Combined evaluation of oscillatory rheometry and isothermal calorimetry for the monitoring of hardening stage of Portland cement compositions blended with bauxite residue from Bayer process generated in different sites in Brazil

Abstract: Bauxite residue (BR), a by-product of alumina and aluminum production, consists of high aluminum, silica, and iron content, and sodium from the bauxite ore digestion during the Bayer process. This waste is still being disposal into the lakes of mud, causing some environmental problems. So, the search for its application has gained interest. Studies reported in literature point out that one of the most promising applications is in association with Portland cement, which can also help to reduce the environmental impact caused by the CO2-emissions in its production. In this work, a combined evaluation of oscillatory rheometry and isothermal calorimetry was performed for the monitoring of the hardening stage of Portland cement (PC) compositions blended with BR generated in different sites in Brazil. The time-sweep test was applied to obtain the consistency gain of suspensions over-time, allowing us to understand the physical parameters of consolidation, while the changes in the hydration reaction showed considerable differences in the chemical contribution. As a conclusion, it was clear the impact of each BR, mainly due to the aspects related to soluble aluminates, silicates, and sodium, which in association with the soluble ions from PC, affected the chemical reaction and agglomeration/flocculation forces of particles.
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Introduction

Bauxite residue (BR), commonly known worldwide as red mud, is a highly alkaline material generated from the production of alumina in the Bayer process, in which bauxite is dissolved in caustic soda. A common estimative is that 1 ton of BR is generated for each 1 ton of alumina produced (i.e. the amount of aluminum oxide trihydrate produced and expressed as 100%, nominal aluminum oxide ($\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$) equivalent, independently of further processing), but some statistics illustrate that this proportion can variate depended on the ore richness, being between 0.8 and 1.3 [1].

Independently of that, the global BR inventory reached around 4.6 billion tons in 2018, with a worldwide production rate of almost 200 million tons per year. Brazil has the third-largest bauxite deposit on the planet, being the fourth-largest producer of alumina and is the fifth country in the exportation of aluminum or alloys. Accompanying the advancement of this industry, the generation of waste has grown more and more, and the search for applications for BR is an alternative that has gained strength year-on-year [2]–[8].

So, there has been an important amount of work done in recycling and reusing this kind of material, but despite the development of some minor applications, and the fact that no large-scale application has yet been achieved the residue still has to be discarded in lakes of mud [5], [9], [10].

Romano et al. [11], [12] applied different methods for monitoring the chemical reaction of Portland cement in association with using bauxite residue, detecting, beyond the ordinary hydrated products of pure Portland cement, the formation of sodium silicoaluminate hydrate (NASH), and calcium aluminate. Fujii et al. [13] evaluated the impact of using superplasticizers in the consolidation of Portland cement suspensions blended with bauxite residue, concluding that the stage of hardening was considerably affected by the kind and content of admixture. Dodoo-Arhin et al. [14] evaluated the impact of using BR from the region of Awaso in Ghana, calcined at 800 °C, in the properties of pavements produced with microconcrete of Portland cement concluding that up to 5% of substitution of PC there was not any loss in the mechanical strength or water absorption. Krivenko et al. [15] developed some alkali-activated concretes using a large amount of bauxite residue, pointing out for the formation of C-S-H, klineferrosilite (FaSiO3) and lawsonite, (CaAl2[Si2O7](OH)2.H2O). The authors evaluated the mechanical strength, and leaching of heavy-metals and radiative elements, concluding that the components are following the standardized specifications for application in roads. Romano et al. [16] showed the impact of using bauxite residue in microconcrete of Portland cement and did a comparison with other kinds of ordinary supplementary cementitious materials, concluding that this practice is technically viable. Pontikes and Angelopoulos [17] presented a review of some applications of bauxite residue in association with Portland cement, providing a critical point of view of the research conducted in the last 40 years. In this paper, the authors pointed out that the main barrier for the transition from the laboratory scale to the industry is the economic aspect.

This is a fact, and even these days, the cost of disposal is between 4 and 12 dollars per ton, and this is part of the difficulty in the search for a viable solution for this huge residue generation [18].

However, for the construction of these deposits, it is necessary to manage large areas, affecting considerably the local fauna and flora [5], [10], [19], [20]. Additionally, there is always the possibility of an environmental catastrophe, such as that occurred in Hungary, October 2010, causing a state of emergency of 3 cities around the lake [11].

Evans [21] did another review, considering the storage and disposal of bauxite residue in the 19th century, discussing the changes in the environmental aspects. Some successful remediation and rehabilitation trends in Jamaica have been described, as well as proposed uses for BR. The survey indicates the considerable number of patents and technically feasible proposals for the use of BR, but that only about 4 million tons are used productively. Therefore, the author discusses the main barriers and why they are not used on a large scale, even with proven technical feasibility. Besides, it is mentioned that the use in association with cement or cementitious components, the raw material for steelmaking, landfill closure, soil improvement, and road construction, are the most promising alternatives.

According to some authors, the high alkalinity is the critical factor restricting complete utilization of bauxite residues, whilst the application of alkaline regulation agents is costly and difficult to apply widely [1], [11], [17].
It was also observed that one of the biggest problems is that BR shows a considerable chemical, mineralogical and physical variation from site to site, and a suitable solution for one collected waste cannot be adequate for another one [8], [18]. For instance, monitoring did by Garcia [22] indicates that iron content in the residue can vary from 20 to 60%, aluminum content from 10 to 58%, silica from 3 to 65%, and sodium from 0.4 to 15%, impacting considerably on the mineralogical phases.

Additionally, the strongly alkaline nature of bauxite residue and its ions leaching potential ability, are other drawbacks that need to be considered for most valorization routes [7]. So, as the large variability of BR can make difficult to implement of a single solution, in this first step of the study of this material the main goal is to evaluate the impact of the kind and content of different bauxite residues on rheological properties and chemical reactions of cement, a stage that impacts considerably on the microstructural development of cementitious materials.

MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

The purest Brazilian Portland cement was the binder choose to develop this work. It is referred to as a CPV according to the Brazilian standard [23]. Bauxite residues studied are from three different sites described as NE-BR - São Luís - MA, SE-BR1 - Poços de Caldas (MG), and SE-BR2 - Aluminio (SP), obtained from the Bayer process of aluminum production in plants from Northeast (NE) or Southeast (SE) regions. As the residues were received wet and in clods, it was necessary a prior preparation: drying at 105°C for 24 hours, grinding in a mill, and sieving with a 106-micron mesh sieve.

Characterization

X-ray fluorescence (FRX), in PANalytical equipment, model Minipal Cement, and X-ray diffraction (XRD) in a PANalytical XPERT-MPD, were used to determine the chemical and mineralogical composition of each raw material, respectively, following the procedures reported by Romano et al. [12], and the thermal decomposition was quantified using a Netzsch thermobalance, model STA409EP [24].

The particle size distribution was measured on a laser granulometer, Helos (Sympatec) [25], the specific surface area was determined by N2 adsorption at 77K in a Belsorp Max equipment [12], the real density was determined by gas He pycnometry in Quantachrome equipment, MVP 5DC, while the pozzolanic index was defined by applying the method described in the NBR 15895/10 - Pozzolanic materials - Modified Chapelle method. The images of scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were obtained using a Quanta 600FEG instrument.

Preparation of the pastes

Pastes were produced maintaining the water-to-solid ratio of 0.50, using a composition with pure cement (i.e., for reference) and 9 more compositions with partial replacement, in weight, of 5, 10, and 20% of cement by the bauxite residues. Table 1 illustrates the evaluated compositions, indicating the nomenclatures used for each one.

Table 1 Compositions evaluated in the study.

| Nomenclature | Portland cement | NE-BR | SE-BR1 | SE-BR2 |
|--------------|----------------|-------|--------|--------|
| CPV          | 100            | -     | -      | -      |
| 5NE-BR       | 95             | 5     | -      | -      |
| 10NE-BR      | 90             | 10    | -      | -      |
| 20NE-BR      | 80             | 20    | -      | -      |
| 5SE-BR1      | 95             | -     | 5      | -      |
| 10SE-BR1     | 90             | -     | 10     | -      |
| 20SE-BR1     | 80             | -     | 20     | -      |
| 5SE-BR2      | 95             | -     | -      | 5      |
| 10SE-BR2     | 90             | -     | -      | 10     |
| 20SE-BR2     | 80             | -     | -      | 20     |

NE – Brazilian northeast; SE – Brazilian southeast; BR – bauxite residue. The number described in the Nomenclature column indicates the percentage of Portland cement replacement by BR.

All dry components were blended and placed into a metal container. The water was added, and 30 seconds was allowed for the initial wetting of the particles. After that, mechanical dispersion was applied at a constant speed of 10,000 rpm for 90 seconds using a Cowles’ impeller.
Isothermal calorimetry

The heat released during the hydration reaction of Portland cement was monitored for 48 hours in an isothermal calorimeter, TAM Air model, TA Instruments, following the procedure described by Romano et al. [24]. The stages of hydration reaction were discussed according to reported in the same work.

Oscillatory rheometry

Tests were performed in a Mars 60, Haake rheometer, using a parallel-plate type geometry. Samples were prepared following the procedure described in Fujii et al. [9], and all tests were performed at a temperature of 23 °C. Time sweep test was the procedure choose for the monitoring of gain of consistency over time, performed maintaining the strain at $10^{-4}$, and frequency of 1 Hz for 6 hours.

The rheological evaluation is fundamental for the comprehension of the phenomena that can affect the stage of transportation, application, workability, and time stability. In this work, the changes promoted by BR addition were monitored using the time sweep test: the application of strain and frequency in the linear viscoelastic region (LVR) makes it possible to monitor the increase in the particle agglomeration forces without any external interference in the formed microstructure, i.e. without the microstructure breaking down. As a result, it was measured the gain on consistency over the time, represented by $G'$, of each blended suspension.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Materials characterization

Table 2 presents the chemical analysis of each material. The iron content in the waste NE-BR, 56%, was higher than for the other residues, 29.5% and 37.1%, respectively for SE-BR1 and SE-BR2. Due to this large amount found in the waste, some works are reported in literature trying to recover iron from the bauxite residue [26], [27], but the process is not yet economically viable, and the remaining waste (with a low amount of iron) is still discarded. Other works are purposed to understand the mechanisms of iron interaction with cementitious materials for predicting the service life and design of reinforced concrete structures [28].

The large amounts of aluminum and silica illustrate, respectively, the low efficiency of the Bayer process and the considerable amount of impurities in the bauxite ore. Kaußen and Friedrich [29], evaluated a way to recover Al from bauxite residue using dissolution in caustic soda at high pressure followed by thermal treatment, but even with an efficiency around 90%, the contamination with silica is still a problem, due to the complete dissolution of aluminum silicates.

The loss of ignition comprises the humidity, CO$_2$ from the decomposition of carbonates, and combined water from zeolites, goethite, and gibbsite dehydroxylation.

The ratio between aluminum, calcium, and silicon oxides obtained for these residues, illustrate that both are in accordance with the results presented by Romano et al. [12] in the ternary diagram of supplementary cementitious materials.

The SE-BR1 has higher alkalinity due to the higher amounts of Na$_2$O and K$_2$O content. This is an important characteristic to be observed because the alkalis content can limit the use of bauxite residue as a supplementary cementitious material.

The higher the amount of soluble ions, the higher the susceptibility to leaching if these elements do not participate in hydrated compounds formation.

As the hydration of Portland cement is governed by the dissolution/precipitation and by the equilibrium shifting, the soluble ions inserted in the blends replacing partially Portland cement by different kinds of bauxite residue directly affects the chemical reaction.

The soluble ions of each residue were quantified for this work mixing 10 g of residue in 100 ml of deionized water. This suspension was mixed for 30 minutes and then kept at rest for more 30 minutes. After this time, the water was separated from the powder by filtration and used in the determinations. For the determination of soluble ions, it was applied the recommendations of ASTM C114-18: Standard Test Methods for Chemical Analysis of Hydraulic Cement, and the Brazilian standard NBR 13810/1997: Water – Determination of metals – Method determination of metals spectrometric method by flame absorption.
### Table 2 Chemical composition of Portland cement and bauxite residues.

| Determinations                      | CPV   | NE-BR | SE-BR1 | SE-BR2 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| Calcium oxide (CaO)                | 60.8  | 0.72  | 2.76   | 4.58   |
| Silicon oxide (SiO2)               | 19.2  | 10.0  | 16.6   | 12.8   |
| Aluminum oxide (Al2O3)             | 4.94  | 11.5  | 18.7   | 16.5   |
| Iron oxide (Fe2O3)                 | 2.97  | 56.6  | 29.5   | 37.1   |
| Sulfur oxide (SO3)                 | 4.47  | 0.32  | 0.31   | 1.19   |
| Magnesium oxide (MgO)              | 0.67  | und   | 0.07   | 0.09   |
| Sodium oxide (Na2O)                | 0.15  | 6.43  | 8.41   | 7.38   |
| Potassium oxide (K2O)              | 0.70  | 0.32  | 2.21   | 0.42   |
| Alkaline Eq. (%K2O x 0.658 + %Na2O) | 0.61  | 6.64  | 9.86   | 7.18   |
| Titanium oxide (TiO2)              | 0.26  | 2.91  | 4.96   | 3.64   |
| Phosphorus oxide (P2O5)            | 0.27  | 0.06  | 0.50   | 0.55   |
| Zirconium oxide (ZrO2)             | -     | 0.47  | 0.74   | 0.28   |
| Chromium oxide (Cr2O3)             | -     | 0.09  | 0.03   | 0.09   |
| Niobium oxide (Nb2O5)              | -     | 0.02  | 0.22   | 0.02   |
| Other oxides                       | 1.70  | 0.06  | 1.29   | 0.24   |
| Loss on ignition (LOI)             | 3.87  | 10.5  | 13.7   | 15.4   |

Results obtained are presented in Table 3, illustrating that the residues SE-BR2 and SE-BR1 presented a larger amount of soluble ions than NE-BR. This information is relevant because, as will be seeing later, the residues from SE Brazilian region influenced the chemical reaction more than NE.

### Table 3 Soluble ions quantified mixing 10g of residue in 100ml of deionized water. Results are presented in mmol/kg of BR.

| Ions   | NE-BR | SE-BR1 | SE-BR2 |
|--------|-------|--------|--------|
| Na⁺    | 89.8  | 109.0  | 123.3  |
| K⁺     | 0.88  | 1.76   | 0.88   |
| AlO2⁻  | 7.94  | 2.27   | 3.40   |

Figure 1 presents the XRD curves for bauxite residues and Table 4 presents the mineralogical composition of the three samples.

![Figure 1. X-ray diffractograms of bauxite residues.](image-url)
Table 4. Mineralogical phase, molecular formula, and consulted the file number of the phases found in the bauxite residues.

| Mineralogical phase   | Molecular formula                        | Consulted file | Main peaks (°)      |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Zeolite (Z)           | Na8Al2O·Al2O3·1.68SiO2·1.8H2O            | 00-031-1271    | 24.2*, 13.9, 8.97  |
| Sodium aluminum silicate nitrate (N) | Na7.9(Al6Si6O24)·(NO2)0.9 (NO3)1.2 | 01-082-1080    | 24.3*, 14.0, 34.6  |
| Illite (I)            | K2Al4Si8O24                              | 96-901-3720    | 24.4*, 29.1, 35.0  |
| Sodalite (S)          | Na8Al6Si6O24Cl2                         | 96-900-3327    | 24.3*, 13.9, 34.7  |
| Hematite (H)          | FeO3                                    | 00-013-0534    | 33.3*, 54.2, 35.7  |
| Goethite (Go)         | FeO(OH)                                 | 96-900-3080    | 21.6*, 37.2, 33.7  |
| Gibbsite (Gb)         | Al(OH)3                                 | 96-101-1082    | 18.3*, 20.3, 20.6  |
| Quartz (Qz)           | SiO2                                    | 96-500-0036    | 26.6*, 50.1, 20.9  |
| Calcite (C)           | CaCO3                                   | 01-072-1652    | 29.5*, 48.6, 47.7  |
| Anatase (A)           | TiO2                                    | 96-900-8214    | 25.3*, 37.8, 53.9  |

* 100% of the intensity

The three main peaks of each mineralogical phase are illustrated, being the gibbsite, goethite, and hematite the most important phases. Sodalite, due to the bauxite ore digestion with caustic soda, a zeolite, and a sodium aluminum silicate nitrate phases were also observed in all residues. The considerable amount of potassium quantified by X-ray fluorescence was detected as illite, mainly in the SE-BR1.

The CPV cement XRD diffractogram (not shown) indicated the main clinker phases, gypsum, and a small content of syngenite.

Figure 2 shows the DTG results, confirming that between 30 and 150°C there is the moisture evaporation; around 200°C there is a peak referred to the loss of zeolitic water; from 210 to 295°C the loss of water, related to the conversion of gibbsite in g-alumina; between 295 and 420°C the transformation of goethite to hematite; around 520°C there is an indication of diaspore decomposition, and from 550°C to 700°C related to calcite decomposition.

The lower decomposition referred to goethite and gibbsite in the sample SE-BR1 is convergent with the results of chemical analysis when was observed lower amount of iron and aluminum than in the other residues. This information will be relevant for the explanations about the chemical reaction of blended Portland cement with this residue, presented later. In the same way, the calcium content detected by FRX had a direct correlation with the carbonates quantified by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).

Figure 3 illustrates the particle size distribution of each material and Table 5 presents $d_{10}$, $d_{50}$, and $d_{90}$, specific surface areas (SSA), and real densities. The high amount of larger particles in NE-BR can explain, in part, the sensible
displacement of TGA-curve between 210 and 380°C, difficulting the evaluation of Al and Fe phases by thermal analysis due to the overlap of both decomposition phenomena.

![Figure 3 Particle size distribution of cement and bauxite residues.](image)

**Table 5** Real densities, specific surface area (SSA), d10, d50, and d90 of each material.

| Sample       | d10 (mm) | d50 (mm) | d90 (mm) | SSA (m²/g), BET | Real Density (g/cm³) |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Portland cement | 5.90     | 21.8     | 58.3     | 1.29            | 3.10                |
| NE-BR1       | 0.65     | 5.60     | 56.0     | 8.85            | 3.28                |
| SE-BR1       | 0.60     | 2.35     | 11.0     | 16.6            | 2.96                |
| SE-BR2       | 0.75     | 2.80     | 14.0     | 13.5            | 2.98                |

Samples of SE-BR present similar particle size extension and real density, but the sample NE-BR has coarse particles and higher density, probably in function of the large amount of iron, difficulting the grinding. The SSA of three residues is at least 8 times higher than Portland cement, which affects directly the chemical reaction and development of microstructure during the hardening step: the higher the SSA, the higher the water demand to moisten the particles and start the flow.

A common doubt about the characteristics of bauxite residue is its potential to be considered as a pozzolan. Pozzolans are materials consisting of silica and alumina which, in the presence of water, combine with calcium hydroxide resulting in water-stable compounds with binding properties. However, the three BR evaluated in this work did not present pozzolanic activity according to the requisites of Brazilian standard, i.e. the Ca(OH)₂ consumption was lower than 436 mg per grams of each BR.

For illustration, Figures 4 to 6 present the SEM images, highlighting some different particles found in the three bauxite residues, and the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analysis (EDS) confirming the presence of Fe, Al, Si, Ti and Na as the main elements; it was also observed the presence of Zr, Mg, Mn, Ca and K in low proportion. However, the semi-quantitative evaluation is not being presented due to some concerns about the inaccuracy of this method for this purpose.
Figure 4 SEM images of NE-BR. EDS illustrates the presence of: 1 - Fe, Al, Si; 2 - Fe; 3 - Ca, Si, Al, Mg; 4 and 7 - Fe, Al, Si, Na, Ti; 5 - Zr, Si, Al, Fe, Na; 6 - Si; 8 - Al, Si, Na.

Figure 5. SEM images of SE-BR1. EDS illustrates the presence of: 1 and 8 - Al, Si, Na; 2 - Zr, Si, Fe; 3 - Si; 4a - Si; 5 - Al, Si, Fe, Na; 6 - Fe, Al, Na, Ti; 7 - Al, Si, K.

Figure 6. SEM images of SE-BR2. EDS illustrates the presence of: 1 - Al; Fe; Si, Na, Ti; 2 - Al; Fe; 3. Ti, Fe, Mn; 4 - Al, Fe; 5 - Al, Fe, Si, Na; 6 - Al, Fe; 7 and 8 – Si.
Monitoring the hardening stage of pastes

Cement hydration reaction

Figure 7 shows the heat flow released during the hydration of CPV blended with different BR content: (a) NE-BR, (b) SE-BR1, and (c) SE-BR2. The heat released was normalized according to cement content. Even with the changes promoted using different BRs up to 24h of monitoring, in this work the main goal was evaluating the very early age of development of microstructure combining the results of chemical reaction and rheometry. So, the cumulative heat during the first 5 hours is illustrated on the right in Figure 7, showing the chemical contribution to the hardening process, at a very early age of cement hydration reaction. In this stage, all compositions with BR presented a delay of heat released, but it was more intense using the SE-BR1.

It is worth to be mention that the wetting period was excluded from this illustration due to the inaccuracy of the method applied in this work and the combined evaluations presented later (rheology vs calorimetry) will be performed using these data.

The use of mineral additions affects the dilution of clinker phases and the nucleation [11], [12], so changes on the heat released represents the impact of different kind and content of bauxite residue addition.

The use of bauxite residue in association with Portland cement makes the hydration reaction increasingly complex: the presence of soluble aluminates in contact with Ca$^2+$-ions from Portland cement, produces calcium aluminates, and it can accentuate or cause a delay in the hydration reaction, depending on the concentration of dissociated aluminates from bauxite residue [19], [24], [30]–[38]. Because of this, it was not observed in this work, a tendency in the function of the kind and content of bauxite residue [12], [39]–[41].

There is a consensus in the literature that the presence of aluminates, in excess, causes a delay in the nucleation of C-S-H, because the precipitated containing Al-ions does not work as a nucleation seed [24], [42]. Additionally, during
the AFt formation, the Al-ions are quickly consumed, due to the dissolution of alkaline sulfates of cement. There also is an inhibitory effect, even at lower concentrations, which can affect the dissolution during the early hydration of C3S.

Converging with what was reported by Nicoleau et al. [41] using other materials, the kind and content of bauxite residue used replacing the Portland cement influenced considerably the formation of Si-O-Al bonds, affecting the induction period.

In the same way, there is no convergence about the Na+-ion solubilized from the bauxite residues used in this work: it was observed the most impacting delay in the chemical reaction of compositions blended with SE-BR1, but it was quantified an intermediary value comparing with the other BRs.

Changes in the induction period were higher using the substitution by SE-BR1. Scrivener et al. [43] using the geochemical theory of dissolution, suggest the growing of disorganized C-S-H on the original grains and finishing the induction stage even at Ca²⁺ undersaturation.

Applying the concept presented by Romano et al. [12] the monitoring of heat flow in the acceleration period showed that the nucleation effect of powder was negligible using NE-BR, due to a large amount of coarse particles, but considerable in the compositions with SE-BR1 and SE-BR2. During this period there is a dynamic equilibrium, and removing the ions from the solution by precipitation of hydrated compounds, the under-saturation of alite will increase, causing an increase in the dissolution rate up to the replacement of ions in solution [12]. In this way, using different kinds and contents of bauxite residue, it was observed distinct dissolution rates.

During the deceleration stage, there is a considerable decrease in the available surface for the growth of hydrated products, so they start to impinge. In this way, the calcium silicate hydrate formation and portlandite are occurring slowly [12]. At that stage, the presence of the larger amount of aluminates inserted using bauxite residue affects the formation of ettringite (AFt) and conversion in monosulfoaluminate (AFm) [24].

Gain on consistency over time

Figure 8 represents the impact of using bauxite residue collected from the different sites in Brazil in the gain on consistency over the time ($G'$) of blended Portland cement.

The storage modulus, $G'$, express the elastic response of material during the transition from fluid to elastic behavior in the consolidation. At the oscillatory test, part of the applied strain (at a controlled frequency) is dissipated by the energy dissipation mechanisms in the bulk of cement paste, and another part is stored in the material: higher $G'$ denotes more solid-like property. So, during the time sweep test, $G'$ was monitored under a quasi-static condition, indicating the development of the suspension stiffening when at rest and that can be related to the applied or molded material.

![Figure 8](image-url) Gain on the consistency of blended pastes. From top to bottom are presented the results for NE-BR, SE-BR1, and SE-BR2, respectively.

For the compositions with NE-BR the impact of residue content is negligible up to 4 hours of monitoring, and after this time only in the composition with the highest amount of BR presented an accentuated growth in $G'$, showing the increase in the particle agglomeration.
For the other compositions, a similar behavior was observed up to 2.5 hours of monitoring, independently of kind of bauxite residue. However, after this time, the gain on consistency promoted by the SE-BR2 was more accentuated than by the SE-BR1 and close to the reference paste.

These alterations in viscoelastic behavior were irreversible due to the hydration reactions of the cement. Thus, an understanding of the chemical influence related to this stage of fluid-to-solid transition is important for the comprehension of how those changes occurred.

**Combined evaluation: G’ vs cumulative heat**

A combined evaluation of the results obtained in the different tests can provide a clearer notion of the cause-effect relationship in the hardening process of the cementitious suspensions evaluated as a function of the type and content of bauxite residue. It was possible to visualize that the kinetics of agglomeration and the kinetics of chemical reactions are phenomena that occur in parallel and are directly related.

Figure 9 shows the physicochemical contribution to the hardening stage of each suspension. In (a) is presented the results for NE-BR, in (b) for SE-BR1, and (c) SE-BR2.

![Figure 9](image)

Figure 9 Monitoring the hardening stage combining the results of oscillatory rheometry and isothermal calorimetry. In above is presented the results for NE-BR, in the middle for SE-BR1 and below for SE-BR2.

In summary, using bauxite residues of these three distinct sites, different developments of microstructure were observed. In the compositions with NE-BR, the use of residue had a negligible influence on the cement hydration and on the gain on consistency over time. The only difference was observed in the composition 20NE-BR after 4 hours of monitoring the G’. On the other hand, the most impacting influence of the SE-BR1 was in the chemical reaction, causing a considerable delay for the development of hydrated compounds, but the gain on consistency was also affected by the content of residue. In the compositions with partial replacement of Portland cement by 5 and 10% of SE-BR1, the hardening stage followed the same pattern of physicochemical changes that were observed for the reference paste at least up to 2 hours of monitoring. However, for the composition SE-20BR1, the changes in G’ value were very slow at the beginning of hardening, but from 2.5 hours of monitoring the physical contribution had more impact on the development of microstructure.

This is an important observation because in this composition was quantified the highest delay in the chemical reaction, confirming that the discussion of the hardening process must be done correlating both parameters.

This behavior reveals an abrupt rise in the dominance of the agglomeration forces due to the cement hydration evolution, thus indicating the minimum amount reaction requested to change the balance to surface attractive forces in the system. More specifically, the ionic strength and the particle specific surface area are increased due to the formation of cement hydrated compounds, thus increasing these agglomeration forces.
Finally, even with the clear impact of using SE-BR2 (kind and content) during the development of the hardening stage, the difference with the reference paste was the lowest compared with the other residues.

CONCLUSION

The proper replacement of Portland cement by bauxite residue depends, as in the case of other mineral additions, on the correct evaluation of the resulting rheological properties after the addition. These properties depend on the different physical characteristics of the particles concerning the cement.

Converging with some studies reported in the literature, it can be said that in this work, the hardening stage of the cementitious material was not only related to the chemical reaction, but also to the surface forces raised with the hydration reaction and with the changes in the liquid phase: even with the impact of bauxite residue, the chemical reaction was fundamental but insufficient for the gain on the consistency of the suspensions.

The Brazilian Northeast residue (NE-BR) was the only one that presented a negligible impact on the Portland cement chemical reaction (up to 10% of substitution), independently of the content used. However, the microstructural hardening was lower than for the reference suspension (prepared using only Portland cement).

For the other suspensions, even with the lower rigidification comparing with the Portland cement, the changes promoted using different contents of bauxite residue were negligible up to 2.5 hours of monitoring: although after this time, the differences of $G'$ over time were more intense in the compositions with SE-BR1.

On the other hand, both residues from the Brazilian Southeast have had an impact on the development of the chemical reaction of cement: causing a delay due to the presence of a high amount of soluble sodium and aluminates (SE-BR1) or accentuate the nucleation effect (SE-BR2).

So, the correct comprehension of the phenomena that occur during the hardening of cementitious materials, i.e. from viscous fluid to elastic solid behavior, is extremely important for the development of eco-friendly cement composition, with better and more durable properties.

The analysis of the changes occurred during the hardening is not insignificant since several physicochemical phenomena take place at the same time and always change the cement microstructure. This means that for studies with other types of cement associated with other kinds of content of bauxite residue the trends could not be the same as those observed in this work.
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