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Background. A female prognostic advantage in younger individuals has been demonstrated in various cancers. Several large-scale analyses based on different racial backgrounds have reported inconsistent results in colorectal cancer. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the prognostic value of sex and age in patients with colorectal cancer of different ethnic groups.

Methods. We identified 71,812 eligible patients from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results database. According to age at diagnosis, the patients were categorized into premenopausal age (≤45 yrs), menopausal age (46–54 yrs), and postmenopausal age (≥55 yrs) subgroups for further analysis.

Results. Multivariate analysis identified the female survival advantage to be significant in the premenopausal age subgroup (P = 0.002, HR (95% CI): 0.73 (0.60–0.89)), diminished in the menopausal age subgroup (P = 0.09), and absent in the postmenopausal age subgroup (P = 0.96). Furthermore, the female survival advantage at premenopausal age was significant only in white patients (P = 0.001, HR (95% CI): 0.68 (0.54–0.87)) and not in either American Indian/Alaska Native or Asian or Pacific Islander patients. There was a trend of better survival of females in black patients (P = 0.07).

Conclusions. Sex was a major prognostic factor in colorectal cancer patients, especially premenopausal women, and the difference was also associated with race.

1. Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the most common malignancy in the digestive system worldwide. Globally, the estimated number of new cases was 1,096,601 in 2018, ranking fourth among all malignancies. Although the incidence and mortality of CRC has been declining in recent years, it is still the fourth leading cause of cancer-specific deaths worldwide [1]. The situation is even worse in China, where both the incidence and mortality of CRC are steadily increasing [2, 3]. Major treatment strategies include surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy, the last of which is more common in rectal cancer [4–6]. Targeted therapies are restricted to late-stage cases, and only a few drugs have been approved for clinical application [7–9]. The identification of prognostic factors is important not only for survival prediction and patient management but also sometimes for developing new therapeutics.

The sex differences in cancer prognosis have been discussed for a long time. Previous studies have suggested that there is a survival advantage in female patients compared with male patients only or most notably at a younger age in several cancers, including melanoma [10, 11], nasopharyngeal carcinoma [12], hepatocellular carcinoma [13], metastatic oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma [14], and papillary thyroid cancer [15].
In CRC, there have been numerous studies investigating the role of sex in prognosis. Four studies based on large populations deserve more attention [16–19]. Unfortunately, the conclusions are inconsistent. These four studies are based on different geographic populations: Japan (n = 82,402), Taiwan (n = 62,060), Germany (n = 164,996), and the USA (n = 52,882). The studies based on German and American populations concluded that the survival advantage of females was only significant or more remarkable in younger populations [18, 19]. However, the studies based on Japanese and Taiwanese populations concluded that there were no survival differences between female and male patients under 50 years old [16, 17]. The different death rates among various races may be related to socioeconomic status, age, sex, tumour stage at diagnosis, and colorectal cancer screening programmes [20, 21]. However, after controlling for these variable characteristics, prognostic differences remained among the races [22]. The reasons for the ethnic differences may be genetic [23] or related to biological susceptibility [24] or microsatellite instability [25]. Thus, we conducted a large-scale analysis based on the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program CRC database to examine the prognostic value of sex and age in patients with colorectal cancer of different ethnic groups.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethics Statement. This study was deemed exempt from institutional review board approval by the Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, and informed consent was waived. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. SEER Database. The SEER database includes cancer incidence and prevalence information tabulated by age, sex, race/ethnicity, year of diagnosis, and geographic region. It is publicly available. In this analysis, we used the latest version based on the November 2014 submission, “Incidence-SEER 18 Regs Research Data + Hurricane Katrina Impacted Louisiana Cases, Nov 2014 Sub (1973-2012 varying).”

2.3. Study Population. The cases were selected according to the third edition of the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD-O-3) codes. Colorectal (C18.0, C18.2–C18.7, and C19.9 for colon cancer and C20.9 for rectal cancer) adenocarcinoma (8140–8147, 8210–8211, 8220–8221, 8255, 8260–8263, 8480–8481, 8490, and 8574) was eligible for this study. Only cases with records of 7th TNM stage from 2010 to 2012 were included. In addition, cases without follow-up records and with other tumours as the primary tumours were excluded.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. We used SPSS for Windows V.13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for all the analyses in this study. The sex differences in clinicopathologic characteristics were compared with the chi-square test (age, tumour location, and marital status) or Kruskal-Wallis H test (grade and TNM stage). In survival analyses, the end point was CRC-specific overall survival (OS), calculated as the time interval between CRC diagnosis and CRC-specific death or censored at death from other causes or the last record of follow-up. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed for the prognostic differences of sex and used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). Survival curves were plotted with the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. A two-tailed P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Basic Characteristics of the Study Population. This study identified 71,812 CRC patients from the SEER database. The basic characteristics of the study population are shown in the Supplementary Table 1. Male (52.1%, n = 37,448) patients were slightly more frequent than female (47.9%, n = 34,364) patients. According to the age distribution, 7.2% (n = 5,164) of patients were at premenopausal age (≤45 yrs) and 15.6% (n = 11,202) were at menopausal age (46–54 yrs), while most of the patients (77.2%, n = 55,446) were at postmenopausal age (≥55 yrs). Colon cancer (79.7%, n = 57,240) was more frequent than rectal cancer (20.3%, n = 14,572). There were 6,102 (8.5%) patients without available information for the tumour grade. Most of the tumours were moderately differentiated (72.0%, n = 47,287). Only 9.4% (n = 6,146) and 18.7% (n = 12,277) were well differentiated and poorly differentiated or undifferentiated, respectively. The frequencies of patients at stages 0, I, II, III, and IV were 3.4% (n = 2,475), 24.6% (n = 17,664), 27.2% (n = 19,546), 28.8% (n = 20,680), and 15.9% (n = 11,447), respectively. Regarding race, except for 611 (0.9%) patients without available information, most of the remaining patients were white (78.7%, n = 56,005), and the frequencies of the other three races were 0.7% (n = 520) for American Indian/Alaska Native, 8.7% (n = 6,214) for Asian or Pacific Islander, and 11.9% (n = 8,462) for Black. Regarding insurance and marital status, most patients were insured (83.8%, n = 58,753) and married (56.2%, n = 37,848).

3.2. Sex Differences of Clinicopathologic Characteristics. The median age in this study population was 66 years old. There were 53.0% patients at an older age (>66 yrs) in females, which was significantly higher than the 43.3% of male patients that were in this age group (P < 0.001). Rectal cancer was more frequent in males (23.3%) than in females (17.0%) (P < 0.001). The tumours of female patients were significantly more poorly differentiated than the tumours of male patients (P < 0.001). In addition, fewer of the female patients were married (46.4% vs. 65.2%), and more of the female patients were widowed (26.3% vs. 6.8%) than the male patients (P < 0.001). There were no significant differences in the sex distribution of the TNM stage (P = 0.98). Detailed information is shown in Table 1.

We further repeatedly conducted comparisons stratified by age (premenopausal age (≤45 yrs)/menopausal age (46–
54 yrs)/postmenopausal age (≥55 yrs)). A significantly higher frequency of rectal cancer in females remained consistent among the three age subgroups (all P < 0.001). However, more female patients were married in the premenopausal age subgroup, and the rate subsequently declined in the menopausal and postmenopausal age subgroups, along with a corresponding increase in the rate of widowed patients among female patients. The distributions of marital status in the menopausal and postmenopausal age subgroups were consistent with those of the general study population (both P < 0.001). Interestingly, regarding tumour grade, female patients had significantly better tumour differentiation (P = 0.01) in the premenopausal age subgroup; however, this difference disappeared in the menopausal age subgroup (P = 0.6) and was even reversed in the postmenopausal age subgroup (P < 0.001). Detailed information is shown in Table 2.

### 3.3. Sex Difference in Colorectal Cancer-Specific Overall Survival Stratified by Age

Female patients had a significantly higher CRC-specific OS compared with male patients in the premenopausal age subgroup (P < 0.001, HR (95% CI): 0.70 (0.59–0.84)). This survival advantage of female patients diminished in the menopausal age subgroup (P = 0.09, HR (95% CI): 0.89 (0.79–1.02)) and reversed in the postmenopausal age subgroup (P < 0.001, HR (95% CI): 1.12 (1.07–1.17)) (Figure 1). Then, the prognostic differences of sex were adjusted by confounding factors, including tumour location (colon/rectum), grade (well differentiated/moderately differentiated/poorly differentiated or undifferentiated), TNM stage (0/I/II/III/IV, AJCC 7th), race (American Indian/Alaska Native/Asian or Pacific Islander/Black/White), insurance status (insured/others), marital status (married/widowed/others), and surgery for primary tumours (no/yes). The survival advantage of female patients remained independently significant in the premenopausal age subgroup (P = 0.002, HR (95% CI): 0.73 (0.60–0.89)), and there was still a trend of better survival for females in the menopausal age subgroup (P = 0.09, HR (95% CI): 0.88 (0.77–1.02)). However, there was no sex difference in CRC-specific OS in the postmenopausal age subgroup (P = 0.96, HR (95% CI): 0.96 (0.91–1.01)) after adjusting for confounding factors (Table 3).

### 3.4. Survival Advantage of Females at Premenopausal Age Stratified by Race

Since we proved the survival advantage of females at the premenopausal age and we previously speculated that it was race dependent, we then analysed the sex differences in CRC-specific OS for patients at the premenopausal age among various races, as shown in Table 4. Univariate analyses indicated that the survival advantage of females only existed in black (P = 0.003, HR (95% CI): 0.52 (0.33–0.80)) and white (P = 0.01, HR (95% CI): 0.74 (0.60–0.92)) patients. There were no survival differences between males and females in American Indian/Alaska Native (P = 0.94, HR (95% CI): 0.94 (0.22–4.02)) or Asian or Pacific Islander (P = 0.36, HR (95% CI): 0.76 (0.43–1.37)) patients. Figure 2 shows the sex differences in CRC prognosis at premenopausal age stratified by race. After adjusting for confounding factors, including tumour location, grade, TNM stage, insurance status, marital status, and surgery for primary tumours, a significant

---

**Table 1: Sex differences in clinicopathologic characteristics in colorectal cancer.**

| Characteristic          | Male N (%) | Female N (%) | P value |
|-------------------------|------------|--------------|---------|
| Age (yrs, median: 66)   |            |              |         |
| ≤66                     | 21,223 (56.7) | 16,151 (47.0) | <0.001* |
| >66                     | 16,225 (43.3) | 18,213 (53.0) |         |
| Tumour location         |            |              |         |
| Colon                   | 28,707 (76.7) | 28,533 (83.0) | <0.001* |
| Rectum                  | 8,741 (23.3)  | 5,831 (17.0)  |         |
| Grade                   |            |              | <0.001* |
| Well differentiated      | 3,247 (9.6)  | 2,899 (9.1)   |         |
| Moderately differentiated| 24,892 (73.3)| 22,395 (70.5) |         |
| Poorly differentiated or undifferentiated | 5,814 (17.1) | 6,463 (20.4) |         |
| TNM stage               |            |              | 0.98    |
| 0                       | 1,369 (3.7)  | 1,106 (3.2)   |         |
| I                       | 9,286 (24.8) | 8,378 (24.4)  |         |
| II                      | 9,977 (26.6) | 9,569 (27.8)  |         |
| III                     | 10,702 (28.6)| 9,978 (29.0)  |         |
| IV                      | 6,114 (16.3) | 5,333 (15.5)  |         |
| Marital status          |            |              | <0.001* |
| Married                 | 22,925 (65.2)| 14,923 (46.4) |         |
| Widowed                 | 2,398 (6.8)  | 8,465 (26.3)  |         |
| Others                  | 9,851 (28.0) | 8,804 (27.3)  |         |

*Significant P value. Abbreviation: TNM: tumour-node-metastasis.*
| Characteristic                  | Premenopausal age (≤45 yrs) |                       | Menopausal age (46–54 yrs) |                       | Postmenopausal age (≥55 yrs) |                       | P value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|                                | Male N (%)                  | Female N (%)          | Male N (%)                  | Female N (%)          | Male N (%)                  | Female N (%)          |         |
| **Tumour location**            |                             |                       |                             |                       |                             |                       | <0.001* |
| Colon                          | 19,00 (70.4)                | 1,861 (75.5)          | 4,446 (71.4)                | 3,802 (76.5)          | 22,361 (78.4)               | 22,870 (84.9)         |         |
| Rectum                         | 799 (29.6)                  | 604 (24.5)            | 1,783 (28.6)                | 1,171 (23.5)          | 6,159 (21.6)                | 4,056 (15.1)          |         |
| **Grade**                      |                             |                       |                             |                       |                             |                       | <0.001* |
| Well differentiated            | 180 (7.3)                   | 155 (6.8)             | 549 (9.9)                   | 475 (10.5)            | 2,518 (9.7)                 | 2,269 (9.1)           |         |
| Moderately differentiated      | 1,668 (68.1)                | 1,664 (72.9)          | 4,113 (74.1)                | 3,338 (73.5)          | 19,111 (73.6)               | 17,393 (69.8)         |         |
| Poorly differentiated or undifferentiated | 602 (24.6)                | 463 (20.3)            | 892 (16.1)                  | 729 (16.1)            | 4,320 (16.6)                | 5,271 (21.1)          |         |
| **TNM stage**                  |                             |                       |                             |                       |                             |                       | 0.18    |
| 0                              | 77 (2.9)                    | 68 (2.8)              | 274 (4.4)                   | 209 (4.2)             | 1,018 (3.6)                 | 829 (3.1)            | 0.45    |
| I                              | 400 (14.8)                  | 441 (17.9)            | 1,513 (24.3)                | 1,189 (23.9)          | 7,373 (25.9)                | 6,748 (25.1)          | 0.54    |
| II                             | 606 (22.5)                  | 523 (21.2)            | 1,556 (21.8)                | 1,064 (21.4)          | 8,015 (28.1)                | 7,982 (29.6)          |         |
| III                            | 1,009 (37.4)                | 876 (35.5)            | 1,920 (30.8)                | 1,581 (31.8)          | 7,773 (27.3)                | 7,521 (27.9)          |         |
| IV                             | 607 (22.5)                  | 557 (22.6)            | 1,166 (18.7)                | 930 (18.7)            | 4,341 (15.2)                | 3,846 (14.3)          |         |
| **Marital status**             |                             |                       |                             |                       |                             |                       | 0.001*  |
| Married                        | 1,453 (56.4)                | 1,398 (59.8)          | 3,680 (63.3)                | 2,786 (60.0)          | 17,792 (66.4)               | 10,739 (42.6)         |         |
| Widowed                        | 7 (0.3)                     | 19 (0.8)              | 50 (0.9)                    | 126 (2.7)             | 2,341 (8.7)                 | 8,320 (33.0)          |         |
| Others                         | 1,115 (43.3)                | 919 (39.3)            | 2,079 (35.8)                | 1,730 (37.3)          | 6,657 (24.8)                | 6,155 (24.4)          |         |

*Significant P value. Abbreviation: TNM: tumour-node-metastasis.
survival advantage of females was found in white patients ($P = 0.001$, HR (95% CI): 0.68 (0.54–0.87)), and there was a trend of better survival for females in black patients ($P = 0.07$, HR (95% CI): 0.61 (0.36–1.05)). However, no differences were found in either American Indian/Alaska Native ($P = 0.99$, HR (95% CI): 1.00 (0.16–6.25)) or Asian or Pacific Islander ($P = 0.96$, HR (95% CI): 1.01 (0.54–1.92)) patients.

**Figure 1:** Sex differences in colorectal cancer-specific overall survival in colorectal cancer patients stratified by age. Survival was estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method and compared with the log-rank test, and a survival advantage in female patients was found in the premenopausal age subgroup ($P < 0.001$) that diminished in the menopausal age subgroup ($P = 0.09$) and was reversed in the postmenopausal age subgroup ($P < 0.001$).

**Table 3:** Univariate and multivariate analyses for the prognostic value of sex stratified by age.

| Age group                        | Univariate $P$ value | HR (95% CI)         | Multivariate $^*$ $P$ value | HR (95% CI)         |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Premenopausal age ($\leq 45$ yrs)| $<0.001^*$           | 0.70 (0.59–0.84)    | 0.002$^*$                   | 0.73 (0.60–0.89)    |
| Menopausal age (46–54 yrs)       | 0.09                 | 0.89 (0.79–1.02)    | 0.09                        | 0.88 (0.77–1.02)    |
| Postmenopausal age ($\geq 55$ yrs)| $<0.001^*$           | 1.12 (1.07–1.17)    | 0.13                        | 0.96 (0.91–1.01)    |

$^*$Significant $P$ value. Abbreviation: TNM: tumour-node-metastasis. $^*$Sex differences of prognosis were adjusted by tumour location (colon/rectum), grade (well differentiated/moderately differentiated/poorly differentiated or undifferentiated), TNM stage (0/I/II/III/IV), race (American Indian/Alaska Native/Asian or Pacific Islander/Black/White), insurance status (insured/others), marital status (married/widowed/others), and surgery for primary tumours (no/yes) in multivariate analysis.
The age of female patients was significantly older than that of male patients in our study. This result was in accordance with previous reports. Ferlitsch et al. found that the prevalence of advanced adenomas was comparable between males aged 45–49 and females aged 55–59 [40]. Brenner et al. found that females mainly reached equivalent levels of CRC incidence when 4–8 years older than males [41]. This delayed incidence pattern might also be a result of the protective value of female hormones. The difference in age distribution could also partially explain the higher rate of widowed patients in females found in our study. However, a higher rate of widowed patients was identified across all age subgroups, which could possibly indicate a different role of marital status in CRC susceptibility.

It is clear that there are some differences in cancer incidence and survival among people of different racial backgrounds [42]. A survival advantage of Asian patients compared with white patients has been found in several cancers, including breast cancer [43], gastric cancer [44], non-small-cell lung cancer [45], and CRC [46]. Disparities of social, economic, and lifestyle behavior factors might partially be responsible; however, with the implementation of genomic approaches, differences in genetic and epigenomic profiles among various races have been revealed, and the influence of race on cancer biology has been demonstrated [42].

The major limitation of this study was that we did not include lifestyle behavior factors in the analysis because they were not available in the SEER database. Additionally, without data on menopausal status and hormonal analysis, we could only roughly categorize patients into three menstrual subgroups according to age. Furthermore, the occurrence and development of tumours is a very complex process. Due to the heterogeneity of tumours, every independent study may have bias. Since the Asian sample size in the SEER database is insufficient, the result is limited. The differences found in the present study among races may only reflect the impact of some biological, social, and behavioral differences among races. More studies are needed to reveal intrinsic impact factors. The major strength of our study was the relatively large sample size based on SEER registry data, and the biggest highlight of our study was that we demonstrated for the first time that the survival advantage of females at premenopausal age is race dependent.
5. Conclusions

Our study was the first to discover the race dependence of female survival advantage at the premenopausal age, which existed only in white patients and possibly in black patients but was not present in American Indian/Alaska Native or Asian or Pacific Islander patients. This was consistent with previous large-scale reports. How race-related and sex-related genetic or other factors interact with each other in CRC needs further investigation.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.
Authors’ Contributions
Hui Sheng and Xiaoli Wei contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgments
We thank the staff of the biochemical laboratory of Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center who provided various biochemical markers and all of the staff who supported our study.

Supplementary Materials
Supplementary Table 1: basic characteristics of the study population. (Supplementary Materials)

References
[1] F. Bray, J. Ferlay, I. Soerjomataram, R. L. Siegel, L. A. Torre, and A. Jemal, “Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries,” CA: a Cancer Journal for Clinicians, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 394–424, 2018.
[2] W. Chen, R. Zheng, H. Zeng, and S. Zhang, “The updated incidences and mortalities of major cancers in China, 2011,” Chinese Journal of Cancer, vol. 34, no. 3, 2015.
[3] W. Chen, R. Zheng, P. D. Baade et al., “Cancer statistics in China, 2015,” CA: a cancer journal for clinicians, vol. 66, pp. 115–132, 2016.
[4] W.-H. Fan, F.-L. Wang, Z.-H. Lu et al., “Surgery with versus without preoperative concurrent chemoradiotherapy for mid-/low rectal cancer: an interim analysis of a prospective, randomized trial,” Chinese Journal of Cancer, vol. 34, 2015.
[5] J. Cassidy, S. Clarke, E. Díaz-Rubio et al., “Randomized phase III study of capecitabine plus oxaliplatin compared with fluorouracil/folinic acid plus oxaliplatin as first-line therapy for metastatic colorectal cancer,” Journal of Clinical Oncology, vol. 26, no. 12, pp. 2006–2012, 2008.
[6] Clinical Outcomes of Surgical Therapy Study Group, “A comparison of laparoscopically assisted and open colectomy for colon cancer,” New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 350, no. 20, pp. 2050–2059, 2004.
[7] M. J. Sotoelo, B. Garcia-Paredes, C. Aguado, J. Sastre, and E. Díaz-Rubio, “Role of cetuximab in first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer,” World Journal of Gastroenterology, vol. 20, no. 15, pp. 4208–4219, 2014.
[8] D. A. Goldstein, B. B. Ahmad, Q. Chen et al., “Cost-effectiveness analysis of regorafenib for metastatic colorectal cancer,” Journal of Clinical Oncology, vol. 33, no. 32, pp. 3727–3732, 2015.
[9] L. Bai, F. Wang, D. S. Zhang et al., “A plasma cytokine and angiogenic factor (CAF) analysis for selection of bevacizumab therapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer,” Scientific Reports, vol. 5, no. 1, article 17717, 2015.
[10] L. Mervic, U. Leiter, F. Meier et al., “Sex differences in survival of cutaneous melanoma are age dependent: an analysis of 7338 patients,” Melanoma Research, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 244–252, 2011.
[11] K. Lasithiotakis, U. Leiter, F. Meier et al., “Age and gender are significant independent predictors of survival in primary cutaneous melanoma,” Cancer, vol. 112, no. 8, pp. 1795–1804, 2008.
[12] P. Y. OuYang, L. N. Zhang, X. W. Lan et al., “The significant survival advantage of female sex in nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a propensity-matched analysis,” British Journal of Cancer, vol. 112, no. 9, pp. 1554–1561, 2015.
[13] D. Yang, D. L. Hanna, J. Usher et al., “Impact of sex on the survival of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results analysis,” Cancer, vol. 120, no. 23, pp. 3707–3716, 2014.
[14] P. Bohanes, D. Yang, R. S. Chhibar et al., “Impact of sex on the survival of patients with esophageal cancer,” Journal of Clinical Oncology, vol. 30, no. 18, pp. 2265–2272, 2012.
[15] J. Jonklaas, G. Nogueras-Gonzalez, M. Munsell et al., “The impact of age and gender on papillary thyroid cancer survival,” The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, vol. 97, no. 6, pp. E878–E887, 2012.
[16] K. Kotake, M. Asano, H. Ozawa, H. Kobayashi, and K. Sugihara, “Gender differences in colorectal cancer survival in Japan,” International Journal of Clinical Oncology, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 194–203, 2016.
[17] C. L. Chou, S. F. Weng, J. K. Lin, and S. C. Chang, “Role for gender in colorectal cancer risk: a Taiwan population-based study,” International Journal of Colorectal Disease, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 1001–1008, 2013.
[18] O. Majek, A. Gondos, L. Jansen et al., “Sex differences in colorectal cancer survival: population-based analysis of 164,996 colorectal cancer patients in Germany,” PLoS One, vol. 8, no. 7, article e68077, 2013.
[19] A. Hendifar, D. Yang, F. Lenz et al., “Gender disparities in metastatic colorectal cancer survival,” Clinical Cancer Research, vol. 15, no. 20, pp. 6391–6397, 2009.
[20] S. H. Alshareef, N. A. Alsobae, S. A. Aldeheshi, S. T. Alturki, J. C. Zevallas, and N. C. Barengo, “Association between race and cancer-related mortality among patients with colorectal cancer in the United States: a retrospective cohort study,” International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, vol. 16, no. 2, 2019.
[21] A. A. Andaya, L. Enewold, S. H. Zahm et al., “Race and colon cancer survival in an equal-access health care system,” Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 1030–1036, 2013.
[22] X. L. du, T. E. Meyer, and L. Franzini, “Meta-analysis of racial disparities in survival in association with socioeconomic status among men and women with colon cancer,” Cancer, vol. 109, no. 11, pp. 2161–2170, 2007.
[23] R. L. Siegel, K. D. Miller, A. Goding Sauer et al., “Colorectal cancer statistics, 2020,” CA: a Cancer Journal for Clinicians, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 145–164, 2020.
[24] S. Agrawal, A. Bhupinderjit, M. S. Bhutani et al., “Colorectal cancer in African Americans,” AMERICAN JOURNAL OF GASTROENTEROLOGY, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 515–523, 2005.
[25] A. Duray, S. Demoulin, J. Petermans et al., “Aging and cancer: coincidence or etiologic relationship?”, Revue Médicale de Liège, vol. 69, no. 5–6, pp. 276–281, 2014.
[26] N. Murphy, H. D. Strickler, F. Z. Stanczyk et al., “A prospective evaluation of endogenous sex hormone levels and colorectal cancer risk in postmenopausal women,” JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute, vol. 107, no. 10, article dyv210, 2015.
[27] M. B. Schabath, L. M. Hernandez, X. Wu, P. C. Pillow, and M. R. Spitz, “Dietary phytoestrogens and lung cancer risk,” JAMA, vol. 294, no. 12, pp. 1493–1504, 2005.
[28] N. D. Freedman, W. H. Chow, Y. T. Gao et al., "Menstrual and reproductive factors and gastric cancer risk in a large prospective study of women," *Gut*, vol. 56, no. 12, pp. 1671–1677, 2007.

[29] G. N. Farhat, N. Parimi, R. T. Chlebowski et al., "Sex hormone levels and risk of breast cancer with estrogen plus progesterin," *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 105, no. 19, pp. 1496–1503, 2013.

[30] E. E. Calle, H. L. Miracle-McMahill, M. J. Thun, and C. J. Heath, "Estrogen replacement therapy and risk of fatal colon cancer in a prospective cohort of postmenopausal women," *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 87, no. 7, pp. 517–523, 1995.

[31] C. Rose, S. M. Thorpe, K. W. Andersen et al., "Beneficial effect of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy in primary breast cancer patients with high oestrogen receptor values," *Lancet*, vol. 1, no. 8419, pp. 16–19, 1985.

[32] P. A. Konstantinopoulos, A. Kominea, G. Vandoros et al., "Oestrogen receptor beta (ERβ) is abundantly expressed in normal colonic mucosa, but declines in colon adenocarcinoma paralleling the tumour's dedifferentiation," *European Journal of Cancer*, vol. 39, no. 9, pp. 1251–1258, 2003.

[33] N. Jassam, S. M. Bell, V. Speirs, and P. Quirke, "Loss of expression of oestrogen receptor beta in colon cancer and its association with Dukes' staging," *Oncology Reports*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 17–21, 2005.

[34] C. Williams, A. DiLeo, Y. Niv, and J. A. Gustafsson, "Estrogen receptor beta as target for colorectal cancer prevention," *Cancer Letters*, vol. 372, no. 1, pp. 48–56, 2016.

[35] H. B. Nasr, K. Chahed, N. Bouaouina, and L. Chouchane, "Possible gender-related modulation by the ROCK1 gene in colorectal cancer susceptibility," *Pathobiology*, vol. 82, no. 6, pp. 252–258, 2015.

[36] A. Gasinska, P. Richter, Z. Darasz et al., "Gender-related differences in repopulation and early tumor response to preoperative radiotherapy in rectal cancer patients," *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 1568–1576, 2011.

[37] M. Ferlitsch, K. Reinhart, S. Pramhas et al., "Sex-specific prevalence of adenomas, advanced adenomas, and colorectal cancer in individuals undergoing screening colonoscopy," *JAMA*, vol. 306, no. 12, pp. 1352–1358, 2011.

[38] B. E. Henderson, N. H. Lee, V. Seewaldt, and H. Shen, "The influence of race and ethnicity on the biology of cancer," *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 648–653, 2012.