Shape-Memory Properties of 3D Printed PLA Structures †
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Abstract: Polylactic acid (PLA) belongs to the few thermoplastic polymers that are derived from renewable resources such as corn starch or sugar cane. PLA is often used in 3D printing by fused deposition modeling (FDM) since it is relatively easy to print, does not show warping, and can be printed without a closed building chamber. On the other hand, PLA has interesting mechanical properties which are influenced by the printing parameters and geometries. Here we present shape-memory properties of PLA cubes with different infill patterns and percentages. We investigate the material response under defined quasi-static load as well as the possibility to restore the original 3D printed shape. The quasi-static flexural properties are linked to the porosity and the infill structure of the samples under investigation, examined optically and by simulations. Our results underline the importance of designing the infill patterns carefully to develop samples with desired mechanical properties.
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1. Introduction

3D printing belongs to the emerging technologies of our time. Especially low-cost printers, mostly based on the fused deposition modeling (FDM) technique, are nowadays used by many people, from private people to the maker community to small companies [1]. The mechanical properties of the most often used polymers, such as polylactic acid (PLA) or acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS), and the surface waviness are often the factors limiting possible applications [2–4]. Several research groups thus aim at increasing the mechanical properties, e.g., by embedding nanoparticles, nanofibers, microfibers, chemical or heat treatment [5–7]. Alternatively, PLA or also flexible FDM polymers can be used to create sandwiches with other objects, e.g., with textile fabrics which can be used to increase the elastic modulus [8–10].

Another approach is suggested by some research groups who utilize a special property of PLA, its shape memory [11–13]. Senatov et al. belong to the first who investigated PLA blended with hydroxyapatite to FDM print porous scaffolds which could be strongly mechanically deformed, resulting in cracking of parts of the scaffolds, and afterwards brought back to the original shape in a self-healing process [12]. Liu et al. blended PLA with SiC and carbon and investigated recovery rate and recovery time of corresponding filaments and printed specimens. They found the first to be correlated with the thermal conductivity, while a higher amount of SiC resulted in higher recovery forces [13]. Wu et al. investigated the impact of diverse printing parameters on the recovery of FDM printed PLA samples and found the recovery temperature to be of high importance, with 70 °C showing optimum results [14].

While many of the aforementioned papers concentrate on blending PLA with different materials or filling the filament with nanoparticles to add a more rigid phase as
a backbone, here we use pure PLA and vary the infill pattern of the test samples instead. Similar to the first studies of Senatov et al. [11,12], we perform mechanically destructive tests and investigate the recovery potential. An extended version of this study is available in [15].

2. Experimental

A 3D printer I3 MK3 (Prusa Research A. S., Prague, Czech) with nozzle diameter 0.4 mm was used to print the specimens at a layer thickness of 0.15 mm and a first layer height of 0.2 mm. The nozzle temperature was set to 210 °C (215 °C for the first layer), and the bed temperature was 60 °C during the whole printing process. To examine the impact of the infill patterns alone, no contours were printed so that the whole specimen consisted of 5 compact layers on top and bottom each and pure infill between, with overall dimensions of 20 mm × 20 mm × 20 mm.

As infill patterns, “gyroid” and “3D honeycomb” were chosen, applying 10% or 15% infill density. The different specimens are depicted in Figure 1, each from the top with a cut above layer 50 as well as from the front. Depending on the infill pattern and density, the samples are referred to as G (gyroid) or H (honeycomb), i.e., H10 (Figure 1a), H15 (Figure 1b), G10 (Figure 1c), and G15 (Figure 1d).

![Figure 1. Front (left) and top view (right) on the samples under examination: (a) 3D honeycomb, 10% infill; (b) 3D honeycomb, 15% infill; (c) gyroid, 10% infill; (d) gyroid, 15% infill.](image-url)
Besides the clearly different wall orientations of the chosen infill structures, Figure 1 also shows that the gyroid structure (Figure 1c,d) has channels crossing the whole specimen, which is not the case for the honeycomb structure.

Investigations of the mechanical properties were performed in a Sauter TVM-N universal testing machine (Kern and Sohn GmbH, Balingen-Frommern, Germany), combining the single load pin of a 3-point bending test with an even counterpart (cf. Figure 2a). In this way, it was possible to test a local impact on the specimen, opposite to previous studies using two flat planes pressing the sample from opposite sides. Quasi-static load tests were stopped at a force of 1700 N or at a penetration depth of 10 mm, i.e., half the overall sample height. The tests were performed with a speed of 6 mm/min.

Recovery was accomplished after each test inside a water bath which was held at (60 ± 2) °C for 1 min, directly followed by the subsequent mechanical test. Depending on the visual inspection of the sample after recovery, 5 or 10 test cycles were carried out.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** Deformation and recovery processes: (a) Pressing sample G15 up to a maximum impact of 10 mm; (b–f) recovery process in warm water.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Generally, the testing process was performed as depicted in Figure 2, using sample G15 as an example. Firstly, the sample was deformed in the testing machine (Figure 2a). Directly afterwards, the deformed sample was inserted in warm water for recovery (Figure 2b–f).

This process, however, did not work infinitely long. In each testing cycle, the cracking of single connections which broke under the load was clearly recognizable, indicating that more and more of the sample was destroyed. As an example, Figure 3 depicts sample G15 after 10 deformation cycles and the subsequent—incomplete—recovery which leaves back several clearly visible destroyed bonds and deformations which cannot be restored anymore.
To investigate this behavior quantitatively, quasi-static load tests were performed. The results are depicted in Figure 4. Measurements were stopped after 5 (samples H10, G10) or 10 cycles (samples H15, G15), respectively, depending on the optical appearance, i.e., when clear breaks throughout nearly whole layers became visible.

Firstly, Figure 4 clearly shows that the samples with 10% infill need significantly lower loads for identical impacts than their counterparts with 15% infill, as expected. Besides, the 3D honeycomb samples show significantly higher loads at identical impact than the gyroid samples. The latter finding can be explained by the structures, depicted in Figure 1. Only the 3D honeycomb samples have vertical walls which can fully
counteract the applied forces, while the inner walls in the gyroid samples are always tilted, in this way being easier bendable without the necessity to break directly, enabling taking up a certain load by changing the tilting angles of the walls before the material finally breaks at weak points.

What is also visualized here is the fact that recovery is never complete. Under the conditions chosen here, i.e., recovery at \((60 \pm 2) \degree C\) without waiting times before the next test, the forces at identical impact decrease from one cycle to the next in nearly all cases. Deviations can be attributed to small shifts in the position of the sample between subsequent tests. Comparing with Wu et al. [14], next tests should be carried out at a higher temperature of min. 70 \degree C to enable possibly a higher amount of recovery.

Another important point must be stated, regarding the 3D honeycomb samples. As mentioned before, this structure does not have channels through which the water in the water bath can flow. This means, on the other hand, that heat transfer in these samples is incomplete, and the inner structures will recover less than the outer ones. Especially for the denser sample H15, this meant that after 10 test cycles, the imprint of the load pin was still visible after recovery, in this way clearly showing that recovery was incomplete.

These first tests of the special infill patterns show that the gyroid filled specimens have a better recovery behavior, but significantly lower load-bearing capacities than the 3D honeycomb structure. Next, further tests with recovery at higher temperatures and other structures with channels inside the infill, allowing warm water to penetrate into the whole sample, are necessary to optimize load-bearing and recovery properties of FDM printed PLA samples.

4. Conclusions

In a recent study, we investigated the recovery properties of porous PLA specimens due to the material’s shape memory properties. Opposite to previous studies, a 3-point bending load pin was used to apply a local load, and new infill patterns—3D honeycomb and gyroid—were tested. While the first structure showed a significantly higher load-bearing capacity, the latter had better recovery abilities.

In general, only a certain amount of recovery could be reached, which may be due to the relatively low recovery temperature of 60 \degree C, especially in the case of the 3D honeycomb specimens which did not show continuous channels through which the warm water could penetrate into the inner parts of the samples.

Future tests will concentrate on varying the recovery temperature and designing a new structure which combines the advantages of the recent ones.
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