Biomass-mediated ZSM-5 zeolite synthesis: when self-assembly allows to cross the Si/Al lower limit†
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A family of Al-rich ZSM-5 zeolites with Si/Al = 8 ± 0.5 was prepared according to a biomass-mediated supramolecular approach. A combination of advanced characterisation techniques and periodic density functional theory (DFT) calculations unravelled the purity and stability of un-expacted Al-enriched ZSM-5 structures, hence allowing to cross the frontier of Si/Al lower limit. In addition, these Al-rich ZSM-5 zeolites demonstrated high catalytic activity in n-hexane cracking and methanol conversion into hydrocarbons, being in line with the presence of numerous Brønsted acid sites.

Introduction

The spontaneous self-organisation of matter demonstrates the capacity of nature to structure itself under appropriate conditions. The design and control of chemical systems ranging from the molecule up to the crystal represents one of the greatest challenges in science.1,2 More precisely, it remains crucial to understand how molecules organise and build supramolecular architectures in order to produce functional nanomaterials. Supramolecular chemistry deals with an association of (at least) two chemical species through non-covalent interactions.3 The nature of these interactions often confers to the supramolecular structure new chemical and physical properties, defined by the nature of individual building blocks.4 The driving force of the assembly process can be van der Waals, electrostatic forces, hydrogen bonding, metal-ion coordination.5–3 Heterogeneous catalysis may also take advantage from the specific properties induced by self-assembly processes.5–7 Usually, a catalyst is itself a meta-stable material produced while trapping an out-of-equilibrium state.6–11 However, critical challenges encountered in materials design stem from an inability to a priori tune crystal composition.6,12 Given the prominent use of zeolites as catalysts in industry as well as their strong potential for established and emerging technologies,9 it is necessary to find out suitable strategies to systematically engineer ‘super big-two’ FAU and MFI zeolites with defined properties. ZSM-5 is a highly siliceous zeolite (Si/Al > 10) currently used in several key acid-catalysed processes such as hydrocarbon cracking, methanol-to-olefins, isomerisation, alkylation.9 Zeolite catalyst design remains often hampered by a limited understanding of the relationship between crystal structure (along with acid site location) and catalytic activity/selectivity. It is worth mentioning that the activity is often proportional to the Al-content within the zeolite frame: i.e.; the lower Si/Al ratio, the more acid sites, the higher was the hydrocarbon cracking activity as shown by Haag et al.10 For instance, *BEA zeolites with low Si/Al < 5 have been recently synthesised without any organic structure directing agent (OSDA).12 Those Al rich *BEA catalysts demonstrated superior catalytic performance in the benzene alkylation with ethylene.12a,c

Fundamental studies able to provide in-depth molecular-level and supramolecular-level understanding of the zeolite crystallisation mechanisms are warranted for the design and optimisation of materials with superior properties for a large palette of applications.11 Often, the use of a set of in situ and ex situ characterisation techniques ranging from electron microscopy, to NMR and IR and to X-ray scattering are required to draw conclusions on the self-assembly guided crystallisation processes. The Rimer group has elegantly demonstrated the possible mimicking of biominalisation processes, wherein crystallisation and self-assembly of hierarchically-structured
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zeolite materials was mediated by adsorbate–crystal interactions. 26,28 Indeed, zeolite growth modifiers (polyamines, sugars) were used for molecular recognition and preferential binding to zeolite surfaces to alter the size and morphology of the crystals. 13

Herein, we report the synthesis of zeolites using cheap sugar cane residues as crystal growth modifiers. A family of ZSM-5 zeolites exhibiting the lowest Si/Al = 8 was reported to date was designed following this strategy. Those catalysts were shown to exhibit a remarkable n-hexane cracking activity (based on Al-content), being superior to state-of-the art ZSM-5 zeolites.

**Materials and methods**

**Preparation and characterisation of biomass derivate solution**

Un-treated sugar cane bagasse (0.3 g) was immersed in a 150 mL sodium hydroxide alkaline solution (pH = 11). Alkaline hydrolysis of biomass was conducted for 24 h at either room temperature or 60 °C. After filtration and removal of the fibers, 30 mL of the solution containing biomass-derivate compounds (extracted from the biomass), was used for the zeolite synthesis. Additionally, un-treated sugar cane bagasse was washed eight times in water at room temperature (until removing all extractives from the biomass on the basis of TG/DTG analyses). Both washed biomass and residues after hydrolysis were characterised by thermogravimetric (TG) and differential thermogravimetric (DTG) techniques (Fig. S1–S4†). The solution containing extracted compounds from the biomass was analysed by both liquid chromatography coupled with MS–MS (LC) and gel permeation chromatography (GPC). Detailed procedures are given in ESL†

Fig. S5† presents the GPC of washed sugar cane solution. Biomass-derivate compounds in the range of 1596–3178 daltons were observed. Considering that DTG indicates a reduction of hemicellulose fraction during hydrolysis step, the solution probably contains polysaccharides built by 14–21 xylose units, corresponding to 4–10 nm in length (depending on polymers branching). LC results (Fig. S6–S14†) reveal that the solution contains small amounts of sucrose and derivatives from coumaric and ferulic acids. Along with the presence of those saccharide oligomers, the solution obtained by hydrolysis of un-treated biomass contain higher amount of sucrose and hexoses compared to the one of washed biomass.

**ZSM-5 zeolite synthesis with Si/Al = 8**

The general synthesis approach consisted in adding to 30 mL of the solution containing biomass-derivate compounds, under vigorous stirring, 0.15 g NaAlO₂ and 8 mL tetrapropyl ammonium hydroxide (TPAOH, 20% wt in water). In parallel, 0.76 g NaCl was dissolved in 30 mL distilled water. Finally, 6 mL tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS) was added dropwise to the solution. The solution with the following mole ratios: NaAlO₂ : TPAOH : TEOS : NaCl : H₂O = 1 : 28 : 14 : 7 : 3857, was allowed to age under stirring (750 rpm) for 1 h at room temperature and then autoclaved at 443 K for 24 to 65 h. About 1.25 g of white solid was obtained after Millipore membrane filtration and drying at 393 K overnight. This sample is named ZSM-5 SAR8. In parallel, ZSM-5-a and ZSM-5-b samples were synthesized using washed hydrolysate solution and alkaline hydrolysate at 60 °C, respectively (all other synthesis parameters remaining the same).

**Characterisation of ZSM-5 zeolites with Si/Al = 8**

The samples were characterised by XRD with structure refinement performed using synchrotron techniques, 14–18 SEM, HRTEM coupled with EDX mapping of the elements, 27 Al MAS NMR and FT-IR. As-prepared and calcined samples were investigated by XRD techniques, whilst calcined and exchanged H-forms were investigated by electron microscopies, FT-IR of adsorbed pyridine and MAS NMR technique. Acidity measurements were performed to assess the total number of Bronsted acid sites using home-made H/D isotope exchange method. 29 Textural properties were evaluated by nitrogen physisorption experiments. 30 Details of all techniques are given in ESL† n-Hexane cracking experiments were performed in a high throughput unit at 773 K as described elsewhere and detailed in ESL† Periodic Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were performed with the VASP code 32 with the PBE (Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof) exchange–correlation functional. 33 Details are given in ESL† illustrated with appropriate references. 24,25

**Results**

**Structure solution of ZSM-5 prepared with the assistance of biomass**

The MFI-type framework structure is built by two sets of intersecting 10-ring channels, with straight channels that run parallel to the b axis and sinusoidal channels running parallel to the a axis. 26 A reversible transition from monoclinic to orthorhombic symmetry can readily occur at room temperature when organic molecules are occluded within the pores. 27

Fig. 1A shows the diffraction pattern of ZSM-5 SAR8 zeolite. In spite of the sole presence of MFI structure, it appears that some peaks cannot be indexed using conventional orthorhombic unit cell (Pnma space group). It was reasoned that they could be super lattice reflections due to Al ordering, but these extra-reflections are usually weaker than those observed in Fig. 1A. A careful inspection of the XRD pattern showed that most of those extra-reflections appear as shoulders at slightly smaller 2θ angles than the main peaks, hence forming doublets in those peaks (Fig. 1B). A two-phase structure refinement was initiated in that space group using published atomic coordinates. 27 According to Rietveld refinement (Table S2†), cell dimensions are significantly lower than those from mutinaitve, MFI natural analogue, for both refined phases. Mutinaite with a similar Si/Al and cations, which is more likely to produce narrower T-O-T angles, exhibits an increase in the cell dimensions.

One may a priori expect an increase in the unit cell at higher Al-loadings. However, MFI zeolite is not cubic, therefore...
changes in the Al content are not directly reflected in the unit cell parameters; one may expand in one direction and pull in another direction. While adding ethylene glycol to the gel, Camblor et al. observed shortening of the cell dimensions with a raise in the Al content.\textsuperscript{27} We could not see any shift in the XRD patterns between the Na- and H-zeolite forms as it could be expected if the small cell parameters were induced by a narrowing of T-O-T angles due to interactions with cations (they should relax in the H-form to something that is significantly larger).

A difference electron density map of each of the two phases showed an electron density cloud that resembled the shape of a TPA$^+$ cation located at the intersection of the straight with the sinusoidal 10-ring channels (Fig. 1C), being the position usually found for TPA$^+$ cations occluded in ZSM-5. FT-IR measurements also confirmed the presence of a band at 1546 cm$^{-1}$, being characteristic for MFI framework vibration.\textsuperscript{28} Fig. S16† and 1D show the SEM images for as-obtained samples after 24 h and 65 h autoclaving, respectively. After a 24 h synthesis, the formation of rather un-defined structures could be observed (300–500 nm) rising from a nodal point (Fig. S16†). Though, this material remained barely crystalline (Fig. S17†), main reflections from the MFI structure could already be detected. By further prolongation of the synthesis duration to 65 h, MFI crystals exhibit a needle-like morphology with an average length of 20 μm (Fig. 1D). In stark contrast, the synthesis performed in the absence of biomass-derivate compounds resulted in conventional coffin-shaped crystals (Si/Al = 18). HRTEM images of ZSM-5 SAR8 sample were acquired with two different equipments (Fig. 1E). Both sets of images assess the extremely high regularity of the pores structure. High angle annular dark-field images (HAADF) further confirmed the high crystallinity and clearly evidenced the sole formation of MFI zeolite structure (Fig. 1F). Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherm (Fig. 1G) measurements have been performed to further get insights on such high Al-content ZSM-5 zeolite. ZSM-5 SAR8 zeolite exhibited an apparent BET specific surface area (SSA) value of 392 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ among which 100 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ corresponding to mesoporous surface (Table 1). Hence it is confirmed that SAR8 possesses a predominantly microporous structure. In line with those data, Fig. 1G confirms a type IV isotherm with the presence of a hysteresis loop, indicating the presence of well-defined mesoporosity. The morphology of the crystals (Fig. 1D) also suggested the presence of mesopores (or macropores) in intercrystalline voids created during the crystal growth process.\textsuperscript{29} It is important to mention that the mean diameter of the mesopores and the size of polysaccharides are in the same range (5–6 nm).

TEM-EDX mapping confirmed the homogeneous distribution of both Al and Si elements and no Al enrichment zone could be observed (Fig. 2A).\textsuperscript{32} $^{27}$Al MAS NMR of calcined and exchanged H-ZSM-5 SAR8 sample exhibited one sole and narrow signal at 51 ppm, supporting the presence of tetrahedral aluminium within the zeolite frame (Fig. 2B). The presence of (NMR visible) extra-framework aluminium (EFAI) species can therefore be discarded, thus confirming that Si/Al ratio is related to solely framework aluminium. However, Lercher and co-workers have shown that invisible EFAI species could be present which may significantly hinder the catalyst performance.\textsuperscript{42,43} Pyridine adsorption confirmed the nearly absence of the vibration at 1455 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to Lewis acid sites (Fig. S25†). The ratio between the bands at 1546 cm$^{-1}$ (Brunsted acid sites) and 1455 cm$^{-1}$ (Lewis acid sites)\textsuperscript{48} could be estimated superior to 20 (i.e. <0.08 mmol of Lewis sites per gram).

The acidity of ZSM-5 SAR8 zeolite was quantitatively determined by H/D isotope exchange titration technique.\textsuperscript{49} A value of 1.64 mmol H$^+$ per g zeolite was measured which roughly corresponds to Si/Al = 8.5. Besides, elemental analyses further ascertained an MFI framework extremely rich in aluminium 7.6 < Si/Al < 8.5 (Table 1).

In order to extend the scope of our strategy, a family of high Al-content ZSM-5 zeolites was prepared in the presence of solutions of biomass-derivatives as presented in Table 1: (i) hydrolysis of washed sugar cane keeping the other synthesis parameters identical as for ZSM-5 SAR8 (named ZSM-5-a); (ii) raising the alkaline hydrolysis temperature to 60 °C (pH = 10).
Table 1  Elemental analyses, number of hydrons, apparent BET area, percentage of mesoporosity and synthesis yield are given for high Al-containing ZSM-5 samples, plus SAR27 reference zeolite

| Sample      | Si/Al<sup>a</sup> [mol mol<sup>−1</sup>] | Number of BA sites<sup>b</sup> [mmol H<sup>+</sup> per g] | Specific surface areas [m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>−1</sup>] | Degree of mesoporosity<sup>c</sup> [%] | Synthesis yield [based on Si] [%] |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| ZSM-5 SAR8  | 8.5                                     | 1.64                                                | 392                                             | 26                               | 58                              |
| ZSM-5-a     | 7.6                                     | 1.72                                                | 239                                             | 21                               | 57                              |
| ZSM-5-b     | 8.2                                     | 1.63                                                | 207                                             | 21                               | 52                              |
| ZSM-5 SAR27 | 27                                      | 0.53                                                | 375                                             | 32                               | 64                              |

<sup>a</sup> Determined by XRF (and ICP-AES for ZSM-5 SAR8 sample).  
<sup>b</sup> Determined by H/D isotope exchange technique.  
<sup>c</sup> Degree of mesoporosity, $S_{\text{meso}}/(S_{\text{meso}} + S_{\text{micro}})$.

Acid catalysis

To summarize the former section, the formation of a ZSM-5 zeolite with unprecedentedly low SAR = 8 value is now doubtless. The catalytic activity has been evaluated in two model acid-catalysed reactions: $n$-hexane cracking and the conversion of methanol into hydrocarbons. Though, a 1.64 mmol of Brønsted acid sites per gram (Table 1) could be assessed by H/D exchange technique<sup>9</sup> (confirmed by elemental analyses), it is important to verify if all hydrons can be involved, or in other words if these acid sites are accessible in a catalytic reaction.

At the same degree of conversion ($X = 7\%$), initial rates of $n$-hexane cracking of 3750 and 940 μmol per g cat. per min were achieved for ZSM-5 SAR8 and SAR27 (reference) zeolites, respectively (Fig. 3).

As <em>a priori</em> expected based on Haag’s observations,<sup>44</sup> ZSM-5 SAR8 zeolite led to achieve a three times higher cracking rate during 24 h and using washed sugar cane (ZSM-5-b). These syntheses yielded solely MFI crystalline phase presence on the basis of XRD (Fig. S18†). A deeper focus on the XRD pattern also assesses the presence of extra-reflections, as shoulders at slightly smaller 2θ angles than the main peaks, forming doublets in those peaks (Fig. S18,† inset) as already shown for ZSM-5 SAR8 zeolite (Fig. 1B). The crystal morphology consists of an aggregation of rod-like nanocrystals (Fig. S19†) as observed in previous studies involving biomass residues.<sup>29,30</sup>

Based on data shown in Table 1, all ZSM-5 samples were obtained with similar yields and 7.6 < Si/Al < 8.5 in the presence of solutions containing sugars. By raising the alkaline hydrolysis temperature to 60 °C, sugars from hemicellulose and cellulose fractions were further released in the gel and allowed to produce a ZSM-5 zeolite with a SAR = 8.2 (ZSM-5-b).

Table 1 shows that the three high Al-containing ZSM-5 samples exhibit significant mesoporosity (between 21 and 26% of total porosity), being further confirmed by TEM image (ZSM-5-b sample, Fig. S20†). Finally, it is important to highlight that the quantity of Brønsted acid sites measured by H/D isotope exchange technique<sup>9</sup> confirms the unexpectedly low Si/Al ratios suggested by elemental analyses. The yields of the different syntheses (based on Si) were in the same range, i.e.; 52–64%. By assuming an insertion of all Al present in the gel, a SAR = 8 is coherent. It is however important to mention that SAR8 material could also be obtained while using only half of the Al quantity in the gel (0.08 g instead of 0.15 g). Unfortunately, in the latter case, the synthesis yield based on Si was only 14% ± 3.

DFT calculations were performed to access the thermodynamic stability of ZSM-5 structure as a function of the SAR, to check if any thermodynamic limitation takes place preventing the formation of solids with low SAR. Purely siliceous zeolite was chosen as the reference energy for SiO<sub>2</sub> units. Considering the system with the lowest SAR modeled (−2, 32 aluminium and Na cations per unit cell) as a possible source for aluminium and sodium, the formation energy of each system of intermediate SAR considered (31, 15, 11, 8.6, 7, 5 and 3) was quantified (Fig. S22†). Positive values of the formation energy were obtained, showing the relatively high stability of purely siliceous system (SAR close to infinity) and SAR = 2. The latter structure may thus be obtained without thermodynamic limitation as compared to intermediate SAR. The system exhibiting $n_{\text{Al}} = 12$, corresponding to SAR = 7, is almost as stable as the two reference systems, substantiating the possible formation of ZSM-5 zeolite with SAR close to 8 as disclosed herein. Similar findings using force field were recently reported by Okubo <em>et al.</em><sup>44</sup> showing a sharp increase in the formation energy for 5 < Si/Al < 7, which nicely match with our <em>ab initio</em> calculations and the Si/Al experimentally found. Fig. 2C depicts the three most stable cells found by the calculations.
alkane conversion for ZSM-5 SAR8 and ZSM-5 SAR27. Black and open selectivities towards propylene and propane (%) as a function of the transfer secondary reactions.

32 propane selectivity, suggesting a decrease in hydride coking could be observed, suggesting the presence of numerous Bronsted acid sites. Likewise the high selectivity towards propylene (low in propane) is rather unexpected since the selectivity towards valuable propylene was found higher over ZSM-5 SAR8 by 10% and 30% (in relative) than ZSM-5 SAR27 zeolite at conversions below 10% and superior to 20%, respectively (Fig. 3). Likewise, ZSM-5 SAR8 led to lower propane selectivity, suggesting a decrease in hydride transfer secondary reactions. The high selectivity towards propylene (low in propane) is rather unexpected since the presence of numerous Bronsted acid sites may favour the intercrystalline mesoporosity for propylene (Fig. S19 and S20†).

The catalytic activity of ZSM-5 SAR8 was further investigated in the conversion of methanol to hydrocarbons (Fig. 4). A classical methanol-to-gasoline behaviour with fast deactivation by coking could be observed, suggesting the presence of numerous Bronsted acid sites. Likewise the high selectivity towards hydrocarbons for ZSM-5 SAR8 (Fig. 4, inset), superior to 60% in the C5+ gasoline fraction, also supports the occurrence of several consecutive acid-catalysed steps within the zeolite pores. Considering the bimodal nature of the autocatalytic methanol to hydrocarbons mechanism, it is reasonable to deduce a main occurrence, as a priori expected, of an aromatic-based autocatalytic cycle over ZSM-5 SAR8.

Fig. 3 Rate of n-hexane cracking (given in μmol g⁻¹ min⁻¹) and selectivities towards propylene and propane (%) as a function of the alkane conversion for ZSM-5 SAR8 and ZSM-5 SAR27. Black and open signs correspond to ZSM-5 SAR8 and SAR27, respectively.

Discussion

The use of biomass residues in the preparation of ZSM-5 was already reported and led to morphological and textural property changes, resulting in crystallite size around 50 nm without alterations in SAR values. In the present contribution, we have achieved an unprecedentedly Al-rich ZSM-5 while using an alkaline hydrolysate from sugar cane bagasse residues as an additive to classical hydrothermal syntheses.

Our strategy relied on modifying supramolecular interactions between silicates/aluminates and structure directing agent while adding a (bio-sourced) secondary template (BSST). This BSST may stabilise high Al-content MFI precursor as already observed in nature. Indeed, the natural counterpart of ZSM-5 zeolite, mutinaite mineral could be found with Si/Al = 7.6. Likewise, ZSM-5 SAR8 sample belongs to the same space group (Pnma) but is built by two phases with slightly different unit cell parameters (Table S2†). Based on LC-MS analysis of biomass basic hydrolysate solution, it appears that organic species released in the solution are fragments originated from lignin, mainly p-coumaric acid, sinapyl and coniferyl alcohols. Besides, xylose and saccharose were also detected in the solution. However, the exact nature of those fragments remains unknown.

The occupancy of template cation has been refined to 4 TPA⁺ per unit cell, being the maximum amount that can be accommodated per unit cell. This is not enough to balance the negative charge generated by the partial replacement of 10.7 Si by Al. Fortunately, the Na⁺ content found by elemental analyses (6 Na⁺/u.c.) is almost enough to compensate the framework negative charge. Nonetheless, a homogeneous distribution of Al in the framework was assessed by 27Al MAS NMR and TEM-EDX mapping (Fig. 2), thus zoning could be discarded.

BSST are involved in self-assembly mechanisms acting as structure directing agents, as well as metal-incorporation governing species, being of crucial importance in biology and potentially at the origin of life. Silicates and aluminates are known to bind to carbohydrates in a way that diminishes their reactivity. A parallel can be drawn with anti-freeze proteins
(AFP), known to inhibit the crystalline growth of ice,\textsuperscript{38} thus rendering easier the understanding of complex phenomena occurring during BSST-mediated crystallisation. Similar experiments were conducted with alkaline hydrolysate aqueous solutions. In analogy to AFP’s effect, freezing times were significantly increased (approx. 30\%) with respect to the synthesis in the absence of BSST at pH 11 (Fig. S22†). One can seek a parallel between AFP crystal growth mechanism and BSST role in crystallisation inhibition or structuration. Fig. 5 aims in tentatively describing the different steps involved in the BSST-mediated mechanism. As shown by LC-MS and GPC, an alkaline hydrolysis led to the release of monosaccharides as xylene or saccharose, evolving to partially oxidised, or aromatised carbohydrates to form negatively charged BSST species (steps 1 and 2, Fig. 5). Likewise, the three prominent alcohols of the lignin fraction are present as phenolate or alcoholate (steps 1 and 2, Fig. 5). Besides, the three prominent alcohols of the lignin fraction are present as phenolate or alcoholate compounds. The presence of 0.16 mmol of hydrolysed organic molecules in the gel prior to the synthesis was confirmed. It is reasonable to assume that during ageing, those molecules are changing in nature, interacting through non covalent forces and their chelating potential with aluminates and silicates (Fig. 5, steps 2 and 3), whereby large micellar structures may form.\textsuperscript{39}

Kästele et al. evidenced that saccharides are able to expand the silicate tetra-coordination sphere to penta-coordinated trigonal bipyramids, even up to hexa-coordinated octahedra.\textsuperscript{39} To gain some insight into the supramolecular interactions, liquid phase NMR for the relevant Al and Si-nuclei was performed and model chelating molecules xylene and glucose have been chosen. Fig. S23† confirms the presence of penta-coordinated Si-atom after its interaction with xylene while an interaction with glucose could be discarded by \textsuperscript{29}Si NMR of the synthesis solution.

Besides, \textsuperscript{27}Al NMR analysis of the solution showed a modification around Al nucleus, suggesting that at least one sugar molecule is coordinated (Fig. S24†) whatever C\textsubscript{5} or C\textsubscript{6} sugar nature.\textsuperscript{40} One may therefore deduce, from those NMR data, that stronger interactions occur between organic molecules (BSST) and aluminates rather than silicates (step 3). Those evolving surfactant-like BSST molecules may interfere in the zeolite crystallisation process. Indeed, the preferred chelation to aluminates eventually could favour a higher Al-content in the final crystal.\textsuperscript{39,46} In addition, electrostatic forces maintain BSST species attached to the growing crystal, while aromatic species may stabilise, \textit{via} π-stacking interactions, a- and b-crystalline axes. Hence, Ostwald ripening might be prevented and the crystalline growth limited to the c-axis. Consequently, the high complexity of BSST species may also induce the formation of homogeneous mesopores (Fig. 5, step 4). It is worthy to mention that the addition of individual ‘building blocks’ (glucose, xylene, cellobiose, coumaric acid) to the zeolite synthesis mixture was attempted but did not lead to high Al-content MFI zeolite formation. Vilcocq \textit{et al.} have recently demonstrated that the reactivity of hemi-cellulose under hydrothermal conditions (140 °C, 24 h) differed from xylene or cellobiose.\textsuperscript{47} Thus, herein at least some intact polymeric lignocellulosic structure seems to be warranted to allow this complex mechanism to occur.

An analogy can be drawn to cryptates when alkylammonium and alkali cations cooperate to bind to sugars and silicates (aluminates), where numerous variations in the nature of the sub-units or of the bridges linking them are conceivable.\textsuperscript{48} Zeolites are metastable phases, from which it is easy to shift from one structure to another. Rimer \textit{et al.} addressed the complexity for understanding the zeolite phase(s) formation given the numerous parameters and their combinations involved in the zeolite synthesis.\textsuperscript{50,51,11,41}

The strategy involving cheap BSST in the zeolite synthesis should allow bridging such metastability gap, overcoming Si/Al limits and potentially designing new zeolite structures. Besides, other families of catalysts and materials may be rationally prepared with tailored properties for targeted applications in the future.
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