Gherkin Downy Mildew Disease and Its Response to Different Fungicides
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ABSTRACT

Gherkin (Cucumis sativus var. anguria) is a vine type cucumber, which is a cucurbit. Gherkin differs from cucumber by its morphology. Downy Mildew is a devastating disease of gherkin, caused by the pathogen Pseudoperonospora cubensis and the pathogen primarily infects leaves, decreased in photosynthesis resulting both yield and quality of fruits reduced. The present investigation was done to control the downy mildew disease of gherkin and to check the loss of gherkin fruit yield and it was carried out with the F1 hybrid Ajax in plots of 5m x 4 m size accommodating forty plants/plot in Randomized Block Design with three replications with seven treatments during rabi season of 2018. The appearance of the first visible symptom of the disease of Gherkin was recorded at 37 Days after sowing (DAS). The fungicide Equation Pro 42 SC (262.50 g a.i./ha) showed significant minimum disease severity i.e.11.40% and maximum disease control i.e 82.41% over control, followed by T3 (210 g a.i./ha) where, disease severity is 14.25% and disease control is 76.24% over T9 (control plot). T4 and T3 are at par. The significant lowest disease incidence and highest control was recorded in T4 (Equation Pro 42 SC@262.5 g a.i/ha) and T3 (Equation Pro 42 SC @ 210.0 g a.i/ha) treated plots. After 70 days of sowing 13.33 percent disease incident was observed in T4 treated plants and it is same in T9. Curzate M8 72 and Ridomyl 72% also showed significant disease control percentage i.e 74.01% and 70.74%, respectively. Significant higher yield was found when Equation Pro 42 SC (262.50 g a.i. /ha) sprayed over crop and is at par with T3 treated plots. Equation Pro 42 SC showed no phytotoxic symptom sprayed to the gherkin crop up to 4 numbers of spraying at their different dosages.
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Introduction

Gherkin (Cucumis sativus var. anguria) is a vine type cucumber, which is a cucurbit.
6.0 cm, 1.5-2.0 cm diameter and dark green in colour. Prominent morphological difference is that, it has rough or undulated surface on fruits, while comparatively smooth fruit surface in cucumber. It is matures 50-60 days after sowing, average yield 10-12 t/ha and due to infestation of downy mildew disease there is reduction of yield and if it is devastating the total yield may be loss. To control fruit loss different fungicides can be used. Ajax is a F1 hybrid very uniform with soft spines that rub off easily. Fruits are olive-green in colour and will attain large size if not harvested at proper stage, making them unsuitable for marketing,. Ajax produces predominantly female flowers so has a very high yield potential. The There is a growing worldwide demand for pickled gherkins, brine fermentation is becoming popular, because it is an important preservation method due to its sensory qualities, processing strategies and economic considerations. Texture is one of the most important quality attributes of pickling cucumbers which influences on product acceptance and quality.

Downy Mildew is a devastating disease of gherkin, caused by the pathogen *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* and the pathogen primarily infects leaves, decreased photosynthesis in gherkin plant resulting both yield and quality of fruits reduced. Due to severe infestation defoliation of leaves may lead, growth of plants stunted, even entire plant may be killed (Bernherdt *et al.*, 1988).

Apart from the leaf wetness essential for infection, the factors determining disease progress are rate of foliage growth and physiological age of the host, amount of primary inoculum available, light, and the rate at which lesions necrotize (Palti and Cohen, 1980). The quantum of crop loss depends on the stage of crop growth at which attack takes place. General symptoms are yellow, water soaked lesions or spots or angular patches on upper surface of leaf and on the corresponding lower surface, grayish or purplish downy growth is observed. Hausbeck *et al.*, also coined that yellow water soaked lesion seen on the upper surface of leaf if it is affected by downy mildew disease. Later grayish or purplish colour turns to black because of more sporulation. Pathogen producing zoospores which are biflagellate, source of infection spread by wind, rain splash, farm equipments, animals, human etc. and maximum disease observed at cool humid climate, foggy weather, prevailing wet condition. Keinath *et al.*, also reported that humidity is one of the limiting factor for cucumber or cucurbits production. At high atmospheric temperature condition development of downy mildew disease slow down, even restricted (Hansen 2000).

**Materials and Methods**

The present investigation was carried out at the Instructional Farm, Jaguli, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, with the F1 hybrid Ajax in plots of 5m x 4 m size accommodating fourty plants/plot, spacing given was 100 cm x 50 cm, in Randomized Block Design with three replications with seven treatments during rabi season of 2018.

Date of sowing was 05.10.2018. Four sprays were done at 40, 50, 60 and 70 days after sowing by means of Knapsack sprayer fitted with hollow cone nozzles. Water volume for spray was1.5 lit per 30 sq. m (500 lit/ha). Observation on disease severity and incidence were recorded at 40, 50, 60 and 70 days after sowing from ten randomly selected plants. The active ingredient of Equation Pro 42 SC is Famoxadone and Cymoxanil and is a systemic and contact fungicide.

Standard and recommended packages of practices were followed to raise the crop.
### Treatment details for bio-efficacy and phytotoxicity

| Sl. No. | Treatment       | Dosage (g a.i. l/ha) | Dose formulated product/ha | Time of application                                      |
|---------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.      | Equation Pro 42 SC | 105                  | 250                         | 1st just after disease appearance & rest at 10 days after 1st spray |
| 2.      | Equation Pro 42 SC | 157.5                | 375                         | 1st just after disease appearance & rest at 10 days after 1st spray |
| 3.      | Equation Pro 42 SC | 210                  | 500                         | 1st just after disease appearance & rest at 10 days after 1st spray |
| 4.      | Equation Pro 42 SC | 262.5                | 625                         | 1st just after disease appearance & rest at 10 days after 1st spray |
| 5.      | Curzate M8 72% WP  | 1080                 | 1500                        | 1st just after disease appearance & rest at 10 days after 1st spray |
| *6      | Equation Pro 42 SC | 840                  | 2000                        | 1st just after disease appearance & rest at 10 days after 1st spray |
| 7.      | Ridomyl 72% WP   | 1080                 | 1500                        | 1st just after disease appearance & rest at 10 days after 1st spray |
| 8.      | Untreated control | Water spray           | Water spray                 |                                                          |

*Treatment for recording Phytotoxicity observations only. Method of disease scoring (0-9 rating scale) was as described by C.D. Mayee and V.V. Datar (1986). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done using GWBASIC computer software package.

#### Disease score rating scale:

| Scale | Description                        |
|-------|------------------------------------|
| 0     | No incidence                       |
| 1     | Less than 1% area affected         |
| 3     | 1-5% Leaf area affected            |
| 5     | 6-25% Leaf area affected           |
| 7     | 26-50% Leaf area affected          |
| 9     | 61-100% Leaf area affected         |

Ten plants were randomly selected from each plot and the leaves were graded on a 0-9 scale, Percent Disease Index (PDI) was calculated using the following formula:

\[
PDI = \frac{\text{Sum of all numerical ratings}}{\text{Total plants (leaves) observed x Maximum ratings scale}} \times 100
\]
The PDI values and percent disease incidence were transformed by angular transformation and analyzed statistically. The yield data kg/plot was also analyzed statistically.

**Results and Discussion**

The appearance of the first visible symptom of the disease of Gherkin was recorded at 37 Days after sowing (DAS). The disease incidence and severity of downy mildew disease of gherkin has been presented in Table 1 and 2. It was found that Equation Pro 42 SC and their different dosage significantly reduced the disease over control and recommended dosage. Curzate M8 72 WP % and Ridomyl 72% also reduced the diseases in gherkin. Mohamed et al., revealed that Famoxadone +Cymoxanilare very much effective to control downy mildew disease in cucumber and which is a cucurbit. However, they showed differential effects in controlling the disease under field condition. The first spray was done at 40 DAS. The fungicide Equation Pro 42 SC (262.50 g a.i./ha) showed significant minimum disease severity i.e.11.40% and maximum disease control i.e.82.41% over control, followed by T3 (210 g a.i./ha) where, disease severity is 14.25% and disease control 76.24% over T9 (control plot). T4 and T3 are at par. The significant lowest disease incidence and highest control was recorded in T4 (Equation Pro 42 SC@262.5 g a.i/ha) and T3 (Equation Pro 42 SC @ 210.0 g a.i/ha) treated plots, T4&T3 are statistically at par.

**Table 1** Effect of equation pro against downy mildew disease of Gherkin

| Treatment            | gma.i./ha | Percentage of incidence | Disease control | Fruit Yield kg/ha |
|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                      |           | Days after sowing       |                 |                  |
|                      |           | 40          | 50         | 60         | 70         |                  |
| T1-Equation Pro 42 SC | 105       | 0.0 (0.72) | 13.26 (3.62)| 27.81 (5.22)| 42.98 (6.61)| 32.80           | 706.67           |
| T2-Equation Pro 42 SC | 157.5     | 2.99 (1.67) | 15.95 (4.01)| 28.84 (5.40)| 39.17 (6.31)| 38.75           | 786.67           |
| T3-Equation Pro 42 SC | 210.0     | 2.12 (1.55) | 6.66 (2.67) | 9.95 (3.26) | 13.33 (3.73)| 79.15           | 1560.00          |
| T4-Equation Pro 42 SC | 262.5     | 1.06 (1.13) | 6.66 (2.67) | 7.98 (3.18) | 13.33 (3.73)| 79.15           | 1573.33          |
| T5-Curzate M8 72 WP % | 1080      | 3.64 (1.86) | 9.95 (3.26) | 12.40 (3.62) | 16.62 (4.16)| 74.01           | 1053.33          |
| T6-Ridomyl 72%       | 1080      | 1.06 (1.13) | 7.82 (3.39) | 17.67 (4.29) | 18.71 (4.41)| 70.74           | 1000.00          |
| T7-Control           | Water spray | 1.06 (1.13) | 35.33 (5.93) | 60.59 (7.82) | 63.96 (8.02)| --              | 333.33           |
| S.Em ±               |           | 0.49        | 0.30        | 0.37        | 0.2        | -               | 68.00            |
| CD at 5%             |           | NS          | 0.92        | 1.13        | 0.61       | -               | 208.00           |

Figures in the parenthesis indicate angular transformed values
Table 2 Effect of equation pro against downy mildew disease of Gherkin

| Treatment              | gma.i./ha | Percentage of severity | Disease control % |
|------------------------|----------|------------------------|-------------------|
|                        |          | Days after sowing      |                   |
|                        |          | 40         | 50    | 60    | 70    |         |
| T1-Equation Pro 42 SC  | 105      | 0.0        | 27.43 | 34.57 | 43.49 | 27.50   |
|                        |          | (0.71)     | (4.93)| (5.78)| (6.65)|         |
| T2-Equation Pro 42 SC  | 157.5    | 3.69       | 22.93 | 29.38 | 41.83 | 30.31   |
|                        |          | (1.88)     | (4.85)| (5.48)| (6.52)|         |
| T3-Equation Pro 42 SC  | 210.0    | 3.69       | 6.90  | 10.42 | 14.25 | 76.24   |
|                        |          | (1.88)     | (2.72)| (3.31)| (3.87)|         |
| T4-Equation Pro 42 SC  | 262.5    | 1.96       | 7.87  | 10.17 | 11.40 | 82.41   |
|                        |          | (1.33)     | (2.89)| (3.44)| (3.61)|         |
| T5-Curzate M8 72 WP %  | 1080     | 3.69       | 15.30 | 18.1  | 18.79 | 68.67   |
|                        |          | (1.88)     | (4.28)| (4.89)| (4.69)|         |
| T6-Ridomyl 72%         | 1080     | 1.23       | 17.04 | 18.28 | 21.09 | 64.84   |
|                        |          | (1.17)     | (4.48)| (4.58)| (4.66)|         |
| T7-Control             | Water spray | 1.23      | 43.77 | 58.60 | 59.99 | -       |
|                        |          | (1.17)     | (6.55)| (7.70)| (7.78)|         |
| S.Em ± SCD at 5%       |          | 0.54       | 0.28  | 0.20  | 0.08  | -       |

Figures in the parenthesis indicate angular transformed values

Table 3 Phytotoxicity effect of equation pro against downy mildew disease of Gherkin

| Treatment | 3, 5, 7 and 10 days after each application |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------|
|           | Grain discolouration | Blackening | Burning | Malformation | Stunting | Necrosis | Chlorosis |
| T1        | 0                          | 0          | 0       | 0            | 0        | 0        | 0         |
| T2        | 0                          | 0          | 0       | 0            | 0        | 0        | 0         |
| T3        | 0                          | 0          | 0       | 0            | 0        | 0        | 0         |
| T4        | 0                          | 0          | 0       | 0            | 0        | 0        | 0         |
| T5        | 0                          | 0          | 0       | 0            | 0        | 0        | 0         |
| T6*       | 0                          | 0          | 0       | 0            | 0        | 0        | 0         |
| T7*       | 0                          | 0          | 0       | 0            | 0        | 0        | 0         |

*Treatment for recording phytotoxicity observations only.

After 70 days of sowing 13.33 percent disease incident was observed in T4 treated plants and it is same in T3. Lowest disease incident may be due to death of sporangia or zoospore (Jin et al., 2009). Curzate M8 72 and Ridomyl 72% also showed significant disease control percentage i.e 74.01% and 70.74%, respectively. Bhat et al., (2018) coined that Ridomyl and Curzate showed significant minimum disease severity. Ridomyl is very much useful against downy mildew of cucumber, a cucurbit (Anthony et al., 2012;
Anthony et al., 2009; Robak et al., 1995; Sharma et al., 2003 and Samoucha and Cohen, 1998). But, the significant higher yield was found when Equation Pro 42 SC (262.50 g a.i./ha) sprayed over crop and is at par with T3 treated plots. Mohamed et al., also found satisfactory fruit yield when it is treated with Famoxadone + Cymoxanil against downy mildew disease.

Equation Pro 42 SC showed no phytotoxic symptom sprayed to the gherkin crop up to 4 numbers of spraying at their different dosages mentioned in the report (Table 3). No fruit discolouration, blackening and burning and malformation were found at 10 days after last application. No phytotoxic effect like stunting, necrosis, and chlorosis was noticed at 3, 5, 7 and 10 days after each spraying. It controls diseases completely and its antisporelent and spore killing activity also checks further disease spread.

Finding and conclusion of the study are as follows:

The severity of the downy mildew disease of gherkin was the lowest when Equation Pro 42 SC was sprayed over the crop @ 262.50 g a.i./ha, it was at par with Equation Pro 42 SC 210.0g a.i/ha. Percentage of disease control was 82.41 & 76.24 respectively. It may be concluded that higher yield and significant disease control was recorded when Equation Pro 42 SC was sprayed over the crop @ 262.50g a.i/h. and it was at par when Equation Pro 42 SC 210.0g a.i/ha was sprayed over the crop.
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