.92) | 8        | 24.24 (9.32-39.16) | NS    |
| 05-Batna              | 11  | 5        | 45.45 (15.42-75.48) | 72.73 (45.87-99.59) | 5        | 45.45 (15.42-75.48) | NS    |
| 40-Khenchla           | 10  | 2        | 20.00 (0-45.30) | 50.00 (18.38-81.62) | 1        | 10.00 (0-28.97) | NS    |
| 34-Bbouriridj         | 15  | 7        | 46.67 (20.91-72.43) | 66.67 (42.33-91.01) | 5        | 33.33 (8.99-57.67) | NS    |
| 20-Saida              | 26  | 6        | 23.08 (6.55-39.61) | 42.31 (22.93-61.69) | 6        | 23.08 (6.55-39.61) | NS    |
| 14-Tiaret             | 68  | 6        | 8.82 (1.94-15.70) | 25.00 (14.50-35.50) | 6        | 8.82 (1.94-15.70) | <0.01 |
| 32-El-Bayadh          | 30  | 7        | 23.33 (7.89-38.77) | 63.33 (45.73-80.93) | 6        | 20.00 (5.39-34.61) | <0.01 |
| 38-Tissemsilt         | 20  | 10       | 50.00 (27.64-72.36) | 60.00 (38.09-81.91) | 9        | 45.00 (22.75-67.25) | NS    |
| 45-Naama              | 17  | 6        | 35.29 (12.11-58.47) | 58.82 (34.95-82.69) | 5        | 29.41 (7.31-51.51) | NS    |
| Total Highlands       | 315 | 83       | 26.35 (21.39-31.31) | 48.25 (42.62-53.88) | 67       | 21.27 (16.66-25.88) | <0.01 |
| Region South          |     |          |           |         |          |         |                     |
| 07-Biskra             | 13  | 1        | 7.69 (0-22.47) | 7.69 (0-22.47) | 0        | 0.00 (0-0) | NS    |
| 30-Ouargala           | 29  | 7        | 24.14 (8.25-40.03) | 41.38 (23.09-59.67) | 4        | 13.79 (0.98-26.60) | NS    |
| 47-Ghardaia           | 16  | 5        | 31.25 (8.07-54.43) | 50.00 (25-75) | 2        | 12.50 (0-29.04) | NS    |
| Total South           | 58  | 13       | 22.41 (11.46-33.36) | 36.21 (23.59-48.83) | 6        | 10.34 (2.34-18.34) | <0.01 |

CI=Confidence Interval of 95%, NS=Not statistically significant difference (p>0.05). IFAT=Indirect fluorescent antibody test, LAT=Latex agglutination test, ELISA=Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
### Table-2: Agreement Analysis between IFAT, ELISA, and LAT tests.

|          | ELISA | Statistics | LAT |          | Statistics | IFAT |          | Statistics |
|----------|-------|------------|-----|----------|------------|------|----------|------------|
|          | Positive | Negative | Total |          | Positive | Negative | Total |          | Positive | Negative | Total |
|          | 178     | 139       | 317   | Kappa=0.59 |          | 133     | 184       | 317   | Kappa=0.45 |          | 130     | 3       | 133   |
| Positive |          |           |       | % agreement=81 |          |          |           |       | % agreement=75 |          |          |           |
| Negative | 0       | 419       | 419   | Relative sensitivity=100% |          | 0       | 419       | 419   | Relative sensitivity=ND |          | 48      | 555    | 419   |
| Total    | 178     | 558       | 736   | Relative sensitivity=75.1% |          | 133     | 303       | 736   | Relative sensitivity=ND |          | 178     | 558    | 736   |

% of agreement=(Number of positive for both methods+number of negative for both method)/736)*100, Relative sensitivity=(Number of positive for both methods/[number of positive for the reference method+number of negative for the other method])*100, Relative specificity=(Number of negative for both methods/[number of negative for the reference method+number of positive for the other method])*100. IFAT=Indirect fluorescent antibody test, LAT=Latex agglutination test, ELISA=Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

### Table-3: General characteristics of the 736 horses studied and seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection.

| Category          | Examined | Positive | Prevalence % | 95%CI | p-value | OR (95%CI) |
|-------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------|---------|------------|
| Total             | 736      | 178      | 24.18        | (21.03-27.34) |         |            |
| Habit             |          |          |              |       |         |            |
| Equestrian center| 122      | 8        | 6.56         | (2.08-11.04) | 0.02    | 0.21 (0.1-0.44) |
| Racing course     | 88       | 20       | 22.73        | (13.79-31.66) |         |            |
| Farm              | 526      | 150      | 28.52        | (24.58-32.45) |         |            |
| Age               |          |          |              |       |         |            |
| 1-5 years         | 154      | 17       | 11.04        | (5.99-16.09) | <0.001  | 3.72 (2.64-5.24) |
| 6-10 years        | 377      | 98       | 25.99        | (21.09-29.64) |         |            |
| 11-15 years       | 164      | 79       | 48.17        | (40.37-55.97) |         |            |
| years >15         | 41       | 25       | 60.98        | (45.74-76.22) |         |            |
| Sex               |          |          |              |       |         |            |
| Male              | 421      | 102      | 24.23        | (20.05-28.40) | <0.05   | 0.12 (0.02-0.89) |
| Female            | 288      | 75       | 26.04        | (20.87-31.21) |         |            |
| Gelding           | 27       | 1        | 3.70         | (0-10.97) |         |            |
| Breed             |          |          |              |       |         |            |
| Arab              | 117      | 33       | 28.21        | (19.88-36.53) | <0.01   | 1.77 (1.25-2.51) |
| Barb              | 231      | 49       | 21.21        | (15.83-26.59) |         |            |
| Arab/barb         | 223      | 70       | 31.39        | (25.17-37.61) |         |            |
| Anglo/Arab        | 2        | 0        | 0.00         | 0      |         |            |
| Anglo/barb        | 11       | 4        | 36.36        | (7.36-65.37) |         |            |
| Thoroughbred      | 69       | 10       | 14.49        | (6.02-22.97) |         |            |
| AQPS              | 27       | 8        | 29.63        | (12.05-47.21) |         |            |
| KWPN              | 2        | 0        | 0.00         | 0      |         |            |
| Irish             | 3        | 0        | 0.00         | 0      |         |            |
| Breton            | 1        | 0        | 0.00         | 0      |         |            |
| French Saddlebred | 22       | 0        | 0.00         | 0      |         |            |
| Pony              | 19       | 3        | 15.79        | (0-32.52) |         |            |
| Trotter           | 9        | 1        | 11.11        | (0-32.06) |         |            |
| Colors            |          |          |              |       |         |            |
| Chestnut          | 226      | 55       | 24.34        | (18.63-30.05) | <0.01   | NT         |
| Bay               | 250      | 64       | 25.60        | (20.08-31.12) |         |            |
| Grey              | 194      | 46       | 23.71        | (17.60-29.82) |         |            |
| Seal brown        | 11       | 4        | 36.36        | (7.36-65.37) |         |            |
| Chestnut roan     | 7        | 1        | 14.29        | (0-40.74) |         |            |
| Chestnut dun      | 2        | 0        | 0.00         | 0      |         |            |
| Buckskin          | 1        | 0        | 0.00         | 0      |         |            |
| Piebald           | 4        | 0        | 0.00         | 0      |         |            |
| Roan              | 41       | 8        | 19.51        | (7.13-31.89) |         |            |
| Food              |          |          |              |       |         |            |
| Forage            | 113      | 25       | 22.12        | (14.31-29.93) | NS       | NT         |
| Pasture           | 4        | 1        | 25.00        | (0-68.30) |         |            |
| For/past          | 8        | 3        | 37.50        | (3.27-71.73) |         |            |

(Contd...)
### Table 3: (Continued)

| Category             | Examined | Positive | Prevalence % | 95%CI | p-value | OR (95%CI) |
|----------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------|---------|------------|
| Concentrated/for     | 542      | 132      | 24.35        | (20.67-28.04) |         |            |
| Conc/past            | 3        | 1        | 33.33        | (0-87.77)    |         |            |
| Conc/past/for        | 59       | 16       | 27.12        | (15.54-38.69) |         |            |
| Other/forage         | 7        | 0        | 0.00         | 0     |         |            |
| **Water**            |          |          |              |       |         |            |
| Taps                 | 238      | 20       | 8.40         | (4.81-12.)   | <0.01   |            |
| Water tanks          | 268      | 81       | 30.22        | (24.61-35.63) | 0.2     | (0.12-0.33) |
| Wells                | 211      | 73       | 34.60        | (28.05-41.15) |         |            |
| Water tanks/wells    | 19       | 4        | 21.05        | (2.35-39.76)  |         |            |
| **Governorate**      |          |          |              |       |         |            |
| Northern Algeria     | 363      | 82       | 22.59        | (18.20-26.98) |         |            |
| Highlands            | 315      | 83       | 26.35        | (21.39-31.31) | NS      | NT         |
| South                | 58       | 13       | 22.41        | (11.46-33.36) |         |            |

NS=Not significant (p≥0.05) NT=Not tested, OR=Odds ratio, CI=Confidence interval of 95%. KWPN=Koninklijke Vereniging Warmbloed Paardenstamboek Nederland

### Table 4: Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in different Governorate of Algeria by IFAT.

| Region ID/governorate | Analyzed | Positive | Seroprevalence % (95% CI) | p-value |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------|---------|
| **Total**             | 736      | 178      | 24.18 (21.02-27.34)       | <0.01   |
| **North**             |          |          |                           |         |
| 16-Alger              | 69       | 11       | 15.94 (7.13-24.75)        | <0.01   |
| 09-Blija              | 12       | 7        | 58.33 (29.87-86.79)       |         |
| 44-Ain Defla          | 14       | 4        | 28.57 (4.42-52.72)        |         |
| 41-Souk Ahras         | 10       | 2        | 20.00 (0-45.30)           |         |
| 27-Mosta              | 45       | 10       | 22.22 (9.83-34.61)        |         |
| 13-Tlemcen            | 45       | 10       | 22.22 (9.83-34.61)        |         |
| 31-Oran               | 23       | 6        | 26.09 (7.78-44.40)        |         |
| 48-Relizane           | 63       | 11       | 17.46 (7.89-27.03)        |         |
| 22-Sba                | 32       | 4        | 12.50 (0.81-24.19)        |         |
| 29-Mascara            | 50       | 27       | 54.00 (39.90-68.10)       |         |
| Total                 | 363      | 82       | 22.59 (18.20-26.98)       |         |
| **Highlands**         |          |          |                           |         |
| 17-Djelfa             | 19       | 9        | 47.37 (24.46-70.28)       | <0.01   |
| 03-Laghouat           | 51       | 11       | 21.57 (10.05-33.09)       |         |
| 28-Msila              | 15       | 4        | 26.67 (3.83-49.51)        |         |
| 19-Setif              | 33       | 10       | 30.30 (14.30-46.30)       |         |
| 05-Batna              | 11       | 5        | 45.45 (15.42-75.48)       |         |
| 40-Khenchla           | 10       | 2        | 20.00 (/-45.30)           |         |
| 34-Bbouriridj         | 15       | 7        | 46.67 (20.91-72.43)       |         |
| 20-Saida              | 26       | 6        | 23.08 (6.55-39.61)        |         |
| 14-Tiaret             | 68       | 6        | 8.82 (1.94-15.70)         |         |
| 32-Ei-Bayadh          | 30       | 7        | 23.33 (7.89-38.77)        |         |
| 38-Tissemsilt         | 20       | 10       | 50.00 (27.64-72.36)       |         |
| 45-Naama              | 17       | 6        | 35.29 (12.11-58.47)       |         |
| Total                 | 315      | 83       | 26.35 (21.39-31.31)       |         |
| **South**             |          |          |                           |         |
| 07-Biskra             | 13       | 1        | 7.69 (0-22.47)            | NS      |
| 30-Ouargala           | 29       | 7        | 24.14 (8.25-40.03)        |         |
| 47-Ghardaia           | 16       | 5        | 31.25 (8.07-54.43)        |         |
| Total                 | 58       | 13       | 22.41 (11.46-33.36)       |         |

NS=Not significant (p≥0.05), CI=Confidence interval, IFAT=Indirect fluorescent antibody test

The estimated seroprevalence of *T. gondii* in horses can vary with the serological test used. In the present survey, the serum samples were tested by three different methods. The prevalence recorded is 24.18% by IFAT, 18.07% by LAT, and 43.07% by ELISA. A relatively large number of studies report on the seroprevalence of antibodies against *T. gondii* in horses [5]. The seropositivity in Italy was 3% by IFAT [17]; in Hakkari, Eastern region of Turkey, the seropositivity was 13.5% and 28.3% with indirect hemagglutination and Sabin–Feldman dye test, respectively [18]. The Czech Republic was with 24% by LAT [19] and Tunisia was with 17.7% by MAT [20]. In Algeria, 26% by MAT [21], these are the only results that we have for Algeria and join the results of our study carried out on the national territory. It is difficult to compare the seroprevalence since the samples are different and the used methods also. Nevertheless, it is clear that the Algerian horses were frequently in contact with the parasite.
In this study, we compared the sensitivities of three methods for the detection of antibodies against *T. gondii* in naturally infected horses using commercial kits ELISA and LAT, in comparison to in-house serological reference test (IFAT) [22]. Indeed, IFAT is considered as a gold standard in serodiagnosis of *Toxoplasma* infection in several animal species [14]. This test detects antibodies directed to antigens present on the cell surface of the tachyzoites; such antigens, in the *Apicomplexa*, are more specific than intracellular ones [23,24]. This feature combined with very little cross-reactivity with related protozoa, leads IFAT to be considered almost perfectly specific. On the other hand, IFAT sensitivity was already assessed [25]. ELISA used is the multi-species ID Screen® Toxoplasmosis Indirect kit (IDVET, Montpellier) for the detection of antibodies against the *Toxoplasma* P30 protein. This kit was used in horses in a single study in New Caledonia [26]. The sera were also tested with LAT using Toxo-Latex®. Because ELISA and IFAT enable the detection of the *T. gondii* IgG class antibodies only, whereas LAT enables detecting antibodies of both classes – IgG and IgM, then hypothetically LAT should be an optimal test to screening study [23]. An agreement analysis between three methods showed moderate agreement (Kappa 0.59%) between ELISA and IFAT. An attempt to use recombinant P30 antigen was effective in detecting antibodies to *T. gondii* in experimentally infected pigs [27]. However, sera from naturally infected pigs tested with P30 antigen indicated a sensitivity of the test reduced to an unacceptable level [28].

A substantial agreement (Kappa 0.79%) is obtained between LAT and IFAT. This result indicated that LAT and IFAT had similar capabilities in the detection of anti-*T. gondii* antibodies from horse sera. A preliminary study has shown that LAT used to detect *Toxoplasma* antibodies in sera of cats revealed better sensitivity and specificity [23]. This indicates that commercial test LAT could be used for the examination of other animal species.

Based on the results of this study, the LAT demonstrated similar, good correlation in the detection of serum antibodies to *Toxoplasma* in horses (Cohen’s Kappa statistic K around 0.8) in comparison to reference IFAT. The test ELISA had poor specificity when compared with the other tests. However, some authors considered the insensitivity of LAT in the detection of *Toxoplasma* antibodies in pigs [29]. Indeed, the LAT showed a relative sensitivity of 73% in our study but the relative sensitivity was 99.5%. Therefore, the LAT has a high capability to identify a negative serum but a lower capacity to detect a positive serum. The ELISA showed exactly opposite results with high sensitivity (100%), meaning that all the sera positive in IFAT were also positive in ELISA, but low specificity (75%) indicated that the ELISA detected false positive sera if the IFAT is taken as the reference method.

Therefore, since the IFAT is more fastidious than the commercial methods, as two-step strategies can be proposed. The first screening by ELISA was done then by the LAT test for the detection of true positives and false positives. In this way, the capability to detect a positive sample of the ELISA and the capability of the LAT to detect negative samples were used.

When evaluating the different risk factors for a significant relationship with the presence of anti-Toxoplasma antibodies in horse sing logistical regression analysis, we revealed that sex, age, breed, colors, activity, and water, but not governorate and food, are a significant risk factor for *T. gondii* infection in horses across Algeria.

In the case of age, the result was reinforced by the fact that seroprevalence of *T. gondii* in older horses (year >10) was statistically higher than that in younger horses (1≤ year ≤5). Effectively the result shows a prevalence of 60.98% in older horses versus 11.04% for horses between 1 and 5 years, 25.99% for horses between 6 and 10 years, and 48.17% for horses between 11 and 15 years. These findings suggest that horses can be horizontally infected with *T. gondii* by ingestion of food or water contaminated with oocysts rather than by transplacental infection. The risk of human infection should not be dismissed mainly because a higher seroprevalence was found in older animals that are mostly used for human consumption after retirement. These results are in accordance with the result in Northwestern China [15], Tunisia [20], and Soudan [30]. All found a seroprevalence in the older horses, significantly higher than those of young horses. In contrary, recent studies in other countries showed that there is no significant difference in the seroprevalence of *T. gondii* between age classes in horses; Turkey [18], Mexico [10], Southwestern of China [31], Southern Spain [32], Portugal [33], Korea [34], and Greece [35].

In addition to this difference between the age groups, a difference in the subgroups of females, males, and gelding was also significant. Indeed, the female horses had a significantly higher seroprevalence (26.04%), than the male (24.23%), and gelding (3.70%). It also suggests that female horses are more sensitive to the infection by the parasite than male and gelding, which is the same result that reported in a previous study in Egypt [36]. Similar results were observed in Spain [32], North West Algeria [21], and Xinjiang, Northwestern China [37], but in contrast to our work, their difference was not shown to be statistically significant.

For results on the animal breed, we found a correlation between toxoplasma infection and different horse breeds. Anglo-barb and Arab-barb horses (Arab crossing with barb) seem to be the most sensitive breed for the acquisition of the infection. Work in Tunisia [20] had found a significant difference between seropositivity and breed but citing Arab as the most sensitive. On the other hand, work in a region in Northwestern Algeria [21] showed no significant
difference between seropositivity and age, gender, and breed of horses.

For results on the horse’s colors, it is the 1st time that a correlation has been reported between toxoplasmosis infection and different horse colors. There is a significant difference between seropositivity and horse color. Indeed it seems that seal brown horses are the most sensitive for the acquisition of the infection. In addition, the type of activity of equids had a significant effect on the presence of Toxoplasma infection. Our study suggests an influence of the genetic constitution of the horses on the susceptibility to T. gondii infection.

The seroprevalence of horses living on farms 28.52% (150/526) is much higher than that of horses living in equestrian centers 6.56% (8/122). This can be explained by the poor hygiene quality of the farms compared with those at the equestrian centers. This also means that athletic horses have lower seropositivity than those who do not train often these results are consistent with those of Greece [35] where higher seroprevalence was confirmed in horses living on farms. This also points to the higher infection risk to humans as they consume horse farm meat.

In our study, cats were in free circulation on farms, equestrian centers, and racecourses. This almost permanent presence of cats represents a risk factor as was described in 2016 in Brazil by reporting that as evidence, this permanent presence of the cats can be at the origin of seroprevalence in horses, and attests that cats are considered risk factors for toxoplasmosis in many species, and noted that the infection was due to the climate, type of farming (extensive, semi-intensive, or intensive), feeding, water supply and especially, to the presence of cats in the properties [38].

This is the first study that takes into consideration the type of watering of horses. The different watering facilities showed that there was a significant difference between T. gondii seropositivity and drinking water. Drinking from wells and water tanks lead to higher seroprevalence than tap water. The water source seems to affect the horse habitat risk factor as water in the equine centers comes from the tap while that of the farms comes directly from wells and/or water tanks. Food did not significantly affect the seroprevalence of T. gondii.

Conclusion

This is the first epidemiological survey carried out on more than 20 Algerian governorates to examine the seroprevalence of T. gondii in horses. The use of three serological methods (IFAT, LAT, and ELISA) for the detection of T. gondii allowed us not only to bring some elements of comparisons but also to have a seroprevalence to T. gondii in the horse in Algeria. Although the seroprevalence is lower than in other countries such as in Riyadh Province, Saudi Arabia [9], or in Hakkari, Eastern region of Turkey [18], we have found that T. gondii was present in horses in almost all regions. Since horse meat is mainly consumed by people in convalescence, epidemiological investigations are needed to control human infection. Horses are probably infected horizontally by T. gondii by ingesting food or water contaminated with oocysts. Prevention of acquired infection of these environmental factors is considered essential for the eradication or control of T. gondii, and as well as the development of a reliable and specific method for the detection of T. gondii in horses would be interesting to control this zoonosis.
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