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ABSTRACT

Aim: The present investigations aimed at studying the effect of sterilization by gamma irradiation on amoxicillin and cefaclor antibiotics. They have been irradiated in solid dry state and the probable changes in physicochemical and microbiological properties were studied.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was carried out from 2011 to 2013 in the Drug Radiation Research Department, Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority.

Methodology: Amoxicillin and cefaclor compounds in solid states were exposed to γ-irradiation.

*Corresponding author: Email: mss_1_love@yahoo.com;
irradiation in air atmosphere at room temperature, with a dose of 25kGy and afterwards they had been subjected to microbiological and analytical tests checking their sterility and antibacterial activity it was tested against different pathogenic bacterial species by measuring MIC using Microdilution technique and microplate reader. Then their chemical stability were evaluated by different techniques. EPR, FTIR, UV analysis, mass spectroscopy, and melting point.

Results and conclusion: The results showed that the majority of initial unirradiated compounds had a slight degree contamination with Bacillus, Micrococcus genera, and fungi. By applying γ irradiation at 25kGy it showed sterilization of the tested antibiotics and keeping their antibacterial activity. The EPR analysis results showed formation of free radicals. The other analytical tests (FTIR), (UV) analysis, mass spectroscopy, and melting point results proved that the antibiotics analyzed are radioresistant and can be sterilized by irradiation with a dose of 25kGy, without any detrimental effect on their properties and antibacterial activity.
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ABBREVIATIONS

NCRRT=National Center for Radiation Research and Technology; FT-IR =Fourier Transform Infrared spectrometer EPR=electron paramagnetic resonance; MIC=minimum inhibitory concentration UV=ultraviolet γ= gamma irradiation

1. INTRODUCTION

In modern medicine, a number of sterilization methods are applied, including tempering, cauterization, hot air sterilization, steam sterilization, sterile filtration, radiation sterilization(e.g., by ionizing radiation or UV light), gas sterilization (e.g., by ethylene oxide or formaldehyde), and chemical sterilization [1-3].

Sterilization is intended to kill or remove all vegetative and sporing microbes from the environment or material [4]. In the case of medical substances, choice of the sterilization method depends on the type, properties, and production method of the substance in question. Irradiation of drugs, or other medical products, by a suitable dose of ionizing radiation, conducted in an appropriate environment, ensures sterile conditions [5-8]. Basic terms and sterilization protocols can be found in ISO11137-1 [9]. Radiation sterilization is especially useful in the case of thermolabile products, because irradiation causes only a small rise in the temperature of sterilized substances [8] In the case of gamma radiation, a substance to be sterilized does not directly interact with the reagents and, as a result, lacks any traces of chemical pollution [2] Moreover, packaged products may also be irradiated as gamma radiation possesses excellent penetrative properties; this constitutes one of its economic advantages [1-2]. Medical products subjected to gamma radiation sterilization do not become radioactive [2]. It was found that the sterility assurance level (SAL) of $10^{-6}$ is normally achieved at 25kGy according to pharmacopoeia, which is a dose generally applicable to products manufactured under good manufacturing practice [10,11].
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials

In the present study Chemotherapeutic agents as raw materials of antibiotics were supplied from companies agents in Egypt, i.e., amoxicillin samples were mainly supplied by Glaxo Smith kline, and cefaclor from Ranbexy.

2.2 Irradiation

The process of irradiation was carried out at NCRRT. It was performed by using Cobalt 60 source (Gamma cell 4000-A-India) at the dose rate 2.78kGy/h at activity was 2914 curie (ci). All samples were stored at room temperature in air, in the dark. Then 0.1g of each sample was placed in colourless jars and closed with a plastic stopper, then every tested antibiotic was subjected to a radiation dose equal to 25kGy according to [10].

2.3 Microbiological Tests

2.3.1 The microbial load isolation

The isolated strains were recovered using Nutrient agar (oxid) and Tryptone glucose yeast extract agar (oxid), and Sabraud’s dextrose agar then identified by, API20Strep for streptococci/enterococci and API50CHB for Bacillus species and other endospore-forming genera (bioMérieux, Marcy 'Etoile, France) except one strain was identified according to the method described by [12]) biolog™ ID assay biochemical features were analyzed using 96 well BIO LOG TM ID technology (Biolog Inc Haywar, CA, USA) the GN 3 (Gram positive bacteria). The data were analyzed with Microlog 3, 5 software (Biolog inc.)

2.3.2 Microdilution technique (microbiological assay and MIC)

The microbial inoculum of the test organisms under Study (Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923, Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633), and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, were prepared according to the method described by [13]).Isolates were removed from storage, streaked onto a Trypticase soy agar plate (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Cockeysville, Md.), and incubated for 18 to 24h at 37°C. A working bacterial suspension was prepared by suspending 3–5 isolated colonies in 3 ml of Mueller–Hinton media. The turbidity of this suspension was carefully adjusted photometrically to equal that of a 0.5 McFarland standard. For the test, the final inoculum was further diluted in Mueller–Hinton media to achieve a final concentration of 0.5×10⁵CFU/ml. Microdilution plate was prepared according to the method described by [14]) as the following: Sterile 96-well U bottomed, microtitre plates (Tarsons, India) were used. The stock suspensions of the drugs were prepared in Mueller–Hinton medium and 0.2ml of the highest concentration of each drug was added to the respective wells of the first row of the plates except the first and the last well.

2.3.3 The plates were read by two methods

- Visually by comparison with the drug free controls.
- With micro plate reader at a wavelength of 450nm
2.4 Sterility Test
Sterility tests were carried out according to USP 34 [15] by filtration method.

2.5 Statistic Analysis
The microbiological stability tests were carried out in triplet. For comparison and statistical analysis, observations were obtained for each combination of isolate and antibiotic agent. then was analyzed by statistics program SPSS-version 15 (independent sample T test).

2.6 UV Spectrophotometry
UV spectrophotometric determinations were carried out on aqueous solutions of the unirradiated and irradiated samples of antibiotic using JASCO UV 560 spectrophotometer (JASCO International Co. LTD, Japan).

2.7 IR Spectroscopy
The dry powder of both unirradiated and irradiated samples of antibiotics were mixed and compressed with KBR then analysed by FT/IR-6300 FT-IR Spectrometer.

2.8 Mass Spectroscopy
The dry powder of antibiotics was dissolved in 95% methanol. Then analyzed by mass spectrophotometer by direct inlet unit (DI-50) of Shimadzu GC/MS-QP5050A.

2.9 Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR)
It has matured into a powerful, versatile, non-destructive, and non intrusive analytical method using Bruker EMX spectrometer (X-band) product of Bruker, Germany.

2.10 Melting Point
The samples were analyzed in solid state by using stuart melting point apparatus (Stuart Analogue Melting Point Model SMP11).

3. RESULTS

3.1 The Microbial Load Isolation
The results obtained from isolation and identification of isolated strains from antibiotics were shown in (Table 1).
Table 1. Microbiological contamination of tested antibiotics before and after irradiation at 25kGy

| Compound  | Microorganisms observed growth                  | 0kGy               | 25kGy               |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Amoxicillin | 1- *Bacillus sphearicus*                         | No growth observed |                    |
|           | 2-*Bacillus pumilus* and fungi                   |                    |                    |
| Cefaclor  | 1-*Bacillus subtilis*                            | No growth observed |                    |
|           | 2-*Micrococcus luteus* and fungi                 |                    |                    |

3.2 Evaluation of the Stability of Biological Activity of Antibiotic Amoxicillin & Cefaclor

Evaluation the stability of biological activity of the both antibiotics amoxicillin and cefaclor was tested against the previously mentioned four standard bacterial organisms (2.3.2); the effect of the antibiotic was measured by micro plate reader. It was found that the antibacterial activity of un-irradiated amoxicillin and cefaclor are the same as the irradiated samples at 25 kGy. The results of evaluation of biological activity of antibiotic amoxicillin and cefaclor against the bacterial test organisms are summarized in (Figs. 1-8). In order as follows, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC 6633, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, respectively when unirradiated sample (blue) and irradiated sample (red). Pseudomonas showed the same resistance to both unirradiated and irradiated antibiotics samples it showed growth for all used concentrations. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. recorded a great resistance to many antimicrobials [16], and specially resistance to amoxicillin and cefaclor [17] MIC values are shown in (Table 2).

![Graph](image-url)  
**Fig. 1.** MIC of both unirradiated (blue) and irradiated (red) amoxicillin for *Staphylococcus aureus*
Fig. 2. MIC of both unirradiated (blue) and irradiated (red) amoxicillin for *Escherichia coli*

Fig. 3. MIC of both unirradiated (blue) and irradiated (red) amoxicillin for *Bacillus subtilis*
Fig. 4. The response (resistance) of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* for both unirradiated (blue) and irradiated (red) amoxicillin.

Fig. 5. MIC of both unirradiated (blue) and irradiated (red) cefaclor for *Staphylococcus aureus*. 
Fig. 6. MIC of both unirradiated (blue) and irradiated (red) cefaclor for *Escherichia coli*

Fig. 7. MIC of both unirradiated (blue) and irradiated (red) cefaclor for *Bacillus subtilis*
Fig. 8. The response of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* for both unirradiated (blue) and irradiated (red) cefaclor

Table 2. The MIC values of both unirradiated and irradiated antibiotics

| The antibiotic | The microorganism growth observed (in nm) and its equivalent MIC value of antibiotic (μg/ml) |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                | *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 | *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 | *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC 6633 | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 |
| Amoxicillin    | unirradiated 0.088 (1μg/ml) | 0.029 (1μg/ml) | 0.023 (128μg/ml) | Recorded resistance* |
|                | irradiated 0.083 (1μg/ml) | 0.026 (1μg/ml) | 0.023 (128μg/ml) | Recorded resistance* |
| Cefaclor       | unirradiated 0.183 (4μg/ml) | 0.013 (512μg/ml) | 0.166 (512μg/ml) | Recorded resistance* |
|                | irradiated 0.185 (4μg/ml) | 0.012 (512μg/ml) | 0.160 (512μg/ml) | Recorded resistance* |

*P. aeruginosa* showed resistance to all used concentrations, (the MIC of *P. aeruginosa* growth inhibition was found to be 200-400μg/ml, according to (18) (Where, dilution 1=1024 μg/ml, dilution 2=512μg/ml, dilution 3=256μg/ml, dilution 4=128μg/ml, dilution 5=64μg/ml, dilution 6=32μg/ml, dilution 7=16μg/ml, dilution 8=8μg/ml, dilution 9=4μg/ml, dilution 10=2μg/ml, dilution 11=1μg/ml, and dilution 12=0.5μg/ml)
3.3 Sterility Test

(Figs. 9-10) shows the sterility test of both unirradiated and irradiated antibiotic samples. Irradiated amoxicillin and cefaclor on right side shows no growth. On other hand in the unirradiated antibiotic sample on left side shows turbidity which indicating the presence of microbial load.

Fig. 9. Sterility test of unirradiated and irradiated amoxicillin

Fig. 10. Sterility test of unirradiated and irradiated cefaclor
3.4 UV and Discoloration

Irradiation discolored the amoxicillin powders from off-white to yellow, as shown in (Table 3) and (Figs. 11,12) but kept the same λ max absorption peak. irradiation did not change the color of cefaclor as shown in (Table 4) and (Figs. 13,14) and kept also the same λ max absorption peak.

Table 3. UV analysis results of amoxicillin before and after irradiation

| Test                        | Unirradiated sample | 25kGy |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------|
| Color                       | Off White           | yellow|
| Dispersibility              | Uniform suspension  | Uniform suspension |
| Clean of suspension         | Free from visible impurities | Free from visible impurities |
| λ max absorption peak       | 280nm               | 280nm |

Fig. 11. UV-spectrum of unirradiated amoxicillin powder

Fig. 12. UV-spectrum of irradiated amoxicillin powder at 25kG
Table 4. UV analysis results of cefaclor before and after irradiation

| Test                              | Unirradiated sample | 25kGy        |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Color                             | Off white           | Off white    |
| Dispersibility                    | Uniform suspension  | Uniform suspension |
| Clean of suspension               | Free from visible impurities | Free from visible impurities |
| λ max absorption peak             | 580nm               | 580nm        |

![Fig. 13. UV-spectrum of un-irradiated powder of Cefaclor](image)

![Fig. 14. UV-spectrum of irradiated powder of Cefaclor at 25kGy](image)

3.5 IR Spectroscopy

The infrared spectrum of unirradiated amoxicillin and cefaclor showed the same strong absorption peaks of irradiated at dose 25kGy as shown in (Tables 5-6) and (Figs. 15-18) for amoxicillin and cefaclor respectively.
Table 5. IR most absorbant function groups of amoxicillin before and after irradiation

| Wavelength of Peak in cm$^{-1}$ | Unirradiated | Irradiated | Comments |
|--------------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| 3200-3459                      | present      | present    | characteristic to OH, NH and NH$_2$ groups |
| 3043.12                        | present      | present    | characteristic to C-H aromatic |
| 1774.19                        | present      | present    | characteristic for C=O group of the β-lactam ring and C=O of amide group, respectively |
| 2356.59                        | present      | present    | characteristic for C-N bond |

Fig. 15. FT-IR analysis of unirradiated amoxicillin

Fig. 16. FT-IR analysis of irradiated amoxicillin
Table 6. IR most absorbant function groups of cefaclor before and after irradiation

| Wavelength of peak cm⁻¹ | Unirradiated | Irradiated | Comments |
|-------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| 3332.39                 | present      | present    | characteristic to OH and NH₂ groups |
| 3031.56                 | present      | present    | characteristic to C-H aromatic |
| 2356.59                 | present      | present    | characteristic for C-N bond |
| 1720.33                 | present      | present    | characteristic for C=O group of the β-lactam ring and C=O of amide group, respectively |

Fig. 17. FT-IR analysis of unirradiated cefaclor

Fig. 18. FT-IR analysis of irradiated cefaclor
3.6 Mass Spectroscopy

The mass spectra of the unirradiated and irradiated samples of both antibiotics showed almost the same fragmentation pattern. Summarized in (Tables 7 and 8) and (Figs. 19-22) for amoxicillin and cefaclor respectively.

Table 7. The mass spectra of amoxicillin before and after irradiation

| Fragmentation peaks | Fragmentation abundance of antibiotics | Unirradiated amoxicillin | Irradiated amoxicillin |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 479                 | Present                               | Present                  | Present                |
| 402                 | Present                               | Present                  | Present                |
| 361                 | Present                               | Present                  | Present                |
| 357                 | Present                               | Present                  | Present                |
| 337                 | Present                               | Present                  | Present                |
| 313                 | Present                               | Present                  | Present                |
| 282                 | Present                               | Present                  | Present                |
| 216.7               | Present                               | Present                  | Present                |
| 210                 | Present                               | Present                  | Present                |
| 193                 | Present                               | Present                  | Present                |

Fig. 19. Mass spectra of unirradiated amoxicillin

Fig. 20. Mass spectra of irradiated amoxicillin
Table 8. The mass spectra of cefaclor before and after irradiation

| Fragmentation peaks | Fragmentation abundance of antibiotics | Unirradiated cefaclor | Irradiated cefaclor |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 402                 | present                               | present               | present             |
| 375                 | present                               | present               | present             |
| 358                 | present                               | present               | present             |
| 329.2               | present                               | present               | present             |
| 204                 | present                               | present               | present             |
| 193                 | present                               | present               | present             |
| 164                 | present                               | present               | present             |
| 151                 | present                               | present               | present             |
| 147                 | present                               | present               | present             |
| 132                 | present                               | present               | present             |
| 119                 | present                               | present               | present             |

Fig. 21. Mass spectra of unirradiated cefaclor

Fig. 22. Mass spectra of irradiated cefaclor
3.7 Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR)

Unirradiated antibiotics samples showed no signal for amoxicillin but for cefaclor there was a small singlet. The irradiated samples showed a slight increase in peak intensity indicating formation of free radical after irradiation. (Figs. 23 and 24) for amoxicillin and (Figs. 25-26) for cefaclor.

Fig. 23. EPR analysis of unirradiated amoxicillin

Fig. 24. EPR analysis of irradiated amoxicillin
Fig. 25. EPR analysis of unirradiated cefaclor

Fig. 26. EPR analysis of irradiated cefaclor
3.8 Melting Point Result

The results showed there is no difference in the melting point of the antibiotics before and after irradiation (Table 9).

Table 9. Melting points before and after irradiation

| The antibiotic name | Melting point range   | The USP standard |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
|                     | Before radiation      | After radiation  |                 |
| Amoxicillin         | 192ºC-194ºC           | 192ºC-194ºC      | 192ºC-194ºC     |
| Cefaclor            | 327ºC                 | 327ºC            | 327ºC           |

4. DISCUSSION

The results of the microbiological purity tests of unirradiated antibiotics revealed that there was a different range of contamination with microorganisms. The microorganisms isolated were Gram positive *Bacillus sphericus* and *Bacillus pumilus* for amoxicillin and Gram-positive *Micrococcus luteus* and *Bacillus subtilis* for cefaclor and a slight contamination with fungi for both drugs. These results are in accordance with [19] who found that some penicillins and their salts, gentamicin and neomycin had been contaminated to a slight degree by bacteria from genera *Bacillus* and *Micrococcus* and fungi.

After gamma irradiation of the antibiotics under study at 25kGy their sterility was tested and it was found that there was no observed microbial growth. This result is in accordance with [20] who recorded that some penicillins (piperacillin, cabenicillin and benzyl penicillin) did not reveal any microbial growth after gamma irradiation at 25kGy.

Biological & antibacterial activity of the antibiotics that evaluated by microdilution technique susceptibility tests, was not affected significantly (P>.05). These results were in accordance with [21] who reported that the biological activity of penicillin and ampicillin was not affected significantly after irradiation at doses 10 and 25kGy.

UV absorption of unirradiated sample of amoxicillin was the same as irradiated sample at the λ max 280, except darkening from off-white to yellow color was observed in the irradiated sample. Same results were obtained by [22] that recorded that gamma radiation has effected on the color of the ampicillin and crystalline penicillins, at 25kGy caused discoloration. While for cefaclor no change in color was observed and absorption was at λ max 580 nm. These results are in agreement with [23] who found that no changes of the UV spectra were noticed up to 50kGy for cephradine.

The melting point of unirradiated and irradiated amoxicillin was the same the mass spectra of unirradiated and irradiated amoxicillin samples showed nearly the same fragmentation pattern. Also similar results were recorded by [24] that there were no differences of melting point of both unirradiated and irradiated cephradine.

The EPR results for unirradiated and irradiated amoxicillin and cefaclor showed that there is a slight increase in peak intensity after treating with gamma indicating formation of free radicals, [25] mentioned that in the commercial market of drugs, radicals should be detected up to two years after irradiation. Also our results are agreement with [22,26] who observed that decrease in free radicals concentration for irradiated samples of ampicillin is as a
function of storage time can be explained by the interaction of the free radicals with oxygen molecules $O_2$.

It was found that the FT-IR of unirradiated and irradiated samples of amoxicillin and cefaclor have the same characteristic absorption bands indicating that there is no change in the structure of both antibiotics, also [23] observed that infrared spectra of both unirradiated and irradiated cephradine were identical.

The mass spectra of unirradiated and irradiated amoxicillin and cefaclor samples showed nearly the same fragmentation pattern, the same result was obtained by [27] who found that the fragmentation pattern of MS-MS spectrum of cefatoxime and those of radiolytic compounds were very similar suggesting that they were structural analogues to the main drug.

These collective results disagree with those obtained by [28] who reported that the sterilized penicillin-derived antibiotics: piperacillin, ampicillin, and crystalline penicillin antibiotics drugs following gamma sterilization showed very different properties therefore may reduce the therapeutic properties of the pharmaceuticals. Free radicals formed in sterilized drugs may have an effect not only on the pharmacological activity of the drug [29], but also on its pharmacokinetic properties [30], which is often neglected in the scientific literature.

5. CONCLUSION

This study showed that amoxicillin and cefaclor antibiotics are radioresistant from a chemical point of view and for the antimicrobial activity our tests also showed no change in the antibiotics activity i.e no qualitative or quantitative differences were observed. Therefore they could be suitable candidates for radiosterilization studies by gamma rays in solid state. Briefly, this research considered the feasibility of radiation sterilization for cefaclor and amoxicillin antibiotics in dry state.
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