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ABSTRACT

Microbial single cell analysis has led to discoveries that are beyond what can be resolved with population-based studies. It provides a pristine view of the mechanisms that organize cellular physiology, unbiased by population heterogeneity or uncontrollable environmental impacts. A holistic description of cellular functions at the single cell level requires analytical concepts beyond the miniaturization of existing technologies, defined but uncontrolled by the biological system itself. This review provides an overview of the latest advances in single cell technologies and demonstrates their potential. Opportunities and limitations of single cell microbiology are discussed using selected application-related examples.
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INTRODUCTION

What distinguishes a single and isolated microbial cell from a cell as a member of a microbial population or even a microbiome? The answer to this question is hidden in the cellular functionality of an isolated microbial cell. It is governed by cell internal parameters and the interaction of the cell with its immediate environment, without the influences of cell-to-cell interactions. Scaled down analytical technology and new lab-on-a-chip platforms are starting to reveal previously hidden phenomena in single cell physiology and metabolism. This provides new empirical and holistic access to microbiology and complements synthetic biology—which in turn is building up our understanding from the genome. Single cell studies provide new concepts in regulation and functioning of the genome, proteome and metabolome, inaccessible in bulk population studies. Concepts and discoveries from single cell studies can thus largely complement those from more classical approaches.

The single cell represents the basic functional unit in biology. The cumulative activity of cells comprises the measurable macroscopic output of microbial populations. What remains inaccessible with population analyses is the wide range of individual behavior, which can only be revealed by studying living single cells (Elowitz et al. 2002; Lidström and Konopka 2010). Individuality is a fundamental feature of any cellular
Figure 1. Single cell microbiology represents the final reductionist stage of microbiology and biotechnology. In natural ecosystems, system input and output cannot be quantitatively assigned to catalytic activity of individual community members or classes due to the open nature of the system. In population-based artificial ecosystems, system boundaries are defined and the catalytic activity can be linked to the genetic identity of the population, but again not to individual cells. In single cell ecosystems, environmental conditions can be stringently controlled and linked to single cell activity.

biological system and manifests in cell-to-cell heterogeneity (Lidstrom and Konopka 2010). Even isogenic microbial populations show extensive phenotypic heterogeneity among cells, evident from gene expression patterns, protein levels and metabolic activity (Mueller 2008; Heine et al. 2009; Mueller, Harms and Bley 2010; Koch, Harms and Mueller 2014). The underlying mechanisms of cellular individuality are manifold (Ackermann 2015). Besides spontaneous genetic mutations, cell-to-cell differences arise from external perturbations or fluctuations in the cellular surroundings, which result in concerted physiological responses of the cell (Kussell and Leibler 2005; Acar, Mettetal and Van Oudenaarden 2008). Hence, cells will react heterogeneously when they experience individual differences in extracellular conditions due to, for example, spatial gradients (Wang et al. 2010b). However, a wide range of intracellular regulatory processes manifest in phenotypic heterogeneity despite homogeneous environmental conditions (Huh and Paulsson 2011). These processes comprise stochastic effects, multistability, regulatory oscillations, or partitioning of central control molecules with low abundance upon cell division (Jahn, Guenther and Mueller 2015). The biological importance of phenotypic heterogeneity has been attributed to increasing population fitness or survival chances upon environmental changes. The extent of phenotypic heterogeneity can also be relevant for efficient technical application of microbes (Arnoldini et al. 2012; Arnoldini et al. 2014; Delvigne and Goffin 2014).

The identification and differentiation of biological mechanisms underlying phenotypic heterogeneity are very challenging. One example is the classification of phenotypic heterogeneity within populations in a quantitative manner. Due to the lack of a common mathematical formalism for describing heterogeneity, it proves difficult to compare results from different studies or experimental series (Delvigne et al. 2017). This fact has to be addressed, for example by using simple biological key figures. The Gini coefficient, a parameter describing general heterogeneity, was recently proposed as a conceivable solution for describing the degree of phenotypic heterogeneity within microbial populations (Westerwalbesloh et al. 2017). Our microbiological toolbox needs to be extended to address interdependent parameters and internal vs environmental control mechanisms of cellular functionality. This includes gradients, mass and energy transfer processes and their influence on physiology. New technologies are required to enable the quantitative analysis of single cells in controlled environments (Dusny and Schmid 2015a). Such emerging tools make use of tailored microfluidic environments, where individual cells can be cultivated with reduced bias due to chemical gradients or influences of neighboring cells. Microfluidic systems can be integrated in lab-on-a-chip platforms, enabling integral analysis of single cell physiology (Fritzsch et al. 2012; Fig. 1). The application of technologies for analyzing single cells opens up fascinating opportunities. At the same time, as always, these technologies introduce bias due to the peculiarities of the created microhabitats. One has to exercise care to not overinterpret physiological data from single cells, as these might be artifacts from the artificial microhabitat. Carefully designed control experiments can remedy this and reduce the risk of false interpretations. Hence, we also outline current challenges, pitfalls and limitations of single cell technologies.

Individual cells can be studied in ‘single cell ecosystems’. Within the single cell ecosystem, the cell is uncoupled from the activity of surrounding cells by means of spatial isolation (Probst et al. 2013b). The boundaries of the single cell ecosystem are technically defined by microstructures or microfluidic networks around individual microbes. The cells are put in place to facilitate the determination of the single cell system’s input,
output and dynamics (Rusconi, Garren and Stocker 2014). The exploitation of physics at the microscale allows control of the physicochemical properties of the extracellular environment to the level of bacterial cells (Weibel, Di Luzio and Whitesides 2007; Westerwalbesloh et al. 2015). This control is of importance since it allows differentiating intrinsic from extrinsic factors, or determining origins of individual dynamics (Dusny et al. 2012; Nikel et al. 2014). Microfluidic concepts have mostly been developed to control individual cell microhabitats. An example is the prediction of mass transfer rates and substrate concentrations within microbial microcolonies with different microfluidic cultivation concepts via simulations (Westerwalbesloh et al. 2017).

Microfabrication is now within reach of standard microbiological laboratories and requires only moderate financial and temporal investments. Mature and commercialized microfluidic technologies can be used and several template microbioreactor structures for soft lithography are available (Whitesides et al. 2001; Qin, Xia and Whitesides 2010). (For further details on microbioreactor concepts for single cell microbiology, see the excellent reviews of Zare and Kim (2010) and Rusconi, Garren and Stocker (2014).) Despite useful microfluidic structures, the analytical and conceptual challenges for quantitatively analyzing cellular parameters of individual microbial cells are still immense (Schmid et al. 2010; Frittsch et al. 2012; Dittrich and Jakubowski 2014). The handling of minute analyte volumes and amounts from single cells necessitates comprehensive adaptations of existing analytical tools. Recent analytics have become available that allow single cell genome, transcriptome or metabolome analysis (Kortmann, Blank and Schmid 2011; Frittsch et al. 2012; Vasdeakis and Stephanopoulos 2015). Quantitative time-lapse microscopy, e.g. by applying fluorescent markers, is the most important and widely used analytical method for single cell analysis (Locke and Elowitz 2009). Optical approaches are simple to use and powerful, when the right markers or readouts are given. Physiological dynamics of single cells can be deduced from time-lapse microscopy imaging (Locke and Elowitz 2009). Cellular parameters can be measured that remain hidden in the bulk of a population, such as cell morphology. Many classical microbial physiological parameters like specific growth rates or production and uptake rates are not easily deduced from single cell studies (Gruenberger, Wiechert and Kohlheyer 2014; Dusny and Schmid 2015a,b). Yet, this is necessary for a holistic description of microbial parameters at a single cell level to complement population level studies.

The goal of this review is to provide the reader with an overview of the recent advances in single cell analyses, tools and concepts. We will focus first on studies linking cellular physiology with environmental physicochemical conditions, second on studies addressing biophysical properties of single cells, and third on those characterizing biochemical, metabolic and genomic aspects. Finally, we will describe specific environmental and technological aspects that are needed for single cell studies. Although we use many examples from bacterial single cell studies, the methods and concepts presented are not restricted to those. We do not cover technologies that analyze single cells in bulk populations. What emerges is a picture of single cells in isolation that gives us fascinating new insights into the properties and mechanisms of microbial physiology.

**Shaping the environment of single microbes**

Single cell microfluidics can stringently control the cellular environment during cultivation and analysis with regard to nutrient and metabolite concentrations, osmolality, pH, shear force, temperature, or other physicochemical parameters (Ong et al. 2008; Marques and Fernandes 2011).

For this the cells have to be kept in place during cultivation and analysis. A variety of methods for cell retention have been tested, comprising mechanical, hydrodynamic, electric, optical, acoustic, or magnetic cell manipulation (Frittsch et al. 2012; Lo and Yao 2015). Depending on the design of the microhabitat and the method of trapping, the experimenter can focus on one cell to obtain mechanistic insight or perform parallel experiments with hundreds of single cells. The underlying concepts have been described in detail elsewhere (Mustafi et al. 2012; Benavente-Babace et al. 2014; Mustafi et al. 2014; Riordon et al. 2014). Here we will focus on studies that retain single microbes in microstructured habitats for controlled perturbation experiments.

Perfusion enables the complete exchange of medium around isolated cells within seconds. This is a feature that cannot be realized with suspended microbial cultures and constitutes one of the most important aspects of microfluidic single cell analysis for analyzing cellular responses to environmental changes. Single cells can be trapped hydrodynamically in a microfluidic system and perfused with a fluid of defined chemical composition to trigger cell growth or division, perturbation, or metabolic production (Benavente-Babace et al. 2014; Mustafi et al. 2014; Riordon et al. 2014). The principle of hydrodynamic trapping is based on the exploitation of altered fluidic resistances, which can be achieved by the creation of bypass channels with lower flow velocities or by sieve-like physical structures in microchannels (Benavente-Babace et al. 2014; Riordon et al. 2014; Khalili and Ahmad 2015). Many trapping structures restrict cell growth to a monolayer in one focal plane for optical analysis by matching channel heights and dimensions of microbial cells (Gruenberger et al. 2012; Probst et al. 2013a; Benavente-Babace et al. 2014; Stratz et al. 2014; Dusny et al. 2015). Such microfluidic devices are particularly useful for massive parallelization of a large number of cell cultivations that can be observed optically. They have been used for measuring and tracking single cell responses to variations in medium composition in a time-resolved manner (Mustafi et al. 2012; Gruenberger et al. 2013; Probst et al. 2013b; Unthan et al. 2014). Differences in growth rate, gene expression or size change in single cells can be identified with high throughput. Single cell experiments with hydrodynamic trapping technologies provide statistically safe information on the heterogeneity of biological function among single cells and its interconnection to nutritional status or cell lineage. Further detailed examples will be described in the following sections.

The so called ‘mother machine’ is a prominent example of a hydrodynamic cell trapping structure. It consists of dead-end, cell-sized growth channels that are connected to a main feeding trench for medium supply and removal of surplus cells. Rod-shaped microbes are entrapped in the growth channels, allowing for division and cell elongation (Wang et al. 2010a). Dynamics and fates of single mother and daughter cells can be followed before the daughter cells are displaced into the main trench. The mother machine has been used to study the robustness of single cell growth and cell size homeostasis (Wang et al. 2010a; Jun and Taheri-Araghi 2015), physical and biochemical properties of chromosomes (Pelletier et al. 2012; Youngren et al. 2014), stochastic switching of motile cells into a chained, sessile state (Norman et al. 2013) and dependency of cell wall growth on mechanical stress (Amir 2014) in Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis. Transient oscillations in constitutive gene expression and cell sizing could be analyzed in different E. coli strains during more than 20 000 individual cell cycles.
(Tanouchi et al. 2015, 2017). In fact, the mother machine concept enables unique analyses of cell behavior, molecular partitioning, aging and cell fate in rod-shaped bacteria and fission yeasts. The continuous nature of the device, with supply of fresh medium and constant cell removal, allows long-term single cell growth experiments from days up to weeks for the first time. For example, growth regulation was shown to be robust in a single mother cell pole over hundreds of generations and decoupled from its replicative age.

One disadvantage of physical cell retention structures is that individual cells are influenced by surface contact or metabolic activity of neighboring cells, which can change their behavior (Geng et al. 2014). Contactless cell trapping concepts have been developed to avoid this. One of the gentlest concepts for contactless trapping is cell manipulation by negative dielectrophoresis, which was originally developed for trapping larger mammalian cells, but was adapted for smaller microbial cells (yeast and bacteria). The weak electric field enables specific isolation and manipulation (alignment, isolation, trapping) of individual cells (Voldman 2006; Qian et al. 2014). One particular embodiment (the so-called ‘Envirostat’ system, for environment–static) provides constant extracellular conditions for isolated cells via perfusion (Kortmann et al. 2009a). The extracellular environment is shaped by laminar medium flow (Fritzsche et al. 2013; Rosenthal et al. 2015). The Envirostat concept enabled the determination of direct connections between the phenotypes of individual cells with their environmental conditions (Dusny et al. 2015). It was found that isolated microbes respond to the constant microfluidic environment with higher specific growth rates than observed in populations (Dusny et al. 2012). Using the Envirostat, a yeast-specific promoter system was proven to be ultra-sensitive to carbon-catabolite repression. Repressing-sugar concentrations for the MOX promoter had hitherto been underestimated by almost four orders of magnitude within populations (Dusny and Schmid 2016). This accurate and quantitative description of promoter regulation could be achieved by decoupling cell and population activity with microfluidics.

Cells can also be manipulated contactlessly and isolated with optical tweezers using a focused laser beam (Zhang and Liu 2008). In contrast to negative dielectrophoresis, optical tweezers cannot be used for retaining and culturing single cells in isolation for longer time periods, because the high laser intensity induces heat and photodamage (Svoboda and Block 1994). Nevertheless, the combined application of optical tweezers and microfluidic cultivation is interesting, because a cell can be relocated to desired zones in the microfluidic system for further cultivation, analysis or enrichment (Wang et al. 2011b; Probst et al. 2013b). Umehara and coworkers followed growth of E. coli cells in microchambers and relocated daughter cells after cell division into spatially separated microchambers by using optical tweezers (Umehara et al. 2003). Growth of the mother cell could be maintained for more than 90 h. It was observed that cells stopped elongation within 20 min independent of their cell cycle when changing from nutrient-rich to nutrient-free medium. The cells started to elongate again upon restoration of nutrient-rich medium within 30 min. This cycle was repeated three times and resulted in consistent adaptation dynamics of dividing cells, granting insight into the connection of growth and nutrient conditions.

The simplest method for single cell cultivation uses semi-solid growth supports such as agarose pads (Reinhard and van der Meer 2010). During cultivation on semi-solid agarose pads, cells are confined between the agarose surface and a glass coverslide. This entails a spatial restriction during cultivation, while nutrients diffuse from the agar to the cells (Young et al. 2012). Agarose pads enable the simultaneous culturing of many cells, yet at the expense of limited cell isolation and lack of control of the cellular microenvironment (Dusny et al. 2015). This cultivation concept was successfully applied for single cell-based toxicity assays and for cellular differentiation studies (e.g. Reinhard et al. 2013), and constitutes a convenient alternative in terms of throughput and accuracy to conventional but laborious and time-consuming 96-well-plate assays (Li et al. 2014b). The spatial distances at which bacteria are typically spread on agarose pads can also be exploited for studying transfer processes between cells. This concept was used to investigate lateral gene transfer associated to integrating and conjugative elements in Pseudomonas (Reinhard et al. 2013). Integrating and conjugative elements were found to induce host cell differentiation towards transfer competence in only a small proportion of cells. Limiting transfer competence to a few cells of the population was interpreted as being beneficial for the population fitness status, as integrating and conjugative element horizontal transmission is thus associated with little cost in terms of vertical transmission. This was a previously unknown mechanism for controlling host properties via transferable DNA elements to facilitate horizontal gene transmission.

Dynamics in inhibition of single cells were studied with agarose pads capped with polydimethylsiloxane covers with imprinted microfluidic channels (Li et al. 2014a,b). The channels contained different solutions that diffused through the agarose pad and created a concentration profile. Escherichia coli cells were positioned on the agarose surface between source and sink channels and were monitored via microscopy to measure time- and concentration-dependent inhibitory effects of antibiotics on growth (Li et al. 2014b). Additional data such as concentration-dependent morphological changes such as filamentation and bulge formation were collected. Furthermore an opportunistic persistence was observed, meaning that inhibited E. coli cells benefited from lysed cells in close proximity, recovered and started to re-grow (Li et al. 2014a,b). Given the simplicity of basal cultivation systems such as agarose pads, labor can be focused on revealing biological mechanisms instead of on the sometimes tedious design and implementation of complex microfluidics. However, this only applies to cases where the envisaged studies do not require the stringent control of the cellular surrounding.

Every microsystem has its specific and distinct degrees of analytical freedom. Agarose pads and some of the mentioned hydrodynamic systems allow parallel investigation of many individual microbial cells. This is achieved at the expense of tight environmental control. Single cell perfusion methods, such as the Envirostat technology, have limited throughput, but can be used to more specifically manipulate and control individual cells, enabling mechanistic studies uncoupled from the activity of other cells (Kortmann et al. 2009b; Rosenthal et al. 2015). However, single cell ecosystems are artificial, and possible biases caused by the specific retention method or by interference of the materials used for constructing the microfluidic device have to be considered carefully.

Environmental impacts on cellular physiology

Single cell cultivation technologies enable linking cellular physiology with controlled extracellular physicochemical conditions. Extracellular factors influencing the cell’s behavior include, for instance, cell-surface interactions and cell-to-cell interactions. Technologies and corresponding application examples are reviewed next (Fig. 2A).
Cell-to-cell interactions

In both natural and artificial ecosystems, microbial cells are in close contact and continuously interact with each other (Fig. 2A; Li and Tian 2012; Neu and Lawrence 2015; Schlafer et al. 2015). Cell-to-cell interactions comprise sharing metabolites, excreting defense molecules and synchronizing physiological activities (Caro et al. 2007; Stepanauskas 2012). Such cell-to-cell interactions were studied with agarose pads with imprinted parallel sub-micrometer growth tracks (Moffitt, Lee and Cluzel 2012). Inserted cells grew in the tracks and outgrowing cells were flushed into a gutter trench. As an example of a symbiotic relationship, growth of two E. coli mutants, each auxotrophic for different amino acids, was followed in parallel tracks. Secreted amino acids diffused through the porous agarose sidewalls of the channels, which allowed mutual exchange of essential metabolites (Moffitt, Lee and Cluzel 2012). The elongation rate of single E. coli cells was dependent on the culture composition and on the spatial distances between both auxotrophic
mutants. Auxotrophs separated by distances of less than ~20 μm grew 3- to 5-fold faster than cells separated by longer distances (Moffitt, Lee and Cluzel 2012). This example has implications for cell-to-cell metabolic interactions and mass transfer for establishing symbiotic lifestyles.

Cell–cell communication by quorum-sensing (QS) and its physiological consequences can be excellently studied at the single cell level (Waters and Bassler 2005; Keller and Surette 2006). QS enables a collective, multicellular organism-like behavior of the population (Bassler and Losick 2006). It is regulated by extracellular signaling molecules called autoinducers. Their levels correlate with cell densities in populations and cells alter gene expression when the autoinducer concentration exceeds or falls below a certain threshold (Waters and Bassler 2005). Examples of some QS-regulated processes are the production of virulence factors or antibiotics, exoproteolytic activity, biofilm formation, bioluminescence production and swarming motility (Hammer and Bassler 2003; Waters and Bassler 2005; Anetzberger, Pirch and Jung 2009; Long et al. 2009; Perez and Hagen 2010; Anetzberger, Schell and Jung 2012; Castillo-Juarez et al. 2015).

Single cell technologies are useful for understanding the mechanistic principles of QS. Pseudomonas aeruginosa cells have been captured in aqueous droplets for analysis of the variability of QS (Boedicker, Vincent and Ismagilov 2009). The droplets were generated by pumping a suspension with low cell density through a microfluidic channel with tiny wells. Subsequently, an air bubble was introduced that removed excess liquid and formed individual aqueous droplets with a volume of merely 100 fl per well. Each droplet contained one cell or a small number of cells (max. 14) and QS sensing was monitored by a genetically encoded fluorescence reporter (Hentzer et al. 2002). The initiation of QS was found to be highly variable among P. aeruginosa cells and even single cells were able to initiate QS on their own when the droplet volume was small enough (Boedicker, Vincent and Ismagilov 2009). QS communication between two cells was monitored with cells trapped in double droplets (Bai et al. 2013). A monodisperse emulsion of droplets was created with a microfluidic droplet generator (Bai et al. 2010). Droplet pairs were confined in traps to form a droplet interface bilayer, which enabled the diffusion of molecules between the droplets (Bai et al. 2013). Two recombinant E. coli strains were investigated, which either secreted or sensed the autoinducer N-(3-oxododecanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone (OdDHL) (Andersen et al. 2001; Bai et al. 2013). OdDHL sensing was detected with a genetically encoded fluorescence reporter (Andersen et al. 2001). The pair of droplets with an OdDHL-producing cell in one and an OdDHL-sensing cell in the other was trapped and successful induction of QS was detected upon diffusion of OdDHL across the droplets. With this approach, intra-species QS was proven at the single cell level for the first time. Unfortunately, these droplet technologies for the first time. Unfortunately, these droplet technologies for studying cell–cell interactions are currently not applicable for studying cell–cell metabolic interactions and mass transfer for establishing symbiotic lifestyles.

Figure 3. Single cell heterogeneity as a response to auto inducer molecules (AIs). The images show bioluminescent cells of V. harveyi that respond to the presence of AIs with bioluminescence and biofilm formation. The length of the scale bar is 2.5 μm. (Adapted from Anetzberger, Schell and Jung 2012 with permission from BioMed Central)

The images show bioluminescent cells of V. harveyi that respond to the presence of AIs with bioluminescence and biofilm formation. The length of the scale bar is 2.5 μm. (Adapted from Anetzberger, Schell and Jung 2012 with permission from BioMed Central)

Tolerance and adaptation

Mechanisms for adaptation during environmental stress exposure ensure the survival of microbial populations. Bet-hedging is a mechanism that involves stochastic switching of phenotypes, and the transition between phenotypes is actively induced upon recognition of a stress signal (Beaumont et al. 2009). A bimodal on/off-switching of gene expression supports the formation of two subpopulations, and the switching rate is variable among individual population members (Balaban et al. 2004; Acar, Mettetal and Van Oudenaarden 2008; Nikel et al. 2014). The resistant subpopulation has a higher survival probability when environmental perturbations occur. A more complex counter-mechanism to stress was reported for eukaryotic cells based on switching among several phenotypes (Levy, Ziv and Siegal 2012). Various subpopulations are formed prior to the appearance of a stress signal due to stochastic gene expression and deterministic factors, which results in at least a small fraction of stressed cells that are able to survive (Balaban et al. 2004; Nikel et al. 2014; Martins and Locke 2015).

As an example for bacterial microorganisms, Streptococcus pneumoniae has evolved several co-existing phenotypes that
enable it to resist the effect of antibiotics (Sorg and Veening 2015). Exposure of S. pneumoniae cultures to eight different bacteriostatic or bactericidal antibiotics resulted in distinct inhibition profiles: the cells grew unimpaired for certain time periods after exposure to the bactericides, but the periods of unimpaired growth became shorter as the bactericidal concentrations increased. Some of the cells responded with a complete shutdown of gene expression activity, and a large cell fraction was irreparably damaged or died during growth arrest. The addition of bacteriostatic compounds led to reduced growth velocities. Growth-arrested cells exhibited higher metabolic activities after exposure to bacteriostatic antibiotics than untreated cells. Bacteriostatics and bactericides provoked different types of adaptation mechanisms, indistinguishable at a population scale. The recovery times of cells exposed to bacteriostatics (indicated by longer lag phases) scaled with exposure time. The extended lag phases of the cultures treated with bacteriostatics were associated with increased phenotypic heterogeneity. Cells whose parental cell metabolism had adapted to the bactericide cephalexin displayed a lower susceptibility to this antibiotic. These cells survived and resumed growth although most cells died after cephalexin treatment (Sorg and Veening 2015). It thus appears that pre-adaptation of the culture to a certain antibiotic might facilitate stochastic switching between phenotypes. We learn from these examples that cellular responses to stress cannot be fully resolved with suspended cultures.

Phenotypic heterogeneity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae was beneficial for the survival of heat stress (Levy, Ziv and Siegal 2012). Gene expression levels of the regulator protein Tssl1 were variable population-wide and responsible for counteracting high temperatures. Tsilp is a trehalose-synthesis regulator that is part of the general stress response of S. cerevisiae (Winderickx et al. 1996; Singer and Lindquist 1998). Slowly growing cells produced higher amounts of the regulator protein and survived heat shock (Fig. 4A). The population-wide variance in the regulator protein level during stress thus confirmed the benefits of phenotypic intrapopulation heterogeneities for survival during stress (Levy, Ziv and Siegal 2012).

The investigation of individual cellular responses to environmental stress has enabled redefinition of the origin of lag phases during diauxic shifts from one substrate to another (Boulineau et al. 2013; Solopova et al. 2014; Stratford et al. 2014). Classical population-based theory of diauxic growth teaches that all cells in the population rearrange their metabolism to adapt their enzymatic machinery to a new carbon source upon depletion of the preferred carbon source (Monod 1949). Populations continue to grow exponentially after metabolic rearrangement (Monod 1949). Bet-hedging mechanisms were identified as a further possible reason for prolonged lag phases of populations during diauxic growth. Kotte et al. (2014) reported the formation of two subpopulations of an isogenic E. coli population with either a growing or a non-growing/dormant phenotype after switching from glucose to gluconeogenic carbon sources. In addition, experiments with Zygosaccharomyces bailii (Stratford et al. 2014), as well as Lactococcus lactis (Fig. 4B) (Solopova et al. 2014) and E. coli (Boulineau et al. 2013) demonstrated that growing cells were pre-equipped to metabolize the new carbon source. These subpopulations continued to grow with specific growth rates similar to those of the population prior to the shift of growth substrates, while the bulk of the population members stopped growth or died owing to the shift. The lag phase observed at a population scale was therefore an artifact due to the delay in monitoring biomass and not a biological response itself.

A recent example demonstrated the protective role of loosely controlled, so-called noisy response of cells to stress and its role for survival during a subsequent stress (Mitosch, Rieckh and Bollenbach 2017). It was found that antibiotic treatment triggered the noisy expression of the gadBC acid resistance operon in single E. coli cells. With microfluidic shift experiments, a clear link between enhanced survival during acid stress, gadBC expression and a previous antibiotic treatment could be identified. The cross-protection between antibiotics and other stressors could hence be uncovered.

Single cell cultivation systems can also be used as powerful screening tools for identifying mutants with beneficial phenotypes at high throughput and accuracy. Individual mutants of the cyanobacterium Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942 with beneficial phenotypes, especially a high tolerance against alcohols, were recently identified by Arari et al. (2017) using a single cell-based screening concept. Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942
is known to have a generally low tolerance towards alcohols and has consequently not been applied in the production of photobiological alcohol from sunlight and CO2. Via UV-C-induced random mutagenesis, a mutant library was established and enriched in medium containing 10 g L−1 isopropanol. A subsequent single cell-based microarray cultivation step enabled identification of the fastest growing mutants (Arai et al. 2016). The strain finally isolated was able to grow in the presence of up to 30 g L−1 isopropanol and showed increased tolerance towards other alcohols such as ethanol, 1-butanol, isobutanol and 1-pentanol. This strain is currently under investigation as a potential next-generation biocatalyst for the high level production of alcohols from CO2.

Cell surface interactions

Microorganisms interact with surfaces in their surroundings. In nature, an immense number of microorganisms thrive on solid surfaces or interfaces as biofilms (Vigeant et al. 2002). Biofilm formation allows the physiological interaction of several species in spatially close proximity, which can be either competitive or cooperative. (For more information about biofilm formation and social interactions within biofilms see the excellent reviews of Wimpenny, Manz and Szewzyk (2000) and Li and Tian (2012).) Biofilms protect cells from extracellular influences, which can be critical in biofilm-related bacterial infections (Wu et al. 2015b). Biotechnological production processes with solvents benefit from biofilms due to their increased resistance compared with suspended cells (Halan, Buehler and Schmid 2012).

The initial cell attachment mechanism and the formation of a stable biofilm are central research topics in single cell microbiology. To evaluate the involvement of electrostatic, van der Waals and hydrodynamic forces in the cell attachment mechanism, distances between cells and solid surfaces before cell attachment have been quantified (Berke et al. 2008; Lauga et al. 2006; Vigeant et al. 2002). A cell suspension was introduced between two glass coverslips and the attraction of motile cells to surfaces was determined via total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy at high optical resolution (Lauga et al. 2006; Berke et al. 2008). The probability of cell adhesion and biofilm formation increased when cells stayed near surfaces (Vigeant et al. 2002). Electrostatic and van der Waals forces were found to be responsible for the initial, irreversible cell attachment, as these forces are known to have an effect in short ranges of ∼50 nm. In contrast, hydrodynamic forces range over several micrometres and were identified as keeping the cells in proximity to the surfaces (Vigeant et al. 2002). With this knowledge, microbial colonization of solid surfaces can now be understood from its initial stages on. Studying physical interactions of isolated living microbes with matter might lead to new perspectives on the initiation of surface-attached lifestyles such as microbial biofilms in natural and technical environments.

Lin, Crosson and Scherer (2010) analyzed the swimming motility and surface adhesion mechanisms of single Caulobacter crescentus cells within a microfluidic device by microscopy. The device consisted of a rectangular polydimethylsiloxane flow channel attached to a glass coverslip. Adhesion frequencies were found to be dependent on expression levels of the multiple actuator divJ. The actuator codes for a histidine kinase (EC 2.7.13.3) that regulates cell division and differentiation (Wheeler and Shapiro 1999). High DivJ levels inhibited surface adhesion and decreased swimming in semi-solid media. Swimming motility, analyzed by measuring the cell swimming speed in micrometers per second, was not impeded by high DivJ concentrations and was thus excluded as a cause for the observed swarming limitation (Lin, Crosson and Scherer 2010).

The assembly and composition of cell surfaces and their biophysical properties have been investigated in order to disclose cell adhesion mechanisms (Dufrene 2014). Cell surface properties were studied using atomic force microscopy, in which samples are scanned with a nanoscale tip attached to a flexible cantilever. The movement of the cantilever was mapped with a reflected laser beam and translated into a three-dimensional topological image with nanometer resolution (Dufrene 2002). Several surface structures of cells were described at a high resolution using atomic force microscopy. By localizing cell wall components, conclusions on their functionality and physiological role could be drawn (Andre et al. 2010, 2011). It was found that the heterogeneous distribution of teichoic acids in the outer cell wall of Lactococcus plantarum controls cell division (Dufrene 2014). Furthermore the number of flagella of Bacillus thuringiensis directly correlated with their swimming motility (Gillis et al. 2012). Atomic force microscopy was further developed to single cell direct force spectroscopy by replacing the atomic force microscopy cantilever tip by a cell (Benoit and Gaub 2002). The immobilization of microbes to the atomic force microscopy cantilever can be applied with, for example, adhesive (Zeng, Mueller and Meyer 2014). This is a quick and easy method; the simultaneous immobilization of several cells cannot, however, be excluded and the precise positioning of cells on the cantilever is challenging. Beaussart et al. (2013) improved the attachment of cells by bonding polydopamine-coated colloids to the cantilever and immobilizing single cells to the colloids. This prevented multiple cell attachment, cell surface denaturation and cell loss due to weak cell-cantilever bonding. Furthermore, the use of coated colloids for cell bonding reduced cell damage due to heat transfer caused by the laser beam. Individual Lactobacillus plantarum cells were immobilized with this technique to measure the adhesion forces between cells and surfaces. Interestingly, the strength of bonding of L. plantarum to a hydrophobic (abiotic) surface was time-independent, while bonding to lectin (biotic) surfaces got stronger over time. This observation could be attributed to glucose-based polysaccharides on the cell surface that caused slower formation of lectin bonds (Beaussart et al. 2013). Hence, adhesive properties of individual cells on defined surfaces can be easily quantified in order to identify adhesion influencing parameters.

Single cell biophysics

Technologies for the quantification of biophysical cellular parameters are required for describing global physiological functions and mechanisms such as growth, morphology, regulation and homeostasis (Fig. 2B). In the following section, we review recent technologies for measuring biophysical cellular parameters such as size, mass, morphology, mechanical forces, pH and temperature of individual microbes.

Cell growth

Microbial growth directly depends on to the conditions prevailing in the cells’ microenvironmental surroundings, as well as the intracellular constitution (Schaechter 2015). It unrestrictedly reflects physiological changes, e.g. in gene expression patterns, medium compositions, cell sizes and ribosome concentrations per cell, with minimal delay (Scott et al. 2010; Klumpp and Hwa 2014; Schaechter 2015). Growth is thus particularly interesting as a global physiological readout. Microbial growth on the population scale is typically analyzed by measuring the increase of
the optical density of a cell suspension over time or by automatic high-throughput cell counting in a Coulter counter (Bryan et al. 2012). This enables determination of specific growth rates of whole populations, whereby dynamics in individual growth rates are pooled. Individual cell growth can be analyzed and quantified by applying distinct single cell analysis methods. These methods are based on the determination of the cell number, cell size (volume, area, elongation) and cell mass.

Growth of small micropopulations can be described by cell numbers determined by cell counting. Computer-assisted processing of microscopy images even enables automatic quantification of cell numbers (Probst et al. 2013b). Growth from initially one bacterium up to microcolonies consisting of more than 500 cells was followed by applying this method. Cell counting can also be used for analyses on a population level. Cell counting is thus a suitable method for comparing population- and single cell-based results (Gruenberger et al. 2013; Unthan et al. 2014). Unthan et al. used cell counting at single cell and population levels and revealed an iron-chelating medium compound as a factor for biphasic growth during bioreactor cultivation of Corynebacterium glutamicum in defined medium.

However, the analysis of cell growth via cell counting is based on the assumption that all considered cells are similar in size and length. This is probably only true for cells in constant cultivation conditions (Probst et al. 2013b). Additionally, cell counting is limited to growth analyses of microcolonies or populations, because growth of individual cells does not affect cell numbers.

Quantitative measurements of cell geometry, such as cell volume, enable the following of growth of a cell over time, even if it is not dividing. The growth behavior of individual cells can thus be quantitatively compared. A straightforward approach to measure total cell volume is the manual determination of cell geometry from microscopy images. This method was shown to be universally applicable for the calculation of single cell growth rates of microbes that were cultivated in different microfluidic structures (Dusny et al. 2012, 2015). Semi-solid agarose pads, microfluidic monolayer growth chambers and non-contact cell traps driven by negative electrophoresis (Envirostat) were applied for single cell cultivation (Fig. 5), which provided distinct environmental conditions. Individual C. glutamicum cells were isolated from an exponentially growing population. The investigated cells exhibited similar specific volumetric growth rates independent of the cultivation technology used. Specific volumetric growth rates of isolated cells were equal to or even higher than in population cultivations (Dusny et al. 2015). This demonstrates that maximal specific growth rates obtained in population-based ecosystems do not reflect the maximal possible growth rates of cells that experience optimal growth conditions. Morphological aspects such as division rates, division angles and division symmetry of cells were inconsistent in the three devices. The morphology of cells grown on semi-solid agarose pads significantly differed from cell morphologies cultivated in the monolayer growth chambers and the Envirostat (Dusny et al. 2015). The authors attributed those differences to spatial constriction, local substrate/nutrient depletion and accumulation of inhibiting products in semi-solid agarose pads. Hence, non-optimal growth conditions were better compensated by the regulation of the specific growth rate than of the cellular morphology (Dusny et al. 2015). This study demonstrates that growth and morphology are directly dependent on the environmental conditions around the individual cell. This suggests a deliberate choice of cultivation technology, as every technique imposes technology-specific conditions on the cell investigated.

The laborious and time-consuming manual determination of cell volumes limits the applicability of this method in terms of time. Automated segmentation is faster, but requires computational solutions for image processing, which are prone to error. Most of the available analysis software solutions, such as Schnitzcells or MicrobeTracker, are limited to certain cell types as they are based on cell segmentation with defined morphological boundary conditions (Sliusarenko et al. 2011; Young et al. 2012; Chowdhury et al. 2013). The detection of cell areas at high precision is especially reliable for rod-shaped bacteria, because most software algorithms are adjusted to the specific morphology of common laboratory strains (Sliusarenko et al. 2011; Young et al. 2012). Many industrially relevant bacteria are rod-shaped, such as E. coli, C. glutamicum, B. subtilis and Pseudomonas sp., but many other morphological manifestations of microorganisms exist that are not quantifiable with common software packages. In this sense, the recently developed image analysis software Oufi is particularly worth mentioning (Paintdakhi et al. 2016). Oufi allows the quantification of various cell morphologies, irregular shapes and even the identification of individual cells that form confluent monolayers by using powerful and flexible segmentation algorithms. Furthermore, Oufi offers post-processing features that enable the identification of differential growth behavior among single cells, e.g. abnormal exponential growth, slow or fast growing cells. The assignment of these observations to cell physiology is, however, often difficult as the molecular causes can be difficult to assess. Next to Oufi, the recently released software Microbe) provides a framework for intensity, size and morphology measurements, as well as septa, foci, pole and organelle analyses from microscopy images (Ducret, Guardokus and Brun 2016). The obtained data can be processed and also visualized, with a strong focus on integrated tools for data integrity verification. Besides these generalized software solutions, highly specialized tools have been developed as well. For instance, the software toolbox Molyso has been specifically designed to process time-lapse images obtained from mother machine experiments for growth studies (Sachs et al. 2016).

In general, the above described software tools allow automated high-throughput analyses of single cell traits from images and have become invaluable for processing the massive data amounts from time-lapse experiments. However,
automated image analysis algorithms are still error-prone and careful inspection of segmentation results remains inevitable to date.

Besides image-based approaches, growth of single microbes can also be quantified via physical biomass measurements. Cell mass quantification with high resolution is especially useful for uncovering mechanisms involved in, for example, cell death or responses to physicochemical perturbations (Weng et al. 2011; Zangle and Teitell 2014). Cell mass is either quantified as dry mass or as buoyant mass. The dry mass of living single cells can be determined with quantitative phase imaging (Popescu et al. 2014). This technique is based on optical interferometry and enables the differentiation of the refractive index of the cell and the non-aqueous cell content (Popescu et al. 2008). Spatial light interference microscopy is a prominent quantitative phase imaging technology that provides highly sensitive data on a spatial scales from micrometers to millimeters and temporal scaling from seconds to days (Mir et al. 2011). Mir et al. applied this technology to profile biomass and to quantify specific growth rates of individual E. coli cells that grew on agarose pads. Specific growth rates varied between cells, which demonstrated the individual contributions to the macroscopic biomass increase of a population (Mir et al. 2011). An advantage of quantitative phase imaging is the simultaneous analysis of several cells, regardless of whether the cells are adherent or form biofilms. Suspended microbes are not analyzable with this technology due to artifacts arising from movement. Hence, different technologies are required for quantifying single cell masses in suspension. Godin et al. used a suspended microchannel resonator for measuring buoyant masses of individual suspended microbes and human blood cells. The suspended microchannel resonator consisted of a cantilever with an integrated microfluidic channel in an on-chip vacuum (Godin et al. 2007; Weng et al. 2011). Cells were rinsed through the microfluidic channel and detected by the small frequency change of the cantilever’s resonance frequency due to the cell. The frequency change is induced by the density difference between the cell and the medium, which directly corresponds to the cell’s buoyant mass (Godin et al. 2007; Weng et al. 2011). This particular technology provided subfemtogram-level mass resolution, which makes it applicable to smallest microbial cell types. The technology was applied for studying individual yeast cells in flow-through configuration and for time-related mass analyses of trapped yeast and bacterial cells retained with mechanical barriers (Godin et al. 2007; Bryan et al. 2010; Weng et al. 2011). As an important result, Bryan et al. revealed a cell density increase before bud formation of yeast. Such observations can significantly support the understanding of how cells coordinate growth, division and cell cycle progression (Bryan et al. 2010). Suspended microchannel resonators were also applied for measuring the biomass of single marine bacteria (Cermak et al. 2017). The knowledge of biomass composition and contribution by various taxonomic groups provided an estimate of the total marine biomass. With the aid of nutrient flux models, the analyses of single cells might be used to estimate biomass and carbon fluxes in the world’s oceans.

The investigation of individual cell growth uncovered the characteristics of distinct physiological growth states of populations. The stationary growth phase of microbial populations is indicated by a constant optical density after nutrient depletion (Monod 1950). This can be for two reasons: cells arrest growth or an equilibrium state between growing and lysing cells is reached (Gefen et al. 2014). Gefen et al. monitored single E. coli cells that reached starvation conditions in order to distinguish between the two proposed mechanisms. The majority of investigated cells showed arrested growth, while the remaining cells lysed (max. 7%) or grew extremely slowly (max. 5%) (Gefen et al. 2014). The absence of growth was thus verified as the main cause for the stationary growth phase during starvation. Furthermore, the individual metabolic activity of cells during starvation was characterized over several hours by time-resolved investigations of protein synthesis (Gefen et al. 2014). Interestingly, non-growing bacteria maintained a constant metabolic activity over several days under starvation conditions. These investigations proved that the metabolic activity of E. coli in the stationary growth phase is not restricted to a small subpopulation of slowly growing cells, but is homogeneously distributed among individuals during extended periods of starvation (Gefen et al. 2014).

**Biological membrane organization**

Microbial membranes are asymmetric and heterogeneous, dependent on their lipid as well as protein composition (Lingwood and Simons 2010; Elani et al. 2015). Biological membranes are among the most important features of cellular life, as they allow the active differentiation of the cell from its surrounding. Microbial growth can only be accomplished when the biological membranes within the cell are intact. In this context, the organization of biological membranes is important as it allows the correct positioning and thus functioning of transport proteins. Membrane-associated proteins are organized in microdomains that are enriched by lipid assemblies called lipid rafts. Those lipid rafts represent a kind of compartmentalization (Schneider et al. 2015). Specific proteins exhibit higher activities when they are arranged in lipid rafts and are thus more efficient (Lopez and Kolter 2010; Schneider et al. 2015). Currently, the existence of lipid rafts in living cells is accepted, but their occurrence in the microbial cytoplasmic membrane was controversially discussed in the past (Munro 2003; Shaw 2006). One reason is the small size of lipid rafts and the difficulty of visualizing them by microscopy technologies. The existence of lipid rafts in eukaryotic microbes was first discovered by in vivo single cell studies (Wachtler, Rajagopalan and Balasubramanian 2003). Lipid rafts were visualized by using a fluorescent probe that forms specific complexes with membrane proteins, which was detected with fluorescence microscopy. Later, the existence of microscale domains with equal structures and functions to eukaryotic lipid rafts was proven in bacteria (Lopez and Kolter 2010). Schneider et al. investigated the diversity of lipid rafts in individual B. subtilis cells (Fig. 6; Schneider et al. 2015). Distinct lipid rafts were responsible for regulatory tasks in cellular membranes. This diversity of functionalized microdomains facilitates the strategic organization of membrane connected signaling networks in a cell. Schneider et al. (2015) concluded that bacteria are organized in a more complex way than expected, as bacterial membranes were originally thought to be homogeneous, compartment-free structures. The complexity of membrane-related processes can now be investigated from a completely new perspective.

**Cell shape adaptation**

Cells are able to adapt their shape to spatial restrictions. The adaptability of cell shape to confined cultivation spaces was investigated with a microfluidic device containing microchannels with different heights (Maennik et al. 2009). The device had several adjacent growth chambers, which were connected by microchannels with decreasing diameters. Escherichia coli cells were able to actively swim through channels up to widths equal to their own diameter. Cells passed through channels with diameters smaller than their own by growing into the channel entry. The newborn cell in the channel exhibited a smaller
diameter than the mother and was able to traverse the small channel and propagate into the next growth chamber (Maennik et al. 2009). Escherichia coli cells are obviously able to adapt their size to promote the colonization of their environment. Escherichia coli cells that grew in the channels with smaller heights than their own diameter exhibited anomalous broadening shapes compared with the typical rod-shaped morphology (Maennik et al. 2012). Interestingly, these irregularly shaped cells divided into rod-shaped daughter cells of equal size. This demonstrates that cell volume partitioning is robust and accurate during division, even when the cell morphology is temporarily impaired.

A specific definition of cell geometry was achieved by using agarose pads with polydimethylsiloxane ceilings that contained imprinted microchambers. Single E. coli cells grew in these chambers with prescribed shapes such as crescents, zigzags, sinuosoids and spirals (Takeuchi et al. 2005). The unusually shaped cells were motile and retained their shape after release (Takeuchi et al. 2005). A similar microfluidic device was used to study the adaptation of Min protein dynamics in E. coli cells exhibiting anomalous shapes (squares, rectangles, triangles and circles) (Wu et al. 2015a). Min proteins oscillate from pole to pole over the length of the cells and are responsible for the accurate localization of the cell septum before division in many bacteria (Wu et al. 2015a). Wu et al. visualized Min oscillation using fluorescent fusion proteins in differently shaped cells in order to determine the influence of cell size and geometry. Min protein oscillations aligned to symmetry axes in the cells regardless of the cell shape. The symmetry axes were oriented in such a way that the total length of the axis was between 3 and 6 μm. The Min proteins predominantly rotated in the cell when the symmetry axes were shorter. Disordered oscillations were observed for longer symmetry axes. Overall, the formation of highly artificial cell shapes enabled discovery of the dependency of Min protein oscillation on geometrical parameters and allowed the study of molecular interactions that are dependent on cell morphology.

The filamentous cell shape is a naturally occurring anomalous morphology of bacteria arising without spatial restriction during growth. Bacterial filamentation is a growth phenomenon where cells exclusively grow by elongation without division (Jaimes-Lizcano, Hunn and Papadopoulos 2014). Filamentation is advantageous in waste water treatment, because it is required for flocculation (Aonofriesi and Petrosanu 2007).

In biofilms, filamentous bacteria increase the film thickness and roughness. This is disadvantageous in industry because it entails, for example, energy loss in heat exchangers due to fouling (McCoy et al. 1981). Understanding mechanisms provoking filamentous bacterial growth is therefore important. Population-based studies showed bacterial filamentation to be a result of the SOS response (Justice et al. 2008). Individual recombinant E. coli cells with a constitutively suppressed SOS response were investigated to validate its role in filamentation (Wang et al. 2010a). Filamentation rates were reduced in cells with a suppressed SOS response. The suppression of the SOS response also inhibited filamentous cell elongation. Furthermore, experiments with isolated E. coli cells that grew in the mother machine revealed a stable, filamentation-free growth for more than 50 generations under steady-state growth conditions. Filamentation was only observed for cells exhibiting an elevated replicative age (Fig. 7; Wang et al. 2010a). The filamentous phenotype was shown to be reversible in E. coli (Probst et al. 2013b). This was investigated by following growth and morphology over time in a monolayer growth chamber. A filamentous E. coli cell of a microcolony was picked with optical tweezers and relocalized into the center of a monolayer growth chamber. Astonishingly, the filamentous cells resumed normal growth after a few generations with specific growth rates similar to the original microcolony (Probst et al. 2013b). The formation mechanism of bacterial filamentation is far from being understood, but the basis has been established. The origin of filamentous bacterial growth will be pursued in future single cell research.

**Cell wall properties**

Cell walls are involved in cell shape maintenance. The cell wall is subject to internal pressure and extracellular restrictions caused by shear forces and spatial boundaries (Takeuchi et al. 2005; Maennik et al. 2009; Pelletier et al. 2012; Amir 2014). The cytosol is crowded due to the large number of macromolecules such as proteins, nucleic acids and carbohydrates (Amir 2014; Nakano, Miyoshi and Sugimoto 2014). Storage of the bacterial chromosome in the restricted space of the cytosol causes entropic forces, which were investigated by Pelletier et al. (2012). Individual plasmolyzed E. coli cells were loaded into
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**Figure 6.** Microdomain formation in growing cells of *Bacillus subtilis*. The two proteins FloA and FloT arrange locally in distinct microdomains in *B. subtilis* cells. Protein localization was revealed by photoactivated localization microscopy of mLoS2-labelled proteins (top). Variability in foci formation of FloA is indicated by fluorescence labeling with green fluorescent protein (GFP) or the red fluorescent protein mCherry (bottom). (Adapted from Schneider et al. 2015.)

**Figure 7.** Cell shape maintenance and filamentous growth of *E. coli* is coupled to the replicative age of cells. Filamentation of individual mother cells only occurs at elevated replicative age. (Adapted and republished from Wang et al. 2010a with permission of Elsevier, Copyright © 2010.)
microchannels of the mother machine, digested with lysozyme and lysed by an osmotic shock (Pelletier et al. 2012; Wegner et al. 2012). The rapid cell lysis caused the direct chromosome expansion into the microchannels. Chromosomes were visualized via functional fusion of a fluorescent protein to the nucleoid-associated protein HupA (Marceau et al. 2011). The mechanical properties of the chromosome were quantified by compressing and releasing the chromosome in a closed microchannel using a polystyrene microbead as a piston that was moved by optical tweezers (Pelletier et al. 2012). The forces were approximated with entropic spring models, which depend on compressed chromosome size, the equilibrium length after expansion and characteristic constants. For the first time, the mechanical energy stored in the chromosome was quantified. The mechanical energy amounted to $\sim 10^3 k_B T$ and repeated chromosome compression required a force of $\sim 100$ pN (Pelletier et al. 2012).

The cell wall has hence to withstand high internal pressures. Simultaneously, the cell wall has to be flexible for adaptation to external forces such as mechanical stresses caused by shear forces (Amir 2014). The resistance of single E. coli and B. subtilis cells against shear was monitored with cells that were grown in the microchannels of the mother machine. Cells were exposed to filamentation-inducing conditions, which suppressed cell division (Amir 2014). Filamentous cells protruded out of the microchannels into the main trench and were subjected to shear forces, which led to cell bending. Resulting deformations were measured to describe the shape adaptation and recovery capacity of cells. Cells deformed elastically when they were subjected to temporary forces. In contrast, cells deformed plasticly when bending forces were constantly applied during growth (Amir 2014). The cell wall composition also affected the bending stiffness of cells (Wang et al. 2010b). Cell wall mutants of Escherichia coli were immobilized on a polyethylenimine-coated coverslip and poly-lysine-coated beads were immobilized on the cell tips. Bending forces were then applied using optical tweezers that manipulated the beads at the cell tips. In this way structural proteins could be identified that contributed to the rigidity of the cell wall (Wang et al. 2010b).

**Cell size homeostasis**

Cell sizes vary in a narrow range within the same species (Amir 2014; Campos et al. 2014; Jun and Taheri-Araghi 2015). The size of bacteria rarely exceeds the two-fold difference between cell division events when they are cultivated under constant environmental conditions (Jun and Taheri-Araghi 2015). How microbes maintain their size is a central question in microbiology since cellular physiological growth states are still under investigation (Kjeldgaard, Maaloe and Schaechter 1958). Distinct theoretical models were postulated for the underlying intrinsic regulatory mechanisms. It was assumed that cells divide at a critical threshold, dependent on an increase in size, time or volume (Schaechter et al. 1962; Cooper and Helmstetter 1968; Donachie 1968). Validation of these models was impossible with population-based analyses, because they merely deliver averaged data and neglect individual physiological effects (Jun and Taheri-Araghi 2015). Additionally, monitoring a huge number of cell divisions under non-fluctuating environmental conditions was not realizable (Campos et al. 2014).

Time-lapse investigations of cell division in individual E. coli and C. crescentus cells in a microfluidic device (Ullman et al. 2013) has uncovered the intrinsic principles of bacterial cell size homeostasis (Fig. 8; Campos et al. 2014). Both species exhibited distinct cell division characteristics. C. crescentus cells that were shorter than the population average produced daughter cells that were longer than the mother cell. Inversely, cells that were longer than the population average divided into shorter daughter cells. In contrast, E. coli cells grew on average to a common length, independent of their original size (Campos et al. 2014). Both species did not divide at a critical size threshold, which refuted the theoretical model of a critical cell size-based bacterial cell size homeostasis. Interestingly, cells of both species constantly elongated before division. This verified the theoretical model of a constant extension mechanism that was hypothesized in the same study (Campos et al. 2014). The constant extension mechanism is based on a constant elongation rate during steady-state growth conditions, in which cells divide when a target length increase is reached (Campos et al. 2014). Experiments with individual E. coli, B. subtilis and C. crescentus cells supported a very similar model (Iyer-Biswas et al. 2014; Jun and Taheri-Araghi 2015). Individual cell divisions of E. coli and B. subtilis were quantitatively analyzed under seven controlled environmental conditions. Cells added a constant volume before they divided, independent on their original cell size (Jun and Taheri-Araghi 2015). This so-called ‘adder’ behavior was further investigated and linked to the molecular mechanisms of chromosome replication (Wallden et al. 2016). It was found that chromosome replication is activated after the addition of a fixed volume per chromosome. These observations could be translated into a growth and division model for E. coli that links cell-to-cell differences in division timing and cell size to variations in specific growth rates. The size of C. crescentus cells was found to increase exponentially during cell cycle progression and division occurred when cells reached a multiple of 1.8 of their initial size (Iyer-Biswas et al. 2014). In contrast to mother machine experiments, Iyer-Biswas et al. achieved single cell fixation over several generations via inducible cell adhesion. Cells and medium that contained the adhesion inducer were constantly flushed through a microfluidic device. Inducer free medium was supported after cell adhesion, which removed the daughter cells that did not adhere (Iyer-Biswas et al. 2014). The authors transferred the experimental findings obtained to a generally valid single cell scaling law for bacteria. Large datasets were implemented into a mathematical model that perceived fluctuations in cell sizes. The established single-cell scaling laws comprise a proportional correlation between the mean division time and the inverse of the mean growth rate, the temperature independence of the mean division-time distribution, and scaling of the figure.
coefficient of variation of cell size with the square root of time for a given initial cell size (Jyer-Biswas et al. 2014). With this, a relatively simple, but generally valid mathematical framework was developed for the description of the cell sizing during stochastic growth and division.

To conclude, the cell size threshold model was refuted. A model that is based on a constantly added volume difference was confirmed to describe the mechanisms of cell size homeostasis correctly.

Intracellular pH regulation

The intracellular pH (pH$_i$) is tightly associated with the cytosolic buffer capacity and linked to the integrity of cell membranes and the membrane potential (Aabo et al. 2011; David et al. 2012; Orij et al. 2012; Bencina 2013). The pH$_i$ level affects essential biological processes and enzyme functionalities, regulates metabolic processes such as cellular redox metabolism and molecular transport across membranes, and affects cell vitality and viability (Brett, Donowitz and Rao 2006; Weigert et al. 2009; Aabo et al. 2011; Orij et al. 2012). Earlier population-based attempts to discover the relationship between oscillations in the microbial cell cycle and pH homeostasis were misleading. Karagiannis and Young (2001) revealed that the reported dynamic pH$_i$ changes were caused by synchronization steps of the population, which perturbed the cells. Such complex synchronization procedures are obsolete when individual cells are investigated. The pH$_i$ of single cells and their cellular compartments are accessible via genetically encoded biosensors such as pHluorin. pHluorin is a green fluorescent protein-derived biosensor that reacts with conformer switching to the surrounding pH (Miesenboeck et al. 1998; Orij et al. 2009; Valkonen et al. 2013). The conformer switch manifests in a changed spatial arrangement of the protein caused by the protonation and deprotonation of its amino acids. The switch induces a detectable shift in fluorescence intensity at two distinct excitation wavelengths and can be used as a ratiometric pH probe. The linear response of pHluorin is between pH 5.5 and 8.0, which covers most of the physiological pH$_i$ values that have been reported for living microbial cells (Brett, Donowitz and Rao 2006).

pHluorin was used as a probe to measure the pH$_i$ of individual Schizosaccharomyces pombe cells. Contrary to the results obtained with synchronized populations, the pH$_i$ turned out to be constant during the cell cycle of exponentially growing, unperturbed single cells. These results clearly demonstrate how experimental data can be biased by population effects and averaged values.

Maintaining a constant pH$_i$ is an indicator for cellular fitness, which in turn affects the quality of biotechnological manufacturing processes (Valli et al. 2006; Valkonen et al. 2013; Valkonen, Penttilä and Bencina 2014). Individual S. cerevisiae cells were investigated to perform a rational and effective strain improvement strategy regarding lactic acid production (Valli et al. 2006). Accordingly, a correlation between the lactic acid production capacity and the pH$_i$ maintenance capacity of single cells was established. The pH$_i$ was determined by staining with the fluorescent probe carboxy-SNARF-4F AM (SNARF-4F 5-(and-6)-carboxylic acid, acetoxymethyl ester, acetate; Valli et al. 2005, 2006). Cells with improved pH$_i$ maintenance capacities were more robust against cytosolic acidification (Valli et al. 2006). These cells exhibited higher pH$_i$ values and simultaneously higher lactic acid productivities. Cells with improved lactic acid productivities were isolated and applied for further process optimizations (Valli et al. 2006).

Heat production

Temperature is an important parameter in industry as it can determine the (economic) success of a bioprocess, since microbial metabolic heat entails a temperature increase in bioreactors that has to be counteracted. Elevated temperatures might cause a deactivation of the cellular biocatalyst, which can be prevented by the installation of cooling technologies (Maskow et al. 2010). Cells emit heat to dissipate excess energy. The magnitude of heat formation depends on the stoichiometry of growth and product formation. Heat emission thus reflects the metabolic activity of the cell (Von Stockar et al. 2008; Maskow et al. 2010). Furthermore, various velocities of biochemical reactions in microbial metabolism are known to be temperature-dependent (Heijn 1994; Cornish-Bowden 2014). The intracellular temperature thus correlates with biological reactions and functions such as transcription factor binding, mRNA structure, protein folding and membrane permeability (Nobel 1969; John and Weeks 2000; McCabe et al. 2011; Tsuji et al. 2013).

Macroscopic analyses of microbial heat production are commonly based on calorimetric methods combined with theoretical thermodynamic energy balancing (Von Stockar and Briou 1989; Von Stockar et al. 1993; Lechner, Maskow and Wolf 2008). However, the heat power resolution of calorimeters (about 10 mW L$^{-1}$ in a chip calorimeter; Lechner, Maskow and Wolf 2008) is far below the resolution required to detect heat production of single cells (e.g. 7.8 pW for an E. coli cell; Lechner, Maskow and Wolf 2008). To our knowledge, only two studies are currently published that report the intracellular temperature quantification in single living microbial cells (McCabe et al. 2011; Tsuji et al. 2013). In the first study, a temperature-sensitive vector was developed. The vector included a promoter that regulated the temperature-dependent lacZ expression. Expression of lacZ, and thus the production of LacZ (β-galactosidase, EC 3.1.26.12) increased with increasing temperature. LacZ converted fluorogenic substrates into chromophores and its quantity was used to approximate the intracellular temperature (McCabe et al. 2011). The detection range of this method was between 35 and 45°C with an average sensitivity of 0.7°C in E. coli cells (McCabe et al. 2011). However, gene expression fluctuations in individual cells were not taken into account with this method.

Next to the genetic thermometer, a cationic fluorescence polymeric thermometer was developed for measuring temperatures inside cells. The fluorescent polymer is spontaneously retained within the cytoplasm (Tsuji et al. 2008; Maskow and Wolf 2008). To our knowledge, only two studies have described techniques. Nevertheless, the presented studies demonstrate fascinating opportunities for the future. One example could be the contribution of cells to global warming, because microbial heat production effects are known to be involved in thawing rates of the arctic permafrost (Schaefer et al. 2014; Hollesen et al. 2015).

Single cell biochemistry

In this section we review single cell tools and their applications for analyzing biochemical parameters at the subcellular level. Biochemical parameters are involved in functions and mechanisms on all hierarchical cell organization levels, from genome
to metabolome (Fig. 2C). Single cell analyses are important for advancing the omics fields, but considering every element of this field would go beyond the scope of this review. Hence, we focus on current technologies and their applications.

**Genome level**

The genome comprises the heritable information of every living organism. Population-based genome studies prevalently provide metagenome data of microbial communities obtained from environmental samples without culturing (Clingenpeel et al. 2015; Oulas et al. 2015). Metagenomes contain data about the entire community without individual differentiation between cells. In contrast, single cell genomics (SCG) makes genome sequences of individual cells accessible, which reveals the metabolic potential of the community (Clingenpeel et al. 2015). SCG therefore links function to phylogeny and assigns gene organization structures to individual genomes within complex environments (Siegl et al. 2011; Stepanauskas 2012; Clingenpeel et al. 2015).

SCG studies have been frequently performed to discover hitherto unknown species of symbiotically living organisms (Siegl et al. 2011; Embree et al. 2014; Kashtan et al. 2014), for establishing genomic blueprints of microbial communities (Probst et al. 2015), and for deciphering genomic heterogeneity in monocolonies (De Bourcy et al. 2014). The high resolution of SCG allows comparison of the genetic repertoire of individual population members in monocolonies or closely related strains that occupy the same habitat. In contrast, population-based metagenomic analyses often fail to assign analytical information to single cells due to the inability to cultivate or study them in isolation. In addition, the origin of pooled information is still not precisely traceable with metagenome analyses (Embree et al. 2014). SCG technologies can also be used for analyzing unculturable microbes and especially for deciphering their individual traits (Grindberg et al. 2011; Swan et al. 2011; Martinez-Garcia et al. 2012), which expands the range of applications of population-based genomic methods. As an example, new pathways for microbial CO2 fixation were discovered by SCG studies of prokaryotes that occupy subtropical gyres (Swan et al. 2011). Microbial CO2 fixation constitutes an alternative way to store the atmospheric carbon surplus (Jiao et al. 2014). Atmospheric CO2 affects processes like global warming and climate change, which in turn affect the environmental conditions of natural microbial habitats (Soon et al. 1999). Finding a way to decrease the accumulation rate of CO2 in the atmosphere has far-reaching consequences for the ecosphere. Atmospheric carbon storage with maritime chemosynthetic cyanobacteria is an alternative for microbial CO2 fixation (Li et al. 2012b). The fixation mechanisms are not yet completely understood, because methods for the identification of hitherto unculturable microorganisms were lacking for a long time (Li et al. 2012b). The mechanism of microbial CO2 fixation in the dark ocean may also be analyzed with population-based metagenomics. However, single cell technologies enable pure cultures to be provided for further analyses (Swan et al. 2011).

The discovery of new metabolic pathways or related genes of unculturable microbes also supports the exploitation of catalytic potential in biotechnology. The microbes currently used in biotechnological applications represent only a minority of microorganisms present in nature. Only 1% of all bacteria are culturable at present (Lasken and McLean 2014). Hence, the catalytic potential of uncultured microorganisms is still far from being exhausted for industrial biotechnological production processes and will serve as a target for further SCG research in future.

The identification of microbes in microbial communities or hitherto unculturable microorganisms and the amplification of their isolated genomes provide an important basis for SCG (Li et al. 2012a; Clingenpeel et al. 2015). Technologies for identifying microorganisms in their natural environment include fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) combined with microautoradiography (MAR) (Kong, Nielsen and Nielsen 2005; Wagner et al. 2006), Raman microspectroscopy (Haider et al. 2010; Li et al. 2012b; Berry et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2015) and nanometer-scale secondary ion mass spectrometry (nanoSIMS) (Milucka et al. 2012; Berry et al. 2013; McGlynn et al. 2015). New microbial species and their functional properties in wastewater treatment were discovered using FISH-MAR (Kong, Nielsen and Nielsen 2005). In FISH cells are exposed to fluorescently labeled oligonucleotides that specifically bind to RNA. The cells are then analyzed by fluorescence microscopy and identified according to their staining pattern. MAR technology can be used simultaneously to quantify the uptake of specific molecules. For this purpose, microbes are incubated with radiolabeled substrates prior to analysis and are then exposed to an autoradiographic emulsion. Silver grains are formed on active cells and the amount of silver grains can be correlated with the amount of radiolabeled substrates (Musal et al. 2012). Kong, Nielsen and Nielsen (2005) used FISH-MAR to screen for polyphosphate-accumulating cells in wastewater. New Actinobacteria species were thereby identified, which were able to take up and store polyphosphate (Kong, Nielsen and Nielsen 2005). The use of such polyphosphate-accumulating organisms helps to protect wastewater-receiving waters against eutrophication.

An alternative technology for cell identification is nanoSIMS. This is based on mass spectrometry of secondary ions, which are formed by sample bombardment with primary ions. The well-focused beam has a high lateral resolution for sample scanning (Musal et al. 2012). Even subcellular structures like the pigment formed within archaea and proteobacteria from marine sediments can be studied with nanoSIMS (Milucka et al. 2012). However, nanoSIMS is an invasive technology that excludes further analyses of the same cell.

In contrast, Raman microspectroscopy is a non-destructive cell identification method. Molecules inside cells are excited with monochromatic light by a laser. This causes a wavelength shift of the scattered light, which is recorded as a Raman spectrum. The spectrum peaks are characteristic for the composition and conformation of the sample analyzed. Hence, this technology can be used for cell identification or analyses of cell components such as storage compounds (Musal et al. 2012; Majed et al. 2012; Milucka et al. 2012). The non-destructive character of Raman microscopy allows further analyses of the same cell after identification. Berry et al. (2015) combined Raman microspectroscopy with FISH to detect heavy water (D2O) that was incorporated in distinct active bacterial and archaeal cells. D2O-labeled metabolically active cells were isolated with optical tweezers. The genomes of the isolated cells were amplified and subsequently sequenced. The method was applied for identifying individual microbes in the mouse cecum as a proof-of-principle. It is important to understand the compound utilization and composition of the microbial gut community, because it is directly involved in the pathogen defense system. Single cell Raman spectroscopy is also a powerful tool that enables assigning metabolic cell states to genomics (Song et al. 2017). Individual carotenoid-containing cells from Red Sea water samples were isolated with a Raman-activated cell sorting system. The subsequent gene sequencing revealed putative genes responsible for carotenoid biosynthesis. The analysis of
metabolism and genome hence allows understanding of the physiological interrelations of biological information and its utilization in cellular catalytic activity.

The amplification of whole genomes or low amounts of nucleic acids contained in a single cell is mostly performed with multiple displacement amplification (MDA). MDA is based on random primer annealing to denatured DNA strands and strand displacement synthesis at a constant temperature catalyzed by a 429 DNA polymerase (Liang, Cai and Sun 2014). MDA provides minor amplification bias, generates long amplicons and has a lower error rate of 1 per 10^6–10^7 bases (Blainey 2013; Liang, Cai and Sun 2014). Multiple annealing and loop-elongation-based amplification cycles (MALBAC) is an alternative single cell gene amplification method (Liang, Cai and Sun 2014). MALBAC is based on multiple cycles of strand displacement pre-amplification with random primers and subsequent amplification by polymerase chain reaction. The amplicons form loops ensuring quasilinear pre-amplification, because the amplification product cannot be used as a new template by the polymerase (Liang, Cai and Sun 2014). Both methods, MDA and MALBAC, were compared for whole genome amplification of single E. coli cells with regard to single-nucleotide and copy number variations (De Bourcy et al. 2014). MALBAC was more robust for copy number variant measurements. MDA analysis was more suitable for single-nucleotide variant analyses than MALBAC, since it has a lower amplification-based error rate.

Amplified single cell genomes are mostly sequenced with next generation sequencing technologies, such as the commercially available 454 pyrosequencing (Rothenberg and Leamon 2008; Shendure and Ji 2008; Liu et al. 2012) and with Solexa/Illumina (Shendure and Ji 2008) and SOLiD sequencers (Shendure and Ji 2008; Liu et al. 2012). (For a comprehensive description of sequencing technologies and bioinformatics data analysis tools, see Oulas et al. 2015.) In general, next generation sequencing is a reliable, relatively cost-effective and readily available state-of-the-art technology that enables high-throughput sequencing. The capabilities of single cell genome analyses present scientists with new tasks, namely to provide vital single cells suspended in adequate liquid volumes that are free of exogenous DNA. The application of microfluidic lab-on-a-chip devices is one option to separate cells, encapsulate them into droplets, sort them and export them into adequate compartments for subsequent genome analysis (Zhang et al. 2017). However, single cell sequencing technologies require further development in terms of accuracy to unfold their full potential for systems-level analyses of genomes in correlation with physiological data.

**Transcriptome level**

The genome of cells is fixed, meaning that the entire DNA that a cell contains is already determined during cell division. In contrast, the transcriptome is highly dynamic, because the transcript composition varies from cell to cell and from time to time. Transcripts are all kinds of RNA molecules that are synthesized by transcription of DNA, such as tRNA, rRNA, mRNA, as well as the non-coding RNA in the form of introns in eukaryotic cells. Variations in transcript abundance thus affect protein stability, localization, translation and functionality (Pelechano, Wei and Steinmetz 2013).

Transcript variations were detected by investigations of individual yeast cells originating from an isogenic population. The variations were induced by stochastic processes in gene expression and environmental fluctuations (Becskei, Kaufmann and Van Oudenaarden 2005; Colman-Lerner et al. 2005). The biological significance of such cell heterogeneities can be described in more detail by analyzing entire transcriptomes of individual cells (Lidstrom and Meldrum 2003; Wang et al. 2015). The first transcriptome analysis of a single bacterium was performed with *Burkholderia thailandensis* (Kang et al. 2011). Individual cells were isolated with optical tweezers and displaced onto a membrane. Membrane pieces with attached cells were cut and transferred into lysis buffer for further processing. Released mRNA was enriched with a terminator 5’-phosphate-dependent exonuclease, which selectively degraded rRNA and tRNA. Enriched mRNA was transcribed into circularized cDNA, which was amplified using MDA (Kang et al. 2011). This novel strategy for transcript amplification was chosen because earlier linear and exponential amplification methods required immense laboratory work, had a low reproducibility and had extensive gene expression bias (Kang et al. 2011). The long processing times often resulted in RNA loss due to extremely low transcript contents contained in a single cell and the short half-life of RNA (Gao, Zhang and Meldrum 2011). The circularized cDNA was analyzed by DNA microarray technology; 94–96% of transcripts from single *B. thailandensis* cells were reliably sequenced with this approach and the individual induction and repression of gene product syntheses by the inhibitor glyphosate were detected (Kang et al. 2011).

*Burkholderia thailandensis* cells contain extremely high transcript levels of about 2 pg, which is not representative for the majority of bacterial cells (Kang et al. 2011). Lower amounts of RNA, about 5 fg, are sufficient for sequencing with the RNA sequencing method BaSiC (Bacterial Single Cell) (Wang et al. 2015). This method is simple to use and requires no specialized equipment, because all sequencing steps can be performed with commercially available kits with minor modifications. Individual cells are separated with a micromanipulator, RNA is isolated with a Zymo RNA Isolation Kit and RNA is translated to cDNA with the One-Direct RNA Amplification System. Resulting cDNA is amplified with the NuGen WT-Ovation One-Direct RNA Amplification System and sequenced by using Illumina’s Solexa sequencer. The applicability of the method was validated by transcriptome analyses of individual *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 cells; 82–98% of single cell transcriptomes were sequenced within a processing time of 8 h per cell. The duration of the sequencing process for a single cell transcriptome has to be significantly reduced, because high throughput is required to distinguish between stochastic and significant differences in gene expression. Alternatively, the process could be optimized by parallelization to achieve high throughput and to yield statistically relevant data (Wang et al. 2015).

**Proteome level**

Proteins, in their role as enzymes, are responsible for catalyzing metabolic reactions, replicating DNA, the cellular response to stimuli, the regulation of intracellular biochemical processes and the transport of molecules (Schmid et al. 2010). The entire protein content of a cell at a specific time point represents the proteome. The proteome decisively affects the physiological state and the ability to respond to changing environmental conditions. Interestingly, a correlation between the protein and transcript copy number of any gene was not detected within single E. coli cells, although transcriptome and proteome are dependent on the same gene expression mechanisms (Taniguchi et al. 2010). This contradiction was ascribed to different lifetimes of mRNA and proteins. Transcripts in the form of mRNA are mostly degraded within minutes, whereas most proteins have longer lifetimes than the cell cycle. The transcriptome thus reflects the recent transcriptional activity, while the proteome represents accumulated gene expression over the lifetime of the
cells (Taniguchi et al. 2010). A single microbial cell contains more than 1000 different protein species depending on environmental conditions (Schmid et al. 2010). A lack of methods for the simultaneous recording of the entire protein content of a single cell makes whole proteome analyses extremely challenging and currently impossible. Nevertheless, parts of the proteome of single cells have already been successfully analyzed.

Enzymes of individual E. coli cells were quantified with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and monitored via fluorescence microscopy (Stratz et al. 2014). Individual cells were mechanically trapped between two pillar barriers of a sealable fluidic microchamber. The trapped cells were lysed and enzymes, in this case a β-galactosidase, were bound to antibodies that were previously immobilized by avidin linkers and polyl-lysine)-g-poly(ethylene glycol) biotin (Stratz et al. 2014). The enzyme quantity was detected by a fluorescence signal, which was emitted after the addition of fluorescein di-β-D-galactopyranoside. The β-galactosidase hydrolyzed fluorescein di-β-D-galactopyranoside into fluorescent fluorescein and galactose. The limit of detection was as low as 200 enzymes. The β-galactosidase concentration depended on the composition of the growth medium and the β-galactosidase abundance in individual E. coli cells was variable (Stratz et al. 2014). This demonstrates the phenotypic heterogeneity in the proteome of isogenic populations.

Intracellular proteins can also be localized and quantified with single molecule localization microscopy (SMLM). Stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy and photoactivated localization microscopy are the most important SMLM techniques for protein analyses in single cells. Both technologies are based on the sequential activation of photoswitchable fluorophores (Lukyanov et al. 2005; Bates, Jones and Zhuang 2013). The high spatial resolution of such technologies allows single molecule detection and hence cellular functions can be assigned to specific proteins.

SMLM technologies were used, for example, to describe how genetic material is organized in bacteria (Dame, Wyman and Goosen 2000; Jensen and Shapiro 2003; Strunnikov 2006; Sullivan, Marquis and Rudner 2009; Schwartz and Shapiro 2011; Wang et al. 2011a; Scolari, Sclavi and Cosentino Lagomarsino 2015; Song and Loparo 2015). Similar to DNA condensation in chromosomes of euaryocytes (Misteli 2007), a global chromosome organization mechanism enables plugging of long DNA in small bacterial cells. The plugging mechanism is related to protein–DNA interactions (Nolivos and Sherratt 2014; Song and Loparo 2015). The contribution of nucleoid-associated proteins (NAPs) and structural maintenance of chromosomes proteins (SMCs) to the bacterial chromosome organization was identified with population-based analyses (Song and Loparo 2015). However, these analyses were not sufficient to decrypt the underlying mechanisms, due to the limited resolution for studying protein localization.

Experiments with single C. crescentus cells proved that SMCs interact with DNA in an ordered pattern. SMCs aggregated as condensing complex foci in the bacterial chromosome (Jensen and Shapiro 2003). SMC dynamics during the cell cycle and cell division have been successfully discovered in different bacteria (Mascarenhas et al. 2002; Jensen and Shapiro 2003; Strunnikov 2006). SMC foci localized at the cell poles prior to cell division of individual C. crescentus cells and immediately disappeared after division (Fig. 9; Jensen and Shapiro 2003). Hence, the polar SMC aggregates dissociated during cell division. In contrast, NAPs were found to form DNA clusters by bridging and wrapping DNA strands. Variable DNA-condensation degrees, which are regulated by NAPs, suggested a smart polymer function for the bacterial nucleoid. This was revealed by analyzing single cells with SMLM (Scolari, Sclavi and Cosentino Lagomarsino 2015). Smart polymers react to external stimuli with large changes in their conformational transition. External stimuli can be small changes in the environmental temperature, pH values or salt concentrations. NAPs thus cause either the extension or the condensation of the chromosome in response to small changes in environmental conditions (Scolari, Sclavi and Cosentino Lagomarsino 2015). Wang et al. reported that the NAP H-NS forms compact clusters in the bacterial nucleoid that are separated from each other, which was analyzed with fluorescent fusion proteins (Wang et al. 2011a). In a further study, the fusion of distinct fluorescence marker proteins to the same NAP was identified to originate from methodological artifacts. The large, discrete clusters were caused by dimerization of fusion proteins (Wang et al. 2014; Song and Loparo 2015). In truth, the abundance of H-NS is much more dispersed than expected. This demonstrates the importance of a deliberate choice of analysis method and the design of control experiments.

The knowledge obtained about the bacterial chromosome organization formed the basis for pursuing single cell studies in other research areas, e.g. studies of cell division, DNA replication and DNA repair (Britton et al. 2007; Simmons et al. 2008; Gupta et al. 2014; Uphoff and Kapanidis 2014; Stracy et al. 2015). Escherichia coli cells divide in a morphologically symmetric manner under optimal growth conditions, while the intracellular material is stochastically distributed between the dividing cells (Yu and Margolin 1999; Gupta et al. 2014). The division of individual E. coli cells was followed for nine generations by automated time-lapse microscopy of monolayer colonies in order to investigate cell aging (Stewart et al. 2005). Cells with inherited old poles (the end of the cell pre-existing from a previous
Metabolome level

The metabolome encompasses substrates, intermediates and products of the entire metabolism with molecular masses of typically less than 2 kDa (Zenobi 2013). This includes amino acids, organic acids, fatty acids, carbohydrates, nucleotides, adenine triphosphate (ATP) as energy carrier and redox equivalents like NAD(P)(H) and FAD(H) as cofactors (Brett, Donowitz and Rao 2006). The intracellular metabolite composition changes at time scales between milliseconds and seconds (Zenobi 2013). Monitoring of the metabolome condition is challenging because of its dynamic character. The various chemical molecule classes within the metabolome even complicate accessing the entirety of metabolites. Metabolite quantification of a single cell is especially challenging, because the minute sam-
transition (Mustafi et al. 2014). The occurrence of these distinct cell types illustrates a complex regulation during the absence of growth substrates, which underlies the formation of non-producing cells. The non-producing cells might negatively influence the productivity of industrial biotechnological production processes. The reduction of such phenotypes might prospectively lead to the enhancement of industrial process efficiency.

Beyond the exploitation of the natural metabolite production of microbes, new synthetic metabolic pathways can be created that do not exist in nature. This adds novel functions to well-known gene networks or improves the activity of the target pathways (Fritz et al. 2010). Single cell microbiology allows a precise characterization of novel pathway properties. The non-native D-xylonate production pathway from D-xylose in S. cerevisiae is an example of a synthetic gene network (Toivari et al. 2012; Nygard et al. 2014). D-Xylonate is an organic acid that serves as an important platform chemical for the production of biomass-derived plastics or as a concrete additive (Chun et al. 2006; Toivari et al. 2010). Cells exhibiting the synthetic pathway accumulate D-xylonate intracellularly (Toivari et al. 2012). Nygard et al. hypothesized that this product accumulation causes a vitality/viability loss during D-xylonate production, which is highly undesirable for an industrial production process. Mechanistic characteristics of the new metabolic pathways were analyzed in order to test this hypothesis (Fig. 12).

The lactone ring opening reaction prior to D-xylonate production was identified as the rate-limiting step, revealed with time-resolved analysis of individual cells containing a biosensor (Nygard et al. 2014). These restrictions of the heterologous production pathways can now be used for specific process optimization by debottlenecking metabolic conversions via targeted genetic engineering.

MS-based technologies constitute a good alternative for the simultaneous analysis of several metabolites, because they provide quantitative information and deliver structural descriptions of molecules at the same time (Lottspeich and Engels 2006). The most commonly used ionization technologies for analyzing small amounts of metabolites are matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) (Urban et al. 2010; Passarelli and Ewing 2013) and electrospray ionization (Mizuno et al. 2008; Heinemann and Zenobi 2011). MALDI-MS technologies enable the simultaneous sensitive, label-free detection of numerous metabolites. Nevertheless, the application of MS for single cell analysis is currently restricted to one measurement time point as the analysis compulsorily consumes the cell. Also the full ionization of minute amounts of target molecules, which are usually present in chemically complex solutions, is challenging. Low-abundant molecules could be concentrated with repeated ion accumulation in ion trap mass spectrometers to overcome this challenge (Si et al. 2017). This method was successfully validated for concentrating ATP up to 22-fold. High-density microarrays for MS (MAMS) were developed to aliquot small volumes of solutions or suspensions. This technique enabled high-throughput metabolite analyses of single cells with a detection limit of 100 amol to 10 fmol (Urban et al. 2010; Ibanez et al. 2013). A total of 26 intracellular metabolites of individual S. cerevisiae cells were simultaneously measured with this approach (Ibanez et al. 2013; Zenobi 2013). Ibanez et al. further validated the biological information that can be obtained with MAMS. Intrinsinc metabolic cell-to-cell differences that emerge from cell size, cell age or cell cycle stage were described by the metabolite analysis of individual cells. Two different phenotypes were thus identified in an isogenic S. cerevisiae population by MS-based measurements of the intracellular levels of the glycolytic metabolite fructose-1,6-bisphosphate. In addition, differences in metabolic network regulations were disclosed in single S. cerevisiae cells upon perturbation with 2-deoxy-D-glucose, which blocks glycolysis. The shift of metabolic fluxes was determined by correlating metabolites pairwise. Perturbed cells exhibited a more active pentose phosphate pathway. Metabolites that were too far away from the metabolic entry point of 2-deoxy-D-glucose were not affected by the perturbation (Ibanez et al. 2013). Such metabolite correlations of individual cells enabled description of metabolic regulatory mechanisms at a high resolution and provide a glimpse of future applications of single cell metabolomics.

To conclude, the combination of single cell analyses with standard population-based analyses enables a mechanistic understanding of cellular biology (Table 1). Several fundamental biological questions have already been addressed with this approach and some of the complex underlying biological mechanisms are currently under investigation. The answer to one
### Table 1. Examples for new biological concepts obtained with single cell microbiology which would not have been possible from population-based microbiology.

| Technology for cultivation/analysis | Analysis/data | New concepts                                                                 | References                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| High-throughput microscopy          | Growth rate and gene expression | Intrapopulation heterogeneity is beneficial for surviving e.g. heat stress | Lery, Ziv and Siegal (2012)                                               |
| Microfluidic growth chamber, agarose pads, microscopy | Growth and gene expression | Lag phases during diauxic shifts result from persistent cells that continue to grow slowly resulting in a delay in biomass monitoring | Boulina et al. (2013), Solopova et al. (2014)                              |
| Microfluidic cultivation device, microscopy | Intracellular pH and gene expression | A cross-protection between antibiotics and other stressors enhances cell survival | Mitosch, Rieckh and Bollenbach (2017)                                      |
| Microscopy                          | Viability, bioluminescence and population cell density | Variabilities in quorum sensing mechanisms are related to individual bioluminescence and biofilm formation | Anetzberger, Pirch and Jung (2009)                                        |
| Microscopy                          | Bioluminescence, cell size and gene expression | Autoinducer concentration correlates with population cell densities. Autoinducers are involved in the formation of intrapopulation heterogeneity | Anetzberger, Schell and Jung (2012)                                       |
| Agarose pad, microfluidic monolayer growth chamber, Envirostat, time-resolved microscopy | Specific growth rates | Individual cells that experience optimal growth conditions have higher specific growth rates compared with population scale analyses | Dusny et al. (2015)                                                       |
| Microscopic cell monitoring in a microfluidic device | Elongation rate | During stationary growth phase conditions, the majority of cells do not grow; a minority of cells lyse or grows slowly | Gefen et al. (2014)                                                      |
| Agarose pad, microfluidic monolayer growth chamber, Envirostat, time-resolved microscopy | Division rates, division angles and division symmetry | Non-optimal growth conditions are better compensated by specific growth rates than by morphological characteristics | Dusny et al. (2015)                                                       |
| Microscopy, photo-activated localization microscopy | Gene expression | Bacteria are organized in a more complex way than expected as membrane proteins are arranged in microdomains as a kind of compartmentalization | Schneider et al. (2015)                                                  |
| Mothermachine, microscopy          | Cell shape | Filamentous growth is dependent on the replicative cell age | Wang et al. (2010a)                                                         |
| Monolayer growth chamber, optical tweezers, microscopy | Growth and morphology | The filamentous growth phenotype is not stable but reversible | Probst et al. (2013b)                                                      |
| Agarose pads, time-lapse microscopy | Cell length, elongation rate and relative growth rates | Bacterial cells elongate in a constant manner before cell division | Campos et al. (2014)                                                      |
| Mother machine, time-lapse microscopy | Growth rate, elongation rate, cell size, generation time | Bacterial cells add a constant volume before they divide | Taheri-Araghi et al. (2015b)                                                |
| Microfluidic cultivation device, microscopy | Growth rate, cell volume, generation time | Chromosome replication is initiated after the addition of a fixed volume per chromosome | Wallden et al. (2016)                                                      |
| Microfluidic flow chamber, fluorescence-activated cell sorting, time-lapse microscopy, biosensor | Cell cycle, intracellular pH level, cell length | Exponentially growing cells maintain a constant intracellular pH level during the cell cycle | Karagiannis and Young (2001)                                                |
| Flow cytometry, microscopy, pH staining | Metabolite secretion, intracellular pH level | Cells with improved intracellular pH maintenance capacities exhibit higher intracellular pH levels during lactic acid production resulting in production rates | Valli et al. (2006)                                                        |
| Microscopy                          | Intracellular temperature | Proof of concept of temperature measurement technologies for measuring heat production in single cells | McCabe et al. (2011), Tsuji et al. (2013)                                  |
| Microfluidic device, microscopy    | Growth, growth arrest and gene expression | The metabolic activity in the stationary growth phase is not restricted to a small subpopulation of slowly growing cells but is homogeneously distributed among individuals | Gefen et al. (2014)                                                       |
| Monolayer growth chamber, microscopy, biosensor | Intracellular metabolite concentration, gene expression, viability, growth | Non-producing cells exhibit distinct variability states | Mustafi et al. (2014)                                                      |
| Monolayer growth chamber, microscopy | Gene expression | A promoter system was proven to be extremely sensitive to carbon-catabolite repression, which was previously severely underestimated with population-based analyses | Dusny and Schmid (2016)                                                   |
biological question mostly gives rise to several new ones, often in relation to other physiological parameters. Thus, a network of knowledge about the idealized cell in its environment is established step by step and non-idealities are minimized or describable for the first time. This is in accordance with the demand of synthetic biology that claims abstractable and quantifiable biological elements that can be used to synthesize novel functional cell systems.

**TECHNICAL BIAS IN SINGLE CELL MICROBIOLOGY**

The examples discussed above demonstrate the importance of single cell microbiology and its contribution to obtaining new biological insights beyond populations. However, single cell technologies also have technology-specific restraints. There is little knowledge about how technical bias affects microbial physiology. Very few experimental studies shed light on these aspects despite obvious physiological effects imposed by the technologies of single cell cultivation and analysis. From our experience, bias from single cell technologies is commonly discussed amongst researchers, but often omitted in scientific publications. We therefore think it is important to discuss some major aspects of this topic within the frame of this article.

**Microhabitats**

The most commonly occurring complications in single cell microbiology are growth arrest, loss of viability, changing cell morphologies or altered gene expression patterns upon the introduction of cells into the artificial cultivation microhabitat. The reasons for such phenomena can be manifold and their identification often requires tedious trial-and-error experiments. Attention should be given to the choice of the device material in the first place to identify the origin of these complications.

Biocompatible polymers and adhesives, primarily polydimethysiloxane, are typically used for manufacturing microfluidic devices. Secreted molecules or medium compounds can be sequestered into the polymer, as polymeric substances such as polydimethylsiloxane adsorb small hydrophobic molecules and proteins (Halldorsson et al. 2015). Polymers might be prone to monomer, oligomer or additive leaching as well. The interaction of such leached molecules with cells potentially entails adverse effects on cellular physiology (Regehr et al. 2009). The magnitude of leaching is much more pronounced in microdevices compared with macroscopic cultivations, because microstructured devices have high surface-to-liquid volume ratios. Material compatibility tests should be performed during the device design stage or whenever leaching is suspected to affect microbes. Molecule leaching or sequestration in the device material can be avoided by altering surface characteristics. Inherently hydrophobic polymers such as polydimethylsiloxane are often hydrophilized by plasma treatment during manufacture of the microdevice (Bodas and Khan-Malek 2007; Zhou et al. 2012). Priming of the microfluidic network with sacrificial molecules, e.g. with albumin-spiked growth medium or Tween, can effectively passivate surfaces and restore surface biocompatibility after activation (Turner et al. 2010; Taheri-Araghi et al. 2015a). Alternatively, biocompatible aqueous materials such as agarose gels can be considered for microdevice manufacture (Moffitt, Lee and Cluzel 2012). Direct cell-surface contact further enhances the exposure of cells to leaching substances and might promote adverse cellular behavior (Petrova and Sauer 2012). Surface contact triggers regulatory cascades associated with cell adhesion, which usually remain inactive in liquid cultures (Geng et al. 2014). Non-contactless trapping of single microbes with, for example, negative dielectrophoresis serves here as an alternative (Fritsch et al. 2012).

Microdroplets used for cultivation occupy an exceptional position among the single cell microhabitats. The aqueous cultivation volume is typically confined by a second immiscible continuous phase instead of solid channel walls (Joensson and Andersson Svahn 2012). Technical bias in microdroplets primarily arises from adsorption effects at the liquid-liquid interface (Roach, Song and Ismagilov 2005). Proteins and hydrophobic molecules accumulate at the interface and are depleted in the aqueous cultivation volume owing to the hydrophobic nature of the continuous phase. This effect can be significant due to the high surface-to-volume ratio of the microdroplets. In addition, the physiology of the confined cells is influenced by traces of the continuous phase that can dissolve in the aqueous droplet. Surfactants for droplet stabilization also play a critical role, as they were shown to induce detrimental processes such as cell lysis in eukaryotes (Clausell-Tormos et al. 2008).
Cell trapping and manipulation technologies

Single cell retention, relocation or isolation technologies potentially impose technical bias on microbial physiology. Directed cell manipulation technologies such as optical manipulation, acoustic trapping and electrokinetic trapping involve the exposure of single microbes to a focused light beam, ultrasound or an electric field, respectively (Eriksson et al. 2007, 2010; Evander et al. 2007; Kortmann et al. 2009a). The impact on the cellular physiology depends on the magnitude of field intensity, exposure time and the properties of the biological system. Exposure times and field intensities should be as low as possible to avoid cell damage. Optical tweezers, for example, are only suitable for quickly relocating living cells over short distances within microhabitats, but not for longer trapping of single microbes. Extended optical manipulations of E. coli resulted in a rapid viability loss (Probst et al. 2013b). Growth immediately ceases after the shortest exposure times to the light beam for some microorganisms that are particularly sensitive to light irradiation, such as C. glutamicum (C. Probst, personal communication). Single cell manipulation technologies based on electric fields, such as dielectrophoresis, entail inherent technical bias as well. Local resistive heating, called Joule heating, in dielectrophoresis trapping structures arises from the passing current through the growth medium. In consequence, temperatures inside the trapping structures can be several degrees above the actual chip temperature, which entails physiological responses of trapped cells (Jaeger, Mueller and Schnelle 2007). Quantitative knowledge on the magnitude of heating is necessary for the effective compensation of Joule heating with temperature control systems (Rosenthal et al. 2015). Joule heating compensation has already allowed long-term single cell cultivations of several yeast and bacteria species in negative dielectrophoresis traps without technology-borne effects on microbial physiology (Dusny et al. 2015).

It is of course always advisable to perform control experiments in order to identify and circumvent biological artifacts that might arise from technical bias during cell trapping and manipulation. Reliable comparative experiments can involve population-based controls, but also single cell experiments with complementary cultivation technologies. A simple and cheap variant for control experiments can, for instance, be performed with agarose pads as single cell growth supports (Young et al. 2012).

Mode of cultivation

Unexpected cellular behavior can be imposed by the mode of cultivation. Many microfluidic devices enable the continuous perfusion of single cells with growth medium, which allows controlling and changing of the chemical composition in the single cell microenvironment (Di Carlo, Wu and Lee 2006; Kortmann et al. 2009a; Gruenberger et al. 2012). Perfusion, however, creates a highly artificial situation for the trapped cells, where secreted substances are immediately removed due to the continuous medium flow. In contrast, secreted molecules accumulate to various extents during population-based cultivations. Low quantities of molecules accumulate in the microenvironmental cell surroundings even in continuous chemostat fermentations on a population scale. In consequence, microbial strains show impaired growth behavior when they rely on the extracellular presence of specific secreted substances. Such an impaired growth behavior was described for B. subtilis cells, which were cultivated with minimal medium in a microfluidic perfusion device (Taheri-Araghi et al. 2015b). Growth was restored upon introduction of sterile-filtered, cell-free minimal medium obtained from the late exponential or stationary phase of previous cultivations. Growth did not arrest when complex medium was applied in the single cell perfusion system. The authors attributed this observation to secreted ion carrier molecules that are essential for an effective uptake of extracellular metal salts and hence for cell growth (Langdahl and Ingversen 1997). Similar observations were made by our group when phototrophic microorganisms were cultivated in single cell perfusion systems (unpublished). Growth was immediately interrupted upon perfusion of cells with fresh minimal medium, but could be restored by the addition of minor amounts of cell-free medium from a former batch culture. These observations in microfluidic systems demonstrate how microbes shape their environment. The application of conditioned minimal medium or the addition of essential nutrients can provide information about the necessity of secreted molecules for growth (Taheri-Araghi et al. 2015a).

Analytics

Technical bias from the analysis technique itself might also result in biological artifacts, which leads to wrong conclusions on the cellular mechanism of interest. The validation of single cell experiments with carefully designed control experiments is especially necessary when novel analysis technologies are used. Single cell experiments are presumably more strongly affected by technical bias than experiments with whole populations, because disturbances from analysis technologies do not equally affect all cells in populations. Biological artifacts can hence be compensated by measuring averages from a large number of individuals.

Optical methods are presumably the most prominent technologies for analyzing physiological dynamics in single microbial cells. Fundamental biological mechanisms have been unraveled in single microorganisms with time-lapse brightfield or phase contrast microscopy complemented with fluorescence imaging of fluorescent dyes or proteins (Lee et al. 2012; Young et al. 2013; Vasdekis and Stephanopoulos 2015). Although optical analysis technologies are defined as being non-invasive, this is not always the case. Light-induced phototoxicity can affect all types of microorganisms. Phototoxicity comprises a number of potential mechanisms, in which the formation of highly reactive oxygen species or radicals is probably the most damaging (Zipfel, Williams and Webb 2003; Davies 2004). Even white illumination used for standard brightfield/phase contrast imaging can entail photo-induced effects on microbial metabolism (Woodward, Cirillo and Edmunds 1978; Merbt et al. 2012). Photo-induced physiological effects can be especially critical when high energy UV light from filament-based white light lamps is applied. Appropriate filters for filament-based lamps or LED illumination with a defined light spectrum prevents such UV-induced effects.

Things become more critical when fluorescence imaging is used. The physiology of many microbial cell types is susceptible to changes or damage by fluorescence excitation light (Tinevez et al. 2012). A non-linear negative correlation between the dose of green fluorescent protein excitation light and single cell division times was demonstrated for E. coli (Jun and Taheri-Araghi 2015). The minimization of phototoxic effects can be accomplished by reducing light exposure time or excitation light intensity or by increasing detector sensitivity via, for example, detector pixel binning. Excellent guidelines on avoiding pitfalls during
fluorescence imaging of live cells are already available (Dixit and Cyr 2003; Frigault et al. 2009). The basic principles for avoiding technical bias of fluorescence imaging can also be applied for microbes, although most work is based on cell cultures. Another aspect of fluorescence imaging is the application of fluorescent proteins or dyes. Genetically encoded fluorescent proteins can impose a metabolic burden for microbes (Wendland and Bumann 2002). Fusion of fluorescent proteins to cellular proteins allows monitoring of the abundance, localization and dynamics of specific proteins, but might influence the native physiological function of the tagged protein (Shaner, Steinbach and Tsien 2005). The delay in signal occurrence due to synthesis and maturation times of fluorescent proteins in biological systems has to be considered as well when dynamic cellular processes are analyzed (Hebisch et al. 2013). Chemical dyes can also be applied, for example to selectively stain cell organelles or cellular components or to determine cell viability. However, chemical dyes often intercalate DNA or impair the function of the stained molecules (Terai and Nagano 2013). These considerations suggest that controls are mandatory for identifying and eliminating analysis-borne technical bias on microbial physiology.

We are convinced that extensive control experiments help to identify and circumvent biological artifacts that might arise from the technical bias described, regardless of whether bias is caused by the microhabitat, cell manipulation, mode of cultivation, or the analysis technology used.

**FUTURE CHALLENGES OF MICROBIAL SINGLE CELL ANALYSIS**

Single cell analysis has changed the way we look at microbial physiology. However, the capability of current single cell technologies is limited for the investigation of some specific research questions. An extensive discussion of these limits would go beyond the scope of this review. As such, we focus on a few representative examples.

Culturability of diverse microorganisms present in the natural and technical ecosystems is in general an important issue in single cell microbiology. The majority of published single cell studies focus on the analysis of domesticated model bacteria and yeast. The cultivation and analysis technologies are often specifically tailored for their analysis. Cultivation and analysis of their natural relatives raise new issues in terms of technological compatibility.

Very few single cell studies with obligate anaerobic microorganisms have been published yet, although these microbes are highly abundant in nature and important for medical and technical processes (Steinhaus et al. 2007; Fievet et al. 2015). The main challenge for cultivating obligate anaerobic microorganisms is posed by the necessity for absolute oxygen absence from the microhabitat. The complete desorption of oxygen from growth medium and from polymers used for microfabrication is difficult to realize. However, anaerobic conditions could be established by placing the complete analysis system and its periphery in an anaerobic enclosure.

The maximal possible cultivation time of microbes is another important aspect. Long-term cultivations are necessary to follow dynamic processes in cells that have a very slow metabolism. This can be problematic when technical restraints impede long-term single cell cultivations, such as evaporation or irreversible and adverse changes of the microhabitat material. Nevertheless, technical solutions for rarely investigated microbes such as obligate anaerobes or slow-growing microbes will emerge with growing interest in single cell microbiology.

Technological development constitutes the main driver for progress in single cell analysis. Novel and sophisticated analytical technologies provide ever deeper insights into cellular mechanisms of microbes. In addition usable technology is the key, not the existence of proof-of-concept technology alone (Andersson and van den Berg 2006). Many essential cellular parameters have already been successfully determined and others will hopefully follow in the upcoming decade (Love et al. 2012; Hammar et al. 2015; Krone et al. 2016). This knowledge opens the door to new approaches for improving the efficiency of microbes in a technical context.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Single cell microbiology has added a new conceptual dimension to microbiology. In this article, we discussed the advances and exciting possibilities of single cell technologies and demonstrate how they enable formulating and testing new hypotheses. Single cell technologies enable the analysis of cellular mechanisms in individual microbes with spatiotemporal resolution unbiased by population effects. Causal links between environmental parameters and cellular physiology can be established because of the precisely controlled microenvironment within microfluidics. Microbiologists, biotechnologists and biochemical engineers working with microbes can benefit from applying these technologies for answering research questions hidden in the bulk of microbial populations. Numerous studies demonstrate how single cell technologies can be used for approaching biological questions concerning mechanisms in microbial metabolism, its regulatory circuits and interconnections, gene expression, protein synthesis, homeostasis in size, shape and intracellular properties, cell-to-cell communication, as well as mass and energy transfer. We can learn from these analyses how natural microbial concepts are set up in order to efficiently perform biological functions, like growth, product formation, survival or adaptation to environments in natural and artificial ecosystems. This information can be used to identify, understand and abstract the constraints that control microbial functional modules in order to maximize the efficiency of their biological functioning. These considerations clearly show that technological developments are the main driver for obtaining novel biological insights with single cell microbiology. However, some studies demonstrate the importance of considering technical bias from novel single cell cultivation and analysis technologies. This reminds us to critically question the results obtained with carefully designed control experiments. Single cell analysis has matured to be an integral part of microbiology and future technological advances will give us new and exciting insights into the properties and mechanisms of the smallest functional unit of life, the microbial single cell.
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