Intestinal removal of free fatty acids from hosts by \textit{Lactobacilli} for the treatment of obesity
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Recent findings on the association of gut microbiota with various diseases, including obesity, prompted us to investigate the possibility of using a certain type of gut bacteria as a safe therapeutic for obesity. \textit{Lactobacillus} mutants with enhanced capacity in absorption of free fatty acids (FFAs) were isolated to show reduced absorption of FFAs by the administered host, attributing to inhibition of body weight gain and body fat accumulation as well as amelioration of blood profiles. Consequently, high throughput screening of natural FFAs-absorbing intestinal microbes led to the isolation of \textit{Lactobacillus reuteri} JBD301. The administration of \textit{Lactobacillus} JBD301 lowered the concentration of FFAs in the gut fluid content of small intestine, thus reducing intestinal absorption of FFAs whereas promoting fecal excretion of FFAs. Animal data also confirmed that the efficacy of \textit{Lactobacillus} JBD301 on body weight similar to that of orlistat, an FDA-approved pharmaceutical for long-term use to treat obesity. In a subsequent random, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial (KCT0000452 at Clinical Research Information Service of Korea), there was a statistically significant difference in the percentage change in body weight between the \textit{Lactobacillus} JBD301 and the placebo group ($P = 0.026$) as well as in the BMI ($P = 0.036$) from the 0-week assessment to the 12-week assessment. Our results show that FFA-absorbing \textit{Lactobacillus} JBD301 effectively reduces dietary fat absorption, providing an ideal treatment for obesity with inherent safety.

Obesity is a disease of energy balance, characterized by a chronic disequilibrium between energy intake and expenditure [1]. It is associated with an increased risk of various chronic diseases, including hypertension, dyslipidemia, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, obstructive sleep apnea, osteoarthritis and cancer [2].
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Because obesity is rarely curable and its prevalence has increased over several decades, intensive research has been conducted to develop anti-obesity drugs, resulting in many candidates with very interesting anti-obesity effects at preclinical levels [3–5]. The path to drug development for obesity, however, has been littered with failures in clinical development and withdrawals from the market due to severe side effects [6–8]. Orlistat, the major FDA-approved pharmaceutical for long-term use to treat obesity, is a gastric and pancreatic lipase inhibitor that prevents fat hydrolysis, thus reducing dietary fat absorption by ~30% [9–11]. Despite substantial anti-obesity effects, the inhibition of lipase activity by orlistat generates undigested fat in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, which causes side effects that are not only uncomfortable but also socially unacceptable [12].

Unlike orlistat, several appetite suppression drugs were successfully approved and marketed for obesity treatment, but these drugs have been withdrawn due to severe psychiatric and/or cardiovascular side effects, all common adverse effects of central nervous system (CNS)-acting drugs [13]. The FDA recently approved two new anti-obesity drugs that work on the CNS, lorcaserin and phentermine/topiramate, driven by high demand for anti-obesity drugs [14–16]; however, the future of these new drugs remains uncertain, considering the history of anti-obesity drugs that work on the CNS. Because of the safety issues with anti-obesity drugs that work on the CNS [17], anti-obesity drugs with different modes of action are under investigation [18,19]. Drugs targeting pathways in the metabolic tissues, the peptide signaling systems of hunger and satiety, and the homeostatic mechanisms related to leptin have shown potential in preclinical studies, but none of the drugs has been safe and effective in clinical development thus far. Therefore, a new type of anti-obesity treatment must be actively sought because the current pharmaceutical drugs are not ideal for the treatment of obesity.

A new paradigm is obviously necessary to develop anti-obesity drugs engendering sustained weight loss with minimal side effects. Recent evidence showed that the gut microbiota play an intricate role in the regulation of body weight [20–22]. Transplantation experiments using microorganisms from either obese or lean mice and from either obese or lean humans into germ-free mice proved that the compositional change in microbiota in the GI tract resulted in differences in the efficiency of caloric extraction from food, eventually contributing to different body weights [21,22]. These results suggest that small changes in caloric extraction from the GI tract by transplanting a specific intestinal bacterium can lead to a meaningful reduction in body weight. In fact, attempts have been made to identify specific intestinal bacterium to control obesity. Interestingly, a few probiotic strains have been shown to ameliorate obesity and metabolic disorders, without clear understanding of underlying mechanisms [23,24].

Dietary fat is the major contributor in our caloric extraction from food. Because fat is degraded into FFAs before absorption into the body, the removal of FFAs in the GI tract by the transplantation of a FFAs-absorbing bacterium might be an ideal choice for treating obesity by decreasing fat uptake by the host body. Transplanted microbes with enhanced capacity in FFA absorption would compete for FFAs with enterocytes in the intestinal epithelium, resulting reduced FFA absorption and thus lowered caloric intake into host. In fact, recent study have shown that microbiota contribute obesity by stimulating intestinal FFA absorption in the zebrafish model, suggesting inhibition of intestinal FFA absorption and lipid droplet formation to regulate host obesity [25]. Considering that increased FFA along with hyperglycemia are the key hallmarks of obesity, metabolic syndrome and diabetes, FFA provides an excellent metabolic target to reduce dietary energy harvest and thus prevent and counteract obesity. In addition, reduction in caloric extraction with FFAs-absorbing bacteria may be a better choice than inhibiting fat hydrolysis by orlistat, which results in an unavoidable problem with undigested fat.

Based on the fact that orlistat, which inhibits digestion of dietary fat to FFAs, is currently the best anti-obesity drug, as well as the fact that small changes in caloric extraction affected by intestinal microbiota could lead to large body weight differences, we investigated whether the inhibition of FFAs absorption into the human body by using an intestinal bacterium would lead to the development of anti-obesity drugs producing sustained weight loss without side effects. As expected, the administration of FFAs-absorbing lactobacilli that remove intestinal FFAs before absorption to host showed significant anti-obesity effects, with efficacy as high as orlistat in animal experiments and a clinical trial. Our results not only provide a novel Lactobacillus approach as a safe way to prevent or treat obesity but also suggest the feasibility of developing treatments by modulating the metabolic activities of the intestinal microbes.

Materials and methods

Materials

Reagents and kits were purchased from Sigma, except for the following: [1-14C]-palmitic acid (PerkinElmer Life
Isolation of FFAs-absorbing *Lactobacillus* mutants

*Lactobacillus acidophilus* KCTC 3179 is a human-derived *Lactobacillus* strain from the Korea Collection for Type Cultures (KCTC, Daejeon, Korea). Anaerobic culture was carried out in an anaerobic jar (BBL Gas-Pack anaerobic systems, Apeldoorn, Netherlands). *Lactobacillus acidophilus* KCTC 3179 were chemically mutagenized by using *N*-methyl-*N*-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine (NTG) to select the *Lactobacillus* mut1 followed by 2nd-round mutagenesis by using 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide to select *Lactobacillus* mut2 that absorbs FFAs strongly. FFAs-absorption ability was identified, taxonomically classified and phylogenetically analyzed by 16S rDNA sequences (NCBI GenBank, DNA data bank of Japan, European Nucleotide Archive, www.phylogeny.fr).

Identification of intestinal FFAs-absorbing *Lactobacillus* JBD301

*Lactobacillus* strains were isolated from the feces of healthy lean adult volunteers. For individual lactobacilli overnight cultures, the quantities of FFAs in the cultured media were determined using the EnzyChrom™ free fatty acid assay kit (Bio-assy Systems, Hayward, CA, USA) as described by the manufacturer. Using the concentration of FFAs in the media, the quantity of FFAs uptake from the media was calculated. A natural *Lactobacillus* strain with the strongest FFAs-absorbing ability was identified, taxonomically classified and phylogenetically analyzed by 16S rDNA sequences (NCBI GenBank, DNA data bank of Japan, European Nucleotide Archive, www.phylogeny.fr).

Animal studies of *Lactobacillus* JBD301

Seven-week-old female C57BL/6 mice were fed HFD supplemented daily with $10^7$ CFU of *Lactobacillus* JBD301 for 3 weeks. Then, gut fluid contents were collected and analyzed for total FFAs concentration at small intestine and large intestine of the mice with the EnzyChrom™ FFAs assay kit. To evaluate changes in FFAs absorption and excretion by host fed *Lactobacillus* JBD301, the radioactivities of the bloods as well as feces were measured after feeding 1 μCi of $^{14}$C-labeled triolein.

Clinical trial of *Lactobacillus* JBD301

A phase 2, double-blind, placebo-controlled human study was conducted with *Lactobacillus* JBD301 at the Samsung Medical Center (Seoul, Korea). The research protocol was...
approved by the Clinical Trial Center at the Samsung Medical Center and the methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines (SMC No. 2011-04-061-002). This trial was registered with Clinical Research Information Service of Korea as KCT0000452 (https://cris.nih.go.kr). The purpose of this study was to evaluate the safety and tolerability of Lactobacillus JB301 and to evaluate the efficacy of Lactobacillus JB301 compared to placebo for the reduction in body fat or weight in adults with 25 ≤ BMI < 35. Among the recruited subjects, 37 subjects were randomly assigned to either the placebo group or experimental group. Placebo group (n = 19) were administered single capsule of vegetable cream as control whereas experimental group (n = 18) were administered Lactobacillus JB301 at dose of 1 × 10⁹ CFU-day⁻¹ for 12 weeks. For the clinical data, the statistical analysis was performed using procedures in SAS (Version 9.2) and MEDCALC (Version 11.6.0). Depending on the normality of the underlying data, the Mann–Whitney U test and the Wilcoxon signed rank test were used to perform statistical analysis.

Further details of the materials and methods used in this study can be found in the supplementary material.

Results

Lactobacillus mutants with enhanced FFAs absorption reduced FFAs absorption by host

To test the hypothesis that obesity can be controlled by an intestinal bacterium that removes obesity-causative metabolites, i.e. FFAs, in the gut, a commercial probiotic strain, L. acidophilus KCTC 3179, was mutagenized by NTG to isolate mutants that strongly absorb palmitates, the most common form of FFAs. We initially isolated a mutant that absorbed 2.1 times more ¹⁴C-palmitate from its surrounding environment than the parental strain (Fig. 1A). The identified mutant, mut1, was further mutagenized by 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide, resulting in mut2, which absorbed FFAs 3.2 times more strongly than the parental strain (Fig. 1A). For the identified mutants, the acidification abilities during growth and colonization in the host GI tract after consumption were examined because these are the most important characteristics of edible Lactobacillus [26]. Both mutant strains showed normal growth and acidification activities during milk fermentation (Table S1). They also successfully colonized the GI tract of rats (Table S2). These results indicate that both mutants function as normal lactobacilli, except for their stronger absorption of FFAs.

When consuming lactobacilli, the bacteria transiently colonize the small intestine [27], where most of FFAs are absorbed into the body. Therefore, the administered mutants could actively remove intestinal FFAs by functioning as a bio-sequestrant, reducing the amount of FFAs available to be absorbed into the host body and thereby reducing dietary fat absorption. To test whether the mutants actually reduce the amount of absorbable FFAs in the GI tract of the host, SD rats were fed Lactobacillus for daily administration of 10⁷ CFU for 8 weeks. After colonization, rat feed containing radiolabeled dietary fat, ¹⁴C-triolein, was orally administered to the rats so that the amount of FFAs absorbed from dietary fat could be measured by measuring the radioactivity of the FFAs in their blood (Fig. 1B). Compared to the high-fat diet (HFD) control, the rats colonized with the both mutant strains showed a significant decrease in the amount of absorbed FFAs, whereas those colonized with parental strain 3179 showed no significant change. At 240 min after administration of radiolabeled dietary fat, the radioactivity of absorbed FFAs in the blood of the rat colonized with mut1 and mut2 were down to 298.4 cpm and 206.6 cpm, whereas control and parental strain 3179 group were 546.9 and 446.6 cpm respectively. As shown in Fig. 1B, the rats colonized with mut1 and mut2, but not parental strain, absorbed significantly less FFAs than the uncolonized controls.

If those mutants absorb FFAs strongly in the GI tract and thus remove FFAs available for the GI tract of the host, the FFAs quantities in the feces should decrease in the rats colonized with the mutants. We performed GC/MS analysis on the fecal fluid contents from Lactobacillus-fed rat, as described previously [28]. As expected, the total FFAs quantities in the fecal fluid content were significantly lowered in the rats colonized with the mutants compared to the uncolonized control whereas parental strain show some reduction (Fig. 1C). Most dramatic changes were with saturated fatty acids, particularly, stearic acid and palmitic acid. Unsaturated fatty acids (USFA), such as oleic acid and arachidonic acid were also reduced as well as short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), such as acetic acid, propionic acid, butyric acid, valeric acid, pentanoic acid and hexanoic acid. Particularly, pentadecanoic acid, palmitelaidic acid, nonadecanoic acid, heptanoic acid, heneicosanoic acid, sebacic acid, dodecanoic acid were reduced to undetectable ranges in the mut2 group. This overall decrease in FFAs including short-chain FA in the liquid portion of the fecal matter indicates that the mutants actively absorbed and removed intestinal FFAs in the colonized GI tract as shown in fecal fluid, thereby reducing the amount of FFAs available to be absorbed by the host.
FFAs-absorbing *Lactobacillus* mutants ameliorated obesity in rats

After confirming the ability of the mutants to remove FFAs in the GI tract, the anti-obesity effect of the FFAs-absorbing mutants was evaluated by feeding the mutant to rats under diet-induced obesity (DIO) conditions (Fig. 2A). Daily administration of parental strain 3179 or its mutants resulted in successful colonization in the GI tract of the rats after 4 weeks (Table S3). Daily administration of mut1 and mut2 for 22 weeks resulted in consistent reduction in body weight gain (Fig. 2A). At the end, the body weights of uncolonized control, parental 3179, mut1 and mut2 were 514 g (100%), 507 g (101.4%), 435 g (85%) and 424 g (82%), respectively, with maximum difference of 15% for mut1 and 18% for mut2, respectively, compared to the uncolonized control.

In addition to body weight, visceral fat is correlated with obesity as the intake of excess calories in mammals primarily accumulates as visceral fat [29,30]. The visceral fat areas from untreated control, parental strain, mut1 and mut2 were measured 27%, 24%, 14% and 13%, respectively, at 22 weeks using an
open-type 0.3 Tesla MRI (Fig. 2B). These results indicated that the administration of the FFAs-absorbing *Lactobacillus* mutants could reduce FFAs absorption by the host and thus reduce body weight as well as visceral fat accumulation.

As the body gains weight, it becomes less sensitive to insulin and leptin, which leads to increased plasma concentrations of leptin, insulin and glucose as well as increased LDL and TC [31,32]. The blood profile with respect to the obesity markers was also analyzed at the beginning and end of the feeding experiments. There were significant differences in the lipid profiles within the experimental groups whereas parental 3179 strain failed to show significant differences (Fig. 2C). TG levels of mut1 and mut2 were 63% and 49% of the control respectively. In TC, HDL and LDL, however, only mut2 showed significant difference compared to the uncolonized control. TC levels of mut1 and mut2 were 91% and 74% of the control whereas LDL levels were 104.5% and 58.8% of the control respectively.
HDL levels of mut1 and mut2 were 103.1 and 124.9% of the control respectively.

Feeding the rat mut1 and mut2 also significantly reduced the serum insulin levels by 23% and 30%, and serum leptin levels by 30% and 45%, respectively, compared to the uncolonized control (Fig. 2D). The mutant strains also exhibited glucose-lowering effect, which was expected from their anti-obesity effect (Fig. 2E). The serum glucose levels were lower in the mut1 and mut2 groups (107.6 mg/dL and 108.4 mg/dL, respectively) than in the uncolonized control and parental strain groups (122.1 mg/dL and 123.4 mg/dL, respectively). Taken together, our FFAs-absorbing lactobacilli not only inhibited weight gain and body fat accumulation but also improved blood profiles, ameliorating obesity.

Isolation and characterization of intestinal FFAs-absorbing Lactobacillus JBD301

Because the mutant experiments proved our hypothesis that energy intake can be reduced with the FFAs-absorbing Lactobacillus, we performed high throughput screening to identify intestinal FFAs-absorbing Lactobacillus strains. Fecal samples from lean volunteers were inoculated on MRS agar plates, a selective media for Lactobacillus. By screening more than 20 000 strains, we were able to find various Lactobacillus strains that absorbed FFAs stronger than the common Lactobacillus strains in a FFAs quantitative assay. Animal experiments using the identified FFAs-absorbing Lactobacillus strains in C57BL/6 mice showed that the degree of efficacy on weight gain was positively correlated with the FFAs absorption degree of the Lactobacillus strains (Fig. S1). One Lactobacillus strain in particular, G5-1, was shown to absorb FFAs strongly (Fig. S1). Microscopic observations and molecular identification by 16S rDNA sequence analysis identified this strain as an unknown subspecies of Lactobacillus reuteri (Fig. 3); thus, it was named Lactobacillus JBD301 and deposited into the Korean Collection for Type Cultures (KCTC 12606BP).

FFAs-absorbing Lactobacillus JBD301 lowered the intestinal FFAs concentrations and thus reduced absorption of FFAs by host whereas increased fecal excretion of FFAs

It was shown that FFAs-absorbing Lactobacillus JBD301 was able to absorb FFAs up to 10 times more than other Lactobacillus (Fig. 4A, Fig. S1). Next, we investigated whether Lactobacillus JBD301 can reduce the absorption of FFAs into host as in the case of the mutant strains. The FFAs absorptions by Lactobacillus JBD301 and resulting removals of FFAs from absorbable pool of intestinal FFAs was determined by measuring the FFAs concentration in the gut fluid content where absorption of most FFAs occurs (Fig. 4B). In C57BL/6 mice colonized with Lactobacillus JBD301, the FFAs concentrations in fluid contents at small intestine were reduced to 69% of control whereas the

Fig. 3. The morphological and molecular characterization of natural FFAs-absorbing Lactobacillus strain, L. reuteri JBD301. (A) Gram staining of Lactobacillus JBD301. (B) TEM images of Lactobacillus JBD301. (C) Phylogenetic tree of Lactobacillus JBD301. Accession numbers of bacterial strains are marked by an underscore next to the strain number. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by Phylogeny.fr set to build maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees (PhyML). The scale bar represents an evolutionary distance.
FFAs concentrations at large intestine were not much different. The changes in absorption and excretion of dietary fat in the *Lactobacillus* JBD301-fed host were also determined (Fig. 4C and D). After 3-week administration of *Lactobacillus* JBD301, radiolabeled dietary fat, \(^{14}\text{C}\)-triolein, was orally administered to the mice. The radioactivities from absorbed fat in the blood of *Lactobacillus* JBD301-fed host were significantly decreased, down to 62% of control at 4 h after intake of radiolabeled food (Fig. 4C). The changes in the amount of excreted dietary fats, which were absorbed into *Lactobacillus* JBD301, were also measured by quantitating the radioactivities in the feces of *Lactobacillus* JBD301-fed mice (Fig. 4D). Compared to the unfed control, the radioactivities in the feces of JBD301-fed host were significantly increased, up to 176% of the control after 1 day from radiolabeled food intake.
FFAs-absorbing *Lactobacillus* JBD301 inhibited weight gain in host, both in mice and humans

In accordance with observed inhibition of FFAs absorption, administration of FFAs-absorbing *Lactobacillus* JBD301 resulted in a significant decrease in body weight of host animal (Fig. 5A). After 4 weeks, the body weight of control groups was 32.7 g with 4.3 g of weight gain. In contrast, JBD301 group was 28.1 g with 0.2 g of weight gain and orlistat group was 27.3 g with 0.8 g of weight loss. The most significant finding in this experiment was that the degree of body weight reduction by *Lactobacillus* JBD301 was as much as that of the mice that had been administered a pharmaceutically effective dose of orlistat.

After confirming that the degree of weight loss by *Lactobacillus* JBD301 could be up to that of a current pharmaceutical drug, a phase 2 clinical trial was conducted to determine the efficacy of *Lactobacillus* JBD301 in obese adults with 25 ≤ BMI < 35 (Fig. S2). This trial was registered with Clinical Research Information Service of Korea as KCT0000452 (https://cris.nih.go.kr). Recruited subjects were included in the trial after screening with inclusion and exclusion criteria. Randomized subjects were daily administered a 450 mg capsule containing either *Lactobacillus* JBD301 at 10⁹ CFU/capsule as experimental ingredient or nondairy creamer as placebo for 12 weeks. In this study, subgroup was analyzed in which 37 subjects received double-blinded materials which contain either the nondairy creamer as placebo (*n* = 19) or *Lactobacillus* JBD301 as the experimental treatment (*n* = 18) (Fig. S3 and Table S4).

Without any dietary restrictions or additional exercise, changes in the body weight from baseline to endpoint were 0.31% (0.21 kg) in the *Lactobacillus* JBD301 group and 1.77% (1.45 kg) in the placebo group, resulting in a 1.46% (1.24 kg) between-group difference (Table S5). A Mann–Whitney U test showed that there was a statistically significant difference in the percentage change in weight between the *Lactobacillus* JBD301 and the placebo group (*P* = 0.026) as well as in the BMI (*P* = 0.036) from the 0-week assessment to the 12-week assessment (Table S5). A Wilcoxon signed rank test also confirmed that there was a statistically significant difference in the pairwise comparison of the percentage of the control between 0 and 12 weeks (*P* = 0.028) (Fig. 5B and Table S6). There were no adverse events related to the treatment.

Because the body weight of humans is heavily affected by food intake and lifestyle, and thus, the body weight of an obese person fluctuates, between-group differences, i.e. weight differences between the control and the experimental group, could be a more important parameter than the value of body weight.

**Fig. 5.** Administration of the FFAs-absorbing *Lactobacillus* JBD301 significantly reduced body weight of the colonized hosts in both animals and humans. The diet-induced obese C57BL/6 mice were administered the HFD control diet (○) or the HFD supplemented with *Lactobacillus* JBD301 (●) or orlistat (Δ) daily. Seven-week-old female C57BL/6 mice were randomized and fed a HFD for 12 weeks to induce obesity. After 12 weeks on the HFD, administration, the animals were randomly divided into groups that received HFD only (control), HFD supplemented with *Lactobacillus* JBD301 (1 × 10⁷ CFU-day⁻¹), or HFD supplemented with orlistat (Xenical® at 50 mg·kg⁻¹ diet). (A) Body weights were monitored weekly. Values are mean ± SD (*n* = 5). Statistical significance is shown as **P < 0.01 versus control by ANOVA. (B) A random, double-blind, placebo-controlled human study was conducted to determine the anti-obesity efficacy of *Lactobacillus* JBD301 in adults with 25 ≤ BMI < 35. Placebo group (*n* = 19) were administered single capsule of vegetable cream as control while experimental group (*n* = 18) were administered *Lactobacillus* JBD301 at dose of 1 × 10⁹ CFU-day⁻¹ for 12 weeks. Values are mean ± SD. Statistical significance of clinical data, body weight at 12 weeks, is shown as *P < 0.05 versus control by Wilcoxon signed rank test (*P* = 0.028).
reduction in obesity clinical trials. In our clinical trial, the weight difference between the placebo and FFAs-absorbing *Lactobacillus* JBD301 groups was 1.24 kg without calorie restriction, which is far greater than that of the orlistat trial, where 0.71 kg was the weight difference compared to placebo after 180 mg of orlistat for 12 weeks under a hypocaloric diet [33]. Therefore, this work shows that the *Lactobacillus* strains with the ability to actively remove intestinal FFAs have anti-obesity effects as great as the most popular anti-obesity pharmaceutical drug, orlistat, in animal experiments as well as in a clinical trial.

However, abdominal fat, blood lipid profile, fasting blood glucose, blood insulin, and HbA1c failed to show significant difference between placebo and experimental group in this trial. Further clinical trials need to consider more numbers of subjects with longer periods of intervention, if possible, with strict control of diet and exercise.

**Discussion**

Excess caloric intake from dietary fat is the most important determining factor for obesity, which has become more prevalent throughout the developed world [34,35]. For the vast majority of humans, caloric intake that exceeds caloric expenditure by as little as 1% could result in the accumulation of body fat and eventual obesity [36]. Therefore, the 30% reduction in FFAs absorption by orlistat produces significant weight loss [37].

In this work, we demonstrated that the *Lactobacillus* strains that lower intestinal FFAs concentration in the guts show anti-obesity effects almost as much as the most popular anti-obesity pharmaceutical drug, orlistat, in animal experiments as well as in a clinical trial (Fig. 5). Although orlistat inhibits FFAs generation for absorption, JBD301 absorbs FFAs and lowers FFA concentration in the gut fluid contents and thus reduces the amount of FFAs available for absorption. Eventually, they both reduce the FFAs uptake into the body and, thus can result in significant weight loss. In addition, *Lactobacillus* JBD301 strains have obvious advantages over the current pharmaceuticals for obesity. The *Lactobacillus* strains do not act on the brain but instead act peripherally and, therefore, have a superior risk-benefit profile to the current centrally acting drugs. Second, *Lactobacillus* does not act on lipid hydrolysis as orlistat does, which can cause unavoidable side effects in the GI tract, such as anal leakage and oily spotting. Third, *Lactobacillus* is a beneficial probiotic that conveys considerable safety as a drug for long-term administration.

Despite numerous studies, the direct impact of intestinal microbiota at the genus and species levels on the body weight of host remains unclear until now. Some *Lactobacillus* species are associated with weight gain whereas others are associated with weight loss [38,39]. For instance, administration of *L. acidophilus, L. fermentum* and *L. ingluviei* was associated with weight gain, whereas *L. plantarum* and *L. gasseri* were associated with weight loss. More complicatedly, different strains from the same species often showed different effects on weight [38]. Although some strains of *L. reuteri* were associated with obesity, other strains of *L. reuteri* prevent diet-induced obesity in a strain-dependent fashion [39,40]. In this study, we showed that lactobacilli either acquired or naturally having the ability to remove FFAs in the GI tract showed anti-obesity phenotypes, which implies that metabolic activities rather than microbial composition in the intestinal microbiota play determining roles in host phenotypes. These results were further supported by the recent finding that administration of *L. gasseri* SBT2055, a *Lactobacillus* strain having anti-obesity phenotype, decreased significantly the serum concentration of FFAs in hyperglycemic subject [41]. Considering that microbiota seems to affect host obesity by modulating nutrient uptake and energy metabolism, lactobacilli regulating intestinal absorption of FFAs could be the ideal approaches for anti-obesity phenotypes [42–44].

Recently, it has been acknowledged that the intestinal microbiota is associated with various human diseases, including obesity, diabetes, metabolic syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, cognitive functions, cholelithiasis and autism [45–47]. Metagenomic studies demonstrated that the composition of the intestinal microbiota differs in control and disease groups in humans as well as animals [48–51]. However, investigations into the microbial species responsible for diseases have frequently produced conflicting results with no successful attempts to develop a treatment for these diseases by modulating the composition of the intestinal microbiota [52,53]. One possible explanation for the inconsistent correlation between the composition of the intestinal microbiota and the resultant diseases might be due to the intraspecies heterogeneity of bacterial genomes [54]. Even within a species, bacterial genomes are highly heterogeneous because of their haploid genomes and the presence of extrachromosomal elements; thus, metabolic activities within a species of bacteria frequently differ from each other [55]. To elucidate the determining role of the intestinal microbiota in obesity, based on our results, it is reasonable to investigate the metabolic differences of the intestinal microbiota rather than the compositional differences.
We also believe that this approach will lead to the development of living microbial drugs to treat various diseases associated with microbiota.
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