Right Heart Transvalvular Embolus with High Risk Pulmonary Embolism in a Recently Hospitalized Patient: A Case Report of a Therapeutic Challenge
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Thrombus-in-transit is not uncommon in pulmonary embolism but Right Heart Transvalvular Embolus (RHTVE) complicating this is rare. A 54-year-old obese male with recent hospitalization presented with severe dyspnea and collapse. Initial investigations revealed elevated d-dimer and troponin. CTA showed saddle pulmonary embolus and bedside echocardiogram revealed right ventricular (RV) pressure overload and dilatation (RV > 41 mm), McConnell’s sign, and mobile echodensity attached to tricuspid valve. Patient was immediately resuscitated and promptly transferred for surgical embolectomy under cardiopulmonary bypass. A long segment of embolus traversing through the tricuspid valve and extensive bilateral pulmonary artery embolus were removed. IVC filter was placed for a persistent right lower extremity DVT. Hypercoagulable work-up was negative. Patient continued to do well after discharge on Coumadin. Open embolectomy offers great promises where there is no consensus in optimal management approach in such patients. Bedside echocardiogram is vital in risk stratification and deciding choice of advanced PE treatment.

1. Introduction

Right-heart-thrombus is not uncommon in acute massive pulmonary embolism (PE) and appears to increase mortality substantially [1,2]. It requires emergency treatment, but there is no consensus regarding optimal management. Our patient presented with massive PE along with a unique echocardiographic finding of a long Right Heart Transvalvular Embolus (RHTVE) traversing the tricuspid valve. In appropriate settings, such patients would benefit from emergency surgical embolectomy.

2. Case Presentation

54-year-old obese male presented to ER with episodic dyspnea and collapse without loss of consciousness associated with palpitation, chest discomfort, and diaphoresis. He was recently hospitalized for colonic diverticular abscess that was drained. Medical history includes hypertension, CAD, and asthma. He denied smoking/illicit drugs. Examination was remarkable for tachycardia, hypotension, and mild hypoxia. Laboratory findings revealed elevated lactic acid, troponin, d-dimer, and abnormal EKG (Table 1, Figure 1). Urgent CT
| SN | Tests | Values | Reference value |
|----|-------|--------|-----------------|
| CBC | WBC 12.60 | 4.50–10.9 K/mm³ |
| RBC 4.92 | 3.8–5.2 × 10⁶/mm³ |
| Hb 14.2 | 12.2–15 gm/dL |
| Platelets 212.0 | 130–400 × 10⁹/mm³ |
| ESR 30.0 | 0–35 mm/hr |
| Chemistry | Sodium 137.0 | 136–145 mmol/L |
| Potassium 4.9 | 3.5–5.1 mmol/L |
| BUN 16.0 | 6–20 mg/dL |
| Creatinine 1.0 | 0.6–1.1 mg/dL |
| LDH 482.0 | 100–190 IU/L |
| Troponin I 0.122 | 0–0.1 ng/ml |
| Lactic acid 5.0 | 0.5–2.2 mmol/L |
| Basic coagulation profile | PT 13.8 sec | 9.70–13.20 sec |
| INR 1.21 | 0.86–1.16 (ratio) |
| PTT 35.3 | 20.30–36.00 sec |
| d-dimer 7205.26 | 400–560 ng/mLFEU |

Figure 1: 12-lead EKG showing right ventricular strain pattern.

3. Discussion

Thrombus-in-transit is not an unusual presentation in high risk PE [3]. This case chronicles a rare large embolus traversing through tricuspid valve from right atrium to right ventricle and we termed this as Right Heart Transvalvular Embolus (RHTVE). High risk PE may be massive or submassive. It often results from embolization of proximal deep vein thrombus in the lower extremities. Massive PE is characterized by sustained hypotension with SBP < 90 for at least 15 minutes or requiring intravenous vasopressor(s). It may also be a state of bradycardia with heart rate < 40 associated with signs and symptoms of shock or pulselessness. Submassive PE is that without hypotension associated with RV dysfunction (right bundle branch block/right heart strain, McConnell’s sign, or elevated BNP) or evidence of myocardial injury (troponin > 0.1) [4].

Pulmonary artery pressure increases abruptly once the embolus occludes > 30–50% of the total cross-sectional area of pulmonary arterial bed. This anatomical obstruction and reactive vasoconstriction induced by release of thromboxane A2 and serotonin together increase pulmonary vascular resistance [5]. The RV adapts via frank-sterling mechanism to maintain BP by generating counterpressure (persistent contraction) against rising pulmonary artery pressure, this adaptation is limited, and soon RV dilatation ensues precipitating hemodynamic instability [5]. Secondly, the resulting ventilation perfusion mismatch and decreased cardiac output contribute to hypotension, hypoxemia, and eventual respiratory failure [5]. Hypoxemia and increased myocardial demand may explain the lactic acidemia and elevated troponin in our patient.

Investigators have associated massive and submassive PE with 52.4% and 14.7% 90-day mortality, respectively [6]. One study concluded that hemodynamically unstable PE is associated with > 25% in-hospital mortality [7]. Echocardiographic and CTPA findings of RV dysfunction were shown as independent predictors of 30-day mortality while elevated troponin increases short-term risk of death up to fivefold [8–10]. Resuscitative measures, with judicious intravenous fluid administration to stabilize SBP and 40% Ventimask to decrease work of breathing, provided the critical window for urgent CTA and echocardiogram. Echocardiogram served as a cornerstone for both diagnosis and risk stratification (identified RHTVE).

Currently, there is no randomized trial that supports or contravenes open embolectomy; it remains a vital treatment option in advanced management of PE. Open embolectomy has its attendant risks and complications but early surgical referral can skew outcome favorably [11]. Investigators have suggested open embolectomy as a reasonable option provided that patients are identified before onset of cardiac arrest [11–14]. Our patient presented with massive PE and a unique Echo finding of RHTVE. After interdisciplinary consultations, we concluded that surgical option would not only recover the thrombus in right heart and central branches of pulmonary artery before further deterioration, but also avert the possibilities of failed thrombolysis. We opted for invasive approach (open embolectomy with cardiopulmonary bypass) for...
the following reasons. Bedside 2D echocardiogram revealed a long embolus traversing through the tricuspid valve on the background of massive saddle embolus extending in main branches of pulmonary artery. The right ventricle was already on massive pressure overload with dilatation and shifting of ventricular septum towards left ventricle. Further clot burden to pulmonary artery (by imminent dislodging the transvalvular clot) would have been catastrophic in the patient. So it was decided to immediately transfer the patient to operating room for open embolectomy under cardiopulmonary bypass procedure. Clot propagation was still ongoing as evidenced by presence of large thrombus in the femoral and tibial veins (IVC filter was placed). This could continue to be deposited distally. Catheter-directed thrombolysis may be complicated by deposition of fragments in terminal branches of the pulmonary arteries, which may result in chronic pulmonary embolism/hypertension. Other treatment approaches may still be complicated with cardiac arrest with even higher mortality. The benefits outweighed the risk. Open embolectomy offers great promises in selected patients who are relatively stable (absence of cardiac arrest) where there is no consensus yet in optimal management approach.

In conclusion, bedside echocardiogram is vital in risk stratification and deciding choice of advanced PE treatment. High risk PE patients with RHTVE can benefit from emergent open embolectomy with cardiopulmonary bypass.

**Abbreviations**

- BNP: Brain natriuretic peptide
- BUN: Blood urea nitrogen
- CAD: Coronary artery disease
- CBC: Complete blood count
- CT: Computed tomography
- CTA: Computed tomographic angiogram
- CTPA: Computed Tomographic Pulmonary Angiogram
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