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Abstract

The present paper aims to review the epidemiological literature regarding temporomandibular disorder (TMD) in order to determine which subgroups of the general population are more likely to suffer from TMD and why this might be the case. A literature search from the National Library of Medicine's PubMed Database for relevant papers was conducted with pre-determined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Chosen articles that fulfilled the search criteria were compiled in tables and quality graded according to the QUADAS tool. A total of 42 articles were included and grouped into gender, age and psychological influences on TMD. It was found that TMD is the most common orofacial pain condition of non-dental origin. From the studies assessed, a two-to four-fold higher prevalence of TMD in women was noted with the prevalence peaking between the ages of 25-45. The higher prevalence rates for women indicated that possible biological, psychological, and/or social factors associated with the female gender increased the risk of TMD. It is evident that TMD is a significant and increasingly prevalent disorder found within the general population that appears more commonly in women and more common during the reproductive years. The available data highlights the need for further research on etiologic factors associated with TMD.
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Introduction

In recent times temporomandibular disorders (TMD) has been identified as a frequent pathological disorder and awareness of this has amplified within the general population. TMD is a term encompassing a broad range of related pathologies of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) involving the masticatory muscles and associated structures. TMD is characterized by symptoms such as muscle tenderness, TMJ sounds, deviations or restrictions in the mandibular range of motion and associated headaches [1].

The prevalence of TMD has been comprehensively studied over the years, however, multiple difficulties (differing levels of skill between examiners, inconsistencies in judgments and research bias) arise when evaluating the results from a wide range of literature. The exact etiology of TMD has for many years been the center of debate, therefore many propose a multifactorial cause for the disorder. These have included, stress [2-9], age [10-14], gender [15-18], occlusion [19], parafunction [6,8], airway compromise [20] and psychological and psychosocial factors [2,7,21-23]. Furthermore, systemic problems such as rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory conditions, anklyosing spondylitis and lupus [1,4,24] have also been implicated.

Epidemiological studies in multiple countries (America, Sweden, Netherlands, Finland, Pakistan, India, Italy, Iran, Denmark, Brazil, United-Kingdom and Canada) have outlined a substantial prevalence of orofacial pain symptoms in the adult population, showing that approximately 5-60% of the population suffers from at least one of the signs of TMD [25-27]. The aim of this review is to analyze the epidemiological literature in order to determine which subgroups of the population are more likely to suffer from TMD and why this maybe the case.

Methods

An electronic literature search of the US National Library of Medicine (PubMed) database and the Charles Sturt University Library was conducted (Figure 1). This review was restricted to full reports no older than 1995 on human subjects with letters, editorials and abstracts being excluded. Observational studies (cross-sectional surveys, cohorts and longitudinal studies) and previously published literature reviews were included. Epidemiological studies where subjects were representative of the general population were also included but studies were excluded when it was not possible to identify the source of the
population. The protocol of this systematic review complies with PRISMA guidelines for reporting systematic reviews.

**Search strategy**

For the complete search strategy, electronic databases were queried (Table 1). Two internet sources were used to search for suitable papers that fulfilled the study purpose. These sources comprised the National library of Medicine, Washington, D. C (MEDLING- Pubmed) and the Charles Sturt University Library Database. Both databases were searched for eligible studies up to an including August 2015. The designed search started was developed to include any systematic reviews, or population based studies on the epidemiology of TMD. All the reference lists were hand searched for further published work that could possible meet the eligibility criteria of the study.

**Table 1:** Search terms used for PubMed-MEDLINE and Charles Sturt University Library Database Dentistry. The search strategy was customized appropriately according to the database being searched considering differences in controlled vocabulary and syntax rules.

| [MeSH terms] * (temporomandibular disorder) * and psychology and (epidemiology) and (genetics) |

**Screening and selection**

The searched studies titles and abstracts were screened for eligible papers. If the papers title or abstract were deemed eligible the paper was selected for further reading. If eligibility aspects were cited in the title, the abstract was read in detail to screen for appropriateness. After selection, the full papers were read in detail. The papers that satisfied the selection criteria were processed for data extraction.

**Quality Assessment**

**Table 2:** QUADAS pre-specified criteria for the assessment of the quality of the chosen studies.

| Risk of Bias | was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled? |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
|              | was a case control design avoided?                     |
|              | did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions?          |
|              | were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? |

| Concerns regarding applicability | is there concern that the included patients do not match the review question? |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                  | is there concern that the index test, its conduct, or interpretations differ from the review question? |
|                                  | did patients receive the same reference standard?                          |
|                                  | were all patients included in the analysis?                                |

From the above criteria the studies were rated as being:

| High | Based on high or moderate quality studies containing no factors that weaken the overall judgment. |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Moderate | Based on high or moderate quality studies containing isolated factors that weaken the overall judgment. Low. Based on high or moderate quality studies containing factors that weaken the overall judgment. Excluded. The evidence base is insufficient when scientific evidence is lacking. |

**Figure 1:** Search and selection results. PRISMA (2015) Statement.
The chosen studies included in this review were assessed using the QUADAS tool [28] with each included study being rated as high, moderate or low quality according to the pre-specified criteria which can be found in the appendix (Table 2).

Results

After examination of the full text articles, 42 articles were chosen for inclusion in the literature review (Figure 1). It was noted that when reviewing the epidemiology of TMD in the general population there seemed to be three main recurring influences (gender, age and emotional/psychosocial status) on TMD, which guided the decision to split the review into these three main influences. Therefore, the studies included in the review were grouped into gender influence (N=19) age influence (N=14) and psychological influence (N=18) on the epidemiology of temporomandibular disorders in the general population. The results were tabulated into three tables with each table summarizing the findings from the chosen studies according to these three main influences on TMD. Note that some studies, which were assessed, did overlap with these influences and thus may be found in multiple tables.

Of the selected 42 articles assessed there were no articles to be found of high quality, 28 to be found of moderate quality and 14 to be found of low quality. Elements that weakened the quality of evidence included: study limitations, inconsistency, and indirectness. Study limitations were recorded if there was any sign of publication bias, insufficient statistical analysis, uncertainty about blinding of the outcome assessor or any associated limitation in the methods of the study. If a single predictor or a method model was not validated indirectness was recorded. Inconsistency was recorded if there was a large variability in the results or if confidence intervals were wide. One study limitation that was taken into consideration is that many studies deem that any one symptom of TMD is enough to diagnose a subject with TMD. In this review at least two symptoms or a single painful symptom was required for inclusion in the review.

Table 3: Summary of findings and quality of evidence from studies regarding the epidemiology of TMD in the general population and the influence of gender.

| Study’s Author and Year | Population (Patients/Problem) | Intervention (Features of Study Design) | Conclusions | Points of Strengths and Weaknesses | Quality of Evidence (QUADAS tool) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Bagis [23]              | 243 subjects Aged 14-59 years | RDC/TMD Questionnaire Clinically examined | Females>males Most common symptom was pain. | Not an even distribution of male and female subjects. F = 171, M = 71 | Low quality - Study limitation - Inconsistency |
| Bonjardim [9]           | 196 subjects Aged 16-25 years | Anamnestic questionnaire. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. | No difference between genders. Possible links with anxiety and depression | No clinical examination was carried out. | Low quality - Study limitation - Indirectness |
| Kang [24]               | 100 Female subjects Aged 17-48 years | Pvu II and Xba I restriction fragment length polymorphisms were analyzed by direct haplotyping | ERalpha polymorphism may be associated with pain susceptibility in female TMD patients. | Large sample size. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Ebrahimi [36]          | 800 subjects Aged 14-18 years | Diagnostic questionnaires Clinical examinations | Females>Males | Both a questionnaire and clinical examination was performed. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Blyth                   | 17,543 subjects Aged 16 and over | Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) | Link between pain-related levels and the use of government health care. Females>Male | Large sample size | No clinical examination was carried out. |
| Alamoudi [37]          | 502 subjects Aged 3-7 years | Clinical examination. | Females> Males | Large population | Low quality - Study limitation - Indirectness |
| Gesch [45]             | 7008 subjects Aged 20-79 years | Diagnostic questionnaire. Clinical examinations were performed, by eight calibrated examiners. | Females>Males 9.9% subjectively aware of TMJ symptoms 2.7% subjectively aware of TMJ pain symptoms. | Large population | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
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| Study | Sample Size | Methodology | Findings | Conclusion | Quality |
|-------|-------------|-------------|----------|------------|---------|
| Unell [14] | 9093 subjects Born in 1942 and 1932 | Diagnostic questionnaire Clinical examination on randomly selected subgroup. | The vast majority did not report any TMD related symptoms. Women>Men Bruxism=nil | Large sample size | Low quality - Study limitation Indirectness |
| Khan [40] | 500 subjects University students Aged 18-35 years | Self-reported questionnaire Clinical examination | 19% of students had signs and symptoms of TMDs. There were no statistical differences between the two genders. | Large sample size | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Sener [12] | 296 subjects 212 females 85 males Aged 15-45 years | Subjects were placed into 4 groups according to the results of the clinical examination | Female>Males Females are more vulnerable to subjective and objective signs than males. | Subjects were assessed in a specialized Oral diagnostic clinic. Uneven distribution of male and female subjects. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| LeResche [20] | 35 women not using Ocs 35 women using Ocs; and 21 men (control). | Subjected kept pain daily diaries over three menstrual cycles. | TMD pain level rose toward the end of the menstrual cycle and peaked during menstruation. | Data was subject-centered and de-trended using residuals from a random effects linear regression model. | Small sample size. Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Johansson [25] | 8,888 subjects, and the overall response rate was 71%. Aged 50 years | A mail questionnaire was sent out Clinical evaluation was performed in subgroups. | Women>Men | Only one age group examined. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| LeResche [21] | 2 epidemiologic studies. | Study 1 compared post-menopausal hormone use Study 2 examined the relationship of OC use and TMD | Female reproductive hormone may play an etiologic role in orofacial pain. | Large sample size. | Low quality - Study limitation - Inconsistency |
| Minghelli [10] | 1493 subjects Aged 17-69 years | Fonseca Anamnestic Questionnaire Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. | Females>Males | Large sample size Prevalence of TMD associated with anxiety and depression. | No clinical exam used to classify TMD. Low quality - Study limitation Indirectness |
| Thilander [28] | 4724 children subject Aged 5-17 years | Subjects were grouped on age and stage of dental development. | TMD was associated with posterior cross-bite, anterior open bite, Angle class III malocclusion and maxillary overjet. Girls>Boys | Large sample size | Limited clinical examinations carried out. Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Wieckiewicz [8] | 456 subjects Aged19-30 years. | RDC/TMD Questionnaire Clinical examination | A significant correlation was found between TMD and psycho-emotional problems. Females>males | The results based on RDC/TMD diagnoses are in accordance with current literature. Better if subjects filled out a depression and anxiety questionnaire. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Carlsson [18] | 5697 subjects, 70 years of age 2922 subjects, 80 year olds. | Identical questionnaires were 2012 sent to all subjects born in 1932 and 1943. | Most elderly subjects had no problems with TMD-related symptoms. The prevalence was lower among the 80-year-old group. Females>males | Large population | Low quality - Study limitation - Indirectness |
Table 4: Summary of findings and quality of evidence from studies regarding the epidemiology of TMD in the general population and, in particular, the influences of age.

| Study’s Author and Year | Population (Patients/ Problem) | Intervention (Features of Study Design) | Conclusions | Points of Strengths and Weaknesses | Quality of Evidence (QUADAS tool) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Yekkalam [39]           | 779 subjects 35-50 yrs - 207    | Cross sectional study. Questionnaire. Four teams in public dental clinics conduct TMD clinical exams. | TMD symptoms peak among 50-year-old women and then declines. 65-75 prevalence equal between men and women. | Both questionnaire and clinical examination was used to assess the subjects for TMD. | Sample size for such a wide age group | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Pedroni [42]            | 50 subjects, Aged 9-25 years    | The questionnaire was adopted by Fonseca (1994) Clinical examination | High prevalence of signs and symptoms of TMD in the population. TMD showed association with stress. | Evaluated if stress can lead to a greater risk of TMD. | Small sample size. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Bagis [23]              | 243 subjects Aged 14-59 years   | RDC-TMD Questionnaire Clinically examined | Females>males Most common symptom was pain. | Evaluated the gender difference in the prevalence of signs and symptoms of TMD. | Not an even distribution of male and female subjects. F = 171, M = 71 | Low quality - Study limitation - Inconsistency |
| Bonjardim [9]           | 196 subjects Aged 16-25 years   | Anamnestic questionnaire. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. | No difference between genders. Possible links with anxiety and depression | Attempted to assess the relationship of TMD to gender, occlusion and psychological factors. | No clinical examination was carried out. | Low quality - Study limitation - Indirectness |
| Kang [24]               | 100 Female subjects Aged 17-48 years | Pvu II and Xba I restriction fragment length polymorphisms were analyzed by direct haplotyping | ERalpha polymorphism may be associated with pain susceptibility in female TMD patients. | Attempted to see if there is a link between estrogen receptor polymorphism and pain susceptibility in female TMD patients. | Large sample size. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Ebrahimi [36]           | 800 subjects Aged 14-18 years   | Diagnostic questionnaires Clinical examinations | Females> Males | Both a questionnaire and clinical examination was performed. | Did not look at the percentage of those that require treatment for TMD | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Blyth                   | 17,543 subjects Aged 16 and over | Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) | Link between pain-related levels and the use of government health care. Females>Males | Large sample size | No clinical examination was carried out. | Low quality - Study limitation - Indirectness |
| Alamoudi [37]           | 502 subjects Aged 3-7 years     | Clinical examination. | Females> Males | Large population | There was no questionnaire before the clinical examination. | Low quality - Study limitation - Indirectness |
| Gesch [45]              | 7008 subjects Aged 20-79 years  | Diagnostic questionnaire Clinical examinations were performed, by eight calibrated examiners. | Females>Males 9.9% subjectively aware of TMJ symptoms 2.7% subjectively aware of TMJ pain symptoms. | Large population | Did not determine percentage of subjects that required treatment. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Unell [14]              | 9093 subjects Born in 1942 and 1932 | Diagnostic questionnaire. Clinical examination on randomly selected subgroup. | The vast majority did not report any TMD related symptoms. Women>Men Bruxism>nil | Large sample size | This study is limited as heavily based on a questionnaire & less on clinical examination | Low quality - Study limitation - Indirectness |
| Study | Sample Size | Age Range | Research Design | Findings | Limitations |
|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|-------------|
| Khan [36] | 500 subjects | University students Aged 18-35 years | Self-reported questionnaire, Clinical examination | 19% of students had signs and symptoms of TMDs. There was no statistical differences between the two genders. | Large sample size. |
| Sener [12] | 296 subjects | 212 females 85 males Aged 15-45 years | Subjects were placed into 4 groups according to the results of the clinical examination | Female>Males, Females are more vulnerable to subjective and objective signs than males. | Uneven distribution of male and female subjects. |
| Le Resche [20] | 35 women not using OCs 35 women using OCs; and 21 men (control) | Subjects kept pain daily diaries over three menstrual cycles | Subjected to pain | TMD pain level rose toward the end of the menstrual cycle and peaked during menstruation. | Small sample size. |
| Johansson [25] | 8,888 subjects, and the overall response rate was 71%. Aged 50 years | A mail questionnaire was sent out for Clinical evaluation was performed in subgroups. | Women>Men | Only one age group examined. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| LeResche [21] | 2 epidemiologic studies | Study 1 compared post- menopausal hormone use. Study 2 examined the relationship of OC use and TMD. | Female reproductive hormone may play an etiologic role in orofacial pain. | Large sample size. | Low quality - Study limitation - Inconsistency |
| Minghelli [10] | 1493 subjects | Aged 17-69 years | Fonseca Anamnetic Questionnaire, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. | Females>Male, Prevalence of TMD associated with anxiety and depression. | No clinical exam used to classify TMD. |
| Thilander [28] | 4724 children | Subject Aged 5-17 years | Subjects were grouped on age and stage of dental development. | TMD was associated with posterior cross-bite, anterior open bite, Angle class III malocclusion and maxillary overjet. Girls>Boys. | Limited clinical examinations carried out. |
| Wieckiewicz [8] | 456 subjects | Aged 19-30 years | RDC/TMD Questionnaire, Clinical examination | A significant correlation was found between TMD and psycho-emotional problems. Females>>males. | Better if subjects filled out a depression and anxiety questionnaire. |
| Carlsson [18] | 5697 subjects, 70 years of age | 2922 subjects, 80 year olds. | Identical questionnaires were in 2012 sent to all subjects born in 1932 and 1943. | Most elderly subjects had no problems with TMD-related symptoms. The prevalence was lower among the 80-year-old group. Females>>males. | Large population. |
| Yekkalam [39] | 779 subjects | 35-50 yrs - 207 50-65 yrs - 190 65-75 yrs - 195 75+ 176 | Cross sectional study. Questionnaire. Four teams in public dental clinics conduct TMD clinical exams. | TMD symptoms peaked among 50-year-old women and then declines. 65-75 prevalence equal between men and women. | Sample size for such a wide age group. |
| Pedroni [42] | 50 subjects | Aged 9-25 years | The questionnaire was adopted from Fonseca (1994) Clinical examination | High prevalence of signs and symptoms of TMD in the population. TMD showed association with stress. | Small sample size. |
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### Table 5: Summary of findings and quality of evidence from studies regarding the epidemiology of TMD in the general population and, in particular, the influences of emotion.

| Study’s Author and Year | Population (Patients/ Problem) | Intervention (Features of Study Design) | Conclusions | Points of Strengths and Weaknesses | Quality of Evidence (QUADAS tool) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Wieckiwicz [8]          | 456 subjects Aged 19-30 years   | RDC/TMD Questionnaire Clinical examination | A significant correlation was found between TMD and psychosomatic problems. Females> males | The results based on RDC/TMD diagnoses are in accordance with current literature. Would have been better if subjects answered a depression and anxiety questionnaire. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Bonjardim [9]           | 196 subjects Aged 16-25 years   | Anamnestic questionnaire. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. | No difference between genders. Possible links with anxiety and depression. | Attempted to assess the relationship of TMD to gender, occlusion and psychological factors. | No clinical examination was carried out. Low quality - Study limitation Indirectness |
| Manfredini [37]         | 131 subjects Aged 20-60 years   | RDC-TMD Questionnaires Clinical examination | Myofacial pain patients differed from those with disc displacement, joint disorders and no TMD in relation to some psychopathological symptoms. | Multiple questionnaires used for standardization. | Small sample size Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Ahberg                  | 1339 subjects Aged 30-55 years  | Subjects completed a self-assessed questionnaire. | Tobacco use both amplifies the patient’s pain response and provokes bruxism. Psychosocial factors and stress should not be ignored. | | Large sample size Low quality - Study limitation Indirectness |
| Lajnert [11]            | 90 female subjects Aged 22-67 years | RDC-TMD. Three psychological tests (Emotions Profile Index, Somatization Scale and Life Events Scale.) | Patients suffering from TMD exhibit higher levels of depression, somatization and anxiety. | The use of three psychological tests. Sample size for such a large age range. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Monteiro [41]           | 150 subjects Aged 17-30 years   | Spielberger’s trait-state anxiety inventory. Chronic pain examination was performed in accordance with RDC-TMD. | Chronic orofacial pain of TMD could be present in university students and anxiety may be related. | Evaluate the relationship between anxiety levels and the severity of chronic orofacial pain of TMD in university students. | Small sample size Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Wahid [38]              | 137 subjects. Aged 18-25 years  | A cross sectional study based on Fonseca’s Questionnaire. | Stress can lead to a greater risk of suffering from TMD. | Attempt to assess the prevalence & severity of TMD in undergrad medical students. | No clinical exam used. Small sample size. All participants were female. Low quality - Study limitation - Indirectness |
| Pedroni [42]            | 50 subjects, Aged 9-25 years    | The questionnaire was adopted by Fonseca (1994) Clinical examination | High prevalence of signs and symptoms of TMD in the population. TMD showed association with stress. | Evaluated if stress can lead to a greater risk of TMD. | Small sample size Moderate quality Study limitation |
| Nardini [2]             | 110 subjects Average age 42 years | The subjects’ pain features were assessed as categorical variables and fulfilled three psychometric instruments. | Patients with diffuse pain showed higher psychometric scores. Pain intensity was significantly related with anxiety, depression and somatization scores. | Evaluated if there were differences in psychometric scores between patients with pain of different duration and location. This study did not look at TMD specifically. | Moderate quality Study limitation |
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| Study          | Sample Size | Methods                                                                 | Findings                                                                 | Limitations                                                                 |
|---------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Reiter [3]    | 207 subjects | Depression and anxiety questionnaires were used to investigate the subjects. | No significant difference between findings of depression, anxiety, somatization, and comorbidity in subgroups of TMD patients. | Large sample size. Study limitation: Low quality - Study limitation          |
| Calixtre [4]  | 19 student subjects | Mandibular Function Impairment Questionnaire (MIFQ) and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale were used. | Variation in anxiety or depression levels didn’t change clinical symptoms or jaw functionality in subjects. | Multiple questionnaires used. Small sample size. Low quality - Study limitation - Indirectness |
| Pizolato [5]  | 82 subjects | Clinical examinations were conducted. Anxiety levels were associated with TMD symptoms. | Anxiety levels and open lips were associated with TMD in children.        | Small sample size. Moderate quality - Study limitation                      |
| Mora [6]      | 36 subjects | Nocturnal masseter muscle activity was recorded. Participants completed pain diaries and questionnaires. RDC-TMD was used. | Chronic TMD is associated with elevated levels of psychopathology. Suggests a common link between NMMA, somatization, and symptom intensity with TMD. | The study asked the patients to keep their own pain diaries throughout the study. Could have had longer follow up with patients to review pain diaries over a longer period of time. Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Yap [7]       | 202 subjects | RDC-TMD was used. | The number of nonspecific pain conditions reported may be a predictor of psychosocial dysfunction, depression, and somatization. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Minghelli [10]| 1493 subjects | Fonseca Anamnestic Questionnaire was used. Females>Males. | Prevalence of TMD associated with anxiety and depression. | No clinical exam used to classify TMD. Low quality - Study limitation - Indirectness |
| Tsang [16]    | 42249 Subjects | Survey questionnaire was used. Most persons reporting pain did not meet criteria for a depressive or anxiety disorder. | Large sample size. Study relied on previous studies which only used questionnaires to gauge chronic pain conditions. | Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Gungormus [35]| 99 subjects | Craniomandibular Index, Beck Depression Inventory, Hospital Anxiety Depression Scales, Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale were used. | There may be an association between bruxism and higher levels of anxiety and/or depression in patients with TMD. | Examined if patients suffering from TMD had more depression and anxiety if they were also bruxers. Would be good to have a healthy control group for comparison. Moderate quality - Study limitation |
| Bonjardim [9] | 217 subjects | Self-report questionnaire was used. Croniomanadibular Index, Dysfunction Index, and the Palpation Index. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scales were used. | Both HADs and HADSDd were associated with an increasing number of TMD subjective symptoms. Only anxiety was correlated with clinical signs of TMD, primarily muscle tenderness. | Large population size of adolescents. Would have been beneficial to do a follow up study on the subjects. Moderate quality - Study limitation |
A summary of the studies with their quality of evidence and associated weaknesses and strengths are presented in Tables 3-5.

**Discussion**

The general prevalence of orofacial pain symptoms in the adult population is substantial, with many studies claiming that approximately half of the population suffers from at least one of the signs of TMD [8,9,24,29-33]. A randomized population study carried out in Germany which looked at 7,008 subjects showed that half of the subjects had one or more clinical signs of TMD [33]. Studies assessed in this review showed the prevalence of signs and symptoms of TMD in the general population varied greatly, ranging from 1% to 75%, when established on clinical evaluation, and 6% to 75% when based on pain questionnaires only [8,9,24,29-34]. It is possible that such differences stem from the disparities in the population studies, or as a result of the different methods and clinical criteria used in the various studies. These differing prevalence figures on reported TMD symptoms can be seen in the various investigations, reflecting differences in study populations, definitions, inclusion and exclusion criteria and methodology used (Table 6) [35]. Epidemiological studies of TMD have reported further difficulties in creating a standardized pattern in the distribution of the disease, as well as standardizing the definition of TMD.

**Table 6: Overview of random sample population-based TMD-prevalence studies.**

| Study’s Author & Year     | Country  | No of subjects | Age (y), Gender | % of Symptoms                                                                 |
|---------------------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Helkimo (1976)            | Finland  | 321            | 15-65. Both     | 22% DIII or 26%AII                                                            |
| Hansson [25]              | Sweden   | 1069           | 10-79. Both     | 25-30% author estimate                                                        |
| Mohlin (1983)             | Sweden   | 272            | 15-65. Women    | 6.30%                                                                         |
| Szentpetery [26]          | Hungary  | 600            | 12-85. Both     | Pain in the face, neck or around the ears M:3.2% F: 8.3%                      |
| Wamman [39]               | Sweden   | 258            | 17-19. Both     | 9%                                                                            |
| Tervonen (1988)           | Finland  | 1275           | 25-65. Both     | 27%, moderate or severe signs of TMD                                           |
| Locker [27]               | US       | 677            | 18 and over. Both Average 45 | Pain in the face just in front of the ears M: 5% F: 9.5%                     |
| Van Karff (1988)          | US       | 1016           | 18-75. Both     | Pain in the muscles of the face, the joint in the past six months; not fleeting or minor pain All ages:M5%, F9% |
| Agerberg (1990)           | Sweden   | 637            | 18-65. Both     | 12.5% subjects estimate                                                       |
| De Kanter (1993)          | Netherlands | 3526        | 15-74. Both     | 21.50%                                                                        |
| Salonen (1990)            | Sweden   | 920            | 20-80. Men      | Mild 47% Moderate 7% Severe less than 1                                       |
| Magnusson [10]            | Sweden   | 119            | 20. Both        | 27%                                                                           |
| De Kanter (1993)          | Netherlands | 3468        | 15-74. Both     | 3.10%                                                                         |
| Johansson [38]            | Sweden   | 6343           | 50. Both        | Pain in the jaw joint F 12.7% M:6.7%                                           |
| (questionnaire sent in 1992) | US       | 196            | 18-25. Both     | Both: light: 4.2%, moderate: 20%, severe: 6% Females: Severe: 9%, Males, severe: 0% Those under emotional stress Light TMD: no 14%, Sometimes: 5.7%, Yes: 28% Moderate no: 0, sometimes: 205, Yes: 80%. Severe: Yes: 100% |
| Pedroni [34]              | Brazil   | 50             | 19-25. Both     | 49.9% had one or more signs only 2.7% were subjectively aware of pain.        |
| Gesch [33]                | Germany  | 4289           | 20-81. Both     | 65% Pain 38% disc displacement                                                |
| Janal [13]                | US       | 782            | 18-75. Women    | Less than 50y: 11.9% Greater than 50y: 7.9%                                   |
| Winocur [3]               | Israel   | 298            | 18 years and above Both | F: 57.2%, M: 42.11% only 9.1% of total sample presented moderate to severe levels of TMD. |
| Bonjardim [9]             | India    | 196            | 18-25. Both     | At least 1 TMD symptom: F:44.4%, M:3.7% At least 2 TMD symptoms: F:23.1%, M:11.9% At least 3 TMD symptoms: F:3.3% M:5.2% Total:9.2% |
| Goncalves [32]            | Brazil   | 1263           | 15-65. Both     | 42.2% Pain                                                                    |
| Manfredini [11]           | Italy    | 243            | 18-80. Both     | F:40. % M:29%                                                                 |
| Ebrahimi [36]             | Iran     | 800            | 14-18. Both     | Overall 4% considered their TMD symptoms to be rather great or severe. 5.4% of 65-year-old women and 3.8% of the 75-year-old women – moderate to severe. |
| Unell [38]                | Sweden   | 9093           | 65 and 75-year-old subjects. Both | Overall 4% considered their TMD symptoms to be rather great or severe. 5.4% of 65-year-old women and 3.8% of the 75-year-old women – moderate to severe. |
When reviewing the literature, it was found that there are three main factors that should be considered when looking at the epidemiology of TMD. These include gender, age and emotional or psychosocial status. We will explore the significance of these 3 major influences further in order to determine which subgroups of the population are more likely to suffer from TMD and why this maybe the case.

**Gender influences**

In general, it was determined from the studies selected for this review that women suffer more from TMD than men. This is consistent with many of the previous studies [26,27]. A study conducted on university students in Brazil found that 68% of all subjects exhibited some degree of disorder with 43.7% of subjects exhibiting audible TMJ sounds [34]. In this study the prevalence of TMD in women was almost four times greater than men. Many studies observed similar results and found that TMD seems to be far more prevalent in the female population (Table 3) [16,17,19,31,33,34,36,39]. Among all the studies analyzed only two studies did not find any statistically significant differences between males and females (Table 3) [9,40] Of these two studies one of them was deemed to be of limited quality as no clinical evaluation was carried out on any of the subjects [9].

These higher prevalence rates for women indicate that possible biological, psychological, and/or social factors associated with the female gender, increases the risk of TMD. One hypothesized reason for women suffering a higher prevalence of TMD is the physiological variances of the female, including hormonal variations, different characteristics of the connective tissue, and brain function and structure [8,34,41].

The greatest peak in the development of symptoms is apparent between 20 and 40 years of age, or during the reproductive period. Thus, it has been claimed, that the prevalent patterns of TMD may be affected by reproductive hormones [16]. The occurrence and severity of TMD pain has been said to be like that of migraine, where hormonal factors are also thought to account for some of the gender difference in prevalence rates [16].

On another note, one study has confirmed that there is many estrogen and progesterone receptors within the intra-articular cartilage in women with TMD [8]. Recently, locally synthesized estrogens have been found and demonstrated to contribute greatly to the function of cartilage [18]. Since the 1990s, the impact of estrogen deficiency on the development of TMD has also been researched [42,43] demonstrating, at least in rats and mice, that substantial alterations, such as the thickness of TM cartilage, flattened condyles, decreasing volume of subchondral bone, and general degenerative signs were observed after ovariectomy [42]. One study found that a drop in estrogen levels may be associated with changes in specific neurotransmitters believed responsible for the cause or potentiation headaches and TMD [16]. Studies however have additionally presented possible correlations between increases in estrogen levels and a subsequent increase in TMD symptoms [15-18]. Another possible mechanism by which estrogen can modulate pain levels, is via a direct effect on the expression and properties of ion channels in TMJ neurons [15]. This can lead to the neurons becoming more sensitized resulting in a lowered action potential threshold.

Other studies have discovered gender differences in brain structure and its possible connection with pain manifestation. For example, it has been noted that in many cases women have smaller hippocampal volumes when compared to men, and such patients report more severe acute or chronic pain.

**Age influences**

Several cross-sectional studies have demonstrated that the overall prevalence of TMD is significantly higher in the 20-40 year age group when compared with other age brackets [11,31,39,44]. The overall prevalence of TMD in children and adolescents differs greatly between multiple studies (Table 4) [1,10,11,13,14,19,29,32,33,35,38,39,44]. The reason for the disparity in results when looking at the younger population in relation to TMD like other pain conditions is the fact that many of the questionnaires and examinations are tailored to the adult population. Children often have difficulty in understanding some of the questionnaires and can be confused by the examinations. Research looking at TMD in over 1000 young children (3-7 years) found that 14% of males and 18% of females suffered from TMD [1,37]. A study of 4724 children in Sweden aged 5-17 years showed 25% of participants experienced one or more TMD symptoms [19].

One study has claimed that TMD prevalence was inversely proportional to age [13]. This study also found that prevalence
varies with socio economic status, race and particularly Hispanic ethnicity [13]. Another study, investigating if there is a tendency for TMD-related symptoms to decrease with age, found the prevalence to be lower among the over 80-year-old subjects when compared with the over 70-year-old subjects of both sexes [14]. A further study in 2010 found that at least two distinct age peaks (30s and 50s) are identifiable within the population suffering from TMD [10].

**Emotional and psychosocial influences**

Multiple studies found that TMD shares significant association with levels of anxiety and depression (Table 5) [2,5-9,21,23,24,30,31,34,45,46]. Of all studies reviewed, only three found no association between emotional and psychosocial influences on TMD [3,4,12] with one of the studies having only 19 subjects [4], whilst the remaining two used sole questionnaires to gauge TMD and chronic pain conditions [3,12]. A study in 2003 [34] reported that all the individuals with moderate and severe TMD suffered from high emotional stress. The significance of psychological factors, such as increased anxiety, depression, and stress has been accentuated in literature describing the etiology of TMD and oral parafunctions [8,9,24,30,34,46]. A recent study investigating the significant role of psycho-emotional factors in the development of TMD, found that easily excitable and emotionally burdened persons suffered significantly more often from TMD and oral parafunctions [8].

It has been demonstrated that emotional stress increases the activity of the masticatory muscles leading to teeth clenching and producing resultant circulatory changes in the mastication muscles, which can consequently result in issues such as TMD [45].

A remarkable, and yet worrying finding, suggests that regularly used antidepressants, known as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, may contribute to the cause of headaches and muscle pain [22]. A study showed that the development of bruxism was coupled with the appearance of neuroleptic-induced akathisia which occurs as a side-effect of antipsychotic drug treatment [22]. Evidence is showing that in many cases, repressed or unsettled and unresolved emotional problems play a key role in the continuation of TMD. These unresolved emotions generate stress, which may form obstructions and reduced flow of peptide signals that help preserve function at the cellular level, leading to dysfunction and pathology [7].

**The changing epidemiology of TMD**

Among the many controversies related to TMD, it has been established that the approximation of the overall prevalence of TMD in the general population has varied significantly. It was noted that older studies found smaller percentage of the population suffered from TMD when compared to the more recent studies [10]. Table 4 in the appendix, which has studies that were excluded due mainly to their age, outlines the changing prevalence over the years. These results also show the broad range of prevalent factors reached due to the limitations addressed previously. There does, however, appear to be an increase in people suffering from TMD in the general population as can be seen from some of the more recent population studies [9,11,32,33,36]. A large longitudinal cross-sectional study was carried out to look at possible trends in the prevalence of TMD symptoms in an adult population over two decades, from 1983 to 2003 [35]. This study found a statistically significant increase in TMD prevalence over the 20 year period. According to the study TMD symptoms increased over the 20-year period from 27% to 38%. It was found that 10.5% of the study population suffered from severe TMD symptoms in 1983 and in 2003 this increased to 16%. The study also found the incidence of headaches was more than twice as high as it was 20 years prior. From the literature, we can thus reasonably assume that the percentage of the population suffering from TMD is on the rise.

**Limitations of current epidemiological studies and final remarks**

The present review article shows that there is a large percentage of the population suffering from TMD, younger females and those suffering from depression and/or anxiety. It was seen that the prevalence of TMD signs and symptoms is consistent with much of the literature about the distribution of the symptoms according to age and gender. Many of the authors consider that using any single symptom as suggestive of TMD may lack specificity. When assessing the prevalence of four or more TMD symptoms, or what some authors considered severe TMD, many studies found approximately 10% of the population were affected [24,32,35,38,39]. However simply outlining several symptoms as a way of correlating the severity of TMD has its many limitations. These issues are what makes the study of the epidemiology of TMD so difficult and it is why as mentioned previously that in this review at least two symptoms or a single painful symptom was required.

There are multiple difficulties faced when looking at the prevalence and epidemiology of TMD, several of which include examiners with differing levels of skill, knowledge and experience, inconsistencies in judgments and research bias. Another major issue in determining the overall prevalence of TMD within a population is that the division between disease and non-disease is not always clear. Further to this, the overall conception of TMD is unclear to most clinicians, as it is a collective term, which incorporates numerous clinical pathologies or disorders that involve the TMJ and its associated structures.

TMD constitutes several pathologies rather than just one condition, with comparable signs and symptoms. Considerations in the complicated interrelationships between the TMJ, teeth, muscles, cervical spine, autonomic nervous system and the higher centers of the brain in temporomandibular disorders are essential. Such an unusual classification and description of a group of disorders that affect such a vast amount of people in the population effectively assures misunderstandings from the perspective of epidemiology and research into this subject.
Conclusions

From this review we can conclude that TMD is the most common orofacial pain condition of non-dental origin. However, the actual TMD prevalence at the population level is a matter of debate. This review did however outline that, the prevalence peaks between the ages of 25-45, women suffer more than men and added psychosocial issues lead to a greater prevalence and intensity of TMD symptoms. It was also noted that there seems to be an increase in TMD prevalence in the general population over recent decades. Additional repeated population-based investigations covering extended time periods would help add important information in these areas and further validate the findings. Also established, is the significance of a TMJ assessment in the overall examination of the patient, especially at a young age. Recognizing a potential TMD problem at an early stage may allow intervention to avoid further complications. Future studies should be adequately sized for accurate determination of the prevalence and detection of important associated factors. Data on potential risk factors and cofounders such as age, gender and emotional/psychosocial status should also be collected and adjusted for in the statistical analysis of future studies.
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