Penile cancer: a case series in two tertiary care teaching hospitals with review of literature
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Abstract

Introduction
Carcinoma of penis is a very rare disease most commonly affecting elderly men. This rare disease can have terrible and horrifying consequences on the social and emotional life of patients as well as their families. The objective of our case series is to describe the sociodemographic and clinical features, the standard investigations and treatment protocols and follow up of patients who presented to our hospitals.

Methodology
This is a prospective study of fourteen patients admitted in two tertiary care teaching institutions of Kolkata between January 2013 to December 2015 with a histopathological diagnosis of penile cancer.

Results and discussion
Age of patients varied between 45 to 82 years. Duration of disease varied between 3-13 months. Nine patients had palpable inguinal lymphadenopathy and 2 patients had liver metastases. Eight patients underwent partial amputation of penis and 4 patients underwent total amputation.

Conclusion
Penile cancer is a rare disease with devastating consequences. Patients often present late and the primary surgical treatment is often disfiguring. Aggressive surgical treatment of the primary disease and groin remains the cornerstone in the management of high-risk cases.

Introduction
Carcinoma of penis is a rare disease commonly affecting elderly men, with Brazil being the country with highest incidence [1]. It usually refers to squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) of penis, however, it encompasses non-squamous malignant lesions also. It is less frequent in countries with higher socioeconomic status like Europe and United States, accounting for 0.4-0.6% of all malignancies, but comparatively commoner in countries with low and middle socioeconomic status like Africa and South America, representing almost 10% of all malignancies [2]. The peak incidence is seen during sixth decade of life. Of these cases, 19% occur below 40 years and 7% below 30 years. The annual age-adjusted rate of penile cancer is 2.3-8.3 per 100000 men [3].

Poor hygiene, phimosis, dermatitis, regional trauma, smoking and infection with Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) are known etiological factors [4]. Neonatal circumcision is presumed to be a protective factor as the incidence rate of carcinoma of penis is very low in countries where neonatal circumcision is routinely practiced [5]. Ninety five percent of penile cancers arise from squamous epithelium of glans (80%) or foreskin (15%) in the form of ulceroproliferative growth, localized or invading the whole penis.
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Figure 1. Ca Penis with left inguinal lymphadenopathy
Materials and methods
We performed this prospective study with fourteen patients admitted to Department of Surgical Oncology in two tertiary care teaching hospitals in Kolkata between January 2013 to December 2015 with a histopathological diagnosis of penile cancer. History, diagnosis, histopathology reports, extension, stage, margin of resection, treatment and events encountered during follow up period were noted. The international TNM classification system was used for staging. Sociodemographic characteristics, clinical features and histopathological characteristics were subjected to special attention. Patients were followed up every three months during the first follow up year and every six months thereafter, provided there was no complication or recurrence.

Results
The demographic, clinical, staging, treatment and follow up data are tabulated in the following two tables (Table 1A & 1B). Age of patients varied between 45 to 82 years. 5 patients had diabetes, 5 patients had hypertension, 3 patients had ischemic heart disease. Duration of disease varied between 3-13 months. All the patients presented with ulcers involving the penis, 9 were p T1 – p T2 and 5 were infiltrating the urethra (p - T3). Nine patients had palpable inguinal lymphadenopathy and 2 patients had liver metastases. Two patients were found to have well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, 5 patients were found to have moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, 6 patients were found to have poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma and 1 patient had diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Eight patients underwent partial penectomy and 4 patients underwent total penectomy. Two patients with metastatic disease were treated with palliative amputation and palliative chemotherapy. One patient with lymphoma was treated with primary chemotherapy. The patients were followed up for 8-48 months. Two patients with liver metastasis progressed during the course of the disease and eventually died.

Review of literature
Penile cancer is a disease of elderly males which may have devastating effect on the lives of patients as well as their families. The age distribution of penile cancer patients in our study was compatible with the literature [6]. Infection by Human Papilloma Virus, smoking, phimosis and poor local hygiene have been described as common etiological factors, while early circumcision has been described to be protective. All the patients in our study were uncircumcised and 6 of them had phimosis. Retention of smegma leads to chronic irritation and inflammation of the glans and prepuce in uncircumcised males which has carcinogenic effects [7]. Circumcision gives protection against penile cancer by preventing development of phimosis, collection of smegma, formation of lichen sclerosus and decreasing the risk of infection by HPV type 16 and 18. More than 40% patients are HPV positive and have positive correlation with number of sexual partners. In our study 5 patients were HPV positive evident by presence of malpigiennes cells infected with HPV i.e. koilocytes.

Glans and prepuce are usually involved early by the disease which gradually engulf the entire glans, shaft, corpora even up to scrotum [8]. In our study patients had a delay of 4 – 13 months before seeking medical attention. It was mostly due to ignorance, shyness, shame, guilt, fear, lack of pain, lack of education and personal neglect. Penile cancer usually appears as an indurated, painless nodule, warty growth, ulcer or exophytic mass with foul odour and/or bloody or purulent discharge. In our study, besides ulcer, patients also presented with itching, inguinal lump and features of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS). More than 95% of cases are squamous cell carcinoma, however, melanocytic lesions, mesenchymal tumours, lymphomas and metastases have also been reported [9]. In our study poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma was most frequent. We performed deep biopsy in all patients to assess the depth of invasion. In case of palpable inguinal lymphadenopathy refractory to conservative treatment, we performed ultrasound guided FNAC which enhanced the micrometa-stasis detection rate.
Table 1A: Demographic, clinical, staging, treatment and follow up data of patients 1-7

| Patient | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | P7 |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Age     | 63 | 55 | 73 | 59 | 52 | 64 | 82 |
| Cigarette Smoking | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Multiple Sex Partner | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Foreskin status | Uncircumcised | Uncircumcised | Uncircumcised | Phimosis | Phimosis | Uncircumcised | Phimosis |
| Location | Glans | Glans, distal shaft | Body | Balanoprepucial groove | Glans, prepuce, distal shaft | Glans, balanoprepucial groove | Effaced Penis |
| Comorbidity | Nil | DM, HTN | DM | DM/IHD | Nil | HTN | IHD |
| Duration of disease (months) | 5 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 10 | 6 |
| Clinical feature (Other than ulcer) | Discharge, redness | Bloody discharge, itching, ulcer | Inguinal lump, penile erythema | Pubic discharge, erythema, inguinal lump | LUTS | LUTS | Bloody discharge, itching, LUTS |
| T (tumour) | T1 | T1 | T3 | T3 | T2 | T1 | T3 |
| N (node) | N0 | N0 | N3 | N3 | N1 | N0 | N2 |
| M (metastases) | - | - | + | - | - | - | - |
| Histology | MDSCC | WDSCC | PDSCC | PDSCC | MDSCC | MDSCC | Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma |
| Presence of Pre-malignant lesion | - | + | + | - | - | - | - |
| Presence of HPV | - | + | + | - | - | - | - |
| Preoperative assessment | CT | CT | MRI | MRI | CT | CT | MRI |
| Treatment of primary tumour | Partial penectomy | Partial penectomy | Total penectomy (palliative) | Total penectomy | Partial penectomy | Primary chemotherapy | Partial penectomy |
| Treatment of lymph node | Surveillance | Antibiotics, surveillance | Palliative chemotherapy | R/L LND, EBRT. | U/L LND | Primary chemotherapy | B/L LND, EBRT. |
| Progression during follow-up | - | - | + | - | - | - | - |
| Death | - | - | + | - | - | - | - |
| Follow up period (months) | 48 | 36 | 11 | 27 | 32 | 16 | 28 |

DM: diabetes mellitus, HTN: hypertension, IHD: ischaemic heart disease, LUTS: lower urinary tract symptoms, EBRT: external beam radiation therapy, LND: lymph node dissection, WDSCC: well differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, MDSCC: moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, PDSCC: poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, CT: computed tomography, MRI: magnetic resonance imaging.
Table 1B: Demographic, clinical, staging, treatment and follow up data of patients 8-14

| Patient | P8 | P9 | P10 | P11 | P12 | P13 | P14 |
|---------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Age     | 53 | 45 | 68  | 39  | 55  | 49  | 60  |
| Cigarette Smoking | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Multiple Sex Partner | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Foreskin status | Phimosis | Uncircumcised | Phimosis | Uncircumcised | Phimosis | Uncircumcised | Uncircumcised |
| Location | Body | Distal shaft | Glans, prepuce | Balanoprepucial groove | Distal shaft, glans | Effaced penis | Body |
| Comorbidity | DM, HTN | DM, IHD | HTN | Nil | Nil | Nil | HTN |
| Duration of disease (months) | 7 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 5 |
| Presenting Symptom (Other than ulcer) | LUTS | Inguinal lump, penile erythema | Bloody discharrge, itching, inguinal lump | Pus discharge, erythema, inguinal lump | Discharge, redness. | Bloody discharge, itching | LUTS |
| T (tumour) | T2 | T3 | T2 | T2 | T1 | T3 | T2 |
| N (node) | N1 | N3 | N2 | N2 | N0 | N1 | N0 |
| M (metastases) | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| Histology | MDSCC | PDSCC | MDSCC | PDSCC | MDSCC | WDSCC | PDSCC |
| Presence of Pre-malignant lesion | - | - | + | - | - | - | - |
| Presence of HPV | + | - | - | + | - | - | + |
| Preoperative assessment | CT | MRI | MRI | MRI | CT | CT | CT |
| Treatment of primary tumour | Partial penectomy | Total penectomy (palliative) | Total penectomy | Partial penectomy | Partial penectomy | Partial penectomy | Partial penectomy |
| Treatment of lymph node | U/L NLD | Palliative chemotherapy | B/L NLD | B/LLND, EBRT. | Surveillance | Antibiotics, surveillance | Antibiotics, surveillance |
| Progression during follow-up | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| Death | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow up period (months) | 42 | 16 | 23 | 8 | 22 | 31 | 46 |

DM: diabetes mellitus, HTN: hypertension, IHD: ischaemic heart disease, LUTS: lower urinary tract symptoms, EBRT: external beam radiation therapy, LND: lymph node dissection, WDSCC: well differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, MDSCC: moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, PDSCC: poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, CT: computed tomography, MRI: magnetic resonance imaging.
In case of palpable lymphadenopathy with negative FNAC we prescribed 4-6 weeks of antibiotics, followed by repeat FNAC if persistent, followed by excisional lymph node biopsy if still negative but highly suspicious and lastly prophylactic lymphadenectomy. CT or MRI were prescribed when clinical examination was difficult in obese patients or when gross significant lymphadenopathy was present with high suspicion of pelvic spread. MRI has been proven to have higher sensitivity and specificity in detecting and quantifying nodal burden [10]. Artificial erection with contrast enhanced MRI is often useful where exact T-staging is required for organ-preserving surgery.

Penile cancer most frequently spreads to inguino-femoral lymph nodes. Prepuceal lymphatics join the network of cutaneous lymphatics of shaft and drain to superficial inguinal nodes whereas glanular lymphatics in the majority drain directly to the deep inguinal nodes, which in turn drains into deep inguinal nodes. Lung, bone and liver are the commonest sites for metastasis. Metastatic cases may present without locoregional lymphad-enoapathy, however, metastatic patients in our study presented with heavy locoregional lymph node burden [11]. Metastatic work-up must be done in a patient with proven positive locoregional lymphadenopathy.

Surgical amputation remains the standard treatment for definitive management of penile cancer along with adjuvant modalities. Glans-sparing procedures like limited excision with or without circumcision, Mohs micrographic surgery, laser therapy and radiotherapy may be offered in early stage (T1a, T1b, T1) tumours with favourable histology with the aim of preservation of penile length and sensation.

In our study we preferred conventional partial or total amputation in patients presenting with high stage, significant lymphadenopathy and non-favourable histologies. As per literature, in case of partial amputation we spared a stump of at least 2-3 cm [12]. We performed palliative amputation even in metastatic setting to offer a better quality of life to the patients and their families. Primary chemotherapy was given in a solitary patient of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma without any surgical intervention.

Surgical intervention of groin is indicated in patients with significant inguinal lymphadenopathy, either non-responding to medical management or proven as metastatic by FNAC. Decision regarding extent of lymphadenectomy is critical because it carries significant morbidity as high as 50% [13]. Complications like surgical site infection (1.2-1.4%), flap necrosis (0.6-4.7%), lymphoedema (5-13.9%), lymphocele (2.1-4%), phlebitis and rarely pulmonary embolism [14] have been reported. However, Catalona’s technique of modified superficialinguinal lymphadenectomy may reduce complication rates with similar long term results [15], but radical procedure must be followed if invasion of lymph node is confirmed histologically.

None of our patients were offered primary chemotherapy except those with metastases who required palliative chemotherapy (bleomycin, cisplatin, methotrexate) and a patient with lymphoma who received primary chemotherapy. Adjuvant chemotherapy may be offered after surgical resection in high risk patients. Cisplatin has shown promising result in presence of large, immobile, palpable inguinal nodes, even as neoadjuvant. Palliative chemotherapy has been used in unresectable primary tumours, fixed, bulky or relapsed inguinal nodes and in presence of distant metastasis but without much promising result [16]. Adjuvant radiotherapy was prescribed depending on positive lymph nodes, perineural or lymphovascular invasion, margin status and extra-capular extension. Usually clinically palpable lymphadenopathy is found in 28-64% of patients, 47-85% of which are due to metastasis and rest due to infection and inflammation. 12-20% of patients without palpable lymph nodes harbour occult metastasis [17]. Early inguinal lymphadenectomy is prognostically better than surveillance and delayed lymphadenectomy [18].

In advanced cases (T1, G3 or T2-4) aggressive management of groin is highly solicited. There is no role of curative radiotherapy in metastatic groin nodes. Low and intermediate risk cases (T1, Ta, T1 G1-2) can be managed by cautious surveillance but high risk cases (T2-4) should be offered bilateral superficial inguinal lymphadenectomy with frozen section or complete lymphadenectomy (modified or radical). Dynamic sentinel node biopsy has high sensitivity in detecting clinically occult groin nodes with low morbidity compared to complete lymphadenectomy [19].

Regular follow-up of the patients were done for at least 2 years as 74.3% of all recurrences, 66.4% of local recurrences, 86.1% of regional recurrences and 100% of distant recurrences are known to occur within first two post-operative years [20]. Our patients were followed up every 3 months during the first post-op year and every 6 months during the 2nd post-op year in absence of progression or oncological recurrence.

Conclusion

Patients with penile cancer often present late and the primary surgical treatment is often disfiguring. HPV is an important risk factor. Early circumcision, though reducing incidence, does not offer total protection. Lymph node involvement appears to be the main prognostic factor, therefore, accurate staging and appropriate decision-making regarding groin is of utmost importance. Aggressive surgical treatment of the primary disease and groin remains the cornerstone in the management of high-risk cases. However, a larger series is required to establish standard integrated multimodality treatment protocol for this deleterious disease.
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