Attenuating role of withaferin A in the proliferation and migration of lung cancer cells via a p53-miR-27a/miR-10b pathway

CHEN-CHU LIN1*, TSUNG-YING YANG2,3*, HSEUH-JU LU1,4,5, CHEN-KAI WAN1, SHIH-LAN HSU6 and CHUN-CHI WU1,7,8*

1Institute of Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung 40201; 2Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Chest Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung 40705; 3Faculty of Medicine, School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei 11121; 4Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Medical Oncology, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital; 5School of Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung 40201; 6Department of Medical Research, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung 40705; 7Department of Medical Research, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital; 8Department of Health Diet and Industry Management, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung 40201, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Received February 13, 2020; Accepted September 24, 2020

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2021.12493

Correspondence to: Dr Chun-Chi Wu, Department of Health Diet and Industry Management, Chung Shan Medical University, Section 1, 110 Jianguo N. Road, Taichung 40201, Taiwan, R.O.C. E-mail: daniel@csmu.edu.tw

*Contributed equally

Key words: withaferin A, p53-miR-27a/miR-10b, lung cancer

Abstract. MicroRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) are known to play a key role in tumorigenesis and usually serve as therapeutic targets in cancer treatment. In the present study, the inhibitory effects and the targeting miRNAs of withaferin A (WA) were investigated in human lung cancer cells. Different lung cancer cell lines were administrated with different concentrations of WA for different time interval followed by western blot or reverse transcription-quantitative PCR analyses to determine the underlying signaling pathway. The results demonstrated that WA decreased the viability of lung cancer cells in a caspase-dependent manner. Further investigations indicated that treatment with WA induced the expression of proapoptotic molecules, p53 and Bax, and decreased Bcl-2 expression in A549 cells. Notably, the results demonstrated that WA also decreased the motility of lung cancer cells in a dose-dependent manner, at a relatively lower concentration. Western blot analysis revealed increased E-cadherin and decreased vimentin expression levels in lung cancer cells treated with WA. In addition, two oncomiRs, including miR-10b and miR-27a, which regulate the expression of E-cadherin and Bax, respectively, were downregulated in the presence of WA. The ectopic expression of miR-10b mimics was able to recover the WA-decreased motility of lung cancer cells, which was accompanied by a reduction in E-cadherin expression. Conversely, the ectopic expression of miR-27a mimics decreased the expression of Bax and recovered the viability of lung cancer cells attenuated by WA. In addition, the ectopic expression of p53-wild type decreased the expression levels of both miR-10b and miR-27a, whereas p53 knockdown induced their expression. Transient knockdown of p53 decreased the inhibitory effects of WA in the motility and viability of lung cancer cells, suggesting an association between WA-p53-miR-10b/27a and motility/viability. Further investigations demonstrated that p53 knockdown in lung cancer stable cell lines exhibited higher levels of both miR-10b and miR-27a, and higher motility and viability following treatment with WA. However, suppression of miR-10b and miR-27a effectively decreased motility and viability, respectively, following treatment with WA. Taken together, the results of the present study suggest that WA inhibits the functionality of lung cancer cells by decreasing the expression levels of both miR-10b and miR-27a in a p53-dependent manner.

Introduction

Lung cancer has been a common cause of mortality in Taiwan and other industrialized countries in the last decade (1-3). Lung cancer is classified into two major types: Small cell lung cancer and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). NSCLC is further sub-divided into adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma and large cell carcinoma (4). NSCLC accounts for ~80% of all lung cancer cases (5). Despite advancements in clinical treatment, the prognosis of patients with lung cancer remains largely unsatisfactory, with a 5-year survival rate of only 15-20% (6). Thus, identifying valuable target genes and effective drugs remains critical for the clinical treatment of patients with NSCLC.

Previous studies have demonstrated that microRNAs (miRNAs/miRs), short non-coding RNAs that participate in silencing genes in a post-transcriptional manner, affect the expressions of several genes, such as PTEN, HOXD10,
VEGFA, which are involved in cancer pathogenesis, from initiation and progression to metastasis and drug resistance (7-9). Given the characteristics of easy detection via liquid biopsy and in vivo stability (10,11), miRNAs have been identified as both novel therapeutic targets and effective tools for cancer treatment (12,13). Furthermore, the identification of miRNAs suitable for personalized treatment is an emerging topic in the field of cancer research (14,15).

Different sources of natural products that exhibit antitumor properties, and the search for anticancer drugs from natural substances containing active ingredients are areas of interest in the field of drug discovery (16,17). Withaferin A (WA), a steroidal lactone, has been identified as an active ingredient of root extract in the medical plant *Withania somnifera*, in Eastern India (18). WA has attracted notable attention due to its ability to regulate immunity and inhibit different types of cancer, including prostate (19), breast (20), melanoma (21), ovarian (22) and lung cancers (23). Previous studies have reported that WA targets NF-κB, heat shock proteins, Akt and estrogen receptors (24-26). Furthermore, Kyakulaga et al., demonstrated that WA inhibits transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and induces epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of lung cancer cells by blocking SMAD and nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-kB) signaling (27). Groagan and Hsu et al. reported that WA induces lung cancer apoptosis by downregulating the mTOR/STAT3 pathway (28,29). However, whether other molecules, particularly miRNAs, serve as novel targets of lung cancer cells engaging with WA remains unclear. The aim of the present study was to identify the miRNAs responsible for the inhibitory effects of WA in the lung cancer cells. Taken together, the results of the present study demonstrated that WA induced apoptosis of lung cancer cells, and decreased cell motility at different dosages by targeting miR-27a or miR-10b in a p53-dependent manner.

**Materials and methods**

**Chemicals and reagents.** WA was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA. Fetal bovine serum (FBS), glutamine and RPMI-1640 medium were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. Antibodies against: β-actin, Bax, Bcl-2, E-cadherin, p53 and vimentin, and the p53 small interfering (si)RNA and SC siRNA were all purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.

**Cell culture.** A549, A549 shRNA, A549-p53 short hairpin (sh) RNA, H460, H1355 and H1299 cell lines were provided by Dr Hsu Shih-Lan (Department of Medical Research, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan). All cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, penicillin and streptomycin (100 U/ml each), and 1% L-glutamine (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), at 37˚C in a humidified atmosphere supplying 5% CO₂. Two approaches were applied in determining the viability of cells under the treatment of WA. In the direct counting assay, the viable cells were counted under a phase-contrast microscopic using the trypan blue exclusion method as described previously (30). The vehicle control (0.1% of EtOH, v/v) exhibited no difference in viability and motility compared with the untreated cells (Fig. S1); thus the untreated cells were represented as control for the following experiments. In the MTT assay, the untreated or WA-treated H460 or H1355 cells (1x10⁵ cells/well) were replaced with serum-free RPMI containing 20 ml MTT (3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) at 37˚C for 2 h. The medium was then aspirated and washed with 1xPBS twice. The cells were then added with 100 ml dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and the absorbance of 590 nm were measured by a microplate reader.

**Caspase activity assay.** A549 cells were cultured with or without WA (1, 2, 3 µM) at 24 h. After treatment, both adherent and floating cells were harvested and washed once with ice-cold PBS, followed by lyzing in ice-cold lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 0.32 M sucrose, 5 mM ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid (pH 8.0), 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 2 mM dithiothreitol, 1 µM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride, 1 µg/ml leupeptin). The cell lysates (250 mg total protein) were then incubated with fluorogenic peptide substrate (DEVD-AFC specific for caspase-3, IETD-AFC specific for caspase-8, LEHD-AFC specific for caspase-9), incubated at 37˚C for 4 h in the dark. Fluorescence intensity was measured with a Fluorescence plate reader (Fluoroskan Ascent; Labsystems) by exciting at 405 nm and emitting at 510 nm. For the caspase inhibitor assay, the cell lysates were incubated with 100 µM of different caspase inhibitors including z-DEVD-FMK (against caspase-3), z-IETD-FMK (against caspase-8) and z-LEHD-FMK (against caspase-9) (Abcam, Inc.) 1 h before the addition of indicated caspase substrate.

**Protein extraction and western blotting.** Cells were lysed using RIPA lysis buffer [150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 0.25% Na Deoxycholate, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 5 mM EGTA, and 1% protease inhibitor cocktail] on ice for 30 min. The lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 20 min at 4˚C. The protein concentration of each sample was subsequently determined using the Bradford method (31). Equal amounts of protein samples (50 µg) were separated via 10%, 12% or 15% SDS-PAGE and subsequently transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (EMD Millipore). The membranes were blocked with 5% BSA or milk, prior to incubation with the primary antibody. Membranes were rinsed three or four times with PBS and subsequently incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. Indicated protein signals were then visualized using ECL Plus detection reagent.

**RNA extraction and reverse transcription-quantitative (RT-qPCR) of miR-10b and miR-27a.** The miRNAs of untreated, non-transfected or transfected or WA-treated A549, A549 shRNA and A549-p53 short hairpin (sh)RNA cells were extracted using the miRVANA® miRNA isolation kit (cat. no. AM1560, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according
to the manufacturer's instructions. Aliquots of 5 µl of the total miRNA were employed in the reverse transcription reaction with the miRNA reverse transcription kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), using a 5X miR-10b, miR-27a or RNU6B probe, respectively. RT-qPCR was performed with TaqMan PCR master mix kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), using a 20X miR-10b, miR-27a or RNU6B probe. Both the primers and probes of miR-10b (ID:002218), miR-27a (ID:002445) and RNU6B (ID:001093) were provided by the manufacture (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). RNU6B served as an internal control. The signals were read using a ABI PRISM 7900 Sequence Detector System. RNU6B reverse transcription and amplification were used as internal controls. Subsequently, the comparative 2^ΔΔCq method (32) was applied to quantify the gene expression levels.

Migration assay. For in vitro migration assay, A549, H460 and H1355 cells were trypsinized and a total of 5,000 cells were seeded in the upper chamber of the Transwell membrane (pore size: 8 µm; EMD Millipore) with RPMI containing 1% serum whereas RPMI containing 10% serum was added into the lower chambers as a chemoattractant at 37°C for 16 h. The medium was subsequently aspirated and the filters were washed twice with PBS, prior to fixation with methanol for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were then stained with Giemsa solution at room temperature to quantify the migrated cells under a phase-contrast light microscopy (magnification at 40X). The migrated cells from the WA-treated cells were normalized against the untreated cells as relative fold-change.

Cellular transfections. A total of 2 µg of p53-wild type plasmid (pLenti6/V5-p53_wt p53, Addgene) or vector pLenti6/V5 were transfected into H1299 cells using Lipofectamine® 2000 reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 37°C for 12 h. A total of 20 µM of p33 siRNA or SC siRNA (Santa Cruz, Inc.) were transfected into A549 cells using Lipofectamine® 2000 reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 37°C for 12 h. A total of 20 µM of miR-10b mimics (5'-UC CUGUAGAACCAGAUUUGUU-3') or miR-27a mimics (5'-AGGGCUUAGCGUUGAGCAU-3') were transfected into A549 cells using Lipofectamine® 2000 reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 37°C for 12 h.

For statistical analysis, GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc.) was used to compare differences between two groups, while one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test were used to compare differences between multiple groups. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

WA induces apoptosis in lung cancer cells. A549 cells were treated with different concentrations of WA (0, 0.5, 1, and 2 µM) for 24 and 48 h. The viability of the untreated control cells and WA-treated cells was determined via the trypan blue exclusion method. As presented in Fig. 1A, treatment with WA, below 1 µM for 24 h, only marginally decreased the viability of A549 cells (~5% of reduction), whereas for concentrations above 1 µM, WA significantly decreased the viability of A549 cells in a dose-dependent manner (1 µM, 20% reduction; 1.5 µM, 40% reduction; 2 µM, 70% reduction). Conversely, treatment with the same concentration of WA for a longer period of time (48 h) increased the mortality of A549 cells by 20-40% than that of 24 h. Similar results were observed in H460 and H1355 cells treated with WA (Fig. S2), suggesting that induced lung cancer cell death may be a common characteristic of WA treatment; however, the results also implied that WA may not rapidly induce A549 cell death (Fig. 1). The results demonstrated that administration of WA significantly induced the activities of both caspase 3 and 9 but not caspase 8, further addition of z-DEVE-FMK (the inhibitor of caspase-3) or caspase-9 (z-LHED-FMK) ameliorated the death of A549 cells induced by WA, whereas the inhibition of caspase 8 by z-IETD-FMK marginally protected the effects of
A549 cells under the treatment of WA, these results indicated that WA induced A549 cells a caspase-dependent apoptosis (Fig. S3). Furthermore, the addition of WA induced the DNA damage of A549 cells in a dose dependent manner (Fig. S4A). The following experiments demonstrated that WA disrupted the membrane potential of mitochondrial in 8 h (Fig. S4B). Notably, the results of the present study demonstrated that WA induced the generation of superoxide anion radical rather than hydrogen peroxide in A549 cells (Fig. S4C, D). These results indicated that the generation of ROS, DNA damage and the disruption of mitochondria membrane potential induced by WA may be the early events that ultimately lead to the apoptosis of A549 cells. Besides, since we have proved that WA induced apoptosis of A54 cells, the expression of intrinsic apoptosis-related molecules of A549 cells in the presence of WA was subsequently analyzed. Western blot analysis demonstrated that the expression of both proapoptotic molecules, p53 and Bax increased following treatment with WA, in a dose-dependent manner, whereas the expression of the anti-apoptotic molecule, Bcl-2 was downregulated (Fig. 1B). Taken together, these results suggest that WA induces intrinsic apoptosis of A549 cells, which is associated with upregulated p53 and Bax expression, and downregulated Bcl-2 expression.

WA decreases the motility of lung cancer cells. A549 cells were pretreated with a sublethal dose of WA (0.5 and 1 µM) for 24 h, followed by the migration assay. The results demonstrated that the addition of a low dose of WA inhibits the migration of A549 cells in a dose dependent manner, with up to 60% motility reduction with 1 µM of WA compared with the untreated control cells (Fig. 1C). Similar results were observed using H460 or H1355 cell lines, (Fig. S5). In addition, western blot analysis demonstrated that E-cadherin expression decreased following treatment with WA, whereas that of vimentin was
induced. The results suggest that WA may act to inhibit epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (Fig. 1D) (34), furthermore, since E-cadherin is known to involve in the motility of cancer cells, the results of the present study implied the association between WA and change in cellular motility (35). Collectively, these results demonstrated that a lower concentration of WA (0.5 µM), which do not induce cell death at 24 h, significantly inhibited the motility of A549, H460 and H1355 cells, these results suggest that WA may exhibit distinct antitumor functionality at different dosages.

WA decreases the expression of both miR-10b and miR-27a. Next, the present study aimed to identify miRNAs involved in the downregulation of viability and motility of A549 cells primed by WA. Following a thorough literature review, two candidate miRNAs were selected: miR-10b and miR-27a. miR-10b is a well-known oncomiR involved in the induction of motility and metastasis of several tumors, including lung cancer cells (36). Notably, one target gene of miR-10b is E-cadherin, which has been reported to be induced in the presence of WA (Fig. 1D). Conversely, the oncomiR miR-27a has been reported to decrease Bax expression (37), which was also observed to be upregulated in A549 cells following treatment with WA. These associations suggest that both miR-10b and miR-27a may be affected by WA. To test this hypothesis, A549 cells were treated with different concentrations of WA for 24 h and the levels of miR-10b and miR-27a were assessed via RT-qPCR analysis. The results demonstrated that treatment with WA decreased the expression of both miR-10b and miR-27a in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1E). Notably, WA decreased the
expression of miR-10b more effectively than that of miR-27a at the lowest WA concentration (0.5 µM), where the expression of miR-10b was ~20% lower than that of miR-27a (Fig. 1E). These results link the association between the expression of both miR-10b and miR-27a, and the WA-mediated antitumor effect in the A549 lung cancer cell line.

Role of miR-10b in the WA-mediated reduction of motility. miR-10b mimics were transfected into A549 cells prior to treatment with WA for 24 h, followed by subjection to migration and western blotting assays. Transfection with miR-10b mimics alone increased the level of total miR-10b in A549 cells (Fig. S6A). The WA-treated A549 cells induced E-cadherin expression and downregulated miR-10b expression and motility compared with the untreated cells (Fig. 2A and B). However, the ectopic expression of miR-10b significantly doubled the ratio of migrated cells under the treatment of WA (Fig. 2A and B). These results demonstrate that miR-10b is the main target gene in the WA-mediated inhibition of cellular motility.

miR-27a: A target gene in WA-mediated lung cancer cell death. The role of miR-27a in WA-mediated cellular death was assessed. miR-27a mimics were transfected into A549 cells for 24 h prior to treatment with WA and subsequently subjected to viability and western blot assays. Transfection with miR-27a mimics alone induced the level of total miR-27a in A549 cells (Fig. S6A). Furthermore, the results demonstrated that increased miR-27a expression effectively decreased Bax expression originally induced in the presence of WA, resembling that of the untreated level, and recovered the viability originally attenuated by WA (Fig. 2C and D). The combination of these results suggested that the reduction of both miR-10b and miR-27a by WA may occur via a transcriptional manner, and further indicates that miR-10b and miR-27a are two potential molecules in the WA-mediated reduction of cellular motility and viability.

p53 regulates the expression of both miR-10b and miR-27a in the presence of WA. Wild-type p53 was transfected into H1299 cells and the expression of miR-10b and miR-27a was assessed. The results demonstrated that ectopic expression of wild-type p53 significantly decreased the expression of both miR-10b and miR-27a in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3A). Endogenous p53 of A549 cells was knocked down by transfecting p53 siRNA, followed by treatment with WA. The results demonstrated that the knockdown of p53 increased the levels of both miR-10b and miR-27a. Notably, the reduction of motility, viability and the levels of miR-10b and miR-27a in the presence of WA were significantly reversed by the reduction of p53 (Fig. 3B and C). This suggests that p53 may be an upstream inhibitor of miR-10b and miR-27a, and that treatment with WA induces the expression of p53, which in turn decreases the levels of miR-10b and miR-27a, thus attenuating the cellular motility or viability of lung cancer cells. A549 cells were used to generate p53 knockdown stable clones and
confirm the causality between p53 and miR-10b or miR-27a in WA-mediated antitumor function. The results demonstrated that the levels of E-cadherin and Bax are much lower, whereas miR-10b and miR-27a were higher in p53shRNA stable clones compared with the A549-shRNA cells (Fig. 4A). Treatment with WA marginally decreased the motility and viability of A549-p53shRNA stable clones compared with the A549-shRNA cells (Fig. 4B and C). A549-p53shRNA was transfected with antagoniRs against either miR-10b or miR-27a to decrease the endogenous levels of miR-10b and miR-27a (Fig. S6B). Notably, the knockdown of miR-10b or miR-27a by antagoniRs significantly decreased the motility and viability of WA-treated A549-p53shRNA stable clones compared with untreated ones accompanied by increasing E-cadherin and Bax levels. (Fig. 4B and C). Collectively, these results suggest that p53 plays a central role in downregulating miR-10b and miR-27a, attenuating motility and viability primed by WA (Fig. 4D).

Discussion

The results of the present study demonstrated that treatment with WA induced the expression of p53, which in turn decreased the expression of two oncomiRs, miR-10b and miR-27a, prior to the induction of E-cadherin and Bax expression, followed by a reduction in the viability and motility of lung cancer cells.

Several molecules are involved in WA-mediated antitumor functionality. For example, Oh et al (38) reported that the PI3K/Akt signaling module is inhibited in A549 cells treated with WA. Zhou et al (39) reported that p53, p21 and Bax are upregulated, whereas Bcl-2, cdk2 and cyclin D1 are downregulated in HCC cells treated with WA. WA also induces oxidative stress, DNA damage, and G2/M arrest in breast, osteosarcoma, and oral cancer cell lines (20,40,41). Furthermore, WA attenuates the motility of breast cancer cells by directly binding vimentin to block the assembly into lamellipodia (42). However, most reports mention only one malignant characteristic, such as growth ability or motility of cancer cells affected by WA. The present study further demonstrated that WA attenuated two malignant characteristics, including cell proliferation and motility at different concentrations by targeting p53 in lung cancer cells.

p53 is a well-known tumor suppressor, which predominantly acts as a transcriptional regulator in controlling target gene expression (43). It can either induce or decrease the expression levels of target genes depending on the binding sequence of the target gene promoter (44). The results of the present study demonstrated that p53 inhibited cellular motility and viability...
by targeting miR-10b or miR-27a, which in turn upregulated the expression levels of Bax and E-cadherin, respectively. Administration of WA decreased the expression of miR-10b at a lower dose, whereas higher doses of WA were necessary to inhibit the expression of miR-27a. The results of the present study are consistent with previous findings, where p53 has been reported to exhibit different levels of affinity towards distinct target genes, which may be determined by a combination of p53 expression levels, its localization and the binding sequence of the target gene promoters (45,46). p53 is an important regulator responsible for cell cycle arrest or apoptosis induced by genotoxic stress; and loss of p53 function occurs in nearly half of all patients with cancer (47). Furthermore, the status of p53 strongly affects the sensitivity of tumor cells to chemotherapeutic and/or radiotherapeutic agents, the p53 is required for the apoptosis of cancer cells to the treatment of both chemo- and radio-therapeutic agents (48,49). The results of the present study demonstrated that A549 cells with transient or stable knockdown of p53 exhibited higher viability in comparison with parental A549 cells treated with the same concentration of WA, suggesting that p53 may be a crucial molecule in WA-mediated antitumor activity.

Both miR-10b and miR-27a are well-known oncomiRs and are involved in the upregulation of several malignant characteristics, including migration/invasion and anti-apoptosis of different cancer cells (50,51). It has been reported that Myc may potentiate the expression of miR-27a via the regulation of its promoter (52), whereas the upstream regulator of miR-10b has not yet been identified. The results of the present study demonstrated that p53 has a potential upstream effect on both miR-10b and miR-27a, which further reveals potential malignancy induction under the loss function of this tumor suppressor. Notably, neither miR-10b or miR-27a promoters have been demonstrated to contain a classic p53-binding element composed of four half-sites (RRRCW or WGGYY) (53). However, some non-canonical p53-binding sequences identified previously (46) are located in both miR-10b and miR-27a promoters. Since the misregulation of target genes by p53 is critical to cellular transformation and malignancy, including lung cancer (54), these findings indicate that whether p53 does indeed repress the expression levels of both miR-10b and miR-27a via binding to the non-canonical promoter sequences may be worthy of investigation to clarify the underlying mechanisms of lung tumorigenesis mediated by p53.

The limitation of the present study is the restricting numbers of lung cancer cell lines assessed for WA antitumor ability. However, in conclusion, the present study successfully identified two oncomiRs, miR-10b and miR-27a, that serve as novel targets of WA in a p53-dependent manner to attenuate the malignant characteristics of lung cancer cells. The combined effects of WA with cisplatin or taxol in treating patients with lung cancer may be worthy to investigate in prospective studies.
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