Gynoecium size and ovule number are interconnected traits that impact seed yield
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Abstract

Angiosperms form the biggest group of land plants and display an astonishing diversity of floral structures. The development of the flowers greatly contributed to the evolutionary success of the angiosperms as they guarantee efficient reproduction with the help of either biotic or abiotic vectors. The female reproductive part of the flower is the gynoecium (also called pistil). Ovules arise from meristematic tissue within the gynoecium. Upon fertilization, these ovules develop into seeds while the gynoecium turns into a fruit. Gene regulatory networks involving transcription factors and hormonal communication regulate ovule primordium initiation, their spacing on the placenta, and ovule development. Ovule number and gynoecium size are usually correlated and several genetic factors that impact these traits have been identified. Understanding and fine-tuning the gene regulatory networks influencing ovule number and pistil length opens up strategies for crop yield improvement, which is pivotal in light of a rapidly growing world population. In this review, we present an overview of the current knowledge of the genes and hormones involved in determining ovule number and gynoecium size. We propose a model for the gene regulatory network that guides the developmental processes that determine seed yield.
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Introduction

Life on earth is affected by plants in varied ways. Of the estimated 400,000 extant plant species, approximately 94% are seed plants (Govaerts, 2001; Willis, 2017). This demonstrates that seed development and dispersion strategies greatly contributed to the success of this organismal group. The vast majority of seed plants are angiosperms and only a comparatively small number are gymnosperms. Both plant divisions produce ovules; however, only angiosperm species produce flowers and as another selective advantage, each flower produces one or more gynoecia that protect and nourish the ovules. Following fertilization, the gynoecium (or pistil) generally develops into a fruit and ovules develop into seeds.

Depending on the species, the gynoecium consists of one or more carpels that can be fused or unfused (Endress and Igersheim, 2000). The Arabidopsis gynoecium consists of two fused carpels (Smyth et al., 1990; Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2010). Along the margins where the carpels fuse, a meristematic tissue, termed the carpel margin meristem (CMM), is formed. The CMM gives rise to the placenta, ovules, septum and the transmitting tract (Reyes-Olalde et al., 2013; Reyes-Olalde and de Folter, 2019). Inside an ovule the female gametophyte develops, which is comprised of three antipodal cells, a central cell, two synergids and an egg cell (Drews and Koltunow, 2011; Bencivenga et al., 2011). Therefore, ovule development is a crucial process during the plant life cycle and has been studied in many species. In recent decades, many reviews on ovule development have been written, demonstrating its importance and the degree of active research in this area (e.g., Reiser and Fischer, 1993; Angenent and Colombo, 1996; Grossniklaus and Schneitz, 1998; Gasser et al., 1998; Bowman et al., 1999; Skinner et al., 2004; Colombo et al., 2008; Shi and Yang, 2011; Endress, 2011; Cucinotta et al., 2014; Gasser and Skinner, 2019; Shirley et al., 2019; Pinto et al., 2019).

To complement existing literature, this review focuses on recent discoveries in ovule development and gynoecium size determination. An overview is provided of the genes and hormonal communication involved in the developmental programs (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Understanding the regulatory networks that determine ovule number and gynoecium size is important as they hugely impact seed yield, and fine-tuning them appears to be a particularly promising strategy for enhancing crop yields.

Placenta development and ovule primordium initiation in Arabidopsis

Periclinal cell divisions within the sub-epidermal tissue of the placenta initiate ovule primordium development at stage 9 of flower development (Roeder and Yanofsky, 2006). Subsequently, three layers of primordium cells form a finger-like structure during stage 10, which then differentiates into three regions along the proximal–distal axis: the funiculus, the chalaza and
the nucellus (Schneitz et al., 1995). These three regions undergo distinct but interdependent developmental processes. The nucellus is the site of megalosporogenesis, where the megaspore mother cell (MMC) differentiates and locates to the upmost, central and subepidermal position of the digit-shaped ovule primordium (reviewed in Pinto et al., 2019). The chalaza is the region from which the inner and the outer integuments develop, which finally envelop and protect the embryonic sac. The funiculus remains attached to the gynoecium via the placental tissue and this connection is required for the transport of nutrients to the ovule (Fig. 1). For this reason, the placental tissue is fundamental for ovule primordia formation, and for determining their number and maintenance.

In Arabidopsis, placental tissue differentiates from the CMM, which is the central ridge of cells that fuse and give rise to the septum. Placental tissue differentiates along the length of the septum adjacent to the lateral walls (Alvarez and Smyth, 2002; Nole-Wilson et al., 2010a; Reyes-Olalde et al., 2013). Communication between transcription factors and hormones is essential to maintain the meristematic activity of the placenta, to determine the sites of ovule initiation and ovule identity, and to establish the distance between two adjacent ovules (Cucinotta et al., 2014). Several genes that are important for placenta development have been described in the literature and reviewed by Cucinotta et al. (2014) and Reyes-Olalde and de Folter (2019) and include AINTEGUMENTA (ANT), CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON 1 (CUC1) and CUC2, LEUNIG (LUG), MONOPTEROS (MP) and PERIANTHIA (PAN) (Fig. 1 and Table 1).

AINTEGUMENTA encodes an AP2 transcription factor (Klucher et al., 1996) and positively regulates organ size via determining cell number and meristematic competence. Ant mutants have fewer and smaller floral organs than the wild type. In particular, the ant-9 mutant is characterised by unfused carpels at the tip of the pistil (Elliott et al., 1996), whereas in ant-4, the size of floral organs is reduced (Krizek, 2009). In contrast to these mutant phenotypes, Arabidopsis plants that overexpress ANT possess larger floral organs than the wild type (Mizukami and Fischer, 2000). Expression of ANT is controlled by AUXIN-REGULATED GENE INVOLVED IN ORGAN SIZE (ARGOS), an auxin-inducible gene (Hu et al., 2003). When ARGOS is overexpressed, floral organs become enlarged, resulting in longer siliques than those of wild type (Hu et al., 2003). This was one of the first pieces of evidence that implicated a key role for auxin in pistil development.

ANT expression initiates in the placenta and is maintained throughout all stages of ovule development, in particular in the chalaza region and in the integuments. The reduced ovule number phenotype of the ant mutant is exacerbated when it is combined with other mutations that affect CMM and placenta development, such as revoluta (rev), suggesting that the activity of the REV gene, which encodes a class III homeodomain leucine zipper transcription factor, is also required for placenta formation (Nole-Wilson et al., 2010a). ANT interacts with the transcriptional repressor SEUSS
(SEU) and simultaneous loss of both protein activities severely affects placenta development and leads to a complete loss of ovule formation. When a weaker ant-3 allele was combined with seu-3, placenta development was maintained but the number of ovules that initiated was reduced to approximately half of that observed in Col-0 wild-type plants (Azhakanandam et al., 2008). Another transcriptional co-regulator involved in gynoecium patterning, is LEUNIG (LUG). Strong lug-1 and intermediate lug-3 alleles show a failure in ridge fusion and a reduction in the amount of placental tissue, with a consequent decrease in the number of ovules formed (Liu et al., 2000). The combination of lug and ant mutations results in gynoecia that are unable to develop ovules (Liu et al., 2000). The loss of ovules in the ant and seu backgrounds is strongly enhanced by mutations in the PERIANTHIA (PAN) gene, which encodes a bZIP transcription factor that is expressed in the gynoecium medial ridge, placenta and ovules, where it promotes ovule formation (Wynn et al., 2014).

Similar to ANT, factors important for integument growth often affect ovule primordium formation. Two examples are HUELLENLOS (HLL) and SHORT INTEGUMENTS 2 (SIN2). HLL encodes a mitochondrial ribosomal protein and its mutation is associated with smaller gynoecia and a 10% reduction in the number of ovules (Schneitz et al., 1998; Skinner et al., 2001). Shorter gynoecia that bear fewer ovules are also observed in the sin2 mutant; however, more interestingly, the absence of SIN2 function leads to an abnormal distribution of ovules along the placenta (Broadhvest et al., 2000), in which the distance between ovules is greater than in the wild type; thus, a reduction in ovule number is caused by a reduction in gynoecium size and by the reduced ability of the placental tissue to initiate ovule primordia. SIN2 encodes a mitochondrial DAR GTPase and similar to HLL, is hypothesised to function in mitochondrial ribosome assembly (Hill et al., 2006). Notably, these two ribosomal proteins, which are targeted to the mitochondria, are necessary for ovule primordium formation, and it has been suggested that impaired mitochondrial function might cause cell-cycle arrest in the placenta and subsequently in the ovule integuments (Broadhvest et al. 2000).

**Complex hormonal communication promotes ovule initiation and determines pistil size**

Plant organogenesis requires cells to proliferate, grow and differentiate in a coordinated way. The intercellular communication required during organ initiation is mediated by different phytohormones (Davies, 2004; Vanstraelen and Benková, 2012; Schaller et al., 2015; Marsch-Martínez and de Folter, 2016). As will be discussed in this review, auxins, cytokinins, gibberellins and brassinosteroids all play fundamental roles in ovule primordium formation (Fig. 1).

In most auxin-related mutants, defects in gynoecium formation lead to the reduction or absence of placental tissue and the corresponding absence of ovules (reviewed in Balanzá et al., 2006; Cucinotta et al., 2014; Larsson et al., 2013). This phenotype is common to all mutants in which auxin...
synthesis or transport pathways are compromised, such as yucca1 (yuc1) yuc4 (Cheng et al., 2006) and pin1-1 (Okada et al., 1991) or is similar to that following treatment with the polar auxin transport inhibitor, 1-naphthyl phthalamic acid (NPA) (Nemhauser et al., 2000).

Polar auxin transport is mediated by the PINFORMED1 (PIN1) efflux transporter and is required to create a zone with an auxin concentration maximum in the placenta, where the founder cells of the ovule primordia will be specified (Benková et al., 2003; Ceccato et al., 2013; Galbiati et al., 2013). Subsequently, the orientation of PIN1 within the membrane relocates and redirects auxin flow, establishing a gradient with a maximum at the apices of the formed primordia. In developing organs, auxin distribution can be monitored in vivo by imaging a synthetic auxin-inducible promoter, DR5. In plants that express GREEN FLUORESCENT PROTEIN (GFP) from the DR5 promoter, green fluorescence is detected at the apices of the ovule primordia, consistent with PIN1-mediated auxin flow directed to the apex (Benková et al., 2003; Galbiati et al., 2013). The weak pin1-1-5 mutant allele can produce some flowers in which the pistils have slightly reduced valves, which on average contain only nine ovules (Bennett et al., 1995; Sohlberg et al., 2006; Bencivenga et al., 2012).

Cytokinins (CKs) occupy a central role in the regulation of cell division and cell differentiation. They are positive regulators of ovule formation, as demonstrated by the phenotype of mutants in which CK pathways are altered. In the ckk3 ckk5 double mutant, the degradation of CKs is compromised and the consequent increase in the levels of these hormones leads to an increased activity of the reproductive meristem (Bartrina et al., 2011). Moreover, the longer than normal gynoecia of ckk3 ckk5 double mutants contain about twice as many ovules as those of the wild type, indicating an increase in the meristematic capacity of placental tissue (Bartrina et al., 2011). By contrast, reduced ovule formation is observed in mutants in which the synthesis or perception of CKs is compromised. Plants that carry mutations in genes that encode all three CK receptors, cytokinin response 1 (cre1-12) histidine kinase2 (ahk2-2) and ahk3, develop five ovules per pistil on average, in addition to showing pleiotropic growth defects (Higuchi et al., 2004; Bencivenga et al., 2012). The AHK2 and AHK3 receptors are expressed throughout ovule development, from the early stages until maturity, whereas CRE1/AHK4 is expressed in the chalaza region and subsequently in the integuments, suggesting that AHK2 and AHK3 preferentially contribute to ovule primordium formation (Bencivenga et al., 2012). The ovule and gynoecium phenotype of the ckk1-12 ahk2-2 ahk3-3 triple mutant resembles that of the weak pin1-1-5 mutant allele (Bencivenga et al., 2012). This similarity is due to the downregulation of PIN1 expression in the triple mutant, suggesting that during the early stages of ovule development, CK activates PIN1 expression. Bencivenga et al. (2012) showed that treating inflorescences with the synthetic cytokinin 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP) increases PIN1 expression in the gynoecium. Strikingly, treatment with BAP causes the formation of
on average 20 additional ovule primordia in each gynoecium, which are positioned between the existing primordia formed before the treatment. This suggests that placental tissue at the boundaries between ovules maintains meristematic competence. During root development, CK affects auxin polar transport via PIN1 both at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels. In contrast to the situation in the gynoecium, CK negatively regulates the expression of PIN1 in the root and control the endorecycling of PIN1 from the membrane to direct it to vacuoles for lytic degradation (Ruzicka et al., 2009; Marhavý et al., 2011). In roots, CYTOKININ RESPONSE FACTORS (CRFs), especially CRF2, CRF3 and CRF6, transcriptionally regulate PIN1 by binding to its promoter at the cis-regulatory PIN CYTOKININ RESPONSE ELEMENT (PCRE) (Šimášková et al., 2015) and modulate its expression in response to CK. Similarly, CRFs also mediate PIN1 expression in ovules in response to CK (Cucinotta et al., 2016). Indeed, PIN1 expression is reduced in the crf2 crf3 crf6 (crf2/3/6) triple mutant and cannot be increased by CK treatment. The placenta in crf2/3/6 is also shorter, but this is not sufficient to explain the 30% decrease in ovule number as ovule density is lower in crf2/3/6 than in the wild type (Cucinotta et al., 2016). Because PIN1 expression in crf2/3/6 was unresponsive to CK application, the mutant was significantly less sensitive to CK treatment than the wild type with regard to an increase in ovule number and pistil length. Auxin also regulates CRF2, which is a direct target of the Auxin Response Factor (ARF) AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR 5/MONOPTEROS (ARF5/MP) (Schlereth et al., 2010), highlighting another convergence point between auxin and CK.

Another ARF family member that is required for appropriate apical–basal gynoecium patterning is ARF3/ETTIN (ETT). The ett mutant is characterised by a shorter ovary with an elongated style and gynophore (Sessions et al., 1997). A similar gynoecium phenotype resulted from treatment with the auxin transport inhibitor (NPA), suggesting that ETT plays a key role in auxin signalling along the apical–basal gynoecium axis (Nemhauser et al., 2000). Moreover, ETT restricts the expression domain of SPATULA (SPT), which encodes a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor (Heisler et al., 2001). Mutations in SPT causes a split-carpel phenotype in the apical part of the gynoecium, leading to a slight reduction in ovule number (Alvarez and Smyth, 1999; Nahar et al., 2012). SPT dimerises with another bHLH transcription factor, INDEHISCHENT (IND), to repress the expression of PINOID (Girin et al., 2011), which encodes a serine/threonine kinase that regulates PIN1 polarisation via phosphorylation (Friml et al., 2004). The repression of PID by SPT and IND allows the formation of a radially symmetric auxin ring in the upper part of the gynoecium that is required for correct style and stigma development (Moubayidin and Østergaard, 2014).

Furthermore, SPT interacts with the three closely related bHLH transcription factors HECATE1 (HEC1), HEC2 and HEC3 (Gremski et al., 2007) and similar to ett, hec-1 hec-2 hec-3
triple mutants possess an elongated style and shorter ovaries. The HEC proteins and SPT promote auxin transport in concert by activating PIN1 and PIN3 expression (Schuster et al., 2015) and also transcriptionally activate the type-A ARABIDOPSIS RESPONSE REGULATORS (ARR-A-s), which are negative regulators of CK signalling (Schuster et al., 2015). Via this dual action on auxin transport and CK response, HECs and SPT regulate wild-type gynoecium fusion at the apex, and style and stigma development. Furthermore, SPT alone in the medial domain activates the type-B ARRs, especially ARR1, which are positive regulators of CK signalling. The arr1 arr10 arr12 triple mutant possesses a shorter gynoecium and significantly fewer ovules than the wild type (Reyes-Olalde et al., 2017).

In addition to auxin localisation, correct auxin signalling is also required for wild-type gynoecium development, as confirmed by a recent study on members of the Small Auxin-Upregulated RNA (SAUR) family, which were initially identified as short transcripts that were rapidly upregulated in response to auxin (McClure and Guilfoyle, 1987). When SAUR8, SAUR10 and SAUR12 are ectopically overexpressed in Arabidopsis, the gynoecium and resulting siliques are longer than in wild type, suggesting that auxin positively regulates gynoecium length and probably indirectly, silique length (van Mourik et al., 2017). Notably, SAUR gene expression increased by 100-fold following combined auxin and brassinosteroid treatment (van Mourik et al., 2017). brassinosteroids (BRs) are clearly involved in pistil growth and ovule number specification; gynoecia of the enhanced BR-signalling mutant brassinazole-resistant 1-1D (bzr1-1D) contained not only more ovules than wild type but were also longer. By contrast, BR-deficient mutants such as de-etiolated 2 (det-2), brassinosteroid insensitive 1 (bril-5) and brassinosteroid-insensitive 2 (bin2-1) developed shorter pistils with fewer ovules (Huang et al., 2013).

The involvement of brassinosteroids in gynoecium and ovule development was also confirmed by Nole-Wilson et al. (2010), who observed that a reduction in the expression of CYP85A2, which encodes an enzyme involved in the final step of brassinolide biosynthesis (Nomura et al., 2005), enhances the seuss mutant phenotypic disruptions in ovules and gynoecia (Nole-Wilson et al., 2010b).

CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON 1 (CUC1) and CUC2 function synergistically with auxin and cytokinins

During ovule primordium formation, CK homeostasis requires two NAC-domain transcription factors, CUC1 and CUC2. These are expressed in lateral organ boundaries and function redundantly during organ boundary determination. CUC1 and CUC2 are expressed in the septum and placenta, and following the emergence of ovule primordia, CUC2 expression is restricted to the
borders between the ovules (Ishida et al., 2000b; Galbiati et al., 2013; Gonçalves et al., 2015). The 
CUC1 and CUC2 genes are both post-transcriptionally regulated by miR164 microRNAs (Mallory et 
al., 2004; Laufs et al., 2004). Gynoecia of the in vitro regenerated cuc1 cuc2 mutant as well as of 
cuc2-1 pSTK::CUC1 RNAi plants have reduced ovule numbers. The cuc1 cuc2 double mutant has 
on average fewer than 10 ovules per pistil (Ishida et al., 2000a), whereas cuc2-1 pSTK::CUC1 RNAi 
plants, in which CUC1 was specifically silenced in the placenta and in ovules, showed a 20% 
reduction in ovule number, but gynoecium length was not affected. In pistils of these plants, ovules 
were more widely spaced when compared to the wild type (Galbiati et al., 2013). This result was 
confirmed by silencing CUC1 and CUC2 via overexpressing MIR164A, which strongly reduced ovule 
number, indicating a major contribution of CUC1 and CUC2 to ovule initiation (Gonçalves et al., 
2015). The analysis of PIN1-GFP expression in cuc2-1 pSTK::CUC1 RNAi plants revealed that 
CUC1 and CUC2 redundantly promote PIN1 expression and PIN1 membrane localisation in ovules. 
Treatment with BAP increased PIN1 expression and complemented the reduced ovule number 
phenotype of cuc2-1 pSTK::CUC1 RNAi plants (Galbiati et al., 2013). Therefore, CK act 
downstream from or in parallel with CUC1 and CUC2 to induce the expression of PIN1. Recently, it 
has been demonstrated that CUC1 and CUC2 induce CK responses in vivo and function upstream of 
CK by transcriptionally repressing UGT73C1 and UGT85A3, which encode two enzymes involved 
in CKs inactivation (Cucinotta et al., 2018). Consistent with this result, the concentration of inactive 
CKs glucosides was higher in cuc2-1 pSTK::CUC1 RNAi inflorescences than in wild-type plants.

The expression of CUC1 and CUC2 is also linked with auxin signalling: their expression 
pattern coincides with that of the Auxin Response Factor ARF5/MP (see above) and both genes are 
downregulated in pistils of the weak mp-S319 mutant allele (Galbiati et al., 2013). During the early 
stages of placenta development and ovule formation, ARF5/MP directly transcriptionally activates 
CUC1 and CUC2, but also ANT. The observation that BAP treatment did not complement the ovule 
number phenotype of ant-4 suggests that ANT functions independently of CUC1 and CUC2. This is 
further supported by the additive effects on the reduction in ovule number observed in ant-4 cuc2-1 
pSTK::CUC1 RNAi plants (Galbiati et al., 2013). Together these data suggest that ANT promotes cell 
proliferation, whereas CUC1 and CUC2 regulate CKs homeostasis and auxin transport. Although 
CUC3 shares high similarity with CUC1 and CUC2, the cuc3 mutant was not affected in ovule 
initiation and number, but together with CUC2, CUC3 promotes ovule separation; this is reflected by 
the cuc2 cuc3 double mutant, which produces seeds that result from the fusion of two ovules 
(Gonçalves et al., 2015). These results suggest that specific CUC genes independently promote ovule 
initiation and ovule separation.
In 2009, Lee et al. identified LATERAL ORGAN FUSION 1 (LOF1) to be involved in lateral organ separation and to functionally overlap with CUC2 and CUC3. The LOF1 gene is expressed at the base of ovule primordia and its overexpression results in a wrinkled pistil with an enlarged replum, an amorphous septum and an irregular ovule distribution (Gomez et al., 2011).

**The role of gibberellins in ovule primordium formation**

Gibberellins (GAs) are involved in key developmental processes throughout the plant life cycle, from seed germination in particular, to flowering time (reviewed in Hedden and Sponsel, 2015; Rizza and Jones, 2019), but their involvement in ovule initiation has only recently been demonstrated. In 2018, Gomez and colleagues showed that DELLA proteins, which belong to a subfamily of the plant-specific GRAS family of transcriptional regulators that repress GA-signalling, positively regulate ovule number in Arabidopsis. In addition to DELLA proteins, the GA signalling core includes the GA receptor GID1. When GID1 binds bioactive GA, the GA–GID1–DELLA complex is formed and triggers the polyubiquitination and degradation of DELLA proteins. The *della* triple mutant *gai*/*T6* *rga*/*T2* *rgl2*-1 produces fewer ovules than wild type (Gomez et al., 2018). By contrast, the gain-of-function DELLA mutant *gai*-1, which cannot be degraded upon GA sensing, produced more ovules. Consistent with this observation, the double *gid1a gid1b* mutant, which cannot perceive GA, forms more ovules than the wild type, demonstrating a negative correlation between GAs and ovule number (Gomez et al., 2018). The *GAI, RGA, RGL2, GID1a* and *GID1b* genes are expressed in placental tissue and outgrowing ovules. The reduction in ovule number was more dramatic in the *gai*/*T6* *rga*/*T2* *rgl2*-1 triple mutant than that in ovary length, resulting in a lower ovule density, whereas the dominant *gai*-1 mutant has an increased ovule/placenta ratio, suggesting that GAs predominantly affect ovule initiation and not placenta elongation.

Other evidence to demonstrate that DELLA proteins promote ovule formation derive from an experiment in which the expression of the stable mutant protein *rgaΔ17* under the control of the *ANT* promoter in the placenta, resulted in the formation of 20% more ovules than in control lines (Gomez et al., 2018). This effect of GAs on the number of developing ovules was not correlated with auxin signalling or transport, and neither PIN1 localisation nor *DR5* expression was affected by GA treatment or DELLA activity (Gomez et al., 2018).

Confirmation of a positive role for *RGL2* in determining ovule number came from the analysis of transgenic lines in which RGL2-dependent GA signalling was blocked by the expression of a dominant version of *RGL2* (*pRGL2:rgl2Δ17*) (Gómez et al., 2019). Pistils of *pRGL2:rgl2Δ17* plants contained 10% more ovules than those of the wild type, whereas pistil length did not differ, indicating that the main function of *rgl2Δ17* is to positively promote ovule primordium formation but not
placenta elongation (Gómez et al., 2019). Furthermore, Gomez et al. (2018) identified 
**REPRODUCTIVE MERistem 22** (**REM22**) and **UNFERTILIZED EMBRYO SAC 16** (**UNE16**) via transcriptomic analysis to be DELLA targets that are positive regulators of ovule initiation. REM22 is a B3 family transcription factor that is expressed in the placenta (Mantegazza et al., 2014) and increased **REM22** expression in the **rem22-1** enhancer allele significantly increases ovule number. UNE16 is a transcription factor involved in embryo sac development and the knockdown allele **une16-1** produces fewer ovules. Because **UNE16** expression is regulated by BRs (Pagnussat, 2005; Sun et al., 2010), it represents a potential nexus for crosstalk between GAs and BRs in ovule initiation.

The establishment of GA as an important additional component of the ovule regulatory network has introduced an additional layer of complexity to the current model for ovule initiation and it remains to be established how GAs integrate into this model. GAs might function antagonistically to CKs and BRs, which in contrast to GAs, positively regulate pistil size and ovule number.

Finally, the **ctr1-1** constitutive ethylene-responsive mutant possesses a shorter gynoecium at anthesis compared to wild type and a delay in the response to GA3 treatment that induces gynoecium senescence, suggesting that ethylene affects gynoecium size probably via interactions with GA pathways (Carbonell-Bejerano et al., 2011).

In conclusion, there is ample evidence for complex interactions between different hormonal pathways that together determine ovule number and pistil size.

**Ovule number: the ecotype matters**

It has been known for twenty years that the number of ovules varies hugely among different Arabidopsis ecotypes (diploid accessions) (Alonso-Blanco et al., 1999); for example, the Landsberg **erecta** accession produces 20% more ovules than the Cape Verde Islands (Cvi) accession. Recently, 189 Arabidopsis accessions from the **Arabidopsis** Biological Resource Center were analysed for differences in ovule number and they display a remarkable degree of variation, ranging from 39–82 ovules per pistil (Yuan and Kessler, 2019). The commonly used reference accession Col-0 lies in the middle of the range, with a mean ovule number of 63, which is strongly dependent on experimental growth conditions. Ovule number, in contrast to, for instance, flowering time, does not correlate with geographical origin (Stinchcombe et al., 2004; Yuan and Kessler, 2019). By conducting a genome-wide association study (GWAS) on these 189 accessions, two loci associated with ovule number were identified (Yuan and Kessler, 2019): **NEW ENHANCER OF ROOT DWARFISM** (**NERD1**) and **OVULE NUMBER ASSOCIATED 2** (**ONA2**). Mutation of **NERD1** or **ONA2** leads to a significant reduction in ovule number, with a stronger phenotype in the **nerd1-2** and **nerd1-4** alleles. **ONA2** encodes a protein of unknown function and was not further analyzed. In addition to a reduction in
ovule number, *nerd* mutants display additional severe male and female fertility defects. *NERD1* encodes an integral membrane protein mainly localised to the Golgi. Notably, *NERD1* expression is lower in Altai-5 and Kas-2 accessions, which have low ovule numbers (Yuan and Kessler, 2019), but high *NERD1* expression in Altai-5 leads to a significant increase in ovule number. However, overexpression of *NERD1* in Col-0 plants did not affect ovule number, indicating that *NERD1* function in determining ovule number is background-dependent (Yuan and Kessler, 2019).

Considerable genetic variation in ovule number was also described for F₁ triploids of different *A. thaliana* genotypes by Duszynska et al. (2013), who observed differences in ovule number between genetically identical F₁-hybrid offspring, after crossing parental genome excess lines (2m:1p with 1m:2p). These effects can only be explained by epigenetic mechanisms that affect genes controlling ovule number, for example DNA or histone methylation. The analysis of null alleles of *ASH1 HOMOLOG 2* (ASH2), which show a remarkable 80% reduction in ovule number, provided a clear example of the involvement of histone methylation in determining ovule number (Grini et al., 2009). The transcriptional state of the ASH2 locus remains active during development via H3K36 trimethylation (Xu et al., 2008). It will be highly relevant to study the effect of epigenetic modifications induced by biotic and abiotic stresses in determining ovule number. Epigenetic responses to stress are fundamental to create the plasticity required for plant survival, especially considering that plants are sessile organisms. These epigenetic changes can be temporally transmitted, even in the absence of the original stress (Iglesias and Cerdán, 2016). Furthermore, variation in ovule number in response to fluctuations in environmental conditions, such as temperature, can be used to understand the plasticity and inheritability of (epigenetic) adaptation and response to temperature stress. Variation in ovule number under stress conditions is, of course, also highly relevant from an ecological, environmental and evolutional perspective.

**Ovule number decreases with ageing**

Ovule number varies throughout inflorescence development: early flowers developing on the main inflorescence (from the fifth to the twenty-fifth flower) of Arabidopsis *Ler* plants produced a relatively invariable number of ovules, whereas flowers that developed later had pistils with fewer ovules (Gomez et al., 2018; Yuan and Kessler, 2019). Loss- and gain-of-function mutants of *DELLA* genes showed an increase in ovule number in early and late-arising flowers (Gomez et al., 2018). To minimize age-related variation in their genome-wide association studies, Yuan and Kessler (2019) only counted ovules in flowers 6 to 10 from the main inflorescence.
It has been reported for other plant species that flower position as well as size influence ovule number per flower. For example, in Pomegranate, the number of ovules per flower was significantly influenced by flower size, with more ovules being produced in larger flowers (Wetzstein et al., 2013).

Overall, when studying changes in ovule numbers it is important to be aware of the possible variation in the different flowers of the plant. Therefore, large numbers will have to be analyzed using thorough statistical analyses, especially for genotypes that show only relative minor changes.

**A ‘gold mine’ for seed yield improvement within the Brassicaceae**

Improving seed yield via the genetic manipulation of crops has historically been a central goal in agricultural research. The enormous body of data, which have been generated and shared by the scientific community over the past decades, represents a true ‘gold mine’ for translational and applied research. The determination of pistil size and ovule number may be considered one of the most straightforward traits that can be enhanced to improve overall seed yield in species characterized by multi-ovulate ovaries and the increasing amount of literature on this topic evidences an active and prolific research field. Although some questions concerning the networks controlling seed number and pistil size remain open, comprehensive knowledge of the phytohormone interactions involved in these pathways is already available and applicable (Cucinotta et al., 2014; Zúñiga-Mayo et al., 2019; Reyes-Olalde and de Folter, 2019).

Understanding these developmental processes in Arabidopsis can inform promising strategies for knowledge transfer to closely related and agronomically important crops. *Brassica napus*, another Brassicaceae species, commonly known as rapeseed, is an important breeding target, since it is a crop widely cultivated in Europe, Asia, Canada and Australia. It is characterised by an oil-rich seed and its processing provides both rapeseed oil (used as edible vegetable oil or as biodiesel) and a by-product mostly used as cattle fodder (Snowdon et al., 2007).

It has recently been demonstrated that Arabidopsis and *B. napus* share well-conserved response mechanisms to cytokinin treatment (Zuñiga-Mayo et al., 2018). Strikingly, exogenous cytokinin application causes a reduction in silique length in *B. napus*. However, these shorter siliques contain increased ovule numbers and upon manual pollination, the plants show an increase in seed yield of 18%. Intriguingly, increases in ovule and seed number have also been observed in the offspring of the treated plants, suggesting that the mechanism has an underlying epigenetic basis (Zuñiga-Mayo et al., 2018).

An increase in CKs level has also been reported to beneficially affect seed yield in transgenic *B. napus* lines expressing the CKs biosynthetic enzyme isopentenyltransferase (*IPT*) under the *A.
thaliana promoter of the AtMYB32 gene. An increase in seed yield of up to 23% was obtained in the transgenic lines that were analysed (Kant et al., 2015).

CKs homeostasis is mediated by CYTOKININ OXIDASES/DEHYDROGENASES (CKXs) during pistil and silique development in A. thaliana. Remarkably, the expression level of CKX genes in B. napus is associated with silique length, and RNA-sequencing and qRT-PCR analyses revealed a significantly different expression level of BnCKX5-1, 5-2, 6-1, and 7-1 in two distinct cultivated varieties with long versus short siliques (Liu et al., 2018). These findings open up promising strategies with which to modulate silique length in B. napus by manipulating CKX gene expression.

In addition to phytohormones, genetic knowledge from Arabidopsis can be successfully applied to B. napus crop improvement. Mutations in the K-box of the Arabidopsis orthologue of APETALA1 in B. napus caused a significant increase in the number of seeds per plant (Shah et al., 2018). These generated alleles could conceivably be introduced into a rapeseed breeding programme in field trials.

Germplasm of B. napus revealed substantial natural variation with respect to seed number per pod. Current rapeseed cultivars produce on average 20 seeds per pod, which is far lower than the maximum observed among the germplasm resources (Yang et al., 2017). Moreover, genetic improvement promises to deliver a massive improvement in seed yield (Yang et al., 2017). The gold mine of knowledge obtained from the closely related species Arabidopsis will certainly be fundamentally important in the exploitation of the encouraging genetic variation potential. Furthermore, it has recently been demonstrated that CRISPR-Cas9 technology can be efficiently applied to precisely induce targeted mutation in rapeseed (Braatz et al., 2017), making it a powerful tool for future genetic improvement. Similarly, existing knowledge could be used to improve other Brassicaceae species, or even non-phylogenetically related species such as soybean.
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| Gene Name | Family or protein type | Gynoecium size | Ovule number | Reference |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
| ANT       | AP2 /EREBP transcription factor | ant-9 ↓ ant-4 ↓ 35S::ANT ↑ | ant-1 ↓ ant-3 ↓ ant-4 ↓ ant-9 ↓ | (Elliott et al., 1996; Liu et al., 2000; Azhakanandam et al., 2008; Krizek, 2009; Wynn et al., 2014) |
| ARGOS     | ARGOS protein | 35S::ARGOS ↑ | | (Hu et al., 2003) |
| CRC       | YABBY transcription factor | crc-1 ↓ | | (Gross et al., 2018) |
| SPT       | bHLH transcription factor | spt-2 ↓ | spt-2 ↓ | (Heisler et al., 2001; Alvarez and Smyth, 2002; Nahar et al., 2012) |
| ETT (ARF3) | ARF transcription factor | ett-1 ↓ ett-2 ↓ | | (Sessions et al., 1997; Nemhauser et al., 2000) |
| HEC1, HEC2, HEC3 | bHLH transcription factor | hec1 hec2 hec3 ↓ | | (Gremski et al., 2007) |
| ARR1, ARR10, ARR12 | Type-B ARR transcription factor | arr1 arr10 arr12 ↓ | arr1 arr10 arr12 ↓ | (Reyes-Olalde et al., 2017) |
| CRF2, CRF3, CRF6 | ERF transcription factor | crf2 crf3 crf6 ↓ | crf2 crf3 crf6 ↓ | (Cucinotta et al., 2016) |
| PIN1      | PIN Auxin efflux carrier | pin1 ↓ | pin1 ↓ pin1-5 ↓ | (Okada et al., 1991; Bencivenga et al., 2012; Cucinotta et al., 2016) |
| CKX3, CKX5 | CKX Cytokinin oxidase/dehydrogenase protein | cks3 cks5 ↑ | cks3 cks5 ↑ | (Bartrina et al., 2011) |
| UGT85A3, UGT73C1 | UDP-glucosyl transferase | 35S::UGT85A3 ↓ 35S::UGT73C1 ↓ | 35S::UGT85A3 ↓ 35S::UGT73C1 ↓ | (Cucinotta et al., 2018) |
| SAUR8, SAUR10, SAUR12 | SAUR-like auxin-responsive protein family | 35S::SAUR8 ↑ 35S::SAUR10 ↑ 35S::SAUR12 ↑ | | (van Mourik et al., 2017) |
| BZR1      | Brassinosteroid signalling regulatory protein | bzyr1-1D ↑ | bzyr1-1D ↑ | (Huang et al., 2013) |
| BIN2      | ATSK (shaggy-like kinase) family | bin2 ↓ | bin2 ↓ | (Huang et al., 2013) |
| DET2      | 3-oxo-5-alpha-steroid 4-dehydrogenase protein | det2 ↓ | det2 ↓ | (Huang et al., 2013) |
| BRI1      | Leucine-rich receptor-like protein kinase protein | bri1-5 ↓ | bri1-5 ↓ | (Huang et al., 2013) |
| CYP85A2   | Cytochrome p450 enzyme | cyp85a2-1 ↓ cyp85a2-2 ↓ | | (Nole-Wilson et al., 2010b) |
| SEU       | Transcriptional adaptor | seu-1 ↓ | seu-1 ↓ | (Nole-Wilson et al., 2010b) |
| CTR1      | RAF homolog of serine/threonine kinase | ctr1-1 ↓ | | (Carbonell-Bejerano et al., 2011) |
| Gene | Description | Mutant Phenotype Impact |
|------|-------------|------------------------|
| REV | Homeobox-leucine zipper protein | ant, rev ↓ |
| LUG | WD-40/YVTN repeat-like-containing domain transcription factor | lug-1 ↓, lug-3 ↓ |
| PAN | bZIP transcription factor | ant, pan ↓, seu, pan ↓ |
| HLL | Ribosomal protein L14p/L23e | hll ↓ |
| SIN2 | P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase superfamily protein | sin-2 ↓ |
| YUC1, YUC4 | Flavin-binding monooxygenase protein | yuc1, yuc4 ↓ |
| AHK2, AHK3, CRE1 | Histidine kinase | cre1-12, ahk2-2, ahk3-3 ↓ |
| CUC1, CUC2 | NAC transcription factor | cuc1, cuc2 ↓, pSTK::CUC1/RNAi cuc2-1 ↓ |
| MIR164A | microRNA | 35S::MIR164A ↓ |
| GA1, RGA, RGL2 | GRAS transcription factor | gaiT6, rgaT2, rgl2-1 ↓, gaiT6, rgaT2, rgl2-1 ↓ |
| GID1A, GID1B | alpha/beta-Hydrolase superfamily protein | gid1ab ↑ |
| REM22 | B3 protein transcription factor | rem22-1 ↑ |
| UNE16 | Homeodomain-like superfamily protein | une16-1 ↓ |
| NERD1 | GW repeat- and PHD-finger-containing protein NERD | nerd1-2 ↓, nerd1-4 ↓ |
| ONA2 | Unknown protein | ona2 ↓ |
| ASHH2 | Hystone-lysine N-methyltransferase | ashh2 ↓ |

Table 1. Up- and downwards-pointing arrows represent how mutant phenotype impact either gynoecium size or ovule number.
Figure 1. Proposed model for the regulation of pistil growth and ovule primordium initiation.

A gynoecium of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is shown on the left while an image on the right depicts ovule primordia; in the centre, the interconnected gene network that regulates the two processes is shown.

Auxin, through ETT, regulates gynoecium fusion and elongation by repressing *IND*, *HECs* and *SPT*, which in turn modulate polarisation of the auxin efflux carrier PIN1 via repressing *PID*. CK positively regulates *PIN1* expression. In particular, the CK response mediated by CRFs and ARRs is directly required for pistil elongation and indirectly affects ovule primordium initiation. *CRF2* regulation by...
MP further integrate the auxin-CK crosstalk. Moreover, MP directly regulates \textit{CUC1} and \textit{CUC2} expression. In turn, CUCs control \textit{PIN1} expression and PIN1 protein localisation, which is required for correct ovule primordium development. CUCs positively influence the CK pathway by transcriptionally repressing the CK-inactivating glycosyltransferase enzymes (UGTs). \textit{ANT}, whose expression is controlled by auxin and BRs, is required for cell division in ovule primordia. \textit{ANT} is also regulated by auxin via MP and ARGOS. BRs signalling also positively affect pistil elongation. GA has a negative effect on ovule number, but its connection with other hormones remains to be addressed.
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