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A B S T R A C T

A field investigation was conducted during 2004-2005 at Karanja Ramzanpur, village in Purna valley in Vidarbha region. The experimental was laid out in Randomized Block Design, consisting of seven treatments i.e. 100 % RD of NPK, 50 % RD of NPK, PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹, crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ and alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness). The initial pHs, ECe, SAR and ESP representative soil varied from 8.51 to 8.40, 1.06 to 1.18, 15.17 to 9.22, 18.36 to 12.19 respectively. The results are present investigation revealed that alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) improved the quality of water before irrigation by reducing RSC and SAR from 19.11 to 5.47 and 13.75 to 8.46. A significant highest total yield in both the crops were obtained as influenced by 100 % RD of NPK alone, followed by 50 % RD of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ with alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness). In combination with the application of 50 % RD of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) improved physical properties of Sodic Haplusterts decreased bulk density, increase hydraulic conductivity, infiltration rate, maximum water holding capacity and % of water stable aggregate > 0.25 mm. The composition of NPK nutrients and its uptake by greengram-safflower significantly increased in both the crops received under treatment 100 % RD of NPK alone, followed by integrated 50 per cent RD of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness).
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Introduction

Purna Valley of Vidarbha region is the unique tract of Vertisols having native sodicity. It is east-west elongated basin with slight convexity to the south and extends from 20°14′5′ to 21°15′ N latitude and 75°15′ to 77°45′E longitude with east-west length about 100-150 km and 10-60 km in width, covering an area about 4.49 lakh ha spread in 894 villages of Amravati, Akola and Buldana districts of Vidarbha (Govt. of Maharashtra, 2001). The development of salinity/sodicity in the basin is the natural and geological phenomenon, where the intensity of salinity/sodicity is comparatively less in surface layer, whereas, it increased with the depth of pedon. The salt affected Vertisols of some part of Purna Valley in Vidarbha having moderate to strong salinity and sodicity. These soils have high clay content, montmorillonitic mineralogy and swell shrink
property and have very poor hydraulic conductivity. The major problems of these soils are very poor hydraulic conductivity, high degree of swell shrink potential, compact and dense soil fabric and incomplete leaching of salts in subsoil due to severe drainage impairment. The unique feature of these soils is that the salinity is widely reported in this area the presence of salt efflorescence on surface is hardly seen. However, use of well water which is alkali in nature makes situation more problematic. These soils have appreciable amount (5.01 to 17.50%) of CaCO$_3$ (Sagare et al., 1999). The precipitation of Ca in the form of CaCO$_3$ greatly immobilizes Ca and Mg in these soils and dominance of Na is increased which ought to effect on physico-chemical properties of soil adversely. Such situation may enhance clay dispersion leading to destablization of soil structure, breaking of soil capillary network and ultimately affecting negatively on water transmission characteristics of soil. Water is another problem of this area. The recent studies suggested that the ground water of valley is marginally alkali to alkali in nature (Sagare et al., 2000). Thus, reclamation and management of Sodic Haplusterts soil of Purna Valley has become important and urgent due to ever increasing pressure on land resources to meet food requirements and to mitigate the perils of irrigated agriculture. In India, reclamation much before any scientific knowledge on the nature and properties of these soils become actually available (Velayutham and Bhattacharya, 2000).

Material and Methods

The field experiment was carried out during 2004-2005 at Karanja Ramzanpur, a village near Nimba phata, Taluka Balapur, District Akola situated in salt affected soil of Purna Valley. The site selected for field experiment is the representative site of Sodic Haplusterts. The Purna valley is a part of Payanghat plains is an oval or convex corner shaped basin along its western margin, as it enters the Tapi Valley. It covers the part of Amravati, Akola and Buldhana districts at the bank of Purna River having an area of about 4.49 lakhs ha in 894 villages. It is the area having native sodicity which increased with depth and it is almost becoming brine with depth (sodium chloride). This indicted that the Purna Valley sediments were earlier deposited in a salt water lake, which at least in the initial stage formed the part of Arabian Sea probably by extending its westerly arm.

The area has a monsoonal climate, with July through September receiving 85-95% of the total rainfall of 875-975 mm. The region is one of the hottest in India with mean maximum temperature being 42.6°C during the month of May and mean minimum temperature being 10.5°C during month of December. As per the criteria suggested by Keys to Soil Taxonomy (USDA, 1975) the pedon of experimental soil can be categorized as Sodic Haplusterts. The ground water of Purna Valley in Vidarba region are marginally alkali to alkali nature (Sagare et al., 2000).

A field experiment in Randomized Block Design were seven treatments and three...
replication viz., T₁, 100% RDF NPK without incorporation of crop residue, T₂ Incorporation of crop residues @ 2 t ha⁻¹. T₃ PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) for safflower only. T₄ 50% RDF NPK with incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹. T₅ 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹. T₆ 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) for Safflower only. Incorporation of safflower crop residue before sowing of green gram and green gram crop residue was incorporated before safflower sowing. Rhizobium and Azotobacter was applied to greengram and safflower seeds respectively for all treatments. Irrigation with alkali water passed through specially designed tank (1m x 1m x 1m) filled with gypsum was given for treatment 3 and 7.

The height of gypsum bed was adjusted by placing 6 mm bar and iron net at 15 and 30 cm height. Irrigation was given by furrow method only safflower crop at critical stage i.e. 30 and 50 DAS. The recommended dose of fertilizer for greengram 20 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and safflower 40 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ was applied as per treatment. The treatments of crop residue incorporation was cut to small pieces (10-15 cm) and it was incorporated in 0-15 cm soil layers 30 days before sowing of crops.

Fertilizers used for the experiment were of commercial grade. Nitrogen, phosphorus applied through Urea and Single Super Phosphate, respectively. Soil samples collected before sowing and after harvest of each crop analyzed for soil texture, bulk density, hydraulic conductivity, maximum water holding capacity and per cent of water stable aggregate > 0.25 mm. Bulk density was determined by core methods as described by Singh (1980). A cylindrical mettle sampler was pressed into the soil to the desire depth and carefully removed to preserve a known volume of sample, the sample was dried at 105°C to 110°C and weighted. Bulk density was calculated by using following formula.

\[
\text{Weight of oven dry soil (gm)}
\]
\[
\text{Bulk density (Mg m}^{-3}) = \frac{\text{Volume of core or volume of field sample (cm}^3)}{\text{Volume of core or volume of field sample (cm}^3)}
\]

Infiltration was measured under each treatment plot of experimental soil using double ring infiltrometer method as described by Singh (1980). Water holding capacity was determined by using Keen Reckzonski boxes. Water stable aggregate Percentage of water stable aggregate of different size ranges and mean weight diameter (MWD) were determined by Yoders wet sieving method (Singh, 1980).

**Method of plant analysis**

Total nitrogen determined by Kjeldhal’s digestion method as described by Piper (1966). Preparation of plant extract Di-acid extract of plant material and seed of crop samples by predigesting the seeds with concentrated HNO₃ (5 ml for each gm of seed sample) and then digested the content with a di-acid mixture of HNO₃; HClO₄ in the proportion of 9:4 as described by Jackson (1967).

Total phosphorus it was estimated on spectrophotometer by Vandomolybdate yellow colour method (Jackson, 1967).Total potassium it was determined by flame photometer as described by Jackson (1967). Statistical analysis the level of statistical significance to the experimental data was carried out as per procedure described by Gomez and Gomez (1984).
Results and Discussion

Grain Yield of Green gram

The data pertaining to grain and straw yield of greengram; presented in Table 3 revealed that there was significantly differences in yield of green gram due to various treatments. Maximum green gram grain yield (9.04 q ha⁻¹) was recorded due to application of 100 per cent recommended dose of fertilizer NPK through chemical fertilizer 20:40:00 (T₁) and it was found significantly superior over other treatment except treatment T₇ (8.85 q ha⁻¹) i.e., application 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ with passing alkali water irrigation through gypsum bed of 30 cm thickness and it was found statistically at par to each other. The lowest yield of green gram (4.45 q ha⁻¹) was recorded under treatment T₂ influenced by incorporation of crop residue @2 t ha⁻¹ alone which indicates the need by application of inorganic fertilizer. Further, data it revealed that when the crop residue was applied, the grain yield of green gram were recorded 21.12, 30.32, 50.76 per cent more in treatment T₄, T₆ and T₇ respectively over the treatment T₅ consisting 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹. Conjunctive use of crop residue of safflower @ 2 t ha⁻¹ along with of recommended level of fertilizer N and P improve productivity of crop.

Straw Yield of green gram

The application of 100 per cent recommended dose of NPK through chemical fertilizer gave significant highest straw yield of green gram in treatment T₁ (14.94 q ha⁻¹), and it was found statically at par with treatment T₇ (13.81 q ha⁻¹) with application of 50 per cent recommended dose of chemical fertilizer NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ with alkali water passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thickness for irrigation. The application of biofertilizer without recommended dose of fertilizer increased the nodulation and fixing atmospheric nitrogen in soil. This was agreement with the result Rasal et al., (2003). The lowest straw yield was recorded in treatment T₂ incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ it was indicated that the application of chemical fertilizer alone or in combination for increasing the soil productivity similar results reported by Badanur et al., (2000).

Seed Yield of Safflower

The highest yield of safflower at application of 100 per cent recommended dose of fertilizer of NPK in treatment T₁ (11.72 q ha⁻¹) but it was found statistically at par with the treatment T₇ (11.66 q ha⁻¹) consisting 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK + PSB + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ and alkali water passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thickness while irrigation. The improving the physio-chemical properties of Sodic Haplusters and reducing the detrimental effect of native sodicity of soil and ill effect of alkali water sounure (2002). The lowest yield (4.27 q ha⁻¹) of safflower was recorded in treatment receiving only crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ and no chemical fertilizer and irrigated with alkali water without gypsum bed in treatment (T₂).

Straw Yield of Safflower

The yield of safflower straw was influenced by different treatments the highest yield of straw was obtained with the use of 100 per cent recommended dose of NPK without incorporation of crop residue in treatment T₁ (16.14 q ha⁻¹) and it was found at par with the treatment T₇ (15.55 q ha⁻¹) with application of 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + alkali water passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness). Further, it revealed that when the crop residue was applied, the straw yield
of safflower were recorded 6.92, and 18.94 per cent more in the treatment T6, and T7 respectively over the treatment T5 influenced by the 50% RDF NPK with PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹. Indicate that green gram residue incorporation with inorganic fertilizer significantly increased the yield of both crop as compared to only inorganic fertilizer. The lowest straw yield of safflower was found in treatment T2 (9.56 q ha⁻¹) with the incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹.

Content of Nitrogen in green gram

The results presented in Table 4 indicated that minimum N content in grain (2.52 %) and straw (1.90%) was noticed treatment (T2) only incorporation crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹. Significantly highest N content in grain (2.71%) and straw (1.90%) in treatment T1 and it was found statistically at par with treatment T7 N content in grain (2.68%) and straw (2.08%) in combination with 50 per cent RD of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thicken. An increased in N concentration might be due to increase in organic carbon content in soil as a results incorporation of crop residues and alkali water similar result also found by Swamy and Rao et al., (1991).

Content of phosphorus

The data showed P concentration in grain (0.37%) and straw (0.19%) was found lowest in treatment T2 only incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ among all the treatments. The decrease in P content was probably caused by absorption of constitute ions from sodic medium, while resulted in toxic accumulation of some nutrients (Na) and reduction of other nutrients in plant parts, in addition to this bicarbonate ions is directly related to the precipitation of Ca and P and thereby decrease their content. A decreased in phosphorus content in due to use of bicarbonate rich irrigation water was also reported by Paliwal et al., (1975). The highest P content in grain (0.49%) and straw (0.29%) and it was statistically at par with treatment T7. N content in grain (0.47%) and straw (0.27%) as influenced by 50 per cent RD of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thicken.

Content of potassium

From the data it was observed that the lowest K concentration in green gram grain (0.97) and straw (1.92%) was received by treatment T2 (incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹). The lowest K content might be attribute due to antagonistic relationship of Na and K as alkali water and sodic soil are predominant by Na. The adsorption of Na, K, Ca and Mg are mostly governed by their respective status in the exchangeable phase of soil and soil solution. Since soils irrigated with high Na content of irrigation water contains more of Na in the exchange complex, adsorption of Na increase and other nutrients decrease similar result also found by Ali and Pathak,1991. The potassium content in green gram grain (1.19%) and straw (2.24%) was found significantly highest in the treatment T1 due to application 100 per cent RD of NPK and it was found statistically at par with the treatment T7 in grain (1.18%) and straw (2.21%) in combination with 50 per cent RD of NPK+ PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thicken.

Uptake of Nitrogen by green gram

Nitrogen is an essential constituent of protein, chlorophyll, amino acids, alkaloids and protoplasm. It promotes vegetative growth, induces dark green colour to foliage and give
succulent appearance to plants. The results in respect to N uptake by greengram grain and straw at harvest was recorded and presented in Table 5 Nitrogen uptake was significantly influenced by various treatments. The uptake of nitrogen by grain and straw was found significantly increased in treatment T₁ (24.49 and 31.52 kg N ha⁻¹) application only 100 per cent RD of NPK without incorporation of crop residue was applied and it was found at par with the treatment T₇ (23.71 and 28.73 kg N ha⁻¹) as influenced by 50 per cent RD of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thickness. Since, total biomass was more these treatments, total uptake was increased as compared to treatment T₂ (27.86 kg N ha⁻¹) with incorporation crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ only without inorganic fertilizer. It caused the fixation of atmospheric N and better utilization of soil available N by green gram. It is well known that phosphorus enhances the activity of rhizobia and increase nodulation in legumes. Amount of nitrogen fixed by rhizobium in greengram similar result also found by (Subbarao, 1987).

**Uptake of phosphorus by Greengram**

It is well known that phosphorus is actively involved in carbohydrate metabolism, biochemical and energy transformation and respiration. It stimulates root development, gives strength to straw and counteract the effects of excessive nitrogen and enhanced the activity of rhizobia. The data concerning to P uptake by greengram is presented in Table 5 revealed that highest P uptake by grain was recorded by treatment T₁ (grain 4.42 kg P ha⁻¹) and straw (4.42 kg P ha⁻¹) as influenced by 100 per cent RD of NPK without incorporation crop residue and it was found at par with the treatment T₇ (grain 4.15 kg P ha⁻¹) (straw 4.15 kg P ha⁻¹) application of 50 per cent RD of NPK+ PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thickness. The lowest P uptake by green gram was observed in treatment T₂ (grain 1.65 kg P ha⁻¹), (1.62 kg P ha⁻¹) i.e. Incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹.

**Potassium uptake by greengram**

Potassium is an activator for enzyme involved in protein synthesis, carbohydrate and nucleic acid metabolism. It does not enter into composition of any plant constituents but impact vigour and disease resistance to plant and produce stiff-straw, Huber (1985) has reported the effect of K on growth, deficiency of which resulted carbon assimilation and leaf area. Hence, it is necessary to take into account the utilization of K by influenced by various treatments.

Potassium uptake by green gram grain was significantly as influenced by various treatments. Higher K uptake by grain was found in treatment T₁ (grain 10.75 kg K ha⁻¹) and straw (33.46 kg K ha⁻¹) as influence by 100 per cent RD of NPK without incorporation crop residue and was found statistically at par with treatment T₇ (grain 10.43 kg K ha⁻¹) and straw (30.52 kg K ha⁻¹) (Table 5) received under 50 per cent RD of NPK+ PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thickness.

The lowest uptake of potassium was noticed in treatment T₂ (grain 4.31 kg K ha⁻¹) and straw (16.83 kg K ha⁻¹) due to incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹. An increase in K uptake due to gypsum application which supply calcium might be attributed to calcium preventing the uptake of Na ions to injurious level and allowing the uptake of K (Waisel, 1962). Similar increase in K uptake was also recorded by Poonia and Bhumbla (1973 a, 1973 b).
**Table 1** Initial physico-chemical characteristics of experimental soil

| Sr. No. | Characteristics | Soil depth (cm) | 0-30 | 30-60 | 60-90 |
|---------|-----------------|----------------|------|-------|-------|
|         | Mechanical analysis |                  |      |       |       |
|         | Particle size distribution |              |      |       |       |
| 1       | Sand (%)        | 6.30           | 4.73 | 4.17  |       |
| 2       | Silt (%)        | 28.43          | 27.75| 27.48 |       |
| 3       | Clay (%)        | 65.27          | 67.52| 68.35 |       |
|         | Physical properties |              |      |       |       |
| 1       | Bulk Density (Mg m⁻³) | 1.51           | 1.60 | 1.82  |       |
| 2       | Hydraulic conductivity (cm hr⁻¹) | 0.14           | 0.13 | 0.11  |       |
|         | Chemical properties |              |      |       |       |
| 1       | pHs             | 8.49           | 8.59 | 8.72  |       |
| 2       | ECe (dS m⁻¹)    | 1.04           | 1.18 | 1.27  |       |
| 3       | CaCO₃ (%)       | 12.30          | 13.52| 14.27 |       |
|         | Water soluble cations (meq L⁻¹) |      |      |       |       |
| 1       | Ca²⁺            | 1.63           | 1.97 | 3.04  |       |
| 2       | Mg²⁺            | 0.65           | 1.21 | 2.33  |       |
| 3       | Na⁺             | 15.69          | 21.78| 26.72 |       |
|         | Water soluble anions (mq L⁻¹) |      |      |       |       |
| 1       | CO₃⁻            | 4.23           | 5.18 | 5.38  |       |
| 2       | HCO₃⁻           | 4.80           | 6.65 | 12.35 |       |
| 3       | SO₄²⁻           | 0.17           | 0.38 | 0.61  |       |
| 4       | SAR             | 13.63          | 14.47| 16.41 |       |
|         | Exchangeable cations (cmol (P⁺) kg⁻¹) |      |      |       |       |
| 1       | Ca²⁺            | 36.15          | 38.35| 37.30 |       |
| 2       | Mg²⁺            | 15.80          | 14.22| 10.50 |       |
| 3       | Na⁺             | 9.05           | 11.56| 12.28 |       |
| 4       | K⁺              | 1.48           | 1.61 | 1.33  |       |
| 5       | ESP             | 16.40          | 18.72| 19.17 |       |
| 1       | Organic carbon (g kg⁻¹) | 4.22           | 3.64 | 2.49  |       |
| 2       | Available N (kg ha⁻¹) | 175.33         | 125.72| 89.95 |       |
| 3       | Available P₂O₅ (kg ha⁻¹) | 29.06         | 16.41| 13.34 |       |
| 4       | Available K₂O (kg ha⁻¹) | 427.59       | 368.17| 320.92|       |

**Table 2** Effect of Gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) on chemical composition of alkali water used for irrigation

| Sr. No. | Treatment | PIHw (dSm⁻¹) | ECiw (dSm⁻¹) | Anion (meqL⁻¹) | Cation (meqL⁻¹) | SAR | RSC |
|---------|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----|-----|
| 1       | Initial chemical composition of tube well water | 8.75 | 1.88 | 0.58 | 12.28 | 3.91 | 2.12 | 1.85 | 0.90 | 16.13 | 13.75 | 10.11 |
| 2       | Chemical composition of tube well water passed through gypsum bed | 8.64 | 2.25 | 0.51 | 11.82 | 3.50 | 6.69 | 5.63 | 1.23 | 15.67 | 8.46 | 5.47 |
### Table 3: Effect of different treatments on grain and straw yield of green gram and safflower

| Treatment | Greengram (q ha⁻¹) | Safflower (q ha⁻¹) |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|
|           | Grain   | Straw   | Seed   | Straw   |
| T₁        | 100% RDF NPK without incorporation of crop residue | 9.04   | 11.72   | 16.14  | 14.94  |
| T₂        | Incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ | 4.45   | 4.27    | 9.56   | 8.76   |
| T₃        | PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) | 5.87   | 5.96    | 11.15  | 11.67  |
| T₄        | 50% RDF NPK with incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ | 7.11   | 9.89    | 14.29  | 12.08  |
| T₅        | 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ | 6.26   | 9.82    | 13.36  | 12.45  |
| T₆        | 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ | 7.65   | 10.50   | 14.71  | 13.45  |
| T₇        | 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed | 8.85   | 11.66   | 15.55  | 13.81  |

'F' test: Sig. Sig. Sig. Sig.

SE (m) ±: 0.20 0.38 0.42 0.37
C.D. at 5%: 0.56 1.08 1.24 1.04

### Table 4: NPK content (%) in Greengram as influenced by different treatments

| Treatments | N content (%) | P content (%) | K content (%) |
|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|            | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw |
| T₁        | 100% RDF NPK without incorporation of crop residue | 2.71 | 2.11 | 0.49 | 0.29 | 1.19 | 2.24 |
| T₂        | Incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ | 2.52 | 1.90 | 0.37 | 0.19 | 0.97 | 1.92 |
| T₃        | PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) for safflower only | 2.55 | 1.92 | 0.40 | 0.21 | 1.04 | 2.05 |
| T₄        | 50% RDF NPK with incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ | 2.61 | 1.99 | 0.42 | 0.23 | 1.09 | 2.09 |
| T₅        | 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ | 2.59 | 1.97 | 0.44 | 0.25 | 1.01 | 1.94 |
| T₆        | 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ | 2.62 | 2.05 | 0.45 | 0.26 | 1.11 | 2.17 |
| T₇        | 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha⁻¹ + crop residue @ 2 t ha⁻¹ + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) for safflower only | 2.68 | 2.08 | 0.47 | 0.27 | 1.18 | 2.21 |

'F' test: Sig. Sig. Sig. Sig.

SE (m) ±: 0.01 0.01 0.013 0.01 0.011 0.012
C.D. at 5%: 0.03 0.029 0.039 0.03 0.033 0.034
Table 5 Effect of different treatments on NPK uptake by green gram kg ha\(^{-1}\)

| Treatments                                                                 | N uptake kg ha\(^{-1}\) | P uptake kg ha\(^{-1}\) | K uptake kg ha\(^{-1}\) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                                                            | Grain        | Straw          | Grain        | Straw          | Grain        | Straw          |
| T\(_1\) 100% RDF NPK without incorporation of crop residue                 | 24.49        | 31.52          | 4.42         | 4.34          | 10.75        | 33.46          |
| T\(_2\) Incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\)                   | 11.21        | 16.65          | 1.65         | 1.62          | 4.31         | 16.81          |
| T\(_3\) PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) for safflower only | 14.96        | 22.40          | 2.47         | 2.45          | 6.10         | 23.91          |
| T\(_4\) 50% RDF NPK with incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\)   | 18.55        | 24.04          | 2.99         | 2.77          | 7.75         | 25.24          |
| T\(_5\) 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\)                               | 16.21        | 13.89          | 2.75         | 3.11          | 6.32         | 24.15          |
| T\(_6\) 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) | 20.04        | 27.57          | 3.44         | 3.49          | 8.49         | 29.19          |
| T\(_7\) 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) for safflower only | 23.71        | 28.73          | 4.15         | 3.81          | 10.43        | 30.53          |
| 'F' test                                                                  | Sig.         | Sig.           | Sig.         | Sig.          | Sig.         | Sig.           |
| SE (m) ±                                                                   | 0.54         | 0.78           | 0.14         | 0.20          | 0.22         | 0.80           |
| C.D. at 5%                                                                 | 1.53         | 2.33           | 0.40         | 0.64          | 0.69         | 2.26           |

Table 6 NPK content (%) in Safflower as influenced by different treatments

| Treatments                                                                 | N content (%) | P content (%) | K content (%) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|                                                                            | Grain        | Straw          | Grain        | Straw          | Grain        | Straw          |
| T\(_1\) 100% RDF NPK without incorporation of crop residue                 | 2.39          | 1.37           | 0.68         | 0.23           | 0.89         | 1.72           |
| T\(_2\) Incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\)                   | 2.05          | 1.21           | 0.50         | 0.13           | 0.75         | 1.51           |
| T\(_3\) PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) for safflower only | 2.20          | 1.25           | 0.55         | 0.16           | 0.80         | 1.60           |
| T\(_4\) 50% RDF NPK with incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\)   | 2.18          | 1.27           | 0.57         | 0.18           | 0.83         | 1.62           |
| T\(_5\) 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\)                               | 2.24          | 1.26           | 0.53         | 0.20           | 0.83         | 1.65           |
| T\(_6\) 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) | 2.31          | 1.33           | 0.60         | 0.19           | 0.86         | 1.68           |
| T\(_7\) 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) for safflower only | 2.37          | 1.35           | 0.64         | 0.21           | 0.87         | 1.70           |
| 'F' test                                                                  | Sig.         | Sig.           | Sig.         | Sig.          | Sig.         | Sig.           |
| SE (m) ±                                                                   | 0.010        | 0.014          | 0.011        | 0.014         | 0.014        | 0.016          |
| C.D. at 5%                                                                 | 0.030        | 0.041          | 0.031        | 0.040         | 0.041        | 0.045          |
Table.7 Effect of different treatments on NPK uptake by safflower kg ha\(^{-1}\)

| Treatments                                                                 | N uptake kg ha\(^{-1}\) | P uptake kg ha\(^{-1}\) | N uptake kg ha\(^{-1}\) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                                                            | Grain   | Straw | Grain   | Straw | Grain   | Straw |
| T\(_1\) 100% RDF NPK without incorporation of crop residue                | 28.68   | 22.09 | 7.97   | 3.71  | 10.43   | 27.75 |
| T\(_2\) Incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\)                   | 8.75    | 11.57 | 2.13   | 1.25  | 3.18    | 14.41 |
| T\(_3\) PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) for safflower only | 13.11   | 13.92 | 3.27   | 1.78  | 4.76    | 17.83 |
| T\(_4\) 50% RDF NPK with incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) | 21.48   | 18.14 | 5.62   | 2.57  | 8.21    | 23.14 |
| T\(_5\) 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\)                               | 22.00   | 16.82 | 5.19   | 2.68  | 8.26    | 22.04 |
| T\(_6\) 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) | 24.25   | 19.55 | 6.29   | 2.79  | 9.02    | 24.70 |
| T\(_7\) 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) for safflower only | 27.62   | 20.98 | 7.41   | 3.25  | 10.08   | 26.76 |

‘F’ test

| T\(_1\) 100% RDF NPK without incorporation of crop residue | Sig. | Sig. | Sig. | Sig. | Sig. | Sig. |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| SE (m) ±                                                  | 0.77 | 0.43 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.61 |
| C.D. at 5%                                                | 2.16 | 1.22 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 1.08 | 1.71 |

Table.8 Effect of different treatments on physical properties of soil at harvest of safflower

| Treatment                                                                 | Bulk Density (Mgm\(^{-3}\)) | Hydraulic conductiv-ity (cm hr\(^{-1}\)) | Infiltration rate (cm hr\(^{-1}\)) | Max. water holding capacity (%) | Water stable aggregate of > 0.25 mm (%) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| T\(_1\) 100% RDF NPK without incorporation of crop residue                | 1.51                        | 0.15                                     | 1.23                              | 51.35                           | 46.23                                 |
| T\(_2\) Incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\)                   | 1.50                        | 0.20                                     | 1.41                              | 52.48                           | 47.81                                 |
| T\(_3\) PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) for safflower only | 1.40                        | 0.24                                     | 1.66                              | 53.70                           | 49.25                                 |
| T\(_4\) 50% RDF NPK with incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) | 1.43                        | 0.28                                     | 1.69                              | 55.69                           | 47.10                                 |
| T\(_5\) 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\)                               | 1.45                        | 0.18                                     | 1.36                              | 51.98                           | 49.85                                 |
| T\(_6\) 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) | 1.42                        | 0.30                                     | 1.72                              | 57.15                           | 51.26                                 |
| T\(_7\) 50% RDF NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + Alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) for safflower only | 1.39                        | 0.33                                     | 1.78                              | 58.44                           | 52.75                                 |

Initial value 1.51 0.14 1.25 50.90 47.00

SE (m) ± 0.034 0.017 0.028 0.64 0.56
C.D. at 5% 0.098 0.048 0.080 1.81 1.57
Nitrogen content in Safflower

The data presented in Table 6 minimum nitrogen content in seed and straw of safflower was observed in treatment (T2) only incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) (2.39 and 1.37\%) and significantly increased the concentration of nitrogen in seed and straw (2.39 and 1.37 \%) under treatment (T1) as influenced by 100 per RD of NPK and it was found statistically at par with treatment T7 (2.37 and 1.35 \%) in combination with 50 percent RD of NPK+ PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness). The lowest nitrogen content in seed and straw was recorded in treatment (T2) due to incorporation crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\).

Phosphorus content in Safflower

The data related to phosphorus in safflower seed was found varied from (0.68 to 0.50\%) and straw (0.23 to 0.13 \%) are presented in Table 6. The lowest phosphorus content seed and straw (0.68 and 0.23 \%) was observed in treatment T2 due to incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\). A significantly increased highest P content in seed and straw (0.68 and 0.23 \%) under 100 per cent RD of NPK without incorporation crop residue. As regards total P content significantly more in treatment T1 (0.68 and 0.23) as influenced by 100 per cent RD of NPK without incorporation crop residue followed by treatment T7 (0.64 and 0.21 \%) due to application of 50 per cent RD of NPK+ PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 ha\(^{-1}\) + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness). Lowest nitrogen uptake by safflower seed was recorded in treatment T2 (grain 8.75 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) and (straw 11.57 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) as influenced by incorporation of crop residue.

Potassium content in Safflower

From the data (Table 6) it is clearly observed that the highest concentration of potassium in seed and straw (0.87 and 1.70 \%) in combination with 50 per cent RD of NPK+ PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 ha\(^{-1}\) + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness). The lowest potassium content in seed and straw (0.87 and 1.70 \%) in combination with 50 per cent RD of NPK+PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 ha\(^{-1}\) + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness).

Nitrogen uptake by Safflower

Nitrogen plays an important role as it promotes vegetative growth and induces dark green colour to plants. Magnitude of nitrogen fixation and available nutrient in soil exert a great bearing on growth of crop. The data pertaining to uptake of nitrogen by safflower seed as influenced by various treatments are presented in Table 7. The highest N uptake by safflower grain in treatment T1 (28.68 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) and straw (22.09 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) consisting 100 per cent RD of NPK without incorporation crop residue and it was found at par with treatment T7 (grain 27.62 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) and (straw 22.98 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) 50 per cent RD of NPK+ PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 ha\(^{-1}\) + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness). Lowest nitrogen uptake by safflower seed was recorded in treatment T2 (grain 8.75 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) and (straw 11.57 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) as influenced by incorporation of crop residue.

Uptake of phosphorus by Safflower

The data reflected that results of P uptake by safflower seed and straw significantly influenced by different treatments. Highest P uptake by seed in treatment T1 (seed 7.97 kg P ha\(^{-1}\)) and (straw 3.71 kg P ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded under 100 per cent RD of NPK without incorporation crop residue and it was found at par with treatment T7 (seed 7.42 kg P ha\(^{-1}\)) and (straw 3.25 kg P ha\(^{-1}\)) as influenced by 50 per cent RD of NPK+PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 ha\(^{-1}\) + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness).
passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness). The lowest P uptake was recorded in treatment T_2 (seed 2.13 kg P ha\(^{-1}\)) and (straw 1.25 kg P ha\(^{-1}\)) due to Incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\).

**Potassium uptake**

The data potassium uptake by seed and straw safflower was significantly influenced by various treatments. Potassium uptake varied. The highest potassium uptake by seed found in T_1 (10.43 kg K ha\(^{-1}\)) and straw (27.75 kg K ha\(^{-1}\)) as influenced by 100 per cent RD of NPK without incorporation crop residue, and it was found at par with treatment T_7 (seed 10.08 kg K ha\(^{-1}\)) and (straw 26.76 kg K ha\(^{-1}\)) received under 50 per cent RD of NPK+ PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + alkali water passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness). The lowest potassium uptake recorded in treatment T_2 (3.18 kg K ha\(^{-1}\)) as influenced by incorporation of crop residues @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\). The lowest potassium uptake in treatment T_2 (seed 3.18) and (straw 14.41 kg K ha\(^{-1}\)) due to Incorporation of crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\).

**Bulk Density**

The increase in bulk density of Sodic Haplusters with increasing depth of pedon may be ascribed to increasing the compactness of soils at lower depth and reflected ill drained nature of soil which rendered salt leaching rather difficult. In order to reduce the compactness and the alkalinity of irrigation water and to improving the physical properties of soil, crop residue was incorporation with chemical fertilizer in soil and through dissolution gypsum in alkali water and results obtained pertaining to bulk density are show in table 8. The data regarding bulk density of soil as influence by crop residue, chemical fertilizer and alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed were found in the rage 1.39 to 1.51 Mgm\(^{-3}\). The maximum in bulk density of soil was found in treatment T_7 (1.39 Mg M-3) the application with combination 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + alkali water passed through gypsum bed (30 cm thickness) and it was found at par with the treatments T_6, T_4, T_3 and T_5, where incorporations of crop residue was done.

**Hydraulic conductivity**

The indicate that saturated hydraulic conductivity of soil in all the treatments was found to decreased with depth. The hydraulic conductivity as affected by various treatments was found in the range 0.15 to 0.33 cm hr\(^{-1}\). The significantly increase the hydraulic conductivity (0.33 cm hr\(^{-1}\)) in treatment T_7 i.e. application of 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK+ PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) and alkali water passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thickness while irrigation to safflower under Sodic Haplusterts which was followed by treatment T_6 (0.30 cm hr\(^{-1}\)) i.e. 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\). Indicating the significance of incorporation of crop residue and alkali water passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thickness under sodic Haplusterts improvement in sodic characteristics of soil.

**Infiltration rate**

Infiltration rate under different treatments was found high initially and it was decreased with passage of time at 10 minute interval. The maximum infiltration was recorded treatment T_7 (1.78 cm hr\(^{-1}\)) in combination with 50 per cent RD of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thickness. However, treatment T_6 recorded infiltration (1.72 cm hr\(^{-1}\)) influenced by 50 per
cent RD of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) and it was found statistically at par to each other. Indicate that incorporation of crop residue in combination with fertilizer application an improvement in infiltration rate of soil Badanur et al., (1990) and Reddy et al., (2002). Lowest infiltration rate was found in treatment T\(_1\) (1.23 cm hr\(^{-1}\)) due to application of 100 per cent recommended dose of NPK without incorporation of crop residue.

**Maximum water holding capacity**

A significantly higher value of maximum water holding capacity was observed in treatment T\(_7\) (58.44%) receiving under 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + alkali water irrigation passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thickness, and it was found at par with treatment T\(_6\) (57.15%) an application of 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\). The lowest value of maximum water holding capacity was observed under treatment T\(_1\) (51.35%) with 100 per cent recommended dose of NPK without incorporation of crop residue only. Incorporation of crop residue decreased the bulk density and increased the soil porosity thus significantly enhanced the water holding capacity of soil (Das et al., 2001).

**Water stable aggregate**

The data revealed that, the value of per cent water stable aggregates > 0.25 mm were found statistically significant. Per cent water stable aggregate > 0.25 mm found in the range of 46.23 to 52.75 per cent respectively. The highest value per cent water stable aggregate was recorded in treatment T\(_7\) (52.75) receiving 50 per cent recommended dose NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue @ 2 t ha\(^{-1}\) + alkali water passed through gypsum bed of 30 cm thickness for irrigation, and it was found statistically at par with treatment T\(_6\) (51.56%) influenced by 50 per cent recommended dose NPK + PSB 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + crop residue. The per cent water stable aggregates found decreased in treatment T\(_1\) (46.23) receiving 100 per cent recommended dose of NPK without incorporation of crop residue. The reduction in water stable aggregate with application of fertilizer might be attributed to the deteriorative action of fertilizer nitrogen on soil structure (Bellakki, 1994). Incorporation of crop residues enhanced biological activity in the soil and helps in soil aggregation. The crop residues after their incorporation in soil undergo decomposition and results in addition of nutrients as well as solubilization of soil nutrients due to organic acid especially in Sodic Vertisols of Purna Valley soils and improves all physical properties e.g. decrease in bulk density, increase infiltration rate, hydraulic conductivity of soil and also improves soil aggregates and maximum water holding capacity.
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