OUTCOME OF THORACIC VERTEBRAL BURST FRACTURES BY LIGAMENTOTAXIS AND FIXATION
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Abstract
Ligamentotaxis is a known method in the management of spinal burst fractures but the indications and efficacy are controversial. In the present study we evaluated the outcome of ligamentotaxis without fusion. Thirty five patients with single-level thoracic burst fractures with intact PLL were selected for treatment with this method. The analysis of the outcome was with the help of frankel neurological grading system, radiographic measurements, and Visual analog scale and Oswestry disability index was used to evaluate the pain and functional outcome. The average follow-up period was 28.5 months. There was a statistically significant correction of anterior vertebral height from 53.9% to 96.2% postoperatively; and that of the posterior vertebral height increased from 86.6% to 98.1% postoperatively. Preoperative canal compromise which averaged to 34.96% improved to 5.52% at latest follow up. Neurological status was improved in all patients except one patient with frankel grade A. The ODI and VAS score improved significantly in all patients.

Among the broad spectrum of treatment options for thoracic burst fractures ligamentotaxis with screw fixation falls in mid range of the spectrum, providing less aggressive surgery and beneficial clinical and technical outcome.
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Introduction:

The management of thoracic burst fractures have included conservative as well as direct decompressive procedure and fixation, which can be performed from anterior, posterior or combined approach. Though conservative management can be undertaken in some thoracic burst fractures, still surgical management plays a crucial role in many.

Short as well as long segment instrumentation and indirect reduction is a known technique in the management of thoracic burst fractures\textsuperscript{2,8,11}. But there is limited role of short and long segment instrumentation in the management of fractures apart from Dennis Type-B fractures\textsuperscript{4,5}. As well the need of performing laminectomy and decompression of dura and cord is not needed; hence the chances of dural tear and iatrogenic cord compression can be avoided.

Thus we conducted a study to evaluate the outcome of thoracic burst fractures managed with indirect reduction by ligamentotaxis and posterior long segment pedicle screw fixation, having intact posterior longitudinal ligament (PLL), which included all types of Dennis fractures.

Materials and Methods:

Patient data:

This is a prospective study was conducted from April 2015 to March 2019, which included 35 patients with thoracic burst fractures. All of which were managed surgically with indirect reduction by posterior long segment pedicle screw fixation, having intact posterior longitudinal ligament (PLL), which included all types of Dennis fractures.

Goal was to achieve the normal thoracic kyphosis, and then the screws were tightened. Serial X-rays were taken, one immediate postoperative and at 6 weeks, later at 3 monthly intervals. Fracture healing was determined by comparing the density of the vertebral body with that of the adjacent vertebral bodies. All the patients underwent a CT scan at one year follow up, to check the healing and remodelling of the vertebral canal.

Radiological evaluation was done by measuring the Anterior Vertebral Height (AVH) and Posterior Vertebral Height (PVH) on the lateral view, which was taken preoperatively, postoperatively and at the latest follow up, Table 3. This was compared to the height of the adjacent vertebral body. The Vertebral Body Angle (VBA) at the level of injury was measured from the endplates, Table 3.

Spinal canal diameter was measured on the axial cuts of CT scans at the level of injury, pre and post-operatively, at 1 year (X). The calculated vertebral spinal canal diameter (Y) was determined by averaging spinal canal diameters of the adjacent vertebrae above and below the injury level (Y1 and Y2). The spinal canal narrowing percent (NR) was thus calculated as \((Y - X)/Y \times 100\%\).

Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) was used to determine thoracic function at the latest follow-up\textsuperscript{12,17}.

All data analysis was done by SPSS. The paired t test was used for test statistics between continuous variables, and the accepted alpha-error was set at 0.05.
Table 1: Patient details

| Number of patients | 35 |
|--------------------|----|
| Average follow up  | 28.5 (range 18-36 months) |
| Male : Female      | 23:12 |
| Age                | 44 years (range 22-70 years) |
| Mode of injury     |  |
| Fall from a height | 19 |
| History of trivial fall | 4 |

Figure 1: Level of injury

Table 2: Neurological status

| Frankel Grade | No. of patients | Latest follow up |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------|
|               | A   | B   | C   | D   | E   |
| A             | 1   | 1   |     |     |     |
| B             | 7   | 2   | 5   |     |     |
| C             | 6   | 4   | 1   | 3   |     |
| D             | 4   |     |     |     | 17  |
| E             | 17  |     |     |     |     |
| Total         | 35  | 1   | 2   | 10  | 22  |

Table 3: Injury level vertebrae AVH and PVH reduction (average %, minimum to maximum) and VBA (average degrees, minimum to maximum)

|                | Pre-op | Post-op | Latest follow up |
|----------------|--------|---------|------------------|
| AVH            | 53.9 [12.4–81.7] | 96.2 [71.2–111.4] | 89.9 [69.2–104.8] |
| PVH            | 86.6 [69.4–96.4] | 98.1 [82.5–107.5] | 92.8 [73.1–100.3] |
| VBA            | 19.2 [3.7–36.8]  | 3.7 [-4.4 to 14.3] | 6.7 [0–19.6] |

Table 4: Postoperative analysis

| Canal diameter                       |                |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Normal (Y)                           | 16.3 mm        |
| Injured level (X1)                   | 10.6 mm        |
| spinal canal narrowing percent (NR1) | 34.96%         |
| Canal diameter at latest follow up (X2)| 15.4 mm       |
| Spinal canal narrowing percent (NR2) | 5.52%          |
| Oswestry Disability Index (ODI)      | 19.5%          |
| VAS                                  |                |
| Preop                                | 8.2            |
| Postop                               | 2.6            |

Discussion:

In the current literature, it is believed that for the treatment of thoracic fractures, fusion is not considered the standard of care because it is not strong and stable enough. This method of indirect reduction by ligamentotaxis without fusion, achieved by pedicle screw fixation provides another treatment option in the management of thoracic burst fractures, with a prerequisite of intact PLL. In general these cases can be managed by conventional methods of decompression, reduction, fixation and fusion, either by anterior or posterior approaches.
approaches. This may amount to an aggressive technique in a patient with intact PLL, and the risk of dural tear and iatrogenic cord compression. Assessing the integrity of PLL may be at times difficult, however fracture displaced out of the canal, a facet joint fracture displaced to another vertebral level, or fracture fragment rotation are indirect means of suggesting damage to the PLL.

After the pedicle screw instrumentation and placement of connecting rods, it produces tension in the PLL and subsequent reduction of the fracture by ligamentotaxis. If fracture fails to reduce it may be because the PLL is damaged, failing to provide the required tension for reduction. Hence the conventional method of treatment should be used, which involves laminectomy resulting in further spinal instability. Therefore ligamentotaxis reduces the possibility of soft tissue scarring, which eventually may lead to spinal nerve compression, and the need for laminectomy. The results of which are not compromised and comparable with that of the conventional methods, of decompression and fusion.

In fusion methods there is associated long term complication of adjacent level disease which is reduced with ligamentotaxis indirect reduction method. Moreover, this method has fewer complications than conventional anterior approaches and relatively less invasive.

In routine practice it is thought that patients with neurological deficiency direct decompression must occur before reduction, but in present study it demonstrated that ligamentotaxis alone was sufficient and does not worsen neurological status.

Yang et al, showed the improvement of canal diameter by short segment pedicle screw indirect reduction without fusion within 2 weeks postoperatively.

Limitations in long segment fixation are that this technique cannot be used in patients with ruptured PLL or when the disc has entered the injury level vertebral body. Additionally patient may also implant related complications such as implant breakage, back-out or migration.

Dai et al, a randomised and controlled study, showed beneficial clinical and technical outcome in patients with thoracolumbar burst fractures treated with short segment screw fixation but the study included patients with Denis Type B fractures only. Whereas in the current study consisting of 35 patients included all fractures not just Denis Type B fractures.

Although few of the patients did have adjacent disc injury, none had completely herniated into the vertebral canal or body; hence this method could still be used. As well this does not influence the process of ligamentotaxis as long as PLL is intact. Drawback of the herniated disc is that the discs do degenerate quickly.

**Conclusion:**

There is a broad spectrum in the methods for the management of thoracic burst fractures ranging from simple conservative to anterior and posterior decompression with fixation. Among these ligamentotaxis with long segment screw fixation falls in middle range of the spectrum, providing less aggressive surgery and beneficial clinical and technical outcome. Thus providing a good therapeutic option in the management of thoracic burst fractures. Due to limited number in the current study, we advocate larger studies to be conducted to enhance the knowledge on this technique.
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