Impact of Dominant Shrub Species on Soil Organic Matter Content in Dry Grassland Habitats
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ABSTRACT The effects of dominant shrub species on soil organic matter (SOM), including total soil carbon (SC), total nitrogen (N) and particulate organic matter (POM) were compared in three stands differing in the type of shrub species, i.e. Astragalus microcephalus (shrub), Pteropyrum aucheri (shrub) and Prangus uloptera (non-shrub). The stands were located in arid grasslands of north-western Iran. For this purpose, 18 soil samples from each stand were systematically-randomly collected (by auger) from each depth of 0-15cm and 15-30cm, along 6 transect in each stand. The results showed that the stands with the highest abundance of P. aucheri and A. microcephalus had the highest values for SC (0.99% and 0.98%) in both depths, and the highest values for N (0.13% and 0.12%), respectively. The highest POM percentage for carbon (0.24%) and nitrogen (0.03%) were observed in the stands with the highest abundance of A. microcephalus. The proportion of micro-aggregates (28.48%) was significantly higher than macro-aggregates (20.46%) in the upper soil layer of the stand with the highest abundance of A. microcephalus as compared to the others, while no significant difference detected in micro- and macro-aggregate contents of the lower soil layer between the stands. Therefore, the type of shrub species in the grassland communities had important effect on soil organic matter.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Grasslands are globally important for soil organic matter (SOM) and carbon (C) sequestration, contributing more than 10 percent to the total biosphere carbon store (Scurlock and Hall, 1998; Abberton et al., 2010). Altered management practices, land use change and climate changes not only strongly influence on grasslands structure, but may also potentially alter ecosystem function, including C sequestration and total nitrogen (N) (Guo and Gifford, 2002; Jones and Donnelly, 2004).
The magnitude of SOM has been shown to be influenced by grassland vegetation types as well as by the specific plant (Jones and Donnelly, 2004). In addition, within the same plant communities, plant compositions can result in different SOM characteristics (Chatzitheodo Ridis, 2011; Medina-Roldán et al., 2013; Erfanzadeh et al., 2014). This might suggest that SOM is impacted by parameters like plant diversity and functional composition. Indeed, the significant relationship between vegetation characteristics and soil qualitative factors has been reported in several studies (e.g., Fornara and Tilman, 2008; Steinbeiss et al., 2008; De Deyn et al., 2009; Fornara et al., 2009). However, understanding of the role of the type of dominant shrub(s) in soil N and C storage is still limited.

The encroachment of woody plants into grasslands has been widely reported as a global phenomenon and is one of the major changes in plant functional composition (Van Auken, 2000; Maestre et al., 2009). Several factors including overgrazing, increases in atmospheric CO₂ and fire frequency could be considered as driver of shrub encroachment in grasslands (Bond and Midgley, 2000; Briggs et al., 2005; Knapp et al., 2008; Archer, 2010). It is well known that shrubland is one of the major types of terrestrial ecosystems which hold large stores of vegetation carbon due to its shrubs and woody species. Therefore, shrub invasion can increase soil C and N of grasslands (Bardgett et al., 2005; Liao et al., 2006; McClaran et al., 2008; Springsteen et al., 2010; Eldridge et al., 2011). However, studies on the relationship between labile SOM and the species type of woody plants in natural grasslands are scare (Six et al., 1998; Finzi et al., 1998; Hagen-Thorn et al., 2004).

Shrubs, depending on the species, can strongly influence habitat conditions (She et al., 2015). Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the impacts of shrub encroachment on SOM of three stands. The stands were different in the levels of abundance of two shrubs according to their shrub cover, representing a stand, more or less without shrubs and two other stands with dominance of one shrub species in each. The study aimed at evaluation of changes in soil C and N, when shrubs composition is varied between stands. It was hypothesized that variation in SOM values assigned to certain grassland type were in part due to variable shrub composition at different sampling stands. More precisely, it was hypothesized that different shrub species could determine the total amount of C and N in soil.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS
2.1 Study area
The study was conducted in Khanghah watershed (37°46’18”N to 37°50’42”N and 44°57’04”E to 45°00’32”E). Mean annual precipitation and temperature are 393.9mm and 9.87°C, respectively (Fig. 1).
Three stands with similar climatological and topographical conditions were selected according to their (non-) shrub abundances, including *Astragalus microcephalus* (shrub), *Pteropyrum aucheri* (shrub) and *Prangus uloptera* (non-shrub). The stands were distinguished according to the dominant plant species (Heady and Child, 1994). All stands were classified as “good” for rangeland condition and “stable” for rangeland trend according to Holechek’s (1989) method. According to Motamedi (2009), the three species were observed in all stands with the highest abundance in one species (dominant species) in each stand (Appendix 1). In other words, in each stand, one of the aforesaid species was dominant and co-dominant species were more or less the same in all three stands. This provides an opportunity to compare soil characteristics of the stands, and the differences could be related to differences in the type of dominant plant species. Hereafter, the stands are called according to the dominant plant species, i.e. *A. microcephalus* stand, *P. aucheri* stand and *P. uloptera* stand. There were two dominant species in *A. microcephalus* stand, i.e. *A. microcephalus* and *Acanthophyllum microcephalum*, respectively, with 11.43 and 6.46 cover percentages. The data of an earlier study (Motamedi, 2009) was used to separate vegetation functional groups according to their cover percentage (Table 1). Each functional group is a summation of cover percentage of plant species, which is related to that functional group.
Table 1 Cover percentage (abundance) of plant functional groups in the three stands

| Functional groups / type of shrub species level | A. microcephalus | P. aucheri | P. uloptera |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Annuals                                       | 8.63             | 8.10        | 8.07        |
| Perennial forbs                               | 4.93             | 4.29        | 24.48       |
| Perennial grasses                             | 2.50             | 1.87        | 7.01        |
| Shrubs                                        | 1.66             | 23.21       | 0.17        |
| Cushion half-shrubs                           | 6.46             | 0.65        | 0.59        |
| Dwarf half-shrubs                             | 4.19             | 3.87        | 4.75        |
| Legumes half-shrubs                           | 11.53            | 1.48        | 2.04        |

2.2 Vegetation and Soil sampling

Soil samples from each stand were collected from depths of 0 to 15cm and 15 to 30cm during spring 2011. These sampling depths are in accordance with the highest presence of root biomass in the grasslands (Reeder et al., 2001). Based on an earlier study (Motamedi, 2009), six transects were randomly established in each stand; along each transect, three 4m×4m quadrats were established at the two ends and in the middle of each transect (Akhani et al., 2013; Erfanzadeh et al., 2014a). With an auger (diameter: 5cm), 10 soil cores were collected at random to a depth of 30cm in the quadrats. Each core was divided into two sub-cores (0-10 and 10-30cm) and sub-cores were then combined per depth for each quadrats (10 soil sub-cores mixed for each depth separately and two soil samples were created for each quadrat). All soil samples were immediately transferred to the laboratory and were stored at 4°C until processing. The samples were sieved, the roots and coarse gravel (>5mm) were removed, and the remaining was used to examine the effects of vegetation composition (dominant shrub) on soil parameters.

2.3 Soil and data analysis

Organic C was determined by loss on ignition in 600°C, 4 h (Lal et al., 2001) and the total soil N was assessed using the Kjeldahl method (Zagal et al., 2009). POM-C (particulate organic matter for C) and POM-N (particulate organic matter for N) were determined by physical fractionation (Handayani et al., 2009). Twenty-five grams of air-dried soil were dispersed with 100 ml of 5 g/l of sodium hexametaphosphate. The soil solution mixture was shaken for 1 h at high speed on an end-to-end shaker and poured over a 0.053 mm sieve with several deionised water rinses. The soil remaining on the sieve was back washed into an aluminium dish, then dried at 60°C for 24 h, ground and analysed for C and N (Handayani et al., 2009).

In addition, organic C was measured in micro- and macro-aggregates. Therefore, aggregate size distribution (micro- and macro-aggregates) was determined using wet sieving with screen diameters of 0.25 and 0.50 mm. The ranges of micro-aggregates and macro-aggregates were between 0.053 to 0.25 mm and 0.25 to 0.50 mm, respectively. Soils were submersed in water on the largest screen for 5 min before sieving under water by gently moving the sieve 3 cm vertically 50 times over period of 2 min through water contained in a shallow pan. Material remaining on the sieve was transferred to an aluminium container and dried at 60°C in a forced-air oven, then weighed and measured for C (Elliott and Cambardella, 1991). Organic C in macro- and micro-aggregates was determined by the methodology of Lal et al. (2001) as well (N was not
measured in the aggregates due to the continuous error of Kjeldahl apparatus).

General linear model (two-way ANOVA) and post-hoc tests (LSD test) were used to compare the soil parameters between the stands and depths. Each soil parameter was considered as a dependent variable, while depth categories (0-15cm, 15-30cm and 0-30cm: the mean value of two upper and lower soil layers was allocated for 0-30cm depth) and the three stands were considered as fixed factors. An interaction between depth and stand was also considered in the model. Normal distribution of each variable was checked with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Levene test was used to check for homogeneity of variance. All statistical analyses were done using SPSS v.17.

3 RESULTS
The two-way ANOVA results revealed that main factors (‘stand’ and ‘soil depth’) and their interactions had significant effects on SOM parameters (Table 2). According to their F-values, ‘stand’ was the strongest factor influencing SOM. Although ‘soil depth’ had a significant effect on SOM, too, its effect was less pronounced than that of type of shrub species level (Table 2).

3.1 Soil and data analysis
Total C in both of 0-15cm and 15-30cm soil depths and also in 0-30cm as pooled depth was highest for A. microcephalus and P. aucheri stands and lowest for P. uloptera stand (Figure 2A and Table 2). Total N in all layers, including pooled, lower and upper depths were highest in the stand with the highest abundance in A. microcephalus and lowest in the stand with highest abundance in P. uloptera (Figure 2B; Table 2).

![Figure 2](image-url) Total C (A) and total N (B) in the pooled 0-30cm depth in the three stands (the error bars show SE). Different letters indicate significant differences (P =0.05) between the three stands.
Table 2 Main soil organic matter fraction and aggregate distribution (mean ± SE) in the 0-5 and 15-30cm soil layers for *A. microcephalus* stand compared to *P. aucheri* and *P. uloptera* stands in the grasslands, north-western Iran

| Depth (cm) | Stand      | SOC (%)   | TN (%)    | POMC (%)  | POMN (%)   | Macro-aggregates (%) | Micro-aggregates (%) | CMAC (%) | CMIC (%) |
|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|
| 0-15      | *A. microcephalus* | 0.97±0.05a | 0.13±0.004a | 0.29±0.017a | 0.03±0.002a | 20.46±1.15b | 28.48±1.33a | 1.42±0.157a | 1.62±0.080a |
|           | *P. aucheri*    | 0.98±0.04a | 0.09±0.009b | 0.18±0.060b | 0.03±0.001a | 34.63±2.11a | 20.34±1.90b | 1.14±0.143a | 1.76±0.075a |
|           | *P. uloptera*   | 0.65±0.01b | 0.08±0.009b | 0.16±0.069b | 0.02±0.003b | 33.42±2.13a | 23.94±2.38ab | 0.69±0.103b | 1.20±0.040b |
| 15-30     | *A. microcephalus* | 0.83±0.043a | 0.12±0.005a | 0.19±0.001a | 0.03±0.001a | 33.2±0.40a | 21.20±0.66a | 1.14±0.054a | 1.54±0.040a |
|           | *P. aucheri*    | 0.99±0.042a | 0.09±0.007b | 0.10±0.014b | 0.02±0.001a | 35.7±1.09a | 17.67±0.89a | 0.77±0.069b | 1.37±0.061b |
|           | *P. uloptera*   | 0.50±0.033b | 0.07±0.006c | 0.11±0.012b | 0.01±0.002b | 35.4±1.21a | 21.11±0.74a | 0.38±0.029c | 1.07±0.025c |

Two-way ANOVA results (mean squares)

|                      | Stand (C) | Depth (D) | CxD |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----|
| *A. microcephalus*   | 1.871**   | 0.273**   | 0.089** |
| *P. aucheri*         | 0.025**   | 0.002**   | 0.000** |
| *P. uloptera*        | 0.138**   | 0.178**   | 0.007** |
|                      | 842.788** | 838.612** | 6.445** |
|                      | 342.856** | 548.303** | 425.812** |
|                      | 25.751**  | 14.322**  | 70.014** |
|                      | 2.567**   | 1.177**   | 0.265*  |

SOC, soil organic carbon; TN, total nitrogen; POMC, particulate organic matter carbon; POMN, particulate organic nitrogen; CMAC, carbon in macro-aggregates; CMIC, carbon in micro-aggregates; ns, not significant. **P<0.05; ***P<0.01; within a column mean values with different letters, a), b) and c), in each soil depth are significantly different between stands at P<0.05 (Duncan’s test at α=0.05)

3.2 Labile SOM fractions

Soil POM-C and also POM-N were generally highest in the stand with the highest abundance in *A. microcephalus* (Figure 3A and Figure 3B). POM-C contents in the *A. microcephalus*, *P. aucheri*, and *P. uloptera* stands were 0.29 %, 0.18 % and 0.16 %, respectively, in the upper soil layer. POM-C content in the stand with the
highest abundance in *A. microcephalus* was 0.19 % in the deeper soil layer, which was significantly higher than the *P. aucheri* and *P. uloptera* stands. POM-N contents in both depths for the *A. microcephalus* and *P. aucheri* stands were significantly higher than that for the *P. uloptera* stand (Table 2).

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3** particulate organic matters for carbon (A) and for nitrogen (B) in the pooled 0-30cm depth in three stands (the error bars show SE). Different letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between the stands

### 3.3 Aggregate distribution and carbon associated with aggregate size classes

There was a significantly smaller proportion of soil present as macro-aggregates in the stand with the highest abundance in *A. microcephalus* as compared to the stands with the highest abundance in *P. uloptera* and *P. aucheri* in the upper soil layer, but differences in macro-aggregates were not significant in the lower soil layer (Table 2). Significantly a higher proportion of soil was present as micro-aggregates in both the stands with the highest abundance in *A. microcephalus* and *P. uloptera* as compared to the stand with the highest abundance in *P. aucheri* in the upper soil layer; no significant difference was evident in the proportions of micro-aggregates in the lower soil layer (Table 2).

Carbon content was significantly greater for each type of aggregate in the stands with the
highest abundance in *A. microcephalus* and *P. aucheri* than the stand with the highest abundance in *P. uloptera* in the upper soil layer (Table 2). Carbon content was significantly highest for both types of aggregate in the stand with the highest abundance in *A. microcephalus* and lowest in the stand with the highest abundance in *P. uloptera* in the deeper soil layer (Table 2).

4 DISCUSSION

A decline in the amount of organic inputs from plants to soil occurs in Iran and other areas with an arid and semiarid climate as a result of low rainfall and high air temperature (Kabiri et al., 2015). Therefore, we need to manage the natural habitat so that higher SOM enter into soil. At the first step, studies about the spatial variation of SOM and the effect of the above-ground vegetation on OM input to soil should be conducted. Therefore, the aim of this study was to explore the impact of different plant functional compositions on SOM in three different stands. Generally, we found that not only the total cover of shrub but also the type of shrub species could determine the total C and N in the soil. Although some earlier studies showed that soil characteristics can affect vegetation type and regulate floristic composition (e.g., Li et al., 2015), vegetation characteristics can also affect soil parameters. Results of this study showed that N, TC, POM-C and POM-N were significantly higher in the stand with dominancy of cover of *A. microcephalus*. We suggest that the species of the plant coverage (mostly shrubs) are responsible for this variation. Influence of the above-ground vegetation through different cultivations has been considered in several studies (Luan et al., 2010; Ontl et al., 2015). However, in natural and non-cultivated grasslands mainly vegetation types play the major role on soil properties (Oueslati et al., 2013). In the current study, the spatial variation of SOM in the habitats was found affected by the shrub species as well. The SOM content generally increased with developing shrubs in the study area. This confirms that under natural conditions not only management attributes (Pulido-Fernández et al., 2013), but also vegetation factors define soil SOM as follows:

4.1 Shrub functional compositions

Based on the earlier findings that vegetation would control the spatial variability of SOM (McClaran et al., 2008; Eldridge et al., 2011; Oueslati et al., 2013), we tried to explain our results according to the dominant species in each site (stand). In *P. uloptera* stand, vegetation was observed with high participation of perennial forbs. In *A. microcephalus* stand, half-shrub legumes and half-shrub cushion (*Acanthophyllum microcephalum*) were dominant as compare to the *P. aucheri* stand with mainly open canopy shrub (Table 1). These functional compositions lead to different SOM values. Firstly, high SOM values (in the intact soil and aggregates) in the *A. microcephalus* stand show that their dominant functional compositions, including half-shrub legumes and half-shrub cushions, can accumulate high C-levels in the soil. These results are consistent with studies that show woody plants accumulate better soil organic matter in both natural and artificial habitats (McClaran et al., 2008; Formara and Tilman 2008; Liu et al., 2013; de Oliveira et al., 2015). These functional groups may also positively influence grassland function as so-called “fertile island” (Li et al., 2007). Cushion species (e.g., *A. microcephalum*) produce small spiny stems, which tend to die back almost to the base after the growing season each year. Indeed, litter accumulation beneath woody plants in the grasslands is a common phenomenon and provides opportunities for carbon and nitrogen sequestration (e.g., Jackson et al., 2002). The effect of fertile island on
some other soil properties (e.g. soil seed bank) was previously reported (e.g. Erfanzadeh et al., 2014b). In addition, planting of woody species increased vegetation carbon storage, carbon density and carbon sequestration rate in Northeast China (Zhen et al., 2014). Since the species with the highest proportion of canopy cover in the A. microcephalus stand was the leguminous A. microcephalus, which is a deciduous shrub growing to 0.5 m. This species has a symbiotic relationship with certain soil bacteria, which form nodules on roots and fix atmospheric nitrogen (Erfanzadeh et al., 2014a). As a result, in the A. microcephalus stand, legume-shrub associated rhizobia fixing N2 may increase the total N in soils of this stand as well. Furthermore, the P. aucheri stand has lower N and non-significantly higher C than the A. microcephalus stand. The open canopy shrub as the main species of this stand probably has lower ability to maintain litter and resources under its canopy than cushions and legumes half-shrubs types. This result is consistent with the ones that show the open canopy shrubs have low potential for resources capture and nutrient cycling (Abedi et al., 2006; Toranjzar et al., 2010). In addition, the open canopy shrubs have deep root systems with high participation of macro aggregate that leads to high available carbon in the soil. The total organic carbon and carbon in micro- and macro-aggregates and also labile fractions of SOM confirmed our hypothesis of dominant shrub species impacting on soil C- and N-relations. High values of POM-C and POM-N in two stands with a high percentage of shrub cover compared with the p. uloptera stand confirmed the role of shrubs in increasing of SOM. The change in vegetation from herbal species to woody species increased POM-C in topsoil (0-15cm depth) by 81.3%. At the same time, the total C in the upper layer was increased by 49.0%. By contrast, the variation range of the total N was higher than POM-N after a change in the vegetation from herbal to woody composition. The composition of POM consisted mainly of root fragments (Hofle et al., 2013). Thus, significantly different levels of POM-C and POM-N between the three communities in this study suggest differences in root biomass.

In the current study, the picture of total communities was considered and we tried to explain variation of SOM between grassland communities, including different shrub species. Classification of grasslands according to the type of shrub or shrub canopy shape (open or cushion), which affect litter and resources accumulation, root structure and aggregate conditions, for future interpretation of natural habitat is promising.

5 CONCLUSION
Considering the crucial role of soil C and N in sustaining soil quality, knowledge on the effects of the type of shrub species on SOM status is essential in natural habitats. The stock of soil C and N depends not only on the total cover of shrub but also the type of shrub species.
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Appendix 1

| Species                     | Functional groups     | Prangus uloptera stand | Astragalus microcephalus stand | Pteroprynum aucheri stand |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Centaurea virgata Lam.      | Annual                | 0.19                   | 0.57                           | 0.22                     |
| Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop.  | Annual                | 0                      | 0                              | 0.03                     |
| Noaeamucronata Forsk.       | Annual                | 0.01                   | 0.23                           | 0.3                      |
| Papaver armeniacum L.       | Annual                | 0                      | 0                              | 0.01                     |
| Annual forbs                | Annual                | 1.46                   | 2.30                           | 3.32                     |
| Annual grasses              | Annual                | 6.41                   | 5.53                           | 4.22                     |
| Artemisia aucheri Boiss.    | Dwarf half-shrub      | 4.50                   | 1.42                           | 0.27                     |
| Kochia prostrata L.         | Dwarf half-shrub      | 0.10                   | 0                              | 0.04                     |
| Thymus kotschyanus Boiss. & Hohen. | Dwarf half-shrub | 0.15                   | 2.77                           | 3.56                     |
| Astragalus microcephalus Willd. | Legumes half-shrub    | 2.04                   | 11.43                          | 1.44                     |
| Astragalus sp.              | Legumes half-shrub    | 0                      | 0.09                           | 0.02                     |
| Onobrychis sativa Lam.      | Legumes half-shrub    | 0                      | 0.01                           | 0.02                     |
| Achillea cuneatiloba Boiss. & Buhse | Perennial forb           | 0.02                   | 0                              | 0                         |
| Dianthus seiditzia Boiss.   | Perennial forb        | 0.01                   | 0                              | 0                         |
| Eryngium billardieri Delar. | Perennial forb        | 0.87                   | 0.53                           | 0.63                     |
| Euphorbia acheri Boiss.     | Perennial forb        | 0.4                    | 0.84                           | 0.35                     |
| Gundelia tournefortii L.    | Perennial forb        | 0                      | 0.01                           | 0.07                     |
| Hypericum armenum Jaub. & Spach. | Perennial forb          | 0                      | 0                              | 0.01                     |
| Hypericum hirtellum Boiss.  | Perennial forb        | 0.03                   | 0                              | 0                         |
| Onopordom acanthium L.      | Perennial forb        | 0.14                   | 0                              | 0.53                     |
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| Species                          | Life Form       | Percentage | DAP (m²) | Mass (g) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|----------|
| *Phelomis olieveri* Benth.      | Perennial forb   | 0          | 0        | 0.17     |
| *Poterium sanguisorba* L.       | Perennial forb   | 0          | 0        | 0.06     |
| *Prangus uloptera* DC.          | Perennial forb   | 21.79      | 0.91     | 0.66     |
| *Scariola orientalis* Boiss.    | Perennial forb   | 0.10       | 0.05     | 0.15     |
| *Stachys inflate* Benth.        | Perennial forb   | 1.01       | 2.04     | 1.65     |
| *Stachys lavandulifolia* Vahl.  | Perennial forb   | 0.11       | 0.02     | 0.01     |
| *Verbascum stachydiforme* Boiss. & Buhse | Perennial forb | 0          | 0.53     | 0        |
| *Agropyron pectiniform* Roem. & Schult. | Perennial grass | 0.20      | 0.26     | 0.10     |
| *Agropyron trichophorum* (Link) K. Richt. | Perennial grass | 0.02      | 0.09     | 0.69     |
| *Bromus danthoniae* L.          | Perennial grass  | 0          | 0        | 0.02     |
| *Bromus tomentellus* Boiss.     | Perennial grass  | 0.27       | 0.04     | 0.45     |
| *Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers.   | Perennial grass  | 0          | 0        | 0.24     |
| *Festuca ovina* L.              | Perennial grass  | 0          | 0.14     | 0.2      |
| *Hordeum bulbosum* L.           | Perennial grass  | 0          | 0.05     | 0.01     |
| *Koeleria cristata* L.          | Perennial grass  | 0          | 0        | 0.14     |
| *Melica persica* Kunth.         | Perennial grass  | 0.02       | 0        | 0        |
| *Poa bubosa* L.                 | Perennial grass  | 5.59       | 0.61     | 0.02     |
| *Stipa barbata* Desf.           | Perennial grass  | 0.91       | 1.31     | 0        |
| *Acanthophyllum microcephalum* Boiss. | Cushion half-shrub | 0.59 | 0.46 | 0.65 |
| *Amygdalus scoparia* L.         | Shrub            | 0.09       | 1.62     | 0.28     |
| *Pteropyrum aucheri* Jaubert & Spach | Shrub         | 0.07       | 0.04     | 23.21    |
| *Rosa canina* L.                | Shrub            | 0.01       | 0        | 0        |
تاثیر گونه‌های بوته‌ای غالب بر مقدار مواد آلی خاک در علفزارهای خشک

رضا عرفان‌زاده ای، بهرامی ای، جواد معتمدی، قاسم‌علی دانشی نیلکی و مهدی عابدی

1- دانش‌پژوهان بهبود و بهبودی، دانشگاه تربیت مدرس، تهران
2- دانشجوی کارشناسی ارشد، گروه مرتضدری، دانشگاه منابع طبیعی دانشگاه تربیت مدرس، تهران، ایران
3- استادیار، گروه مرتضدری، دانشگاه منابع طبیعی و کشاورزی، دانشگاه آزاد ارومیه، ارومیه، ایران
4- استادیار، گروه مرتضدری، دانشگاه منابع طبیعی، دانشگاه تربیت مدرس، تهران، ایران

تاریخ دریافت: 23 دی 1394، پذیرش: 13 فروردین 1395، پذیرش چاپ: 11 تیر 1395

چکیده

مقدار نیتروژن، ماده آلی کل و ماده آلی ذره‌ای خاک در سه سایت علفزارها در گونه‌های بوته‌ای، شامل Prangulus ulopteralis (بوت‌های)، Pteropyrum aucheri (بوت‌های) و Astragalus microcephalus (بوت‌های) مقایسه شد. این مقایسه در علفزارهای خشک شمال غربی ایران انجام شد. در دو سایت از میان 18 مواد به صورت تصادفی، یکی از دو نمونه در هر سایت به صورت تصادفی بسیاریتک به‌سرعت در ذو عمد 0-15 و 15-30 سانتی‌متر در طول 6 ترانسکت در هر سایت در پهنه 1390 برداشت شدند. نتایج نشان داد که بیشترین مقادیر کربن در هر دو دهقد (درصد و 98/ درصد) و N. A. microcephalus کمتر از دیگر گونه‌های بوته‌ای بود. بیشترین مواد آلی ذره‌ای خاک در پایه (24 درصد) و برای نیتروژن (20 درصد) در سایت microcephalus با گالبیت‌دار بود. بیشترین آب در بالای بوته‌ای، در سایت A. microcephalus در مقایسه با دو سایت دیگر A. microcephalus کمتر بود. سهم گالبیت‌دار در میکرو ذرات (46/2%) و سهم یکی دیگر از میکرو ذرات (48/2%) در رودخانه بود که سهم میکرو و میکرو ذرات در رودخانه بود. در حالی که سهم ذرات در سایت مورد نظر در سه سایت مطالعه مشابه بود. نتایج نشان داد که بوته‌ای در جویان علفزار با استفاده از مطالعات هجوگونه‌های خشکی بر مواد آلی خاک کوستیمیا هوا مورد توجه قرار گرفت.

کلمات کلیدی: علفزار، هجوگونه، ترکیب بوته، مواد آلی خاک