Characterizing VIP Neurons in the Barrel Cortex of VIPcre/tdTomato Mice Reveals Layer-Specific Differences
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Abstract

Neocortical GABAergic interneurons have a profound impact on cortical circuitry and its information processing capacity. Distinct subgroups of inhibitory interneurons can be distinguished by molecular markers, such as parvalbumin, somatostatin, and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP). Among these, VIP-expressing interneurons sparked a substantial interest since these neurons seem to operate disinhibitory circuit motifs found in all major neocortical areas. Several of these recent studies used transgenic Vip-ires-cre mice to specifically target the population of VIP-expressing interneurons. This makes it necessary to elucidate in detail the sensitivity and specificity of Cre expression for VIP neurons in these animals. Thus, we quantitatively compared endogenous tdTomato with Vip fluorescence in situ hybridization and αVIP immunohistochemistry in the barrel cortex of VIPcre/tdTomato mice in a layer-specific manner. We show that VIPcre/tdTomato mice are highly sensitive and specific for the entire population of VIP-expressing neurons. In the barrel cortex, approximately 13% of all GABAergic neurons are VIP expressing. Most VIP neurons are found in layer II/III (∼60%), whereas approximately 40% are found in the other layers of the barrel cortex. Layer II/III VIP neurons are significantly different from VIP neurons in layers IV–VI in several morphological and membrane properties, which suggest layer-dependent differences in functionality.
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Introduction

Cortical interneurons play an important role in controlling and shaping excitation in cortical circuits (McBain and Fisahn 2001; Pouille and Scanziani 2001; Wehr and Zador 2003; Klausberger and Somogyi 2008; Haider and McCormick 2009). They are heterogeneous in morphology and electrophysiological characteristics as well as molecular profiles, which proved to be a major obstacle in past classification attempts (Cauli et al. 2000; Markram et al. 2004; Ascoli et al. 2008; DeFelice et al. 2013). Today, however, the population of inhibitory interneurons is generally viewed as subgroups based on the distinct expression of certain proteins. These nonoverlapping subgroups are parvalbumin (PV), somatostatin (SOM), and serotonin receptor 3a (5HT3aR)–expressing inhibitory interneurons. Roughly 30% of 5HT3aR interneurons also express vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP; Lee et al. 2010; Rudy et al. 2011; Zeisel et al. 2015).
Cortical VIP neurons are key elements in neurovascular coupling (Cauli et al. 2004) and in the regulation of neuronal energy metabolism (Magistretti et al. 1998). In terms of cortical circuitry, it has been repeatedly reported that VIP neurons preferentially target several other types of inhibitory interneurons (Staiger et al. 2004b; David et al. 2007; Pfeffer et al. 2013), thus mediating disinhibition by releasing excitatory principal neurons from inhibition. The disinhibitory circuit motif involving the VIP-to-SOM connectivity has now been functionally characterized in the visual (Fu et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2014), the auditory (Pi et al. 2013), and the primary somatosensory (barrel) cortex (Lee et al. 2013).

We crossed homozygous Vip-ires-cre knock-in mice (Taniguchi et al. 2011) with tdTomato mice for VIP neurons, but also present a substantial new utilization of Cre-dependent reporter expression (Pompeiano et al. 2011). Vip-ires-cre mice have been generated using a Cre-dependent reporter system (Flanagan et al. 2004). Animals were sacrificed for electrophysiological experiments at 36 days old for electrophysiological experiments) heterozygous for Vipcre/tdTomato, from the breeding facility of the University Medical Center Göttingen (Göttingen, Germany), were used in this study. All experimental procedures were performed in accordance with German laws on animal research (TierSchG und TierSchVersV 2013).

**Fixation and Tissue Processing**

The perfusion was done transcardially with 10% sucrose, followed by 150 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.4. Brains were dissected out of the skull and postfixed for 2 h. Forebrain blocks for immunostaining were sectioned in the coronal plane on a vibratome (VT 1200S, Leica, Wetlar, Germany) at 40 μm thickness. Brains for FISH underwent cryoprotection and were coronally sectioned with a cryostat (Cryo-Star HM560 MW, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Walldorf, Germany) at 40 μm thickness.

**Immunohistochemistry**

The sections were rinsed in Tris buffer (TB), 0.05 M Tris-buffered saline (TBS), and TBS containing 0.5% normal goat serum (in TBST) for 90 min. The sections were then incubated for 48–72 h at 4 °C with one of the following primary ABs: rabbit-α-VIP 43841 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) 1:1000, rabbit-α-VIP ImmunoStar 722001/2007 (ImmunoStar, Dietzenbach, Germany) 1:2000, rabbit-α-PV 25 (Swant, Marly, Switzerland) 1:5000, or rabbit-α-SOM T-4103 (Bachem, Bubendorf, Switzerland) 1:5000. The sections were subsequently rinsed in TBST (4 × 15 min) and then incubated in Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated AB raised against rabbit IgG (goat anti-rabbit, 1:500, Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany) at room temperature (RT). After several washes with TBST, the sections were incubated with DAPI (1:1000, Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany) and biocytin filling in order to obtain their electrophysiological and morphological characteristics.

**Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization**

FISH was performed with cRNA probes. The probes were generated from custom-manufactured plasmids (vector backbone: pgMT easy, Promega or pCR-Blunt II-TOPO, Invitrogen) containing cDNA inserts of the respective genes. Primer for DNA inserts: Gad1 (320 bp) forward primer (FP): GGCACGACTGTTTACGGAGC, reverse primer (RP): GCCCTGTCGCCCGGTGTCA; Slc17a7 (also known as vGlut1) 728 bp; 171 bp; Allen Brain Atlas Riboprobe ID: RP_040310_01_B09) FP: CAGAGCGGGAGGAGGAGTAGA; RP: TTCCTCTA GAAAGCCTGGG, (nested 296 bp) FPnested: GCTGGCAGTGACGAGA GTCAATGA, RNested: TGAGAAGGAGAAGGGGCTG; Vip (527 bp; Allen Brain Atlas Riboprobe ID: RP_070116_02_E09) FP: CCTGCGCA TCTGCTATCCTTC, RP: ATTCTCTGATTTCCAGCCTGGC, (nested 367 bp) FPnested: CTTGTTCTCTCATGGCTTG; RP: GCTTTCGAGCGGGGTAGTAG; Poxib (434 bp) FP: AGCGCAAGATTTGAC TTGAA, RP: TTCCTTCCGGAAAAAGG CTGG; Sst (514 bp; Allen Brain Atlas Riboprobe ID: RP_081204_01_B09) FP: ACCTGAGTGGTGGGG TGTAAG, RP: TCTCCTTGTGGTTGGGAAGG; Sst (514 bp; Allen Brain Atlas Riboprobe ID: RP_081204_01_A03) FP: ACCTGAGTGGTGGGG TGTAAG, RP: TCTCCTTGTGGTTGGGAAGG;}

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

We crossed homozygous Vip-ires-cre (Vip^{tm1(cre)Zjh} The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, USA) mice with homozygous A19 mice (Madisen et al. 2010; B6.Cg-Gt(Rosa)26Sor^{tm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze}J, The Jackson Laboratory). Offspring (20–30 weeks old for IHC and FISH; 24–36 days old for electrophysiological experiments) heterozygous for Vipcre/tdTomato, from the breeding facility of the University Medical Center Göttingen (Göttingen, Germany), were used in this study. All experimental procedures were performed in accordance with German laws on animal research (TierSchG und TierSchVersV 2013).
K (20 μg/mL, Roche) in Tris–HCl/EDTA (50 mM/5 mM, pH 8), and finally postfixed in 4% PFA. Between all steps, sections were rinsed in PBS. After a treatment with 0.25% acetic anhydride (2.4/μL per mL 0.1 M triethanolamine/HC1) for 10 min, sections were rinsed twice in 2× standard saline citrate (1× SSC: 0.15 M NaCl, 0.015 M sodium citrate, pH 7.0) and pretreated for 15 min in hybridization buffer (HB; 50% formamide, 4× SSC, 250 μg/mL of denaturated salmon sperm DNA, 100 μg/mL of rRNA, 5% dextran sulfate, and 1% Denhardt’s solution) diluted 1:1 with 2× SSC. The procedure was followed by 1 h of prehybridization at 55 °C in pure HB. DIG-labeled RNA probes (200 ng/mL) were hybridized overnight at 55 °C. The next morning, stringency washes were done in 2× SSC (2× 15 min, RT), 2× SSC containing 50% formamide (2× 30 min, at 65 °C), and 2× SSC (2× 5 min, at 65 °C). After RNase A treatment (4 μg/mL), the sections were rinsed again in 2× SSC (5 min, RT), 2× SSC containing 50% formamide (30 min, 65 °C), 0.1× SSC containing 50% formamide (15 min, at 65 °C), and finally with 0.1× SSC (2× 15 min, at 65 °C). The tissue was blocked with 4% sheep serum and 0.5% blocking agent (PerkinElmer). Hybridized probes were then incubated overnight with anti-DIG Fab fragments conjugated with peroxidase (raised in sheep; Roche) diluted 1 : 2000 in blocking agent containing 0.05 mm3 (see Supplementary Fig. 1 for details).
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In Vitro Electrophysiology

VIPcre/tdtTomato mice were deeply anesthetized with isoﬂurane and decapitated. Thalamocortical slices (300 μm; Porter et al. 2001) containing the primary somatosensory (barrel) cortex were cut using a vibratome (Leica VT1200S). The cold (4 °C) cutting solution contained (in mM): 75 sucrose, 87 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 0.5 CaCl2, 7.0 MgCl2, 26 NaHCO3, 1.25 NaH2PO4, and 10 glucose, continuously equilibrated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2, pH 7.4. Slices were incubated for 0.5–1 h at 34 °C prior to recording in extracellular solution [artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF)] of the following composition (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 26 NaHCO3, 1.25 NaH2PO4, and 25 glucose, pH 7.4 when equilibrated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2.

Slices were transferred to a fixed-stage recording chamber in an upright microscope (Axio Examiner, Zeiss) and continuously perfused at a rate of 2 mL/min with ACSF. All experiments were performed at 32 °C.

The barrel field was visualized at low magnification (×2.5) under bright-field illumination. Target neurons were identifed by tdTomato fluorescence using a ×40 water immersion objective (×40/0.75 W, Olympus, Germany). For whole-cell patch-clamp recordings, ﬁlamented borosilicate glass capillaries (Science Products, Hofheim, Germany) of 5–8 MΩ resistances were ﬁlled with (in mM): 135 K-glucogenous, 5 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.5 EGTA, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 10 phosphocreatine phosphate, and 0.3–0.5% biocytin. Membrane potentials were not corrected for a liquid junction potential of approximately 16 mV. Membrane potentials were recorded using an SEC-50L ampliﬁer (npi electronics, Tamm, Germany) in discontinuous current-clamp mode with a switching frequency of 50 kHz, ﬁltered at 3 kHz, and digitized at 10–25 kHz using a CED Power1401 (CED Limited, Cambridge, UK). Access resistance was monitored and compensated if changes appeared. Recordings during which the access resistance could not be compensated were discarded. Data were collected, stored, and analyzed with the Signal 5 software (CED Limited). Passive and active properties of a neuron were determined immediately after reaching whole-cell conﬁguration by applying 1 s hyperpolarizing or depolarizing current pulses of varying strength at resting membrane potential.

Staining of Biocytin-Filled Cells

Staining of biocytin-filled cells has previously been described in detail (Staiger et al. 2004a, 2014). In brief, after recording slices were ﬁxed in 4% formaldehyde (in PB) at 4 °C for 12–20 h. To stop ﬁxation, the tissue was rinsed extensively with PB, including an intermediate step with 1% H2O2 (in PB) to block endogenous peroxidase activity. Next, slices were incubated in a cryoprotectant (25% saccharose and 10% glycerol in 0.01 M PB) for 1 h. They were freeze–thawed 3 times over liquid nitrogen. After 3 rinses in PB, the slices were incubated overnight with Avidin-Biotin Complex (ABC; 1 : 200; Vector, Burlingame, CA, USA) at 4 °C. Subsequently, 1 mg/mL of 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB; Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany) was preincubated for 10 min and the peroxidase was revealed by starting the reaction with 0.01% H2O2. The reaction was stopped by rinsing with PB. To intensify the reaction product, sections were dehydrated and cleared in xylene overnight and then rehydrated and incubated in 1.4% silver nitrate at 56 °C for 30 min, followed by 0.2% gold chloride at RT for 10 min, and ﬁxed with 5% sodium thiosulfate for 5 min. The barrel ﬁeld was visualized by cytochrome oxidase histochemistry.

Reconstruction of Biocytin-Filled Neurons

Neurons with consistently intense staining of neurites and no obvious truncation of processes were reconstructed using live

Image Acquisition and Data Analysis

All sections were imaged using an upright epi-ﬂuorescence microscope (AxioImager.M2, Zeiss, Jena, Germany) using structured illumination (ApoTome, Zeiss). The set-up was controlled by the software NeuroLucida (MBF Bioscience, Colchester, VT, USA). The barrel cortex and its layers were identifed in acquired image stacks by DAPI stainings. Layer borders were determined according to the following cytoarchitectonic criteria: Layer I shows the lowest cell density, which easily distinguishes it from layer II/III. Layer IV can be identifed by its cell-dense barrass. Layer V is characterized by a low density of large somata, whereas layer VI shows a high density of smaller somata that clearly separates these layers. Layer V can be subdivided into layer Va and b since layer Va consists of even fewer cell bodies (engaging approximately a third of layer V; Schubert et al. 2006).

We analyzed 18 615 tdTomato-positive cells and 38 440 cells stained by IHC for PV (5739) or SOM (4089) and FISH with probes for Pvalb (7539), Sst (5514), and Gad1 (15559) in 150 sections from 12 animals. The density of cells was determined by normalizing data derived from the barrel cortex in each section to mm3. Thus, numbers for all cells or for cells from individual layers were scaled to 1 mm3 cortical tissue (including all layers; i.e., cells/mm3 cortex). For a detailed comparison of VIP cell density between layers, we used the number of cells within the given layer scaled to 1 mm3 of tissue of the respective layer (i.e., cells/mm3 layer X). For the results of the bin-size analysis, we used 20 bins; thus, the unit is 1/20th mm3 which is given as cells/0.05 mm3 (see Supplementary Fig. 1 for details).
digital images acquired by a digital camera (CX9000, MFB Bioscience) mounted on a microscope (Eclipse 80i, Nikon, Ratingen, Germany) with a ×63 oil immersion objective (NA = 1.4) and connected to a computer running Neurolucida (MFB Bioscience). Dendritic processes were distinguished from axonal structures by their diameter, fine structure, and branching pattern (see Supplementary Fig. 2 for details).

Data Analysis and Statistics

Electrophysiological data were analyzed using custom-written scripts for Signal 5. Most of the passive properties were analyzed using averages of 10 responses to a hyperpolarizing current pulse of −10 pA. The membrane time constant (τ) was determined by fitting an exponential to the averaged membrane potential response (\( f(t) = Ae^{-t/\tau} + B \), A = maximum amplitude, B = membrane potential at the maximum amplitude of response; \( R^2 > 0.9 \)). Input resistance was determined according to Ohm’s law using the maximum amplitude of the membrane potential response and the amplitude of the injected current. The sag index is an indicator of slow inward rectification. It was calculated by comparing the input resistance at the maximum amplitude to that at steady state (averaged membrane potential toward the end of the stimulus). Differences are given as percentages. Fast inward rectification (rectification index, RI) was measured by comparing the maximal membrane potential changes as responses to current pulses of −10 pA with those to −100 pA. Linearity (response to −10 pA is 10% of that to −100 pA) suggests that the deflection depends exclusively on passive membrane properties. An increase in the percentage indicates involvement of fast inward rectification. For clarity, we subtracted 10% from the resulting values, and thus no fast inward rectification is set to 0. Firing threshold, time to peak, half width, and amplitude (measured from firing threshold to peak) of action potentials were analyzed at action potentials evoked at rheobase. The amplitude of afterhyperpolarizations (AHP) was determined by measuring the difference in voltage from firing threshold to maximum deflection of the repolarization. The time to peak of AHP was measured from the time point the repolarization of the action potential crossed the firing threshold to the maximum amplitude of the AHP.

Properties of reconstructed neurons were quantified with Neurolucida Explorer (MFB Bioscience). Data were not corrected for tissue shrinkage. However, from several measurements, we estimated the shrinkage to be around 10–15% in the x-/y-axes and 40–60% in the z axis. The horizontal spread of dendritic and axonal fibers was determined by measuring the maximum distance of structures parallel to the pial surface, whereas the vertical spread was measured perpendicular to the pial surface.

To superimpose multiple reconstructions and show the distribution of neuronal processes as a population average, reconstructed neurons were registered into one file using defined layer borders (average of layers identified by DAPI stainings of 150 sections through the barrel cortex) as a reference, somata aligned at the same horizontal level, and all fibers plotted as one binary image. This was filtered using a Gaussian filter with a comparable radial sigma (20 at 300 dpi) for all structures and a color look-up-table ranging from cold (blue and green for white to light gray) to warm colors (yellow and red for dark gray to black) was applied to the resulting grayscale image. This was then merged with the original black and white image and resulted in heat maps visualizing areas of highest density of axonal and dendritic trees.

For statistical comparisons, data were tested for normality (Shapiro–Wilk test) and equal variance. If both passed, a one-way Student’s t-test was used. If one or both failed, a Mann–Whitney rank sum test was used. The distribution of tdTomato-positive cell bodies was tested for significant differences using a Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) on ranks since the Shapiro–Wilk test for normality failed. A post hoc Tukey test as an all pairwise multiple comparison procedure to identify significant different bins was applied. All values are given as mean ± SD.

Results

Vip mRNA and Protein in tdTomato-Positive VIPcre Cells

We analyzed the specificity of red fluorescent protein-expressing cells (RFP⁺) for VIP-expressing cells in transgenic VIPcre/tdTomato mice by comparing RFP⁺ and the fluorescence derived from VIP RNA in situ probes via FISH and AB binding to the protein. For this quantification, fluorescent somata were counted in the barrel cortex in a layer-specific manner in 6 animals (3 sections per animal) for FISH and IHC, respectively. At the RNA level, FISH using VIP probes labeled 209.1 ± 113.2 cells/mm³ cortex. 237.29 ± 171.1 RFP⁺ cells/mm³ cortex expressed tdTomato, of which 274.7 ± 168.5 cells/mm³ cortex were not marked by VIP probes. Thus, 88.9% of all RFP⁺ cells co-localized with FISH-derived fluorescence. In layer I 64.1%, II/III 90.3%, IV 87.8%, Va 86.7%, Vb 88%, and VI 86% of RFP⁺ and FISH-derived fluorescent cells were co-localized. Only one soma in layer II/III showed fluorescence exclusively resulting from FISH (Fig. 1A–C and Fig. 2A–A’).

The analysis of VIP-IHC showed an even higher degree of co-localization: 2123 ± 337.4 cells/mm³ cortex displayed RFP⁺, of which 2103.6 ± 332.9 cells/mm³ cortex was labeled by oVIP AB IHC. Not a single cell was found, which showed immunofluorescence only. Thus, 99.1% of all RFP⁺ cells co-localized with oVIP AB. Subdivided into layers, 100% of RFP⁺ cells in layer I, 99% in layer II/III, 99.3% in layer IV, 100% in layer Va, 99.5% in layer Vb, and 98.4% in layer VI displayed AB-derived fluorescence (Fig. 1D–F). Furthermore, 2 different ABs from different sources yielded similar results (see Supplementary Fig. 4A,B). These results indicate that RFP⁺ is highly specific for VIP-expressing cells in VIPcre/tdTomato mice. In addition, tdTomato expression seems to be reliably strong in Cre-expressing neurons. This suggests that using transgenic VIPcre/tdTomato mice is a very sensitive method to identify VIP neurons.

VIP-Expressing Cells Are GABAergic and Do Not Express PV or SOM

According to most previous studies in rodents, cortical VIP-expressing interneurons are GABAergic (Bayraktar et al. 1997; Gonchar et al. 2007; Zeisel et al. 2015). Thus, we tested for the presence of Gad1 RNA in RFP⁺ cells using FISH. 97.6% (2223.1 ± 283 of 2278.8 ± 284.2 cells/mm³ cortex; n = 6 animals, 18 sections) of all VIP cells were also labeled using a Gad1 probe (Fig. 2B). In contrast, FISH targeting RNA of the excitatory neuron marker Vglut1 showed no co-localization at all (n = 3 animals, 9 sections; Fig. 2C), suggesting that most, if not all, VIP neurons are GABAergic.

Of all Gad1-expressing neurons (16869.1 ± 1792 cells/mm³ cortex), a subpopulation of 13.2% also expressed VIP (2223.1 ± 283.0 cells/mm³ cortex). This proportion varied across layers: in layer I 8.4% (72.9 ± 19.7 of 885.1 ± 219.2 cells/mm³ cortex), in layer II/III 30.2% (1222 ± 140.6 of 4050.4 ± 473.2 cells/mm³ cortex), in layer IV 13.0% (528.3 ± 104.3 of 4036.9 cells/mm³ cortex), in layer Va
5.3% (91.9 ± 19.5 of 1773.5 ± 298.7 cells/mm³ cortex), in layer Vb 4.9% (128.6 ± 15.7 of 2686.5 ± 303.7 cells/mm³ cortex), and in layer VI 5.0% (177.9 ± 51.8 of 3442.4 ± 332.9 cells/mm³ cortex) of Gad1-expressing neurons also expressed VIP (see Supplementary Fig. 3).

As reported previously, the subpopulation of VIP-expressing interneurons does not overlap with other molecularly distinct major subpopulations, such as PV- or SOM-expressing interneurons (Rudy et al. 2011; Zeisel et al. 2015). To understand how distinct the population of VIP cells in the examined mouse model VIPcre/tdTomato is, we tested for co-localization of tdTomato and FISH with Pvalb (n = 6 animals, 18 sections; Fig. 2D) and Sst probes (n = 6 animals, 18 sections; Fig. 2E), respectively. We did not find a single overlap of these markers throughout the whole barrel cortex. The same results were obtained when using IHC with AB against PV (n = 6 animals, 16 sections; Supplementary Fig. 4C) and SOM (n = 6 animals, 18 sections; Supplementary Fig. 4D). Thus, these 3 protein markers distinguish the 3 major nonoverlapping subpopulations of GABAergic interneurons in VIPcre mice.

Distribution of Somata of VIP Neurons in the Barrel Cortex of VIPcre/tdTomato Mice

We quantified the distribution of VIP neurons in the barrel cortex of VIPcre/tdTomato mice in a layer-specific and a layer-independent (i.e., binned; see Materials and Methods and Supplementary Fig. 1 for details) manner (n = 12 animals, 150 sections; Fig. 3). Cell bodies were found throughout all layers of the barrel cortex with an overall number of 2226.4 ± 216.1 cells/mm³ cortex. Nevertheless, the distribution of these cells was not homogeneous across layers. In layer I, the number of VIP cells (44.6 ± 40.5 cells/mm³ cortex) was lowest compared with all other layers of the barrel cortex. Only 1.9% of all VIP cells were located in this layer, whereas 98.1% were distributed in layers II–VI.

As reported in previous studies (Rudy et al. 2011; Zeisel et al. 2015), the subpopulation of VIP-expressing interneurons does not overlap with other molecularly distinct major subpopulations, such as PV- or SOM-expressing interneurons (Rudy et al. 2011; Zeisel et al. 2015). To understand how distinct the population of VIP cells in the examined mouse model VIPcre/tdTomato is, we tested for co-localization of tdTomato and FISH with Pvalb (n = 6 animals, 18 sections; Fig. 2D) and Sst probes (n = 6 animals, 18 sections; Fig. 2E), respectively. We did not find a single overlap of these markers throughout the whole barrel cortex. The same results were obtained when using IHC with AB against PV (n = 6 animals, 16 sections; Supplementary Fig. 4C) and SOM (n = 6 animals, 18 sections; Supplementary Fig. 4D). Thus, these 3 protein markers distinguish the 3 major nonoverlapping subpopulations of GABAergic interneurons in VIPcre mice.
compartment. We observed the highest number of fluorescent cells in layer II/III: 1366.6 ± 285.8 cells/mm³ cortex, which is 58.7% of all VIP cells in the barrel cortex. Layer IV was less populated (526.9 ± 168.95 cells/mm³ cortex or 22.6%). The number of VIP cells further decreased in layer Va. Here, only 103.8 ± 46.5 cells/mm³ cortex or 4.4% of all VIP cells were counted, followed by layer Vb with a similar count (119.0 ± 55.8 cells/mm³ cortex or 5.0%). Layer VI also contained a comparatively small number of VIP cells (172.4 ± 76.4 cells/mm³ cortex or 7.3%). The distribution of VIP cells was significantly different among all layers, except for layers Va and b (one-way ANOVA, \( H = 859.838, P < 0.001 \), post hoc Tukey analysis).

Figure 2. The GABAergic nature and distinction of VIP-expressing cells from other molecularly defined interneuron populations. Detailed views of layer II/III in individual 50-μm thick coronal sections through the barrel cortex in VIPcre/tdTomato mice are shown. Sections were treated with FISH using probes hybridizing with RNA of the genes Vip (A–A’), Gad1 (B–B’), Vglut1 (C–C’), Pvalb (D–D’), and Sst (E–E’). Vertical rows visualize (from left to right): Cre-driven tdTomato fluorescence in red (A–E), probe-derived fluorescence in green (A’–E’), and red and green channels merged, resulting in yellow co-localizations (A”–E”). Cell bodies that display both tdTomato and probe-derived fluorescence are marked by arrowheads (scale bar = 50 μm). Co-localizations are only seen using Vip and Gad1 probes.
Even though the previous paragraph shows a realistic estimate of the count of VIP cells per layer in 1 mm³ barrel cortex, differences in layer size prevent a direct comparison of cell densities between layers. To make this possible, we additionally calculated neuron densities per mm³ of the respective layer (cells/mm³ layer X; see Materials and Methods and Supplementary Fig. 1 for details). In layer I, the density of VIP cells was lowest (500.1 ± 445.9 cells/mm³ layer I). This increased to its maximum in layer II/III with 6432.4 ± 1163.5 cells/mm³ layer II/III. In layer IV, we observed 2692.3 ± 786.7 cells/mm³ layer IV. VIP cell density further decreased from layer Va (1175.7 ± 519.1 cells/mm³ layer Va) to Vb (910.2 ± 425 cells/mm³ layer Vb) and VI (617.6 ± 264 cells/mm³ layer VI). The density of VIP cells was significantly different between all layers, except for layers Va and b (one-way ANOVA, $H = 983.520, P < 0.001$, post hoc Tukey analysis). The density of VIP cells in each (Fig. 3B). The density of VIP-expressing cells rapidly increased throughout the first 3 bins from the pial surface downward from 62.6 ± 30.0 to an overall maximum of 402.0 ± 158.4 cells/0.05 mm³. From this peak, the density continuously decreased toward the white matter until it reached values below 100 cells/0.05 mm³, that is, 79.9 ± 42.1 cells/0.05 mm³, in the 10th bin. Further toward the white matter border, no major change in density was observed. The 20th bin contained the least amount of VIP cells with 50.9 ± 23.1 cells/0.05 mm³. This pattern was reflected in a significance analysis (one-way ANOVA, $H = 983.520, P < 0.001$, post hoc Tukey analysis). The first 3 adjacent bins were significantly different from one another, indicating a rapid increase in cell density to its peak in upper layer II/III. The decrease, however, seemed to be roughly continuous, because the density in neighboring bins from the third on toward the last was not significantly different from each other. Furthermore, the respective number of VIP cells in bins 12 (layer Vb) to 20 (lower layer VI) was not significantly different from each other.
different from the number of VIP cells in the first bin (layer I). This distribution corresponds well with most VIP cells being located in layers II/III and IV, whereas all other layers are less densely populated. Interestingly, the only distinct layer border reflected in the distribution pattern of VIP-expressing somata was between layers I and II/III. In addition, although the largest fraction of VIP cells is located in layer II/III (58.7%), a sizeable 41.9% of all VIP cells were found outside of this layer. As yet, these neurons have not been characterized to any significant extent.

Morphological Properties of Individual VIP Neurons

Because VIP neurons populate all layers of the barrel cortex and the spatial organization of these layers dictates the morphology of neurons, it is unlikely that this subgroup of GABAAergic interneurons shows a similar morphology of somatodendritic and axonal arbors. In addition, different layers perform different functions (Harris and Shepherd 2015). To elucidate this in detail, we quantitatively analyzed the morphology of 18 individual VIP cells from all layers of the barrel cortex in VIPcre/tdTomato mice (layer I n = 1; layer II/III n = 8; layer IV n = 3; layer Va n = 2; layer Vb n = 2; layer VI n = 1; Figs 4–6; Supplementary Tables 1–3).

Somatodendritic Features

While VIP neurons were highly variable in most somatodendritic features, some were, however, invariant across the population. Somata of VIP neurons were predominantly elliptic (roundness = 0.62 ± 0.11; Supplementary Table 1) with a larger vertical diameter than a horizontal one (16.9 ± 2.3 and 11.0 ± 1.9 μm, respectively; Figs 4B and 5B; Supplementary Table 1). Somatodendritic configurations of VIP neurons were diverse and included (based onBayraktar et al. 2000 and Ascoli et al. 2008) 3 bipolar (Figs 4Bc, Bd, and 5Ba), 6 modified bipolar (i.e., tripolar; Figs 4Bb, 8e and 5Bb, c, Bd), 6 tufted (Fig. 5Be, 8f), 2 multipolar (Figs 4Ba and 5Bc), and 1 cell which did not fit into this nomenclature.

A superimposition of all reconstructed VIP cells showed that their dendrites were found in all layers of the barrel cortex, distributed as follows: 16.3% in layer I, 37.9% in layer II/III, 12.5% in layer IV, 9.1% in layer Va, 12.8% in layer Vb, 10.2% in layer VI, and 1.2% in the white matter (Fig. 6A).

Interestingly, more than half of all dendritic branches were found in supragranular layers I and II/III, although we analyzed an equal number of VIP neurons from the upper and deeper layers of the barrel cortex. Does this mean that dendritic trees of supragranular VIP neurons differ from those of the deeper layers? Indeed, we found significant differences in the vertical spread and the amount of extralaminar branches of dendrites: VIP neurons from layer II/III had a vertically more restricted dendritic tree compared with deeper layer VIP cells (310.2 ± 50.5 vs. 523.8 ± 170.9 μm, U = 12,000, P = 0.024; Fig. 6A,C). Additionally, VIP neurons from layer II/III extended fewer dendrites into the layers outside their home layer (location of soma) than VIP neurons from layers IV to VI (24.6 ± 12.1% vs. 61.9 ± 21%, t = −4.141, P < 0.001; Fig. 6A,C).

Axonal Features

The morphology of axonal trees of VIP neurons was also highly variable among individual VIP neurons. Some features, however, remained consistent. In only 2 of 18 VIP neurons did the axon emanate from the soma itself (Fig. 5B). In 16 of the neurons, the axon originated from a first-, second-, or even third-order dendrite directed toward the white matter (Figs 4B and 5B). As a population, axonal ramifications were found in all layers of the barrel cortex (Fig. 6B). We analyzed several axonal features such as total length (8075.6 ± 3266.9 μm), number of nodes (116.3 ± 54.6), and horizontal (381.8 ± 205.2 μm) and vertical (690.5 ± 281.3 μm) spread. As with dendritic features, we compared axonal properties of layer I/III VIP neurons with those of layers IV–VI. Interestingly, only the vertical spread of axonal trees was significantly different: Layer I/III VIP neurons extended their axons across all layers of the barrel cortex, whereas layer IV–VI VIP neurons had more restricted axonal trees (vertical spread of 965.9 ± 95 vs. 499.7 ± 142 μm, t = 7.380, P < 0.001; Fig. 6B,C).

We also determined the number of axonal boutons of VIP neurons (2438.7 ± 1235.2; 29.3 ± 5.7 boutons per 100 μm axon) as well as their precise localization. In correlated light and electron microscopic studies, these structures were previously described as sites of presynaptic specializations (Staiger et al. 2004b; David et al. 2007). Thus, we analyzed their distribution profile across the barrel cortex. For layer I/III VIP neurons, most of the axonal boutons were found in 2 hot spots corresponding to layers II/III (42.8%) and Va (22.3%). The remainder was distributed as follows: 2.4% in layer I, 13.3% in layer IV, 13% in layer Vb, and 6% in layer VI. In contrast, VIP neurons located in deeper layers IV–VI showed a different distribution of axonal boutons. Most of these were found in layers Vb (43.9%) and VI (35.8%). Interestingly, no boutons were found in layer I and only few in layers II/III (0.4%), IV (10.6%), and Va (10.1%; Fig. 6B).

In summary, VIP neurons with their somata in layer I/II had slender axonal trees spreading across all layers of the barrel cortex with preferences for layers II/III and Va. VIP neurons with soma in deeper layers, however, showed more locally restricted axonal trees with preferences for layers Vb and VI.

Essentially, the layer targeting of both dendritic and axonal trees was heavily dependent on the location of the soma of VIP-expressing neurons in the barrel cortex of mice (Fig. 6; Supplementary Tables 1–3). This suggests that the 2 populations (layer I/II vs. layer IV–VI) possess distinct input and output domains.

Electrophysiological Profile of VIP Neurons

We determined membrane properties of 69 VIP neurons from all layers of the barrel cortex (layer I n = 1; layer II/III n = 34; layer IV n = 7; layer Va n = 7; layer Vb n = 9; layer VI n = 11; Figs 4C, 5C, and 7; Supplementary Tables 4 and 5). Since we observed morphological differences which were dependent on the location of their somata, we asked whether such differences also exist on the level of electrophysiology. Thus, we compared electrophysiological data of 34 neurons from layer I/II with 34 neurons from layers IV to VI (Fig. 7; Supplementary Tables 4 and 5). No significant differences were found in most of the basic properties such as input resistance (population average of 404.7 ± 212.8 MΩ), sag index (11.2 ± 6.8%), r2 (21.4 ± 7.6 ms), rheobase (51.9 ± 33.9 pA), firing threshold (−38.2 ± 3.1 mV), amplitude of action potentials (65.1 ± 9.1 mV), rise time of action potentials (0.75 ± 0.16 ms), half width of action potentials (0.89 ± 0.21 ms), slope of action potentials (118.3 ± 34.5 V/s), or drop of amplitude of the first to the second action potential in a spike train (−5.2 ± 4.0 mV; see Supplementary Tables 3 and 4; Fig. 7; see Figs 4C and 5C for individual examples). VIP neurons showed a great variability in timing and composition of AHP: faster (1.2 ± 0.42 ms; fAHP) and slower peaking AHPs (31.7 ± 20 ms; medium AHP; mAHP), fAHP and mAHP occurred sequentially or individually. Two passive membrane properties, however, were significantly different in the 2 VIP neuron populations: VIP neurons from layer I/II were...
more depolarized in their resting state than those found in deeper layers (somatic resting membrane potential of $-66.3 \pm 4.8$ vs. $-70.1 \pm 5.4$ mV; $t = 3.207$; $P = 0.001$). Furthermore, there was less fast rectification in upper layer VIP neurons (RI of $4.0 \pm 3.1\%$ vs. $9.7 \pm 5.8\%$; $U = 216.000$; $P < 0.001$; see Materials and Methods for details). In addition, the amplitudes of fAHP and mAHP were smaller in VIP neurons from layer II/III compared with those of layers IV–VI (fAHP: $-8.6 \pm 3.1$ vs. $-11.2 \pm 3.4$ mV; $t = 2.930$; $P = 0.005$; mAHP: $-12.1 \pm 2.3$ vs. $-13.5 \pm 2.2$ mV; $U = 229.000$; $P = 0.032$; Fig. 7; Supplementary Tables 4 and 5).

VIP neurons also showed great variability in firing patterns. They were never fast-spiking, but, following the Petilla convention (Ascoli et al. 2008), showed the following firing patterns: continuous adapting (75.4%), irregular spiking (14.5%), bursting (5.8%), or high threshold bursting nonadapting (4.3%; see Figs 4C and 5C for examples). In layer II/III, 67.6% of all VIP neurons were continuous adapting, 14.7% irregular spiking, 11.8% bursting, and 5.9% high threshold bursting nonadapting. In layers IV–VI, 82.4% of all VIP neurons were continuous adapting, 14.7% irregular spiking, and 2.9% high threshold bursting nonadapting. Bursting VIP neurons were exclusively observed in layer II/III.

Discussion
In this study, we show that Vip-ires-cre mice drive fluorophore expression in the entire population of VIP-immunoreactive interneurons in the barrel cortex of mice. These neurons also express Gad1, but not vGlut1, PV, or SOM, in all layers of the barrel cortex. Thus, we validate that Vip-ires-cre mice are a highly specific and sensitive model system for studying VIP-expressing...
interneurons (Taniguchi et al. 2011). This model enabled us to describe the distribution, morphology, and electrophysiology of VIP neurons in the barrel cortex of mice. Our main findings include the fact that besides layer II/III-located bipolar/bitufted cells, there is a significantly different population of VIP neurons in layers IV–VI. This raises the question of whether the recently described function of VIP neurons as integrators of long-distance inputs into local cortical circuitry is dependent on both populations or whether they might contribute to different, but as yet unexplored functions (Lee et al. 2013; Pi et al. 2013; Fu et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2014).

Validation of the Cre-Driver Line as a Suitable Model System for Studying VIP Neurons

Specific targeting of VIP-expressing interneurons was very difficult in the past when these neurons were identified in nontransgenic approaches (Bayraktar et al. 2000; Cauli et al. 2000; Karagiannis et al. 2009). However, advances in the generation of transgenic mouse lines made VIP neurons more accessible. Early BAC-transgenic mouse lines had the disadvantages of either labeling VIP neurons as part of a larger population of neurons (ChAT-eGFP, von Engelhardt et al. 2007; GAD65-eGFP or G30, Xu and Callaway 2009; CR-eGFP, Caputi et al. 2009; Miyoshi et al. 2010) or being too unreliable in transgene expression (VIP-eGFP, own unpublished observation). Recently, a Cre-driver line for VIP was introduced, which promises a high degree of specificity and sensitivity (Taniguchi et al. 2011), but still required thorough characterization since previous studies were based on a low number of cells and confined to supragranular layers of the barrel cortex.

We used FISH and IHC to label VIP neurons in conjunction with Cre-driven tdTomato fluorescence. The almost perfect colocalization of fluorescence across all layers of the barrel cortex demonstrates the extensive sensitivity and specificity of this mouse line. In addition, FISH only labeled 89% of all tdTomato-expressing cells, whereas IHC labeled 99%. Thus, VIPcre/tdTomato mice seem to display VIP neurons more sensitive and specific than FISH or IHC could detect. We could also show that VIP neurons do not co-localize with the excitatory marker eGluT1, but indeed express Gad1 in the barrel cortex of mice, ruling out the possibility that at least some VIP neurons are excitatory.

Figure 5. Morphology and electrophysiology of VIP-expressing interneurons from layers IV–VI in the barrel cortex of VIPcre/tdTomato mice. (A) The reconstructed morphology of 7 individual VIP neurons is shown in a schematized barrel cortex. Somata and dendrites are colored orange, and axonal processes green. In layer IV, the position of the home barrel for each neuron is depicted as dotted outlines, whereas dashed horizontal lines show layer borders. Individual neurons are labeled with lower case letters (layer borders as in Fig. 3; roman numerals indicate layers; wm, white matter; scale bar = 250 μm). (B) Magnified views of somatodendritic configurations for each neuron show differences between bipolar (a), (bi-) tufted (e, f), modified bipolar (b–d), and multipolar (g) patterns. Somata and first-order segments of the primary dendrites are colored in dark orange, higher order branches in a light orange, and axon in green (scale bar = 25 μm). (C) Electrophysiological recordings reveal a large diversity of membrane properties at rheobase (values in pA; upper traces) and in response to a hyperpolarizing current pulse of −50 pA. Dashed horizontal lines indicate the resting membrane potential. Action potential firing patterns (lower traces) show no correlation with the morphological properties. Abbreviations name the firing pattern after the Petilla convention (Ascoli et al. 2008; CA, continuous adapting; IS, irregular spiking; HTBNA, high threshold bursting nonadapting; scale bars = 50 mV/250 ms).
The percentage of VIP neurons of the GABAergic neuron population was 13.2% and varied across layers. The highest percentage was observed in layer II/III, where 30.2% of all GABAergic neurons express VIP. In addition, VIP neurons never expressed molecular markers of other major populations of GABAergic neurons such as parvalbumin (von Engelhardt et al. 2007; Wouterlood et al. 2008).

**Figure 6.** Somatodendritic and axonal morphology of VIP neurons from layer II/III is different from VIP neurons from layers IV to VI. (A) Reconstructions of the somatodendritic morphology of superimposed layer II/III VIP neurons show the restriction of the input compartment to layers I–III, whereas layer IV–VI neurons spread their dendritic arbors across all layers. (B) Axonal arbors show the opposite structural organization: Layer II/III axons spread across all layers of the barrel cortex, whereas layer IV–VI VIP neurons restrict their axon to the same layer compartment. The density of structures is visualized as heat maps ranging from red (most dense) to blue (least dense). Positions of somata are vertically aligned and marked as gray open circles. Eight VIP neurons from layer II/III are superimposed on the left, 9 from layers IV to VI in the middle, and all of these neurons merged including 1 layer I neuron are shown on the right in (A and B) (roman numerals depict layers; scale bar = 250 μm). (C) Box plots depicting significantly different features of dendrites and axons between the 2 tested groups (vertical spread of dendritic trees: 310.2 ± 50.5 vs. 523.8 ± 170.9 μm, \(U = 12.000, P = 0.024\); amount of extralaminar dendritic arborizations: 24.6 ± 12.1% vs. 61.9 ± 21%, \(t = -4.141, P < 0.001\); vertical spread of axonal trees: 965.9 ± 95 vs. 499.7 ± 142 μm, \(t = 7.380, P < 0.001\); see Supplementary Tables 1–3 for all tested parameters).
as SOM or PV. These findings confirm and greatly extend previous data, thus enabling us to selectively and specifically study the properties of VIP neurons (Rudy et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2013; Pfeffer et al. 2013; Pi et al. 2013; Fu et al. 2014; Karnani et al. 2014).

VIP Neurons Show a Broad Spectrum of Morphological and Electrophysiological Properties

Our detailed analysis of the distribution of VIP neurons across the barrel cortex of mice revealed that VIP neurons are found in all layers. While the majority is found in layer II/III (∼60%), thus confirming earlier studies (Taniguchi et al. 2011), a large fraction (∼40%) is also found outside of this upper layer.

In this study, we show reconstructions of individual VIP neurons from all layers of the barrel cortex despite a low recovery rate of approximately 10%, which could be due to their small soma size. VIP neurons from the barrel cortex of mice show a much greater variability in morphological properties than the classical bipolar neuron described in the early literature (Morrison et al. 1984). Somatodendritic configurations are not restricted to bipolar, but also include tufted and even multipolar appearances.

Interestingly, the majority of axons of VIP neurons emanated not directly from the cell body, but from a dendrite extending toward the white matter. It has been shown that this structural organization has an impact on the efficacy of postsynaptic currents in different parts of the dendritic tree: Postsynaptic currents that are evoked in the dendritic tree carrying the axon have a greater impact on the generation of action potentials than those which have to pass the soma before arriving at the axon initial segment (Häusser et al. 1995; Thome et al. 2014). Since the axon of VIP neurons primarily originates at a dendrite oriented toward the white matter, the input to these dendrites might have more impact on spike generation than input to dendrites oriented toward the pial surface. Previous studies identified several sources of input onto VIP neurons: local pyramidal cells (Porter et al. 1998), thalamic fibers from the ventroposteromedial nucleus (Staiger et al. 1996), the basal forebrain (Fu et al. 2014), and cross-areal fibers (Lee et al. 2013). So far, little is known about the precise origin of synapses on the dendritic trees of VIP neurons. Nevertheless, axonal fibers from the motor cortex are considered to preferentially terminate in layer I. Some VIP neurons extend dendrites, which do not carry an axon into this layer. Thus, input from...

Figure 7. Comparison of electrophysiological properties of VIP neurons from layer II/III to neurons from layers IV to VI. (A) Box plots describing various passive and membrane properties of 68 VIP neurons separated into neurons from layer II/III (n = 34) and layers IV–VI (n = 34). (B) Box plots showing various active membrane properties as in (A). Significant differences were found in the resting membrane potential (−66.3 ± 4.8 vs. −70.1 ± 5.4 mV, t = 3.207, P = 0.00102), the amount of fast rectification (4.01 ± 3.1% vs. 9.7 ± 5.8%, U = 216,000, P < 0.001), and the amplitudes of fAHP and mAHP (fAHP: −8.6 ± 3.1 vs. −11.2 ± 3.4 mV, t = 2.930, P = 0.005; mAHP: −12.1 ± 2.3 vs. −13.5 ± 2.2 mV, U = 229,000, P = 0.032; see Supplementary Tables 3 and 4 for more details).
the motor cortex might not be as influential in driving VIP neurons as yet unidentified input to axon-carrying dendrites.

Membrane properties were similar, but not the same as was previously reported. We confirm that the most abundant firing pattern is continuous adapting (~75%) as Karagiannis et al. (2009) showed. Their study also identified bursting VIP neurons which we found exclusively in layer II/III. In addition, we also observed irregular spiking and high threshold bursting nonadapting VIP neurons. Most membrane properties, however, were similar to those reported in rats (Porter et al. 1998; Karagiannis et al. 2009). Dissimilarities to prior reports from rats can be explained by methodological differences, such as identification of VIP neurons, number of cells analyzed, age of the animals, or analyzing techniques, and do not necessarily reflect interspecies differences.

Almost 60% of VIP neurons are located in layer II/III. Their appearance seems to be more homogeneous than that of approximately 40% of VIP neurons from other layers of the barrel cortex. Thus, we pooled data from VIP neurons from layer II/III and layers IV–VI, and compared these subgroups statistically: The morphology of layer II/III VIP neurons suggests that their dendritic tree is confined to layers I and II/III, whereas theiraxon is found across all layers of the barrel cortex. In contrast, dendrites of VIP neurons from layers IV to VI are found in all layers of the barrel cortex, whereas theiraxon is virtually confined to layers V and VI. Interestingly, none of the other morphological parameters tested were significantly different. This suggests that the structural organization or blueprint of dendritic and axonal trees is similar in every VIP neuron, whereas the distribution of these fibers is dependent on the location of the soma.

We also found differences in electrophysiological properties of layer II/III VIP neurons compared with the deeper layer neurons. VIP neurons from layer IV–VI are more hyperpolarized than those of layers II/III, which suggests that the latter are more easily excited. Furthermore, the amount of fast inward rectification is greater in the deeper layer VIP neurons. Fast inward rectification is a mechanism to stabilize resting membrane potentials in more hyperpolarized states. Thus, it is not surprising that VIP neurons in the deeper layers display greater fast inward rectification, while their resting membrane potential is more hyperpolarized. Interestingly, VIP neurons from layer IV to VI also show AHPs with larger amplitudes.

Functional Implications

The morphology of the dendritic trees of VIP neurons suggests that these neurons, as a population, are capable of receiving input from all layers of the barrel cortex. However, the input domain of layer II/III VIP neurons is found in layers I and II/III, whereas VIP neurons from layers IV to VI cover more possible layers of input. Some of these neurons reach into layer I, whereas others stay within layers IV to VI. This suggests that these neurons might integrate other sources of input than the much more homogeneous VIP neurons in layer II/III. The population of VIP neurons sends axonal branches across every layer of the barrel cortex. Layer II/III VIP neurons, however, preferentially target layers II/III and V. In contrast, VIP neurons in layers IV–VI preferentially target layers Vb and VI. This supports the presumption ofVIP neurons being differentially integrated in as yet unidentified cortical circuits.

Previous studies have shown that layer II/III VIP neurons are involved in a disinhibitory circuit motif that operates under behaviorally relevant conditions. In this scenario, VIP neurons receive input from axons originating in other cortical areas and the basal forebrain (Lee et al. 2013; Fu et al. 2014). These inputs allow them to integrate widespread cortical motor commands and sensory information with a saliency signal coming from the basal forebrain, which is considered to be cholinergic (Muñoz and Rudy 2014; Poorthuis et al. 2014). VIP neurons respond strongly to cholinergic agonists (Porter et al. 1999) and this activation, together with the intracortical drive, leads to an inhibition of their target neurons, which often are other inhibitory neurons, for example, SOM-expressing interneurons which themselves inhibit pyramidal cells. Thus, an activation of VIP neurons leads to an inhibition of SOM and a subpopulation of PV neurons. This releases pyramidal cells from inhibition and results in an increased gain of function in sensory information processing in the cortex (Staiger et al. 2004b; David et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2013; Pfeffer et al. 2013; Fi et al. 2013; Fu et al. 2014). We found morphological properties of VIP neurons in the barrel cortex, which could fit this circuit motif: The largest fraction of VIP neurons (~60%) populates layer II/III. These neurons reliably extend their dendritic trees across layers I–III, which is the layer where fibers from other cortical areas terminate (Lee et al. 2013). Most of the axonal boutons of VIP neurons are found in layers II/III and, interestingly, Va/b. Previous reports have shown that a substantial fraction of inhibitory interneurons in these 2 layers expresses PV and SOM (Gonchar et al. 2007; Xu et al. 2010). Thus, axonal trees of VIP neurons are well capable of targeting these aforementioned inhibitory neuronal populations.

An approximately 40% of VIP neurons outside of layer II/III seem to have different domains of input and output. Whether these neurons also take part in the aforementioned disinhibitory circuit motif or integrate into other cortical circuits needs further inquiry. For this and many other questions involving VIP neurons, Vip-ires-cre mice are a highly reliable research tool.
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