Magnonic quantum Hall effect and Wiedemann-Franz law
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We present a quantum Hall effect of magnons in two-dimensional clean insulating magnets at finite temperature. Through the Aharonov-Casher effect, a magnon moving in an electric field acquires a geometric phase and forms Landau levels in an electric field gradient of sawtooth form. At low temperatures, the lowest energy band being almost flat carries a Chern number associated with a Berry curvature. Appropriately defining the thermal conductance for bosons, we find that the magnon Hall conductances get quantized and show a universal thermomagnetic behavior, i.e., are independent of materials, and obey a Wiedemann-Franz law for magnon transport. We consider magnons with quadratic and linear (Dirac-like) dispersions. Finally, we show that our predictions are within experimental reach for ferromagnets and skyrmion lattices with current device and measurement techniques.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Magnons [1–3], the quantized version of spin waves, are low-energy collective excitations of coupled localized spins and play the role of an elemental magnetic carrier in a wide range of insulating magnets. Due to the intrinsic bosonic nature, magnons can form a macroscopic coherent state by quasiequilibrium condensation [4–8] and propagate [9] spin information over distances of several millimeters, much further than what is typically possible when using spin-polarized conduction electrons in metals. Such fascinating properties have attracted considerable interest in magnon spintronics, dubbed magnonics [10–13], aimed at utilizing magnons, instead of charge, as a carrier of information in units of the Bohr magneton $\mu_B$.

For this purpose, it is of fundamental interest to develop a better understanding of magnon transport in magnetic insulators [14–25]. Analogous to the Aharonov-Bohm [26–28] (AB) effect of charged particles in magnetic fields, a magnetic dipole moving in electric fields acquires a geometric phase called the Aharonov-Casher [29,30] (AC) phase. Because magnons have a magnetic dipole moment, this AC effect gives a handle to electrically control magnon transport [14,31]. Zhang et al. [32] have indeed experimentally observed the AC effect in magnon systems, and a method to electromagnetically control magnon transport in condensation [7], magnon Josephson effects, and persistent quantized magnon current, has been proposed recently [16] using the AC effect. As well as such electromagnetic aspects, also thermomagnetic control has been rapidly developed. Making use of the thermal Hall effect [33–36], Onose et al. [33] have experimentally realized the thermomagnetic control of magnon transport in pyrochlore structured magnets and recently, a universal thermomagnetic relation, the Wiedemann-Franz [37] (WF) law, for magnon transport has been theoretically established [15]; at temperatures sufficiently lower than the energy gap, provided by the Zeeman energy, the ratio between thermal and magnetic magnon-conductances becomes linear in temperature with a universal proportionality constant.

In this work, providing a topological description [38–40] of the classical magnon Hall effect induced by the AC phase, which was proposed in Ref. [14], we develop it further into a quantum Hall effect (QHE) of magnons and find a universal thermomagnetic behavior. The mathematical structure of magnons in the presence of an AC phase is identical to that of electrons with an AB phase, which allows us to use the topological formulations [38–40] of the QHE in terms of Chern numbers (i.e., topological invariant) and apply them to our case. Indeed, a QHE of spin currents [41] in Helium-3 has been proposed by Volovik and Yakovenko [42], and similarly in disordered [43] chiral spin liquids at zero temperature by Haldane and Arovas [44]. Here, we focus on a general clean insulating magnet at finite temperatures and propose a QHE of magnons in Landau quantization induced by strong electric field gradients or by an intrinsic spin texture such as two-dimensional skyrmion lattices [20]. We show that the ratio of the magnon and thermal quantum Hall conductance becomes universal and satisfies the Wiedemann-Franz law, the ratio of the magnon and thermal quantum Hall conductance becomes universal and satisfies the Wiedemann-Franz law, such as two-dimensional skyrmion lattices [20].

At sufficiently low temperatures, effects of magnon-magnon interactions and magnon phonons become [15,45] negligibly small. We then focus on such low temperatures throughout this paper and assume noninteracting [46] magnons.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the model system for magnons with a quadratic dispersion, applicable to a wide range of insulating magnetic lattices (i.e., crystals), and find the Landau quantization through the AC effects. In Sec. III, analyzing the resulting Hall conductances, we discuss the condition for the QHE that is characterized by a Chern number associated with the Berry curvature and derive the WF law in the quantum Hall system. In Sec. IV, we give some concrete estimates for experimental...
By using the Holstein-Primakoff [2,17] transformation, a system of noninteracting magnons: chargeless bosonic quasiparticles carrying a magnetic dipole moment can be induced by extrinsic electric field gradients or intrinsic spin orbit interactions, giving rise to quantized Hall conductances for magnon and thermal currents. The ratio of these Hall conductances satisfies a Wiedemann-Franz law at low temperatures.

candidate materials. Finally, we summarize and give some conclusions in Sec. V. Technical details are deferred to the Appendices.

II. LANDAU LEVELS

We consider a two-dimensional clean ferromagnet (see Fig. 1) embedded in the $xy$ plane in the presence of an electric field $E$ which couples to the magnetic dipole $g\mu_BE$ of the spins through the AC effect [31,32,47,48], where $g$ is the $g$ factor and $\mu_B$ the Bohr magneton. The magnet is described by an anisotropic Heisenberg spin Hamiltonian given by [14]

$$
\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+ e^{i\theta_{ij}} + S_i^+ S_j^- e^{-i\theta_{ij}}) + S_i^z S_j^z \right],
$$

where $J_{ij} > 0$ is the exchange interaction between the localized spins on the nearest neighboring sites $\langle ij \rangle$, $S_i^z = S_i^+ \pm i S_i^-$. Here, we allow for spatial anisotropy such that if the exchange bond between site $i$ and $j$ is along the $x$ direction, the exchange interaction is given by $J_x$, while along $y$ direction by $J_y$. The exchange interaction in spin space is assumed to be isotropic. Furthermore, $\theta_{ij} = (g\mu_B/hc) \int_0^\infty d\mathbf{r} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{e}_z)$ is the AC phase [31,32,47,48] which the magnetic dipole moment associated with the spin along $z$ acquires when it hops between neighboring sites. By using the Holstein-Primakoff [2,17] transformation, $S_i^\pm = \sqrt{2S_i} [1 - a_i^\dagger a_i/(2S)]^{1/2} a_i$, $S_i^z = S - a_i^\dagger a_i$, to lowest order since we assume large spins $S \gg 1$, Eq. (1) can be mapped onto a system of noninteracting [49] magnons: chargeless bosonic quasiparticles carrying a magnetic dipole moment $g\mu_B e$ along the $z$ direction. These magnons are described by annihilation (creation) operators $a_i^{\dagger}$ which satisfy bosonic commutation relations $[a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{i,j}$. Dropping irrelevant constants, we then get the hopping Hamiltonian form for the magnons,

$$
\mathcal{H}_m = - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} t_{ij} e^{i\phi_{ij}} (a_i a_j^\dagger) + \text{H.c.},
$$

where $t_{ij} = J_{ij} S$ is the hopping amplitude. Going over to the continuum limit, and in the isotropic limit $J_x = J_y = J$, Eq. (2) reduces to [14,30]

$$
\mathcal{H}_m = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \mathbf{p} + \frac{g\mu_B}{c} \mathbf{A}_m \right)^2,
$$

where $m$ is an effective mass of the magnons defined by $(2m)^{-1} = J S \alpha^2 / \hbar^2$, with $\alpha$ being the isotropic lattice constant, $\mathbf{p} = (p_x, p_y, 0)$ the momentum operator of the magnon in the plane, resulting from the quadratic dispersion of the magnons, and where we introduced an ‘electric’ vector potential at position $\mathbf{r} = (x, y, 0)$

$$
\mathbf{A}_m(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{c} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{e}_z
$$

for the magnons. The Hamiltonian Eq. (3) is seen to be formally identical to that of a charged particle moving in a magnetic vector potential, but where now the coupling constant is given by $g\mu_B$ instead of the charge $e$ and the electric vector potential $\mathbf{A}_m$. Assuming an electric field of the form $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = (E_x, E_y, E_z) = (\mathcal{E}x/2, y/2, 0)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a constant electric field gradient, this gives the analog of the symmetric gauge $\mathbf{A}_m(\mathbf{r}) = (\mathcal{E}c/2)(y/2, x/2, 0)$. The role of the perpendicular magnetic field in charged systems is played here by the field gradient $\mathcal{E}$, and such an electric field arises from an electric ‘skew-harmonic’ potential, see Fig. 2. This allows us to use the topological formulations [38,39] of the conventional QHE in terms of Chern numbers and to apply them directly to our case.

Using this analogy, the calculation indeed parallels the one for electrons (see Appendix A for details). The energy eigenvalues of $\mathcal{H}_m$ become the analog of Landau levels and
magnons perform cyclotron motion with frequency
\[ \omega_c = \frac{g \mu_B \mathcal{E}}{mc^2} \]  
and the electric length \( l_E \) being defined by
\[ l_E = \sqrt{\hbar/c^2 g \mu_B \mathcal{E}}, \]
which is analogous to the magnetic length \([50,51]\) in charged systems. Moreover, it has been established experimentally that magnons satisfy Snell’s law at interfaces \([52]\), in particular implying specular (elastic) reflection at the boundary to vacuum. Thus, we can expect that magnons form skipping orbits along the boundary like electrons \([53]\), giving rise to chiral edge states \([54–56]\) in the quantum Hall regime, see below and Fig. 3. When, in addition, a uniform magnetic field \( B_0 \) perpendicular to the \( xy \) plane is applied giving rise to a Zeeman energy for the spins, the Landau levels become \([57]\) with a linear dispersion.

The energy levels break up into uniformly spaced subbands. The resulting energy level spacing of such nonrelativisticlike magnons is uniform and does not depend on the principal quantum number \( n \) for the Landau level: \( E_n = E_{n-1} = \hbar \omega_c \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). See Appendix D for Dirac magnons \([58]\) with a linear dispersion.

One of the challenges in the above picture is that the electric field gradients \( \mathcal{E} \) needed for the formation of Landau levels must be very large to reach level spacing that are physically observable. This requirement of large fields can be substantially softened by allowing for a periodic extension of linear field gradients, see Fig. 2. In this case, the field gradient is still the same but needs to be generated only over a distance (period) that can be much smaller than the sample dimensions or even the electric length \( l_E \).

To investigate this we have performed exact numerical diagonalization of the tight-binding Hamiltonian given in Eq. (2), as we explain next. For this it is convenient to work with the analog of the Landau gauge, \( \mathbf{A}_m' = (\mathcal{E}/c) (0, x, 0) \), with corresponding Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}_m' = \mathbf{p}^2 + (g \mu_B / c) A'_m \). Indeed, since \( U^{-1}_g \mathcal{H}_m' U = \mathcal{H}_m \), with unitary transformation \( U_g = \exp(ig \mu_B \mathcal{E} y / 2 \hbar c^2) \), the energy spectrum is the same for both gauges. We use now this gauge and drop the prime. The Landau gauge has the advantage of being constant in the \( y \) direction and thus we can perform a Fourier transformation of \( \mathcal{H}_m \) in the variable \( y \) and introduce the momentum \( k_y \) such that \( \mathcal{H}_m = \sum_{k_y} \mathcal{H}_{k_y} \), with
\[ H_{k_y} = -t_s \sum_n (a_{k_y,n+1} a_{k_y,n} + \text{H.c.}) - 2 g \mu_B \mathcal{E} \cos(k_y a_x + \theta_0) a_{y,n+1} a_{y,n}, \]
where \( a_{k_y,n} \) annihilates a magnon with momentum \( k_y \) in the \( y \) direction at site \( n = x / a_x \) (along the \( x \) direction), and where \( \theta_0 \) is the lattice constant in the \( x(y) \) direction.

The phase accumulated by the magnon as it hops in the \( y \) direction by one lattice constant \( a_x \) is given by \( \theta_0 = n \theta_0 \), where \( \theta_0 \equiv (g \mu_B / h c^2) \mathcal{E} a_y a_x \). For \( \theta_0 = \pi \), this is the standard Hamiltonian describing the integer QHE on a lattice in the presence of a flux. Note that for the spectrum the quantum statistics does not matter and the same spectrum is obtained for bosons and fermions.

Next, we periodically extend \( \mathbf{A}_m' = (\mathcal{E}/c) (0, x, 0) \) in the \( x \) direction, i.e., \( \mathbf{A}_m' \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_m' = (\mathcal{E}/c) (0, x / R_y, 0) \), where \( R_y \) is the period and \( \{ \} \) means fractional part smaller than one. In the tight-binding model, it is convenient to choose \( R_y = q a_x \) with \( q \) being integer, which results in \( \theta_0 = n \theta_0 / q \). We want now to study the spectrum of the periodically extended \( \mathcal{H}_{k_y} \) (on a lattice strip oriented along the \( y \) direction and of finite width in the \( x \) direction) and see how it depends on the period \( R_y \). We obtain the spectrum by exact numerical diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (8) and show the results in Fig. 3 (see also Appendix B). For definiteness, we will focus on the spectrum around the lowest Landau level.

For large values of \( q \) such that \( l_E \ll q a_x \), we see from Fig. 3(a) that the bulk gap (between the first and second Landau level) is not flat, but still there is one chiral edge state propagating along the \( y \) direction at each edge of the strip. As \( q \) gets smaller, the bulk spectrum is modified, see Figs. 3(b)–3(d). For even smaller values of \( q \) such that \( l_E > q a_x \), the modification is very drastic in the sense that the bulk gap is closed for a fixed energy \([59]\) and the system becomes gapless; see Figs. 3(c) and 3(d). However, quite remarkably, for each given value of \( k_y \), there is still a gap in the spectrum, and, moreover, the chiral edge states still exist. Thus, if disorder is weak these edge modes will not couple to the bulk and the Hall conductance will still be dominated by these edge states, despite the fact that parts of the spectrum are gapless. This
feature is similar to Weyl semimetals. We refer to Appendix B for an anisotropic case \( J_x \neq J_y \). Finally, we mention that we also tested numerically the periodical field gradient for the symmetric gauge and, as expected by gauge invariance, found the same behavior as for the Landau gauge.

### III. HALL CONDUCTANCES FOR MAGNONS

#### A. Magnetic Hall conductance

In this section we discuss the Hall transport properties of magnons. We begin with by introducing some relevant properties of the magnon spectrum applicable to a wide range of insulating magnets [47,48] where \( \mathcal{H}_m \) (Eqs. (2) and (3)) plays the role of an effective Hamiltonian for magnons (we suppress now the \( z \) coordinate). On the lattice, magnons are subject to a periodic lattice potential \([38,39,60]\) with Bravais lattice vector \( \mathbf{R} = (a_x, a_y) \), and the total Hamiltonian is given by \( \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbf{r}) + U(\mathbf{r}) + g_\mu_B B_0 \). Following Refs. [38,39] we introduce the Bloch Hamiltonian with Bloch wave vector \( \mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y), \mathcal{H}_B \equiv e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}^\dagger} = [-i \nabla + i \mathbf{k} + g_\mu_B A_0(\mathbf{r})/e^2] / 2m + U(\mathbf{r}) + g_\mu_B B_0 \). The eigenfunction of the Schrödinger equation \( \mathcal{H}_B \psi_n(\mathbf{r}) = E_n \psi_n(\mathbf{r}) \) is given by \([20,38,39]\) the magnetic Bloch wave function \( u_n(\mathbf{r}) = e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \psi_n(\mathbf{r}) \), where \( \mathcal{H} \psi_n = E_n \psi_n \) and \( E_n \neq E_k \) when \( n \neq 1 \); the eigenvalue \( E_n \) depends \([38,39]\) on \( \mathbf{k} \) continuously.

We next focus on magnon Hall conductances. As in Refs. [14,42,44,61], a gradient along, say, the \( x \) direction, of a magnetic field \( B \) perpendicular to the \( xy \) plane (Fig. 1) acts \([15,62–65]\) as a driving force for magnons like an electric field for charged particles. Using this correspondence, we evaluate the magnon Hall conductance in the clean bulk limit generated by a weak constant magnetic field gradient \( \partial \mathbf{B} \ll \hbar \omega_c \) \([14]\) for magnons, providing effective chemical potentials for the magnons \([66]\). In the bulk, the anomalous velocity \([35,36,38,61]\) associated of a magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} \) perpendicular to the \( xy \) plane and by the finite magnetization. In such systems with broken time reversal symmetry, the Berry curvature generally becomes \([20,35,36,38]\) nonzero. Thus, the magnon Hall conductance is generally characterized by the product of the Berry curvature and the Bose-distribution function as shown in Eq. (9).

Finally, we provide the condition for QHEs characterized by a topological invariant, i.e., by a Chern number \([39,40]\) associated with the Berry curvature. It may be assumed that the energy level spacing \([\text{see Eq. (7)}]\) is characterized by \( |E_{n+1} - E_n| \approx \hbar \omega_c \). At low temperature \( k_B T < \hbar \omega_c \), only the lowest mode \( n = 0 \) becomes relevant in Eq. (9). We can then consider the case \([20,68]\) \( \max_{\mathbf{k}} |E_0(\mathbf{k})| < \min_{\mathbf{k}} |E_0(\mathbf{k})| \approx k_B T \) with \( \partial_k E_0 \neq 0 \), where the band width is much smaller than \( k_B T \) and the lowest energy band can be regarded as being almost flat in the Bloch wave-vector-space. For such an almost flat band, Eq. (9) becomes

\[
G^{\text{xx}} \approx \frac{(g_\mu_B)^2}{h} n_B(E_0^2) \cdot v_0, \tag{10}
\]

with \( v_0 = \int_{BZ} (d^2k/2\pi^2) \Omega \cdot \psi_0(\mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{Z} \), where \( E_0^2 \) represents the typical \([69]\) energy value for the almost flat band and \( v_0 \) is the Chern number \([47,48,70,71]\). Due to the single-valuedness of the wave function, it takes on integer values \([39]\), \( v_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \). Thus, in the almost flat band,

\[
(B \text{ band width}) \ll k_B T \ll \hbar \omega_c \text{ with } \partial_k E_0 \neq 0, \tag{11}
\]

the conductance at low temperature becomes characterized by the Chern number \( v_0 \). Equation (10) indicates that at such low temperatures the magnetic Hall conductance of magnons in the clean bulk could be regarded as being quantized in units of \([ (g_\mu_B)^2/h] n_B(E_0^2) \). This is analogous to the (integer) QHE \([39,40]\) of charged particles quantized in units of \( e^2/h \) where the Fermi-distribution function is replaced by the Heaviside step function at zero temperature. We emphasize that the Hall conductance for magnons, however, depends on temperature and on the typical energy value for the almost flat band via the Bose-distribution function \( n_B(E_0^2) \). This arises from the intrinsic bosonic nature of magnons and the fact that \( n_B(E_0^2) \) behaves fundamentally different from the Fermi distribution at low temperatures \([72]\); due to the Bose function in Eq. (10), the Hall conductance of noninteracting magnon vanishes at zero temperature. This result is fully consistent with the general conclusion given in Ref. [73] that there cannot be any transport signature of the QHE for noninteracting bosons. In other words, the magnonic QHE manifests itself with a finite Hall conductance only at finite temperatures, as described by Eq. (11).

We note a sum rule \([47,54]\) for Chern number and when the (lowest) band becomes completely flat \( \partial_k E_0 = 0 \), the Hall conductance can become zero since the Berry curvature itself \([35,36]\) vanishes. Such almost flat bands \([\text{Eq. (11)}]\), for instance, are realized \([20]\) in a skyrmion lattice induced by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya \([74–76]\) (DM) interaction which provides \([31,47,48]\) an effective AC phase \([77]\). In particular, the DM interaction produces \([20]\) a textured equilibrium state \([20]\) in a skyrmion lattice induced by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya \([74–76]\) (DM) interaction which produces \([20]\) a textured equilibrium state \([20]\) in a skyrmion lattice induced by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya \([74–76]\) (DM) interaction which produces \([20]\) a textured equilibrium state \([20]\) in a skyrmion lattice induced by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya \([74–76]\) (DM) interaction which produces \([20]\) a textured equilibrium state
$2\pi/\sqrt{3}R^2$ for a skyrmion of radius $R$ on top of a periodic contribution with zero average which induces an almost flat band in the magnon spectrum [20].

### B. Thermal Hall conductance

We apply the above results to the thermal Hall conductance [35,36]. Focusing on systems with boundaries, we consider a magnetic insulator in the absence of any externally applied magnetic field gradients (i.e., $\nabla B = 0$), while a thermal gradient along the $x$ direction $\partial_x T \neq 0$ is sustained by contacts to thermal baths of different temperatures, see Fig. 1. We work under the assumption that the spin along the $z$ direction is a good quantum number. Within linear response theory, magnon and heat current densities, $j$ and $\bar{j}$, respectively, are then generally characterized by the following $4 \times 4$ Onsager matrix,

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\langle j_x \rangle & \langle j_y \rangle & \langle j^Q_x \rangle & \langle j^Q_y \rangle \\
\langle j^Q_x \rangle & \langle j^Q_y \rangle & \langle j_x \rangle & \langle j_y \rangle \\
\langle j^Q_x \rangle & \langle j^Q_y \rangle & \langle j_x \rangle & \langle j_y \rangle \\
\langle j^Q_x \rangle & \langle j^Q_y \rangle & \langle j_x \rangle & \langle j_y \rangle \\
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
L_{11}^{xy} & L_{11}^{xx} & L_{12}^{xy} & L_{12}^{xx} \\
L_{11}^{xy} & L_{11}^{xx} & L_{12}^{xy} & L_{12}^{xx} \\
L_{12}^{xy} & L_{12}^{xx} & L_{22}^{xy} & L_{22}^{xx} \\
L_{12}^{xy} & L_{12}^{xx} & L_{22}^{xy} & L_{22}^{xx} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
-\partial_x B \\
-\partial_x B \\
-\partial_x T/T \\
-\partial_x T/T \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(12)

Here, the temperature and field gradients are all in general nonzero, containing contributions from external and internal sources, where the latter are generated in the stationary state by the Hall effect itself. Focusing on the quantum Hall regime with $|L_{ij}^{xy}| \ll |L_{ij}^{xx}|$ ($i, j = 1, 2$), the Hall current densities are given by the Hall coefficients $L_{ij}^{xy}$ in leading order. If the bands are fully gapped, then $L_{ij}^{xy} = 0$ exactly. For bands like in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) this is no longer the case, but as long as the spectral part around the edge states is gapped, the contribution to transport from the extended continuum states will lead to small corrections [78].

If the band is almost flat around the region of the spectrum containing the edge modes, $L_{ij}^{xy}$ are well approximated by the Chern number and given by Eq. (10). Applying then the condition of flat bands Eq. (11) also to the thermal transport coefficients obtained in Ref. [35], we readily obtain

$$
L_{ij}^{xy} = (k_BT)^2 (g\mu_B)^2 \sum n(B) \eta_{ni}(n_i(B)) \eta_{n_i}(n_i(B)) \langle 0| \beta_i \rangle / 3n,
$$

(13)

where $L_{ij}^{xy}$ is given by Eq. (10), $\eta = i + j - 2$, $\eta_{ni}(n_i(B)) = n_{ni}(n_i(B))$, $C_n(n_i(B)) = 1+n_i(B)$, $\log[1+n_i(B)]$, and $\log[1+[1+n_i(B)]\log[1+n_i(B)]]$, and $C_n(n_i(B)) = [1+n_i(B)]\log[1+[1+n_i(B)]\log[1+n_i(B)]]^2 - 2\log(1+n_i(B))$ with the polylogarithm function $\text{Li}_n(z) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty z^m/m^n$.

Focusing on the Hall transport along the $y$ direction, and assuming $|L_{ij}^{xy}|/|L_{ij}^{xx}| \ll 1$, the applied thermal gradient $\partial_x T$ induces a magnon Hall current $\langle j_y \rangle = -L_{12}^{xy} \partial_x T/T$, which leads to an accumulation of magnons at the boundaries and thereby builds up a nonuniform magnetization in the sample. This in turn generates an intrinsic magnetization gradient [15.62–65] along both directions, and that along the $x$ direction $\partial_x B^*$ produces a magnon counter Hall current along the $y$ direction. Then, the system reaches a new stationary state such that in- and out-flowing magnon currents along the $y$ direction balance each other, and there is no total magnon current in this new quasiequilibrium state, i.e., $\langle j_y \rangle = 0$. This is the case when

$$
\partial_x B^* = -\frac{L_{12}^{xy}}{L_{11}^{xx}} \frac{\partial_x T}{T}.
$$

(14)

The thermal Hall conductance $K^{xy}$, defined by $\langle j_y \rangle = -L_{12}^{xy} \partial_x T$, is measured under this condition. This is in complete analogy to thermal transport of electrons in metals [60]. Thus, putting Eq. (14) into Eq. (12), the thermal Hall conductance $K^{xy}$ expressed in terms of Onsager coefficients becomes

$$
K^{xy} = \left( L_{22}^{xy} - \frac{L_{21}^{xy} L_{12}^{xx}}{L_{11}^{xx}} \right)/T,
$$

(15)

where the off-diagonal elements arise from the magnon countercurrent. From this we obtain the thermomagnetic ratio $K^{xy}/G^{xy}$, characterizing magnon and heat Hall transport. This ratio is plotted in Fig. 4. At low temperatures (i.e., $E_0^*/k_BT \gg 1$), the ratio of the nondissipative transverse transport coefficients becomes linear in temperature,

$$
\frac{K^{xy}}{G^{xy}} = \left( \frac{k_B}{g\mu_B} \right)^2 T \left[ C_2 - \frac{C_1}{C_0} \right]^2 \geq \left( \frac{k_B}{g\mu_B} \right)^2 T,
$$

(16)

with a universal proportionality constant

$$
\mathcal{L} = \left( \frac{k_B}{g\mu_B} \right)^2 ,
$$

(17)

which we refer to as magnetic Lorenz number [15]. Instead of the charge $e$, $\mathcal{L}$ is characterized by $g\mu_B$ and it is independent of any geometry and material parameters [79] except for the $g$ factor. Thus, at low temperatures, the ratio of the magnonic quantum Hall conductances satisfies the WF law. Interestingly, the law holds in the same way for topologically nontrivial QHE systems in two dimensions as well as for three-dimensional ferromagnetic insulating junctions that are topologically trivial [15]. This is another manifestation of the universality of the WF law. This is one of the main results of this work. We note that magnon and heat currents are generally characterized by the $4 \times 4$ Onsager matrix.
coefficients in Eq. (15) drastically changes due to the longitudinal transport of $E$ to (a) and as a consequence the WF law cannot be recovered in such a classical Hall regime.

Remarkably, the WF law [80] holds in the same way for magnons, which are bosons, as for electrons [37] which are fermions. However, there is a crucial difference in the thermal conductances. For electron transport [60,81] the thermal conductance is periodic in space with a period smaller than the Fermi surface. However, it is obvious that such an approximation is not valid for magnons, which are characterized by the Bose distribution, and indeed breaks down, see Fig. 5. There we plot $\kappa^{xx} \equiv L^{xx}/T \equiv \langle k_B^2 T \rangle \cdot v_0$ as a function of inverse temperature, which shows that even at low temperatures there remains a sizable difference from the properly defined thermal Hall conductance $K^{xx}$ [Fig. 5(a)] since the off-diagonal coefficients in Eq. (15) are as large as the diagonal ones. Thus, the ratio $\kappa^{xx}/G^{xx}$ does not obey a WF law [82], see Fig. 5 (b').

IV. ESTIMATES FOR EXPERIMENTS

The magnonic Hall currents could be experimentally observed by Brillouin light scattering spectroscopy [4–6,32,83,84]. For an estimate, we assume the following parameter values, $\varepsilon = 1$ V/m$^2$, $J = 30$ meV, $S = 10$, $g = 2$, and $a = 1$ Å (10 Å). This provides the Landau gap $\hbar \omega_c = 0.01$ μeV (1 μeV) and $L = 0.7$ μm [Eqs. (5) and (6)]. Therefore, the magnonic QHE could be observed at $T \lesssim 0.1$ mK (10 mK) and the Chern number can be changed as a function of the electric field gradient. Note that at such low temperatures effects of magnon-magnon interactions and phonons become negligible [15,45]. These are rather low temperatures. A more realistic situation is obtained for skyrmion lattices [20]. As already mentioned above, in these systems the DM interaction produces [31,47,48] intrinsically a vector potential analogous to $A_m$ [see Eq. (3) and also refer to Sec. III A]; further, the low-energy magnetic excitations in the skyrmion lattice are magnons and the Hamiltonian indeed reduces to the same form of Eq. (3), giving an almost flat band [Eq. (11)]. For an estimate, we assume the following experimental parameter values [85–87], $J = 80$ meV, $a = 10$ Å, the radius of a skyrmion $R = 15$ nm, and the DM interaction $D = 0.7$ meV, which provides a Landau gap of 2.5 meV. Therefore, the magnonic QHE could be observed at $T \lesssim 25$ K and the Chern number could be varied [47,48] as a function of the DM interaction. The temperature, however, should be low enough to make spin-phonon and magnon-magnon contributions negligible [15,45].

We note that plateaus in the Hall current versus electric field gradient could be realized by injecting magnons into the system at an energy $E_{inj}$ inside the gap between subsequent Landau levels $E_n^*$. These magnons will then populate the chiral edge states on each edge and propagate along the edges, giving rise to a Hall current quantized in units of $v_0 (g \mu_B)^2/h$, with the Chern number $v_0$ corresponding to the number of edge states. For instance, $v_0 = 1$ when $E_0^* < E_{inj} < E_1^*$, while $v_0 = 0$ when $E_{inj} < E_0^*$. Bulk-edge correspondence ensures that as long as the chiral edge states exist, the quantization is robust against disorder effects [44,68]. Given these estimates, we conclude that the observation of magnonic QHEs and the WF law, while being challenging, seems within experimental reach [4–6,9,32,83–86,88].

V. SUMMARY

We have studied the Aharonov-Casher effect on topological magnon transport and proposed a magnonic quantum Hall effect in Landau quantization at finite temperature for quadratic and linear (Dirac-like) dispersion relations of magnons. Moving magnons in a skewed-harmonic electric potential, or alternatively in a skyrmion lattice induced by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction, give rise to Landau level quantization and the Hall conductances become characterized by the topological Chern number for almost flat bands. We showed that the quantum Hall features remain largely intact even if the effective flux (generated by an electric saw-tooth gradient) is periodic in space with a period smaller than the electric Hall length. We found that for temperatures lower than the Landau gap, the quantized Hall conductances obey an analog of the Wiedemann-Franz law where the ratio of heat to magnon conductance is linear in temperature and is universal, i.e., independent of geometry and material parameters of the system. It is well known that quantum-statistical properties of bosons and fermions are fundamentally different and quantum effects become dominant in particular in the low temperature regime. However, appropriately defining the thermal conductance of magnons with taking into account magnon countercurrents induced by magnetization gradients,
we discovered that transport in quantum Hall system exhibits the same linear-in-$T$ behavior as fermions. This is another demonstration of the universality of the Wiedemann-Franz law independent of particle statistics.
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APPENDIX A: MAGNONIC LANDAU LEVEL

In this Appendix, we provide some details of the straightforward calculation for the Landau level of nonrelativisticlike magnons for completeness. Using the analogy explained in the main text, the calculation becomes analogous to the one for electrons [50,51]. Introducing operators analogous to a covariant momentum $\Pi \equiv p + g \mu_B A_m/c$, which satisfy $[\Pi_x, \Pi_y] = i\hbar c/l^2_\perp$, the Hamiltonian (3) can be rewritten as $\mathcal{H}_m = (\Pi_x^2 + \Pi_y^2)/2m$. Next, introducing the operators $a \equiv l_\perp(\Pi_x + i\Pi_y)/\sqrt{2}\hbar$ and $a^\dagger \equiv l_\perp(\Pi_x - i\Pi_y)/\sqrt{2}\hbar$, which satisfy bosonic commutation relations, i.e., $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$ and the rest commutes, the Hamiltonian becomes $\mathcal{H}_m = \hbar \omega_c (a^\dagger a + 1/2)$. Indeed, introducing [51] the guiding-center coordinate by $X = x + l_\perp^2 \Pi_x/\hbar$ and $Y = y - l_\perp^2 \Pi_y/\hbar$, which satisfy $[X, Y] = -i\hbar^2 c/l^2_\perp$, the time evolution of the relative coordinate $\mathbf{R}_\perp = (R_x, R_y) \equiv (-l_\perp^2 \Pi_y/\hbar, l_\perp^2 \Pi_x/\hbar)$ becomes $d(R_x + iR_y)/dt = -i\omega_c (R_x + iR_y)$. Thus, magnons perform cyclotron motion and form Landau levels in the presence of electric field gradients.

APPENDIX B: MAGNON SPECTRUM

IN THE ANISOTROPIC CASE

In this Appendix, focusing on the anisotropic case $J_x \neq J_y$, we consider the spectrum around the lowest Landau level, see Fig. 6. For large values of $q$ such that $l_\perp \ll qa$, (see the main text), there is essentially no deviation from the standard case of a uniform gradient, see Fig. 6(a). The bulk gap (between the first and second Landau level) stays flat and there is one chiral edge state propagating along the $y$ direction at each edge of the strip. As $q$ gets smaller, the bulk spectrum is modified, see Fig. 6(b). In particular, the bulk gap is not flat anymore. For even smaller values of $q$, the modification is very drastic in the sense that the bulk gap is closed [52] and the system becomes gapless; see Figs. 6(c) and 6(d). However, very drastic in the sense that the bulk gap is closed [59] and there is still a gap in the spectrum and the chiral edge states still exist. In comparison to the isotropic case, the anisotropy keeps the Landau bands more flat. Thus, a periodically extended flux is best implemented for strongly anisotropic systems. Also, the sawtooth form of the periodic extension is important; for instance, for a triangular shape the QHE disappears completely.

![Fig. 6. Plots of the magnonic band structure, rescaled energy $E/J_\perp$, for an anisotropic case $J_x \neq J_y$ as a function of the rescaled wave vector $k_{\perp}/l_\perp$.](image)

APPENDIX C: MAGNON QUANTUM HALL CONDUCTANCE

In this Appendix, we provide details on the derivation of the magnon quantum Hall conductance. Our derivation follows that of Ref. [39], with the difference that our low-energy excitations are bosonic and given by magnons which we treat in Landau quantization (see also main text). The Kubo formula for the Hall conductance [38–40] reads

$$G_{x'y'} = -\frac{i\hbar^2}{L^2} \sum_k \sum_n n_n(E_{nk}) \times \sum_{m \neq n} \left[ \frac{\langle n_k | v_x | m_k \rangle \langle m_k | v_y | n_k \rangle}{(E_{nk} - E_{mk})^2} - \text{H.c.} \right],$$

where $L^2$ is the area of the system and $| n_{nk} \rangle \equiv | n_k \rangle$ for simplicity. Since we consider a clean (i.e., disorder-free) bulk, the system is characterized by $| n_k \rangle$. One can easily see the relation $G_{x'y'} = -G_{y'x'}$ from Eq. (C1). Using the Berry curvature defined by

$$\Omega_{n_x}(k) \equiv i \epsilon_{x'y'z} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle n_k | \partial_{k_y} | m_k \rangle \langle m_k | \partial_{k_x} | n_k \rangle}{(E_{nk} - E_{mk})^2},$$

we can express the quantum Hall conductance in terms of the Berry curvature.

We refer the reader to Ref. [39] for the details of the derivation.
with Levi-Civita symbol \( \epsilon_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}} \), Eq. (C1) can be rewritten as
\[
G^{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} = \frac{(g\mu_B)^2}{h} \int_{\text{BZ}} (d^2 k/2\pi)n_B(E_{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{k}})\Omega_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{k}). \quad (C3)
\]

At low temperature \( k_B T \ll \hbar \omega_c \), only the lowest Landau level \( n = 0 \) becomes relevant and the almost flat band gives the quantized Hall conductance (see main text), \( G^{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \approx \frac{(g\mu_B)^2}{2\pi e^2} n_B(E_{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{k}}) \cdot v_0 \). We thus reach the conclusion that in the clean bulk of two-dimensional insulating magnetic lattices, the magnon Hall conductance in Landau quantization becomes discrete in units of
\[
\frac{(g\mu_B)^2}{h} n_B(E_{\mathbf{n}}^0). \quad (C4)
\]

This distinguishes our result from a disordered chiral spin liquid in Ref. [44] where the existence of a gap is assumed; in such a disordered system, the Bloch wave vector is no longer a good quantum number since the translation symmetry is broken due to random impurities. However, the role can be instead played by the phase parameters for the boundary condition (phase twist), and Haldane and Arovas [44] indeed showed that even in that case, the magnon Hall conductance is still characterized by the Chern number; the bulk-edge correspondence ensures that as long as chiral edge magnon states exist, the quantization of the magnon Hall conductance is robust against disorder effects, which is consistent with Ref. [68] numerically demonstrating a disordered quantum Hall regime in systems with dipolar interactions.

Our result Eq. (C4) shows that the prefactor of the Chern number to describe the system due to the periodic lattice potential (see Refs. [38,39] for details); in analogy with the almost flat band characterized by the Landau level index \( n \), the Bose-distribution function \( n_B(E_{\mathbf{n}}^0) \) plays a crucial role in the magnonic QHE.

We note that in sharp contrast to the electric QHE, the magnetic Hall conductance of bulk magnons \( G^{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \) does not reduce to the form analogous to the Středa formula [89] (see Ref. [90] for details), since the driving force is not the magnetic field \( B \) but its gradient \( \partial_{\mathbf{B}} \); the Hall current density of bulk magnons can be written as \( j_{\mathbf{y}} = G_{\mathbf{y}} e_j \partial_j B \) with \( G_{\mathbf{y}} = G^{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \), and it becomes \( \partial_j \mathbf{y} = G_{\mathbf{y}} e_j \partial_j B = 0 \).

Lastly, we mention that the mathematical structure of the magnetic system characterized by the electric vector potential \( \mathbf{A}_m \) in the AC effect is identical to that of the electronic system [38,39] by the magnetic vector potential in the AB effect. Therefore even without the periodic electric vector potential, the Bloch wave vector still remains a good quantum number to describe the system due to the periodic lattice potential (see Refs. [38,39] for details); in analogy with the magnetic translation operators [91] as in Ref. [38], as long as the components of \( \mathbf{A}_m \) are linear in \( x \) and \( y \), the translation operators [39] for enlarged Bravais lattice vector, which commute with the Hamiltonian as well as with each other, can be defined in the electric field gradient. Then the resulting Bloch wave vector [20] remains a good quantum number to describe the state in clean systems. Thus the simultaneous eigenfunction is well characterized by the Landau level index and the Bloch wave vector in the corresponding BZ analogous to the magnetic [38,39,67] BZ for electronic systems.

**APPENDIX D: LANDAU LEVELS OF DIRAC MAGNONS**

In this Appendix, we consider a relativistic-like magnon with a linear dispersion, namely, *Dirac magnon* [58], and clarify the difference from the nonrelativistic-like magnon with the quadratic dispersion (see the main text) in terms of Landau quantization. Recently, Fransson *et al.* [58] have pointed out the possibility that a Dirac-like [92] magnon spectrum is generated on two-dimensional honeycomb lattices (see Ref. [58] for details). Such magnetic excitations with a linear dispersion are called Dirac magnons, and they are robust [58] against magnon-magnon interactions. Dirac magnons can emerge naturally from the bipartite lattice structure inherent to the honeycomb lattices.

### 1. Ferromagnetic Dirac magnon

Around the (so-called) \( K \) and \( K' \) points [58,92] on a ferromagnetic honeycomb spin lattice, ferromagnetic Dirac magnons in the presence of an AC phase are described [14,30,58,92] by the Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}_{\Delta(F)} \),
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\Delta(F)} = \psi_j \sigma \cdot (p + \frac{g\mu_B}{c} \mathbf{A}_m) + \delta, \quad (D1)
\]
where \( \delta = \text{diag} \{ \epsilon, \epsilon \} \). Here \( \epsilon = 3J S + g\mu_B B_0 \), Pauli matrices \( \sigma \), and \( \psi_j = 3aJ S/2\hbar \) is the velocity of Dirac magnons. The spin length on each sublattice is identical, and it is denoted by \( S \). Using the same procedure as in Appendix A, the Hamiltonian can be rewritten as
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\Delta(F)} = \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar \omega_c} \right) a | n \rangle | n \rangle + \left( \frac{\hbar \omega_c}{\epsilon} \right) a^\dagger | n \rangle | n \rangle \quad (D2a)
\]
\[
\omega_c^F \equiv \sqrt{2} \psi_j / E_c. \quad (D2b)
\]

The eigenstate is then given by
\[
| n \rangle \equiv \left( \begin{array}{c} \kappa_n \langle n \rangle \\ \lambda_n \langle n - 1 \rangle \end{array} \right) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad (D3a)
\]
\[
| 0 \rangle \equiv \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{for } n = 0, \quad (D3b)
\]
where \( a | n \rangle = \sqrt{n} | n - 1 \rangle \), \( a^\dagger | n \rangle = \sqrt{n+1} | n + 1 \rangle \), \( a | 0 \rangle = 0 \), and coefficients \( \kappa_n \) and \( \lambda_n \). Finally, the eigenvalue equation, \( \mathcal{H}_{\Delta(F)} | n \rangle = E_n^{\Delta(F)} | n \rangle \), provides the Landau level for ferromagnetic Dirac magnons
\[
E_n^{\Delta(F)} = \pm \hbar \omega_c \sqrt{n} + \epsilon \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (D4)
\]
This can be easily seen as follows [92]; the eigenvalue equation for \( n = 0 \), \( \mathcal{H}_{\Delta(F)} | 0 \rangle = E_0^{\Delta(F)} | 0 \rangle \), gives
\[
E_0^{\Delta(F)} = \epsilon. \quad (D5)
\]
The equation for \( n \geq 1 \), \( \mathcal{H}_{\Delta(F)} | n \rangle = E_n^{\Delta(F)} | n \rangle \), provides
\[
\left( a | n \rangle \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \epsilon \kappa_n + \hbar \omega_c \sqrt{n} \lambda_n - \epsilon \langle n \rangle \\ \hbar \omega_c \sqrt{n} \kappa_n + \epsilon \lambda_n \end{array} \right) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad (D6)
\]
TABLE I. Landau levels of various magnons. The energy level of nonrelativisticlike magnon is quantized in units of the Landau level index \( n \) and the energy level spacing becomes uniform, while that of relativisticlike magnons is quantized in units of \( \sqrt{n} \) and the energy level spacing depends on \( n \).

|                      | Nonrelativistic magnon | Ferromagnetic Dirac magnon | Antiferromagnetic Dirac magnon |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| **Dispersion**       | Quadratic               | Linear                      | Linear                         |
| **Hamiltonian**      | \( H_n = \frac{1}{2m}(p + \frac{g\mu B}{c} A_m)^2 \) | \( H_n^{(P)} = v_J \sigma \cdot (p + \frac{g\mu B}{c} A_m) + \hat{\varepsilon} \) | \( H_{n}^{(AF)} = \sqrt{2v_J \sigma \cdot (p + \frac{g\mu B}{c} A_m)} + g\mu B_0 \) |
| **Landau level**     | \( E_n = \hbar \omega_n(n + 1/2) \) | \( E_n^{(P)} = \pm \hbar \omega_n^{(P)} \sqrt{n} + \hat{\varepsilon} \) | \( E_n^{(AF)} = \pm \hbar \omega_n^{(AF)} \sqrt{n} + g\mu B_0 \) |
| **Frequency**        | \( \omega_n = \frac{2e\hbar}{m} \propto 1/k^2 \) | \( \omega_n^{(P)} = \sqrt{2v_J/e} \propto 1/l_x \) | \( \omega_n^{(AF)} = \sqrt{2v_J/e} \propto 1/l_x \) |
|                      | \( E_n - E_{n-1} = \hbar \omega_n \) | \( E_n^{(P)} - E_{n-1}^{(P)} = \hbar \omega_n^{(P)}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n-1}) \) | \( E_n^{(AF)} - E_{n-1}^{(AF)} = \hbar \omega_n^{(AF)}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n-1}) \) |

This gives

\[
\epsilon \omega_n + \hbar \omega_n^{(P)}(\sqrt{n} - 1) = E_n^{(P)} \kappa_n, \quad (D7a)
\]

\[
\hbar \omega_n^{(P)} \sqrt{n} \kappa_n + \epsilon \kappa_n = E_n^{(P)} \lambda_n, \quad (D7b)
\]

which can be rewritten as

\[
\left( \epsilon - E_n^{(P)} \right) \hbar \omega_n^{(P)} \sqrt{n} + \epsilon = E_n^{(P)} \lambda_n - \epsilon = 0. \quad (D8)
\]

The nontrivial solution reads, \( (\epsilon - E_n^{(P)})^2 - \hbar \omega_n^{(P)} = 0 \), which provides

\[
E_n^{(P)} = \pm \hbar \omega_n^{(P)} \sqrt{n} + \epsilon \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_+. \quad (D9)
\]

Finally, Eqs. (D5) and (D9) result in the Landau levels for ferromagnetic Dirac magnons [Eq. (D4)].

Like for the nonrelativisticlike magnon case, the energy eigenvalue becomes discrete (i.e., Landau level quantization). However, the energy level spacing of ferromagnetic Dirac magnons, relativisticlike magnons, is not uniform and does depend on the Landau level index \( n \),

\[
E_{n+}^{(P)} - E_{n-1}^{(P)} = \hbar \omega_n^{(P)}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n-1}) \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_+. \quad (D10)
\]

This results from the properties that the energy level for Dirac magnons is quantized in units of \( \sqrt{n} \) [see Eq. (D4)]. These stand in sharp contrast to the nonrelativistic magnons.

2. Antiferromagnetic Dirac magnon

The Landau level for Dirac magnons on antiferromagnetic honeycomb spin lattices can be derived in the same way. Around the (so-called) \( \Gamma \) point [58,92] on an antiferromagnetic honeycomb spin lattice, antiferromagnetic Dirac magnons in the presence of an AC phase are described [14,30,58,92] by the Hamiltonian \( H_{n}^{(AF)} \),

\[
H_{n}^{(AF)} = \sqrt{2v_J \sigma \cdot (p + \frac{g\mu B}{c} A_m)} + g\mu B_0. \quad (D11)
\]

The corresponence with the ferromagnetic Dirac magnon [Eq. (D1)] is straightforward. Replacing \( v_J \) by \( \sqrt{2v_J} \), and \( \epsilon \) by \( g\mu B_0 \), the Landau level for antiferromagnetic Dirac magnons is given by \( (n \in \mathbb{N}_0) \)

\[
E_n^{(AF)} = \pm \hbar \omega_n^{(AF)} \sqrt{n} + g\mu B_0, \quad (D12a)
\]

\[
\omega_n^{(AF)} = 2v_J/l_x. \quad (D12b)
\]

The frequency of antiferromagnetic Dirac magnons becomes larger by \( \sqrt{2} \) times than that of ferromagnetic ones \( \omega_n^{(AF)}/\omega_n^{(P)} = \sqrt{2} \). Like for ferromagnetic Dirac magnons, the energy level for antiferromagnetic Dirac magnons is quantized also in units of \( \sqrt{n} \), and the energy level spacing again depend on the Landau level index \( n \),

\[
E_{n+}^{(AF)} - E_{n-1}^{(AF)} = \hbar \omega_n^{(AF)}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n-1}) \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_+. \quad (D13)
\]

The results of Landau levels for nonrelativisticlike and relativisticlike magnons are summarized in Table I.

It is well known that Dirac fermions in graphene generate an unconventional [93,94] integer QHE due to a quantum anomaly of the lowest Landau level. Therefore, as an outlook, we mention that seeking a possibility for a fractional [95] magnonic QHE, it would be interesting to explore the QHE and the WF law of Dirac magnons in Landau quantization and investigate the difference from the ones of nonrelativisticlike magnons.
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