Embryonic expression pattern of a family of *Drosophila* proteins that interact with a central nervous system regulatory element

Sarah J. Bray, Brian Burke, Nicholas H. Brown, and Jay Hirsh

Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 USA; Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, The Biological Laboratories, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 USA

The protein Elf-1 interacts with a cis-acting element that is required specifically for the neuronal expression of the *Drosophila* dopa decarboxylase gene *Ddc*. Using protein purified from *Drosophila* embryos, we raised Elf-1-specific monoclonal antibodies. The expression of Elf-1 during embryogenesis is restricted to nuclei of tissues derived from ectoderm, predominantly the central nervous system (CNS) and the epidermis. Within the CNS, Elf-1 is present in only a small fraction of nuclei, and the pattern of expressing nuclei changes dramatically during development. Elf-1 and *Ddc* are coexpressed in primary cultures of neural cells. However, we do not detect Elf-1 in *Ddc*-expressing neurons in vivo, leading to the suggestion that Elf-1 activity is required in vivo for initiation of *Ddc* expression but not for its maintenance. The antibodies also were used to isolate cDNA clones encoding Elf-1. Alternate forms of Elf-1 mRNA result in at least three protein isoforms.
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One fundamental mechanism involved in differentiation is the programming of specific spatial patterns of gene expression. It appears that the enhancers or promoters that confer these specific patterns consist of multiple sites that are recognized by specific DNA-binding proteins [e.g., Maniatis et al. 1987]. The interactions between DNA-binding proteins that are active in different but overlapping subsets of cells or tissues thus will determine the pattern of expression of a gene. Many of the proteins required for the early stages of embryonic development in *Drosophila* appear to be transcription factors [Desplan et al. 1988; Ingham 1988; Han et al. 1989] and are expressed in overlapping domains, which is consistent with this model. However, genetic studies in *Drosophila* indicate that some of the proteins required to initiate a pattern of expression may be different from those required to modify or to maintain expression at later stages during development (e.g., Struhl and Akam 1985; Duncan 1986; see also Scott and Carroll 1987). Trans-acting factors that play this type of transient role in the developmental expression of a gene may not be detected using in vitro or cell culture systems to assay gene expression. However, the ability to integrate genes into the germ line of *Drosophila* using P-element vectors [Rubin and Spradling 1982] allows for the detection of all the regulatory systems that affect expression of a gene. Using this approach, the cis-acting sequences required for the cell-specific expression of the *Drosophila* dopa decarboxylase gene (*Ddc*) in the central nervous system (CNS) have been analyzed [Scholnick et al. 1986; Beall and Hirsh 1987; Bray et al. 1988; Johnson et al. 1989]. One of these sequence elements binds a factor that appears to play a transient role in regulating this expression, as indicated by the results in this paper.

*Ddc* is expressed in both the CNS, where the enzyme catalyzes the final step in the synthesis of serotonin and dopamine [Livingstone and Tempel 1983], and the epidermis, where it is required for cuticle hardening [Wright et al. 1976]. Within the CNS, *Ddc* expression commences during late embryogenesis in ~150 neurons, and the expression continues throughout the remainder of larval development [Beall and Hirsh 1987; Konrad and Marsh 1987; Valles and White 1988]. Most, if not all, of these *Ddc*-expressing neurons also survive metamorphosis and persist through adult development [Budnik and White 1988; Valles and White 1988]. The regulation of this *Ddc* expression in the CNS involves the combined effect of multiple regulatory elements: one a 16-bp sequence, element I, located at −60 relative to the transcription start site, and others contained within a distal enhancer between −1623 and −1019 [Scholnick et al. 1986; Beall and Hirsh 1987; Bray et al. 1988; Johnson et al. 1989]. Some of the cis-acting elements within this distal enhancer regulate *Ddc* expression in defined
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subsets of neurons (Johnson et al. 1989), whereas wild-type element I function is required for Ddc expression in all neurons (Bray et al. 1988). Point mutations in element I essentially abolish neuronal expression of Ddc. A nuclear protein, now referred to as Elf-1 (for element I-binding activity), interacts with element I and has reduced affinity for these functionally inactive mutated element I sites. The correlation between the in vivo expression of Ddc and the in vitro binding of Elf-1 leads us to conclude that this protein is involved in the regulation of neuronal expression of Ddc. Also, this protein interacts with sequences adjacent to other transcription units, including Ultrabithorax (Biggin and Tjian 1988) and engrailed (Soeller et al. 1988; S.J. Bray, unpubl.), although there is currently no evidence that these binding sites are required for correct expression of the genes in vivo. Now we have purified Elf-1 and generated specific monoclonal antibodies. These antibodies have enabled us both to examine the spatial expression of Elf-1 in embryos and to obtain cDNA clones encoding the protein.

Results

Elf-1-specific monoclonal antibodies

Elf-1 was purified from nuclear extracts prepared from 10- to 22-hr Drosophila embryos by sequential column chromatography using heparin–agarose, nonspecific DNA cellulose, and an element I oligonucleotide affinity resin (see Materials and methods). As a final step in the purification, the Elf-1 activity eluted from the oligonucleotide column was fractionated on an FPLC Mono Q anion exchange column. At all stages in the purification, Elf-1 activity was assayed by its ability to protect element I from DNase I digestion. The element I-binding activity eluted from the Mono Q column in three fractions that contained four to five proteins when analyzed on a silver-stained SDS–polyacrylamide gel (Fig. 1B). These proteins, which migrate with apparent molecular masses between ~60 and 120 kD, coelute with Elf-1 on other columns (data not shown); and, as described later, these polypeptides share common epitopes.

This highly purified material was used to raise Elf-1-specific monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), which were identified using an adaptation of a gel electrophoresis DNA-binding assay. Antibodies that interact with Elf-1 form a mAb–Elf-1–DNA complex, which migrates more slowly in the gel than the Elf-1–DNA complex alone does. We screened ~200 clones and identified two monoclonal antibodies that recognized Elf-1. (Fig. 2A). The complex containing mAb BF1 migrates more slowly than that containing mAb AE9, indicating that the two antibodies are different. Because both antibodies belong to the IgG class (data not shown), the difference in mobility is not the result of the antibody molecular weight and presumably results from the specific conformation of each mAb–Elf-1–DNA complex.

To confirm that monoclonal antibodies AE9 and BF1 recognize Elf-1 activity, we demonstrated that the proteins immunoprecipitated by both antibodies have specific Elf-1. The monoclonal antibodies were bound to anti-mouse Sepharose, and the washed resin was incubated with crude embryonic nuclear extract. After incubation, the supernatant was assayed by DNase I protection and found to be depleted fully of Elf-1 activity [Fig. 2B]. In addition to demonstrating further the interaction of the monoclonal antibodies with Elf-1, this indicates that the crude nuclear extract does not contain detectable amounts of another immunologically unrelated Elf-1. The immunoprecipitated protein then was assayed directly for Elf-1. The protein bound to the Sepharose beads was incubated with a mixture of two labeled oligonucleotides: element I, which contained an Elf-1-binding site, and element II, which did not. Oligonucleotides binding to protein on the resin were eluted with high salt and analyzed on a polyacrylamide gel (Fig. 2C). Protein immunoprecipitated by mAbs AE9 and BF1 specifically binds the element I oligonucleotide. The incubations also were carried out in the presence of 50-fold excess of unlabeled element I or element II oligonucleotides to show that only the specific element I oligonucleotide was able to compete.

We compared the polypeptides recognized by mAb BF1 with those present in the most highly purified preparations of Elf-1. In a crude fraction from the DNA cellulose column, mAb BF1 recognized polypeptides (Fig. 1C) whose molecular weights appear indistinguishable from those observed in the most purified Elf-1 fractions [Fig. 1B]. We found also that both monoclonal antibodies immunoprecipitate all the polypeptides in the purified Elf-1 preparation [data not shown]. These data indicate that most, if not all, of the proteins in the active fractions eluted from the Mono Q column share common epitopes and that the antibodies cross-react with only this small number of polypeptides.

Isolation of cDNA clones encoding Elf-1: alternate mRNAs give rise to multiple Elf-1 isoforms

The mAb BF1 was used to isolate cDNA clones that expressed the Elf-1 epitope from an embryonic λgt11 expression library. Two cDNA clones, each ~1 kb in length, were detected in the primary screen and re-screened with both mAbs BF1 and AE9. Only one of the cDNAs produced a protein that also was recognized by mAb AE9, demonstrating that the two cDNAs were independent clones. Then we used these two clones as hybridization probes to isolate plasmid clones from an 8- to 12-hr embryonic cDNA library (Brown and Kalatos 1988). Fifteen different cDNA clones, which hybridized to both λ clones, were characterized. These clones were ordered by increasing size, on the basis of an estimate from supercoiled DNA, and designated A–O. Because the cDNA clones are inserted unidirectionally in a plasmid vector containing the SP6 RNA polymerase promoter, we were able to analyze the encoded protein by transcribing and translating the cDNA clones in vitro (Fig. 3A). The largest polypeptide encoded by each clone...
Figure 1. Purified Elf-1. The final step in the purification of Elf-1 activity was a Mono Q anion exchange column. [A] The fractions eluting from the column with increasing KCl between 0.15 and 0.3 M were assayed for DNA-binding activity using a DNase I protection assay. Aliquots of each fraction were assayed for their ability to protect element I contained within a 188-bp fragment from the Ddc promoter. Elf-1 activity was detected in fractions 33–35 eluting between 0.2 and 0.25 M KCl. [B] Aliquots of the same fractions were analyzed for protein content on an SDS–polyacrylamide gel, which was silver stained. The ~63-kD band present in all lanes is the result of contamination from the reducing agent in the sample buffer. [C] A more crude preparation of Elf-1, the fraction eluting from DNA cellulose (see Materials and methods), was analyzed by Western blot using mAb BF1 and a control anti-Golgi monoclonal antibody. The silver-stained gel shows that this fraction contains a high complexity of protein. The mAb BF1 only reacts with a small number of low abundance proteins that have the same molecular weights as the proteins detected in the Mono Q purified protein. The same pattern is observed if mAb AE9 is used. The control anti-Golgi monoclonal antibody does not cross-react with any protein in the fraction.

is related to the length of the cDNA, except in the case of clone M, which presumably contains a frameshift mutation or is a copy of an incompletely spliced RNA.

There are a number of smaller polypeptide products in the in vitro translations that arise from premature termination during transcription or translation and/or from initiations at internal methionines. The pattern of these partial products varies between the different clones, allowing identification of different classes of cDNA. For example, D, E, F, I, L, and N clearly differ from the other cDNAs in the size of the prominent small partial products. The combined data from this analysis and from restriction enzyme mapping show that the cDNA clones isolated fall into three classes, and that N, K, and O encode the full-length proteins representative of each class [Fig. 3B]. N and K class cDNAs differ in a small region close to the carboxy-terminal of the protein, whose maximum length is 500 bp. The O class resembles the K class in this carboxy-region, but O differs extensively from both N and K classes in more 5′ regions. We do not know whether O shares the same amino terminus with N and K, and it is possible that the O mRNA is initiated from a different promoter. Both the monoclonal antibodies bind to all three protein isoforms (data not shown), but the differences between these three forms are not sufficient to account for all the polypeptide species detected in the purified Elf-1 fractions. Additional variation could result from other mRNA variants that we have not detected yet, or from post-translational modifications or from proteolytic degradation of the full-length proteins.

To confirm that the isolated cDNA clones encode Elf-1, we have shown that their in vitro translation products protect element I from DNase I digestion. The longer cDNA clones were not transcribed and translated as efficiently as the smaller partial cDNA clones, presumably because of their large size. Thus, we found it easier to detect DNA binding with the products from the smaller clones. Figure 4 demonstrates that the product of cDNA F is able to protect element I from DNase I digestion in the wild-type Ddc promoter and that the footprint is almost identical to the one produced by the protein in crude nuclear extract. Proteins encoded by all three cDNA classes bind to element I, giving similar patterns of protection (data not shown). The extent of the protection is the same for the in vitro-synthesized
Cell-specific expression of a Drosophila factor

Figure 2. Monoclonal antibodies immunoprecipitate Elf-1 activity. (A) Two independent monoclonal antibodies, AE9 and BF1, recognize Elf-1. Monoclonal antibodies were preincubated with affinity-purified Elf-1 before the addition of a 32P-labeled DNA fragment that contained the Elf-1 binding site. In the absence of monoclonal antibodies [0], the Elf-1 binds to the DNA, forming a complex. In the presence of monoclonal antibodies that react with Elf-1 (AE9 and BF1), a mAb–Elf-1–DNA complex is formed, which migrates more slowly than the Elf-1–DNA complex alone. The complex formed with each monoclonal antibody migrates with different mobility. mAb–Elf-1–DNA complexes are formed even when the monoclonal antibody supernatant is diluted 1:250. Non-specific monoclonal antibodies do not lead to a shift in the mobility of the labeled DNA when they are more dilute than 1:10 [data not shown]. (B and C) The immunoprecipitation of Elf-1 activity from nuclear extracts. Anti-mouse immunoglobulin coupled to Sepharose was incubated with PBS or with mAbs BF1, AE9, or anti-Golgi control (Con). The resins then were incubated with crude nuclear extract. After the incubation, the unbound protein in the supernatant was assayed for Elf-1 activity using a DNase I footprinting assay, as described in Fig. 1A. Monoclonal antibodies BF1 and AE9 deplete the extract of Elf-1 activity. The numbers above each lane refer to the volumes of supernatant added [μl]. The protein bound to the resin then was incubated with a mixture of two 32P-labeled oligonucleotides: element I, which contained an Elf-1-binding site, and element II, which did not. To confirm the specificity of binding to the resin, the assay also was carried out in the presence of 50× excess specific [I] and nonspecific [II] competitor. The oligonucleotides that bound to the resin were eluted with 0.5 M KCl and analyzed on an acrylamide gel. As the autoradiograph in C shows, only the resin coupled to mAbs BF1 and AE9 show DNA-binding activity, and the activity is specific for element I. The difference in the levels of binding between the AE9 and BF1 resins and the low level of apparent competition by element II with the AE9 resin were not observed in other experiments.

and the in vivo Elf-1, and the DNase I hypersensitive bands induced by the binding of Elf-1 from embryos also are induced by the in vitro-synthesized protein. There is, however, an additional DNase I hypersensitive band generated by the binding of the protein from cDNA F, possibly because this is a truncated protein.

Elf-1 synthesized in vitro has reduced affinity for mutated element I sites

The identity of the cloned factor was verified further by assaying the affinity of the in vitro-translated Elf-1 for mutant element I sequences that prevent neuronal expression of Ddc [Bray et al. 1988]. In Figure 5A we show the effect of two point mutations in the element I sequence on the expression of Ddc in the embryonic CNS. Both mutations inhibit the cell-specific expression of Ddc severely in the CNS. There is no detectable neuronal expression in strains carrying the m1 mutation, and very low levels of expression are detected in strains carrying the m2 mutation. In our previous experiments [Bray et al. 1988], the effects of element I mutations were assayed in the context of a Ddc gene that lacked much of the proximal promoter sequences. The results presented here show that mutating only 2 bp of element I is sufficient to abolish neuronal expression of Ddc. This demonstrates that no other element of the wild-type Ddc promoter can substitute functionally for element I. The
Elf-1-binding activity in crude nuclear extracts has a lower affinity for these mutated element I sites than for the wild-type sequence. If the isolated cDNA clones encode the Elf-1 activity, their translation products should behave in a similar manner. A 50-fold excess of the m1 oligonucleotide is unable to compete with the wild type for binding of the affinity-purified Elf-1 (Fig. 5B), and even a 100-fold excess of this oligonucleotide is unable.
Figure 4. Protein synthesized from cDNA clone F in vitro has Elf-1 footprinting activity. The cDNA clone F was transcribed and translated in vitro and assayed for Elf-1 activity in a DNase I protection assay. The in vitro translation system (MDL) contained no RNA (0) or serial dilutions of in vitro-transcribed mRNA (lanes 1, 2, 4, where 4 contains the highest concentration of RNA). The RNA concentration used in lane 2 produced the highest level of protein (data not shown). The 3P-labeled DNA was a 188-bp fragment from the Ddc promoter containing element I. Black arrowheads indicate the bands with increased sensitivity to DNase I in the presence of protein, 0 indicates bands that are protected in the assay; the stippled arrowhead indicates a band that is hypersensitive in the presence of in vitro-synthesized Elf-1 but not in the presence of protein from embryos. The footprint produced by Elf-1 in a crude nuclear extract (Embryo) is shown for comparison along with a lane with no added protein (No).

to compete fully. The protein has a higher affinity for m2, as a 50-fold excess of this oligonucleotide competes partially with the wild type. The protein encoded by the cDNA clone gives similar results to the affinity-purified protein; a 50-fold excess of either mutated element I sequence is unable to compete fully the binding to wild-type element I, and m1 is less effective than m2 at competing for binding [Fig. 5C]. This demonstrates that the cDNA clones encode the Elf-1 activity detected in crude nuclear extracts and that this activity correlates with the function of element I in vivo.

Elf-1, a distant relative of MyoD and myogenin!

The complete DNA sequence of cDNA clone N, which encodes the full-length form of the protein used in Figure 4, contains a single large open reading frame encoding 1063 amino acids with a calculated molecular mass of 116 kD (Fig. 6). When analyzed on SDS–polyacrylamide gels, the protein produced in vitro from clone N migrates with an apparent molecular mass greater than this, migrating slower than the highest molecular mass marker of 116 kD. The putative initiating methionine is ~980 bp from the 5′ end and is within a sequence [ACGTATAAG] that resembles the consensus for Drosophila proteins [ANNN/CAN/CATG; Cavener 1987]. The translation of a derivative of cDNA N, deleted to within 37 bp of the putative initiating methionine, produces a protein of identical molecular weight to that from the full-length cDNA, supporting our identification of the initiation site (data not shown).

The amino-terminal 50% of the encoded Elf-1 protein contains several polyglutamine stretches, the longest of which has 22 glutamine residues interrupted by one histidine. These homopolymer tracts, which have been called OPA repeats, are encoded by the codons CAG and CAA. Repeats of this kind have been found in a number of other Drosophila proteins (Poole et al. 1985; Regulski et al. 1985; Wharton et al. 1985; Crews et al. 1988), as well as in proteins from other eukaryotes (e.g., glucocorticoid receptor, Hollenberg et al. 1985; Miesfeld et al. 1986) but are of unknown function.

We searched the available data bases for protein sequences that are related to the Elf-1 cDNA N sequence and have not found any proteins that show substantial similarity to Elf-1. The protein does not contain a domain related to the characterized homeo box [McGinnis et al. 1984; Levine and Hoey 1988] or zinc-finger [Miller et al. 1985] DNA-binding protein motifs. However, we detected a marginal similarity [Fig. 6B] between a 90-amino-acid region in Elf-1 and the two related proteins MyoD [Davis et al. 1987] and myogenin [Wright et al. 1989]. Only a few of the conserved amino acids are the same as those conserved between this region in MyoD and the other proteins to which MyoD appears to be related, namely myc, daughterless, and achaete scute (e.g., see Caudy et al. 1988; Murre et al. 1989). However, many of the nonidentical amino acids represent conservative changes. In particular, hydrophobic residues are conserved at almost all the positions, although Elf-1 contains neither the conserved phenylalanine nor the conserved tyrosine, which are marked with asterisks in Figure 6B. Also, computer analysis of the predicted structure of the domains from Elf-1, MyoD, and myogenin further indicate similarities between the three sequences. Two algorithms predict that Elf-1, MyoD, and myogenin will form a helix-turn-helix structure within this region (Chou and Fasman 1974; Garnier et al. 1978), as indicated in Figure 6, and analysis of hydrophobicity and flexibility of these regions also gives similar predictions for the three sequences. The similarity between these structural profiles leads us to believe that the relationship between the proteins is significant although distant.
Elf-1 expression is restricted to tissues derived from ectoderm

We used the Elf-1 monoclonal antibodies to study the spatial expression of this protein family within Drosophila embryos. Whole embryos incubated with mAb BF1 show Elf-1 immunoreactivity only in the CNS, the epidermis, and small regions in the fore- and hindgut, all of which are derived from ectoderm. Figure 7 shows the distribution of Elf-1 protein in stage 15 embryos...
Figure 6. (See following page for legend.)
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(Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein 1985) ~13–15 hr after laying, which have developed most of the structures present in the hatching larva. Elf-1 is localized clearly in nuclei, as expected for a DNA-binding protein. The majority of nuclei contain the protein in the epidermis, whereas expression of Elf-1 is restricted to a small number of nuclei in the CNS. In the ventral nerve cord, a segmentally repeating pattern of ~30 nuclei per neuromere express Elf-1 [Figs. 7B and 8].

Expression of Elf-1 immunoreactivity is observed first in stage 11 (~7–9 hr) embryos in both the epidermis and the CNS. This agrees with the initial appearance of Elf-1 activity in nuclear extracts from 6- to 12-hr embryos (data not shown). The expression remains fairly constant throughout the remainder of embryogenesis in the epidermis; however, the number and distribution of nuclei expressing Elf-1 change as the embryo develops in the CNS. This is observed most clearly in the segmentally repeated structure of the ventral nerve cord. At the earliest time that Elf-1 can be detected, it is found in a small number of medial nuclei in the ventral nerve cord: one or two per segmental neuromere (data not shown). Subsequently, more lateral nuclei express Elf-1 in a segmentally repeated pattern, although the precise arrangement of the expressing nuclei changes as the CNS develops [Fig. 8]. Later in embryogenesis (~17 hr, stage 16) the number of nuclei expressing the protein decreases, particularly in the abdominal region of the ventral nerve cord [Fig. 8], where only approximately five nuclei per segmental neuromere show Elf-1 immunoreactivity.

There is little cell division during this period, but there is substantial rearrangement of the cells within the CNS. Thus, it is not clear whether these late stage neurons represent a subset of those that were expressing Elf-1 at an earlier stage or whether this is de novo expression.

**Double labeling with Ddc and Elf-1 antibodies**

*Ddc* is expressed only in a relatively small number of neurons in the CNS (Beall and Hirsh 1987; Konrad and Marsh 1987; see Fig. 5B). We analyzed whether these same cells were expressing Elf-1 by incubating CNS from 18- to 22-hr embryos with both mAb BFl and an anti-*Ddc* rabbit serum, followed by the appropriate fluorescent- or rhodamine-conjugated secondary antibodies. By this stage the embryonic CNS has condensed in size, which involves substantial cell movement, and the number of nuclei expressing Elf-1 has decreased. At this time in development, Elf-1 and *Ddc* are not detectable in the same cells. The majority of Elf-1-expressing nuclei in these late embryonic CNS are in the cells on the ventral and lateral extremes of the tissue, whereas the *Ddc*-expressing neurons are located more internally. Some of these neurons are in the plane of focus shown in Figure 9A. Only four nuclei in this focal plane are expressing Elf-1, and these do not coincide with the *Ddc*-expressing neurons. Similar results were obtained with CNS from 17- to 18-hr embryos, the earliest time that *Ddc* immunoreactivity could be detected.

We detected, however, coexpression of Elf-1 and *Ddc* in primary cultures of neuroblasts [Fig. 9B]. These cells are prepared from embryos undergoing neuroblast segregation (3–7 hr) and maintained in culture for >18 hr, by which time they have extended axonal processes [Furst and Mahowald 1985]. Only a small proportion of the neuronal cells express Elf-1 and *Ddc*. Even within a cluster that contains cells expressing the two proteins, other cells exist that do not express either Elf-1 or *Ddc* (Fig. 9B). All of the clusters that we observed expressing *Ddc* also expressed Elf-1, although it was not possible to deduce in large groups of cells whether the two proteins were present in all the same cells. One interpretation of the difference between the expression observed in vivo and that occurring in the cultured cells is that the cultured cells become arrested at an intermediate stage of development and do not differentiate fully. Thus, the observed coexpression of Elf-1 and *Ddc* in these cells could reflect a transient developmental stage that occurs during the normal differentiation of the *Ddc*-expressing neurons.

**Discussion**

*cis*-acting sequences required for cell- and tissue-specific expression that have been identified in eukaryotic genes are presumed to influence transcription via DNA-binding proteins expressed in only a subset of tissues. Thus, it is important to examine the spatial distribution and function of DNA-binding proteins in the developing organism. The protein Elf-1 binds to element I in the *Drosophila Ddc* gene and also to sequences adjacent to the transcription start sites of *Ultrabithorax* [Biggin and Tijan 1988] and *engrailed* [Soeller et al. 1988; S.J. Bray, unpubl.]. Element I is required specifically for expression of *Ddc* in the CNS, as mutations in element I specifically abolish neuronal expression [Bray et al. 1988; Fig. 5A]. To address whether Elf-1 is expressed in the developing nervous system we raised monoclonal antibodies against Elf-1 purified from embryos. Having shown by Western blotting and immunoprecipitation that the antibodies recognize the element I binding activity specifically, we used them to examine the spatial expression of Elf-1 in *Drosophila* embryos and to isolate cDNA clones.
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Expression of Elf-1 in the embryo

Elf-1 is expressed in the nuclei of a subset of tissues in the embryo, predominantly the epidermis and the CNS. The tissue distribution of Elf-1 is distinct from that observed for the putative transcription factors of the homeotic and segmentation gene classes. These genes are expressed in metamerically repeated patterns in a number of different tissues (for review, see Ingham 1988; Scott and Carroll 1987). Elf-1 is expressed only in a repeating pattern in one tissue, the nervous system. In the epidermis, expression is uniform, occurring in apparently all nuclei from stage 11 (7-9 hr) of development onward. In the CNS, Elf-1 is restricted to a small number of nuclei, ≈7% of the nuclei in a segmental neuromere, and its expression undergoes distinct changes during the development of the nervous system. This is particularly dramatic during the later stages of nervous system development when the number of nuclei expressing Elf-1 per abdominal neuromere decreases from ~30 to ~5.

Function of Elf-1: a transient role in the CNS expression of Ddc

Mutations or deletions of the Elf-1-binding site prevent neuronal expression of Ddc (Scholnick et al. 1986; Bray et al. 1988), suggesting that Elf-1 is involved in the regulation of Ddc expression in the CNS. Much of our data support this function. First, both Elf-1 purified from embryos and Elf-1 synthesized in vitro bind specifically to element I and have lower affinity for sequences containing mutations that eliminate neuronal Ddc expression. Second, monoclonal antibodies that recognize all of the proteins associated with Elf-1 activity can deplete fully nuclear extracts of proteins binding to element I. Finally, Elf-1 and Ddc are coexpressed very selectively in cultures of neural cells when examined by double immunostaining with Elf-1 and Ddc antibodies. However, although Elf-1 is expressed in specific nuclei in the CNS, the two proteins are not detected in the same cells in the late embryonic nervous system. Taken together, the results suggest that Elf-1 activity in vivo may be required only at high levels transiently to activate the expression of Ddc in the CNS. If this is correct, a subset of the cells that express Elf-1 at 16 hr will go on to synthesize Ddc protein. The number of cells that express Elf-1 decreases just as Ddc is detected first, and the lag between the change in Elf-1 pattern and the first detectable Ddc immunoreactivity is ~1 hr; therefore, it is possible that Elf-1 is present when Ddc mRNA first appears in these neurons. The CNS form of Ddc mRNA (Morgan et al. 1986) is detectable first in 16- to 18-hr embryos (C.J. Beall, B.A. Morgan, and J. Hirsh, unpubl.). Our data also suggest that Elf-1 activity is more stable in these cultured cells or that the cultured neural cells are arrested at a developmental stage that is only transient in the embryo. This finding highlights the importance of studying putative transcription factors in vivo.
Figure 8. Expression of Elf-1 in the embryonic CNS. Elf-1 distribution in the ventral nerve cord of isolated CNS from 14-hr, stage-15 embryos and 17-hr, stage-16 embryos. In the 14-hr nerve cord, antibody localization was detected histochemically, but the photograph has been printed in negative contrast to facilitate comparison with the immunofluorescence in the 17-hr nerve cord. The approximate boundary between the thoracic (T) and abdominal (A) segmental neuromeres is indicated in each. The pattern of nuclei containing Elf-1 is different in the nerve cords at the two stages, particularly in the more posterior abdominal neuromeres. Both show a segmentally repeating pattern. The plane of focus in both photographs is close to the ventral surface of the nerve cord (anterior is to the top). Bars, 10 μm.

The formation of stable active transcription complexes in differentiated cells has been proposed by others (Brown 1984; Weintraub 1985). Recent experiments in vitro demonstrated also that the factor ATF is required for the formation of an active transcription complex but may not be required for its maintenance, although the capacity of the complex for reinitiation was not addressed (Hai et al. 1988; Horikoshi et al. 1988). It is important to note that cell division in the CNS has ceased in almost all cells by 15 hr (Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein 1985), and if an active transcription complex is formed at this time it will be able to persist without interruption from DNA replication. As the majority of Ddc embryonic neurons appear to persist even during metamorphosis, a complex formed in those cells would not encounter any further DNA replication during the rest of development. There are protein-binding sites in the distal Ddc enhancer which, when mutated, give a similar phenotype to element I, namely total loss of neuronal expression (Johnson et al. 1989; W.A. Johnson and J. Hirsh, in prep.). It is possible that one of these proteins is activated subsequent to Elf-1 and functions to maintain the neuronal expression of Ddc. Thus, the mechanisms that regulate Ddc expression in the CNS may resemble those involved in homeotic gene expression (e.g., see Scott and Carroll 1987). Transiently expressed segmentation genes appear to be involved in initiating the pattern of homeotic gene expression (Duncan 1986), and, subsequently, other proteins are required to modify and to maintain the pattern of expression (Struhl and Akam 1985).

There are several alternative explanations for the observations presented here. There may be an additional element I-binding factor that is expressed in the Ddc-expressing neurons. There are at least two independent proteins that can bind to the octamer sequence in immunoglobulin genes (Fletcher et al. 1987; Scheiderrecht et al. 1987; Staudt et al. 1988), and a number of different proteins bind to the CAAT box sequence (Johnson et al. 1987; Chodosh et al. 1988; Santoro et al. 1988). However, if an additional element I-binding protein does exist, it must be present at much lower abundance or be unstable under conditions of isolation, as we have not detected any other activity separable from Elf-1. Other

Figure 9. Expression of Elf-1 and Ddc in embryonic CNS and in cultured neuroblasts. (A) Embryonic CNS dissected from 20-hr embryos were incubated with both an anti-Ddc rabbit antiseraum (Ddc) and mAb BFl (Elf-1). The antibodies were detected using rhodamine- and fluorescein-conjugated secondary antibodies, respectively. The Ddc-expressing neurons in the plane of focus are the serotonin-expressing neurons from T1-A5 (anterior is to the top). The same plane of focus reveals only four nuclei expressing Elf-1, whose position is marked by white arrowheads. No cells contain both Ddc and Elf-1. Bar, 10 μm. (B) Neuroblasts from ~4.5-hr embryos were separated from other cell types on the basis of their size and maintained in culture for 18 hr. The cultured cells were incubated with anti-Ddc serum (Ddc) and mAb BFl (Elf-1), followed by rhodamine- and fluorescein-conjugated specific secondary antibodies. Hoechst staining of DNA was used to detect all nuclei. A cluster of neural cells is shown, which probably derived from several neuroblasts. Both Elf-1 and Ddc are detectable in the same cells, with Elf-1 in the nucleus and Ddc in the cytoplasm. Some of the cells detected by Hoechst staining are not expressing either protein. Bar, 10 μm.
Multiple isoforms of Elf-1 in the embryo

There are at least three isoforms of Elf-1 present in embryos. Analysis of 15 different cDNA clones encoding Elf-1 reveals at least three different forms of Elf-1 mRNA. The variations between the classes are within coding sequences and are most likely generated by alternate splicing, as the cDNAs have extensive sequences in common and derive from a single locus at 54F on the second chromosome [data not shown]. A number of polypeptides copurify with Elf-1 activity from nuclei of Drosophila embryos. These polypeptides are all related, because the purified proteins share epitopes recognized by our two independent monoclonal antibodies. The three different cDNA classes account for some of the polypeptides observed in the purified preparation of Elf-1 from embryos. However, several of the affinity-purified polypeptides are smaller than those encoded by the cDNAs. These may represent additional isoforms of Elf-1 or may result from proteolysis of the detected full-length variants.

The differences between the proteins encoded by the different Elf-1 cDNAs could influence their activity in a number of ways. The isoforms may have different binding specificities in vivo, although our preliminary experiments have not revealed any substantial differences in vitro. Alternatively, or in addition, the sequences could affect the interactions between the Elf-1 DNA-binding protein and other proteins. It is clear that the interaction between protein factors is an important aspect of gene regulation, as demonstrated by the formation of heterodimers and by cooperativity between factors (e.g., Tsai et al. 1987; Halazonitis et al. 1988; Nakabeppu et al. 1988; Treizenberg et al. 1988; Lillic and Green 1989; Poellinger and Roeder 1989; also see Ptashne 1988). It is also possible that the alternate protein products represent tissue-specific forms of this DNA-binding protein. Further analysis will require preparation of antibodies that are specific for each isoform.

The amino portion of the 1063 amino acids encoded by Elf-1 cDNA N contains several polyglutamine tracts. Similar polyglutamine tracts have been found in other Drosophila proteins, all of which have important roles in Drosophila development [Poole et al. 1985; Regulski et al. 1985; Wharton et al. 1985; Crews et al. 1988], although the function of the repeats is unknown. In Elf-1, the longest glutamine repeat is located in the middle of the protein and may form a flexible hinge between the amino and carboxyl domains. Wharton et al. (1985) suggested that the tendency of the hydrophilic glutamine residues to reside on the surfaces of globular proteins could impose an increased susceptibility of these polyglutamine sequences toward proteolysis. The smallest protein we observe in the purified Elf-1 fractions is ~54 kD, which could correspond to the carboxy-region domain of the proteins. It is within the carboxy-region domain that the sequence similarity to MyoD is observed. A number of other proteins show strong sequence similarity to the same portion of the MyoD protein, and it appears that this conserved region represents a DNA-binding domain [Murre et al. 1989].

Although the function of Elf-1 in regulating Ddc remains to be elucidated fully, it is clear that the Elf-1 proteins are expressed only in the nuclei of certain tissues within the embryo. These tissues, namely the CNS, the epidermis, and the fore- and hindgut, are all of ectodermal origin. Expression of Elf-1 in the nervous system appears to be regulated stringently in a cell-specific fashion, and it seems likely that this family of DNA-binding proteins has a distinct function in the development of the CNS. Now that we isolated cDNA clones encoding Elf-1 and located the Elf-1 gene, it will be possible to assess its importance during development using a genetic approach.

Materials and methods

Restriction enzymes and other enzymes used for molecular biology were purchased from New England Biolabs or Boehringer–Mannheim Biochemicals, and procedures were performed as described by Maniatis et al. (1982), except where otherwise noted. SP6 RNA polymerase was purchased from Bethesda Research Laboratories. Column materials were from Pharmacia or Sigma. DNase I protection assays were carried out as described previously [Heberlein et al. 1985; Bray et al. 1988], using DNase I from Worthington. The DNA fragment used was a 188-bp EcoRV–Ncol fragment from the Ddc gene promoter that was labeled with 32P at the Ncol site using polynucleotide kinase. The SDS–polyacrylamide gels used to analyze proteins (either 12.5 or 15%) were as described by Anderson et al. [1973] and were either silver-stained or transferred electrophotographically to nitrocellulose [Towbin et al. 1979; Brown and Kafatos 1988]. Oligonucleotides were synthesized by Dr. Alex Nussbaum, using an Applied Biosystems synthesizer. Oligonucleotides used in the in vitro DNA-binding assays consisted of complementary oligonucleotides that generated Xba sticky ends when
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Although the function of Elf-1 in regulating Ddc remains to be elucidated fully, it is clear that the Elf-1 proteins are expressed only in the nuclei of certain tissues within the embryo. These tissues, namely the CNS, the epidermis, and the fore- and hindgut, are all of ectodermal origin. Expression of Elf-1 in the nervous system appears to be regulated stringently in a cell-specific fashion, and it seems likely that this family of DNA-binding proteins has a distinct function in the development of the CNS. Now that we isolated cDNA clones encoding Elf-1 and located the Elf-1 gene, it will be possible to assess its importance during development using a genetic approach.

Materials and methods

Restriction enzymes and other enzymes used for molecular biology were purchased from New England Biolabs or Boehringer–Mannheim Biochemicals, and procedures were performed as described by Maniatis et al. (1982), except where otherwise noted. SP6 RNA polymerase was purchased from Bethesda Research Laboratories. Column materials were from Pharmacia or Sigma. DNase I protection assays were carried out as described previously [Heberlein et al. 1985; Bray et al. 1988], using DNase I from Worthington. The DNA fragment used was a 188-bp EcoRV–Ncol fragment from the Ddc gene promoter that was labeled with 32P at the Ncol site using polynucleotide kinase. The SDS–polyacrylamide gels used to analyze proteins (either 12.5 or 15%) were as described by Anderson et al. [1973] and were either silver-stained or transferred electrophotographically to nitrocellulose [Towbin et al. 1979; Brown and Kafatos 1988]. Oligonucleotides were synthesized by Dr. Alex Nussbaum, using an Applied Biosystems synthesizer. Oligonucleotides used in the in vitro DNA-binding assays consisted of complementary oligonucleotides that generated Xba sticky ends when
annealed. The sequences of the upper strands were as follows: wild-type element I, CTAGAGCGGATCACTGCGGTCC TCGGGT; m1, CTAGAGCGGATGATGGTTCTCGGCT; m2, CTAGAGCGGATACCTGCGGCTCC TCGGGT; element II, CTAGAATGCCTAGCGGTCC TCGGGT (see Fig. 1 and Bray et al. 1988). Oligonucleotides used for in vitro mutagenesis of the Ddc gene were single-stranded 26-mers generating the same element I mutations as those in the double-stranded competitor oligonucleotides (boldface type).

**Purification of Elf-1 from embryos**

Embryos were collected from populations of wild-type Canton S *Drosophila melanogaster*. Collections of 0- to 12-hr embryos were aged for an additional 10 hr at 25°C and then stored at 4°C for up to 3 days. All subsequent procedures were conducted at 4°C. Nuclear proteins were prepared from the aged embryos, as described by Heberlein and Tjian (1988). We started routinely with 100- to 150-gram embryos. The nuclear proteins from two such collections were pooled and applied to a 35-ml heparin–agarose column in 0.1 M KCl HEMG [25 mM KHEPES (pH 7.6), 0.1 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 10% [vol/vol] glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.2% [wt/vol] NaN₂O₃]. Note that this buffer contains less MgCl₂ than that used by Heberlein and Tjian (1988). The column was washed extensively and then eluted with 0.4 M KCl HEMG, and the pooled protein eluted from the column was dialyzed extensively against 0.1 M KCl HEMG. The yield from 250-gram embryos was ~250-mg of this heparin–agarose fraction, which we refer to in the text as crude nuclear extract.

The heparin–agarose fraction was chromatographed on a 15-ml DNA cellulose column. The protein was applied in 0.1 M KCl HEMG; and the washed column was eluted with a linear gradient of 0.1–0.75 M KCl. The eluted fractions were assayed for Elf-1 activity using a DNase I protection assay. The activity eluted between 0.3 and 0.4 M KCl. The majority of the protein bound to the column eluted between 0.2 and 0.3 M KCl, allowing ~100-fold purification of Elf-1 activity. Total protein in the pooled active fractions was usually ~1 mg. In later rounds of purification, often we eluted the activity from the DNA cellulose column with a step elution between 0.3 and 0.45 M KCl. The pooled active fractions were dialyzed against 0.1 M KCl HEMG.

The Elf-1 was purified from the active DNA cellulose column fraction using a sequence-specific resin. The double-stranded element I oligonucleotide was constructed with Xba sticky ends to facilitate ligation of the oligonucleotide into polymers. These were coupled to cyoxygen bromide-activated Sepharose using the procedure described by Kadonaga and Tjian (1986). The active fraction from the DNA cellulose column was incubated with 0.4 U/ml of poly[d(I-C)] (Pharmacia) for 15–30 min on ice and then applied to a 0.5-ml element I column in 0.1 M KCl HEMG that contained 0.1% NP-40. The column was washed with 0.25 M KCl HEMG and 0.1% NP-40, and the Elf-1 was eluted with 0.5 M KCl HEMG and 0.1% NP-40. The eluted protein was diluted to 0.1 M KCl, incubated with 0.4 U/ml of poly[d(I-C)], and applied to an identical column and eluted in the same manner. The eluted protein was dialyzed against 0.1 M KCl HEMG. The estimated yield was 1–2 µg of protein, retaining ~15–20% of the starting activity, resulting in a 15,000- to 20,000-fold purification. Most of the protein used to immunize mice was of this purity.

When Elf-1 was to be purified further on an FPLC Mono Q column, it was dialyzed against 50 mM KCl TEG [25 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.6), 0.1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol] in the presence of 0.25 mg/ml of bovine insulin (Sigma) as carrier protein. The protein was loaded onto a 1-ml Mono Q column in the 50 mM KCl TEG buffer and eluted with a linear 0.05 to 0.5 M KCl gradient. The insulin flowed through the column without binding. The Elf-1 activity eluted from the column between 0.2 and 0.25 M KCl, as shown in Figure 1.

**Immunization and fusion procedures**

Seven-week-old Rb (8.12) Sbr mice were immunized intraperitoneally with 250–500 ng of element I affinity-purified Elf-1 in complete Freund’s adjuvant, followed by a similar quantity 3 weeks later in incomplete adjuvant. Ten days after this second injection, a small blood sample was taken from each animal and tested for anti-Elf-1 activity (see below). Ten days later, each positive animal was given a boost of 250–500 ng of Mono Q-purified Elf-1 in PBS [130 mM NaCl, 7 mM Na₂HPO₄, 3 mM NaH₂PO₄]. Four days after this final boost, the spleen was removed from each animal, and the dissociated cells were fused with the FOX NY myeloma cell line (Taggart and Samloff 1982), according to the procedures described by Galfre et al. (1977). The hybridomas were distributed into three 96-well microtiter plates and selected in adenine/aminopterin/thymidine (AAT) medium, as described by Taggart and Samloff (1982). Cultures positive for anti-Elf-1 activity were identified by the bandshift assay described below and cloned three times by limiting dilution over a thymocyte feeder layer. Monoclonal antibodies employed in all subsequent experiments consisted of culture medium from 3 × cloned hybridomas.

**Screening monoclonal antibodies**

The monoclonal antibodies were assayed for Elf-1 reactivity using a gel mobility assay, scoring for the ability of the monoclonal antibody supernatant to retard the mobility of an Elf-1–DNA complex in a native polyacrylamide gel. One microliter (~0.3–0.5 ng) of affinity-purified protein was incubated with 1 µl of diluted serum or diluted monoclonal supernatant with 0.1 M KCl HEMG added to a final volume of 3.5 µl. After 1–3 hr at 0°C, 1.5 µl of a mixture containing 32P-labeled DNA probe encompassing the element I site was added and the incubation continued for 20–30 min. The mixture was as follows: 1% [wt/vol] polyvinyl alcohol, 1 × 10⁻⁴ unit of poly[d(I-C)], ~50–100 pg 32P-labeled DNA. After the incubation, the complexes were analyzed on a 5% native polyacrylamide gel in 89 mM Tris-borate (pH 8) and 2 mM EDTA, and the gel was dried and autoradiographed. Bandshift assays in the absence of antibodies contained affinity-purified protein and the DNA mixture in the same proportions described, and either H₂O or competitor oligonucleotides as stated. For some assays 10 pg of 32P-labeled oligonucleotide was used in place of the DNA probe.

**Immunoprecipitation with monoclonal antibodies**

All incubations and washes were at 4°C. Monoclonal antibody supernatant [10 ml] or PBS [130 mM NaCl, 7 mM Na₂HPO₄, 3 mM NaH₂PO₄] was incubated with Sepharose-conjugated anti-mouse immunoglobulins [Hyclone] overnight on a rotator. The resin was washed extensively with PBS and then equilibrated in 0.1 M KCl HEMG. Ten microliters of this resin was incubated with 100 µl of crude nuclear extract for 2–3 hr with rotation. The supernatant then was removed and assayed for Elf-1 by DNase I footprinting. The resin was washed extensively with 0.1 M KCl HEMG, and 100 µl of mixture that contained 32P-labeled oligonucleotides was added. The mixture contained 2 ng of 32P-labeled element I oligonucleotide, 2 ng of 32P-labeled element II oligonucleotide, and 0.1 unit of poly[d(I-C)] in 0.1 M KCl HEMG. Where cold competitor oligonucleotides were added,
this was present at 50-fold molar excess over the labeled oligonucleotides. After 30 min at 0°C, the supernatant was removed and the resin was washed with 0.1 M KCl HEMG. After extensive washing, 25 μl of 0.5 M KCl HEMG was added to eluate-bound oligonucleotides, and the resin was allowed to sit for several minutes. The supernatant was removed and a small aliquot was analyzed on a 10% native acrylamide gel, which was dried and autoradiographed.

**Isolation of cDNA clones**

A λgt11 library prepared from 0- to 24-hr embryos (a gift of Tao Hsieh, Duke University) was screened for Elf-1 immunoreactivity using the procedures described by Huynh et al. [1985]. Approximately 500,000 plaques were screened in total. All incubations were carried out at 4°C. The monoclonal antibody supernatant was diluted by threefold for the screening and was incubated with the filters overnight. The secondary antibody was an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-mouse (Bio-Rad) used at a dilution of 1 : 3000, the assay used to detect alkaline phosphatase contained 0.3 mg/ml of nitroblue tetrazolium and 0.15 mg/ml of 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate in 100 mM Tris-Cl (pH 9.5), 100 mM NaCl, and 5 mM MgCl₂. DNA was prepared from plaque-purified phage, and the inserts were excised with EcoRI and purified by electrophoresis on a low-melt agarose gel. The purified DNA fragment was nick-translated and used to screen an 8- to 12-hr embryonic cDNA library that was constructed unidirectionally in a vector, pNB40, so that the SP6 RNA polymerase promoter is the 5’ end of the cDNA insert [Brown and Kafatos 1988]. Preparation of plasmid DNA and in vitro transcription and translations also were described by Brown and Kafatos [1988]. An aliquot (~2.5 μg) of plasmid DNA was transcribed in vitro and the incubation was terminated by phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation of the nucleic acids. The RNA was resuspended in 2 μl of H₂O; 1 μl of this was added to 20 μl of a reticuloocyte lysate message-dependent in vitro translation system [MDL, Jackson and Hunt 1983]. For the cDNA F footprinting experiment, serial twofold dilutions into MDL mixture were made so that the final incubations contained 0.5, 0.25, and 0.125 μl of transcribed RNA. The entire 10-μl sample was used for the DNase I protection assay, and 0.5 μl was used for the gel mobility shift assays.

**DNA sequencing**

The Elf-1 cDNA clone N was excised from the pNB40 vector DNA and subcloned into Bluescript vectors (Stratagene) in both orientations. Two series of overlapping deletions were constructed using exonuclease III, according to the method of Henikoff [1984]. Most of the sequence was obtained using single-stranded template DNA. The Bluescript plasmids were induced to produce phage using the M13 helper phage M13KO7 (Pharmacia). The DNA sequence was determined by the dideoxy sequencing method using modified T7 DNA polymerase [Tabor and Richardson 1987]. DNA sequence was analyzed using DNA Strider [March 1988] and the IBI Pustell sequence analysis programs. The latter was used to screen sequences in the NBRF and GenBank data bases.

**Antibody staining of embryos and neuroblasts**

Embryos <15 hr were dechorionated, fixed, devitellinized, and incubated with primary antibody, essentially as described by Dequin et al. [1984], except the buffer used for washing and incubations was BSS [10 mM tricine base, 55 mM NaCl, 40 mM KCl, 7 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM CaCl₂, 20 mM glucose, 50 mM sucrose [pH 6.95]], containing 0.5% [wt/vol] BSA and 0.2% Triton X-100 (BBT) and the volumes used were 1/5 reported volumes. All incubations were at 4°C. After incubation with the primary antibody, embryos were washed twice in BBT and 3× for 30 min in PBT [PBS with 0.5% [wt/vol] BSA, 0.2% [vol/vol] Triton X-100]. The secondary antibody was a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse (Biologals) used at 1 : 1000, and the incubation was for 3–5 hr. After extensive washing the secondary antibody was detected using a 0.5 μg/ml of dianamobenzidine staining solution in 0.1 M citric acid, 0.1 M Na₄ acetate [pH 5.7], 0.1 M NH₄OH, 0.1% [vol/vol] p-cresol, and 0.6% H₂O₂. In the CNS shown in Figure 8A, the staining was enhanced using a silver enhancement kit from Amersham. Embryos >15 hr were dissected in BSS, and the nervous systems were transferred to 0.5-cm diameter coverslips [6–12 per coverslip] coated with poly-L-lysine [1 mg/ml]. The tissues were fixed for 10 min at room temperature in 4% [vol/vol] paraformaldehyde [Poly-sciences] in PBS containing 1 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl₂, washed three times in PBS, and incubated at 4°C for two or three washes of 30 min each in PBT. All subsequent incubations were performed at 4°C. The primary antibodies were diluted in PBT and incubated with the tissues overnight. After 2–3 hr of washing in PBT with several changes of solution, the tissues were incubated with fluorescein- and rhodamine-conjugated antibodies [Jackson Labs; TAGO Immunological] for 2–3 hr. After two or three brief washes, they were washed overnight in PBT. The coverslips then were rinsed briefly in PBS and placed tissue-side down in 90% glycerol, 4 mM NaCO₃ [pH 9], and 1 mg/ml of phenylidamine. Cultured cells were stained using a similar procedure, except the secondary incubation and ensuing washes were shorter. The immunofluorescence shown in Figure 5A was as described in Reali and Hirsh [1987], using an anti-Ddc polyclonal rabbit serum.

**Construction of mutant Ddc genes and P-element transformation**

To study the effect of mutations in element I on expression of Ddc in vivo, two mutations in element I were constructed using the Amersham in vitro mutagenesis kit, which is based on the method of Taylor et al. [1985]. The oligonucleotides were designed to change the same bases in the native element I sequence as those altered in the ml and m2 double-stranded element I oligonucleotides [Bray et al. 1988; see materials]. The mutagenesis was carried out on an M13 clone containing sequences from the Ddc promoter encompassing element I. Mutant M13 clones were identified by DNA sequencing. The fragments were isolated from these clones and reinserted into the Ddc gene so that the only changes within the gene were the 2 bp within the element I sequence. The Ddc gene was inserted into a P-element vector carrying the Adh gene selectable marker, and this was used to generate P-element transformant strains, as described previously [Scholinick et al. 1986; Bray et al. 1988]. All strains were homozygous for the inserted P element and contained only one copy of the gene. Three independent transformant strains were analyzed for each mutant gene, and all gave similar results.
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