Alternative Modes of Organellar Segregation during Sporulation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*<sup>▼</sup>
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Formation of ascospores in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is driven by an unusual cell division in which daughter nuclei are encapsulated within de novo-formed plasma membranes, termed prospore membranes. Generation of viable spores requires that cytoplasmic organelles also be captured along with nuclei. In mitotic cells segregation of mitochondria into the bud requires a polarized actin cytoskeleton. In contrast, genes involved in actin-mediated transport are not essential for sporulation. Instead, efficient segregation of mitochondria into spores requires Ady3p, a component of a protein coat found at the leading edge of the prospore membrane. Other organelles whose mitotic segregation is promoted by actin, such as the vacuole and the cortical endoplasmic reticulum, are not actively segregated during sporulation but are regenerated within spores. These results reveal that organelar segregation into spores is achieved by mechanisms distinct from those in mitotic cells.

In response to nitrogen starvation in the presence of a non-fermentable carbon source, diploid cells of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* exit mitotic growth and undergo meiosis and sporulation to form haploid spores (11, 37). The spores are generated by a distinct form of cell division that takes place within the cytoplasm of the mother cell. During meiosis II, the four sets of haploid chromosomes separate into distinct lobes of the nucleus, which subsequently pinch off to form discrete nuclei (29). As the nucleus is dividing, each lobe is encapsulated within a double membrane, termed the prospore membrane (29, 38). After nuclear division, the ends of the prospore membranes fuse to generate four distinct prospores. These prospores then mature by the deposition of a spore wall (26).

The closure of the prospore membranes is a cytokinetic event generating four discrete daughter cells within the mother cell cytoplasm, now called the ascyl cytoplasm. Each prospore must therefore have its own complement of cytoplasmic organelles. Electron microscopy studies suggest that only about 30% of the mother cell cytoplasmic contents are distributed into spores. Those organelles that do enter the prospore do so just prior to prospore membrane closure (4, 6).

In mitotic yeast cells, the actin cytoskeleton provides the basis for the segregation of many organelles, including vacuolar precursors, mitochondria, and the cortical endoplasmic reticulum (ER), into the bud (12, 14, 18, 49). The Golgi may segregate in an actin-dependent manner or regenerate from cortical-ER precursors present in the bud (43, 47). Simple vectorial transport of organelles is likely to be insufficient for sporulation, however, as organelles need to be distributed to four locations simultaneously. Indeed, though genes involved in actin-mediated endocytosis are important for spore wall assembly (32), mutation of genes required for actin-based transport of material into the bud does not affect sporulation (10, 53). These results hint that organelar segregation may be achieved differently during sporulation, though the mechanisms underlying this process have been largely unexplored.

Mitochondrial segregation during sporulation has been most extensively examined (17, 28, 50). Immediately upon transfer of cells to sporulation medium, mitochondria disperse to the cell periphery. Subsequently, they fuse to form an extensive tubular network that associates with the nuclear envelope during the meiotic divisions. After segregation into the spore, the mitochondria fragment again as the spore matures (17). Mutation of genes involved in mitochondrial fission, or both fission and fusion, alters the dynamics of mitochondrial behavior and lead to an increase in the incidence of amitochondrial and petite spores (9, 17).

Though the machinery mediating mitochondrial segregation into spores is not known, these studies make clear that incorporation of mitochondria into spores is highly efficient. By contrast, a study of vacuolar inheritance during sporulation indicated that little or none of the vacuole enters the prospore (45). Rather, the vacuolar membrane and contents are left behind in the ascyl cytoplasm. Ultimately, mature spores do display vacuoles, suggesting that they may be regenerated from precursors in the spore.

In vegetative cells, a ring of septin proteins is located at the bud neck and acts as a barrier to diffusion that allows differentiation between the bud and the mother cell cytoplasm (1, 52). During spore formation, a protein coat termed the leading-edge complex forms a ring structure at the lip of the prospore membrane. This is the interface between the mother and daughter cell cytoplasts, a position analogous to that of the septin ring at the bud neck. The leading-edge complex consists of at least three subunits, Ssp1p, Ady3p, and Don1p (23, 31, 40). Though all three proteins colocalize during sporulation, deletion of each gene produces a distinct phenotype. Deletion of *DON1* causes no obvious sporulation defect (23). Deletion of *ADY3* leads to the accumulation of ascis with fewer than four spores due to the failure of a fraction of the prospores to develop spore walls (31, 40). Also, Don1p localization to the
leading edge is lost in \textit{ady3} mutants. Loss of \textit{SSP1} has the most severe phenotype, resulting in abnormalities of prosop membrane growth, a failure of spores to form, and the delocalization of the other two components of the complex (31).

Because of its position at the junction of the prosop and mother cell cytoplasm, the possibility that the leading-edge complex might play a role in organelar segregation into the prosop was investigated. \textit{ADY3} is required for efficient mitochondrial inheritance. Moreover, genes important for the actin-based segregation of mitochondria into buds are not necessary for segregation during sporulation. The segregation of other organelles that require the actin cytoskeleton for efficient segregation during mitotic growth was also examined. The results indicate that during sporulation, rather than preexisting organelles being actively segregated, many organelles are generated from precursors after or during cellular division.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Yeast strains and media.** Standard \textit{S. cerevisiae} genetic methods and media were used (46). The strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. All strains used except YS87 were in the fast-sporulating SK-1 strain background. Gene insertions and replacements were performed using cassettes amplified by PCR (25) and verified by PCR. Diploids MND11, NY551, and YS60 were made by disrupting \textit{DON1}, \textit{SSP1}, and \textit{YPT11}, respectively, in AN117-4B and AN117-1D (39) and mating of the resulting haplids. To construct YS63 (\textit{ady3} \textit{ady3} \textit{ypt11} \textit{ypt11}), a \textit{ypt11} haploid was crossed to an \textit{ady3} haploid, followed by mating of two of the \textit{ady3} \textit{ypt11} segregants. To construct MND10 (\textit{ady3} \textit{ady3} \textit{gip1} \textit{gip1}) \textit{GIP1} was disrupted using PvuII-digested \textit{pTET2-HIS3} (54) in \textit{ady3} haplids, and the resulting haplids were mated to generate homozygous diploids. Strain YS87 (\textit{TFP1-GFP}) was generated by mating of segregants from a cross of AN117-4B to a \textit{TFP1-GFP} strain from the green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged strain collection (20).

**Plasmids.** The plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 2. pRS424-H20 was constructed by replacing the GFP coding region in pRS424-G20 (34) with the gene for monomeric red fluorescent protein (RFP) (7) using EcoRI and XbaI sites. The RFP gene was amplified by PCR using pTiKmRFP (16) as a template.

### TABLE 1. \textit{S. cerevisiae} strains used in this study

| Name            | Genotype                                                                 | Source |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| AN117-4B        | \textit{MATa arg4-N5p1 his3} SK \textit{ho}:LYS2 leu2 lys2 \textit{me1}:LEU2 \textit{trp1}:hisG \textit{ura3} | 39     |
| AN117-16D       | \textit{MATa his3} SK \textit{ho}:LYS2 leu2 lys2 \textit{me1}:LEU2 \textit{trp1}:hisG \textit{ura3} | 39     |
| AN120           | \textit{MATa arg4-N5p1 his3} SK \textit{ho}:LYS2 ho::LYS2 leu2 leu2 lys2 \textit{me1}:LEU2 \textit{trp1}:hisG \textit{ura3} | 39     |
| MND11           | \textit{MATa arg4-N5p1 his3} SK \textit{ho}:LYS2 ho::LYS2 leu2 leu2 lys2 \textit{me1}:LEU2 \textit{trp1}:hisG \textit{ura3} | 40     |
| AN246           | \textit{MATa arg4-N5p1 his3} SK \textit{ho}:LYS2 ho::LYS2 leu2 leu2 lys2 \textit{me1}:LEU2 \textit{trp1}:hisG \textit{ura3} | 51     |
| NY501           | \textit{MATa arg4-N5p1 his3} SK \textit{ho}:LYS2 ho::LYS2 leu2 leu2 lys2 \textit{me1}:LEU2 \textit{trp1}:hisG \textit{ura3} | 51     |
| MND10           | \textit{MATa arg4-N5p1 his3} SK \textit{ho}:LYS2 ho::LYS2 leu2 leu2 lys2 \textit{me1}:LEU2 \textit{trp1}:hisG \textit{ura3} | 40     |

### TABLE 2. Plasmids used in this study

| Name            | Relevant yeast genotype                                                                 | Source |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| pTET2-HIS3      | \textit{gip1} \textit{Δ}:HIS3                                                          | 54     |
| pRS424-G20      | GFP fusion to \textit{SPO20} nucleotides 151–273 under the \textit{TEF2} promoter     | 33     |
| pVT100-\textit{dsRED} | Fusion of a mitochondrial targeting sequence to \textit{dsRED1-T1} under the \textit{ADH1} promoter | J. Nunnari |
| pRS424-R20      | GFP fusion to \textit{SPO20} nucleotides 151–273 under the \textit{TEF2} promoter     | This study |
| pDNS366         | \textit{GFP} fusion to \textit{RTN2} under the \textit{RTN2} promoter                 | 47     |
| pRSS34-\textit{RTN2-GFP} | \textit{KAR2-GFP}-\textit{HDEL} fusion under the \textit{TDH3} promoter               | D. Ng   |
| pSEC7-EGFPx3    | \textit{SEC7-EGFPx3}                                                                  | 47     |
| pRS304-MPC54-RFP | \textit{MPC54-RFP}                                                                    | This study |
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Transmission electron microscopy. Sporulating cells were fixed for 1 h in 3% glutaraldehyde in cacodylate buffer (100 mM sodium cacodylate, 5 mM CaCl2, pH 6.8), washed once in cacodylate buffer, left overnight at 23°C, resuspended in 4% KMcO3, in distilled water, and incubated for 30 min at 23°C. The cells were then washed with distilled water until the supernatant was clear, resuspended in saturated uranyl acetate for 2 h, and then dehydrated by 10-minute incubations in a graded acetone series: two incubations each in 30%, 50%, 70%, and 95% acetone and four incubations in 100% acetone. For embedding, the cells were first incubated twice in acetone for 10 min and then transferred to a 1:1 solution of acetonitrile-Epon Mix (50% Epon 812, 15% dodecenyl succinic

anhydride, 35% nadic methyl anhydride) for 4 h. The cells were then incubated in Epon Mix for 12 h with changes every 4 h, and finally, the catalyst 2,4,6-

Tris(dimethylaminomethyl) phenol (DMP-30) was added and samples were

placed into a vacuum oven at 60°C for 2 days to harden. After the samples were

sectioned, images were collected on an FEI BioTwin G2 microscope at 80 kV

using an AMT XR-60 camera.

RESULTS

Mitochondrial segregation into spores is defective in ady3Δ cells. Previous studies demonstrated that mitochondria are efficiently segregated into developing spores (17, 28, 50). During late meiosis II, the mitochondria cluster along the nuclear envelope near the center of the spindle. In electron micrographs of forming spores, mitochondria can frequently be seen in close juxtaposition to the leading edge of the prospore membrane (Fig. 1), and often it appears that the mitochondrial membranes are distorted to maintain a constant distance from the leading edge (Fig. 1D), suggesting that this space may be filled by material that is not preserved during preparation for the electron microscope. To examine if the leading-edge complex might play a role in facilitating the segregation of mitochondria into spores, a fusion of RFP to a mitochondrial signal sequence, which localizes RFP to the mitochondrial matrix (36), was coexpressed in wild-type and ady3Δ cells with a GFP-
tagged prospore membrane marker (33), and segregation of the mitochondria was examined (Fig. 2).

Consistent with earlier reports, individual mitochondrial tubes entered the spore during meiosis II and then fragmented after prospore membrane closure. In wild-type cells, mitochondria segregated with high efficiency into all four spores of the tetrad (Fig. 2, top; Table 3). In ady3Δ cells, mitochondria still cluster at the spindle midzone; however, they frequently fail to enter one or more of the prospores (Fig. 2, bottom; Table 3). Comparison of differential interference contrast images to the fluorescence images revealed a strong correlation between segregation of mitochondria into prospores and the subsequent elaboration of spore walls (Fig. 3). Ninety-two percent of ady3Δ spores that formed visible spore walls also displayed mitochondrial RFP signals, and conversely, 98% of the prospores that failed to form spore walls lacked mitochondria. These results
TABLE 3. Efficiency of mitochondrial segregation

| Strain                     | Observed frequency of prospores including mitochondria (%) ± SD |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| AN120 (wild type)         | ........................................................................100 ± 0 |
| AN246 (ady3Δ)             | ........................................................................48 ± 15 |
| MND11 (don1Δ)             | ........................................................................99 ± 1 |
| YS60 (ypt11Δ)             | ........................................................................100 ± 0 |
| YS63 (ady3Δ ypt11Δ)       | ........................................................................42 ± 9 |
| NY501 (gip1Δ)             | ........................................................................92 ± 10 |
| MND10 (ady3Δ gip1Δ)       | .........................................................................18 ± 6 |

* Cells containing pRS424-G20 and pVT100-dsRED were examined in the fluorescence microscope; 100 prospores were counted for mitochondrial segregation in each clone, and the data shown are the averages of three independent experiments.

suggest that failure to inherit mitochondria may cause the observed spore wall defect in ady3 cells.

Mutation of ADY3 also causes the delocalization of Don1p from the leading-edge complex (31, 40), raising the possibility that the mitochondrial defect in ady3 cells is an indirect effect of the loss of Don1p. However, mitochondrial segregation was highly efficient in don1 cells (Table 3). Thus, the mitochondrial-segregation defect seems to result from the loss of Ady3p at the leading edge.

YPT11 does not contribute to mitochondrial segregation during sporulation. ADY3 is important but not essential for mitochondrial segregation into spores, suggesting that there may be other mechanisms at work, as well. In vegetative cells, mitochondrial segregation into the bud requires the Ypt11 protein to link the mitochondria to the actin-based segregation machinery (3, 21). To determine if this vegetative system might also contribute to mitochondrial inheritance during sporulation, mitochondrial segregation in ypt11 and ypt11 ady3 diploids was examined. The absence of YPT11 resulted in no obvious sporulation or segregation defect (Table 3), indicating that it does not play a major role in mitochondrial segregation during sporulation. Moreover, deletion of YPT11 does not exacerbate the ady3 phenotype, indicating that Ypt11p-based segregation is not active as an alternate pathway during sporulation. These results are consistent with earlier studies that found no sporulation defect in ypt11 or mutants in other genes important for vegetative mitochondrial segregation, such as MMRI, JSN1, TPM1, or MYO2 (10, 53).

Septins are not required for mitochondrial segregation into spores. During spore formation, septins form a pair of parallel sheets on the prospore membrane located just behind the leading-edge complex (13, 31). Deletion of GIP1 blocks the formation of these septin sheets (51). Whether attachment to the septins might play a role in leading the mitochondria into the spore was tested by examining mitochondrial inheritance in a gip1 mutant. Mitochondria segregated efficiently in gip1 cells (Table 3). However, in a gip1 ady3 double-mutant strain, the percentage of prospores inheriting mitochondria was about 2.5-fold lower than in the ady3 single mutant (Table 3). These data indicate that septins do not play an essential role in mitochondrial segregation during sporulation but that they may cooperate with the leading-edge complex in ensuring efficient mitochondrial inheritance.

ADY3 does not influence the segregation of the vacuole. The importance of ADY3 in mitochondrial segregation led us to examine whether the leading-edge complex plays a role in the segregation of other organelles. Unlike mitochondria, vacuoles remain behind in mother cells during sporulation (45). In

FIG. 3. Failure of mitochondrial inheritance correlates with the spore wall defect in ady3Δ cells. AN246 (ady3Δ) was transformed with plasmids expressing markers for the prospore membrane (pRS424-G20) and the mitochondrial matrix (pVT100-dsRED), and mitochondrial morphology was examined during sporulation. Only spores with spore walls are visible in differential interference contrast (DIC). The white arrowheads indicate spores in which mitochondria are absent. Scale bar = 1 μm. Nuclei were visualized with DAPI. No nuclei are visible in the mature spores due to impermeability of the spore wall.

FIG. 4. The vacuole is regenerated in the spore during maturation. (A) Strain YS87, which expresses the vacuolar-membrane marker Tlp1-GFP, was transformed with a plasmid expressing a prospore membrane marker (pRS424-R20), and vacuole segregation during sporulation was examined. Scale bar = 1 μm, wild type. (B) At the indicated time points, cells were fixed and analyzed to determine the percentages of the spores with visible Tlp1p-GFP fluorescence. At least 100 spores were counted at each time point, and the values are the averages of two independent experiments. The vertical lines indicate the range of values at each time point. The arrow indicates the time at which mature spores were first observed in the population.
this case, it is possible that the leading-edge complex might play a role in excluding the vacuole from the prospore. The vacuolar-membrane marker Tfp1-GFP was examined in sporulating cells (Fig. 4A). Consistent with previous studies of other vacuolar markers (45), TFP1-GFP remained in the ascral cytoplasm. The behavior of Tfp1-GFP was identical in *ady3* cells, indicating that *ady3* plays no role in excluding the vacuole from the spore (Y. Suda, unpublished observations).

Though Tfp1-GFP was absent from newly formed spores, fluorescence was clearly visible in mature spores, presumably representing the regeneration of the vacuolar compartment within the spore. We performed a time course to examine the timing of the reappearance of the Tfp1-GFP signal within the spores (Fig. 4B). At 20 h after the shift to sporulation medium, roughly 50% of the spores exhibited Tfp1-GFP fluorescence. This represents an ~10-h lag from the time at which mature spores began to appear in the population. Though some of this lag may reflect time required for spores to synthesize and accumulate Tfp1-GFP, these data suggest that several hours are required for the generation of new vacuoles within the spore.

The cortical ER disappears during meiosis and reappears within the spore. Like the vacuole, the cortical ER requires actin for delivery to the bud in mitotic cells (12, 14). The behavior of the ER during sporulation has not been previously described. To examine it, a soluble ER marker, GFP-HDEL, and a prospore membrane marker, RFP fused to a lipid binding domain of the Spo20 protein (33), were coexpressed in sporulating cells. In vegetative cells, the ER is found predominantly as the nuclear envelope (perinuclear ER) and in a network underneath the plasma membrane (cortical ER) (42). This same distribution of ER is seen in cells early in sporulation (Fig. 5A, top row). As cells enter meiosis, perinuclear ER fluorescence persists, but the signal from the cortical ER is progressively lost, so that by the time cells enter meiosis II, the cortical ER appears to be absent (Fig. 5A, second row from top). During meiosis II, GFP-HDEL was found in rings surrounding the DAPI-stained chromatin of the forming haploid nuclei, as well as in a reticular structure near the middle of the cell.
This staining presumably represented the envelope of the remnant nucleoid that was left behind in the ascus (15). Importantly, during meiosis II, the perinuclear ER is distinguishable from the prospore membrane both by the greater distance at which the prospore membrane surrounds the chromatin and because the perinuclear ER staining is connected by this reticular structure. In postmeiotic cells, the cortical ER rapidly reappears beneath the plasma membrane of the prospore (Fig. 5A, bottom row). These observations suggest that the cortical ER is depleted during the course of meiosis and regenerated within the newly formed spore.

To look more specifically at the behavior of the cortical ER, we examined the localization of the reticulon protein Rtn2p (Fig. 5B). As reported for its paralog, Rtn1p, Rtn2-GFP localized exclusively to the cortical ER in vegetative cells (8) (Suda, unpublished). Similarly, early in sporulation, Rtn2-GFP was seen only in the peripheral ER (Fig. 5B, top row). As cells progressed through meiosis, peripheral localization progressively decreased, so that by meiosis II Rtn2-GFP was found predominantly in the nuclear envelope (Fig. 5B, third row from top). In postmeiotic cells, Rtn2-GFP rapidly appeared at the periphery of the spore and disappeared from the nuclear envelope (Fig. 5B, bottom row). These results mirror those seen with the general ER marker and suggest that cortical-ER material is pulled back into the nuclear envelope during meiosis.

As with the vacuole, mutation of ADY3 did not alter the efficiency of cortical-ER inheritance (Suda, unpublished).

**Golgi apparatus segregation occurs early in prospore membrane growth.** To examine the segregation of Golgi apparatus and trans-Golgi network elements into spores, a GFP fusion to the Sec7 protein was used (43). In wild-type cells, late Golgi structures were present as scattered foci throughout the cytoplasm in early meiotic cells. These Golgi puncta remain throughout meiosis. However, early in meiosis II, a bright perinuclear Golgi apparatus signal appeared within the prospore membrane, often close to the spindle pole body (SPB). This signal was present throughout the remainder of meiosis II, and multiple Sec7-GFP-containing structures were present within the prospores in postmeiotic cells (Fig. 6).

The localization of the Golgi apparatus inside the prospore membrane from very early in meiosis II suggested that an active recruitment of the Golgi apparatus to the spindle pole region, where prospore membrane formation starts, might be important for segregation. To test this possibility, Golgi apparatus localization was examined in an sso1 mutant that failed to form prospore membranes. However, in the absence of sso1, bright Golgi elements were less common and were found distributed in the cytoplasm rather than in perinuclear positions.
(Fig. 7A). This result suggests an alternative possibility, that capture of Golgi elements between the nuclear envelope and the prospore membrane during prospore membrane growth might be the basis for Golgi apparatus segregation.

The correlation between prospore membrane growth and Golgi apparatus inheritance was further explored by examining Golgi apparatus localization in additional mutants with prospore membrane defects (Fig. 7B). To quantify the effects on the Golgi apparatus position, the distance between the SPB, marked by Sec7-GFP, and the nearest late Golgi element, marked by Mpc54-RFP, and the nearest late Golgi element, marked by Sec7-GFP, was measured for >50 SPBs in each strain. In wild-type cells, vps13 mutants that had small prospore membranes (35), ady4 mutants that had abnormal prospore membranes (41), and ady3 mutants, the average SPB-Golgi apparatus distances were essentially the same. By contrast, in three different mutants that formed very small or absent prospore membranes, sso1, mso1, or smal (22, 34, 44), the distance from the SPB to the Golgi apparatus was much greater. Therefore, a shorter SPB-Golgi apparatus distance correlates with the presence of a prospore membrane. Moreover, as in wild-type cells, Golgi elements in vps13, ady3, and ady4 mutants were found within the prospore membrane (Fig. 7C). The simplest interpretation of these results is the shorter SPB-Golgi apparatus distances are a consequence of the capture of the Golgi apparatus within the prospore membrane.

**DISCUSSION**

A unique mechanism for mitochondrial segregation in sporulating cells. During mitotic growth of *S. cerevisiae*, mitochondria are actively segregated into the forming bud along actin cables in a process that involves the small GTP binding protein Ypt11p, as well as the myosin motor Myo2p (3, 21). While mitochondrial segregation into spores also appears to be an active process, Ypt11p is not required. In vegetative cells, several other proteins appear to contribute to mitochondrial inheritance independently of YPT11 (2), so the absence of a role for YPT11 in sporulation does not eliminate the possibility that some of the vegetative machinery might function during sporulation. However, unlike budding cells, in which the polarized actin cables run from the mother cell into the bud, the few actin cables present during sporulation are not directed toward or into the prospore (53). Combined with our results, these observations suggest that actin-based movement is not the basis for mitochondrial inheritance following meiosis.

We report here that a sporulation-specific protein, Ady3p, is important for efficient segregation of the mitochondria into spores. Ady3p is a component of the leading-edge complex, which forms a ring structure at the tip of the prospore membrane. The position of the complex at the mouth of the prospore membrane places it at the junction of the mother (ascl) and daughter (spore) cell cytoplasms and therefore in a position to function in the delivery of cytoplasmic components into the spore. Previous studies have demonstrated a role for this structure in the proper formation of the prospore membrane and in closure of the membrane (27, 31). However, these functions require only the Ssp1p component of the complex. Thus, different subunits of the complex play distinct roles in spore morphogenesis. We found a strong correlation between the failure of prospores to inherit mitochondria and failure to elaborate spore walls. As sporulation occurs under conditions that require aerobic respiration, the prospores that lack mitochondria should be unable to generate ATP. It seems likely, therefore, that the mitochondrial-inheritance defect accounts for the spore wall phenotype of ady3 cells. However, an earlier study reported that certain *MDM* mutants generate mitochondrial spores with visible spore walls but which fail to germinate (9). It may be, therefore, that the mitochondrial defect in ady3 mutants is linked to, but not a direct cause of, the spore wall defect.

*ADY3* is important but not essential for distribution of mitochondria to prospores, indicating that alternative mechanisms of segregation must exist. In the absence of *ADY3*, septins appear to play a role in mitochondrial inheritance. Perhaps interaction with septin sheets helps to anchor the mitochondria inside the prospore membrane. However, even in cells lacking both Ady3p and septins ~20% of the spores still inherit mitochondria, suggesting that other means of segregation are present. One possibility is that the association of mitochondria with the nuclear envelope may be the underlying means of mitochondrial distribution.

In wild-type cells, mitochondria associate with the nuclear envelope and cluster near the spindle midzone in meiosis II, placing them near the mouth of the prospore membrane (28, 50). As meiosis II proceeds and nuclear lobes containing haploid chromosome sets are engulfed by prospore membranes, the mitochondria would also be encapsulated. However, as these lobes pinch off the nucleus to generate the four haploid nuclei, a remnant nuclearoid body that contains nucleolar material is formed which remains behind in the ascus (15, 30). Because much of the nucleus is left behind, association of the mitochondria with the nucleus may not be sufficient to ensure proper segregation. Moreover, there may be limited room for an individual mitochondrion to fit between the prospore membrane and the nuclear envelope. We propose that Ady3p helps to facilitate mitochondrial movement through this opening, thereby ensuring proper segregation. Consistent with the idea that mitochondrial entry into the prospore is limiting, even in wild-type cells, much of the mitochondrial mass is left behind in the ascus (4, 28).

Many organelles are regenerated within the spore. Similar to mitochondria, the vacuole and the cortical ER also rely on actin-mediated transport to promote inheritance during budding. In meiotic cells, however, the vacuole and the cortical ER are not actively segregated, like mitochondria, but are regenerated after prospore membrane closure. This has been reported previously for the vacuole (45), and we show here that about 10 hours is required for a vacuolar-membrane marker to appear in spores. The cortical ER is progressively lost from the cytoplasm as cells progress through meiosis and then reappears relatively rapidly after prospore membrane closure. The localization of the cortical-ER marker Rtn2-GFP suggests that the cortical ER membrane might be “pulled back” into the nuclear envelope during meiosis. The reasons for this behavior are not clear, but during meiosis II the nuclear envelope is greatly distorted by the movement of chromatin within it (30). It may be that the additional membrane is required to allow the nucleus to accommodate two anaphase spindles at once.

It should be noted that regeneration within the spore is not
limited to cytoplasmic organelles. The portion of the nucleus containing the nucleolus is left behind in the ascus after spore membrane closure (5, 15). The spore nuclei then rapidly regenerate visible nucleoli (15).

Finally, the segregation of the Golgi apparatus during budding has been proposed to be based on generation of a new Golgi apparatus from cortical-ER elements within the bud (43). We found that the Golgi apparatus was the first organelle to segregate into the forming spore and that this “segregation” correlates with the appearance of prospore membranes large enough to cover some of the nuclear envelope surface. This early segregation of the Golgi apparatus could be due to active transport of existing Golgi elements into the prospore membrane or to the trapping of Golgi apparatus newly generated from the nuclear envelope/ER between the nuclear envelope and the prospore membrane. Though we cannot distinguish between these two possibilities at present, in the second case, Golgi apparatus segregation would be based on de novo generation of the organelle during both budding and sporulation. During budding, the Golgi apparatus would be generated from the cortical ER, and during sporulation, directly from the nuclear envelope.

Taken as a whole, our data suggest that during sporulation the mitochondria and the nuclear envelope are actively segregated into prospores, whereas most, or all, other organelles are reformed from precursors within the spore. This stands in contrast to mitotic cells, in which efficient inheritance requires active transport of most organelles into the bud.

Developmental-stage-specific modes of organellar inheritance. If segregation of the nuclear envelope and mitochondria is sufficient to allow the regeneration of a full complement of cellular organelles, why do mitotically dividing cells maintain extensive systems for the active delivery and segregation of organelles? One likely explanation is that the rapid division of mitotically dividing cells may not leave time for organelles to regenerate. For instance, the reappearance of vacuolar signals within the spores takes ~8 h, whereas a mitotic cell cycle is only 90 min. Thus, delivery of fully formed and functioning organelles to the daughter may be necessary to maintain a rapid growth rate. Additionally, the fact that organelles are largely left behind during sporulation may be important for development of the ascus. In the case of the vacuole, the vacuolar proteases left behind in the asc cell cytoplasm are important for the subsequent collapse of the asc cell into a sheath around the spores (55).

This difference may also be due in part to the distinct challenges raised by sporulation. In contrast to the vectorial delivery of organelles to the daughter cell during budding, during spore formation the mother cell must evenly distribute organelles (or organelar precursors) to four distinct daughter cells simultaneously. If segregation is based to some extent on the stochastic entry of organelles into the developing spore, then the fewer organelles that must be delivered in this way, the more likely that every spore will receive all of the essential organelles.

In sum, the mechanisms of segregation of organelles to daughter cells vary at different stages of the sexual cycle. During sporulation, a distributive mechanism that relies on regeneration of many organelles from a few precursors is used. It may be that distributive delivery will also prove to be important in organellar segregation in other instances where multiple daughter cells are formed simultaneously, such as cellularization of the Drosophila blastoderm or the division of apicomplexan parasites in host cells (19, 24).
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