Prospects for X(3872) Detection at PANDA
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Abstract. Monte-Carlo simulations for a resonance scan of the charmonium-like state X(3872) at PANDA are performed. Final state radiation hadronic background reactions are taken into account. The signal reconstruction uses a realistic pattern recognition (track finder and track fitter) and electron/pion discrimination.

PACS: 14.40.Pq, 14.40.Rt

Conference Talk presented at MENU10, 12th International Conference on Meson-Nucleon Physics and the Structure of the Nucleon, 05/31-06/04, 2010, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia.

THE X(3872) STATE

The X(3872) is a charmonium(-like) state which was first observed in its decay X(3872)→J/ψπ⁺π⁻ in B decays [1] [2] and inclusive production in p̅p collisions [3] [4]. Meanwhile more decays such as final states D₀D₀∗ or J/ψω have been found. In particular, the observation of its radiative decay into J/ψγ allows the assignment of positive charge parity C=+1. The interesting observation of isospin violation in the decay X(3872)→J/ψρ(→π⁺π⁻) raised the question, if it might be a charmonium state at all. As its mass is within Δm<1 MeV of the D₀D₀∗ threshold, it might be interpreted as an S-wave molecular state [6] [7]. Many properties of the X(3872) are being studied at ongoing experiments. However, the width is unknown and probably can only be determined at PANDA. The current upper limit is Γ<2.3 MeV [1], whereas PANDA might be able to set an upper limit in the order of a few hundred keV. The quantitative investigation of this upper limit on the width by detailed Monte-Carlo (MC) simulations incl. background is topic of this paper.

CHARMONIUM PRODUCTION AT PANDA

The PANDA (Anti-Proton Annihilation at Darmstadt) experiment will be located at the future FAIR (Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research) facility [8] at GSI in Darmstadt, Germany. The primary synchrotron will have a circumference of 1.084 km, a proton beam with 30≤Ebeam≤90 GeV and an intensity ≤2×10¹³/s. Antiprotons are produced by a secondary target and then stored and cooled in the HESR (High Energy Storage Ring). There are two HESR modes. In the high intensity mode (high resolution mode), there are 10¹¹ (10¹⁰) stored antiprotons, and stochastic cooling (electron cooling) will provide a beam momentum resolution of ∆p/p≥4·10⁻⁵. PANDA will investigate p̅p collisions with an antiproton beam momentum pbeam≤15 GeV/c, corresponding to √s≤5.5 GeV. In e⁺e⁻ collisions, only states with quantum numbers J/ψc=1−− can be produced directly due to the intermediate virtual photon. As the X(3872) has positive C parity, thus, it can not be produced directly in e⁺e⁻. However, in p̅p collisions two or three gluons are produced in the annihilation, and states with any quantum number can be formed.

At the PANDA interaction region, three different types of targets are foreseen, namely (a) hydrogen pellets with a thickness of ≃25 μm and a falling velocity of ≥60 m/s, (b) a cluster jet target and (c) fixed nuclear targets such as Be, C, Si or Al. For the pellet target, a peak luminosity of Ly=2×10³⁵ cm⁻²s⁻¹ is expected. If adjusted to a single resonance, such as e.g. the J/ψ this would correspond to about 2×10⁹ produced J/ψ per year.

A resonance scan with the cooled beam could provide a measurement of the X(3872) width. This technique was pioneered by the Fermilab experiments E760 and E835. With a beam momentum resolution of ∆p/p=2·10⁻⁴,
corresponding to a $\sqrt{s}$ resolution of 0.5 MeV (FWHM), the measurement of the width of the $J/\psi$ with $\Gamma(J/\psi)=99\pm 12$ (stat.) $\pm 6$ (syst.) keV and the width of the $\psi'$ with $\Gamma(\psi')=306\pm 36$ (stat.) $\pm 16$ (syst.) keV could be performed [9].

The requirements for the PANDA experiment are quite high: capability for high rate detection and data acquisition for $\leq 2\times 10^7$ interactions/s, $\sim 4\pi$ solid angle coverage (incl. a high resolution forward detector, as PANDA is a fixed target experiment), secondary vertex detection of e.g. $D$ mesons with a resolution $\sigma<100$ $\mu$m, charged particle momentum resolution $\Delta p/p$ $\leq 1\%$, charged particle identification for $e^\pm$, $\mu^\pm$, $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, $p^\pm$ using e.g. Cherenkov detectors with internal reflection, and electromagnetic calorimetry in the range $10$ MeV $\leq E_\gamma < 5$ GeV. Details about the experiment can be found elsewhere [10]. For the down below results, MC simulations were performed with all PANDA subdetectors implemented, but the reconstruction used only the following PANDA subdetectors:

- a Time Projection Chamber (TPC) with 135 padrows (corresponding to $\leq 135$ hits for a charged particle track) and 135,169 pads of $2 \times 2$ mm$^2$ area,
- a Micro Vertex Detector (MVD) with 120 silicon pixel modules ($100 \times 100$ $\mu$m$^2$ pixel size and in total $10^7$ readout channels), and 400 silicon strip modules ($\sim 0.5$ m$^2$ active area and $7 \times 10^4$ readout channels), and
- an Electromagnetic Calorimeter (EMC) with $\sim 17,200$ crystals of PbWO$_4$, a radiation hard scintillation material with a fast decay constant of $\sim 0.6$ ns. The thickness corresponds to $\sim 28X_0$ radiation lengths.

The MC simulation was performed with the PandaRoot [11] simulation, digitization, reconstruction and analysis framework. It consists of $\sim 43,000$ geometry volumes (incl. details such as e.g. the beampipe for the pellet target) and $\geq 400,000$ lines of C++ code. Transport engines are Geant3, Geant4, and Fluka. Event Generators are EvtGen, Pythia, and UrQMD. References can be found elsewhere [12]. PandaRoot is used on $\geq 15$ Linux platforms. Recent improvements, in particular since the PANDA Physics Report [13] are in particular: (a) for the first time X(3872) simulations, not investigated before as a physics channel for resonant X(3872) formation, the required beam momentum is $p$ corresponding to a $\sigma=10^3$ GeV, (b) usage of detailed field maps of a homogenous $B_0=2$ T in the central region and dipole field of 2 Tm in the forward region (with flux effects in the iron of the PANDA muon subdetector and interference of the fields taken into account), (c) usage of a realistic track finder and track fitter based upon a conformal map technique, and (d) simulation of final state radiation [14]. In about $\sim 30\%$ of all $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ decays an additional photon is radiated.

The particle identification uses the ratio $E/p$ as a variable for discrimination of charged pions and leptons. $E$ is the deposited shower energy in the EMC, $p$ is the reconstructed track momentum by the track finder and track fitter using the MVD and the TPC. For leptons $E/p$ is $\sim 1$. For charged pions, which generate hadronic showers, $E/p$ can take any value $0 \leq E/p \leq 1$. By applying an $E/p$ cut of $0.8 \leq E/p \leq 1.2$ for electrons, the combinatorial background is reduced by $\geq 90\%$.

**ESTIMATED RATES FOR X(3872) FORMATION AT PANDA**

For resonant X(3872) formation, the required beam momentum is $p_{\text{beam}}=6,99100$ GeV/c. Our baseline assumption is a peak cross section of $\sigma_{X(3872)}=50$ nb, in the same order of magnitude as e.g. the cross section of $\psi'$ production [9]. Note, that, if the X(3872) is a molecular $D^0\bar{D}^{*0}$ state, there are estimates [15] that the cross section may increase up to $\sim 443$ nb. The ratio of branching fractions of the X(3872) decays into the final states $D^0\bar{D}^{*0}: J/\psi \pi^+\pi^- : J/\psi \gamma$ is assumed to be $9:1:0$. All other decays are assumed to have a zero branching fraction. The branching fraction for $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$ is $\sim 6\%$ each. The reconstruction efficiency of $\sim 50\%$ is dominated by the track reconstruction efficiency for the low momentum charged pions. All these factors lead to a reconstructable cross section of 250 pb. For resonance scans, the HESR will be operated in the high resolution mode with $\Delta p/p=10^{-5}$, which corresponds to a luminosity of $\mathcal{L}=2\times10^{31}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$. Assuming an accelerator duty factor of 50%, this leads to an integrated luminosity of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}=0.86$ pb$^{-1}$/day. For a resonance scan with 20 energy points and 2 days/point, this would correspond to a yield of $\sim 215$ events of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ per day at peak.

**BACKGROUND**

The main background is meson production in processes such as $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ with two misidentified charged pions (as leptons) in the EMC. The cross section for this process is 50 mb [16], compared to an estimated signal cross section of 50 nb. For comparison, the total $p\bar{p}$ cross section is $\sim 70$ mb. It is important to investigate the shape of the
background e.g. in the 2-particle mass spectrum. For this purpose, a DPM (dual parton model) event generator [17] [18] [19] was used. In fact, a varying background shape in the region of the \( J/\psi \) was found and fitted with a first order Chebyshev polynomial. The fit was performed differently for each beam momentum in the resonance scan.

**DETERMINATION OF A RESONANCE WIDTH BY RESONANCE SCAN**

The Breit-Wigner cross section for the formation and subsequent decay of a \( c\bar{c} \) resonance \( R \) of spin \( J \), mass \( M_R \) and total width \( \Gamma_R \) formed in the reaction \( pp \rightarrow R \) is

\[
\sigma_{BW}(E_{cm}) = \frac{(2J + 1)}{(2S + 1)(2S + 1)} \frac{4\pi(hc)^2}{(E_{cm}^2 - 4(m_p c^2)^2)} \times \frac{\Gamma_R^2 \text{BR}(pp \rightarrow R) \times \text{BR}(R \rightarrow f)}{(E_{cm} - M_R c^2)^2 + \Gamma_R^2/4}
\]

(1)

where \( S \) is the spin of the (anti)-proton.

\[
\sigma(E_{cm}) = \int_{E_{cm} - \sigma_{peak}}^{E_{cm} + \sigma_{peak}} \sigma_{BW}(E') G(E'E_{cm}) dE'
\]

(2)

is a convolution of a Breit-Wigner term for the resonance and the function \( G \) for the beam resolution. The area under the resonance peak is given by

\[
A = \int_0^\infty \sigma(E_{cm}) dE_{cm} = \frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{peak} \Gamma_R
\]

(3)

which importantly is independent of the form of \( G(E) \). \( \sigma_{peak} \) is the cross section at \( E_{cm} = M_R c^2 \) given by

\[
\sigma_{peak} = \frac{(2J + 1)}{(2S + 1)(2S + 1)} \frac{16\pi h^2 \text{BR}(pp \rightarrow R) \times \text{BR}(R \rightarrow f)}{(M_R - 4m_p c^2)c^2} .
\]

(4)

By measuring \( A \) using a fit to the excitation function and inserting \( \sigma_{peak} \) into Eq. 3, the resonance width \( \Gamma_R \) can be determined.

**PRELIMINARY RESULTS**

MC simulations for \( pp \rightarrow X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \) with background were performed for 9 different beam momenta in the resonance region. Fig. 1 shows the \( e^+e^- \) invariant mass with PID applied and background subtracted. The final state is exclusive, i.e. no other particle is produced except for photons from final state radiation (visible as radiative tail at masses smaller than the \( J/\psi \) mass). Each data point corresponds to 2 days of data taking. A Gaussian fit was used to extract the signal yield. This \( J/\psi \) yield is equal to the tagged \( X(3872) \) yield. Then data points were fitted with an excitation function. The preliminary results are as follows:

- The fitted width of the excitation function is \( \simeq 20\% \) larger than the width which was used as input in the MC simulation, due to the analysis technique such as the background subtraction and the reconstruction technique (e.g. the track fitter). Note, that the beam resolution is not the limit for the width measurement. Even with a beam momentum resolution of \( \Delta p_{beam} \simeq 0.5 \text{ MeV} \), the E760 experiment was able to determine the factor of \( \simeq 5 \) smaller width of the \( J/\psi \) [9]. The difference between generated and reconstructed width would only be a systematic error on the width measurement.
- The width of the excitation function is still \( \leq 100 \text{ keV} \), if the assumed resolution of the anti-proton beam is \( \Delta p/p = 2 \times 10^{-5} \) (HESR high resolution mode). However, in this analysis all distributions were assumed to have a Gaussian shape, while the correct resonance shape would be given by a Breit-Wigner parametrisation and the shape of the function \( G(E) \) in Eq. 2 might be non-Gaussian. In addition, for our analysis, we approximated the function \( \sqrt{\Delta} = \sqrt{2m_p^2 + 2m_p \sqrt{p_{beam}^2 + m_p^2}} \) by a linear function in the small range of the resonance region. As long as the beam resolution is accurately known, our results indicate that the width of the \( X(3872) \) could be determined at \( \text{PANDA} \), if it is larger than \( \Gamma_R \geq 100 \text{ keV} \) and if the DPM generator describes the background shape correctly.

These results are still preliminary, as the unfolding (integral equation, Eq. 2) is ongoing work.
FIGURE 1. MC simulation of a resonance scan of the X(3872) at PANDA, with 9 scan points of different anti-proton beam momenta and data taking of 2 days for each point. The plots show the background subtracted, tagged $J/\psi$ signal from the $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay. Only statistical errors are shown.
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