Efficacy and safety of dapagliflozin or dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin versus glimepiride as add-on to metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes
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Objective: To compare the efficacy and safety of dapagliflozin and dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin vs glimepiride as add-on to metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Research design and methods: This 52-week, multicentre, double-blind, active-controlled study (NCT02471404) randomized (1:1:1) patients (n = 939; HbA1c 7.5%-10.5%) on metformin monotherapy (≥1500 mg/day) to add-on dapagliflozin 10 mg, dapagliflozin 10 mg plus saxagliptin 5 mg, or glimepiride 1 to 6 mg (titrated). The primary efficacy end point was change in HbA1c from baseline to Week 52.

Results: Baseline mean age, diabetes duration and HbA1c were 58.4 years, 7.0 years and 8.3%, respectively. Adjusted mean HbA1c change from baseline was −1.20% with dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin and −0.82% with dapagliflozin, vs −0.99% with glimepiride (mean dose at Week 52, 4.6 mg). Changes in body weight (−3.2 kg and −3.5 kg vs +1.8 kg) and systolic blood pressure (SBP; −6.4 mm Hg and −5.6 mm Hg vs −1.6 mm Hg) were significantly greater with dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin and dapagliflozin than with glimepiride. FPG decreased significantly with dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin compared with glimepiride (−2.1 mmol/L vs −1.5 mmol/L) and was similar with dapagliflozin (−1.6 mmol/L) compared with glimepiride. Confirmed incidence of hypoglycaemia was lower with dapagliflozin regimens than with glimepiride (0 and 1 vs 13 patients) and fewer patients required rescue. Genital infections were more frequent with dapagliflozin; other AE profiles were similar.

Conclusions: Dapagliflozin, saxagliptin and metformin improved glycaemic control compared with glimepiride plus metformin; add-on of dapagliflozin alone showed efficacy similar to that of glimepiride. Both dapagliflozin regimens decreased body weight and SBP, with a lower incidence of hypoglycaemia compared with glimepiride.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Attainment of optimal glycaemic control with a low risk of hypoglycaemia and with body weight reduction is a major goal of type 2 diabetes management. Metformin is the first-line pharmacotherapy recommended for glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes and has proven efficacy in achieving clinically relevant reduction in glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) levels. Most patients eventually require treatment with 2 or more antidiabetes agents to maintain adequate control of blood glucose levels, and international treatment guidelines recommend stepwise intensification of therapy through add-on to metformin.

The latest generation of oral antidiabetes drugs, including sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors, have demonstrated efficacy and safety in patients with inadequate glycaemic control with metformin monotherapy. Moreover, in a 24-week study, triple therapy with concomitant addition of dapagliflozin, an SGLT-2 inhibitor, plus the DPP-4 inhibitor saxagliptin to metformin led to greater improvements in glycaemic control than add-on of either agent alone. These improvements were achieved without increased risk of hypoglycaemia compared with dual therapy, and both dapagliflozin-containing regimens were associated with the benefit of weight loss. Dapagliflozin-containing treatment regimens, therefore, may be a promising second-line treatment strategy for patients with type 2 diabetes and inadequate glycaemic control.

Sulphonylureas are widely used as second-line therapy for type 2 diabetes. Although their efficacy as antidiabetes agents is established, drawbacks include hypoglycaemia, weight gain and limited long-term efficacy. Furthermore, data from some studies have suggested a possible association between sulphonylurea use and adverse cardiovascular outcomes. Use of dapagliflozin-containing treatment regimens as second-line therapy may provide an alternative option to sulphonylureas, with a more favourable side-effect profile and additional beneficial effects on body weight and blood pressure control. In a head-to-head study, dapagliflozin and a sulphonylurea (glipizide) demonstrated similar efficacy, with the benefits of sustained reductions in body weight and systolic blood pressure (SBP), greater glycaemic durability and a lower rate of hypoglycaemia with dapagliflozin compared to glipizide after 1 year of treatment. These effects continued to be observed in extensions of up to 4 years.

The primary aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that dapagliflozin, either alone or in combination with saxagliptin, is non-inferior to titrated glimipiride, a sulphonylurea, in terms of glucose-lowering effect in patients with type 2 diabetes who have inadequate glycaemic control with a maximum tolerated dose of metformin background therapy. Additional testing was undertaken to assess whether addition of dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin is superior to glimepiride plus metformin, given that triple therapy regimens have previously been shown to be more efficacious than dual therapy. Other indicators of glycaemic control, metabolic parameters, body weight and blood pressure were assessed as secondary end points.

2 | RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design

This was a 52-week, multicentre, randomized, parallel-group, double-blind, double-dummy, active-controlled phase 4 study, the DapaZu study (NCT02471404), that was conducted at 194 centres in Germany, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland and Slovakia. Local regulatory authorities and the responsible ethics committees/institutional review boards of the participating centres approved the study protocol and all participants provided written informed consent. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines of the International Conference on Harmonisation.

2.2 | Patients

Men and women, aged 18 to <75 years, were eligible for inclusion in the study if they fulfilled the following criteria: diagnosis of type 2 diabetes; stable metformin dose (≥1500 mg/day) for ≥8 weeks prior to enrollment; BMI ≤45 kg/m²; fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ≤270 mg/dL (≤15 mmol/L); C-peptide ≥1.0 ng/mL (≥0.33 mmol/L); HbA1c 7.5% to 10.5%. Major exclusion criteria included: a cardiovascular event during the 3 months prior to screening; creatinine clearance (CrCl) rate of <60 mL/minute; severe uncontrolled hypertension, defined as SBP ≥180 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥110 mm Hg at any visit up to and including randomization; presence or history of severe congestive heart failure (New York Heart Association Class III and IV), decompensated or acute congestive heart failure, and/or left ventricular ejection fraction ≤40%.

2.3 | Treatments

The study design is shown in Figure 1. Patients receiving metformin monotherapy were randomized (1:1:1) to 1 of 3 treatment arms by a computer-generated random sequence. These comprised dapagliflozin 10 mg; dapagliflozin 10 mg plus saxagliptin 5 mg; and glimepiride 0 to 6 mg (titrated). Matching placebos were used in each group and all study personnel were blinded to the randomization scheme during the study. Dapagliflozin and saxagliptin were taken orally, once daily, at fixed doses throughout the treatment period. Glimipiride treatment was initiated at 1 mg/day and was titrated upwards or downwards in 1 mg increments at subsequent visits, in accordance with prescribing information. The glimepiride/placebo dose was titrated to achieve an individualized FPG target, agreed by the patient and investigator at the start of treatment; a general target of approximately 110 mg/dL (6.1 mmol/L) was proposed in the study protocol. In order to reflect real-life clinical practice as closely as possible, glimepiride titration was allowed for the duration of the study.

In this study, hypoglycaemic events were categorized according to five definitions: (1) hypoglycaemic event, typical symptoms of hypoglycaemia, accompanied by blood glucose ≤3.9 mmol/L (≤70 mg/dL); (2) major hypoglycaemic episode, symptomatic event with blood glucose ≤3.0 mmol/L (≤54 mg/dL) with a need for external (third party) assistance; (3) confirmed hypoglycaemic event, typical
symptoms of hypoglycaemia with a blood glucose reading of ≤2.8 mmol/L (≤50 mg/dL); (4) asymptomatic hypoglycaemic event, measured plasma glucose ≤3.9 mmol/L (≤70 mg/dL) without typical hypoglycaemia symptoms; (5) other hypoglycaemic episode, symptoms of hypoglycaemia without a blood glucose reading or with blood glucose >3.0 mmol/L (>70 mg/dL). Patients were eligible for open-label rescue with basal insulin for the entire duration of the treatment phase (criteria for initiation of rescue therapy: weeks 0 to 12, FPG > 240 mg/dL [13.3 mmol/L]; weeks 12 to 24, FPG > 200 mg/dL [11.1 mmol/L]; weeks 24 to 52, HbA1c > 8.0%). In patients who had not reached their maximum tolerated dose of glimepiride/placebo, up titration of glimepiride/placebo was attempted before rescue with insulin was initiated.

2.4 | End points and assessments

The primary efficacy end point was absolute change in HbA1c from baseline to end of the 52-week treatment period. Key secondary end points included the proportion of patients reporting confirmed hypoglycaemic episodes during the 52-week treatment period, changes from baseline in total body weight and FPG at week 52, and the time to rescue during the treatment period. Additional secondary end points included the proportion of patients who achieved HbA1c < 7.0% at week 52, the proportion of patients who achieved ≥5% weight reduction, and the changes in waist circumference and in SBP at week 52. Safety end points included the incidence of adverse events (AEs) and hypoglycaemia, as well as findings from physical examinations, electrocardiograms (ECGs) and clinical laboratory evaluations.

2.5 | Statistical methods

The study was designed to demonstrate non-inferiority of dapagliflozin-containing treatment regimens relative to glimepiride in terms of the primary efficacy variable. Assuming no difference in dapagliflozin and dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin vs glimepiride with regard to HbA1c-lowering efficacy, as well as a standard deviation (SD) of 1.0, it was estimated that approximately 930 randomized patients would provide ~95% power to demonstrate non-inferiority.

All efficacy analyses were conducted on the full analysis set (FAS), which comprised randomized patients who received at least 1 dose of study medication and for whom there were a baseline value and at least 1 post-baseline efficacy value. Analyses were performed using values prior to rescue treatment or discontinuation. The primary efficacy variable was analysed using a mixed-model repeated measures (MMRM) model with fixed effects for treatment group and covariates. Non-inferiority of dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin to glimepiride was assessed first, followed by non-inferiority of dapagliflozin alone to glimepiride, based on a prespecified margin of 0.3%. Superiority of dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin to glimepiride was also assessed. Sensitivity analysis was conducted for the primary end point by repeating the primary efficacy analysis using data from the per protocol set, which comprised patients in the FAS who did not have relevant protocol deviations.

Analyses of secondary efficacy variables (change from baseline in body weight and FPG) were performed using an MMRM model similar to that used for the primary end point. The proportion of patients with confirmed hypoglycaemia was analysed using Fisher's exact test and time to rescue was analysed using a Cox proportional hazards model.
Dapagliflozin-containing treatment groups were tested sequentially vs glimepiride, with dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin compared with glimepiride prior to dapagliflozin alone for all end points with the exception of change in body weight.

Statistical comparisons were performed using a comparison-wise type 1 error of 5% (two-sided). To control the overall type 1 error of the study, a hierarchical closed testing procedure was employed: if non-inferiority of the primary end point was demonstrated, testing was performed for key secondary efficacy variables according to the sequential order pre-specified in the study protocol. Any given key secondary end point was considered for significance only if the key secondary end point before it demonstrated statistical significance. For other secondary variables and exploratory variables, nominal P values were reported without significance testing.

Safety analyses were performed on the safety analysis set, which comprised patients who received at least 1 dose of study medication, regardless of rescue treatment; safety data were summarized using descriptive statistics.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Patient disposition and characteristics

The first patient was enrolled on September 21, 2015 and the last patient completed the study on March 13, 2017. A total of 1358 patients were enrolled and 939 patients were randomized, of whom 867 (92.3%) completed the study (Figure 1). The main reasons for study discontinuation included patient withdrawal (17 patients [1.8%]), adverse events (10 patients [1.1%]) and development of study-specific withdrawal criteria (7 patients [0.7%]).

Baseline demographics and diabetes characteristics (Table 1) were balanced across treatment groups. Most patients (98.9%) were Caucasian; overall, 63.9% were men, and the mean (SD) patient age and BMI were 58.4 (8.6) years and 32.9 (5.1) kg/m², respectively. Mean (SD) duration of type 2 diabetes was 7.0 (5.4) years and mean estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) at baseline was similar between groups (86-88 mL/min/1.73m²). More than half of the patients (56.4%) were taking a metformin dose of 2000 to 2500 mg/day.

3.2 | Study drug treatment

The mean (SD) titrated glimepiride dose at Week 52 was 4.6 (1.8) mg; the maximum dose was 6 mg for 164 patients (52.6%) and 7.7% of patients were receiving 1 mg at Week 52. The glimepiride dose was down-titrated to 0 mg in the case of four patients (1.3%).

3.3 | Efficacy

3.3.1 | Primary efficacy end point

Adjusted mean change from baseline in HbA1c at 52 weeks was −0.82% for dapagliflozin alone and −1.20% for dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin, compared with −0.99% for glimepiride when added to baseline metformin monotherapy (Table 2 and Figure 2A). Non-inferiority, based on a prespecified margin of 0.3%, was demonstrated for both dapagliflozin-containing treatment groups, relative to glimepiride, at Week 52. The change in HbA1c from baseline was statistically significantly greater (P = 0.001) with dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin than with glimepiride, thereby demonstrating superiority of dual add-on (triple therapy) over glimepiride plus metformin. Figure 2B shows adjusted mean changes from baseline in HbA1c over the 52-week treatment period. The major benefits of treatment, in terms of HbA1c reduction, were achieved within the first 12 weeks in all treatment arms.

3.3.2 | Secondary end points

The proportion of patients experiencing at least one episode of confirmed hypoglycaemia during the 52-week treatment period was low across all groups (<5%) and was significantly lower in both dapagliflozin-containing treatment groups than in the glimepiride group (Table 2). Total body weight decreased from baseline to Week 52 in both dapagliflozin-containing treatment groups, whereas it increased in the glimepiride group (Table 2). Reductions in FPG from baseline to Week 52 were statistically significantly greater with dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin than with glimepiride as add-on therapy, and dapagliflozin was non-inferior to glimepiride as add-on therapy (Table 2). The time courses to onset of changes in FPG and body weight were similar to those for HbA1c, in that the majority of the treatment effect was achieved by Week 12 with both dapagliflozin-containing treatment regimens (Figure S1). The number of patients (proportions) who met rescue criteria during the treatment period were 58 (18.6%), 26 (8.3%) and 66 (21.4%) in the dapagliflozin, dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin and glimepiride add-on to metformin groups, respectively (Table 2).

The proportion of patients who achieved HbA1c < 7.0% at Week 52, regardless of hypoglycaemia, was greater with add-on dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin than with add-on glimepiride (Table 2). The proportion of patients with a weight reduction of ≥5% from baseline to Week 52 was higher in the dapagliflozin and dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin groups compared with the glimepiride group (Table 2). Mean waist circumference decreased with dapagliflozin-containing regimens, whereas it increased with glimepiride (Table 2). Moreover, there were greater reductions in SBP from baseline to Week 52 with dapagliflozin and dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin add-on therapies than with glimepiride (Table 2 and Figure S2).

3.4 | Hypoglycaemia

The overall incidence of hypoglycaemia was substantially lower with dapagliflozin-containing treatment regimens than with glimepiride add-on to metformin. In total, there were 10, 19 and 329 hypoglycaemic events with add-on dapagliflozin, dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin and glimepiride, respectively (Table 3). Notably, no episodes of major hypoglycaemia occurred in any treatment group and there was little difference in the incidence of hypoglycaemia prior to and after rescue. The distribution of hypoglycaemic events over time is shown in Figure S2.
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### 3.5 | Safety and tolerability

Overall, the proportion of patients reporting an AE was similar between treatment groups (range, 50.6%-60.1%) (Table 3). In the add-on dapagliflozin, add-on dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin and add-on glimepiride groups, UTIs were reported by 24 (7.7%), 13 (4.2%) and 12 (3.8%) patients, respectively. These events were all mild or moderate in severity. Genital infections were reported in 25 (8.0%), 15 (4.8%) and 2 (0.6%) patients in the dapagliflozin, dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin and glimepiride add-on therapy groups, respectively. In the add-on dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin group, one patient experienced an event of increased blood ketone body concentration. The event was mild in intensity and pH values during the event were reported to be within the normal range; the patient did not discontinue study medication.

A total of 52 AEs led to discontinuation of study treatment. These events occurred in 27 (8.6%), 12 (3.8%) and 13 (4.2%) patients in the dapagliflozin, dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin and glimepiride groups, respectively (Table 3). Serious AEs (SAEs) were reported in 39 (12.5%), 22 (7.1%) and 35 (11.2%) patients in the dapagliflozin, dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin and glimepiride groups, respectively. One SAE (UTI) in the add-on dapagliflozin group was considered by the investigator to be related to the study treatment. SAEs leading to study drug discontinuation were dispersed across multiple system organ classes and included renal, urinary and cardiac disorders. These events were reported by 13 (4.2%) and 3 (1.0%) patients in the add-on dapagliflozin and add-on glimepiride groups, respectively. No SAEs leading to study drug discontinuation occurred in the dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin group.

Overall, there were no clinically meaningful drug effects on haematological or clinical chemistry parameters in any treatment group. No clinically meaningful changes from baseline were observed for lipid parameters, vital signs or ECG variables in any group during the treatment period. A total of 12 (3.8%), 6 (1.9%) and 7 (2.2%) patients reported AEs of renal impairment/failure in the dapagliflozin, dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin and glimepiride groups, respectively. The preferred term with the highest incidence was decreased CrCl (2.9%, 1.3% and 1.3% with add-on dapagliflozin, dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin and glimepiride, respectively). One SAE of renal impairment/failure occurred in one patient in the dapagliflozin group on Day 1. This patient had chronic kidney disease and eGFR was 42.3 mL/min/1.73m² on study day 1 and 15 and 17.2 mL/min/1.73m² on study day 1. Following discontinuation of the study drug on Day 7, eGFR was 42.3 mL/min/1.73m² when assessed on Day 27. The SAE was assessed as not being causally related to the study medication.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

This study evaluated the efficacy and safety of add-on therapy with dapagliflozin vs glimepiride in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes who had inadequate glycaemic control. We considered two regimens: either dapagliflozin alone or in combination with saxagliptin, as a combination of an SGLT-2 and a DPP-4 inhibitor has been shown previously to lead to superior improvements in glycaemic control than that achieved with either agent alone.

For the primary end point of change from baseline in HbA1c at Week 52, non-inferiority was demonstrated for the combination of...
### TABLE 2  Primary and secondary efficacy end points at 52 weeks prior to rescue (FAS)

| Efficacy end point (week 52) | DAPA + MET (n = 311) | DAPA + SAXA + MET (n = 312) | GLIM + MET (n = 309) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| **Primary end point**       |                      |                             |                      |
| **Change in HbA1c**         |                      |                             |                      |
| Baseline mean, % (SD)       | 8.3 (0.7)            | 8.3 (0.7)                   | 8.3 (0.8)            |
| Adjusted mean change from baseline, % (SE) | -0.82 (0.05) | -1.20 (0.05) | -0.99 (0.05) |
| Difference from GLIM + MET (95% CI) | 0.16 (0.03, 0.30) | -0.21 (-0.34, 0.08) |                      |
| Superiority P value vs GLIM + MET | N/A                 | 0.001                       |                      |
| **Key secondary end points**|                      |                             |                      |
| **Confirmed hypoglycaemia** |                      |                             |                      |
| Patients with ≥1 hypoglycaemic event, number (%) | 0 (0.0)                | 1 (0.3)                     | 13 (4.2)             |
| Difference from GLIM + MET (95% CI) | -4.2 (-6.5, 2.0) | -3.9 (-6.2, -1.6) |                      |
| P value vs GLIM + MET | < .001 | < .001 |                      |
| **Weight (kg)** |                      |                             |                      |
| Baseline Mean (SD) | 97.9 (18.9) | 95.3 (17.4) | 97.5 (17.9) |
| Adjusted mean change from baseline (SE) | -3.5 (0.2) | -3.2 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.2) |
| Difference from GLIM + MET (95% CI) | -5.3 (-5.9, -4.7) | -4.9 (-5.5, -4.3) |                      |
| P value vs GLIM + MET | < .001 | < .001 |                      |
| **FPG (mmol/L)** |                      |                             |                      |
| Baseline Mean (SD) | 10.6 (2.3) | 10.4 (2.0) | 10.4 (2.1) |
| Adjusted mean change from baseline (SE) | -1.6 (0.1) | -2.1 (0.1) | -1.5 (0.1) |
| Difference from GLIM + MET (95% CI) | -0.1 (-0.4, 0.2) | -0.6 (-0.9, -0.3) |                      |
| P value vs GLIM + MET | .374 | < .001 |                      |
| **Rescue treatment** |                      |                             |                      |
| Number of patients rescued (%) | 58 (18.6) | 26 (8.3) | 66 (21.4) |
| Hazard ratio (95% CI) vs GLIM + MET | 0.95 (0.67, 1.35) | 0.36 (0.23, 0.57) |                      |
| P value vs GLIM + MET | .777 | < .001 |                      |
| **Additional secondary efficacy end points** |                      |                             |                      |
| Patients achieving HbA1c < 7.0% |                      |                             |                      |
| Number of patients (%), adjusted for baseline HbA1c | 68 (20.3) | 129 (40.3) | 107 (33.9) |
| 95% CI for percentage adjusted | 16.1, 25.2 | 34.8, 46.0 | 28.7, 39.6 |
| Odds ratio (95% CI) vs GLIM + MET | 0.50 (0.34, 0.71) | 1.31 (0.94, 1.84) |                      |
| P value vs GLIM + MET | < .001 | .112 |                      |
| Patients achieving HbA1c < 7.0% without confirmed hypoglycaemia |                      |                             |                      |
| Number of patients (%), adjusted for baseline HbA1c | 68 (20.3) | 128 (40.0) | 99 (31.2) |
| 95% CI for percentage adjusted | 16.2, 25.2 | 34.5, 45.7 | 26.1, 36.8 |
| Odds ratio (95% CI) vs GLIM + MET | 0.56 (0.39, 0.81) | 1.47 (1.05, 2.06) |                      |
| P value vs GLIM + MET | .002 | .027 |                      |
| Patients with a weight reduction ≥ 5% |                      |                             |                      |
| Number of patients (%), adjusted for baseline weight | 70 (22.5) | 82 (25.7) | 11 (3.5) |
| 95% CI for percentage adjusted | 18.1, 27.5 | 21.1, 30.9 | 2.0, 6.2 |
| Odds ratio (95% CI) vs GLIM + MET | 7.96 (4.29, 16.22) | 9.50 (5.15, 19.27) |                      |
| P value vs GLIM + MET | < .001 | < .001 |                      |
type 2 diabetes. Dapagliflozin-containing regimens also reduced SBP, that body weight reduction is a key treatment goal in patients with patients in the add-on glimepiride group. This is of importance, given groups achieved treatment. Over 20% of patients in both dapagliflozin treatment glimepiride therapy which was associated with weight gain during associated with the additional benefit of weight loss, compared with either dual or triple therapy with metformin. The results were line with that of the individual drugs, without additional side effects. Findings from this study are in accordance with those from previous head-to-head comparisons of dapagliflozin and saxagliptin vs sulphonylureas. In line with some previous reports, the frequency of both types of infection was lower in the dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin combination arm compared with the dapagliflozin arm. Several hypotheses for the mechanism underlying this phenomenon have been proposed, the simplest being that addition of a DPP-4 inhibitor augments overall glucose control and thereby reduces SGLT-2 inhibitor-mediated glucosuria. However, there are arguments that challenge this hypothesis and dedicated experimental studies are required to provide greater clarity.

Treatment with SGLT-2 inhibitors has been associated with transient reductions in eGFR. More patients receiving dapagliflozin plus metformin experienced decreased CrCl leading to study discontinuation than did patients receiving glimepiride (2.9% vs 1.3%). However, the study criterion for discontinuation because of decreased CrCl was strict and did not allow CrCl to decrease below 60 mL/min if not reversed within 1 week of detection. Previous studies have shown that the initial effect of SGLT-2 inhibitors on renal filtration is reversible and is associated with beneficial effects such as reduced albumin excretion and protection of renal function in patients with micro- and macroalbuminuria.

An important adverse effect of sulphonylureas is the invariable induction of hypoglycaemia, which is associated with numerous
dapagliflozin and metformin compared with glimepiride plus metfor- min. Superiority was met for this end point for triple therapy with dapagliflozin, saxagliptin and metformin vs glimepiride plus metformin. Similar trends were observed for key secondary end points; with dapagliflozin-containing regimens, superiority was met for the propor- tion of patients reporting confirmed hypoglycaemic episodes during treatment, as well as for the change from baseline in total body weight at Week 52. Both dapagliflozin-containing regimens decreased FPG from baseline to Week 52 to an equal or greater extent than glimepiride plus metformin. All treatment regimens were well tolerated, and the safety profile of the dapagliflozin-saxagliptin combination was in line with that of the individual drugs, without additional side effects. Findings from this study are in accordance with those from previous studies of dapagliflozin and saxagliptin when administered as part of either dual or triple therapy with metformin. The results were also in line with previous head-to-head comparisons of dapagliflozin and other SGLT-2 inhibitors vs sulphonylureas.

In this study, dapagliflozin-containing treatment regimens were associated with the additional benefit of weight loss, compared with glimepiride therapy which was associated with weight gain during treatment. Over 20% of patients in both dapagliflozin treatment groups achieved ≥5% weight loss, compared with only 3.5% of patients in the add-on glimepiride group. This is of importance, given that body weight reduction is a key treatment goal in patients with type 2 diabetes. Dapagliflozin-containing regimens also reduced SBP, an effect that has been observed previously with SGLT-2 inhibitor treatment and might be attributable to the osmotic, natriuretic and weight-reducing effects of these agents. This is likely to be beneficial to patients with type 2 diabetes, given that achievement of blood pressure targets is associated with reduced risk of microvascular and macrovascular diabetes complications, including cardiovascular disease.

Dapagliflozin-containing treatment regimens were well tolerated during the study. Although there were more UTIs and genital infec- tions in the dapagliflozin groups than in the glimepiride group, these were all mild or moderate in intensity and the frequency was consist- ent with previous reports. In line with some previous reports, the frequency of both types of infection was lower in the dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin combination arm compared with the dapagliflozin arm. Several hypotheses for the mechanism underlying this phenomenon have been proposed, the simplest being that addition of a DPP-4 inhibitor augments overall glucose control and thereby reduces SGLT-2 inhibitor-mediated glucosuria. However, there are arguments that challenge this hypothesis and dedicated experimental studies are required to provide greater clarity.

Treatment with SGLT-2 inhibitors has been associated with transient reductions in eGFR. More patients receiving dapagliflozin plus metformin experienced decreased CrCl leading to study discontinuation than did patients receiving glimepiride (2.9% vs 1.3%). However, the study criterion for discontinuation because of decreased CrCl was strict and did not allow CrCl to decrease below 60 mL/min if not reversed within 1 week of detection. Previous studies have shown that the initial effect of SGLT-2 inhibitors on renal filtration is reversible and is associated with beneficial effects such as reduced albumin excretion and protection of renal function in patients with micro- and macroalbuminuria.

An important adverse effect of sulphonylureas is the invariable induction of hypoglycaemia, which is associated with numerous

**TABLE 2**

| Efficacy end point (week 52) | DAPA + MET (n = 311) | DAPA + SAXA + MET (n = 310) | GLIM + MET (n = 309) |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| **Change in waist circumference (cm)** | | | |
| Baseline Mean (SD) | 111.0 (13.4) | 109.3 (12.4) | 111.6 (13.1) |
| Adjusted mean change from baseline (SE) | −1.8 (0.3) | −2.5 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.3) |
| Difference from GLIM + MET (95% CI) | −2.8 (−3.7, −1.9) | −3.4 (−4.3, −2.6) | |
| *P value vs GLIM + MET* | < .001 | < .001 | |
| **SBP (mm Hg)** | | | |
| Baseline Mean (SD) | 138.4 (14.3) | 138.8 (14.1) | 138.8 (13.1) |
| Adjusted mean change from baseline (SE) | −5.6 (0.8) | −6.4 (0.7) | −1.6 (0.8) |
| Difference from GLIM + MET (95% CI) | −4.0 (−6.1, −1.9) | −4.8 (−6.9, −2.8) | |
| *P value vs GLIM + MET* | < .001 | < .001 | |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; DAPA, dapagliflozin; FAS, full analysis set; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; GLIM, glimepiride; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; MET, metformin; MMRM, mixed-model repeated measures; SAXA, saxagliptin; SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error.

*P values for non-inferiority were not calculated; non-inferiority was assessed using the two-sided 95% CI of the adjusted mean difference between dapagliflozin or dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin and glimepiride, using a prespecified margin of 0.3%.

*Confirmed hypoglycaemia: typical symptoms with glucose ≤2.8 mmol/L (≤50 mg/dL).

*Fisher’s exact test (separate tests for each pair-wise comparison).

*MMRM model with terms for treatment, baseline (weight or FPG), week, treatment-by-week interaction and baseline-by-week interaction.

*Cox proportional hazards model with term for treatment. The Efron method was used in cases where patients had identical rescue times. Time to rescue treatment is censored at the earliest occurrence of the following: discontinuation of study medication, study completion or time of insulin initiation.
detrimental patient outcomes. In this study, there were substantially fewer hypoglycaemic episodes in patients taking dapagliflozin-containing regimens than in patients receiving glimepiride plus metformin. This is consistent with findings from a previous study and with the mechanism of action of dapagliflozin, which does not depend on insulin secretion or action. A total of 329 hypoglycaemic events occurred in 87 patients in the glimepiride group, indicating that many patients in this treatment group experienced recurrent hypoglycaemia; these events may have prevented up-titration of glimepiride. The low risk of hypoglycaemic events is an important advantage of add-on dapagliflozin therapy, given the observed links between occurrence of hypoglycaemia and poor adherence to glucose-lowering therapy. Further, dapagliflozin-containing regimens are without some of the practical disadvantages associated with sulphonylureas, including the need for careful titration and frequent glucose monitoring.

The enhanced glucose-lowering efficacy of triple therapy with dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin concomitantly added to metformin is also worthy of mention. There is a growing body of evidence to suggest that combining antidiabetes agents that have complementary mechanisms of action can achieve greater efficacy than add-on of each agent alone for patients with high HbA1c levels, and dual and triple therapies have been shown to have similar safety profiles.

A key strength of this study is the up-titration of glimepiride to reflect real-world clinical practice. Although titration of sulphonylureas is essential to balance antihyperglycaemic efficacy with the risk of hypoglycaemia, it is not typical for clinical trials to allow continuous titration throughout the study. Potential limitations of the study include the aforementioned inability to monitor long-term effects of study treatments on renal function, because of the strict criteria for discontinuation.

Overall, triple therapy with dapagliflozin, saxagliptin and metformin was superior to dual therapy with glimepiride and metformin in terms of HbA1c reductions and improvements in multiple other metabolic variables. Addition of dapagliflozin alone improved glycaemic control to an extent similar to that of glimepiride, but with the additional benefits of weight loss and improvements in SBP. Dapagliflozin-
containing regimens were well tolerated and were associated with a substantially lower incidence of hypoglycaemia compared with glimepiride add-on to metformin therapy, with the added benefit of weight loss and improvements in blood pressure. These findings suggest the utility of dapagliflozin-containing combination therapy in this patient population as an alternative to sulphonylurea add-on to metformin.
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TABLE 3  Treatment-emergent adverse events (safety analysis set; data regardless of rescue therapy)

| Adverse event category                              | Number (%) of patients |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                                                     | DAPA + MET (n = 313)   | DAPA + SAXA + MET (n = 312) | GLIM + MET (n = 312) |
| At least 1 AE                                       | 188 (60.1)             | 158 (50.6)                 | 170 (54.5)           |
| AE leading to discontinuation of study medication   | 27 (8.6)               | 12 (3.8)                   | 13 (4.2)             |
| Hospitalization for heart failure                   | 0                      | 0                         | 1 (0.3)              |
| AEs of special interest                              |                        |                           |                     |
| UTIs                                                | 24 (7.7)               | 13 (4.2)                   | 12 (3.8)             |
| Genital infections                                  | 25 (8.0)               | 15 (4.8)                   | 2 (0.6)              |
| Hypoglycaemia, number of events (proportion of total events in each category, %) prior to rescue | | |
| Overall events                                      | 10 (2.8)               | 19 (5.3)                   | 329 (91.9)           |
| N = 358                                             |                        |                           |                     |
| Major hypoglycaemia b                               | 0                      | 0                         | 0                    |
| N = 0                                               |                        |                           |                     |
| Episode of hypoglycaemia c                          | 1 (0.4)                | 7 (3.1)                    | 216 (96.4)           |
| N = 224                                             |                        |                           |                     |
| Other episode of hypoglycaemia d                    | 7 (10.8)               | 10 (15.4)                  | 48 (73.8)            |
| N = 65                                              |                        |                           |                     |
| Confirmed hypoglycaemia e                           | 0                      | 1 (3.8)                    | 25 (96.2)            |
| N = 26                                              |                        |                           |                     |
| Asymptomatic hypoglycaemia f                        | 2 (2.9)                | 2 (2.9)                    | 65 (94.2)            |
| N = 69                                              |                        |                           |                     |

Abbreviations: AE, adverse event; DAPA, dapagliflozin; GLIM, glimepiride; MET, metformin; SAE, serious adverse event; SAXA, saxagliptin; UTI, urinary tract infection.

A Percentages reflect total number of each type of event across all treatment groups.
B Major hypoglycaemic episode: symptomatic episode requiring external assistance with glucose <3.0 mmol/L (<54 mg/dL).
C Hypoglycaemia: symptomatic episode with glucose ≤3.9 mmol/L (≤70 mg/dL).
D Other episode of hypoglycaemia: symptomatic episode, with or without glucose >3.9 mmol/L (>70 mg/dL).
E Confirmed hypoglycaemia: typical symptoms with glucose ≤2.8 mmol/L (≤50 mg/dL).
F Asymptomatic hypoglycaemia: event with absence of symptoms but with glucose ≤3.9 mmol/L (≤70 mg/dL).
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