POINT SOURCES FROM A SPITZER IRAC SURVEY OF THE GALACTIC CENTER
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ABSTRACT

We have obtained Spitzer IRAC observations of the central 2.0° × 1.4° (≈280 × 200 pc) of the Galaxy at 3.6–8.0 μm. A point-source catalog of 1,065,565 objects is presented. The catalog includes magnitudes for the point sources at 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0 μm, as well as JHKs photometry from Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS). The point-source catalog is confusion limited with average limits of 12.4, 12.1, 11.7, and 11.2 mag for [3.6], [4.5], [5.8], and [8.0], respectively. We find that the confusion limits are spatially variable because of stellar surface density, background surface brightness level, and extinction variations across the survey region. The overall distribution of point-source density with Galactic latitude and longitude is essentially constant, but structure does appear when sources of different magnitude ranges are selected. Bright stars show a steep decreasing gradient with Galactic latitude and a slow decreasing gradient with Galactic longitude, with a peak at the position of the Galactic center. From IRAC color-magnitude and color-color diagrams, we conclude that most of the point sources in our catalog have IRAC magnitudes and colors characteristic of red giant and asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars.

Subject headings: Galaxy: center — stars: late-type

Online material: machine-readable tables

1. INTRODUCTION

Our Galactic center (GC), at a distance of ∼8.0 kpc (Reid 1993), is the closest galactic nucleus, observable at spatial resolutions unapproachable in other galaxies (1 pc ≈ 26'). The region has been intensely studied at wavelengths outside the optical and ultraviolet (UV) regime, because it is unobservable with optical telescopes due to obscuring dust in the Galactic plane. The typical extinction toward the inner 200 pc is 25–30 mag (visual magnitudes; Schultheis et al. 1999; Dutra et al. 2003), and it is considerably higher toward molecular clouds located close to the GC.

The extent of the GC region is defined by a region of relatively high-density molecular gas (n_H2 ~ 10^4 cm^-2; Bally et al. 1987), covering the inner 200 pc (170° × 40°, centered on the GC), called the Central Molecular Zone (CMZ). The CMZ produces 5%–10% of the Galaxy’s infrared and Lyman continuum luminosity and contains 10% of its molecular gas (Bally et al. 1987; Morris & Serabyn 1996). The CMZ contains extremely dense giant molecular clouds (Martin et al. 2004; Oka et al. 2005; Boldyrev & Yusef-Zadeh 2006), which are also very turbulent. Strong tidal shearing forces arise within the CMZ from a gravitational potential well that increases as the galactocentric radius decreases (Güsten & Downes 1981), culminating in the central black hole, Sgr A* (e.g., Schödel et al. 2003; Ghez et al. 2005).

In the past, the study of the GC stellar population has been concentrated primarily on the spatial regions surrounding three clusters of stars. The Central Cluster contains the dense core of stars within a few parsecs of the GC. The cluster is composed of a mixture of red supergiant and giant stars (e.g., Lebofsky et al. 1982; Sellgren et al. 1987) and young massive stars which exhibit energetic winds as observed in their emission line spectra (e.g., Allen et al. 1990; Krabbe et al. 1991; Libonate et al. 1995; Blum et al. 1995; Tamblyn et al. 1996). These bright, hot emission-line stars trace an epoch of star formation that occurred about 10^7 years ago, while the bright cool stars may be associated with either the most recent epoch of star formation or older ones (Haller 1992; Krabbe et al. 1995). The separation of bright cool stars into M supergiants (tracers of recent star formation) and less massive giants (tracers of older star formation) has been used to study the star formation history within the central cluster (Lebofsky et al. 1982; Sellgren et al. 1987; Blum et al. 1996, 2003). The Quintuplet and Arches Clusters are located at about 30 pc in projection from the GC. Both clusters contain hundreds of massive O–B stars.
and have ages of 2–4 Myr (Figer et al. 1999). These clusters are thought to be the low-mass analog of the young “super star clusters” found in external galaxies (Allen et al. 1990; Nagata et al. 1996; Cotera et al. 1996; Figer et al. 1999).

One stellar population that has been studied across a broader area (~200 pc) centered on the GC is the OH/IR stars (among others, Habing et al. 1983; Lindqvist et al. 1992; Sjouwerman et al. 1998). OH/IR stars are oxygen rich asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars that are characterized by long period pulsations and high mass loss. Studies suggest that there are two distinct populations of OH/IR stars observed toward the GC, which are separated both spatially and kinematically (Lindqvist et al. 1992). The OH/IR stars that are more closely confined to the Galactic plane and that have a net prograde rotational velocity in the GC are also found to have higher OH maser expansion velocities than other OH/IR stars in the GC (Lindqvist et al. 1992). A higher expansion velocity requires either that the star is more luminous than the average (thus, a more massive and younger star), or that it has a higher dust-to-gas ratio (and thus a higher metallicity).

Four other infrared studies have surveyed areas within 200 pc including the CMZ. The Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006) and the Deep Near Infrared Survey (DENIS; Epchtein et al. 1997) were limited by their wavelength range between 1.2 and 2.2 μm, which was inadequate to characterize the more obscured regions. The Midcourse Space Experiment (MSX) observed between 6 and 25 μm and included the CMZ in its survey of the Galactic plane (Price et al. 2001). The angular resolution of MSX (18" at 8.3 μm), however, was only sufficient to identify the brightest isolated individual stars. Finally, portions of the CMZ were observed with ISO-CAM as part of the ISOGAL survey, with ~6" angular resolution at 7 μm and ~13" angular resolution at 15 μm (Omont et al. 2003). The ISOGAL survey has been used to select young stellar object (YSO) candidates in the GC (Felli et al. 2002; Schuller et al. 2006) within the restricted area coverage of the survey.

We have obtained Spitzer IRAC observations of the central 2.0′ × 1.4′ (~280 × 200 pc, including the CMZ) of the Galaxy at 3.6 – 8 μm in Cycle 1 (GO 3677, PI: Stolovy). These data represent the highest spatial resolution (~2") and sensitivity uniform large-scale map made to date of the GC at mid-infrared wavelengths. The IRAC data display complex filamentary structures in the interstellar medium (S. Stolovy et al. 2008, in preparation) and allow us to detect optically obscured stellar sources. In this paper, we present details on the data reduction and point-source extraction (§ 2). A catalog of the IRAC point-source band merged with 2MASS photometry is presented in § 3. This catalog contains 1,065,565 point sources uniformly covering the CMZ. The point-source magnitude distributions are discussed in § 4 and the point-source distributions with Galactic coordinates are examined in § 5. A discussion of the nature of the point sources in the catalog is presented in § 6. This is the first paper in an upcoming series on the Spitzer IRAC observations of the Galactic center.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA PROCESSING

The Infrared Array Camera (IRAC; Fazio et al. 2004) on board the Spitzer Space Telescope (Werner et al. 2004) was used to map the central regions of the Galaxy, with a spatial coverage of about 2.0′ in Galactic longitude by 1.4′ in Galactic latitude. Details of the observations and data processing are given in S. Stolovy et al. (2008, in preparation), but we provide a brief summary here.

Each IRAC detector has a 5.2′ × 5.2′ field of view comprising 256 × 256 pixels and a mean pixel scale of 1.22″ per pixel. The four cameras have wavelengths of 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0 μm for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Because all four cameras do not see the exact same region of sky simultaneously and because of orientation constraints, a larger region was mapped to cover fully the desired central 2.0′ × 1.4′ region. We used the shortest frame time (2 s) available for the full-array mode, corresponding to an on-source effective integration time of 1.2 s per pixel. We took five dithered exposures (or frames) on the sky for each pointing, giving a total average on-sky integration time of 6 s. This dithering strategy allows us to correct for bad pixels, scattered light, and latent images and provided improved sampling of the point-spread function. Additional processing as described in S. Stolovy et al. (2008, in preparation) was performed on the Spitzer Science Center (SSC) pipeline version S13.2 Basic Calibrated Data (BCD) products to correct various artifacts (scattered light, latent images, column pulldown, and banding), producing much improved BCD frames and mosaics. One electronic artifact that was not corrected due to its nonlinear nature was the “bandwidth effect.” This artifact causes extra “sources” to appear 4 pixels away (and in some cases 8 pixels away) from very bright sources in channels 3 and 4 along the readout direction (IRAC Data Handbook, ver. 3.0, § 4.3.3). Thus, a few of these artifacts remain in our final mosaics.

Additional observations were taken in the IRAC subarray mode for areas in the survey that were affected by saturation. These regions include the Central Cluster and the Quintuplet cluster, plus 12 individual pointings. In subarray mode, a small section of the array is read out (32 × 32 pixels = 40″ × 40″), and we used the shortest exposure time available of 0.02 s.

2.1. Mosaicking

We used the SSC Mosaicking and Point Source Extraction (MOPEX) package, version 030106 (available from the SSC Web page1) to create mosaics, extract point sources, and create source subtracted mosaics of the full-array data. MOPEX is composed of a series of PERL scripts. We used mosaic.pl to create mosaics, apex.pl to detect and measure fluxes of point sources, and apex qa.pl to create source subtracted images. The script mosaic.pl performs interpolation and co-addition of FITS images, with the additional functionality of detection of outliers. The outliers are due to radiation hits, hot pixels, and bad pixels. There are three outlier detection algorithms implemented within mosaic.pl. The single frame outlier detection algorithm performs spatial filtering within an individual BCD frame, flagging outliers above a user-defined flux threshold and below a user-defined size. The multiframe outlier and the dual outlier detection algorithms determine outlier pixels by stacking pixels taken in different exposures but at the same spatial location. The BCD frames are spatially matched by coincident point sources. The multiframe outlier computes the statistics of the stacked pixels and finds the outliers above a user defined σ threshold. The dual outlier detection algorithm first detects all sources above a user defined ρ threshold and then compares the number of detections for each spatial location to discriminate the outliers from the real sources.

The optimization of the parameters of the outlier detection algorithms for our confusion-limited data was the most challenging part of the creation of the mosaics. The single-frame outlier and the dual outlier algorithms flagged many real point sources as outliers, even using the most conservative set of input parameters, mainly due to crowding of point sources in our images. Therefore, we adopted only the multiframe outlier detection algorithm with a conservative threshold of 50 σ, 50 σ, 60 σ, and 25 σ,

1 See online at http://ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu/postbcd/download-mopez.html.
for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, which gave satisfactory results in terms of the number of pixels flagged as outliers per BCD frame per unit of exposure time and the lack of real point sources flagged as outliers. The expected number of radiation hits in one single IRAC frame is approximately $3 - 6$ pixels s$^{-1}$ in channels 1 and 2, and approximately $4 - 8$ pixels s$^{-1}$ in channels 3 and 4. Our observations comprise a total of $2895$ individual BCD frames per channel, with an integration time of $1.2$ s per frame. This predicts a total number of radiation hits of about $10,000 - 21,000$ pixels in channels 1 and 2, and about $14,000 - 28,000$ pixels in channels 3 and 4. The total numbers of flagged outliers determined by MOPEX using the parameters described above were about $26,000$, $26,000$, $32,000$, and $14,000$ pixels in channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, which are similar to expected values.

It is crucial to create a clean mosaic at each channel before attempting to extract the point sources. Our final mosaics represent a significant improvement over available SSC pipeline data products. The top panels of Figures 1 and 2 show the final full-array mosaics for channels 1 and 4, covering a field of view of $2.0' \times 1.4'$, centered on $l = 0.0$, $b = 0.0$ (Galactic north is up, Galactic east is to the left). The mosaics are shown in reverse gray scale with the same scale. The circular areas shown in the bottom panel are centered on $l = 359.946$, $b = -0.0378$; $l = 0.166$, $b = 0.1162$; $l = 0.386$, $b = 0.2702$; and $l = 0.606$, $b = 0.4242$. These circular areas are used in this paper to study the distribution of point sources in locations with different source densities.

2.2. Source Extraction from Full-Array Data

The source extraction was performed using the MOPEX script `apex.pl`, set up so it uses data products created with the MOPEX script `mosaic.pl`, in particular those concerning outlier detection. The script `apex.pl` performs the source detection in a background-subtracted mosaic. It provides two measurements of the flux; one comes from a point response function (PRF) fitting, and the other comes from an aperture measurement.

The PRF is the telescope point-spread function convolved with the instrument response function. The algorithm that fits the PRF to the BCD sources allows a determination of the local background, which is advisable to use in crowded fields with variable background level such as those observed in the GC. Note that the flux uncertainty and the signal to noise ratio (S/N) as provided by `apex.pl` are the uncertainty and S/N of the PRF fitting algorithm. The PRFs used in the flux measurement were provided by the SSC.

The aperture flux measurement is performed on the mosaic image. The usual background estimates include performing the aperture measurement on a median filtered mosaic or using an annulus around the detected sources. Neither of these two methods for estimating the background are appropriate for our survey due to point-source crowding and variable and high background levels. Instead, we used the local background determined by

![Fig. 1.—IRAC channel 1 (3.6 μm) mosaic (top) and source subtracted mosaic (bottom) of the GC, covering an area of 2.0' x 1.4', centered on l = 0.0, b = 0.0 (Galactic north is up, Galactic east is to the left). The mosaics are shown in reverse gray scale with the same scale. The circular areas shown in the bottom panel are centered on l = 359.946, b = -0.0378; l = 0.166, b = 0.1162; l = 0.386, b = 0.2702; and l = 0.606, b = 0.4242. These circular areas are used in this paper to study the distribution of point sources in locations with different source densities.](image1)

![Fig. 2.—IRAC channel 4 (8 μm) mosaic (top) and source subtracted mosaic (bottom) of the GC, covering an area of 2.0' x 1.4', centered on l = 0.0, b = 0.0 (Galactic north is up, Galactic east is to the left). The mosaics are shown in reverse gray scale with the same scale. The box plotted in the bottom panel shows the location of the blown up section detailed on Fig. 3.](image2)
the PRF fitting to subtract the background contribution to the corresponding aperture flux. We measured the flux within a small aperture of 2 pixel radius (about 2.44") to avoid confusion, then subtracted the background contribution, and finally applied the corresponding aperture correction. The value of the aperture corrections are 1.213, 1.234, 1.379, and 1.584 for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, as provided in the IRAC Data Handbook, version 3.0. The script apex.pl does not provide a measure of the uncertainty of the aperture flux. We estimate the aperture flux uncertainty by performing an aperture measurement of the same size as the photometric aperture directly in the mosaic of the uncertainty images (data product of mosaic.pl).

The source extraction was performed in each of the 12 astronomical observation requests (AORs) separately, because of the lack of enough computing memory to process the entire data set simultaneously. The resulting source lists for each AOR were combined to obtain a total source list for the whole survey. During this process we also rejected sources that were within a certain radius from a bright source (threshold >30) and sources that were detected on top of extended emission in channels 3 and 4. The radius of avoidance was 4.5" from a bright source. To discriminate between a point source and an extended source, we use the fact that a point source should have the same aperture-corrected flux independent of the size of the aperture used. We flagged a source as extended if the aperture-corrected flux from a 3 pixel radius aperture differs by more than 15% from a 2 pixel radius aperture-corrected flux. Sources flagged as extended are not included in the catalog.

We found that the PRF fluxes and aperture fluxes agreed to within 12% overall. We did, however, find that the PRF fluxes were systematically lower than the aperture fluxes by 13%–12% for channels 1 and 2, and higher by 7% for channel 4. No significant difference was found for channel 3. This difference is likely to arise from errors in PRF normalization. We measured the difference between the aperture fluxes and the PRF fluxes by first determining the IRAC colors of foreground sources with low amounts of reddening. Most of the foreground stars are expected to be red giant stars, whose IRAC colors should be near zero (M. Cohen 2006, private communication; IRAC Handbook). Schultheis et al. (1999) and Dutra et al. (2003) have determined extinction maps at the GC distance. The minimum extinction observed for a source located at the distance of the GC is $A_K = 1$ mag (Schultheis et al. 1999; Dutra et al. 2003). We therefore selected as foreground stars those sources with $A_K < 1$ or $(J - K_s) < 1.5$. There are 6816 sources in our source list that have $(J - K) < 1.5$, and their mean IRAC colors are listed in Table 1, for both PRF and aperture magnitudes. Aperture IRAC colors are closer to zero than PRF IRAC colors. The PRF method, however, is generally superior than aperture photometry in crowded fields, and also shows less scatter at fainter magnitudes. We adjusted the

| COLOR | PRF | APERTURE | FINAL |
|-------|-----|----------|-------|
| [3.6]–[4.5] | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| [3.6]–[5.8] | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.11 |
| [3.6]–[8.0] | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.16 |
| [4.5]–[5.8] | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.10 |
| [4.5]–[8.0] | 0.35 | 0.08 | 0.15 |
| [5.8]–[8.0] | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.05 |

Table 1: Mean IRAC Colors of Foreground Sources
PRF fluxes for channels 1, 2, and 4 such that the median ratio of the two extraction methods was 1. The multiplicative factors applied to the PRF fluxes were 1.13, 1.12, 1.00, and 0.93 for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The IRAC colors of the final photometry are also listed in Table 1.

We produced point-source–subtracted images, using the MOPEX script apex_.qa.p1, to assess the effectiveness of the extraction and to compare the flux results obtained with the PRF fitting and aperture photometry. We found that the PRF fitting occasionally failed, producing a flux that was much too high. For the cases in which the adjusted-PRF/aperture flux ratio exceeded 1.5, we adopted the aperture value of the flux and its corresponding uncertainty was derived as described above. The source-subtracted residual images show even fainter sources, but we did not attempt to extract them. In addition, the brighter sources close to saturation in the nonlinear regime and therefore do not match the shape of the PRF. We did not attempt to subtract highly saturated sources.

The source-subtracted mosaics for channels 1 and 4 are shown in the bottom panels of Figures 1 and 2. The four circular areas shown in the bottom panel of Figure 1 are used in §4 to study the distribution of point sources in different locations within our field of view. The circular areas have a radius of $5^\prime$, and they are centered on $l = 359.946, b = -0.0378$; $l = 0.166, b = 0.1162$; $l = 0.386, b = 0.2702$; and $l = 0.606, b = 0.4242$.

Figure 3 shows a $10^\prime \times 10^\prime$ field of view centered on $(l = 0.3523, b = -0.17427)$, marked as a box in the bottom panel of Figure 2. Figure 3 shows the differences in source densities and extended emission among the different IRAC channels. Residuals in channel 4 are the smallest because the PRF is better sampled than in the other channels.

The total number of sources detected at a level of 3σ or above in each channel was: 735,020, 700,923, 493,207, and 323,512 for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. All the sources detected and measured by MOPEX at the 3σ level are listed in Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5. The columns of Tables 2–5 are as follows: source identification, IRAC channel, position (equatorial and galactic), flux in mJy, flux uncertainty in mJy, number of observations, and method.

### Table 2

| Source ID | Channel | R.A. (J2000.0) | Decl. (J2000.0) | $l$ | $b$ | Flux (mJy) | Flux Unc. (mJy) | $N_{\text{obs}}$ | S/N | Method |
|----------|---------|---------------|----------------|-----|----|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----|--------|
| GC-IRAC1-000001 | 1 | 17 40 11.07 | -29 20 57.3 | 359.02435920 | 0.79220897 | 13.590 | 0.372 | 2 | 815.0 | APC |
| GC-IRAC1-000002 | 1 | 17 40 11.15 | -29 20 52.3 | 359.02569293 | 0.79268906 | 8.159 | 0.135 | 2 | 321.7 | APC |
| GC-IRAC1-000003 | 1 | 17 40 11.19 | -29 21 04.8 | 359.02283701 | 0.79073782 | 5.534 | 0.342 | 2 | 11.4 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC1-000004 | 1 | 17 40 11.20 | -29 21 14.0 | 359.02068282 | 0.78935613 | 2.645 | 0.116 | 2 | 5.7 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC1-000005 | 1 | 17 40 11.70 | -29 21 15.5 | 359.02129310 | 0.78760658 | 7.707 | 0.166 | 2 | 31.6 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC1-000006 | 1 | 17 40 11.88 | -29 21 04.3 | 359.02428009 | 0.78698908 | 2.875 | 0.103 | 2 | 16.5 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC1-000007 | 1 | 17 40 11.89 | -29 20 57.9 | 359.02581916 | 0.78959725 | 2.958 | 0.109 | 2 | 17.0 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC1-000008 | 1 | 17 40 11.97 | -29 16 23.4 | 359.09058043 | 0.82984460 | 1.704 | 0.081 | 2 | 12.9 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC1-000009 | 1 | 17 40 12.26 | -29 21 03.8 | 359.02512173 | 0.78758333 | 1.661 | 0.082 | 2 | 12.0 | PRF |

Notes.—Table 2 is published in its entirety in the electronic edition of the Supplement. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content. Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.

### Table 3

| Source ID | Channel | R.A. (J2000.0) | Decl. (J2000.0) | $l$ | $b$ | Flux (mJy) | Flux Unc. (mJy) | $N_{\text{obs}}$ | S/N | Method |
|----------|---------|---------------|----------------|-----|----|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----|--------|
| GC-IRAC2-000001 | 2 | 17 40 11.03 | -29 28 01.2 | 358.92449479 | 0.72982023 | 12.768 | 0.554 | 2 | 124.3 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC2-000002 | 2 | 17 40 11.48 | -29 27 52.0 | 358.92753029 | 0.72979809 | 1.755 | 0.074 | 2 | 19.5 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC2-000003 | 2 | 17 40 11.48 | -29 28 02.5 | 358.92505842 | 0.72822659 | 2.197 | 0.086 | 2 | 21.4 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC2-000004 | 2 | 17 40 11.81 | -29 27 56.4 | 358.92712847 | 0.72815945 | 1.282 | 0.070 | 2 | 12.5 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC2-000005 | 2 | 17 40 12.19 | -29 23 09.4 | 358.99542952 | 0.76930396 | 20.899 | 0.290 | 2 | 93.5 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC2-000006 | 2 | 17 40 12.21 | -29 27 46.2 | 358.93030479 | 0.72838905 | 8.130 | 0.155 | 2 | 68.4 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC2-000007 | 2 | 17 40 12.33 | -29 22 59.1 | 358.99812850 | 0.77039026 | 1.567 | 0.077 | 2 | 10.0 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC2-000008 | 2 | 17 40 12.58 | -29 27 53.1 | 358.92940792 | 0.72623917 | 69.317 | 0.679 | 2 | 411.6 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC2-000009 | 2 | 17 40 12.67 | -29 23 06.4 | 358.99705877 | 0.76823969 | 41.474 | 0.482 | 2 | 236.6 | PRF |
| GC-IRAC2-000010 | 2 | 17 40 12.78 | -29 27 48.3 | 358.93092495 | 0.72633266 | 2.025 | 0.092 | 2 | 10.2 | PRF |

Notes.—Table 3 is published in its entirety in the electronic edition of the Supplement. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content. Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.
right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.

1–4 such that the IRAC astrometry in all channels should now match the 2MASS astrometry in our final catalog.

Figure 5 shows the cumulative distribution of percentage flux uncertainty, derived as described above. The cumulative distribution of the percentage flux uncertainty for the four IRAC channels is shown in separate panels. The open symbols denote the cumulative distribution of percentage flux uncertainties for all the sources in each of the IRAC channels. We found that 90% of the sources in our survey have a percentage flux uncertainty less than 4.0%, 5.0%, 31% and 28% for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, corresponding to the typical percentage flux uncertainties in our survey. In addition, 99%, 99%, 64%, 56% of the channel 1, 2, 3, and 4 sources, respectively, have a percentage flux uncertainty less than 10%. The solid, dashed, and dotted lines in Figure 5 show the cumulative distribution of percentage flux uncertainties for sources of three different source brightness ranges: bright, medium, and faint, respectively, as defined in § 4. The distribution of percentage flux uncertainties for channels 3 and 4 is dominated by the distribution of percentage flux uncertainties for faint sources. Channel 3 and 4 mosaics show a wide range in background levels on top of which faint sources are dominated and well fit with a Gaussian distribution. PRFs were made using both XStarFinder and DAOPHOT and it was determined that the point-source–subtracted residual images were superior for the DAOPHOT PRFs; thus, the PRFs from DAOPHOT were used in the source extraction.

For each input mosaic, the surface brightness error per pixel was computed from each input mosaic directly. This error is photon-noise–dominated and well fit with a Gaussian distribution. The flux errors for the extracted point sources are statistical only and do not reflect differences in the flux estimate that may arise from methodology, e.g., using a different set of extraction parameters such as the background smoothing box. We expect that the systematic errors may exceed the quoted random errors.

### 2.3. Source Extraction from Subarray Data

In order to recover useful photometry from the small saturated regions in the full-array observations, we performed photometry on subarray data, which consists of mosaics of the Central Cluster (Sgr A) and the Quintuplet Cluster, plus 12 individual pointings. We used the interactive IDL program XStarFinder (Dioiai et al. 2000), because the parameters used for the full-array data using MOPEX were not appropriate for the small subarray observations. We also tested photometry using the IRAF source extraction program DAOPHOT, but found that DAOPHOT gave less reliable results than XStarFinder.

The PRF was constructed from a composite of subarray observations of well exposed, isolated single sources. These sources were chosen from the 12 individual pointing observations, excluding observations with higher than typical noise or with other stars within a ~10" radius of the main source. PRFs were made using both XStarFinder and DAOPHOT and it was determined that the point-source–subtracted residual images were superior for the DAOPHOT PRFs; thus, the PRFs from DAOPHOT were used in the source extraction.

### Table 5

| Source ID     | Channel (J2000.0) | Decl. (J2000.0) | l   | b   | Flux (mJy) | Flux Unc. (mJy) | N_{obs} | S/N | Method |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|-----|-----|------------|-----------------|--------|-----|--------|
| GC-IRAC4-000001 | 4 17 40 12.18    | -29 32 20.1    | 358.86576803 | 0.68811768 | 3.358       | 0.261           | 2      | 49000.0 | APC    |
| GC-IRAC4-000002 | 4 17 40 12.23    | -29 27 46.2    | 358.93034169 | 0.72836161 | 2.477       | 0.563           | 1      | 10.2   | PRF    |
| GC-IRAC4-000003 | 4 17 40 12.26    | -29 23 20.6    | 358.99291905 | 0.76741055 | 4.660       | 0.489           | 2      | 20.0   | PRF    |
| GC-IRAC4-000004 | 4 17 40 12.28    | -29 23 17.3    | 358.99319161 | 0.7693178  | 7.815       | 0.424           | 2      | 33.6   | PRF    |
| GC-IRAC4-000005 | 4 17 40 12.59    | -29 27 53.1    | 358.92940149 | 0.72622137 | 24.106      | 0.649           | 2      | 77.2   | PRF    |
| GC-IRAC4-000006 | 4 17 40 12.68    | -29 23 06.4    | 358.99707024 | 0.76821160 | 17.530      | 0.551           | 2      | 76.8   | PRF    |
| GC-IRAC4-000007 | 4 17 40 12.98    | -29 23 16.1    | 358.99537641 | 0.76586405 | 1.402       | 0.489           | 2      | 1.0    | PRF    |
| GC-IRAC4-000008 | 4 17 40 12.99    | -29 32 43.3    | 358.86187264 | 0.68219639 | 33.694      | 0.530           | 2      | 70.9   | PRF    |
| GC-IRAC4-000009 | 4 17 40 13.14    | -29 23 19.0    | 358.99500161 | 0.76494954 | 2.809       | 0.378           | 2      | 13.2   | PRF    |
| GC-IRAC4-000010 | 4 17 40 13.28    | -29 27 58.8    | 358.92940310 | 0.72326540 | 6.769       | 0.448           | 2      | 29.1   | PRF    |

Notes.—Table 5 is published in its entirety in the electronic edition of the Supplement. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content. Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.
median smoothing size of 7 times the FWHM was used for the background determination.

Table 6 lists the subarray photometric results including 13 sources in the dozen individual pointings or "sat" fields, 104 sources located in the Central Cluster or "sgra" field, and 90 sources located in the Quintuplet Cluster or "quint" field. The subarray source table lists the brightness and its uncertainty in magnitudes for each IRAC channel.

3. CATALOG OF POINT SOURCES IN THE GALACTIC CENTER

We bandmerged our IRAC full-array source list for each channel with the sources in the 2MASS catalog (Skrutskie et al. 2006) located in the same field of view as our IRAC observations. The merging procedure was done as follows. We first matched and merged, via a positional association, channel 1 and 2, then channel 3, then channel 4, and finally, 2MASS. A match was defined as the closest counterpart within a $1''$ radius. The position used for the final merged list was always that corresponding to the shortest IRAC wavelength at which a source is seen. The subarray photometry was incorporated into the band merged list. The full-array photometry of each of the 13 sources in the sat fields was individually replaced by the subarray photometry. All the sources with full-array photometry located within 40$''$ of the Central Cluster ($\text{R.A.} = 17^h45^m40.0^s$, decl. $= -29^\circ00'28''$) and within 42$''$ of the Quintuplet Cluster ($\text{R.A.} = 17^h46^m16.1^s$, decl. $= -28^\circ53'43''$) were discarded. The subarray photometry of the sgra and quint fields were added to the band merged list.

The merged source list was further studied and additional flags regarding the detection reliability, position comments, and photometric quality were added. Magnitudes for each of the IRAC channels were computed using zero-point fluxes of 280.9, 179.7, 115.0, and 64.13 Jy, for IRAC channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, as provided by Reach et al. (2005).

One of the qualities studied in the band merged source list was flux saturation for the full-array photometry. We needed to explore whether the saturation values for point sources provided by the IRAC documentation were appropriate for our survey. Figure 6 shows color magnitude diagrams (CMDs) using each IRAC magnitude and the $K_s$ magnitude from 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006). Only nonsaturated $K_s$ magnitudes with 2MASS photometric quality flags (ph_ qua1) equal to "A" ($S/N > 10$) are included in Figure 6. The gray scale shows the number density distribution of sources, with white being the highest density. Any anomalies in the bright regime of the CMDs are due to nonlinear and saturation effects in the IRAC magnitudes. The horizontal
Fig. 5.—Cumulative distribution of flux uncertainties. All sources observed in each IRAC channel are included in the cumulative distributions, plotted with filled symbols. The solid, dashed, and dotted lines show the cumulative distribution of flux uncertainties for sources of three different source brightness ranges, respectively, bright, medium, and faint.

Table 6

| ID    | Field | R.A. (J2000.0) | Decl. (J2000.0) | $l$   | $b$   | [3.6] (mag) | Mag. Unc. | [4.5] (mag) | Mag. Unc. | [5.8] (mag) | Mag. Unc. | [8.0] (mag) | Mag. Unc. |
|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|-------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| 1.....| Sat   | 17 46 02.16    | -28 57 23.6    | 0.02995207 | -0.08824025 | 4.197      | 0.003     | 2.705      | 0.002     | 1.610      | 0.001     | 0.970      | 0.001     |
| 2.....| Sat   | 17 44 51.28    | -29 25 55.0    | 0.09145207 | -0.10735842 | 3.078      | 0.002     | 2.524      | 0.002     | 2.030      | 0.002     | 1.697      | 0.002     |
| 3.....| Sat   | 17 47 44.84    | -28 26 36.5    | 0.66327339  | -0.14292245 | 4.701      | 0.004     | 3.196      | 0.002     | 2.091      | 0.002     | 1.491      | 0.002     |
| 4.....| Sat   | 17 45 28.65    | -28 56 05.0    | 0.35984958  | 0.02744322  | 6.580      | 0.010     | 5.205      | 0.006     | 3.692      | 0.004     | 1.428      | 0.002     |
| 5.....| Sat   | 17 45 01.66    | -29 26 05.1    | 0.35907146  | -0.14965396 | 2.701      | 0.001     | 2.300      | 0.001     | 1.813      | 0.002     | 1.400      | 0.002     |
| 6.....| Sat   | 17 46 45.24    | -28 15 45.6    | 0.70422207  | 0.13739486  | 2.997      | 0.002     | 1.760      | 0.001     | 0.939      | 0.001     | 0.629      | 0.001     |
| 7.....| Sat   | 17 47 37.62    | -29 03 28.1    | 0.12387807  | -0.43814137 | 2.219      | 0.001     | 1.942      | 0.001     | 1.492      | 0.001     | 1.034      | 0.001     |
| 8.....| Sat   | 17 47 19.87    | -29 11 54.7    | 0.35997003  | -0.45571545 | 6.162      | 0.018     | 5.067      | 0.006     | 4.159      | 0.006     | 3.167      | 0.005     |
| 9.....| Sat   | 17 47 20.17    | -29 11 59.1    | 0.35996952  | -0.45730964 | 6.533      | 0.010     | 3.907      | 0.003     | 2.545      | 0.002     | 1.843      | 0.002     |
| 10....| Sat   | 17 44 34.94    | -29 04 35.5    | 0.35976182  | 0.12032232  | 5.141      | 0.004     | 3.940      | 0.003     | 2.919      | 0.003     | 2.151      | 0.002     |

Notes.—Table 6 is published in its entirety in the electronic edition of the Supplement. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content. Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.
The dotted lines show the magnitudes corresponding to the saturation fluxes of 190, 200, 1400, and 740 mJy (7.92, 7.38, 4.79, and 4.84 mag) for IRAC channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, as provided by the Spitzer Observer’s Manual, version 7.1. The slanted dashed lines correspond to the completeness limit of $K_s = 12.3$ for the 2MASS point-source catalog within a 6$''$ radius of the GC (Cutri et al. 2003, § IV.7). Figure 6 also demonstrates that the saturation fluxes provided by the Spitzer Observer’s Manual, version 7.1, are indeed appropriately applied to our survey, as can be seen from the anvil-shaped tops of the CMDs for channels 1 and 2. Note that the point-source fluxes are superimposed on a high background, and the combination of both is likely to explain the saturation level appearing to be conservative when it really is appropriate. Saturated sources are retained in our point-source catalog, as long as they are recognized as a point source by the apex.pl script. If the flux of a source is greater than the saturation flux provided by the Spitzer Observer’s Manual, then all its measured quantities are kept in the catalog, but the flux flag of that source in that channel is set to “3” in our final catalog.

The coverage of our survey has some incompleteness due to the fact that the four IRAC cameras do not see exactly the same region of the sky. The coverage for each source at each channel was determined by measuring the value of the pipeline coverage map at the position of each source. The coverage value is the same as the number of available BCD frames at the position of each source, and it is also listed in our final catalog for each channel. The location of each of the sources is flagged in our catalog by the position flag. If a source is located within 40$''$ of the Central Cluster (R.A. = 17$^h$45$^m$40.0$^s$, decl. = $-29^\circ50^\prime$28$''$), the position flag is set to “2.” If a source is located within 42$''$ of the Quintuplet Cluster (R.A. = 17$^h$46$^m$16.1$^s$, decl. = $-28^\circ53^\prime$43$''$), the position flag is set to 3. The sources with position flag set to 2 and 3 have photometry from the subarray observations.
| Source ID | R.A. (J2000.0) | Decl. (J2000.0) | $l$ | $b$ | 2 + 1 | Pos. | 2MASS ID | $J$ (mag) | $J$ Unc. | $H$ (mag) | $H$ Unc. | $K_s$ (mag) | $K_s$ Unc. | Qual Flag |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----|-----|-------|------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| SSTGC 0156368............. | 17 42 58.64 | −28 39 28.8 | 359.93395 | 0.63899 | 1 | 1 | None | −9.999 | −9.999 | −9.999 | −9.999 | −9.999 | −9.999 | ZZZ |
| SSTGC 0156369............. | 17 42 58.64 | −28 52 13.2 | 359.75333 | 0.52735 | 1 | 1 | 17425864−2852131 | 13.739 | 0.067 | 10.857 | 0.048 | 9.584 | 0.040 | AEA |
| SSTGC 0156370............. | 17 42 58.64 | −29 26 51.8 | 359.26219 | 0.22374 | 0 | 1 | 17425863−2926518 | 15.413 | 0.043 | 13.455 | 0.048 | 12.628 | 0.066 | DAA |
| SSTGC 0156371............. | 17 42 58.64 | −29 32 59.9 | 359.17521 | 0.16997 | 0 | 1 | None | 15.605 | −9.999 | 12.276 | 0.037 | 10.682 | 0.054 | UAA |
| SSTGC 0156372............. | 17 42 58.64 | −28 53 44.9 | 359.73166 | 0.51395 | 0 | 1 | 17425863−2853449 | 15.605 | −9.999 | 12.276 | 0.037 | 10.682 | 0.054 | UAA |

Notes.—Table 7 is published in its entirety in the electronic edition of the Supplement. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content. Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds. Table 7 can also be accessed at the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive (IRSA), available online at http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu.
The reliability of the sources in our band merged list can be estimated by applying the “2 + 1” criterion as defined by the Galactic Legacy Infrared Mid-Plane Survey Extraordinaire (GLIMPSE) version 1.5 Data Products Description (available from the GLIMPSE documents Web page). The \( M/N \) ratio is defined as the ratio between \( M \), the number of detections, over \( N \), the number of possible observations (coverage value). The 2 + 1 criterion requires \( M/N \geq 0.6 \) in one IRAC band, \( M/N \geq 0.4 \) in an adjacent band. Sources that satisfy the GLIMPSE 2 + 1 criterion have the 2 + 1 flag set to “1′” in our final catalog.

Our final catalog of point sources in the GC is listed in Table 7. The columns of our point-source catalog are explained as follows:

Column (1): Source ID.—Designation of the detected source.
Column (2): R.A.—Right ascension in J2000.0.
Column (3): Decl.—Declination in J2000.
Column (4): \( l \)—Galactic longitude.
Column (5): \( b \)—Galactic latitude.
Column (6): 2 + 1 Flag.—Set to 1 when the source satisfies the GLIMPSE 2 + 1 criterion (\( M/N \geq 0.6 \) in one IRAC band, \( M/N \geq 0.4 \) in an adjacent band); set to 0 otherwise.
Column (7): Pos. Flag.—Set to 0 when the source is located in areas of incomplete coverage, set to 2 when the source is within 40″ of the Central Cluster, and set to 3 when the source is within 42″ of the Quintuplet Cluster; otherwise is set to 1.
Column (8): 2MASS ID—2MASS identification number from the 2MASS Catalog; set to “none” when there is no 2MASS counterpart.
Column (9): \( J \)—\( J \) magnitude from the 2MASS Catalog; set to “−9.999″ when not detected.
Column (10): \( J \) Unc.—Uncertainty of \( J \) magnitude from the 2MASS Catalog; set to −9.999 when not measured.
Column (11): \( H \)—\( H \) magnitude from the 2MASS Catalog; set to −9.999 when not detected.
Column (12): \( H \) Unc.—Uncertainty of \( H \) magnitude from the 2MASS Catalog; set to −9.999 when not measured.
Column (13): \( K_s \)—\( K_s \) magnitude from the 2MASS Catalog; set to −9.999 when not detected.
Column (14): \( K_s \) Unc.—Uncertainty of \( K_s \) magnitude from the 2MASS Catalog; set to −9.999 when not measured.
Column (15): Qual. Flag.—Photometric quality flag (ph_qual) from the 2MASS Catalog. It is composed of three letters, one for each 2MASS filter (JHK_s). The letters can be A (\( S/N > 10 \)), B (\( S/N > 7 \)), C (\( S/N > 5 \)), D (no \( S/N \) requirement), E (poor profile-fit photometry), F (detection without photometric uncertainty), U (detection with upper limit on magnitude), or X (detection without brightness estimate). Set to “ZZZ″ when there is no 2MASS counterpart.
Column (16): Channel 1 ID—Channel 1 identification number from point-source list, also listed in column (1) of Table 2; set to none when there is no channel 1 counterpart.
Column (17): [3.6]—Channel 1 magnitude, computed using the flux from column (7) of Table 2 and the corresponding zero-point flux; set to −9.999 when not detected in this IRAC channel.
Column (18): [3.6] Unc.—Uncertainty of channel 1 magnitude, computed using the flux and its uncertainty from columns (7) and (8) of Table 2 and the corresponding zero-point flux; set to −9.999 when not detected in this IRAC channel.
Column (19): [3.6] \( S/N \)—Signal-to-noise ratio of channel 1 magnitude; set to −9.9 when not detected in this IRAC channel.

Column (20): Channel 1 Flag.—Channel 1 mag flag. Set to 1 when flux and hence magnitude comes from PRF fitting algorithm, set to 2 when flux and hence magnitude comes from aperture-corrected measurement, set to 3 when the full-array flux is greater than corresponding saturation limit, set to 4 when the photometry comes from the subarray observations, and set to 0 when there is no detection in this IRAC channel.

Column (21): Channel 1 Cov.—Number of available BCD frames at the position of the source for the corresponding IRAC channel (N).

Column (22): Channel 1 \( M/N \)—Ratio between \( M \) number of detections over \( N \) number of possible observations (Channel 1 Cov.).

Column (23): Channel 2 ID—Same as column (16), but for channel 2.
Column (24): [4.5]—Same as column (17), but for channel 2.
Column (25): [4.5] Unc.—Same as column (18), but for channel 2.
Column (26): [4.5] \( S/N \)—Same as column (19), but for channel 2.
Column (27): Channel 2 Flag—Same as column (20), but for channel 2.

\[2\text{ See online at http://www.astro.wisc.edu/sirtf/docs.html.}\]
Column (28): Channel 2 Cov.—Same as column (21), but for channel 2.
Column (29): Channel 2 M/N—Same as column (22), but for channel 2.
Column (30): Channel 3 ID—Same as column (16), but for channel 3.
Column (31): [5.8]—Same as column (17), but for channel 3.
Column (32): [5.8] Unc.—Same as column (18), but for channel 3.
Column (33): [5.8] S/N—Same as column (19), but for channel 3.
Column (34): Channel 3 Flag—Same as column (20), but for channel 3.
Column (35): Channel 3 Cov.—Same as column (21), but for channel 3.
Column (36): Channel 3 M/N—Same as column (22), but for channel 3.
Column (37): Channel 4 ID—Same as column (16), but for channel 4.
Column (38): [8.0]—Same as column (17), but for channel 4.
Column (39): [8.0] Unc.—Same as column (18), but for channel 4.
Column (40): [8.0] S/N—Same as column (19), but for channel 4.
Column (41): Channel 4 Flag—Same as column (20), but for channel 4.
Column (42): Channel 4 Cov.—Same as column (21), but for channel 4.
Column (43): Channel 4 M/N—Same as column (22), but for channel 4.

There are a total of 1,065,565 sources in our final catalog; 656,673 of those satisfy the GLIMPSE \(2 + 1\) criterion and have \(S/N > 10\); they are considered to be highly reliable sources. We summarize other relevant statistics for our catalog in Table 8. Two asteroids were found in the field of view at the time of our observations. Asteroid Alikoski appears in the final catalog as sources SSTGC 0629833 and SSTGC 0636843 (twice, because it moved between channel 3 and 4 coverage) and asteroid 459 Signe is in the final catalog as source SSTGC 0216539.
Areas close to the edges of our survey overlap with the GLIMPSE II (PI: Churchwell) observations. We have compared the photometry of our sources with S/N > 10 to the photometry of the GLIMPSE II Highly Reliable Catalog. There are 184,392, 160,387, 132,077, and 72,065 sources positionally matched within 1° between our catalog and that of GLIMPSE. The mean difference in magnitudes between our photometry and GLIMPSE is 0.11, 0.06, 0.00, and 0.03 for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The standard deviations of the same differences are 0.15, 0.15, 0.17, and 0.18 mag for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The GLIMPSE photometry is expected to have uncertainties less than 0.2 mag for most of its sources, according to the GLIMPSE Quality Assurance Document, version 1.0. Thus, the observed differences are therefore less than the expected photometric uncertainties.

4. MAGNITUDE DISTRIBUTIONS

Figure 7 shows the magnitude distribution of point-source detections for each of the channels in our survey. Only the sources located within the uniform coverage box (−1.0 ≤ l ≤ 1.0, −0.7 ≤ b ≤ 0.7) are included in the determination of the magnitude distributions. The open symbols show the magnitude distribution for all the sources, and the filled symbols show the distribution for the sources which satisfy the 2 + 1 criterion and have a S/N greater than 10.

Figure 7 shows that the magnitude distributions have a similar shape in all the IRAC channels. There is a steep slope of increasing number of stars with increasing magnitude at the brightest magnitudes. This steep slope flattens around a “bright turnover” magnitude of 9.0, 8.6, 8.2, and 8.2 mag for channels 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The number of stars increases more slowly with increasing magnitude at magnitudes fainter than the bright turnover. Finally, there is a “faint cutoff” followed by a steep slope of decreasing number of stars with increasing magnitude. The faint cutoff for all the sources (open symbols in Fig. 7) is 12.4, 12.1, 11.7, and 11.2 for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The faint cutoff for the sources which satisfy the 2 + 1 criterion and have S/N > 10 (filled symbols in Fig. 7) is 12.0, 11.8, 11.2, and 10.8 for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Hereafter, we define the “bright” magnitude range as those magnitudes brighter than the bright turnover magnitude, the “medium” magnitude range as those magnitudes between the bright turnover and the...
faint cutoff, and the “faint” magnitude range as those magnitudes fainter than the faint cutoff.

We plot a subset of magnitude distributions drawn from small regions within our GC mosaic to understand features of the magnitude distribution. Only sources satisfying the $2 + 1$ criterion and with $S/N > 10$ are included in the determination of these magnitude distributions. Figure 8 shows the magnitude distributions of four circular areas located along a diagonal going away from the GC, but avoiding dark clouds, as plotted in the bottom panel of Figure 1. The solid, dotted, dashed, and dash-dotted lines show the magnitude distributions of these four locations in order of increasing distance to the GC. There are about 2800, 2700, 2000, and 1200 sources in each 5° radius circular area for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

Figure 8 shows that, at this angular scale (10°), the magnitude distributions have the same shape as the magnitude distribution for the entire field of view (2.0' $\times$ 1.4') as shown in Figure 7. The main difference among the magnitude distributions of the circular areas is that they seem to shift toward fainter magnitudes with increasing distance to the GC. As a consequence, the circular area located at the GC (solid line) has at least a factor of 3 more bright sources than the circular area located farthest from the GC (dash-dotted line). For example, at $[3.6] = 9.0$, $[4.5] = 9.0$, $[5.8] = 7.0$, and $[8.0] = 7.5$ mag, the ratio of bright sources between the two areas is 3.0, 3.5, 5.0, and 5.5, respectively.

The faint cutoff of the magnitude distributions can be interpreted as due to confusion. Figure 8 shows that confusion is occurring at brighter magnitudes as one gets closer to the GC. The confusion limits suggested in Figure 8 for channels 1 and 2 range from about 8.5 mag in the circular area located at the GC (solid line) to 13 mag in the circular area located the farthest away from the GC (dash-dotted line). The same range of confusion limits for channels 3 and 4 in Figure 8 is 8.0 (solid line) to 12.0 (dash-dotted line).

The fact that we observe more bright sources with decreasing distance to the GC is consistent with previous population studies based on dereddened $K$-band luminosity functions. Blum et al. (1996) computed a dereddened $K$-band luminosity function within 1° (2.3 pc) of the GC and compare it with a similar study at Baade’s Window in the bulge. Blum et al. (1996) found that both $K$-band luminosity functions had the same slope, but there was an overabundance of bright stars in the GC relative to Baade’s Window. Narayanan et al. (1996) constructed a dereddened $K$-band luminosity function for a region of 16' $\times$ 16' (37 $\times$ 37 pc)
centered on the GC, but excluding the inner 2' of the Galaxy. They found a luminosity function intermediate between that of Baade’s Window and the inner 2' of the GC, having an excess of luminous stars over the bulge, but not as many luminous stars as the inner 2'. Figer et al. (2004) obtained dereddened 2 μm luminosity functions, using high angular resolution observations. They computed synthetic luminosity functions using stellar evolution models and concluded that the observations were best fitted by models of continuous star formation.

All of the near-infrared luminosity functions outside of the Central Cluster show the presence of a bright turnover. The luminosity function of the central 200 pc is known to have an excess of luminous stars relative to bulge fields such as Baade’s Window, and this excess of luminous stars increases closer to the GC (Catchpole et al. 1990; Blum et al. 1996; Narayanan et al. 1996; Philipp et al. 1999; Figer et al. 2004). The variation in the number of the brightest stars with distance to the GC over a large area may cause the presence of the bright turnover. This speculation is complicated by several effects. First, the bright turnover for channels 1 and 2, at the areas close to the GC, occurs very close to the saturation limit (channel 1 and 2 mag of 7.9 and 7.4, respectively). Second, the extinction and local background in the GC are highly spatially variable, even within a 10' field of view. Finally, stellar crowding may artificially enhance the bright end of measured luminosity functions as pointed out by DePoy et al. (1993). Higher angular resolution surveys of the GC area may indeed improve our knowledge of the nature of the bright range sources seen in this survey.

The magnitude distribution shown in Figure 7 can be understood as the integral of individual magnitude distributions such as those plotted in Figure 8. The integral of the individual magnitude distributions is nontrivial to calculate, due to the wide range in stellar density, extinction, background levels, and confusion within our field of view. Complete modeling of the observed magnitude distribution is beyond the scope of the present work and may be addressed in a future work.

5. SOURCE DISTRIBUTION WITH GALACTIC LONGITUDE AND LATITUDE

The overall density of detected point sources with latitude and longitude is essentially constant, a consequence of being confusion limited. The relatively constant number of sources per circular area also indicates that our images are confusion limited. However, interesting structure along Galactic latitude and longitude does appear when we select sources within different magnitude ranges (defined above). The point-source distributions along Galactic latitude and longitude in the different magnitude ranges are shown in Figures 9 and 10. The different panels show

---

**Fig. 10.** Distribution of point sources with Galactic longitude for the three defined brightness ranges, as indicated. Only the sources located within \(-1.0 \leq l \leq 1.0\) and \(-0.7 \leq b \leq 0.7\) are included in the determination of the number density distribution. Symbols are the same as in Fig. 9.
the distribution of the point sources for bright, medium, and faint, as indicated. Circles, triangles, squares, and pentagons correspond to the Galactic coordinate distributions of channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

The structure seen in the bright range is consistent with the fact that more bright sources are observed toward the GC (as discussed in § 4). This agrees well with previous population studies that find an excess of luminous stars in the GC relative to bulge fields (Catchpole et al. 1990; Blum et al. 1996; Narayanan et al. 1996; Philipp et al. 1999; Dutra et al. 2003). The structure along Galactic latitude has a similar shape for all the IRAC channels, but some features are more prominent at longer wavelengths. The sources in the bright range show a steeply decreasing gradient with Galactic latitude at a peak in the position of the GC. The medium range sources show a slowly decreasing gradient with Galactic latitude. Those sources in the faint range show an increasing gradient with Galactic latitude with the minimum at the position of the GC.

Figure 10 illustrates that the structure along Galactic longitude also has the same shape for all the IRAC channels. The sources

in the bright and medium brightness range show a slow decrease with Galactic longitude with a peak at the position of the GC. The sources in the faint range show an increase with Galactic longitude with the minimum at the position of the GC.

6. COLOR-MAGNITUDE AND COLOR-COLOR DIAGRAMS

Figures 11 and 12 show the [8.0] versus [3.6]–[8.0] CMD of all the sources satisfying the 2 + 1 criterion and having both [3.6] and [8.0] magnitudes with a S/N greater than 10. The gray scale shows the number density distribution of sources, with white being the highest density. The arrows show the direction of the reddening vector, using the extinction law from Indebetouw et al. (2005) and the minimum (A\(K\) = 1.0) and maximum (A\(K\) = 6.5) amount of extinction measured toward the GC (Blum et al. 1996; Schultheis et al. 1999; Dutra et al. 2003). The cyan line shows the position below which background galaxies should be located, assuming an extinction of A\(K\) = 1.0 mag. The location of all the point sources with colors bluer than [3.6]–[8.0] = 2.0 can be understood as evolved stars seen through varying amounts of extinction.

Fig. 11.—[8.0] vs. [3.6]–[8.0] color-magnitude diagram. The gray scale shows the number density distribution of sources, with white being the highest density. Only sources satisfying the 2 + 1 criterion and having S/N > 10 are plotted. The arrows show the direction of the reddening vector, using the extinction law from Indebetouw et al. (2005) and the minimum (A\(K\) = 1.0) and maximum (A\(K\) = 6.5) amount of extinction measured toward the GC (Blum et al. 1996; Schultheis et al. 1999; Dutra et al. 2003). The locations of evolved stars are taken from the CMD of the Spitzer SAGE LMC survey (Blum et al. 2006) and placed at the GC distance: red giant stars (red boxes), O-rich AGB stars (blue boxes), C-rich AGB stars (purple boxes), extreme AGB stars (yellow boxes), and supergiant stars (green boxes). The solid-line boxes show the location of objects assuming an extinction of A\(K\) = 1.0 mag, and the dashed-line boxes show the same boxes assuming an extinction of A\(K\) = 6.5 mag.

The cyan line shows the position below which background galaxies should be located, assuming an extinction of A\(K\) = 1.0 mag. The location of all the point sources with colors bluer than [3.6]–[8.0] = 2.0 can be understood as evolved stars seen through varying amounts of extinction.

Fig. 12.—[8.0] vs. [3.6]–[8.0] color-magnitude diagram. The gray scale shows the number density distribution of sources, with white being the highest density. Only sources satisfying the 2 + 1 criterion and having S/N > 10 are plotted. The arrows show the direction of the reddening vector, using the extinction law from Indebetouw et al. (2005) and the minimum (A\(K\) = 1.0) and maximum (A\(K\) = 6.5) amount of extinction measured toward the GC (Blum et al. 1996; Schultheis et al. 1999; Dutra et al. 2003). The cyan line shows the position below which background galaxies should be located, assuming an extinction of A\(K\) = 1.0 mag. The location of all the point sources with colors bluer than [3.6]–[8.0] = 2.0 can be understood as evolved stars seen through varying amounts of extinction.
To determine what types of objects are seen in our survey, we have overplotted the location of evolved stars in the CMD of Figure 11. The location of evolved stars is taken from the [8.0] versus [3.6] – [8.0] CMD of stars in the *Spitzer* Surveying the Agents of a Galaxy’s Evolution (SAGE) survey of the Large Magellanic Cloud (Blum et al. 2006). They determined the location of the tip of the red giant branch, the O-rich and C-rich AGB stars, supergiant stars, and extreme AGB stars from the DENIS and 2MASS analysis of LMC stars by Cioni et al. (2006). We assume a distance modulus to the LMC of 18.48 mag (Borissova et al. 2004), and a distance to the GC of 8.0 kpc (Reid 1993) to determine their location in our observed CMD. In Figure 11 the solid-line boxes show the location of objects assuming an extinction of $A_K = 1.0$ mag, and the dashed-line boxes show the same boxes assuming an extinction of $A_K = 6.5$ mag.

Blum et al. (2006) also noted the location of background galaxies in their CMD. The cyan line in Figure 11 shows the limit below which background galaxies should be observed, assuming an extinction of $A_K = 1.0$ mag. This line is at the edge of our observing limit, and it would be even lower if more extinction is added to it. We conclude that our survey is very unlikely to include background galaxies.

In Figure 11 different colored boxes illustrate the location of different types of stars, including red giants (red), O-rich stars (blue), C-rich stars (purple), extreme AGB stars (yellow), and supergiants (green). The bottom of the solid red giant box (at $[8.0] = 11.67$ mag) marks the [8.0] magnitude of a K0 III star located at the GC observed through $A_K = 1.0$ mag of extinction. There are 183,857 points sources plotted in Figure 11. About 78% of the point sources shown (143,039 in total) lie within the limits of the red solid box denoting the location of the red giant stars with spectral types later than K0 III. The location of all the point sources with colors bluer than [3.6] – [8.0] = 2.0 and with [8.0] magnitudes brighter than 8.0 can be understood as evolved stars seen through varying amounts of extinction with the range of values discussed above.

There are 917 sources in our catalog with [3.6] – [8.0] $\geq 2.0$ and [8.0] $\geq 8.0$. To explore the possibility of finding YSOs among these 917 red objects, we have overplotted the location of YSOs in the CMD of Figure 12. As in Figure 11, the solid-line boxes show the location of objects assuming an extinction of $A_K = 1.0$ mag, and the dashed-line boxes show the same boxes assuming an extinction of $A_K = 6.5$ mag. The cyan line shows the [8.0] magnitude of the brightest low-mass YSO observed in Taurus (Hartmann et al. 2005), assuming a distance to Taurus of 140 pc. This line demonstrates that low-mass YSOs cannot be detected in our survey. Whitney et al. (2004) studied the giant H II region RCW 49, as part of the GLIMPSE legacy program. They determined the location of 2.5, 3.8, and 5.9 $M_\odot$ YSOs, using the radiative transfer models from Whitney et al. (2003). We assume a distance of 4.2 kpc to RCW 49 (Churchwell et al. 2004) and a GC distance of 8 kpc (Reid 1993) to determine their location in our observed CMD. The red boxes denote the location of the 3.8 $M_\odot$ YSOs, and the blue boxes denote the location of the 5.9 $M_\odot$ YSOs. The dashed-line yellow boxes show the location of evolved stars, as reference, assuming an extinction of $A_K = 6.5$ mag, as shown in Figure 11.

Figure 13 shows the [3.6] – [4.5] vs. [5.8] – [8.0] color-color diagram. The gray scale shows the number density distribution of sources, with white being the highest density. In Figure 13 the solid-line boxes show the location of objects assuming an extinction of $A_K = 1.0$ mag, and the dashed-line boxes show the same boxes assuming an extinction of $A_K = 6.5$ mag. Marengo et al. (2007) derived colors of AGB stars by convolving observed Infrared Space Observatory (ISO) spectra with IRAC bandpasses. The location of these derived IRAC colors are coincident with the models of Groenewegen (2006) computed using stellar atmosphere models with dust envelopes of different composition. The red boxes show the location of AGB star colors from Marengo et al. (2007). Marengo et al. (2007) also show that an AGB star with a thick envelope (V354 Lac) may have a unreddened [5.8] – [8.0] color between 2.4 and 2.9, and a unreddened [3.6] – [4.5] color between 0.05 and 0.15. The red line shows the location of V354 Lac with the corresponding amounts of extinction. The blue boxes show the location of 3.8 and 5.9 $M_\odot$ YSOs (Whitney et al. 2004). If we consider the typical uncertainties discussed in §2.2, the typical uncertainty in the [3.6] – [4.5] color is 0.06 mag and the typical uncertainty in the [5.8] – [8.0] color is 0.42 mag. There are 176,724 points sources plotted in Figure 13. About 38% of the point sources shown (66,988 in total) have zero IRAC colors within the typical uncertainties. These point sources have been exposed to little reddening, and hence, they may be foreground objects or objects away from the Galactic plane.

As the GC is a known region of recent star formation, the possibility of observing a YSO population is an exciting prospect. We are likely to be sensitive only to the most massive YSOs (if present), at the distance of the GC, due to confusion. Our survey, however, contains sources observed at different distances and over varying amounts of extinction. We must carefully examine any candidate YSO population that we identify based on IRAC colors, to distinguish foreground YSOs (in the star forming arms along the line of sight, for instance) with a range of masses from massive YSOs at the GC. In addition, thick envelope AGB
stars and YSOs have similar IRAC colors, and distinguishing one from the other will require additional diagnostics. Future work will include incorporating photometry at longer infrared wavelengths (e.g., ISOGAL, MSX, and eventually MIPS 24 μm) and spectroscopy in order to best determine the nature of this population of objects with red IRAC colors.

7. CONCLUSIONS

Our conclusions can be summarized as follows:

1. A point-source catalog of 1,065,565 objects is presented. The catalog includes positions, $J$, $H$, $K_s$, [3.6], [4.5], [5.8], and [8.0] magnitudes, and a series of flags that assess the quality of the measurements.
2. The point-source catalog is confusion limited. The confusion limits vary by 2 to 3 mag within the field of view. Nevertheless, the average confusion limits are 12.4, 12.1, 11.7, and 11.2 mag for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively.
3. The overall distribution of point sources with Galactic latitude and longitude is essentially constant (a consequence of being confusion limited), but structure does appear when sources of different magnitude ranges are selected. Bright stars show a slow decrease in number density with Galactic longitude, and a steeper decrease with Galactic latitude, with a peak at the position of the GC.

4. Most of the point sources in our catalog have IRAC magnitudes and colors characteristic of red giant stars and AGB stars. There are several hundreds of extremely red objects, however, some of which may be massive YSOs. Follow-up observations are needed to determine the nature of the extremely red objects.

This work is based on observations made with the Spitzer Space Telescope, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under a contract with NASA. Support for this work was provided by NASA through an award issued by JPL/Caltech. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation. The research described in this paper was partially carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. K. S. thanks the NASA Faculty Fellowship Program for financial support and the hospitality of the JPL Long Wavelength Center and the Spitzer Science Center. We thank S. Carey, P. Lowrance, R. Blum, C. Koresko, D. Shupe, M. Meade, and B. Babler for enlightening discussions.
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