Relationship between class 1 dental caries and sextant involving caries among patients visiting the outpatient department of Saveetha Dental College, Chennai, India
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ABSTRACT

Depending upon the site of the tooth, accessibility of oral hygiene products like toothbrush, tooth floss etc. different teeth have varying susceptibility to getting infected by cariogenic bacteria. Plaque accumulation, occlusal morphology, oral hygiene practices etc. play an important role in determining the susceptibility of teeth towards caries. A retrospective cross-sectional study was conducted using patient records from the Dental College, Chennai after reviewing and analysing the data of 86000 patients between June 2019 and March 2020. The variables involved were age, gender and site of carious teeth. Data were then exported to the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows (Version 19, 2010) for further analysis. The total sample size was 19014. The sample had a gender distribution of 55.56% males and 44.44% females. Class 1 dental caries was found to be most prevalent in sextant 6 that is the lower right posterior teeth region. Least incidence of class 1 DC was noted in sextant 5, which is the lower anterior teeth. Young adults (18-35 years) had the highest incidence of class 1 dental caries (p<0.05). Among males and females, respectively, class 1 caries was most prevalent in sextant 6 (p<0.05). Within the limits of the study, it can be concluded that caries experience is highest in mandibular posteriors. There was a slight male predilection in the prevalence of class 1 dental caries. Young adults in the age group, 18-35 years, have the highest incidence of class 1 DC.

INTRODUCTION

Dental caries is one of the most prevalent chronic diseases of people worldwide. Individuals are susceptible to this throughout their lifetime. (Selwitz et al., 2007) It is a cause of great pain and discomfort if left untreated for a long period of time. (Ramamoorthi et al., 2015) Dissolution of tooth structure weakens the crown often resulting in fracture of the tooth. (Jose et al., 2020) The susceptibility of individual tooth surfaces to dental caries varies vastly. (Hannigan et al., 2000)
Also, the spread of dental caries greatly from tooth to tooth and surface-to-surface. There is evidence that occlusal caries precedes other types of caries in the spread. It has the highest prevalence in molars. (Eklund and Ismail, 1986)

Caries prevalence also varies based on gender. There is a higher prevalence seen in females due to various factors such as the early eruption of teeth in girls, frequent snacking during food preparation, pregnancy etc. Due to hormonal fluctuations during several events such as puberty, menstruation, pregnancy etc., the oral environment is highly cariogenic in women. (Lukacs and Largaespada, 2006)

There are various other factors that may lead to caries. (Rajakeerthi and Niveditha, 2019) These include inadequate salivary flow and composition, high numbers of cariogenic bacteria, insufficient fluoride exposure, gingival recession, immunological components, genetic factors, among others. (Selwitz et al., 2007) Host matrix metalloproteinases get activated, leading to dentin matrix breakdown in the course of dental caries. (Ramesh et al., 2019)

Diagnostic tests such as thermal test, electric pulp test, pulse oximeter etc. are employed to check the vitality of the tooth. (Janani et al., 2020) Efficient removal of carious lesions is necessary to prevent recurrence of caries. (Manohar and Sharma, 2018; Teja and Ramesh, 2019) The treatment of dental caries depends on various factors such as remaining dentin thickness, pulpal involvement, vitality tests etc. (Ramanathan and Solete, 2015) Commonly used dental materials used for cavity filling are resin composite, flowable composite, GIC, amalgam, gold etc. (Nasim et al., 2018; Kumar and Antony, 2018) Veneers and laminates can be used for the aesthetic management of caries in anterior teeth. (Ravinthar and Jayalakshmi, 2018) Traditional medicines such as neem, tulsi, grape seed extract etc. have been included for the prevention of dental caries. (Nasim and Nandakumar, 2018) In the case of the incipient lesion, remineralisation pastes can be used to reverse the cariogenic activity. (Rajendran et al., 2019) Proper brushing technique, frequent usage of floss, chlorhexidine mouthwash should be advised to prevent dental caries. (Noor and Pradeep, 2016)

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design and setting**

This is a retrospective cross-sectional study in which patient records from a Dental College, Chennai were obtained. Data was collected for patients reporting to the Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics after reviewing patient records and analysing the data of 86000 patients from June 2019- April 2020 who had class 1 dental caries. 

**Data Collection**

A total of 19014 cases of class 1 dental caries were identified. Other relevant data such as age, gender, patient ID, patient name etc., were also recorded. Repeated patient data and incomplete records were excluded. Clinical photos and radiographs were used to verify the site of dental caries. Data was also verified by an external reviewer.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were recorded in Microsoft Excel and later exported to the Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows (Version 19, 2010) after proper coding of the variables involved. These variables included were gender (1. Male, 2. Female), age (1. 18-35 years, 2. 36-55 years, 3. >56 years) and site of caries involvement (1. Sextant 1- 18-14, 2. Sextant 2- 13-23, 3. Sextant 3- 24-28, 4. Sextant 4- 38-34, 5. Sextant 5- 33-43, 6. Sextant 6- 44-48). Thereafter, the data were subjected to statistical analysis using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows (Version 19, 2010). Chi-square test was employed with the level of significance set at p<0.05. Appropriate graphs, tables and charts were constructed using the same software for a clear representation of the results obtained. 

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The final data consisted of 19014 cases of patients with class 1 dental caries (DC). Gender distribution among the patients was found to be - 55.56% males and 44.44% females. (Table 2, Figure 2) Incidence of class 1 DC in the different age groups was 72.6% in 18-35-year-olds, 25.2% in 36-55 years olds and 2.2% in > 56-year-olds. (Table 1, Figure 1) The distribution of site of occurrence of class 1 DC in decreasing order of incidence was 31.51% in sextant 6, 30.95% in sextant 4, 18.31% in sextant 1, 18.08% in sextant 3, 1.09% in sextant 2 and 0.06% in sextant 5. (Table 3, Figure 3) Chi-square test done between gender and site class 1 DC (Table 4, Figure 4), and age and site of class 1 DC (Table 5, Figure 5) had p<0.05 making the data statistically significant.

From Figure 4, X-axis denotes gender and Y-axis denotes the site of Class 1 Dental Caries. This graph represents the correlation of gender and site of class 1 dental caries where blue colour denotes sextant 1, and green denotes sextant 2, white denotes sextant 3, purple denotes sextant 4, yellow denotes sextant 5 and red denotes sextant 6. It shows that most Class 1 DC have occurred in sextant 6 in both males and females followed by sextant 4. Least number of
Table 1: Age distribution in patients with Class 1 Dental Caries. 18-35 years (72.6%), 36-55 years (25.2%) and 56+ years (2.2%)

| Age groups | Frequency | Percent |
|------------|-----------|---------|
| 18-35 years | 13796     | 72.6    |
| 36-55 years | 4792      | 25.2    |
| 56+ years   | 426       | 2.2     |
| Total       | 19014     | 100.0   |

Table 2: Gender distribution among patients with Class 1 Dental Caries. Females 44.4%, Males 55.6%

| Gender | Frequency | Percent |
|--------|-----------|---------|
| Male   | 10564     | 55.6    |
| Female | 8450      | 44.4    |
| Total  | 19014     | 100.0   |

Table 3: Distribution of site of Class 1 Dental Caries. Sextant 1 (18.31%), Sextant 2 (1.09%), Sextant 3 (18.08%), Sextant 4 (30.95%), Sextant 5 (0.06%) and Sextant 6 (31.51%)

| Site | Frequency | Percent |
|------|-----------|---------|
| Sextant 1 | 3481     | 18.2    |
| Sextant 2 | 207      | 1.1     |
| Sextant 3 | 3438     | 18.1    |
| Sextant 4 | 5885     | 31.0    |
| Sextant 5 | 11       | 0.1     |
| Sextant 6 | 5992     | 31.5    |
| Total    | 19014    | 100.0   |

Table 4: Cross-tabulation of gender and site of class 1 dental caries (DC)

| Gender | Sextant 1 | Sextant 2 | Sextant 3 | Sextant 4 | Sextant 5 | Sextant 6 | Total | P-value |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Male   | 1879      | 130       | 1813      | 3329      | 7         | 3406      | 10564 | 0.000   |
| Female | 1602      | 77        | 1625      | 2556      | 4         | 2586      | 8450  | 0.000   |
| Total  | 3481      | 207       | 3438      | 5885      | 11        | 5992      | 19014 |         |

Chi-square test - Gender vs Site of class 1 dental caries. p=0.000. Data is statistically significant

Table 5: Cross-tabulation of age and site of class 1 dental caries (DC)

| Age     | Sextant 1 | Sextant 2 | Sextant 3 | Sextant 4 | Sextant 5 | Sextant 6 | Total | P-value |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|---------|
| 18-35 years | 2570     | 152       | 2525      | 4241      | 5         | 4303      | 13796 |         |
| 36-55 years | 845      | 46        | 849       | 1504      | 5         | 1543      | 4792  | 0.000   |
| 56+ years  | 66        | 9         | 64        | 140       | 1         | 146       | 426   |         |
| Total     | 3481      | 207       | 3438      | 5885      | 11        | 5992      | 19014 |         |

Chi-square test - Age vs Site of class 1 dental caries. p=0.029. Data is statistically significant
Class 1 DC was seen in sextant 5 among both genders. (Chi-square test; p-value=0.000; statistically significant) Data has a statistically significant association.

From Figure 5, X-axis denotes age and Y-axis denotes the site of Class 1 Dental Caries. This graph represents the chi-square analysis of age and site of class 1 dental caries where blue colour denotes sextant 1, and green denotes sextant 2, white denotes sextant 3, purple denotes sextant 4, yellow denotes sextant 5 and red denotes sextant 6. The most common site of class 1 DC among all 3 age groups was sextant 6 followed by sextant 4. Least common sites were sextant 5 and sextant 2 in all age groups. (Chi-square test; p-value=0.029; statistically significant) Data has a statistically significant association.

In the present study, it is revealed that the incidence of class 1 DC is higher in the mandibular posterior region than other areas. When comparing incidence between upper and lower jaws, caries is seen more frequently in the mandible (62.52%) than the maxilla (37.48%). Also, caries incidence shows a male predilection. (Table 2, Figure 2)

According to a study by Demirci et al., the caries inci-
Figure 5: Bar graph showing an association between age and site of class 1 dental caries incidence is higher in the maxillary jaw (62.4%) than the mandibular jaw (37.6%) (Demirci et al., 2010). This contradiction could be due to the high sample size in the present study. However, an interarch analysis of caries revealed that the mandibular arch was more affected by dental caries than the maxillary arch. In the mandibular arch, the molars were most commonly involved. (Goyal et al., 2007)

In the same study by Demirci et al. (2010), it was reported that caries had a slightly higher female predilection (59.1%) among genders. The reason for such opposing findings could be the high prevalence of certain oral habits such as tobacco chewing, smoking etc. among males in the Indian subcontinent, which is known to increase the susceptibility of dental caries. (Vellappally et al., 2007)

A study by Chestnutt et al. suggested that molar occlusal surfaces have the highest susceptibility to attack by cariogenic bacteria. Also, the highest incidence of caries in this study was in pit and fissures, which are most commonly found in molars and premolars (Chestnutt et al., 1996). The first molar was reported to be the most commonly affected tooth, followed by the second molar. (Manji et al., 1986)

These studies are in line with the present study as the incidence of class DC in posterior teeth is 98.95%. (Table 3, Figure 3)

Hopcraft et al. reported that first molar teeth had the highest caries experience and caries was present more frequently on the occlusal surfaces than on the proximal surfaces. (Hopcraft and Morgan, 2006)

CONCLUSION

Within the limits of the study, it can be concluded that class 1 dental caries is most prevalent in mandibular molars and premolars. There is a male predominance in its occurrence and is seen mostly in the age group of 18-35 years.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest for this study.

Funding Support

The authors declare that they have no funding support for this study.

REFERENCES

Chestnutt, I. G., Schafer, F., Jacobson, A. P. M., Stephen, K. W. 1996. Incremental susceptibility of individual tooth surfaces to dental caries in Scottish adolescents. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology, 24(1):11–16.

Demirci, M., Tuncer, S., Yuceokur, A. A. 2010. Prevalence of Caries on Individual Tooth Surfaces and its Distribution by Age and Gender in University Clinic Patients. European Journal of Dentistry, 04(03):270–279.

Eklund, S. A., Ismail, A. I. 1986. Time of Development of Occlusal and Proximal Lesions: Implications for Fissure Sealants. Journal of Public Health Dentistry, 46(2):114–121.

Goyal, A., et al. 2007. Epidemiology of dental caries in Chandigarh school children and trends over the last 25 years. Journal of the Indian Society of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, 25(3):115–118.

Hannigan, A., et al. 2000. A caries susceptibility classification of tooth surfaces by survival time. Caries Research, 34(2):103–108.

Hopcraft, M. S., Morgan, M. V. 2006. Pattern of dental caries experience on tooth surfaces in an adult population. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology, 34(3):174–183.

Janani, K., Palanivelu, A., Sandhya, R. 2020. Diagnostic accuracy of a dental pulse oximeter with customized sensor holder; thermal test and electric pulp test for the evaluation of pulp vitality - An in vivo study. Brazilian Dental Science, 23(1).

Jose, J., P., A., Subbaiyan, H. 2020. Different Treatment Modalities followed by Dental Practitioners for Ellis Class 2 Fracture – A Questionnaire-based Survey. The Open Dentistry Journal, 14(1):59–65.

Kumar, D., Antony, S. D. P. 2018. Calcified Canal and Negotiation-A Review. Research Journal of Phar-
Lukacs, J. R., Largaespada, L. L. 2006. Explaining sex differences in dental caries prevalence: Saliva, hormones, and “life-history” etiologies. *American Journal of Human Biology,* 18(4):540–555.

Manji, F., Mosha, H., Frencken, J. 1986. Tooth and surface patterns of dental caries in 12-year-old urban children in East Africa. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology,* 14(2):99–103.

Manohar, M. P., Sharma, S. 2018. A survey of the knowledge, attitude, and awareness about the principal choice of intracanal medicaments among the general dental practitioners and nonendodontic specialists. *Indian Journal of Dental Research,* 29(6):716–720.

Nasim, I., Hussainy, S., Thomas, T., Ranjan, M. 2018. Clinical performance of resin-modified glass ionomer cement, flowable composite, and polyacid-modified resin composite in noncarious cervical lesions: One-year follow-up. *Journal of Conservative Dentistry,* 21(5):510–515.

Nasim, I., Nandakumar, M. 2018. Comparative evaluation of grape seed and cranberry extracts in preventing enamel erosion: An optical emission spectrometric analysis. *Journal of Conservative Dentistry,* 21(5):516–520.

Noor, S. S. S. E., Pradeep 2016. Chlorhexidine: Its properties and effects. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology,* 9(10):1755–1760.

Rajakeerthi, R., Nivedhitha, M. S. 2019. Natural Product as the Storage medium for an avulsed tooth – A Systematic Review. *Cumhuriyet Dental Journal,* 22(2):249–256.

Rajendran, R., et al. 2019. Comparative Evaluation of Remineralizing Potential of a Paste Containing Bioactive Glass and a Topical Cream Containing Casein Phosphopeptide-Amorphous Calcium Phosphate: An in Vitro Study. *Pesquisa brasileira em odontopediatria e clinica integrada,* 19(1):1–10.

Ramamoorthi, S., Nivedhitha, M. S., Divyanand, M. J. 2015. Comparative evaluation of postoperative pain after using endodontic needle and Endo Activator during root canal irrigation: A randomised controlled trial. *Australian Endodontic Journal,* 41(2):78–87.

Ramanathan, S., Solete, P. 2015. Cone-beam Computed Tomography Evaluation of Root Canal Preparation using Various Rotary Instruments: An in vitro Study. *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice,* 16(11):869–872.

Ramesh, S., Teja, K. V., Priya, V. 2018. Regulation of matrix metalloproteinase-3 gene expression in inflammation: A molecular study. *Journal of Conservative Dentistry,* 21(6):592–596.

Selwitz, R. H., Ismail, A. I., Pitts, N. B. 2007. Dental caries. *The Lancet,* 369(9555):51–59.

Teja, K., Ramesh, S. 2019. Shape optimal and clean more. *Saudi Endodontic Journal. Medknow Publications and Media Pvt. Ltd,* 9(3):235–236.

Vellapally, S., Fiala, Z., Šmejkalová, J., Jacob, V., Shriharsha, P. 2007. Influence of Tobacco Use in Dental Caries Development. *Central European Journal of Public Health,* 15(3):116–121.