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1. Abstract
Wolaita Sodo town suffering the shortage of safe water supply service due to increasing population. The aim of study was evaluating the performance of water supply system to develop strategies to improve the situation for the future. It was carried out using six performance indicators suggested by International Water Association (IWA) this was analyzed by SIGMA lite and user perception data that analyzed by SPSS. As study indicates, average consumption for about 73% of households was less than 20 liter/capita/day. Most of the households (i.e. 53%) were dependent on public tap, vendors and unprotected spring as a primary water source. About 22% of households depend on neighboring water vendors and pay high price (i.e. average of 70.6 ETB/month) for poor drinking water source. Among the six IWA indicators of water supply service; operational indicators and quality of service indicators fully showed a good performance. However, from water resource indicators (consumer satisfaction & production/person/day); from personnel indicators (employees/connection), all physical indicators and from financial indicators (water tariff) need some improvement. Generally as the results of the study shows, there was a shortage of water supply service in the town due to the problem of existing water supply system.
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2. Introduction
Water is a very basic necessity of life. The planet earth has enormous quantity of water, but usable water is very limited (1). The demand of water is continuously increasing due to increase in population, industrialization, urbanization and improved standard of living (2). About 75% of earth is covered by water. But from this high amount only 3% of it is available as fresh water, which is found in lakes, rivers, springs, ice and ground water etc. Even this little amount of fresh water is not found evenly distributes in all parts of the earth (3). To evaluate and monitor the rate of success, or failure, in meeting this objective, water supply utilities employ a set of Performance Indicators (PIs), quantitative data, which reflect on the performance of various components of the water supply system (2). The ultimate goal of a PI is not merely statistical evaluation but rather to provide information that aids in decision-making. Hence, the usefulness of PIs does not only pertain to water supply undertakings but also to regional/ national planning bodies, regulatory agencies, funding, etc. The first step towards a sustainable water supply system is to evaluate the performance of a given WSS, which further provides the basis for detailed investigations (detailed condition assessment). The performance of a WSS can be assessed by selecting suitable performance indicators (PIs) (4). Wolaita Sodo is one of the developing towns in Ethiopia in which there is a growing demand for water and sanitation services in the town due to growing populations, rising standards of living and per capita incomes. However, the demand for water in the town is growing much faster than the supply. As the household survey carried out by the socio economic group of the consultant, it was reported that about 59.9% of the households get safe water whereas the remaining 40.1% do not have safe water supply access (5). This shows the shortage of the water in the town. One of the causes for this problem is that the existing water supply system in the town is not performing as intended by its design. The current water production is 953,650m³/year or 2612.74m³/day. An average annual water loss of the town is 143,047m³/year. To this effect these households use unprotected sources such as un-protected spring, hand dug well and river (6). Therefore, this research is conducted in this town to assess the performance of the existing water supply system by using user perceptions and IWA performance indicator system of water resource, personnel, physical, operational, quality of service and financial and then the strategy is developed to improve the current situation of the water supply system in the town.

3. Objectives
The main purpose of this study is to develop strategies to improve water supply services in the town by evaluating the performance of the existing water supply system. To achieve this objective, evaluation of the performance of water supply system at a local level by using end user perceptions and at town level by using IWA system performance indicators such as water resources (WR), personnel (Pe), physical (Ph), operational (Op), quality service (QS) & financial (Fi) was carried out, then comparing of its performance at different areas of the town and also with external ADB (Asian Development Bank) water supply system evaluation criteria was carried out and finally measures and strategies were suggested to improve the water supply service situation in the town.
4. Methodology

Study area background

Wolaita Sodo is a town and separate woreda in south-central Ethiopia. The administrative center of the Wolaita Zone of the Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples Region, it has a latitude and longitude of 6°54′N 37°45′E with an elevation between 1,600 and 2,100 meters (5,200 and 6,900 feet) above sea level. The total area of the town is 8,300 hectares (7).
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Figure 1: Location map of Sodo town

**Indicators selected for data collection**

To analyze the performance of the water supply system at local (end users) level, questionnaire regarding to the following indicators prepared. These are; water quantity supplied to users, water quality supplied to users, reliability for continuity, convenience of the water points, proportion of the household using different types of facilities, and volume of water used and for what purpose (4).

To analyze the performance of the water supply system at town level, six IWA systems of performance indicators selected. These are; Water resources (WR), Personnel (Pe), Physical (Ph), Operational (Op), Quality of service (QS) and Economic & financial (Fi) (4).
Data and data collection tools
Both primary and secondary data were used in this study. One of the data collection tool used was pre-prepared questionnaire and the other is data collection checklists (variable and context information checklists). Field visit, discussions with the town water experts and other stakeholders and also referring different documents and websites that deals about the town water supply system were carried out.

Field data collection, Sampling and Sample design
The field data collection consisting of interviews of the selected sample households from 3 sub cities (i.e. Merkato, Mehal and Arada) that contain 13 kebeles and 5 sub kebeles of the town and data gathering from different stakeholders related to the water supply system in the study area and checklists guided issues which have been investigated during the data collection as discussed in the previous sections. To collect the questionnaire based data from the end users of the town water supply service, a systematic and purposive sampling technique were applied. Generally, to collect the user perception data from the town, the town was divided in to sub cities and then the sub cities were divided into kebeles & sub kebeles and then in each kebeles & sub kebeles, the criteria like socio economic growth (i.e. high, medium & low ), the areas with different conditions of the water supply service (i.e. with access and without access) to existing water supply service and connection type (House connection, yard own connection, yard shared connection, public tap and others) were applied to select the sample households. To make the study meaningful and more effective, 300 sample households were selected out of the total 19,877 households.

Data analysis
The performance of the existing water supply system situation of the towns at local level was analyzed by using the statistical software called SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) for user perception data's and the performance of the existing water supply system situation at the towns level was analyzed by SIGMA lite 3 for international water association (IWA) system of performance indicators (PIs). Then depending on the results, the existing water supply system performance status of the two study towns’ compared and then further suggestions and recommendations that will help to improve the water supply system situation in the town was done.

Figure 2: Research methodology process diagram
5. Results and discussion

Results of performance evaluation at local level

Figure 3: Comparison of health and hygiene awareness in sub cities

As fig 3 shows, the awareness status in the three sub cities of the study area is slightly different and this is because of the different factors in the different areas.

Figure 5: Comparison of primary water sources in three sub cities

As fig 4, most of the households in all three sub cities of the town get their primary water from own yard connection and then from public taps and vendors. But due to the difference in their living standards and economic status of the households, the percentages of the households that uses these water sources is slightly different in different sub cities. For instance, the households that get their primary water from the public tap are 26.4% in Merkato, 18.1% in Mehal and 27.4% in Arada.
As fig 5 shows, in Merkato and Arada sub cities, the percentage of households that use the alternative water source is similar i.e. 83.3% and remaining 16.7% of the households do not have alternative water sources. The percentage of households that have alternative water source in Mehal sub city was greater than that of Merkatos and Aradas. Generally, as the results from primary and alternative water sources shows, still know there is a shortage of the water in the town i.e. the existing water supply system is not accessible for the whole households of the town. Due to this as the above results from the primary and alternative water sources indicates, from the total households of the town, about 20.4% (6.3% primary and 14.1% alternative) of the town households use unprotected spring near their areas for the drinking purposes. Since the water from this source is unprotected and untreated, it may cause both water born and water related disease.

As shown in fig 6, most of the households per capita water consumption was in range of 10-20lcd. In Merkato 47.2%, In Mehal 51.4% and in Arada 53.6% of households’ water use was in the range of 10-20lcd. Percentages of HH in the range of 20-30lcd water use were, 22.2% in Merkato, 25% in Mehal and 19% in Arada sub city. Generally in all sub cities, the per capita water use was different as the above result in fig and table shows. The reason for this difference is that the households are different in standard of living, water source they use, number of people in households, etc.
Table 1: Summary of projected current and future water demands

| Descriptions                     | Unit | 2016          | 2020          | 2025          | 2030          | 2035          | 2040          |
|----------------------------------|------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Population                       |     | 89430         | 105368        | 128055        | 154078        | 183545        | 216472        |
| Domestic water demand            | m³/d| 2467.0        | 2530.1        | 3610.1        | 5052.5        | 6946.2        | 9376.3        |
| Non domestic demand              | m³/d| 1171.8        | 1265.1        | 1917.9        | 2715.7        | 3803.1        | 5274.2        |
| Average Day Demand               | m³/d| 3638.8        | 3795.2        | 5527.9        | 7768.1        | 10749.3       | 14650.4       |
| l/s                              |     | 42.1          | 43.9          | 64.0          | 89.9          | 124.4         | 169.6         |
| Maximum Day Demand               | m³/d| 4184.6        | 4364.5        | 6080.7        | 8545.0        | 11824.2       | 16115.5       |
| Peak Hour Demand                 | l/s  | 48.4          | 50.5          | 70.4          | 98.9          | 136.9         | 186.5         |
| Total daily supply into network  | m³/d| 3638.8        | 3795.2        | 5527.9        | 7768.1        | 10749.3       | 14650.4       |
| Total annual supply into network | m³/y | 1328160       | 1385255       | 2017701       | 2835373       | 3923496       | 5347403.4     |
| Required annual production capacity | m³/y | 1328160       | 1385255       | 2017701       | 2835373       | 3923496       | 5347403.4     |

Water supply gaps and service level benchmark in the town

| Indicators                        | Benchmark | Current status | Gaps         |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|
| Water supply coverage            | 100       | 82.79%         | 17.21%       |
| Production l/c/d                  | 50 l/c/d  | 30 l/c/d       | 20 l/c/d     |
| Demand & Supply 3638.8 m³/d      | 2612.7 m³/d | -1026.1 m³/d   |             |
| Note: Production/person/day = 3638.8/74039 ≈ 50 liters/person/day |

The present daily average input into the water supply system is 2612.7 m³/d (953,650 m³/year). But in the town there is a shortage of the water as the above result shows. But to improve the existing water service problem depends on the variables include real loss and system input volume. As real losses increase, inefficiency of use of water resources increases.

Results of performance evaluation at town level

Table 2: SIGMA Lite software results of selected performance indicator

| Code | Name                                | Units   | Value | Confidence grades |
|------|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|-------------------|
| Fi46 | Non-revenue water by volume (%)     | (%)     | 15.07 | A3                |
| Fi47 | Non-revenue water by cost (%)       | (%)     | 43.77 | B3                |
| Op23 | Water losses per connection (m³/connection/year) | (m³/connection/year) | 20.12 | B3                |
| Op42 | Microbiological tests carried out (%) | (%)     | 62.5  | B3                |
| Op43 | Physical-chemical tests carried out (%) | (%)     | 62.5  | B3                |
| Pe1  | Employees per connection (No./1000connections) | (%)     | 4.92  | A2                |
| Pe13 | Water quality monitoring personnel (No.)(10000tests/year)) | (%)     | 10000 | B3                |
| Pe14 | Meter management personnel (No.)(10000) | (%)     | 0.14  | A2                |
| Pe9  | Operations & maintenance personnel (%) | (%)     | 71.43 | A3                |
| Ph12 | Metered customer (No.)(/customer) | (%)     | 1     | A2                |
| Ph2  | Raw water storage capacity (days)    | (days)  | 0.88  | B3                |
| Ph3  | Treated water storage capacity (days) | (days)  | 0.15  | B3                |
| QS20 | Microbiological tests compliance (%) | (%)     | 98.67 | A1                |
| QS21 | Physical-chemical tests compliance (%) | (%)     | 100   | A1                |
| QS3  | Population coverage (%)             | (%)     | 82.79 | A2                |
| QS4  | Population coverage with service connections (%) | (%)     | 38.3  | A2                |
| QS5  | Population coverage public taps (%) | (%)     | 44.49 | A2                |
| QS8  | Per capita water consumed in public taps (l/person/day) | (l/person/day) | 28    | B2                |
| QS9  | Per population public tap (persons/tap) | (persons/tap) | 1101.9 | A2                |
| WR1  | Inefficiency of use of water resources (%) | (%)     | 10.3  | B2                |
| WR2  | Water resources availability (%)     | (%)     | 43.51 | B2                |

Water resources indicators (WR)

Production per person per day is one of the measures of overall efficiency of water resource use. The result of this parameter is 0.03 m³/person/day (30 liters/person/day) This figure is small when compared with the ADB water supply system evaluation criteria value i.e. average of 50 l/c/d. Inefficiency of use of water resources (WR1) was another performance indicator of the water supply system. The result of this indicator was 10.3%. This indicator depends on the variables include real loss and system input volume. As real losses increase, inefficiency of use of water resources increases.

Personnel indicators (Pe)

The result of skilled labor (Operation and maintenance personnel) was 71.43% as table 2 shows. If compared with
the last ten years the authority made an increment of the skilled personnel by 11%. This reflects that the Sodo Town Water Supply & Sewerage Organization has enough efficiency in terms of staff numbers. One the other hand employees/1000 connections was indicator of the personnel performance. As the result in the table 2 shows, the authority has 4.92 employees/ 1000 connections. When compared with Bereket Belayhuns value for selected areas of Addis Ababa i.e. 6.62 employees/1000 connections, the value was still small.

Physical indicators (Ph)
As table 2 shows, the storage capacities i.e. the raw water storage capacity (Ph2) and treated water storage capacity (Ph3) figures for the town existing water supply system are very low i.e. 0.88 and 0.15 respectively in days. This indicators depend on the variables include system input volume, assessment period, treated and raw water storage volumes in cubic meter. When this value compared to the Bereket Belayhuns value for selected areas of Addis Ababa, i.e. 319.08 days & 0.4 days, it was very small.

Operational indicators (Op)
A water loss per connection (Op 23) is one of the major operational performance indicators. Its result in m³/connection /year was 20.12. It depends on total water losses of the system, number of service connections and assessment period. As the total water losses of the system increase, the value of this indicator also increases. When this value compared to the Bereket Belayhuns value for selected areas of Addis Ababa, i.e 119.92 m³/connection/year, it was very small. Microbiological tests carried out (Op42) and physical chemical tests carried out (Op43) were the other operational performance indicators. The results of these indicators were 62.5%. These indicators depend on the variables of required microbiological & physical chemical tests carried out out and microbiological & physical chemical tests required. When these values compared to the Bereket Belayhuns value for selected areas of Addis Ababa, i.e. 96.96% and 100%, its value was low but better than other indicators.

Quality of service indicators (QS)
The quality of the supplied water in the town is also very encouraging as the result of microbiological and physical chemical quality of supplied water shows in the table above i.e. 98.67% and 100% respectively. When it compared to ADB criteria of the quality of water supplied to consumers i.e. ≥ 95%, the value is in the higher side. When these values compared to the Bereket Belayhuns value for selected areas of Addis Ababa, i.e. 98.8 % and 99.57%, it was better in sodo town. Coverage (QS3) is another indicator of quality of service and its value was 82.79%. As it compared to the Bereket Belayhuns value for selected areas of Addis Ababa i.e.85%, it was encouraging that could be managed in few years.

Financial indicators (Fi)
Non-revenue water by cost (Fi46) was one of economic and financial performance indicator of the public water supply system. It depends on the variables include unbilled authorized consumption, apparent losses, real losses, running costs and average water charges for the direct consumption. The result of this indicator was 43.77% as indicated in table 2 above. When this value compared to Bereket Belayhuns value for selected areas of Addis Ababa, i.e. 26.99% it was better in Sodo town.

6. Improvement Measures
From the results of the performance indicators discussed in the preceding sections, the suggested improvement measures to improve the existing situation of safe water service in the town include the following but not limited to:

i. Greater service level and coverage
ii. Availability of water for 24 hours
iii. Water demand management by public awareness
iv. Promotion of private tap connections and gradual elimination of public taps (extensive use of public taps represents low level of service and reduce water accountability potential)
v. Additional reduction of water losses
vi. Encouraging large water consumers other than drinking to dig wells of their own
vii. Efficient water meter reading and 100% metering so that the volume of water consumed and water losses can accurately be known
viii. Appropriate reports and records of the water supply situation
ix. Creation of water conservation awareness
x. Promote water saving devices
xi. Upgrading and maintenance of the existing system

7. Strategies for improving water services in the town

Short term strategies
Operation and maintenance: upgrading and rehabilitation of the existing raw and treated water reservoirs as well as distribution system
Advocacy for the water supply sector: all stakeholders in the sector including Sodo Town Water Supply &
Public management of human, financial and water resources, resulting in poor service to the consumer can be improved and the private sector can also bring in funding for capital development. NGOs should take part in advocating the sector for more investments.

Public private partnership: In addition to the public sector and communities, the private sector has to play a vital role in improving the access of safe water supply service in the town. This is because the lack of efficiency in the public management of human, financial and water resources, resulting in poor service to the consumer can be improved and the private sector can also bring in funding for capital development.

Capacity building: the Sodo Town Water Supply & Sewerage Organization should follow intensive capacity building for its staff (in technical, management and social aspects) to accomplish the mission.

Long term strategies
Development of new water sources: the already studied water sources of the town that were 11 boreholes with estimated yield of 66 l/s at a some well field should be developed to improve the shortage of water in the town. New raw and treated water reservoirs should be constructed for this source including transmission lines.

Reduction of Unaccounted-for water: the UFW in Sodo town water supply should be reduced by carrying out the following:
Phasing out of public taps, tracing of invisible leaks (preventive leakage management), and replacing of old pipes in all areas

Expansion of services for the poor: preparing subsidy for connection fees of the poor that will be paid back through monthly installment basis.

Monitoring of performance: the Town Water Supply & Sewerage Organization should monitor the performance of its own water supply system periodically

8. Some constraints in achieving fully improved water supply service in the town
Natural factors: sustainable availability of water resources at all times is the main factor in the water supply sector (drought may reduce the water resource availability).

Technical factors: there is lack of availability of technology that suits sustainability through linking design to business planning.

Weak community management: presently community management is rather weak in empowering and involving the community in all levels of the programme planning.

Limited external financing: the limited external funds for achieving the fully improved safe water supply service in the town.

9. Limitations of study
Out of the total 19,877 households in the town, only 300 sample households were taken for the study. Therefore, this study gives a general overview of the situation. The water quality test of the different water collection sources at household level was not done. This study focuses more on the quantity of water available, service level and costs.

10. Conclusions
Generally this study was carried to evaluate the performance status of the town existing water supply system and to compare it in different areas in town and with some external water supply service to develop the strategies to improve the existing situation in the town. An increase in population of the town was due to people migrating from rural areas and natural increase has resulted for high demand in drinking water and has placed a strain on existing service provision. The per capita water uses of about 73% of the households are below basic access (i.e. below 20 l/cd) according to WHO (2003) standards. While for the rest of the households it is in the range of basic access. Hence it can be concluded that most of the town households are not accessed by improved water supply. Most of the households i.e. about 53% of households are dependent on public tap, vendors and unprotected spring as a primary water source and walk on average of more than 15 minutes in collecting water. The households are forced to travel to neighboring areas spending on average of 1.5 hour whenever water supply interruptions occur in the area. The water supply system in town is not reliable as there are interruptions of service in weeks. In such situation, households get water from the alternative sources. It was found that households who depend on vendors (22%) pay an average of ETB 70.6/month for water they used which was about 8.8 times the unit cost set by Sodo Town Water Supply Organization water tariffs for public taps and 10.4 times the unit cost set by STWSO water tariff for service pipes. This is an indication of low services and the households are consuming little water but spending high costs than the tariff set by the town water supply organization. About 77.6% of unconnected respondents are prepared to have own yard connection, 12.9% shared yard connection and the rest 9.4% public taps showing that the water vendors and unprotected springs are not the preferred options among the unconnected households. This indicates that the households are currently using these sources because they have no choice. The quantity of water available from the existing water sources of the town 953,650 m³/y is found to be lower than the actual demand of the town 1328160 m³/y. The performance evaluation of the town water supply system with regard to certain
indicators shows improvements in terms of water recourse indicators (production/person/day), in terms of personnel indicators (employees/1000connections), in terms of physical indicators (storage capacity), in terms of financial indicators (water tariff) and the ADB system was in an encouraging situation in terms of operational indicators, quality of service indicators, personnel indicators (O & M personnel), water resource indicators (inefficiency of use of water resources, resource availability). Generally the study indicates that the sodo town existing water supply system should have to be improved to solve the existing water service problem in the town.
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