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Magnetohydrodynamic turbulence affects both terrestrial and astrophysical plasmas. The properties of magnetized turbulence must be better understood to more accurately characterize these systems. This work presents ideal MHD simulations of the compressible Taylor-Green vortex under a range of initial sub-sonic Mach numbers and magnetic field strengths. We find that regardless of the initial field strength, the magnetic energy becomes dominant over the kinetic energy on all scales after at most several dynamical times. The spectral indices of the kinetic and magnetic energy spectra become shallower than $k^{-5/3}$ over time and generally fluctuate. Using a shell-to-shell energy transfer analysis framework, we find that the magnetic fields facilitate a significant amount of the energy flux and that the kinetic energy cascade is suppressed. Moreover, we observe non-local energy transfer from the large scale kinetic energy to intermediate and small scale magnetic energy via magnetic tension. We conclude that even in intermittently or singularly driven weakly magnetized systems, the dynamical effects of magnetic fields cannot be neglected.

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetized turbulence is present in many terrestrial and astrophysical plasmas. Turbulence in magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) has been studied extensively over recent decades, from experimental, theoretical, and numerical perspectives, as the field continues to work towards a full understanding of magnetized turbulent plasmas. However, much of the theoretical and numerical work focuses on continuously driven plasmas, where a continuous (although potentially stochastic) force adds energy to the plasma, resulting in stationary turbulence. In many natural systems, the turbulence can be intermittently driven by infrequently occurring events or initialized from the initial conditions. For example, in the circumgalactic medium (CGM), the hot diffuse gas surrounding galaxies, or in the intracluster medium (ICM), the plasma in galaxy cluster that accounts for the majority of baryonic mass, turbulence can be introduced by various mechanisms. These include mergers with other galaxies, brief increases in the birth rate of stars, temporary outflows from jets driven by gas accreting onto supermassive black holes, supernovae, and many more transient events [1,4]. In pulsed power plasmas such as in a z-pinch, the plasma is driven by a single initial event and then allowed to decay into turbulence as kinetic and magnetic energy in the plasma dissipate into heat [5,6]. Therefore, to bridge the gap between observed, intermittently driven turbulent systems and theories of stationary MHD turbulence, we can study the behavior of decaying magnetized turbulence in an idealized environment.

In decaying turbulence, the turbulent flow arises purely from the initial conditions in the absence of a continuous driving force that injects energy. Essentially, the driving force is a delta function forcing at the initialization of the flow. The absence of artificial, external forces can avoid some of the shortfalls of driven turbulence simulations. As an example of these shortfalls, previous studies have shown that seemingly unimportant driving parameters such as the autocorrelation time and normalization of the driving field can bias plasma properties in turbulence simulations, in some cases affecting the scaling of the energy spectra [7]. In addition, the artificial driving forces contaminate the driven scales, making studies of turbulent plasma properties on those scale difficult to interpret. Simulations of decaying turbulence with fixed initial conditions avoid these issues since there are no driving forces.

The Taylor-Green (TG) vortex provides a useful set of smooth initial conditions that devolve into a turbulent flow. It was first proposed by Taylor and Green [8] as an early mathematical exploration of the development of the turbulent cascade in a three dimensional hydrodynamic fluid. In the modern era, it is a canonical transition-to-turbulence problem also used for validation and verification of numerical schemes [9]. From a physics point of view, the TG vortex has been explored from numerous angles, including numerical simulations of inviscid and viscous incompressible hydrodynamics with an emphasis on the development of small scale structures through vortex stretching [10]. Multiple configurations for TG vortices with magnetic fields were proposed in Lee et al. [1] in order to study decaying turbulence in incompressible MHD. The new magnetic field configurations maintain all of the symmetries of the original hydrodynamic flow [11], and later works [12–14] used these symmetries to save computational resources and allow more highly resolved simulations of the vortex. These simulations produced differing $k^{-2}$, $k^{-5/3}$, and $k^{-3/2}$ spectra depending on the initial magnetic field, where the $k^{-2}$ spectra was speculated to be due to weak turbulence. Later work by Dallas and Alexakis [15,16] investigated the mechanism behind the different spectra. They concluded that the $k^{-2}$ spec-
tra produced by one configuration of the magnetic field was due to magnetic discontinuities in the plasma and not weak turbulence as previously thought. In Dallas and Alexakis [17], perturbations added to the initial conditions lead the symmetries of the TG vortex to break and the $k^{-2}$ spectra to dissipate to shallower $k^{-5/3}$ spectra. A similar problem using the hydrodynamic initial configuration of the TG vortex but with an Orszag-Tang magnetic field was studied in compressible resistive MHD by Vahala et al. [18], where a $k^{-5/3}$ energy spectra was found in their simulations.

All of these studies are concerned with incompressible turbulence, whereas many astrophysical systems (such as the interstellar, circumgalactic, intracluster, and intergalactic media) are comprised of compressible magnetized plasmas. To our knowledge, the formulation of the TG vortex from Lee et al. [11] remains unexplored in the compressible MHD regime. Moreover, there have been recent advances in analytical tools to study the transfer of energy between reservoirs in compressible MHD [19, 20]. Energy transfer analysis enables measurement of the flux of energies between length scales within and between the kinetic, magnetic, and thermal energies of the plasma. In a compressible ideal MHD plasma, energy can be redistributed within the kinetic and within the magnetic energy budget via advection and compression. Moreover, magnetic tension can facilitate energy transfer between kinetic and magnetic energies as vortical motion in the turbulent plasma contributes to magnetic fields and magnetic fields constrain the motion of the plasma. In turbulent flow, intra-budget energy transfers via advection and compression typically manifest from a larger scale to a smaller but similar scale (i.e., “down scale-local”), defining the turbulent cascade. Inter-budget energy transfer via, e.g., magnetic tension, complicates the picture of a turbulent cascade as it moves energy between reservoirs and potentially allows for non-local transfer of energy from large scales directly to much smaller scales. Given the transient nature of the TG vortex, we expect the energy transfers to change over time as, e.g., the ratio of kinetic to magnetic energy evolves over time or due to the development of increasingly small-scale structure. This is in contrast to stationary turbulence where the dynamics remain constant over time in a statistical sense.

For these reasons, we focus on a detailed study of the dynamics in the magnetized, compressible Taylor-Green vortex. Moreover, to explore magnetized decaying turbulence in different regimes we present nine simulations of the TG vortex probing all combinations of three different initial ratios of kinetic to magnetic energy (1, 10, and 100, corresponding to initial Alfvén Mach numbers of $M_A = (1, 3.2, 10)$) and three different initial fluid velocities (initial root mean squared, or RMS, sonic Mach numbers of $M_{s,0} = \{0.1, 0.2, 0.4\}$). Thus, we explore strongly and weakly magnetized, subsonic plasmas in which density perturbations are present but limited.

To summarize our results, we find that magnetic fields significantly influence the decaying turbulence in the plasma regardless of the initial field strength. In all cases, we find that at late times the magnetic dynamics dominate kinetic dynamics even if the initial magnetic energy is 100 times smaller than the kinetic energy. Moreover, the spectral indices of the kinetic and magnetic energies are not fixed in time but evolve from steep $\simeq k^{-2}$ spectra at earlier times to shallower $\simeq k^{-5/3}$ spectra at later times. Using the energy transfer analysis, we see that most energy transfer is dominated by magnetic field dynamics. This includes both energy flux from kinetic to magnetic energy via magnetic tension and the flux of energy within the magnetic energy budget via compression and advection. Overall, the kinetic energy cascade is effectively absent and the initial sonic Mach number ($M_{s,0}$) only weakly affects the observed dynamics.

We organize the paper as follows. In Section II we describe the simulation and analysis setup including numerical methods, detailed Taylor-Green vortex initial conditions, and the energy transfer analysis. In Section III we present results of the simulations (focusing on $M_{s,0} = 0.2$) such as the bulk properties of the plasma, the evolution of the energy spectra, and the transient behaviors seen through the energy transfer analysis as the turbulence develops. In Section IV we discuss our findings in the broader context of magnetized turbulence and astrophysical plasmas and conclude in Section V with a summary of our key findings. The online supplementary materials for this paper contain detailed plots of the results of all initial $M_{s,0}$.

II. METHOD

A. MHD Equations and Numerical Method

The equations for compressible ideal MHD plasma can be written as a hyperbolic system of conservation laws. In differential form the ideal MHD equations are

\[
\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0
\]

\[
\partial_t \rho u + \nabla \cdot (\rho u \otimes u - \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{B}) + \nabla (p + \mathbf{B}^2/2) = 0
\]

\[
\partial_t \mathbf{B} - \nabla \times (u \times \mathbf{B}) = 0
\]

\[
\partial_t E + \nabla \cdot [(E + p + \mathbf{B}^2/2) u - (\mathbf{B} \cdot v) \mathbf{B}] = 0
\]

where $\rho$ is the density, $u$ is the flow velocity, $\mathbf{B}$ is the magnetic field (that includes a factor of $1/\sqrt{\gamma}$), and $p$ is the thermal pressure. We close the system of equations with the equation of state for an adiabatic ideal gas where $p = \rho (\gamma - 1) e$ with the ratio of specific heats $\gamma$.

We use the open source K-Athena [21] astrophysical MHD code, which is a performance portable version of
B. Magnetized TG Vortex

The TG vortex was first proposed by Taylor and Green \[9\] as a mathematical exploration of the development of hydrodynamic turbulence in 3D. The initial flow was made to be periodic and symmetrical in order to accommodate simple approximations to a solution. There exist a number of different formulations. We follow the setup described in Wang \[9\] for the hydro variables and Lee et al. \[11\] for the initial magnetic field configuration.

The simplest hydrodynamic setup of a TG vortex begins with a periodic field of fluid velocity in the xy-plane. Lee et al. described in Wang \[9\] a number of different formulations. We follow the setup made to be periodic and symmetrical in order to accommodate simple approximations to a solution. There exist a number of different formulations. We follow the setup described in Wang \[9\] for the hydro variables and Lee et al. \[11\] for the initial magnetic field configuration.

The simplest hydrodynamic setup of a TG vortex begins with a periodic field of fluid velocity in the xy-plane and periodic pressure and density field with constant sound speed throughout the domain. Using a cubic periodic domain with side length \(2\pi L\), the initial fluid velocity is set to

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_x &= 2\mathcal{M}_{s,0}c_s \cos \frac{x}{L} \sin \frac{y}{L} \cos \frac{z}{L} \\
    u_y &= -2\mathcal{M}_{s,0}c_s \cos \frac{x}{L} \sin \frac{y}{L} \cos \frac{z}{L} \\
    u_z &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\mathcal{M}_{s,0}\) is the root mean square (RMS) of the initial Mach number and \(c_s\) is the initial sound speed. Note that in this formulation the initial flow velocity is confined to the xy-plane. The initial pressure and density are set to

\[
\begin{align*}
P &= P_0 + \frac{\rho_0 \mathcal{M}_{s,0}^2}{4} \left( \cos \frac{2x}{L} + \cos \frac{2y}{L} \left) \cos \frac{2z}{L} + 2 \right)
\end{align*}
\]

so that \(P\) and \(\rho\) are proportional to each other. This means that the initial sound speed

\[
c_s = \sqrt{\gamma P/\rho} = \sqrt{\gamma P_0/\rho_0}
\]

is constant throughout the initial conditions. For simplicity, we set \(P_0 = 1\) and \(\rho_0 = 1\). We assume the fluid is a monatomic ideal gas with an adiabatic index \(\gamma = 5/3\). The resulting total initial kinetic energy is

\[
E_{U,0} = 4\rho_0 \left(\mathcal{M}_{s,0}c_s\right)^2 (\pi L)^3.
\]

Magnetic fields were first added to the TG vortex in \[11\] with the express constraint of preserving the same symmetries of the hydrodynamic flow. Here, we follow the proposed insulating configuration so that currents are confined to \(\pi L\) boxes, e.g., the cube \([0, \pi L]^3\) forms an insulating box. The corresponding initial magnetic fields are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    B_x &= B_0 \cos \frac{x}{L} \sin \frac{y}{L} \sin \frac{z}{L} \\
    B_y &= B_0 \sin \frac{x}{L} \cos \frac{y}{L} \sin \frac{z}{L} \\
    B_z &= -2B_0 \sin \frac{x}{L} \sin \frac{y}{L} \cos \frac{z}{L}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(B_0\) is the initial magnetic field strength. The total initial magnetic energy is

\[
E_{B,0} = 3B_0^2 (\pi L)^3
\]

so that the initial ratio of kinetic to magnetic energy is

\[
\frac{E_{U,0}}{E_{B,0}} = \frac{4\rho_0 \left(\mathcal{M}_{s,0}c_s\right)^2}{3B_0^2}.
\]

Since the magnetic field is zero in some regions of the domain, the Alfvénic Mach number \(\mathcal{M}_A = u \sqrt{\beta}/B\) is also undefined in some regions. For this reason, we use a proxy based on the mean energies for the Alfvénic mach number

\[
\mathcal{M}_A := \sqrt{\langle E_U \rangle / \langle E_B \rangle}
\]

throughout the rest of the paper. We also adopt a similar proxy for the plasma \(\beta\) (ratio of thermal to magnetic pressure)

\[
\beta := \frac{2 \mathcal{M}_A^2}{\gamma \mathcal{M}_A^2}.
\]

In practice, we initialize the magnetic field using the magnetic vector potential \(\mathbf{A}\) with

\[
\begin{align*}
    A_x &= -B_0 \sin \left( \frac{x}{L} \right) \cos \left( \frac{y}{L} \right) \cos \left( \frac{z}{L} \right) \\
    A_y &= B_0 \cos \left( \frac{x}{L} \right) \sin \left( \frac{y}{L} \right) \cos \left( \frac{z}{L} \right) \\
    A_z &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

and \(\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}\), which guarantees \(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0\).

The hydrodynamic and magnetic initial conditions exhibit a number of symmetries that are maintained throughout the simulation. In each of the three dimensions there are two planes across which the fluid is antisymmetric. For our setup, these are planes through \(x = 0\) and \(x = \pi L\); planes through \(y = 0\) and \(y = \pi L\); and planes through \(z = 0\) and \(z = \pi L\). Additionally, the flow is rotationally symmetric through a rotation of \(\pi\) around the two axes \(x = z = \pi L/2\) and \(x = z = \pi L/2\) and rotationally symmetric through a rotation of \(\pi/2\) around the axis \(x = y = \pi L/2\). These symmetries are more thoroughly explored in \[11\].

We explore the transition to magnetized turbulence and the following decay in different regimes with our simulation suite of TG vortices and focus on two parameters: the initial RMS Mach number using \(\mathcal{M}_{s,0} = \ldots\)
\{0.1,0.2,0.4\} and the initial ratio of kinetic to magnetic energy using \(E_{\text{U,0}}/E_{\text{B,0}} = \{1,10,100\}\), or alternatively, the initial RMS Alfvénic Mach number \(\mathcal{M}_{A,0} = \{1,3.2,10\}\). We simulate all nine combinations of the three values of these two parameters. Throughout the rest of the text, we use \(\text{MaX}\) to refer to simulations with \(\mathcal{M}_{s,0} = X\) and \(\text{MaY}\) to refer to simulations with \(\mathcal{M}_{A,0} = Y\).

The initial magnetic field amplitude \(B_0\) is obtained from Equation (3) using given a specific value of \(\mathcal{M}_{s,0}\) and \(\mathcal{M}_{A,0}\). All simulations employ a cubic \([-0.5,0.5]^{3}\) domain with periodic boundaries, with \(L = \frac{1}{M}\) to be consistent with the definition of the initial condition that is presented above. We use a uniform Cartesian grid with 1,024\(^3\) cells. In order to evolve the simulations for sufficient time to allow a turbulent flow to form, we run each simulation for \(24\sqrt{\pi} / 5 \approx 6.2\) dynamical times, where we define the time \(T = \frac{\pi L}{\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{s,0} < \mathcal{A},s}}\) as the dynamical time.

### C. Energy Transfer Analysis

In order to probe the movement of energy between different energy reservoirs, we use the shell-to-shell energy transfer analysis from Grete et al. [20], which extends the framework presented in Alexakis et al. [25] to the compressible regime.

The total transfer of energy from some shell \(Q\) in energy reservoir \(X\) to some shell \(K\) in reservoir \(Y\) is denoted by

\[
T_{XY}(Q,K) \quad X,Y \in \{U,B\}
\]

where we use \(U\) and \(B\) to denote the kinetic and magnetic energy reservoirs, respectively.

In this work we focus on the energy transfer within the kinetic and magnetic energy reservoirs via advection and compression which are respectively

\[
T_{UU}(Q,K) = -\int w^K \cdot (u \cdot \nabla) w^Q dx
- \frac{1}{2} \int w^K \cdot w^Q \nabla \cdot u dx
\]

\[
T_{BB}(Q,K) = -\int B^K \cdot (u \cdot \nabla) B^Q dx
- \frac{1}{2} \int B^K \cdot B^Q \nabla \cdot u dx
\]

and the energy transferred from kinetic energy to magnetic energy via magnetic tension (and vice versa) given by

\[
T_{UBT}(Q,K) = \int B^K \cdot \nabla (v_A \otimes w^Q) dx
\]

\[
T_{BUT}(Q,K) = \int w^K \cdot (v_A \cdot \nabla) B^Q dx.
\]

Here we use the mass weighted velocity \(w = \sqrt{\rho} u\) so that the spectral energy density is positive definite [26], and \(v_A\) is the Alfvénic wave speed.

The velocity \(w^K\) and magnetic field \(B^K\) in a shell \(K\) (or \(Q\)) are obtained using a sharp spectral filter in Fourier space. The shell bounds are logarithmically spaced and given by 1 and \(2^{n/4} + 2\) for \(n \in \{\{-1, 0, 1, \ldots, 32\}\}\). Shells (uppercase, e.g., \(K\)) and wavenumbers (lowercase, e.g., \(k\)) obey a direct mapping, i.e., \(K = 24\) corresponds to the logarithmic shell that contains \(k = 24\), i.e., \(k \in \{22.6, 26.9\}\).

### III. RESULTS

In this section we present results of the Taylor-Green vortices we simulated, showing bulk properties of the fluid (Section III A), including the evolution of the different energy spectra. These results demonstrate that the kinetic, magnetic, and thermal energy reservoirs interact with each other in a manner that depends significantly on the initial strength of the magnetic field. The energy spectra evolves to a turbulent cascade over 1-2 dynamical times and then stays there for the remainder of the simulation. In Section III B we examine in detail the transfer of energy between different energy reservoirs, including the transient behaviors we observed in the simulations. We see robust transfer of energy at all scales within the kinetic and magnetic energy reservoirs when examined separately, as well as complex and time-varying non-local transfer of energy between the kinetic and magnetic energy reservoirs, including evidence for an intermittent inverse turbulent cascade. Since the initial Mach number had much less of an effect on the results compared to the initial ratio of kinetic to magnetic energy, we focus on results using only the three \(\text{Ma0.2}\) simulations as reference.

We provide more complete plots of all nine simulations spanning all Mach numbers in the online supplements.

Starting with a visual demonstration of the TG vortex, Figure 1 shows the sonic Mach number and magnetic pressure from the \(\text{Ma0.2-Ma10}\) simulation after 0.77 dynamical times and after 5.16 dynamical times in a slice in the \(xy\)-plane through the origin. Only one quadrant of the \(xy\)-plane is shown, as it exhibits symmetry across 4 quadrants in the \(xy\)-plane. From the slice plot, we can see that the TG vortex begins as a smooth vortical flow and magnetic field. After several dynamical times, the smooth flow devolves into a chaotic magnetized turbulent flow. Kinetic and magnetic structures at all scales persist throughout the simulation, as will be shown in energy spectra later in this work.

#### A. Bulk Properties

1. **Evolution of energy reservoirs**

Figure 2 shows the total kinetic, magnetic, and thermal energies and the dimensionless RMS sonic Mach number \(\mathcal{M}_s\), Alfvénic Mach number \(\mathcal{M}_A\), and plasma beta \(\beta\) of the \(\text{Ma0.2}\) simulations as a function of time. In this figure, we see that in all simulations kinetic and magnetic energy convert into thermal energy over time. This
observed to occur at a minimum of requires at least one dynamical time to begin (i.e., it is decay into thermal energy is not immediate; rather, it requires at least one dynamical time to begin (i.e., it is observed to occur at a minimum of $t = 1T$ in all simulations). In the $\text{Ma1}$ simulations, due to the initial conditions there is even a small transient transfer of thermal energy into kinetic and magnetic energies. After $t = 2T$, all simulations dissipate kinetic and magnetic energy into thermal energy. The sonic Mach number generally decreases by less than a factor of 4 over time from its initial 0.2 value, and $\beta$ remains high (from $\gtrsim 20$ for $\text{Ms0.2, Ma1}$ to $\gtrsim 100$ for $\text{Ms0.2, Ma10}$) throughout the simulations.

In all cases, the flow becomes dominated by magnetic energy (i.e., become sub-Alfvénic with $M_A < 1$) at different dynamical times depending on the initial ratio of kinetic to magnetic energy and mostly transfer of thermal energy into kinetic and magnetic energies. After $t = 2T$, all simulations dissipate kinetic and magnetic energy into thermal energy. The sonic Mach number generally decreases by less than a factor of 4 over time from its initial 0.2 value, and $\beta$ remains high (from $\gtrsim 20$ for $\text{Ms0.2, Ma1}$ to $\gtrsim 100$ for $\text{Ms0.2, Ma10}$) throughout the simulations.

The $\text{Ms0.2, Ma1}$ simulation displays notably different behavior than those where the kinetic energy initially dominates. In particular, we observe periodic exchanges of energy between these two reservoirs before the bulk of the energy is converted into heat, rather than a smooth transfer of energy from the kinetic to magnetic reservoir, followed by a decline of both as the flow thermalizes. At approximately $t = 1T$, more than five times as much energy is stored in the magnetic reservoir as compared to the kinetic reservoir, which is in stark contrast with other calculations. These results suggest that the large initial magnetic field facilitates a more rapid transfer of kinetic energy, which will be examined in more detail later in this paper. The oscillations observed in the energy reservoirs for the $\text{Ma1}$ simulations have a period that depends on the initial Mach number, which can be seen in the figures that we leave for the online supplements.

2. Energy Spectra

Figure 2 shows the temporal evolution of the kinetic and magnetic energy spectra of the three $\text{Ms0.2}$ simulations, compensated by $k^{4/3}$, which demonstrates how both the kinetic and magnetic energy spectra change from the smooth initial large scale flow to fully developed turbulence. The top row shows the three simulations earlier in the evolution ($t = 0.77T$), when the spectra are still steep with large scale structure from the initial conditions. In the case of the strongest initial magnetization ($\text{Ma1}$), the magnetic energy is larger than the kinetic energy on all scales and their spectral scaling is comparable. For $\text{Ma3.2}$ and $\text{Ma10}$ the kinetic energy spectrum is steeper than the magnetic one. The spectra cross at $k \simeq 7$ and $k \simeq 20$, respectively, so that the kinetic energy is still dominant on large scales. The middle row in Figure 2 shows intermediate times with $\text{Ms0.2, Ma1}$ at $t = 1.29T$, which is the time that is discussed in Section III B 2 and $\text{Ms0.2, Ma3.2}$ and $\text{Ms0.2, Ma10}$ simulations at $t = 1.81T$, which is the time is discussed in Section III B 1. Note that the spectra are still evolving at this intermediate stage. In the $\text{Ms0.2, Ma10}$ simulation at $t = 1.81T$, the magnetic spectra has reached a $k^{-4/3}$ spectrum while the kinetic spectra shows a broken power law with excess energy at larger length scales. In both $\text{Ma1}$ and $\text{Ma3.2}$ the magnetic energy is now dominant on effectively all scales (with the exception of the noisy part of the spectrum at the largest scales, $k \lesssim 4$). The bottom row shows all three $\text{Ms0.2}$ simulations at $t = 5.16T$. Here, the magnetic energy is effectively dominant on all scales in all simulations and the kinetic and magnetic spectra exhibit a scaling close to $k^{-4/3}$. The spectral indices still...
In Section III A 3, we explore the spectral properties of the kinetic and magnetic energy at specific wavenumbers and compensated by $k^{4/3}$ plotted over time. At early times (before $t = 2T$) the large scale ($k = 8$) kinetic energy shows the fastest growth rate compared to smaller scales as expected from an initial entirely large scale configuration. The kinetic energy at $k = 8$ peaks between $t = 1T$ and $t = 2T$ with larger initial magnetic field leading to an earlier peak. The magnetic energy at $k = 8$ in the Ms0.2 Ma1 simulation oscillates throughout the duration of the simulation, with the kinetic energy oscillating once. No oscillatory behavior is observed in Ms0.2 Ma3.2 and Ms0.2 Ma10 for these quantities. From this plot we can also see that the small scale ($k = 128$) energies saturate at $t \approx 1T$, $t \approx 1.5T$, and $t \approx 2.5$, respectively.

### 3. Spectral Index

We measured the spectral indices of the kinetic and magnetic energy spectra $\alpha$ by fitting a power-law $E \propto k^\alpha$ to the energy spectra of each reservoir at each time step. For the inertial range of wavenumbers across which we fit the power-law to the spectra, we used wavenumbers $k = 10$ to $k = 32$. We chose this inertial range because very little large scale structure persists below $k = 10$ and wavenumbers above $k = 32$ are not entirely free of numerical dissipation any more. The kinetic and magnetic spectral indices measured across the inertial range are not fixed in time across the different simulations, with the most variation being due to initial magnetic energy. Figure 5 shows the spectral indices of the kinetic, magnetic, and sum of kinetic and magnetic energy spectra over time for the Ms0.2 simulations. In all simulations, the spectral index evolves over time, continuously decaying from the initial steep spectral index ($\alpha \lesssim -2$) as energy is transferred to small scales. The kinetic and magnetic spectral indices evolve separately in the calculations until the magnetic energy exceeds the kinetic energy, after which the spectral indices of the separate and combined reservoirs fluctuate within $\Delta \alpha \approx 0.2$. The crossover of kinetic and magnetic energies happens immediately in the Ms0.2 Ma1 simulation, early in the Ms0.2 Ma3.2 simulation before $t = 2T$, and later in the Ms0.2 Ma10 simulation at $t \approx 4T$. After the kinetic and magnetic spectral indices reach rough parity and the magnetic field becomes dominant, both spectral indices reach comparable values.
FIG. 3. Kinetic energy spectra (in solid blue) and magnetic energy spectra (in solid orange) compensated by $k^{4/3}$, with black dashed lines showing the power law fit to the spectra to obtain a spectral index. In the left column we show the $\text{Ma}_{0.2}\_\text{Ma}_{1}$ simulation, in the middle column we show the $\text{Ma}_{0.2}\_\text{Ma}_{3.2}$ simulation, and in the right column we show the $\text{Ma}_{0.2}\_\text{Ma}_{10}$ simulation. In the top row we show all simulations at $t = 0.77T$, in the middle row we show the three simulations at different times ($t = 1.29$, $t = 1.81T$, $t = 1.81T$) when the simulations are displaying interesting behavior discussed in sections III B 2 and III B 1, and in the bottom row we show all simulations at $t = 5.12T$ when the initial flow has completely decayed into turbulence and both energy spectra fluctuate around a $k^{-4/3}$ spectrum.
and reach a rough constant $1 - 2$ dynamical times later, although they continue to vary over time. Since the magnetic fields in the Ma1 simulations immediately become dominant, the spectral indices reach a rough constant at $t \approx 2T$, while in the Ma3.2 simulations they reach a rough constant at $t \approx 4T$ and in the Ma10 simulations this happens at $t \approx 5T$. Note that in the Ma10 case, the magnetic spectrum flattens and the spectral index reaches a roughly constant value much sooner than in the other two cases, at $t \approx 2T$ when the kinetic energy still dominates. Later on in the Ma10 simulations, the kinetic spectral index becomes comparable to the magnetic spectral index. For the high initial magnetic field simulations, the spectral index levels out at about $\alpha \simeq -5/3$ while the initially kinetically dominated simulations level out at $\alpha \simeq -4/3$.

The final spectral indices depend on the initial ratio of kinetic to magnetic energy, with more magnetic energy leading to shallower magnetic spectra. The Ma1 simulations end with $\alpha = -1.7 \pm 0.2$ (close to $-5/3$), Ma3.2 ends with $\alpha = -1.3 \pm 0.2$ (close to $-4/3$), and Ma10 ends with slightly lower values of $\alpha = -1.2 \pm 0.2$. In the presence of the stronger magnetic fields in the Ma1 simulations, the flattening of the spectra seems to be suppressed. Before the kinetic and magnetic spectral indices become comparable in each simulation, there is also greater variance in the spectral slope when measured using different inertial ranges. This indicates that a power-law might be a poor fit for the spectra at those early times, showing that the spectra is not fully developed until the magnetic energy is dominant. For example, as seen in Figure 4, the kinetic energy spectra appears as a broken power law at intermediate times, which is especially evident in the Ma10 simulation at $t = 1.81T$ to a lesser extent the Ma3.2 simulation at $t = 1.29T$ and the Ma3.2 simulation at $t = 1.81T$. Oscillations in the spectral index of the Ma1 simulations also appear, whose period seems to be linked to the initial Mach number, with larger Mach numbers leading to a smaller period of oscillation.
FIG. 5. Evolution of the spectral indices of the kinetic (blue), magnetic (orange), and sum of kinetic and magnetic energy (green) spectra over time for the Ms0.2 simulations. The slope is computed from a least squares fit of the energy spectra limited to wavenumbers $k \in [10, 32]$ which is approximately the inertial range. Shaded bands show how the fitted slope differs if a range $k \in [8, 34]$, $k \in [10, 32]$, or $k \in [12, 30]$ is used. Note that the spectral index using the range $k \in [10, 32]$ is not guaranteed to be bounded by the spectral indices obtained using $k \in [8, 34]$, $k \in [10, 32]$ and $k \in [12, 30]$, which is especially evident in the Ms0.2_Ma3.2 and Ms0.2_Ma10 simulations from $t \approx 2T$ to $t \approx 4T$. Horizontal dashed lines show $-4/3$ and $-5/3$ spectral indices. The slope is only shown after $t = 17T$ as the initial flow conditions dominate the spectra at early times, leading to steep spectra. See spectral_indices.pdf in the ancillary materials for the spectral indices versus time for all nine simulations.

B. Energy Transfer

While the total energy and spectra of the kinetic and magnetic reservoirs can broadly describe the isolated behavior of the different energy reservoirs, examining the energy transfer within and between reservoirs using the analysis described in Section II C can provide deeper insights into the physical phenomena, including demonstrating the mechanisms that are responsible for the transfer of energy. The shell-to-shell energy transfer fluxes examined in this section demonstrate the flux from wavenumber $Q$ to wavenumber $K$ within and between energy reservoirs via different pathways.

Figure 6 shows the energy transfer within the kinetic (left) and magnetic (right) energy reservoirs via advection and compression in the Ms0.2_Ma1 simulation at $t = 0.77T$ (top) and at $t = 5.16T$ (bottom). This plot encapsulates the energy transfer of a turbulent cascade. Near the beginning of the simulation in the top panels, most of the energy is in large scale modes, with energy from larger $Q$ wavenumbers moving to smaller $K$ wavenumbers. The bulk of the energy transferred is at nearly-equal scales of $Q$ to $K$, meaning that the energy transfer is between similar physical scales. Note that the energy transfer shown in this figure is solely within the kinetic and magnetic reservoirs – there is no energy transfer shown between these reservoirs (although it is occurring, as will be discussed in the next paragraph). In the simulation shown here, the magnetic energy transfer is larger in magnitude than the kinetic energy transfer. In all simulations, the magnetic energy transfer extends to higher wavenumbers more rapidly than the kinetic energy. After the flow has decayed into turbulence (as shown in the bottom panels), energy transfer to smaller local scales happens across the resolved modes down to numerical dissipation scales. At large wavenumbers ($Q > 16$), the energy transfers are scale-local and of comparable magnitude. This phenomenon continues to at least $Q \approx 200$ in both the kinetic and magnetic energy transfer – i.e., to much larger wavenumbers than an inertial range is observed (see, e.g., Figure 5). Thus, the effective (numerical) viscosity and resistivity are not affecting the turbulent cascade encoded by these transfers to a significant degree.

Figure 7 shows the energy transfer within the kinetic (top) and magnetic (bottom) energy reservoirs in the Ms0.2_Ma1 simulation at $t = 1.29T$ (just before the magnetic energy peaks). Energy transfer within the kinetic and magnetic reservoirs briefly reverses directions and moves energy from smaller local scales to larger local scales (note the purple color indicating energy loss above the diagonal and orange color below the diagonal, which is in contrast to Fig. 6). This constitutes a transient inverse cascade. Additionally, the inverse cascade is present throughout most scales of the magnetic energy ($K, Q \lesssim 100$) but only apparent at large scales in the
FIG. 6. Shell-to-shell energy transfer plots for the energy transfer within the kinetic (left) and magnetic (right) energy reservoirs via advection and compression at \( t = 0.77T \) (top) and \( t = 5.16T \) (bottom) from the simulations with \( Ms0.2, Ma10 \), showing the development of the kinetic and magnetic turbulent cascades. Each bin shows the flux of energy from shell \( Q \) to shell \( K \), with orange showing a positive flux of energy, so that \( K \) is gaining energy, and purple showing a negative flux, so that \( K \) is losing energy. The energy flux in each bin is normalized by \( \epsilon = \max_{Q,K} |\mathcal{T}_{XY}(Q,K)| \) so that a higher \( \epsilon \) means a higher energy flux. The solid black line shows equivalent scale transfers. As the turbulent cascade develops in the magnetic and kinetic energy reservoirs, more energy transfers along the diagonal fill out the energy spectrum down to numerical dissipation scales.

The kinetic energy \((K,Q \lesssim 16)\). As seen in Figure 4 at this early time the turbulent flow is just beginning to saturate the smallest scales while the large scale energy oscillates, so the energy transfer inversion lasts less than a dynamical time (see Section III B 2 for further exploration of the duration).

Figure 8 shows the energy transfer between the kinetic to magnetic energy reservoirs due to magnetic tension at \( t = 1.81T \) in the \( Ms0.2, Ma10 \) simulation. This figure displays non-local transfer from kinetic to magnetic energy. Unlike the advection- and compression-driven modes within the magnetic and kinetic energy reservoirs, energy transfers from kinetic to magnetic reservoirs via tension can support non-local energy transfers. The non-local transfer happens from large kinetic scales to much smaller magnetic scales, spanning more than an order of magnitude downward in spatial scale from the largest kinetic modes. The non-local energy transfer between ki-
FIG. 7. Shell-to-shell energy transfer plots for the energy transfer within the kinetic (top) and magnetic (bottom) energy reservoirs via advection and compression at $t = 1.29T$ from the Ms0.2_Ma1 simulation, showing a transient inverse cascade within the magnetic energy reservoir (on all scales $K, Q \lesssim 100$) and kinetic energy reservoir (on large scales $K, Q \lesssim 16$).

netic and magnetic energy was significant in simulations with lower initial magnetic energy, and especially in the Ma10 simulations where the magnetic field is dynamically unimportant at early times. Kinetic energy moves significant energy to all magnetic scales from early times at $t \approx 1.5T$ to intermediate times at $t \approx 4T$ in these simulations, although some energy continues to flow via this mechanism at later times. Additionally, since the transfer of energy via tension is between two different reservoirs, the energy transfer can transfer at equivalent scales from one reservoir to the other. This is shown as non-zero transfer along the diagonal of the plot.

1. Non-Local Energy Transfer

Like in some driven turbulence simulations [20, 21], these decaying turbulence simulations also demonstrate significant non-local energy transfer between kinetic and magnetic energy reservoirs. Unlike in driven simulations, the energy transfers in this work are solely due to the fluid flow and not due to externally-applied driving forces. Figure 9 shows the total local, non-local, and equivalent-scale energy transfers via magnetic tension in the Ms0.2 simulations over time. We obtain these quantities by integrating the transfer functions over different sets of scales:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Non-local lower} & : \sum_Q \sum_{K \in [1, 2^{-\ell} Q)} T_{XY} (Q, K) \\
\text{Local-Lower} & : \sum_Q \sum_{K \in [2^{-\ell} Q, 2^\ell Q)} T_{XY} (Q, K) \\
\text{Equivalent} & : \sum_Q \sum_{K = Q} T_{XY} (Q, K) \\
\text{Local-Higher} & : \sum_Q \sum_{K \in [2^\ell Q, \infty)} T_{XY} (Q, K) \\
\text{Non-local Higher} & : \sum_Q \sum_{K \in [2^\ell Q, \infty)} T_{XY} (Q, K)
\end{align*}
\]

where $\ell$ is a parameter for differentiating local versus non-local separation of wavenumbers in log space. In Figure 9, we show the analysis using $\ell = 5/4$ with a solid line, which corresponds to 5 logarithmic bins above or below $Q$ (see [11] for the description of the binning), and show the extent of the fluxes if $\ell = 5/4 \pm 1/4$ is used in shaded regions. As seen in this figure from the red line, the non-local energy transfer from large scale kinetic modes to small scale magnetic modes (“downscale” transfer) is present in all simulations but is only dominant when the initial kinetic energy exceeds the initial
magnetic energy – this non-local energy transfer is more significant in the Ma3.2 and Ma10 simulations. Non-local energy transfer downscale (red line) peaks depending on the initial magnetic field and in all cases before the total magnetic energy peaks. The non-local transfer helps fill out the magnetic energy spectrum faster than the kinetic energy spectrum, especially in the Ma10 simulations, which is consistent with the spectral index shown in Figure 3 and the turbulent cascades shown in the shell-to-shell energy transfer in Figure 6. By the time the magnetic energy has exceeded the kinetic energy in the Ma3.2 and Ma10 simulations, non-local energy transfer is largely diminished due to the lack of kinetic energy to feed the transfer.

Local energy transfer downscale (orange line) depends more strongly on the initial magnetic field, with local transfer to smaller scales reaching double the non-local transfer in the Ma1 simulation and being less than half in other cases. Local energy transfer upscale (blue line) is positive for some early times in the Ma1 and Ma3.2 simulations.

The Ma1 simulations also display two different oscillatory behaviors, with a low frequency oscillation in the local energy transfer and a high frequency oscillation clearly visible in the equivalent energy transfer but also present in local and non-local down scale transfer.

2. Inverted Turbulent Cascades

At early times during the evolution of the Ma1 simulations, a temporary inverse cascade forms within the kinetic and magnetic energy reservoirs where small scale energy transfers to larger spatial scales. Figure 10 shows the local and non-local energy transfers within the kinetic and magnetic energies to both smaller and larger length scales. In the Ma1 simulations, the local energy transfer from larger to smaller length scales temporarily reverses into an inverse cascade in both the kinetic and magnetic energy reservoirs shortly after peak magnetic energy is reached. The inversion appears with all three sonic Mach numbers simulated, with the longest inversion appearing in the Ma0.1 simulation for ≃ 1T and shortest in the high Ma0.4 simulation for ≃ 0.5T. For the Ma0.1 simulation, the kinetic energy reservoir briefly reverses to the normal configuration, moving energy from large scales to scales while the magnetic energy is in an inverted cascade, before returning to the inverted cascade, lingering longer than the magnetic field in the inverted state and finally transitioning into a turbulent cascade for the rest of the simulation. As seen in Figure 7, the movement of energy to larger scales is not limited to any region of the spectra – it is present at all
length scales. The Ma1 simulations, which are the only simulations to exhibit an inverse cascade, are also the only ones in which the total kinetic energy increases during any period. After peak magnetic energy in the Ma1, the magnetic energy increases while the kinetic energy increases for \( \simeq 17 \); the inverse cascade appears during this same period.

3. Cross-Scale Flux

With additional analysis of the shell-to-shell transfer, we can extract more insight into the movement of energy. We can measure the cross-scale flux of energy from scales below a wavenumber \( k \) to scales above a wave number \( k \) by integrating the transfer function

\[
\Pi_{X \rightarrow Y}^{Q,K}(k) = \sum_{Q \leq k} \sum_{K \geq k} T_{XY}(Q, K)
\]

Figure 11 shows the cross-scale fluxes via different transfer mechanisms for the simulations with \( \text{Ma}0.2 \). The top row shows cross-scale fluxes early in the simulation at \( t = 0.77T \), when the large scale flow is still decaying into smaller scales. The magnetic cross-scale flux at low wavenumbers predictably depends on the initial magnetic energy, while the kinetic energy cross-scale flux is largely the same between simulations at a given sonic Mach number. For example, for \( \text{Ma}10 \) the cross-scale flux is strongly dominated by \( \Pi_{U \rightarrow B}^{<} \), whereas for \( \text{Ma}3.2 \) it is still the most significant contribution to the cross-scale flux, but substantial contributions are also seen from \( \Pi_{B \rightarrow U}^{>} \) (\( \simeq 60\% \) of \( \Pi_{B \rightarrow U}^{<} \)), \( \Pi_{B \rightarrow K}^{<} \) (\( \simeq 30\% \)), and \( \Pi_{B \rightarrow K}^{>} \) (\( \simeq 20\% \)). For the strongest initial magnetization (Ma1) the early cross-scale flux is dominated by magnetic tension-mediated transfers from the kinetic-to-magnetic budget (\( \Pi_{U \rightarrow B}^{<} \)) on all scales having a non-zero cross-scale flux (\( k \lesssim 64 \)), with a similar contribution by the magnetic cascade on intermediate scales (\( 9 \lesssim k \lesssim 64 \)). The kinetic cascade is suppressed on all scales, generally contributing less than 10% to the total cross-scale flux.

At later times (\( t = 5.16T \), bottom row of Fig. 11), magnetic energy dominates both the energy budget and cross-scale energy flux. Cross-scale energy flux via kinetic interactions is near zero across the inertial range of the spectrum, and thus does not significantly contribute to the total cross-scale energy flux. Only the magnetic fields facilitate down scale cross-scale flux at intermediate scales, both within the magnetic energy and from kinetic to magnetic energy. Moreover, the relative contributions of the individual transfer \( \Pi_{U \rightarrow B}^{<}, \Pi_{B \rightarrow U}^{<}, \Pi_{B \rightarrow K}^{<}, \Pi_{B \rightarrow K}^{>} \) (in order of decreasing contribution) on intermediate scales (\( 16 \lesssim k \lesssim 64 \)) is the same independent of initial magnetization. This continuous cross-scale flux is consistent with the evolving spectral index discussed in Section \( \Pi_{\Lambda}^{3} \).

Cross-scale flux through large physical scales is irregular, variable, and sometimes negative due to the lack of structure and driving forces at large scales.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Comparison to driven turbulence simulations

The Taylor-Green vortex provides an interesting study of a freely evolving transition to decaying turbulence. In other words, no external, artificial force is applied to the
FIG. 11. Cross-scale flux within the kinetic energy (blue line), within the magnetic energy (orange line), and from kinetic to magnetic energy via tension (green line) in the three Ms0.2 simulations across columns and at dynamical time $t = 0.77T$ (top) and later at dynamical time $t = 5.16T$. Note that the cross-scale fluxes at later times are an order of magnitude less than early cross-scale fluxes. Positive values of this quantity denote energy transfer from larger to smaller scales.

This external force may introduce unintended dynamics to the flow [7]. For example, in a simulation that is mechanically driven at large scales, energy may still be injected on intermediate scales both in the incompressible regime [27] as well as in the compressible regime due to density coupling [20]. Moreover, driving generally results in an excess of energy on the excited scales that presents a barrier for magnetic field amplification on those scales in cases without a dynamically relevant mean magnetic field. This barrier is often expressed in the lack of a clear power law regime in the magnetic spectrum that drops below the kinetic one on the driving scales (see, e.g., Figure 1 in [28] and references therein). In the simulations presented here no such barrier is observed. Both kinetic and magnetic energy spectra exhibit a (limited) regime where power law scaling is observed once a state of developed turbulence is reached.

Another important question raised from driven turbulence simulations pertains the locality of energy transfers. While there is agreement that $T_{UU}$ and $T_{BB}$ mediated transfers, i.e., within a budget, are highly local, the energy transfers between budgets (here, $T_{UBT}$) have been observed to be weakly local and/or contain a non-local component from the driven scales [19] [20] [25]. Here, we show that in the absence of the driving force the energy transfer mediated by magnetic tension contains both a local component as well as non-local component. The latter directly transfers large-scale kinetic energy to large and intermediate scales in the magnetic energy budget. Thus, the non-local component is not an artifact of an external driving force.

Finally, we recently showed that the kinetic energy spectra in driven turbulence simulations follow a scaling close to $k^{-4/3}$, i.e., shallower than Kolmogorov scaling, and explained this by the suppression of the kinetic energy cascade due to magnetic tension [28]. This is in agreement with our findings in the work presented here, where the same dynamics are observed at late times when turbulence is fully developed.

B. Comparison to previous results

In general, our results in the compressible MHD regime are in agreement with the $\alpha \simeq -2$ spectrum reported by previous works on the TG vortex in [12] [13] [15] [16] in the incompressible MHD regime using the insulating magnetic field configuration. We see the same $\alpha \simeq -2$ spectrum early in the evolution before $t = 2T$, which cor-
responds to the entire temporal evolution of these other studies. The extended evolution in the simulations presented here allowed us to observe the temporal dynamics of the spectral index. Most importantly, the steep index is a transient (early time) behavior. In all cases the spectra became shallower at later times, making this effect independent of the initial magnetization (whereas these other works only explored $E_U/E_B = 1$, i.e., $M_A = 1$, configurations). As noted by [15], the $\alpha \approx -2$ spectrum is likely due to discontinuities in a small volume of the flow that can be disrupted by symmetry breaking at either large or small scales [17]. According to [17], a simulated Taylor-Green vortex with sufficiently high Reynolds number should show symmetry breaking at the small scales at late times in the evolution, causing a break from the $-2$ power law at large wavenumbers. Since our simulations do not impose symmetries on the flow, this is a possible explanation for the observed behavior. However, we see an $\alpha \approx -4/3$ inertial range scaling at late times, instead of the $\alpha \approx -2$ and $\alpha \approx -5/3$ broken power law theorized by [17].

Finally, work done in [12] [14] [10] shows that the behavior of the magnetic field and spectra changes with the initial magnetic field configurations. With the insulating initial magnetic fields that we use, the vorticity begins parallel to the magnetic field. This facilitates the early energy flux from kinetic to magnetic energy. The insulating case tends towards stronger large magnetic fields compared to the other magnetic field configurations. Both of the other initial magnetic fields result in different energy spectra, with the conducting magnetic field setup leading to a $k^{-3/2}$ spectra and the alternative insulating field setup leading to spectra interpreted as either a $k^{-5/3}$ or $k^{-2}$ spectra as argued by [12] and [16] respectively.

C. Implication of results

In all of our simulations, we see magnetic fields and effects facilitated by the magnetic fields dominating the evolution of the decaying turbulence, even when the initial kinetic energy exceeds the magnetic energy by a factor of 100 in the Ma10 simulations. Energy transfer from kinetic to magnetic energy via tension and energy transfer within the magnetic energy far exceed energy flux via the kinetic turbulent cascade at later times. Energy transfer from kinetic to magnetic energy at earlier times leads to the magnetic energy dominating over kinetic energy in all cases in both total magnitude as well as in terms of the scale-wise budget, cf., magnetic versus kinetic energy spectra. This is similar to what has been found in incompressible [25] and compressible simulations [20] [28] of driven turbulence. Thus, even in intermittently-driven systems one can expect the magnetic field to significantly influence the dynamics after a few dynamical times.

Our simulations exhibit a magnetic energy spectra with a measurable power law after the turbulent flow is realized. The inertial range is short, from approximately $k = 10$ to $k = 32$, due to the resolution of these simulations. Nevertheless, within this region we can reasonably fit a power law to both the kinetic and magnetic spectra, which is often not possible in driven turbulence simulation without a dynamically relevant mean magnetic field, cf., Sec. [IVA] Thus, freely evolving and driven turbulence simulations complement each other and both are required to disentangle environmental from intrinsic effects.

From an observational point of view, we demonstrated that the spectral indices evolve over time and fluctuate even for similar parameters. Therefore, the derived spectral indices from observation (e.g., velocity maps in astrophysics), which represent individual snapshots in time, need to be interpreted with care when trying to infer the “nature” of turbulence (e.g., Kolmogorov or Burgers) in the object of interest.

Finally, the observed non-local energy transfer has implications on the dynamical development of small scale structures from intermittent or singular energy injection events. Within the context of natural astrophysical and terrestrial plasmas, the non-local energy transfer from kinetic to magnetic energies suggests that small magnetic field structures develop before small scale kinetic structures.

D. Limitations

While our analysis showed that the results are generally robust (e.g., with respect to varying the fitting range in the spectral indices or varying range in the definition of scale-local in the energy transfers), higher resolution simulations are desirable. With higher resolution in an ILES simulation the dynamic range is increased and, thus, the effective Reynolds numbers of the simulated plasma are raised.

Similarly, due to the nature of ILES the effective magnetic Prandtl number in all simulations is $Pm \approx 1$. However, in natural systems (both astrophysical and terrestrial/experimental) $Pm$ is either $\gg 1$ or $\ll 1$, motivating the exploration of these regimes in the future as well.

All of our simulations started with subsonic initial conditions, leaving the supersonic regime unexplored. The additional shocks, discontinuities, and strong density variations that may arise in a supersonic flow could alter the energy transfer as the flow transitions into turbulence. In the simulations we present here, the Mach number generally did not significantly affect the growth and behavior of the turbulence. In a supersonic flow, however, the transitory effects such as the non-local energy transfer and inverse cascade may be altered or suppressed in addition to generally richer dynamics related to compressive effects and effective space-filling of turbulent structures [29].

Finally, the shell decomposition used here to study energy transfer has been shown to violate the inviscid criterion for decomposing scales in the compressible regime
V. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented in this work nine simulations of the Taylor-Green vortex using the insulating magnetic field setup from [11] to study magnetized decaying turbulence in the compressible ideal MHD regime using the finite volume code K-ATHENA. As a first for the Taylor-Green vortex, we have also presented an energy transfer analysis to show the movement of energy between scales and energy reservoirs as facilitated via different mechanisms. Our key results are as follows:

- Magnetic fields significantly affect the evolution of the decaying turbulence, regardless of initial field strength. Energy flux from kinetic energy to magnetic energy leads to the magnetic energy dominating the energy budget, even in simulations where the magnetic energy is initially very small.

- The Taylor-Green vortex simulations explored here display a power law in both the kinetic and magnetic energy spectra with a measurable spectral index, which is in contrast with the behavior seen in driven turbulence calculations.

- Decaying turbulent flows do not exhibit a spectral index that is constant in time in either the kinetic nor magnetic energy reservoirs – these spectra continually evolve over time. The spectral indices of the kinetic and magnetic energies become comparable and roughly constant around $1 - 2$ dynamical times after the magnetic energy has become dominant. This can happen as early as $t = 2T$ when the initial magnetic energy equals initial the kinetic energy, and as late as $t = 5T$ when initial kinetic energy exceeds the magnetic by a factor of 100. For simulations with more initial kinetic energy than magnetic energy, the spectral indices reach a rough constant slightly steeper than $\alpha \simeq -4/3$.

- Before the turbulent flow fully develops, an inverse cascade within the kinetic and magnetic energy reservoirs is intermittently observed. This intermittent behavior moves energy from smaller scales to larger scales, and is possible when the magnetic energy is comparable to the kinetic energy.

- Analysis of energy transfer within and between reservoirs indicates that within fully-developed turbulence, the cross-scale flux of energy in both the kinetic and magnetic cascades are dominated by energy transfer mediated by the magnetic field.

- Magnetic tension facilitates non-local transfer from larger scales in the kinetic energy to smaller scales in the magnetic energy, and is particularly prominent in simulations where the magnetic field is initially weak.
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