Precipitation Spatial Patterns in Cities with Different Urbanisation Types: Case Study of Novi Sad (Serbia) as a Medium-sized City

Stevan SavićA, Mathias KalfayanB, Dragan DolinajA

Received: February 13, 2020 | Revised: May 04, 2020 | Accepted: May 09, 2020
doi: 10.5937/gp24-25202

Abstract

A direct outcome of the global climate change is the modification of seasonal precipitation patterns, apparent on a monthly temporal scale. In Central Europe, it includes more frequent high-intensity rainfalls, occurring mostly during spring and summer. These heavy rainfalls induce pluvial floods in urban areas due to a high percentage of impervious surfaces and limited drainage systems. This hazard affects Central European cities and impacts many receptors, including lives, infrastructures, private properties or the functioning of cities. This study focuses on the monitoring of precipitation events with the aim of revealing precipitation patterns across two different types of land cover: urban and suburban/rural areas of Novi Sad (Serbia). Measurements from seven rain gauge stations were used as input data, covering the 2015–2018 period. The precipitation data were analysed using 12 precipitation indices, nine of which were defined by the Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (ETCCDI), while three additional were designed specifically for this study. Based on their locations, the stations were classified into the ‘urbanized’ and ‘non-urbanised’ group.

The concept of the Local Climate Zone (LCZ) was used to classify urbanised areas LCZ1-8, non-urbanised areas LCZ9 and the land cover zones (LCZ A-G). A statistical analysis based on the ANOVA F-test was conducted, defining the significance threshold at 90% for $\alpha = 0.01$ and at 95% for $\alpha = 0.05$. The obtained results revealed one relation for the CDD index at 95% and two relations at 90% for CDD and $R_p95$ indices, showing a relation between heavy rainfall and the type of land cover. Statistical results underline the need for a denser station network and longer monitoring periods in order to proceed to stronger statistical tests and make it possible to establish other relations with the climate indices.
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Introduction

Human civilisations have shown important transformations over the past several decades, in terms of organisation and development. The growing human demography and urbanization are important factors shaping the current functioning of our urban systems. The world’s population already hit seven billion in 2011; 75% of the European population live in cities today (Richard, 2002; Swart et al., 2012) and it is expected that around 80% of the world’s population will live in cities by 2025 (UNFPA, 1999; WMO, 2008). Cities
and towns are getting denser with the constant extension of urban limits, in parallel with the intensive conversion of natural land into agricultural or industrial areas. These trends are often unsustainable and they induce deep perturbations in various parts of natural macrosystems, such as climate, biotopes, or resources.

In parallel, the recent crisis related to climate change is becoming more severe. Changes on Earth and the place for human settlements arise as new issues. The current climate change is associated with a significant temperature increase at a global scale, due to human activities, especially since the early industrial period in the previous century (Allen et al., 2018). It has been identified as one of the main drivers of climatic perturbation, by changing the existing pattern of hydrological functioning (IPCC, 2007; Jiang et al., 2018).

Rainfall and extreme rainfall precipitations are recurring natural climatic events, with different return periods and magnitudes (Neppel et al., 1997). They are impacted by the global climate change and they occur more intensively, revealing new climate forcing (Gaume et al., 2009). They may be responsible for pluvial flooding due to the great amount of water carried by them and the small spatial and temporal scale of their occurrence (Collier, 2007; Gaume et al., 2009), which make these hazards very difficult to forecast.

Nowadays, a significant rise of heavy precipitation at continental (Groisman et al., 2004) and global scales (Groisman et al., 2005) has already been observed worldwide in different climate contexts. It inevitably leads to a more frequent occurrence of urban pluvial flooding, also known as flash flood. Furthermore, certain seasonality and geographical variability of flash flood have been detected at the European scale (Trobec, 2017; Prokić et al., 2019). This hazard is the most recurrent in the Mediterranean region, mostly in autumn, and in continental climate, especially in spring or summer months (Gaume et al. 2009; Marchi et al., 2010; Swart et al., 2012; Guerreiro et al., 2017).

Keeping in mind the new scale and intensity of these phenomena, and the consequent rise of receptors exposed to flash flood risks, it is necessary to understand better extreme rainfall generation and its place in the environment. Thousands of additional people are likely to be exposed to the risk of pluvial flooding in the coming decades (Ciscar et al., 2011; EEA, 2012). Intensive research is being conducted, especially in Europe, Asia and USA, because the monitoring and analysis of such events is a cornerstone of flash flood analysis and forecasting (Borga et al., 2002).

Accordingly, this study analyzes possible urban land cover/surface factors impacting the variability of extreme precipitation events by establishing climatic (precipitation) indices (Zhang et al., 2011), suggesting that it should be brought into relationship with the pluvial flood hazard according to the historical events occurring in the region. Climatic measurements have been carried out in Novi Sad (Serbia), using a set of meteorological station sensors which recorded precipitation data over several years.

The research on extreme precipitation events and pluvial floods, as well as meteorological studies, plays an important role in gaining knowledge and understanding new climatic events and their impact on human societies. They seek to find an adaptive way to face natural hazards, by adopting a holistic approach focused on reaching sustainability (Xia et al., 2017; Jiang et al., 2018). This gives rise to new concepts, e.g., sponge cities, or an integrated framework and policy implementation. The territorial development of human settlements and the evolution of human societies should adapt to the environment by increasing the resilience of our civilization.

### Description of the urban area, used data and statistical methods

Novi Sad is the second largest city in Serbia. It has approximately 332,000 inhabitants who are living in a built-up area reaching 102 km² in size (Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia, http://www.stat.gov.rs). This urban area is located in Central Europe, in the Carpathian plain. Its northern part is located on an elevation ranging between 72 and 80 m in height, while the elevations in its southern part range between 80 and 200 m (Petrovaradin and Sremska Kamenica suburban towns). The Danube River flows along the east-west axis in the southern part of Novi Sad (260–680 m wide), and the narrow Danube–Tisza–Danube Canal runs through the city along the northwest-southeast axis, flowing into the Danube.

The areas surrounding the urban core of Novi Sad to the north, east and west mainly include agricultural land. The main feature of the southern section is the Fruška Gora National Park, natural area with low mountains covered with a deciduous forest. According to the Köppen-Geiger climate classification (Kottek et al., 2006), the Novi Sad region has a Cfb climate (temperate climate, fully humid, warm summers, with at least four T° ≥ +10 °C). The mean monthly air temperature ranges from −0.3 °C in January to 21.8 °C in July. The mean annual precipitation is 623 mm (based on data collected between 1949 and 2015). Furthermore, the research of Milošević and Savić (2013) shows positive precipitation trends dur-
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...ing all seasons (except winter), as well as on the annual level, for Novi Sad, in the 1949–2010 period. The temperature and precipitation data were provided by the Meteorological Yearbooks of the Republic Hydro-meteorological Service of Serbia.

Novi Sad’s urban area is marked by districts of different urban types and sizes. The central area is densely built-up. It consists of mid-rise blocks (usually four to eight floors high), and only a few of them reach 16–18 floors. The surroundings parts of the city are mainly composed of residential areas. There is an electrical production plant in the northern suburban area and much of the city’s warehousing can be found there, intermixed with low-rise residential housing. The main avenues and boulevards link various districts, whereas moderately wide streets serve as communications within the built-up area. A number of parks act as open spaces in the downtown, while mature trees (more than 30 years old) form the green infrastructure along several main avenues of Novi Sad (Geletič et al., 2019). In addition, the Danube and its densely vegetated river banks provide a valuable ecological corridor inside the urban area. More open vegetated areas and natural places can be found on the outskirts.

The landscape classification used for Novi Sad’s urban area consists of 17 standard Local Climate Zones (LCZs), developed by Stewart and Oke (2012). The standard set is divided into ten built-up types (from 1 to 10) and seven land cover types (from A to G). Two different GIS-based LCZ mapping methods were applied for the city of Novi Sad in previous research: the Lelovics-Gál method (Lelovics et al., 2016) and the Geletič and Lehnert method (Geletič & Lehnert, 2016). Thirteen LCZs were defined and delineated in Novi Sad and its surroundings using the two methods: seven built-up and six land cover types. The delineated built-up LCZs are: compact mid-rise (LCZ 2), compact low-rise (LCZ 3), open mid-rise (LCZ 5), open low-rise (LCZ 6), large low-rise (LCZ 8), sparsely built (LCZ 9) and heavy industry (LCZ 10) (Figure 1). The delineated land cover LCZs are: dense trees (LCZ A), scattered trees (LCZ B), low plants (LCZ D), bare rock or paved (LCZ E), bare soil or sand (LCZ F) and water (LCZ G).

In this study, data collected at seven precipitation stations, located inside the urban area or in the suburban/rural part, have been used for the analysis. All precipitation stations are equipped with an OTT Pluvio2 L weighing rain gauge, with the accuracy of ±0.1 mm; intensity of ±0.1 mm/min; measurement intervals of 1 min, etc. All the stations are installed 1 m above the ground. The stations have been divided into two distinct categories based on their locations: the ‘urbanised’ group – stations located in dense urban areas (from LCZ1 to LCZ10, except LCZ9), and the ‘non-urbanised’ group – stations located in rural and green areas (LCZ9 and LCZ from A to G). The precipitation measurements were analysed with one-minute precision, during a research period lasting from January 2015 to December 2018. The precipitation stations are part of the monitoring system of the PUC Water and Sewage Utility Novi

Figure 1. Urban area of Novi Sad with defined built-up LCZs and the locations of the analysed precipitation stations
Sad (JKP Vodovod i kanalizacija Novi Sad), which provided precipitation data for the city of Novi Sad. Table 1 lists the main characteristics of the analysed stations.

In order to define precipitation characteristics and differences between ‘urbanised’ and ‘non-urbanised’ areas, 12 precipitation indices were used. The precipitation indices are recommended by the ETCCDI (Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices) (Karl et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2011), and three additional indices have been designed in this study for further analysis. Table 2 shows the selected precipitation indices used in the analysis. Detailed and precise definitions can be found on the ETCCDI/CRD Climate Change Indices website (http://etccdi.pacificclimate.org/list_27_indices.shtml). Furthermore, an ANOVA F-test was performed to investigate the relations between climatic events (climatic indices) and land cover (urbanised and non-urbanised groups). The Fisher-Snedecor tables were used with a significance threshold of 90% and 95% corresponding to an α of 0.1 and 0.05, respectively. The value F calculated by testing statistically each climatic index was compared to the value of F' provided in the table, with the probability α to be exceeded. The degrees of freedom were established according to the number of groups and the number of samples used. All extreme precipitation indices were calculated for the entire research period (2015–2018), as well as for each year, respectively.

Table 1. Precipitation stations and their basic geographic information. Notes: Abb – Abbreviation; Lat. – latitude; Long. – longitude; Alt. – altitude in metres; * - GC2 stands for the sewage treatment plant where the precipitation station is installed

| № | Station name | Abb. | Lat. | Long. | Alt. | Urbanisation type |
|---|--------------|------|------|-------|------|------------------|
| 1 | GC2*         | GC   | 45°15´ | 19°51´ | 79   | urbanised        |
| 2 | Adice        | AD   | 45°13´ | 19°47´ | 75   | urbanised        |
| 3 | Rokov Potok  | RP   | 45°14´ | 19°53´ | 77   | non-urbanised    |
| 4 | Sajlovo      | SA   | 45°17´ | 19°48´ | 83   | urbanised        |
| 5 | Futog        | FU   | 45°14´ | 19°42´ | 79   | urbanised        |
| 6 | Kać          | KA   | 45°18´ | 19°55´ | 73   | non-urbanised    |
| 7 | Sremski Karlovci | SK | 45°12´ | 19°55´ | 148  | non-urbanised    |

Table 2. Selected extreme precipitation indices recommended by the ETCCDI (Karl et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2011) and additional indices used in this study (marked with an asterisk)

| № | ID  | Indicator name             | Indicator Definitions                                                                 | Units |
|---|-----|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 1 | TP* | Total precipitation        | Total amount of precipitation during the research period                                | mm    |
| 2 | WD* | Number of wet days         | Number of days with precipitation ≥ 0.1 mm during the research period                   | days  |
| 3 | RX1day | Max one-day precipitation amount | Monthly maximum one-day precipitation during the research period                          | mm    |
| 4 | SDII | Simple daily intensity index | The ratio of the total annual precipitation to the number of wet days (≥ 1 mm)          | mm/day|
| 5 | R10 | Number of heavy precipitation day | Annual count when precipitation ≥ 10 mm                                               | days  |
| 6 | R20 | Number of very heavy precipitation days | Annual count when precipitation ≥ 20 mm                                              | days  |
| 7 | CDD | Consecutive dry days       | Maximum number of consecutive days when precipitation < 1 mm                           | days  |
| 8 | CWD | Consecutive wet days       | Maximum number of consecutive days when precipitation ≥ 1 mm                          | days  |
| 9 | R90p* | Wet days                  | Annual total precipitation from days > 90th percentile                                 | mm    |
| 10 | R95p | Very wet days              | Annual total precipitation from days > 95th percentile                                 | mm    |
| 11 | R99p | Extremely wet days         | Annual total precipitation from days > 99th percentile                                 | mm    |
| 12 | PRCPTOT | Annual total wet-day precipitation | Annual total precipitation from days ≥ 1 mm                                            | mm    |
Results

The quality control step revealed some atypical and extreme values for several stations. For the RP station, measurements were not recorded during the spring and summer of 2016 (from March 8th to July 25th). This break of 140 days can have a serious impact on the results. Errors are especially apparent for the TP and WD indices (336.6 mm and 87 days), which are significantly lower than for the other stations. In order to be able to use data from this station in the analysis, an interpolation step was performed using the data for this same period collected at the other stations. Corrections were made for the TP, WD, R10, R20, CDD and PRCPTOT indices by calculating the average value for all the other stations for the same period. Moreover, suspicious data were noticed in the KA station for 2016 and 2017, especially for the TP, WD, CWD and PRCPTOT indices. The values appeared to be extremely high, compared to the other stations. An excessive amount of precipitation was recorded without knowing its origin. In this case, it was not possible to estimate precisely whether the station functioned properly and in which periods it malfunctioned. Accordingly, the KA station was excluded from further analysis. Table 3 shows the index values for all seven precipitation stations, for the 2015–2018 period. The presented data seek to show different parameters of extreme precipitation events for each year, as well as the overall situation during the four-year monitoring period.

Figure 2 shows the exponential distribution of daily precipitation for the entire research period. The data are summarized from all precipitation stations. Outcomes in the graph show that precipitation values from 0.1 to 1.0 mm are the most frequent. Towards higher precipitation values the frequency rapidly decreases, and between 38.1 mm and 90.1 mm, the frequencies are 3, 2, 1 or 0.

A statistical approach, based on the ANOVA F-test, was run to estimate the results in terms of significance. An ANOVA single parameter test was run (Table 4) with the aim of measuring the variance of two different precipitation station groups based on land cover, i.e. ‘urbanised’ and ‘non-urbanised’. Therefore, the aim of this study was to check the potential impact of land cover on the previously calculated climatic indices.

The results obtained using the ANOVA single factor test revealed one relation for the CDD index at 95%, and two relations for CDD and Rp95 indices at 90%. Indeed, the $F$ values for CDD and Rp95 were 11.11 and 5.25, respectively. Both exceeded the $F'$ value of 3.78 with $\alpha = 0.1$ and only the CDD value exceeded the $F'$ value of 5.99 with $\alpha = 0.05$.

Table 3. Precipitation indices calculated for each station per year, for the whole research period (2015–2018)

| Indices  | y/st. | GC  | AD  | SA  | FU  | RP  | SK  |
|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| TP*     | 2015 | 658.8 | 670.6 | 651.1 | 630.8 | 602.3 | 637.7 |
| WD*     |      | 145  | 149  | 129  | 157  | 137  | 137  |
| RX1day  |      | 60.1 | 62.4 | 55.2 | 80.4 | 61.6 | 73.3 |
| SDII    |      | 4.5  | 4.5  | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.4  | 4.7  |
| R10     |      | 17   | 17   | 19   | 16   | 16   | 17   |
| R20     |      | 6    | 6    | 5    | 4    | 5    | 6    |
| CDD     |      | 33   | 33   | 33   | 33   | 38   | 33   |
| CWD     |      | 7    | 7    | 7    | 7    | 7    | 7    |
| R90p*   |      | 5.3  | 5.9  | 5.6  | 5.4  | 5.0  | 5.0  |
| R95p    |      | 8.3  | 8.6  | 10.1 | 9.1  | 8.1  | 8.7  |
| R99p    |      | 23.9 | 23.1 | 21.9 | 20.6 | 21.6 | 24.5 |
| PRCPTOT |      | 636.8 | 649.7 | 632.5 | 602.9 | 584.0 | 621.3 |
| TP*     | 2016 | 721.0 | 714.8 | 656.9 | 710.7 | 694.0 | 683.5 |
| WD*     |      | 140  | 154  | 139  | 153  | 145  | 141  |
| RX1day  |      | 33.3 | 35.8 | 31.5 | 35.9 | 32.1 | 45.8 |
| SDII    |      | 5.1  | 4.6  | 4.7  | 4.6  | 3.9  | 4.8  |
| R10     |      | 24   | 22   | 21   | 24   | 21   | 22   |
| Indices | y/st. | 'urbanised' | 'non-urbanised' |
|---------|------|-------------|-----------------|
|         |      | GC | AD | SA | FU | RP | SK |
| R20     | 2016 | 6  | 8  | 4  | 8  | 6  | 6  |
| CDD     |      | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 38 | 34 |
| CWD     |      | 5  | 4  | 5  | 4  | 3  | 4  |
| R90p*   |      | 6.6| 6.3| 5.5| 6.2| 2.2| 5.7|
| R95p    |      | 13.4| 12.4| 11.8| 11.2| 6.8| 11.5|
| R99p    |      | 24.7| 24.4| 21.7| 27.4| 15.5| 25.3|
| PRCPTOT |      | 705.5| 693.0| 639.0| 688.5| 674.1| 661.4|
| TP*     |      | 477.7| 543.2| 493.2| 509.5| 498.1| 484.9|
| WD*     |      | 149| 161| 134| 156| 145| 148|
| RX1day  |      | 26.6| 41.2| 60.4| 34.4| 29.0| 26.1|
| SDII    |      | 3.2| 3.4| 3.7| 3.3| 3.4| 3.3|
| R10     |      | 12| 13| 11| 11| 13| 13|
| R20     |      | 1| 4| 3| 3| 2| 2|
| CDD     |      | 17| 17| 17| 17| 17| 17|
| CWD     |      | 4| 4| 5| 4| 4| 4|
| R90p*   |      | 4.6| 4.6| 4.6| 4.6| 4.3| 4.9|
| R95p    |      | 8.1| 7.9| 6.9| 7.6| 8.3| 8.0|
| R99p    |      | 14.8| 19.1| 14.2| 17.5| 16.2| 16.2|
| PRCPTOT |      | 453.9| 525.0| 474.5| 483.6| 478.9| 460.7|
| TP*     | 2017 | 642.0| 689.4| 662.9| 718.9| 725.3| 569.6|
| WD*     |      | 128| 150| 132| 150| 144| 135|
| RX1day  |      | 47.9| 48.6| 71.2| 94.0| 55.8| 28.8|
| SDII    |      | 5.0| 4.6| 5.0| 4.8| 5.0| 4.2|
| R10     |      | 18| 19| 15| 19| 17| 17|
| R20     |      | 5| 5| 7| 7| 7| 6|
| CDD     |      | 28| 24| 24| 24| 24| 35|
| CWD     |      | 5| 7| 7| 7| 7| 7|
| R90p*   |      | 4.8| 5.5| 4.5| 4.8| 6.2| 4.5|
| R95p    |      | 9.8| 11.1| 8.3| 10.2| 9.8| 9.1|
| R99p    |      | 23.9| 25.1| 25.9| 28.9| 28.6| 23.0|
| PRCPTOT |      | 630.9| 672.1| 649.5| 699.6| 708.5| 551.6|
| TP*     | 2018 | 2499| 2619| 2464| 2570| 2520| 2376|
| WD*     |      | 562| 614| 534| 616| 571| 561|
| RX1day  |      | 60.1| 62.4| 71.2| 94.0| 61.6| 73.3|
| SDII    |      | 0.024| 0.024| 0.029| 0.037| 0.028| 0.031|
| R10     |      | 71| 71| 66| 70| 67| 69|
| R20     |      | 18| 23| 19| 22| 20| 20|
| CDD     |      | 34| 34| 34| 34| 38| 35|
| CWD     |      | 7| 7| 7| 7| 7| 7|
| R90p*   |      | 5.3| 5.8| 5.2| 5.2| 5.1| 5.0|
| R95p    |      | 9.7| 9.9| 9.1| 9.7| 8.8| 9.2|
| R99p    |      | 23.4| 24.5| 23.5| 23.8| 23.2| 23.3|
| PRCPTOT |      | 2427| 2540| 2396| 2475| 2445| 2295|

TOTAL (2015-2018)
This underlines the difference for consecutive dry days and very wet days between the two different land cover groups. The other indices did not show relations although some $F$ values were close to $F'$ values. The $F'$ value would be lower if more groups and samples were defined.

**Table 4.** Outcomes of the ANOVA $F$-test based on the correlation between ‘urbanised’ and ‘non-urbanised’ (except the KA station) group of stations and with statistically significant threshold ($F'$) defined at the level of 90% and 95%

| Indices | $F$ value | $F'$ value at 95% | $F'$ value at 90% | Relation |
|---------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|----------|
| TP*     | 2.63      | 5.99              | 3.78             | no apparent relation |
| WD*     | 0.4       | 5.99              | 3.78             | no apparent relation |
| RX1day  | 0.2       | 5.99              | 3.78             | no apparent relation |
| SDII    | 0.13      | 5.99              | 3.78             | no apparent relation |
| R10     | 0.95      | 5.99              | 3.78             | no apparent relation |
| R20     | 0.21      | 5.99              | 3.78             | no apparent relation |
| CDD     | 11.11     | 5.99              | 3.78             | relation at 90% and 95% |
| CWD     | 0.0       | 5.99              | 3.78             | no apparent relation |
| R90p*   | 3.53      | 5.99              | 3.78             | no apparent relation |
| R95p    | 5.25      | 5.99              | 3.78             | relation at 90% |
| R99p    | 2.86      | 5.99              | 3.78             | no apparent relation |
| PRCPTOT | 2.74      | 5.99              | 3.78             | no apparent relation |

**Discussion and concluding remarks**

It is largely admitted that heavy rainfall intensification is a consequence of climate change processes all over the Globe (IPCC, 2013). This problem, which generates pluvial flood hazard, is more pronounced in urban areas, especially in medium and large cities, as a consequence of old or underdeveloped water drainage systems (EEA, 2012). The same problem has been observed in Novi Sad, which is not only the second largest city in Serbia but also a historical city. There are several recurrent locations where pluvial floods occur after each extreme precipitation, i.e. traffic intersections, underpasses, streets, etc. Based on the multi-year monitoring of extreme rainfalls and pluvial floods in urban and suburban/rural areas of Novi Sad, it has been established that the average occurrence of pluvial flood events is four per year but it reached 11 in 2018 (internal documentation of the PUC Water and Sewage Utility Novi Sad). These extreme precipitation events and floods usually occur in spring and summer months, especially from the beginning of May until the end of August. For instance, the results from May 16th until August 26th, 2018, show that there were nine days when the amount of precipitation was higher than 20 mm. This is also visible in Figure 2. Furthermore, spatial differences have been identified in the summer rainfall pattern. The stations recorded different values of precipitation depending on their location. A clear example for this is June 29th, 2018,
when the amount of precipitation for the same event ranged between 15 mm and 94 mm (FU – 94 mm; SA – 71 mm; AD – 49 mm; GC – 46 mm; KA – 37; RP – 27; SK – 16 mm). Two relations appeared at 90% of acceptability for the CDD and Rp95 indices, and one relation at 95% for the CDD index. It shows a significant difference for these indices depending on the type of land cover across Novi Sad (between the urbanised and the non-urbanised group). In addition, few other indices were close to showing a difference, notably PRCPTOT, TP, R90p, and R99p. Generally, based on the obtained outcomes of precipitation indices, it can be concluded that during extreme precipitation or dry events there may be notable differences between urbanised and non-urbanised areas. These differences may most frequently occur in the summer period, when surface has an important role on the local weather (based on convective processes), i.e. on heavy precipitation events.

According to the present results, more relations could appear or some refinement of the acceptability threshold could be performed. To reach that goal, several factors could be developed or improved – namely: a) a denser monitoring network of precipitation stations would provide more accurate spatial tendencies; in Novi Sad, stations are mostly located in suburban/rural areas and most of the urbanised parts of the Novi Sad are not adequately covered by the sensor network; b) the urban area of Novi Sad, as a medium-sized city covering about 102 km², is probably not large enough to catch in details the real precipitation/rainfall differences between different land cover types and detect possible differences based on urbanisation types; c) it is better to analyse monitoring periods longer than four years, but in this study the time frame for precipitation measurements was determined by the onset of the network; d) it is important to ensure proper functioning of the gauge station, because of the incoherent values already obtained; in Novi Sad, the complete monitoring system is functioning since 2015; e) in addition to the elements mentioned, the analysis of the weather conditions and the movement of atmospheric masses should be useful in the process of establishing precipitation and pluvial flood issues.

The literature data on high-resolution precipitation monitoring confirm the previous statements through the analysis of the outcomes. Wang et al. (2018) conclude that urban environments probably play a positive role in generating short-term heavy precipitation through enhancing deep convections induced by higher surface temperatures and higher aerosol concentrations. The analysis of Wang et al. (2018) was based on high-resolution precipitation data and urban extent data in the Pearl River Delta (China). The extreme precipitation and the mean intensity were positively correlated with urban extent, whereas wet hours were negatively correlated. According to Han et al. (2014), the effect of urban extent on precipitation can be studied in three different ways: a) urban heat island (UHI); b) urban surface roughness; and c) higher aerosol concentration. Furthermore, Han and Biak (2008) have found that UHI causes an upward motion downwind of the urban area, which partially explains the increasing precipitation in urbanized areas. Increased precipitation based on surface roughness and anthropogenic high aerosol concentration has also been detected by Cotton and Pielke (2007) and Rosenfeld et al. (2008). Burić and Doderović (2019) have found that the urban area of Podgorica has up to 100 mm higher annual rainfall, compared to the non-urban area. According to Wang et al. (2015), urban extent is strongly correlated to the maximum precipitation amount, whereas Shepherd (2005) has concluded that urban expansion plays an important role in shaping regional climate. Accordingly, the effect of urban extent on climate has become a major research focus in the previous decade, keeping also in mind the hazard of pluvial flood in urban areas. Research projects on this topic are also implemented in Central Europe. The URBAN-PREX is a project focusing on monitoring, forecasting and developing an online public early warning system for extreme precipitation and pluvial floods in the urban areas of the Hungarian-Serbian cross-border region. The measurement data from the two precipitation networks deployed in Novi Sad (Serbia) and Szeged (Hungary) will be used to fine-tune remotely sensed data, thereby enabling the development of a more precise two-day forecast model for the area covered by the research programme. The measurement and forecasting data are freely available in real-time on the project website, project social pages and a mobile Android application giving an early warning to citizens and public authorities in order to protect them and prepare an effective response to these extreme precipitation events and pluvial flood situations (Prokić et al., 2019). Other projects with a similar goal are being developed – e.g. the Amsterdam Rain-proof project, seeking to make Amsterdam resistant to the increasingly frequent showers and make better use of the free rainwater drained through permeable paving, green roofs and façade gardens. In the United States, the INTENSE project has acquired data from more than 23,000 rain gauges from its global sub-daily rainfall dataset (GSDR) and has provided evidence of the intensification of hourly extreme precipitation (Blenkinsop et al., 2018).

Figure 3 presents the numerous risks and adverse effects posed by pluvial flooding that are the result of extreme and intensive precipitation/rainfall in urban and suburban/rural areas (Prokić et al., 2019). The
direct and indirect impacts of extreme and intensive precipitation/rainfall include loss in economic terms, the damaging and destruction of private buildings and urban infrastructure, the loss of human lives, the degradation of safety (Sentić and Đorđević, 2019) and the deterioration of water quality (Szewrański et al., 2018a). Urban pluvial flooding is the predominant cause of weather-related disruptions to the transport sector (Pregnolato et al., 2017) and city traffic delays and inconvenience (Zhou et al., 2012). Examples of indirect effects include lost working hours and health impacts on affected residents, which may occur if sewer water flows onto streets or if pluvial flood water stands stagnant (Sušnik et al., 2014). The indirect impacts may occur beyond the location and time of flood events – e.g. long-lasting trauma and stress (Szewrański et al., 2018b). According to Gaume et al. (2009), pluvial floods are one of the most significant natural hazards in Europe, causing serious risk to life and infrastructure, namely the destruction of buildings. Finally, detailed and systematic research of extreme precipitation events and the resulting occurrence of pluvial floods could be, in the future, an important contribution to local communities towards devising measures for adaptation strategies and adequate protocols for mitigation action steps.
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