Transcriptome dataset of human corneal endothelium based on ribosomal RNA-depleted RNA-Seq data
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The corneal endothelium maintains corneal transparency; consequently, damage to this endothelium by a number of pathological conditions results in severe vision loss. Publicly available expression databases of human tissues are useful for investigating the pathogenesis of diseases and for developing new therapeutic modalities; however, databases for ocular tissues, and especially the corneal endothelium, are poor. Here, we have generated a transcriptome dataset from the ribosomal RNA-depleted total RNA from the corneal endothelium of eyes from seven Caucasians without ocular diseases. The results of principal component analysis and correlation coefficients (ranged from 0.87 to 0.96) suggested high homogeneity of our RNA-Seq dataset among the samples, as well as sufficient amount and quality. The expression profile of tissue-specific marker genes indicated only limited, if any, contamination by other layers of the cornea, while the Smirnov-Grubbs test confirmed the absence of outlier samples. The dataset presented here should be useful for investigating the function/dysfunction of the cornea, as well as for extended transcriptome analyses integrated with expression data for non-coding RNAs.

Background & Summary

The cornea is a transparent tissue located at the outermost surface of the eyeball, where it refracts light as a lens. It is comprised of five different layers: the epithelium, Bowman's layer, stroma, Descemet's membrane, and endothelium¹. The corneal endothelium maintains corneal transparency by regulating the inflow and outflow of the aqueous humor to the stroma via a pump-and-barrier function. The cells of the corneal endothelium have limited proliferative capacity²,³; therefore, damage to the corneal endothelium by several pathological conditions, such as Fuchs endothelial corneal dystrophy (FECD), invasive cataract surgery, glaucoma surgery, and endotheliitis, can induce a loss of corneal transparency and result in severe visual disturbance⁴. The only therapeutic remedy has been transplantation of a donor cornea, and more than 50% of the indications for corneal transplantation involve corneal endothelial decompensation¹,⁶.

Cell therapy for treating corneal endothelial decompensation has been investigated by many research groups⁷. Indeed, a clinical trial involving injection of cultured corneal endothelial cells into the anterior chamber of the eye has been initiated in Japan⁸. The induction of corneal endothelial cells from human pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) has been reported, and the transplantation of those cells has been proposed as a potential decompensation therapy⁹. Along this line, many researchers have devoted their efforts to determining selective markers for the corneal endothelium to validate cultured cells for clinical use¹⁰⁻¹⁵. In addition, a candidate causative gene for FECD has been identified¹⁶⁻¹⁷ that affects ~4% of the population over the age of 40 in the United States¹⁸⁻²⁰ and is responsible for most common corneal dystrophies. This identification of a causative gene, in turn, has led to the elucidation of the pathophysiology and to the proposal of multiple potential therapeutic modalities²¹⁻²³. In line
Table 1. Sample information. †RNA Integrity Number (RIN) was calculated using Agilent 2100 expert software.

| Sample ID | Age | Sex  | RIN† | Concentration (ng/μL) | Yield (ng) |
|-----------|-----|------|------|-----------------------|------------|
| S1        | 69  | Female | 7.6  | 8.9                    | 446.1      |
| S6        | 62  | Female | 8.3  | 12.7                  | 634.7      |
| S8        | 69  | Male   | 7.5  | 3.9                   | 197.2      |
| S16       | 57  | Female | 7.9  | 5.4                   | 270.9      |
| S20       | 48  | Male   | 7.7  | 11.7                  | 583.2      |
| S23       | 64  | Female | 7.9  | 16.7                  | 837.1      |
| S28       | 59  | Male   | 8.8  | 5.8                   | 291.4      |

with this recent accelerating research in the field of corneal endothelial decompensation, the establishment of a rigid gene expression database is anticipated.

Gene expression data derived from multiple species and tissues are useful for revealing the molecular pathogenesis of diseases and are now increasingly available from public databases. However, gene expression data for human ocular tissues in particular, such as corneal endothelial cells, remains limited. For example, no ocular data are listed on the GTEx Portal (https://www.gtexportal.org/), while only summarized data derived from whole eye, retina, and some ocular cell lines are listed on the ENCODE project (https://www.encodeproject.org/). In terms of the corneal endothelium, two records are found in the “Publication” of “Data Type” deposited in ENCODE, and one article describes mRNA expression by referring to other published RNA-Seq data25. On the other hand, there are some published articles describing the results of RNA-Seq data derived from corneal endothelium (Supplementary Table 1), although all of the data were based on the sequencing libraries generated from poly-A selected mRNA and sequenced by single-end 50-bp reads25-29. In addition, some of the data were produced from cell lines or after performing ex vivo/primary culture, which could induce artificial gene expression. Recently, RNA-Seq analysis of the human ocular tissues, including cornea, has been reported as a result of pair-end 150-bp sequencing, although they used the whole cornea tissues without separating into the different layers30. Consequently, our RNA-Seq dataset based on the pair-end 100-bp sequencing using the ribosomal RNA-depleted total RNA should be useful for analyzing unbiased expression of not only coding genes but also non-coding RNAs of human corneal endothelial cells.

Therefore, in this data descriptor, our goal was to provide a transcriptome dataset based on ribosomal RNA-depleted RNA-Seq data derived from the corneal endothelium of seven normal Caucasian donors to serve as a gold standard for expression analyses. To this end, we paid strict attention to the selection criteria of the human donors (i.e., a narrow range of age distribution and an almost equal number of each gender), as well as to the qualities of the extracted total RNA and the generated RNA-Seq data, through several quality-control (QC) procedures. Consequently, the dataset established here should be a useful, reliable, and robust tool for understanding the cellular characteristics of the cornea endothelium, as well as a reference control for revealing the molecular mechanisms of disease pathogenesis in corneal dystrophies.

Methods

Ethics statement. The human tissue used in this study was handled in accordance with the tenets set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed written consent to utilize donor corneal tissue for eye research was obtained from the next of kin of all deceased donors. All corneal tissue was recovered under the tenets of the Uniform Anatomical Gift Act (UAGA) of the particular state in which the donor consent was obtained and the tissue was recovered.

Corneal endothelial tissues. Normal human donor corneas were obtained from CorneaGen™ (https://corneagen.com/, Seattle, WA). All corneas had been stored at 4°C in storage medium (Optisol-GS; Bausch & Lomb, Rochester, US-NY) for less than 14 days before use for experiments. Corneas derived from 7 donors (3 males and 4 females of Caucasian descent; age range: 48–69 years old) were used in the study. Descemet's membrane, including the corneal endothelium, was stripped from the donor corneas. The corneal endothelium was then lysed in 700μL of QIAzol lysis reagent (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), homogenized with a vortex mixer for 30 seconds, and stored at −80°C until used for experiments.

Total RNA preparation. Total RNA was extracted from each corneal endothelium with an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Briefly, the lysed corneal endothelium in QIAzol lysis reagent was thawed at 37°C and incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature. Chloroform (140μL) was added and the samples were mixed thoroughly, followed by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 15 minutes at 4°C. The upper layer was collected, mixed with an equal volume of 70% ethanol, and concentrated using spin columns. The final concentration of total RNA was measured with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and an RNA 6000 Pico Kit (Agilent Technologies). The quality of the total RNA was assessed by calculating the RNA integrity number (RIN) with the Agilent 2100 Expert Software (Agilent Technologies) (Table 1). The total RNA samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until use (the mean ± SD storage period was 612.6 ± 27.3 days).

RNA-Seq library preparation and sequencing. The RNA-Seq libraries for next-generation sequencing (NGS) were generated with a SMARTer Stranded Total RNA-Seq Kit v2 - Pico Input Mammalian (Takara Bio
Overall, the variations in the mapped reads were reduced compared to the raw reads, suggesting that the homo-
and/or RNA fragmentation resulted in the small library size appeared in some samples (Supplementary Table 2).

All the paired-end reads showed sufficient quality scores after the QC processes (Fig. 1a and Supplementary
Figure 1), suggesting that the quality of the produced reads was not affected by the starting RNA and/or the storage condition.

Regression analysis showed no significant correlation of RNA yield (Supplementary Figure 2a), or storage period (Supplementary Figure 2c) to the number of raw reads, sug-

RIN value (\( \geq 7.0 \)) satisfying the requirements for library preparation (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). The RNA-Seq yielded a number of raw reads derived from seven samples that fell within the range between 38.97 and 59.89 M reads. Regression analysis showed no significant correlation of RNA yield (Supplementary Figure 2a), RIN (Supplementary Figure 2b), or storage period (Supplementary Figure 2c) to the number of raw reads, sug-

The reads that passed these filters were aligned to the human reference genome sequence (GRCh38, for details see the Usage Notes section) by using STAR version 2.7.3a program. The alignment process by STAR was per-
formed with the options of ‘--runMode alignReads’, ‘--outSAMtype BAM SortedByCoordinate’, and ‘--quantMode TranscriptomeSAM’. The gene expression analyses were performed by ‘rsem-calculate-expression’ program from RSEM version 1.3.3 after generated indexes for reference genome and GTF files by ‘rsem-prepare-reference’.

All of the following statistical analyses were conducted by using R program version 3.6.3. Principal component

**Data Records**

All raw fastq files produced by RNA-Seq were deposited in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) Sequence Read Archive (DRA)\(^3\). The expression data set of genes obtained by STAR and RSEM was deposited in the DDBJ Transcriptome Archive (TSA)\(^3\). The technical Validation

**Quality assessment of total RNA and RNA-Seq data.** We obtained sufficient yield (>10 ng) and a

We assessed the homogeneity among the samples by analyzing the correlation of the TPM values of the genes
filtered from the RNA-Seq dataset (Supplementary Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 2). The result of PCA showed that all samples were distributed within the narrow range of the first component, where the contribution (92.84%) indicated much higher than that of the second component (2.86%) (Fig. 2a). In addition, the correlation coefficients distributed from 0.87 to 0.96 (Fig. 2b). These results suggested a high correlation of the gene expres-

**Technical Validation**
expression analysis of tissue-specific genes. We evaluated the expression profile of our current RNA-Seq dataset using genes reportedly expressed in each layer of the cornea (i.e., the corneal epithelium, stroma, and endothelium) (Fig. 3). PAX6 and WNT7A, which are expressed in the corneal epithelium\(^{31}\), showed low expression in the endothelium. Most genes with known expression in the stroma\(^{32-35}\) showed low expression levels in the endothelium, although the Prostaglandin D2 synthase (PTGDS) gene was highly expressed. However, this high expression of PTGDS is consistent with a report indicating that PTGDS is expressed in both the stroma and the endothelium\(^{38}\). By contrast, expression of genes often used as endothelial markers\(^{36}\) was generally high. The expression of Tight Junction Protein 1 (TJP1, also known as ZO-1) was relatively low when compared with other endothelial markers, but this lower expression level was consistent with a previous description of lower expression of TJP1 mRNA compared to TJP1 protein\(^{36}\). The Smirnov-Grubbs test confirmed the absence of outlier samples based on the evaluation of the TPM values from each gene used in the heatmap.
Fig. 3 Expression profile of marker genes selected from each layer of corneal tissue. The heatmap indicates the normalized TPM values for each gene and sample. The expression levels of PAX6 and WNT7A were low in the corneal endothelium. The expression levels of ALDH3A1, CHST6, and KERA were low, while the PTGDS was highly expressed in the endothelium. The expression levels were high for the genes commonly used as endothelial markers.

Taken together, the expression data of this corneal endothelium RNA-Seq dataset is considered to be reliable. It should be useful as a reference database of healthy corneal endothelium tissue for the various expression analyses, as well as for future transcriptome analyses including the expression data of non-coding RNAs.

Usage Notes
The human reference genome sequence (GRCh38) used in STAR alignment process was obtained from Ensembl (ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-100/fasta/homo_sapiens/dna/Homo_sapiens.GRCh38.dna.primary_assembly.fa). Before aligned, the reference genome was indexed by STAR with ‘--sjdbGTFfile’ option for GTF gene annotation file provided in the same release (http://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-100/gtf/homo_sapiens.Homo_sapiens.GRCh38.100.gtf.gz). Note that this GTF file contains the annotation of 60,683 genes, and 60,164 genes were applied to analyses in this study after removing the annotations of tRNA and rRNA referred to ‘RepeatMasker’ track from UCSC Genome Browser (https://genome-asia.ucsc.edu/) on Human Dec. 2013 (GRCh38/hg38).

Code availability
As described in the Methods section, all of the analyses in this study were performed with the following open-access programs:

QC checking for RNA-Seq data was performed by FastQC version 0.11.9. (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/)
QC results were summarized by multiQC version 1.9. (https://multiqc.info/)
QC filtering was performed by using fastp 0.20.1 program with default setting. (https://github.com/OpenGene/fastp)
After QC, adaptor sequences were trimmed by Trimmomatic-0.39 program. (http://www.usadellab.org/cms/?page=trimmomatic)
All reads were aligned to the human reference genome sequence by STAR version 2.7.3a program. (https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR)
Gene expression analyses were performed by RSEM version 1.3.3. (https://deweylab.github.io/RSEM/).
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