Diversity of woody-host infecting *Phytophthora* species in public parks and botanic gardens as revealed by metabarcoding, and opportunities for mitigation through best practice
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Abstract

The diversity of *Phytophthora* species in soils collected from 14 highly disturbed sites in northern Britain, including botanic gardens, arboreta, public parks and other amenity woodland sites, was analysed using a molecular technique known as DNA metabarcoding. This technique enables the identification of multiple species present in a single environmental sample based on a DNA ‘barcode’ unique to each species. The genus *Phytophthora* was targeted in this study due to its increasing impact on Britain’s forests and woodlands over the last 20 years. The introduction and spread of new *Phytophthora* species into Britain has been strongly associated with the movement of traded containerised plants, with a number of *Phytophthora* outbreaks reported on host trees located in public gardens and parks that had
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recently undergone planting or landscape regeneration schemes. This study was undertaken to assess the extent to which these highly disturbed sites with extensive planting regimes act as harbours for woody-host infecting *Phytophthora* species. A total of 23 *Phytophthora* species, the majority of which are known to be pathogens of woody hosts, were detected across the 14 sites sampled. These included four quarantine-regulated pathogens and four species not previously recorded in Britain. Also detected were three as-yet undescribed *Phytophthora* species and nine oomycete sequences with no clear match to any known genus. There was no effect of geographical location, elevation, underlying soil type, host family or host health status on the *Phytophthora* assemblages at each site, suggesting that the *Phytophthora* communities detected are likely to comprise introduced species associated with planting programmes. *P. austrocedri* and *P. pseudosyringae* were two of the most abundant *Phytophthora* species detected, both of which cause serious damage to trees and are regarded as fairly recent introductions to Britain. The practical implications of the findings in terms of mitigating *Phytophthora* introduction, spread and impact at botanic gardens, arboreta and urban parks are discussed.

**Introduction**

Botanic gardens, public parks and arboreta are some of the most highly disturbed wooded sites globally due to their extensive and botanically diverse planting histories and ongoing design schemes. Many of these sites are located in urban areas, receive high visitor numbers and are the focus of international tourism, and so it is perhaps no coincidence that such sites are often the locations of the first documented outbreaks of invasive pests and pathogens of woody hosts (Paap et al., 2017). In Britain, for example, two *Phytophthora* pathogens (*Phytophthora austrocedri* and *P. lateralis*) were first discovered as a result of disease outbreaks on trees located at public parks in close proximity to recent planting schemes (Fig. 1). Other woody-host infecting invasive *Phytophthora* pathogens, including *P. ramorum*, *P. kernoviae* and *P. pseudosyringae*, have also caused damaging outbreaks in public gardens and arboreta in Britain.

These events are all part of a global pattern of increasing impacts of *Phytophthora*, partly due to the inadvertent introductions of species into new environments via the plant trade (Brasier, 2008; Jung et al., 2016). *Phytophthora* is a genus of oomycete plant pathogens which infect a broad range of herbaceous and woody hosts worldwide. Although morphologically fungus-like, *Phytophthora* species are more closely related to brown algae and produce free-swimming zoospores which are formed in spore sacs known as sporangia (Fig. 2a). *Phytophthora* species also produce thick-walled resting spores (Fig. 2b) that are able to survive in plant residues and soils for many years. Currently, approximately 180 species of *Phytophthora* have been described worldwide (Yang et al., 2017) although the true global diversity of this genus of plant pathogens is unknown. Their ability to survive unseen in soil and plant material transported through trade or other pathways means that future invasions of *Phytophthora* from other global sources are likely to occur.

Given the impact that *Phytophthora* pathogens are having in the wider environment in Britain and the numerous disease outbreaks at public gardens and arboreta over the last 20 years, we wanted to determine the extent to which such sites
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act as reservoirs of woody-host infecting *Phytophthora* species. To try to capture the highest diversity of *Phytophthora* species as possible we used a detection tool known as metabarcoding. This is a relatively new method which uses rapidly advancing sequencing technology to detect all species of a target grouping (here, the *Phytophthora* genus) present within an environmental sample, including species as yet undescribed (Mendoza et al., 2015). Total DNA is extracted from the sample, and a target region of the genome unique to individual species, known as a ‘barcode’, is amplified and sequenced.

![Fig. 1](image1) First reported UK outbreaks of (A) *Phytophthora austrocedri* on Nootka cypress and (B) *P. lateralis* on Lawson cypress; in both cases located in public parks and in close proximity to recent planting schemes. Photos: Crown Copyright, Forest Research.

![Fig. 2](image2) (A) *Phytophthora* spore sac known as a sporangium from which the free-swimming zoospores are released. (B) Thick-walled *Phytophthora* resting spore known as an oospore. Photos: Crown Copyright, Forest Research.
using high-throughput DNA sequencing. Thousands of DNA sequences can be generated from an individual sample, and these are identified by comparing them to a database containing verified sequences from known species. Barsoum et al. (2018) provide an overview of the metabarcoding method and its applications in forestry, including a useful glossary of terms. Previous studies carried out in Italy (Vannini et al., 2013), Spain (Català et al., 2015) and Australia (Burgess et al., 2017) have demonstrated the power of metabarcoding for the analysis of Phytophthora species diversity in soil. Here we review a recent study in which metabarcoding was used to analyse Phytophthora diversity in soils at 14 sites in northern Britain, namely botanic gardens, public parks and other woodland sites with extensive histories of plant importation and/or documented Phytophthora outbreaks (Riddell et al., 2019). The biological significance and management implications of the species findings are discussed and some practical recommendations for mitigating further Phytophthora impact at these sites are outlined, including the incorporation of a new UK-wide accreditation initiative into plant handing and procurement processes.

**Methods**

**Soil sampling and processing**

Fourteen sites in northern Britain were sampled between October 2014 and September 2015. These included eleven botanic gardens/public gardens/arboreta, two public cemeteries and one privately owned upland Juniperus communis (juniper) woodland. All except one of the sites had previously confirmed Phytophthora outbreaks and four sites (sites 2, 4, 5 and 14; Table 1) were located in urban areas. The geographical coordinates and approximate altitude were determined for each site, and for the ten sites not located in urban areas the underlying soil type was determined using the Soil Information for Scottish Soils (SIFSS) web interface. Soil samples were collected at each site from around ten trees/shrubs showing visible symptoms typical of Phytophthora infection, such as stem bleeding and/or foliage browning/bronzing, or from around stumps of trees/shrubs felled over the last three to four years due to Phytophthora disease. Outwardly healthy trees/shrubs that are known to be potential Phytophthora hosts were also sampled. The locations of sampling at each site therefore varied according to the above criteria, with sampling points distributed over as wide an area on each site as possible. For each sampling point the geographical coordinates were recorded as well as the genus/species of the tree/shrub present and its condition, either: (1) dead, stump; (2) dead, standing; (3) live but showing symptoms typical of Phytophthora infection; or (4) live, outwardly healthy.

Two soil cores of 2 cm width x 30 cm depth were collected using a soil auger (Fig. 3) at four points around each individual tree/shrub/stump within 1 m horizontal distance from the root collar. The eight soil cores were then pooled and homogenised by hand in a polythene bag to make a single soil sample of approximately 300–400 g per sampling point. Soil samples were frozen within 12 hours of collection before being oven dried at ~60 °C in aluminium trays for 1–3 days (depending on soil wetness) and stirred thoroughly once dry; the total DNA was then extracted and purified.

---

13 Available at http://sifss.hutton.ac.uk
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Sample preparation for sequencing

A ‘barcode’ region of DNA (the ITS1 region) specific to \textit{Phytophthora} and some closely related oomycete genera was amplified from each soil DNA sample using a PCR (polymerase chain reaction) method developed by Scibetta \textit{et al.} (2012). Samples were prepared for Illumina high throughput sequencing (Illumina, 2013). Positive control samples containing mixtures of DNA from known \textit{Phytophthora} species were included in both sequencing runs to check for reliable amplification of the DNA present.

Bioinformatics analysis

Sequences generated from each soil sample were assigned to clusters based on high DNA sequence similarity using the bioinformatics software ‘metapy’\textsuperscript{14}. Sequence clusters were assigned to species based on a version of the \textit{Phytophthora} reference database developed by Català \textit{et al.} (2015) which was updated to include \textit{Phytophthora} species described since that study.\textsuperscript{15} Any sequences not matching \textit{Phytophthora} species in the reference database were compared to the annotated collection of all publicly available DNA sequences at GenBank (NCBI), to find the nearest matching species. Computational analyses were conducted to establish whether the novel \textit{Phytophthora}-like sequences do indeed fall within the \textit{Phytophthora} taxonomy (Riddell \textit{et al.}, 2019). Statistical analyses of species presence/absence data were carried out using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS), permANOVA and GLiMMs (Riddell \textit{et al.}, 2019).

Baiting to obtain live cultures

In June 2016 soil samples were additionally collected from around five of the trees/shrubs at Site 12 which had yielded a diverse mix of \textit{Phytophthora} species in the metabarcoding analysis (Table 1). For each baited sample approximately 250 g of soil was obtained by pooling four soil cores collected within one horizontal metre of the tree/shrub stem base. For soil baiting, each soil sample was placed in a plastic 500 ml sandwich box filled to twice the depth of the soil with distilled water. Intact leaves of various horticultural and tree species including rhododendron, oak, beech, juniper, hebe, tomato, pepper, petunia and dianthus were placed to float on the water in each sample box (Fig. 4) at room temperature (18–22 °C). Controls were also set up in which each baiting test was replicated in the absence of soil. Bait plants were examined every two days for lesion development, and tissue from the margins of lesions was plated onto agar medium. Any developing colonies were identified as \textit{Phytophthora} by morphological and molecular analyses. For more details of all methods see Riddell \textit{et al.} (2019).

\textsuperscript{14} Available at https://github.com/peterthorpe5/public_scripts/tree/master/metapy (github commit: 6fd1864).
\textsuperscript{15} Available at https://github.com/peterthorpe5/public_scripts/blob/master/metapy/data/Riddell_et_al_2019.fasta

Fig. 3 Soil sample collected using an auger. Photo: Crown Copyright, Forest Research.
| Phytophthora species ranked in order of abundance | Clade | Number of sites detected | Site number and presence (+) or absence (-) of Phytophthora species |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| P. austrocedri                                  | 8     | 13                       | + + + + + + + + + - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Species                        | Site | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
|-------------------------------|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| P. psychrophila               | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| P. uliginosa/europaea/flexuosa| 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown sp. 3                 | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown sp. 5                 | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown sp. 8                 | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| P. vulcanica                  | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| P. multivora                  | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown sp. 12                | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| P. hedraandra                 | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown sp. 10                | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown sp. 9                 | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown sp. 11                | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown sp. 7                 | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown sp. 1                 | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| P. megasperma                 | 1    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

**Table 1** Number of distinct *Phytophthora* or other oomycete species ranked by number of sites found across fourteen sites in Britain.

- Red shading indicates *Phytophthora* species that are recently invasive and quarantine-regulated either in the wider environment or in nurseries.
- Green shading indicates woody-host infecting *Phytophthora* species previously reported in Britain.
- Blue shading indicates less aggressive *Phytophthora* species common in aquatic environments.
- Salmon-coloured shading indicates unknown oomycete sequences.
- Yellow shading indicates species not previously reported in Britain.
- Orange shading indicates novel *Phytophthora* sequences.
- Purple shading indicates *Phytophthora* species associated with herbaceous hosts and previously reported in Britain.
Results
Analysis of DNA sequences from soil samples
Of the 140 soil samples collected from the 14 sites, 112 samples and all sites yielded DNA sequences matching *Phytophthora*, with a total of 23 known *Phytophthora* species and 3 as-yet undescribed *Phytophthora* species (i.e. with sequences fitting within the *Phytophthora* phylogeny but not matching any known species) identified across the study (Table 1). Nine other unknown oomycete sequences were also identified, some of which most closely matched downy mildews (*Plasmopara*) and *Pythium*, showing that the metabarcoding tool used in this study can also cross-react with closely related genera. *Phytophthora*-positive soils were collected from around 34 plant genera of which approximately 25 per cent were outwardly healthy, 40 per cent showed foliage symptoms typical of *Phytophthora* infection and 35 per cent were either dead or were stumps of hosts with previously confirmed *Phytophthora* infections. Table 2, in the Appendix, lists the *Phytophthora* species detected according to host taxonomic criteria. However, statistical analyses found that there was no significant effect of site geographical location, host taxonomic group or host health status on the presence or absence of *Phytophthora* species (across samples). The only significant factor was the site itself, with site 8 found to have a quite distinct species assemblage compared with other sites; this site differed both in the presence of some species rarely found elsewhere, and in the absence of otherwise common species (Table 1).

Across all sites and samples (Table 1), the most frequently occurring species detected were *Phytophthora austrocedri*, *P. pseudosyringae* and *P. cactorum*. Other species detected at the majority of sites were *P. gonapodyides*, *P. cambivora*, *P. ramorum*, *P. syringae* and *P. plurivora*. We identified four quarantine-regulated species (*P. ramorum*, *P. lateralis*, *P. kernoviae*, *P. austrocedri*) and four species not yet reported in the UK (*P. psychrophila*, *P. pini*, *P. vulcanica* and *P. uliginosa/P. europaea/P. flexuosa*). Among the 112 soil samples found to have *Phytophthora*, the mean number of distinct species detected per sample was 4.4 (SD=2.1), with values ranging from one to eleven species per sample. *Phytophthora* species in eight of ten evolutionary clades recognised by Yang...
et al. (2017) were detected; only clades 5 and 9 were not represented. Clade 8 was the most represented clade in this study with eight species detected including three quarantine-regulated pathogens of woody hosts (*P. ramorum*, *P. austrocedri*, *P. lateralis*). Two of the unknown *Phytophthora* species were phylogenetically placed in clade 4 and one in clade 1 (Riddell et al., 2019). In both positive control mixes, the metabarcoding method detected all except one of the species, *P. boehmeriae*. Lack of amplification of *P. boehmeriae* was likely due to biases incurred during PCR which can mean that some DNA sequences are not amplified as efficiently as others (Pawluczyk et al., 2015).

**Baiting to obtain live cultures**

Live cultures of the following species were isolated from the soil samples collected from one of the sites (site 12, Table 1): *Phytophthora austrocedri*, *P. cinnamomi*, *P. ramorum*, *P. cryptogea*, *P. cambivora* and *P. multivora*. All six species were among the fifteen species identified by metabarcoding after sampling twenty months previously (Table 1).

**Discussion**

**Main findings**

A high diversity of *Phytophthora* species was detected across the fourteen sites, including nineteen of the forty-two species recently listed as present in the UK (Cooke, 2015) as well as four species not previously reported in this country. Interestingly, the highest number of *Phytophthora* species was found at a rural botanic garden with no previously recorded outbreaks of *Phytophthora* disease. Site geographical location, elevation and underlying soil type had no effect on the *Phytophthora* species detected; neither did the taxonomic grouping or health status of the host tree/shrub central to each sampling point. Since the majority of soils sampled at these sites had been subject to a high turnover of plants, soil and composts over many years, including understorey plantings of annual or perennial herbaceous species, we suspect that the *Phytophthora* assemblages of any one sample reflect the type and source of material introduced to each location rather than being directly associated with the host tree/shrub around which samples were taken. Therefore, the *Phytophthora* communities detected at each of these sites likely comprise introduced species associated with planting programmes. Site 8 had a particularly unusual species assemblage compared with other sites, including the only detection of a sequence matching *Phytophthora psychrophila*, found around a single, dead *Chamaecyparis lawsoniana* (Lawson cypress). This *Phytophthora* species, which has not previously been reported in the UK, was first described from soil in European *Quercus* (oak) forests (Jung et al., 2002). Its true vigour as a pathogen is not known. Site 8 had in the early 2000s been the subject of an extensive programme of landscaping, involving the large-scale removal of invasive *Rhododendron ponticum* and replanting with around 65 host plant genera brought in from 30 different nurseries, including 16 nurseries located in continental Europe. The regeneration scheme also introduced over 400 tonnes of soil, bark and compost sourced from various suppliers. Subsequently, in 2010 this site hosted the first confirmed outbreak of *P. lateralis* in the UK, which was found to be killing well over 100 mature Lawson cypress trees simultaneously all across the park grounds. The quarantine-regulated pathogen *P. ramorum* was also isolated from the site at the same time.
Eight *Phytophthora* species were detected across the majority of sites, and in soils associated with a very wide range of tree/shrub genera. All eight species infect woody hosts and, apart from *P. austrocedri*, are known to have broad host ranges. Three of these species, *P. austrocedri*, *P. pseudosyringae* and *P. ramorum*, are recently invasive and causing severe damage to commercial forest and natural woodland landscapes in the UK. A recent study carried out in Sweden by Redondo et al. (2018) looked at *Phytophthora* diversity along a gradient of human interference, ranging from plant nurseries to highly managed forests and woodlands to less-managed natural ecosystems. The authors found similar species to those detected in our study, including *P. cactorum*, *P. cambivora*, *P. gonapodyides*, *P. pseudosyringae*, *P. ramorum* and *P. syringae*. They concluded that invasive *Phytophthora* species share a common introduction pathway via outplanting of infected nursery stock in urban environments or other sites of high human interference. Pathogen population reservoirs are established at these locations with the more ecologically well adapted species able to spread onwards into wider environments.

**Biological significance and management implications of the Phytophthora species detected**

*Phytophthora austrocedri*, *P. pseudosyringae* and *P. cactorum* were the three most abundant species detected in this study. *P. austrocedri* is causing widespread damage to juniper in northern Britain (Green et al., 2015) (Fig. 5). A different genetic strain of the pathogen is also causing extensive mortality of *Austrocedrus chilensis* (Chilean cedar) in Argentina (Vélez et al., 2013; Henricot et al., 2017). All known hosts of *P. austrocedri* reside within the family Cupressaceae, on which it is frequently intercepted in trade. These hosts include Lawson cypress, *Cupressus nootkatensis* (Nootka cypress; also known as Alaskan yellow cedar) and *Cupressus x leylandii* (Leyland cypress) (Green et al., 2016). Nootka cypress, in particular, appears to be highly susceptible to *P. austrocedri* with several mature trees rapidly killed during an outbreak at a public park in the south of Glasgow (Green et al., 2016). However, in the current study many soil samples in which we detected *P. austrocedri* DNA and the two soil samples from which *P. austrocedri* was baited were collected from around tree/shrub species not documented as hosts for the pathogen. This pathogen was also found at ten sites where it was not previously known to occur. Our findings suggest either that *P. austrocedri* is well adapted to survive in soil in the absence of infected host material or that it can live in association with a much broader range of plant species than is currently thought. Crone et al. (2013a,b) demonstrated the persistence of resting structures of *P. cinnamomi* in the roots of asymptomatic non-hosts, and *P. lateralis* has been detected in roots and soil collected from non-host and asymptomatic herbaceous nursery-grown plants in southern Italy including *Petunia*, *Tagetes*, *Cyclamen* and *Punica* (Prigigallo et al., 2015). It is therefore quite possible that other *Phytophthora* species are able to exist asymptotically on non-hosts, and so healthy-looking planting stock may in fact be contaminated with these pathogens if present in the source area.

*Phytophthora pseudosyringae* is capable of aerial dispersal and was first reported in Britain in 2009 causing disease on *Nothofagus* spp. (southern beech) (Scanu et al., 2012) and *Vaccinium* (bilberry) (Beales et al., 2009). This species has subsequently been isolated from *Fagus sylvatica* (common beech) (Scanu &
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Webber, 2016) and frequently from aerial stem cankers on *Larix kaempferi* (Japanese larch) in Britain often together with *P. ramorum* (J. Webber & A. Harris, personal communication). More recently, *P. pseudosyringae* was isolated for the first time from basal cankers on *Aesculus hippocastanum* (European horse chestnut) in Sweden (Redondo et al., 2016) suggesting that the geographical distribution and potential host range of *P. pseudosyringae* is broader than currently thought. However, the extent to which this pathogen was detected across the sites in this study was surprising given the small number of reported outbreaks in the UK. More studies are needed to establish the host range and relative aggressiveness of *P. pseudosyringae* compared with other species, to better predict its future impact.

Another species detected across a large number of sites was *P. ramorum*, a quarantine-regulated pathogen causing extensive mortality of larch species in Britain and with a host range encompassing many shrubby species (Green & Webber, 2012). Another frequently detected species, *P. gonapodyides*, is commonly found in aquatic habitats (Brasier et al., 2003). This species has been isolated from lesions on diseased trees of various species in Britain (Forest Research Tree Health Diagnostic and Advisory Service, unpublished data) although its role as a pathogen is unclear.

*Phytophthora cactorum* and other abundant *Phytophthora* species detected in this study, including *P. cambivora, P. syringae* and *P. plurivora*, are considered common in Britain and cause disease on a wide range of woody and non-woody hosts (Cooke, 2015). Another species detected across a large number of sites was *P. ramorum*, a quarantine-regulated pathogen causing extensive mortality of larch species in Britain and with a host range encompassing many shrubby species (Green & Webber, 2012). Another frequently detected species, *P. gonapodyides*, is commonly found in aquatic habitats (Brasier et al., 2003). This species has been isolated from lesions on diseased trees of various species in Britain (Forest Research Tree Health Diagnostic and Advisory Service, unpublished data) although its role as a pathogen is unclear.

**Fig. 5** Juniper in various stages of dieback, from yellowing to characteristic bronzing to complete death, caused by *Phytophthora austrocedri*. Photo: Crown Copyright, Forest Research.
In this study we also detected DNA matching four *Phytophthora* species not previously reported in the UK. These included, in addition to *P. psychrophila* already mentioned above, *P. pini*, *P. vulcanica* and *P. uliginosa/P. europaea/P. flexuosa*. *P. pini*, detected at three sites, is a well-established pathogen of plants in seven genera including pines and beech as well as ornamentals and vegetables, and it is regarded as an increasing threat to the horticultural industry (Hong et al., 2011). The DNA sequence matching *P. vulcanica* was amplified from soil collected around a single, mature, healthy *Castanea sativa* (sweet chestnut) at site 1. This *Phytophthora* species was described very recently following its isolation from soil collected from beech forests in Sicily and is thought to be a weak pathogen, possibly endemic to Europe (Jung et al., 2017a). The three species, *P. uliginosa*, *P. europaea* and *P. flexuosa*, cannot be separated based on the ITS1 locus used in this metabarcoding study. The DNA matching these species was found in soil collected from around a single *Betula pendula* at site 14. *P. flexuosa* is only known to occur in Taiwan (Jung et al., 2017b) whereas both *P. europaea* and *P. uliginosa* are known to be present in Europe and associated with oak. Although *P. europaea* is considered to be a weak pathogen, *P. uliginosa* is reported to be much more aggressive (Jung et al., 2002).

Given that many of the *Phytophthora* species found in this study are likely to have been introduced to each site via planting regimes it will be important to know the extent to which they are present in trade. To this end, the multi-disciplinary project ‘Phyto-threats’ is investigating the distribution and diversity of *Phytophthora* species in water and plant samples collected from different plant nursery management systems across Britain. Understanding species abundance in nurseries and host associations will be key to understanding how these diseases are spread. It is hoped that the project findings will be used to improve best practice and reduce the risk of further introductions and spread of these pathogens.

Interestingly, our metabarcoding method did not readily detect DNA of *Phytophthora lateralis*, a regulated pathogen of Lawson cypress now considered established in the UK, with many reported outbreaks occurring in parks, gardens and cemeteries in the north-west of the country (Green et al., 2013). This pathogen was detected in far fewer soil samples than expected given that many were collected from around symptomatic Lawson cypress at five *P. lateralis* outbreak sites. In the Pacific Northwest of the USA, where *P. lateralis* is causing widespread mortality of Lawson cypress in its native range, the pathogen is rarely isolated from soil even in areas of high infestation (Hansen et al., 2000). It can however be baited from the organic fraction of infested soil, suggesting that it persists in soil only on infected root fragments, which were excluded from soil samples in this study.

The DNA detection method used here is only indicative of the presence of a species, and living cultures are needed to confirm the presence and viability of the organism detected and, where new species are concerned, for taxonomic studies and host risk analyses to be undertaken. Baiting returned six of the fifteen *Phytophthora* species identified.

---

16 Available at www.forestreresearch.gov.uk/research/global-threats-from-phytophthora-spp
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twenty months earlier at the same sampling points by metabarcoding. The discrepancy between the two detection methods is not surprising given the time lag between the two sampling periods and the low sensitivity of baiting which has a tendency to produce false negative results (Vannini *et al.*, 2013). For example, some *Phytophthora* species are regarded as non-culturable and so the ability to detect them must rely on molecular methods (Català *et al.*, 2017). Baiting also tends to favour fast-growing *Phytophthora* species or those which have a host preference for the baiting material used and the conditions in which baiting was carried out (Scibetta *et al.*, 2012). Certainly, *P. cinnamomi*, a warm-temperature pathogen with a very broad host range and responsible for some of the most severe forest disease epidemics worldwide (Crone *et al.*, 2013a,b), dominated the isolates obtained by baiting in this study. *P. cryptogea* and *P. multivora* are also fast-growing, cosmopolitan pathogens on many plant species including woody hosts.

**Conclusions and implications for management**

This study has demonstrated a high diversity of *Phytophthora* species pathogenic on woody hosts at the sites sampled in this study. Similar diversity can be expected at other highly disturbed woodland, public garden and amenity sites in Britain. If the DNA detected reflects the presence of live propagules, and the successful baiting of six species at one of the sites suggests that it does, then this also highlights the versatility of this genus of plant pathogens in terms of survival in soil and potential transfer to susceptible hosts. Many of the *Phytophthora* species found here have previously been recorded in plant nurseries across Europe (Jung *et al.*, 2016), and so our findings emphasise the potential risks posed by the spread of *Phytophthora*-contaminated soil and planting material. One of the most concerning risks arises from the possible introduction of an unknown and highly damaging new species from its geographical origin where, typically, it exists unnoticed having co-evolved with its hosts. Missions to investigate the centres of origin of *Phytophthora* are being undertaken by research teams, generally targeting regions of high plant biodiversity such as Southeast Asia and Central America (e.g. Wallace, 2015; Jung *et al.*, 2017b). As information is gathered from these surveys, potentially high-risk pathways from source regions can be identified and efforts focused on preventing future inter-regional spread of these pathogens. As suggested by Paap *et al.* (2017) and Hulbert *et al.* (2017), botanic garden and amenity woodland sites in, or close to, urban areas could be used as sentinel plantings and targeted for early detection of invasive pathogens, particularly where citizen science monitoring programmes could be utilised. In response to the ever-increasing risks posed by trade, and accelerated by the presence of the potentially devastating, broad host-range bacterial pathogen *Xylella fastidiosa* now present on mainland Europe, the UK’s Horticultural Trades Association (HTA) in conjunction with industry are developing a Plant Health Assurance Scheme known as ‘Plant Healthy’ which, it is hoped, will reach across the entire supply chain. With this in mind we outline

17 See https://planthealthy.org.uk
together with our conclusions a number of practical recommendations for managers of public parks and gardens below:

**Practical recommendations**

- Establish good hygiene practice to avoid transfer of soil-borne *Phytophthora* species within site, and from one site to another. For example, keep public access to hard-core pathways and ensure staff clean boots, tools and vehicles before moving from one location to another on the site.
- Obtain planting stock from reputable nurseries with a documented disease management plan. These criteria should be stipulated in the procurement process. Strongly consider supporting nurseries which have signed up to a Plant Health Assurance Scheme, such as the HTA’s ‘Plant Healthy’ scheme currently under development.
- Keep vigilant for signs of disease and report any new symptoms as soon as they appear to enable a rapid diagnosis and initiation of control strategies, if necessary.
- Metabarcoding is a powerful tool which could be used as part of a wider surveillance strategy for invasive pathogens in Britain.
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### Appendix

Table 2 lists the *Phytophthora* species detected according to host taxonomic criteria.

| Host by family/other taxonomic category | Host species and number of individuals sampled across the study | *Phytophthora* species detected in order of overall sequence abundance |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Cupressaceae                         | *Chamaecyparis lawsoniana* 26 individuals                     | *P. pseudosyringae*  
*P. austrocedri*  
*P. gonapodyides*  
*P. cambivora*  
*P. cactorum*  
*P. syringae*  
*P. psychrophila*  
*P. ramorum*  
Unknown sp. 8  
Unknown sp. 4  
*P. chlamydospora*  
*P. lateralis*  
*P. plurivora*  
*P. cryptogea* |
| Juniperus spp. 10 individuals           |                                                                | *P. pseudosyringae*  
*P. gonapodyides*  
*P. austrocedri*  
Unknown sp. 4  
*P. ramorum*  
*P. cambivora*  
*P. cactorum*  
Unknown sp. 10  
*P. cryptogea*  
Unknown sp. 9  
*P. syringae* |
| Cupressus nootkatensis 3 individuals    |                                                                | *P. pseudosyringae*  
*P. austrocedri*  
*P. cactorum*  
*P. gonapodyides*  
*P. cambivora*  
*P. ramorum* |
| Thuja spp. 2 individuals                |                                                                | *P. ramorum*  
*P. pseudosyringae*  
*P. cambivora*  
*P. syringae*  
*P. gonapodyides*  
*P. austrocedri*  
*P. cactorum* |
| Metasequoia sp. 1 individual            |                                                                | *P. pseudosyringae*  
*P. gonapodyides*  
*P. austrocedri* |

Table 2 *Phytophthora* species detected according to host taxonomic criteria.
| Species                        | Pathogens                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chamaecyparis pisifera        | *P. pseudosyringae*, *P. gonapodyides*, *P. austrocedri*, *P. cambivora*,  |
| 1 individual                  | *P. cactorum*                                                            |
| Taxodium distichum            | Unknown sp. 5, Unknown sp. 6, *P. ramorum*                                |
| 1 individual                  |                                                                           |
| Fitzroya                      | *P. chlamydospora*, *P. pseudosyringae*, *P. ramorum*, *P. cambivora*,    |
| 1 individual                  | *P. austrocedri*                                                         |
| Xanthocyparis vietnamensis    | *P. austrocedri*, *P. cinnamomi*, Unknown sp. 4, *P. gonapodyides*       |
| 1 individual                  |                                                                           |
| Leylandii stump               | *P. pseudosyringae*                                                     |
| 1 individual                  |                                                                           |
| 2. Ericaceae                  |                                                                           |
| Rhododendron spp.             | *P. pseudosyringae*, *P. cambivora*, *P. syringae*, *P. gonapodyides*,    |
| 19 individuals                | *P. quercina*, *P. austrocedri*, *P. plurivora*, *P. cactorum*, *P.      |
|                              | *primulae*, Unknown sp. 3, *P. ramorum*, *P. chlamydospora*, *P.        |
|                              | *cinnamomi*, *P. lateralis*, Unknown sp. 11, *P. kernoviae*, Unknown      |
|                              | sp. 4, Unknown sp. 6, *P. megasperma*, *P. hibernalis*, *P. obscura*     |
| Pieris                        | *P. pseudosyringae*, *P. austrocedri*, *P. cactorum*, *P. cambivora*,    |
| 3 individuals                 | *P. multivora*, *P. syringae*, *P. gonapodyides*                         |
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| Family | Species | Infections |
|--------|---------|------------|
| **Arbutus sp.** | 1 individual | P. cinnamomi, P. austrocedri, P. hibernalis |
| **3. Fagaceae/Nothofagaceae** | Quercus spp. | 4 individuals | P. austrocedri, P. gonapodyides, P. cambivora, P. pseudosyringae, Unknown sp. 12, P. plurivora, P. ramorum, P. syringae |
| **Nothofagus sp.** | 3 individuals | P. pseudosyringae, P. gonapodyides, P. cambivora, P. austrocedri, P. ramorum, P. cactorum, P. chlamydospora, P. obscura |
| **Fagus sylvatica** | 2 individuals | P. pseudosyringae, P. austrocedri, P. hedraiandra, P. gonapodyides, P. syringae |
| **Castanea sativa** | 1 individual | P. pseudosyringae, P. vulcanica, P. austrocedri |
| **4. Myrtaceae** | Eucalyptus spp. | 2 individuals | P. austrocedri, P. pseudosyringae, P. gonapodyides, P. cambivora, P. ramorum, P. cactorum, P. chlamydospora, P. syringae, P. pini, P. cinnamomi, P. plurivora, P. primulae |
| **Luma sp.** | 1 individual | P. pseudosyringae, P. austrocedri, P. gonapodyides, P. cambivora, P. ramorum, P. cactorum, P. chlamydospora, P. pini, P. mississippiae, P. cinnamomi, P. syringae |
| 5. Pinaceae | Larix spp. 2 individuals | P. cambivora  
P. chlamydospora  
P. syringae  
P. pseudosyringae  
P. obscura  
P. gonapodyides  
P. plurivora  
P. cryptogea |
|---|---|---|
|  | Pinus sylvestris 1 individual | P. pseudosyringae  
P. gonapodyides  
P. cambivora  
P. chlamydospora  
P. syringae  
P. cinnamomi  
P. cactorum  
P. austrocedri |
| 6. Other conifers (all in order Pinales) | Taxus baccata 6 individuals | P. cryptogea  
P. pseudosyringae  
P. plurivora  
P. austrocedri  
P. gonapodyides  
P. cambivora  
P. cactorum  
P. ramorum  
Unknown sp.1  
P. psychrophila  
P. syringae |
|  | Araucaria 3 individuals | P. pseudosyringae  
P. ramorum  
Unknown sp. 4  
P. austrocedri  
P. cactorum  
P. syringae  
P. cambivora  
P. gonapodyides  
P. plurivora |
|  | Taxodium distichum 1 individual | Unknown sp. 5  
Unknown sp. 6  
P. ramorum |
|  | Cephalotaxus harringtonii 1 individual | P. pseudosyringae  
P. gonapodyides  
P. austrocedri |
|  | Unknown conifer stump 1 individual | P. pseudosyringae  
P. gonapodyides  
P. austrocedri |
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| 7. Other angiosperms (various orders) | Viburnum spp. 3 individuals | P. austrocedri/P. syringae P. pseudosyringae P. chlamydospora P. cambivora P. ramorum P. gonapodyides P. syringae P. austrocedri P. cactorum |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Acer sp. 2 individuals | P. pseudosyringae P. austrocedri P. gonapodyides Unknown sp. 4 P. cambivora P. cactorum P. ramorum P. pini |
| Magnolia spp. 2 individuals | P. pseudosyringae P. gonapodyides P. austrocedri P. cactorum P. ramorum P. plurivora P. pini P. syringae |
| Osmanthus 1 individual | P. pseudosyringae P. austrocedri P. cactorum P. gonapodyides |
| Betula pendula 1 individual | P. uliginosa/P. europaea/P. flexuosa P. gonapodyides |
| Cordyline sp. 1 individual | P. pseudosyringae P. gonapodyides P. cambivora P. austrocedri P. cactorum P. ramorum P. plurivora |
| Ilex spp. 2 individuals | P. syringae P. pseudosyringae P. ramorum P. obscura P. cambivora P. gonapodyides P. austrocedri P. kernoviae |
| 8. Other | *Ginkgo biloba*  
1 individual | *P. cambivora*  
*P. austrocedri* |
|---|---|---|
| Tree fern  
2 individuals | *P. pseudosyringae*  
*P. gonapodyides*  
*P. cambivora*  
*P. austrocedri*  
*P. ramorum*  
*P. syringae*  
*P. cinnamomi*  
Unknown sp. 7 |