Many if not most proteins can, under certain conditions, change cellular compartments, such as, for example, shuttling from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. Thus, many proteins may exert functions in various and very different subcellular locations, depending on the signaling context. A large amount of actin regulatory proteins has been detected in the mammalian cell nucleus, although their potential roles are much debated and are just beginning to emerge. Recently, members of the formin family of actin nucleators were also reported to dynamically localize to the nuclear environment. Here we discuss our findings that specific diaphanous-related formins can promote nuclear actin assembly in a signal-dependent manner.

Introduction

An enormous amount of literature exists that describes an array of cellular and molecular functions for diaphanous-related formins mostly involving actin-based membrane protrusions, migration, contractility, adhesion, cytokinesis, and microtubule regulation (reviewed in refs. 1 and 2). In addition, a few studies suggested that some formins may also be detected in the nuclear compartment. Indirect hints came from copurifications of mDia1 with proteins of predominantly nuclear functions such as exportin 6 or the transcriptional regulator HAN11.3,4 The formin FHOD1 can be cleaved by caspase-3 generating a fragment with strong nuclear localization.5 Convincing evidence for cytoplasmic-nuclear shuttling of a formin came from Miki et al. demonstrating CRM1-dependent export of endogenous mDia2.6 However, whether these nuclear localizations involve any cellular functions or whether formins may be active in the nucleus remained unclear. Moreover, formins potentially promote actin nucleation and filament assembly, a process that previously has not been described to occur in a somatic cell nucleus. Recently, that has changed by the first demonstrations of nuclear actin polymers in living cells (also reviewed in refs. 7–9).

Here, after a short summary of the current view on nuclear actin dynamics, we briefly discuss the potential roles of nuclear formin regulation and activity for actin nucleation and MAL/SRF transcriptional function.

Nuclear Actin Dynamics

By altering the concentration of signal-competent G-actin or by supplying the huge amount of cellular processes, which rely on the formation of actin filaments, it’s the dynamic assembly and disassembly of actin polymers, which attributes to virtually all of its biological impact. In light of an ever-expanding body of evidence reinforcing the biological significance of actin inside the mammalian nucleus,7,10,11 we however only recently began to understand the dynamic nature of nuclear actin.

FRAP experiments demonstrated a dynamic exchange of GFP-actin monomers across the nuclear envelope12 and provided the first hints of a subpopulation of nuclear actin residing in...
a stable, less diffusible state.1,15 Accordingly, our recently published work and the study of Belin and colleagues demonstrated the first direct visualizations of nuclear actin polymers.16,17 Together, these findings strongly suggest that at least a certain pool of nuclear actin exists in a dynamic equilibrium between G- and F-actin resembling the treadmilling of cytosolic actin. By applying in-vitro actin assembly assays using nuclear extracts, we could show that the nucleoplasm possesses a basal degree of actin polymerizing activity. In agreement with this, nuclear F-actin structures of submicron-length have been detected in the nuclei of non-stimulated cells using the nuclear F-actin probe 1xt230-EN.18 These findings raise the possibility of alternative nuclear export of mDia forms mDia1 and mDia2, in controlling nuclear actin dynamics. First, the siRNA-mediated silencing of either mDia1 or mDia2 resulted in a considerable decrease of basal actin polymerization activity of nuclear extracts. Second, nuclear expression of the mDia2-DAD domain, which is known to promote the activity of endogenous mDia, sufficently shifts nuclear actin dynamics toward polymerization giving rise to nuclear actin filaments, which become detectable in living cells. Third, the acute stimulation of cellular actin assembly using serum or the serum component LPA (lysophosphatidic acid) triggers a transient burst of actin polymerization inside the nucleus (Fig. 1). Of note, this nuclear polymerization response is dependent on the activity of mDia formins and appears, at least in the case of NIH3T3 cells, even strong enough to promote the transient formation of phalloidin-sensitive nuclear actin filaments. Thus, the nature of nuclear actin appears to be much more dynamic than previously thought. Overall, a picture emerges in which not only the concentration of nuclear actin underlies tight control but also its polymerization state, which relies on the activity of actin-regulatory factors present inside the nucleus. Finally both, the dynamic communication of actin monomers across the nuclear envelope, as well as the existence of a treadmill-competent pool, may act in concert to sustainably equip the nucleus for a substantial expansion of its biological properties.

**Regulation of Nuclear Actin Turnover by Formins**

The importance of mDia formins in defining the polymerization state of nuclear actin is further underscored by our findings that neither a depletion of the formin FHOD1 using siRNA nor chemical inhibition of the Arp2/3-complex affected the actin-polymerizing activity of nuclear extracts. Whereas a huge body of literature exists that addresses the regulation and function of mDia-formins in rearranging cytosolic actin,1,2 the mechanisms at work to control nuclear mDia-function remain enigmatic. Our observation of a very rapid and short-lived stimulation of mDia-dependent nuclear actin assembly upon serum-addition argues for a tight regulation of nuclear formin activity. In an attempt to transfer the present knowledge on cytosolic formin function to the nucleus, we would like to highlight three potential aspects of nuclear formin regulation (Fig. 2).

![Figure 1. Signal-responsive nuclear actin dynamics. Live NIH3T3 cells expressing the actin probe LifeAct-GFP-NLS were monitored before and during stimulation with 20 µM lysophosphatidic acid (LPA). Prior to analysis, cells were transiently transfected with a plasmid encoding LifeAct-GFP-NLS and kept in serum-free medium for 24 h. Confocal microscopic images (1 frame every 10 s) reveal the distribution of LifeAct-GFP-NLS at indicated time points. Note that LPA-stimulation triggers an immediate and transient formation of nuclear actin filaments, which become visible by the decoration with LifeAct-GFP-NLS. Scale bar: 10 µm.](image-url)
Interestingly, endogenous mDia1 was found to co-precipitate with exportin 6, which appears critically involved in nuclear export of actin and profilin-actin complexes.4 Moreover, several reports indicate the presence of a putative NLS in the C-terminus of mDia1, which is consistent with a predominant nuclear localization of a C-terminal fragment of mDia1 comprising its FH1, FH2, and DAD domains.46 Whether this NLS may also function in full-length mDia1 remains to be tested. In this regard the mDia1 N-terminus could either prevent nuclear entry or antagonize nuclear localization of mDia1 by promoting its nuclear export. Overall, the shuttling behavior of mDia2 underscores the need for a careful reassessment before ruling out an eventually substantial nuclear transport of a given formin, despite its presumable cytosolic localization. Alterations in shuttling dynamics might serve as a powerful tool to adjust the amount of nuclear formins in a signal-dependent manner. However, available techniques allowing for a detailed analysis of formin nuclear transport kinetics are hampered by the limited value of studying fluorescently-labeled and ectopically expressed proteins, which do not necessarily reflect the behavior of their endogenous counterparts (unpublished observation on GFP-labeled mDia2). At present we can only speculate about the amount of nuclear formins necessary to account for nuclear actin assembly. Moreover, nuclear formins might preferentially reside in close proximity to the inner membrane of the nuclear envelope further complicating a reliable assessment of their nuclear localization. Of note, both mDia1 and mDia2 were shown to intrinsically attach to lipid membranes,27,18 which in the case of mDia2 can be mediated by a basic stretch of amino acids located right next to the NLS. In this regard, it will become an interesting future perspective to define the spatial origin of formin-mediated nuclear actin polymerization.

(2) Activation of nuclear formins. Diaphanous-related formins are characterized by an autoinhibited conformation, in which interactions between their N-terminal FH3 domains and their C-terminal DAD regions physically prevent the ability to polymerize actin under resting conditions. Therefore, the nuclear activity of formins not only relies on their recruitment to the nucleus but also claims for a release of autoinhibition. We don’t know at present whether the activity-state of formins affects nuclear import, as activation can, at least in principle, occur in the cytoplasm (prior to nuclear import), as well as in the nucleus itself.27 Since the majority of mDia formins is believed to reside in an autoinhibited state under resting conditions, the rapid and almost complete nuclear accumulation of mDia2 upon CRM1 inhibition suggests nuclear entry of autoinhibited mDia2. However, it remains to be tested whether the structurally open conformation interferes with its nuclear import. Accordingly, only the failure of endogenous active mDia2 to enter the nucleus (which might for example occur due to conformational hindrance or an immediate association to cytosolic actin filament ends) would require a specialized nuclear mechanism of activation. On the other hand, nuclear activity of formins could be primarily defined by their activation state in the cytoplasm, which would argue for a more or less passive transduction of formin activity toward the nuclear compartment. During our studies we made use of a known competition mechanism, in which expression of the DAD peptide competes with the autoinhibitory interactions of endogenous mDia1.19 Whereas nuclear expression of the DAD peptide allowed us to selectively promote the activity of endogenous nuclear mDia it remains elusive whether the DAD is indeed sufficient to release formin autoinhibition or whether its activity primarily arises by stabilizing an already pre-activated conformation. Therefore, it will be an important future task to first ensure the true nuclear origin of formin activation before aiming to transfer the elaborated cellular system of regulatory mechanisms, known to participate in the spatial and temporal control of cytosolic formins, to the nucleus.

Figure 2. Cartoon illustrating potential mechanisms involved in the control of formin-mediated assembly of nuclear actin. For details see text.
The classical view of formin activation involves the binding of active Rho-GTPases to their GBD (GTGase binding domain), which due to its close proximity to the FH3 domain results in a displacement of the DAD. Whether Rho-GTPases exert similar activities inside the nucleus remains to be proven. Despite their small size (≤24 KD), which would normally suggest a polymerization on demand but furthers the presence of efficient nuclear control mechanisms to restrict the duration and actin-polymerization rates of nuclear formins. One nearby model of limiting the action of nuclear formins would be given by a controlled nuclear export of activated formins. But whether the activated state of formins is able to exit the nucleus remains to be tested.

In vitro observations indicate that once activated, formins persist on the progressively growing ends of actin filaments for more than ten minutes, which in vivo would most likely interfere with nuclear export. Alternatively, the nucleoplasm might harbor additional regulatory factors, which may act by promoting a displacement of activated formins from filament ends. Similar mechanisms have already been described for Capping protein, which competes with mDia1 for binding to the barbed ends of cytosolic actin filaments and the formin-binding protein srGAP2, which was shown to limit the duration of FMNL1 activity upon Rac-dependent activation. Over the overall huge number of actin-binding (ABPs) and actin-related proteins (ARPs) present in the nucleoplasm, it will remain a future challenge to test for their potential impact in coordinating nuclear formin function.

**Cytosolic Impact on Nuclear Actin Dynamic**

Aiming for the nuclear compartment, signals have to overcome the nuclear envelope, which ensures a considerable confinement of the nucleoplasm from the cytosol. This raises the question of how environmental cues, sensed by cell surface receptors, may become integrated and transmitted toward the nuclear interior to ultimately affect nuclear actin dynamics. Despite being just a passive relay station, the cytoplasm and especially cytosolic actin dynamics are likely to participate more actively in defining the polymerization state of nuclear actin. The demonstration of a dynamic communication between nuclear and cytosolic actin monomers suggests a model not based on concentration but rather reflecting its cytosolic turnover. However, whether the underlying shuffling kinetics of actin are sufficient to transduce even short-term alterations in its cytosolic turnover to the nuclear compartment remains to be tested. On the other hand, the cytosolic actin network might be much more directly coupled to its nuclear descendant through LINC (linkers of the nucleoskeleton to the cytoskeleton) protein complexes. LINC complexes span the nuclear envelope (NE) and arise by the physical interactions of transmembrane proteins of the outer NE-membrane, namely nesprins, and SUN-proteins, which in turn reside in the inner membrane of the NE. The nuclear lamina, together with the capability of nesprin1/2 to bind actin filaments enables LINC complexes to physically connect the nuclear interior to the actin cytoskeleton. Although we don't know at present whether the nuclear lamina associates with nuclear actin it is worth noticing that both A-type and B-type lamins as well as emerin, a protein of the inner nuclear membrane, were reported to directly interact with actin in vitro. Hence, given its location at the interface between nuclear and cytosolic actin as well as its key function in mechanosignaling to the nucleus it is tempting to speculate about an impact of LINC-mediated transduction of tensional forces originating from cytosolic actin rearrangements on nuclear actin dynamics. Moreover, physical forces recently emerged as a novel mechanism to control the actin assembly rates of formins, as shown for mDia1 and the yeast formin Bni1p. Such tension-based activation mechanisms would further not require any additional nuclear factor for release of formin autoinhibition and...
would also circumvent the requirement for nuclear import of an already preactivated mDia.

Overall, the autonomy of nuclear actin dynamics remains elusive, and we believe that care should be taken to consider nuclear actin polymerization as an isolated cellular response. During our studies, we could show that solely the stimulation of nuclear actin activity, which we achieved by either nuclear targeting or photoactivation of the DAD peptide, is sufficient to promote nuclear actin filament formation.29 Whereas these experiments demonstrate that the nuclear actin polymerization machinery can, at least in principle, be selectively targeted, they do not address the presence of endogenous signaling pathways dedicated to the control of nuclear actin dynamics. Instead, the regulation of the transcriptional co-activator MAL (also known as MRTF-A and MKL1) points toward an elaborated interplay between nuclear and cytosolic actin dynamics.29

Interestingly, the activity of MAL is inhibited by monomeric actin, which binds to its RPEL domain in both cellular compartments. Binding of up to five actin monomers enables MAL to precisely sense the actin concentration at the leading edge, resulting in a continuous shuttling through the nuclear compartment under resting conditions.30 Whereas nuclear actin monomers promote MAL nuclear export, the excessive amount of cytosolic G-actin impairs its nuclear entry.29,31 Hence, MAL activity requires efficient disruption of actin-MAL complexes in both compartments, which is believed to occur as an indirect consequence of a polymerization-induced depletion of cellular actin monomers. In this regard, our work uncovered a so-far neglected direct contribution of actin accumulation in monomers, which on its own appears sufficient to promote MAL activation29 but which most likely occurs as part of a global cellular polymerization response converging in efficient control of MAL-dependent gene expression.

Conclusion

Many actin regulatory proteins such as mDia2 are found in the cytoplasm and nucleus, and actin itself shuttles between these two nuclear compartments. This suggests that the cellular cytoskeleton tightly links actin dynamics between cytoplasm and nucleus to provide highly sensitive means of intracellular communication. Elucidating the mechanisms and consequences of formin-mediated nuclear actin assembly as well as further structural insights into its organization remain a future challenge.
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