Macrosopic Chirality Fluctuations should induce CP forbidden Decays
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If large fluctuations of quark chirality occur in heavy ion collisions, they result in macroscopic CP-odd “spots” of the so called theta-vacua, with a non-zero $\theta(z)$. We consider particular decays of mesons, CP-forbidden in the vacuum with zero $\theta$, like $\eta \rightarrow \pi \pi$. We evaluate their rates for such decays near hadronic freezeout. These rates, as well as charge asymmetries already observed, are proportional to square of the CP-violating parameter $(\theta^2)$ averaged over the fireball and events. With such input, we found that the forbidden decay rates are likely to be orders of magnitude larger than CP-allowed ones. We further estimated that up to about one per mill of $\eta$ mesons produced in heavy ion collisions should decay in this way. We further discuss how those can be observed. We argue using STAR data on charge asymmetries for AuAu and CuCu collisions that the size of CP-odd spots at freezeout is as large as Cu nuclei: this fortunate fact (not explained so far by itself) suggests that the two-pion invariant mass is modified by only about a percent, which is comparable to experimental resolution. If so, we think experimental observation of these decays is within the reach of current dataset. If those decays are found, it would confirm that CP-odd interpretation of charge asymmetry is correct, even without complication related to geometry, impact parameter or magnetic field induced on the fireball.

\textbf{PACS numbers:}

\section{I. INTRODUCTION}

Discovery of instantons \cite{1} have revealed the dynamical role of gauge field topology, in particular existence of the $\theta$-vacua and the so called strong CP problem. Without going into discussion of its cosmological aspects and axions, let us only mention how it plays out in a “Little Bang”, the heavy ion collisions. One notable suggestion, by Kharzeev, Pisarsky and Tytgat \cite{9}, was that analogs of theta-vacua can possibly appear at finite temperature, as metastable CP-odd bubbles. This might happened if the $\eta'$ mass can get very small near $T_c$. However, lattice measurements of the topological susceptibility near $T_c$, such as e.g. \cite{10}, seem to exclude this scenario. But there is no need to have metastability of the bubbles: in fact initial state fluctuations of chirality would evolve in diffusively, as it is preserved in the QGP. The strongly coupled nature of it, manifesting itself in small viscosity and diffusion constant for charm, only helps to keep the CP-odd spot localized.

But before we get to topological effects in heavy ion collisions, let us discuss the QCD vacuum first. The first empirical information on instantons in the QCD vacuum has been provided long ago by one of us \cite{2}: based on the values of gluon and quark condensates it was conjectured that the mean size and the density (instantons plus anti-instantons together) are

$$\rho_{\text{inst}} \approx 0.3 \, fm, \quad n_{\text{inst}} \approx 1 \, fm^{-4} \quad (1)$$

These numbers were confirmed by direct lattice obser-

vations a decade later. For details of that and for summary on QCD instanton-induced effects in chiral symmetry breaking and hadronic spectroscopy see \cite{4}.

The role of instantons in high energy collisions have been discussed in \cite{4, 5}, in which it was suggested that instantons are responsible for the so called “soft pomeron”, operating at a momentum scale $\sim 1/\rho \sim 1 \, GeV$, less than that for perturbative BFKL Pomeron. Eventually it lead to explanation of how topology/chirality fluctuations should occur in high energy collisions. It has been found that instantons, being perturbed by a collision, are excited and explode into certain states of glue and fermions which can be described semiclassically. Transition from virtual (Euclidean) to real (Minkowskian) part of the semiclassical path proceed via the so called “turning states”, at which the momentum (electric field) vanishes. Such “turning states” have been identified as the so called Carter-Ostrovsky-Shuryak (COS) sphalerons \cite{6}. Analytic solutions for them, as well as classical description of their subsequent decay have been made in the same paper. Further clarification of the fermion production in sphaleron explosion has been made by Shuryak and Zahed in \cite{7}, by solving Dirac equation in the exploding sphaleron background. It clearly shows how chirally asymmetric set of quarks $\bar{u}_R u_L \bar{d}_R d_L \bar{s}_L s_1$ are pulled from the Dirac sea into the positive energy states, violating chirality by 6 units (as anomaly/index theorem equation predicts), with the calculated spectrum. It has been proposed that one can identify and experimentally study COS sphaleron production in double-diffractive pp col-
lisions, and currently there is some proposal to perform such experiments at RHIC.

Returning to Au-Au collisions, one may use these ideas in order to estimate the number of sphalerons produced \[18\]. If we only consider the number of vacuum instantons in an initial state “pancake” then we get a so minimal number

\[ N_{\text{sphalerons}} > n_{\text{inst}} \rho_{\text{inst}}^2 \pi R_{\text{Au}}^2 \sim 10 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The maximal number in the whole fireball is perhaps an order of magnitude larger \[8\]. Assuming random statistics, this implies that the fluctuations of the topological charge in the the fireball is \( \Delta Q_{\text{top}} \sim \sqrt{N_{\text{sphalerons}}} = 3 - 10 \). As the size of the sphalerons is \( \sim \rho \ll R_{\text{Au}} \), each of them can be viewed as exploding shells. In QGP those evolve diffusively and merge, in a process not yet understood quantitatively, producing at the time of fireball freezeout some chirally asymmetric spots, such as one shown in Fig.1.

Further development was related with the presence of a magnetic field \( \vec{B} \): the so called Witten effect states that when the nonzero \( \theta \) is present, it mixes with the electric field \( \vec{E} \sim \theta \vec{B} \). This leads to a current and eventually charge separation in the direction of \( \vec{B} \) . The microscopic dynamical mechanism underlying this process has been first explored in \[11\], and has lead to the discussion of charge asymmetry in large bodies \[12\] such as neutron stars, Galaxies and large scale cosmological magnetic field domains.

Kharzeev et al \[15\] proposed to look for charge asymmetry induced by chiral CP-odd fluctuations at Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), by means of charged pions’ correlations. They pointed out that very intense magnetic field —up to \( 10^{19} \) Gauss— is generated by the nuclei and also by motion of excited matter, which has certain overall positive charge density and vorticity, except in the case of exactly central collisions. The asymmetry in charged pion correlations relative to the collision plane has been recently observed by the Star Collaboration \[13, 14\], see Fig.2. The observed effect is indeed absent for central collisions. Still, the observed correlation is by itself CP-even \( \sim \theta^2 \) and in principle it may be induced by some other effect, absent in the usual event generators. To be sure that it is indeed proportional to \( \sim \theta^2 \) (averaging over the volume and events) some other experimental confirmation would be desirable. Dividing it out would allow to single out the QGP response to \( \theta \), a property opening a window to new transport coefficients \[16, 17\]. In this paper we propose CP-odd decays as such possibility.

\[ \text{II. CP FORBIDDEN DECAYS} \]

In this paper we are discussing the CP-violating processes in the phase of heavy ion collisions at the freezeout, which occurs at temperature \( T \sim 170 \) MeV. The system is in the hadronic phase, but the topological fluctuations have not washed out yet and there is a domain of finite size where the QCD vacuum is in a state with an effective \( \theta \neq 0 \). In this regime one can use Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT), keeping in mind that the coefficients of the Chiral Lagrangian change their values with temperature . For our purposes we only need the CP-odd part of the ChPT Lagrangian in the leading order in \( \theta \).

The ChPT Lagrangian is usually represented as a function of a non-linear field \( U(x) = e^{i \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(x)} \), where \( \Pi \) is the nonet of pseudoscalar mesons

\[
\Pi(x) = \begin{pmatrix}
\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \phi^8 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \phi^0 \\
\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \phi^+ \\
\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \phi^- \\
\sqrt{2} K^0 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \phi^0 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \phi^8 \\
-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \phi^0 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \phi^8 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \phi^0 \\
-2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \phi^8 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \phi^0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The singlet and octet fields \( \phi^0 \) and \( \phi^8 \) are related to the physical \( \eta, \eta' \) fields via the mixing angle \( \alpha_M \) as

\[
\bar{\eta} = \phi^8 \cos \alpha_M - \phi^0 \sin \alpha_M \hspace{1cm} (3)
\]

\[
\eta' = \phi^8 \sin \alpha_M + \phi^0 \cos \alpha_M. \hspace{1cm} (4)
\]

The CP-odd part of the ChPT Lagrangian in the leading chiral order and at leading order in the \( 1/N_c \) expansion, is equal to \[15\]

\[
L_{\text{eff}} = -i \frac{\chi_{\text{top}} N_f}{2 N_c} \bar{\theta} \left[ \text{Tr}[U(x) - U^\dagger(x)] - 2 \log \det U(x) \right] \hspace{1cm} (5)
\]

where \( \bar{\theta} \) is an effective \( \theta \) parameter.

\[
\bar{\theta} \sim \frac{F^2 N_c m_{\pi}^2}{4 N_f \chi_{\text{top}}} \theta \hspace{1cm} (6)
\]

To study decay processes of a pseudoscalar meson into two pseudoscalar mesons, we then have to expand Eq.5.
to third order in the meson fields and select all the interaction terms which are compatible with a decay process, that is to say terms with a field of rest mass bigger than the sum of the rest masses of the two other fields. A simple calculation reveals that the only decays allowed are $\eta$’s into two pions:

$$L_{\eta \to \pi \pi} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\lambda_{\eta \pi^0 \pi^0}}{F_{\pi^0}^2} \bar{\eta} \times \left\{ \eta \left( \frac{1}{2} \pi^0 \pi^0 + \pi^+ \pi^- \right) \left[ \cos \alpha_M - \sqrt{2} \sin \alpha_M \right] \right.$$  

$$+ \eta' \left( \frac{1}{2} \pi^0 \pi^0 + \pi^+ \pi^- \right) \left[ \sqrt{2} \cos \alpha_M + \sin \alpha_M \right] \right\} (7)$$  

and we will only consider the process $\eta/\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-$ because it is simpler to detect charged pions. The amplitude of this process at leading order is given by the square of the coefficient of the correspondent term in the Lagrangian

$$|A_{\eta \to \pi \pi}|^2 = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\lambda_{\eta \pi^0 \pi^0}}{F_{\pi^0}^2} \bar{\eta}^2 \left[ \cos \alpha_M - \sqrt{2} \sin \alpha_M \right]^2$$  

$$\approx 2.37 \frac{\lambda_{\eta \pi^0 \pi^0}}{F_{\pi^0}^2} \bar{\eta}^2 (8)$$  

$$|A_{\eta' \to \pi \pi}|^2 = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\lambda_{\eta' \pi^0 \pi^0}}{F_{\pi^0}^2} \bar{\eta}^2 \left[ \sqrt{2} \cos \alpha_M + \sin \alpha_M \right]^2$$  

$$\approx 1.63 \frac{\lambda_{\eta' \pi^0 \pi^0}}{F_{\pi^0}^2} \bar{\eta}^2 (9)$$

for value of the mixing angle we used the value $\alpha_M \approx -15^\circ$, see [19]. The topological susceptibility and the pion decay constant have to be substituted with their values at the freezeout temperature $T_f \approx 170$MeV.

The decay probability is then equal to

$$\Gamma_{\eta \to \pi \pi} = \frac{1}{16\pi} |A_{\eta \to \pi \pi}|^2 \sqrt{\frac{m_{\eta}^2 - 4m_{\pi}^2}{m_{\eta}^2}}$$  

$$\approx 127$ MeV$ \bar{\eta}^2 (10)$$  

$$\Gamma_{\eta' \to \pi \pi} = \frac{1}{16\pi} |A_{\eta' \to \pi \pi}|^2 \sqrt{\frac{m_{\eta'}^2 - 4m_{\pi}^2}{m_{\eta'}^2}}$$  

$$\approx 80$ MeV$ \bar{\eta}^2 (11)$$

where in the last approximate equality we have substituted the numerical values of the parameters involved, at $T = 0$. (We return below to the issue of $T$ dependence.

We now make a comparison to allowed decays: known decays into $\eta \to 3\pi$ are so much suppressed that the corresponding partial widths are about half of the total width of $\Gamma_{\eta} = 1.18 \pm 0.11$ keV. So, with $\langle \bar{\theta}^2 \rangle \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$ needed to explain CP-odd fluctuations at RHIC, we actually find that the forbidden decay rate in the asymmetric spots is orders of magnitude larger than the allowed one! Still, the corresponding lifetime is much larger than the duration of the freezeout $\Delta \tau_f$, after which all particles including etas leave the spot. Thus the fraction of etas to decay via the forbidden channel is still small

$$P_{\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^-} = \frac{\Gamma_{\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^-}}{\Gamma_{\eta \to \text{all}}} \Delta \tau_f \frac{V_{\text{spot}}}{V_f} \sim 0.1 \theta^2 \frac{\Delta \tau_f}{f \bar{m}} \frac{V_{\text{spot}}}{V_f} (12)$$

of the order of one per mill or so. (The rest decay in the usual way outside the spot.)

We now discuss how masses and parameters of the chiral Lagrangian (like topological susceptibilities) are modified from their vacuum values, at finite temperature. We start by considering the mass of eta, which is given by the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation and thus

$$m_\eta^2(T) \sim \frac{\langle s \bar{s} \rangle}{f_\pi^2(T)} (13)$$

While the quark condensate changes appreciably for $T \to T_f$, the same is true for $f_\pi$, $f_\eta$, and the ratio is believed to be changed by very small amount. We looked at available lattice literature, and although there are measurements of all three quantities $m_\eta(T)$, $\langle s \bar{s} \rangle (T)$, $f_\pi(T)$, we have not found common datasets in which all can be compared together. Lacking that, uncertainties due to different lattices and quark masses are too large to give some particular numbers. Yet nothing prevents to do so in a dedicated calculation.

The topological susceptibility does not change appreciably and account just for a small factor in the $\eta$ mass: it may however change $\Gamma_{\eta \to \pi \pi}$ and – more importantly – the value of the $\eta'$ mass.

We will now subsequently discuss the factors which affect the invariant mass window in which decays are to be observed. These include:

(i) spatial dependence of effective $\theta$ parameter  
(ii) meson mass modification as a function of temperature.

(i) The spatial dependence of the effective parameter $\theta(x)$ can be viewed as additional 3-momentum $k \approx 1/R_{\text{spot}}$ coming from its Fourier transform. (We do not include the time-dependent component, assuming that diffusion of chirality is a slow process, and the corresponding frequency is negligible.) As a result, the invariant mass of the final state is modified by

$$m_\eta = \sqrt{m_\eta^2 - k^2} \approx m_\eta \left[ 1 - \frac{k^2}{2m_\eta^2} \right] (14)$$

The magnitude of the spot size and the corresponding momenta will be discussed in the next section, for estimate we will now use $k = 50 - 100$ MeV. From the formula above we see that the correction to the mass is then in the range of $-(0.005 \div 0.02)$.

(ii) thermal mass modification of the eta, can also be viewed as the energy shift of a particles sitting in a certain potential created by all other members of the fireball, at the moment of the decay. In our case we consider two different initial particles, $\eta, \eta'$, which are related with different phenomena and thus should experience different
shifts. The former one is mostly Goldstone meson (ignoring
their mixing), the SU(3) partner of the pions, thus its mass is obtained from Gell-Mann-Oaks-Renner
relation; the latter, $\eta'$, has a mass given by Witten-Veneziano
formula. While the quark condensate and the decay con-
dant are expected to have significant changes themselves,
and in the chiral limit vanish at $T = T_c$, the ratio appear-
ing in the eta mass is believed to change with $T$ much less.
For example, the quark condensate at $T_{ch} \approx 170 \text{MeV}$ is
reduced by about 20% [20].

At the end of this section let us briefly discuss the re-
axation of the spot itself. During the freezeout period,
when the CP-odd spot is populated with mesons, some
energy/momentum exchange between $\theta(t, x)$ and these
mesons is possible and is described by the same chiral
Lagrangian: for example, it was included in our discus-
sion of the visible width of the forbidden decays above.
What happens after that period, when the residual $\theta(t, x)$
remains alone, as a vacuum perturbation? Depending on
the energy available, it can still decay into a number of
channels. In the order of reduced mass those would be e.g. processes like $\theta \to \eta'$, or $\theta \to \eta \pi \pi$ or eventually
$\theta \to \gamma \gamma$ till it relaxes completely to $\theta = 0$. The rate of
all of them, if needed, can be calculated from the same
standard chiral Lagrangian.

III. WHAT IS THE SIZE OF THE “CHIRALLY
ASYMMETRIC SPOT”?

Let us return to the geometry of the charge correlations
shown in fig [2] Note that we placed the asymmetric spot
at the periphery of the fireball: we did so for the following
reason (known as trigger bias): such a geometry increases
chances that both charge pions (say $2\pi^\pm$) are observed,
escaping from the system unscattered and moving in a
certain direction (up in the figure). There is no such bias
effect for opposite charges $+-$ since those are expected
to move approximately in opposite direction. Existing
experience with jets show that one of the two or both
would be rescattering and thus quenched by matter much
more. So, the observed ratio of opposite-to-same charge
effects $R(+-/++)$ is expected to be small.

Now look at the STAR data shown in fig [2]. Those
for AuAu collisions (shown by the closed points) indeed
finds the effect for opposite charge $+-$ to correlation to be
much smaller than for same charge $+/--$ one, $R(+-/+++) \ll 1$. However more recent data taken for CuCu
collisions (open points) show a nearly symmetric picture,
with $|R(+-/+++)| \approx 1$ (up to a sign, as expected). We
take it as the direct experimental evidence for the fireball
sizes at freezeout having the following relation to the size
of the CP-odd spot

$$R_{AuAu} \gg R_{spot} \approx R_{CuCu}$$ \hspace{1cm} (15)

We used this last approximate equality for the estimate
of the corresponding momentum in the previous secton.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The charge pion correlations observed by STAR col-
aborator [13, 14] is by itself CP-even. To be sure that
it is indeed proportional to $< \theta^2 >$, we propose to look
for CP-forbidden decays such as $\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\eta' \to \pi^+ \pi^-$. According to our estimates, the rates of the decays at
freezeout are orders of magnitude larger than the allowed
3-meson modes, and the total fraction of the forbidden
decays should be of the order of $10^{-3}$ or so.

We think that with the available statistics of $\sim 10^9$
events one can separate the peaks in the invariant mass
from the statistical background of random $\pi^+ \pi^-$ pairs
with the same invariant mass. (Since the channels are
very different, the usual decays make no background.)
From theoretical point of view $\eta$ stands a better chance
because its mass is expected to change little, as it is pro-
portional to the square root of the ratio of quark condensate
and $F_\pi$, with similar $T$-dependence. The $\eta'$ mass
is related to topological susceptibility and may change
more. But from experimental point of view, due to the
values of $\eta$ and $\eta'$ rest mass, the signal/background ratio
may be larger for $\eta'$ decays: since the freezeout tempera-
ture it is $T_f \simeq 170 \text{MeV}$, RHIC collisions are more likely
to produce pions with momentum of the order of $0.5 m_\pi$
than $0.5 m_\eta$. The issue of course require further studies,
including experimental resolution and acceptance: but,
we repeat, it does not require new data, just their analy-
isation.

If observed, the CP-forbidden decays would provide
an independent measure of the CP-odd fluctuations. Di-
viding $< \theta^2 >$ out would allow to single out the QGP
response to \(\theta\), a property opening a window to new transport coefficients \([16, 17]\).
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