Dysfunctional GABAergic inhibition in the prefrontal cortex leading to "psychotic" hyperactivation
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Abstract
Background: The GABAergic system in the brain seems to be dysfunctional in various psychiatric disorders. Many studies have suggested so far that, in schizophrenia patients, GABAergic inhibition is selectively but consistently reduced in the prefrontal cortex (PFC).

Results: This study used a computational model of the PFC to investigate the dynamics of the PFC circuit with and without chandelier cells and other GABAergic interneurons. The inhibition by GABAergic interneurons other than chandelier cells effectively regulated the PFC activity with rather low or modest levels of dopaminergic neurotransmission. This activity of the PFC is associated with normal cognitive functions and has an inverted-U shaped profile of dopaminergic modulation. In contrast, the chandelier cell-type inhibition affected only the PFC circuit dynamics in hyperdopaminergic conditions. Reduction of chandelier cell-type inhibition resulted in bistable dynamics of the PFC circuit, in which the upper stable state is associated with a hyperactive mode. When both types of inhibition were reduced, this hyperactive mode and the conventional inverted-U mode merged.

Conclusion: The results of our simulation suggest that, in schizophrenia, a reduction of GABAergic inhibition increases vulnerability to psychosis by (i) producing the hyperactive mode of the PFC with hyperdopaminergic neurotransmission by dysfunctional chandelier cells and (ii) increasing the probability of the transition to the hyperactive mode from the conventional inverted-U mode by dysfunctional GABAergic interneurons.

Background
A number of studies have suggested alterations of the gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) system in the brains of patients with schizophrenia (for reviews: [1-5]). The alteration of GABAergic neurotransmission in the cortex seems to be selective for subpopulations of the interneurons [4-7]. Postmortem studies by Benes and colleagues reported decreased densities of interneurons in layer II of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and layers II-IV of the cingulate cortex of patients with schizophrenia [8,9]. Possibly owing to its compensation, GABA_A receptors were observed to be upregulated in layers II, III, V and VI in the PFC and layers II and III in the cingulate cortex [10,11]. Decreased densities of the interneurons in the PFC and the cingulate cortex might be restricted to the interneurons expressing calbindin; whether the densities of calretinin (CR)- or parvalbumin (PV)-interneurons are reduced or not is still uncertain [6,12-14].

Analyses of postmortem brains of patients with schizophrenia have shown consistent reduction of reelin, PV, and GAD67, the 67-kilodalton isoform of glutamic acid...
decarboxylase [15-17]. Reelin is secreted preferentially by cortical GABAergic interneurons in layers I, II and IV and binds to integrin receptors on dendritic spines of pyramidal neurons or on GABAergic interneurons in layers III-V expressing the disabled-1 gene product (DAB1) [15,18]. The expression of reelin mRNA was decreased in GABAergic interneurons in layers I, II and IV of schizophrenia patients [19]. Because reelin plays a role in neuronal migration and synaptic plasticity in the cerebral cortex [18,20,21], the reduction of reelin in schizophrenia would indicate a neurodevelopmental abnormality that induces a GABAergic deficit in schizophrenia [1,21]. Reduced levels of mRNA for GAD67 in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) of patients with schizophrenia suggest that GABA synthesis is reduced in schizophrenia [22-25]. The reduction was detected in about 25-30% of the GABAergic interneurons in the DLPFC [25,26]. Among many subtypes of GABAergic interneurons in the cortex, the PV-interneurons contain basket cells and chandelier cells, which constitute 20-25% of GABAergic interneurons in the primate DLPFC [28]. The GABAergic interneurons that show GAD67 mRNA reduction express PV [27], suggesting that the reduction is selective. Lewis and coworkers suggested that the density of the GABA membrane transporter (GAT1)-immunoreactive axon cartridges of chandelier cells was decreased by 40% in schizophrenic subjects compared to both normal controls and subjects with other psychotic disorders [29,30]. They argued that the reduction was due to a decrease in the number of axon terminals rather than the number of chandelier cells [29,30]. In contrast, the CR-positive GABAergic interneurons, which constitute about 50%, were unaffected [3].

The regulation of GABAergic neurotransmission is critical for proper information processing in the brain. For example, Goldman-Rakic and coworkers demonstrated that iontophoretic application of bicuculline methiodide, a competitive antagonist of GABA_A receptors, into the DLPFC of monkeys performing an ocuulomotor delayed response task resulted in the destruction of spatial tuning of both pyramidal neurons and GABAergic interneurons [31]. This has been reproduced in computational studies, which suggests that isodirectional intracortical inhibition contributes to the stability of the cortical circuit and cross-directional inhibition contributes to the spatial tuning or selectivity of working memory to represent [32-34]. Therefore, the alteration of GABAergic neurotransmission in the cortex would cause dysregulation of the circuit dynamics, resulting in the impairment of working memory and other cognitive functions.

A recent neurophysiological study of rats [35] suggests that chandelier cells, whose spontaneous activity is fairly low, are reserved to prevent excessive firing of neurons in the circuit. Chandelier cells are characterized by their synapses on the axonal initial segment of pyramidal neurons and the reduction of GABAergic neurotransmission in cortical circuits by this type of interneurons might lead to disinhibitory overactivation of the cortex, such as epileptic activity [36]. Given that the density of the axon terminals of chandelier cells is reduced in schizophrenia, as suggested by postmortem studies [30,37], one of the consequences of the circuit abnormality in schizophrenia would be hyperexcitability of the cortex.

Early functional imaging studies reported reduced responses of the DLPFC or hypofrontality in patients with schizophrenia [38-41]. Many recent studies suggest overactivation of the DLPFC during performing working memory tasks [42-45] or both greater and less activation of subareas in the DLPFC [46,47]. The DLPFC would be basically hypodopaminergic, according to the dopamine (DA) hypothesis of schizophrenia [48]. In this situation, the GABAergic inhibition in the DLPFC is not strong. Increasing the DA release in the DLPFC increases glutamatergic neurotransmission through N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors by D1 receptor stimulation. Then, the activity of the DLPFC increases with the DA release in the DLPFC. Under hyperdopaminergic conditions, the GABAergic inhibition becomes so strong that it highly suppresses noisy signal neurotransmission in the DLPFC circuit [49]. The DLPFC activity thus shows an inverted-U shaped profile of the dopaminergic modulation [50,51]. The profile would be sensitive to the strength of the GABAergic inhibition because the decreasing phase of the inverted-U shaped curve critically depends on the GABAergic inhibition in the DLPFC [49-51]. Therefore, if the GABAergic inhibition in the DLPFC is weakened, as has been observed in schizophrenia, the activity of the DLPFC would be significantly different. In this case, neurons in the DLPFC would exhibit hyperexcitability due to high NMDA currents under hyperdopaminergic conditions [49,52].

Psychostimulants generally increase DA release from dopaminergic neurons [53]. Psychotic states induced by psychostimulants are accompanied by the focal activation of the PFC, and the activity has a positive correlation with a psychotic symptom [54,55]. Therefore, hyperdopaminergic neurotransmission and hyperactivity would characterize the PFC in acute psychotic states. The conventional inverted-U shape characteristic of dopaminergic modulation of the PFC activity [50], however, does not predict this. It rather predicts hypoactivity of the PFC with hyperdopaminergic neurotransmission. This unresolved issue would be an obstacle for advancing our understanding of the circuit mechanisms of schizophrenia. Recently, the circuit dynamics of the PFC under dopaminergic modulation has been studied using a computational model of the
This model predicts how the circuit dynamics of the PFC varies with D1 receptor activation. The stability of the PFC circuit varies with the D1 receptor activation when the operating point of the circuit moves along the inverted-U shaped curve. Using this model, Tanaka and coworkers extended the range of the D1 receptor activation to extremely high levels, and showed that hyperactivation of the PFC can occur under hyperdopaminergic conditions (they termed this the ‘H mode’) [58]. Our study in this article uses essentially the same model and will explore the roles of GABAergic inhibition in the regulation of such dynamics of the PFC circuit. The result will show that ‘chandelier cell-type inhibition’ controls the H mode activity. GABAergic interneurons other than chandelier cells do not regulate this hyperactive mode effectively. Instead, these GABAergic interneurons regulate the conventional inverted-U shape mode of PFC activity. With these results, we will discuss the roles of GABAergic inhibition in the regulation and dysregulation of PFC circuit dynamics. The aim of this article is to investigate how the GABAergic abnormalities observed in the patients with schizophrenia alter the PFC circuit dynamics. Preliminary results have been published in an abstract form [59].

**Results**

**Mode diagram of the PFC**

Equations (4) in Methods describe how the changes in the neuronal state variables \(x_p, x_c\), and \(x_n\) for pyramidal neurons, chandelier cells and other GABAergic interneurons, respectively) depend on the D1 receptor activation. To clarify the roles of the chandelier cells, we first see the circuit dynamics of the PFC without chandelier cells. Figure 1 shows the dependence of the PFC activity on the D1 receptor activation level (which is denoted by \(z\) in the text). Only the curves numbered 0 correspond to the equilibrium state of the PFC circuit. See the text for the method of drawing of this diagram.
of the pyramidal neuron population. The equilibrium state is obtained mathematically from Equations (4), by putting
\[
\frac{dx_p}{dt} = \frac{dx_c}{dt} = \frac{dx_n}{dt} = 0,
\]
as
\[
x_p = \tau_p \left[ W_{pp}(z) f_p(x_p) - W_{np} f_n \left( \tau_n(z) W_{pn}(z) f_p(x_p) \right) \right] \quad (1)
\]
The above equation does not contain the term for the chandelier cells (or \( W_{cp} = 0 \)) because we first see the circuit dynamics of the PFC without chandelier cells. The relationship between \( x_p \) and \( z \) in the above equation gives the mode diagram, which is identical to the curves for the equilibrium states in Figure 1. The equilibrium state has two typical modes of the PFC activity in the different range of D1 receptor activation, \( z \). One is the inverted-U mode \((1.0 < z < 4.3)\) and the other is the H mode \((z > 6)\). There is a gap between these modes \((4.3 < z < 6)\), in which the activity of the PFC is suppressed. Beyond \( z = 6 \), the PFC has two branches of activity. The upper branch is stable while the lower branch is unstable, as shown below, meaning that the dynamics of the PFC circuit is bistable. Therefore, once the PFC activity becomes higher than the unstable branch, the activity increases to reach the upper stable branch, whereas PFC activity that is lower than the unstable branch decreases to zero.

**Analysis**

One can see state transitions toward the stable equilibrium states by depicting nullclines and fixed points at typical D1 receptor activation levels. Figure 2 shows the nullclines for the state variables of the pyramidal neurons and the GABAergic interneurons other than chandelier cells for three different levels of D1 receptor activation. A: \( z = 3.0 \); B: \( z = 5.0 \); C: \( z = 7.0 \). The nullcline for the pyramidal neurons \((dx_p/dt = 0)\) is depicted in blue and the nullcline for the GABAergic interneurons \((dx_n/dt = 0)\) is depicted in green. The inset (A1) is an enlargement view of A. The circles indicate stable fixed points and the crosses indicate unstable fixed points. The arrows show the direction of state transition toward one of the stable fixed points.
cells, $x_p$ and $x_n$. These nullclines are obtained by setting $dx_p/dt = dx_n/dt = 0$ as

$$x_p = \tau_p \left[ W_{pp}(z)f_p(x_p) - W_{np}f_n(x_n) \right]$$

$$x_n = \tau_n(z)W_{pn}(z)f_p(x_p)$$

These are the equilibrium conditions for the two populations of neurons. The intersections of these nullclines indicate, therefore, the equilibrium states of the whole circuit or the fixed points. The figure shows three different conditions, mentioned above; i.e., the inverted-U mode (Figure 2A), the inactive state (Figure 2B), and the H mode (Figure 2C). The inverted-U mode has a single stable fixed point, indicated by a circle in Figures 2A and 2A1. The inactive state has no intersection between the two nullclines, so that only the state $x_p = x_n = 0$ is stable. In the H mode condition, there are two intersections of the nullclines or fixed points. Among these, the lower fixed point, indicated by a cross, is unstable whereas the higher fixed point, indicated by a circle, is stable. This stable fixed point characterizes the H mode by hyperactivity of the PFC neurons.

### Roles of GABAergic inhibition

We next investigate the roles of chandelier cells and other GABAergic interneurons. We see how the changes in the strength of GABAergic inhibition alter the PFC activity.

The equilibrium condition of the PFC in this case is given by

$$x_p = \tau_p \left[ W_{pp}(z)f_p(x_p) - W_{np}f_n(x_n) \right]$$

$$x_n = \tau_n(z)W_{pn}(z)f_p(x_p)$$

The results are depicted in Figure 3, which are mode diagrams for different levels of GABAergic inhibition. Figure 3A is the same with Figure 1. In Figure 3B, the inhibition by the chandelier cells is increased, which moves the H mode away from the inverted-U mode without altering the inverted-U mode profile. When the inhibition by the GABAergic interneurons other than chandelier cells becomes weaker and the chandelier cells are dysfunctional, the inverted-U mode and the H mode are connected (Figure 3C). Stronger inhibition, on the other hand, shrinks the inverted-U mode but does not affect the H mode significantly (Figure 3D). This means that the inverted-U mode, but not the H mode, is sensitive to this type of inhibition. A further increase in this type of inhibition eliminates the inverted-U mode. In contrast, the H mode is robust against this type of inhibition; only the chandelier cells can separate it from the inverted-U mode. Figure 4 shows the three-dimensional views of the temporal evolutions of these profiles. The variations of the parameter values used in the simulation are summarized in Table 1.

### Discussion

**Chandelier cells vs other GABAergic interneurons**

Our computational studies suggest that the dopaminergic modulation profile of PFC activity is complex rather than just an inverted U. A remarkable thing is the possibility of the existence of the H mode or hyperactive mode of the PFC with hyperdopaminergic neurotransmission. Both this mode and the conventional inverted-U mode activity of the PFC under the dopaminergic modulation would be regulated by GABAergic neurotransmission. However, the simulation in this article suggests that these modes have different sensitivities to different types of GABAergic inhibition. The H mode is sensitive to the GABAergic inhibition by chandelier cells, whereas the inverted-U mode is sensitive to the inhibition by GABAergic interneurons other than chandelier cells. The emergence of the H mode is, therefore, critically dependent on the strength of the chandelier cell-type inhibition. Stronger inhibition of this type puts the H mode away from the inverted-U mode. This means that, when the GABAergic inhibition by chandelier cells is reduced, as suggested in schizophrenia, the H mode is considered to be closer to the inverted-U mode than in healthy controls. On the other hand, the profile of the inverted-U mode is critically dependent on the inhibition by GABAergic interneurons other than chandelier cells. If this type of inhibition is stronger, the inverted-U mode easily disappears. With weaker inhibition of this type, in contrast, the profile of the inverted-U mode becomes larger. If both types of inhibition are reduced, therefore, the inverted-U mode and the H mode would merge into a single mode. As a result, the state of the PFC would be able to move to the H mode from the inverted-U mode.

**Transition to the H mode**

The transition from the inverted-U mode to the H mode is illustrated by Figure 5. When the two modes are separated (Figure 5A), the inverted-U mode activity decreases as D1 receptors are activated further. Then, it would be difficult to cross the gap to reach the H mode. Once they are connected (Figure 5B), however, it would be much easier to reach the H mode from the inverted-U mode by, for example, increasing the D1 receptor activation. The transition from the inverted-U mode to the H mode would have important relevance to schizophrenia. First, the H mode would be associated with psychotic states, as will be argued below. Second, chandelier cells would prevent the occurrence of psychotic states by suppressing the H mode activity. Third, weakening of the inhibition by other GABAergic interneurons increases the probability of the transition to the H mode or vulnerability to psychosis. These would explain the reason why schizophrenic brains are vulnerable to psychosis and are consistent with the finding of the reduced GABAergic inhibition in the PFC of patients with schizophrenia.
Psychosis

Schizophrenia

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies of patients with schizophrenia using a verbal fluency task showed that increasing task demand produced greater activation of the PFC with higher error rates in psychotic states compared with remission [60]. A recent fMRI study suggested an association between reality distortion and hyperactivity of the medial PFC of patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorders [61]. Besides these, ‘it is postulated that before experiencing psychosis, patients [with schizophrenia] develop an exaggerated release of DA, independent of and out of synchrony with the context’ [62]. Downregulation of GABAergic neurotransmission in the PFC has consistently been associated with schizophrenia [1-5,15]. These support our theory that psychotic states are induced by the transition to the H mode due to reduced GABAergic inhibition in the PFC with hyperdopaminergic neurotransmission.

Substance-induced psychosis

Ketamine and amphetamines induced focal activation of the PFC in healthy subjects [54,63,64] and in patients with schizophrenia [65]. In either schizophrenia or drug addiction, therefore, psychosis is associated with selective or focal activation of the cortex [54,63-65]. NMDA antagonists, such as phencyclidine and ketamine, increase the extracellular DA concentration in the PFC [66-68]. It has

Figure 3

Mode diagrams. A: Control. B: With chandelier cells. C: Weaker GABAergic interneurons other than chandelier cells. D: Stronger GABAergic interneurons other than chandelier cells. The chandelier cells are dysfunctional in A, C and D.

Psychosis

Schizophrenia

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies of patients with schizophrenia using a verbal fluency task showed that increasing task demand produced greater activation of the PFC with higher error rates in psychotic states compared with remission [60]. A recent fMRI study suggested an association between reality distortion and hyperactivity of the medial PFC of patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorders [61]. Besides these, ‘it is postulated that before experiencing psychosis, patients [with schizophrenia] develop an exaggerated release of DA, independent of and out of synchrony with the context’ [62]. Downregulation of GABAergic neurotransmission in the PFC has consistently been associated with schizophrenia [1-5,15]. These support our theory that psychotic states are induced by the transition to the H mode due to reduced GABAergic inhibition in the PFC with hyperdopaminergic neurotransmission.

Substance-induced psychosis

Ketamine and amphetamines induced focal activation of the PFC in healthy subjects [54,63,64] and in patients with schizophrenia [65]. In either schizophrenia or drug addiction, therefore, psychosis is associated with selective or focal activation of the cortex [54,63-65]. NMDA antagonists, such as phencyclidine and ketamine, increase the extracellular DA concentration in the PFC [66-68]. It has
been suggested that acute administration of psychostimulants, such as amphetamines and cocaine, increases the extracellular DA level significantly not only in the subcortical areas but in the PFC [69,70]. A microdialysis study reported that intraperitoneal administration of 2 mg/kg of amphetamine to rats induced six-fold increase in the baseline DA concentration in the PFC [69], which could activate D1 receptors in the PFC. Recent studies reported that ketamine, an NMDA antagonist, decreased the expression of PV and GAD67 in mice [71,72], suggesting reduced GABAergic inhibition in the PFC. Therefore, the underlying circuit mechanism of substance-induced psychosis might be the same with schizophrenic psychosis; that is, the transition to the H mode due to reduced GABAergic inhibition in the PFC with hyperdopaminergic neurotransmission.

**Dopamine-mediated mechanisms**

*Upregulation of D1 receptors*

In contrast to acute administration, chronic administration of psychostimulants lowers the extracellular concentration of DA in the PFC [73,74], which would induce

---

**Figure 4**

Three-dimensional representations of DA modulatory landscapes with (B) and without (A, C, and D) chandelier cells. The strength of the inhibition by other GABAergic interneurons are also varied (A: 1.0, B: 1.0, C: 0.95, and D: 1.06). Note that the onset of the H mode is very quick (less than 100 ms), whereas the inverted-U mode profiles are very slow to evolve. Even at t = 1000 ms, the profiles of the inverted-U mode have not reached the equilibrium states. The profiles at equilibrium are shown in Figure 3.
sensitization of DA receptors. Similarly, the sensitization of DA receptors would be expected in patients with schizophrenia. A positron emission tomography (PET) study, using [11C]NNC 112 as a radiotracer, observed an increase in the binding potential of D1 receptors in the PFC of schizophrenia patients [75]. This would reflect a chronically reduced extracellular DA concentration and an increase in the density of D1 receptors. Upregulation or sensitization of D1 receptors might be involved in schizophrenia. An increase in the DA releasability or the responsivity of dopaminergic neurons has also been suggested [76,77]. These situations would increase the $z$ value in the model, thereby increasing susceptibility to the H mode.

Epilepsy
People with epilepsy are susceptible to schizophrenia-like psychosis [84-86]. The association between epilepsy and schizophrenia-like psychosis has long attracted much attention [87,88], and would be interesting to know the commonalities between epilepsy and schizophrenia and the mechanisms underlying both diseases. Epilepsy is accompanied by excessive excitation of neuronal circuits in the brain [89,90]. Many studies have suggested selective alterations in GABA$_A$ receptor subtypes in patients with epilepsy [91,92]. DeFelipe proposed the hypothesis that the chandelier cell is a key component of cortical circuits

Table 1: A summary of the variations of the parameter values of the two different types of GABAergic inhibition used in the simulation.

|                | Chandelier cells | Other GABA neurons |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| A              | decreased (0.0)  | unchanged (1.0)    |
| B              | control (1.0)    | control (1.0)      |
| C              | decreased (0.0)  | decreased (0.95)   |
| D              | decreased (0.0)  | increased (1.06)   |

The figures in the parentheses indicate the relative strength of the inhibitory action on the pyramidal neurons in the model PFC circuit.

Stress
Acute stress increases DA turnover in the PFC, which leads to the impairment of cognitive functions [78,79]. It seems that metabolic activity of dopaminergic neurons innervating the PFC is increased selectively in the PFC [80]. The administration of the stressor FG 7142 also increases DA turnover in the PFC [81,82]. Chronic stress induced hypodopaminergic states, and, again, impaired cognitive functions [83]. In this case, $B_{\text{max}}$ or the density of D1 receptors in rat PFC was significantly increased (from 14.5 with 2.9 SD to 22.3 with 3.5 SD). Interestingly, either the hyperdopaminergic state or the hypodopaminergic state with D1 upregulation could lead to the H mode, according to the above arguments.

Figure 5
Mode diagrams and state transition. A: When the chandelier cells are dysfunctional but the GABAergic inhibition by the other interneurons is normal, the transition from the inverted-U mode to the H mode hardly occurs because of a gap between the two modes. The gap becomes wider in the existence of chandelier cells. B: When the chandelier cells are dysfunctional and the GABAergic inhibition by the other interneurons is reduced, the two modes are connected, so that the transition to the H mode would occur readily.
in the establishment of epilepsy [36]. Links to dopaminergic mechanisms have also been suggested [93, 94]. Using whole-cell recording and voltage-sensitive dye imaging techniques in the rat PFC, Bandyopadhyay et al. [95] demonstrated that bath application of SKF 81297, a selective D1 receptor agonist, enhanced spatiotemporal spread of activity in response to weak stimulation and previously subthreshold stimulation resulted in epileptiform activity that spread across the whole cortex. This result indicates that DA, via a D1 receptor-mediated mechanism, enhances spatiotemporal spread of neuronal activity and lowers the threshold for epileptiform activity in local circuits within the PFC. A rat study suggested that the supersensitivity of the DA systems, which was developed in the chronic phase of the kainate-induced temporal lobe epilepsy, was responsible for the genesis of epileptic psychosis [93]. The H mode hypothesis is consistent with all of these results.

Enhanced cortical inputs
Because of the bistable nature of the H mode, the occurrence of the H mode critically depends on the strength of inputs. They are mediated by corticocortical or thalamocortical afferents to the PFC, and would be modulated by several ways, including dopaminergic modulation. It has also been suggested that DA has a sensorimotor gating function in PFC and subcortical circuits [96-99]. In fact, many studies have reported deficits in the sensorimotor gating function in patients with schizophrenia (for reviews: [98, 100, 101]) and, interestingly, also in amphetamine-sensitized animals [102]. When a dysregulated or unfiltered input is given to the PFC, the PFC would respond to it with hyperactivity. Recent neurophysiological study in monkey reported an enhancement of the response-period activity of DLPFC neurons, but no effect on delay-period activity, by the stimulation of the D2 receptors in the DLPFC [103]. This may suggest that D2 receptors are involved in gating afferent input to the DLPFC circuit for working memory and other cognitive functions. Moreover, if D2 receptors are supersensitive [104, 105], the H mode would more readily emerge because hyperactivation of D2 receptors could contribute to the enhancement of the input to the PFC.

Conclusion
We have investigated how GABAergic inhibition by chandelier cells and other GABAergic interneurons contribute to the regulation of neuronal activity in the PFC circuit. The results show that the roles of the two different types of GABAergic inhibition on PFC circuit dynamics are markedly different. The inhibition by GABAergic interneurons other than chandelier cells effectively regulates the PFC activity with rather low or modest levels of dopaminergic neurotransmission, which has an inverted-U shaped profile of dopaminergic modulation and is associated with normal cognitive functions. In contrast, the chandelier cell-type inhibition regulates the PFC activity with hyperdopaminergic neurotransmission. Therefore, dysfunction of chandelier cells in the PFC would produce the H mode, a “psychotic” hyperactive state with hyperdopaminergic neurotransmission. Reduction of the inhibition by other GABAergic interneurons would make the transition to the H mode more readily occur, thereby increasing vulnerability to psychosis.

Methods
Prefrontal Cortical Circuit Model
Our model of the PFC contains pyramidal neurons and GABAergic interneurons (Figure 6). The pyramidal neurons have recurrent connections or self-innervations. The two populations of neurons are connected reciprocally. All of the neurons in the model are assumed to be under dopaminergic modulation via D1 receptors; D1 receptor activation changes the synaptic strengths from pyramidal neurons to both pyramidal neurons and interneurons as well as the time constant for the interneurons [34, 106]. The dopaminergic modulation via D1 receptors in this model is consistent with that of Durstewitz et al. [107] but is a reduced one that is suitable for the present analysis with the firing rate model.

The pyramidal neurons receive a transient external input, which triggers the dynamics of the circuit. Our model
describes the activity of each population of neurons
(either pyramidal neurons or GABAergic interneurons) by
a single state variable, which therefore describes the pop-
ulation activity. The state equations for the population
activities are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx_p}{dt} &= -\frac{x_p}{\tau_p} + W_{pp}(z)f_p(x_p) - W_{cp}f_c(x_c) - W_{np}f_n(x_n) + I_{cue} \\
\frac{dx_c}{dt} &= -\frac{x_c}{\tau_c} + W_{pc}(z)f_p(x_p) \\
\frac{dx_n}{dt} &= -\frac{x_n}{\tau_n(z)} + W_{pn}(z)f_p(x_p)
\end{align*}
\]  

(4)

where \(x_p\), \(x_c\), and \(x_n\) are the state variables for the pyramidal
neuron population, the chandelier cell population, and
the population of the GABAergic interneurons other than
chandelier cells, \(p\), \(c\) and \(n\) denote the pyramidal neurons,
the chandelier cells, and the GABAergic interneurons
other than chandelier cells, respectively, \(\tau_p\), \(\tau_c\) and \(\tau_n\)
are the time constants of these neurons, \(W_{ij}(i, j = p, c, n)\) is the
synaptic efficacy from population \(i\) to \(j\), and \(I_{cue}\) is the tran-
sient external input to the pyramidal neuron population.

The parameters that depend on \(z\) are subject to dopamin-
ergic modulation, where \(z\) is the D1 receptor activation
(see below). The activation function is assumed to be
common to the populations of pyramidal neurons and
GABAergic interneurons other than chandelier cells:

\[
f_p(x) = f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
  f_{\text{max}} \tanh(x) & x \geq 0 \\
  0 & x < 0
\end{cases}
\]  

(5)

where \(f_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum firing rate. The activation func-
tion for the chandelier cell population will be given
below. The simulation used the values of the parameters
in the above equations as: \(f_{\text{max}} = 100 \text{ sp/s}, \tau_p = 20.0, \tau_c(0) = 5.0, W_{pp}(0) = 0.00055, W_{pc}(0) = W_{pn}(0) = 0.00035, W_{cp} = 0.0002,\) and \(W_{np} = 0.0005\).

GABAergic Interneurons

Spontaneous activity of chandelier cells is fairly low but
they fire action potentials at frequencies higher than other
GABAergic interneurons when the overall cortical excita-
tion increases, suggesting that their role is to suppress
excessive excitation via their powerful inhibitory synapses
on pyramidal neurons [35,108]. With their unique syn-
apses on the axonal initial segment, chandelier cells
would increase their inhibitory effects when the GABA
release from chandelier cell axon terminals becomes coin-
cident with spike generation of the postsynaptic pyra-
midal neurons. This would require highly repetitive inputs
from pyramidal neurons so that chandelier cells can fire at
a high rate. Therefore, the inhibitory effect by the chande-
lier cell would increase sharply when the firing rate
exceeds a certain threshold. We describe this characteristic
of inhibitory effect by chandelier cells simply with an acti-
vation function

\[
f_c(x) = f_{\text{max}} \tanh(x - x_0)
\]  

(6)

where \(x_0 = 0.8\) is the threshold above which the inhibition
by the chandelier cell becomes effective. Figure 7 shows
the profiles of the activation functions for the populations
of the chandelier cells and other GABAergic interneurons.
The difference in physiological properties between these
populations of neurons exists only in the thresholds in the
activation functions. For a network model consisting of
different types of interneurons with Hodgkin-Huxley
models, refer to [109], which studied differential contribu-
tions to working memory representation in the DLPFC.
It would be interesting to see how the subtypes of
interneurons affect the profile of PFC activity under
dopaminergic modulation.

Dopaminergic Modulation via D1 Receptors

The activation of D1 receptors affects the channel con-
ductances, such as the conductances of \(\alpha\)-amino-3-
hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid (AMPA)
and NMDA receptor-channels (for reviews: [110,111]).
These change the efficacy of glutamatergic signal neuro-
transmission \((W_{pp}, W_{pc}, W_{pn}\) in this model). The excit-
bility of the PFC inhibitory interneurons increases with
D1 receptor activation by decreasing the potassium-chan-
nel conductance [112]. This leads to a model in which the
time constants of the interneurons, \(\tau_c\) and \(\tau_p\), are assumed
to decrease with D1 receptor activation [34,106]. Taken

![Figure 7](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2202/9/41)

**Figure 7**
The profiles of the activation functions of the chande-
lier cells (C) and the other GABAergic interneurons (N) in the model.
$W_{pp}(z) = W_{pp}(0)(1 + az)$

$W_{px}(z) = W_{px}(0)(1 + bz)$

$W_{pn}(z) = W_{pn}(0)(1 + bz)$

$r_\tau(z) = r_\tau(0)(1 + cz)$

$r_\beta(z) = r_\beta(0)(1 + cz)$

where $a, b$ and $c$ are constants ($a = 0.2, b = 0.4, c = 0.3$).
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