Effect of Silver Diamine Fluoride Pretreatment on Shear Bond Strength of Resin Modified Glass Ionomer Cement to Primary Dentin
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Abstract

AIM: The aim of the study was to evaluate effect of silver diamine fluoride (SDF) on the shear bond strength (SBS) of glass ionomer restoration to primary dentine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Twenty extracted primary molars with no restorations or developmental anomalies were only chosen for the study. Twenty dentine slices with 2 mm thickness were prepared and then randomly allocated into two groups (n = 10 per group). For Group 1 (experimental): Dentine surfaces were treated with 38% SDF solution (Elevate oral care, USA) for 3 min using micro-brush, followed by a 30 s rinse with water and Group 2 (Control): Dentine surfaces were treated with distilled water for 3 min then rinsed for 30 s. The cavity conditioner was applied to flat dentin of both groups for 10 s then washed and dried gently with compressed air. All the specimens were restored with RMGIC (Riva Light Cure, SDI, Australia). SBS was determined using a Universal Testing machine and Failure mode was evaluated using stereomicroscope. Data were collected and statistically analyzed. Independent t test was used to assess differences in SBS between study groups and mode of failure was analyzed using Fisher’s exact test. Level of significance was set at p ≤ 0.05. IBM SPSS statistical software (version 26) was used to analyze the data.

RESULTS: The experimental group had significantly higher mean of shear bond (11.92 ± 3.35) strength than the control group (6.99 ± 2.98) (p = 0.003).

CONCLUSIONS: Primary dentin pre-treatment with 38% SDF increases the shear bond strength between RMGIC and primary dentin according to the results of this in vitro study.

Introduction

Dental caries is one of the most common preventable diseases which are recognized as the primary cause of oral pain and tooth loss. It is a major public health oral disease that hinders the achievement and maintenance of oral health in all age groups [1]. Caries can be prevented and arrested using fluoride-based materials such as professional applied varnishes. Silver diamine fluoride (SDF) has been used for arresting dental caries in children worldwide since the early 1960s, cleared by the Food and Drug Administration in the United States in 2014 and in 2015 that product is available [2], [3], [4].

SDF inhibits cariogenic biofilm formation, generates a highly remineralized dentin surface, rich in calcium and phosphate ions so it can be used in both prevention of and arresting caries. Furthermore, SDF possesses an antimicrobial activity against cariogenic bacteria such as Streptococcus mutans or Actinomyces naeslundii formed on dentine surfaces. It also believed to inhibit dentine demineralization, preserve collagen from degradation in demineralized dentine and increase the pH of biofilm [5], [6], [7]. It has been used in primary teeth especially in conditions such as early childhood caries, patients suffering from behavioral or medical issues that make conventional therapy impossible, patients with special needs, those with salivary dysfunction, and those who cannot afford or have regular dental services. It also has been used to control dental caries, especially in pre-school children with dentine caries lesions as it is safe, efficient, viable, and effective in arresting dentine caries [8], [9].

SDF darkens the carious lesions. It causes a “temporary tattoo” to skin (on the patient or provider) which resolves within 2–14 days. A transient metallic or bitter taste was reported but it is still more favorable than that caused by the fluoride varnish [10]. Alternative ways were introduced to mask the black discoloration of the tooth surface that is a result of silver precipitate.

Addition of saturated potassium iodide solution (KI) before SDF treatment to react with free silver ions to form a creamy white product which is silver iodide
that decreased the intensity of staining but negatively affected the adhesion of resin-based adhesives and RMGIC to dentin [11], [12].

Furthermore, application of composite or glass-ionomer cement (GIC) restorations after the application of SDF will mask the black color of the carious lesion for better aesthetics, improve chewing ability, prevent food accumulation and, so, maintain adequate oral hygiene. This technique is known as silver modifiedatraumatic restorative technique [13].

The bond strengths of composite to sound primary molars were negligibly affected by the pre-treatment of the primary dentin with 38% SDF as cleared by Wu et al. while Fröhlich et al. in systematic review and meta-analysis (2019) found that the previous application of SDF does not influence the dentine bond strength of GIC but compromises the bonding of adhesive systems [14], [15]. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to evaluate shear bond strength (SBS) of RMGIC to primary dentin after SDF pre-treatment.

Materials and Methods

This study was approved by Research Ethical Committee of Faculty of Dentistry, Minia University. (No: 329-3/2019).

Sample size

Using a power of 94%, a sample size of ten specimens per group was the minimum required and it was calculated using IBM SPSS sample power program version 3.0.1.

Teeth selection and preparation

Twenty sound primary molars with no restorations or developmental anomalies were only chosen for the study. Teeth were cleaned with deionized water and stored in a 0.1% thymol solution at 4°C for a maximum of 1 month.

Specimen preparation

Twenty dentine slices with 2 mm thickness were prepared from 20 sound primary molars using a low-speed saw with a diamond blade (ISOMET 1000; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) to obtain flat dentin surface and the roots were cutoff 2 mm below the cemento-enamel junction using diamond disc bur under water coolant. All dentine slices were embedded in a dental cold cured acrylic mold (ProBase Cold, Ivoclar Vivadent AG, and Liechtenstein). The surfaces of the dentine slices were polished with micro-fine 2000-grit sanding paper under running water.

Grouping

Dentine specimens were randomly allocated into two groups (n = 10 per group).

Group 1 (experimental): Dentine surfaces were treated with 38% *SDF solution (Elevate oral care, USA) for 3 min using micro-brush, followed by a 30 s rinse with water.

Group 2 (Control): Dentine surfaces were treated with distilled water for 3 min then rinsed for 30 s.

Then, the cavity conditioner was applied to flat dentin of both groups for 10 s then washed and dried gently with compressed air.

To create a standardized bonding area, a plastic cylindrical shaped mold with internal diameter of 3 mm and height of 2 mm was placed at right angle on the cut surface of the polished flat dentin and filled with *RMGIC (Riva Light Cure, SDI, Australia) in increments then each one was light cured for 20 s according to manufacturer’s instructions for both groups.

Testing of SBS

The SBS was assessed using Universal Testing machine Instron industrial production, USA (Norwood). Shear load was directed parallel to the bonded interface with a sharp blade at cross head speed of 0.5 mm/min until failure occurred. The following equation was used to calculate the SBS:

$$\text{SBS in Megapascals (MPa) = the maximum failure load in Newtons (N)/surface area of the bonded interface (mm}^2)$$

The surface area (A) was calculated from the following equation: $A = \pi r^2$ where $\pi$ is a constant value=3.14 and $r$ is radius.

Failure modes were evaluated by a single examiner (demonstrator at Dental Biomaterial Department, Minia University) using stereomicroscope at ×40 magnification, and classified as: Adhesive (failure at the interface of RMGIC and the dentin surface), Cohesive (failure within the dentin or RMGIC), and Mixed (combination of adhesive and cohesive failure).

Statistical methods

Independent t test was used to assess differences in SBS between study groups while Fisher’s exact test was used to assess mode of failure. Level of significance was set at $p \leq 0.05$. IBM SPSS statistical software (version 26) was used to analyze the data.
Results

Shear bond assessment

SBS evaluation represented a mean of 11.92 ± 3.35 MPa in the experimental group and 6.99 ± 2.98 MPa in the control group. The highest bond strength value was recorded in the SDF pre-treated group while the lowest SBS value was recorded in the control group. Using independent t test, 38% SDF pre-treated primary dentin presented statistically significant higher bond strength (p = 0.003).

Table 1: Comparison of the shear bond strength assessment in the groups

| Groups         | Mean ± SD  | p-value |
|----------------|------------|---------|
| Experimental group | 11.92 ± 3.35 | 0.003   |
| Control group   | 6.99 ± 2.98 |         |

Comparison of the SBS assessment in the groups was done using an independent samples t test. A statistically significant difference was observed between the groups.

Descriptive statistics of the SBS (MPa) of the experimental and control groups are illustrated in Table 1 and Figure 1.

| Groups         | Failure mode assessment | p-value |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Adhesive       | 30.0%                   | 70.0%   |
| Mixed          | 80.0%                   | 20.0%   |

Table 2: Comparison of the failure mode assessment in the groups

Comparison of the failure mode assessment in the groups was done using Fisher’s exact test. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups, p = 0.070 (Table 2).

Discussion

Dental caries is a very common and prevalent disease especially in pre-school age children. Treatment of caries with the conventional methods requires cooperation of the child, well trained and experienced dentist, and special equipment and materials and hence this will be cost effective [4], [16].

Scoping on prevention or arresting of caries is better than complete removal of caries. Accordingly, fluoride-based materials started to be used in 1891 “Howe’s solution” which is a mixture of nitric acid and amalgam scraps (silver nitrate solution) was used to inhibit caries progression in children of Boston, USA [3], [17].

SDF is used for several years ago and has promising results in prevention and arresting caries. It is only blamed for discoloration of tooth.
structure which negatively affects patient and parent satisfaction [18].

From this point, our study was to restore SDF pre-treated tooth with RMGIC and compare it to control group that is RMGIC and evaluate if SDF impairing SBS to primary dentine or not beside determining failure mode.

SDF has multiple concentrations available in the market. At this study, 38% was used according to Fung et al. who concluded that SDF 38% conc. was more effective in prevention and arresting of caries than 12% conc. [19].

To standardize the current study, only sound primary molars with no restorations or developmental anomalies were chosen for the study. Teeth were cleaned with deionized water and stored in a 0.1% thymol solution for 4°C before section a maximum 1 month then randomly allocated into two groups n = 10. The randomization was done using random allocation software program into two groups. Group 1 (experimental group): The cavities were treated with 38% SDF solution (Elevate oral care, USA) while Group 2 (control group): Cavities were only treated with distilled water [20].

According to the results of this study, it was found that pre-treatment of sound primary dentin with SDF increased significantly SBS between RMGIC and the primary dentin. Mixed type of failure was predominant in the experimental group while adhesive type of failure was predominant in the control group and the difference between the two groups was non-significant. The observed mode of failure indicates that the retentive strength between SDF pre-treated primary dentin and RMGIC was greater than that in the control group. This is supported by Fröhlich et al., who said in a systematic review that silver phosphate restores the mineral content and so re-hardening of the soft tooth structure while silver ions precipitate the biological aspects of caries and many factors that may contribute to bond strength in vital tooth.

However, the limitation of the study includes the difficulty to simulate the oral conditions regarding the biological aspects of caries and many factors that may contribute to bond strength in vital tooth.

Conclusion

- According to this in vitro study, SBS for SDF group was significantly higher than that of the control group
- SDF could enhance bond strength to primary dentine
- In vivo studies are recommended to evaluate SBS in oral conditions.
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