Chemotherapy and 21-gene recurrence score testing for older breast cancer patients: A competing-risks analysis
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A B S T R A C T

Purpose: To evaluate the effect of the 21-gene recurrence score (RS) assay in breast cancer-specific mortality (BCSM) and decision-making for chemotherapy in older (aged ≥65 years) breast cancer. Methods: We retrospectively included older patients with T1-2N0 and estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database. Cox regression model and competing-risks model were used for data analysis. Results: This study included 8524 patients, 1987 (23.3%) had low RS, 5059 (59.4%) had intermediate RS, and 1478 (17.3%) had high RS. Chemotherapy was administrated in 2.0%, 8.6%, and 51.2% for low, intermediate, and high RS cohorts, respectively (P<0.001). A total of 597 deaths were recorded, including one-quarter of breast cancer-related deaths and three-quarters as competing causes of death. The 5-year BCSM was 5.4%, 4.7%, and 9.1% for low, intermediate, and high RS cohorts, respectively (P<0.001), using the Cox regression model, and was 0.8%, 0.9%, and 5.2% for low, intermediate, and high RS cohorts using the competing-risks regression, respectively (P<0.001). RS was independently correlated with BCSM in both prognostic models. The stratified analysis demonstrated that chemotherapy was not correlated with a lower risk of BCSM in intermediate and high RS cohorts in both prognostic models. Sensitivity analyses replicated similar findings after stratification by the year of diagnosis and patients’ age. Conclusions: The competing-risks model is useful in dealing with multiple end events for older breast cancer patients. 21-gene RS was independently associated with BCSM. However, chemotherapy did not significantly decrease the risk of BCSM in intermediate and high RS cohorts.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Background

Tumor genomic profile data have been integrated into prognostic assessment and decision-making of adjuvant chemotherapy for early-stage breast cancer (BC) [1–3]. However, there is limited evidence about the optimal treatment decisions based on genomic profile data for older women (aged ≥65 years) with BC, despite this group comprising half of all newly diagnosed cases annually [4]. Previous retrospective analyses have found the lack of benefit seen with the use of chemotherapy in unselected populations of older patients, whereas benefit was seen only in patients with hormone receptor-negative or node-positive disease. Therefore, the role of gene expression profiling to better select individuals who are most likely to benefit from chemotherapy in older patients should be investigated [5]. A higher percentage of older women with BC develop estrogen receptor (ER)-positive tumors than younger women [6]. Older patients also present with a lower rate of human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2)-positive tumors [7]. Several gene expression profiling tests, including 21-gene RS (Oncotype Dx, ODX), 50-gene (PAM 50), 70-gene (MammaPrint),
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12-gene (EndoPredict) as well as Breast Cancer Index have been recommended for clinical use from the European Society for Medical Oncology and American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guidelines [8,9], which are beneficial in therapy selection for ER-positive, HER2-negative, and node-negative (N0) BC patients. However, ODX is the only multigene signature recommended by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) for this population (category 1 evidence) [10]. Therefore, ODX testing should be considered in the majority of newly diagnosed older BC cases.

The validation studies of the ODX in early-stage BC included approximately 20–30% of older women, and age had no impact on the risk prediction of RS [3,11,12]. In particular, the first validation study indicated that age was not an independent prognostic factor when RS was included in the multivariate analysis [3]. Several studies have shown that older women were often not treated with guideline-recommended chemotherapy or were undertreated, which could lead to a higher risk of BC-related mortality compared to younger women [13,14]. Therefore, treatment should be adapted to tumor biology and general health rather than age alone. However, the effect of RS array testing in prognostication of survival and decision-making of chemotherapy in older BC patients remains controversial. Management of older BC is challenging because of the lack of high-quality evidence regarding the effect of adjuvant chemotherapy.

ODX is a gene expression profiler used to prognosticate the 10-year risk of distant metastasis in women with ER-positive, HER2-negative, and NO BC to inform clinical decision-making of chemotherapy receipt [15]. Women with a low RS may safely omit chemotherapy and experience comparable 10-year distant metastasis rates as their counterparts who received chemotherapy. On the other hand, women with a high RS have a higher 10-year risk of distant metastasis and should therefore consider chemotherapy receipt [15]. Older women experience competing risks of death and therefore may be less motivated to undergo more aggressive treatment to reduce their 10-year risk of distant recurrence [16]. Furthermore, older women are particularly susceptible to toxicities associated with chemotherapy receipt and may prefer a higher quality of life over the possibility of reduced 10-year distant recurrence outcomes [17]. Therefore, clinical decision-making about chemotherapy receipt in the older population is necessarily different from that in younger age groups.

Competing risk refers to individual exposure to more than two courses of failure, including second malignant tumors or other causes of death. However, definitive failure can only be attributed to one of them, which indicates that the occurrence of one type of event would hinder the occurrence by other events [18]. For older patients with BC, the leading cause of death does not appear to be BC related. Studies have reported that >50% of patients died from competing events such as cardiovascular disease and a second primary tumor [16,19]. The Cox regression model has been widely accepted to describe trends of survival and determine prognostic factors, but it is limited by the risk of bias. Because this model ignores competing events as censored data, it is important to consider both the outcomes of the event of interest and the outcomes of competing risks [20]. However, to our best knowledge, no data is yet available regarding competing-risks analysis along with the RS assay for older BC patients. Accordingly, two prognostic models including the Cox regression model and the competing-risks regression model were performed for older patients in the current study to investigate the effect of RS assay in BC-specific mortality (BCSM) and decision making for treatment using a population-based cohort.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patients

We included ODX related variables for invasive ductal carcinoma cases diagnosed from 2004 to 2012, the ODX test orders and results were provided from the Genomic Health Clinical Laboratory. Data on ODX testing and results were linked with BC incidence data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database [21]. Women aged $\geq$65 years, tumor size $\leq$5 cm (T1–2), N0, and ER-positive invasive ductal carcinoma treated with surgery from 2004 to 2012 were identified. Patients with a second primary cancer, not pathologically diagnosed, and those with missing or incomplete information regarding histological grade, progesterone receptor (PR) status, and race/ethnicity were excluded. Patients aged $\geq$65 years were defined as older patients because this cut-off age is regularly used in gerontology [22,23]. Because of the anonymized patient data used from the SEER program, the need for ethics approval was waived by the review board of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University.

2.2. Variables

We identified the following demographic, clinicopathological variables, and outcomes of each patient: age, race/ethnicity, T stage, histological grade, PR status, and the 21-gene RS classification. Treatment variables, including surgical procedures, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy were also included. The RS cohorts were classified into low RS (<11), intermediate RS [11–25], and high RS (>25) based on the Trial Assigning Individualized Options for Treatment (TAILORx) cut-offs [2].

2.3. Statistical analysis

The patient characteristics among the three 21-gene RS cohorts were evaluated using the chi-squared test. Independent predictors associated with the receipt of chemotherapy were determined with binomial logistic regression. The univariate analyses were performed using both the Cox regression model and the competing-risks model and used to evaluate the cumulative incidence of BCSM. The BCSM was defined as the interval from the initial diagnosis of BC to the date of death from BC. BCSM was assessed using the cause of death data recorded from the SEER registries, which was provided by the National Center for Health Statistics [24]. These analyses used SEER-derived variables that use a mapping to dichotomize causes of death as other-cause specific or breast cancer-specific [25]. Multivariate Cox regression models were used to determine the independent prognostic indicators associated with BCSM and results were presented as hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). Moreover, competing-risks analyses with the Fine and Gray model were also used to determine the combined effect of the variables on BCSM, and results were reported as sub-distribution hazard ratios (sdHRs) and 95% CIs. Sensitivity analyses focused on the year of diagnosis (2004–2010 and 2011–2012) and patients’ age (aged 65–69, 70–74, and >74 years) were performed. All data analyses were performed with IBM SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) and Stata/SE version 14 (StataCorp, TX, USA). All P values $<$ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Patient characteristics

A total of 8524 patients were included (Table 1). Briefly, 87.6%,...
80.2%, and 80.2% of them were PR-positive, well-moderately differentiated disease, and non-Hispanic White, respectively. In addition, approximately half of them were aged ≥70 years. Moreover, 1987 (23.3%) had low RS, 5059 (59.4%) had intermediate RS, and 1478 (17.3%) had high RS. High RS patients were more likely to show a higher histological grade, larger tumor size, and PR-negative disease compared to those in the other two RS groups.

### 3.2. The RS assay and chemotherapy decision making in older patients

In all, 1229 (14.4%) patients received chemotherapy. Among them, 2.0%, 8.6%, and 51.2% of patients in the low, intermediate, and high RS groups were treated with chemotherapy, respectively (P < 0.001) (Fig. 1). Regarding the year of diagnosis, there was a significantly higher percentage of intermediate RS patients who received chemotherapy in the year 2007 compared to other years (P < 0.001), but had a comparable probability of chemotherapy receipt among other years (P = 0.237) (Fig. 2). In patients with a high RS cohort, the percentage of chemotherapy receipt was comparable over time (P = 0.406) (Fig. 3). The results of the binomial regression analysis indicated that RS was the most important predictor correlated with the receipt of chemotherapy. We observed that patients with intermediate RS (odds ratio [OR]: 4.207, 95% CI: 3.012–5.875, P < 0.001) and high RS (OR: 36.237, 95% CI: 25.684–51.125, P < 0.001) were more likely to receive chemotherapy than those with a low RS. Moreover, younger age, larger tumor size, higher histological grade, and PR-negative were also the independent predictors affecting receipt of chemotherapy. The year of diagnosis and race/ethnicity were not the predictors associated with the receipt of chemotherapy in the binomial regression analysis (Table 2).

### Table 1
Baseline characteristics of patients between 2004 and 2012 in the SEER database (n = 8524).

| Variables               | n     | Low (%) | Intermediate (%) | High (%) | P   |
|-------------------------|-------|---------|------------------|----------|-----|
| Age (years)             |       |         |                  |          |     |
| 65-69                   | 4631  | 1020 (51.3) | 2816 (55.7)     | 795 (53.8) | 0.025 |
| 70-74                   | 2441  | 604 (30.4)  | 1405 (27.8)     | 432 (29.2) |     |
| >74                     | 1452  | 363 (18.3)  | 838 (16.6)      | 251 (17.0) |     |
| Race/ethnicity          |       |         |                  |          |     |
| Non-Hispanic White      | 6836  | 1576 (79.3) | 4106 (81.2)     | 1154 (78.1) | 0.004 |
| Non-Hispanic Black      | 601   | 153 (7.7)   | 326 (6.4)       | 122 (8.3)  |     |
| Hispanic (all)          | 553   | 113 (5.7)   | 342 (6.8)       | 98 (6.5)   |     |
| Other                   | 534   | 145 (7.3)   | 285 (5.6)       | 104 (6.3)  |     |
| Grade                   |       |         |                  |          |     |
| Well differentiated     | 2097  | 638 (32.1)  | 1337 (26.4)     | 122 (8.3)  | <0.001 |
| Moderately differentiated| 4737  | 1168 (58.8) | 2948 (58.3)     | 621 (42.0) |     |
| Poorly/undifferentiated | 1690  | 181 (9.1)   | 774 (15.3)      | 735 (49.7) |     |
| Tumor stage             |       |         |                  |          |     |
| T1                      | 6477  | 1510 (76.0) | 3956 (78.2)     | 1011 (68.4) | <0.001 |
| T2                      | 2047  | 477 (24.0)  | 1103 (21.8)     | 467 (31.6) |     |
| PR status               |       |         |                  |          |     |
| Negative                | 1056  | 41 (2.1)    | 540 (10.7)      | 475 (32.1) | <0.001 |
| Positive                | 7468  | 1946 (97.9) | 4519 (89.3)     | 1003 (67.9) |     |
| Surgical procedure      |       |         |                  |          |     |
| Breast-conserving surgery| 6387  | 1460 (73.5) | 3867 (76.4)     | 1060 (71.7) | <0.001 |
| Mastectomy              | 2137  | 527 (26.5)  | 1192 (23.6)     | 418 (28.3) |     |
| Radiotherapy            |       |         |                  |          |     |
| No                      | 3016  | 721 (36.3)  | 1708 (33.8)     | 587 (39.7)  | <0.001 |
| Yes                     | 5508  | 1266 (63.7) | 3351 (66.2)     | 891 (60.3)  |     |
| Chemotherapy            |       |         |                  |          |     |
| No                      | 7295  | 1948 (98.0) | 4625 (91.4)     | 722 (48.8)  | <0.001 |
| Yes                     | 1229  | 39 (2.0)    | 434 (8.6)       | 756 (51.2)  |     |

PR, progesterone receptor; T, tumor.
After a median follow-up time of 61 months (range: 0–142 months), a total of 597 deaths were recorded. Of these, 153 (25.6%) patients died because of BC. The top five competing events were cardiovascular diseases (n = 122), other causes of death (n = 91), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and allied conditions (n = 43), cerebrovascular diseases (n = 42), and accidents and adverse effects (n = 21). The 5-year BCSM was 5.6% and 1.6% using the Cox regression model and competing-risks model, respectively.

The 5-year BCSM was 5.4%, 4.7%, and 9.1% for low, intermediate, and high RS cohorts, respectively (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4B). Fig. 4. Change in use of chemotherapy during the study period in the high-risk cohort.

The multivariate prognostic analysis also showed that chemotherapy was related to a lower risk of BCSM both in the two prognostic models in the intermediate and high RS cohorts (Table 5). Sensitivity analyses replicated similar findings after stratification according to the year of diagnosis and patients’ age (Table 6).

4. Discussion

In the current study, the Cox regression model and competing-risks model were used to investigate the role of RS assay testing in prognostication of survival and decision making of chemotherapy in older women aged >65 years with BC. As expected, approximately three-quarters of deaths occurred in non-BC-related disease in our study. When investigating specific causes of death, the Cox regression model may tend to overestimate the risk of dying from BC when there are competing risks of death and patients being censored. The competing-risks model is useful in dealing with multiple end events [26]. In this study, 21-gene RS was the independent prognostic indicator for BCSM in both the Cox regression and the competing-risks models. However, chemotherapy did not significantly decrease the risk of BCSM in intermediate and high RS cohorts in both prognostic models.

Approximately half of the women diagnosed with BC were aged >65 years, but the percentage of those receiving standardized treatments among this older population was lower than that of younger patients across all risk categories [4,27]. With the understanding of the biology of BC, the use of ODX testing could predict the 10-year risk of distant metastasis and predict the benefit of chemotherapy in a specific RS subgroup [2,15]. ODX is the only multigene signature recommended by the NCCN in NO BC (category 1 evidence) and is most widely used [10]. Although ODX testing in older BC patients has gradually increased in recent years, the probability of receiving chemotherapy has also increased significantly with the increase of
However, the percentage of older patients who underwent ODX testing was lower than the younger cohort in a previous SEER-based study [27]. In addition, older patients were underrepresented in previous clinical trials such as TAILORx [2, 29, 30]. For example, 30% of patients that were enrolled in the TAILORx trial were aged between 61 and 75 years, while only 3.5% of patients were aged between 71 and 75 years; further, stratified analysis was not performed for older women, and additional information regarding comorbidities was also not collected [2]. Moreover, genomic profile data was not included in earlier trials specifically designed for older women [29, 31, 32]. Therefore, clinicians are unable to provide information on treatment discussions for older women owing to the scarcity of published data. Moreover, a higher risk of competing risks of death and particularly susceptible to chemotherapy-related toxicities in older BC patients may also impact the chemotherapy decision making between clinicians and patients [16, 17].

A previous SEER-Medicare study indicated that the rate of chemotherapy receipt was 3.7%, 9.5%, and 58.3% in low, intermediate, and high RS of patients aged between 65 and 74 years, respectively [33], which was similar to our results. However, the rate of chemotherapy receipt was still significantly lower than that of young patients. A recent SEER analysis showed that in patients aged 18–69 years, 9%, 38%, and 73% of patients who had undergone chemotherapy for low, intermediate, and high RS cohorts.

### Table 3
Multivariate prognostic analysis for breast cancer-specific mortality using the Cox regression model and the competing-risks model in the entire cohort (n = 8524, 2004–2012 SEER database).

| Variables                        | Cox regression model | Competing-risks model |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Age (years)                      | HR 95% CI P          | sdHR 95% CI P         |
| 65-69                            | 1                    | 1                     |
| 70-74                            | 1.410 1.153–1.725 0.001 | 1.222 0.852–1.782 0.267 |
| >74                              | 3.070 2.532–3.722 <0.001 | 1.634 1.088–2.453 0.018 |
| Race/ethnicity                   |                      |                       |
| Non-Hispanic White               | 1                    | 1                     |
| Non-Hispanic Black               | 1.209 0.903–1.620 0.202 | 1.270 0.736–2.190 0.391 |
| Hispanic (all)                   | 1.011 0.723–1.413 0.950 | 0.930 0.467–1.851 0.836 |
| Other                            | 0.775 0.529–1.135 0.191 | 0.817 0.400–1.670 0.580 |
| Grade                            |                      |                       |
| Well differentiated              | 1                    | 1                     |
| Moderately differentiated        | 1.261 1.011–1.573 0.039 | 4.517 1.966–10.378 <0.001 |
| Poorly/undifferentiated          | 1.306 0.999–1.708 0.051 | 5.950 2.524–14.026 <0.001 |
| Tumor stage                      |                      |                       |
| T1                               | 1                    | 1                     |
| T2                               | 1.112 0.928–1.334 0.25 | 1.324 0.930–1.887 0.119 |
| PR status                        |                      |                       |
| Negative                         | 1                    | 1                     |
| Positive                         | 1.005 0.795–1.271 0.968 | 1.467 0.946–2.277 0.087 |
| Surgical procedure               |                      |                       |
| Breast-conserving surgery        | 1                    | 1                     |
| Mastectomy                       | 0.890 0.707–1.120 0.320 | 1.260 0.760–2.090 0.37 |
| Radiotherapy                     | 1                    | 1                     |
| No                               | 0.592 0.478–0.734 <0.001 | 0.897 0.556–1.45 0.654 |
| Yes                              | 1                    | 1                     |
| Chemotherapy                     | 1                    | 1                     |
| No                               | 0.963 0.754–1.231 0.766 | 1.110 0.751–1.641 0.600 |
| Yes                              | 0.948 0.768–1.171 0.622 | 1.243 0.712–2.171 0.445 |
| 21-gene RS classification        |                      |                       |
| Low-risk                         | 1.561 1.182–2.062 0.002 | 4.780 2.675–8.609 <0.001 |
| Intermediate-risk                |                      |                       |
| High-risk                        |                      |                       |

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; PR, progesterone receptor; RS, recurrence score; sdHR, sub-distribution hazard ratio; T, tumor.
Table 4
Multivariate prognostic analysis for breast cancer-specific mortality using the Cox regression model and the competing-risks model in patients without chemotherapy (n = 7295, 2004–2012 SEER database).

| Variables                  | Cox regression model | Competing-risks model |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
|                            | HR                  | 95%CI                 | P  | sdHR   | 95%CI     | P   |
| Age (years)                |                      |                      |    |        |           |     |
| 65-69                      | 1                    |                      |    | 1.639  | 1.035–2.595| 0.035|
| 70-74                      | 1.494                | 1.274–1.753          | <0.001| 2.559  | 2.188–2.992| <0.001|
| >74                        | 2.559                | 2.188–2.992          | <0.001| 1.920  | 1.177–3.132| 0.009|
| Race/ethnicity             |                      |                      |    |        |           |     |
| Non-Hispanic White         | 1                    |                      |    | 1.378  | 0.715–2.619| 0.328|
| Non-Hispanic Black         | 1.442                | 1.154–1.801          | 0.001| 1.021  | 0.446–2.336| 0.961|
| Hispanic (all)             | 1.019                | 0.769–1.350          | 0.897| 0.693  | 0.251–1.916| 0.480|
| Other                      | 0.622                | 0.432–0.897          | 0.011| 1.639  | 1.035–2.595| 0.035|
| Grade                      |                      |                      |    |        |           |     |
| Well differentiated        | 1                    |                      |    | 1.920  | 1.177–3.132| 0.009|
| Moderately differentiated  | 1.150                | 0.976–1.354          | 0.094| 4.170  | 1.799–9.666| 0.001|
| Poorly/undifferentiated    | 1.128                | 0.913–1.394          | 0.263| 4.264  | 1.714–10.609| 0.002|
| Tumor stage                |                      |                      |    |        |           |     |
| T1                         | 1                    |                      |    | 1.451  | 0.935–2.249| 0.096|
| T2                         | 1.239                | 1.070–1.435          | 0.004| 1.451  | 0.935–2.249| 0.096|
| PR status                  |                      |                      |    |        |           |     |
| Negative                   | 1                    |                      |    | 1.116  | 0.661–1.884| 0.581|
| Positive                   | 0.898                | 0.742–1.087          | 0.271| 1.116  | 0.661–1.884| 0.581|
| Surgical procedure         |                      |                      |    |        |           |     |
| Breast-conserving surgery  | 1                    |                      |    | 1.105  | 0.615–1.984| 0.739|
| Mastectomy                 | 0.810                | 0.675–0.973          | 0.025| 1.105  | 0.615–1.984| 0.739|
| Radiotherapy               |                      |                      |    |        |           |     |
| No                         | 1                    |                      |    | 0.814  | 0.476–1.390| 0.451|
| Yes                        | 0.617                | 0.519–0.733          | <0.001| 0.814  | 0.476–1.390| 0.451|
| 21-gene RS classification  |                      |                      |    |        |           |     |
| Low-risk                   | 1                    |                      |    | 1.178  | 0.664–2.090| 0.576|
| High-risk                  | 1.074                | 0.913–1.263          | 0.387| 1.178  | 0.664–2.090| 0.576|
|                            | 1.657                | 1.327–2.069          | <0.001| 4.946  | 2.718–9.000| <0.001|

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; PR, progesterone receptor; RS, recurrence score; sdHR, sub-distribution hazard ratio; T, tumor.

Fig. 5. The cumulative incidence of breast cancer-specific mortality in intermediate- and high-risk recurrence score cohorts by chemotherapy receipt using Cox regression (A, C) and competing-risks regression (B, D).
Another study from the United Kingdom showed a higher chemotherapy recommendation rate for intermediate RS (51.4%, median age: 55.2 years) and high RS (85.0%, median age: 55.2 years) cohorts [34]. However, a recent study from China, which included patients aged 60 years, reported that 11.6%, 46.0%, and 89.5% of patients for low, intermediate, and high RS groups, respectively, were recommended chemotherapy [35]. The higher percentage of chemotherapy recommendation in this population may be because patients with tumor size >5 cm (T3) stage and node-positive disease were also included in the analysis [35]. Moreover, the included patients, patient preferences, and clinical practice of chemotherapy recommendation based on ODX testing across different countries may also be the reasons regarding this difference.

In our study, a total of 597 deaths occurred; of these, 25.6% were BC-related deaths and three quarters were attributed to competing events. Multiple studies also confirmed that more than 50% of deaths in older women were due to non-primary cancer reasons such as cardiac disorders, second primary tumor, cerebral disorder, and other causes of death [16,19]. Non-cancer-specific mortalities were also the main cause of death in older patients in our study. In the common survival analysis, competing events were regarded as censored data. Therefore, it is necessary to consider competing risk events when evaluating the risk of BCSM, as it could overestimate the risk of BCSM [36]. The competing-risks model may better reflect the actual mortality patterns in older patients with an unbiased estimate, while death owing to other causes was not censored, rather served as a competing risk event [37–39]. Therefore, the competing-risks model was more suitable than the Cox regression model in assessing prognosis and decision making for BC-related prognosis.

### Table 5

**Multivariate prognostic analyses of chemotherapy receipt on breast cancer-specific mortality using the Cox regression model and the competing-risks model in intermediate and high-risk RS cohorts (2004–2012 SEER database).**

| Variables                  | Cox regression model | Competing-risks model |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
|                            | HR 95%CI P           | sdHR 95%CI P          |
| Intermediate-risk RS (n = 5059) |                     |                      |
| No chemotherapy            | 1                    | 1                     |
| Chemotherapy               | 1.026 0.687–1.532 0.900 | 1.449 0.681–3.080 0.335 |
| High-risk RS (n = 1478)    | No chemotherapy      |                       |
| Chemotherapy               | 0.762 0.558–1.051 0.099 | 1.039 0.668–1.618 0.864 |

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; RS, recurrence score; sdHR, sub-distribution hazard ratio.

### Table 6

**Sensitivity analyses of chemotherapy receipt on breast cancer-specific mortality using the Cox regression model and the competing-risks model (SEER database).**

| Variables                  | Cox regression model | Competing-risks model |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
|                            | HR 95%CI P           | sdHR 95%CI P          |
| Years 2004–2010 Intermediate RS (n = 2967) |                     |                      |
| No chemotherapy            | 1                    | 1                     |
| Chemotherapy               | 0.824 0.513–1.325 0.425 | 1.009 0.395–2.578 0.985 |
| High RS (n = 856)          | No chemotherapy      |                       |
| Chemotherapy               | 0.923 0.641–1.329 0.668 | 1.092 0.665–1.791 0.728 |
| Years 2011–2012 Intermediate RS (n = 2092) |                     |                      |
| No chemotherapy            | 1                    | 1                     |
| Chemotherapy               | 2.111 0.979–4.552 0.057 | 4.204 0.966–18.289 0.056 |
| High RS (n = 622)          | No chemotherapy      |                       |
| Chemotherapy               | 0.717 0.355–1.449 0.355 | 0.848 0.319–2.253 0.741 |
| Aged 65–69 years Intermediate RS (n = 2816) |                     |                      |
| No chemotherapy            | 1                    | 1                     |
| Chemotherapy               | 1.077 0.610–1.901 0.799 | 1.94 0.648–5.811 0.236 |
| High RS (n = 795)          | No chemotherapy      |                       |
| Chemotherapy               | 1.075 0.659–1.753 0.773 | 1.602 0.818–3.138 0.17 |
| Aged 70–74 years Intermediate RS (n = 1405) |                     |                      |
| No chemotherapy            | 1                    | 1                     |
| Chemotherapy               | 0.739 0.319–1.710 0.48 | 1.511 0.409–5.584 0.536 |
| High RS (n = 432)          | No chemotherapy      |                       |
| Chemotherapy               | 0.597 0.313–1.138 0.117 | 0.557 0.226–1.370 0.203 |
| Aged >74 years Intermediate RS (n = 838) |                     |                      |
| No chemotherapy            | 1                    | 1                     |
| Chemotherapy               | 1.397 0.634–3.078 0.407 | 1.187 0.141–10.006 0.875 |
| High RS (n = 251)          | No chemotherapy      |                       |
| Chemotherapy               | 0.767 0.403–1.461 0.42 | 0.793 0.272–2.311 0.671 |

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; RS, recurrence score; sdHR, sub-distribution hazard ratio.
treatment among older patients and avoiding common statistical analysis errors.

The cumulative incidence of BCSM among the three RS classifications was significantly lower with the competing-risks model than the Cox regression model. There have been limited studies regarding the role of ODX testing in older population. Using Kaplan–Meier analysis, Stemmer et al. indicated that the 5-year rate of distant metastasis for patients aged ≥70 years was 0.6%, 3.8%, and 8.3% for low, intermediate, and high RS cohorts, respectively [40]. After long-term follow-up, the 10-year overall mortality rate was 0.7%, 2.2%, and 9.5% for low, intermediate, and high RS cohorts respectively [15]. However, several differences should be noted in the above studies, including no age stratification (only 16.0% patients were ≥70 years old), unrecorded comorbidities, fewer patients (only 30%) in the high RS cohort not receiving adjuvant chemotherapy, and no analysis of chemotherapy effect for the high RS cohort [15,18]. The results from the TAILORx (median age: 56 years) trial also showed lower rates of distant metastasis in all the three classifications, with the 5-year rate of distant metastasis being 0.7% (endocrine therapy alone), 1.9% (2.0% in endocrine therapy plus 1.8% in chemoendocrine therapy), and 7.0% (chemoendocrine therapy alone) for low, intermediate, and high RS cohorts, respectively [2]. Although SEER does not include information regarding chemotherapy, the cumulative incidence of BCSM in older patients by using the competing-risks model was similar to the above studies, including TAILORx, which allowed us to assume that the receipt of endocrine did not bias our risk estimates. Therefore, according to our study, integration of ODX testing results with age and comorbidity may be useful to guide discussions with older women regarding the risks and benefits of chemotherapy. However, the risk of BCSM was extremely low in our study. In addition, older persons in the United States have different preferences for chemotherapy as they age [13,14]. Therefore, the chemotherapy effect in older patients based on the RS classification needed further exploration.

We further analyzed the effect of chemotherapy in older women. Similar to the results from TAILORx [2], we found that chemotherapy could not decrease the risk of BCSM in the intermediate RS cohort. Although half of the patients in the high RS cohort did not receive chemotherapy, it was not associated with a lower risk of BCSM according to the Cox regression model and competing-risks model. Given more complications and chemotherapy intolerance in older patients [41], specific chemotherapeutic clinical trials in older patients face challenges during recruitment. The retrospective study by Kizy et al. indicated that while the receipt of chemotherapy for the high RS cohort could improve survival in young patients, it was not correlated with a better outcome in older patients [27]. However, it should be noted that only approximately 50% of older patients received chemotherapy, while 70% of young patients received chemotherapy. Also, patients with T3 and node-positive disease were also included in the study by Kizy et al. [27]. Additionally, the effect of chemotherapy was not analyzed in the intermediate RS cohort in their study [27].

The lack of chemotherapy efficacy in intermediate and high RS cohorts could be attributed to several reasons in our study. First, comorbidities in older women may influence chemotherapy selection to favor more tolerable but less efficacious regimens [42,43]. Additionally, older patients have a higher probability of not completing chemotherapy and/or dropping out because of the associated complications [44]. Chandler et al. showed that in older women with high RS, only patients aged between 65 and 74 years with no or low-to-moderate comorbidity might have minor benefits from chemoendocrine therapy [45]. However, this study was only a simulation model to investigate the chemotherapy effects in older women, and more studies are necessary to validate the effect of this simulation model. In the current clinical practice, the recommendation of chemotherapy in older patients with high RS should balance the advantages and disadvantages of chemotherapy. Currently, there are no results from prospective, randomized, controlled trials addressing the use of ODX testing in older patients with node-positive disease. Whether the results of our findings can be applied to older node-positive BC patients to select patients suitable for chemotherapy or to spare them the use of cytotoxic chemotherapeutic agents should be further investigated. We expect the results of the Treatment (Rx) for Positive Node, Endocrine Responsive Breast Cancer (RxPONDER) clinical trial to clarify the role of chemotherapy in older patients with RS 25 or less [46].

We included a large cohort to categorize RS distribution and evaluate independent predictors correlated with chemotherapy receipt and the chemotherapy effect by using the RS assay in older women with BC. In addition, as older women are often excluded from clinical trials, a competing-risks analysis based on population-based data allows us to generalize useful information for clinical practice. However, our study does have some limitations. First, given the retrospective nature of this study, inherent bias is expected. Second, the SEER database lacks some important information on older patients, such as the detail of comorbidities, endocrine therapy, regimen, complications, and completion of chemotherapy. Third, another limitation of the analysis is the lack of data on the use of ODX testing in an older population of patients as the cohort of this analysis includes only patients who have been offered the ODX testing. Fourth, the lack of geriatric parameters also as an important limitation for this analysis, which is crucial to better inform decision-making in this very heterogeneous population of patients [47]. In addition, we did not have detailed information on distant recurrence, which is an important factor in assessing the prognosis and chemotherapy effect based on ODX testing. Finally, the median follow-up time was relatively short in the current study (61 months), while a substantial number of recurrences occurring in ER-positive and HER2-negative BC after 5 years.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, approximately three-quarters of deaths occurring in non-BC-related disease in older breast cancer patients. The competing-risks model is useful to deal with multiple end events for this population. 21-gene RS was an independent prognostic indicator for BCSM in both the Cox regression and the competing-risks model. However, chemotherapy did not significantly decrease the risk of BCSM in the intermediate and high RS cohorts in both prognostic models. Future studies on the utility of the ODX testing for chemotherapy decision making in older patients are needed to determine more evidence-based strategies for the management of this population.
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