1. INTRODUCTION

Experimental evidence for oscillations among the three neutrino generations has been recently reported [2]. Since quasielastic (QE) scattering forms an important component of neutrino scattering at low energies, we have undertaken to investigate QE neutrino scattering using the latest information on nucleon form factors.

Recent experiments at SLAC and Jefferson Lab (JLab) have given precise measurements of the vector electromagnetic form factors for the proton and neutron. These form factors can be related to the form factors for QE neutrino scattering by conserved vector current hypothesis, CVC. These more recent form factors can be used to give better predictions for QE neutrino scattering and better determination of the axial form factor, $F_A(q^2)$.

2. EQUATIONS FOR QE SCATTERING

The hadronic current for QE neutrino scattering is given by [3]

$$\langle p_2 | J^{
u}_{\lambda} | n(p_1) \rangle =$$

$$\left[ \gamma_\lambda F^V_1(q^2) + \frac{i \sigma_\lambda \gamma^\nu \xi F^2_2(q^2)}{2M} \right. + \left. \gamma_\lambda \gamma_5 F_A(q^2) + \frac{g_\lambda \gamma_\nu F_P(q^2)}{M} \right] u(p_1),$$

where $q = k_\nu - k_\mu$, $\xi = (\mu_p - 1) - \mu_n$, and $M = (m_p + m_n)/2$. Here, $\mu_p$ and $\mu_n$ are the proton and neutron magnetic moments. We assume that there are no second class currents, so the scalar form factor $F^3_S$ and the tensor form factor $F^3_A$ need not be included.

The form factors $F^1_V(q^2)$ and $\xi F^2_V(q^2)$ are given by:

$$F^1_V(q^2) = \frac{G^V_E(q^2) - \frac{q^2}{4M^2}G^V_M(q^2)}{1 - \frac{q^2}{4M^2}}.$$  

$$\xi F^2_V(q^2) = \frac{M^2(q^2) - G^V_M(q^2)}{1 - \frac{q^2}{4M^2}}.$$  

We use the CVC to determine $G^V_E(q^2)$ and $G^V_M(q^2)$ from the electron scattering form factors $G^p_E(q^2)$, $G^p_M(q^2)$, $G^n_E(q^2)$, and $G^n_M(q^2)$:

$$G^V_E(q^2) = G^p_E(q^2) - G^n_E(q^2),$$  

$$G^V_M(q^2) = G^p_M(q^2) - G^n_M(q^2).$$

Previously, many neutrino experiment have assumed that the vector form factors are described by the dipole approximation.

$$G_D(q^2) = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{q^2}{M_V^2}\right)^2}, \quad M_V^2 = 0.71 \text{ GeV}^2.$$
\( \nu + n \rightarrow p + \mu^- \), BBA-2003 Form Factors, \( m_A=1.00 \)

Figure 1. The QE neutrino cross section along with data from various experiments. The calculation uses \( M_A=1.00 \) GeV, \( g_A=-1.267 \), \( M_T^2=0.71 \) GeV\(^2\) and BBA-2003 Form Factors. The solid curve uses no nuclear correction, while the dashed curve \[7\] uses a Fermi gas model for carbon with a 25 MeV binding energy and 220 Fermi momentum. The dotted curve is the prediction for carbon including both Fermi gas Pauli blocking and the effect of nuclear binding on the nucleon form factors \[10\](bounded form factors). The data shown are from FNAL 1983 \[11\], ANL 1977 \[12\], BNL 1981 \[13\], ANL 1973 \[14\], SKAT 1990 \[15\], GGM 1979 \[16\], LSND 2002 \[17\], Serpukov 1985 \[18\], and GGM 1977 \[19\].

\[
G^p_E = G_D(q^2), \quad G^n_E = 0,
\]
\[
G^p_M = \mu_p G_D(q^2), \quad G^n_M = \mu_n G_D(q^2).
\]

We refer to the above combination of form factors as ‘Dipole Form Factors’. It is an approximation that has been improved by us in a previous publication \[4\]. We use our updated form factors which we refer as ‘BBA-2003 Form Factors’ \[4\] \[5\] (Budd, Bodek, Arrington).

The axial form factor is given by

\[
F_A(q^2) = \frac{g_A}{\left(1 - \frac{q^2}{M_A^2}\right)^2}.
\]

We have used our updated value of \( M_A=1.00 \pm 0.020 \) GeV \[4\] which is in good agreement with the theoretically corrected value from pion electroproduction of \( 1.014 \pm 0.016 \) GeV \[6\]. For extraction of \( F_A(q^2) \) we use the value of \( M_A = 1.014 \), since it is independent of QE scattering measurements.

3. Comparison to Cross Section Data

Figures \[4\] shows the QE cross section for \( \nu \) using BBA-2003 Form Factors and \( M_A=1.00 \) GeV. The normalization uncertainty in the data is approximately 10%. The solid curve uses no nuclear correction, while the dotted curve \[7\] uses a NUANCE \[8\] calculation of a Smith and Moniz \[9\] based Fermi gas model for carbon. This nuclear model includes Pauli blocking and Fermi motion, but not final state interactions. The Fermi gas model was run with a 25 MeV binding energy and 220 MeV Fermi momentum. The dotted curve is the prediction for carbon including both Fermi gas Pauli blocking and the effect of nuclear binding on the nucleon form factors as modeled by Tsushima et al. \[10\]. The ratio of bounded form factors to free form factors is set to 1 for \( Q^2 > 2.0 \) GeV. The updated form factors improve the agreement with neutrino QE cross section data and give a reasonable description of the
cross sections from deuterium. We plan to study the nuclear corrections, adopting models which have been used in precision electron scattering measurements from nuclei at SLAC and JLab.

4. Extraction of $F_A(q^2)$

A substantial fraction of the cross section comes from the form factor $F_A(q^2)$. Therefore, we can extract $F_A(q^2)$ from the differential cross section. Figure 2 and 3 show the contribution of $F_A(q^2)$ to $d\sigma/dQ^2$. Figure 2 shows the percent change in the neutrino cross section for a 1% change in the form factors. Figure 3 shows the fractional contribution of the form factor determined by setting the form factor to zero and by determining the fractional decrease in the differential cross section, $1 - (d\sigma/dQ^2(form factor = 0))/(d\sigma/dQ^2)$.

For each $q^2$ bin, we integrate the above equation over the $q^2$ bin and the neutrino flux. Let $N_{Data}^{Bin}$ be the number of data points in each bin.

$\int \int dq^2 dE_\nu \{a(q^2, E_\nu)F_A(q^2)^2 + b(q^2, E_\nu)F_A(q^2) + c(q^2, E_\nu) - \frac{d\sigma}{dq^2}(q^2, E_\nu)\} = 0$

The above equation can be written as a quadratic equation in $F_A(q^2)$ at the bin value $q_{bin}^2$.

$\alpha F_A(q_{bin}^2)^2 + \beta F_A(q_{bin}^2) + \gamma - \Delta - N_{Data}^{Bin} = 0$

The terms of this equation are given below:

$\alpha = \int \int dq^2 dE_\nu a(q^2, E_\nu)$

$\beta = \int \int dq^2 dE_\nu b(q^2, E_\nu)$

$\gamma = \int \int dq^2 dE_\nu c(q^2, E_\nu)$
Figure 4. Extracted values of $F_A(q^2)$ for the three deuterium bubble chamber experiments Baker et al. [13], Miller et al. [21], and Kitagaki et al. [11]. Also shown are the expected errors for MINERνA assuming a dipole form factor for $F_A(q^2)$ with $M_A=1.014$. To find $q^2_{\text{bin}}$, we assume a nominal $F_A(q^2)$, written $F_A^N(q^2)$. We determine $q^2_{\text{bin}}$ from

$$\alpha F_A^N(q_{\text{bin}}^2) - \int dq^2 dE_{\nu} a(q^2, E_{\nu}) F_A^N(q^2)^2 = 0.$$  

$\Delta$ is a bin center correction term which also uses $F_A^N(q^2)$. $\Delta$ is determined by

$$\Delta = \beta F_A^N(q_{\text{bin}}^2) - \int dq^2 dE_{\nu} b(q^2, E_{\nu}) F_A^N(q^2).$$  

The number of events in the bin is given by $N_{\text{Bin}}^{\text{Data}}$. The number of events in the bin from theory is

$$N_{\text{Bin}}^{\text{Thy}} = \int dq^2 dE_{\nu} \frac{d\sigma}{dq^2}(q^2, E_{\nu}).$$  

The errors in the points are given by

$$\sqrt{\frac{N_{\text{Bin}}^{\text{Thy}}}{2\alpha F_A^N(q_{\text{bin}}^2)}} + \beta.$$  

Figure 5. Same as Figure 4 with a logarithmic scale.
Figure 6. Extracted values of $F_A(q^2)/dipole$ for deuterium bubble chamber experiments Baker et al. [13], Miller et al. [21], and Kitagaki et al. [11]. For MINERνA the projected results are shown for two different assumptions: $F_A/dipole=G_P(dipole)$ from cross section and $F_A/dipole=G_E(dipole)$ from polarization. The MINERνA errors are for a 4 year run.

Figure 7. The percent change in the anti-neutrino cross section for a 1% change in the form factors.

5. Extraction of $F_A(q^2)$ from anti-neutrinos

The determination of $F_A(q^2)$ will have systematic errors from the flux, nuclear effects, QE identifications, background determination, etc. Anti-neutrino data can provide a check on $F_A(q^2)$. Figure 7 and 8 show the contribution of $F_A(q^2)$ to the cross section vs $Q^2$ for anti-neutrinos. Figure 7 shows the percent change in the anti-neutrino cross section for a 1% change in the form factors. The plot shows that $F_A(q^2)$ has a different contribution to the cross section for anti-neutrinos than neutrinos. At $Q^2 \sim 3 \text{GeV}^2$, $F_A$ is not contributing to the cross section, and the cross section becomes independent of $F_A(q^2)$. Hence, at higher $Q^2$ the cross section can be predicted and compared to the data to determine errors to the neutrino extraction. Figure 8 shows the fractional contribution of the form factor determined by setting the form factor to zero and by determining the fractional decrease in the differential cross section. Note, since some terms are products of different form factors the sum of the curves do not have to sum to 1.

Figure 8 shows the errors on $F_A/dipole$ for anti-neutrinos. The overall errors scale is arbitrary. As we expect, the errors on $F_A(q^2)$ become large at $Q^2$ around 3 GeV$^2$ when the derivative of the cross section with respect to $F_A(q^2)$ goes to 0.

6. Conclusions

We have used new form factors to show the cross sections for QE neutrino scattering. The cross sections give a reasonable description of the deuterium data, but the nuclear data is low. We have shown how to extract $F_A$ and have shown how MINERνA can measure $F_A(q^2)$. For...
The contribution of the form factors determined by setting the form factors = 0.

7. Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy, Nuclear Physics Division, under contract W-31-109-ENG-38 (Argonne) and High Energy Physics Division under grant DE-FG02-91ER40685 (Rochester).

REFERENCES
1. http://nuint04.lngs.infn.it/
2. Y. Fukada et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 1562.
3. C.H. Llewellyn Smith, Phys. Rep. 3C (1972).
4. H. Budd, A. Bodek and J. Arrington, hep-ex[0308005].
5. J. Arrington, Phys. Rev. C68 034325 (2003), nucl-ex[0305009]; J. Arrington, Phys. Rev. C69 022201 (2004).
6. V. Bernard, L. Elouadrhiri, U.G. Meissner, J.Phys.G28 (2002), hep-ph[0107088].
Experiment E938, hep-ex[0405002]
QE, $\bar{\nu}_\mu$. $\Delta(\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2})$ [%] for 1% Change is FF, $M_A=1$