Biological nitrogen removal from low carbon wastewater
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Nitrogen has traditionally been removed from wastewater by nitrification and denitrification processes, in which organic carbon has been used as an electron donor during denitrification. However, some wastewaters contain low concentrations of organic carbon, which may require external organic carbon supply, increasing treatment costs. As a result, processes such as partial nitrification/anammox (anaerobic ammonium oxidation) (PN/A), autotrophic denitrification, nitritation-denitritation and bioelectrochemical processes have been studied as possible alternatives, and are thus evaluated in this study based on process kinetics, applicability at large-scale and process configuration. Oxygen demand for nitritation-denitritation and PN/A is 25% and 60% lower than for nitrification/denitrification, respectively. In addition, PN/A process does not require organic carbon supply, while its supply for nitritation-denitritation is 40% less than for nitrification/denitrification. Both PN/A and nitritation-denitritation produce less sludge compared to nitrification/denitrification, which saves on sludge handling costs. Similarly, autotrophic denitrification generates less sludge compared to heterotrophic denitrification and could save on sludge handling costs. However, autotrophic denitrification driven by metallic ions, elemental sulfur (S) and its compounds could generate harmful chemicals. On the other hand, hydrogenotrophic denitrification can remove nitrogen completely without generation of harmful chemicals, but requires specialized equipment for generation and handling of hydrogen gas (H₂), which complicates process configuration. Bioelectrochemical processes are limited by low kinetics and complicated process configuration. In sum, anammox-mediated processes represent the best alternative to nitrification/denitrification for nitrogen removal in low- and high-strength wastewaters.
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Introduction

Nitrogen in wastewater presents serious ecological challenges to the receiving water bodies, including eutrophication and toxicity to aquatic life. It is dissolved as ammonium (NH₄⁺), nitrite (NO₂⁻), nitrate (NO₃⁻) and organic compounds (e.g., amino acids and CN⁻) in wastewaters (Wiesmann, 1994; Wang et al., 2012). When organics are degraded by
microorganisms, organic nitrogen is transformed to NH₄⁺ (Wiesmann, 1994). In mainstream wastewater, the concentration of NH₄⁺ and organic nitrogen is about 40 and 20 mg-N/L, respectively (Wiesmann, 1994). Nitrogen and COD concentrations vary depending on the source of wastewater (Tables 1, 2). Chemical oxygen demand (COD) to nitrogen ratio (C/N) ratios in mainstream wastewaters are typically ≥2, while those in sidestream wastewaters generally contain lower concentrations (Li et al., 2018a). COD concentrations are high in industrial wastewaters (Table 2). For instance, effluents from a biodiesel plant, was reported to contain COD concentration of up to 403,540 mg/L (Mousazadeh et al., 2021).

Nitrification/denitrification represents the traditional nitrogen removal process that has been applied in the past several decades in municipalities across the globe (Grady et al., 2011). In this conventional process, NH₄⁺ is sequentially oxidized to NO₂⁻ by ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB) in the first stage, and by nitrite oxidizing bacteria (NOB) in the second stage, then the NO₃⁻ is removed through denitrification in the last stage (Figure 1). However, the economic aspects of this process indicate that it is more costly compared to nitritation-denitrification and partial nitrification/anammox (anaerobic ammonium oxidation) processes because it consumes more COD, produces more sludge and requires more aeration (Hellenga et al., 1998; Kartal et al., 2013). These challenges relating to COD consumption are magnified in the treatment of low-COD wastewaters such as reject wastewater and landfill leachate, as supplementation with external COD sources is inevitable (Table 1).

Autotrophic denitrification could play a vital role in the treatment of wastewaters with high NO₃⁻ concentrations such as some industrial wastewaters and groundwater (Pu et al., 2014). Compared to heterotrophic denitrification, this process is associated with lower sludge production and utilization of simple elements/ions, and could be applicable in treatment of wastewaters containing nitrogen and electron donors such as sulfide (S²⁻), SCN⁻ (thiocyanate), hydrogen gas (H₂), etc. (Cardoso et al., 2006; Di Capua et al., 2019). However, autotrophic denitrification driven by metallic elements and ions as well as those driven by S and its compounds have been reported to generate harmful chemicals that require downstream treatment to avert environmental disasters (Di Capua et al., 2019).

The PN/A process has generated a lot of interest from water practitioners leading to the development of over 100 full-scale systems (Lackner et al., 2014a; Bowden et al., 2015). However, the process still requires further improvements to emerge as an efficient alternative for nitrogen removal. Moreover, there is need to develop the process for mainstream applications because of the associated benefits (Li et al., 2018a). Furthermore, PN/A converts a fraction of NH₄⁺ to NO₂⁻, which reduces process efficiency (Davey et al., 2013), and would thus be necessary to incorporate systems for NO₃⁻-removal downstream.

Cyanide (CN⁻) and its derivatives which are present in some industrial wastewaters such as coal gasification and gold/silver mining industries (Table 2), is highly toxic and its removal is necessary to avert environmental disasters (Kjeldsen, 1999; Chen et al., 2008). Some physical and chemical processes have been developed for its removal from wastewater, but these processes are generally characterized by high costs (Akcil et al., 2003). Therefore, biological processes have generated a lot of interest because they are cheap and safe, as they do not generate harmful secondary chemical wastes. Microalgae, plants, bacteria and fungi have all been determined to be able to remediate against CN⁻ contamination (Chapatwala et al., 1998; Ezzi and Lynch, 2002; Gurbuz et al., 2004). This study thus incorporates biodegradation of CN⁻ and its derivatives as they can be transformed to NH₄⁺ and other nitrogen compounds (“Biological degradation of cyanide” and “Autotrophic denitrification”). Furthermore, since SCN⁻ can be utilized as electron donor (Pan et al., 2018), it is necessary to analyze their influence on nitrogen removal.

In the recent past, there have been reviews on different low carbon nitrogen removal processes, including those covering ANAMMOX-mediated processes (Adams et al., 2022), autotrophic denitrification (Di Capua et al., 2019; Li et al., 2022), and bioelectrochemical processes (Albina et al., 2019; Cecconet et al., 2020; Jung et al., 2020) among other biological processes (Winkler and Straka, 2019; Abeyesiridawarna-Arachchige et al., 2020; Mohsenpour et al., 2021). Despite the useful insights presented in those previous reports, many aspects of low carbon nitrogen removal processes were not adequately addressed including the process kinetics, applicability at full-scale level, actual COD consumption/g-N removed, by-product and sludge generation. Furthermore, the previous reviews either focused on aspects of a

| NH₄⁺ conc. (mg-N/L) | NO₃⁻ conc. (mg-N/L) | COD conc. (mg/L) | C/N⁰ | Remarks | References |
|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|------|---------|-----------|
| 1                   | 1,000               | 810              | 0.8  | Reject water | Hellenga et al. (1998) |
| 2                   | 223–229             | 1,090–1,270      | 5–6  | Abattoir wastewater | Lemaire et al. (2008) |
| 3                   | 1,128 ± 141         | 1,956 ± 597      | 1–2.6| Reject water from digested sludge | Noutsopoulos et al. (2018) |
| 4                   | 66 ± 17             | 4,244 ± 2,100    | 25.8–129.5 | Reject water from primary sludge thickening unit | Dobbelsers et al. (2020) |
| 5                   | 142.7 ± 40.9        | 1,223 ± 336      | 4.8–15.3| Slaughterhouse wastewater | Magry et al. (2021) |
| 6                   | 1,500               | 2,700            | 1.8  | Landfill leachate |          |

⁰Calculated.
single technology without the inclusion of other low-carbon processes in the discussion, or they did not critically review these technologies with respect to influencing factors. Therefore, these subjects are discussed in this review with reference to experimental data collected from different systems (laboratory-, pilot- or full-scale). The choice of the nitrogen removal processes to be included in this review was informed by process readiness for application at pilot-scale and full-scale installations.

**Nitritation-denitrification**

Theoretically, 2.86 g of COD would be required to remove 1 g NO$_3^-$-N (Hellinga et al., 1999; Daigger, 2014). However, considering that substantial amount of COD would be oxidized during aeration phase, the actual required C/N ratios for complete nitrogen removal is higher (5–7; Hellinga et al., 1999; Sahinkaya et al., 2014). On the contrary, 1.94–3 C/N ratios have been reported to be sufficient for nitrogen removal through the NO$_2^-$ route (nitritation-denitrification) (Hellinga et al., 1999; Van Kempen et al., 2001; Bernat et al., 2016; Table 3). This nitrogen removal option saves approximately 40% COD and 25% aeration costs compared to nitrification/denitrification since NH$_4^+$ is only oxidized to NO$_2^-$ as opposed to NO$_3^-$ in the case of nitrification/denitrification (Hellinga et al., 1999; Van Kempen et al., 2001; Noutsopoulos et al., 2018; Dobbeleers et al., 2020).

Coupling nitratation with denitrification requires parameter control for successful implementation, including the control of pH, DO, temperature and SRT/HRT. This is done in order to suppress the growth of NOB while promoting the growth of AOB. Generally, temperature (30°C–40°C), pH (7–8), DO (<0.5 mg/L) and HRT/SRT (~1 day) have been reported to suppress NOB growth (Van Kempen et al., 2001). This process, referred to as SHARON (single reactor system for high activity ammonia removal over nitrite), is operated without sludge retention using concentrated wastewaters such as landfill leachate and reject wastewater from anaerobic digestion (Van Kempen et al., 2001; Vilar et al., 2010).

At 5–20°C, NO$_2^-$ oxidizers grow faster than NH$_4^+$ oxidizers, leading to NO$_3^-$ generation (Hellinga et al., 1998). However, at higher temperatures (30°C–40°C), the reverse is true. This difference in growth rates informs the design of SHARON systems that seek to limit nitratation while favoring nitritation. In the process, a combination of elevated temperatures (30–40°C) and short HRTs (~1 day) lead to NOB washout (Hellinga et al., 1998; Fux et al., 2006). In essence, the high growth rates of AOB compensate for the biomass washed out from the system. Furthermore, the high temperatures and high concentrations of

**TABLE 2** Summary of nitrogen and COD concentrations in industrial and mining wastewaters.

| NH$_4^+$ conc. (mg-N/L) | NO$_3^-$ conc. (mg-N/L) | COD conc. (mg/L) | CN$^-$/SCN$^-$ (mg/L) | C/N$^a$ | Remarks | References |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------|---------|-----------|
| 1                      |                         |                  |                        |        | Wastewater from gold leaching process | Song et al. (2021) |
| 2 110–165              | 55–80                   | 2,750            | 0.5–3.5/22–45          | 16–25  | Coal gasification wastewater | Wang et al. (2012) |
| 3 325                  | 201                     |                  |                        |        | Wastewater from fertilizer factory | Leakovci et al. (2000) |
| 4 56–132               | 0.5–1.2                 | 900–2000         |                        | 7–36   | Wastewater from petrochemical industry | Qin et al. (2007) |

$^a$Calculated.

**FIGURE 1** Schematic diagram of nitrogen removal processes.
NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ also limit the activities of NOB as they dissociate to FA (free ammonia) and FNA (free nitrous acid), respectively, both of which are more toxic to NOB compared to AOB (Anthonisen et al., 1976; Duan et al., 2019). Indeed, inhibitory FA and FNA concentrations for NOB are 0.10–1.00 mg/L and 0.011–0.070 mg/L, while that of AOB is 10.00–150.00 mg/L and ca. 0.40, respectively (Anthonisen et al., 1976; Chen et al., 2020).

For complete nitrogen removal from wastewater using nitritation-denitritation, supplementation of COD is necessary for low COD wastewaters (Figure 1). The process could be implemented in a continuously stirred system in which a COD source is added during an unaerated phase (Lemaire et al., 2008). Since aeration causes pH to drop and denitrification causes pH to rise, the pH could be used to control aeration and dosing of COD sources. This strategy is beneficial as the pH is adjusted during nitritation and denitritation, eliminating the need for addition of alkali (Hellinga et al., 1998). If the influent wastewater contain high C/N ratios (>2), the same wastewater could be utilized as the COD source by implementing a step-feeding strategy as was reported 96%–98% removal of influent NH₄⁺ in a 6.5 m³ nitritation-denitritation pilot-scale SBR system which was fed with 1.6–1.9 kg COD-equivalent ethanol per kg N removed. The low HRT (0.88 days), and high temperatures (35 ± 2°C) enhanced NOB suppression in line with Hellinga et al. (1998). However, the reported C/N ratios were lower than those reported by (Van Kempen et al., 2001), possibly because of the sequencing of reactor operations in the SBR leading to lower COD consumption by aerobic heterotrophic bacteria compared to continuous dosing in the CSTR. Similar findings were reported in a comparative study of nitritation-denitritation by Fux et al. (2006) in a CSTR and SBR, in which better nitrogen removal in SBR compared to CSTR was observed (Table 3). In addition, lower HRTs were achieved (1–1.6 days) in SBR mode compared to CSTR mode of operation (2.3 days). As a result, operating reactors in CSTR mode would require the application of larger reactors in order to achieve the same performance as SBRs (Fux et al., 2006). Notwithstanding this finding, CSTRs have been applied in full-scale SHARON systems for nitrogen removal (Mulder et al., 2001). Therefore, comparative studies of full-scale nitritation-denitritation systems based on COD consumption/nitrogen removal could be necessary for a better understanding of the impact of reactor configuration.

Autotrophic denitritation could be advantageous to heterotrophic denitrification due to less sludge production ("Autotrophic denitrification"). Co-occurrence of NH₄⁺ and inorganic electron donors in some industrial wastewaters ("Autotrophic denitrification") could limit the feasibility of nitritation-denitritation in an autotrophic system. Indeed, Qian et al. (2016) demonstrated the feasibility of nitritation-denitritation in an autotrophic system. However, low process kinetics and production of SO₄²⁻ and other chemicals ("Autotrophic denitrification") could limit the application of autotrophic denitrification.

**ANAMMOX-mediated nitrogen removal**

ANAMMOX process has mainly been applied to treat high-strength NH₄⁺-containing wastewater (Lackner et al., 2014a). This include reject wastewater, landfill leachate and industrial wastewater (Azari et al., 2017; Yao et al., 2022). Meanwhile, research on the development of mainstream anammox is ongoing. In general, the design of a treatment process depends on a number of variables, including the characteristics of the influent, the concentration of active biomass, and the flow rates. In PN/A

---

**Table 3** Nitrogen removal in laboratory-, pilot- and full-scale nitritation-denitritation systems.

| Influent NH₄⁺ conc. (mg-N/L) | C/N ratio | Operational temperature (°C) | HRT (days) | NH₄⁺ conversion rates (%) | NRR (kg-N/m³-day) | NRE (%) | Remarks | References |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------|
| 1                           | 4.559 ± 201 | 36 ± 1                      | -        | 60                       | -                | -      | 2501 system | Ganigué et al. (2010) |
| 2                           | >1,000     | 2.4                         | 30–40    | >90                      | 65–95¹            | -      | Full-scale system | Mulder et al. (2001) |
| 3                           | 1,135      | 2.2                         | 30–32    | 2.3                      | 80⁰              | 1.0    | 5.65 l CSTR | Fux et al. (2006) |
| 4                           | 1,216      | 1.94                        | 1.0–1.6  | 95⁰                      | 3.0–3.6          | 93     | 61 SBR with continuous sludge addition | |
| 5                           | 400–700    | -                           | 35       | 1–3⁰                     | -                | 95–98  | 7 l CSTR operated with sequencing of operations | Claros et al. (2012) |
| 6                           | 1750-1900² | -                           | 1.86-2.71/2.71 | 79–98         | 0.63          | 95     | Two-stage SBRs (101/61) | Zhang et al. (2019) |
| 7                           | 200 ± 18²  | 3                           | 20–22    | 0.71–3.35                | -                | 100    | 51 SBR | Bernat et al. (2016) |

¹From Figure 6 in the source file.
²Estimated in Figure 2 in the source file.
³Estimated from Figure 3 in the source file.
"NO₃⁻"
systems, the functions of AOB and anammox bacteria (AMX) are coupled together (“Partial nitration-ANAMMOX”), while in DEAMOX systems, the activities of nitrate-reducing denitrifying microorganisms are coupled with AMX (“Denitrifying ammonium oxidation”).

Partial nitration-ANAMMOX

In PN/A systems, AOB and AMX sequentially work together to oxidize NH$_4^+$ to nitrogen gas (N$_2$) without the consumption of COD (Equations 1–3; (Daverey et al., 2013). However, during this process, approximately 11% of the influent NH$_4^+$ is converted to NO$_2^-$ (Equation 3), which can be removed downstream of the treatment process by mixing with COD containing wastewater if the effluent limits are exceeded (Mulder et al., 2012), otherwise PN/A alone has been reported to be adequate in many plants (Lackner et al., 2014a). In case of NO$_2^-$ and NH$_4^+$ presence in the influent such as in, industrial wastewaters (Table 2), activities of AMX could be coupled with that of partially denitrifying microorganisms that could generate NO$_3^-$ for the AMX in a process called DEAMOX (DEnitrifying AMmonium OXidation; “Denitrifying ammonium oxidation”; Le et al., 2019a,b).

$$\text{NH}_3 + 1.5\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}^+ \quad (1)$$

$$\text{NH}_3 + 1.3\text{NO}_2^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow 1.02\text{N}_2 + 0.26\text{NO}_3^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{NH}_3 + 0.85\text{SO}_2 + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow 0.44\text{N}_2 + 0.11\text{NO}_3^- + 1.43\text{H}_2\text{O} + 0.14\text{H}^+ \quad (3)$$

As of 2014, over 100 full-scale PN/A systems had been developed, majority of which were based on SBR configuration (Lackner et al., 2014a). However, despite the progress, this excellent technology is still facing numerous challenges including occasional plant failures, foaming, biomass washout, NOB/ heterotrophic bacterial competition for oxygen with AOB and NO$_2^-$ with AMX, etc. (Lackner et al., 2014a). Therefore, further improvements of this technology is imperative for sustainable and efficient nitrogen removal.

The NOB and aerobic heterotrophic bacteria could compete for oxygen with AOB in PN/A systems. In addition, NOB and denitrifying heterotrophic bacteria compete for NO$_3^-$ with AMX (Li et al., 2018a). Successful implementation of PN/A systems thus requires strict control of conditions in order to limit the growth of organisms competing with AOB and AMX. Heterotrophic bacterial growth is generally limited through regulation of influent C/N ratios to ≤1 (Li et al., 2018a,b). As a result, sidestream (high-strength: >100-mg-N/L) wastewater is suitable for treatment in PN/A system unlike mainstream wastewaters which are associated with high C/N ratios (Li et al., 2018a).

Selective retention of biomass rich in the desired microorganisms (biofilms) could also be implemented, while that in undesired microorganisms (flocs) is wasted (Park et al., 2015). This could be achieved by limiting HRT and SRT in the respective reactors to certain set limits that would allow the growth of the desired microorganisms (AOB/AMX; Han et al., 2016). However, implementation of this strategy varies depending on the reactor configuration. For instance, SRT in SHARON reactors which are usually of CSTRs configuration is basically equal to HRT, while in carrier-based systems, SRTs are indefinite and biomass detachment from the carriers could only be induced through increased shear forces (Syron and Casey, 2008). It is noteworthy that although inducing biomass detachment from the carriers could achieve the intended aim of removing the biomass, the rate of detachment is challenging to control and could lead to unprecedented influence on process performance.

Among the developed reactor configurations, SBR is the most popular because of its simplicity and flexibility (Lackner et al., 2014a). However, the NRRs of this configuration is lower than that of continuous systems such as MBBRs (moving bed biofilm reactors; Lackner et al., 2014a; Table 4). Other systems such as MABRs (membrane aerated biofilm reactors) are complex in nature as the membrane lumens need to be pressurized with air which then diffuse into the bulk liquid, and MBRs (membrane bioreactors) are associated with high energy costs and fouling (Reij et al., 1995; Van Der Star et al., 2008).

Community analyses of ANAMMOX-mediated systems have shown the co-existence of bacteria, viruses, archaea and protozoans in these systems (Suarez et al., 2015). The relationship between these microorganisms is complex, and many things are not yet clearly understood. Some organisms complement each other in terms of sub-division of metabolic activities and generation of essential compounds for growth, while others are predatory in nature (Suarez et al., 2015; Lawson et al., 2017). In ANAMMOX-mediated systems, the presence of all these microbes is dependent on many factors, such as the operating conditions and mode of biomass growth, and as a result could change over time (Park et al., 2015; Suarez et al., 2015).

Within the AMX community, dominance of *Candidatus Brocadia* spp. has been associated with the presence of organic carbon and high substrate concentrations because of their high growth rates and mixotrophic lifestyles (Park et al., 2017a). On the other hand, *Candidatus Kuenenia* spp. have been suggested to dominate in systems with limited substrate concentrations because of their higher affinity for NO$_3^-$ (K-strategists) compared to the other species within the AMX community (Van Der Star et al., 2008). The factors influencing the growth dynamics of other bacteria within the AMX community such as *Candidatus Jettenia* spp. and *Candidatus Anammoxoglobus* spp. are yet to be established. *Candidatus Scalindua* spp. are marine in nature (Wei et al., 2016), but its detection in PN/A systems with low salinity as well as in soil has been reported (Wang and Gu, 2013).

Operating conditions have also been reported to influence the growth of AOB and NOB within the ANAMMOX-mediated
systems. The growth of AOB-affiliated Nitrosomonas spp., which are regarded as r-strategists because of their high growth rates which have been associated with high substrate concentrations, while Nitrosospira spp., which are regarded as K-strategists because of their high affinity for substrate have been associated with low substrate concentrations (Awolusi et al., 2015). The factors driving the growth of other AOB-affiliated bacteria such as Nitrososoccus spp., Nitrosovibrio spp. and Candidatus Nitrosooglobus spp. is not well elucidated in the currently available literature and could be investigated in future studies. On the other hand, Nitrosospira spp. are regarded as r-strategists within the NOB community, while Nitrobacter spp. are regarded as K-strategists. Nitrolancea hollandica, which also belong to NOB community, have been detected in ANAMMOX-mediated systems but their dominance has not been reported (Gu et al., 2018).

Denitrifying ammonium oxidation

Treatment of NO$_3^-$-containing wastewater theoretically requires 2.86 g-COD/g-N for complete denitrification (Daigger, 2014). Systems receiving wastewaters with low COD would thus require supplementation of COD from external sources. This would increase the cost of treatment and complicate the treatment process. Therefore, partial denitrification (PD) which consumes less theoretical COD (1.14 g-COD/g-N), could be economically viable when combined with other processes such as ANAMMOX (DEAMOX; Cao and Zhou, 2019).

The success of DEAMOX process, however, relies on the success of PD. The PD process, in turn, depends on many factors including pH, NO$_3^-$ concentration, active microorganisms, nature of carbon sources, etc. (Glass and Silverstein, 1998; Xie et al., 2017; Le et al., 2019a,b). In some studies, it has been reported that the pH influences the accumulation of NO$_2^-$ (Cao and Zhou, 2019). For instance, at pH values of 7.5, 8.5 and 9.0, NO$_2^-$ concentrations of 250, 500 and 900 mg-N/L, respectively, were reported in a denitrification system fed with 2,700 mg-N/L (Glass and Silverstein, 1998). In some other studies, it has been suggested that C/N ratios influence the accumulation of NO$_2^-$ (Ma et al., 2020), while in others, contrary findings relating to C/N ratios have been made (Le et al., 2019a). According to Le et al. (2019a) and Le et al. (2019b), C/N ratios have no influence on the efficiencies of PD, which is in agreement with Sibbesma et al. (1996).

Some microorganisms can only partially reduce NO$_3^-$ to NO$_2^-$, while others can reduce both compounds to N$_2$, and others can only reduce NO$_2^-$ to N$_2$ (Xie et al., 2017). Therefore, it is possible to manipulate the operating conditions in order to enhance the growth of the required microorganisms that contribute to accumulation of NO$_2^-$ (Table 5). In addition, it could also entail the supply of a suitable carbon source preferred by the microorganisms of interest.

Accumulation of NO$_2^-$ (PD) by Paracoccus denitrificans was reported by Blaszczzyk (1993) in a system fed with a media containing NO$_3^-$ and different carbon sources. However, the amount of NO$_2^-$ accumulation varied with the carbon sources, in agreement with Du et al. (2017) who reported higher efficiencies of PD with acetate compared to ethanol. In addition, Blaszczzyk (1993) reported that the NO$_2^-$ was consumed once the NO$_3^-$ was depleted, possibly because: (i) slow induction of NO$_2^-$ reductase in comparison to NO$_3^-$ reductase; (ii) inhibition of NO reductase by NO$_3^-$; (iii) unbalanced reduction of NO$_2^-$ and NO$_3^-$; and (iv) inhibition of NO$_2^-$ reductase by NO$_3^-$, On the other hand, Martienssen and Schöps (1999) reported that species such as Staphylococcus could only reduce NO$_3^-$ to NO$_2^-$, while others such as Bacillus niacini could reduce NO$_3^-$ with transient NO$_2^-$ accumulation, and Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes could reduce NO$_3^-$ to N$_2$ without NO$_2^-$ accumulation. Denitrifying anaerobic methane oxidizing (DAMO) archaea within the family of ANME-2D have also been reported to possess ability to reduce NO$_3^-$ to NO$_2^-$ (Equation 4; Xie et al., 2017). Therefore, with proper selection of carbon source and

| Influent NH$_4^+$ conc. (mg-N/L) | Influent NO$_3^-/NH_4^+$ | NRR (kg-N/m$^3$-day) | NRE (%) | Influent NO$_2^-/NH_4^+$ (%) | Remarks | References |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1 1,000–2700$^a$               | 1.4 ± 0.6               | 0.32                | 86 ± 9 | 5                          | Two-stage system consisting of SBRs | Magri et al. (2021) |
|                              |                         |                     |        | 25                         | Two-stage SHARON-ANAMMOX          | van der Star et al. (2007) |
| 2 750–1500$^b$                | 1.31                    | 7.1                 |        | 8–34                      | 550 m$^3$ SBR                      | Lackner et al. (2014b) |
|                              | 890 ± 89                |                     | >85    | 5–13$^d$                  | 393 m$^3$ MBBR                     | Klaus et al. (2017) |
| 3 960 ± 110                   | Single stage PN/A       | 0.18                | 72–85$^f$ |                           |                                    | Christiansson et al. (2013) |
| 4 985                         | -                       |                     |        | 50 ± 4 m$^3$              |                                    | Isaka et al. (2017) |
| 5 1,043                       | 0.63                    | 90                  | 2–8    | 350 m$^3$                 |                                    |                       |
| 6 855                         | 1.2                     | 88                  | 8–22   | 50 ± 4 m$^3$              |                                    |                       |
| 7 690                         | 1.7–2$^c$               | 3.0                 | 3$^c$  | 415 m$^3$ 5-stage system with gel-encapsulated biomass | Dimitrova et al. (2020) |
| 8 580 ± 90                    | Single stage            | 1.8$^c$             | >80    | ~12$^d$                  | 256 m$^3$ full-scale PN/A MBBR     |                       |
strains of desired microorganisms, it is possible to treat wastewaters such as industrial wastewaters rich in both NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ (Table 5) by coupling ANAMMOX with denitrification (DEAMOX). Indeed, Xie et al. (2017) reported complete removal of NO$_2^-$ and NH$_4^+$ in a MABR whose membrane lumens were pressurized with methane. In their study, following metagenomic analyses, it was concluded that DAMO archaea within ANME-2D family used methane as carbon source to reduce the NO$_3^-$ produced by AMX to NO$_2^-$, which was subsequently re-utilized in the ANAMMOX process. Xie et al. (2017) estimated that DAMO bacteria removed 10% of the nitrogen fed into the system, while DAMO archaea and AMX bacteria removed the remainder (90%). Within the ANAMMOX community, Ca. Brocadia spp. and Ca. Kuenenia spp. were detected at 57 and 43%, respectively (Table 5).

$$\text{CH}_4 + 4\text{NO}_3^- \rightarrow 4\text{NO}_2^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2$$  (4)

Ma et al. (2017) reported approximately 80% nitrogen removal in a two-stage denitrification/ANAMMOX-nitrification (anoxic/

---

**TABLE 5 Nitrogen removal in laboratory- and pilot-scale DEAMOX systems.**

| Influent NH$_4^+$ conc. (mg-N/L) | Influent NO$_3^-$ conc. (mg-N/L) | PD eff. (%) | NRR (kg-N/m$^2$-day) | NRE (%) | C/N ratio | Key microorganisms | Remarks | References |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-------------|
| 1                               | 60                               | -           | 80 ± 4                 | 2.6     | Biofilm: Ca. Jettenia spp. (17.83%), Ca. Kuenenia spp. (2.62%) and Thauera (5.27%) | Two-stage denitrification/ANAMMOX-nitrification system | Ma et al. (2017) |
| 2                               | 500                              | 530*        | 0.98                  | 99.9    | Ca. Brocadia spp. (57%), Ca. Kuenenia spp. (43%) | Abundance at family level: ANMED-2D (39%); uc_Phycisphaerales (15%); Rhodocyclusae (10%); Firmicimonadaceae (7%); Brocadiaceae (5%); uc_envOPS12 (4%); Methylmirabilissae (3%) | Xie et al. (2017) |
| 3                               | 30-43                            | 107-137     |                         | >90     | 2.02$^a$| Ca. Brocadia spp. (~68%); Ca. Jettenia spp. (~0.5%); Denitratisoma (2.3-2.7%); Thauera (4.8-5.4%) | Two-stage PdN-ANAMMOX (51 SBR coupled with 3.21 up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor) | Du et al. (2019) |
| 4                               | 50                               | 50          | 96                     | 82-93   | 2.6     | Ca. Brocadia spp. (0.33%); Ca. Kuenenia spp. (0.23%); Thauera (61.53%) | Acetate-fed laboratory-scale 61 system | Du et al. (2017) |
| 5                               | 50                               | 50          | 67.87                  | 85-90   | 3       | Ca. Kuenenia spp. (1.01%); Thauera (45.17%); Denitratisoma (0.65%) | Ethanol-fed laboratory-scale 61 SBR | Zhang et al. (2022) |
| 6                               | 50-78                            | 51-104      |                         | 41-82   | 2-4     | Thauera (43.6%-biofilm and 57.5%-suspension); Ca. Brocadia spp. (1.6%-biofilm and 0.1%-suspension) | Sodium acetate-fed laboratory-scale system | Zhang et al. (2022) |
| 7                               | 31-33                            | -           | 38                     | >77     | 2.3$^a$ | - | Fermentate-fed | Ladipo-Obasa et al. (2022) |
| 8                               | 0                                | 40          | 64.4 ± 14.5            | 0.11-0.13 | 4.3-6.1 | Comamonadaceae (39.2%), Hyphomicrobiaceae (14.7%), Flavobacterium (12.3%), Ignavibacteriaceae (11.1%) | Hydrogenotrophic PD MBBR | Kamei et al. (2022) |
| 9                               | 29.6$^a$                         | -           | 80-97                  | 22-75$^a$ | 2.2-13 | - | 360 l multi-stage system | Le et al. (2019b) |

$^a$NO$_2^-$.

$^b$Calculated based on data in Table 2 in the source file.

$^c$Calculated from Figure 4b in the source file.

$^d$Influent COD excluding the added COD.

$^e$H$_2$/N ratio.

$^f$Total inorganic nitrogen.

$^g$Estimated from Table 1 in the source file.
oxic) system fed with media containing both NH₄⁺ and sodium acetate. In their setup, nitrification process occurred in the second stage in which NO₂⁻ was generated-and-recycled back to the first stage where denitrification and ANAMMOX processes occurred. Based on the performance, it was reported that a C/N ratio of about 2.6 led to 80% NRE. However, the observed COD requirements were higher than the theoretical 1.14, highlighting the challenges with minimization of COD losses during aeration. In addition, metagenomic analysis revealed higher hits for nitrate reductase compared to nitrite reductase, an indication of faster rate of NO₃⁻ production from NO₂⁻ reduction compared to its depletion. Furthermore, it was reported that the dominant microorganisms in the anoxic biofilms were Ca. Jettenia spp. (17.83%), Ca. Kuenenia spp. (2.62%), and Thauera (5.27%).

In another study, Kamei et al. (2022) reported approximately 64% accumulation of NO₃⁻ in a system supplied with H₂ and NO₂⁻ (Table 5). However, some of the supplied NO₂⁻ was not converted to NO₃⁻ and could not be accounted for in the effluent, an indication that some of the NO₂⁻ was oxidized further leading to its removal. In addition, dominance of bacteria within the unidentified genus of Comamonadaceae was reported (Kamei et al., 2022). Furthermore, an optimal H₂ supply rate of 0.7 (7 min on/3 min off at 15 ml/min flow rate) was reported, while higher and lower rates lead to a decrease in NO₃⁻ accumulation.

Studies have reported different C/N ratios in systems incorporating PD and ANAMMOX (Table 5). In all the reported studies, the C/N ratios are higher than the theoretical 1.14g-COD/g-N, an indication of losses through aerobic oxidation. However, the observed values are still lower than that for full denitrification whose C/N ratios are >5. Furthermore, the efficiencies of PD vary in different systems, possibly due to the variation of the microorganisms based on the available carbon sources as previously suggested by Du et al. (2017), or due to some other unknown factors.

Nitrogen removal in DEAMOX systems is comparable to that of PN/A systems (Tables 4, 5). In both systems, process performance has been shown to be influenced by many factors, among which are the reactor configuration and the efficiencies of PD and nitritation (Lackner et al., 2014a; Le et al., 2019b). NREs ranging from 41% to 99.9% were reported in the reviewed articles in DEAMOX systems and NRR was only reported from a single study (Table 5). The factors influencing performance of this process are discussed in “Discussion” together with the factors affecting the other processes.

### Biological degradation of cyanide

Some wastewater streams contain cyanide in high concentrations (Table 2). Its removal through biological degradation is favored to physico-chemical processes since this process does not generate harmful chemicals (Akcil et al., 2003; Barakat et al., 2004). Indeed, some bacterial species have been reported to be able to degrade complexed and free cyanide as well as thiocyanate (SCN⁻) to ammonia (NH₃) and carbonate (Equations 5 and 6). *Pseudomonas spp.* and *Burkholderia cepacia* are some of the identified microorganisms with this capability (Akcil et al., 2003; Gurbuz et al., 2009).

\[
2\text{CN}^- + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{HCO}_3^- + 2\text{NH}_3 \tag{5}
\]

\[
\text{SCN}^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \frac{5}{2} \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{NH}_3 + \text{HCO}_3^- \tag{6}
\]

Some fungi including *Fusarium solani* can also degrade cyanide in a two-step process under alkaline conditions (Dumestre et al., 1997; Equations 7 and 8). However, the degradation rate of cyanide is reportedly slow (ca. 1 mmol/h-mg dry cells), possibly due to slow hydrolysis of cyanide at pH > 9. *Cyanide hydratase* and *Rhodanese enzymes* isolated from *Trichoderma spp.* can catabolize cyanide leading to formation of HCONH₂ and SCN⁻, respectively (Ezzi and Lynch, 2002). On the other hand, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Pseudomonas putida* can aerobically oxidize cyanide to CO₂ and NH₃ using *cyanide oxidase* enzyme (Suh et al., 1994; Chapatwala et al., 1998).

\[
\text{HCN} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HCONH}_2 \tag{7}
\]

\[
\text{HCONH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HCOOH} + \text{NH}_3 \tag{8}
\]

Plants also possess enzymes for cyanide detoxification (*betacyanoalanine synthase*) which can convert cyanide to non-toxic asparagine (C₆H₁₁N₂O₅; Trapp et al., 2003). However, there exists threshold concentrations beyond which cyanide is toxic to plants. For instance, willows can only survive for a few days at 20 mg-CN/L in a hydroponic system, and at 50 mg-CN/L in a sand irrigated system. On the contrary, algal species *Scenedesmus obliquus* have been reported to degrade cyanide concentrations as high as 400 mg/L even without prior adaptation, while *Chlorella* sp. were reported to be able to degrade ca. 86% of 100 mg/L solution in a 25-h period (Gurbuz et al., 2004). Aggregation of *Scenedesmus obliquus* cells was observed at 400 mg/L cyanide concentration, possibly as a means of minimizing the impact of cyanide on the cells. However, the mechanisms of algal degradation of cyanide still needs further investigations for better understanding.

Enzymatic degradation of cyanide to NH₄⁺ and formate (HCOO⁻) in a single step has also been demonstrated (Equation 9; Basheer et al., 1992). These enzymes are developed from particular fungi and bacteria such as *Fusarium lateritium*, *Bacillus pumilus C1* and *Alcaligenes denitrificans*, respectively (Ingvorsen et al., 1991; Basheer et al., 1992; Meyers et al., 1993). Ingvorsen et al. (1991) reported near complete removal of cyanide by *Alcaligenes xylosoxidans subsp. denitrificans* in a medium...
TABLE 6 Biodegradation of cyanide by fungi, bacteria and enzyme-based catalysts.

| Microorganism/Enzyme | CN⁻ conc. (mg/L) | Temperature (°C) | pH | Cyanide removal | References |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|----|-----------------|------------|
| 1 Bacillus pumilus Cl |                  | 37               | 7.8-8₀ |                  | Meyers et al. (1993) |
| 2 Trichoderma spp.   | 40               | 25               | 8  |                  | Erzi and Lynch (2002) |
| 3 Arthrospera maxima | 50–100           | N/A              | N/A⁴ | inhibited         | Gurbuz et al. (2004) |
| 4 Chlorella sp.      | N/A              | N/A              | 86%|                  |            |
| 5 Scenedesmus obliquus|                  | 30               | 10 | 99%             |            |
| 6 Pseudomonas putida | 100              | 25°              | 7.5| 20%–94%         | Chaputwal et al. (1998) |
| 7 Klebsiella oxytoca | 26–156           | 30               | 7  | 12–91           | Chen et al. (2008) |

Optimal.

Not applicable (not investigated).

Chen et al. (2008) reported that immobilized cells of Klebsiella oxytoca could tolerate wider range of pH compared to suspended cells. In addition, immobilized cells were found to be less affected at higher CN⁻ concentrations (≥3 mM) compared to suspended cells. Indeed, the removal rates by suspended cells decreased from 49 to 12% when KCN concentration increased from 3 to 6 mM, while that of the cells immobilized in alginate and cellulose triacetate decreased from 59 to 20% and 60 to 26%, respectively (Chen et al., 2008). It is possible that the morphological changes and adsorption in the biofilms softened the impact of high CN⁻ concentrations on immobilized cells. Similar findings were reported by Babu et al. (1992) in an experimental setup containing 400 mg/L NaCN and a culture of Pseudomonas putida immobilized in calcium alginate beads. Overall, biological degradation of CN⁻ at large scale is feasible (Table 6). However, its degradation systems need to be combined with other NH₄⁺-oxidizing processes process such as PN/A, DEAMOX or nitrification-denitrification for complete nitrogen removal.

Bioelectrochemical processes

Coupling of biotic and abiotic processes for nitrogen removal has been previously investigated and documented (Nguyen et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2016; Sander et al., 2017). In most bioelectrochemical systems (BESs), anode and cathode chambers are separated by a proton exchange membrane, and the electrodes therein are connected by an electrical conductor (Nguyen et al., 2015). During operation, the electrons flowing from the anode are used to reduce oxidized nitrogen compounds such as NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ in the cathode (Sander et al., 2017). The electrons could be generated through biological activity in the anode such as oxidation of organic carbon material, or through the supply of electrical energy (Nguyen et al., 2015; Sander et al., 2017).

Nguyen et al. (2015) found that increasing the supplied voltage from 0.7 to 0.9 V led to an increase in NRE from approximately 18% to 43%, but beyond 0.9 V, there was no improvement in nitrogen removal. Similar findings were made by Clauwaert et al. (2007) in a MFC (microbial fuel cell) with biotic anode and cathode. A comparison of two BESs by Nguyen et al. (2015) in which one had a biotic anode and another one with abiotic anode found that the one with abiotic anode led to lower nitrogen removal (43%) compared to the one with biotic anode (75.4 ± 2.9%). The high NRE with biotic anode as reported by Nguyen et al. (2015) was achieved despite C/N ratio = 3 mg-COD/ mg-NO₃⁻-N), which is lower than for the conventional heterotrophic denitrification, highlighting the potential of this technology for treatment of low carbon (C/N ≤ 3) wastewater. Community analysis revealed that the bacterial consortia in biocathodes of BES with biotic anode differed from those with abiotic anode: species affiliated to Shiniella sp., Nitratireductor sp. and Dyella sp. were dominated in BES with biotic anode, while Aeromonas sp., Pseudomonas sp. and Curtobacterium sp. dominated in BES with biotic anode Nguyen et al. (2015). The observed variation in bacterial communities on biocathodes with the type of anode used still require further investigation to unravel the potential reasons behind these findings.

Transient accumulation of NO₂⁻ is normally observed in BES, possibly due to slower NO₂⁻-reduction compared to NO₃⁻ line with several previous reports (see “Denitrifying ammonium oxidation”; Park et al., 2006; Nguyen et al., 2015). In addition, accumulation of NH₄⁺ has also been reported in these systems, an indication that dissimilatory nitrate reduction (DNR) could be occurring in BESs (Nguyen et al., 2015). Most of the NRRs for BESs are low (<0.1 kg-N/m³-day), except for those reported by Sander et al. (2017), Feleke et al. (1998) and Isabel San-Martin et al. (2018) (Table 7). The systems reported by Sander et al. (2017) and Feleke et al. (1998) were up-flow columns, an indication that the motion of wastewater within the cells might have played a role in enhancing process kinetics, while nitrogen removal in the system reported by Isabel San-Martin et al. (2018) was
suggested to have been undertaken by AMX because of the nature of its design. According to Sander et al. (2017), wastewater recirculation could have enhanced the transport of ions and gases from the surface of electrodes leading to the exposure of the surfaces once again.

Conductivity of wastewater could also influence process performance (Sander et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2021). Since increased flow of wastewater could move the ions within the BESs, this could improve conductivity and lower its ohmic resistance (Sander et al., 2017). As reported by Sander et al. (2017), wastewater recirculation decreased the total resistance by approximately 13.6%, an indication that the transport of ions therein had influence.

Energy consumption in BES was approximated by Sander et al. (2017) to be 0.027 ± 0.001 kWh/m³, which translated to 12.7–72.5 kWh/kg-N, which is over 5 times higher than for conventional activated sludge process. A huge part of the energy consumption was reported in the gap between the electrodes (ca. 13.5%). Therefore, the gap between the electrodes could thus be reduced to a minimum in order to minimize energy losses. However, precautions should be taken when reducing the gap so that oxygen does not diffuse into the cathode region as this would affect the bacterial activities, and promote the growth of aerobic microorganisms (Sander et al., 2017).

Addition of electron donors to the cathode chambers of BESs were demonstrated by Jianping et al. (2022) to enhance nitrogen removal. In addition, it was also reported that the system could generate an average output voltage of 450 mV lasting about 35 h. This thus indicates that BESs could also be utilized in power generation as a way of recovering the resources, and drive the wastewater treatment toward energy autarky.

### Autotrophic denitrification

Electron donors such as S, H₂, sulfide (S²⁻), SCN⁻, thiosulfate (S₂O₃²⁻), sulfite (SO₃²⁻), metallic elements and ions can be used by autotrophic denitrifiers (Cardoso et al., 2006; Di Capua et al., 2019; Statiris et al., 2021). The biomass yield of autotrophic denitrifiers is generally lower than for heterotrophic denitrifiers (Cui et al., 2019), which is advantageous because of less work (cost) in sludge handling. However, metallic elements and ions as well as S and its compounds generate harmful chemicals (Di Capua et al., 2019).

S²⁻ can exist in water as molecular hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) or ionic hydrosulfide (HS⁻)/sulfide (S²⁻) depending on the prevailing conditions (Wiemann et al., 1998; Di Capua et al., 2019). S²⁻ presents serious environmental challenges because of its toxicity, corrosiveness and odor (Di Capua et al., 2019). In addition, S²⁻ is inhibitory to many microorganisms at elevated concentrations including to its consumers (autotrophic denitrifiers; Cardoso et al., 2006).

Stoichiometric reactions for autotrophic denitrification with complete and partial S²⁻ oxidation from NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ are presented in Equations 10 and 11 (Moraes et al., 2012). The extent of S²⁻ reduction is reportedly influenced by its concentration, with excess concentration leading to production of S according to Equation 17 (Cardoso et al., 2006). Although in some reports, S²⁻ oxidation to sulfate (SO₄²⁻) has been suggested to be a two-step process in which S²⁻ is first oxidized to S, followed by S oxidation to SO₄²⁻ (Cardoso et al., 2006), in others, it has been suggested that some bacteria oxidize S²⁻ to SO₄²⁻ in a single step without S generation (Cui et al., 2019). According to Moraes et al. (2012),

### Table 7 Performance of bioelectrochemical processes.

| Process description | Influent N concentrations (mg-N/L) | NRE (%) | NRR (kg-N/m²-day) | Remarks | References |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|------------|
| 1 BES (Abiotic anode—biocathode) | 4.4–6.8 | 54–100 | 0.018–0.121 | 21 up-flow column with recirculation units | Sander et al. (2017) |
| 1 Abiotic anode—biocathode | 50 | 18–43 | 0.00863–0.00156 | Applied voltage: 0.7–1.1 V; 25°C | Nguyen et al. (2015) |
| 2 Biotic anode—biocathode | 50 | 75.4 ± 2.9 | 0.0024 ± 0.00035 | Cell voltage variation between 0.1 V and 1 V; 25°C; C/N ratio = 3; 150 mg-COD/L CH₂COONa | Sander et al., 2017 |
| 3 Microbial fuel cell (MFC): biotic anode and cathode | 2.615 | - | 0.08 | 1 g/L CH₂COONa in the anodic section and KNO₃ in cathodic section | Clarwaert et al. (2007) |
| 4 Microbial electrolysis cell (biotic anode and cathode) | 30.5 | 90–95* | 0.01 | Applied voltages were 0.4 V, 0.6 V and 0.8 V | Liang et al. (2021) |
| 5 Electrochemical denitrification cell (biotic anode and cathode) | 30.5 | 57–62* | - | Abiotic conditions were applied | |
| 6 H₂ | 20 | 0–100 | 0.12c | Current supplied: ~2 to 10 mA | Feleke et al. (1998) |
| 7 Biotic anode and cathode with S²⁻ addition in cathode chamber | 100 | 100 | - | 400 ml system | Jianping et al. (2022) |
| 8 Microbial electrolysis cell | 30–1,400b | 70 | 0.98b | 1501 MFC; 1 V between anode and anode | Isabel San-Martín et al. (2018) |

*For both Ti mesh and stainless steel mesh cathodes. 
bEstimated from Figure 2 in the source file. 
C*Estimated from Figure 4 in the source file.
the two-step process is the preferred pathway for autotrophic S-utilizing denitrifiers, possibly because of lower electron requirements compared to its direct oxidation from $S^{2−}$ to $SO_3^{2−}$.

The formation of $S$ as an intermediate product could lead to formation of white/yellowish color which subsides when $S$ is subsequently consumed. In addition, intermediate production and subsequent consumption of $S$ lead to fluctuation in alkalinity since $S$ generation from $S^{2−}$ lead to alkalinity production (Equation 17), while $S$ oxidation to $SO_3^{2−}$ lead to alkalinity removal (Equation 12) (Doğan et al., 2012; Moraes et al., 2012; Di Capua et al., 2019). In comparison, $NO_3^{−}$ is reportedly consumed at a higher rate compared to $NO_2^{−}$, possibly because of its higher reactivity (Moraes et al., 2012).

\[
\text{HS}^{−} + 1.23\text{NO}_3^{−} + 0.43\text{HCO}_3^{−} + 0.027\text{CO}_2^{−} + 0.093\text{NH}_4^{+} + 0.573\text{H}^{+} \rightarrow 0.093\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2\text{N} + \text{SO}_4^{2−} + 0.614\text{N}_2 + 0.866\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{10}
\]

\[
\text{S}^{2−} + 2.26\text{NO}_2^{−} + 0.06\text{HCO}_3^{−} + 0.24\text{CO}_2^{−} + 0.06\text{NH}_4^{+} + 2.26\text{H}^{+} \rightarrow 0.06\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2\text{N} + \text{SO}_4^{2−} + 1.13\text{N}_2 + 1.07\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{11}
\]

\[
1.10\text{S} + \text{NO}_3^{−} + 0.76\text{H}_2\text{O} + 0.4\text{CO}_2 + 0.08\text{NH}_4^{+} \rightarrow 0.08\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2\text{N} + 0.5\text{N}_2 + 1.1\text{SO}_4^{2−} + 1.28\text{H}^{+} \tag{12}
\]

Among the S compounds, $S_2O_3^{2−}$ is consumed at a higher rate compared to $S$ and $S^{2−}$, possibly because it is readily available and non-toxic compared to $S^{2−}$ which is toxic, and $S$ which cannot be readily accessed by microorganisms because of mass transfer-related challenges (Cardoso et al., 2006). Notwithstanding the findings, $S$ and $S^{2−}$ have been successfully utilized as electron donors in denitrifying systems (Table 8). Indeed, pilot- and full-scale S-based autotrophic removal of $NO_3^{−}$ in wastewater was reported by Sahinkaya et al. (2014) in column bioreactors, as well as by Woo et al. (2022) in a A/O pilot-scale system. It is noteworthy that $NO_3^{−}$ reduction to $N_2$ gas is a multi-step process that involves its reduction to $NO_2^{−}$, followed by further $NO_2^{−}$ reduction to $N_2$ (Chung et al., 2014). In those steps, counter changes in the pH would occur, as $NO_3^{−}$ reduction would consume alkalinity, while $NO_2^{−}$ reduction consumes acidity (Chung et al., 2014 [Equations 13 and 14]). However, despite the counter changes, Chung et al. (2014) found that starting at a pH of approximately 8 in a batch experiment, the final pH was 7.8, an indication that not all the alkalinity was recovered in the final step. In addition, process operation at pH of 8 was reported to be optimal by Chung et al. (2014), in agreement with Pan et al. (2018).

\[
\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2−} + 3.1\text{NO}_3^{−} + 0.73\text{H}_2\text{O} + 0.45\text{HCO}_3^{−} + 0.09\text{NH}_4^{+} \rightarrow 0.09\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2\text{N} + 3.1\text{NO}_2^{−} + 2\text{SO}_4^{2−} + 1.64\text{H}^{+} \tag{13}
\]

\[
\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2−} + 2.07\text{NO}_2^{−} + 0.43\text{H}^{+} + 0.45\text{HCO}_3^{−} + 0.09\text{NH}_4^{+} \rightarrow 0.09\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2\text{N} + 2\text{SO}_4^{2−} + 1.03\text{N}_2 + 0.3\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{14}
\]

SCN$^{−}$, which is present in considerable concentrations in gold mining and coking wastewaters, can be utilized as an electron donor in denitrifying systems (Equation 15; Pan et al., 2018). However, SCN$^{−}$ leads to NH$_4^+$ production during its oxidation (Equation 15), which would require further nitritation ("Nitritation-denitrification"). Pan et al. (2018) suggested that

| Electron donor | Influent N concentrations (mg-N/L) | NRE (%) | NRR (kg-N/m$^2$-day) | Remarks | References |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|------------|
| $S^{2−}$      | 20                                | 25−98   | -                     | NO$_3^{−}$—fed, N/S = 0.98±1.7 | Moraes et al. (2012) |
| $S$           | 20                                | 95−100  | 0.09                  | NO$_3^{−}$—fed, N/S = 1.5±2.8 |           |
| $S_2O_3^{2−}$ | 56                                | -       | 0.47                  | 415 mgSO$_4^{2−}$ produced | Cardoso et al. (2006) |
| $S^{2−}$      | -                                 | -       | 0.10                  | 87 mgSO$_4^{2−}$ produced |           |
| $S$           | -                                 | -       | 0.050                 | 16 mgSO$_4^{2−}$ produced |           |
| $S$           | 30−60                             | 30−100$^a$ | 0.30               | Sulphur/limestone (v/v) = 1−3 | Sahinkaya et al. (2014) |
| $S$           | 25 ± 3                            | 100     | 0.15                  | Sulphur/limestone (v/v) = 1 | Mansell and Schroeder (2002) |
| $H_2$         | 20−40                             | 92−96   | 2.7−5.3$^a$          | Microporous membrane bioreactor |           |
| $S$           | 29−31                             | 79−98   | 0.05−0.36            | 10 L sulfoxidizing MBBR | Cui et al. (2019) |
| FeS$_2$       | 27                                | 57      | 0.080$^a$            | 25−50μm and 50−100μm pyrite particles | Torrenté et al. (2010) |
| $S_2O_3^{2−}$ | 60−80                             | -       | 0.058$^b$            | $S_2O_3^{2−}$—driven denitrification | Qian et al. (2016) |
| $H_2$         | 25−40                             | 97      | 1.9−5.8              | 16.81 pressurized reactor | Kesar et al. (2021) |

$^a$Approximated from Figure 4a in the source file.

$^b$Estimated from Figure 4 in the source file.

$^c$g NO$_3^{−}$—N/m$^2$-day

$^d$μ NO$_3^{−}$—N/kg-pyrite-day

$^e$Estimated from Figure 3c in the source file.
SCN⁻ oxidation takes place in three steps leading to the formation of S as an intermediate product, which is subsequently removed in the final step (Equations 16–18).

\[
\text{SCN}^- + 2\text{NO}_3^- + 1.9\text{H}^+ + 1.2\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\rightarrow 0.10\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{N} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{N}_2 + 0.5\text{CO}_2 + 0.90\text{NH}_4^+ \tag{15}
\]

\[
5\text{SCN}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{S}^{2+} + \text{CO}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \tag{16}
\]

\[
\text{NO}_2^- + 1.5\text{S}^{2+} + 4\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 1.5\text{S} + 0.5\text{N}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{17}
\]

\[
2\text{NO}_2^- + \text{S} \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{N}_2 \tag{18}
\]

Some of the known sulfoxidizing denitrifiers include *Thiobacillus denitrificans, Paracoccus pantotropha, Paracoccus denitrificans, Paracoccus versutus, Thioploca* spp. and *Beggioa* spp. (Cardoso et al., 2006). Other reported sulfoxidisers include *Sulfurimonas denitrificans, Thiobacillus denitrificans* and *Thiobalobacter denitrificans* (Liang et al., 2021). Although some of these denitrifiers are strict chemolithoautotrophic, others are facultative. These denitrifiers are distributed across α-, β-, ε- and γ-Proteobacteria (Di Capua et al., 2019).

Feasibility of hydrogen-based autotrophic denitrification has also been demonstrated (Feleke et al., 1998; Mansell and Schroeder, 2002; Lee et al., 2010; Liang et al., 2021). In this process, denitrifiers use H₂ as electron donor according to Equation 19 to reduce NO₃⁻ to N₂. H₂, in turn, could be generated from purely chemical processes such as electrochemistry (“Biological degradation of cyanide”), or from biological sources (Liang et al., 2021).

\[
0.33\text{NO}_3^- + \text{H}_2 + 0.08\text{CO}_2 + 0.34\text{H}^+ \\
\rightarrow 0.015\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{NO}_2^- + 1.11\text{H}_2\text{O} + 0.16\text{N}_2 \tag{19}
\]

In a system supplied with H₂ and fed with 22.5 mg NO₃⁻/N/L, Szekeres et al. (2002) found that *Ochrobactrum anthropi, Pseudomonas stutzeri, Paracoccus pantotrophus* and *Paracoccus denitrificans* were dominant, an indication that these bacteria were responsible for hydrogenotrophic denitrification. Other previously reported hydrogenotrophic denitrifiers include *Azospirillum brasilense, Rhizobium japonicum, Hydrogenophaga flava, Hydrogenophaga pseudoflava, Hydrogenophaga taeniospiralis* and *Ralstonia eutropha* (Mansell and Schroeder, 2002). Liang et al. (2021) also reported the dominance of Rhodocyclaceae, *Paracoccus* spp. and *Dethiobacter* spp. in a bioelectrochemical system in which the cathode chamber received H₂ from the anode chamber.

Torrontó et al. (2010) demonstrated the reduction of NO₃⁻ using pyrite (FeS₂) as an electron donor in batch and flow-through experiments conducted using *Thiobacillus denitrificans*-dominated cultures. Although complete NO₃⁻ removal was observed, low NRRs were reported (<0.080 g NO₃⁻/N/kg-pyrite-day). In addition, it was reported that process kinetics were influenced by grain sizes of pyrite with complete nitrogen removal in experiments with 25–50 μm particles being observed after 14 days, while longer (>14 days) periods were needed in experiments with 50–100 μm particles, probably due to mass transfer challenges. Despite the low NRRs, pyrite-driven process produces less SO₄²⁻ compared to S-driven denitrification. Both iron (II) ions (Fe²⁺) and S anions donate electrons for NO₃⁻ reduction to N₂ and/or NO₂⁻ according to Equations 20–22 (Torrontó et al., 2010):

\[
5\text{FeS}_2 + 14\text{NO}_3^- + 4\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 5\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 7\text{N}_2 + 10\text{SO}_4^{2-} \tag{20}
\]

\[
10\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{NO}_3^- + 12\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 10\text{Fe}^{3+} + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{N}_2 \tag{21}
\]

\[
2\text{FeS}_2 + 15\text{NO}_3^- + 7\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 15\text{NO}_2^- + 4\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 8\text{H}^+ \tag{22}
\]

Nitrogen removal via NO₃⁻ as opposed to NO₂⁻ could be implemented as a way of minimizing electron donor demand as denitrification requires only 2.5 S/N (sulfur to nitrogen ratio), while denitratation requires approximately 5.1 S/N (Chung et al., 2014). Therefore, nitrogen removal via NO₃⁻ would provide approximately 50% savings on electron donors. Approximately 40% savings on hydrogen would also be expected on removing nitrogen via NO₂⁻ as opposed to NO₃⁻ (Rezania et al., 2005).

The reported NRRs in autotrophic denitrification systems driven by S and its compounds range between 0.009–0.47 kg-N/m²-day (Table 8). These rates are small and it would be challenging to apply in high-strength systems that require higher denitrification rates in order to avoid handling challenges, particularly in relation to the equipment. Therefore, further improvements could be made in order to emerge as a robust process that can treat high-strength wastewaters. On the contrary, hydrogenotrophic denitrification conducted in pressurized vessels have been reported to have high NRRs (>1 kg-N/m²-day; Table 8). These rates are comparable to those of PN/A and nitritation-denitrification (Tables 3–5), an indication that this technology can be utilized at full-scale level provided that challenges with H₂ handling can be overcome.

**Discussion**

Several low carbon nitrogen removal processes have been developed and applied at pilot- and full-scale level (Supplementary Table S1 supplementary information). However,
each of these processes is restricted by a number of factors influencing the NREs and NRRs. In addition, efficient generation and utilization of electron donors and acceptors by different microorganisms is always a challenge, as regulatory measures need to be put in place to limit the activities of competing microorganisms that would otherwise present competition. Furthermore, operating conditions such as pH/alkalinity, temperature, DO, ionic strength, etc. impact on the performance of the BNR systems and would require regulation.

Nitration-denitrification requires theoretical C/N ratios of 1.71 g-COD/g-N, which is higher than that of DEAMOX that requires 1.14 g-COD/g-N. Since DEAMOX requires only about half of influent NH$_4^+$ to be oxidized to NO$_2^-$ with subsequent reduction to NO$_3^-$ through PD, the actual demand for oxygen in this process is much less than that of partial nitration-denitrification. Based on these facts, DEAMOX would thus be cheaper than nitration-denitrification. However, comparisons of the actual COD requirements in the two processes in different studies have revealed that there has been comparable COD consumption in both processes (Tables 3 and 5). This highlights the inefficiencies in COD consumption, with poorer utilization in DEAMOX systems compared to nitration-denitrification systems. It is possible that the COD is lost through oxidation by aerobic heterotrophic bacteria when the systems are aerated. Therefore, proper process control units need to be put in place to enhance COD utilization in these systems. This could include sequencing of operations to allow dosing of COD during anaerobic periods in order to limit losses through aerobic oxidation. It could also be possible to have a multi-stage system that could allow COD dosing in anaerobic/anoxic tanks only, and aeration in the respective tanks as was demonstrated by Le et al. (2019b). Despite the limitations, nitration-denitrification has been applied at pilot- and full-scale systems (Supplementary Table S1) since the process kinetics are favorable (>0.5 g-N/m$^3$-day; Table 3).

Bioelectrochemical processes (in BESs) are complex in nature and would require personnel with technical skills to operate. The reported NRRs in most of the studies presented in Table 7 are low (<0.12 kg-N/m$^3$-day), except those reported by Isabel San-Martín et al. (2018) in a 1501 pilot-scale system in which AMX was suggested to have co-existed with other bacteria. Therefore, further discussion of the findings from this study within the framework of BESs will not be made as it would lead to misrepresentation.

Performance of BESs are limited by low water conductivities, which increase internal resistance, in turn, leading to limitation in the rates of denitrification, and an increase in energy losses (Sander et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2021). The operation of bioelectrochemical systems thus require electron donor and acceptor sites to be in close proximities in order to reduce energy wastage (Sander et al., 2017), which limit their application in full-scale systems (Liang et al., 2021). In addition, the overall construction of the bioelectrochemical cells is complex as it requires the integration of electrochemistry with biological activities. Furthermore, NH$_4^+$ generation (possibly through dissimilatory NO$_3^-$ reduction) reduce the NRE of bioelectrochemical processes. Further research could thus provide solutions to the challenges still limiting BES to pilot-scale and laboratory-scale applications in its current state of development (Supplementary Table S1).

BESs could be advantageous for hydrogenotrophic denitrification since H$_2$ is generated in situ which enhances its delivery to denitrifiers (Sander et al., 2017). Alternatively, microorganisms might utilize electrons direction without the need for H$_2$ generation, which could improve process efficiency and kinetics. Generation and utilization of H$_2$ at the cathode could also improve efficiency of utilization by the microorganisms, unlike in systems where H$_2$ is supplied from external sources as its poor solubility in wastewater could lead to low utilization efficiencies (Sander et al., 2017).

Autotrophic denitrification appears to have higher NRRs compared to bioelectrochemical processes (Tables 7 and 8). However, the NRRs for S-based processes are still low (<0.47 kg-N/m$^3$-day), and its application would require huge reactors in large municipal systems. This notwithstanding, full-scale autotrophic denitrification driven by S and its compounds have been developed (Sahinkaya et al., 2014; Supplementary Table S1). Moreover, S compounds are present in different forms in anaerobic wastewater treatment systems as well as in many other streams including tannery wastewater (Wiemann et al., 1998), and its utilization for nitrogen removal is thus necessary as a cost-saving measure instead of sourcing external carbon supply. For instance, Wiemann et al. (1998) reported S$^{2-}$, organic S and NH$_4^+$ concentrations of 360 mg/L, 270 mg/L and 385 mg-N/L in tannery wastewater, respectively, meaning that complete removal of nitrogen would require approximately 1101.1 mg-COD/L (based on 2.86*385) that could be obtained from the S$^{2-}$ and organic S (~1,125 mg-COD/L), assuming efficient utilization. Therefore, autotrophic denitrification driven by elemental S and its compounds present viable alternative to the currently applied heterotrophic denitrification and anammox-mediated processes, and could be further optimized for a widespread application. However, generation of SO$_4^{2-}$ in these processes could limit their widespread application as they have inhibitory effects on several biological processes including desulfoxidization (Chung et al., 2014). S$^{2-}$ has been associated with bad odor and corrosiveness (Di Capua et al., 2019), and this could influence its selection as an electron donor in denitrification systems. However, since S$^{2-}$ is present in biogas scrubbing columns (Vu et al., 2022), denitrifying systems could be engineered to utilize the electron donors therein as a cost-cutting measure.

Hydrogenotrophic denitrification was demonstrated by Keisar et al. (2021) and Mansell and Schroeder (2002) in membrane and pressurized bioreactors, respectively, to have high NRRs (1.9–5.8 kg-N/m$^3$-day). The reported NRRs are comparable to those previously reported for anammox-mediated processes and nitration-denitrification (Tables 3–5). However, pressurization of vessels and membrane lumens consume extra electrical energy besides that which is
consumed in the operation of pumps and other devices, and could increase the cost of treatment process to unsustainably high levels. Handling H\(_2\) requires care, and its usage could thus be further limited by the challenges faced in its handling. Nonetheless, despite these challenges, hydrogenotrophic denitrification completely remove nitrogen unlike PN/A which generate about 11% NO\(_3^-\) per NH\(_4^+\) consumed. Compared to denitrification driven by metallic ions, elemental S and its compounds, hydrogenotrophic denitrification does not generate any environmentally harmful chemicals.

Different microorganisms and plants have been shown to possess varying capacities for CN\(^-\) removal ("Biological degradation of cyanide"). Some specific enzymes, in particular, have been demonstrated to degrade CN\(^-\) and SCN\(^-\), leading to NH\(_3\) generation. However, the NH\(_3\) generated in the degradation would require removal in downstream systems in order to avert its negative impact on the environment. Therefore, coupling CN\(^-\) degradation with NH\(_4^+\) removal processes such as nitritation-denitritation and ANAMMOX-mediated processes would be imperative for successful nitrogen removal. Alternatively, SCN\(^-\)-containing wastewater could be treated with NO\(_3^-\)-containing wastewater so as to allow autotrophic denitrifiers to utilize SCN\(^-\) as electron donor in the reduction of nitrate ("Autotrophic denitrification"). This approach would eliminate the need for separate treatment of SCN\(^-\) and NO\(_3^-\) in the respective streams. On the other hand, the growth of fungi in wastewater treatment systems is limited, and their impact on nitrogen removal might be insignificant. Similarly, the nitrogen removal kinetics of plants and microalgae might be lacking behind those of bacteria, and their use might need further analyses.

Inhibition of bacterial activities by CN\(^-\) at concentrations 0.2–1.0 mg/L has been reported in several studies, and its fate in wastewater treatment systems has been adequately addressed (Wild et al., 1994; Dash et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2011; Kapoor et al., 2016). The report by Landkamer et al. (2015) demonstrated co-removal of CN\(^-\), NO\(_3^-\) and NH\(_4^+\), in a system containing mixed bacterial culture collected from heap leach sediment and water. From the study, it was suggested that CN\(^-\) was hydrolysed according to Equation 8 by native bacteria that were present in the heap leach sediments and water. Formate produced in such a reaction would have likely been used as electron donor in partial reduction of NO\(_3^-\) to NO\(_2^-\). The NO\(_2^-\), in turn, would have then been used as electron acceptor in the anammox process, leading to NH\(_4^+\) oxidation to nitrogen gas. The growth of AMX in an environment containing ca. 15 mg/L CN\(^-\) as suggested by Landkamer et al. (2015) contradicts the findings of Huang and Hogsett (2011) who found that anammox specific activities dropped by 50% at CN\(^-\) concentrations of just 0.05 mg/L during the first 2 days of incubation, although 80% of the performance was recovered thereafter. This suggests that AMX present in the culture used by Landkamer et al. (2015) could have adapted to the presence of high (15 mg/L) CN\(^-\) concentrations prior to the study as the culture was obtained from an environment containing high CN\(^-\) concentrations. Adaptation of AOB to high (≥ 10 mg/L) CN\(^-\) concentrations has also been demonstrated previously (Do et al., 2008). However, the findings by Do et al. (2008) contradicts Kapoor et al. (2016) who concluded that concentrations higher than 0.2 mg/L could inhibit AOB activities. In another study, Kim et al. (2011) reported that denitrifying bacteria could tolerate CN\(^-\) concentrations >40 mg/L, and that NOB are more sensitive to CN\(^-\) than AOB, which indicate that the impact of CN\(^-\) on different microorganisms could be different, and the inhibitory concentrations could vary with cultures. Further studies using advanced molecular techniques would thus be desirable in order to get a better understanding of the response by different microbial consortia to CN\(^-\). Nitrogen labeling could also assist in understanding the nitrogen transformation pathways in biological systems. Similarly, inhibition by SCN\(^-\) could also be further explored as varying concentrations have been reported for different cultures (Kim et al., 2011; Oshiki et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2020).

Low-cost and high efficiencies associated with PN/A has led to increasing interest in the technology (Lackner et al., 2014a). The benefits stem from reduced requirements for oxygen, sludge production, and elimination of COD requirements. With this process, it is possible to remove >80% of influent nitrogen (Table 4). However, since about 11% of influent NH\(_4^+\) is converted to NO\(_3^-\) in PN/A process, coupling this process with NO\(_3^-\)-reducing processes such as denitrification could still be necessary for complete nitrogen removal as demonstrated by Xie et al. (2017). In such a case, the COD requirements would be ca. 0.3 g-COD/g-NH\(_4^+\)-N. In municipal systems, however, the PN/A effluent can be pumped to mainstream systems that contain high levels of COD, eliminating the need for external carbon (Abma et al., 2010; Wett et al., 2015). Compared to denitrification driven by metallic ions and compounds of S, PN/A does not generate any harmful chemicals. Moreover, in many full-scale systems, PN/A process has been applied to treat mainly landfill leachate and sidestream wastewaters with satisfactory performance without the need for complicated process configurations similar to that of hydrogenotrophic denitrification that require pressurized vessels. Wastewater treatment without external carbon supply minimizes operational costs and simplifies process configuration. Lower sludge production in PN/A process compared to nitritation-denitritation because PN/A process is carried out by autotrophic bacteria could further reduce the operational costs of the process.

The challenges affecting widespread application of PN/A include the sensitivity of the AMX to operating and environmental conditions, as well as the slow growth rates (doubling time: 2.1–11 days) (Van Der Star et al., 2007; Fernández et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2017). As a result, other bacteria such as NOB and
NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}-reducing heterotrophic bacteria could easily out-compete AMX if proper control strategies are not installed. Regulation of C/N ratios is normally applied in order to control the growth of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}-reducing heterotrophic bacteria, while maintenance of low DO concentration, intermittent aeration, SRT/HRT control, FA and FNA inhibition is applied to control NOB growth in PNA systems (see “Nitritation-denitritation”). However, control of Nitrospira-affiliated NOB is challenging because they can grow under limited substrate and DO conditions such as in the biofilms (Constantine et al., 2016; Park et al., 2017b). According to Wett et al. (2013), NOB have longer enzymatic lag-phase compared to NOB. Therefore, application of intermittent aeration in PN/A systems could limit their growth as was demonstrated by Regmi et al. (2014). FA and FNA inhibition of NOB could also be exploited in PN/A systems compared to their higher sensitivity compared to AOB and AMX (see “Nitritation-denitritation”) (Anthonisen et al., 1976; Dapena-Mora et al., 2007; Fernández et al., 2012; Jaroszynski et al., 2012). Based on the data presented by Lackner et al. (2014a) and Bowden et al. (2015), PN/A has received wide acceptance as an alternative to nitrification/denitrification.

The application of DEAMOX under mainstream conditions has been demonstrated previously (Table 5). However, its application under sidestream conditions might not be attractive, as COD would have to be supplied from external sources. Therefore, in this regard, PN/A would be preferable to DEAMOX in sidestream processes. Compared to nitritation-denitritation, the COD requirements in DEAMOX is less since only approximately half of NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} would need to be oxidized to NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} before its PD to NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-}. The oxidation of only approximately half of NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} would also translate to about half of aeration costs in DEAMOX compared to nitritation-denitritation. The theoretical COD requirements for DEAMOX is approximately 0.57 g-COD/g-NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}-N (assuming half of NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} is nitrified), which is lower than for nitration-denitritation (ca. 1.71 g-COD/g-NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}-N). Despite the huge potential of this technology, its highest level of application is at pilot-scale level (Le et al. 2019a,b; Supplementary Table S1).

## Recommendations for future studies

The following recommendations are made for future studies:

1. Investigate the factors triggering DNR in BES
2. Study and develop processes for improving \( H_2 \) utilization and solubility in hydrogenotrophic denitrification systems
3. Investigate the methods for enhancing conductivity in BESs in order to minimize energy losses and enhance process performance
4. Couple autotrophic denitrification with heterotrophic denitrification as a way of minimizing generation of harmful chemicals
5. Optimize nitritation-denitritation and DEAMOX to enhance COD consumption efficiency

## Conclusion

Several low-carbon (C/N \( \leq 3 \)) nitrogen removal processes have been developed, including nitritation-denitritation, anammox-mediated processes, bioelectrochemical processes and autotrophic denitrification. Bioelectrochemical processes are limited by low conductivities of wastewater and are characterized by low NRRs, which limit their application in large-scale systems. There is inefficient consumption of COD in DEAMOX and nitritation-denitritation processes. Autotrophic denitrification driven by \( S \) and its compounds has moderate NRRs (<0.47 kg-N/m\( ^3 \)-day) and thus requires further improvements in order to emerge as a viable alternative to anammox-mediated processes and nitritation-denitritation. Incorporation of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}-removal processes such as DEAMOX and autotrophic denitrification in PN/A systems could lead to complete nitrogen removal. It is necessary to couple enzymatic degradation of CN\textsuperscript{-} and SCN\textsuperscript{-} with NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}-oxidation processes such as PN/A and nitritation-denitritation for a successful nitrogen removal. \( H_2 \)-deriven autotrophic denitrification has high NRRs (>1 kg-N/m\( ^3 \)-day) and could substitute anammox-mediated processes and nitritation-denitritation. However, its application requires incorporation of pressurized vessels in process lines. Autotrophic denitrification driven by metallic ions as well as \( S \) and its compounds generate harmful chemicals which necessitate downstream removal to avert negative impact on the environment. Overall, anammox-mediated nitrogen removal processes present the best alternatives to nitrification/denitrification in terms of COD demand, simplicity and process performance.
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