The clonal growth in *Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx
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**ABSTRACT**

*Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx. is used as traditional herbal medicine in China, Japan, and other Asian countries. *A. carmichaelii* has two modes for reproduction: sexual reproduction with seed and vegetative reproduction with vegetative propagules. The vegetative propagules are belowground and invisible. To date, only a handful of studies for the clonal growth are available. In this study, we investigated the clonal growth by anatomical and morphological changes. Results revealed that the axillary bud appeared on the rhiizome. Furthermore, the axillary meristem in the axillary bud differentiated a bud upwards and an adventitious root (AR) downwards. The AR expanded to a tuberous root in order to provide the bud nutrients for the new plant. The AR branched LRs. In addition, some lateral roots (LRs) on the AR also swelled. Both the AR and LRs were found to follow a similar pattern of development. However, high lignification in the stele region of LRs inhibited further expansion. AR development was attributed to activities of the cambium and meristem cell, starch accumulation, stele lignification, and a polychromat stele. Our study not only provides a better understanding of clonal growth but also provides clues to explore the regulatory mechanisms underlying AR development in *A. carmichaelii*.

**Introduction**

Most plants have two modes for regeneration: sexual reproduction and clonal reproduction. Both modes are long-term survival strategies that plants have evolved to adapt to variable environment. Sexual reproduction is limited by biotic and abiotic stress, which need the assistance of pollinators such as wind, water, and insects. However, clonal reproduction is autarkic through vegetative propagules that allow populations to persist in habitats or regions. Clonal plants can be produced in many ways, through rhizomes, tubers, bulbs and other organs.  

*Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx. (Ranunculaceae) is a toxic plant that contains aconitine alkaloids. In China, this species has been used for more than 2000 years as traditional Chinese medicine. Moreover, it has a cultivation history of more than 1,000 years. The roots are mainly used as medicine. The processed mother root is called Chuanwu, whereas the processed daughter root is called Fuzi in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia. *A. carmichaelii* can reproduce sexually with seed or asexually with daughter root. The daughter root, which is starchy and crowned with a bud, separates from the mother plant and become independent individuals. These offspring sprout in the next spring and begin the next life cycle. The definition of the underground organ about the daughter root with a bud in *Aconitum* has always been controversial. Kimasawa considers it as a root tuber. It has recently been suggested that it is complex of a swollen adventitious root and a bud.  

This study is mainly to investigate the morphological and anatomical changes of the clonal growth. Results revealed that the axillary meristem in the axillary bud differentiated a bud upwards and an adventitious root (AR) downwards; AR branched LRs, and the comparison of the ARs and LRs explored the factors that affect the AR development.

**Materials and methods**

**Plant materials**

Asexual propagules of *Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx. were collected from Fangshi Town (104°56’58.73” E, 32°21’52.93” N, Altitude: 1039 m), Qingchuan County, China. These propagules were planted in the Medicinal Botanical Garden of Chengdu University of TCM (103°48’18.96” E, 30°41’31.89” N, Altitude: 504 m) on November 18, 2020.

**Morphological characteristics**

Seven plants selected were recorded on March 6, 2021, as the first observation day (1 D) and were finished on August 4, 2021 (152 D). The shoot height (cm) and root diameter (mm) of the first AR to appear were recorded after protrusion of ARs from the axillary buds.

**Anatomical characterization**

Three plants were collected for anatomical study during each observation period. The first AR to appear in each plant was collected. Samples about 3–5 mm thickness from 0 cm, 1 cm, 2 cm below the basal root axis were sectioned. Further, all basal lateral roots on the AR at 61, 92, 125, and 152 D, were also sectioned.
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Fresh tissues were immediately fixed in FAA (formalin, acetic acid, 70% ethanol, 5:5:90 v/v/v). The tissues were then dehydrated, cleared and subjected to paraffin infiltration as follows: 50% alcohol, 2 h; 70% alcohol, 2 h; 85% alcohol, 2 h; 95% alcohol, 2 h; 100% alcohol I, 1 h; 100% alcohol II, 1 h; alcohol and xylene (3:1 v/v), 1 h; alcohol and xylene (2:2 v/v), 1 h; alcohol and xylene (1:3 v/v), 1 h; xylene I, 1 h; xylene II, 1 h; Xylene: paraffin (1: 1 v/v, 35°C), 6 h; Paraffin I (55°C), 6 h; Paraffin II (60°C), 6 h; Paraffin III (65°C) 6 h. Subsequently, these tissue samples were placed in melted paraffin in cassettes and frozen at −20°C until the paraffin had completely solidified. The paraffin blocks were then removed and appropriately trimmed. Sections (15–28 μm) were made with a sliding microtome (HM450), stained with safranin o-fast green and mounted in neutral balsam. Finally, all sections were viewed using an Axioscope 5 with an Axioscam 208 color camera, and selected sections were scanned by NanoZoomer-S60.

**Results**

**Morphological changes in the aconitum carmichaelii Debx**

Growth changes of the seven plants obtained 152 D were recorded. The shoots had an average height of 8 cm at 1 D, which reached 101 cm at 152 D. A decrease in the growth rate of the shoot height was found at 121–152 D, with no evidence of flower buds on the shoot at 152 D. Summarily, the shoot showed a linear increase in height (Figure 1a). White smooth axillary buds for AR formation emerged on the rhizome near the mother root at 1 D (Figure 2a), and adventitious roots appeared on 43% of the plants while the others did not. Root hairs began to appear with AR elongation (Figure 3c). As the adventitious root diameter increased, the root hairs gradually disappeared. The base of AR began to swell, away from the root tip, and this was followed by a downward expansion (Figure 1).

A bud spot appeared on the adventitious root (Figure 2a) at 31 D and was distinctly seen on 121D. The diameter of ARs increased with time. In general, the upper diameter was the largest and the middle diameter was small while the lower diameter was the smallest. At 121 D, the upper diameter was about 20 mm, the middle diameter was 15 mm and the lower diameter was 10 mm (Figure 1b–1d). In addition, we found an increase in the total number of ARs. About 5–12 ARs had formed in each plant at 152 D. The AR appearing closest and furthest to the mother root had the highest and least diameters, respectively.

**Anatomical changes during AR formation and development**

There was protuberance on the bud tip, which broke downwards through the bud epidermis and then became an AR (Figure 2b). Three files of meristematic cells that were more stained than the surrounding cells were found in the axillary meristem (Figure 2c, d). The cells differentiated into an adventitious root primordium (ARP) with a distinct root cap (CP) and quiescent center (QC) of differentiation (Figure 2f, g). The ARP broke through the epidermis of the axillary buds to form an AR (Figure 2j). The whole structure is an AR with a bud (Figure 2h). Moreover, the AR continued elongating downwards where the area where long vessel elements (VEs) were connected with the short VEs inside the axillary bud (Figure 2i). The bud developed slowly while AR exhibited rapid development to the tuberous root (Figure 2c).

**Anatomical changes during AR development**

Five stages were divided according to the diameter changes of the AR development.

---

*Figure 1. Profiles of morphological changes in Aconitum carmichaelii Debx. (a) Linear increase in the shoot height. (b-d) Upper, middle, lower diameter of the adventitious roots (ARs). Values are means ± SE (n = 7).*
Primary growth stage (Φ < 1 mm)
Primary growth occurred in the AR with a root diameter of less than 1 mm (Figure 3b). Notably, the primary structure showed a tetrarch-heptarch stele with a parenchymatous pith at the center (Figure 3b), whereas the primary phloem occupied the indentations between xylem arms.

Initial swelling (Φ = 1 mm)
Secondary growth firstly had occurred to 0 cm, then 1 cm, finally 2 cm at root vertical axis. The procambium located inside the primary phloem differentiated into a cambium segment via periclinal division. The other cambium segment developed from the pericycle at the exterior of the protoxylem poles (Figure 3d). Both cambium segments initiated secondary growth, which resulted in a root diameter of about 1 mm. Notably, secondary growth began when the diameter of the AR reached 1 mm, consistent with the findings by Wison and Lowe in sweetpotato. The root had no obvious morphology.

Early expansion (1 mm < Φ ≤ 4 mm)
Both cambium segments exhibited centrifugal differentiation to numerous parenchyma cells, with evidence of starch accumulation (Figure 4a). Furthermore, the phloem was markedly enlarged, and the AR diameter varied from 1 to 4 mm (Figure 4a). In addition, LRs appeared on the AR (Figures 3a

---

Figure 2. Anatomical changes from the adventitious root primordium to the adventitious root in A. carmichaelii. (a) Location of the axillary buds. (b) An adventitious root protruding from the axillary bud tip. (d-g) An adventitious root primordium formation. (d) An adventitious root primordium (black rectangle) initiation from axillary meristem (AM). (c) Magnification of the adventitious root primordium in b (black rectangle). (e-g) Cross and longitudinal sectional views showing differentiation of the axillary meristem into an adventitious root primordium (lower side). Adventitious root primordium with a quiescent center and root cap differentiation. (h) Adventitious root formation. (i) Magnification of vessels connecting the adventitious root and the axillary bud in h. (j) Adventitious root tip structure. AR: adventitious root; ARP: adventitious root primordium; AM: axillary meristem; QC: quiescent center and CP: root cap.
and 4a). The primary growth stage was very brief, whereas secondary growth started almost immediately after AR emergence. Although the increase in the root diameter of the ARs was not apparent, the first three stages occurred at 0 cm of the AR.

Middle expansion (4 mm ≤ Φ ≤ 10 mm)
Both cambium segments merged into a ring (Figure 4d), and there were meristematic cells around the primary sieve elements (SEs) (Figure 4b,e). Activities of the cambium and meristematic cells led to a proliferation of the starch-storing parenchyma cells (SPCs), which was followed by starch accumulation and a further increase in cell size (Figure 4c, f). Proliferation and volume increase in the SPCs led to phloem enlargement (Figure 4d, a) phenomenon that subsequently caused a uniform increase in root diameter to about 10 mm.

Later expansion (Φ > 10 mm)
The cambium ring showed further differentiation of the SPCs, to form xylem and phloem on the inside and outside, respectively. The SPCs outside the cambium showed...
tangential elongation whereas the parenchyma cells inside revealed radial elongation. Moreover, meristematic cells surrounding the vessel elements (VEs) differentiated radially into SPCs, which subsequently produced by anticlinal division separated the primary VEs first (Figure 5c–5e), and later secondary VEs (Figure 5b). Thereafter, SPCs produced by periclinal division were added to the pith, and the vessels were arranged in a U-shape (Figure 5e). The proliferation of the SPCs, coupled with volume enlargement by both the cambium and meristematic cells, pushed the cambium to the outside, resulting in further expansion of the xylem (Figure 5a, d). Some cambium segments, without meristematic cells around the VEs, divided slowly resulting in a polygonal appearance of the cambium ring (Figure 5j). This cambium segment might be trapped in the xylem (Figure 5k). However, the cambium segment with actively dividing meristematic cells around the VEs may separate from the cambium (Figure 5f–5i) resulting in a scattered vascular bundle. The phloem was significantly enlarged (Figure 5j).

**Anatomical changes during LR formation and development**

LRs appeared on the AR at 16 D. LRs initiation occurred when periclinal divisions of pericycle cells in front of the primary xylem polar generated lateral root primordium (LRP) (Figure 6a). The LRP began to resemble the root tip passing through the cortex (Figure 6b), then the LR emerged from the AR (Figure 6c). However, only a handful of LRs showed a significant increase in root diameter at 61 D. Notably, the primary LR had a pith with a similar primary structure to that of the AR (Figures 3b, 6d), while xylem poles either had a triarch or tetrarch structure. However, as the LR grew, the

![Figure 4. Early and middle expansion. (a) Early expansion (1 mm ≤ Φ ≤ 4 mm), cambium segments (black and white arrows). (b, e) Meristem cells around the primary sieve elements. (c) Starch-storing parenchyma cells. (d) Middle expansion (4 mm ≤ Φ ≤ 10 mm). (f) Volume expansion of the starch-storing parenchyma cells. SEs: sieve elements; Ph: phloem; Pi: pith; X: xylem; Ca: cambium; Co: cortex and LR: lateral root.](image-url)
metaxylem differentiated to the pith (Figure 6e). In addition, the cambium segment that developed from the procambium showed centripetal division thereby forming secondary VEs (Figure 6f). The high lignification in the vascular cylinder replaced the pith, while LR’s expansion pattern was similar to that observed in the middle of the AR. The high SPC differentiation in the phloem produced by meristematic cells surrounding the primary SEs (Figure 6i, j) and the cambium (Figure 6g), contributed to the increase in the root diameter (Figure 6h). The non-swollen LRs at 152 D showed secondary growth as shown in (Figure 6e,f) with no starch accumulation.

Discussion

The AR of A. carmichaelii has a different origin from its LRs.

Table 1 summarizes the characters of AR and LR in A. carmichaelii.

Roots are important parts of plants, owing to the fact that they anchor them into the soil and transport water and minerals. The types of roots include primary roots, lateral roots and adventitious roots. Primary roots are derived from the embryonic radicle within a seed, lateral
roots branch out from roots. The location of primary roots and lateral roots is determined while adventitious roots are not. The origin of AR is a complex and species-related process. Previous studies have shown that ARs could form from aerial organs and initiate from different tissues, such as the pericycle, the cambium, and the parenchyma. In contrast, LRs originate from the pericycle in a conservative manner. In this study, the daughter root is regarded as an AR that naturally initiates from the axillary meristem within the axillary bud on the rhizome of the mother root rather than an LR which is suggested by other researchers. In addition, LRs branch out from an AR, which originate from the pericycle within the AR. Therefore, the origin and formation of AR are different from that of other species, and that of the LR shows a conservative manner.

Table 1. Characters of adventitious root (AR) and lateral root (LR).

| Name            | Characters | AR | LR |
|-----------------|------------|----|----|
| Formation       | Location   | Tip of axillary bud | AR Pericycle |
| Development     | Initiation | The axillary meristem | Triarchy- | tetrarch |
| Xylem poles     |            | Tetraech-heptarch | AR | Pericycle |
| Stele lignification | Few | YES | High |
| Phloem expansion | YES | YES | YES |
| Xylem expansion  | YES | YES | YES |
| Cambium arrangement | Circle/Polygonal/Scattered | Circle |
|                 | bundles   |    |    |

Figure 6. Anatomical changes in the lateral root of A. carmichaelii. (a) Lateral root primordium originating from the pericycle of adventitious root. (b) Lateral root primordium through the adventitious root cortex. (c) Lateral root tip with a quiescent center and root cap differentiation. (d) Primary structure. (e, f) Initial swelling. (e) Cell division of the procambium (black arrow) and pericycle (white arrow). (f) Overview of initial swelling. (g) Xylem occupying the pith and cambium segments (black and white arrows). (h) Lateral root expansion. (i) The red rectangle shows magnification of the primary sieve elements in e. (j) Black rectangle shows magnification of the starch-storing parenchyma cells in e. QC: quiescent center; CP: root cap; PX: protoxylem; MX: metaxylem; X: xylem; Ca: cambium; Ph: phloem; Co: cortex; SX: secondary xylem and SEs, sieve elements.
The development of AR and LR is similar

Our results further revealed a similar pattern in the development of ARs and LRs. Notably, the activities of the cambium and meristematic cells and starch accumulation and high lignification are expansion factors, which subsequently contributed to an increase in the diameter of both AR and LR. Results of the present study showed that starch had accumulated as the AR and LR developed for storage. Interestingly, non-swollen LR did not show starch accumulation, suggesting that starch accumulation determines root expansion. Swelling of the phloem in both AR and LR is possibly associated with a source to sink tissues of storage reserves, which usually include starch and soluble sugars. We hypothesize that sources such as sucrose are delivered through the SEs and subsequently unloaded to the amyloidy within phloem’s parenchyma cells. The amyloid synthesizes starch for storage which contributes to the expansion of the phloem in the AR and LR. Qin and Li reported similar development is performed in AR as well as primary root of A. carmichaelii; It suggests that the development manners of different roots in A. carmichaelii are conserved. In A. carmichaelii, the primary LR showed a triarch to tetarch stele with high lignification which limits further expansion. On the other hand, AR showed a tetrarch to heptarch stele with few lignification, which subsequently developed into a tuberous root (TR), consistent with the studies in sweetpotato. This may be due to the fact that a polyarch stele would produce more cambium segments which are involved in the secondary growth. The increased girth of the cambium increases the root diameter. Togari reported that lignification inhibits TR formation. In addition, transcriptional profiling found the down-regulation of lignin biosynthesis and up-regulation of starch, during the TR initiation phase in sweetpotato. Furthermore, exogenous application of gibberellin was shown to increase lignin deposition and inhibit TR formation, suggesting that lignification negatively affects TR development. To date, no studies have reported the effect of lignification on TR development. The AR and LR have different functions. The major role of the LR is to transport water and minerals, so the lignification within the LR is higher. However, the AR occurs to store nutrients, so the lignification is fewer. The thickened part of the LR appears when the stored nutrients are beyond the capacity of the AR. Previous studies have suggested that xylem expansion causes TR formation in root and tuber crops, such as sweetpotato, cassava and radish. Moreover, meristematic cells around VEs, which contribute to xylem expansion, have also been reported in TR formation. In potato tuber, its expansion is mainly caused by the pith following perimedullary zone. The development of the AR in A. carmichaelii shows similarities with the development of the AR in the sweetpotato.

Results from studies investigating the development of the AR in sweetpotato have provided relevant clues for further exploration of the underlying molecular mechanisms of AR development in A. carmichaelii.

Diverse cambium in the AR

The abnormal development of the AR was first reported by Kumazawa. Several scholars have attempted to use the root microstructure to identify different Aconitum species. For example, Tong et al. classified them into three types according to the arrangement of vascular bundles in the root, namely Type I (a single siphonosteole), Type II (a polystele) and Type III (a number of schizostylis) categories. In this study, type I and II are observed and described in serial sections. In addition, Liu et al. reported that cross-sections obtained from different segments showed different microscopic structures. It suggests that it would be inappropriate to use the cross-section of AR to identify species. Furthermore, our results demonstrated that the meristematic cells around the VEs also play a role in AR expansion, with their absence found to lead to the formation of scattered vascular bundles.

The role of vegetative propagation of A. carmichaelii

Auxiliary buds in the rhizome at the axis of the mother root sprout which subsequently elongate laterally to develop a compound vegetative propagule, the root tuber in A. carmichaelii. The root tuber consists of an apical bud and an enlarged AR. The root tuber is hidden underground to cope with adverse conditions and stores water and nutrients to develop into a new plant in the next spring. This mode of vegetative propagation provides multiple options for the reproduction of A. carmichaelii in addition to sexual reproduction. This reproductive mode, which differentiates from an axillary bud downward to an AR, and upwards to a bud, is commonly found in many species in subgenus Aconitum.

Species of subgenus Aconitum has clonal growth with root tuber and sexual growth with seed. Both growths compete for nutrients provided by the mother root. Tang et al. reported that the clonal growth of A. kusnezoffii developed to 59 D, after which the sexual growth began to compete for the nutrients with flower bud appearance. In this study, we found that the clonal growth of A. carmichaelii had been basically completed at 152 D. During this time, no flower buds had been produced. A. carmichaelii tends to allocate more biomass to clonal growth and less to sexual growth. The vegetative reproduction of A. carmichaelii is promoted over sexual reproduction. A. carmichaelii is an octoploid species which is grown in the Sichuan province of China, whereas A. kusnezoffii exhibits either diploids or tetraploids. Previous studies show that polyploidy and vegetative reproduction are positively correlated across the angiosperms. A recent study suggests that polyploidy promotes vegetative reproduction. Therefore, the increased vegetative reproduction of A. carmichaelii could be related to polyploidization.
Conclusion
In summary, the study focused on clonal growth in A. carmichaelii by anatomical and morphological changes. AR has a similar development pattern to LR in A. carmichaelii. However, LR’s high stele lignification inhibits further expansion. Moreover, AR development is attributed to the activities of the cambium and meristematic cells, as well as starch accumulation, a polycarya stele, and stele lignification. These results provide clues to the next step in uncovering potential molecular regulatory mechanisms of AR development in A. carmichaelii. The clonal growth provide new insights into the formation of root tuber in plants.
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