Equilibrium configurations of two charged masses in General Relativity
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An asymptotically flat static solution of Einstein-Maxwell equations which describes the field of two non-extreme Reissner-Nordström sources in equilibrium is presented. It is expressed in terms of physical parameters of the sources (their masses, charges and separating distance). Very simple analytical forms were found for the solution as well as for the equilibrium condition which guarantees the absence of any struts on the symmetry axis. This condition shows that the equilibrium is not possible for two black holes or for two naked singularities. However, in the case when one of the sources is a black hole and another one is a naked singularity, the equilibrium is possible at some distance separating the sources. It is interesting that for appropriately chosen parameters even a Schwarzschild black hole together with a naked singularity can be "suspended" freely in the superposition of their fields.
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Introduction

In the Newtonian physics two point-like particles can be in equilibrium if the product of their charges is equal to the product of their masses (we use the units for which $G = c = 1$). In General Relativity, till now the equilibrium condition for two particle-like sources imposed on their physical masses, charges and separating distance was not known in explicit and reasonably simple analytical form which would admit a rigorous analysis without a need of numerical experiments. The only exceptional case was the Majumdar-Papapetrou solution [1, 2], for which the charge of each source is equal to its mass. In this case, the equilibrium is independent of the distance between the sources. For each of the static sources of this sort its outer and inner Reissner-Nordström horizons coincide and such sources are called extreme ones. Accordingly, the sources with two separated horizons are called under-extreme and the sources without horizons – super-extreme.

The problem, which had been under investigation by many researchers and which we solve in the present paper, consists in the search of equilibrium configurations of non-extreme sources. Since the advent of solution generating techniques for stationary axisymmetric Einstein-Maxwell fields, a construction of an exact solution for two charged masses at rest does not represent any principal difficulty. However, in general the asymptotically flat solutions of this kind contain conical singularities on the symmetry axis between the sources which can be interpreted as a presence of some extraneous struts preventing the sources to fall onto or to run away from each other. The equilibrium condition just implies the absence of such struts. Naturally, if the metric is known so is the equilibrium condition. In the static case, the latter means that the product of the metric coefficients $g_{tt}$ and $g_{\rho\rho}$ (in cylindrical Weyl coordinates) should be equal to unity at the axis where $\rho = 0$. However, this equilibrium equation in such general form usually is expressed by a set of formal parameters and it is so complicated that its analytical investigation appears to be very difficult. Therefore, it is desirable to have this equation expressed in terms of physical parameters and in a simple enough form making it accessible for an analytical examination of a possibility of realization of equilibrium. Moreover, this realization should be compatible with a condition of a positive value of the distance between the sources. This task have not been accomplished yet, and up to now there were known only some results achieved by numerical calculations.

The first researches of the equilibrium of non-extreme sources [3 - 8] led to the contradictory conclusions. The authors of the indicated papers used both the exact techniques and pN and ppN approximations. The common opinion expressed in [3, 4] and [6 - 8] was that the equilibrium for non-extreme sources is impossible. Nevertheless, in [6] one can find a remark that the analysis performed was insufficient and the existence of equilibrium configurations for the non-extreme objects can not be excluded. The arguments in favour of such possibility can be found also in [7].

The next step which attracted attention to the problem again have been done by Bonnor in [6], where the equilibrium condition for a charged test particle in the Reissner-Nordström field was analyzed. Examination made there suggested also some plausible assumptions for the exact solutions. As have been indicated in [6] a charged test body can be at rest in the field of the Reissner-Nordström source only if they both are either extreme (for the test...
particle the degree of its extremality is defined just by the ratio between its charge and mass), balanced irrespec-
tive of distance, or one of them is super-extreme and the
other is under-extreme, and in this case the equilibrium
depends on the distance. There is no way for equilibrium
in cases when both sources are either super-extreme or
under-extreme. It is worth to mention that in the very
recent papers [10] a new perturbative solution describ-
ing an equilibrium state of two-body system consisting
of a Reissner-Nordström black hole and a super-extreme
test particle has been presented. The whole set of com-
bined Einstein-Maxwell equations has been solved there
by using the first order perturbation approach developed
in [11] and based on the tensor harmonic expansion of
both the gravitational and electromagnetic fields adopt-
ing the Regge-Wheeler [12] gauge. (The basic equations
for combined gravitational and electromagnetic pertur-
bations of the Reissner-Nordström background in the
decoupled form were found in another gauges in [13] and
in the also decoupled Hamiltonian form in [14]. Both
the electromagnetically induced gravitational perturba-
tions and gravitationally induced electromagnetic per-
turbations [13] due to a mass as well as a charge of the
particle have thus taken into account. The expressions
in a closed form for both the perturbed metric and elec-
tromagnetic field have been explicitly given [10]. It is in-
teresting that the equilibrium equation (which arises in
this case as a self-consistency condition for the set of dif-
frential equations for perturbations) remains the same
as of Bonnor [4].

The Bonnor’s analysis allows to expect that qualita-
tively the same can happen also for two Reissner-
Nordström sources. For two extreme sources this is in-
deed the case because it is known that such generaliza-
tion exists and leads to the Majumdar-Papapetrou solu-
tion. Up to 1997 it remained unknown whether the ana-
logous generalization for the non-extreme bodies can be
found. The first solid arguments in favour of exist-
ence of a static equilibrium configuration for the “black
hole - naked singularity” system was presented in [16].
These results have been obtained thereby numerical cal-
culations and three examples of numerical solutions of the
equilibrium equation have been demonstrated. These so-
lutions can correspond to the equilibrium configurations
free of struts. For the complete proof it would be neces-
sary to show that such configurations indeed consist of
two sources, separated by physically sensible distance
between them. However, in [16] it was pointed out that
the distance dependence for the equilibrium state is un-
known. The authors of [16] also reported that a number
of numerical experiments for two black holes and for two
naked singularities showed the negative outcomes, i.e. all
tested sets of parameters were not in power to satisfy the
equilibrium equation. These findings are in agreement
with Bonnor’s test particle analysis. One year later the
similar numerical analysis was made in [17].

In this paper, we present an exact solution of the
Einstein-Maxwell equations which describes the field of
two Reissner-Nordström sources in static equilibrium as
well as the equilibrium condition itself which turns out
to have unexpectedly simple form expressed in terms of
physical parameters of the sources. This simplicity per-
mits us to prove a validity of conjectures of the papers [9]
and [16] on exact analytical level. It also allows a direct
analytical investigation of the physical properties of the
equilibrium state of two non-extreme sources.

We precede a description of our results with a few
words on the methodology of the derivation of our solu-
tion. An application for derivation of this solution of the
Inverse Scattering Method for electro-vacuum developed
in [18, 10] and described in details in the book [20] leads
to not most convenient parametrization of the solution
which give rise to some subsequent technical difficulties
(although there are no principal obstacles to use this ap-
proach). Instead, we used the Integral Equation Method
[19, 21] which opens a shorter way to the desirable res-
ults. The first step was to construct the solution for the
two-pole structure of the monodromy data on the spec-
tral plane with a special choice of parameters providing
asymptotical flatness and the static character of the so-
lution. This corresponds also to the two-pole structure of
the Ernst potentials (as functions of the Weyl cylindrical
coordinate $z$) on the symmetry axis. Then the expres-
sions for physical masses and physical charges for both
sources were found with the help of the Gauss theorem
and the notion of distance between these sources was
also defined. We stress here that the physical charac-
ter of masses and charges of the sources follows not only
from their definition using the Gauss theorem, but also
from the analysis of that limiting case in which one of
the sources is a test particle (see the formulae (12), (13)
below and the text after them). After that we derived the
equilibrium equation in terms of these five physical
parameters. The miracle arises if one substitutes this
equilibrium equation back into the solution. This results
in the impressive simplification of all formulas. Below
we expose the final outcome which is ready for using in
practical purposes without necessity of knowledge of any
details of its derivation.

It is worthwhile to mention that a correctness of our
solution has been confirmed also by its direct substitution
into the Einstein - Maxwell field equations.

The solution

For our static solution in cylindrical Weyl coordinates,
metric and vector electromagnetic potential take the forms

$$\begin{align*}
\text{d}s^2 &= H \text{d}t^2 - f(\text{d}r^2 + \text{d}z^2) - \frac{\rho^2}{H} \text{d}\varphi^2, \\
A_t &= \Phi, \quad A_\rho = A_z = A_\varphi = 0,
\end{align*}$$

where $H$, $f$ and $\Phi$ are real functions of the coordinates $\rho$
and $z$. These functions take the most simple form in bipo-
lar coordinates which consist of two pairs of spheroidal

variables \((r_1, \theta_1), (r_2, \theta_2)\) defined by their relations to the Weyl coordinates:
\[
\begin{align*}
\rho &= \sqrt{(r_1 - m_1)^2 - \sigma_1^2 \sin^2 \theta_1}, \\
z &= z_1 + (r_1 - m_1) \cos \theta_1,
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\rho &= \sqrt{(r_2 - m_2)^2 - \sigma_2^2 \sin^2 \theta_2}, \\
z &= z_2 + (r_2 - m_2) \cos \theta_2.
\end{align*}
\]
(3)

Here and below, the indices 1 and 2 denote the coordinates and parameters related to the Reissner-Nordström sources located at the symmetry axis at the points \(z = z_1\) and \(z = z_2\) respectively. A positive constant \(\ell\) defined as
\[
\ell = z_2 - z_1
\]
(4)
characterizes the z-distance separating these sources (for definiteness we take \(z_2 > z_1\)). The constants \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) are physical masses of the sources.

Each of the parameters \(\sigma_k\) \((k = 1, 2)\) can be either real or pure imaginary and this property characterizes the corresponding Reissner-Nordström source to be either a black hole or a naked singularity: the real value of \(\sigma_k\) means that this is a black hole whose horizon in Weyl coordinates is \(\{\rho = 0, z_k - \sigma_k \leq z \leq z_k + \sigma_k\}\) while the imaginary \(\sigma_k\) corresponds to a naked singularity whose critical spheroid \(r_k = m_k\) is \(\{0 \leq \rho \leq |\sigma_k|, z = z_k\}\). So the coordinate distance between two black holes (both \(\sigma_1\) and \(\sigma_2\) are real and positive) we define as the distance along z-axis between the nearest points of its intersections with two horizons and this distance is \(\ell = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2\).

The distance between the black hole located at the point \(z = z_2\) and the naked singularity at the point \(z = z_1\) (\(\sigma_2\) is real and positive but \(\sigma_1\) is pure imaginary) we define as distance between the nearest points of intersections of the symmetry axis with black hole horizon and critical spheroid and this distance is \(\ell = \sigma_2\). The distance between two naked singularities (both \(\sigma_1\) and \(\sigma_2\) are pure imaginary) is simply \(\ell\) and it is the length of the segment between the nearest points of intersections of two critical spheroids with the z-axis.

In terms of bipolar coordinates our solution reads:
\[
H = \frac{[(r_1 - m_1)^2 - \sigma_2^2 + \gamma^2 \sin^2 \theta_2\] \times [(r_2 - m_2)^2 - \sigma_2^2 + \gamma^2 \sin^2 \theta_1]\} D^{-2},
\]
(5)
\[
\Phi = \frac{[\epsilon_1(e_1 - e_2)(r_2 - m_2) + (e_2 + \gamma)(r_1 - m_1) + \gamma(m_1 \cos \theta_1 + m_2 \cos \theta_2)]\} D^{-1},
\]
(6)
\[
f = \frac{[r_1^2 - m_1^2 - \sigma_1^2 \cos^2 \theta_1]^{\sin}}{\times [(r_2 - m_2)^2 - \sigma_2^2 + \gamma^2 \sin^2 \theta_2]\} D^2,
\]
(7)
where
\[
D = r_1 r_2 - (e_1 - e_2)(e_2 + \gamma)(\gamma - \cos \theta_1).
\]
(8)

In these expressions the quantities \(e_1, e_2\) represent physical charges of the sources. The parameter \(\gamma\) and the parameters \(\sigma_1, \sigma_2\) are determined by the relations:
\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_1^2 &= m_1^2 - e_1^2 + 2e_1\gamma, \\
\sigma_2^2 &= m_2^2 - e_2^2 - 2e_2\gamma, \\
\gamma &= (m_2 e_1 - m_1 e_2)(\ell + m_1 + m_2)^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]
(9)

The formulas \((11)-(15)\) give the exact solution of the Einstein-Maxwell equations if and only if the five parameters \(m_1, m_2, e_1, e_2\) and \(\ell\) satisfy the following condition
\[
m_1 m_2 = (e_1 - \gamma)(e_2 + \gamma).
\]
(10)

The condition \((11)\) guarantees the equilibrium without any struts on the symmetry axis between the sources.

**Properties of the solution**

First of all one can see that the balance equation \((11)\) do not admit two black holes \((\sigma_1^2 > 0, \sigma_2^2 > 0)\) to be in equilibrium under the condition that there is some distance between them, that is if \(\ell - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 > 0\). This is in agreement with a non-existence of static equilibrium configurations of charged black holes proved under rather general assumptions in \([22]\). (To avoid a confusion, we mention here that the results of \([22]\) do not apply in the presence of naked singularities.) The equilibrium is also impossible if one of the sources is extreme and the other is a non-extreme one and a positive distance exists between them, i.e. if \(\ell - \sigma_2 > 0\) for the case \(\sigma_1 = 0\) and \(\sigma_2^2 > 0\) (a negative value for \(\sigma_2^2\) is forbidden at all if \(\sigma_1 = 0\) \([22]\).

The condition \((11)\) implies also that \(\sigma_1^2\) and \(\sigma_2^2\) never can be both negative, that is the equilibrium of two naked singularities is impossible. So, for separated sources an equilibrium may exist either between a black hole and a naked singularity or between two extreme sources. The latter case can be realized only if \(\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0, \gamma = 0\) and it is easy to see that the formulas \((11)-(15)\) reduce for this case to the Majumdar-Papapetrou solution.

At spatial infinity the variables \(r_1, r_2\) coincide and one can choose any of them as the radial coordinate. In this region the fields, as can be seen from \((5)\) and \((6)\), acquire the standard Reissner-Nordström asymptotical form with the total mass \(m_1 + m_2\) and the total charge \(e_1 + e_2\).

At the symmetry axis \(\cos^2 \theta_1 = \cos^2 \theta_2 = 1\) and the formulas \((5), (7)\) show that the condition \(fH = 1\) is satisfied there automatically, i.e. there are no conical singularities. Besides the singularities inherent to the sources themselves, any other kind of singularities (such as, for example, the off-axis singularities found in the double-Kerr solution in \([23]\)) are also absent in our solution.

The constant \(\gamma\) vanishes in the limit \(\ell \to \infty\) whence it follows from \((11)\) that the equilibrium condition asymptotically reduces to the Newtonian form \(m_1 m_2 = e_1 e_2\) for a large distance between the sources.

If one of the sources disappears, e.g. \(m_1 = e_1 = 0\), our solution reduces to the exact Reissner-Nordström solution with the mass \(m_2\) and the charge \(e_2\) in the standard spherical coordinates \(r_2, \theta_2\).

Let us turn now to that limiting case in which one of the sources can be considered as a test particle. For this we assume that \(m_1\) and \(e_1\) are infinitesimally small but the ratio \(e_1/m_1\) is finite. In this case, in the first non-vanishing order with respect to the constants \(m_1\) and \(e_1\)
the equilibrium condition \((10)\) gives:

\[
(\ell + m_2)(m_1 e_2 - e_1 e_2) = (m_1 e_2 - m_2 e_1) e_2.
\]

We introduce instead of \(m_1\) a new parameter \(\mu_1\) defined by the relation:

\[
m_1 = \mu_1 [1 - 2m_2 (\ell + m_2)^{-1} + e_2^2(\ell + m_2)^{-2}]^{1/2} + e_1 e_2 (\ell + m_2)^{-1}.
\]

Now the relation \((11)\) takes the form:

\[
m_2 - e_2^2 (\ell + m_2)^{-1} = e_1 e_2 \mu_1^{-1} [1 - 2m_2 (\ell + m_2)^{-1} + e_2^2(\ell + m_2)^{-2}]^{1/2}.
\]

This last equation is nothing else but the Bonnor’s balance condition \((8)\) for the test particle of the rest mass \(\mu_1\) and the charge \(e_1\) in the Reissner-Nordström field of the mass \(m_2\) and the charge \(e_2\). The particle is at rest on the symmetry axis at the point \(R = \ell + m_2\) where \(R\) is the radius of the standard spherical coordinates of the Reissner-Nordström solution. If we calculate from \((6)\) the potential \(\Phi\) in the linear approximation with respect to the small parameters \(m_1\) and \(e_1\) for the particular case \(e_2 = 0\) (i.e. for the Schwarzschild background) the result will coincide exactly with the potential which have been found first in \([24, 26]\) in the form of multipole expansion and then in \([27]\) in closed analytical form.

The relation \((12)\) is important since it exhibits clearly the physical nature of the mass \(m_1\) and gives its correct interpretation. This relation shows that the parameters \(m_1, m_2\) are not the rest masses but they represent the total relativistic energy of each source in the external field produced by its partner.

Finally it is worth to mention that our exact solution remains physically sensible also in the case \(e_2 = 0\). This corresponds to a Schwarzschild black hole of the mass \(m_2\) hovering freely in the field of a naked singularity of the mass \(m_1\) and the charge \(e_1\). Such configuration exists due to the repulsive nature of gravity in the vicinity of the naked Reissner-Nordström singularity.
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