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INTRODUCTION

Food allergy is an abnormal response triggered by the immune system. Food allergy is classified as an immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated, non-IgE-mediated, or a mixed-type allergy. Late eczematous skin reactions are typical and diagnostic symptoms of non-IgE-mediated and mixed-type allergies.1-3 The standard treatment for food allergy is elimination of the incriminated food from the diet.4 Tolerance induction is an important concern regarding the treatment of food allergies. Specific oral immunotherapy (SOIT) is achieved by oral exposure to increasing doses of a food allergen and is a valid treatment option for persistent food allergy. Oral immunotherapy (OIT) as a treatment for food allergy is elimination of the incriminated food from the diet.
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**Purpose:** Specific oral immunotherapy (SOIT) using interferon-γ (IFN-γ) has been successful as a food allergy treatment. Interleukin-10 (IL-10)-producing regulatory B cells (Br1s) play a role in immune tolerance to food allergens. In addition, IFN-γ shows tolerogenic effects on allergen-induced Br1 responses.**

**Methods:** Eleven patients that were allergic to cow’s milk and 12 milk-tolerant subjects were selected by double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge (DBPCFC) and clinical characteristics. The immunomodulatory effects of IFN-γ on allergen-specific Br1 responses were evaluated in 6 milk allergy patients and 8 milk-tolerant subjects. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from subjects were stimulated with casein and/or IFN-γ and analyzed by flow cytometry.**

**Results:** IFN-γ had no effect on total cell counts or the proportion of Br1 cells in PBMCs. IFN-γ stimulation did not change total Br1 cell counts or the percentage of Br1s among CD5(+) B cells in the milk allergy or the milk-tolerant groups. In the milk allergy group, Br1 counts were not different between the control and the casein stimulation but significantly increased in the IFN-γ + casein stimulated cells, and the Br1 fractions were decreased after casein stimulation and recovered in the addition of IFN-γ for stimulation. In the milk-tolerant group, Br1 counts increased in the casein stimulated cells and in the IFN-γ + casein stimulated cells, but the increase was significantly less when IFN-γ was added, and the Br1 fractions were increased after casein stimulation and IFN-γ + casein stimulation, that was not significant when IFN-γ was added.**

**Conclusions:** IFN-γ-induced allergen-specific Br1 responses in the PBMCs of milk allergy patients play a role in milk allergen-specific tolerance induction *in vitro*. Further investigations into the molecular immunological mechanisms underlying the induction of allergen-specific Br1 responses are needed.
Immune tolerance comprises complex immunological mechanisms involving both cellular and humoral immune components, including cytokines. CD4+ Foxp3+ regulatory T cells play a central role in immune tolerance.12 CD5(+) B cells, also known as regulatory B cells, are a subset of B cells that respond during late eczematous reactions from cow’s milk allergy by producing interleukin-10 (IL-10).13-16 IL-10-producing regulatory B cells (Br1s), a subset of CD5(+) regulatory B cells, prevent and reverse allergic airway inflammation via Foxp3(+) T regulatory cells in a murine model.17 Br1s were implicated in food allergen tolerance in our previous reports.18 Allergen-specific Br1 responses are induced by IFN-γ in vivo and are associated with tolerance induction for non-IgE-mediated food allergy.18 Based on these data, we investigated allergen-specific Br1 responses in milk allergy patients and milk-tolerant subjects, focusing on the role of IFN-γ as a mechanism of tolerance induction to allergens that cause late eczematous skin reactions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects, inclusion criteria, and study design
Subjects between 10 and 28 years of age who suffered from a repeated history of late eczematous reactions or exacerbations of atopic dermatitis (AD) and who were treated in the Department of Pediatrics, Eulji University Hospital, and the Seoul Allergy Clinic were screened for the current study. No other allergic symptoms, such as gastrointestinal or respiratory manifestations, were present. All prospective subjects received blood tests and skin prick tests as described below and fulfilled the criteria of Hanifin and Rajka.19 Signed consent forms were obtained from either the patient or the parent. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Eulji University Hospital, Daejeon, Korea.

Double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge (DBPCFC) tests were conducted for milk as described below. The diagnostic criteria for late eczematous food allergy reactions are well described in previous reports and include the following: 1) late eczematous reactions including exacerbation of AD by DBPCFC, 2) exacerbation onset four hours after the food challenge, and 3) negative skin prick test reactions and food-specific IgE levels for milk.14 Eleven subjects with milk allergies according to the late eczematous reaction diagnostic criteria for milk allergy were selected as the milk allergy group, and 12 subjects who did not react to milk were selected as the milk-tolerant group (Table). The in vitro effects of IFN-γ on Br1 responses and allergen-specific Br1 responses were evaluated experimentally in 6 individuals from the milk allergy group and 8 individuals from the milk-tolerant group.

Blood tests and skin prick tests
Subjects received blood tests and skin prick tests. Blood tests included complete blood counts with a differential count for the eosinophil fraction, total serum IgE levels, and blood-specific IgE for milk (Uni-CAP; Pharcia & Upjohn Diagnostics AB, Uppsala, Sweden). Serum food-specific IgE levels less than 0.35 kUA/L were classified as undetectable. Skin prick tests for milk were conducted on the patient’s left forearm using commercial allergen extracts (Bencard, Brentford, UK). Histamine hydrochloride (1 mg/mL) (Bencard) was used as a positive control and physiological saline was used as a negative control. Reactions were read after 15 minutes and classified as negative (0: no reaction, +: reaction greater than the control reaction, but smaller than half that caused by histamine) or positive (++: half the level, +++: equal to, and ++++: twice the level caused by histamine).

Food challenge
To diagnose milk allergies, the DBPCFC test was conducted according to the diagnostic methods used in previous reports.14 Briefly, an elimination diet for all possible foods suspected of provoking allergic AD was used. Suspected foods were eliminated for at least two weeks.20,21 The DBPCFC test was conducted just after administration of the elimination diet. The DBPCFC test was performed using a mixed cereal flour vehicle consisting of brown rice flour, glutinous rice flour, and barley flour. Two challenges were performed five days apart; one was the placebo only, while the other contained the suspected food antigen in the vehicle. Randomization and preparation of the challenges were performed by dieticians in the clinical research unit. All reactions were scored as to type, time of onset, severity, and duration.

Clinical severity scoring and diagnosis of milk allergy
Diagnostic criteria for milk allergy included: 1) a late eczematous reaction characterized by typical erythematous eruption of eczematous skin lesions22 and 2) onset time later than 6 hours after food challenge.23 The signs and symptoms of milk allergy appeared as newly developed symptoms or exacerbations of AD. The clinical severity was evaluated using the SCORing Atopic Dermatitis (SCORAD) index, a system used worldwide to assess the sever-
Diagnosis of milk allergy was made if the clinical severity score increased by more than 20% in subjects demonstrating a basal score greater than 50 points, or if the score increased by over 10 points in those with a basal score less than or equal to 50 points. New skin lesions and itching were regarded as positive reactions, which were required for the diagnosis of milk allergy in response to the milk challenge.

**Stimulation of PBMCs with IFN-γ, casein, or IFN-γ with casein**

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were isolated from venous blood by density-gradient separation using Ficoll-Hypaque (Biomedicals, Aurora, OH, USA) and were then resuspended at 1 × 10^6 cells/mL in α-minimum essential medium (alpha-MEM; Irvine Scientific, Santa Ana, CA). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were stimulated with IFN-γ only (IFN), casein only (Casein), or IFN-γ with casein (IFN+Casein). A 1 × 10^6 cells/mL aliquot that was cultured without casein was used as an unstimulated control (None). PBMCs were cultured in 24-well plates at a concentration of 1 × 10^6 cells/mL with or without a 50 μg/mL mixture of purified alpha-, beta-, and kappa-caseins (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The final concentrations of casein (1 mg/mL) and IFN-γ (10 μg/mL) were the same as those used in a previous study.14

**Cell surface staining, intracellular staining, and flow cytometric analysis**

After PBMCs were cultured with or without casein or IFN-γ for 18 hours, cells were stained with specific monoclonal antibodies as described below. The allophycocyanin (APC)-labeled anti-CD19 (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA) and phycoerythrin Cy7 (PE.Cy7)-labeled anti-CD5 (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA) were used for B cell subset analysis. The cells were prepared at a concentration of 1 × 10^6 in 100 mL of FACS staining buffer (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA) in an Eppendorf tube. Monoclonal antibodies were added at a concentration of 1 mg/mL according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After incubation for one hour in a dark room and three washes with FACS staining buffer, cells were resuspended in 500 mL of FACS staining buffer just before flow cytometric analysis. After finishing cell surface staining, permeabilization/fixation of the cells was performed using a permeabilization/fixation kit (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA). Intracellular IL-10 was stained using a phycoerythrin-labeled anti-IL-10 rat IgG1 monoclonal antibody (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA). Stained cells were acquired using a FACSCaliber device (BD, Biosciences, Milpitas, CA, USA), and the data were analyzed using CellQuest software (BD, Biosciences).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using the Wilcoxon signed rank sum test. Specifically, we compared changes in the cellular fraction caused by allergen stimulation in the milk allergy and milk-tolerant groups. SigmaPlot Version 11 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) was used for all statistical analyses. P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant for all analyses.

**RESULTS**

**Subject profiles**

Milk allergy subjects developed late eczematous lesions after DBPCFC for milk. All milk-allergic and milk-tolerant subjects showed negative skin prick test results for milk and insignificant/undetectable milk-specific IgE levels (Table).

**Effects of IFN-γ on Br1 responses in cells from milk allergy patients and milk-tolerant subjects**

CD19(+)CD5(+) B cells were isolated following expression of CD5 and CD19 in cultured PBMCs. B cells were subgated according to intracellular IL-10 staining (Fig. 1A). PBMCs from milk allergy patients (n=6) and milk-tolerant subjects (n=8) were stimulated with IFN-γ, and the effects of IFN-γ on Br1 responses were examined. IFN-γ had no effect on total cell counts or on the proportion of Br1s in PBMCs (Fig. 1B). Br1 cells were not significantly different following IFN-γ stimulation in the milk allergy group (from 91.1±46.1 to 129.0±175.0; P=0.297) or the milk-tolerant group (from 43.4±10.8 to 92.6±105.3; P=0.156) (Fig. 1C). In addition, the percentage of Br1s among CD5(+) B cells was not significantly different following IFN-γ stimulation in the milk allergy group (from 24.4±9.9% to 28.8±18.3%; P=0.291) or the milk-tolerant group (from 9.4±4.6% to 18.4±12.1%; P=0.072) (Fig. 1D).

**Effects of IFN-γ on allergen-specific Br1 responses in cells from milk allergy patients and milk-tolerant subjects**

The effects of IFN-γ on allergen-specific Br1 responses in the milk allergy (n=6) and milk-tolerant subjects (n=8) were evaluated in vitro by stimulating PBMCs with casein only (Casein), IFN-γ with casein (IFN+Casein), or without any stimulant as a control (None) (Fig. 2A). In the milk allergy group, Br1 cell numbers were not significantly different between the control (91.1±45.1) and casein stimulation (82.4±38.3; None vs. Casein, P=0.271) groups, but increased to 116.9±48.4 with IFN-γ+ casein stimulation (None vs. IFN+Casein, P=0.038; Ag vs. IFN+Casein, P=0.006). In the milk-tolerant group, Br1 cells increased from 43.4±10.8 (None) to 279.6±276.9 with casein stimulation (Casein) (None vs. Casein, P=0.043), and to 116.9±48.4 with IFN-γ and casein stimulation (IFN+Casein) (None vs. IFN+Casein, P=0.028). The increase was significantly less when IFN-γ was added (Casein vs. IFN+Casein, P=0.010) (Fig. 2B). Thus, allergen-specific Br1 responses were induced by IFN-γ in milk allergy patients; however, these responses were suppressed by IFN-γ in the milk-tolerant subjects.

In the milk allergy group, the Br1 fractions decreased from 24.4±9.8% (None) to 15.0±10.0% (Casein) (None vs. Casein,
DISCUSSION

The \textit{in vitro} effects of IFN-\(\gamma\) on allergen-specific Br1 responses were investigated in the present study as a possible immunological mechanism underlying tolerance induction to non-IgE-mediated food allergies. SOIT without IFN-\(\gamma\) for the treatment of IgE-mediated food allergies has been attempted with limited success in other studies.\(^6\text{,}7\) IFN-\(\gamma\) has been introduced successfully as an immunomodulatory drug for the treatment of IgE-mediated and non-IgE-mediated food allergies associated with AD.\(^6\text{,}10\) In addition, the clinical and therapeutic characteristics of SOIT using IFN-\(\gamma\) are well described.\(^10\text{,}12\) Allergen-specific Br1 responses were induced by the stimulation of PBMCs from non-IgE-mediated milk allergy patients with both allergen and IFN-\(\gamma\) in vitro (Fig. 2).

Regulatory B cells are involved in the pathogenesis of delayed-type hypersensitivity via early T cell recruitment.\(^25\) Regulatory B cells also mediate airway hyperreactivity in non-atopic asthma.\(^26\) However, regulatory B cells play a negative regulatory role by producing IL-10 and inducing regulatory T cells.\(^15\) Moreover, allergen-specific Br1 responses are related to the tolerance of
food allergens that cause late eczematous reactions. A similar effect is seen by the induction of IL-10-producing CD4(+) CD25(+) T cells during grass pollen immunotherapy. These results support the hypothesis that IL-10-producing CD19(+) CD5(+) regulatory B cells (Br1s), in addition to IL-10-producing CD4(+)CD25(+) regulatory T cells (Tr1s), play an important role in food allergen tolerance. In this study, Br1 cell counts increased during casein stimulation in the milk-tolerant group, but not in the milk allergy group (Fig. 2). This result suggests that Br1 cells are involved in the pathogenesis of tolerance induction to food allergens.

Br1 responses were not induced by IFN-γ therapy alone without allergen stimulation (Fig. 1), but were induced when IFN-γ was simultaneously administered with allergen (Fig. 2). These in vitro results indicate that IFN-γ induces allergen-specific Br1 responses in an allergen-dependent manner and modulates allergen-specific immune responses. In the case of CD8(+) T cells, the antigen-dependent T cell receptor (TCR)-mediated pathway is important for peripheral tolerance induction. However, the TCR-mediated immunomodulatory effects of IFN-γ on the induction of allergen-specific Br1 responses in milk allergy patients requires further investigation. Morphologically, IFN-γ transforms CD5+ B cells into macrophage-like cells, which play a role in cellular immunological defenses. However, IL-4 converts CD5+ B cells into lymphocyte-like cells, which play a role in human immunology. It is unclear whether IFN-γ functionally affected Br1s, as IFN-γ did not quantitatively change Br1 responses in PBMCs (Fig. 1).

Differential immunomodulatory effects of IFN-γ on allergenspecific Br1 responses were observed between milk allergy and milk-tolerant subjects (Fig. 2). IFN-γ may invoke allergen-specific tolerogenic effects in part by inducing immunosuppressive
Regulatory B Cell Induction by IFN-γ

Regulatory B cells were involved in immune tolerance to food allergens. IFN-γ appears to be a relevant cytokine in the acquisition of tolerance to foods that should be tolerated by normal immunological processes. Further studies on the molecular and immunological mechanisms of IFN-γ in tolerance induction are warranted.
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