Star-shaped colloidal PbS nanocrystals: structural evolution and growth mechanism†

Azhar Abu-Hariri, Adam K. Budniaik, Faris Horani and Efrat Lifshitz*

Branched nanostructures have attracted considerable interest due to their large surface-to-volume ratio with benefits in photocatalysis and photovoltaic applications. Here we discuss the tailoring of branched structures with a shape of a star based on PbS semiconductor. It exposes the reaction mechanism and the controlling factors that template their morphology. For this purpose, we varied the primary lead precursors, types of surfactant, lead-to-surfactant molar ratio, temperature and duration of the reaction. Furthermore, intermediate products in a growth reaction were thoroughly examined using X-ray diffraction, transmission electron microscopy, Raman scattering, optical absorbance and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. The results designated a primary formation of truncated octahedral seeds with terminating (100) and (111) facets, followed by the selective fast growth of pods along the (100) directions toward the development of a star-like shape. The examined intermediates possess a cubic rock salt structure. The observations indicated that small surfactant molecules (e.g. acetate) evolve the branching process, while long-chain surfactants (e.g. oleate) stabilize the long pods as well as mitigate the aggregation process. This study conveys fundamental knowledge for the design of other branched structures, that are attractive for practical use in catalysis, electrochemistry and light-harvesting.

Introduction

Semiconductor colloidal nanostructures belonging to the IV–VI family have been studied extensively during the past two decades. The lead-chalcogenide nanostructures (PbX, X = S, Se or Te) have received special attention due to their tunable absorption from 0.3 to 1.5 eV,3–5 large carrier mobility,6 multiple exciton generation,7 large excitonic Bohr radius, and long radiative lifetime.8,9 For example, the PbS large Bohr radius enables strong size confinement for nanostructures with radii smaller than 20 nm.6 These properties made PbX an attractive material for building blocks in photovoltaic cells,7 field-effect transistors,8 photocatalysts9 and bioimaging.10 Among the IV–VI lead-chalcogenide family, the PbS compound offers several advantages essential for implementation in applications, including air stability,11,12 high abundance, and low synthesis cost.13 Consequently, PbS nanostructures demonstrated high performance as absorbers in solar cells.14,15 Several colloidal protocols have been developed through the years for the preparation of high-quality zero-dimension (0D), one-dimension (1D) and two-dimension (2D) nanostructures, each with significant characteristics, e.g., strong size confinement of the 0D quantum dots,4 high fluorescence quantum yield of 1D nanorods16 and large surface area with broadband light-harvesting properties of 2D nanosheets.17,18

Despite the extensive research regarding 0D,19,20 1D21,22 and 2D17,23 structures of IV–VI family, branched or dendritic shapes have been studied to a lesser extent.24 Nevertheless, these branched structures possess a high surface area, porosity, mechanical strength and exposed margins, making them favored candidates as active sites in electro-catalysis,25 sensors in solar cells24 and sensing applications.26 However, only limited procedures have been proposed for the growth of the IV–VI branched nanostructures compared to the lower dimension counterparts (0D12,27–29 1D30,29 and 2D17,30). In 2002, the Cheon group31 first introduced a method for obtaining PbS nanostars (NSs) that involved a high injection temperature of 230 °C. In 2006, Zhao and Qi12 advanced the procedure, producing highly uniform PbS NSs at a low temperature of 80 °C in an aqueous solvent of mixed cationic/anionic surfactants. Nevertheless, the synthesis reaction lasted up to two days and included the release of irritant H2S due to the decomposition of...
thioacetamide. A few years later, micron-size dendrite PbS shapes were prepared in aqueous media by applying different complex biomolecules.\textsuperscript{33,34}

In contrast to the previous studies, our work emphasizes the evolution pathway for the formation of PbS NSs, prepared in organic colloidal solution. We developed a one-pot synthesis at relatively low temperatures (140–170 °C), which led to successive production of the following free-standing structures upon extending the reaction duration: truncated octahedral seeds, hexapod NSs with sharp tips, hexapod NSs with rounded tips and finally sub-micron octahedrons. The synthesis pathway was investigated by varying the experimental conditions, like the type of surfactant molecules, lead precursors and reaction duration. Furthermore, intermediate products during the growth were examined using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD). These techniques revealed a structural evolution, starting from nanometric seeds of truncated octahedral shapes with exposed \{100\} facets, followed by a growth of six pods on top of these facets which resulted in the formation of a star-shaped structure. Any further increase of the reaction duration induced internal ripening, involving atomic rearrangement up the creation of a sub-micron symmetric octahedron. The changes in the absorbance and Raman spectra with the progress of the reaction supported the structural transformations. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy enabled a detailed examination of the vibrational modes of the surfactants involved in the chemical route, reflecting their role in the growth of the PbS nanostars.

Results and discussion

Synthesis procedure

The PbS NSs were prepared by a hot-injection method, using lead-acetate trihydrate (Pb(Ac)\(_2\)⋅3H\(_2\)O) dissolved in a mixture of diphenyl ether (DPE) and oleic acid (HOA) – as the lead-precursor, and thiourea (TU) in dimethylformamide (DMF) solution – as the sulfur-precursor. The nucleation process was initiated by the injection of the thiourea solution into the lead precursor solution at 170 °C. Worth noting that the molar ratio of ingredients has an important role in templating the structure and in particular the lead-to-acetate or lead-to-HOA proportions had a crucial influence. The primary stages considered a fixed ratio of Pb(AC)\(_2\)⋅3H\(_2\)O : HOA (1 : 4) unless mentioned otherwise. The final products were stored in toluene solution under a nitrogen atmosphere, and the structural stability was checked periodically under a TEM. The results indicated a lack of morphological change over a long period. A representative TEM image recorded after two months is shown in the ESI, Fig. S1.† Further details regarding the synthesis procedures are given in the Experimental section. The growth mechanism was thoroughly investigated by withdrawing intermediate products (aliquots) at various stages of the reaction, and successively exploring their structural and optical properties.

Structure, shape, and the composition characterization

The structure evolution produced at different stages of the reaction was verified using TEM and high-resolution TEM (HR-TEM) techniques. A structure size and uniformity were derived from high-resolution scanning electron microscopy (HR-SEM) (see Fig. S2†). Fig. 1(a) depicts a TEM image of a product produced during the first 30 seconds of the reaction, displaying a truncated octahedron with a tip-to-tip diameter of \(~36\) nm and lattice planes separated by 0.34 nm and 0.29 nm, attributed to \(d\{111\}\) and \(d\{200\}\) spacing, respectively. Fig. 1(b) presents an image of an intermediate product created after five minutes, exposing a structure with six pods that grew along the six \{100\} directions, with an overall diameter of 180 nm. Those are named hereon, nanostars (NSs). The inset in Fig. 1(b) displays an HR-TEM image of a spot on a pod, exposing the \{111\} planes with a mutual distance of 0.34 nm. Fig. 1(c) exhibits an image of a structure extracted after one hour, exhibiting a star shape with a diameter of 235 nm, similar to that shown in Fig. 1(b), although with a larger core diameter and shorter and wider pods. Fig. 1(d) presents an image of a structure produced after four hours, appearing as a sub-micron hexagon which is a projection of an octahedron with a diameter of 420 nm. The octahedral shape of the last was confirmed by tilting the specimens during a TEM investigation (see Fig. S3†).

The morphological evolution presented in Fig. 1 is explained by the interplay between thermodynamic and kinetic processes. The generation of truncated octahedral seeds at an early stage was controlled by the balance between the formation and surface energies,\textsuperscript{35,36} alleviating six \{100\} and eight \{111\} crystallographic facets (Fig. 1(a)), in agreement with the cubo-octahedral shape reported by the Cheon group.\textsuperscript{31} The oleate (OA\(^{-}\)) and acetate (Ac\(^{-}\)) surfactants in the reaction solution predominantly stabilized the \{111\} facets, but weakly reacted with the \{100\} facets.\textsuperscript{37–39} The ligand preferential binding to certain facets can be explained by referring to a previous calculation of ligands binding energies to lead-chalcogenides facets: the OA\(^{-}\) ligand has a binding energy of 0.911 eV to \{111\} facet and 0.222 eV to the \{100\} facet; whereas the Ac\(^{-}\) has a binding energy of 0.948 eV to \{111\} facet and 0.659 eV to \{100\} facet.\textsuperscript{51} Therefore, both of the ligands (OA\(^{-}\)) and (Ac\(^{-}\)) have more probability to passivate the \{111\} facets than the \{100\} facets. Afterward, the growth of pods occurred along the \{100\} directions (Fig. 1(b)) at a rate that was controlled by a kinetic diffusion of monomers toward those energetic planes. As time progressed, the monomer concentration was reduced and consequently, an intraparticle atomic rearrangement took place, involving the migration of atoms from the pod edges with the most strained surfaces, toward lower energy regions to form rounded pods (Fig. 1(c)). After four hours of reaction duration, Ostwald ripening phenomenon occurred, involving dissolving atoms from small species and their redeposition on larger ones to form symmetric octahedrons (Fig. 1(d)). Fig. 1(e) illustrates a schematic summary of the growth evolution (from left to right).

The shape evolution along the reaction duration was further confirmed by the XRD method. Representative XRD patterns of PbS structures obtained at various time intervals are presented in Fig. 2, in comparison with the diffraction of the bulk PbS (see bars at the bottom of the panel). These patterns display strong and sharp peaks, indicative of highly crystalline structures, matching well with Bragg reflections of a standard face-centered...
cubic (fcc) (space group: Fm\(3m\) (225), \(a = b = c = 0.5931\) nm, JCPDS 05-0592). However, the intensity ratio between (111) and (200) diffraction peaks substantially deviate from that in a bulk crystal. This discrepancy is related to the screening of the (100) plane by the growth of the pods along the \(h_{100}\) direction.

The elemental composition and the crystallographic structure of the produced NSs were examined using scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) combined with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) methods. Fig. 3(a) presents a HAADF-STEM image of a single NS, like that shown in Fig. 1(b). The corresponding EDS-STEM maps are presented in Fig. 3(b–d). They designate a stoichiometric and uniform distribution of the constituent elements (Pb, S).

The three-dimensional stereographic structure of the NSs was verified using a set of TEM experiments. TEM images taken at different zone axes are shown in Fig. 3(e–g), and the corresponding diffraction patterns are seen in Fig. 3(h–j). A view along a zone axis of [1–11] (Fig. 3(e)) revealed a symmetric NS shape. The stretched bars in Fig. 3(h–j) designate families of crystallographic planes attributed to rock salt crystal structure. For example, the bars in Fig. 3(h) illustrate six-fold symmetry frames, showing an overlay between the \{220\} and \{440\} diffraction frames (yellow and blue frames, respectively), and both are twisted with respect to the \{422\} points (green frame) by 30 degrees.

**Correlating morphological transformations with optical properties**

The optical properties of the produced structures were verified via examination of Raman-active vibrational modes and the electronic band-edge transitions. Fig. 4(a) shows the Raman spectra of PbS structures obtained at several stages of the reaction from 1 to 60 minutes. The Raman resonance energies and their assignments are summarized in Table 1. These
Fig. 3  The chemical and stereographic analyses of PbS NSs: (a) HAADF-STEM images of individual NSs. (b and c) EDS-STEM elemental maps of individual elements or their overlay (d). (e–g) TEM images of a single PbS NS, viewed along different zone axes. (h–j) Electron diffraction patterns, corresponding to zone axes as in (e–g), respectively.

Fig. 4  Optical properties of PbS nanostructures: (a) Raman spectra of four aliquots withdrawn from the reaction solution at different time intervals (see indent). (b) Peak deconvolution by two Lorentzian curves (dashed lines I and II) at a spectral range 120–140 cm\(^{-1}\). (c) In situ UV-Vis spectra that were measured during the growth process (after the precursor injection).
spectra display the following resonances in ascending order: a transverse acoustic mode, a combination of acoustic and optical phonon modes, a surface phonon mode, the first and second overtones of the 1LO fundamental mode and two-phonon processes mode. Along with the Raman resonance energies assignments, further analyses were done for the broad asymmetric peak between 100–160 cm$^{-1}$ as displayed in Fig. 4(b). This peak was fitted by two Lorentzian curves, noted as I and II, following the same time intervals as in Fig. 4(a). Peak I is related to a combination of transverse acoustic and optical mode (TA($\Delta$) + TO($\Delta$)) which appears after five minutes in the synthesis time scale, and is associated with the completion of the branching process. Peak II is a combination of longitudinal and transverse acoustic mode (LA(L) + TA(L)) positioned at 140 cm$^{-1}$, appearing along with the initiation of a pod growth (after 1 minute). After 60 minutes of reaction, peak II was shifted to 136 cm$^{-1}$, presumably due to the reduction of the pods and the consequent release of tension. Similar trends were observed in the growth of cubic Si nanocrystals and several III–V nanostructures.

Fig. 4(c) presents the UV-Vis spectra recorded during the growth process according to the color scale at the inset. This set

Table 1  Raman assignments

| Wavenumber (cm$^{-1}$) | The phonon assignments | Ref. |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| 78                     | Transverse acoustic (TA) | 40–42|
| 126 (I)                | A combination of transverse acoustic and optical (TA($\Delta$) + TO($\Delta$)) | 43  |
| 136–140 (1)            | A combination of longitudinal and transverse acoustic (LA(L) + TA(L)) | 43  |
| 180                    | Surface phonon (SP)     | 44  |
| 278                    | Two phonon processes    | 40 and 42|
| 433                    | Longitudinal optic, first overtone (2LO($\Delta$)) | 40 and 42|
| 603                    | Longitudinal optic, second overtone (3LO($\Delta$)) | 40 and 42|

Table 2  Assignments of the FTIR-active vibration modes

| Band assignment | Wavenumber (cm$^{-1}$) | Nature of band | Ref. |
|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|------|
| $\delta$(CH$_3$) | 1334                   | CH$_3$ symmetric deformation (HOA) | 56  |
| $\nu$(COO$^-$)  | 1390, 1395             | COO$^-$ symmetric stretch (Ac$^-$) | 54 and 55|
| $\delta$(CH$_3$) | 1458                   | CH$_3$ asymmetric deformation (HOA) | 56  |
| $\nu$(C–C)      | 1485                   | C–C stretch (in-ring) from DPE | 54  |
| $\nu$(COO$^-$)  | 1530                   | COO$^-$ asymmetric stretch (Ac$^-$) | 54 and 55|
| $\nu$(C–C)      | 1585                   | C–C stretch (in-ring) from DPE | 54  |
| $\nu$(C=O)      | 1710                   | C=O stretch from COOH (HOA/HAc) | 54 and 55|
| $\nu$(CH$_2$)   | 2853                   | CH$_2$ symmetric stretch (HOA) | 56  |
| $\nu$(CH$_3$)   | 2887                   | CH$_3$ symmetric stretch (HOA/HAc) | 56  |
| $\nu$(CH$_2$)   | 2922                   | CH$_2$ asymmetric stretch (HOA) | 56  |
| $\nu$(CH$_3$)   | 2980                   | CH$_3$ asymmetric stretch (HOA/HAc) | 56  |
| $\nu$(OH)       | Centered at about 3200 | OH stretch bonded (dimeric HOA/HAc) | 56  |
of spectra depicts a band-edge transition exhibiting a gradual energy red-shift from 662 nm to 815 nm along with the progress of the growth from 1 minute to 30 minutes. This was followed by a slight blue-shift of band-edge transition over the course of 60 minutes. The absorbance curves resemble that of PbS platelets or nanosheets absorbance profile. The energy extrema were derived either from the first derivative of the absorbance curves (Fig. S4(a)) or from their Tauc plots (Fig. S4(b)). In general, a noticeable blue-shift of band-edge transitions of the various products; in comparison with that of bulk PbS (~3020 nm), stems from the quantum size effect induced by the pods’ thickness and length. Overall, the evolution observed in the optical properties are correlated to the structural/morphological evolutions observed by the TEM micrographs as was shown in Fig. 1(a–c).

Ligand-assisted control of a structural shape

The previous sections discussed the shape transformation of PbS distinctive morphologies via adapting the reaction during a fixed chemical composition, using Pb(Ac)$_2$·3H$_2$O : HOA with a lead-to-oleate ratio of 1 : 4. The following discussion elaborates the ligand control of a shape by varying the proportions between acetate (Ac$^-$), oleic acid (HOA) and the lead precursor. The role of the acetate was examined using the following reaction: (a) a precursor of Pb(Ac)$_2$·3H$_2$O : HOA (1 : 4 ratio) was heated above the boiling point of the acetic acid (>100 °C) under vacuum, while deficiency of Ac$^-$ led to the production of ribbons (see Fig. 5(a)); (b) the use of acetate-free lead salt (PbO) also led to the formation of ribbon-shaped structures (see inset of Fig. 5(a) and S5†). Contrarily, the surplus concentration of acetate in the solution (with the lead-to-acetate ratio of 1 : 4) upon the use of Pb(Ac)$_4$ precursor, generated uniform and well-defined NSs after the first ten seconds of the reaction (see TEM image in Fig. 5(b)). The last TEM image resembles the NS seen in Fig. 1(b), which was produced after a longer growth time (5 minutes), proposing that the high acetate concentration accelerates the growth rate. The fast growth was supported by viewing a rapid spectral shift of the absorbance curves (see Fig. S4(c)). So, the discussed observations emphasize the role of the acetate in the preservation of the 3D NSs. Similar structural control through the molar proportion of reactants was reported for the growth of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO branched nanostructures.

The role of oleate in casting the nanostructure shape was explored by maintaining a steady acetate content (using the Pb(Ac)$_2$·3H$_2$O precursor) but varying the amounts of HOA additive molecules. The absence of HOA guided nanoplatelet formation, as displayed in the TEM image at the bottom inset of Fig. 5(c). A small amount of HOA [Pb(Ac)$_2$·3H$_2$O : HOA with 1 : 1 ratio] did not prevent the 3D clustering, however, an aggregation process occurred (see top inset of Fig. 5(c)). Only upon maintaining the lead-to-oleate ratio of at least 1 : 4, high-quality and uniform hexapods have been achieved.

FTIR spectroscopy was used to track the functionality of the involved ligands (OA$^-$ and Ac$^-$) in a chemical reaction. The labeled modes under consideration are summarized in Table 2.
Fig. 6(a) depicts the FTIR spectra of the lead precursor ($\text{Pb(Ac)}_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in HOA and DPE solution) heated to various temperatures as indicated at the inset. The spectra contain a few typical vibration modes as labeled on the panel, whose intensities vary with the heating process. Interestingly, the $\nu(C=O)$ band originating from carboxylic acid is diminished with the increase of the heating temperature, while in parallel, two broad peaks related to $\nu(COO^{-})$ and $\nu_{as}(COO^{-})$ bands emerged.\(^{23,25}\) The appearance of those symmetric and asymmetric modes reflects the existence of $\text{Ac}^{-}$ and $\text{OA}^{-}$ species, presumably within a complex of the kind, $\text{Pb(Ac)}_2(\text{OA})_{\text{n}}$. In addition, Fig. 6(a) shows the emergence of a broad $\nu(\text{O}-\text{H})$ resonance above 100 °C, more likely related to freestanding acids (HOA, HAc), around the evaporation temperature of water molecules. This resonance intensity is reduced at 170 °C, close to the acids’ evaporation temperatures.\(^{56}\)

Fig. 6(b) represents a magnification to the $\nu(COO^{-})$ (left: $\nu_{as}(\text{COO}^{-})$ (right: $\nu_{as-low}$ with a mutual gap of 123 cm\(^{-1}\)) zones, revealing a split of each one into two bands, suggesting the existence of two types of carboxylate species: a chelating bidentate configuration attributed to the $\text{Ac}^{-}$ moiety\(^{52}\) ($\nu_{as-high}$ and $\nu_{as-low}$ with a mutual gap of 123 cm\(^{-1}\)) a bridging configuration belonging to the $\text{OA}^{-}$ moiety\(^{57,58}\) ($\nu_{as-high}$ and $\nu_{as-low}$ with a mutual gap of 159 cm\(^{-1}\)). Fig. 6(c) displays FTIR spectra of ligands after they were attached to dry PbS assemblies (bottom curves) in comparison with the FTIR of the involved acids as freestanding molecules (two top curves). The highlighted blue area emphasizes the freestanding molecules (two top curves). The highlighted blue area is related to aliphatic C–H stretching modes, including $\nu(\text{CH}_3)$, $\nu(\text{CH}_2)$ and the bending mode, $\delta(\text{CH}_3)$ of organic surfactants. The FTIR observations indicate a ligand exchange process during the PbS NSs formation, and a proposed chemical reaction with the by-products formation is presented in Fig. 6.\(^{\dagger}\)

**Conclusion**

The current study conveys a thorough understanding of the growth stages involved in the formation of star-like nanocrystals and the critical chemical factors that dictate their growth and quality. A set of control experiments, implementing different lead precursors, surfactant concentrations, and varying the reaction duration, revealed the main role of each factor. In particular, the observations reflect the importance of an extensive amount of acetate (Ac\(^{-}\)) during the reaction, terminating the reactivity of the {111} facets at an early stage in the reaction, and consequently, permitting the growth along the six (100) directions up to the formation of the star-like shapes. The restricted regions between pods hinder the penetration of oleic acid, and therefore, do not exchange the tightly bound acetate molecules close to the seed. Instead, the oleate additive ions bind to {111} at the frame of the pods, where their bulky volume mitigates aggregation among neighboring NSs. Beyond a certain reaction interval (depending on the primary lead precursor type), atomic migration and Ostwald ripening take place up to the formation of a sub-micro size octahedron, so that a stopwatch is essential for total isolation of NSs structures. In general, hexapod semiconductor nanostructures are widely considered as an advanced class of architectures toward catalysis and renewable energy applications especially when their sizes are nanometric and a quantum confinement process could be achieved. Determining the optimal conditions for the formation of these structures and their characteristic properties lays the groundwork for their implementation in industrial applications.

**Methodologies**

**Material synthesis**

Lead oxide (PbO; 99.9%), lead acetate trihydrate (Pb(Ac)\(_2\) \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}), lead tetraacetate (Pb(Ac)\(_4\)), thiourea (TU; 99.99%), oleic acid (HOA; Tech. and ≥99%), diphenyl ether (DPE; Tech.), were purchased from Aldrich. Dimethylformamide (DMF), methanol (absolute), and toluene (analytical) were purchased from Frutarom. If not indicated otherwise, the highest-grade chemicals were used without purification.

**Experimental section**

The PbS NSs synthesis was developed during this research and it bases on a bottom-up approach using the hot injection method. The NSs were prepared by dissolving 860 mg of lead acetate ($\text{Pb(Ac)}_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$) in a mixture of 10 mL diphenyl ether (DPE) and 3.35 mL oleic acid (HOA) for 1 hour at 50 °C, then the blend was heated under N\(_2\) atmosphere to 170 °C and a 0.2 mL of a 0.038 g of thiourea (TU) in 6.5 mL dimethylformamide (DMF) was injected rapidly to start the reaction. The growth was followed by withdrawing aliquots from the reaction solution at different times, which were injected into cold toluene to quench the process. The NSs were then precipitated by adding a foreign solvent (e.g. methanol) and centrifuging the precipitate for removing the unreacted precursors and solvents. The cleaning and centrifugation processes were repeated twice, followed by redispersion in toluene for further characterizations.

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and elemental analysis.** Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images, high-resolution TEM (HR-TEM) images, and diffraction patterns were obtained using a Thermo Fisher/FEI Tecnai G\(^2\) T20 S-Twin LaB\(_6\) TEM operated at 200 keV and equipped with a 1 K × 1 K Gatan 694 slow-scan CCD. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectra (EDS) and high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) imaging in a scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) mode with 200 kV acceleration voltage were recorded by the Thermo Fisher/FEI Titan Cubed Themis G\(^2\) 60-300 FEG double-Cs-corrected S/TEM equipped with Dual Bruker XFlash6100 EDS detector with an effective solid angle of 1.76 sr for fast and precise local (atomic) chemical analysis. Thermo Fisher Scientific Velox software was used during data registration and for further data analysis. The samples for TEM and STEM measurements were prepared by dropping the PbS several dispersions at room temperature; on copper TEM grids.
with ultrathin carbon film on lacey carbon (Ted Pella, Inc. ultrathin carbon film on lacey carbon support film, 400 mesh, copper). Samples were purified by plasma cleaning before TEM and STEM investigation.

**High-resolution scanning electron microscopy (HR-SEM).** High-resolution scanning electron microscopy images were registered using Zeiss Ultra-Plus HR-SEM at 2 keV. The samples were prepared by dropping the several PbS dispersions on carbon tape.

**X-ray diffraction (XRD).** Thin films of PbS aliquots obtained at different time intervals were prepared by drop-casting of concentrated suspension onto a clean glass microscope slide and further drying. The X-ray diffraction patterns were acquired by Rigaku SmartLab 9.0 kW diffractometer with the X-ray source operating at 45 kV and 150 mA. The Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54 Å) and a K beta filter were used; 2θ/2θ scans were acquired. The “glancing mode” (grazing angle) method (2θ) in parallel beam (PB) geometry was used, which is suitable for measuring thin films. The X-ray source was fixed on ω = 0.5°, and the detector was moved in the range of 2θ = 20–90°.

**FTIR spectroscopy.** Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was performed for both solid and liquid aliquots with a Bruker FTIR spectrometer. Spectra were recorded in the infrared range 4000–400 cm⁻¹, at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹, by accumulating 32 scans, further analyzed by the built-in software (OPUS).

**Raman spectroscopy.** The samples were prepared by drop-casting concentrated suspension onto a clean glass substrate. Room temperature spectra were recorded by a micro-Raman spectrometer (Horiba Jobin-Yvon LabRam HR), with an Nd:YAG laser (532 nm) and 1800 g mm⁻¹ grating.

**Absorbance characterization.** Optical absorbance spectra were recorded in situ via a probe inserted into the three-neck flask. Zeiss multi-channel spectrophotometer system MCS 621VISII/611 NIR 2.2 was used with a 1 mm light path probe length, near-UV-Vis-NIR spectral range.
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