Multi-timescale reverberation mapping of Mrk 335
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ABSTRACT

Time lags due to X-ray reverberation have been detected in several Seyfert galaxies. The different traveltime between reflected and directly observed rays naturally causes this type of lag, which depends directly on the light-crossing time-scale of the system and hence scales with the mass of the central black hole. Featureless ‘hard lags’ not associated with reverberation, and often interpreted as propagating mass accretion rate fluctuations, dominate the longer time-scale variability. Here we fit our RELTRANS model simultaneously to the time-averaged energy spectrum and the lag-energy spectra of the Seyfert galaxy Mrk 335 over two time-scales (Fourier frequency ranges). We model the hard lags as fluctuations in the slope and strength of the illuminating spectrum, and self-consistently account for the effects that these fluctuations have on the reverberation lags. The resulting mass estimate is $1.1^{+1.9}_{-0.7} \times 10^{9} \, M_{\odot}$, which is significantly lower than the mass measured with the optical reverberation mapping technique (14–26 million $M_{\odot}$). When we add the correlated variability amplitudes to the time lags by fitting the full complex cross-spectra, the model is unable to describe the characteristic reverberation Fe Kα line and cannot constrain the black hole mass. This may be due to the assumption that the direct radiation is emitted by a point-like source.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are thought to be powered by the accretion of matter on to supermassive black holes ($10^{6}$–$10^{9} \, M_{\odot}$). The gas forms an accretion disc and emits a multitemperature blackbody spectrum that peaks in the UV band (Shields 1978; Malkan 1983). Some of these photons act as seed photons for inverse Compton up-scattering in a hot electron ‘corona’ (Eardley, Lightman & Shapiro 1975; Thorne & Price 1975). The resulting direct Comptonized emission is often simply modelled as a power law with a high energy cut-off, where the power-law index and the cut-off energy are related to the optical depth and the electron temperature, respectively.

Part of this radiation illuminates the disc and is re-emitted, producing the reflection component in the spectrum (e.g. Lightman & White 1988). The shape of the overall time-averaged energy spectrum depends on the properties of both the corona, such as optical depth and temperature, and the accretion disc, including both its physical characteristics (e.g. ionization and iron abundance) and its geometry (e.g. inclination and radius of the inner edge). One of the most prominent features in the reflection spectrum is an iron Kα line emitted at 6.4 keV and broadened by the effects of orbital motion in the disc and relativistic redshift (Fabian et al. 1989). The black hole drags space–time around it further modifying the emission line profile (Fabian et al. 2000), making it possible to measure the spin of the black hole (see Reynolds 2014, for a review).

Although this approach of time-averaged spectral fitting led to very interesting results, there are several aspects that have remained unclear, in particular, the exact geometry of the system. For example, the extent of the corona is under debate. It might be either a compact cloud of gas that could reasonably (compared to the accretion disc dimensions) be modelled as a point source (Haardt & Maraschi 1991), or a more extended structure, with various geometries being considered for it (e.g. Eardley et al. 1975; Haardt & Maraschi 1993). One of the reasons for this ambiguity is that in time-averaged spectral fitting, degeneracies among the model parameters can often not be avoided. In order to help break such degeneracies, it is possible to additionally study the time variability of the spectrum. One effective way to study the spectral variability is to measure the time lags observed in both supermassive and stellar-mass black holes between the variability in different photon energy bands. Two types of lag are observed in AGNs, the ‘hard lag’ that is intrinsic to the direct emission (intrinsic lags) and the ‘soft lag’ that is due to the differences in light-crossing time from corona to observer between the direct and the reflected emission (the reverberation lags). The intrinsic lags are thought to be generated by mass accretion rate fluctuations propagating through the accretion disc towards the black hole (e.g. Lyubarskii 1997; Arévalo & Uttley 2006; Ingram & van der Klis 2013). The time-scales of the fluctuations depend on the viscosity of the gas; thus, long time-scales arise in the outer part of the accretion flow and short time-scales closer to the black hole. The fluctuations reach the corona causing variations in the electron temperature. At each radius, the variability is due to the product of local fluctuations and the generally slower fluctuations that propagated in from larger radii. Conversely, the reverberation lags are due to the different path-lengths of the variability signals to reach the observer. Hence, the variability in the energy band dominated by the reflected emission lags behind the variability of the direct, inverse Compton emission.

The hard lags dominate at long time-scales, and hence in many sources the lags as a function of Fourier frequency computed.
between $\simeq 0.3$–1.0 keV (soft energy band) and $\simeq 2.0$–4.0 (hard energy band) are positive (i.e. hard flux lags soft flux) at Fourier frequencies $f \lesssim 300$ M$_{\odot}$/M Hz. The lag spectrum as a function of photon energy calculated in this frequency range is featureless and lag depends approximately linearly on log $E$ (e.g. Papadakis, Nandra & Kazanas 2001; McHardy et al. 2004). At higher frequencies, the hard intrinsic lags are smaller, and soft (negative) lags have been detected between the same two energy bands (e.g. Fabian et al. 2009). The lag-energy spectrum in this higher frequency range is indicative of reverberation, exhibiting a negative slope for $E \lesssim 4$ keV (hence the soft lag between the two broad energy bands), with a broad iron line feature in the 6–7 keV range (e.g. Kara et al. 2016) and a Compton hump in the 10–80 keV range (Zoghbi et al. 2014). Detecting this Fe line reverberation feature in the lag-energy spectrum is easier in AGNs than in stellar-mass black holes because they are much bigger systems and so the time-scales involved are consequently longer. However, because of their distance, AGNs are usually fainter than stellar-mass black holes, so they are often characterized by a worse signal to noise. For that reason, we need to use as wide as possible a frequency range to calculate the lag-energy spectrum, and analyse it together with the time-averaged energy spectrum in order to constrain the system parameters.

Looking only at the time lags means considering only a limited part of the information in the data, as the correlated variability amplitude as a function of energy and frequency is ignored in this approach. Lags and amplitudes have been considered together in only a few cases (e.g. Uttley et al. 2011; Kara et al. 2013a; Rapisarda et al. 2016); however, joint modelling of both has not yet been performed for AGNs. So, progress could be made by jointly modelling the time-averaged and variability (time lag and correlated amplitude) spectra taking into account both the effects of mass accretion rate propagating fluctuations and reverberation lags. The best way to consider time lags and correlated amplitudes together is by explicitly modelling the real and imaginary parts of the complex cross-spectra (van der Klis et al. 1987; Ingram et al. 2016; Rapisarda et al. 2016; Mastroserio, Ingram & van der Klis 2018), and that is the approach taken in this paper.

We have developed the fully relativistic reverberation mapping model RELTRANS (Ingram et al. 2019) that, using a transfer function formalism (e.g. Campana & Stella 1995; Reynolds et al. 1999; Cackett et al. 2014), computes the complex cross-spectrum for a range of Fourier frequencies and the time-averaged energy spectrum in a prescription based on a ‘lamppost’ coronal geometry (e.g. Matt, Perola & Piro 1991), assuming isotropic emission and a flat thin accretion disc. In the configuration of RELTRANS that we use, the disc radial ionization profile is self-consistently calculated from the radial density profile corresponding to a Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) zone A accretion disc. However, the rest-frame reflection spectrum is computed using the model xillver (García et al. 2013), which employs a fixed electron number density of $10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$. Future versions of reltrans will include the variable electron density version of xillver, xillverD (García et al. 2016), which will enable us to include self-consistently a radial density profile as well as a radial ionization profile. The RELTRANS model computes the reverberation lags and accounts for the different light-crossing times of the photons using proper relativistic ray tracing both between corona and each point on the disc and from each point on the disc to the observer.

We use here a further improved version of RELTRANS that accounts for the hard lags through the pivoting of the continuum spectrum by allowing fluctuations in the index and normalization of the power law (Mastroserio, Ingram & van der Klis 2019). This serves as a proxy for the cooling and heating of the corona by the fluctuations in the rate of seed photons coming from the disc and the mass accretion rate fluctuations propagating into the corona. Each patch of the disc sees a different hardness of the incident emission coming from the corona due to the effects of orbital motion and redshift that are properly evaluated taking into account the different paths of the photons (Mastroserio et al. 2018). This causes non-linear effects in the fluctuations of the reflected emission (i.e. not just the strength but also the shape of the reflection spectrum fluctuates) that are taken into account in the model. RELTRANS has been successfully tested on Cygnus X-1 (Mastroserio et al. 2019) and previously used for a proof of principle of the method (fitting only the reverberation lags) in Mrk 335 (Ingram et al. 2019).

In this paper, we present a full exploration of the model with an XMM–Newton observation of Mrk 335. The Seyfert 1 galaxy Mrk 335 has been extensively studied both in terms of time-averaged spectrum (e.g. Wilkins & Gallo 2015; Keek & Ballantyne 2016) and lag spectrum, which shows both hard and soft lags (e.g. De Marco et al. 2013a; Kara et al. 2013b; Chainakun, Young & Kara 2016). The source has been observed at different flux levels (defining ‘flux epochs’) (Wilkins & Fabian 2013) with XMM–Newton from 2006 to 2009. Reverberation lags are detected only in the highest flux epoch (Kara et al. 2013b) although the reflection component in the spectrum is then weaker than that in the low-flux epochs (Keek & Ballantyne 2016). We therefore select the high-flux epoch and use RELTRANS to simultaneously fit the time-averaged energy spectrum and the complex cross-spectra as a function of energy in multiple Fourier frequency ranges.

2 DATA REDUCTION

We analyse a 133 ks observation of Mrk 335 performed with XMM–Newton in 2006 (obs ID 0306870101). During the observation, the source was in the high-flux epoch (Wilkins & Gallo 2015) with a 0.5–10 keV flux of $4.08 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Grupe, Komossa & Gallo 2007). We follow the data reduction procedure described in Ingram et al. (2019), considering only the EPIC-pn data and discarding the EPIC-MOS data (following Kara et al. 2013b). We use the Science Analysis System (SAS) v11.0.0 to extract the signal from a circular region with a 35 arcsec radius centred on the maximum of the source emission. We apply the filters PATTERN $\leq 4$ and FLAG $== 0$ and discard background flares at the beginning and at the end of the observation (considering only the interval 252 709 714–252 829 414 s telescope time). We extract the time-averaged source and background energy spectrum and also extract light curves with 10 s binning from 12 different energy bands with the same energy resolution as used by Kara et al. (2013b). The SAS task EPICLCCORR performs various corrections including subtracting the background signal, which is extracted from a circular region of 35 arcsec radius at some distance from the source.

We compute the cross-spectrum between the light curve for each energy band and the reference light curve that is the sum of all the light curves except the subject one (Uttley et al. 2014), and average it into broad frequency ranges. We consider the full length of the light curves in order to probe the same frequency ranges as Kara et al. (2013b) and De Marco et al. (2013a). Before Fourier transforming the light curves, we fill in small gaps (less than 100 s) by randomly extracting the missing count rates from a Poisson distribution centred on the value interpolated between the previous and next bins of the gap. We divide the cross-spectrum into two frequency ranges: 0.02–0.2 and 0.2–0.7 mHz. These two frequency ranges are roughly in the regimes dominated by the hard and soft lags, respectively, as found by De Marco et al. (2013a). We find that
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the intrinsic coherence (Vaughan & Nowak 1997) is consistent with unity in these two frequency ranges but drops off significantly at higher frequencies, as is seen in other AGNs (Zoghbi et al. 2010; Uttley et al. 2014). Since the model assumes unity coherence, we ignore the higher frequencies that display low coherence. Since the Fourier frequency resolution of the cross-spectrum is 8.35 × 10⁻⁶ Hz, the first frequency range contains 21 Fourier frequencies, and the second 60. The cross-spectral amplitudes averaged over these two frequency ranges are therefore sufficiently close to Gaussian-distributed that we can use the χ² statistic for the purposes of fitting models (Nowak et al. 1999).

Epitropakis & Papadakis (2016) pointed out that considering the full length of the light curve and averaging the cross-spectrum into broad frequency bins (smoothing) can introduce a bias to the time-lag estimates. Specifically, the time lag estimated for a given frequency bin is not equal to the expectation value of the true time lag at the central frequency of the considered frequency bin. This occurs because the amplitude and phase of the cross-spectrum can depend quite steeply on frequency, and therefore the cross-spectrum can change quite substantially and non-linearly within a broad frequency bin. The improved version of RELTRANS that we present in this paper (see Section 3) models this bias by first calculating the predicted frequency-dependent cross-spectrum and then averaging over the frequency range corresponding to the observational data. This can be understood as the model including exactly the same biases as the data do, leading to the best-fitting model parameters themselves being unbiased.1 The old version of the model (Ingram et al. 2019)2 only approximately followed the described procedure. These approximations are good for the low frequencies (in terms of c/Rg) probed by fits to X-ray binary data (e.g. Mastroserio et al. 2019), but break down for the higher frequencies (again in terms of c/Rg) probed by fits to AGN data. We therefore first address the inaccuracies in the old model (Section 3) before fitting to the Mrk 335 data (Section 4).

3 RELTRANS MODEL IMPROVEMENTS

In this section, we describe the new version of the RELTRANS model used in this paper. A future paper will detail further improvements in addition to those described here, and will be accompanied by the public release of a new model version (version 2). The initial release of the model was described in Ingram et al. (2019). Subsequently, Mastroserio et al. (2019) included the non-linear effect of variations in the power-law index of the illuminating spectrum, which can reproduce the observed hard lags. Here, we build on the Mastroserio et al. (2019) model by relaxing some assumptions that caused inaccuracies in the high frequency ranges (in terms of c/Rg) probed by AGNs. Averaging the transfer functions over the frequency range (the method used in the old version of the model) is correct only when the transfer function (modulus and phase) and the variability amplitude of the continuum variations do not depend strongly on frequency. This is not the case for the higher frequencies at which the reverberation lags dominate. Thus, the improved model calculates the frequency-dependent cross-spectrum before averaging over the specified frequency range. We present below the mathematical details of our improvements to the model, which include a slight change in the model parameters specifying the spectral pivoting that makes them easier to interpret physically.

3.1 Formalism

We start by representing the time-dependent spectrum directly observed from the corona as

\[ D(E, t) = A(t) E^{1 - \Gamma(t)} e^{-E/E_{\text{cut, obs}}} \]

where \( \ell \) and \( E_{\text{cut, obs}} \) are, respectively, the lensing factor and observed high energy cut-off as defined in Ingram et al. (2019) and \( g_{\text{cut}} \equiv g_{\text{cut}}/(1 + z) \), where \( g_{\text{cut}} \) is the blueshift experienced by a photon travelling from the corona to an observer at infinity in an asymptotically flat and static space–time and \( z \) is the cosmological redshift experienced by the photon. The normalization \( A(t) \) varies around a mean value \( A_0 \) and the power-law index \( \Gamma(t) \) varies (with small variability amplitude) around a mean value \( \Gamma_0 \). The total spectrum is the sum of the directly observed emission and the reflected emission, which we calculate using the transfer function formalism extensively described in Ingram et al. (2019) and Mastroserio et al. (2019).

The Fourier transform (FT) of the full spectrum is

\[ S(E, v) = e^{-\tau(E)} \left\{ A(v) \left[ D(E) + W_0 (E, v) \right] + A_0 \Gamma(v) \left[ \ln(g_{\text{cut}}/ E) D(E) + W_1 (E, v) + W_2 (E, v) \right] \right\}. \tag{1} \]

This expression is very similar to equation (8) in Mastroserio et al. (2019), except here we explicitly include interstellar absorption with the \( e^{-\tau(E)} \) factor (this was left as implicit in Mastroserio et al. 2019), and Mastroserio et al. (2019) defined a new term \( B(v) = -A_0 \Gamma(v) \), which we now refrain from doing in order to make the model parameters easier to interpret. Here, \( D(E) \equiv \ell E^{1 - \Gamma} e^{-E/E_{\text{cut, obs}}} \), and \( W_0 (E, v), W_1 (E, v), \) and \( W_2 (E, v) \) are transfer functions that are written out in full in Appendix A. \( W_0 \) represents the response of the reflection spectrum to fluctuations in the normalization of the illuminating spectrum and \( W_1 \) and \( W_2 \) represent the response to fluctuations in the power-law index (\( W_1 \) accounts for changes to the emissivity profile and \( W_2 \) accounts for changes to the rest-frame reflection spectrum).

Defining \( \gamma(v) e^{\Delta A(v)} \equiv A_0 \Gamma(v)/A(v) \), equation (1) becomes

\[ S(E, v) = A(v) e^{-\tau(E)} \left\{ D(E) + W_0 (E, v) + \gamma(v) e^{\Delta A(v)} \right\} \times \left[ \ln(g_{\text{cut}}/ E) D(E) + W_1 (E, v) + W_2 (E, v) \right] \tag{2} \]

Here \( \gamma(v) = A_0 \Gamma(v)/|A(v)| \) is the amplitude of fluctuations in \( \Gamma \) divided by the fractional variability amplitude of fluctuations in \( A \), and \( \Delta A(v) \) is the phase lag between fluctuations in \( \Gamma \) and those in \( A \) (positive means \( \Gamma \) lags \( A \)). Since it is easier to interpret, we adopt \( \Delta A(v) \) in place of \( \phi_A (v) \), which we used in Mastroserio et al. (2018, 2019).

For the case of the observational data, we take the FT of the count rate in the subject band and then cross with the FT of the reference band count rate. The model has to follow the same procedure. In previous versions of the model, we averaged the transfer functions over the frequency range specified as model parameters \( \alpha(v) = |A(v)|, \phi_A (v) = \arg(A(v)) \), and \( \phi_B (v) \) averaged over the same frequency range. This procedure is inaccurate if any of these three quantities, or any of the transfer functions, change significantly.

---

1 We note that, although this fit procedure is unbiased, it does ignore diagnostic information. As an extreme example, if the phase turns by 360° in a frequency bin, the cross-spectrum of data and model can be zero for that bin. In such an example, the fit would not be biased, but it would be degenerate.

2 The old version of the code is publicly available at www.adingram.bitbucket.io/reltrans.html.
across the frequency range. We therefore now first calculate the frequency-dependent cross-spectrum and then average over the input frequency range.

The cross-spectrum is \( G(E, \nu) = |A(\nu)|^2 S_{\text{ref}}(E, \nu) F_{\text{ref}}^*(\nu) \), where \( F_{\text{ref}}(\nu) \) is the FT of the reference band count rate time series. The reference band count rate is the sum of the count rates from each of the energy channels \( I_{\text{min}} \) to \( I_{\text{max}} \) that make up the reference band. To calculate the reference band FT, we must therefore fold \( S(E, \nu) \) and then sum over the reference band channels. The cross-spectrum is therefore

\[
G(E, \nu) = |A(\nu)|^2 S_{\text{ref}}(E, \nu) F_{\text{ref}}^*(\nu) = |A(\nu)|^2 G_{\text{ref}}(E, \nu),
\]

where \( S_{\text{ref}}(E, \nu) \equiv S(E, \nu)/A(\nu) \) and \( F_{\text{ref}}(\nu) \equiv F(\nu)/A(\nu) \). Note that \( G(E, \nu) \) can be very simply folded around the instrument response to get \( G(I, \nu) \).

Once we have calculated the cross-spectrum for the data, we average over a frequency range \( \nu_{\text{lo}} \to \nu_{\text{hi}} \) centred at \( \nu_c = (\nu_{\text{lo}} + \nu_{\text{hi}})/2 \). Again the model has to do the same to get

\[
\langle G(E, \nu_c) \rangle = \frac{\int_{\nu_{\text{lo}}}^{\nu_{\text{hi}}} G(E, \nu) d\nu}{\nu_{\text{hi}} - \nu_{\text{lo}}} = \frac{\int_{\nu_{\text{lo}}}^{\nu_{\text{hi}}} |A(\nu)|^2 G_{\text{ref}}(E, \nu) d\nu}{\nu_{\text{hi}} - \nu_{\text{lo}}}. \tag{4}
\]

We therefore need to assume a form for \( |A(\nu)|^2 \). We represent this as a power-law function of frequency, \( |A(\nu)|^2 = \alpha(\nu^\alpha) \), giving

\[
\langle G(E, \nu_c) \rangle = \alpha(\nu_c) \int_{\nu_{\text{lo}}}^{\nu_{\text{hi}}} (\nu/\nu_c)^{-\alpha} G_{\text{ref}}(E, \nu) d\nu. \tag{5}
\]

The full band power spectrum is \( P(\nu) \sim |A(\nu)|^2 \), and observationally tends to consist roughly of a twice broken power law: \( k \approx 0 \) at very low frequencies (\( \nu \lesssim 0.1 \) Hz in X-ray binaries), \( k \approx 1 \) at intermediate frequencies, and \( k \approx 2 \) at high frequencies (\( \nu \gtrsim 5 \) Hz in X-ray binaries). The model is insensitive to \( k \) in the low and intermediate frequency ranges for which \( k \approx 0 \) and 1. This is because for such values of \( \nu_c \), \( 1/\nu_c \) is much longer than the light-crossing time-scale of the disc, and therefore the transfer functions do not change much from \( \nu = \nu_{\text{lo}} \) to \( \nu_{\text{hi}} \). The model is sensitive to \( k \) for the highest frequencies, because here the cross-spectrum (modulus and phase) can vary steeply with \( \nu \). We therefore adopt \( k = 2 \).

![Figure 1. Upper panel: Time-averaged energy spectrum unfolded with an absorbed power-law model. Lower panel: residuals of this model. Clear residuals around the iron line energy range can be seen.](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/498/4/4971/5903713/data supplements)

**Table 1. Best-fitting parameters for the different models in the paper.**

| Parameter | PW + XIL [A] | Time-ave spec | Time-ave and lag spec Model [1] | Time-ave and lag spec Model [2] |
|-----------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| \( h \) \( [R_g] \) | – | 13^{+13}_{-7} | 11.4^{+12.2}_{-6.6} | 12.4^{+25.6}_{-9.6} |
| \( r_{\text{in}}/R_g \) | – | 17^{+6}_{-6} | 17.6^{+16.1}_{-10.9} | 17.4^{+16.2}_{-10.9} |
| \( \Gamma_l \) | 2.19^{+0.02}_{-0.03} | 3.00^{+0.02}_{-0.08} | 2.29^{+0.07}_{-0.08} | 2.29^{+0.07}_{-0.08} |
| \( \log \xi \) | 0 (XIL) | 1.7^{+0.3}_{-0.4} | 1.5^{+0.1}_{-0.1} | 1.6^{+0.1}_{-0.1} |
| \( A_{\text{Fe}} \) | 0.5^{+0.1b}_{-0.1} | 0.6^{+0.1}_{-0.1} | 0.62^{+0.17b}_{-0.17} | 0.65^{+0.17b}_{-0.17b} |
| \( 1/B \) | – | 2.6^{+1.4}_{-1.4} | 2.7^{+1.5}_{-1.5} | 2.6^{+1.2}_{-1.3} |
| \( \chi^2/d.o.f. \) | – | 168/127 | 116/124 | 128/144 |

Notes. \( a \) The lower limit of the inner radius is the ISCO (1.237 \( R_g \)).

\( b \) The lower limit of the iron abundance is 0.5.

\( c \) The upper limit of the boost parameter is 3.

\( d \) The lower limit of the mass is 1 \( M_\odot \).

The output model for the cross-spectrum is therefore \( (G(E, \nu_c)) \) (real and imaginary parts). The model lag-energy spectrum is given by \( \tau(\nu_c) = arg[(G(E, \nu_c))/|G(E, \nu_c)|] \), which exactly mirrors our procedure to constrain the lag-energy spectrum from the observed cross-spectrum. The model parameters for the hard lags are \( \gamma(\nu_c) \) and \( \Delta_B(\nu_c) \). Note that the lag-energy spectrum model is not sensitive to the parameter \( \alpha(\nu_c) \), but the model for the real and imaginary parts of the cross-spectrum is because \( \alpha(\nu_c) \) affects the modulus of the cross-spectrum.

## 4 Spectral Timing Analysis

### 4.1 Time-averaged energy spectrum

Fitting the 2–10 keV time-averaged spectrum with an absorbed power-law model reveals evident residuals in the iron K α line energy range (see Fig. 1), consistent with previous analyses (Wilkins & Gallo 2015; Keek & Ballantyne 2016). We also see the emission line at 7.01 keV in the rest frame of the host galaxy (the cosmological redshift to Mrk 335 in \( z = 0.025785 \); Huchra, Vogel & Geller 1999) that was reported by Keek & Ballantyne (2016). Throughout this paper, we model this line in the time-averaged spectrum with a narrow Gaussian function with a fixed width \( \langle 10^{-6} \rangle \) and free centroid (allowed to vary between 6.9 and 7.1). Including a Ksilver (García et al. 2013) component in the model to account for distant reflection leads to a fit with \( \chi^2/d.o.f. \) of 167.6/127 (Model [A] in Table 1). This poor fit implies that a relativistic reflection component is also required, for which we use the model RELTRANS (Ingram et al. 2019).
where the direct continuum emission is included in the RELTRANS (1973) disc model. We use 10 radial zones to calculate the ionization density profile that corresponds to ‘zone A’ in the Shakura & Sunyaev the irradiating flux in a lamppost geometry and assuming the radial the disc ionization parameter using a self-consistent calculation of [2005] and assume the relative elemental abundances of Wilms, Allen & McCray (2000). We fix the ionization parameter of the distant model as an exponentially cut-off power law. We fix the hydrogen column density to $n_H = 3.6 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ following Kalberla et al. (2005) and assume the relative elemental abundances of Wilms, Allen & McCray (2000). We fix the ionization parameter of the distant reflector to $\log \xi = 0$. In contrast, we model the radial profile of the disc ionization parameter using a self-consistent calculation of the irradiating flux in a lamppost geometry and assuming the radial density profile that corresponds to ‘zone A’ in the Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) disc model. We use 10 radial zones to calculate the ionization profile: one zone between $r_m$ and $(11/9)^2 r_m$ and the rest logarithmically separated. $r = (11/9)^2 r_m$ is approximately the radius whereby the ionization parameter peaks, and the value of $\log \xi$ at this radius is a model parameter that we leave free in the fit. We choose to only model the 2.0–10 keV energy range of the spectrum because the 0.3–2.0 keV energy range can only be adequately described by including a complicated three-layer warm absorber model (Longinotti et al. 2013), which is beyond the scope of this paper.

Fig. 2 shows the best-fitting model together with the unfolded data (upper panel). The residuals (bottom panel) do not present any significant structure and the fit has $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.}$ of 116/124. Here, and throughout this paper, we have fixed the high energy cut-off, as this quantity cannot be constrained in the <10 keV energy range of XMM–Newton, to $E_{\text{cut}} = 300$ keV (in the observer frame), and we have also fixed the inclination angle to $i = 30^\circ$ [following for both of these parameters (Keeck & Ballantyne 2016)]. We fix the dimensionless black hole spin parameter to $a = 0.998$ and allow the disc inner radius to be a free parameter (constrained to be larger than the ISCO radius).

The best-fitting model parameters are listed in Table 1. We obtain a larger disc inner radius than the fit of Keeck & Ballantyne (2016) to the same data, and a smaller (more plausible) relative iron abundance. Since they used RELXILL (Dauser et al. 2013; García et al. 2014), the two differences between our model and theirs are that we assume a lamppost geometry as opposed to parametrizing the reflection emissivity profile with a broken power law, and that we self-consistently account for a radial disc ionization profile instead of assuming a single value of the ionization parameter.

Our fit requires a large value of the ‘boost’ parameter, $1/B$. This sets the normalization of the relativistic reflection spectrum relative to the direct emission. For $1/B = 1$, the relative normalization of the reflection component is set entirely by the lamppost geometry and general relativistic light bending, whereas $1/B > 1$ returns a stronger reflection component than that expected in the lamppost geometry and $1/B < 1$ corresponds to a weaker than expected reflection (see Ingram et al. 2019, for more details). A deviation from the expected isotropic lamppost emission can be due to the true source geometry being something other than point-like and/or an intrinsic velocity of the plasma in the corona that beams the emission. Since the physical assumptions of RELTRANS are only valid for a boost parameter reasonably close to unity, we impose a hard upper limit in our fits of $1/B = 3$ to avoid unrealistic values. We see that the best-fitting value in our fit is close to this upper limit. Interestingly, alongside with the large boost value the fit requires a low iron abundance. Therefore, even though the relativistic reflection component is fundamental to fit to the data, the iron line does not seem prominent enough to require solar iron abundance. A similar behavior has been found by Shreeram & Ingram (2020) for a black hole binary.

### 4.2 Time-averaged and lag spectrum

We now model the lag energy jointly with the time-averaged spectrum, again using RELTRANS. We again ignore energies <2 keV in the time-averaged spectrum because of the complicated structure of the warm absorber. For the lags, we instead consider the extended energy range 0.3–10.0 keV. Although soft lags can be caused by the response of the warm absorber to changes in the ionizing flux (Silva, Uttley & Costantini 2016) that is not accounted for in our model, these lags should only be relevant for variability on longer timescales than the Fourier frequency ranges considered in our analysis. We therefore choose to model the reverberation lags down to 0.3 keV in order to maximize the signal to noise of the data.

The first model, hereafter Model [1], considers the time-averaged spectrum and lags calculated in the two Fourier frequency ranges where the source shows high coherence. Since the higher frequency range (0.2–0.7 mHz) seems not to be affected by the hard lags (De Marco et al. 2013b; Kara et al. 2013b), we use the pivoting prescription only in the lower frequency range (0.02–0.2 Hz). Thus, in the higher frequency range only the normalization of the
averaged spectrum. The fit has an acceptable χ²/d.o.f. of 128/144. This is because the model does not reproduce the reverberation feature in the lag-energy spectrum that provides the mass constraint.

4.4 Cross-spectrum model

As an experiment to determine what is driving our Model [2] fit, we fit the cross-spectrum in both frequency ranges without considering the time-averaged spectrum. This is instructive because the time-averaged spectrum tends to dominate over the cross-spectrum in the fit due to its far better statistics. We refer to this experiment as Model [3]. Right-hand panels B′ and C′ of Fig. 4 show the resulting best-fitting model, which has a reduced χ² of 8.78/8 and exhibits a prominent iron line feature in both frequency ranges. In panel AA of Fig. 4, instead, we plot the time-averaged spectrum corresponding to best-fitting parameters of Model [3] (light green dashed line). This line has not been fitted to the data but only superimposed to the time-averaged spectrum. In the same panel as a comparison we plot the Model [2] time-averaged spectrum (dark green solid line). We see that the two spectra are dramatically different, with the cross-spectrum-only fit predicting a much stronger and narrower iron line (resulting from a much larger source height ~44R_g and iron abundance ~10). We therefore see that the model can either reproduce the observed cross-spectrum or the observed time-averaged spectrum. The far better statistics of the time-averaged spectrum drive the fit to favour it, and therefore miss the iron line features in the cross-spectrum.

We therefore see that the model can either reproduce the observed cross-spectrum or the observed time-averaged spectrum. The far better statistics of the time-averaged spectrum drive the fit to favour it, and therefore miss the iron line features in the cross-spectrum.
amplitudes we encounter the same problem (compare their fig. 7 with our panel AA of Fig. 4). In order to fit the cross-spectrum, our model maximizes the iron abundance in the accretion disc, which leads to an unrealistic iron line feature in the time-averaged spectrum. In our case, it seems that the lags are compatible with the parameters of the time-averaged spectrum; however, the data require more variability in the iron line compared to what is predicted by the model. Zoghbi et al. (2020) argue that the assumed static lamppost geometry could
be the cause of this discrepancy. We expand on this argument in Section 5.1 also considering our results on the black hole mass.

4.5 Model parameters

4.5.1 Inner radius and ionization profile

When we consider only the time-averaged spectrum (Model [B]), the inner radius is $17 \pm 6 \, R_g$. This value is larger than that found by Keek & Ballantyne (2016), who fixed $r_{in}$ to the ISCO and inferred a black hole spin of $a = 0.89 \pm 0.05$ from the time-averaged spectrum of the same observation that we consider here. They used RELXILL for their fit, as noted in Section 4.1. The configuration of RELTRANS we use here is different in two ways: It uses an emissivity profile calculated in the lamppost geometry instead of parametrizing it with a broken power-law function, and it considers a radial ionization profile instead of a constant ionization profile. Shreeram & Ingram (2020) showed that assuming a radial ionization profile in the accretion disc improves the spectral fit compared to a constant ionization and the value of the inner disc radius depends on the nature of this profile. The radial ionization profile quite dramatically changes the shape of the iron line, in particular the red wing (see fig. 9 in Ingram et al. 2019), requiring less broadening from the relativistic effects. In order to calculate the radial ionization profile, our model assumes the density profile corresponding to zone A of the Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) disc model, in which the dominant sources of pressure and opacity are, respectively, radiation and electron scattering. According to Shakura & Sunyaev (1973), the outer limit of zone A in an AGN with a 0.5–10 keV luminosity of $5 \times 10^{43} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ (Grupe et al. 2007), and assuming viscosity parameter $\alpha = 0.01$ and black hole mass of $10^6$ or $50 \times 10^6 \, M_\odot$, is $R_{ph} \sim 1000 R_g$ or $\sim 72 R_g$ (increasing $\alpha$ increases $R_{ph}$). Thus, we can comfortably consider most of the emission to come from a zone A density profile accretion disc. We note that the disc scale height in the zone A regime can be significantly greater than zero (see e.g. Taylor & Reynolds 2018a), whereas here we assume an infinitely thin disc. Since the disc scale height can impact on the reverberation signal (Taylor & Reynolds 2018b), we plan to include a more general disc geometry in our model in future. Including the lag spectra in the fit (Model [1]) does not change the best-fitting inner radius. However, the lower limits are now compatible with ISCO within 90 per cent confidence, because the broad and strong iron line observed in the lag spectrum favours a smaller inner radius.

4.5.2 Iron abundance

It is also notable that the iron abundance yielded by our models, $A_{Fe} \sim 0.5–0.7$, differs significantly from the large value of $A_{Fe} = 3.9 \pm 0.7$ returned by the fits of Keek & Ballantyne (2016). It is common for X-ray reflection modelling to measure significantly supersolar iron abundances for both AGNs and black hole X-ray binaries (e.g. Dauser et al. 2012; García et al. 2015; Parker et al. 2015; see García et al. 2018, for a brief review). Again, it is possible that including a radial ionization profile goes some way to reducing the inferred iron abundance, as speculated by Ingram et al. (2019).

4.5.3 Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulation

When we include the variability amplitude by fitting the complex cross-spectrum instead of the lag spectrum (Model [2]), although we achieve a statistically acceptable $\chi^2$, we see clear residual structure in the iron line region and therefore do not consider this model to adequately describe the data. We therefore focus our further analysis on the results of fitting the time-averaged spectrum together with the lag spectrum (Model [1]). In order to probe the parameter space of this model and look for parameter correlations, we run an MCMC simulation in XSPEC with 200 walkers and a total length of 1 million steps. The walkers start from the Model [1] best fit and we set a burn-in period of 5000 steps to assure the convergence of the chain. Fig. 5 shows the integrated probability distribution for some of the model parameters, the purple regions represent the 1σ contour, and orange and yellow 2σ and 3σ, respectively. The inner radius is strongly positively correlated with the boost parameter. The reason for this is that both contribute to the strength of the reflection emission in the opposite sense: When the inner radius is closer to ISCO, the reflection is stronger and a lower value of the boost is required. However, the best-fitting value of the boost parameter is significantly above 1, which means that the data require a stronger reflection component than the one produced by the lamppost model. This can be interpreted as the velocity of the plasma in the lamppost being non-zero and pointing towards the black hole, boosting the flux illuminating the disc. Contrary to what has been seen in previous works, the black hole mass is not degenerate with the height of the lamppost (e.g. Cackett et al. 2014) nor with any other parameter (Mastroserio et al. 2019 found a correlation with the ionization parameter). This is likely due to the wide range of time-scales and the simultaneous fit to the time-averaged spectrum that we considered in this work. In Section 5.1, we further study the relation between mass and height of the lamppost.

5 DISCUSSION

In this work, we have jointly modelled the time-averaged X-ray spectrum and the short time-scale X-ray variability of the Seyfert galaxy Mrk 335 using our relativistic reflection model RELTRANS. We considered the high-flux *XMM–Newton* observation from 2006, in which Kara et al. (2013b) found evidence of reverberation lags in the ~0.2–0.7 mHz Fourier frequency range. We first modelled the time-averaged energy spectrum alone (Model [B]) before additionally modelling the lag-energy spectrum simultaneously in two frequency ranges (0.02–0.2 and 0.2–0.7 mHz; Model [1]), with our model for the lowest frequency range including a prescription to account for the intrinsic hard lags (Mastroserio et al. 2018, 2019). We then extended our analysis to fit simultaneously to the complex cross-spectrum and the time-averaged spectrum (Model [2]). We achieve statistically acceptable fits for both Model [1] and Model [2]. However, the Model [2] fit suffers from structured residuals around the iron line region, and so here we further discuss the details of our more successful Model [1] fit as well as the possible reasons for the deficiencies in our Model [2] fit.

5.1 Black hole mass

The fits that consider timing properties are sensitive to black hole mass. In previous analyses, fits to the lag-energy spectrum have suffered from a degeneracy between the source height and the black hole mass (Cackett et al. 2014; Ingram et al. 2019). This occurs because the same reverberation lag can be reproduced if the source height is many small gravitational radii or a few large gravitational radii. We see from the bottom left panel in Fig. 5, and from the more detailed contour plot of $\chi^2$ as a function of black hole mass and source height presented in Fig. 6, that there is no such degeneracy in our Model [1] fit. This is because we consider two frequency ranges instead of only one. This point can be illustrated by comparison with...
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Figure 5. Probability distribution from the MCMC simulation of Model [1] (see the text for the details). The purple, orange, and yellow are 1σ, 2σ, and 3σ contours, respectively. The inner radius is expressed in units of ISCO, which is 1.237 $R_g$ in this case.

The RELTRANS fit to only the 0.2–0.7 mHz lag-energy spectrum of the same observation presented in fig. 17 of Ingram et al. (2019). In that case, the best-fitting black hole mass was $\sim 7 \times 10^6$ M$_\odot$, but other solutions with mass values as high as $\sim 50 \times 10^6$ M$_\odot$ were statistically acceptable within 1σ confidence. These high mass solutions were in the phase-wrapping regime, and are ruled out from our new fit because they predict very large reverberation lags in the 0.02–0.2 mHz frequency range that are not observed.

Our best-fitting black hole mass of $1.1^{+2.0}_{-0.7} \times 10^6$ M$_\odot$ (errors are 90 per cent confidence) is roughly one order of magnitude smaller than the optical reverberation mass measurements [$M = 14.2 \pm 3.7 \times 10^6$ M$_\odot$; Peterson et al. (2004) and $26 \pm 8 \times 10^6$ M$_\odot$; Grier et al. (2012)]. These higher mass values are incompatible with our modelling with high statistical confidence since, as discussed above, parameter combinations that can reproduce the 0.2–0.7 mHz lag-energy spectrum with such high masses predict large lags in 0.02–0.2 mHz that are not observed. This discrepancy is not due to dilution of the reverberation lag by the presence of continuum emission variability with no time lags between energy bands (see e.g. Uttley et al. 2014) because dilution is fully accounted for in our model – although there could be an extra source of dilution that is not in our model. The discrepancy could be because we assume a lamppost corona, if in reality the corona is extended (as was suggested by Wilkins & Gallo 2015 for this very observation). The schematic in Fig. 7 illustrates how an extended corona model could in principle accommodate a higher mass than the lamppost model. The top panel illustrates our best fit, whereby the reverberation lag roughly results from the $\sim 21R_g$ distance from the corona to the disc. The second
panel shows that the same physical distance from corona to disc cannot be reproduced if the mass is increased by a factor of 14. This is because the physical size of the $\sim 17.6 R_g$ disc inner radius is now a factor of 14 larger (and the inner radius in units of $R_g$ is constrained by the iron line profile). The third panel illustrates that a distance of $\sim 21 \times 10^6 R_g$ is possible for an $M = 14 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ black hole with an extended corona at a height of $\sim 1.5 R_g$.

Previous X-ray reverberation analyses of Mrk 335 have also inferred a higher black hole mass than what we find here. Chainakun et al. (2016) fit to the lag-energy spectrum of the same observation that we consider here. Their model assumes a lamppost geometry and is largely similar to ours, with some small differences such as the form of the radial ionization profile; their best-fitting mass value was $M = 13.5 \times 10^6 M_\odot$. The major differences between their analysis and ours are that they only considered one frequency range, whereas we consider two (their one frequency range corresponds to our higher frequency range), and that we conduct a more thorough exploration of parameter space. Given that the single frequency fit presented in Ingram et al. (2019) included statistically acceptable phase-wrapped solutions with a high black hole mass, it could be that the Chainakun et al. (2016) fit is also in the phase-wrapping regime. If this is the case, then the high black hole mass returned by their fit would also be ruled out upon consideration of a second, lower frequency range. Emmanoulopoulos et al. (2014) used a different procedure of fitting the lag-frequency spectrum between the 0.3–1 and 1.5–4 keV energy bands and obtained a mass of $M \sim 20 \times 10^6 M_\odot$. However, they did not simultaneously fit to the time-averaged spectrum, and they simply assumed that, respectively, 30 and 0 per cent of the flux in the 0.3–1 and 1.5–4 keV bands is due to reflection, whereas we include a full model of the rest-frame reflection spectrum.

It is also worth investigating whether the assumptions going into the optical reverberation mass measurements can be reasonably changed in order to obtain values compatible with our X-ray reverberation measurement. Optical reverberation mapping consists of measuring the time delay $\tau$ between variations in the optical continuum, assumed to come from the accretion disc, and corresponding variations in the broad optical emission lines, assumed to come from clouds orbiting the black hole at a distance far larger than the accretion disc in the so-called broad-line region (BLR). Assuming that $\tau$ is a light-crossing delay, and assuming that the measured (full width at half maximum) velocity width of the lines, $V_{\text{FWHM}}$, results from virialized rotation around the black hole, the black hole mass is given by

$$M = f \frac{c \tau (V_{\text{FWHM}}/2)^2}{G}. \quad (7)$$

Here, $f$ is a factor that depends on the structure, kinematics, and orientation of the BLR ($f = 3$ for a BLR consisting of a thin spherical shell with an isotropic velocity distribution; Onken et al. 2004). Peterson et al. (2004) set $f = 5.5$ for their mass measurement of $M = (14.2 \pm 3.7) \times 10^6 M_\odot$ for Mrk 335, which is the value that results from the reasonable assumption that AGNs and quiescent galaxies follow on average the same relationship between black hole mass and bulge stellar velocity dispersion (Onken et al. 2004). It is in principle possible to adjust the assumptions of optical reverberation mapping to obtain $M \sim 10^6 M_\odot$ for Mrk 335, but the required adjustment, setting $f = 0.4$, therefore seems unlikely. Moreover, such a low mass is in tension with the measured 5100 Å flux, from which Peterson et al. (2004) infer a bolometric luminosity of $\sim 6.5 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. This luminosity is equal to the Eddington limit for a black hole of mass $M \sim 4 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, which roughly coincides with our 90 per cent confidence upper limit. Thus, our mass value implies Eddington-limited
or mildly super-Eddington accretion in Mrk 335, which is not impossible but has not previously been thought to be the case for Mrk 335.

5.2 Coronal geometry

Although we have achieved a good fit for the time lags, we are sceptical of our fit for the full cross-spectrum because it suffers from structured residuals around the iron line region (although formally the fit is still statistically acceptable). It therefore seems that the model has problems reproducing the energy-dependent correlated variability amplitude. This could again potentially be because we assume a lamppost geometry, if in reality the corona is extended. Wilkins & Gallo (2015) inferred a radial extent of $\sim 26R_g$ during the high-flux epoch that we consider, followed by a contraction when the flux decreased. In such an extended corona, intrinsic hard lags may arise due to inward propagation of accretion rate fluctuations (Wilkins et al. 2016), which we instead account for with a fluctuating power-law index. Our prescription provides a good mathematical description of fluctuations propagating through a disc and into a compact corona (Uttley & Malzac, in preparation), but likely differs significantly from propagation in an extended corona. The reverberation signal itself would also differ significantly from the lamppost case (see e.g. Fig. 7 but also Wilkins et al. 2016).

We note that our analysis is the first ever attempt to jointly fit the full energy-dependent cross-spectrum and time-averaged spectrum of an AGN. However, we have previously applied the same analysis to the black hole X-ray binary Cygnus X-1 (Mastroserio et al. 2019), and in that case the model worked very well. We cannot currently rule out this being due to the two systems having different coronal geometries, with Cygnus X-1 having a more compact corona than Mrk 335 during the observations we analysed. Alternatively, the two sources could have roughly similar extended coronal geometries, with our lamppost model better able to approximate the true signal for the lower frequencies (in terms of $R_g/c$) typically probed by X-ray binaries than the higher frequencies (in terms of $R_g/c$) probed by AGNs. High signal to noise NICER observations of X-ray binaries, for which timing properties can be constrained up to frequencies as high as $\sim 30$ Hz, may enable this hypothesis to be tested. The signal for AGNs may also be more significantly modified by absorption and winds, given the very different environment associated with the two classes of object and the different opacity in the disc (e.g. Miller et al. 2010; Mizumoto et al. 2018).

6 CONCLUSIONS

The results of our X-ray spectral-timing analysis of Mrk 335 using the model RELTRANS can be summarized as follows:

(i) Our fits return a best-fitting disc inner radius that is truncated outside of the ISCO for any value of black hole spin ($a_\text{BH} \approx 0.7$), and a sub-solar iron abundance ($A_{\text{Fe}} \approx 0.7$). Although our fits that include timing properties are consistent with $R_{\text{in}}$ at the ISCO within 90 per cent confidence, our results differ from previous spectral fits for the same observation (Keek & Ballantyne 2016), which returned a small disc inner radius and a supersolar iron abundance. We attribute this to the more realistic treatment of the ionization structure of the accretion disc in our model, allowing for a radial profile rather than assuming a constant ionization parameter. This suggests that models with a radial ionization profile may return larger disc inner radii and smaller iron abundances for other AGNs than the constant ionization models that have mainly been used in the past.

(ii) Our simultaneous fit to the time-averaged spectrum and the lag-energy spectrum (Model [1]) returns a black hole mass of $M = 1.1^{+2.0}_{-0.5} \times 10^6 M_\odot$, which is not compatible with UV/optical reverberation mapping results ([14–26] $\times 10^6 M_\odot$). We argue that our use of the lamppost geometry might be responsible for this low mass value, whereas an extended corona model may return a larger mass. Proper modelling of an extended corona is necessary to confirm this claim.

(iii) Our model is not satisfactorily able to simultaneously describe the energy-dependent correlated variability amplitude of Mrk 335 and the time-averaged spectrum. Fitting to the cross-spectrum alone returns parameters that predict a very strong iron line in the time-averaged spectrum that is not observed. Again this seems to challenge the lamppost geometry, as it has been already suggested by Wilkins & Gallo (2015). An extended corona could provide more correlated variability amplitude in the reflection response due to the different illumination of the accretion disc, without significantly changing the lags because the distance between the corona and the disc is similar to the lamppost case.
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