Influence of glaucoma surgery on visual function: a clinical cohort study and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT.

Purpose: To determine the cost (loss of visual function associated with the procedure) and benefit (long-term preservation of the visual field) of glaucoma surgery.

Methods: We included 100 patients who underwent glaucoma surgery (Baerveldt glaucoma implant [BGI], \( n = 61 \); trabeculectomy [TE], \( n = 39 \)). Preoperatively, the median (interquartile range [IQR]) standard automated perimetry mean deviation (MD) was \(-12 \) (\(-16 \) to \(-6 \)) dB. We analysed the change in visual acuity (BCVA) and MD due to the procedure and, in a subset with at least 5 years of perimetric follow-up both pre- and postoperatively (\( n = 20 \)), the change in rate of progression (ROP; time rate of change in MD). For the surgery-induced change in ROP, we also performed a meta-analysis including the current and previously published studies. From the surgery-induced decrease in MD and change in ROP, we calculated the average postoperative duration needed for the benefit to surpass the cost.

Results: Mean (standard deviation) MD decline was 1.3 (2.7) and 1.0 (2.3) dB for BGI (\( p < 0.001 \)) and TE (\( p = 0.009 \)), respectively; no significant surgery-induced changes in BCVA were found (\( p = 0.08 \) and \( p = 0.12 \), respectively). In our study, surgery was associated with a non-significant deceleration of ROP (from \(-0.37 \) [0.52] to \(-0.15 \) [0.48] dB/year; \( p = 0.23 \)). The meta-analysis, based on eight studies, showed an overall surgery-induced change in ROP of 0.44 (95% confidence interval 0.25 to 0.64; \( p < 0.0001 \)) dB/year.

Conclusion: Glaucoma surgery significantly reduces the progression velocity in glaucoma. On average, the benefit of glaucoma surgery surpasses the cost after approximately 1.5 years.
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Introduction

Glaucoma is one of the leading causes of avoidable blindness, characterized by a degeneration of the optic nerve and corresponding visual field loss. To slow down this chronic, progressive eye disease, treatment is focused on the lowering of the intraocular pressure (IOP) by restricting the production of aqueous or by increasing its outflow. The initial approach to achieve a lower IOP is the daily use of IOP-lowering drugs and/or one or more laser treatments. Surgery is generally considered after impermissible progression of visual field loss despite maximal tolerable medical and laser treatment. Glaucoma surgery is not without risk and may in itself compromise visual function. It is a clinical challenge to weigh the risk and benefit in individual patients.

Within glaucoma surgery, the creation of a fistula (filtering surgery) or implanting a drainage system has earned their spurs. Although invented in the same time period, filtering surgery (trabeculectomy; TE) was the first surgical choice for decades. Nowadays, the popularity of glaucoma drainage devices is growing (Gedde et al. 2012; Arora et al. 2015; Islamaj et al. 2018). The success of surgery might come with a cost; however, as patients often complain of a decrease in visual function after surgery. This observation gives the clinician the sense of a drawback of surgery. However, the literature showed all three possible outcomes: an improvement in visual function, a decline and no effect (Hagiwara et al. 2000; Sehi et al. 2010; Balekudaru et al. 2014; Caprioli et al. 2016). The crucial question is, if there is a surgery-induced loss, if it counterbalances the loss avoided due to the IOP lowering. The IOP lowering aims to yield a lower rate of progression (ROP), that is, a less negative time rate of change in the standard automated perimetry (SAP) mean deviation (MD) – thus delaying blindness.

The aim of this study was to determine the cost and benefit of glaucoma surgery regarding visual function. For this purpose, we analysed the change in visual acuity and SAP MD due to the...
procedure (the cost) and the change in rate of progression (ROP), that is the time rate of change in the MD (the benefit). These analyses were performed primarily in the cohort of the Groningen Longitudinal Glaucoma Study (GLGS; Heeg et al. 2005). For the surgery-induced change in ROP, we also performed a meta-analysis including the current and previously published studies. From the surgery-induced decrease in MD and change in ROP, we calculated the average postoperative duration needed for the benefit to surpass the cost.

Materials and Methods

Study population and data acquisition
The present study is part of the GLGS, a prospective observational cohort study performed in a clinical setting. The GLGS started in 2000 and is still ongoing. The ethics board of the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG) approved that for the current study no informed consent had to be obtained because the study comprised a retrospective anonymous analysis of ophthalmic examination and visual field data collected during regular glaucoma care. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

The subpopulation selected for the present study consisted of patients who were treated with a Baerveldt glaucoma implant (BGI) or TE and who had at least (1) two (not including the first visual field, which was discarded because of learning effects) reliable visual fields (measured with SAP [Humphrey Field Analyzer 30-2 SITA fast; Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany]) both pre- and post-intervention and (2) a follow-up of at least one year after the intervention, in the operated eye. If both eyes met the inclusion criteria, a random eye was chosen (based on even or uneven case number in the database). For the analysis concerning the ROP, a perimetric follow-up of at least five years was required both pre- and post-intervention (Jansonius 2010; Junoy Montolio et al. 2012). Visual fields had to be reliable. A test result was considered unreliable if false positives exceeded 10% or if both false negatives and fixation losses exceeded 10% and 20%, respectively. We pooled false negatives and fixation losses because they were reported to have a much smaller influence on the MD (Junoy Montolio et al. 2012) and especially the false negative are not informative in glaucoma (Bengtsson & Heijl 2000). Both primary and secondary glaucoma was allowed. We included both phakic and pseudophakic patients; patients who underwent a cataract extraction simultaneously with the glaucoma surgery were excluded. We also excluded patients who underwent a second glaucoma operation during follow-up.

Surgical procedure
Indication for surgery and method (BGI or TE) was made by either of the two authors who also performed the operations (RM and NJ). In general, in our hospital, surgery is delayed until we observe progression too rapid for the age of the patient (Wesselinck et al. 2011), with maximum tolerable medical treatment and after considering or performing laser surgery. Early surgery is considered in cases with high baseline IOP and limited IOP lowering on non-surgical treatment, especially in young patients with already moderate or severe glaucoma at the time of diagnosis. Trabeculectomy (TE) is the first choice in primary glaucoma in phakic eyes with a clear lens; during the last decade we moved from TE to BGI in pseudophakic eyes. Baerveldt glaucoma implant (BGI) is the first choice in secondary glaucoma.

Baerveldt glaucoma implant
A BGI with 350 mm² plate was implanted in the superior-temporal quadrant. The tube was closed with a vicryl 7-0 suture to restrict short-term excessive drainage before encapsulation. The tube was placed in the anterior chamber through a peripheral iridotomy made with the 23 G needle used to create a scleral entry for the tube, aiming at a position of the tube as close to the iris as possible to prevent endothelial cell loss (Tan et al. 2017). For this, the anterior chamber was temporarily deepened with an air bubble and the needle entered the eye 3 mm posterior to the limbus, in parallel with the iris plane. The tube entrance was covered with a patch of donor sclera. Intraocular pressure (IOP)-lowering drugs were continued unchanged until tube opening (typically six weeks after the intervention) and then tapered depending on the IOP. As of 2009, some patients got a drainage suture for early IOP reduction (Rietveld et al. 2009; van Hoeven Wijssard et al. 2018). Antibiotic drops were given for two weeks (chloramphenicol 0.4% three times a day); steroids for 10 weeks (dexamethasone 0.1% three times a day for eight weeks followed by two times a day for two weeks).

Trabeculectomy
A TE with fornix-based conjunctival flap and limbus-based scleral flap was performed superiorly with the application of mitomycin C (0.2 mg/ml during 2–4 min; applied before making the scleral flap). The scleral flap was sutured with two or three nylon 10-0 sutures, with the possibility for laser suture lysis afterwards. Conjunctiva was closed with two or three vicryl 7-0 sutures. Antibiotic drops were given for two weeks (chloramphenicol 0.4% three times a day); steroids for 12 weeks (dexamethasone 0.1% six times a day for six weeks followed by four times a day for four weeks and finally two times a day for two weeks; oral prednisone 30 mg for 10 days was added if the inflammation was above average in the early postoperative phase).

Statistical analysis
As most of the characteristics of the study population were not normally distributed (according to the Shapiro–Wilk test), we used nonparametric descriptive statistics (median with interquartile range [IQR]) to describe the study population. Groups were compared using a Mann–Whitney test; for paired data, we used a Wilcoxon test. Proportions were compared with a chi-squared test. For pre-intervention and post-intervention visual acuity, IOP and the number of IOP-lowering medications, we took the last value before the intervention and a value measured as close as possible to 1 year after the operation; for MD, we took the average value of the last two tests before the intervention and the first two tests after the intervention. Inevitably, a perimetric gap exists because of a waiting list before the intervention and delayed testing after the intervention (until full recovery or a situation deemed stable was reached). Hence, the observed MD decline is a composite of
a surgery-induced decline and a decline due to intrinsic disease progression during this perimetric gap (see Discussion section). Rate of progression (ROP) was determined using linear regression. Changes (post-intervention minus pre-intervention) were evaluated with a paired t-test or Wilcoxon test, depending on the distribution of the paired changes. Similarly, we used Pearson or Spearman correlation coefficients depending on the distribution of the concerning variables. A p value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant.

Meta-analysis

For the meta-analysis, we included glaucoma surgery studies regarding either BGI or TE that reported on preoperative and postoperative ROP of MD based on a mean follow-up of at least 3 years with SAP. Studies also had to report a paired comparison of the change in ROP with standard error, confidence interval, standard deviation or p value. We searched for literature in PubMed with search string '(glaucoma*' AND ((shunt OR tube) OR (trabeculect* OR surgery)) AND (visual field OR HFA OR perimetr*)) AND (progression OR (Rate of progression))' and in Embase. Additionally, we searched through the reference list of the identified articles. The search was performed in June 2017.

We calculated the mean of the change in ROP using a random-effects model (DerSimonian & Laird 1986). If the standard error of the change (or confidence interval or standard deviation) was not provided, it was calculated from the reported p value; if no exact p value was given, we used the upper limit (for example, 0.05 in case of p < 0.05), yielding a conservative estimate of the standard error (Fu et al. 2013). We used a random-effects model because we expected significant heterogeneity amongst the included studies (indication of surgery, type of surgery, cataract extraction during follow-up, disease stage, postoperative care, ethnicity, etc.). Heterogeneity was evaluated using the I² statistic (Higgins et al. 2003). I² is the percentage of the total variation across the studies, that is due to heterogeneity. Values up to 25%, 25–49%, 50–74% and 75% and above are considered no, low, moderate and high heterogeneity, respectively (Higgins & Thompson 2002). We performed a sensitivity analysis to evaluate the contribution of each individual study to the heterogeneity by sequentially leaving out one study and re-analysing the pooled estimate for the remaining studies (Tobias 1999). Publication bias was assessed with Egger’s regression asymmetry test (Egger et al. 1997) and Begg’s adjusted rank correlation test (Begg & Mazumdar 1994).

Results

Table 1 presents the general characteristics of the study population. As can be seen in this Table, 61 patients received a BGI and 39 a TE. For only 20 (11 BGI and 9 TE) patients, we had a sufficiently long follow-up duration (at least 5 years both pre- and postoperatively) to compare ROP pre- versus postoperatively, and for that reason, we pooled BGI and TE for this analysis. Baerveldt glaucoma implant (BGI) and TE patients were comparable in age at surgery and gender. Preoperatively, the BGI patients had a lower BCVA (p = 0.043), a slightly higher IOP (p = 0.027), and a similar MD (p = 0.92), compared to the TE patients. Pre-compared to postoperatively, both the BGI and the TE patients had a significantly lower IOP with less medication (p < 0.001). Figure 1 shows the change in BCVA as a function of preoperative visual field loss. No significant correlations were found (p = 0.92 and p = 0.55 for BGI and TE, respectively). A non-significant mean (SD) increase in logMAR BCVA (i.e. a decrease in decimal BCVA) of 0.03 (0.14) was found for BGI (p = 0.08) and of 0.04 (0.14) for TE (p = 0.12).

Figure 2 illustrates the surgery-induced visual field change as a function of preoperative visual field loss. Again, no significant correlations were found (p = 0.19 and p = 0.57 for BGI and TE, respectively). A significant mean (SD) MD decline of 1.3 (2.7) dB was found for BGI (p < 0.001) and of 1.0 (2.3) dB for TE (p = 0.009). Of the 61 patients with a BGI, 18 had received a drainage suture for early IOP reduction. Mean deviation (MD) decline was 1.4 dB (p = 0.004) without drainage suture and 1.1 dB (p = 0.025) with drainage suture. The decline did not differ between the subgroups (p = 0.73). The midpoint of the last two preoperative visual fields was 6 months before surgery; the midpoint of the first two postoperative visual fields was 1.5 years after surgery. For the longitudinal analysis, the median (IQR) follow-up was 7.0 (5.7–8.8) years preoperatively and 7.6 (6.3–9.3) years postoperatively. The mean (SD) preoperative rate of progression was −0.37 (0.52) dB/year. After surgery, this was −0.15 (0.48) dB/year; the paired difference (standard error) was 0.22 (0.18) dB/year (p = 0.23). Of the 20 patients with a longitudinal follow-up, 4 (3 BGI, 1 TE) underwent a cataract extraction before the glaucoma surgery and 4 (2 BGI, 2 TE) after the surgery. Exclusion of these patients would result in a mean pre-op ROP of −0.37 dB/year and a mean post-op ROP of −0.24 dB/year (to be compared to −0.37 and −0.15 dB/year in Table 2). Hence, the bias seems limited.

| Table 1. General characteristics of the study population | BGI (n = 61) | TE (n = 39) | p* |
|---|---|---|---|
| Age [year; median (IQR)] | 70 (57–75) | 68 (59–73) | 0.60 |
| Gender (% female) | 53 | 51 | 0.91 |
| Visual acuity pre-op [logMAR, median (IQR)] | 0.14 (0.02–0.28) | 0.10 (0.00–0.17) | 0.043 |
| IOP pre-op [mmHg; median (IQR)] | 21 (17–25) | 18 (15–21) | 0.027 |
| IOP post-op [mmHg; median (IQR)] | 13 (11–16) | 13 (9–15) | 0.40 |
| Pre-op number of IOP-lowering medications [median (IQR)] | 3 (2–4) | 3 (2–4) | 0.68 |
| Post-op number of IOP-lowering medications [median (IQR)] | 2 (1–3) | 0 (0–0) | <0.001 |
| Pre-op mean deviation [dB; median (IQR)] | −12 (−16 to −6) | −11 (−16 to −7) | 0.92 |
| Pseudophakic (%)* | 54.1 | 10.3 | <0.001 |
| Secondary glaucoma (%) | 23.0 | 5.1 | 0.035 |

BGI = Baerveldt glaucoma implant; dB = decibels; IOP = intraocular pressure; IQR = interquartile range; TE = trabeculectomy.

*Before surgery

†Mann–Whitney test for medians, chi-squared test for proportions.
Complications for patients receiving BGI \((n = 61)\) included ptosis \((n = 2)\), persistent (i.e. orthoptic consultation was warranted) diplopia \((n = 1)\), and additional surgery (cyclodiode laser therapy; \(n = 1\)). Trabeculectomy (TE) \((n = 39)\) associated complications were persistent bleb leakage (that is, bleb leakage requiring surgical repair; \(n = 2\)), failure (i.e. no visible bleb in combination with a higher than desired IOP; \(n = 2\)), hypotony with choroidal detachment closer than two disc diameters from the optic disc \((n = 1)\), and hypotony associated maculopathy \((n = 1; \text{Abbas et al. 2018})\).

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis. Eight studies were included, of which one \((\text{Bhardwaj et al. 2013})\) reported two subgroups. All but two \((\text{Folgar et al. 2010; this study})\) included only TE. Figure 3 shows the preoperative and postoperative ROP with standard error. As can be seen in this figure, there was a wide variety in ROP preoperatively but not postoperatively (see Discussion section). The weighted mean \((95\% \text{ CI})\) surgery-induced change in ROP was \(0.44 (0.25–0.64; p < 0.0001)\) dB/year, indicating that glaucoma surgery results in a significant deceleration of the ROP. \(I^2\) was \(47\%\), that is, the studies showed a low heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis showed that one of the subgroups of the study of \(\text{Bhardwaj et al. (2013)}\) substantially influenced the pooled estimate. After excluding this study, the overall effect size was \(0.45 (95\% \text{ CI 0.32–0.57; p < 0.0001})\) dB/year with a remaining \(I^2\) of \(34\%\). There was no evidence of publication bias (Egger’s test: \(p = 0.48\); Begg’s test: \(p = 0.30\)).

**Discussion**

In our study, both TE and BGI were associated with a small but significant MD loss; no surgery-induced changes in BCVA were found. Surgery was
associated with a non-significant deceleration of the ROP. In the meta-analysis, based on eight studies, the overall effect size indicated that glaucoma surgery significantly reduces the progression velocity in glaucoma.

Several studies reported an unchanged BCVA after glaucoma surgery, for both TE (Bevin et al. 2008; Bhardwaj et al. 2013; Balekudaru et al. 2014; Bertrand et al. 2014; Iverson et al. 2016) and BGI (Namavari et al. 2016), and in a recent randomized clinical trial (RCT) comparing primary BGI with TE (Islamaj et al. 2018). This is in agreement with our results. Only a few studies described BCVA loss after surgery (Gedde et al. 2007; Stead & King 2010; Christakis et al. 2017). Stead & King (2010) found, in patients with very severe glaucoma (mean [SD] MD = 25.3 [3.5] dB) who underwent a TE, that nine of 104 patients lost two lines of Snellen or more – deemed to be related to glaucoma – at the end of the follow-up (i.e. on average three years postoperatively). In the multicentre RCT of Gedde et al. (2007) comparing BGI with TE, the BCVA decreased significantly, on average (SD) from 0.43 (0.54) and 0.37 (0.38) logMAR preoperatively to 0.61 (0.75) and 0.49 (0.56) after one year for the BGI and TE patients, respectively. The authors described that the main reasons for the loss of BCVA were cataract and other, not glaucoma-related, reasons. Christakis et al. (2017) found in their multicentre RCT comparing Ahmed drainage devices with BGI a mean (SD) decrease in BCVA from 1.1 (1.0) to 1.3 (1.2) logMAR in BGI patients after one year. They described that 34% of the patients lost two or more lines of Snellen, of which 18% was caused by glaucoma, 15% by cataract and 68% by macular disease or other causes. Harju et al. (2018) studied the long-term results of deep sclerectomy. Four of 37 patients had a VA loss of two lines or more; in two cases this was attributed to cataract formation. The main difference between these four studies and our study is the much higher preoperative BCVA in our study (Table 1). This suggests that a low preoperative visual acuity is an indicator of further surgery-induced loss, a plausible hypothesis from a clinical point of view. The limited variability in our preoperative BCVA precludes a detailed check of this hypothesis in our data.

Development of cataract can be an issue, as progression of cataract is found within 1 year after surgery, particularly in eyes with postoperative complications (Gedde et al. 2007). However, this seemed not to be the case in our data. Subgroup analysis comparing patients who were pseudophakic before surgery with patients who were phakic did not reveal any difference in visual acuity change, neither in the BGI group nor in the TE group.

Only a few earlier studies reported on a surgery-induced MD decline. Most studies found no influence on the MD (Tavares et al. 2006; Sehi et al. 2010; Yamazaki & Hayamizu 2012; Balekudaru et al. 2014; Wright et al. 2015; Islamaj et al. 2018); one study found a deterioration of the MD after a TE (Hagiwara et al. 2000). In the latter study, the postoperative MD was assessed at the end of the follow-up (mean follow-up duration was 4.75 years). In most of the studies that found no effect, the authors compared the first visual field after the operation to the last visual field before the operation; in two studies, they performed two visual fields at the same time-point. We reported a mean surgery-induced MD decline of 1.3 and 1.0 dB for BGI and TE, respectively. This decline was based on the last two tests before the intervention and the first two tests after the intervention. This method was chosen to reduce variability and to compensate for a possible regression to the mean effect: a poor VF could trigger the clinician to initiate surgery and considering only this field could thus mask surgery-induced damage. By using four visual fields, however, a part of the observed decline will actually be caused by progression that would have occurred anyway – the fields were not clustered (as would have been done in a trial) but made as part routine clinical care. This is illustrated in Fig. 4. If we assume an observed surgery-induced MD decline of 1.2 dB, an interval of two years between the time-point halfway the last two tests before the intervention and the time-point halfway the first two tests after the intervention (0.5 years before the intervention and 1.5 years after the intervention; based on our 61 + 39 interventions), and a ROP of −0.63 dB/year before the intervention and −0.19 dB/year after the intervention (inverse-variance estimates based on the meta-analysis), then 50% (0.5*0.63 + 1.5 * 0.19 = 0.6 of 1.2 dB) of the observed decline could be attributed to the perioperative perimetric gap and the other 50% to the surgery itself.

In our study, glaucoma surgery yielded a non-significant deceleration of the ROP. The non-significance could be related to the small sample size and/or remaining variability in the ROP estimate in individual patients – despite a relatively long follow-up duration, or may actually denote no effect. The small sample size was related to the exclusion of combined surgery (glaucoma surgery and cataract extraction simultaneously; see next paragraph) and the required long follow-up duration; in our tertiary referral centre, most glaucoma surgeries are performed on patients with secondary glaucoma (De Vries et al. 2016) and on referred

Fig. 3. Preoperative and postoperative ROP with standard error for the studies included in the meta-analysis.
patients. Both groups often lack an uninterrupted perimetric follow-up. We confined the current study to the regular visitors of our department, for whom we collect observational data prospectively as of 2000 – as part of the GLGS. Interestingly, all identified published studies that reported on ROP before and after glaucoma surgery pointed in the same – beneficial – direction, but the change in ROP was not always statistically significant. The overall effect size of the meta-analysis, however, was highly significant, indicating the value of meta-analysis as a statistical tool to provide a solid ground for performing surgery.

We excluded patients who underwent a cataract extraction simultaneously with the glaucoma surgery but we did not exclude those who underwent a cataract extraction during the longitudinal follow-up. Both inclusion and exclusion of patients who underwent a cataract extraction during the longitudinal follow-up could induce bias. As shown in the Results section, this bias seems limited in this population.

Before the operation, the mean ROP varied largely between the studies. This might reflect, amongst others, differences in policy regarding indicating surgery. After the operation, the mean ROP was close to −0.2 dB/year in most of the studies (Table 2, Fig. 3). Interestingly, a value of −0.2 dB/year is the typical mean value as reported in several glaucoma cohorts (Heijl et al. 2002; de Moraes et al. 2012; Wesselink et al. 2012). This indicates that glaucoma surgery is indeed able to bring rapid progressors back into the normal ROP range. Despite the preoperative variability in ROP, the studies in the meta-analysis showed a low heterogeneity. The majority of the heterogeneity could be attributed to the subgroup of the study of Bhardwaj et al. (2013) in which patients were included without preoperative progression.

Limitations of this study were the observational design of the GLGS and the limited sample size in especially the longitudinal part of the current study. Reasons for the limited sample size were given above; we addressed this by performing a meta-analysis. By combining many studies that showed not always significant results on their own, we were able to determine the highly significant benefit of glaucoma surgery. The observational nature of the GLGS implies that the patients included in the current study may form a biased sample. In case of a real disaster, post-op visual fields may be lacking, resulting in exclusion and bias towards good outcomes. On the other hand, visual fields may also be taken less frequently in cases with a well-regulated IOP (as they are less urgent for clinical decision making), yielding bias towards poor outcomes. Clearly, an observational study, and even not a meta-analysis of observational studies, can beat a large randomized clinical trial (RCT). However, RCTs performed in the field of glaucoma surgery thus far reported only on IOP and visual field change defined as a post-op progression event (Ederer et al. 1994; Musch et al. 1999; Anderson et al. 2003; Gedde et al. 2012); no RCT data regarding change in ROP are available. We required a follow-up of at least 5 years pre- and postoperatively for our own longitudinal analysis (see Methods section); we had to weaken this to 3 years for the meta-analysis (Table 2). With 3 years, ROP assessment in individual patients is still very noisy, as was argued by modelling (Jansonius 2010) and shown in patient data (Junoy Montolio et al. 2012; their table 3 shows that a short follow-up causes a spurious widening of the ROP distribution).

Glaucoma surgery could be an ungrateful intervention to perform as most patients have little complaints preoperatively, while experience the perioperative and postoperative hassle and possible visual acuity and visual field loss. Therefore, it is very important to understand what patients relinquish to prevent future blindness. Figure 4 illustrates the cost and benefit of glaucoma surgery, compiled from our cost data (surgery-induced MD decline) and the ROP results from the studies included in the meta-analysis. It shows that, on average 1.5 years after the operation (depicted as time-point 0 years in Fig. 4), patients that were operated are better off than patients who declined surgery (crossing of continuous and dashed line in Fig. 4). Note that the end of the perimetric gap (right side of grey bar) and the crossing of the lines coincide coincidentally; this may give the clinician, when restarting perimetry after surgery, the spurious impression that the surgery did not have any effect. Figure 4 may also be used to inform the patient properly, and it illustrates that the decision to operate is not always an easy one, but should involve the weighing of, amongst others, current damage, ROP, IOP and life expectancy (Wesselink et al. 2011).

In conclusion, the cost of glaucoma surgery is the loss of visual function associated with the procedure; the benefit is the long-term preservation of visual function.
of the visual field due to the reduced rate of progression. Both are significant. On average, the benefit of glaucoma surgery surpasses the cost after approximately 1.5 years.
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