Development strategies of modern enterprises: a study on Russian metallurgical industry
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Abstract. The development of the metal processing industry requires paying attention both to the environmental safety of technologies of metal processing and to the energy costs. We will look at the economic impact of reducing production costs by saving resources and using environmental engineering tools. Minimizing the cost of protecting the air and water, soils and perennial plantings, recycling and disposal of waste and protecting natural landscapes are an important aspect of the company's development strategy. The article discusses the implementation of elements of strategies announced by the largest steel companies—Novolipetsk and Magnitogorsk Metallurgical Plants, and Severstal PJSC. The definition of strategy has been given. The strategy, on the one hand, is presented as an element of the enterprise's interaction with the external environment. On the other hand, it is seen from the point of view of the company's activity on three levels: corporate–business–functional, each of which, in its turn, consists of certain elements. We highlight the main elements of company’s strategies, which are (generalized) as a combination of operational efficiency and cost reduction (functional strategies)—for all the companies, as well as market leadership (corporate strategy)—only for NLMP. The SWOT-analysis of the activities of all companies highlighted common characteristics of their traits: low growth of the world and domestic economy, low level of use of metallurgical capacity worldwide; the policy of protectionism that replaced globalization, the introduction of duties to enter the markets, the fact that domestic companies have their own resource base, the full production cycle, and other factors. As a general conclusion, despite the complexity of the external environment, companies try to adhere to the stated strategy and maintain high performance of operating efficiency and dividends. Companies can be considered to be confirming to their strategies and a system of planned indicators.

1 Introduction

Strategy is an extremely popular topic in the scientific community today. The research, clarification and interpretation of this concept in practice are devoted to numerous scientific publications (Kvint V.L., Damodaran A., Grant R., Mohorn R., Chan K., Shifrin M.B., Mintzberg G., Porter M. etc.).

2 Methods

In this article, the strategy will be considered in the context of the company’s activities, as a "company strategy". Under the term “the development strategy of the company” we will mean the “chain of actions that will lead to the goals set”, in connection with which the author suggests structuring it according to “periods, functional areas, etc.” [2], and “a general concept that ensures coherence and sets a general direction for actions and decisions of an individual or organization” [1], and “the totality of methods and actions aimed at achieving set goals and implementing tasks” [3].

Thus, these definitions of the strategy have a meaningful similarity, which lies in the existence of a basic idea, a goal that must be achieved through concerted action.

Some authors also suggest considering the company strategy as a link between the company itself (its goals, objectives, resources and capabilities) and the external environment (customers, competitors, suppliers).

At the same time, the company strategy can be considered hierarchically, i.e. divided into several levels [5]:

1. The first level of strategy is corporate strategy:
   • A strategy of different types of growth (concentrated, integrated, diversified);
   • Reduction strategy;

2. The second level of strategy is business strategies:
   • Investment strategy (intensive, selective);
   • Capital withdrawal strategy;
3. The third level is functional strategies:
• Operating, financial, innovative;
• Market (strategy of leadership in costs, differentiation, focus)

This approach allows us to formalize the very concept of "enterprise strategy" by highlighting its essential characteristics. For convenience, let us unite both of these outlooks on the company strategy and analyze the strategies of largest enterprises in the metallurgical industry.

### 3 Results and Discussion

The selection will consist of three of the largest Russian companies operating in the industry: Novolipetsk and Magnitogorsk Metallurgical Plants, and Severstal PJSC.

Let us consider the external environment of companies. About 64-70% of Russian products are sold in the domestic market, but all companies have foreign segments for the production of metal products, which sell it in local markets (USA, Europe, Middle East, etc.). The share of foreign markets that is controlled by the mentioned companies is much lower and varies between 5 and 20 percent. We need to note that Russian internal market is considered a turbulent environment [16], which leads to rapid changes in the export percentage of heavy industries.

It is worth noting that the strengths of all these companies are:
• their raw material supply: NLMP (70% or higher with its own resources), Severstal PJSC is supplied with 60—65% of the required coal and 100% of iron ore; MMP has the same situation as Severstal;
• diversified business structure;
• having a full production cycle;
• geographical proximity of the raw material base;
• external threats include:
  • decline in domestic steel demand due to the slowdown in the growth of the Russian economy. The global and Russian economies (3 and 2% respectively for 2018) can be singled out as a common external problem [2018].
  • introduction of U.S. protectionist steel import policy in 2018. This forces companies to calculate both

#### Table 1. Key characteristics of companies' activities.

| No. | Company name                      | Key areas of the business | Key assets                          | Product market                  | Strategy (core elements)                                           |
|-----|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.  | Novolipetsk Metallurgical Plant (NLMP) | Raw materials, iron ore, scrap, etc.; steel; metal rolling. | Novolipetsk Metallurgical Plant, Stoilensky Mining Plant, NLMP India, Altai-Coke, NLMP-Kaluga | Russia (main), Europe, USA | Operational efficiency (by cash flow); Improving the supply of raw materials; leadership in strategic markets |
| 2.  | Severstal PJSC                    | Raw materials, iron ore, scrap, etc.; steel; metal rolling. | Cherepovets Metallurgical Plant, Severstal Resources, Severstal Ross Steel | Russia (main), Europe, North America, etc. | Maximizing share value, leadership in profitability, high dividends |
| 3.  | Magnitogorsk Metallurgical Plant (MMP) | Raw materials, metal products—rolled, hardware, etc. | MMP, metal production segment (Turkey), coal mining segment (RF) | Russia and the CIS (main), the Middle East, Europe, etc. | Growth of efficiency: lower costs, leadership on total returns for shareholders; operational and functional efficiency |

#### Table 2. Strategies announced by the companies.

| No. | Company name                      | Key areas of the business | Strategy (core elements)                                           |
|-----|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.  | Novolipetsk Metallurgical Plant (NLMP) | Raw materials, iron ore, scrap, etc.; steel; metal rolling. | Operational efficiency (by cash flow); Improving the supply of raw materials; leadership in strategic markets |
| 2.  | Severstal PJSC                    | Raw materials, iron ore, scrap, etc.; steel; metal rolling. | Maximizing share value, leadership in profitability, high dividends |
| 3.  | Magnitogorsk Metallurgical Plant (MMP) | Raw materials, metal products—rolled, hardware, etc. | Growth of efficiency: lower costs, leadership on total returns for shareholders; operational and functional efficiency |
external risks and income losses due to the narrowing of the external market.

- dependence on foreign markets (China);

The drivers of growth for companies in the industry are energy, infrastructure projects, automobile industry [18];

Risks include high dependence of financial indicators on the prices of metal products [17].

Weaknesses include:

- low share of high added value products. It is easier for companies to make pipes or sell rolled metal than to make products for the domestic engineering complex (which is very complex and orders batches of relatively small size).

Let us consider the strategies announced by the companies and make their decomposition (breakdown by level) to assess their adequacy [10].

For convenience, we will distribute the elements of strategies into components: external and internal.

Table 3. Classifying goals stated in companies’ strategy.

| Company   | Corporate strategy (external) | Functional strategies (operational, market)                     |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| NILMP     | Leadership in strategic markets | Operating efficiency (cash flow) Reducing the consumption of expensive resources |
| Severstal PJSC | Financial strategy (dividend leadership) | Operating strategy (profitability leadership) |
| MMP       | Operating strategy: efficiency growth, lower production costs | Common goal: maximizing share value                              |
|           |                               | Common goal: maximizing share value                              |

3.1 Profitability

Fig. 1. Sales margin, %.

Fig. 2. Operating margin, %.

Fig. 3. Net margin, %.

During the period under review, Severstal PJSC is leading, showing a higher level of profitability for every indicator. 2017 is a turning point for MMP, whose value from this year is sharply declining and further gets close to the profitability of the outsider—NILMP.

Differences in the values of indicators: gross margin in the range of 30-40%, operating 17-20% (peak value 31.5% at Severstal) and net margin in the range of 6-12% (peak value 24% of Severstal) are explained by the value of cost and commercial, management and other expenses.

3.2 Operating costs

Fig. 4. Cost ratio, %.
From the charts it is clear that Severstal PJSC is the leading company again: it has the lowest share of cost in revenue among competitors and the sales costs ratio. This indicator is also influenced by the dynamics of revenue, which was positive during the entire period only at MMK.

### 3.3 Dividend yield, rub/share

| Company | Indicator | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|---------|-----------|------|------|------|------|
| NLMP    | Dividend, ruble/share | 6.95 | 9.22 | 14.04 | 22.81 |
| MMP     | Dividend, ruble/share | 0.89 | 1.962 | 2.786 | 5.902 |
| Severstal | Dividend, ruble/share | 58.88 | 80.6 | 110.05 | 160.73 |

Thus, the leadership of Severstal in terms of dividend per share is confirmed.

### 3.4 Leadership in strategic markets and increased supply of raw materials (for NLMP)

The plant is oriented at the Russian market, as more than one third of its products are sold here. Representatives of the company specify that the strategic markets are the steel market (sales growth), as well as the increase in sales in the domestic market (up to 45%) compared to 2013.

It is also possible that these sales are considered to be targeted at certain priority regions, as well as regions where there are traders with whom the company has developed long-standing relationships [12].

### 4 Conclusions

1. The companies under consideration are now the leaders of the metallurgical market. Their stated strategies are similar and focus on operational efficiency and cost reduction (functional strategies), as well as market leadership (NLMP – corporate strategy);

2. If we consider the strategy as a link between the company and the business environment, the external factors affecting all companies are the same: low growth of the global and Russian economy, low loading of metallurgical capacities in the world (below 70%); policy of protectionism (trade wars, import duties on metal products); difficulty of prediction of the growth of the cost of metallurgical companies and price volatility in the market; extremely strong impact of falling/rising prices in China on the world prices. Companies have their own resource base, have established sales links, have a full production cycle;

3. Severstal PJSC leads the margins and costs, with other companies slightly lower but in an acceptable range.

4. Companies are among the 4 leaders in the Russian rolled metal market (from 17 to 20%);

5. NLMP is also working to reduce the consumption of expensive resources (as stated in its strategy).

As a general conclusion, despite the complexity of the external environment, companies try to adhere to the stated strategy and maintain high performance of operating efficiency and dividends. Companies can be considered to be based on their strategies and systems of planned indicators.
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