INTRODUCTION

The first reports of injury to the anterior cruciate ligament of the knee appear in the literature of the nineteenth century (1). The first reports of surgical reconstruction are from the early twentieth century (2), in addition to Campbell’s report (3).

Over the past 30 years, several surgical techniques for ACL reconstruction using the structures surrounding the knee have been described. A long path was taken before returning to the technique described by Campbell (3) in 1939, which used the patellar ligament. In the same year, Macey (4) described the first technique using the flexor tendons, the semitendinosus and gracilis (ST-G).

Today, through technological advances, intra-articular reconstruction by arthroscopy reduced postoperative morbidity, though there is still debate among surgeons as to the best graft to be used.

The objective of this paper is to analyze the results of surgical treatment in ACL reconstructions through isokinetic evaluation and arthrometry according to the type of graft chosen.

METHODS

In our department, we assessed the results of 63 patients at six months post-surgery for ACL reconstruction, obtained by means of arthrometric (KT-1000 ™) and isokinetic (Cybex ™) evaluations.
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Inclusion criteria were: unilateral ACL injury, no history of surgery or pathologies in either knee, and having completed a rehabilitation program with a team of physical therapists from our department.

Exclusion criteria were: age of over 60 years, previous knee surgery, bilateral ACL lesion, and completion of a rehabilitation program in another department.

Patients were divided into two groups by drawing envelopes: we used the central third of the patellar tendon (PT) as a graft for the first group of 30 patients, and the flexor tendons of the semitendinosus and gracilis muscles (ST-G) for the second group of 33 patients.

The same surgical technique, arthroscopic intra-articular ACL reconstruction, was used in both groups, using Endobutton™ suspensory fixation for the femur and a bioabsorbable interference screw for fixation of the tibial tunnel. Of the 30 patients in the PT group, three were women and 27 were men, aged between 16 and 37 years. The right side was affected in 18 patients and the left side in 12.

In the 33 patients of the ST-G group, five were women and 28 were men, aged between 16 and 53 years. Thirteen left knees and 20 right knees were injured.

The 63 patients underwent the same rehabilitation program, which was divided into phases. The first phase was initiated in bed with tibiofemoral and patellofemoral mobilization, light isometric exercises, unsupported gait training with crutches and the use of removable joint protection (immobilizer). On the 10th postoperative (PO) day, the second phase, the orthosis is removed and partial load is allowed. Total support and proprioception were allowed on the 14th PO day. As for range of motion (ROM), we advocated for progressive gain from the first postoperative week, reaching the total ROM in the fourth PO week. We started gait training, closed kinetic chain (CKC) exercises, advanced proprioception and mechanotherapy for the hip. Global strengthening, sport-specific proprioception, isokinetic evaluation, arthrometry, and radiographs, the patient as having an ACL injury.

The isokinetic evaluation began with 10 minutes of warm up on a stationary bicycle without weight-bearing, averaging 65 to 70 rotations/min., followed by stretching the muscles of the lower limb.

First, we tested the healthy limb, followed by the operated limb. In this evaluation, the strength, power, and endurance of the flexors and extensors of the knee, quadriceps, and hamstrings are measured.

To designate the parameters, we structured the test as follows: five repetitions at 60°/second for strength, rest for one minute, five repetitions at 180°/second for power, rest for one minute, and 20 repetitions at 300°/second for endurance, thus performing a total of 30 repetitions.

For the statistics of the study, were first described the groups according to age, gender, and affected side, performing an unpaired Student’s t-test to compare groups with respect to age. The Fisher exact test was used to determine the association between group and gender, and the chi-square test of homogeneity was used to investigate the association between the group and the affected side.

An unpaired Student’s t-test was used to compare the groups with respect to the tests being evaluated for each measurement and the results illustrated using graphs of the measurements with their respective standard errors. The tests were performed at a 5% significance level.

RESULTS

The average age of the ST-G group turned out to be statistically higher than that found in the PT group (p < 0.001). The groups are statistically homogeneous according to gender (p = 0.710) and also with respect to the affected side (p = 0.102) (Tables 1 and 2).

The two procedures have different results with respect to the averages of the evaluated measurements. The only measures that do not appear to be different between the two procedures are the percentage of peak extension torque (PEXTT%) and the 30-pound KT1000 (KT 30) test (Figures 1 and 2).
From Table 3, it is apparent that the group that uses PT has a higher average peak flexion torque (PFLT%) (p < 0.001) and greater extension deficit (p < 0.001), while the group using the ST-G has, on average, a better relationship between flexors and extensors (FL/EXT) (p < 0.001) and greater flexion deficit percentage (p = 0.048). There were no statistically significant differences between groups for PEXTT% (p = 0.448) or KT 30 measurements (p = 0.157).

**DISCUSSION**

Over the past 30 years, ACL injuries have had significant changes to their therapeutic approach, returning to a technique that, in 1939, was already using the patellar ligament as a substitute for the ruptured ACL (3).

This return to old techniques was only possible due to advances in anatomical and biomechanical concepts, as well as arthroscopy with new instruments and new fixation techniques, which allow for earlier rehabilitation and better results. During these 30 years, several types of grafts have been used: autologous, allograft, and synthetic. Currently, autologous PT and ST-G grafts are preferred by Wilson and Scott (7). In our clinic, these two types of grafts are also the favorites, the choice for each patient ranging according to the type of sport, type of trauma, and their activities of daily living.

Noyes et al. (8) and Corry et al. (9) observed in studies that PT grafts that were 14 mm wide (bone-graft-bone) require greater force to break than the other ACL substitutes tested separately. However, ST-G grafts, when combined or quadrupled, become similar to or stronger than 10 mm of PT. Steiner et al. (10) studied load resistance to damage of grafts in cadavers: 4500 N for the ST-G, 2646 N for the PT, and 1725 N for the intact ACL.

Marder et al. (11) and Aglietti et al. (12) conducted studies in ACL reconstruction patients, comparing the two types of grafts, ST-G and PT, using the same technique of fixation, that is, suspensory fixation in the femur and interference screw in the tibia for both. They demonstrated that the anteriorization of the tibia, as well as the symptoms, was not significant in either group. These correspond to our findings, we found the values to be statistically equivalent for the ST-G and PT results on the arthrometer 30.

Laxdal et al. (13) and Moisala et al. (14) also consider that there is no difference between the two groups.
in terms of laxity. Iorio et al.\(^\text{15}\) and Ejerhed et al.\(^\text{16}\) also found no significant differences in arthrometry.

Albrecht et al.\(^\text{17}\) reported that isokinetic and functional tests are used to assess knee ligament reconstruction after surgery, in order to estimate the recovery of muscle strength and the agonist/antagonist relationship. They concluded that the unoperated limb is a good reference for isokinetic study, achieving 95% symmetry between the limbs. These aforementioned characteristics justify the use of isokinetic evaluation in our study.

Huston and Wojtys\(^\text{18}\) observed that the muscle strength recovery time is greater in patients with an ACL injury when compared with other injuries.

Publications with results similar to those seen in this study were found regarding the postoperative analysis of hamstring and quadriceps deficits. Coombs and Cochrane\(^\text{19}\) studied the recuperation of knee flexor muscle strength after ACL reconstruction with ST-G. The results showed that there are strength deficits in the operated knee flexors.

As was found by Carter and Edinger\(^\text{20}\), our results also demonstrate strength deficits of the quadriceps and flexor muscles after six months of ACL reconstructive surgery with the PT and ST-G, and most patients did not have normal muscle strength at the end of six PO months.

Feller and Webster\(^\text{21}\) also reported greater extension deficits in the patellar tendon group, with higher peak torque deficits in the evaluation about four and eight months postoperatively, but not later. They also found greater flexion deficits in the ST-G group at eight to 24 months of follow-up.

The literature also reveals some conflicting studies. Terreri et al.\(^\text{22}\) studied 18 athletes with a mean age of 21.6 years (16-32 years) to evaluate the performance after ACL reconstruction with the PT. They found no significant difference between the injured and uninjured knees. The mean ratio of flexion/extension at 60°/second for the injured knee was 60% and 57% for the uninjured knee. Therefore, with increasing speed, deficits also increase due to the improved performance of the flexors, which is not accompanied by extensors.

**CONCLUSION**

The study showed that there are similarities between the two grafts used. Arthrometry presents equivalent results. Although there are some differences in the short-term described previously in this paper, the isokinetic test results are not confirmed in the long-term according to the literature, and do not alter the surgical outcome.

Thus, the choice of the graft remains at the discretion of the surgeon.
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