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The search for CP violation in interactions of the Higgs boson with either fermions or bosons provides attractive reference measurements in the Particle Physics Community Planning Exercise (a.k.a. “Snowmass”). Benchmark measurements of CP violation provide a limited and well-defined set of parameters that could be tested at the proton, electron-positron, photon, and muon colliders, and compared to those achieved through study of virtual effects in electric dipole moment measurements. We review the current status of these CP-sensitive studies and provide projections to future measurements.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The search for CP violation is an important research direction of future experiments in particle physics. CP violation is one of the requirements for baryogenesis [1]. So far the only experimental evidence for CP violation comes from quark flavor physics, which is consistent with the CKM mechanism appearing in the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics [2]. This SM mechanism is believed to be insufficient for generating the observed predominance of baryon matter over antimatter on a cosmological scale [3]. Therefore, the search for CP violation in interactions of the Higgs boson (H) with either fermions or bosons is an interesting path to search for a new mechanism.

Through the study of the HVV and Hff tensor structure of interactions of the H boson with vector bosons (Z, W, γ, g) and fermions (t, τ), the CMS and ATLAS experiments on LHC have established that the $J^{PC}$ quantum numbers of the H boson should be 0++, if this boson has definite $P$ and $C$ [4][20]. This observation is consistent with the Standard Model (SM) expectation for these quantum numbers to be that of the vacuum. However, small violation of CP symmetry in those interactions cannot be excluded within the experimental precision of current measurements. Squeezing the allowed range of CP-violating parameters, or, alternatively, discovering non-zero CP violation in the H boson interactions, becomes an important target of experimental measurements [21][22].

Future high-energy physics experiments, either planned or proposed, have unique features for testing CP violation in the H boson interactions. For example, photon and muon colliders with a beam polarization scan could provide a unique opportunity to search for CP violation in couplings to either photons or muons. The electron-positron collider is positioned uniquely to search for CP violation in HVV interactions, with vector bosons appearing in electron-positron annihilation, and allow for CP studies in decay. Proton colliders provide an array of opportunities for HVV and Hff studies in both production and decay, as already demonstrated by the LHC experiments.

This makes CP violation studies with the H boson an attractive reference measurement in the Particle Physics Community Planning Exercise (a.k.a. “Snowmass”), organized by the US High Energy Physics community to set directions in the field of particles physics for the next decade and beyond. Benchmark measurements of CP violation in interactions of the H boson with SM particles provide a limited and well-defined set of parameters that could be tested at future high-energy physics colliders. Moreover, these measurements can also be achieved through study of virtual effects in quark flavor physics and electric dipole moment (EDM) measurements. These CP violation effects are tiny in the SM, and they therefore become excellent null tests for comparing performance of future facilities. Beyond-the-SM (BSM) theories predict sizable CP violation effects, which could have profound implications for the future of particle physics, if discovered.

II. FRAMEWORK OF THE HIGGS CP STUDY FOR SNOWMASS

Since the discovery of the H boson by the ATLAS and CMS experiments on the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [73][74], the search for CP violation in its interactions started immediately [4][6]. The CP violation parameters were identified as benchmark measurements in the Snowmass-2013 Particle Physics Community Planning Exercise [75]. In that study, CP-violating parameters were defined in the coupling of the H boson to massive vector bosons (HVV), to massless vector bosons ($H\gamma\gamma$, $HZ\gamma$, $Hgg$), and to fermions ($Ht\bar{t}$, $H\tau\tau$, $H\mu\mu$). In this work, we build on that study, take advantage of both experimental and theoretical progress in the study of the H boson interactions over the past decade, and make assessment of prospects of the H boson CP study at the future facilities, both proposed and planned.

On the experimental front, measurements of CP violation parameters have been achieved on LHC experiments [4][24]. This allows us to make realistic quantitative projections to the HL-LHC. The main change on the theoretical front has been development of the Effective Field Theory (EFT) framework, and in particular SMEFT, where CP violation naturally appears from a sub-set of higher-dimension operators. Both developments have been discussed within the LHC Higgs and LHC EFT Working Groups [76][77], where we rely on some of their efforts.

One could consider the study of CP violation to be redundant with respect to the larger project of EFT global fits. However, there are two reasons which make this consideration less reliable for the Snowmass studies. First of all, the global EFT fits are very complex with many parameters and assumptions invoked to reduce the number of those parameters. One of the common constraints applied in the current global EFT fits is the lack of CP-odd operators, effectively setting all CP violation effects to zero. Second, even when such CP constraints are not invoked, the actual measurements are often based on experimental information which is not necessarily truly CP-sensitive. This means that the measurement may be sensitive to the presence of the higher-dimension operators, but may not distinguish well between CP-odd and CP-even terms. Therefore, the idea of the dedicated CP-sensitive measurements of the H boson for the Snowmass studies is to provide simple but at the same time reliable benchmarks which could serve as a guide to compare future facilities.
As an example of the future measurement projections based on the global EFT fits, let us refer to the $H$ boson studies performed for the 2020 European Strategy for Particle Physics Update [78]. In the EFT description of the $H$ boson couplings, either 18 (with flavor universality) or 30 (with neutral diagonality) $CP$-even operators in the so-called Higgs basis were considered within the SM$_{	ext{EFT}}$ framework, which invokes the SU(2)$\times$U(1) EW symmetry. To assess the sensitivity to deviations from the SM in a basis-independent way the results of the fit were projected onto the following $H$ boson effective couplings:

$$
\varepsilon^H_{fX} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{H\rightarrow fX}}{\Gamma_{SM}^{H\rightarrow fX}}. \tag{1}
$$

These parameters are convenient to compare different studies in a straightforward manner. However, these parameters do not allow for the $CP$ structure in the $HX$ interaction. Therefore, we expand this set of $CP$-conserving parameters with the following set, allowing for $CP$ violation in each $HX$ interaction:

$$
f_H^{HfX} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{CP\text{-odd}}^{H\rightarrow fX}}{\Gamma_{SM}^{H\rightarrow fX}}. \tag{2}
$$

where the partial decay $H \rightarrow X$ width is calculated with either the $CP$-odd or $CP$-even part of the amplitude. This definition is consistent with the $CP$-sensitive parameters $f_{CP}$ defined for the Snowmass-2013 study [75]. These $f_{CP}$ parameters have been adopted in the LHC measurements as well, for a recent summary refer to Ref. [20]. Therefore, we adopt Eq. (2) for the benchmark parameter measurements.

We note that Eq. (2) is defined in decay of the $H$ boson. For example, the general scattering amplitude that describes the interaction of the $H$ boson with the fermions, such as $\tau^+\tau^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$, $b\bar{b}$, and $t\bar{t}$, can be written as

$$
A(H \rightarrow f\bar{f}) = \frac{m_f}{v} \bar{u}_2 \left( b_1^{Hff} + i b_2^{Hff} \gamma_5 \right) u_1. \tag{3}
$$

Therefore, the $CP$-sensitive parameter takes the form

$$
f^{HFF}_{CP} = \frac{|b_2^{Hff}|^2}{|b_1^{Hff}|^2 + |b_2^{Hff}|^2} = \sin^2 \left( \alpha^{Hff} \right). \tag{4}
$$

Technically, Eq. (2) does not cover $Ht\bar{t}$ interactions, because the decay $H \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ is not possible. However, we expand the definition in Eq. (4) to all fermion couplings. The effective mixing angle $\alpha^{Hff}$, introduced in Eq. (4), is often used in describing the $CP$-odd amplitude contribution. However, we adopt a more general parameterization with effective cross-section fractions because they allow more than two amplitude contributions, as this becomes important in description of the $HVV$ interactions, discussed below.

For the coupling to the gauge bosons, such as $WW$, $ZZ$, $Z\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, or $gg$, the scattering amplitude can be written as

$$
A(H \rightarrow V_1V_2) = v^{-1} \left( a_1^{HVV} m_V^2 \epsilon_1^* \epsilon_2^* + a_2^{HVV} f_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} f_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} + a_3^{HVV} f_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} f_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} \right), \tag{5}
$$

where $a_i^{HVV}$ are generally $q^2$-dependent coefficients scaling the three unique Lorentz structures, described with the help of the (conjugate) field strength tensor $f^{(i)\mu\nu}$ ($\tilde{f}^{(i)\mu\nu}$) of a gauge boson with momentum $q_i$ and polarization vector $\epsilon_i$. In the following, we will keep only the first-order $q^2$-expansion of Eq. (5) with constant coefficients $a_i$, which correspond to dimension-six operators in the effective Lagrangian formulation. The presence of the $CP$-odd contribution $a_3^{HVV}$, which can be treated as constant in this expansion, indicates $CP$ violation, and the $CP$-sensitive parameter takes the form

$$
f^{HVV}_{CP} = \frac{|a_3^{HVV}|^2}{\sum |a_i^{HVV}|^2 \left( a_i^{HVV}/a_3^{HVV} \right)}, \tag{6}
$$

where $\sigma_i$ is the effective cross-section of the $H \rightarrow VV$ decay process corresponding to $a_i = 1, a_j \neq 1 = 0$.

This brings us to the summary of possible $CP$-sensitive measurements in the $H$ boson interactions in Table I. In the following, we will review unique features of the photon, muon, hadron, and electron-positron colliders. For example, beam polarization in the photon and muon colliders would be essential for $CP$ measurements in the $H\gamma\gamma$ and $H\mu\mu$ couplings, which we discuss next.
III. PROSPECTS OF HIGGS $CP$ MEASUREMENTS AT A PHOTON COLLIDER

The photon collider has a unique feature in that it can be used to study the $H$ boson couplings to photons in direct production $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H$. It is also possible to study the $H$ boson couplings in decay, such as $CP$ structure in $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ or $H \rightarrow 4f$. However, the decay measurements critically depend on the number of produced $H$ bosons, and a Higgs factory in either lepton or proton collisions is better positioned to make those measurements. In this Section, therefore, we focus on the $H\gamma\gamma$ measurements, which are unique to the photon collider.

The coupling of the $H$ boson to two photons cannot happen at tree level, but can be generated by loops of any charged particles. In the SM, those are the charged fermions and W boson. In the SM, $CP$ violation is tiny, as it can be generated only at three-loop level. In BSM theories, new heavy states can contribute to the loop, and could generate sizable $CP$ violation. Alternatively, $CP$ violation in the $H$ boson couplings to SM particles could also generate $CP$-odd contributions to the $H\gamma\gamma$ loop. Both $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H$ production can be parameterized with the $CP$-even $a_2^{H\gamma\gamma}$ and $CP$-odd $a_3^{H\gamma\gamma}$ contributions in Eq. (5) with the ratio $a_2^{H\gamma\gamma}/a_3^{H\gamma\gamma} = 1$ in Eq. (6). However, without access to the photon polarization, it is not possible to distinguish between the two contributions in the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay. Therefore, variation of the photon polarization in the photon collider becomes a unique approach to study the $CP$ structure of the $H\gamma\gamma$ vertex.

Three parameters $A_1, A_2, A_3$ sensitive to $CP$ violation have been defined in the context of the photon collider [80-82]. The $A_1$ parameter can be measured as an asymmetry in the $H$ boson production cross-section between the $A_{-+}$ and $A_{++}$ circular polarizations of the beams. This asymmetry is the easiest to measure, but it is proportional to $\Im(a_2^{H\gamma\gamma}a_3^{H\gamma\gamma})$ and is zero when $a_2^{H\gamma\gamma}$ and $a_3^{H\gamma\gamma}$ are real, as expected for the two loop-induced couplings with heavier particles in the loops. A more interesting parameter,

$$A_3 = \frac{|A_2|^2 - |A_1|^2}{|A_3|^2 + |A_1|^2} = \frac{2\Re(A_{-+}^*A_{++})}{|A_{++}|^2 + |A_{--}|^2} = \frac{|a_2^{H\gamma\gamma}|^2 - |a_3^{H\gamma\gamma}|^2}{|a_2^{H\gamma\gamma}|^2 + |a_3^{H\gamma\gamma}|^2} = (1 - 2f_{CP}^{H\gamma\gamma}), \quad (7)$$

can be measured as an asymmetry between two configurations with the linear polarization of the photon beams, one with parallel, and the other with orthogonal polarizations.

In Ref. [83], a careful simulation of the process has been performed. The degree of linear polarization at the maximum energies is 60% for an electron beam of energy $E_0 \approx 110$ GeV and a laser wavelength $\lambda \approx 1 \mu m$. The expected uncertainty on $A_3$ is 0.11 for $2.5 \cdot 10^{34} \times 10^7 = 250 \text{fb}^{-1}$ integrated luminosity and $m_H = 120 \text{GeV}$. This translates to a $f_{CP}^{H\gamma\gamma}$ uncertainty of 0.06, which we enter as an estimate in Table I.

---

1 An attempt to measure photon polarization in its conversion is possible [79], but it suffers from a significant loss of statistical precision. We will discuss the photon polarization measurements in the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \rightarrow 4f$ process in Section V.
The $CP$ mixture study at a photon collider was also shown based on a sample of 50,000 raw $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H$ events assuming 80% circular polarization of both electron beams [61]. This study corresponds to a $A_1$ asymmetry measurement, with expected precision on $A_1$ of about 1%. However, this asymmetry is expected to be zero with real coupling constants $a_2^{H\gamma\gamma}$ and $a_3^{H\gamma\gamma}$ and is therefore of limited interest compared to $f_{CP}^{H\gamma\gamma}$.

### IV. PROSPECTS OF HIGGS $CP$ MEASUREMENTS AT A MUON COLLIDER

Similarly to the photon collider, we focus on a unique feature of the muon collider operating at the $H$ boson pole. This allows one to measure the $CP$ structure of the $H_{\mu\mu}$ vertex with the beam polarization in the $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow H$ process. It is not possible to study the $CP$ structure in the $H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay because the muon polarization is not accessible. The muon collider may become the only facility allowing a measurement of $CP$ structure in the $H$ boson’s connection to the second-family fermions. At a muon collider operating both at the $H$ boson pole and at higher energy, analysis of the $H$ boson decays is also possible. However, this analysis is similar to the studies performed at other facilities and depends critically on the number of the $H$ bosons produced and their purity.

#### A. Muon collider at the $H$ boson pole

At a muon collider operating at the resonance pole, the $CP$ quantum numbers of the states can be determined if the muon beams can be transversely polarized. The cross section for production of a resonance takes the form [65]

$$\sigma_{pol}(\zeta) = \sigma_{unpol} \left( 1 + P_L^+ P_L^- + P_T^+ P_T^- \left[ \frac{b_1^{H\mu\mu}}{(b_1^{H\mu\mu})^2 + (b_2^{H\mu\mu})^2 \cos \zeta + \frac{2b_1^{H\mu\mu} b_2^{H\mu\mu}}{(b_1^{H\mu\mu})^2 + (b_2^{H\mu\mu})^2 \sin \zeta} \right] \right), \quad (8)$$

which depends on $P_T$ ($P_L$), the degree of transverse (longitudinal) polarization of each of the beams and $\zeta$ is the angle of the $\mu^-$ transverse polarization relative to that of the $\mu^-$ measured using the direction of the $\mu^-$ momentum as the $z$ axis. In particular, muon beams polarized in the same transverse direction selects out the $CP$-even state, while muon beams polarized in opposite transverse directions (i.e., with spins $+1/2$ and $-1/2$ along one transverse direction) selects out the $CP$-odd state. A quantitative estimate of the muon collider precision in the measurement of $CP$ structure of the $H_{\mu\mu}$ vertex is left for future studies, which we indicate with a checkmark in Table [I]

#### B. High-energy muon collider

Operation of the muon collider at higher energies will allow access to associated production of the $H$ boson and study $CP$ properties in those processes. Such studies would be similar to those discussed in Sections [V] and [VI] and would depend on achieved performance of the muon collider. At energies around 1 TeV, VBF production of the $H$ boson dominates, similarly to the $e^+e^-$ collider. The dominant channel $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow \nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu(W^+W^-) \rightarrow \nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu H$ does not provide kinematic information to analyze the final state with missing neutrinos, but provides $H$ bosons for analysis of their decay. The momentum of the $H$ boson in this VBF production provides sensitivity to the higher-dimension operators, but does not allow one to separate $CP$-odd and $CP$-even contributions. The other channel $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- (ZZ/Z\gamma^*/\gamma^*) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- H$ provides sufficient information to analyze potential $CP$ structure in the $HZZ/HZ\gamma/H\gamma\gamma$ couplings. The $ttH$ production allows access to $CP$ in the $Htt$ coupling, which is accessible at energies above 0.5 TeV. It is pointed out in Ref. [72] that at energies around 10 TeV, VBF production of $ttH$ and $t\bar{t}H$ becomes important. According to Ref. [72], it is expected to achieve constraints on $f_{CP}^{Htt} < 0.67, 0.024$, and 0.003 in three scenarios of the muon collider at 1 TeV with 0.1 $ab^{-1}$ of data, 10 TeV with 10 $ab^{-1}$, and 30 TeV with 10 $ab^{-1}$. We do not enter numerical values in Table [I] due to uncertain muon collider scenarios, but point to the possible measurements with the checkmarks.

### V. PROSPECTS OF HIGGS $CP$ MEASUREMENTS AT A HADRON COLLIDER

Hadron colliders provide essentially the full spectrum of possible measurements sensitive to $CP$ violation in the $H$ boson interactions, as outlined in Table [I] with the exception of the $H_{\mu\mu}$ vertex. Here we discuss applications to the LHC experiment, and its high-luminosity upgrade, with a proton-proton collision energy around 14 TeV. In the following, we review various processes accessible in hadron collisions.
A. Gluon fusion process at a hadron collider

The LHC could be considered a gluon collider, as the dominant $H$ boson production mechanism is the gluon fusion $gg \rightarrow H$ process. Many aspects discussed in Section 11 in application to the photon couplings apply here as well. The coupling of the $H$ boson to two massless gluons is generated by the loops of any massive particles with color charge, which are quarks in the SM. In BSM, new heavy states, either fermions or bosons, could contribute to the loop and generate $CP$ violation. While there is a sizable decay rate $H \rightarrow gg$, study of the gluon polarization is difficult, and within the hadron collider environment this decay mode is hard to distinguish from the dominant QCD background. However, study of the gluon fusion process in the scattering topology of the $H$ boson production in association with two hadronic jets allows access to the $CP$ property of the $Hgg$ vertex [83]. This VBF topology is illustrated in the first diagram of Fig. 1 where $V^* = g$.

Similarly to the photon couplings, the gluon fusion process can be characterized by the two couplings $CP$-even $a_2^{Hgg}$ and $CP$-odd $a_3^{Hgg}$, which absorb both SM and heavy BSM particles in the loop. While in the EFT approach, these contributions could be disentangled in a global fit of multiple processes, this is not possible with the gluon fusion process alone. Therefore, we parameterize the $CP$ violation effects with a single parameter $f_{CP}^{Hgg}$. In order to isolate $CP$-sensitive effects, no constraint on the process rate is applied, which is proportional to $|a_2^{Hgg}|^2 + |a_3^{Hgg}|^2$.

The Snowmass-2013 projection [75] was based on the study of the $gg \rightarrow H$ process with $H \rightarrow 4\ell$ [51]. Since then, this approach was successfully applied on LHC [20, 22, 24] using 140 fb$^{-1}$ of data with results in good agreement with the above expectation. For example, the constraint $f_{CP}^{Hgg} < 0.3$ is expected with $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ and $4\ell$ combined [24], which would likely scale to $f_{CP}^{Hgg} < 0.2$ with 300 fb$^{-1}$, where the improvement with respect to the Snowmass-2013 projection is due to an additional $H$ boson decay channel analyzed. Somewhat more conservative results are projected in Ref. [68]. Therefore, in Table I we estimate that $f_{CP}^{Hgg} < 0.06$ could be achieved with 3,000 fb$^{-1}$, but note that further improvement is very likely, both from inclusion of multiple decay channels and from improvements in experimental analyses.

B. The $H\gamma\gamma$ and $HZ\gamma$ couplings at a hadron collider

While the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay was one of the two primary $H$ boson discovery channels, this decay process does not allow access to $CP$ structure of the photon couplings, as discussed in Section 11. Similarly, the $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ decay does not provide access to $CP$ structure of the $HZ\gamma$ vertex when the couplings in Eq. (5) are real. Complex couplings could be generated with light particles in the loop, for example, and could generate forward-backward asymmetry in the polar angle, as shown in Ref. [51], but we do not consider such a possibility here. Another approach, suggested in Ref. [86], relies on complex phases generated through different Breit-Wigner propagators in the interference of the $\gamma^*$ and $Z$ contributions in the decay $H \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma$ process. However, this approach is not necessarily better than $H \rightarrow 4\ell$, which includes $H \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma^* (\gamma^*/Z)$, and would require further feasibility studies.

However, several other topologies may allow access to the $CP$ structure of the $H\gamma\gamma$ and $HZ\gamma$ couplings. These

![Fig. 1: Illustrations of the $H$ boson kinematic observables in $pp$, $e^+e^-$, or $\mu^+\mu^-$ collision in (1) the VBF process: $e^+e^- (q\bar{q}') \rightarrow e^+e^- (q\bar{q}) H \rightarrow e^+e^- (q\bar{q}) bb$; (2) the VH process: $e^+e^- (q\bar{q}) \rightarrow V^* \rightarrow V^* H \rightarrow f\bar{f} bb$; and (3) the decay: $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow V^*V^* \rightarrow 4f$. Five angles fully characterize the orientation of the production and decay chain and are defined in the suitable rest frames. The diagrams are adopted from Refs. [42, 51].](image-url)
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1. the VBF process: \(qq' \to qq'(\gamma^*\gamma^*/Z\gamma^*) \to qq'H\),
2. the \(VH\) process: \(a\) \(q\bar{q} \to \gamma^*/Z \to \gamma^*H/\gamma^*Z\to (2f)H\), \(b\) \(q\bar{q} \to \gamma^*/Z \to \gamma H\),
3. the 4/3/2-body decays: \(a\) \(H \to \gamma^*\gamma^*/Z\gamma^* \to 4f\), \(b\) \(H \to \gamma\gamma^*/\gamma Z \to \gamma(2f)\), \(c\) \(H \to \gamma\gamma\).

It is important to note that the above processes do not appear in isolation and whenever \(\gamma^*\) appears in the intermediate state, \(Z^*\) appears as well, leading to interference. For example, the full analysis of the process \(H \to \gamma^*\gamma^*/\gamma^* Z/ZZ \to 4f\) may allow access to \(CP\) violation through interference of the \(CP\)-odd \(a_3^{HZZ}\) term with the dominant \(CP\)-even \(a_1^{HZZ}\) term appearing at tree level, and this needs to be disentangled from the possible \(a_3^{H\gamma\gamma}\) and \(a_3^{HZZ}\) terms. Therefore, the full analysis of each process requires accounting for all contributions, including the \(HZZ\) couplings discussed in Section V C.

The three LHC topologies which involve the \(H\gamma\gamma\) and \(HZ\gamma\) couplings are shown in Fig. 1, where \(V^* = \gamma^*\) or \(Z\). The processes with onshell photons can also be represented by the diagrams (2) and (3) in Fig. 1 with \(V^* = \gamma\), but with no subsequent decay of the photon. However, these processes with onshell photons do not allow access to \(CP\) effects without the measurement of the onshell photon polarization, unless complex anomalous couplings are considered. Illustration of \(CP\)-sensitive effects with complex couplings appearing in the forward-backward asymmetry of the angular distributions can be found for \(q\bar{q} \to Z^* \to \gamma H\) in Ref. [70] and \(H \to \gamma Z \to \gamma(2f)\) in Ref. [21]. An angle \(\Phi\) identified in all three diagrams in Fig. 1 is an angle between the decay or production planes defined by the four four-momenta and is the primary \(CP\)-odd sensitive effects in each process. However, a multivariate analysis of the full kinematic information leads to the most optimal amplitude analysis, which is sensitive to both squared and interference of the \(CP\)-odd and \(CP\)-even terms.

An attempt to study the \(CP\) structure of the \(H\gamma\gamma\) and \(HZZ\) couplings in the golden channel \(H \to 4\ell\) was performed at the LHC in Ref. [7], where it became clear that reaching an interesting level of sensitivity will require very high luminosity. The \(H\gamma\gamma\) couplings in the \(H \to 4\ell\) decay were considered phenomenologically in Ref. [65]. More recently, a joint analysis of the three processes VBF, \(VH\), and decay \(H \to 4\ell\) was investigated in Ref. [70], where it was shown that while the decays \(H \to \gamma\gamma\) and \(H \to Z\gamma\) are most sensitive to the overall strength \(|a_2^{H\gamma\gamma}|^2 + |a_3^{H\gamma\gamma}|^2\) and \(|a_2^{HZZ}|^2 + |a_3^{HZZ}|^2\), the decay \(H \to 4\ell\) process is most sensitive to study the tensor structure of the \(H\gamma\gamma\) and \(HZZ\) couplings, relevant for \(CP\) violation measurements. We should note that in all the above studies, the effective values of \(a_2^{H\gamma\gamma}\) and \(a_2^{HZZ}\) which reproduce the SM rate of \(H \to \gamma\gamma\) and \(H \to Z\gamma\) decays were used to approximate the SM processes \(H \to \gamma^*\gamma^*/Z\gamma^* \to 4\ell\), VBF, and \(VH\). While this prescription is not technically correct to represent the SM rate due to \(q^2\) dependence of the couplings, this simulated value of \(a_2\) is a good benchmark for the Snowmass exercise as it is used only as a reference to estimate sensitivity to \(a_2\). The results of the study in Ref. [70] are reinterpreted in terms of \(f_{CP}^{H\gamma\gamma}\) and \(f_{CP}^{HZZ}\) in Appendix A and are entered in Table IV. The full dataset of the HL-LHC will be at the boundary to start setting meaningful constraints on these parameters.

Given the difficulty to set \(CP\) constraints on the \(H\gamma\gamma\) and \(HZZ\) couplings at HL-LHC, exploring other options might be useful. A possible study of \(H \to \gamma\gamma\to 4e\) with photon polarization in its conversion has been suggested in Ref. [70], but this study suffers from a significant loss of statistical precision, and a more detailed study of experimental aspects, such as reconstruction of displaced and boosted \(e^+e^-\) pairs with a small opening angle, may be required.

C. The \(HZZ\) and \(HWW\) couplings at a hadron collider

The \(HZZ\) and \(HWW\) couplings appear at tree level in the SM and the decays \(H \to ZZ \to 4f\) and \(H \to W^+W^- \to 4f\) provided rich kinematic information for studies of spin and \(CP\) properties of the \(H\) boson in the early days after the \(H\) boson discovery and have historically been studied extensively on LHC experiments [4, 13, 15, 16, 19, 20, 22, 24]. However, with the growing significance of the \(H\) boson electro-weak production (VBF and \(VH\)), the larger \(q^2\) values tested lead to stronger constraints of \(CP\) effects in these production modes. The three main topologies involving the \(HZZ\) and \(HWW\) couplings follow closely those in Section V B and appear in Fig. 1 with \(V^* = Z\) or \(W\), as

1. the VBF process: \(qq' \to qq'(W^+W^-/ZZ) \to qq'H\),
2. the \(VH\) process: \(a\) \(qq \to Z \to ZH \to (2f)H\), \(b\) \(q\bar{q} \to W^\pm \to W^\pm H \to (2f)H\),
3. the 4-body decay: \(a\) \(H \to ZZ \to 4f\), \(b\) \(H \to W^+W^- \to 4f\).

All processes with the \(Z\) boson interfere with the same processes involving \(\gamma^*\) in its place, as listed in Section V B. We note that the process \(gg \to VH\) also receives attention due to the large gluon luminosity in proton collisions.
This channel provides an interesting interplay of $Hff$ and $HV$ couplings, but does not have contribution from the CP-odd terms with $a_3^{HZZ}, a_3^{HZZ},$ or $a_3^{H\gamma\gamma}$ [68], and therefore is not suitable for studies of CP violation in $HZZ, HZ\gamma,$ or $H\gamma\gamma$ interactions.

Even though the $HZZ$ and $HWW$ couplings can be easily analyzed separately in the $ZH$ vs. $WH$ production with leptonic $Z$ or $W$ decay, or in $H \rightarrow ZZ$ vs. $H \rightarrow WW$ decays, it is essentially impossible to disentangle those in the VBF production, where all kinematic features are nearly identical. The tree-level couplings $HZZ$ and $HWW$ can be related through custodial symmetry, leading to $a_1^{HZZ} = a_1^{HWW}$. Within the precision of the $H$ boson measurements, this relationship is not significantly affected by the recent tension in the $W$ mass measurements. The anomalous $HZZ$ and $HWW$ couplings, such as $a_3^{HZZ}$ and $a_3^{HWW}$, could also be related through symmetry, such as $SU(2) \times U(1)$. For example, $a_3^{HWW} = a_3^{HZZ} \cdot \cos^2 \theta_W$ if contributions of the $H\gamma\gamma$ and $HZ\gamma$ couplings are neglected. Most of the experimental studies on LHC and projections of the feasibility studies have been performed under such or a similar relationship of the $HZZ$ and $HWW$ couplings. Therefore, in Table I we estimate precision on $f_{CP}^{HV}$ which represents $V = Z$ and $W$ combined.\(^2\) Precision of the separate measurements would be less, but similar. We should also note that since $a_1^{HZZ}$ and $a_1^{HWW}$ are generated at tree level in the SM, they are expected to be much larger than $a_3^{HZZ}$ and $a_3^{HWW}$, which appear at loop level, similar to the photon couplings. Therefore, the interesting values of $f_{CP}^{HV}$ are much smaller than those for $f_{CP}^{gg}, f_{CP}^{\gamma\gamma}$, and $f_{CP}^{HZZ}$, as reflected in the last column of Table I.

The Snowmass-2013 projections [75] were split into the study of the $HVV$ couplings in three processes: $H \rightarrow 4\ell$ decay, VBF production with $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, and $VH$ production with $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ [91], where the most powerful channels were picked in each case. In the present study, we do not separate the channels and consider the combined or best performance, assuming that the effective field-theoretic description does not breakdown with the $q^2$ growth. Several experimental updates with $140 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ of LHC data have appeared since then, some of the recent ones include Refs. [20] [24], where the constraint $f_{CP}^{HV} < 6 \times 10^{-4}$ is expected at 68\% C.L. from analysis of electroweak production information in the $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ and $4\ell$ channels. The $H$ boson physics projections at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC were revised in Ref. [77], where Fig. 38 indicates $f_{CP}^{HV} < 0.037$ from $H \rightarrow 4\ell$ and Fig. 39 indicates $f_{CP}^{HV} < 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ at 95\% C.L. from production with $H \rightarrow 4\ell$ at 3,000 $\text{ fb}^{-1}$. We use these studies to indicate that $f_{CP}^{HV} < 2 \times 10^{-3}$ at 3,000 $\text{ fb}^{-1}$ and $f_{CP}^{HV} < 2 \times 10^{-3}$ at 300 $\text{ fb}^{-1}$ are achievable at 68\% C.L. Further improvement is likely from inclusion of multiple decay channels and from improvements in experimental analyses.

D. The $Ht\bar{t}$ coupling at a hadron collider

The CP structure of the $H$ boson couplings to fermions is particularly interesting because both CP-even and CP-odd components can appear at tree level, and therefore the $f_{CP}^{HV}$ values do not necessarily need to be very small. (This is in contrast to $f_{CP}^{Hbb}$, for example.) One could get access to the $Hff$ interactions through loops appearing in the $Hgg, H\gamma\gamma,$ and $HZ\gamma$ couplings, but we treat those separately in Sections V A and V B because one cannot disentangle loop contributions without a global analysis. A measurement of the CP structure of the $H$ boson couplings to the first- and second-family fermions is essentially impossible at a hadron collider, as there are no channels where polarization measurements could be performed. A CP measurement of the $Hbb$ vertex is also impossible, as neither $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decay nor $b\bar{b}H$ production allows access to CP [61]. This leaves only the $Ht\bar{t}$ and $H\tau\tau$ couplings with CP structure that can be measured at a hadron collider.

The $t\bar{t}H$ production process has received the primary attention on LHC as the channel to study CP the structure of the $Ht\bar{t}$ coupling [55] [57] [59] [61] [69] [71] [72], while the $tqH$ and $tWH$ processes also allow access to CP in this coupling. The cross sections of the latter channels are smaller, but they feature interference of the $Ht\bar{t}$ and $HV$ couplings, which help in resolving the sign ambiguity in the relative phase, and a joint analysis of all these channels is often required due to cross-feed of events in analysis of the data. There is also a proposal to access CP-violating effects in $Ht\bar{t}$ couplings through loop effects in $t\bar{t}$ production [69], but the precision of such constraints does not alter our conclusion drawn from channels with associated $H$ boson production. There is rich kinematic information in the sequential decay of the particles produced in association with the $H$ boson in the $t\bar{t}H$, $tqH$, and $tWH$ processes, as indicated in the two diagrams in Fig. 2. However, most information is sensitive to the square of the CP-odd and CP-even amplitudes.

It is also possible to construct CP-odd observables that are sensitive to the interference term in the amplitude by exploring the $t\bar{t}$ spin correlations. These spin correlations can be traced back from the decay products of $t$ and $\bar{t}$, since the top-quark lifetime ($\sim 10^{-25}$ s [88]) is much shorter than the time required for spin decorrelation effects to

\(^2\) Technically, $f_{CP}^{HV}$ is defined for $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 2e2\mu$ with $a_1^{HZZ} / a_1^{HZZ} = 6.54$ in Eq. [6], but the measurement relies on both $HZZ$ and $HWW$ couplings.
also marred by substantial systematic uncertainties [90, 91]. The diphoton channel stands at a vantage point due to the $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}H$ process with sequential decay; (right) the $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ decay process. Subsequent $W$ decay is not shown. The $b$ and $W$ pairing in the $t\bar{t}$ decays is switched to enhance visibility of $CP$ effects in individual angular observables. The diagrams are adopted from Ref. [61].

FIG. 2: Illustrations of the $H$ boson kinematic observables in $pp, e^+e^-$, or $\mu^+\mu^-$ collision in (left and middle) the $t\bar{t}H$ process with sequential decay; (right) the $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ decay process. Subsequent $W$ decay is not shown. The $b$ and $W$ pairing in the $t\bar{t}$ decays is switched to enhance visibility of $CP$ effects in individual angular observables. The diagrams are adopted from Ref. [61].

actualize ($\sim 10^{-21}$ s) [89]. Thus, $CP$-odd observables can be constructed from antisymmetric tensor products of the four-momenta of the top, anti-top, and their respective decay products $i$ and $k$, $\epsilon(p_i, p_k, p_\ell, p_\delta) \equiv \epsilon_{i\ell\kappa\delta} p_i^\ell p_k^\kappa p_\ell^\delta p_\delta^\kappa$. In the $t\bar{t}$ rest frame, this antisymmetric tensor product can be simplified to $\vec{p}_i \cdot (\vec{p}_k \times \vec{p}_\ell)$, which can be used to define genuine $CP$-sensitive azimuthal angle differences [90] [91]. Correlations between two decay products, one from $t$ and the other from $\bar{t}$, scale with the spin analyzing power ($\beta_i$) associated with the decay product [92]. Charged leptons and down-type quarks exhibit the highest spin correlations $|\beta_i| = 1$, followed by bottom quarks and $W$ bosons with $|\beta_i| = 0.4$, and neutrinos and up-type quarks with $|\beta_i| = 0.3$. Therefore, one would require access to the flavors of the fermions and anti-fermions in the subsequent $t/W^+$ and $\bar{t}/W^-$ decays to probe the interference. This is possible in the leptonic decays of both $W$’s in the $t\bar{t}$ decay, but statistical precision in this fully leptonic channel is significantly weaker than in the semi-leptonic and fully-hadronic channels.

Both the CMS and ATLAS experiments [17] [18] [20] [25] [26] have performed an amplitude analysis of the $CP$-even and $CP$-odd components of the $Ht\bar{t}$ coupling analyzing both $t\bar{t}H$ and $tH$ processes. One of the dominant $H$ boson decay channels is $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, but other decays can also make significant contributions. Only semi-leptonic and fully-hadronic top decays in the $t\bar{t}H$ channels have been used, therefore limiting $CP$ analysis to the square of the amplitudes. With about $140\,fb^{-1}$ of LHC data, a single experiment obtained an expected sensitivity of $f_{CP}^{Ht\bar{t}} < 0.5$ at 68% C.L. with $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ [17] and of $f_{CP}^{Ht\bar{t}} < 0.35$ in combination with the multi-lepton $H$ boson decays [25]. Phenomenological studies indicate $f_{CP}^{Ht\bar{t}} < 0.5$ at $300 \, fb^{-1}$ in Ref. [61] using the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channel. Exploring the same $H$ boson final state together with combined searches in the semi-leptonic, di-leptonic, and fully-hadronic top quark pair decays, the study in Ref. [74] indicates a sensitivity of $f_{CP}^{Ht\bar{t}} < 0.05$ at the HL-LHC with $3,000 \, fb^{-1}$. Despite larger rates, searches in the $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}(H \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ channel leads to typically weaker projections due to an imposing QCD background, which is also marred by substantial systematic uncertainties [90] [91]. The diphoton channel stands at a vantage point due to controlled backgrounds facilitated by data-driven side-bands. We therefore enter $f_{CP}^{Ht\bar{t}} < 0.24$ at $300 \, fb^{-1}$ and $< 0.05$ at $3,000 \, fb^{-1}$ in Table 1 and note that further improvements are expected from analysis of other $H$ boson decay channels.

E. The $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ process at a hadron collider

The $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ decay is an excellent probe of spin correlations in the sequential decay of the two taus. For example, an angle between the two decay planes indicated in Fig. 2 is sensitive to $CP$ in the $Ht\tau$ interaction. However, this angle cannot be measured directly due to missing neutrinos, and the experimental challenge is to approximate it with available information. For example, the pion is preferably emitted in the direction of the $\tau$ spin in the $\tau$ rest frame, and additional information, such as the tau decay impact parameter, help reconstruct $CP$-sensitive observables.

At the time of the Snowmass-2013 studies [75], it was believed that this reconstruction would be challenging, though possible, in the hadron collider environment [93]. Most studies were focussed on the cleaner $e^+e^-$ collider environment, discussed in Section V ID. A study in Ref. [94] using an optimal observable based on the internal substructure of $\tau^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm\nu\nu$ indicated sensitivity to $f_{CP}^{Ht\bar{t}} < 0.04$ at $3,000 \, fb^{-1}$ integrated luminosity of HL-LHC. However, it was found in Ref. [95] that detector effects were more important than originally suggested. A
realistic study by the ATLAS collaboration [98] was based on analysis of $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\mu^0\nu$ and indicated that at HL-LHC the statistical precision on $f_{CP}^{H\tau}$ would range between 0.10 and 0.30, depending on the precision of the $\pi^0$ reconstruction. Finally, a very detailed study of multiple $\tau$ decay channels by the CMS experiment [21] achieved an expected precision of $f_{CP}^{H\tau} < 0.13$ at 68\% C.L. with about 140 fb$^{-1}$. The CMS experiment provided projection to 3,000 fb$^{-1}$ as supplemental materials [96] to Ref. [21], from which we expect $f_{CP}^{H\tau} < 0.07$ at 300 fb$^{-1}$ and $< 0.008$ at 3,000 fb$^{-1}$, which are entered in Table [8].

VI. PROSPECTS OF HIGGS CP MEASUREMENTS AT AN ELECTRON-POSITRON COLLIDER

Many of the approaches to the $H$ boson $CP$ measurements at an electron-positron collider are similar to those at a hadron collider, but with several notable features. First, the $e^+e^-$ collider environment is much cleaner, and therefore even with a smaller number of $H$ bosons produced, essentially every final state of its decay may be used for tagging. Second, certain final states, most notably $\tau^+\tau^-$, could be reconstructed and analyzed for $CP$ structure with better efficiency. Third, the fixed initial-state energy in the $e^+e^-\rightarrow V^*\rightarrow VH$ production allows control over the $q^2$ of the initial $V^*$. Similarly, possible polarization of the colliding beams may give additional control in polarization measurements.

The $CP$ structure of the $H$ boson couplings to gluons cannot be easily measured at a lepton collider, because the decay to two gluons does not allow easy access to gluon polarization. On the other hand, most other processes could be studied at an $e^+e^-$ collider, especially with the beam energy above the $t\bar{t}H$ threshold.

A. The VH process at an electron-positron collider

The $e^+e^-\rightarrow ZH/\gamma^*H \rightarrow (2f)H$ process is the dominant SM process at lower energies with cross section of about 240/129/57/13 fb at $\sqrt{s} = 250/350/500/1,000$ GeV. Full angular analysis of the final state allows access to $CP$ information. Similarly to the hadron collider, the process $e^+e^-\rightarrow H$ is possible to study, but does not allow access to $CP$ properties from the angular analysis. This channel has been used at LEP to set constraints on the $H$ boson production with possible anomalous $HZ\gamma$ and $H\gamma\gamma$ couplings.

An early feasibility study of spin-parity determination and analysis of the $HZZ$ and $HZ\gamma$ coupling tensor structure in the $VH$ process at an $e^+e^-$ collider was performed as part of the TESLA design [98] based on 300 fb$^{-1}$ at a centre-of-mass energy of 500 GeV and $m_H = 120$ GeV. The Snowmass-2013 studies [75] relied on Ref. [51], which compared the expected performance of an $e^+e^-$ collider and the LHC, where the $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ and $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ decays were used in the former case. Precision on the fraction of the $CP$-odd cross-section contribution of about 0.03 was obtained across the four energy and luminosity scenarios, which correspond to the values of $I_{CP}^{VV}$ entered in Table [8]. The significant reduction in the $I_{CP}^{VV}$ uncertainties with energy is due to the increase of the $q^2$ of intermediate Z, and therefore higher relative contribution of the higher-dimension operators to the production cross section, where it is assumed that no strong momentum dependence of couplings occurs at these energies. There were no separate studies of precision on $I_{CP}^{HZZ}$ or $I_{CP}^{HZ\gamma}$ at that time, but a recent update of these studies in Appendix [8] indicate that it is not feasible to constrain $I_{CP}^{HZZ}$ or $I_{CP}^{HZ\gamma}$ at an $e^+e^-$ collider with parameters listed in Table [8].

The $CP$-odd $HZZ$ and $HZ\gamma$ couplings have been investigated with 5.6 ab$^{-1}$ at 240 GeV with $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $H \rightarrow b\bar{b},c\bar{c},gg$ in Ref. [99], which follows closely similar earlier studies in Refs. [100, 101]. The expected constraint on the $CP$-odd $HZ\gamma$ coupling $a_3^{Z\gamma}$ is about a factor of six larger than the SM $a_2^{Z\gamma}$ expectation [70], which indicates that it is hard to constrain photon couplings in this process. The expected constraint on the $CP$-odd $HZZ$ coupling $a_2^{ZZ}$ requires careful investigation to translate it to a limit on $I_{CP}^{HVV}$ and for a luminosity scenario about a factor of 20 smaller, as expected in Table [8].

B. The VBF process at an electron-positron collider

VBF production with charged boson fusion $e^+e^-\rightarrow \nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_e(W^+W^-)\rightarrow \nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_eH$ is the dominant SM process at higher energies with cross section of 21/34/72/210 fb at $\sqrt{s} = 250/350/500/1,000$ GeV. However, there is essentially no kinematic information to analyze in the final state with missing neutrinos, with the exception of the momentum of the $H$ boson, which provides sensitivity to the higher-dimension operators, but does not allow one to separate $CP$-odd and $CP$-even contributions. Therefore, this channel is useful to study $CP$ in the subsequent $H$ boson decays, though the lack of a vertex from associated particles makes certain techniques less reliable, as discussed in application to $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ for example.
VBF production with neutral boson fusion $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-(ZZ/Z\gamma^*/\gamma^*) \to e^+e^-H$ cross section is smaller than of the main VBF channel with associated neutrinos, but is still sizable at higher energies with the SM cross section of $0.7/3/7/21 \text{fb}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 250/350/500/1,000 \text{GeV}$. Full angular analysis allows access to CP information. For example, an ongoing study of the ZZ-fusion process at 1.4 TeV CLIC and 1 TeV ILC are mentioned in Ref. [102], and concrete results are expected to appear later. While there is no dedicated study of the CP-odd $H\gamma\gamma$ and $HZ\gamma$ interactions in VBF production $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-(ZZ/Z\gamma^*/\gamma^*) \to e^+e^-H$, an analogy has been drawn to the VBF process at LHC in Appendix [3] which indicates that it is unlikely that $f_{CP}^{HZ\gamma}$ or $f_{CP}^{H\gamma\gamma}$ could be constrained at an $e^+e^-$ collider.

C. The $t\bar{t}H$ process at an electron-positron collider

$H$ boson production in association with top quarks $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}H$ is the fourth production channel for energies above the threshold around 500 GeV, with cross section of 0.27/2.0 fb at $\sqrt{s} = 500/1,000 \text{GeV}$. Many of the techniques used at the LHC in Section [V D] and at a muon collider in Section [V B] can be employed at an $e^+e^-$ collider, with the diagram in Fig. [2] representing kinematic information in the process.

A study of CP-odd contribution in the $Ht\bar{t}$ coupling has been studied in the context of ILC [75]. Cross-section dependence on the coupling has been employed and an uncertainty on $f_{CP}^{Ht\bar{t}}$ of 0.08 (0.29) at 1,000 (500) GeV center-of-mass energy has been estimated. A beam polarization of (+0.2, −0.8) [103] and (+0.3, −0.8) is assumed at 1,000 and 500 GeV, respectively. A more recent study indicates sensitivity to $f_{CP}^{Ht\bar{t}}$ of about 0.07 expected with 2,000 fb$^{-1}$ and 1,400 GeV [104], which employs a similar cross section dependence. Interpretation of a cross-section deviation as an indication of CP-odd coupling contribution is strongly model-dependent, but allows access to anomalous $Ht\bar{t}$ couplings. An analysis of the full kinematic information could proceed in a manner similar to that employed at LHC and would benefit from the clean $e^+e^-$ collider environment with the beam energy constraints available. An improvement from using the differential information has been observed in Ref. [105].

D. The $H \to \tau^+\tau^-$ and other decay processes at an electron-positron collider

At the time of the Snowmass-2013 exercise [75], most CP studies with $H \to \tau^+\tau^-$ were performed in a clean $e^+e^-$ environment, either in the decays $\tau \to \pi\nu\bar{\nu}$ [93, 106], or in all final states [107, 108]. All studies agree on a similar $f_{CP}^{H\tau\tau}$ precision of about 0.01 for the typical scenarios in Table [1]. The precision becomes somewhat worse with an increased collider energy due to the reduced $ZH$ production cross-section, and this technique relies on the knowledge of the $Z$ vertex. A recent full simulation study of the ILC physics reach with 1,000 fb$^{-1}$ at 250 GeV indicates a very similar $f_{CP}^{H\tau\tau}$ precision of about 0.01 with $\tau^\pm \to \pi^\pm\nu$ and $\tau^\pm \to \pi^0\nu$ [102, 109], but additional $\ell$ lepton decays may bring an increase in sensitivity. We therefore leave the estimates in Table [1] the same as in the Snowmass-2013 projection. Further improvements could be achieved using the lessons learned from the realistic analysis of the $H \to \tau^+\tau^-$ channel at LHC, as discussed in Section [V E].

Analysis of the other decay channels, most notably $H \to 4\ell$, could be performed at an $e^+e^-$ collider. The clean collider environment would allow exploration of multiple final states, beyond just the golden channels with charged leptons used at LHC. However, as noted in Appendix [3] the number of produced $H \to ZZ \to 4\ell$ events at an $e^+e^-$ collider would be significantly smaller than the number of $H$ bosons produced in the golden clean channel $H \to 4\ell$ at a proton collider.

VII. COMPARISON TO EDM MEASUREMENTS

A dedicated Snowmass-2022 study of EDM measurements can be found in Ref. [110]. Asymmetry in the charge distribution along the particle’s spin requires $T$ violation, which is equivalent to CP violation when invoking the CPT theorem. The EDMs of atoms and molecules are sensitive to CP violation in interactions of the $H$ boson through loop effects. The SM values of these EDMs are beyond the current or planned experimental reach, which allows excellent null tests in the SM. The EDM constraints on CP-odd $H$ boson couplings are typically stronger than those from direct $H$ boson measurements [111, 114]. However, these constraints are set under an assumption that only one modification of the $H$ boson coupling is present in the loop, and therefore no cancellation effect is allowed. With multiple CP-odd EFT operators, the EDM measurements set constraints on certain linear combinations of these operators, and direct constraints on the CP-odd operators in the $H$ boson measurements provide complementary information.

For example, the $H\gamma\gamma$, $HZ\gamma$, $HZZ$, as $Hgg$ induce EDMs through one-loop diagrams. Replacing the $HVV$ vertex with a fermion loop in these diagrams leads to two-loop graphs, through which the $Hff$ couplings can contribute. One can also analyze these interactions with simultaneous contributions of loops of SM particles together with BSM
TABLE II: Constraints on the parameter $\frac{f_{HX}}{1-f_{CP}}$ at 68% C.L. from EDM measurements, assuming only one CP-odd $HX$ coupling is nonzero at a time. Refer to Appendix C for more details.

| $HX$ coupling | $H_{gg}$ | $H_{\gamma\gamma}$ | $H_{ZZ}$ | $H_{t\bar{t}}$ | $H_{u\bar{u}}$ | $H_{d\bar{d}}$ | $H_{\tau\tau}$ | $H_{\mu\mu}$ | $H_{e\bar{e}}$ |
|---------------|----------|---------------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| $f_{CP}/(1-f_{CP})$ | 0.12 | 2.4 · 10^{-8} | 4.4 · 10^{-8} | 1.2 · 10^{-13} | 4.3 · 10^{-7} | 0.72 | 0.039 | 2.2 · 10^{-2} | 36 | 1.1 · 10^{-6} |

interactions and point-like $HVV$ interactions generated by heavy BSM states. At the same time, the second vertex of the $H$ boson involves $H_{u\bar{u}}$, $H_{d\bar{d}}$, or $H_{e\bar{e}}$ interactions, where CP violation could be introduced as well. Therefore, in general, EDMs receive contributions from a large number of CP-odd interactions, allowing for the possibility of cancellations. While this brings complications, the EDM measurements may also allow the only access to CP violation in the $H_{e\bar{e}}$, $H_{u\bar{u}}$, and $H_{d\bar{d}}$ interactions. Resolving all constraints simultaneously will require direct measurements of the $H$ boson couplings in combination with EDM measurements. Moreover, it has not been experimentally established if the $H$ boson couples to the first-family fermions. In case these couplings are absent or significantly suppressed, EDM measurements provide no constraints of CP violation in $H$ boson interactions.

As part of this Snowmass study, we examine EDM constraints on parameters in Table I and add the $H_{e\bar{e}}$, $H_{u\bar{u}}$, and $H_{d\bar{d}}$ couplings in Table II. These constraints from the present EDM measurements are obtained in Appendix C. In Table II, only one CP-odd $HX$ coupling is allowed to be present at a time. As it can also be seen in Fig. 5 of Appendix C, constraints on individual couplings $H_{\gamma\gamma}$, $H_{ZZ}$, and $H_{t\bar{t}}$ are essentially lost if two other couplings are allowed to be present, but a big part of parameter space is still excluded from a correlated measurement. Most constraints on the parameters in Table II are dominated by the the current limit on electron EDM $d_e < 1.1 \times 10^{-29} \text{cm}$ from the ThO measurement, while the CP-odd $H_{gg}$, $H_{u\bar{u}}$, and $H_{d\bar{d}}$ couplings are constrained by the neutron and mercury EDMs. The limit on the neutron EDM is $d_n < 1.8 \times 10^{-26} \text{cm}$, and the mercury EDM constraint is equivalent to a similar limit on $d_n$, for the couplings under consideration here.

Over the next two decades, one could expect an order of magnitude increase in the precision of the electron EDM every 5-6 years, e.g. Fig. 5 in Ref. [110]. There is also a dramatic increase possible in the nucleon EDM measurements, e.g. Fig. 8 in Ref. [110]. There is a proposal to reach a precision on the proton EDM $d_p < 10^{-29} \text{cm}$ using the proton storage ring within the next decade [118], which would be a big improvement over the current neutron EDM constraint. This may lead to an improvement by $10^5$ in constraints on CP-odd $H_{gg}$, $H_{u\bar{u}}$, and $H_{d\bar{d}}$, and potentially to an improvement by $10^6$ in constraints on corresponding $f_{CP}^{HX}$. We note that even under the assumption of one CP-odd contribution to EDM, the expected constraint on $f_{CP}^{H_{gg}}$ at the HL-LHC in Table II is stronger than the present EDM constraint in Table II. With the above potential improvement on the proton EDM using the proton storage ring, this will change. However, the HL-LHC constraints will be essential in order to analyze all CP-violating couplings in Table II simultaneously.

VIII. SUMMARY

We have reviewed the current status and prospects of the search for CP violation in interactions of the Higgs boson with either fermions or bosons. These studies provide several well-defined and important benchmark measurements considered in the Particle Physics Community Planning Exercise (a.k.a. “Snowmass”). These benchmarks are compared between the proton, electron-positron, photon, and muon colliders in Table II. Connection is made to the study of virtual effects in the electric dipole moment measurements.
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Appendix A: Recent updates of the studies at a hadron collider

Contributed by Jeffrey Davis, Savvas Kyriacou, and Jeffrey Roskes.

In this Section, we update the feasibility study of the $CP$-odd $H\gamma\gamma$ and $HZ\gamma$ interactions at the HL-LHC, which is documented in Ref. [70], in order to adopt the $f^H_{CP}$ benchmark parameters introduced in Eq. (2). As discussed in Sections III and V B, it is not possible to study the structure of the $H\gamma\gamma$ and $HZ\gamma$ couplings in the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ decays. The rates of these decays put constraints on the quadrature sum of the $CP$-odd and $CP$-even couplings, which can be parameterized, following the notation in Eq. (1) and in Ref. [70], as

$$
\hat{g}_{HV,\gamma}^2 \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{H \rightarrow V\gamma}}{\Gamma_{SM}^{H \rightarrow V\gamma}} \simeq \frac{1}{\left(a_2^{\gamma,SM}\right)^2} \left[ \left(a_2^{\gamma,SM} + a_2^{\gamma}\right)^2 + \left(a_3^{\gamma}\right)^2 \right], \quad \text{(A1)}
$$

where $V = Z$ or $\gamma$ and $a_2^{\gamma,SM} = 0.00423$ and $a_2^{\gamma,SM} = 0.00675$ are the effective values of the point-like $CP$-even couplings generated by SM loops with the $W$ boson and charged fermions. In this parameterization, the SM corresponds to $(a_2^{Z,\gamma}, a_3^{Z,\gamma}) = (0, 0)$ and $(a_2^{\gamma,\gamma}, a_3^{\gamma,\gamma}) = (0, 0)$.

The constraints on $(a_2^{\gamma,\gamma}, a_3^{\gamma,\gamma})$ and $(a_2^{Z,\gamma}, a_3^{Z,\gamma})$ from the HL-LHC measurements of the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ decay rates, assuming that production rates can be constrained in the global analysis of the $H$ boson data to a good enough precision, appear as circles on the 2D planes, as indicated in Fig. 3. These circles correspond to the fixed values of $\hat{g}_{HV,\gamma}^2$ in Eq. (A1). The centers of the circles are at $(-a_2^{\gamma,SM}, 0)$. All points on a circle of a given radius have equal probability, and rotation around the circle can be parameterized with the $f^H_{CP}$ value, as indicated on the graphs in Fig. 3. With the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ decay rates only, the $f^H_{CP}$ values are not constrained.

It has been demonstrated in Ref. [70] that the data from $H \rightarrow 4\ell$, VBF, and VH can resolve the points along the $Z$-like and $Z$-odd couplings for the $(a_2^{\gamma,\gamma}, a_3^{\gamma,\gamma})$ plane. While the VBF and VH channels do provide information to differentiate the $CP$-odd and $CP$-even couplings, the dominant precision comes from the $H \rightarrow ZZ/\gamma^*\gamma^*/Z\gamma^*$ process, and we refer to Ref. [70] for an explanation of this effect. A 68% CL exclusion of $f^H_{CP} = 0.5$ can be achieved with $3,000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ (left plot in Fig. 3), while $f^H_{CP} = 1.0$ can be excluded with $5,000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ (right plot in Fig. 3). We take these as estimates of the HL-LHC precision on $f^H_{CP}$ and $f^H_{CP}$, but note that a more detailed study and incorporation of multiple production channels may improve this further.

![FIG. 3: Expected two-dimensional constraints on $(a_2^{\gamma,\gamma}, a_3^{\gamma,\gamma})$ (left), and $(a_2^{Z,\gamma}, a_3^{Z,\gamma})$ (right) using Eq. (A1) and the HL-LHC projection of analysis of the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$, $H \rightarrow 4\ell$, VBF, and VH channels with $3,000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ (left) and $5,000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ (right) following the study from Ref. [70].](image-url)
Appendix B: Recent updates of the studies at an electron-positron collider

Contributed by Lucas S. Mandacaru Guerra and Savvas Kyriacou.

In this Section, we present a feasibility study of the CP-odd $H\gamma\gamma$ and $HZ\gamma$ interactions at an $e^+e^-$ machine following the study of the the CP-odd $HZZ$ interactions documented in Snowmass-2013 studies [15] and Ref. [51]. We start with the study of the $e^+e^-\to VH$ production at $\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ and $250 \text{ fb}^{-1}$, with $H \to b\bar{b}$ and $V \to \ell\ell$. We note that with the $H\gamma\gamma$ and $HZ\gamma$ couplings, both $V = Z$ and $\gamma^*$ are possible. The dominant background is modeled with the process $e^+e^-\to ZZ/\gamma^* \to b\bar{b}\ell\ell$. The analysis is based on the 4D parameterization of the mass-angular distributions ($m_\ell\ell, \cos\theta_1, \cos\theta_2, \Phi$) and otherwise follows the similar technique to that employed in HL-LHC studies in Appendix A.

First, we reproduce the feasibility study of the $f_{VHZZ}^H$ parameter and find results consistent with those reported in Ref. [51]. Then, we turn to the prospect of the $(a_{2\gamma}^{\gamma, \text{SM}}, a_{2\gamma}^{Z\gamma, \text{SM}})$ measurements in the $e^+e^-\to VH$ production. We can already point out that using the $a_{2\gamma}^{\gamma, \text{SM}}$ and $a_{2\gamma}^{Z\gamma, \text{SM}}$ values, quoted in Appendix A, one can expect only about 0.1 and 2 events, respectively, if these are the only contributions to the $HVV$ production amplitude. This already indicates that with such a small contribution, it is not feasible to expect strong constraints on the photon couplings. Nonetheless, the full study with the 4D likelihood fit is essential to take into account the effects of interference of the photon couplings with the dominant SM tree-level $HZZ$ contribution. This interference is not very strong in the case of the $H\gamma\gamma$ couplings due to very different $m_\ell\ell$ spectra. The results of the fits for the $a_{2\gamma}^{\gamma}$ contributions are shown in Fig. 4. We conclude that there is not enough sensitivity to isolate the $H\gamma\gamma$ and $HZ\gamma$ contributions with the rates generated by the $a_{2\gamma}^{\gamma, \text{SM}}$ and $a_{2\gamma}^{Z\gamma, \text{SM}}$ couplings. Therefore, constraints on $f_{VHZZ}^H$ and $f_{VHZZ}^{H\gamma}$ are not feasible. We expect the same outcome at the other scenarios of the $e^+e^-$ colliders listed in Table I.

While we have not performed a study of the CP-odd $H\gamma\gamma$ and $HZ\gamma$ interactions in VBF production $e^+e^-\to e^+e^-(ZZ/\gamma^*\gamma^*/\gamma^*)\to e^+e^-H$, we expect a conclusion similar to that in $e^+e^-\to VH$ by analogy with the HL-LHC studies in Appendix A and Ref. [70]. In the latter study, it was found that the $H \to ZZ/\gamma^*\gamma^*/\gamma^*$ decay process is more powerful in constraining the photon couplings than both the VBF and $VH$ processes, because the preferred range of $q^2$ in these processes leads to the $\gamma^*$ going far off-shell. This situation is reversed with respect to the $HZZ$ couplings, which are better constrained in production, and where an increase in the collider energy $\sqrt{s}$ brings a benefit. It is possible to study the $H \to 4\ell$ process in the $e^+e^-$ production, but the expected number of events is only about 7 at $\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ and $250 \text{ fb}^{-1}$, which is too small for a study. In the clean $e^+e^-$ environment, one could consider the hadronic decays of the $Z$ bosons in the $H \to ZZ$ process, but the full number of $H \to ZZ$ events of about 1600 is still much smaller than the expected number of $H \to 4\ell$ events at the HL-LHC. We make a preliminary conclusion that most likely it will not be feasible to constrain the CP-odd photon couplings $a_3^{V\gamma}$ of the $H$ boson with a precision comparable to CP-even contribution to the decay process $a_2^{V\gamma, \text{SM}}$ at an $e^+e^-$ collider with parameters outlined in Table II. Nonetheless, we encourage a dedicated study of the VBF process to confirm this expectation.

![Figure 4](image-url)  

**FIG. 4**: Expected constraints on the $f_{a_{2\gamma}^{V\gamma}}$ parameters, which are expressed as fractions of the $a_{2\gamma}^{V\gamma, \text{SM}}$ contributions in the decay cross sections, where the most-likely values of $f_{a_{2\gamma}^{Z\gamma}} = 0.0016$ and $f_{a_{2\gamma}^{V\gamma}} = 0.0050$ correspond to $a_{2\gamma}^{Z\gamma, \text{SM}}$ and $a_{2\gamma}^{V\gamma, \text{SM}}$. The $e^+e^-\to VH$ production at $\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ and $250 \text{ fb}^{-1}$, with $H \to b\bar{b}$ and $V \to \ell\ell$, is shown.
Appendix C: EDM constraints

Contributed by Wouter Dekens.

The CP-odd Higgs couplings not only appear in processes directly involving the Higgs boson, but also affect low-energy precision experiments through loop diagrams. Measurements of the EDMs of the neutron [116], mercury [117], and the ThO molecule [115] set particularly stringent constraints on CP-violating interactions beyond the SM. The loop contributions to these observables have been widely considered in the context of the SMEFT, see e.g. Refs. [113] [119] [123]. In these analyses, the CP-violating SMEFT interactions are first matched onto a low-energy theory in which the heavy SM degrees of freedom have been integrated out and subsequently evolved to the QCD scale. At this scale the quark-level theory can be matched to Chiral perturbation theory, giving rise to a description in terms of CP-odd interactions between hadrons, photons, and electrons, which can then be used to compute the EDMs of nucleons, atoms, and molecules.

The couplings of the Higgs to gauge bosons induce the (chromo) electric dipole moments of fermions through one-loop diagrams [122] [124], while the couplings to nucleons, atoms, and molecules.

Constrained by the ThO measurement. The exception is almost all of the couplings the most relevant contributions are those to the electron EDM, which is very stringently

Using the above relations, the analyses of Refs. [114] and [120] can be rephrased in terms of \( f_{CP}^{H_{\gamma\gamma}} \) and Higgs-fermion \( f_{CP}^{H_f} \) couplings, respectively. In this language, the \( f_{CP}^{H_{\gamma\gamma}} \) and \( f_{CP}^{H_f} \) couplings correspond to the Wilson coefficients of dimension-six operators in the Warsaw basis [126] [127],

\[
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{\Gamma_{H\gamma\gamma}} &= \frac{v^2 \text{Im} C_{aH}^{(33)}}{y_t}, &\sqrt{\Gamma_{Huu}} &= \frac{v^2 \text{Im} C_{aH}^{(11)}}{y_u}, &\sqrt{\Gamma_{Hdd}} &= \frac{v^2 \text{Im} C_{dH}^{(11)}}{y_d}, \\
\sqrt{\Gamma_{H\tau\tau}} &= \frac{v^2 \text{Im} C_{eH}^{(33)}}{y_\tau}, &\sqrt{\Gamma_{H\mu\mu}} &= \frac{v^2 \text{Im} C_{eH}^{(22)}}{y_\mu}, &\sqrt{\Gamma_{Hee}} &= \frac{v^2 \text{Im} C_{eH}^{(11)}}{y_e},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( r_X = f_{CP}^{X}/f_{CP}^{H_{\gamma\gamma}} \), \( y_f = \sqrt{2} m_f/v \), \( v \) is the Higgs vacuum expectation value \( v \approx 246 \text{ GeV} \), and we used the tree-level results of Ref. [128] to evaluate \( \Gamma_{H \rightarrow VV}^{CP} \). Note that since the \( f_{CP}^{H_X} \) are defined through the decay rates, there is a sign ambiguity for each of the \( \sqrt{\Gamma_{H_{\gamma\gamma}}^{CP}} \).

Using the above relations, the analyses of Refs. [114] and [120] can be rephrased in terms of \( f_{CP}^{H_{\gamma\gamma}} \) and \( f_{CP}^{H_f} \), respectively. The resulting limits, assuming only one of the couplings is nonzero at a time, are shown in Table I. The limits are dominated by the ThO measurement for all couplings apart from \( f_{CP}^{H_{\gamma\gamma}} \), \( f_{CP}^{H_{\mu\mu}} \), and \( f_{CP}^{H_{\tau\tau}} \), which do not induce an electron EDM and only contribute to the neutron and mercury EDMs. Although the theoretical uncertainties related to the interpretation of the ThO measurement are small, there are significant uncertainties related to the hadronic and nuclear matrix elements that appear in the expressions for the neutron and mercury EDMs, see Refs. [129] [130] for an overview. The table shows the constraints on the \( H_{uu}, H_{dd} \), and \( H_{gg} \) couplings that results from varying these matrix elements within their allowed ranges, corresponding to the ‘Rfit’ approach of Ref. [114]. In this case the dominant constraint arises from the neutron EDM. If one instead sets the matrix elements to their central values, the limits on \( f_{CP}^{H_{\gamma\gamma}} \) and \( f_{CP}^{H_{uu}} \) (\( f_{CP}^{H_{dd}} \)) improve by a factor of \( \sim 10^3 \) (10). The most stringent limits on the Yukawa couplings are then set by the mercury EDM, while the constraints on \( f_{CP}^{H_{\gamma\gamma}} \) from the neutron and mercury EDMs are comparable. The bounds in Table I are more stringent than those in Table I by several orders of magnitude for the couplings of the Higgs boson to electroweak gauge bosons and the top quark. In contrast, for \( f_{CP}^{H_{\gamma\gamma}} \) and \( f_{CP}^{H_{\mu\mu}} \), the sensitivity of the 14 TeV LHC is comparable to the EDM constraints.

Although some of the limits in Table I are more stringent than the projections in Table I they do assume that only one of the couplings is turned on at a time. However, most beyond-the-SM scenarios induce multiple operator coefficients, motivating analyses of scenarios in which several operators nonzero. As an example, we consider the case in which the three Higgs couplings to electroweak gauge bosons, \( f_{CP}^{H_{\gamma\gamma}} \), \( f_{CP}^{H_{Z\gamma}} \), and \( f_{CP}^{H_{ZZ}} \), are present, with the remaining couplings set to zero. Although we in principle have measurements of the EDMs of three different systems, it turns out that they do not give enough information to constrain all three couplings, see Ref. [114] for details. As a result, there is one unconstrained linear combination of the three couplings, corresponding to a tuning of the
coefficients such that the contributions to EDMs cancel. The allowed parameter space in this scenario is depicted in Fig. 5, where each panel shows the allowed values for two of the couplings while marginalizing over the remaining coefficient. Clearly, the couplings are allowed to be much larger than in the single-coupling analysis, in part due to the unconstrained linear combination. Nevertheless, as can be seen from Fig. 5 there is still a significant part of parameter space that can be excluded by EDM measurements, especially taking into account that each allowed point in these figures requires a precisely tuned value of the third coupling in order to cancel significant contributions to EDMs.

FIG. 5: The red shaded regions depict the parameter space allowed by EDM measurements at 90% C.L. assuming that the $f_{CP}^{H\gamma\gamma}, f_{CP}^{H\gamma},$ and $f_{CP}^{HZZ}$ couplings are nonzero simultaneously.
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