Abstract: The inherent porous nature and facile tunability of metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) make them ideal candidates for use in multiple fields. MOF hybrid materials are derived from existing MOFs hybridized with other materials or small molecules using a variety of techniques. This led to superior performance of the new materials by combining the advantages of MOF components and others. In this review, we discuss several hybridization methods for the preparation of various MOF hybrids with representative examples from the literature. These methods include covalent modifications, noncovalent modifications, and using MOFs as templates or precursors. We also review the applications of the MOF hybrids in the fields of catalysis, drug delivery, gas storage and separation, energy storage, sensing, and others.
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1. Introduction

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are crystalline materials self-assembled from the coordination of polydentate ligands to metal clusters [1–5]. In addition to facile synthesis, MOF structures and properties can be uniquely tuned by customizing the metal clusters and ligands. Despite these beneficial properties, certain MOFs are hindered by physical and chemical limitations, leading to poor performance. For example, ambient moisture or high temperatures can lead to the degradation of certain MOFs’ crystalline structures [4,5]. Others are limited by the high cost of precious metal nodes or expensive linkers [6], thus making mass production currently unfeasible. Additionally, some MOFs’ applications are restricted by physical limitations in porosity, conductivity, or steric effects, among others [2]. Recent research has shown that hybridizing existing MOFs with external components may give rise to new materials with characteristics similar to both the parent MOF and material added. These MOF hybrid materials typically outperform their parent materials and have shown promise in the fields of catalysis [7], sustainable energy [8], gas storage and separation [9,10], drug delivery [11], detoxification [12], proton conductivity [13], energy storage [14], sensing and lighting [15,16], and supercapacitors [17], among many other fields.

Numerous methods of preparing MOF hybrids have been reported to date (Figure 1). One such method is the enhancement of MOFs through covalent modification at the metal nodes or organic ligands [18]. Covalent modification of a MOF may be used to incorporate desired characteristics of the hybridizing material within a MOF. This type of modification has been reported using metal centers of MOFs as Lewis acid sites for the attachment of external ligands or by using MOF ligands...
to coordinate to other materials. In addition to covalent modifications, MOF hybrids can also be made via noncovalent interactions, such as encapsulation [19,20], layer-by-layer deposition [21], and in situ growth [22]. These methods take advantage of noncovalent interactions between MOFs and the hybridizing species by trapping the species within the MOF pores, layering them on top of the parent MOF, or growing MOFs crystals in situ with the species. Noncovalent modification allows the individual characteristics of the MOF and hybridizing materials to work synergistically in the resultant MOF hybrids while requiring less synthetic efforts than covalent modifications. These methods can be used to achieve materials with MOF coating/protection, multi-layered membranes, and the controlled growth of MOF structures with superior performance than individual parent materials. Finally, hybridizing MOFs through use as either sacrificial templates [23] or precursors [24] utilizes the ordered structure of MOFs to afford porous materials with high surface areas and uniform pore sizes. This method eliminates the metal node and/or the organic linker, leaving behind only the newly synthesized materials with the inherited uniform nanoframe of the template/precursor MOF.

In this review, we discuss each hybridization method with representative MOF hybrids from literature, as well as the hybrid materials’ superior performances and applications. At the end of this review, we also summarize all reported MOF hybrid materials.

2. Covalent Modifications

Covalent modifications, a subset of post-synthetic modifications (PSMs) [25], are chemical modifications of MOF lattices subsequent to synthesis, including both coordinate covalent modifications to metal clusters and covalent modifications to ligands. A variety of reactions have been explored for covalent modification of MOFs to introduce new functionality to the framework [26]. MOF structures suitable for covalent modification are generally robust and porous to avoid compromise of structural integrity. MOF hybrids have been prepared by covalently attaching other moieties to the metal nodes or ligands of MOFs. Using covalent modification, small molecules (e.g., ethylene diamine, Doxorubicin), metals/metal clusters (e.g., metal oxides, metal sulfides), and other functional materials (e.g., covalent organic frameworks (COFs), polymers, and graphene) have been hybridized with MOFs. The resultant MOF hybrids often inherit properties from both the MOFs and the materials used for covalent modification, giving rise to synergistic properties for applications in catalysis, gas storage, gas separation and drug delivery, among other fields. The notation A-B is used to represent materials A and B hybridized via covalent modification.
2.1. Covalent Modifications on the Metal Nodes

For numerous MOFs, solvent molecules in the solvation shell coordinating to terminal metal nodes can be removed and the resultant coordinatively unsaturated sites (CUSs) are exposed upon activation (i.e., desolvation by heating under vacuum) [27–29]. CUSs are available for covalent coordination with other materials, giving rise to MOF hybrids exhibiting additional and improved properties [30].

The MOF hybrid ED-MIL-101 was made by grafting electron-rich ethylene diamine (ED) to the Cr(III) nodes of the cage-structured chromium(III) terephthalate MIL-101 (Figure 2a) [31]. In this ED-MOF hybrid, the chelating amines coordinate to the Cr(III) nodes of the MOF while free amines act as Lewis base catalysts. The catalytic activity of ED-MIL-101 was evaluated via Knoevenagel condensation and determined to exhibit 91.7% conversion after 19 h. Though a slight decrease in pore size was observed, which was expected due to the addition of ED, the catalytic activity of ED-MIL-101 was greatly improved from 31.5% conversion by unhybridized MIL-101 and 74.8% conversion by APS-grafted mesoporous silica Santa Barbara Amorphous materials (SBA-15). In addition, this covalent modification was achieved with no loss of crystallinity or thermal stability of the MOF, as determined by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD). Another ED-MOF hybrid, ED-Mg/DOBDC (DOBDC = 2,5-dioxido-1,4-benzenedicarboxylate), was prepared by covalently attaching ED to the dehydrated Mg nodes of Mg/DOBDC [32]. This hybrid exhibited enhanced capability of adsorbing and desorbing CO₂ compared to unhybridized Mg/DOBDC, as well as greater material and multicycle stability. Moreover, the hybrid structure displayed the ability to fully regenerate under mild conditions in comparison with the unhybridized MOF itself, making it more energy efficient for cyclic use.

A variety of metals and metal clusters have been covalently attached to the metal nodes of MOFs using a technique termed atomic layer deposition (ALD), resulting in MOF hybrids that exhibit unique catalytic properties [33–42]. ALD is a vapor phase deposition technique frequently used to synthesize thin films [43]. ALD in the channel-structured zirconium(IV) MOF NU-1000 has been extensively studied, owning to the MOF’s mesoporous channels, high thermal stability, and -OH/-OH₂ functionalities on the Zr₆ nodes of the structure, which act as reactive sites in ALD. To date, metals (e.g., nickel ions [35]), metal oxides (e.g., zinc oxides [36,37]), aluminum oxides [36,38], and indium oxides [39]) and metal sulfides (e.g., cobalt sulfide [39]) have been successfully installed on the nodes of NU-1000 using ALD (Figure 2b). The resultant MOF hybrids showed high catalytic activity for reactions such as Knoevenagel condensation [36], hydrogenation reactions [35,39], dehyration reactions [40], and water splitting [41,42]. These hybrids, M/MOX/MSₓ-NU-1000, generally showed greater catalytic activities than metal clusters alone. This is due to not only the isolated nature of metal/metal clusters on the MOF nodes, which prevents migration and agglomeration leading to improved stability, but also the high surface area of NU-1000, which can facilitate diffusion for accessibility. Remarkably, some of these hybrid catalysts obtained by ALD also exhibit high selectivity towards desired products, presumably due to the unique structures of the grafted metal/metal clusters and the confinement from the MOFs [34,40].
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**Figure 2.** (a) The preparation of ED-MIL-101 (ED = ethylene diamine) (b) Using ALD (Atomic Layer Deposition) to attach AlOₓ clusters on NU-1000. Figure 2b is reproduced with permission from Mondloch et al. [36]. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society.
Fu et al. reported the preparation of COF-MOF hybrid membranes by covalently linking $\mathrm{Zn}_2(bdc)_2(dabco)$ (where $bdc$ = terephthalic acid and $dabco$ = 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) or ZIF-8 to COF-300 membranes [44]. In both hybrids, covalent interactions between the amines of COF-300 and the Zn nodes in MOFs promote the formation of the membrane structures. Compared to individual COF and MOF materials, the COF–MOF hybrid membranes performed better in separating the $\mathrm{H}_2/\mathrm{CO}_2$ gas mixture. The $[\text{COF-300}]-[\mathrm{Zn}_2(bdc)_2(dabco)]$ and $[\text{COF-300}]-[\text{ZIF-8}]$ exhibited separation factors of 12.6 and 13.5, respectively. Meanwhile, COF-300, $\mathrm{Zn}_2(bdc)_2(dabco)$, and ZIF-8 membranes measured separation factors of only 6.0, 7.0, and 9.1, showing the MOF hybrid materials are significantly more selective than the individual materials and surpassing the Robeson upper-bound of polymer membranes for gas separation.

In recent years, MOF hybrids synthesized by covalent modification have also been widely studied as drug delivery systems (DDSs). In 2009, Lin et al. covalently grafted the cisplatin-based prodrug ethoxysuccinato-cisplatin (ESCP) onto amino-functionalized MIL-101(Fe) and further coated samples with a thin silica layer for stability [42]. The silica layer was then covalently grafted with the cyclic peptide c(RGDfK) to increase cytotoxicity and selectivity for the $\alpha_v\beta_3$ integrin, which is overexpressed in numerous angiogenic tumors. The resultant ESPC–MOF–silica–c(RGDfK) hybrid exhibited comparable tumor cytotoxicity ($\text{IC}_{50} = 21 \, \mu\text{M}$, compared to cisplatin $\text{IC}_{50} = 20 \, \mu\text{M}$) with additional selectivity for an angiogenic tumor integrin.

Covalent surface attachment of polymers has also been of research interest in recent years. In 2010, Férey et al. first demonstrated the potential applications of surface-attached polymers on MIL-100 and MIL-101, improving solubility [45,46]. Various MOF hybrids have since been reported for stimulus-responsive drug delivery and targeted delivery. Furthermore, pH-responsive MOF hybrid delivery systems were investigated by several groups. Wei et al. prepared a MOF hybrid by covalently attaching doxorubicin (DOX) to aldehyde-functionalized ZIF-90 via the Schiff base reaction with 13.5 wt% DOX incorporation [47]. The framework was then incorporated with the chemotherapy drug 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) with 36.35 wt% encapsulation (Figure 3a). Though DOX-ZIF-90 expressed slightly lower 5-FU loading capacity compared to the parent ZIF-90 (around 65 wt%), it is still superior over the majority of MOF loading capacities reported in literature. Additionally, pH-responsive collapse of the framework introduced faster and targeted delivery. Wei et al. were of the first to report a codeelivery MOF DDS preparation by using MOF hybrids, showing MOFs’ potential in combination therapy. Later, Zhang et al. and Fairen-Jimenez et al. independently studied pH-responsive MOF–PEG hybrid materials for targeted drug delivery by covalently attaching the pH-sensitive PEG polymers onto the surface of functionalized MOFs [48,49].

### 2.2. Covalent Modifications on the Ligands

In addition to the node modification approach, MOF hybrids can be also made by covalently attaching other materials to MOF ligands. In this case, the ligands typically have coordination sites, such as coordinatively unsaturated metals or Lewis basicity, available for further modification. This modification can be characterized by performing NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) of the acid- or base-digested MOF hybrids, revealing the organic composition of the modified ligands.

Jahan et al. prepared a graphene–MOF hybrid material by covalently attaching pyridine-functionalized graphene (reduced graphene oxide, rGO) to the metalloporphyrin centers of a Fe-porphyrin MOF [50]. Graphene sheets and graphene oxide (GO) have shown potential as an alternative to expensive precious metals (i.e., Pd and Pt) in the catalysis of hydrogen evolution (HER) and oxygen reduction (ORR) [31]. In this approach, the pyridine ligands are used to prevent aggregation of graphene and coordinate graphene to the metalloporphyrin ligands of the MOF. Catalytic studies showed that the resultant graphene–MOF hybrid has a greater selectivity for ORR than Pt-based electrodes and exhibits higher electrochemical activity, nearly ten times that of unaltered graphene. This is mainly due to the incorporation of graphene into a MOF 3D structure increasing the number of accessible active graphene sites, leading to a greater capacity of mediated
electron transfer from the larger bond polarity of the nitrogen ligand. Moreover, the well-known catalytic properties of iron-porphyrin are also improved in a MOF structure [46,51], which further improved the electrocatalytic activity of graphene. In this graphene-MOF hybrid, the rGO, pyridine ligand, and metalloporphyrin function synergistically to afford an improved catalyst compared to individual components.

Additionally, Rao et al. reported a GO-MOF hybrid membrane that was synthesized by tethering UiO-66-NH2 onto GO surfaces, followed by incorporation into a Nafion matrix (Figure 3b) [52]. The GO surface was first coated with polydopamine (PDA) by self-polymerization. The PDA coating then formed covalent bonds with the NH2 group on UiO-66-NH2 via Michael addition and Schiff base reactions. The resultant GO-Uio-66-NH2-Nafion hybrid membrane showed excellent proton conductivity in both high humidity and anhydrous conditions, which were 1.57 and 1.88 times higher than that of recast Nafion, respectively. By covalently attaching UiO-66-NH2 onto GO, a consecutive proton transfer channel was created, resulting in the high performance of the hybrid membrane. In addition, the MOF’s ability of retaining water in its pores under high humidity, as well as the acid-base pairs (-SO3H and -NH2) formed between Nafion and UiO-66-NH2 under low humidity also contributed to the improved proton conductivity of the MOF hybrid. Due to the exceptional water and thermal stability of GO-Uio-66-NH2, the hybrid membrane also had outstanding performance at high temperature and high humidity.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 3.** The preparations and structures of (a) DOX-ZIF-90 (b) GO-Uio-66-NH2. Figure 3b is reproduced with permission from Rao et al. [52]. Copyright 2017 Elsevier.

MOF hybrids also importantly allow for biochemical selectivity of drug delivery. For example, many malignant tumors are known to overexpress folate receptors on cell surfaces, providing a potential target for selective drug delivery. Ren et al. reported the preparation of and selective drug delivery by a folate-MOF hybrid, demonstrating potential for synthetic MOF materials to interact with biochemical pathways [47]. The amine-functionalized MOF IRMOF-3 (IRMOF = isoreticular MOF, meaning MOFs with the same topology) was appended with folic acid by conjugating the IRMOF-3 amine with the folic acid carboxyl, resulting in surface amide-bound folates. Folate-IRMOF-3 was then noncovalently loaded with 5-FU, and folate conjugation was confirmed to not affect framework structure and loading capacity. Loaded IRMOF-3 (5-FU@IRMOF-3) and folate-IRMOF-3 (5-FU@folate-IRMOF-3) samples were compared for cytotoxicity in tumor lines both with and without overexpression of folate receptors. Notably, in tumor lines with overexpression of folate receptors
(KB and HeLa), treatment of 5-FU@folate-IRMOF-3 demonstrated approximately 30% lower cell viability compared to 5-FU@IRMOF-3 and 20% lower cell viability compared to free 5-FU, showing that folate-IRMOF-3 is an efficient DDS due to of folate conjugation.

3. Noncovalent Interactions

While covalent modification is a powerful tool of preparing MOF hybrids, not all materials or small molecules can be hybridized with MOFs via covalent bonding without compromise of significant functionality. In fact, many MOF hybrids have been obtained by simple mixing, coating, layering and various other methods that do not involve the formation of covalent bonds. In this section, we discuss three methods that are based on noncovalent interactions: encapsulation, layer-by-layer deposition, and in situ growth. It should be noted we only discuss three methods here as examples, but there are various other methods reported that are based on noncovalent interactions.

3.1. Encapsulation

In this review, “encapsulation” denotes the process of introducing other materials within existing MOF pores without directly bonding to the MOF structures. The notation A@B is used to represent material A encapsulated in material B. To date, a variety of materials have been encapsulated into MOFs to produce MOF hybrids that are more stable and superior, often with new functionality. The successful encapsulation of materials inside MOF pores is typically characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), UV-Vis spectroscopy, and/or NMR spectroscopy.

Encapsulation of noble metal nanoparticles (NPs) in MOFs, most commonly Pt and Pd NPs, has enhanced their stability as well as catalytic performance [19,53]. Successful encapsulation of NPs into MOF crystals has been achieved by incorporating NPs during solvothermal MOF synthesis [19]. This process does not affect the crystallinity of MOFs, and it allows for controlled distribution of NPs as well as the inclusion of multiple types of NPs within one MOF [54]. For example, Pt@UiO-66 obtained using this method exhibited reactant shape selectivity (RSS) in olefin oxidation due to the MOF confinement, which only allow smaller substrates that are able to diffuse through UiO-66 pores to react. In comparison, the non-encapsulated Pt NPs showed no selectivity towards different substrates, and UiO-66, the MOF alone, did not exhibit catalytic activity. In addition to contributing RSS to the NP@MOF hybrids, MOFs can also prevent the aggregation of encapsulated NPs, thus further enhancing their catalytic activity through increased surface area. Another core-shell hybrid, Pd@IRMOF-3, exhibited a greater catalytic activity than either parent material, as well a greater performance over IRMOF-3-supported Pd; this hybrid showed promise in cascade reactions to produce 2-(4-aminobenzylidene)-malononitrile, where the IRMOF-3 shell was used for Knoevenagel condensation followed by selective hydrogenation via Pd NP cores [55]. Encapsulation of non-noble metal NPs within MOFs have also been reported; one such hybrid is Cu(I)@MOF-5, which exhibited enhanced ability of separating dibenzothiophene (DBT) from crude oils. A spontaneous monolayer dispersion technique was employed to reach the dispersion threshold of the ions within the MOF-5 network, which increased the adsorption and desorption of thiophenic sulfur compounds at a low cost of production [56].

MOFs have also been studied for the encapsulation of polyoxometalates (POMs). POMs are a class of polyatomic materials consisting of linked metal oxyanions, similar to some MOF metal clusters, that are useful for redox catalysis but limited in activity by their low surface area and poor stability. Many studies have employed MOF encapsulation of POMs to overcome these limitations, increasing surface area [57] and distribution of catalytic material [58] while also preventing aggregation [59]. Furthermore, POM@MOF hybrids frequently demonstrate greater catalytic activity than either POM or MOF parent material. In one such study, Buru et al. encapsulated the POM $H_3PW_{12}O_{40}$ into NU-1000 by suspending NU-1000 in an aqueous solution of $H_3PW_{12}O_{40}$ [15]. The resultant hybrid material, $PW_{12}@NU-1000$ (Figure 4a), showed good stability towards leaching in aqueous solution, as the nodes of NU-1000 act as counterions for $[PW_{12}O_{40}]^{3-}$. Subsequently, $PW_{12}@NU-1000$ was used as
a catalyst for sulfide oxidation in the presence of hydrogen peroxide as both the POM and Zr₆ nodes of NU-1000 catalyze the sulfide oxidations. A similar study conducted by Zou et al. encapsulated POMs within a metalloporphyrin-based MOF via stepwise reactions between POM (H₃PW₁₂O₄₀) and MOF precursors (first with MnIIICl-tetrapyridylporphyrin, then with Cd(NO3)₂·4H₂O). As such, this hybrid demonstrated the combined catalytic effects of the Mn-porphyrinic ligand and the encapsulated POM, thus acting as a powerful heterogeneous catalyst [60].

A perovskite quantum dots (QDs) encapsulated MOF was prepared by introducing the QDs precursors (Pb₂ and CH₃NH₃X, X = Cl, Br, and I) into an oriented microporous MOF HKUST-1 (a MOF named after Hong Kong University of Science and Technology) by immersing the MOF thin films in the precursor solutions (Figure 4b) [16]. The resultant QDs exhibited uniform ultrasmall particle size (1.5–2 nm), which matches the pore size of HKUST-1. In this case, HKUST-1 not only serves as the scaffold that controls the particle size of the MAPbI₂X QDs, it also protects the encapsulated QDs from moisture. The hybrid MAPbI₂X@HKUST-1 exhibited excellent stability in moist air (70% humidity), while the MAPbI₂X decomposed under the same condition. Additionally, these QD@MOF hybrids also had longer luminescent lifetimes compared to perovskite MAPbI₂X, with the longest lifetime occurring when X = Br in the MOF hybrid material.
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*Figure 4.* (a) The structure of PW₁₂@NU-1000. (b) The preparation of MAPbI₂X@HKUST-1. Reproduced with permission from Buru et al. [58] (Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry) and Chen et al. [16] (Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society), respectively.

The encapsulation of gold nanoclusters (AuNC) in ZIF-8 yielded a hybrid material capable of selectively sensing H₂S in liquid and gas phases [61]. The synthesis of the hybrid AuNC@ZIF-8 was achieved through the introduction of the MOF metal source, Zn(NO₃)₂, into a AuNC solution of pH ranges 3.8–6.2. It was found that at a pH of 5, the zinc ion demonstrated its highest coordination ability, resulting in increased precipitate. AuNC@ZIF-8 was obtained when the precipitate was placed into a solution of 2-methylimidazole. It was determined that the encapsulation was not possible when ZIF-8 was synthesized directly followed by the introduction of an AuNC solution. The quantum yields and lifetimes of AuNC were found to significantly improve through their interactions with ZIF-8, increasing from 7.6% and 2.96 µs to 33.6% and 9.18 µs. Furthermore, based on the reductive tendencies of H₂S and the affinity of gold for sulfur, the increased luminescent properties of AuNC@ZIF-8 were effectively quenched in the presence of Na₂S in solution, with a detection limit of 0.54 µM. Gas phase studies of H₂S revealed similar selectivity and sensitivity. In addition, similarly encapsulated MOFs are also being studied for the sensing of H₂ [62], various anions [63], and Cu²⁺ ions [64].

With the intention of yielding a material appropriate for visible-light communication (VLC), Wang et al. encapsulated rhodamine B (a yellow light emitter) inside of an Al-based MOF with the 9,10-bis(p-benzoic acid)anthracene (DBA) linker (blue light emitter), obtaining a white light emitter [65]. Currently, the limited speed of VLC is attributed to the use of materials with long lifetimes, around
200 ns, that results in a low intrinsic modulation frequency. The use of organic dyes, such as rhodamine B, are believed to be a potential solution to this, as they could provide lifetimes as short as 1 ns while maintaining high quantum yields. However, quenching induced by solid state aggregation of organic dyes make them unideal candidates. Therefore, the encapsulation of rhodamine B in a MOF was analyzed as a potential solution to prevent aggregation and produce a white light source through the appropriate selection of a blue light emitting linker. It was found that the interactions between the excited linker and encapsulated molecules provided a bridge for energy transfer that would combine the effect of blue and yellow emission to create various hues of white light. The results indicated that depending on the concentration of rhodamine B encapsulated in the MOF, the desired white light emission could be obtained. A 0.019% rhodamine B encapsulated MOF, RbB-0.019%@Al-DBA, gave the best results, with 6085 K white light color temperature, a lifetime of 5.4 ns, and a corresponding intrinsic modulation frequency increase to 3.6 MHz from 0.8 MHz.

3.2. Layer-by-Layer Deposition

Synthesis of MOF hybrids through deposition often involves the formation of membrane-based material by depositing layers of MOFs and other materials. Deposition is similar to encapsulation in that other materials can adhere to the surface of a MOF and in that the elements incorporated can be customized per application. It is worth noting that the “Layer-by-Layer Deposition” section discussed in this review only includes examples of MOF hybrids based on noncovalent modifications. Covalent bonds can exist in MOF hybrid materials made using this method but are not as common, so we include relevant examples in the “Covalent Modification” section (hybrids made from ALD and COF-MOF hybrids). Using this technique, metal clusters, metal oxides, graphene oxide, and polymers have been hybridized with MOFs, giving rise to new materials that are useful in gas separation, catalysis, photovoltaics, and proton conducting [52,53,56,66-69]. The notation A|B|C is used to represent materials A, B, and C that are hybridized via layer-layer deposition.

MOF membranes have been extensively studied for various separation processes. Combining the properties of MOFs and other materials, MOF hybrid membranes often exhibit superior performance than membranes based on individual materials [70-72]. For example, a MOF-polymer hybrid membrane synthesized by Bae et al. showed high performance for CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} separation and great gas permeability [70]. This hybrid membrane was made by incorporating submicrometer-sized (0.81 ± 0.05 µm) ZIF-90 crystals onto a 6FDA-DAM poly(imide) and its performance was evaluated under mixed-gas conditions (1:1 CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} mixture at 25 °C and 2 atm total feed pressure). ZIF-8|6FDA-DAM hybrid membrane with 15 wt% ZIF-8 showed CO\textsubscript{2} permeability of 720 Barrer and CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} selectivity of 37, while these values were 390 Barrer and 24, respectively, for pure 6FDA-DAM (Figure 5a).

In addition to gas separation, MOF hybrid membranes have also offered new opportunities for catalysis. Pd clusters were deposited onto Zn/Ni-MOF-2 nanosheets to form hybrid membrane, Pd|Zn/Ni-MOF-2, that exhibited greater catalytic activity towards CO-based reactions (alkoxycarbonylation of aryl halides) than the individual Zn/Ni-MOF-2 sheets and Pd clusters, as well as TiO\textsubscript{2} immobilized Pd clusters [73]. The better catalytic performance of the Pd|Zn/Ni-MOF-2 hybrid membrane over others was believed to be attributed to the porous MOF nanosheets that can adsorb CO into the hybrid membrane. In another study by Maina et al., ZIF-8 membrane doped with semiconductor nanoparticles, TiO\textsubscript{2} and CuTiO\textsubscript{2}, were deposited on a ZIF-8|GO hybrid membrane [53]. Compared to unmodified ZIF-8, the resultant fabricated membrane CuTiO\textsubscript{2} | ZIF-8 | GO showed higher photocatalytic efficiency toward CO\textsubscript{2} conversion, producing 70% more methanol with 7 µg of doped CuTiO\textsubscript{2}. In addition to high CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacity of the MOF (ZIF-8), the MOF structure also provided a kinetic route to transport the photogenerated electrons by semiconductor nanoparticles to CO\textsubscript{2} [74]. Both factors contributed to the enhanced catalytic activity of the hybrid membrane.

More recently, MOF hybrid membranes were found useful in solar energy harvesting and conversion [52,66,69]. Lee et al. prepared a TiO\textsubscript{2} | nanotube | MOF hybrid membrane that showed
enhanced photovoltaic performance [66]. A composite film containing TiO₂ nanoparticles and multi-walled carbon nanotubes was first synthesized hydrothermally. It was then sensitized with Cu-based MOFs (copper(II) benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate) via layer-by-layer deposition [75]. Combining the nanotube’s ability of accelerating electron transfer and the small charge transfer resistance after MOF sensitization, the resultant hybrid membrane showed an increase of nearly 60% in power conversion efficiency in comparison to the unmodified MOF cell [76].

3.3. In Situ Growth

In situ growth approach involves the growth of MOF crystals via solvothermal/hydrothermal reactions of the metal salts and ligands in the presence of another material. During the synthesis, the second material generally serves as a structure directing agent, leading to oriented growth of MOF crystals [77,78]. Hybrid materials obtained by in situ growth approach usually have ordered morphology and/or hierarchical structure, which are useful features for applications such as gas storage and small molecule separations [17,79–81]. In this section, a MOF that is grown in situ on another material A is denoted as MOF@A.

In a study by Chen et al., HKUST-1 was synthesized in the presence of SBA-15 by adding a small amount of SBA-15 with MOF precursors to form HKUST-1@SBA-15 (Figure 5b) [82]. The carbon structures are integrated into the hybrid material and serve as a template for HKUST-1 to grow. Silanol groups from SBA-15 and the metal centers in HKUST-1 interact, inducing the formation of new mesopores as well as an increase of surface area and micropore volume. Furthermore, the formation of the MOF composite on SBA-15 reduced the crystal size of the hybrid structure, which controlled the morphology and attained a more ordered structure. From the various concentrations of SBA-15 to MOF ratio, the hybrid synthesized from a 1 wt% SBA-15 solution produced the greatest increase in CO₂ adsorption, by 15.9% compared to HKUST-1.

Zheng et al. prepared a MOF hybrid by the in situ growth of IRMOF-3 on stainless steel wires [80]. This hybrid was further coated by ionic liquid (IL, [bmim] [PF₆]) and polymethylsiloxane (PDMS) to improve its moisture and thermal stability. The resultant IRMOF-3@ILs/PDMS hybrid material not only showed a dramatic increase in resistance to high temperature and moisture, as well as an increase of 100 times the lifespan of the original ILs, but also a much better extraction
efficiency for selected polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) compared to each individual material. The improved extraction efficiency of the MOF hybrid was due to the $\pi-\pi$ interactions between PAHs and IRMOF-3, $[\text{bmim}][\text{PF}_6]$, as well as the larger surface area of IRMOF-3 that resulted from the in situ growth method.

Falcaro et al. prepared MOF-5 crystals by adding a surfactant (Pluronic F-127) into traditional solvothermal synthesis process [83]. The reaction between the surfactant and $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ in solution produced poly-hydrate zinc phosphate microparticles that act as nucleation seeds in MOF synthesis, which accelerated the growth of the MOF into either single crystals or multifaceted crystals surrounding the microparticles. Functional MOF hybrids can be directly prepared by introducing functional nanoparticles into these nucleation seeds (poly-hydrate zinc phosphate microparticles). In these MOF hybrids, it was found that the functional nanoparticles were evenly distributed throughout the interior of the MOF as opposed to its external surface. This method offers greater spatial control over the distribution of the interested functional species in MOFs when compared to other methods such as ALD, deposition, or encapsulation. Using this method, MOF-5 doped with various functional nanoparticles (e.g., metals, QDs, polymers) were prepared, and the resultant QDs@MOF-5 hybrids were used as selective molecular sieve sensors. In addition, this method was found to be 70% faster than traditional solvothermal methods, showing that using surfactants is a promising in situ growth approach for MOF hybrid synthesis.

4. Using MOFs as Sacrificial Templates or Precursors

The use of MOFs as sacrificial templates or precursors is a rising field of study as several key properties of MOFs provide numerous benefits over previous technology; most notably, the tunable nature of MOFs allows for versatile and customizable templates/precursors, and the uniform distribution of the ligand and metal nodes within MOFs often leads to a uniform distribution of the resulting hybrid material [1]. It has been shown that the hybrid materials produced by sacrificial MOFs share comparable structures and pore sizes to their parent MOFs, especially those produced through carbonization [2]. Carbonization, calcination, pyrolysis and annealing are the common methods of preparing materials using MOFs as sacrificial templates/precursors. Another advantage of using MOFs as templates/precursors is that their organic components can be used to produce carbon materials via combustion synthesis without external carbon sources in a single step [2,3]. Alternatively, the ligands of the template/precursor MOFs can also be removed by high temperature or acid treatment, leaving the metal clusters or metal oxides with high surface areas. Essentially, MOF template/precursor method allows for the facile synthesis of porous carbon nanomaterials, highly dispersed metal clusters and porous metal oxides. Materials produced through this method are promising as environmentally benign and cost-efficient solutions for energy storage [4]. In particular, they can be applied to supercapacitors as electrode or anode materials [3–5], fuel cells [2], and semiconductors [2]. In addition to these, some of them are also useful in catalysis [5] and sensing [84].

4.1. MOFs as Sacrificial Templates

In the process of material preparation, if the MOF framework was used as a structural pattern for the synthesis of the new material, and both metal nodes and ligands of the MOF were removed or decomposed afterwards, we categorize this method as “using MOFs as sacrificial templates”. Typically, the MOF templates can be completely removed by either high temperature or acid treatment.

In 2008, Liu et al. for the first time used a MOF as a sacrificial template to synthesize porous carbon, via the carbonization of a polymer/MOF hybrid (furfuryl alcohol polymerized in MOF-5 pores) [3]. After carbonization at 1000 °C, the framework of MOF-5 completely decomposes and served as a self-sacrificed structural template, resulting in a carbon material with high surface area and pore sizes ranging from micro- to macropores, evidenced by $\text{N}_2$ sorption isotherms. The introduction of furfuryl alcohol into the cages of the MOF structure serves as an outside carbon source. At $-196$ °C and 760 Torr, the resultant porous carbon material adsorbed more hydrogen gas than MOF-5.
In addition, the meso/macropores of the obtained porous carbon, resulting from the sacrificial MOF template, endow it with exceptional electrochemical properties that are ideal for using as electrode material. It had better performance than other carbon materials such as SBA-15 derived carbons [11]. Later on, Jeon et al. used nitrogen-containing IRMOF-3 as a sacrificial template, without any additional carbon sources, to synthesize nitrogen-doped porous carbon to be used as supercapacitors [81]. The MOFs were prepared solvothermally, followed by carbonization under argon gas at varying temperatures, ranging from 600–950 °C, to determine which temperature produced the purist compound. At carbonization temperatures of 950 °C, the nitrogen-doped porous carbon had a capacitance of 239 F g⁻¹. By comparison, analogous nitrogen-free carbon had a capacitance of 24 F g⁻¹. This shows that the nitrogen dopants provided by the organic linkers of the MOF was the cause of the increased capacitance. Chaikittisilp et al. also synthesized nanoporous carbon for supercapacitor applications by utilizing ZIF-8 as the sacrificial template [85]. The porous nature and high surface area of ZIF-8 made it an ideal template for preparing porous carbons through carbonization, with results showing the relationship between carbonization temperatures and BET surface areas of the obtained porous carbons (carbonization temperatures of 600 to 1000 °C resulted in surface areas of 520 to 1110 m² g⁻¹).

Recently, Yang et al. prepared hollow and highly porous titania and other composite materials by using ZIF nanocrystals as sacrificial templates as shown in Figure 6a [86]. A core-shell structured composite ZIF@TiO₂ was first obtained by heating Ti(OBu)₄ in the presence of ZIF (ZIF-8 and ZIF-67) nanocrystals. The ZIF core of the composite was then completely removed by the addition of dilute aqueous HCl solution, producing the hollow amorphous TiO₂. They also found that by using ZIF cores of varying shapes and dimension, the morphologies and particle sizes of the resultant hollow amorphous TiO₂ can be controlled. 77 K N₂ adsorption experiments showed that the hollow TiO₂ materials had surface areas comparable to their ZIF templates. Owning to their high surface areas, along with great chemical and thermal stability, the hollow TiO₂ materials made from ZIF templates are excellent catalyst supports. To utilize the TiO₂ as catalyst support, ZIF-8 infused with Pt NPs was used as the template for TiO₂ synthesis, resulting in Pt/ZIF-8@TiO₂ core-shell structure. Using the same acid etching method, ZIF-8 template was completely removed and resulted in Pt NP encapsulated hollow TiO₂, Pt@TiO₂. Having isolated and well-dispersed Pt NPs encapsulated in a robust and porous TiO₂, the Pt@TiO₂ prepared using MOF templating method is an excellent catalyst for hydrogenation reactions.

Figure 6. The preparations and structures of (a) ZIF@TiO₂ and Pt/ZIF-8@TiO₂, and (b) MOF-derived rGO/Fe₃O₃. Reproduced with permission from Yang et al. [86] (Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society) and Xu et al. [87] (Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society), respectively.
4.2. MOFs as Sacrificial Precursors

If parts of the MOF components, usually metal nodes, remain after the process either in the original metal cluster or transformed metal oxide forms, we categorize this as “using MOFs as sacrificial precursor.” In most cases, when using MOF as a precursor, the 3D structure simultaneously works as template in synthesis.

Malonzo et al. used NU-1000 as a sacrificial template and precursor to produce a thermally stable catalyst with high concentration of single catalytic sites that were derived from the metal clusters of the MOF [88]. In the catalyst preparation, a silica layer was first created inside the MOF channels by the polycondensation of tetramethylorthosilicate. The composite was then heated 500 °C in air to remove the organic linkers of NU-1000, leaving the Lewis acidic oxozirconium clusters of the MOF anchored in the silica layer while retaining the MOF’s uniform 3D channel structure (3 nm). Pyridine adsorption experiments and a glucose isomerization reaction demonstrated that the oxozirconium clusters in this catalyst remained accessible and catalytically active after heating to 600 °C in air, while the metal clusters in pristine NU-1000 aggregated and transformed to ZrO$_2$ nanoparticles under the same condition. In another study, a Cu-based MOF HKUST-1 was used as the sacrificial precursor, which underwent thermolysis followed by carbonization, to produce CuNPs@C [89]. This hybrid material showed catalytic ability that mimics horseradish peroxidase (HRP), which can catalyze the oxidation of 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) in the presence of hydrogen peroxide. Compared to HRP, this hybrid prepared from HKUST-1 precursor is not only more stable and less expensive, but also showed a higher affinity for hydrogen peroxide, resulting in its higher catalytic activity. A colorimetric method was developed using CuNPs@C for the detection of ascorbic acid with higher sensitivity than that based on HRP.

Xu et al. used a 3D graphene oxide/MOF composite as a precursor to produce a MOF-derived 3D composite aerogel, rGO/Fe$_2$O$_3$ [87]. As shown in Figure 6b, this aerogel was prepared by first forming a GO/MOF hydrogel through adding MOF crystals into an GO aqueous solution, followed by freeze-dry and a two-step annealing process. During the two-step annealing process, GO was reduced to rGO and Fe-MOFs transformed to Fe$_2$O$_3$, while the porous structure of the aerogel is maintained. Owing to the 3D porous structure of the Fe-MOF precursor, the resultant Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles formed porous nanostructures. This Fe$_2$O$_3$ porous nanostructures with high active surface area, along with the 3D well-defined graphene with large electric double-layer capacitance, are ideal electrode materials for energy storage devices. Experiments showed that electrodes built from the hybrid rGO/Fe$_2$O$_3$ performs better than electrodes of only rGO or Fe$_2$O$_3$. Xu et al. also utilized this hybrid rGO/Fe$_2$O$_3$ as electrode materials to construct a flexible all-solid-state supercapacitor device, which exhibited a high volumetric capacitance (250 mF cm$^{-3}$ at 6.4 mA cm$^{-3}$) and a great capacitance retention (96.3% after 5000 cycles at 50.4 mA cm$^{-3}$).

Using Fe (Fe$^{3+}$)-doped MOF-5 as a sacrificial template and precursor, Song et al. developed a hollow nanocage transition-metal oxide (TMO) semiconductor with the intention of selectively sensing acetone [84]. Fe-doped MOF-5 underwent an annealing process to afford ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ hollow concave octahedral structure. The synthesis of such a complex multimetal TMO is facilitated by the Zn and Fe composition of the doped MOF, resulting in a stable structure that could be annealed in air. The use of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ is well researched as a fruitful semiconductor material, and the high specific surface area as well as the porous nanostructure of the TMO derived from the doped MOF precursor led to greater stability and better performance compared to ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ being prepared with other methods. Ultimately, ZeFe$_2$O$_4$ prepared from the sacrificial MOF precursor showed exceptional sensitivity for acetone at a concentration range of 64.4–200 ppm, optimally at 120 °C. It also exhibited good selectivity and cyclic stability.

In addition to the MOF hybrids discussed in each section, we also summarize and categorize all reported MOF hybrids in Table 1 based on their preparation methods.
Table 1. A summary of reported MOF hybrids and their applications.

| Hybrid Method | MOF Used | Hybridized with | Applications | Ref |
|---------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|-----|
| Covalent Modification on metal nodes | Cr(III) MIL-101 | Ethylene diamine | Catalysis | [90] |
| | Mg/DOBDC | Ethylene diamine | Gas storage | [32] |
| | NU-1000 | ALD in MOFs: Ni, ZnO, AlO_x, InO_x, CoO_x, CuO, Cu_x(OH)_y, SiO_2, HfO, WO_x, PO_2 | Catalysis | [37,39–41,91–96] |
| | Uio-66 | ALD in MOFs: Ni; 4-(azidomethyl)benzoic acid, then PEG alkyne | Catalysis; drug delivery | [35,49] |
| | Zn_2(BDC)_2(DABCO) and ZIF-8 | COF-300 (SiO_2 and polyaniline disks) | Gas separation | [44] |
| | MIL-88A and MIL-100 | CH_3-O-PEG-NH_2 | Drug delivery | [45] |
| | UMCM-1-NH_2 | [Fe(acac)_3] and [Cu(acac)_2] | Catalysis | [97] |
| | Zr-MOF-bpy | CuBr_2 | Catalysis | [98] |
| | (Fe-P)_n MOF | pyridine functionalized rGO sheets | Catalysis | [50] |
| | IRMOF-3 | vanadium clusters, Folate | Catalysis, drug delivery | [47,99] |
| | MOF-253 | Rhenium, Rubidium | Catalysis | [100] |
| | MIL-101(Fe)-NH_2 | Ethoxysuccinato-cisplatin, then thin silica coating | Drug delivery | [42] |
| | ZIF-90 | Doxorubicin | Drug delivery | [47] |
| | MIL-101(Fe)-N_3 | Bicyclonyne-functionalized β-CD, then PEG-Ad | Drug delivery | [41] |
| Non-covalent Interactions Encapsulation | Uio-66 | Pt NPs, Pd NPs | Catalysis | [19,101] |
| | IRMOF-3 | Pd NPs | Catalysis | [55] |
| | [Cd(DMF)_2Mn^{III}(DMF)_2TPyP]^n | POMs | Catalysis | [60] |
| | NU-1000 | POMs | Catalysis | [15,58] |
| | MIL-100(Cr) | POM-MIL-100(Cr) with Ru NPs | Catalysis | [58] |
| | Ni-PYI | Ni NP-supported POMs | Catalysis | [102] |
| | HKUST-1 | quantum dots (QDs) | Photovoltaics | [16] |
| | ZIF-8 | Branched poly-(ethylenimine)-capped carbon QDs; ZnO, Au, Ag, NaYF_4, Pt and CdTe NPs | Sensing, catalysis | [54,61,64] |
| | Rho-ZMOF | Pt-metalated porphyrin | Sensing | [63] |
| | Eu-MOF | CdTe QDs | Photovoltaics | [103] |
Table 1. Cont.

| Hybrid Method | MOF Used | Hybridized with | Applications | Ref |
|---------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|-----|
| MIL-101       | H$_2$SO$_4$, Toluenesulfonic, triflic acids | Proton conductivity | [13,84] |
| Zn-NPD        | Naphthalene | Sensing | [104] |
| Al-DBA        | Rhodamine B | Lighting | [65] |
| Zn-DPP        | Co-doped Tb$^{3+}$ and Eu$^{3+}$ | Lighting | [105] |
| ZIF-8         | TiO$_2$/Cu−TiO$_2$ and GO | Catalysis | [53] |
| Ti-MOF        | Pt NPs | Catalysis | [67] |
| MIL-100(Fe)   | Phosphated β-CD, then PEG-Ad | Drug Delivery | [68] |
| HKUST-1       | TiO$_2$ and multi-walled carbon nanotubes | Photovoltaics | [66] |
| UiO-66        | GO | Proton conductivity | [52] |
| UiO-66-SO$_3$H | GO nanosheets | Proton conductivity | [69] |
| MOF-5         | Cu(I) | Desulfurization of flue gas | [56] |
| Cu-TED-BDC    | GO sheets | Catalysis | [106] |
| ZIF-8         | Polysulfone, Matrimid | Gas separation | [71] |
| MOF-5         | Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes, Pt-loaded Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes, Polymeric Ionic Liquids, Matrimid, Pluronic F127 | Gas storage, gas separation, PAHs extraction, sensing | [72,80,83,107–109] |
| HKUST-1       | Pt-loaded GO, SBA-15, Li-Doped Carbon Nanotubes, Matrimid | Gas storage, gas separation | [71,82,110,111] |
| UiO-66-NH$_2$ | Polysulfone | Gas separation | [112] |
| ZIF-90        | Ultim, Matrimid, 6FDA-DAM | Gas separation | [70] |
| MIL-53(Al)    | Matrimid | Gas separation | [71] |
| MOF-74(Zn)    | Alginate | Molecular sieves | [78] |
| Fe$_3$Co(CN)$_6$I$_2$ | Graphene | Catalysis | [113] |
| MIL-88 (Fe)   | carbon-film-coated iron sulfide nanorods (C@Fe$_2$S$_8$) | Li ion battery | [14] |
| MOF-5         | Nitrogen-doped porous carbon; Carbon | Supercapacitors; electrodes; catalyst support | [81,114] |
| ZIF-67        | Ni-Co layered double hydroxides on graphene nanosheets, Ti(OBu)$_4$ | energy storage, catalyst support | [86,115] |
Table 1. Cont.

| Hybrid Method | MOF Used | Hybridized with | Applications | Ref |
|---------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|-----|
| ZIF-8         | Nanoporous carbons, Ti(OBu)_4 | Electrode materials, fuel cells, supercapacitors, catalyst support | [85,86,89] |
| HKUST-1       | Mo-POMs  |                 | Energy storage, electronics, sensing and catalysis | [85,116] |
|               | HKUST-1  | Decomposition of the organic MOF ligand into a Cu-supported carbon matrix | catalysis | [89,117] |
| NU-1000       | Nanocasting with silica | catalysis | [88] |
| Co-NDC        | Carbonization of Co-NDC grown on Cu sheets | Catalysis | [118] |
| MOF-5         | Zn/Ni-MOF-5 to MOF-2 nanosheets followed by deposition of Pd clusters | catalysis | [73] |
| MIL-53 (Fe)   | porous FeO_x nanostructures | Li ion battery | [24,73] |
| [Co_3L_2(TPT)_2G]_n | Porous CoO_2 hollow tetrahedra | Li ion battery | [119] |
| MOF-200       | Nitrogen-doped graphene wrapped okra-like SnO_2 composites | Li ion battery | [120] |
| [Co(BIB)(μ-BDC)]_{30}, [Co_2(BIB)_3(m-BDC)]_{30}, and [[Co(BIB)(μ-BDC)(H_2O)](H_2O)_{0.5}]_{30} | Porous Co@carbon nanotube composites (Co@CNTs) and after in situ gas-sulfurization (CoS_2@CNTs) | Supercapacitor | [121] |

Precursor

|                |                |
|----------------|----------------|
| Fe-MOF, Ni-MOF, ZIF-8, MOF-5, Sn-MOF, Co-MOF | Graphene oxide composite aerogels: rGO/Fe_2O_3 and rGO/NiO/Ni |

Abbreviations: DOBDC = 2,5-dioxido-1,4-benzenedicarboxylate, BDC = 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid, DABCO = 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2] octane, acac = acetylacetonate, bpy = bipyridine, ad = Lys(adamantane)-Arg-Gly-Asp-Ser-bi-PEG1900 (bi = benzoic imine bond), TPyP = tetrapyridylporphyrin, PTPy = tetra-pyridylpyrochlorin, PYI = L- or D-pyrrolidin-2-ylimidazole, NPD = naphthalene diimide, DBA = 9,10-dibenzoate anthracene, DPP = 1,3-Di(4-pyridyl)propane, TED = triethylene diamine, NDC = naphthalenedicarboxylate, L = 2,4,6-tris[1-(3-carboxyphenoxy)-ylmethyl]mesitylene, TPT = 2,4,6-tris(4-pyridyl)-1,3,5-triazine, G = guest molecules, BIB = 1,4-bis(imidazol-1-yl)benzene.
5. Conclusions and Future Outlook

Coupling MOFs with various additions to the structures have been shown to enhance the capabilities of the materials with a boost in desired effects. Methods such as covalent attachment, encapsulation, layer-by-layer deposition, in situ formation and MOF templating are effective techniques that can boost materials’ intended functions or give rise to new functions in hybrid materials. In addition to enhanced performances, some hybrid materials also benefit from greater stability, increased cyclic use, and reduced cost. For example, some MOF hybrids allow the use of abundant metals instead of precious metals to realize the same type of catalysis with improved outcomes. The synergistic effects of MOF hybrid materials have a vast array of applications that are still expanding. These applications include, but are not limited to, energy harvesting, sensing, catalysis, drug delivery, gas storage, and gas separation.

The increased efficiency of these hybrid materials can open new doors as they are applied to new technologies, but the field is still in its infancy. More research is needed to find ideal material combinations to exploit the strengths of the parent materials, while diminishing their weaknesses. Mechanistic and computational studies are also important to understand how the components interact with each other in the hybrids and investigate what structural features contribute to the enhanced performances. Future work for this field may focus on developing more cost effective synthetic routes as well as increasing efficiency for each hybrid. Discovering new combinations of MOF and other materials may yield better results than existing structures and help to advance the field of material chemistry, as well as reaching new standards for each application.
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