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Abstract

AIM: To determine the cutoff values and to compare the diagnostic role of alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) and prothrombin induced by vitamin K absence-Ⅱ (PIVKA-Ⅱ ) in chronic hepatitis B (CHB).

METHODS: A total of 1255 patients with CHB, including 157 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), 879 with non-cirrhotic CHB and 219 with cirrhosis without HCC, were retrospectively enrolled. The areas under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) curves of PIVKA-Ⅱ and AFP were calculated and compared.

RESULTS: The optimal cutoff values for PIVKA-Ⅱ and AFP were 40 mAU/mL and 10 ng/mL, respectively, for the differentiation of HCC from nonmalignant CHB. The sensitivity and specificity were 73.9% and 89.7%, respectively, for PIVKA-Ⅱ and 67.5% and 90.3% for AFP, respectively. The AUROC curves of both PIVKA-Ⅱ and AFP were not significantly different (0.854 vs 0.853, P = 0.965) for the differentiation of HCC from nonmalignant CHB, whereas the AUROC of PIVKA-Ⅱ was significantly better than that of AFP in patients with cirrhosis (0.870 vs 0.812, P = 0.042). When PIVKA-Ⅱ and AFP were combined, the diagnostic power improved significantly compared to either AFP or PIVKA-Ⅱ alone for the differentiation of HCC from nonmalignant CHB (P < 0.05), especially when cirrhosis was present (P < 0.05).

CONCLUSION: Serum PIVKA-Ⅱ might be a better tumor marker than AFP, and its combination with AFP may enhance the early detection of HCC in patients with CHB.
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Core tip: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) surveillance is crucial for patients with chronic hepatitis B (CHB). There have been few studies that have compared the levels of prothrombin induced by vitamin K absence-Ⅱ (PIVKA-Ⅱ) and AFP in hepatitis B virus-associated HCC. Serum PIVKA-Ⅱ, at a level of 40 mAU/mL, is a useful tumor marker to distinguish patients with HCC from those with nonmalignant CHB, especially liver cirrhosis (LC). A combination of AFP and PIVKA-Ⅱ could enhance early detection of HCC in patients with CHB. Therefore, serum PIVKA-Ⅱ levels should be measured in combination with serum AFP levels during the follow-up of patients with CHB and particularly those with LC.
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INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common cancers and is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. HCC appears to be increasing in incidence[1-4] and still has a dismal prognosis in spite of recent advancements in therapeutic intervention because of its diagnosis at advanced stages. Previous studies have reported the benefits of HCC surveillance on survival thanks to the diagnosis of HCC at earlier stages[5-7]. Thus, many guidelines recommend HCC surveillance for at-risk populations[8-10].

Of the known biomarkers, alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) has been the most widely used as a tumor marker for diagnosis and surveillance of HCC. The sensitivity and specificity of AFP, however, have been reported to vary from 39% to 64% and from 76% to 91%, respectively[11-13]. Furthermore, AFP levels may be elevated in a number of nonspecific conditions in patients with cirrhosis or when cases of chronic hepatitis are exacerbated[14].

Due to such limitations, ultrasonography (US) alone without concurrent detection of AFP levels has been recommended for the surveillance of HCC, according to the representative guidelines in the United States and Europe[6,10]. US is the primary surveillance tool that is used in patients with chronic liver diseases that can detect the development of HCC. The sensitivity and specificity have been reported to be 65% to 80% and 90% to 93%, respectively[8]. However, the interpretation is not only highly operator-dependent but is also insufficiently sensitive in the patients who are obese or who have underlying liver cirrhosis (LC). Additionally, the combined use of AFP and US not only increases the detection rates but also increases the false-positive rates[8]. Therefore, reliable biomarkers to complement the pitfalls of US are needed, especially in patients with cirrhotic patients.

Prothrombin induced by vitamin K absence-Ⅱ (PIVKA-Ⅱ) is an abnormal prothrombin protein that is elevated in HCC. The overall sensitivity and specificity of serum PIVKA-Ⅱ in the detection of HCC has been reported to be 48%-62% and 81%-98%, respectively[15]. Unlike AFP, the serum levels of PIVKA-Ⅱ are not elevated in patients with chronic liver disease such as exacerbations of chronic hepatitis and cirrhosis. That PIVKA-Ⅱ is more specific that AFP represents a highly specific feature of this protein[16,17].

To date, many studies have been conducted to determine the role of PIVKA-Ⅱ in patients with HCC, but most included only small numbers of patients or patients with heterogeneous etiologies, with a predominance of individuals with hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection[15,18-23]. Considering that the clinical features and mechanisms of hepatocarcinogenesis vary according to the etiologies[24-26], the roles of PIVKA-Ⅱ in hepatitis B virus (HBV)-associated HCC might be different from those of HCV-related HCC.

To the best of our knowledge, there have been few reports that have evaluated the role of PIVKA-Ⅱ in HBV-associated HCC. Therefore, additional studies are warranted to determine the role of PIVKA-Ⅱ in the diagnosis of HBV-associated HCC. The aim of this study was to compare the diagnostic role of PIVKA and AFP and to determine the best cutoff values of both tumor markers in patients with chronic hepatitis B (CHB).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and study design

A total of 1255 patients with CHB were retrospectively included at Hallym University Medical Center, Seoul, Korea, from January 2005 to December 2012. All patients who enrolled in this study demonstrated positivity for hepatitis B surface antigen for at least 6 mo.

Demographic and clinical information was collected from the medical records of the subjects. LC was diagnosed by histology and/or ultrasonographic/CT imaging features and was supplemented by clinically relevant portal hypertension (e.g., esophageal and/or gastric varices, ascites, splenomegaly with a platelet count of < 100000/mm²) or hepatic encephalopathy. All patients with HCC were newly diagnosed, and the diagnosis of HCC was based on liver histology.
or appropriate imaging characteristics as defined by accepted guidelines[8]. The HCC stage was determined according to the TNM staging system by the Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan[27]. Early stage HCC was defined as a single tumor nodule < 3 cm in diameter with no evidence of vascular invasion or metastasis.

All patients were divided into three groups: (1) non-cirrhotic CHB (G1); (2) cirrhosis without HCC (G2); and (3) HCC (G3). In the non-HCC groups, laboratory tests were performed on the most recent clinic visit, and the following parameters were assessed: albumin, total bilirubin, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), international normalized ratio of prothrombin time, model for end-stage liver disease score, and the levels of AFP and PIVKA-II. The same laboratory data were obtained at the time of diagnosis of HCC in the HCC group.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: the patients who (1) were positive for other markers of hepatitis such as hepatitis C virus or human immunodeficiency virus; (2) were heavy alcoholics (more than 80 g of ethanol daily); and (3) were taking warfarin or antibiotics that might influence the metabolism of vitamin K.

This study was approved by the Investigation and Ethics Committee for Human Research at Hallym University Medical Center, Seoul, Korea.

Assay of serum levels of AFP and PIVKA-II
The serum AFP concentrations were determined with a commercially available electrochemiluminescence immunoassay kit (Elecsys AFP immunoassay, Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The serum PIVKA-II level was measured by a revised enzyme immunoassay (Eitest PIVKA-II; Eisai, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analysis
A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used for continuous variables in order to compare variables between the three groups. Four groups were compared with Kruskal-Wallis tests and a $\chi^2$ test. Log transformation was used for the AFP and PIVKA-II values to account for the large range of values among the groups for both markers. We used a new variable, the combination of AFP and PIVKA-II levels ($\log\text{AFP} + 4.6 \log\text{PIVKA-II}$), which was conceived in a previous study[28]. To find the optimal cutoff value of AFP and PIVKA-II in the diagnosis of HCC, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were plotted using all possible cutoff values for each assay. The areas under the ROC (AUROC) curves of PIVKA-II, AFP and the combination of the two were calculated and compared. Youden’s index was calculated as an index of sensitivity and specificity. A $P$ value < 0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS, version 16 and Medcalc, version 12.3.

RESULTS
Comparison of the clinical features between the HCC and non-HCC groups
A total of 1255 patients were divided into three subgroups: (1) non-cirrhotic CHB (G1, n = 879); (2) cirrhosis without HCC (G2, n = 219); and (3) HCC (G3, n =157). The median levels (range) of both PIVKA-II and AFP were significantly higher in the HCC group compared to the non-cirrhotic CHB group and the cirrhosis without HCC group [PIVKA-II; 202 (10-2000) mAU/mL vs 23 (6-162) mAU/mL vs 19 (4-312) mAU/mL, AFP; 55.9 (0.6-121000.0) ng/mL vs 2.5 (0.6-602.8) ng/mL vs 3.3 (0.6-233.6) ng/mL, $P < 0.001$]. Additionally, patients in the HCC group (G3) showed advanced liver dysfunction compared with patients in the non-HCC group (G1 and G2). The characteristics of these patients are summarized in Table 1.

Ninety-two (10.5%), 21 (9.6%), and 116 (73.9%) patients in G1, G2, and G3, respectively, had PIVKA-II values above 40 mAU/mL, which was previously reported as the upper limit of normal. Elevated AFP (current clinical cutoff level, > 20 ng/mL) was observed in 40 (4.6%), 22 (10.0%), and 94 (61.0%) patients of G1, G2, and G3, respectively. Of the patients with HCC, 26 patients (16.6%) had an AFP level < 20 ng/mL and a PIVKA-II level < 40 mAU/mL, 37 (23.6%) had isolated PIVKA-II elevation, and 15 (9.6%) had isolated AFP elevation.

Diagnostic values for PIVKA-II and AFP in the differentiation of HCC from nonmalignant CHB
To determine the optimal cutoff values in the differentiation of HCC (G3) from nonmalignant CHB (G1 and G2), ROC curves were drawn (Figure 1). The best cutoff values for PIVKA-II and AFP were 40 mAU/mL and 10 ng/mL, respectively. The sensitivity and specificity at these cutoff values were 73.9% and 89.7%, respectively, for PIVKA-II and 67.5% and 90.3%, respectively, for AFP.

The AUROC curves of PIVKA-II and AFP were not significantly different for the differentiation of HCC from nonmalignant CHB (0.854 vs 0.853, $P = 0.965$). After the combined levels of PIVKA-II and AFP were considered, a significant improvement was observed in the diagnostic power compared with either PIVKA-II or AFP alone (AUROC = 0.898; vs PIVKA-II, $P < 0.001$; vs AFP, $P = 0.03$, respectively). The combination of these tumor markers yielded a sensitivity and specificity of 75.2% and 95.4%, respectively.

We compared the baseline characteristics of all of the patients according to the cutoff levels that we calculated from the ROC curve. A comparison of these baseline characteristics is represented in Table 2.

The optimal cutoff values of PIVKA-II and AFP for the differentiation of HCC (G3) from LC (G2) were 40 mAU/mL and 25 ng/mL, respectively (Figure 2). These
values gave a sensitivity and specificity of 73.9% and 90.4%, respectively, for PIVKA-II and 58.6% and 92.7%, respectively, for AFP. Interestingly, the AUROC curve indicated a better sensitivity and specificity for PIVKA-II than for AFP in the differentiation of HCC from LC (0.870 vs 0.812, P = 0.042). When PIVKA-II and AFP were combined, the diagnostic power was significantly enhanced compared with that of either marker alone (AUROC = 0.902; vs PIVKA-II, P = 0.001; vs AFP, P < 0.001). The combination of these tumor markers yielded a sensitivity and specificity of 75.2% and 92.7%, respectively.

After an analysis of the diagnostic power of PIVKA-II and AFP for the differentiation of early HCC from LC, the AUROC curve of PIVKA-II tended to be better than that of AFP (AUROC = 0.752 vs 0.712, P = 0.512). Additionally, the combination of these two markers demonstrated a significant improvement in the diagnostic power compared with each marker alone (Combination vs PIVKA-II, P = 0.033; Combination vs AFP, P = 0.019, respectively).

---

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics of the study population (n = 1255)

|                          | Non-cirrhotic CHB (n = 879) | Cirrhosis without HCC (n = 219) | HCC (n = 157) | P value |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                 | 45 (17-97)                  | 54 (26-92)                      | 57 (34-89)    | 0.0001  |
| Gender (M:F)             | 549:330                     | 151:68                          | 124:33        | 0.0001  |
| AFP (ng/mL)              | 2.5 (0.6-602.8)             | 3.3 (0.6-233.6)                 | 55.9 (0.6-121000.0) | 0.0001  |
| PIVKA-II (mAU/mL)        | 23 (6-162)                  | 19 (4-312)                      | 202 (10-2000) | 0.0001  |
| INR                      | 1.00 (0.84-3.24)            | 1.11 (0.92-2.38)                | 1.12 (0.79-8.93) | 0.0001  |
| Albumin (g/dL)           | 4.5 (2.3-5.3)               | 4.2 (0.5-5.2)                   | 3.6 (2.0-5.2) | 0.0001  |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL)  | 0.7 (0.2-18.9)              | 1.0 (0.3-14.7)                  | 1.0 (0.3-14.7) | 0.0001  |
| ALT (IU/L)               | 26.5 (2-3112)               | 31 (8-886)                      | 39 (6-1135)   | 0.1220  |
| INR                      | 1.00 (0.84-3.24)            | 1.11 (0.92-2.38)                | 1.12 (0.79-8.93) | 0.0001  |
| Albumin (g/dL)           | 4.5 (2.3-5.3)               | 4.2 (0.5-5.2)                   | 3.6 (2.0-5.2) | 0.0001  |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL)  | 0.7 (0.2-18.9)              | 1.0 (0.3-14.7)                  | 1.0 (0.3-14.7) | 0.0001  |
| ALT (IU/L)               | 26.5 (2-3112)               | 31 (8-886)                      | 39 (6-1135)   | 0.1220  |
| TNM stage n (%)          | NA                          | NA                              | NA            |         |
| I                        | 22 (14.0)                   | 58 (36.9)                       | 32 (20.4)     |         |
| II                       | 32 (20.4)                   | 32 (20.4)                       | 32 (20.4)     |         |
| III                      | 45 (28.7)                   | 45 (28.7)                       | 45 (28.7)     |         |
| Early HCC n (%)          | NA                          | NA                              | NA            |         |

Data are expressed as number or median (range). CHB: Chronic hepatitis B; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; M: Male; F: Female; AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; PIVKA-II: Prothrombin induced by vitamin K absence-II; INR: International normalized ratio; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; MELD: Model for end-stage liver disease; TNM: Tumor-nodes-metastasis; NA: Not applicable.
Table 3  Sensitivity and specificity of alpha-fetoprotein and prothrombin induced by vitamin K absence-II in differentiating hepatocellular carcinoma from nonmalignant chronic hepatitis B

| Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV |
|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|
| PIVKA-II (mAU/mL) | | | |
| > 40 | HCC vs CHB | 73.9% | 89.7% | 50.7% | 96.0% |
| | HCC vs LC | 73.9% | 90.4% | 84.7% | 82.8% |
| > 100 | HCC vs CHB | 57.3% | 98.8% | 87.4% | 94.2% |
| | HCC vs LC | 57.3% | 98.6% | 82.8% | 76.0% |
| > 125 | HCC vs CHB | 56.1% | 99.2% | 90.7% | 94.0% |
| | HCC vs LC | 56.1% | 97.3% | 93.6% | 75.5% |
| > 150 | HCC vs CHB | 52.9% | 99.4% | 92.2% | 93.6% |
| | HCC vs LC | 52.9% | 97.3% | 93.3% | 74.2% |
| > 200 | HCC vs CHB | 59.9% | 94.4% | 60.3% | 94.3% |
| | HCC vs LC | 59.9% | 90.0% | 81.0% | 75.8% |
| > 400 | HCC vs CHB | 36.3% | 99.3% | 87.7% | 91.6% |
| | HCC vs LC | 36.3% | 99.1% | 96.6% | 68.5% |
| Combination1 | HCC vs CHB | 29.9% | 99.7% | 94.0% | 90.9% |
| | Combination vs LC | 29.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 66.6% |
| HCC vs CHB | 75.2% | 95.4% | 69.8% | 96.4% |
| HCC vs LC | 75.2% | 92.7% | 88.1% | 83.9% |

1Combination obtained from variable logAFP + 4.61logPIVKA-II. PPV: Positive predictive value; NPV: Negative predictive value; PIVKA-II: Prothrombin induced by vitamin K absence-II; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; CHB: Chronic hepatitis B; LC: Liver cirrhosis; AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein.

DISCUSSION

PIVKA-II is an abnormal prothrombin molecule, known as des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin, which is generated as a result of an acquired defect in the posttranslational carboxylation of the prothrombin precursor in malignant cells. Since the first report by Liebman et al.[31] in 1984, many studies have demonstrated the usefulness of PIVKA-II for the diagnosis of HCC[17-22,31-33]. Earlier studies showed that PIVKA-II had a low sensitivity compared with AFP[30,34]. However, after the introduction of a revised enzyme immunoassay kit, more recent studies revealed that PIVKA-II is comparable or more sensitive than AFP for the differentiation of HCC from nonmalignant chronic liver disease; moreover, most studies have shown that PIVKA-II is more specific than AFP[15,17-22,32,33].

Most studies that have been concerned with the role of PIVKA-II were conducted in Japan and in Western countries where the etiology of liver disease...
varied greatly, primarily associated with HCV infection. Therefore, we focused on the role of PIVKA-II in HBV-related HCC after a consideration of the differences in hepatocarcinogenesis and in the clinical features between HBV-related HCC and HCV-related HCC. Actually, HBV-related HCC typically presents more frequently as an aggressive tumor compared with HCV-related HCC, and the levels of AFP are higher in HBV-related HCC than in HCV-related HCC\[^{24-26}\].

In this study, we showed that PIVKA-II is more accurate than AFP in the ability to distinguish patients with HCC from those with nonmalignant CHB. The sensitivity and specificity at the cutoff values which were identified by the ROC curve were 73.9% and 89.7%, respectively, for PIVKA-II and 67.5% and 90.3%, respectively, for AFP. Although the AUROC curves of PIVKA-II and AFP showed a similar diagnostic efficacy for the differentiation of HCC from nonmalignant CHB, when the analysis was limited to patients with cirrhosis, the AUROC curve indicated a significantly better sensitivity and specificity for PIVKA-II than for AFP for differentiation of HCC from LC; this was also the case for the differentiation of early HCC from LC. These data are in contrast to those of previous studies that showed that the sensitivity of PIVKA-II was inferior to AFP in the detection of small HCC\[^{33,34}\], and this result suggests that PIVKA-II is a more reliable tumor marker than AFP for the detection of early HCC in patients with CHB.

This difference might be due to the difference in the etiology of liver diseases of the patients. Previous studies have included patients with heterogeneous etiologies of liver diseases (mainly HCV infection or alcohol) and were case-controlled studies that were conducted in relatively small numbers of selected patients of the population. In contrast, our study included patients with only HBV as an etiology of liver disease and nearly all patients with CHB who visited our institute. Therefore, our study represents a real clinical situation, and indeed, advanced liver diseases such as LC or HCC account for approximately 30% in this study. This is in accordance with the disease progression that occurs as part of the natural history of CHB. To our knowledge, our study is the first large-scale study that has demonstrated the diagnostic role of PIVKA-II in HBV-associated HCC.

The ROC curve identified the optimal cutoff value of PIVKA-II as 40 mAU/mL for the differentiation of patients with HCC from those with nonmalignant CHB in our study. This value is comparable with the result of a Japanese study, but is lower than that of a previous American study. It is possible that the cutoff value of PIVKA-II may vary among different ethnic groups. Indeed, American patients typically have PIVKA-II values up to 63 mAU/mL, whereas studies in Japan have used 40 mAU/mL as the upper limit of normal\[^{15,18-22}\].

We found that the optimal cutoff value for the level of AFP for the diagnosis of HCC was 10 ng/mL. This result was substantially lower than the current clinical cutoff level (20 ng/mL), but was in accordance with the results of recent studies with cutoff values of 10.9 ng/mL and 11 ng/mL\[^{15,23}\]. In addition, the optimal cutoff value of AFP for the differentiation of HCC from LC was 25 ng/mL. These results are in agreement with those of previous prospective studies that have included patients with LC\[^{11}\].

Because AFP and PIVKA-II levels do not correlate in patients with HCC and are complementary tumor markers, it would be reasonable to determine that using both markers might improve the accuracy of a diagnosis of HCC. Indeed, a few studies have demonstrated an increased sensitivity and specificity when these tumor markers are combined\[^{26-29}\]. We also demonstrated that the combination of these two markers showed a significant improvement with respect to the diagnostic power compared with each marker alone for the differentiation of HCC from nonmalignant CHB, especially in patients with cirrhosis. Clinically, this is very important because US is the primary surveillance tool for LC, but this method is not sensitive enough to detect HCC in many patients with cirrhosis. Although AFP is no longer considered in surveillance tests for HCC in American and European practice guidelines, our study suggests that the combination of AFP and PIVKA-II could enhance the diagnostic accuracy. A prospective study of patients with LC is warranted to further validate the utility of this combination for the detection of early HCC.

Our results are interesting, but there are some potential limitations to our study. First, this is a single-center study with a retrospective design. Second, the number of patients with HCC (n = 157) is relatively small compared to those with nonmalignant CHB (n = 1098); nonetheless, it reflects the natural course of CHB progression. Finally, our study cannot be generalized to patients with liver diseases that are not caused by HBV or to patients who are not Asian.

In conclusion, serum PIVKA-II, at the level of 40 mAU/mL, is a useful tumor marker to distinguish patients with HCC from those with nonmalignant CHB, especially LC. A combination of AFP and PIVKA-II could enhance the early detection of HCC in patients with CHB. Therefore, the measurement of the serum levels of PIVKA-II should be applied in combination with the measurement of the AFP levels in the follow-up of patients with CHB, particularly those with LC. Further large-scale prospective studies are needed to verify the utility of PIVKA-II for the detection of early HCC.

**COMMENTS**

**Background**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common cancers, and therefore, the early detection of HCC is crucial for patients with chronic liver disease. Ultrasonography is the primary tool that is used for surveillance, but there are limitations associated with this method.
Research frontiers

Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) has been most widely used as a tumor marker for the diagnosis and surveillance of HCC; however, the sensitivities and specificities that have been reported have varied. Prothrombin induced by vitamin K absence-Ⅱ (PIVKA-Ⅱ) can be more specific than AFP, and therefore, additional studies are needed in order to determine the role of PIVKA-Ⅱ in the diagnosis of hepatitis B virus-associated HCC.

Innovations and breakthroughs

Previous studies regarding the role of PIVKA-Ⅱ in patients with HCC were conducted in small numbers of patients or in patients with heterogeneous etiologies of liver disease (primarily those with hepatitis C virus). Hence, the authors compared the diagnostic roles of PIVKA-Ⅱ and AFP and determined the best cutoff value of both tumor markers in patients with chronic hepatitis B (CHB).

Applications

The study results suggest that serum PIVKA-Ⅱ levels might be a useful tumor marker to distinguish patients with HCC from those with nonmalignant CHB, especially liver cirrhosis. The combination of AFP and PIVKA-Ⅱ may also enhance the early detection of HCC in patients with CHB.

Terminology

PIVKA-Ⅱ is an abnormal prothrombin molecule, known as des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin, which is generated as a result of an acquired defect in the posttranslational carboxylation of the prothrombin precursor in malignant cells.

Peer-review

The research is important and the research findings are significant. The novelty and innovative nature of the research is acceptable because the other similar reports but this one depicts an interesting number of patients.
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