Whole genome sequence association analysis of fasting glucose and fasting insulin levels in diverse cohorts from the NHLBI TOPMed program

The genetic determinants of fasting glucose (FG) and fasting insulin (FI) have been studied mostly through genome arrays, resulting in over 100 associated variants. We extended this work with high-coverage whole genome sequencing analyses from fifteen cohorts in NHLBI's Trans-Omics for Precision Medicine (TOPMed) program. Over 23,000 non-diabetic individuals from five race-ethnicities/populations (African, Asian, European, Hispanic and Samoan) were included. Eight variants were significantly associated with FG or FI across previously identified regions MTNR1B, G6PC2, GCK, GCKR and FOXA2. We additionally characterize suggestive associations with FG or FI near previously identified SLC30A8, TCF7L2, and ADCYS regions as well as APOB, PTPRT, and ROBO1. Functional annotation resources including the Diabetes Epigenome Atlas were compiled for each signal (chromatin states, annotation principal components, and others) to elucidate variant-to-function hypotheses. We provide a catalog of nucleotide-resolution genomic variation spanning intergenic and intronic regions creating a foundation for future sequencing-based investigations of glycemic traits.

A full list of authors and their affiliations appears at the end of the paper.
Type 2 diabetes (T2D) and insulin resistance are complex genetic conditions often characterized by disruptions of normal levels of fasting glucose (FG) and fasting insulin (FI)\(^1\). These traits are influenced by a spectrum of common to rare genetic variation\(^2\)–\(^7\) with most evidence coming from genome-wide association studies (GWAS)\(^8\)–\(^9\), exome arrays\(^1\)–\(^3\),\(^6\) whole-exome sequencing\(^2\), and small samples of low-pass whole-genome sequencing (WGS)\(^1\)–\(^10\). These efforts have found over 100, mostly common (minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.05), variants associated with FG or FI, including those in non-coding and intergenic spaces\(^2\)–\(^4\),\(^6\)–\(^9\). We expand on these previous studies by assessing common, low frequency (MAF < 0.05), and rare (MAF < 0.01) variants through comprehensive WGS association analysis in diverse population cohorts in NHLBI’s Trans-Omics for Precision Medicine (TOPMed) program. The current study aims to better understand the variants at GWAS loci through recent annotation accumulation efforts including the Diabetes Genome Atlas (DGA).

Results

Phenotypes and genotypes in the NHLBI TOPMed program.

We identified and characterized common and rare variants associated with FG and (natural log-transformed) FI through association testing using WGS data from fifteen cohorts in TOPMed (Supplementary Table 1). As in prior quantitative trait analyses, we excluded individuals with diabetes (by glycemia or medication), resulting in a total sample size of 26,807 individuals with FG and 23,211 individuals with FI. This represents a diverse sample of four self-reported race/ethnicities and one population group including African, Asian, European, Hispanic, and Samoan, respectively. Finally, we characterize all reported regions with annotations including chromatin states, annotation principal components (PCs), expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL), and others from recent annotation accumulation efforts including the Diabetes Genome Atlas (DGA).

Whole-genome sequence significant associations with fasting glucose and insulin.

We identified eight distinct variants significantly associated with FG or FI across five gene regions in the pooled race/ethnicity analysis (\(P < 1.0 \times 10^{-7}\), Table 1). These include previously identified MTNR1B, G6PC2, GCK, GCKR, and FOXA2 gene regions (Supplementary Data 3–4). MTNR1B had a distinct secondary signal after conditioning on the lead variant. G6PC2 had three distinct association signals, one of which was rare (MAF < 0.01), as determined by sequential conditional analysis. These distinct secondary and tertiary signals are also reported in Table 1 (locus-wide significance threshold of \(1.0 \times 10^{-5}\), “Methods”) and further described in the following sections. Manhattan and QQ plots for single variant analyses of FG and FI are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. Our significantly associated variants replicate previous GWAS findings, which are summarized in Supplementary Data 3–4. We further characterize these variants in the context of sequencing and related available resources as summarized in Fig. 1. We used the Diabetes Epigenome Atlas (DGA) and TOPMed resources to provide functional annotations including chromatin states, annotation principal components (aPCs)\(^1\) that each provide a summary of related functional annotations via PCA (“Methods”), and expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) from adipose, pancreas, liver and skeletal muscle. In addition to variant descriptions in Fig. 1, regional locus plots with tissue-specific annotations for reported loci in Supplementary Fig. 2, and associations of reported loci with related traits in Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Data 5. Selected regions are further described based on the data below.

**MTNR1B** intronic variant rs10830963 (\(P = 9.1 \times 10^{-46}\)) has been characterized as a strong signal for insulin secretion\(^1\); this variant is in a weak transcription chromatin state in islets, is a metabolite QTL for glucose\(^1\),\(^4\),\(^5\), and is a pancreatic-islet-specific eQTL associated with the expression of **MTNR1B**\(^6\). Identified after conditioning on the primary variant rs10830963 in the **MTNR1B** region, intronic variant rs73560545 occurs upstream of the primary signal and had a lowering effect on FG, in contrast to the primary signal which had a glucose-raising effect. While this is a well-known region in the context of these traits, this secondary variant at rs73560545 has not been previously identified in the reviewed literature (Supplementary Data 3–4).

The **GCKR** locus had a significant association with both FG and FI at rs1260326 (\(P = 6.1 \times 10^{-21}\), \(7.2 \times 10^{-13}\), respectively) with functional activity suggested by its relatively high aPC-Epigenetics and aPC-Transcription-Factor values. This variant is also an eQTL and pQTL associated with many genes and proteins, most relevantly with insulin\(^7\). **GCKR** and rs1260326 have been previously described in previous studies for both traits (Supplementary Data 3–4).

The **FOXA2** locus has also been previously found to be associated with glycemic traits, regulating gene expression for glucose sensing in pancreatic beta cells\(^8\). We observe one FG-associated signal at rs3833331 (\(P = 5.4 \times 10^{-10}\)), a variant moderately linked to previously identified **FOXA2** lead variants rs6048205 and rs6048216, and based on conditional analysis is likely the same signal. The variant rs3833331 is in the 3′ UTR of the gene and classified as a CAGE promoter, GeneHancer, and SuperEnhancer. It is in an active TSS chromatin state for both pancreas and islets. Our identified variant rs3833331 is frequent in African individuals, while it has relatively low frequency in both European and Hispanic race/ethnicities.

variants. This is 20% smaller than a recent multi-ethnic GWAS of glycemic traits\(^1\) which reported a median 99% credible set size of 15.
Rare variant aggregate testing performed using both gene-centric and genetic region approaches identified one significantly associated region with FG at the known G6PC2 locus, described in the next section (Supplementary Data 6–7). No rare variant aggregate signals were found to be associated with FI that were not composed mostly of singletons (Supplementary Data 8–9). Manhattan plots for region-based rare variant aggregate analysis in Supplementary Fig. 4.

Refinement of the multi-allelic associations at the known G6PC2 locus. At the known FG and T2D-associated G6PC2 locus, we observed several previously identified variant associations with FG (Fig. 2). In single variant analyses, we identified three distinct association signals, the third of which was a previously identified association after conditioning on two previously reported common GWAS variants, rs560887 (primary signal, \(P = 6.8 \times 10^{-37}\)) and rs492594 (secondary signal, \(P = 9.9 \times 10^{-14}\)). The rare missense variant rs2232326 (tertiary signal, \(P = 5.0 \times 10^{-6}\)) is annotated by the aPCs as disruptive and likely damaging, with a score falling in the top 7% distribution of the aPC representing “protein function” (aPC-Protein Function = 31.5, top 7% genome-wide). In addition, rs2232326 appears to be highly conserved, with a score falling in the top 0.13% of the distribution of an aPC representing “conservation” (aPC-Conservation = 28.8, top 0.13% genome-wide). The genomic region surrounding rs2232326 is annotated to be in a weakly transcribed chromatin state, relative to the genome, in islets and this variant is near the transcription end site (Fig. 2). The frequency of the C allele at rs2232326 was <0.01 in all race/ethnicity groups except for the Asian group where the frequency was 0.03 (gnomAD: East Asian AF = 0.05, Overall AF = 0.01). In aggregate gene-centric testing of all 75 rare missense variants in G6PC2, this previously identified rare (MAF = 0.01) variant rs2232326, along with variant rs2232323 (MAF = 0.01), contributed the most to the significant association test statistic (\(P_{\text{B}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-10}\), Supplementary Data 6).

Given the multiple distinct signals at G6PC2, we performed a haplotype analysis to evaluate the contribution of rare variants and identify allele-specific effects. We extended the haplotype analysis of Mahajan et al. (rs560887, rs138726309, rs2232323, rs492594) to include our secondary (rs540524) and tertiary (rs2232326) signals. Our secondary signal is in moderate linkage (\(r^2 = 0.58\)) to the previously hapltyped rs492594 and the effect allele A has a glucose-raising effect in both marginal and conditional analyses (Supplementary Table 4 and Table 1). We observed consistent direction of effects as the previous haplotype analysis, demonstrating the reliability of associations identified in the present TOPMed sample. Both haplotypes containing the C allele of the tertiary signal at rs2232326, the variant with the largest effect size included in the analysis, had glucose-lowering effects. The largest glucose-lowering effects at G6PC2 were observed at the two haplotypes each carrying a rare allele: rs2232326 (rs560887-C, rs138726309-C, rs2232323-A, rs492594-C, rs540524-G, rs2232326-C, Beta = −0.15 ± 0.00002) and rs2232326 (rs560887-T, rs138726309-C, rs2232323-C, rs492594-G, rs540524-A, rs2232326-T, Beta = −0.11 ± 0.00008, Supplementary Table 4).

Additional suggestive associations with fasting glucose and insulin. We further report twelve distinct variants suggestively associated with FG or FI across ten gene regions in the pooled race/ethnicity analysis and ancestry-specific analyses (\(P < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}\); Table 2). These include previously identified SLC30A8, TCF7L2, and ADCY5 gene regions (Supplementary Data 3–4). Other regions not previously identified include APOB, PTPTRT, ROBO1 and those described in the ancestry-specific section below. SLC30A8 and PTPTRT have distinct secondary signals identified through conditional analysis, which are also
reported in Table 2 (locus-wide significance threshold \( P < 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \)). We outline these suggestive signals and the corresponding gene regions below to provide annotation and description and to provide context for investigation of these signals in future, larger studies.

In the ADCY5 region, variant rs72964564 \( (P = 2.8 \times 10^{-8}) \) showed suggestive association with FG and is highly linked \( (r^2 = 0.86 \text{ in the present study sample}) \) with the known FG-associated variant rs11708067. Both ADCY5 variants are designated GeneHancer and SuperEnhancer variants, and rs72964564 is in an active enhancer state for adipose tissue and is an eQTL associated with ADCY5 expression in pancreatic islets\(^{16}\). ADCY5 and rs72964564 have been previously identified in studies of FG (Supplementary Data 3–4).

Near the APOB region a suggestively associated variant at rs4785868 \( (P = 2.9 \times 10^{-9}) \) has not previously identified (Supplementary Data 4). Variant rs4785868 has robust associations with lipid traits\(^{20}\) and significant parent-of-origin effects on metabolic traits\(^{21}\). Lipid traits have been studied for pleiotropy with glycemic traits but have been inconclusive with respect to APOB. Replication was attempted in UK-BioBank (UKBB) with consistent direction of effect and \( P = 0.01 \), but it should be noted UKBB sample used was not based on WGS data (Supplementary Table 5).

We identified a pair of suggestively FG-associated signals in islet-specific active enhancer regions at the known SLC30A8 locus. The primary signal is at variant rs35859536 \( (P = 1.0 \times 10^{-9}) \), which is an intergenic variant 2.5KB downstream of SLC30A8. This variant is highly linked \( (r^2 > 0.95) \) to previously identified lead variants rs11558471 and rs3802177 at SLC30A8, both of which are in the 3’ UTR. This is a known T2D susceptibility locus and has been identified as associated with triglyceride levels\(^{22}\). Our lead variant is also significantly associated with T2D in TOPMed (Supplementary Data 5)\(^{23}\). To evaluate potential causal variants (“Methods”) we performed credible set analyses and found rs35859536 has a posterior probability (PP) of 0.48; other variants in the 95% credible set with PP of at least 0.05 were either missense or in the 3’ UTR, are highly linked with this lead variant \( (r^2 > 0.97) \), and were significantly associated with FG in previous studies\(^{2,8,24}\).

We identified a pair of suggestively novel (to the best of our knowledge) rare variant signals associated with FI near the APOB region in a suggestively novel (to the best of our knowledge) FI-associated rare variant, SLC30A8\(^{8}\). This locus has previously been studied for pleiotropy with related traits (HbA1c and type 2 diabetes) and effect allele frequency (with respect to the pooled analysis effect allele) across race/ethnicities in TOPMed are reported. Chromatin states at relevant tissues were drawn from two sets of experiments from DGA\(^{46,47}\); annotation PCs provide summaries of multi-faceted variant function; variants that are significantly associated with FG in previous studies\(^{2,8,24}\).

In the Supplementary Data 3–4, we observe nominal significance of the primary signal with a consistent direction of effect, while the secondary signal was too low frequency in this cohort to estimate an effect (Supplementary Table 6).

The secondary suggestively FG-associated signal at the SLC30A8 locus is at variant rs542965166 \( (P = 1.9 \times 10^{-6}) \). This intergenic variant is only observed in individuals in the Asian population (Asian EAF = 0.007); this race/ethnicity-specificity is replicated in gnomAD\(^{26}\) where the allele is only observed in East Asians at a rare frequency. This secondary, race/ethnicity-specific signal is not highly linked to other variants in the region, which may indicate that this is a distinct, secondary signal and requires further follow-up in an Asian population.

Upstream of the ROBO1 locus we identified a suggestively novel (to the best of our knowledge) FI-associated rare variant, rs359973028 \( (P = 4.7 \times 10^{-8}) \). This locus has previously been studied for SLIT-ROBO signaling and expression in T2D complication diabetic retinopathy\(^{27}\). ROBO1 has been associated with the glycemia-related traits of BMI and waist-to-hip ratio\(^{28,30}\) and is commonly expressed in muscle and skin\(^{31}\). This variant is only observed in the African population of TOPMed and gnomAD\(^{26}\). It is intergenic and in a weakly transcribed region in islets.

We identified a pair of distinct, suggestively novel (to the best of our knowledge) rare variant signals associated with FI near the PPTT gene (Table 2). The primary signal, rs185250851 \( (P = 2.1 \times 10^{-8}) \), is an intronic variant. It is rare in all tested
Race/ethnicity-specific analyses associated with fasting glucose and insulin. In race/ethnicity-specific analyses, we observed two not previously identified race/ethnicity-specific rare variant suggestive associations with FG in individuals of the Hispanic/Latinx population (Table 2). The first signal, rs1328056 (P = 3.6 × 10−8), is an intronic variant in the HS6ST2 gene, which has been associated with obesity and impaired glucose metabolism in mouse studies13. The second signal is an intergenic variant near the ATPCKMT gene, rs13361160 (P = 3.1 × 10−8) which is associated with eosinophil counts, a measure that has been negatively correlated with FG14. We would require further data from individuals from the Hispanic/Latinx population in order to replicate these suggestive signals.

We identified two suggestively novel (to the best of our knowledge) race/ethnicity-specific rare alleles associated with FI. In the European population, rs775018107 (P = 4.5 × 10−8) at the LINC00704/LINC00705 locus was suggestively associated with FI (Table 2). We also identified a suggestive FI association in the Samoan population cohort at rs117592405 (P = 3.3 × 10−8); this intronic variant was not replicated in an independent Samoan cohort using imputed genotypes (N = 1401, Supplementary Table 7).

Enrichment of trait-associated variants in chromatin states. We assessed whether our trait-associated variants were found more often than expected in a particular chromatin state using the tool GREGOR (Genomic Regulatory Elements and Gwas Overlap algorithm)15 (“Methods”). We observe that fasting glucose-associated variants are found more often in “Active Enhancers”, “Weak Transcription”, and “Genic Enhancer” chromatin states in Islets (P < 0.05, Supplementary Table 8). This complements findings from Chen et al.12 showing similar enrichment of glycemic trait-associated signals in islet enhancers.

Discussion

In this paper, we leveraged high-coverage WGS data in large multi-ethnic population-based cohorts to assemble a comprehensive catalog of nucleotide-resolution genomic variation associated with the key diabetes-related quantitative traits FG and FI. Our analysis covered intergenic and intronic regions to a MAC of 20 in single variant analysis and combines base pair variation with tissue-specific epigenomic annotation to illuminate variant-to-function hypotheses in diabetes pathobiology.

A strength of the present analysis is the inclusion of individuals from 15 cohorts, comprised of four major race/ethnicity groups and one population group (African, Asian, European, Hispanic/Latinx, and Samoan, respectively). Some of our reported regions

Fig. 2 Regional investigation of three conditionally significant signals associated with fasting glucose in the G6PC2 locus in TOPMed.

Regional association plot of −log10 P values by genomic position for sequential conditional single-variant analyses. The linkage disequilibrium (r²) between the primary signal (rs560887, 2:168906638:T:C), as defined by the highest posterior probability, and variants in the region for each panel as calculated in TOPMed is indicated in the colors of the points. The chromatin states at four relevant tissues47 and annotation PCs are provided across the region. APC1, APC Epigenetics, APC2, APC Conservation, APC3, APC Protein, APC9, APC Distance to TSS/TSE; EnhA1, Active Enhancer 1; EnhA2, Active Enhancer 2; Het, Heterochromatin; Quies, Quiescent/Low; ReprPC, Repressed PolyComb; ReprPCWk, Weak Repressed PolyComb; TssA, Active TSS; TssFlnk, Flanking TSS; TxWk, Weak Transcription; ZNF/Rpts, ZNF genes & repeat.
were either mostly or exclusively present in a single race/ethnic group. These include the secondary SLC30A8 variant rs542965166 only observed in the Asian group, ROBO1’s rs539973028 only present in African group, and others. Previous genetic studies of glycemic traits have included samples primarily from individuals of European ancestry, but increasingly a larger degree of African ancestry. The most recent meta-analysis by the MAGIC consortium included ∼30% non-European ancestry individuals, demonstrating that a number of trait-associated loci that would have been undetected in samples exclusively of European ancestry18. While extending the genetic ancestries studied beyond European populations, the MAGIC results were subject to the limitation of imputation by the 1000 Genomes Project reference panel, so most rare and ancestry-specific variation was still not assessed. In addition, we observe a 20% decrease in average 99% credible set size from the MAGIC results suggesting value of WGS in fine-mapping.

This analysis benefits from the availability of whole-genome sequencing data provided by the TOPMed Program of the NHLBI’s Precision Medicine Initiative10,32. Previous studies have been limited by reliance on imputation or minimal sample sizes for data with sequencing paired with glycemic phenotypes. The GoT2D study has performed WGS in a limited sample, contributing to the larger DIAMANTE meta-analysis of summary statistics but relying on imputation for complete genotyping of most samples.33 The UKBB study includes a large set of primarily European individuals with whole-exome sequencing; however, the sample size with measured fasting glycemic traits is limited as described in the validation study. One of the most expansive efforts, a MAGIC collaboration9,34, has performed extensive analyses for glycemic traits, but results rely primary on Exome Chip data and thus have limited coverage of intergenic and intronic regions6. Our significant findings replicate previous GWAS findings in terms of gene regions, but we are able to characterize these regions in great detail and report on specific variants which may not previously described in these known regions, such as the secondary MTNR1B-associated variant.

In addition to reporting significant and suggestive associations, we provide detailed characterization of each locus in terms of functional annotations, chromatin states, quantitative trait loci, related trait associations and more. The G6PC2 in particular was described in terms of allelic effects and provided functional characterization of low-frequency signal, demonstrating the glucose-lowering effects of rare alleles and islet-specificity of this locus’s associations. Many of our reported regions lie in enhancer or transcription start site chromatin states, and we particularly see enrichment in enhancer states in islets. This agrees with findings of previous GWAS and the expected relevant tissues for glycemic traits. We provide this data and the visualizations for use in future investigation of these loci.

A limitation of this study is our smaller sample size compared to the most recent GWAS. Our significant single variant results are all found near previously identified gene regions. Also, many of our suggestively novel results lack substantiating replication, particularly those which are race/ethnicity specific. We analyzed independent studies with genetic data to investigate associations significant in TOPMed; we were unable to replicate potentially novel signals in these external cohorts. This may be attributable to limitations in the available replication studies’ samples with respect to size, race/ethnicity and imputed versus WGS genotypes. To support the understanding of these signals, we consider a set of tissue-specific chromatin states, an effort that would benefit from further tissue-specific characterization across functional measures. This could also help inform the underlying biological mechanisms of glycemic regulation and its role in diabetes.
This multi-ethnic WGS study provides the foundation for future sequencing-based investigation of glycemic traits. Our results from common and rare variant analysis comprised multiple suggestive hits, including those with exceedingly rare variants that require further investigation, indicating the potential for the identification of novel signals given larger sequencing studies and external validation studies. The value of diverse studies like TOPMed is further evidenced by the specificity of such signals to certain populations and cohorts. This value is also demonstrated by the intrinsic and intergenic location of many such suggested signals. These signals, in both single variant and rare variant set-based testing, indicated that many associations lie outside gene boundaries and it is important to perform genome-wide single variant testing but also complement gene-centric RV testing with region-based RV testing to fully capture signal. Future TOPMed study phases will permit the continued investigation of these signals empowered by increased sample sizes, with future directions including detailed fine-mapping of signal regions and assessment of glycemic trait heritability. To support future research, all results from these analyses have been made available to the research community through the Type 2 Diabetes Knowledge Portal (Genetic Association Data will be released in January 2021)17.

Methods

Whole-genome sequencing. Whole-genome sequencing of blood samples for all participants included deep coverage (>30x on average) sequencing from blood samples provided by the NHLBI TOPMed program. Sequencing was performed across six centers (Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Northwest Genomics Center, New York Genome Center, Illumina Genomic Services, Macrogen, and a Baylor College of Medicine Human Genome Sequencing Center) as previously described35. The TOPMed Informatics Research Center at the University of Michigan performed data harmonization and joint variant discovery and genotype calling, requiring DNA sample contamination below 3% and at least 95% of the genomic data to be at 5x coverage. Freeze 5b was aligned to GRCh38 reads from the 1000 Genomes Project reference sequences. The samples were further processed by a centralized pipeline by the TOPMed Data Coordinating Center at the University of Washington, where further quality control and sample-identity resolution were performed, including sex and relatedness concordance and selection of variants with missingness <5% and QUAL > 127. Variants were also checked via an excess heterozygosity filter (EXHET), which removed the variant if the Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium P-value was < 1 × 10−6, after accounting for population structure. After processing, Freeze 5b contained 54,508 samples with 438 million single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and 33 million short insertion-deletion variants.

Population structure principal components were calculated across all Freeze 5b TOPMed participants using PC-AIR; a genetic relatedness matrix was calculated across all Freeze 5b TOPMed participants using PC-Relate accounting for population structure. Race/ethnicity was determined by self-report from each study. Self-reported race/ethnicity was used in conjunction with principal component and/or genetic relatedness matrix adjustment to control for both genetic and individually identified ancestry.37

Phenotype harmonization. Phenotype harmonization proceeded following a protocol defined by the TOPMed Diabetes Working Group for participating TOPMed studies. Each study and individual was harmonized across the Diabetes Working Group protocol. Within a study, monzygotic twins were retained and the duplicate to be kept was chosen based on verification of cohort characteristics, including proper cohort sequencing center designation, and then by highest call rate. Across studies, duplicates were selected by removing missing trait data, prioritizing population-based cohorts, and retaining individual records with the longest follow-up period. All study participants provided informed consent and each study was approved by their respective institutional review boards.

Sample and power. The present analysis included 23,211 (FG) and 26,807 (FG) individuals from the NHLBI TOPMed program. The cohorts included consist of participants of self-reported African American (FI n = 6,803; FG n = 7,174), East Asian (FI n = 3,572; FG n = 2,217), European (FI n = 13,281; FG n = 14,513), Hispanic/Latinx (FI n = 1,641; FG n = 1,989), and Samoan (FI n = 914; FG n = 914) race/ethnicity. Our analysis of fasting insulin included 14 cohorts and fasting glucose included 15 cohorts. The sample is predominantly of European race/ethnicity (57.2%; FG 54.1% and East Asian 6.2%); full cohort descriptions are given in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3.

We performed a post hoc power calculation to evaluate the power to detect genetic signal at the genome-wide threshold for statistical significance of 5 × 10^−8. The statistical power was calculated at 0.5% and 0.57–2.41% variation in glycemic trait explained by a gene in race/ethnicity-specific analyses for FG and FI, respectively. The pooled study including all samples was powered to detect 0.16% and 0.17% percent variation in glycemic trait explained by a gene for FG and FI, respectively.

Single-variant analysis. We performed single variant analysis in Freeze 5b of TOPMed using race/ethnicity-specific and pooled approaches. We tested 64,675,008 variants for associations with FG and 58,759,883 with FI in both pooled and race/ethnicity-specific analyses, and restricted analysis to variants with minor allele count ≥ 20. We used linear mixed effects models and adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, study/ethnicity, with heterogeneous variance across study/race/ethnicity groups and empirical kinship for relatedness and population structure. Models were fit using GENetic Estimation and Inference in Structured samples (GENESIS)39 in the Analysis Commons cloud-computing platform40. P-values reported are for a two-sided Wald test from the mixed model. Fasting glucose and natural log-transformed fasting insulin were used as outcomes in separate models. We define the standard genome-wide threshold for statistical significance as 1 × 10−8. We also report variants with P < 5 × 10−8 as suggestively associated to provide context for regions of interest for future, higher-powered studies.

Stepwise conditional analysis was performed at each identified locus, defined to be a 500 kb region centered on the most significant variant, in order to identify distinct signals. This analysis proceeded by first including the most significant variant as a covariate, and repeating until no variants were associated with the phenotype with p-value < 1 × 10−7. For each distinct signal, a final model was run conditioning on the set of other distinct signals; we report these potentially distinct signals.

Towards fine-mapping the identified loci, we generated 95% credible sets to investigate likely causal variants (LocalZoom). For each locus, we calculated Bayes factors for all variants from their single variant p-value; p-values were taken from conditional analyses on all other identified variants at the locus where multiple distinct signals were identified in the stepwise conditional analysis. We calculated posterior probability of association (PP) of each variant as the proportion of descending PP, indicating decreasing probability that the variant is truly associated with the glycemic trait. The 95% credible set was constructed by including variants, starting with the highest PPA, until their cumulative PPA exceeded 0.95. 99% credible sets were similarly constructed for association signals from the pooled analysis only.

Rare variant analysis. We performed gene-based and genetic region aggregate testing to identify sets of rare variants associated with fasting glucose and log-transformed fasting insulin. We first fit a heteroscedastic linear mixed model for fasting glucose and log-transformed fasting insulin. Both traits were adjusted for age, sex, body mass index (BMI), study/ethnicity group indicators, and ten population structure principal components. A variance component was included for the empirically derived sparse kinship matrix and residual variances were permitted to be different for study/race/ethnicity groups to account for family relatedness, population structure, and study-race/ethnicity differences.

We performed single variant analysis on all cohorts and race/ethnicity groups combined. We considered rare variants as those not taken into diabetes medication, with fasting glucose <7 mmol/l and/or HIV < 6.5%. For individuals with multiple blood draws, the earliest exam or most complete exam was used. Age, sex, and BMI covariates were reported at the time of glycemic trait measurement. Fasting was defined to be at least 8 h without food or drink; measurements from blood were converted to plasma using a 1.13 correction factor. Liver glycogen and insulin were natural log-transformed prior to analysis in order to address non-normality.
based on \( \omega_j = \text{Beta}(\alpha_j; a_j, a_j) \), where \( a_j = 1 \) and \( a_j = 25 \) or \( a_j = 1 \) and \( a_j = 1 \).

Statistical significance was defined for each glycemic trait, separately for gene-centric and genetic region analysis. For gene-centric analysis, a threshold was defined by a Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold of \( \alpha = 0.05/120,000 = 4 \times 10^{-7} \), correcting for all five masks and all protein-coding genes when considering the minimum \( p \)-value across the burden and SKAT tests (Supplementary Table 9). The threshold for the genetic region analysis was determined given the total number of 2 kb sliding windows tested, yielding a Bonferroni-corrected threshold of \( \alpha = 0.05/(2.68 \times 10^9) = 1.86 \times 10^{-7} \). We report sets that include variant(s) with effective minor allele count greater than five and that are not exclusively composed of singletons; complete results based on the significance threshold are provided in Supplementary Data 6–9.

Haplotype analysis. We performed haplotype analysis for variants associated with fasting glucose. This analysis considered a set of 18,071 unrelated individuals, identified by PC-AiR41 by the TOPMed Program with a threshold of third-degree relatives. We performed regression of fasting glucose on haplotype using a two-step EM algorithm on the unphased genotypes, as implemented in the haplo.stats R package. The posterior probabilities of haplotypes were computed for variants in the G6PC2 gene; the variants were included based on the variants included in a previous G6PC2 haplotype analysis, variants driving the G6PC2 missense set signal, and distinct G6PC2 signals from the single variant analysis. The association was adjusted for age, age², sex, body mass index, study-race/ethnicity, and ten population structure principal components.

Annotation. In order to characterize the functional impact of associated variants, we assembled functional annotations from multiple publicly available databases. We considered annotations from the Diabetes Epigenome Atlas, FAVOR, InsPire, and GTEx projects. From the Diabetes Epigenome Atlas, we obtained chromatin states from four tissues relevant to glycemic traits: adipose, islet, liver, and muscle. These were available from two experiments, the lacI ChromHMM 13-state model of TOPMed accessions TSTR899793 & AMP-T2D ChromHMM 18-state model under accession TSTR043890. We also report annotation PCs from the FAVOR database43, which are summaries calculated as the first principal component of individual functional annotations across functional categories including conservation, epigenetics, local nucleotide diversity, mutation density, protein function, proximity to TSS/Eu, proximity to coding, and transcription factor binding. The individual annotations contributing to the aPCs are previously described. Annotation PCs are calculated at the variant level and reported as PHRED-scaled scores derived from the first PC from the category’s PCA, providing the interpretation that variants with scores >10 are in the top 10% of category across all TOPMed variants. We obtained pancreatic islet-specific signals from the InsPire consortium and tissue-specific signals from the GTEx project (Version 8) to assess colocalization with gene expression at signal variants and those highly linked to signal variants via look-up. We reported eQTLS in the following tissues, reported for their importance in glycemic phenotypes: adipose subcutaneous, adipose visceral, muscle skeletal, and pancreas.

Replication. We sought to replicate our findings in the METSIM study44, using data from 10,058 individuals with fasting glucose, fasting insulin, and TOPMed-imputed genotypes. EMMAX was used for test associations with fasting glucose or log-transformed fasting insulin at the variants reported in Table 1 with age, age², and BMI as covariates and kinship; sex was not included as a covariate as the study is all males.

We additionally performed replication analysis in a sample from the UK Biobank. A sample of 12,854 European ancestry individuals from the UK Biobank with glucose was selected from all individuals with glucose measurement, excluding individuals with diabetes (Data-field 2443), on diabetes medication (Data-field 6177/6153), or with fasting time <8 h (Data-field 74). Glucose values were taken from variable 30740. The model included age (Data-field 21022), age², sex (Data-field 31), BMI (Data-field 21001), and ten population structure PCs. Association models were run using Scalable and Accurate Implementation of Generalized mixed model (SAIGE)45 to analyze UKBB phenotype data and the imputed chip genetic data.

This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 42614.

We also performed replication analysis of the Samoan-specific association of rs117592405 with fasting insulin in a cohort of 140 Samoans without WGS from the Samoan Study. Accession accession 12752405 was imputed using a Samoan-specific reference panel that was developed from the WGS of 1284 Samoans as part of TOPMed. R version 3.6.0 was used to replicate the association with fasting insulin in individuals without a previous diabetes diagnosis or diabetes medication use. Age, age², BMI, and sex were included in the model.

Enrichment. The tool GREGOR was used to assess if our trait-associated variants in Table 1 were significantly enriched in a particular chromatin state annotation. Using computed LD from the 1000-genomes reference panel and the 18-state chromatin model described in the text and shown in Fig. 1, we obtained an expected number of variants to lie within each chromatin state. This was compared to the observed number of variants in each chromatin state to generate a \( \chi^2 \) value. Any \( \chi^2 \) values <0.05 are reported in the text and Supplementary Table 8.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The summary results generated during this study are available at the AMP-T2D Portal, http://id2.hugamp.org/. Fasting Insulin: https://id2.hugamp.org/dinspector.html?dataset=TOPMed_frs5b_pooled_FL_WGS. Fasting Glucose: https://id2.hugamp.org/dinspector.html?dataset=TOPMed_frs5b_pooled_FL_WGS. Ascension codes for genotype and phenotype files by cohort may be found in Supplementary Table 1.

Code availability

This study did not rely on custom code or mathematical algorithms.
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