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ABSTRACT

Objectives To examine the impact of a large-scale HIV prevention programme for female sex workers (FSW) in Karnataka state, south India, on the prevalence of HIV/sexually transmitted infections (STI), condom use and programme coverage.

Methods Baseline and follow-up integrated biological and behavioural surveys were conducted on random samples of FSW in five districts in Karnataka between 2004 and 2009.

Results 4712 FSW participated in the study (baseline 2312, follow-up 2400), with follow-up surveys conducted 28–37 months after baseline. By follow-up, over 85% of FSW reported contact by a peer educator and having visited a project STI clinic. Compared with baseline, there were reductions in the prevalence of HIV (19.6% vs 16.4%, adjusted odds ratio (AOR) 0.81, 95% CI 0.67 to 0.99, p<0.04); high-titre syphilis (5.9% vs 3.4%, AOR 0.53, 95% CI 0.37 to 0.77, p=0.001); and chlamydia and/or gonorrhoea (8.9% vs 7.0%, AOR 0.72, 95% CI 0.54 to 0.94, p<0.02). Reported condom use at last sex increased significantly for repeat clients (66.1% vs 84.1%, AOR 1.98, 95% CI 1.58 to 2.48, p<0.001) and marginally for occasional clients (82.9% vs 88.0%, AOR 1.22, 95% CI 0.89 to 1.66, p=0.2), but remained stable for regular partners (32%). Compared with street and home-based FSW, brothel-based FSW were at highest risk of HIV and STI, despite high levels of reported condom use.

Conclusions This large-scale HIV prevention programme for FSW achieved reductions in HIV and STI prevalence, high rates of condom use with clients and high rates of programme coverage. Improved strategies to increase condom use with regular partners and reduce the vulnerability of brothel-based FSW to HIV are required.

India has an estimated 2.4 million people living with HIV.1 The HIV epidemic is highly heterogeneous,1 and the majority of HIV infections occur through heterosexual transmission, with unprotected paid sex a major transmission route, particularly in the southern states.2 There is little published evidence demonstrating the efficacy of female sex worker (FSW) preventive interventions, either in India3 4 or elsewhere.5 Moreover, the processes required to bring about changes to FSW community norms in terms of practising safer sex and the treatment of sexually transmitted infections (STI) are unclear.6 7

Karnataka state in southern India has a relatively advanced HIV epidemic, with the adult HIV prevalence exceeding 1% for the past 15 years in several districts.8 9 In 2003, the University of Manitoba (Canada), in partnership with the government of Karnataka, established the Karnataka Health Promotion Trust, providing focused HIV prevention programmes and services for high-risk groups in 18 districts across the state. The programme is funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation under its India AIDS initiative, Avahan,10 and covers over 60 000 FSW and 20 000 men who have sex with men/transgender individuals. Targeted HIV prevention programmes and services have been rapidly scaled up, with the following main elements for FSW: (1) participatory mapping and enumeration exercises to estimate the number and typologies of FSW; (2) peer-mediated outreach to identify and address difficulties reported by FSW, plus behaviour change communication to promote condom use and regular STI screening; (3) establishment of dedicated sexual health services for FSW and their regular partners, offering STI identification and syndromic case management, routine speculum examination and periodic presumptive treatment for FSW every 5–6 months for chlamydia and gonorrhoea (1 g azithromycin and 400 mg cefixime); recently, these services have been expanded to offer syphilis screening and treatment and HIV counselling and testing; (4) advocacy with key stakeholders, such as the police and local government officials and the establishment of 24-h crisis response teams to assist sex workers during crises; (5) creation of ‘drop-in centres’ to provide welcoming safe spaces, promote dialogue among FSW, and provide services such as community kitchens and literacy classes; and (6) community mobilisation and capacity building of community-based organisations to implement HIV prevention programmes and services for their communities.11

To assess the impact of these intervention activities on programme coverage, condom use and STI and HIV prevalence among FSW over time, we conducted a series of anonymised, integrated behavioural and biological assessments (IBBA) with random samples of FSW in five districts across the state (Mysore, Belgam, Shimoga, Bellary and Bangalore Urban). Previously, results have been presented for Mysore district only.6 In this paper we extend those findings, and present evidence of declining HIV and STI prevalence, high rates of condom use with clients and high rates of programme coverage.
METHODS
Study design and sampling
The five IBBA districts were chosen purposively, based on Karnataka’s sociocultural regions and the size of high-risk populations. Programmes were initiated in each district between January 2004 and April 2005, with baseline cross-sectional surveys conducted 7–19 months later. Follow-up cross-sectional surveys were conducted 28–37 months after the baseline surveys, and all surveys were conducted between 2004 and 2009.

Sample size calculations were designed to detect a 10–15% increase in condom use with 90% power and alpha error of 5%, assuming a baseline value for consistent condom use with commercial clients of 50%. It was thus estimated that 385 participants (rounded to 400) were required for each cross-sectional survey round. In Bangalore Urban, two sampling domains were utilised (street-based FSW and non-street-based FSW), with a target sample of 400 FSW in each domain for each round.

A probability-based sampling method was used. Conventional cluster sampling was used for FSW selling sex at home, or in brothels, lodges and dabhas, where the population of FSW was relatively stable. Conventional time-location cluster (TLC) sampling was used for street-based FSW, and a variation of the TLC sampling was used in the baseline survey in Mysore city, wherein the three sex work zones were divided into TLC and FSW were recruited proportionately from all the TLC. The same sampling technique was used for baseline and follow-up surveys.

Behavioural questionnaire
A behavioural questionnaire was designed to be culturally sensitive and context specific, and was adapted from previous surveys, as previously described. All interviews were conducted in Kannada (the local language) by trained researchers, except in the second round in Mysore, where trained FSW who were not programme staff conducted the interviews. To ensure confidentiality, the names of participants were not recorded and cannot be linked to the data.

Laboratory methods
Blood samples were taken to test for HIV and syphilis, and urine samples were taken to test for gonorrhoea and chlamydial infection, as previously described. All positive specimens and 10% of negative specimens were sent to the National AIDS Research Institute in Pune, India, for quality assurance. In Mysore district only, urine was tested for Trichomonas vaginalis, as previously described. Chlamydia and gonorrhoea tests conducted on samples from Bellary, Belgaum and Bangalore Urban used the Gen-Probe Aptima assay (Gen-Probe Inc, San Diego, California, USA), whereas tests on samples from Mysore and Shimoga used the Roche Amplicor system (Roche Molecular Diagnostics, Pleasanton, California, USA).

Statistical analyses
Data were double-entered using a Microsoft Access database (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA). All statistical analyses were performed using the survey data analysis techniques in STATA, version 10.0. Appropriate weights were used to account for the differential recruitment of FSW by typology within districts, differential non-response rates and differential probabilities of FSW selection across districts. Odds ratios were used as the measure of association, and the Wald $\chi^2$ test was the statistical test used. There were few missing data in either round, except for syphilis in 36 participants at the baseline survey in Mysore. Women who participated in both rounds were included in all analyses, as due to the unlinked, anonymised nature of the surveys, it was not possible to know which individuals in the first round also participated at follow-up. Primary outcomes were STI/HIV prevalence, reported condom use and exposure to the HIV intervention programme components. In the multivariate models, the sociodemographic variables that were significantly different in the two surveys were adjusted for, as shown in the tables.

RESULTS
Study population
A total of 2312 FSW participated in the baseline surveys, and 2400 participated at follow-up surveys; 399 women (16.6%) reported taking part at both time points. The median age of FSW was 30 years (interquartile range (IQR) 19–41) and the majority (67%) were illiterate (table 1). Six per cent of the study population were devadasis, a group of FSW in northern Karnataka who are part of a long-standing tradition in which adolescent girls are dedicated to gods and goddesses and are subsequently inducted into sex work.

FSW reported a median age of sexual debut of 15 years (IQR 11–19), with participants starting to sell sex at a median of 25 years (IQR 15–35). The most frequent places of solicitation of sex were public places (55%) and at home (55%), with a minority (10%) brothel, lodge or dabba-based (hereafter briefly labelled ‘brothel-based’). Approximately 80% of participants reported selling sex for more than 2 years at both survey rounds. Between baseline and follow-up, the mean charge per sex act increased substantially, so that by the follow-up survey, weekly earnings from sex work had nearly doubled (mean weekly earnings baseline 1632 Indian rupees (US$35) vs follow-up 2915 rupees (US $60) (p<0.001) (table 1).

Exposure to the intervention programme
Despite high levels of programme exposure reported at baseline (the baseline surveys were conducted 7–19 months after programme initiation and thus were not ‘true’ baselines), there were large increases (p<0.001) in the proportions of FSW who had been exposed to almost all components of the intervention programme by the time of the follow-up surveys (table 2). Therefore, by follow-up, virtually all FSW (95.3%) had been visited by a peer educator, 76.6% had visited the drop-in centre, 85.1% had visited the project sexual health clinic and 63.6% had received the ‘grey pack’, for presumptive treatment of chlamydia and gonorrhoea (table 2). Furthermore, the proportion of FSW who reported having seen a condom demonstration increased from 73.3% to 89.0%, and the proportion reporting condom breakage in the previous month fell from 17.0% to 14.1% (table 2).

When we stratified FSW according to their reported duration of exposure to the HIV intervention programme (not exposed, <12 months, 12–23 months, 24–33 months, >33 months), the proportion of FSW who reported accessing various components of the intervention programme increased significantly with increasing duration of exposure to the programme (figure 1a).

Condom use
At the baseline surveys, levels of reported condom use with clients were already high, with over 65% reporting using a condom at last sex with their last occasional or repeat client (table 3). Reported condom use with regular partners was much lower, with one-third (32%) reporting using a condom at last sex.

Compared with baseline, in both univariate and multivariate analyses, there was a substantial increase in the proportion of FSW reporting zero unprotected sex acts in the past month with
their commercial clients (table 3). Although the proportion of FSW reporting condom use at last sex with occasional clients increased only marginally from 82.9% to 88.0%, reported condom use at last sex with repeat commercial clients increased substantially from 66.1% to 84.1%. Reported condom use at last sex with regular partners remained stable (table 3).

When FSW were stratified according to their reported length of exposure to the HIV prevention programme, there were striking increases with increasing duration of programme exposure ($p<0.01$) in all measures of reported condom use, with both commercial clients and regular partners (figure 1b).

### Table 1 Sociodemographic and sex work characteristics of FSW at baseline and follow-up surveys

| Characteristic                      | Baseline % (n = 2312) | Follow-up % (n = 2400) | p Value (Wald–Pearson test) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Current age (years)                 |                       |                        |                             |
| < 25                                | 19.0                  | 17.2                   | 0.04                        |
| 25–29                               | 25.0                  | 21.2                   |                             |
| 30–34                               | 19.5                  | 19.4                   |                             |
| 35–39                               | 20.6                  | 22.4                   |                             |
| 40+                                 | 15.9                  | 19.7                   |                             |
| Literacy                            |                       |                        |                             |
| Illiterate                          | 67.2                  | 67.1                   | 0.96                        |
| Marital status                      |                       |                        |                             |
| Never married                       | 12.9                  | 9.9                    | $<0.001$                    |
| Cohabiting                          | 3.0                   | 2.8                    |                             |
| Currently married                   | 33.0                  | 46.2                   |                             |
| Widowed/divorced/separated/divorced | 44.4                  | 35.5                   |                             |
| Devadasi                            | 6.7                   | 5.6                    |                             |
| Additional income                   |                       |                        |                             |
| Yes                                 | 62.5                  | 58.4                   | 0.13                        |
| Residency                           |                       |                        |                             |
| Local dweller                       | 76.3                  | 88.9                   | $<0.001$                    |
| Sex work in the city < 2 years      |                       |                        |                             |
| Yes                                 | 21.7                  | 21.1                   | 0.7                         |
| Sex work outside district in past 6 months | 13.7                  | 11.6                   | 0.2                         |
| Age at first sex (years)            |                       |                        |                             |
| < 15                                | 61.4                  | 60.5                   | 0.7                         |
| 15+                                 | 38.6                  | 39.5                   |                             |
| Age started sex work (years)        |                       |                        |                             |
| < 20                                | 26.0                  | 22.4                   | 0.03                        |
| 20–24                               | 28.0                  | 26.0                   |                             |
| 25–29                               | 23.7                  | 25.2                   |                             |
| 30+                                 | 22.3                  | 26.4                   |                             |
| Duration of sex work (years)        |                       |                        |                             |
| 0–1                                 | 20.9                  | 19.0                   | 0.7                         |
| 2–4                                 | 30.5                  | 30.9                   |                             |
| 4–9                                 | 22.5                  | 22.4                   |                             |
| 10+                                 | 26.2                  | 27.8                   |                             |
| Usual place of solicitation         |                       |                        |                             |
| Home                                | 34.5                  | 34.8                   | 0.9                         |
| Brothel/lodge/dabha                 | 11.1                  | 9.8                    |                             |
| Public places                       | 54.4                  | 55.4                   |                             |
| Usual place of entertaining clients  |                       |                        |                             |
| Home                                | 53.9                  | 53.3                   | 0.9                         |
| Brothel/lodge/dabha                 | 35.1                  | 34.9                   |                             |
| Public places                       | 11.0                  | 11.8                   |                             |
| Charge for sex (Indian rupees)      |                       |                        |                             |
| $\leq$100                           | 59.2                  | 39.5                   | $<0.001$                    |
| >100                                | 40.8                  | 60.5                   |                             |
| Mean                                | 168                   | 248                    |                             |
| Commercial clients per week         |                       |                        |                             |
| 1–4                                 | 28.1                  | 17.2                   | $<0.001$                    |
| 5–9                                 | 31.0                  | 28.6                   |                             |
| 10+                                 | 40.9                  | 54.2                   |                             |
| Mean                                | 10.3                  | 12.5                   |                             |
| Proportion of clients who are new   |                       |                        |                             |
| >50%                                | 60.6                  | 67.1                   | 0.02                        |
| Earnings per week from sex work (Indian rupees) | 35.7                  | 33.9                   | $<0.001$                    |
| $<500$                              | 35.7                  | 33.9                   | $<0.001$                    |
| 501–1500                            | 33.8                  | 34.3                   |                             |
| 1501+                               | 30.5                  | 51.9                   |                             |
| Mean                                | 1632                  | 2915                   |                             |
| Regular partner                     |                       |                        |                             |
| Yes                                 | 64.6                  | 55.1                   | $<0.001$                    |

FSW, female sex worker.

### STI prevalence

HIV prevalence was high among this population, with one-fifth of FSW testing HIV-1 seropositive at baseline (table 3). HIV prevalence was highest in Belgaum (33.9%) and Mysore (26.1%), with lower rates seen in Bellary (15.7%), Bangalore Urban (12.7%) and Shimoga (9.7%). Baseline prevalence of bacterial STI was relatively low for a sex worker population, with 10% of participants testing positive for syphilis, 7% for chlamydia and 4% for gonorrhoea. Approximately 6% had high-titre syphilis, indicative of more recent or active syphilis infection (table 3).

At the follow-up survey, HIV prevalence fell significantly, from 19.6% to 16.4% (table 3), with reductions seen in all districts (data not shown). There were also reductions in the prevalence of any syphilis infection and of high-titre syphilis (table 3). Despite low baseline rates, the proportion infected with either chlamydia and/or gonorrhoea also fell significantly (table 3).

In both univariate and multivariate analyses, reductions in chlamydia and/or gonorrhoea infection were strongly ($p<0.001$) associated with peer education, visiting the project sexual health clinic and receiving the ‘grey pack’, but there were no significant
associations between reductions in high-titre syphilis and exposure to any programme component (data not shown). Furthermore, there were no significant associations between reductions in any curable STI and reported condom use (data not shown).

In Mysore district only, FSW were also tested for trichomonas infection in both rounds (data not shown). Almost one-third (32.9%) were infected at baseline, but this proportion fell to 13.5% by the follow-up survey (adjusted odds ratio 0.3, 95% CI 0.2 to 0.4, p < 0.001).

When we stratified FSW according to their duration of exposure to the HIV intervention programme (figure 1c), although there were no clear trends for syphilis, rates of infection with chlamydia and/or gonorrhoea fell with increasing programme exposure, from 15.0% among FSW who had not been exposed to

Table 2: Univariate and multivariate analyses of programme exposure and intermediate causal characteristics among FSW at follow-up compared with baseline

| Programme exposure                                      | Baseline % (n = 2312) | Follow-up % (n = 2400) | Crude OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p Value (Wald test) |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Ever visited by a peer educator                         | 82.8                   | 95.3                    | 4.25 (2.81 to 6.43) | 4.35 (3.14 to 6.01) | <0.001              |
| Ever visited the drop-in centre                         | 32.2                   | 76.6                    | 6.88 (4.79 to 9.87) | 8.72 (7.01 to 10.84) | <0.001              |
| Ever visited the project sexual health clinic           | 68.4                   | 85.1                    | 2.63 (2.00 to 3.45) | 2.77 (2.14 to 3.59) | <0.001              |
| Ever received ‘grey pack’                               | 58.4                   | 63.6                    | 1.24 (1.00 to 1.55) | 1.28 (0.98 to 1.67) | 0.07                |
| Ever witnessed a condom demonstration                   | 73.3                   | 89.0                    | 2.93 (1.87 to 4.58) | 2.97 (2.14 to 4.13) | <0.001              |
| Condom breakage in the past month                       | 17.0                   | 14.1                    | 0.80 (0.58 to 1.11) | 0.75 (0.60 to 0.92) | 0.007               |

Multivariate models were adjusted for the following variables: district, age, marital status, residency status, usual place of solicitation, age started sex work, charge per sex act, weekly sex work income, proportion of clients who were new and proportion of female sex workers (FSW) with regular partners.

Figure 1: Condom use and HIV/sexually transmitted infection prevalence according to the duration of exposure to the HIV intervention programme. (a) Exposure to programme components and duration of programme exposure. (b) Condom use and duration of programme exposure. (c) HIV/STI prevalence and duration of programme exposure. *p<0.05, **p<0.01.
the HIV intervention programme to 3% among FSW exposed for more than 33 months (p<0.001).

FSW typology

HIV prevalence was substantially higher among brothel-based FSW compared with home and street-based FSW, with more than a quarter of brothel-based FSW testing HIV seropositive (table 4). Similarly, infection with gonorrhoea and/or chlamydia was highest in this group, at 12% (table 4). Although the prevalences of curable STI decreased among street-based FSW between the two surveys, those among brothel and home-based FSW remained stable (table 4). Despite these high HIV and STI rates, brothel-based FSW reported higher condom use with their sexual partners, compared with the other typologies (table 4). However, by the follow-up survey, they were less likely to have previously visited the project drop-in centre or sexual health clinic, or to have received a ‘grey pack’, compared with FSW who solicited sex at home or in a public place. Moreover, brothel-based FSW were likely to be younger (mean age 22.6 years brothel-based vs 24.8 years home-based vs 25.1 years street-based, p<0.001), to charge less per sex act (mean charge 154 rupees brothel-based vs 195 rupees home-based vs 227 rupees street-based, p<0.001), and to entertain double the number of clients per week (mean clients per week 22.3 brothel-based vs 9.4 home-based vs 10.7 street-based FSW, p<0.001), compared with home and street-based sex workers. However, despite charging less per sex act, they were likely to earn more per week from sex work compared with the other typologies because of their higher client volume (mean earnings per week 2637 rupees vs 1837 rupees vs 2481 rupees for brothel vs home vs street-based FSW, respectively, p<0.000). In addition, they were more likely to have previously sold sex in Mumbai (6.3% brothel vs 1.7% home vs 2.6% street-based FSW, respectively, p=0.008).

**DISCUSSION**

This is among the first studies to evaluate the effect on HIV and STI rates of a preventive intervention that combines risk reduction, condom promotion and improved access to sexual health services with empowerment of sex workers. Unlike other FSW populations in India, which have reported sharp increases in HIV prevalence over time, our study found significant reductions in the prevalences of HIV, high-titre syphilis, chlamydial infection and gonorrhoea among participants between baseline and follow-up surveys. Furthermore, there were extremely high levels of reported programme coverage and high levels of reported condom use with commercial clients.

**HIV/STI rates**

As the cross-sectional surveys were unlinked and anonymised, it is not possible to ascertain what the rate of new HIV infection acquisition was during the study period. However, the significant reductions in HIV and STI prevalence suggest that sexual health promotion programmes and services are now starting to reach this population, and safer sex practices are being adopted. As infections with STI have been shown to increase the likelihood of HIV transmission, it is expected that the reductions in STI prevalence observed among FSW in our study, in conjunction with increased condom use, may have contributed to reductions in new HIV transmission events. Concerted efforts were made during programme initiation to ensure accessible, user-friendly STI services for this population, including active involvement of the FSW community in the recruitment of clinical staff and the selection of STI clinic locations. The data suggest that these efforts may have translated into reduced chlamydia and gonorrhoea prevalence, through more rapid detection and treatment of infections. Rates of high-titre syphilis, but not all syphilis infection, also fell significantly between baseline and follow-up. With the exception of Mysore, services for screening and treatment of syphilis infection at the project clinics in the other districts only started to take off in 2008. With enhanced and expanded syphilis testing and treatment facilities in place, we expect syphilis rates to fall by the next cross-sectional survey round.

**Reported condom use**

Several studies among both FSW and other populations have reported increases in condom use following HIV preventive intervention programmes and by the time of the follow-up surveys in our populations, over 85% of FSW reported using a condom at last sex with commercial clients. However, because of the very high levels of condom use reported with clients at baseline, only condom use at last sex with repeat clients had significantly increased by the follow-up survey round. Baseline surveys were conducted 8–16 months after programme initiation, by which time levels of condom use with occasional clients were already very high, not leaving much room for an increase; unfortunately, it is difficult to undertake cross-sectional surveys among sex worker communities until prevention programmes are underway and trust with the community is well established. However, before the start of the intervention programme, and

---

**Table 3** Univariate and multivariate analyses of condom-related outcomes and STI prevalence among FSW at follow-up compared with baseline

| Condom-related outcomes and STI prevalence | Baseline % (n=2312) | Follow-up % (n=2400) | Crude OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p Value (Wald test) | adjusted OR |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Zero unprotected sex acts in past month with commercial clients | 58.3 | 76.3 | 2.30 (1.52 to 3.48) | 2.40 (1.85 to 3.11) | <0.001 |          |
| Condom use last sex act occasional clients | 82.9 | 88.0 | 1.51 (1.07 to 2.13) | 1.22 (0.89 to 1.66) | 0.2 |          |
| Condom use last sex act repeat clients | 66.1 | 84.1 | 2.71 (1.91 to 3.84) | 1.98 (1.58 to 2.48) | <0.001 |          |
| Condom use last sex act regular partner | 31.9 | 33.9 | 1.10 (0.57 to 2.11) | 1.07 (0.76 to 1.51) | 0.7 |          |
| HIV-1 infection | 19.6 | 16.4 | 0.80 (0.65 to 1.00) | 0.81 (0.67 to 0.99) | 0.04 |          |
| Syphilis | 10.2 | 8.7 | 0.84 (0.54 to 1.29) | 0.77 (0.57 to 1.04) | 0.09 |          |
| High-titre syphilis | 5.9 | 3.4 | 0.55 (0.35 to 0.88) | 0.53 (0.37 to 0.77) | 0.001 |          |
| Chlamydia infection | 6.5 | 5.6 | 0.85 (0.62 to 1.18) | 0.83 (0.62 to 1.11) | 0.2 |          |
| Gonorrhoea infection | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0.71 (0.45 to 1.11) | 0.63 (0.41 to 0.97) | 0.03 |          |
| Chlamydia and/or gonorrhoea infection | 8.9 | 7.0 | 0.77 (0.57 to 1.04) | 0.72 (0.54 to 0.94) | 0.02 |          |

Multivariate models were adjusted for the following variables: district, age, marital status, residency status, usual place of solicitation, age started sex work, charge per sex act, weekly sex work income, proportion of clients who were new and proportion of female sex workers (FSW) with regular partners.

STI, sexually transmitted infection.
under the most plausible scenarios of condom availability, it has been estimated elsewhere that there would only have been sufficient condoms available to cover 16–24% of all FSW sex acts, (JE Bradley, S Moses, J Blanchard et al, 2009, personal communication) suggesting condom use must have been quite low before programme initiation. In addition, special behavioural (qualitative) surveys conducted with FSW found that less than 20% of those interviewed reported condom use with their sexual partners with increasing duration of programme exposure suggesting condom use must have been quite low (JE Bradley, S Moses, J Blanchard et al, 2009, personal communication) suggesting condom use must have been quite low before programme initiation. In addition, special behavioural (qualitative) surveys conducted with FSW found that less than 20% of those interviewed reported condom use with their sexual partners with increasing duration of programme exposure suggesting that exposure to the HIV intervention programme was enabling more condom use. However, it is likely that community mobilisation, empowerment of the sex work community and the embedding of HIV intervention programmes within an enabling environment has facilitated the ability of FSW to use condoms with their various sexual partners.15

Empowerment of sex workers

Although we did not directly measure the empowerment of sex workers, the high response rates, coupled with the extremely high rates of programme exposure by the second survey, and the sharp increase in the amount of money charged per sex act, suggest effective community mobilisation. The conversion of a hostile environment to a more facilitative enabling environment for sex work has been reported elsewhere as a contributing factor to improved condom use,15,29 and although that process was a key component of this programme,6 enabling environment for sex work has been reported elsewhere as a contributing factor to improved condom use,15,29 and although that process was a key component of this programme,6

| Table 4 | Univariate and multivariate analyses of STI prevalence, condom use and programme exposure at baseline and follow-up, according to usual place of solicitation |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                      | Home (N = 1652) (baseline/follow-up) | Brothel/lodge/Dabha (n = 474) (baseline/follow-up) | Street/public places (n = 2586) (baseline/follow-up) |
| HIV prevalence | % | 13.5/11.8 | 33.2/26.1 | 21.3/17.6 |
|                      | Crude OR (95% CI) | 0.85 (0.58 to 1.26) | 0.71 (0.41 to 1.23) | 0.79 (0.60 to 1.05) |
|                      | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 0.98 (0.60 to 1.58) | 0.77 (0.39 to 1.52) | 0.77 (0.55 to 1.01) |
| High-titre syphilis | % | 2.1/2.7 | 3.8/2.3 | 8.8/4.0 |
|                      | Crude OR (95% CI) | 1.31 (0.60 to 2.84) | 0.60 (0.13 to 2.74) | 0.43* (0.27 to 0.68) |
|                      | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 1.12 (0.40 to 3.13) | 0.55 (0.16 to 1.88) | 0.30* (0.19 to 0.49) |
| Chlamydia and/or gonorrhoea infection | % | 6.2/5.7 | 12.2/12.2 | 10/1.9 |
|                      | Crude OR (95% CI) | 0.92 (0.55 to 1.53) | 0.99 (0.39 to 2.53) | 0.66† (0.46 to 0.94) |
|                      | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 0.92 (0.50 to 1.69) | 1.18 (0.51 to 2.69) | 0.57* (0.38 to 0.87) |
| Condom use at last sex with commercial client | % | 75.8/84.5 | 98.9/95.6 | 84.3/88.7 |
|                      | Crude OR (95% CI) | 1.74* (1.24 to 2.45) | 0.49 (0.15 to 1.56) | 1.47 (0.89 to 2.43) |
|                      | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 0.81 (0.51 to 1.29) | 0.63 (0.22 to 1.80) | 0.98 (0.56 to 1.71) |
| Condom use at last sex with repeat client | % | 62.2/77.4 | 81.8/94.5 | 64.6/79.7 |
|                      | Crude OR (95% CI) | 2.08* (1.48 to 2.93) | 3.82* (1.42 to 10.26) | 2.15* (1.49 to 3.10) |
|                      | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 1.67* (1.03 to 2.71) | 5.82* (1.67 to 20.28) | 2.02* (1.45 to 2.81) |
| Condom use at last sex with regular partner | % | 44.0/32.8 | 42.7/37.5 | 23.5/24.3 |
|                      | Crude OR (95% CI) | 0.62 (0.40 to 0.97) | 0.81 (0.27 to 2.45) | 1.70 (0.77 to 3.78) |
|                      | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 0.78 (0.42 to 1.45) | 2.55 (0.58 to 11.16) | 2.11† (1.00 to 4.46) |
| Ever visited by a peer educator | % | 81.7/95.8 | 90.9/94.3 | 81.8/95.2 |
|                      | Crude OR (95% CI) | 5.13* (2.77 to 9.48) | 1.65 (0.71 to 3.82) | 4.43* (2.72 to 7.21) |
|                      | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 6.95* (4.21 to 11.46) | 4.59* (1.91 to 11.02) | 3.73* (2.44 to 5.71) |
| Ever attended the drop-in centre | % | 28.4/73.7 | 30.0/62.3 | 36.3/80.9 |
|                      | Crude OR (95% CI) | 7.78* (5.16 to 11.72) | 3.84* (2.07 to 7.13) | 7.44* (4.39 to 12.60) |
|                      | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 10.46 (7.12 to 15.39) | 13.46* (5.97 to 30.32) | 6.82* (7.06 to 11.62) |
| Ever attended the clinic | % | 66.2/94.5 | 76.7/74.7 | 68.2/97.2 |
|                      | Crude OR (95% CI) | 2.80* (1.36 to 4.20) | 0.90 (0.50 to 1.63) | 3.18* (2.24 to 4.53) |
|                      | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 3.43* (2.23 to 5.27) | 1.36 (0.72 to 2.57) | 2.92* (2.07 to 4.12) |
| Ever received a ‘grey pack’ | % | 53.2/58.5 | 73.6/54.8 | 58.6/68.4 |
|                      | Crude OR (95% CI) | 1.24 (0.91 to 1.70) | 0.43* (0.25 to 0.75) | 1.53* (1.16 to 2.01) |
|                      | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 1.69* (1.18 to 2.42) | 0.47† (0.26 to 0.85) | 1.33 (0.94 to 1.90) |

* p < 0.01.
† p < 0.05.

Multivariate models were adjusted for the following variables: district, age, marital status, residency status, age started sex work, charge per sex act, weekly sex work income, proportion of clients who were new and proportion of female sex workers with regular partners. In addition, the first six rows were adjusted for: ever visited by a peer educator, ever attended the drop in centre, ever attended the clinic and ever received a ‘grey pack’.

Odds ratios (OR) are in relation to follow-up versus baseline parameters. STI, sexually transmitted infection.
home-based FSW. Rates of peer educator contact were similar across typologies, but brothel-based FSW were less likely to have visited the project sexual health clinic or received a ‘grey pack’ than home or street-based FSW, and this may have contributed to the higher rates of gonorrhoea and chlamydia also seen in this group. Moreover, brothel-based FSW were more likely to report having sex in Mumbai, where HIV prevalence rates among FSW have reached over 50%.30 Although brothel-based FSW were more likely to report condom use with sexual partners, their client volume was also higher than among other typologies, putting them at greater risk of exposure to HIV/STI infection. Concerted efforts that target the most vulnerable women must continue and be strengthened, and this includes facilitating regular visits to healthcare providers, as well as promoting risk-reduction behaviours such as reducing high client volumes and promoting condom use with all sexual partners.

Study limitations

This study comprised a series of cross-sectional surveys, rather than a randomised, controlled trial design, and without a suitable control group, it is not possible to rule out that the results may have occurred independently of the intervention, instead reflecting natural trends in HIV or STI prevalence. However, the large sample size and the magnitude of the observed declines in STI rates make this exceedingly unlikely. In addition, the strong linear associations seen between the increasing duration of exposure to the HIV intervention programme, and both increased condom use and reduced high-risk syphilis, gonorrhoea and chlamydia prevalence, further supports the likelihood of a true programme effect. Social desirability bias in face-to-face interviews such as these could have overestimated the rates of condom use and programme exposure.31 However, a major advantage of this study was the inclusion of biological data, which does not rely on self-reported behaviours. A further limitation is that the baseline surveys were conducted 7–19 months after programme initiation, and thus the magnitude of changes in condom use and STI rates may have been underestimated.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the results of this study suggest that a comprehensive HIV prevention programme among FSW can have a positive impact in terms of increased condom use and decreased STI and HIV prevalence. Improved strategies are required to increase condom use with regular partners and reduce brothel-based FSW vulnerability. STI and HIV prevention and control programmes should be scaled up in similar settings across India and the subcontinent.

Key messages

- This study evaluates the effect of an HIV preventive intervention that combines sexual risk reduction and improved access to sexual health services with empowerment of sex workers.
- Following baseline and follow-up surveys, substantial reductions were seen in the prevalences of HIV infection, high-titre syphilis and gonorrhoea/chlamydia.
- Programme coverage was extremely high, and substantial increases were seen in reported condom use with commercial clients, but not with regular partners.
- Brothel-based sex workers remain particularly vulnerable to HIV and STI.
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