Evaluation of antioxidant activities of extracts from 19 Chinese edible flowers
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Abstract
Extracts of 19 selected edible flowers were investigated for their free radical scavenging activity (FRSA), polyphenolic contents and flavonoid contents in the paper. The results showed the extracts of Paeonia suffruticosa Andr., Paeonia lactiflora Pall., and Rosa rugosa Thunb. possessed obviously stronger DPPH FRSA (94.221 ± 0.102; 93.739 ± 0.424 and 94.244 ± 0.163%, respectively), superoxide FRSA (55.818 ± 1.518; 52.142 ± 1.374 and 57.321 ± 0.608%, respectively), hydroxyl FRSA (85.872 ± 0.873; 89.307 ± 0.803 and 88.560 ± 0.277%, respectively) and polyphenolic contents (96.208 ± 0.689; 87.938 ± 1.187 and 92.164 ± 0.799 mg CE/g, respectively) that were superior or comparable to black and green teas. Polyphenolic contents did correlate well with DPPH FRSA \((r = 0.943, P<0.01)\), superoxide FRSA \((r = 0.833, P<0.01)\), and hydroxyl FRSA \((r = 0.500, P<0.05)\). It indicated that this potent FRSA may be attributed to its phenolic compounds. These findings showed that the tested flowers could be considered as new sources of safe natural antioxidants and preservatives of food industry.
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Introduction
Roles of the reactive oxygen species (ROS) and free radicals such as superoxide anion radicals, hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radicals are increasingly recognized in physiological process, and pathogenesis of many diseases (Moskovitz et al. 2002; Balaban et al. 2005). Their action is opposed by a balanced system of antioxidant defense, and excessive amount of ROS can initiate toxic and lethal chain reactions, which leads to cell damage and health problems (Aruoma 1998; Saednia and Abdollahi 2013). Recently, there is a growing interest in substances from natural sources exhibiting antioxidant properties that can be used to protect human beings from oxidative stress damage (Kris-Etherton et al. 2002). Substantive experiments have been focused on the phytochemicals and extracts from plants sources possessing antioxidant effects. Reports indicate that there is an inverse relationship between the dietary intake of antioxidant-rich plant source foods and the incidence of human disease (Sies 1993). However, many synthetic antioxidants such as butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) are widely used as food additives and may be responsible for liver damage and carcinogenesis (Williams et al. 1999).

Therefore, the development and utilization of more effective and non-toxic antioxidants from natural products are desired, not only for the food and drug storage, but also for the nutritional and clinical applications. A great deal of effort has focused on using available experimental techniques to identify natural antioxidants from medicinal plants (André et al. 2010). It is well known that the traditional Chinese herbs have been used in food and medicine over two thousand years (Wang et al. 2012). Those herbs may contain a wide variety of chemical composition, including phenolic compounds (e.g. phenolic acids, flavonoids, quinones, coumarins, lignans, stilbenes, tannins), nitrogen compounds (including alkaloids), vitamins, terpenoids (including carotenoids), with potential antioxidant activities (Cai et al. 2006). It showed herbs possessing anti-inflammatory, antiatherosclerotic, hypolipidemic, antiplatelet, antitumor, or immune-stimulating properties might be proper candidates to help reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease and cancer (Krishnaiah et al. 2011).
Edible herbal resources can provide enormous scope in correcting the imbalance through regular intake of proper diet. The objectives of this study is to survey the free radical scavenging activity (FRSA), total phenolic contents and total flavonoid contents of 19 selected species that are very popular as herbal flower teas in China, with comparisons with black and green teas of *Camellia sinensis* carried out as positive controls, in view of their potential benefits of natural antioxidants for food and medicinal purposes.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

Herbal flowers, together with black and green teas of *C. sinensis*, screened for FRSA are listed in Table 1. The dried herbal flowers were purchased from Yuyi Co., Ltd, Shanghai, black and green teas were Lipton products Unilever, and their origins were identified and proved by the College of Life Science South China Normal University. The flowers were dried, and then ground into fine particles with a special grinder for food processing. Sample (2.00 g) was suspended and extracted by refluxing with 50 ml boiling distilled water for 30 min. After cooling the extracts were filtered through a filter paper and the filtrates were freeze-dried. Analyses of aqueous extracts were done in triplicate. The dried extracts were diluted to a contraction of 1 mg/ml and stored at 4°C for further analysis.

**DPPH free radical scavenging assay**

Effects of extracts on DPPH• was based on the method modified by Sharma and Bhat (2009). 0.1 ml of aqueous extract was added to 2.4 ml of 0.12 mM DPPH• solution and the mixture was shaken vigorously, after incubation at 25°C for 30 min, the absorbance was read at 517 nm against a blank of 50% ethanol using a Shimadzu UV-1206 ultraviolet–visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). The free radical scavenging activity (FRSA) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{FRSA(%) = } \left( \frac{A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{blank}}} \right) \times 100
\]

Where \( A_{\text{blank}} \) is the absorbance of the control reaction (containing all of the reagents except the test extract) and \( A_{\text{sample}} \) is the absorbance of the test samples. Each assay was performed in triplicate.

**Table 1** Free radical scavenging activities of various herbal flowers and their polyphenolic contents and flavonoid contents^a^

| Plant names                  | DPPH^• (%) | OH (%)    | O2• (%)   | PC (mg CE/g) | FC (mg CE/g) |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| Chrysanthemum indicum L.    | 14.125 ± 0.313 | 54.450 ± 1.539 | 18.538 ± 0.413 | 13.043 ± 0.315 | 44.629 ± 0.921 |
| Chrysanthemum montifolium Ramat | 11.970 ± 0.296 | 33.513 ± 0.844 | 0.431 ± 0.116 | 9.597 ± 0.148 | 10.837 ± 0.336 |
| Dianthus caryophyllus L.    | 13.528 ± 0.651 | 43.488 ± 1.610 | 5.058 ± 1.152 | 6.835 ± 0.449 | 53.248 ± 0.091 |
| Hibiscus sabdariffa Linn.   | 18.916 ± 0.732 | 93.220 ± 0.346 | 21.723 ± 1.325 | 8.296 ± 0.293 | 24.469 ± 0.444 |
| Jasmium sambac (L.) Ait.    | 13.047 ± 0.576 | 78.375 ± 0.656 | 0.405 ± 0.268 | 10.174 ± 0.230 | 54.357 ± 0.319 |
| Lavandula angustifolia Mill. | 47.213 ± 0.373 | 67.055 ± 1.685 | 40.290 ± 2.033 | 20.426 ± 0.499 | 27.392 ± 1.421 |
| Lilium longiflorum Thumb.   | 13.987 ± 0.363 | 84.737 ± 0.235 | 15.124 ± 0.774 | 1.070 ± 0.148 | 22.123 ± 0.168 |
| Lonicera japonica Thunb.    | 50.789 ± 1.307 | 60.842 ± 1.584 | 42.277 ± 0.704 | 32.113 ± 1.126 | 34.112 ± 0.543 |
| Matthiola incana (L.) R. Br. | 19.284 ± 0.304 | 78.973 ± 1.438 | 1.802 ± 0.989 | 7.069 ± 0.148 | 36.672 ± 0.277 |
| Osmanthus fragrans (Thunb.) Lour. | 54.963 ± 0.596 | 52.210 ± 0.695 | 58.420 ± 0.842 | 47.452 ± 1.855 | 20.426 ± 0.499 |
| Paeonia lactiflora Pall.    | 93.739 ± 0.424 | 85.872 ± 0.873 | 55.818 ± 1.518 | 87.938 ± 1.187 | 28.757 ± 0.419 |
| Paeonia suffruticosa Andr.  | 94.221 ± 0.102 | 89.307 ± 0.803 | 52.142 ± 1.374 | 96.208 ± 0.689 | 38.933 ± 0.770 |
| Panax ginseng C. A. Mey     | 9.791 ± 0.098 | 58.124 ± 1.453 | 5.624 ± 1.990 | 6.652 ± 0.169 | 16.853 ± 0.348 |
| Panax notoginseng (Burk.) F. H. Chen | 8.119 ± 0.564 | 66.308 ± 1.136 | 7.724 ± 1.940 | 1.200 ± 0.037 | 11.115 ± 0.109 |
| Papaver rhoas L.            | 17.037 ± 0.155 | 39.337 ± 1.248 | 14.824 ± 1.272 | 4.878 ± 0.369 | 47.680 ± 1.029 |
| Prunus persico (L) Batsch    | 35.999 ± 0.827 | 60.335 ± 1.262 | 27.926 ± 1.736 | 20.713 ± 0.718 | 60.139 ± 0.884 |
| Rosa rugosa Thunb.          | 94.244 ± 0.163 | 88.560 ± 0.277 | 57.321 ± 0.608 | 92.164 ± 0.799 | 77.312 ± 0.732 |
| Tagetes erecta L.           | 17.150 ± 0.813 | 48.059 ± 0.680 | 19.847 ± 1.246 | 14.139 ± 0.369 | 42.453 ± 0.845 |
| Trollius chinensis Bunge     | 66.152 ± 0.952 | 78.554 ± 1.176 | 38.884 ± 0.376 | 18.626 ± 0.293 | 83.797 ± 0.884 |
| Black tea P.E. Camellia sinensis | 85.322 ± 1.019 | 92.204 ± 0.253 | 48.139 ± 0.534 | 60.704 ± 1.233 | 60.288 ± 1.694 |
| Green tea P.E. Camellia sinensis | 93.191 ± 0.815 | 78.047 ± 1.847 | 59.169 ± 1.571 | 88.356 ± 1.489 | 26.667 ± 0.732 |

^aFRSA = Free radical scavenging activity, PC = Polyphenolic content, FC = Flavonoid content. The mean values were obtained from triplicate experiments, the concentration of extracts were 1 mg/ml.
Hydroxyl radical scavenging assay

The hydroxyl FRSA was assayed by using the 1,10-phenanthroline-Fe²⁺ oxidative method (Jin et al. 1996). The reaction mixture contained 0.15 ml of 5 mM 2-deoxyribose, 0.4 ml of 0.75 M sodium phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 7.4), 0.25 ml of H₂O₂, 0.1 ml of 7.5 mM FeSO₄, 0.1 ml of 1% H₂O₂ and 0.1 ml of sample solution. The reaction was started by the addition of H₂O₂. After incubation at 37°C for 1 h, the absorbance of solution was measured at 536 nm. Hydroxyl FRSA was evaluated as the inhibition rate of 1,10-phenanthroline-Fe²⁺ oxidation by hydroxyl radical. The FRSA was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{FRSA(%) = } \left(\frac{A_{\text{sample}} - A_{\text{control}}}{A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100
\]

Where, \(A_{\text{sample}}\) is the absorbance in the presence of sample and \(H₂O₂\); \(A_{\text{control}}\) is the absorbance in the presence of \(H₂O₂\) without sample; \(A_{\text{blank}}\) is the absorbance without sample and \(H₂O₂\). Each assay was performed in triplicate.

Superoxide radical scavenging assay

The superoxide FRSA was evaluated by the method of Zhishen et al. (1999) with some variations. The system contained 2.4 ml of 62.5 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 0.2 ml of 0.06 mM riboflavin, 0.1 ml of 0.003 mM ethylenediaminetetracetic acid disodium salt (EDTA), 0.2 ml of 1.125 mM nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) and 0.05 ml of sample solution. The photo-induced reactions were performed in an aluminium foil-lined box with fluorescent lamps. The distance between the reactant and the lamp was adjusted until the intensity of illumination reached about 4000 lx. The reactant was illuminated at 25°C for 25 min. The photochemically-reduced riboflavin generated superoxide radical which reduced NBT to form blue formazan. Illuminated reaction mixture without a sample was used as a control. The reaction mixture was measured at 560 nm. The FRSA was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{FRSA(%) = } \left(\frac{(A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}})}{A_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100
\]

Each assay was performed in triplicate.

Determination of the content of polyphenolics

The polyphenolic contents were measured by the method of He and Zhang (1998). In a screw-capped tube, 4 ml of \(H₂O\) and 5 ml of ferrous tartrate were added. Then 1 ml of aqueous extract and 15 ml of phosphate buffer (pH 7.5, 0.1 M) were added to give a total volume of 25 ml. The absorbance was measured at 540 nm. Results were expressed as mg catechin equivalents (CE) per gram dry weight. Each assay was performed in triplicate.

Determination of the content of flavonoids

The spectrophotometer assay for the quantitative determination of flavonoid content was carried out as described by Zhishen et al. (1999). Briefly, the extract was diluted with 4 ml distilled water. At zero time, 0.3 ml 5% NaNO₂ was added to the mixture. After 5 min, 3 ml 10% AlCl₃ was added. After another 6 min, 2 ml 1 M NaOH was added and the total volume was made up to 10 ml with distilled water. Immediately, the solution was mixed well again and the absorbance of the mixture, pink in colour, was determined at 510 nm versus prepared water blank. Total flavonoids were expressed on a weight basis as mg catechin equivalents (CE) per gram dry weight. Each assay was performed in triplicate.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed according to the SPSS (version 11.5). Pearson’s correlation analysis was used to test for the significance of relationship. Values expressed were obtained from three independent experiments and averaged.

Results

Comparison of DPPH, hydroxyl, and superoxide radical scavenging activities

The scavenging activities of extracts from various flower materials on three free radicals, expressed as FRSA (%), were listed in Table 1.

Results showed that DPPH FRSA ranged from 8.119 ± 0.564% (Panax notoginseng (Burk.) F. H. Chen) to 94.244 ± 0.163% (Rosa rugosa Thunb), black tea was 85.322 ± 1.019%, and green tea was 93.191 ± 0.815%. R. rugosa Thunb, Paonia suffruticosa Andr., Paonia lactiflora Pall., Trollius chinenses Bunge, Osmanthus fragrans (Thunb.) Lour., Lonicera japonica Thunb. showed higher FRSA (>50%) when compared with other extracts.

The scavenging activity of extracts on superoxide radical fluctuated between 0.405 ± 0.268% (jasminum sambac (L.) Ait.) and 58.420 ± 0.842% (O. fragrans (Thunb.) Lour.), black tea was 48.139 ± 0.534%, and green tea was 59.169 ± 1.571%. O. fragrans (Thunb.) Lour. possessed the highest FRSA, followed by R. rugosa Thunb, P. lactiflora Pall., P. suffruticosa Andr. (>50%).

The reducing power of hydroxyl FRSA ranged from 33.513 ± 0.844% (Chrysanthemum morifolium Ramat) to 93.220 ± 0.346% (Hibiscus sabdariffa Linn.), black tea was 92.204 ± 0.253%, and green tea was 78.047 ± 1.847%. H. sabdariffa Linn. was found to have the highest FRSA, followed by P. suffruticosa Andr., R. rugosa Thunb., P. lactiflora Pall., Lilium longiflorum Thumb. (>80%).

Polyphenolic and flavonoid contents

The total polyphenolic contents of the tested materials varied from 1.070 ± 0.148 mg CE/g (L. longiflorum Thumb.) to
96.208 ± 0.689 mg CE/g (P. suffruticosa Andr.) (Table 1), black tea was 60.704 ± 1.233 mg CE/g, and green tea was 88.356 ± 1.489 mg CE/g.

The total flavonoid contents of the tested materials varied from 10.837 ± 0.336 mg CE/g (C. morifolium Ramat) to 83.797 ± 0.884 mg CE/g (Trollius chinensis Bunge) (Table 1), black tea was 60.288 ± 1.694 mg CE/g, and green tea was 26.667 ± 0.732 mg CE/g.

As observed from above results, 19 edible herbal flowers tested in this study exhibited antioxidant activities. P. suffruticosa Andr., P. lactiflora Pall., and R. rugosa Thumb. had obviously stronger FRSA activity and polyphenolic contents that were superior or comparable to black and green teas (Table 1). Our results were agreed with those observed by (Li et al. 2008, 2014) who also found those herbs had significant antioxidant properties and phenolic contents.

Polyphenolic content was found to be statistically significant with DPPH FRSA ($r = 0.943$, $P < 0.01$), superoxide FRSA ($r = 0.833$, $P < 0.01$), and hydroxyl FRSA ($r = 0.500$, $P < 0.05$). In addition, a significant relation was also detected between DPPH and superoxide FRSA ($r = 0.897$, $P < 0.01$), DPPH and hydroxyl FRSA ($r = 0.555$, $P < 0.01$), superoxide and hydroxyl FRSA ($r = 0.486$, $P < 0.05$) (Table 2). It suggested that phenolic compounds were largely responsible for total antioxidant capacity of the tested samples. The results were similar to previous reports that phenolic compounds were major antioxidant constituents in medicinal herbs, vegetables, fruits and spices (Cai et al. 2004; Huang et al. 2010; Li et al. 2013).

However, there was no significant correlation between flavonoid contents and three tested free radicals (Table 2). Miiliauskas et al. also reported that phenolic compounds were likely to contribute to the FRSA, and flavonoids showed only low correlation with FRSA and total amount of phenolics (Miiliauskas et al. 2004).

Herbal flowers used in the test are often consumed in the form of teas. Herbal teas have been gaining popularity in western countries in recent years (Manteiga et al. 1997). Hundreds of different herbal teas are sold in health food stores. Available as pure or blended samples, herbal teas are popular because of their fragrance, antioxidant properties and therapeutic applications (Naithani et al. 2006). Chrysanthemum indicum L. has a long history for the treatment of inflammation, hypertension and respiratory diseases in China (Cheng et al. 2005). Flowers of Chrysanthemum morifolium Ramat are used as a Chinese natural medicine. Florists Chrysanthemum Flower (Ju Hua) is prescribed for anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and antipyretic purposes (Duh 1999). Hibiscus sabdariffa Linn. flowers are potentially a good source of antioxidant agents as anthocyanins (Ali et al. 2003). The roots of Paeonia lactiflora Pall. are commonly used in traditional Chinese medicine which showed to possess antispasmodic, anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects (Lee et al. 2005). Recent studies indicated that the extracts of Paeonia lactiflora Pall. flowers were also rich of polyphenols (Shu et al. 2014). The flowers of Paeonia suffruticosa Andr. are used in Chinese folk medicines for the treatment of diseases related mainly to irregular menstruation and dysmenorrhea (Huang 1994). Flowers and buds of Lonicera japonica Thumb., commonly known as Jinyinhua in traditional Chinese medicines, has been used for the treatment of affection by exopathogenic wind-heat or epidemic febrile disease at the early stage, sores, carbuncles, furuncles and swellings for centuries (Peng et al. 2005). Osmanthus fragrans (Oleaceae), also known as sweet olive, is a flower native to China, which is valued as an additive for tea and other beverages (Lee et al. 2007). Dried petals of Rosa rugosa Thumb. have been widely used as main material in preparation of rose teas in China, which was believed to provide nourishment and favor human health (Vinokur et al. 2006). Tea from Camellia sinensis, used as positive control in the test, is the most widely consumed beverage in the world, and it is an important dietary source of natural phenolic antioxidants (Lachman et al. 2003).

### Table 2 Correlation analysis between polyphenolic content, flavonoid content and three free radicals

|                     | DPPH FRSA | Hydroxyl FRSA | Superoxide FRSA | Polyphenolic content | Flavonoid content |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| DPPH FRSA           | Pearson Correlation 1 |               |                 |                      |                   |
| Sig. (2-tailed)     | .          |               |                 |                      |                   |
| Hydroxyl FRSA       | Pearson Correlation 0.555(**) | 1           |                 |                      |                   |
| Sig. (2-tailed)     | .009      |               |                 |                      |                   |
| Superoxide FRSA     | Pearson Correlation 0.897(**) | 0.486(*) | 1               |                      |                   |
| Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000      | 0.026         |                 |                      |                   |
| Polyphenolic content| Pearson Correlation 0.943(**) | 0.500(*) | 0.837(**) | 1                   |                   |
| Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000      | .010          | 0.000           |                      |                   |
| Flavonoid content   | Pearson Correlation 0.328 | 0.217 | 0.227 | 0.194 | 1 |
| Sig. (2-tailed)     | .147      | 0.345         | 0.322           | 0.400                |                   |

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed); *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
Conclusion
In conclusion, The 19 edible flowers used in this study were carried as edible herbal tea resources and had been currently in commercial production in China. It was clearly demonstrated *Paeonia suffruticosa* Andr., *Paeonia lactiflora* Pall., and *Rosa rugosa* Thunb. had obviously stronger antioxidant activity and polyphenolic contents that were superior or comparable to black and green teas. Polyphenolic contents did correlate well with DPPH, superoxide, and hydroxyl FRSA. However, flavonoid contents did not correlate well with those FRSA. These findings can be the basis for further studies to isolate active compounds, and may contribute greatly to diversify and enhance the health-maintaining properties of the daily diet. However, in vivo studies are needed to confirm the health-promoting potential of these herbs.
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