Abstract

We present an automatic face verification system inspired by known properties of biological systems. In the proposed algorithm the whole image is converted from the spatial to polar frequency domain by a Fourier-Bessel Transform (FBT). Using the whole image is compared to the case where only face image regions (local analysis) are considered. The resulting representations are embedded in a dissimilarity space, where each image is represented by its distance to all the other images, and a Pseudo-Fisher discriminator is built. Verification test results on the FERET database showed that the local-based algorithm outperforms the global-FBT version. The local-FBT algorithm performed as state-of-the-art methods under different testing conditions, indicating that the proposed system is highly robust for expression, age, and illumination variations. We also evaluated the performance of the proposed system under strong occlusion conditions and found that it is highly robust for up to 50% of face occlusion. Finally, we automated completely the verification system by implementing face and eye detection algorithms. Under this condition, the local approach was only slightly superior to the global approach.

1 Introduction

Face verification and recognition tasks are highly complex due to the many possible variations of the same subject in different conditions, like illumination, facial expression, and age. Many developers of face recognition algorithms adopted a biologically inspired approach in solving these problems (for a review, see [2]), thus contributing both to understand human face processing and to build efficient face recognition technologies.

The approach described in the present paper was inspired by developments in neurophysiology and cognitive psychology and its fundamentals were first described by [31]. It is based on image representation that may be analogous to those used by the human visual system (HVS). In particular, we evaluated the performance of a face verification system whose primary features were the magnitude of radial and angular components of faces images, and representation in a dissimilarity space. The main contribution of this paper is the proposal to use local analysis approach, in contrast to the previously used global analysis approach. We show that a system based on the new method achieves state-of-the-art performance level. Moreover, we demonstrate that the proposed system is robust to typical variations in face images, like facial expression, age, illumination, and partial occlusion.

The paper is organized as follows: in the next section, we briefly introduce the reader to the primary spatial processing by the HVS and to the related literature. We describe in section 3 the Fourier-Bessel Transform (FBT) and the proposed algorithm in section 4. We introduce the face database and testing methods in Section 5. The experimental results are presented in Section 6 and in the last section we discuss the results and ongoing work.

2 Background and previous work

Most of the current face recognition and verification algorithms are based on feature extraction from a Cartesian perspective, typical to most analog and digital imaging systems. On the other hand, the HVS is known to process visual stimuli by fundamental shapes defined in polar coordinates. In the early stages the visual image is filtered by neurons tuned to specific spatial frequencies and location in a linear manner [4]. In further stages, these neurons output is processed to extract global and more complex shape information, such as faces [19]. Electrophysiological experiments in monkey’s visual cerebral areas showed that the fundamental patterns for global shape analysis are defined

---

1Partial results based on a preliminary version of the system were submitted in [30].
in polar and hyperbolic coordinates\([11]\). Global pooling of orientation information was also shown by psychophysical experiments to be responsible for the detection of angular and radial Glass dot patterns\([27]\). Thus, it is evident that information regarding the global polar content of images is effectively extracted by and is available to the HVS. In\([31]\) we introduced the representation of face images in the polar frequency domain by global two-dimensional FBT features. However, one of the disadvantages of global feature extractions is the rough representation of peripheral regions. The HVS compensates this effect by eye saccades, moving the fovea from one point to the other in the scene. Here we propose to apply the FBT at strategic regions\([13]\), namely the eyes region. Moreover, we also integrated face and eyes detection algorithms, which makes the verification system completely automatic.

### 3 Fourier-Bessel analysis

The FB series\([9]\) is useful to describe the radial and angular components in images. FBT analysis starts by converting the coordinates of a region of interest from Cartesian \((x, y)\) to polar \((r, \theta)\). The \(f(r, \theta)\) function is represented by the two-dimensional FB series, defined as

\[
f(r, \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n,i} J_n(\alpha_{n,i} r) \cos(n\theta)
+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,i} J_n(\alpha_{n,i} r) \sin(n\theta)
\]

where \(J_n\) is the Bessel function of order \(n\), \(f(R, \theta) = 0\) and \(0 \leq r \leq R\). \(\alpha_{n,i}\) is the \(i\)th root of the \(J_n\) function, i.e. the zero crossing value satisfying \(J_n(\alpha_{n,i}) = 0\). \(R\) is the radial distance to the edge of the image. The orthogonal coefficients \(A_{n,i}\) and \(B_{n,i}\) are given by

\[
A_{0,i} = \frac{1}{\pi R^2 J^2_1(\alpha_{n,i})} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=2\pi} \int_{r=0}^{r=R} f(r, \theta) r J_n(\alpha_{n,i} r) r dr d\theta
\]

if \(B_{0,i} = 0\) and \(n = 0\);

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A_{n,i} \\
B_{n,i}
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{\pi R^2 J^2_{n+1}(\alpha_{n,i})} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=2\pi} \int_{r=0}^{r=R} f(r, \theta) r J_n(\alpha_{n,i} r) r dr d\theta
\]

if \(n > 0\).

### 4 Face verification using FBT

The proposed algorithm is based on image registration and normalization, and two subsequent feature extraction steps followed by a classifier formation. After the first two steps, we extract the FB coefficients from the images, we compute the pairwise Cartesian distance between all the FBT-representations and re-define each object by its distance to all other objects. In the last stage we train a pseudo Fisher classifier. We tested this algorithm on the whole image (global analysis) and on the combination of three facial regions (local analysis).

#### 4.1 Face registration and normalization

Face representations requires prior image registration and usually a spatial and luminance normalization pre-processing. Assuming the sample images contain a single face, we detected the head with a cascade of classifiers\([26]\) and estimated the location of the eyes region with an Active Appearance Model algorithm\([3]\). Within this region, we used flow field information\([14]\) to determine the eyes center. Using the eyes coordinates, we translated, rotated, and scaled the images so that the eyes were registered at specific pixels. Next, the images were cropped to 130 x 150 pixels size and a mask was applied to remove most of the hair and background. The unmasked region was histogram equalized and normalized to zero mean and a unitary standard deviation.

#### 4.2 Spatial to polar frequency domain

Images were transformed by a FBT up to the 30\(^{th}\) Bessel order and 6\(^{th}\) root with angular resolution of \(3^\circ\), thus obtaining 372 coefficients. These coefficients correspond to a frequency range of up to 30 and 3 cycles/image of angular and radial frequency, respectively. This frequency range was selected based on earlier tests\([31]\) with the small-size ORL face database\([23]\). We tested the FBT descriptors of the whole image, as well as a combination of the upper right region, upper middle region, and the upper left region (Figure\([11]\)).

#### 4.3 Polar frequency to dissimilarity domain

We built a symmetric dissimilarity matrix \(D(t, t)\) defined as the Euclidean distance between all training FBT images \(t\). In this space, each object is represented by its dissimilarity to all objects. This approach is based on the assumption that the dissimilarities of similar objects to “other ones” is about the same\([6]\). Among other advantages of this representation space, by fixing the number of features to the number of objects, it avoids a well known
phenomenon, where recognition performance is degraded as a consequence of small number of training samples as compared to the number of features.

4.4 Classifier

Test images were classified based on a pseudo FLD using a two-class approach. A FLD is obtained by maximizing the (between subjects variation)/(within subjects variation) ratio [10]. Here we used a minimum-square error classifier implementation [24], which is equivalent to the FLD for two-class problems [10]. In these cases, after shifting the data such that it has zero mean, the FLD can be defined as

\[ g(x) = \left[ D(t, x) - \frac{1}{2}(m_1 - m_2) \right]^T S^{-1} (m_1 - m_2) \]  

(4)

where \( x \) is a probe image, \( S \) is the pooled covariance matrix, and \( m_i \) stands for the mean of class \( i \). The probe image \( x \) is classified as corresponding to class-1 if \( g(x) \geq 0 \) and to class-2 otherwise. However, as the number of training objects and dimensions is the same in the dissimilarity space, the sample estimation of the covariance matrix \( S \) becomes singular, and the classifier cannot be built. One solution to the problem is to use a pseudo-inverse and augmented vectors [24]. Thus, Eq. 6 is replaced by

\[ g(x) = (D(t, x), 1) (D(t, t), I)^{(-1)} \]  

(5)

where \( (D(t, x), 1) \) is the augmented vector to be classified and \( (D(t, t), I) \) is the augmented training set. The inverse \( (D(t, t), I)^{(-1)} \) is the Moore-Penrose Pseudo-inverse which gives the minimum norm solution. The current \( L \)-classes problem can be reduced and solved by the two-classes solution described above. The training set was split into \( L \) pairs of subsets, each pair consisting of one subset with images from a single subject and a second subset formed from all the other images. A pseudo-FLD was built for each pair of subsets. A probe image was tested on all \( L \) discriminant functions, and a “posterior probability” score was generated based on the inverse of the Euclidean distance to each subject.

5 Database, preprocessing, and testing procedures

We used the FERET database, due to its large number of individuals and rigid testing protocols that allow precise performance comparisons between different algorithms [20]. Here we compare our algorithm performance with a “baseline” algorithm and with the published results of three successful approaches [22]. As a baseline algorithm we implemented a standard PCA-based algorithm [25]. The principal components were based on a set of 700 images selected randomly from the gallery subset. Not all 1196 images were used, due to the huge RAM memory that such operation requires. The first three principal components, that encode basically illumination variations [12], were excluded before projecting of the training and test images. The three other approaches are: Gabor wavelets combined with elastic bunch graph matching (EBGM) [28], localized facial features extraction followed by a Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) [8], and a Bayesian generalization of the LDA method [18].

In the FERET protocol, a gallery set of one frontal view image from 1196 subjects is used to train the algorithm and a different dataset is used as probe. All images are grayscale 256 x 384 pixels size. We used the four probe sets, termed FB, DupI, DupII and FC. The FB dataset is constituted of a single image from 1195 subjects, taken from the same subjects in the gallery set, after an interval of a few seconds, but with a different facial expression. The DupI and DupII datasets include 722 or 234 images, respectively. The DupI images were taken immediately or up to 34 months after the gallery images, while the images in DupII were taken at least 18 months after the gallery images. The FC subset contains 194 images of subjects under different lighting conditions.

The eyes coordinates were extracted automatically, as described in Section 4.1. Approximately 1% of the faces were not localized, in which cases the eyes region coordinates were set to a fix value derived from the mean of the located faces. The final mean error was 3.6 ± 5.1 pixels. In order to estimate the system performance under minimal localization errors, we executed a second series of experiments in which ground-truth information was used. The face registration was followed by a normalization step, as described in Section 4.1. The same pre-processing procedure was used in previous algorithms, except for the Gabor-EBGM system where a special normalization procedure was used.

The performance of the system was evaluated by verification tests according to the FERET protocol [20]. Given a gallery image \( g \) and a probe image \( p \), the algorithm verifies the claim that both were taken from the same subject. The verification probability \( P_V \) is the probability of the al-
algorithm accepting the claim when it is true, and the false-alarm rate $P_F$ is the probability of incorrectly accepting a false claim. The algorithm decision depends on the posterior probability score $s_i(k)$ given to each match, and on a threshold $c$. Thus, a claim is confirmed if $s_i(k) \leq c$ and rejected otherwise. A plot of all the combinations of $P_V$ and $P_F$ as a function of $c$ is known as a receiver operating characteristic (ROC). $P_V$ and $P_F$ were calculated as the number of confirmations divided by the number of correct or incorrect matches, respectively. This procedure was repeated for 100 equally spaced threshold levels. Training and tests were done with the PRTools toolbox [5].

6 Results

6.1 Semi-automatic system

Figure 2 shows the performance of the proposed algorithm in the verification test. On the expression dataset the global and local FBT versions performed at about the same level as the previous best and second-best algorithms, respectively. On both age datasets the FBT algorithms outperformed the previous algorithms, with the local version being slightly superior. On the illumination dataset the global and local FBT algorithms were equal or better than the second-best previous algorithm (PCA+LDA).

6.2 Partial occlusion

Local approaches for face recognition are in general more robust for occlusions (for e.g. [15] [17]) than global ones. To evaluate this aspect of the proposed algorithm, we occluded all the normalized test images with a gray mask that covered $>50\%$ of the total area. We tested two masking options: masking of the right-eye and mouth regions or masking of the mouth and nose regions (Fig. 3). Figure 4 shows the effect of occlusion on the performance of the global and local versions of the FBT algorithms. The se-
were occlusions did not reduce much the performance of the local algorithm on the expression and age subsets, but affected significantly performance on the illumination subset. The global version performed much worse under occlusion conditions on all subsets. These results confirm the advantage of the local over the global approach, and demonstrate the high robustness of the local-FBT under strong occlusion conditions combined with expression and age variations.

![Figure 3. Examples of image occlusion.](image)

### 6.3 Automatic system

Figure 5 shows the performance of the FBT algorithms with ground-truth information and when the eyes were detected automatically. The localization errors introduced in the latter case reduced the performance of the FBT algorithms up to 20%, approximately as it affected the PCA algorithm, which is known to be sensitive to this type of error [16]. The localization sensitivity of the proposed system is expected, considering the variance property of the FBT to translation [11]. It is interesting to notice, however, that under such conditions the advantage of the local over the global approach was significantly reduced.

### 7 Discussion

We introduced a fully automated biologically-motivated local-based system for face verification tasks. The main empirical result of this study is the demonstration of the high performance of a verification system based on FBT descriptors, especially when these are extracted locally. The significant advantage of the FBT approach in the age variance tests is an indication of the robustness of the polar features in realistic situations of face variations that exceeds simple facial expression, like illumination and age. The superior behavior of the local approach was especially strong w.r.t. robustness to occlusion. In the local version, the mouth region is completely ignored, thus its occlusion or variation (ex. due to a new beard or a scarf) does not affect performance at all. Moreover, the local-FBT outperformed the global-FBT even when the occluded regions included face regions that were analyzed by the local version.

The property of robustness to occlusion of local analysis was explored by others. Local PCA was used in [15] [17] to detect occluded regions in face images. The test images were classified by comparing the unoccluded regions to corresponding regions in training images. However, the combination of FBT features and local approach has several advantages over that method besides performance. In our proposal there, is no need to detect the occluded regions in the test images. Furthermore, there is no need for special training strategies [17] or for training of specific classifiers for each testing image depending on the occluded region [15]. Finally, there is no need for any classification rule for the combination of the local features; the FBT features form a single vector. It is hard to compare our performance results with those obtained by [15] [17], since their tests were performed on subsets of less than 100 images. The training and test images also did not included variations of expression, illumination or age. The algorithm of [17] was adapted in order to deal with expression variation by weighting differently local areas and assuming that the facial expression of the training images is known. In contrast, here we show that the proposed system can deal simultaneously with expression, illumination, and age variations, besides large scale occlusions.

Performance gain of the automatic FBT method can be achieved by improving the eyes localization algorithm. For example, [17] learned the subspace that represents localization errors within eigenfaces. This method can be adopted for the FBT subspace, with the advantage of the option to exclude from the final classification face regions that gives high localization errors.

The relation of the present algorithm to human face recognition was not directly evaluated here, but a few associations can be done. As discussed in the Introduction, there is clear evidence that the HVS extract global radial and angular shape information, a fact that might look incompatible with the informative advantage of the local information pooling showed here. However, only little is known about the size of the global pooling area. A 1.2 visual degrees pooling area was suggested for the detection of Glass patterns [21], but the spatial locations and scale regarding face images remain as open questions.

In the proposed system, the classifier operates in non-domain-specific metric space whose coordinates are similarity relations. The high performance achieved by this representation indicates that the “real-world” proximity relations between face images are preserved to a good extent in the constructed internal space. It is possible that humans also use an analogous space to represent visual objects. This hypothesis was studied by correlating the distance between different shape objects by objective and perceptual parameters (see [7] for a review). Comparison of the two measurements is usually done by a multidimensional scaling
Figure 4. ROC functions of the FBT on the occluded and not occluded age, expression and illumination subsets.

Analysis (MDS), which projects objects as points in a two-dimensional space where the distance between the points approximate the Euclidean distance between the original objects. For example, in one study [21] objective and perceptual sorting of face images were highly correlated, especially when the objective sorting used global features, such as age and weight of the persons in the images. Similar results were obtained in a neurophysiological study [29] in which monkeys were presented with face images. It was found that the MDS maps obtained from the original images and from the response patterns of neurons in the inferotemporal cortex had similar patterns. These results indicate that representing images in a dissimilarity space can be analogous to human representation mechanisms.

In conclusion, the proposed system combines high face verification performance for expression, age, and illumination tests, and robustness to occlusion. Future investigations, using psychophysical methods, should establish the level of its relation to biological systems.
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