C1q-induced LRP1B and GPR6 Proteins Expressed Early in Alzheimer Disease Mouse Models, Are Essential for the C1q-mediated Protection against Amyloid-β Neurotoxicity
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Background: C1q is expressed in Alzheimer disease brain and blocks fibrillar amyloid-β neurotoxicity in vitro.

Results: C1q promotes neuroprotection by activating the transcription factor CREB and by increasing LRP1B and GPR6 expression.

Conclusion: C1q is up-regulated early in response to injury and induces protective pathways against Aβ toxicity.

Significance: C1q initiates a neuroprotective program that may be a beneficial therapeutic target in neurodegenerative disorders.

Complement protein C1q is induced in the brain in response to a variety of neuronal injuries, including Alzheimer disease (AD), and blocks fibrillar amyloid-β (Aβ) neurotoxicity in vitro. Here, we show that C1q protects immature and mature primary neurons against Aβ toxicity, and we report for the first time that C1q prevents toxicity induced by oligomeric forms of amyloid-β (Aβ). Gene expression analysis reveals C1q-activated phosphorylated cAMP-response element-binding protein and AP-1, two transcription factors associated with neuronal survival and neurite outgrowth, and increased LRP1B and G protein-coupled receptor 6 (GPR6) expression in Aβ-injured neurons. Silencing of cAMP-response element-binding protein, LRP1B or GPR6 expression inhibited C1q-mediated neuroprotection from Aβ-induced injury. In addition, C1q altered the association of oligomeric Aβ and Aβ with neurons. In vivo, increased hippocampal expression of C1q, LRP1B, and GPR6 is observed as early as 2 months of age in the 3×Tg mouse model of AD, whereas no such induction of LRP1B and GPR6 was seen in C1q-deficient AD mice. In contrast, expression of C1r and C1s, proteases required to activate the classical complement pathway, and C3 showed a significant age-dependent increase only after 10–13 months of age when Aβ plaques start to accumulate in this AD model. Thus, our results identify pathways by which C1q, up-regulated in vivo early in response to injury without the coordinate induction of other complement components, can induce a program of gene expression that promotes neuroprotection and thus may provide protection against Aβ in preclinical stages of AD and other neurodegenerative processes.

Alzheimer disease (AD), the most common neurodegenerative disease of the elderly, is associated with the loss of cognitive function and the presence of neuropathological changes such as synaptic and neuronal loss, neurofibrillary tangles, and plaques of aggregated amyloid β (Aβ) peptides. Complement protein C1q, the recognition component of the classical complement pathway, binds to β-sheet fibrillar Aβ (fAβ) plaques and, when associated with C1r and C1s as in the C1 complex, activates the complement cascade that can have both a protective effect by enhancing clearance of Aβ through C1q- and C3-dependent opsonization and detrimental inflammatory consequences through production of the chemotactic factor C5a and subsequent recruitment and activation of microglia to the site of injury (1).

C1q is known, however, to be a multifunctional macromolecule with some inherent functions independent of its association with C1r and C1s such as enhancing clearance of apoptotic cells by phagocytic cells (including microglia) and modulating cytokine production to limit inflammation (2–4). In addition, synthesis of the complement protein C1q has been found up-regulated in the brain in response to a variety of neuronal injuries, including models of AD (1, 5–7). The early components of the complement cascade, C1q and C3, are also involved in central nervous system (CNS) development (8), and we recently demonstrated that C1q has direct neuroprotective properties (9, 10) and suppressed Aβ-induced neuronal death in vitro (9). This neuroprotective effect of C1q against Aβ was independent of modulation of the typical apoptotic pathways such as caspase or calpain activation (9) suggesting that C1q may induce unconventional neuroprotective mechanisms in Aβ-injured neurons.

In this study, we extend our initial observations to show that C1q can protect both immature and mature primary cortical neurons against Aβ and oligomeric Aβ-induced neurotoxicity by modulating neuronal gene expression to induce a neuropro-
tective response that engages low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1B (LRP1B) and G protein-coupled receptor 6 (GPR6). In addition, C1q prevents Aβ association with neurons. Consistent with these observations, in vivo hippocampal expression of C1q, LRP1B, and GPR6 was found to be increased at 2–4 months in the 3×Tg AD mouse but not in hippocampus of C1q-deficient AD mice. These results identify C1q as a component of the response to early injury in AD, before expression of other components of the complement system, and prior to the formation of complement-activating fAβ plaques.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Reagents**—Serum-free neurobasal (NB), B27 supplement, and l-glutamine were obtained from Invitrogen. Poly-L-lysine hydrobromide and LRP1B, GPR6, and β-actin antibodies were from Sigma. Microtubule-associated protein (MAP)-2 antibody and anti-mouse lysosome-associated membrane protein 1 (LAMP1) were from Abcam. β-Amyloid(1–16) (6E10) monoclonal antibodies were from Covance, and anti-human C1q were from DAKO. Rabbit antibodies against phosphorylated JNK (pJNK), phosphorylated CAMP-response element-binding protein (pCREB), CREB, and phosphorylated c-Jun (pc-Jun) were from Cell Signaling. Alexa 405-, 488-, or 555-conjugated secondary antibodies were from Invitrogen. Cy3 anti-chicken and HRP-conjugated anti-mouse or rabbit secondary antibodies were from Jackson ImmunoResearch. Human C1q was isolated from plasma as described previously (11) and modified (12). Human Aβ(1–42) (Aβ), provided by Dr. Charles Glabe (University of California at Irvine), was synthesized as described previously (13). Fibrillar and oligomeric forms of Aβ were prepared as described previously (14). fAβ concentration was determined by spectrophotometry, and peptide conformation was analyzed by circular dichroism as described previously (9).

**Animals, Neuron Isolation, and Culture**—All animal experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of University of California at Irvine. The 3×TgBUBC1q−/− mice were generated as described previously (15). Briefly, the 3×Tg mice (harboring the Swedish mutation (KM670/671NL), a human four repeat Tau (P301L) mutation and a knock-in mutation of presenilin1 (PS1M146V) (16)) were backcrossed for six generations to the BUB/BnJ strain (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) to generate 3×TgBUB mice. The 3×TgBUB mice were then crossed to C1q knockout mice (C1qa−/−) (17), previously backcrossed to BUB/BnJ for six generations, generating 3×TgBUBC1q−/− mice (validated by PCR and/or qPCR and test breeding). Nontransgenic mice of the same background were used as controls. Mice were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine/xylazine (67/27 mg/kg) and perfused with PBS. After dissection, hippocampi were immediately frozen on dry ice. Cortical neurons were isolated from day 18 Sprague-Dawley rat embryos (Charles River Laboratories, Inc., Wilmington, MA) or day 16 C57BL/6 mouse embryos as described previously (10). Neurons were grown for 4 days in vitro (immature) or 10 days in vitro (mature) before stimulation with C1q, fAβ, or oligomeric Aβ. In some experiments, neurons were transfected at 3 days in vitro with 10 nm scrambled siRNA (Ambion) or siRNA specific for CREB (Cell Signaling Technology) or GAPDH, GPR6, or LRP1B (Ambion) using the GeneSilencer siRNA transfection reagent (Genlantis, San Diego).

**RNA Extraction, Microarray Analysis, and qRT-PCR**—Total RNA from cortical neuron cultures or pulverized mouse hippocampi (5 mg) was extracted using the Illustra RNAspin mini isolation kit (GE Healthcare). Gene expression profiles were studied using the Rat Gene 1.0 ST array (Affymetrix). RNA labeling and hybridization were performed by the University of California at Irvine Genomics High Throughput Facility. Data processing and analysis were performed using JMP Genomics 5.0 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Significant differences in gene expression in C1q- and fAβ-treated neurons or fAβ-treated neurons compared with untreated neurons were identified by ANOVA test using the Bonferroni multiple testing method and a false-positive rate (α error) of 0.05. Functional classification of modulated genes was performed using DAVID software (david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov) (18). All data were entered in the Gene Expression Omnibus database (accession numbers GSE18860 and GSE28886). Identification of transcription factor-binding sites was performed using PAINT (19) and MatInspector (20). The cDNA synthesis was performed using the Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using the iCycler iQ and the iQ5 software (Bio-Rad) using the maxima SYBR/Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The rat and mouse primers (supplemental Table S1) were designed using primer-blast (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and obtained from Operon (Huntsville, AL). The relative mRNA levels in vivo were determined as follows: mRNA levels = 2−ΔCt, where ΔCt = (CtTarget − CtGAPDH). The fold-change (FC) was determined as follows: FC = 2−ΔΔCt, where ΔΔCt = (CtTarget − CtGAPDH)test − (CtTarget − CtGAPDH)untreated. Ct values represent the number of cycles for which the fluorosence signals were detected (21).

**Immunocytochemistry**—Neurons were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100. Immunocytochemistry was performed according to standard procedures adapted from Glynn and McAllister (22) as described previously (10). Slides were mounted with Prolong gold antifade reagent with or without DAPI (Invitrogen). Cells were examined using the Nikon Eclipse Ti-E confocal microscope and the EZ-C1 and NIS-Element AR 3.00, sp7 software. The MAP-2 area and ratios of pCREB and pc-Jun over DAPI were quantified using ImageJ as described previously (23). The average size of Aβ aggregates was determined using the “analyze particles” function of ImageJ and the scale bar as a size reference (only aggregates >2 μm2 were analyzed). The total neurite length was determined using NeuronJ (24). Co-localization between fAβ and MAP-2 or oligomeric Aβ and LAMP1 was determined using the NIS-Element AR 3.00, sp7 software and Pearson’s correlation coefficient.

**Western Blot**—Neurons were washed with 1 ml of cold Hanks’ balanced salt solution and harvested in 200 μl of RIPA buffer containing 10 μM sodium fluoride, 2 μM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 200 μM activated sodium vanadate, and 1× protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science). Neurons were scraped and incubated for 1 h on ice, and the lysate was centri-
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FIGURE 1. C1q protects immature and mature neurons against fibrillar and oligomeric Aβ toxicity. A and B, mouse primary mature neurons were treated with 15 μM fAβ and/or 20 nM C1q for 24 h. C–F, immature neurons isolated from wild-type (C, E, and F) or C1q−/− (D) mice were treated with 5 μM fAβ and/or 10 nM C1q (C and D) or 1 μM oligomeric (oligo) Aβ and/or 10 nM C1q (E) for 24 h or 5 μM fAβ for 24 h with 10 nM C1q added for the last 8 h of incubation (F). Neuronal integrity was assessed by MAP-2 immunocytochemistry (A, images representative of three independent experiments) and image analysis (B–F). Scale bar, 100 μm. Results represent mean ± S.D. (n = 3, five fields per condition) and were compared using one-way ANOVA (Kruskall-Wallis test), *p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01. and ***, p < 0.001.

RESULTS

C1q Protects Immature and Mature Neurons against Fibrillar and Oligomeric Aβ Toxicity—We previously showed that C1q protects immature rat neurons against fAβ-induced toxicity (9) and promotes neurite outgrowth in nutrient-stressed rat and mouse primary cortical neurons (10), suggesting that C1q is a potent neuroprotective factor. These findings are now extended with studies demonstrating that C1q protects against fAβ toxicity in mature (Fig. 1, A and B) as well as immature mouse primary cortical neurons (Fig. 1C). Specifically, although neuronal integrity (measured as total MAP-2 area normalized to percentage of untreated neurons (9)) was significantly (p < 0.05) decreased by fAβ by an average of 50% within 24 h, C1q restored neuronal integrity in fAβ-treated mature neurons (Fig. 1, A and B, 15 μM Aβ and 20 nM C1q, p < 0.05) and immature neurons (Fig. 1C, 5 μM Aβ and 10 nM C1q, p < 0.01) neurons to levels of untreated neurons. Although synthesis of C1q has been demonstrated in vivo in human AD brains (26), in several AD mouse models (15), in hippocampal slices stimulated with Aβ (27, 28), and at specific times during development (6, 8), it is of note that C1q has not been detected intracellularly or in the supernatants of these cultured neurons (data not shown and Ref. 9). If low levels of C1q are produced by these neurons in culture, it seems to have a limited role in this experimental setup because immature neurons isolated from C1q-deficient mice did not show exacerbated loss in neuronal integrity induced by 5 μM fAβ (Fig. 1D, 52 ± 5%, p < 0.01), and C1q (10 nM) restored neuronal integrity in fAβ-injured C1q-deficient neurons to levels of untreated neurons (Fig. 1D, p < 0.01), similarly to what was observed in C1q-sufficient neurons. We report for the first time that C1q also protects neurons against toxicity induced by Aβ oligomers (Fig. 1E), which are considered to be the principal toxic forms of Aβ (29–31). After 24 h of treatment with 1 μM oligomeric Aβ, neurons exhibited a 45% decrease in integrity (Fig. 1E, p < 0.001) that was prevented by addition of 10 nM C1q to levels of integrity of untreated neurons (Fig. 1E, p < 0.01). This neuroprotection was also validated by
measuring neurite outgrowth (data not shown). Finally, C1q protected neurons and prevented fAβ toxicity when added up to 16 h after fAβ/H9252 (Fig. 1F). Altogether, these results suggest that C1q is a potent neuroprotective molecule that can protect neurons against different toxic forms of Aβ.

C1q Modulates Gene Expression and Induces LRP1B and GPR6 in Aβ-injured Neurons—To characterize the molecular basis of C1q neuroprotection against Aβ-induced injury, gene expression in fAβ-injured primary immature rat neurons incubated for 3 h in the presence and absence of C1q was assessed by microarray analysis. C1q modulated genes associated with plasma membrane/cytoskeleton functions, secreted/extracellular functions, cell adhesion, signal transduction, and programmed cell death in fAβ-injured neurons (Fig. 2, A and B). Of the genes modulated (supplemental Table S2, a subset of these genes are shown in Table 1, 3rd column), C1q increased the expression of two membrane-associated receptors, the low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1B (LRP1B, Table 1), and G protein-coupled receptor 6 (GPR6, Table 1 and Fig. 2D), while decreasing the expression of the nucleation-promoting factors Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein family member 2 (WASF2) and the transcription factor immediate early response 3 (IER3) compared with neurons treated with fAβ alone (Table 1). Importantly, C1q promoted a similar gene expression program in rat and mouse primary neurons as assessed by qRT-PCR of selected genes (Fig. 2C), suggesting that the neuroprotective response induced by C1q is conserved among species.

To start to delineate the signaling cascades stimulated by C1q, the transcription factor-binding sites present in the promoter region of the C1q-modulated genes were identified using PAINT and MatInspector. This analysis reveals that 10 transcription factors, including MyoD, v-Maf, c-Myb, Oct-1, and AP-1, can be activated by C1q (Fig. 2E). Among these transcription factors, AP-1 appears to be able to induce a large number of the genes up-regulated by C1q (Fig. 2E), which suggests that AP-1 might be a central transcription factor in C1q-induced neuroprotection.

C1q Activates the Transcription Factor Activator Protein (AP)-1 in a pCREB-dependent JNK-independent Pathway—AP-1 is a heterodimer of the basic leucine zipper proteins Fos and Jun that positively regulates both synaptic strength and synapse number (32). To confirm that C1q activates AP-1 in fAβ-injured neurons, immature mouse neurons were stimulated with fAβ in the presence or absence of C1q for 30 min and 1 and 3 h, and pc-Jun nuclear translocation was assessed by
immunostaining and image quantification (Fig. 3, A and B). C1q significantly \( (p < 0.001) \) increased pc-Jun nuclear translocation in fAβ-treated neurons after 1 and 3 h of stimulation as compared with fAβ alone (Fig. 3, A and B). AP-1 can be activated in a JNK-dependent pathway or can be induced in a cAMP/pCREB-dependent pathway (32, 33). In fAβ-injured neurons, C1q did not increase phosphorylation of JNK but did phosphorylate CREB (Fig. 3, C–H). Indeed, although no differences in pJNK levels were observed after 15 or 30 min of stimulation with C1q and/or fAβ (Fig. 3, C and D), C1q significantly increased both the phosphorylation of CREB (Fig. 3, G and H, \( p < 0.05 \)) and its nuclear translocation (Fig. 3, E and F, \( p < 0.05 \)) after 30 min of stimulation in fAβ-injured neurons. In addition, this activation of pCREB by C1q was sustained over time because the levels of pCREB remained significantly \( (p < 0.05) \) higher after 3 h of stimulation as compared with fAβ alone (Fig. 3, G and H). Altogether these results show that AP-1 is activated by C1q probably through a pCREB-dependent JNK-independent pathway in fAβ-injured neurons.

To determine whether CREB was required for C1q-mediated neuroprotection, CREB expression was silenced using siRNA 24 h before stimulation with fAβ and C1q (Fig. 3I, inset). Transfection with CREB siRNA affected neuronal survival because untreated neurons exhibited a 40% decrease in neuronal integrity compared with untransfected or scrambled (scr) transfected neurons (Fig. 3I), results in agreement with previous studies showing a central role of CREB in neuronal survival (34–37). Nevertheless, whereas C1q protected neurons against fAβ toxicity in untransfected or neurons transfected with scr siRNA, CREB silencing abolished the protective effect of C1q resulting in significant \( (p < 0.05) \) loss in neuronal integrity (Fig. 3I) to levels similar to fAβ-treated neurons in the absence of C1q. These results demonstrate that CREB is a central transcription factor activated by C1q and required for neuroprotection.

**LRP1B and GPR6 Are Central Mediators of C1q-induced Neuroprotection against Aβ**—LRP1B and GPR6 expression were repressed by fAβ, and C1q restored their expression in fAβ-treated neurons (Table 1). These membrane receptors may represent central effectors in the C1q-induced neuroprotective pathway because GPR6 has been shown to increase intracellular cAMP production and to promote neurite extension (38), whereas LRP1B has been shown to modulate Aβ production and uptake (39, 40). To determine whether the C1q-induced expression of LRP1B and GPR6 functionally contributes to the neuroprotective response against fAβ-induced injury, their expression was silenced in mouse primary immature neurons by siRNA 24 h before stimulation with fAβ and C1q (Fig. 4). LRP1B expression was transiently reduced to less than 40% of base line by 24 h but returned to 80% expression by 48 h (Fig. 4A), whereas GPR6 expression was reduced by ∼50% 24–48 h post-transfection (Fig. 4A). Knockdown efficiencies were determined by qRT-PCR in accordance with other studies using similar transfection methods in primary neurons (41, 42). It is of note that transfection with scr siRNA or siRNA specific for LRP1B or GPR6 did not affect neuronal integrity in the absence of any stimulation (Fig. 4B, untreated) and did not increase susceptibility to Aβ toxicity after 24 h of treatment with fAβ (Fig. 4B). Although C1q protected neurons against fAβ toxicity in neurons transfected with scr siRNA, the inhibition of LRP1B and GPR6 expression abolished the protective effect of C1q resulting in significant \( (p < 0.001) \) loss in neuronal integrity (Fig. 4B) to levels similar to fAβ-treated neurons in the absence of C1q. In addition, the induction of GPR6 seems to be dependent on the expression of LRP1B because silencing LRP1B also prevents GPR6 induction by C1q in fAβ-treated neurons (Fig. 4C). These results suggest that the neuroprotective response induced by C1q requires at least LRP1B and GPR6 and that LRP1B acts upstream of GPR6.

**C1q-dependent Expression of LRP1B and GPR6 in AD Mice**—To determine whether C1q modulates the expression of LRP1B and GPR6 in vivo, we analyzed the hippocampal expression of LRP1B and GPR6 in the 3×Tg2576 transgenic mouse model of AD with and without a genetic deficiency of C1q (Fig. 5, solid lines and symbols). Hippocampal LRP1B (Fig. 5A) and GPR6 (Fig. 5B) mRNA levels were significantly reduced in C1q-deficient mice compared with C1q-sufficient mice at 2 and 4 months of age, and after the fAβ plaques start to accumulate in the brain (between 10 and 13 months of age in this cohort of animals (15)), these levels were decreased, and no significant differences were observed between C1q-sufficient and -deficient mice.

### TABLE 1

Selection of significantly modulated genes by C1q in fAβ-injured neurons

| Probeset ID | mRNA accession no. | Gene symbol | fAβ + C1q(a) | fAβ(b) | fAβ+C1q – fAβ(c) | Fold-difference (fAβ+C1q – fAβ(b)) |
|------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| 1077798 | NM_138533 | Spon2 | 0.689 | −2.007 | 2.696 | 6.680 |
| 10940544 | NM_031806.1 | Gpr6 | −0.280 | −2.969 | 2.689 | 6.449 |
| 10845051 | NM_001107843 | Lrp1b | 1.309 | −0.418 | 1.727 | 3.310 |
| 10889919 | NM_001031823 | Gpr33 | −0.264 | −1.735 | 1.470 | 2.770 |
| 10855862 | NM_181381 | Aloc2 | 1.722 | 0.393 | 1.329 | 2.512 |
| 10858071 | NM_038441 | Rho | 2.103 | 0.782 | 1.321 | 2.498 |
| 10886862 | BC009168 | Wdr43 | −0.381 | −1.683 | 1.302 | 2.466 |
| 10702829 | NM_00113371 | Synj2 | −0.435 | −1.608 | 1.173 | 2.255 |
| 10880331 | NM_00103167 | Wsp2 | −2.134 | 0.012 | −2.146 | 0.226 |
| 10831077 | NM_0215905 | Ier3 | −2.818 | −0.586 | −2.233 | 0.213 |
| 10896486 | NM_00109665 | Eshag9 | −0.118 | 2.128 | −2.246 | 0.211 |
| 10793244 | NM_001107331 | Irx1 | −2.080 | 0.641 | −2.721 | 0.152 |

(a) Differences in mRNA expression (microarray analysis) are from untreated neurons expressed as log2 fold-change.
(b) Neurons were from the same pool of primary rat cortical neurons with each condition (untreated, Aβ, Aβ+C1q) performed in separate triplicate wells and each replicate run on a separate array.
(c) Column 4 (fAβ+C1q) − column 5 (fAβ).

Log2 values from column 6 (fAβ+C1q – fAβ) were converted to fold difference \( (−2^{\text{column 6}}) \).

---
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FIGURE 3. CREB is a central transcription factor in C1q-mediated neuroprotection. A and B, mouse primary immature neurons were stimulated with 5 μM fAβ and/or 10 nM C1q for 30, 60, and 180 min, stained for MAP-2 (red) and pc-Jun (green), mounted in DAPI (blue), and analyzed by confocal microscopy (A) and image quantification (B) to determine pc-Jun nuclear translocation (pc-Jun area over DAPI). Images are representative of three independent experiments. C and D, mouse primary immature neurons were stimulated with 5 μM fAβ and/or 10 nM C1q for 15 and 30 min, and phosphorylation of JNK was determined by Western blot (C) and band intensity analysis (D). Blots are representative of two independent experiments. E–H, mouse primary immature neurons were stimulated with 5 μM fAβ and/or 10 nM C1q for 15, 30, 60, and 180 min. E and F, neurons were stained for MAP-2 (red), pCREB (green), mounted in DAPI (blue), and analyzed by confocal microscopy (E, images representative of three independent experiments) and image quantification (F) to determine pCREB nuclear translocation (pCREB area over DAPI). G and H, phosphorylation of CREB determined by Western blot (G) and band intensity analysis (H). Blots are representative of three independent experiments. I, neurons were transfected with 10 nM scrambled siRNA (scr siRNA) or siRNA targeting CREB 24 h before treatment with 5 μM fAβ and/or 10 nM C1q. CREB inhibition was determined by WB 24 h post-transfection (inset). Neuronal integrity was assessed by MAP-2 staining and quantitative image analysis after 24 h of treatment, n = 2 (5 fields per condition). All results represent means ± S.E. and are compared using two-way ANOVA test, *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01 and ***, p < 0.001. Scale bar, 10 μm. NT, untransfected.
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In addition, levels of LRP1B and GPR6 expression decreased concomitantly with the increased expression of C1r, C1s, and C3, even though C1q expression was further up-regulated (Fig. 5). This demonstrates a consequential switch in the functional activities of C1q due to the induced presence of, and C1q association with, C1r and C1s, resulting in suppression of protective functions and induction of potentially detrimental consequences due to the activation of the complement cascade via interaction of the fAβ plaques with the induced intact C1, the classical complement pathway initiating complex.

C1q Alters Aβ Association with Neurons through Enhanced Aβ Aggregation—In addition to directly interacting with neurons to stimulate a neuroprotective program as described above, C1q has been shown to bind Aβ and enhance Aβ aggregation (43). To assess whether C1q may also protect neurons by affecting the association of Aβ with neurons, primary mouse immature neurons were incubated with fAβ and/or 10 nM C1q. This regulated C1q expression then contributes to the regulation of LRP1B and GPR6 in the injured brain.
0.0004) decreased over a 24-h time period compared with fAβ/H9252 alone (Fig. 6, A and B). Members of the LDL receptor family such as LRP1B have been shown to modulate Aβ/H9252 production and uptake (39, 40). Because C1q modulates association of Aβ/H9252 with neurons and enhances the expression of LRP1B and GPR6, we next investigated whether LRP1B and/or GPR6 plays a role in the C1q-dependent modulation of fAβ association with neurons. Aβ association with neurons after siRNA knockdown of LRP1B or GPR6 in neurons was determined as described above. Although neurons transfected with scr siRNA or GPR6 siRNA showed less fAβ association with neurons when incubated with C1q over a 24-h time period (Fig. 6C, p < 0.05) similar to that observed for untransfected fAβ + C1q-treated neurons (Fig. 6B), addition of LRP1B siRNA prevented the effect of C1q. In fact, after inhibition of LRP1B expression, the association of fAβ with neurons, determined using the Pearson’s correlation coefficient for co-localization between MAP-2 and Aβ, in the presence of C1q was similar to fAβ-treated neurons in the absence of C1q (Fig. 6C).

To then evaluate the effect of C1q on the neuronal uptake of other Aβ assembly states, primary mouse immature neurons were incubated with oligomeric Aβ, and uptake of Aβ was assessed by evaluating its co-localization with the lysosomal marker LAMP1 (Fig. 7, A and B). The amount of Aβ that co-localizes with intraneuronal LAMP1-positive vacuoles was significantly (p < 0.0001) decreased by C1q when compared with oligomeric Aβ alone (Fig. 7, A and B), suggesting that C1q may prevent accumulation of Aβ in neurons. In agreement with the known capacity of C1q to bind Aβ (43), C1q strongly co-localized with Aβ aggregates (Fig. 7A) and enhanced Aβ aggregation (Fig. 7C). Indeed, the average size of Aβ aggregates increased from 5.9 ± 2.0 μm² in absence of C1q to 14.1 ± 6.9 μm² in presence of C1q (Fig. 7C). Previous studies have shown that extracellular sequestration of Aβ oligomers, which are considered to be the principal toxic forms of Aβ (29–31), into larger aggregates decreases neurotoxicity (44, 45). Altogether, these data suggest that C1q prevents Aβ neurotoxicity by modulating neuronal gene expression to induce a neuroprotective response that requires LRP1B and GPR6 and
that LRP1B, but not GPR6, may also prevent Aβ association with neurons (Fig. 8).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study describes a distinct induction of C1q expression in the brains of AD mice at very early ages, identifies previously undescribed molecular mechanisms induced by C1q in neurons that protect against both oligomeric and fAβ neurotoxicity *in vitro*, and suggests that C1q and its downstream effectors LRP1B and GPR6, which appear to be induced in a C1q-dependent fashion *in vivo*, are part of a neuroprotective response that is triggered early on in the progression to AD before the accumulation of fAβ plaques and subsequent complement activation and ensuing inflammation.

While studying the molecular basis for the C1q-induced neuroprotection, we found that C1q profoundly affected the transcriptional response of fAβ-injured neurons. Recently, we published that C1q triggers a complex program of gene expression in nutrient-stressed primary neurons that enhance neurite outgrowth and limit neuronal stress and inflammation (10). Interestingly, the transcriptional programs stimulated by C1q in fAβ-injured neurons, while sharing some pathways with nutrient-stressed neurons (such as pathways associated with plasma membrane function and signal transduction), also show differences, suggesting that the environment and the stress stimuli influence the cellular state and thus the specific pathways induced by C1q that coalesce to a neuroprotective response. It is of note that the signaling cascade seems to involve common effectors. Here, the addition of C1q to fAβ-injured neurons resulted in increased phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of CREB, similar to what was observed in nutrient-stressed neurons (10) and in monocytes (46). CREB is a transcription factor vital for long term memory and synaptic plasticity (34), neurogenesis (47–49), and induction of neurotrophic factors in the CNS (35). In addition, pCREB is a central transcription factor in brain-derived neurotrophic factor signaling (50) and also induces brain-derived neurotrophic factor upon activation (51). These results suggest that pCREB is a central transcription factor activated very early on by C1q in neurons and that C1q may induce similar signaling cascades as brain-derived neurotrophic factor.

C1q increased the expression of GPR6 in fAβ-injured neurons, and inhibition of GPR6 prevented the C1q-neuroprotective effect against Aβ. GPR6 is a constitutively active G protein-coupled receptor that is highly expressed in the CNS. In primary rat cerebellar neurons, overexpression of GPR6 increases intracellular cAMP production and promotes neurite extension (38, 52). The induced expression of GPR6 by C1q may thus stimulate a positive feedback to sustain pCREB acti-
In addition to inducing a specific transcriptional profile in neurons that promotes survival, C1q altered Aβ association with neurons and enhanced Aβ aggregation, especially that of oligomeric Aβ forms. These results are in accordance with previous data showing that C1q strongly binds Aβ and induces its aggregation (43, 53). These data also suggest that neuronal production of molecules capable of modulating Aβ aggregation (and perhaps aggregation of other misfolded protein) is part of the early response to neuronal injury. Indeed, it has been reported that Aβ increases neuronal collagen VI expression that blocks the association of Aβ oligomers with neurons, enhances Aβ aggregation, and prevents neurotoxicity (44). It is of note that C1q has a collagen-like domain, and Aβ also induces C1q expression in neurons (28). In Aβ-injected neurons and AD mice, the presence of C1q increased the expression of LRP1B. Members of the LDL receptor family have been shown to modulate Aβ production and uptake (39, 40). Specifically, LR1P binds to APP and Aβ and enhances neuronal internalization and delivery to the lysosomal degradation pathway (54). However, continuous Aβ uptake through LR1P may overload the degradation pathway leading to Aβ intraneuronal accumulation (54). Moreover, the rapid APP endocytosis through LR1P may favor the amyloidogenic pathway leading to enhanced Aβ production (55, 56). LR1P is highly expressed in the brain, including the cortex, hippocampus (dentate gyrus), and cerebellum (57–59). LR1P interacts with APP and reduces the processing of Aβ (57) probably through a reduced internalization of APP by neurons due to the slower endocytosis rate of LR1P than LR1 (60). The increase of LR1P by C1q may result in a decrease in intraneuronal accumulation of Aβ through slower endocytosis of extracellular Aβ, greater degradation through the lysosomal pathway over time, and/or slower endocytosis of APP that would limit the amyloidogenic pathway. In addition, LR1P appears to be a prerequisite for GPR6 expression (Fig. 8), suggesting that LR1P might indirectly promote neurite outgrowth through induction of GPR6 and cAMP (38). It is also of note that haplotypes in the LR1P gene are significant/protective for successful aging without cognitive decline (61) suggesting that the C1q/LR1P pathway might be a promising therapeutic target to slow down neurodegeneration.

In addition to demonstrating the early induction of C1q in the brain of 3×Tg AD mice months prior to the detection of plaque pathology, these data demonstrate that the expression of components of the complement C1 complex can be discordantly regulated, with C1q synthesized in the absence of the C1 proteases, C1r and C1s, which are induced only after the Aβ plaques start to accumulate in the brain (Fig. 5). In the Tg2576 AD mouse model, the absence of C1q resulted in decreased inflammation and neuronal loss after accumulation of Aβ plaques, probably through impaired classical complement activation due to the lack of C1q in the later stages of the disease when complement activation can contribute to detrimental enhancement of inflammation (62). One possible explanation for the apparent contrasting dichotomy of effects of C1q in AD is that once C1r and C1s are produced, most of the C1q is now in the C1 complex and can no longer interact with neurons as “free” C1q to promote neuroprotection (or at least the neuroprotective effect is likely counteracted by the inflammatory components of the cascade). In line with this hypothesis, the neuroprotective induction of LRP1B and GPR6 decreased with age concomitantly with the increased expression of the downstream components (C1r, C1s, and C3) of the complement cascade, which can lead to a proinflammatory environment with the production of C5a.

Although beneficial induction of C1q in the brain is observed during development, where in conjunction with other early complement cascade proteins it participates in the pruning of inappropriate synapses (8), the C1q effects described here are independent of the other complement components and thus identify another function of this innate recognition protein. The induction of C1q expression in several brain injury models, such as kainic acid treatment, virus infection, or ischemia/reperfusion (1), is consistent with a role for C1q and its downstream effectors in the neuronal response to injury. This potential protective effect is particularly intriguing in the developing mouse in which C1q synthesis is induced when the cochlea removal is performed after P14 but not before P11 and correlates with neuronal survival (6). In the context of AD, our results further suggest that C1q, which is detected in the hippocampus of 3×Tg mice as early as 2 months of age here and has been detected in the CNS at 3 months of age in another AD transgenic mouse (also prior to induction of other complement proteins) (63), regulates the expression of LR1P and GPR6 early in the progression to AD.

The findings presented in this study on the neuroprotection induced by C1q, the recent report of the activation of the inflammasome by aggregated C1q in drusen (64), and our finding that soluble unaggregated C1q suppresses inflammasome activation and production of mature IL-1β in macrophages (3) suggest that this protein may have opposing functions depending on its presentation to or within the cell and the environment of the cell. Thus, C1q may be a biosensor of inflammation and injury, resulting in neuroprotection or neurodegeneration depending on the conditions in the local environment.

In summary, the selective up-regulation of C1q in the injured brain and the identification of C1q-induced genes critical for the observed neuroprotection in Aβ-injected neurons described here (Fig. 8) suggest that induction of C1q as a response to injury can initiate a potent neuroprotective program that is likely activated in a broad range of different injury models. The ability to therapeutically engage such neuroprotective pathways may be particularly beneficial in AD and potentially other neurodegenerative disorders or ischemic injury.
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