Upregulation of INF-γ, IL-6, and IL-8 expression during replication of turkey coronavirus in nonepithelial cells obtained from *Meleagris gallopavo*
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Abstract
Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) are considered multipotent progenitors with the capacity to differentiate into mesoderm-like cells in many species. The immunosuppressive properties of MSCs are important for downregulating inflammatory responses. Turkey coronavirus (TCoV) is the etiological agent of a poult mortality syndrome that affects intestinal epithelial cells. In this study, poult MSCs were isolated, characterized, and infected with TCoV after *in vitro* culture. The poult-derived MSCs showed fibroblast-like morphology and the ability to undergo differentiation into mesodermal-derived cells and to support virus replication. Infection with TCoV resulted in cytopathic effects and the loss of cell viability. TCoV antigens and new viral progeny were detected at high levels, as were transcripts of the pro-inflammatory factors INFγ, IL-6, and IL-8. These findings suggest that the cytokine storm phenomenon is not restricted to one genus of the family *Coronaviridae* and that MSCs cannot always balance the process.

Introduction
Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) are capable of self-renewal and differentiation into multiple lineages for the repair of damaged cells and tissues [1–3]. Another important characteristic of MSCs is their immunological function: they inhibit inflammation and immunological responses both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [4]. MSCs exert immunosuppressive effects by inhibiting lymphocyte proliferation and decreasing cytokine production [4]. In addition, these cells are capable of renewing tissues following injuries such as trauma, neoplasia, chemical damage, and microbial infection [2, 3]. Recently, human MSCs were used as an alternative clinical treatment to repair lung damage caused by a pro-inflammatory cytokine storm induced by SARS-CoV 2 infection [4, 5].

According to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV), the family *Coronaviridae* belongs to the order *Nidovirales* and is divided into two subfamilies, *Orthocoronavirinae* and *Letovirinae*. The subfamily *Orthocoronavirinae* comprises four genera: *Alphacoronavirus*, *Betacoronavirus*, *Gammacoronavirus*, and *Deltacoronavirus* [6]. The genus *Gammacoronavirus* includes the avian coronaviruses (AvCoVs) infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) and turkey coronavirus (TCoV) [6, 7], the latter of which is an etiological agent of poult enteritis mortality syndrome (PEMS). TCoV infection causes acute inflammation [8–10] and has tropism for epithelial cells. Currently, no effective vaccine or treatment is available for disease prevention [6–10].

The emergence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in humans has raised interest in the immune responses against coronaviruses in other animal species [4]. Cross-species transmission is not restricted to SARS-CoV-2, and it has been observed with other coronaviruses as well [10, 11]. The production of pro-inflammatory cytokines in chickens in response to viral infections has been observed in other disease models [12]. Cytokines produced during viral infection are potent immunomodulatory
molecules that act as mediators of inflammation and the immune response [13]. Pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-8 are produced early in viral infection, triggering the production of Th1 cytokines such as interferon-γ (IFNγ) [12–15]. However, the role of cytokines in inflammatory responses to TCoV infection has not been elucidated.

In this study we isolated and characterized MSCs obtained from poult embryos. These MSCs differentiated into adipocytes, osteocytes, and chondrocytes. In addition, we evaluated the susceptibility of these cells to infection with TCoV and analyzed the production of INFγ, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, and IL-2 as a consequence of virus replication at different time points after infection.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell culture and virus**

All chemicals, reagents, and plasticware for cell culture were purchased from Thermo Fisher and Sigma-Aldrich. Poult embryos were inoculated, and amniotic membranes and fluid were harvested as described previously [3, 4]. After MSCs were cultured for 2 days, non-adherent cells were removed, and fresh medium was added. The medium was then refreshed every 2-3 days, and the cells were trypsinized at 80% confluence. The cells were imaged at 5-day intervals to observe their morphology. A TCoV suspension was prepared and stored as described previously [12, 16, 18]. Virus titres were calculated following the standard Reed & Muench method [17].

**Characterization and phenotyping of poult MSCs**

The trilineage multipotency of poult-derived MSCs, which is considered one of the most important biological properties of stem cells, was examined in this study [4]. Adipogenic, osteogenic, and chondrogenic differentiation was induced according to the manufacturer’s instructions for the StemPro® adipogenesis, chondrogenesis, and osteogenesis differentiation kits (Thermo Fisher Scientific). In addition, to confirm that the MSCs had undergone differentiation, calcium mineralization was detected by Alizarin red staining, glycosaminoglycans were detected with safranin O, and lipid vacuoles were detected with oil red [16]. Images were obtained using an AxioImager® A1 light microscope connected to an AxioCam MRc camera (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Images were processed using AxioVision 4.8 software (Carl Zeiss).

The MSC phenotype was assessed by flow cytometry (FAC) with mouse anti-vimentin, mouse anti-cytokeratin, rabbit anti-chicken CD44 (SouthernBiotech, Birmingham, AL, USA), and rabbit anti-human CD90 and CD105 (Sigma-Aldrich) antibodies. All procedures were carried out as described previously [15]. Data were acquired using an Attune™ Acoustic Focusing Cytometer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). In order to prevent autofluorescence interference, a global compensation was applied in the analysis. For all experiments, a BL1-A (488 nm) filter was selected as a standard.

**Cell viability**

Cell proliferation was assessed using an In Vitro Toxicology Assay Kit MTX-based assay (TOXI-1 Kit; Sigma-Aldrich) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Absorbance was measured at 600 nm, and the data were analysed at various times postinfection (p.i.) using a BioPhotometer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). All reported values are the mean of triplicate samples.

**Virus infection and molecular analysis**

The TCoV strain used in this study (TCoV/Brazil/2006 accession number FJ188401) was isolated from a field case of PEMS in 2007 [16]. Virus propagation and titration were performed following standard procedures [17, 18]. Then, infected and uninfected cells were observed at 24, 48, 72, 96, and 120 h p.i. to check for cytopathic effects, to measure viral titres, and to detect viral antigens by IFA, following a procedure described previously [19]. IFA images were obtained using an Axio Imager A1 fluorescence microscope connected to an AxioCam MRc camera (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Images were processed using AxioVision 4.8 software (Carl Zeiss).

Cell supernatants and adherent cells were collected to measure viral, IFNγ, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, and IL-10 mRNA by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) [12–15].

Viral RNA was extracted from each culture at the previously stated times p.i. using a Pure Link Viral RNA/DNA Kit (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The qRT-PCR protocol followed a standardized TaqMan tube assay method. Primers and probes are listed in Supplementary Table S1. The fold increase in each transcript was calculated by the 2ΔΔCT method using StepOne Plus™ software (Applied Biosystems).

All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the results are expressed as geometric means with 95% confidence intervals from two independent experiments (infected and uninfected cells). Viral genomic and mRNA copy numbers were normalized to 28S rRNA gene copies. The results were compared by one-way ANOVA followed by Student’s t-test, using GraphPad v.9.1 software. p-values less than 0.05 were considered significant.
Results and discussion

MSCs from the amniotic membrane and amnion of poult embryos were isolated based on the capacity of MSCs to adhere to a plastic surface with no enzymatic digestion. After 10 days of culture, colonies of cells with fibroblast morphology were observed, and the cells were cultured further until they reached subconfluence (Fig. 1a). To determine the multipotency of poult MSCs, osteogenic, chondrogenic, and adipogenic differentiation was induced. Undifferentiated cells were included in all analyses (Fig. 1a). To study chondrogenic differentiation, the cells were stained, and the levels of glycosaminoglycans were determined (Fig. 1a). Osteogenic differentiation was detected by matrix calcification (Fig. 1a). After induction, adipogenic differentiation of poult MSCs was observed, as indicated by a large number of very small lipid vacuoles stained with oil red solution (Fig. 1a). Flow cytometry revealed that isolated poult MSCs were positive for the mesenchymal markers vimentin, CD44, CD90, and CD105 (Fig. 1b).

We then isolated and expanded adherent poult embryo MSCs for at least 10 consecutive passages. The isolated cells showed features consistent with those described previously for MSCs [2, 3]. Moreover, the maintenance of cultured cells for 10 passages eliminated fibroblast contamination due to the similar morphology of these two cell types [1 –3]. Poult MSCs were positive for CD44, CD90, and CD105, which is a characteristic of mesenchymal cells [1]. In addition, the multipotency of poult MSCs was confirmed by their ability to differentiate into osteogenic, adipogenic, and chondrogenic lineages, as documented previously [3]. The presence of mesoderm progenitors was confirmed following a differentiation protocol described previously for chicken and duck MSCs [1–3].

To assess the effects of infection on cell viability, MSCs were infected with a TCoV suspension, the cells were observed, and the virus titre was determined [15]. When uninfected cells were compared to infected cells, a cytopathic effect, indicated by rounded floating cells, was observed at 96 h p.i. (Fig. 2). Viral antigens were visualized at 96 h p.i. as fluorescent signals in the cytoplasm of infected cells (Fig. 2), whereas no visible fluorescence was observed in uninfected cells (Fig. 2). An MTT assay was used to compare the viability of uninfected and infected MSCs (Fig. 3a). The only negative control that was used was medium, and the results demonstrated that the cells were in good condition when not infected with TCoV and exhibited reduced viability at all time points p.i., with an increase in the release of new viral particles (Fig. 3b).

TCoV-S2, IFNγ, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, and IL-10 mRNA levels were measured at different time points p.i., and the number of viral mRNA copies was found to be significantly higher at 96 and 120 h p.i., and viral antigen was also detected at these time points (Fig. 3c). A positive correlation was found (r = 0.98) among INFγ, IL-6, and IL-8 mRNA levels over time (Fig. 3c). IL-2 and IL-10 were not detected in this analysis (data not shown).

At present, infection of cell cultures with TCoV remains a problem that is distinct from infection with IBV, which has been confirmed to replicate in non-avian cells [20]. In comparison with other coronaviruses with the ability to replicate in mammalian and avian cell lines, which have been
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**Fig. 1** Representative photomicrographs of mesoderm-like tissues. a) Undifferentiated poult MSCs. Chondrogenesis and acidic proteoglycans are visualized by safranin O staining, and osteogenesis and calcium mineralization deposits are visualized by Alizarin red staining. Adipogenesis differentiation showing lipid droplets stained with oil red; magnification of 400 µm. b) Flow cytometric analysis showing negative staining for cytokeratin and positive staining for vimentin, CD44, CD90, and CD105. The flow cytometric results are expressed as box plots and whisker plots. This one plots the box from the 10th percentile to the 90th percentile, red dots showing points outside that range.
used to study coronavirus-host interactions, the immunological features of turkey coronavirus are not well understood [21, 22]. However, while TCoV is able to replicate in poult embryos and embryo-derived cells, there are no reports of TCoV infecting other cell types. TCoV, which causes severe enteric disease in young turkeys, is closely related to infectious bronchitis virus, which causes respiratory and reproductive disorders [8]. Sequence analysis has suggested that recombination may have played a key role in the evolutionary origin of TCoV [19, 23, 24].

Disease and inflammation are complex processes, and the response is not dependent on a single inflammatory mediator but generally results from overlapping inflammatory pathways and cytokine interactions resulting in excessive
inflammation in some cases. Keeping this in mind, the cytokines and chemokines described here may play a role in TCoV-infected cells. Regarding SARS-CoV-2, several preclinical and clinical studies have investigated the potential of MSCs in treating COVID-19, including the management of the associated cytokine storm [4]. In fact, the cytokine storm does not seem to be restricted to one genus of the family Coronaviridae. However, in vitro systems may open an avenue to elucidate many unknown aspects related to coronavirus infections in future studies.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-021-05120-z.
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