The Differential Expression and Function of the Inflammatory Chemokine Receptor CXCR5 in Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia and Prostate Cancer

Lu Yang*, Liang Gao*, Yongji Chen, Zhuang Tang, Yuchun Zhu, Ping Han, Xiang Li, Qiang Wei

Department of Urology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, No. 37 Guoxue Alley, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, PR China.

* Lu Yang and Liang Gao contributed equally to this work and should share the co-first author.

© 2015 Ivyspring International Publisher. Reproduction is permitted for personal, noncommercial use, provided that the article is in whole, unmodified, and properly cited. See http://ivyspring.com/terms for terms and conditions.

Abstract

Background: Chemokine and chemokine receptors could have played an important role in tumor angiogenesis and distant metastasis. The mechanism of inflammation, expression and function of chemokines and chemokine receptors in benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and prostate cancer (PCa) remain unclear. The purpose of present study is to detect differential expression and function of chemokines and chemokine receptors (CCRs) in BPH and PCa.

Methods: BPH-1 and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were co-cultured in Transwell chambers, and human normal prostate (NP) tissues, BPH tissues and PCa tissues were collected. CCR gene-chips were used to analyze and compare the differential expression of CCRs in BPH-1 cells, BPH-1 cells co-cultured with PBMCs, and LNCaP cells. The differential expression of CCRs was detected and validated using real-time PCR, western blotting and immunofluorescence (IF). The proliferation of LNCaP cells was also investigated after the knockdown CXCR5.

Results: Results of gene-chips indicated that there was low or no expression of CCR10, CXCR1, CXCR3 and CXCR5 in BPH-1 cells, whereas the expression of these receptors in BPH-1 cells was increased by PBMCs, and the expression was high in LNCaP cells. Furthermore, real-time PCR and western blotting confirmed the above mentioned results. IF verified no or low expression of CXCR1, CXCR3 and CXCR5 in NP tissues, low or moderate expression in BPH and high expression in PCa. However, CCR10 was not expressed at detectable levels in the three groups. The growth and proliferation of LNCaP cells was markedly inhibited after down-regulation of CXCR5.

Conclusions: PCa cells expressed high levels of CCR10, CXCR1, CXCR3 and CXCR5. Although BPH cells did not express these factors, their expression was up-regulated when BPH-1 cells were incubated with inflammatory cells. Finally, down-regulation of CXCR5 inhibited the growth and proliferation of LNCaP cells.
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Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most common malignant solid tumor, and it has the highest morbidity among all male tumor patients in Europe and North America. It is also a leading cause of cancer-related deaths among males in western countries [1]. Several factors have been implicated in this process; however, the mechanisms of PCa tumorigenesis remain unclear [2]. Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is a common benign disease in aging males. The morbidity of BPH is also high worldwide. However, the mechanism for the occurrence and development of BPH remains unknown [3].
The relationship among the progression of inflammation, BPH and PCa is also yet to be elucidated. Based on studies of tissues obtained from prostate biopsies, 99.3% of BPH tissue samples were accompanied by tissue inflammation, which was characterized mainly by mild, multifocal and peri-glandular inflammation. In addition, 88.5% of PCa tissue samples also had tissue inflammation, which presented as mild, focal and interstitial inflammation [4]. These results suggest that tissue inflammation has a close relationship with BPH and PCa. Some studies reported that BPH often coexists with PCa and that precancerous lesion-atypical small acinar proliferation (ASAP) generally co-exists with BPH. Some reports have hypothesized that BPH might result in PCa or it might be an intermediary stage during tumorigenesis [5], whereas others have suggested that both are completely distinct conditions [6]. However, there is no direct evidence available to support either of the opinions. Therefore, a comprehensive study is required to explore the relationship and the mechanism of the progression of inflammation, in BPH and PCa.

Chemokines are small cytokines produced by various cells, including white blood cells, fibroblasts and endothelial cells. These induce target cells, such as inflammatory cells, immune cells and stem cells, to undergo chemotactic movements. Chemokines are not only involved in the inflammatory response but also may participate in cancer tumorigenesis, progression and metastasis [7-9]. Specific combinations of chemokine receptors may play an important role in tumor behavior. For example, CXCL13/CXCR5 is the key signaling pathway in pyloric helicobacterium-related gastritis-induced ectopic mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue neoplasia [10]. CXCR4 is often highly expressed in various tumors, including breast, lung, prostate, stomach and pancreatic cancer [11]. Stromal-derived factor-1 (SDF-1) /CXCR4 signaling plays a critical role in tumor angiogenesis and distant metastasis [12]. However, the expression and function of chemokines and chemokine receptors in BPH and PCa is unclear. Therefore, in this study, the aim of this study was to explore the relationship and mechanism by which inflammation and inflammation-related chemokines regulate the development and progression of BPH and PCa.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and co-culture system

The stable human androgen-dependent cell line LNCaP (ATCC#CRL-1740) was obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). Epithelial benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH-1) cells were obtained from the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), including lymphocytes, monocytes, natural killer (NK) cells and dendritic cells (DC), were obtained and separated from the blood of healthy volunteers (50 ml) as needed. Here PBMCs were selected to be co-cultured with prostatic cells because it offers similar subtypes of inflammatory cells and could be one of the most appropriate experimental condition available to reproduce an inflammatory microenvironment in vitro. All cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mmol/l glutamine, 50 U/ml penicillin and 50 µg/ml streptomycin. All cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 at 37°C.

Co-culture studies were performed using Transwell chambers (Corning), containing thousands of 1 µm micropores. Six Transwell chambers were placed in 6-well plates. RPMI-1640 culture media was added to the interior of the bottom chamber and top chamber of the inserts and was allowed to hydrate for 2 h at 37°C with 5% CO2. BPH-1 cells (0.5–1×10⁵ cells/ml) were then added to the upper chambers. Simultaneously, PBMCs (0.5–1×10⁶ cells/ml; a 10:1 ratio of PBMCs:BPH-1 cells) were added to the lower chambers. The BPH-1 and PBMCs were co-cultured for 7 days, and the BPH-1 cells were then harvested for further studies.

Prostate specimens

A total of 10 normal prostate (NP) tissue samples were obtained from patients undergoing radical cystectomy of bladder tumors (<50 years old). In addition, 10 BPH tissue samples, consisting of four cases of TURP and six radical cystectomy specimens (>50 years old), and 10 prostate cancer tissue samples were obtained by radical prostatectomy. All samples were collected at West China Hospital of Sichuan University. The patients underwent either open or laparoscopic prostatectomy. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Research reagents and antibodies

The primer sequences used in the current study were as follows: CXCR1, 5′-CTGAGCCCCAAGTGGAACAGGACA-3′ and 5′-GCACGGAACAGAAGCTTATATTAGGA-3′; CXCR3, 5′-ACACCTTCTGCTCCACCTA-3′ and 5′-GTTCAGGTAGCGGTCAAAGC-3′; CXCR5, 5′-TCCCCCTCTCACCCCTCCCAT-3′ and 5′-CCTGGTGTCATCTGATCTGCATC-3′, and CCR10, 5′-GGGGATGAAGAGGACGCATACTGAC-3′ and 5′-CCTTGGATTTCCATCTGAGTACATC-3′. Antibodies against CXCR1, CXCR3 and CXCR5 were purchased from Abcam Inc. Antibodies against CCR10 were acquired from Santa Cruz. The synthetic small interfering
RNAs (siRNAs) targeting human CXCR5 were obtained from Stealth Select RNAi (Invitrogen) and HP Validated siRNA (Qiagen). PCR Arrays (SABio96; SuperArray Inc.) were purchased from Kangchen Genechip, Shanghai, China.

**Gene expression analysis using a chemokine-related PCR gene microarray**

The differential expression of chemokine-related genes was analysed using a PCR array. Briefly, RNA from BPH-1, co-cultured PBMC/BPH-1 and LNCaP cells was extracted according to standard protocols and converted to first strand cDNA using the RT2 First Strand Kit. The template was added to an instrument-specific, ready-to-use RT2 SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix. The resulting mixture was added to the wells (25 μl/well) of a PCR array plate that had been pre-loaded with gene-specific primer sets (25 μl for 96-well plates); PCR was then performed. The threshold cycle (Ct) values for all the genes on each PCR array were calculated using instrument-specific software, and the fold-changes in gene expression for pair-wise comparisons were calculated using the ΔΔCt method.

**Quantitative Real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)**

RNA was extracted from BPH-1, co-cultured PBMC/BPH-1 and LNCaP cells using TRIzol (Invitrogen), and then, cleaned using an RNeasy MinElute clean-up kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). RNA was purified using RNeasy (Qiagen) or miRVANA (Ambion) kits with DNase digestion on RNeasy columns. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was generated using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Roche). Quantitative analysis of the change in gene expression was calculated using a real-time PCR machine (iQ5, Bio-Rad). The PCR cycling conditions were 94°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 15 s, 60°C for 20 s and 72°C for 40 s. Real-time PCR was used to quantify mRNA expression. The reactions were performed in duplicate, and the value of 2-ΔΔCt represented the relative level of target gene expression.

**Western blotting**

Western blotting was performed using total cell lysates, which were obtained by lysing cells in RIPA buffer (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). The protein concentration was then determined using a Bio-Rad assay. Proteins were separated on SDS-PAGE gels, transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore), immunoblotted with antibodies, and visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (Amer sham Biosciences). The protein bands were quantified by densitometry using ImageJ gel analysis software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/). All values were normalized to β-actin.

**Immunofluorescence (IF) microscopy**

Samples of normal prostate, BPH and prostate cancer tissue were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained for CXCR1, CXCR3, CXCR5, CCR10 and glucagon using immunohistochemistry. The tissue sections were incubated with primary antibodies against CXCR1 (1:50, Abcam), CXCR3 (1:50, Abcam), CXCR5 (1:100, Abcam), and CCR10 (1:100, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) overnight at 4°C, followed by FITC-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:250) for 1 h at room temperature. Blocking goat serum (5%), primary and secondary antibodies were applied in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100. The tissues slices were visualized using fluorescence microscopy, and the images were analysed using Advanced Sport software (Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI, USA).

**siRNA and transfection**

LNCaP cells were transfected with 100 nmol/l human CXCR5 or control siRNA (Santa Cruz) using Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The media were removed 24 h after transfection, and the cells were then incubated in media containing 10% FBS for another 24 h. The efficiency of CXCR5 silencing was evaluated using real-time PCR and western blotting.

**Assessing cell proliferation using cell counting kit-8 (CCK8) and 5-bromo-2-deoxyuridine (BrdU)**

Cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 6×103 cells/well. The cells were transfected 100 nmol/l human CXCR5 siRNA or control siRNA using Lipofectamine 2000 for 6 h, and cell proliferation was analysed using a CCK-8 assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The absorbance at 490 nm was then obtained using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay plate reader. To stain proliferating cells, LNCaP cells were stained with a FITC-conjugated anti-BrdU antibody (Biolegend) as well as DAPI. At least 150 cells were counted per condition, and the percentage of BrdU-positive cells is shown.

**BrdU staining and flow cytometry**

BrdU (Roche Applied Sciences Indianapolis, IN, USA) was dissolved in warm saline at a concentration of 10 mg/ml. A final concentration of 50 μM BrdU (RiboBio, Guangzhou, China) was then added to cells for 12 h before harvesting. The supernatants were removed, and the resulting cells were stained using a FITC BrdU Flow Kit (BD Biosciences San Jose, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cells were then resuspended in 1 ml of staining solution.
buffer (PBS, 3% FBS, 0.09% sodium azide), and analysed by flow cytometry using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer. The data were analysed using BD CellQuest analysis software (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA).

Ethics

The design and execution of this study was approved by the Hospital Ethics Committee, and written informed consent was obtained from every patient involved. Research carried out in this study is in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison tests or Student’s t-test using SPSS16.0 software. A p value of <0.05 was considered to be significant.

Results

The expression of chemokines and their receptors in BPH-1, co-cultured BPH-1 and PBMCs and LNCaP cells

Gene expression profiling is important for discovering and validating the differences among various prostatic cell lines. RNA was extracted from BPH-1, BPH-1 after co-culture with PBMCs and LNCaP cells. Gene microarrays demonstrated that the expression of four chemokine receptors (CCR10, CXCR1, CXCR3 and CXCR5) increased gradually in BPH-1, BPH-1 after co-culture with PBMCs and LNCaP cells (Table 1).

| Symbol | Fold Up- or Down- Regulation |
|--------|----------------------------|
|        | BPH-1+PBMC / BPH-1 | LNCaP / BPH-1+PBMC |
| CCR10  | 6.33                  | 6.36                 |
| CXC1   | 6.14                  | 7.1                  |
| CXCR3  | 5.69                  | 8.06                 |
| CXCR5  | 6.33                  | 8.42                 |

PBMC: Peripheral blood mononuclear cell

In addition, qRT-PCR revealed that CCR10 mRNA was expressed in LNCaP cells at three-fold higher levels than in BPH-1 cells that were co-cultured with PBMCs, which was in turn 1.8-fold higher than in BPH-1 cells. CXCR1 mRNA in LNCaP cells was 2.4-fold higher than in BPH-1 cells co-cultured with PBMCs, which was 4.5-fold higher than in BPH-1 cells. CXCR3 mRNA in LNCaP cells was expressed at 3.6-fold higher levels than in BPH-1 cells co-cultured with PBMCs, which was in turn 2.3-fold higher than in BPH-1 cells. Finally, CXCR5 mRNA as expressed at 2.4-fold higher levels in LNCaP cells than in BPH-1 cells co-cultured with PBMCs, which was three-fold higher than in BPH-1 cells (Figure 1).

Western blotting revealed that CCR10, CXCR1, CXCR3 and CXCR5 were either not expressed or were present at only very low levels in BPH-1 cells. After BPH-1 cells were co-cultured with PBMCs, CCR10, CXCR1, CXCR3 and CXCR5 were all up-regulated. CCR10, CXCR1, CXCR3 and CXCR5 were present at the highest levels in LNCaP cells (Figure 1).

![Figure 1](http://www.medsci.org)
The expression of chemokines and their receptors in NP, BPH and PCa tissues

IF was used to verify the results observed in cell lines at the tissue level. CXCR1, CXCR3 and CXCR5 were either not detectable or were expressed at only low levels in NP tissue. Conversely, they were less or moderately expressed in BPH tissue and highly expressed in PCa. However, CCR10 was either not present or expressed at very low levels in all tissues (Figures 2 and 3).

The effect of knocking down CXCR5 in LNCaP cells using siRNA

To explore the function of CXCR5 in PCa cells, we knocked down CXCR5 expression using CXCR5-specific siRNA. As shown in Figures 4 and 5, transfection with CXCR5-siRNA effectively inhibited CXCR5 mRNA and protein expression in LNCaP cells, as confirmed using qRT-PCR and western blotting, respectively. Compared with blank and control siRNA-transfected cells, LNCaP cells transfected with CXCR5-siRNA exhibited significantly decreased cell proliferation for 3 days (P < 0.01), as measured using a CCK8 assay (Figure 6) and BrdU assay (Figure 7, Figure 8). Therefore, these in vitro results further confirm the putative oncogenic role of CXCR5, which promotes the proliferation of PCa cells.

Discussion

In 1886, Virchow observed that several different tumors originated from chronic inflammatory lesions, and hypothesized that a close relationship was present between inflammation and neoplasms. It was reported that 20% of human tumors were related to inflammation, including carcinomas of the esophagus, stomach, colon-rectum, liver and pancreas [12]. Studies showed that the morbidity of colorectal tumors was 5-7 times higher in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases (such as ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease) compared with those without any disease [13]. Rothwell et al. conducted a study in a
cohort with long-term aspirin use for the prevention of cardiovascular diseases, and found that the tumor risk was reduced by 60% in the gastrointestinal tract and 30% for other solid tumors over a 20 year period [14]. However, in the prostate, an organ that is often infiltrated with inflammation, it remains unclear whether inflammation has a similar effect on the pathogenesis of PCa, and what role it plays.

Tissue inflammation in the prostate results in the formation of chronic lesions, which are infiltrated by lymphocytes, mononuclear cells and macrophages; sometimes a small number of plasmocytes and acidoctyes are also present. Numerous studies, have analysed the relationship between inflammation and PCa, and revealed that a large proportion of PCa cases are accompanied by inflammation, which suggests that inflammation is an important factor in the pathogenesis of PCa. For example, in the California Men’s Health Study (CMHS), Cheng et al. observed that patients with chronic prostatitis or related symptoms had a higher risk of PCa (OR=1.3) [15]. In a study of 5821 older males, Daniels et al. found that the morbidity of PCa was 5.4-fold higher in patients with prostatitis compared with those without (P<0.0001), and also demonstrated that might play an important role in PCa tumorigenesis [16].

Figure 4 The transfection efficiency of LNCaP by siRNA-CXCR5 (More than 90% LNCaP cells were transfected). 100 nmol/l human CXCR5 or control siRNA (Santa Cruz) using with Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Figure 5 Western blot and RT-PCR analysis of CXCR5 protein levels in LNCaP cells transfected with scrambled siRNA (control siRNA) or siRNA targeting CXCR5. The results demonstrated the significant inhibition of CXCR5 mRNA and protein on LNCaP cells.

Figure 6 LNCaP cells were grown in presence of CXCR5-siRNA or control siRNA or blank. CCK-8 assay was performed every 24 h for 3 days to assess cell proliferation. Error bars represent±standard error of means of three independent experiments. *Significant (P < 0.05) changes relative to blank cells. The CCK8 showed the proliferative inhibition of LNCaP cells after CXCR5-siRNA transfection.
Subsequently, Gregory et al. reported the biopsy results of 177 cases of suspected PCa at the beginning but excluded later. They found that 144 biopsy tissues also exhibited chronic tissue inflammation whereas the remaining 33 cases did not. In a five-year follow-up, 29 cases with concurrent inflammation were diagnosed pathologically be PCa (approximately 20%), compared with two cases of PCa from the non-inflammatory cases (approximately 6%). In addition, the morbidity of PCa patients with inflammation was significantly higher than those without inflammation [17].

The relationship between BPH and PCa is unclear. Although some scientists and clinicians consider BPH and PCa to be different diseases [6], others argue that there are some identical features to both BPH and PCa; therefore, BPH might be an intermediary stage of PCa [5]. Inflammation is often present in either BPH or PCa, and thus inflammation is assumed to play an essential role in the pathogenesis of BPH and PCa despite a lack of adequate evidence.

Chemokines and their receptors are characterized by their involvement in inflammation. However, increasing evidence suggests that these factors also play a crucial role in neoplastic transformation, cancer cell migration, invasion and metastasis [18]. The chemokine receptor, CXCR5, is expressed primarily by B cells and certain T cells, and it regulates their migration into and within lymph nodes. CXCL13, the ligand of CXCR5, interacts with CXCR5 and activates chemotaxis [19].

Recently, some studies assessing the role of CXCR5 in tumors have emerged. CXCR5, which was isolated originally from Burkitt lymphoma, was recently detected in breast carcinoma tissues [20-22]. Del Grosso et al. demonstrated that CXCL13 and its receptor, CXCR5, are involved in the relationship between neuroblastic and Schwannian stromal cells in neuroblastic tumors [22]. Meijer et al. observed that CXCR5 was expressed in the colon carcinoma and that it mediated metastasis to the liver [23].

In PCa, Singh et al. [24] detected the expression of CXCR5 in PCa cells, and reported that it contributed to tumor growth and invasion. El-Haibi et al. [25]
also observed that CXCL13 could mediate prostate cancer cell proliferation via JNK signaling, invasion via ERK activation and also activate CXCR5. In a subsequent study, El-Haibi et al. [26] demonstrated that some therapeutic strategies involving CXCR5 and/or CXCL13 blockade and specific G protein inhibition were beneficial for abrogating PCa metastasis.

After a comparison of BPH with PCa in the current study, CXCR5 was identified and analysed further because of its simple relationship with its ligands, a lack of studies on its role in PCa and its future research potential [27]. Our observations were consistent with those of Singh et al. [24], whereby CXCR5 mRNA and protein expression was elevated significantly in PCa cell lines and PCa tissues. The current study also revealed that CXCR5 mRNA and protein were either not present or were expressed at extremely low levels in BPH cell lines. However, although the presence of inflammatory cells up-regulated their expression, their levels were still lower than in tumor cells. On the other hand, normal prostate tissue and BPH tissue did not highly express CXCR5. A functional assay revealed that knocking down CXCR5 in LNCaP cells inhibited tumor cell proliferation.

There are some limitations to the present study. First, the study assessing the function of CXCR5 was insufficient to clarify its role in tissue inflammation and PCa tumorigenesis. Furthermore, this study was mainly used in vitro approaches and thus additional in vivo studies are needed. Finally, this study focused on CXCR5, and hence the ligand, CXCL13, and the other chemokine receptors identified should be investigated in the future.

Conclusions

PCa cells expressed high level of CCR10, CXCR1, CXCR3 and CXCR5, whereas BPH cells either did not express the abovementioned chemokine receptors or they were present at only very low levels. However, their expression was up-regulated in the presence of inflammatory cells. Down-regulating the expression of CXCR5 inhibited the growth and proliferation of androgen-dependent PCa cells. Chemokines and their receptors, particularly CXCR5, might participate in the development of prostate tissue inflammation and the tumorigenesis of prostate cancer cells.
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