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Abstract: This paper is concerned with the transformations that took place in the late-medieval and early-modern borderland of Silesia, Greater Poland, Brandenburg and Lusatia in the forms of arms and armour used between the end of the 15th- and the beginning of the 16th century. Gothic elements of arms and armour still exist in the iconography of the borderland until the 2nd decade of the 16th century. Fully developed Renaissance arms and armour (Maximilian, fluted, costume) appear in iconography of this region not earlier that 1530s.
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The revolutionary changes that took place at the end of the Middle Ages, related to the rapid development of firearms, forced the transformation of military equipment used by “servants of war”. The process of “infantry revolution” in Western Europe which is commonly believed to have begun c. 1300 onwards, was related with the emergence of properly trained and organised infantry formations, armed mostly with polearms. The success of professional infantrymen on the battlefield, not guided by the code of honour, led to the gradual abandonment of chivalric ideals. Heavy-armed cavalry which personified this ethic have not yet left the theatre of war, although the military role of mounted knights on the battlefield was continuously diminishing. In the 2nd half of the 16th century the arms race between arms and armour makers ended in the defeat of protective armaments and caused a slow departure from the use of full suits of plate armour.

Knightly ideals and tradition, despite the fact that they were not continued in war conditions, found their manifestation in tournaments. Plate armour, the symbol of a knight, came to be worn less and less in battle, but found a new use at parades, tournaments and other court ceremonies. All these new trends had profound impact on the forms of arms and armour used at the beginning of the 16th century. The Gothic slender lines representative for the German-style armour defining the male figure was replaced; instead of the slenderness and sharpness, the width and roundness of the form and the softening of the contours appeared. The balance of vertical and horizontal tensions once again brought the armour to the shapes conforming to the human being, which fully refer to the humanism belief of the beauty of human body structure. Constructed armours with perfectly articulated structures pulsed with the Renaissance fascination with the mechanics of nature.

The conflicts that swept across Europe at the end of the 15th- and the beginning of the 16th century related to the rivalry between the Habsburgs and the House of Valois, as well as the rapid increase in the power
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of Ottoman Turkey also affected the situation in Central Europe. In the Late Middle Ages the territory of the mid-Odra Region was the arena of struggle between the Silesian Duchies, the Kingdom of Poland, Brandenburg and the Kingdom of Bohemia. The territorial status quo established during the 15th century, was significantly changed during the War of the Głogów Succession (1476-1482), when the crucial Ziemia Krośnieńska (Germ. Crossen/Crosno land) had been gained by Margrave Albrecht Achilles of Brandenburg.\(^5\) During the early 16th century Silesia was controlled by the Kingdom of Bohemia, and after the death of the king of Bohemia, Louis II of Hungary, in the battle of Mohacs (1526), it was incorporated into the Habsburg Monarchy.\(^6\) This event stabilised
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the political situation and borders in the borderland for over 200 years⁷ (Fig. 1). Significant changes occurred at that time in the field of military and residential architecture. It was associated with the spread of bastion fortifications (*trace italienne*), connected with the increase of importance of artillery, as well as the adoption of new stylistic and cultural trends. These transformations are visible in many castles in this region, rebuilt in the 1st half of the 16th century. For instance, in this period polygonal bastions were added to the tower house in Witków (Germ. Wittgendorf); (Fig. 2).⁸ To some extant castle inventories of weapon supplies stand as testimony to these changes. For example, in the castle in Krosno, during the inventory made in 1505, when the castle was taken over by Jerzy Schlabendorff, there were 2 Houfnice, 1 Culverin (*schlangen*), 6 hackbutts, 7 gunpowder kegs, half keg of lead/iron bullets (*geloten*), but also 240 projectile shafts and bolt heads for crossbow.⁹

Service for the sovereign, *Ritterdienst* was still the basic duty of the noble owners of castle estates. To increase the real combat qualities of the noble army in peacetime military drills were organised. In the Dutchy of Głogów (Germ. Glogau), according to the privileges of Ferdinand I, these were to take place at least once a year. From year to year, fewer and fewer members of the nobility took part in them. In real terms, tournaments, embodying knighthood so important for nobility, had even less significance. In this part of Europe, the most important tourneys were held at the court of electors of Saxony in Dresden, and most likely also important trends in the field of arms and armour spread from there.¹⁰

Development and improvements in different regions were introduced progressively. Essential questions that need to be answered in this paper are: when did gothic-style armour fade away from the iconography of the area in question? How long did offensive weapons of medieval origin exist during the Modern Period? Exactly when did avant-garde innovations appear in the iconography of this region? Is iconography, in light of archaeological evidence, a reliable source? Due to the almost complete lack of original artefacts from museum collections that could be undeniably considered as related to the region in question, this paper...
will be based mainly on archaeological examples and iconographic evidence, obviously being aware of the limitations that the latter carry (e.g. the objection positing artistic stylization by the artists). Noticeably fewer sources are known from the northern part of the region. The collected evidence may indicate some lags in the introduction of arms and armour innovations into the area in question.

Changes took place gradually. There is no doubt that late-medieval armament existed far beyond the conventionally agreed end date of the Middle Ages in 1500. The occurrence of Gothic armour in iconography until the second decade of the 16th century, as in the case of panel painting from the workshop of the Master of the Polyptych from Gościeszowice (Germ. Giessmansdorf), may well confirm this observation (Fig. 3).

In the field of offensive weapons, the period of using forms of medieval origin is longer than has been assumed so far. For example, one should mention two-hand swords enabling slashing blows, which in the light of iconographic sources were also used until the end of the second decade of the 16th century. They usually had pear-shaped pommels. This specific form, with grooved surfaces, enjoyed great popularity in this area at the end of the late Middle Ages and at the beginning of the Modern Period. According to A. V. B. Norman they were popular in Europe even until 1585. On many altar-pieces painted in the workshop of the Master of the Polyptych from Gościeszowice swords with spherical pommels – forms of medieval origin – were also often represented (Fig. 3).

The growing importance of infantry at the end of the 15th century resulted in great popularity of the pole arms on battlefields. Archaeological sources, iconography and original artefacts from museum collections from the beginning of the 16th century confirm the longer popularity of various forms of pole arms (Awl-pikes, Halberds, Morgensterns), in shapes also used in the earlier period (Fig. 5:2). Note the spear head excavated during the underwater survey in Danków, Strzelce-Drezdenko District which could be dated to the mid-16th century. Changes in infantry tactics, related to the rapid development of firearms, caused that in the mid-16th century, staff weapon was increasingly losing its military purpose in favour of a decorative, ceremonial or hunting function. There is a massive spearhead (43 cm in length) from the collections of the Archaeological Museum of Mid-Odra River Region, of an undetermined find place, in form characteristic already for the mid-16th century. It has a large, deltoid-shaped blade (30 cm in length) with a strong central rib. The socket, octagonal in section, was provided with rectangular opening (Fig. 4). The latter was probably used to fasten a crossbar (Germ.: Knebel) made of bone antler, wood or iron by means of a leather thong. This element of boar- or bearspears, was supposed to stop an animal charging often in hunting conditions, preventing the hunter from being attacked. It was also designed to prevent the blade from penetrating the animal’s body too deeply, to be able to withdraw the weapon quickly and strike again. According to scholars, spears with a movable crossbar, attached to the shaft through a thong, pulled through a notch on the socket, were supposed to not appear earlier than the end of the 16th century. However, earlier examples of the use of this type of construction
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in hunting spears are also known from the iconography of the early 16th century. Artefacts with polygonal sockets and deltoid-shaped blades were used constantly in the Modern Period; for instance examples from the collection of Styrian Armoury in Graz comes from the 4th quarter of the 16th century. Due to the powerful blade this type of weapon could have been successfully used not only during hunting, but also on the battlefield. These spears were employed quite often in combat, especially by support personnel, sentries and other rear echelon troops. A spear with a movable bar depicted on the woodcut of Altdorfer, from his series Triumphal Procession of Emperor Maximilian, dated to 1517, was shown in the hands of a wagon soldier. The so-called Bärenspiess or Schweinspiess appears occasionally in arms inventories of castles in the Habsburg lands (see for example the Zeugbücher of Maximilian I).

At this point, we would also like to draw attention to the need for more careful analysis of the context of the discovery of artefacts of forms commonly regarded as medieval. Very often, loose finds are automatically assigned to the medieval period. The bolts excavated in the castle in Międzyrzecz, with close analogies among 15th-century specimens, which were discovered in a well-defined 17th century context, can serve here as an example (Fig. 6). Crossbows were used continuously in the Modern Period, not only during hunting (Fig. 5:1), and this is confirmed also by archaeological finds. Form of the bolts have not significantly changed until the 17th century. Universal types of axes – regardless of whether they were used during the fight or not – were also employed frequently during the Modern Period.

Changes that took place on the battlefield at the end of the 15th and the beginning of the 16th century, with the growing importance of professional infantry, were not without impact on the form of arms and armour...
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used during this period. The influence of civil fashion on armour was also significant. However, changes in various regions of Europe appeared gradually. Observations on iconographic sources from the borderland of Silesia, Greater Poland, Brandenburg and Lusatia, allow noticing the coexistence of the elements of Gothic plate defences together with modern innovations increasing the protection and comfort of people wearing armour at the beginning of the 16th century. An example is the armour depicted on the effigy of Heinze von Röder where, apart from the obviously Gothic: two-part breastplate, engrailed pauldrons of reptilian fashion, German sallet, there are also a long (extending almost to the knees), multi lamed fauld and rounded couters (Fig. 7:2). Kin testimony can be found on the quarter from Dzieduszyce, Gorzów District from the beginning of the 16th century. Apart from strictly old forms: visored sallets, bisegmented breastplates, parts of the vambrace have evidently gentle lines. Fluted armour (often called Maximilian), is considered by many scholars as the first fully modern form of armour. German armourers adopted there the fuller, more rounded forms favoured in Italy. According to some arms and armour historians in the new style, the shallow parallel channels that covered almost the entire armour were not only decorative but actually strengthened the metal. Other studies rather perceive them as a result of contemporary fashion. To compensate the deficiencies of such protection the analysed armours were often quenched and tempered. Fluted armour appears to have been a specialty of Nuremberg, but was also produced on a large scale in Italian and other South German workshops.
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However, in the light of the iconography of area in question, they were not very popular and appeared a bit later than in the rest of Europe. The earliest, known example is most likely the armour from the effigy of the mayor of Lubsko, Frans Rothenburg, from the church of the Assumption of Our Lady in Leśniów Wielki, Zielona Góra District dated to c. 1530. It is covered with a thick layer of plaster, which makes the full recognition of all its elements difficult. The waist of the globose breastplate is still slender (like medieval ones), but it has no placard. Decoration in the form of a single spray of flutes originating at a common point in the middle of the waist and radiating arched up and out across the chest. Fluting appears also on the pauldron and the cuff of the gauntlet. The lower abdomen and upper thighs are protected by an elongated lamed fauld. The helmet has a modern, fully enclosed form with a beaked visor attached to the lower part of the cheek protections. The upper part of skull is decorated with fluting and has a large plume of ostrich feather. Arm- and leg- defences are also of transitional form: symmetrical pauldron with sword breaker, but without gardbrace, multi-lamed vambrace and rounded couter. Surprisingly, instead of mitten gauntlets, traditional in the so-called Maximilian style, Frans wears a fingered gauntlet. Undecorated cuisses and greaves, poleyns with side wings and armoured sabaton in the form of bear’s paws are also recognisable in this figurative source. The sword from the analysed effigy, with its straight cross and its pear-shaped pommel, is still medieval in form (Fig. 7:1).

The armour depicted on the tombstone should be considered as a transitional form of the so-called Maximilian style. As the sword hilt on the effigy covers more or less exactly the area where one would expect to see a lance rest, it is not possible to establish whether combat armour was depicted on this monument. The decoration of the breastplate is called in the literature the fan
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40 For this reason, I previously thought that this type of armour appeared in area not earlier than in 1545, Michalak 2019, footnote 72. Closer inspection of the monument from Leśniów Wielki carried out in 2019, have revealed the presence of a characteristic fluting.
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Note that in the Maximilian portrait from 1496 by Bernhard Striegel, the future emperor wears a chest defence with such a pattern, but the other elements of his armour suit still have a Gothic form. These transitional forms of body defences are known from pieces of art dated to century 1520. Original breastplates come from the second decades of the 16th century. One needs to mention here half-armour from the workshop in Innsbruck, from the collection of the counts of Pszczyna, now in the collection of Deutsches Historisches Museum, Berlin (W 1051a-g). Another, very similar breastplate is exhibited in the National Museum of Wroclaw (IX-877). Analogous specimens, of which at least two were made in Nuremberg, can also be found in the collection of the Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna (A716, A345, A2155).

Fig. 8. Depictions of modern period armour with fluted elements: 1 – effigy of Nicolas von Warnsdorf († 1548) from the church of St. Michael Archangel in Witków; 2 – effigy and Balthasar von Knobelsdorff († 1543) from the church of St. Nicholas in Jelenin. Photo A. Michalak.
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armets and sallets, among which many from the first quarter of the 16th century, are decorated similarly to depicted one. Comparable helmet with a plume is visible on the effigy of Jan of Krużlowa from Cistercian Abbey of Virgin Mary and St. Wenceslaus in Cracow-Nowa Huta, dated to c. 1532.

Further evolution in this form proceeded by adding parts of heavily fluted arms and legs defences. These changes are visible in the suits of armour depicted on the effigies of Nicolas von Warnsdorf († 1548) from the church of St. Michael Archangel in Witków (Fig. 8:1) and Balthasar von Knobelsdorf († 1543) from the church of St. Nicolas in Jelenin (Fig. 8:2). Note also that on both images the individuals already have classic Maximilian style mittens.

Fully developed fluted armour is depicted on the sandstone grave slab of Francke of Nechern from John the Baptist church in Chichy. The monument is dated to 1553, however according to art historians, it portrays protections used during the life of the depicted knight. His breastplate is almost completely embellished with broad grooves in form of whole beam pattern. The poleyns, greaves and sabatons in this effigy are undecorated. Nachern’s German armet is also covered with dens fluting (Fig. 9:1). The second, very similar armour was depicted on the sandstone grave slab of Balthasar Rechenberg from the church of St. Laurence in Borów Wielki († 1567). Broad and deep fluting is covering all parts of his body defences. The elements that distinguish Rechenberg’s effigy are the presence of a bevor, the decoration of all elements with grooves and the breastplate ornamented with a motif of a triangle arranged inside triangle with floral pattern (Fig. 9:2). Rechenberg’s weaponry from this depiction (long sword and mace) is also different from the one depicted on Nachern’s effigy. Armour of this kind is known from iconography dated to c. 1530-1540.

Fig. 9. Depictions of fluted armours in Maximilian style: 1 – Funerary Monument for Francke of Nechern from John the Baptist church in Chichy, c. 1553; 2 – Funerary Monument for Balthasar Rechenberg († 1567) from the church of St. Laurence in Borów Wielki. Photo A. Michalak.
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Quite quickly, fluted armours, the reminiscence of the Maximillian style, popular in various regions of the Empire throughout the 16th century and also at the beginning of the 17th century,\textsuperscript{55} became fashionable in many variants and varieties also in analysed area. Flutes were transformed here into wide bands, with gilded ridges, decorated with a rope motif or etched appliqué. It should be mentioned here two evidences that testify the use of this type of body defences. First, we need to discuss the effigy of Balthasar Kittlitz († 1553) from the church of St. Martin in Świdnica\textsuperscript{56} (Fig. 10:1). Similar armour appears on the polychrome with depiction of St. Wenceslaus from the Parish Church of John the Baptist in Międzyrzecz. Frescoes from there were probably painted in 1545. Their foundation can be attributed to the starosta of Międzyrzecz, Laurentius Myszkowski, who bore the Jastrzębiec coat of arms, from the Lesser Poland, what also explains why this saint, not popular in Greater Poland, was depicted there\textsuperscript{57} (Fig. 10:2).

Roundness of forms, typical for modern armours, is also noticeable in body defences depicted on the votive image of von Rothenburg family from the church of the Visitation of Our Lady in Lubsko.\textsuperscript{58} The condition of this painting, severely limits the possibility of precisely determining the form of the breastplate (Fig. 12). However, it is clearly visible that the waistline is no longer as deep as in the Gothic style. The better-preserved lower part of the painting allows clearer observation of construction details of the skirt and leg protection of the Rothenburg family members. The fauld, reaching beyond the hips, was complemented by triangular tassets. The skirt (and cuisses from the last depicted member) which gives the impression of being made from a series of small rectangular plates is in particularly interesting form. It seems that in this image a fauld made of hooped lames (in the analysed case five-six rows) was portrayed, which by means of engraving, embossing, etching or grooving, divided by vertical lines into
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smaller rectangular plates. An analogous method of decoration is known from many products of South German armourers, dated to the very beginning of the 16th century. This construction was also used in the foot combat armour made for King Henry VIII of England. In this armour the surface of the plates from skirt (reaching till the knees) was decorated with etched and engraved Tudor roses and floral decoration with plain fields alternately. Emperor Charles V also owned few types of armour with such skirts.

Different style of the armour making from the 16th century represent body defences portrayed on the statue of St. George from the church in Dzieduszyce, dated to the beginning of the 16th century. The breastplate is convex in the lower part. In its upper section there is a quadrangular cutout decorated with a notched trimming for a five lamed gorget, reaching the chin. The lower line of the opening is decorated with horizontal, twisted trimming and there are sword breakers on sides. The front part of the breastplate is decorated with four rows of half-round, wide rollers, which are cut to the base with diagonal lines. They cover almost the entire breastplate area. The spaces between them are embellished with notched lines. To the bottom part of the chest defence a five lamed fauld, with deep cutout for horse riding was attached (Fig. 11:1-2). This is undoubtedly an element of costume armour. It seems that all the characteristic decoration of the torso defence is related to Renaissance civil fashion where clothes comprising layers of differently coloured or textured cut textiles were very popular. This decoration resembles to the form of ornamentation appearing on several examples of the ceremonial armour, intended not for combat use, but during tournaments, festivals and parades. Made most likely in South German workshops, they came into use in the first decade of
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Fig. 11. Depiction of costume armour (1-2) and grotesque elements of leg defences (3): 1-2 – figure of St. George, church in Dzieduszyce (now lost), c. 1520s. After Reißmann 1937; 2 – effigy of Ulrich von Kittlitz from Świdnica († 1568) at the Parish Church of St. Michael Archangel in Nowa Sól. Photo A. Michalak.
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the 16th century, but they became out fashioned already in the 1530s. The armour from the Vienna Armoury (inv. no. A186), made in Innsbruck by Hans Rabeiler for the emperor Charles V, c. 1511/1512 is a close parallel to this breastplate. A similar specimen kept in the Wallace Collection (inv. no. A29) is dated to the first quarter of the 16th century or precisely to 1520. Another armour with puffed and slashed decoration is kept in the Musée de l’Armée in Paris (inv. no. G 13). The Deutsches Historisches Museum (inv. no. 2326) poses armour with this kind of decoration, however of simplified form, dated to c. 1510-1515. This kind of armour attributed to Hans Rabeiler, is also kept in the Bernisches Historisches Museum (inv. no. 104). It is dated to 1510-1515. Puffed and slashed decoration in the mentioned suits of armour also occurs on tassets and pauldrons, which, due to being concealed by the mantle, cannot be confirmed on the depiction from Dzieduszyce. The collected data allow determining the time of creation of this figure for 1520.

At the end one should also note the presence of parts of defences inspired by Italian armour makers and mannerism on iconographic sources from the second half of the 16th century. The turn towards the ancient traditions in Italy – a distinctive feature for the Renaissance – resulted there in recreation of armours inspired by antique. Defences alla antica were often embellished with masks. South German armour makers, especially in Augsburg, inspired by Italian achievements, tried to give their own form to the art of metal embossing. The lionlike poleyns depicted on the effigy of Ulrich von Kittlitz from Świdnica († 1568) at the Parish Church of St. Michael Archangel in Nowa Sól, are most likely the embodiment of these trends (Fig. 11:3) Parts of leg defences embossed with such motif are known from original suits of armour dated to 1540-1550.
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Completing remarks regarding offensive arms, one needs to admit that in general there is lack of knowledge about the weapons used in the 1st half of the 16th century in the area in question. There are practically no archaeological finds, and the arms depicted in iconography are quite schematic. It should be noted that the most important side arms of the modern period – short single handed sword, the so called knightly sword (or cavalry sword)\(^{78}\) and long swords appeared on the effigies of this region not earlier than in the beginning of the 2nd half of the 16th century (Figs. 7-10). Some nobles could still use weapons inherited from their ancestors.\(^{79}\) We should note the presence of small knives or bodkin pockets on the sword’s scabbard, helpful in the knight’s mundane activities; according to Norman, characteristic mainly for the period between 1520-1600 (Figs. 9:1 and 10:1). In this area, however, they were probably not very popular because they occur in only two depictions.

Beside the knightly swords, falchions were probably also in use. One of them was accidentally discovered during earthworks near the hospital in Henryków-Szprotawa.\(^{80}\) It has a wide and massive, single-edged blade. A clearly modern element in this weapon is a steel ring from the hilt decorated with triple engraved lines on the edges. Basing on analogies, it can be assumed that the falchion was made in the first quarter of the 16th century, which is also confirmed by iconographic analogies.\(^{81}\)

On the votive image of the Rothenberg family from the parish church in Lubsko, appears also another side weapon, very popular in the modern period. It has a very narrow blade and an S-shaped quillon (Fig. 12). The blade of this weapon suggests unambiguous associations with rapiers. The S-shaped crossguard as an element of rapiers and swords arrived in the south-eastern Europe in the 2nd half of the 15th century\(^{82}\) and were used as their elements in whole Europe throughout the 16th century and part of the 17th century.\(^{83}\) Rapiers were usually tied to the saddle and used during horse fighting and later also hunting.\(^{84}\)

Daggers are very rare in the 16th-century iconography of this region. They are only known from Ulrich von Kittlitz’ tombstone, where a Renaissance quillon dagger was depicted (Fig. 11:3). Their role, as in the whole Silesia,\(^{85}\) was definitely greater than it could appear from assembled iconography.

Personal blunt weapons were undoubtedly of lesser importance. The evidence of their use comes from the discussed tombstones. Depicted flanged mace\(^{86}\) (Fig. 9:2) and war hammer (Fig. 8:1), could deliver the full force blow to the target and pierce the opponent’s armour. Their form is typical for Renaissance specimens, known both from the original artefacts and iconography.\(^{87}\)

To summarise, Gothic elements of arms and armour still exist in the iconography of the borderland until the 2nd decade of the 16th century. Archaeological finds of these elements occur occasionally in the strata dated to the 16th or even to the 17th century. Fully developed modern period arms and armour appear in iconography of this region not earlier that 1530s. The assembled sources indicate that there were not serious delays in the introduction of arms and armour innovations into the area we have analysed. It can be concluded that the trends observed on their basis reflect the actual state of development in these areas. As a result of the revolutionary changes that took place in hand-held fire arms, from the mid-16th century, full armour begins to disappear from the battlefield. The battle of Pavia on 24 February, 1525 became a symbolic point, where the mass use of firearms by the Habsburg infantry against the French gendarmes decided the result of the battle.\(^{88}\) The process of the twilight of the knights began…
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