Hyperbilirubinemia Is a Significant Indicator for the Severity of Acute Appendicitis
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**Purpose:** This study aims to reveal more effective clinical or laboratory markers for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis and to score the severity based on a sufficiently large number of patients with acute appendicitis.

**Methods:** We identified 1,195 patients with acute appendicitis after excluding those with other causes of hyperbilirubinemia among the 1,271 patients that underwent a laparoscopic or an open appendectomy between 2009 and 2010. A retrospective chart review of the medical records, including laboratory and histologic results, was conducted. We then analyzed the data using univariate and multivariate analyses.

**Results:** Among the 1,195 patients, a laparoscopic appendectomy was performed in 685 cases (57.32%), and an open appendectomy was performed in 510 cases (42.68%). The univariate analysis demonstrated significant differences for white blood cell count (P < 0.0001), segmented neutrophils (P = 0.0035), total bilirubin (P < 0.0001), and systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) score between groups (P < 0.0001). The multivariate analysis demonstrated that total bilirubin (odds ratio, 1.772; 95% confidence interval, 1.320 to 2.379; P = 0.0001) and SIRS score (odds ratio, 1.583; 95% confidence interval, 1.313 to 1.908; P < 0.0001) have statistically significant diagnostic value for perforated appendicitis.

**Conclusion:** Hyperbilirubinemia is a statistically significant diagnostic marker for acute appendicitis and the likelihood of perforation.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Acute appendicitis is one of the most common acute surgical conditions of the abdomen. Since the first historical report of an appendectomy in France and England, the disease characteristics have come to be better known and more accurate diagnostic tools have been developed [1, 2]. Aside from symptomatology and specific physical examination findings, ultrasonography and computed tomography (CT) scans have become the most useful tools, with accuracies up to 85 to 99% [3-6]. However, as radiological examinations can be very costly and time-consuming, much interest exists in finding ways to diagnose and estimate the extent of acute appendicitis before relying on radiological examinations. Scoring systems such as the Alvarado Score and the Appendicitis Inflammatory Response Score have been devised to assist, along with the clinical characteristics and the usual laboratory analyses, in the diagnosis [7-13]. These scores are based on clinical presentations, leukocytosis and/or C-reactive protein, and they focused on the diagnostic assessment of appendicitis in a primary clinical setting.

Recently, some institutions have reported studies on the value of serum bilirubin for diagnosing acute appendicitis [14-16]. Hyperbilirubinemia has often been noted not only in appendicitis but also in other inflammatory conditions of the abdomen, suggesting that a certain relationship might exist between bacteria and serum bilirubin [17, 18]. The studies that suggest this were based on relatively small population groups and were mainly focused on the predictive value of hyperbilirubinemia for the diagnosis of perforated appendicitis. Our study aims to determine whether...
Hyperbilirubinemia reflects the severity of acute appendicitis based on a sufficiently large number of patients with acute appendicitis.

**METHODS**

**Patient population**

Among a total number of 1,271 patients that underwent an appendectomy due to acute appendicitis between January 2009 and December 2010, 1,195 patients were enrolled in the study. The patients with possible hyperbilirubinemia of other origins were excluded after application of exclusion criteria (Table 1). The appendectomy was performed either a laparoscopic or an open appendectomy.

The eligible patients were categorized into the following groups based on the final pathologic reports for the appendix and on the surgical findings: group 1, nonspecific inflammatory changes; group 2, acute suppurative changes; group 3, gangrenous and/or necrotic changes; group 4, perforated appendicitis [19]. Clinically, these four groups were simplified into two groups (i.e., nonperforated [groups 1 and 2] and perforated [groups 3 and 4]).

The possible factors that could be representative parameters were selected from preoperative laboratory results for each patient. The clinicopathological characteristics and laboratory values for each group were compared to each other. The standard values were given based on the reference of our institute as follows: white blood cell (WBC), 4.0 to 10.0 × 10³/μL; segmented neutrophils (segs), 43 to 75%; banded neutrophils (bands), ≤0%; aspartate aminotransferase (AST), 10 to 40 IU/L; alanine aminotransferase (ALT), 5 to 40 IU/L; and total bilirubin, ≤1.2 mg/dL. The systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) score was calculated from the admission laboratory data on each patient because it had been used by other authors [20, 21].

**Statistical analysis**

Comparative statistical analyses among the groups were performed using SAS 4.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Factors potentially presenting the severity of acute appendicitis were assessed using one-way analysis of variance and included the WBC, segs, bands, AST, ALT, total bilirubin, SIRS score and symptom duration. For the multivariate analysis with logistic regression, the four groups were dichotomized into nonperforated (groups 1 and 2) and perforated (groups 3 and 4) groups, and the data were evaluated using the chi-square test. The results are presented as adjusted odds ratios (ORs) for a 95% confidence interval (CI). Data are reported as means and standard deviations unless otherwise specified. All analyses were two-sided, with significance set at less than 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Clinical features**

Among the 1,195 patients that underwent an appendectomy due to acute appendicitis, 614 patients were men (51.38%) and 581 were women (48.61%). Statistically, all 4 groups showed significant differences regarding gender, mean age, symptom duration, hospitalization days and complication incidence. The durations of mean hospitalization and symptom were 4.27 days and 1.72 days, respectively. The total number of patients who had postoperative complications was 61 (5.1%). The incidence rates of complications in the nonperforated (groups 1 and 2) and the perforated (groups 3 and 4) groups were 4.11% and 8.98%, respectively (Table 2).

---

**Table 1. Exclusion criteria**

| Exclusion criteria                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Alcoholism                                                                         |
| Acute hepatitis (viral, drug, and unknown)                                        |
| Chronic liver disease                                                             |
| Gilbert syndrome                                                                  |
| Dubin-Johnson syndrome                                                            |
| Benign recurrent intrahepatic cholestasis                                         |
| Other documented biliary, hemolytic, or liver disease associated with hyperbilirubinemia |

**Table 2. Clinical characteristics**

| Characteristic          | Group 1 (n = 218) | Group 2 (n = 732) | Group 3 (n = 48) | Group 4 (n = 197) | Total (n = 1,195) | P-value |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Sex                     |                   |                   |                 |                  |                 |         |
| Male                    | 91 (41.8)         | 371 (60.4)        | 27 (4.4)        | 125 (20.4)       | 614 (100)       | 0.0002  |
| Female                  | 127 (21.9)        | 361 (62.1)        | 21 (3.6)        | 72 (12.4)        | 581 (100)       |         |
| Male:female             | 1:1.39            | 1:0.97            | 1:0.78          | 1:0.58           | 1:0.95          |         |
| Age (yr)                | 26.61 ± 14.65     | 31.09 ± 16.83     | 33.88 ± 18.42   | 37.76 ± 22.02    | 31.48 ± 17.50   | <0.0001 |
| Sx. duration (day)      | 2.05 ± 3.28       | 1.44 ± 1.25       | 1.71 ± 2.08     | 2.39 ± 2.05      | 1.72 ± 1.95     | <0.0001 |
| Hospital day (days)     | 3.92 ± 2.93       | 3.69 ± 1.50       | 5.17 ± 2.94     | 6.59 ± 5.19      | 4.27 ± 2.78     | <0.0001 |
| Complication incidence  | 7 (3.2)           | 32 (4.4)          | 3 (6.3)         | 19 (9.6)         | 61 (5.1)        | 0.0121  |

Sx., Symptom.

Values are presented as number (%) or mean ± SD.
More patients underwent a laparoscopic appendectomy than an open appendectomy, as 685 cases (57.32%) underwent a laparoscopic appendectomy while 510 patients (42.68%) underwent an open appendectomy. The WBC, segs, bands, AST, ALT, total bilirubin and SIRS score of each group were compared. Among them, WBC, segs, total bilirubin and SIRS were statistically significant (Table 3).

Among the 1,195 patients that underwent an appendectomy, the average WBC prior to the surgery was 13,252.07/µL (minimum, 1530/µL; maximum, 38,720.00/µL), and the differences between the four groups were statistically significant (P < 0.0001). Within the differential count, the average segs was 77.28% (minimum, 30.20%; maximum, 99.00%), and the differences among the groups were statistically significant (P = 0.0035).

The average of serum total bilirubin was 0.849 mg/dL (minimum, 0.050 mg/dL; maximum, 4.310 mg/dL), and statistically significant differences existed among the groups (P < 0.0001). Among the 1,195 patients, patients that had a normal serum total bilirubin were 985 (82.42%) without hyperbilirubinemia and 211 patients (17.66%) with hyperbilirubinemia. Among the 211 patients, 17 were from group 1 (8.06%), 116 were from group 2 (54.98%), 16 were from group 3 (7.58%) and 62 were from group 4 (29.38%). The mean total bilirubin values were 0.7 mg/dL, 0.83 mg/dL, 1.05 mg/dL, and 1.02 mg/dL, respectively. The differences between the nonperforated (group A) and the perforated group (group B) were statistically significant (P < 0.0001) (Fig. 1).

SIRS score
The total number of patient with a SIRS score over 2 was 96 (8.0%). Among them, patients with a SIRS score of 3 were 79 (6.61%), and patients with a SIRS score of 4 were 17 (1.42%). Among the patients with SIRS score >2, 12 were from group 1 (1.01%), 44 were from group 2 (3.68%), 7 were from group 3 (0.58%), and 33 were from group 4 (2.66%). In the statistical analysis using the two-by-K-type chi-square test, the results showed statistically significant differences among the groups (P < 0.0001).

Multivariate analysis
After recategorizing groups 1 and 2 as a “nonperforated appendi-

---

### Table 3. Preoperative laboratory parameters

| Parameter       | Group 1 (n = 218) | Group 2 (n = 732) | Group 3 (n = 48) | Group 4 (n = 197) | Total (n = 1,195) | P-value |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| WBC (/µL)       | 10,855.14 ± 3,781.43 | 13,454.01 ± 4,241.70 | 14,868.54 ± 3,769.55 | 14,760.30 ± 5,585.15 | 13,252.07 ± 4,505.51 | <0.0001 |
| Seg (%)         | 70.10 ± 12.14     | 77.84 ± 10.44     | 83.03 ± 6.89     | 81.73 ± 8.16     | 77.28 ± 10.33     | <0.0001 |
| Band (%)        | n = 3, 2.33 ± 1.53 | n = 11, 2.23 ± 1.03 | n = 6, 1.41 ± 0.92 | n = 15, 2.60 ± 3.27 | n = 35, 2.26 ± 2.34 | 0.7764  |
| AST (IU/L)      | 21.49 ± 11.43     | 20.05 ± 7.60      | 19.88 ± 8.31     | 21.38 ± 8.97      | 20.53 ± 8.67      | 0.0715  |
| ALT (IU/L)      | 20.94 ± 23.84     | 19.62 ± 20.82     | 18.75 ± 11.04    | 20.06 ± 13.36     | 19.90 ± 20.09     | 0.8264  |
| TB (mg/dL)      | 0.70 ± 0.45       | 0.83 ± 0.46       | 1.05 ± 0.48      | 1.02 ± 0.54       | 0.85 ± 0.47       | <0.0001 |
| SIRS score      | 0.82 ± 0.87       | 1.04 ± 0.87       | 1.48 ± 0.95      | 1.43 ± 1.06       | 1.08 ± 0.91       | <0.0001 |

Values are presented as mean ± SD.

WBC, white blood cell; Seg, segmented neutrophil; Band, banded neutrophil; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; TB, total bilirubin; SIRS, systemic inflammatory response syndrome.

---

### Table 4. Logistic regression of multivariate parameters with adjusted odds ratio

|          | Odds ratio | 95% Confidence interval | P-value  |
|----------|------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Age      | 1.028      | 1.019–1.037             | <0.0001  |
| Gender   | 0.628      | 0.460–0.858             | 0.0033   |
| WBC      | 1.000      | 1.000–1.000             | 0.0045   |
| Seg      | 1.003      | 0.998–1.008             | 0.2083   |
| TB       | 1.772      | 1.320–2.379             | 0.0001   |
| SIRS     | 1.583      | 1.313–1.908             | <0.0001  |

WBC, white blood cell; Seg, segmented neutrophil; TB, total bilirubin; SIRS, systemic inflammatory response syndrome.
The incidence of acute appendicitis varies, but it is highly prevalent, occurring from in 7 to 22 out of 10,000 people [22, 23]. Whereas nonperforated acute appendicitis can be cured by an appendectomy without a long recovery period, perforated appendicitis or suppurative appendicitis can cause various complications that can result in life-threatening conditions [24]. Recent developments in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis with the assistance of radiological tools such as ultrasonography and CT have reduced the rate of negative appendectomies. Although the reported diagnostic accuracy of sonography varies depending on the patient population studied, a meta-analysis showed an overall sonographic sensitivity of 85% and a specificity of 92% [25]. The diagnostic utility of sonography for acute appendicitis has been emphasized and widely accepted especially for the pediatric and the pregnant patient groups [26]. Because of the development of helical CT, the effectiveness and the accuracy of diagnosing appendicitis have already overcome the limitation of sonography, with sensitivities of 90 to 99% and specificities of 91 to 99% [5, 6, 27]. However, a recent analysis by Pritchett et al. [28] showed that the increasing use of CT scanning in acute appendicitis increases the cost of care and the staying time in the emergency department, and delays the time to surgery. Because physical examinations and laboratory tests are still acknowledged as being of the utmost importance in the diagnostic process [29], we tried to find key laboratory tests that would allow us to anticipate the severity of acute appendicitis.

On the univariate analysis, for the total 1,196 patients, which is the largest sample ever reported to date, the usual laboratory tests, such as WBC, segs and total bilirubin, showed significant differences between the severity groups. Among these laboratory parameters, only bilirubin showed statistical significance in the multivariate regression. Its significant role in severe acute appendicitis was equivalent to the SIRS score, which is a combined laboratory and physical exam parameter.

Miller and Irvine [30] first reported that jaundice was common in patients with severe appendicitis. Recently, Sand et al. [16] reported a relatively high incidence of hyperbilirubinemia (24.9%) from an analysis of 538 acute appendicitis patients, of whom 50.7% were verified as having perforated appendicitis. Our data showed a relatively lower incidence of hyperbilirubinemia (17.64%), as well as perforation (36.97%), among the appendicitis patients. This may have influenced the result that our optimal sum of sensitivity (55.92%) and specificity (66.11%) at a cut-off level of greater than 0.85 mg/dL is relatively low compared to Miller’s result. For an adjusted OR of 1.772 (95% CI, 1.320 to 2.379), which included sex and age as independent variables, our result showed high diagnostic values as predictive factors for recognizing perforated appendicitis. This outcome is similar to those of other recent studies [15, 31], although there are some discrepancies in how to exactly divide the perforation and nonperforation groups. In our study, we categorized the patients into four groups according to the conventional pathological findings in order to test each laboratory parameter [19]; then, we simplified those groups in two groups (i.e., perforated and nonperforated) because gangrenous or necrotic appendicitis can belong to the perforation group in terms of clinical severity.

Concerning the pathophysiology of hyperbilirubinemia in acute appendicitis, there are some hypotheses. It is well accepted that a bacterial infection may play an important role as it disrupts the production and flow of bile, causing hyperbilirubinemia. This kind of pro-inflammatory cytokine and nitric-oxide–triggered cholestasis [32] can be found not only in perforated appendicitis but also in a general gastrointestinal perforation, which is associated with a poor prognosis [18]. The total bilirubin increases as the infection within the abdominal cavity becomes more severe. In the case of acute appendicitis, the infection is more severe when it is gangrenous or perforated, thereby strengthening the theory that hyperbilirubinemia may be helpful in detecting perforated appendicitis. The overall complication rate of appendectomy depends upon the
status of the appendix at the time it is removed. In our study the average postappendectomy complication rate was 5.1%, which is similar to the data in the literature [33]. In the cases of perforated appendicitis, the risk of complication increases drastically; and this is also correlated to the incidence difference between the nonperforated group and the perforated group (4.1% vs. 8.9%).

In terms of the diagnostic role of hyperbilirubinemia in acute appendicitis, the SIRS score is also mentioned for its positive predictive value [15, 21]. Because SIRS is a clinical criterion reflecting inflammatory disease, it is thought that the SIRS score might correlate with hyperbilirubinemia, which might be suggestive of the severity of the acute appendicitis. In fact, from our multivariate analysis, the SIRS score and hyperbilirubinemia are significant predictors of appendiceal perforation whereas the WBC and segs, which are frequently used as markers of acute inflammation, were not statistically significant. However, as the SIRS score itself is a sum of four figures with four criteria, using it as an independent variable would be inappropriate.

From the large-scale population that underwent surgery in our single institution, this study confirms the predictive value of hyperbilirubinemia in acute appendicitis patients. This result might be very helpful in the decision to operate and in diagnosing the severity of the disease.
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