A siphon-like retrogastric transverse colon: A case report
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

\textbf{INTRODUCTION:} Intestinal interposition is a term that describes rare anatomic variations where parts of the colon deviate from their normal intraabdominal position, attaching between two organs. Most patients with colonic interpositions are asymptomatic and diagnosed incidentally by computed tomography or ultrasound. Here we present a case of a symptomatic retrogastric colon, interposing kinked between stomach and pancreas.

\textbf{PRESENTATION OF CASE:} A 66-year old female patient presented with an eight-year history of intermittent spastic bowel movements, epigastralgia and nausea. Consecutively, the patient lost 12 kg. Physical examination was unremarkable and routine blood tests were within normal limits. Subsequently performed colonoscopy and cross-sectional imaging diagnosed a retrogastric colon. Finally, the patient underwent surgical treatment. The intraoperative findings were consistent with the computed tomography images and showed a kinked retrogastric protrusion of the transverse colon into the lesser sac, adhering to both, the posterior wall of the stomach, and the anterior surface of the pancreas. After adhesiolysis and mobilization, the transverse colon slipped back to the normal position within the abdominal cavity. The patient recovered well after surgery and was discharged on the sixth postoperative day. Six-month follow-up revealed cured bowel function, weight regain and no signs of recurrence.

\textbf{DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION:} These rare cases of intestinal interpositions are very often difficult to diagnose, as symptoms are misleading. In case of diagnosis adequate surgical treatment strategies should be considered.

\copyright 2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The transverse colon is the longest part of the colon, crossing the abdominal cavity from the hepatic to the splenic flexure. Compared to the retroperitoneally-fixed ascending and descending colon, it is the most mobile part of the colon. Three major structures are involved in the fixation of the transverse colon: the transverse mesocolon surrounding the organ and running on the ventral length of the pancreas, thereby fixing the transverse colon to the posterior abdominal wall; the gastrocolic ligament connecting the greater curvature of the stomach and the transverse colon, continuing into the greater omentum; and the phrenocolic ligament, acting as a suspensory ligament for the splenic flexure [1].

Congenital anatomic variations as well as spatial and/or pressure alterations within the abdominal cavity may cause variations in the location of the colon [2–4]. Current data describe various types of colonic interpositions (between the liver and diaphragm, spleen and diaphragm, stomach and pancreas), which were categorized into Chilaiditi (hepato-diaphragmatic interposition) and non-Chilaiditi forms [5]. Nevertheless, patients with a retrogastric transverse colon are rarely found in the literature [6]. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to report on a case of a symptomatic retrogastric colon successfully treated with laparoscopic adhesolysis. Considering the rarity of the case and the absence of comparable case reports, we attempt a detailed description of the case, including the diagnostic approach and the major features in the patient’s long medical history, finally leading to successful surgical treatment. The present case is reported in line with the SCARE criteria [7].

2. Case report

A 66-year-old woman was referred by her general practitioner to a gastroenterologist due to an eight-year history of recurrent abdominal pain. The pain was aggravated typically several hours after meals, most frequent during the night at 4 a.m. followed by a slow relief after defecation with multiple bowel movements between 6 and 10 a.m. Initially, she was mistakenly treated for
irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) with analgetics and laxatives, with little improvement. Within six months before her visit, the patient’s medical condition worsened. The patient reported unintentional weight loss of 12 kg over a period of 6 months with an increased intensity and frequency of convulsive abdominal pain.

During the initial transabdominal bowel sonography, parts of the transverse colon appeared positioned cranially to the stomach. An esophagogastrroduodenoscopy revealed no pathologic findings, although during the subsequent colonoscopy the examiner could confirm the unusual epigastric position of the colonoscope’s tip with diaphanoscopy while moving the instrument through the transverse colon. He referred the patient to our institution for further management.

On physical examination at the hospital, she was afibrile with a heart rate of 80–90 beats/min, a respiratory rate of 16–20 breaths/min, blood pressure of 129/83 mmHg and an oxygen saturation of 94% on room air. The abdomen was non-distended, mild to moderately tender over the epigastric region with normal bowel sounds present in all four quadrants without any findings suggestive of peritonitis, such as rigidity, rebound tenderness or Murphy’s sign. The Electrocardiogram revealed a sinus rhythm. The patient had neither past surgical nor medical history.

Blood tests were within normal limits and revealed neither increased heart enzymes (creatine kinase, Troponin T), leukocytosis, nor increased C-reactive protein or raised liver or pancreatic enzymes.

A subsequently-performed abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan revealed a kinked transverse colon positioned between stomach and pancreas, protruding into the lesser sac from caudally (Fig. 1).

The patient underwent laparoscopy using a 12 mm infraumbilical optical port, two 5 mm working ports in the right lumbar and epigastric region, and another 12 mm port in the left lumbar region. Initially, the greater omentum was mobilized from the transverse colon from the right to the left side to gain access to the bursa omentalis. Subsequently, the lesser omentum was dissected to enter the lesser sac. The transverse colon was tortuous. A segment of it was kinked up and adherent to the pancreatic head/corpus at the posterior wall of the lesser sac and the dorsal wall of the stomach. After dissecting these adhesions and after extensive mobilization from the right to the left curvature, the transverse colon flipped back into its regular anatomic position in the peritoneal cavity.

The postoperative course was uneventful and proved to be without any complications. The patient tolerated full oral intake on postoperative day 1 and was discharged on day 6 symptomatically. At six-month follow-up, the patient did not show any suggestive signs or symptoms of recurrence or complications. She reported complete resolution of her abdominal pain, improved regularity of bowel movements and weight regain of more than 10 kg. A CT scan one year after surgery revealed a normal anatomic position of the transverse colon within the abdominal cavity. The lesser sac is free of any small or large intestine (Fig. 2).

3. Discussion

The term intestinal interposition describes anatomical variations in which parts of the intestine temporally or permanently deviate from their anatomic intraabdominal position, interposing between two organs [8]. Despite the rarity of these events, they were already described over 100 years ago.

In 1899, Antoine Béclère was first to describe a hepatodiaphragmatic interposition [9]. Eleven years later, in 1910, the Greek radiologist Dimitrios Chiliaiditi outlined his findings on three cases of a colonic interposition between the diaphragm and the liver [10]. In 1960, Poppel described a left-sided colonic interposition [11].

In 1993, Oldfield and Wilbur analyzed 9,400 CT scans and found 21 (0.2%) cases of a “retrogastric colon” located within the lesser sac. The transverse colon was found either between the stomach and pancreas (types I and II) or posterior to the stomach and spleen (type III). [12] These results were similar to a study by Bredolo et al. in 2012, who found 12 patients with a retrogastric colon in 4,338 abdominal CT scans (0.3%) [5].

The genesis of these anatomic variations seem to be multifactorial. Congenital as well as acquired etiologies of the intestine,
liver, diaphragm and surrounding intraabdominal fat tissue may contribute to a deviating position of the colon [4,8,13].

Under physiologic conditions, the mesocolon as well as suspensory ligaments impede an interposition of the transverse colon. Nevertheless, variations in anatomy can lead to the translocation of the transverse colon between the stomach and pancreas. These anatomic variations may include congenital malposition, pathological peritoneal attachments, a short transverse mesocolon, reduced as well as increased retroperitoneal fat tissue, or an innate increased laxity, elongation or absence of the suspensory ligaments [2,14].

On the other hand, several diseases such as liver atrophy (liver cirrhosis, right lobe agenesis), enlargement of the thoracic cavity (chronic obstructive lung disease), distension (aerophagia) or elongation (chronic constipation) of the large intestine, can influence the anatomical position of the colon [4,15,16].

In addition, multiple pregnancies, ascites and obesity as well as dramatic weight loss (e.g. internal herniation after bariatric surgery) may also cause anatomical changes within the abdominal cavity [4,13].

Mental retardation, schizophrenia, depression and congenital hypothyroidism have also been found to be associated with colonic interposition [13,15,17,18].

Furthermore, adhesions occurring after extensive surgery, peritoneal metastasis or serious intraabdominal infections can also result in the displacement of intraabdominal organs [8,19].

In this case, we assume a multifactorial genesis of the pathologic fixation of the transverse colon in the lesser sac: a congenital mobile transverse colon and adhesions.

Patients with colonic interpositions are usually asymptomatic [3,4,12,18,19]. If symptomatic, patients with right-sided colonic interposition have been found to suffer from common gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and/or constipation (Chilaiditi’s syndrome). Similar symptoms have been found in patients with left-sided colonic interpositions [4,8,13]. Symptomatic patients with a retrogastric transverse colon have not yet been described in the literature. Our patient presented with recurring nightly convulsive pain, nausea and irregular bowel movements, which may be due to partial colonic obstruction. Mistakenly, the patient’s symptoms might easily have been diagnosed as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). Nevertheless it as clearly pointed out that this patient does not fulfill the Rome 4 criteria [20], as IBS never presents with nightly convulsive pain. Both the initial bowel sonography and the epigastric diaphanoscopy during colonoscopy directed this patient to proper diagnosis and treatment.

4. Conclusion

The retrogastric colon is a rare anatomic entity mostly seen as an incidental finding in an asymptomatic patient’s radiograph. Nevertheless, this anatomic abnormality might be responsible for unclear gastrointestinal symptoms. In such cases, surgery should be considered for therapy, we recommend a laparoscopic approach.
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