HNRNPH1 Is a Novel Regulator Of Cellular Proliferation and Disease Progression in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia
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RNA binding proteins act as essential modulators in cancers by regulating biological cellular processes. Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H1 (HNRNPH1), as a key member of the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins family, is frequently upregulated in multiple cancer cells and involved in tumorigenesis. However, the function of HNRNPH1 in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) remains unclear. In the present study, we revealed that HNRNPH1 expression level was upregulated in CML patients and cell lines. Moreover, the higher level of HNRNPH1 was correlated with disease progression of CML. In vivo and in vitro experiments showed that knockdown of HNRNPH1 inhibited cell proliferation and promoted cell apoptosis in CML cells. Importantly, knockdown of HNRNPH1 in CML cells enhanced sensitivity to imatinib. Mechanically, HNRNPH1 could bind to the mRNA of PTPN6 and negatively regulated its expression. PTPN6 mediated the regulation between HNRNPH1 and PI3K/AKT activation. Furthermore, the HNRNPH1–PTPN6–PI3K/AKT axis played a critical role in CML tumorigenesis and development. The present study first investigated the deregulated HNRNPH1–PTPN6–PI3K/AKT axis moderated cell growth and apoptosis in CML cells, whereby targeting this pathway may be a therapeutic CML treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic myeloid leukemia is a malignant polyclonal disease originating from hematopoietic stem cells characterized by the Ph chromosome, which is the ectopic of t (1, 2) (q34; q11). The formation of the BCR–ABL fusion gene encodes P210 protein with constitutive tyrosine kinase activity involved in proliferation, apoptosis, and other biological functions of CML cells (3). Although the tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) chemotherapy induces a high clinical response rate in the majority
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of CML patients, some of them still suffered from disease progression which means poor prognosis and shorter overall survival (4, 5). While the BCR–ABL fusion gene plays an important role in the initial stages of CML, some patients were suffered from the progression of CML because of the TKI intolerance or drug resistance. As we know, the etiology of disease progression is highly complex with wide heterogeneity, involving aberrantly activated pathways driven by the gene expression abnormalities (6, 7). Thus, understanding the underlying mechanisms of CML disease progression and search novel therapeutic targets is urgently needed.

RNA-binding proteins (RBPs), which could bind to mRNA or other RNAs, play a critical role in various biological cellular processes including transcription, translation, cleavage splicing and mRNA stability. Due to structural flexibility and domain polymorphism, the alterations or mutations of RBPs expression may be associated with tumorigenesis in a variety of human cancers, especially in hematologic malignancies (8, 9). However, few studies have focused on the role of RBPs in the initiation and progression of CML. Therefore, investigating the intricate network of RBPs and downstream mRNA may provide a strategy for understanding the mechanism and treatment in CML progression.

HNRNPH1, as an early reported RBP, participates in RNA editing, RNA modification, and RNA stability (10). The aberrant overexpression of HNRNPH1 was seen in many cancers, such as gliomas, esophageal sarcoma and hepatocarcinoma (1, 11–13). Previously studies have confirmed that high expression level of HNRNPH1 could moderate tumorigenesis not only by upregulating the expression of oncopgenes but also inhibiting the expression of tumor suppressor genes, such as P53, Ron and BCL-X (14–17). Furthermore, HNRNPH1 may also contribute to the drug response in gastric cancer cells (18). Importantly, a previous study has confirmed that HNRNPH1 is frequently elevated in AML patients. Knockdown of HNRNPH1 correlated to the cell proliferation in AML cells (1). However, the role of HNRNPH1 in CML has rarely been investigated.

In the present study, we found that the HNRNPH1 level was upregulated in CML patients, especially the CML progression phase. The HNRNPH1 downregulation inhibited cell proliferation, induced cell apoptosis, and arrested the cell cycle of CML cells in vivo and in vitro. Moreover, HNRNPH1 was revealed as a member of RBPs, affecting the PI3K/AKT pathway by regulating the PTPN6 expression through binding to its mRNA. The findings of this study provide a deep insight into the metabolic dysfunctions in CML progression and a novel potential therapeutic target for CML patients in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimen Collection
Bone marrow mononuclear cells (BM-MNCs) were extracted from 60 newly diagnosed and untreated CML patients between 2016 and 2020 in the Department of Hematology of the Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, China. Full detailed information of the patient characteristics is presented in Table 1. Furthermore, BM-MNCs of 30 healthy donors were used as normal controls (NC). Chronic myeloid leukemia was diagnosed by molecular biology, bone marrow morphology, immunology, and cytogenetics examination (19, 20). Patients with severe cardiopulmonary, renal or liver failure or coagulation abnormality or pregnant were excluded. The BM-MNCs were extracted by lymphocyte isolation fluid following the instructions. After centrifugation, the cell layer was collected for analysis. Red blood cells were lysed using RBC lysis solution, and samples were washed twice with PBS. The ethics committee of the Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University approved this experiment.

Cell Culture
K562 and KCL22 cells were chosen as representatives of the CML cell lines. HL-60, THP-1, and U937 cells were acute leukemia cell lines. All cell types were maintained in our laboratory. All above cells were cultured in RPMI 1640-based culture medium (Gibco) or Iscoves-modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM; Gibco) culture medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco). The aforementioned conditioned media contained 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. The cells were cultivated in an incubator at 37°C, 95% air and 5% CO₂ saturated humidity (Thermo, Waltham, MA, USA).

Cell Transfection
Lentiviruses containing shRNA-HNRNPH1 or overexpression of PTPN6 plasmid were constructed by the Shanghai Genechem Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The multiplicity of infection (MOI) refers to the proportion of infectious viruses per cells. K562 cells were infected with each virus at an MOI of 30. The MOI of KCL22 was 40. The virus was added into cells from the logarithmic growth stage according to the infection conditions and cultured for 12–16 h. Then the cells were incubated with culture medium containing 10% FBS. The cells were treated with puromycin at 2 µg/ml to screen for stably transfected cells lines on conditions.

Cell Viability Assay
The cell viability was measured using the CCK-8 (Beibo Biological Reagent Co., Shanghai, China) assay. Approximately

| Characteristic | CML-CP (n = 30) | CML-AP (n = 18) | CML-BP (n = 12) |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Age (years), median (range) | 51 (21–79) | 49 (25–68) | 57 (36–73) |
| Male/female (n/n) | 19/11 | 9/9 | 4/8 |
| WBC count, ×10³/L, median (range) | 155.4 (23.5–527.5) | 72.5 (1.75–433) | 78.7 (1.5–327.9) |
| Haemoglobin level (g/l) | 105 (70–147) | 85 (62–118) | 92 (66–124) |
| Platelet count, ×10³/L, median (range) | 522 (9–1,476) | 609 (4–3,000) | 151 (11–576) |

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the patients included in the study.
100 ul of mixed suspension cells (1 × 10⁵ cells/ml) required for different experiments were added to the 96-well plate. Following cell culture, 10ul CCK-8 solution was added into each plate at various time points and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ saturated humidity for 2 h. The optical density was read at 450 nm in a microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA) in different time points.

Cell Apoptosis Assay
Cell apoptosis was assayed by an Annexin V/FITC/PI Apoptosis Detection Kit (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). The cells required for different experiments were mixed with 5 μl Annexin V/FITC and 10 μl propidium iodide (PI) based on the manufacturer’s instructions. They were analyzed with a FC500 flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). The data was performed using Kaluza software (Beckman Coulter).

RNA Extraction and Quantitative Real-Time PCR
qRT-PCR analysis was performed with standard procedure as described previously (21). Briefly, total RNA of cells lines and BMMNCs were extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The cDNA was synthesized by a SureScript™ First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Funeng, Guangzhou, China). Quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed by an All-in-One™ qPCR Mix (Funeng, Guangzhou, China). Each reaction was dependently repeated thrice. qRT-PCR was performed at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C (15 s), 60°C (30 s), and 72°C (30 s). Moreover, GAPDH was used as an internal reference.

Western Blot Analysis
Proteins were obtained using radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer to dissolve the cells. The quantification was tested using bicinechonic acid Protein Assay Kit (Boster Biological Company, Ltd., Wuhan, China). The target proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA) via electroelrophoresis. The PVDF membrane was blocked in 5% nonfat milk. The protein bands were incubated with specific primary antibodies as follows: HNRNP1H1 (1:2,000) (ab 154894, Abcam, CA, USA), PTPN6 (1:1,000) (ab 32559, Abcam, CA, USA), AKT (1:1,000) (ab 38449, Abcam, CA, USA), p-AKT (1:1,000) (ab 8805, Abcam, CA, USA), and β-ACTIN (1:8,000; Abways Technology, New York, NY, USA; AB0035). Moreover, the PVDF membrane was incubated in a goat-anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:10,000, Boster Biological Company, Ltd., Wuhan, China) overnight. Images of protein quantification were captured by BioSpectrum Imaging System (UVP, LLC, Upland, CA, USA).

Immunofluorescence Staining
Cell samples were centrifuged, fixed, and smeared onto coverslips. The cells were fixed using 4% formaldehyde and preincubated with 10% normal goat serum (710,027, KPL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). The cells were rinsed with PBS and incubated with anti-HNRNP1 antibody (Abcam, ab 154894) at 37°C for 1 h. Immunofluorescence staining was enhanced using a rabbit anti-red fluorescent-labeled antibody (Rockland Immunochemicals Inc., Gilbertsville, PA, USA; 1:100). The smears were then incubated with DAPI. Furthermore, nuclear staining was observed by confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM 700, Germany) and digitized with confocal software.

Colony-Forming Assay
The colony formation was performed with standard procedure in accordance with the method described in previous study (2, 22). The logarithmic growth phase of treated cells was added to the 1% methylcellulose for 14–18 days at 37°C with 5% CO₂ saturated humidity. The methylcellulose medium was mixed with powdered culture medium with ultrapure water, 40% FBS, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The colonies were quantified as aggregates with greater than 50 cells by microscope (Axio-observer D1, Zeiss, Germany). Then the colonies were counted manually and photographed.

RNA Immunoprecipitation
The cells were treated with cell lysis buffer. The 10% lysis sample (named input) was stored, and 80% (named IP) was used in immunoprecipitation reactions with HNRNP1 antibody (Abcam No 154894), and 10% (named IgG) was incubated with rabbit IgG (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) as a negative control. The RNA of input and IP was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). The purified RNA samples were analyzed with conventional RT-PCR.

RNA-Seq
Three biological replicates in each control and knockdown HNRNP1H1 groups in K562 cells were collected for microarray analysis after 14 days of infection. The total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and subjected to RNA sequencing. RNA seq was performed by BGI Technology Services Co., Ltd (Shenzhen, China). The differentially expressed genes were screened based on fold change (>0.5) and Student’s t-test (P <0.05). The RNA-sequencing raw data have been deposited into sequence read archive (SRA) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra).

Animal Experiment
Sixteen female severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) athymic nude mice (16–20 g, 5–6 weeks’ old) were purchased from SPF Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). All mice were raised at an ambient temperature of 18–22°C and relative humidity of 50–60%. The stably knockdown-HNRNP1H1 K562 cells and negative control K562 cells were subcutaneously injected into the left dorsal flanks of each mouse (0.5 × 10⁶ cells per injection). Tumor size and body weight were dynamically observed. The nude mice were sacrificed on day 28 post-inoculation. All animal experiment protocols were approved by the committee on animal experimentation of Hebei Medical University and carried out following the guidelines on animal experimentation.
Immunohistochemistry
The tumor tissues of mice were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and routinely dehydrated, embedded in paraffin sections, and cut into 4-μm thick sections. The antigen repair was then performed with sodium citrate. Moreover, endogenous peroxidase was inactivated by 3% hydrogen peroxide. Tissue sections were incubated with the primary antibodies at 4°C overnight and with secondary antibody at room temperature for 30 min respectively. Sections were incubated with DAB chromogen at room temperature for 3–10 min after washing with phosphate-buffered saline with detergent Tween. Slices were sealed with coverslips after rinsing with running water and hematoxylin counterstain.

Statistical Analysis
The data were presented by means ± SD. Student’s t-test and Chi-square test were applied to detect the significant difference by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
HNRNPH1 Is Upregulated in CML Patients and Cell Lines
The difference in the HNRNPH1 expression between the BM-MNCs of CML patients and the healthy donors was first explored to investigate the role of HNRNPH1 in CML. qRT-PCR result showed that mRNA expression of HNRNPH1 in BM-MNCs of CML patients was significantly higher compared with the normal controls (Figure 1A). The HNRNPH1 expression in different progressions of CML was then compared. Moreover, the HNRNPH1 expression in the progressive phase was higher compared with the chronic phase (Figure 1B). However, the expression level in the blast phase was the highest. HNRNPH1 protein level was then also detected in the BM-MNCs of 10 patients selected in different stages of CML and healthy donors. The Western blot analysis result showed that the HNRNPH1 protein level was significantly increased in CML patients compared with normal controls. Consistent with qRT-PCR

![Figure 1](https://www.frontiersin.org)
results, the HNRNPH1 protein level was increased in the blast and accelerated phase compared with the chronic phase (Figure 1C). Furthermore, mRNA and HNRNPH1 protein levels were found to be upregulated in leukemia cell lines compared with BM-MNCs of healthy donors (Figures 1D, E). Immunofluorescence also displayed that HNRNPH1 protein was primarily localized in the nucleus and increased in CML patients (Figure 1F). These results suggest that HNRNPH1 expression is abnormally elevated in CML, especially in the progressive phase.

### HNRNPH1 Downregulation Promotes Apoptosis of CML Cells

HNRNPH1 was knocked by transfection of sh-RNA or empty vector (sh-Con) into CML cell lines to investigate the impact of HNRNPH1 on CML progression. The sh-RNA transfection of HNRNPH1 successfully reduced the HNRNPH1 expression level in both K562 and KCL22 cells compared with the negative controls (Figure 2A). Cell viabilities were then measured by CCK-8 assay. The HNRNPH1 downregulation markedly reduced cell proliferation compared with negative controls (Figure 2B). The cell apoptosis by flow cytometry using Annexin V-FITC/PI staining was then detected next. The result showed that HNRNPH1 knockdown in CML cells promoted cell apoptosis compared with the negative controls (Figure 2C). Consistently, HNRNPH1 suppression was found to inhibit the multiplication of CML cells by mainly arresting their cell cycle at G1/G0 phase (K562 44.34% vs. 52.35%, KCL22 43.61% vs. 53.60%) and caused S phase reduction (K562 48.58% vs. 38.86%, KCL22 52.41% vs. 41.9%), suggesting that HNRNPH1 knockdown played an inhibitory effect on cell arrest phase (Figure 2D). Furthermore, colony formation experiments also confirmed that HNRNPH1 knockdown inhibited cell proliferation (Figure 2E). Taken together, these results revealed that HNRNPH1 played a curtail role in CML cell survival in vitro.

### HNRNPH1 Knockdown Increases the Sensitivity of Imatinib in CML Cells

CML cells were transfected with sh-RNA of HNRNPH1 or sh-Con and then treated with different concentrations of imatinib to investigate the effect of HNRNPH1 on imatinib sensitivity. The IC50 of imatinib was detected by the CCK-8 assay. Moreover, HNRNPH1 silencing significantly increased imatinib sensitivity compared with the negative control (Figure 3A). Consequently, the number of apoptotic cells induced by imatinib was increased in the knockdown group compared with the negative control (Figure 3B). Moreover, colony formation assay showed that HNRNPH1 knockdown in CML cells could promote cell sensibility to imatinib (Figure 3C). These results suggest that HNRNPH1 knockdown promotes imatinib sensitivity in CML cells.

![FIGURE 2](https://example.com/figure2.png) | HNRNPH1 downregulation promotes apoptosis of CML cells. (A) K562 and KCL22 cells were transfected with specific sh-RNA of HNRNPH1 or negative sh-RNA (sh-Con). Western blot was used to detect HNRNPH1 protein level. (B) CML cells were prepared as (A). The cell cycle was detected by flow cytometry using Annexin V-FITC/PI staining. (C) CML cells were prepared as (A), and the cell cycle was detected by flow cytometry. (D) CML cells were prepared as (A), and cell cycle was detected by flow cytometry. (E) CML cells were prepared as (A), and colony formation was detected by colony formation assay.
HNRNPH1 Regulates the PTPN6 Expression

HNRNPH1 was knocked down in K562 cells to identify molecular mechanisms of HNRNPH1 in regulating the growth of CML cells. RNA-seq was then performed. In addition, the differential expressed genes were displayed in the volcano plot between the sh-RNA and negative control groups (Figure 4A). Among those relative upregulated genes, PTPN6, which had been confirmed to play a crucial role as a cancer suppressor in leukemia, was focused on (21). The HNRNPH1 was knocked down in K562 cells to confirm the results of the RNA-seq and detect the PTPN6 expression. Consistent with the result of RNA-seq, the fold change of PTPN6 expression was upregulated in HNRNPH1 knocked down cells than control cells by qRT-PCR analysis. As shown in Figure 4B, the fold change of PTPN6 mRNA expression in K562 and KCL22 cell were 1.56 and 1.42, respectively. Likewise, the western blot result showed that the protein level of PTPN6 was increased in HNRNPH1-reduced cells compared with the negative control (Figure 4C). Additionally, the PTPN6 expression in CML patients was detected by qRT-PCR analysis. The PTPN6 expression which was reduced in CML-CP patients compared with healthy donors was related to illness aggravation (Figure 4D). A significant inverse correlation existed between the HNRNPH1 expression and PTPN6 in CML patients (Figure 4E). Taken together, these data revealed that PTPN6, which was downregulated in CML, may negatively correlate with HNRNPH1.

HNRNPH1 Regulates PI3K/AKT Pathway by Binding to PTPN6

As an RNA binding protein, HNRNPH1 is involved in gene regulation by directly assembling on its mRNA (23). Radioimmunoprecipitation (RIP) followed by RT-PCR and qRT-PCR were performed to investigate whether HNRNPH1 could bind to the mRNA of PTPN6 to regulate its expression. RIP-PCR analysis showed that PTPN6 mRNA, but not Actin, was present in the protein–RNA complex pulled down by the antibody HNRNPH1 (Figures 5A, B), indicating that HNRNPH1 could physically bind to the mRNA of PTPN6. The screened differential genes were enriched in the PI3K/AKT pathway (Figure 5C), just like a previous study reported that PTPN6 could influence the activity of the PI3K/AKT pathway (24). To further verify whether HNRNPH1 contributed to the regulation of the PI3K/AKT pathway, CML cells were transfected with sh-HNRNPH1 or PTPN6 overexpression plasmid or co-transfected them together. The western blot results showed that PTPN6 overexpression could decrease the p-AKT protein level, while this reduction effect of p-AKT could be further reduced by HNRNPH1 knockdown together in CML cells (Figure 5D), suggesting that PTPN6 may mediate the relationship between the HNRNPH1 and the activation of PI3K/AKT in CML cells. Interestingly, this study also found that the expression trend of P210 protein that encoded BCR–ABL1 fusion gene was surprisingly consistent which is regulated positively by HNRNPH1 but negatively by PTPN6.
FIGURE 4 | HNRNPH1 regulates the PTPN6 expression. (A) Three independent HNRNPH1 reduced and control cells were prepared for RNA preparation and microarray analysis. Genes with altered expression were displayed in volcano plots. The upregulated genes are highlighted in pink and downregulated genes in blue. (B) K562 and KCL22 cells were transfected with specific sh-RNA of HNRNPH1 or negative sh-RNA (sh-Con). qRT-PCR was used to detect the PTPN6 mRNA level. ***P < 0.001 vs. sh-Con. (C) CML cells were prepared as (B), western blot was used to detect PTPN6 protein level. Right panel, densitometric analysis. ***P < 0.001 vs. sh-Con. (D) qRT-PCR was used to detect PTPN6 mRNA level in different phases of CML (CML-CP, CML-AP, and CML-BP) patients’ BM-MNCs compared with normal controls. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (E) Pearson correlation analysis was used to analyze the relationship between HNRNPH1 and PTPN6 in BM-MNCs of CML patients (R = 0.36, P < 0.0001).

FIGURE 5 | HNRNPH1 regulates PI3K/AKT pathway by binding to PTPN6. (A, B) RIP-PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis were used to test the interaction between the HNRNPH1 protein and PTPN6 mRNA. ***P < 0.001 vs. IgG (C). KEGG pathway significant enrichment was used to identify the main biochemical metabolic and signal transduction pathways involved in differentially expressed genes. (D) CML cells were transfected with sh-HNRNPH1 or PTPN6 overexpression plasmid or co-transfected them together. Western blot analysis was used to detect PTPN6, p-AKT, AKT, and P210BCR-ABL protein levels.
Collectively, these results revealed that HNRNPH1 contributed to CML cell progression by moderating PI3K/AKT pathway.

**HNRNPH1 Downregulation Inhibits CML Cell Growth In Vivo**

The nude mice xenograft model was applied to confirm whether the reduction of HNRNPH1 expression could inhibit the proliferation of CML cells through the PTPN6–PI3K/AKT pathway in vivo. The shRNA K562 cell lines stably suppressing HNRNPH1 were screened. The HNRNPH1-reduced K562 and control cells were then subcutaneously implanted into the nude mice. The HNRNPH1 reduction could inhibit the tumor size compared with the control in vivo (Figures 6A, B). Similarly, this study also found that downregulating the HNRNPH1 expression could decrease the weight of xenograft tumors (Figure 6C). In addition, the protein level of HNRNPH1, PTPN6, AKT, p-AKT and BCR-ABL was detected by immunohistochemical (Figure 6D) and western blot analysis (Figure 6E). The results showed that the PTPN6 protein level increased while the p-AKT and BCR-ABL dramatically decreased in the HNRNPH1 reduction group compared with the negative control. The results proved that HNRNPH1 reduction also inhibited CML cell growth in vivo through the PTPN6-PI3K/AKT pathway.

**DISCUSSION**

The pathogenesis of CML is a complex process that is concerned with numerous mechanisms. Therefore, many studies have been dedicated to elucidate the pathogenesis of advanced CML. Our previous studies have shed light on underlying mechanisms linked to disease progression, such as epigenetic alterations, abnormal activation of coding or non-coding RNA transcripts and cancer-related pathways. For example, the PTPN6 expression is closely related to the progression of CML, which is regulated by epigenetic modifications including acetylation and methylation (21). The Long Noncoding RNA MEG3 which could sponge to miRNA inhibit the CML cell proliferation in CML cells and contributes to CML progression (25, 26). Moreover, the deubiquitinating enzyme ubiquitin-specific peptidase 15 expression level was significantly downregulated in CML patients by blocking JAK/STAT5 pathway and involved in imatinib resistance (27). However, the specific mechanisms of CML development and progression remain exclusive.

Growing evidence have proved that the post-transcription is a critical mechanism for regulating gene expression. RBPs are considered as essential modulators in RNA translation, transcription, splicing and mRNA stability, which are frequently dysregulated in tumor cells (28, 29). An increasing number of studies have indicated the critical role of RBP in hematological malignancies. For example, Wang et al. have reported that degradation of RBM39 sustains leukemia survival by altering the splicing of HOXA in AML cells (8). Gallardo et al. found that the upregulation of hnRNPK regulates MYC expression in B-cell lymphoma post-transcriptionally and translationally (9). Ge revealed that RBM25 has an impact on the AML development as a splicing factor of c-myc (30). In the present study, we found thatHNRNPH1 was upregulated in CML patients and correlated to disease progression. HNRNPH1 could function as a diagnosis related biomarker and a novel target for combination therapy for CML patients. Due to the limitations, a single-center study with a low number of patients was afforded, where subsequent research is needed to delineate the relationship with the prognosis.

Currently, some hnRNPs have been demonstrated to be involved in the oncogenesis of human hematologic malignancies.
For instance, HNRNPK was downregulated in leukemia cells. Knockdown of HNRNPK promoted tumor growth of myeloproliferative neoplasm in vivo (31). HNRNPD also had an effect on the proliferation of BCR-ABL-positive cell lines which were increased in hematopoietic stem cells (32). The alternative splice alterations of HNRNPA2B1 influenced the development and adverse outcome in myelodysplastic syndromes (33). Despite having some HNRNPs family members being confirmed to function as oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes in CML (32, 34–36), a few of them have clearly revealed the molecular mechanisms in CML progression. HNRNPH1, which is localized in the nucleus, has been shown aberrantly overexpressed in hematological malignancies including AML, Burkitt lymphoma, and T-acute lymphoblastic leukemia (8, 37, 38). Importantly, Yamazaki et al. also found that HNRNPH1 plays a crucial role in stem cell maintenance and hematopoietic development suggesting that HNRNPH1 may be involved in the regulation of hematopoietic stem cells (39). In the present study, we demonstrated that HNRNPH1 expression was higher in CML patients compared with healthy donors, and gradually elevated along with disease progression that blast crisis patients have significantly highly expressed HNRNPH1 (Figures 1A–C). The higher level of HNRNPH1 has also been observed in leukemia cell lines and was particularly prominent in CML cells. Given that some patients in the progressive phases are associated with TKIs insensitive or resistance, HNRNPH1 downregulation was found to increase imatinib sensitivity. The above results potentially indicated that HNRNPH1 was an important molecular marker for CML progression and may help improve treatment, such as early turn to more efficacious TKIs or combination chemotherapy. Our data further elaborated that the reduction of HNRNPH1 expression can promote apoptosis and inhibit proliferation in CML cells. Therefore, HNRNPH1 was shown to be an important regulator for the proliferation of CML cells. These findings further validated that HNRNPH1 may serve as a therapeutic target for CML treatment as its functions as the anti-apoptotic molecule in tumor metastasis and growth. Notably, whether the downregulation of HNRNPH1 would arrest or even reverse disease progression still requires further investigations.

Besides the potential use of HNRNPH1 as a biomarker of CML disease progression, underlying mechanisms of HNRNPH1 were identified. PTPN6, possessing an SH2 domain, was a tumor suppressor by dephosphorylation in CML (21, 40). RIP-PCR revealed that HNRNPH1 could be a negative upstream regulator by regulating the mRNA expression of PTPN6 directly. Gratifyingly, HNRNPH1 motif sequence was found to be present in the PTPN6 gene, suggesting that HNRNPH1 regulated the post-transcription level of PTPN6, which supported the experimental results in our study (41). However, our experiments did not fully address the mechanism whether HNRNPH1 affects the stability or splicing of PTPN6, which still needs to be further explored. The tyrosine kinase activity of BCR-ABL activate multiple signaling pathways, including PI3K/AKT, of which is responsible for cell survival (42). It is consistent with previous studies that PTPN6 may inhibit the activation of PT3K/AKT pathway by mediating AKT dephosphorylation (43). The present study also made a promising discovery that BCR-ABL was positively regulated by HNRNPH1 downregulation mediated by PTPN6. A prior study demonstrated that PTPN6 was a binding protein of P210 BCR-ABL, which may explain the reason for this phenomenon (44). We have here provided the first piece of evidence that the HNRNPH1–PTPN6–PI3K/AKT axis mediated CML progression.

In this study, we demonstrated that downregulation of HNRNPH1 inhibits the potential tumorigenic both in vitro and in vivo, and can increase imatinib sensitivity, providing a rational drug design to prevent the expression of HNRNPH1 in BCR-ABL positive leukemia. It was confirmed that HNRNPH1 was indeed associated with PARylation with a conserved domain of pADPr-binding (45). Given that the reduction of PARG activity can significant elevate the PARylation cellular level (46), implying that PARG inhibitors may block the HNRNPH1 related tumor growth. We hypothesize that PARG inhibitor can serve as a targeted HNRNPH1 inhibitor for a combination of chemotherapies in CML patients with high level of HNRNPH1, which deserves further exploration.

**FIGURE 7** | A schematic model depicting the role of HNRNPH1–PTPN6–PI3K/AKT axis in CML.
CONCLUSION

Taken together, as displayed in Figure 7, HNRNPH1 was uncovered, for the first time, as a potential molecular marker in CML disease progression. In addition, HNRNPH1, which has oncogene function and multiple transcriptional factor binding sites, HNRNPH1 could be a novel CML therapeutic target that has a huge potential clinical translation value. Thus, the HNRNPH1–PTPN6–PI3K/AKT axis played an important role in the genesis and CML progression.
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