Abstract. Recently, numerous long non-coding (lnc)RNAs have been revealed as serving important roles in human gene regulation. Previous studies have suggested that aberrant expression of lncRNAs is associated with cancer progression and metastasis. Previous studies have also demonstrated that decreased expression of WW domain-containing oxidoreductase (WWOX) is associated with poor prognosis in numerous cancer types. However, the effect of WWOX antisense RNA 1 (WWOX-AS1) in the development of cancer remains unknown. The aim of the present study was to investigate the role of WWOX-AS1 in osteosarcoma. The expression levels of WWOX-AS1 in human osteosarcoma cell lines and a normal osteoblastic cell line were investigated using reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). The results revealed that WWOX-AS1 expression was downregulated in osteosarcoma tissues. Furthermore, the association between WWOX-AS1 and the prognosis of patients with osteosarcoma was investigated using Kaplan-Meier and log-rank tests. The results suggested that patients exhibiting high WWOX-AS1 expression demonstrated a greater overall survival compared with patients exhibiting low WWOX-AS1 expression. In addition, overexpression and knockdown of WWOX-AS1 was performed using transfection experiments and confirmed by RT-qPCR in MG63 and SAOS2 cells, respectively. The results demonstrated that WWOX-AS1 and WWOX expression were positively correlated. Furthermore, the results of the knockdown and overexpression functional experiments suggested that WWOX-AS1 overexpression inhibited the proliferation, migration and invasion of MG63 cells, and knockdown of WWOX-AS1 enhanced the proliferation, migration and invasion of MG63 cells in SAOS2 cells. In conclusion, the results of the present study suggested that WWOX-AS1 may represent a potential biomarker and therapeutic target for the treatment of osteosarcoma.

Introduction

Osteosarcoma is the most common primary malignant bone tumor among children and adults worldwide and is characterized by a high metastatic potential to the lung as well as poor prognosis (1,2). Long non-coding (Inc)RNAs are sequences of non-coding protein transcripts (>200 nucleotides in length) with little or no protein-coding capacity (3). Unlike mRNAs, lncRNAs are associated with numerous biological and cellular processes, including the regulation of transcription and mRNA post-transcriptional levels (4,5). Previous studies have revealed that lncRNAs are responsible for initiation and progression of numerous cancers due to their involvement in cellular processes (6-8). Notably, a number of functional lncRNAs have previously been revealed to be associated with osteosarcoma, including HOX transcript antisense RNA (HOXAS) (9), breast cancer anti-estrogen resistance 4 (10), HOXA distal transcript AS (11) and urothelial cancer associated 1 (12). Numerous lncRNAs function as the antisense partners of their respective protein-coding genes, including cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B-AS1 (13,14), C-terminal binding protein 1-AS (15), hepatocyte nuclear factor 1 homeobox A-AS1 (16) and proliferation arrest specific 6-AS1 (17).
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expression and function of WWOX-AS1 are associated with the progression and prognosis of osteosarcoma.

In the present study, a number of experiments were performed to investigate the functional role of WWOX-AS1 in osteosarcoma. The expression of WWOX-AS1 in osteosarcoma tissues, its association with patient prognosis and its correlation with WWOX expression levels were investigated. Furthermore, functional assays were performed via the knockdown and overexpression experiments. In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated the functional role of WWOX-AS1 in osteosarcoma, which may prove valuable for future osteosarcoma research.

Materials and methods

Study participants. A total of 70 patients with osteosarcoma were recruited in the present study (Table I). The 70 patients with osteosarcoma were classified into two groups: High-WWOX-AS1 group (n=35; WWOX-AS1 expression value ≥median value) and low-WWOX-AS1 group (n=35; WWOX-AS1 expression value ≤median value). Staging is based on Enneking system staging (25). From each patient, an osteosarcoma tissue sample and an adjacent tissue sample were collected via appropriate surgical resections. The samples were collected between March 2011 and December 2014 in the General Hospital of the People's Liberation Army (Beijing, China). Written informed consent was obtained from each patient or their guardians. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committees of the General Hospital of the People's Liberation Army.

Cancer cell lines. Three human osteosarcoma cell lines (SAOS2, MG63 and U2OS) and a normal human osteoblastic cell line (hFOB) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin solution (Invitrogen, Thermofisher Scientific, Inc.) at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

RNA extraction and reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). Total RNA was isolated from patients' tissues and cell lines using TRIzol Total RNA Reagent (Life Technologies; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Complementary (c) DNA was synthesized from 2 µg total RNA using the RevertAid™ M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (Fermentas; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 4˚C. cDNA was synthesized from 2 µg total RNA using the RevertAid™ First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Takara Bio, Inc., Otsu, Japan) at a magnification of x200.

Cell proliferation assay. Cell proliferation was investigated using a MTS (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium) assay kit (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol. MG63 and SAOS2 cells (2,000 cells/well) were separately plated in 96-well plates. MTS reagent (20 µl) was added to each well containing 100 µl DMEM culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS. Plates were subsequently incubated for 2 h at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. The absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 490 nm using a plate reader. The optical density (OD) values at 24, 48, 72 and 96 h following transfection were determined and normalized to the OD value at 0 h. Relative cell proliferation was subsequently determined.

Scratch wound-healing assay. A total of 24 h post-transfection, MG63 and SAOS2 cells were seeded into a 6-well culture plate until a confluent monolayer was established and then cultured at 37°C. Following this, uniform wounds were scraped using a sterile 200 µl pipette tip and each well was washed thrice using 1X PBS to remove floating cells. The initial gap length (0 h) and the residual gap length (48 h) following wound initiation were calculated from images acquired using a fluorescence inversion microscope system (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) at a magnification of x200.

Matrigel invasion assay. Cell invasion assays were performed using modified Boyden Chambers (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. A total of 2x10⁵ cells were suspended in 100 µl of DMEM
supplemented with 10% FBS in the lower chamber, and then plated in upper chambers with Matrigel-coated inserts (pore size, 8 µm) in 24-well culture plates (BD Biosciences). Invasive cells were stained at 42˚C using 0.1% crystal violet solution for 15 min and counted whilst passing through the filter. The average invasion rate was calculated using a fluorescence inversion microscope system (Nikon Corporation) at a magnification of x200; the increasing number of invasive cells as a proportion of the entire cell population after 48 h.

Statistical analysis. Each experiment was repeated at least three times. The Student's t-test and one-way analysis of variance followed by the Newman-Keuls method were performed to analyze the statistical differences between two groups and multiple groups, respectively. The $\chi^2$ test was used to perform correlation analysis of clinical data. Correlation between gene expression levels was investigated using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences between the survival probabilities of each patient group were compared using a log-rank test. The values are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Data analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 18.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

WWOX-AS1 is downregulated in osteosarcoma and is associated with prognosis of patients. WWOX-AS1 expression

Table I. Characteristics of patients with osteosarcoma participating in the present study.

| Number | Age (years) | Sex | Stage | Tumor location          |
|--------|-------------|-----|-------|-------------------------|
|        |             |     |       | Proximal end of femur    |
| 1      | 16          | M   | III A | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 2      | 27          | F   | III A | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 3      | 41          | F   | III B | Proximal end of humerus  |
| 4      | 40          | F   | I B   | Proximal end of humerus  |
| 5      | 40          | F   | II A  | Distal end of humerus    |
| 6      | 15          | F   | II B  | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 7      | 19          | M   | II A  | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 8      | 42          | F   | II B  | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 9      | 11          | M   | II A  | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 10     | 46          | F   | I A   | Proximal end of femur    |
| 11     | 29          | M   | II    | Distal end of humerus    |
| 12     | 15          | M   | II A  | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 13     | 15          | M   | II A  | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 14     | 10          | M   | I A   | Distal end of femur      |
| 15     | 12          | F   | II B  | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 16     | 44          | M   | II B  | Proximal end of ulna     |
| 17     | 40          | F   | II A  | Distal end of femur      |
| 18     | 41          | M   | I A   | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 19     | 43          | F   | I B   | Distal end of femur      |
| 20     | 19          | F   | II B  | Proximal end of femur    |
| 21     | 32          | M   | I A   | Distal end of femur      |
| 22     | 40          | F   | II B  | Distal end of femur      |
| 23     | 14          | M   | II B  | Proximal end of humerus  |
| 24     | 41          | M   | III A | Proximal end of humerus  |
| 25     | 17          | M   | III A | Distal end of tibia      |
| 26     | 12          | M   | II B  | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 27     | 42          | F   | I B   | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 28     | 14          | F   | I B   | Distal end of femur      |
| 29     | 21          | F   | I B   | Distal end of femur      |
| 30     | 20          | M   | II B  | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 31     | 19          | F   | II B  | Proximal end of femur    |
| 32     | 47          | F   | III A | Distal end of femur      |
| 33     | 8           | M   | I B   | Distal end of femur      |
| 34     | 47          | M   | III A | Distal end of femur      |
| 35     | 43          | F   | II B  | Distal end of femur      |
| 36     | 15          | M   | III B | Distal end of tibia      |
| 37     | 41          | F   | I A   | Proximal end of humerus  |
| 38     | 40          | F   | II A  | Distal end of ulna       |
| 39     | 41          | F   | I B   | Distal end of ulna       |
| 40     | 45          | F   | III B | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 41     | 55          | M   | I A   | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 42     | 50          | F   | I B   | Distal end of tibia      |
| 43     | 12          | M   | III A | Distal end of femur      |
| 44     | 18          | F   | III A | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 45     | 15          | M   | II A  | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 46     | 16          | F   | I B   | Distal end of femur      |
| 47     | 43          | F   | II B  | Distal end of tibia      |
| 48     | 28          | M   | II B  | Distal end of tibia      |
| 49     | 40          | F   | III A | Proximal end of femur    |
| 50     | 10          | M   | I B   | Proximal end of tibia    |

Table I. Continued.

| Number | Age (years) | Sex | Stage | Tumor location          |
|--------|-------------|-----|-------|-------------------------|
| 51     | 48          | M   | II A  | Proximal end of femur    |
| 52     | 42          | F   | I B   | Proximal end of femur    |
| 53     | 18          | M   | II A  | Distal end of femur      |
| 54     | 20          | M   | I A   | Proximal end of femur    |
| 55     | 45          | F   | I B   | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 56     | 45          | F   | II A  | Distal end of femur      |
| 57     | 43          | F   | III A | Distal end of femur      |
| 58     | 15          | M   | I A   | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 59     | 18          | M   | III A | Distal end of femur      |
| 60     | 42          | M   | III A | Distal end of femur      |
| 61     | 43          | M   | I B   | Distal end of femur      |
| 62     | 16          | M   | III A | Distal end of tibia      |
| 63     | 50          | M   | I B   | Distal end of femur      |
| 64     | 42          | F   | III A | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 65     | 15          | M   | I B   | Proximal end of femur    |
| 66     | 15          | F   | I B   | Distal end of femur      |
| 67     | 16          | F   | I A   | Distal end of femur      |
| 68     | 18          | M   | I B   | Distal end of femur      |
| 69     | 49          | M   | II A  | Proximal end of tibia    |
| 70     | 11          | M   | II A  | Distal end of femur      |

Staging is based on Enneking system staging (26). F, female; M, male.

supplemented with 10% FBS in the lower chamber, and then plated in upper chambers with Matrigel-coated inserts (pore size, 8 µm) in 24-well culture plates (BD Biosciences). Invasive cells were stained at 42˚C using 0.1% crystal violet solution for 15 min and counted whilst passing through the filter. The average invasion rate was calculated using a fluorescence inversion microscope system (Nikon Corporation) at a magnification of x200; the increasing number of invasive cells as a proportion of the entire cell population after 48 h.

Statistical analysis. Each experiment was repeated at least three times. The Student's t-test and one-way analysis of variance followed by the Newman-Keuls method were performed to analyze the statistical differences between two groups and multiple groups, respectively. The $\chi^2$ test was used to perform correlation analysis of clinical data. Correlation between gene expression levels was investigated using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences between the survival probabilities of each patient group were compared using a log-rank test. The values are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Data analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 18.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

WWOX-AS1 is downregulated in osteosarcoma and is associated with prognosis of patients. WWOX-AS1 expression
in osteosarcoma tissues was significantly downregulated in tumor tissues compared with adjacent normal tissues (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, WWOX-AS1 expression was revealed to be lowest in patients with World Health Organization (WHO) grade III
osteosarcoma, and highest in patients with WHO grade I osteosarcoma (Fig. 1B). In osteosarcoma tissue specimens, the association between WWOX-AS1 expression and the prognosis of patients with osteosarcoma was investigated using the Kaplan-Meier and log-rank tests. The 70 patients with osteosarcoma were classified into two groups: High-WWOX-AS1 group (n=35; WWOX-AS1 expression value ≥median value) and low-WWOX-AS1 group (n=35; WWOX-AS1 expression value ≤median value). The results suggested that the overall survival probability of the high-WWOX-AS1 group was significantly increased compared with the low-WWOX-AS1 group (Fig. 1C; P=0.036), which suggested that downregulation of WWOX-AS1 may serve an important role in the progression of osteosarcoma. As revealed in Table IV, significant differences were observed between WWOX-AS1 expression and pulmonary metastasis as well as WHO grade in the two different patient groups (P<0.05). However, no significant associations were revealed between WWOX-AS1 expression and sex, age, tumor size or differentiation (Table IV).

Expression of WWOX-AS1 is positively correlated with WWOX expression. The association between WWOX-AS1 and WWOX was investigated using RT-qPCR. The results suggested that WWOX-AS1 and WWOX expression were positively correlated (R=0.46, P<0.001; Fig. 2).

Alterations in WWOX expression correspond with the expression of WWOX-AS1 following WWOX-AS1 overexpression or knockdown in osteosarcoma cell lines. The expression of WWOX-AS1 was investigated in three human osteosarcoma cell lines (SAOS2, MG63 and U2OS) and one human normal osteoblastic cell line (hFOB) using RT-qPCR. The results demonstrated that the expression level of WWOX-AS1 in hFOB was significantly increased compared with all three human osteosarcoma cell lines. Furthermore, SAOS2 and U2OS cells were revealed to exhibit a significantly enhanced expression of WWOX-AS1 compared with MG63 cells (Fig. 3A).

In addition, WWOX-AS1 overexpression was analyzed using RT-qPCR. WWOX-AS1 pcDNA was constructed and transfected into MG63 cells. The results verified that WWOX-AS1 expression was significantly enhanced in the pcDNA WWOX-AS1 group compared with the pcDNA control group. In addition, the result revealed that WWOX expression was also significantly upregulated in the pcDNA WWOX-AS1 group compared with the pcDNA control group (Fig. 3B).

Furthermore, WWOX-AS1 knockdown was investigated via RT-qPCR. WWOX-AS1 siRNA was transfected into SAOS2 cells, and the results demonstrated that both WWOX-AS1 and WWOX expression levels were significantly downregulated in the WWOX-AS1 siRNA group compared with the NC...
group (Fig. 3C). Therefore, the results suggested that WWOX expression may be regulated by lncRNA WWOX-AS1 in osteosarcoma.

**Proliferation, migration and invasion abilities of MG63 cells are suppressed following WWOX-AS1 overexpression.** To investigate the role of WWOX-AS1 in osteosarcoma, WWOX-AS1 overexpression in MG63 cells was performed. The results demonstrated that the proliferation of MG63 cells transfected with pcDNA WWOX-AS1 was significantly inhibited compared with the pcDNA control group with increased time (Fig. 4A). In addition, the results of the wound healing assay revealed that the migration of MG63 cells transfected with pcDNA WWOX-AS1 was significantly suppressed compared with the pcDNA control group (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, the results of the Matrigel invasion assay demonstrated that the invasive ability of cells transfected with pcDNA WWOX-AS1 was significantly decreased compared with the pcDNA control group (Fig. 4C). In conclusion, the results suggested that the proliferation, migration and invasion of MG63 cells were suppressed following WWOX-AS1 overexpression.

**Proliferation, migration and invasion of SAOS2 cells are enhanced following WWOX-AS1 knockdown.** To further investigate the role of WWOX-AS1 in osteosarcoma, WWOX-AS1 knockdown in SAOS2 cells was performed. The results demonstrated that proliferation of cells transfected with WWOX-AS1 siRNA was significantly enhanced compared with the NC group with increased time (Fig. 5A). The results of the scratch wound-healing assay revealed that cell migration in the WWOX-AS1 siRNA group was significantly enhanced compared with the NC group (Fig. 5B). In addition, the results of the Matrigel invasion assay demonstrated that cell invasion was significantly increased in the WWOX-AS1 siRNA group compared with the NC group (Fig. 5C). In conclusion, the results suggested that the proliferation, migration and invasion of SAOS2 cells were enhanced following WWOX-AS1 knockdown.

**Discussion**

Osteosarcoma is the most prevalent primary bone tumor in children, adolescents and elderly adults (1). In recent years, a number of therapeutic strategies have been used in the treatment of osteosarcoma, including surgery, multiagent chemotherapy and traditional Chinese medical treatments (27-33). However, current treatments for osteosarcoma are still limited in their effectiveness, as the molecular mechanisms underlying the pathogenesis of osteosarcoma have not been fully determined. Therefore, to improve outcomes for patients, it is important to elucidate the potential mechanism and underlying regulation process in osteosarcoma.

Previously, it has been demonstrated that antisense lncRNAs may regulate their sense transcript in a positive or negative manner (34-36). Furthermore, numerous antisense lncRNAs have been revealed to be associated with numerous malignant tumors (13-17). LncRNA WWOX-AS1 is the antisense transcript of WWOX and has been demonstrated to regulate the progression of numerous cancers, including osteosarcoma (23,24), ovarian cancer (19), hepatocellular carcinoma (20), colon cancer (21) and bladder cancer (22). However, the effect of WWOX-AS1 on the progression of osteosarcoma remains undetermined. The present study investigated the expression level of WWOX-AS1 in human tissues and osteosarcoma cell lines. The functional role of WWOX-AS1 was also analyzed in osteoblastic cell lines. The results revealed that the expression of WWOX-AS1 was downregulated in osteosarcoma tissues and cell lines compared with healthy tissues and normal human osteoblastic cells, respectively. The association between prognosis...
Figure 4. Proliferation, migration and invasion of MG63 cells are suppressed following transfection with pcDNA WWOX-AS1 (magnification, x200). (A) Proliferation of MG63 cells transfected pcDNA WWOX-AS1 was significantly decreased compared with the pcDNA control group. (B) Scratch wound healing assays revealed that migration of MG63 cells transfected with pcDNA WWOX-AS1 was significantly suppressed compared with the pcDNA control group. (C) Matrigel invasion assays revealed that the invasion of MG63 cells transfected with pcDNA WWOX-AS1 was significantly suppressed compared with the pcDNA control group. *P<0.05 vs. the pcDNA control group. WWOX, WW domain-containing oxidoreductase; WWOX-AS1, WWOX antisense RNA 1; pcDNA, plasmid complementary DNA.

Figure 5. Proliferation, migration and invasion of SAOS2 cells are enhanced following transfection with WWOX-AS1-si (magnification, x200). (A) Proliferation of SAOS2 cells transfected with WWOX-AS1-si was significantly increased compared with the NC group. (B) Scratch wound-healing assays revealed that migration of SAOS2 cells transfected with WWOX-AS1-si was significantly enhanced compared with the NC group. (C) Matrigel invasion assays revealed that invasion of SAOS2 cells transfected with WWOX-AS1-si was significantly enhanced compared with the NC-si group. *P<0.05 vs. the NC-si group. WWOX, WW domain-containing oxidoreductase; WWOX-AS1, WWOX antisense RNA 1; NC, negative control; si, small interfering RNA.
of patients and WWOX-AS1 expression was also determined using Kaplan-Meier and log-rank tests, which suggested that patients with high WWOX-AS1 expression exhibited a greater overall survival compared with patients with low WWOX-AS1 expression. Furthermore, the results of the present study demonstrated that WWOX-AS1 and WWOX expression levels were positively correlated in patients. In addition, the expression levels of WWOX were revealed to be significantly enhanced and suppressed following WWOX-AS1 overexpression and knockdown in osteosarcoma cell lines, respectively; which further suggested that the expression levels of WWOX-AS1 and WWOX are positively correlated in osteosarcoma. These results suggested that lncRNA WWOX-AS1 may regulate WWOX expression. Similar results were also demonstrated in our previous study regarding ovarian cancer (18).

To date, numerous lncRNAs have been identified as potent prognostic predictors in the progression of osteosarcoma (9-12). Therefore, the present study aimed to determine whether lncRNA WWOX-AS1 could suppress tumor progression as well as suppress the proliferation, migration and invasion of cells associated with osteosarcoma. The results demonstrated that decreased expression of WWOX-AS1 was correlated with elevated WHO grades, and that patients in the high-WWOX-AS1 group demonstrated a greater overall survival compared with patients in the low-WWOX-AS1 group. Therefore, it can be suggested that WWOX-AS1 is associated with tumor development in osteosarcoma. This may be attributed to the association between WWOX and the prognosis of osteosarcoma. For example, Zhang et al (23) demonstrated that WWOX gene polymorphisms may represent predictive factors for the assessment of risks and outcomes associated with osteosarcoma. Kurek et al (37) revealed the occurrence and frequency of WWOX deletion in osteosarcoma as well as the prognostic and therapeutic significance of WWOX in osteosarcoma. The results of the present study demonstrated that WWOX-AS1 overexpression suppressed the proliferation, migration and invasion of MG63 cells. In addition, the results demonstrated that WWOX-AS1 knockdown enhanced the proliferation, migration and invasion of SAOS2 cells. These results demonstrated the inhibitory role of WWOX-AS1 on the proliferation, migration and invasion abilities of osteosarcoma cells. Previous studies have demonstrated that, as a tumor suppressor gene, WWOX could suppress the proliferation, migration, invasion and tumorigenicity of osteosarcoma cells (38), most likely via regulation of runt related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2) (24). This further suggests that there is an association between WWOX-AS1 and WWOX. In addition, genes regulated by WWOX in osteosarcoma may provide important information regarding the underlying mechanism of WWOX-AS1 in osteosarcoma. RUNX2 has been previously demonstrated to activate genes associated with angiogenesis (vascular endothelial growth factor and osteopontin) (39), metastasis and invasion [matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)2, MMP9 and MMP13] (40,41), and survival (survivin) (42). It has been previously demonstrated that WWOX loss of function is associated with osteosarcoma progression by inhibition of numerous genes involved in metastasis via regulation of RUNX2 (24). Furthermore, a previous study demonstrated that investigation into the genomic function of RUNX2 in osteosarcoma cells provides insight into dysregulated molecular pathways in bone cancer (43). Therefore, it may be hypothesized that the molecular mechanisms underlying the involvement of WWOX-AS1 in osteosarcoma may be associated with RUNX2 or genes activated by RUNX2.

The present study, to the best of the authors knowledge, is the first to investigate the functional role of WWOX-AS1 in osteosarcoma. The results of the present study may provide novel insight into the roles of WWOX-AS1 in osteosarcoma, and whether WWOX-AS1 may represent a promising therapeutic target and novel biomarker for the treatment of osteosarcoma.

However, there were certain limitations to the present study. First, clinical information and samples were only collected from Chinese patients with osteosarcoma, therefore limiting the generalizability of the results. Second, in vivo experiments were performed using si-WWOX-AS1; however, it failed to achieve stable results (data not shown in this study). The silencing effect induced by exogenous delivery of synthetic siRNAs is transient and reactivation of the target gene typically occurs with a few days' interval (44). siRNA as exogenous RNA is easily degraded by nuclease in vivo and can therefore lead to unstable results. In addition, the present study did not investigate the association between WWOX-AS1 expression and apoptosis. Furthermore, the exact molecular mechanism of WWOX-AS1 was not determined in the present study. Considering these limitations, more extensive collection of comprehensive clinical information and subsequent analyses are required to further verify the results of the present study. Our future studies will aim to investigate the mechanisms underlying the association between WWOX-AS1 and osteosarcoma using viral transfection, and to establish stable cell lines. Future studies should further investigate important genes (including RUNX2) and signaling pathways associated with WWOX-AS1 in osteosarcoma.
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