The landscape of fusion transcripts in spitzoid melanoma and biologically indeterminate spitzoid tumors by RNA sequencing

Gang Wu¹, Raymond L. Barnhill², Seungjae Lee³, Yongjin Li⁴, Ying Shao¹, John Easton¹, James Dalton³, Jinghui Zhang¹, Alberto Pappo⁴, and Armita Bahrami³

¹Department of Computational Biology, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA
²Department of Pathology, Institute Curie and Faculty of Medicine, University of Paris Descartes, Paris, France
³Department of Pathology, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA
⁴Department of Oncology, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA

Abstract

Kinase activation by chromosomal translocations is a common mechanism that drives tumorigenesis in spitzoid neoplasms. To explore the landscape of fusion transcripts in these tumors, we performed whole-transcriptome sequencing using formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues in malignant or biologically indeterminate spitzoid tumors from 7 patients (age 2–14 years). RNA sequence libraries enriched for coding regions were prepared and the sequencing was analyzed by a novel assembly-based algorithm designed for detecting complex fusions. In addition, tumor samples were screened for hotspot TERT promoter mutations, and telomerase expression was assessed by TERT mRNA in situ hybridization (ISH). Two patients had widespread metastasis and subsequently died of disease, and 5 patients had a benign clinical course on limited follow-up (mean: 30 months). RNA sequencing and TERT mRNA ISH were successful in 6 tumors and unsuccessful in 1 disseminating tumor due to low RNA quality. RNA sequencing identified a kinase fusion in 5 of the 6 sequenced tumors: TPM3–NTRK1 (2 tumors), complex rearrangements involving TPM3, ALK, and IL6R (1 tumor), BAIAP2L1–BRAF (1 tumor), and EML4–BRAF (1 disseminating tumor). All predicted chimeric transcripts were expressed at high levels and contained the intact kinase domain. In addition, 2 tumors each contained a second fusion gene, ARID1B–SNX9 or PTPRZ1–NFAM1. The detected chimeric genes were validated by home-brew break-apart or fusion fluorescence in situ hybridization. The 2 disseminating tumors each harbored the TERT promoter −124C>T (Chr 5:1,295,228 hg19 coordinate) mutation whereas the remaining 5 tumors retained the wild-type gene. The presence of the −124C>T mutation correlated with telomerase expression by TERT mRNA ISH. In summary,
we demonstrated complex fusion transcripts and novel partner genes for *BRAF* by RNA sequencing of FFPE samples. The diversity of gene fusions demonstrated by RNA sequencing defines the molecular heterogeneity of spitzoid neoplasms.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Spitzoid tumors are a clinicopathologically distinct class of melanocytic neoplasms that occur more commonly in younger individuals and account for the majority of so-called “melanomas” seen in the pediatric population. Histologically, these lesions are characterized by compound or dermal proliferations of large epithelioid and/or spindle-shaped melanocytes having abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm, often forming junctional nests in conjunction with epidermal hyperplasia. The lack of objective criteria to determine the malignant potential of spitzoid tumors is a major diagnostic challenge.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\)\) The established histopathologic criteria used to differentiate nevi from conventional melanoma are not reliable for spitzoid neoplasms. Also, unlike conventional melanoma, lymph node metastasis in general is not predictive of poor clinical outcome in patients with spitzoid tumors.\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^13\)\)

Spitzoid lesions with features significantly deviating from a stereotypical Spitz nevus, such as large lesional size (>1 cm), asymmetry, ulceration, pagetoid melanocytosis extending peripherally, significant intradermal mitotic activity, lack of cellular maturation with depth, confluent cellular growth, involvement of subcutaneous fat, or severe cytologic atypia, are considered atypical spitzoid melanocytic proliferations, encompassing atypical Spitz tumor and spitzoid melanoma. Additional important clinical information includes the age of the patient, since melanoma is extremely rare under the age of 10 years, and clinical features such as a new or rapidly-growing lesion, asymmetry, irregular coloration, ulceration, bleeding, and history of trauma. The diagnosis of spitzoid melanoma in these circumstances is considered when multiple chromosomal aberrations are detected by using ancillary molecular techniques, such as the multiprobe fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) assay\(^14\)\(^,\)\(^15\) or comparative genomic hybridization analysis.\(^16\)\(^,\)\(^17\)\)

The true predictive value of these assays for determining clinical outcome in spitzoid tumors, however, remains uncertain. We recently evaluated 56 spitzoid tumors for the presence of telomerase reverse transcriptase (*TERT*) promoter mutations and their association with disease progression. We found a hotspot *TERT* promoter mutation in tumors from patients who had a malignant clinical course but not in tumors from patients who had a favorable clinical outcome, which suggests that these mutations contribute to malignant biological behavior.\(^12\) Nonetheless, the underlying molecular mechanisms responsible for the potential of these lesions to spread distantly need to be investigated further.

The Cancer Genome Atlas Network has recently proposed a genomic classification of cutaneous melanomas into 4 mutually exclusive genetic subtypes on the basis of the presence of a hotspot mutation in the significantly mutated melanoma-associated genes,
**BRAF, RAS** (N/K/H), **NFI**, and the triple wild-type. By this stratification scheme, most spitzoid melanomas are likely to fall into the triple wild-type subtype, a heterogeneous molecular category shown to be enriched by focal amplifications or complex structural rearrangements. Wiesner et al. and others demonstrated that instead of activation of the MAP kinase pathway through point mutations, chromosomal translocations-induced kinase fusions drive tumorigenesis in spitzoid neoplasms. These rearrangements are predicted to constitutively activate the MAP kinase pathway by an in-frame fusion of the receptor tyrosine kinase **NTRK1, ROS1, RET, ALK,** or **MET** or the serine/threonine kinase **BRAF** to the N terminal of various 5 partner genes. Since these genetic alterations are present in the entire biologic spectrum of the disease, that is, the benign (nevi), the biologically indeterminate or low-grade malignant (atypical Spitz tumors), and the overtly malignant lesions (spitzoid melanoma), they are likely acquired in the early stage of disease but cannot by themselves lead to melanoma.

To explore the landscape of structural rearrangements in spitzoid melanomas, in the current study we used RNA sequencing to characterize the transcriptome of 7 histologically malignant or biologically indeterminate spitzoid tumors. Furthermore, we used **TERT** mRNA in situ hybridization (ISH) to demonstrate the association between **TERT** promoter mutations and telomerase expression at the cellular level.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Population**

The study was approved by the institutional review boards of participating institutions. The study subjects were selected from a previously reported cohort of 56 patients with spitzoid melanocytic tumors for whom documented clinical outcomes and sufficient biological material were available. To improve the performance of RNA sequencing, only biological samples with a storage time of ≤7 years were considered for the study. As an exception, an old archived formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) block (>20 years old) from a rare fatal spitzoid melanoma in a young patient was also included. Adequate biologic material was obtained for RNA sequencing from 7 malignant or biologically indeterminate spitzoid tumors (5 primary tumors and 2 metastatic tumors).

The hotspot **BRAF, NRAS**, and **TERT** promoter mutation data on these tumors have been previously reported. In summary, genomic DNA was extracted from the tumor samples (5 primary tumors, 1 paired primary and metastatic tumor, and 1 metastatic tumor) and screened for hotspot mutations of the genes by PCR and Sanger sequencing, as previously described.

**Transcriptome Sequencing**

Tumor tissue samples from 8–10 FFPE slide-mounted sections were manually dissected, with corresponding H&E sections used to guide dissections, to obtain at least 70% tumor purity. RNA was isolated by using the Maxwell system (Promega). RNA was quantitated by fluorescence dye staining by using the Quant-iT (Life Technologies) RNA assay. RNA quality was evaluated by using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies) with a Nano
RNA 6000 Chip. RNASEQ libraries enriched for coding regions were prepared by using the Truseq RNA Access Library Prep Kit (Illumina), following the manufacturer’s protocol for RNA input quantity relative to RNA quality. Sequencing was performed on HiSeq2000 (Illumina) to generate 100-bp paired-end reads.

RNA Sequencing Analysis

RNA sequencing data were generated as previously described. Paired-end reads from RNA sequences were aligned to the following 4 database files by using BWA (0.5.10) aligner: (1) the human GRCh37-lite reference sequence, (2) RefSeq, (3) a sequence file representing all possible combinations of non-sequential pairs in RefSeq exons, and (4) an AceView database flat file downloaded from UCSC, which represents transcripts constructed from human expressed sequence tags. The mapping results from files (2), (3), and (4) were aligned to human reference genome coordinates and also to the human GRCh37-lite reference sequence by using STAR 2.3.0 without annotations. The final BAM file was constructed by selecting the best alignment among the 5 mappings. The coverage was calculated by using an in-house pipeline. Structural variations were detected by using CICERO, a novel algorithm that uses de novo assembly to identify structural variations in RNA sequences.

Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization

BAC clones (BACpac Resources) were used to develop break-apart probes for the following genes: BRAF (RP11-837G3, RP11-948019), NTRK1 (CH17-67O18, RP11-1038N13), PTPRZ1 (CH17-132B19, RP11-99L10), IL6R (CH17-169C19, RP11-627K14), TPM3 (CH17-317C21, CH17-169C19), EML4 (CH17-315G08, RP11-885P15), ARID1B (RP11-230C9, CH17-280H05), and BAIAP2LI (RP11-958G24, CH17-112O19). Break-apart FISH for ALK was performed by using a commercially available probe set (CytoCell, Cat# LPS 019-A). In addition, BAC clones (CH17-132B19, RP11-99L10 and CH17-57M15, CH17-240N01) were used to develop a fusion probe set for PTPRZ1–NFAM1. Dual-color FISH was performed on 4-µm FFPE sections, as previously described.

TERT mRNA In Situ Hybridization

mRNA ISH, a novel method to detect mRNA in FFPE tissues, was performed for TERT mRNA on a Discovery Ultra automation system (Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.) by using RNAscope® VS Reagent Kit – RED (Advanced Cell Diagnostics). VS Probe – Hs-TERT (Cat#605516) specific to the sequence region between nucleotides 2164 to 3231 encoding the TERT transcript was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 4-µm FFPE tissue sections of tumors were pretreated in citrate buffer with heat, followed by protease digestion before hybridization with the target oligo probes. Slides were hybridized sequentially with target probes incubated at 43°C for 2 h and 32 min, preamplifier at 53°C for 32 min, and amplifier at 53°C for 32 min, and label probes at room temperature for 12 min. Between the hybridization steps, slides were washed with Ribowash buffer (0.1x saline sodium citrate). Hybridization signals were detected by chromogenic development with Fast Red, followed by counterstaining with hematoxylin. Each sample was quality controlled for RNA integrity with an RNAscope probe for PPIB RNA and for background with a probe for
bacterial dapB RNA. The specific RNA staining signal was identified as intracellular red punctate dots.

RESULTS

Clinicopathologic Findings

Table 1 and Figures 1–5 show the clinical and disease characteristics for the 7 patients. Tumors were detected in samples from 4 children (age 2–7 years) and 3 adolescents (age 11–14 years) and involved the lower extremities (n=5), ear (n=1), and trunk (n=1). Two patients had clinically detectable lymphadenopathy (macrometastasis). Of 6 patients whose sentinel/regional lymph nodes were examined, 5 patients were positive for nodal metastasis (Table 1), with clinically detectable lymphadenopathy (patients 5 and 7), large nodal deposits (patients 2 and 3), and isolated tumor cells (patient 1). At a median follow-up of 20 months (range, 6–72 months), 5 patients were alive and well with no evidence of disease and 2 patients developed distant metastasis in the lungs and brain and subsequently succumbed to the disease 18 and 24 months after diagnosis (Table 1).

BRAF, NRAS, and TERT promoter Mutations

The 7 spitzoid tumors were negative for the activating point mutations in BRAF and NRAS. The 2 tumors that led to a fatal outcome each harbored a TERT promoter mutation at −124 bp from the ATG start site (−124C>T) in the primary and metastatic samples whereas the 5 other tumors retained the TERT promoter wild-type (Table 1).

Fusion Transcripts by RNA sequencing

RNA sequencing was successful in 6 of the 7 samples, with a minimum 20× coverage of at least 20% exonic bases and a median coverage of 10× in all RefSeq annotated exons (Supplementary Table 1). Coverage was low in 1 sample obtained from old FFPE material (patient 7), and it was excluded from analysis. RNA sequencing identified a kinase fusion in 5 of the 6 successfully tested tumors (Supplementary Table 2). The following fusion genes were identified by RNA sequencing: EML4–BRAF (1 disseminating tumor; Figure 1), BAIAP2L1–BRAF (1 tumor; Figure 2), TPM3–NTRK1 (2 tumors; Figure 3), and TPM3–ALK (1 tumor; Figure 4). All predicted chimeric transcripts were expressed at high levels and contained the intact kinase domain. The FPKM (fragment per kilobase per million mapped reads) expression values for the fusion genes are provided in Table 2 Supplement. In addition, 2 spitzoid tumors each carried a second fusion gene, ARID1B–SNX9 and PTPRZ1–NFAM1 (Supplementary Figure 1). There was no structural rearrangement in 1 of the successfully sequenced samples (patient 6).

Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization

Break-apart FISH for BRAF (2 tumors), NTRK1 (2 tumors), PTPRZ1 (1 tumor), IL6R (1 tumor), TPM3 (3 tumors), EML4 (1 tumor), ARID1B (1 tumor), and BAIAP2L1 (1 tumor) showed split signals in at least 30% of the evaluated cells, which indicated rearrangement of the respective genes (Figures 1–4 and Supplementary Figure 1). The PTPRZ1–NFAM1 fusion FISH showed multiple copies of overlapping signals (Supplementary Figure 1), which suggested gene fusion followed by copy gain in the kinase fusion gene.
**TERT mRNA In Situ Hybridization**

*TERT* mRNA ISH showed distinct bright intracellular signals in melanocytes in the *TERT* promoter mutant metastasizing tumor (Figure 1) but not in the wild-type *TERT* promoter tumors (Figure 2). *TERT* mRNA ISH was not successful in 1 sample (patient 7) because of low RNA quality.

**DISCUSSION**

By using RNA sequencing, we identified in-frame fusions of kinases, *BRAF, NTRK1*, and *ALK*, in a mutually exclusive pattern, with various partner genes in 5 of the 6 successfully sequenced spitzoid tumors. We found 2 novel *BRAF* fusion partners *EML4* and *BAIAP2L1*, which expands the list of *BRAF* N-terminal fusion partners previously described in pilocytic astrocytoma and melanocytic tumors.\(^{21,23,27}\) *EML4* is a recurrent fusion partner gene with *ALK*, and the resulting fusion transcript *EML4–ALK* is the primary oncogenic driver in 3%–6% of non-small-cell lung carcinomas.\(^{28,29}\) However, to our knowledge, there are no reports of *EML4* participating in an oncogenic fusion with *BRAF*. *BAIAP2L1* (*BAI1-associated protein 2-like 1*) has been reported to participate in the fusion transcript with *FGFR3* in bladder and lung cancer, but never described previously in melanoma.\(^{30–32}\)

One of the samples in our study (patient 4) harbored the *TPM3–ALK* fusion, which has been previously reported in spitzoid neoplasms.\(^{33,34}\) Interestingly, before the discovery of its oncogenic association with melanocytic neoplasms, *TPM3–ALK* was identified in tumors from other lines of differentiation, namely the mesenchymal (inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor),\(^{35}\) the lymphoid (anaplastic large-cell lymphoma),\(^{36}\) and the epithelial (squamous cell carcinoma and renal cancer) lineages.\(^{37,38}\) This finding supports the assertion that known fusion genes can drive oncogenesis in tumors of different cell types. In addition to translocations, *ALK* is also activated through a de novo alternative transcription initiation, without genetic alterations at the *ALK* locus, in approximately 11% of melanomas.\(^{39}\)

Certain morphologic features have been linked to spitzoid tumors with *ALK* rearrangement.\(^{24,34}\) Similar features were seen in our patient sample with *ALK* fusion that exhibited nests of spindle shaped melanocytes with fascicular infiltration of the dermis and subcutis (Figure 4). Although no definitive conclusions can be drawn because of the small number of samples in this study, the 2 samples with *NTRK1* fusion shared a few morphologic features such as prominent cellularity, deep extension, nested arrangement in the upper part, and confluent cellular nodules with rounded pushing margins at the bottom (Figure 3). How reliably these morphologic manifestations predict the type of fusion transcripts has not yet been sufficiently studied. In any case, given the diversity of gene fusions involving alternative partners or even alternative exons within the same pairs of genes, as demonstrated in 2 spitzoid melanomas in this series harboring *TMP3-NTRK1* (Figure 3), or other coexisting genetic alterations, the morphologic heterogeneity in spitzoid tumors even with the same kinase fusion is not unexpected.

Of the 2 patients with fatal outcomes in our study, one carried a *BRAF* fusion and the sample from the other patient could not be successfully sequenced due to degraded RNA.
The second patient with BRAF fusion in our series had a benign course of disease. To date, the association between the type of fusion gene and prognosis in patients with spitzoid tumors remains uncertain. Tumors from both patients with fatal outcomes harbored the −124C>T transcriptional activating mutation in the TERT promoter. The −124C>T mutation (also referred to as C228T in the literature) has been previously shown to correlate with high TERT mRNA expression in melanoma, and here on a different platform using TERT mRNAISH, we demonstrated its association with telomerase expression at a cellular level (Figure 1).

Transcriptome sequencing identified additional novel fusion genes accompanied with kinase fusions in spitzoid tumors. One sample harbored the PTPRZ1–NFAM1, which was associated with elevated expression of the NFAT activated protein with ITAM motif 1 (NFAM1) (FPKM= 621.2). The protein encoded by NFAM1 contains an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif that is thought to regulate the development of B cells, but its role in cancer development and melanoma is not well studied. The 5 partner gene PTPRZ1 is a recurrent fusion partner with MET in glioblastoma. The fusion transcript ARID1B–SNX9 is expected to lead to the loss of function of the tumor suppressor gene ARID1B, which is a subunit of the SWI/SNF complex. Although the exact function of ARID1B in melanoma has not been investigated, 13% of melanomas have a loss-of-function mutation in a component of the SWI/SNF complex, suggesting that the chromatin remodeling complex plays a role in melanoma tumorigenesis. Although the oncogenic contribution of these genetic alterations remains speculative until they are functionally characterized, our findings, together with the complex nature of translocation events seen in the tumor samples, suggest that spitzoid tumors are enriched with structural rearrangements.

Notably, no structural rearrangement was identified in 1 spitzoid tumor in our study (Table 1; patient 6), even though the exonic coverage for this sample was comparable to that of other specimens (Supplementary Table 1). Therefore, we speculate that in a subset of spitzoid neoplasms, mechanisms other than translocations can activate oncogenes. Whole-genome sequencing can give further insights into the oncogenic mechanisms of these tumors.

In summary, we demonstrate complex and heterogeneous structural rearrangements in spitzoid tumors by transcriptome sequencing by using FFPE tissue. The heterogeneity of the fusion transcripts observed by RNA sequencing correlates with the morphologic and clinical diversity of this group of melanocytic tumors. The association between TERT promoter mutations or telomerase expression with outcomes in patients with spitzoid melanocytic tumors requires further studies.

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1. Spitzoid melanoma with the *EML4–BRAF* fusion transcript

Analysis of a tumor sample from a 14 year-old male (*patient 1*) with a 1.3-mm-thick spitzoid melanoma with microscopic sentinel lymph node metastasis at diagnosis and death as a result of disseminated disease in 18 months. (A, B) H&E photomicrographs (10× and 40×) of the primary tumor show compound proliferation of epithelioid and spindle-shaped spitzoid melanocytes arranged in confluent junctional nests with ulceration. (C) *TERT* mRNA ISH shows bright intracellular signals in melanocytes in this *TERT* promoter mutant melanoma. (D, E) Break-apart FISH shows splits of the red and green signals, which is
consistent with the rearrangement of *BRAF* and *EML4*, respectively. (F) Schematic figure depicting the reciprocal translocation between 2p21 and 7q34, resulting in the chimeric products *EML4–BRAF* and *BRAF–EML4*. (G) The in-frame fusion transcript produced by joining exon 6 of *EML4* (NM_019063, chr2:42491872) to exon 10 of *BRAF* (NM_004333, chr7:140482957). (H) The fusion transcript results in a 596-amino-acid chimeric protein containing the intact kinase domain of BRAF. (I) The RNA transcript contig shows the fusion breakpoint in the *EML4–BRAF* chimeric gene.
Figure 2. Spitzoid neoplasm with the \textit{BAIAP2L1–BRAF} fusion transcript

Analysis of a tumor sample from a 4-year-old African-American female (patient 2) with a 7.8-mm-thick spitzoid melanocytic proliferation on her thigh, sentinel lymph node metastasis at diagnosis, and no evidence of disease at 53 months of follow-up. (A) H&E photomicrograph (4×) showing a dome-shaped proliferation of vertically oriented fascicles of spindled melanocytes throughout the reticular dermis. (B) \textit{TERT} mRNA ISH shows no detectable intracellular signals beyond the background expression in this wild-type \textit{TERT} promoter melanocytic tumor. (C) Break-apart FISH shows the rearrangement of \textit{BRAF} with

\begin{align*}
\text{BAIAP2L1} & \rightarrow \text{BRAF} \\
\text{Chromosome 7} & \quad q21.3-q22.1 \\
\text{BAIAP2L1} & \quad q34
\end{align*}
loss of the 5 end (red signal), consistent with a complex translocation. (D) Break-apart FISH for BAIAP2L1 shows splits of the red and green signals. (E) Schematic representation of the BAIAP2L1–BRAF fusion, showing that the fusion occurred by tandem duplication at 7q21.3–7q34. (F) The fusion transcript is formed by joining exon 12 of BAIAP2L1 (NM_018842, chr7:97933507) to exon 9 of BRAF (NM_004333, chr7:140487384). (G) The fusion product is an 860-amino-acid chimeric protein containing the entire kinase domain of BRAF. (H) The RNA transcript contig shows the fusion breakpoint in the chimeric BAIAP2L1–BRAF transcript.
Figure 3. Multiple isoforms of the TPM3–NTRK1 fusion transcripts in 2 spitzoid melanocytic tumors

(A) Photograph of a 2-year-old boy (patient 3) with an amelanotic exophytic nodule on the thigh, which was clinically thought to be a pyogenic granuloma. (B) H&E photomicrograph (4×) of the upper part of the lesion shows fascicles of spindled and epithelioid melanocytes arranged in whorls and nests with edema of the papillary dermis with telangiectasia. There were lymphovascular invasion and focal necrosis (not shown). (C) H&E photomicrograph (4×) of the bottom part of the lesion shows dumbbell-shaped configuration and deep extension into the subcutaneous fat. (D and F) H&E photomicrographs (4×) of the top and bottom parts of the lesion show the presence of the fusion transcript 5′-NTRK1-3′.
bottom portions of a lesion on the ear of a 6-year-old female (patient 5) showing nests of polygonal shaped epithelioid melanocytes at high magnification (inset in E) that extend throughout the dermis and far into the basal line of resection margin in subcutis. (G, H) Break-apart FISH for NTRK1 shows split signals in both spitzoid tumors. (I–K) The NTRK1 fusion gene encoded 2 variant TPM3–NTRK1 isoforms in patient 3 and a third isoform in patient 5. All isoforms retained the intact tyrosine kinase domain of NTRK1.
Figure 4. Complex genomic rearrangements involving ALK, TPM3, and IL6R
Spitzoid melanocytic neoplasm in the calf of a 13-year-old female (patient 4). (A) H&E photomicrograph showing nests of spindled melanocytes with ulceration. (B) ALK immunoreactivity highlights a fascicular architecture in the dermis. (C, D) Break-apart FISH for ALK and IL6R confirms rearrangements in the respective genes. (E–H) Schematic of the TPM3–ALK fusion gene. The first 222 amino acids of TPM3 are fused to the C terminus of ALK, incorporating the intact tyrosine kinase domain of ALK. The remaining TPM3 protein
is fused to the IL6R protein. The identification of multiple fusions involving \textit{TPM3} suggests a complex translocation event.
Figure 5. Spitzoid melanoma with a TERT promoter mutation and fatal outcome
An 11-year-old girl (patient 7) presented with a lesion on her thigh with an initial histologic diagnosis of a Spitz nevus, bulky nodal metastasis in 6 months, and disseminated disease and death 24 months after diagnosis. (A) Scanning magnification shows closely packed nests of spindle-shaped melanocytes with pseudoepitheliomatous hyperplasia and telangiectasia in the upper dermis. (B) The lower half of the lesion shows dense cellularity, sheet-like arrangement, and deep extension into subcutis. (C) Intermediate magnification shows confluent fascicles of spindle shaped melanocytes. (D) Metastatic lung nodule composed of morphologically similar spindled melanocytes.
| Case | Patient 1 | Patient 2 | Patient 3 | Patient 4 | Patient 5 | Patient 6 | Patient 7 |
|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Age  | 14y 0m    | 4y 2m     | 2y        | 13y       | 6y        | 2y        | 11y 2m    |
| Gender | M          | F         | M         | F         | F         | M         | F         |
| Primary site | back        | leg       | thigh     | calf      | ear       | knee      | thigh     |
| Thickness (mm) | 1.3         | 7.8       | 8.2       | 4         | 9.1       | 13.3      | 7         |
| Ulcer | Y          | N         | N         | Y         | N         | Y         | Y         |
| Mitotic rate | 5          | 5         | 8         | 3         | 7         | 1         | 7         |
| Diameter (mm) | 11         | 10        | 17        | 9         | 11        | 15        | 12        |
| SLN metastasis | Y          | N         | Y         | N         | N         | Y (mac)   | N         |
| Outcome | DOD 18m  | NED 53m   | NED 20m   | NED 6m    | NED 18m   | NED 72m   | NED 24m   |
| Fusion transcript by RNA-Seq | EML4-BRAF, BRAF-EML4 | BAIAP2L1-BRAF, ARID1B-SNX9 | TPM3-NTRK1, PTPRZ1-NFAM1 | TPM3-ALK, IL6R-TPM3, IL6 | Intergenic | TPM3-NTRK1 | None | Failed |
| BRAF mutation | Negative   | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  |
| NRAS mutation | Negative   | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  |
| TERT promoter mutation | −124C>T | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | −124C>T |
| TERT mRNA ISH | Positive  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Negative  | Failed    |

Abbreviations: M: male; F: female; y: years old; m: months; SLN: sentinel lymph node; Y: yes; mac: macrometastasis; NP: not performed; DOD: dead of disease; NED: no evidence of disease; ISH: in situ hybridization.