Light bosons and photospheric solutions to the solar abundance problem
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It is well known that current spectroscopic determinations of the chemical composition of the Sun are starkly at odds with the metallicity implied by helioseismology. We investigate whether the discrepancy may be due to conversion of photons to a new light boson in the solar photosphere. We examine the impact of particles with axion-like interactions with the photon on the inferred photospheric abundances, showing that resonant axion-photon conversion is not possible in the region of the solar atmosphere in which line-formation occurs. Although non-resonant conversion in the line-forming regions can in principle impact derived abundances, constraints from axion-photon conversion experiments rule out the couplings necessary for these effects to be detectable. We show that this extends to hidden photons and chameleons (which would exhibit similar phenomenological behaviour), ruling out known theories of new light bosons as photospheric solutions to the solar abundance problem.

I. INTRODUCTION

Agreement between predictions of solar interior models and helioseismological measurements has deteriorated in recent years, due to a downwards revision in standard solar abundances. Recent spectroscopic analyses [1–9] have indicated that the solar metallicity is about 20% lower than previously thought [10]. In particular, the current reference photospheric abundances [9] of the important elements C, N and O are smaller than the previous reference values [10] by 0.09, 0.09 and 0.14 dex, respectively. Standard solar models computed with the revised surface metal abundances show large discrepancies with the depth of the convection zone, the surface helium abundance and the sound speed profile inferred from helioseismology [11–16]. This has been variously referred to as ‘the solar model(ling) problem’ [17–19], ‘the solar oxygen crisis’ [20–22], or ‘the solar abundance problem’ [23, 24].

The most common approaches to the solar abundance problem to date have been to recheck the photospheric abundances [18–21, 25–27], or to modify solar models in an attempt to accommodate both the measured abundances and data from helioseismology. Efforts in the latter category have included enhanced diffusion [28], late-stage accretion of low-metallicity gas [23, 24, 28, 29], modifications of opacities [30–32], internal gravity waves [33, 34] and enhanced energy transport in the solar core due to gravitational capture and scattering of dark matter [35–37]. Despite nearly a decade of effort (and a few errant claims to the contrary), none of these approaches has proven successful in solving the problem.

Here we propose an alternative approach: examining effects of new physics on the measurement of solar photospheric abundances. Specifically, we investigate the impact on derived elemental abundances of mixing between photons and new light bosons, such as axions, in the line-forming regions of the photosphere.1

The 5770 K thermal spectrum of the Sun is formed around optical depth τ = 1, which defines the location of the solar ‘surface’. For a height z above the surface,

$$\tau_\lambda(z) \equiv \int_z^{\infty} \alpha_\lambda(z') dz'.$$

(1)

Here $\alpha_\lambda(z) \equiv \kappa_\lambda^c(z) \rho(z)$ is the linear attenuation coefficient at wavelength $\lambda$, where $\kappa_\lambda^c$ is the continuum opacity and $\rho$ is the local gas density. Solar abundances are inferred from absorption lines. These are formed as atomic or molecular bound-bound transitions increase the opacity in small wavelength ranges around the transitions. The higher opacity in these spectral lines means that the light at those wavelengths escapes from layers above the continuum-forming layers (the photosphere). As the gas temperature decreases with height, the line-centre photons escape from cooler layers than the continuum photons, giving rise to absorption lines.

The equivalent width of a line (the integrated area between the line and continuum) is a relative measure of line strength, and depends not only on the abundance of a given element, but also on the attenuation of the total

---

1 A more complicated but related scenario was considered in [38], where high-energy axions produced in the solar core would convert to photons in the photosphere and exert radiation pressure preferentially on heavier elements, driving them from the photosphere.
continuum as it passes through the line-forming region. For this reason, both line and continuum fluxes must be carefully calculated with radiative transfer simulations before elemental abundances can be inferred. If additional plasma effects in the photosphere enhance continuum photon attenuation via oscillation into light bosons such as axions, then the equivalent width of absorption lines used to calculate elemental abundances would be suppressed. By combining the axion conversion effect with earlier radiative transfer calculations, we will show how this could occur in principle, and assess whether this effect could arise from existing particle theories in such a way as to solve the solar abundance problem.

Historically, the axion field arose as a candidate solution to the strong CP problem of QCD. Although the term
\[ \tilde{\Theta}_3 G_{\mu \nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu \nu}, \]
is not forbidden in any way in the QCD Lagrangian, it seems to be absent from the standard model (SM) of particle physics. Here \( G^{\mu \nu} \) is the gluon field strength, and its dual is \( \tilde{G}^{\mu \nu} = \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} G_{\alpha \beta} \). The coupling constant \( \tilde{\Theta}_3 \) is experimentally consistent with zero, so some sort of dynamical method is required in order to force it to be small without fine-tuning. The Peccei-Quinn (PQ; [39]) mechanism introduces a broken \( U(1) \) symmetry that naturally yields this cancellation, with the added effect of producing a new light, propagating, pseudoscalar degree of freedom: the axion.

The proposed existence of the axion field has given rise to a rich variety of phenomenological predictions. These include axion production in the dense cores of stars ([40] and references therein), “light shining through wall” effects ([41], and many theories of axionic dark matter ([42] and references therein). Many of these effects are due to the effective coupling \( \mathcal{L}_{a \gamma \gamma} \) that must arise between the PQ axion field \( a \) and the photon gauge field strength \( F^{\mu \nu} \):
\[ \mathcal{L}_{a \gamma \gamma} = \frac{g_{a \gamma \gamma}}{4} a F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} = -g_{a \gamma} a E \cdot B \]
with coupling constant \( g_{a \gamma} \). It is this axion-photon-photon vertex that allows axions to convert into photons in the presence of electric \( (E) \) or magnetic \( (B) \) fields, and vice versa. The mass and coupling of a PQ axion must be very small (with \( m_a \propto 1/g_{a \gamma} \)), and currently remain (mostly) far below the threshold of experimental searches. A rough lower limit of \( 10^{-6} \text{ eV} \) can but put on the axion mass, in order to avoid overclosing the universe, and an upper limit of \( m_a \lesssim 10^{-3} \text{ eV} \) ensures that stellar evolution is not significantly modified [43].

The PQ mechanism in QCD is not the only possible origin of such a particle, however. Axion-like particles (ALPs) are a ubiquitous element in string theory compactifications [44, 45], in which the moduli describing the compact extra dimensions can appear to observers as internal \( U(1) \) gauge degrees of freedom. More generally, \( U(1) \) symmetries appear in many high-energy extensions of the SM, giving rise to exotic particles with interactions similar to the axion. Like axions, ALPs interact with photons via a term of the form Eq. 3. Unlike axions, they do not necessarily solve the strong CP problem, nor require such small couplings or \( m_a \propto 1/g_{a \gamma} \).

We begin by showing in Section II how photon-ALP oscillation can affect the linear attenuation coefficient – and hence the equivalent width of an absorption line – in the solar atmosphere at optical depths \( \tau < 1 \). We demonstrate in Section III that with a large enough coupling \( g_{a \gamma} \), a low-mass particle with a term like Eq. 3 could affect the solar atmosphere enough to modify absorption lines in an observable way. As we discuss in Section IV however, the coupling strength required to produce such an effect is much larger than the coupling allowed by current experimental bounds on standard ALPs. We go on to show that this rules out not only ALPs as photospheric solutions to the solar abundance problem, but also hidden photons and chameleons. We conclude in Section V that unless some other light boson with an axion-like or similar kinetic-mixing type coupling to the photon can be found that somehow evades the existing experimental constraints, the solar abundance problem cannot be solved by light bosons in the photosphere.

II. THEORY

A. Changing inferred abundances

We first consider the standard case, without ALPs. On the linear portion of the curve of growth, the equivalent width of an absorption line \( W_\lambda \) is approximately proportional to the local ratio of the line-centre \( (\kappa^\lambda) \) to continuum \( (\kappa^\lambda_c) \) opacities at the height of line formation [46]:
\[ W_\lambda \propto \frac{\kappa^\lambda_e}{\kappa^\lambda_c} \equiv \eta_\lambda. \]
The abundance-dependence of \( W_\lambda \) is encoded in \( \eta_\lambda \) by way of \( \kappa^\lambda \), which is itself proportional to the number of absorbers along the line of sight. The number of absorbers is given by the number of atoms with electrons in the lower level of the transition, which is some known (temperature- and density-dependent) fraction of the total abundance of the element in question, \( \epsilon \).

In the presence of ALPs, the local continuum opacity receives an additional effective contribution \( \kappa^\lambda_a \) from photon-ALP conversion. In this case
\[ W_\lambda \propto \frac{\kappa^\lambda_a}{\kappa^\lambda_a + \kappa^\lambda_c} = \frac{\eta_\lambda}{1 + \frac{\eta^a_\lambda}{\kappa^\lambda_a}}, \]
where we have defined the ‘axionic extinction ratio’ \( \eta^a_\lambda \equiv \kappa^\lambda_a/\kappa^\lambda_c \) in analogy with the line extinction ratio \( \eta_\lambda \). The abundance-dependence of Eq. 5 is identical to that of Eq. 4, i.e., linear in \( \epsilon \), with the same constant of proportionality. However, given that \( W_\lambda \) is known from observation, analysis using either Eq. 4 or Eq. 5 is constrained...
to return the same answer for $W_\lambda$. Denoting the abundance derived by ignoring ALPs (Eq. 4) as $\epsilon_{\text{std}}$, and that including the effects of ALPs (Eq. 5) as $\epsilon_{\text{corr}}$, we have

$$\frac{W_\lambda (\text{Eq. 4})}{W_\lambda (\text{Eq. 5})} = \frac{\epsilon_{\text{std}}}{\epsilon_{\text{corr}}/(1 + \eta_\lambda^2)} = 1. \quad (6)$$

The abundance correction to be applied to the standard result in order to obtain the ALP-corrected abundance is thus

$$\Delta \log \epsilon \equiv \log \epsilon_{\text{corr}} - \log \epsilon_{\text{std}} = \log(1 + \eta_\lambda^2). \quad (7)$$

With Eq. 7 in hand, the remaining task is then to calculate $\eta_\lambda^2$ in the line-forming regions of the photosphere.

**B. Photon-ALP conversion in the Solar atmosphere**

Regardless of the precise ALP model, the presence of a coupling term with the photon (Eq. 3) implies that under the right conditions, a thermal photon in the photosphere may oscillate into an ALP and vice-versa. This occurs in the presence of an external photon field: either a bulk magnetic field, or the oscillating fields of the stellar plasma. Only the former effect is relevant in the region of interest for line formation, as plasma field-induced transition rates (Eq. 9) turn out to be extremely small in the photosphere. In order to arrive at the quantity $\eta_\lambda^2$ we first compute the linear attenuation coefficient $\alpha_\lambda^a$, related to the ALP opacity by:

$$\alpha_\lambda^a \equiv \kappa_\lambda^a \rho. \quad (8)$$

1. Conversion in plasma fields

The transition from photon $\gamma$ to ALP $\alpha$ induced by the oscillating electric fields in a plasma occurs mainly from resonant Primakoff conversion. Neglecting recoils, the transition rate is given by [47, 48]:

$$\alpha_{\lambda,\text{pl}}^a = \frac{g_{a\gamma}^2 T \kappa_s^2}{32\pi} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_s^2}{4\omega^2}\right) \ln \left(1 + \frac{4\omega^2}{\kappa_s^2}\right) \quad (9)$$

where $\kappa_s$ is the screening scale in the Debye-Hückel approximation:

$$\kappa_s^2 = \frac{4\pi \alpha}{T} \left(n_e + \sum_{\text{nuclei}} Z_i^2 n_i \right). \quad (10)$$

$Z_i$ is the charge of the $i^{\text{th}}$ ion and the number densities $n_e$, $n_i$ of electrons and ions, respectively, are found by solving the equation of state. This effect is highly suppressed at the relatively low charge densities of the solar atmosphere, and contributes a negligible amount at optical depths of interest to us.

2. Conversion in a bulk magnetic field

Conversion in a bulk magnetic field can be much more significant than in the plasma field. The probability that a photon polarised in the direction of the $\mathbf{B}$ field will have converted to an ALP after travelling a distance $\delta z = z - z_0$ from height $z_0$ to height $z$ is [49, 50]:

$$P_0(\delta z) = 4 \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{osc}}}{\Delta_{\text{vac}}^2}\right)^2 \sin^2(\Delta_{\text{osc}} \delta z/2) \quad (11)$$

where:

$$\Delta_{\text{osc}}^2 = (\Delta_{||} - \Delta_a)^2 + 4\Delta_{a\gamma}^2, \quad (12)$$

$$\Delta_{||} = \Delta_{\text{vac}} + \Delta_{\text{gas}} + \Delta_{\text{pl}},$$

$$\Delta_a = -\frac{m_e^2}{2\omega},$$

$$\Delta_{a\gamma} = g_{a\gamma}|\mathbf{B}_T|/2,$$

$$\Delta_{\text{pl}} = -\frac{\omega_{a\gamma}}{2\omega}. \quad (13)$$

$\mathbf{B}_T$ is the component of the magnetic field transverse to the direction in which the photon propagates, $\omega = 2\pi c/\lambda$ is the frequency of the incoming photon, and the plasma frequency $\omega_{a\gamma} = 4\pi n_e m_e/e$ where $\alpha$ is the fines-structure or electromagnetic coupling constant. Due to the $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ form of the interaction (3), only the photons with polarisation parallel to the magnetic field are susceptible to conversion; this is the meaning of the || subscript. $\Delta_{\text{vac}} = \omega(n_{\text{vac}} - 1)$, where $n_{\text{vac}} \sim 1 + (\alpha B/4\pi B_c)$ is the “vacuum” contribution to the refractive index induced by the magnetic field. The critical field $B_c = m_e^2/e \sim 10^{12} \text{eV}^2 \sim 4 \times 10^9 \text{T}$ is much larger than the fields $B \sim 0.1 \text{T}$ that we consider in this work; $\Delta_{\text{vac}}$ is therefore negligible for all cases of interest here. Similarly, $\Delta_{\text{gas}} = \omega(n_{\text{gas}} - 1)$, where $n_{\text{gas}}$ is the contribution to the refractive index caused by neutral hydrogen gas. A relativistic calculation of the static polarizability of hydrogen [51] gives $\alpha_0(\text{H}) = 6.6679437 \times 10^{-25} \text{cm}^3$. The index of refraction is then:

$$n_{\text{gas}}^\alpha = \sqrt{1 + 4\pi n(\text{H})\alpha_0(\text{H})} \quad (13)$$

where $n(\text{H})$ is the number density of neutral hydrogen atoms. This is valid in the low densities of the solar atmosphere and as long as we are sufficiently far from the Lyman $\alpha$ line.

Eq. 11 describes a Lorentzian (Breit-Wigner) distribution in $\Delta_a \propto 1/\omega$ modulated by the $\sin^2$-term, with resonance at the plasma frequency and width $\Delta_{a\gamma} \propto B$. When $\Delta_{||} - \Delta_a$ goes to zero, photon conversion to ALPs is enhanced. We later show that for $m_a \sim 10^{-6} - 10^{-3} \text{eV}$, this has the potential to occur in the region of the solar atmosphere where line-formation occurs. The plasma frequency $\omega_{a\gamma}$ increases quickly with increasing optical depth. Given the $\omega_{a\gamma}^{-4}$ suppression of Eq. 11, this means that conversion is highly suppressed at depths below the
resonance depth $h_{\text{res}}$. There are thus three qualitatively different regions in the solar atmosphere: 1) Below $h_{\text{res}}$, conversion is suppressed by $\omega_{\text{pl}}^{-4}$, ensuring that very little conversion occurs at depth; 2) at $h_{\text{res}}$, resonance occurs: conversion is enhanced and $P_0 \rightarrow 1$ for a small region; and 3) above $h_{\text{res}}, P_0$ becomes approximately constant, providing moderate conversion rates. The existence of region 2) is contingent on the plasma effects being larger than the contribution from neutral gas; otherwise, $\Delta_{\text{pl}}$ and $-\Delta_a$ are always positive, prohibiting cancellation.

We point out that many references (e.g. [47, 50]) do not include $\Delta_{\text{gas}}$. This is because in situations such as hot stellar interiors or the interstellar medium, the ionisation fraction is so large that $\Delta_{\text{gas}}$ is always subdominant to $\Delta_{\text{pl}}$. Indeed, the intermediate temperatures encountered in the solar atmosphere make it one of the few places where both the gas refractive index and plasma frequency contribute to similar degrees. Fig. 1 illustrates these effects, showing that resonant conversion may only occur below $\log \tau \sim 0$ (i.e. at larger optical depths). The blue lines show the ALP mass at which resonant conversion may occur, for two different photon wavelengths.

We now compute the effect of photon-ALP conversion on the photon intensity, for two complementary magnetic field configurations, starting with the case where the size of the magnetic domains $s$ is larger than the scale height over which line formation occurs. After propagation over a distance $\delta z$, photon loss to photon-axion conversion gives the change in intensity [50]:

$$I_\gamma(z) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}P_0(\delta z)\right)I_\gamma(z_0). \tag{14}$$

This assumes that the change of the photon intensity due to radiative transfer is small over the distance $\delta z$ and does not feed back on the calculation of the conversion rate. The linear attenuation coefficient due to ALP conversion is then

$$-\frac{I'_\gamma(z)}{I_\gamma(z)} = \alpha^{\text{a}}_{x} = \frac{\Delta_{\text{osc}} \sin(\Delta_{\text{osc}} \delta z)}{\frac{\Delta_{\text{osc}}}{\Delta_{\text{osc}}} - 1 + \cos(\Delta_{\text{osc}} \delta z)}, \tag{15}$$

where the prime symbol denotes differentiation with respect to $z$. When computing the full radiation transfer, (15) must then be added to the linear attenuation due to opacity.

For a line with some mean optical depth of formation $\tau$, the range of heights over which $\alpha^{\text{a}}_{x}$ will contribute to the line profile is approximately given by the scale height $H_\kappa$, with which the continuum opacity changes by a factor of $e$,

$$H_\kappa \equiv \left(\frac{1}{\kappa^{\text{a}}_x} \frac{d}{dz} \kappa^{\text{a}}_x\right)^{-1}. \tag{16}$$

This simply states the fact that to a rough approximation, the width of a line’s contribution function is given by the opacity scale height. The relevant contribution of $\alpha^{\text{a}}_{x}$ to the continuum opacity for any line formed at depth $\tau$ can then be estimated by averaging $\alpha^{\text{a}}_{x}$ over $H_\kappa$

$$\langle \alpha^{\text{a}}_{x} \rangle = \frac{1}{H_\kappa} \int_{1}^{x(H_\kappa)} \frac{dx}{x} \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{osc}}}{\Delta_{\text{osc}}} - 1 + x\right), \tag{17}$$

where $x = \cos(\Delta_{\text{osc}} \delta z)$. Integrating gives

$$\langle \alpha^{\text{a}}_{x} \rangle = -\frac{1}{H_\kappa} \ln \left(1 - \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{osc}}}{\Delta_{\text{osc}}} \right)^2 [1 - \cos(\Delta_{\text{osc}} H_\kappa)]\right). \tag{18}$$

Away from resonance, $\Delta_{\text{osc}} \gg \Delta_{\text{a}}$, and the log may be Taylor-expanded about 1, giving

$$\langle \alpha^{\text{a}}_{x} \rangle = \frac{1}{H_\kappa} \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{a}}}{\Delta_{\text{osc}}} \right)^2 [1 - \cos(\Delta_{\text{osc}} H_\kappa)]. \tag{19}$$

Because we are interested in the resonance region as well, we will only make use of the full expression (Eq. 18).

If photons instead propagate through $n$ domains of length $s$ with equal magnetic field strength but random

\footnote{More correctly, the scale height of the line-to-continuous opacity ratio $\eta_{\lambda}$. As this scale height varies on a line-by-line basis, it is not especially useful for estimating effects on abundances as a function of formation height, in the transition-independent manner we do here.}
orientation (such that $\delta z = n s$), their intensity is reduced to [50]:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
I_{\alpha}(z) \\
I_{\alpha}(z)
\end{bmatrix} = 
\left[
\begin{array}{cc}
1 - \frac{1}{2}P_0 & P_0 \\
\frac{1}{2}P_0 & 1 - P_0
\end{array}
\right]^{n} 
\begin{bmatrix}
I_{\gamma}(z_0) \\
I_{\alpha}(z_0)
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

(20)

Here $I_{\alpha}$ refers to the intensity of the ALP field, and $P_0 = P_0(s)$. If the ALP population is initially zero – we return to the validation of this assumption below – the photon intensity becomes:

$$
I_{\gamma}(z) = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 2 + \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} P_0 \right)^n \right] I_{\gamma}(z_0).
$$

(21)

If the opacity scale height $H_\kappa$ (the propagation length of interest) is much larger than the magnetic domain size $s$, we can use the large $n$ limit of Eq. 21 [50]

$$
P_{\gamma \rightarrow \alpha}(\delta z) = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{3P_0(s)\delta z}{2s} \right) \right],
$$

(22)

$$
I_{\gamma}(z) = \left[ 1 - P_{\gamma \rightarrow \alpha}(\delta z) \right] I_{\gamma}(z_0).
$$

(23)

This is valid for a photon passing through a large number $\delta z/s$ of magnetic domains of random orientation, but with identical field amplitudes, $B$. This serves the same function as the averaging procedure (Eq. 17). Note that $P_0$ is again evaluated at $s$, the size of the magnetic domains. The linear attenuation coefficient is then

$$
\alpha_\lambda^0 = -\frac{I_{\gamma}(z)}{I_{\gamma}(z)} = \frac{P_0}{2s} \frac{3}{1 + 2\exp \left( \frac{2P_0(s)\delta z}{2s} \right)}.
$$

(24)

Away from the resonance region, terms that are higher-order in $P_0$ may once more be dropped, yielding:

$$
\alpha_\lambda^0 = \frac{P_0}{2s} + O(P_0^2)
$$

(25)

$$
\simeq \frac{1}{s} \left( \frac{\Delta \gamma}{\Delta_{osc}} \right)^2 \left[ 1 - \cos(\Delta_{osc}s) \right].
$$

(26)

We see that this is just Eq. 19, but with the scale height $H_\kappa$ replaced by the magnetic domain size $s$. For our calculations in Section III, we use the full expressions (Eqs. 18 and 24) rather than the small $P_0$ approximations.

A final comment should be made on the topic of ALP-photon reconversion. Because this effect is implicit in the definition of $P_0$ (Eq. 11), it is accounted for in the photon-loss expressions Eqs. 18 and 24. However, we have assumed that at every height the initial ALP population is zero, i.e. $I_{\alpha}(z_0) = 0$. Although it is not true in general that no axions will reach a given layer from any of the layers below, this is in fact a good approximation for our purposes. At height $z_0$, the “initial” ALP population is given to a good approximation by the conditions in the layer below, so $I_{\alpha}(z_0) = \frac{1}{2}P_0(z_0)$, $I_{\gamma}(z_0 - \delta z)$. Therefore, Eq. 20 dictates that the reconversion rate of the initial axions into “new” photons is

$$
I_{\gamma,\text{rec}}(z) = \frac{1}{2}P_0(z_0)I_{\gamma}(z_0 - \delta z),
$$

(27)

where $I_{\gamma,\text{rec}}$ is the intensity of reconverted photons only. As $P_0 \ll 1$ everywhere but the resonance region, this quantity should be smaller than the photon-loss rate as long as the scale height $H_\kappa$ (and therefore $\delta z$) is larger than the width $\delta h_{\text{res}}$ of the resonance region. In all cases of interest to us, $H_\kappa \simeq 100$ km, whereas $\delta h_{\text{res}} \lesssim 15$ km.

III. EFFECTS ON SOLAR ABUNDANCES

To determine the impact of photon-ALP conversion in the photosphere on solar abundances, we calculated the expected correction factor $\Delta \log \epsilon$ (Eq. 7) using the 3D hydrodynamic solar model atmosphere from [9]. We computed the ALP-induced opacity $\kappa_\lambda^0$ at wavelengths from 300 to 1000 nm, at each point in an $(x, y, z)$ grid with resolution $50 \times 50 \times 500$, sampled from the atmosphere simulation. Our extracted grid covers $6 \times 6$ Mm, in the horizontal directions, and optical depths in the range $-3 \leq \log \tau \leq 0.5$, in which the majority of line formation occurs and below which conversion is negligible. We did this for 90 snapshots from the simulation, corresponding to 45 minutes in solar time before averaging. All averages presented here are carried out over time and over the undulating surfaces of particular optical depths to give averages on the $\tau_{500}$-scale. We averaged the local values of $\kappa_\lambda^0$ over $(x, y, t)$ slices of common optical depth at 500 nm, to arrive at expected abundance corrections as a function of wavelength and formation height of arbitrary spectral lines. Spatial and temporal changes in line profiles due to convective motions occur over the length scales and lifetimes of convective granules, which are approximately 1 Mm and 10 min for the Sun [52, 53]. As these scales are significantly smaller than the extent of the simulation, our averaged values should accurately represent the expected effect of ALPs on the mean solar spectrum.

The continuum opacities include bound-free absorption by the first three ionisation stages of 15 of the most abundant elements, as computed by the Opacity Project (OP) and the Iron Project (IP) [54]. The absorption by the anions of H, He, C, N and O is also included, as well as that by the molecules H$_2$, H$_2^+$, H$_2^-$, OH, CH, H$_2$O and CO$^-$ and the pressure induced absorption of transient (H$+$H$^-$), (H$+$He$^-$), (H$^+$+H$^-$), (H$^+$+He$^+$) and (H$^+$+H$^+$)-pairs. A complete list with detailed references was presented in [55]. The opacity in the 380–1644 nm range is dominated by the bound-free absorption by H$^-$, by free-free absorption of H$^+$ and H$^+$ further into the infra-red and by bound-free absorption by H$^+$ and various metals further into the ultra-violet.

Depending on initial conditions and simulation details, magnetohydrodynamic models of the photosphere predict vertical magnetic field amplitudes in the quiet Sun up to 0.1 T, with domains ranging from a few hundred to 1000 km in diameter at line-forming heights [56–58]. This means that Eq. 18 is valid for all our calculations; if $s$ were equal to or smaller than the scale height $H_\kappa$, Eq. 26
FIG. 2. The effect of resonant photon-axion conversion on the effective line widths. Left: Abundance correction effect in the solar atmosphere due to particles with an axion-like coupling, as a function of optical depth $\tau$ and wavelength. Here $m_a = 10^{-4}$ eV and we have set $g_{a\gamma} = 0.1$ GeV$^{-1}$, to illustrate the effect, although the allowed value of $g_{a\gamma}$ by observational searches is much lower. Horizontal bars indicate the central 68% intervals of the line formation contribution functions for the 630.0 nm [O i] (green) and 872.7 nm [C i] (black) forbidden neutral atomic lines. The peaks are due to resonant-photon-ALP conversion near optical depth $\log(\tau) = 0$. Green and black dashed lines are the contribution functions $dP/d\log\tau$ to the 630.0 nm [O i] and 872.7 nm [C i] absorption lines, respectively. In both cases, $\int dP = 1$ with $\tau$ being the monochromatic optical depth at the central wavelength of the respective absorption line (rather than $\tau_{500}$).

FIG. 3. The effect of non-resonant photon-axion conversion, with a coupling large enough to solve the solar abundance problem. Same as Fig. 2, but with $g_{a\gamma} = 10$ GeV$^{-1}$ and $m_a = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ eV. Solid lines on right-hand figure are for $\lambda = 400$ (magenta), 630 nm (green) and 875 nm (black). The peaks are due to resonant-photon-ALP conversion near optical depth $\log(\tau) = 0$. Green and black dashed lines are the contribution functions $dP/d\log\tau$ to the 630.0 nm [O i] and 872.7 nm [C i] absorption lines, respectively. In both cases, $\int dP = 1$ with $\tau$ being the monochromatic optical depth at the central wavelength of the respective absorption line (rather than $\tau_{500}$).

would be needed instead. The horizontal component of the magnetic field can furthermore be 2 to 5 times larger than the vertical component $[59]$. We therefore take $B = 0.1$ T, but caution that some studies (including $[59]$) favour a more modest 0.001 to 0.01 T.

The impact of resonant photon-axion conversion on the effective line opacity is illustrated in Fig. 2 with, $m_a = 4 \times 10^{-5}$ eV and a very large value of $g_{a\gamma} = 0.1$ GeV$^{-1}$, giving resonant conversion at a mean optical depth of $\log(\tau) \sim 0.2$. We also show the contribution functions for the 630.0 nm [O i] and 872.7 nm [C i] forbidden lines (reproduced from 3D line formation calculations presented in $[25]$ and $[27]$, respectively), indicating the contributions from different atmospheric heights to the formation...
be directly probed: pointing an “axion helioscope” at the Sun gives strict limits on the reconversion of ALPs into X-rays [63]. The CERN Axion Solar Telescope (CAST) bound on Solar core ALP production and reconversion is $g_{a\gamma} < 9 \times 10^{-11}$ GeV$^{-1}$ for masses less than $10^{-2}$ eV.

2. Light shining through wall (LSW) experiments probe reconversion of ALPs produced from laser beams in an intense magnetic field. Current bounds are from the ALPS [64] and GammeV [65] experiments. Both shine a high-intensity 532 nm laser beam at a stopper in a transverse magnetic field, and set bounds based on the (non) observation of reconverted photons behind the stopper. For masses below 1 MeV, the ALPS bound is $g_{a\gamma} \lesssim 6 \times 10^{-8}$ GeV$^{-1}$ whereas the GammeV bound is $g_{a\gamma} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-7}$ GeV$^{-1}$.

3. Vacuum polarisation changes from ALP conversion of a linearly polarised infra-red laser beam in a 2.3 T magnetic field has been probed by the PVLAS experiment [66]. Vacuum dichroism occurs when some of the photons oscillate into ALPs, causing a loss of part of the beam with polarisation parallel to the magnetic field, and thus cause a small beam rotation. Conversely, birefringence occurs when ALP oscillation causes a phase lag of one of the polarisation components, causing an ellipticity to develop in the beam polarisation. The strongest bound to date from this effect has been provided by the dichroism limits of PVLAS: $g_{a\gamma} \lesssim 4 \times 10^{-7}$ GeV$^{-1}$ for ALP masses below $\sim 1$ meV.

These limits are much too strong to allow standard axions or ALPs to produce an observable effect in the solar atmosphere. Nonetheless, there are classes of models in which some of these bounds do not apply. It was pointed out by [67] that if the ALP is a composite object analogous to the neutral pion, then the photon-ALP conversion can be suppressed at high energies when one of the photons is virtual. This suppresses ALP production in the hot interiors of stars, alleviating constraint 1. If the ALPs are furthermore short-lived particles, for instance if they decay to a lighter particle that does not interact strongly with the standard model, then constraint 2 may also be overcome. In the latter case, the reconversion discussion in section III becomes irrelevant.

However, dichroism constraints from PVLAS cannot be brushed aside even for non-standard models of ALPs that can avoid constraints 1 and 2. Indeed, the photon-loss that would be observed in a dichroism experiment is the same as the effect that would be responsible for photon loss in the line-forming region of the solar atmosphere. If ALPs must respect the PVLAS upper limit on their coupling ($g_{a\gamma} \leq 10^{-7}$ GeV$^{-1}$), then the coupling required to produce an observable effect is suppressed by at least eight orders of magnitudes. We therefore conclude

---

3 The notation $M_{a\gamma} \equiv 1/g_{a\gamma}$ is often used in such discussions.
that current limits rule out axions and ALPs as progenitors of any observable effects on abundances derived from the solar photosphere.

### B. Chameleons and hidden photons

In addition to ALPs, there exist further models of particles which mix with the photon in an analogous manner to (3), and one could hope that such models may allow constraints to be evaded. We look at two specific models: chameleons, and hidden photons.

The chameleon, first proposed as a model of dark energy [68, 69], consists of a scalar field $\phi$ with an effective coupling to matter $V(\phi) \sim e^{\beta\phi/M_{Pl}}\rho$, where $\beta$ is a parameter of the theory, $M_{Pl}$ is the Planck mass and $\rho$ is the matter density. This gives rise to a particle [70–72] whose mass depends on the local density via $m_{\phi} \propto \rho$. Expanding the exponential coupling, the chameleon’s first-order coupling to the photon is:

$$L_{\phi\gamma} = \frac{\phi}{M_{\phi\gamma}} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu},$$

where $M_{\phi\gamma} = M_{Pl}/\beta$ is the mass scale of new physics giving rise to the chameleon field. The only relevant phenomenological difference with ALPs will be that chameleons couple to photon polarisation which is orthogonal, rather than parallel to, the bulk magnetic field. As we assume random polarisations here, this does not affect conversion in the solar atmosphere. The PVLAS experiment gives similar constraints on the chameleon mixing parameter as it does to the ALP case: $1/M_{\phi\gamma} < 10^{-6}$ GeV$^{-1}$[73].

The hidden photon (or paraphoton) paradigm [74–78] has its origins in an additional $U(1)$ gauge field, with field strength $F'$ which mixes very weakly with the standard model photon via a term

$$L_{\gamma'\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \chi F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu}.$$

A combination of constraints from the cosmic microwave background, Coulomb-interaction experiments and helioscopic observations from CAST constrain the mixing parameter $\chi \lesssim 10^{-7}$ [79].

Crucially, the form of the coupling to photons means that resonant conversion of photons to either chameleons or hidden photons occurs in the same qualitative way as conversion to ALPs:

$$P_{\gamma \rightarrow \phi_i} \propto \frac{1}{(m_{\phi_i}^2 - m_{\gamma,\text{eff}}^2)^2 + \text{const.}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

Resonant oscillation is then forbidden for either of these scenarios at optical depths $\log \tau \lesssim 0$, due to the dominance of $\Delta\Omega^{\text{eff}}$. Below such atmospheric heights, we find that the allowed parameter space of either theory does not permit oscillation. The hidden photon mixing parameter is roughly equivalent to $\chi \leftrightarrow g_{\phi\gamma} B\omega/m_{\phi}^2$, whereas the chameleon coupling can be read directly as $1/M_{\phi\gamma} \leftrightarrow g_{\phi\gamma}$. Required parameters in both cases fall far above the current exclusion bounds, guaranteeing that no observable conversion may take place in the solar atmosphere.\(^4\)

### V. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that for appropriate masses and couplings, particles with an axion-like interaction (Eq. 3) could in principle resolve the solar abundance problem, by inducing a slight increase in the effective continuum opacity at line-forming heights in the solar atmosphere. This in turn would reduce the computed equivalent widths of solar absorption lines for any given elemental abundance, and could bring inferred photospheric abundances into agreement with helioseismological results.

However, we found that the coupling necessary to obtain such a drastic change is ruled out by current experimental null results. We have shown that chameleons and hidden photons, which exhibit a similar phenomenological behaviour to the ALP, are also disfavoured as a photospheric solution to the solar abundance problem. We therefore conclude that new light bosons are not able to provide a photospheric solution to the solar abundance problem.
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\(^4\) This is true for the most optimistic case in which the density-
dependent mass of the chameleon field allows resonance around log $r = 0$. If this is not the case, resonance will of course not occur at all.
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