Population size mediates the contribution of high-rate and large-benefit mutations to parallel evolution
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Mutations with large fitness benefits and mutations occurring at high rates may both cause parallel evolution, but their contribution is predicted to depend on population size. Moreover, high-rate and large-benefit mutations may have different long-term adaptive consequences. We show that small and 100-fold larger bacterial populations evolve resistance to a β-lactam antibiotic by using similar numbers, but different types of mutations. Small populations frequently substitute similar high-rate structural variants and loss-of-function point mutations, including the deletion of a low-activity β-lactamase, and evolve modest resistance levels. Large populations more often use low-rate, large-benefit point mutations affecting the same targets, including mutations activating the β-lactamase and other gain-of-function mutations, leading to much higher resistance levels. Our results demonstrate the separation by clonal interference of mutation classes with divergent adaptive consequences, causing a shift from high-rate to large-benefit mutations with increases in population size.

Public health threats from rapidly evolving pathogens, together with observations of convergent and parallel evolution, have stimulated recent efforts to explore the predictability of evolutionary processes1–3. Yet, even our understanding of the contribution of fundamental mechanisms, such as mutation and selection, to parallel evolution in laboratory evolution experiments with asexual microbes is incomplete4–6. Mutations occur in various forms, with widely diverging rates and fitness effects. For example, gene duplications and deletions may occur at much higher rates than point mutations7 and fitness effects of beneficial mutations are broadly distributed, often with an exponential tail8–12. These differences in rate and fitness effects of different mutations make their contribution to evolution conditional on population size13–15. In sufficiently small populations (the so-called strong selection, weak mutation regime), high-rate and large-benefit mutations are predicted to impact adaptation similarly15,16. In contrast, in large populations where multiple beneficial mutations are present simultaneously, selection dominates mutation choices, because clonal interference filters out small-effect mutations even when they have high rates17,18 (Supplementary Information; Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1). To what extent high-rate mutations also impact adaptation in large populations is the topic of current debate, based on theoretical arguments and observations of substitution biases among point mutations in genomic data and evolution experiments19–25. Moreover, the net effect of population size on parallel evolution is non-trivial and depends on the actual rates and fitness effects of mutations and their variance and covariance15,19, as well as on their epistatic interactions26–29.

Given the predicted profound role of population size in determining mutation trajectories, recent studies have addressed its role by varying population bottlenecks in short-term evolution experiments of antibiotic resistance16,30,31. These studies revealed effects of different bottlenecks on the types of substitutions, but did not quantify the effects of clonal interference and mutation bias on parallel evolution. Also, little is known about the consequences of high-rate and large-benefit mutations for longer-term adaptation. For example, if high-rate mutations typically confer small fitness benefits, they may enhance evolvability by avoiding adaptive constraints in rugged fitness landscapes26,28,29,32. Alternatively, if high-rate mutations inactivate genes that may contribute to adaptation via lower-rate mutations, their effect on evolvability may be negative31. Here we investigate the effect of population size on the type, repeatability and adaptive consequences of substitutions in bacterial populations adapting to gradually increasing antibiotic concentrations. Our specific aim is to quantify the relative contribution of the rates and fitness effects of general mutation classes, such as point mutations, indels and structural variants, to the pattern of parallel evolution in populations of different size.

Results

Experimental evolution of cefotaxime resistance. Seventy-two small (N = ~2 × 10^6) and 24 large populations (N = ~2 × 10^8) of an Escherichia coli strain harbouring a multicopy non-conjugative plasmid expressing TEM-1 β-lactamase, evolved via serial transfer in Luria broth containing cefotaxime (CTX) (and tetracycline to avoid plasmid loss). These population sizes were chosen to differ in the expected intensity of clonal interference, on the basis of previous work with the same bacterial strain in the absence of antibiotics14,33. The β-lactamase has very low activity against CTX, but can be activated by many different point mutations34. To maintain a constant selection pressure that is comparable in small and large populations, CTX concentrations were increased by a factor of 3–5 times in small populations and 5–10 times in large populations.
of $2^{635}$ whenever the optical density of a population before transfer had risen above 75% of that in the absence of CTX, resulting in a 4.6-fold higher geometric mean CTX concentration in large than in small populations (Extended Data Fig. 1). Sixteen large control populations were evolved without antibiotics or with only tetracycline (Supplementary Table 2). After 50 transfers (~500 generations), a random clone was isolated from each population to determine the extent and repeatability of adaptation. Large populations showed markedly higher resistance levels than small populations (on average 12.8 versus 7.2 doublings of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of CTX, respectively; $U=56.5$, $N=96$, $P<0.001$; Fig. 2a).

**Differences in ancestral strains and 112 evolved clones revealed 1,190 mutations** (Fig. 2b,c, and Supplementary Fig. 4 and Tables 5 and 6). These include 706 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), 275 indels ($<1$ kbp) and insertion-sequence (IS) element transpositions, 160 large deletions ($>1$ kbp), 49 large duplications ($>1$ kbp) and four 304 bp inversions. Two clones from the small and three from the large CTX-treated populations were identified as mutators (Supplementary Information and Extended Data Fig. 2). Non-mutator CTX-treated clones had on average 9.5 mutations in small and 10.2 mutations in large populations ($U=823$, $N=107$, $P=0.405$); clones from control populations had fewer mutations ($U=1,352.5$, $N=107$, $P<0.0001$; 5.9 in the no-antibiotic and 6.0 in the tetracycline-only populations; Supplementary Figs. 6 and 7, and Extended Data Fig. 3). Among non-mutator clones, those from small populations showed fewer SNPs ($U=1,186.5$, $N=91$, $P<0.0001$), particularly in their plasmid, while those from large populations had fewer structural variants (SVs, that is, deletions and duplications $>1$ kbp; $U=1,196$, $N=91$, $P<0.0001$) in both chromosome and plasmid (Fig. 2b; see Supplementary Table 1 for additional statistical comparisons in mutation numbers). Of the 503 SNPs observed in CTX-treated non-mutators, 14 were synonymous and 30 were intergenic. The normalized ratio of their non-synonymous to synonymous substitutions per site ($dN/dS$: 11.9 in small, 28.8 in large populations; Supplementary Table 7) confirmed a dominant role for selection, with 92% and 97% of the non-synonymous substitutions expected to be beneficial in small and large populations, respectively.

**Parallel mutations.** To measure mutational repeatability, the average pairwise similarity of genotypes was calculated for non-mutator clones, by considering mutual overlap across all types of mutations (Supplementary Information and Supplementary Fig. 9). Consistent with previous findings$^{8,37}$, mutational repeatability was higher at the gene than at the nucleotide level ($U=10,828$, $N=107$, $P<0.0001$; 34% versus 11% shared mutations, respectively; Fig. 3a). Repeatability was also higher in large than in small populations at the gene ($U=149$, $N=91$, $P<0.0001$) and nucleotide levels ($U=507$, $N=91$, $P=0.032$; Fig. 3a). However, SNPs and SVs contributed to this overall pattern of repeatability in opposite ways: clones from small populations consistently shared fewer SNPs but more SVs than clones from large populations, both at the nucleotide (Fig. 3b) and gene levels (Extended Data Fig. 4), in the chromosome as well as in the plasmid ($P<0.001$ in all cases; see Supplementary Table 1 for additional statistical comparisons and Supplementary Tables 8 and 9 for repeatability results). What caused this greater repeatability of SNPs in large and SVs in small populations? We hypothesized that a combination of stronger clonal interference in large populations and a trade-off between rates and fitness effects of SNPs and SVs underlies their different contribution in small and large populations. If SNPs have both lower rates and larger benefits than SVs, clonal interference and stronger purifying selection would more often prevent high-rate SVs from fixing in large than in small populations.

**Mutation supplies versus selective conditions.** To maintain a constant selection pressure across populations, we adapted CTX concentrations to match the speed of adaptation of individual populations. Nevertheless, some large populations adapted as fast as
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**Fig. 2 | Phenotypic and genetic changes.**

**a.** Boxplots of the CTX MIC doublings of evolved clones from small (red) and large populations (blue) relative to the ancestral strain. Boxes show the median and interquartile range, and whiskers indicate the full range but exclude outliers.

**b.** Number of mutations per category (means ± s.e.m.), genomic element and population size for the 91 non-mutator clones. Positions of mutations in chromosome and plasmid (different scales) for small (top) and large (bottom) populations ranked by their MIC value. Black vertical lines are SNPs, green and red triangles are small (<1 kbp) insertions and deletions, respectively (indels), black circles are IS-element insertions, red and green bars are large (>1 kbp) deletions and duplications, respectively (SVs, structural variants); yellow bars in the plasmid indicate heteroplasmic deletions; the five asterisks on the left indicate mutator genotypes; positions of IS elements and rRNA operons are indicated above. **d.** Functional targets with >20 mutations (Supplementary Information). Names of genes involved in each functional target are given between brackets, together with the average number of mutations per clone from small (red) and large (blue) populations. Asterisks show results from χ² tests of the difference in mutation frequencies in small and large populations: *P < 0.10, ***P < 0.001.
or faster than CTX concentrations were increased (Extended Data Fig. 1), which may have introduced differences in selective conditions. We therefore first asked whether differences in selective conditions, rather than differences in mutation supplies, had an effect on the relative contributions of SVs and SNPs. Regression analysis showed no effect of variation in experienced CTX concentration on the fraction of SVs when tested for small and large populations separately (P ≥ 0.34). Differences in experienced CTX concentration only affected the fraction of SVs for the combined populations (P < 0.01; Extended Data Fig. 5), indicating that primarily, differences in mutation supplies rather than CTX concentration per se, affected the contributions of the different mutation classes.

Were differences in selective conditions perhaps reflected by mutations in different targets in small and large populations? To examine this, we grouped all genes with ≥5 SNPs or indels across all 96 populations into nine functional targets with ≥20 mutations (Supplementary Information and Table 14), which covered 57% of all mutations in these populations. The functional targets included known β-lactam resistance mechanisms, such as activation and upregulation of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump, downregulation of outer-membrane porin OmpF, alteration of CTX-target PBP3 and activation of TEM-1 β-lactamase, but also unexpectedly the deletion of blaTEM1 and its repressor lacI from the plasmid (Fig. 2d). All nine targets were affected in small and large populations, albeit in subtly different ways: large populations more often activated the β-lactamase, altered target PBP3 and putatively increased the production of outer-membrane vesicles38, while small populations tended to more frequently remove blaTEM1 and alter transcription regulation. Moreover, similar to the total set of mutations (Fig. 2b), these shared targets were also affected more often by SNPs in large
populations and by SVs in small populations (χ² = 424.5, P < 0.0001; Supplementary Table 14). Thus, small and large populations adapted via similar mechanisms, but differed in the frequency and types of mutations used, confirming that differences in mutation supplies rather than selective conditions drove these mutational differences.

**Testing the clonal interference-trade-off hypothesis.** To test our hypothesis that low-rate SNPs more often occur and survive clonal interference in large relative to small populations due to larger fitness benefits, we first examined differences in the temporal dynamics of mutations in small and large populations. For this, we sequenced the metagenomes of five small and five large populations at multiple time points. The inferred Muller plots (Fig. 4a) show stronger clonal interference in large populations, where the majority genotype detected at the initial time point never goes to fixation, while it fixes in all five small populations (Fisher’s P = 0.004). The metagenomes also support the hypothesized differences in the rates and fitness effects of SNPs and SVs: SVs are detected earlier than SNPs (log rank test: χ² = 4.13, P = 0.042; Fig. 4b) in both small and large populations, consistent with their expected higher rate⁴⁹, but in large populations fewer SVs fix than in small populations and they do so later than SNPs (χ² = 5.975, P = 0.015; Fig. 4c), consistent with smaller fitness effects.

Second, we used Wright–Fisher simulations to estimate the average mutation rates and fitness effects of SNPs, indels and SVs that best explain their observed frequencies in the 91 non-mutator clones, assuming different exponentially distributed and non-epistatic beneficial mutation effects for each class (Supplementary Information, Supplementary Table 11 and Extended Data Fig. 6). This yielded average selection coefficients of 0.41 for SNPs, 0.25 for indels and 0.14 for SVs, with corresponding mutation rates of 2.2 × 10⁻⁵ mutations per genome per generation for SNPs, 1.8 × 10⁻⁷ for indels and 7.1 × 10⁻⁶ for SVs, in support of our hypothesis. The relative selection coefficients of the three mutation classes were confirmed by estimating their effects on the measured MIC values of these clones using a general linear model, the estimated effects being approximately 2.5-fold larger for SNPs than for SVs (Supplementary Table 13).

Third, we sought to test whether the rate–benefit trade-off would also apply to other mutation classes than SNPs and SVs. Given the different frequencies of point mutations in small and large populations affecting certain functional targets (Fig. 2d), we wondered whether the more numerous mutations that inactivate a gene function (loss-of-function, LoF) would have smaller fitness effects than mutations that activate or subtly alter the function of a gene

---

**Fig. 4 | Temporal dynamics of genomic changes.** a. Muller plots inferred for five small and five large populations on the basis of a comparison of population metagenomes at 100-generation intervals with final clonal genotypes (indicated by black arrows). Shown are mutations reaching at least 10% frequency and the horizontal lines represent the functional targets they affect (Fig. 2d), where applicable. b. Time to first detection of SNPs and SVs for the ten populations combined. c. Time to fixation of SNPs and SVs for small and large populations separately. d. Fitness effects of common ~2 kbp blaTEM/blaOmpF deletion and TEM-activating mutation G238S, measured in competition against the ancestral strain expressing TEM-1 in the absence and presence of CTX; *P < 0.01 based on t-tests (see Supplementary Information for details).
SNPs in the five putative GoF targets (\textit{bla}) with greater benefits of SNPs in GoF relative to LoF targets, the 174 at least two populations (Supplementary Information). Consistent with the function and the presence of indels or stop codons in categorized genes with SNPs in multiple small and large populations, GoF mutations have larger fitness effects than LoF mutations, we considered the trade-off in large than in small populations (above diagonal) and normalized linkage disequilibrium (below diagonal). Height and width of boxes reflect mutation frequencies, colours indicate negative (purple) to positive (green) associations. The yellow dashed boxes in a highlight two alternative trajectories involving the deletion or activation of TEM-1 β-lactamase. Upreg., upregulation; reg., regulation. c, d, MIC doublings of analysed evolved clones relative to the ancestral strain, for genotypes that activate, delete or maintain ancestral allele TEM-1, for small (c) and large (d) populations. Boxes show the median and interquartile range, and whiskers indicate the range but exclude outliers.

Fig. 5 | CTX resistance trajectories and their evolvability. a,b, Associations between functional targets with frequent mutations (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Table 14) in small (a) and large (b) populations, based on Spearman correlation (above diagonal) and normalized linkage disequilibrium (below diagonal). Height and width of boxes reflect mutation frequencies, colours indicate negative (purple) to positive (green) associations. The yellow dashed boxes in b highlight two alternative trajectories involving the deletion or activation of TEM-1 β-lactamase. Upreg., upregulation; reg., regulation. c,d, MIC doublings of analysed evolved clones relative to the ancestral strain, for genotypes that activate, delete or maintain ancestral allele TEM-1, for small (c) and large (d) populations. Boxes show the median and interquartile range, and whiskers indicate the range but exclude outliers.

(gain-of-function, GoF), which have lower rates due to greater restrictions of the specific mutations required\textsuperscript{40,41}. To test whether GoF mutations have larger fitness effects than LoF mutations, we categorized genes with SNPs in multiple small and large populations (Supplementary Table 14) as putative GoF or LoF targets, on the basis of their function and the presence of indels or stop codons in at least two populations (Supplementary Information). Consistent with greater benefits of SNPs in GoF relative to LoF targets, the 174 SNPs in the five putative GoF targets (\textit{bla}_{TEM} , \textit{ftsI} , \textit{acrB} , \textit{lacI} and \textit{rpoD}) occur more often in large than in small populations (\textit{χ}^2 = 58, \textit{P} < 0.0001), while the 268 SNPs in the five putative LoF targets have comparable frequencies in small and large populations (\textit{χ}^2 = 1.99, \textit{P} = 0.158; Fig. 3c). Also, estimates of the average MIC effects of putative GoF and LoF target SNPs using general linear models are approximately threefold higher for GoF than for LoF SNPs (\textit{χ}^2 = 20.4, \textit{P} < 0.0001; Supplementary Information and Fig. 3d). This effect is partly, but not exclusively, driven by the large effects of SNPs activating the β-lactamase (GoF without TEM versus LoF, \textit{χ}^2 = 6.2, \textit{P} = 0.013). Further, putative GoF mutations show greater repeatability in large than in small populations (\textit{U} = 62, \textit{N} = 76, \textit{P} < 0.0001; Fig. 3c), whereas putative LoF SNPs do not (\textit{U} = 251, \textit{N} = 83, \textit{P} = 0.215). Therefore, a rate–benefit trade-off is supported for both the SNP/SV and GoF/LoF dichotomies, which suggests that this trade-off may be common or even ubiquitous. The sparsity of mutations with large effects in empirical studies of the distribution of mutational effects\textsuperscript{41,42,43} further reinforces this suggestion.

Evolvability consequences of mutation choices. We finally examined the adaptive consequences of the different mutation choices of small and large populations. Following the approach of Tenaillon et al.,\textsuperscript{4} we first made an attempt to identify common mutation trajectories on the basis of associations between mutations in different functional targets (Supplementary Information). Clones from small populations showed no clear associations among mutated targets, whereas those from large populations revealed two alternative trajectories (Fig. 5b): one trajectory combining the deletion of \textit{bla}_{TEM} from the plasmid with alteration of CTX-target PBP3, the upregulation of efflux pump AcrAB-ToIC and downregulation of OmpF, and another trajectory where TEM activation is associated with the regulation of its expression, downregulation of plas-
mid copy number and upregulation of outer-membrane vesicles. Importantly, populations activating TEM reach on average approximately 10-fold higher resistance levels than those deleting TEM (U = 762.5, N = 56, P < 0.0001; Fig. 5c,d), indicating significant differences in adaptive consequences.

Because the SV that removes TEM β-lactamase precludes its activation via SNPs, while the latter mutations may conversely prevent its subsequent loss, these two mutually exclusive mutations seem to drive the choice between the two alternative trajectories. Using pairwise competition assays (Supplementary Information), we found that the deletion of blaTEM which was twice as common in small than in large populations, was nearly neutral (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Information), indicating that it was driven by its high mutation rate alone. The high rate of this recombinational deletion was likely driven by two identical 184 bp sequences introduced during the construction of the plasmid (Supplementary Fig. 2). In contrast, activating SNP G238S, which has a much lower rate, had a fitness cost in the absence of CTX, but a substantial benefit under the selective conditions (Fig. 4d), explaining the higher frequency of large (63%) than small populations (8%) with TEM-activating mutations. An illustrative example of the sequential occurrence and competition between these mutations is population L5 (Fig. 4a), where a mutant with the blaTEM deletion has seemingly fixed by 100 generations, but is subsequently driven extinct by a clone carrying TEM-activating mutation G238S (together with an SNP in their larger off-target pleiotropic effects. However, it is unclear whether the larger fitness effects of SNPs that gain or subtly alter a gene function (for example, to avoid antibiotic binding) relative to loss-of-function SNPs are also due to limited pleiotropy, and whether they hold beyond our study. Conserved genes that are part of E. coli’s core genome have been implicated as prominent targets for adaptive mutations in the LTEE41, as well as for antibiotic resistance42. In our study, only two of the five putative gain-of-function targets (ftsI and rpoD) are part of E. coli’s core genome, highlighting an important role for accessory genes instead. A better understanding of the relationship between fitness effects and pleiotropic properties of mutations in these various targets may help to test the generality of our findings.

The most prominent high-rate mutations in our study were large chromosomal deletions, which are caused by intra-chromosomal recombination between repetitive sequences, such as IS elements. Consistent with previous findings43, these high-rate mutations had a notable negative effect on evolvability, because they sometimes removed genes with longer-term adaptive potential, such as blaTEM. However, intra-chromosomal recombination may also cause similarly high-rate chromosomal duplications and gene amplifications, with potentially positive evolvability consequences due to enhanced survival under stress44 and increased mutation supplies45. Irrespective of the actual consequences, interactions between high-rate SVs and large-benefit SNPs are relevant for the evolution of antibiotic resistance, since antibiotic-resistance genes are often flanked by repeat sequences that facilitate their rapid deletion or amplification.

Previous studies have addressed the effect of population size on the repeatability of evolutionary changes in experimental populations of asexual microbes, finding maximum repeatability in large, intermediate, or small populations46. Here we show that more intense clonal interference in large populations, together with knowledge of the variance and covariance of the rates and fitness effects of substitutions, may explain these divergent findings. Paradoxically, while in our system the negative covariance of rates and fitness effects among mutation classes reduces the positive effect of population size increase on repeatability, it also enhances the predictability of mutation choice of different-sized populations from information about the rates and fitness effects of common-target mutations. Our findings advocate a more prominent role of population size in efforts to predict evolution.

Methods

**Media and bacterial strains.** For all experiments, we used a modified Luria broth (LB), which is here 10 g l−1 tryptone, 5 g l−1 yeast extract and 5 g l−1 NaCl. For plates, 15 g l−1 agar was added. E. coli strains REL606 (Ara−) and REL607 (Ara+) were used for all experiments.

**Evolution experiment.** We electro-transformed REL606 and REL607 cells derived from a single colony with the pACTEM1 plasmid expressing TEM-1 β-lactamase and subsequently plated them on LB supplemented with 15 μg ml−1 tetracycline for selection of transformants. A different colony was used to initiate 72 small, 24 large and 16 control populations (large populations with no antibiotics or tetracycline only, N = 8 each). Colonies were grown overnight in 1 ml LB with 15 μg ml−1 tetracycline. These overnight cultures were then used to initiate the serial passaging experiment with a 1:1,000 dilution in LB with different supplements depending on the treatment (Supplementary Table 2), including 0.011 μg ml−1 ceftaxime (CTX), 50 μM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside to induce TEM expression, and 15 μg ml−1 tetracycline to force plasmid maintenance (except for control populations C1–8). Small populations were represented by 200 μl cultures in 300 μl flat-bottom wells, distributed in a checkerboard pattern across two 96-well microtitre plates (ThermoFisher Nunc): Ara+ and Ara− populations were alternated and wells in between bacterial cultures were filled with sterile medium to control for potential contamination. Large populations were represented by 20 ml cultures in 50 ml tubes (Greiner) and were also transferred in alternating fashion with respect to Ara-marker. All cultures were kept at 37 °C with agitation (220 r.p.m.).

**Transfers involved daily 1:1,000 dilutions (volume:volume) for 50 subsequent days (equivalent to ~500 bacterial generations). In the absence of CTX, these conditions yielded effective population sizes of ~2 × 105 and ~2 × 108 for small and large populations, respectively. These population sizes were chosen on the basis of the expectation of substantial differences in the strength of clonal interference...
from previous work\textsuperscript{14}. To maximize selection for CTX resistance, we increased the concentration of CTX as follows. Before transfer, the optical density (OD\textsubscript{600}) was measured with a Victor\textsuperscript{2} plate reader (Perkin Elmer). When the OD\textsubscript{600} was at least 75\% of that of the ancestral strain in the absence of CTX, the concentration of CTX was increased by a factor of 2\textsuperscript{2} (19%), so that after four increases the concentration doubled (Extended Data Fig. 1). In rare cases when the OD\textsubscript{600} dropped below 25\% of its maximum, the concentration of CTX was decreased by a factor of 2\textsuperscript{2}. After 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 transfers, samples were plated on TAgar to check for Ara-markers, and glycerol stocks of the populations were prepared and stored at \textdegree C.

Further methods and results. Additional methods, including for genomic and statistical analyses, and results are described in Supplementary Information.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability
There are no restrictions on data accessibility. Accession codes for reference sequences used are provided in Supplementary Information (REL606 genome: Genbank NC_012967.1; pCTEM1 plasmid: Genbank MN386081). Raw sequencing reads have been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive ([https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA796633](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA796633)). Other data and code have been made available in Supplementary Information and at Dryad ([https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.b2rnasz2](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.b2rnasz2)), and are organized per figure.
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | CTX concentrations during the evolution experiment. CTX concentrations during the evolution experiment based on daily 20.25-fold increases when the OD_{600} was higher than 75% of the ancestral value without CTX (see text). Red lines represent small populations (S), blue lines large populations (L). Shades and line types have been varied randomly to better distinguish replicate populations. Note that for one large population the CTX concentration was increased during every round of passaging, as the cultures always reached a high density. For two other large populations, this was the case on all but one round of passaging.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | Histogram of the number of mutations per clone. Histogram of the number of mutations per clone, for all 112 populations.
**Extended Data Fig. 3 | Mutation frequency per mutation class and treatment.** Mutation frequency per mutation class and per treatment for the 107 non-mutator populations. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean. SNPs are green, Indels are blue, and SVs are purple. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | Gene-level H-indexes. (a) Gene-level H-index is given for all mutational events in clones from non-mutator populations. (b) Gene-level H-index is given for three classes of mutational events, as indicated by the legend.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | Regression analysis of the fraction of SVs against CTX concentration. Regression analysis of the fraction of SVs among all mutations per clone against CTX concentration.

- Small: $R^2=0.01$, $P=0.34$
- Large: $R^2=0.02$, $P=0.59$
- Combined: $R^2=0.31$, $P<0.01$
Extended Data Fig. 6 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 6 | Distribution of predicted and observed mutations in the final clones. Distribution of fixed mutations at the evolutionary endpoint obtained from the optimized WF model in comparison to the experimental data. Column heights represent the mean number of mutations and error bars show the corresponding standard deviation.
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