Synthesis of late transition nickel(II) coordination polymer and their catalysis for olefinic polymerization
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Abstract. It was illustrated in this paper the successful synthesisization of one α-diimine ligand and one new polycondensate ligand. The structure of the ligand was characterized by $^1$H NMR spectrum, and the structure of the polycondensate ligand was characterized by Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) and Element Analysis. Then these two ligands reacted with (DME)NiBr$_2$ for the purpose of preparing α-diimine nickel complex and nickel coordination polymer characterized by Element Analysis and X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS). Then these two catalysts were adopted to facilitate ethylene polymerization and to compare the difference between them. It was found that the activities from nickel coordination polymer/MAO reached 10$^6$(g/molNi·h) and the molecule weights were about 10$^5$.

1. Introduction
The area of ethylene polymerization with late metal catalysts was rejuvenated when Brookhart and his group reported a family of new cationic Pd(II) and Ni(II) α-diimine catalysts for the polymerization of ethylene, the late metal catalysts have high activities on ethylene polymerization, however, they have low stability. On the other side, metal coordination polymer has been studied these years and it was found that the effects of activity on the metal coordination were recognized in the following points. The separation of the catalytic active centers overcomes the disadvantages of inactivation and with the protection of polymer chain, the catalysts became more stable. In this paper, two kinds of catalysts were used to polymerize ethylene. The influence of the ratio of Al/Ni, temperature, pressure, time, the dosage of catalyst, solvent and the structure of catalyst on the activities and molecular weights were studied. As for the result, the α-diimine nickel complex generated the oligomer, while the Metal Coordination Polymer produced the polyethylene. The activity was around 10$^5$ g PE/(molNi·h), the molecular weight of PE was about 10$^5$. Among these conditions, the ratio of Al/Ni, pressure and solvent affect the polymerization greatly.

2. Materials
All compounds sensitive to air and moisture were handled under an atmosphere of dried and purified argon using standard Schlenk techniques. Dichloromethane and diethyl were distilled under an argon atmosphere from sodium/benzophenone. The nickel complex [ArN=C(CH$_3$)-C(CH$_3$)=NAr]NiBr$_2$ (Ar = C$_6$H$_5$) and nickel coordination polymer [-N=C(CH$_3$)-C(CH$_3$)=NAr-]$_m$[NiBr$_2$]$_n$ (Ar = C$_6$H$_5$, m<n) were synthesized. MAO (10 wt. % in toluene, containing ca. 30% AlMe$_3$) was purchased from
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ALBEMARLE CORPORATION and can be used to conduct the experiment directly. Ethylene monomer (99.9%) was supplied by Shanghai petrochemical company and used after dehydration by 4Å molecular sieve.

3. Preparation of α-diimine Catalysts and Nickel Coordination Polymer

In a clean and dry 250 mL tri-mouth flask, diacetyl (5 mmol) and phenylamine (10 mmol) in ethanol (70 mL) reacted about 4 hours to synthesize the ligand \( \text{L1} \) \( [\text{ArN}=\text{C(CH}_3\text{)}_2\text{-C(CH}_3\text{)}_2]=\text{NAr} \) (Ar = \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_5 \)). The production process of polycondensate ligand \( \text{L2} \) \( [-\text{N}=\text{C}\text{(CH}_3\text{)}_2\text{-C(CH}_3\text{)}_2]]=\text{NAr} \), (Ar = \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_5 \)) was modeled on the method adopted above while p-phenylenediamine (5 mmol) took the place of phenylamine (10 mmol). Separation and purification of the production were achieved during the ligand synthesis, as the Figure 1 illustrates. Yields: 0.65g, 55% for \( \text{L1} \); 0.23g, 29% for \( \text{L2} \). Then in a clean dry 100 mL Schlenk flask, the nickel complex \( \text{C1} \) and nickel coordination polymer \( \text{C2} \) were synthesized by reacting the ligands with DME(NiBr\(_2\)) under argon atmosphere. Separation and purification of the production were achieved. Yields: 78% for \( \text{C1} \); 79% for \( \text{C2} \).

4. Characterization

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis of α-diimine ligand was performed on a Varian Unity 400 MHz spectrometer at 298K using CDCl\(_3\) as solvent. \(^1\)H NMR spectrum referred to solvent peaks. And Element Analysis of nickel complex was performed on Vario EL cube. Element Analysis of polycondensate ligand was performed on Vario EL cube. Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry of nickel coordination polymer was performed on AB Sciex 4800 plus. In addition, polycondensate ligand was characterized by Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) and nickel coordination polymer was characterized by X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS).

5. Results and Discussion

The \(^1\)H-NMR of α-diimine ligand \( \text{L1} \)

\(^1\)H-NMR(400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 298K), \( \delta:2.149(s, 6 \text{ H, CH}_3), 6.79(d, J=7.2 \text{ Hz, 4 H, Ar}, 7.11(t, J=14.8 \text{ Hz, 2 H, Ar}), 7.37(t, J=15.6 \text{ Hz, 4 H, Ar}), as the Figure 2 illustrates.

![Figure 1. synthesis of nickel complex and nickel coordination polymer](image-url)
The 1H NMR spectrum of ligand L1

The Element Analysis of nickel complex C1 and polycondensate ligand L2

Calcd for C_{16}H_{16}N_{2}Br_{2}Ni (C1): C, 42.25; H, 3.55; N, 6.16. Found: C, 42.18; H, 3.51; N, 6.12.

Calcd for (C_{10}H_{10}N_{2})_{n} (L2): C, 75.92; H, 6.37; N, 17.71. Found: C, 71.90; H, 6.39; N, 16.86.

The Thermogravimetric Analysis of polycondensate ligand L2

Figure 2. The 1H NMR spectrum of ligand L1

The polycondensate ligand L2 has a good heat-resistance. Its TD5 was 220°C, and when the temperature got 900°C, the Char-yield was 28%, as the Figure 3 illustrates.

The XPS of nickel coordination polymer C2

Figure 3. The TGA of ligand L2
Table 1. The block of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

| Name | Start BE(eV) | Peak BE(eV) | End BE(eV) | Atomic% | FWHM(eV) |
|------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------|----------|
| C1s  | 296.73       | 284.84      | 279.83     | 78.43   | 1.83     |
| N1s  | 410.73       | 399.69      | 392.83     | 14.03   | 1.30     |
| Ni2p | 890.73       | 855.43      | 844.83     | 7.54    | 2.14     |

From Table 1, the peak position of Ni to be tested was 855.4 eV. According to the table of the Ni element, this Ni element valence was +2 price, and the binding energy of NiBr2 was 854.9 eV. There was an deviation according to the result of experiment. It could be considered that Ni had a complexation with the coordination polymer. In addition, the ratio of N and Ni was close to 2:1. So nickel coordination polymer C2 could verify the correctness of the structure.

Ethylene polymerization with Ni complex C1 and nickel coordination polymer C2

Table 2. Influence of reaction conditions on ethylene polymerization

| No. | Al/Ni | T (°C) | 10\(^{-5}\)PC\(_{2}H_{4}\) (Pa) | \(t\) (h) | \(10\(^{-5}\)\)Activity (g.mol\(^{-1}\)Ni·h\(^{-1}\)) | \(10\(^{-4}\)\(\eta\))c |
|-----|-------|-------|-----------------------------|------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| 1\(^b\)| 400 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 0.39 | 10.54 |
| 2\(^b\)| 600 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 0.57 | 13.98 |
| 3\(^b\)| 800 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 1.73 | 16.39 |
| 4\(^b\)| 1000 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 1.73 | 15.85 |
| 5\(^b\)| 1200 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 1.79 | 15.13 |
| 6\(^a\)| 800 | 25 | 10 | 1 | -- | -- |
| 7\(^b\)| 800 | 50 | 10 | 1 | 1.75 | 19.21 |
| 8\(^b\)| 800 | 70 | 10 | 1 | 1.13 | 12.43 |
| 9\(^b\)| 800 | 25 | 5 | 1 | 1.03 | 15.36 |
| 10\(^b\)| 800 | 25 | 15 | 1 | 4.42 | 19.04 |
| 11\(^b\)| 800 | 25 | 10 | 2 | 1.68 | 17.23 |
| 12\(^b\)| 800 | 25 | 10 | 4 | 1.67 | 18.69 |
| 13\(^b\)| 800 | 25 | 10 | 8 | 1.65 | 20.17 |

m(Catalyst)=0.001 g, a. C1; b. C2; Co-catalyst: MAO; V(dichloromethane) = 40 mL. c Molecular weights of the polymers were determined by viscosity average molecular weight in decalin at 135°C.[\(\eta\)] = \(\frac{\sqrt{2(\text{temp}-100)}}{c}\); [\(\eta\)] = K [M\(_{\eta}\)]\(^{a}\) (K = 6.77×10\(^{-2}\), a = 0.67)

A series of polymerization experiments in different polymerization conditions were showed in Table 2. Comparing from No.1 to No.5, the catalytic activity improved as Al/Ni increases. It was because that as the number of active centers grew, the impact probability would be increased to improve the activity. Comparing No.3 with No.6, it was found that using C1 produced oligomer as the main product, while C2 produced polyethylene as the main product. Comparing No.1, No.7 with No.8, it could be seen that the catalytic activity increased firstly, and then decreased with the temperature growing higher. As it was shown in the table 2, the catalytic activity was significantly affected by ethylene pressure. Besides, as the polymerization time prolonged, the catalytic activity kept stable as well. Under most conditions, the catalytic activity was about 1.7×10\(^{6}\) g.mol\(^{-1}\)Ni·h\(^{-1}\), and the M\(_{\eta}\) approached 10\(^{5}\).
According to the temperature-time figure, three minutes before the polymerization, if C1 was adopted, the temperature of autoclave experienced obvious change, reaching approximately at 70°C in a quick period. When it came to C2, the change of temperature was not obvious. Because of the high temperature, C1 lost its activity and the temperature dropped immediately. While C2 kept active and the temperature remained the same. It reflects that C2 has a relatively stable structure which is mild to the polymerization process.

Figure 4 The temperature-time rate curve of ethylene polymerization

6. Summary
One nickel complex C1 [ArN=C(CH₃)-C(CH₃)=NAr]NiBr₂ (Ar = C₆H₅) and one nickel coordination polymer C2 [N-N=C(CH₃)-C(CH₃)=NAr]=,m[NiBr₂]n (Ar=C₆H₅, m<n) were synthetized. Then they were used to facilitate polymerization. The effects of polymerization conditions, such as ethylene pressure, temperature and time on ethylene polymerization were investigated. The results showed that the polymerization which used C1 produced oligomer while C2 produced polyethylene, whose molecule weight reached 10⁵. Also, the catalytic activity could reach 10⁶ g PE/(molNi·h). A series of experiments showed that C2 had a satisfactory heat resistance and stable structure.

References
[1] S. D. Ittel, L. K. Johnson, M. Brookhart, Late-metal catalysts for ethylene homo- and copolymerization, Chem. Rev. 100 (2000) 1169-1203.
[2] Feng K, Zuniga C, Zhang Y D, Kim D, Barlow S, Marder S R, Bredas J L, Weck M. Macromolecules, 2009, 42(18):6855-6864
[3] Peifer, B.; Alt, H. G.; Welch, M. B.; Palackal, S. J. U.S. Patent 5,473,020, 1995; Chem. Abstr. 1996, 124, 147158.
[4] Raspolli Galletti, A. M.; Geri, G.; Sbrana, G.; Marchionna, M.; Ferrarini, P. J. Mol. Catal., Chem. 1996, 111, 273.
[5] Wu, Shihua, Xie, Qinxing, Zhu, Changying, Developments of metal coordination polymers. Polymer Materials Science and Engineering, 2000, 16(3) 1-5