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Abstract
Concept of university higher education quality is linked to the relevance of an educational project that promotes institutional transformation to improve the comprehensive training of new professionals. In this context, the research objective is to determine the graduates satisfaction level, trained with curricula 1979, 1985 and 1995 who have more than 20 years of graduation from Zootechnical Faculty of the Universidad Nacional del Centro del Perú (UNCP), the main deficiencies perceived by graduates in curriculum development, areas of knowledge that should be reinforced and the reasons for dissatisfaction, and have more evidence for curriculum improvement. These plans respond to a traditional educational model, by objectives, without competence assessment system, repetitive learning, and insufficient laboratories implementation. A questionnaire was applied with questions to know the employment situation, continuing education, curricular relevance, areas to be reinforced and satisfaction level with training received in the study years. Sixty graduates in the research were considered, with systematic probabilistic sampling carried out in graduates meeting; This sampling is very efficient when there are a limited number of subjects that have the same qualities as the target population, that is, graduates of the UNCP Faculty of Zootechnics trained with 1979, 1985 and 1995 curricula. Data was analyzed in SPSS 23. Research provides information to improve the educational quality of UNCP zootechnical engineering academic program.
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1. Introduction

Universal access to higher education is a global commitment and is intended to minimize social inequality gaps [1], to contribute to scientific, technological and economic progress population [2]; for this, higher education institutions must be recognized for sustained quality assurance, self-regulation and relevant and efficient provision of their educational services [3]. In this context, to guarantee the satisfaction of the needs and expectations of students, graduates and interest groups, governments must monitor the quality of higher education through different strategies, which in Peru is carried out by the National System for the Evaluation, Accreditation and Certification of Educational Quality - Sinace, whose accreditation model for university programs sets 34 quality standards [4].

Demand for quality educational services not only comes from students satisfied with their training and educational services received, but from their parents or caregivers who cover educational expenses [5], and satisfaction of interest groups and employers; Therefore, the labor insertion of graduates and the achievement of educational objectives are monitored after 3 years of professional experience [6], to demonstrate the relevance of professional training with the needs of the environment and social demand [7].

A quality training process allows graduates to meet labor demand with high levels of satisfaction; therefore, the quality of higher education is reflected in the quality of its graduates [8], with full achievement of competencies defined in its profile [6].

The quality factors that evaluate comprehensive training are linked to the teaching-learning process, and consider 4 quality standards, with their indicators [6]:
Curriculum: input profile and graduation profile with a focus on competencies, with general, basic and specialized areas, teaching strategies, relevance, evaluation and periodic updating.

Teaching-learning process: articulate learning with research, development and innovation (RDi) and social responsibility with the participation of students and teachers; mobility agreements and exchange of experiences.

Teacher management: adequate selection, evaluation, training and improvement, recognition and stimulation of teaching activity and intellectual production.

Follow-up to students: adequate selection of new students, leveling programs to start and extracurricular activities that contribute to their training.

To assess achievement of competence and employability, representative information should be measured and collected to strengthen the teaching-learning process and update the curriculum, the graduation profile, improve teacher management and other related processes [6]. The satisfaction evaluation of graduates with different services offered by the university and its curriculum, in recent years have intensified in the search for the quality and excellence of higher education [9, 4].

It is necessary that university training programs analyze and reflect on their current situation and their environment, and redefine their purpose through dialogue with different interest groups, including graduates, to know their perceptions and expectations, in order to improve educational quality [10].

In major universities in the world, information about graduates is collected through surveys [11, 12, 13]. In Peru, all accredited university programs conduct follow-up surveys of their graduates, monitoring employability and fulfillment of educational objectives or career purposes [14].

Regarding careers linked to agriculture, the Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture (IICA), as a result of Regional Meetings for the Modernization of Agricultural Sciences Faculties, more than two decades ago suggests improving the link with the environment [15], considering the scientific progress, technological, socio-economic and cultural changes, that today added to a context of climate change. Recent study by Sineace-Peru, called "Training offer and labor demand of technical and technological, socio-economic and cultural changes", that today added to a context of climate change, reveals that professional needs are directed to specialists who make climate change effects more bearable; Higher education institutions must shape their academic proposals in agricultural, environmental, biological and health careers [16].

Profiles of graduation from Zootechnical Faculties must guarantee the formation of transformative and integral human potential, which contributes to solve problems that delay the progress of family agri-food production and medium and large-scale production systems. Rural population requires appropriate production technologies to contribute to their food security [17], and on the other hand export agriculture should be intensified, as the country's fundamental economic livelihood, through the generation of modern technology for sustainable production and minimizing pollution environmental. This context demands radical changes in the curriculum to improve critical thinking, with soft skills, knowledgeable about their environment, with investigative and innovation skills, committed to producers and accompany them in their development, with social responsibility.

In the Faculty of Zootecnic of UNCP, since its foundation in 1959, various curricula characterized by their linearity and minimum flexibility were applied [18]. In this context, the research objective was to determine the graduates satisfaction level, trained with curricula 1979, 1985 and 1995 who have more than 20 years of graduation from Zootecnic Faculty of the Universidad Nacional del Centro del Perú (UNCP), the main deficiencies perceived by graduates in curriculum development, areas of knowledge that should be reinforced and the reasons for dissatisfaction.

Research is structured as follows: Section 2 presents the methodology used in this study; descriptive and relational methodology, determining the correlation of evaluated variables. Section 3 shows the results obtained after conducting surveys. Section 4 presents the discussion of results and conclusions.

2. Material and method

2.1. Type and level of research

Cross-sectional, descriptive and relational study.

2.2. Sample framework for graduate satisfaction survey

Survey was carried out to 60 graduates in professional activity for more than 20 years; 28.3% were formed with the 1979 curriculum, 40.0% with the 1985 curriculum and 31.7% with the 1995 curriculum. Sampling was systematic probabilistic, which is very efficient when there are a limited number of subjects with the same qualities as the target population [19], in this case, old graduates of the Faculty of Zootecnic - UNCP.

2.3. Collection of information and measuring instruments survey

The information was collected by applying a semi-structured survey at a meeting of graduates, who studied with the curricula of 1979, 1985 and 1995.

The survey instrument considered general information and measuring instruments survey.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyzes were performed with SPSS 23, generating univariate descriptive results. Bivariate and multivariate correlations were also determined between the variables linked to the perception of graduates regarding their curricula followed during professional training.

3. Results

Figures 1 to 4 show information on the number of respondents by curriculum, the highest academic degree obtained, employment level and professional work area.

More than 50% of graduates have obtained the professional title by thesis modality and only one had a Doctor degree (Figure
The majority work in public institutions, highlighting temporary jobs in areas other than zootechnics (Figure 3). Less than half work in activities related to animal production, animal health, livestock unit management, agricultural marketing, teaching and consulting in the agricultural area (Figure 4).

Regarding the curriculum qualification, the majority consider “Regular” (Figure 6), and for improvement, additionally to consider areas to be reinforced, they suggest the development of modular or block curricular experiences; that is, by competencies (Figure 7). Additionally, for adequate curriculum development and guaranteeing the achievement of competencies, the program must establish strategies to improve the academic and research level of teachers (Figure 8). They consider having received an adequate theoretical basis and the practical aspects must be reinforced (Figure 9); For these reasons, the graduates of the study have perceived that the curriculum had little relevance (Figure 10).
The satisfaction level with professional training was 46.7% and not satisfied was 53.3%. Respect to specialized knowledge received, 23.3% was enough, 58.3% was not enough, and 18.3% was insufficient.

Figures 11 and 12 show the percentage of the main reasons for dissatisfaction in professional training and the perception of curricular deficiencies.

Among the main reasons for dissatisfaction with professional training they indicate having had non-innovative and outdated teachers, inappropriate graduation profile, and in the professional practice they do not apply everything they learned (Figure 11).

Graduates perceive that the main deficiencies in the curriculum are due to the superficial and outdated knowledge received during professional training, with priority cognitive curricular experiences.

To determine the correlation that exists between some variables considered in the perception survey with curricula followed by graduates, it was considered: There is no correlation = 0; Moderate positive correlation = 0.3 to 0.5; Strong positive correlation> 0.5; Perfect positive correlation> 1.0.

Significant correlations were found between the variables linked to the perception of graduates regarding the curricula developed during professional training (Table 1).
Table 1. Spearman's correlation between some variables that showed statistical significance ($P < 0.05$)

| Variable 1                          | Variable 2                      | $r$  | $P$-value |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|-----------|
| Professional status of the graduate | Curriculum                       | 0.434| 0.001     |
| Professional status of the graduate | Where you currently work         | 0.736| 0.000     |
| Professional status of the graduate | Main Work Area                   | 0.725| 0.000     |
| Curriculum relevance                | Expand work areas                | 0.333| 0.009     |
| Strength diagnostic knowledge       | Strength knowledge in budget and financing | 0.406| 0.001     |
| Strength diagnostic knowledge       | Strength knowledge in business / community organization | 0.453| 0.001     |
| Strength diagnostic knowledge       | Strength knowledge in data analysis and interpretation | 0.453| 0.000     |
| Strength diagnostic knowledge       | Strength computer and informatic knowledge | 0.319| 0.131     |
| Strength knowledge in budget and financing | Strength strategic planning knowledge | 0.469| 0.000     |
| Strength knowledge in business / community organization | Strength knowledge in technology transfer | 0.485| 0.000     |
| Strength knowledge in reproduction systems | Strength knowledge in feeding systems | 0.515| 0.000     |
| Strength knowledge in reproduction systems | Strength knowledge in balanced ration formulation | 0.523| 0.000     |
| Strength knowledge in food nutritional assessment | Strength knowledge in balanced ration formulation | 0.528| 0.000     |
| Strength knowledge in food nutritional assessment | Strength knowledge in prevention and promotion of animal health | 0.467| 0.000     |
| Strength knowledge in animal husbandry management | Strength knowledge in feeding systems | 0.395| 0.006     |
| Strength knowledge in balanced ration formulation | Strength knowledge in technology transfer | 0.571| 0.000     |
| Strength knowledge in balanced food processing | Strength knowledge in technology transfer | 0.621| 0.000     |
| Strength knowledge in balanced food processing | Strength knowledge in animal husbandry management | 0.492| 0.000     |
| Strength knowledge of cultivation and management of pastures and forages | Strength knowledge in technology transfer | 0.395| 0.002     |
| Strength knowledge in education and agricultural communication | Strength knowledge in technology transfer | 0.515| 0.000     |
| Strength knowledge in rural development | Strength knowledge in soil management | 0.416| 0.000     |
| Strength knowledge in irrigation systems | Strength knowledge in silvopastoral management | 0.522| 0.000     |

Between Spearman's correlations that showed statistical significance ($P < 0.05$), the professional situation of the graduate with the relevance of the curriculum, and with the place and area of work stands out [16]; observing greater economic benefits when better professional training was received (Table 1).

There is also a series of relationships between different subjects or issues, which demonstrate synergy and must be organized in the correct sequence, establishing an appropriate curriculum mapping with basic competencies as a requirement of specific competences [6].

The solar projection graph represents hierarchical data as concentric rings in a circle; the correlation values ($r$) have been coded as arcs within each ring. Each level of the hierarchy is represented by a ring or circle, the inner circle being the top of the hierarchy (Figure 13).

4. Discussion

Research examines the satisfaction and experiences of graduates of the Zootechnical Faculty of UNCP with your professional training and the recommendations given to strengthen the curriculum and improve professional performance in the context of globalization, based on curricular experiences with 1979, 1985 and 1995 plans. This document contributes to knowledge in the field of quality assurance of higher education services [7].

In this study, the “Good” qualification of the curriculum is mainly based on the fact that graduates learned the minimum and regularly work in the profession. The “Regular” qualification responds to too much theory, and lack of practices, poor laboratory infrastructure, farms, classrooms, audiovisual equipment, agricultural equipment and tools, poor motivation to research and innovate, poor business orientation, superficiality in developed topics, low academic level teacher, poor teaching methodology, poor updating, incomplete syllable development, lack of socio-economic issues, lack of faculty development policies, low relevance of curriculum, and low engineering level that leads to problems of professional development.
The “Poor” curricular qualification is directly related to the problems identified in the Zootechnics study program [20], indicating that the graduates are not formed in basis to require for the development for Peruvian agriculture; They have little insertion in the labor market, the quality of their training does not meet the requirements of employers. They have little capacity for entrepreneurship and commitment to the environment. These results force to carry out a curricular reform to move to teaching with a competency approach, in order to comply with standards of accreditation of university careers considered in the National System of Accreditation and Certification of Educational Quality of Peru [4, 6], redesigning the graduation profile that considers general and specific competences [21, 4, 6].

Regarding curricular relevance, the majority of respondents say that it does not respond to the needs of local and national reality, and should be modified in response to social demand and have a new professional with solid humanistic, scientific and technological training, with training capacity continuous, with comprehensive training and with social and environmental responsibility; processes in which authorities, teachers, students, graduates and properly identified interest groups must participate [4, 6].

Information of graduates is an important source of feedback for the study program and the university. The connection with the labor market contributes to the development of university social responsibility, and is a bridge between university and social context [22], determining the social role, positioning and relevance [23].

Monitoring graduates offers evidence for curriculum reform. The professional and personal performance of graduates allows identifying quality and efficiency indicators of higher education institutions [24].

The results of the study indicate that more than half of the graduates of the faculty of zootechnics UNCP, who studied with the curricula 1975, 195 and 1995 do not work in activities related to zootechnics (Figure 4), relevant aspect of the study, linked to the non-fulfillment of educational objectives of a public university [6]. Training professionals who do not work in your area means a loss to the State and society; and it is suggested to prioritize a comprehensive curriculum reform.

Information from graduates on educational quality, job placement and other characteristics facilitates the development of strengths and implementation of improvement plans for higher education institutions [25, 26]. Evaluation of satisfaction with academic and administrative services received in training is a right of graduates [27] and can be carried out through end of training surveys [28] and follow-up surveys after 3 or more years of professional practice [6]. In state institutions it is directly related to accountability to society, not only for the purpose of management transparency, but to demonstrate a quality educational service [29].

In this study, satisfaction of graduates is well below medical graduates of the Cienfuegos University of Cuba, where more than 80% of respondents expressed their satisfaction with the promotion and consolidation of personal qualities, as well as in the training received for the professional performance [30], however, they showed dissatisfaction with regard to English language proficiency, new information and communication technologies, tutors and research advisors. A result similar to ours is dissatisfaction with the development of general or soft skills.

The improvement of university quality is not only caused by the increase in resources and infrastructure, but mainly by offering professionals trained and satisfied with the training received [31] and the information is needed to propose improvement plans. In this regard, the formative model by competences, seeks that the student relate theory to practice, which impacts on the achievement of professional competences [32].

Other studies on student satisfaction with curriculum content, teaching methods, infrastructure and facilities, teacher skills and student performance show that satisfaction levels are largely correlated with student academic performance, and with teacher skills [33] and with the teacher ability to interact with the students. The teacher's attitude excels in the student’s interest [34].

Results show that graduates are satisfied with their training when they get a job in their professional area and in a suitable work place, with permanence of work. The ease of obtaining a job contributes to the appreciation of satisfaction and quality of the services received, and employers' satisfaction with the image and prestige of the higher institution that forms them [35, 36].

This study shows that the professional condition of the graduate is correlated with the relevance of the curriculum, place and work area (Table 1), aspects linked to the salary level [37, 38]. Engineers can earn more than social scientists, and these can earn more than teachers, but on average each will earn less if they are graduated from an institution of low selectivity and quality [39].

Graduates suggest strengthening knowledge in agricultural production systems, food security, public health and climate change, developing environmental awareness, synergistically integrating biotechnology and information technologies, having as transversal axes to RD, and Social Responsibility, aspects which have to be prepared with the participation of interest groups [4, 6].

The general results of the study are similar to those of more than 20 years ago at the Universidad Nacional de Loja-Ecuador [40] who detected applicants and entrants without minimum knowledge for careers, with lacks of study and reading habits, without vocational guidance, with professional profiles without appropriate curricular research and not relevant, with archaic and traditional teaching systems, the master class and dictation subsisting, non-existence of a permanent system of curriculum evaluation, with memorized and fragmented learning, with evaluation based on exams , with insufficient implementation of laboratories and workshops, with outdated libraries, without research plans that allow the link between teaching, research and extension, with thesis without major scientific input and whose results were not disseminated. For these reasons they replaced the traditional educational model with a modular system that relates the university - society, integrating teaching, research and extension, around concrete problems of reality, implementing a modular academic system for transformation objects. The future of higher education was planned from the present, to face scenarios of high uncertainty and not easy to foresee [41], which implies new teaching-learning strategies, especially when university students
currently have an amazing management of virtual technology, which must be included in the curriculum, without detriment to interpersonal contact, revaluing the emotional and social intelligences of the person [42].

Our results aim to improve the generation of science and technology from the university through the application of a properly designed curriculum, since agricultural education is an essential factor in the success of agricultural development in different countries [43] and linked university programs the agricultural sector must guarantee the training of professionals of quality and excellence [44].

5. Conclusions

The study determined the main weaknesses of the 1979, 1985 and 1995 curricula based on semi-structured surveys applied to old graduates of the professional program of Zootech - UNCP who have more than 20 years of work experience.

Satisfaction level of graduates regarding their curricula, in general, ranges from Regular to Poor.

The research offers elements of judgment to readjust the curriculum and train a new zootechnic engineer, required for the sustained development of the country.

It is recommended that managers, teachers, students, graduates and interest groups of the professional program of Zootech take into account the results of this study for reflections of curriculum reform.

Limitations

The conclusions of this study may have a limited generalized capacity for other zootechnical engineering programs, both in Peru and in other countries. The sample was intended to include all the graduates of the Faculty of Zootech with the 1979, 1985 and 1995 curricula, but it was not possible for different places of current residences. The results should not be considered to characterize the relationship between the quality of the program and the job satisfaction of other universities due to the different environments. In this study, quality responds to the perceptions of graduates, which may vary based on relatively recent events in professional action and would have little to do with previous experiences in the academic career.
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