BMJ Open

Associations between state scope of practice laws and US physician assistant wages from 1997 to 2017: a longitudinal analysis
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ABSTRACT

Objective The purpose of this study is to determine whether, and to what degree, variations in physician assistant (PA) state scope of practice (SOP) laws across states are associated with (1) PA median wage over time and (2) if a specific SOP key element has greater impact on PA median wage than others. We hypothesise that expanded SOP laws will be associated with higher PA wage.

Design Longitudinal analysis from 1997 to 2017.

Setting Fifty states and the District of Columbia (US capital region).

Participants Employed PAs from 1997 to 2017.

Methods Four national data sets were combined to allow for longitudinal analysis of state-level annual PA wage and state SOP laws. We used linear regression models to explore the associations of SOP elements with PA wage in 5-year intervals and individual growth models to assess the change in PA annual wage over the study period.

Results There was a 220% increase in weighted PA annual wage over two decades. There was a positive linear correlation between annual wage and age in 2012 and 2017 (r=0.52, p<0.01; r=0.29, p=0.04, respectively). The adjusted R² for individual SOP elements in the selected years were all small (range: 0.0–0.29), with no appreciable pattern across time for any SOP element. In 1997, several SOP laws show association with median wage but this impact disappears over time.

Conclusions PA median wage has risen over twofold in the past two decades, with the rise in PA wage mainly explained by time and provider age. In 1997 some SOP elements were associated with increased average wage; however, the impact of this increase diminished over time in all such instances. As the PA profession moves towards greater autonomy impacts wage.

INTRODUCTION

Despite the increase in supply of physician assistants (PAs) over the last 20 years, PA salaries have continued to rise. 1 2 The number of employed PAs has risen from 13500 in 1992 to 140000 in 2019. 1 2 4 Meanwhile, the median salary has continued to rise to a reported $105000 in 2019. 2 Nonetheless, demand remains strong, with an estimated five job postings per PA graduate. 1 Due to this demand, a survey of 26 academic medical centres reported a range of 3.5–63 weeks to fill an open PA position. 6

This high employer demand continues to draw large numbers of students to the PA profession, with a reported 2.95 applicants per 1 PA programme seat. 7 An analysis of the American Academy of Physician Assistants (AAPA) student surveys indicated that on entering PA school, a majority of students expect to amass student loan debt of between $75000 and $124999 and earn salaries between $80000 and $89999. 8 Prior research on PA wages indicates that wage is impacted by gender, specialty, geographical region of practice, years of practice, cost of living, local economy and population density. 2 An analysis by Morgan et al demonstrated that a higher ratio of PAs to medical doctors was also correlated with higher PA salaries, suggesting that restrictions around practice ratios may impact wages. Higher PA

Strengths and limitations of this study

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) provided census data for all employed physician assistants (PAs) from 1997 to 2017 for all 50 states and the District of Columbia.

Comprehensive state legislative scope of practice data from the American Academy of Physician Assistants were cross-referenced and verified for each state and each year and then combined with the annual wage data from the BLS.

This is the first study to analyse two decades of national PA wage data for all 50 states and the District of Columbia longitudinally to describe the effect of state scope of practice laws on wage.

The analysis did not include other possible confounding variables that may impact PA wage, including PA specialty, physician or nurse practitioner employment numbers, or state and federal healthcare legislative policies.
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salaries have also been correlated with larger number of PAs employed in high-paid specialties. Together, these prior studies suggest that scope of practice (SOP) may be associated with PA wages; however, this relationship remains largely unexplored.

In the USA, PA SOP is determined at the state level and includes six key elements. The AAPA Modern PA Practice Act includes the following SOP elements: licensure as a regulatory term, full prescriptive authority, SOP determined at the practice level, adaptable collaboration requirements, cosignature requirements determined at the practice level and number of PAs a physician may collaborate with determined at the practice level. Prior research has shown that as of 2017 the majority of PAs work in states with permissive SOP regulations, defined as five to six of these six key elements. With this success, the AAPA is now working to expand practice autonomy further through Optimal Team Practice (OTP). The principles of OTP include eliminating a legal requirement for a specific relationship with a physician, creating a separate majority-PA board to regulate PAs and authorising PAs to directly bill for services.

Prior research has demonstrated that there is an inverse relationship between the supply of PAs and nurse practitioners (NPs) and the restrictiveness of SOP laws. An analysis of the 2018 AAPA Salary Report data found a statistically significant difference in PA salary in states that passed the following three SOP key elements: scope determined at practice site, adaptable supervision requirements and no chart cosignature requirement, whereas previous analysis of the impact of SOP laws from 1994 to 2005 showed no impact on PA wage. Over the past two decades there has been significant legislative work at the state level, but there remains wide variation in PA SOP laws in the USA, ranging from restrictive to permissive. The purpose of this study is to determine whether, and to what degree, variations in PA state SOP laws across states are associated with (1) PA median wage over time and (2) if a specific SOP key element has greater impact on PA median wage than others. We hypothesise that expanded PA SOP will be associated with higher PA wage.

METHODS
Data
Data were obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), AAPA census and the AAPA database on PA legislative history. Census data were obtained from the US Census Bureau to estimate PA to population ratio by state. These four data sets were linked to allow for evaluation of annual PA demographics, SOP laws by state and wage data from all 50 US states and the District of Columbia during the 21-year period from 1997 to 2017. The combined state/year data set included the number of employed PAs and the median wage in each state annually from the BLS, PA demographics from the AAPA census, state SOP laws from the AAPA legislative history, and PA to population ratio by state from the US Census Bureau.

Independent variable, dependent variable and covariates
Scope of practice (independent variable)
The AAPA established the ideal PA practice act which includes the six key elements of a modern PA practice: (1) licensure as a regulatory term, (2) full prescriptive authority, (3) SOP determined at the practice level, (4) adaptable collaboration requirements, (5) cosignature requirements determined at the practice level and (6) number of PAs a physician may collaborate with determined at the practice level. Data compiled by the AAPA legislative staff were obtained from the AAPA and included which of these six key elements were approved in each state by year. From this, the total number of key elements in a given state in a given year was calculated. There were no missing data for the number of key elements.

Annual wage estimates (dependent variable)
In the BLS Occupational Employment Statistics (OES) survey, annual wage estimates are defined as straight-time, gross pay, exclusive of premium pay. Included in the collection of OES wage data are base rate, cost of living allowances, guaranteed pay, hazardous-duty pay, incentive pay including commissions and production bonuses, on-call pay, and tips. Excluded from the wage data are back pay, jury duty pay, overtime pay, severance pay, shift differentials, non-production bonuses and tuition reimbursements.

Covariates
The AAPA census provided mean age and per cent female gender for each state by year. To adjust for inflation over years 1997–2017, the US consumer price index (CPI) per cent change was used.

Statistical analysis
We used descriptive statistics to summarise PA and state demographics. We conducted multiple linear regression models to explore the associations of SOP elements with PA wage change in the selected years 1997, 2002, 2007, 2012 and 2017. Multiple linear regression models were adjusted for age and per cent female PA and weighted for PA population size in each state. To assess the change in PA annual wage over years 1997–2017, individual growth analyses were applied at the level of the state to examine the impact of the presence or absence of a key element on wage growth over time. All growth models were adjusted for year and the time-varying covariates of mean PA age, per cent female PAs within the state and the US CPI. As in our linear regression models, our individual growth models were additionally weighted for PA population size in each state.
Missing data
State-level missing values on the time-varying variables of PA annual wage (n=9, 0.8%), number of employed PAs (n=28, 2.6%), and PA age and per cent female PAs (n=204, 19% per variable) were imputed with the average of the state’s last known and next known observations. In case of two missing values in a row (ie, PA age and per cent female PAs in years 2011 and 2012 for all states and the District of Columbia), the last observation carried forward (LOCF) and the next observation carried backward (NOCB) techniques were used, respectively. In two cases of three missing values in a row for employed PAs (Hawaii and Arkansas), after replacing the LOCF and NOCB for the first and third missing

| Table 1 | Physician assistant and state demographics and median wage in 1997, 2002, 2007, 2012 and 2017 |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Physician assistants’ demographics** |                                                                                             |
| Age*    |                                                                                             |
| Mean (SD) | 40.9 (1.8) | 41.2 (1.8) | 39.7 (2.1) | 40.8 (2.1) | 39.7 (2.1) |
| Median (IQR) | 41 (3) | 41 (2) | 41 (3) | 41 (3) | 40 (2) |
| Minimum (state) | 36 (NJ) | 37 (NJ) | 37 (NJ) | 34 (DC) | 33 (AR) |
| Maximum (state) | 46 (AR) | 48 (NM) | 47 (AK, NM) | 47 (WY) | 47 (WY) |
| Per cent female* |                                                                                             |
| Mean (SD) | 48.2 (8.6) | 58.4 (7.0) | 63.9 (6.0) | 67.0 (6.0) | 69.2 (5.8) |
| Median (IQR) | 49 (11) | 60 (11) | 64 (7) | 68 (6) | 69 (6) |
| Minimum (state) | 20 (MS) | 18 (MS) | 38 (UT) | 40 (WY) | 44 (HI) |
| Maximum (state) | 75 (ND) | 75 (ND) | 79 (ND) | 77 (ND) | 78 (IL, PA, WI) |
| **Annual wage (US$)*‡†** |                                                                                             |
| Mean (SD) | 44921 (8315) | 63546 (9545) | 77843 (7231) | 92451 (7579) | 104760 (7886) |
| Median (IQR) | 47060 (12250) | 67520 (11270) | 79240 (5560) | 92150 (10800) | 103480 (12150) |
| Minimum (state, number of scope of practice laws) | 22700 (AR, 1) | 37490 (MS, 1) | 42160 (MS, 2) | 50200 (MS, 2) | 70190 (MS, 2) |
| Maximum (state, number of scope of practice laws) | 77210 (DE, 3) | 78900 (AR, 4) | 91010 (CT, 2) | 112250 (RI, 6) | 120200 (WA, 3) |

**State demographics**

| Population density/square mile‡ |                                                                                             |
| Mean (SD) | 245.4 (470.9) | 253.4 (469.5) | 257.1 (462.2) | 266.3 (513.3) | 274.2 (565.7) |
| Median (IQR) | 173.5 (195.8) | 177.2 (195.8) | 187.2 (189.8) | 200.1 (185.3) | 210.8 (177.3) |
| Minimum (state) | 1.1 (AK) | 1.1 (AK) | 1.2 (AK) | 1.3 (AK) | 1.3 (AK) |
| Maximum (state) | 9307.2 (DC) | 9396.0 (DC) | 9416.5 (DC) | 10408.6 (DC) | 11391.9 (DC) |

| Number of scope of practice laws§ |                                                                                             |
| Mean (SD) | 2.2 (1.4) | 2.6 (1.4) | 2.8 (1.4) | 3.3 (1.4) | 3.7 (1.4) |
| Median (IQR) | 2 (2) | 2 (2) | 3 (2) | 3 (2) | 4 (2) |
| Minimum (state) | 0 (MS, NV, OH, PA, SC, VA, WI) | 0 (OH, PA) | 0 (OH) | 0 (OH) | 1 (AL, IA, SC) |
| Maximum (state) | 5 (ME, NC) | 6 (RI) | 6 (NM, RI) | 6 (ND, NM, RI) | 6 (ND, NM, MA, MI, MN, RI) |

| Physician assistant ratio/100 000 population¶ |                                                                                             |
| Mean (SD) | 24.7 (7.6) | 26.2 (11.4) | 26.2 (10.8) | 31.9 (12.6) | 38.5 (14.0) |
| Median (IQR) | 23.4 (9.5) | 25.5 (19.8) | 23.5 (14.7) | 32.9 (21.9) | 33.2 (20.5) |
| Minimum (state) | 8.8 (RI) | 3.2 (MS) | 4.6 (AR) | 4.4 (MS) | 8.4 (MS) |
| Maximum (state) | 47.9 (DE) | 52.6 (SC) | 80.1 (DC) | 75.3 (AK) | 72.0 (DC) |

*Weighted by states’ physician assistant population.
†See online supplemental table 1 for the linear correlation of physician assistant annual wage with age and per cent female physician assistant in the respective year.
‡Densities of 50 states + DC per square mile, weighted by the population of states and DC (state population in year/state area in square mile).
§Physician assistant scope of practice laws are the six key elements of the Modern PA Practice Act.13
¶(Employed physician assistant in year/state population in that year)×100 000, weighted by states’ physician assistant population.
AK, Alaska; AL, Alabama; AR, Arkansas; CT, Connecticut; DC, District of Columbia; DE, Delaware; HI, Hawaii; IA, Iowa; IL, Illinois; MA, Massachusetts; ME, Maine; MI, Michigan; MN, Minnesota; MS, Mississippi; NC, North Carolina; ND, North Dakota; NJ, New Jersey; NM, New Mexico; NV, Nevada; OH, Ohio; PA, Pennsylvania; RI, Rhode Island; SC, South Carolina; UT, Utah; VA, Virginia; WA, Washington; WI, Wisconsin; WY, Wyoming.
values, respectively, the average of these replaced values was used for the middle (second) missing value.

All analyses were conducted using SAS V.9.4.

Patient and public involvement statement
Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

RESULTS
We analysed 1071 PA annual wage records from 50 states and the District of Columbia over 21 years. Table 1 summarises the cross-sectional demographics of US employed PAs and the state demographics for the selected years 1997, 2002, 2007, 2012 and 2017 (5-year intervals). Overall, weighted PA annual wage increased steadily, with the minimum wage earned seen in Mississippi for all years measured except 1997. The median age of the sampled PAs was stable across time at between 40 and 41 years. The median per cent of female PAs showed a constant increase over the study time frame, growing from 49% in 1997 to 69% in 2017. There was a positive linear correlation between annual wage and age in 2012 and 2017 (r=0.52, p<0.01; r=0.29, p=0.04, respectively). The negative linear correlation between annual wage and per cent female PAs was only statistically significant in 2012 (r=−0.41, p<0.01) (online supplemental table 1).

The weighted PA median ratio per 100000 population increased almost monotonically from 23.4 PAs per 100000 population in 1997 to 33.2 PAs in 2007; adaptable collaboration in 2017), there is no overall pattern within any SOP element over time. The same general pattern was observed in our cross-sectional models controlling for all six policies. Likewise, the adjusted R2 in regression models for individual SOP elements in the selected years were all small (range: 0.0–0.29), with no appreciable pattern across time for any SOP element (table 1).

Table 3 presents the results of our individual growth models showing the associations between the presence of individual SOP elements and PA wage over time. Model 3 shows that states with full prescriptive authority in 1997 had a predicted annual wage of $5227 (95% CI $2784 to $7670) higher than in states without this law. In the individual model of full prescriptive authority (model 3), each year since 1997 was associated with a mean wage growth of $3047; however, the wage growth over time among states with full prescriptive authority grew $309 less (95% CI $1946 to $7066) and $3023 (95% CI $278 to $5769) compared with states without this SOP element. A similar pattern was observed in model 6, where SOP at the practice level in 1997 had wages $3134 (95% CI $431 to $5837) higher than in states without this SOP element. In the adjusted full model 7, $3134 decreased to $3023 (95% CI $278 to $5769). In the individual model of SOP at practice level (model 6), each year since 1997 was associated with a mean wage growth of $3096, but wage growth was $253 less (95% CI $449 to $56) among these states compared with those without SOP at the practice level. Interestingly, states with the adaptable collaboration law had no significant difference in wage in 1997 (p=0.6483), but again saw a decrease of $285 (95% CI $484 to $864) in wage growth per year compared with states without this law. When modelling all SOP elements simultaneously (model 7), prescriptive authority and SOP at practice level in 1997 predicted an annual wage of $4396 (95% CI $1946 to $7066) and $3023 (95% CI $278 to $5769) higher in states without this law, respectively. States with the cosignature law had no significant difference in wage in 1997 (p=0.6645), but saw a decrease of $268 (95% CI $1946 to $7066).
### Table 2  Cross-sectional associations between presence of individual scope of practice laws and physician assistants’ annual wage (US$) in 1997, 2002, 2007, 2012 and 2017†

| SOP laws | 1997     | 2002     | 2007     | 2012     | 2017     |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| **PAs’ annual wage** |          |          |          |          |          |
| Licensure |          |          |          |          |          |
| β (number of states) | $172 (25) | −$4878 (42) | −$7007 (45) | −$4600 (48) | N/A (51) |
| 95% CI     | −4229 to 4573 | −11 271 to 1515 | −11 978 to −2036** | −10 054 to 854 |          |
| Adjusted R² | 0.1471 | 0.0879 | 0.1412 | 0.2755 |          |
| PA to physician collaboration ratio |          |          |          |          |          |
| β (number of states) | −$243 (9) | $2572 (8) | −$5212 (8) | −$5828 (9) | −$2413 (12) |
| 95% CI     | −7367 to 6881 | −7866 to 13 010 | −12 790 to 2365 | −12 351 to 695 | −8196 to 3370 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.1471 | 0.0472 | 0.0336 | 0.2805 | 0.0382 |
| Full prescriptive authority |          |          |          |          |          |
| β (number of states) | $5940 (13) | $3310 (20) | −$2991 (26) | −$1833 (31) | $2699 (33) |
| 95% CI     | −139 to 12 019 | −2486 to 9107 | −7104 to 1122 | −5730 to 2064 | −1737 to 7135 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.2118 | 0.0683 | 0.0380 | 0.2455 | 0.0539 |
| Adaptable collaboration |          |          |          |          |          |
| β (number of states) | $3865 (18) | $7290 (17) | $1581 (18) | $3081 (22) | $4497 (28) |
| 95% CI     | −626 to 8356 | 2125 to 12 455** | −2842 to 6004 | −600 to 6763 | 36 to 8957* |
| Adjusted R² | 0.1982 | 0.1824 | 0.0052 | 0.2748 | 0.1023 |
| Cosignature |          |          |          |          |          |
| β (number of states) | −$3520 (20) | −$8187 (21) | −$133 (22) | −$211 (24) | $1438 (31) |
| 95% CI     | −8510 to 1471 | −13 582 to −2792** | −4441 to 4175 | −4008 to 3585 | −3175 to 6051 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.1821 | 0.2007 | −0.0057 | 0.2313 | 0.0319 |
| SOP at practice level |          |          |          |          |          |
| β (number of states) | $4141 (25) | −$1152 (26) | $1444 (26) | $2850 (32) | $3714 (36) |
| 95% CI     | −155 to 8436 | −6541 to 4238 | −2681 to 5568 | −1019 to 6720 | −1534 to 8963 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.2102 | 0.0459 | 0.0047 | 0.2654 | 0.0641 |
| Full model (all six policies) |          |          |          |          |          |
| β licensure | −$705 | −$583 | −$8320 | −$4060 | N/A |
| 95% CI     | −4967 to 3556 | −6796 to 5630 | −14 567 to −2073* | −9853 to 1733 | N/A |
| β PA to physician collaboration ratio | −$908 | −$558 | −$4047 | −$5688 | −$5653 |
| 95% CI     | −8028 to 6211 | −10 503 to 9387 | −12 771 to 4677 | −12 897 to 1521 | −11 757 to 451 |
| β full prescriptive authority | $4465 | $3447 | −$1984 | −$1867 | $5802 |
| 95% CI     | −1928 to 10 858 | −1921 to 8815 | −6124 to 2156 | −5950 to 2216 | 913 to 10 692* |
| β adaptable collaboration | $3228 | $6846 | −$1902 | $657 | $4748 |
| 95% CI     | −1356 to 7813 | 1409 to 12 284* | −6837 to 3033 | −3693 to 5007 | −57 to 9553 |
| β cosignature | −$3362 | −$8812 | −$1779 | −$85 | −$919 |
| 95% CI     | −8329 to 1605 | −13 937 to −3687** | −6747 to 3189 | −4151 to 3981 | −5732 to 3893 |
| β SOP at practice level | $2514 | −$1521 | $1374 | $2942 | $3718 |
| 95% CI     | −2017 to 7046 | −6387 to 3324 | −3125 to 5872 | −1383 to 7268 | −1845 to 9282 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.228 | 0.3074 | 0.1341 | 0.304 | 0.1699 |

N/A means not applicable, as all states and the District of Columbia in year 2017 observed licensure.

*0.01<P<0.05, **P<0.01.

†Models were adjusted for PA mean age and per cent female PA. Weighted by PA number.

PA, physician assistant; SOP, scope of practice.
### Table 3  
Adjusted associations between mean US physician assistant annual wage (US$) growth and presence of scope of practice laws over time (1997–2017)†

| Models                          | Parameter estimate | SE   | Lower 95% CI       | Upper 95% CI       | P value  |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| **Model 1**                    |                    |      |                    |                    |          |
| Intercept (year 1997)          | 45 356             | 1502 | 42 338             | 48 374             | <0.0001  |
| Licensure                      | 669                | 1085 | −1 461             | 2 798              | 0.538    |
| Year                           | 3079               | 103  | 2 871              | 3 287              | <0.0001  |
| CPI                            | 138                | 162  | −1 80              | 4 57               | 0.3935   |
| Mean age                       | 524                | 150  | 2 29               | 8 19               | 0.0005   |
| Per cent female                | 14                 | 15   | −1 16              | 4 43               | 0.3609   |
| Licensure×year                 | −134               | 93   | −3 18              | 4 49               | 0.1507   |
| **Model 2**                    |                    |      |                    |                    |          |
| Intercept (year 1997)          | 45734              | 1360 | 43 003             | 48 465             | <0.0001  |
| PA to physician collaboration ratio | 378                 | 1963 | −3 474             | 4 230              | 0.8472   |
| Year                           | 2951               | 76   | 2 799              | 3 103              | <0.0001  |
| CPI                            | 159                | 161  | −1 58              | 4 76               | 0.3263   |
| Mean age                       | 580                | 147  | 2 92               | 8 68               | <0.0001  |
| Per cent female                | 9                  | 15   | −2 20              | 3 38               | 0.5343   |
| Ratio×year                     | 71                 | 130  | −1 85              | 3 27               | 0.5867   |
| **Model 3**                    |                    |      |                    |                    |          |
| Intercept (year 1997)          | 44 165             | 1316 | 41 522             | 46 807             | <0.0001  |
| Full prescriptive authority    | 5227               | 1245 | 2 784              | 7 670              | <0.0001  |
| Year                           | 3047               | 87   | 2 873              | 3 222              | <0.0001  |
| CPI                            | 157                | 162  | −1 61              | 4 76               | 0.3318   |
| Mean age                       | 477                | 147  | 1 88               | 7 65               | 0.0012   |
| Per cent female                | 9                  | 15   | −1 13              | 4 46               | 0.2616   |
| Prescription×year              | −309               | 104  | −5 13              | −1 105             | 0.003    |
| **Model 4**                    |                    |      |                    |                    |          |
| Intercept (year 1997)          | 45 452             | 1437 | 42 565             | 48 339             | <0.0001  |
| Adaptable collaboration        | 719                | 1575 | −2 373             | 3 810              | 0.6483   |
| Year                           | 3090               | 87   | 2 916              | 3 264              | <0.0001  |
| CPI                            | 151                | 159  | −1 61              | 4 63               | 0.3435   |
| Mean age                       | 466                | 148  | 1 76               | 7 55               | 0.0017   |
| Per cent female                | 13                 | 15   | −1 16              | 4 42               | 0.3725   |
| Collaboration×year             | −285               | 101  | −4 84              | −8 6               | 0.005    |
| **Model 5**                    |                    |      |                    |                    |          |
| Intercept (year 1997)          | 45 222             | 1431 | 42 348             | 48 095             | <0.0001  |
| Cosignature                    | 1563               | 1429 | −1 240             | 4 367              | 0.2741   |
| Year                           | 3138               | 87   | 2 963              | 3 313              | <0.0001  |
| CPI                            | 99                 | 159  | −2 214             | 4 12               | 0.5363   |
| Mean age                       | 445                | 150  | 1 50               | 7 39               | 0.0031   |
| Per cent female                | 11                 | 15   | −1 17              | 4 40               | 0.4333   |
| Cosignature×year               | −356               | 99   | −5 51              | −1 62              | 0.0003   |
| **Model 6**                    |                    |      |                    |                    |          |
| Intercept (year 1997)          | 44 233             | 1473 | 41 275             | 47 191             | <0.0001  |
| SOP at practice level          | 3134               | 1377 | 4 31               | 5 837              | 0.0231   |
| Year                           | 3096               | 90   | 2 914              | 3 277              | <0.0001  |
| CPI                            | 167                | 161  | −1 49              | 4 84               | 0.2991   |
| Mean age                       | 533                | 148  | 2 44               | 8 23               | 0.0003   |
| Per cent female                | 12                 | 15   | −1 17              | 4 41               | 0.4287   |
| SOP×year                       | −253               | 100  | −4 49              | −5 56              | 0.0119   |

Continued
CI ($-477 to $-60) in wage growth per year compared with states without this law. These changes in model 7, which contained all six elements, relative to models 1–6, which each contained a single element, may reflect either confounding of the associations between individual SOP elements and wage by other SOP elements, or variance inflation due to multicollinearity.

**DISCUSSION**

Over the 20-year study period, PA wages increased 2.2-fold, with the change in wage primarily explained by time and not specific state SOP laws. It is clear that individual SOP laws are associated with increased wage, particularly early in our study period, but the impact of these SOP elements changed over time. For example, full prescriptive authority was associated with a $5227 higher wage in 1997, but with a negative wage growth of $309 for each subsequent year of the study. This is also seen with SOP at practice level, which was associated with a $3134 higher wage in 1997, but a $253 lower wage growth for each subsequent year of the study. Together, this indicates that in the early period of this study, some SOP elements were associated with increased average wage; however, the impact of this increase diminished over time in all such instances. This suggests that the impact of these SOP elements on wage decreased over time.

The findings of this study support previous work by Perry12 showing an increase in PA SOP did not increase wage. Yet previous research indicates that expanded SOP for NPs does indeed increase wage, but only when specifically related to independence.12 29 As PAs are able to provide a wider breadth of care as their SOP increases, there is arguably a benefit to society through an increase in access to care; however, this does not appear to translate into individual wage growth per se. As the majority of states have permissive SOP laws and with this realisation, it is not surprising that the constituents of AAPA have pressed forward to expand practice autonomy further through OTP.22 30 The tenets of OTP will move the PA profession closer to independent practice, similar to NPs. Future research should then investigate if this expansion of SOP impacts PA wage as has been observed for NPs.

Our study only found a negative linear correlation between annual wage and per cent female PAs in 2012, but this was non-significant in our multivariable growth models. This may indicate that the increase in the percentage of female workforce is not impacting annual wage growth. These findings juxtapose the other research that notes a $11 000 reported difference in wage by female PAs and a $12 859 difference by female NPs.13 31 Future research is needed to explore the influence of feminisation of the PA profession on salary growth.

As the PA profession has been anointed the ‘Best Job in America’ by the US News and World Report for 2021, the BLS projects a 31% growth in employment over the next 10 years.32 33 This growth projection is due to the expected increase in demand for healthcare services and the ability to train PAs faster than physicians. With a projected shortage of 21 400–55 200 primary care physicians by 2033, PAs are often cited as one solution to meet this demand.34 Research indicates that the supply of PAs is impacted by SOP laws, resulting
in an increase in PAs per capita in states with permissive regulations.22 At the same time, the supply of PAs willing to work in primary care is likely restricted by the decreased earnings.9 Our study did not delineate specialty which directly impacts PA wage. So, as our country continues to grapple with solutions to increase access to primary care, future research needs to better understand the levers that influence PAs’ earnings, including specialty care.

This study has a number of important limitations. First, we analysed aggregate data at the state level; such ecological analyses are inherently limited and preclude drawing causal conclusions. Second, our analysis does not include other possible confounding variables that may impact PA wage, including specialty area of clinical employment, physician or NP employment numbers, or state and federal healthcare legislative policies. Third, we were unable to account for lag time in terms of when the SOP laws were passed and the impact on wage. Fourth, the AAPA data on PA demographics are from a survey and the response rate ranged from 10% to 35% annually, which may lead to a sampling bias towards or away from the null. These limitations are counterbalanced by a number of important strengths, including the robust SOP data provided by the AAPA that were cross-referenced and verified for each state and each year combined with annual wage data from the BLS.

CONCLUSIONS
PA median wage has risen 220% in the past two decades. At the same time, there has been a significant expansion of state SOP laws such that the majority of PAs today work in states with permissive regulations. This rise in PA wage is mainly explained by time and the age of providers, with minimal explanation by state SOP laws. As the PA profession moves towards OTP, future research should examine if this move towards greater autonomy impacts wage, as occurred in NPs.
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