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Abstract

Reperfusion injury, the paradoxical tissue response that is manifested by blood flow-deprived and oxygen-starved organs following the restoration of blood flow and tissue oxygenation, has been a focus of basic and clinical research for over 4 decades. While a variety of molecular mechanisms have been proposed to explain this phenomenon, excess production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) continues to receive much attention as a critical factor in the genesis of reperfusion injury. As a consequence, considerable effort has been devoted to identifying the dominant cellular and enzymatic sources of excess ROS production following ischemia-reperfusion (I/R). Of the potential ROS sources described to date, xanthine oxidase, NADPH oxidase (Nox), mitochondria, and uncoupled nitric oxide synthase have gained a status as the most likely contributors to reperfusion-induced oxidative stress and represent priority targets for therapeutic intervention against reperfusion-induced organ dysfunction and tissue damage. Although all four enzymatic sources are present in most tissues and are likely to play some role in reperfusion injury, priority and emphasis has been given to specific ROS sources that are enriched in certain tissues, such as xanthine oxidase in the gastrointestinal tract and mitochondria in the metabolically active heart and brain. The possibility that multiple ROS sources contribute to reperfusion injury in most tissues is supported by evidence demonstrating that redox-signaling enables ROS produced by one enzymatic source (e.g., Nox) to activate and enhance ROS production by a second source (e.g., mitochondria). This review provides a synopsis of the evidence implicating ROS in reperfusion injury, the clinical implications of this phenomenon, and summarizes current understanding of the four most frequently invoked enzymatic sources of ROS production in post-ischemic tissue.
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1. Introduction

It is well known that an impairment of blood flow (ischemia) can result in tissue injury and organ dysfunction, with duration and severity of the ischemic insult determining the reversibility of the injury response and ultimate survival of the tissue [1–4]. Ischemic tissue injury is generally attributed to a profound and lengthy period of tissue hypoxia and the consequent depletion of cellular ATP. It has long been appreciated that survival of ischemic tissue can be ensured by the timely restoration of blood flow (reperfusion), which should serve to minimize the magnitude of the hypoxic insult, allow for the replenishment of cellular ATP levels, re-establish ionic balance within the cell, and ultimately result in full restoration of organ function. However, the predictable beneficial influence of early reperfusion on tissue recovery following ischemia was challenged in the 1970s by reports describing a paradoxical enhancement of the injury response following reperfusion (or reoxygenation) of ischemic (or hypoxic) tissue [5,6]. This led to the proposal by Hearse et al. [6] that the sudden reintroduction of molecular oxygen to energy- (and oxygen-) starved tissue results in a unique type of injury response that is not manifested during the period of hypoxic stress. The discovery of this reoxygenation-dependent injury response, which is now commonly called “reperfusion injury”, opened a new field of scientific investigation that has grown rapidly and consistently to this day (Fig. 1).

Since its inception, the concept of reperfusion injury has steadily gained attention as an underlying component of a variety of human diseases and disorders, and it has received similar notice in the field of veterinary medicine [7,8]. As a consequence, much attention has been devoted to defining the molecular and cellular basis of the unique injury response that results when ischemic tissues are reperfused [1,2,9]. In the early 1980s, oxygen-derived free radicals (now more commonly referred to as reactive oxygen species, ROS) were proposed as potential mediators of reperfusion injury. The premise that highly reactive and unstable molecules like ROS could account for reperfusion injury was quickly embraced, in large part because it was consistent with the observation that the injury response was dependent on the reintroduction of molecular oxygen. Furthermore, evidence was soon provided to support the notion that, with reperfusion of ischemic tissue, an imbalance is created between the rate of generation of ROS and the tissue’s ability to detoxify these reactive species [10,11]. In the 35 years since ROS were first implicated in reperfusion injury, the molecular basis and pathophysiological significance of this ROS-dependent response has been extensively studied, providing new insights into the enzymatic and cellular sources of the ROS, the magnitude of ROS production elicited by reperfusion (reoxygenation), and how ROS production ultimately leads to tissue injury. This review addresses how the concept of ROS-mediated reperfusion injury has evolved over the past 3-plus decades and it examines the evidence that has accumulated over this period to support or refute the existence of ROS-mediated reperfusion injury in different organ systems, as well as its relevance to different pathological states.

2. Reperfusion induced organ dysfunction/injury

The continued expansion of the scientific literature on ischemia-reperfusion (I/R) injury over the past 40 years is likely a reflection of several factors, including the implication of this mechanism of tissue injury in a growing list of organs, the development and use of in vitro models that mimic the condition of hypoxia followed by reoxygenation (H/R), and the implication of reperfusion injury in an expanding list of human diseases and clinical conditions. Reperfusion injury has been described and studied in most organs in the body, with reports describing this mechanism of injury in the heart [12], brain [13], skeletal muscle [14], skin [15], lung [16], eye [17], spinal cord [18], intestine [19], liver [20], kidney [21], uterus [22], ovary [23], testicle [24], penis [25], and joints [26]. While this assortment of tissues shares some characteristic features of the injury response to I/R, such as necrosis, apoptosis, impaired microvascular function, and edema, there is considerable diversity in the responses between tissues that reflect the unique functional properties of the affected organ. Some examples of these unique functional responses to I/R include arrhythmias and stunning (transient depression of cardiac contractility) for the heart [27,28], behavioral deficits for brain [13], paralysis for spinal cord [18], depressed motility and bacterial translocation (with endotoxemia) for intestine [29], visual impairment and blindness for eye [30], depressed glomerular filtration rate and proteinuria for kidney [21], and infertility for testes and ovaries [23,24].

In vitro models have proven to be useful for determining the responses of single cell populations to I/R [31–34]. These models, which expose isolated, purified cell populations to hypoxia (or anoxia) and reoxygenation (H/R and A/R), have capitalized on the creation of well-defined and precisely controlled conditions to determine whether the tissue injury responses elicited by I/R in vivo can be recapitulated by single cell populations. While the conditions used to simulate I/R in vitro are arguably artificial due to the absence of other relevant cell populations and non-physiological environmental conditions (e.g., pO2, pH), the in vitro models have shown a remarkable level of consistency in reproducing the phenotypic responses of tissues to I/R [32]. Similarities in the in vitro and in vivo responses to I/R (H/R) have been demonstrated using cardiac myocytes [35], intestinal enterocytes [36], alveolar epithelium [34], neurons [37], hepatocytes [38], adipocytes [39], and arterial grafts [40]. Endothelial cell (EC) monolayers subjected to H/R have proven to be extraordinarily accurate in simulating the diverse microvascular alterations that are elicited by H/R, including (1) an enhanced production of ROS [41], (2) increased expression of adhesion molecules with a consequent increase in the adhesivity of EC to leukocytes (neutrophils and T-lymphocytes) [42,43], (3) diminished EC barrier function [44], and (4) the development of a procoagulant/prothrombotic phenotype [45] (Fig. 2). Indeed, the adhesive interactions between post-hypoxic EC monolayers and blood cells, such as neutrophils and T-lymphocytes, have been extensively used as a surrogate for the inflammatory responses elicited by I/R [33,42]. Growing
recognition of the importance of cell–cell interactions in the pathogenesis of I/R injury has led to efforts to increase the complexity of the in vitro models through the development of multicellular co-culture systems, such as a neurovascular model comprised of co-cultured neurons, astrocytes, and cerebral microvascular EC to simulate the blood-brain barrier (BBB) [46]. The neurovascular unit model exhibits a more robust barrier function (reduced permeability) compared to EC monolayers and this more restrictive barrier is compromised to a greater degree in response to H/R [46], suggesting that having neurons and astrocytes as neighbors of EC more adequately simulates the properties of the intact BBB as well as its response to I/R. Perhaps the most tangible benefit of the in vitro models of I/R injury has been their utility in dissecting the complex array of signaling molecules that underlie the diverse cellular changes and injury responses that are ultimately manifested in postischemic tissue [47,48]. This reductionist approach to the study of I/R injury has contributed to the continued growth and interest in this field of investigation.

3. Reactive oxygen species contribute to reperfusion injury

The concept that ROS play a role in the injury response to I/R is...
largely based on three lines of evidence: (1) interventions that enhance ROS scavenging and/or detoxification protect against reperfusion injury, (2) artificial generation of ROS in normal tissue recapitulates the injury response to I/R, and (3) detection of enhanced ROS production and their characteristic cellular ‘footprints’ in post-ischemic tissues. The early ROS scavenging studies employed native superoxide distmutase (SOD) in the presence or absence of catalase to demonstrate protection against injury in both in vivo and ex vivo models of I/R [11,56,57]. Experimental limitations related to the relatively small size and short circulating half-life of ROS scavenging enzymes led to the development and application of polyethylene-glycol(PEG)-conjugated forms of both SOD [58] and catalase [59], both of which proved to be effective in some I/R models. Synthetic, low-molecular weight SOD mimetics like tempol were also developed to overcome problems (e.g., antigenicity) associated with the native enzyme that limited its clinical utility, and these have proven to be effective in several I/R models [60–63]. Mutant mice that are either deficient in or overexpress ROS scavenging enzymes, such as SOD, catalase, and glutathione peroxidase, have also provided results that are consistent with a role for ROS as mediators of I/R injury in different organs [64–68]. Furthermore, cellular localization of the source of ROS that contributes to the I/R-induced injury response has been addressed using mutant mice that overexpress or exhibit a deficiency in one of the three different SOD isoenzymes, i.e., the cytosolic copper–zinc SOD (CuZn SOD), the mitochondria-associated manganese SOD (MnSOD), and extracellular SOD (EC-SOD) [69,70]. Direct gene transfer of the cDNA encoding SOD has also been shown to afford protection against I/R injury [71]. Native SOD, PEG-SOD, SOD-mimetics, and genetic overexpression of SOD or other ROS scavenging enzymes have also been applied, with considerable success, in different in vitro models of hypoxia (or anoxia)-reoxygenation [42,69,72–77].

Additional support for the involvement of ROS in I/R injury has come from studies describing phenotypic responses of cells or tissues exposed directly to ROS (or a ROS-generating enzyme) that recapitulate the responses elicited by I/R [19,78]. Hydrogen peroxide, a mild and relatively stable oxidant that is generated in tissues (cells) exposed to I/R (H/R), has been extensively used as a representative ROS to assess the response of cells to oxidative stress. At pathophysiologically relevant concentrations (10–100 μM), H2O2 can elicit most of the phenotypic changes in endothelial function that are evidenced in postischemic (posthypoxic) tissues (endothelial cell monolayers) including endothelial barrier dysfunction (increased vascular permeability) [79], increased expression of endothelial cell adhesion molecules and enhanced leukocyte–endothelial cell adhesion [80,81], increased production of inflammatory mediators (e.g., platelet activating factor) [81], and the induction of a procoagulant, prothrombotic phenotype [82]. Cardiac myocytes [83] and vascular smooth muscle [84] also respond to H2O2 in a manner that is consistent with I/R or H/R, while other cell types (e.g., mast cells) do not [85]. The molecular basis for the cellular responses elicited by hydrogen peroxide and the role of this mild oxidant as a signaling second messenger have been extensively characterized [86].

The premise that ROS are generated following I/R was initially based on the detection of chemical products generated by the reaction of ROS with cellular lipids, proteins, and other molecules [10,87,88]. The products of lipid peroxidation (e.g., malondialdehyde, conjugated dienes, and hydroxynonenal) have been widely used as ‘footprints’ of ROS generation in different models of I/R [2,19,34,89]. Oxidation of cellular sulphhydril groups and the generation of oxidized glutathione (GSSG) have also been offered as evidence of oxidative stress and redox imbalance following I/R in different tissues [90–94]. Oxidant-sensitive fluorochromes (e.g.,

Table 1
Clinical conditions associated with ischemia-reperfusion injury.

| Condition                          | Manifestations                                      |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Medical or surgical procedure-related |                                                    |
| Thrombolytic therapy               | Stroke, myocardial infarction                       |
| Organ transplantation              | Acute graft failure                                 |
| Coronary angioplasty               | Lung injury, heart failure                          |
| Arterial tourniquet release        | Heart failure                                       |
| Thoracoabdominal aortic surgery    | Local tissue injury                                 |
| Decompression                      | Spinal cord injury                                  |
| Testicular or ovarian torsion/detorsion | Infertility                                   |

Table 2
Potential sources of reactive oxygen species in tissues exposed to ischemia and reperfusion.

| ROS source         | Tissue implicated                                      | References                          |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Xanthine oxidase   | Intestine, lung, heart, skin, eye, brain, skeletal muscle, liver, pancreas, stomach, testes, kidney, joints, spinal cord | [11,475,476,477, 478,479,57, 138,480,481,482, 483,484,485] |
| NADPH oxidase      | Intestine, lung, heart, eyebrain, stomach, liver, kidney, testes | [214,486,487,207, 242,204,488,489, 490] |
| Mitochondria       | Intestine, lung, heart, brain, skeletal muscle, liver, kidney, stomach, testes, spinal cord | [239,491,328,492, 493,494,495, 496,497,498] |
| Nitric oxide synthase | Liver, heart, (aortic endothelial cells)              | [423,427,429,499]                  |
| Cytochrome P450     | Lung, heart, kidney                                   | [500,501,502]                      |
| Lipooxygenase/cyclooxygenase | Brain, eye, pancreas, stomach                         | [503,478,480,504]                  |
| Monoamine oxidase   | Heart, kidney                                        | [352,505,506,507]                  |
dihydroorhodamine 123 (DHR), dihydroethidium (DHE), and di-
chlorofluorescein (DCF)) have been used to visualize and quantify
ROS production in postischemic tissues and in monolayers of
cultured cells [95–99]. While DCF and DHE exhibit differential
sensitivities to hydrogen peroxide and superoxide, respectively,
electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy and spin trapping has
become the ‘gold standard’ for detection and identification of
different ROS produced by tissues and cells in response to I/R
(Fig. 2). This approach, which has been applied to heart [100,101],
kidney [102], skin [103], retina [104], lung [105], intestine [106],
liver [107], and monolayers of cultured cells [108,109], has re-
vealed that the enhanced ROS production elicited by I/R is de-
tected immediately (within 20 s) following reperfusion and that
superoxide (O$_2^-$) is the parent radical that serves as a precursor for
the hydroxyl radical, carbon-centered radicals and other second-
ary species [2,110]. The more recent application of proteomic and
genomic mapping to tissues (or cells) exposed to I/R (or H/R) is
providing novel insights into the responses of specific proteins and
genes to the oxidative stress that accompanies this condition [111–
114].

3.1. Sources of ROS in post-ischemic tissue

The oxidative stress elicited in tissues/cells following I/R (or H/
R) has been linked to a variety of different sources of ROS. Non-
enzymatic sources of ROS, such as hemoglobin and myoglobin
(both of which can be released into extracellular fluid after trauma),
have received some attention as potential mediators of I/R-
induced oxidative stress [115,116]. However, most studies have
attributed the accelerated ROS production in post-ischemic tissues to one or more enzymes that are capable of reducing molecular
oxygen to form superoxide and/or hydrogen peroxide, with the
subsequent release of ROS into the intracellular and/or extra-
cellular compartments. Table 2 summarizes the enzymatic sources of
ROS that have been implicated in I/R pathogenesis in different
organs. An examination of the literature reveals that the enzyme
systems most commonly invoked to explain the accelerated ROS
production in postischemic tissues are xanthine oxidase, NADPH
oxidase, the mitochondrial electron transport chain, and uncoupled
nitric oxide synthase. A brief description and assessment of the
involvement of these enzyme systems in reperfusion injury follows.

3.1.1. Xanthine oxidase

Xanthine oxidoreductase (XOR) is a complex molybd-
flavoenzyme that controls the rate-limiting step of purine cata-
bolism, i.e., the hydroxylation of xanthine to uric acid. The mam-
malian form of this enzyme exists in two interconvertible forms,
xanthine dehydrogenase (XDH) and xanthine oxidase (XO), with
XDH as the predominant form in normal healthy tissue. XDH
preferably uses NAD$^+$ as an electron acceptor, while XO uses O$_2$ as
the terminal electron acceptor thereby exhibiting the ability to
generate ROS. XO has been extensively studied as a potential
source of ROS in tissues and isolated cells exposed I/R or H/R. More
organ systems have been probed for the contribution of this ROS
source than any other potential source (Table 2), which likely re-
flects the fact that safe, inexpensive and effective drugs that inhibit
the enzyme (e.g., allopurinol) have long been available. Despite the
large number of reports describing a beneficial effect of xanthine
oxidase inhibitors in different post-ischemic tissues, there are also
studies that have failed to show protection following XO inhibition
in tissues such as the heart [117], lung [118], and liver [91]. Some of
the inconsistent findings have been attributed to differences in
XOR abundance/activity between animal species. For example, rabbit
heart, which is not protected against reperfusion injury by
allopurinol treatment, is virtually devoid of XO activity [117], much
like the human heart [119]. However, intestine and liver, which
exhibit significant and ubiquitous expression of XOR across species
(including humans) [120,121], have yielded more consistent find-
ings regarding a role for the enzyme in reperfusion injury [122,123].

While whole tissue homogenates of organs (e.g., heart and
brain) from human and some experimental animals have failed to
exhibit significant XOR activity, immunohistochemical studies have
revealed high levels of XOR antigen in vascular endothelium
in these tissues [124,125]. Furthermore, the immunohistochemical
studies have rather consistently demonstrated that the enzyme is
concentrated on the outer surface of the endothelial cell plasma
membrane [124,125]. This observation has lead to the proposal that
the XOR localized on the surface of endothelial cells is derived
from plasma [126]. Animal studies have revealed that circulating
XO levels are significantly elevated following exposure of the liver
and/or intestine to I/R [126,127], and that the XO leaking into plasma
can bind to surface glycosaminoglycans (GAG) on vascular
endothelial cells in tissues distant from the liver and/or gut [128].
The GAG-dependent binding of XO to vascular endothelium is
heparin-reversible until the enzyme is endocytosed by the cell
[129,130]. A pathophysiological role for the XO released into
plasma from these enzyme-rich tissues is supported by the ob-
servation that the XO level achieved in plasma is sufficient (with
adequate substrate) to produce severe endothelial cell injury in
vitro [126] and that immunoblockade of the circulating XO has
been shown to protect the lung vasculature from the deleterious
effects of gut I/R [127]. The binding of circulating XO to vascular
endothelial cells has been invoked to explain the effectiveness of
xanthine oxidase inhibitors in blunting the I/R injury response in
tissues that exhibit low XO activity [131]. Nonetheless, there are a
number of reports that demonstrate a role for xanthine oxidase in
the phenotypic changes that occur in cultured vascular endothelial
cells exposed to A/R or H/R [31,42,110] (Fig. 2).

The hypothesis that xanthine oxidase is a major source of ROS

![Fig. 3. Potential mechanisms of ROS production by XOR in tissues exposed to ischemia and reperfusion. In the setting of ischemia, ATP is catalyzed to hypox-
anthine and the dehydrogenase form of XOR (XDH) is converted, via limited pro-
teolysis and sulfhydryl oxidation, to the oxidase form (XO). Upon reperfusion, the
restored tissue O$_2$ reacts with hypoxanthine (or xanthine) and XO to generate both
superoxide (O$_2^-$) and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), which can consequently interact to
yield more reactive secondary species [11,133]. The conversion of XDH to XO may
not be required for ROS production following reperfusion (see boxed area of figure).
During ischemia, the redox status of the tissue is altered from an oxidative state
(higher level of NAD$^+$ relative to NADH) to a reductive state (higher NADH relative
to NAD$^+$). This altered redox state has been shown to enhance the generation of O$_2$
from XDH in the presence of xanthine [141].]
following I/R was initially proposed to explain the enhanced vascular permeability response to reperfusion in cat small intestine, following a 1 h period of low-flow ischemia [11]. The original version of this hypothesis (Fig. 3) proposed that the ischemic insult results in depletion of the energy charge of the cell, an accumulation of hypoxanthine from the catabolism of ATP, and a concomitant conversion (mediated by limited proteolysis and/or sulfhydryl oxidation) of the XDH isoform to XO. With the restoration of blood flow and tissue oxygen tension at reperfusion, it was predicted that the readmitted oxygen and accumulated hypoxanthine would react with XO to produce a burst of superoxide. Since allopurinol and superoxide dismutase (SOD) treatments were equally effective in blunting the I/R-induced vascular permeability response, it was concluded that XO-derived superoxide and/or secondary radical species were largely responsible for the endothelial barrier dysfunction.

While X-ray crystallography and site-directed mutagenesis studies have significantly improved our understanding of the changes in enzyme structure and function that occur when XDH is converted to XO [132], considerable uncertainty remains regarding the magnitude and kinetics of conversion of XDH to XO that is elicited by ischemia, and whether this conversion process is a requirement for XO-dependent ROS production during reperfusion. Initial reports of XDH to XO conversion in rat intestine suggested a very rapid rate of conversion i.e., requiring about 60 s for complete conversion to the ROS producing XO form [133]. However, subsequent studies have revealed that XO accounts for 19% of total enzyme (XDH + XO) activity under control (non-ischemic) conditions, and that XO activity increases by approximately 13% per hour of intestinal ischemia [134]. The issue of XDH to XO conversion during ischemia has been more extensively evaluated in liver. However, disparate findings have been reported for this tissue, with some reports describing significant conversion during ischemia, while others describe little or no conversion following prolonged ischemia [135,136]. There appears to be a growing consensus that the conversion of XDH to XO is not a rate-limiting determinant of ROS production upon reperfusion of ischemic tissue, particularly in liver [123,137]. This contention is supported by the observation that the hepatocellular injury response to I/R precedes the conversion of XDH to XO [136,138]. A possible explanation for the enhanced superoxide production in the absence of XDH to XO conversion during I/R is the observation that XDH exhibits NADH oxidase activity under acidic conditions (pH ~ 6.5), wherein XDH oxidizes NADH rather than xanthine [123,139]. In this regard, it is noteworthy that it has been reported that the NADH oxidase of XDH has the capacity to generate superoxide at 4-times the rate of XO [139]. However, while allopurinol can inhibit the production of superoxide by XO, the drug has no effect on the NADH oxidase activity of XDH [139,140]. Finally, a recent analysis of XDH from chicken liver that has the unique property of being locked in the dehydrogenase form has revealed that XDH has the capacity to generate large quantities of superoxide (at approximately half the rate of XO in the presence of xanthine) and this is regulated by the relative levels of NAD+ to NADH, with more O2− produced under reduced conditions when a higher proportion of the NAD(H) pool is in the reduced state [141]. Since XDH may remain the dominant form of the enzyme at the time of reperfusion and the tissue likely remains in a reductive state (low NAD+ to NADH ratio) in the early reperfusion period, XDH may be a quantitatively more important source of O2− than XO during this period (inset of Fig. 3).

In addition to the post-translational modification of XDH mediated by limited proteolysis and sulfhydryl oxidation, there is also evidence supporting a role for transcriptional regulation of the enzyme in response to I/R. Hypoxia and cytokines are two relevant stimuli that have been linked to increased XDH transcription. Endothelial and epithelial cells exposed to hypoxia respond with increases in mRNA and total activity for XO [142,143], with some studies linking these hypoxia-induced responses to interleukin-6 mediated activation of the JAK-STAT signaling pathway [143]. XO activity is also regulated by oxygen tension at the post-translational level, as evidenced by studies demonstrating an inverse relationship between O2 tension and XO activity [142,144]. O2 tension-mediated modulation of XO activity appears to involve enzyme phosphorylation by p38 kinase [145]. A variety of cytokines, including IL-1, IFN-γ, IL-6 and TNF-α, have been shown to increase XDH/XO mRNA and to increase total activity of the enzyme in different cell populations [146–148]. Inasmuch as cytokine accumulation, resulting from mast cell degranulation and macrophage activation, occurs rapidly following I/R, these inflammatory mediators may exert a significant influence on XO-mediated ROS production following I/R.

Hypoxanthine and xanthine are two well-characterized products of purine metabolism that accumulate in ischemic tissues. A recent comparative metabolomic analysis designed to identify the metabolic signatures in different tissues (liver, kidney, heart, and brain) subjected to I/R revealed a substantial accumulation of only three metabolites, hypoxanthine, xanthine, and succinate [149], confirming the availability of the purine metabolites for XO-mediated ROS production at reperfusion. However, the need for an accumulation of hypoxanthine and xanthine in post-ischemic tissue to drive XO-mediated ROS production remains unclear. There has been estimated that hypoxanthine concentration in the mucosa of normally perfused healthy intestine is approximately 20 μM and that bowel ischemia results in an approximate 10-fold increase (to 200 μM) in the concentration of this purine [150]. However, because the Km of hypoxanthine for XO in the gut is estimated to be approximately 11 μM [150], it would appear that the concentration of hypoxanthine in both normal and ischemic gut may not be rate-limiting for ROS production by XO in this tissue. Comparable or higher hypoxanthine levels have been reported, both in the pre-ischemic and ischemic state, in other tissues (e.g., brain) [151], suggesting that the absence of a critical role for hypoxanthine accumulation for XO-mediated ROS production at the time of reperfusion may not be unique to the intestine. These observations and conclusions are inconsistent with findings reported for post-ischemic heart, in which there are two lines of evidence supporting the view that substrate accumulation initiates and controls XO-mediated ROS production following I/R. First, it has been shown that the time-course of ROS production elicited by I/R in isolated rat hearts is closely correlated with the kinetics of XO substrate accumulation [152]. Second, pharmacological inhibition of adenosine metabolism and transport (and the consequent modulation of tissue xanthine and hypoxanthine levels) has revealed a role for hypoxanthine and xanthine accumulation in determining the severity of the myocardial injury response to I/R [153]. Furthermore, blockade of xanthine oxidase substrate formation via pharmacologic inhibition of adenosine deaminase prevents XO-dependent ROS production (measured by electron paramagnetic resonance spin trapping) and the contractile dysfunction that accompanies reperfusion, while exogenous administration of hypoxanthine and xanthine reversed the protective effects of adenosine deaminase inhibition [154]. However, a limitation of the experimental strategy of pharmacological inhibition of XO substrate accumulation is that it also results in the buildup of adenosine, which is known to exert a protective effect against I/R-induced inflammation and tissue injury [155,156].

Different treatment strategies have been employed to assess the contribution of xanthine oxidase to I/R injury, including purine (allopurinol and oxypurinol) [11,157] and non-purine (febuxostat) [158] enzyme inhibitors, dietary tungsten supplementation and/or molybdenum deficiency [159,160], and gene deletion [161].
Xanthine oxidase deficiency, an uncommon autosomal recessive disorder in humans, generally results in kidney dysfunction and failure [162]. Similar kidney responses have been reported in both homozygous and heterozygous XDH knockout mice [161,163]. Consequently, in the absence of tissue-specific conditional knockouts for XO, genetic approaches have had little or no impact to date in defining the role of XO-dependent ROS production in I/R injury [164]. A large majority of the reports addressing the contribution of XO to reperfusion injury are based on the use of allopurinol or its more water-soluble metabolite, oxypurinol [1]. While allopurinol/oxypurinol treatment has proven to be highly effective in inhibiting XO activity and ROS production, and in reducing reperfusion injury in several model systems, some concerns have been raised regarding non-specific actions of the drugs. For example, it has been proposed that allopurinol and oxypurinol may offer protection against I/R injury by acting as radical scavengers [165,166]. However, reports describing this action of the XO inhibitors have employed concentrations that far exceed the doses needed to inhibit the enzyme. Extracellular fluid (plasma and lymph) collected from animals receiving the more commonly used allopurinol/oxypurinol treatment regimens for XO inhibition does not exhibit an enhanced antioxidant or scavenging potential [167]. Additional concerns regarding allopurinol/oxypurinol include the inability of the drugs to block the NADH oxidase activity of XO [168] and to inhibit XO activity when the enzyme is bound to GAGs on the endothelial cell surface [169]. The non-purine XO inhibitor febuxostat appears to overcome some of the limitations of allopurinol/oxypurinol. The potency of febuxostat is 3-orders of magnitude greater than allopurinol and it appears to be highly effective in inhibiting endothelium-associated XO [170]. Febuxostat has been used in a limited number of I/R injury studies of intestine [171] and kidney [158], showing protection in both studies. A comparison of allopurinol vs febuxostat effects in a rat model of local and remote intestinal I/R injury revealed better protection with febuxostat for some of the indices of inflammation and tissue injury [171].

Much attention has been recently devoted to the ability of XO to function as a nitrate/nitrite reductase, which enables the enzyme to catalyze the one electron reduction of nitrite to nitric oxide (NO) [123,145,164]. Studies employing purified enzyme have revealed the generation of NO from XO in the presence of NO2 with xanthine, NADH, or aldehyde serving as electron donors [172,173]. Nitrite reduction by XO is enhanced under anoxic/hypoxic conditions and by acidosis [174]. Furthermore, NO generation via this reductive process is inhibited by allopurinol and oxypurinol [172,173]. The pathophysiological relevance of the NO generated by XO in conditions such as I/R and inflammation remains unclear. However, the capacity of this enzyme to generate both superoxide and NO has lead to the proposal that XO may be an important source of peroxynitrite in postischemic and inflamed tissue [175]. Others have proposed that the NO produced during periods of ischemia may minimize the ROS-mediated damage that results at the time of reperfusion due to the ability of NO to promote vasodilation and inhibit inflammation [164]. The most convincing evidence supporting a pathophysiological role for the nitrite reductase function of XO comes from studies that show protection against I/R injury following nitrite administration. The protective effect of nitrite-derived NO in heart [176,177], kidney [178], lung [179], and liver [180] models of I/R injury are not observed following inhibition of XO with either allopurinol or oxypurinol, suggesting that the enzyme plays a critical role in the generation of NO by NO2.

A characteristic response of the vasculature to I/R is an enhanced recruitment of inflammatory cells, particularly neutrophils [160,181,182]. Similarly, endothelial cell monolayers exposed to either H/R or A/R exhibit an increased adhesivity to neutrophils (Fig. 2) [42,43,183]. Xanthine oxidase, more than any other potential source of ROS, has been implicated in the leukocyte recruitment that occurs following I/R in vivo and A/R (or H/R) in vitro. The substantial neutrophil recruitment (typically measured as an increased tissue myeloperoxidase activity) observed in tissues exposed to I/R [171,184,185], the enhanced leukocyte–endothelial cell adhesion in post-ischemic venules [43,160,181,182], and increased neutrophil adhesion to post-hypoxic endothelial cell monolayers [42,43,183,186] (Fig. 2) are all significantly attenuated by treatment with an XO inhibitor, SOD, or catalase. Studies employing in vivo and in vitro models have revealed a role for both inflammatory mediator production/release [42,43,183,187,188] and increased expression of endothelial cell and leukocyte adhesion molecules [42, 43, 160] as potential links between XO-dependent ROS production and the leukocyte recruitment response to I/R. Hydrogen peroxide, which is known to initiate the production of platelet activating factor (PAF) and increased surface expression of P-selectin [81,189], appears to play an important role in this regard. Endothelial cell monolayers exposed to A/R exhibit a biphasic neutrophil adhesion response [42], with an early (30 min) enhancement of adhesion that is transcription-independent and inhibited by treatment with either oxypurinol, catalase and blocking antibodies for either P-selectin or ICAM-1 (Fig. 2). At 240 min following reoxygenation, a second phase of neutrophil hyperadhesivity is noted that is transcription-dependent and inhibited by treatment with either a PAF receptor antagonist, E-selectin blocking antibody, or antisense oligonucleotide directed against the oxidant-sensitive transcription factors, nuclear factor kappa-B (NFκB) or activator protein–1 (AP-1) [42]. In vivo studies have confirmed a role for PAF, ICAM-1 and P-selectin as mediators of XO-dependent leukocyte recruitment in postischemic venules [160,187,188]. Based on these observations it has been proposed that XO-derived ROS may play a more important role in mediating the reperfusion injury response by promoting the recruitment and/or activation of leukocytes, rather than XO-derived ROS directly mediating the tissue injury response [19,190–193]. This possibility is supported by reports describing a comparable level of protection against I/R injury following either inhibition of xanthine oxidase, induction of neutropenia, or blockade of leukocyte-endothelial cell adhesion [19,160,194–197].

3.1.2. NADPH oxidase

The Nox/Duox family of NADPH oxidases has also been implicated in the production of ROS following I/R [198–202]. This family of multiprotein complexes is comprised of 7 members, designated as Nox-1 to Nox-5 and as dual oxidases (Duox)-1 and -2 [202]. The Duox enzymes predominately produce hydrogen peroxide along with Nox-4, while the remaining Nox isoenzymes largely produce superoxide [199]. Although the NADPH oxidases are ubiquitously expressed, cell-specific localization of some iso-enzymes (e.g., Nox-3 in inner ear, Nox-5 in spleen and testes) has been described. Nox-2 (formerly known as gp91phox) accounts for the ability of phagocytic cells (neutrophils) to generate ROS, but the enzyme is also present in all cells comprising the walls of blood vessels. Generally, the non-phagocytic Nox isoenzymes are expressed at lower levels than Nox-2 in phagocytes. Nonetheless, significant mRNA and protein levels for Nox/Duox are detected in many tissues and these enzymes are proving to be a major source of ROS in a variety of pathological conditions, including I/R [200–202]. A role for NADPH oxidase as a mediator of I/R injury has been proposed for a variety of tissues (Table 2). The proposition that Nox enzymes contribute to reperfusion injury is largely based on two lines of evidence, i.e., (1) an increased expression and/or activity of Nox in postischemic tissue [203–209], and (2) an attenuation of the I/R- (or H/R-) induced injury response and/or reduced ROS production following pharmacologic inhibition [204–
Studies implicating neutrophils in reperfusion injury provided some of the earliest evidence suggesting the involvement of Nox as a source of ROS in postischemic tissue [194,220–224]. Neutrophil-dependent reperfusion injury has been implicated in a variety of tissues including heart [220], kidney [225], intestine [194], stomach [223], lung [197], skeletal muscle [196], brain [226], liver [227], skin [228], testes [229], as well as the systemic, multiple organ damage resulting from hemorrhagic shock [230], and the lung injury that accompanies reperfusion of intestine [231]. The potential involvement of neutrophils is supported by the observation that the time-course of the inflammatory cell accumulation corresponds with increased ROS generation and/or tissue injury following reperfusion [160,232]. However, more convincing evidence has been provided by studies that show attenuated tissue injury and/or ROS production when reperfusion-induced neutrophil accumulation is blunted by either rendering the animal neutropenic [194,220,233], blocking neutrophil adhesion to vascular endothelial cells [194,234,235], or lung [197], skeletal muscle [196], brain [226], liver [227], skin [228], testes [229], as well as the systemic, multiple organ damage resulting from hemorrhagic shock [230], and the lung injury that accompanies reperfusion of intestine [231]. The potential involvement of neutrophils is supported by the observation that the time-course of the inflammatory cell accumulation corresponds with increased ROS generation and/or tissue injury following reperfusion [160,232]. However, more convincing evidence has been provided by studies that show attenuated tissue injury and/or ROS production when reperfusion-induced neutrophil accumulation is blunted by either rendering the animal neutropenic [194,220,233], blocking neutrophil adhesion to vascular endothelial cells [194,234,235], or by blocking the production of (or receptors for) mediators (e.g., platelet activating factor, and leukotriene B4) that are engaged in the recruitment of leukocytes [188,236–238]. Since inhibitors of ROS production or ROS scavengers have also been shown to be similarly effective in blunting reperfusion injury in these experimental models, it is generally assumed that the neutrophils are a major source of the ROS that mediate this response. However a reasonable alternative conclusion is that ROS generated from another cellular/enzymatic source (e.g., xanthine oxidase or mitochondria) play an essential role in the recruitment and activation of neutrophils [160,184,239], which ultimately mediate the tissue injury via a ROS-independent mechanism, such as proteases [240] or physical obstruction of the microvasculature [241]. The most convincing evidence supporting a role for neutrophil-associated Nox in reperfusion injury comes from studies employing bone marrow (BM) chimeras created by transplanting bone marrow from NAPDH oxidase (Nox-2) deficient mice into wild type (WT) recipients. Studies of brain I/R have revealed either a partial [242] or dominant [243] role for BM-derived cells (likely leukocytes) in mediating the NAPDH oxidase dependent blood brain barrier dysfunction and brain infarction following ischemic stroke. Reperfusion injury (increased vascular permeability, edema, oxidative stress, and increased airway resistance) in the lung is also attenuated in p47phox-deficient mice. Furthermore, a comparison of the responses between WT→WT, p47phox–/–→WT, and WT→p47phox–/– chimeras suggests that leukocyte-associated Nox has a dominant role in mediating lung injury following I/R [216] (Fig. 4).

There are several lines of evidence that support a role for non-phagocytic Nox as a source of ROS following I/R (or H/R). It has been demonstrated that purified cultured cell populations, including endothelial cells [244], cardiac myocytes [245] and neurons [246] exposed to simulated I/R in vitro exhibit tissue injury-related responses that are dependent on Nox activity. For example, endothelial cells exposed to H/R show increases in NADPH oxidase expression/activity, superoxide production, NFkB activation, E-selectin expression, and adhesivity to leukocytes, with a corresponding reduction in barrier function. All of these responses are largely prevented by treatment of the endothelial cells with the Nox inhibitors, apocynin or diphenylidodium (DPI), or with inhibitors of the signaling pathways that regulate Nox activation [244,247–250]. It has also been reported that the generation of ROS in hippocampal and cortical neurons in culture is significantly increased after oxygen/glucose deprivation and reoxygenation, but this response is absent following treatment with either apocynin or DPI and in cells derived from gp91phox knockout mice [246]. Similarly, cardiac myocytes subjected to in vitro simulated I/R display increased ROS production, enhanced lipid peroxidation, activation of redox-sensitive kinases (ERK, JNK) and cell death, all of which are prevented by DPI treatment [245]. Isolated buffer
perfused organs, which minimize a potential contribution from intravascular Nox-positive cells such as PMNs, have also revealed a role for NADPH oxidase in the injury response to H/R. For example, isolated buffer perfused rat and mouse lungs exhibit enhanced ROS production following H/R and this response is blocked by treatment with either DPI or the synthetic peptide inhibitor of Nox, PR-39, with a similar attenuation of ROS production noted in isolated lungs derived from gp91phox knockout mice [251]. Other reports have similarly described a protective effect of Nox inhibitors in isolated buffer (cell free) perfused hearts exposed to H/R [252] and in buffer-perfused Langendorff preparations with hearts derived from mutant mice deficient in either Nox-1 or Nox-2 [253]. A third line of evidence that implicates non-phagocytic cell-associated Nox in I/R injury comes from studies of mice that are deficient in Nox isoforms not expressed in phagocytic cells. For example, Nox-4, which is expressed in astrocytes, neurons and microglia in the brain (but not in PMNs) [202], exhibits an increased expression following ischemic stroke [254,255]. Mice deficient in Nox-4 are protected against stroke, with reductions in infarct size, oxidative stress, neuronal apoptosis, and BBB leakage, compared to their WT counterparts [256]. Studies of myocardial I/R injury in Nox-isofrom specific knockout mice have revealed that Nox-1, Nox-2 and Nox-1/Nox-2 double knockouts have significantly reduced infarct sizes, compared to WT controls, while Nox-4 deficient mice show no protection. Since hearts from Nox-1 and Nox-2 knockout mice were similarly protected against I/R injury in the buffer perfused Langendorff model, it was concluded that the beneficial effects of these Nox isofrom deletions are intrinsic to heart tissue, i.e., myocytes [253].

With the exception of Nox-4, all of the Nox homologs are constitutively active and require cell stimulation to generate ROS. In the resting state, critical protein substrates (e.g., p47phox, p40phox, and p67phox), and the small GTPase Rac1/2 of the enzyme are partitioned in the cytosol and spatially segregated from large catalytic core substrates (e.g., Nox-1 and Nox-2) that are integrated in the cell membrane (Fig. 5). Upon cell activation, the regulatory cytosolic substrates are assembled and translocated to the cell membrane where they dock with the Nox homolog. The fully assembled enzyme then generates superoxide via one-electron reduction of molecular oxygen using cytoplasmic NADPH as an electron donor [200,202,257]. While the signaling mechanisms that regulate NADPH oxidase assembly and activation are not completely understood, there is considerable evidence to support the potential involvement of a variety of factors in the activation of NADPH oxidase in tissues exposed to I/R. For example, the hypoxia that accompanies ischemia elicits the production and release of hypoxia inhibitory factor-1α (HIF-1α), which in turn promotes the production and activation of Nox [244,258]. This activation mechanism is reinforced by the existence of a positive feedback loop wherein Nox-derived ROS stimulates the production of HIF-1α [201,259]. Similarly, it has been demonstrated that the reduction in shear stress that occurs during ischemia, results in endothelial cell membrane depolarization via inactivation of ATP-sensitive potassium channels, which leads to activation of NADPH oxidase [260].

During ischemia and at the time of reperfusion, different chemical mediators with the potential to activate NADPH oxidase are produced and released by cells. The activation of phospholipase A2 that accompanies I/R results in the production of platelet activating factor (PAF) as well as the generation of arachidonic acid and its subsequent metabolism to thromboxane and leukotrienes (e.g., LT4), all of which engage with specific cell receptors and ultimately prime or activate NADPH oxidase [1,261–263]. Another consequence of I/R is activation of the complement system, which has also been implicated in the increased activity of NADPH oxidase in post-ischemic tissue [203,264]. Various cytokines (e.g., TNF-α, IL-1β) that are liberated from macrophages and mast cells following reperfusion have been shown to elicit both an increased activity and expression of NADPH oxidase [265–267], with cytochrome blockade resulting in an attenuation of reperfusion-induced, NADPH oxidase-dependent ROS production [268]. Finally, angiotensin II, perhaps the most studied and best characterized stimulant for NADPH oxidase activation [269], has been implicated in reperfusion-induced NADPH oxidase activation, inflammation, and injury in several tissues [270–274]. These effects are mediated via engagement of the angiotensin II-type-1 receptor and likely involve the local generation of angiotensin II via angiotensin converting enzyme and chymase during I/R. Collectively, the available data in the literature suggest that I/R leads to the production of a diverse group of chemical mediators with a shared capacity to enhance both the activity and expression of Nox (Fig. 5), enabling the enzyme to generate ROS and mediate reperfusion injury.

Much of the evidence supporting a role for NADPH oxidase in reperfusion injury has come from studies employing the pharmacological inhibitors, apocynin and DPI. Apocynin exerts its inhibitory effect on Nox by interfering with membrane translocation of p47phox and p67phox, while DPI, a flavoprotein inhibitor, alters the electron transport capacity of the enzyme [200,201,275,276]. Although both agents can effectively inhibit NADPH oxidase activity, they also exert other actions on cells that can make it difficult to attribute the actions specifically to Nox inhibition. For example, apocynin can act as an antioxidant, inhibit Rho kinase, and alter nitric oxide synthesis as well as arachidonic acid metabolism, while DPI inhibits a variety of flavoenzymes, including xanthine oxidase, nitric oxide synthase, and cytochrome P450 reductase, and inhibits mitochondrial enzymes [275–279]. The peptide inhibitors (e.g., PR-39 and gp91ds-tat) appear to offer greater specificity and some degree of selectivity to specific Nox
isoforms (e.g., Nox-2 inhibition by gp91ds-tat), and there is growing interest in developing small molecule Nox inhibitors with greater specificity and isoform selectivity that can be used in human trials of ischemic disease [200,201,280,281].

3.1.3. Mitochondria

Estimates of ROS production by mitochondria vary considerably, both quantitatively and qualitatively [282]. Some of this variability can be attributed to the lack of specificity and sensitivity of approaches used to measure the short lived ROS, particularly in vivo [283–285]. In addition, there are significant differences among species, tissues, cells, and even intracellular pools from which the mitochondria are derived [9,286,287]. Various isolation procedures may also impact mitochondrial structure and function [288,289]. Finally, the specific experimental conditions imposed (e.g., inhibitors of electron transport and uncoupling agents) can result in abnormal mitochondrial function [290]. Given these caveats, it can be argued that the use of isolated mitochondria, sub-mitochondrial particles, or purified mitochondrial components (e.g., electron transport chain or TCA cycle enzymes) may have very little bearing on mitochondrial function in a normal cellular and/or tissue milieu [290–292]. However, reductionist approaches have proven useful for dissecting the various cellular/molecular mechanisms involved in mitochondrial function. Examples of the utility of these approaches include the TCA cycle and the chemiosmotic mechanism of oxidative phosphorylation [288]. Similarly, these approaches have greatly fueled progress in understanding the mechanisms by which mitochondria generate ROS. Specific intra-mitochondrial sites of ROS production and their targets have been identified [293], and significant advancements have been made in our understanding of the contributions of mitochondria-derived ROS to both redox signaling [294–297] and I/R-induced pathology [9,295,296,298–300].

Mitochondria have been implicated as a major source of I/R-induced ROS production in a variety of organs (Table 2); especially in those that are highly metabolically active, i.e., heart and brain [9,290,296,298,301]. This is not entirely surprising given the general view that mitochondria most likely generate ROS during the course of normal oxidative phosphorylation. The rapid movement of electrons through the electron transport chain (ETC) of the inner mitochondrial membrane can result in the leakage of electrons that can form O$_2^-$ via univalent reduction of O$_2$ [282,290,295,302–308]. Mitochondria contain enzymes that can generate ROS both in the matrix (e.g., TCA cycle) and in the membrane (e.g., NADPH oxidase) [290,296,309]. Herein, we discuss the general characteristics of the various mitochondrial sources of ROS and their potential roles in I/R.

Mitochondria synthesize most of the cell’s ATP by oxidative phosphorylation. Catabolism of carbohydrates (glycolysis), lipids (β-oxidation of fatty acids), and proteins (glucogenic and ketogenic pathways) yields products that enter the TCA cycle in the mitochondrial matrix. Intermediates generated during the oxidation reactions of the TCA cycle donate their reducing equivalents (H$^+$ and electrons) to NAD$^+$ and FADH$_2$ to generate NADH and FADH$_2$. β-oxidation of fatty acids in the mitochondrial matrix also contributes to the matrix pool of NADH and FADH$_2$. Matrix NADH and FADH$_2$ feed electrons and H$^+$ into the ETC (Fig. 6). The ETC is comprised of a series of multi-subunit complexes (complexes I–IV) in the inner mitochondrial membrane that are coupled to mobile carriers (coenzyme Q and cytochrome c). The complexes and mobile carriers contain redox groups (Fe-S clusters and/or heme) that allow for the transfer of electrons along the components of the ETC [9,290,308]. They receive and transfer electrons in a sequence based on increasing redox potential (affinity for electrons).
and decreasing free energy. NADH delivers electrons to complex I while FADH₂ to complex II; electrons from these complexes are subsequently shuttled through complex III and IV. The energy released as electrons move through the ETC is used to transport H⁺ from the mitochondrial matrix to the inner membrane space. Since the inner mitochondrial membrane is impermeable to H⁺, a proton electrochemical gradient develops across the inner membrane with the inner membrane space more positive (and acidic) relative to the matrix. This proton-motive force is attributed primarily to the membrane potential (Δψ) with a minor contribution by the pH gradient (ΔpH). The proton-motive force is used to transport H⁺ through the membrane spanning complex, ATP synthase, thereby providing the energy for phosphorylation of ADP to form ATP.

Some of the mitochondrial complexes are organized into “supercomplexes” or “respiromomes”, rather than existing as independent complexes within the inner membrane. For example, complexes I, III, and IV exist both as free individual components and as supercomplexes containing various proportions of two (e.g., I–III) or three complexes (I–III–IV) [295,310–312]. Supercomplex assembly and integrity is dependent on cardiolipin, a phospholipid present in the mitochondrial inner membrane [313,314]. It is generally, albeit not universally [315], held that supercomplexes provide structural/functional linkages between the individual complexes thereby facilitating the tight coupling of electron transfer, with little or no leak of electrons [295,310–312]. Thus, during normal metabolic activity most, if not all, of the electrons entering the ETC converge on cytochrome c oxidase (complex IV) where they reduce O₂ to H₂O. Interestingly, it has been proposed that oxidative damage to cardiolipin during I/R can result in disassembly of complex I and III from the supercomplex, thereby increasing the probability of ROS generation [295,312].

All of the components of the ETC have redox potentials that render them capable of transferring single electrons to O₂ to form O₂·− [295,308]. Thus, the mitochondrial ETC is considered to be the major source of ROS during normal oxidative metabolism [9,290,296,298,308]. If mitochondria are respiring normally and generating ATP, H₂O₂ efflux from these organelles is minimal (0.1–0.2% of O₂ consumed) [286,295,308,316,317]. Furthermore, as mitochondrial respiration rate increases, ROS production tends to decrease [286,318]. Presumably, the low level of detectible ROS is due to the presence of an extensive intra-mitochondrial antioxidant system [282,304] (Fig. 7). In order for mitochondrial ROS production to increase sufficiently to overcome the endogenous antioxidant system and achieve detectable levels, significant changes must occur, including exhaustion of the antioxidant systems, substrate modulation, and/or inhibition of ETC complexes [286,318].

Substrate modulation or inhibition of individual mitochondrial complexes is commonly used to identify the specific complexes that are responsible for ROS production by the ETC. For example, under normal conditions, mitochondria from the heart, brain, or kidney produce larger amounts of H₂O₂ in the presence of succinate (targeting complex II), when compared to α-ketoglutarate (targeting complex I) [286]. Pharmacologic blockade of a distal complex results in the reduction of the proximal complexes (e.g., backup of electrons). The more reduced the proximal complexes become, the more likely these complexes will leak electrons and generate O₂·−.
from O$_2$. Based on these approaches, the major sites of O$_3^-$ production appear to be complexes I and III [282,290,304–306,308,319]. Complex I releases O$_3^-$ into the matrix, while complex III releases O$_3^-$ into both the matrix and the intermembrane space. Complex II which is a component of both the ETC and TCA cycle (succinate dehydrogenase) is generally dismissed as a major source of ROS, since excessive substrate (succinate) has to be supplied for complex II to generate O$_3^-$ [290]. However, it must be noted that others consider complex II as a reasonable source of electron leak, since the succinate concentrations used are within limits achieved in the heart and brain, particularly during ischemia [149,305,320].

In addition, complex II may be an indirect source of electron leak via complex I by reverse electron transport (RET). RET is an energy requiring process by which electrons entering complex II (via succinate oxidation), reach coenzyme Q (CoQ) and, instead of proceeding forward to complex III, are directed back to complex I [307,308] (Fig. 6). Complex IV (cytochrome c oxidase) tends to tightly sequester electrons for the complete reduction of O$_2$ to H$_2$O, and thus is not believed to be a physiologic source of electron leak [321]. However, there is evidence that phosphorylation of complex IV can alter its function such that it may generate O$_3^-$, particularly during hypoxia [322]. In summary, all the complexes have the redox components needed to generate ROS, but the general consensus holds that complexes I and III are the major potential sources under normal conditions [282,290,291,306,308].

O$_3^-$ production by complexes I and III appears to be directly related to the membrane potential ($\Delta \psi$) and/or the redox state of NADH/NAD$^+$. Specifically, superoxide production by complex I is optimized by a high matrix NADH/NAD$^+$ ratio (e.g., ischemia) and/or a high $\Delta \psi$ (e.g., non-phosphorylating conditions) both of which favor reduction of electron carriers [282,305,307,308]. A high NADH/NAD$^+$ ratio favors excessive movement of electrons through complex I and, if downstream electron carriers are reduced, the likelihood of superoxide production increases. Another mechanism by which complex I generates O$_3^-$ is by RET via complex II; usually requiring succinate as a substrate [305,308]. RET is facilitated by a reduced CoQ and a high $\Delta \psi$. The high membrane potential provides the required energy to drive electrons in reverse from CoQ to complex I (RET). This process has been shown to produce a substantial amount of O$_3^-$ in brain, heart, muscle and liver mitochondria [308]. The Q-cycle mechanism, which involves oxidation/reduction cycles of quinone, accounts for superoxide generation by complex III. This redox cycling of quinones generates unstable semiquinone intermediates at the cytoplasmic and matrix aspects of the inner mitochondrial membrane [282,290,291,295,298]. These semiquinones are believed to be the sources of O$_3^-$ generation, particularly during conditions associated with an increase in $\Delta \psi$ [295,298]. The superoxide generated at complex III is directed to either the matrix or intermembrane space; with the latter target likely favored [282,298].

The direct relationship between mitochondrial $\Delta \psi$ and ROS production [283,318] provides the basis for the notion that uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation blunts mitochondrial O$_3^-$ production (Fig. 6) [290,308]. Mitochondrial uncoupling involves an increase in permeability of the inner membrane to H$^+$, thereby dissipating the H$^+$ gradient necessary for ATP synthesis. Indeed, mitochondrial uncoupling proteins (UCPs) are believed to function as regulators of mitochondrial ROS production [323]. In this scenario, excessive mitochondrial ROS production leads to an increase in UCP, which promotes protein leak back into the matrix; thereby reducing the $\Delta \psi$ (Fig. 6) [324–326]. A strategy of "mild" uncoupling is envisioned that reduces ROS production without compromising mitochondrial ATP production. Although uncoupling has been proposed as an endogenous protective mechanism in both mitochondrial physiology and pathology [323], it appears to be dysfunctional in I/R. For example, while uncoupling does reduce ROS production under basal conditions, uncoupling has no effect on ROS production by mitochondria isolated from I/R-challenged hearts [327].

It is fairly well established that I/R can alter mitochondrial structure and function. Mitochondria from I/R-challenged hearts exhibit deranged cristae, decreased number of supercomplexes and compromised ETC activity during the ischemic period. These ultrastructural and functional defects partially recover upon reperfusion, and are accompanied by increased O$_3^-$ generation [287,292,328–332]. It has been proposed that ischemic damage to complex I and III enhances their capacity to generate O$_3^-$, setting the stage for a burst of ROS production upon reperfusion [331]. Isolated supercomplex I–III from mitochondria obtained from reperfused hearts can generate O$_3^-$ [328]. When the two individual complexes are separated from the supercomplex, both complex I and complex III still generate O$_3^-$ [328,329]. It has been proposed that upon reperfusion, partial recovery of ETC activity without supercomplex re-assembly results in impaired oxidative phosphorylation and enhanced O$_3^-$ production [329,330]. Moreover, the enhanced production of ROS by ETC complexes may in turn damage adjacent complexes, propagating ETC dysfunction and amplifying ROS production [292].

All of the ETC complexes have been implicated as both sources and targets of the ROS generated during I/R [287,298,321,333,334]. Consequently, considerable effort has been devoted to defining the mechanism(s) by which ETC complexes are targeted by ROS and can act as initiators of mitochondrial ROS production. Oxidant challenge of submitochondrial particles (membranes containing ETC complexes) inactivates various complexes; with hydroxyl radical being more potent than O$_3^-$ or H$_2$O$_2$ [335]. Other redox-sensitive mechanisms have also been implicated in I/R-induced ROS production by mitochondrial ETC, and there is evidence favoring a role for ROS-induced peroxidation of cardiolipin and/or alterations in the phosphorylation status of the complexes. Cardiolipin, a phospholipid of the inner mitochondrial membrane, is critical for normal activity of the ETC complexes [313,314]. I/R-induced decreases in the activities of complexes I and III are associated with cardiolipin peroxidation [328,330,336,337]. Liposomal delivery of exogenous intact cardiolipin, but not oxidized cardiolipin, can restore complex I and III activities. Cardiolipin is also important for stabilization of complex IV and oxidation of cardiolipin will lead to disassembly of complex IV, thereby decreasing its activity [321]. Collectively, these observations support the contention that cardiolipin is critical for complex assembly, stability, and appropriate electron transfer. I/R-induced oxidative stress results in peroxidation of cardiolipin, rendering it ineffective in stabilizing the complexes, and upon disassembly the complexes generate additional ROS causing progressive mitochondrial dysfunction [295,321,337,338].

All of the ETC complexes (complexes I–V) contain potential sites for phosphorylation (e.g., serine, threonine residues), and it has been proposed that either translocated or resident kinases/phosphatases can regulate the phosphorylation status [339]. For example, the redox-sensitive δPKC translocates to the mitochondria after reperfusion of the ischemic myocardium where it initiates O$_3^-$ production [340]. Moreover, in mitochondria isolated from (1) hearts challenged with I/R or (2) cells grown under hypoxic conditions, complex IV (cytochrome c oxidase) is phosphorylated [322]. In both situations complex IV activity is compromised and there is an increase in O$_3^-$ production. The I/R-induced complex IV hyper-phosphorylation and inactivation, enhanced ROS production, and myocardial injury are mitigated by pharmacologic inhibition of protein kinase A [322,341]. Of note, the hypoxia-induced increase in mitochondrial PKA activity has been attributed to local generation of ROS [342]. Based on the available data, it has been proposed that during ischemia the ETC complexes are de-phosphorylated (at least complex IV) by
phosphatases (priming) and, with reperfusion, the primed complexes generate excessive ROS [9].

ETC complexes may also serve as the initial generators of ROS in postischemic tissue. As mentioned above, the activities of the ETC complexes are diminished during ischemia and then recover upon reperfusion [329]. When the reactivation of complex I is delayed, the reperfusion-induced generation of H$_2$O$_2$ is prevented and myocardial infarct size is reduced [343]. Additional support for a primary role for ETC complexes in initiating mitochondrial ROS production stems from a metabolomic analysis of various tissues subjected to ischemia [149]. A common metabolite that was increased in ischemic heart, brain, kidney, and liver was the TCA cycle intermediate, succinate. The increase in succinate accumulation was attributed to a reversal of succinate dehydrogenase activity by a switch to anaerobic metabolism during ischemia. Prevention of succinate accumulation during the ischemic period abolished mitochondrial H$_2$O$_2$ production upon reperfusion and ameliorated the injury in heart and brain. Moreover, pharmacologic inhibition of complex I has led to the proposal that the oxidation of succinate by complex II upon reperfusion results in RET through complex I and ROS generation [149]. Interestingly, succinate accumulation in the neonatal brain after ischemia/hypoxia (I/H) appears to be beneficial in limiting infarct size [344]. Unilateral common carotid artery occlusion followed by systemic hypoxia (no reperfusion) resulted in transiently increased succinate concentrations in the penumbral region within 90 min and significantly reduced infarct size at 4 days post-I/H. The protective effect of succinate was attributed to the ability of this metabolite to induce angiogenesis. Collectively, these observations indicate that the increase in succinate levels during ischemia results in enhanced mitochondrial ROS production and more tissue injury upon reperfusion, yet in the absence of reperfusion succinate appears to prevent expansion of ischemic injury by restoring the energy status in the affected region via angiogenesis.

There are a variety of other mitochondrial sources of ROS that may also contribute to the accelerated ROS production associated with I/R. For example, Nox4 has been implicated as a source of mitochondrial ROS generation [290,296], presumably due to its localization in mitochondria [345,346]. However, Nox4 has also been localized to the plasma membrane as well as ER and microsomal membranes [346,347]. As addressed above, the role of Nox-4 in I/R-induced tissue injury is controversial, with some studies demonstrating protection [256] and others showing no protection [253] against the injury response in Nox-4 deficient mice. Despite the absence of a consensus regarding the role of Nox-4 in I/R-induced oxidant stress and tissue injury, the multiple loci of Nox4 makes it difficult to ascribe a specific role for mitochondria-associated Nox-4 as a mediator of this response.

Monoamine oxidase (MAO), localized on the outer mitochondrial membrane, is known to generate H$_2$O$_2$ as an obligatory byproduct of oxidative deamination of neurotransmitters and other biogenic amines [290,295,296,348]. As expected, MAO is prevalent in brain neurons; but it is also expressed in peripheral tissues (e.g., heart, kidney, liver, and intestine). There are two isoforms with different substrate specificities: MAO-A (e.g., norepinephrine and dopamine) and MAO-B (e.g., serotonin and histamine). Over-expression of cardiac MAO or dopamine administration can trigger H$_2$O$_2$ production by cardiomyocyte mitochondria [285,349], while knockdown of MAO-A (microRNA) reduces neuroblastoma cell ROS production by 50% [350]. Of particular relevance are the observations that pharmacologic blockade of MAO can ameliorate I/R-induced injury to the heart [351,352] and brain [353,354].

The p66$^{\text{Shc}}$ protein is an isoform of the adaptor protein ShcA that can be activated by ROS (among other apoptotic stimuli) and, in turn, generate ROS [290,295]. There appear to be cytoplasmic, ER, and mitochondrial pools of inactive p66$^{\text{Shc}}$ [355,356]. In response to oxidative stress signals, there is an increase in the mitochondrial pool of p66$^{\text{Shc}}$ [356] where it interacts with cytochrome c of the ETC [355]. Active p66$^{\text{Shc}}$ diverts electrons from the ETC by oxidizing cytochrome c and reducing O$_2$ to O$_2$/H$_2$O$_2$ [355,356]. In addition to generating ROS, it can also inhibit transcriptional upregulation of antioxidant enzymes [357]. Genetic ablation of p66$^{\text{Shc}}$ decreases oxidant stress in various cells and tissues [358–360]. Furthermore, mice deficient in p66$^{\text{Shc}}$ exhibit less I/R injury in heart [359] or skeletal muscle [358].

The structurally related pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) and α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (α-KDH) complexes, as well as other NAD-linked dehydrogenases, are capable of producing O$_2$/H$_2$O$_2$ under appropriate experimental conditions (e.g., high substrate and increased NADH/NAD$^+$ ratio) [361–363]. The ROS generating capabilities of PDH and α-KDH are attributed to the dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase subunit, common to both [364]. Under optimum conditions, the maximum achievable rates of ROS generation by PDH and α-KDH into the matrix can exceed that of complex I [361]. α-glycerophosphate dehydrogenase (α-GPD) is another NAD-linked dehydrogenase that is localized to the outer surface of the inner membrane and capable of generating ROS primarily into the intermembrane space [317,362]. It has been proposed that the NAD-linked dehydrogenases may make a significant contribution to mitochondrial ROS production in post-ischemic tissue, since the mitochondrial NADH/NAD$^+$ ratio is elevated in response to ischemia/hypoxia [362]. However, the only experimental evidence that supports this contention is the observation that pharmacologic inhibition of α-KDH can protect against hypoxia- or H$_2$O$_2$–induced microglial ROS production and death [365].

The TCA enzymes located in the mitochondrial matrix, particularly aconitate, are sensitive to oxidant-mediated inactivation [366]. Consequently, aconitate inactivation is often used as a marker for mitochondrial oxidant stress. Aconitate has also been proposed as a source of ROS [290,298]. The enzyme contains an iron-sulfur cluster in its active site, which can be disassembled upon exposure to O$_2$/H$_2$O$_2$, releasing free Fe$^{2+}$ and H$_2$O$_2$ to generate hydroxyl radicals via Fe(II) chemistry [367,368]. An assessment of aconitate stability and activity in intact mitochondria isolated from hearts subjected to 30 min coronary occlusion followed by reperfusion has revealed a reduction in aconitate activity, with no evidence of disassembly of the iron-sulfur cluster or degradation of the enzyme [369]. These findings do not preclude a possible role for aconitate-derived oxidants in I/R injury after longer durations (> 30 min) of ischemia.

Irrespective of the source, the net production of ROS by mitochondria is determined by the relative rates of ROS generation (Fig. 6) and scavenging (Fig. 7) [300,304]. O$_2$ is dismutated to H$_2$O$_2$ by the SOD isoenzymes; Mn–SOD in the matrix and CuZn–SOD in the inner membrane space and cytosol. H$_2$O$_2$ is converted to H$_2$O by the glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and peroxiredoxin (Prx)/thioredoxin (Trx) systems. Both of these thiol-based scavenging systems are dependent on a reducing environment maintained by a high NADPH/NADP$^+$ ratio. The generation NADPH from NADP$^+$ is, in turn, dependent on several mitochondrial enzymes, such as, NADP-transhydrosynetase (NNT), which converts NADP to NADPH (Fig. 7). Interestingly, both electron transport through the ETC (increased potential for ROS production) and the activity of NNT (increased anti-oxidant status) are dependent on NADH (e.g., Krebs cycle). It has been proposed that this coupling minimizes net ROS production by energized mitochondria that are generating ATP; referred to as the “redox-optimized ROS balance” [306,370–372]. In mitochondria isolated from I/R-challenged hearts, the redox-optimized ROS balance is altered in favor of ROS production [327]. During ischemia, the mitochondrial NADPH pool is decreased and does not recover upon reperfusion. Predictably,
mitochondrial ROS production is increased after I/R; a response that is attributed to depletion of the thiol-based antioxidant pool [327]. In addition, I/R challenge of thioredoxin reductase 2 deficient hearts enhances both mitochondrial and myocardial dysfunction; effects that are corrected by thiol supplementation [373]. Finally, the protection against I/R-induced cardiac arrhythmia and infarction that is afforded by exercise has been attributed to enhanced glutathione reductase activity [374].

Of the numerous potential sources of mitochondrial ROS production during I/R, the ETC appears to play a dominant role and the most extensively studied [9,290,296,298,301]. The tendency of various ETC complexes to generate ROS in response to ROS exposure underlies the notion that mitochondrial ROS production is a self-amplifying process. This amplification of ROS production by the ETC has been termed “ROS-induced ROS release” (RIRR) [290,375–381]. Laser-excitation of cardiac mitochondria results in a gradual increase in ROS production, and when a “threshold” level is achieved, a rapid loss of \( \Delta \psi \) and a burst in ROS production ensues [375,377]. The time required to reach threshold levels is indirectly proportional to glutathione levels [375], indicating that once the mitochondrial ROS scavenging mechanisms are overwhelmed a burst of ROS production occurs [372]. A similar phenomenon has been noted in cardiomyocytes exposed to hypoxia followed by reoxygenation (Fig. 8) [382]. The coincidence of the increased ROS production with the collapse of \( \Delta \psi \) is inconsistent with the prevailing view that ROS production increases exponentially with increases in \( \Delta \psi \) (see inset in Fig. 6). The only explanation that has been offered for the unexpected findings is the induction of “extreme disequilibrium” by H/R [290]. Interestingly, an analogous situation is noted when mitochondria are exposed to uncoupling agents. As discussed above, uncoupling of the ETC reduces \( \Delta \psi \) and ROS production by mitochondria derived from normal hearts, but not in mitochondria from hearts subjected to I/R [327].

Since the mitochondria in cardiomyocytes are arranged in a three-dimensional lattice near the myofilaments, both the \( \Delta \psi \) depolarization and ROS production spread to adjacent mitochondria within the myocyte after exposure to H/R (Fig. 8). The spread of ROS from one mitochondrion to another is attributed to the opening of pores/channels in the inner mitochondrial membrane, which allows for inter-mitochondrial signaling. Both an inner membrane anion channel (IMAC) and the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (MPTP) have been implicated in RIRR within mitochondrial networks [290,378]. These two channels appear to operate sequentially; contingent on the thiol redox status of the mitochondrial matrix and cytoplasm [383]. Moderate reductions in the GSH/GSSG ratio open the IMAC, while more severe reductions open the MPTP [383]. Opening the IMAC is associated with transient depolarizations that develop into sustained oscillations in \( \Delta \psi \) and ROS that spread within mitochondrial networks, eventually becoming a cell-wide phenomenon. In cardiomyocytes, these oscillations in RIRR and \( \Delta \psi \) induce abnormal electrical events (e.g., action potential duration) [377] which can be linked to arrhythmias in intact hearts subjected to various oxidant stresses, including I/R [378,384,385]. Opening the MPTP is associated with a more drastic and sustained depolarization, with the eventual collapse of \( \Delta \psi \), a burst of ROS production, and ultimately cell death (Fig. 8) [375]. There is a direct relationship between the number of mitochondria exhibiting \( \Delta \psi \) collapse/ROS production and myocyte dysfunction/death [382,386,387]. Interestingly, a variety of pharmaceutical agents shown to exert protection against I/R-induced infarcts in brain and heart appear to increase the threshold for ROS-induced MPTP opening [382].

In silico approaches incorporating in vivo and ex vivo data predict that IMAC and MPTP work in tandem to initiate and propagate mitochondrial network excitability [388]. Specifically, the model predicts that mild \( O_2^- \) transients elicit opening of IMAC and oscillations in \( \Delta \psi \). Once the thiol-scavenging systems are overwhelmed, there is a larger burst of \( O_2^-/H_2O_2 \), which opens the MPTP and leads to more dramatic and sustained depolarizations (and eventual collapse) of the \( \Delta \psi \) and ultimately mitochondrial (and eventually cellular) demise. However an alternative mechanism has been proposed for RIRR within mitochondrial networks that involve only one channel/pore, the MPTP. In this scheme, the transient oscillatory \( O_2^- \) waves and \( \Delta \psi \) transients are attributed to reversible openings of the MPTP [290,389]. Again, once the redox balance is tipped in favor of ROS, the MPTP opening remains stable resulting in propagation of the redox and \( \Delta \psi \) waves throughout the mitochondrial networks [290].

A major obstacle to identifying the specific channels/pores by which RIRR is transmitted throughout the mitochondrial network is uncertainty about the molecular identity of the MPTP [390,391] and IMAC [377]. Current bias favors the F-ATP synthase (complex V) as a major component of the MPTP [392], while the molecular structure of the IMAC remains more ambiguous [290]. The current approach used to determine the contribution of a particular channel/probe largely relies on drugs that are assumed to specifically target relevant structures and/or their modulators. Another problem clouding this issue is the specificity of the probes used to measure ROS waves or transients. Transient \( O_2^- \) generation by mitochondria has been described in a variety of cell types and these \( O_2^- \) “flashes” are enhanced in cardiomyocytes challenged with A/R [393]. However, it is unclear whether the probe is

---

**Fig. 8.** Hypoxia/reoxygenation (H/R): mitochondrial ROS-induced ROS release leading to cell death. (Panel A) H/R-induced increase in ROS and decrease in membrane potential (\( \Delta \psi \)) of a single mitochondrion of a cardiomyocyte. Immediately upon reoxygenation there is an increase \( \Delta \psi \) and gradual increase in ROS. Once a threshold level of ROS is achieved, ROS generation increases sharply and \( \Delta \psi \) falls precipitously. (Panel B) H/R-induced loss of \( \Delta \psi \) and ROS in a network of mitochondria within a cardiomyocyte. Numerous mitochondria that are completely depolarized (dark areas in upper panel) are associated with ROS production (green areas in lower panel). (Panel C) H/R-induced cell death. Several hours after reoxygenation about 2/3 of the cardiomyocytes are dead. The number of cells dying after H/R is reduced by an inhibitor of MPT (cyclosporine A; CSA). Adapted from Juhaszova et al. [382].
detecting $O_2^\cdot$ or pH transients [389,394]. Thus, a firmer understanding of the mechanisms involved in RIRR during I/R await the development of probes that can accurately and specifically assess transient $O_2^\cdot$ generation by mitochondria as well as identification of the structural equivalent of the relevant channel/pore.

### 3.1.4. Nitric oxide synthase (NOS)

There are three recognized isoforms of NOS, neuronal NOS (nNOS), endothelial NOS (eNOS), and inducible NOS (iNOS) [395,396]. In general, eNOS and nNOS are constitutively expressed, while iNOS is inducible. As their designation implies, eNOS is primarily expressed in endothelial cells and nNOS is primarily localized to brain/neurons. However, their organ/cellular distribution is more ubiquitous, e.g., both nNOS and eNOS are present in cardiomyocytes. Moreover, the subcellular localization of eNOS and nNOS can change with cell activation [397]. Finally, while iNOS is primarily induced in immune cells, such as macrophages, its expression can be increased in diverse cell populations and tissues [398,399]. The existence of a mitochondrial NOS isoform (mtNOS) has been proposed, but this is still debated [295,399]. A specific gene for mtNOS has not been identified and mitochondria-associated NOS (when noted) may actually be one of the recognized isoforms, e.g., nNOS or iNOS [400,401].

All NOS isoforms generate nitric oxide (NO) via the oxidation of L-arginine. In general, eNOS and nNOS generate small amounts of NO primarily for physiologic cell signaling, while iNOS produces larger amounts that have potentially detrimental (e.g., cytotoxic) consequences for target cells/tissues. NO, either derived from eNOS (or nNOS) or exogenously administered as pharmaceutical NO-donors, appears to be protective against I/R-induced injury in different organs of experimental animals [402–406] and humans [407]. The general consensus is that NO is protective in I/R by virtue of its anti-oxidant (neutralization of $O_2^\cdot$) and anti-inflammatory (inhibition of neutrophil adhesion/emigration) [408,409] properties. Some of the findings that appear to disagree with the beneficial effects of NO in I/R have been attributed, in part, to mislocalization and timing of the NO supplementation [410]. In addition, the beneficial effects of NO activity can be negated (or even reversed) when NO is converted to a $O_2^\cdot$ generating enzyme; the net result is a reduction in cellular NO with a concomitant increase in $O_2^\cdot$ [395,396,411,412]. This enzymatic conversion is referred to as NO uncoupling and it has been implicated in I/R-induced ROS generation.

All NOS isoforms contain both an oxygenase and reductase domain (Fig. 9) [395,396]. The reductase domain contains the flavins (FAD and FMN) and binds NADPH, while the oxygenase domain contains heme and tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) and binds arginine. Arginine serves as the substrate, $O_2$ and NADPH as co-substrates, while BH4 serves as a cofactor (Fig. 9B). Normally, electrons from NADPH are transferred through the reductase domain (via flavins) to the prosthetic heme in the oxidase domain. $O_2$ binds to the heme and is reduced to form a ferrous–dioxogen complex; a step facilitated by BH4. This activation of $O_2$ allows for arginine oxidation and results in the formation of NO and citrulline. Thus, $O_2$ reduction at the heme catalytic site is normally coupled to the generation NO [395,396,411]. When electron flow to $O_2$ is “uncoupled” from arginine oxidation, the reduced $O_2$ is released from the heme as $O_2^\cdot$. NOS uncoupling can involve structural (dimer disassembly) and/or functional (insufficient cofactors) alterations of the enzyme. While the uncoupling of NOS can be demonstrated with each of the three isomers, the pathologic consequences of eNOS uncoupling has received the most attention.

NOS is present in cells either as a monomer or homodimer. The dimer couples $O_2$ reduction to the synthesis of NO because the transfer of electrons occurs in trans from the reductase of one monomer to the oxygenase of the adjacent monomer. The monomer in isolation cannot couple $O_2$ reduction to NO synthesis and generates $O_2^\cdot$ instead [395,396]. Since the activity of NOS as a monomer is relatively weak, only small amounts of $O_2^\cdot$ are most likely formed [395]. However, the net result may not be inconsequential, i.e., a decrease in cellular NO with a concomitant increase in $O_2^\cdot$ would favor ROS-induced damage to cellular constituents. It has been argued that once a dimer is formed it is relatively stable and significant reversal to the monomeric form is unlikely to occur in vivo [395]. This contention is supported by the observation that, in a cell-free system or in isolated endothelial cells, NOS uncoupling and $O_2^\cdot$ production can occur in the absence of any dimer conversion to monomers [413]. However, in both in vivo and in vitro models of I/R the dimer/monomer ratio falls and can be rescued by maneuvers that re-couple NOS and prevent I/R injury [414,415]. Regardless of the relative importance of dimer disassembly, significant functional uncoupling of NOS can occur when either substrates or cofactors are limiting.

BH4, a NOS cofactor required for NO synthesis and release [414,415], is synthesized from GTP via a pathway in which GTP cyclohydrolase I (GTPCH) is the rate-limiting enzyme; cellular BH4 levels are directly correlated with GTPCH protein [417]. A strict stoichiometric relationship between BH4 and eNOS dictates whether NOS is predominantly a NO or $O_2^\cdot$ generating enzyme [414,416,418]. Decreases in the local BH4/NOS ratio increase the probability of NOS producing $O_2^\cdot$ [414,419]. Thus, simply increasing
eNOS protein levels in endothelial cells either as a result of increasing shear stress [420] or overexpression of eNOS [419] without concomitant increases in GTPCH protein/activity and BH4 leads to NOS uncoupling, i.e., decrease in dimer/monomer ratio and $O_2^-$ production [419,420]. However, oxidative stress (e.g., I/R) decreases cellular BH4 levels, reflecting the oxidation of BH4 to BH2 [411,416]. BH2 can occupy the BH4 binding site on NOS, but it is not catalytically active, resulting in NOS uncoupling. Thus, in response to oxidative stress, a positive feedback loop can be initiated in which $O_2^-$ production by uncoupled NOS leads to further BH4 oxidation to BH2 and the propagation of NOS uncoupling (analogous to mitochondrial RIRR). In the face of this stress, cells are capable of maintaining BH4 levels by recycling BH2 to BH4 via dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) [421]. Based on these responses, it is proposed that the ratio of BH4 to BH2 plays a more important role in maintaining NOS coupling than the absolute level of BH4 [421].

The impact of BH4 levels on NOS uncoupling has been assessed in both in vitro and in vivo models of I/R. BH4 content and NOS activity in isolated rat hearts subjected to ischemia fall in parallel and these changes are accompanied by large increases in NOS-derived $O_2^-$ production [422]. A reduction in the BH4/BH2 ratio and NOS uncoupling has also been noted in an in vitro model of I/R (Fig. 10) [423]. The I/R-induced reduction in BH4 has been attributed to $O_2^-$ generated by either xanthine oxidase [423] or NADPH oxidase [424]. BH4 supplementation can re-couple NOS and ameliorate the I/R-induced cardiac inflammation and tissue damage [414,425–427]. BH4 supplementation, either directly or via over-expression of GTPCH, is also effective in preventing cardiomyocyte or endothelial cell cytotoxicity in in vitro models of I/R [415,423,426]. Similarly, substrates for BH4 synthesis (sepiapterin and folate) have been shown to protect against I/R-induced myocardial inflammation and contractile dysfunction [428,429]. Similar observations have been noted in I/R models in the kidney [430], liver [431], and skeletal muscle [432]. Finally, if BH4 levels are reduced and eNOS is uncoupled prior to I/R, the resultant injury responses in both liver and heart are exacerbated [433].

Arginine, serving as the nitrogen donor, is required for the synthesis of NO by NOS. Arginine is also a substrate for arginase present in mammalian tissues in two isoforms, arginase I and II [434,435]. Arginase I is a cytosolic enzyme that generates urea for detoxification of ammonia and, while primarily localized in hepatocytes, is also present in other cell types (e.g., endothelium, cardiomyocyte, and vascular smooth muscle). Arginase II is a ubiquitously expressed mitochondrial enzyme that generates ornithine, the precursor to polyamines that are involved in cell proliferation, stress responses, and general maintenance. Since the two isoforms share close to 60% homology [434,435], isoform-specific inhibitors are lacking [435,436]. This makes it difficult to ascribe a role for either isoform and thus herein both isoforms will simply be referred to as arginase.
It is widely held that when arginine activity is increased it can consume an amount of arginine that is sufficient to limit NO production by NOS [396,416,434,435]. This “arginine steal” mechanism not only decreases NO production but also increases O$_2^\cdot$ generation by uncoupling NOS. After reperfusion of ischemic liver [437] or heart [434,438,439], arginase activity increases, arginine levels and NO production decrease, and O$_2^\cdot$ generation increases, providing support for an “arginine steal” mechanism of NOS uncoupling. Pharmacologic inhibition of arginase or arginine supplementation restores the ability of NOS to generate NO and ameliorates various indices of tissue injury. Endothelium-dependent vasodilation is impaired by I/R in porcine coronary arteries [440] and in the radial arteries of patients with coronary artery disease [441]; an effect that is ameliorated by arginase inhibition. Finally, inhibition of arginase in blood-perfused, but not saline-perfused, hearts has been shown to rescue I/R-induced myocardial dysfunction [442]. When RBCs from wild type mice were used to perfuse hearts from eNOS deficient mice, arginase blockade was effective in ameliorating the I/R-induced dysfunction. However, when RBCs derived from eNOS deficient mice were used in wild type heart preparations, arginase blockade was not effective. These observations suggest that RBCs contain functional eNOS, which can significantly increase NO bioactivity in the reperfused myocardium.

There are conflicting reports concerning the importance of arginase in NOS uncoupling. For example, in liver, brain, and kidney, arginine activity is not increased when measured 24 h after reperfusion [443,444]. This can be attributed, in part, to the biphasic changes in arginine activity following I/R; there is a transient increase in activity which returns to basal levels by 24 h of reperfusion [445–447], followed by a second increase in enzyme activity days later [439,447,448]. Moreover, the “arginine steal” mechanism does not appear to play an important role in NOS uncoupling in kidney parenchyma; neither arginase administration [449,450] nor arginine supplementation [448] alters the changes in renal histopathology and inflammation induced by I/R. Whether this diminished capacity for an “arginine steal” is related to the fact that the kidney is the predominant site of arginine production in the body is unclear. Finally, in both rats and pigs, administration of either arginine or BH$_4$ alone, either prior to or at the time of reperfusion, do not affect the extent of myocardial infarction induced by I/R; infarct size was reduced only following treatment with a combination of arginine and BH$_4$ [451]. It was proposed that arginine alone was ineffective because arginine activity was increased by I/R. However, it is possible that the combination of arginine and BH$_4$ is required to effectively couple NOS. Systemic administration of arginine/BH$_4$ was shown to be effective in the rat, but not in the pig, where intraocular administration was required for an effect [451]. This was attributed to the 10-fold greater collateral flow in the rat than pig, thus allowing the systemically administered NOS couplers more ready access to the area at risk than in the rat. From the above, it is apparent that more work is warranted to clarify the role of arginase in NOS uncoupling, keeping in mind the biphasic changes in arginine activity, the species and route of administration of inhibitors, and the organ system under study.

4. Interactions between ROS producing enzymes

Most studies addressing the role of oxidative stress in experimental models of reperfusion injury have focused on (and implicated) a single enzymatic source of ROS. However, there are several reports that describe a similar protective effect of multiple treatments that target different sources of ROS, such as mitochondrial complex 1 (rotenone) xanthine oxidase (allopurinol) or Nox (apocynin) [268,452–456]. While the ability of the different agents to each afford nearly complete protection in a model of I/R injury may reflect some degree of non-specificity of the reagents, a more likely explanation is redox signaling that enables ROS production by one enzymatic source to activate and enhance ROS production by a second source. The possibility of such interactions between the four major sources of ROS that have been implicated in I/R injury is supported by evidence that H$_2$O$_2$, a readily diffusible primary or secondary product of all four enzymatic sources, can act as an intracellular messenger that mediates ROS-induced ROS production, thereby propagating its own accumulation within cells [457]. The net result of such a signaling mechanism would be a significant amplification of ROS production in response to a pro-oxidative insult or condition. While it remains unclear whether interactions between ROS sources does indeed make a quantitatively important contribution to the magnitude of the oxidative stress elicited by I/R, there is ample evidence (summarized in several recent reviews) [458–460] to suggest that ROS-induced ROS production warrants attention in this field of investigation. Some noteworthy examples of the interactions that may occur between ROS sources after I/R are briefly discussed below.

Interactions between Nox and mitochondria have been extensively studied. This work has revealed that NADPH oxidase (Nox-1 or -2) activation can lead to enhanced mitochondrial ROS (mtROS) formation via a signaling mechanism that involves Nox-derived hydrogen peroxide activating ATP sensitive K+ channels in the mitochondrial membrane and opening the MPTP, with the subsequent release of mtROS into the cytosol [461]. Similarly, an enhancement of mtROS production elicited by hypoxia has been shown to activate Nox via a protein kinase C dependent mechanism that yields the phosphorylation of cytosolic Nox subunits and their subsequent translocation to the cell membrane [460,462]. The two-way interactions, or cross-talk, between mitochondria and Nox has given rise to the concept that activation of one enzymatic source of ROS can activate other sources and a vicious cycle of enhanced cellular ROS production in response to stimuli such as I/R. The critical role of mitochondrial ROS in such a signaling process that amplifies cellular ROS production in response to either angiotensin II [463] or hypoxia [462] is supported by studies that have employed targeted over-expression or inhibition of either MnSOD or glutathione peroxidase in mitochondria. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that depletion of mitochondrial SOD (MnSOD) enhances Nox activity, while MnSOD overexpression blunts the activation of Nox [463].

Enhanced mitochondrial ROS production has also been linked to the conversion of XDH to XO in a rat model of volume overload (VO) induced heart failure [464]. The study revealed that XO inhibition with allopurinol attenuates the mitochondrial dysfunction that is associated with VO and that cyclic stretch of cardiomyocytes activates XO through a mechanism dependent on mitochondria. These findings are consistent with a self-perpetuating cycle by which activated XO produces ROS that damage mitochondria, that in turn causes further ROS production and XO activation [464].

While there is considerable evidence (described above) that implicates XO-derived ROS in the recruitment and activation of leukocytes, less is known about the potential for leukocyte-associated Nox to activate endothelial cell XO and vice versa. The potential for such an interaction is supported by studies demonstrating that activated adherent neutrophils elicit the conversion of XDH to XO in endothelial cells and induce endothelial cell injury via a XO dependent mechanism [465–467]. Activated leukocytes, when firmly adherent to endothelial cells, result in a significant increase in the intracellular concentration of H$_2$O$_2$, of which approximately 60% is derived from the leukocyte and 40% from endothelial cell XO [467]. The possibility that neutrophil (Nox)-
derived ROS mediates these responses was addressed by treating the activated neutrophils with antioxidant enzymes (SOD, catalase), which failed to confer protection. Similarly, exposure of endothelial cells to relevant concentrations of exogenous H$_2$O$_2$ failed to recapitulate the responses elicited by activated neutrophils [465]. However, some reports have attributed the neutrophil-mediated XDH to XO conversion to neutrophil-derived elastase [468], while other studies fail to implicate elastase, but invoke a role for tyrosine kinase activation or increased intracellular calcium in the endothelial cell response [466,467]. Consequently, while the cross-talk between adherent activated neutrophils and endothelial cell XO may not be explained by ROS-activated ROS production, it appears to involve a mechanism of XO activation that is related to some other chemical and/or physical signal emanating from the leukocyte.

Nitric oxide synthase also appears to modulate the activation of XO. The increased XO activity detected in pulmonary endothelial cells exposed to hypoxia alone or H/R is significantly enhanced following treatment of the cells with a NOS inhibitor, while the change in XO activity is blunted by L-arginine supplementation or when the cells are exposed to NO donating agents, suggesting that NO exerts an inhibitory influence on XO activity [469,470]. The ability of NO to inactivate XO has also been demonstrated in interferon gamma-stimulated macrophages [471]. While the precise mechanism for this inhibitory action of NO on XO remains unclear, the observed responses have been attributed to the binding of NO to the iron moiety of XO or its sulfhydryl groups [469,471]. In the presence of NOS uncoupling, an entirely different interaction between NOS and XO is observed. As described above, the xanthine oxidase-mediated ROS produced by aortic endothelial cells exposed to H/R results in both tetrahydrobiopterin depletion and conversion of NO to nitric oxide [466,467]. The increased XO activity detected in pulmonary endothelial cell XO may not be explained by ROS-activated ROS production, it appears to involve a mechanism of XO activation that is related to some other chemical and/or physical signal emanating from the leukocyte.

5. Summary and conclusions

Progress in the field of reperfusion research has been rapid and significant over the past 3–4 decades. The concept that ROS are produced at an accelerated rate in tissues subjected to I/R and that the accumulation of ROS contributes to reperfusion injury is now well established and supported by a large and growing body of evidence. A large part of this progress can be attributed to continued improvement and refinement of the technologies and experimental approaches used to characterize the tissue/cellular responses to I/R, including mutant mice with an altered expression of ROS-related proteins, reagents that selectively inhibit specific enzymatic sources of ROS production, and more precise methods for detection and quantification of ROS production in intact living tissue, cultured cell monolayers, and subcellular compartments (e.g., mitochondria). As a result of these advancements and the more widespread use of cell culture models, the field has transitioned from an early emphasis on the direct damaging effects of ROS to a focus on molecular aspects of cell dysfunction resulting from the activation of ROS-dependent signaling events that underlie reperfusion injury.

Notable progress has been made in defining the potential contribution of different enzymatic sources of ROS production in tissues/cells exposed to I/R (H/R). Early studies in this field placed emphasis on XO as a major source of ROS after I/R. However, the recognition that some tissues exhibit low to negligible expression/activity of this enzyme provided the impetus to evaluate the contribution of other potential ROS sources, including Nox and mitochondria. Uncoupled NOS and other enzymes (see Table 2) that were not extensively addressed in the narrative above have also been ascribed a role in reperfusion injury. While there is ample evidence in the literature to tentatively assign a dominant role for specific sources of ROS production in certain tissues (e.g., XO in the XOR-rich intestine, mitochondria in the metabolically active heart and brain), the available body of knowledge in this field does not yet justify definitive conclusions in this regard. Drawing such conclusions are made all the more difficult because of the potential for extensive cross-talk between these enzymes and their susceptibility to ROS-activated ROS production. The relative contributions of the different enzymes to I/R-induced ROS production in a given tissue is also likely to be influenced by the physiologic status of the tissue, e.g., with inflamed tissues producing a different pattern of enzyme involvement due to transcriptional up-regulation of certain ROS-producing enzymes (e.g., XO, Nox, and NOS) by cytokines. Similarly, chronic conditions that promote mitochondrial biogenesis (e.g., skeletal muscle following aerobic exercise training) may also influence the relative roles of the different enzymes to I/R-induced ROS production. The recognition that there are redundant and interactive sources of ROS that are activated in response to I/R is an important consideration in future efforts to design novel therapies that are directed towards targeting ROS to attenuate or prevent reperfusion injury.

Despite the long and growing list of clinical conditions that have been linked to reperfusion injury (Table 1) and the expansive literature that implicates ROS in this injury response, the clinical benefit of ROS-targeted therapies have largely proven to be absent or very limited. [473] While clear explanations for the failure to effectively extend the positive preclinical findings to the clinical setting are not readily apparent, preclinical models that inadequately mimic the complexities of the human disease counterpart is one likely explanation. In this regard, a notable deficiency in the field of reperfusion research is the prevalence of studies that focus on the injury response and underlying mechanisms in otherwise healthy animals. Epidemiological evidence clearly demonstrates that individuals who are most likely to experience an ischemic episode have one or more risk factors (e.g., hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, obesity, diabetes, and cigarette smoking) for cardiovascular disease. Each of these risk factors is known to induce a low-grade pro-inflammatory and pro-oxidative environment that renders tissues more vulnerable to the deleterious effects of a secondary oxidative and inflammatory stress such as I/R [474]. The few published studies that address the responses to I/R in the presence of risk factor(s) have revealed a more robust production of ROS and greater tissue damage following reperfusion, compared to the responses elicited by I/R in the absence of the risk factor(s). However, it remains unclear whether the relative contributions of the different ROS producing enzymes to the I/R-induced oxidative stress differ due to the presence or absence of a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. While this limitation is not unique to the field of reperfusion research, it does offer a challenge for future investigators in this area to refine experimental models so that they more accurately mimic the conditions that exist in most patients who experience reperfusion injury. Furthermore, this issue warrants more attention in order to ensure that meaningful progress can be made towards the translation of preclinical findings to the clinical setting, and for the eventual discovery of novel ROS-directed drugs that can be used to effectively treat reperfusion injury.
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