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Introduction

CT is an important tool for diagnosing various sinonasal disorders. Upon careful review of the CT images of patients complaining of these conditions, nasal floor slanting (NFS) can be frequently detected in addition to sinus pathologies and septal deformities, particularly in patients with a deviated nose (with its unknown clinical significance). A coronal reconstructed image from a CT scan can be used to detect a level difference between the right and the left side of the nasal floor, i.e. NFS. Despite its frequent occurrence however, NFS has very rarely been defined, studied, or debated. There is currently only one anecdotal report on the endoscopically determined unequal nasal floor level found in 52% of patients with a deformed nasal septum. It is also quite evident that CT imag-
ing enables an accurate and comprehensive assessment of the nasofacial skeleton. However, no studies using CT have investigated NFS. In our present study, we evaluated the incidence and clinical significance of NFS in terms of its association with septal deviation and facial skeletal asymmetry.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Study design and patient selection**

We retrospectively reviewed patients between January 2008 and July 2017 complaining of sinonasal symptoms (e.g. nasal obstruction, rhinorrhea, deformed nose) who have taken both the pre-operative facial photograph and ostiomeatal unit (OMU) CT for the scheduled rhinoplasty or septoplasty. Patients younger than 20 years, and any with a history of congenital facial anomaly, traumatic deformaty of the nasofacial area, neoplasm of the head and neck area, or CT image obtained from other medical institution, were excluded from further analysis. We additionally excluded patients with a previous surgery for the sinonasal cavity, face, or craniofacial skeleton, or who received dental implants of the upper teeth (Fig. 1).

Informed consent was obtained for all of our included study patients regarding the usage of their facial photographs for subsequent clinical care, investigative purposes, and publication. All of the obtained facial photographs were stored with strict access restriction. The design of the study and the use of personal information were approved by the Institutional Review Board of AMC (approval no. 2017-0948).

A total of 265 patients were finally included in our current analysis, consisting of 202 (76%) males and 63 (24%) females. The mean age of these patients was 34.5 ± 13.4 years, ranging from 2076 years. All 265 patients eventually underwent surgery: 111 (42%) patients received a septoplasty, 60 (23%) underwent a septoplasty along with endoscopic sinus surgery (ESS), 74 (28%) had a rhinoseptoplasty, and 20 (7%) had a rhinoseptoplasty with ESS (Table 1).

**CT images and facial photographs**

All 265 study patients underwent OMU CT scanning. The CT image was captured on a plane parallel to the infraorbitomeatal line. Image reconstruction was done with a slice thickness of 2 mm, both coronally and axially. The CT window was

| Table 1. Patient demographics (n=265) |
|--------------------------------------|
| Age (min–max) | 34.5 ± 13.4 (20–76) |
| Sex (male/female) | 202 (76)/63 (24) |
| Description of the performed surgery |                |
| Septoplasty only | 111 (42) |
| Rhinoseptoplasty only | 74 (28) |
| Septoplasty with ESS | 60 (23) |
| Rhinoseptoplasty with ESS | 20 (7) |

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation or n (%). ESS, endoscopic sinus surgery

Fig. 1. A flow-chart of the patient selection, and the number of excluded subjects, elaborated in detail.
set to a width of 2000 and a window level of 200 to yield the optimal visibility of the OMU and nasofacial structures.

All facial photographs of the study patients were taken by the same trained professional using a standardized clinical photography protocol. Briefly, the patients were instructed to sit on a stool in front of a blue colored fabric with their facial muscles relaxed. Eyeglasses, earrings, facial masks, and hats were removed. A full-frontal view of the face was obtained. The camera was installed with a built-in dotted cross, and the center of the cross was focused on the vertical midpoint between both pupils. Hence, a slanted or a rotated head position was easily noticeable and could be corrected. The brightness, exposure level, and shutter speed of the camera were equivalent for all patients.

**Measurement of NFS and the nasofacial skeleton**

The measurement of NFS and other nasofacial skeletal structures were in the cross-section coronal CT image where the crista gali was visualized (Fig. 2). First, the most inferior point of the bony nasal floor in each nasal cavity was marked. A horizontal line starting from the marked point was then drawn on either side. Finally, a line connecting the two marked points on each side was made. The angle between the two lines was measured and denoted the ‘NFS angle.’ In addition, the side of the higher nasal floor was investigated. The patients who showed no level difference of the nasal floor (NFS angle ≤2°), were categorized as the ‘non-slanted group’, and those with an NFS angle above 2° as the ‘slanted group.’

In the same coronal OMU CT image section from which the NFS was measured, the most inferior point of the orbit (IOr) and the most inferior point of the maxillary sinus (IMS) were marked on both sides (Fig. 3A). In a similar fashion to the NFS measurements, a more superiorly located side for both the IOr and IMS were investigated. A horizontal line starting from each IOr and IMS on either side, and a line connecting each marked point were drawn. The angles between these lines were then measured, defined as the IOr angle and

**Fig. 2.** A coronal ostiomeatal unit CT image is shown on which the most inferior point of the bony nasal floor in each nasal cavity was marked. A horizontal line starting from the marked point was then drawn on either side. Finally, a line connecting the two marked points on each side was made. The angle between these two lines was measured and denoted the ‘NFS angle.’ In addition, the side of the higher nasal floor was investigated. NFS, nasal floor slanting.

**Fig. 3.** Measurement of the nasofacial structures. A: Measurement of nasofacial skeletal structures using an OMU CT image. The IOr base and IMS base were marked on both sides. In a similar fashion to the NFS measurement, the angle between these two lines, the ‘NSD angle,’ was measured. The most protruded point of the bony nasal septum was marked, and the angle formed by the lines from the marked point to the center of the cribiform plate and maxillary crest was calculated. B: Facial photograph of the same patient in the OMU CT scan. C: To investigate the horizontal level asymmetry of the face, the lateral canthus, the most inferior point of the alar base, and the lateral angular margin were marked on both sides. In the same manner as the NFS angle measurement, a horizontal line was drawn on one point, and a line connecting both landmarks was then drawn. The angle between these two lines were measured, and was defined as A1–3, representing the upper, middle, and lower face, respectively. The patient was considered to have a horizontal facial asymmetry when the A1, A2, or A3 angle showed slanting, i.e. was above 0°. D: The presence of an external nose deviation was determined when the angle between the nasion-tip and the nasion-glabella line was above 0° (A4). OMU, ostiomeatal unit; IOr, most inferior point of the orbit; IMS, most inferior point of the maxillary sinus; NFS, nasal floor slanting; NSD, nasal septal deviation.
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IMS angle. The side of the bony nasal septal deviation (NSD) was investigated also. To measure the NSD angle, the most protruded point of the bony nasal septum was marked, and the angle formed by the lines from the marked point to the center of the cribriform plate and maxillary crest were measured. In patients with an s-shaped bony nasal septum deformity, the side of the more protruded bony septum was considered to be the side of the NSD. A definition of a positive NSD was defined by an NSD angle of more than 170°, in reference to the previous studies on the NSD. In addition, the volume of the maxillary sinus on the CT image was measured using the planimetry method, in parallel with the previous report. A definition of a significant asymmetrical maxillary sinus volume was defined when the ratio between the right- and the left-side volume was less than 0.9 or over 1.1. To investigate the presence of horizontal facial level asymmetry on the frontal image of the facial photographs (Fig. 3B), three facial landmarks were defined as representative of the upper, middle, and the lower subunits of the face. The lateral canthus was employed to represent the upper part of the face, the inferior margin of the alar base the middle part, and the lateral angular margin was used to indicate the lower portion of the face and was marked on both sides (Fig. 3C). In parallel with the NFS angle measurement, a horizontal line was drawn on each point, and a line connecting both landmarks was drawn. The angles between two lines were measured, and were defined as A1–3, each representing the upper, middle, and lower face, respectively. If the measured angle was above 0°, then an asymmetry of each subunit was considered to be present. The subjects were considered to possess a horizontal facial asymmetry when more than one of the values (A1–3) was over 0°. The presence of an external nose deviation was determined as follows: the angle between the nasion-tip and the nasion-glabella line was defined as A4, and the subject was considered to have a deviated nose if this angle was over 0° (Fig. 3D).

MB-Ruler Pro (version 5.0; Markus Bader-MB Software Solutions; Hügelsheimer, Iffezheim, Germany) was used to measure each angle. Evaluations of the facial photographs was conducted prior to the CT image evaluation. In addition, the investigator of the CT images was blind to the facial photograph findings.

Statistical analysis

A chi-square test was used to compare the proportion of different study parameters between the groups. A Student’s t-test was used to compare the mean values for each anthropometric parameter between the non-slanted and slanted groups. Correlation analysis was conducted to assess the correlations between the NFS and NSD angles, and the IOr and IMS angles. All of these statistical analyses were conducted SPSS software for Windows (version 17.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Significance was set at a p value<0.05.

Results

The incidence of NFS in our current study cohort was 51% (136/265 patients). A higher nasal floor on the left side was observed in 72 (52%) of these patients and on the right side in 64 cases (48%). Among these 136 patients with an NFS angle above 2° (the slanted group), the mean slant angle was 7.7°±4.4°, ranging from 2.4° to 22.4°.

The slanted group showed a higher proportion of cases of a bony NSD, IOr, or IMS, with maxillary sinus size differences, and with horizontal facial asymmetry (all p value<0.05) (Table 2). Moreover, the mean NSD angle in the slanted group was 155.2°±9.77°, compared to 162.4°±9.24° in the non-slanted group (p<0.001), indicating a propensity for a more-deviated bony nasal septum in patients with NFS (Table 3). Simi-

Table 2. NFS and its correlation with nasofacial characteristics

| Radiographic findings | Photographic findings |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|                       | Horizontal facial asymmetry | External nasal deviation |
| All patients (n=265)  | 210 (79.2) | 117 (44.2) | 134 (50.6) | 79 (29.8) | 81 (30.6) | 186 (79.0) |
| Non-slanted group     | 89 (69.0) | 16 (12.4) | 19 (14.7) | 60 (44.1) | 30 (23.3) | 89 (69.0) |
| (NFS angle ≤2°) (n=129) |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| Slanted group         | 121 (89.0) | 101 (74.3) | 115 (84.6) | 19 (14.7) | 51 (37.5) | 97 (71.3) |
| (NFS angle >2°) (n=136) |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| p value*              | <0.001     | <0.001     | <0.001     | <0.001     | 0.01       | 0.68       |

All values are presented as the number of patients (%). *p values were calculated using the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test to compare the incidence of each parameter between the non-slanted and slanted groups. NFS, nasal floor slanting.
larly, a larger IOr angle (1.7°±1.35° in the slanted group and 0°±0.80° in the non-slanted group) and IMS angle (3.5°±3.10° in the slanted group and 0°±1.98° in the non-slanted group) was found among the NFS cases (both p value<0.001). In contrast, there were no significant difference between the measured angles at the upper, middle, and lower facial subunits between the slanted and non-slanted group.

A significant positive correlation was found between both the IOr and IMS angles and the NFS angle, indicating that patients with a higher NFS angle tended to also have a higher IOr and IMS angle (Fig. 4A and B). By contrast, a significant negative correlation was evident for the NSD and NFS angles, indicating that the patients with a greater NFS angle will likely have a more severe deviation of the nasal septum (lesser NSD angle) (Fig. 4C).

The rate of each investigated parameter corresponding to the direction of the NFS in the 136 study patients showing a slanted nasal floor (Table 4). The lateral canthal and lateral angular margin levels were found to be higher on the side of the higher nasal floor, but this was not observed at the alar base margin. The direction of the external bony nose deviation showed no difference in accordance with the presence or side of the higher nasal floor. NFS cases with a higher location of the orbit and maxillary sinus on the higher nasal floor side were predominant, whereas the direction of the bony septum deviation was predominantly on the side of the lower nasal floor in these patients.

A representative NFS patient with a higher nasal floor on the right side, along with a higher location of the orbit and maxillary sinus, in addition to a smaller maxillary sinus, on the right side as in Fig. 5. All four study authors judged that this patient had a higher-looking face on the right side. The lateral canthus margin and the lateral lip margin in these cases showed a higher placement on the right side, whereas there was no level difference at the alar base.

**Discussion**

The irregular shape of the maxilla bone and its relationship to a deformed nasal septum had been investigated in a number

---

**Table 3. Anthropometric differences according to the presence of NFS**

| Radiographic findings | Photographic findings |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| NSD angle, °          | IOr angle, °          | IMS angle, °          | Maxillary sinus volume differences (mL) | A1, ° | A2, ° | A3, ° | A4, ° |
| All patients (n=265)  | 158.7 ± 152.2−165.7 | 0.0 ± 0.0            | 0.6 ± 0.0            | 0.63 ± 0.33−1.17 | 0.7 ± 0.2−1.0 | 1.1 ± 0.1−2.1 | 1.1 ± 0.4−1.9 | 0.7 ± 0.4−4.0 |
| Non-slanted group     | 162.4 ± 155.7−170.5 | 0.0 ± 0.0            | 0.0 ± 0.0            | 0.46 ± 0.28−0.7 | 0.7 ± 0.2−1.0 | 1.2 ± 0.2−2.2 | 1.2 ± 0.5−1.9 | 0.7 ± 0.4−4.0 |
| Slanted group         | 155.2 ± 150.4−161.0 | 1.7 ± 3.5            | 3.5 ± 1.03           | 1.03 ± 0.45−1.87 | 0.6 ± 0.2−1.1 | 1.0 ± 0.2−2.0 | 1.0 ± 0.2−1.9 | 0.6 ± 0.3−2.4 |
| p value*              | <0.001                | <0.001               | <0.001               | <0.001             | 0.98 ± 0.69 | 0.70 ± 0.29 | 0.29 ± 0.18 |

All values are presented as a median (interquartile range). *p values were calculated using the Student’s t-test to compare each measured parameter between the non-slanted and slanted groups. NFS, nasal floor slanting; NSD, nasal septal deviation; IOr, most inferior point of the orbit; IMS, most inferior point of the maxillary sinus.
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All values are presented as the number of patients (%). *detailed description of each facial parameter shown in Fig. 2

Table 4. Association of nasofacial abnormalities with the NFS direction in 136 patients with NFS

| Radiographic findings* | On the side of the superiorly located nasal floor | On the side of the inferiorly located nasal floor |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Superiorly located orbit | 75 (55.1)                                       | 26 (19.1)                                       |
| Superiorly located maxillary sinus | 88 (64.7)                                       | 21 (15.4)                                       |
| Smaller maxillary sinus | 54 (39.7)                                       | 11 (8.1)                                        |
| Direction of the nasal septum deviation | 45 (33.1)                                       | 76 (55.9)                                       |
| Photographic findings* | On the side of the inferiorly located nasal floor | On the side of the superiorly located nasal floor |
| Superiorly located lateral canthus | 69 (50.7)                                       | 46 (33.8)                                       |
| Superiorly located alar base | 54 (39.7)                                       | 46 (33.8)                                       |
| Superiorly located angular margin | 71 (52.2)                                       | 38 (27.9)                                       |
| Direction of the external nasal deviation | 48 (35.3)                                       | 49 (36.0)                                       |

Fig. 5. Frontal photograph and ostiomeatal unit CT image of a 22-year-old male patient showing a higher location of the orbit and maxillary sinus on the right side, in addition to a smaller maxillary sinus on the right side, which was the side of a higher nasal floor. Additionally, the lateral canthus margin and the lateral lip margin presented more superiorly on the right side, whereas no level difference was observed in the alar base levels.

investigations in that we mainly focused on defining the incidence of nasal asymmetry, measuring it quantitatively, and assessing its relationship with adjacent nasofacial structures. Nevertheless, it was interesting that we obtained very similar findings to previous publications. The incidence of the NFS was shown to be 51% in our study series by CT imagery, whereas an incidence of 52% was described using rhinoscopic inspection by Mladina. Moreover, our results are in line with those of Mladina and Gray, showing that the bony nasal septum tends to be deviated to the side of the lower nasal floor (76/136 patients [56%]). In those previous studies, the information was limited to structural abnormalities of the nasal septum and its anchoring to the palatal aspect of the maxilla. By contrast, we have investigated the spatial relationship between the bony nasal septum and the maxillary sinus, along with the asymmetry of the nasal floor levels. As indicated in Table 3 in our present study, the bony components of the maxilla (orbit and maxillary sinus) tend to be parallel with the slanting of the nasal floor. In addition, we observed that a smaller maxillary sinus was more prone to be on the higher nasal floor side. This observation could be explained by the fact that the mean IOr angle (1.7°) was lower than the mean IMS angle (3.5°) in these cases, which indicated a more profound asymmetry in the middle part of the maxilla (represented by the IMS) than the upper part (represented by the IOr), thereby resulting in a smaller maxillary sinus on the side of the higher nasal floor.

To better understand the etiology of NFS in association with the increased rate of facial skeleton deformities, it will crucial to understand the developmental processes for the maxillary bone and the nasal septum. The nasal floor is supported by the palatal process of the maxilla, and its growth is a combination of bony resorption on the nasal side, along with the bony apposition over the oral surface. The rapid growth of the maxilla...
and palate is maintained throughout its maturation, and sometimes continues into adulthood. It has been found that in people with oral respiration, the palatal growth toward the superior direction is accelerated, thereby resulting in the high palatal arch and abnormal facial appearance. On the other hand, the most rapid inferior expansion of the maxillary sinus takes place from the ages of 7 to 12. Because the maxillary sinus and the bony nasal septum reaches its maximal growth at the age of 12, while the palate and maxilla are still under rapid growth past this age, an acquired sinonasal pathology causing increased oral breathing might result in an increase in abnormal palatal elevation, leading to a higher chance of an asymmetry of the palate. If the palatal elevation occurs unevenly in both sides, a nasal floor level asymmetry will result, and both maxillary sinus levels will also be uneven, resulting in an increased asymmetry at both the IOr and IMS levels in NFS patients, as shown in our current study series. Likewise, an asymmetrical palatal height may shift the vomer to the less-elevated palate side (to the lower nasal floor side), resulting in a bony NSD toward the side of the lower nasal floor, as already proposed in the study by Gray. The correlation we found in our present analyses between the increased degree of asymmetry and the increased NFS strongly suggests that the cephalocaudal pressure caused by a palatal elevation may lead to a deformity of the nasal skeleton (Fig. 4).

In 2015, Yi and Jang reported that a significantly higher incidence of facial asymmetry among patients with a deviated nose (55%), compared with patients who did not have any deviation of the external nose (32%). In a similar manner, we aimed in our current analyses to identify whether NFS is associated with facial asymmetry. Our results indicated horizontal facial asymmetry in 81 out of our 265 patients (30.6%), with a statistically higher proportion found within the NFS group (p value = 0.01), suggesting an association between facial asymmetry with NFS. However, the proportion of the subjects showing an external nose deviation was not equivalent to that of NFS. These data suggest that compared with bony skeleton measurements, soft tissue measurements show a much weaker correlation with NFS. Of note in this regard, the level asymmetry of each facial subunit and overall facial volume are thought to be influenced more by the facial expression muscles than the underlying skeletal components.

This study had some limitations of note. First, the enrolled patients all had sinonasal symptoms and this cohort was therefore not representative of the general population. Moreover, since patients under 20 years of age were excluded from our current analyses, our data cannot be readily applied to pediatric or adolescent populations. Further investigations should be conducted regarding on the clinical significance and the impact of NFS upon delivering surgeries on the nasofacial region. Starting with the basic information provided in this article, the authors suggest future studies should be conducted to elucidate the etiology of NFS, and compare rhinoplasty or septoplasty outcomes between slanted and non-slanted cases.

In conclusion, NFS is present in approximately half of patients with sinonasal symptoms and is significantly associated with an inferiorly located maxillary sinus along with the orbit, smaller maxillary sinus, and NSD towards the lower nasal floor side. NFS patients are likely to show a higher-looking face on the higher nasal floor side.
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