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Abstract

Context. From a number of today known Post Common Envelopes Binaries (PCEB) only a handful has yet been observed at near-infrared (NIR) wavelengths and an even smaller number has modeled NIR light curves. At shorter wavelengths one has access to the cooler and larger components of these systems and has the chance to detect emission from its faint and heavily irradiated atmospheres.

Aims. By modeling NIR light curves of PCEBs we intent to constrain their system parameters and study the properties of the system components.

Methods. Here we present simultaneous NIR $JHK_s$ light curves of two PCEBs obtained with the 4m SOAR telescope.

Results. KV Vel and TW Crv are long period ($P_{orb} = 8.6h$ and $7.9h$, respectively) PCEBs with large irradiation effects. The results of light curve fitting provided solutions with inclination $i = (47 \pm 5)^\circ$, mass ratio $q = 0.3 \pm 0.1$ and radius of the secondary $R_2/a = 0.24^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$ (where $a$ is the orbital separation) for KV Vel, and $i = (42 \pm 9)^\circ$, $q = 0.28 \pm 0.04$ and $R_2/a = 0.22 \pm 0.01$ for TW Crv, respectively. For KV Vel, we obtain an average value for the albedo of the secondary star of $\alpha = 0.43$, consistent in the $J$, $H$ and $K_s$-bands. For TW Crv, on the other hand, we obtain values of $\alpha_J = (0.4 \pm 0.1)$ and $\alpha_H = (0.3 \pm 0.1)$ for the $J$- and $H$-bands, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Cataclysmic Variables (CVs) are binary systems where a low-mass dwarf (LMD) star overfill its Roche lobe and transfers matter to a more massive white dwarf (WD) at mass transfer rates typically of $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-10} - 10^{-8} M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$. Since the orbital separation (and the Roche lobe) is expected to increase when a lower mass star transfers matter to a more massive white dwarf (WD) at $P_{orb} \sim 8h$, the largest orbital period suitable for full coverage within one night of (ground) observation. This paper reports the results of the analysis of the data from two of these systems. The observations and data reduction are presented in Sect. 2. Sample selection criteria and specific information about the objects of this study are given in Sect. 3. By modeling the light curve of these systems we are able to put constrains on their orbital system parameters and, from the colors of each component, study their properties. In Sect. 4 we present the data analysis and discussion of each target separately. Conclusions and further perspectives are presented in Sect. 5.

2. Observations and reduction

All observations were performed in queue mode with the 4.1m SOAR Telescope at Cerro Pachon, Chile, using the OSIRIS Infrared Imager and Spectrograph (Pogge et al. 1999) in imaging mode. A summary of the observations is presented in Table 1 where $t_{exp}$ is the exposure time and $ndith$ is the number of dithering positions. All runs were carried out under non-photometric conditions, with thin clouds and cirrus, resulting in variable sky transparency. Nevertheless, none of the observations had to be interrupted due to bad weather.

Time-series of NIR photometry were performed quasi-simultaneously in $J$, $H$ and $K_s$, except in cases where the system was too faint and/or has too short orbital period that it was not possible to obtain good signal-to-noise
data within the constraints given by the exposure time and the minimum time-resolution. The procedure of quasi-simultaneous photometry is basically to perform a set of acquisitions in one filter, change to the next filter, perform another another set and so on. This method warrants that any feature, lasting longer than an acquisition cycle, will be present in all light curves.

In some cases, the required total exposure time to achieve the desired signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) saturates the profile of the target or of a field comparison star. In these cases we have to split a single exposure into more than one, and the final image is the sum of NC coadd images. For the targets discussed in this paper, the exposure time were short enough that no coadd procedure was necessary.

Data reduction was performed using IRAF. All frames were first trimmed and the non-linearity of the detector was corrected by employing the 3rd order polynomial solution of [Pogge et al. (1999)] for the OSIRIS instrument. The frames were then divided by the normalized flat-field. A bad pixel mask was constructed from flat-field images and used to correct for bad pixels. Since the sky contribution to NIR light is considerably high, it is standard to perform a dithering procedure, nodding the telescope into different positions after each image is acquired. The sky level is obtained by taking the median of the deth image. Each image is then iteratively shifted to match a reference image (usually the first image of the night) and aperture photometry is extracted for the target and all possible field stars (at least one star, aside the target, for each image field is required) using a "variable aperture" (aperture radius = 2 × FWHM). The filter was changed after each set of ndith acquisitions.

Differential light curves (target star flux divided by comparison star flux) were computed in order to account for sky transparency fluctuations during the night, and flux calibrated from the 2MASS JHK₃ magnitudes of the field comparison star and zero point constants [Skrutskie et al. 2006].

### 3. Sample

We selected a sample of southern PCEBs without accurate NIR light curve models. We searched in the catalog of [Kube et al. (2002)] for objects with confirmed orbital period of less than an observing night (P_orb ≤ 8h) and for systems where the exposure time required to achieve S/N = 50 is less then P_orb/50/N_\text{filters} (with OSIRIS+SOAR, where N_\text{filters} is the number of filters to be used for simultaneous photometry). The latter is a requirement for light curve modeling. Since we are interested on secondary stars properties "as-a-star" we do not exclude systems with subdwarf primaries. Some properties of the targets analyzed in this work are presented in Table 2 and discussed below.

#### 3.1. KV Vel

KV Vel (or LSS 2018) is a non-eclipsing binary containing a hot subdwarf primary (T₁ = 77 000K) and a faint low-mass secondary star, and was the first central object of a planetary nebula discovered to be a double-lined binary [Drilling 1985]. [Drilling (1985)] provided ephemeris, radial velocity measurements and parameter determination of the system using UBV photometric measurements, U/E spectrophotometry and high resolution spectroscopy.

[Drilling (1985)] modeled the UBV light curves of KV Vel considering a simple heating model with spherical stars and no additional flux from the secondary (besides that produced by heating). [Landolt & Drilling (1986)] applied the same model to UBVRI light curves of KV Vel and pointed out that the quality of the fit degraded for wavelengths longer than 5500Å. Beyond this wavelength the secondary star contribution likely becomes significant and its distorted shape could account for the miss-fitting. In that sense, [Hilditch et al. (1996)] analyzed the same data of [Landolt & Drilling (1986)] with an improved model that accounted for the secondary flux and distorted shape as well as for illumination and atmospheric (e.g., limb- and gravity-darkening) effects. Although this improved model was able to provide good fit to the data, a problem with the modeling illumination procedure was later reported by [Hilditch et al. (2003)]. After inspection of the previous model, they found a missing factor of π combined with a miss calculation of the surface normal vector of the emergent flux. These resulted in an underestimation, and possibly missshape, of the reflection effect, leading to unrealistic physical parameters from the fit.

As stated by [Landolt & Drilling (1986)] and later by [Hilditch et al. (1996)], modeling of infrared light curves of this binary would be key to our understanding of its properties and of others of its kind, setting our motivation for this analysis.

#### 3.2. TW Crv

TW Crv (or EC11575-1845) is a close binary similar to KV Vel. Both are long orbital period systems containing a hot subdwarf primary and a cool M dwarf secondary. A detailed description of this system was presented by [Chen et al. (1995)], who provided the first analysis of this close binary, combining UBVRIJHK photometry and optical spectroscopy. Their light curves were used to derive an ephemeris for the system,

\[ T_{\text{mid}}(E) = 2448661.6049(\pm 3) + 0.32762(\pm 3) \times E, \]

by fitting a sinusoidal curve to determine the time of maximum light. A simple model of irradiated non-emitting spherical secondary, similar to that of [Drilling (1985)], was
used to model its optical light curves. As noted, this model produces poor fit to NIR light curves, since the assumption of a non-emitting secondary clearly fails at longer wavelengths.

Radial velocity curves of the system were constructed using the C\textsc{iii}/\textsc{niii} blend at λ4650Å, providing velocity semi-amplitudes of $K_1 = (40 \pm 2)$ km/s and $K_2 = (119 \pm 4)$ km/s for the primary and for the secondary, respectively (Chen et al., 1995). As Chen et al. (1995) pointed out, the measured $K_2$ is actually the radial velocity of the irradiated face of the secondary, rather than the velocity of its center of light. They derived equations to correct that effect, as a function of the radius of the star, assuming uniform irradiation.

Exter et al. (2005) reported the analysis of high resolution (1.1A) time-resolved spectroscopy of TW Crv. They provided radial velocity measurements for both components of the system yielding values of $K_1 = (53 \pm 2)$ km/s and $K_2 = (125 \pm 2)$ km/s for the primary and for the secondary, respectively. As in the case of Chen et al. (1995), the later is also the radial velocity of the centre of light and must corrected to the center of mass of the star before being used to derive binary parameters.

For this analysis we have used new NIR light curves with higher S/N and lower exposure time and an improved model to analyze the data.

4. Data analysis

We analyzed the data with the aid of a light curve modeling code similar to the (Wilson & Devinney, 1971, hereafter WD) algorithm. The code was developed by us to model the NIR light curves of detached and semi-detached close binaries, according to the routines outlined by Kallrath & Milone (1999). Our code was checked against the WD code and also with the code developed by Watson (2002). It was successfully applied to derive orbital parameters of the CV IP Peg and, by extracting the light curve of the secondary, allowed the application of eclipse mapping techniques to its accretion disk (Ribeiro et al., 2007). In the case of pre-CVs, the surface of the secondary is allowed to be any equipotential within its Roche lobe for a given filling factor, which gives the gravitational potential at the surface of the star with respect to the potential of the Roche lobe ($f_{fac} = \Phi_2/\Phi_{RL} \geq 1.0$). Thus, a filling factor of unity corresponds to a Roche lobe filling secondary star, while $f_{fac} > 1.0$ represents under-filling components.

The radiation field of the modeled component is modified to account for gravity- and limb-darkening as well as for illumination effects. The latter considers a point-like source at the position of the primary star with bolometric irradiation $I_{irr}$. We have used the gravity-darkening coefficient of Sarna (1989) for Roche lobe filling stars with convective envelopes ($\beta = 0.05$). Limb-darkening coefficients will be treated when discussing each target separately.

The parameters of the modeling procedure are: mass ratio ($q = M_2/M_1$), inclination ($i$), fluxes of the secondary ($F_2$) and of the primary ($F_1$), irradiated intensity ($I_{irr}$) and filling factor ($f_{fac}$). Since we have no a priori information on the orbital separation and/or primary temperature with light curve modeling, we have used $I_{irr}$ to account for the amplitude of the irradiation effect (assuming an albedo of $\alpha = 1.0$) and adopted the orbital separation as our distance scale. Once we know the amount of irradiation we can discuss its origins. All light curves are modeled simultaneously. For a set of $n$ light curves, $q$, $i$ and $f_{fac}$ are common parameters while $F_2$, $F_1$ and $I_{irr}$ are particular to each data set. We proceed by minimizing the $\chi^2$ of the model with respect to the data. The procedure works by first employing a Simulated Annealing scheme to search for the region of best solution, and then an amoeba minimization routine (Press et al., 1986) is employed to fine-tune the solution. Below we present and discuss the results of the modeling of the data for each target.

4.1. KV Vel

Our NIR light curves of KV Vel (Fig. 1) are largely dominated by reflection effects, and resembles its optical light curves (Hilditch et al., 1996). The amplitude of the reflection effect at NIR is $\Delta H \sim 0.7$ mag against 0.55 mag in the V band.

We fitted separate sinusoids to the JHK$_s$ data in order to measure the time of maximum light. The symmetric shape of the orbital hump with respect to the phase of maximum indicates that it is produced by uniform irradiation of the

Table 2. Properties of sample objects. Magnitudes were extracted from the 2MASS catalog.

| Object | $P_{orb}[d]$ | $K_s$ | $J - H$ | $H - K_s$ | $SP1$ | $SP2$ |
|--------|--------------|-------|---------|-----------|-------|-------|
| KV Vel | 0.36(3)      | 15.1(3) | -0.116(3) | +0.644(3) | M6+I1V(4) | M6+I1V(4) |
| TW Crv | 0.33(2)      | 13.3(3) | -0.022(3) | -0.016(3) | sdOB(4) | M?V(2) |

References. (1) Kilkenny et al. (1988); (2) Chen et al. (1995); (3) Skrutskie et al. (2006); (4) Drilling (1985)
Table 3. Times of maximum light and O-C residuals for KV Vel. Our cycle timings is the mean of individual measurements of the JHKs bands.

| cycle | T(max) (2400000+) | (O-C)* | (O-C) | Ref. |
|-------|------------------|--------|--------|------|
| -100  | 45790.614        | +0.0084| -0.0019| 1    |
| 0     | 45834.52803      | +0.0106| +0.0011| 2    |
| 3     | 45835.59908      | +0.0103| +0.0000| 2    |
| 829   | 46130.5735       | +0.0032| -0.0000| 2    |
| 2834  | 46846.5850       | -0.0118| -0.0000| 3    |
| 2845  | 46850.5130       | -0.0122| -0.0003| 3    |
| 3018  | 46912.2940       | -0.0130| +0.0002| 3    |
| 23382 | 54184.53827      | +0.0045| -0.0092| 4    |

(5) With respect to the linear ephemeris of Drilling (1985).
(6) With respect to the linear ephemeris of Landolt & Drilling (1986).

References. (1) Drilling (1985); (2) Landolt & Drilling (1986); (3) Kilkenny et al. (1988); (4) This work.

Table 4. Inferred parameters of KV Vel.

| Fluxes of the different components. | J | H | K | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| F2 (mJy)                          | 0.40 ± 0.02 | 0.48 ± 0.1 | 0.41 ± 0.02 |
| F1 (mJy)                          | 11.4 ± 0.2 | 7.6 ± 0.1 | 4.2 ± 0.1 |
| Ires.                             | 20.6 ± 0.2 | 15.6 ± 0.1 | 10.1 ± 0.1 |

(i) The filling factor (f_{sec} = \Phi_2/\Phi_{tot} \geq 1.0) is the relative gravitational potential at the stellar surface.
(f) The filling factor yields a radius \( r_2 = 0.24^{+0.05}_{-0.03} \) or \( R_2 = 0.50^{+0.07}_{-0.05} R_\odot \) for the secondary star. As noticed by Hilditch et al. (1996), and underscored by our measurements, the secondary star of KV Vel is rather oversized for its mass in comparison to isolated main-sequence stars of the same mass — likely an indication that it is out of thermal equilibrium.

The resulting mass for the primary star of KV Vel is only marginally consistent with the canonical mass for subdwarf stars (\( M_{sd} = 0.47 M_\odot \), Han et al. 2003). The standard scenario proposed by Han et al. (2003) also predicts the formation of stars with masses ranging from 0.3M_\odot to 0.8M_\odot. In this regard, the primary star of KV Vel may correspond to the rare case of the high mass end of the predicted subdwarf distribution. Nevertheless, we point out that the radial velocity measurements of KV Vel, from Hilditch et al. (1996), are potentially problematic. For instance, the lack of detailed modeling of the irradiation effect may conceivable hide important systematic errors. Therefore, further radial velocity measurements, with proper modeling of irradiation, are required to solve this issue.

We used the NIR colors of the low mass component of the binary to investigate its atmospheric properties and to constraint the distance to the system. The NIR colors for the secondary star of KV Vel are consistent with that of a M6V-M5V dwarf star and with those of a black body radiator with temperature \( T_{bb} = (3000 \pm 100) \)K. Combining the colors and the inferred radius of the star, we infer a distance of \( d = (680 \pm 60) \)pc to the system. In addition, we have also applied the stellar evolutionary models of Baraffe et al. (1998), and consistently obtained a distance of \( d = (700 \pm 100) \)pc to the system. The stellar models that provided the best-fit to the NIR flux distribution of the secondary star are those of a mass of \( M_2 = 0.2 M_\odot \) or \( M_2 = 0.055 M_\odot \) and ages of \( t = 10^{5.5} \) yr or \( t = 10^{8.5} \) yr, respectively.

The resulting model properties of the stellar component are quite controversial. While and age of \( 10^{5.5} \) yr is in closer agreement to that estimated by Schreiber & Gänsicke (2003), it is quite difficult to reconcile the corresponding small mass of 0.055M_\odot with the dynamical solution of KV Vel. For example, the discrepancy between the mass and radius of the secondary star would be even more pronounced and harder to explain. On the other hand, although a stellar component with 0.2M_\odot is in closer agreement with our dynamical solution, it is hard to reconcile the corresponding \( 10^{6.5} \) yr age with the evolutionary stage of a PCEB. However, one might argue that, since the binary has re-
cently evolved from a common envelope phase, the secondary star is still out of thermal equilibrium (which is also indicated by the large radius discrepancy) and, therefore, its properties resemble those of young stellar atmospheres. We conclude that the \( M_2 = 0.2 M_\odot \) is the most plausible solution.

4.2. TW Crv

The light curves of TW Crv are also dominated by reflection effect, similar to those of KV Vel. Therefore, the analysis of its NIR light curve is similar to the analysis of KV Vel.

We initially phase-folded the data using the ephemeris of Eq. (1). As in the case of KV Vel, the orbital minimum does not occur at phase \( \phi = 0 \) as expected, but rather at \( \phi = -0.13 \) (earlier than expected). This is not surprising given the relatively low precision of the ephemeris of Chen et al. (1995) and the long time span between their and our observations. In analogy to the previous section, we fitted a sinusoid to the light curve to measure the time of minimum light, and combined the new timing with those of Chen et al. (1995) to revise the ephemeris of TW Crv.

The best fit least-squares revised linear ephemeris for TW Crv is,

\[
T_{\text{min}}(E) = 2448661.6049(\pm 3) + 0.3276074(\pm 2) \cdot E. \quad (3)
\]

The results of the light curve fitting analysis are listed in Table 5 and shown in Fig. 2. The fluxes of the different components are listed in Table 5 and shown in Fig. 2. The filling factor leads to a radius of \( r_2 = (41 \pm 9) \) and, therefore, the analysis indicates that the secondary star is significantly oversized for its mass. In addition, the flux ratio \( (F_2(J)/F_2(H)) \) of the secondary star is consistent with a black body of \( T \sim 4500 K \) at a distance of \( d \sim 420 \) pc.

5. Discussion and conclusions

New NIR photometry of two long period PCEBs, KV Vel and TW Crv were presented and discussed. By measuring times of maximum light of KV Vel and TW Crv we were able to improve the system ephemerides.

Figs. 4 and 2 shows our flux calibrated phase folded data together with corresponding model light curves. The model accounts for both the constant contribution of the primary and of the illuminated distorted secondary, and also for inhomogeneities in the irradiation field of the secondary due to atmospheric effects. We were able to model the NIR light curves leading to well constrained system parameters (Tables 4 and 5).

From our light curve analysis it is also possible to investigate the irradiation effect on the secondary surface. In contrast to the standard procedure usually adopted for albedo modeling on these kind of binaries (p. ex., Hilditch et al. 1996), in which “known” physical parameters for the components are adopted and used to calculate the resulting light curve, our modeling procedure makes no \textit{a-priori} assumptions on components properties. Yet, the use of previous measurements as initial set of parameters for light curve modeling is useful for accelerating the fitting procedure. Moreover, once we know the amount of irradiation with respect to the average surface intensity of the secondary \( (I_s) \) and, assuming an irradiating energy, we can estimate the albedo of the star.

Given the discrepancies between the results using the radial velocity values of Chen et al. (1995) and Exter et al. (2005), it seems that the corrections derived by Chen et al. (1995) do not apply to the data of Exter et al. (2005). For instance, a non-negligible contribution from the underlying secondary star to the narrow emission lines used by Exter et al. (2005) invalidates the procedure. A detailed

### Table 5. Inferred parameters of TW Crv.

| Fluxes of the different components: | J    | H    |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|
| \( F_2(mJy) \)                    | 2.4\( \pm \) 0.6 | 2.1\( \pm \) 0.4 |
| \( F_2(mJy) \)                    | 17.8\( \pm \) 0.6 | 7.9\( \pm \) 0.3 |
| \( I_{\text{fit}} \)              | 11.0\( \pm \) 0.2 | 6.3\( \pm \) 0.4 |

Simultaneously fitted parameters:

\[ i = (41 \pm 9)^\circ \quad q = (0.28 \pm 0.04) \quad I_{\text{fit}} = (1.33 \pm 0.01) \]
For this analysis, the relation of $I_s$ with the albedo can be derived from,

$$\frac{T'_1}{T_1} = \sqrt{\frac{R_t}{I_s}}, \quad \text{and} \quad R_t = I_s + 1 + A_t \frac{F_s}{F_t}, \quad (4)$$


where $T'_1$ is the modified effective temperature, $T_1$ is the local temperature at the stellar surface, $R_t$ is the local reflection factor, $A_t$ is the bolometric albedo, $F_s$ is the incident flux and $F_t$ is the undisturbed local flux \cite{kallrath1999}. Rearranging the terms in Eq. \ref{eq:4} we obtain,

$$A_t = \frac{I_s - 1}{F_r}, \quad \text{where} \quad F_r = \frac{F_s}{F_t}. \quad (5)$$

We adopted our inferred radius of the secondary star and the values of \cite{hilditch1996} and \cite{chen1995} for the primary stars of KV Vel and TW Crv, respectively. We proceed by considering that the primary and secondary stars in KV Vel have $T_1 = 77 \ 000 \text{K}$ \cite{drilling1985} and $T_2 = 3 \ 400 \text{K}$ \cite{hilditch1996}, respectively, and that they irradiate as black bodies\footnote{These assumptions are necessary in order to provide a way to measure the incident and emergent flux at the surface of the secondary.}. With these assumptions we are able to calculate the emergent ($F_t$) and incident ($F_s$) flux at the surface of the star, and complete the calculations of Eq. \ref{eq:5}. The results are listed in Table \ref{tab:6}.

The resulting value for the albedo is strongly dependent on the assumed temperature for both stars. Therefore, in the case of TW Crv where the temperature of the primary is not well constrained, it is difficult to estimate the albedo reliably. The results in Table \ref{tab:6} are obtained adopting a temperature for the primary of $T_1 = (105 \pm 20) \times 10^4 \text{K}$ \cite{exter2005} and $T_2 = 4 \ 500 \text{K}$ (Sect. \ref{sec:4.2}) for the secondary.

Although the final value for the albedo still depends on the adopted temperature and irradiation field of both components (i.e. whether or not it is valid to approximate their radiation by a black body) we were able to provide a good fit to the data by adopting standard values for gravity- and limb-darkening, differently from the results of \cite{hilditch1996}. Further investigation on system parameters and details of the brightness distribution of the secondary are in demand for a better understanding of the system’s properties and the physics of irradiated atmospheres.
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### Table 6. Values for the albedo resulting from the light curve analysis.

| Star   | $J$    | $H$    | $K_s$  |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| KV Vel | 0.43 ± 0.04 | 0.44 ± 0.04 | 0.41 ± 0.04 |
| TW Crv | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | -      |
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