Insufficient information on the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) has made it more difficult for the world to tackle its continuous implosion. Meteorological and environmental factors, in both laboratory and epidemiological studies, have been reported to affect the survival and transmission of the virus. In this study, the possible effects of location-specific meteorological parameters in a tropical climate on new daily COVID-19 infection (NDI) are investigated in Kuala Lumpur from 14 March 2020 to 31 August 2020. A generalized additive model (GAM) was imposed on ambient temperature ($T$) and absolute humidity ($AH$) to explore their nonlinear relationship with NDI. Piecewise linear regression was then used to further discern the relationships below and above the threshold values of both $T$ and $AH$. The relationship between $T$ and NDI, which was linear and statistically significant for $T > 29.7^\circ C$, showed that each unit rise in temperature increases NDI by about 3.210% (CI: 1.372–7.976). $AH$ had a more pronounced linear association with NDI for $AH \leq 22.6 \text{g/m}^3$ but tended to flatten the exposure-response curve above this value. A 1 g/m$^3$ increase in $AH$ increases NDI by 3.807% (CI: 2.064–5.732). Generally, the results indicated a positive association between $T$ and NDI, particularly above 29.7$^\circ C$, while the association with $AH$ showed a stronger positive relationship below 22.6 g/m$^3$. The implication of this is that COVID-19 could not be suppressed on account of warmer weather as such public health interventions remain imperative.

1. Introduction

Earlier in 2020, cases of a novel coronavirus were witnessed across China, after which the World Health Organization (WHO), on 31 December 2019, announced it was in receipt of information on an epidemic with unidentified etiology, originating from Wuhan, China [1, 2]. The novel disease, which is associated with the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), is acknowledged to be highly infectious with consequent public health emergencies. On March 11, 2020, WHO declared SARS-CoV-2 a pandemic due to its high transmissive nature with increased global mobility and officially renamed it COVID-19 [3].

COVID-19 is believed to be transmitted directly from a human to another through respiratory droplets from an infected person (sneezing, coughing, laughing, and, to a large extent, talking) to a healthy person within a range of one metre. A healthy person can also be indirectly infected via contaminated surfaces [1, 4, 5]. The maintenance of social distancing of at least one metre, the avoidance of nose-, mouth-, and eye-touching, and frequent handwashing/sanitizing are, therefore, important steps to mitigate the infection rate [3]. According to clinical diagnosis, COVID-19 patients present symptoms similar to other coronaviruses like severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) [6]. Although it is widely acknowledged that COVID-19 is transmitted through human respiratory droplets and direct contact, the potential for viral aerosol transmission and the role of weather parameters is an ongoing debate.
Many studies have associated the spread of COVID-19 with a wide range of factors, among which are weather-related conditions [7–10], including environmental factors such as air pollution index (API) [11, 12]. The survival and transmission of the virus by droplets from infected persons are considered to be optimal in dry and cold weather conditions [13]. Studies investigating the effects of meteorological conditions on COVID-19 transmission since the beginning of the year 2020 have largely been conducted in China [14, 15], Iran [16], Europe, and the United States of America [17]. These reports and others have contradictory conclusions on the relationship between meteorological factors and COVID-19 transmission. For instance, Liu et al. [14], based on data from 130 Chinese cities between 20 January and 2 March 2020, concluded that lower temperatures and humidity favor its transmission. However, Xie and Zhu [15], who investigated the relationship between daily mean temperature and newly confirmed COVID-19 cases in many cities in China, from 23 January to 29 February 2020, reported no evidence to suggest that case counts will decline in warm weather. Yao et al. [18], on the other hand, using the cumulative confirmed cases for 224 Chinese cities, as of 9 March 2020, claimed the nonexistence of correlation between COVID-19 and temperature or UV radiation. However, Bukhari and Jameel [17] analyzed the patterns of local weather of the global regions affected by COVID-19 and reported that most of the regions were within a certain range of temperature and absolute humidity. This is corroborated by Gupta et al. [8] who studied the effect of weather on COVID-19 in the United States (US) between 1 January and 9 April 2020. Temperature and absolute humidity have generally been reported as crucial weather indices associated with the spread of COVID-19, e.g. [8, 17].

Apart from the contradictory results on weather-COVID-19 relationship, it can be argued that the reported association could differ in tropical climates where both temperature and humidity are almost uniformly high all year round with consequent low variations. More so, the studies above covered very short periods of investigation, which has the tendency to impair the outcome of these investigations. Higher rates of COVID-19 in countries like Iran, Italy, and South Korea and in some states in the US share a similar temperature range of between 3 and 10°C [8, 17] with Hubei in China, the origin of the disease. The lower number of COVID-19 cases in Southeast Asian countries (e.g., Malaysia, Singapore, and Thailand) is attributed to warmer temperatures in the region [17]. It could, therefore, be inferred that, besides mobility and quarantining, other factors are inimical to rising cases of COVID-19 in a location.

In this study, the effects of location-specific weather parameters on new daily COVID-19 confirmed cases in Malaysia are explored. This is motivated by the significant differences in the growth rate of the disease found in different countries and cities, which is mostly attributed to different climatic features. More so, very few evaluations between weather parameters and the evolution of COVID-19 exist for the tropical climate regions [9, 11, 19]. It is imperative, therefore, to investigate if tropical weather parameters slow or aggravate the spread of the virus. The study specifically explores the association of temperature (T) and absolute humidity (AH) with new daily confirmed COVID-19 infections (NDI) in Kuala Lumpur. The choice of the study area is informed by its tropical location, higher cases of the disease, and its population density.

2. Data and Methods

2.1. Study Area. The first COVID-19 cases in Malaysia were recorded on 25 January 2020 in Johor Bharu, when some foreigners, whose destination was traced back to China [20], were symptomatic. The infection remained very low and largely confined to imported cases, not until the emergent of localized clusters, which spiked due to a religious gathering in Kuala Lumpur earlier in March 2020. The total number of infections in Malaysia, from 14 March 2020 to 31 August 2020, stood at 9,353, with Kuala Lumpur, Selangor, Negeri Sembilan, Johor, Sarawak, and Sabah recording higher cases in that order. Kuala Lumpur along with areas mentioned had 7,963 cumulative infections, representing over 85% of confirmed cases in Malaysia within this period (see Figure 1).

Kuala Lumpur, the capital city of Malaysia, is in west-central Peninsular Malaysia on latitude 3.141°N and longitude 101.687°E. It is the country’s largest urban area and its cultural, commercial, and transportation center. The climate is Af (tropical rainforest) under the Köppen climate classification, with high temperatures and humidity that vary little throughout the year. The arithmetic means of maximum, average, and minimum temperatures are, respectively, 35, 29, and 23.4°C, with an average relative humidity of 80%. The area receives about 2,400 mm of rain annually, with June and July as the driest months. Being a capital city, Kuala Lumpur is the main destination for investors, job seekers, and tourists. It is therefore the most densely populated city in Malaysia, with about 8 million people residing within 243 km², which represents 3.14% annual growth rate (https://worldpopulationreview.com/world-cities/kuala-lumpur-population). Analyzing the spread of COVID-19 transmission in relation to weather and environmental variables in Kuala Lumpur is important because of its economic relevance and population density. More so, Auler et al. [11] and Bashir et al. [12] have emphasized on the importance of evaluating weather parameters in a densely populated environment. As of 31 August 2020, the cumulative COVID-19 confirmed infection in Kuala Lumpur stood at 2,587, the highest in the country as shown earlier in Figure 1.

2.2. Data. Daily records of mean ambient temperature (°C), wind speed (m/s), and relative humidity (%), for the period 14 March to 31 August 2020, were retrieved from the archives of Weather Underground, available online at http://www.wunderground.com/. This popular and reliable online resource for meteorological data has been used extensively for atmospheric research applications [7, 19, 21, 22]. AH,
which defines the mass of water vapor per volume of air (g/m³), was considered because it is regarded as a better indicator of humidity in acute health effects [8, 23, 24]. Shaman and Kohn [24] reported that whereas AH can, respectively, explain 50% and 90% variations in influenza virus transmission and its survival, H can only explain 12% and 36% and that the epidemic influenza usually peaks during winter when low AH maximizes. Some studies, therefore, support the epidemiological notion that low AH (cold and dry weather) promotes the growth of droplet-mediated virus, while higher AH (warm and humid conditions) attenuates the viral transmission [24, 25]. Daily mean value of AH (g/m³) was, therefore, computed for the study period using Clausius-Clapeyron relationship given in equation (1):

$$AH = 2.1674H \frac{6.112e^{(17.67T/T+243.5)}}{T + 273.15},$$  

(1)

where $T$ and $H$ are the temperature and relative humidity, respectively.

Hourly API data, which is based on mean concentrations of PM$_{10}$, O$_3$, CO, SO$_2$, and NO$_2$, was obtained from the air quality division of the Malaysian Department of Environment. The hourly API data for Kuala Lumpur, from the 2 background monitoring stations (Batu Muda and Cheras), covering the study period, was extracted and rescaled to daily means for compatibility with COVID-19 daily cases. Secondary data on COVID-19 cases (cumulative and daily) for the states/cities in Malaysia was obtained from the official website of the Ministry of Health, Malaysia: http://covid-19.moh.gov.my/, from which the data for Kuala Lumpur were extracted.

2.3. Statistical Evaluation. Descriptive analysis was performed for all datasets. Since the main objective of this study is to explore the association of COVID-19 new daily infection, hereafter NDI, with weather variables, Spearman correlation, a nonparametric test, was deployed. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient or rho ($r_s$) determines the direction and strength of the monotonic relationship between two variables and can be estimated using equation (2):

$$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)},$$  

(2)

where $d_i$ is the difference between the two ranks of each observation and $n$ is the number of observations. A perfectly positive or negative Spearman’s correlation coefficient is obtained when $r_s = \pm 1$. We used the generalized additive model (GAM) to study the effects of weather factors on NDI. GAM is a semiparametric extension of the generalized linear model, often used to explore the nonlinear relationships between weather parameters and health-related issues [15, 26, 27]. Since the effect of weather on COVID-19 could last several days [20], we considered a moving average approach to accommodate the cumulative lag. The moving average lag effect (lag0–7, lag0–14, lag0–21, and lag0–28) of $T$ and AH on NDI was, therefore, examined.

GAM with a Gaussian distribution link function, in which the basic model for NDI was first built without the meteorological variables, was implemented. We incorporated smoothed spline functions of time, which accommodate nonlinear and nonmonotonic patterns between NDI and time, thereby offering a flexible modelling tool. A measure of how well the model fitted the data was determined by Akaike’s information criterion. The penalized smoothing spline function was used to control the effects of confounding factors like time trends, day of week (DoW), and API, after which the meteorological factors were introduced and their effects on NDI analyzed in accordance with some time-series studies [27, 28]. The model was defined in equation (3):

$$\log E(Y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta X_i + s(t, k = df + 1) + s(API, k = df + 1) + DoW,$$

(3)

where $E(Y_i)$ is the expected number of NDI, $Y_i$ is the NDI for day $t$, and $t$ is the day of observation. $\beta_0$ and $\beta$ are the intercept and coefficient of regression, $X_i$ is the value of the
meteorological parameter on day \( t \), and \( s(\cdot) \) is the smooth function of time and API variables. The statistical analyses were two-sided at a 95% interval and were performed using the statistical software \( R \) (version 3.5.3) with mgcv (version 1.8–27) package. The effect estimates per one unit increase in the meteorological variables associated with NDI were expressed as percentage changes with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Descriptive Analysis of COVID-19 Cases and Weather Variables. Daily variations of weather parameters used in this study, from 14 March to 31 August 2020 and their descriptive statistics, are, respectively, presented in Figure 2 and Table 1. Within this period, a cumulative number of 2,587 confirmed cases of COVID-19 were recorded in the study area, during which temperature ranged from 25.7°C to 33.4°C with an average of 29.50 ± 1.13°C and a mean daily variation of 7.7 ± 1.59°C. The narrow variation in temperature is to be expected in a tropical climate, where seasonal distinction is not as conspicuous as obtained in the mid and high latitude regions. Wind speed was between 0.01 ± 0.04 and 4.81 ± 1.15 m/s with an average speed of 1.56 ± 0.47 m/s. Large daily variation (20.8 g/m³) of absolute humidity was obtained, where the means were confined within 13.90 ± 2.06 and 34.7 ± 2.96 g/m³, with the overall average being 22.7 ± 1.06 g/m³. Kuala Lumpur, within the study period, presented moderate API as seen in Table 1, with maximum, average, and minimum daily means being 69, 55, and 38, respectively. Although the air quality, within the period of investigation, was generally moderate in the area, the values were still higher than those obtained in most cities across Malaysia (not shown).

Cumulative infections and NDI in Kuala Lumpur, from 14 March to 31 August, are shown in Figure 2(a). NDI showed very high variation probably due to sub-notification cases of the pandemic in Malaysia. This conclusion is borne from the fact that there was no statistical mode for the registered NDI as shown earlier in Table 1. The number of new cases followed the same trend with the cumulative infections until 13 April when the trend became distorted, particularly from 4 June to 31 August, except for some spikes on 25 May and 3 June. For instance, the highest cumulative case on 31 August matched zero new daily infections. Daily infection peaked on 3 June 2020, with 204 NDI, resulting in 2,288 cumulative cases, after which the number dropped drastically flattening the cumulative curve. The drop in reported infection is perhaps due to measures adopted by the Malaysian authorities, during which quarantining and public lockdown were enforced, leading to the declaration of movement control order (MCO). As of 31 August 2020, there was no new infection and cumulative infection was 2,587. The relatively large number of COVID-19 cases in Kuala Lumpur, being the main economic hub for investors, job seekers, and tourists, is to be expected due to its dense human population [17, 29] among other factors like weather.

3.2. Relationship between Meteorological/Environmental Variables and NDI. Temperature, wind speed, absolute humidity, and air pollution index, from 14 March 2020 to 31 August 2020, have been correlated with NDI in Kuala Lumpur. Although the explanatory variables (meteorological and environmental) showed normal univariate distribution based on their kurtosis and asymmetry seen earlier in Table 1, which were within ±2 [30], we opted for a non-parametric approach to avoid possible autocorrelation issue. Spearman’s rank correlation test was, therefore, imposed on the variables, and the results are summarized in Table 2. \( T \) and AH showed respective significant positive correlations of 0.38 and 0.64 with NDI at the 1% level. Strong negative association was, however, observed for \( u \) (−0.36) and API (−0.35) also at the 1% level.

3.3. Effects of Meteorological Variables on NDI. The relationship between meteorological variables and NDI, after controlling the effects of API and \( u \), is shown in the exposure-response curves depicted in Figure 3. Generally, the relationship between \( T \) and NDI, seen in Figures 3(a), 3(c), 3(e), and 3(g), suggests a positive and significant nonlinear association (\( p < 0.05 \)) except for lag0–28, where the association is somewhat linear. A more conspicuous nonlinear influence on NDI was obtained for AH as seen in Figures 3(b), 3(d), 3(f), and 3(h). The initial postive response of NDI to increase in AH was linear until at a threshold value of 22.60 g/m³ when the relationship became nonsignificant, resulting in the observed flattened curve thereafter.

The model was subjected to sensitivity test by altering the degree of freedom (df) of the penalized smoothing spline function for calendar time as well as changing the df between 3 and 6 for both \( T \) and AH. Based on the nonlinear GAMs relationship between NDI and the meteorological variables, we carried out piecewise linear regression to further evaluate their effects in this sensitivity analysis. A piecewise linear regression was conducted using the respective threshold values of 29.7°C and 22.6 g/m³ to estimate the effects of \( T \) and AH on NDI above and below these knot points. The result of the piecewise regression, after controlling \( u \) and API, at the respective break points of \( T \) and AH for all the lags, including their corresponding confidence intervals (CIs) at the 95% level, is presented in Table 3.

It was observed that a 1°C rise in \( T \), with its value ≤ 29.7°C, led to corresponding changes of 1.024% (CI: 0.721–2.864) and 1.462% (CI: 0.191–3.170) in NDI for lag0–7 and lag0–28, respectively. However, within this temperature range, the positive effect of \( T \) on NDI was statistically nonsignificant for lag0–14 and lag0–21. Higher significant and positive responses in NDI for each 1°C rise in \( T \) were recorded for values above 29.7°C in all the lags, with lag0–28 presenting the greatest effect of 3.210% (CI: 1.372–7.976). The result also showed that the effect of absolute humidity on NDI at \( AH \) ≤ 22.6 g/m³ is generally positive and strongly significant at the 95% level. The greatest effect was observed in lag0–28, with 1% change in \( AH \) resulting in a 3.807% (CI: 2.064–5.732) increase in NDI. Although the effect of AH on
Figure 2: Daily variation of weather parameters and COVID-19 cases from 14 March to 31 August 2020 for Kuala Lumpur. (a) Cumulative and daily COVID-19 spread, (b) mean temperature and absolute humidity, and (c) wind speed and air pollution index.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of COVID-19 cases and weather variables in Kuala Lumpur from 14 March 2020 to 31 August 2020.

| Parameters                      | Mean ± SD | Minimum | Maximum | P (25) | Median | P (75) | Mode | Kurt. | Asy. |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|
| aNDI                            | 15 ± 25.30| 0       | 204     | 2      | 7      | 20     | –    | 17.7  | 3.6  |
| Temperature (°C)                | 29.5 ± 1.13| 26.39   | 31.67   | 28.90  | 29.72  | 30.6   | 30   | 0.3   | −0.8 |
| Wind speed (m/s)                | 1.56 ± 0.47| 0.72    | 3.04    | 1.16   | 1.52   | 1.88   | 1.03 | 0.7   | 1.0  |
| Absolute humidity (g/m³)        | 22.7 ± 1.06| 19.48   | 26      | 21.80  | 22.56  | 23.50  | 22.45| 0.2   | 1.0  |
| eAPI                            | 54 ± 6.74 | 38      | 69      | 50     | 55     | 58     | 47   | 0.5   | −0.2 |

aPercental. bKurtosis. cAsymmetry. dNew daily infection. eAir pollution index.
Table 2: Summary of Spearman’s rank correlation test on the association of new daily COVID-19 cases and weather parameters in Kuala Lumpur from 14 March 2020 to 31 August 2020.

|                          | NDI (°C) | T (°C) | u (m/s) | AH (g/m³) | API |
|--------------------------|----------|--------|---------|-----------|-----|
| New daily infection (NDI)| 1.00     |        |         |           |     |
| Temperature (T)          | 0.38**   | 1.00   |         |           |     |
| Wind speed (u)           | -0.36**  | 0.12   | 1.00    |           |     |
| Absolute humidity (AH)   | 0.64**   | 0.31** | -0.43** | 1.00      |     |
| Air pollution index (API)| -0.35**  | -0.01  | 0.29**  | -0.36**   | 1.00|

** p < 0.01.

![Figure 3: Continued.](image-url)
NDI above 22.6 g/m³ was positive, it was not statistically significant in all the lags.

4. Discussion

Previous studies have shown that temperature is an important factor in the spread of human coronaviruses, like MERS-CoV [31], SARS-CoV [32, 33], and COVID-19 [11, 12, 15, 19]. We therefore compare our main findings with the results of these studies. In a laboratory study, Chan et al. [32] found that SARS virus could stay for 5 days on a smooth surface at temperature between 22 and 25°C with a rapidly lost viability at higher temperatures of ∼38°C. Based on data from Beijing, Guangzhou, Hong Kong, and Taiyuan, Tan et al. [33] also reported that the optimum environmental temperature for SARS virus was between 16 and 28°C. Similarly, MERS-CoV has been reported to be highly unstable at high temperature [31]. Motivated by the significant differences in COVID-19 infections among different regions, Bukhari and Jameel [17] directly compared the spread of the virus and local environmental conditions globally. They concluded that mean temperatures between 3 and 10°C favour the growth rate of the virus, implying that higher temperatures could mitigate the infection, expectedly so, in warmer humid climates. Exploring the relationship between COVID-19 case counts and meteorological parameters in some cities in China, Liu et al. [14] indicated that the infection correlates negatively with temperature. They claimed that every 1°C rise in air temperature reduces daily infections by a cumulative relative risk rate of 0.80. Bashir et al. [12], however, reported a weak but statistically significant positive correlation between COVID-19 new cases and mean temperature in New York City.

Some of the studies cited above alluded to the conclusion that while COVID-19 may thrive in some optimal temperature, warmer temperature should suppress it. In this study, however, negative effect of high temperature on COVID-19 transmission was not observed within the period of evaluation. A possible reason could be that the study area exhibits higher temperature throughout the period of investigation, where the minimum mean value was ∼26°C with a maximum variation of 7.7°C. Our result is, however, consistent with similar association reported in Brazil [11] and Singapore [19] which have similar tropical climate. Pani et al. [19] explored the role of weather data on the transmission of COVID-19 in Singapore from 23 January to 31 May 2020 and found it to be positively associated with mean temperature. Also, Auler et al. [11], using principal component analysis, found that soaring viral infection is associated with rising temperatures. Moreover, Xie and Zhu [15] explored the relationship between ambient temperature and daily COVID-19 cases in 122 Chinese cities from 23 January to 29 February 2020 and reported that 1°C rise in temperature is associated with a 4.861% increase in the daily

### Table 3: The effects of 1°C and 1 g/m³ increases in mean temperature and absolute humidity on new daily COVID-19 infection.

| Lag      | Percent change in temperature (%) | 95% CI         | Percent change in absolute humidity (%) | 95% CI          |
|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|
| $T \leq 29.7°C$ |                                   |                |                                         |                 |
| lag0–7   | 1.024*                            | 0.721–2.864    | 2.218*                                  | 1.057–3.946     |
| lag0–14  | 0.891                             | 0.086–2.004    | 2.857*                                  | 1.724–4.078     |
| lag0–21  | 0.783                             | 0.014–2.164    | 2.936*                                  | 1.654–4.709     |
| lag0–28  | 1.462*                            | 0.191–3.170    | 3.807*                                  | 2.064–5.732     |
| $T > 29.7°C$ |                                  |                |                                         |                 |
| lag0–7   | 2.341*                            | 1.085–4.140    | 0.632                                   | 0.410–1.364     |
| lag0–14  | 2.604*                            | 1.326–5.647    | 0.479                                   | 0.173–1.042     |
| lag0–21  | 2.916*                            | 1.017–5.264    | 0.308                                   | 0.118–0.501     |
| lag0–28  | 3.210*                            | 1.372–7.976    | 0.108                                   | 0.084–0.401     |

*p < 0.05; CI is confidence interval for the change in parameters.

Figure 3: The exposure-response curves for the effects of temperature and absolute humidity on new daily COVID-19 infection. The x-axes are the mean temperature and absolute humidity for 7-day, 14-day, 21-day, and 28-day moving average. The y-axes indicate relative risk ratio (RR).
confirmed cases. However, they reported a threshold value of 3°C, beyond which the rate of infection becomes statistically insignificant. The association between temperature and new daily infections in Kuala Lumpur contradicts the findings of Yao et al. [18] who reported no correlation between daily cases of COVID-19 and temperature in China.

AH has also been reported to associate well with the transmission of coronavirus disease [8, 14, 17]. Bukhari and Jameel [17] analyzed global data from 20 January to 19 March 2020 and reported that COVID-19 transmission is more prevalent in areas where AH ranges between 3 and 9 g/m³. Similarly, Gupter et al. [8], using data from 1 January to 9 April 2020 in the US, reported a favorable range for the virus to stabilize as 4 < AH < 6 g/m³. Their conclusions, which implied that areas with high AH will experience low infections, may not be applicable in tropical regions. More so, the very short period of these investigations has the likelihood of impairing the reported findings. In our case, the significant positive influence of AH on NDI was nonlinear. The relationship was linear until at 22.60 g/m³ where a statistically nonsignificant negative response was observed. The implication of the positive correlation between the weather indicators and newly confirmed cases, in this study, indicates that COVID-19 could vanish due to public health interventions and not because of warmer weather. The public or governments could, therefore, not expect warmer climates to suppress this novel virus as canvassed in some instances.

We are, however, not unmindful of some limitations posed to our study. The study considered essentially the association of some weather factors on the evolution of COVID-19 daily infection from 14 March 2020 to 31 August 2020 in Kuala Lumpur, a tropical city. The number of COVID-19 cases in a region/country/state/city is, however, dependent on several other factors including testing rate, population rate, global mobility, governmental policies, ambient air, and surface interactions, among others [17, 34], which are too complex to aggregate in the current study. More so, the weather factors considered in our study only apply to outdoor transmission, even though indoor and direct/indirect transmissions have also been reported. The result presented here is aimed at evaluating possible influence of tropical weather variables on the viability of COVID-19. It is suggestive, therefore, that other variables such as surface pressure, dewpoint temperature, water vapor, rainfall, and horizontal wind speed could be included in subsequent evaluation of the spread of the virus in a tropical climate.

5. Conclusion

Insufficient information on the novel coronavirus, also known as COVID-19, has made it more difficult for the world to tackle its ongoing implosion. In this study, we presented the possible association between daily community infections and some meteorological parameters. This was done by analyzing data from 14 March 2020 to 31 August 2020, by considering new daily infections and location-specific temperature and absolute humidity over Kuala Lumpur, a tropical city. Our results showed that new daily COVID-19 confirmed cases correlate significantly with temperature and absolute humidity in a nonlinear relationship. Each 1°C and 1 g/m³ rise in mean temperature and absolute humidity caused up to 3.210% and 3.807% increases in the new daily COVID-19 infection, respectively. Within the study period, mean temperature and absolute humidity seemed to favor new daily infections in Kuala Lumpur. This finding is at variance with those reported for temperate regions across the globe, where lower temperatures (3–17°C) and lower absolute humidity (4–11 g/m³) are said to enhance the growth rate of the disease [8, 17].
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