Introduction

Gc protein was discovered in human as Gc-globulin (Group-specific component of serum) in 1959 by Hirschfeld. This protein was used in studies pertaining to population genetics and forensic medicine before its function was eventually discovered in 1975. Later, it was categorized as vitamin D-binding protein (DBP). It is a polymorphic plasma protein of molecular mass 52–59 kDa. It is expressed in liver, kidney, gonads, fat, and neutrophils in humans. Its most important function is transportation of vitamin D metabolites. However, it also functions as an actin scavenger, macrophage activating factor and fatty acid transporter.

The human Gc gene is present on chromosome 4 (4q11–13). The gene is 42.5 kb long and belongs to albumin super family which also includes albumin (ALB), α-fetoprotein (AFP) and afamin (AFM) genes. The family shares a 3-domain structure. Gc, ALB, AFP, and AFM are homologous in structure but vary considerably in functions.

Gc is separated by a distance of 1500 kb from other members of the family. Studies have shown that Gc is present in rat, mouse, rabbit, turtle, chicken, guinea pig, horse, cow, dog, rhesus monkey, and chimpanzee. Gc in turtle (Trachemys scripta) has a unique ability to bind with thyroxine as well. There is no Gc homolog in Drosophila melanogaster. While Gc gene is located on chromosome 4 in humans, its location in cow, mouse, chicken, zebrafish, rat, and rabbit is on chromosome 6, 5, 4, 14, and 15, respectively (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/). The chromosomal location of Gc gene in Western clawed frog has not been established although the whole genome of this amphibian has been sequenced.

The objective of this study was to examine the sequence similarities and degree of conservation at amino acid level in order to comprehend the evolution of Gc protein from aquatic fish to mammals.

Results

The Gc protein precursor sequence lengths and their accession numbers are summarized in Table 1. Percent identities were calculated using pairwise approach by Clustal W2 (Table 2).
Pairwise percent identity was maximum between mouse–rat pair (91%), followed by human–rabbit pair (83%), and minimum for mouse–zebrafish pair (26%), and intermediate (49–51%) between chicken and mammals (human, rabbit, cow, rat, and mouse).

Multiple sequence alignment analysis indicated numerous fully conserved residues in Gc protein among the 8 eukaryotes as shown in Figure 1 (Clustal W2 output) and in Figure 2 (Jalview output). (Complete results are available in the Supplemental Material).

Figure 3 shows phylogenetic tree constructed using downloaded sequences. The tree indicates that rabbit and human share a more recent common ancestor, while rat Gc is evolutionarily most distant than other animals. Chicken and zebrafish Gc are least distant from the ancestor protein.

Figure 4 shows conserved domains within Gc proteins. Figure 4A represents all the studied animals except zebrafish Gc, which lacks vitamin D binding site (Fig. 4B).

**Table 1.** Gc protein precursor accession numbers and lengths of the eukaryotic organisms

| Organisms | Accession number | Protein precursor (aa)* |
|-----------|------------------|------------------------|
| Human     | NP_000574.2      | 474                    |
| Cow       | NP_001030457.1   | 474                    |
| Mouse     | NP_032122.1      | 476                    |
| Chicken   | NP_990213.1      | 484                    |
| Frog      | NP_001015745.1   | 482                    |
| Zebrafish | NP_001002568.1   | 464                    |
| Rat       | AAA41080.1       | 476                    |
| Rabbit    | BAA06137.1       | 476                    |

*amino acids

Discussion

A comprehensive view of the alignment results in Clustal W2 and Jalview signifies Gc as highly conserved protein across the studied eukaryotic animals. The sequence alignment results from Clustal W2 (Fig. 1) and the consensus sequences in Jalview are shown in Figure 2A and B (complete results are available in the Supplemental Material).

Results of phylogenetic analysis revealed Mammalian Gc proteins (human, rabbit, mouse, rat) were distantly related to ancestral Gc, while chicken, zebrafish, and frog Gc proteins appeared to be closely related to it (Fig. 3). Rabbit and human Gc proteins appear to have recently evolved. The position of zebrafish Gc in the phylogenetic tree reinforced the results of multiple sequence alignment, which showed the lowest percent identity with all other animals (Table 2). In our analysis, human and mouse Gc proteins are clustered with rabbit and rat Gc proteins, respectively reflecting their greater degree of sequence similarity. Interestingly, chicken Gc has the smallest distance from ancestral protein although it holds a higher position in the evolution hierarchy when compared with zebrafish and frog.

Our results reveal that a high degree of Gc homology exists among mammals; however, the protein varies considerably from Pisces to Mammals. All eight Gc protein sequences have conserved domains for albumin superfamily and vitamin D binding site III (Fig. 4A) except for zebrafish Gc (Fig. 4B). It has been reported that zebrafish Gc does not have a vitamin D binding motif but has a number of albumin binding motifs. This observation merits some discussion because zebrafish genome doesn’t have ALB, AFP and AFM genes even though ALB is present in salmon, brown trout and rainbow fish. There is a possibility that other plasma proteins in zebrafish might be performing the same function as albumin in other species. It has also been reported that zebrafish diverged from salmon around 170–310 Myrs (million years) ago. Most members of the albumin superfamily appeared at a later stage of vertebrate evolution during the appearance of amphibians and reptiles. Previous studies indicated that the ancestral gene for ALB and AFP was 300–500 Myrs old. It was also suggested that Gc is older than ALB and AFP. However, these estimates were questioned by other investigators. Rooted and time calibrated phylogenetic analysis by Noel et al. indicated that Gc and a precursor for ALB, AFP, AFM appeared for the very first time around 570–880 Myrs ago. ALB and a precursor for AFP, AFM appeared 360–410 Myrs ago. This process probably occurred
after the amphibians and reptiles separated because AFP and AFM are absent in amphibians and fish but present in reptiles and other higher vertebrates.\textsuperscript{13} AFP and AFM appeared around 250–330 Myrs ago after Mammalia emerged. This is supported by the fact that AFM is present only in mammals but absent in amphibians and fish.\textsuperscript{13} Their analysis also revealed that ALB and Gc probably evolved at the same rate. Hence, Gc may not be considered older than ALB.\textsuperscript{13}

The findings of this study must be viewed in the light of certain limitations. Only 8 vertebrates were selected for this study. Moreover, we couldn’t study Gc in Reptilia because only predicted sequences were available, though Gc has been reported to be present in turtle.\textsuperscript{1} Other members of albumin superfamily were not compared with Gc and with each other. This would have been helpful in verifying the extent of evolution regarding this family of proteins. Our results conform well to those reported by Noel et al. who have found nearly the same protein identity (29.5\%) between human and zebrafish Gc.\textsuperscript{13}

We selected reference sequences of Gc protein from each class of phylum Chordata except for Reptilia (since only predicted sequences were available) for constructing phylogenetic tree in order to evaluate the pattern of Gc protein evolution. Noel et al. used reference and predicted mRNA sequences, not protein sequences, for phylogenetic analysis.\textsuperscript{15} They used fewer protein sequences (fish, amphibian, and 2 mammals) for multiple alignment analysis only. Predicted mRNA sequences are weak evidences for studying protein evolution as they are not subjected to wet lab experiments to verify whether these predicted sequences translate into functional proteins. Hence, they are not strong candidates for studying protein evolution. Our phylogenetic tree is based on protein sequences which provide a better model to study evolution as sequences are more variable at mRNA level as compared with protein due to degeneracy of genetic code—which ultimately code for same amino acid. Thus protein sequences provide a true picture of actual evolution taking place within any protein.

Our primary objective was to gain a better understanding of the evolution of Gc protein precursors in various classes of phylum Chordata. Further studies would be needed to compare all members of albumin superfamily in various classes of phylum Chordata. Moreover, in-depth analysis of domain and helices homology in primates would be helpful in enhancing our knowledge of Gc evolution in terms of structure-function relationship.

**Conclusion**

Chicken Gc was found to be closely related to ancestral Gc, while rat Gc was the most distant. Human Gc appeared to have recently evolved. Structurally, Gc was closely related among mammals (human, rabbit, cow, mouse, and rat) but was evolutionally distinct from Gc in chicken, zebrafish, and frog indicating possibility of functional versatility of this protein. Protein analysis provided a clear picture of evolutionary trend in vertebrates.

**Methods**

**Selection of sequences**

Human Gc protein sequence from NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/) was used to search for other protein sequences using Protein BLAST
We selected sequences of human (*Homo sapiens*), cow (*Bos taurus*), rat (*Rattus norvegicus*), mouse (*Mus musculus*), rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), chicken (*Gallus gallus*), Western clawed frog (*Xenopus tropicalis*) and zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). These species are representative of various classes of Phylum Chordata: Mammalia (human, cow, rabbit, mouse, and rat), Aves (chicken), Amphibian (frog) and Pisces (zebrafish).

### Multiple sequence alignment

Multiple sequence alignment of the downloaded sequences was conducted using Clustal W2 online tool at European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI) (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/). Default parameters were used for the analysis.

### Phylogenetic analysis

A Maximum-Likelihood tree was constructed using PhyML 3.0, with following parameters: Tree topology: NNIs; Initial tree: BioNJ; Model of amino acids substitution: LG; Log-likelihood: -4713.91023; Unconstrained Likelihood: -2261.14381; Discrete gamma model: Yes, and Gamma shape parameter: 1.720.10,11

### Domain analysis

Conserved domains within the protein sequences were analyzed by using Batch Web CD-Search tool12 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/bwrpsb/bwrpsb.cgi)
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**Table 2.** Pairwise comparison of protein precursors in the selected vertebrate species

| Vertebrate 1 | Vertebrate 2 | Protein identity (%) |
|--------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Human        | Rabbit       | 83                   |
| Human        | Cow          | 81                   |
| Human        | Mouse        | 78                   |
| Human        | Rat          | 76                   |
| Human        | Chicken      | 51                   |
| Human        | Frog         | 41                   |
| Human        | Zebrafish    | 28                   |
| Cow          | Rabbit       | 77                   |
| Cow          | Mouse        | 74                   |
| Cow          | Rat          | 72                   |
| Cow          | Chicken      | 51                   |
| Cow          | Frog         | 41                   |
| Cow          | Zebrafish    | 28                   |
| Mouse        | Rat          | 91                   |
| Mouse        | Rabbit       | 76                   |
| Mouse        | Chicken      | 50                   |
| Mouse        | Frog         | 42                   |
| Mouse        | Zebrafish    | 26                   |
| Chicken      | Rat          | 51                   |
| Chicken      | Rabbit       | 49                   |
| Chicken      | Frog         | 41                   |
| Chicken      | Zebrafish    | 30                   |
| Frog         | Rabbit       | 42                   |
| Frog         | Rat          | 41                   |
| Frog         | Zebrafish    | 27                   |
| Rat          | Rabbit       | 73                   |
| Rat          | Zebrafish    | 26                   |
| Rabbit       | Zebrafish    | 28                   |
Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree of Gc amino acids by PhyML 3.0

Figure 4. (A) Conserved domains of Gc protein precursor of human, rabbit, cow, rat, mouse, chicken, and frog. The triangles represent the amino acids of conserved domains in albumin superfamily. The vitamin D binding motif is denoted by a box at the end of the sequence. The positions of the binding sites are specified numerically at the top. (B) Conserved domains of Gc protein of zebrafish. The vitamin D binding site is absent in zebrafish. The albumin superfamily binding sites are indicated by triangles. The blue colored region was not included in database search because it was recognized as biased region by the software.
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