Grey solitons in doped nonlinear fibers
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Grey solitons, with complex envelope, are obtained as exact solutions of the coupled system describing doped optical nonlinear fibers. The phase degree of freedom plays a crucial role in removing the restrictive equal frequency conditions on the tanh-sech paired pulses, identified earlier [1]. The cross-phase modulation is found to control the speed of the grey soliton, which has an upper bound. The coupled soliton solution obtained here was found to be stable in a wide parameter range.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Self-induced transparency is a dramatic manifestation of nonlinear effects, leading to coherent localized pulse propagation in an atomic medium [2,3]. The controlled, localized excitation and de-excitation of an ensemble of two level systems is effectively captured by the hyperbolic secant soliton pulse profile, which propagates as a stable soliton. The Maxwell-Bloch equations aptly describe the pulse and averaged atomic population dynamics, which have been extensively investigated for multi-level systems [3,6,10]. Localized and nonlinear cnoidal wave solutions have been identified, as exact solutions [6,7], which has found experimental verification [11,12].

The subject of pulse propagation in nonlinear fibers has also been well-studied, since its prediction by Hasegawa in 1974 [13]. The non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE), where the cubic nonlinearity arises due to Kerr effect, describes the pulse dynamics. This integrable system in one dimension possesses soliton solutions [14], which can be dark [15,16], bright [17–20] or grey [21], depending on the nature of nonlinearity and dispersion. Some of these solitons were experimentally observed, long after their prediction [22]. Gross-Pitaevskii equation in one-dimension, which captures the mean-field dynamics of Bose Einstein Condensates (BEC), being identical to NLSE, has also naturally evoked strong interest in solitons and their dynamics in cigar-shaped BEC [23–24]. Dark solitons in the repulsive regime and bright soliton and soliton trains in the attractive sector have been experimentally produced [15,18]. Recently, the grey soliton, having complex profile has been produced through soliton collision in BEC [21]. Grey solitons have been the subject of some recent studies [25,26]. These are analogs of complex envelope Bloch solitons in condensed matter systems, which can connect differently ordered domains, without passing through the normal phase. This naturally makes it energetically favorable, as compared to the Néel soliton, wherein the soliton profile, being of the hyperbolic tangent type, passes through the normal phase, at the vanishing point of the above profile. It is interesting to note that the speed of the soliton can vary from zero to a maximum, depending on the depth of the grey soliton. Furthermore, the energy shows a maximum value as a function of momentum.

The doping of nonlinear fibers with suitable multilevel systems has opened up the exciting possibility of naturally combining the the atomic system with NLSE, which can possibly lead to effective soliton control and manipulation. Recently, the localized solitons of a nonlinear fiber with a three level dopant has been investigated, wherein exact solitons of tanh–sech type have been identified [27–29]. The constraints of this coupled nonlinear system has led to strong restrictions on the pulse dynamics. It was found that, the frequencies have to be perfectly matched for these solutions to exist [1]. In this paper, we present exact grey soliton profiles in this system, which removes the above restriction on the pulse propagation. The complex profile’s phase degree of freedom plays a crucial role in relaxing the constraint of frequency matching.

The paper is organized as follows: In the following, grey solitons, with complex envelope, are obtained as exact solutions of the coupled nonlinear system describing doped optical nonlinear fibers. It is explicitly shown how

![FIG. 1: A three level Λ atomic system whose transitions 1 ↔ 2 and 1 ↔ 3 are in near resonance with the two modes of the optical fiber. The Rabi frequencies of the two modes are 2G and 2g. Δ denotes the single photon detuning.](image-url)
the phase degree of freedom removes the restrictive equal frequency conditions on the tanh–sech paired pulses [1]. The cross-phase modulation is found to play a crucial role, in controlling the speed of the grey soliton. For the two level system, it is observed that grey soliton is strictly forbidden and only the SIT soliton can propagate in this dynamical system. Subsequently, we present the numerical techniques and the results of numerical propagation of our solution, which clearly shows stability of the obtained solutions in a wide parameter range. We then conclude with directions for future work.

II. COUPL ED GREY SOLITON SOLUTIONS

We investigate the possibility of generalization of the solution obtained in [1] for shape-preserving pulses in a Kerr nonlinear two-mode optical fiber doped with 3-level Λ atoms as shown in Fig(1). For the earlier obtained solutions, the frequency shift was assumed identical for the two possible fiber modes. The modes of the fiber are near resonant with the transitions of the atomic system. The two modes of the optical fiber may be described by the profile

\[ \vec{E}_i(z, t) = \vec{A}_i e^{-i(\omega_i t - k_i z)} + c.c. (i = 1, 2) \]  

(Fig 1), then the following equations are obeyed within the rotating wave approximation

\[ \dot{\zeta}_1 = -i\Delta \zeta_1 + iG\zeta_2 + ig\zeta_3 \]  
\[ \dot{\zeta}_2 = iG^*\zeta_1 \]  
\[ \dot{\zeta}_3 = ig^*\zeta_1 \]  

The Rabi frequencies 2g and 2G for the two field modes are related to the slowly varying amplitudes \( \vec{A}_i \), according to the relations

\[ 2g = \frac{2d_{13} \vec{A}_1}{\hbar} \]  
\[ 2G = \frac{2d_{12} \vec{A}_2}{\hbar} \]

where \( d_{ij} \) is the transition dipole moment matrix element. We take into account the effects of Kerr nonlinearity (proportional to the square of the electric field) and dispersion, in order to truly describe the spatio-temporal variation of the light pulses through the fiber. Using the approximation of slowly varying envelope one can cast the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, in Rabi frequencies, as

\[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} = -i\beta_1 \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial t^2} + i\gamma_1 (|g|^2 + 2|G|^2)g + i\eta_1 \zeta_3^* \zeta_1 \]  
\[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} = -i\beta_2 \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial t^2} + i\gamma_2 (|G|^2 + 2|g|^2)G + i\eta_2 \zeta_2^* \zeta_1 \]  

FIG. 3: Growth of instability for (a) bright and (b) dark solitons in a three level medium in presence of nonresonant nonlinearity and fiber dispersion. This is in the regime of same signs of the group velocity dispersion at the two mode frequencies.
where the terms with $\beta_i$ are due to group velocity dispersion (GVD), those with $\gamma_i$ and $\eta_i$ represent the effect of Kerr nonlinearity, and the coupling of the $i^{th}$ mode with the atomic system, respectively. A dark-bright coupled soliton pair was reported in [1] in this system. There stable propagation of bright and dark solitons was reported, in the regime of opposite signs of the group velocity dispersion at the two mode frequencies. Correspondingly, unstable propagation was reported, when the GVDs were of the same sign. Figs. 2 and 3 show the solutions obtained by them in stable and unstable regimes of GVD.

III. ANSATZ

Motivated by the observed grey solitons in BEC [23, 25], we assume the following ansatz for the complex envelope solitons

$$g = a \cos(\theta) \text{sech} \left[ \frac{(t - uz)}{\sigma} \cos(\theta) \right] e^{i(p_1 z - \Omega_1 t)}$$

(7a)

$$G = b \left[ \cos(\theta) \tanh \left[ \frac{(t - uz)}{\sigma} \cos(\theta) \right] + i \sin(\theta) \right] e^{i(p_2 z - \Omega_1 t)}$$

(7b)

$$\zeta_1 = \frac{i \alpha \cos(\theta)}{a \sigma} \text{sech} \left[ \frac{(t - uz)}{\sigma} \cos(\theta) \right] e^{i(p_1 z - \Omega_1 t)}$$

(7c)

$$\zeta_2 = \frac{a \bar{\beta} \cos(\theta)}{a} \text{sech} \left[ \frac{(t - uz)}{\sigma} \cos(\theta) \right] e^{i(p_2 z - \Omega_1 t)}$$

(7d)

$$\zeta_3 = \alpha \cos(\theta) \tanh \left[ \frac{(t - uz)}{\sigma} \cos(\theta) \right] + (1 - \alpha) \Gamma$$

(7e)

$u$ gives the envelope velocity in the moving frame. $\sigma$, appearing on the RHS of (7a) gives the temporal width of the pulse. We have removed the restriction $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2$. The subsequent sections show that we have been able to derive analogous expressions as in [1] for the unknown variables introduced in the ansatz.

IV. CONSISTENCY CONDITIONS

The ansatz is put into the Bloch and the nonlinear Schrödinger equations (2-6) and the coefficients of sech, tanh, sechtanh, etc. are collected on both sides to yield the following relations. The Bloch equations (2-4) give

$$a^2 - b^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2},$$

(8a)

$$\sin(\theta) = \sigma(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2),$$

(8b)

$$\alpha = \frac{a^2 \sigma^2 + i(\Delta - \Omega_1 + b^2 \sin(\theta))/(\sigma + \Gamma)}{a^2},$$

(8c)

The probabilities of occupation of the three levels should add up to one. Imposing this condition we get,

$$|\Gamma|^2 = \frac{1 - |\alpha|^2 \cos^2(\theta)}{1 - |\alpha|^2}. \quad (9)$$

The nonlinear Schrödinger equations (5-6) yield

$$p_1 = \frac{|\alpha|^2 \eta_1 (\Delta - \Omega_1 + b^2 \sin(\theta)\sigma)}{a^4 \sigma^2} + 2b^2 \gamma_1$$

$$+ \beta_1 (\Omega_1^2 - \cos^2(\theta))/\sigma^2)$$

(10a)

$$p_2 = \gamma_2 b^2 + \beta_2 \Omega_2^2$$

(10b)

$$u = \eta_1 |\alpha|^2 / a^2 + 2\beta_1 \Omega_1$$

(10c)

$$u = \eta_2 |\alpha|^2 / a^2 + 2\beta_2 \gamma_1$$

(10d)

$$0 = \frac{2\beta_1 \gamma_1 \sigma^2}{\gamma_1 \sigma^2 + (a^2 - 2b^2)}$$

(10e)

$$0 = \frac{2\beta_2 \gamma_2 \sigma^2}{\gamma_2 \sigma^2 + (a^2 - b^2)}$$

(10f)

Equation (10d) can be alternately cast as,

$$u = \frac{2\beta_2 \Omega_2 + (b^2 - a^2) \gamma_2 \sigma \sin(\theta)}{\eta_2 |\alpha|^2 / a^2}$$

(11)

For stable propagation of pulses $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$ [1]. The following constraint can be obtained from equations (10c) and (10d)

$$\frac{|\alpha|^2}{a^2} (\eta_1 - \eta_2) + 2\Omega_1 (\beta_1 - \beta_2) = 0 \text{ or } \Omega_1 = \frac{|\alpha|^2}{a^2} (\eta_2 - \eta_1) \frac{2(\beta_1 - \beta_2)}{2(\beta_1 - \beta_2)}$$

(12)

Equations (8a), (10c) and (10d) lead to (13), which is an important result that needs to be satisfied by the nonlinearity and dispersion in the medium at the two mode frequencies:

$$3 + 2 \frac{\beta_1}{\gamma_1} + 2 \frac{\beta_2}{\gamma_2} = 0$$

(13)

Another important point to note is that, for $\theta = 0$, in order to have a solution, $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2$ is required. This is because of the consistency relation (8b), viz., $\sin(\theta) = \sigma(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)$. If $\Omega_1 \neq \Omega_2$, then $\sigma = 0$ and the arguments of all the sech and tanh functions in the ansatz [1] blow up to give constant solutions. Therefore $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2$ is required when $\theta = 0$. Thus in [1], while looking for pure dark soliton solution for $G$, the condition $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2$ was automatically enforced. In comparison, in our solution, we fix $O_2$ to any value and find the rest of the parameters in terms of $\theta$ ($\theta \neq 0$, $\Omega_1 \neq \Omega_2$). Therefore our ansatz [1] represents valid solutions for all $\theta$, given the consistency conditions are satisfied, showing that grey solitons of varying depths can be solutions of the system.
restricted with maximum value attained at θ = π/2.

V. STABILITY OF THE COUPLED GREY AND BRIGHT SOLITON PAIR

A. Linear stability analysis

Linear stability analysis is done by perturbing around the known solutions. Perturbing around 5 complex waveforms results in 10 coupled differential equations in 10 variables with complicated potentials (composed from the solutions themselves), which are difficult to handle either analytically or numerically. Hence, we have carried out numerical evolution of the pulse for the purpose of stability check. Here, we state the equations of motion of the perturbations, as derived from the equations of motion for \( g \), \( G \), and \( \zeta \) (2-6) by substituting \( g \rightarrow (\tilde{g} + \delta \tilde{g})e^{i(p_1z - \Omega_1t)} \), \( G \rightarrow (\tilde{G} + \delta \tilde{G})e^{i(p_2z - \Omega_2t)} \), \( \zeta \rightarrow (\tilde{\zeta} + \delta \tilde{\zeta})e^{i(p_3z - \Omega_3t)} \), \( \zeta_2 \rightarrow (\tilde{\zeta}_2 + \delta \tilde{\zeta}_2)e^{i(p_4z - \Omega_4t)} \), and \( \zeta_3 \rightarrow (\tilde{\zeta}_3 + \delta \tilde{\zeta}_3) \). The quantities \( \tilde{g} \), \( \tilde{G} \) and \( \tilde{\zeta} \) are given by the RHS of equations \( \text{17a-17c} \) respectively, without the exponential terms. The perturbations satisfy,

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{11} &\equiv -i(\partial_z + ip_1) + \beta_1(\partial^2_t - 2i\Omega_1\partial_t - \Omega_1^2) \\
\Gamma_{12} &\equiv -i(\partial_z + ip_2) + \beta_2(\partial_t^2 - 2i\Omega_2\partial_t - \Omega_2^2) \\
\Gamma_{21} &\equiv -i(\partial_z + ip_3) + \beta_3(\partial_t^2 - 2i\Omega_3\partial_t - \Omega_3^2) \\
\Gamma_{22} &\equiv -i(\partial_z + ip_4) + \beta_4(\partial_t^2 - 2i\Omega_4\partial_t - \Omega_4^2) \\
\Gamma_{33} &\equiv \partial_t - i\Omega + i\Delta \\
\Gamma_{44} &\equiv \partial_t - i(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2) \\
\Gamma_{12} &\equiv -2\gamma_1gG^* - 2\gamma_1gG \text{ conj} \\
\Gamma_{21} &\equiv -2\gamma_2g^*G - 2\gamma_2gG \text{ conj}
\end{aligned}
\]

Here, conj is the function that takes the complex conjugate of the term it acts on eg., \( \text{conj}(\delta \tilde{g}) = \delta \tilde{g}^* \). The above matrix equation is thus a condensed form of an actual set of 10 coupled partial differential equations in 10 variables.

B. Numerical stability analysis

In this section, we investigate the propagation dynamics of the grey and bright solitons by integrating the full set of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger and Bloch equations. We use Runge-Kutta and split step operator methods to investigate the spatio-temporal evolution of the optical pulses in fiber doped with three level Λ system. The initial shapes of the input pulse and the initial atomic populations can be obtained in the limit of \( z = 0 \) and \( t = 0 \) from the analytical expressions \( \text{17a-17b} \) and \( \text{17c-17d} \) respectively. We use grey and bright solitons with width 3.6712 psec in the present investigation. Parameters used in the numerical simulation obey self consistent relations \( \text{12} \) and \( \text{13} \). We first explore the stable propagation of the grey and bright solitons in presence of GVD with same character. Figure \( \text{15} \) shows that the amplitudes of the output pulses are retaining their initial shape. Both solitons propagate through the medium with same group velocity. A small oscillation though has been noticed at both the ends of the grey soliton due to the modulation instability. Our analytic and numerical solution of grey-bright soliton pairs are presented in the inset of the
FIG. 5: Spatio-temporal evolution of the grey-bright soliton pair in medium plotted against the spatial length of the medium at different propagation times. The other parameters used are $A=0.33361$, $B=0.19261$, $p_1=0.0039$, $p_2=0.037098$, $\Omega_1=0.15584$, $\Omega_2=0$, $\theta=0.60908$, $\beta_1=-0.25$, $\beta_2=-1.25$, $\gamma_1=1$, $\gamma_2=1$, $\Delta=1$. $u=1.4805$, $\sigma=3.6712$, $\Gamma=1.0338$, $\eta_1=1$, and $\eta_2=1.2$.

dark soliton develops instability, both in intensity as well as in the phase while grey soliton propagates through the medium without loss of generality upto sufficient length of propagation. Hence the instability due to the same GVD sign can be compensated with the proper choice of the blackness parameter $\theta$.

Figures (7a)-(7b) show the results for the spatio-

dark soliton develops instability, both in intensity as well as in the phase while grey soliton propagates through the medium without loss of generality upto sufficient length of propagation. Hence the instability due to the same GVD sign can be compensated with the proper choice of the blackness parameter $\theta$.

Figures (7a)-(7b) show the results for the spatio-

FIG. 6: Amplitude and phase of the dark and grey solitons are plotted as a function of time at the exit of the medium. All other parameters are as in Fig. 5.

FIG. 7: Spatio-temporal evolution of the grey-bright solitons using parameters such that the group velocity dispersion have the opposite sign. We used $A=0.29551$, $B=0.25592$, $p_1=0.77581$, $p_2=0.065494$, $\Omega_1=0.072505$, $\Omega_2=0$, $\theta=0.51291$, $\beta_1=1.0$, $\beta_2=-2.5$, $\gamma_1=1$, $\gamma_2=1$, $\Delta=1$. $u=2.6827$, $\sigma=6.768$, $\Gamma=1.0337$, $\eta_1=1$, and $\eta_2=1.2$. 
temporal evolution of grey and bright solitons in presence of the opposite group velocity dispersion. Both the grey and bright solitons maintain their initial shape.

Conclusions

In conclusion, grey solitons, analog of Bloch solitons in condensed matter systems, have been identified as exact solutions of the coupled nonlinear Schrödinger and Bloch equations. The complex nature of the profile played a crucial role in removing the restrictive frequency matching condition of \( \tanh - \text{sech} \) paired solutions [1]. We numerically evolved our solution and found it to be stable in both regimes of group velocity dispersion. We note that, for the case of coupling with a two-level system \( (g \to 0, \zeta_3 \to 0) \) instead of the \( \Lambda \) system considered here, the pure tanhp and grey solitonic profiles are prohibited for G, while a pure sech profile is allowed. The fact that our solutions are stable for some parameters in both regimes of group velocity dispersion signs, is very interesting and needs further investigation.
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