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Abstract
The corrosion behaviour of type 316L stainless steel in aqueous 30–50 wt%. NaOH at temperatures up to 90 °C has been elucidated. Exposure to room temperature environment showed parabolic weight loss behaviour, with corrosion rates of up to 0.4 mm/year. Higher NaOH concentrations and exposure temperatures resulted in a reduced stability of the electrochemical passivity domain, associated with higher corrosion rates. Exposure to de-aerated 50 wt%. NaOH presented corrosion rates of up to 0.5 mm/year at open circuit potential, with maximum corrosion rates under polarisation of up to ≈ 18 mm/year. The formation of a dark iron-oxy-hydroxide and nickel-oxide was observed, with exposure to temperatures in excess of 50 °C. The behaviour of type 316L stainless steel in hot caustic environment is compared to types 204, 304, 2205 stainless steel, and nickel alloy 200.
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1 Introduction

The corrosion of stainless steel in hot caustic environment has been the subject of a number of investigations, typically with a focus on Kraft pulping liquors [1–11]. The material performance in these environments is governed by the stability of the passive surface film, which develops as a function of alloy microstructure, chemical composition, and associated environmental interactions. Austenitic stainless steels generally show high corrosion rates when exposed to concentrated, de-aerated alkaline aqueous environment at temperatures above 60 °C, with typical corrosion rates of 0.05–2 mm/year. Very dilute caustic solutions show reduced corrosion susceptibility, for example, with exposure to mildly caustic environment up to pH 12, resulting in corrosion rates of 0.01 mm/year [12–14]. The latter investigation also concluded that temperature is key for understanding material behaviour in these environments, directly affecting corrosion rates of stainless steel in NaOH containing environment [6, 7].

In NaOH environment at room temperature, rates as low as a few μm/year have been reported [6, 15–22]. Based on the Pourbaix diagrams of Iron, Chromium and Nickel, the possibility of forming a passive film with exposure to caustic pH supports the argument of using stainless steel in these media. A summary of the corrosion behaviour of stainless steels with exposure to different caustic environments is provided in [12].

The aim of this paper is to provide a comprehensive overview of the corrosion performance of type 316L in hot caustic aqueous environments. The project was centered on identifying alloy composition and environment-related parameters, including temperature, de-aeration, and NaOH concentration, to better understand the passivation behavior of stainless steels microstructure.

2 Materials and Methods

A mill annealed type 316L austenitic stainless steel sheet with a chemical composition of (wt%) 16.7 Cr, 10.1 Ni, 2.04 Mo, 0.019 C, 0.049 N was used in this study. The sheets had dimensions of 1000 mm × 1000 mm × 1 mm (L × W × T) from which
rent density (icorr-1) at the first active–passive transition in type 316L stainless steel. The latter results were then compared to data obtain from (99%Ni) were also carried out in 50 wt% NaOH at 90 °C.

Stainless steels (18%Cr–8%Ni), type 204 stainless steels (16%Cr–1%Ni–9%Mn), duplex stainless steel type 2205 (22%Cr–5%Ni), and commercially pure nickel alloy 200. The effect of alloying elements and how these elements affect the electro-chemical response in 50% NaOH environments can be assessed, by comparing individual alloy responses. Interestingly, the Ecorr values of all tested materials are very similar, with values close to −1 V versus NHE. The value for type 316L reported here correlates with Ecorr values reported in prior investigations of Type 316 in hot concentrated caustic solution [24, 25].

For analyzing the effect of alloying elements, potentiodynamic measurements on austenitic grade type 304 Stainless steels (18%Cr–8%Ni), type 204 stainless steels (16%Cr–1%Ni–9%Mn), duplex stainless steel type 2205 (22%Cr–5%Ni), and commercially pure nickel alloy 200 (99%Ni) were also carried out in 50 wt% NaOH at 90 °C. The latter results were then compared to data obtain from type 316L stainless steel.

Corrosion rates were calculated from potentiodynamic measurements by (1) extracting the maximum critical current density (i_corr,1) at the first active–passive transition in order to get the maximum critical corrosion rate value of the system, and (2) by using linear polarisation resistance method (LPR) in order to measure the corrosion rate at open circuit potentials.

LPR was conducted in the range from −10 to +10 mV versus Ecorr, using a scan rate of 1 mV/s. All obtained parameters and associated values are summarized in the “Appendix” section. Current densities were converted into corrosion rates via Faraday’s law, with an assumed average metal charge of n = 2, atomic weight M = 55.8 g/mol and density of ρ = 8 g/cm³, and Faraday’s constant F = 96485 C/mol [23].

Weight loss experiments were performed with one set of type 316L samples exposed at room temperature for 4 months. A second set of samples was tested in a temperature perturbation system, by changing the exposure temperature from 50 to 90 °C for a period of 35 days. The temperature was altered approximately 4 times per week. This test was designed to simulate heat exchanger intervals, with batch exposure at different temperatures. All weight loss samples were cleaned after testing in an ultrasonic water bath in a mixture of distilled water and acetone, followed by drying and re-weighting. The material loss was calculated based on the exposed surface area of each sample.

One coupon sample was also exposed for 4 months in 50% NaOH at 90 °C to obtain information about the developed surface film. Grazing-incidence X-ray diffraction (GI-XRD) measurements were carried out in a PANalytical X-ray diffraction instrument, by using the following parameter: 3° incidence angle, start position [2θ] = 10.025°, End Position [2θ] = 89.975°, Step Size [2θ] = 0.05°. These measurements were augmented by X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) analysis using a Kratos Axis Ultra with monochromatic Al Kα radiation source (1486.69 eV), to obtain information of the chemical composition of the surface film. XPS measurements were obtained using a pass-energy of 80 eV, with a step size of 500 meV and a dwell time of 150 ms. The obtained spectra were corrected based on the carbon peak (C1s) position to 284.8 eV and analysed using CasaXPS software (Version 2.3.17). The background was fitted with a Shirley function and the Gauss-Lorentz function was used for peak fitting to quantify the obtained results.

3 Results and Discussion

Figure 1a summarizes the electrochemical response of type 316L stainless steel in 50 wt%, NaOH caustic electrolyte. Exposure of stainless steel to NaOH shows the occurrence of several active to passive transitions, associated with different electrochemical potential domains [24]. The different domains in Fig. 1a are referred to as (1) cathodic region, (2) free corrosion potential (Ecorr), (3) active peak with active–passive transition, (4) 1st passive domain (Epass,1), (5) 2nd active peak with active to passive transition, (6) second passive domain (Epass,2), (7) 3rd active peak with trans-passivity and oxygen evolution.

In Fig. 1b, the corrosion response of type 316L is compared to austenitic stainless steel types 304 and 204, duplex stainless steel type 2205, and Ni alloy 200. The effect of alloying elements and how these elements affect the electro-chemical response in 50% NaOH environments can be assessed, by comparing individual alloy responses. Interestingly, the Ecorr values of all tested materials are very similar, with values close to −1 V versus NHE. The value for type 316 reported here correlates with Ecorr values reported in prior investigations of Type 316 in hot concentrated caustic solution [24, 25].

Figure 1b clearly points towards a common electrochemical behavior of all four types of stainless steels, in the form of an initial active–passive transition just below −1 V versus NHE, followed by a second active–passive transition close to 0 V versus NHE. The first passive domain (Epass,1) is associated with the presence of a chromium-oxide based passive films (Cr2O3). The occurrence and magnitude of the first active–passive transition is pre-dominantly related to environmental parameters, which was demonstrated, for
example, via a systematic study of type 316 stainless steel in various HCl concentrations up to 80 °C [26]. In contrast, by keeping the environment constant, the overall shape of the active–passive transition peak as well as the magnitude is then a function of the chemical composition and alloying elements. The latter is easily demonstrated with duplex stainless steel microstructures, which show a convoluted active–passive transition peak during electrochemical polarisation, representing overlapping chromium-rich ferrite and nickel-rich austenite peaks [27–29].

The second active–passive transition at 0 V NHE in Fig. 1b is also only present for chromium containing stainless steels. This peak is clearly associated with changes of the oxidation state of chromium due to the applied electrochemical potential, referred to as Cr transpassivity. Nickel alloy 200 shows no activation peak at this potential. The presence of alloying elements in these alloys are then able to induce a 2nd passive domain (E_{pass-2}), linked to the formation of various oxide and hydroxide films [6, 24]. Based on the analysis of surface films formed in this region, a bi-layer structure with Cr(III)-barrier layer containing mixed oxidation states of Cr(III/VI), covered by an outer hydroxide layer has been reported [24]. At potentials more positive than the 1st passivation region (E_{pass-1}), a thick (> 100 nm) partially protective Ni(OH)_{2} layer has also been reported, associated with the formation of thin de-alloyed layers, approaching compositions of binary 50–50 Fe–Ni alloys [30].

The Mn-based type 204 stainless steel seems to be the least corrosion resistant material in this environment, showing the highest overall current density, followed by type 316L, since Molybdenum does not form passive films in hot caustic environments [6]. Previous work has also revealed the negative influence of Molybdenum on the corrosion resistance of stainless steel in white liquor, facilitating high dissolution rates in the form of MoO_{4}^{2-} ion formation [31].

The electrochemical behavior of commercially pure nickel alloy 200 is different to all stainless steels, showing two active peaks around −0.75 V and +0.3 V versus NHE, linked by a long passive domain with an inherently low passive current density. The electrochemical behavior therefore indicates three passive regions, due to the Ni oxidation states (Ni +II/+III) that can result in different oxides and hydroxides. The first passive region is close to E_{corr}, followed by an active–passive transition, and a large 2nd passive region spanning over more than 800 mV. The 3rd active–passive transition than occurs at a potential around +0.3 V versus NHE. This is also described in an investigation on the electrochemical behavior of Nickel [32]. The Mn-containing stainless steel Type 204 contains less than 1% Ni, and no peak is therefore observed at +0.3 V versus NHE. This is also described in an investigation on the electrochemical behavior of Nickel [32]. The Mn-containing stainless steel Type 204 contains less than 1% Ni, and no peak is therefore observed at +0.3 V versus NHE. This is also described in an investigation on the electrochemical behavior of Nickel [32]. The Mn-containing stainless steel Type 204 contains less than 1% Ni, and no peak is therefore observed at +0.3 V versus NHE. This is also described in an investigation on the electrochemical behavior of Nickel [32]. The Mn-containing stainless steel Type 204 contains less than 1% Ni, and no peak is therefore observed at +0.3 V versus NHE. This is also described in an investigation on the electrochemical behavior of Nickel [32].

The duplex grade 2205 and both austenitic types 304 and 316 stainless steels clearly show similar electro-chemical behavior, presenting two prominent passive regions. The differences in the current density and the length of the passive
regions are certainly due to the different contents of chromium and nickel of each material. Type 316 stainless steel seems to show higher corrosion susceptibility than type 304 and 2205 stainless steel (Fig. 1). Further investigations were therefore directed to better understand how environmental exposure parameters affect the corrosion performance of type 316 stainless steel.

### 3.1 Effect of Temperature and NaOH Concentration

A comparison of type 316L in aerated 50 wt%, 40 wt% and 30 wt% NaOH at different temperatures is presented in Fig. 2. The length of the 1st passive region shows a direct relationship to the measured current density ($i_{\text{pass1}}$) in this region. The latter has been found to increase with temperature. Figure 2d shows the effect of temperature and NaOH concentrations on the stability of the measured passive domain.

The second passive domain in Fig. 2a–c seems to possess similar lengths and shapes for all investigated NaOH concentrations. In general, the overall current density observed in all different potential domains significantly increases with increasing exposure temperature, summarized in Fig. 2d. The current density of the transition zones and the 1st passive domains was therefore extracted to estimate corrosion rates via Faraday’s law, with all results summarized in the “Appendix” section.

![Polarization curves of type 316L at different temperatures in aerated condition, with a 50% NaOH, b 40% NaOH, c 30% NaOH, and d summarizing the length of the passive region at different temperatures](image-url)
3.2 Effect of De-aeration

The effect of solution de-aeration on the electrochemical behavior in 40 wt% and 50 wt% NaOH is summarized in Fig. 3. The polarization curves for the aerated and de-aerated systems show very similar electrochemical behavior. Key difference between both systems is the measured current density, and the reduced length of the passive domain. In general, the absence of oxygen tends to raise the current density, compared to the aerated systems; hence it increases the corrosion rate and decreases the resistance of stainless steel in these environments.

The critical maximum corrosion rate in aerated 50 wt% NaOH at 90 °C reached 9.5 mm/year, which is, however, half the critical corrosion rate observed in the same system without oxygen, with a corrosion rate of nearly 18 mm/year. The same effect is observed at the free corrosion potential in which the corrosion rate of 0.27 mm/year calculated from the LPR at 50 wt% NaOH at 90 °C this value is around the half compared with in the same system in de-aerated conditions that reached 0.48 mm/year. This indicates that the presence of oxygen supports stabilization of the passive surface film in caustic environments. This could also explain the strong effect of temperature on the corrosion behavior, since the solubility of oxygen in aqueous solution decreases with increasing temperature [33]. The environment contains hydroxide ions, supporting formation of Nickel-hydroxide, which has been reported to be protective [13, 24, 34].

The effect of oxygen in 30 wt% NaOH solution is shown in Fig. 4. Interestingly, a slightly different material response was found in this environment. The presence of oxygen increased the current density in 30 wt% NaOH at room temperature, compared to the de-aerated system. However, at 90 °C the temperature seems to accelerate the corrosion rate of the de-aerated system, portraying similar behavior as the 40 wt% and 50 wt% NaOH environments. This observation also supports a strong effect of exposure temperature. The oxygen in 30 wt%. NaOH solution may contribute to the cathodic corrosion reaction, facilitating higher dissolution current densities and therefore higher

---

**Fig. 3**  
a Effect of oxygen and temperature on the electrochemical behavior of Type 316L in 50% NaOH at 25 °C and 90 °C, and  
b in 40% NaOH at 25 °C and 90 °C

**Fig. 4**  
Effect of oxygen and temperature on the electrochemical behaviour of Type 316L in 30% NaOH at 25 °C and 90 °C
corrosion rates. The solubility of oxygen in NaOH solution also increases with decreasing NaOH concentration [35].

4 Summary of Passive Behaviour

Figure 5 plots the passive current densities of the first passive region \( (i_{pass1}) \) against the length of this passive domain for both, aerated and de-aerated NaOH systems. The graph clearly shows the effect of temperature on passivity. When the temperature is increased the passive current density increases and the length of the passive region decreases. Hence, it can be established that key parameter for the corrosion performance of type 316 stainless steel in this environment is temperature.

The effect of de-aeration is also shown in Fig. 5. In the aerated environment, most points lie between 0.4 and 0.55 V, with passive current densities of \( 2 \times 10^{-5} \) A/cm\(^2\). In de-aerated environment, most data points lie between 0.3 and 0.45 V, with passive current densities of \( 2 \times 10^{-4} \) to \( 2.4 \times 10^{-5} \) A/cm\(^2\). This direct comparison clearly emphasizes the effect of aeration on the passive region.

4.1 Critical Corrosion Rates: Calculated at the Highest Active Peak

The corrosion rate of all caustic environments using the highest peak on the potentiodynamic polarization curve is summarised in Fig. 6, spanning more than 1 order of magnitude. Type 316L stainless steel exposed to aerated 30 wt% NaOH solution at 25 °C showed critical corrosion rates of 0.38 mm/year, which increased with temperatures to 0.8 mm/year. Consequently, the temperature effects are less corrosive in the 30 wt% environment, than with exposure to more concentrated NaOH environments.

Most of these corrosion rates are much higher than the corrosion rates calculated at the open circuit potential showed in Fig. 7. As the potential is increased by the potentiostat the metal sample reaches the active state in which the anodic dissolution of the metal is represented by the increasing of current in the system. The critical corrosion rate calculated using the maximum current density from the active peak shows the worst-case scenario of the active behavior of the sample and due to this reason the critical corrosion rates have a vast difference between the ones calculated with the LPR method.

4.2 Corrosion Rates: Calculated at the Open Circuit Potential with LPR

The corrosion rate of all caustic environments using the LPR method is summarised in Fig. 7. Type 316L stainless steel exposed to aerated 50 wt% NaOH solution at 25 °C shows corrosion rates of 0.023 mm/year. This value is expected for stainless steel working in caustic environments. However, when the temperature is increased above 50 °C and the system is de-aerated the corrosion rates even at the free corrosion potential reach up to nearly 0.5 mm/year, 21 times the value at room temperature. This behavior seems to be related to the diminution in the length of the passive region mentioned before in Fig. 5.

![Fig. 5](image1.png) Length of 1st passive domain versus current density (1st \( i_{pass1} \)) in all investigated aerated (full symbols) and de-aerated (open symbols, DeA) NaOH systems

![Fig. 6](image2.png) Corrosion rate versus temperature of Type 316L stainless steel in aerated and de-aerated 30%, 40% and 50% NaOH solutions From the highest active peak of the polarization curve
4.3 Weight Loss Measurements

Figure 8a shows weight loss measurements at room temperature with exposure up to 4 months. All curves show the same trend of a reduction in weight loss rate over time, indicating a parabolic weight loss trend. Higher NaOH concentrations show higher material loss, supporting the trends reported in the electrochemical investigations in Fig. 2. If the total weight loss after 4 months is converted into a mean corrosion rate, values of 0.07 mm/year for 30% NaOH, 0.12 mm/year for 40 wt% NaOH, and 0.16 mm/year for 50 wt% NaOH are obtained.

A second weight loss test by including changes in temperature of the environment was performed, to simulate heat exchanger temperature perturbations. The results of this experiment are illustrated in Fig. 8b. The experiment was carried out for a period of 5 weeks with exposure in caustic solutions of 30 wt%, 40 wt% and 50 wt%. The corrosion rate increased in each case with temperature. Exposure to 30 wt% NaOH resulted in corrosion rates of 0.38 mm/year, with 40 wt% NaOH giving 0.98 mm/year, and 50 wt% the corrosion rate reached 1.9 mm/year. Comparing these values with room temperature exposure, it can be confirmed that the effect of temperature is detrimental to the corrosion behavior of stainless steel at high concentrations of caustic solutions. All the measured values even the less concentrated solution (30 wt%) are outside the general corrosion rate allowance, with the data in Fig. 8b showing an acceleration of corrosion rate over time.

4.4 Effect of Surface Film Formation

Visible corrosion attack on the surface of samples exposed in the weight loss tests were observed, with surfaces covered by a dark film. The presence of this film is believed to be key to understand the corrosion performance and passivity of type 316 stainless steel in caustic environments. A noticeable dark film can be recognized after an immersion of the sample in caustic solutions at temperatures between 50 and 90 °C. Exposure at temperature below 50 °C did not show any presence of the dark film. Instead,
a more yellow/orange corrosion product was formed on the surface.

The surface of a sample exposed for 4 months in 50% NaOH at 90 °C was analysed by GI-XRD, with the spectrum of the dark surface film shown in Fig. 9. The observed film consists of a mixture of iron oxide hydroxide (FeO(OH)) and nickel oxide (NiO), with the fcc austenitic stainless steel peaks also present. Ni-oxide typically presents a black color [34].

In addition XPS data confirm the presence of iron and nickel oxides/hydroxides in the near surface region. Figure 10a shows the obtained Fe 2p spectra of the dark surface film, with comparison to a pristine type 316L reference surface. The pristine reference sample contains iron metal (12.7%) and iron oxide/hydroxide peaks (46.7%), with the presence of iron oxide/hydroxides related to the passive film on stainless steels [36, 37]. The reference surface condition also contained 4.2% chromium metal and 27.4% chromium oxide/hydroxides. In contrast, the surface covered in dark corrosion products revealed the absence of chromium.

The obtained Ni 2p spectra is shown in Fig. 10b. The type 316 reference specimen indicated a nickel metal peak at 852.2 eV with a FWHM of 1 eV contributing 4.3% of the near surface composition. A nickel hydroxide peak (Ni(OH)₂) is present at 855.2 eV (FWHM 2.2 eV) contributing 1.8% to the composition. The nickel content of the dark corrosion products is significantly increased compared to the film on the reference specimen. The nickel metal (852.1 eV, FWHM 1.8 eV) content is in the same order of magnitude 3.4%, with nickel oxides and hydroxides believed to be the main component of the dark corrosion products with 63%.

Fig. 9 GI-XRD analysis of the black surface film after immersion in 50% NaOH at 90 °C

Fig. 10  a Fe 2p spectra and b Ni 2p spectra of reference specimen and dark corrosion products with possible XRD peak fits
This supports the observation that the dark corrosion layer is made out of NiO and Ni(OH)\(_2\). A NiO peak was determined at 853.6 with a FWHM value of 1.5 eV, with the Ni(OH)\(_2\) peak at 855.4 eV (FWHM 1.8 eV). The obtained nickel peak positions are in good agreement with literature data [38–40]. The exact distribution of nickel oxide and hydroxide in the layer was not determined, due to the presence of the satellite peak at 861 eV. The role of temperature on the stability of NiO and Ni(OH)\(_2\) is currently subject of further investigations, since it is not clear why higher corrosion rates are observed when this film is present.

### 5 Conclusions

- **Type 316 stainless steel** reaches a maximum critical corrosion rate of \(\approx 18\) mm/year in de-aerated 50 wt% NaOH solution at 90 °C at the peak of the active state, however the corrosion rate in the same environment at open circuit potential reaches a maximum of \(\approx 0.5\) mm/year.
- After exposure to concentrated caustic solutions at temperature above 50 °C, stainless steel surfaces are covered by a black surface film predominantly made of nickel oxide and hydroxides.
- The exposure temperature is a key parameter in the performance of type 316L stainless steel in caustic environments, affecting the length of the passive domain and corrosion rate.
- De-aeration increased the corrosion rate of type 316L stainless steel in hot caustic NaOH environment, but exposure to 30 wt% NaOH at RT showed the opposite trend.
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### Appendix: Electrochemistry and Corrosion Data for Type 316L Stainless Steel

| Temperature (°C) | 90    | 70    | 50    | 25    |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| **Aerated 50 wt% NaOH** |       |       |       |       |
| E-Corr (V)       | −1.056| −1.028| −1.0271| −1.059|
| Critical \(I_{corr-1}\) (A/cm\(^2\)) | 8.30E−04| 2.21E−04| 8.60E−05| 3.23E−05|
| Critical \(E_{corr-1-Corr}\) (V) | −0.757| −0.802| −0.79 | −0.771|
| Critical corr rate (mm/year) | 9.46 | 2.6 | 0.98 | 0.368 |
| \(E_{pass-1}\) (V) | −0.633| −0.586| −0.609 | −0.7 |
| \(I_{pass-1}\) (A/cm\(^2\)) | 3.12E−04| 6.41E−05| 2.65E−05| 2.61E−05|
| \(E_{transpass-1}\) (V) | −0.37 | −0.228| −0.316 | −0.149|
| \(I_{pass-2}\) (A/cm\(^2\)) | −0.0211| 0.0285| −0.014 | 0.189|
| \(E_{pass-2}\) (V) | 3.94E−03| 1.26E−03| 6.32E−04| 4.50E−04|
| \(E_{transpass-2}\) (V) | 0.35 | 0.398| 0.357 | 0.44|
| Resistance polarization (Ω) | 332 | 453 | 1020 | 3218|
| Corrosion rate (mm/year) | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.072 | 0.023 |
| **Aerated 40 wt% NaOH** |       |       |       |       |
| E-Corr (V)       | −1.072| −1.021| −1.034| −1.076|
| Critical \(I_{corr-1}\) (A/cm\(^2\)) | 7.00E−04| 2.00E−04| 1.30E−04| 3.44E−05|
| Critical \(E_{corr-1-Corr}\) (V) | −0.773| −0.82 | −0.8071| −0.782|
| Critical corr rate (mm/year) | 7.97 | 2.28 | 1.48 | 0.401 |
| \(E_{pass-1}\) (V) | −0.624| −0.645| −0.708 | −0.704|
| \(I_{pass-1}\) (A/cm\(^2\)) | 2.03E−04| 6.87E−05| 5.87E−05| 3.35E−05|
| \(E_{transpass-1}\) (V) | −0.34 | −0.25 | −0.296 | −0.176|
| \(E_{pass-2}\) (V) | −0.0183| 0.0123| 0.0655 | 0.18|
| \(I_{pass-2}\) (A/cm\(^2\)) | 1.88E−03| 1.28E−03| 1.20E−03 | 2.45E−04|
| \(E_{transpass-2}\) (V) | 0.355 | 7.73E−04 | 0.39 | 0.409|
| Resistance polarization (Ω) | 416 | 884 | 1265 | 3984|
| Corrosion rate (mm/year) | 0.18 | 0.083 | 0.059 | 0.019 |
| **Aerated 30 wt% NaOH** |       |       |       |       |
| E-Corr (V)       | −0.963| −1    | −1.03 | −1.024|
| Critical \(I_{corr-1}\) (A/cm\(^2\)) | 7.00E−05| 6.50E−05| 6.0 E−05| 3.40E−05|
| Critical \(E_{corr-1-Corr}\) (V) | −0.896| −0.949| −0.801 | −0.85|
| Critical corr rate (mm/year) | 0.797 | 0.741| 0.684 | 0.388 |
| \(E_{pass-1}\) (V) | −0.8595| −0.807 | −0.6 | −0.6 |
| \(I_{pass-1}\) (A/cm\(^2\)) | 7.00E−05| 4.00E−05| 3.5 E−05 | 2.40E−05|
| Temperature (°C) | 90  | 70  | 50  | 25  |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \( E_{\text{transpass-1}} \) (V) | –0.2 | –0.187 | –0.11 | –0.133 |
| \( E_{\text{transpass-2}} \) (V) | 0.0118 | 0.082 | 0.108 | 0.285 |
| \( I_{\text{pass-2}} \) (A/cm²) | 1.60E−03 | 1.1 E−03 | 3.50E−04 | 1.13E−04 |
| Temperature (°C) | 90  | 70  | 50  | 25  |
| \( E_{\text{pass-2}} \) (V) | 0.0118 | 0.082 | 0.108 | 0.285 |
| \( E_{\text{transpass-1}} \) (V) | –0.2 | –0.187 | –0.11 | –0.133 |
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