Implementation of Integrated Bayes Formula and Support Vector Machine for Analysing Airline’s Passengers Review
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Abstract. Nowadays, the utilization of Internet of Things (IoT) is commonly used in the tourism industry, including aviation, where passengers of flight services can rate their satisfaction levels towards the product and service they use by writing their reviews in the form of text-based data on many popular websites. These passenger reviews are collections of potential big data and can be analyzed in order to extract meaningful informations. Some text mining algorithms are already in common use, including the Bayes formula and Support Vector Machine methods. This research proposes an implementation of the Bayes and SVM methods where these algorithms will operate independently yet integrated with other modules such as input data, text pre-processing and shows output result concisely in one single information system. The proposed system was successfully delivered 1000 documents of passenger reviews as input data, then after implemented the pre-processing method, the Bayes formula was used to classify the document reviews into 5 categories, including plane condition, flight comfort, staff service, food and entertainment, and price. While simultaneously, the positive and negative sentiment contained in the review document was analyzed with SVM method and shows the accuracy score of 83.6% for a training to testing set ratio of 50:50, while 82.75% accuracy for the 60:40 ratio, and 83.3% accuracy for the 70:30 ratio. This research shows that two different text mining algorithms can be implemented simultaneously in an effective and efficient way, while still providing an accurate and satisfying performance results in one integrated information system.
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1 Introduction

Text mining is one of the processes in data mining that plays an important role in obtaining data pattern, trend, relationship and potential knowledge that could be analyzed from text-based data [1]. Several common algorithms that are used in text mining includes Naïve Bayes Classifier and Support Vector Machine (SVM), which can conduct classification sentiment analysis with a high level of accuracy [2]. In general, there are already a large number of studies that apply methods considered to be the best for text classification, wherein these methods are used to analyze data separately, using a different text pre-processing method and in which the output shown will be according to the method used. For example, the Bayes method will be used for the classification and clustering of result, while SVM will be used to conduct the positive or negative sentiment analysis.

This research suggests the implementation of two text mining algorithm methods, Bayes formula and SVM, to process the passenger reviews from the TripAdvisor website as a case study. These two algorithmic methods operate independently, yet simultaneously, according to their own functions but are integrated in one information system where other modules such as input data, text pre-processing and algorithm modules of Bayes and SVM are available in this one information system. The first step to acquiring the dataset for input can be done either real-time by the scrapping method, or by gathering existing data that has already been saved. Next, the dataset input is processed by the text pre-processing module to produce a clean data to then be processed by the Bayes method for the purpose of classifying the review’s content into the determined categories. The text pre-processing module is also used for vectorization through the Bag-of-Words method for the processing of classification sentiment with SVM to analyze the positive and negative sentiment contained in the review documents. The objective of this research is to discover useful informations from input data by analysing the classification result from these two algorithm methods that will provide a complete information extraction in the form of review category and the sentiment inside of it, and will be displayed concisely by the system.

2 Methodology

2.1 Text pre-processing and bag-of-words model

In text mining, text pre-processing method is required as an initial stage to prepare dataset before the algorithm would able to process it [3]. The pre-processing method particularly used in this research is comprised of these stages: tokenization, stop word, stemming and lemmatization. Before using machine learning algorithm for training data, it is necessary to present the text document as a feature vector. A commonly employed model in Natural Language Processing (NLP) to conduct vectorization is the Bag-of-Words (BoW) model [4]. The main purpose of this model is to create a vocabulary, which is a collection of different words that appear in the document and each word is associated with its frequency of appearance. The word order in this vocabulary may be ignored. To illustrated, here are two document data, D₁ and D₂, in a training set data:

[D₁]: “Each plane has its own seats.”
[D₂]: “Every airlines has its own passengers.”

Based on the data of the two documents above, the vocabulary can be written as such: V = {each: 1, plane: 1, has: 2, its: 2, own: 2, seats: 1, every: 1, airlines: 1, passengers: 1}. The vocabulary that is now formed is implemented as vectorization, shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Bag-of-Words Model

| Input  | each | plane | ... | passengers |
|--------|------|-------|-----|------------|
| XD1    | 1    | 1     | ... | 0          |
| XD2    | 0    | 0     | ... | 1          |
| \(\sum XD1\) \(\sum XD2\) | 1    | 1     | ... | 1          |

The implementation of the text document vectorization as shown above will become an important key in the implementation of the next algorithms used to analyze text data.

2.2 Bayes formula for categories classification

Bayes formula is one of the more popular algorithm method used in text mining because of its easy use, relatively quick processing time, simple structure, easy implementation and high level of effectiveness [5][6]. The Bayes algorithm can be used to find the highest probability value and conduct classification of tested text data in the most suitable category [7]. The basic Bayes formula for text classification [8] can be written as such:

\[
Pr(\text{Category}|\text{Word}) = \frac{Pr(\text{Word}|\text{Category}).Pr(\text{Category})}{Pr(\text{Word})}
\]

(1)

The equation above can be rewritten as such:

\[
Pr(\text{Ci}|Wj) = \frac{Pr(Wj|Ci).Pr(Ci)}{Pr(Wj)}
\]

(2)

\(Ci\) is the specified category \((C_1, C_2 \ldots C_k)\), while \(Wj\) is the frequency that a word appears in document \(X\) \((W_1, W_2 \ldots W_k)\). The equation \(Pr(Ci|Wj)\) is the probability of word \(Wj\) in document \(X\) that is classified in category \(Ci\), and \(Pr(Wj|Ci)\) is the conditional probability for the amount of \(Wj\) data in category \(Ci\). Probability \(Pr(Ci)\) is the value for the probability of category \(Ci\) and \(Pr(Wj)\) is the probability value of word \(Wj\) in the document.

Equation (2) is the main calculation to calculate the probability of document \(X\), where the value of \(Pr(Ci)\) as prior probability, can be calculated with the equation:

\[
Pr(Ci) = \frac{\text{total number of words in category } Ci}{\text{total number of words in document } X}
\]

(3)

Meanwhile the probability value of word \(Wj\) in document \(X\) or \(Pr(Wj)\) is calculated with the equation:

\[
Pr(Wj) = \frac{\text{frequency of word } Wj \text{ in document } X}{\text{total number of words in document } X}
\]

(4)

The same method can be implemented to find the total amount of the frequency for all words in all categories in the training data base. Next, to calculate the likelihood of a certain category \(Ci\) that is inside a certain word \((wj)\), then the appearance list of \(wj\) in \(Ci\) in the training data base is found and then all words in \(Ci\) are summed to find the value of \(Pr(Wj|Ci)\), as demonstrated by the following equation:

\[
Pr(Wj|Ci) = \frac{\text{frequency of word } Wj \text{ in category } Ci}{\text{total number of words in category } Ci}
\]

(5)
From the previous explanation, then, the entire parameter of Bayes formula for text classification has been completed, including the value of prior probability, \( Pr(C_i) \), likelihood, \( Pr(W_j|C_i) \) and evidence, \( Pr(W_j) \), thus producing the posterior probability, \( Pr(C_i|W_j) \), in every word of the input document. The value of posterior probability for every word that is notated in a certain category is then entered to the classification table according to the specified category, as shown in Table 2.

**Table 2. Category classification with Bayes formula**

| Probability of word \((W_j)\) | Probability of Category \(C_1\) | Probability of Category \(C_2\) | ..... | Probability of Category \(C_k\) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|-------------------------------|
| \(W_1\)                    | \(Pr(C_1|W_1)\)              | \(Pr(C_2|W_1)\)              | ..... | \(Pr(C_k|W_1)\)              |
| \(W_2\)                    | \(Pr(C_1|W_2)\)              | \(Pr(C_2|W_2)\)              | ..... | \(Pr(C_k|W_2)\)              |
| .....                       | .....                          | .....                          | ..... | .....                          |
| \(W_k\)                    | \(Pr(C_1|W_k)\)              | \(Pr(C_2|W_k)\)              | ..... | \(Pr(C_k|W_k)\)              |
| Total                      | \(\sum Pr(C_1|W_k)\)        | \(\sum Pr(C_2|W_k)\)        | ..... | \(\sum Pr(C_k|W_k)\)        |
| \(\sum\) Probability of Document | \(\frac{\sum Pr(C_1|W_k)}{n}\) | \(\frac{\sum Pr(C_2|W_k)}{n}\) | ..... | \(\frac{\sum Pr(C_k|W_k)}{n}\) |

After all columns of probability are completed, then \(C_i\) can be calculated by dividing the total columns of probability with the length of query \(n\), using the equation:

\[
Pr(\text{Document } X) = \frac{\sum \text{Pr}(Ci|w_1,w_2,w_3...w_k)}{n}
\]

\(n = \sum_{j=1}^{k} W_j\)

As an example, the value of probability of document \(X\) included in a certain category \((C_i)\) can be calculated by finding the conditional probability of frequency of words in document \(X\) \((W_j)\), in accordance with the word label contained in Category \(C_i\) calculated with equation \(Pr(C_i|W_j)\). The list of category \(C\) is arranged: \(C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots C_k\), whereas the appearance of word \(W_j\) in document \(X\) is arranged: \(W_{j1}, W_{j2}, W_{j3} \ldots W_{jn}\). The word appearance and probability table on Table 1 above has produced the probability value of a document in each category.

**2.3 Support vector machine for sentiment analysis**

Support vector machine (SVM) is a classification algorithm that uses the best method for finding a decision boundary to perform data mapping from the input space or parameter space into a feature space with higher dimensionality [2]. Several researches have proven that compared to other classification techniques, SVM is capable of presenting classification results with a decent level of accuracy [8]. SVM requires the transformation of raw text data into feature vector before it can be processed by the algorithm, and this process can be done using the Bag-of-Words model.

In classifying into two positive and negative classes in order to then do sentiment analysis in a document, the SVM algorithm is responsible to determine the optimal separating hyperplane and maximize the margin between the two different classes.
[9]. SVM functions to solve that linear problem in order to maximized decision boundary. Figure 1 shows the calculation of optimal separating hyperplane in SVM.

![Figure 1. Optimal Separating Hyperplane](https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202020215004)

By using SVM as a linear classification to divide two different data classes, assuming that the dataset used has label \( x_i \) and feature \( y_i \) as class labels with \( y_i \in \{-1, 1\} \), for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots n \), where \( n \) is the number of data, with parameter \( w \) (weight) and \( b \) (bias), then hyperplane can be obtained with the equation:

\[
f(x) = w^T x + b
\]  

(7)

To solve the linear problem of two classes, equation (7) can be written as such:

\[
y = \text{sign}(w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + b)
\]  

(8)

Note:
- \( w \) = weight
- \( x \) = vector input
- \( b \) = bias
- \( y \) = class label

if \( y = 0 \) then the class is 1 (positive), and if \( y < 0 \) the class is -1 (negative). To calculate distance \( D \) of a data point \( x \) from hyperplane, use equation:

\[
D = \frac{|w^T x + b|}{|w|}
\]  

(9)

Thus, the margin of support vector is calculated using the equation:

\[
\frac{w}{||w||} = \frac{w^T (x_+ - x_-)}{||w||}
\]

\[
= \frac{w^T ((\frac{+1}{w^T}) - (\frac{-1}{w^T}))}{||w||} = \frac{2}{||w||}
\]  

(10)

Fundamentally, the equation to find hyperplane can be used to solve the classification problem of two dimensionalities, such as sentimental analysis in a text document into two categories, positive or negative. On the other hand, a non-linear classification problem requires a more complex solution, which is to enter Kernel function in the mapping of feature vector into a higher dimensional space. The functions of Kernel trick commonly used in SVM includes Polynomial, Gaussian radial basis function (Gaussian RBF) and Sigmoid. SVM is considered computationally more efficient and quicker to process as it only uses a partial of the document presented as feature vector in order to do algorithmic learning [5].
2.4 Evaluation of classification performances

After data results from the classified method has reached, it is necessary to calculate the accuracy of those algorithm. One method to measure accuracy level is through cross tabulation or confusion matrix [5]. Confusion matrix is required to measure the performance and assess accuracy level of classification results. Confusion matrix is comprised of matrix row information and classification columns which respectively represent the actual data class and the class prediction obtained by algorithmic calculation. Table 3 shows an example of confusion matrix that is the classification result of 2 binary classes, class of 0 and class of 1.

Table 3. Confusion matrix of 2 classes

| Actual Class (i) | Class Prediction (j) | Class = 0 | Class = 1 |
|------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
|      Class = 0   | True Positive (TP)   | f_{00}    | False Negative (FN) | f_{01} |
|      Class = 1   | False Positive (FP)  | f_{10}    | True Negative (TN)  | f_{11} |

In confusion matrix, every cell $f_{ij}$ states the information of data number from class $i$, which is predicted into class $j$. As an example, a true positive (TP) is produced if the actual data class of 0 is mapped correctly in prediction class of 0, whereas a false negative (FN) is produced if the actual data class of 1 is mapped incorrectly in prediction class of 0. False negative (FN) is produced if the actual data class of 0 is mapped incorrectly in prediction class of 1, and a true positive (TP) is produced if the actual data class of 1 is mapped correctly in prediction class of 1. From the information resulted by confusion matrix, it is now possible to find the value of Precision, Recall, Accuracy and F-Measure to then determine the best classification accuracy, as shown below:

**Precision** is the level of accuracy and exactness for the estimated proportion of correct positive cases, formulated by the equation:

\[
Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}
\]  

(11)

**Recall** is the level of accuracy and exactness for the estimated proportion of positive cases that are identified correctly, formulated by the equation:

\[
Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}
\]  

(12)

**Accuracy** is the level of accuracy and exactness for the proportion of total correct predictions, formulated by the equation:

\[
Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FP + TN + FN}
\]  

(13)

In some conditions it is possible to find a situation when there is a disproportion in the values of precision, recall dan accuracy. Therefore, the F-Measure (F1 Score) is required to find a balance using the equation:

\[
F1 \text{ Score} = 2 \times \frac{Precision \times Recall}{Precision + Recall}
\]  

(14)

By using confusion matrix, it is possible to represent the predicted value and the actual condition of the data produced by machine learning algorithm.

3 Implementation
The proposed integrated information system consists of 3 modules: input, process and output. The input module consist of input data set used in this system, which is taken from the comments of Singapore Airlines passengers on the TripAdvisor website. The process module consist of text pre-processing and the algorithms model, then, the classification results shows in the output module. Figure 2 shows the proposed system framework.

![System Framework](image)

**Figure 2. Integrated information system’s framework**

The acquisition of data set can be done in real-time through the scrapping and filtering method, or by taking a collection of existing data set that has already been previously prepared. As an example, in this particular research, a data set of 1000 reviews written in English between December 2019 and March 2020 has been taken. Before being processed by the algorithm, the input data will pass through the text pre-processing module for the purpose of data cleaning, tokenization and text vectorization. Next, Bayes and SVM will process the clean data text simultaneously, yet still independently. For the Bayes model, the available input data will be classified into 5 category labels, which are: (1) **Airplane**; reviews of the condition of the airplane, (2) **Comfort**; reviews of comfort during the flight, (3) **Staff Services**; reviews of the flight attendants service, (4) **Food & Entertainment**; reviews of in-flight food and entertainment; dan (5) **Price**; reviews of ticket price and price in comparison with the service. For the Bayes algorithm to run, it has to receive training in the form of rules, which are the unique collection of words forming the desired category labels. Table 4 shows the example of rules contained in each category (Ci) for the Bayes algorithm classification process.

**Table 4. List of rule and category label for Bayes algorithm**

| No | Category (Ci)          | Words Label (Wp)                                                                 |
|----|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| C1 | Airplane               | Plane, aeroplane, aircraft, airbus, boeing, new, old, Seat, space, legroom, room, chair |
| C2 | Comfort                | Reliable, stable, safe, safety, induction, Punctual, schedule, delay, cancel, late, reschedule, miss, quick, time, baggage, ground, lounge, terminal, |
| C3 | Staff Services         | Crew, staff, steward, stewardess                                                |
| C4 | Food & Entertainment   | Food, beverage, drink, coffee, snack, meal, dinner, lunch, Entertainment, movie, tv, music, wifi, internet |
| C5 | Price                  | Price, value, money, ticket, discount, promotion, pay, cost, cheap, expensive    |
Simultaneously, the SVM algorithm uses the vectorization result from the BoW model in the text pre-processing stage and will conduct a sentiment analysis to determine the meaning of each testing data document, if they are valued positive or negative.

4 Result and Discussion

4.1 Text pre-processing results

The first step undertaken by the processing system, once the input data of 1000 review documents ($D_1, D_2, D_3 ... D_{1000}$) are collected, is data cleaning process by text pre-processing module. This is done by implementing a simple processes which include stop word removal, tokenization and stemming. Previously, input data set is given manual labels that encompass categories according to the predetermined rule category for Bayes processing, and sentiment label as a parameter prediction labels of positive and negative which refer to the Lexicon dictionary. This is done to shape the model of the training data for Bayes and SVM algorithms. Sample results of this text pre-processing method are showed in the following Table 5.

| Documents | Review Contents                                                                 | Pre-processing                                                                 | Predicted Labelling      | Predicted Sentiment |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| $D_1$     | Flew on SQ A380 to LHR from SIN in October 2019. Had the privilege to sit on the newly refurbished 1st Class/Suite and it was absolutely fantastic! The suite itself with its separate chair and bed was really nice. Service from the crew was superb and food was absolutely delicious. | [fly sq sin october had privilege sit new class suite fantastic separate chair bed real nice service crew superb food absolute delicious] | Airplane ; Comfort ; staff services ; F&E | Positive |
| $D_2$     | My husband and I could not be happier with the service, cleanliness, staff cheerfulness, food, and just about everything else on our flight in late February. | [husband happy service clean cheer food flight late february] | Comfort ; staff services ; F&E | Positive |
| $D_{1000}$| We paid extra to get good seating location. Food & beverage service slow and not much available. | [pay extra good seat locate food beverage service slow available] | Comfort ; F&E | Negative |

The result data from this pre-processing step will then be processed by Bayes and SVM algorithm model. Because the final accuracy of classification result can be affected by the number of training document and the manual labelling process, it is therefore necessary to update the data label and rule continuously during the system evaluation stage.
4.2 Categories classification results

Furthermore, based on the available result of text pre-processing using the Bayes algorithm calculation in Table 2, the document probability is obtained as shown in Table 6:

| Input Doc | Prob. C1 | Prob. C2 | Prob. C3 | Prob. C4 | Prob. C5 |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| D1        | 0.625    | 0.125    | 0.125    | 0.125    | 0        |
| D2        | 0.25     | 0.5      | 0.25     | 0        | 0        |
| D3        | 0.571428571 | 0.142857143 | 0     | 0.285714286 | 0        |
| ⋮         | ⋮        | ⋮        | ⋮        | ⋮        | ⋮        |
| D1000     | 0.063    | 0.023    | 0.036    | 0.075    | 0.005    |

Based on the category classification result of Bayes algorithm for 1000 tested documents in Table 6, the result is obtained from all of those tested document ($\sum D_n$), in which the highest category classification is $C_4$ (Food & Entertainment) with a probability of 0.075 or a 37% percentage out of all testing documents. It is followed by category $C_1$ (Airplane) with a probability of 0.063 or a 31% percentage in second place, and category $C_3$ (staff services) with a probability of 0.036 or 18% in third. While the next category is $C_2$ (comfort) with a probability of 0.023 or a 11% percentage, and lastly category $C_5$ with a probability of 0.005 or a 3% percentage out of all documents. From this obtained data, then, the system displays the results information in Table 6 above as a bar chart, for the user’s ease of reading, as shown in Figure 4 below.

![Classification Result](image)

**Figure 3.** System output of Bayes algorithm classification results

This result shows that the Bayes algorithm module in the proposed system has successfully presented categories contained from the testing documents in accordance to the rules that have been set.
4.3 Sentiment analysis result

Simultaneously, using the available text pre-processing results data, the system parallelly processing the input data with the SVM algorithm to conduct sentiment analysis. Furthermore, confusion matrix will find out the accuracy level of the classification results as described below. The input document \((D_n)\) of 1000 data yields 764 positive manually labelled data and 236 negative data labels. Then the training data to testing data is determined using ratios of 50:50, 60:40 and 70:30. Next, SVM algorithm uses the confusion matrix table to measure the classification accuracy level, producing the results shown in the following tables.

Table 7. Confusion Matrix for Data Ratio 50:50

| Training - Testing Data Ratio | Matrix Confusion | Prediction |  |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------|
|                              | Actual           | Positive   | Negative  |
| 50:50                        | Positive         | 345        | 37        |
|                              | Negative         | 45         | 73        |
|                              | Precision        | 0,884615   | 0,663636  |
|                              | Recall           | 0,903141   | 0,618644  |
|                              | F-Measure        | 0,893782   | 0,640351  |
|                              | Accuracy         | 0,836      |  |

Table 8. Confusion Matrix for Data Ratio 60:40

| Training - Testing Data Ratio | Matrix Confusion | Prediction |  |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------|
|                              | Actual           | Positive   | Negative  |
| 60:40                        | Positive         | 267        | 38        |
|                              | Negative         | 31         | 64        |
|                              | Precision        | 0,895973   | 0,627451  |
|                              | Recall           | 0,87541    | 0,673684  |
|                              | F-Measure        | 0,885572   | 0,649746  |
|                              | Accuracy         | 0,8275     |  |

Table 9. Confusion Matrix for Data Ratio 70:30

| Training - Testing Data Ratio | Matrix Confusion | Prediction |  |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------|
|                              | Actual           | Positive   | Negative  |
| 70:30                        | Positive         | 207        | 26        |
|                              | Negative         | 24         | 43        |
|                              | Precision        | 0,896104   | 0,623188  |
|                              | Recall           | 0,888412   | 0,641791  |
|                              | F-Measure        | 0,892241   | 0,632353  |
|                              | Accuracy         | 0,83333333 |         |
These tables are shown in the output system with this result as follow: the 50:50 training
data to testing data ratio yields a classification accuracy level of 0.836 x 100%= 83.6%, with
the 60:40 ratio an accuracy level of 0.8275 x 100%= 82.75%, and the 70:30 ratio an accuracy
level of 0.833 x 100%= 83.3%. This is an empirical proof that classification using the SVM
method can give a high and stable level of accuracy with an average of 83%.

5 Conclusion

This research has proposed the implementation of 2 algorithm methods, Bayes formula and
SVM, that are integrated with other modules such as input data module, text pre-processing
module and classification results output in a integrated information system to analyse the
review documents of Singapore Airlines passengers on the TripAdvisor website. The input
data module can be used to take input data set, to then be processed with text pre-processing
module using the implementation of BoW model for vectorization. The Bayes algorithm
module is used to produce the classification result of passenger reviews according to the
category of review content, as divided into 5 categories. The percentage out of all 1000
documents of data input, based on the calculated probability for each category, is made up as
such: 31% of the total document is reviews on airplane condition, 11% on comfort, 18% on
staff services, 37% on food & entertainment, and 3% on price. The conclusion of this result
shows that most passenger reviews are concerning the in-flight food and entertainment.

Simultaneously, the SVM algorithm module implementation for sentiment analysis has
produced a positive and negative label classification with a stable accuracy level, in which
the 50:50 training data to testing data ratio has an accuracy of 83.6%, the 60:40 data ratio has
an accuracy of 82.75% and the 70:30 data ratio has an accuracy of 83.3%. The proposed
integrated information system for analysing review documents in this particular research can
be used to process any other text-based reviews data, and can be developed in the next
research in order to obtain an even higher level of accuracy, which can be done by conducting
a more intensive training on the system, for instance by updating the data rule used by Bayes
classification and refining the manual labelling process as training data for SVM algorithm.
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