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Abstract

The impact of design choices on the performance of biomedical language models recently has been a subject for investigation. In this paper, we empirically study biomedical domain adaptation with large transformer models using different design choices. We evaluate the performance of our pretrained models against other existing biomedical language models in the literature. Our results show that we achieve state-of-the-art results on several biomedical domain tasks despite using similar or less computational cost compared to other models in the literature. Our findings highlight the significant effect of design choices on improving the performance of biomedical language models.

1 Introduction

The amount of biomedical literature has grown substantially in recent years. This growth created a demand for powerful biomedical language models. Transformer-based language models, such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), have shown effectiveness in capturing the contextual representation of corpora at large volume. To address the lack of biomedical contextual representation, both BioBERT (Lee et al., 2019), and SciBERT (Beltagy et al., 2019) have adapted BERT to the biomedical domain.

Recently, several Transformer-based models have been introduced, including Megatron (Shoeybi et al., 2020), RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019), ALBERT (Lan et al., 2020) and ELECTRA (Clark et al., 2020). These models show impressive performance gains over BERT in the general domain leading most NLP leader boards. However, these models have been evaluated with environmental design factors varying in several dimensions (e.g., vocabulary and corpora domain, loss function, training steps, batch size, and model’s scale). Understanding the contribution of these factors to the performance of the language models is challenging, especially when our goal is to shift the contextual representations to the biomedical domain.

This challenge motivates us to investigate the impact of design choices on the performance of biomedical language models. Moreover, highlighting this impact is critical when evaluating new applications in BioNLP, where each application may evaluate its performance against other models that use different design setups. In this work, we pretrain and evaluate different variants of large biomedical Transformer-based models across different design factors.

Thus, our contributions in this paper includes:

(i) We pretrain four different variations of Transformer-based models including: ELECTRA\textsubscript{Base}, ELECTRA\textsubscript{Large}, BERT\textsubscript{Large} and ALBERT\textsubscript{xxlarge} on biomedical domain corpora using Tensor Processing Units TPUs.

(ii) We fine-tune and evaluate our pretrained models on several downstream biomedical tasks. We present a comprehensive evaluation that highlights the impact of design choices on the performance of biomedical language models.

(iii) We released our pretrained models along with our Github repository.\footnote{Our pre-trained models and our Github repository are accessible at \url{https://github.com/salrowili/BioM-Transformers}.}

2 Related Work

2.1 Transformer-based Language Models

The introduction of the BERT model (Devlin et al., 2019) has initiated the advancement of Transformer-based models. Consequently, the investigation of the architecture and design choices of BERT introduced new state-of-the-art models. By exploiting the advantage of using the large batch size and increasing the size of the corpus,
RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019) has achieved significant performance gains on all downstream tasks.

The loss function and scalability of BERT were also a subject for investigation by ELECTRA (Clark et al., 2020) and ALBERT (Lan et al., 2020). ELECTRA reaches state-of-the-art results by introducing a binary loss function. This loss function uses generative and discriminative models to accelerate the learning curve. Furthermore, the ALBERT model introduces multiple ideas to the BERT model to improve performance and scalability, including parameter-sharing technique, LAMB optimizer, and factorization of embedding layers. Both ELECTRA and ALBERT are now leading most of NLP benchmarks, including SQuAD (Rajpurkar et al., 2016) and GLUE (Wang et al., 2018).

2.2 Biomedical Language Models

In this section, we will briefly summarize the current state-of-the-art biomedical language models. We should also note that there are other insightful models in literature such as ClinicalBERT (Alsentzer et al., 2019), BlueBERT (Peng et al., 2019), BioELECTRA (Ozyurt, 2020) and BioMedBERT (Chakraborty et al., 2020).

BioBERT (Lee et al., 2019) is a BERT\textsubscript{Base} model that has been pretrained on biomedical corpora, including PubMed and PMC articles for 23 days on eight V100 GPUs. In our evaluation, we use BioBERT\textsubscript{Base}v1.1, which extends the pre-training steps of BioBERT\textsubscript{B} to 1M steps and was trained on PubMed abstracts only.

SciBERT (Beltagy et al., 2019) is a BERT\textsubscript{Base} model that has been pretrained on biomedical corpora, including PubMed and PMC articles. In our evaluation, we use SciBERT\textsubscript{Base}, which extends the pre-training steps of SciBERT\textsubscript{B} to 1M steps and was trained on PubMed abstracts only.

PubMedBERT (Gu et al., 2021) follows a similar approach of BioBERT by pretraining the BERT model on large biomedical corpora, including PubMed abstracts and PMC articles. In our evaluation, we use PubMedBERT\textsubscript{v1.1}, which extends the pre-training steps of PubMedBERT\textsubscript{B} to 1M steps and was trained on PubMed abstracts only.

BioMegaTron (Shin et al., 2020) is a large-scale model (345m parameters) by NVIDIA based on MegaTron architecture. (Shoeybi et al., 2020). BioMegaTron introduces a variety of large biomedical language models examining the choice of corpora and vocabulary domain.

BioRoBERTa (Lewis et al., 2020) extends the state-of-the-art results by testing different design choices. Similar to BioMegaTron’s approach, BioRoBERTa models investigate the effect of vocabulary and corpora domain on the performance of biomedical language model.

3 Pretraining our Language Models

We pretrain all our models using the original implementation of BERT, ALBERT, and ELECTRA. We use TensorFlow 1.15 and TPUv3-512 units to pretrain our large models and TPUv3-32 to pretrain our BioM-ELECTRA\textsubscript{B} model.

3.1 BioM-ALBERT

Initially, we pretrain our model BioM-ALBERT\textsubscript{xlarge} on PubMed abstracts only. BioM-ALBERT\textsubscript{xlarge} is based on ALBERT\textsubscript{xlarge} architecture which has larger hidden layer size (4096) than both BERT\textsubscript{L} and ELECTRA\textsubscript{L} (1024). We build our specific domain vocabulary, which has a size of 30K words, using the sentence piece model (Kudo and Richardson, 2018). We maintain the same hyperparameters that (Lan et al., 2020) use, except that we increase the batch size to 8192, decrease the initializer range to 0.01. We pretrain BioM-ALBERT\textsubscript{xlarge} with a learning rate of 1.76e-3 for 264K steps.

Table 1 show the details of our pretrained models compared to the existing model in the literature. The goal to pretrain BioM-ALBERT\textsubscript{xlarge} is to understand the impact of using ALBERT’s techniques on domain adaptation. Moreover, we introduce PMC articles at 264K step, to study the influence of adding PMC articles on the language model. BioM-ALBERT\textsubscript{xlarge} is the first model that we pretrain and fine-tune among our large models.

3.2 BioM-ELECTRA

We build our BioM-ELECTRA\textsubscript{Base} and BioM-ELECTRA\textsubscript{Large} based on ELECTRA architecture (Clark et al., 2020). We pre-train BioM-ELECTRA\textsubscript{L} on PubMed abstracts only using specific domain vocabulary generated by PubMedBERT, which has a size of 28,895 words. Our evaluation of BioM-ALBERT\textsubscript{xlarge} on downstream tasks, influences our decision to pretrain BioM-ELECTRA on PubMed abstracts only. We use
| Model                  | Steps   | Batch | C    | Corpus          | Vocabulary                      |
|-----------------------|---------|-------|------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| RoBERTaBase           | 500k    | 8192  | 4.00x| Web crawl       | 50K Web crawl                   |
| ELECTRABase++         | 4M      | 256   | 1.00x| XLNet Data      | 30K Wikipedia + Books           |
| SciBERTBase           | -       | -     | -    | Semantic Scholar| 30K Wikipedia + Books           |
| BioBERTBase           | 1M      | 256   | 0.25x| PubMed Abstracts| 30K Wikipedia + Books           |
| PubMedBERTBase        | 64K     | 8192  | 0.50x| PubMed Abstracts| 29K PubMed Abstracts           |
| PubMedBERTBase++      | 64K     | 8192  | 0.50x| PubMed+PMC      | 30K PubMed+PMC                  |
| BioM-ELECTRABase      | 500K    | 1024  | 0.50x| PubMed Abstracts| 29K PubMedBERT                  |
| ELECTRALarge          | 1.7M    | 2048  | 3.40x| XLNet Data      | 30K Wikipedia + Books           |
| ALBERTxxlarge         | 1.5M    | 4096  | 6.00x| Wikipedia + Books| 30k Wikipedia + Books           |
| BioRoBERTaLarge       | 500K    | 8192  | 4.00x| PubMed+PMC+M    | 50K PubMed+PMC+M                |
| BioM-BERTLarge        | 690K    | 4096  | 2.76x| PubMed+PMC      | 30K Wikipedia + Books           |
| BioM-ELECTRALarge     | 434K    | 4096  | 1.73x| PubMed Abstracts| 29K PubMedBERT                  |
| BioMegaTron345m       | 800K    | 512   | 0.40x| PubMed+PMC-CC   | 50K PubMed Abstracts            |
| BioM-ALBERTxxlarge    | 264K    | 8192  | 2.11x| PubMed Abstracts| 30k PubMed (ours)              |

Table 1: Design choices for our pretrained models and state-of-the-art models. The computational ratio (C) represents the ratio between the number of steps multiplied by the batch size where ELECTRABase++ is the baseline.

similar pre-training hyperparameters setting described by (Clark et al., 2020) except that we use a larger batch size for BioM-ELECTRAbase (1024) and BioM-ELECTRALarge (4096). We pretrain our BioM-ELECTRAbase for 500K steps and BioM-ELECTRALarge model for 434K steps.

The main objective to pretrain BioM-ELECTRABase is to study the effect of ELECTRA function by comparing its performance with PubMedBERTBase and RoBERTaBase. Furthermore, we build our BioM-ELECTRALarge model to study the effect of model scale by comparing it with BioM-ELECTRABase and PubMedBERTBase where other factors are similar. We should also note that we choose general domain model ELECTRABase+ as a baseline model instead of ELECTRA. The difference between ELECTRABase and ELECTRABase++ is that ELECTRABase is pretrained with less steps (1M) and on smaller corpora (Wikipedia+ Books) (Clark et al., 2020).

3.3 BioM-BERT

We pretrain BioM-BERTLarge model on PubMed abstracts and PMC articles using the same vocabulary of BioBERTBase. BioBERTBase uses a general domain vocabulary pretrained on English Wikipedia and Books Corpus. Our BioM-BERTLarge model aims to study the effect of using general domain vocabulary and PubMed + PMC corpora on downstream biomedical tasks. We use a batch size of 4096, a learning rate of 2e-4, and we set the pretraining steps to 700K. However, since we use preemptible TPUs, our TPUs preempted at 690K. We use the ELECTRA implementation of BERT to pretrain our BERTLarge model. This implementation uses a dynamic masking feature without using next-sentence prediction objective.

4 Fine-Tuning

4.1 Downstream Tasks

Our choices of downstream biomedical tasks are similar to (Shin et al., 2020). For Named Entity Recognition (NER) and Relation Extraction (RE), we generate our training, development, and test data using the same script that PubMedBERT uses (Gu et al., 2021).

**Named Entity Recognition** Our choices for NER tasks including: BC5CDR-Chemical, BC5CDR-Disease (Li et al., 2016) and NCBI-Disease task. (Doğan et al., 2014). These tasks aim to identify chemical and disease entities using IOB tagging format (Ramshaw and Marcus, 1995). For NER tasks, we use entity-Level F1 score, which is a common standard in the literature.

**Relation Extraction** is a text classification task where we classify each sequence from a list of labels (classes). For RE task, we choose the ChemProt task (Kralinger et al., 2015), which is a task that classifies chemical-protein interactions. We use micro-level F1 score on the
five most common classes. We reproduce the results of BioRoBERTa\(^2\) on ChemProt task since BioRoBERTa uses a different pre-processing script than (Gu et al., 2021).

**Question Answering** We use the same BioASQ7B-factoid dataset that (Lee et al., 2019) use, which is in the format of SQuADv1.1. We use Mean Reciprocal Rank (MMR) as an evaluation metric for this task. Moreover, as it is a common practice, we fine-tune our models on BioASQ task using a checkpoint fine-tuned on SQuAD2.0 task (Rajpurkar et al., 2016).

### 4.2 Fine-Tuning Hyperparameters

We conduct a hyperparameters grid search using the development data set on TPUv3-8. We use TensorFlow 1.15 to fine-tune our model for all tasks, except that we use Transformers library (Wolf et al., 2020) to fine-tune our BioM-ALBERT on NER tasks. Since we are fine-tuning different architectures, we extend our grid search range to: learning rate (1e-4, 2e-4, 1e-5 - 7e-5), batch size (24, 32, 48, 64, 128) and (2-5) epochs . We fixed our choices of hyperparameters for each set of tasks, model’s scale, and architecture. The details of our fine-tuning hyperparameters can be found in Appendix A.1.

### 5 Results and Discussion

Table 2 shows our evaluation results. We categorize models into four categories based on the domain and the scale of each model. We show the results of BioM-BERT\(_L\) and BioM-ALBERT\(_{xlarge}\) at different steps. We report entity-level F1 for NER tasks, micro-level F1 for ChemProt, F1 for SQuAD2.0, and Mean Reciprocal Rank (MMR) for BioASQ. We add SQuAD results to track the direction of contextual representation between the general and biomedical domain.

#### 5.1 ELECTRA Objective

The effect of the ELECTRA objective can be seen from comparing both PubMedBERT\(_B\) and BioM-ELECTRA\(_B\), where they both use similar design choices, vocabulary set, and C ratio. Our evaluation shows that the ELECTRA function improves the performance on ChemProt, SQuAD, and BioASQ tasks. On the SQuAD task, our BioM-ELECTRA\(_B\) exceeds RoBERTa\(_B\) despite using biomedical corpora and less C ratio. On NER tasks, BioM-ELECTRA\(_B\) performs better on the NCBI-disease and worse on the BC5-CDR task. In contrast, BioM-ELECTRA\(_{large}\) performs better than other large models on the BC5-CDR dataset, which excludes the assumption that ELECTRA function negatively affects BioM-ELECTRA\(_B\) performance on BC5-CDR tasks.

#### 5.2 Named Entity Recognition

Specific domain vocabulary significantly improves the results on NER tasks. Results of BioM-ELECTRA\(_L\) and BioRoBERTa\(_L\) show that biomedical corpora choices have a marginal effect on NER tasks. Our results also show that the gap between base-scale and large-scale biomedical models on NER tasks is relatively smaller than RE and QA tasks, especially for NCBI-Disease task.

#### 5.3 Relation Extraction

On ChemProt task, BioM-BERT\(_L\) achieve 78.8 F1 score at 100K step with a C ratio of 0.4x matching the performance of BioRoBERTa\(_L\) which has a C ratio of 4.0x. At 1.6x C ratio (400K), it exceeds by a significant margin all large-scale biomedical models. BioM-BERT\(_L\) is the only large model in Table 2 that has PP design choice, which highlights the critical impact of general domain vocabulary on some RE tasks such as ChemProt.

#### 5.4 Question Answering

Our results highlight that question answering tasks are sensitive to out-of-domain corpora. This sensitivity can be clearly seen when we introduce (PP) design to BioM-ALBERT\(_{xlarge}\). The performance decreases significantly on the BioASQ challenge. In contrast, the performance on the SQuAD dataset increase to 88.0%. This increase is not caused by extending the training steps since SQuAD score remains stable at 215K and 264K steps.

Moreover, we can observe a gap of 3.9% in the SQuAD benchmark between BioM-ELECTRA\(_{Large}\) and BioM-ELECTRA\(_{Base}\). However, this gap is not reflected in the BioASQ benchmark since it is in the format of SQuADv1.1, highlighting the need to have a biomedical questioning answering task in the format of SQuADv2.0.

Furthermore, our evaluation shows that ELECTRA\(_{B++}\) model achieve state-of-the-art result on BioASQ for base-scale models. We attribute this performance to the fact that we use

---

\(^2\)BioRoBERTa released their models at [https://github.com/facebookresearch/bio-lm](https://github.com/facebookresearch/bio-lm). We use following hyperparameters to reproduce results (lr: 2e-5 , batch size: 16, epochs : 10, seeds: 10, 42, 1234, 12345, 666).
Table 2: Evaluation results of our pretrained models. For NER and ChemProt, we use reported results of SciBERT, RoBERTa, BioBERT, PubMedBERT, PubMedBERT++, BioMegaTron (Shin et al., 2020), BioRoBERTa (Lewis et al., 2020). We generate QA results for all models, except that we use reported results for BioMegaTron, BioBERT (Shin et al., 2020), RoBERTa (Dai et al., 2020). BioMegaTron uses sub-tokens evaluation for NER tasks rather than whole-entity evaluation and uses different pre-processed data set for ChemProt task. Our results are the average scores of five different runs. B: Base, L: Large, P: PubMed, PP: PubMed+PMC, PPM: PubMed+PMC+MMIC, V: Specific domain vocabulary, S: Semantic Scholar, G: General domain model.

Table 3: Fine-Tuning time of our pre-trained models. We fine-tune all models on ChemProt data set for 3 epochs with a batch size of 32 and max seq. length of 128 on 3090 RTX GPU with PyTorch (FP16).
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## Appendix

### A.1 Fine-Tuning Hyperparameters

| Task | Model       | E   | LR   | B  |
|------|-------------|-----|------|----|
| NER  | ELECTRA_B   | 5   | 2e-4 | 48 |
| NER  | BioM-ELECTRA_B | 5   | 2e-4 | 48 |
| NER  | BioM-ELECTRA_L | 5   | 7e-5 | 32 |
| NER  | ELECTRA_L   | 5   | 7e-5 | 32 |
| NER  | BioM-BERT_L | 5   | 7e-5 | 32 |
| NER  | BioM-ALBERT_xxl | 4   | 3e-5 | 16 |
| RE   | ELECTRA_B   | 4   | 1e-4 | 32 |
| RE   | BioM-ELECTRA_B | 4   | 1e-4 | 32 |
| RE   | BioM-ELECTRA_L | 4   | 7e-5 | 32 |
| RE   | ELECTRA_L   | 4   | 7e-5 | 32 |
| RE   | BioM-BERT_L | 4   | 7e-5 | 32 |
| RE   | BioM-ALBERT_xxl | 5   | 3e-5 | 128 |
| RE   | ELECTRA_L   | 4   | 3e-5 | 128 |
| SQ. PubMedBERT | 2   | 5e-5 | 32 |
| SQ. BioM-ELECTRA_B | 3   | 1e-4 | 32 |
| SQ. BioM-ELECTRA_L | 3   | 5e-5 | 32 |
| SQ. BioM-BERT_L | 5   | 5e-5 | 48 |
| SQ. BioM-ALBERT_xxl | 2   | 3e-5 | 128 |
| Bio. BioM-ELECTRA_B | 2   | 2e-5 | 24 |
| Bio. ELECTRA_B   | 4   | 2e-5 | 24 |
| Bio. BioM-ELECTRA_L | 4   | 2e-5 | 24 |
| Bio. ELECTRA_L   | 4   | 2e-5 | 24 |
| Bio. PubMedBERT  | 3   | 1e-5 | 128 |
| Bio. BioM-ALBERT_xxl | 3   | 1e-5 | 128 |
| Bio. ALBERT_xxl  | 3   | 1e-5 | 128 |

Table 4: Fine-Tuning hyperparameters of our pre-trained models and base-line general models. We fine-tune all listed models with TensorFlow 1.15 on TPUv3-8 unit. (SQ.: SQuAD2.0, Bio.: BioASQ7B-Factoid, E: Epochs, LR: learning rate, B: Batch size).