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Field Evaluation of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungal Colonization in Miscanthus × giganteus and Seed-Based Miscanthus Hybrids Grown in Heavy-Metal-Polluted Areas
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Abstract: Understanding the behavior of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) associated with plants is essential for optimizing plant cultivation to the phytoremediation of degraded soils. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the differences in AMF root colonization between novel seed-based interspecific Miscanthus hybrids (M. sacchariflorus × M. sinensis) and the standard M. × giganteus when grown in soils contaminated with heavy metals (Pb, Cd, and Zn). During the third and fourth growing seasons, higher concentration of metals in the roots and a limited transfer of metals from the roots to the shoots were observed in all the plants studied. After the third growing season, the lowest values of AMF colonization rates were observed for the GNT34 hybrid. After the fourth growing season, AMF colonization decreased, which could be due to the drought that occurred during that season. GNT34 showed a lower tendency to develop mycorrhizal structures on heavy-metal (HM)-contaminated soils than GNT41 and M × g; however, this hybrid was insensitive to changes in colonization rates during the dry growing season.
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1. Introduction

Out of concern for the environment and human health, many techniques have been developed to remove pollutants and restore soil function. Nowadays, one of the alternative techniques used to exclude pollutants from the soil is phytoremediation, which involves the stabilization, degradation, and/or extraction of toxic elements by plants and their associated microorganisms [1,2]. Phytoremediation has attracted great interest because it offers many advantages over chemical and physical methods, including low cost, environmental friendliness, and no secondary pollution [3,4]. The selection of plant species with tolerance to heavy-metal (HM) stress, the ability to grow rapidly under unfavorable soil conditions, high biomass yields, and the ability to concentrate stored metals in tissues can significantly improve the efficiency of this process [5]. The usefulness of plants for phytoremediation has already been described in the literature [6,7]. Among perennial energy crops, many species are suitable for phytoremediation [8,9]. Biomass production from energy crop species in areas excluded from food production could be an alternative to maintaining their continuous use [10,11], and its usability has already been studied [12,13].

One of the energy crops with high potential for biomass production is the perennial rhizomatous grass Miscanthus spp., which has many characteristics that make it suitable for phytoremediation [14]. Miscanthus species, which occur naturally in East Asia over a
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wide climatic range, are characterized by high photosynthetic efficiency associated with the C4 photosynthetic pathway [15,16], and can grow in soils contaminated with HM and accumulate toxic elements in their tissues [17,18]. Miscanthus × giganteus (M × g) is a sterile triploid hybrid of the diploid Miscanthus sinensis and the tetraploid Miscanthus sacchariflorus species, and must be vegetatively propagated [19]. Seed-based cultivation of Miscanthus hybrids is an alternative in the European climate because Miscanthus hybrids may be more cold- and drought-tolerant than M × g [20,21]. Considering its high yields, M × g is a widespread species among energy crops grown in Europe [20].

To improve phytoremediation efficiency, the use of microorganisms in the rhizosphere, such as arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMFs), which can support phytoremediation processes, may be a sustainable solution [22]. AMFs are found in all types of soils worldwide and can form symbiotic associations with the root systems of most plants found in terrestrial ecosystems [23]. Mycorrhizal fungi play an important role in exchanging necessary nutrients and minerals between the soil, or soil substrate, and the cells of host plants [24]. In addition, symbiosis with AMFs has a positive effect on increasing the resistance of vascular plants to drought and excessive soil salinity [25,26]. Mycorrhizal fungi can enhance plant growth and development by increasing the uptake of water and minerals and improving plant adaptation to stressors by increasing plant resistance to toxic metals [27]. In addition, AMFs can reduce the concentration of trace elements in plant tissues by diluting and accumulating HMs in the roots [28]. Selecting appropriate host plant species and understanding plant–AMF interactions is critical to optimizing the phytoremediation process. The role of AMFs in Miscanthus roots during the phytoremediation process has been studied, but most studies were based on experiments using an inoculum [29,30]. Previous work on the same plot showed that M × g roots in soils contaminated with HM were highly colonized by native AMFs [31,32]. With the knowledge of AMF colonization in the roots of M × g in soil contaminated with HM, and AMF characteristics, the autochthonous AMF root colonization of novel drought-resistant seed-based interspecific Miscanthus hybrids (M. sacchariflorus × M. sinensis) was compared with the commonly grown genotype M × g. In addition, this study sought to evaluate the accumulation of HMs (Pb, Cd, and Zn) by seed-based Miscanthus hybrids compared with M × g. During the field trial, the effect of AMFs on the uptake of HM was evaluated, which is rarely found in the literature. Both AMF colonization in the roots and HMs accumulation in the tissues of Miscanthus were evaluated after the third and fourth growing seasons. The data obtained were used to select the hybrids with the highest or lowest AMF colonization rate on HM-contaminated sites, and to select the appropriate hybrids to improve the phytoremediation process.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Design

The plantation of Miscanthus was established in 2015 in Bytom, Poland (50°20′41.9″ N 18°57′19.9″ E). The experimental site was located on farmland contaminated with HM as it was in close proximity to a currently abandoned lead and zinc smelter [33]. The experimental plot was divided into 15 subplots (25 m²). Prior to planting, soil samples were collected from each plot from a depth of 0–25 cm, to determine soil physicochemical parameters and total and bioavailable forms of HMs (Pb, Cd, and Zn). Two rhizomes of M × g and two novel interspecific seed-based Miscanthus hybrids (GNT41 and GNT34) were planted per m² in each experimental plot. The seed-based Miscanthus hybrids were bred by Aberystwyth University during the GIANT LINK program in the UK (LK0863). All plants tested were grown in triplicate. No fertilizers or inoculum were used during the experiment [10]. Towards the end of the 3rd and 4th growing seasons, the aboveground plant parts and roots were collected. Monthly sums of precipitation and averages of temperature recorded during the 3rd and 4th growing seasons are presented in Figure 1. Meteorological data were recorded in a meteorological station located in the backyard of IETU, Katowice (Upper Silesia, Poland). Average values of temperature (measured from May and September) during 3rd growing season oscillated from 14–20 °C, and in the next
growing season, from 15 to 21 °C. Total precipitation recorded during 3rd growing season was about 403 mm, whereas in the next growing season, it was 281 mm.

![Figure 1. Monthly sums of precipitation and averages of temperature for Katowice, Upper Silesia in 2017 (3rd growing season) and 2018 (4th growing season).](image)

2.2. Analysis of Soil Properties

Air-dried and sieved soil samples were analyzed for pH, electrical conductivity, total content, and bioavailable forms of HMs using standardized methods. Soil pH was measured in H₂O and 1 M KCl (ratio 1:2.5 m/v) using a combined glass/calomel electrode (EPS-1, Elmetron, Zabrze, Poland) and a pH meter (CPC-505, Elmetron, Zabrze, Poland). The electrical conductivity (EC) was determined using an ESP 2ZM electrode (EUROSENSOR, Gliwice, Poland) according to the Polish standard [34], using the same instrument as for pH. The pseudo total Cd, Pb and Zn concentrations in the soil (<0.25 mm) were analyzed using flame atomic absorption spectrometry (SpektrAA 300, Varian INC., Palo Alto, USA) after hot-plate digestion in aqua regia [35]. Bioavailable forms of HM in soil were determined by extracting 3 g of air-dried soil with 30 mL of 0.01 M CaCl₂ [36]. Bioavailable metal concentrations were determined in the filtrate using a flame atomic absorption spectrometer [10].

2.3. Plant Sampling and Sample Preparation

The plant shoots and roots were collected in three replicates. Then, the root material was divided into two parts: one part for AMF colonization evaluation and the second part for HM concentration evaluation. The shoot and root material were washed in tap water to remove surface contaminants. Fresh root samples were stained in 95% ethanol at 4 °C for HM concentration evaluation. The shoot and root material were washed in tap water and then ground to a homogeneous powder.

2.4. Heavy Metal Content in Plant Tissues

After the 3rd and 4th growing seasons, the content of HMs in the roots and aerial parts of Miscanthus was analyzed. The content of metals in filtrates was determined using FAAS after digestion with HNO₃ and HClO₄ (4:1 v/v) (ETHOS 1, Milestone, Sorisole, Italy).

2.5. Calculation of Translocation and Bioconcentration Factors

During the 3rd and 4th growing season, metal translocation (TF) and bioconcentration (BCF) factors for Miscanthus were assessed. The TF of Pb, Cd, and Zn for Miscanthus was calculated from the ratio of the concentration of metals in the shoots to the concentration of these metals in the roots, according to formula [37]:

\[
\text{TF} = \frac{C_{\text{sh}}}{C_{\text{r}}} 
\]
The TF was calculated as the ratio between metal concentration in the shoots and metal concentration in the roots [37]:

$$\text{TF} = \frac{\text{metal concentration in the shoots (mg kg}^{-1})}{\text{metal concentration in the roots (mg kg}^{-1})}.$$ 

The BCF was calculated as the ratio between metal concentration in the shoots and metal concentration in the soil [37]:

$$\text{BCF} = \frac{\text{metal concentration in the plant shoots (mg kg}^{-1})}{\text{metal concentration in the soil (mg kg}^{-1})}.$$ 

2.6. AMF Colonization Measurement

Roots for microscopic observation were prepared according to a modified method [38], which included the following: cleaning of roots in 7% KOH solution at 80 °C for 35 min, followed by acidification in 5% lactic acid for 24 h and staining with 0.05% aniline blue in lactic acid for 24 h. Mycorrhizal colonization was evaluated using a Zeiss Axio Imager D2 microscope (Zen 2 software, Zeiss, Munich, Germany) according to the method of Trouvelot et al. (1986) [39]. During microscopic observation, mycorrhizal colonization of each fragment was evaluated on a scale of 0–5, and relative abundance of arbuscules was measured on a scale of 0–3. The parameters of mycorrhizal colonization, such as the frequency of mycorrhiza in the root system (F%), the values of relative mycorrhizal intensity (M%), and relative abundance of arbuscules (A%), were calculated using Mycocalc software [40].

2.7. Statistical Analysis

The results obtained were analyzed using Statistica 13.1 (Dell, Round Rock, TX, USA). Based on the normal distribution results, tests were selected for comparison between three or more independent groups (F-test for normal distribution, Kruskal–Wallis test for other-than-normal distribution). To keep the data clear, as long as the test selection algorithm resulted in an analysis of variance (ANOVA), the Fisher’s Least Significant Difference (LSD) post-hoc test was used to compare the significance between different variants. The significance level was $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Physicochemical Soil Properties and Heavy Metal Concentration

The physical and chemical soil parameters are presented in Table 1. Soil pH was neutral, while EC had values (75.45–83.08 µS cm$^{-1}$) that are in the typical range for agricultural soils in Poland. The pseudo-total concentrations of Pb found for plots $M \times g$ and GNT41 were 4% and 13% lower, respectively, compared to GNT34. The pseudo-total concentration of Zn was similar in the $M \times g$ and GNT41 plots, while it was about 24% higher in the GNT34 plot. The concentration of the bioavailable form (for a review, see [36]) of Pb was below the limit of quantification in all the plots, while the concentration of Cd was similar in all plots (about 1.4 mg kg$^{-1}$). The bioavailable forms of Zn did not differ significantly between plots $M \times g$ and GNT41, but Zn concentration was 27% higher in plot GNT34.
Table 1. Soil physicochemical parameters and heavy metal concentration at the investigated plots before Miscanthus plantation.

| Genotype | Physicochemical Soil Parameters | Heavy Metal Concentration in Soil | Bioavailable Forms of Heavy Metals in Soil |
|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|          | pH (H₂O) 6.50 ± 0.02 a          | Pb (mg kg⁻¹) 689.50 ± 25.20 ab   | Pb (mg kg⁻¹) LOQ                          |
|          | pH (KCl) 5.99 ± 0.02 a          | Cd (mg kg⁻¹) 26.5 ± 1.93 a       | Cd (mg kg⁻¹) 1.48 ± 0.06 a                |
|          | EC (µS cm⁻¹) 83.08 ± 0.26 a     | Zn (mg kg⁻¹) 2805.4 ± 37.6 b     | Zn (mg kg⁻¹) 96.95 ± 0.71 b               |
|          |                                 |                                 |                                         |
| M × g    | 6.52 ± 0.04 a                   | 621.36 ± 3.56 b                  | LOQ                                      |
| GNT41    | 5.99 ± 0.04 a                   | 23.17 ± 0.53 a                   | 1.23 ± 0.03 a                            |
| GNT34    | 6.03 ± 0.04 a                   | 719.63 ± 20.47 a                 | 1.57 ± 0.15 a                            |
|          |                                 | 75.45 ± 1.94 a                   |                                         |

Values are mean ± SE, n = 3. Lower-case letters (a, b) denote significant differences between means in a row, according to Fisher LSD test (p ≤ 0.05). LOQ—limit of quantification.

3.2. Concentration of Heavy Metals in Plant Roots and Shoots

The concentration of HMs in the shoots and roots of Miscanthus is shown in Table 2. After the third growing season, the Pb concentration in the roots was not significantly different among the studied plants, while in the next growing season, the Pb concentration in the roots of M × g and GNT34 was about 30% lower compared with GNT41. After the third growing season, the Pb concentration in the shoots of GNT41 was 30% higher compared to M × g and GNT34. The lower values of Pb concentration in the shoots were observed after the fourth growing season, and no significant differences were observed among plants.

Table 2. Content of HM in the shoots and roots of Miscanthus.

| Concentration of HM | Pb (mg kg⁻¹) | Cd (mg kg⁻¹) | Zn (mg kg⁻¹) |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                     | 3rd Growing Season | 4th Growing Season | 3rd Growing Season | 4th Growing Season | 3rd Growing Season | 4th Growing Season |
| Root                |              |              |              |              |              |
| M × g               | 71.19 ± 7.84 a | 48.17 ± 3.79 a | 43.94 ± 2.2 ab * | 25.37 ± 2.10 a | 1534.26 ± 163 a | 1033.8 ± 54.48 a |
| GNT41               | 41.46 ± 7.92 a | 64.63 ± 2.08 a | 36.29 ± 2.59 b | 32.61 ± 0.64 a | 1243.21 ± 90.6 a | 946.1 ± 65.52 a |
| GNT34               | 61.44 ± 14.90 a | 41.72 ± 0.65 b | 53.60 ± 2.32 a * | 31.2 ± 2.35 a | 1065.6 ± 59.7 a | 1123.6 ± 108.2 a |

Values are mean, ±SE, n = 3. Asterisk (*) denotes significant differences between metals concentrations, when considering growing seasons within one hybrid. Lower-case letters (a, b) denote significant differences between different hybrids separately for both growing seasons, according to Fisher LSD test (p ≤ 0.05).

After the third growing season, the Cd concentration in the roots of GNT34 was 18% and 32% higher compared to M × g and GNT41, respectively. Moreover, after the fourth growing season, there was a decrease in Cd concentration in the roots of M × g and GNT34. Cadmium concentration was the same in the studied plants in the third growing season. After the fourth growing season, the highest Cd concentration in the shoots was observed
in $M \times g$, at 2.5 to 3 times higher than in the other hybrids. Lower Cd concentration in the shoots was observed in GNT41 and GNT34, while it remained at the same level in $M \times g$.

Zn concentration in the shoots followed the same trend as that for Cd in the third growing season, while significantly lower values were observed for GNT41 after the fourth growing season compared to the third. No significant differences in Zn concentration between growing seasons were observed for $M \times g$ and GNT34.

3.3. Heavy Metal Bioconcentration (BCF) and Translocation Factors (TF)

The values of TF and BCF are presented in Table 3. The TF for $M \times g$ was the same for Pb and Zn regardless of the growing season, whereas it was significantly higher for Cd in the fourth growing season than in the third. GNT41 and GNT34 showed the same pattern for the analyzed HMs, indicating significantly higher values for TF$_{Pb}$ in the third growing season, while no significant differences were found between growing seasons for the other analyzed TFs. Regarding differences between varieties, significantly lower values for TF$_{Pb}$ and TF$_{Zn}$ were found for $M \times g$ in the third growing season. In addition, the same variety had significantly higher TF$_{Cd}$ values in the fourth growing season. There were no significant differences between the TFs calculated for other growing seasons and HMs.

3.4. Mycorrhizal Studies

Microscopic observations showed that all the root samples of Miscanthus were colonized by AM fungi. Structures characteristic of arbuscular mycorrhiza, such as arbuscules (Arb), intracellular hyphae (Ih), and vesicles (v), were found in all the examined plant roots (Figure 2).
Figure 2. Mycorrhizal structures observed in the roots of *Miscanthus x giganteus* (a,b), GNT34 (c) and GNT43 (d) cultivated in soil contaminated with heavy metals. Structures characteristic of arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi are indicated using following abbreviations: Arb (arbuscules), v (vesicle), Ih (intercellular hyphae).

The values of the parameters of mycorrhizal colonization are shown in Figure 3. The results show that the highest values of mycorrhizal colonization parameters were observed among the tested plants in the roots of *M × g* after both growing seasons. After the third growing season, the values of F and A parameters for *M × g* and GNT41 were significantly different from those for GNT34. The value of F for the roots of GNT34 was lower by 41% and 30% compared to *M × g* and GNT41, respectively. The value of A for the roots of GNT34 was lower by 78% compared with *M × g* and GNT41. The value of M for the roots of *M × g* was 2.5 and 3.5 times higher than in GNT41 and GNT34, respectively. After the fourth growing season, the values of mycorrhizal colonization parameters in the roots of GNT41 were the lowest among the tested plants. Interestingly, the value of M in the roots of *M × g* and GNT41 decreased significantly by 60% and 76%, respectively, after the fourth growing season. In contrast, this value increased by 22% in GNT34. In addition, a decrease in F and A was observed in all the studied roots except GNT34 after the fourth growing season. After the fourth growing season, the value of F and A was higher in GNT34 roots by 45% and 7%, respectively.
Figure 3. Parameters of mycorrhizal colonization in Miscanthus roots: (a) Frequency of mycorrhiza in the root system (F), (b) Relative abundance of arbuscules (A), (c) Relative mycorrhizal intensity (M). Values are means, ±SE, n = 6. Asterisk (*) denotes significantly higher value of parameters, while considering growing season within one hybrid. Lower-case letters (a, b) denote significant differences between different hybrids separately for both growing seasons, according to Fisher LSD test (p ≤ 0.05).

4. Discussion

The permissible HM content in the soil exceeded the limits for the top layer (0–30 cm) of the soil on arable land according to the Polish regulation [41]. The content of pseudo-total Pb in the soil exceeded the specified limits by 6–7 times, while the contents of Cd and Zn were 11.5–13 times and 8.5–12 times higher, respectively, making the soil unsuitable for food production. A high level of heavy metals can affect the photosynthesis and the respiration of plants [42]. For example, high levels of Cd in the soil can inhibit plant growth, reduce photosynthetic activity, or cause lethal effects [43]. The studies showed that Miscanthus tolerates soil Cd levels of 150 mg/kg [44]. The biomass yield of $M \times g$ and the hybrids reached about 16–17 t ha$^{-1}$ d.m. after the third growing season and 20–24 t ha$^{-1}$ d.m. after the fourth growing season (unpublished data) and was comparable to the yields...
recorded for $M \times g$ in Europe in the third and subsequent years (10–25 t ha$^{-1}$ d.m.) [45]. In addition, the effect of increasing plantation maturity was more pronounced in the case of this experiment than the water deficit reported for the fourth season.

Some reports indicate the great ability of Miscanthus to absorb HMs from the soil [46]. However, the hybrids might have a different capacity for HM uptake [47,48]. This differential ability was confirmed in these studies by the different HM contents in the shoots and the roots (Table 2). The inter-seasonal observations of heavy metal content indicate the adverse effects of water deficit on the uptake of metals into the roots of $M \times g$ and GNT34. Adverse changes in the uptake of metals, nutrients, or metabolic processes and photosynthetic assimilation may be the result of stress due to water deficit [49]. Water deficit resulted in a reduction in the uptake of metals, mainly Pb and Cd, into the roots by the above Miscanthus genotypes by an average of 30% compared to the third growing season. This phenomenon was not observed in GNT41, where water deficit did not significantly affect the concentration of metals taken up into the roots. Water deficit changes in soil microbial mineralization and organic matter could limit the amount of HM available [50] and inhibit its uptake by the roots. The content of most metals such as Cu, Mn, Ni, Cd, and Pb remained constant in plants where water deficit occurred [49]. Another study showed that water deficit had a negative effect on the ability of castor bean (Ricinus communis) to accumulate Cd [51]. This was related to the changes in root morphology due to water deficit, which limited the uptake of Cd into the roots. This was also confirmed by studies wherein water deficit limited Cd uptake by groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) [52] and Brassica juncea [53]. On the other hand, studies showed that water deficit increased Cd uptake and accumulation in the shoot, root and grain of wheat [54].

After the drought period, the uptake of Cd and Zn into the above-ground parts was lower in seed-based hybrids compared to the third growing season, while no negative effect of water deficit on the uptake of the mentioned metals by $M \times g$ was observed. As a result, seed-based hybrids took up less Cd and Zn after the drought than $M \times g$, whose heavy metal uptake ability was constant.

The effect of heavy metal concentration in the soil on transpiration was previously reported in a pot experiment [55]. However, there is a scarcity of articles demonstrating the combined stress effect on Miscanthus plants. Rusinowski et al. [47] demonstrated a higher capacity for uptake of heavy metals, particularly Pb and Cd, by $M \times g$ compared to seed-based hybrids. This was related to differences in stomatal response time to drought stress and temperature, a critical factor in plant water strategy. Despite water availability between seasons, $M \times g$ took up the same amount of heavy metal, in contrast to seed-based hybrids, except Pb, which tended to behave unexpectedly [47].

The effectiveness of Miscanthus for phytostabilization was confirmed using computational parameters such as TF and BCF, which are widely used to evaluate the efficiency of phytoremediation processes [56]. The TF reflects the relationship between the concentration of metals in the shoots and the concentration of the respective element in the roots [57] (Tables 2 and 3). Miscanthus took up less HM in the aerial parts compared to the roots (Table 2), which was reflected in the TF value of TF (Table 3). The value was less than 1, indicating a low ability to uptake metals into the aerial parts [58]; in the case of $M \times g$ and seed-based hybrids, this shows their potential property to exclude HMs and makes this plant a potential candidate for the phytostabilization process of the mentioned HMs [37]. These results are confirmed by previous reports [55,59]. In phytostabilization experiments, the TF ratio should be as low as possible [60–62]. The BCF was defined as the ratio of metal (loid) content in the shoots to that in the soil, and it is helpful in assessing the effectiveness of the uptake of metals from the soil to the shoots [63,64]. Similarly, as in the case of TF, all of the investigated plants reached BCF < 1 (Table 3), which confirmed that metal transfer from the soil is limited; moreover, Miscanthus has low potential for accumulating metals in its tissues effectively and to additionally support its suitability for the phytostabilization process [64–66].
The TF and BCF varied from plant to plant and from season to season. $M \times g$ translocated and bioconcentrated Cd more effectively to the shoots than seed-based hybrids. During the dry season, Pb translocation decreased in seed-based hybrids. Bioconcentration also decreased in GNT41 (Pb and Zn) and GNT34 (Cd) (Table 3). Water deficit can affect the mineralization process and reduce the transport of ions to the roots and shoots [49]. Interestingly, in $M \times g$, the translocation of Cd increased during the drought period, while the translocation and bioconcentration of Pb and Zn were constant regardless of water status. This may be related to transpiration rates in the case of seed-based hybrids, as transpiration rates are limited during the dry period compared to $M \times g$ [47].

Despite the high content of HM in the soil, the structures characteristic of AMF, such as arbuscules, hyphae, and vesicles, were observed in all the roots after both growing seasons (Figure 2). The occurrence of AMFs in contaminated soils might be related to their adaptation to metal contamination [18,29,30]. In addition, the roots of Miscanthus were highly colonized by mycorrhizal fungi in both growing seasons, with the frequency of colonization (F%) ranging from 50% to 95% (Figure 3). Studies conducted after the second growing season of $M \times g$ in soils contaminated with HM showed 74% lower overall colonization by native AMFs compared to uncontaminated soils [18]. Additionally, other studies [31,33] showed that $M \times g$ roots cultured in highly HM-contaminated soils (17 mg kg$^{-1}$ Cd, 411–480 mg kg$^{-1}$ Pb, and 1617–1994 mg kg$^{-1}$ Zn) were 50–60% colonized by native AMFs after the first growing season, but the F% of these colonization values was calculated using different methods. M. sacchariflorus root colonization of about 23% in a potted crop.

The soil was homogeneous between plots in terms of contamination, so the influence of metals on the differences in mycorrhizal colonization among the species tested could initially be excluded. An influence of the age of the hybrids on AMF colonization could also be excluded due to the same planting date [67,68]. The values of mycorrhizal colonization parameters differed significantly among Miscanthus plant cultivars (Figure 3). Research confirms that colonization by AMFs can differ among host plants and even among their cultivars [69,70], even in the case of $M \times g$ cultivars grown under the same conditions [29]. Chatzistathis et al. (2013) [70] suggested that host-plant physiology, growth parameters, and also, differences in nutrient uptake effectiveness play an important role in AMF colonization. In addition, soil water deficit leads to a decrease in available phosphorus, which may directly affect colonization [71]. Therefore, future studies on the P uptake capacity of Miscanthus and the P concentration in soil are necessary to evaluate the differences in colonization. $M \times g$ was better colonized by AMFs than seed-based hybrids after both growing seasons. In addition, a higher number of arbuscules was observed in $M \times g$ compared to other seed-based hybrids (Figure 3).

One of the stressors that could affect AMF community structure and composition is the occurrence of different environmental conditions between the two growing season [71]. Different responses have been observed in the research reports on the AMF response to short- or long-term water deficit. This factor may cause plants to show an increasing or decreasing trend in the percentage AMF root colonization [72–75]. These two phenomena were confirmed in this study, as the water deficit that occurred in the fourth growing season resulted in different responses of Miscanthus cultivars in colonizing the roots of different plants. The differential response of AMF root colonization to water deficit also depends on the plant species [73], which is characterized by different physiological responses to stress related to water deficiency [76]. The decrease in AMF root colonization rate in the roots of GNT41 and $M \times g$ was observed in the fourth growing season. In the same period, the values of F% increased in GNT34, while M% and A% remained constant. Therefore, these data may indicate that GNT34 has a higher tolerance to stress related to water deficiency than other hybrids. However, future research is needed to determine the taxonomic
differences in AMFs in *Miscanthus* roots to identify specific responses to biotic and abiotic stresses [77].

High root colonization by AMFs with a higher content of HM, especially in the roots, might indicate that the presence of AMFs could support the stabilization/immobilization process [61,78]. The higher AMF colonization of *M × g* roots corresponded with the lowest metal translocation to the shoots and the highest Pb and Zn concentration in the roots, compared to seed-based hybrids, in which lower AMF root colonization was observed. The data obtained are in agreement with the studies [79,80], according to which mycorrhizal symbiosis increases metal uptake by the roots while decreasing uptake concentration in the shoots. In contrast, studies showed increased HM uptake into the shoots of *Micanthus* after AMF inoculation by the *Glomus* species [29]. Despite the fact that the roots of GNT34 were less colonized with AMFs than those of GNT43, they were characterized by a greater ability to take up HMs into their roots. Several effects of changes in root colonization rates among hybrids were observed in relation to changes in HM metal concentrations in the roots and shoots. Along with the decrease in AMF root colonization for *M × g*, a decrease in the concentration of heavy metals in the roots was observed. Similarly, GNT41 showed lower uptake of heavy metals into the shoots in the fourth growth period compared to the third growth period, which might be related to lower AMF root colonization. Interestingly, the number of arbuscules was significantly lower, which was related to a lower uptake of heavy metals into the shoots. The different results in seed hybrids and *M × g* may suggest that the effect of AMFs on the uptake of HM may depend on the plant and/or cultivar [29,81].

More detailed studies on the relationships between AMFs and *Miscanthus* seeds are needed to explain the mechanism of colonization and its influence on the uptake of metals in the mentioned plants.

5. Conclusions

The present study showed that HMs accumulate mainly in the roots of *Miscanthus*, regardless of the hybrid plant studied, which was expected since this species is not an HM hyperaccumulator. Nevertheless, the studied *Miscanthus* seed-based hybrids and *M × g* showed that they were suitable for the phytostabilization of heavy metals. GNT34 showed a lower tendency to develop mycorrhizal structures than GNT41 and *M × g* in HM-contaminated soils; however, this hybrid was insensitive to changes in the colonization rate during the dry growing season. Water deficits affected AMF root colonization parameters differently among the *Miscanthus* hybrids studied. GNT34 exhibited higher stress tolerance to water deficit compared to the other hybrids. AMFs could be an important factor in the resistance of *Miscanthus* hybrids to drought stress, but further studies are needed.

Author Contributions: A.S.-B. performed the experimental work, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript; J.K. planned and designed the research and wrote the manuscript; S.R. performed the statistical analysis and wrote the manuscript; K.S. performed the statistical analysis and wrote the manuscript; M.P. planned and designed the research and contributed to the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by The Polish National Centre for Research and Development, under the flag of Era-Net Cofound FACCE SURPLUS, in the frame of the Joint Programming Initiative on Agriculture, Food Security and Climate Change (FACCE-JPI), grant number FACCE SURPLUS/III/MISCOMAR+/03/2020.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
27. Meier, S.; Cornejo, P.; Cartes, P.; Borie, F.; Medina, J.; Azcón, R. Interactive effect between Cu-adapted arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and bioremediated agrowaste to improve the nutritional status of Oenothera picensis growing in Cu-polluted soils. J. Plant. Nutr. Soil. Sc. 2015, 178, 126–135. [CrossRef]

28. Cabral, L.; Soares, C.R.F.S.; Giachini, A.J.; Siqueira, J.O. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in phytoremediation of contaminated areas by trace elements: Mechanisms and major benefits of their applications. World J. Microb. Biot. 2015, 31, 1655–1664. [CrossRef]

29. Nsanganwimana, F.; Pourrut, B.; Waterlot, C.; Louvel, B.; Bidar, G.; Labidi, S.; Fontaine, J.; Muchemheld, J.; Sahraoui, A.L.-H.; Fourrier, H.; et al. Metal accumulation and shoot yield of *Misanthus × giganteus* growing in contaminated agricultural soils: Insights into agronomic practices. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 2015, 213, 61–71. [CrossRef]

30. Sarkar, A.; Asaeda, T.; Wang, Q.; Kaneko, Y.; Rashid, M.H. Response of *Misanthus sacchariflorus* to zinc stress mediated by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Flora 2017, 234, 60–68. [CrossRef]

31. Nowak, K.; Szada-Borzyszkowska, A.; Krzyżak, J.; Rusinowski, S.; Soja, M.; Pogrzeba, M. Comparison of root colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in energy crop species cultivated on arable land contaminated with heavy metals. IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. 2019, 214, 012030. [CrossRef]

32. Cieślińska, K.; Skalska, A.; Ciszek, D.; Krzyżak, J.; Pogrzeba, M. Mikoryza arbuskularna wybranych gatunków roślin energetycznych uprawianych na terenie zanieczyszczenym metalami ciężkimi. Interdyscyplinarne Zagadnienia w Inżynierii i Ochronie Środowiska 2016, 7, 13–28. (In Polish)

33. Pogrzeba, M.; Rusinowski, S.; Sitko, K.; Krzyżak, J.; Skalska, A.; Małkowski, E.; Ciszek, D.; Werle, S.; McCalmont, J.P.; Mos, M.; et al. Relationships between soil parameters and physiological status of *Misanthus × giganteus* cultivated on soil contaminated with trace elements under NPK fertilisation vs. microbial inoculation. Environ. Pollut. 2017, 225, 163–174. [CrossRef]

34. PN-ISO 11265:1997; Soil Quality—Determination of the specific electrical conductivity. [CrossRef]

35. ISO 11466:1995; Soil Quality d Extraction of Trace Elements Soluble in Aqua Regia. [CrossRef]

36. Peijnenburg, W.J.; Zablotskaja, M.; Vijver, M.G. Monitoring metals in terrestrial environments within a bioavailability framework and a focus on soil extraction. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 2007, 67, 163–179. [CrossRef]

37. Malik, R.N.; Husain, S.Z.; Nazir, I. Heavy metal contamination and accumulation in soil and wild plant species from industrial area of Islamabad, Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot. 2010, 42, 291–301.

38. Phillips, J.M.; Hayman, D.S. Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 1970, 55, 158–161. [CrossRef]

39. Trouvelot, A.; Kough, J.L.; Gianinazzi-Pearson, V. Mesure du taux de mycorhization VA d’un systeme radiculaire. Recherche de methods d’estimation ayant une signification fonctionnelle. In Physiological and Genetical Aspects of Mycorrhizae; Gianinazzi-Pearson, V., Gianinazzi, S., Eds.; INRA: Paris, France, 1986; pp. 217–221. (In French)

40. Available online: http://www.dijon.inra.fr/mychintec/Mycocal-prg/download.html (accessed on 1 November 2018).

41. Regulation of the Minister of Environment (Official Journal of Laws of the Republic of Poland of 2016 item 1395). Available online: http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20160001395/O/D20161395.pdf (accessed on 1 March 2022).

42. Galal, T.M.; Shehata, H.S. Bioaccumulation and translocation of heavy metals by *Plantago major* L. grown in contaminated soils under the effect of traffic pollution. Ecol. Indic. 2015, 48, 244–251. [CrossRef]

43. Kupper, H.; Parameswaran, A.; Leitenmaier, B.; Triticke, M.; Setlik, I. Cadmium-induced inhibition of photosynthesis and long-term acclimation to cadmium stress in the hyperaccumulator *Thlaspi caerulescens*. New. Phytol. 2007, 175, 655–674. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Jiang, H.; Zhao, X.; Fang, J.; Xiao, Y. Physiological responses and metal uptake of *Misanthus* under cadmium/arsenic stress. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2018, 25, 28275–28284. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Faber, A.; Kuś, J.; Matyka, M. Uprawa roślin na cele energetyczne. Poradnik. W&B Wiesław Drzewiecki: Warszawa, Polska, 2009; pp. 1–32. (In Polish)

46. Kołodziej, B.; Antonkiewicz, J.; Sugier, D. *Misanthus × giganteus* as a biomass feedstock grown on municipal sewage sludge. Ind. Crops Prod. 2016, 81, 72–82. [CrossRef]

47. Rusinowski, S.; Krzyżak, J.; Clifton-Brown, J.; Jensen, E.; Mos, M.; Webster, R.; Sitko, K.; Pogrzeba, M. *Misanthus* hybrids cultivated at a Polish metal-contaminated site demonstrate high stomatal regulation and reduced shoot Pd and Cd concentrations. Environ. Pollut. 2019, 252, 1377–1387. [CrossRef]

48. Irshad, M.; Ahmad, S.; Pervez, A.; Inoue, M. Phytoaccumulation of heavy metals in natural plants thriving on wastewater effluent at Hattar industrial estate, Pakistan. Int. J. Phytoremmediat. 2015, 17, 154–158. [CrossRef]

49. Pascual, I.; Antolin, M.C.; García, C.; Polo, A.; Sánchez-Díaz, M. Plant availability of heavy metals in a soil amended with a high dose of sewage sludge under drought conditions. Biol. Fertil. 2004, 40, 291–299. [CrossRef]

50. Rennenberg, H.; Dannenmann, M.; Gessler, A.; Kreuzwieser, I.; Simon, J.; Papen, H. Nitrogen balance in forest soils: Nutritional limitation of plants under climate change stresses. Plant Biol. 2009, 11, 4–23. [CrossRef]

51. Shi, G.; Xia, S.; Ye, J.; Huang, Y.; Liu, C.; Zhang, Z. PEG-simulated drought stress decreases cadmium accumulation in castor bean by altering root morphology. Environ. Exp. Bot. 2015, 111, 127–134. [CrossRef]

52. Xia, S.; Wang, X.; Su, G.; Shi, G. Effects of drought on cadmium accumulation in peanuts grown in a contaminated calcareous soil. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2015, 22, 18707–18717. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Baudddh, K.; Singh, R.P. Growth, tolerance efficiency and phytoremediation potential of *Ricinus communis* (L.) and *Brassica juncea* (L.) in salinity and drought affected cadmium contaminated soil. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 2012, 85, 13–22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
54. Abbas, T.; Rizwan, M.; Ali, S.; Adrees, M.; Mahmood, A.; Zia-ur-Rehman, M.; Ibrahim, M.; Arshad, M.; Qayyum, M.F. Biochar application increased the growth and yield and reduced cadmium in drought stressed wheat grown in an aged contaminated soil. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2018, 148, 825–833. [CrossRef]

55. Nurzhanova, A.; Pidlisnyuk, V.; Abit, K.; Nurzhano, C.; Kenessov, B.; Stefanovska, T.; Erickson, L. Comparative assessment of using *Miscanthus × giganteus* for remediation of soils contaminated by heavy metals: A case of military and mining sites. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2019, 26, 13320–13333. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Zaier, H.; Ghnaya, T.; Lakhdar, A.; Baioui, R.; Ghabrice, R.; Mnasri, M.; Sghair, S.; Latu, S.; Abdelly, C. Comparative study of Pb-phytorextraction potential in *Sesuvium portulacastrum* and *Brassica juncea*: Tolerance and accumulation. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2010, 183, 609–615. [CrossRef]

57. Zu, Y.Q.; Li, Y.; Chen, J.J.; Chen, H.Y.; Qin, L.; Schvartz, C. Hyper accumulation of Pb, Zn, and Cd in herbaceous grown on lead–zinc mining area in Yunnan, China. *Environ. Int.* 2005, 31, 755–762. [CrossRef]

58. Murray, H.; Thompson, K.; Macfie, S.M. Site- and species-specific patterns of metal bioavailability in edible plants. *Botany* 2009, 87, 702–711. [CrossRef]

59. Andrejč, G.; Šinžar-Sekulić, J.; Prica, M.; Dželetović, Ž.; Rakić, T. Phytoremediation potential and physiological response of *Miscanthus × giganteus* cultivated on fertilized and non-fertilized flotation tailings. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2019, 26, 34658–34669. [CrossRef]

60. Yoon, J.; Cao, X.; Zhou, Q.; Ma, L.Q. Accumulation of Pb, Cu, and Zn in native plants growing on a contaminated Florida site. *Sci. Total. Environ.* 2006, 368, 456–464. [CrossRef]

61. Gu, H.H.; Zhou, Z.; Gao, Y.Q.; Yuan, X.T.; Ai, Y.J.; Zhang, J.Y.; Zuo, W.-Z.; Taylor, A.A.; Nan, S.-Q.; Li, F.-P. The influences of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus on phytostabilization of lead/zinc tailings using four plant species. *Int. J. Phytoremediat.* 2017, 19, 739–745. [CrossRef]

62. Hesami, R.; Salimi, A.; Ghaderian, S.M. Lead, zinc, and cadmium uptake, accumulation, and phytoremediation by plants growing around Tang-e Douzan lead–zinc mine, Iran. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2018*, 25, 8701–8714. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Ladislas, S.; El-Mufleh, A.; Ghabrice, R.; Mnasri, M.; Sghair, S.; Latu, S.; Abdelly, C. Comparative study of Pb-phytorextraction potential in *Sesuvium portulacastrum* and *Brassica juncea*: Tolerance and accumulation. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2010, 183, 609–615. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Kabza, K.; Cieslak, T.; Wróblewski, K.; Kowalczyk, K. Influence of lead/zinc mining on the physiological parameters of *Brassica juncea L.* in contaminated soils. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2019, 26, 13320–13333. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Nasir, M.; Arif, M.; Inam, M. Phytoremediation potential of *Artemisia scoparia* in a heavy metal-contaminated soil. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2019, 26, 13320–13333. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Pavel, P.B.; Puschenreiter, M.; Wenzel, W.W.; Diacu, E.; Barbu, C.H. Aided phytostabilization using *Miscanthus × giganteus* for remediation of soils contaminated by heavy metals: A case of military and mining sites. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2019, 26, 13320–13333. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Kirk, A.; Fox, S.; Entz, M.; Tenuta, M. Preliminary findings on the arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization of organic wheat. In *Proceedings of the 16th IFOAM Organic World Congress*, Modena, Italy, 18–20 June 2008.

68. Chatzistathis, T.; Orfanoudakis, M.; Alifragis, D.; Therios, I. Colonization of Greek olive cultivars’ root system by arbuscular mycorrhiza fungus: Root morphology, growth, and mineral nutrition of olive plants. *Sci. Agric.* 2013, 70, 185–194. [CrossRef]

69. Castellanos-Morales, V.; Keiser, C.; Cárdenas-Navarro, R.; Grausgruber, H.; Glauninger, J.; García-Garrido, J.M.; Steinkellner, S.; Sampedro, I.; Hage-Ahmed, K.; Illana, A.; et al. The bioprotective effect of AM root colonization against the soilborne fungal pathogen *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. tritici in barley depends on the barley variety. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2011, 43, 831–834. [CrossRef]

70. Estauín, V.; Calvet, C.; Camprubi, A. Effect of differences among crop species and cultivars on the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. In *Arbuscular Mycorrhizas: Physiology and Function*; Koltai, H., Kapulnik, Y., Eds.; Springer: Heidelberg, Germany, 2010; pp. 279–295.

71. Chatzistathis, T.; Orfanoudakis, M.; Alifragis, D.; Theros, I. Colonization of Greek olive cultivars’ root system by arbuscular mycorrhiza fungus: Root lead–zinc mine, Iran. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2018*, 25, 8701–8714. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

72. Anwar, G. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi of Northern White Cedar (Thuja Occidentalis L.): Habitat Effects on Fungal Communities and Inoculum Effects on Plant Growth on Acid Peat Soils. Ph.D. Thesis, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI, USA, January 2016.

73. Klironomos, J.N.; Hart, M.M.; Gurney, J.E.; Moutoglis, P. Interspecific differences in the tolerance of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to freezing and drying. *Can. J. Bot.* 2001, 79, 1161–1166. [CrossRef]

74. Wang, F. Occurrence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in mining-impacted sites and their contribution to ecological restoration: Mechanisms and applications. *Crit. Rev. Envr. Sci. Tec.* 2017, 47, 1901–1957. [CrossRef]

75. Begum, N.; Ahanger, M.A.; Su, Y.; Lei, Y.; Mustafa, N.S.A.; Ahmad, P.; Zhang, L. Improved drought tolerance by AMF inoculation in maize (*Zea mays L.*) grown in two types of coal mine spoils under drought stress. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 2015, 88, 41–49. [CrossRef]

76. Anwar, G. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi of Northern White Cedar (Thuja Occidentalis L.): Habitat Effects on Fungal Communities and Inoculum Effects on Plant Growth on Acid Peat Soils. Ph.D. Thesis, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI, USA, January 2016.

77. Klironomos, J.N.; Hart, M.M.; Gurney, J.E.; Moutoglis, P. Interspecific differences in the tolerance of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to freezing and drying. *Can. J. Bot.* 2001, 79, 1161–1166. [CrossRef]

78. Wang, F. Occurrence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in mining-impacted sites and their contribution to ecological restoration: Mechanisms and applications. *Crit. Rev. Envr. Sci. Tec.* 2017, 47, 1901–1957. [CrossRef]

79. Begum, N.; Ahanger, M.A.; Su, Y.; Lei, Y.; Mustafa, N.S.A.; Ahmad, P.; Zhang, L. Improved drought tolerance by AMF inoculation in maize (*Zea mays L.*) involves physiological and biochemical implications. *Plants* 2019, 8, 579. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

80. Malinowska, M.; Donnison, I.S.; Robson, P.R. Phenomics analysis of drought responses in *Miscanthus* collected from different geographical locations. *Geb Bioenergy* 2017, 9, 78–91. [CrossRef]

81. Chagnon, P.L.; Bradley, R.L.; Maher, H.; Klironomos, J.N. A trait-based framework to understand life history of mycorrhizal fungi. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2013, 18, 484–491. [CrossRef]
78. Hanus-Fajerska, E.; Muszyńska, E.; Giemzik, A. Review on studies of zinc-lead waste heaps microbiota. *Arch. Waste Manag. Environ. Prot.* **2015**, *17*, 59–68.

79. Loth, F.G.; Höfner, W. Einfluß der VA-Mykorrhiza auf die Schwermetallaufnahme von Hafer (*Avena sativa* L.) in Abhängigkeit vom Kontaminationsgrad der Böden. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung und Bodenkunde* **1995**, *158*, 339–345. [CrossRef]

80. Lin, A.J.; Zhang, X.H.; Wong, M.H.; Ye, Z.H.; Lou, L.Q.; Wang, Y.S.; Zhu, Y.G. Increase of multi-metal tolerance of three leguminous plants by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonization. *Environ. Geochem. Health* **2007**, *29*, 473–481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

81. Borymski, S.; Piotrowska-Seget, Z. Ryzosfera metalofitów i jej rola w procesie bioremediacji metali ciężkich. *Chemik* **2014**, *68*, 554–559. (In Polish)