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Abstract

In this paper we study a certain kind of generalized linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius. Let \(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l\) be positive integers such that their greatest common divisor is one. For a nonnegative integer \(p\), denote the \(p\)-Frobenius number by \(g_p(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l)\), which is the largest integer that can be represented at most \(p\) ways by a linear combination with nonnegative integer coefficients of \(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l\). When \(p = 0\), 0-Frobenius number is the classical Frobenius number. When \(l = 2\), 1-Frobenius number is explicitly given. However, when \(l = 3\) and even larger, even in special cases, it is not easy to give the Frobenius number explicitly, and it is even more difficult when \(p > 0\), and no specific example has been known. However, very recently, we have succeeded in giving explicit formulas for the case where the sequence is of triangular numbers \[16\] or of repunits \[17\] for the case where \(l = 3\). In this paper, we show the explicit formula for the Fibonacci triple when \(p > 0\). In addition, we give an explicit formula for the \(p\)-Sylvester number, that is, the total number of nonnegative integers that can be represented in at most \(p\) ways. Furthermore, explicit formulas are shown concerning the Lucas triple.
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1 Introduction

The linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius is to find the largest integer which is not expressed by the nonnegative linear combination of given positive relatively prime integers \(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l\). Such a largest integer is called Frobenius number \([30]\), denoted by \(g(A) = g(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l)\), where \(A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l\}\). In the literature on the Frobenius problem, Sylvester number or genus \(n(A) = n(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l)\), which is the total number of nonrepresentable integers, also plays an important role \([29]\) as that of \(g(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l)\).

Other different indicators, including the sum of the representations \([32]\) and the weighted sum of them \([18, 19]\) have been also proposed and studied. This stream of concept is clarified and generalized in \([15]\) by using Bernoulli numbers. \(p\)-generalizations may be similarly defined. However, because of the space, we do not treat with them in this paper.

There are many aspects to study Frobenius problem. For example, algorithmic aspects to find the values or the bounds, complexity of computations, denumerants, numerical semigroup, applications to algebraic geometry and so on (see, e.g., \([2, 21]\)). It is also one of the most important and interesting topics to find the number of representations \(d(n; a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l)\) to \(a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_lx_l = n\) for a given positive integer \(n\). This number is equal to the coefficient of \(x^n\) in \(1/(1 - x^{a_1})(1 - x^{a_2})\cdots(1 - x^{a_l})\) \([29]\). Sylvester \([28]\) and Cayley \([8]\) showed that \(d(n; a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l)\) can be expressed as the sum of a polynomial in \(n\) of degree \(k - 1\) and a periodic function of period \(a_1a_2\cdots a_l\). In \([3]\), the explicit formula for the polynomial part is derived by using Bernoulli numbers. For two variables, a formula for \(d(n; a_1, a_2)\) is obtained in \([31]\). For three variables in the pairwise coprime case \(d(n; a_1, a_2, a_3)\). For three variables, in \([14]\), the periodic function part is expressed in terms of trigonometric functions, and its results have been improved in \([5]\) by using floor functions so that three variables case can be easily worked with in his formula.

In this paper, we are interested in one of the most general and most natural types of Frobenius numbers, which focuses on the number of solutions. For a nonnegative integer \(p\), the largest integer such that the number of expressions that can be represented by \(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l\) is at most \(p\) is denoted by \(g_p(A) = g_p(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l)\) and may be called \(p\)-Frobenius number. That
is, all integers larger than \( g_p(A) \) have at least the number of representations of \( p + 1 \) or more. This generalized Frobenius number \( g_p(A) \) is called the \( p \)-Frobenius number \([16, 17]\), which is also called the \( k \)-Frobenius number \([7]\) or the \( s \)-Frobenius number \([12]\). When \( p = 0 \), \( g(A) = g_0(A) \) is the original Frobenius number. One can consider the largest integer \( g^*_p(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l) \) that has exactly \( p \) distinct representations (see, e.g., \([7, 12]\)). However, in this case, the ordering \( g^*_0 \leq g^*_1 \leq \cdots \) may not hold. For example, \( g^*_1(2, 5, 7) = 43 > g^*_1(2, 5, 7) = 42 \). In addition, for some \( j \), \( g^*_j \) may not exist. For example, \( g^*_2(2, 5, 7) \) does not exist because there is no positive integer whose number of representations is exactly 22. Therefore, in this paper we do not study \( g^*_p(A) \) but \( g_p(A) \).

Similarly to the \( p \)-Frobenius number, the \( p \)-Sylvester number or the \( p \)-genus \( n_p(A) = n_p(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l) \) is defined by the cardinality of the set of integers which can be represented by \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l \) at most \( p \) ways. When \( p = 0 \), \( n(A) = n_0(A) \) is the original Sylvester number.

In this paper, we are interested in one of the most crucial topics, that is, to find explicit formulas of indicators, in particular, of \( p \)-Frobenius numbers and \( p \)-Sylvester number. In the classical case, that is, for \( p = 0 \), explicit formulas of \( g(a_1, a_2) \) and \( n(a_1, a_2) \) are shown when \( l = 2 \) (\([29, 30]\)). However, for \( l \geq 3 \), \( g(A) \) cannot be given by any set of closed formulas which can be reduced to a finite set of certain polynomials (\([9]\)). One of the best expositions for the Frobenius number in three variables can be seen in \([33]\). There are also several useful algorithm to obtain the Frobenius number (see, e.g., \([10, 13, 23]\)). Though the three specific numbers are valid in of these methods or results, even in special cases, these methods or results are not necessarily valid for the triplets given in the general type, and special considerations are required. Only some special cases, explicit closed formulas have been found, including arithmetic, geometric, Mersenne, repunits and triangular (see \([22, 24, 25]\) and references therein).

For \( p > 0 \), if \( l = 2 \), explicit formulas of \( g_p(a_1, a_2) \) and \( n_p(a_1, a_2) \) are still give without any difficulty (see, e.g., \([3]\)). However, if \( l \geq 3 \), no explicit formula had been given even in a special case. But quite recently, we have succeeded in giving explicit formulas for the case where the sequence is of triangular numbers \([16]\) or of repunits \([17]\) for the case where \( l = 3 \).

In this paper, we give an explicit formula for the \( p \)-Frobenius number for the Fibonacci number triple \((F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) \) \((i, k \geq 3)\). Here, the \( n \)-th Fibonacci number \( F_n \) is defined by \( F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \) \((n \geq 2)\) with \( F_1 = 1 \) and \( F_0 = 0 \). Our main results (Theorem 5 below) is a kind of generalizations of \([20, \text{Theorem 1}]\) when \( p = 0 \). However, when \( p > 0 \), the exact situation is not completely similar to the case where \( p = 0 \), and the
case by case discussion is necessary. As analogues, we also show explicit formulas of \( g_p(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{i+k}) \) for Lucas numbers \( L_n \) with \( i, k \geq 3 \). Here, Lucas numbers \( L_n \) satisfy the recurrence relation \( L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2} \) \((n \geq 2)\) with \( L_0 = 2 \) and \( L_1 = 1 \). By using our constructed framework, we can also find explicit formulas of the \( p \)-Sylvester numbers \( n_p(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) \) and \( n_p(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{i+k}) \). Our result (Theorem 13) can extend the result in [20, Corollary 2]. The main idea is to find the explicit structure of the elements of Apéry set [11]. On the other word, we use complete residue system, studied initially by Selmer [26]. By using Apéry sets, we construct the first least set of the complete residue system, then the second least set of the complete residue system, and the third, and so on. As a basic framework, we use a similar structure in [17]. We can safely say that one of our theorems (Theorem 5 below) is a kind of generalizations of [20, Theorem 1]. Nevertheless, for each nonnegative integer \( p \), the exact situation is not completely similar but the case by case discussion is necessary.

2 Preliminaries

Without loss of generality, we assume that \( a_1 = \min\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l\} \). For each \( 0 \leq i \leq a_1 - 1 \), we introduce the positive integer \( m_{i}^{(p)} \) congruent to \( i \) modulo \( a_1 \) such that the number of representations of \( m_{i}^{(p)} \) is bigger than or equal to \( p + 1 \) and that of \( m_{i} - a_1 \) is less than or equal to \( p \). Note that \( m_{0}^{(0)} \) is defined to be 0. The set

\[
\text{Ap}(A; p) = \text{Ap}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l; p) = \{m_{0}^{(p)}, m_{1}^{(p)}, \ldots, m_{a_1-1}^{(p)}\},
\]

is called the \( p \)-Apéry set of \( A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l\} \) for a nonnegative integer \( p \), which is congruent to the set

\[
\{0, 1, \ldots, a_1 - 1\} \pmod{a_1}.
\]

When \( p = 0 \), the 0-Apéry set is the original Apéry set [11].

It is hard to find any explicit formula of \( g_p(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l) \) when \( l \geq 3 \). Nevertheless, the following convenient formulas are known (see [15]). After finding the structure of \( m_{j}^{(p)} \), we can obtain \( p \)-Frobenius or \( p \)-Sylvester numbers for triple \((F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k})\).

Lemma 1. Let \( k, p \) and \( \mu \) be integers with \( k \geq 2 \), \( p \geq 0 \) and \( \mu \geq 1 \). Assume that \( \gcd(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l) = 1 \). We have

\[
g_p(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l) = \max_{0 \leq j \leq a_1 - 1} m_{j}^{(p)} - a_1,
\]

(1)
\[ n_p(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l) = \frac{1}{a_1} \sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1} m_j^{(p)} - \frac{a_1-1}{2}. \]  

(2)

Remark. When \( p = 0 \), (1) is the formula by Brauer and Shockley [6]:

\[ g(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l) = \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq a_1-1} m_j \right) - a_1, \]

(3)

where \( m_j = m_j^{(0)} \) (1 \( \leq j \leq a_1 - 1 \)) with \( m_0 = 0 \). When \( p = 0 \), (2) is the formula by Selmer [26]:

\[ n(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l) = \frac{1}{a_1} \sum_{j=1}^{a_1-1} m_j - \frac{a_1-1}{2}. \]

(4)

Note that \( m_0 = m_0^{(0)} = 0 \). A more general form by using Bernoulli numbers is given in [15], as well as the concept of weighted sums ([18, 19]).

It is necessary to find the exact situation of 0-Apéry set \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 0) \), the least complete residue system, which was initially studied in [26]. Concerning Fibonacci numbers, we use the framework in [20].

Throughout this paper, for a fixed integer \( i \), we write

\[ \text{Ap}(F_i; p) = \{ m_0^{(p)}, m_1^{(p)}, \ldots, m_{F_i-1}^{(p)} \} \]

for short. Then, we shall construct the set of the least complete residue system \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 0) \). That is, \( m_j \not\equiv m_h \pmod{F_i} \) (0 \( \leq j < h \leq F_i - 1 \)), and if for a positive integer \( M \), \( M \equiv j \) and \( M \not\equiv m_j \) (0 \( \leq j \leq F_i - 1 \)), then \( M > m_j \). Then for the case \( p = 1 \) we shall construct the second set of the least complete residue system \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 1) \). That is, \( m_j^{(1)} \not\equiv m_h^{(1)} \pmod{F_i} \) (0 \( \leq j < h \leq F_i - 1 \)), \( m_j^{(1)} \equiv m_j \pmod{F_i} \) (0 \( \leq j \leq F_i - 1 \)), and there does not exist an integer \( M \) such that \( m_j^{(1)} > M > m_j \) and \( M \equiv j \pmod{F_i} \). Similarly, for \( p = 2 \), we shall construct the third set of the least complete residue system \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 2) \). That is, \( m_j^{(2)} \not\equiv m_h^{(2)} \pmod{F_i} \) (0 \( \leq j < h \leq F_i - 1 \)), \( m_j^{(2)} \equiv m_j^{(1)} \pmod{F_i} \) (0 \( \leq j \leq F_i - 1 \)), and there does not exist an integer \( M \) such that \( m_j^{(2)} > M > m_j^{(1)} \) and \( M \equiv j \pmod{F_i} \).

By using the similar frame in [20], we firstly show an analogous result about Lucas triple \( (L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{i+k}) \) when \( p = 0 \). As a preparation we shall...
show the result when \( p = 0 \), with a sketch of the proof. The results about Fibonacci numbers can be applied to get those about Lucas numbers. When \( p = 0 \), by setting integers \( r \) and \( \ell \) as
\[
L_i - 1 = rF_k + \ell \quad \text{with} \quad r \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq F_k - 1,
\]
and using the identity
\[
L_n = L_m F_{n-m+1} + L_{m-1} F_{n-m},
\]
we can get an analogous identity of Fibonacci one in [20, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 1.** For integers \( i, k \geq 3 \) and \( r = \lfloor (L_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
g_0(L_i, L_i+2, L_i+k) & = \begin{cases} 
(L_i - 1)L_i+2 - L_i(rF_k-2 + 1) & \text{if } r = 0, \text{ or } r \geq 1 \text{ and } \\
(rF_k - 1)L_i+2 - L_i((r - 1)F_k-2 + 1) & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** Put the linear representation
\[
t_{x,y} : = xL_i+2 + yL_{i+k} = (x + yF_k)L_i+2 - yF_k-2 L_i \quad (x, y \geq 0).
\]
Then by \( \gcd(L_i, L_i+2) = 1 \) we can prove that the following table represents the least complete residue system \( \{0, 1, \ldots, L_i - 1\} \) (mod \( L_i \)):

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
t_{0,0} & \cdots & \cdots & t_{F_k-1,0} \\
t_{0,1} & \cdots & \cdots & t_{F_k-1,1} \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
t_{0,r-1} & \cdots & \cdots & t_{F_k-1,r-1} \\
t_{0,r} & \cdots & t_{\ell,r} & \cdots
\end{array}
\]

That is, we can prove that none of two elements among this set are not congruent modulo \( F_i \), and if there exists an element congruent to any of the elements among this set, then such an element is bigger and not in this set.

When \( r = 0 \), the largest element among all the \( t_{x,y} \)'s in this table is \( t_{\ell,0} \). When \( r \geq 1 \), the largest element is either \( t_{F_k-1,r-1} \) or \( t_{\ell,r} \). Since \( t_{F_k-1,r-1} < t_{\ell,r} \) is equivalent to \( F_k-2L_i < (L_i - rF_k)L_{i+2} \), the result is followed by the identity [3]. The first case is given by \( t_{\ell,r} - L_i \), and the second by \( t_{F_k-1,r-1} - L_i \).

3 **Main results when \( p = 1 \)**

Now, let us begin to consider the case \( p \geq 1 \). We shall obtain the Frobenius number by using. We want to find the \( p \)-Frobenius number using Lemma [4]
Remark. When \( p \) is from the structure of the elements of the \( 1 \)-Apéry set, thereby obtaining the 1-Frobenius number. When \( p = 1 \), the structure of the elements of the \( 1 \)-Apéry set is analyzed from the structure of the elements of the \( 0 \)-Apéry set, which is the original Apéry set, thereby obtaining the 1-Frobenius number. When \( p = 1 \), we have the following.

**Theorem 2.** For \( i \geq 3 \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) &= (2F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i \quad (k \geq i + 2), \quad (5) \\
g_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i+1}) &= (F_{i-2} - 1)F_{i+2} + F_{2i+1} - F_i, \quad (6) \\
g_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i}) &= (F_i - 1)F_{i+2} + F_{2i} - F_i. \quad (7)
\end{align*}
\]

When \( r = \lfloor (F_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor \geq 1 \), that is, \( k \leq i - 1 \), we have

\[
g_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) \equiv \begin{cases} 
(F_i - rF_k - 1)F_{i+2} + (r + 1)F_{i+k} - F_i & \text{if } (F_i - rF_k)F_{i+2} \geq F_{k-2}F_i, \\
(F_k - 1)F_{i+2} + rF_{i+k} - F_i & \text{if } (F_i - rF_k)F_{i+2} < F_{k-2}F_i. 
\end{cases}
\]

(8)

Remark. When \( r \geq 1 \) and \( k = i - 1, i - 2, i - 3, i - 4, i - 5 \), we have more explicit formulas.

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-1}) &= (F_{i-2} - 1)F_{i+2} + 2F_{2i-1} - F_i \quad (i \geq 4), \\
g_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-2}) &= (F_{i-3} - 1)F_{i+2} + 3F_{2i-2} - F_i \quad (i \geq 5), \\
g_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-3}) &= \begin{cases} 
(F_{i-6} - 1)F_{i+2} + 5F_{2i-3} - F_i & (i \geq 7) \\
F_{i+2} + 4F_{2i-3} - F_i (i = 149) & (i = 6), 
\end{cases} \\
g_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-4}) &= (F_{i-5} + F_{i-7} - 1)F_{i+2} + 7F_{2i-4} - F_i, \\
g_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-5}) &= \begin{cases} 
(F_{i-5} - 1)F_{i+2} + 11F_{2i-5} - F_i & (i \geq 10) \\
12F_{2i-5} - F_i & (i = 9) \\
11F_{2i-5} - F_i & (i = 8). 
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Put the linear representation

\[
t_{x,y} = xF_{i+2} + yF_{i+k} = (x + yF_k)F_{i+2} - yF_{k-2}F_i \quad (x, y \geq 0).
\]
Table 1: Ap($F_i; 0$) and Ap($F_i; 1$) for $r \geq 1$

For given $F_i$ and $F_k$, integers $r$ and $\ell$ are determined uniquely as $F_i - 1 = rF_k + \ell$ with $0 \leq \ell \leq F_k - 1$.

The second set Ap($F_i; 1$) can be yielded from the first set Ap($F_i; 0$) as follows. Assume that $r \geq 1$. Only the first line $\{t_{0,0}, t_{0,1}, \ldots, t_{F_k-1,0}\}$ moves to fill the last gap in the $(r + 1)$-st line, the rest continue to the next $(r + 2)$-nd line. Everything else from the second line shifts up by 1 and moves to the next right block (When $r = 1$, the new right block consists of only one line $t_{F_k,0}, \ldots, t_{F_k+\ell,0}$, but this does not affect the final result).

| $t_{0,0}$ | $t_{1,0}$ | $\ldots$ | $t_{F_k-1,0}$ | $t_{F_k,0}$ | $t_{F_k+1,0}$ | $\ldots$ | $t_{2F_k-1,0}$ |
|---------|---------|---------|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|
| $t_{0,1}$ | $t_{1,1}$ | $\ldots$ | $t_{F_k-1,1}$ | $t_{F_k,1}$ | $t_{F_k+1,1}$ | $\ldots$ | $t_{2F_k-1,1}$ |
| $t_{0,2}$ | $t_{1,2}$ | $\ldots$ | $t_{F_k-1,2}$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $t_{F_k-r,2}$ | $t_{F_k+1,r-2}$ | $\ldots$ | $t_{2F_k-1,r-2}$ |
| $t_{0,r}$ | $t_{1,r}$ | $\ldots$ | $t_{F_k-r,1}$ | $t_{F_k,r-1}$ | $\ldots$ | $t_{F_k+\ell,r-1}$ |
| $t_{0,r+1}$ | $\vdots$ | $t_{0,r+1}$ | $\ldots$ | $t_{F_k-\ell-1,0}$ | $\equiv$ | $t_{0,r+1}$ | $\ldots$ | $t_{F_k-1,0}$ | $\equiv$ | $t_{\ell,r+1}$ |

The first group is summarized as

$$ t_{x,y} \equiv t_{F_k+x,y-1} \pmod{F_i} $$

for $0 \leq x \leq F_k - 1$ and $1 \leq y \leq r - 1$ or $0 \leq x \leq \ell$ and $y = r$. This congruence is valid because

$$ t_{x,y} = (x + yF_k)F_i + 2 - yF_{k-2}F_i 
\equiv (F_k + x + (y - 1)F_k)F_i + 2 - (y - 1)F_{k-2}F_i = t_{F_k+x,y-1} \pmod{F_i}. $$

The second group is valid because for $0 \leq x \leq F_k - \ell - 2$

$$ t_{x,0} = xF_i + 2 $$
\[ \equiv (\ell + 1 + x + r F_k) F_{i+2} - r F_{k-2} F_i = t_{\ell+1+x,r} \pmod{F_i}. \]

The third group is valid because for \(0 \leq x \leq \ell\)

\[ t_{F_k-\ell-1+x,0} = (F_k - \ell - 1 + x) F_{i+2} \]
\[ \equiv (x + (r + 1) F_k) F_{i+2} - (r + 1) F_{k-2} F_i = t_{x,r+1} \pmod{F_i}. \]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
  t_{0,0} & \cdots & \cdots & t_{\ell,0} \\
  t_{0,1} & \cdots & t_{2\ell-1-F_k,1} \\
\end{array}
\]

Table 2: \(\text{Ap}(F_i;0)\) and \(\text{Ap}(F_i;1)\) for \(r = 0\) and \(2\ell + 1 \geq F_k\)

Assume that \(r = 0\). The first set \(\text{Ap}(F_i;0)\) consists of only the first line. If \(2\ell + 1 \geq F_k\), then the second set \(\text{Ap}(F_i;1)\) can be yielded by moving to fill the last gap in the line, the rest continuing to the next line.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
  t_{0,0} & \equiv t_{\ell+1,0}, & \cdots, & t_{F_k-\ell-2,0} \equiv t_{F_k-1,0}, \\
  t_{F_k-\ell-1,0} \equiv t_{0,1}, & \cdots, & t_{\ell,0} \equiv t_{2\ell+1-F_k,1} \pmod{F_i}. \\
\end{array}
\]

They are valid because for \(0 \leq j \leq F_k - \ell - 2\)

\[ t_{j,0} = j F_{i+2} \equiv (F_i + j) F_{i+2} \]
\[ = (\ell + 1 - j) F_{i+2} = t_{\ell+1-j,0} \pmod{F_i} \]

and for \(0 \leq j \leq 2\ell + 1 - F_k\)

\[ t_{F_k-\ell-1+j,0} = (F_k - \ell - 1 + j) F_{i+2} \]
\[ \equiv (j + F_k) F_{i+2} - F_{k-2} F_i = t_{j,1} \pmod{F_i}. \]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
  t_{0,0} & \cdots & \cdots & t_{\ell,0} \\
  t_{0,1} & \cdots & t_{2\ell-1-F_k,1} \\
  t_{F_k-\ell-1,1} & \cdots & \cdots & t_{2\ell-1,0} \\
\end{array}
\]

Table 3: \(\text{Ap}(F_i;0)\) and \(\text{Ap}(F_i;1)\) for \(r = 0\) and \(2\ell + 1 \leq F_k - 1\)

If \(2\ell + 1 \leq F_k - 1\), then the second set \(\text{Ap}(F_i;1)\) can be yielded by moving to fill the last gap in the line only.

\[ t_{0,0} \equiv t_{\ell+1,0}, \cdots, t_{\ell,0} \equiv t_{2\ell+1,0} \pmod{F_i}. \]

They are valid because for \(0 \leq j \leq \ell\)

\[ t_{j,0} = j F_{i+2} \equiv (F_i + j) F_{i+2} \]

9
Next, we shall decide the maximal element in the second set \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 1) \) (and also in the first set \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 0) \)).

**Case 1(1)** Assume that \( r = 0 \) and \( 2\ell + 1 \leq F_k - 1 \). The second condition is equivalent to \( 2F_i \leq F_k \), which is equivalent to \( i \leq k - 2 \). The largest element in the second set \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 1) \), which is congruent to \( \{0, 1, \ldots, F_i - 1\} \) (mod \( F_i \)), is given by \( t_{2\ell+1,0} = (2F_i - 1)F_i + 2 \).

**Case 1(2)** Assume that \( r = 0 \) and \( 2\ell + 1 \geq F_k \). The second condition is equivalent to \( 2F_i - 1 \geq F_k \), which is equivalent to \( i \geq k - 1 \geq 3 \). In this case there are two possibilities for the largest element in the second set \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 1) \):

\[
t_{F_k-1,0} = (F_k - 1)F_i + 2 \quad \text{or} \quad t_{2\ell+1-F_k,1} = (2F_i - 1)F_i + 2 - F_k - 2F_i.
\]

However, because of \( i \geq k - 1 \geq 3 \), always \( t_{F_k-1,0} < t_{2\ell+1-F_k,1} \).

**Case 2** Assume that \( r \geq 1 \). This condition is equivalent to \( F_i - 1 \geq F_k \), which is equivalent to \( i \geq k + 1 \). In this case there are four possibilities for the largest element in the second set \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 1) \):

\[
t_{2F_k-1,r-2} = (rF_k - 1)F_i + 2 - (r - 2)F_k - 2F_i,
\]

\[
t_{F_k+r-1} = (F_i - 1)F_i + 2 - (r - 1)F_k - 2F_i,
\]

\[
t_{F_k-1,r} = ((r + 1)F_k - 1)F_i + 2 - rF_k - 2F_i,
\]

\[
t_{\ell,r+1} = (F_i + F_k - 1)F_i + 2 - (r + 1)F_k - 2F_i.
\]

However, it is clear that \( t_{2F_k-1,r-2} < t_{F_k-1,r} \). Because of \( i \geq k + 1 \), \( t_{F_k+r-1} < t_{F_k-1,r} \). Thus, only necessity is to compare \( t_{F_k-1,r} \) and \( t_{\ell,r+1} \), and \( t_{F_k-1,r} > t_{\ell,r+1} \) is equivalent to \( (F_i - rF_k)F_{i+2} > F_k - 2F_i \).

Finally, rewriting the forms in terms of \( F_i+2 \) and \( F_{i+k} \) and applying Lemma 1, we get the result. Namely, the formula (8) comes from Case 1(1). The formulas (9) and (10) come from Case 1(2) when \( k = i + 1 \) and \( k = i \), respectively. The general formula (8) come from Case 2.

\[\square\]

### 4 The case \( p = 2 \)

When \( p = 2 \), we have the following.

**Theorem 3.** For \( i \geq 3 \), we have

\[
g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = (3F_i - 1)F_i + 2 - F_i \quad (k \geq i + 3), \quad (9)
\]

\[
g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i+2}) = \begin{cases} 
(F_i - 2)F_i + 2F_{i+2} - F_i & (i \text{ is odd}) \\
(F_i - 2)F_i + 2F_{i+2} - F_i & (i \text{ is even}) 
\end{cases}, \quad (10)
\]

10
\[ g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i+1}) = (F_i - 1)F_{i+2} + F_{2i+1} - F_i, \quad (11) \]
\[ g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i}) = (2F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i, \quad (12) \]
\[ g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-1}) = \begin{cases} (F_{i+4} - 1)F_{i+2} + 3F_{2i-1} - F_i & (i \geq 5) \\ F_{i+2} + 2F_{2i-1} - F_i (= 31) & (i = 4) \end{cases}. \quad (13) \]

When \( r = [(F_i - 1)/F_k] \geq 2 \), that is, \( k \leq i - 2 \), we have
\[ g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \begin{cases} (F_i - rF_k - 1)F_{i+2} + (r + 2)F_{i+k} - F_i & \text{if } (F_i - rF_k)F_{i+2} \geq F_{k-2}F_i; \\ (F_k - 1)F_{i+2} + (r + 1)F_{i+k} - F_i & \text{if } (F_i - rF_k)F_{i+2} < F_{k-2}F_i. \end{cases} \quad (14) \]

Remark. When \( k = i - 2 \) and \( k = i - 3 \), we can write more explicitly as
\[ g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-2}) = (F_{i-3} - 1)F_{i+2} + 4F_{2i-2} - F_i \quad (i \geq 5), \quad (15) \]
\[ g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-3}) = \begin{cases} (F_{i-6} - 1)F_{i+2} + 6F_{2i-3} - F_i & (i \geq 7) \\ F_{i+2} + 5F_{2i-3} - F_i (= 183) & (i = 6) \end{cases}, \quad (16) \]
respectively. The formulas (15) and (16) hold when \( r = 2 \) and \( r = 4 \), respectively.

Proof. When \( p = 2 \), the third least complete residue system \( \mathbb{A}_p(F_i; 2) \) is determined from the second least complete residue system \( \mathbb{A}_p(F_i; 1) \). When \( r \geq 2 \), some elements go to the third block.

| 1st block | 2nd block | 3rd block |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| \( F_k \) | \( F_k \) | \( F_k \) |

\( t_{2i+2,0} \)

Table 4: \( \mathbb{A}_p(F_i; p) (p = 0, 1, 2) \) for \( r = 0 \) and \( F_k \geq 3\ell + 3 \)

**Case 1(1)** Let \( r = 0 \) and \( F_k \geq 3\ell + 3 = 3F_i \). Since for \( \ell + 1 \leq j \leq 2\ell + 1 \)
\[ t_{j,0} = jF_{i+2} \equiv (F_i + j)F_{i+2} \]
\[ = (\ell + j + 1)F_{i+2} = t_{\ell+j+1,0} \pmod{F_i}, \]
the third set \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 2) \) is given by
\[
\{ t_{2\ell+1,0}, \ldots, t_{3\ell+2,0} \} \pmod{F_i}.
\]
As the maximal element is \( t_{3\ell+2,0} \), by (11), we have
\[
g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = (3F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i.
\]

Table 5: Ap\((F_i; p)\) \((p = 0, 1, 2)\) for \( r = 0 \) and \( 2\ell + 2 \leq F_k \leq 3\ell + 2 \)

**Case 1(2)** Let \( r = 0 \) and \( 2F_i = 2\ell + 2 \leq F_k \leq 3\ell + 2 = 3F_i - 1 \). Since \( t_{j,0} \equiv t_{\ell+j+1,0} \pmod{F_i} \) \((\ell + 1 \leq j \leq F_k - \ell - 2)\) and for \( 0 \leq j \leq 3\ell + 2 - F_k \)
\[
t_{F_k-\ell+1+j,0} = (F_k - \ell - 1 + j)F_{i+2} = (F_k - F_i + j)F_{i+2}
\]
\[
\equiv (F_k + j)F_{i+2} \equiv (j + F_k)F_{i+2} + F_{k-2}F_i = t_{j,1} \pmod{F_i},
\]
the third set \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 2) \) is
\[
\{ t_{2\ell+1,0}, \ldots, t_{F_k-1,0}, t_{0,1}, \ldots, t_{3\ell+2-F_k,1} \} \pmod{F_i}.
\]
The first elements \( t_{2\ell+1,0}, \ldots, t_{F_k-1,0} \) are in the last of the first line, and
the last elements \( t_{0,1}, \ldots, t_{3\ell+2-F_k,1} \) are in the first part of the second line.
Hence, the maximal element is \( t_{F_k-1,0} = (F_k - 1)F_{i+2} \) or \( t_{3\ell+2-F_k,1} = (3F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - F_k - 2F_i \). Therefore, when \((3F_i - F_k)F_{i+2} \geq F_{k-2}F_i, g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = (3F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - (F_{k-2}+1)F_i \).
When \((3F_i - F_k)F_{i+2} < F_{k-2}F_i, g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = (F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i \).

Table 6: Ap\((F_i; p)\) \((p = 0, 1, 2)\) for \( r = 0 \) and \( F_k \leq 2\ell + 1 \)

**Case 1(3)** Let \( r = 0 \) and \( F_k \leq 2\ell + 1 = 2F_i - 1 \). Since for \( \ell + 1 \leq j \leq F_k - 1 \)
\[
t_{j,0} = jF_{i+2} \equiv (F_i + j)F_{i+2}
\]
\[
\equiv (\ell + 1 + j)F_{i+2} - F_{k-2}F_i = t_{\ell-F_k+1+j,1} \pmod{F_i}.
\]
and for $0 \leq j \leq 2\ell + 1 - F_k$

\[ t_{j,1} = (j + F_k)F_{i-2} - F_{k-2}F_i \]
\[ \equiv (F_k + j)F_{i+2} = t_{F_k+j,0} \pmod{F_i}, \]

the third set $\text{Ap}(F_i; 2)$ is

\[ \{t_{2\ell+2-F_k,1}, \ldots, t_{\ell,1}, t_{F_k,0}, \ldots, t_{2\ell+1,0}\} \pmod{F_i}. \]

The first elements $t_{2\ell+2-F_k,1}, \ldots, t_{\ell,1}$ are in the second line of the first block, and the last elements $t_{F_k,0}, \ldots, t_{2\ell+1,0}$ in the first line of the second block. So, the maximal element is $t_{\ell,1} = (F_i + F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - F_{k-2}F_i$ or $t_{2\ell+1,0} = (2F_i - 1)F_{i+2}$. Since $F_k \leq 2F_i - 1$, only when $k = i + 1$, we have $g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = (F_i + F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - (F_{k-2} + 1)F_i$. When $k \leq i$, we have $g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = (2F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i$.

Table 7: $\text{Ap}(F_i; p)$ ($p = 0, 1, 2$) for $r = 1$ and $F_k \geq 2\ell + 2$

**Case 2(1)** Let $r = 1$ and $2\ell + 2 \leq F_k$, that is, $\frac{4}{3}F_i \leq F_k \leq F_i - 1$. This case happens only when $i = 4$ and $k = 3$. Since for $\ell + 1 \leq j \leq F_k - 1$

\[ t_{j,1} = (j + F_k)F_{i+2} - F_{k-2}F_i \]
\[ \equiv (F_k + j)F_{i+2} = t_{F_k+j,0} \pmod{F_i}, \]

for $0 \leq j \leq \ell$

\[ t_{j,2} = (j + 2F_k)F_{i+2} - 2F_{k-2}F_i \]
\[ \equiv (F_k + j + F_k)F_{i+2} - F_{k-2}F_i = t_{F_k+j,1} \pmod{F_i}, \]

and for $0 \leq j \leq \ell$

\[ t_{F_k+j,0} = (F_k + j)F_{i+2} = (F_i + j + F_k)F_{i+2} \]
\[ \equiv (\ell + 1 + j + 2F_k)F_{i+2} - 2F_{k-2}F_i = t_{\ell+1+j,2} \pmod{F_i}, \]

the third set $\text{Ap}(F_i; 2)$ is

\[ \{t_{F_k+\ell+1,0}, \ldots, t_{2F_k-1,0}, t_{F_k,1}, \ldots, t_{F_k+\ell,1}, t_{\ell+1,2}, \ldots, t_{2\ell+1,2}\} \pmod{F_i}. \]
The first elements \( t_{F_k+\ell+1,0}, \ldots, t_{2F_k-1,0} \) are in the first line of the second block, the second elements \( t_{F_k,1}, \ldots, t_{F_k+\ell,1} \) are in the second line of the second block, and the last elements \( t_{\ell+1,2}, \ldots, t_{2\ell+1,2} \) in the third line of the first block. So, the maximal element is one of \( t_{2F_k-1,0} = (2F_k - 1)F_{i+2}, t_{F_k+\ell,1} = (F_i + F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - F_{k-2}F_i \) or \( t_{2\ell+1,2} = (2F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - 2F_{k-2}F_i \). As \( i = 4 \) and \( k = 3 \), \( t_{2\ell+1,2} = 34 \) is the largest. Hence, \( g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = (2F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - (2F_k - 2 + 1)F_i \), that is \( g_2(F_4, F_6, F_7) = 34 - F_4 = 31 \).

Table 8: \( \text{Ap}(F_i; p) \) \( (p = 0, 1, 2) \) for \( r = 1 \) and \( F_k \leq 2\ell + 1 \)

**Case 2(2)** Let \( r = 1 \) and \( 2\ell + 1 \geq F_k \), that is, \((F_i - 1)/2 < F_k \leq (2F_i - 1)/3\). This relation holds only when \( k = i - 1 \geq 4 \). Since \( t_{j,1} \equiv t_{F_k+j,0} \text{ (mod } F_i) \) \((\ell + 1 \leq j \leq F_k - 1)\), \( t_{j,2} \equiv t_{F_k+j,1} \text{ (mod } F_i) \) \((0 \leq j \leq \ell)\), \( t_{F_k+j,0} \equiv t_{\ell+1,j,2} \text{ (mod } F_i) \) \((0 \leq j \leq F_k - \ell - 2)\) and for \( 0 \leq j \leq 2\ell + 1 - F_k \)

\[
t_{2F_k-\ell-1+j,0} = (2F_k - \ell - 1 + j)F_{i+2} \equiv (3F_k - F_i + j)F_{i+2} \equiv (j + 3F_k)F_{i+2} - 3F_{k-2}F_i = t_{j,3} \text{ (mod } F_i) \text{,}
\]

the third set \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 2) \) is

\[
\{ t_{F_k+\ell+1,0}, \ldots, t_{2F_k-1,0}, t_{F_k,1}, \ldots, t_{F_k+\ell,1}, \quad t_{\ell+1,2}, \ldots, t_{F_k-1,2}, t_{0,3}, \ldots, t_{2\ell+1-F_k,3} \} \text{ (mod } F_i) .
\]

So, the maximal element is one of \( t_{2F_k-1,0} = (2F_k - 1)F_{i+2}, t_{F_k+\ell,1} = (F_i + F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - F_{k-2}F_i, t_{F_k-1,2} = (3F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - 2F_{k-2}F_i \) or \( t_{2\ell+1-F_k,3} = (2F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - 3F_{k-3}F_i \) is the largest. Hence, \( g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2\ell+1}) = (2F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - (3F_{k-3} + 1)F_i \).

**Case 3** Let \( r \geq 2 \). The part

\[
t_{F_k,1}, \ldots, t_{2F_k-1,1}, \ldots, t_{F_k,r-2}, \ldots, t_{2F_k-1,r-2}, t_{F_k,r-1}, \ldots, t_{F_k+\ell,1,1, \ldots, F_k+\ell,r-1}
\]
in the second block among the second set \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 1) \) corresponds to the part

\[
t_{2F_k,0}, \ldots, t_{3F_k-1,0}, \ldots, t_{2F_k,r-3}, \ldots, t_{3F_k-1,r-3}, t_{2F_k,r-2}, \ldots, t_{2F_k+\ell,r-2}
\]
in the third block among the third least set \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 2) \) because

\[
t_{F_k+j,h} = (F_k + j + hF_k)F_{i+2} - hF_{k-2}F_i.
\]

\(^1\)When \( r = 2 \), only the last shorter line remains, and \( t_{3F_k-1,r-3} \) on the table \(^2\) does not appear. However, this does not affect the result.
Table 9: $Ap(F_i; p) \ (p = 0, 1, 2)$ for $r \geq 2$

\[ \equiv (2F_k + j + (h - 1)F_k)F_{i+2} - (h - 1)F_{k-2}F_i \]
\[ = t_{2F_k+j,h-1} \quad (\text{mod } F_i) \]

for $0 \leq j \leq F_k - 1$ and $1 \leq h \leq r - 2$ or $0 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $h = r - 1$. The part $t_{\ell+1,r}, \ldots, t_{F_k-1,r}$ in the first block among the second set $Ap(F_i; 1)$ corresponds to the part $t_{F_k+\ell+1,r-1}, \ldots, t_{2F_k-1,r-1}$ in the second block among the third set $Ap(F_i; 2)$ because for $ell + 1 \leq j \leq F_k - 1$

\[ t_{j,r} = (j + rF_k)F_{i+2} - rF_{k-2}F_i \]
\[ \equiv (F_k + j + (r - 1)F_k)F_{i+2} - (r - 1)F_{k-2}F_i \]
\[ = t_{F_k+j,r-1} \quad (\text{mod } F_i). \]

The part $t_{0,r+1}, \ldots, t_{\ell,r+1}$ in the first block among the second set $Ap(F_i; 1)$ corresponds to the part $t_{F_k,r}, \ldots, t_{F_k+\ell,r}$ in the second block among the third set $Ap(F_i; 2)$ because for $0 \leq j \leq \ell$

\[ t_{j,r+1} = (j + (r + 1)F_k)F_{i+2} - (r + 1)F_{k-2}F_i \]
\[ \equiv (F_k + j + rF_k)F_{i+2} - rF_{k-2}F_i \]
\[ = t_{F_k+j,r} \quad (\text{mod } F_i). \]

The first line $t_{F_k,0}, \ldots, t_{2F_k-\ell-2}, t_{2F_k-\ell-1}, \ldots, t_{2F_k-1,0}$ in the second block among the second set $Ap(F_i; 1)$ corresponds to two parts $t_{\ell+1,r+1}, \ldots, t_{F_k-1,r+1}$ and $t_{0,r+2}, \ldots, t_{\ell,r+2}$ in the first block among the third set $Ap(F_i; 2)$ because for $0 \leq j \leq F_k - \ell - 2$

\[ t_{F_k+j,0} = (F_k + j)F_{i+2} \equiv (F_i + F_k + j)F_{i+2} - (r + 1)F_{k-2}F_i \]
\[ = (\ell + 1 + j + (r + 1)F_k)F_{i+2} - (r + 1)F_{k-2}F_i \]
\[ = t_{\ell+1+j,r+1} \quad (\text{mod } F_i) \]

and for $0 \leq j \leq \ell$,

\[ t_{2F_k-\ell-1+j,0} = (2F_k - \ell - 1 + j)F_{i+2} = (2F_k - F_i + rF_k + j)F_{i+2} \]
\[
\equiv (j + (r + 2)F_k)F_{i+2} - (r + 2)F_{k-2}F_i
= t_{j,r+2} \pmod{F_i}.
\]

Hence, the third set \( \text{Ap}(F_i;2) \) is given by

\[
\{t_{2F_k,0}, \ldots, t_{3F_k-1,0}, \ldots, t_{2F_k,r-3}, \ldots, t_{3F_k-1,r-3}, t_{2F_k,r-2}, \ldots, t_{2F_k+\ell,r-2},
\]
\[
t_{F_k+\ell+1,r-1}, \ldots, t_{2F_k-1,r-1}, t_{F_k,r}, \ldots, t_{F_k+\ell,r},
\]
\[
t_{\ell+1,r+1}, \ldots, t_{F_k-1,r+1}, t_{0,r+2}, \ldots, t_{\ell,r+2} \pmod{F_i}.
\]

There are six candidates for the maximal element:

\[
t_{3F_k-1,r-3} = (rF_k - 1)F_{i+2} - (r - 3)F_{k-2}F_i,
\]
\[
t_{2F_k+\ell,r-2} = (F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - (r - 2)F_{k-2}F_i,
\]
\[
t_{2F_k-1,r-1} = ((r + 1)F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - (r - 1)F_{k-2}F_i,
\]
\[
t_{F_k+\ell,r} = (F_i + F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - rF_{k-2}F_i,
\]
\[
t_{F_k-1,r+1} = ((r + 2)F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - (r + 1)F_{k-2}F_i,
\]
\[
t_{\ell,r+2} = (F_i + 2F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - (r + 2)F_{k-2}F_i.
\]

However, it is easy to see that the first four values are less than the last two. Hence, if \((F_i - rF_k)F_{i+2} \geq F_{k-2}F_i\), then \(g_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = t_{\ell,r+2} - F_i = (F_i + 2F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - ((r + 2)F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - (r + 1)F_{k-2}F_i\).

Finally we rewrite the form as the linear combination of \(F_i+2\) and \(F_{i+k}\) and apply Lemma [1] [1]. The formula (13) comes from Case 1(1). The formula (11) comes from Case 1(2). The formulas (11) and (12) come from Case 1(3). The formula (13) comes from Case 2(1)(2). The general formula (14) comes from Case 3. \qed

5 The case \( p = 3 \)

When \( p = 3 \), we have the following.

**Theorem 4.** For \( i \geq 3 \), we have

\[
g_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = (4F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i \quad (k \geq i + 3), \quad (17)
\]
\[
g_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i+2}) = (F_i - 1)F_{i+2} + F_{2i+2} - F_i,
\]
\[
g_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i+1}) = (F_i + F_{i-2} - 1)F_{i+2} + F_{2i+1} - F_i,
\]
\[
g_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i}) = (F_i - 1)F_{i+2} + 2F_{2i} - F_i,
\]
\[
g_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-1}) = (F_{i-2} - 1)F_{i+2} + 3F_{2i-1} - F_i \quad (i \geq 4),
\]
\[
g_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-2}) = \begin{cases} 
(F_{i-5} - 1)F_{i+2} + 5F_{2i-2} - F_i & (i \geq 6) \\
F_{i+2} + 4F_{2i-2} - F_i (= 92) & (i = 5) 
\end{cases}
\]  

(18)

When \( r = \lfloor (F_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor \geq 3 \), that is, \( k \leq i - 3 \), we have

\[
g_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \begin{cases} 
(F_i - rF_k - 1)F_{i+2} + (r + 3)F_{i+k} - F_i & \text{if } (F_i - rF_k)F_{i+2} \geq F_{k-2}F_i; \\
(F_k - 1)F_{i+2} + (r + 2)F_{i+k} - F_i & \text{if } (F_i - rF_k)F_{i+2} < F_{k-2}F_i.
\end{cases}
\]  

(19)

**Proof.** When \( p = 3 \), the fourth least complete residue system \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 3) \) is determined from the third least complete residue system \( \text{Ap}(F_i; 2) \). When \( r \geq 3 \), some elements go to the fourth block. The proof of the cases \( r = 0, 1, 2 \) is similar to that of Theorem 3 and needs more case-by-case discussions, and is omitted.
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Table 10: \( \text{Ap}(F_i; p) \) (\( p = 0, 1, 2, 3 \)) for \( r \geq 3 \)

In the table, \( \text{⑩} \) denotes the area of the \( n \)-th least set of the complete residue system \( \text{Ap}(F_i; n - 1) \). Here, each \( m_j^{(n-1)} \), satisfying \( m_j^{(n-1)} \equiv j \) (mod \( F_i \)) \( 0 \leq j \leq F_i - 1 \), can be expressed in at least \( n \) ways but \( m_j^{(n-1)} - F_i \) in at most \( n - 1 \) ways. As illustrated in the proof of Theorem 3, two areas (lines) of \( ④ \) in the first block corresponds to the first line of \( ③ \) in the third block, two areas (lines) of \( ④ \) in the second block corresponds to two areas (lines) of \( ③ \) in the first block, two areas (lines) of \( ④ \) in the third block corresponds to two areas (lines) of \( ③ \) in the second block, and the area of \( ④ \) in the fourth block corresponds to the area of \( ③ \) in the third block except the first line. Eventually, the maximal element of the fourth set of the complete residue system is from the first block, that is, \( t_{F_k-1, r+2} = ((r + 3)F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - (r + 2)F_{k-2}F_i \) or \( t_{\ell, r+3} = (F_i + 3F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - (r + 3)F_{k-2}F_i \).
Hence, if \((F_i - rF_k)F_{i+2} \geq F_{k-2}F_i\), then \(g_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = t_{\ell,r+3} - F_i = (F_i + 3F_{k-1} - (r + 3)F_{k-2} + 1)F_i\). If \((F_i - rF_k)F_{i+2} < F_{k-2}F_i\), then \(g_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = t_{F_k-1,r+2} - F_i = ((r + 3)F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - ((r+2)F_{k-2} + 1)F_i\). Notice that \(r \geq 3\) implies that \(k \leq i - 3\).

6 General \(p\) case

Repeating the same process, when \(r\) is enough big as \(r \geq p\), that is, \(k\) is comparatively smaller than \(i\), as a generalization of \([8],[14]\) and \([19]\), we can have an explicit formula.

Theorem 5. Let \(i \geq 3\) and \(p\) be a nonnegative integer. When \(r = [(F_i - 1)/F_k] \geq p\) with \((r,p) \neq (0,0)\), we have

\[
g_p(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \begin{cases} (F_i - rF_k - 1)F_{i+2} + (r + p)F_{i+k} - F_i & \text{if } (F_i - rF_k)F_{i+2} \geq F_{k-2}F_i; \\ (F_k - 1)F_{i+2} + (r + p - 1)F_{i+k} - F_i & \text{if } (F_i - rF_k)F_{i+2} < F_{k-2}F_i. \end{cases}
\]

Remark. When \(p = 0\), Theorem 5 reduces to [20] Theorem 1 except \(r = 0\).

On the other hand, \(k\) is comparatively larger than \(i\), as a generalization of \([5],[10]\) and \([17]\), we can also have the following formula.

Proposition 1. For \(i, k \geq 3\), we have

\[
g_p(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = g_p(F_i, F_{i+2}) \quad (k \geq i + h)
\]

when \((p, h) = (3, 4), (4, 4), (5, 5), (6, 5), (7, 5), (8, 5), (9, 6), (10, 6), (11, 6), (12, 6), (13, 6), (14, 6), (15, 7), (16, 7), (17, 7), (18, 7), (19, 7), (20, 7), (21, 7), (22, 7), (23, 7), (24, 8), \ldots\)

The proof depends on the fact

\[
(p + 1)F_iF_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} < F_{2i+h} \quad (i \geq 3).
\]

Nevertheless, such \(h\)'s are not necessarily sharp because even if \((p+1)F_iF_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} > F_{2i+h}\), it is possible to have \(g_p(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = g_p(F_i, F_{i+2}) \quad (k \geq i + h)\).
7 Lucas numbers

The formulas about Fibonacci numbers can be applied to obtain those about Lucas numbers. The discussion is similar though the value \(\lfloor (L_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor\) is different from \(\lfloor (F_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor\). So, we list the results only.

When \(p = 1\), we have the following.

**Theorem 6.** For \(i \geq 3\), we have

\[
g_1(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{i+k}) = (2L_i - 1)L_{i+2} - L_i \quad (k \geq i + 4),
g_1(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{2i+3}) = (F_{i+3} - 1)L_{i+2} - L_i,
g_1(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{2i+2}) = (3F_{i-1} - 1)L_{i+2} + L_{2i+2} - L_i.
\]

When \(r = \lfloor (L_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor \geq 1\), that is, \(k \leq i + 1\), we have

\[
g_1(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{i+k}) = \begin{cases} (L_i - rF_k - 1)L_{i+2} + (r + 1)L_{i+k} - L_i & \text{if } (L_i - rF_k)L_{i+2} \geq F_{k-2}L_i, \\ (F_k - 1)L_{i+2} + rL_{i+k} - L_i & \text{if } (L_i - rF_k)L_{i+2} < F_{k-2}L_i. \end{cases}
\]

When \(p = 2\), we have the following.

**Theorem 7.** For \(i \geq 3\), we have

\[
g_2(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{i+k}) = (3L_i - 1)L_{i+2} - L_i \quad (k \geq i + 4),
g_2(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{2i+3}) = (L_i - 1)L_{i+2} + L_{2i+3} - L_i,
g_2(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{2i+2}) = \begin{cases} (L_i - 1)L_{i+2} + L_{2i+2} - L_i & (i \text{ is odd}) \\ (2L_i - 1)L_{i+2} - L_i & (i \text{ is even}), \end{cases}
g_2(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{2i+1}) = (2F_{i-1} - 1)L_{i+2} + 2L_{2i+1} - L_i,
g_2(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{2i}) = L_{i+2} + 3L_{2i} - L_i (= 61) \quad (i = 3).
\]

When \(r = \lfloor (L_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor \geq 2\), that is, \(k \leq i\) except \(i = k = 3\), we have

\[
g_2(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{i+k}) = \begin{cases} (L_i - rF_k - 1)L_{i+2} + (r + 2)L_{i+k} - L_i & \text{if } (L_i - rF_k)L_{i+2} \geq F_{k-2}L_i, \\ (F_k - 1)L_{i+2} + (r + 1)L_{i+k} - L_i & \text{if } (L_i - rF_k)L_{i+2} < F_{k-2}L_i. \end{cases}
\]

When \(p = 3\), we have the following.
Theorem 8. For \( i \geq 3 \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
g_3(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{i+k}) &= (4L_i - 1)L_{i+2} - L_i \quad (k \geq i + 5), \\
g_3(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{2i+4}) &= (4F_{i-1} - F_{i-2} - 1)L_{i+2} + L_{2i+4} - L_i, \\
g_3(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{2i+3}) &= (4F_{i+1} - 1)L_{i+2} - L_i, \\
g_3(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{2i+2}) &= (F_i + 2F_{i-3} - 1)L_{i+2} + 2L_{2i+2} - L_i, \\
g_3(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{2i+1}) &= (F_i - 1)L_{i+2} + 3L_{2i+1} - L_i, \\
g_3(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{2i}) &= \begin{cases} 
(2F_{i-3} - 1)L_{i+2} + 4L_{2i} - L_i & (i \geq 4) \\
3L_{i+2} + 2L_{2i} - L_i (= 69) & (i = 3).
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

When \( r = \lfloor (L_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor \geq 3 \), that is, \( k \leq i - 1 \), we have

\[
g_3(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{i+k}) = \begin{cases} 
(L_i - rF_k - 1)L_{i+2} + (r + 3)L_{i+k} - L_i & \text{if } (L_i - rF_k)L_{i+2} \geq F_{k-2}L_i, \\
(F_k - 1)L_{i+2} + (r + 2)L_{i+k} - L_i & \text{if } (L_i - rF_k)L_{i+2} < F_{k-2}L_i.
\end{cases}
\]

For general \( p \), when \( r \) is not less than \( p \), we have an explicit formula.

Theorem 9. Let \( i \geq 3 \) and \( p \) be a nonnegative integer. When \( r = \lfloor (L_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor \geq p \) with \((r, p) \neq (0, 0)\), we have

\[
g_p(L_i, L_{i+2}, L_{i+k}) = \begin{cases} 
(L_i - rF_k - 1)L_{i+2} + (r + p)L_{i+k} - L_i & \text{if } (L_i - rF_k)L_{i+2} \geq F_{k-2}L_i; \\
(F_k - 1)L_{i+2} + (r + p - 1)L_{i+k} - L_i & \text{if } (L_i - rF_k)L_{i+2} < F_{k-2}L_i.
\end{cases}
\]

8 The number of representations

By using the table of complete residue systems, we can also find explicit formulas of the \( p \)-Sylvester number, which is the total number of nonnegative integers that can only be expressed in at most \( p \) ways. When \( p = 0 \), such a number is often called Sylvester number.

8.1 Main results when \( p = 1 \)

When \( p = 1 \), we have the following.
Theorem 10. For \( i \geq 3 \), we have

\[
n_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 3F_i F_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} + 1 \right) \quad (k \geq i + 2), \quad (20)
\]

\[
n_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i+1}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 3F_i F_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} + 1 \right) - (2F_i - F_k) F_{k-2}, \quad (k = i, i+1). \quad (21)
\]

When \( r = \lfloor (F_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor \geq 1 \), that is, \( k \leq i - 1 \), we have

\[
n_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( F_i + 2F_k - 1 \right) F_{i+2} - F_i + 1 \right) - \left( rF_i - \frac{(r-1)(r+2)}{2} F_k \right) F_{k-2}. \quad (22)
\]

Proof. When \( r = 0 \) and \( 2\ell + 1 \leq F_k - 1 \), by \( F_i - 1 = \ell \),

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{F_i-1} m_j^{(1)} = t_{\ell+1,0} + \cdots + t_{2\ell+1,0} = \left( \frac{(2\ell + 1)(2\ell + 2)}{2} - \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2} \right) F_{i+2} = \left( \frac{(3F_i - 1)F_i + 2}{2} - \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2} \right) F_{i+2}.
\]

Hence, by Lemma 1 (2), we have

\[
n_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 3F_i F_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} + 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} (3F_i F_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} + 1),
\]

which is \( (20) \).

When \( r = 0 \) and \( 2\ell + 1 \geq F_k \), by \( F_i - 1 = \ell \),

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{F_i-1} m_j^{(1)} = (t_{\ell+1,0} + \cdots + t_{F_k-1,0}) + (t_{0,1} + \cdots + t_{2\ell+1-F_k,1}) = \left( \frac{(F_k - 1)F_k}{2} - \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2} \right) F_{i+2} + \frac{(2\ell + 1 - F_k)(2\ell + 2 - F_k)}{2} F_{i+2} + (2\ell + 2 - F_k) F_{i+k}
\]
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Since \( F_{i+k} = F_{i+2} F_k - F_i F_{k-2} \),
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{F_i-1} m_j^{(1)} = \left( \frac{(3F_i - 1)F_{i+2}}{2} - (2F_i - F_k)F_{k-2} \right) F_i.
\]

Hence, by Lemma 1 (2), we have
\[
n_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \frac{(3F_i - 1)F_{i+2}}{2} - (2F_i - F_k)F_{k-2} - \frac{F_i - 1}{2}
= \frac{1}{2} (3F_i F_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} + 1) - (2F_i - F_k)F_{k-2},
\]
which is (21).

When \( r \geq 1 \), by \( F_i - 1 = rF_k + \ell \), we have
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{F_i-1} m_j^{(1)}
= \sum_{h=0}^{r-2} (t_{F_k,h} + \cdots + t_{2F_k-1,h}) + (t_{F_k,r-1} + \cdots + t_{F_k+\ell,r-1})
+ (t_{\ell+1,r} + \cdots + t_{F_k-1,r}) + (t_{0,r+1} + \cdots + t_{\ell,r+1})
= (r - 1) \left( \frac{(2F_k - 1)(2F_k)}{2} - \frac{(F_k - 1)F_k}{2} \right) F_{i+2} + \frac{(r - 2)(r - 1)}{2} F_k F_{i+k}
+ \left( \frac{(F_k + \ell)(F_k + \ell + 1)}{2} - \frac{(F_k - 1)F_k}{2} \right) F_{i+2} + (r - 1) F_{i+k}
+ \left( \frac{(F_k - 1)F_k}{2} - \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2} \right) F_{i+2} + (F_k - 1 - \ell) r F_{i+k}
+ \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2} F_{i+2} + (\ell + 1)(r + 1) F_{i+k}
= \frac{1}{2} \left( (r - 1)(3F_k - 1) F_k + \left( F_i - (r - 1) F_k - 1 \right) (F_i - (r - 1) F_k) \right) F_{i+2}
+ \left( \frac{(r - 2)(r - 1)}{2} F_k + r (F_i - (r - 1) F_k) \right) F_{i+k}
= \frac{1}{2} \left( F_i + 2F_k - 1 \right) F_i F_{i+2}
- \left( rF_i - \frac{(r - 1)(r + 2)}{2} F_k \right) F_{k-2} F_i.
\]
Hence, by Lemma 1, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
n_1(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) & = \frac{1}{2} (F_i + 2F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - (rF_i - \frac{(r-1)(r+2)}{2} F_k) F_{k-2} - \frac{F_i - 1}{2} \\
& = \frac{1}{2} ((F_i + 2F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i + 1) - \left( rF_i - \frac{(r-1)(r+2)}{2} F_k \right) F_{k-2},
\end{align*}
\]

which is \((22)\). \(\Box\)

### 8.2 The case \(p = 2\)

When \(p = 2\), we have the following.

**Theorem 11.** For \(i \geq 3\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
n_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) &= \frac{1}{2} (5F_i F_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} + 1) \quad (k \geq i + 3), \\
n_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i+2}) &= \frac{1}{2} ((7F_i+2 - 6F_i - 1)F_i - F_{i+2} + 1), \\
n_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i+1}) &= \frac{1}{2} ((7F_i+2 - 8F_i - 1)F_i - F_{i+2} + 1), \\
n_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i}) &= \frac{1}{2} (3F_i F_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} + 1), \\
n_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-1}) &= \frac{1}{2} ((170F_i - 1)F_i + (24F_{i+2} - 125F_i - 1)F_{i+2} + 1).
\end{align*}
\]

When \(r = \lfloor (F_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor \geq 2\), that is, \(k \leq i - 2\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
n_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) & = \frac{1}{2} ((F_i + 4F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i + 1) - \frac{1}{2} (2rF_i - (r + 3)(r - 2)F_k) F_{k-2}.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. When \(r = 0\) and \(F_k \geq 3\ell + 3\), by \(F_i - 1 = \ell\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=0}^{F_i-1} m_j^{(2)} &= t_{2\ell+2,0} + \cdots + t_{3\ell+2,0} \\
& = \left( \frac{(3\ell + 2)(3\ell + 3)}{2} - \frac{(2\ell + 1)(2\ell + 2)}{2} \right) F_{i+2}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ n_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \frac{1}{2} (5F_i - 1)F_{i+2}, \]

Hence, by Lemma 1 (2), we have
\[ n_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \frac{1}{2} (5F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - \frac{F_i - 1}{2} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} (5FiF_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} + 1), \]

which is (23).

When \( r = 0 \) and \( 2\ell + 2 \leq F_k \leq 3\ell + 2 \), by \( F_i+1 = F_i+2F_k - F_iF_{k-2} \), we have
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{F_i-1} m^{(2)}_j = (t_{2\ell+2,0} + \cdots + t_{F_k-1,0}) + (t_{0,1} + \cdots + t_{3\ell+2-F_k,1}) \\
= \left( \frac{(F_k - 1)F_k}{2} - \frac{(2\ell + 1)(2\ell + 2)}{2} \right) F_{i+2} \\
+ \frac{(3\ell + 2 - F_k)(3\ell + 3 - F_k)}{2} F_{i+2} + (3\ell + 3 - F_k)F_{i+k} \\
= \frac{1}{2} (5F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - (3F_i - F_k)F_iF_{k-2}.
\]

Hence, we have
\[ n_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \frac{1}{2} (5F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - (3F_i - F_k)F_iF_{k-2} - \frac{F_i - 1}{2} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} (5FiF_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} + 1) - (3F_i - F_k)F_{k-2}. \]

This case occurs only when \( k = i + 2 \). Hence, we get (24).

When \( r = 0 \) and \( F_k \leq 2\ell + 1 \), we have
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{F_i-1} m^{(2)}_j = (t_{F_k,0} + \cdots + t_{2\ell+1,0}) + (t_{2\ell+2-F_k,1} + \cdots + t_{\ell,1}) \\
= \left( \frac{(2\ell + 1)(2\ell + 2)}{2} - \frac{(F_k - 1)F_k}{2} \right) F_{i+2} \\
+ \left( \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2} - \frac{(2\ell + 1 - F_k)(2\ell + 2 - F_k)}{2} \right) F_{i+2} + (F_k - \ell - 1)F_{i+k} \\
= \frac{1}{2} (F_i + 2F_k - 1)F_iF_{i+2} - (F_k - F_i)F_iF_{k-2}.
\]
Hence, we have
\[
n_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \frac{1}{2}(F_i + 2F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - (F_k - F_i)F_{k-2} - \frac{F_i - 1}{2}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2}((F_i + 2F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i + 1) - (F_k - F_i)F_{k-2}.
\]

This case occurs only when \( k = i + 1 \). Hence, by rewriting we get (25).

When \( r = 1 \) and \( 2\ell + 2 \leq F_k \), by \( F_{i-1} = F_k + \ell \), we have
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{F_i-1} m_j^{(2)}
\]
\[
= (t_{F_{k+\ell+1,0}} + \cdots + t_{2F_{k-1,0}}) + (t_{F_{k,1}} + \cdots + t_{F_{k+\ell,1}})
\]
\[
+ (t_{\ell+1,2} + \cdots + t_{2\ell+1,2})
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{(2F_k - 1)(2F_k)}{2} - \frac{(F_k + \ell)(F_k + \ell + 1)}{2} \right) F_{i+2}
\]
\[
+ \left( \frac{(F_k + \ell)(F_k + \ell + 1)}{2} - \frac{(F_k - 1)F_k}{2} \right) F_{i+2} + (\ell + 1)F_{i+k}
\]
\[
+ \left( \frac{(2\ell + 1)(2\ell + 2)}{2} - \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2} \right) F_{i+2} + 2(\ell + 1)F_{i+k}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2}(3F_i - 1)F_iF_{i+2} - 3(F_i - F_k)F_iF_{k-2}.
\]

Hence, we have
\[
n_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \frac{1}{2}(3F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - 3(F_i - F_k)F_{k-2} - \frac{F_i - 1}{2}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2}(3F_iF_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} + 1) - 3(F_i - F_k)F_{k-2}.
\]

This case occurs only when \( k = i \). Hence, we get (26).

When \( r = 1 \) and \( 2\ell + 1 \geq F_k \), we have
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{F_i-1} m_j^{(2)}
\]
\[
= (t_{F_{k+\ell+1,0}} + \cdots + t_{2F_{k-1,0}}) + (t_{F_{k,1}} + \cdots + t_{F_{k+\ell,1}})
\]
\[
+ (t_{\ell+1,2} + \cdots + t_{F_{k-1,2}}) + (t_{0,3} + \cdots + t_{2\ell+1-F_k,3})
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{(2F_k - 1)(2F_k)}{2} - \frac{(F_k + \ell)(F_k + \ell + 1)}{2} \right) F_{i+2}
\]
This case occurs only when \( k = i - 1 \). Hence, after rewriting, we get (27).

When \( r \geq 2 \), by \( F_i - 1 = rF_k + \ell \), we have

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{F_i-1} m_j^{(2)} = \sum_{h=0}^{r-3} (t_{2F_k,h} + \ldots + t_{3F_k-1,h}) + (t_{2F_k,r-2} + \ldots + t_{2F_k+\ell,r-2}) + (t_{F_k+\ell+1,r-1} + \ldots + t_{2F_k-1,r-1}) + (t_{F_k,r} + \ldots + t_{F_k+\ell,r}) + (t_{\ell+1,r+1} + \ldots + t_{F_k-1,r+1}) + (t_{0,r+2} + \ldots + t_{\ell,r+2})
\]

\[
= (r - 2) \left( \frac{(3F_k - 1)(2F_k)}{2} - \frac{(2F_k - 1)(2F_k - 1)}{2} \right) F_{i+2} + \frac{(r - 3)(r - 2)}{2} F_k F_{i+k}
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{(2F_k + \ell)(2F_k + \ell + 1)}{2} - \frac{(2F_k - 1)(2F_k - 1)}{2} \right) F_{i+2} + (r - 2)F_{i+k}
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{(2F_k - 1)(2F_k)}{2} - \frac{(F_k + \ell)(F_k + \ell + 1)}{2} \right) F_{i+2} + (F_k - \ell - 1)(r - 1)F_{i+k}
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{(F_k + \ell)(F_k + \ell + 1)}{2} - \frac{(F_k - 1)F_k}{2} \right) F_{i+2} + (\ell + 1)F_{i+k}
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{(F_k - 1)F_k}{2} - \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2} \right) F_{i+2} + (F_k - \ell - 1)(r + 1)F_{i+k}
\]

\[
+ \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2} F_{i+2} + (\ell + 1)(r + 2)F_{i+k}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} (F_i + 4F_k - 1) F_i F_{i+2} - \frac{1}{2} (2r F_i - (r + 3)(r - 2)F_k) F_i F_{k-2}.
\]
Hence, by Lemma 1 [2], we have

\[ n_2(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2}(F_i + 4F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - \frac{1}{2}(2rF_i - (r + 3)(r - 2)F_k)F_{k-2} - \frac{F_i - 1}{2} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2}((F_i + 4F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i + 1) - \frac{1}{2}(2rF_i - (r + 3)(r - 2)F_k)F_{k-2} , \]

which is (28).

\[ \square \]

8.3 The case \( p = 3 \)

When \( p = 3 \), we have the following. The process is similar and the proof is omitted.

**Theorem 12.** For \( i \geq 3 \), we have

\[ n_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \frac{1}{2}(7F_iF_{i+2} - F_i - F_{i+2} + 1) \quad (k \geq i + 3) , \]

\[ n_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i+2}) = (F_i - 1)F_{i+2} + F_{2i+2} - F_i , \]

\[ n_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i+1}) = (F_i + F_{i-2} - 1)F_{i+2} + F_{2i+1} - F_i , \]

\[ n_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i}) = \frac{1}{2}((5F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i + 1) - 2F_iF_{i-2} , \]

\[ n_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-1}) = \frac{1}{2}((F_i + 4F_{i-1} - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i + 1) - 2F_{i-1}F_{i-3} \quad (i \geq 4) , \]

\[ n_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{2i-2}) = \frac{1}{2}((3F_i - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i + 1) - (8F_i - 15F_{i-2})F_{i-4} \quad (i \geq 5) . \]

When \( r = \lfloor (F_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor \geq 3 \), that is, \( k \leq i - 3 \), we have

\[ n_3(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2}((F_i + 6F_k - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i + 1) - \frac{1}{2}(2rF_i - (r + 4)(r - 3)F_k)F_{k-2} . \]

8.4 General \( p \) case

We can continue to obtain explicit formulas of \( n_p(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) \) for \( p = 4,5,\ldots \) However, the situation becomes more complicated. We need more case-by-case discussions.

For general \( p \), when \( r \geq p \), we can have an explicit formula.
Theorem 13. Let $i \geq 3$ and $p$ be a nonnegative integer. When $r = \lfloor (F_i - 1)/F_k \rfloor \geq p$, we have

$$n_p(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \frac{1}{2}((F_i + 2pF_k - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i + 1) - \frac{1}{2}(2rF_i - (r + p + 1)(r - p)F_k)F_{k-2}. \tag{30}$$

Remark. When $p = 0$, Theorem 13 reduces to [20, Corollary 2].

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 13. We have

\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=0}^{r-p-1} m_{j}^{(p)} \\
&= \sum_{h=0}^{r-p-1} (t_{pF_k,h} + \cdots + t_{(p+1)F_{k-1,h}}) \\
&\quad + (t_{pF_k,r-p} + \cdots + t_{pF_k+\ell,r-p}) \\
&\quad + (t_{(p-1)F_{k+\ell+1,r-p+1}} + \cdots + t_{(p-1)F_{k-1,r-p+1}}) \\
&\quad + (t_{(p-1)F_{k,r-p+2}} + \cdots + t_{(p-1)F_{k+\ell,r-p+2}}) \\
&\quad + \cdots \\
&\quad + (t_{\ell+1,r+p-1} + \cdots + t_{F_{k-1,r+p-1}}) + (t_{0,r+p} + \cdots + t_{\ell,r+p}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}((r-p)((2p+1)F_k - 1)F_k + (F_i - (r-p)F_k - 1)(F_i - (r-p)F_k))F_{i+2} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{(r-p-1)(r-p)}{2}F_k + r(F_i - (r-p)F_k)\right)F_{i+k} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(F_i + 2pF_k - 1)F_iF_{i+2} - \frac{1}{2}(2rF_i - (r + p + 1)(r - p)F_k)F_{i+i-2}.
\end{align*}

Hence, by Lemma 12, we have

$$n_p(F_i, F_{i+2}, F_{i+k}) = \frac{1}{2}(F_i + 2pF_k - 1)F_{i+2} - \frac{1}{2}(2rF_i - (r + p + 1)(r - p)F_k)F_{k-2} - \frac{F_i - 1}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}((F_i + 2pF_k - 1)F_{i+2} - F_i + 1) - \frac{1}{2}(2rF_i - (r + p + 1)(r - p)F_k)F_{k-2},$$

which is (30). \qed
9 Example

Consider the Fibonacci triple \((F_6, F_8, F_{10})\). Since \(F_6 - 1 = 2F_4 + 1\), we see that \(r = 2\) and \(\ell = 1\). Then, we can construct the first least set, the second least and 3rd, 4th and 5th least sets of the complete residue systems as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{1) } \text{Ap}(F_6; 0) = \{0, 21, 42, 55, 76, 97, 110, 131\} \pmod{F_6}, \\
&\text{2) } \text{Ap}(F_6; 1) = \{63, 84, 105, 118, 139, 152, 165, 186\} \pmod{F_6}, \\
&\text{3) } \text{Ap}(F_6; 2) = \{126, 147, 160, 173, 194, 207, 220, 241\} \pmod{F_6}, \\
&\text{4) } \text{Ap}(F_6; 3) = \{168, 181, 202, 215, 228, 249, 262, 275\} \pmod{F_6}, \\
&\text{5) } \text{Ap}(F_6; 4) = \{189, 210, 223, 236, 257, 270, 283, 296\} \pmod{F_6}.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 21 & 42 & 55 & 76 & 97 & 110 & 131 \\
55 & 76 & 97 & 118 & 139 & 160 & 181 & 202 \\
110 & 131 & 152 & 173 & 194 & 215 & 236 & 257 \\
165 & 186 & 207 & 228 & 249 & 262 & 283 & 304 \\
220 & 241 & 262 & 283 & 304 & & & \\
275 & 306 & & & & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

Table 11: Ap\((F_6, j)\) \((j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)\)

Therefore, by Lemma 1 (1) with (3) we obtain that

\[
\begin{align*}
&g_0(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) = 131 - 8 = 123, \\
&g_1(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) = 186 - 8 = 178, \\
&g_2(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) = 241 - 8 = 233, \\
&g_3(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) = 275 - 8 = 267, \\
&g_4(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) = 296 - 8 = 288,
\end{align*}
\]

and by Lemma 1 (2) with (4) we obtain that

\[
\begin{align*}
n_0(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) &= \frac{0 + 21 + \cdots + 131}{8} - \frac{8 - 1}{2} = 63,
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
n_1(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) &= \frac{63 + 84 + \cdots + 186}{8} - \frac{8 - 1}{2} = 123, \\
n_2(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) &= \frac{126 + 147 + \cdots + 241}{8} - \frac{8 - 1}{2} = 180, \\
n_3(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) &= \frac{168 + 181 + \cdots + 275}{8} - \frac{8 - 1}{2} = 219, \\
n_4(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) &= \frac{189 + 210 + \cdots + 296}{8} - \frac{8 - 1}{2} = 242, \\
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, from (8), by \((F_6 - 2F_4)F_8 > F_2F_6\), we get
\[
g_1(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) = (F_6 - 2F_4 - 1)F_8 + 3F_{10} - F_6 = 178.
\]

From (14) and (18), we get
\[
g_2(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) = (F_6 - 2F_4 - 1)F_8 + 4F_{10} - F_6 = 233, \\
g_3(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) = (F_1 - 1)F_8 + 5F_{10} - F_6 = 267,
\]
respectively. From (22), (28) and (29), we get
\[
\begin{align*}
n_1(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( F_6 + 2F_4 - 1 \right) F_8 - F_6 + 1 - \left( 2F_6 - \frac{(2 - 1)(2 + 2)}{2} F_4 \right) F_2 \\
&= 123, \\
n_2(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( (F_6 + 4F_4 - 1) F_8 - F_6 + 1 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( 4F_6 - (2 + 3)(2 - 2) F_4 \right) F_2 \\
&= 180, \\
n_3(F_6, F_8, F_{10}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( (3F_6 - 1) F_8 - F_6 + 1 \right) - (8F_6 - 15F_4) F_2 \\
&= 219,
\end{align*}
\]
respectively.

### 10 Open problems

In [11], a more general triple \(g(F_a, F_b, F_c)\) is studied for distinct Fibonacci numbers with \(a, b, c \geq 3\). In [27], the Frobenius number \(g(a, a + b, 2a +\]
$3b, \ldots, F_{2k-1}a + F_{2k}b$) is given for relatively prime integers $a$ and $b$. Will we be able to say anything in terms of these $p$-Frobenius numbers?
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