The Role of Eicosanoids in Gynecological Malignancies

Paige G. Smith1, Dana Roque1,2, Mc Millan Ching3, Amy Fulton2,4,5, Gautam Rao1,2 and Jocelyn C. Reader1,2*

1 Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States, 2 Marlene and Stewart Greenebaum Comprehensive Cancer Center, Baltimore, MD, United States, 3 Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States, 4 Department of Pathology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States, 5 Baltimore Veterans Administration Medical Center, Baltimore, MD, United States

Eicosanoids, bio-active lipid molecules, evoke a multitude of biological effects that directly affect cancer cells and indirectly affect tumor microenvironment. An emerging role has been shown for eicosanoids in the pathogenesis of gynecological malignancies which include cancers of the vulva, vagina, cervix, uterine, and ovary. Eicosanoid biosynthesis pathways start at the metabolism of phospholipids by phospholipase A2 then proceeding to one of three pathways: the cyclooxygenase (COX), lipoxygenase (LOX), or P450 epoxygenase pathways. The most studied eicosanoid pathways include COX and LOX; however, more evidence is appearing to support further study of the P450 epoxygenase pathway in gynecologic cancers. In this review, we present the current knowledge of the role of COX, LOX and P450 pathways in the pathogenesis of gynecologic malignancies. Vulvar and vaginal cancer, the rarest subtypes, there is association of COX-2 expression with poor disease specific survival in vulvar cancer and, in vaginal cancer, COX-2 expression has been found to play a role in mucosal inflammation leading to disease susceptibility and transmission. Cervical cancer is associated with COX-2 levels 7.4 times higher than in healthy tissues. Additionally, HPV elevates COX-2 levels through the EGFR pathway and HIV promotes elevated COX-2 levels in cervical tissue as well as increases PGE2 levels eliciting inflammation and progression of cancer. Evidence supports significant roles for both the LOX and COX pathways in uterine cancer. In endometrial cancer, there is increased expression of 5-LOX which is associated with adverse outcomes. Prostanoids in the COX pathway PGE2 and PGF2α, have been shown to play a significant role in uterine cancer including alteration of proliferation, adhesion, migration, invasion, angiogenesis, and the inflammatory microenvironment. The most studied gynecological malignancy in regard to the potential role of eicosanoids in tumorigenesis is ovarian cancer in which all three pathways have shown to be associated or play a role in ovarian tumorigenesis directly on the tumor cell or through modulation of the tumor microenvironment. By identifying the gaps in knowledge, additional pathways and targets could be identified in order to obtain a better understanding of eicosanoid signaling in gynecological malignancies and identify potential new therapeutic approaches.
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INTRODUCTION

Eicosanoids, bio-active lipid molecules, elicit a wide range of biological effects that play a role in physiological and pathophysiological conditions (Harizi et al., 2008; Buczynski et al., 2009; Chhonker et al., 2018). Eicosanoid driven processes have been shown to have increasing importance in the development, progression and metastasis of gynecological malignancies. Gynecological malignancies are cancers that initiate in the reproductive organs of women that include vulvar, vaginal, cervical, uterine, and ovarian cancers. The eicosanoid pathway in cancer has been reviewed in detail (Panigrahy et al., 2010; Wang and DuBois, 2010; Gomes et al., 2018; Umamaheswaran et al., 2018); briefly, arachidonic acid (AA) is liberated from membrane phospholipids by phospholipase A2 (PLA2) and metabolized by one of three pathways cyclooxygenase (COX), lipoxygenase (LOX) and P450 epoxygenase which then produce a wide range of prostanooids, leukotrienes, epoxyeicosatrienoic acids (EETs), and hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acids (HETEs) (Wang and DuBois, 2010; Gomes et al., 2018). The most prominent eicosanoids to be identified to play a role in cancer are those involved in the COX and LOX pathways (Jones et al., 2019); however, products of the cytochrome P450 epoxygenase pathway are shown to play an emerging role in angiogenesis, inflammation and cancer [as reviewed by (Panigrahy et al., 2010)]. In gynecological malignancies, eicosanoids can act directly on the cancer cells, indirectly in the tumor microenvironment and, in many cases, at the confluence of infectious diseases such as HIV and HPV, inflammation and carcinogenesis (De Nola et al., 2019). This review will highlight the role of eicosanoids in the development and progression of gynecological malignancies.

The cyclooxygenase (COX) pathway converts arachidonic acid to PGH2 which is then further converted to 5 different eicosanoids, prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), prostaglandin F2α (PGF2α), prostaglandin D2 (PGD2), Prostaglandin I2 (PGI2) (prostacyclin), and thromboxane (TXA2) by specific PG synthases which are then exported to signal through their cognate receptors (Wang and DuBois, 2010; Reader et al., 2011) (Figure 1). The COX pathway has been the main focus of research especially concerning PGE2. PGE2 binds to four different G protein-coupled receptors EP1-4 which signal to different downstream signaling pathways (Reader et al., 2011). In the lipoxygenase pathway both HETEs and leukotrienes are produced by multiple subfamilies of LOX enzymes: 5-, 8-, 12-, 15-LOX. 5- (ALOX5) and 12-LOX (ALOX12) have been reported to have pro-carcinogenic roles, whereas 15-LOX-2 (ALOX15B) may have an anticancer effect (Guo et al., 2011).

**FIGURE 1** | Arachidonic acid (AA) is liberated from the plasma membrane via phospholipase A2 (PLA2). AA can be recycled by the Lands cycle, which is a reacylation/deacylation cycle, that serves to keep the concentration of free AA at a low level. AA is converted by cyclooxygenase 1 or 2 (COX-1/COX-2) to PGH2, which is then converted to PGE2, PGF2α, PGD2, PGI2, or TXA2 by prostaglandin specific synthases. PGE2 is exported out of the cell by multidrug resistance-associated protein four (MRP4) where it can bind to its receptors, the E series of prostaglandin receptors on the plasma membrane, EP1-4. Each of the G-protein-coupled receptors signal through a different intracellular pathway: EP1 leads to elevation of intracellular calcium through Goq, EP3, which exists in multiple isoforms, can lead to different responses with the majority acting to inhibit cAMP through Goi as well as an increase in IP3/intracellular calcium; EP2 and EP4 cause stimulation of cyclic AMP (cAMP) production and protein kinase A (PKA) by sequential activation of Goxi and adenylate cyclase (AC); EP4 can also activate phosphoinositide-3-kinase (P3K) through Goxi. PGE2 is imported back into the cell through prostaglandin transporter (PGT) where it can either be re-exported or inactivated by 15-hydroxyprogstaglandin dehydrogenase (15-PGDH) to 15-keto-PGE2, 15-keto-PGE2 can signal through PPARγ. PGE2 can be converted to PGA2 through a dehydration reaction. PGD2 through a series of dehydrogenation reactions creates PGJ2, delta-12-prostaglandin J2 (d-12-PGJ2) and 15-deoxy-delta12,14-prostaglandin J2 (15-d-PGJ2). PGJ2 can either signal through PPARβ/δ intracellularly or exported via multidrug resistance protein (MRP) to signal through the IP receptor. TXA2 is exported out of the cell via MRP to signal through the TX receptor. PGF2α is exported out of the cell via MRP where it can signal via the FP receptor on the cell surface.

[Diagram of the eicosanoid pathway and its receptors]
The role of 15-LOX-1 (ALOX15) is controversial (as reviewed in Guo et al., 2011). The two major isoforms of 12-LOX are platelet (p12-LOX) and leukocyte (l12-LOX) LOX with pLOX defined as the main 12-LOX in humans. 12-LOX catalyzes the stereospecific oxygenation of AA to form 12(S)-hydroperoxyeicosatetraenoic acid (HPETE), which is converted to 12(S)-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (12-HETE) (Wang and DuBois, 2010; Guo et al., 2011) (Figure 2). It has been hypothesized that HETEs can bind to GPCRs, with 3 identified thus far, and at higher concentrations they can also activate nuclear transcription factors peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) (Panigrahy et al., 2010; Choi and Bothwell, 2012; Powell and Rokach, 2015; Garcia et al., 2017; Hoxha and Zappacosta, 2020). In addition to HETEs, 5-LOX, and 5-LOX activating protein (FLAP) convert AA to a series of leukotrienes including LTA₄, LTB₄, LTC₄, LTD₄, and LTE₄ that when exported can signal through cognate receptors including leukotriene B4 receptors (BLT) and cysteinyl leukotriene receptors (CysLTR) (Wang and DuBois, 2010).

The cytochrome P450 (CYP) epoxygenase pathway, a largely neglected pathway in cancer, leads to the creation of regioisomeric epoxyeicosatrienoic acids (EETs) or HETEs (Panigrahy et al., 2010; Panigrahy et al., 2011). EETs are then metabolized by soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH) to form the corresponding fatty acid diols (Wang et al., 2019) (Figure 3). Arachidonic acid is metabolized by the CYP ω-hydroxylases to 7-, 10-, 12-, 13-, 15-, 16-, 17-, 18-, 19-, and 20-HETEs, the principal metabolite being the pro-inflammatory 20-HETE (Panigrahy et al., 2010). Although EETs are primarily metabolized by sEH, a few studies have observed that the 5,6-EET and 8,9-EET are but substrates for COX-1 and COX-2 (Zhang et al., 1992; Carroll et al., 1993; Moreland et al., 2007; Rand et al., 2017). Three EET regioisomers were found to be substrates for COX, and EET substrate preference for both COX-1 and COX-2 were estimated as 8,9-EET > 5,6-EET > 11,12-EET, whereas 14,15-EET was inactive. 8,9-EET is metabolized by COX to form ct-8,9-E-11-HET (8,9,11-EHET) and ct-8,9-E-15-HET (8,9,15-EHET) (Choi and Bothwell, 2012; Rand et al., 2017; Rand et al., 2019). Receptors for EETs have only recently been identified. A low affinity receptor for 11,12-EET, GPR40, has been identified in vascular cells that upon stimulation can lead to increase in Cx43 and COX-2 expression in endothelial cells via ERK phosphorylation (Park et al., 2018). A high affinity binding protein that may be a receptor was identified in smooth muscle, endothelial and U937 cells that can bind 8,9-EET, 11,12-EET, and 14,15-EET (Chen et al., 2011). Is it not yet known if these receptors play a role in gynecological malignancies.

**EICOSANOIDS IN OVARIAN CANCER**

In the United States, ovarian cancer is the second most common gynecologic cancer and leads to more deaths than any other cancer of the female reproductive tract (Desai et al., 2014; Torre et al., 2018). Epithelial ovarian cancer encompasses a...
heterogenous group that is differentiated by cell, site of origin, pathological grade, risk factors, prognosis, and treatment (Torre et al., 2018). And of those, epithelial ovarian cancer accounts for 90% of all ovarian cancer cases (Torre et al., 2018). Epithelial malignancies are further subdivided into type I or type II based on clinicopathologic features and molecular features. Type I ovarian cancers, with the exception of clear cell, are considered low grade and are thought to usually develop from extraovarian benign lesions that embed within the ovary. Type II ovarian cancers are high grade characterized by aggressive behavior, late stage at diagnosis and low survival (Prahm et al., 2015; Torre et al., 2018). Clear cell, mucinous, low grade endometrioid and low grade serous cancers are type I, whereas high grade serous, high grade endometrioid, carcinosarcomas, and undifferentiated cancers are type II tumors (Jayson et al., 2014; Nezhat et al., 2015; Beeghly-Fadiel et al., 2018).

**Epoxygenase and Lipoxygenase Pathways in Ovarian Cancer**

While the cyclooxygenase pathway is the most studied pathway in ovarian cancer, several studies have identified a role for the CYP P450 epoxygenase pathway. HETEs produced by CYP mono-oxygenases have been implicated in cancer development, particularly 20-HETE (Chen et al., 2005; Guo et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2007; Alexanian et al., 2009; Alexanian et al., 2012). Alexanian and colleagues have shown that CYP4A/4F genes were increased in ovarian cancer tissue compared to normal tissues and further more CYP4F2 protein and 20-HETE were also higher in ovarian cancer samples (Alexanian et al., 2012) thus demonstrating a potential role for 20-HETE in ovarian cancer which warrant additional mechanistic studies for this pathway.

The lipoxygenase pathway has been identified to play a role in ovarian cancer growth and progression (Figure 2). Women with increased levels of 8-HETE produced by 8-LOX and other free fatty acid metabolites were at higher risk of developing ovarian cancer in the ensuing decade implicating a role for inflammation in the initiation and promotion of ovarian cancer (Hada et al., 2019). 12-LOX expression is higher in high grade serous ovarian cancer compared to normal ovarian tissue. Ovarian cancer cell lines with increased expression of 12-LOX had increased production of 12-HETE. The addition of 12-HETE to ovarian cancer cells leads to an increase in cellular proliferation (Guo et al., 2011). 12-LOX-12-HETE pathway also inhibits apoptosis in ovarian cancer through activation of NF-kB pathway (Liu Q. et al., 2018). The 5-LOX enzyme leads to the creation of both 5-HETE and several leukotrienes. Wen and colleagues reported that hypoxia leads to an increase in 5-LOX metabolites and promotes migration and invasion of tumor associated macrophages and upregulation of MMP-7 (Wen et al., 2015). In cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cell line SKOV-3, upregulation of leukotriene B4 and its receptor leukotriene B4 receptor-2 (BLT2) leads to activation of STAT-3 and IL-6 and depletion of BLT2 increased cell sensitivity to cisplatin chemotherapy (Park et al., 2016). In addition, BLT2 also plays a key role in ovarian cancer invasiveness and metastasis (Seo et al., 2012). Transcriptomic analysis of tumor cells and tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) from ascites identified a synergistic relationship between
arachidonic acid, cytokines and disease recurrence (Reinartz et al., 2016). A network of lipid mediators between tumor cells and TAMs were identified which includes products of phospholipid hydrolysis, prostanoids and products of lipoxygenase pathway (Reinartz et al., 2016). These studies highlight the lipoxygenase pathway in modulation of both the tumor microenvironment as well as directly affecting the ovarian tumor cells in the progression of ovarian tumorigenesis.

**Cyclooxygenase Pathway in Ovarian Cancer**

The cyclooxygenase pathway is the most investigated pathway in ovarian cancers with studies identifying both COX-1 and COX-2 as potential mediators of pro-tumorigenic prostaglandin production. There has been conflicting evidence as to whether COX-1 or COX-2 plays a larger role in ovarian cancer tumorigenesis. Denkert et al. (2002) found that COX-2 expression was an independent prognostic factor for poor survival in ovarian surface epithelial tumors. Various groups have reported differences in COX-1 vs COX-2 expression in different ovarian cancer histological subtypes. Epithelial ovarian cancer demonstrates a high rate of COX-1 and COX-2 expression especially in non-mucinous tumors and a combination of high COX-1 but low COX-2 was associated with poor progression-free and overall survival (Khunnarong et al., 2010). COX-1 was found to be overexpressed in high grade serous ovarian tumors; whereas, COX-2 was highly expressed in endometrioid and mucinous tumors (Wilson et al., 2015). In a follow up study, COX-1 expression was higher in low and high grade serous tumors and type II tumors in comparison to type I tumors while COX-2 was more highly expressed in non-serous and type I tumors (Beeghly-Fadiel et al., 2018).

The association of elevated prostaglandins in the tissues and ascites from ovarian cancer was first reported almost 40 years ago. Prostaglandins PGE$_2$, PGF$_{2a}$ and 6-keto-PGF$_{1α}$ (a degradation product of PGI$_2$) were measured in advanced human ovarian cancer tissues (Bauknecht et al., 1985). In tumors that were resistant to chemotherapy, higher levels of these prostaglandins were detected compared to chemotherapy responsive tumors (Bauknecht et al., 1985). Ascitic fluids from ovarian cancer patients were compared to patients with benign gynecologic conditions and higher amounts of fatty acid palmitoleic acid were measured in cancer patients (Punnonen et al., 1986). Concentrations of PGE$_2$, 6-keto-PGF$_{1α}$, TXB$_2$, cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) in ovarian tumor tissue were measured in 38 post-menopausal women with malignant or benign ovarian tumors and in six women without ovarian neoplasms (Heinonen and Metsä-Ketelä, 1988). PGE$_2$ and TXB$_2$ contents in ovarian cancer tissue were significantly (P < 0.05) higher than in normal ovarian tissue (Heinonen and Metsä-Ketelä, 1988). Ovarian cancer is more common in patients with elevated gonadotropins such as FSH and LH and they are detectable in ovarian tumor fluid. FSH/LH lead to increased PGE$_2$ production through upregulation of the expression of COX-1 and COX-2 and activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway that ultimately leads to tumor cell migration and invasion (Lau et al., 2010).

**Prostaglandin A2 in Ovarian Cancer**

Prostaglandin A$_2$ enzymes, which convert lipids to arachidonic acid, the substrate for the COX enzyme, have been implicated in several cancers. EOC ascites contains high levels of oncogenic lipid growth factors including lipid products produced by PLAs such as lysophosphatic acid (LPA) and lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) (Cai et al., 2012). Human EOC ascites contained microvesicle-free cPLA$_2$ and iPLA$_2$ that were secreted in an ABC transporter dependent mechanism. Chen et al. (2018) reported that the ABCC1 inhibitor MK571 was the most effective inhibitor of PLA$_2$ activity. However, ABCC4 is also inhibited by MK571 and we have found increased expression of ABCC4 (MRP4), the exporter for PGE$_2$, to be overexpressed in multiple ovarian cancer cell lines and tumor tissue; therefore, it is possible that ABCC4 could also play a role in PLA$_2$ secretion (Kochel and Fulton, 2015; Kochel et al., 2017; Ching et al., 2018). Treatment of ovarian cancer cells with chemotherapy drug VP16 causes release of AA resulting in elevation of PGE$_2$ levels and enhancing the repopulation of ovarian cancer cells (Zhao et al., 2017). Berberine can block the caspase 3-iPLA$_2$-AA-COX-2-PGE$_2$ pathway by inhibiting the expression of iPLA$_2$ and COX-2. PGE$_2$ can lead to increased phosphorylation of FAK. Treatment with berberine reverse PGE$_2$ induced FAK phosphorylation thereby leading to inhibition of VP16 induced ovarian cancer cell repopulation (Cui et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2017). Targeting of cytosolic phospholipase A$_2$ can increase efficacy of low-dose radiotherapy in ovarian cancer (Schulte et al., 2011). These studies demonstrate the role of PLA$_2$ in treatment response and tumor promoting activities such as proliferation, migration and invasion and tumor growth in vivo (Schulte et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2018).

**Prostanoids in Ovarian Cancer**

PGE$_2$ signals through four receptors of which two have been identified to play a role in ovarian cancer (Spinella et al., 2004a; Ching et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). There is increased expression of EP4 in ovarian cancer cell lines and primary tumor tissue compared to normal ovarian cell lines and tissue (Ching et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2018). Treatment of SKOV-3 and MDAH-2774 with dmPGE$_2$ lead to a dose dependent increase in COX-2, Bcl-2 and bax expression as well as an increase in proliferation and decrease in apoptosis (Munkarah et al., 2002). Bagnato’s group studied the relationship between endothelin-1, COX and EP receptors in ovarian cancer growth and invasion (Spinella et al., 2004b; Spinella et al., 2004a; Spinella et al., 2004c). Activation of the endothelin A receptor (ET$_A$R) by endothelin-1 (ET-1) leads to an increase in COX-1 and COX-2 expression as well as PGE$_2$ production (Spinella et al., 2004b). ET-1 induced PGE$_2$ production then signals through EP2 and EP4 to stimulate VEGF production. Additionally, signaling through the EP4 receptor leads to an increase in ovarian cancer cell invasiveness (Spinella et al., 2004a). Signaling through the EP2 receptor leads to an increase in proliferation and invasion through the NFkB pathway (Zhang et al., 2019).

There is conflicting evidence on whether PGI$_2$ is anti- or pro-tumoral prostanoid. PGI$_2$ analog iloprost has been shown to have anti-inflammatory and anti-tumoral effects in lung cancer...
In ovarian cancer, iloprost inhibited migration and invasion through downregulation of MMP-2 expression (Ahn et al., 2018). In study using engineered OSE cells to form ovarian tumors, tumors demonstrated an increase in COX-1 expression but not COX-2 (Daikoku et al., 2005). Additionally, PGII, not PGE2, was the major prostaglandin produced by these tumors (Daikoku et al., 2005). Treatment with COX-1 inhibitor SC-560 reduced cell proliferation and accelerated apoptosis; whereas, celecoxib had little effect on tumor growth. SC-560 also decreased PGI2 production by OSE cells and tumors. In a follow up study, Daikoku et al. (2007) demonstrated that aspirin (COX-1 inhibitor) not only lowered PGI2 levels in T2 and OVCAR-3 cells, but it also attenuates the transactivation of PPARδ, which indicates the inhibition of PG production and PPARδ are downstream targets of COX-1 PGs. This suppression of COX-1- PPARδ signaling by aspirin lead to compromised tumor growth in mice; this result suggests the importance of this pathway to stimulate tumor growth and offers a promising target for ovarian cancer treatment (Daikoku et al., 2007).

Lipid mediators play an important role in both platelet and ovarian cancer functions. Tumor cells can act as agonists for platelets leading to an increase in platelet adhesion, aggregation and degranulation (Serhan et al., 2018). Meanwhile platelets can protect tumor cells from host defenses and increase tumor cell invasion and extravasation (Serhan et al., 2018). Lipid mediators that act as platelet agonists are often produced by tumor cells and are found in the tumor microenvironment. TXA2, PGD2, PGE2, and PGI2 are all important prostanooids for platelet function including activation of platelets, TXA2 and PGE2, or inhibiting platelet aggregation, PGI2 or PGD2 (Friedman et al., 2015; Contursi et al., 2017; Serhan et al., 2018). PGE2 and prostacyclin are found in the ovarian tumor microenvironment linking lipid signaling and platelets in ovarian cancer (Reinartz et al., 2016). In ovarian cancer patients with residual disease, plasma 6-keto-PGF1α (a metabolite of PGI2) in cancer patients (146.7 +/- 14.7 pg/ml, mean +/- SE) was higher (P less than 0.02) than that in the controls (85.3 +/- 9.2 pg/ml, n = 17). Also, the release of TXB2 (a metabolite of TXA2) during spontaneous clotting of the blood samples was greater in the patients than controls (Ylikorkala et al., 1983).

Cyclopentenone prostaglandins of the A and J series, PGA2, PGA1, and PGJ2, which are produced by dehydration of PGE3, PGE1, and PGD2, respectively, have various biological activities including both pro- (Oliva et al., 2003) and anti-tumoral effects (Sasaki and Fukushima, 1994; Straus and Glass, 2001). Sasaki demonstrated that ovarian cancer cell lines resistant to cisplatin, doxorubicin and L-phenylalanine mustard were sensitive to antitumor prostaglandins delta-7-prostaglandin A1 (d7-PGA1) and delta-12-prostaglandin J2 (d-12-PGJ2) (Sasaki et al., 1991) and a derivative of d7-PGA1, 13,14-dihydro-15-deoxy-delta7-prostaglandin-A1-methyl ester, exhibits antitumor activities against cisplatin resistant ovarian cancer cells (Sasaki et al., 1999). McClay and colleagues showed that d-12-PGJ2 can synergize with cisplatin and radiation thus increasing the efficacy of these treatments against ovarian cancer (McCay et al., 1996). 15-deoxy-delta12,14-prostaglandin J2 (15-d-PGJ2) has been shown to strongly induce apoptosis and autophagic cell death pathways and inhibit sirtuin in chemotherapy resistant cell lines (Jong et al., 2011; Tae et al., 2018). Interestingly, expression of PGD2 in high-grade serous ovarian cancer is an independent marker of good prognosis and is associated with increase in disease-free survival, the absence of relapse and sensitivity to platinum-based therapy (Alves et al., 2019) which further links the antitumor properties associated with the PGJ prostaglandins which are derived from PGD2. Given the activity of these metabolites against drug resistant ovarian cancer cell lines, which is what ultimately leads to mortality in patients, warrants additional studies on these compounds.

**COX Enzymes in Ovarian Cancer**

Several studies have been published supporting the role of COX-1 in ovarian cancer development and progression. Gupta et al. (2003) found increased expression of COX-1 mRNA and protein in ovarian cancer tissues compared to normal ovarian tissue. They also examined the link between the COX pathway and angiogenesis; ovarian epithelial cells with high COX-1 expression exhibit high levels of transcription factors including HIF-1α, VEGF, VEGF receptor: Flk-1. In a follow up study, a genetically engineered mouse model of ovarian EOC was used to evaluate whether COX-1 expression is linked to mutations in tumor suppressors p53 and Rb (Daikoku et al., 2006). In mice with these mutations, high COX-1 mRNA levels were detected in well differentiated serous epithelial neoplasms; COX-2 levels remain to be undetectable and this is consistent in situ tumor samples (Daikoku et al., 2006). In a follow up study, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor δ (PPARδ), a downstream target of COX-1, is directly activated by PGI2 and transactivated by PGE2, stimulates cell proliferation and tumor growth in cancers like mammary and hepato cellular, and was found to be overexpressed in mouse ovarian cancer cell lines (Daikoku et al., 2007). COX-1 has been identified to also produce prostaglandins in ovarian cancer cell lines. Increased levels of COX-1 were identified in 3 out of 10 ovarian cancer cell lines, PGE2 production was also elevated in those cell lines (Kino et al., 2005). Non-selective COX inhibitor (indomethacin) and a selective COX-1 inhibitor (SC-569) significantly decreased PGE2 production; however, COX-2 inhibitors, NS-398 and rofecoxib, did not affect PGE2 production which suggests that COX-1 is regulating the production of PGE2 in these cell lines (Gupta et al., 2003; Kino et al., 2005; Rask et al., 2006). In addition to COX-1, COX-2 has also been shown to play a role in ovarian cancer progression. COX-2 is constitutively expressed in various EOC cell lines; introduction of PGE2 increases COX-2 expression, increases proliferation as well as reduces apoptosis (Munkarah et al., 2002). COX-2 derived PGE2 also promotes ovarian cancer cell invasion through an epidermal growth factor (EGF) signaling mechanism in which a positive feedback loop leads to increases in COX-2, PGE2 and EGF (Qiu et al., 2014). COX-2 can also lead to an increase in pro-angiogenic proteins. The expression of nerve growth factor (NGF) is frequently overexpressed in EOC leading to an increase in VEGF, β-catenin/TCF-LeF, survivin, and...
regulated increase in COX-2/PGE₂ signaling. Dursun et al. (2005) hypothesize that the difference between invasive ovarian tumors and borderline ovarian tumors, which are less threatening in terms of survival, is the level of COX-2 expression. Overexpression of COX-2 stimulates prostaglandin production and cellular growth, reduces apoptosis enzymes, promotes mutagenesis, tumorogenesis, and angiogenesis (Dursun et al., 2005). COX-2 positive ovarian cancer cases also have higher expression of MDR1/P-glycoprotein (P-gp). P-gp is a drug efflux pump whose presence is associated with unfavorable significance in ovarian cancer treated with chemotherapy and may be upregulated by COX-2. Previously, Denkert et al. (2002) demonstrated that expression of COX-2 is an independent unfavorable prognostic factor in ovarian cancers. In a follow up study, they have extended these findings to demonstrate a positive correlation between COX-2 and P-gp expression in human ovarian carcinomas and unfavorable prognostic and predictive significance in tumors expressing COX-2 and/or P-gp (Surowiak et al., 2006). Combination treatment with cisplatin or docetaxel with NSAID, NS-398 or sulindac, can lead to dose-dependent enhancement of cytotoxicity which could be enhanced under hyperthermic conditions (41°C) (Barnes et al., 2007). Denkert et al. (2003) investigated COX enzyme dependent vs independent effects of the NSAID NS-398 on ovarian cancer cell lines that are either positive for COX-1/2, OVCAR-3, or negative for COX-1/2 expression, SKOV-3. They demonstrated that NS-398 could inhibit proliferation through induction of cell cycle arrest that is independent of COX-2 inhibition and the inhibitory effect could not be rescued with the addition of PGE₂ (Denkert et al., 2003).

COX inhibitors have been explored as both a monotherapy and as well in combination with chemotherapeutics. Li and colleagues investigated the effect of COX-1 and COX-2 inhibitors as a monotherapy and as well as in combination with taxol on SKOV-3 xenograft mouse model (Li et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012a; Li et al., 2012b; Li et al., 2013). Nimesulide (COX-2 inhibitor) treatment of mice with SKOV-3 xenograft tumors leads to a reduction in microvessel density (MVD) and PGE₂ levels but did not significantly decrease tumor growth (Li et al., 2008). This led Li to examine the effects of COX-1 inhibitor, SC-560, and COX-2 inhibitor, celecoxib, or dual inhibitor, indomethacin, on xenograft tumor growth. Monotherapy treatment was not effective in decreasing tumor volume; however, dual SC-560 and celecoxib inhibitor significantly reduced relative tumor volume (Li et al., 2012a). Single treatment with SC-560 or celecoxib also significantly prolonged survival of the mice compared to control. Previously, Gupta et al. (2003) reported that COX-1 and pro-angiogenic proteins were overexpressed in ovarian tumors and treatment with COX-1 inhibitor SC-560 but not COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib lead to a decrease in secretion of VEGF by OVCAR-3 cells in vitro. Li found similar results in their in vivo studies (Li et al., 2012a). Li and colleagues also investigated the combination of SC-560 and taxol as well as celecoxib and taxol on the effect of SKOV-3 tumor MVD, apoptosis and VEGF transcript levels (Li et al., 2012a). Taxol in combination with SC-560 was superior to taxol with celecoxib and while the authors did not provide an explanation for the observed differences in the two inhibitors, the potential remains for the possibility of off target or non-COX related effects of the inhibitors (Li et al., 2012a; Li et al., 2013). Using a combination of siRNAs against COX-1/COX-2, Denkert et al. (2003) examined the role COX-2-dependent and COX-2 independent effects of COX inhibitors on ovarian cancer proliferation. As opposed to the predominant role of COX-1 in SKOV-3 cells, in OVCAR-3 cells, COX-2 was responsible for PGE₂ production and production could be inhibited with COX-2 inhibitor NS-398. COX-1/COX-2 inhibitors lead to a decrease in proliferation that was independent of COX activity and expression through induction of Go/G1 cell cycle arrest. Uddin et al. (2010) examined the molecular pathways involved in COX-2 inhibition in ovarian cancer. COX-2, but not COX-1, expression was associated with expression of pAKT in ovarian cancer tumor tissue. In MDAH2774 and SKOV3 cell lines, aspirin and NS-398 also led to a decrease in proliferation and induction of apoptosis. While the authors did not explore specific EP receptors in this study, EP4 has been shown to activate PI3K/AKT (Hsu et al., 2017). Given the results of these studies the possibility exists that COX independent effects play a significant role in the function of COX inhibitors.

**Cyclooxygenase in Ovarian Cancer Tumor Microenvironment**

The innate and adaptive immune system plays an essential role in the progression and spread of cancer. The ovarian cancer microenvironment is highly immune suppressive (Preston et al., 2011). Natural killer cells (NK), part of the innate immune system, can lyse cells without first having to recognize specific antigens and have been reported to play an essential role in controlling metastasis in breast cancer with contradictory roles in ovarian cancer (Dong et al., 2006; Holt et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2018). Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC), which are a heterogeneous population of macrophages, DCs and granulocytes at early stages of differentiation, is another driver of immune suppression with the ability to block local and systemic immune activation (Preston et al., 2011). TAMs are one of the most abundant immune cells in the tumor microenvironment (Colvin, 2014). These heterogeneous cells can act to either stimulate or suppress the immune response and these opposing behaviors have been classified as either classically activated, M1, or alternatively activated, M2 (Colvin, 2014). M1-polarized macrophages produce pro-inflammatory and immunostimulatory cytokines, are involved in Th1 responses and are considered cancer killing; whereas, M2-polarized macrophages are immunosuppressive, associated with the Th2 response and are considered cancer promoting (Colvin, 2014). Dendritic cells (DC) can either activate adaptive immunity or tolerance T cells specific for tumor-associated antigens (Pardoll, 2015). Cytotoxic T cells (CTL) exhibit antitumor immune functions with CD8+ cytotoxic T cells generally are the primary mediators of antitumor immune response. Helper T cells, which are required to activate cytotoxic T cells, are functionally divided into subsets including Th1 and Th2 according to secretory cytokines and immunologic roles (Lee et al., 2019). The Th1/Th2 balance plays
an essential role in immune response to cancer with T\textsubscript{H}1 eliciting an anti-tumor response whereas T\textsubscript{H}2 is pro-tumorigenic (Burkholder et al., 2014).

Mucin molecules (MUCs) are aberrantly secreted from ovarian cancer cells. There is a positive correlation with five year survival rate in patients with high density of M1 TAMs and a high M1/M2 ratio and therapeutic targeting against M2 inhibits disease progression (Luo et al., 2006; Mantovani et al., 2006; He et al., 2013). He et al. showed that an increased concentration of COX-2+ cancer cells, decreased ratio of M1/M2 TAMs, and an increased concentration of COX-2+ TAMs was associated with poor prognosis (He et al., 2013). Populations of M2 TAMs and COX-2+ TAMs frequently converged. There was also an association between COX-2+ cancer cells and COX-2+ TAMs (He et al., 2013). Expression of MUC2 can result in M2-polarization and induce TAM COX-2 expression. The polarization of M2 TAMs and expression of COX-2 can lead to an increase in PGE\textsubscript{2} in the microenvironment by TAMs and cancer cells which can lead to an acceleration of ovarian cancer progression (He et al., 2013).

MDSCs expand during cancer and can suppress T-cell facilitated responses (Gabrilovich and Nagaraj, 2009; Ostrand-Rosenberg and Sinha, 2009; Rodriguez-Urbeva et al., 2017). Myeloid cell differentiation is highly dependent on DNA methylation changes and pro-inflammatory mediators such as PGE\textsubscript{2} regulate MDSC accumulation (Sinha et al., 2007; Alvarez-Errico et al., 2015; Rodriguez-Urbeva et al., 2017). Rodriguez-Urbeva et al. (2017) demonstrated that ovarian cancer cells can induce differentiation of monocytes to MDSCs by causing hypermethylation of MDSC specific genes by signaling through the PGE\textsubscript{2} EP2 and EP4 receptors leading to upregulation of DNMT3A. DCs and MDSCs show opposing roles in the immune system, suppression of DCs contributes to cancer progression while MDSCs suppress the ability of CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells to mediate effective anti-tumor response (Rodriguez-Urbeva et al., 2017). COX-2-PGE\textsubscript{2} leads to positive feedback signaling that results in blocking CD1\textalpha\textsuperscript{+} DC differentiation and leading to induction of CD14\textsuperscript{+}CD33\textsuperscript{+}CD34\textsuperscript{+} monocytic MDSCs (Obermajer et al., 2011). The frequency of CD11b\textsuperscript{+}CD33\textsuperscript{+} MDSCs in ovarian cancer are closely correlated with local PGE\textsubscript{2} production. Inhibition of EP2 and EP4 or interruption of COX-2-PGE\textsubscript{2} feedback using COX-2 inhibitors decreases the production of suppressive mediators and inhibitory functions of MDSCs from cancer patients.

Wong et al. (2016) observed an unexpected increase in both type-1 mediators, IFN\gamma and TNF\alpha, induction of immune suppressive COX-2 and hyperactivation of MDSCs in the tumor microenvironment of ovarian cancer patients. The synergistic action of both IFN\gamma and TNF\alpha which lead to increased MDSC activity and expression of IDO1, NOX2, IL-10 and COX-2 mRNA and protein was dependent on COX-2-PGE\textsubscript{2} such that blockade of COX-2 was able to completely reverse enhanced suppressive ability of the hyperactivated MDSCs (Wong et al., 2016). Blockade of COX-2 or the EP4 receptor reduces expression of IDO1, an immunosuppressive enzyme, leading to an increase in CD3\textsuperscript{+} and CD8\textsuperscript{+} cells thus increasing T cells and control of tumor growth (Hennequart et al., 2017). While IL-10 and PGE\textsubscript{2} were identified as having immunosuppressive capabilities in ascites from ovarian cancer patients, PGE\textsubscript{2} was found to be the more important of the two that leads to impaired T cell stimulatory capacity of DC cells (Brencicova et al., 2017). Cytotoxic T cells directed against endogenously expressed antigens are key for antigen-specific cancer immunotherapy. COX-2-PGE\textsubscript{2} was identified as resistance factors for suppression of antigen-induced interferon-gamma secretion of T cells and generation of factors that suppress the immune system leading to escape from immune surveillance and resistance to cellular immunotherapy (Göbel et al., 2014).

Maintenance of CTL, T\textsubscript{H}1 and NK cell-mediated type I immunity is key for effective antitumor responses. Liu et al. (2009) analyzed the pattern of CD8\textsuperscript{+} (CTL), CD57\textsuperscript{+} (NK), and CD1\textalpha\textsuperscript{+} (DC) infiltrating immune cells in intraepithelial or stromal spaces with survival and COX-1 and COX-2 expression. PGE\textsubscript{2} can alter the T\textsubscript{H}1/T\textsubscript{H}2 balance, suppress DC function, and increase the number of tumor-infiltrating regulatory T cells (Liu et al., 2009). Higher levels of COX-2 expression occurred more frequently in serous ovarian carcinoma compared to other types (P<0.05) and higher levels of COX-1 or COX-2 tended to correlate with poor prognosis (n.s.) (Liu et al., 2009). COX may impact ovarian cancer prognosis and the pattern of tumor-infiltrating immune cells. Ovarian cancers were analyzed by hierarchical cluster analysis based on the number of tumor-infiltrating immune cells and three different clusters were generated (Liu et al., 2009). Cluster 1 was characterized with the worst progression free survival and overall survival. Cluster 1 was characterized by higher expression of COX-1 and COX-2 compared to cluster 2 (P<0.05, respectively). The presence of intraepithelial CD8\textsuperscript{+} cells was negatively correlated with COX-1 and COX-2 expression (P<0.05 for both) (Liu et al., 2009). It is clear that PGE\textsubscript{2} via COX-1/COX-2 expression plays a critical role in the ovarian cancer tumor microenvironment leading to immune suppression through multiple mechanisms. The COX/ PGE2 pathway warrants additional studies including combining PGE\textsubscript{2} EP4 inhibitors with immunotherapy in ovarian cancer.

EICOSANOIDS IN UTERINE CANCER

Uterine cancer is the fourth most common malignancy as well as the most common gynecologic malignancy (Siegel et al., 2019). There are two subgroups, Type I, endometrioid cancer, which is the most common, is associated with expression of hormonal receptors and, Type 2, unrelated to estrogen, and includes histological types such as serous, clear cell, mucinous and uterine sarcomas (Creutzberg and Fleming, 2016; Di Tucci et al., 2019).

Lipoxygenase in Uterine Cancer

The majority of the research published to date discusses the role of prostanoids in uterine cancer; however, the lipoxygenase pathway has been shown to be involved in type 2 endometrial cancer. ALOX5 (5-LOX) is generally reported to be absent in normal epithelial but is induced by pro-inflammatory stimuli and can be overexpressed in various epithelial cancers.
performed by Cummings et al. (2019), there is a significant increase in ALOX5 (5-LOX) mRNA expression in type II endometrial cancer compared to normal endometrium and elevated ALOX5 expression is associated with adverse outcomes. Given the limited number of studies available on the role of lipoxygenase as well as the lack of studies in the cytochrome P450 pathway in uterine cancer, these pathways are ones that should be evaluated in uterine cancer in the future.

Cyclooxygenase in Uterine Cancer

Uterine leiomyosarcoma (LMS) is the second most common subtype of uterine sarcoma with uterine leiomyomas comprising the most common benign pelvic tumors in women (Sabry and Al-Hendy, 2012; Kobayashi et al., 2013). Leiomyomas release prostaglandins including 6-keto-PGF₁α, PGF₂α and PGE₂ (Rees and Turnbull, 1985). Endothelin (ET)-1 exerts survival effects in uterine leiomyoma cells and leads to increased protein expression of COX-2 and PGE₂ production (Oyeniran and Tanfin, 2011). COX-2 expression was higher in uterine fibroids compared to healthy smooth muscle cells and selective COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib decreased fibroid cell proliferation and PGE₂ secretion (Ke et al., 2013). Recently, in a study published by Reader et al. (2019), the role of EP4 was evaluated in LMS proliferation and migration. While minimal effects in proliferation was observed with monotherapy treatment of LMS with an EP4 inhibitor when combined with docetaxel treatment, we saw a sensitization of LMS cells to chemotherapeutic treatment (Reader et al., 2019). In addition to sensitization to chemotherapy, we also demonstrated a significant decrease in LMS cell migration. PI3K has been shown to play a significant role in the development of uterine cancer and activation of EP4 can lead to activation of the PI3K pathway (Figure 1) (Naumann, 2011).

One common inflammatory disease that affects approximately 10% of reproductive aged women is endometriosis, which causes chronic pain and infertility, and is defined as the presence of endometrium-like tissue outside the uterus (As-Sanie et al., 2019). Epidemiological, biological, and molecular data all indirectly suggest links between the endometriosis and endometrial cancer (Painter et al., 2018; As-Sanie et al., 2019). In 1992, Koike et al. (1992) identified increased production of 6-keto-PGF₁α, TXB₂, and PGE₂ in endometrial cysts compared to non-endometrial cysts and normal ovaries. Additionally, the COX-2 pathway promotes survival, migration, and invasion of endometriotropic cells and promotes angiogenesis during endometriosis progression (Banu et al., 2008; Jana et al., 2016). Inhibition of EP2 and EP4 leads to apoptosis of endometriotic cells as well as a decrease of human endometriotic epithelial and stromal cells through integrin-mediated mechanisms (Banu et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2013). As indicated in these studies a relationship exists between COX eicosanoids in the pathogenesis of endometriosis and therefore endometrial cancer.

COX-1 and COX-2 are important in normal reproduction as reviewed in (Sales and Jabbour, 2003). COX enzymes convert arachidonic acid to PGH₂ which is then metabolized by specific synthases to thromboxanes and prostaglandins including PGE₂ and PGF₂α (Wang and DuBois, 2010). PGE₂, EP2 and EP4 receptors work in an autocrine/paracrine role in the epithelial/endothelial cell function in normal human endometrium (Milne et al., 2001). During the menstrual cycle, signaling of EP2/EP4 receptors produced a greater response in proliferative tissue compared with early and midsecretory stage tissue. COX-2 expression is up-regulated in neoplastic epithelial and endothelial cells of endometrial carcinomas (Tong et al., 2000; Jabbour et al., 2001; Ferrandina et al., 2002; Uotila et al., 2002; Jabbour et al., 2006). Lower risk of endometrial cancer was reported when aspirin and other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents were used in patients which could block production of prostaglandins (Black et al., 2014). The importance of COX-2 in the early stages of endometrial cancer development was shown using a conditional endometrial-specific phosphatase and tensin homologue gene (PTEN) knockout mouse model (Daikoku et al., 2008). Dual inhibition of COX-2 and mTORC1 signaling markedly reduces endometrial cancer progression (Daikoku et al., 2014). Paclitaxel resistance may be associated with COX-2 and multidrug resistance 1 (MDR1) expression, an efflux pump that can transport a wide range of compounds including chemotherapy out of the cell, in endometrial cancer (Hasegawa et al., 2013). Co-administration of COX-2 inhibitor etodolac with paclitaxel leads to a decrease in MDR1 expression which may enhance accumulation of MDR1 substrates such as paclitaxel thus leading to an increase in paclitaxel sensitivity (Hasegawa et al., 2013). However, recently Cummings, et al. using laser capture microdissection, whole genome expression analysis and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, did not show an increase in COX isoform expression nor PGE₂/PGF₂α levels in neoplastic endometrium compared to sample matched epithelial tissue (Cummings et al., 2019). The authors do suggest that an increase in local levels of PGE₂ and PGF₂α could occur due to decreased catabolism, via downregulation of HPGD (Figure 1). There was an increase in EP3 (PTGER3) and EP4 (PTGER4) receptor gene expression in type I and type II endometrial cancers which could lead to an increase in local PGE₂/EP3/EP4 mediated signaling. In addition, our group has observed an increase in EP4 expression in primary endometrioid cancer tissue (Reader et al., 2016). The differences could be due to the fact that the tissues used for this study were microdissected instead using the entire tissue for analysis as well as the use of fewer clinical samples in previous studies.

Prostanoids in Uterine Cancer

The F and E prostanoid pathways have been shown to contribute to endometrial cancer phenotypes including proliferation, adhesion, migration, invasion, angiogenesis, and inflammatory microenvironment by binding with their respective G-protein coupled receptors (Sales et al., 2004b; Sales et al., 2005; Sales et al., 2008a; Keightley et al., 2010b; Wallace et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2017). PGF₂α exerts its action via FP receptors of which two isoforms exists generated via alternative mRNA splicing designated FPA and FPB. These isoforms are coupled to G<i>q</i> and can produce IP₃ through activation of phospholipase C, intracellular calcium flux and activation of protein kinase C (Sales and Jabbour, 2003). PGF₂α
plays an essential role in parturition and regulation of expression of the oxytocin receptor. PGE2 can be converted to PGF2α, adding a layer of crosstalk and complexity (Dozier et al., 2008) (Figure 4). Downstream signaling of FP and EP receptors leads to an increase in COX-2 expression (Fujino and Regan, 2003; Sales et al., 2008b). PGE2 can act as an agonist for FP receptors thus PGE2 and PGF2α, when locally produced by endometrial adenocarcinomas can regulate tumor cell function in an autocrine/paracrine manner by the FP receptor leading to an induction of COX-2 expression (Sales et al., 2008b). PGF2α and FP receptor interaction enhances proliferation of endometrial epithelial cells (Sales et al., 2005; Sales et al., 2007). There is co-localization of COX-2 and the FP receptor within neoplastic epithelial cells of endometrial adenocarcinomas and activation of PGF2α-FP receptor lead to an increase in COX-2 expression through activation of ERK1/2 pathway which leads to a concomitant increase in PGF2α, creating a positive feedback loop (Jabbour et al., 2005). Another positive feedback involving FGF2-FGFR1 interaction that results in elevated FGF2 and COX-2 expression and enhanced proliferation via the FGFR1 and ERK pathways (Sales et al., 2007). In addition to proliferative changes, activation of the FP receptor can lead to an increase in adhesion, migration and invasion of endometrial adenocarcinoma cells. Alterations in cell adhesion and increased migration occur through a αVβ3-ECM dependent mechanism after PGF2α-FP activation (Sales et al., 2008a) and regulation of endometrial adenocarcinoma cell invasion occurs via increased expression of disintegrin and metalloprotease with a thrombospondin repeat 1 (ADAMTS1) (Keightley et al., 2010b). Chemokines play an important role in normal uterine function; however, dysregulated chemokines can contribute to uncontrolled proliferation, migration and invasion and contribute to an inflammatory microenvironment (Kayisli et al., 2002). Chemokines CXCL8 and CXCL1 are both targets of PGF2α (Sales et al., 2009; Wallace et al., 2009). CXCL8 leads to increased proliferation of Ishikawa cells in vivo (Sales et al., 2009) while CXCL1 induces neutrophil chemotaxis in vitro and in vivo (Wallace et al., 2009). The effects of these chemokines presumably also involve activation of the PGF2α receptor. A pro-angiogenic and anti-angiogenic relationship has been described as a result of PGF2α and FP receptor interactions (Sales et al., 2005; Keightley et al., 2010b; Keightley et al., 2010a). PGF2α and FP receptor activation enables endothelial cell network formation and proliferation via FGF2-FGFR1 signaling in vitro (Keightley et al., 2010a) and through transactivation of EGFFR and secretion of VEGF leads to an increase in angiogenesis in vivo (Sales et al., 2005). Elevated COX-2 is associated with increased VEGF, PGE2 and angiogenesis in endometrial cancer and can be negatively regulated by miR-101 and while a specific receptor was not identified in this study it is feasible that FP and PGF2α could play a role by conversion of PGE2 to PGF2α (Dozier et al., 2008; Liu Y. et al., 2018).

**Cyclooxygenase in Uterine Tumor Microenvironment**

The production of PGE2 by malignant endometrial epithelial cells in the tumor microenvironment can cause an increase in COX-2 expression. In normal endometrial cells, activation of transcription via NF-kB and stabilization of COX-2 mRNA leads to production of PGE2 (Tamura et al., 2002). PGE2 signals through four different E series receptors EP1-EP4 which are linked to different intracellular signaling pathways (Figure 1). The expression of the EP1 receptor was only increased in endometriotic tissue compared to healthy endometrium and tumor tissue; and in the tumor stroma, the expression of EP1 in the tumor was lower than in both normal tissue and endometriosis (Zhu et al., 2018). There was no significant differences in EP1 staining in either epithelium or in stroma within histological stage, grading, metastatic and recurrent subtypes (Zhu et al., 2018). High expression of EP3 was associated with impaired progression free survival and inhibition of EP3 signaling lead to a decrease in proliferation and migration in RL95-2 endometrial cancer cells (Zhu et al., 2017). Compared to EP1 and EP3, the EP2 and EP4 receptors are the most prominent receptors in regard to playing a role in uterine cancer pathogenesis. Jabbour et al. (2001) demonstrated an increase in expression of EP2 and EP4 receptors in endometrial adenocarcinomas and signaling through these...
receptors resulted in significantly higher cAMP production in response to PGE2. Signaling of the EP2 receptor in endometrial adenocarcinoma leads to transactivation of EGFR through PKA and c-Src and induction of VEGF (Sales et al., 2004b). Abera et al. (2010) reported on crosstalk between FP and EP2 receptors that results in increased cAMP release via the Gqα/ Ca2+-calmodulin pathway. Endogenous PGE2 and exogenous PGE2, through exposure of seminal plasma, leads to expression of FGF2, a potent mitogenic and angiogenic factor, though activation of EP2 (Battersby et al., 2007). Exposure to PGE2 leads to an increase in phosphorylation of Akt and tuberin, a tumor suppressor that negatively regulates cellular proliferation, with a concomitant decrease in total tuberin protein expression (Sales et al., 2004a). In this study, the authors used inhibitors to block PI3K/Akt signaling and while involvement of a specific EP receptor was not identified (Sales et al., 2004a) several studies have determined that the EP4 receptor can lead to activation of PI3K pathway (Xu et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2019; Osawa et al., 2020).

Based on the studies presented in this section, there is a significant role for prostanoid signaling in uterine cancer development and progression. It would be interesting to see if other prostanoids as well as eicosanoids in LOX and P450 pathways also play a role in uterine cancer. It would also be beneficial to see additional studies combining inhibition of specific prostanoid receptors with first-line therapies.

**EICOSANOIDs IN CERVICAL CANCER**

Cervical cancer is one of the foremost contributors to worldwide gynecological malignancies and the top contributor to that in Southern Africa (Sales and Katz, 2012; Adefuye et al., 2014). In 2008, as reported by the International Agency for Research on Cancer, an estimated 493,243 women were diagnosed with cervical cancer annually, contributing to approximately 27,300 deaths (Sales and Katz, 2012). Cervical cancer primarily arises as a neoplasm that is initiated by oncogenic variations of human papillomavirus (HPV) and of the 180 different genotypes of the infectious disease, 40 can be accredited to cause infection of the anogenital tract (Adefuye et al., 2014). The most common mode of transmission of HPV is through the exchanging of bodily fluids (primarily seminal fluid) during sexual intercourse (Adefuye et al., 2014). Not only does seminal fluid contribute to the transmission of HPV, but the presence of prominent concentrations of prostaglandins associated with seminal plasma (including PGE2) is likely to regulate inflammatory and tumorigenic pathways due to elevated expression of PGE2 receptors in cervical cancers (Adefuye et al., 2014). Increased binding of PGE2 to EP receptors can increase COX-2 expression as well as regulate target genes such as growth factors, angiogenic factors, prostaglandins, chemokines, and cytokines, which supports tumorigenesis, inflammation, and local changes in tissue architecture (Adefuye et al., 2014). Since COX-2 is controlled by growth factors, tumor promoters, oncogenes, and carcinogens, it is speculated that COX-2 expression is increased in a variety of cancers, including cervical (Saldivar et al., 2007). By producing prostaglandins, COX-2 is responsible for suppressing apoptosis and promoting tumor invasion (Saldivar et al., 2007). Colposcopically, within the same patient, COX-2 expression levels were measured at 83.1± 30.1 ng COX-2/mg protein in normal tissues versus 403.7± 175.6 in abnormal biopsies (CIN tissues). Inflammation is associated with carcinogenesis and in line with this association, Saldivar found that COX-2 levels were 3.7 times higher in specimen that were inflammation positive and of those specimens, COX-2 protein levels were 7.4 times higher than that in control biopsies (Saldivar et al., 2007). These data provide evidence that COX-2 may play an integral role in cervical cancer inflammation and tumorigenesis.

Upregulation of COX-2 can be attributed to HPV oncoproteins playing a role in cervical carcinogenesis. Kim and colleagues investigated the role of HPV 16, the most frequent genotype detected in cervical cancer tissue, E5 oncprotein in cervical cancer development (Kim et al., 2009b). One-way E5 affects COX-2 levels is through EGFR activation; in HaCa T cells transfected with E5, there is activation of the EGFR pathway (Kim et al., 2009b). Transactivation of EGFR by protease-activated receptors are also known to have an effect on COX-2 upregulation (Almeida et al., 2018). Inhibition of EGFR activation lead to a decrease in COX-2 expression (Kim et al., 2009b). E5 also induces COX-2 mRNA expression; assessment of transcriptional activity determined that the COX-2 gene expression was regulated through binding of NF-xB and AP-1 to the COX-2 promoter (Kim et al., 2009b). Inhibition of NF-xB or AP-1 lead to significant decrease in COX-2 expression (Kim et al., 2009b). Bcl proteins are essential for cell clearance and when defective, can promote cancer (Alibek et al., 2014).

Sales and Katz (2012) have shown that PGE2 biosynthesized by COX-1 and COX-2 in HeLa cells, increases expression of pro-angiogenic factors that can exert paracrine activity on endothelial cells to promote blood supply for tumor growth and alter vascular permeability for the release and distribution of leukocytes and macrophages to surrounding tissues. The production of prostaglandins via elevated COX enzyme activity, act on G-protein couple receptors (GPCRs) to encourage tissue remodeling for tumors, angiogenesis, apoptosis inhibition, cell proliferation, and altered vascular permeability (Adefuye et al., 2014). Peng et al. (2019) examines anti-cancer activity of medicinal plant, Conyz a blini, through the downregulation of COX-2 and decrease in PGE2 levels. C. blinii acts as a NF-xB pathway inhibitor and it inhibits downstream gene expression of COX-2, which in turn decreases PGE2 production (Peng et al., 2019). In C. blinii treated mice, PGE2 levels in both serum and tumors were significantly lower. This decrease in prostaglandin activity has promising implication in inflammation and immunomodulation (Peng et al., 2019).

The Bcl family is important in regulating apoptosis according to environmental cues. Bcl-2 homologues act as oncoproteins that are anti-apoptotic and thus, integral for cancer initiation (Alibek et al., 2014). Viruses have been known to have developed a mechanism of apoptosis prevention in host cells through
targeting Bcl-2 homologues. HPV protein E6 interacts with p53 in infected cells in order to prevent p53 from blocking the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 protein (Alibek et al., 2014). It is unknown how viral protein E5 interacts with the Bcl family directly; however, it is known that pro-apoptotic Bak and Bax proteins are decreased, Bcl-2 expression levels are increased thus inhibition of Bak and Bax is facilitated by E5 (Alibek et al., 2014). This inhibition is performed through ubiquitin-proteasome-dependent degradation, involving EGFR activation and subsequently COX-2 upregulation (Alibek et al., 2014).

In addition to the contribution of HPV in cervical cancer, HIV also poses a role in infection and inflammation through elevation of COX-2 expression as well as elevated PGE\textsubscript{2} levels Fitzgerald and colleagues (Fitzgerald et al., 2012). HIV infected women are five times more likely to develop cervical cancer than HIV-negative women. HIV induces high COX-2 levels in a number of immune cells circulating and in tissue (Fitzgerald et al., 2012). Fitzgerald and colleagues hypothesized that HIV promotes COX-2 levels in cervical tissue and in turn increases systemic levels of PGE\textsubscript{2} leading to inflammation and cancer (Fitzgerald et al., 2012). Women positive for HIV and negative HPV have significantly higher levels of COX-2 mRNA (+/-) (P<0.001) with additional increase in COX-2 observed in women positive for both HIV and HPV (+/+)(P<0.001). Similarly, urinary PGE-M levels were elevated in HIV positive women; HPV positive women did not exhibit significantly higher levels of PGE-M meaning HIV status was the only statistical predictor of PGE-M levels. Through this observation, it was concluded that COX-2 and PGE-M levels were positively correlated (P=0.005) (Fitzgerald et al., 2012). This study confirms association of HIV with development of cervical cancer. The data presented in this section demonstrates a significant role for COX-2 and PGE\textsubscript{2} in the pathogenesis of cervical cancer alone and in conjunction with infection by HPV or HIV.

EICOSANOIDS IN VULVAR CANCER

Vulvar cancers are rare, accounting for only an estimated 6,070 cases and 1,280 deaths in the United States (US) in 2019 (Siegel et al., 2019). The majority are squamous cell carcinomas (Moroney et al., 2013). Vulvar cancer arises from two pathways (Zarcone et al., 1997). The most common mechanism involves chronic inflammation in older women from vulvar dystrophies such as lichen sclerosus with development of differentiated vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (VIN) then keratinizing squamous cell carcinoma. In 25–30% of cases and often in younger women, pathogenesis is driven by human papillomavirus (HPV) leading to usual VIN or high-grade squamous intraepithelial neoplasia (HSIL) and basaloid or warty squamous cell carcinoma. HPV-related vulvar cancers may exhibit a predilection for localization to the perineum and carry a favorable prognosis (Hinten et al., 2018) compared to vulvar cancers that arise independent of HPV.

COX-2 expression has clinicopathologic relevance in vulvar cancer. Lee et al. (2007) found that COX-2 expression by immunohistochemistry and adjacent inflammatory cell infiltrate was higher in older compared to younger vulvar cancer patients (P = 0.002) (Lee et al., 2007). Interestingly, COX-2 expression was inversely correlated with differentiation. Fons et al. (2007) found that strong COX-2 expression was an independent predictor of poor disease-specific survival (HR 4.01, 95% CI 1.10-14.63, P = 0.035), as was lymphovascular space invasion, lymph node involvement, tumor size > 4 cm, and absence of apoptotic caspase-3.

Inhibition of COX-2 may potentiate the effects of chemotherapies used to treat vulvar cancer. Dual treatment of COX-2-expressing vulvar squamous cell carcinoma cell lines (A431 and SW962) with the COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib and cisplatin inhibited 49% of growth, compared to 25% with cisplatin monotherapy alone after 48 h (Kim et al., 2009a). Interestingly, exposure of the cells to either agent at 10 micromol/L or in combination increased COX-2 expression, putatively through downregulation of its negative regulator PI3K.

Inhibition of PI3K has been shown to block activation of mitogen-activated kinase (MAPK) independent of EGFR in A431 cells (Graness et al., 2000), suggesting a prominent role for therapies targeting PI3K in vulvar cancer and as mentioned previously signaling through PGE\textsubscript{2} receptor EP4 can lead to activation of PI3K. In squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, agonists of the PGE\textsubscript{2} receptor EP4 promote migration through PI3K activation and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx (Oswa et al., 2020). EP4 antagonists are currently under study in phase IB trials of rectal cancer in conjunction with pre-operative chemoradiation (Adlai Nortye Biopharma CO, Ltd). Based on molecular mechanisms, it may be hypothesized that EP4 inhibition may one day merit study in vulvar cancers and other gynecologic malignancies treated with chemoradiation.

EICOSANOIDS IN VAGINAL CANCER

Vaginal cancer represents only 1–2% of gynecologic malignancies and is under-studied due to its rarity. There were only 5,350 US cases and 1,430 deaths from this disease in 2019 (Siegel et al., 2019). Approximately 10–50% of patients with vaginal cancer or its precursor lesion [high grade vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia (VAIN)] have a history of hysterectomy or radiation for cervical cancer. HPV infection and immunosuppression are strong risk factors for development. The majority arise in the upper third of the canal and involve the posterior wall and are squamous in histology. Less common subtypes include clear cell adenocarcinoma, which is characteristicall associated with in utero diethylstilbestrol (DES) exposure or malignant degeneration of endometriosis, and embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma, the most common vaginal malignancy in children (Cardenes et al., 2013).

COX-2 expression has been found to be a prominent feature in mucosal inflammation of the vagina leading to disease susceptibility and transmission (Joseph et al., 2012), as well as rectovaginal endometriotic implants (Pagotti et al., 2004). Recently, we have observed lower expression of EP4 in vaginal tissues of patients with pelvic organ prolapse and higher expression in women with radiation-induced vaginal stenosis after treatment for gynecologic malignancy compared to healthy controls (Santayana et al., 2018). There also appears to be
differential expression between luminal, basal, and intermediate (smooth muscle) layers of the vagina. Additional studies are underway to better elucidate the role of EP4 in both alterations of tissue integrity as well as its relevance in gynecologic malignancy, but this preliminary data raises interest in a possible pharmacologic role for use of EP4 inhibitors to modify a number of biologic processes in vaginal cancer.

CONCLUSION

This manuscript aimed to provide a comprehensive review of the role of eicosanoids in gynecological malignancies. With increasing evidence, it is demonstrated that eicosanoid driven processes are associated with the progression and spread of gynecological malignancies. These bio-active lipids have direct effects on cancer cells as well as indirect effects on the tumor microenvironment. Most of the studies reported in gynecological malignancies focused on the cyclooxygenase pathway especially the role of PGE2 and PGF2α, and their receptors. The least reported pathway was the P450 cytochrome epoxygenase pathway in which only ovarian cancer had associative studies involving the pathway but with a lack of mechanistic investigation; thus, this pathway is one that should be examined more in the future for all gynecological malignancies.

Given the rare nature of vaginal and vulvar cancer, there is not an abundance of research available; however, the studies performed are directed towards the cyclooxygenase pathway and exploring all of the eicosanoid pathways would be of benefit in order to find much needed treatments and information related to tumorigenesis of these malignancies. It was demonstrated that the COX pathway plays a significant role in the pathogenesis of cervical cancer alone and in conjunction with HPV and HIV; however, there was a lack of mechanistic studies in interrogating which receptors are involved in the tumorigenic signaling pathways. In uterine cancer, it would be of benefit to see more studies performed on the potential for PGE2 receptors EP1 and EP3 in uterine cancer given that to date most of the investigations have been correlative.

In ovarian cancer, the data presented for the potential role for the lipoxigenase pathway in ovarian cancer tumorigenesis and modulation of the tumor microenvironment supports the need for additional studies including antagonizing the receptors for both leukotrienes and HETEs. Given the potential anti-neoplastic effects on some of the prostaglandins such as the cyclopentenone prostaglandins as well as the association of PGD2 with good prognosis in high grade serous ovarian cancer (Alves et al., 2019) as well as the positive effects of blocking PGE2 EP4 in modulating the tumor microenvironment (Hennequart et al., 2017), additional mechanistic studies exploring modulation of COX signaling downstream of the COX enzymes as a monotherapy and in combination with additional therapeutic interventions is warranted.

Overall there is a theme that inhibition of COX pathways leads to potentiation of chemotherapeutic treatment in multiple gynecological malignancies including ovarian (Li et al., 2012a; Li et al., 2013), uterine (Hasegawa et al., 2013; Reader et al., 2019) and vulvar (Kim et al., 2009a). Additional studies are warranted to explore the role of and the mechanism behind adding inhibition of prostaglandin receptors to chemotherapy and other treatment modalities in order to conserve potential anti-neoplastic eicosanoid activities for development of novel treatments for gynecologic malignancies.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PS, DR, GR, and JR contributed written sections and figures. MC and AF contributed edits. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

The work presented has been supported by Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Medicine (JR, GR, DR), the Kaleidoscope of Hope Ovarian Cancer Foundation (JR), the Foundation for Women’s Cancer Research (DR), Maryland Department of Health’s Cigarette Restitution Fund Program (AF, JR), Baltimore Veterans Affairs Administration (AF) the STAR-PREP program of the National Institute of General Medical Sciences at the University of Maryland School of Medicine (MMC).

REFERENCES

Abera, A. B., Sales, K. J., Catalano, R. D., Katz, A. A., and Jabbour, H. N. (2010). EP2 receptor mediated cAMP release is augmented by PGF 2 alpha activation of the FP receptor via the calcium-calmodulin pathway. Cell. Signal. 22, 71–79. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2009.09.012

Adelye, A., Katz, A. A., and Sales, K. J. (2014). The regulation of inflammatory pathways and infectious disease of the cervix by seminal fluid. Available at: https://www.hindawi.com/journals/pti/2014/748740/ (Accessed January 8, 2020).

Adlai Nortye Biopharma CO, Ltd NCT03152370: Preoperative Radiotherapy and E7046 in Rectum Cancer (PRAER 1). Available at: www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT3152370 (Accessed November 23, 2018).

Ahn, J.-H., Lee, K.-T., Choi, Y. S., and Choi, J.-H. (2018). Iloprost, a prostacyclin analog, inhibits the invasion of ovarian cancer cells by downregulating matrix metallopeptidase-2 (MMP-2) through the IP-dependent pathway.

Almeida, V. H., dos, S. I., Almendra, L. R., Rondon, A. M. R., Tilli, T. M., de, A. C., et al. (2018). Positive crosstalk between EGFR and the TF-PAR2 pathway.
mediates resistance to cisplatin and poor survival in cervical cancer. Oncotarget 9, 35059–36069. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.25748

Álvarez-Ercilla, D., Vento-Tormo, R., Sieweke, M., and Ballestar, E. (2015). Epigenetic control of myeloid cell differentiation, identity and function. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 15, 7–17. doi: 10.1038/nri3777

Alves, M. R., Do Amaral, N. S., Marchi, F. A., Silva, F.II, Da Costa, A. A. B. A., Carvalho, K. C., et al. (2019). Prostaglandin D2 expression is prognostic in high-grade serous ovarian cancer. Oncol. Rep. 41, 2254–2264. doi: 10.3892/or.2019.6984

As-Sanie, S., Black, R., Giudice, L. C., Gray Valbrun, T., Gupta, J., Jones, B., et al. (2008). Functional analysis of PGE2 pathway members EP4 and EP4 in ovarian cancer. [abstract]. In: Proceedings of the AACR Conference: Addressing Critical Questions in Ovarian Cancer Research and Treatment; Oct 1-4, 2017. (Pittsburgh, PA: AACR), Clinic. Cancer Res. 2018, 24 (15_Suppl:Abstract nr A22).

Choi, J.-M., and Bothwell, A. L. M. (2012). The Nuclear Receptor PPARs as important regulators of T–cell functions and autoimmune diseases. Mol. Cells 33, 217–222. doi: 10.1007/s10051-012-2297-y

Colvin, E. K. (2014). Tumor-associated macrophages contribute to tumor progression in ovarian cancer. Front. Oncol. 4, 137. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2014.00137

Contursi, A., Sacco, A., Grande, R., Dovizio, M., and Patrignani, P. (2017). Platelets as crucial partners for tumor metastasis: from mechanistic aspects to pharmacological targeting. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 74, 3491–3507. doi: 10.1007/s00018-017-2536-7

Creutzberg, C. L., and Fleming, G. F. (2016). “Chapter 59 - Endometrial Cancer,” in Clinical Radiation Oncology, 8th ed. Eds. L. L. Gunderson and J. E. Tepper (Philadelphia: Elsevier), 1203–1229.e7. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-323-24098-6.00059-9

Cui, L., Zhao, Y., Pan, Y., Zheng, X., Shao, D., Jia, Y., et al. (2017). Chemotherapy induces ovarian cancer cell repopulation through the caspase 3-mediated arachidonic acid metabolic pathway. Oncol. Targets Ther. 10, 5817–5826. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S104556

Cummins, M., Massey, K. A., Mappa, G., Wilkinson, N., Hutson, R., Munot, S., et al. (2019). Integrated eicosanoid lipidomics and gene expression reveal decreased prostaglandin catabolism and increased 5-lipoxigenase expression in aggressive subtypes of endometrial cancer. J. Pathol. 247, 21–34. doi: 10.1002/path.5160

Daikoku, T., Wang, D., Tranguch, S., Morrow, J. D., Orsulic, S., Dubois, R. N., et al. (2005). Cyclooxygenase-1 is a potential target for prevention and treatment of ovarian epithelial cancer. Cancer Res. 65, 3735–3744. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-3814

Daikoku, T., Tranguch, S., Trofimova, I. N., Dinulescu, D. M., Jacks, T., Nikitin, A. Y., et al. (2006). Cyclooxygenase-1 Is Overexpressed in Multiple Genetically Engineered Mouse Models of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. Cancer Res. 66, 2527–2531. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-4063

Daikoku, T., Tranguch, S., Chakrabarty, A., Wang, D., Khabele, D., Orsulic, S., et al. (2007). Extracellular Signal-Regulated Kinase Is a Target of Cyclooxygenase-1-Proxoxime Proilerator-Activated Receptor-8 Signaling in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. Cancer Res. 67, 5285–5292. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-0828

Daikoku, T., Hirota, Y., Tranguch, S., Joshi, A. R., DeMayo, F. J., Lydon, J. P., et al. (2008). Conditional loss of uterine Pten unloading and rapidly induces endometrial cancer in mice. Cancer Res. 68, 5619–5627. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-1274

Daikoku, T., Terakawa, J., Hossain, M. M., Yoshie, M., Cappelletti, M., Yang, P., et al. (2019). Mammanal target of rapamycin complex 1 and cyclooxygenase 2 pathways cooperatively exacerbate endometrial cancer. Am. J. Pathol. 184, 2390–2402. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2014.05.023

De Nola, R., Menga, A., Castagna, A., Loizzi, V., Ranieri, G., Cicinelli, E., et al. (2019). The Crowded Crossstalk between Cancer Cells and Stromal Microenvironment in Gynecological Malignancies: Biological Pathways and Therapeutic Implication. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 20, doi: 10.3390/ijms20102401
Grannen, A., Hanke, S., Boehner, F. D., Presek, P., and Liebmann, C. (2000). Protein-tyrosine-phosphatase-mediated epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor transactivation and EGF receptor-independent stimulation of mitogen-activated protein kinase by Bradykinin in A431 cells. Biochem. J. 347, 441–447. doi: 10.1042/0264-6021:3470441

Guo, M., Roman, R. J., Fenstermacher, J. D., Brown, S. L., Falck, J. R., Arbab, A. S., et al. (2006). 9L Gliosarcoma cell proliferation and tumor growth in rats are suppressed by N-Hydroxy-N‘-(4-buty1-2-methylphenol) Formamidine (HET0016), a selective inhibitor of CYP4A. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 317, 97–108. doi: 10.1124/jpet.105.097782

Guo, A. M., Arbab, A. S., Falck, J. R., Chen, P., Edwards, P. A., Roman, R. J., et al. (2007). Activation of vascular Endothelial growth factor through reactive Oxygen species mediates 20-Hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid-induced endothelial cell proliferation. J. Pharmacol Exp. Ther. 321, 18–27. doi: 10.1124/jpet.106.115360

Guo, A. M., Liu, X., Al-Wahab, Z., Maddipati, K. R., Ali-Fehmi, R., Sicilì, A. G., et al. (2011). Role of 12-lipoxygenase in regulation of ovarian cancer cell proliferation and survival. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 68, 1273–1283. doi: 10.1007/s00280-011-1159-y

Gupta, R. A., Tejada, L. V., Tong, B. J., Das, S. K., Morrow, J. D., Dey, S. K., et al. (2005). Cyclooxygenase-1 is overexpressed and promotes angiogenic growth factor production in ovarian cancer. Available at: http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/65/5/906/full (Accessed May 23, 2015).

Hada, M., Edin, P., Hartig, P., Lib, F. B., Wenzelsten, N., Zeldin, D. C., et al. (2019). Prediagnostic serum levels of fatty acid metabolites and risk of ovarian cancer in the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian (PLCO) cancer screening trial. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 28, 189–197. doi: 10.1155/2010-11555 EP1-18-0392

Harizi, H., Corcuff, J.-B., and Gualde, N. (2008). Arachidonic-acid-derived eicosanoids: roles in biology and immunopathology. Trends Mol. Med. 14, 461–469. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2008.08.005

Hassegawa, K., Ishikawa, K., Kawai, S., Torii, Y., Kawamura, K., Kato, R., et al. (2013). Overcoming paclitaxel resistance in uterine endometrial cancer using a COX-2 inhibitor. Oncol. Rep. 30, 2937–2944. doi: 10.3892/or.2013.2790

He, Y., Zhang, M., Wu, X., Sun, X., Xu, T., He, Q., et al. (2013). High MUC2 expression in ovarian cancer is inversely associated with the M1/M2 ratio of tumor-associated Macrophages and patient survival time. PLoS One 8, 11. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0079769

Heinonen, P. K., and Metsä-Ketelä, T. (1988). Prostaglandins and cyclic nucleotides in malignant and benign ovarian tumors. Med. Oncol. Tumor Pharmacother. 5, 11–17. doi: 10.1007/BF03003177

Hennequart, M., Pilotte, L., Cane, S., Hoffmann, D., Stroobant, V., Plaen, E. D., et al. (2013). Constitutive IDO1 expression in human tumors is driven by Cyclooxygenase-2 and mediates intrinsic immune resistance. Cancer Immunol. Res. 5, 695–709. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-16-0400

Hijnen, F., Molijn, A., Eckhardt, L., Massuger, L. F., Quint, W., Bult, P., et al. (2018). Vulvar cancer: Two pathways with different localization and prognosis. Gynecol. Oncol. 149, 310–317. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2018.03.003

Holt, D. M., Ma, X., Kundu, N., Collin, P. D., and Fulton, A. M. (2012). Modulation of host natural killer cell functions in breast cancer via prostaglandin E2 receptors EP2 and EP4. J. Immunother. 35, 179–188. doi: 10.1097/01.jim.0000413283.08286.6f

Hoxha, M., and Zappacosta, B. (2020). CYP-derived eicosanoids: implications for rheumatoid arthritis. Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediators 146:106405. doi: 10.1016/j.prostaglandins.2019.106405

Hsu, H.-H., Lin, Y.-M., Chen, C.-Y., Shibu, M. A., Li, S.-Y., Chang, S.-H., et al. (2017). Prostaglandin E2-induced COX-2 expressions via EP2 and EP4 signaling pathways in human LoVo colon cancer cells. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 18, 2453. doi: 10.3390/ijms18061132

Jabbour, H. N., Sales, K. J., Boddy, S. C., Anderson, R. A., and Williams, A. R. (2006). Analysis of cyclooxygenase-2 expression in different sites of endometriosis and correlation with clinico-pathological parameters. Hum. Reprod. 19, 393–397. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deh054
Park, S.-K., Herrnreiter, A., Peng, L., Hu, C., Zhang, C., Lu, Y., Man, S., and Ma, L. (2019). Anti-cancer activity of Conyza blinii saponin against cervical carcinoma through MAPK/TGF-

Pidgeon, G. P., Lysaght, J., Krishnamoorthy, S., Reynolds, J. V., O'Bryan, K., Nie, D., et al. (2007). Lipoxigenase metabolism: roles in tumor progression and survival. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 26, 503–524. doi: 10.1007/s10555-007-9098-3

Powell, W. S., and Rokach, J. (2015). Biosynthesis, biological effects, and receptors of hydroxycisatetraenoic acids (HETEs) and oxocisatetraenoic acids (oxo-

EETs) derived from arachidonic acid. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1851, 340–355. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2014.10.008

Prahm, K. P., Karlsen, M. A., Høgdall, E., Scheller, N. M., Lundvall, N., Nedergaard, L., et al. (2015). The prognostic value of dividing epithelial ovarian cancer into type I and type II tumors based on pathologic characteristics. Gynecol. Oncol. 136, 205–211. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2014.12.029

Preston, C. C., Goode, E. L., Hartmann, L. C., Kalli, K. R., and Knutson, K. L. (2011). Immunity and immune suppression in human ovarian cancer. Immunotherapy 3, 539–556. doi: 10.2217/imi.11.20

Punnonen, R., Seppälä, E., Punnonen, K., and Heinonen, P. K. (1986). Fatty acid composition and arachidonic acid metabolites in ascitic fluid of patients with ovarian cancer. Prostaglandins Leukot. Med. 22, 153–158. doi: 10.1016/0262-1746(86)90084-3

Qu, X., Cheng, J.-C., Chang, H.-M., and Leung, P. C. K. (2014). COX2 and PGE2 mediate EGF-induced E-cadherin-independent human ovarian cancer cell invasion. Endocrine-Related Cancer 21, 533–543. doi: 10.1530/ERC-13-0450

Rand, A. A., Barnych, B., Morisseau, C., Cajka, T., Lee, K. S. S., Panigrahy, D., et al. (2017). Cyclooxygenase-derived proangiogenic metabolites of eicosapentaenoic acids. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114, 4370–4375. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1616931114

Rand, A., Rajamani, A., Kodani, S., Harris, T., Schlatt, L., Barnych, B., et al. (2019). Eicosapentaenoic acid (EET)-stimulated angiogenesis is mediated by epoxy eicosapentaenoic acids (EETs) formed from COX-2. J. Lipid Res 60 (12), 1996–2005. doi: 10.1194/jlr.M094219

Rask, K., Zhu, Y., Wang, W., Hedlin, L., and Sundfeldt, K. (2006). Ovarian epithelial cancer: a role for PGE2-synthesis and signalling in malignant transformation and progression. Mol. Cancer 5, 62. doi: 10.1016/j.molcan.2005.12.002

Reader, J., Holt, D., and Fulton, A. (2011). Prostaglandin E2 EP receptors as therapeutic targets in breast cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 30, 449–463. doi: 10.1007/s10555-011-9303-2

Reed, J. C., Staats, P., Goloubeva, O., Jain, N., Roque, D. M., Matheny, M. E., et al. (2016). Abstract 5169: Functional studies of the prostaglandin E2 receptor EP4 in ovarian cancer. Cancer Res. 76, 5169–5169. doi: 10.1158/1071-4262.AR Cas.16158-7445.AM2016-5169

Reed, J., Harper, A. K., Legesse, T., Staats, P. N., Goloubeva, O., Rao, G. G., et al. (2019). EP4 and Class III β-Tubulin expression in uterine smooth muscle tumors: implications for prognosis and treatment. Cancers (Basel) 11. doi: 10.3390/cancers11101590

Rees, M. C., and Turnbull, A. C. (1985). Leiomyomas release prostaglandins. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 231, 446. doi: 10.1124/jpet.231.1.446

Reineit, S., Finkenriegel, A., Adhikary, T., Rohralter, V., Schumann, T., Schober, Y., et al. (2016). A transcriptome-based global map of signaling pathways in the ovarian cancer microenvironment associated with clinical outcome. Genome Biol. 17, 108. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-1956-6

Rodrigue-Ureva, J., Catalá-Moll, F., Obermajer, N., Alvarez-Erriko, D., Ramirez, R. N., Company, C., et al. (2017). Prostaglandin E2 leads to the acquisition of DNM3A-dependent tolerogenic functions in human Myeloid-derived suppressor cells. Cell Rep. 21, 154–167. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.09.018

Sabry, M., and Al-Hendy, A. (2012). Medical treatment of Uterine Leiomyoma. Reprod. Sci. 19, 339–353. doi: 10.1177/1937319111432867

Saldizar, J. S., Lopez, D., Feldman, R. A., Tharapapp-Jacob, R., de la Rosa, A., Terreros, D., et al. (2007). COX-2 overexpression as a biomarker of early cervical carcinogenesis: a pilot study. Gynecol. Oncol. 107, S155–S162. doi: 10.1016/ j.ygyno.2007.07.023

Sales, K. J., and Jabbour, H. N. (2004a). Prostaglandin E2 mediates phosphorylation and down-regulation of the tuberous sclerosis-2 tumor suppressor (tuberin) in human endometrial adenocarcinoma cells via the Akt signaling pathway. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 89, 6112–6118. doi: 10.1210/jc.2004-0892

Sales, K. J., Maudsley, S., and Jabbour, H. N. (2004b). Elevated prostaglandin EP2 receptor in endometrial adenocarcinoma cells promotes vascular endothelial
growth factor expression via cyclic 3',5'-adenosine monophosphate-mediated transactivation of the epidermal growth factor receptor and extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 signaling pathways. Mol. Endocrinol. 18, 1533–1545. doi: 10.1210/me.2004-0022

Sales, K. J., List, T., Boddy, S. C., Williams, A. R. W., Anderson, R. A., Naor, Z., et al. (2005). A novel angiogenic role for prostaglandin F2alpha-FP receptor interaction in human endometrial adenocarcinomas. Cancer Res. 65, 7707–7716. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-1010

Sales, K. J., Boddy, S. C., Williams, A. R. W., Anderson, R. A., and Jabbour, H. N. (2007). F-prostanoid receptor regulation of fibroblast growth factor 2 signaling in endometrial adenocarcinoma cells. Endocrinoilogy 148, 3635–3644. doi: 10.1210/en.2006-1517

Sales, K. J., Boddy, S. C., and Jabbour, H. N. (2008a). F-prostanoid receptor alters adhesion, morphology and migration of endometrial adenocarcinoma cells. Oncogene 27, 2466–2477. doi: 10.1038/sj/onc.1212883

Sales, K. J., Grant, V., and Jabbour, H. N. (2008b). Prostaglandin E2 and F2alpha activate the FP receptor and up-regulate cyclooxygenase-2 expression via the cyclic AMP response element. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 285, 51–61. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2008.01.016

Sales, K. J., Maldonado-Pérez, D., Grant, V., Catalano, R. D., Wilson, M. R., Brown, P., et al. (2009). Prostaglandin F2t2-F-prostanoid receptor regulates CXCL8 expression in endometrial adenocarcinoma cells via the calcium-calcineurin–NFAT pathway. Biochem. Biophys. Acta (BBA) - Mol. Cell Res. 1793, 1917–1928. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2009.09.018

Santayana, C., Sun, W., Yang, P., Dick-Biascoechea, M., Johnson, H. W., Fan, C., et al. (2018). EP4 Receptor Expression in Pelvic Organ Prolapse and Radiation-Induced Vaginal Stenosis: A Novel Therapeutic Target. American Urogynecologic Society 39th Annual Scientific Meeting, October 9-13, 2018.

(San Francisco) Female Pelvic Medicine & Reconstructive Surgery: 9/10 2018) 24

Smith et al. Eicosanoids in Gynecological Malignancies

Smith et al. Eicosanoids in Gynecological Malignancies

Smith et al. Eicosanoids in Gynecological Malignancies

Smith et al. Eicosanoids in Gynecological Malignancies

Smith et al. Eicosanoids in Gynecological Malignancies

Smith et al. Eicosanoids in Gynecological Malignancies

Smith et al. Eicosanoids in Gynecological Malignancies

Spinella, F., Rosano, L., Di Castro, V., Natali, P. G., and Bagnato, A. (2004c). Endothelin-1 stimulates cyclooxygenase-2 expression in ovarian cancer cells through multiple signaling pathways: evidence for involvement of transactivation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 44 Suppl 1, S140–S143. doi: 10.1007/00016625.12229.0d

Straus, D. S., and Glass, C. K. (2001). Cyclopentenone prostaglandins: new insights on biological activities and cellular targets. Med. Res. Rev. 21, 185–210. doi: 10.1002/med.10016

Sun, Y., Yao, Z., Zhao, Z., Xiao, H., Xia, M., Zhu, X., et al. (2018). Natural killer cells inhibit metastasis of ovarian carcinoma cells and show therapeutic effects in a murine model of ovarian cancer. Exp. Ther. Med. 16, 1071–1078. doi: 10.3892/etm.2018.6342

Surowiak, P., Materna, V., Denkert, C., Kaplenko, L., Spaczyński, M., Dietel, M., et al. (2006). Significance of cyclooxygenase 2 and MDRI/P-glycoprotein coexpression in ovarian cancers. Cancer Lett. 235, 272–280. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2005.04.035

Tae, I. H., Park, E. Y., Dey, P., Son, J. Y., Lee, S.-Y., Jung, I. H., et al. (2018). Novel SIRT1 inhibitor 15-deoxy-D12,14-prostaglandin J2 and its derivatives exhibit anticancer activity through apoptotic or autophagic cell death pathways in SKOV3 cells. Int. J. Oncol. 53, 2518–2530. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2018.4561

Taek, S. L., Yong, T. J., Kim, J.-W., Won, J. K., Park, N., Park, I., et al. (2007). Increased cyclooxygenase-2 expression associated with inflammatory cellular infiltration in elderly patients with vulvar cancer. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy 1095, 143–153. doi: 10.1196/annals.1397.018

Tamura, M., Sebastian, S., Yang, S., Gurates, B., Kerr, F., Sasano, H., et al. (2002). Up-regulation of cyclooxygenase-2 expression and prostaglandin synthesis in endometrial stromal cells by malignant endometrial epithelial cells. A paracrine effect mediated by prostaglandin E2 and nuclear factor-kappa B. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 26208–26216. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M201347200

Tennis, M. A., Vanscoyk, M., Keith, R. L., and Winn, R. A. (2010). The role of prostacyclin in lung cancer. Trans. Resp. 155, 57–61. doi: 10.1613/j.trsl.2009.06.010

Tong, B. J., Tan, J., Tajeda, L., Das, S. K., Chapman, J. A., DuBois, R. N., et al. (2000). Heightened expression of Cyclooxygenase-2 and Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-β in human Endometrial Adenocarcinoma. Neoplasia 2, 483–490. doi: 10.1089/neo.79.00119

Torré, L. A., Trabert, B., DeSantis, C. E., Miller, K. D., Samimi, G., Runowicz, C. D., et al. (2018). Ovarian cancer statistics 2018. CA: A Cancer J. Clin. 68, 284–296. doi: 10.3322/caac.21456

Uddin, S., Ahmed, M., Hussain, A., Assad, L., Al-Dayed, F., Bavi, P., et al. (2010). Cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition inhibits PI3K/AKT kinase activity in epithelial ovarian cancer. Int. J. Cancer 126, 382–394. doi: 10.1002/ijc.24757

Umanatheswaran, S., Dasari, S. K., Yang, P., Lutgendorf, S. K., and Sood, A. K. (2016). Stress, inflammation, and eicosanoids: an emerging perspective. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 35, 203–211. doi: 10.1007/s10555-014-9741-1

Uotila, P. J., Erkola, R., and Klemi, P. J. (2002). The expression of cyclooxygenase-1 and -2 in proliferative endometrium and endometrial adenocarcinoma. Ann. Med. 34, 428–433. doi: 10.1080/078538902321012379

Wallace, A. E., Sales, K. J., Catalano, R. D., Anderson, R. A., Williams, A. R. W., Wilson, M. R., et al. (2009). Prostaglandin F2alpha-F-prostanoid receptor signaling promotes neutral therophil chemotaxis via chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1 in endometrial adenocarcinoma. Cancer Res. 69, 5726–5733. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-0390

Wallace, A. E., Gibson, D. A., Saunders, P. T. K., and Jabbour, H. N. (2010). Inflammatory events in endometrial adenocarcinoma. J. Endocrinol. 206, 141–157. doi: 10.1677/JOE-10-0072

Wang, D., and DuBois, R. N. (2010). Eicosanoids and cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 10, 181–193. doi: 10.1038/nrc2809

Wang, W., Zhang, J., and Zhang, G. (2019). Cytochrome P450 monooxygenase-mediated eicosanoid pathway: a potential mechanistic linkage between dietary fatty acid consumption and colon cancer risk. Food Sci. Hum. Wellness 8, 337–345. doi: 10.1016/j.fshw.2019.11.002

Wen, Z., Liu, H., Li, M., Li, J., Gao, W., Shao, Q., et al. (2015). Increased metabolites of lipoxygenase from hypoxic ovarian cancer cells promote tumor-associated macrophage infiltration. Oncogene 34, 1241–1252. doi: 10.1038/onc.2014.85

Wilson, A. J., Fadare, O., Beeghly-Faiad, A., Som, D.-S., Liu, Q., Zhao, S., et al. (2015). Aberrant over-expression of COX-1 intersects multiple pro-
tumorigenic pathways in high-grade serous ovarian cancer. *Oncotarget* 6, 21353–21368. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.3860

Wong, J. L., Obermajer, N., Odunsi, K., Edwards, R. P., and Kalinski, P. (2016). Synergistic COX2 induction by IFNγ and TNFα self-limits Type-1 immunity in the human tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 4, 303–311. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-15-0157

Xu, S., Zhou, W., Ge, J., and Zhang, Z. (2018). Prostaglandin E2 receptor EP4 is involved in the cell growth and invasion of prostate cancer via the cAMP–PKA/PI3K–Akt signaling pathway. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 17, 4702–4712. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2018.8415

Ylikorkala, O., Kauppila, A., and Viinikka, L. (1983). Prostacyclin and thromboxane in ovarian cancer: effect of cytostatics and prostaglandin synthesis inhibitors. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 16, 340–345. doi: 10.1016/0090-8258(83)90160-9

Zarcone, R., Mainini, G., Carfora, E., and Cardone, A. (1997). Current etiopathogenetic views in vulvar cancer. *Panminerva Med.* 39, 30–34.

Zhang, J. Y., Prakash, C., Yamashita, K., and Blair, I. A. (1992). Regiospecific and enantioselective metabolism of 8,9-epoxyeicosatrienoic acid by cyclooxygenase. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 183, 138–143. doi: 10.1016/0006-291X(92)91619-2

Zhang, X., Yan, K., Deng, L., Liang, J., Liang, H., Feng, D., et al. (2019). Cyclooxygenase 2 promotes proliferation and invasion in ovarian cancer cells via the PGE2/NF-κB pathway. *Cell Transplant.* 28, 15–13S. doi: 10.1177/0963689719890597

Zhao, Y., Cui, L., Pan, Y., Shao, D., Zheng, X., Zhang, F., et al. (2017). Berberine inhibits the chemotherapy-induced repopulation by suppressing the arachidonic acid metabolic pathway and phosphorylation of FAK in ovarian cancer. *Cell Prolif.* 50, e12393. doi: 10.1111/cpr.12393

Zhu, J., Trilisch, F., Mayr, D., Kuhn, C., Rahmeh, M., Hofmann, S., et al. (2017). Prostaglandin receptor EP3 regulates cell proliferation and migration with impact on survival of endometrial cancer patients. *Oncotarget* 9, 982–994. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.23140

Zhu, J., Mayr, D., Kuhn, C., Mahner, S., Jeschke, U., and von Schönfeldt, V. (2018). Prostaglandin E2 receptor EP1 in healthy and diseased human endometrium. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* 149, 153–160. doi: 10.1007/s00418-017-1616-y

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Smith, Roque, Ching, Fulton, Rao and Reader. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.