Development of a mathematical model of a vibrating polyfrequency screen as a dynamic system with distributed parameters
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Abstract. A mathematical model of a vibrating polyfrequency screen as a dynamic system with distributed parameters has been developed. The dynamic system of solids of finite sizes was chosen as the design scheme for the screen: framework, sieves with bulk material and impactors, the contact interaction of which occurs through two-side bonds and collisions on surface areas that have elastic-damping coverings. It is shown that a change in the amplitude of the exciting force has a significant effect on the dynamics of vibration impactors of a polyfrequency vibrating. There is an amplitude value at which the impactor passes from the mode without interacting with elastic bonds to the vibro-impact mode. The impactor movements begin to change disproportionately altered by the exciting force amplitude. It is shown that the start of the impactors in the screen substantially depends on the exciting force. Changes in the amplitude of the exciting force make it possible to achieve chaotic oscillations of impactors, which in turn leads to oscillations of screen surfaces with a continuous frequency spectrum, i.e. to the operation mode of the screen, which is most appropriate for dehydration and separation of fine mineral fractions.

1 Introduction

Efficient extraction, dehydration and enrichment of fine fractions of mineral raw materials is possible on vibrating screens, the screening surface of which make polyfrequency oscillations with a continuous infinite frequency spectrum and with accelerations up to thousands of m/s² [1]. This character and level of acceleration of vibrations of the screening surface leads to the destruction of the cohesion bonds between particles, particles and the screening surface, promotes to clean the cells of the screening surface of particles stuck in them, to destruction of aggregates of adhering particles on the surface and increases their segregation in the layer. All this promotes to increase the efficiency and productivity of the classification of fine particles of mineral raw materials. At the same time, the research and determination of the polyfrequency screen parameters providing such oscillations character
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and the necessary level of acceleration of the screening surface have not been fully performed. Therefore, modeling and setting parameters of a vibrating polyfrequency screen for effective separation by size and dehydration of small fractions of mineral raw materials is relevant.

In [2], for the research of nonlinear polyfrequency vibrations of vibrating screens, a model consisting of concentrated bodies was developed. Each body could only perform vertical vibrations, i.e., it had one degree of freedom. Computer modeling of the dynamic behavior of a discrete system made it possible to establish a number of important laws of nonlinear polyfrequency vibrations of vibration screens with one impactor [3]. However, if there are two or more impactors in the screen, the model consisting of concentrated bodies does not reflect the essential structural design feature of the screen - the distributed nature of the contact interaction between the impactors and the sieve. Therefore, to research the dynamics of vibrating screens with several impactors, it is necessary to develop a mathematical model of the screen as a distributed parameters system (Fig. 1).

The most common mathematical model of a mechanical system with distributed parameters is a system of contacting three-dimensional deformable bodies with non-linear conditions of contact interaction, taking into account the one-sided character of the bonds, the presence of friction and coatings of contacting surfaces, as well as other tribological factors [4, 5]. Various rheological models of deformable bodies can be used to describe the processes of energy dissipation during vibrations. The most common are the models of viscoelastic bodies by Voigt, Maxwell, and Kelvin (the standard model of a viscoelastic body) [6]. In [7], a generalized nonlinear model is proposed for taking into account energy dissipation during vibrations on the basis of an invariant representation of hysteresis loops, and in [8] an approach using a complex module is described.

In the general, the equations of mechanical systems dynamics with distributed parameters are systems of partial differential equations and have an infinite number of degrees of freedom [9]. It is very difficult to obtain an analytical solution of dynamic contact tasks for such systems of equations due to the significant nonlinearity of the boundary conditions; therefore, numerical methods are usually used to solve them [10-12].

At the first stage of formation a numerical solution, it is necessary to perform a half-sampling of the task by spatial coordinates, i.e. to approximate a distributed parameters system by a discrete model with a finite number of degrees of freedom. In this case, the original task is reduced to a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations.

To solve the task obtained as a result of half-sampling by the spatial coordinates of the nonlinear ordinary differential equations system, as a rule, various numerical integration algorithms are used [13-16]. However, the large dimension of these systems of equations requires powerful computational resources to solve them, in particular, the use of parallel computing systems [17].

When conducting applied research, a rational simplification of mathematical models is possible, which does not lead to a loss of the results reliability of quantitative analysis, but makes these results more visible and interpretable in practical terms. Therefore, when solving many applied problems arising in engineering practice, it is advisable to use simplified mathematical models that take into account the shape, geometric dimensions, stiffness ratios, and ranges of other parameters variation of the such distributed parameters system objects.

One of the main methods of simplifying mathematical models is associated with the adoption and justification of the so-called kinematic hypotheses that establish certain restrictions on displacements, which, combined with the use of the principle of possible displacements and analysis of the possible work of the applied external load, makes it possible to identify in the calculated scheme of the considered technical object and its mathematical model the most significant factors and neglect the influence of less
significant. The result of this simplification is the design schemes of the rod, membrane, plate, and shell that are widely used in applied mechanics [18].

Fig. 1. The design scheme of the vibrating polyfrequency screen with distributed parameters: Oxyz – a fixed Cartesian coordinate system; O1, O2, O3, On – center of masses of the bodies; O1ξ1ζ1, O2ξ2ζ2, O3ξ3ζ3, Onξnζn, O1η1ζ1, Onηnζn - coordinate system are tightly bound with the bodies; m1, m2, m3, mn – bodies masses, kg; c, cp101, cp103, cp104 – stiffness coefficients of the two-side bonds, N/m; b, bp101, bp103, bp104 – damping coefficients of the two-side bonds, kg/s; δ211, δ214, δn11, δ121, δ124, δ124, δ131, δ134, δ141, δ144, δn13 – initial gaps, m; lx, ly – length of one-side bound along x and y axis, respectively, m; Q – amplitude of harmonic force, m; ω – frequency of harmonic force, rad/s; t – time, s; yq – displacement in the application of force relative to the origin.

Another common technique for simplifying mathematical models is used for structures whose elements have significantly different stiffnesses. In this case, one part of the structural elements is modeled by absolutely solid (stiff) bodies, and the other part is modeled by deformable bodies. Such an approach is used, for example, in researches of the dynamics of vibrating machines with elastic elements [19].
2 Method

In developing the mathematical model of the screen, the following assumptions were made:
- taking into account that the structural elements of the screen have substantially different stiffness, one part of the structural elements is modeled by absolutely solid bodies, and the other part is by Voigt's elastic-damping bodies;
- a framework, impactors, as well as a sieve with bulk material are modeled by absolutely solid (stiff) bodies of finite sizes;
- contact interaction of solids bodies occurs through holding (two-side) and non-holding (one-side) bonds;
- two-side bonds are modeled by non-linear elastic-damping Voigt's elements, which are attached to a pair of solids at particular points on their surface. In the initial state of the system, the lines of action of all two-side bonds are directed vertically;
- one-side bonds of solids are ideal non-holding bonds, i.e. in the case of collisions of solids, the reactions are directed along the normals to the contact surfaces, there are no friction forces;
- the shape and size of the limiting contact zones on the surface of solids, through which one-way contact interaction (collision) with other solids occurs, can be indicated from geometric considerations. Actual areas of contact may vary during movement;
- areas of the surface of solids along which one-way contact interaction (collision) occurs, in the initial state of the system are parallel to the horizontal plane, i.e. the normals to these surfaces are directed vertically;
- the contacting sections of the surfaces of solids have surface coatings that are modeled by thin viscoelastic Winkler-type layers, i.e. only the deformation of the transverse compression of the coating along the normal direction to the surface of the solid is taken into account, and the viscoelastic properties of the coatings are described by the Voigt model;
- the initial gaps between the contacting sections of the surfaces of solids, taking into account the coatings, are small in comparison with the linear dimensions of the bodies;
- the value of the transverse compression of the surface coating along the normal direction to the surface of the solid is small compared with the linear dimensions of the body;
- the vibrations of the screen are excited by inertial oscillators, generating vertically directed constrain forces that change in time according to a harmonic law. Oscillators have unlimited power and are attached to solids at particular points on their surface;
- ideal bonds exclude horizontal movements of solids and their rotation relative to the vertical axis;
- for each solid, one of the main axes of inertia is directed vertically.

Taking into account the assumptions made, the dynamic system \( N \geq 3 \) of solids of finite sizes — a framework (body \( S_1 \)), a sieve with bulk material (body \( S_2 \)), and impactors (body \( S_n, \ n = 3, N \)), whose contact interaction occurs through two-side bonds (Voigt nonlinear elastic-damping elements) and collisions on surface areas with elastic-damping coatings is selected as the calculation scheme for the screen.

The position of the bodies of the dynamical system will be determined in a fixed Cartesian coordinate system \( Oxyz \), hereinafter referred to as the main system. We assume that the axis \( Oz \) is directed vertically upwards, and the axes \( Ox \) and \( Oy \) are located in the horizontal plane. We also introduce for each solid \( S_n, \ n = 1, N \), a moving coordinate system \( O_n \xi_n \eta_n \zeta_n \) having a origin (pole) \( O_n \) in the center of mass of the body. The axes of the coordinate system \( O_n \xi_n \eta_n \zeta_n \) are tightly bound with the body and are its main axes of inertia. Taking into account the assumptions made above, we assume that the coordinate
axes $O_nζ_n$ of all bodies $S_n$, $n = \overline{1,N}$ are directed vertically upward. All of the above coordinate systems are right-hand.

In the general case, the position of the moving coordinate system $O_nξ_nη_nζ_n$ relative to the main coordinate system $Oxyz$ is determined by the vector $\vec{r}_{on} = \overrightarrow{OO_n}$ and the three angles of rotation that are made in a certain sequence around the appropriately selected axes. The angles of rotation are called Euler angles [20]. For a given sequence of rotations, the angles are determined definitively. In the general case, finite rotations are non-commutative. In the particular case of small transformations, rotations have the commutativity property, i.e. for a small transformation, the values of small rotation angles do not depend on their sequence [21].

Further, let us agree with the positive direction of rotation to call the rotation carried out in the right-hand coordinate system counterclockwise for the observer looking from the positive tip of the axis around which the rotation is made.

In accordance with the assumption made above about the existence of ideal two-side bonds, excluding horizontal movements of solids and their rotation about the vertical axis, we assume that the centers of mass (poles) $O_n$ of all bodies $S_n$, $n = \overline{1,N}$, of the dynamic system can make small movements only along the axis $Oz$ of the main system $Oxyz$, there are no displacements along the axes $Ox$ and $Oy$, and the bodies $S_n$ themselves can make small rotations with relative to the axes $O_nξ_n$ and $O_nη_n$, at the same time, rotation of the bodies relative to the axes $O_nζ_n$ is excluded. Therefore, each solid body $S_n$, $n = \overline{1,N}$, of the considered dynamic system has three degrees of freedom: $w_n$ - movement of the center of mass (pole) $O_n$ along the axis $Oz$; $α_n$ - angle of rotation relative to the axis $O_nξ_n$; $β_n$ - angle of rotation relative to the axis $O_nη_n$.

Taking into account the accepted assumptions regarding the kinematics of the motion of bodies, the components of the displacement vector of an casual point of a solid body $S_n$, $n = \overline{1,N}$, are calculated by the formulas

$$u = ζ_n \cdot α_n \cdot \sin θ_n + ζ_n \cdot β_n \cdot \cos θ_n ;$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$v = -ζ_n \cdot α_n \cdot \cos θ_n + ζ_n \cdot β_n \cdot \sin θ_n ;$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$w = w_n + η_n \cdot α_n - ζ_n \cdot β_n ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $u$, $v$, $w$ - displacement vector components in the main system $Oxyz$, $m$; $ξ_n$, $η_n$, $ζ_n$ - coordinates of a point in a coordinate system $O_nξ_nη_nζ_n$ tightly bound with the body, $m$; $θ_n$ - angle of rotation of the coordinate system $O_nξ_nη_nζ_n$ relative to the coordinate axis $Oz$.

Consequently, for solids of finite dimensions, the displacements of all their points will be small.

Thus, the position of the considered dynamic system is determined by the $3N$ generalized coordinates (degrees of freedom): $w_1$, $α_1$, $β_1$, $w_2$, ..., $w_N$, $α_N$, $β_N$, i.e. the displacements of the centers of mass of solids along the vertical axis and the angles of their rotations relative to the horizontal axes are selected as generalized coordinates.

Let us agree on the following designation for generalized coordinates:

$$w_n = q_{3n-2} , \quad α_n = q_{3n-1} , \quad β_n = q_{3n} , \quad n = \overline{1,N} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

and form a coordinate vector
\[ \dot{\mathbf{q}} = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_{3N}\}. \quad (5) \]

We obtain the equations of motion of the dynamical system in the form of second-order Lagrange equations, which have the form [25]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) - \frac{\partial E_p}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \dot{q}_i} + F_i, \quad i = 1,3N, \quad (6)
\]

where \( E_k \) – kinetic energy of the system, J; \( E_p \) – system potential energy, J; \( q_i \) – generalized coordinates, m; \( \dot{q}_i \) – generalized speeds, m/s; \( \Phi \) – dissipative function; \( F_i \) – generalized non-potential force related to the generalized coordinate \( q_i \), N.

We present the reaction of the \( n \)-th two-side bond in the form

\[
P_{pn} = c_{pn} e_{pn} + d_{pn} \left[ e_{pn} \right]^{\lambda_{pn}} \cdot \text{sign}(e_{pn}) + b_{pn} \dot{e}_{pn}, \quad (7)
\]

where \( c_{pn}, d_{pn}, \lambda_{pn} \) – stiffness characteristics coefficients of the \( n \)-th two-side bond, N/m; \( b_{pn} \) – damping coefficient of the \( n \)-th two-side bond, kg/s; \( e_{pn} \) – deformation of the \( n \)-th two-side bond, m; \( \dot{e}_{pn} \) – deformation rate of the \( n \)-th two-side bond, m/s.

Moments of reaction \( P_{pn} \) relative to the coordinate axes are calculated by the formulas:

\[
M_{pn1} = P_{pn} \cdot \eta_{pn1}; \quad (8)
\]

\[
M_{pn1} = -P_{pn} \cdot \xi_{pn1}; \quad (9)
\]

\[
M_{pn2} = -P_{pn} \cdot \eta_{pn2}; \quad (10)
\]

\[
M_{pn2} = P_{pn} \cdot \xi_{pn2}, \quad (11)
\]

where \( M_{pn1} \) – reaction moment \( P_{pn} \) relative to the axis \( O_1 \xi_1 \), \( i = I_{p1}(n) \), N-m; \( M_{pn1} \) – reaction moment \( P_{pn} \) relative to the axis \( O_1 \eta_1 \), \( i = I_{p1}(n) \), N-m; \( M_{pn2} \) – reaction moment \( P_{pn} \) relative to the axis \( O_1 \zeta_1 \), \( j = I_{p2}(n) \), N-m; \( M_{pn2} \) – reaction moment \( P_{pn} \) relative to the axis \( O_1 \zeta_1 \), \( j = I_{p2}(n) \), N-m; \( \xi_{pn1} \), \( \eta_{pn1} \), \( \zeta_{pn1} \) – point \( Z_{pn1} \) coordinates in connected with a solid \( S_1 \) coordinate system \( O_1 \xi_1 \eta_1 \zeta_1 \), m; \( \xi_{pn2} \), \( \eta_{pn2} \), \( \zeta_{pn2} \) – point \( Z_{pn2} \) coordinates in connected with a solid \( S_2 \) coordinate system \( O_2 \xi_2 \eta_2 \zeta_2 \), m; \( \dot{I}_{p1}(n) \), \( j = I_{p2}(n) \), \( j = I_{p2}(n) \) – numbers of a pair of solids contact with \( n \)-th two-side bond; \( I_{p1}(n) \), \( I_{p2}(n) \) – the integral functions of the integer argument, whose values are equal to the numbers of the pair of solids on which the \( n \)-th two-side bond is superimposed.

In accordance with the above assumptions, the shape and size of the limiting contact zones on the solids surface, according to which there is one-side contact interaction (collision) with other solids, are indicated from geometric considerations. We emphasize that only the limiting sizes of the contact zones are assumed to be known, the actual contact areas change during movement and must be determined when modeling the dynamic behavior of the system.

We also introduce two integral functions of the integer argument \( I_{s1}(n) \) and \( I_{s2}(n) \), \( n = 1, N_r \), whose values are equal to the numbers of a pair of solids contact with the \( n \)-th one-side bond.

The part of the body surface \( S_i \), \( i = I_{s1}(n) \), on which its contact with the body \( S_j \), \( j = I_{s2}(n) \) is possible, is denoted \( \Omega_{n1} \). Similarly, the part of the surface of the body \( S_j \) along which its
contact with the body $S_i$ is possible, is denoted $\Omega_{n2}$. It is assumed that the external normal to the surface $\Omega_{n1}$ in the initial equilibrium state of the system is directed vertically downward, and the external normal to the surface $\Omega_{n2}$ - vertically upward.

It was shown in [22] that, at small displacements, to a first approximation, the form of the deformed body boundary is determined by the normal displacements of the points lying on it. Let the points $Z_{rn1} \in \Omega_{n1}$ and $Z_{rn2} \in \Omega_{n2}$ have the same coordinates $x$ and $y$ in the main coordinate system $Oxyz$ in the initial state of the system. Then the sum of the transverse compressions of surface coatings at these points of contacting surfaces $\Omega_{n1}$ and $\Omega_{n2}$ the $n$-th one-side connection can be calculated by the formula

$$s_m = (\delta_{rn} + w(Z_{rn1}) - w(Z_{rn2})) \cdot H\left(-\delta_{rn} - w(Z_{rn1}) + w(Z_{rn2})\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $s_m$ - sum of transverse compressions of surface coatings, m; $\delta_{rn}$ - initial gap between points $Z_{rn1}$ and $Z_{rn2}$ along the normal to the surface $\Omega_{n1}$, m; $w(Z_{rn1})$, $w(Z_{rn2})$ - vertical movement of points $Z_{rn1}$ and $Z_{rn2}$ respectively, m; $H(\cdot)$ - Heaviside function

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x \leq 0. \end{cases} \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

Note that the presence of the Heaviside function in expression (12) ensures that the condition of non-positivity of the value of the transverse compression of the coatings of the touching surfaces of a one-sided (non-holding) bond is satisfied:

$$s_{rn} \leq 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (14)

The rate of change of the transverse compression of the surface coatings of a one-side bond is calculated by the formula

$$\dot{s}_m = (\dot{w}(Z_{rn1}) - \dot{w}(Z_{rn2})) \cdot H\left(-\delta_{rn} - w(Z_{rn1}) + w(Z_{rn2})\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $\dot{s}_m$ - rate of change of transverse compression of surface coatings of $n$-th one-side bond, m/s; $\dot{w}(Z_{rn1})$, $\dot{w}(Z_{rn2})$ - vertical movement of points $Z_{rn1}$ and $Z_{rn2}$ respectively, m.

Substituting expressions for the vertical displacement components (3) in (12) and (15), we obtain

$$s_m = (\delta_{rn} + w_i - \eta_{rn1} \cdot \alpha_i - \xi_{rn1} \cdot \beta_i - w_j - \eta_{rn2} \cdot \alpha_j + \xi_{rn2} \cdot \beta_j) \times H\left(-\delta_{rn} - w_i - \eta_{rn1} \cdot \alpha_i + \xi_{rn1} \cdot \beta_i + w_j + \eta_{rn2} \cdot \alpha_j - \xi_{rn2} \cdot \beta_j\right);$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

$$\dot{s}_m = (\dot{w}_i + \eta_{rn1} \cdot \dot{\alpha}_i - \xi_{rn1} \cdot \dot{\beta}_i - \dot{w}_j - \eta_{rn2} \cdot \dot{\alpha}_j + \xi_{rn2} \cdot \dot{\beta}_j) \times H\left(-\delta_{rn} - w_i - \eta_{rn1} \cdot \alpha_i + \xi_{rn1} \cdot \beta_i + w_j + \eta_{rn2} \cdot \alpha_j - \xi_{rn2} \cdot \beta_j\right);$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $w_i$ - displacement of the center of mass (pole) $O_i$ of the solid body $S_i$ along the axis $O_i\xi_i$, m; $\alpha_i$ - solid body angle of rotation $S_i$ relative to the axis $O_i\xi_i$, deg; $\beta_i$ - solid body angle of rotation $S_i$ relative to the axis $O_i\eta_i$, deg; $w_j$ - displacement of the center of mass (pole) $O_j$ of the solid body $S_j$ along the axis $O_j\xi_j$, m; $\alpha_j$ - solid body angle of rotation $S_j$ relative to the axis $O_j\eta_j$, deg; $\dot{w}_j$ - rate of the center of mass (pole) $O_j$ of the solid body $S_j$ along the axis $O_j\zeta_j$, m/s; $\dot{\alpha}_j$ - solid body angular velocity $S_j$ relative to the axis $O_j\xi_j$, rad/s; $\dot{\beta}_j$ - solid body angular...
velocity $S_j$ relative to the axis $O_j\eta_j$, rad/s; $\dot{w}_j$ – rate of the center of mass (pole) $O_j$ of the solid body $S_j$ along the axis $Oz$, m/s; $\vec{\alpha}_j$ – solid body angular velocity $S_j$ relative to the axis $O_j\xi_j$, rad/s; $\vec{\beta}_j$ – solid body angular velocity $S_j$ relative to the axis $O_j\eta_j$, rad/s; $\vec{\gamma}_j$ – solid body angular velocity $S_j$ relative to the axis $O_j\zeta_j$, rad/s; $\vec{\delta}_j$ – solid body angular velocity $S_j$ relative to the axis $O_j\xi_j$, rad/s.

Point $Z_{rn1}$ coordinates in coordinate system $O_i\xi_i\eta_i\zeta_i$ bound with the solid body $S_i$, m; $Z_{rn2}$ – point $Z_{rn1}$ coordinates in coordinate system $O_j\xi_j\eta_j\zeta_j$ bound with the solid body $S_j$, m; $i=I_{r1}(n), j=I_{r2}(n)$ – numbers of a pair of solids contact with $n$-th one-side bond.

The reactive pressure $\sigma_{rn}$ caused by the transverse compression of the coatings of the contacting surfaces $\Omega_{n1}$ and $\Omega_{n2}$ of $n$-th one-side bond is presented in the form

$$\sigma_{rn} = c_{rn}s_{rn} + b_{rn}\dot{s}_{rn},$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where $c_{rn}$ – reduced coefficient of stiffness of coatings during transverse compression, N/m; $b_{rn}$ – reduced coefficient of damping of coatings during transverse compression, kg/s; $s_{rn}$ – sum of transverse compressions of surface coatings of the $n$-th one-side bond, m; $\dot{s}_{rn}$ – the rate of change of the transverse compression of surface coatings of the $n$-th one-side bond, m/s.

The reaction of the $n$-th one-side bond $P_{rn}$ is calculated by the formula

$$P_{rn} = \int_{\Omega_{n1}} \sigma_{rn} d\Omega_{n1} = \int_{\Omega_{n1}} (c_{rn}s_{rn} + b_{rn}\dot{s}_{rn}) d\Omega_{n1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where $\sigma_{rn}$ – reactive pressure, N/m².

Moments of reaction $P_{rn}$ relative to the coordinate axes are calculated by the formulas:

$$M_{rn\xi1} = \int_{\Omega_{n1}} (c_{rn}s_{rn} + b_{rn}\dot{s}_{rn}) \eta_{rn1} d\Omega_{n1};$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

$$M_{rn\eta1} = - \int_{\Omega_{n1}} (c_{rn}s_{rn} + b_{rn}\dot{s}_{rn}) \xi_{rn1} d\Omega_{n1};$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

$$M_{rn\xi2} = - \int_{\Omega_{n2}} (c_{rn}s_{rn} + b_{rn}\dot{s}_{rn}) \eta_{rn2} d\Omega_{n2};$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

$$M_{rn\eta2} = \int_{\Omega_{n2}} (c_{rn}s_{rn} + b_{rn}\dot{s}_{rn}) \xi_{rn2} d\Omega_{n2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

where $M_{rn\xi1}$ – reaction moment $P_{rn}$ relative to the axis $O_i\xi_i, i=I_{r1}(n)$, N\cdot m; $M_{rn\eta1}$ – reaction moment $P_{rn}$ relative to the axis $O_i\eta_i, i=I_{r1}(n)$, N\cdot m; $M_{rn\xi2}$ – reaction moment $P_{rn}$ relative to the axis $O_j\xi_j, j=I_{r2}(n)$, N\cdot m; $M_{rn\eta2}$ – reaction moment $P_{rn}$ relative to the axis $O_j\eta_j, j=I_{r2}(n)$, N\cdot m.

The sum of the elementary works of forces applied to a solid $S_n$, $n = 1, N_p$, on virtual displacements from the positions occupied by them at a fixed moment in time, is equal to

$$\delta\Psi_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{fn}} f_{ni} \cdot \cos(\omega_{ni} t + \varphi_{ni}) \cdot \delta w(C_{ni}) \cdot \delta w(C_{ni}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

where $\delta\Psi_n$ – sum of elementary works of forces, J; $N_{fn}$ – number of inertial oscillators attached to a solid $S_n$; $f_{ni}$ – amplitude of $i$-th harmonic force, m; $\omega_{ni}$ – frequency of $i$-th harmonic force, rad/s; $\varphi_{ni}$ – initial phase of $i$ -th harmonic force, rad; $t$ – time, s; $\delta w(C_{ni})$ – virtual vertical movement of a point $C_{ni}$, in which the $i$-th harmonic force is enforced, m.
Substituting in (24) the expression for the vertical component of displacements (3), we obtain
\[
\delta\Psi_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{fn}} f_{ni} \cdot \cos(\omega_{n_i} t + \varphi_{n_i}) \cdot (\delta w_n + \eta_{n_i} \cdot \delta\alpha_n - \xi_{n_i} \cdot \delta\beta_n),
\]  
where \( \xi_{n_i} \) and \( \eta_{n_i} \) – point \( Z_{n_i} \) coordinates, in which the \( i \)-th harmonic force is enforced, m.

From (25), taking into account (4), it follows that
\[
F_{3n-2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{fn}} f_{ni} \cdot \cos(\omega_{n_i} t + \varphi_{n_i});
\]  
\[
F_{3n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{fn}} f_{ni} \cdot \eta_{n_i} \cdot \cos(\omega_{n_i} t + \varphi_{n_i});
\]  
\[
F_{3n} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N_{fn}} f_{ni} \cdot \xi_{n_i} \cdot \cos(\omega_{n_i} t + \varphi_{n_i}),
\]
where \( F_{3n-2}, F_{3n-1}, F_{3n} \) – generalized non-potential forces referred to generalized coordinates \( q_{3n-2}, q_{3n-1}, q_{3n} \), respectively, \( N; \ n = 1, N \).

As a result, the system of equations of motion of the dynamic system takes the form:
\[
m_i \ddot{q}_i + \sum_{n \in L_{p1}(i)} P_{pn} - \sum_{n \in L_{p2}(i)} P_{pm} + \sum_{n \in L_{r1}(i)} P_{rn} - \sum_{n \in L_{r2}(i)} P_{rm} = F_{3i-2};
\]  
\[
J_i \ddot{\theta}_i + \sum_{n \in L_{p1}(i)} M_{pn\xi} + \sum_{n \in L_{p2}(i)} M_{pm\xi} + \sum_{n \in L_{r1}(i)} M_{rn\xi} + \sum_{n \in L_{r2}(i)} M_{rm\xi} = F_{3i-1};
\]  
\[
J_i \ddot{\beta}_i + \sum_{n \in L_{p1}(i)} M_{pm\eta} + \sum_{n \in L_{p2}(i)} M_{pm\eta} + \sum_{n \in L_{r1}(i)} M_{rn\eta} + \sum_{n \in L_{r2}(i)} M_{rn\eta} = F_{3i},
\]
where \( m_i \) – body mass, kg; \( J_i \xi \) – moment of inertia of the body relative to the axis \( O_i \xi \), kg/m^2; \( J_i \eta \) – moment of inertia of the body relative to the axis \( O_i \eta \), kg/m^2; \( P_{pn} \) – reactions of two-side bonds calculated by the formula (7), N; \( P_{pm} \) – reactions of one-side bonds calculated by the formula (19), N; \( L_{p1}(i), L_{p2}(i) \) – sets of numbers of two-side bonds superimposed on a solid \( S_i \)
\[
L_{p1}(i) = \{1 \leq n \leq N_p : I_{p1}(n) = i\};
\]  
\[
L_{p2}(i) = \{1 \leq n \leq N_p : I_{p2}(n) = i\};
\]
\( L_{r1}(i), L_{r2}(i) \) – sets of numbers of one-side bonds into which a solid enters \( S_i \)
\[
L_{r1}(i) = \{1 \leq n \leq N_r : I_{r1}(n) = i\};
\]  
\[
L_{r2}(i) = \{1 \leq n \leq N_r : I_{r2}(n) = i\},
\]
i = 1, N.

If in (25)-(26) any of the sets \( L_{p1}(i), L_{p2}(i), L_{r1}(i), L_{r2}(i) \), \( i = 1, N \), is empty, then the appropriate sum is assumed to be zero.
The system of differential equations (29)-(31) must be complete with initial conditions. If at the initial moment of time \( t=0 \) the dynamic system was at rest, then the initial conditions have the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_i &= 0; \\
\alpha_i &= 0; \\
\beta_i &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( i = 1, N \).

It is very difficult to obtain an analytical solution to the Cauchy problem (29)-(31), (36)-(38) due to its significant nonlinearity, therefore, it is advisable to use numerical integration algorithms to solve it. The computational time integration algorithm for the equations of motion (29)-(31) is based on the use of three-layer difference schemes with weights [21].

The use of a computational algorithm for integrating the equations of motion of the dynamical system allows one to obtain time series \( \{Q_i\} \) describing the generalized coordinates of the dynamical system at discrete time moments \( t_i \), which, as a rule, are taken at equal time intervals \( h \), called the sampling period.

The developed mathematical model of a screen with distributed parameters and a computational algorithm for its numerical research are implemented in the form of a computer program.

### 3 Research results and discussion

The researches of a model of a polyfrequency vibrating screen with a single impactor were carried out.

In Figure 2 shows a diagram of a vibrating polyfrequency screen with one impactor.

[Diagram of a vibrating polyfrequency screen with one impactor]

---

**Fig. 2.** Diagram of a vibrating polyfrequency screen with one impactor.
The screen consists of a framework with mass $m_1$, which is attached to a fixed base by means of support bonds with stiffness $c_{p10}$ and viscosity $b_{p10}$. A sieve of mass $m_2$ with stiffness $c_{p12}$ and viscosity $b_{p12}$ is fixed on the framework. An impactor with mass $m_3$ is also attached to the framework using elastic bonds with stiffness $c_{p31}$ and viscosity $b_{p31}$. Also, elastic limiters with stiffnesses $c_{r31}$, $c_{r13}$ and viscosities $b_{r31}$, $b_{r13}$, respectively, are installed above and below the impactor. The gap between the upper limiter and the impactor - $\delta_{13}$, between the lower limiter and the impactor - $\delta_{31}$. Stiffness of the lining of the impactor - $c_{r23}$, viscosity of the lining - $b_{r23}$. The gap between the sieve and the impactor - $\delta_{23}$. The screen is set in motion by an external force with amplitude $q_1$ and frequency of excitation $\omega_1$.

In the Table 1 shows the parameters of the screen at which researches were performed.

### Table 1. Parameters of a polyfrequency vibrating screen with one impactor.

| Parameter, dimension | Value |
|----------------------|-------|
| Framework mass $m_1$, kg | 2385 |
| Impactor mass $m_3$, kg | 314 |
| Sieve mass $m_2$, kg | 100 |
| The stiffness of the supporting bonds of the screen $c_{p10}$, MN/m | 0.48 |
| The viscosity of the supporting bonds of the screen $b_{p10}$, kg/s | 1000 |
| The stiffness of the main bonds of the impactor $c_{p31}$, MN/m | 4 |
| The viscosity of the main bonds of the impactor $b_{p31}$, kg/s | 1000 |
| Sieve stiffness $c_{p12}$, MN/m | 0.124 |
| Sieve viscosity $b_{p12}$, kg/s | 1000 |
| Stiffness of elastic limiters $c_{r31}=c_{r13}$, MN/m | 120 |
| Viscosity of elastic limiters $b_{r31}=b_{r13}$, kg/s | 1000 |
| Gaps between the elastic limiters and impactor $\delta_{31}=\delta_{13}$, m | 0.003 |
| The stiffness of the impactor lining $c_{r23}$, MN/m | 200 |
| The viscosity of the impactor lining $b_{r23}$, kg/s | 1000 |
| The gap between the impactor and the sieve $\delta_{23}$, m | 0 |
| Excitation force frequency $\omega_1$, rad/s | 156 |

In Figure 3 shows the dependence of the maximum displacements of the impactor on the amplitude of the exciting force $q_1$. Gray color on the graph shows the upper elastic limiter. Thus, when the curve of maximum displacements of the mass is under a straight line corresponding to the position of the limiter, i.e. the movement of the impactor is less than the gap, the vibration mode is unshocked, but if the curve of maximum displacements above the limiter, this indicates a vibro-shock mode of the impactor operation.

Initially, with an increase in the amplitude of the exciting force from 0 to 48000 N, the amplitude of the displacements also gradually increases, while the vibration mode is unshocked. However, at a value of 49000 N, there is a sharp increase in the movements of the impactor and the transition of the impactor to the vibro-impact mode. This mode is characterized by a sharp change in the amplitudes of the impactor’s displacements depending on the exciting force at $q_1 \geq 49000$ N. In contrast to a single-mass system with elastic limiters, in the case of a single-impactor screen, the value of the force amplitude increases at which the vibro-impact mode begins and there is also no area in which the regime is vibro-impact, but the displacements increase in proportion to the increase in the exciting force. In other words, $q_{1\text{ui}}' = q_{1\text{ri}}' = 49000$ N. Moreover $q_{1\text{ri}}' < q_{1\text{ui}}' < q_{1\text{ri}}^*$, the difference between $q_{1\text{ui}}'$ and $q_{1\text{ri}}'$ is relatively small (49000 and 52000 N, respectively).
Fig. 3. The dependence of the maximum displacement of the impactor on the amplitude of the exciting force.

Thus, a change in the amplitude of the exciting force has a significant effect on the dynamics of the vibrations of the impactor of a polyfrequency vibration screen with one impactor. For such a system, there is a value $q_1$ at which the impactor from the mode without interacting with the elastic limiters passes to the vibro-impact mode. In this case, the movements of the impactor begin to change disproportionately to the change in the amplitude of the exciting force.

The researches of a model of a polyfrequency vibrating screen with two impactors were made. The above results of the researches made it possible to establish that the influence of the amplitude of the exciting force on the vibration mode of the impactor, and, consequently, the whole screen, is significant. The next step is the researches of a model of a vibrating polyfrequency screen with two impactors to establish whether there is a difference in the dynamics of this system and the one described above.

The scheme of a vibrating polyfrequency screen with two impactors is similar to the scheme shown in Figure 2. The screen consists of a framework with mass $m_1$, which is attached to a fixed base by means of support bonds with stiffness $c_{p10}$ and viscosity $b_{p10}$. A sieve with the mass $m_2$, stiffness $c_{p12}$ and viscosity $b_{p12}$ is fixed on the framework. Impactors with masses $m_3$ and $m_4$ are also attached to the framework using elastic bonds with stiffnesses $c_{p31}, c_{p41}$ and viscosities $b_{p31}, b_{p41}$. Also, elastic limiters with stiffnesses $c_{r31}, c_{r41}$ and viscosities $b_{r31}, b_{r41}$, respectively, are installed above and below the impactors. The gaps between the upper limiters and the impactors - $\delta_{13}, \delta_{14}$, between the lower limiters and the impactors - $\delta_{31}, \delta_{41}$. The stiffness of the lining of the impactors - $c_{r23}$ and $c_{r24}$, the viscosities of the lining - $b_{r23}$ and $b_{r24}$. Gaps between sieve and impactors $\delta_{23}$ and $\delta_{24}$. The screen is set in motion by an external force with amplitude $q_1$ and frequency of excitation $\omega_1$. In the Table 2 shows the parameters of the screen at which researches were performed. To facilitate the task, the parameters of impactors were taken equal.
In Figure 4 shows the dependence of the maximum displacements of the impactors on the amplitude of the exciting force $q_1$.

Table 2. Parameters of a polyfrequency vibrating screen with two impactors.

| Parameter, dimension | Value |
|----------------------|-------|
| Framework weight $m_1$, kg | 2385 |
| Impactors mass, $m_3=m_4$, kg | 157 |
| Sieve mass $m_2$, kg | 100 |
| The stiffness of the supporting bonds of the screen $c_{p10}$, MN/m | 0.48 |
| The viscosity of the supporting bonds of the screen $b_{p10}$, kg/s | 1000 |
| The stiffness of the main bonds of the impactors $c_{p31}=c_{p41}$, MN/m | 2 |
| Viscosity of the main bonds of impactors $b_{p31}=b_{p41}$, kg/s | 1000 |
| Sieve stiffness $c_{p12}$, MN/m | 0.124 |
| Sieve viscosity $b_{p12}$, kg/s | 1000 |
| Stiffness of elastic limiters $c_{r31}=c_{r13}=c_{r41}=c_{r1}$, MN/m | 60 |
| Viscosity of elastic limiters $b_{r31}=b_{r13}=b_{r41}=b_{r14}$, kg/s | 1000 |
| Gaps between elastic limiters and impactors $\delta_{13}=\delta_{31}=\delta_{41}=\delta_{14}$, m | 0.003 |
| The stiffness of the impactors lining $c_{r23}=c_{r24}$, MN/m | 200 |
| The viscosity of the impactors lining $b_{r23}=b_{r24}$, kg/s | 1000 |
| Gaps between impactors and sieves $\delta_{23}=\delta_{24}$, m | 0 |
| Excitation force frequency $\omega_1$, rad/s | 156 |

Fig. 4. The dependence of the maximum displacement of the impactors on the amplitude of the exciting force.

The upper elastic limiters are displayed in gray on the graph. Thus, when the curves of the maximum displacements of the impactors are under a straight line corresponding to the position of the limiter, i.e. the displacements of the impactors are less than the gap, the vibration mode is unshocked, but if the curves of the maximum displacements above the limiter, this indicates a vibro-impact mode of impactors operation.
From the graph shown in Figure 4, it can be seen that for a small value of the exciting force, the difference in the vibrations of both impactors is insignificant. However, with force increasing, this difference also increases. In this case, up to the value \( q_1 = 37000 \) N, both impactors oscillate in unshocked mode. With force values greater than 37000 N, one of the impactors enters into the vibro-impact mode, and the second stay in unshocked. It should be noted that the impactor, operating in vibro-impact mode, is constantly changing. With a further increase in the amplitude of the exciting force, modes appear in which both impactors interact with elastic limiters, and the more \( q_1 \), the more often these modes occur.

In Figures 5–7 show phase diagrams of vibrations of impactors for various values of the amplitude of the exciting force.

From the diagrams given in Figure 5 it can be seen that both impactors oscillate exactly the same in unshocked mode with a period equal to \( 2T \) (where \( T \) – time of oscillation period, s).

![Phase Diagram](image)

**Fig. 5.** Phase diagrams of the vibrations of the impactors at \( q_1 = 5000 \) N.

The oscillation mode to which the phase diagrams in Figure 6 is non-periodic for both impactors. The Poincare sections shown in Figure 8, indicate that this is a regime of deterministic chaos. Such modes are characteristic for a wide range of values \( q_1 \).

In Figure 7 shows the phase diagrams of the 4T-periodic regime, which is characteristic of both impactors. This is a vibro-impact mode, characteristic for values of \( q_1 > 95000 \) N.

Thus, we can conclude that the start of both impactors in a vibrating polyfrequency screen significantly depends on the exciting force, i.e. the problem with the absence of a vibro-impact mode in one or both of the impactors can be solved by increasing the amplitude of the exciting force. In addition, by changing the amplitude of the exciting force, it is possible to achieve chaotic modes of impactors vibration, which in turn leads to vibrations of sieve surfaces with a continuous frequency spectrum, that is, to modes of screen operation, most conductive for dehydration and separation of fine fractions of minerals [1-3, 23-25].
Fig. 6. Phase diagrams of the vibrations of the impactors at $q_1=56000$ N.

Fig. 7. Phase diagrams of the vibrations of the impactors at $q_1=96000$ N.

4 Conclusions

1. A mathematical model of a vibrating polyfrequency screen as a dynamic system with distributed parameters has been developed, which takes into account the presence of two or more impactors in the screen and reflects the significant structural feature of the screen - the
distributed nature of the contact interaction between the impactors and the sieve. A
dynamic system of finite-sized solids – a framework, a sieve with bulk material, and
impactors, the contact interaction of which occurs through two-side bonds (non-linear
elastic-damping Voigt elements) and collisions on surface areas with elastic-damping
coatings – was chosen as the calculation scheme for the screen. The use of a
computational algorithm for integrating the equations of motion of the dynamical system
allows to obtain time series describing the generalized coordinates of the dynamical
system at discrete time moments, which, as a rule, are taken at equal time intervals, called
the sampling period. The developed mathematical model of a screen with distributed
parameters and a computational algorithm for its numerical research are implemented in
the form of a computer program.

Fig. 8. Poincare sections of the vibrations of the impactors at $q_1=56000$ N.

2. The researches of the model of a polyfrequency vibrating screen with one impactor
were carried out. It is shown that a change in the amplitude of the exciting force has a
significant effect on the dynamics of the impactor vibrations of a polyfrequency vibrating
screen. For such a system, there is an amplitude value at which the impactor passes from
the mode without interacting with elastic limiters to the vibro-impact mode. In this case, the
movements of the impactor begin to change disproportionately to the change in the
amplitude of the exciting force.

3. The researches of a model of a polyfrequency vibrating screen with two impactors
were carried out. It is shown that the start of both impactors in a vibrating polyfrequency
screen significantly depends on the exciting force, i.e. the problem with the absence of a
vibro-impact mode in one or both of the impactors can be solved by increasing the
amplitude of the exciting force. In addition, by changing the amplitude of the exciting
force, it is possible to achieve chaotic modes of impactors vibration, which leads to
oscillations of sieve surfaces with a continuous frequency spectrum, i.e. to modes of the
screen operation, which are most appropriate for dehydration and separation of fine
fractions of minerals.
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