The viral protein NSP1 acts as a ribosome gatekeeper for shutting down host translation and fostering SARS-CoV-2 translation
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ABSTRACT

SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus is responsible for the Covid-19 pandemic. In the early phase of infection, the single-strand positive RNA genome is translated into nonstructural proteins (NSP). One of the first proteins produced during viral infection, NSP1, binds to the host ribosome and blocks the mRNA entry channel. This triggers translation inhibition of cellular translation. Despite the presence of NSP1 on the ribosome, viral translation proceeds, however. The molecular mechanism of the so-called viral evasion to NSP1 inhibition remains elusive. Here, we confirm that viral translation is maintained in the presence of NSP1 and we show that the evasion to NSP1-inhibition is mediated by the cis-acting RNA hairpin SL1 in the 5′UTR of SARS-CoV-2. Only the apical part of SL1 is required for viral translation. We further show that NSP1 remains bound on the ribosome during viral translation. We suggest that the interaction between NSP1 and SL1 frees the mRNA accommodation channel while maintaining NSP1 bound to the ribosome. Thus, NSP1 acts as a ribosome gatekeeper, shutting down host translation and fostering SARS-CoV-2 translation in the presence of the SL1 5′UTR hairpin. SL1 is also present and necessary for translation of subgenomic RNAs in the late phase of the infectious program. Consequently, therapeutic strategies targeting SL1 should affect viral translation at early and late stages of infection. Therefore, SL1 might be seen as a genuine “Achilles heel” of the virus.
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INTRODUCTION

The SARS-CoV-2, of the beta-coronavirus family, recently emerged as responsible for the Covid-19 world pandemic (Andersen et al. 2020; Zhou et al. 2020). Its genome is a positive single strand RNA molecule containing 29,903 nt entirely sequenced at the end of 2019 (Chan et al. 2020; Lu et al. 2020). The viral genomic RNAs of coronaviruses are capped at their 5′ end and polyadenylated at their 3′ end (Nakagawa et al. 2016; Hartenian et al. 2020). After entry into the infected cell, the viral genome from SARS-CoV-2 hijacks the host translation machinery in order to produce the viral proteins required for the viral infectious program and the production of novel viral particles (Hartenian et al. 2020). Like many viruses, SARS-CoV-2 orchestrates viral translation concomitantly with the specific shutdown of cellular mRNA translation. The goal of this silencing is dual. First, general cellular translation inhibition generates the large pool of ribosomes necessary to ensure efficient and massive synthesis of viral proteins. Interestingly, the cellular mRNAs coding for protein components of the translational machinery, such as ribosomal proteins and translation factors, are preserved from the overall translation inhibition presumably in order to maintain a functional translational machinery during viral translation (Rao et al. 2020). Secondly, the cellular translation silencing inhibits more specifically mRNA subsets that are involved in cellular immune responses to viral infection. In coronaviruses, viral translation begins with the expression from ORF1a that is translated into a polyprotein further processed by proteolytic cleavages to produce nonstructural proteins (NSP) involved in the multiple steps
of the general viral infectious program (Masters 2006). The amino-terminal proximal protein NSP1 is one of the first viral proteins that is produced at the onset of the infectious program. NSP1 is required for efficient host cellular translation initiation. For example, in SARS-CoV, NSP1 first recruits a yet unidentified cellular endonuclease that promotes specific mRNA degradation on translated mRNAs (Kamitani et al. 2006, 2009). These cleavages occur on the ribosome during translation elongation. Importantly, viral mRNA transcripts are resistant to NSP1-mediated cleavages (Huang et al. 2011a). Secondly, the SARS-CoV NSP1 prevents translation initiation by interfering with the preinitiation complex formation at multiple steps (Lokugamage et al. 2012). The SARS-CoV NSP1 is thus directly responsible for general translation inhibition (Narayanan et al. 2008) and SARS-CoV-2 (Lei et al. 2020; Lei et al. 2013). However, the impact of NSP1 on translation is stronger on specific mRNAs. Among the targets of NSP1, mRNA subsets involved in specific cellular immune responses are primarily shut off. Thus, NSP1 suppresses type I interferon responses during infection by SARS-CoV (Narayanan et al. 2008) and SARS-CoV-2 (Lei et al. 2020; Xia et al. 2020). The SARS-CoV-2 viral protein NSP1 binds to the host 40S ribosomal subunit with high affinity and a Kd in the nanomolar range (Lapointe et al. 2020). Actually, NSP1 proteins from SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 are highly homologous. The amino-terminal domain of SARS-CoV was determined by NMR (Almeida et al. 2007). The carboxy-terminal domain of SARS-CoV-2 NSP1 contains an intrinsically disordered domain from residues 130 to 180 (Kumar et al. 2020). However, when bound to the ribosome, the SARS-CoV-2 NSP1 carboxy-terminal domain is folded and binds tightly to the mRNA entry channel (Schubert et al. 2020; Thoms et al. 2020). NSP1 carboxy-terminal residues from 148 to 180 interact with ribosomal proteins uS3 and uS5 and with helix h18 from the 18S rRNA (Schubert et al. 2020; Thoms et al. 2020). Interestingly, the SARS-CoV-2 NSP1 binding site overlaps with the binding sites of the initiation factors elf1 and elf3j (Lapointe et al. 2020) and, thus, the binding of NSP1 to the 40S prevents the formation of the 48S preinitiation complex necessary for efficient translation (Brito Querido et al. 2020). Single molecule approaches have shown that SARS-CoV-2 NSP1 is competing with the mRNA in the mRNA channel (Lapointe et al. 2020).

Recently, it has been shown that the amino-terminal domain of SARS-CoV-2 NSP1 is required for viral translation by NSP1-bound ribosomes (Shi et al. 2020). The linker length between the amino- and carboxy-terminal domains is critical for viral translation (Shi et al. 2020). Beside the positive single strand genomic RNA, nine subgenomic RNAs (S, 3a, E, M, 6, 7a, 7b, 8, and N) are produced during the late phase of the infection by SARS-CoV-2 (Kim et al. 2020). Similar to other coronaviruses, all the viral transcripts are capped at their 5’ end with the first two nucleotides being ribose methylated and polyadenylated at their 3’ end (Yogo et al. 1977; Lai and Stohlman 1981). In the case of SARS-CoV-2, the median length of the polyA tail on viral transcripts is 47 A residues (Kim et al. 2020). In coronaviruses, all viral transcripts contain the common so-called 5’ leader sequence (nucleotides 1 to 75) that forms the hairpins SL1, SL2, and SL3 (Sola et al. 2015). This is also the case for SARS-CoV-2 (Kim et al. 2020; Miao et al. 2020).

Here we show that SARS-CoV-2 viral translation is evading NSP1-mediated inhibition because the viral transcripts, genomic and subgenomic RNAs, all contain a specific region of the leader sequence. More specifically, the sole hairpin SL1 is promoting NSP1 evasion by acting on the NSP1 carboxy-terminal domain in order to enable viral RNAs accommodation in the ribosome for their translation. The interaction between the SL1 RNA hairpin and the NSP1 carboxy-terminal domain occurs while NSP1 remains bound on the ribosome. Therefore, NSP1 acts as a ribosome gatekeeper to impair cellular translation and specifically promote viral translation.

**RESULTS**

The evasion from NSP1 inhibition is due to cis-acting elements located in the SARS-CoV-2 5’UTR

To measure the impact of the SARS-CoV-2 5’UTR on viral translation, we inserted in a reporter construct the 5’UTR upstream of the Renilla luciferase coding sequence. As a control, a similar reporter containing the EMCV IRES was used. Using rabbit reticulocyte lysates (RRL), we measured translation efficiency of Renilla luciferase in the absence and presence of increasing concentrations of recombinant SARS-CoV-2 NSP1 (Fig. 1). Translation efficiency of both constructs is reduced by NSP1. However, translation is significantly less affected with the SARS-CoV-2 5’UTR construct, indicating that the SARS-CoV-2 5’UTR allows evasion from NSP1-mediated inhibition. This is in good agreement with previous studies that showed that NSP1 is indeed inhibiting EMCV-driven translation but not SARS-CoV translation (Lokugamage et al. 2012). Moreover, since we are using 5’ labeled capped RNAs, the fact that we monitor the formation of radioactive translation complexes in the presence of NSP1 demonstrates that the SARS-CoV-2 mRNA is not degraded. To investigate further the evasion of SARS-CoV-2 viral translation from NSP1 inhibition, we analyzed the formation of the ribosomal preinitiation complex by fractionation on sucrose gradients. The RNA transcripts were radiolabeled at their 5’ ends and incubated in RRL. We used a minimal RNA containing the whole SARS-CoV-2 5’UTR and the first 12 codons of the NSP1 coding sequence (nt 1–300) fused to a minimal portion of

---

**Figure 1**

Translation efficiency of the Renilla luciferase coding sequence in the absence and presence of increasing concentrations of recombinant SARS-CoV-2 NSP1. The expression of Renilla luciferase is shown in the absence (control) and presence of recombinant SARS-CoV-2 NSP1. The translation efficiency is reduced by NSP1. However, translation is significantly less affected with the SARS-CoV-2 5’UTR construct, indicating that the SARS-CoV-2 5’UTR allows evasion from NSP1-mediated inhibition. This is in good agreement with previous studies that showed that NSP1 is indeed inhibiting EMCV-driven translation but not SARS-CoV translation (Lokugamage et al. 2012). Moreover, since we are using 5’ labeled capped RNAs, the fact that we monitor the formation of radioactive translation complexes in the presence of NSP1 demonstrates that the SARS-CoV-2 mRNA is not degraded. To investigate further the evasion of SARS-CoV-2 viral translation from NSP1 inhibition, we analyzed the formation of the ribosomal preinitiation complex by fractionation on sucrose gradients. The RNA transcripts were radiolabeled at their 5’ ends and incubated in RRL. We used a minimal RNA containing the whole SARS-CoV-2 5’UTR and the first 12 codons of the NSP1 coding sequence (nt 1–300) fused to a minimal portion of
the luciferase coding sequence (Fig. 2). The formed preinitiation complexes are then fractionated on sucrose gradient and collected in separate fractions. The presence of the radioactive RNAs in the collected fractions was then monitored by Cerenkov counting. With this experimental set-up, we detected the formation of 48S, 80S, and disomes for EMCV and SARS-CoV-2 constructs (Fig. 2A). With SARS-CoV-2, the 48S complex is almost absent indicating that the initiation process in this 5′ UTR might be different from the one driven by EMCV. However, in the presence of GMP-PNP, a nonhydrolyzable GTP-analog that blocks the association of the large 60S ribosomal subunit (Gray and Hentze 1994), the accumulation of a 48S complex is observed, as expected (Supplemental Fig. 1). In the presence of 0.8 µM NSP1, the formation of these complexes is drastically reduced with the EMCV transcript. In contrast, the SARS-CoV-2 5′ UTR transcript allows for the formation of 80S complexes to the same extent and with only a slight reduction of disomes. This experiment confirmed that the evasion from NSP1 inhibition is due to cis-acting elements located in the SARS-CoV-2 5′ UTR.

FIGURE 1. Translation inhibition by viral NSP1. The first reporter construct contains the SARS-CoV2 5′ UTR plus the 12 N-terminal codons of NSP1 (nucleotides 1–300) fused to Renilla luciferase coding sequence. The second reporter contains the EMCV IRES upstream of the Renilla luciferase coding sequence. Translation efficiency was measured in the absence or presence of 0.2, 0.8, and 1.4 µM of recombinant NSP1. Standard deviations or translational activity for each transcript are shown and calculated from eight independent experiments. (*) 0.05 > P-value >0.01; (**) 0.01 > P-value >0.001; (***) P-value <0.001; based on Student’s t-test.

The apical part of SL1 is absolutely required for NSP1 evasion

In order to identify precisely the cis-acting elements, we repeated the experiments with truncated SARS-CoV-2 5′ UTRs. With the 5′ proximal 40 nt deleted (Δ40), the protection toward NSP1-mediated inhibition is totally abrogated, which indicates that this part of the 5′ UTR contains essential cis-acting elements (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Fig. 2). This region of the 5′ UTR contains the predicted hairpin SL1 (Rangan et al. 2020) that was confirmed by probing experiments (Miao et al. 2020). Next, we introduced four mutations in the upper part of SL1. These mutations prevent the formation of the apical stem–loop of SL1 (called SL1 mut) (Fig. 2C). We have verified that the introduced mutations do induce the opening of SL1 (Supplemental Fig. 3). Again, the formation of preinitiation complexes is totally prevented with SL1 mut, indicating that evasion to NSP1-mediated inhibition is abrogated. Thus, the apical part of SL1 is absolutely required for NSP1 evasion.

A fully functional NSP1 is necessary for translation inhibition

Mutations of residues K164 and H165 of NSP1 into alanines totally abolish the binding to the 40S subunit...
(Kamitani et al. 2009). Likewise, the mutations R124A and K125A inhibit NSP1 ability to promote translation inhibition (Lokugamage et al. 2012). We tested the effect of these mutations in SARS-CoV-2 NSP1 on the preinitiation complex formation with the SARS-CoV-2 containing a mutated SL1. As expected, the wild-type NSP1 severely reduces the formation of the preinitiation complexes when SL1 is mutated. In contrast, none of the two NSP1 mutants affects the translation, indicating that NSP1 has to be bound to the ribosome to inhibit translation efficiently (Supplemental Fig. 4). Altogether, these experiments demonstrate that the presence of the cis-acting element SL1 and a NSP1 protein able to bind ribosomes is necessary to promote a viral translation resistant to NSP1-mediated inhibition.

Next, we tested if SL1 is solely responsible for NSP1 resistance. For that purpose, we measured the impact of NSP1 on translation of another reporter construct containing the β-globin 5′ UTR upstream of the Renilla coding sequence. Translation is significantly inhibited in the presence of increasing concentrations of NSP1, confirming the general inhibitory effect of NSP1 bound on the ribosome (Fig. 3A). We then transplanted the 5′ proximal 40 nt of the SARS-CoV-2 5′ UTR, which contain the SL1 hairpin, upstream of the β-globin 5′ UTR. The sole presence of SL1 allowed a significant protection against NSP1 inhibition. In contrast, when SL1 mut is transplanted, no protection is observed. Since the subgenomic RNAs contain the so-called leader sequence that encompasses SL1, SL2, and SL2 in their 5′ UTR, we checked whether SL2 and SL3 are also required for NSP1 evasion. Indeed, addition of SL2 and SL3 only slightly improves the translation efficiency in the presence of NSP1 (Fig. 3B). This experiment indicates that

**The apical part of the SL1 RNA hairpin is solely responsible for NSP1 resistance**

FIGURE 2. SL1 is required in the SARS-CoV-2 5′ UTR for NSP1 evasion. Preinitiation complex formation analysis on 10%–30% sucrose gradients using radiolabeled RNA at their 5′ end. The SARS-CoV-2 RNA transcript was radiolabeled with a radioactive m7G cap at its 5′ end. The preinitiation complexes were fractionated on 10%–30% sucrose gradients. The presence of radioactive RNA was monitored by Cerenkov counting of all the fractions. The plots represent the percentage of radioactive RNA that was used for complex formation. The preinitiation complexes were formed in the absence (red line) or presence of 0.8 µM of recombinant viral NSP1 (blue line). The positions of ribonucleoproteins (RNP), the 48S, 80S, and disomes are indicated above the curves. (A) Translation initiation complexes analysis on sucrose gradients with EMCV reporter mRNA (left panel) and with SARS-CoV-2 reporter mRNA (right panel). Translation initiation complexes analysis on sucrose gradients with a truncated SARS-CoV-2 (B) or a SARS-CoV-2 5′ UTR containing a mutation that disrupts SL1 (C).
subgenomic RNAs can also evade to NSP1-mediated inhibition because they harbor SL1 in their 5′ UTR. These data confirm that the apical part of SL1 is essential for NSP1 evasion. Altogether, these results indicate that the evasion of the SARS-CoV-2 RNAs to NSP1 inhibition is due solely to the presence of SL1 in the 5′ UTR leader sequence.

Free NSP1 has no affinity for RNA

A putative mechanism would be that SL1 directly interacts with NSP1 and thereby removes NSP1 from the ribosome to allow access to the mRNA channel of the ribosome during the initiation process of mRNA accommodation. To evaluate such a mechanism, we tested the RNA binding ability of NSP1 (Fig. 4A). We used radiolabeled RNAs from SARS-CoV-5′ UTR with EMVC and HCV IRES as negative controls. None of the RNAs tested are bound by NSP1 even when 20 µM of NSP1 was used for Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA). We concluded from these experiments that free NSP1 has no affinity for RNA even when it contains SL1 like the SARS-CoV-2 5′ UTR.

The SARS-CoV-2 5′UTR does not bind to any component of the ribosome on its own

However, NSP1 has a strong affinity for the 40S ribosomal subunit, and its carboxy-terminal domain binds into the mRNA channel with a $K_d$ in the nanomolar range (Lapointe et al. 2020). We therefore tested whether a SARS-CoV-2 transcript is able to bind to pure ribosomal subunits by EMSA. To validate our assay, we used the HCV IRES as a positive control, since it was shown earlier that it interacts specifically with the 40S ribosomal subunit (Filbin et al. 2013; Fuchs et al. 2015; Quade et al. 2015; Yamamoto et al. 2015) and even with full 80S (Yokoyama et al. 2019). As expected, the HCV IRES interacts with purified human 40S ribosomal subunit and with the 40S subunit from the complete 80S ribosome. In contrast to the HCV IRES, the SARS-CoV-2 5′ UTR is not able to bind the 40S, and neither to the 60S nor the 80S particles (Fig. 4B). In summary, NSP1 has a strong affinity for the ribosomal 40S subunit but the SARS-CoV-2 5′ UTR cannot bind to any component of the ribosome on its own.

The SARS-CoV-2 5′UTR promotes the assembly of preinitiation complexes in the presence of NSP1: the interaction between NSP1 and SL1 frees the mRNA accommodation channel while maintaining NSP1 bound to the ribosome

However, our results also indicate that the SARS-CoV-2 5′ UTR promotes the assembly of preinitiation complexes in the presence of NSP1. First, we carefully checked that all the ribosomal complexes that are assembled on the SARS-CoV-2 5′ UTR are fully functional and do not

FIGURE 3. SL1 is sufficient to confer resistance to NSP1 inhibition. (A) Luciferase reporter mRNAs containing β-globin 5′ UTR, SL1-β-globin 5′ UTR, and SL1mut-β-globin 5′ UTR were used to measure their translation efficiency in RRL in the absence or presence of 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 1 µM of recombinant NSP1. The average relative activities from three independent experiments are represented in the histogram. The activity of the reporter β-globin 5′ UTR luciferase in the absence of NSP1 is used as a control for normalization. (B) Luciferase reporters containing β-globin 5′ UTR or with SL1, or with SL1–SL2–SL3 in their 5′ UTR. Standard deviations or translational activity for each transcript are shown and calculated from three independent experiments. (ns) nonsignificant; (*) 0.05 > $P$-value >0.01; (**) 0.01 > $P$-value >0.001; (***)$P$-value <0.001; based on Student’s t-test.
correspond to nonproductive ribosome complexes. Indeed, in the presence of GMP–PNP, we see efficient accumulation of 48S particles. In contrast, in the presence of edeine, which interferes specifically in the P-site with the codon–anticodon interaction between the initiator tRNA and the start codon, no 80S ribosomal complexes are assembled and only 43S complexes, presumably scanning complexes, are observed (Supplemental Fig. 1). These experiments demonstrate that the ribosome complexes that are assembled on SARS-CoV-2 5′UTR are fully functional and that nonproductive complexes are absent. In order to determine whether NSP1 is removed from the assembled preinitiation complexes, we used a previously established protocol that yields purified preinitiation complexes programmed with SARS-CoV-2 5′UTR (Prongidi-Fix et al. 2013; Chicher et al. 2015; Martin et al. 2016). The principle is to use a chimeric molecule composed on one hand by the RNA region encompassing the SARS-CoV-2 5′UTR followed by a small coding sequence and a DNA oligonucleotide coupled to Biotin at its 3′ end (Fig. 5A). The hybrid molecules are then immobilized on magnetic streptavidin beads and incubated in RRL in the presence of cycloheximide. Cycloheximide blocks the first translocation step and, therefore, incubation of SARS-CoV-2 5′UTR in RRL, previously treated with cycloheximide, leads to the accumulation of 80S ribosomes that are stalled on the start codon. The complexes are then eluted by DNase digestion that removes the Biotin and the DNA linker. The composition of the eluted ribosomal complexes is determined by mass spectrometry analysis. We performed in parallel two experiments with the SARS-CoV-2 5′UTR in the presence or in the absence of NSP1. Each experiment was repeated three times (Fig. 5B). Since cycloheximide induces the stalling of 80S complexes on the start codon, the 5′ cap of the mRNA is still accessible. Therefore, another scanning complex can also be present on the mRNA, meaning that we can in fact purify 43S, 48S, and 80S complexes at the same time. Concerning elongation, it is well described that cycloheximide does not allow a 100%-blockage and that a small proportion of disomes is always observed, which explains the presence of elongation factors in our complexes. The important point here is that NSP1 is still present together with disomes, 80S and scanning complexes. Since all these complexes are assembled with the mRNA in the mRNA channel, we have to conclude on the presence of NSP1 on these ribosomal complexes but with its carboxy-terminal domain displaced from the mRNA channel to enable the presence of mRNA.

Two actin-binding proteins, MYH9 and MYH10, are depleted from the complexes when NSP1 is present. Interestingly, it has been reported that depletion or inactivation of the myosin nonmuscle proteins MYH9 and MYH10 leads to renal failure (Otterpohl et al. 2020) or pulmonary disease (Kim et al. 2018), characteristic symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

In these two experiments, preinitiation complexes were efficiently purified as attested by the presence of 40S and 60S ribosomal proteins and eukaryotic initiation factors (eIFs) (Fig. 5C). In both complexes, we found the full set of ribosomal proteins from the 60S and the 40S ribosomal
subunits (50 proteins and 36 proteins, respectively) (Supplemental Table 1). The fact that proteins from the translation machinery were found in both complexes confirms that NSP1 does not inhibit translation of SARS-CoV-2 mRNAs. Importantly, we found that NSP1 is still present in the preinitiation complexes formed in the presence of NSP1. This indicates that the purified preinitiation complexes contain NSP1 still bound on the ribosome. Thus, these experiments imply that the mRNA channel is accessible to the mRNA and that the NSP1 carboxy-terminal domain must have been remodeled in order to allow mRNA accommodation. Since NSP1 is present in these preinitiation complexes, this suggests that NSP1 remains attached to the ribosomal subunit with its amino-terminal domain (according to data from Shi et al. 2020). The addition of purified transcripts containing SL1 or SL1–SL2–SL3 in trans (with a 10-fold excess) does not rescue the translation in the presence of NSP1 (data not shown). Therefore NSP1-evasion does require the presence of SL1 in cis on the mRNA.

**DISCUSSION**

Altogether, our data enable us to propose the following model (Fig. 6). During the early stages of the SARS-CoV-2 infectious program, ORF1a is translated by canonical cap-dependent translation. The corresponding protein is then processed into NSP proteins. Among these, NSP1 binds to the ribosome with a high affinity. Its carboxy-terminal domain interacts with the mRNA channel entry site and thereby blocks the access to mRNAs. Cellular translation is consequently drastically shut down. However, viral translation escapes this blockage and still goes on. We have confirmed that viral translation is proceeding further in the presence of NSP1 (Schubert et al. 2020). Indeed, according to the primers described in this publication, the reporter

![Figure 5](https://rnajournal.org/article/259)

**FIGURE 5.** NSP1 remains bound to the preinitiation complex programmed with SARS-CoV-2 5’UTR. (A) Experimental strategy adapted from Chicher et al. (2015) to purify translation initiation complexes programmed with SARS-CoV-2 5’UTR in the absence or presence of recombinant NSP1. (B) Multidimensional scaling plot illustrating global variance and similarities between the SARS-CoV-2 (w/oNSP1) and SARS-CoV-2 (+NSP1) populations detected in the replicates, after a median-to-ratio normalization. (C) Volcano plot showing the proteins copurified with NSP1 as compared to the control condition performed without NSP1. Y- and x-axes display adjusted P-values and fold changes, respectively. The proteins indicated by a red circle are enriched in either the SARS-CoV-2 (+NSP1) condition (Log2FC > 1) or in the SARS-CoV-2 (w/oNSP1) condition (Log2FC < −1). The dashed line indicates the threshold above which proteins are significantly enriched (adjP < 0.05). Magnifications of the nonsignificant hits are shown in order to visualize better the proteins from the translation machinery. Green and orange circles label the 40S and 60S ribosomal proteins, respectively, yellow circles label the initiation factors, and purple circles correspond to other proteins. The source data are available in Supplemental Table 1.
used in the later study did not contain SL1, which in fact confirms our results obtained with Δ40, Δ60, Δ80, and Δ150 (Supplemental Fig. 2) that showed that viral evasion is abrogated when SL1 is deleted.

In the model deduced from the available and the present data (Fig. 6), the SARS-CoV-2 5′UTR contains a cis-acting element, the SL1 hairpin, that induces during translation initiation a structural rearrangement of NSP1, especially of its carboxy-terminal domain. This frees the access to the mRNA channel and allows viral translation to proceed further. This model is in good agreement with two models recently proposed by others (Banerjee et al. 2020; Shi et al. 2020). They found that the amino-terminal part of NSP1 is required for evasion from NSP1 inhibition, that the length of the linker between the amino- and carboxy-terminal domains is critical and that the 5′UTR is required for viral evasion. In addition, they proposed that NSP1 is removed from the ribosome and retained by an interaction between the amino-terminal domain of NSP1 and the 5′UTR (Banerjee et al. 2020; Shi et al. 2020). Although we cannot rule out this possibility, the fact that we have not been able to detect any RNA binding ability with free NSP1 is a strong argument against this suggestion. Moreover, if NSP1 is released from the ribosome, this will lead to free ribosomes that can start again to translate cellular mRNAs. To ensure efficient shut down of host translation, it is more efficient for the virus to maintain NSP1 on the ribosome. Within this model, at the end of translation, the carboxy-terminal domain of NSP1 folds back into the mRNA channel and prevents any de novo cellular mRNA translation. Only viral mRNA transcripts can access the mRNA channel, thanks to the SL1 that is present in the 5′UTR of genomic and subgenomic RNAs.
in Figure 6, NSP1 acts as a gatekeeper to control selectively the access to the mRNA channel, preventing cellular translation and restricting translation to the sole viral transcripts. The release of the NSP1 gatekeeper is controlled by SL1 from viral transcripts. In conclusion, the sole presence of SL1 in all the SARS-CoV-2 transcripts is a prerequisite to complete the viral infectious program. Therefore, targeting SL1 for therapeutic purposes would be an elegant approach to impair viral translation, in the early phase but also in the late phases of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Targeting NSP1 proteins from coronaviruses for the development of novel therapeutic strategies has been proposed earlier (Kamitani et al. 2006, 2009; Wathelet et al. 2007; Züst et al. 2007; Lokugamage et al. 2012; Züst et al. 2012; Jauregui et al. 2013; Jimenez-Guárdene et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2020). Another attractive alternative would be to target SL1. Indeed, SL1 being present in all the viral transcripts, drug-design against SL1 would allow to target specifically viral translation in the early phase of infection by impairing genomic RNA translation and in the late phase of infection by blocking translation of subgenomic RNAs. Altogether, SL1 might be seen as a genuine “Achilles heel” of SARS-CoV-2.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In vitro transcription

The different variants of reporter constructs were transcribed by run-off in vitro transcription with T7 RNA polymerase. Uncapped RNAs were separated on denaturing PAGE (4%) and RNA were recovered from the gel slices by electroelution. The resulting pure RNA transcripts were capped at their 5′ end with the ScriptCap m7G Capping System (Epicenter Biotechnologies).

In vitro translation

In vitro translation with cell-free translation extracts were performed using self-made rabbit reticulocyte lysates (RRL) as previously described (Martin et al. 2011). Briefly, reactions were incubated at 30°C for 60 min and included 200 nM of each transcript and 10.8 μCi [35S]Met. Aliquots of translation reactions were analyzed for Renilla luciferase activity on a luminometer.

Sucrose gradient analysis

For sucrose-gradient analysis, 5′-32P-labeled mRNA were incubated in self-made RRL, in the presence of recombinant NSP1. NSP1 was incubated with RRL 5 min at 30°C prior to addition of radio-labeled mRNAs. The translation initiation complexes were separated on a 10%–30% linear sucrose gradient in buffer (25 mM Tris–HCl [pH 7.4], 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT). The reactions were loaded on the gradients and spun (23,411 g for 2.5 h at 4°C) in a SW41 rotor. mRNA sedimentation on sucrose gradients was monitored by Cerenkov counting after fractionation.

DNA templates and primers used

A DNA fragment containing the 900 first nucleotides of SARS-CoV-2 (accession number: MN908947.3) was ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT). The fragment was used as template for all the subsequent PCR amplifications. The DNA fragment corresponding to NSP1 (179 amino acid first NSP1 residues after TEV cleavage) was cloned in pET-His-GST-TEV-LIC-(2GT) (Addgene) with Gibson Assembly Cloning Technology (Gibson et al. 2009). The plasmids containing the EMCV IRES and the β-globin sequence have been kindly provided by G. Goodall and by B. Sargueil, respectively. The primers used in this work are listed in Supplemental Table 2.

Mass spectrometry analysis and data processing

Proteins were digested with sequencing-grade trypsin (Promega) and analyzed by nano LCMS/MS as previously described (Chicher et al. 2015). Digested proteins were then analyzed on a QExactive + mass spectrometer coupled to an EASY-nanoLC-1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). MS data were searched against the Rabbit UniProtKB subdatabase (release 2020_05, taxon 9986, 43454 sequences) with a decoy strategy. Peptides were identified with Mascot algorithm (version 2.5, Matrix Science) and data were imported into Proline 1.4 software (Bouysseï et al. 2020). Proteins were validated on Mascot pretty rank equal to 1, Mascot score above 25 and 1% FDR on both peptide spectrum matches (PSM score) and protein sets (Protein Set score). The total number of MS/MS fragmentation spectra was used to quantify each protein in each condition performed in three replicates. The statistical analysis based on spectral counts was performed using a homemade R package that calculates fold change and P-values using the quasi-likelihood negative binomial generalized log-linear model implemented in the edgeR package (https://github.com/hzuber67/IPinquiry4). The size factors used to scale samples were calculated according to the DESeq2 normalization method (i.e., median of ratios method). Volcano plots display the adjusted P-values and fold changes in the y- and x-axis, respectively, and show the enrichment of proteins in both conditions. P-values were adjusted using Benjamini–Hochberg method from stats R package.

NSP1 overexpression and purification

NSP1 and derivatives (R124A+K125A—Inhibits translation, no mRNA degradation—(Lokugamage et al. 2012); K164A+H165A—biologically inactive—(Narayan et al. 2008) were cloned in plasmid pET-His-GST-TEV-LIC-(2GT). The plasmid pET-His-GST-TEV-LIC-(2GT) was purchased from Addgene. This vector overexpresses fusion proteins carrying a 6-His-tag on the amino-terminal GST domain followed by TEV protease cleavable site and by the NSP1 native protein or mutants. The fusion proteins were expressed in E. coli BL21 Rosetta (DE3) pLysS cells. Cells were grown at 37°C to a cell density of OD600 = 0.6. Temperature was decreased to 20°C and cells were induced by addition of 0.1 mM IPTG. Twelve hours after induction, pelleted cells were resuspended in EQ/W buffer (40 mM Na phosphate pH 7.2, 500 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole) supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100, cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail
Ribosome purification

Ribosomes from HeLa cells were purified according to the previous protocol established for rabbit reticulocyte lysate (Pestova et al. 1996). Briefly, 826 × 10⁶ HeLa cells (IGBMC) were resuspended in 60 mL of lysis buffer (15 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 6 mM MgCl₂, 1% Triton X100) and incubated at 0°C for 20 min. All the following steps were performed at 4°C. Cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 45,000 rpm in a Ti50.2 rotor for 1 h. The supernatant was centrifuged at 105,000 × g for 1 h. The resulting pellets of 40S and 60S subunits were resuspended in 6 mL buffer A supplemented with 500 mM KCl and resolved by centrifugation through a 10% to 50% glycerol gradient from initiation and other cellular factors. Ribosomes were concentrated, and stored in buffer that contains 50% glycerol at −20°C.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA)

To detect RNA–protein interactions by EMSA, recombinant NSP1 or pure human 40S, 60S, and 80S ribosomal fractions were incubated with 50 fmol of 5'-32P-labeled RNA transcripts. Briefly, proteins and RNA were mixed with 20 μg of yeast total RNA (Merck Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated for 20 min in 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol in 20 μL at 0°C. The RNA–protein complexes were analyzed by electrophoresis on native 5% polyacrylamide gels using Tris-50 mM glycine as buffer system and visualized by phosphor imaging.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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