INTRODUCTION

Most osteoporotic fractures in the elderly are caused by falls. Because impairment of balance and muscle weakness are the major risk factors for falls in the elderly, the assessment of muscle strength and balance is central to evaluating the tendency to fall and to instigating preventive measures against falls. When assessing balance, we should consider dynamic balance as well as static balance. Clinically, representative methods of measuring static balance include the one-leg standing test (OLS) and the standing center-of-gravity examination, whereas dynamic balance in the elderly is commonly evaluated using the timed up and go test (TUG) and the functional reach test (FRT).

In regard to falls, the assessment of dynamic balance is considered to be more important than that of static balance. Many falls are caused by sudden external stimuli such as slipping or tripping; falls arising as a consequence of balance disturbances are more likely to result in serious injury. Therefore, there is a need for a comprehensive examination of balance ability in the elderly.
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ance dysfunction are more closely related to the ability to respond to external stimuli and dynamic balance than to maintaining a static posture.\(^6\) We therefore developed a device for measuring dynamic balance in the sitting position. The device is capable of measuring trunk balance ability by adding a disturbance that periodically tilts the seat surface in the frontal plane; we have previously reported its utility in balance evaluation.\(^7–9\) Dynamic balance evaluation with the subject in the sitting position is safer than other positions for assessing balance in elderly individuals at risk of falls.

Studies have shown that vitamin D, an essential hormone for bone health, exerts positive effects on balance and muscle strength and is effective in preventing falls, especially in the elderly.\(^10–13\) However, much of the clinical research into the effects of vitamin D regarding fall prevention has been done using native vitamin D with calcium supplementation,\(^10–13\) whereas research into active vitamin D\(_3\), including eldecalcitol\([2\beta-(3\text{-}\text{hydroxypropyloxy})-1,25\text{-dihydroxyvitamin D_3}],\) remains insufficient.

Among many anti-osteoporotic agents, denosumab, a human monoclonal antibody against receptor activator of nuclear factor κ-B ligand, is used worldwide as one of the most effective agents. Denosumab selectively inhibits osteoclastogenesis and exerts potent inhibitory effects on bone turnover, thereby increasing bone mineral density (BMD) and preventing osteoporotic fractures.\(^14–17\) In Japan, to prevent hypocalcemia resulting from denosumab treatment, use of the insurance-covered chewable tablet of native vitamin D with calcium supplementation is recommended. However, in clinical practice, active vitamin D\(_3\), including eldecalcitol, is also used with denosumab. Consequently, the purpose of this open-label, prospective, randomized study was to compare the effects of native vitamin D with calcium supplementation and eldecalcitol on balance (both dynamic and static) and muscle strength in postmenopausal patients undergoing denosumab treatment for osteoporosis. This preliminary study examined the effects of native vitamin D and eldecalcitol in a limited number of subjects.

### METHODS

#### Patients and Study Design

A total of 30 women (≥60 years old) with postmenopausal osteoporosis, no history of treatment for osteoporosis, and in whom measuring physical function parameters was feasible, were recruited to this open-label, prospective, 6-month randomized study. Osteoporosis was diagnosed according to the criteria proposed by the Japanese Society for Bone and Mineral Research (2012 revision).\(^18\) Briefly, patients with: (1) fragility fracture in either the lumbar spine or proximal femur; (2) other fragility fracture and BMD <80% of the young adult mean (YAM); or (3) BMD ≤70% or −2.5 standard deviations below the YAM were diagnosed as having osteoporosis.

Subjects with comorbidities such as gastrectomy; renal failure; or bone diseases such as cancer-induced bone loss, primary hyperparathyroidism, hyperthyroidism, Cushing’s syndrome, multiple myeloma, Paget’s disease of the bone, or osteogenesis imperfecta were excluded from this study. Subjects with the following conditions who could have neuromuscular or musculoskeletal diseases were also excluded because of the increased risk of falls: dementia, Parkinson’s disease, cranial nerve disorders, spinal cord disorders, antipsychotic drug usage, or severe osteoarthritis of the knee.

Subjects were randomly allocated to either the native D group (n=15) or the ELD group (n=15). In the native D group, patients were treated with denosumab (60 mg subcutaneously every 6 months) in combination with orally administered cholecalciferol at 400 IU/day (10 µg/day) and calcium at 610 mg/day (Denotas; Daiichi Sankyo, Tokyo, Japan). In the ELD group, patients were treated with denosumab (60 mg subcutaneous injection every 6 months) in combination with orally administered eldecalcitol at 0.75 µg/day (Ediol; Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Tokyo, Japan). We used the RAND function in Excel to randomize the subjects. Enrolled subjects with a RAND value of less than 0.5 were assigned to the native D group, whereas those with a RAND value of more than 0.5 were allocated to the ELD group. In the ELD group, to minimize the risk of hypercalcemia (in accordance with the warning given in the drug package insert for eldecalcitol), calcium supplementation was not administered; however, active intake of dietary calcium was not prohibited.

During the 6-month treatment period, nine patients dropped out of the study. The reasons were cessation of hospital visits for any reason (1 patient in the native D group, 3 patients in the ELD group), numbness in the extremities (1 patient in the native D group, 3 patients in the ELD group), or withdrawn consent (1 subject in the ELD group). Consequently, data from 21 subjects (13 patients in the native D group, 8 patients in the ELD group) were included in the final analyses (Fig. 1). The protocol for this randomized prospective study was approved by the ethics committee at our institute (approval no. 1188), and the study was conducted at Oga-Minato General Hospital. Written informed consent for the study and publication of its results was obtained from all patients.
Evaluations

Age (years), height (m), body weight (kg), and body mass index (BMI, kg/m²) were recorded for all subjects at the beginning of this study. The parameters described below were measured before treatment (i.e., at baseline) and after 6 months of treatment.

Bone Mineral Density

BMD was measured at the lumbar spine (antero-posterior measurement of the lumbar spine at the L2-4 level) and the proximal femur using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (QDR Discovery, Hologic, Bedford, MA, USA). The least significant change for this measurement modality has been reported as 1.69–2.08 at the lumbar spine and 1.49–1.77 at the femur.19

Blood Biochemical Tests

Serum samples were obtained from all subjects and the following biochemical analyses were performed. Total protein (TP, g/dL; reference range, 6.6–8.1 g/dL), serum albumin (Alb, g/dL; reference range, 4.1–5.1 g/dL), blood urea nitrogen (BUN, mg/dL; reference range, 8.0–20.0 mg/dL), creatinine (Cre, mg/dL; reference range, 0.65–1.07 mg/dL), estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR, ml/min/1.73

Fig. 1. Flowchart depicting the steps involved in the recruitment process. BMD, bone mineral density.
m²; reference range, >60 ml/min/1.73 m²), 25 hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D, ng/ml; reference range, >20 ng/ml], calcium (Ca, mg/dL; reference range, 8.8–10.1 mg/dL), phosphorus (P, mg/dL; reference range, 2.7–4.6 mg/dL), and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase-5b (TRACP-5b, mU/dL; postmenopausal reference range, 120–420 mU/dL) were measured using standard laboratory techniques before and after treatment. 25(OH)D and TRACP-5b were measured by chemiluminescent immunoassay (Liaison 25OH Vitamin D total; Hitachi Chemical Company, Tokyo, Japan) and enzyme immunoassay (Osteolinks TRACP-5b; SB Bioscience Co., Tokyo, Japan), respectively.

Muscle Strength
The mean values for both left and right grip strength were measured using a hand grip dynamometer (TH-Y02; Tsutsumi, Tokyo, Japan) with the subject in the upright position. Isometric back extensor strength was measured twice with the subject in the prone position using a dynamometer with a strain gauge (Digital Force Gauge DPU-1000N; Imada, Toyohashi, Japan); the larger of the two values was used. The coefficient of variation of these measurements was 2.3%.20 The iliopsoas (hip flexor) and quadriceps (knee extensor) muscle strengths were measured twice manually using a handheld dynamometer (Power Track II; JTEC Med, Salt Lake City, UT, USA); the mean values of the right and left sides were used for evaluation.

Static Postural Balance
Static standing balance was evaluated using the OLS. The OLS was performed with the patient standing on the left leg for as long as possible. The subject stood with eyes open and both arms aligned with the trunk without any support.

Dynamic Postural Balance
The 10-m walk test (10MWT) performed at a comfortable speed was used to assess dynamic physical function.21 The FRT was used to assess dynamic balance control with a fixed base of support.22 The participant was required to reach forward beyond the length of the extended arm without losing their balance. The participant stood with the legs positioned a shoulder-width apart and lifted one arm forward to 90° with flexion of the shoulder and fingers extended.22 The test–retest reliability and validity of the FRT were reported in a previous study.22 The TUG test was used as an indicator of dynamic balance control with a mobile base of support. The TUG test measures the time required for participants to rise from a standard armchair, walk 3 m, turn, return, and sit down again. The reliability and validity of the TUG test has been reported previously.23

Dynamic Sitting Balance
Dynamic sitting balance was evaluated using our originally developed dynamic balance measuring device. This device can calculate the center of pressure using three triaxial force sensors (USL06-H5; Tec Gihan, Kyoto, Japan) under external stimuli23 (Fig. 2). The intraclass correlation coefficient for intra-examiner reliability was 0.815, and that for inter-examiner reliability was 0.789.23 Subjects were instructed to sit on the platform as stably as possible with their gaze fixed on a horizontal mark placed 2 m away on the wall, to keep their lower limbs off the floor, and to cross their arms over their chest (Fig. 3). Subjects were challenged with an angular velocity of 0.40 Hz of sway toward the right or left sides with 3° of inclination for 30 s to assess their ability to respond to external stimuli. The total length of the center of gravity [LNG (mm)] trajectory during the test was measured.

Statistical Analysis
We performed all analyses based on a modified intention-to-treat (ITT) population that included all randomized participants for whom valid data were available from baseline evaluations. As a second approach, a per-protocol (PP) analysis was performed that included only patients who continued treatment and underwent evaluations at 6 months after starting the experiment. Except for LNG, the Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparisons of baseline data between the two groups, whereas the Wilcoxon-signed rank test was used for within-group comparisons. Student’s t-test was used for comparisons of baseline data or data after 6 months of treatment between the two groups and the paired t-test was used to make within-group comparisons for LNG. Furthermore, the percentage changes in 25(OH)D, TRACP-5b, grip strength, back extensor strength, hip flexor strength, and knee extensor strength were calculated as [(value after treatment) − (value before treatment)] ×100/(value before treatment)] to evaluate the effects of native vitamin D or eldecalcitol on serum bone markers and muscle strength. The percentage changes in these parameters for the two groups were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. The software used for the statistical analyses was IBM SPSS for Mac version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), and values of P <0.05 were regarded as significant.
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RESULTS

Baseline Data
At baseline, apart from Alb in the modified ITT populations (Table 1) and TRACP-5b in the PP analysis (Table 2), all data evaluated in this study showed no significant differences between the two treatment groups. TRACP-5b levels were significantly higher in the native D group than in the ELD group at baseline, although the median levels of TRACP-5b in both groups were higher than the upper limit of the normal range.

Effects of 6 Months of Treatment in Each Group
As indications of bone metabolism, the serum 25(OH)D level and the lumbar spine BMD significantly increased in the native D group, and the serum TRACP-5b level significantly decreased after treatment (Table 2). These results are the expected consequences of denosumab treatment and native vitamin D administration. In terms of muscle strength and balance in the native D group, back extensor and knee extensor strengths increased significantly, and LNG decreased significantly after 6 months of treatment (Table 3). Other parameters did not show significant changes after treatment with denosumab and native vitamin D.

In the ELD group, the serum TRACP-5b level decreased significantly after treatment (Table 2). This result also seemed to be a consequence of denosumab treatment. In terms of muscle strength and balance in the ELD group, only LNG was significantly affected and had decreased after treatment (Table 3). The other parameters did not show any significant change after treatment with eldecalcitol.

Fig. 2. Dynamic sitting balance was evaluated using an originally developed dynamic balance measuring device. The device can calculate the center of pressure using three triaxial force sensors under external stimuli. The seat surface can tilt to a maximum of 3° to both the right and left sides.
Comparison of Treatment Effects between the Two Groups

After 6 months of treatment, as a consequence of native vitamin D supplementation in the native D group, serum 25(OH)D was significantly higher in the native D group than in the ELD group (Table 2). After treatment, serum phosphorus levels were significantly higher in the ELD group than in the native D group. No significant differences were seen between the groups in terms of muscle strength or the evaluated balance parameters. As a result of treatment, the percentage changes in the 25(OH)D and TRACP-5b levels in the native D group were significantly higher than those in the ELD group (P=0.010 and P=0.034, respectively). However, there were no significant differences in the effects of treatment on muscle strength, including grip strength, back extensor strength, hip flexor strength, and knee extensor strength between the native D and the ELD groups (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The negative impacts of vitamin D insufficiency or deficiency on human health involve not only diseases affecting bone metabolism, such as osteoporosis, but also other conditions. For example, lower levels of serum 25(OH)D are associated with many acute and chronic diseases, including autoimmune disorders, infectious diseases, cardiovascular diseases, type 2 diabetes mellitus, neurological disorders, and cancers. A recent meta-analysis showed that serum 25(OH)D concentrations ≤30 ng/ml were associated with significantly higher all-cause mortality than concentrations >30 ng/ml (P <0.01).

Vitamin D is also important for muscle strength and balance, especially in the elderly. Vitamin D receptors (VDRs) have been identified in muscle tissue, and the number of VDRs in muscle appears to decline with age. Because muscle weakness is an important contributor to impaired bal-

Fig. 3. Subjects sat on the device with arms folded across the anterior chest, eyes open, and with the feet not touching the floor. Subjects were then challenged for 30 s with an angular velocity of 0.40 Hz sway toward the right and left sides with 3° of inclination.
ance and functional limitations in the elderly, the administration of vitamin D is considered an important measure to prevent falls in the elderly. In the clinical treatment of osteoporosis, additional effects against falls of using the active form of vitamin D are also postulated. This study compared the effects of native vitamin D with calcium supplementation and eldecalcitol on muscular strength and dynamic and static balance in patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis who were undergoing treatment with denosumab. Concomitant use of vitamin D during denosumab treatment is not only beneficial in preventing hypocalcemia but may also help prevent falls by exerting effects on muscles and balance. However, the effects on muscles and balance of vitamin D in combination with denosumab treatment have never been studied. In particular, the differences between administration of native vitamin D and eldecalcitol with regard to the impact on dynamic balance require clarification. The present study focused on these points.

Eldecalcitol is an activated vitamin D₃ analog that shows potent effects against osteoporosis; it has been authorized for clinical application in Japan since 2011. Studies have shown that eldecalcitol significantly increases BMD and reduces the risk of new vertebral fractures more effectively than alfalcacidol, a conventional active vitamin D₃. Because

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the participants in the modified intention-to-treat population

|                | Native D (n=15) | ELD (n=15) |
|----------------|----------------|------------|
| Age (years)    | 79.0 (70.5, 82.5) | 70.0 (67.0, 81.0) |
| Height (m)     | 1.47 (1.44, 1.54) | 1.48 (1.46, 1.54) |
| Weight (kg)    | 48.0 (45.0, 52.0) | 52.0 (47.5, 55.0) |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | 21.4 (21.1, 23.9) | 21.9 (21.1, 24.2) |
| TP (g/dL)      | 6.8 (6.7, 7.7) | 6.9 (6.6, 7.2) |
| Alb (g/dL)     | 4.2 (4.0, 4.4) | 4.4 (4.3, 4.7) |
| BUN (mg/dL)    | 17.5 (15.6, 19.8) | 16.7 (13.9, 21.0) |
| Cre (mg/dL)    | 0.67 (0.55, 0.74) | 0.61 (0.56, 0.65) |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²) | 61.9 (56.8, 63.9) | 74.6 (66.7, 77.3) |
| 25(OH)D (ng/ml) | 27.5 (25.0, 35.8) | 24.0 (16.8, 36.5) |
| Ca (mg/dL)     | 9.2 (9.1, 9.7) | 9.5 (9.3, 9.8) |
| P (mg/dL)      | 3.2 (3.1, 3.5) | 3.7 (3.3, 3.9) |
| TRACP-5b (mU/dL) | 558.0 (484.0, 638.0) | 481.0 (448.0, 505.0) |
| BMD            |                 |            |
| Lumbar spine (g/cm²) | 0.678 (0.650, 0.762) | 0.710 (0.658, 0.794) |
| YAM of lumbar spine (%) | 67.0 (64.0, 75.5) | 70.0 (64.0, 85.0) |
| Proximal femur (g/cm²) | 0.565 (0.509, 0.629) | 0.539 (0.491, 0.564) |
| YAM of femur (%) | 72.0 (65.0, 80.0) | 68.0 (62.5, 71.5) |
| Grip strength (kg) | 19.6 (16.4, 21.8) | 23.5 (17.8, 25.1) |
| Back extensor strength (N) | 130.0 (119.5, 147.5) | 107.0 (97.0, 147.8) |
| Hip flexor strength (N) | 155.1 (138.6, 165.9) | 128.4 (107.5, 163.5) |
| Knee extensor strength (N) | 164.8 (155.0, 179.4) | 158.4 (145.0, 166.4) |
| One-leg standing test (s) | 22.0 (4.1, 59.3) | 13.0 (6.4, 26.1) |
| 10-m walk test (s) | 6.7 (5.6, 9.6) | 8.5 (7.5, 9.4) |
| FRT (cm)        | 24.0 (15.5, 26.0) | 23.0 (17.5, 25.5) |
| TUG (s)         | 7.6 (5.6, 8.6) | 9.5 (7.6, 10.0) |
| LNG (mm)        | 2934 (334) | 3081 (223) |

Data are given as median (interquartile range), except for values presented as mean (standard deviation).
All parameters apart from LNG were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. LNG was compared using Student’s t-test.
# P=0.026 vs ELD group by Mann-Whitney U test.
YAM, young adult mean; FRT, functional reach test; TUG, timed up and go test; LNG, total length of the center of gravity trajectory.
Table 2. Changes in parameters over 6 months of treatment by per-protocol analysis

|                      | Native D (n=13) | ELD (n=8) |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------|
|                      | Baseline 6 months | Baseline 6 months |
| Age (years)          | 78.0 (69.5, 83.0) | 69.0 (66.5, 83.8) |
| Height (m)           | 1.47 (1.42, 1.56) | 1.48 (1.45, 1.55) |
| Weight (kg)          | 48.0 (45.0, 53.0) | 51.0 (42.8, 53.5) |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 21.4 (20.9, 24.2) | 21.7 (20.2, 24.5) |
| TP (g/dL)            | 6.8 (6.6, 7.8) | 6.8 (6.6, 7.4) | 7.0 (6.7, 7.6) | 7.1 (6.9, 7.6) |
| Alb (g/dL)           | 4.2 (4.1, 4.5) | 4.2 (4.1, 4.5) | 4.4 (4.3, 4.7) | 4.4 (4.3, 4.6) |
| BUN (mg/dL)          | 17.5 (14.9, 19.8) | 15.7 (14.4, 20.7) | 16.8 (13.8, 24.3) | 16.4 (14.2, 22.7) |
| Cre (mg/dL)          | 0.67 (0.55, 0.77) | 0.64 (0.56, 0.76) | 0.61 (0.54, 0.73) | 0.66 (0.55, 0.76) |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²) | 61.9 (55.0, 83.9) | 68.2 (55.2, 79.0) | 74.5 (58.7, 81.7) | 67.5 (56.1, 82.5) |
| 25(OH)D (ng/ml)      | 30.0 (25.0, 37.0) | 36.0 (32.0, 49.5) | 26.5 (22.0, 45.0) | 27.0 (19.5, 38.0) |
| Ca (mg/dL)           | 9.2 (9.1, 9.7) | 9.5 (9.4, 9.7) | 9.3 (9.2, 9.8) | 9.4 (9.3, 9.8) |
| P (mg/dL)            | 3.2 (3.1, 3.6) | 3.3 (3.0, 3.5) | 3.6 (3.2, 4.1) | 3.7 (3.4, 4.1) |
| TRACP-5b (mU/dL)     | 569.0 (488.8, 653.0) | 216.0 (136.0, 280.0) | 485.0 (354.3, 504.5) | 327.0 (191.0, 402.5) |
| BMD                  | 0.689 (0.650, 0.846) | 0.727 (0.693, 0.878) | 0.676 (0.619, 0.777) | 0.706 (0.657, 0.792) |
| YAM of lumbar spine (%) | 68.0 (64.0, 83.5) | 72.0 (68.5, 86.5) | 66.5 (61.0, 76.8) | 69.5 (65.0, 78.3) |
| Proximal femur (g/cm²) | 0.568 (0.509, 0.643) | 0.577 (0.533, 0.649) | 0.528 (0.488, 0.557) | 0.536 (0.492, 0.557) |
| YAM of femur (%)      | 72.0 (65.0, 81.5) | 73.0 (67.5, 82.0) | 67.0 (62.3, 70.5) | 68.0 (62.8, 70.5) |

All data are presented as median (interquartile range).
The Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparisons of baseline data or data after 6 months of treatment between the two groups and the Wilcoxon-signed rank test was used to make within-group comparisons.

*P <0.05 versus baseline in the same group; **P <0.01 versus baseline in the same group.
#P <0.05 versus the ELD group.

Table 3. Changes in muscle strength and postural balance over 6 months of treatment by per-protocol analysis

|                      | Native D (n=13) | ELD (n=8) |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------|
|                      | Baseline 6 months | Baseline 6 months |
| Grip strength (kg)   | 21.3 (18.6, 22.9) | 20.8 (16.4, 23.1) | 23.7 (17.4, 25.6) | 21.7 (12.2, 25.2) |
| Back extensor strength (N) | 140 (120.0, 152.0) | 160.0 (143.0, 181.5) | 145.5 (98.8, 170.5) | 130.0 (85.5, 181.0) |
| Hip flexor strength (N) | 155.2 (144.0, 186.5) | 155.5 (148.7, 205.2) | 163.5 (106.4, 175.0) | 127.9 (102.1, 175.7) |
| Knee extensor strength (N) | 164.8 (155.0, 199.0) | 173.3 (165.5, 221.5) | 159.7 (149.2, 167.7) | 160.9 (136.0, 188.5) |
| One-leg standing test (s) | 28.5 (6.5, 85.0) | 30.5 (8.5, 74.5) | 25.3 (8.1, 48.2) | 36.6 (3.3, 75.9) |
| 10-m walk test (s)   | 6.3 (5.6, 9.6) | 6.5 (5.5, 9.3) | 8.6 (6.2, 9.5) | 7.0 (6.2, 9.0) |
| FRT (cm)             | 24.0 (15.5, 31.0) | 23.0 (16.5, 26.5) | 21.5 (17.0, 30.0) | 21.5 (17.3, 28.5) |
| TUG (s)              | 6.7 (5.4, 8.3) | 6.8 (5.8, 7.8) | 8.2 (6.6, 9.7) | 7.8 (6.5, 10.0) |
| LNG (mm)             | 2934 (334) | 1972 (225) | 3081 (223) | 2248 (332) |

Data are shown as median (interquartile range), except for values presented as mean (standard deviation).
Except for LNG, the Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparisons of baseline data or data after 6 months of treatment between the two groups and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for within-group comparisons.
Student's t-test was used for comparisons of baseline data or data after 6 months of treatment between the two groups and the paired t-test was used to make within-group comparisons for LNG.

*P <0.05 versus baseline in the same group, **P <0.01 versus baseline in the same group.
of its potent effectiveness against osteoporosis, eldecalcitol is now the most commonly prescribed active vitamin D3 analog among the active vitamin D3 agents available in Japan. However, to the best of our knowledge, only two clinical studies into the effects of eldecalcitol on muscle and balance have been reported. These two studies showed possible positive effects of eldecalcitol on strength and balance.

The results of the current study indicated that both native vitamin D and eldecalcitol are effective for improving dynamic sitting balance in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis who are undergoing denosumab treatment. We speculated that the improvement of dynamic sitting balance with eldecalcitol treatment was caused by an increase in back extensor strength. However, significant increases in back extensor and knee extensor strengths after treatment were seen only in the native D group, not in the ELD group. Our previous study demonstrated that eldecalcitol improved back muscle strength, iliopsoas muscle strength, and dynamic sitting balance in 18 subjects who completed 6 months of treatment. This difference in the results for muscular strengths could have resulted from the different number of subjects in each group (n=13 in the native D group, n=8 in the ELD group). Another possible reason why the ELD group did not show a significant difference in knee or back extensor strength may have been the small number of subjects at follow-up.

This study is the first prospective, randomized, controlled study to examine the effects of native vitamin D and eldecalcitol on dynamic balance in patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis. However, the limitations of the current study should be considered. First, because of the complicated study protocol, this study enrolled a relatively small number of patients. Because the follow-up period was only 6 months, these limitations might have affected the study results. In this regard, this study must be considered to be preliminary in nature. Further studies with an increased number of subjects and a longer follow-up period are required to confirm our findings. Second, this study did not include a control group not administered any osteoporosis medication because this would have been ethically problematic. However, our previous work indicated that the LNG measured during the dynamic sitting balance test improved in an eldecalcitol-treated group, whereas that in a bisphosphonate-only group showed no improvement. This result suggests the efficacy of vitamin D for improving dynamic sitting balance.

### CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this preliminary, randomized, prospective study found that both native vitamin D + denosumab and eldecalcitol + denosumab were effective for improving dynamic sitting balance in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. No significant differences between native vitamin D and eldecalcitol were seen in terms of their effects on muscle strength and balance.
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