Utility and Validity of Intracoronary Administration of Nicorandil Alone for the Measurement of Fractional Flow Reserve in Patients With Intermediate Coronary Stenosis
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Background: Intracoronary (IC) administration of nicorandil has been proposed as an alternative choice of hyperemic agent for fractional flow reserve (FFR) measurements. This study evaluated the utility and validity of IC nicorandil administration alone to induce maximal hyperemia.

Methods and Results: Two-hundred-seven patients with coronary artery disease listed for coronary angiography with FFR were prospectively enrolled. FFR was measured after (1) IC administration of nicorandil 2 mg (ICNIC2 mg); (2) continuous intravenous (IV) adenosine triphosphatase (ATP) infusion at 150 μg/kg/min (IVATP150); (3) IV ATP infusion at 210 μg/kg/min (IVATP210); (4) IC administration of 0.5 mg nicorandil during IVATP150 (ICNIC0.5 mg+IVATP150); (5) IC administration of 1 mg nicorandil during IVATP150 (ICNIC1 mg+IVATP150); and (6) IC administration of 2 mg nicorandil during IVATP150 (ICNIC2 mg+IVATP150). The average FFR values and the rate of achieving maximum hyperemia after ICNIC2 mg, IVATP150, IVATP210, ICNIC0.5 mg+IVATP150, ICNIC1 mg+IVATP150, and ICNIC2 mg+IVATP150 were 0.85±0.08, 0.89±0.08, 0.85±0.09, 0.84±0.08, 0.83±0.08, 0.83±0.08 (P<0.01), and 92%, 54%, 91%, 96%, 99%, 99% (P<0.01), respectively. The incidence of systolic aortic pressure drop, chest discomfort, and transient atrioventricular block increased in a dose-dependent manner after IV ATP infusion, but almost no adverse effects were observed after ICNIC2 mg.

Conclusions: ICNIC2 mg produced a more pronounced hyperemia than continuous IV ATP, and might be the preferred method for assessment of FFR.
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For accurate measurement of FFR, the principle is to induce maximal hyperemia to minimize the effect of microvascular resistance. However, if coronary hyperemia is suboptimal, FFR can be erroneously high, resulting in a significant underestimation of the severity of coronary stenosis. Although IV infusion of adenosine or adenosine triphosphatase (ATP) is recommended for FFR measurements and widely used in daily clinical practice, such administration requires relatively large doses, and is associated with more systemic adverse effects and arrhythmic events.

Recently, IC administration of nicorandil, a vasodilator that has the dual properties of a nitrate and an ATP-sensitive K⁺ channel agonist, has been proposed as an alternative hyperemic agent to achieve maximal hyperemia. Tanaka et al recently demonstrated that maximum hyperemia could be achieved with an IC bolus of 2 mg nicorandil, and there was no further decrease in FFR with nicorandil doses >2 mg. However, whether IC administration of nicorandil 2 mg induces maximum hyperemia is unclear, so we investigated the usefulness and safety of IC bolus administration of 2 mg nicorandil alone, compared with IV ATP infusion with additional IC nicorandil administration during the ATP infusion to achieve maximal hyperemia in patients with CAD.

Methods

Selection of Patients and Study Design
From May 2017 to October 2018, a total of 213 patients with 216 coronary artery stenoses were prospectively enrolled. Inclusion criteria for this study were moderate coronary artery stenosis defined as 50–75% stenosis based on visual estimation on angiography, age 20–85 years, and willing to and capable of providing written informed consent. Exclusion criteria included any of the following: acute coronary syndrome within the previous month; pharmacologically treated bronchial asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; allergy to ATP or nicorandil; 2nd- or 3rd-degree atrioventricular block; severe valvular heart disease; New York Heart Association class IV heart failure; severe renal insufficiency; dissection or excessive calcification or tortuosity in the target vessel; and stenosis of a previous bypass graft. The goal of this prospectively designed study was to compare the effect of different dosages of IC nicorandil, IV administration of ATP, and the combined use of IC nicorandil and IV ATP for their potential to induce maximum and steady-state hyperemia.

Catheterization
CAG with 5- or 6-F diagnostic catheters without side holes was performed through the radial or femoral artery, in standard multiple views by experienced operators at least 30s after administration of 0.1–0.3 mg of IC nitroglycerin. After diagnostic catheterization, a 5,000–6,000 IU bolus of heparin was administered to all patients before the procedure. Next, a 0.014-inch pressure guide wire (PressureWire Verrata, Volcano, San Diego, CA, USA; PressureWire Aeris, Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, CA, USA; or
The pressure transducer was advanced just beyond the tip of the guiding catheter, and it was verified that the measured pressures in the pressure wire and the guiding catheter were equal after flushing the diagnostic catheter with saline, to avoid pressure damping caused by contrast medium and blood viscosity. The pressure wire was advanced distal to the stenotic lesion, and the Y-connector was tightened to avoid dislocation of the pressure wire. Flushing of the diagnostic catheter with saline was repeated to avoid pressure damping caused by contrast medium and blood viscosity. The mean distal coronary pressure (Pd) and the mean aortic pressure (Pa) were measured at baseline and during hyperemia induced by each hyperemic stimulus. FFR was calculated as the ratio of Pd to Pa during hyperemia and reported as an average of 5 cardiac cycles. Additionally, heart rate, aortic pressure, distal coronary pressure, ECG changes, and symptoms (chest pain, dyspnea, or headache) were continuously monitored and recorded at baseline and during hyperemia.

### Pharmacological Protocol

The pharmacological protocol comprised 7 sequential steps as outlined (Figure 1). The venous line and the diagnostic catheter were adequately flushed with saline between FFR measurements with each hyperemic agent. (1) Resting Pd/Pa: measured for at least 1 min for a stable assessment of resting physiology for the calculation. (2) IC bolus of nicorandil 2mg (ICNIC2mg): 10mL of nicorandil (2mg in saline) injected over 5s, followed by 0.9% saline flush over a 5s period. (3) IV infusion of standard dose ATP (150μg/kg/min) (IVATP150): continuous IV ATP infusion at 150μg/kg/min through a large forearm vein for at least 2 min. (4) IV infusion of high dose ATP (210μg/kg/min) (IVATP210): continuous IV ATP infusion at 210μg/kg/min through a large forearm vein for at least 2 min. (5) IC bolus of 0.5 mg nicorandil during continuous IV ATP infusion at 150μg/kg/min (ICNIC0.5mg+IVATP150): 10mL of nicorandil (0.5mg in saline) injected over 5s, 2 min after IV administration of standard dose ATP (150μg/kg/min). (6) IC bolus of 1mg nicorandil during continuous IV ATP infusion at 150μg/kg/min (ICNIC1mg+IVATP150): 10mL of nicorandil (1mg in saline) injected over 5s, 2 min after IV administration of standard dose of ATP (150μg/kg/min). (7) IC bolus of 2 mg nicorandil during continuous IV ATP infusion at 150μg/kg/min (ICNIC2mg+IVATP150): 10mL of nicorandil (2mg in saline) injected over 5s, 2 min after IV administration of standard dose of ATP (150μg/kg/min). The lowest Pd/Pa value among the various hyperemic stimuli was considered to indicate maximum hyperemia.\(^\text{14,15}\)

### Quantitative CAG

A 5- or 6-F diagnostic catheter was used to selectively cannulate the ostium of the target coronary artery. All CAGs were performed after IC administration of 0.2mg nitroglycerin to eliminate the effect of coronary arterial spasm. All angiographic analyses were performed by an independent investigator who was blinded to the patients’ characteristics and the FFR results. The minimum lumen diameter, reference diameter, percentage diameter stenosis, and lesion length with the least foreshortening view were measured using a validated automated edge detection algorithm (CAAS 5.11, Pie Medical Imaging, Eindhoven, The Netherlands).

### Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean±standard deviation if normally distributed or as median (interquartile range) if non-normally distributed. Categorical variables are presented as numbers and percentages. The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare continuous variables. Additionally, post-hoc analysis was performed for evaluation of significance. The chi-square test and Fisher’s exact tests were used to analyze categorical variables. The relationship between Pd/Pa after each hyperemic stimulus was quantified with a coefficient of determination (r²). Agreement between Pd/Pa after each hyperemic stimulus was assessed by Bland-Altman plots and 95% limits of agreement. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP statistical software for Windows version 8.0.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). A value of P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

Of 216 lesions, 6 were excluded from the analysis because of poor quality of the pressure wave, or inability to continue with the study protocol because of unstable hemodynamics that precluded the administration of ATP. Finally, a total of 210 lesions from 207 patients were included in this analysis: 135 left anterior descending, 34 left circumflex, and 38 right coronary arteries. Baseline patient and lesion

---

**Table 1. Baseline Patient and Lesion Characteristics**

| Age (years) | 74 (67, 79) |
| Male | 147 (71) |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 25±3 |
| Hypertension | 148 (72) |
| Dyslipidemia | 133 (64) |
| Diabetes mellitus | 72 (35) |
| Current smoker | 21 (10) |
| Past smoker | 36 (17) |
| Previous myocardial infarction | 57 (28) |
| Hemodialysis | 2 (1) |
| Atrial fibrillation | 10 (5) |
| Clinical presentation |
| Asymptomatic | 63 (30) |
| Stable angina | 144 (70) |
| Target vessel |
| Left main | 3 (1) |
| Left anterior descending | 135 (64) |
| Left circumflex | 34 (16) |
| Right coronary | 38 (18) |
| Lesion location |
| Proximal | 72 (34) |
| Middle | 125 (60) |
| Distal | 13 (6) |
| Bifurcation | 65 (31) |
| Quantitative coronary angiography |
| Reference diameter (mm) | 2.7 (2.3, 3.1) |
| Minimum lumen diameter (mm) | 1.6±0.4 |
| Diameter stenosis (%) | 40±13 |

Data are mean±SD, n (%), or median (interquartile range).
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was achieved 92% after ICNIC2 mg, 54% after IVATP150, 91% after IVATP210, 96% after ICNIC0.5 mg+IVATP150, 99% after ICNIC1 mg+IVATP150, and 99% after ICNIC2 mg+IVATP150 (P<0.001). The Pd/Pa values after ICNIC2 mg and after IVATP210 strongly correlated with those after ICNIC2 mg+IVATP150 (r²=0.93, P<0.001, and r²=0.93, P<0.001, respectively, Figure 3). On the other hand, the relationship was relatively weak between the Pd/Pa value after IVATP150 and that after ICNIC2 mg+IVATP150 (r²=0.54, P=0.001). The Pd/Pa characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Pd/Pa Value During Each Hyperemic Stimulus

The average Pd/Pa values were 0.94±0.05 at rest, 0.85±0.08 after ICNIC2 mg, 0.89±0.08 after IVATP150, 0.85±0.09 after IVATP210, 0.84±0.08 after ICNIC0.5 mg+IVATP150, 0.83±0.08 after ICNIC1 mg+IVATP150, and 0.83±0.08 after ICNIC2 mg+IVATP150 (Figure 2). The value after IVATP150 was significantly higher than those after the other hyperemic stimuli (P<0.001). Maximum hyperemia
values after ICNIC2mg at 95% limits of agreement were 0.01–0.02, whereas the Pd/Pa values after IVATP150 at 95% limits of agreement had a wider range (0.04–0.06) (Figure 3). Similarly, the relationship was weak between the Pd/Pa value after ICNIC2mg and IVATP150 (r²=0.58, P<0.001). A Bland-Altman agreement plot showed the 95% limits of agreement between 0.03 and 0.05 (Figure 3).

**Table 2. Hemodynamic Changes and Observed Adverse Effects for Each Hyperemic Stimulus**

|                      | ICNIC2mg | IVATP150 | IVATP210 | ICNIC0.5mg+ | ICNIC1mg+ | ICNIC2mg+ |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Systolic Pa drop     | –9.5(–15,–3) | –10.5(–22,–1) | –23(–35,–13) | –17(–28,–8) | –22(–33,–11) | –27(–39,–16) | <0.001     |
| Diastolic Pa drop    | –4(–7,0) | –5(–10,0.25) | –11.5(–17,–7) | –9(–13,–4) | –9(–16,–5.5) | –13(–17,–8) | <0.001     |
| Heart rate increase  | 0(–1,2) | 3(0,7) | 7(2,11) | 6(2,9) | 6(2,10) | 6(2,11) | <0.001     |
| Chest discomfort/dyspnea | 11(5) | 20(10) | 56(27) | 45(22) | 39(19) | 41(20) | <0.001     |
| Transient atrioventricular block | 0(0) | 1(1) | 9(4) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0.002      |
| Hypotension requiring any vasopressor | 0(0) | 0(0) | 1(1) | 2(1) | 2(1) | 2(1) | 0.5        |

Data are median (interquartile range) or n (%). ICNIC2mg, intracoronary bolus administration of nicorandil 2mg; IVATP150, continuous intravenous ATP infusion at 150μg/kg/min for 2min; IVATP210, continuous intravenous ATP infusion at 210μg/kg/min for 2min.

**Hemodynamic Changes During Hyperemia**

Hemodynamic changes during the Pd/Pa measurements and the observed adverse effect for each hyperemic stimulus are shown in Table 2. Ventricular fibrillation/tachycardia, which is a potential side effect of ICNIC, was not observed in this study. The systolic aortic pressure drop during the hyperemic stimuli was lowest with ICNIC2mg (–9.5 (–15, –3) mmHg), and the decrease was dose-dependent during the continuous IV ATP infusion. Similarly, the heart rate during the hyperemic stimuli increased in a dose-dependent manner during continuous IV ATP infusion, but there was no increase after ICNIC2mg. The number of patients who experienced chest discomfort or dyspnea during the hyperemic stimuli was significantly lower after ICNIC than either IVATP150 or IVATP210 (P<0.001). Transient atrioventricular block occurred in 1 patient (1%) after IVATP150 and in 9 patients (4%) after IVATP210, but no arrhythmic events were observed after ICNIC2mg.

**Discussion**

The main finding of this study was that maximum hyperemia could be achieved in 92% of patients with suspected angina pectoris by administration of IC bolus of 2mg nicorandil. In approximately 50% of the patients, maximum hyperemic response was not achieved with IV infusion of the standard dose of 150μg/kg/min ATP alone. ICNIC2mg was associated with significantly less chest discomfort, atrioventricular conduction disturbance, and a smaller decrease in blood pressure during the hyperemic stimulus.

FFR is considered the gold standard to measure pressure differences across a coronary stenosis to determine its severity and myocardial ischemia, based on studies demonstrating significantly better outcomes with FFR-guided PCI compared with angiography-guided PCI.5,16 However, despite strong recommendations by guidelines and increasing evidence of its cost-effectiveness, the adoption of FFR in routine clinical practice remains low, which might be linked to the requirement for hyperemic agents. Hyperemic agents such as IV adenosine can trigger transient dyspnea, chest discomfort, rhythm disturbances, and hypotension in up to 38% of cases.17,18 For these reasons, the search for cheaper, faster, and more patient-friendly methods remains relevant, and several studies have evaluated the concept of the adenosine-independent index instantaneous wave-free ratio (iFR) as an alternative method of assessing lesion severity. Another hyperemic agent, IC papaverine, was widely used to measure FFR because of its faster action of coronary vasodilation, but it has been associated with critical adverse effects such as ventricular fibrillation and polymorphic ventricular tachycardia,29,30 so papaverine is avoided in the clinical setting. Recently, IC administration of nicorandil bolus has been demonstrated as a novel hyperemic method for invasive coronary physiological assessment.21,22 Tanaka et al demonstrated that maximum hyperemia could be achieved with an IC bolus of 2mg of nicorandil, and there was no further decrease in FFR with nicorandil doses >2mg.19 In accordance with the previous studies, the results of our study also showed that maximum hyperemia was achieved in 92% of the patients after ICNIC2mg with no evidence of dyspnea, chest discomfort, or atrioventricular conduction disturbances, and a smaller decrease in blood pressure during the hyperemic stimulus.

Another important finding of this study was that maximum hyperemia was obtained in only 54% of cases by continuous IVATP infusion at 150μg/kg/min for 2min. One potential reason for this could be that IVATP150 was administered via a peripheral IV line in this study. However, ICNIC2mg was significantly associated with the rate of successfully achieving maximum hyperemia as compared with angiography-guided PCI compared with angiography-guided PCI.5,16 However, despite strong recommendations by guidelines and increasing evidence of its cost-effectiveness, the adoption of FFR in
previous studies, the time to achieving the lowest FFR was significantly reduced with ICNIC2 mg, despite a lesser decrease in blood pressure than with continuous IV ATP infusion. Along with better hyperemic efficacy, ICNIC had lower incidence of chest discomfort and transient atrioventricular block, compared with continuous IV ATP infusion. The results of our study suggested that ICNIC2 mg could be an alternative method of inducing maximum hyperemia for FFR measurement, especially in patients with bronchial asthma, hypotension, or atrioventricular conduction disturbance.

Study Limitations

This study has several limitations to note. First, although this was a prospective observational study, all data were obtained from a single center. Selection bias might exist regarding the selected target vessels with moderate coronary stenosis to evaluate FFR. Second, the administration order of hyperemic agents was not randomized. The efficacy of IV infusion of ATP after nicorandil administration could be enhanced because the half-life of nicorandil is relatively long. Third, a higher dose of nicorandil was not tested in this study, although a previous study has demonstrated that there was no further decrease in FFR measurement with ICNIC doses >2 mg. Fourth, the assumption that central venous pressure (CVP) was 0 mmHg could lead to an overestimation of the FFR value, especially for patients with higher CVP. However, a previous study reported that the difference between FFR values calculated without accounting for mean right atrial pressure (Pd/Pa) and those calculated with accounting for mean right atrial pressure (Pd - right atrial pressure / Pa - right atrial pressure) was minimal even in patients with markedly increased mean right atrial pressure. Fifth, the lowest Pd/Pa value, which was considered to achieve maximum hyperemia in this study, does not always indicate maximal hyperemia. Finally, FFR <0.8 as a cutoff value of pressure-derived physiological indices was established using IVATP, but not ICNIC. Therefore, further investigation is required to determine the optimal cutoff value for ICNIC to discriminate with high diagnostic accuracy between normal and abnormal myocardial perfusion.

Conclusions

ICNIC2 mg was safe and well-tolerated, and reduced the time required for FFR measurement. Furthermore, ICNIC2 mg induced a more pronounced hyperemia than continuous IV ATP, and might be the preferred method for assessment of FFR.

Impact on Daily Practice

Despite strong recommendations by guidelines, the adoption of FFR in routine clinical practice remains low because the use of hyperemic agents such as IV adenosine/ATP can trigger transient dyspnea, chest discomfort, rhythm disturbances, and hypotension. The results of our study suggest that ICNIC2 mg could be considered as an alternative method of inducing maximum hyperemia for FFR measurement.
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