Paintable Battery
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If the components of a battery, including electrodes, separator, electrolyte and the current collectors can be designed as paints and applied sequentially to build a complete battery, on any arbitrary surface, it would have significant impact on the design, implementation and integration of energy storage devices. Here, we establish a paradigm change in battery assembly by fabricating rechargeable Li-ion batteries solely by multi-step spray painting of its components on a variety of materials such as metals, glass, glazed ceramics and flexible polymer substrates. We also demonstrate the possibility of interconnected modular spray painted battery units to be coupled to energy conversion devices such as solar cells, with possibilities of building standalone energy capture-storage hybrid devices in different configurations.

Li-ion batteries power most of our portable electronics by virtue of their high energy and power density. Commercial Li-ion batteries are multi-layer devices, fabricated by tightly rolling up sandwiched battery components and packaging them into metal canisters1. Although Li-ion battery packs are compact and volumetrically efficient, the ‘jellyroll’ (Fig. 1a) design strategy limits the batteries to rectangular or cylindrical shapes, which constrains the form factors of devices. Recent efforts on unconventional battery designs have worked towards developing battery technologies that can be inconspicuously accommodated into devices and applications without constraining their form factors2. Some examples are thin and flexible batteries3-4, stretchable textile energy storage5-6, paper batteries7, microbatteries8 and transparent batteries9. However, a seamless integration of these energy storage systems into electronic devices and household objects remains a challenge. The advent of smart devices/objects has further generated interest in self-powered electronics10,11 with integrated storage. Such energy conversion-storage hybrids will require batteries that can be integrated directly into the object or surface of choice as well as with energy harvesting devices. Printing (or generally, painting) is already considered a viable technique for large-area fabrication of electronic devices (circuits, photovoltaics, displays, etc.) on virtually any type of substrate12. Consequently, there is huge interest in developing a fully paintable energy storage technology. Here we present a paradigm change in battery design and integration. We have developed a fully paintable Li-ion battery that can be simultaneously fabricated and integrated with commonly encountered materials and objects of daily use. Energy harvesting devices, such as solar cells, can then be easily integrated with these batteries to give any surface a standalone energy capture and storage capability. We could easily integrate this technology into standard construction materials (ceramic tiles), common household objects (ceramic mug), stainless steel as well as flexible polymer sheets.

Results
We adopted spray-painting technique to assemble batteries (Fig. 1b) due to advantages such as ease of operation and flexibility in formulation from small-scale (aerosol cans) to industrial scale systems (spray guns). Fabrication of batteries by spray painting requires formulation of component materials into liquid dispersions (paints), which can be sequentially coated on substrates to achieve the multilayer battery configuration. Commercial Li-ion batteries have positive and negative electrode materials coated on appropriate current collectors, sandwiching an ion conducting separator (Fig. 1a). Aluminum and copper foils are commonly used current collectors (CC) (positive and negative CC respectively), while electrode materials and separators are chosen based on desired voltage, current capacity, operating temperature and safety considerations13-15. We chose Lithium Cobalt Oxide [LiCoO2] (LCO, positive electrode) and Lithium Titanate Oxide [Li4Ti5O12] (LTO, negative electrode), for which the effective cell voltage is ~2.5 V16. Graphite anode or high voltage cathodes could be used to increase the nominal voltage of paintable batteries (~3.6 V for LCO-Graphite cell). However, graphite based Li-ion batteries have safety concerns17,18 and LTO was chosen to ensure safer operation due to minimal risk of Li-metal
plating if accidentally overcharged. Absence of solid electrolyte interface (SEI) formation, stable and longer cycle life due to low volume change during charging and discharging are other advantages in choosing LTO.

While conductive Cu paints are commercially available, a conductive Al paint would require the use of Al micro-powders, which are dangerous in use (form explosive aerosols) and have a high degree of surface oxidation. Single-walled nanotube (SWNT) current collectors have been used in batteries due to their high electrical conductivity and electrochemical stability at potentials above 1 V vs. Li/Li⁺. We found that high concentrations (~0.5~1% w/v) of SWNTs can be readily dispersed without the use of surfactants or polymeric binders by bath ultrasonication in 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) to form viscous, highly consistent inks suitable for spray painting. We chose NMP due to its ability to solvate pristine SWNTs without altering its electronic properties or requiring any post-treatments such as surfactant removal. A 20% w/w of Super PTM (SP) conductive carbon additive lowers the sheet resistance of the spray-painted SWNT films (~2 mg/cm²) up to 10Ω/□, sufficient for use as current collectors.

LCO paint was made by adding a mixture of LCO, SP carbon and ultrafine graphite (UFG) into Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) binder solution in NMP. Spray painted electrodes with only SP carbon as conductive additive had poor capacity retention, possibly due to inhomogeneous distribution of the small SP carbon particles (~50 nm) in films composed of far larger LCO particles (7–10 μm). Addition of UFG (particle size ~1 μm, comparable to LCO) gave more homogeneous distribution of conducting pathways, improving capacity retention (details in supplementary information, section SI-1 and Fig. S1).

In Li-ion polymer batteries, well-controlled microporosity of polymer separators is crucial for optimal electrolyte uptake and formation of a microporous gel electrolyte (MGE) with high ionic conductivity, which is necessary for complete capacity utilization and its retention upon cycling. Thus, obtaining the right morphology in a spray-painted separator was considered the most crucial step for realization of a paintable Li-ion battery. Besides, adhesion of the separator to various substrates is key to making the paintable battery mechanically robust. We could obtain microporous separators with good adhesion characteristics from a paint prepared by blending Kynarflex®-2801 (Kynarflex) polymer with poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and fumed SiO₂ (27:9:4 ratio by wt.) in an 8:1 (by vol.) mixture of acetone and N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF). Kynarflex was used due to its good solubility in low boiling solvents and electrochemical stability in a wide voltage window, while PMMA was used to promote adhesion to a variety of substrates. Kynarflex-PMMA separators fabricated from paints in acetone had good adhesion, but had a fibrous morphology with very high porosity and excessive electrolyte uptake which made them mechanically unstable. We found that by adding DMF to the paint, the microporosity and electrolyte uptake could be tailored to make the separators mechanically robust upon electrolyte addition. This, Figure 1 | Paintable battery concept. (a) Simplified view of a conventional Li-ion battery, a multilayer device assembled by tightly wound ‘jellyroll’ sandwich of anode-separator-cathode layers. (b) Direct fabrication of Li-ion battery on the surface of interest by sequentially spraying component paints stencil masks tailored to desired geometry and surface.

Figure 2 | Electrochemical characterization of individual components of paintable Li-ion battery. Composite electrodes: Charge-discharge curves and specific capacity vs. cycle numbers for spray painted (a, b), LCO/MGE/Li half-cell cycled between 4.2–3 V vs. Li/Li⁺ at C/8 (c, d), LTO/MGE/Li half-cell cycled between 2–1 V vs. Li/Li⁺ at C/5. Both half cells show desired plateau potentials and good capacity retention. Polymer separator optimization: (e) Addition of DMF to polymer paint gave a mechanically robust separator but drastically reduced ionic conductivity. (f) Addition of SiO₂ at ~11% DMF helped recover the ionic conductivity while maintaining mechanical robustness. Ionic conductivities were calculated from impedance spectra in supplementary Fig. S4. (g) High frequency region of electrochemical impedance spectrum of a typical optimized polymer measured at 23°C. The separator shows low ionic resistance, with ionic conductivity ~1.24×10⁻³ S/cm.
however, also reduced the ionic conductivity of MGE by a factor of \(~4\) at 11% DMF content (Fig. 2e). A further addition of 10% w/w fumed SiO\(_2\) to the separator helped offset this loss in conductivity and gave the best compromise between mechanical stability, porosity and ionic conductivity (Fig. 2f–g) (details on optimization of polymer separator in supplementary information, section SI-2 and Figs. S2–S4).

Before assembling the Li-ion cell, spray painted LCO/Polymer and LTO/Polymer stacks were tested in half cell configuration to ensure that both electrodes were performing optimally with the optimized MGE. Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves of both half-cells displayed expected plateau potentials (\(~3.91\) V\(^{\text{ch}}\) for LCO and \(~1.5\) V for LTO\(^{\text{dis}}\)), good initial capacities (\(~100\) mAh/g for LCO, \(~125\) mAh/g for LTO) and good capacity retention upon cycling (Fig. 2a–d).

Li-ion cells were fabricated by spraying component paints with an airbrush onto desired substrates (Supplementary Movie-S1). We started the assembly with the cathode CC, but the painting sequence can be easily reversed. Non-conducting substrates (glass, ceramics and polymer sheets) were preheated to 120°C and the SWNT paint was sprayed onto them to deposit SWNT films (\(~2\) mg/cm\(^2\), \(R_s\sim10\Omega/\square\)). The LCO paint was then sprayed on top of the SWNT CC to deposit the LCO electrode (\(~15\) mg/cm\(^2\) of LCO). After drying, the separator was deposited by spraying polymer paint onto the electrode preheated to 105°C (\(T_g\) of PMMA). While spraying, it was necessary to deposit the first few coats slowly and allow them to dry to create an interfacial adhesion layer. Without this step, the separator peeled off the substrate. Subsequent coats of polymer paint were then applied up to a final thickness of \(~200\) μm. The thick separators prevented internal shorting due to solvent penetration while depositing the top electrode. Then, the LTO paint was slowly spray painted onto the separator preheated to \(~95\)°C to deposit the LTO electrode (\(~10\) mg/cm\(^2\)). For each layer, calibrated volumes of paints were sprayed to achieve required weight or thickness. Lastly, commercially available conductive Cu paint was sprayed onto the LTO electrode to serve as the anode CC. The cell was vacuum dried, transferred to an Argon filled glove box and after soaking in electrolyte, the finished cell was packaged with laminated poly(ethylene)-aluminum-poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PE-AL-PET) sheets (see supplementary information, section SI-3 and Fig. S5).

Cross-sectional SEM micrograph of a spray painted Li-ion cell (Fig. 3c) shows component layers with reasonably uniform thickness and well-formed interfaces. Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves of a similar Li-ion cell (Fig. 3d) showed plateau potentials (\(~2.4\) V for charge and \(~2.3\) V for discharge) and discharge capacity (\(~120\) mAh per g of LTO) expected for the LTO-LCO electrode combination. The cell retained 90% of its capacity after 60 cycles with >98% columbic efficiency (Fig. 3e), suggesting that all components were working efficiently upon integration, without degradation or delamination of the cell stack.

![Figure 3](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports/) | Characterization of spray painted Li-ion cells. (a) (Left) Glazed ceramic tile with spray painted Li-ion cell (area 5\(\times\)5 cm\(^2\), capacity \(~30\) mAh) shown before packaging. (Right) Similar cell packaged with laminated PE-Al-PET sheets after electrolyte addition and heat sealing inside glove box. (b) Mass distribution of components in a typical painted battery. (c) Cross-sectional SEM micrograph of a spray painted full cell showing its multilayered structure, with interfaces between successive layers indicated by dashed lines for clarity (Scale bar is 100 μm). (d) Charge-discharge curves for 1\(^{\text{st}}\), 2\(^{\text{nd}}\), 20\(^{\text{th}}\) and 30\(^{\text{th}}\) cycles and (e) Specific capacity vs. cycle numbers for the spray painted full cell (LCO/MGE/LTO) cycled at a rate of C/8 between 2.7–1.5 V. (f) Capacities of 8 out of 9 cells fall within 10% of the targeted capacity of 30 mAh, suggesting good process control over a complex device even with manual spray painting.
Discussion
 Spray painting is a versatile process for battery fabrication. To demonstrate this, we fabricated batteries on a wide variety of engineering materials such as glass, stainless steel, glazed ceramic tiles and flexible polymer sheets without any surface conditioning (Fig. 4a–c, 4f). We observed no effect of these substrate types on performance of batteries. Further, we fabricated a battery conformally on the curved surface of a ceramic mug by spraying paints through a stencil mask spelling ‘RICE’ (Fig. 4g), to show the flexibility in surface forms and device geometries accessible using spray painting. More complicated surface geometries could be accessible by using optimal nozzle designs and tailoring the rheological characteristics of paints.

Spray painting is a widely used industrial process and could be used to create a large number of small “lego unit” batteries that could be used to create different configurations for varied applications. We batch fabricated 9 Li-ion cells on ceramic tiles (identical to Fig. 3a). Since the capacities of batteries are decided by the active material weights, we controlled the required amount of active materials by the sprayed volume of cathode and anode paints. The capacity of anode was kept less (anode limited cell) to achieve long cycling life\(^{29,30}\). Out of 9 identically fabricated Li-ion cells, 8 had capacities within \(\pm 10\%\) of the targeted capacity (Fig. 3f), suggesting good reproducibility for a relatively complex device even by manual spray painting. In the present study, we have targeted high energy densities but considering the high ionic conductivity of the used gel electrolyte, good interface between component layers and capacity retention over cycling at C/8 current rate with no visible voltage polarization, we expect LTO-LCO based paintable batteries to perform well at higher rates. Further, we fabricated a battery conformally on the curved surface of a ceramic mug by spraying paints through a stencil mask spelling ‘RICE’ (Fig. 4g), to show the flexibility in surface forms and device geometries accessible using spray painting. More complicated surface geometries could be accessible by using optimal nozzle designs and tailoring the rheological characteristics of paints.

In summary, battery materials can be engineered into paint formulations and simple spray painting techniques can be used to fabricate batteries directly on surfaces of various materials and of different shapes. We also integrated a photovoltaic panel with this technology to demonstrate energy capture-storage hybrid devices, which could be integrated into large outdoor surfaces and objects of daily use without constraints of space or form factor. The technique could be applied to virtually any multilayer energy storage or conversion devices such as supercapacitors or paintable solar cells\(^{31}\).

In the present work, we targeted Li-ion batteries due to their high energy and power density. However, fabrication and conditioning of Li-ion batteries requires use of toxic, flammable and potentially corrosive liquid electrolytes and an oxygen and moisture-free environment. Applying our technique, in its present form, to build Li-ion batteries directly on outdoor objects could be costly due these stringent requirements. Battery components (electrolyte and electrode) that are less sensitive to moisture and oxygen along with development of moisture and oxygen barrier paints would enable assembly of batteries without use of dry rooms, possibly even by non-specialists, enabling widespread renewable energy capture, storage and utilization.

Methods
Preparation of paints. Positive CC paint: A mixture of purified Hipeco SWNTs (Rice University) and 20% w/w SP Carbon (Timcal Ltd, Switzerland) was dispersed in NMP by ultrasonication (5 mg/ml). Cathode paint: A 85 : 5 : 3 (by wt.) mixture of LCO (Sigma Aldrich), SP carbon and UFG (UFG-5, Showa Denko America, Inc.) was dispersed in a 4% w/v PVDF (M\(_n\) = 120,000, Sigma Aldrich) binder solution in NMP to a total solid content of 60% w/v. Polymer separator paint: A 27 : 9 : 4 (by wt.) mixture of Kynarflex\textsuperscript{2801} (Arkema Inc.), PMMA (M\(_n\) = 120,000, Sigma Aldrich) and fumed SiO\(_2\) (Cabot Inc.) was dispersed in a binary solvent mixture of Acetone and DMF (8 : 1 by vol.). Anode paint: A 80 : 10 (by wt.) mixture of LTO (Pred Materials International Inc.) and UFG was dispersed in a 7% w/v PVDF binder solution in NMP to a total solid content of \(\sim 67\%\). Negative CC paint: Commercially available copper conductive paint (Casewell Inc.) was diluted with ethanol and used as negative current collector paint. Detailed optimization process of paints has been described in online supplementary information, sections SI-1 and SI-2.

Fabrication of paintable Li-ion batteries. Li-ion batteries were fabricated by sequentially spraying the component paints on desired surface using an airbrush operating at 40 psi of compressed air. The paints can be sprayed through a set of masks made according to desired device geometry. Temperature of substrate was controlled from 90–120 °C using a heat gun or hot plate. Cu and Al metal tabs were attached to the negative and positive current collectors respectively. The finished cell was transferred to argon filled glove box and activated by soaking for at least 2 h in an electrolyte consisting of 1 M LiPF\(_6\) solution in 1 : 1 (v/v) mixture of ethylene carbonate and dimethyl carbonate (Sigma Aldrich). The cell was packaged by heat sealing with PE-Al-PET (Pack Plus Converting Corp.) laminated sheets and 3 M 615 thermo-bonding films (see supplementary information, section SI-3 and Movie-S1).

Figure 4 | Demonstrations of paintable battery. Li-ion cell fabricated on (a), glass slide; (b) stainless steel sheet; (c) glazed ceramic tile. (d) (Left) A packaged and charged tile cell and (right) a similar tile cell charged with a photovoltaic panel mounted on the tile. (e) Fully charged battery of 9 parallely connected powering 40 red LEDs spelling ‘RICE’. (f) A flexible spray-painted Li-ion cell fabricated on a PET transparency sheet, powering LEDs. (g) Spray painted Li-ion cell fabricated on the curved surface of a ceramic mug, powering LEDs. The top electrode (LTO/Cu) was sprayed through a stencil mask to spell ‘RICE’. The cell area in a, b, c, f and g has been highlighted by dashed line for clarity.
Electrochemical Characterization. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements on polymer separators were performed in a Swagelok™ cell in SS/MGE/SS configuration using AUTOLAB PGSTAT 302N station. The measurements were performed over 100 kHz–1 Hz frequency range with a 10 mV AC bias. The SS worked as a blocking electrode. Galvanostatic charge-discharge measurements were performed on Arbin Instruments BT-2000 battery cycler. LTO/MGE/Li and LCO/MGE/Li half-cells were cycled in Swagelok™ cells at C/5 and C/6 current rate, where C is the current required to fully charge or discharge a cell in 1 h. Fully spray-painted cells were charged at current rate of C/8. For charging the hybrid energy capture-storage device, an array of crystalline Si solar cells with an output voltage of ~5 V was connected to a tile cell through a transistor current limiter circuit. The panel was then exposed to a 10 W CFL lamp and the output current of the circuit was regulated to C/8. A similar current limiter circuit was used to control the discharge current in the demonstration (Fig. 4e) to around C/6.
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