LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Gaia-supported re-discovery of a remarkable weak line quasar from a variability and proper motion survey

Helmut Meusinger$^1$ and Ralf-Dieter Scholz$^2$

$^1$ Thüringer Landessternwarte, Sternwarte 5, 07778 Tautenburg, Germany
e-mail: meus@tls-tautenburg.de
$^2$ Leibniz-Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP), An der Sternwarte 16, 14482 Potsdam, Germany

Received 28 June 2022 / Accepted 27 July 2022

ABSTRACT

We demonstrate that VPMS J170850.95+433223.7 is a weak line quasar (WLQ) which is remarkable in several respects. It was already classified as a probable quasar two decades ago, but with considerable uncertainty. The non-significant proper motion and parallax from the Gaia Early Data Release 3 have solidified this assumption. Based on previously unpublished spectra, we show that VPMS J170850.95+433223.7 is a WLQ at $z = 2.345$ with immeasurably faint broad emission lines in the rest-frame ultraviolet. A preliminary estimate suggests that it hosts a supermassive black hole of $\sim 10^9 M_\odot$ accreting close to the Eddington limit, perhaps at the super-Eddington level. We identify two absorber systems with blueward velocity offsets of 0.05$c$ and 0.1$c$, which could represent high-velocity outflows, which are perhaps related to the high accretion state of the quasar.
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1. Introduction

The weakness of broad emission lines is the defining characteristic of a rare class of high-luminosity active galactic nuclei (AGN) called weak line quasars (WLQs). They were first discovered about a quarter of a century ago (McDowell et al. 1995; Fan et al. 1999). The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) has revealed the existence of a (still relatively small) population of WLQs (e.g., Diamond-Stanic et al. 2009; Plotkin et al. 2010; Meusinger & Balafkan 2014). A number of different scenarios have been proposed, including, in particular, extremely high accretion rates, anemic broad emission line regions, and a gravitational lensed accretion disk (AD). Currently, the idea of a shielding gas component between the central X-ray source and the broad emission line region appears particularly attractive (e.g., Paul et al. 2022, and references therein).

The Tautenburg – Calar Alto Variability and (zero) Proper Motion Survey (VPMS) is a quasar search project that is based on optical long-term variability and non-detectable proper motions measured on a large number of imaging observations with the Schmidt camera of the Tautenburg 2-m telescope (Scholz et al. 1997; Meusinger & Brunzendorf 2001; Meusinger et al. 2002). One of the goals of VPMS was to search for quasars with odd spectra that might not be picked out in colour-based quasar surveys. An example of this is the unusual broad-absorption line (BAL) quasar VPMS J134246.24+284027.5 (Meusinger et al. 2005). Here, we present another remarkable quasar discovered from this survey, the WLQ VPMS J170850.95+433223.7 (hereafter VPMS J1708+4332). Both sources are located in the SDSS footprint area, but were not targeted for spectroscopy by SDSS.

VPMS J1708+4332 was classified as a high-priority quasar candidate in the VPMS field around the globular cluster M 92. Follow-up observations yielded a spectrum similar to that of a WLQ, but at first it could not be assigned with reasonable certainty to a quasar. It was not detected as a radio source at the flux level of the FIRST survey (Becker et al. 1995). Recently, the Gaia Early Data Release 3 (EDR3; Gaia Collaboration 2021a) has provided data that make a significant contribution to distinguishing between quasars and foreground stars in the case of unclear spectra.

We present and interpret the spectra and the Gaia data in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, we discuss some properties of the quasar. Sect. 4 gives the conclusions. We assume Lambda Cold Dark Matter ($\Lambda$CDM) cosmology with $H_0 = 73$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_L = 0.73$, and $\Omega_M = 0.27$.

2. Observations and analysis of the spectra

VPMS J1708+4332 was observed with the focal reducer and faint object spectrograph CAFOS at the 2.2-m telescope of the German-Spanish Astronomical Centre (DASA) on Calar Alto, Spain, in July 1998. The grism B-400 was used with a wavelength coverage of 3200–8000 Å and a dispersion of 10 Å px$^{-1}$ on the SITe1d CCD. In a subsequent campaign in July 2004,
VPMS J1708+4332 was observed at higher resolution using the grisms B-200 and B-100, which have a wavelength coverage of 3200–7000 Å and 3200–5800 Å and a dispersion of ~5 Å px⁻¹ and ~2 Å px⁻¹, respectively. One B-200 spectrum and two B-100 spectra were recorded, each with an exposure time of 1200 s. The spectra were reduced using the ESO MIDAS data reduction package with standard procedures including bias correction, flat-fielding, cosmic ray removal, sky subtraction, wavelength calibration, and a rough flux calibration. The wavelength calibration was done by means of calibration lamp spectra. An exact flux calibration was not carried out because it was not considerd absolutely necessary at that time. Galactic foreground reddening was corrected adopting $E(B - V) = 0.014$ from Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) and the Milky Way reddening of $E(B - V) = 0.0725$ mas and a non-significant, zero proper motion $pmRA = -0.076 \pm 0.082$ mas yr⁻¹, $pmDec = +0.023 \pm 0.090$ mas yr⁻¹. Zeror parallaxes and proper motions are exceptional among the objects with $Plx < 0.5$ mas and parallax errors <0.1 mas in the sky region around VPMS J1708+4332 and only shown by known quasars or quasar candidates (see Fig. 2). Considering objects with similar magnitudes ($Gmag = 17.555 \pm 0.5$ mag) within 20 arcmin from VPMS J1708+4332, we compared its various EDR3 astrometric quality parameters, for example parallax and proper motion errors, astrometric sigma5d_max, astrometric_excess_noise, ipd_frac_multi_peak, ruwe, astrometric_gof_al, and visibility_periods_used (cf. Table A.1 in Gaia Collaboration 2021b), with their typical regional values and found no obvious outliers.

Nevertheless, we decided to check the EDR3 proper motion of VPMS J1708+4332 with its previous position measurements made over a longer time baseline before Gaia started to work. The software of Gudehus (2001) allows for a weighted proper motion solution (with the parallax set to zero), using positional data of different quality. Using it, we combined the different quality, error bars have only been plotted for VPMS J1708+4332. The concentration of sources at log PM ~ 0.75 is due to the globular cluster M 92.

With the availability of the data from the Gaia satellite, the question of zero-proper motion (and parallax) can be answered on a more secure basis. Gaia EDR3 (Gaia Collaboration 2021a) lists a non-significant, slightly negative parallax $Plx = -0.0648 \pm 0.0725$ mas and a non-significant, zero proper motion $pmRA = -0.076 \pm 0.082$ mas yr⁻¹, $pmDec = +0.023 \pm 0.090$ mas yr⁻¹. Zero parallaxes and proper motions are exceptional among the objects with $Plx < 0.5$ mas and parallax errors <0.1 mas in the sky region around VPMS J1708+4332 and only shown by known quasars or quasar candidates (see Fig. 2). Considering objects with similar magnitudes ($Gmag = 17.555 \pm 0.5$ mag) within 20 arcmin from VPMS J1708+4332, we compared its various EDR3 astrometric quality parameters, for example parallax and proper motion errors, astrometric sigma5d_max, astrometric_excess_noise, ipd_frac_multi_peak, ruwe, astrometric_gof_al, and visibility_periods_used (cf. Table A.1 in Gaia Collaboration 2021b), with their typical regional values and found no obvious outliers.

Nevertheless, we decided to check the EDR3 proper motion of VPMS J1708+4332 with its previous position measurements made over a longer time baseline before Gaia started to work. The software of Gudehus (2001) allows for a weighted proper motion solution (with the parallax set to zero), using positional data of different quality. Using it, we combined the very precise positions from three Gaia data releases, DR1 (Gaia Collaboration 2016), DR2 (Gaia Collaboration 2018), and EDR3 at epochs 2015.0, 2015.5, and 2016.0, with the less precise positions from the best optical catalogues of ground-based observations. Two earlier epochs, from 2004 and 2005, are given in SDSS DR12 (Alam et al. 2015), whereas two intermediate epochs (both from 2013) are listed in the First
U.S. Naval Observatory Robotic Astrometric Telescope Catalog (URAT1; Zacharias et al. 2015) and in Pan-STARRS release 1 (PS1; Chambers 2017). Compared to the given Gaia catalogue errors of our target (<0.3 mas in DR1 and <0.1 mas in DR2 and EDR3), its URAT1 errors (11 mas) appeared to be realistic, whereas PS1 (1.9–3.5 mas) and especially SDSS (2–3 mas) errors seemed to be too small. According to Tian et al. (2017), the typical positional precision in PS1 and SDSS is 10 mas and 25 mas, respectively. Therefore, we assumed these larger PS1 and SDSS positional errors in our weighted proper motion solution. The resulting proper motion, pmRA = −0.04 ± 0.18 mas yr⁻¹, pmDec = +0.24 ± 0.23 mas yr⁻¹, was not significant and also did not change if even less precise (errors of several 100 mas) positions measured on old Schmidt plates, with epochs between 1954 and 1992, were included. We considered our confirmed zero proper motion to support the zero parallax measured in EDR3 and hence extragalactic distance of VPMS J1708+4332. In Gaia DR3 (Gaia Collaboration 2022), our target is listed as a quasar candidate with a probability of being a quasar of >0.999 and with \( z = 2.445 ± 0.014 \).

Figure 3 shows the B-100 spectrum of VPMS J1708+4332 in the wavelength interval in which narrow absorption lines were found². For the line identification, we used the table of transitions with \( \lambda \geq 1215\,\text{Å} \) seen in BAL quasars (Hall et al. 2002). We were able to assign essentially all lines only after we dropped the assumption that they all belong to the same \( z \). The key to the line identification are the two observed double lines at \(~4685\,\text{Å} \) and \(~4930\,\text{Å} \). The wavelength ratio of their two line components is close to that of the C IV \( \lambda \lambda 1548.2, 1550.8\) doublet. The identification of these two double lines with C IV results in \( z_{\text{abs,1}} = 2.023 \) and \( z_{\text{abs,2}} = 2.128 \). At these redshifts, several other observed lines could be assigned to common lines from the input table, particularly Lyα, N V \( \lambda \lambda 1239, 1243, \) Si IV \( \lambda \lambda 1394, 1403 \), and Al III \( \lambda \lambda 1855, 1863 \). Finally, we assume a third absorber at \( z_{\text{abs,3}} = 2.345 \), which explains the strong absorption feature at \( \lambda \sim 4150\,\text{Å} \) as being due to the N V doublet and the unidentified lines at \( \lambda \leq 4050\,\text{Å} \) as being due to the Ly\( \alpha \) forest. The redshift \( z_{\text{abs,3}} \) is close to \( z \) from the continuum fit (Fig. 1). We simply set \( z_{\text{abs,3}} \) equal to the systemic redshift \( z \), whose correct value can probably best be determined by measuring the \( \text{[O III]} \lambda\lambda 4959, 5007 \) lines by IR spectroscopy in the \( H \)-band.

We would like to note that WLQs tend to be relatively bright compared to the typical SDSS quasars at the same redshift (Fig. 4; see also Meusinger & Balafkan 2014; Luo et al. 2015). With an \( i \)-band apparent magnitude of \( i = 17.54 \),
Fig. 5. SED of VPMS J1708+4332 based on reddening corrected photometric data from VPMS, SDSS, 2MASS, and WISE (symbols). The error bars are usually smaller than the symbol size. The downward error is an upper limit from Galex in the near-UV. The SWIRE template for bright quasars (Polletta et al. 2007) has been over plotted (blue), redshifted into the observer frame, and scaled to the observed SED in the near-IR.

VPMS J1708+4332 is one of the WLQs with the strongest excess compared to the median relation.

3. Discussion

The spectrum of VPMS J1708+4332 is comparable to those of the two WLQs SDSS J114153.34+021924.3 and SDSS J123743.08+630144.9 discussed by Shemmer et al. (2010). With their exceptionally weak, and actually undetectable, UV emission lines, these objects are placed at the low-end tail of the EW distribution of broad emission lines, not only of the type 1 quasars, but also of the WLQs.

VPMS J1708+4332 is also noteworthy in relation to the slope of the SED. In general, WLQs exhibit SEDs that are broadly consistent with the continuum of normal quasars (e.g., Diamond-Stanic et al. 2009). Figure 5 shows the observed SED of VPMS J1708+4332 based on photometric data from UV to mid-IR. In addition to the magnitudes $U, B, V$ from VPMS, $u, g, r, i, z$ from SDSS, and $W_1, W_2, W_3, W_4$ from WISE, the magnitudes $J$ and $H$ from the Two-Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006) were used and an upper limit in the near-UV band of the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (Galex; Morrissey et al. 2007). A trend towards a steeper continuum of WLQs at rest-frame wavelengths $\lesssim 1500$ Å was noticed by Meusinger & Balafkan (2014). VPMS J1708+4332 appears extreme in this respect (Fig. 5). The spectral slope between 2200 Å and 4000 Å (rest frame) is $\alpha = -2.31 \pm 0.35$ for the same wavelength interval from the SDSS spectra of the 45 WLQs from Meusinger & Balafkan (2014) with $0.7 \leq z \leq 2.2$ and an equivalent width of the broad Mg II λ2800 line $\lesssim 15$ Å. We note that the fluxes from the SDSS magnitudes agree well with those from VPMS. Because the VPMS fluxes are averaged over five decades, it is unlikely that the steep increase from near-IR to optical (observer frame) is due to flux variations in the rest-frame UV.

In the standard model (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), the radial temperature profile of the AD is $T(r) = T_\odot f(r)$, where $f(r)$ describes the radial dependence. The temperature parameter $T_\odot$ (in K) is given by $T_\odot = 2.2 \times 10^9 \, M_\bullet^{1/2} L_\odot^{1/4}$, where $M_\bullet$ is the black hole (BH) mass in $M_\odot$ and $L_\odot$ is the mass accretion rate in $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (e.g., Peryra et al. 2006). A steep slope of the SED in the UV indicates a high $T_\odot$ and, thus, at a given mass, a high accretion rate. Because it is not possible to determine the virial BH mass from the available spectra, we tentatively estimated $M_\bullet$ and $L_\odot$ from $T_\odot$ and the luminosity $L$. The combination of the above equation for $T_\odot$ with the relation between $M_\bullet$, $L_\odot$, and the optical luminosity from Davis & Laor (2011, their Eq. (8)) results in $M_\bullet = 2.9 \times 10^9 (L_{\odot, \text{opt}} / \cos \theta)^{0.25} T_\odot^{-0.25}$ and $L_\odot = 0.13 (L_{\odot, \text{opt}} / \cos \theta)^{0.04} T_\odot^{0.22}$, where $L_{\odot, \text{opt}}$ is the optical luminosity $L_{\odot, \text{opt}}$ at 4681 Å (rest frame) in units of $10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $\theta$ is the AD inclination angle. The effect of the latter is primarily due to the cos $\theta$ dependence of the projected AD area.

We have applied the Davis & Laor (2011) relation here for two reasons. Firstly, because the optical luminosity is relatively independent of the inner regions of the disk affected by strong relativistic effects. Secondly, it allows us to compare the results with the WLQ sample of Meusinger & Balafkan (2014). We estimate $T_\odot = (1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5$ K from the $T_\odot = r$ relation for the multi-temperature black-body model of the AD and $L_{\odot, \text{opt}} = (2.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$ from the observed flux density (Fig. 5). This yields $M_\bullet = 9.1 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ and $L_\odot = 57 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ for a mean disk inclination $\cos \theta = 0.8$. For the range $\theta = 0^\circ \ldots 60^\circ$ usually assumed for quasars, one finds $M_\bullet = (8.1 \ldots 11.6) \times 10^8 M_\odot$ and $M_\bullet = 45 \ldots 94 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (higher values at larger $\theta$). The uncertainty in $L_{\odot, \text{opt}}, T_\odot$, and $\cos \theta$ result in uncertainties of 14% for $M_\bullet$ and 26% for $L_\odot$.

The accretion state of quasars is usually expressed by the Eddington ratio $\epsilon = L_{\text{Edd}} / L_{\text{Edd}}$, where the Eddington luminosity $L_{\text{Edd}}$ is determined by $M_\bullet$. We calculated the bolometric luminosity $L_{\text{bol}}$ from the monochromatic luminosities $L_\lambda$ at 1450 Å and 3000 Å, respectively, using bolometric corrections $\zeta_{1450}$ and $\zeta_{3000}$ listed by Runnoe et al. (2012). The mean value of the resulting Eddington ratio for $\cos \theta = 0.8$ (Table 1) is $\epsilon = 2.0$, with an estimated uncertainty of 70%. For $\theta = 60^\circ$ and 0°, we find $\epsilon = 1.66$ and 2.25, respectively. VPMS J1708+4332 thus belongs to the upper end of the Eddington ratio distribution of both WLQs (Shemmer & Lieber 2015; Meusinger & Balafkan 2014) and type 1 quasars (Kelly & Shen 2013).

A more general approach also involves the BH spin parameter $a$. Campitiello et al. (2018) presented analytic approximations of the AD emission for rotating BHs. Their $L_{\text{Edd}}(\alpha, \theta) - M_\bullet$ relation (their Eq. (B3)) confirms a high accretion rate $\lesssim 30 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ for $a \gtrsim 0.9$ and $60 \ldots 110 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ for $a \approx 0.1$ for all $\theta \leq 60^\circ$. In particular, they describe the dependence of the peak frequency and the peak luminosity of the AD spectrum on $M_\bullet$, $M_\bullet$, $\alpha$, and $a$ for $\theta = 0^\circ$. We used their Eqs. (12)–(14) to calculate $M_\bullet$ and $M_\bullet$ as a function of $a$, assuming that the

---

Table 1. Eddington ratio $\epsilon$ for $\cos \theta = 0.8$ and bolometric corrections $\zeta$ at 1450 Å and 3000 Å from different sources.

| Reference | $\epsilon(\zeta_{1450})$ | $\epsilon(\zeta_{3000})$ |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Elvis et al. (1994) $^{(a)}$ $^{(b)}$ | 1.92 | 1.54 |
| Richards et al. (2006) $^{(a)}$ $^{(b)}$ | 1.42 | 1.25 |
| Nemmen & Brotherton (2010) $^{(a)}$ | 1.83 | 2.38 |
| Runnoe et al. (2012) $^{(a)}$ | 2.56 | 2.09 |
| Runnoe et al. (2012) $^{(b)}$ | 2.06 | 3.32 |

Notes. $^{(a)}$Taken from Runnoe et al. (2012), their Table 2. $^{(b)}$Recalculated by Runnoe et al. (2012), and from their Eq. (9).
observed peak of the SED (Fig. 5) is not primarily caused by the Lyα forest. The result is $(M/10^9 M_\odot, M/M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}) = (4.0, 36)$ for $a = 0$ and $(4.6, 12)$ for $a = 0.998$. There is no evidence for a pole-on view of VPMS J1708+4332, but the effect of the inclination is only moderate for $\theta \lesssim 60^\circ$ (e.g., a factor $<2$ for $\xi$; see Campitiello et al. 2018, their Figs. B.1 and B.2). Therefore, we can thus assume that this result reflects the correct order of magnitude and does not contradict the above conclusion of a high Eddington ratio. It should be mentioned that $\epsilon \lesssim 0.3$ violates the limit for a thin disk and could thus indicate a slim or thick AD (Abramowicz et al. 1988), whose vertical structure must be taken into account by numerical models. Such an inner AD structure has been suggested to be related to a gas component that may shield the broad line region from the ionising continuum (Luo et al. 2015).

Another remarkable property of VPMS J1708+4332 is the blueshift of the two narrow absorption line systems at $\lambda_{\text{abs}}1$ and $\lambda_{\text{abs}}2$. If they are intrinsic, that is, not from intervening systems, the observed systemic redshifts could indicate outflows from the central engine with velocities of $v \gtrsim 0.05c$ and $>1.0c$, respectively.

4. Conclusions

Supported by the data from Gaia DR3, we have identified VPMS J1708+4332 as a WLQ at $z = 2.345$ with undetectable weak UV emission lines. We tentatively estimate a large BH mass and a high accretion rate close to the Eddington limit, which probably indicates a rapid BH growth phase. We identified two narrow absorption line systems with blueshifts which, if intrinsic, represent outflows with moderately high velocities of $\lesssim 0.05c$ and $\sim 0.1c$. This outflow may be related to the high accretion state. Near-IR spectroscopy could be helpful to estimate the virial BH mass based on the Hβ line (e.g., McDowell et al. 1995; Shemmer et al. 2010). VPMS J1708+4332 provides another example of unusual AGN that may be under-represented in colour-based quasar surveys.
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