Orchestrated increase of dopamine and PARK mRNAs but not miR-133b in dopamine neurons in Parkinson’s disease
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1. Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disorder. Since the main risk factor for the disease is age, the number of PD patients is projected to further increase worldwide (Hindle, 2010). Current pharmaceutical and neurosurgical therapies provide only symptomatic treatment with no cure available (Meissner et al., 2011). Clinical core symptoms of PD are caused by a selective degeneration of dopamine (DA) midbrain neurons, particularly within the substantia nigra (SN), resulting in a dopamine deficit in striatal target regions (Shulman et al., 2011). Loss of DA neurons is usually preceded by a cellular accumulation of alpha-synuclein-positive protein conglomerates, so-called Lewy bodies (Spillantini et al., 1997). The cause for PD is still unclear, however mitochondrial, proteasomal as well as lysosomal dysfunctions are relevant triggers (Gasser et al., 2011). Depending on the ethnic population, approximately 10%–30% of familial forms of PD are caused by mutations in one of the several PARK gene loci (PARK1–15) (Shulman et al., 2011).

In sporadic PD cases, simple Mendelian inheritance patterns are not observed. Genome-wide analysis of single-nucleotide polymorphisms or copy number variations identified an increasing number of disease-associated genes (Gasser et al., 2011; Hardy, 2010; Nalls et al., 2011). However, a PD risk prediction based on validated single-nucleotide polymorphisms is not yet possible (Mittag et al., 2012). The major validated genetic factor for sporadic PD is the SNCA gene (Pihlstrom and Toft, 2011). SNCA codes for the protein alpha-synuclein and was the first gene (PARK1) identified to be mutated in familial forms of PD (Polymeropoulos et al., 1997). Full functions of the alpha-synuclein tetramer are still not clear, however, it is involved in vesicular trafficking and dopamine release...
Transcriptional upregulation of nonmutated wild-type alpha-synuclein because of SNCA gene duplications or triplications (PARK4) is sufficient to cause familial forms of PD (Fuchs et al., 2007). Furthermore, elevated levels of alpha-synuclein messenger RNA (mRNA) in substantia nigra dopamine neurons (SN DA) neurons have been observed in sporadic PD (Grundemann et al., 2008; Shulman et al., 2011; Venda et al., 2010). These findings point to a key role of wild-type SNCA gene dosage for death of DA neurons in sporadic PD (Devine et al., 2011b; Eriksen et al., 2005). Thus, identifying transcripts that co-vary in their expression levels with that of SNCA provide strong evidence for alpha-synuclein-linked pathways that are operative in SN DA neurons of sporadic PD patients and contribute to PD pathology. However, cell-specific alpha-synuclein associated changes in gene expression that occur specifically in human SN DA neurons, the most vulnerable neuronal population in PD, are still unknown.

We performed cell-specific gene expression analysis of selected genes for individual human SN DA neurons from sporadic PD brains and controls. Given the emerging role of microRNAs for orchestrating correlated transcriptional programs, and the suggestion that miR-133b has a prominent role for those programs and in PD (Harraz et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2007), we developed a RT-qPCR protocol for parallel quantification of both mRNA and microRNA at the level of individual, ultra violet-laser-microdissected (UV-LMD) neurons from human postmortem brains. For human samples, optimal matching is commonly not possible, causing a general problem for gene expression comparison at the RNA level: differences in co-factors that have a biological (e.g. different ages) or methodological (e.g. different RNA integrities) impact on results, and thus confound comparative gene expression analysis (e.g. comparison disease vs. controls). To adjust gene expression data for possible influences of distinct ages and RNA integrity numbers (RIN) of human control and PD brain samples (present in our cohort), we developed a suited linear mixed 3-effects algorithm (Greenland and Morgenstern, 2001), that has not been applied to gene expression data before (Ho-Pun-Cheung, 2009; Popova et al., 2008), to dissect the influence of distinct ages and RNA integrities of our samples to the RT-qPCR results in addition to disease state (PD vs. control).

We show that neuromelanin-positive SN DA neurons from sporadic PD brains with significantly elevated SNCA mRNA levels exhibit a concerted upregulation of distinct dopamine homeostasis and PARK genes. The positive correlation of mRNA levels with that of SNCA in SN DA neurons points to an orchestrated allostatic dysregulation of dopaminergic circuits in PD. In contrast, we detected no associated change of miR-133b levels in individual SN DA neurons in PD.

2. Methods

2.1. Tissue storage and preparation of midbrain sections for UV-laser microdissection

Details for all 13 human postmortem horizontal midbrain tissue blocks (provided by the German BrainNet, Grant-No. GA 24, and Dietmar R. Thal, University of Ulm, Germany) are given in Table 1. Murine tissue samples for control experiments derived from male C57BL/6 J WT mice (PN 19). Murine and human midbrain tissue cryoconservation, handling, sectioning, fixation, and staining were performed as previously described (Grundemann et al., 2008, 2011).

2.2. Tissue pH measurements, tissue RNA extraction, and RIN analysis

pH measurements of human postmortem midbrain tissue samples were performed with a pH Optica micro system and a MicroTip fiber optic pH sensor (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, USA) calibrated at 4 °C (Harrison et al., 1995; Mexal et al., 2006). Frozen cryosections (12 μm) of each midbrain were harvested, 10 μL of 4 °C cold molecular biology grade water (Eppendorf) were added per mg tissue and samples were homogenized using a sterile 1 mL syringe and a 21-gauge needle. pH was measured at 4 °C. Total RNA of human postmortem horizontal midbrain tissue cryosections was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). For RNA quality control, RNA integrity numbers (RINs) were determined using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer system with the Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Chip Kit. Small RNA fractions and microRNA percentage were determined using the Agilent Small RNA Kit.

2.3. UV-LMD, reverse transcription, and RT-qPCR

UV-LMD and quantitative real-time PCR were performed essentially as described (Grundemann et al., 2008, 2011). qPCR methods and data are provided according to the “Minimal Information for Publication of Quantitative Real-Time PCR Experiments (MIQE) guidelines” (Pfihlstrom and Toft, 2011). For all details, see Supplementary methods. Briefly, 10 pools of 15 neuromelanin-positive (NM +) SN DA neurons each were harvested from each individual midbrain via UV-LMD (Leica LMD6000) for each gene expression profiling experiment. For parallel qPCR quantification of miR-133b and mRNA, the miScript Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen) was used. A 1/11 volume of the non-purified complementary DNA (cDNA) was used as a template for each real-time qPCR in a final volume of 20 μL (TaqMan), using 1 × QuantiTect Probe PCR Master Mix (Qiagen). All real-time qPCR assays were labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM) as reporter and a nonfluorescent quencher (BHQ). Real-time qPCR assay details, amplicons and assay-specific standard curve parameters, threshold for cycle analysis, and slopes and Y-intercept of respective standard curves: see Supplementary Table S1.

For thermal degradation assay, distinct RINs of the same RNA sample (mouse midbrain) were generated by incubation of RNA sample at 70 °C for 30 minutes (RIN 7.2), 45 minutes (RIN 6.8), and 72 minutes (RIN 5.9).

Relative expression data of midbrain tissue RT-qPCR data (Supplementary Table S3) were normalized by the geometric mean from β-actin, TIF-1A, and ENO2 expression:

\[
\text{Normalized cDNA amount in SN tissue} = \frac{S^{(C_i - Y)/s}}{1/S \sum C_{Y=s}} \quad \text{with } i \in \{\beta\text{-actin, TIF-1A, ENO2}\}
\]

2.4. Linear mixed effects modeling and adjustment of expression data to covariates

To adjust for possible confounding influence of other variables (in particular age and RIN) on gene expression differences between control and PD samples, a hierarchical, multivariate linear mixed effects model with a multi-trait design was fitted to each of the 5 independent RT-qPCR data sets by applying Asreml-R (version 3.0, VSN International) in the R environment (version 2.15. The R-Project for statistical computing). Details of the model, its evaluation, and the full algorithm are given in the Supplementary methods, Figures, and Tables.

Briefly, expression values of distinct analyzed genes, determined via RT-qPCR assays, were defined as different traits, coupled by an unstructured covariance matrix and similar influence of RNA integrity as measured by RIN values. Random effects for gene dependent Y-intercepts, incorporated at the brain level, accounted for the hierarchical design of the study (cell samples, brains, and control or disease group), and for inter-individual differences in gene
expression. The chosen model structure, with regard to incorporated covariates, was selected on the basis of model performances, quantified by the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC, Supplementary Fig. 1A) and the biological or experimental relevance of possible confounds (Fig. 1A). BIC values were computed for single-trait models (TH gene only) of different complexity with the lme function of the nlme R-package by maximizing the log-likelihood (ML method) (Pinheiro et al., 2012).

A possible biological effect of age on expression levels of individual genes was represented by fixed effects for each gene. A possible artificial effect of RNA quality (RIN value) on RT-qPCR results was modeled as a single fixed effect, allowed to vary randomly by each gene. This incorporation of RIN effects into the model was chosen, since the influence from RNA quality on RT-qPCR results and tested for being different than zero after logarithmic transformation was expected to be minimal (Fig. 1B). Pearson correlation between 2 variables while controlling for all other variables should be small for short qPCR amplicons that we used in our study (Fleige and Pfaffl, 2006), and thus gene-dependent differences were expected to be minimal (Fig. 1B–E and Supplementary Fig. S4). But they should nevertheless be represented by the model (Ho-PunCheung, 2009; Popova et al., 2008), as RIN values of our PD brains were signifi cantly higher compared with the control cohort with 6.3 ± 1.42 and 7.28 ± 0.16 years, respectively (RIN, 2.52 ± 0.25 and 2.16 ± 0.14, respectively) than in control brains (24.9% ± 1.6%) than in those of PD brains (7.28 ± 0.16 and 7.28 ± 0.14, respectively). In addition, there was a possible artificial effect of RNA quality (RIN value) on RT-qPCR results was modeled as a single fixed effect, allowed to vary randomly by each gene. This incorporation of RIN effects into the model was chosen, since the influence from RNA quality on RT-qPCR results and tested for being different than zero after logarithmic transformation was expected to be minimal (Fig. 1B). Pearson correlation between 2 variables while controlling for all other variables should be small for short qPCR amplicons that we used in our study (Fleige and Pfaffl, 2006), and thus gene-dependent differences were expected to be minimal (Fig. 1B–E and Supplementary Fig. S4). But they should nevertheless be represented by the model (Ho-PunCheung, 2009; Popova et al., 2008), as RIN values of our PD brains were signifi cantly higher compared with the control cohort with 6.3 ± 1.42 and 7.28 ± 0.16 years, respectively (RIN, 2.52 ± 0.25 and 2.16 ± 0.14, respectively) than in control brains (24.9% ± 1.6%) than in those of PD brains (7.28 ± 0.16 and 7.28 ± 0.14, respectively). In addition, there was a possible artificial effect of RNA quality (RIN value) on RT-qPCR results was modeled as a single fixed effect, allowed to vary randomly by each gene. This incorporation of RIN effects into the model was chosen, since the influence from RNA quality on RT-qPCR results and tested for being different than zero after logarithmic transformation was expected to be minimal (Fig. 1B). Pearson correlation between 2 variables while controlling for all other variables should be small for short qPCR amplicons that we used in our study (Fleige and Pfaffl, 2006), and thus gene-dependent differences were expected to be minimal (Fig. 1B–E and Supplementary Fig. S4). But they should nevertheless be represented by the model (Ho-PunCheung, 2009; Popova et al., 2008), as RIN values of our PD brains were significantly higher compared with the control cohort with 6.3 ± 1.42 and 7.28 ± 0.16 years, respectively (RIN, 2.52 ± 0.25 and 2.16 ± 0.14, respectively) than in control brains (24.9% ± 1.6%) than in those of PD brains (7.28 ± 0.16 and 7.28 ± 0.14, respectively). In addition, there was a

### Table 1: Details of human control and PD brain tissue

| Code-number | Disease state | CERAD | Braak (AD) | Age (years), sex | PMI (h) | pH | RIN | Small RNA (ng/mL) | Amount miRNA (%) |
|-------------|---------------|-------|------------|-----------------|---------|----|-----|-------------------|------------------|
| Controls    |               |       |            |                 |         |    |     |                   |                  |
| CTR-SN 1    | Hypertonia, coronary infarction | 0     | I           | 62, M           | 31      | 6.2 | 6.2 | 5.08              | 28.0             |
| CTR-SN 2    | CMV, diabetes, nephritis kidney transplant | 0     | II          | 72, M           | 6       | 6.4 | 6.6 | 5.91              | 26.3             |
| CTR-SN 3    | Diabetes, hypertoniosis, pneumonia, ARDS | A     | I           | 69, F           | 14      | 6.6 | 6.5 | 11.02             | 17.0             |
| CTR-SN 4    | Artersiostrosis | 0     | I           | 75, F           | 24      | 5.5 | 6   | 13.07             | 19.7             |
| CTR-SN 5    | Liver cancer, hepatorenal syndrome | 0     | 0           | 63, M           | 24      | 6.3 | 6.3 | 8.01              | 26.5             |
| Mean (±SEM) |               |       | controls (1–5) | 68.2 ± 2.52     | 19.8 ± 4.39 | 6.08 ± 0.19 | 6.32 ± 0.12 | 8.62 ± 1.51 | 23.5 ± 2.16 |
| CTR-SN 6*   | Sepsis, mamma carcinoma, CNS micro abscesses | 0     | II          | 68, F           | 120     | 5.7 | 5.9 | 7.1               | 28.6             |
| CTR-SN 7*   | Cervix carcinoma, arterial hypertoniosis, COPD, pulmonary embolism, old frontal micro infart | 0     | I           | 71, F           | 22      | 5.67 | 6.5 | 5.62              | 21.3             |
| CTR-SN 8*   | Lymphoma-NHL-high malignancy, Bergmann cell gliosis | 0     | I           | 71, M           | 24      | 5.65 | 6.6 | 8.26              | 31.6             |
| Mean controls (1–8) | 69 ± 1.6 | 39.38 ± 13.43 | 5.93 ± 0.14 | 6.3 ± 0.1 | 8 ± 0.98 | 24.88 ± 1.77 |
| PDs         |               |       |            |                 |         |    |     |                   |                  |
| PD-SN 1     | PD, multi system atrophy | 0     | II          | 80, M           | 7       | 6.4 | 7.1 | 3.66              | 11.3             |
| PD-SN 2     | PD, AD1, hypertoniosis pneumonia | B     | III-IV     | 80, M           | 23      | 5.4 | 6.8 | 9.24              | 15.2             |
| PD-SN 3     | PD          | 0     | II          | 79, F           | 17      | 5.8 | 7.3 | 3.08              | 12.1             |
| PD-SN 4     | PD, AD, heart attack, lung carcinoma | 0     | 0           | 79, M           | 23      | 6.2 | 7.5 | 3.06              | 12.5             |
| PD-SN 5     | PD, ovarian cancer, Morbus Sudeck | 0     | V           | 73, F           | 11      | 5.5 | 7.7 | 5.62              | 19.8             |
| Mean PDs    |               |       |             |                 |         |    |     | 78.2 ± 1.3 | 16.2 ± 3.2 | 5.86 ± 0.19 | 7.28 ± 0.16 | 4.93 ± 1.17 | 14.18 ± 1.55 |

Details of all 13 human midbrain samples used in this study. For each brain we are: additional known diseases, CERAD (Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease) stage, Braak stage (concerning AD-Alzheimer’s disease), age of donor in years (a), sex (M: male, F: female), postmortem interval (PMI), pH of brain tissue, RNA integrity number (RIN), and amount of small RNAs with fraction of miRNA (%). Mean ± SEM values and significant differences (p < 0.05, marked in bold) are given for the cohort of control and PD brains.

Key: AD, Alzheimer’s disease; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; CMV, cytomegalie-virus; CNS, central nervous system; COPD, chronic obstructive lung disease; F, female; M, male; miRNA, microRNA; NHL, non-Hodgkin-lymphoma; PD, Parkinson’s disease.

* CTR-SN controls are not included in experimental series random 1, 2 and miScript 5; PD-SN sporadic Parkinson’s disease cases.
Fig. 1. Characterization of human postmortem brain samples and evaluation of RT-qPCR protocols for quantification of mRNA and miRNA. (A) Partial correlations between given parameters of brains analyzed in this study. Correlations between 2 parameters were controlled by the other 4 parameters in each calculation. Positive (red) or negative (blue) correlation given by orientation and color of ellipses, strength of correlation given by color intensity, and shape of ellipses, significant correlation indicated by asterisks. Note the strong significant correlation between RNA quality (given as RNA integrity number; RIN) and disease state (CTR vs. PD), due to significant difference of RIN values between control (CTR, n = 8) and PD (n = 5) brains (see also Table 1). Other correlations were not significant. (B–E) Sensitivity and reproducibility of both used RT-qPCR protocols (B/D: random primer protocol and C/E: miScript OligodT primer protocol) was independent of integrity-levels of RNA used as templates for cDNA synthesis (RIN range: 9.9–5.7 of mouse cDNA, covering the RIN-spectrum of the human samples of this study). (B/C) Note that sensitivity of qPCR assay (mLdh-2) is similar for all midbrain cDNA samples, each with 4 different RIN as templates (p > 0.1 in all comparisons; samples generated by thermal degradation of the same midbrain derived RNA for 0–72 minutes at 70°C). (D/E) Results for RIN 9.9 from panels B and C (standard curve) were used for calculation of relative expression levels of mLdh-2 at different RIN values (range: 9.9–5.9). Regression lines with confidence bands show no significant dependence of gene expression levels from RIN values at all dilutions. Abbreviations: cDNA, complementary DNA; CTR, control; mRNA, messenger RNA; miRNA, microRNA; PD, Parkinson’s disease; PMI, postmortem interval; RT-qPCR, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction.
significant correlation between disease state (control vs. PD) and RIN value, as evident from partial correlation coefficients (pcor, ppcor; Fig. 1A).

To evaluate and consider how differences in RNA quality affect results of our RT-qPCR experiments we applied 2 approaches. First, we designed a thermal degradation experiment to test whether our UV-LMD RT-qPCR protocols (random and miScript) display similar RT-qPCR results with similar amounts of RNA but with different RINs (Grundemann et al., 2008, 2011) (Fig. 1B-E). We generated cDNA from an identical RNA source (mouse midbrain) with distinct RINs generated by thermal degradation, either with our previously published “random” protocol (using random hexamer primer) or with our new modified “miScript” protocol (using a combination of random and oligo-dT primers after polyadenylation of miRNAs). The RIN values ranged from 9.9 (fully intact RNA) to 5.9 (moderately degraded), covering the RIN spectrum of the human samples analyzed in this study (Table 1). To evaluate qPCR performance in dependence of RIN and cDNA amount, we prepared serial cDNA dilutions prior qPCR. Results for lactate dehydrogenase, mLdh-2 (and for other genes, data not shown) were similar for all samples independent from RIN or dilution factor as evident from both, threshold for cycle analysis values (Fig. 1B and C) and corresponding relative

Fig. 2. UV-laser microdissection of individual, neuromelanin-positive substantia nigra dopamine neurons of human postmortem Parkinson’s disease (PD) and control brains. (A–B) Upper row: overview of a control (A) and PD (B) horizontal midbrain kryo-section, containing the substantia nigra, after UV-laser microdissection (UV-LMD) of 15 individual, neuromelanin-positive neurons. Note the higher integrity of the PD tissue sections. Scale bars: 250 µm. Inserts: photograph of the reaction tube cap for inspection of proper collection of all neurons before cell-lysis and reverse-transcription. Scale bars: 500 µm. Lower row: individual substantia nigra dopamine neurons before (left) and after UV-LMD (right) from (A) control and (B) PD brains. Scale bars: 20 µm. Note that all analyzed neuronal SN pools were qPCR-positive for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH). (C) Using the miScript RT-qPCR protocol, similar degrees of significantly elevated alpha-synuclein (SNCA) mRNA levels were detected in remaining SN DA neurons from PD brains compared with controls, as with our standard protocol (random 1, adapted from Grundemann et al., 2008 and random 2 for independent reproduction). Bar graphs (mean ± SEM) show normalized SNCA expression levels of 3 individual SN DA sample sets from control brains (random 1, miScript 5: n = 5 brains; random 3: n = 8 brains) and PD brains (n = 5). For details see Table 2. Abbreviations: mRNA, messenger RNA; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; SN DA, substantia nigra dopamine neurons; SEM, standard error of the mean.
As evident from Fig. 1B–E (mouse midbrain) and Fig. 2C, (human SN DA neurons), our novel miScript RT-qPCR-approach allows quantification of mRNA independently of its integrity (for moderately degraded, RIN > 5.9) of small amounts of RNA (i.e., single cells), with a similar high sensitivity and reproducibility as our established protocol based on random hexamer primers (Grundemann et al., 2008). Quantification of SNCA mRNA for human neuromelanin- and TH-positive SN DA neurons using either the random hexamer protocol (experimental series random 1 and random 2) or the miScript approach resulted in similar results for control brains and PD brains analyzed in this study (Fig. 1F) and detected about 7-fold higher SNCA mRNA levels in surviving SN DA neurons in PD compared with controls, as we had previously described (experimental series random 1; Grundemann et al., 2008).

3.2. A linear mixed effects model allows data adjustment for possible age and RIN effects on differential gene expression data of PD and control SN DA neurons

To further take into account the possible effects of significantly different RNIs and ages on gene expression comparison of SN DA neurons from PD and control brains, we optimized and applied a linear mixed effects model on our RT-qPCR data. (Koppelkamm et al., 2011; Popova et al., 2008). The model is described in detail in Section 2.

Model performance was assessed for TH expression data, available for all 5 sets of RT-qPCR data from this study. It was computed as change of the BIC compared with a model that considered only brain-dependent differences in Y-intercepts to account for inter-individual differences (in TH expression only) (Supplementary Fig. 1A) (Schwarz, 1978). The BIC value weights the goodness-of-fit against model complexity, with low values indicating better model performance. Based on this evaluation of model performance and the significantly different RIN and age values for PD and control brains, we decided to apply a 3-effects model, considering influence of disease state (CTR/PD), ages, and RNIs for expression values of individual brains.

Our model was well applicable to all 5 UV-LMD RT-qPCR data sets and most analyzed genes (Supplementary Fig. 1B–F and Supplementary Table S2). Model results were not reliable for PARKIN and PITX3 data (data not shown), as the algorithm did not converge well (most likely because of low number of samples that gave positive RT-qPCR results).

3.3. Elevated mRNA levels of dopamine release genes and PARK genes but not of mir-133b in SN DA neurons from sporadic PD patients

After having established an RT-qPCR protocol for parallel miRNA and mRNA quantification, as well as the model for analyzing...
individual effects of human brain RIN, age, and disease state on RT-qPCR results, we used it for analysis of mRNA levels in individual, laser-microdissected SN DA neurons. For UV-LMD of individual neuromelanin-positive SN DA neurons, horizontal kryosections of the eight controls and five PD brains (Table 1) were mounted on PEN membranes. We noted that the tissue integrity of all PD brains was appearing different to that of the control brains (Fig. 2A and B), PD tissue-integrity seemed to be better, presumably due to a reactive gliosis in the PD brain (Ahn et al., 2012; Cz_

**Table 2**

| Experimental series | Gene | n  | Relative expression (pg cDNA/cell) | RIN and age corrected relative expression (pg cDNA/cell) |
|---------------------|------|----|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                     |      |    | PD      | CTR   | SEM | P    | PD/CTR | PD      | CTR   | SEM | P    | PD/CTR |
| Random 1^a           | ATP1A2 | 37  | 63.97  | 17.29 | 695.09 | 77.22 | 1.0 × 10^7  | 10.87  |
|                     | SNCA  | 39  | 47.15  | 14.23 | 303.90 | 40.70 | 1.0 × 10^6  | 6.45   |
|                     | TH    | 36  | 1398.08 | 451.35 | 11,641.23 | 1770.65 | 1.0 × 10^7  | 10.22  |
|                     | UCHL1 | 39  | 32.00  | 34.11 | 765.77 | 106.04 | 1.0 × 10^6  | 5.77   |
| Random 2             | DAT   | 36  | 99.66  | 11.45 | 150.83 | 22.45 | 1.0 × 10^6  | 3.77   |
|                     | TH    | 42  | 248.04 | 81.52 | 1849.62 | 351.46 | 1.0 × 10^6  | 7.46   |
|                     | VMAT2 | 15  | 33.23  | 3.82  | 69.00  | 13.12 | 2.54 × 10^4  | 2.97   |
| Random 3             | PARKIN| 8   | 875.30 | 622.13 | 4885.76 | 1137.45 | 1.06 × 10^3  | 5.35   |
|                     | SNCA  | 43  | 192.95 | 30.59 | 1465.18 | 278.79 | 1.0 × 10^6  | 7.59   |
|                     | TH    | 78  | 865.31 | 309.52 | 4514.34 | 918.54 | 1.0 × 10^5  | 5.22   |
|                     | LRRK2 | 26  | 5.84   | 1.64  | 6.05   | 0.84  | 1.04      | 0.85   |
|                     | NURR1 | 28  | 36.25  | 6.74  | 44.92  | 10.95 | 1.00      | 1.15   |
|                     | TH    | 64  | 214.36 | 43.94 | 2818.29 | 734.13 | 1.0 × 10^6  | 13.15  |
| miScript 5           | miR-133b | 5  | 525.59 | 106.66 | 666.40 | 63.93 | 0.22      | 1.27   |
|                     | PITX3 | 27  | 80.86  | 1.17  | 63.11  | 8.34  | 1.0 × 10^6  | 7.83   |
|                     | TH    | 35  | 224.15 | 51.59 | 2426.00 | 324.47 | 1.0 × 10^6  | 10.82  |

Differences were tested for significance with the Welch test after log-transformation (see Section 2). Bold p-values indicate significant (p < 0.05) differences between CTR and PD.

Key: CTR, control; cDNA, complementary DNA; mRNA, messenger RNA; PD, Parkinson’s disease; RIN, RNA integrity numbers; RT-qPCR, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction; SEM, standard error of the mean; SN DA, substantia nigra dopamine neurons; TH, tyrosine hydroxylase.

^a Data for TH, SNCA, and ATP1A2 are adapted from (Grundemann et al., 2008; Ramirez et al., 2006).

is important for specification and maintenance of the SN DA neuronal phenotype (Kim et al., 2007; Volpicelli et al., 2012). Mathematical adjustment of cell-specific data for age and RIN effects of NURR1 expression (3-effects-model) suggests a down-regulation of NURR1 in PD (Fig. 3C, and Table 2). Moreover, the model suggests a rather complex change where disease state (PD) reduced NURR1 expression, while age increased it (Supplementary Figs. S2 and S3, and Supplementary Table S4). Note that marginal R^2 is very low, and the PCV is about 70% (Supplementary Table S2). This might imply that the model has only little explanatory power for NURR1, as the variance at the cell level is much larger than inter-individual variance between brains. Since the model does only account for inter-individual differences (RIN, age, CTR/PD) but not expression differences between cells of the same individual, a prominent variance at the cell level leads to small marginal R^2 values. For NURR1 most of the inter-individual variance captured by the model is explained by PD and age (see PCV_{CTR/PD, PCV_{age}, Supplementary Table S2}), not by random intercept effects. PITX3 data could not be adjusted for age and RIN, since the algorithm did not converge to an optimum, due to low number of samples with positive RT-qPCR results.

In contrast to miR-133b, PITX3, and NURR1, mRNAs for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the rate limiting enzyme for dopamine synthesis, as well as for SNCA were dramatically increased in SN DA neurons from PD patients compared with controls (as we had previously reported Grundemann et al., 2008), reproducible in all experimental series (from consecutive sections of individual brains; Fig. 2C, Fig. 3A-C, Table 2, and Supplementary Fig. S2). Also, expression levels of the plasma membrane dopamine transporter (DAT), important for axonal and somatodendritic dopamine re-uptake as well as for the vesicular monoamine transporter 2 (VMAT2) were significantly increased (Fig. 3B, Table 2, and Supplementary Fig. S2). Interestingly, increased expression of VMAT2 in PD is not preserved after adjustment for RIN and age effects (Fig. 3C and Table 2). This is based on the fact, that VMAT2 expression levels of different brains are well represented by a linear age dependence (Supplementary Figs. S2 and S3, value of PCV_{age} in
Supplementary Table S2 and S4: note no difference in VMAT2 expressions between PD and controls, after adjusting only for age effects). These findings further highlight the importance of the 3-effects-model analysis of the RT-qPCR data, as our human PD and control samples were not perfectly age matched.

As expression levels of the SNCA splice variant SNCA112 have been associated with higher risk for PD (McCarthy et al., 2011), we also specifically analyzed the mRNA levels of SNCA112. As expected, SNCA112 was expressed at significantly lower levels in human control SN DA neurons compared with the unspecific SNCA assay, which detects SNCA140 as well as SNCA112 (~5%, p < 0.001). A PD-related increased expression of SNCA112 is however not detected after correction for age and RIN (Fig. 3D–E, Table 2, and Supplementary Fig. S2). The elevated SNCA112 expression in the PD cohort is, according to the model analysis, rather associated with age differences (Supplementary Table S2 and S4; note no...
difference in SNCA112 expressions between PD and controls, after adjusting them for age-effects. Also note that the large PCVCTR/PD is a consequence of significantly age-related increased in VMAT2 mRNA, identified by our model analysis. VMAT2 mRNA levels were only weakly correlated to TH, only in PD (Table 3). Our finding would be in line with a compensatory genetic program in PD, leading to a concerted upregulation of dopamine synthesis, packaging, and release capacity. This interpretation is further supported by the robust strong positive correlation between TH-mRNA and SNCA-mRNA detected in 3 independent experiments (Fig. 4C and Table 3, correlation factors for experimental series random 1: controls r = 0.43, p = 0.006; PD r = 0.64, p < 0.001; random 3: controls r = 0.65, p < 0.001; PD r = 0.54, p < 0.001; and miScript 5: controls r = 0.32, p = 0.1; PD r = 0.62, p < 0.001).

While in our data no correlation between miR-133b levels and SNCA expression was detectable (Table 3), a negatively correlated association of miR-133b to TH expression was weakly significant for PD samples (Table 3). In light of this finding, it is tempting to speculate that in PD miR-133b is involved in a genetic program that is able to decrease TH expression, and thus dopamine-synthesis, but note the low sample numbers with positive qPCR for miR-133b results, which inevitably leads to low power of the statistical tests.

3.5. SNCA (PARK1/4) expression correlates with transcripts for ATP13A2 (PARK9) and UCHL1 (PARK5) in SN DA neurons from PD brains

Given the crucial role of SNCA levels for SN DA degeneration in PD, and it is still unknown relation to other confirmed PARK genes, we probed for possible orchestrated increased gene expression of SNCA and the other PARK genes, for which we detected significantly higher mRNA levels in SN DA neurons of PD patients (UCHL1 and ATP13A2; Fig. 4E and Table 3). We detected the strongest, highly significant, positive pair-wise correlation for SNCA and UCHL1 mRNA levels in SN DA neurons from control as well as from PD brains (Fig. 4D). We also found a strong positive significant

---

Table 3

| Experimental series | Gene pairs | CTR | PD | All |
|---------------------|------------|-----|----|-----|
|                     | n  r  p   | n  r  p   | r  p |
| Random 1*           | ATP13A2-SNCA 37 0.472 0.003 28 0.636 2.72 × 10^{-4} 0.756 <1 × 10^{-6} 0.36 | | |
|                     | ATP13A2-TH 36 0.173 0.031 29 0.493 1.007 0.693 <1 × 10^{-6} 0.16 | | |
|                     | SNCA TH 38 0.434 0.006 29 0.637 2.00 × 10^{-4} 0.734 <1 × 10^{-6} 0.27 | | |
|                     | UCHL1 37 0.376 0.02 29 0.644 1.63 × 10^{-4} 0.730 <1 × 10^{-6} 0.16 | | |
|                     | ATP13A2 38 0.480 0.002 | | |
|                     | UCHL1 SNCA 39 0.868 <1 × 10^{-6} 30 0.930 <1 × 10^{-6} 0.932 0 0.19 | | |
| Random 2            | TH DAT 36 0.581 2.06 × 10^{-4} 39 0.634 1.50 × 10^{-5} 0.705 <1 × 10^{-6} 0.73 | | |
|                     | VMAT2 DAT 14 0.289 0.32 31 0.301 0.10 0.191 0.21 0.97 | | |
|                     | VMAT2 TH 15 0.232 0.40 33 0.529 0.002 0.314 0.03 0.30 | | |
| Random 3            | SNCA112 TH 16 0.468 0.07 18 0.144 0.57 0.330 0.06 0.34 | | |
|                     | SNCA SNCA112 15 0.247 0.38 18 0.560 0.016 0.326 0.06 0.33 | | |
|                     | SNCA TH 63 0.649 <1 × 10^{-6} 41 0.536 3.09 × 10^{-4} 0.721 0 0.40 | | |
| Random 4            | LRRK2 TH 26 0.585 0.002 30 0.152 0.42 0.275 0.04 0.004 | | |
|                     | NURR1 LRRK2 9 0.400 0.29 21 0.387 0.08 0.185 0.33 0.08 | | |
|                     | NURR1 TH 28 0.324 0.09 34 0.150 0.40 0.379 0.002 0.07 | | |
| miScript 5          | miR-133b SNCA 11 0.319 0.34 11 0.214 0.53 0.196 0.38 0.82 | | |
|                     | miR-133b TH 15 0.514 0.05 12 0.594 0.042 0.150 0.46 0.004 | | |
|                     | SNCA TH 27 0.320 0.10 40 0.622 1.82 × 10^{-6} 0.514 <1 × 10^{-6} 0.13 | | |

Cell-specific correlation and regression analysis of mRNA levels of gene-pairs for SN DA neurons from PD brains compared with controls. Correlation coefficients were computed on log-transformed data.

SN DA samples (n) were derived from 5 PD brains for all experimental series, and from 5 control brains for random series 1, 2, and miScript series 5, and 8 control brains for series 3 and 4.

Bold p-values indicate significant (p < 0.05) correlations or differences of correlations between PD and control. For details of analysis, see Section 2.

Key: CTR, control; mRNA, messenger RNA; PD, Parkinson’s disease; SN DA, substantia nigra dopamine neurons; TH, tyrosine hydroxylase.

* Data for TH, SNCA, and ATP13A2 analysis adapted from (Grundemann et al., 2008; Ramirez et al., 2006).
together with SNCA and ATP13A2 (PARK9), and consequently, also for UCHL1 and ATP13A2 in SN DA neurons from controls as well as from PD (Fig. 4E and F). These data indicate strongly coupled gene expression of SNCA, UCHL1, and ATP13A2, already present in SN DA neurons under control conditions, showing a concerted upregulation in PD.

4. Discussion

To address the complex cellular transcriptome in which different RNA species interact in a network (Salmena et al., 2011), we extended our mRNA quantification method for human SN DA neurons to allow unbiased parallel quantification of miRNAs and mRNAs from the same UV-LMD sample via RT-qPCR. Variable RNA integrities (RIN) are unavoidable across human postmortem samples with individual, non-standardized preterminal and postmortual history. RIN variability can significantly bias microarray and RT-qPCR gene expression results (Schwarz, 1978), a possible explanation for differences in results of various expression-profiling studies (Bustin et al., 2010; Grundemann et al., 2008; Vermeulen et al., 2011). However, both, our newly developed miScript protocol and our previously described random primer based RT-qPCR protocol performed equally well for samples with differentially degraded mouse RNA within the RIN-range of the human brains, analyzed here (Fig. 1B–E).

To further dissect possible confounding contributions of the covariables age and RIN to the observed expression changes for the individual tested genes in SN DA neurons from PD brains, compared with that of controls, we developed a linear-mixed 3-effects model (Snijders and Bosker, 2011). Bias in the analysis and interpretation of expression data because of covariables can be reduced by optimal matching in the study design and stratification (Consonni et al., 1997). However, stratification needs large sample numbers on each hierarchical level with possible confounders (i.e. brains in our case). This is usually particularly difficult to achieve for limited human samples, such as human postmortem brain samples (McNamee, 2005). The brains provided for this study were not perfectly matched in regard to their RNA quality (RIN value) and the age of donors. Since both quantities have to be considered as relevant confounders, their influence on detected gene expression values had to be estimated. We have statistically reanalyzed data with our linear-mixed 3-effects model, a method based on mathematical regression (Consonni et al., 1997; McNamee, 2005). All model details, the algorithm, and its evaluation are discussed in detail in the supplement.

With this novel methodological approach, we detected orchestrated, positively correlated elevated expression of dopamine homeostasis genes (TH, DAT, VMAT2, SNCA) as well as PARK-genes (SNCA, ATP13A2, UCHL1, PARKIN) in remaining human SN DA neurons from PD brains compared with those of controls. These changes were not associated with reduced levels of miR-133b, as recently suggested (Kim et al., 2007). However, our correlative expression data do not give direct proof or provide insight into the mechanisms of gene interaction, and differences in mRNA abundances might not always simply be translated into different abundances of functional proteins (Tang et al., 2011; Tobin, et al., 2009).

For most genes, for which we detected significantly higher mRNA levels in SN DA neurons from PD compared with controls, the model also predicted predominantly disease-associated increases, not caused by age or RIN (TH, SNCA, DAT, PARKIN, UCHL1, ATP13A2). For VMAT2 and SNCAl12 modeling suggested an age-dependent change with no or only moderate effects of PD. For NURR1 the model suggested a PD related reduction of expression in SN DA neurons, which was compensated by an age-related increase in mRNA levels. Although it is tempting to speculate that age-dependent changes in gene expression could be causative for PD, it has to be emphasized that the model results are in general based on a low number of brains giving more or less large inter-individual differences in covariables and RT-qPCR results, thus such conclusions certainly need further investigation. However, the model reliably allows us to exclude relevant bias of our gene expression data by differences in RNA quality (compare Supplementary Table S2 and S4), a prerequisite when performing RT-qPCR analysis for samples with significantly different RIN, as it was the case for our human brain samples.

4.1. Cellular specificity is critical for detecting concerted changes of gene expression in SN DA neurons in PD

Cell-specific gene expression analysis is the desired standard for quantification of individual mRNA or miRNA species, and as shown here, to identify cell-specific concerted correlations of gene expression at the RNA level (Ransdell et al., 2010; Stahlberg et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2011; Tobin et al., 2009). Cell specificity is important for understanding the transcriptional networks that define distinct cellular phenotypes (Schulz et al., 2007; Tobin et al., 2009), as well as to identify cell-specific perturbations in disease. Studies of genetic interactions and responses to alpha-synuclein overexpression and its toxicity have been carried out in model organisms (Auluck et al., 2010), reporting upregulations of several genes in response to alpha-synuclein overexpression, however, up to now only at the tissue level (Scherzer et al., 2003; Vartiainen et al., 2006).

However, in the pars compacta of human SN, most neurons are dopaminergic and in PD motor symptoms manifest when approximately 70% of these DA neurons are already lost (Damier et al., 1999). Thus, midbrain tissue in PD and control brains is composed of a significantly different mix of DA and non-DA (e.g., glia, GABA) cells. In addition to the dramatic reduction of DA neurons in midbrain tissue in PD, analysis at the tissue level is additionally confounded by altered numbers and functional states of non-neuronal cells like microglia, astrocytes, and local T-cells (Hirsch and Hunot, 2009; Schwarz, 1978). Thus, cell-specificity is crucial when comparing gene expression changes in the SN in PD and control states. As we show here, the problem of detected tissue-based, artificial differences in gene expression between PD and control midbrains also applies to qPCR-based quantification of miRNAs, and might explain recent controversial findings from tissue-based studies (de Cremoux et al., 2011; Jung et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2007; Opitz et al., 2010; Schwarz, 1978).

For mir-133b, DAT, and VMAT2 we obtained different results between tissue level-based and cell-specific RNA quantification. Only the most prominent elevation of TH in SN DA neurons from PD brains compared with controls was still detectable at the midbrain tissue level. Restricting the analysis to the tissue level would miss gene expression changes of DAT and VMAT2, as it might have occurred in various SN tissue-based expression studies (Lesnick et al., 2007; Mandel et al., 2005; Moran et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2005). This indicates that extrapolations of expression results based on whole SN midbrain tissue to the individual SN DA neuron, as recently done for mir-133b (Kim et al., 2007), (Junn and Mouradian, 2012; for critical discussion), might be misleading (Fig. 3). Similar as Kim et al. (2007), we detected significantly reduced amounts of mir-133b in whole SN midbrain tissue from PD patients compared with controls. However, at the cell-specific level of individual neureomelanin-positive SN DA neurons, mir-133b expression was not altered in PD compared with control, in accordance with recent similar notes (Heyer et al., 2012; Minones-Moyano et al., 2011; Sonntag, 2010). Accordingly, variants in mir-133 and PITX3 genes in PD patients and controls seem not to contribute to the risk for PD (de Mena et al., 2010).
4.2. Concerted elevated mRNA levels of dopamine homeostasis and PARK genes in SNCA-overexpressing SN DA neurons from PD brains

In individual SN DA neurons, we detected significantly higher mRNA levels of VMAT2 < DAT < SNCA112 < UCHL1 < PARKIN in our PD brains, in addition to those of TH, SNCA, and ATP13A2 as previously described (Grundemann et al., 2008; Ramirez et al., 2006) (Fig. 3 and Table 2).

Our findings for TH, DAT, SNCA, UCHL1, and ATP13A2 in PD brains are in contrast to another SN DA-specific UV-LMD based RT-qPCR study (Simunovic et al., 2009), most likely because of methodological differences (different SN DA sampling sizes, pre-amplification, and normalization to housekeeping genes).

PARKIN is involved in catabolic autophagy and mitophagy, essential for organelle quality control and global starving response (Youle and Narendra, 2011) that might be particularly important in PD, as a protective response to an increased load of neurotoxic alpha-synuclein (Kamp et al., 2010; Moszczynska et al., 2007).

4.3. Positive correlation of mRNA levels for TH-DAT-VMAT2 in SNCA-overexpressing SN DA neurons in PD

We show that SNCA mRNA abundance is positively correlated with that of TH (the rate-limiting enzyme for dopamine synthesis) in SN DA neurons from controls as well as from PD brains. TH mRNA expression was further correlated with DAT mRNA, which codes for the dopamine uptake transporter and only in PD patients positively correlated with the mRNA of the vesicular monoamine transporter VMAT2. The increased expression of TH, DAT, and VMAT2 together with SNCA in SN DA neurons in the PD brains is in accordance with increased dopamine-release machinery because of SN DA neuron loss in PD or older age (Li et al., 2010). Our findings might indicate a larger transcriptional or mRNA stability network (Reddy et al., 2011), enabling surviving SN DA neurons in advanced PD to synthesize (TH), package (VMAT2), release (SNCA), and re-uptake (DAT) dopamine (Zhou et al., 2011). For example, TH, DAT, and VMAT2 expression are all regulated by BDNF signaling (Boger et al., 2011; Fukuchi et al., 2010; Ziebell et al., 2010). Increased expression of SNCA, possibly mediated by altered SNCA-methylation (Jowaed et al., 2010) or reduced miRNA activity (Jung et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2008) might be a trigger for SN DA degeneration and PD but also be embedded in this compensatory response of the dopamine system (Lundblad et al., 2012). Of 4 isoforms of SNCA (generated by alternative splicing of exons 3 and 5: Venda et al., 2010), the SNCA112 splice variant (no exon 5) was found in particular in brains from patients with Lewy body disease and its levels were upregulated in cell culture by the PD toxin MPP+ (Kalivendi et al., 2009; Venda et al., 2010). Therefore, we specifically analyzed mRNA levels of SNCA112 in SN DA neurons from PD and control brains and detected a 2.4-fold increase in SNCA112 mRNA in our PD brains. Although, our model suggested that this increase was mainly related to age, there was a significant correlation of SNCA112 mRNA levels with that of the unspecific SNCA assay (detecting full length SNCA140 + 112) only in PD (Table 3). This might support the idea of PD-associated alternative SNCA splicing as a protective strategy during global SNCA overexpression (McCarthy et al., 2011; McLean et al., 2011; Venda et al., 2010).

4.4. Positive correlation of mRNA levels for UCHL1 and ATP13A2 in SNCA-overexpressing SN DA neurons in PD

In addition to facilitating dopamine release, increased levels of alpha-synuclein are also expected to increase the toxic protein load for proteasomal and lysosomal protein degradation (Auluck et al., 2010). A prominent modifier gene for alpha-synuclein overexpression-induced toxicity is ATP13A2 (PARK9), a lysosomal ATPase of still unknown function (Gitler et al., 2009; Radi et al., 2011). The PD-mutated form of ATP13A2 sensitizes cells to ER-stress induced cell death (Ugolino et al., 2011). ATP13A2 (PARK9) has been identified as a suppressor of alpha-synuclein toxicity (Gitler et al., 2009). Accordingly, ATP13A2 mRNA levels are increased in remaining SN DA neurons from sporadic PD patients compared with controls (Ramirez et al., 2006).

Our study demonstrates that in SN DA neurons of controls as well as PD, SNCA, and ATP13A2 expression is positively correlated, indicating that ATP13A2 expression might be scaled-up in PD in response to a toxically increased alpha-synuclein load. We detected an even stronger significant correlation between SNCA mRNA expression and UCHL1 (PARK5), and accordingly also between ATP13A2 and UCHL1 in SN DA neurons from controls and PD. UCHL1 is an ubiquitin hydrolase that is a component of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, and has been identified together with alpha-synuclein in Lewy bodies (Yasuda et al., 2009). Increased striatal UCHL1 expression is also present in a mouse overexpressing wild-type SNCA (Prasad et al., 2011) and reduced UCHL1 levels reduce neuronal survival (Lombardino et al., 2005). These findings indicate a concerted regulation of these PARK-genes in SN DA neurons in PD, as a protective response to increased proteasomal and lysosomal and/or autophagosomal demands. The finding that LRRK2 (PARK8) mRNA levels were not altered in remaining DA neurons from PD brains is in accordance with a study showing that pathogenic LRRK2 mutations do not alter gene expression in human brain tissue (Devine et al., 2011a). Increased expression of wild-type LRRK2 accelerates alpha-synuclein-induced neurodegeneration and is thus not expected as an allostatic survival mechanism of SN DA neurons in PD (Caguci, 2003; Lin et al., 2009).

In summary, we identified a concerted upregulation of selected dopamine homeostasis and PARK genes in surviving, alpha-synuclein and TH overexpressing human SN DA neurons in sporadic PD, apparently not orchestrated by altered miR-133b levels. This correlated increase of mRNAs in SN DA neurons from sporadic PD patients suggests a coupling of disease-triggering alpha-synuclein expression in SN DA neurons with transcriptional compensatory programs. This might favor a functional compensation network in SN DA neurons to increase their dopamine release (TH, DAT, VMAT2, and SNCA) and, on the other hand, to stimulate proteasomal (UCHL1, PARKIN) as well as lysosomal (ATP13A2) function to counteract alpha-synuclein toxicity. This compensatory network might be orchestrated at the level of, for example, stress-induced specific transcription factors, jointly regulating these genes. However, further studies (e.g., promotor-analyses) are needed to test this hypothesis.

Global gene expression studies addressed gene networks of transcriptional dysregulation for mammalian DA neurons in PD (Elstner et al., 2011; Greene, 2011; Moran and Graeber, 2008).

Expression profiling studies and complementary genetic screens resulted in largely nonoverlapping gene lists (Schwarz, 1978), which are integrated in novel bioinformatics tools such as ResponseNet (Jęger-Lotem et al., 2009). Future cell-specific gene expression data need to extend and combine all these approaches, ideally with parallel analysis of respective genetic risk factors to define whether mRNA level correlations, as well as certain microRNA expression patterns constitute molecular signatures of surviving SN DA neurons in PD patients (Palm et al., 2012), and thus offer molecularly defined targets for protecting DA neurons.
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