Galectin-3 Overrides PTRF/Cavin-1 Reduction of PC3 Prostate Cancer Cell Migration
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Abstract

Expression of Caveolin-1 (Cav1), a key component of cell surface caveolae, is elevated in prostate cancer (PCa) and associated with PCa metastasis and a poor prognosis for PCa patients. Polymerase I and Transcript Release Factor (PTRF)/cavin-1 is a cytoplasmic protein required for Cav1-dependent formation of caveolae. Expression of PTRF reduces the motility of PC3 cells, a metastatic prostate cancer cell line that endogenously expresses abundant Cav1 but no PTRF and no caveolae, suggesting a role for non-caveolar Cav1 domains, or Cav1 scaffolds, in PCa cell migration. Tyrosine phosphorylated Cav1 (pCav1) functions in concert with Galectin-3 (Gal3) and the galectin lattice to stabilize focal adhesion kinase (FAK) within focal adhesions (FAs) and promote cancer cell motility. However, whether PTRF regulation of Cav1 function in PCa cell migration is related to Gal3 expression and functionality has yet to be determined. Here we show that PTRF expression in PC3 cells reduces FAK stabilization in focal adhesions and reduces cell motility without affecting pCav1 levels. Exogenous Gal3 stabilized FAK in focal adhesions of PTRF-expressing cells and restored cell motility of PTRF-expressing PC3 cells in a dose-dependent manner, with an optimal concentration of 2 µg/ml. Exogenous Gal3 stabilized FAK in focal adhesions of Gal3 knockdown PC3 cells but not in Cav1 knockdown PC3 cells. Cav1 knockdown also prevented Gal3 rescue of FA-associated FAK stabilization in PTRF-expressing PC3 cells. Our data support a role for PTRF/cavin-1, through caveolae formation, as an attenuator of the non-caveolar functionality of Cav1 in Gal3-Cav1 signalling and regulation of focal adhesion dynamics and cancer cell migration.

Introduction

Caveolin-1 (Cav1), a member of the caveolin protein family, is a key component of caveolae, the flask-shaped invaginations on the cell surface involved in many cellular processes such as vesicular transport, intracellular signaling and mechanical transduction [1]. Cav1 is involved in regulation of lipid rafts and of multiple cancer-associated processes including cell death and survival, cell migration and invasion, and tumor growth and metastasis [1–4]. Cav1 expression is elevated in metastatic prostate cancer (PCa) cells and Cav1 has been evaluated as a prognostic marker of
aggressive PCa [5–7]. Cav1 has also been found associated with PCa metastasis in mouse and human PCa cell lines [5, 8]. PC3 is a metastatic prostate cancer cell line that expresses abundant levels of tyrosine phosphorylated Cav1 (pCav1) but lacks cell surface caveolae due to the absence of polymerase 1 and transcript release factor (PTRF)/cavin-1, required together with Cav1 for caveolae formation [7, 9–11]. Overexpression of PTRF in PC3 cells decreases cell motility via reduced matrix metalloprotease 9 (MMP9) production [12]. Further studies have shown that PTRF/cavin-1 expression alters the PC3 cell secretome by affecting cholesterol dynamics and the actin cytoskeleton, and attenuates promotion of PCa progression by non-caveolar Cav1 microdomain [13, 14].

Cell migration, a critical element of metastatic disease, is a dynamic and multistep process regulated through spatiotemporal feedback between actomyosin contraction, actin polymerization, and continuous disassembly and formation of adhesions [15–17]. Focal adhesions (FAs) are macromolecular assemblies that link the extracellular matrix and the cytoskeleton and transmit mechanical force and regulatory signals [18, 19]. Focal adhesion kinase (FAK) is the major kinase involved in FA signaling and regulates focal adhesion dynamics through its kinase domain (FRNK) and tyrosine 397 (Y397) autophosphorylation. Reduced FAK Y397 phosphorylation is associated with increased FAK exchange between FAs and cytosol, slower FA disassembly and reduced cell migration [20, 21]. pCav1 increases membrane lipid order in FAs and promotes FAK stabilization within FAs in multiple cancer cell lines including the PC3 PCa cell line, a cell line that expresses no endogenous PTRF and thus no caveolae, suggestive of a role for non-caveolar Cav1 scaffolds [4, 11, 22]. Cav1 is a major substrate of Src kinase and is phosphorylated on tyrosine 14 (Y14) [23–26]. In human breast, colon and prostate cancer cell lines, Src-dependent phosphorylation of Cav1 promotes FAK stabilization in focal adhesions, focal adhesion turnover, RhoA signaling and cell migration and invasion [11]. Galectin-3 (Gal3), a galactose-specific lectin family that preferentially binds cell surface GlcNAc-transferase V (Mgat5)-modified N-glycans, stimulates FAK and PI3K activation, enhances integrin activation and recruitment to fibrillar adhesions, and increases F-actin turnover [27–30]. We have shown that pCav1 and Gal3 act together to stabilize FAK within FAs and promote FA dynamics and cell migration and that coordinate expression of Cav1 and Gal3 distinguish differentiated thyroid cancer from benign [4, 31].

However, it has yet to be determined whether and how expression of PTRF and, thereby, caveolae, impacts the concerted Cav1-Gal3 regulation of FA dynamics and cell migration. We therefore used PC3 PCa cells that lack PTRF and caveolae but express Cav1 and pCav1, to specifically determine the role of PTRF in Cav1-Gal3 regulation of FA dynamics and cancer cell migration.

Results

Expression of PTRF/Cavin-1 decreases PC3 cell migration and disrupts FAK stabilization in focal adhesions

PC3 prostate cancer cells stably expressing PTRF-GFP (PC3-GFP-PTRF) show decreased cell motility compared with PC3 cells stable expressing GFP (PC3-GFP) or non-transfected PC3 cells (Fig 1A), as previously reported [12]. The reduced migration of PC3-PTRF-GFP cells was not associated with an altered number of focal adhesions labeled for FAK (Fig 1B). To determine FAK stability in FAs, PC3 cells were transfected with FAK-GFP alone, FAK-GFP plus mCherry or FAK-GFP plus PTRF-mCherry and subjected to fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) assay. As shown in Fig 1C, the expression of PTRF-mCherry increased the mobile fraction of FAK-GFP in FAs relative to PC3 cells transfected with FAK-GFP alone or FAK-GFP with mCherry. An increased mobile fraction, increased fluorescence recovery of
FAK-GFP relative to the pre-bleach fluorescence intensity, reflects higher exchange of FAK-GFP between the focal adhesion and cytosol hence less stabilization of FAK-GFP within FA. PTRF expression therefore diminished FAK stabilization in FAs, indicative of increased exchange with cytoplasmic FAK and reduced FA disassembly [20, 21].
PTRF expression does not affect PCAV1 levels but additional GAL3 treatment restores FAK stabilization in the FA and cell motility of PTRF-expressing PC3 cells

Tyrosine-phosphorylated Cav1 (pCav1) regulates the migration of multiple cancer cell lines including PC3 [4, 11]. Stable PTRF expression in PC3 cells, however, did not alter Cav1 expression levels or phosphorylation (Fig 2). As Gal3 has been shown to function together with pCav1 to regulate FAK stability in FAs [4, 31], we tested whether exogenous Gal3 could restore FAK stabilization in FAs in PC3-PTRF-GFP cells. Addition of His-tagged Gal3 (Gal3-His) at concentrations from 1–4 μg/ml did not affect FAK stabilization within FAs in PC3 cells. However, in PTRF-expressing PC3 cells, addition of 1.5 or 2 μg/ml Gal3-His significantly restored the stabilization of FAK in FAs, with 2 μg/ml of Gal3-His stabilizing FAK in FAs most significantly (p<0.001); addition of higher (3 or 4 μg/ml) or lower (1 μg/ml) concentrations of Gal3-His failed to restore the FA associated FAK stabilization (Fig 3A). The dose-dependence of the Gal3-His stabilization of FAK in FAs is consistent with the concept of the galectin lattice, in which a critical ratio of Gal3 to glycan substrates enables optimal glycoprotein crosslinking that leads to receptor dynamics and signaling [32, 33]. A Transwell cell migration assay showed that the optimal 2 μg/ml Gal3-His enhanced the migration of all three cell lines (PC3, PC3-GFP and PC3-GFP-PTRF) to the largest extent and to the same level (Fig 3B). 1, 1.5 and 3 μg/ml of Gal3-His had no effect

Fig 2. Expression of PTRF does not affect pCav1 in PC3 cells. Western blot shows expression levels of GFP, GFP-PTRF, Cav1 and pCav1 in PC3 wild-type cells (PC3), PC3 cells stably transfected with GFP (PC3-GFP) and PC3 cells stably transfected with GFP-PTRF (PC3-GFP-PTRF). Western blot band intensity of Cav1 and pCav1 is quantified and normalized to that of β-actin and shows no significant difference of Cav1 expression or phosphorylation between PC3, PC3-GFP and PC3-GFP-PTRF cells. (n>=3; ***: p<0.001.)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126056.g002
on the cell migration of control PC3 and PC3-GFP cells, but elevated PC3-GFP-PTRF cell migration to the level of untreated PC3 and PC3-GFP cells (Fig 3B). 4 μg/ml Gal3 did not significantly impact cell migration of any of the cell lines (Fig 3B). Addition of exogenous Gal3 protein therefore restores the deficient FAK stabilization in FAs and the reduced migration of PTRF-expressing PC3 cells in a dose-dependent manner.

**Fig 3.** Exogenous Gal3 restores FAK stabilization in FAs and cell migration of PTRF-expressing PC3 cells in a dose-dependent manner. (A) FRAP assay of FAK-GFP shows the FA-associated FAK stability in PC3 cells transfected with FAK-GFP alone or FAK-GFP plus PTRF-mCherry and subjected to Gal3-His treatment at the indicated concentrations (1, 1.5, 2, 3, or 4 μg/ml). Reduced FAK stabilization in FAs of PTRF-expressing PC3 cells is restored by Gal3-His in a dose-dependent manner. A bar graph of the FAK-GFP mobile fraction summarized from all experiments and a representative FAK-GFP recovery curve graph from one experiment (showing NT and 2 μg/ml Gal3-His treatment only) are shown. (B) Quantification of PC3, PC3-GFP and PC3-GFP-PTRF cell migration using the transwell assay with no treatment or treated with Gal3-His (1, 1.5, 2, 3 or 4 μg/ml) shows that 2 μg/ml Gal3-His treatment increases the cell migration of all cell lines to a similar extent. Other concentrations of Gal3 increase migration of PC3-GFP-PTRF cells to a lesser extent, but do not affect migration of PC3 or PC3-GFP cells. (NT: non-treated; n=3; *: p<0.05; **: p<0.01; ***: p<0.001; n.s.: not significant.)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126056.g003
GAL3 rescue of FA-associated FAK stabilization is CAV1-dependent

To determine whether endogenous Gal3 stabilizes FAK in FAs of PC3 cells, we depleted Gal3 with siRNA obtaining a consistent 90% reduction in Gal3 levels after 48 hours (Fig 4A). After 24 hours we transfected the cells with FAK-GFP alone or FAK-GFP together with PTRF-mCherry, and treated them or not with 2 µg/ml Gal3-His prior to FRAP analysis of FAK-GFP stability in FAs. As shown in Fig 4B, knockdown of Gal3 (Gal3 KD) reduced FAK stabilization in FAs, to a similar extent as observed for PTRF-expressing PC3 cells, while siCTL had no effect.
on FAK stabilization compared to untransfected cells. Importantly, treatment with Gal3-His rescued FAK stabilization in FAs in both PTRF-expressing and Gal3 knockdown cells (Fig 4B).

We then knocked down Cav1 in PC3 cells by siRNA (siCav1) (Fig 5A) before transfection with either FAK-GFP alone or FAK-GFP plus PTRF-mCherry and treatment with exogenous Gal3-His (2 μg/ml). siCav1 increased the mobile fraction of FAK-GFP in FAs in PC3 but not in PTRF-

Fig 5. Exogenous Gal3 does not restore FAK stabilization in FAs of Cav1 knockdown cells. (A) Western blot shows the efficiency of Cav1 siRNA knockdown. (B) FRAP assay of FAK-GFP shows FA-associated FAK stability of PC3 cells transfected with no siRNA, scramble control siRNA (siCTL) or the siRNA against human Cav1 (siCav1), and subjected to 2 μg/ml Gal3-His treatment. The FAK-GFP intensity recovery curve graphs of one representative experiment and a bar graph of the FAK-GFP mobile fraction summarized from all experiments are shown. (n = 3; ***; p<0.001).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126056.g005
expressing PC3 cells, demonstrating that Cav1 selectively regulates FA dynamics in PC3 cells lacking PTRF (Fig 5B). Gal3-His was unable to promote FAK stabilization in FAs of PC3 or PC3-PTRF cells in which Cav1 was knocked down (Fig 5B). Cav1 is therefore necessary for Gal3-dependent FAK stabilization in FAs of PC3 cells. Concerted regulation of FA dynamics by Cav1 and Gal3 is therefore impacted by expression of PTRF and Cav1 recruitment to caveolae. This suggests that recruitment of Cav1 to caveolae may alter the relationship between non-caveolar Cav1 and Gal3 that is critical to their coordinated regulation of FA dynamics and cancer cell migration.

**Discussion**

The cavin family includes PTRF/cavin-1, SDPR/cavin-2, PRKDCBP/cavin-3 and MURC/cavin-4, which share a conserved N-terminal domain comprised of heptad repeats of hydrophobic amino acids, possibly forming coiled coils, and a following region of several basic amino acids [34]. Expression of the cavin is associated with the expression and stabilization of Cav1 and the formation and function of caveolae [34]. Of the cavins, PTRF/cavin-1 is essential for caveolae formation and loss of PTRF results in loss of caveolae and downregulation of Cav1 protein levels [9, 35]. Cav1 has been ascribed non-caveolar functions [36–38] and stoichiometry between caveolins and cavins must necessarily influence the expression of not only caveolae but also non-caveolar Cav1 domains or Cav1 scaffolds. PC3 cells are an excellent cellular model to study non-caveolar functions of Cav1 as they lack PTRF and caveolae but show elevated levels of Cav1 [7]. Indeed, expression of PTRF in PC3 cells neutralizes the non-caveolar Cav1 microdomains and alters the cell motility, secretion pathways and angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis abilities in PC3 cells [12–14, 39, 40]. Consistently, we show here that PTRF expression in PC3 cells limits Cav1-Gal3 regulation of FAK stabilization in FAs and cell motility.

Gal3 and pCav1 function in concert to promote FA dynamics and cell motility. pCav1 promotes cell polarization and migration and regulates membrane lipid order at FAs [4, 22, 26]. Gal3 binding to cell surface Mgat5-modified N-glycans forms a galectin lattice that stimulates FAK and PI3K activation, and promotes integrin activation and F-actin turnover [27–30]. Gal3 induces the integrin α5β1 activation and FAK (p397FAK) phosphorylation, associated with FAK stabilization in FAs, increased FA signaling and disassembly, and hence cell migration [20, 21, 28]. Furthermore, in cells lacking the galectin lattice due to absence of Mgat5, pCav1 is not sufficient to promote FA-associated FAK stabilization; expression of Mgat5 and the galectin lattice increases cell spreading and induces FAs but requires pCav1 to stabilize FAK within FAs [4]. Consistently, coordinated expression of Cav1 and Gal3 is observed in differentiated thyroid cancer-derived cell lines and siRNA knockdown assays in the same cell lines show that both Cav1 and Gal3 are required to promote FAK stabilization in FAs and cell migration compared to benign thyroid lesion-derived cells [31]. More recent data showing that Gal3 is required for epidermal growth factor (EGF) signaling that induces Cav1 phosphorylation and promotes circular dorsal ruffle (CDR) formation, cell migration and fibronectin fibrillogenesis; these studies led to the suggestion of a Gal3-integrin-pCav1 signalling module that mediates EGF signaling to Rho A [41]. This suggests that outside-in integrin signaling mediates concerted Gal3-pCav1 regulation of FA dynamics, where extracellular Gal3 interacts with and activates integrins which then recruits pCav1 leading to downstream RhoA signaling and cell migration.

Our data shows that PTRF expression in PC3 cells does not alter Cav1 or pCav1 levels, which suggests that PTRF regulates pCav1 function in FA dynamics through Cav1 recruitment to caveolae, reducing availability of functional pCav1 in non-caveolar scaffold domains. Formation of caveolae may regulate the expression and function of non-caveolar Cav1 scaffolds by recruiting pCav1 to caveolae. Cav1 Y14 phosphorylation is dispensable for caveolae formation
but pCav1 has been localized to caveolae [42, 43]. Indeed, it has been shown that preferential expression of Cav1 in non-caveolar Cav1 domains due to an absence of PTRF is associated with advanced PCa and that expression of PTRF/caveolae neutralizes the non-caveolar Cav1 domains to slow down PCa progression [14]. The fact that excess Gal3 can restore pCav1-dependent FA signalling indicates that concerted interaction between Gal3 and pCav1 is critical to their ability to regulate FA signaling and dynamics. Of a range of concentrations from 1–4 μg/ml, 2 μg/ml was optimal to rescue PTRF-expressing cells, highlighting the concentration dependence of Gal3 lattice function. Similarly, Gal3 stimulation of integrin-dependent fibronectin fibrillogenesis is concentration dependent [28]. Integrins are modified by Mgat5 and Gal3 activates α5β1 integrin and recruits it to fibrillar adhesions [28, 44]. pCav1 has been shown to interact with integrins and modulate lipid order in FAs [22, 45–47]. Our data suggests that the relative concentration of Gal3 to non-caveolar pCav1 is a critical regulator of Gal3-pCav1 signaling and that PTRF is a novel regulator of this signaling module. PTRF has been shown to alter exosomal secretion of PC3 cells [13] and whether PTRF disrupts the Gal3-pCav1 module by limiting Gal3 secretion, or by sequestering pCav1 into caveolae and away from non-caveolar Cav1 scaffolds, or even by directly interacting with non-caveolar Cav1 scaffolds, remains to be determined (Fig 6).

Both Cav1 and Gal3 play important roles in PCa progression. Gal3 has been recognized as an important regulator of PCa metastasis [48–50] and several attempts have been performed to target Gal3 in PCa, using either the Gal3-binding cancer-associated Thomsen-Friedenreich glycoantigen (TF-Ag)-mimic lactose-L-leucine or a high-affinity Gal3-binding glycopeptide purified from cod [51, 52]. Cav1 has been evaluated as a prognostic marker of aggressive PCa since the 1990s [5, 6].

More recent data suggests that PTRF neutralizes Cav1 action implicating a critical role for non-caveolar domains in PCa [14]. Our demonstration that Gal3 can reverse the reduced FAK stabilization in FAs and cell motility induced by PTRF identifies Gal3 and pCav1 as critical regulators of the function of non-caveolar domains in PCa cell migration. Coordinate activity of Cav1 and Gal3 may therefore play an important role in PCa metastasis and represent a potential therapeutic target for PCa.

**Materials and Methods**

**Antibodies, plasmids, siRNA an recombinant human Gal3-His**

Bovine serum albumin (BSA), and mouse anti-β-actin antibodies were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Rabbit anti-FAK was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., rabbit anti-pY14Cav1 from Cell Signaling, Inc., and mouse anti-PTRF and rabbit anti-Cav antibodies from BD Transduction Laboratories. HRP-conjugated mouse and rabbit secondary antibodies were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories. Phalloidin and secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa 488, 568, or 647 were purchased from Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific. PTRF-mCherry and mCherry plasmids were generous gifts from Dr. Michelle Hill (The University of Queensland Diamantina Institute, The University of Queensland, Translational Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD, Australia). FAK—GFP plasmid was as described previously [11]. Validated ON-TARGET plus SMARTpool small interfering RNAs (siRNA) for human Cav1, control siRNA (siCONTROL non-targeting siRNA no. 1) and custom siRNA duplexes for Gal3 [53] were purchased from Dharmacon.

Recombinant human Gal3 tagged with 6XHis at C-terminal was generated using the plasmid, pHIS-Parallel2, described previously [54]. This plasmid and protocols were a kind gift from Ludger Johannes (Institut Curie, Paris, France). In order to generate Gal3-His, we used BL21-DE3 bacteria (New England Biolabs). Briefly, overnight culture was re-inoculated (1:5) in fresh LB supplemented with ampicillin and cultured at 37 degree centigrade on 225 rpm
Fig 6. **Summarized working models of affecting Gal3-pCav1 function.** Extracellular Gal3 and non-caveolar pCav1 each stabilizes FAK within FAs dependent on each other. Expression of PTRF disrupts this function through three possible ways: 1) by recruiting pCav1 away from non-caveolar Cav1 scaffolds and into caveolae; 2) by direct interaction with non-caveolar pCav1; 3) by affecting Gal3 secretion and thus extracellular Gal3 concentration. Through which pathway PTRF affects Gal3-pCav1 function on FA dynamics remains to be studied.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126056.g006
shaker till optical density at 600 reached 1 and then induced using 0.4 mM IPTG for 3 h at 30 degree centigrade on a 225 rpm shaker. Recombinant Gal3-His was purified on Talon affinity matrix (Clontech) using the supplied protocol, transferred to 1xPBS prior to quick freezing in liquid N2.

**Cell culture and transfection**

The human PC3 cell line was from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and maintained in complete RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The PC3 cell lines stably expressing GFP or PTRF-GFP (PC3-GFP and PC3-GFP-PTRF) were generous gifts from Dr. Michelle Hill (The University of Queensland Diamantina Institute, Brisbane, Australia) [13]. All cell lines were passaged at least twice after recovery from frozen stocks before initiating experiments and maintained in culture for a maximum of 8 to 10 passages to minimize phenotypic drift.

Plasmid transfection was done 24 h after plating of the cells or siRNA transfection, using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Experiments were performed 24 h post plasmid transfection. In order to knockdown Cav1, cells were cultured in complete medium for 24 h before transfection with specific mouse Cav1 siRNA or control siRNA smartpools (mouse siCav1: L-0058415-00, siCONTROLS: D-001210-01; Dharmacon) using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s protocol. For Gal3 knockdown, we used previously described custom siRNA oligonucleotide sequences and protocol [53]. Briefly, cells were transfected with the specific pool of four custom synthesized mouse Gal3 siRNA oligonucleotides duplexes or control smart pool siRNA (siCONTROLS) using Lipofectamine 2000. Cells were grown for 48 h prior to experimentation.

**Western blotting**

Cell pellets from 80% confluent cultures were washed with cold PBS and resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 0.5% NP-40, 250 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 3 mM EGTA containing freshly added 2 mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF, 1 mM sodium vanadate, 2.5 mM sodium fluoride, and 1 μM leupeptin) for 30 min at 4°C, pelleted at 13,000 rpm at 4°C, and the supernatant collected and stored at -80°C. Equal amounts of proteins were separated on 12% SDS-PAGE, electroblotted onto nitrocellulose (GE Healthcare Life Science), probed with indicated antibodies and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies, and revealed by ECL (Merck Millipore).

**Immunofluorescence labeling**

Cells were fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 15 min at room temperature, rinsed with PBS, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS/CM, blocked with PBS/CM containing 0.2% bovine serum albumin (BSA), and then incubated with primary and fluorescent secondary antibodies in PBS/CM containing 0.2% BSA. After labeling, the coverslips were mounted in CelVol (Celanese, Ltd.), and images acquired with the 100× planapochromat objectives (NA 1.35) of an FV1000 Olympus confocal microscope.

**FRAP measurements**

FRAP was performed on a confocal microscope (FV1000, Olympus) equipped with a 60× planapochromat objective (NA 1.35; oil) and SIM scanner. Cells were plated at low density on FN (10 μg/ml) for 24 h in an 8-well μ-slide chamber (ibidi), transfected with FAK-GFP or FAK-GFP plus mCherry or FAK-GFP plus PTRF-mCherry, and experiments performed 24 h later at 37°C.
siRNA were transfected 48 h before FRAP experiments. Cells were treated with Gal3-His by changing the medium to serum-free medium containing indicated concentration of Gal3-His for 10 min before changing back to bicarbonate-free RPMI medium. For each FRAP analysis, a prebleach frame was acquired followed by a single bleach event using the simultaneous and independent stimulation of the 405 line of the SIM scanner. Fluorescence recovery was followed at 4-s time intervals until the intensity reached a plateau. Fluorescence during recovery was normalized to the prebleach intensity. Intensity ratios in the bleached area were compared before bleaching and after recovery to calculate mobile fractions using Prism 4 (GraphPad). Graphs are representative of a minimum of three independent experiments in which between 10 and 25 focal adhesions were bleached.

Migration assay
For migration assay, cells were trypsinized and counted, and 200,000 cells/well were transferred to uncoated 8-μm cell culture inserts (BD Falcon) in medium containing 2% serum and the assembly placed into 24-well plates containing complete medium. After 16 h, non-migrated cells were removed from the top of the filter with a cotton swab, and migrated cells on the bottom of the filter were fixed with 3% PFA, stained with 5% crystal violet and labeled cells counted. Cell counts were normalized to the PC3 group. Alternatively, 200,000 cells/well were transferred to inserts in serum-free medium with or without 2 μg/ml Gal3-His and the assembly placed into 24-well plates containing complete medium for 14 h before fixation and staining. Cell counts were normalized to the PC3 non-treated group.
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