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ABSTRACT. Avian Encephalomyelitis Virus (AEV) is an infectious viral disease, member in the family of Picornaviridae, with a preference for the central nervous system and various parenchymatous organs of chickens. AEV is an enteric infection and can be transmitted by oral ingestion, but also vertically from infected broiler breeders to the chick, resulting in clinical signs at hatching. A flock of 18,000 broilers, located in the Southern part of Ipirus, exhibited sudden neurological signs. Clinical examination of 16 days old chicks showed rapid head tremors, ataxia and paralysis, often falling on their sides and lie with their legs at unusual angles. No gross lesions were noted during post mortem examinations conducted at 16, 20 and 26 days of age, apart from gross lesions on the brain. Morbidity of the flock exceeded 25% and total mortality reached 20.9% at the end of flock’s cycle. In order to diagnose the suspected AEV, histological and serological examinations were performed. The results showed typical “flame-shape” proliferation of glia, neuron necrosis and neuronocytophagia in the gray matter and Purkinje cells.
INTRODUCTION

Avian encephalomyelitis virus (AEV) is an infectious disease with a preference for the central nervous system and other parenchymatous organs of chickens. Recent characterization of AEV genome indicates that it is more closely related to Hepatitis A virus (Marvil et al., 1999; Todd et al., 1999), than to enteroviruses (Butterfield et al., 1969), and it had been provisionally classified as a tentative species in the genus Hepatovirus (Van regenmortel et al., 2000) in the family Picornaviridae (Marvil et al., 1999; Todd et al., 1999). Recently AEV has now been reclassified as a unique virus and placed as the sole member of the Tremorvirus genus (King et al., 2012).

AEV is essentially an enteric infection and is transmitted between birds by oral ingestion, but it can also be transmitted vertically from infected breeding females through the egg to the chick, resulting in clinical signs at hatching (Calnek, 2008). Due to the great stability of the virus, contaminated areas may remain infectious for long periods. It affects mainly young chicks and is characterized by neurological signs like ataxia and rapid tremors of the head giving rise to the former name of “epidemic tremor” (Tannock & Shafren, 1994; Suarez, 2013).

The disease is controlled in poultry by vaccination of breeding flocks during the growing period to prevent this vertical transmission.

Keywords: Avian Encephalomyelitis, broilers, ELISA, Greece, histology

The clinical case of AEV in broilers was the first case reported in Greece.
CASE DESCRIPTION

Flock Details

The reported clinical case occurred in a commercial broiler farm located in Arta, in the Southern part of Ipirus region, Greece. Two broiler flocks, 18,000 and 15,000 birds, were housed in different houses under intensive and controlled environment system. The farm was surrounded by other farms in a relatively close distance, but kept high standards of bio-security and held complete records of production data. The placement of one day-old chicks was done in two stages: (1) 18,000 day-old chicks, purchased from a local hatchery and (2) 15,000 day-old chicks, purchased from another hatchery 4 days later from the latter placement, and hatched: the first flock (Flock 1) from a non-vaccinated and the second flock (Flock 2) from a vaccinated against AEV broiler breeders flock, respectively.

Clinical History

Both flocks were vaccinated against Infectious Bronchitis and Newcastle Disease at day 1 in the hatchery and no health or production problems were observed, except an increase in mortality due to omphalitis derived from poor hatchery management. Therefore, the production data (Body Weight and Feed Conversion Ratio) were accordingly to the breed standards, but a total mortality of 5.2% was recorded up to two weeks of age. At 16 days of age of Flock 1, daily mortality raised up to 1%, and the birds showed neurological signs which gradually spread to the rest of the flock and total morbidity exceeded 25%. Supplementation of Vitamin E and selenium was continuously given for 7 days with no signs of improvement. However, no symptoms of the condition were manifested in Flock 2. In the next two weeks period, the daily mortality fluctuated from 1 to 2% daily and reached 19.7% totally in Flock 1.

Clinical Examination

During the clinical inspection of the Flock 1 at 16 days of age, the main symptoms observed were depression and a variable tremor, in some cases very marked and evident, especially when the chicks were upright. Exciting or disturbing the chicks brought on the tremor of the head and neck, the frequency and magnitude of which varied. The chicks also showed ataxia and paralysis, falling on their backs and unable to right themselves easily and they also had an inclination to sit on their hocks (Figure 1), and to sit or lie with their legs at unusual angles. When disturbed, the chicks tended to move about with splayed legs and wings, often falling on their sides (Figure 2) and many of the chicks showed curling of the toes, but also some refused to move. Postmortem examination of the chicks confirmed the total absence of feed in the digestive tract and revealed lack of gross abnormalities in the proventriculus, gizzard, pancreas/duodenum, myocardium or peripheral nerve tissues. Birds with neurological signs showed gross lesions on the brain with cerebellar swelling, edema, hemor-
rhage and coning of the swollen cerebellum into the foramen magnum (Figure 3). No gross abnormalities were noted in post mortem examinations at days 20 and 26 as well. No symptoms neither gross lesions were noted in Flock 2 during the whole flock cycle.

Sample Collection and Laboratory Examination Histology

Due to the initial AEV suspicion and for further differential diagnosis, samples for histology were taken at 20 days of age. Following the clinical examination of Flock 1, live birds showing neurological signs were humanely euthanized and specimens of brain, small intestine and pancreas, heart, proventriculus and gizzard were taken from 10 dead birds and were fixed in 10% buffered neutral formalin for histological examination as described by Ono et al. (2003). Histological results revealed various microscopic lesions in all specimens which are characteristic for AEV and some of them are considered to be pathognomonic. In cerebellum, mainly in the grey matter and in the Purkinje cells, neuron-necrosis, neuronocytophagia, lymphocytic inflammation (Figure 4) and appearance of typical “flame-shape” proliferation of glia as well (Figure 5) were seen. Perivascular infiltration and gliosis was also noted in the nucleus cerebellaris (Figure 6). In the myocard-
um (Figure 7), particularly the atrium, and pancreas (Figure 8), foci of infiltrating lymphocytes were considered to be the result of AEV. Finally, a significant increase, which is a pathognomonic lesion, of dense lymphocytic foci in the muscular wall of proventriculus (Figure 9) was found.

Serology

Fourteen (14) blood samples for serology were also taken from affected Flock 1 at 20, 26 and 42 days of age and were tested using a commercial AEV enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (BioCheck Baselines, 2012). Each serum sample was prepared and tested by ELISA according to Smart et al. (1986). Blood samples for serology were also taken from non-affected Flock 2 at 42 days of age at the slaughterhouse. Serological results for both flocks are presented in Table 1.

Treatment and outcome

Although hylectrolytes, multivitamins, vitamin E and selenium were supplemented in the water in an attempt to boost the health of birds, no major improvement in health and production data was noted. Flock 1 was slowly recovered after two weeks from the initiation of symptoms, reached lower body weights and production data compared to non-affected Flock 2 and with an accumulated mortality 20.9% at 42 days of age at the slaughterhouse.

DISCUSSION

In the current study, an outbreak of AEV in broilers was described. AEV occurs virtually worldwide (Tannock and Shafren, 1994; Van der Heide, 1970). Nearly all chicken flocks eventually become infected with the virus, but the incidence of clinical disease is very low unless a breeder flock is not vaccinated and becomes infected after the commencement of egg production. Avian encephalomyelitis virus has a limited host range. Chickens, pheasants, coturnix quail, pigeons and turkeys have all succumbed to naturally occurring infection (Toplu and Alcigir, 2004; Butterfield, 1975; Van der Heide, 1970). The naturally occurring disease in turkeys is essentially the same as that in chickens (Hohlstein et al., 1970). In Greece, no data on the presence of AEV in broilers has been previously recorded in the scientific literature.

The clinical signs of depression, fine tremor of the head in a variable degree, ataxia varied from slight incoordination to sitting on the hocks, and lateral recumbency (Jana et al., 2005), paralysis, as well as
absence of gross lesions in various organs examined or peripheral nerve tissues were typical of epidemic tremor and resembled those reported in cases of AEV in domestic fowl chicks (Calnek, 2008). Studies conducted by Calnek et al. (1960) demonstrated that the incubation period in chicks infected by embryo transmission was 1–7 days, whereas chicks infected by contact transmission or oral administration had a minimum incubation period of 11 days. Thus the disease pattern may involve a reduction in hatchability, clinical signs in vertically infected chicks, which appear during the first 10 days of life, and signs in those infected after hatching, which are seen at 2-5 weeks (Calnek et al., 1960; Calnek, 2008). In this study, the first appearance of clinical signs was noted at 16 days of age, which strongly indicates the horizontal transmission of AEV either at hatchery or through other route. Also, gross lesions on the brain, resembling those which occur during encephalomalacia were recorded, but unsuccessful supplementation of Vitamin E and selenium eliminated this disease from differential diagnosis list from the beginning.

All samples from chicks with marked clinical signs at 20 days of age showed characteristic histopathological findings. Focal gliosis of the Purkinje and molecular layers was noted, as well as evidence of the Purkinje cell degeneration, as previously described by Hishida et al. (1986) as the most characteristic change in domestic fowl chicks horizontally infected with AEV. Also, foci of infiltrating lymphocytes in heart, pancreas and proventriculus were found in significant numbers, confirming the initial diagnosis of AEV. These findings were greatly correlated with those in the studies of Peckham (1957), Jana et al. (2005) and Butterfield et al. (1969), who reported lymphoid proliferations with follicle formation detected in the muscle layers of the proventriculus, gizzard or duodenum, or in the pancreas or myocardium which occur in naturally infected chickens.

Antibodies to AEV were detected in blood serum by a commercial ELISA test. These results showed an increase in serum avian encephalomyelitis virus antibody titers in sequential serum samples that they were collected at 20, 26 and 42 days of age. A strong positive increase of titers, a decrease of CV and increase of positive samples in relation with time strongly indicated an active infection with AEV.

| Table 1: ELISA titers, Coefficient Variation (CV) and positive samples of AE in two flocks at 20, 26 and 42 days of age. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| No of Flock | 20 Days | 26 Days | 42 Days |
| Titers | CV % | N/S/P* | Titers | CV % | N/S/P | Titers | CV % | N/S/P |
| Flock 1** | 7437 | 132 | 0/1/13 | 9070 | 91 | 0/0/14 | 12296 | 46 | 0/0/14 |
| Flock 2*** | - | - | - | 5657 | 59 | 0/0/14 |

*N/S/P: Negative/Suspected/Positive.  
** Flock 1: Hatching eggs from Non-Vaccinated against AEV Broiler Breeders flock.  
*** Flock 2: Hatching eggs from Vaccinated against AEV Broiler Breeders flock.
These findings are in accordance with those of Smart et al. (1986) who used ELISA to diagnose active infections with AEV by an increase in titer with sequential serum samples. Although Flock 2 was located very close to Flock 1, neither clinical signs nor significant increase in ELISA titers were noted. AEV is shed in the feces for a period of several days, and because it is quite resistant to environmental conditions, it remains infectious for long periods of time and can be easily transmitted by ingestion (Calnek at al., 1960; Hoekstra, 1964). Shafren and Tannock (1988) found virus in feces from 4–10 days after exposure to a field strain of AEV. Infected litter is a source of virus easily transmitted horizontally by tracking or fomites. Infection spreads rapidly from bird to bird within a pen or house once introduced and from pen to pen on farms where no special precautions are taken to prevent spread (Calnek, 2008). Flock 1 and Flock 2 were hatched from eggs laid by non-vaccinated and vaccinated against AEV broiler breeders flocks respectively. With no maternal protection, Flock 1 was probably horizontally infected and exhibited clinical signs of infection, whereas Flock 2 was not influenced by AEV presence in the farm. Also, ELISA titers of Flock 2 was not increased compared to those of Flock 1. These findings were strongly correlated with those of Calnek at al. (1960) and Westbury and Sinkovic (1978) who reported that passively acquired antibodies can prevent development of disease and prevent or reduce the period of virus excretion in feces.

No satisfactory treatment is known for acute outbreaks in young chicks. Removal and segregation of affected chicks may be indicated under certain conditions, but they generally will not develop into profitable stock (Suarez, 2013). In domestic fowl, AEV is self-limiting and a simple humoral response provides lifelong protection. Therefore, vaccination is widely used to control AE in breeding flocks in order to prevent vertical transmission (Calnek, 1998). In this study, flock suffered a significant economic loss due to the outbreak of AEV, encouraging the owner to demand compensation and to consider new ways of avoiding the disease in the future.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In conclusion, AEV may cause severe health and production problems in broiler flocks. This study demonstrated AEV as the cause of an outbreak of neurological disease in Arta, Greece. It is most probable that the entry of AEV via a horizontally transmitted route caused the disease and deteriorated the production indicators. The findings of histopathology and serology for AEV could be considered as a simple laboratory analysis for a positive diagnosis of the disease.
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