Efficacy and safety of Infliximab for steroid-resistant immune-related adverse events: A retrospective study
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Abstract. The present study investigated outcomes of infliximab (IFX) treatment among 8 Japanese patients with various types of cancer (4 with malignant melanoma, 3 with lung cancer and 1 with renal cancer) who developed severe steroid-resistant immune-related adverse events (irAEs) in association with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) to determine its efficacy and safety. Information, including patient background, treatment progress, examination data and imaging data, was collected retrospectively from electronic medical records. Adverse reactions were evaluated using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0. Specific ICIs used were anti-PD-1, anti-PD-L1 and anti-CTLA-4 antibody preparations in 7, 2 and 5 patients, respectively. Specific irAEs included grade 3 diarrhea/colitis in 7 patients and disseminated intravascular coagulation and myocarditis attributed to autoimmune activation in 1 patient. The median duration between systemic steroid and IFX treatments was 9 (range, 2-39) days. A total of 3 patients responded to IFX, 1 of whom responded after one dose and 2 responded after two doses. Respective diseases improved to grade 0 after a median of 18 (range, 9-32) days. No AEs were attributable to IFX. Additionally, anti-cytomegalovirus (CMV) and antibacterial agents were administered in parallel given the presence of CMV and Clostridium difficile (CD) infections in all patients, except in 1 exhibiting a marked IFX response after one dose. The combination of highly immunosuppressive IFX and high-dose systemic steroid administration over a long period presumably predisposed the patients to opportunistic enteric infections. Accordingly, early initiation of IFX treatment in conjunction with systemic steroid therapy should be considered for severe diarrhea/colitis and other irAEs. However, the possibility for CMV and CD infections should be recognized, and for these the treatment strategy may need to be modified at an early stage.

Introduction

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) are promising drugs that can potentiate the immune system of cancer patients for disease treatment. ICIs block endogenous factors, such as cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4) and programmed cell death-1 (PD-1), thereby enhancing the antitumor effect. ICIs, such as nivolumab (Nivo), have reportedly extended the patient overall survival in cases of different types of cancer and have been approved in several countries. Meanwhile, Immune-related adverse events (irAEs), which often occur in association with immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) treatment, require early detection and appropriate management considering their potentially fatal outcomes. Among irAEs, diarrhea/colitis occurs particularly frequently, and serious complications, such as intestinal perforation, may follow unless timely and appropriate treatment is provided (1). The American Society of Clinical Oncology guideline includes an organ system-based management algorithm showing recommended management procedures for various irAEs according to grade (2). In line with this, steroid therapy with approximately 1 mg/kg/day prednisolone (PSL) equivalent is immediately initiated for grade 3 diarrhea/colitis. However, when symptoms do not improve, infliximab (IFX) treatment, generally at a dose of 5 mg/kg/day according to the
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administration for ulcerative colitis (3-11), has been recommended. Single-dose IFX administration has often been selected as an irAE treatment, with additional doses administered only when no improvements occur after the first dose. Perez-Ruiz et al (12) have demonstrated that the inhibition of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) after ICI administration may prevent the occurrence of severe colitis. IFX, approved in 1999 in the United States and in 2003 in Japan, is an anti-TNF-α antibody drug that binds to and neutralizes the action of TNF-α, which plays a key role in the development and exacerbation of rheumatoid arthritis. While transient headache and nausea can occur as short-term adverse reactions to IFX, these symptoms are mild. However, it is imperative to pre-emptively identify symptoms of medium- and long-term adverse reactions such as infectious diseases, demyelinating diseases, aplastic anemia, malignant tumors, autoimmune diseases, and heart failure, among which infectious diseases are of particular concern. Several case reports have described successful treatment of steroid-resistant ICI-induced diarrhea/colitis with IFX in patients receiving ipilimumab (IPI), an anti-cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4) antibody preparation (3-6), as well as anti-programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) antibody or anti-programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) antibody preparations (7-11). However, we were unable to identify any report systematically dealing with the required number of IFX doses or the time to switch to after treatment.

Therefore, this study investigated the outcomes of IFX treatment among Japanese cancer patients who developed severe steroid-resistant irAEs induced by various ICIs and examined its efficacy and safety for severe steroid-resistant irAEs.

Materials and methods

Patients. Among nine Japanese patients with cancer who underwent IFX treatment for ICI-induced steroid-resistant irAEs at the Osaka International Cancer Institute (hereinafter referred to as the ‘Institution’) between January 2018 and June 2019, eight whose electronic medical records contained the necessary treatment information were included; one patient who participated in a clinical trial was excluded.

We obtained ‘consent to the publication of a paper related to the course of irAE treatment’ from a patient who was alive and able to undergo follow-up examinations (case no. 2). For the patients who could not provide consent, regardless of whether they were deceased or owing to other reasons, we used the opt-out submitted when we requested an approval from the Institutional Review Board as a substitute for the consent.

Information collected. Electronic medical records were retrospectively investigated to collect information, such as patient background, treatment progress, examination data, and imaging data. AEs were assessed using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0. The first of 7 consecutive days during which diarrhea severity remained grade 0 was defined as the day of resolution.

Statistical analysis. In this study, we used the statistical software Microsoft Excel 2013 to calculate only the median.

Results

Background and irAE treatment details. Patient background and irAE treatment details are summarized in Table I. Six male and two female patients, with a median (range) age and body weight of 66 (58-74) years and 60.0 (38.0-85.1) kg, respectively, were included in this study. Four patients presented with malignant melanoma, three with lung cancer, and one with kidney cancer. Specific ICIs used included anti-PD-1, anti-PD-L1, and anti-CTLA-4 antibody preparations in seven, two, and five patients, respectively.

irAE treatment was switched from steroids to IFX since systemic steroid treatment was ineffective for grade ≥3 diarrhea/colitis (case nos. 1-7) or for disseminated intravascular coagulation and myocarditis resulting from activated autoimmunity (case no. 8). Grade 3 diarrhea/colitis occurred at a median (range) of 119 (5-1,011) days after the first ICI dose or at a median (range) of 15.5 (5-75) days after the last ICI dose. Grade 3 hepatitis preceded diarrhea/colitis in one case (case no. 4).

Three patients who responded to IFX satisfied the defined resolution (case nos. 1, 5 and 8). Accordingly, responses to IFX occurred after one dose in case no. 1 and after two doses in case nos. 5 and 8 (in case no. 5, an accessory third dose was administered, but the defined resolution was satisfied after two IFX doses). Although the treatment was transiently effective in one case (case no. 2), irAEs relapsed. Two patients did not respond to IFX (case nos. 3 and 4), while two patients (case nos. 6 and 7) underwent rapid deterioration of their general condition after IFX treatment initiation and died before the IFX effect was noted. The cause of death was irAE diarrhea and multi-organ failure in case no. 6 and respiratory failure due to multiple lung metastases and pulmonary congestion in case no. 7. No causal relationships were observed between IFX treatment and deaths. The median (range) number of days between systemic steroid treatment and IFX initiation was 9 (2-39) days. In three IFX responders, resolution to grade 0 required a median (range) of 18 (9-32) days after IFX treatment initiation. No AEs were attributable to IFX. No patients developed cytomegalovirus (CMV) or Clostridium difficile (CD) infection when grade 3 irAEs occurred.

Among four patients who experienced the relapse of grade 3 diarrhea/colitis after IFX administration or were refractory to IFX, three (case nos. 2, 4 and 5) developed CMV infection and one (case no. 3) developed CD infection after IFX initiation. Detailed treatment courses are described in separate sections for case no. 1, in whom IFX was effective, and case no. 2, in whom irAE relapsed repeatedly.

Since different hormone level between women in menstruation period and women without menstruation period would impact the resistance to steroid, case reports did not list premenopausal women.

Case reports

Case no. 1. The case was a 44-year-old man with a body weight of 69.8 kg.

Diseases: Malignant melanoma of the head, with left cervical lymph node, multiple cutaneous/subcutaneous, lung, and multiple brain metastases (stage IV)
Table I. Background and irAE treatment details.

| No. | Age, years | Sex | Body weight, kg | Name of neoplastic disease | Past medical history | ICI (before onset of irAE) | irAE (for which infliximab was used) | Safety of IFX | Dosage and administration of steroids and infliximab (days after the last dose of ICI) | Outcome |
|-----|------------|-----|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 1   | 44         | M   | 70              | Malignant melanoma (stage IV); left cervical lymph node metastasis, multiple cutaneous and subcutaneous metastases, lung metastasis, brain metastasis | Psoriasis vulgaris, hypertension | Nivolumab 5 courses ⇒ PD ⇒ Ipilimumab 2 courses | Day 16: Diarrhea/colitis (Grade 3) | NO           | Day 16: Betamethasone (p.o.) 1 mg (brought in by patient) + Prednisolone (p.o.) 20 mg; Prednisolone (i.v.); Day 17-23: 70 mg (1 mg/kg) ≪ Day 22: Infliximab 340 mg (5 mg/kg) ≫; Day 24-28: 60 mg; Day 29-34: 50 mg; Day 35-37: 40 mg; Prednisolone (p.o.); Day 38-41: 40 mg; Day 42-47: 30 mg; Day 48-54: 20 mg; Day 55-60: 15 mg; Day 61-74: 10 mg; Discharge | Effective; Day 31: Grade 0 |
| 2   | 58         | M   | 78              | Adenocarcinoma of superior lobe (stage IIIA); Visceral pleural invasion, mediastinal lymph node metastasis | Chronic hepatitis B, chronic gastritis, refractory gastric ulcer, reflux esophagitis | Durvalumab 7 courses | Day 15: Diarrhea/colitis (Grade 3) | Day 17: NO; Day 15-21: 80 mg (1 mg/kg); ≪ Day 19: Infliximab 375 mg (5 mg/kg) ≫; Day 22-24: 70 mg; Day 25-27: 60 mg; Day 28-30: 50 mg; Day 31-36: 40 mg; ≪ Day 33: Infliximab 350 mg (5 mg/kg) ≫; Day 37-68: 30 mg; Prednisolone (p.o.); Day 69-75: 30 mg; Day 76-82: 25 mg; Day 83-89: 20 mg; Day 89-95: 17.5 mg; Day 96-108: 15 mg; Day 109-122: 10 mg; Day 123-135: 5 mg; Day 136-149: 2.5 mg | Prednisolone (i.v.); Day 19: Grade 3 Day 30: Grade 2 Day 33: Grade 3 Day 44: Grade 2 Day 51: Grade 0 | Ineffective (relapse) |
Table I. Continued.

| No. | Age, years | Sex | Weight, kg | Name of neoplastic disease | Past medical history | ICI (before onset of irAE) | irAE (for which infliximab was used) | Safety of IFX CMV | Safety of IFX CD | Dosage and administration of steroids and infliximab (days after the last dose of ICI) | Outcome |
|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| 3   | 70         | M   | 60         | Adenocarcinoma of the left superior lobe (stage IVA) | None | Nivolumab 35 courses ⇒ PD ⇒ Atezolizumab 3 courses | Day 11: Diarrhea/colitis (Grade 3) | NO | Day 19: NO; Day 40: YES; Day 96: NO | Prednisolone (i.v.); Day 25-27: 60 mg (1 mg/kg); Prednisolone (p.o.); Day 28-35: 50 mg; ≪Day 34: Infliximab 300 mg (5 mg/kg)≫; Prednisolone (i.v.); Day 36-41: 50 mg; Day 42-48: 40 mg; Prednisolone (p.o.); Day 49-53: 35 mg; Day 54-61: 30 mg; Day 62-75: 25 mg; Day 76-89: 20 mg; Day 90-96: 15 mg; Day 97-119: 10 mg; Day 120-161: 5 mg; Day 162-189: 3 mg; Day 190-217: 2 mg; Day 218-273: 1 mg; Discharge | Ineffective (protracted); Grade 0-3 diarrhea persisted. |
| 4   | 65         | W   | 53.5       | Right lacrimal sac; Malignant melanoma (stage IV); Lung metastasis, pleural dissemination, meningeal dissemination | None | Nivolumab + Ipilimumab 1 course | Day 23: hepatitis (Grade 2); Day 27: hepatitis (Grade 3); Day 31: hepatitis (Grade 1); Day 53: Diarrhea/colitis/enteritis (Grade 3) | Day 55: NO; Day 71: YES; Day 80: YES; Day 85: NO | | Methyl prednisolone sodium succinate (i.v.); Day 23-26: 50 mg; Day 27-41: 100 mg; Day 42-46: 80 mg; Day 47-86: 70 mg; ≪Day 62: Infliximab 250 mg (5 mg/kg)≫; Day 87-97: 60 mg; Day 98-105: 50 mg; Day 106-112: 40 mg; Day 113-118: 30 mg; ≪Day 117: Infliximab 300 mg (5 mg/kg)≫; Day 119-126: 20 mg; Prednisolone (i.v.); Day 127-133: 20 mg; Day 134-140: 15 mg; Day 141-146: 10 mg; Day 147-155: 5 mg (discontinued); ≪Day 159: Infliximab 300 mg (5 mg/kg)≫ | Ineffective (protracted); Grade 1-3 diarrhea persisted. |
| No. | Sex | Age (years) | Weight (kg) | Body weight | Past medical history | ICI (before onset of irAE) | irAE (for safety of IFX dosage and administration) | Safety of IFX (days after the last dose of ICI) | Outcome |
|-----|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| 5   | M   | 67          | 64          | Lateral border of right foot; Malignant melanoma (stage IV) | Age, weight, of neoplastic disease history | Nivolumab | Day 10: Diarrhea/colitis (Grade 3) | Day 12: Effective; Methylprednisolone sodium succinate (i.v.); Day 22: | Day 12: Effective; Methylprednisolone sodium succinate (i.v.); Day 22: |
| 6   | M   | 74          | 56          | Adenosquamous cell lung cancer (stage IV); Adrenal cancer; Adrenal metastasis, brain metastasis | Esophageal cancer | Day 6: Diarrhea/colitis (Grade 2); | Day 18: worsened to Grade 3 | Day 18: worsened to Grade 3 | Died |
| 7   | W   | 62          | 38          | Rectal malignant melanoma (stage IV); Multiple lymph node metastases, multiple lung metastases, liver metastases | None | Nivolumab + Ipilimumab 3 course | Day 1: Diarrhea/colitis (Grade 2); | Day 18: improved; | Died |

Table I. Continued.
Table I. Continued.

| No. | Age, years | Sex | Weight, kg | Name of neoplastic disease | Past medical history | ICI (before onset of irAE) | irAE (for which infliximab was used) | Safety of IFX CMV | Safety of IFX CD | Dosage and administration of steroids and infliximab (days after the last dose of ICI) | Outcome |
|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 8   | 66         | M   | 85.1       | Right kidney cancer (stage IV) | Hypertension, dyslipidemia, gastric ulcer, chronic hepatitis C, myocarditis | Nivolumab + Ipilimumab 4 courses ⇒ Nivolumab 8 courses | Day 34: DIC exacerbation, myocarditis, skin problems | Day 34: Not tested | Day 34: NO; Day 50: NO; Day 58: YES; Day 63: YES; Day 69: YES; Day 75: YES; Day 82: NO | Prednisolone (i.v.); Day 34-36: 80 mg; Methyl prednisolone sodium succinate (i.v.); Day 37-39: 1000 mg; Prednisolone (i.v.); Day 40-44: 80 mg; ≪Day 40: Infliximab 425 mg (5 mg/kg≫; Day 45-47: 60 mg; Day 48-53: 50 mg; Day 54-60: 40 mg; ≪Day 54: Infliximab 400 mg (5 mg/kg)≫; Prednisolone (p.o.); Day 61-64: 30 mg; Day 65-68: 25 mg; Day 69-74: 20 mg; Day 75-81: 15 mg; Day 82-88: 10 mg; Day 89-95: 5 mg; Discharge | Effective; Day 49: DIC improved; Day 69: myocarditis improved |

M, man; W, woman; CMV, cytomegalovirus; CD, *Clostridium difficile*; YES, infection detected; NO, infection not detected; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; irAE, immune related adverse event; p.o., per os; i.v., intravenous.
Past medical history: Psoriasis vulgaris and hypertension

History of the present illness: The patient underwent extended resection and dissection of the left cervical lymph node metastases in June 2017. Multiple cutaneous metastases were noted in November 2017, for which extended resection, flap surgery, and resection of a subcutaneous mass in his left back were performed. Although five courses of nivolumab (Nivo) monotherapy had been administered since December 2017, the disease still progressed. Therefore, the treatment was switched to IPI monotherapy as the second-line treatment in February 2018.

Treatment course: The clinical course after two courses of IPI is presented in Fig. 1. The day on which the second IPI course was introduced was set as day 0. The patient was febrile (38.5°C) on day 13, and grade 3 colitis/diarrhea occurred on day 15. The patient was subsequently hospitalized on day 16 due to diarrhea occurring 10 times/day (grade 3). Endoscopy findings revealed mucosal friability and erosion in the lower gastrointestinal tract (Fig. 2). Laboratory findings on admission are summarized in Table II. The patient was instructed to fast, and replacement fluid and PSL 20 mg/body per os were initiated. Although the PSL dose was increased to 1 mg/kg/day (70 mg/body/day) on day 17, no improvements were noted even after several days; thus, a diagnosis of steroid-resistant colitis/diarrhea was established. Accordingly, IFX 5 mg/kg/day (340 mg/body/day) was administered intravenously on the seventh hospital day (day 22). The number of bowel movements started decreasing a day after IFX administration (day 23),

Figure 1. Clinical course after two courses of ipilimumab in case no. 1. IFX, infliximab; PSL, prednisolone; CRP, C-reactive protein; i.v., intravenous; p.o., per os.

Figure 2. Lower gastrointestinal endoscopy in case no. 1 (day 16). (A) Mucosal friability was noted. (B) Erosion was noted.
Table II. Case no. 1: Laboratory findings on admission.

| Variable               | Value                  |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| Hematology             |                        |
| WBC                    | 6.56x10^3/µl           |
| Neutro                 | 65.9%                  |
| Lympho                 | 15.8%                  |
| Mono                   | 10.6%                  |
| Eosino                 | 3.1%                   |
| Baso                   | 1.0%                   |
| RBC                    | 4.96x10^3/µl           |
| Hb                     | 14.1 g/dl              |
| Ht                     | 42.5%                  |
| PLT                    | 28.3x10^3/µl           |
| CMV antigen            | (-)                    |
| Fecal culture          | (-)                    |
| Fecal CD toxin         | (-)                    |
| Biochemistry           |                        |
| Alb                    | 3.4 g/dl               |
| AST                    | 41 U/l                 |
| ALT                    | 30 U/l                 |
| LDH                    | 3,119 U/l              |
| ALP                    | 219 U/l                |
| γ-GTP                  | 60 U/l                 |
| CK                     | 82 U/l                 |
| Cr                     | 1.11 mg/dl             |
| BUN                    | 13 mg/dl               |
| CRP                    | 3.58 mg/dl             |
| Na                     | 135 mmol/l             |
| K                      | 4.2 mmol/l             |
| Cl                     | 99 mmol/l              |
| TSH                    | 0.15 µU/ml             |
| FT4                    | 0.9 ng/dl              |

WBC, white blood cell; Neutro, neutrophils; Lympho, lymphocyte; Mono, monocyte; Eosino, eosinophils; Baso, basophil; RBC, red blood cell; Hb, hemoglobin; Ht, hematocrit; PLT, platelet; Alb, serum albumin; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; γ-GTP, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase; CK, creatine kinase; Cr, creatinine clearance; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; Na, serum sodium; K, serum potassium; Cl, serum chloride; TSH, thyroid stimulating hormone; FT4, free thyroxine 4; CMV, cytomegalovirus; CD, Clostridium difficile.

Table III. Case no. 2: Laboratory findings on admission.

| Variable               | Value                  |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| Hematology             |                        |
| WBC                    | 8.69x10^3/µl           |
| Neutro                 | 75.3%                  |
| Lympho                 | 12.5%                  |
| Mono                   | 5.7%                   |
| Eosino                 | 3.9%                   |
| Baso                   | 0.3%                   |
| RBC                    | 4.58x10^3/µl           |
| Hb                     | 12.5 g/dl              |
| Ht                     | 40.2%                  |
| PLT                    | 33.4x10^4/µl           |
| CMV antigen            | (-)                    |
| Fecal culture          | (-)                    |
| Fecal CD toxin         | (-)                    |
| Biochemistry           |                        |
| TP                     | 6.8 g/dl               |
| Alb                    | 3.4 g/dl               |
| AST                    | 14 U/l                 |
| ALT                    | 13 U/l                 |
| LDH                    | 199 U/l                |
| γ-GTP                  | 23 U/l                 |
| Cr                     | 0.85 mg/dl             |
| CRP                    | 6.56 mg/dl             |
| Na                     | 142 mmol/l             |
| K                      | 4.0 mmol/l             |
| Cl                     | 104 mmol/l             |

WBC, white blood cell; Neutro, neutrophils; Lympho, lymphocyte; Mono, monocyte; Eosino, eosinophils; Baso, basophil; RBC, red blood cell; Hb, hemoglobin; Ht, hematocrit; PLT, platelet; Alb, serum albumin; TP, total protein; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; γ-GTP, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase; CK, creatine kinase; Cr, creatinine clearance; CRP, C-reactive protein; Na, serum sodium; K, serum potassium; Cl, serum chloride; CMV, cytomegalovirus; CD, Clostridium difficile.

and stool passage normalized 9 days after IFX administration (day 31). The patient was subsequently discharged on day 45.

Case no. 2. The case was a 58-year-old man with a body weight of 78.3 kg.

Diseases: Adenocarcinoma of the right superior lobe, with visceral pleural invasion and mediastinal lymph node metastasis (stage IIIA: T2aN2M0).

Past medical history: Chronic hepatitis B, chronic gastritis, refractory gastric ulcer, and reflux esophagitis.

History of the present illness: As part of chemoradiation therapy (CRT), the patient received two courses of cisplatin/vinorelbine combination therapy in November 2018. A total dose of 64 Gy/40 Fr was used for radical irradiation of the chest and mediastinum. Response assessment showed a stable disease. Durvalumab monotherapy was then initiated on day 44 after RT in January 2019 as a post-CRT maintenance therapy.

Treatment course: The clinical course after seven courses of durvalumab is shown in Fig. 3. The day on which the seventh course of durvalumab was introduced was set as day 0. The patient was hospitalized for thorough examination and treatment on day 15 due to diarrhea (bloody stool) occurring 27 times/day (grade 3). Laboratory findings on admission are summarized in Table III. Colonoscopy revealed redness, erosion, edema, and grade 3 (Mayo classification)
lesions throughout the colon (Fig. 4), and computed tomography revealed wall thickening of the rectum, sigmoid colon, and cecum. Based on these findings, the patient was diagnosed with grade 3 colitis as an irAE. Treatment with high-calorie infusion, antibacterial cefmetazole infusion, and oral sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim in conjunction with daily administration of PSL 1 mg/kg/day (80 mg/body/day) was initiated in a fasted state. On day 19, diarrhea occurred 21 times/day (grade 3), and the stool remained muddy. Thus, steroid treatment was concluded to be ineffective. Thereafter, IFX 5 mg/kg/day (375 mg/body/day) was administered with the steroid dose being gradually reduced, which improved his diarrhea to grade 1/2. On day 30, diarrhea remained persistent at 10 times/day (grade 2), and colonoscopy revealed

Figure 3. Clinical course after seven courses of durvalumab in case no. 2. IFX, infliximab; GCV, ganciclovir; PSL, prednisolone; CRP, C-reactive protein; i.v., intravenous.

Figure 4. Lower gastrointestinal endoscopy in case no. 2. (A) Extensive erosion and friability were noted (day 15). (B) Erosion and friability improved transiently (day 30). (C) Erosion and friability worsened again (day 37).
improved albeit persistent mucosal erosion and bleeding. Thus, IFX re-administration was planned. On day 33, diarrhea worsened to 23 times/day (grade 3), during which he received the second dose of IFX 5 mg/kg/day (350 mg/body/day). On day 36, no improvement in diarrhea frequency was observed (17 times/day; grade 3). While the CMV cell test result indicated CMV infection, the CD test result was negative. The patient was thus diagnosed with concomitant CMV enteritis and irAE (colitis) and was administered with ganciclovir 5 mg/kg infusion twice daily. On day 44, the CMV cell test result was negative, and diarrhea frequency improved to 9 times/day (grade 2). Ganciclovir dose was subsequently reduced to 2.5 mg/kg/dose x2 doses/day on day 50. On day 51, diarrhea was resolved (once/day; grade 0), and on day 69, treatment was switched to oral PSL 30 mg/body/day. PSL treatment was continued thereafter with gradually tapering of doses, during which no diarrhea occurred.

**Discussion**

Various irAEs have been reported for Nivo and other ICIs considering their utility for the treatment of various cancers, including non-small cell lung cancer. In Japan, ICIs were first approved for malignant melanoma. Given the expected increase in opportunities for the use of ICIs, the incidence of grade ≥3 diarrhea/colitis as a serious irAE has been predicted to increase accordingly. In particular, anti-CTLA-4 antibody preparations have been associated with a high incidence of severe diarrhea/colitis and possible poor outcomes, such as long-term hospitalization and death (13-15). In contrast, anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 antibody preparations have been associated with relatively lower incidences of severe diarrhea/colitis (16-19).

Berman et al (20) reported that IPI-induced diarrhea/colitis can be attributable to the dysregulation of gastrointestinal mucosal immunity. Although the aforementioned study did not describe anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 antibody preparations, these classes of ICIs presumably alter intestinal immunity in a manner similar to anti-CTLA-4 antibodies.

One study showed that tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) was elevated in PD-1-knockout irAE model mice (21). While the anti-TNF-α antibody preparation IFX, a standard therapeutic agent for common ulcerative colitis, has been suggested as an effective treatment for irAEs, no specific IFX doses and dosing intervals have been recommended for this. Moreover, many reports have used IFX for enteritis in accordance with the treatment of ulcerative colitis (3-11). Pagès et al (6) proposed early administration of IFX 5-10 mg/kg/day when systemic steroid treatment failed to produce any appreciable symptomatic improvement 2/3 days after the onset of IPI-induced irAEs. In the present study, seven patients with grade 3 diarrhea/colitis received a single or multiple doses of IFX 5.0 mg/kg/day according to the dosage and administration for ulcerative colitis. The median interval between systemic steroid administration and the first IFX dose was 9 (range, 2-39) days. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that such a long interval before initiating IFX administration affected treatment outcomes. Patients included in this study, who received multiple IFX doses, presented differing IFX dosing intervals given that the second and subsequent doses were provided according to relapse severity or prolonged symptoms. In the three responders to IFX, the effect appeared at a median of 18 (range, 9-32) days after IFX administration, which maintained diarrhea/colitis at grade 0 for at least 7 consecutive days. This finding suggests that IFX requires certain duration to produce its efficacy. Case nos. 6 and 7 experienced rapid deterioration of their general condition after IFX administration and ultimately died before IFX could show any beneficial effects. However, whether IFX administration had any causal relationship with the deaths remains unclear. Careful consideration may be warranted before IFX administration, particularly among patients showing rapid deterioration of the general condition.

Johnson et al (11) reported that severe diarrhea/colitis as an irAE improved in 72% (26/36) patients after a single dose of IFX, in 22% (8/36) after two doses, and in 6% (2/36) after three doses. Furthermore, Soulart et al (1) pointed out that severe diarrhea/colitis as an irAE exhibits many clinical similarities with ulcerative colitis but rarely develops into chronic autoimmune diseases and that the former is likely to involve transient immune activation. Case nos. 4 and 5 in the present study received three or more doses of IFX and required long treatment periods for severe diarrhea/colitis (107 days and 86 days, respectively).

Other causes of grade ≥2 diarrhea/colitis, including bacterial and viral enteritis, such as CMV and CD infections, must be ruled out (22). Accordingly, none of the eight patients developed any infection concurrent with irAEs. In case no. 2, however, neither systemic steroid treatment nor IFX was effective, with the patient ultimately being diagnosed with concomitant CMV enteritis based on reexamination results for infectious diseases. After immediate initiation of ganciclovir infusion, diarrhea improved to grade 0 after 14 days. Franklin et al (23) reported that 12.2% (5/41) patients with ICI-induced severe diarrhea/colitis were refractory to immunomodulatory treatment with steroids and IFX, showed more severe inflammation during colon biopsy, and tested positive for CMV. Kuo et al (24) detected CD in a case of severe diarrhea/colitis occurring after IPI administration in which symptoms improved transiently with steroids and IFX but relapsed after 1 month. While the mentioned reports do not discuss the mechanisms underlying CMV or CD infection, administration of the strong immunosuppressant IFX together with long-term systemic administration of high-dose steroids might have compromised the intestinal immunity, rendering the patient susceptible to opportunistic infections. In this study, patients in whom IFX exhibited its effects relatively earlier may be less susceptible to infection owing to the shorter treatment duration. The single dose of IFX used herein induced a full response only in case no. 1. In the remaining cases, CMV and CD infections were detected despite appreciable IFX effects and anti-CMV and antibacterial treatment for infections was accordingly administered in parallel. These data suggest that periodic assessment for CMV and CD infections is necessary when IFX is administered.

In addition, the immunosuppressant cyclosporine (CyA) and vedolizumab, a humanized α4β7 integrin monoclonal antibody, are worth considering as third-line treatments for severe diarrhea/colitis as irAE. Accordingly, Iyoda et al (25) reported
that oral CyA 50 mg/body/day as a third-line treatment for Nivo-induced grade 3 enteritis, following the unsuccessful first- and second-line treatments with oral PSL 30-60 mg for 50 days and two doses of IFX 5 mg/kg, respectively, decreased the frequency of diarrhea after 3 days and resolved diarrhea after 2 weeks. Moreover, Bergqvist et al (26) reported that vedolizumab promoted remission in 6 of the 7 patients with malignant melanoma or lung cancer who developed IPI- or Nivo-induced enteritis refractory to steroids and IFX at a median of 56 days after administration with no related AEs.

According to Postow et al (27) it is possible that immunosuppression with IFX, steroids, and other agents reduce the antitumor efficacy of ICIs. They compared the antitumor efficacy of ICIs in patients who received immunosuppressants for the treatment of irAE with that in those who did not, and they found no significant reduction between the two groups; however, they did not eliminate the possibility of reduction because no prospective studies have been conducted. Nevertheless, using immunosuppressants for irAE treatment has been reported to increase the likelihood of contracting opportunistic infections, as was the case in this study.

The use of IFX for irAE treatment is not covered by national health insurance, the guideline on optimal usage issued by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan states that ‘when corticosteroids do not improve adverse reactions, the addition of immunosuppressants other than corticosteroids should be considered,’ thereby officially recommending the use of immunosuppressants as needed. Accordingly, attending physicians administered the immunosuppressants recommended for patient’s irAE according to the ASCO or other guidelines. We retrospectively included and analyzed cases in which IFX was administered at discretion of individual attending physicians, with no new interventions. Therefore, we categorized our study as a retrospective observational study.

Limitations of this study were its retrospective design based on electronic medical records and a small number of patients as it was a single-center study, which predisposes it to various biases due to insufficient statistical power. Therefore, a multi-center study involving a larger number of patients is necessary for more accurate assessments.

In conclusion, early initiation of IFX treatment in conjunction with systemic steroid therapy should be considered for severe diarrhea/colitis and other irAEs. However, reevaluation for possible infections and prompt revision of the treatment strategy, such as switching to oral CyA or vedolizumab, may be necessary when irAEs do not respond to steroids/IFX.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Funding
No funding was received.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors’ contributions
Through research conferences, MT, TY, KF, TIn, SO, YN, SY, TO, RI, TIs, TK, KN and FI established comprehensive research goals and aims, and developed and designed methodologies. TIn, SO, YN, SY, TO, RI, TIs, TK and KN provided patient samples. YK, MT, TY, KF, TIn, SO, YN, SY, TO, RI, TIs, TK, KN and FI performed experiments, collected data and conducted administrative activities to maintain the survey data. YK and AT conducted data analysis. YK drafted the manuscript with help from AT. TIn, SO, YN, SY, TO and KN assessed the authenticity of all the raw data to ensure its legitimacy. MT, TY, KF, TIn, SO, YN, SY, TO, RI, TIs, TK, KN and FI conducted critical reviews, visualizations and edits. AT, KF and FI were responsible for oversight and leadership in planning and carrying out research activities. All authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
The present study was conducted with approval from the Ethical Review Board of the Osaka International Cancer Institute (Osaka, Japan) and in accordance with ethical guidelines on clinical research (approval no. 19086). Due consideration was given toward protecting personal information, with data being handled after anonymization. Information on the present study is available at the institution’s website. The patients could withdraw their consent for participation at any period throughout the study.

Patient consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References
1. Soulaurae E, Lepage P, Colombel JF, Coutzrac C, Faleck D, Marthely L, Collins M, Chaput N, Robert C and Carbonnel F: Enterocolitis due to immune checkpoint inhibitors: A systematic review. Gut 67: 2056-2067, 2018.
2. Brahamer JR, Lacchetti C, Schneider BJ, Atkins MB, Brassil KJ, Caterino JM, Chau I, Ernstoff MS, Gardner JM, Ginex P, et al: Management of immune-related adverse events in patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. J Clin Oncol 36: 1714-1768, 2018.
3. Minor DR, Chin K and Kashani-Sabet M: Infliximab in the treatment of anti-CTLA4 antibody (Ipilimumab) induced immune-related colitis. Cancer Biother Radiopharm 24: 321-325, 2006.
4. Beck KE, Blansfield JA, Tran KQ, Feldman AL, Hughes MS, Royal RE, Kammla US, Topalian SL, Sherry RM, Kleiner D, et al: Enterocolitis in patients with cancer after anti-body blockade of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen 4. J Clin Oncol 24: 2283-2289, 2006.
5. Hillock NT, Heard S, Kichenadasse G, Hill CL and Andrews J: Infliximab for ipilimumab-induced colitis: A series of 13 patients. Asia Pac J Clin Oncol 13: e284-e290, 2017.
6. Pages C, Gornet JM, Monsel G, Allez M, Bertheau P, Bagot M, Lebbé C and Viguier M: Ipilimumab-Induced acute severe colitis treated by infliximab. Melanoma Res 23: 227-230, 2013.
7. Geier M, Gouva S and Geier B: Enterocolitis due to nivolumab treated by infliximab. ASGIS 2: 14-16, 2019.

8. Yanai S, Nakamura S and Matsumoto T: Nivolumab-induced colitis treated by infliximab. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 15: e80-e81, 2017.

9. Nassri AB, Maenyi V, Al-Khasawneh A, De Souza Ribeiro B, Scapolio JS, Malepsin MD and de Melo SW Jr: Ipilimumab and nivolumab induced refractory-colitis colitis treated with infliximab: A case report. World J Gastroint Pharmacol Ther 10: 29-24, 2019.

10. Delasos L, Desai A, Lia NL, Kethireddy N and Ray C: A case of immunotherapy-induced colitis complicated by perforation and treated with infliximab postoperatively. Case Rep Oncol Med 2019: 9069354, 2019.

11. Johnson DH, Zobniw CM, Trinh VA, Ma J, Bassett RL Jr, Abdel-Wahab N, Anderson J, Davis JE, Joseph J, Uemura M et al: Nivolumab associated with faster symptom resolution compared with corticosteroids alone for the management of immune-related enterocolitis. J Immunother Cancer 6: 103, 2018.

12. Perez-Ruiz E, Minute L, Otano I, Alvarez M, Ochoa MC, Belsue V, de Andrea C, Rodriguez-Rodas I, et al: Prophylactic TNF blockade uncouples efficacy and toxicity in dual CTLA-4 and PD-1 immunotherapy. Nature 569: 428-432, 2019.

13. Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, Weber RW, Osman JA, Haanen JB, Gonzalez R, Robert C, Schadendorf D, Hassel JC, et al: Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. N Engl J Med 363: 711-723, 2010.

14. Robert C, Thomas L, Bondarenko I, O'Day S, Weber J, Garbe C, Lebbe C, Baurain JF, Testori A, Grobb JJ, et al: Nivolumab plus dacarbazine for previously untreated metastatic melanoma. N Engl J Med 364: 2517-2526, 2011.

15. Gupta A, De Felice KM, Loftus EV Jr and Khanna S: Systematic review: Colitis associated with anti-CTLA-4 therapy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 42: 406-417, 2015.

16. Robert C, Ribas A, Wolchok JD, Hodi FS, Hamid O, Keeford R, Weber JS, Joshua AM, Hwu WJ, Gangadhar TC, et al: Anti-PD-1 programmed-death-Receptor-1 treatment with pembrolizumab in Ipilimumab-refractory advanced melanoma: A randomised dose-comparison cohort of a phase 1 trial. Lancet 384: 1109-1117, 2014.

17. Hamid O, Robert C, Daud A, Hodi FS, Hwu WJ, Keeford R, Wolchok JD, Hersey P, Joseph RW, Weber JS, et al: Safety and tumor responses with lambrolizumab (anti-PD-1) in melanoma. N Engl J Med 369: 134-144, 2013.

18. Weber JS, D'Angelo SP, Minor D, Hodi FS, Gutzmer R, Neyns B, Hoeller C, Khushalani NI, Miller WH Jr, Lao CD, et al: Nivolumab versus chemotherapy in patients with advanced melanoma who progressed after anti-CTLA-4 treatment (CheckMate 037): A randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 16: 375-384, 2015.