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**Background:** Gram negative antibiotic resistance is increasing worldwide as both Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CREs) and *Enterobacteriaceae* producing extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) become more common. **Objective:** We analyzed clinicians’ knowledge regarding resistant gram-negative organisms with respect to infection control practices, prescribing practices and assessment of their patients’ risk for resistant infections. **Design:** Online survey **Participants:** Target population included clinicians who prescribe antibiotics i.e. medical doctors and mid-level practitioners, at three Massachusetts hospitals. **Methods:** Questionnaires were sent to 3 Tufts-affiliated teaching hospitals to assess level of knowledge and elucidate perceptions about gram-negative resistance. **Results:** We received 434 responses from 3332 non-infectious disease clinicians (13%) surveyed at the three hospitals. 51.1% of clinicians correctly scored 50% or greater on the knowledge questions. Internal medicine clinicians had higher knowledge scores than non-internal medicine clinicians (62% vs 45%; OR=1.67, p= 0.02). Clinicians within three years of training had higher scores than those with more than 10 years of training (64.3% vs 44% OR=2.3, p=0.002). Clinicians with fewer years since training and those with higher knowledge scores were more likely to appropriately consider certain patients at risk for resistant infections (p<0.05). 54.4% of clinicians were very concerned about gram-negative antibiotic resistance. 64.6% of clinicians felt comfortable de-escalating antibiotics as cultures are available. **Conclusion:** We found overall low knowledge scores and much variability in the way clinicians assess whether certain patient populations are at risk for antibiotic resistance. Internal medicine clinicians and those with fewer years since completion of their training scored higher and more appropriately considered patients at risk for resistance. The majority of clinicians are concerned about gram-negative resistance and indicated they would de-escalate antibiotic therapy if they had susceptibility information. These results will help focus and target our teaching and awareness-raising strategies.
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Abstract

Background: Gram negative antibiotic resistance is increasing worldwide as both Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CREs) and Enterobacteriaceae producing extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) become more common.

Objective: We analyzed clinicians’ knowledge regarding resistant gram-negative organisms with respect to infection control practices, prescribing practices and assessment of their patients’ risk for resistant infections.

Design: Online survey

Participants: Target population included clinicians who prescribe antibiotics i.e. medical doctors and mid-level practitioners, at three Massachusetts hospitals.

Methods: Questionnaires were sent to 3 Tufts-affiliated teaching hospitals to assess level of knowledge and elucidate perceptions about gram- negative resistance.

Results: We received 434 responses from 3332 non-infectious disease clinicians (13%) surveyed at the three hospitals. 51.1% of clinicians correctly scored 50% or greater on the knowledge questions. Internal medicine clinicians had higher knowledge scores than non-internal medicine clinicians (62% vs 45%; OR=1.67, p= 0.02). Clinicians within three years of training had higher scores than those with more than 10 years of training (64.3% vs 44% OR=2.3, p=0.002).

Clinicians with fewer years since training and those with higher knowledge scores were more likely to appropriately consider certain patients at risk for resistant infections (p<0.05). 54.4% of clinicians were very concerned about gram-negative antibiotic resistance. 64.6% of clinicians felt comfortable de-escalating antibiotics as cultures are available.

Conclusion: We found overall low knowledge scores and much variability in the way clinicians assess whether certain patient populations are at risk for antibiotic resistance. Internal medicine clinicians and those with fewer years since completion of their training scored higher and more appropriately considered patients at risk for resistance. The majority of clinicians are concerned...
about gram-negative resistance and indicated they would de-escalate antibiotic therapy if they
had susceptibility information. These results will help focus and target our teaching and
awareness-raising strategies.
Background

Antibiotic resistance is increasing worldwide. While much focus has been on gram-positive organisms such as Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), concern is growing regarding more extensive antimicrobial resistance in gram-negative organisms.

Carbapenems, including imipenem, ertapenem, meropenem, and now doripenem, have been used increasingly over the past decade to treat infections due to Enterobacteriaceae producing extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs). Emergence of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) is worrisome, particularly since there are limited antibiotic options to treat such infections, many of which are associated with significant adverse events. Not only are drug options limited, it has been shown that patients infected with CREs suffer a 3-fold increased mortality compared to patients with infection due to a susceptible strain.

While infection control practices have been shown to decrease the spread of resistance during outbreaks, given the limited antibiotic choices to treat these infections, awareness and prevention by clinicians is imperative in preventing further spread of this epidemic. Five-hundred and three physicians were surveyed in a University in France regarding antimicrobial resistance: 98% of physicians identified antimicrobial resistance as a national problem, yet only 74% of surveyed physicians felt it affected their daily practice. Interns reported more training in antibiotic resistance than senior physicians (59% vs 34%). This study focused on MRSA, a gram positive organism, rather than gram-negative resistance such as ESBLs or CREs. There is a need to better understand the general knowledge and practice of healthcare practitioners in relation to the more recent and rapidly evolving gram-negative resistance problem. This information can be used so antimicrobial stewardship teams and infection disease specialists may identify knowledge gaps and inappropriate practices to better focus their educational efforts. With improved education regarding appropriate risk assessment and prescribing practice, the further development of resistance may be slowed.
Methods

We conducted an online survey using the SurveyMonkey® platform to better understand the knowledge and practice of health care practitioners regarding resistant gram-negative organisms. Responses were collected from September, 2011 through January 2012. The survey was sent to three hospitals in the Boston, Massachusetts metropolitan area: Tufts Medical Center, Saint Elizabeth’s Medical Center, and Baystate Medical Center. Each hospital is an academic institution affiliated with Tufts Medical School. Tufts Medical Center is a 415 bed tertiary care center located in downtown Boston. Saint Elizabeth’s Medical Center is 272 bed hospital located in a Boston suburb. Baystate Medical Center is a 716 bed facility located in western Massachusetts. During the year of the survey, in 2011, the rate of ESBL identification amongst isolates of Escherichia coli, Klebsiella oxytoca, and Klebsiella pneumoniae at these three hospitals ranged from 3-6% and there were no CREs identified. The survey was approved by all hospitals’ respective International Review Boards. Consent was inferred when a subject completed the survey. The survey was sent electronically by email list serves, accessed through the respective employee affairs’ offices. In order to increase survey response, participants were entered into a raffle to win an Amazon gift card. Data was analyzed using SPSS for frequency tables and SAS 9.2 for multivariable logistic regression. Please see appendix for the survey in its entirety.

Results

The survey was sent to 3332 clinicians at the three hospitals and 434 (13%) complete responses were received. Note 15 responses from Infectious Disease physicians were removed leaving 419 responses for analysis (194 from Tufts Medical Center, 177 from Baystate Medical Center and 48 from Saint Elizabeth’s Medical Center). The survey was analyzed in the 5 following sections (in order of taking the survey): knowledge, opinion, risk perception, prescribing practices, review practices.
The characteristics of the respondents are displayed in Table 1.

**Knowledge assessment**

Knowledge questions were analyzed using a composite score from the six survey knowledge questions: respondents were divided into those that scored 50% or higher on the composite score and those that did not. Overall, 51.1% of clinicians scored 50% or higher on the knowledge questions (range 0% to 100%). However, 62% of internal medicine (IM) trained clinicians scored 50% or higher on their composite knowledge score compared to 45% of non-IM trained clinicians. (OR=1.67 p=0.02) In addition, a significantly larger percentage of clinicians who were within 3 years since completion of their training scored 50% or higher on their composite knowledge score compared to practitioners with >10 years of experience (figure 1, OR=2.3, p=0.002); there was no difference in higher scores between physicians within 3 years since completion compared with clinicians within 3-10 years since completion (p=0.85).

**Opinion assessment**

The majority of respondents were concerned about gram-negative resistance with 54.4% very concerned, and just 3.6% not knowing about gram-negative resistance prior to taking the survey. The majority of respondents (51.6%) did not agree with the following statement: “There are sufficient new antibiotics in development to treat resistant gram negative infections”, however 21.6% of clinicians reported having “no idea” what their opinion was regarding the statement.

**Risk perception assessment**

The majority of clinicians correctly identified patients at risk for resistant infections: dialysis patients (69.5%), patients residing in nursing homes (66.9%), and patients admitted within the past 30 days (66.2%), however overall scores were still low (figure 2). There was a correlation between knowledge scores and risk consideration with a higher proportion of clinicians who scored higher on the knowledge questions correctly considering patients at risk for resistance compared with clinicians who scored lower on the knowledge questions. For example,
66.8% of clinicians with higher knowledge scores correctly identified dialysis patients at risk for resistance compared with 51% of clinicians with lower knowledge scores (OR 1.6 p=0.02). This pattern was also demonstrated in clinicians recently completing training; clinicians within 3 years of completing training were more likely to correctly identify patients at risk for infections compared to clinicians more than 10 years out of training. There was no difference in risk consideration practice between clinicians within 3 years since training completion compared with those within 3-10 years since training completion, except when considering nursing home patients.

**Prescribing practices**

When prescribing antibiotics, 81.5% of clinicians consider if their patients are risk for resistant infections at least half the time; only 1.9% of clinicians never consider if their patients are at risk for resistant infections. The most common means of risk consideration is to review prior microbiology reports (77.5% of clinicians) followed by consideration of recent contact with healthcare environment (67%), review of prior antibiotic exposures (65%), and review of prior notes or discharge summaries (50.2%). In addition, 71.2% of clinicians call a specialist such as infectious disease or antimicrobial management teams less than half the time when prescribing antibiotics, and only 2% always call a specialist when prescribing antibiotics.

**Review practices**

When prescribing antibiotics, the majority of clinicians review antimicrobial history. While 86.4% of clinicians review antimicrobial reports pertaining to the current infection at least 50% of the time, 62.4% of practitioners review antimicrobials reports that do not pertain to the current infection (old reports) at least 50% of the time. The most common reason for not reviewing the reports was when the records are not available.

When reviewing microbiological records, the majority of clinicians (57.3%) reported using the susceptibility designation (“susceptible/intermediate/resistant”) to determine what
antimicrobial to order, and only 34.4% of clinicians reported looking at mean inhibitory concentration (MIC) values.

In addition, 64.6% of clinicians felt comfortable de-escalating antibiotics as cultures are available.

**Discussion**

In the United States at least two million people are infected with resistant organisms resulting in 23,000 deaths, with most deaths occurring in healthcare settings. (5) Given healthcare practitioners are at the cornerstone of antibiotic prescribing, caring for patients admitted to the hospital, and assessing their risk for resistant infections, it is imperative to understand clinicians’ baseline knowledge, prescribing practices, and risk assessment strategies. In this analysis we found that overall, health care practitioners in internal medicine were more knowledgeable about ESBL and CRE definitions and treatments compared to clinicians not employed in internal medicine. We also found that clinicians with fewer years since completion of their training had more knowledge than clinicians farther out from training.

It appears adequate concern exists regarding gram-negative resistance, however, there is still a large proportion of clinicians that are not aware about the lack of new drugs in development to treat such infections.

While the majority of clinicians consider if their patients are at risk for resistant infections prior to prescribing antibiotics, and use the appropriate means to consider patients’ risk, the type of patients they consider at risk is variable. Most clinicians appropriately considered dialysis patients, nursing home patients, and patients admitted within the previous 30 days at risk for resistant infections, however, higher knowledge scores and fewer years of experience correlated with appropriate consideration of some groups of patients at risk for resistant infections. This validates our findings that not only are internal medicine trained clinicians and those with fewer
years since training completion more knowledgeable about ESBL and CRE definitions, they are more appropriately considering the patients at risk for these resistant infections.

When reviewing reports, clinicians often review reports pertaining to the current infection, but do not review old reports sufficiently. In addition, the majority of clinicians do not interpret MIC values, which would not be expected from a non-infectious disease specialist. However, given the majority of clinicians do not call a specialist when prescribing antibiotics and feel comfortable de-escalating antibiotics, perhaps educational efforts should be directed toward MIC interpretation.

Limitations include the survey sampled. While previous studies have demonstrated >50% of hospital is Massachusetts have identified CREs (6), higher rates exist in areas such as New York City. While we would expect higher levels of awareness in such areas, given the increasing concern for resistance and rapid spread, it is important to educate early and prior to resistance rates reaching epidemic thresholds.

In summary, we found many gaps in knowledge, and a great deal of variability in opinions, and risk assessment practices, in health care practitioners in regards to resistant gram-negative infections. While it is not surprising that internal medicine employees performed better overall, with higher knowledge scores and more appropriate risk perception, it is enlightening that clinicians with fewer years since training completion fared better in many areas than clinicians with more years of experience, which likely relates to the relatively new and increasing concern for antibiotic resistance. The information from this survey will help focus and target our teaching and awareness-raising strategies through existing programs such as orientation teachings sessions, resident and attending targeted education conferences, and weekly emails.
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Characteristics of survey respondents
Table 1: Characteristics of Survey Respondents (N=419)

| Characteristic                  | No. (%) |
|--------------------------------|---------|
| **Hospital**                   |         |
| Tufts Medical Center           | 194 (46.3) |
| Baystate Medical Center        | 177 (42.2) |
| Saint Elizabeth's Medical Center | 48 (11.5) |
| **MD/DO**                      | 372 (88.8) |
| **Years of Practice**          |         |
| <3                              | 129 (30.8) |
| 3 to 10                         | 106 (25.3) |
| >10                             | 184 (43.9) |
| **Specialty***                 |         |
| Internal Medicine               | 213 (51.1) |
| Surgery                         | 46 (11)  |
| Pediatrics                      | 67 (16.1) |
| Ob/Gyn                          | 26 (6.2)  |
| Other (Psychiatry, Neurology, Radiology, Anesthesia, Radiation/Oncology, Emergency Medicine, PMR) | 65 (15.6) |

*Note two missing values from specialty (N=417)
Figure 1

Proportion of healthcare practitioners with knowledge scores >50%, by years of experience

![Graph showing proportion of healthcare practitioners with knowledge scores >50%](image)
Antibiotic resistance is increasing worldwide. Much focus has been on gram-positive organism resistance. We are interested in the recently recognized rise in gram-negative organism resistance and therefore are conducting a survey of all physicians, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants regarding their knowledge of and practice regarding such organisms at Tufts Medical Center and four other Tufts-associated hospitals. While participation in this survey is voluntary, your responses will be used to research, prevent, and treat resistant organisms. The survey consists of 20-25 questions, depending on your responses, and will take you between 10-12 minutes to complete. In addition, by providing your email in a separate link, so to keep your responses anonymous, your name will be entered into a raffle to win one of three $150 Amazon gift cards.

Please email any questions or comments to Evangeline Thibodeau at Ethibodeau@tuftsmedicalcenter.org.

Thank you for your time and participation in this project.

1) What is your professional title?
   a) MD
   b) DO
   c) NP
   d) PA
   e) other: please specify

2) What year did you finish your education? For example, when did you graduate medical school or finish your NP/PA training? ________________

3) Since completing your education, how many years have you been practicing your current specialty? ________________

4) What department do you currently work in?
   a) Internal Medicine
   b) Internal Medicine subspecialty: please specify ____________
   c) Surgery
   d) Surgical subspecialty: please specify ____________
   e) Pediatrics
   f) Pediatrics subspecialty: please specify ____________
   g) Psychiatry
   h) Obstetrics/Gynecology
   i) Neurology
   j) Other: please specify ____________

5) Since finishing your education, have you worked in other specialties other than your current department?
   a) No, I have only practiced in my current department
   b) Yes
6) If yes to question 5, what other specialties have you previously worked in? (check all that apply)
a) Internal Medicine
b) Internal Medicine subspecialty: please specify _____________
c) Surgery
d) Surgical subspecialty: please specify _____________
e) Pediatrics
f) Pediatrics subspecialty: please specify _____________
g) Psychiatry
h) Obstetrics/Gynecology
i) Neurology
j) Other: please specify __________

The following questions are to assess your knowledge and practice regarding treatment of resistant gram-negative organisms. We expect that various areas of expertise and level of training will affect your knowledge of and experience with treating such infections. However to better understand the gaps in knowledge and where to focus our teaching efforts, we would like you to answer the following questions as honestly as possible. The results of this survey in no way will be graded or identified. They will be kept confidential and only a summary of the results will be reported in a scientific nature.

7) What is an extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing organism?
a) An organism that is resistant to Vancomycin
b) An organism that carries an enzyme conferring resistance to most beta-lactam antibiotics including penicillins, cephalosporins, and monobactams such as aztreonam
c) An organism that produces an enzyme conferring resistance to all quinolone antibiotics.
d) An organism that is resistant to all cephalosporins except the 4th generation cephalosporins such as cefipime but is sensitive other beta-lactam antibiotics such as monobactams (i.e. aztreonam)
e) An organism that produces an enzyme that makes it is sensitive only to Daptomycin
f) Do not know

8) What are Carbapenem Resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE)?
a) Organisms that produces an enzyme conferring resistance to both Vancomycin and Daptomycin
b) Organisms that produce an enzyme conferring resistance to all cephalosporins and all quinolones
c) Organisms that produces an enzyme conferring resistance to most β-lactam antibiotics including penicillins, cephalosporins, and monobactams such as aztreonam.
d) Organisms that produces an enzyme conferring resistance to all β-lactam
molecules including carbapenems such as Meropenem and Ertapenem.
e) Do not know

9) What is an example of a CRE?
a) New Delhi Metallo-protease (NDM)
b) Athens beta-lactamase (ABL)
c) Klebsiella producing carbapenamase (KPC)
d) b and c
e) a and c
f) all of the above
g) Do not know

10) If your patient was infected with an ESBL, what antibiotic or class of antibiotics would be most appropriate therapy? (check all that apply)
a) 4th Generation cephalosporins such as cefipime
b) Quinolones
c) Tigecycline
d) Daptomycin
e) Carbapenems such as Meropenem or Ertapenem
f) Colistin
g) Do not know

11) If your patient was infected with a CRE, what antibiotic or class of antibiotics would be most appropriate therapy? (check all that apply)
a) 4th Generation cephalosporins such as cefipime
b) Quinolones
c) Tigecycline
d) Daptomycin
e) Carbapenems such as Meropenem or Ertapenem
f) Colistin
g) Do not know

12) Which of the following statements best describes your opinion regarding gram negative resistance?
a) I didn’t know there was gram-negative resistance until I took this survey
b) I am not concerned about gram negative resistance
c) I am a little concerned about gram-negative resistance
d) I am moderately concerned about gram-negative resistance
e) I am very concerned about gram-negative resistance

13) How strongly do you agree with the following statement: “There are sufficient new antibiotics in development to treat resistant gram negative infections:
a) I do not agree with this statement at all
b) I agree with this statement a little
c) I agree with this statement a lot
d) I agree with this statement completely
e) I have no idea

14) Prior to prescribing or ordering any antibiotic how often do you consider whether your patient is at risk for a resistant organism?
   a) never
   b) sometimes but less than half of the time
   c) about half of the time
   d) more often than not
   e) always
   f) I have never prescribed or ordered an antibiotic

15) If yes to 14 (answer b-e), how do you determine if they are at risk? (check all that apply)
   a) Review prior microbiological reports
   b) Review prior antibiotic exposures
   c) Review prior discharge summaries and outpatient notes
   d) Consider recent contact with the healthcare environment
   e) Other: please explain

16) What patients or populations do you consider at risk for resistant organisms? (check all that apply)
   a) Patients on hemodialysis
   b) Patients who reside in a nursing home
   c) Patients who have received antibiotics in the past year
   d) Patients who have been admitted to the hospital within 30 days
   e) Community-residing patients who have not received antibiotics within 90 days
   f) Immunocompromised patients
   g) Patients in the ICU
   h) None of the above
   i) All of the above

17) Prior to prescribing an antibiotic for your patient how often do you review their antimicrobial history that does not pertain to the current infection?
   a) never
   b) sometimes but less than half of the time
   c) about half of the time
   d) more often than not
   e) always

18) When you do not always review the antimicrobial history (answer a-d to 17), what are the reasons? (check all that apply)
   a) I don’t know how to interpret them
   b) Not enough time
   c) I always call a specialist to help me when I need it
   d) When the records are not available
   e) I do not consider them relevant
19) Prior to prescribing an antibiotic for your patient how often do you review the antimicrobial history for the current infection?
   a) never
   b) sometimes but less than half of the time
   c) about half of the time
   d) more often than not
   e) always

20) When you do not always review the current record (answer a-d to 19), what are the reasons? (check all that apply)
   a) I don’t know how to interpret them
   b) Not enough time
   c) I always call a specialist to help me
   d) When the records are not available
   e) I do not consider them relevant
   e) Other: please explain________

21) When you review the microbiological records, which of the following methods do you use most often?
   a) I never review the microbiological reports
   b) I look at the drugs that are reported as sensitive and use those
   c) I look at the MIC cutoffs to determine which drugs are sensitive
   d) I look at the MIC cutoffs of each drug and see if it is appropriate for the bacteria I want to treat
   e) I call the micro lab to discuss the data
   f) Other: please explain

22) If you have started empiric antibiotics, prior to knowing results of the culture data, what best describes your practice of deescalating or targeting antibiotics when the culture and susceptibility report is later available?
   a) I never deescalate or target antibiotics
   b) I call for help from a specialist such as an ID consult or the Antimicrobial Management Team (AMT) the majority of the time
   c) I feel fairly comfortable reviewing the report and changing antibiotics myself the majority of the time
   d) Other: Please explain

23) When prescribing an antibiotic for any reason, how often do you call a specialist such as an ID consult or AMT?
   a) never
   b) sometimes but less than half of the time
   c) about half of the time
   d) more often than not
   e) always
24) What is the main reason you call an ID or AMT consult when prescribing antibiotics (excluding the requirement for antimicrobial approval)?

_________________________________________  

Separate link for the following question:
25) Please provide your email address if you wish to enter into the raffle:___________
Figure 2

Proportion of healthcare practitioners recognizing risk factors for resistance
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