Hypertrophic scar (HS) is a common skin disorder characterized by excessive extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition. However, it is still unclear how the cellular composition, cell-cell communications, and crucial transcriptionally regulatory network were changed in HS. In the present study, we found that FB-1, which was identified a major type of fibroblast and had the characteristics of myofibroblast, was significantly expanded in HS by integrative analysis of the single-cell and bulk RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data. Moreover, the proportion of KC-2, which might be a differentiated type of keratinocyte (KC), was reduced in HS. To decipher the intercellular signaling, we conducted the cell-cell communication analysis between the cell types, and found the autocrine signaling of HB-1 through COL1A1/2-CD44 and CD99-CD99 and the intercellular contacts between FB-1/FB-5 and KC-2 through COL1A1/COL1A2/COL6A1/COL6A2-SDC4. Almost all the ligands and receptors involved in the autocrine signaling of HB-1 were upregulated in HS by both scRNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq data. In contrast, the receptor of KC-2, SDC4, which could bind to multiple ligands, was downregulated in HS, suggesting that the reduced proportion of KC-2 and apoptotic phenotype of KC-2 might be associated with the downregulation of SDC4. Furthermore, we also investigated the transcriptionally regulatory network involved in HS formation. The integrative analysis of the scRNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq data identified CREB3L1 and TWIST2 as the critical TFs involved in the myofibroblast of HS. In summary, the integrative analysis of the single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) and bulk RNA-seq data greatly improved our understanding of the biological characteristics during the HS formation.

Keywords: hypertrophic scar, extracellular matrix, single-cell RNA sequencing, cell-cell communication, myofibroblast, keratinocyte

INTRODUCTION

Hypertrophic scar (HS) caused by pathologically excessive collagen deposition from skin fibroblasts in the dermis and subcutis are major types of pathological scars that can be regarded as complications to abnormal wound healing (Haverstock, 2001). Unlike keloids, HS does not extend beyond the borders of the original wound, as myofibroblasts, the main effector cell contributing to dermal...
fibrosis, are present in hypertrophic scarring, and α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) is expressed in a nodular formation in the fibroblasts from HS (Lee et al., 2004; Feng et al., 2020). Meanwhile, abnormal keratinocyte differentiation and proliferation, and significantly increased acanthosis can be observed along with hypertrophic scarring (Niessen et al., 2001).

Through animal models, it is found that inflammatory cells, bone marrow-derived fibrocytes, and several peptide-related compounds may serve as essential players in hypertrophic scar development (Satoyoshi, 1966; Song et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). It has been well-recognized that the expressions of keratinocyte-derived interleukin-1 (IL-1), tumor necrosis factor-a (TNF-a), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), transforming growth factor-b (TGF-b) and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) are associated with extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling, and medicines or potential reagents to prevent and treat hypertrophic scars could result in inflammatory inhibition via targeting these molecules (Limandjaja et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020). A previous research has described that fibrocytes, functioning as antigen-presenting cells, may contribute to the upregulation of the inflammatory response, and another study has demonstrated that the TGFβ1/Smad pathway could regulate ECM synthesis via stimulating fibroblasts and inducing fibroblast differentiation into myofibroblasts, thus promoting the formation of hypertrophic scars (Yang et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2012; Shirakami et al., 2020). Also, activation of PI3K/AKT pathway could lead to hypertrophic scar formation and ECM deposition via upregulated expression of Collagen I, Collagen III, α-SMA, and Cleaved caspase-3 in hypertrophic scar fibroblasts (Xiao, 2020; Zhi et al., 2021). In addition, some transcription factors (TFs) such as CRBE3L1 and TWIST2 have been identified as critical regulators in skin diseases (Crespo et al., 2021; Deng et al., 2021). Importantly, the two TFs were also involved in kidney fibrosis (Grunz-Borgmann et al., 2017; Yamamoto et al., 2021). However, few hypertrophic scar animal models could perfectly reflect human skin injuries, and though much effort has been dedicated to the study of abnormal wound healing, the pathogenesis of hypertrophic scarring has not been fully unveiled, and breakthrough development in the therapeutic management for hypertrophic scars is urgently needed, as hypertrophic scarring would lead to considerable morbidity (Wang et al., 2011; Domergue et al., 2015).

Utilization of single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) has helped examine cell variability across tumors and interactions between detected cell types (Kumar et al., 2018), and with the aid of scRNA-seq technologies, exploring fibroblast heterogeneity at a single-cell resolution and cell-cell communication in the context of hypertrophic scarring has now become a reality. Recent study identifies serine proteases as regulators of myofibroblast differentiation using single cell sequencing technology (Vorstandlechner et al., 2020). In the present study, we hope to identify potential cell-cell communications and abnormal transcriptionally regulatory network in hypertrophic scarring.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Collection
The scRNA-seq data of normal and HS samples were collected from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) with accession number GSE156326 (Vorstandlechner et al., 2020). The bulk RNA sequencing data was generated by this study. The five normal and five HS skin tissue samples were collected from Nineth People’s Hospital of Shanghai Jiao Tong University, School of Medicine, which was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of this hospital. The written informed consents were collected from each patient. All samples were stored in −80°C for the following experiments.

RNA Extraction, Library Construction and RNA Sequencing Analysis
RNA extraction and sequencing was performed at the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI), using an Illumina HiSeq 4,000 sequencer (Illumina) following the standard manufacturer’s protocols as described by the previous study (Hillen et al., 2019). The raw data was first preprocessed by excluding the reads with low quality. The clean reads were the mapped to human reference genome (GRCh37/hg19) using Hisat v2.2.1 (Kim et al., 2015). The gene expression quantification was performed by using Stringtie v2.1.4 (Pertea et al., 2015) and R ball gown package (Frazee et al., 2015). The default parameters of Hisat and Stringtie were used in this study. The count table was generated by the python script prepDE.py (https://cb.jhu.edu/software/stringtie/dl/prepDE.py) from Stringtie. The R/Bioconductor DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) package was applied to differential gene expression analysis.

Cell Clustering Analysis
The unique molecular identifiers ( UMIs) count-based scRNA-seq data of six human skin samples from GEO accession GSE156326 were used for the cell clustering analysis, which was implemented in R Seurat package. Cells with less than 200 UMIs were eliminated and features detected in less than three cells were filtered. The multiple datasets were integrated by SCTransform in Seurat package. The top 3,000 highly variable features were selected by FindVariableFeatures. The clusters were found at a resolution of 0.8 by FindClusters, and T-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE) was applied to reduce the dimensionality. The cell-type marker genes were detected by FindAllMarkers function at adjusted p-value < 0.05, minimal percentage difference >0.25, and log2 fold change >0.5. All the marker genes of the cell clusters were collected from the earlier study (Vorstandlechner et al., 2020). This analysis was implemented by R Seurat package (Stuart et al., 2019).

Estimation of Cell Proportion
The cell proportions were estimated using MuSiC(Wang et al., 2019), which used a deconvolution method based on marker genes of cell types and gene expression matrices of both scRNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq to estimate the cell
proportions of bulk RNA-seq data. The count-based expression data of both scRNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq was applied to this analysis.

**Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)**
The GSEA was conducted against KEGG, Reactome (Jassal et al., 2020), WikiPathway, and Gene Ontology (GO-bp). The GSEA was implemented in R clusterProfiler (Yu et al., 2012).

**Cell-Cell Communication Analysis**
The cell-cell communication was predicted by R CellChat (Jin et al., 2021) package. The normalized count and cell types by Seurat were used for this analysis. This analysis was separately conducted on the normal and HS cells.

**Transcriptionally Regulatory Network Analysis**
The transcriptionally regulatory network analysis was performed by R SCENIC package (Aibar et al., 2017). The AUCell values for the transcription factors (TFs) were used for differential analysis (Aibar et al., 2017).

**Statistical Analyses**
All the statistical analyses were performed in R (version 4.1.0). The two-sample and pairwise comparisons were conducted by Wilcoxon rank sum test. The symbols of *, **, and *** represent the statistical significance at 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively.

**RESULTS**

**Single-Cell and Bulk RNA-Seq Reveal the Cellular Diversity and Heterogeneity of Skin Tissues.**
To reveal the cellular diversity and heterogeneity of skin tissues, we collected a single-cell RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) dataset of three normal (NS) and three hypertrophic scar (HS) samples from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (See Materials...
and methods). After excluding the cells with low quality, we identified 18 cell clusters from 15,276 cells by the dimensional reduction and clustering analysis (Figure 1A), and characterized the cell clusters using the marker genes from previous studies (Solé-Boldo et al., 2020; Vorstandlechner et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021). The cell clusters included six clusters of fibroblasts (FB-1/2/3/4/5/6, represented by marker genes: FBLN1, COL1A1, APOE, and APCDD1), three clusters of keratinocytes (KC-1/2/3: KRT1 and KRT14), two clusters of endothelial cells (EC-1/2: THBD and SELE), T cells (TC: PTPRC), smooth muscle cells (SMC: ACTA2 and RGS5), Langerhans cells (LA: AIF1), lymphatic endothelial cells (LEC: PROX1 and PDPN), dendritic cells (DC: HLA−DRB1 and CD1C), macrophage (MP: CD68), and melanocyte (MC: MITF) (Figure 1B), suggesting that the cell types were well characterized by the marker genes.

To reveal the expression patterns of the cell-type marker genes in skin tissues, we also collected five NS and five HS tissue samples for bulk RNA sequencing. As shown in Figure 1C, the representative marker genes were found to be differentially expressed between the NS and HS tissues (adjusted p-value < 0.05 and fold change >1.5). Moreover, about 26.83% of the cell-type marker genes (376/1,401) were observed to be differentially expressed between NS and HS samples. The deregulation of the cell-type marker genes in HS samples indicated that the cell proportions and gene expression patterns were changed in HS.

**Differential Proportion Analysis Reveals Significant Expansion of Fibroblast and Contraction of KC Subpopulations in HS**

We next attempted to identify HS-associated cell lineages or clusters that were significantly expanded or contracted in HS. The FB-1 accounted for the largest number of cells, followed by FB-2, TC, and EC-2 based on the single-cell RNA-seq data (Figure 2A). Furthermore, we also conducted gene set enrichment analysis on the marker genes of those cell types to characterize their functionalities (Supplementary Table S1). Remarkably, the three major cell types, including FB-2, EC-2, and T cell, were characterized by collagen degradation, VEGFA-VEGFR2 Signaling Pathway, and TYROBP Causal Network, respectively. The cell proportions of the three NS and three HS samples could be estimated by the single-cell
FIGURE 3 | The fibroblast subpopulations in the skin samples. (A) The expression specificity of the FB subpopulation specific genes across the FB cell populations. (B) The upregulated pathways in FB-1 cells of HS. (C) The subpopulation specific biological functions across the FB subpopulations.
FIGURE 4 | Biological function of HS-related FB-1 cluster. (A) The clustering of the HS and normal skin (NS)-related FB-1 cells grouped by disease (HS vs NS) and graph-based classification (C1 vs C2), respectively. (B) The differential module score of myofibroblast cells between HS and NS, and C1 and C2. (C) The expression levels of myofibroblast cell marker genes including COL12A1, COL1A1, COL3A1, CTHRC1, and PCSK1N in the HB-1 cells. (D) The differential expression levels of the myofibroblast cell marker genes between HS and NS bulk samples.
RNA-seq data. To improve the reliability of the differential proportion analysis, we also estimated the cell proportions for the ten bulk RNA-seq samples using MuSiC (Wang et al., 2019), a deconvolution method to estimate cell type proportions from bulk RNA sequencing data. The differential proportion analysis revealed that FB-1 and FB-2 were expanded in HS, while the proportion of KC-2 was reduced in HS (Figure 2B). Accordingly, the FB-1 and KC-2 marker genes were enriched in the up- and down-regulated genes in HS by bulk RNA-seq data (Figure 2C), respectively. However, FB-2 marker genes were enriched in neither the upregulated nor the downregulated genes in HS. These results suggested that the changed proportions of these cell types might be closely associated with HS.

**Fibroblast Heterogeneity in Skin Tissues**

As fibroblast clusters were identified as the major cell types in skin tissues by scRNA-seq data, we then investigated their functional differences by comparing their expression profiles. The differential gene expression analysis revealed that FB-1, FB-3, FB-4, and FB-5 had their top-ten specific marker genes, while no marker genes specifically upregulated in FB-2 or FB-6 were identified (Figure 3A). Consistent with the excessive ECM deposition observed in bulk RNA-seq data of HS (Figure 3B), ECM-related pathways, such as extracellular matrix organization, elastic fiber formation, collagen formation, and matrix metalloproteinases, and PI3K-Akt signaling were significantly upregulated in FB-1 (Figure 3C). FB-3 was characterized by the inflammatory pathways, such as photodynamic therapy-induced NF-kB survival signaling, NOD-like receptor signaling pathway, and signaling by interleukins (Figure 3C). The oxidative stress and Wnt signaling were identified as the signature pathway of FB-4 and FB-5 (Figure 3C), respectively. These results indicated that fibroblasts were functionally heterogenous in skin tissues.

As FB-1 was one of the major cell types expanded in HS, we then investigated the biological function of this FB type. Interestingly, the receptor SDC4, which could bind to multiple ligands, were downregulated in HS, suggesting that the reduced proportion of KC-2 in HS might have a myo- broblast phenotype. Moreover, we also investigated the differential expression of the ligands and receptors involved in the signaling transduction of FB-1 had higher expression levels in FB-1, as compared with the other cell types (Figure 6B). In contrast, the receptors involved in the signaling transduction of KC-2 were more specifically expressed in FB-1 and FB-5 (Figure 6B). Moreover, we also investigated the differential expression levels of those ligands and receptors between the HS and NS using the bulk RNA-seq data. Notably, all the ligands and receptors involved in signaling transduction of FB-1 except SELE were found to be upregulated in HS (Figure 6C). Interestingly, the receptor SDC4, which could bind to multiple ligands, were downregulated in HS, suggesting that the reduced proportion of KC-2 and apoptotic phenotype of KC-2 might be associated with the downregulation of SDC4.

**Transcriptionally Regulatory Network Involved in HS Formation**

To infer the transcriptionally regulatory network involved in HS formation, we estimated the transcriptional activities of the
FIGURE 5 | The keratinocyte subpopulations in the skin samples. (A) The expression specificity of the KC subpopulation specific genes across the KC cell populations. (B) The subpopulation specific biological functions across the KC subpopulations. (C) The clustering of the KC-2 cells grouped by disease (HS vs NS). (D) The representative biological functions in KC-2 cells of HS.
FIGURE 6 | The differential cell-cell communications between HS and NS. (A) The upregulated and downregulated signaling in HS. The x- and y-axis represent the cell-cell communications and ligand-receptor pairs, respectively. (B) The expression levels of the ligands and receptors involved in the upregulated or downregulated signaling across the cell types. (C) The differential expression levels of the ligands and receptors between the HS and NS bulk RNA-seq samples.
FIGURE 7 | The transcription factors (TFs) and regulatory network involved in HS. (A) The differential transcriptional activities of the key TFs in FB-1 of HS samples. (B) The differential proportion of FB-1 cells expressing CREB3L1 and differential expression of TWIST2 between the FB1- cells of HS and NS. (C) The differential expression of TFs between the bulk RNA-seq samples of HS and NS. (D,E) Upregulation of TF target genes in the bulk RNA-seq samples of HS by gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA).
transcription factors (TFs) using SCENIC (Aibar et al., 2017). The differential transcriptional activity analysis revealed that the FB-1 cells in HS had higher transcriptional activities of CREB3L1 and TWIST2 than those in NS (Figure 7A). Unfortunately, we did not observe any TFs which showed different activities between HS and NS of KC-2. HS had a higher proportion of the FB-1 cells expressing CREB3L1 than NS as CREB3L1 was lowly expressed in FB-1 (Figure 7B). The FB-1 in HS had a higher expression level of TWIST2 than that in NS (Figure 7B, adjusted p-value < 0.05). The previous study identified JUN as a critical regulator by promoting hypertrophic skin scarring (Griffin et al., 2021). Consistently, we also found that the transcriptional activity of JUN was higher in HS than NS (Supplementary Figure S1).

Furthermore, we also investigated the expression levels of the TFs and target genes in HS and NS samples using bulk RNA-seq data. Consistently, the two TFs, CREB3L1 and TWIST2, were upregulated in HS as compared with NS using the bulk RNA-seq data (Figure 7C, p-value < 0.01). In accordance with the finding using scRNA-seq data, the target genes of CREB3L1 or TWIST2 were also gathered in the upregulated genes in HS (Figures 7D,E, FDR <0.05). Similarly, the target genes of the two TFs were also significantly overlapped with the upregulated genes in the HS samples from a previous study (GEO accession: GSE188952, Supplementary Figure S2), further indicating that the transcriptional activities of the two TFs were upregulated in HS. These results suggested that the two TFs, CREB3L1 and TWIST2, might play key roles in HS formation.

**DISCUSSION**

Hypertrophic scar (HS) is a fibroproliferative skin disorder characterized by excessive extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition. However, it is still unclear how the cellular composition, cell-cell communications, and crucial transcriptionally regulatory network were changed in HS. In the present study, we found that fibroblasts (FB) and keratinocytes (KC) had multiple cell clusters. The FB clusters were characterized by excessive ECM deposition, inflammatory, and proliferative signatures. Particularly, excessive ECM deposition, identified as the signature of FB-1, is associated with myofibroblast (Hinz and Lagares, 2020) and fibrosis (Agarwal et al., 2013). Moreover, the FB-1 was expanded in HS and FB-1 accounted for the largest proportion in the HS samples, suggesting that an increase of myofibroblast proportion in HS might be the major cause of the HS. The myofibroblast was considered as a therapeutic target of HS (Feng et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). The three KC cell types (KC-1/2/3) might be associated with antigen presentation, keratinization, and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) signaling. Remarkably, the proportion of KC-2, which might be a differentiated type of KC, was reduced in HS. The cell senescence or apoptosis-related pathways such as aging, neuron death, and regulation of neuron apoptotic process were upregulated in KC-2 cells of HS, suggesting that the keratinocyte apoptosis might be associated with the reduced proportion of KC-2 in HS. It has been well recognized that keratinocyte proliferation and migration are critical for re-epithelialization during cutaneous wound healing (DiPersio et al., 2016; Pipponen et al., 2020).

To decipher the intercellular signaling, we conducted the cell-cell communication analysis between the cell types, and found the autocrine signaling of HB-1 through COL1A1/2-CD44 and CD99-CD99 and the intercellular contacts between FB-1/FB-5 and KC-2 through COL1A1/COL1A2/CD44-CD44 and COL6A2-SDC4. It has been reported that COL1A1/2 expression promotes the myofibroblast differentiation and fibrosis (Gambini et al., 2018), fibrosis (Kong et al., 2019), and HS formation (Bi et al., 2017). The receptor, CD44, was associated with an abnormal accumulation of extracellular matrix (Messadi and Bertolami, 1993). Moreover, CD44 was also identified as a mediator of myofibroblast differentiation and fibrosis (Woods et al., 2021). CD99, the other key receptor expressed in myofibroblast, was implicated in skin disorders (Kazakov et al., 2005; Belonogov and Ruksa, 2013). However, the intracellular signaling regulated by CD99 was still unclear. In contrast, the receptors involved in the signaling transduction of KC-2 were specifically expressed in KC-2 and KC-3, and the ligands were more specifically expressed in FB-1 and FB-5. Interestingly, the receptor SDC4, which could bind to multiple ligands, was downregulated in HS, suggesting that the reduced proportion of KC-2 and apoptotic phenotype of KC-2 might be associated with the downregulation of SDC4. Particularly, SDC4 could induce the keratinocyte activation (Bizzarro et al., 2019; Pessolano et al., 2019), which played a vital role in wound healing (Zhang et al., 2021). The low expression of SDC4 indicated that the signaling from fibroblasts could not be transduced to keratinocyte.

Furthermore, we also investigated the transcriptionally regulatory network involved in HS formation. The integrative analysis of the scRNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq data identified CREB3L1 and TWIST2 as the critical TFs involved in the myofibroblast of HS. Consistently, CREB3L1 has been identified as one of the critical TFs involved in fibrotic skin diseases by a scRNA-seq study (Deng et al., 2021). The other TF, TWIST2, was relevant to Setleis syndrome (SS), a focal facial dermal dysplasia presenting with bilateral temporal skin lesions (Crespo et al., 2021). Moreover, TWIST1, an important paralog of TWIST2, was involved in the fibrogenesis of keloid fibroblasts, and might serve as a therapeutic target of keloid (Liu et al., 2021), suggesting that TWIST2 might also be considered as a promising therapeutic target in HS.

Even though cell-cell communications and key TFs involved in HS have been predicted based on the integrative analysis, there is still a lack of enough evidence to link the relationship between the transcriptionally regulatory network of CREB3L1 or TWIST2 and the intercellular signaling like COL1A1/2-CD44 and CD99-CD99, which will be clarified by our future study.

In summary, the present study identified that an increase of myofibroblast proportion in HS, abnormal ligand-receptor interaction, and two key TFs might be promising therapeutic targets for HS. The integrative analysis of the scRNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq data not only identified key cell types altered in HS, but also shed light on the potential cell-cell communications and intracellular TF regulatory network involved in HS, which greatly
improved our understanding of the biological characteristics during the HS formation.
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