Variability of the Chemical Composition and Bioactivity between the Essential Oils Isolated from Male and Female Specimens of *Hedyosmum racemosum* (Ruiz & Pav.) G. Don
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Abstract: *Hedyosmum racemosum* (Ruiz & Pav.) G. is a native species of Ecuador used in traditional medicine for treatment of rheumatism, bronchitis, cold, cough, asthma, bone pain, and stomach pain. In this study, fresh *H. racemosum* leaves of male and female specimens were collected and subjected to hydrodistillation for the extraction of the essential oil. The chemical composition of male and female essential oil was determined by gas chromatography–gas chromatography equipped with a flame ionization detector and coupled to a mass spectrometer using a non-polar and a polar chromatographic column. The antibacterial activity was assayed against five Gram-positive and two Gram-negative bacteria, and two dermatophytes fungi. The scavenging radical properties of the essential oil were evaluated by DPPH and ABTS assays. The chemical analysis allowed us to identify forty-three compounds that represent more than 98% of the total composition. In the non-polar and polar column, α-phellandrene was the principal constituent in male (28.24 and 25.90%) and female (26.47 and 23.90%) essential oil. Other main compounds were methyl chavicol, germacrene D, methyl eugenol, and α-pinene. Female essential oil presented a strong activity against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 9997) with a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 500 µg/mL and a scavenging capacity SC₅₀ of 800 µg/mL.
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1. Introduction

The Chloranthaceae family consists of herbs, shrubs, or small aromatic trees and includes the genera *Hedyosmum* (45 accepted species), *Chloranthus* (14 accepted species), *Ascarina* (12 accepted species), and *Sarcandra* (2 accepted species) [1]. This family, considered one of the most primitive among the angiosperms, is characterized by the presence of secretory cells in the stems and leaves [2].

In America, *Hedyosmum* is the most abundant genus in the Chloranthaceae family [3]. The species of this genus are widespread in low and high mountain rain forests at altitudes of approximately 500 to 3000 m above sea level [4]. They are commonly found in the mountains of southern Mexico, Central America, and the Andes of South America (Brazil, Ecuador, Peru, and central Bolivia) [5–7].

The genus *Hedyosmum* can be found in the form of trees or shrubs, with branches bulging or hinged at the height of the nodes. Its leaves are simple, opposite, toothed, and petiolate with pinnate veins [8]. When the foliage is crushed, it becomes strongly aromatic; indeed, the genus name finds its origin in the Greek *hedy* (pleasant) and *osmum* (smell), referring to this smell that is associated with pepper, lemon, and anise [9]. The species of the genus *Hedyosmum* are dioecious, and some have male and female inflorescences. The
male inflorescences are arranged in the form of terminal or axial spikes, while the female inflorescences are arranged in the form of racemes or panicles (Figure 1). Individuals with male inflorescences are usually called male specimens or simply “male”; in the same way, female specimens are called “female”. The fruits are small, fleshy, and white when ripe [9]. Only the female flowers produce fruits. Figure 1 shows the tender white fruits of the female specimen (end of the flowering stage and beginning of the fruiting stage).

Figure 1. Specimens of Hedyosmum racemosum: (a) male and (b) female.

Regarding the chemical composition, the genus Hedyosmum presents as major components sesquiterpenes, sesterterpenes, derivatives of hydroxycinnamic acid, flavonoids, neolignans, and others. The genus Hedyosmum is also an important source of essential oils (EOs) that can be extracted from different parts of plants, such as aerial parts, leaves, flowers, and fruits. The chemical composition and the potential for different applications of these EOs have been described in numerous studies [6,7,10].

The species of the genus Hedyosmum also present various traditional uses, for example, as sources of firewood and construction materials, as well as sources of food (drinks and fruits) and medicinal preparations, mainly in the form of an infusion of leaves [8]. The main pharmacological effects associated with members of this genus are neuroprotective, anxiolytic, antidepressant, sedative, analgesic, antinociceptive, anticancer, antibacterial, and anti-plasmodial effects [11].

The leaves of different Hedyosmum species have been used to make aromatic beverages, including H. uniflorum, H. anisodorum, H. cumbalense, H. strigosum, H. scaberrimum, and H. purpurascens. On the other hand, the species H. anisodorum, H. arborescens, H. scaberrimus, H. sprucei, and H. scabrum have been reported as digestive, antispasmodic, and stomach calming (anxious or nervous stomach), while H. bonplandianum, H. angustifolium, and H. racemosum are used to soothe rheumatic joint pain as well as fever and cold symptoms. The traditional use of H. cuatrecazanum and H. luteynii is associated with the treatment of kidney problems. H. goudotianum is traditionally used for headaches, and H. sprucei has been used in the treatment of snake bites [9,12].

In Ecuador, which is one of the countries with the greatest biodiversity in the world and where ancestral traditions are still practiced [13], 16 species of the genus Hedyosmum are reported [11]. Among these species, Hedyosmum racemosum (Ruiz & Pav.) G. Don is a native species widely used in traditional Ecuadorian medicine, as an antibacterial and for the treatment of rheumatism, bronchitis, cold, cough, asthma, bone pain, stomach pain, and the nervous system [13]. In Ecuador, H. racemosum is known as “Alcopa”, “Asarcito”, “Masamoche”, “Asarquiro”, “Choleta”, “Acha guayusa”, “Úntuntup” (Shuar chicham), “Guayusa de monte”, and “Jicamilla grande” (Castilian). This species is found to be distributed in different Ecuadorian provinces, such as Cañar, Carchi, Loja, Morona-Santiago, Napo, Pastaza, Pichincha, Sucumbios, and Zamora [12].
Despite the fact that *H. racemosum* has extensive ancestral knowledge, in the scientific literature, there is not enough information on the chemical composition and biological activity of the volatile compounds of this species. For this reason, this study presents for the first time the comparison of the essential oils of male and female specimens of the *H. racemosum* species, being an important starting point for future research in the field of phytochemistry and pharmacology.

2. Results

2.1. Isolation and Physical Properties of Essential Oil

The essential oil was isolated from fresh leaves of male and female *Hedyosmum racemosum* specimens. The moisture and yield in essential oil of the leaves, and physical properties of the essential oil obtained, are shown in Table 1. The essential oil in both cases was a translucent viscous liquid, and some samples showed a slightly yellowish hue.

### Table 1. Moisture and yield of the leaves and physical properties of the essential oil (EO).

|                          | Male EO | Female EO |
|--------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Moisture (%)             | 61 \(^a\) | 1 \(^b\) |
| Yield (%, \(v/w\))       | 0.30    | 0.05      |
| Density (g/cm\(^3\))     | 0.8978  | 0.0056    |
| Refractive index, \(n^2\) | 1.4911  | 0.0015    |

\(^a\) Mean of nine determinations; \(^b\) standard deviation.

2.2. Essential Oil Compounds’ Identification

The identification of volatile compounds presents in EO of the male and female *Hedyosmum racemosum* specimens was carried out by GC/MS and GC/FID. The qualitative and quantitative data of the chemical composition obtained using nonpolar column DB-5 ms are shown in Table 2. Forty-one compounds were identified in the essential oil isolated from male specimens (male EO) and forty-three in the essential oil isolated from female specimens (female EO); in both cases, a percentage greater than 99% of the total composition was identified.

The oil compounds of the male and female specimens identified using nonpolar column were mainly grouped into aliphatic monoterpene (ALM) hydrocarbons with a representation of 48.39% and 46.75%, respectively. In addition, the compounds grouped as oxygenated monoterpenes (OXMs) represented 28.93% in male EO and 24.51% in female EO. Compounds belonging to the aromatic sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (ARS) group were not identified. The ALM \(\alpha\)-phellandrene (compound 7, CF: C\(_{10}\)H\(_{16}\), MM: 136.13 Da) was the principal constituent in male (28.24 ± 1.39) and female (26.47 ± 2.95) essential oil. Other main compounds (>5%) were methyl chavicol (21), germacrene D (34), methyl eugenol (30), and \(\alpha\)-pinene (2) (Figure 2). Compounds 10 and 11 (limonene and \(\beta\)-phellandrene), eluted together (co-eluted), both represented 3.68% in male EO and 3.36% in female EO. Small amounts of \(\gamma\)-terpinene (15) and \(\alpha\)-amorphene (35) (Figure 3) were identified only in female EO.
Table 2. Chemical compounds present in the essential oil of *Hedyosmum racemosum* leaves identified using the non-polar column DB-5 ms.

| CN | Compounds                          | RI   | RI ref | Male EO 1 | Female EO 2 | Type   | CF     | MM (Da) |
|----|------------------------------------|------|--------|-----------|-------------|--------|------|--------|
| 1  | α-Thujene                          | 924  | 924    | 0.16      | 0.01        | ALM    | C₁₀H₁₈  | 136.13 |
| 2  | α-Pinene                           | 932  | 932    | 7.48      | 1.62        | ALM    | C₁₀H₁₆  | 136.13 |
| 3  | Camphene                           | 947  | 946    | 0.77      | 0.11        | ALM    | C₁₀H₁₈  | 136.13 |
| 4  | Sabinene                           | 968  | 969    | 0.98      | 0.15        | ALM    | C₁₀H₁₈  | 136.13 |
| 5  | β-Pinene                           | 973  | 974    | 2.14      | 0.40        | ALM    | C₁₀H₁₈  | 136.13 |
| 6  | Myrcene                            | 988  | 988    | 0.59      | 0.05        | ALM    | C₁₀H₁₈  | 136.13 |
| 7  | α-Phellandrene                     | 1003 | 1002   | 28.24     | 1.39        | ALM    | C₁₀H₁₈  | 136.13 |
| 8  | δ-3-Carene                         | 1011 | 1008   | 0.11      | 0.01        | ALM    | C₁₀H₁₈  | 136.13 |
| 9  | p-Cymene                           | 1021 | 1020   | 1.35      | 0.18        | ALM    | C₁₀H₁₈  | 134.1  |
| 10, 11 | Limonene + β-Phellandrene ³     | 1024 | 1024   | 3.68      | 0.19        | ALM    | C₁₀H₁₈  | 136.13 |
| 12 | 1,8-Cineole                        | 1026 | 1026   | 1.65      | 0.13        | OXM    | C₁₈H₃₂O  | 154.14 |
| 13 | (Z)-β-Ocimene                      | 1034 | 1032   | 2.88      | 0.55        | OXM    | C₁₈H₃₂O  | 154.14 |
| 14 | (E)-β-Ocimene                      | 1043 | 1044   | 0.66      | 0.28        | OXM    | C₁₈H₃₂O  | 154.14 |
| 15 | γ-Terpine                           | 1054 | 1054   | -         | -           | OXM    | C₁₈H₃₂O  | 154.14 |
| 16 | Terpinolene                         | 1083 | 1086   | 0.73      | 0.04        | OXM    | C₁₈H₃₂O  | 154.14 |
| 17 | Linalool                           | 1099 | 1095   | 4.19      | 1.26        | OXM    | C₁₈H₃₂O  | 154.14 |
| 18 | Camphor                            | 1141 | 1141   | 0.54      | 0.15        | OXM    | C₁₈H₃₂O  | 152.12 |
| 19 | Terpinen-4-ol                      | 1172 | 1174   | 0.26      | 0.12        | OXM    | C₁₈H₃₂O  | 154.14 |
| 20 | α-Terpineol                        | 1189 | 1186   | 0.33      | 0.05        | OXM    | C₁₈H₃₂O  | 154.14 |
| 21 | Methyl chavicol                     | 1196 | 1195   | 21.82     | 2.85        | OXM    | C₁₈H₃₂O  | 148.09 |
| 22 | Citronellol                         | 1225 | 1223   | 0.13      | 0.09        | OXM    | C₁₈H₃₂O  | 156.15 |
| 23 | Linalyl acetate                     | 1250 | 1254   | 0.21      | 0.03        | OTC    | C₁₈H₃₂O₂ | 196.14 |
| 24 | Bornyl acetate                      | 1280 | 1284   | 1.00      | 0.47        | OTC    | C₁₈H₃₂O₂ | 196.14 |
| 25 | α-Terpinyl acetate                 | 1343 | 1346   | 1.66      | 0.39        | OTC    | C₁₈H₃₂O₂ | 196.14 |
| 26 | Citronellyl acetate                 | 1350 | 1350   | 0.11      | 0.02        | OTC    | C₁₈H₃₂O₂ | 198.16 |
| 27 | α-Copaene                           | 1372 | 1372   | 0.89      | 0.26        | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 28 | β-Cubebeine                         | 1382 | 1387   | 0.14      | 0.07        | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 29 | β-Elemene                           | 1385 | 1389   | 0.47      | 0.16        | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 30 | Methyl eugenol                      | 1402 | 1403   | 5.38      | 0.76        | OTC    | C₁₈H₃₄O₂ | 178.10 |
| 31 | trans-Caryophyllene                 | 1415 | 1417   | 0.68      | 0.05        | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 32 | α-Humulene                          | 1449 | 1452   | 0.17      | 0.04        | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 33 | γ-Murolene                          | 1476 | 1478   | 0.13      | 0.04        | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 34 | Germacrene D                       | 1478 | 1480   | 7.62      | 2.10        | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 35 | α-Amorphone 4                       | 1486 | 1483   | -         | -           | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 36 | Bicyclogermacone                    | 1497 | 1500   | 0.65      | 0.21        | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 37 | α-Murolene                          | 1501 | 1500   | 0.14      | 0.05        | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 38 | Germacrene A                       | 1507 | 1508   | 0.19      | 0.08        | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 39 | γ-Cadinene                         | 1513 | 1513   | 0.15      | 0.08        | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 40 | δ-Cadinene                         | 1521 | 1522   | 0.86      | 0.24        | ALS    | C₁₈H₂₄  | 204.19 |
| 42 | α-Murolol (=Torreyol)               | 1640 | 1644   | 0.20      | 0.09        | OXS    | C₁₈H₃₂O | 222.20 |
| 43 | β-Eudesmol                          | 1645 | 1649   | 0.28      | 0.18        | OXS    | C₁₈H₃₂O | 222.20 |

*Aliphatic monoterpen hydrocarbons (ALM)* | 48.39 | 46.75 |
*Aromatic monoterpen hydrocarbons (ARM)* | 1.35  | 1.15  |
*Oxygenated monoterpenes (OXM)* | 28.93 | 24.51 |
*Aliphatic sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (ALS)* | 12.08 | 17.05 |
*Oxygenated sesquiterpene (OXS)* | 0.48  | 0.86  |
*Other compounds (OTC)* | 8.36  | 9.39  |
*Total identified* | 99.60 | 99.72 |

CN: compound number; RI: calculated retention indices; RI ref: references retention indices; SD: standard deviation; CF: chemical formula; MM: monoisotopic mass; -: not detected. ¹ Essential oil isolated from male specimens; ² essential oil isolated from male specimens; ³ co-eluted compounds. ⁴ Compound identified only in the non-polar column.
Figure 2. Main compounds of the essential oil from male and female specimens of *Hedyosmum racemosum*.

Figure 3. Compounds identified only in female *Hedyosmum racemosum* essential oil.

The data of the chemical composition qualitative and quantitative of the male EO and female EO obtained using polar column HP-INNOWax are shown in Table 3. Forty-one compounds were identified in male EO, which represent 98.83% of the total composition, and forty-three compounds in female EO (99.62%).

Table 3. Chemical compounds present in the essential oil of *Hedyosmum racemosum* leaves identified using the polar column HP-INNOWax.

| CN | Compounds        | RI    | RI ref  | Male EO   | Female EO  | Type   | CF     | MM (Da) |
|----|------------------|-------|---------|-----------|------------|--------|--------|---------|
|    |                  |       |         | %         | %          |        |        |         |
|    |                  |       |         | SD        | SD         |        |        |         |
| 1  | α-Thujene        | 1038  | 1035    | 0.18      | 0.02       | 0.17   | 0.02   | ALM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 2  | α-Pinene         | 1035  | 1032    | 7.03      | 1.31       | 5.03   | 1.04   | ALM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 3  | Camphene         | 1066  | 1066    | 0.74      | 0.08       | 1.02   | 0.55   | ALM     | C_{10}H_{17} 137.13 |
| 4  | Sabinene         | 1124  | 1126    | 1.00      | 0.13       | 0.93   | 0.29   | ALM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 5  | β-Pinene         | 1114  | 1118    | 2.04      | 0.34       | 1.65   | 0.41   | ALM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 6  | Myrcene          | 1160  | 1160    | 0.52      | 0.16       | 0.39   | 0.17   | ALM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 7  | α-Phellandrene   | 1158  | 1165    | 25.90     | 1.97       | 23.90  | 1.89   | ALM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 8  | δ-3-Carene       | 1141  | 1148    | 0.27      | 0.03       | 0.58   | 0.43   | ALM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 9  | p-Cymene         | 1278  | 1280    | 1.41      | 0.19       | 1.23   | 0.25   | ARM     | C_{10}H_{14} 134.1  |
| 10 | Limonene         | 1194  | 1202    | 2.28      | 0.11       | 2.07   | 0.13   | ALM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 11 | β-Phellandrene   | 1204  | 1210    | 1.31      | 0.11       | 0.78   | 0.05   | ALM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 12 | 1,8-Cineole      | 1212  | 1213    | 1.35      | 0.11       | 2.31   | 0.87   | OXM     | C_{10}H_{15}O 154.14 |
| 13 | (Z)-β-Ocimene    | 1235  | 1232    | 3.00      | 0.52       | 4.31   | 0.73   | OXM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 14 | (E)-β-Ocimene    | 1251  | 1254    | 0.79      | 0.27       | 1.14   | 0.26   | OXM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 15 | γ-Terpine        | 1242  | 1244    | -         | -          | 0.66   | 0.02   | ALM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 16 | Terpinolene      | 1287  | 1290    | 0.75      | 0.07       | 1.59   | 1.12   | ALM     | C_{10}H_{16} 136.13 |
| 17 | Linalool         | 1559  | 1553    | 4.55      | 1.25       | 4.18   | 1.13   | OXM     | C_{10}H_{15}O 154.14 |
| 18 | Camphor          | 1523  | 1532    | 0.52      | 0.13       | 0.62   | 0.25   | OXM     | C_{10}H_{15}O 152.12 |
| 19 | Terpinen-4-ol    | 1606  | 1605    | 0.12      | 0.01       | 0.17   | 0.07   | OXM     | C_{10}H_{15}O 154.14 |
| 20 | α-Terpineol      | 1704  | 1706    | 0.48      | 0.14       | 0.31   | 0.11   | OXM     | C_{10}H_{15}O 154.13 |
| 21 | Methyl chavicol  | 1675  | 1675    | 23.50     | 3.14       | 19.03  | 7.33   | OXM     | C_{10}H_{12}O 148.09 |
| 22 | Citronellol      | 1778  | 1772    | 0.57      | 0.09       | 0.64   | 0.24   | OXM     | C_{10}H_{20}O 156.15 |
Table 3. Cont.

| CN | Compounds          | RI      | RI ref | Male EO | SD | Female EO | Type  | CF      | MM (Da) |
|----|--------------------|---------|--------|---------|-----|-----------|-------|---------|---------|
| 23 | Linalyl acetate    | 1562    | 1565   | 0.25    | 0.03| 0.19      | OTC   | C_{12}H_{20}O_2 | 196.14  |
| 24 | Bornyl acetate     | 1578    | 1579   | 0.92    | 0.42| 1.67      | OTC   | C_{12}H_{20}O_2 | 196.14  |
| 25 | α-Terpinyl acetate | 1702    | 1706   | 1.68    | 0.39| 1.35      | OTC   | C_{12}H_{20}O_2 | 196.14  |
| 26 | Citronellyl acetate| 1656    | 1654   | 0.20    | 0.05| 0.30      | OTC   | C_{12}H_{20}O_2 | 198.16  |
| 27 | α-Copaene          | 1493    | 1497   | 0.84    | 0.20| 1.52      | ALS   | C_{15}H_{24} | 204.19  |
| 28 | β-Cubebene         | 1542    | 1544   | 0.12    | 0.05| 0.23      | ALS   | C_{15}H_{24} | 204.19  |
| 29 | β-Elemene          | 1585    | 1586   | 0.48    | 0.14| 0.76      | ALS   | C_{15}H_{24} | 204.19  |
| 30 | Methyl Eugenol     | 2025    | 2030   | 6.30    | 1.10| 7.37      | OTC   | C_{11}H_{14}O_2 | 178.10  |
| 31 | trans-Caryophyllene| 1587    | 1592   | 0.26    | 0.06| 0.39      | ALS   | C_{15}H_{24} | 204.19  |
| 32 | α-Humulene         | 1659    | 1660   | 0.15    | 0.03| 0.28      | ALS   | C_{15}H_{24} | 204.19  |
| 33 | γ-Muurolene        | 1682    | 1684   | 0.16    | 0.06| 0.18      | ALS   | C_{15}H_{24} | 204.19  |
| 34 | Germacrene D      | 1700    | 1704   | 6.65    | 1.75| 9.46      | ALS   | C_{15}H_{24} | 204.19  |
| 35 | Bicyclogermacrene  | 1725    | 1727   | 0.54    | 0.17| 0.58      | ALS   | C_{15}H_{24} | 204.19  |
| 36 | α-Muurolene        | 1718    | 1716   | 0.15    | 0.02| 0.11      | ALS   | C_{15}H_{24} | 204.19  |
| 37 | Germacrene A       | 2081    | 2078   | 0.25    | 0.10| 0.36      | ALS   | C_{15}H_{24} | 204.19  |
| 38 | γ-Cadinene         | 2235    | 2228   | 0.15    | 0.04| 0.32      | ALS   | C_{15}H_{24} | 204.19  |
| 39 | δ-Cadinene         | 1752    | 1748   | 1.08    | 0.29| 1.59      | ALS   | C_{15}H_{24} | 204.19  |
| 40 | Germacrene-D-4-OL  | 2081    | 2069   | -       | -   | 0.19      | OXS   | C_{15}H_{26}O | 222.20  |
| 41 | α-Muurololl (=Torreyol) | 2203  | 2209   | tr     | -   | 0.08      | OXS   | C_{15}H_{26}O | 222.20  |
| 42 | Germacrene D-4-OL  | 2250    | 2257   | 0.34    | 0.14| 0.58      | OXS   | C_{15}H_{26}O | 222.20  |

Aliphatic monoterpene hydrocarbons (ALM) 45.82 43.63
Aromatic monoterpene hydrocarbons (ARM) 1.41 1.23
Oxygenated monoterpenes (OXM) 31.10 27.25
Aliphatic sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (ALS) 10.81 15.78
Oxygenated sesquiterpene (OXS) 0.34 0.85
Other compounds (OTC) 9.36 10.88
Total identified 98.83 99.62

The compounds of the male EO and female EO identified using the polar column were mainly grouped into ALM with a representation of 45.82% and 43.63%, respectively. The principal compound was α-phellandrene, with 25.90 ± 1.97% in male EO and 23.90 ± 1.89% in female EO. Other main compounds were 21, 2, 34, and 30. As for the non-polar column γ-terpinene, a minority compound (<5%) was identified only in female EO. In addition, the compound germacrene-D-4-OL (Figure 3) was identified in female EO. Compounds belonging to the ARS group were not identified.

Essential oils of leaves from male and female specimens of H. racemosum were assessed by the microdilution broth method against Gram-negative bacteria, Gram-positive bacteria, and dermatophytes fungi, and the values of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC, µg/mL) are shown in Table 4. At the maximum evaluated concentration of 1000 µg/mL, the female EO reported an MIC of 500 µg/mL against Klebsiella pneumoniae (ATCC 9997).

The male and female EO of H. racemosum was explored for antioxidant activity using DPPH• and ABTS•+ scavenging activity. BTH and Trolox were used as positive control and the results obtained are shown in Figure 4.
Table 4. Antimicrobial activity of essential oil from *Hedyosmum racemosum*.

| Microorganism                  | Male EO (µg/mL) | Female EO (µg/mL) | Positive Control *a* (µg/mL) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Gram-negative bacteria**    |                 |                   |                             |
| *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) | >1000           | >1000             | 1.95                        |
| *Klebsiella pneumonia* (ATCC 9997) | >1000           | 500               | 1.95                        |
| *Proteus vulgaris* (ATCC 8427) | >1000           | >1000             | 1.95                        |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) | >1000           | >1000             | 1.95                        |
| *Salmonella typhimurium* (LT2) | >1000           | >1000             | 3.91                        |
| **Gram-positive bacteria**    |                 |                   |                             |
| *Enterococcus faecalis* (ATCC 29212) | >1000           | >1000             | 3.91                        |
| *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923) | >1000           | >1000             | 1.95                        |
| **Dermatophytes Fungi**       |                 |                   |                             |
| *Trichophyton rubrum* (ATCC 28188) | >1000           | >1000             | 20                          |
| *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* (ATCC 28185) | >1000           | >1000             | 20                          |

*a* Gentamicin for all bacteria and Terbinafine for fungi.

Figure 4. Antioxidant activity of *Hedyosmum racemosum* leaves essential oil.

SC$_{50}$ was used as a measure of the value of inhibition concentration of 50% of the activity (Table 5). Through the DPPH method, male and female essential oils showed little antioxidant activity with 25% and 35% of inhibition to 1000 ppm, but did not provide SC$_{50}$ values at the maximum concentration tested (1000 µg/mL). Among standards tested, BHT (SC$_{50} = 350 ± 20$ µg/mL) was the most efficient. Employing the ABTS technique, female EO showed an SC$_{50} = 800 ± 30$ µg/mL, male EO did not reach SC$_{50}$ values in the concentration ranges tested, while BHT reported an SC$_{50} = 170 ± 10$ µg/mL.

Table 5. Antioxidant activity of essential oils of *H. racemosum*.

| Sample       | DPPH SC$_{50}$ *a* (µg/mL) | ABTS SC$_{50}$ *a* (µg/mL) |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Male EO      | >1000                     | >1000                     |
| Female EO    | >1000                     | 800 ± 30                  |
| BHT          | 350 ± 20                  | 170 ± 10                  |
| Trolox       | 840 ± 30                  | 420 ± 20                  |

*a* SC$_{50}$ = scavenging capacity of 50%.
3. Discussion

The yield of the essential oil extracted from male specimens was near similar to that obtained from female specimens. The chemical profile of male and female EO *H. racemosum* specimens was shown to be similar qualitatively, both in the polar column and non-polar column; however, they have light quantitatively differences. This chemical composition was different from other *Hedyosmum* species. Unlike the data in this study, where the principal groups of oil compounds were similar for male and female specimens, Herrera et al. [11] in 2018 reported a different relation for *H. scabrum* having oxygenated monoterpenes and monoterpenic hydrocarbons as the principal groups of female EO, while for male EO, the predominant groups were sesquiterpenes and oxygenated monoterpenes.

Considering the main chemical components of EO *Hedyosmum* species summarized by Radice et al. [9], the EO of *H. racemosum* in coincidence with other *Hedyosmum* species presents four main components: α-pinene (*H. angustifolium* and *H. scabrum* male and female specimen), α-phellandrene (*H. arborescens*, *H. Brasiliense*, and *H. sprucei*), linalool (*H. Angustifolium* and *H. scabrum*), and germacrene D (*H. scabrum*, *H. sprucei*, *H. traslucidum*, and *H. costaricense*). Similarly, the EO *H. racemosum* presents sabinen and β-pinene, which are common compounds in the EO of *Hedyosmum* species. Torres et al. [14] in 2018 reported the chemical composition of EO of *Hedyosmum luteynii* Todzia from the main compounds; α-phellandrene (32.72%), α-pinene (13.2%), and germacrene D (3.2%) were also identified in EO *H. racemosum* as main compounds.

The three main chemical components of EO from *H. racemosum* were α-phellandrene, methyl chavicol (synonym estragole), and germacrene D, while for the EO of *H. scabrum* male and female specimens [11], these compounds were non-detected; however, other minor components were reported in the EO of male and female, but in different proportions: α-pinene (15% and 6.4%), linalool (16.5% and 3.3%), and methyl eugenol (1% and 0.6%). The chemical similarity of the EO of these *Hedyosmum* species was 39.46% and 41.67% for male and female EO, respectively. Likewise, in the EO of female *H. racemosum* specimens, three components were identified that are not present in male EO: γ-terpinene (0.06%), germacrene-D-4-ol (0.19%), and α-amorphene (0.14%). It can be considered that the absence of chemotypes, because the majority compound (α-phellandrene), was the same in both oils.

According to the results of inhibitory activity (MIC >1000 μg/mL, the EO of *H. racemosum* does not present good activity against gram-positive pathogenic bacteria and fungi species tested; regarding the gram-negative bacteria, the female EO specie *H. racemosum* show an MIC of 500 μg/mL against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 9997). For a classification of the biological activity of EO, Van Vuuren and Holl in 2017 suggest that MIC values between 101 to 500 μg/mL can be reported as strong activity [15]. For all cases, the positive control shows an MIC lower than 4 μg/mL for bacterial species and equal to 20 μg/mL for fungi. The strong activity against *K. pneumoniae* indicates that the female EO of *H. racemosum* can be used to combat neumonal infections; moreover, this result is important when resistance of this bacteria to antibiotics has been recognized. Kichner et al. in 2010 reported appreciable antibacterial activity of *Hedyosmum brasiiliense* EO against *S. aureus*, *S. saprophyticus*, and *B. subtilis* with an MIC value of 0.312% (v/v) [3].

The essential oils are a complex mixture of compounds and the antimicrobial activity depends on the active principle and the synergism and antagonisms among compounds. Hence, taking into account the difference in the activity against *K. pneumonia* of the EO of male and female species of *H. racemosum*, it can be assumed that the strong antibacterial activity of the female EO of *H. racemosum* could be associated with the difference of the percentages of compounds; for example, 1.8-cineole, (Z)-β-ocimene, methyl chavicol, and germacrene D, and to compounds present only in female EO: γ-terpinene, germacrene-D-4-OL, and α-amorphene. γ-Terpinene, a monoterpen, has been reported to have diverse biological activities as an antioxidant for protecting methyl linolate, DNA, and erythrocyte [16], and as an antibacterial against rice pathogens [17].

The antioxidant results for DPPH and ABTS assays indicate a weak scavenging capacity; at the concentrations evaluated, only the female EO of *H. racemosum* allows to...
calculate the SC$_{50}$ at a value of 800 µg/mL for ABTS assay. Murakami et al. in 2017 reported the antioxidant activity for male EO and female EO species of *H. brasiliense* for flowers with an SC$_{50}$ of 2516.18 µg/mL and 3162.79 µg/mL, respectively; meanwhile, for leaves, they found an SC$_{50}$ of 3783.49 µg/mL and 3542.01 µg/mL, respectively [10]. Anthony et al. [18] analyzed the antioxidant activity of pure essential oil components and concluded that hydrocarbons present very low antioxidant activity, but phenol compounds are more active followed by mono- and sesquiterpenes; from these data, it can be assumed that the antioxidant activity of EO depends on the abundance of more active compounds and explains the lower or no antioxidant activity for some EO.

Although some of the main compounds identified in the oil *H. racemosum* EO (α-pinene, α-phellandrene, and germacrene D) are not considered as effective antioxidants [18], methyl chavicol ( Estragole), an oxygenated monoterpenic, reported an SC$_{50}$ of 312.5 µg/mL for DPPH assay [19]. Essential oils from * Ocimum basilicum* and *Tagetes lucida*, containing methyl chavicol as the main compound (17.06% and 95.7%, respectively), reported an SC$_{50}$ of 1.09 µg/mL [20] and 37.9 µg/mL [21], respectively, indicating that the antioxidant activity in EOs is related to the synergistic and antagonistic action among the components. In addition, Gogoi et al. [22] reported a DPPH SC$_{50}$ of pure methyl eugenol of 2.253 µg/mL and 2.263 µg/mL of a methyl eugenol-rich (73.17%) essential oil. As in the case of the antibacterial activity of female EO, the ABTS SC$_{50}$ can be related to the antioxidant activity of the minor compounds γ-Terpinene [16].

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Materials

Sodium sulfate anhydrous, dichloromethane (DCM), methanol (MeOH), 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Tolox), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), and 2,2′-azinobis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (San Luis, MO, USA). Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) was obtained from Fisher (Hampton, NH, USA). Microbiological media as Sabouraud and Mueller Himton Broth were purchased from DIPCO (Quito, Ecuador). Aliphatic hydrocarbons standard was purchased from CHEM SERVICE (West Chester, PA, USA). Helium was purchased from INDURA (Quito, Ecuador). All chemicals were of analytical grade and used without further purifications.

4.2. Plant Material

The collection was performed with the authorization of the Ministerio del Ambiente de Ecuador (MAE) N° 001-IC-FLO-DBAP-VS-DRLZCH-MA. The leaves of the male and female specimens were collected in the “El Tiro” sector, located between the provinces of Loja and Zamora Chinchipe, at a latitude of 3°59′26″ S and a longitude of 79°08′45″ W. The collection was performed at an altitude of 2760 m a.s.l. The leaves of the two specimens were collected at the end of the flowering season and the beginning of the fruiting season. The storage and transfer of the plant material were performed using airtight plastic containers. The collection and transfer temperature was 16–18 °C (room temperature) and the pressure was around 89 KPa (room pressure). The botanical identification of specimens was performed at the herbarium of the “Universidad Nacional de Loja” by Dr. Bolivar Merino. A voucher specimen is preserved in the Herbarium of the Universidad Técnica Particular de Loja.

4.3. Postharvest Treatments

The postharvest treatments were done immediately after vegetal material arriving at the laboratory, between 1 and 2 h after being collected, and consist of the separation of foreign material and degraded leaves.
4.4. Moisture Determination

The moisture of plant material was determined using test method AOAC 930.04-1930, loss on drying (moisture) in plants. For moisture determination, an analytical balance (Mettler AC 100, Columbus, OH, USA) was determined.

4.5. Essential Oil Extraction

For the extraction of the essential oil, the vegetal material was hydrodistilled for 4 h in a clevenger-type apparatus, for which the sample mixed with water was boiled to evaporate volatile components, and then two layers (aqueous- and oil-rich) were obtained and oil was separated via a separating funnel. Subsequently, the moisture in the collected essential oil was removed by the addition of anhydrous sodium sulphate and, finally, it was stored in amber sealed vials at 4 °C to protect it from light until being used in the subsequent analysis composition [23].

4.6. Determination of Physical Properties of Essential Oil

The density was determined using the standard AFNOR NF T 75-111 [24] (equivalent to the standard ISO 279:1998) and, for the refractive index, the standard AFNOR NF T 75-112 [24] (similar to ISO 279:1998) was used. The density was performed using an analytical balance (model Mettler AC 100, ±0.0001) and a pycnometer (1 mL) and, for the refraction index, a refractometer (model ABBE) was used. Measurements were performed at 20 °C.

4.7. Identification of Chemical Constituents of the Essential Oil

4.7.1. Quantitative Analysis

For quantitative analysis, an Agilent gas chromatograph (GC) (model 6890N series, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) was used. The GC-FID analyses were performed using a nonpolar Agilent J&W DB-5 ms Ultra Inert GC column (30 m, 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm) (5%-phenyl-methylpolyxilosane), a polar Agilent J&W HP-INNOWax GC column (30 m, 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm) (polyethylene glycol), and an automatic injector (Agilent 7683 automatic liquid sampler, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) in split mode. The samples, 1 µL of solution (1/100, v/v, EO/DCM), were injected with a split ratio of 1:50. Helium was used as a carrier gas at 1 mL/min in constant flow mode and at an average velocity of 25 cm/s. The initial oven temperature was held at 50 °C for 3 min and then it was heated to 230 °C with a ramp of 3 °C/min, and the temperature was maintained for 3 min until the end. The injector and detector temperatures were 250 °C. Quantification was done by external standard method using calibration curves generated by running GC analysis of representative compounds.

4.7.2. Qualitative Analysis

For qualitative analysis, an Agilent gas chromatograph (model 6890N series, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) coupled to a mass spectrometer (quadrupole) detector (MS) (model Agilent series 5973 inert, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used. The GC-MS analyses were performed using the same columns and injector as GC-FID. The samples were injected with a split ratio of 1:50. Helium was used as a carrier gas at 0.9 mL/min in constant flow mode and at an average velocity of 34 cm/s. The operating conditions for the MS were as follows: electron multiplier 1670 eV, 70 eV, mass range 45–350 m/z, and scan rate 2 scan/s. MS was provided with a computerized system MSD-Chemstation D.01.00 SP1. The identification of the oil components was based on a comparison of mass spectrum data with the Wiley 7n libraries from the internal chromatograph database, and mass spectrum data and relative retention indices (RIs) with those of published literature [25–27]. RI of the compounds was determined based on the homologous of the standard aliphatic hydrocarbons, which were injected after the oils
under the same conditions. The RI was obtained through the arithmetic index described by van Den Dool and Dec. Kratz \[28\] using Equation (1).

\[
RI = 100n + \frac{(RT_x - RT_n)}{(RT_N - RT_n)}
\]

where \(n\) is the carbon number of the hydrocarbon that elutes before the compound of interest, \(RT_x\) is the retention time (RT) of the compound of interest, \(RT_n\) is the RT of the hydrocarbon that elutes before the compound of interest, and \(RT_N\) is the RT of the hydrocarbon that elutes after the compound of interest.

4.8. Evaluation of Antimicrobial Activity

4.8.1. Antibacterial Activity

The antibacterial activity of male and female essential oil was determined against five Gram-negative bacteria and two Gram-positive bacteria (Table 3) according to the procedure described by Valarezo et al. \[29\]. The bacterial strains were incubated in Müeller–Hinton (MH) broth and DMSO was used to dissolve the essential oil. Gentamicin was used as a positive control for all bacteria. DMSO was used as a negative control and the results are reported as minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) (the lowest concentration of sample capable of inhibiting all visible signs of growth of the microorganism).

4.8.2. Antifungal Activity

The antifungal activity of the essential oils was determined against two fungal organisms (Table 3) by the microdilution method according to the procedure described previously by Valarezo et al. \[29\]. Terbinafine was used as a positive control and DMSO was used as a negative control. The results are reported as minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC).

4.9. Antioxidant Capacity

4.9.1. DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity

The 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydryl radical (DPPH\(^\bullet\)) free radical scavenging activity of male and female essential oils was measured based on the technique of Brand Williams et al. \[30\] with some modifications described by Thaipong et al. \[31\]. The concentrations of \(H.\) racemosum essential oil used were 25, 150, 300, 450, 600, 800, and 100 ppm \[29\]. The samples were evaluated at a wavelength of 515 nm in a UV spectrophotometer (Genesys 10S UV.Vis Spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). BHT and Trolox were used as a positive control and methanol as a blank control. Scavenging capacity (SC) was expressed as percentage and was calculated according to Equation (2).

\[
SC(\%) = \frac{(As - Ai)}{As} \times 100
\]

where \(Ai\) is the absorbance of DPPH\(^\bullet\) mixed with EO and \(As\) is the sample blank absorbance of DPPH\(^\bullet\) in which EO has been replaced with methanol. The plotting of SC (%) against the concentration of the essential oil allowed us to identify the oil concentration that provided 50% scavenging effect (SC\(_{50}\)) of DPPH\(^\bullet\).

4.9.2. ABTS Radical Cation Scavenging Activity

The ABTS assay was performed according to the procedure described by Arnao et al. \[32\] with some modifications by Thaipong et al. \[31\] using 2,2’-azinobis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid radical cation (ABTS\(^\bullet\)). The concentrations of \(H.\) racemosum essential oil used were 25, 150, 300, 450, 600, 800, and 100 ppm The samples were evaluated at a wavelength of 734 nm in a UV spectrophotometer (Genesys 10S UV.Vis Spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Like the DPPH analysis, BHT and Trolox were
used as positive controls, while deionized water was used as blank control. Scavenging capacity (SC) was expressed as percentage and was calculated according to Equation (3).

$$\text{Sc}(\%) = \left( \frac{A_o - A_i}{A_o} \right) \times 100$$  

(3)

where $A_o$ is the absorbance of ABTS** mixed with the sample and $A_i$ is the absorbance after reaction of ABTS** with the sample. The plotting of Sc (%) against the concentration of the essential oil allowed us to identify the oil concentration that provided 50% scavenging effect ($SC_{50}$) of ABTS**.

4.10. Statistical Analysis

The procedures of essential oil extraction, determination of physical properties, identification of chemical constituents, and determination antioxidant capacity were repeated three times. The evaluation of antimicrobial activity was repeated nine times. Data were collected in Microsoft Excel, while the measures of central tendency and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were calculated using Minitab 17 (Version 17.1.0., Minitab LLC., State College, PA, USA). All results are expressed as mean values.

5. Conclusions

The chemical composition; physical properties; and antibacterial, antifungal, and antioxidant activities of essential oil from *Hedyosmum racemosum* leaves of specimens with male and female inflorescences were determined. The results show that all major compounds and most minor compounds present in male essential oil are the same as those determined in female essential oil; only two out of thirty-eight minority compounds were different between male and female essential oil. The percentages of the compounds were different between the oil of the male and female individuals, but in most cases, it was a non-significant statistical difference. The essential oil from specimens with female inflorescences showed more promising biological property values than the oil from specimens with male inflorescences. This study of chemical composition and bioactivity of male and female essential oil contributes to our knowledge concerning the variability of chemical compounds and biological properties between essential oils of male and female species. Furthermore, this study intends to motivate detailed investigations of the essential oils of other dioecious plant species.
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