Non-Esterified Fatty Acids Profiling in Rheumatoid Arthritis: Associations with Clinical Features and Th1 Response
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Abstract

Objectives
Since lipid compounds are known to modulate the function of CD4+ T-cells and macrophages, we hypothesize that altered levels of serum non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) may underlie rheumatoid arthritis (RA) pathogenesis.

Methods
Serum levels of NEFA (palmitic, stearic, palmitoleic, oleic, linoleic, γ-linoleic, arachidonic –AA–, linolenic, eicosapentaenoic –EPA– and docosahexaenoic –DHA–) were quantified by LC-MS/MS after methyl-tert-butylether (MTBE)-extraction in 124 RA patients and 56 healthy controls (HC). CD4+ phenotype was studied by flow cytometry. TNFα, IL-8, VEGF, GM-CSF, IFNγ, IL-17, CCL2, CXCL10, leptin and resistin serum levels were quantified by immunoassays. The effect of FA on IFNγ production by PBMC was evaluated in vitro.

Results
Lower levels of palmitic (p < 0.0001), palmitoleic (p = 0.002), oleic (p = 0.010), arachidonic (p = 0.027), EPA (p < 0.0001) and DHA (p < 0.0001) were found in RA patients, some NEFA being altered at onset. Cluster analysis identified a NEFA profile (hallmarked by increased stearic and decreased EPA and DHA) overrepresented in RA patients compared to HC (p = 0.002), being associated with clinical features (RF, shared epitope and erosions), increased IFNγ expression in CD4+ T-cells (p = 0.002) and a Th1-enriched serum milieu (IFNγ, CCL2 and CXCL10, all p < 0.005). In vitro assays demonstrated that imbalanced FA could underlie IFNγ production by CD4+ T-cells. Finally, changes on NEFA levels were associated with clinical response upon TNFα-blockade.

Conclusion
An altered NEFA profile can be found in RA patients associated with clinical characteristics of aggressive disease and enhanced Th1 response. These results support the relevance of lipidomic studies in RA and provide a rationale for new therapeutic targets.
**Introduction**

Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) is a rheumatic condition associated with joint erosion and destruction caused by an immune response against self-antigens. Autoimmune mechanisms orchestrating its pathogenesis have been conventionally considered to be regulated by genes and proteins. However, in the era of the “omics” sciences, metabolomics—and especially lipidomics—are changing this dogma by the identification of novel players [1,2]. Among them, lipids are emerging as potential pivotal candidates.

Largely considered as simple energy stores and structural components, lipid compounds are currently known to actively control a number of biological processes. The notion that lipid species can shape the immune response is currently becoming accepted [3–5], although the exact players of this crosstalk remain largely unclear. Interestingly, changes in lipid depots are related to changes in gene expression and function in monocytes and CD4⁺ T-cells through secreted compounds [6–8], the non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) being the main responsible of these effects. Accordingly, NEFA are known to be involved in a number of relevant processes for the immune system, such as modulation of the production of cytokines and chemokines [9–11], expression of adhesion molecules [12,13] as well as the release of proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory lipid-derived compounds [14,15]. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that altered levels of NEFA would underlie a disturbed, poorly controlled, immune response. However, to what extent these mechanisms are relevant for human immune-mediated diseases is unknown.

Although some metabolomic studies have been performed in RA [16–18], little evidence on NEFA has been reported. Additionally, no standardized protocols are available for NEFA analysis, and lipid analyses have been accompanied by technical issues only partly overcome recent years [19]. Actually, results on NEFA until date show a considerable variation even within healthy (control) populations. Recently, some authors have revealed the presence of different NEFA on synovial fluid (SF) in RA patients, but the clinical relevance of these findings was not studied [20]. Consequently, which the serum NEFA profile in RA patients is and whether it could be associated with clinical features remain unknown. Elucidation of serum NEFA profile in RA would provide new insight into the pathological mechanisms underlying this condition and it would also lead to the identification of novel biomarkers for clinical management. Therefore, the main aims of the present study were (i) to analyze the levels of serum NEFA in RA patients, (ii) to investigate their association with clinical features and (iii) to elucidate whether NEFA profiles may be linked to the impaired CD4⁺ T-cell response in RA.

**Methods**

**Ethics approval**

Approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (Comité Regional de Investigación Clínica del Principado de Asturias), in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All the participants gave written informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study. All methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines.

**Patients and controls**

Our study involved 124 RA patients recruited from the Department of Rheumatology at Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias. All of them fulfilled the 2010 American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for RA. Some RA patients were recruited when the clinical diagnosis of RA was established, thereby exhibiting no previous exposure to any treatment (RA onset, n = 18). A complete clinical examination, including Disease Activity Score 28-joints...
(DAS28) calculation, was performed on each patient during their clinic appointment and an overnight fasting blood sample was drawn by venipuncture. Additionally, 13 biologic-naïve RA patients (12 women, median age 43 (range: 30–65), DAS28 5.08(1.93), 38.5% RF+, 46.1% ACPA+), candidates for anti-TNFα therapy, were prospectively followed for three months. Blood samples were collected immediately before and 3 months after TNFα-blocking therapy. Clinical response was evaluated by EULAR criteria.

Simultaneously, 56 age- and gender- and BMI-matched healthy individuals (HC) were recruited from the general population (42 female, age (mean±SD): 48.98±7.75 years, BMI: 25.8 (4.58)). Automated serum lipids analysis was carried out on all the participants from fasting fresh blood samples. Serum samples were stored at -80°C until analyses.

NEFA extraction
A new methyl-tert-butylether (MTBE)-based extraction protocol was developed following Pizarro et al. [19,21]. Briefly, to 50 μl serum 5 μl of internal standard (300 ppm heptadecanoic acid) and 150 μl methanol chromasolv grade (Sigma) were added and tubes were vortexed for 30 s. Then, 750 μl MTBE chromasolv grade (Sigma) were added, vortexed and an incubation for 30 minutes in a ultrasound (US)-assisted water bath at 15°C was performed. For phase separation, 125 μl milliQ water were added, vortexed and centrifuged for 7 minutes at 5000 rpm (15°C). Organic phase was collected and the extraction steps were repeated once with 100 μl MetOH, 300 μl MTBE and 100 μl milliQ H2O.

Lipid extracts were dried in a miVac centrifugal evaporator (Genevac Ltd), and redissolved in 500 μl of water:acetonitrile 38:62.

LC-MS/MS analysis
For the determination of the fatty acids, an Agilent 1290 Infinity HPLC system (Santa Clara), consisting of a high pressure binary pump, an autosampler and a column oven, was used. The column was a Zorbax Eclipse Plus (Agilent) C18, 50x2.1 mm, 1.8 μm. Mobile phases A and B were water and acetonitrile respectively, both containing formic acid 0.1%. Fatty acids separation was carried out by the following gradient program: 62% B (held for 4.5 min) followed by a linear increase up to 100% B in 10 min (held for 1 min). The column temperature was set at 45°C and the injection volume was 2 μl.

Mass detection was performed using an Agilent 6460 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer with electrospray ionization, operated in the negative SIM mode (S1 Fig). The settings of the mass spectrometer were as follows: spray voltage 3.5 kV; gas flow 9 l/min; gas temperature 300°C; nebulizer pressure 50 psi; sheath gas flow 11 l/min at 350° C.

For quantitation, calibration curves for each compound were prepared by proper dissolution of the pure (>99%) standards (all from Sigma) in methanol to encompass the expected concentration of the analytes in the sample. The calibration ranges were 0.4–12.5 μg/ml for EPA and γ-linolenic; 1.2–37.5 μg/ml for DHA and linolenic; 2.3–75 μg/ml for AA and palmitoleic; 7.8–250 μg/ml for oleic and linoleic and 15.6–500 μg/ml for palmitic and stearic. Detection limits were as follows: 0.10 μg/ml EPA, 0.46 μg/ml DHA, 0.01 μg/ml AA, 0.01 μg/ml γ-linolenic, 0.42 μg/ml linolenic, 0.04 μg/ml palmitoleic, 0.45 μg/ml linoleic, 0.34 μg/ml palmitic, 1.01 μg/ml oleic and 1.91 μg/ml stearic. A good linearity was observed in all cases (r²>0.994). Internal standard was used to correct for potential variations during the sample preparation step.

Total NEFA measurement
Total serum NEFA level was measured using an enzymatic colorimetric assay (NEFA kit, Roche). Maxisorp Nunc plates were used and absorbance was recorded at 546 nm, following
manufacturer instructions. Standard curves between 1.50 and 0.02 mM were included and intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 9.42 and 10.0%, respectively.

Flow cytometry analysis

CD4+ phenotype was analyzed by flow cytometry as previously described [22]. Briefly, 300 μl peripheral blood were stained with anti-CD4 APC-Cy7 (Immunostep) and anti-CD25 FITC for 30 minutes at 4°C. Then, erythrocytes were lysed and cells were fixed and permeabilized (FOXP3 transcription factor staining kit, eBioscience) and intracellularly stained with anti-FOXP3 PE, anti-IFNγ PerCP-Cy 5.5 and anti-IL17 APC (all eBiosciences) or isotype controls (eBioscience). Samples were analyzed and 50,000 CD4+ lymphocytes were acquired in a FACS Canto II (BD) cytometer. CD4+ cells were gated and their intensity of IFNγ and IL-17 intracellular staining (measured as mean fluorescence intensity, MFI) was analyzed with FACS Diva v. 2.6 (BD) by subtracting the MFI in the isotype control tube to that of the specific antibody-stained one. Regulatory T cells (Treg) were identified as CD4+CD25highFOXP3+.

Culture assays

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated by centrifugation on Ficoll (Lymphoprep, PAA) gradients from buffy coat preparations obtained from healthy donors and washed twice with sterile PBS. Then, cells were cultured for 48 h in 48-well plates at 2·10^6 cells/ml in RPMI 1640 (Bio Withaker) with 10% heat-inactivated FCS (PAA) and 100 μg/ml streptomycin and ampicillin (Sigma) in the presence of different concentrations of stearic, DHA and EPA fatty acids dissolved in DMSO (Sigma) under resting conditions or with 2.5 μg/ml phytohaemagglutinin A (PHA) (Sigma). Negative controls were treated with the same amount of DMSO. FA concentrations (stearic and DHA: 25, 50 and 100 μM; EPA: 25 and 50 μM) were determined by previous experiments among those not exhibiting cytotoxic effects. Parallel cultures were performed either to analyze culture supernatants or intracellular production of cytokines. To assess the production of cytokines, cells were treated with 20 ng/ml phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA), 500 ng/ml ionomycin and 2 μM monensin (all from Sigma) for 5 hours. Then, cells were processed as previously described for flow cytometry analysis of intracellular IFNγ accumulation. Propidium iodide was used to exclude non-viable cells.

Quantification of cytokines

IFNγ levels were analyzed in serum samples and culture supernatants with an OptEIA kit (BD) following manufacturer’s instructions. Detection limit was 0.58 pg/ml. Serum levels of IL-8, VEGF, IL-17 and GM-CSF were measured with a CBA kit (BD) according to developer’s recommendations. Detection limits were 1.2 pg/ml, 4.5 pg/ml, 0.3pg/ml and 0.2 pg/ml, respectively. TNFα, CCL2 (MCP-1), CXCL10 (IP-10), Resistin and Leptin were assessed with MiniEDK ELISA kits (Peprotech). Detection limits were 3.9 pg/ml, 8 pg/ml, 3.9 pg/ml, 63 pg/ml and 24 pg/ml, respectively.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are summarized as median (interquartile range) or mean ± standard deviation whereas n(%) was used for categorical ones. Differences between two groups were evaluated by Mann Withney U tests, whereas Kruskal-Wallis or one-way ANOVA were chosen when more than two groups were included. Dunn-Bonferroni or Bonferroni corrections for multiple comparisons were carried out when Kruskal-Wallis tests exhibited significant differences, and p-values for each comparison are shown. Categorical variables were analyzed by χ²
square or Fisher exact tests. Paired t tests were performed on prospective samples. Correlations were analyzed by Spearman’s rank test. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with Varimax rotation was performed. The number of components retained was based on eigenvalues (>1) and loadings greater than 0.5 were used to identify the variables comprising a single component. For cluster analysis, squared euclidean distances were computed from PCA scores in order to avoid biases due to redundant information and differences in ranges among variables, and Ward’s Minimum Variance Method was used to identify clusters minimizing the loss of information. Heatmap were built with R package heatmap.2 for visualization purposes. Findings from correlation analyses and size effect (Hedges’s statistic) were considered to choose candidate individual FA for in vitro assays. SPSS 19.0, R 3.0.3 and GraphPad Prism 5.0 for Windows were used.

**Results**

### NEFA levels in HC and RA patients

Individual and total serum NEFA levels were measured in 124 RA patients (Table 1) and 56 healthy controls (HC) and the results are summarized in Table 2. Total NEFA levels were similar in patients and controls but palmitic, palmitoleic, oleic, AA, EPA and DHA were decreased in RA patients. Differences were unrelated to age, gender or BMI. No effect of disease activity on NEFA levels was detected in RA, but disease duration was positively correlated with stearic levels \((r = 0.364, p<0.001)\) and negatively with palmitic \((r = -0.252, p = 0.007)\), palmitoleic \((r = -0.236, p = 0.012)\), AA \((r = -0.389, p<0.001)\), EPA \((r = -0.243, p = 0.009)\) and DHA \((r = -0.260, p = 0.006)\) (S2 Fig). However, linoleic and DHA were impaired in patients without previous exposure to treatments (RA onset) (S1 Fig), thereby supporting that other factors than disease duration are responsible for the NEFA disturbances in RA. Interestingly, higher levels of stearic were found in patients with established disease compared to their early counterparts \((p = 0.016)\).

Differences in the individual NEFA remained after correction by the total NEFA level, thus pointing to an altered NEFA profile in RA patients. Of note, altered FA exhibited diverse chain length and double bound location, so impairment of NEFA levels cannot be solely attributed to their chemical properties.

Since a number of correlations between individual NEFA levels were found, we conducted a PCA on the NEFA in order to exclude potential collinearity biases and avoid loss of information. All NEFA showed communalities higher than 0.5, and both the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin test (0.716) and the Bartlett test of sphericity \((p = 10^{-123})\) provided a good adequacy of the data and explained 77.58% of the total variation. PCA resulted in 4 components: C1 (including AA, EPA and DHA), C2 (including palmitic, oleic, linoleic and \(\gamma\)-linolenic), C3 (including palmitoleic and linolenic) and C4 including (stearic). Therefore, PCA analysis revealed that individual NEFA within a given class seem to follow distinct patterns and similar patterns were found among different groups of NEFA.

### NEFA profile in RA patients and its associations with clinical features

Since our results pointed to an altered NEFA profile in RA, we wondered whether it could be found in the whole RA population or if, on the contrary, NEFA profiles may be associated with specific clinical characteristics. To this aim, a cluster analysis was performed from PCA scores. Results from the cluster analyses revealed the existence of two groups with different pattern and levels of individual NEFA, so we referred to them as NEFA\textsuperscript{high} and NEFA\textsuperscript{low} profiles.

Interestingly, the distribution of NEFA profiles was different between patients and HC, the NEFA\textsuperscript{low} profile being almost absent in HC (3/56) compared to the RA group (30/124,
p = 0.002). When NEFA levels were plotted in a heatmap (Fig 1), HC and NEFAlow RA patients clustered together, whereas NEFAhigh RA patients formed a separate group. NEFAlow profile was hallmarked by increased stearic levels in combination with decreased palmitic, EPA and DHA (S2 Table)( Fig 1). No differences in total NEFA levels were found among profiles in RA.

Next, we analyzed whether the NEFA profiles were associated with clinical features in RA patients. Whereas no differences in age, gender, traditional CV risk factors or treatments were observed, the NEFAlow profile was associated with higher disease duration, RF positivity and

| Table 1. Demographic and clinical parameters of RA patients. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| RA patients (n = 124)                                       |
| Gender (female:male)                                       |
| 99:25                                                       |
| Age at sampling, years (mean±SD)                           |
| 52.47 ± 12.76                                              |
| Disease duration, years, median (range)                    |
| 4.91 (0.00–30.00)                                          |
| Age at diagnosis, years (mean±SD)                          |
| 46.39 ± 12.70                                              |
| Recruited at onset, n(%)                                   |
| 18 (14.5)                                                   |
| BMI                                                         |
| 26.43 (6.38)                                                |
| Disease activity (DAS28)                                   |
| 3.70 (2.08)                                                |
| Tender Joint Count                                         |
| 2.00 (7.50)                                                |
| Swollen Joint Count                                        |
| 1.50 (5.00)                                                |
| Patient Global Assessment (0–100)                          |
| 42.00 (40.00)                                              |
| ESR, mm/h                                                  |
| 16.00 (22.75)                                              |
| CRP, mg/l                                                  |
| 2.00 (4.00)                                                |
| HAQ (0–3)                                                  |
| 0.93 (1.22)                                                |
| RF (+), n(%)                                               |
| 76 (61.2)                                                  |
| ACPA (+), n(%)                                             |
| 74 (59.6)                                                  |
| ANA (+), n(%)                                              |
| 68 (54.8)                                                  |
| Shared epitope, n(%) [n = 91]                              |
| 54 (59.3)                                                  |
| Shared epitope (2 copies), n(%) [n = 91]                   |
| 13 (14.2)                                                  |
| Erosive disease, n(%)                                      |
| 48 (38.7)                                                  |
| Traditional CV risk factors, n(%)                          |
| Dyslipidemia                                               |
| 43 (34.6)                                                  |
| Hypertension                                               |
| 40 (32.2)                                                  |
| Diabetes                                                   |
| 11 (8.8)                                                   |
| Obesity (BMI>30)                                           |
| 26 (20.9)                                                  |
| Smoking habit                                              |
| 43 (34.6)                                                  |
| Previous CV events                                         |
| 18 (14.5)                                                  |
| Treatments, n(%)                                           |
| Glucocorticoids                                            |
| 56 (52.8)                                                  |
| Methotrexate                                               |
| 87 (82.0)                                                  |
| TNF inhibitors                                             |
| 44 (41.5)                                                  |
| Tocilizumab                                                |
| 12 (11.3)                                                  |
| Statins                                                    |
| 23 (21.6)                                                  |

Continuous variables are summarized as median (interquartile range) and n(%) was used for categorical ones, unless otherwise was stated.

\( ^{2} \) Frequency of treatments are calculated excluding patients recruited at diagnosis (untreated, n = 18) (n = 106)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159573.t001
erosive disease (Table 3). Moreover, patients carrying two copies of the shared epitope were more likely to exhibit a NEFA<sub>low</sub> profile. Since these associations may be attributed to the longer disease duration, patients at onset (n = 18) were excluded from the analysis, but the associations remain significant. Overall, these results suggest that a specific NEFA profile is found in RA linked to markers of aggressive disease.

NEFA profile in RA patients and immune parameters

Given the proposed role of certain FA as mediators of inflammatory responses, we explored whether increased Th1 or Th17 responses, usually found in RA patients, may be associated with NEFA profiles. Therefore, both effector (IFN<sub>γ</sub><sup>+</sup> or IL-17<sup>+</sup>) and regulatory (Foxp3<sup>+</sup>) CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells as well as cytokine serum levels were analyzed in patients and controls (Table 4).

Concerning the CD4<sup>+</sup> phenotype, flow cytometry analyses showed that NEFA<sub>low</sub> profile was related to increased IFN<sub>γ</sub> expression, but no associations were observed in the frequency of total CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells, Treg or IL-17 expression levels.

Although RA patients exhibited raised levels of proinflammatory cytokines compared to HC, some striking differences were noted between NEFA profiles. NEFA<sub>low</sub> RA patients exhibited increased IFN<sub>γ</sub> serum levels, thus highlighting a link between NEFA profile and increased IFN<sub>γ</sub> production both at cellular and systemic level. NEFA<sub>low</sub> profile was also related to increased CCL2 and CXCL10 (both Th1-related chemokines) as well as GM-CSF. Interestingly, IFN<sub>γ</sub> concentration paralleled levels of stearic acid (r = 0.416, p < 0.001) whereas a negative association was found with EPA (r = -0.247, p = 0.009) and DHA (r = -0.263, p = 0.006) (S2 Fig). Therefore, all these results support an association between an increased Th1-related cytokines response in RA patients and their NEFA profile, stearic, DHA and EPA having a potential role.

NEFA can modulate IFN<sub>γ</sub> production by CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells

To elucidate whether individual NEFA or an altered NEFA profile could promote an excessive IFN<sub>γ</sub> response, in vitro studies were conducted to evaluate the effect of individual NEFA which hallmarkmed the NEFA<sub>low</sub> profile on the production of IFN<sub>γ</sub> by PBMC. Candidate NEFA were chosen within those which were more differentially present between groups (based on p-values

| NEFA (µg/ml) | HC (n = 56) | RA patients (n = 124) | p-value |
|-------------|------------|----------------------|--------|
| Palmitic (16:0) | 1624.48 (763.33) | 1000.69 (714.19) | < 0.0001 |
| Stearic (18:0) | 311.70 (118.84) | 317.65 (79.47) | 0.149 |
| Palmitoleic (16:1w7) | 14.67 (9.56) | 10.09 (7.50) | 0.002 |
| Oleic (18:1w9) | 297.79 (153.51) | 209.21 (230.51) | 0.010 |
| Linoleic (18:2w6) | 200.41 (143.00) | 153.79 (156.60) | 0.084 |
| γ-linoleic (18:3w6) | 1.44 (0.27) | 1.42 (0.45) | 0.920 |
| AA (20:4w6) | 11.16 (4.93) | 9.27 (5.11) | 0.027 |
| Linolenic (18:3w3) | 9.29 (3.74) | 7.95 (5.05) | 0.069 |
| EPA (20:5w3) | 2.62 (1.11) | 1.90 (1.03) | < 0.0001 |
| DHA (22:6w3) | 12.55 (11.69) | 7.80 (6.26) | < 0.0001 |
| Total NEFA (mM) | 0.41 (0.19) | 0.47 (0.34) | 0.157 |

Serum levels of individual NEFA (µg/ml, measured by LC-MS/MS) and total NEFA (mM, measured by an enzymatic colorimetric assay) are summarized as median (interquartile range) and differences were assessed by Mann Withney U test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159573.t002
and Hedges’g statistic as a measure of the size effect) and which were also associated with IFNγ in univariate analysis. Therefore, the effect of stearic, DHA and EPA was evaluated by analyzing IFNγ release and IFNγ-producing CD4+ T-cells in PBMC cultures.

Fig 1. NEFA levels in HC and RA patients. Heatmap of NEFA serum levels showing the different NEFA species (columns). Each row corresponds with an individual. Colours in the vertical bar at the left of the heatmap identifies healthy controls (yellow), NEFA^{high} RA patients (brown) and NEFA^{low} RA patients (gray). Since NEFA^{low} profile was very infrequent in HC, controls were not divided into NEFA profiles. Tiles are coloured based on serum NEFA concentrations, red and blue indicating low or high levels, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159573.g001
After 48h of culture, stearic acid promoted an increase in IFNγ secretion in both resting and PHA-stimulated cells (Fig 2A). No effect for EPA and DHA was seen under resting conditions, although both NEFA inhibited PHA-stimulated IFNγ release. These findings were also noted when IFNγ accumulation in CD4+ T-cells was evaluated after PMA and ionomycin treatment of both resting and PHA-stimulated cells. No effect on CD4+ frequency or viability was observed at the concentrations tested (resting: p = 0.392 and p = 0.334; PHA: p = 0.998 and p = 0.292, respectively).

Therefore, stearic and EPA/DHA exhibited an opposite effect on IFNγ production that seems to be mediated, at least in part, by CD4+ T-cells. In order to evaluate the combined effect

Table 3. NEFA profiles and clinical features.

| Gender (female:male) | NEFAhigh(n = 94) | NEFAlow(n = 30) | p-value |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
|                      | 75:19            | 24:6            | 0.980   |
| Age at sampling, years (mean±SD) | 52.29 ± 13.65    | 52.86 ± 11.34   | 0.949   |

Disease features

| Disease duration, years, median (range) | 3.83 (0.00–30.00) | 7.04 (2.17–17.50) | 0.002   |
| Age at diagnosis, years (mean±SD)     | 46.92 ± 13.46     | 44.90 ± 10.32    | 0.325   |
| Recruited at onset, n(%)              | 18 (19.1)         | 0 (0.0)          |         |
| BMI                                  | 26.43 (6.83)      | 26.37 (6.57)     | 0.310   |
| Disease activity (DAS28)              | 3.88 (2.40)       | 3.52 (1.36)      | 0.230   |
| Tender Joint Count                    | 3.00 (7.00)       | 1.50 (5.00)      | 0.064   |
| Swollen Joint Count                   | 2.00 (5.00)       | 0.00 (2.50)      | 0.059   |
| Patient Global Assessment (0–100)     | 47.00 (45.00)     | 37.50 (38.50)    | 0.087   |
| ESR, mm/h                             | 18.00 (23.50)     | 12.00 (22.00)    | 0.600   |
| CRP, mg/l                             | 1.65 (4.00)       | 2.30 (4.28)      | 0.244   |
| HAQ (0–3)                             | 1.00 (1.16)       | 0.87 (1.15)      | 0.173   |
| RF (+), n(%)                          | 52 (55.3)         | 24 (80.0)        | 0.029   |
| ACPA (+), n(%)                        | 52 (55.3)         | 22 (73.3)        | 0.184   |
| ANA (+), n(%)                         | 48 (51.0)         | 20 (66.6)        | 0.202   |
| Shared epitope, n(%) [n = 91]         | 43 (60.5)         | 11 (55.0)        | 0.655   |
| Shared epitope (2 copies), n(%) [n = 91] | 5 (7.0)         | 8 (40.0)        | <0.001  |
| Erosive disease, n(%)                 | 29 (30.8)         | 19 (63.3)        | 0.003   |

Traditional CV risk factors, n(%)

| Dyslipidemia                         | 30 (31.9)         | 13 (43.3)        | 0.286   |
| Hypertension                         | 31 (32.9)         | 9 (30.0)         | 0.735   |
| Diabetes                             | 8 (8.5)           | 3 (10.0)         | 0.816   |
| Obesity (BMI>30)                     | 23 (24.4)         | 3 (10.0)         | 0.069   |
| Smoking habit                        | 29 (30.8)         | 14 (46.6)        | 0.122   |
| Previous CV events                   | 13 (13.8)         | 5 (16.6)         | 0.701   |

Treatments, n(%)2

| Glucocorticoids                      | 48 (63.1)         | 20 (66.6)        | 0.494   |
| Methotrexate                         | 63 (82.8)         | 24 (80.0)        | 0.521   |
| TNFα blockers                        | 28 (36.8)         | 16 (53.3)        | 0.163   |
| Tocilizumab                          | 7 (9.2)           | 5 (16.6)         | 0.297   |
| Statins                              | 16 (21.0)         | 7 (23.3)         | 0.634   |

Continuous variables are summarized as median (interquartile range) and n(%) was used for categorical ones, unless otherwise was stated. Differences were analyzed by Mann Withney U, χ2 square or Fisher exact tests, as appropriate.

2 Frequency of treatments are calculated excluding patients recruited at diagnosis (untreated, n = 18) (NEFAhigh: n = 76, NEFAlow: n = 30)

 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159573.t003

After 48h of culture, stearic acid promoted an increase in IFNγ secretion in both resting and PHA-stimulated cells (Fig 2A). No effect for EPA and DHA was seen under resting conditions, although both NEFA inhibited PHA-stimulated IFNγ release. These findings were also noted when IFNγ accumulation in CD4+ T-cells was evaluated after PMA and ionomycin treatment of both resting and PHA-stimulated cells. No effect on CD4+ frequency or viability was observed at the concentrations tested (resting: p = 0.392 and p = 0.334; PHA: p = 0.998 and p = 0.292, respectively).

Therefore, stearic and EPA/DHA exhibited an opposite effect on IFNγ production that seems to be mediated, at least in part, by CD4+ T-cells. In order to evaluate the combined effect
of these NEFA, PBMC were cultured in the presence of stearic acid (50 μM) alone or in combination with increasing amounts of both DHA and EPA (25 or 50 μM each). Our results clearly demonstrate that DHA and EPA were able to counteract the stearic-mediated IFNγ production in both resting and PHA-stimulated PBMC (Fig 2B). Again, this effect was observed at CD4+ T-cells level. Hence, our results support that the balance among stearic, DHA and EPA could influence the IFNγ-CD4+ response.

NEFA profiles upon therapeutic TNFα-blockade in RA patients

Finally, the changes on NEFA serum levels upon TNFα-blockade and its association with clinical outcome were evaluated. To this end, NEFA serum levels were analyzed in a subgroup of biological-naïve RA patients (n = 13) before and 3 months after TNFα-blockade initiation.

Anti-TNFα treatment was associated with decreasing EPA, DHA and AA levels in the whole group (S3 Table). However, when patients were stratified by EULAR clinical response, these NEFA declined in non-responders (NR, n = 8) but not in patients with a good clinical response (R, n = 5). On the contrary, stearic levels showed a decrease in patients who exhibited a good response but not in their NR-counterparts patients (S4 Table). Importantly, no differences in total NEFA levels were found.

Finally, although no effect of TNFα-blockade was observed on IFNγ serum levels (whole group: p = 0.152, NR: p = 0.401, R: p = 0.138), decreasing IFNγ expression on CD4+ T-cells was found in responders (91.00(33.00) vs 32.00(51.50), p = 0.043), but not in non-responders (p = 0.889). Interestingly, no differences in the total CD4+ frequency (43.14(13.84) vs 44.70(14.63)%, p = 0.074) or Treg subsets (0.94(0.60) vs 1.19(0.55)%, p = 0.170) were noted upon treatment.

These results suggest that decreasing EPA, DHA and AA levels are associated with poor response to TNFα inhibitors, whereas decreasing stearic levels did with a good response. Therefore, changes within NEFA serum pool may be connected with clinical outcome and IFNγ-CD4+ immune response in RA patients upon TNFα-blockade.

Table 4. NEFA profiles and immune features.

| Variable                     | HC(n = 56)          | NEFAhigh(n = 94)         | NEFAlow(n = 30)          | p-value(NEFA low vs high) |
|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| CD4+ phenotype               |                     |                          |                          |                           |
| CD4+ T cells (%)             | 43.20 (14.76)       | 40.86 (17.86)            | 38.83 (12.54)            |                           |
| MFI IFNγ (CD4+)              | 33.50 (31.00)       | 40.50 (33.00)            | 57.00 (19.00)***         | 0.002                     |
| MFI IL17 (CD4+)              | 44.85 (36.00)       | 45.00 (48.00)            | 54.00 (44.00)            |                           |
| CD4+CD25highFOXP3+ Treg cells (%) | 0.74 (0.47)    | 1.03 (0.65)              | 1.05 (0.71)              |                           |
| Serum cytokines              |                     |                          |                          |                           |
| TNFα, pg/ml                  | 93.94 (181.89)      | 240.21 (264.24)***       | 331.36 (346.39)***       | 0.946                     |
| IL-8, pg/ml                  | 14.84 (14.83)       | 43.13 (16.46)***         | 43.48 (22.90)***         | 0.931                     |
| IL-17, pg/ml                 | 1.07 (6.95)         | 1.14 (21.85)             | 2.25 (80.34)             |                           |
| VEGF, pg/ml                  | 103.89 (51.33)      | 113.34 (49.83)           | 118.08 (38.10)           |                           |
| GM-CSF, pg/ml                | 21.33 (1.40)        | 26.24 (7.15)***          | 31.63 (15.56)***         | <0.001                    |
| IFNγ, pg/ml                  | 3.29 (5.47)         | 3.66 (4.09)              | 5.90 (7.90)***           | <0.001                    |
| CCL2, pg/ml                  | 244.50 (293.16)     | 252.97 (265.02)          | 931.49 (1089.94)***      | <0.0001                   |
| CXCL10, pg/ml                | 54.45 (41.40)       | 73.89 (104.80)*          | 147.75 (156.63)***       | 0.006                     |
| Leptin, ng/ml                | 7.57 (8.33)         | 12.35 (14.41)**          | 10.17 (10.22)*           | 0.371                     |
| Resistin, pg/ml              | 6.78 (3.38)         | 9.74 (5.03)**            | 9.83 (6.30)*             | 0.804                     |

Variables are summarized as median (interquartile range) and differences were analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis (K-W) test with Dunn-Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons tests. When Kruskal-Wallis test revealed differences among groups, p-values of post hoc tests between NEFA low and NEFA high profiles were indicated in the right column, whereas differences between each NEFA profile and HC are indicated as *p<0.050, **p<0.010, ***p<0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159573.t004
Discussion

Several studies have recently focused on lipidomic approaches in a number of human diseases. Here we report the first results on NEFA quantification in RA and their associations with clinical features. Although other authors have previously performed a lipid profiling on SF from RA patients [20], our results went further by assessing the differences compared to a control population and their associations with clinical parameters, thus gaining insight on the clinical
relevance of lipidomic profiling. Similarly, we focused on serum samples, which are easier to obtain and are more interesting to biomarker discovery than SF. Moreover, since systemic autoimmunity precede the synovial destruction [23], serum profiling exhibit additional advantages to unravel disease mechanisms. Finally, we have adapted an innovative method for NEFA extraction and analysis by LC-MS/MS from serum samples, with important advantages on efficiency, reproducibility, reliability and minimizing time-consuming steps [21]. Additionally, we have included an additional step to correct for total NEFA levels in serum by an independent technique, thus excluding the possibility that our results are based on differences in the total NEFA pool among individuals.

An important conclusion from our findings is the lack of a general pattern in NEFA impairment in RA, not being specific NEFA classes globally altered, but individual NEFA seemed to follow different patterns. The idea of different figures among same-class lipids was highlighted by other authors studying healthy adults [24], and put into question therapies based on lipid classes instead of individual lipid compounds.

The most outstanding result of our work is the identification of a NEFA profile associated with features of aggressive disease and increased Th1-related cytokines in RA patients. Similarly, altered expression of genes involved in FA metabolism has been reported in RA [25], as well as in individuals with pre-clinical RA [26]. Moreover, decreased ω3-FA content in erythrocytes was reported in HLA-DR4+ individuals with high risk of developing RA [27]. Accordingly, our results revealed altered NEFA levels, mainly EPA and DHA, in patients recruited an onset (medications-free) and also in association with SE, therefore suggesting that NEFA impairment could be an early event in RA, and not just a consequence of the disease course itself or the exposure to different treatments, thereby supporting the role of NEFA species in RA pathogenesis. Importantly, no effect of treatments was observed in the cross-sectional analysis. Although an association with glucocorticoid usage may have been expected, low doses of corticoids prescribed to RA patients may explain our findings.

Interestingly, the altered NEFA profile was linked to clinical characteristics but not to traditional CV risk factors, thereby excluding an effect of important metabolic alterations (such as diabetes or dyslipidemia) on the NEFA profiles found in RA. Then, particular alterations, rather than a global impairment on FA metabolism, may cause these profiles.

The NEFA^low^ profile seem to underlie changes in immune parameters, since increased IFNγ serum levels and IFNγ expression on CD4+ T-cells were found in NEFA^low^ patients. The fact that CCL2 and CXCL10 were also increased lead us to think that altered NEFA could underlie an excessive Th1 response. Although it is known that adipose tissue-infiltrating leukocytes exhibit a Th1 phenotype [28,29], and that adipocytes can modulate CD4+ T-cell function by secreted lipids in vitro [6], the clinical relevance of these findings is unknown. Our results are the first evidence that this effect can be elicited by specific NEFA in the systemic compartment in patients. However, the actual mechanisms by which NEFA drive these changes in CD4+ T-cells are not totally understood. Based on the current literature, it is tempting to speculate that peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR) have a pivotal role. PPAR can bind polyunsaturated FA and eicosanoids [30,31], and their activation lead to the inhibition of several inflammatory genes, including NFκB pathway [32–34]. Actually, PPAR-deficient mice exhibit an uncontrolled response to inflammatory stimuli [30]. Thus, decreased FA-mediated PPAR activation may account for the increased inflammatory response seen in NEFA^low^. Interestingly, IFNγ can be negatively regulated by PPAR [35] and NFκB [36] pathways. Conversely, in spite of the role of the Th17 responses in RA, no associations were detected with the NEFA profiles, either at the serum or the CD4+ T-cell level. Furthermore, whether the IFNγ production could be underlie by a Th1 skewed response or it is solely attributed to a Th1 upregulation, remains to be elucidated since the Th2 subset was not analyzed in the present study.
Therefore, lower EPA and DHA at RA onset, or even at preclinical stages, could be unable to counteract Th1 responses, especially in patients with particular clinical or genetic characteristics, leading to an exacerbated inflammation. Moreover, changes in NEFA along the disease course would additionally promote the Th1 shift, thus closing a pathogenic vicious circle. In this scenario, our results point to DHA, EPA and stearic, a Th1-promoter NEFA associated with disease duration, as relevant mediators of this phenomenon, as they hallmarked the NEFA\textsuperscript{low} profile. In fact, the in vitro assays strongly support this idea. Hence, our findings shed some light on the involvement of NEFA as pivotal players for immune dysregulation on the basis of the Th1 response in RA. Therefore, strategies to counteract this NEFA imbalance would be advisable.

Other interesting findings of our study are the changes on NEFA levels upon TNF\textsubscript{α}-blockade. Our results identify that a balance between stearic and both EPA and DHA is related to a good clinical response in patients undergoing TNF\textsubscript{α}-blockade. Overall, our findings suggest that effective TNF\textsubscript{α} neutralization may counteract the disease duration-dependent NEFA impairment in RA. Moreover, changes in NEFA in good responders paralleled those of IFN\textgamma. Therefore, our results suggest that a poor clinical outcome is related to a NEFA profile similar to NEFA\textsuperscript{low}. Then, tailored FA supplementation to counteract decreasing NEFA over time could be advisable in these patients to increase the chance of achieving a good clinical response upon biological treatment, as recently suggested for triple-DMARD therapy [37]. Although largely explored, studies on FA supplementation in RA provided controversy results [38–40]. Overall, ω3-supplementation resulted in lower number of tender joints and pain scores [41], but no effect on disease activity or immunological parameters was reported. Thus, these effects can be attributed to an analgesic effect of ω3-derived products, whereas no effect on disease progression, the actual clinical goal, is achieved. Some studies revealed a lower NSAIDs consumption in the supplementation arm [39], thus supporting this idea. The results herein presented are particularly relevant for this issue. Since different NEFA profiles were observed, it is conceivable that differences in supplementation requirements exist in RA, thereby stressing the need for (i) an accurate stratification of patients according to their NEFA levels and (ii) a detailed design of FA formulas for supplementation. Actually, when similar supplementation interventions were performed, the effect was different among different conditions [42], thereby supporting the relevance of tailored strategies. In RA patients, stratification could be guided by clinical features as surrogate markers of NEFA impairment. Additionally, some concerns arise on the design of FA supplements, since not only dosages but also ratios among FA are crucial, following our results. Also, special attention needs to be paid when clinical trials are designed, since some included oleic acid as control, although it was found to be decreased in some diseases [43], including RA [38], where some beneficial effect was observed. Finally, chemical structure of FA for supplementation can have an impact on their clinical effects [44].

Our results suggest that EPA/DHA dose-dependently abrogate IFN\textgamma release in resting and activated cells, thus supporting their use in supplementation schemes. There is limited evidence of the effect of FA supplementation on IFN\textgamma in humans [45]. However, different FA dietary composition was found to modulate Th1-mediated bacterial clearance in mice [46]. Finally, ω3-supplementation would have a beneficial effect on cardiovascular (CV) outcomes [47], the most important comorbidity in RA. The link between NEFA\textsuperscript{low} profile and CCL2 may support this notion.

In conclusion, we report for the first time an altered serum NEFA profile in RA, which is associated with disease severity and enhanced Th1 CD4\textsuperscript{+} response. Equivalent results were obtained when patients undergoing TNF\textsubscript{α}-blockade were followed, impaired NEFA levels being related to a poor clinical outcome. Similarly, although some other NEFA may have an effect on cytokine production, we decided to primarily focus on stearic, EPA and DHA. Apart
from being an objective data-driven approach, it led to the identification of individual compounds as responsible for the observed phenomena, with striking clues to unravel the disease mechanisms as well as to identify potential therapeutic targets. Therefore, our results shed some new light on the involvement of lipid species in RA pathogenesis and also provided a rationale for FA supplementation, stratification and formula-design in RA. However, a role for other NEFA or lipid species cannot be totally ruled out. Additional studies on lipid species on RA immunopathology are warranted.

Supporting Information

**S1 Fig. Chromatograms of serum MTBE-extracted fractions.** Total Ion Current chromatograms (TIC) from a representative healthy control (black line) and a RA patient (red line) are shown. Each peak represents a FA as follows: 1 (EPA), 2 (linolenic), 3 (γ-linolenic), 4 (palmitoleic), 5 (DHA), 6 (AA), 7 (linoleic), 8 (palmitic), 9 (oleic), 10 (heptadecanoic) and 11 (stearic). For peaks 1–4, a detailed Extracted Ion Chromatograms (EIC) corresponding to their specific m/z values are provided (right).

(TIF)

**S2 Fig. NEFA serum levels and disease duration.** Analysis of the correlations between the disease duration and NEFA serum levels (stearic, palmitic, palmitoleic, AA, EPA and DHA) in RA patients. Correlations were assessed by Spearman rank’s correlation tests, and coefficient correlations and p-values are indicated for each analysis.

(TIF)

**S3 Fig. NEFA and IFNγ serum levels.** Analysis of the correlations between serum levels of IFNγ and NEFA species (stearic, EPA and DHA) in RA patients. Correlations were assessed by Spearman rank’s correlation tests, and coefficient correlations and p-values are indicated for each analysis. IFNγ serum levels were log-transformed to facilitate visualization of these values.

(TIF)

**S1 Table. Individual and total NEFA serum levels in RA patients stratified according to disease stages.** Serum levels of individual NEFA (μg/ml, measured by LC-MS/MS) and total NEFA (mM, measured by an enzymatic colorimetric assay) are summarized as median (interquartile range) and differences compared with HC were assessed by Mann Withney U test and indicated as *p<0.050, **p<0.010, ***p<0.001.

(OCX)

**S2 Table. Individual and total NEFA serum levels in RA patients depending on their NEFA profile.** Serum levels of individual NEFA (μg/ml, measured by LC-MS/MS) and total NEFA (mM, measured by an enzymatic colorimetric assay) are summarized as median (interquartile range) and differences were assessed by Mann Withney U test. Size effect was evaluated by Hedges’ g statistic.

(OCX)

**S3 Table. Individual and total NEFA serum levels in RA patients upon TNFα-blockade.** Serum levels of individual NEFA (μg/ml, measured by LC-MS/MS) and total NEFA (mM, measured by an enzymatic colorimetric assay) are summarized as median (interquartile range) and differences were analyzed by paired T test.

(OCX)

**S4 Table. Individual and total NEFA serum levels in RA patients upon TNFα-blockade stratified by their clinical response.** Serum levels of individual NEFA (μg/ml, measured by
LC-MS/MS) and total NEFA (mM, measured by an enzymatic colorimetric assay) are summarized as median (interquartile range) and differences were analyzed by paired T test.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

Part of the experimental procedures was carried out in the scientific core facilities of our institution (Servicios Científico-Técnicos, Universidad de Oviedo). We would like to specially thank Dr. Sergio Cueto Díaz for his excellent scientific and technical advice.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: JR-C AS. Performed the experiments: JR-C PL AS. Analyzed the data: JR-C AS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MA-L FJB-G AS. Wrote the paper: JR-C AS.

References

1. Priori R, Scrivo R, Brandt J, Valerio M, Casadei L, Valesini G et al. (2013) Metabolomics in rheumatic diseases: the potential of an emerging methodology for improved patient diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment efficacy. Autoimmun Rev 12: 1022–1030. S1568-9972(13)00072-4 [pii] doi:10.1016/j.autrev.2013.04.002 PMID: 23668955

2. Semerano L, Romeo PH, Boissier MC (2015) Metabolomics for rheumatic diseases: has the time come? Ann Rheum Dis 74: 1325–1326. annrheumdis-2014-206618 [pii] doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-206618 PMID: 25609411

3. Falagas ME, Kompoti M (2006) Obesity and infection, Lancet Infect Dis 6: 438–446. S1473-3099(06)70523-0 [pii] doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(06)70523-0 PMID: 16780384

4. Sell H, Habich C, Eckel J (2012) Adaptive immunity in obesity and insulin resistance. Nat Rev Endocrinol 8: 709–716. nrendo.2012.114 [pii] doi:10.1038/nrendo.2012.114 PMID: 22847239

5. Sheridan PA, Paich HA, Handy J, Karlsson EA, Hudgens MG, Sammon AB et al. (2012) Obesity is associated with impaired immune response to influenza vaccination in humans. Int J Obes (Lond) 36: 1072–1077. ijo2011208 [pii] doi:10.1038/ijo.2011.208

6. Ioan-Facsinay A, Kwekkeboom JC, Westhoff S, Giera M, Rombouts Y, van H, V et al. (2013) Adipocyte-derived lipids modulate CD4+ T-cell function. Eur J Immunol 43: 1578–1587. 10.1002/eji.201243096 PMID: 23504601

7. Klein-Wieringa IR, Andersen SN, Kwekkeboom JC, Giera M, de Lange-Brokaar BJ, van Osch GJ et al. (2013) Adipocytes modulate the phenotype of human macrophages through secreted lipids. J Immunol 191: 1356–1363. jimmunol.1203074 [pii] doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1203074 PMID: 23817431

8. Kopp A, Gross P, Falk W, Bala M, Weigt J, Buechler C et al. (2009) Fatty acids as metabolic mediators in innate immunity. Eur J Clin Invest 39: 924–933. ECI2185 [pii] doi:10.1111/j.1365-2362.2009.02185.x PMID: 19563447

9. Schaeffler A, Gross P, Buettner R, Bollheimer C, Buechler C, Neumeier M et al. (2009) Fatty acid-induced induction of Toll-like receptor-4/nuclear factor-kappaB pathway in adipocytes links nutritional signalling with innate immunity. Immunology 126: 233–245. IMM2892 [pii] doi:10.1111/j.1365-2567.2008.02892.x PMID: 18624726

10. Haversen L, Danielsson KN, Fogelstrand L, Wiklund O (2009) Induction of proinflammatory cytokines by long-chain saturated fatty acids in human macrophages. Atherosclerosis 202: 382–393. S0021-9150(08)00341-9 [pii] doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2008.05.033 PMID: 18599066

11. Frommer KW, Schaffer A, Rehart S, Lehr A, Muller-Ladner U, Neumann E (2015) Free fatty acids: potential proinflammatory mediators in rheumatic diseases. Ann Rheum Dis 74: 303–310. annrheumdis-2013-203755 [pii] doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-203755 PMID: 24285492

12. Livingstone KM, Givens DI, Jackson KG, Lovegrove JA (2014) Comparative effect of dairy fatty acids on cell adhesion molecules, nitric oxide and relative gene expression in healthy and diabetic human aortic endothelial cells. Atherosclerosis 234: 65–72. S0021-9150(14)00113-0 [pii] doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2014.02.015 PMID: 24832039

13. Miles EA, Thies F, Wallace FA, Powell JR, Hurst TL, Newsholme EA et al. (2001) Influence of age and dietary fish oil on plasma soluble adhesion molecule concentrations. Clin Sci (Lond) 100: 91–100.
27. Gan RW, Young KA, Zerbe GO, Demoruelle MK, Weisman MH, Buckner JH et al. (2015) Lower van Baarsen LG, de Hair MJH, Semmelink JF, Choi IY, Gerlag DM, Tak PP (2015) Synovial tissue pro-

26. Calder PC (2002) Dietary modification of inflammation with lipids. Proc Nutr Soc 61: 345–358. S0029665102000320 [pii]. PMID: 12296294

14. Serhan CN, Chiang N, Van Dyke TE (2008) Resolving inflammation: dual anti-inflammatory and pro-

15. Kang KY, Lee SH, Jung SM, Park SH, Jung BH, Ju JH (2015) Downregulation of Tryptophan-related Metabolomic Profile in Rheumatoid Arthritis Synovial Fluid. J Rheumatol 42: 2003–2011. jrheum.1411505 [pii] doi: 10.3899/jrheum.1411505 PMID: 26329338

16. Kapoor SR, Filer A, Fitzpatrick MA, Fisher BA, Taylor PC, Buckley CD et al. (2013) Metabolic profiling predicts response to anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 65: 1448–1456. doi: 10.1002/art.37921 PMID: 23460124

17. van Wietmarschen HA, DAI W, van der Kooij AJ, Reijmers TH, Schroen Y, Wang M et al. (2012) Characterization of rheumatoid arthritis subtypes using symptom profiles, clinical chemistry and metabolomics measurements. PLoS One 7: e44331. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0044331 PONE-D-12-14615 [pii]. PMID: 22984493

19. Matyash V, Liebsch G, Kurzchalia TV, Shevchenko A, Schwudke D (2008) Lipid extraction by methyl-
tert-butyl ether for high-throughput lipidomics. J Lipid Res 49: 1137–1146. D700041-JLR200 [pii] doi: 10.1194/jlr.D700041-JLR200 PMID: 18281723

20. Giera M, Ioan-Facsinay A, Toes R, Gao F, Dalli J, Deelder AM et al. (2012) Lipid and lipid mediator pro-

23. Klein-Wieringa IR, Kloppenburg M, Bastiaansen-Jenniskens YM, Yusuf E, Kwekkeboom JC, El-Ban-

22. Perreault M, Roke K, Badawi A, Nielsen DE, Abdelmagid SA, El-Sohemy A et al. (2014) Plasma levels of omega-3 fatty acids are associated with the presence of anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide autoantibodies in a population at risk for future rheumatoid arthritis: a nested case-control study. Rheumatology (Oxford). kev266 [pii] doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kev266

25. van der POUW Kraan TC, Wijbrandts CA, van Baarsen LG, Voskuyl AE, Rustenburg F, Baggen JM et al. (2011) The infrapatellar fat pad of patients with osteoarthritis has an inflammatory pheno-

24. Perreault M, Roke K, Badawi A, Nielsen DE, Abdelmagid SA, El-Sohemy A et al. (2014) Plasma levels of omega-3 fatty acids are associated with the presence of anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide autoantibodies in a population at risk for future rheumatoid arthritis: a nested case-control study. Rheumatology (Oxford). kev266 [pii] doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kev266

28. Klein-Wieringa IR, Kloppenburg M, Bastiaansen-Jenniskens YM, Yusuf E, Kwekkeboom JC, El-Ban-

29. Klein-Wieringa IR, Kloppenburg M, Bastiaansen-Jenniskens YM, Yusuf E, Kwekkeboom JC, El-Ban-

30. Klein-Wieringa IR, Kloppenburg M, Bastiaansen-Jenniskens YM, Yusuf E, Kwekkeboom JC, El-Ban-

NEFA Profiles in Rheumatoid Arthritis
32. Ricote M, Li AC, Willson TM, Kelly CJ, Glass CK (1998) The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma is a negative regulator of macrophage activation. Nature 391: 79–82. doi: 10.1038/34178 PMID: 9422508

33. Xu X, Otsuki M, Saito H, Sumitani S, Yamamoto H, Asanuma N et al. (2001) PPARalpha and GR differentially down-regulate the expression of nuclear factor-kappaB-responsive genes in vascular endothelial cells. Endocrinology 142: 3332–3339. doi: 10.1210/endo.142.8.8340 PMID: 11459775

34. Genolet R, Wahl W, Michalik L (2004) PPARs as drug targets to modulate inflammatory responses? Curr Drug Targets Inflamm Allergy 3: 361–375. PMID: 15584886

35. Welch JS, Ricote M, Akiyama TE, Gonzalez FJ, Glass CK (2003) PPARgamma and PPARdelta negatively regulate specific subsets of lipopolysaccharide and IFN-gamma target genes in macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 6712–6717. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1031789100 1031789100 [pii]. PMID: 12740443

36. Sica A, Dorman L, Viggiano V, Cippitelli M, Ghosh P, Rice N et al. (1997) Interaction of NF-kappaB and NFAT with the interferon-gamma promoter. J Biol Chem 272: 30412–30420. PMID: 9374532

37. Proudnman SM, James MJ, Spargo LD, Metcalf RG, Sullivan TR, Rischmueller M et al. (2015) Fish oil and olive oil supplementation in patients with mild rheumatoid arthritis—a double-blind placebo controlled study. Br J Rheumatol 32: 982–989. PMID: 8220938

38. Lau CS, Morley KD, Belch JJ (1993) Effects of fish oil supplementation on non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug requirement in patients with mild rheumatoid arthritis. Clinical and immunologic effects. Arthritis Rheum 33: 810–820. PMID: 2363736

39. Nordstrom DC, Honkanen VE, Nasu Y, Antila E, Friman C, Konttinen YT (1995) Alpha-linolenic acid in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. A double-blind, placebo-controlled and randomized study: flaxseed vs. safflower seed. Rheumatol Int 14: 231–234. PMID: 7597378

40. Ierna M, Kerr A, Scales H, Berge K, Griinari M (2010) Supplementation of diet with krill oil protects against experimental rheumatoid arthritis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 11: 136. 1471-2474-11-136 [pii] doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-11-136 PMID: 20587038

41. Trebble TM, Arden NK, Wootton SA, Calder PC, Mullee MA, Fine DR et al. (2004) Fish oil and antioxidants alter the composition and function of circulating mononuclear cells in Crohn disease. Am J Clin Nutr 80: 1137–1144. 80/5/1137 [pii]. PMID: 15531659

42. Puertollano MA, de Pablo MA, Alvarez de CG (2001) Immunomodulatory effects of dietary lipids alter host natural resistance of mice to Listeria monocytegenes infection. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 32: 47–52. S0928-8244(01)00270-X [pii]. PMID: 11750222

43. Li X, Ballantyne LL, Che X, Mewburn JD, Kang JX, Barkley RM et al. (2015) Endogenously generated omega-3 fatty acids attenuate vascular inflammation and neointimal hyperplasia by interaction with free fatty acid receptor 4 in mice. J Am Heart Assoc 4. jah3926 [pii] doi: 10.1161/JAHA.115.001856