Evolutionary Diversity of Prophage DNA in Klebsiella pneumoniae Chromosomes
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Mobile gene elements play an important role in the continuous evolution of the prophage DNA of bacteria, promoting the emergence of new gene structures. This study explored the evolution of four strains of Klebsiella pneumoniae harboring prophages, 19051, 721005, 911021, and 675920, and 16 genomes of K. pneumoniae from GenBank. The results revealed a wide range of genetic variation in the prophage DNA inserted into the sap sites of K. pneumoniae chromosomes. From analysis and comparison of the sequences of the 20 prophage DNAs determined from the four strains and the 16 GenBank genomes of K. pneumoniae using high-throughput sequencing and antimicrobial susceptibility tests, we identified a novel transposon, Tn6556. We also identified at least nine large genetic structures with massive genetic acquisitions or losses and five hotspot sites showing a tendency to undergo insertion of gene elements such as IS1T, IS1R, IS26, ISKpn26, ISKpn28, Tn6556, MDR, and In27-related regions as variable regions; however, the only highly conserved core genes were int and umuCD among the 20 prophage DNAs. These findings provide important insights into the evolutionary diversity of bacteriophage DNA contained in K. pneumoniae.
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INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes originate from environmental bacteria, especially from soil bacteria, which are thought to have evolved together with related antibiotic production organisms over thousands of years (Dcosta et al., 2011; Nesme et al., 2014). It usually takes several years of clinical use of drugs associated with mobile AMR genes for the genes to penetrate human pathogen populations (Lewis, 2013). Hundreds of mobile AMR genes have been found in Klebsiella pneumoniae (Holt et al., 2015; Navon-Venezia et al., 2017). The accumulation of AMR in these bacteria is mainly related to horizontal gene transfer assisted by plasmids and mobile gene elements (Pendleton et al., 2013), such as insertions (IS), transposons (Tn), and integrons (In). Evolutionary forces drive the growth of bacteria in many circumstances, and survival under less than optimal conditions is essential. Therefore, bacteria often acquire the ability to survive in the presence of an antimicrobial agent in an effort to adapt to their environment (Alanis, 2005).
Bacteriophages (phages) are viruses that infect bacteria; they constitute a large and diverse group within microorganisms. It is estimated that approximately 20% of the total bacterial genome has been obtained from phage elements, indicating an evolutionary correlation between bacteria and phages (Brüssow et al., 2004). Phages induce antiviral immunity and prevent the elimination of bacterial infections (Sweere et al., 2019). A number of studies have shown that in mammals, phages are also related to bacterial colonization (Davies et al., 2016; Shen et al., 2019).

Phages that integrate into bacterial chromosomes are called prophages, which are important gene elements of bacterial chromosomes and enable horizontal gene transfer between bacteria and phages (Bushman, 2002). The interaction between phages and bacteria may have evolutionary benefits because many bacterial species have phage-derived factors in their genomes that benefit their survival, and such genetic exchange can be promoted given the appropriate ecological conditions (Calero-Cáceres et al., 2019). For example, Wang et al. (2010) described how prophage DNA can help the host survive under multiple adverse conditions including the presence of antibiotics and environmental stress. Prophages also encode the virulence factors of many pathogens (Castillo et al., 2018; Deutsch et al., 2018). Attempts have been made to use phages to treat multidrug-resistant bacterial infections (Furlaro et al., 2018; Torres-Barceló, 2018; Dedrick et al., 2019; Kortright et al., 2018; Torres-Barceló, 2018; Dedrick et al., 2019; Kortright et al., 2019), but their function and significance remain unclear (Keen et al., 2004). Phages induce antiviral immunity and prevent the maintenance and dissemination of acquired resistance genes (Calero-Cáceres et al., 2019).

Klebsiella pneumoniae is an important conditional pathogen in hospitals and the environment. The global multidrug resistance (MDR) problem of K. pneumoniae is becoming more and more serious, and the antimicrobial treatment options for infection are limited (Rice, 2010). The role of prophages in highly virulent and multidrug resistant pathogens, including K. pneumoniae, has received much attention (Brown-Jaque et al., 2015). Building on previous studies, herein, four strains of K. pneumoniae, 19051, 675920, 721005, and 911021, from hospital patient specimens and 16 genomes of K. pneumoniae from GenBank were compared and analyzed for the presence of prophage DNA at the sap sites in an effort to confirm its importance as the basis of the horizontal transfer of resistance genes in the prophage DNA. A novel transposon, Tn6556, was discovered and the related properties of the prophage genes inserted into the sap sites of K. pneumoniae are described. This study describes the diversity of prophage genetic mutations, and deciphers the detailed molecular mechanisms of AMR for K. pneumoniae harboring prophage DNA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Taizhou Municipal Hospital, Taizhou University, Zhejiang, China, and written informed consent was obtained from each of the participants in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The rights of the research subjects were protected throughout, and we confirm that this study was conducted in our hospital.

The use of human specimens and all related experimental protocols were approved by the Committee on Human Research of the indicated institutions, and the protocols were carried out in accordance with approved guidelines.

Bacterial Strains and Sequencing of the 16S rRNA Gene

Klebsiella pneumoniae strains 19051 and 721005 were isolated from urine samples of two patients in hospital in 2011 and 2013. K. pneumoniae strain 911021 was obtained from the urine of a patient in a teaching hospital in 2014. K. pneumoniae strain 675920 was obtained from a patient's sputum in another hospital in 2015. Escherichia coli TOP10 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States) was used as the host for cloning and azide-resistant E. coli J53 was used as the recipient strain for the conjugation experiments.

For PCR amplification of the almost complete 16S rRNA genes of the K. pneumoniae strains, the following universal eubacterial primers were used: AGAGTTTGATYMTGGCTCAG (forward), and TACCTTGTTACGACTT (Y, T or C; M, A or C) (reverse). The length of the amplicon was about 1,500 bp (Frank et al., 2008). The Taq enzyme was a Fermentas Taq:Pfu 3:1 mixture (ThermoFisher Scientific, Burlington, VT, United States). The 30 µl reaction contained 1.5 U of enzyme. Amplification was carried out using a temperature program consisting of initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 40 s, annealing at 50°C for 40 s, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were bidirectionally sequenced to confirm their identity.

Conjugative Transfer of Prophage DNA

Sodium azide-resistant E. coli J53 was used as a receptor, and the prophage-containing K. pneumoniae strains 19051, 675920, 721005, and 911021 were used as donors for the conjugative transfer experiments. Small amounts (10–20 µl) of donor and recipient glycerol bacteria were inoculated in 3 ml of brain heart infusion (BHI) broth (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, United States). After culture overnight, the donor bacteria were mixed with the recipient bacteria, centrifuged, resuspended in 80 µl of BHI broth, supplemented with a resuspended bacterial solution at the surface of a filter (filter size 1 cm², pore size 0.45 µm), and the filter was adhered to BHI (BD Biosciences) agar plates. The cells were fully absorbed by the filter and cultured at 37°C for 12–18 h. The bacteria were then washed from the filter and spotted on to the BHI agar plates containing 200 µg/ml sodium azide and 50 µg/ml amikacin to select conjugons harboring the prophage.

Sequencing and Sequence Assembly

Genomic DNA was isolated from each of the 19051, 721005, and 911021 isolates using a Qiagen Blood & Cell Culture DNA Maxi Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Genome sequencing was performed with a sheared DNA library with an average size of
15 kb (ranging from 10 to 20 kb) on a PacBio RSII sequencer (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA, United States), as well as a paired-end library with an average insert size of 400 bp (ranging from 150 to 600 kb) on a HiSeq sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States). The paired-end short Illumina reads were used to correct the long PacBio reads utilizing proovread (Hackl et al., 2014), and then the corrected PacBio reads were assembled de novo utilizing SMART de novo1.

For the 675920 isolate, genome sequencing was performed with a Qiagen large construct kit with sequencing from a mate-pair library with average insert size of 5,000 bp using a MiSeq sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States). Sequence assembly was performed as described previously (Feng et al., 2016). Briefly, the contigs were assembled using Newbler 2.6 (Nederbragt, 2014).

Sequence Annotation and Comparison
Open reading frames and pseudogenes were predicted using RAST2.0 (Brettin et al., 2015), BLASTP/BLASTN (Boratyn et al., 2013), UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot (Boutet et al., 2016), and RefSeq databases (O’Leary et al., 2016). Annotation of drug resistance genes, mobile elements, and other features was performed using online databases such as CARD (Liang et al., 2017), ResFinder (Zankari et al., 2012), ISfinder (Sigier et al., 2006), INTEGRALL (Moura et al., 2009), and the Tn Number Registry (Roberts et al., 2008). Multiple and pairwise sequence comparisons were performed using MUSCLE 3.8.31 (Edgar, 2004) and BLASTN. The genome map was drawn using Inkscape 0.48.1.2.

Phenotypic Assays
The method used for testing bacterial resistance was BioMérieux VITEK2, and the results were determined in accordance with the 2017 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Association (CLSI) guidelines (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [CLSI], 2017).

Comparison of the Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms of the Backbone Sequence and Insertion Site of the Variable Region
The evolution tree of the nucleotide sequence for the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the backbone was inferred using the Maximum Likelihood method. The analyses were conducted using MEGA 7.0.14 software. The insertion gene elements of the prophage variable region were identified using MeV4.9.0 software.

Sequence Coverage and Identity of Prophage DNA, and Drug Resistance Genes or Mobile Gene Elements of the Variable Region
The hotmaps of sequence identity and coverage of prophage DNA and the comparative study of the drug resistance genes or mobile gene elements in the variable region were drawn with MeV 4.9.0 software.

Nucleotide Sequence Accession Numbers
Nucleotide sequence accession numbers of the prophage DNA sequences in the four strains, namely, 19051, 675920, 721005, and 911021, and the 16 genomes of K. pneumoniae from GenBank are shown in Table 2.

RESULTS
Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests and Transferrable Features
The 16 S rRNA sequences were determined to be K. pneumoniae using BLAST. The results of the antimicrobial susceptibility tests on four isolates of K. pneumoniae (19051, 675920, 721005, and 911021) are shown in Table 1. After these tests, we used E. coli J53 as a recipient strain to conduct conjugative transfer experiments on 19051-sap, 675920-sap, 721005-sap, and 991021-sap of K. pneumoniae harboring prophage. However, we could not create conjugons carrying prophage despite repeated trials.

Comparison and Analysis of Prophage DNA
The 20 prophages in this study were all identified from the K. pneumoniae chromosomes. A naming convention of ΦXXX-sap was used to indicate where the prophage sequences were inserted into the sap sites of the chromosomes. The shortest prophage sequence was 18 kb and the longest was 65 kb, including 39–109 bp open reading frames. The average G + C content in the prophages was 49.6–53.6% (Figure 1, Table 2, and Supplementary Table S1). The region from 1 to 1,633 bp at the 5′ end was the common core backbone region of all sequences. All sequences contained the core genes int and umuC =D except for ΦGOS436-sap and ΦGOS442-sap (Figure 1). The same 16 bp in the forward direction sequences (DRs: target site duplication signals) was confirmed at both sides of all sequences (Figure 1). A more detailed genomic comparison revealed that the same or different sites in the backbone of the prophages were interrupted by various insertion sequences, including IS1R, IS1, IS2, IS26, ISKpn26, ISKpn28, Tn6556, MDR, and the In27-related region, except for in ΦGoe154414-sap, ΦKPNH50-sap, and ΦKPNH39-sap (Table 2). Compared with Φ34618-sap, the sequences of the backbone regions of the other prophages were gradually lost. Only int and umucD were highly conserved core genes (Figure 1).

Massive Genetic Acquisitions or Losses Resulting in Evolution of Prophage DNA
At least nine large genetic structures that appeared to be from acquisitions or losses were identified in the 20 prophages in this study (Figure 2). First, IS2 was inserted

1https://github.com/ruanjue/smartdenovo
2https://inkscape.org/en
### TABLE 1 | Antimicrobial drug susceptibility profiles.

| Category         | Antimicrobial drug           | MIC (mg/L)/antimicrobial susceptibility |
|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                  |                              | 19051 | 675920 | 721005 | 911021 |
| Penicillins      | Ampicillin                   | R     | R      | R      | R      |
|                  | Ampicillin/sulbactam         | R     | R      | R      | R      |
|                  | Piperacillin                 | R     | R      | R      | R      |
|                  | Piperacillin/tazobactam      | R     | R      | R      | R      |
| Cephalosporins   | Cefazolin                    | R     | R      | R      | R      |
|                  | Cefuroxime                   | R     | R      | R      | R      |
|                  | Cefuroxime axetil            | R     | R      | R      | R      |
|                  | Cefazidime                   | R     | R      | R      | R      |
|                  | Ceftiraxone                  | R     | R      | R      | R      |
|                  | Ceftazidime                  | R     | R      | R      | R      |
| Monobactam       | Aztreonam                    | R     | R      | R      | R      |
| Carbapenems      | Imipenem                     | R     | R      | R      | R      |
|                  | Meropenem                    | R     | R      | R      | R      |
| Aminoglycosides  | Amikacin                     | R     | R      | S      | R      |
|                  | Gentamicin                   | R     | R      | I      | R      |
|                  | Tobramycin                   | R     | R      | R      | R      |
| Fluoroquinolones | Ciprofloxacin                | R     | R      | R      | R      |
|                  | Levofloxacin                 | R     | R      | R      | R      |
| Furan            | Nitrofurantoin               | R     | R      | I      | R      |
| Sulfanilamides   | Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole| R     | R      | R      | R      |

*S = sensitive; R = resistant; I = intermediately resistant.

### TABLE 2 | Major features of prophages analyzed.

| Prophage | Accession number | Total Length (bp) | Total number of ORFs | Mean G + C content,% | Host bacterium | Accessory modules |
|----------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Φ34618-sap | CP010392        | 65,478            | 109                  | 51.9                 | K. pneumoniae | ISKpn28, ISKpn26, Tn6556# |
| Φ20046-sap | CP028793        | 59,286            | 94                   | 51.5                 | K. pneumoniae | ISKpn28, Tn6556# |
| ΦGOS436-sap | CP023907        | 50,549            | 76                   | 51.2                 | K. pneumoniae | ISKpn28, IS26, IS26 |
| Φ3562-sap  | CP025005        | 47,619            | 72                   | 51.0                 | K. pneumoniae | ISKpn28, ISKpn26, IS26 |
| ΦCAV1392-sap | CP011578        | 42,258            | 62                   | 50.9                 | K. pneumoniae | IS26 |
| ΦGeo154414-sap | CP018337       | 57,375            | 73                   | 51.6                 | K. pneumoniae | – |
| ΦAR0152-sap | CP021944        | 57,211            | 73                   | 51.6                 | K. pneumoniae | IS1T |
| ΦKPS-sap | CP012426        | 56,637            | 79                   | 51.4                 | K. pneumoniae | IS2, IS1T |
| ΦKPN1H50-sap | CP026177        | 55,270            | 69                   | 51.9                 | K. pneumoniae | – |
| Φ459-sap     | CP018306        | 51,879            | 70                   | 51.3                 | K. pneumoniae | IS1T |
| ΦKPN1H39-sap | CP014762        | 52,648            | 63                   | 50.1                 | K. pneumoniae | – |
| Φ911021-sap | CP022882        | 28,584            | 53                   | 51.1                 | K. pneumoniae | ΔTn6556# |
| Φ675920-sap | CP033242        | 29,785            | 57                   | 51.2                 | K. pneumoniae | ISKpn26, ΔTn6556# |
| Φ20079-sap | CP029384        | 29,685            | 59                   | 51.0                 | K. pneumoniae | ISKpn28, ΔTn6556# |
| ΦKPN1H36-sap | CP014647        | 30,388            | 64                   | 52.7                 | K. pneumoniae | ISKpn26, Tn6556# |
| Φ721005-sap | CP022997        | 51,353            | 87                   | 49.6                 | K. pneumoniae | MDR region# |
| ΦGOS442-sap | CP023925        | 35,881            | 65                   | 50.5                 | K. pneumoniae | IS2, IS1T, ISKpn28, IS1R |
| ΦBAAR1246-sap | CP006659        | 23,317            | 46                   | 51.9                 | K. pneumoniae | ΔTn6556# |
| ΦINF164-sap | CP006659        | 18,377            | 46                   | 53.6                 | K. pneumoniae | Tn6556# |
| Φ19051-sap | CP024556        | 28,884            | 39                   | 52.1                 | K. pneumoniae | In27-related region# |

*Accessory modules containing resistance genes. Detailed data are listed in Supplementary Material.*
FIGURE 1 | Comparison of linear analysis of the prophage DNA sequences. The linear analysis of the prophage DNA sequences for \( \Phi 19051 \)-sap, \( \Phi 675920 \)-sap, \( \Phi 721005 \)-sap, and \( \Phi 911021 \)-sap compared with 16 GenBank prophage DNA sequences (GenBank accession numbers are shown in Table 2). Genes are indicated by arrows; characteristics of function and classification are marked with colors for genes and mobile gene elements. Shaded parts indicate homologous regions (nucleotide homology >95%).
FIGURE 2 | Diagram of the insertion site for accessory modules (variable regions). The genes are indicated by arrows; the shaded parts indicate homologous regions (nucleotide homology >95%). Numbers 1 to 5 represent the hotspots with insertions of variable regions, the red arrow and the mark directly above it indicate the specific position and element of the insertion, and the oblique upward arrow marked on the right side of the part of the red arrow indicates the base of the backbone in the prophage caused by the insertion of the variable region, resulting in deletions of the base (accurate to 0.1 kb).
into the genes \textit{int} (integrase gene) (FKP5-sap) and \textit{recT} (recombinant repairing protein RecT) (FGOS442-sap), leading to both genes being disrupted into two parts, \textit{Int-s’} and \textit{Int-3’}, and \textit{RecT-s’} and \textit{RecT-3’}, but no base loss was induced. Second, the insertion of IS1T into the \textit{orf309} and \textit{orf396} genes was generally determined; however, these simple insertions might not delete bases in \PhiAR0152-sap, FKP5-sap, F459-sap, and FGOS442-sap. Third, ISKpn26 was inserted upstream of the \textit{hol} (holin) gene, resulting in a 3.1-kb loss in \Phi20046-sap, but no base variation occurred in \Phi34618-sap, FGOS436-sap, \Phi3562-sap, \Phi20079-sap, and FGOS442-sap. Fourth, the insertion of IS1R downstream of the \textit{yjdB} gene resulted in a 5.2-kb loss in FGOS442-sap. Fifth, the insertion of ISKpn26 upstream of the \textit{orf336} gene caused a 10.3-kb loss in \Phi3562-sap and a 32.3-kb loss in \PhiKPNIHH36-sap. Sixth, the insertion of \textit{Tn6556} upstream of the \textit{hin} gene resulted in a 35.2-kb loss in each of \Phi911021-sap, \Phi675920-sap, and \Phi20079-sap, a 37.6-kb loss in \PhiBAA2146-sap, and a 45.2-kb loss in \PhiINF164-sap; however, the insertion of \textit{Tn6556} upstream of the \textit{hin} gene in \Phi34618-sap, \Phi20046-sap, and \PhiKPNIHH36-sap did not cause any sequence deletion. Seventh, two IS26s were inserted into FGOS436-sap, located in the middle and downstream of the \textit{orf3069} gene, splitting this gene into two parts, \textit{Deltaorf3069-5’} and \textit{Deltaorf3069-3’}, resulting in an 8.9-kb deletion in FGOS436-sap. IS26 in \PhiCAV1392-sap inserted upstream of the \textit{hin} gene resulted in a 13.4-kb loss, while the insertion of IS26 upstream of the \textit{hin} gene in \Phi3562-sap also did not cause any base loss. Eighth, among the 20 sequences analyzed, only \textit{Ph21005-sap} containing the MDR region, located upstream of the \textit{reta} gene (reverse transcriptase gene), resulted in a 34.1-kb loss, including \textit{hin} and its upstream genes. Ninth, the exogenous insertion into \Phi19051-sap of the In27-related region, located between the \textit{hin} and \textit{exoVIII} genes (deoxyribonuclease \textit{exoVIII}), resulted in a 45-kb loss, involving part of the \textit{hin} and \textit{exoVIII} genes.

The MDR Region From \textit{Ph21005-sap}

The largest number of drug resistance genes in \textit{Ph21005-sap} was found to be located in the MDR region (Figure 3), followed by the \textit{Tn1548}-related region, in which \textit{In27} in the original \textit{Tn1548} was replaced by \textit{In127}, the truncated \textit{repActIN} gene, and a 7.2-kb-long unknown functional region \textit{trb}-to-\textit{pem}, a 2.5-kb-long \textit{Tn2} remnant (including \textit{Tn2} IRL and truncated \textit{tnpA}), and complete \textit{Tn6502} (containing the beta-lactamase resistance gene \textit{bla}_{CTX-M-55}). \textit{Tn1548} was shown to be a composite transposon flanked by IS26 with no forward direction repeats (DRs) at both ends and its structure was IS26-\textit{In27}-\textit{ISCR1-\DeltaISec28-armA} (aminoglycoside resistance gene)-\textit{ISEc29-msr(E)-mph(E)} (macrolide resistance gene)-orf543-\textit{repActIN-IS26}. \textit{In27} in \textit{Tn1548} could be replaced by different class 1 integrons, forming many \textit{Tn1548}-related elements (González-Zorn et al., 2005; Du et al., 2012). In127, unlike In27, had only one \textit{aadA2} (aminoglycoside resistance gene) (Figure 3).

Evolution of the In27-Related Region From \textit{Phi19051-sap}

\textit{Tn1696} belongs to the \textit{Tn21} subgroup of the \textit{Tn3} transposon family. It was produced by insertion of the dissociation site (\textit{res}) into the core backbone region by class 1 integron \textit{In4}, and its structure was shown to be IRL (left reverse repeat)-\textit{tnpA} (transposase)-\textit{tnpR} (dissociation enzyme)-\textit{res} (dissociation site)-\textit{mer} (mercury resistance site)-IRR (right reverse repeat sequence) (Partridge et al., 2001). The In27-related region in \Phi19051-sap was derived from \textit{Tn1696}, which was produced by five major evolutionary events in \textit{Tn1696} as follows. First, the truncated \textit{IS5075} was inserted into the middle of the IRR, resulting in only a 22-bp remnant in the IRR. Second, the incomplete \textit{In27} was inserted into the same \textit{res} site of \textit{In4}, and the \textit{res} was truncated to the \textit{5’} end as a 75-bp remnant. The sequences of the \textit{In27} and \textit{In4} gene cassettes were completely different; the \textit{In27} gene cassette featured \textit{aadA2-gcuF-dfrA12}, while the \textit{In4} gene cassette contained \textit{cmIa1-\textit{aadA2}-orf\textit{E}-accc1}. Moreover, the 3’-CS of \textit{In27} was absent. \textit{AadA2} and \textit{dfrA1} are genes conferring aminoglycoside resistance and trimethoprim resistance, respectively, and \textit{gcuF} encodes a pseudo protein. The other three events included the insertion of \textit{\Deltahl} (bleomycin resistance gene) and \textit{nimA} (unknown function), the deletion of the \textit{mer} gene, and the replacement of \textit{IS26} and \textit{\DeltaIS5075} by \textit{IS6100}. Downstream of \textit{IS5075} was \textit{\DeltaGlsul2} (3’ end of \textit{Glsul2}: res-gorf225-\textit{ISCR2-glmMsul2}) and \textit{IS26}. \textit{Glsul2} is a large mobile element carrying integrase (\textit{int}), including the resolvase gene \textit{resG}, several conjugative transfer genes, sulfonamide resistance gene \textit{su}2, and \textit{ISCR2} sequences, and is present in various bacteria (Nigro and Hall, 2011).

The Novel Composite Transposon \textit{Tn6556}

\textit{Tn6556} is a novel composite transposon first discovered in \Phi34618-sap, \PhiKPNIHH36-sap, and \Phi20046-sap (Figure 3). \textit{Tn6556} consists of class I integron \textit{In127} and three independent inserts, \textit{IS26-\textit{In127}} (\textit{Aintl1-aadA2-qacED1-sul1-orf5-orf6}-\textit{IS6100-IS26}), and 8-bp forward DRs on both ends. Further truncation of the \textit{Aintl1} gene made \textit{Tn6556} incomplete in \PhiBAA2146-sap. The deletion of \textit{IS6100} and its upstream \textit{orf6} and \textit{orf5}, together with the truncation of \textit{sul1}, resulted in significant truncation of \textit{Tn6556} in \Phi675920-sap, \Phi911021-sap, and \Phi20079-sap, but the \textit{aadA2} gene remained intact. No forward DRs were found on both ends of \textit{Tn6556} in \PhiINF164-sap, \PhiBAA2146-sap, \Phi675920-sap, \Phi911021-sap, and \Phi20079-sap. The classical structure of the prototype for the \textit{Tn402-associated class I integron is IRI (integron end inverted direction repeat)} -5’-CS [5’-conserved fragment: \textit{intl1} (integrase), \textit{attl} (specific recombination site), GC (gene cassette array), 3’-CS [3’-conserved fragment: \textit{qacED1-sul1-orf5-orf6}], a \textit{Tn402} module \textit{[iniA (transposase)-tniB} (ATP-binding protein) -\textit{tniQ} (transposition auxiliary protein) -\textit{res-tniR} (serine dissociation enzyme)], and IRt (\textit{tni} terminal inverted direction repeat). \textit{In127} contained a truncated 5’-CS,
FIGURE 3 | Comparison of an exogenous insertion containing a drug resistance gene and the relevant gene elements. Genes are indicated by arrows; genes, mobile elements, and other features are colored based on function and classification. Shaded regions indicate homologous nucleotides (nucleotide homology >95%); the numbers in parentheses indicate the relative nucleotide site. The exogenous insertion (Tn6556) with the identified sequence is included in the Figure at the lower left part.

Evolutionary Analysis of the Prophage DNA Sequences

The evolutionary relationships of the 20 prophage DNAs are shown in Figures 4A–C, 5, 6. The identities of the related SNPs found in the backbone sequence were obtained with high confidence among the BAA2146-sap, INF164-sap, 721005-sap, 675920-sap, 911021-sap, 20079-sap, 18707-sap, 24931-sap, and 34618-sap (Figure 4A, all identified above a 93% confidence level, purple line). The identities of the related SNPs found in AR0152-sap and GOS442-sap were obtained at a 99% confidence level (Figure 4A, purple line). These results suggested that this region of the backbone sequence was largely homologous. However, regions of the backbone sequence where the identities of the SNPs were associated with a confidence level of less than 60% indicated that the nucleotide sequence of that backbone region was diverse compared with the above region. These results are consistent with the elements and sites of insertion shown in Figures 4B,C. Figure 4B shows the insertion sites of the genes or gene elements. Figure 4C graphically shows the inserted genes or gene elements. Taken together, Figure 4 summarizes the evolution and changes of the 20 prophage DNAs, including the sites and types of inserted genes or gene elements, suggesting there are contradictory features in phage DNA stability and variation.
**FIGURE 4** | Evolutionary relationships of prophage DNA for four strains of *K. pneumoniae* DNA and 16 prophage DNAs identified from GenBank. (A) Evolution tree of prophage backbone. The evolutionary history was inferred using the Maximum Likelihood method. (B) Insertion site of the variable region. Insertion sites $\oplus$, $\ominus$, $\otimes$, $\ominus$, and $\oplus$ are identical to those of Figure 2. (C) Gene elements of the prophage variable region. Data is also collected from Figure 2.

**FIGURE 5** | Sequence coverage and identity among the prophage DNAs for four strains of *K. pneumoniae* and 16 prophage DNAs identified from GenBank. There is high sequence identity (average nucleotide homology >95%), but various coverage among the 20 prophage DNAs based on Supplementary Table S1.
The correlativity of drug resistance genes or mobile gene elements are determined with CARD, ResFinder, and Tn Number Registry databases among the four strains of *K. pneumoniae* and 16 prophage DNAs identified from GenBank. There are only 10 prophage DNAs harboring drug resistance genes, which are generally located on mobile gene elements such as the MDR region, In27-related region and novel Tn6556.

Figure 5 illustrates the high identity of the partial sequence in the 20 prophage DNAs, indicating an average nucleotide identity (homology) of > 95%, consistent with the shaded regions in Figures 1–3. However, the various coverages of the 20 prophage DNAs vividly suggest the polymorphism of evolution and change. Figure 6 reveals the relationship among the drug resistance genes or gene elements in the variable region, suggesting the ever-changing characteristics of gene elements. These results suggest the high sequence identification and homology of prophage DNA and helped to characterize the evolutionary diversity of the prophage DNA examined in this study.

**DISCUSSION**

The analysis of four prophage DNA sequences and 16 similar prophage DNA sequences from GenBank indicated that they were all specifically inserted into the *sap* sites of *K. pneumoniae* chromosomes. It is well known that *sapABC* is a permease of the peptide transport system, which mediates the transport of phages or particles into *K. pneumoniae* cells; accordingly, the capsule biosynthesis of phage in *K. pneumoniae* is productive and regulated (Dorman et al., 2018). The *sap ABC* transporter promotes capsule production by increasing gene expression in the middle of the capsule (Dorman et al., 2018). Then, the *sapABC* system replicates and inserts phage DNA into the *K. pneumoniae* DNA, forming prophage DNA. Over time, after experiencing the above-mentioned massive genetic acquisitions and losses, including changes to the lysogenic transformation regions, DNA replication regions, and transcriptional regulatory regions of the prophage, among others, the bases of the backbone regions were gradually lost over the course of evolution. This would increase excessive insertions with or without drug resistance genes or gene elements such as *IS1T*, *ISIR*,...
TABLE 3 | Drug resistance genes in mobile elements analyzed in this study.

| Prophage       | Resistance marker | Resistance phenotype                        | Nucleotide position     | Region located |
|---------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| **Φ34818-sap** | aadA2            | Aminoglycoside resistance                   | 51522..52301            | Tn6556         |
|               | qacED1           | Quaternary ammonium compound resistance     | 52465..52812            |                |
|               | sul1             | Sulfonamide resistance                      | 52806..53645            |                |
| **Φ20046-sap** | aadA2            | Aminoglycoside resistance                   | 47240..48019            | Tn6556         |
|               | qacED1           | Quaternary ammonium compound resistance     | 48183..48530            |                |
|               | sul1             | Sulfonamide resistance                      | 48524..49063            |                |
| **Φ911021-sap**| aadA2            | Aminoglycoside resistance                   | 18831..19610            | ΔTn6556        |
|               | qacED1           | Quaternary ammonium compound resistance     | 19774..20121            |                |
|               | Δsul1            | Sulfonamide resistance                      | 20115..20673            |                |
| **Φ675920-sap**| aadA2            | Aminoglycoside resistance                   | 20032..20811            | ΔTn6556        |
|               | qacED1           | Quaternary ammonium compound resistance     | 20975..21322            |                |
|               | Δsul1            | Sulfonamide resistance                      | 21216..21774            |                |
| **Φ20079-sap** | aadA2            | Aminoglycoside resistance                   | 19932..20711            | ΔTn6556        |
|               | qacED1           | Quaternary ammonium compound resistance     | 20875..21222            |                |
|               | Δsul1            | Sulfonamide resistance                      | 21316..21874            |                |
| **ΦKPNIH36-sap**| aadA2            | Aminoglycoside resistance                   | 18342..19121            | Tn6556         |
|               | qacED1           | Quaternary ammonium compound resistance     | 19285..19632            |                |
|               | sul1             | Sulfonamide resistance                      | 19626..20465            |                |
| **Φ721005-sap**| aadA2            | Aminoglycoside resistance                   | 18830..19609            | MDR region     |
|               | qacED1           | Quaternary ammonium compound resistance     | 19773..20120            |                |
|               | sul1             | Sulfonamide resistance                      | 20114..20953            |                |
|               | armA             | Aminoglycoside resistance                   | 24295..25068            |                |
|               | msr(E)           | Macrolide resistance                       | 27367..28842            |                |
|               | mph(E)           | Macrolide resistance                       | 28898..29782            |                |
|               | blaCTX-M-55      | β-lactam resistance                        | 44246..45121            |                |
| **ΦBAA2146-sap**| aadA2            | Aminoglycoside resistance                   | 11271..12050            | ΔTn6556        |
|               | qacED1           | Quaternary ammonium compound resistance     | 12214..12561            |                |
|               | sul1             | Sulfonamide resistance                      | 12555..13394            |                |
| **ΦINF164-sap**| aadA2            | Aminoglycoside resistance                   | 4421..5200              | Tn6556         |
|               | qacED1           | Quaternary ammonium compound resistance     | 5364..5711              |                |
|               | sul1             | Sulfonamide resistance                      | 5705..6544              |                |
| **Φ19051-sap** | Δble             | Bleomycin resistance                       | 12881..13272            | In27-related   |
|               | aadA2            | Aminoglycoside resistance                   | 14469..15248            |                |
|               | dfrA12           | Trimethoprim resistance                     | 15668..16165            |                |

Detailed data are listed in Supplementary Material.

IS26, ISKpn26, ISKpn28, Tn6556, In27-related, and the MDR region. These factors enable the host bacteria to reduce their metabolism and external pressures, promoting better adaptation to the environment, and revealing the evolutionary benefits of prophage DNAs.

Over the course of prophage evolution, the int gene has always been present, suggesting that it is the most conserved core of the backbone region. Owing to the evolutionary selective pressures present during the process of integrating DNA into host bacteria, the prophage DNA inevitably undergoes gene mutations and deletions. Transfer of prophage DNA requires two reactions: first, excision of the prophage DNA from the host bacterium and second, integration of the prophage into a new host bacterium. The excision of the prophage involves a functional xis gene, but this is not absolute. If there is no Xis enzyme, the integration enzyme (Int) and the integral host factor (IHF) can complete the transfer of the prophage DNA. Integrating a prophage into a new host requires a functional integrase (Int) followed by a specific binding site (attB) in the host, and any nucleotide changes in the core region of the binding site might result in failure of the prophage cell integration (Nash, 1981). Likewise, if the conjugate was integrated into a bacterial cell, the phage could express a protein that was toxic to the cell and kill all exconjugants. Therefore, the failure of the conjugate transfer experiment in this study is not surprising. It is generally accepted that DNA excision depends on phage-encoded proteins, and the excision enzyme (Xis) and integrase (Int) are considered to be involved in the first step in prophage induction, allowing the prophage DNA to be excised and then replicated (Davidson, 2018). However, it has also been reported that several prophages of *Staphylococcus aureus* were found to have significantly delayed transcription of the xis gene compared with that of the genes encoding the proteins required for DNA replication and prophage-particle production. The result of this
delay was the replication of prophage DNA in situ within the bacterial genome and subsequent encapsulation of the prophage DNA, which was still attached to the adjacent bacterial DNA (Chen et al., 2018; Davidson, 2018).

Based on the genes or genetic mobile elements investigated, some of prophages appeared functional and were even phenotypically expressed. Comparative analysis of the 20 prophage genomes from the sap site of the K. pneumoniae chromosome indicated that a positive repeat of 16 bp in length was observed at both ends of all prophages, and the sequences were identical, labeled as attL and attR (Figure 1, a small square flag at both ends of the sequence). Compared with the reference sequence Φ34618-sap, the sequence of the backbone regions of the other prophages was gradually lost. However, the most stable core genes were int and umuCD (Figure 1). At least nine exogenous insertion regions including IS1R, IS1T, IS2, IS26, ISKpn26, ISKpn28, Tn6556, MDR, and In27-related regions were determinate in this study (Table 1), in which Tn6556, MDR, and the In27-related regions were involved as resistance genes. Additionally, a novel composite transposon Tn6556 was discovered in the K. pneumoniae chromosome. Different insertion elements of a series of exogenous insertion regions including IS and Tn on the prophage had relatively specific insertion sites where ISKpn28 was located upstream of the hol gene, ISKpn26 was located upstream of the orf gene, and Tn6556 was located upstream of the hin gene. As the simplest transposition element, the shearing and transfer of IS was accomplished by its own transposase. Tn6556 was flanked by two repeating IS26s, and this repetitive IS mediated homologous recombination of Tn6556, and also resulted in genetic loses near the recombination site resulting in diversity in the prophage DNA.

Tn6556 containing In127 was discovered for the first time in this study (Tables 2, 3 and Figures 2–4, 6). The results of the antimicrobial susceptibility test shown in Table 1 indicated that the K. pneumoniae strains were highly resistant to various antimicrobial agents, suggesting that in addition to the phenotypic expression of the resistance genes or gene elements carried on the chromosome, one or more plasmids carrying resistance genes or gene elements might also be involved (Tables 1–3 and Figures 1–3, 6), and warrants further study. The results of Figure 2 summarizing the five sites of base insertion and nine types of base acquisition or loss in prophage DNA (Table 2 and Figures 2, 3, 4B,C) show in detail the molecular mechanism behind the evolution of the prophage DNA and the changes of AMR (Table 3 and Figure 6). AMR encoded in a bacterial chromosome might originate from new mutations or acquired AMR genes, which could be maintained by replication without selection pressure (Navon-Venezia et al., 2017). This process would facilitate the evolutionary adaptation of bacteria and has a potential impact on the monitoring and treatment of bacterial infections (Shen et al., 2019). Our results confirm that AMR genes are encoded in the chromosome of K. pneumoniae (Mathers et al., 2017), and they can be classified as “core AMR gene” or “acquired AMR gene” (Wyres and Holt, 2016). Furthermore, these results indicate that the incorporation of phage DNA has resulted in a diverse set of K. pneumoniae chromosomes, confirming their ever-changing roles in the evolutionary success of the bacterium. This study did not examine how the phages of the relevant gene locus are induced and transferred to other strains, and how these phages play a role in the transfer of AMR genes, and therefore these questions require further investigation in future studies.

CONCLUSION

The emerging diversity of prophages is the result of base acquisition or loss with a large number of variable regions being associated with insertions of different prophage DNA. This has resulted in various degrees of base loss in the backbone region near the insertion sites, conferring evolutionary diversity on prophage DNA.
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