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Abstract Both intracellular immune sensing and extracellular innate immune sensing have been implicated in initiating macrophage proinflammatory cytokine responses to Streptococcus pneumoniae. The S. pneumoniae capsule, a major virulence determinant, prevents phagocytosis, and we hypothesized that this would reduce activation of host innate inflammatory responses by preventing activation of intracellular proinflammatory signaling pathways. We investigated this hypothesis in human monocyte-derived macrophages stimulated with encapsulated or isogenic unencapsulated mutant S. pneumoniae. Unexpectedly, despite strongly inhibiting bacterial internalization, the capsule resulted in enhanced inflammatory cytokine production by macrophages infected with S. pneumoniae. Experiments using purified capsule material and a Streptococcus mitis mutant expressing an S. pneumoniae serotype 4 capsule indicated these differences required whole bacteria and were not due to proinflammatory effects of the capsule itself. Transcriptional profiling demonstrated relatively few differences in macrophage gene expression profiles between infections with encapsulated S. pneumoniae and those with unencapsulated S. pneumoniae, largely limited to reduced expression of proinflammatory genes in response to unencapsulated bacteria, predicted to be due to reduced activation of the NF-κB family of transcription factors. Blocking S. pneumoniae internalization using cytochalasin D had minimal effects on the inflammatory response to S. pneumoniae. Experiments using murine macrophages indicated that the affected genes were dependent on Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) activation, although not through direct stimulation of TLR2 by capsule polysaccharide. Our data demonstrate that the early macrophage proinflammatory response to S. pneumoniae is mainly dependent on extracellular bacteria and reveal an unexpected proinflammatory effect of encapsulated S. pneumoniae that could contribute to disease pathogenesis.

Importance Multiple extra- and intracellular innate immune receptors have been identified that recognize Streptococcus pneumoniae, but the relative contributions of intra- versus extracellular bacteria to the inflammatory response were unknown. We have shown that intracellular S. pneumoniae contributes surprisingly little to the inflammatory responses, with production of important proinflammatory cytokines largely dependent on extracellular bacteria. Furthermore, although we expected the S. pneumoniae polysaccharide capsule to block activation of the host immune system by reducing bacterial internalization and therefore activation of intracellular innate immune receptors, there was an increased inflammatory response to encapsulated compared to unencapsulated bacteria, which is likely to contribute to disease pathogenesis.
Streptococcus pneumoniae is an important bacterial cause of otitis media, pneumonia, septicemia, and meningitis, resulting in significant worldwide morbidity and mortality (1). S. pneumoniae causes a rapid and robust inflammatory response, including production of the key cytokines tumor necrosis factor (TNF), interleukin-1β (IL-1β), and IL-6, which are critical for protective immunity (2–13). However, this inflammatory response can also contribute to pathogenesis: for example, by causing pulmonary consolidation, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), and septic shock and, in patients with meningitis, chronic neurological damage (14–16). Multiple mechanisms have been described that contribute to the inflammatory response to S. pneumoniae, including both intracellular and extracellular pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs).

Extracellular PRRs activated by S. pneumoniae include Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2 [by bacterial lipoproteins]) (17), TLR4 (by pneumolysin) (18), and the scavenger receptor MARCO (19). More recently, there has been considerable interest in activation of intracellular PRRs by S. pneumoniae. These include activation of the inflammasome by the pore-forming toxin pneumolysin (20), nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (NOD) receptors by peptidoglycan (21, 22), and nucleic acid receptors such as cGAS (23) and RIG-I-like receptors (RLRs) (24). In addition, TLRs are also located in phagosome membranes, and can be activated by phagocytosed bacteria (25–27). A similar range of PRRs are involved in the inflammatory response to other extracellular bacterial pathogens; the macrophage-dependent inflammatory response to S. aureus has been shown to be dependent on both extracellular and internalized bacteria (25). However, the relative contributions to the macrophage inflammatory response of activation of intracellular PRRs by internalized S. pneumoniae versus activation of cell surface PRRs by extracellular bacteria have not been defined.

The most important S. pneumoniae virulence factor is the capsule, a polysaccharide layer that surrounds the bacterium and profoundly inhibits phagocytosis as well as preventing bacterial entrapment by respiratory mucus and killing by neutrophil extracellular traps (28–31). As unencapsulated S. pneumoniae strains are much more susceptible to phagocytosis than otherwise isogenic encapsulated strains (29, 32), comparing phagocyte inflammatory responses to unencapsulated and encapsulated S. pneumoniae may identify the relative importance of intracellular signaling pathways for inflammatory responses. In contrast to cell wall and membrane components, previously published data suggest that purified capsular polysaccharide does not stimulate an inflammatory response. Purified capsular material only induces low levels of inflammation in a rabbit meningitis model (33), in human whole blood (34), and in RAW murine macrophages (35). These weak responses may be caused by residual contamination of capsular material with proinflammatory cell wall and membrane components (36). As the capsule surrounds the bacterium and blocks phagocytosis, the capsule could also affect inflammation by blocking interaction of cell surface TLRs to S. pneumoniae ligands. Hence the capsule could potentially alter inflammatory responses to S. pneumoniae by reducing activation of both intracellular and cell surface PRRs. These questions have not previously been addressed despite the importance of both the capsule and inflammatory responses to S. pneumoniae disease pathogenesis.

Using in vitro infection of monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) with encapsulated and unencapsulated bacteria, supported by data from a mouse pneumonia model, we have investigated the relative contributions of macrophage cell surface and intracellular PRRs to the macrophage inflammatory response to S. pneumoniae. We hypothesized that intracellular PRRs would make a significant contribution to the inflammatory response to S. pneumoniae, such that the capsule would contribute to immune evasion by reducing the inflammatory response as a result of diminished bacterial phagocytosis.
RESULTS

Loss of the *S. pneumoniae* capsule increases internalization by macrophages. Previously, we demonstrated that the capsule inhibits neutrophil phagocytosis of *S. pneumoniae* (29). Flow cytometry, microscopy, and antibiotic protection assays were used to confirm that loss of the capsule also increases *S. pneumoniae* phagocytosis by macrophages. In flow cytometry assays, the unencapsulated TIGR4 Δcps bacteria were more rapidly associated with RAW macrophages than wild-type (WT) TIGR4 bacteria (Fig. 1A and B). Differences were evident after 15 min of incubation and were present whether bacteria were unopsonized or opsonized with complement-inactivated serum or normal human serum. Antibiotic protection assays confirmed that in 5% human serum, the percentage of adherent bacteria internalized by human MDMs after 2 h was nearly 10-fold greater for the TIGR4 Δcps strain than that of WT TIGR4 (*P* < 0.0001) (Fig. 1C). This was supported by microscopy, which showed a 2-fold increase in uptake of unencapsulated bacteria (*P* = 0.042) (Fig. 1D to F) at 2 h. However, once internalized, there was no significant difference in the intracellular survival of TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δcps bacteria when measured by antibiotic protection assays using cells of the human macrophage cell line THP-1 (Fig. 1G). Comparative intracellular survival experiments were not performed with MDMs as inherent interdonor variability in bacterial uptake prevents identification of bacterial numbers that consistently lead to the internalization of similar numbers of TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δcps bacteria. The increase in macrophage phagocytosis allowed MDMs to control TIGR4 Δcps bacterial numbers, whereas after 6 h, significantly more TIGR4 CFU were recovered from culture supernatants (Fig. 1H). Overall, these data demonstrate that incubation of unencapsulated *S. pneumoniae* with MDMs results in substantial increases in the numbers of intracellular bacteria compared to incubation with encapsulated bacteria, but without significantly affecting the dynamics of intracellular killing.

Comparison of the MDM transcriptional responses to encapsulated and unencapsulated *S. pneumoniae*. Although purified serotype 4 polysaccharide induced MDM inflammatory cytokine secretion, this was largely abrogated by neutralization of contaminating lipopolysaccharide (LPS) using polymyxin B (Fig. 2A and B). To assess whether the capsule directly influences macrophage inflammatory response to external bacteria, MDMs were incubated with a *Streptococcus mitis* mutant strain expressing the *S. pneumoniae* serotype 4 capsule (37). Expression of the serotype 4 capsule by *S. mitis* did not enhance the MDM inflammatory response compared to the wild type or an unencapsulated mutant strain (Fig. 2C and D). These data show the capsule had limited direct effects on MDM inflammatory responses, and therefore we could exploit the increased phagocytosis of unencapsulated *S. pneumoniae* to compare the effects of internalized versus extracellular bacteria on the MDM response to *S. pneumoniae*.

Microarrays were used to compare genome-wide transcriptional responses to MDM infection with either the TIGR4 or TIGR4 Δcps strain (Fig. 3A). Overall, 294 genes showed increased expression in response to infection with either *S. pneumoniae* strain (Fig. 3A). Of these, a subset of 100 genes were upregulated in response to TIGR4 alone, 104 genes were upregulated in response to the TIGR4 Δcps strain alone, and 194 genes were upregulated in response to both strains. As previously reported by us (17), the MDM genes that showed the greatest degree of upregulation in response to TIGR4 included those coding for the proinflammatory cytokines TNF, IL-23A, IL-6, IL-1β, and IL-8, along with a range of chemokines, and closely mirrored the MDM response to the TLR2 agonist PAM₃CSK₄ (Fig. 3B). Unexpectedly, the upregulation of many of these genes was substantially lower when MDMs were infected with the TIGR4 Δcps strain. Differences in MDM transcripts upregulated after *S. pneumoniae* infection between the TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δcps strains showed a partial attenuation in the proinflammatory subset of responses. This mirrored the attenuated response to the TIGR4 Δlgt strain that lacks lipoproteins required for activation of TLR2 (17). Bioinformatic analysis of this subset by gene ontology showed that these were enriched for secreted inflammatory cytokines (Fig. 3C). Transcription factor binding site analysis inferred that these genes...
were primarily under the control of the NF-κB family (Fig. 3D). Hence, despite resulting in enhanced phagocytosis and internalization by MDMs, loss of the capsule specifically results in reduced proinflammatory MDM transcriptional responses to infection with S. pneumoniae.
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**FIG 1** (A and B) RAW macrophages were incubated with FAM-SE-labeled bacteria preincubated in PBS, heat-inactivated (HK) serum, or 10% pooled human serum. Cells were washed at 2 time points and then lifted and analyzed by flow cytometry to assess association. The results of 4 experiments are displayed as means ± standard errors of the means (SEM) at (A) 15 min or (B) 60 min, with TIGR4 data shown as gray bars and TIGR4 Δcps data as white bars, as analyzed by t test. (C) MDMs incubated with bacteria at an MOI of 10 had an antibiotic protection assay performed after 2 h, and data were analyzed by t test, with means ± SEM from 3 experiments displayed. WT TIGR4 data are shown as black bars and TIGR4 Δcps data as gray bars. (D to F) High-throughput imaging of fluorescent TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δcps labeled with green fluorophore and incubated for 2 h with MDMs (nuclei in blue), with external bacteria labeled with anti-human IgG (labeled red) appearing yellow (red arrow) due to the interaction of red and green fluorophores, whereas internalized bacteria remain green (white arrow). Sample images of MDMs incubated with TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δcps are shown in panels E and F, respectively. (G) Numbers of external and internal bacteria were counted, and data are displayed as means ± SEM from 3 experiments, with analysis by unpaired t test. WT TIGR4 data are shown as black bars and TIGR4 Δcps data as gray bars. (G) Once TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δcps were internalized, with inocula adjusted such that internalized bacteria at time zero were similar, numbers of surviving internal bacteria were measured by antibiotic protection assay using THP-1 cells. Results are displayed as means ± SEM from 3 experiments at each time point, as analyzed by unpaired t test. WT TIGR4 data are displayed as squares joined by a solid line and TIGR4 Δcps data as circles joined by a dotted line. (H) Bacteria were incubated with MDMs at an MOI of 10, and MDM supernatant was plated at specified time points, displayed as the median and range from 6 experiments, with WT TIGR4 data shown as black bars and TIGR4 Δcps data as gray bars, with counts analyzed by the Mann-Whitney U test.
Encapsulated bacteria stimulate stronger MDM inflammatory cytokine responses. To validate the changes to transcriptional responses to the TIGR4 Δcps strain at the protein level, TNF, IL-1β, and IL-6 cytokine levels were measured in cell culture supernatants of MDMs incubated with the TIGR4 or the TIGR4 Δcps strain. After 6 h, MDMs showed a dose-dependent increase in TNF responses to TIGR4 but not TIGR4 Δcps, with markedly higher levels of TNF in response to TIGR4 compared to TIGR4 Δcps at multiplicities of infection (MOI) of greater than 10 (Fig. 4A). The TNF response to an MOI of 100 for the TIGR4 Δcps strain was lower than that to an MOI of 10 or 1 for the TIGR4 strain. Nonsignificant differences in TNF levels persisted between TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δcps infected MDMs 12 and 24 h after infection (Fig. 4B). After 6 h, there was little IL-1β release from MDMs at multiple MOI, but by 12 h, MDMs showed increased IL-1β production in response to the TIGR4 strain compared to TIGR4 Δcps (Fig. 4C and D). Release of IL-6 decreased with increasing MOI for both strains; TIGR4 induced more IL-6 than TIGR4 Δcps only at an MOI of 1, with no significant differences between the strains with higher MOIs and later time points (Fig. 4E and F). Overall, these data complement the transcriptional response results by demonstrating that the encapsulated TIGR4 strain stimulated a stronger MDM inflammatory cytokine response than the unencapsulated TIGR4 Δcps strain, with major differences in production of TNF and some differences in IL-6 and IL-1β.

The TIGR4 strain induces stronger early TNF responses in a mouse model of pneumonia. A murine model of pneumonia (17, 32) was used to assess whether the
differences seen in cell culture in TNF responses to *S. pneumoniae* reflected differences during disease. After high-dose infection (5 x 10⁶ CFU per mouse), bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) TNF levels recovered at 2 h and IL-1β and IL-6 at 4 h were higher in response to the TIGR4 strain compared to infection with the TIGR4 Δ*cp* strain (Fig. 5A to C). However, as previously described (32), the capsule played an important role in bacterial clearance, with markedly reduced levels of TIGR4 Δ*cp* CFU recovered in BALF (Fig. 5D). These differences in bacterial CFU in BALF confound interpretation of differences in cytokine responses. To partially account for this, experiments were repeated using the nonreplicating Δ*pabB* mutant TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δ*cp* strains to ensure BALF TNF responses reflect differences to the initial bacterial inoculum. Using Δ*pabB* strains, there was still an increased BALF TNF response to the encapsulated TIGR4 strain (Fig. 5E).
and F). To confirm that BALF TNF responses reflected alveolar macrophage interactions with *S. pneumoniae* and to try and reduce CFU differences between the strains, low-dose infection (5 × 10^5 CFU) experiments were performed in mice treated with intranasal liposomal clodronate 72 h prior to infection, which depletes approximately 85% of alveolar macrophages (32). Although macrophage depletion resulted in higher CFU in BALF (Fig. 5G), both 2- and 4-h BALF TNF levels were markedly reduced in response to the TIGR4 strain (Fig. 5H). These data confirm that in a murine pneumonia model, there is an increased early TNF response to infection with encapsulated *S. pneumoniae* compared to unencapsulated bacteria, which is largely dependent on alveolar macrophages.
MDM TNF responses to *S. pneumoniae* are largely dependent on extracellular bacteria. The above data suggest that MDM TNF responses to *S. pneumoniae* are not dependent on internalized bacteria. To confirm this and identify how long MDMs need to be exposed to *S. pneumoniae* to stimulate an effective inflammatory response, MDMs...
were incubated with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 and then treated with gentamicin to kill extracellular bacteria after phagocytosis of a significant number of bacteria had already occurred. There was no significant 4-h TNF response by MDMs exposed to extracellular TIGR4 for only 30 min, whereas 4 h of exposure stimulated a significant TNF response that persisted for 24 h and was consistently increased in response to the TIGR4 strain compared to the TIGR4 Δ*cps* strain (Fig. 6A and B). In contrast, 4 h of exposure of MDMs to TIGR4 resulted only in very low levels of supernatant IL-1β and IL-6, possibly because production of these cytokines in general needs a more prolonged stimulus (see Fig. S1A and B in the supplemental material). To further investigate the relationship between intra- and extracellular bacteria and the macrophage inflammatory response, MDM infection experiments were repeated with the addition of cytochalasin to block phagocytosis. Cytochalasin at a concentration of 10 μM inhibited *S. pneumoniae* phagocytosis by MDMs (Fig. 6C to E). Despite this, cytochalasin did not reduce MDM supernatant TNF, IL-6 (Fig. 6F and G), and IL-1β (not shown) responses to infection with either the TIGR4 or the TIGR4 Δ*cps* strain, with most responses actually showing non-statistically significant increases. Opsonization of *S. pneumoniae* with human serum increased phagocytosis and internalization of bacteria (29) but reduced supernatant levels of TNF and IL-1β and abrogated differences between the wild-type and unencapsulated strains (Fig. 6H and I). These data suggest that the MDM inflammatory response to *S. pneumoniae* is largely driven by extracellular rather than intracellular bacteria. 

Transcriptional analysis was used to assess in detail the effects of blocking phagocytosis on MDM response to encapsulated and unencapsulated *S. pneumoniae*. Incubating MDMs with cytochalasin D had relatively minor effects on the overall MDM transcriptional response to *S. pneumoniae*, although overall there was a general increase in inflammatory gene expression with preservation of the differences between the TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δ*cps* strains (Fig. 7A). There was little upregulation and few major differences elicited between strains in the expression of the anti-inflammatory cytokine genes coding for IL-10 or transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) (Fig. 7A). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) indicated small increases in expression of the IL-1β and IL-6 genes but not TNF transcripts after MDMs were treated with cytochalasin D, but with preservation of the large differences between the TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δ*cps* strains (Fig. 7B to D). Overall, these data confirm that the MDM proinflammatory cytokine responses to *S. pneumoniae* are largely dependent on extracellular bacteria.

**MDM responses to other unencapsulated strains and role of TLR2 activation.** The cytochalasin D data demonstrated that increased MDM inflammatory responses when infected with the TIGR4 strain compared to the TIGR4 Δ*cps* strain persisted even after phagocytosis was blocked. To assess whether the effects of the capsule on inflammatory responses were restricted to TIGR4, MDMs were incubated with the wild type and unencapsulated mutants for three further *S. pneumoniae* strains, including recent clinical capsular serotype 6B and 23F isolates. There were significantly higher levels of TNF and IL-6 in supernatants when MDMs were incubated with the wild type in comparison to unencapsulated bacteria for two serotypes (2 and 6B), but not for serotype 23F (Fig. 8A and B). These data show that encapsulated *S. pneumoniae* strains are more proinflammatory than unencapsulated strains for multiple (although not all) serotypes, and the role of the central TLR2 pathway in this effect was explored in more detail.

Infection of murine bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) with TIGR4 strains demonstrated that macrophage TNF and IL-6 responses to *S. pneumoniae* were, as expected (17), highly dependent on TLR2. Although differences in the TNF responses between TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δ*cps* strains were lost after infection of TLR2−/− macrophages (Fig. 9A and B), the TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δ*cps* strains both stimulated a TLR2 reporter assay to a similar degree and purified serotype 4 capsular polysaccharide (PPS) had no effect (Fig. 9C). These results indicate that although the macrophage cytokine response to *S. pneumoniae* is dependent on TLR2, the capsule does not directly affect TLR2 activation. Pneumolysin has multiple effects on immune responses to *S. pneumoniae*, including influencing inflammatory responses (20), and could be a confound-
FIG 6 (A) MDMs were incubated with bacteria for 30 min at an MOI of 10, then medium or gentamicin was added, and supernatant was analyzed after 4 h for TNF levels. The TIGR4 response is displayed as a black bar, with the antibiotic-
ing factor influencing the MDM inflammatory response. We therefore assessed pneumolysin activity in the TIGR4 Δcps mutant strain by using a red cell lysis assay and a double-knockout Δply Δcps strain as a control. These data showed no significant differences in red cell lysis between TIGR4 wild-type and TIGR4 Δcps strains (Fig. S1C). Compatible with recent data showing that pneumolysin inhibits production of proinflammatory cytokines (38), there was increased production of IL-6 and TNF when MDMs were incubated with pneumolysin-deficient strains, with no differences seen between the wild-type and unencapsulated strains (Fig. S1D and E). These data show that the increased production of inflammatory cytokines in response to encapsulated strains compared to unencapsulated strains is unlikely to be related to the effects of pneumolysin.

DISCUSSION

Multiple cell surface and intracellular signaling pathways have been identified that lead to an inflammatory response when triggered by bacterial interactions with macrophages (6, 8, 10, 20–22, 24, 39–41). The dominance of specific pathways in response to particular pathogens will influence disease pathogenesis, but there are few published data on the relative contributions of intra- and extracellular pathways for inflammatory responses to bacterial pathogens. Here, we have investigated the contribution of intracellular bacteria to the macrophage inflammatory response to S. pneumoniae using differences in susceptibilities to phagocytosis of encapsulated and unencapsulated S. pneumoniae. We have made two major perhaps unexpected observations: (i) the early macrophage proinflammatory cytokine response to S. pneumoniae is mainly driven by extracellular bacteria, with little effect of internalized bacteria, and (ii) for three of the four serotypes investigated, encapsulated bacteria are proinflammatory in comparison to their isogenic unencapsulated derivatives.

The dominant role of extracellular bacteria for the macrophage inflammatory response to S. pneumoniae was suggested by the reduced inflammatory responses to the unencapsulated strain despite the increased susceptibility of this strain to phagocytosis compared to encapsulated S. pneumoniae. These results were supported by data obtained after nonlytic killing of extracellular bacteria using gentamicin, inhibiting phagocytosis using cytochalasin D, and using opsonized bacteria. Both the transcriptomic and supernatant cytokine level data demonstrate that production of protective inflammatory cytokines by macrophages infected with S. pneumoniae was independent of phagocytosis and internalization. These results contrast strongly for data obtained from another extracellular Gram-positive pathogen, Staphylococcus aureus, for which TNF and other inflammatory cytokine responses depended on a combination of extracellular and intracellular TLR activation (25). In contrast to our data, when phagocytosis of S. aureus was blocked, there were reductions in macrophage cytokine responses, and a mutant S. aureus strain that was more sensitive to intraphagosome degradation caused increased inflammatory responses (25, 26). Given the similarities
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supplemented data as a checked bar, with means ± SEM from 4 experiments shown, as analyzed by unpaired t test. (B) MDMs were incubated with bacteria for 4 h at an MOI of 10, then medium or gentamicin was added, and supernatant was analyzed for TNF levels at subsequent time points. WT TIGR4 data are shown as black bars and TIGR4 Δcps data as gray bars, displayed as means ± SEM from 3 experiments, as analyzed by t test. (C) MDMs were incubated with 10 μM cytochalasin D or medium for 30 min and then incubated with TIGR4 or TIGR4 Δcps, and the antibiotic protection assay was used to determine numbers of internalized bacteria. Box and whisker plots of median and range of experiments are shown with TIGR4 data as a black box and cytochalasin D-treated cell data as a checked box, as analyzed by Mann-Whitney U test. (D and E) MDMs were incubated with fluorescently labeled TIGR4 (in green) at an MOI of 10 after preincubation with medium only or 10 μM cytochalasin D and imaged on a high-throughput microscope after 2 h, with nuclei in blue and with external bacteria in yellow. (D) Representative image of TIGR4 only and (E) TIGR4 plus cytochalasin D. (F and G) MDMs were incubated with controls or bacteria at an MOI of 10 for 6 h after preincubation with medium or 10 μM cytochalasin D, and (F) TNF and (G) IL-6 were measured in supernatant. Data are presented as mean ± SEM from at least 3 experiments, as analyzed by unpaired t test. (H and I) Bacteria were incubated with pooled human serum for 30 min, before incubation with MDM at an MOI of 10 for 6 h, and then supernatant (H) TNF and (I) IL-6 were measured. The data are presented as means ± SEM from at least 3 experiments, as analyzed by 2-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple-comparison test.
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between *S. aureus* and *S. pneumoniae* in disease pathogenesis and the PRRs they are known to activate (42–44), the contrast between them in mechanisms driving macrophage inflammatory responses is surprising. Phagocytosis by innate immune cells also induced more inflammation for the spirochete *Borrelia burgdorferi* (45, 46). One difference in the biology of these pathogens that might be relevant is that the majority of phagocytosed *S. pneumoniae* cells are killed within 4 h (Fig. 1), whereas *S. aureus* is able to survive in significant numbers for at least 24 h (25, 26). Host cells scale their inflammatory response to match the degree of threat from the invading pathogen, which for *S. pneumoniae* could potentially lead to the reduced responses to internalized bacteria reflecting this pathogen’s relatively poor intracellular survival (47).

### Table 1

| Gene Symbol | Unstim | TIGR4 | Δcps | TIGR4 + Cyt D | Δcps + Cyt D |
|-------------|--------|-------|------|---------------|--------------|
| TNF         |        |       |      |               |              |
| IL23A       |        |       |      |               |              |
| CXCL2       |        |       |      |               |              |
| IL6         |        |       |      |               |              |
| IL1B        |        |       |      |               |              |
| CCL4        |        |       |      |               |              |
| C11orf96    |        |       |      |               |              |
| CCL20       |        |       |      |               |              |
| CXCL1       |        |       |      |               |              |
| CXCL2       |        |       |      |               |              |
| IL8         |        |       |      |               |              |
| CCL3        |        |       |      |               |              |
| CSF2        |        |       |      |               |              |
| CCL3L3      |        |       |      |               |              |
| ZFP36L1     |        |       |      |               |              |
| PTX3        |        |       |      |               |              |
| CXCL10      |        |       |      |               |              |
| PTG52       |        |       |      |               |              |
| G0S2        |        |       |      |               |              |
| IFNG        |        |       |      |               |              |
| IL10        |        |       |      |               |              |
| TGFβ        |        |       |      |               |              |

**FIG 7** Mean gene expression of MDMs incubated with WT TIGR4 or the Δcps mutant (6 donors each), with the least-expressed genes in blue and the most-expressed genes in red. They are displayed in gene order by most upregulated genes at the top, with TIGR4 stimulated compared to unstimulated (Unstim). The expression of two important anti-inflammatory cytokines is also displayed in two separate rows. In the relevant experiments, MDMs were preincubated with 10 μM cytochalasin D for 30 min, which was washed off, before the addition of bacteria at an MOI of 10. (B to D) MDMs were incubated with TIGR4 (black bars) or TIGR4 Δcps (gray bars) at an MOI of 10 for 4 h, and lysates were analyzed by qPCR for (B) TNF, (C) IL-1β, and (D) IL-6. The mean ± SEM ΔCt from 4 experiments is displayed, as analyzed by unpaired *t* test.
As the capsule blocks *S. pneumoniae* interactions with MDM phagocytic receptors, our initial hypothesis was that the presence of the capsule would reduce proinflammatory signaling by macrophages due to reduced internalization and inhibition of bacterial-host interactions with external proinflammatory PRRs such as TLR2, similar to the effect of the capsule for some other pathogens (48–52). However, unexpectedly, MDMs incubated with encapsulated *S. pneumoniae* secreted higher levels of TNF (and to a lesser extent IL-1β and IL-6) and had increased expression of a range of proinflammatory and chemokine genes, including TNF, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-23A, CXCL1, and CXL3. During culture with MDMs, encapsulated *S. pneumoniae* CFU increased over time compared to the unencapsulated strain, which probably reflects the increased susceptibility of the latter to phagocytosis. However, several strands of evidence support that the differences in the MDM inflammatory response between the encapsulated and unencapsulated strains were not purely related to differences in CFU. These included the following: (i) multiple MOI, which showed that increased TNF responses to encapsulated *S. pneumoniae* persisted when MDMs were incubated with 1/10 or 1/100 the number of TIGR4 bacteria compared to TIGR4 Δcps; (ii) killing extracellular *S. pneumoniae* using gentamicin after 4 h of incubation, when there were no significant differences in CFU between the TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δcps strains, still caused large differences in TNF responses, and (iii) repeating the experiments with three additional *S. pneumoniae* serotypes, two of which also showed increased inflammatory responses.

**FIG 8** (A and B) MDMs were incubated with wild-type bacteria (serotypes 2, 6B, and 23F), with WT data shown as black bars and isogenic capsule-deficient mutant data as gray bars, for 6 h at an MOI of 10, and supernatants were analyzed for (A) TNF and (B) IL-6. The data are displayed as means ± SEM from at least 3 experiments, as analyzed by unpaired t test.
to the encapsulated strain compared to the unencapsulated mutant derivatives. In addition, an important observation is that only a small number of genes showed differences in transcriptional responses between the TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δcps strains, and these were largely limited to inflammatory response genes, whereas broader changes across the whole MDM transcriptional response to *S. pneumoniae* might be expected if the differences were being driven by CFU alone. Increases in host transcriptional responses have also been described when encapsulated serotype 2 *S. pneumoniae* cells were incubated with human pharyngeal epithelial cells compared to the unencapsulated strain (53).

Our data using mouse infection models suggested the increased inflammatory responses to encapsulated bacteria could be relevant during disease. There was an increased early BALF TNF response after infection with the TIGR4 strain compared to infection with the TIGR4 Δcps strains in a model of early pneumonia, with differences persisting when using different inoculum doses, nonreplicating bacteria, and at time points when TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δcps strains showed no significant differences in BALF CFU (e.g., 4 h data after low-dose infection). However, the mouse data need to be interpreted cautiously as even when similar BALF CFU occur for the TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δcps strains at a single time point, the different inoculum sizes needed to achieve this mean the mice would have been exposed to markedly different bacterial loads over the total duration of the infection.

**FIG 9** (A and B) BMDMs from wild-type or TLR2 knockout C57BL/6 mice were incubated with controls, WT TIGR4, or the TIGR4 Δcps mutant at an MOI of 10 for 4 h. Levels of (A) TNF and (B) IL-6 were measured in supernatant and are displayed as means ± SEM from 3 experiments, as analyzed by unpaired t test. (C) HEK TLR2 cells were incubated with controls, PPS at various concentrations, or bacteria at various MOI for 16 h. Supernatant was analyzed for absorbance at 490 nm, and results are displayed as means ± SEM from 3 experiments, with WT TIGR4 data shown as black bars, TIGR4 Δcps data as gray bars, and purified PPS data as hatched bars. Analysis was by 1-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple-comparison test.
These results suggest that as well as assisting immune evasion, the capsule also promotes a stronger inflammatory response, which mouse data suggest could potentially aid *S. pneumoniae* transmission (54). Although inflammation is essential for control of *S. pneumoniae* infections (4–7, 10, 11), this effect of the capsule in promoting inflammation could also exaggerate the severity of invasive infections by contributing to the development of ARDS or septic shock and leading to more tissue damage during pneumonia (55) and meningitis (56).

The mechanism by which encapsulated *S. pneumoniae* cells stimulate a stronger MDM inflammatory response remains unclear. We confirmed published data that the purified capsule has minimal effect on inflammatory cytokine release (33–35), with residual responses after inhibition of LPS responses only to very high nonphysiological concentrations of capsular material. Furthermore, expression of the *S. pneumoniae* serotype 4 capsule did not increase the inflammatory response to *S. mitis*. In a reporter assay, capsule material did not stimulate a TLR2 response, and encapsulated and unencapsulated *S. pneumoniae* cells were able to cause similar levels of TLR2 stimulation. One possible explanation is that the increase in intracellular bacteria for the unencapsulated strains inhibited inflammatory cytokine responses to *S. pneumoniae*, but preventing phagocytosis did not reduce the differences between TIGR4 and TIGR4 Δcps in inflammatory responses at qPCR, whole-genome transcriptional, and secreted protein levels.

In summary, we have shown that in contrast to *S. aureus*, macrophage-dependent inflammatory responses to *S. pneumoniae* capsule are largely dependent on extracellular bacteria. In addition, we have shown an unexpected proinflammatory effect on macrophage responses of incubation with encapsulated compared to unencapsulated *S. pneumoniae*. Further investigation will be necessary to identify why the early MDM inflammatory response to *S. pneumoniae* infection is largely independent of intracellular signaling pathways and is stronger in response to encapsulated compared to unencapsulated bacteria.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and culture.** Experiments were performed using the wild-type serotype 4 TIGR4 *S. pneumoniae* strain and its otherwise isogenic unencapsulated mutant derivative, TIGR4 Δcps (a kind gift from J. Weiser) (57). ΔpabB mutants (58), which do not replicate without an exogenous source of *para*-aminobenzoic acid, were used to address differences in bacterial numbers in vivo. *S. pneumoniae* cells were cultured in THY broth (Todd-Hewitt broth supplemented with yeast extract) or on 5% blood Columbia agar plates (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham) at 37°C in 5%CO2. *S. pneumoniae* cells were labeled fluorescently for uptake experiments using 6-carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (FAM-SE [Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA]) as previously described (59). The *S. mitis* wild-type strain, *S. mitis* Δcps mutant, and an *S. mitis* mutant strain expressing the *S. pneumoniae* serotype 4 capsule were used to address the effect of capsule in a nonpathogenic species (37). Additional experiments used encapsulated and unencapsulated strains (made by deletion of *cps Janus cassette as previously described [57] or of *cpsD* for the serotype 2 strain [60]) of serotypes 2 (D39), 6B (ST138 clinical isolate), and 23F (ST36 clinical isolate). A TIGR4 Δcps Δlpv strain was created by using DNA from TGR4 Δcps and TIGR4 Δlpv (a kind gift from Tim Mitchell) strains, using antibiotic resistance cassettes to allow selection of double-knockout mutants (61).

**Cell culture.** Blood from healthy volunteers was layered onto Ficoll-Paque Plus (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Hatfield, United Kingdom) and centrifuged to obtain peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). Adherent cells were differentiated in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI), supplemented with human macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) and autologous serum, into monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) as previously described (62). Experiments were carried out in RPMI supplemented with 5% pooled human AB serum (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, United Kingdom). Experiments using MDMs were approved by the joint University College London/University College Hospitals National Health Service Trust Human Research Ethics Committee (reference no. 3076/001). RAW 264.7 cells, a murine cell line with a macrophage-like phenotype, were cultured in RPMI (Gibco, Loughborough, United Kingdom) supplemented with fetal bovine serum (FBS [Lonza, Blackley, United Kingdom]) and I-glutamine. HEK 293 cells, a human embryonic kidney cell line, stably transfected with TLR2 and CD14 (Invivogen, San Diego, CA) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM [Gibco, Loughborough, United Kingdom]) supplemented with FBS and I-glutamine. THP-1 cells, a human monocyte cell line, were cultured in RPMI and FBS with I-glutamine. Bone marrow was extracted from 6- to 8-week-old C57BL/6 WT and TLR2−/− mice (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) and differentiated into bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) for 7 days in L929-conditioned medium using standard protocols (63).
Red cell lysis assay. Two percent horse blood was added to U-bottom 96-well plates with serial dilutions of bacteria or 0.5% saponin (positive control) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The plates were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 for 30 min and then centrifuged. The supernatant was aspirated and placed in a flat-bottom 96-well plate (Brand, Wertheim, Germany), and absorbance at 540 nm was measured on a microplate reader. Absorbance reflected free hemoglobin liberated from lysed cells (64).

Phagocytosis assays. Antibiotic protection assays were carried out using 200 µg/ml gentamicin as previously described (65). In brief, this involved incubating MDM or RAW cells with bacteria with or without antibiotics, washing the cells after 2 h, and then plating the lysate for bacterial counts to measure internal bacteria versus adherent plus internal bacteria. Intracellular survival experiments were performed using THP-1 cells, with MOI resulting in approximately equal uptake per THP-1 cell of TIGR4 or TIGR4 Δcpx bacteria, followed by addition of gentamicin (200 µg/ml) after 30 min of incubation to kill extracellular bacteria and then addition of 2% saponin at the specified time points to lyse the cells for plating to obtain bacterial CFU. Microscopy phagocytosis assays were performed using FAM-SE-labeled bacteria opsonized in human serum, staining of external bacteria with phycoerythrin (PE)-labeled goat anti-human IgG (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham), and DAPI (4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) staining of the nuclei, using a Hermes microscope for imaging (Biotech-Europe, Prague, Czech Republic). Images were analyzed on Metamorph (Metamorph, Inc.) software. Flow cytometry phagocytosis assays were performed using FAM-SE-labeled S. pneumoniae as previously described (59).

TLR2 reporter assay. HEK TLR2 cells were resuspended in HEK-Blue detection medium (Invivogen, San Diego, CA), added to 96-well tissue culture plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark), and then stimulant was added. The optical density, reflecting TLR2 activation, was measured on a microplate reader (Versamax, Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

Cytokine ELISA. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) were carried out using R&D Systems (Abingdon) Duo kits as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

qPCR. RNA extraction of MDM for qPCR or microarray was performed with Qiagen RNeasy minikit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Contaminating DNA was eliminated with Precision DNase (Primer Design, Southampton, United Kingdom). RNA concentration and quality was measured using a Nanodrop 3000 and a ratio of absorbance of 260/280 (aiming for 2.0). cDNA was synthesized in clear PCR plates (Thermoscientific, Loughborough, United Kingdom) with qScript cDNA SuperMix (QuantaBiosciences, Beverley, MA, USA). TaqMan gene expression assays were run on a Realplex Masterscycler (Eppendorf, Stevenage, United Kingdom). The cycle threshold (Ct) was determined for all samples and analyzed by ΔCt, for relative expression values, with the GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) gene used as a housekeeping gene (66).

Microarray whole-genome transcription. Total RNA was purified from MDM lysates, collected as for qPCR, and processed for Agilent microarrays as previously described (66, 67).

A microarray (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) platform was used to perform whole-genome transcriptional analysis of MDMs incubated with bacteria. An Agilent Low-input Quick Amp labeling kit was used for two-color microarray-based gene analysis on an Agilent microarray scanner. Data from the microarray were normalized as previously described (70) and analyzed using a multieperiment viewer (Sourceforge) and Bioagilent insert for R (R Project). Bioinformatic analysis was performed using the online analysis tools Innate DB (68), Gephi (v0.82) for network visualization (https://gephi.org/), and oPOSSUM (69).

Microarray data used in this study are available in ArrayExpress (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/) under accession no. E-MTAB-5894.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02144-19.

FIG S1, TIF file, 2.8 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the Medical Research Council, UK: MR/K00168X/1 (to J.P.), G0700569 (to T.P.), G0600410 (to E.C.), and G0801211 (to G.T.) and Wellcome Trust grant WT076442 (to S.C.). C.H. received support from the Astor Foun-
dation and GlaxoSmithKline through the University College London MBCN8 program. This work was undertaken at UCLH/UCL, which received a proportion of funding from the Department of Health’s NHIR Biomedical Research Centre’s funding scheme.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

REFERENCES

1. O’Brien KL, Wolfson LJ, Watt JP, Henkel E, Deloria-Knoll M, McCall N, Lee E, Mulholland K, Levine OS, Cherian T. 2009. Burden of disease caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae in children younger than 5 years: global estimates. Lancet 374:893–902. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61204-6.

2. Hyams C, Trzcinski K, Camberlein E, Weinberger DM, Chimalapati S, Noursadeghi M, Lipshitz M, Brown JS. 2013. Streptococcus pneumoniae capsular serotype invasiveness correlates with the degree of factor H binding and opsonization with C3b/iC3b. Infect Immun 81:354–363. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00852-12.

3. Weinberger DM, Harboe ZB, Sanuris EAM, Ndiritu M, Klugman KP, Ruckinger S, Dagan R, Adedolobola R, Cutts F, Johnson HL, O’Brien KL, Scott JA, Lipshitz M. 2010. Association of serotype with risk of death due to pneumococcal pneumonia: a meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 51:692–699. https://doi.org/10.1086/655828.

4. von Bemuth H, Picard C, Jin Z, Pankra K, Xiao H, Ku C-L, Chrabieh M, Mustapha IB, Ghandi C, Camiglio Y, Vasconcellos J, Sirvent N, Guedes M, Vitor AB, Herrero-Mata MAJ, Arostegui JL, Rodrigo C, Alina L, Ruiz-Ortiz E, Juan M, Fortuny C, Yague J, Anton J, Pascal M, Chang H-H, Janniere L, Rose Y, Garty B-Z, Chapell H, Issekutz A, Marodi L, Rodrigues-Gallego C, Chabereau J, Abel L, Li X, Chauassabel D, Puel A, Casanova J-L. 2008. Pyogenic bacterial infections in humans with MyD88 deficiency. Science 321:691. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1158298.

5. Picard C, Puel A, Bonnet M, Ku C-L, Bustamante J, Yang K, Soudais C, Dupuis S, Feinberg MB, Elbaschi C, Hitchcock R, Lammas D, Davies G, Al-Ghoniai A, Al-Rayes H, Al-Jumaah S, Al-Mohsen IZ, Al-Rayes H, Al-Jumaah S, Al-Hajjar S, Al-Mohsen IZ, Frayha HH, Rucker R, Hawin TR, Aderem A, Tufenkeji H, Haraguchi S, Day NK, Good RA, Gougerot-Pocidalo M-A, Ozinsky A, Casanova J-L. 2003. Interleukin-1 regulates CXCL8 release and influences disease outcome in response to Streptococcus pneumoniae, defining intercellular cooperation between pulmonary epithelial cells and macrophages. Infect Immun 71:1140–1149. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.05697-01.

6. Marriot HM, Gascoyne KA, Gowda R, Geary I, Nicklin MJ, Lannelli F, Pozzo G, Mittmann R, Whyte MKB, Abril A, Dockrell DH. 2012. Interleukin-1 regulates CXCL8 release and influences disease outcome in response to Streptococcus pneumoniae, defining intercellular cooperation between pulmonary epithelial cells and macrophages. Infect Immun 80:1140–1149. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00679-11.

7. van der Poll T, Keogh CV, Buurman WA, Lowry SF. 1997. Passive immunization against tumor necrosis factor-alpha impairs host defense during pneumococcal pneumonia in mice. Am J Pathol 150:1141–1149. https://doi.org/10.2353/ajpath.1997.150.3.1141.

8. Takashima K, Tateda K, Matsumoto T, Iizawa Y, Nakao M, Yamaguchi K. 1997. Role of tumor necrosis factor-alpha in pathogenesis of pneumococcal pneumonia in mice. Infect Immun 65:257–260.

9. Kafka D, Ling E, Feldman G, Benharroch D, Voronov E, Givon-Lavi N, Iwakura Y, Dagan R, Apte RN, Mizrachi-Nebenzahl Y. 2008. Contribution of IL-1 to resistance to Streptococcus pneumoniae infection in IRAK4 kinase-inactive mice. J Immunol 180:307–316. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.1.307.

10. Mizgerd JP. 2008. Acute lower respiratory tract infection. N Engl J Med 358:716–727. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMAa0704111.

11. Koedel U, Scheld WM, Pfister HW. 2002. Pathogenesis and pathophysiology of pneumococcal meningitis. Lancet Infect Dis 2:721–736. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(02)00450-4.

12. Calbo E, Garau J. 2010. Of mice and men: innate immunity in pneumococcal disease. Int J Antimicrob Agents 35:107–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2009.10.002.

13. Tomlinson G, Chimalapati S, Pollard T, Lapp T, Cohen J, Camberlein E, Stafford S, Periselneris J, Aldridge C, Vollmer W, Picard C, Casanova J-L, Noursadeghi M, Brown J. 2014. TLR-mediated inflammatory responses to Streptococcus pneumoniae are highly dependent on surface expression of bacterial lipoproteins. J Immunol 193:3736–3745. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1400567.

14. Malley R, Henneke P, Morse SC, Cieslewicz MJ, Lipshitz M, Thompson CM, Kurt-Jones E, Faton JC, Wessels MR, Golenbock DT. 2003. Recognition of pneumolysin by Toll-like receptor 4 confers resistance to pneumococcal infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:1966–1971. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0435928100.

15. Dorrington MG, Roche AM, Chauvin SE, Tu Z, Mossman KL, Weiser JN, Bowdish D. 2013. MARCO is required for TLR2- and Nod2-mediated responses to Streptococcus pneumoniae and clearance of pneumococcal colonization in the murine nasopharynx. J Immunol 190:250–258. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1202113.

16. McNeela EA, Burke A, Neill DR, Baxter C, Fernandes VE, Ferreira D, Smeaton E, El-Rachkidy R, McLoughlin RM, Mori A, Moran B, Fitzgerald KA, Tschopp J, Petrelli V, Andrew PW, Radioglu A, Lavelle EC. 2010. Pneumolysin activates the NLRP3 inflammasome and promotes proinflammatory cytokine production. J Biol Chem 285:10700–10708. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.101191.

17. Davis KM, Nakamura S, Weiser JN. 2011. Nod2 sensing of lysosome-digested peptidoglycan promotes macrophage recruitment and clearance of S. pneumoniae colonization in mice. J Clin Invest 121:3666–3676. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI57761.

18. Opitz B, Puschedl A, Schmeck B, Hocke AC, Rosseau S, Hammerschmidt S, Schumann RR, Suttrop N, Hogenesch S. 2004. Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain proteins are innate immune receptors for internalized Streptococcus pneumoniae. J Biol Chem 279:36246–36253. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M403861200.

19. Ruiz-Moreno JS, Hamann L, Jin L, Sander LE, Puzianowska-Kuczma M, Cambier J, Witzenrath M, Schumann RR, Suttrop N, Opitz B. 2018. The cGAS/STING pathway detects Streptococcus pneumoniae. Infect Immun 86:100847-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00847-19.

20. Koppe U, Högner K, Doehn J-M, Müller HC, Witzenrath M, Gutbier B, Bauer S, Pribyl T, Hammerschmidt S, Lohmeyer J, Herold S, Opitz B. 2012. Streptococcus pneumoniae stimulates a STING- and IFN-beta-dependent response in macrophages, which regulates RANTES production in macrophages, cocultured alveolar epithelial cells, and mouse lungs. J Immunol 188:811–817. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1100413.

21. Wolf AJ, Arruda A, Reyes CN, Kaplan AT, Shimada T, Shimada K, Arditi M, Liu G, Underhill DM. 2011. Phagosomal degradation increases TLR access to bacterial ligands and enhances macrophage sensitivity to bacteria. J Immunol 187:6002–6010. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1100232.

22. Ip WK, Sokolovska A, Chariere GM, Boyer L, Dejardin S, Cappillino MP, Yantosca LM, Takahashi K, Moore KJ, Lacy-Hulbert A, Stuart LM. 2010. Phagocytosis and phagosome acidification are required for pathogen processing and MyD88-dependent responses to Staphylococcus aureus. J Immunol 184:7071–7081. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1000211.
S, Normark S, Henriques-Normark B. 2007. Toll-like receptor 9 acts at an early stage in host defence against pneumococcal infection. Cell Microbiol 9:633–644. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00814.x.

28. Nelson AL, Roche AM, Gould JM, Chim K, Ratner AJ, Weiser JN. 2007. Capsule enhances pneumococcal colonisation by limiting mucosamediated clearance. Infect Immun 75:83–90. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01475-06.

29. Hyams C, Camberlein E, Cohen JM, Bax K, Brown JS. 2010. The Streptococcus pneumoniae capsule inhibits complement activity and neutrophil phagocytosis by multiple mechanisms. Infect Immun 78:704–715. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00881-09.

30. Jonsson S, Musher DM, Chapman A, Goree A, Lawrence EC. 1985. Phagocytosis and killing of common bacterial pathogens of the lung by human alveolar macrophages. J Infect Dis 152:14–23. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/152.1.4.

31. Wartha F, Beiter K, Albigier F, Fernebro J, Zychlinsky A, Normark S, Henriques-Normark B. 2007. Capsule and α-alanlated lipoteichoic acids protect Streptococcus pneumoniae against neutrophil extracellular traps. Cell Microbiol 9:1162–1171. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00857.x.

32. Camberlein E, Cohen JM, José R, Hyams CJ, Callard R, Chimalapati S, Yuste J, Edwards LA, Marshall H, van Rooijen N, Noursadeghi M, Brown JS, et al. 2005. Impaired antibacterial replication and alveolar macrophage-independent clearance mechanisms during early lung infection with Streptococcus pneumoniae. Infect Immun 83:1181–1189. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.02788-14.

33. Tuomanen E, Tomasz A, Hengstler B, Zak O. 1985. The relative role of bacterial cell wall and capsule in the induction of inflammation in pneumococcal meningitis. J Infect Dis 151:535–540. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/152.1.535.

34. Jagger MP, Hsu Z, Riches PG. 2002. Inflammatory cytokine (interleukin 6 and tumour necrosis factor alpha) release in a human whole blood system in response to Streptococcus pneumoniae serotype 14 and its capsular polysaccharide. Clin Exp Immunol 130:467–474. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2249.2002.01946.x.

35. Simpson SQ, Singh R, Bice DE. 1994. Heat-killed pneumococci and pneumococcal capsular polysaccharides stimulate tumor necrosis factor-α production by murine macrophages. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 10:284–289. https://doi.org/10.1165/ajrcmb.10.3.8117447.

36. Gonçalves V, Takagi M, Carmo T. 2007. Simple and efficient method of bacterial polysaccharides purification for vaccines production using hydrolytic enzymes and tangential flow ultrafiltration, p. 450–457. In Méndez Vilas A (ed). Communicating current research and educational topics and trends in the food microbiology. Formatex, Badajoz, Spain.

37. Rukke HV, Kalluru RS, Repnik U, Gerlini A, José R, Periselneris J, Marshall H, Grifths G, Oggiioni MR, Brown JS, Petersen FC. 2014. Protective role of the capsule and impact of serotype 4 switching on Streptococcus mitis. Infect Immun 82:3790–3801. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01840-14.

38. Subramanian K, Neill DR, Malak HA, Spelmink L, Khandaker S, Dalla Libera Marchiori G, Dearing E, Kirby A, Yang M, Achour A, Nilvebrant J, Meyer TF, Bengoechea JA. 2013. Apoptosis, Toll-like, RIG-I-like and NOD-like receptors are pathways jointly induced by diverse respiratory bacterial and viral pathogens. Front Microbiol 4:276. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00276.

39. Cole J, Abeerden J, Jubril J, Dockrell DH. 2014. The role of macrophages in the innate immune response to Strepptococcus pneumoniae and Staphylococcus aureus: mechanisms and contrasts. Adv Microb Physiol 65:125–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-2014-08-004-0.

40. Kaufmann SHE, Dorhoi A. 2016. Molecular determinants in phagocytobacteria interactions. Immunol Rev 244:44–91. https://doi.org/10.1111/imr.12463.

41. Coy A, Moore MW, La Vake CJ, Eggers CH, Salazar JC, Radolf JD. 2008. Phagocytosis of Borrelia burgdorferi, the Lyme disease spirochete, potentiates innate immune activation and induces apoptosis in human monocytes. Infect Immun 76:56–70. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01039-07.

42. Moore MW, Cruz AR, LaVake CJ, Marzo AL, Eggers CH, Salazar JC, Radolf JD. 2007. Phagocytosis of Borrelia burgdorferi and Treponema pallidum potentiates innate immune activation and induces gamma interferon production. Infect Immun 75:2046–2062. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01666-06.

43. Abdullah Z, Knolle PA. 2014. Scaling of immune responses against intracellular bacterial pathogens. Microbiology 160:2233–2244. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.07184-0.

44. Yoshida K, Matsumoto T, Tateda K, Uchida K, Tsujimoto S, Yamaguchi K. 2000. Role of bacterial capsule in local and systemic inflammatory responses of mice during pulmonary infection with Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Med Microbiol 49:1003–1010. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.01022-0.

45. Ellerbrook PM, Walenkamp AM, Hoepelman AI, Coenjaerts FE. 2004. Effects of the capsular polysaccharides of Cryptococcus neoformans on phagocyte migration and inflammatory mediators. Curr Med Chem 11:253–266. https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867043456188.

46. Raffatelli M, Santos RL, Chessa D, Wilson RP, Winter SE, Rossetti CA, Lawhon SD, Chu H, Lau T, Bevis CL, Adams LG, Bäumler AJ. 2007. The capsule encoding the viaB locus reduces interleukin-17 expression and mucosal innate responses in the bovine intestinal mucosa during infection with Salmonella enterica serotype Typhi. Infect Immun 75:4342–4350. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01571-06.

47. Rantelä O, Toppinen P, Rantala T, Orho M, Moranta D, Mæurer AP, Garmesenda, M. Meyer TF, Bengoechea JA, et al. 2013. Klebsiella pneumoniae targets an EGF receptor-dependent pathway to-subvert inflammation. Cell Microbiol 15:1212–1233. https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12110.

48. Boatman HJ, Egmond-Peterson M, Hermans P. 2007. Analysis of the in vitro transcriptional response of human pharyngeal epithelial cells to adherent Streptococcus pneumoniae: evidence for a distinct response to encapsulated strains. Infect Immun 75:5489–5449. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01823-06.

49. Clarke TB, Francia P, Huelgo A, Weiser JN. 2011. Invasive bacterial pathogens exploit TLR-mediated downregulation of tight junction components to facilitate translocation across the epithelium. Cell Host Microbe 9:404–414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.04.012.

50. Meijvis SC, Hardeman H, Remmelts HH, Heijligenberg R, Bijlsma WT, Van Velzen-Bild H, Voorn GP, Van De Garde EM, Endeman H, Grutters JC, Bos WJ, Bijsma DH. 2011. Dexamethasone and length of hospital stay in patients with community-acquired pneumonia: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 377:2023–2030. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60607-7.

51. de Gans J, van de Beek D, European Dexamethasone in Adulthood Bacterial Meningitis Study Investigators. 2002. Dexamethasone in adults with bacterial meningitis. N Engl J Med 347:1549–1556. https://doi.org/10.1016/NEJMoa021334.

52. Trzcinski K, Thompson CM, Lipshitz M. 2003. Construction of otherwise isogenic serotype 6B, 7F, 14, and 19F capsular variants of Streptococcus pneumoniae strain TIGR4. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:7364–7370. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.12.7364-7370.2003.

53. Chimalapati S, Cohen J, Camberlein E, Durrant C, Bakendale H, de Vogel C, Selkum A, Brotzman JS. 2011. Infection with conditionally virulent Streptococcus pneumoniae Apab strains induces antibody to conserved protein antigens but does not protect against systemic infection with...
59. Yuste J, Sen A, Truedsson L, Jönsson G, Tay LS, Hyams C, Baxendale HE, Goldblatt F, Botto M, Brown JS. 2008. Impaired opsonization with C3b and phagocytosis of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in sera from subjects with defects in the classical complement pathway. Infect Immun 76:3761–3770. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00291-08.

60. Morona JK, Morona R, Paton JC. 2006. Attachment of capsular polysaccharide to the cell wall of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* type 2 is required for invasive disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:8505–8510. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0602148103.

61. Hävarstein LS, Coomaraswamy G, Morrison DA. 1995. An unmodified heptadecapeptide pheromone induces competence for genetic transformation in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:11140–11144. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.24.11140.

62. Tsang J, Chain BM, Miller RF, Webb BL, Barclay W, Towers GJ, Katz DR, Noursadeghi M. 2009. HIV-1 infection of macrophages is dependent on evasion of innate immune cellular activation. AIDS 23:2255–2263. https://doi.org/10.1073/PQAD.0013e328331a4ce.

63. Zhang X, Goncalves R, Mosser DM. 2008. The isolation and characterization of murine macrophages. Curr Protoc Immunol CHAPTER 14:Unit 14.1. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142735.im1401s83.

64. Kirkham L-AS, Kerr AR, Douce GR, Paterson GK, Dilts DA, Liu D-F, Mitchell TJ. 2006. Construction and immunological characterization of a novel nontoxic protective pneumolysin mutant for use in future pneumococcal vaccines. Infect Immun 74:586–593. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.74.1.S86-593.2006.

65. Ring A, Weiser JN, Tuomanen EL. 1998. Pneumococcal trafficking across the blood-brain barrier molecular analysis of a novel bidirectional pathway. J Clin Invest 102:347–360. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI2406.

66. Tomlinson GS, Cashmore TJ, Elkington PT, Yates J, Lehoeney RA, Tsang J, Brown M, Miller RF, Dheda K, Katz DR, Chain BM, Noursadeghi M. 2011. Transcriptional profiling of innate and adaptive human immune responses to mycobacteria in the tuberculin skin test. Eur J Immunol 41:3253–3260. https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201141841.

67. Bell LC, Pollara G, Pascoe M, Tomlinson GS, Lehoeney RA, Roe J, Meldau R, Miller RF, Ramsay A, Chain BM, Dheda K, Noursadeghi M. 2016. In vivo molecular dissection of the effects of HIV-1 in active tuberculosis. PLoS Pathog 12:e1005469. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005469.

68. Breuer K, Foroushani AK, Laird MR, Chen C, Sribnaia A, Lo R, Winsor GL, Hancock REW, Brinkman FSL, Lynn DJ. 2013. InnateDB: systems biology of innate immunity and beyond—recent updates and continuing curation. Nucleic Acids Res 41(Database issue):D1228–D1233. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1147.

69. Kwon AT, Arenillas DJ, Hunt RW, Wasserman WW. 2012. oPOSSUM-3: advanced analysis of regulatory motif over-representation across genes or ChIP-Seq datasets. G3 2:987–1002. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.112.003202.

70. Chain B, Bowen H, Hammond J, Posch W, Rasaiyaha J, Tsang J, Noursadeghi M. 2010. Error, reproducibility and sensitivity: a pipeline for data processing of Agilent oligonucleotide expression arrays. BMC Bioinformatics 11:344. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-344.