Hard Carbon Derived from Avocado Peels as a High-Capacity, Fast Na+ Diffusion Anode Material for Sodium-Ion Batteries
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Deriving battery grade materials from natural sources is a key element to establishing sustainable energy storage technologies. In this work, we present the use of avocado peels as a sustainable source for conversion into hard carbon-based anodes for sodium ion batteries. The avocado peels are simply washed and dried then proceeded to a high temperature conversion step. Materials characterization reveals hard carbon production while also obtaining a suitable active material for sodium-ion intercalation. Hard carbon was produced from avocado peels with high carbon yield and large reversible capacity when treated and dye removal applications. 29,30 Yokokura et al. reported avocado seed-based anode materials for lithium storage, with capacity densities comparable to graphite but low diffusion coefficients.31 Avocado peels have a higher phenolic content than the Hass variety due to its buttery consistency and high pyrolyzation temperatures.13,14 The activation method usually consists of soaking the precursor source in an alkaline or acid solution before carbonization with the aim to modify the porosity and surface properties of the hard carbon.15 If transposed to industrial scale, this extra step could translate into additional costs from washing and residue neutralization. Moreover, few reports have shown workable capacities with hard carbon obtained with carbonization temperatures below 1200 °C.16,18 and high pyrolyzation temperatures signify a considerable energy demand.

In this work, we aimed to decrease the environmental impact of hard carbon production while also obtaining a suitable active material for sodium-ion intercalation. Hard carbon was produced from avocado peels by carbonization at 900 and 1100 °C after simply washing the peels with deionized (DI) water. In comparison to other tropical fruits (banana, passion fruit, papaya, melon, watermelon, and pineapple), raw avocado peels have the highest flavonoid content, and when dried, they present the highest total phenolic content. The high flavonoid content can be beneficial to the porosity and structure of the hard carbon due to its removal during the carbonization process, as demonstrated in the case of pollen grains as precursor reported by Li et al.20 Moreover, materials with high phenolic content have been demonstrated to produce hard carbon with high carbon yield and large reversible capacity when used as precursors.21,22

The global demand for avocados has increased exponentially since the 1990s. Each year, an estimation of 5 million tons of avocados are consumed globally, 85% of the total production corresponds to the Hass variety due to its buttery consistency and thick protective skin—which facilitates trade.23–25 In the United States, the avocado market has grown 16% every year since 2008 and was the 8th most consumed fruit/vegetable in 2019, partially due to potential health advantages and lifestyle trends among millennials and, more recently, Gen Z individuals.24,26 Sold raw or industrially processed, avocados generate waste from their peels, seeds, and even oxidized parts of the pulp. Depending on the species, avocado industrial processing can produce up to 30% of its weight in solid waste.27,28 To reduce the impact of avocado waste, researchers have produced activated carbon from avocado peels and seeds for water treatment and dye removal applications.29,30 Yokokura et al. reported avocado seed-based anode materials for lithium storage, with capacity densities comparable to graphite but low diffusion coefficients.31 Avocado peels have a higher phenolic content than the seeds and the pulp, which motivated us to evaluate their performance as a hard carbon precursor.

To the best of our knowledge, the potential to produce hard carbon from avocado peels for sodium-ion storage has never been explored. The hard carbon produced from avocado peels showed proper graphene interlayer distance, high carbon content, and microporosity that is beneficial to intercalation and electrolyte penetration. As a result, the hard carbon-based electrodes exhibited a great reversible capacity of 352.55 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.05 A g⁻¹. Rate capabilities of 86 mAh g⁻¹ at 3500 mA g⁻¹ were also achieved, as well as 99.9% Coulombic efficiencies after 500 cycles.

Materials and Methods

Material synthesis.—Avocado peels were collected from the Syracuse University’s Food Services and local markets. The excess pulp left in the peels was removed with tap water and then with deionized (D.I.) water at room temperature, with subsequent drying

1Syracuse University, Syracuse-NY, 13244, United States of America 2State University of New York at Oswego, Oswego-NY, 13126, United States of America

© 2022 The Author(s). Published on behalf of The Electrochemical Society by IOP Publishing Limited. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (CC BY, http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse of the work in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. [DOI: 10.1149/2754-2734/ac8aaf]
at 115 °C overnight. The peels were then roughly grounded, washed with warm D.I. water at 50 °C (500 ml for ~2.5 g of dried peels), and dried again at 115 °C overnight. The resulting solids were put into an alumina crucible and placed in a Lindberg Blue M tube furnace to be carbonized at 900 or 1100 °C for 2 h under Ar flow (200 sccm) with a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹. The resulting hard carbons (labelled herein as HC-900 and HC-1100) were manually grounded using an agate mortar and pestle. From each 1 g of raw peels, 63.6 mg of hard carbon were obtained. Figure 1 shows a schematic summarizing the hard carbon production towards its final use as an anode component of a battery.

**Material characterization.**—X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were collected on a Rigaku MiniFlex 600 diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5405 Å). High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was carried out on a Titan Themis 60–300 cryoSTEM system with accelerating voltage of 300 kV. Raman spectroscopy was carried out on a Renishaw inVia confocal microscope using a 532 nm laser line and a scan time of 10 s per scan. 10 scans were collected to obtain each spectrum. N₂ (99.999%, Airgas) adsorption isotherm measurements were performed at 77 K in a surface area and porosity analyzer (Micromeritics ASAP 2020). Total surface areas were determined by Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and t-plot micropore analyses. Pore volumes were characterized by nitrogen cumulation at a relative pressure of 0.995. Pore sizes were estimated by Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) analysis of the desorption branch of N₂ uptake isotherms. Scanning electron microscopy was carried out on a JEOL JSM100IT-LA SEM using an accelerating voltage of 10 kV. High-magnification images and EDS maps were obtained using an accelerating voltage of 20 kV.

**Electrochemical characterization.**—The electrodes were prepared by mixing the hard carbon, super P (carbon black), and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) at a weight ratio of 8:1:1 in N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) so that 1.5 mL of solvent was used for each 1 g of raw peels. Dried at 115 °C overnight. The peels were then roughly grounded, washed with warm D.I. water at 50 °C (500 ml for ~2.5 g of dried peels), and dried again at 115 °C overnight. The resulting solids were put in an alumina crucible and placed in a Lindberg Blue M tube furnace to be carbonized at 900 or 1100 °C for 2 h under Ar flow (200 sccm) with a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹. The resulting hard carbons (labelled herein as HC-900 and HC-1100) were manually grounded using an agate mortar and pestle. From each 1 g of raw peels, 63.6 mg of hard carbon were obtained. Figure 1 shows a schematic summarizing the hard carbon production towards its final use as an anode component of a battery.

**Results and Discussion**

The XRD spectra of hard carbon obtained at both temperatures are presented in Fig. 2a. The curves show broad peaks around 22° and 43°, which correspond to the (002) and (100) crystal planes, respectively. The absence of other phases of significant intensity indicate that the mild cleaning procedure with DI water sufficiently removed impurities that would otherwise remain after the sintering step. The difference between washed and unwashed samples can be closely verified, in which uncleanned avocado peels resulted in contaminated hard carbon (Fig. S1 (available online at stacks.iop.org/ECSA/1/030502/mmedia)). As indicated by the arrow in Fig. 2a, the diffraction peak of the (002) crystal plane shifted slightly to a higher angle, which signifies that the increase in temperature resulted in decreased spacing between the graphite layers, which has been observed by other hard carbon studies. The interlayer spacing was further confirmed by HRTEM images shown in Fig. S2. The planar spaces obtained from the lattice fringes were 4.10 Å and 3.88 Å for HC-900 and HC-1100, respectively. These results are consistent with the XRD result, and the small variations between the two techniques that has been reported in literature. Raman spectroscopy was used to further elucidate the disordered nature of the hard carbon, and results are displayed in Fig. 2b. Both samples showed the two characteristic peaks for carbon-based materials: a D-band peak at approximately 1350 cm⁻¹ that corresponds proportionally to the carbon disorderliness and defect presence; and a G-band peak at ~1589 cm⁻¹, a crystalline graphite band. The intensity of each peak informs the material’s degree of graphitization. The ratio between the D-band and G-band intensities (I_D/I_G) was calculated for HC-900 and HC-1100 and found to be 0.97 and 0.96, respectively. Such lower I_D/I_G ratios indicate higher
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**Figure 1.** Schematic of the main steps to produce sodium batteries with hard carbon from avocado peels, which successfully operate as an anode in a sodium battery.
degrees of graphitization, which has been shown to reduce the irreversible capacity in the first cycle.\textsuperscript{37} The slight decrease in defects observed at 1100 °C is expected as higher temperatures can promote graphitization.\textsuperscript{34,37,38}

Figures 2c and 2d show the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and the estimation of pore size distributions by the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method of the hard carbon samples, respectively. The BET surface area of both samples was 126.03 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{−1} for HC-900 and 89.79 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{−1} for HC-1100. This decrease in surface area proportional to the pyrolyzation temperature can be explained by the closing effect of early stage rising carbonization temperature on micropores.\textsuperscript{39,40} Hard carbons with lower surface areas have demonstrated higher initial Coulomb efficiency (% ICE) and improved reversible capacities by side reactions and undesirable SEI.\textsuperscript{5,41} The average BJH pore size estimation was approximately 2.5 nm and 4.8 nm for HC-900 and HC-1100, respectively, which has the potential to contribute to sodium ion storage.\textsuperscript{42}

The morphology of HC-900 and HC-1100 is shown in Figs. 3a and 3b, including EDX mappings for both samples. As seen in the SEM images, HC-900 has slightly smaller aggregates than HC-1100. This small variation could come from the manual grounding process. EDX mapping of the samples confirms their uniformity and high conversion into hard carbon. Other than carbon, oxygen and potassium are the next two major elements present in the hard carbons, which most likely originates from the organic compounds in avocado peels. Detailed percentages of these elements are displayed in Table I, alongside other key material characterization data. As shown, the percentage of carbon in HC-1100 is greater than in HC-900, namely, 92.68% and 84.85%, respectively. The higher purity of HC-1100 was expected due to higher conversion temperatures leading to the removal of heteroatoms and complex functional groups.\textsuperscript{43} This result is consistent with the BET surface area, and indicates that HC-1100 could be more suitable for electrode applications.

The electrochemical behaviors of HC-900 and HC-1100 anodes were firstly characterized by cyclic voltammetry tests using standard CR2032 coin cells. Figures 4a and 4b show the first three cycles within an electrochemical window of 0.05 mV to 2.5 V at a sweep rate of 0.25 mV s\textsuperscript{−1}. The first discharge curve of both cells shows a small cathodic peak at ~0.5 V that vanishes on subsequent cycles. This cathodic phenomenon can be attributed to irreversible electrolyte breakdown for form the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer.\textsuperscript{2,18,44} Around 0.01 V, a sharp cathodic peak is observed, which is assigned to Na\textsuperscript{+} intercalation in the graphitic microcrystallites.\textsuperscript{45} The anodic peaks at 0.2–0.5 V indicate the extraction of sodium ions from the graphite layers.\textsuperscript{45,46} A broad redox region ranging from 0.25 to 1.5 V corresponds to the adsorption process of Na\textsuperscript{+} on the hard carbon surface.\textsuperscript{3,45} In the HC-900 CV curves, the anodic peaks are almost completely merged to the adsorption slopes, indicating that Na\textsuperscript{+} extraction was less efficient in this sample. This is confirmed by the decrease in intensity of the redox peaks in the subsequent cycles, which corresponds to a reduced concentration of sodium ion in the electrolyte and empty interlayer spaces. This observation can be explained by the lower
carbonization temperature leading to higher surface areas and, consequently, increased side reactions.\textsuperscript{46} After the initial cycle, the HC-1100 almost completely overlap, indicating excellent insertion-extraction reversibility and suggesting that an 1100 °C pyrolyzation temperature is high enough to produce suitable hard carbon from avocado peels. Moreover, weak cathodic peaks can be observed in the 0.5-1.0 V potential region, which corresponds to reversible surface/porosity insertion mechanisms in the HC-1100 electrodes. The initial galvanostatic charge-discharge profiles for HC-900 and HC-1100 at 0.05 A g\textsuperscript{−1} are presented in Figs. 4c and 4d. The first discharge specific capacities for HC-900 and HC-1100 were, respectively, 269.79 and 500.65 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1}, and ICE were 59.10% and 60.78%. The low ICE, as well as the drop in discharge capacity of the second cycle, can be explained by the irreversible electrolyte decomposition and SEI formation, which is consistent with CV results. Similarly, the first slope observed in the initial discharge profile disappears in the next two discharge curves and can also be assigned to SEI formation. The two subsequent reversible regions are fundamental to the sodium ion storage mechanism. The slope area is commonly attributed to the absorption of Na\textsuperscript{+} in the hard carbon surface and porosity, while the plateau region corresponds to the intercalation process of Na\textsuperscript{+}, confirming the CV results.\textsuperscript{47-49} As clearly seen, HC-1100 delivered a higher capacity and ICE than HC-900, as expected from the BET results. The results in Figs. 4c and 4d also indicate a reversible capacity of 150.06 and 352.55 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1} for HC-900 and HC-1100, respectively, both with Coulombic efficiency above 96%. Due to the higher reversible capacity and better overall characteristics, further cycling studies were conducted only with hard carbon obtained at 1100 °C (HC-1100).

Figure 5 shows the cycling performance of the hard carbon electrode. The first 50 cycles obtained at a 0.05 A g\textsuperscript{−1} rate are presented in Fig. 5a. The expected capacity drop from the first to the second cycle was followed by a considerable increasing trend until cycle 5, increasing from 336.18 to 370.22 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1}. This result implies an activation process that occurs during the first cycles and could be related to an interlayer rearrangement that provided new Na\textsuperscript{+} storage sites.\textsuperscript{50} Alternatively, this initial increase in rate capacity could also be caused by a slower wetting process of the electrode with electrolyte.\textsuperscript{51} Overall, the cells showed a stable discharge capacity of ∼320 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1}, with capacity retention of 93.63% (with respect to the 2nd cycle) and Coulombic efficiency of 99.60% after all 50 cycles. Figure 5b shows the 2nd, 35th, and 50th cycling profiles from the same galvanostatic study shown in Fig. 5a. As can be clearly seen, the discharge curves almost completely overlap, further confirming the high reversibility of Na\textsuperscript{+} intercalation in our avocado-derived hard carbon. The rate performance was evaluated at different current densities (Fig. 5c). During the entire experiment, the CE remained above 94% with a final value of 98.12%. From the initial discharge capacity at 0.05 A g\textsuperscript{−1} to the last capacity at a 3.5 A g\textsuperscript{−1} rate, the retention was 26.02%. The stable capacity at such a high rate indicates optimal conductivity of the studied hard carbon.\textsuperscript{38} To further investigate the cyclic stability at 3.5 A g\textsuperscript{−1}, a cell was cycled 500 times at this rate, and cycling results are presented in Fig. 5d. The capacity was stable at ∼86 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1}, with a 99.91% CE was maintained after 500 cycles. The steady increase of capacity after the first drops was also observed, indicating the presence of a similar activation process at higher current densities. This observation coupled with the low ICE of 29.44% indicates that further improvements to prevent irreversible capacities can benefit initial cycling at higher rates. In that sense, EIS impedance measurements were collected after the first cycle and after 50 cycles at 1 A g\textsuperscript{−1} (Fig. S3). From the impedance fittings, the SEI resistance (R\textsubscript{SEI}) decreased from 181.08 to 82.10 Ω after cycling.

| Sample  | XRD d\textsubscript{002}/Å | Raman I\textsubscript{D}/I\textsubscript{G} | Surface area (m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{−1}) | BET and BJH analysis | EDS atomic percentages (%) |
|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
|         |                           |                             |                          | Pore size (nm)     | C       | O       | K       |
| HC-900  | 4.07                      | 0.97                        | 126.03                   | 5.80              | 84.85  | 14.06   | 0.51    |
| HC-1100 | 3.95                      | 0.96                        | 89.79                    | 4.92              | 92.68  | 6.54    | 0.33    |

Table I. Summary of major material characterization results of avocado peel-derived HC-900 and HC-1100.

Figure 3. SEM images and EDS mapping of (a) HC-900 and (b) HC-1100. EDS maps of elements with most relevant atomic percentages.
indicating that the SEI films successfully stabilized the electrodes and contributed to longer cycling stabilities.\textsuperscript{52}

The reaction kinetics of the HC-1100 electrode were also evaluated by cyclic voltammetry tests under sweeping rates from 0.25 mV s\textsuperscript{−1} to 1.0 mV s\textsuperscript{−1} with a voltage window from 0.05 mV to 2.5 V. The results are present in Fig. 6a. The redox peaks hardly shifted with the increased scanning rates, which indicates small polarization of the (de)intercalation process.\textsuperscript{53} The increase in cathodic and anodic current peaks \( (i_p) \) with higher scan rates can inform about the diffusion process in the electrode. The square root of the scanning rate \((\nu^{1/2})\) linearly relates to \(i_p\) according to the Randles-Sevcik relationship described in the following equation

\[
i_p = \frac{2.687 \times 10^{5} n^{3/2} \nu^{1/2} D^{1/2} A C}{\text{nF}}
\]

where \(n\) is the number of transferring electrons (\(n = 1\) for sodium), \(D\) is the diffusion coefficient, \(A\) is the electrode area, and \(C\) is the concentration of Na\textsuperscript{+}.\textsuperscript{47,56} The average Na\textsuperscript{+} diffusion coefficient was \(8.62 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}\), which is superior to several recent hard carbon reports\textsuperscript{47,49,54,57} and comparable to pre-oxidized coal based hard carbon.\textsuperscript{56} The fast Na\textsuperscript{+} diffusion is likely due to the enlarge interlayer spacing (0.395 nm), which reduced the ion diffusion resistance and enhanced the sodium-ion insertion capacity.\textsuperscript{28,59}

To further elucidate the kinetic mechanisms in the hard carbon electrode, we plotted the logarithm of the cathodic current peaks vs the logarithm of the sweep rates, and results are available in Fig. 6b. Current and sweep rate obey the power law relationship:

\[
i_p = a \nu^b
\]

where \(a\) and \(b\) are constants that can be found through linearization.\textsuperscript{44} The b-value can inform whether the storage mechanism can be classified as purely capacitive (surface-controlled) if \(b = 1\), or as diffusion-limited (charge intercalation behavior) if \(b < 0.5\). If the b-value is between 0.5 and 1, both mechanisms contribute to the storage process.\textsuperscript{44,60} The hard carbon in this study showed a b-value of 0.342, which suggests that Na\textsuperscript{+} intercalation was the main storage mechanism in a diffusion-controlled process.\textsuperscript{59,61}

When compared with reported hard carbons that did not undergo any acidic or alkaline activation, nor any doping, the untreated hard carbon presented in this work demonstrated superior reversible capacities and rate performance, as seen in Table SI. In particular, Beda et al. evaluated the effect of natural impurities in biomass-derived hard carbon as anode materials.\textsuperscript{33} The maximum capacity achieved was 214 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1} at a rate of 37.2 mA g\textsuperscript{−1} for untreated hard carbon carbonized at 1300 °C. Similarly, Alvin et al. reported reversible capacity of 231 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1} at a 50 mA g\textsuperscript{−1} rate from commercial microcrystalline cellulose powder carbonized at 1300 °C,\textsuperscript{52} which demonstrates that our method leaded to better performance with less energetic cost.
Conclusions

In summary, we produced high performance hard carbon from avocado peels via a simple and inexpensive route. No pretreatment, activation, or chemical washing methods were necessary to produce hard carbon at 1100 °C with 92.68 at% of carbon, suitable mesoporosity, and interlayer spacing above the necessary for sodium intercalation (>3.7 Å). Electrochemical measurements confirmed the applicability of avocado-derived hard carbon as electrode active materials, with high reversible capacities of 320 mAh g⁻¹ over 50 cycles at 50 mA g⁻¹, good rate performance of 86 mAh g⁻¹ at 3500 mA g⁻¹, and Coulombic efficiencies above 99.9% after 500 cycles. CV measurements suggest superior Na⁺ diffusion in the HC-1100 electrodes, with (de)intercalation mechanisms as the main storage process. This work offers a facile, greener, and low-cost route to
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**Figure 5.** Battery cycling studies. (a) Galvanostatic charge/discharge capacities and coulombic efficiencies of CR2032 cells with a sodium metal cathode, and 0.8 M NaClO4 in DEC:EC + FEC (1:1 + 5% vol) as electrolyte, and hard carbon anode produced from HC-1100. The measurements were collected using a current rate of 0.05 A g⁻¹. (b) Galvanostatic profiles of 1st, 21st and 36th cycles of same sodium half-cell as in item (a). (c) Rate performance and coulombic efficiencies of sodium half-cell under different current rates. (d) Galvanostatic charge/discharge capacities and coulombic efficiencies collected at 3.5 A g⁻¹.

**Figure 6.** Diffusion kinetics of HC-1100 electrodes. (a) Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves at various scan rate (from 0.1 mV s⁻¹ to 1 mV s⁻¹) of HC-1100; (b) Linear fitting of the plots between log(ip) and log(v).
produce hard carbon from avocado peels, an abundant source that yields a promising anode material for high-rate performance sodium-ion batteries.
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