1 INTRODUCTION

Chemokines are small peptides with molecular weights of 8-12 KDa, which are secreted by multiple types of cells, such as immune cells, stromal cells and tumour cells. Chemokine receptors are seven-transmembrane G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), and one receptor can bind to multiple chemokines. Conversely, one chemokine can recognize several receptors.

CXCR4 was first discovered as a cofactor facilitating the entry of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) into CD4+ T cells and was then classified into GPCR subfamily. CXCR4 is widely expressed in many types of cells, including haematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), T lymphocytes, B lymphocytes, monocytes, macrophages, epithelial cells, endothelial cells and neurons. Stromal-derived factor 1 (SDF-1), also known as CXCL12, is the only ligand for CXCR4 but can also bind to CXCR7. After the engagement of SDF-1/CXCR4 axis, many intracellular pathways are activated, including RAS-MAPK, PI3K-AKT-mTOR and JAK-STAT, which then regulate chemotaxis, gene expression and cell survival. Sdf1 or Cxcr4 homozygous mutations in mice resulted in embryonic lethality, and the development of B lymphocytes and myeloid cells was severely impaired. Other defects, including cardiac ventricular septal defect and defective formation of large vessels supplying the gastrointestinal tracts, were found. HSCs express high levels of CXCR4 and can migrate from the foetal
liver to the bone marrow (BM) along with the SDF-1 gradient participating in the transformation of haematopoietic sites in different stages of individual development. After birth, SDF-1 secreted by stromal cells recruits HSCs into the BM niche to regulate quiescence or proliferation. CXCR4 contributes to lung alveolar regeneration after pneumonectomy. SDF-1 expression is upregulated after tissue injury, promoting the migration of CXCR4+ adult stem cells to injury lesions to protect or repair infarcted cardiac and ischaemic cerebral tissues. The treatment of ischaemic diseases by mobilized tissue-committed stem cells was reviewed by Kwon et al. CXCR4 is a co-receptor for the entry of HIV type 1 (HIV-1) into CD4+ T cells, which was prevented by SDF-1. Therefore, increasing efforts have been made to develop new CXCR4 antagonists to control HIV infection (reviewed by Zhang et al.). CXCR4 also plays important roles in the development, invasion, angiogenesis, epithelial–mesenchymal transition and maintenance of stemness of tumour cells, and targeting CXCR4 is a potential therapeutic strategy for treating malignant tumours.

Acute leukaemia (AL) includes acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL). AML is the most common AL in adult patients, while ALL is the first and second most frequent AL in children and adults, respectively. Except for acute promyelocytic leukaemia (APL), chemotherapy remains the backbone of treatment for other AL subtypes. In recent years, with the use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors and chimeric antigen receptor T cells in treatment for other AL subtypes. In recent years, with the use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors and chimeric antigen receptor T cells, treatment methods are needed to eliminate residual leukaemia cells. Treatment methods are needed to eliminate residual leukaemia cells after allo-HSCT.

2 ROLE OF CXCR4 IN AML

2.1 CXCR4 participates in homing and residence of AML cells in BM

CXCR4 was critical for murine BM engraftment by human severe combined immunodeficient repopulating stem cells. Human cells pretreated with CXCR4 antibodies impeded engraftment and in vitro CXCR4-dependent migration to SDF-1 of CD34+CD38- cells associated with in vivo engraftment and stem cell function. CXCR4 expression influences the engraftment of autologous stem cells in patients undergoing auto-HSCT. Significantly faster haematologic recovery was found in patients who received transplanted CD34+ cells that showed high spontaneous and SDF-1-induced migration. Therefore, SDF-1/CXCR4 plays a critical role in homing to and retention in the BM of normal HSCs. Like normal HSCs, CXCR4 is also closely associated with the migration of AML cells. Higher SDF-1-induced migration was observed in AML for CD34+ BM-derived cells than in paired CD34+ peripheral blood (PB)-derived cells, and a lower percentage of circulating leukaemia blasts in patients with a relatively high level of SDF-1 induced migration indicated the role of CXCR4 in the anchoring of leukaemia cells in the BM. In 2004, Monaco et al evaluated the engraftment of AML cells into NOD/SCID mice. Six of the 11 patient samples were engrafted successfully. Poor prognosis was observed to be inversely correlated with engraftment, and the median overall survival (OS) was 26.1 weeks for patients with cell engraftment and 95.9 weeks for those without. No correlation between CXCR4 expression and engraftment was found, and anti-CXCR4 antibody failed to block the engraftment of AML cells. Concurrently, CXCR4-dependent engraftment of AML cells into NOD/SCID mice has been reported. Although AML cells from some patients did not express cell surface CXCR4, intracellular CXCR4 expression was detected in all samples. Pretreatment of human AML cells with neutralizing CXCR4 antibodies blocked their homing to the BM and spleen of NOD/SCID mice previously engrafted with AML cells with antibodies against CXCR4 and resulted in a dramatic decrease in leukaemia cell levels in a dose- and time-dependent manner. Subsequently, a debate on whether engraftment of AML cells into mouse BM was dependent on SDF-1/CXCR4 between these two groups was published. The opposite observations may be associated with different mice used and if newly expressed CXCR4 was inhibited.

Recently, a murine MLL-AF9-driven AML model was used to evaluate the engraftment of leukaemia cells into mouse BM. The deletion of cxcr4 in AML cells eradicated leukaemia cells in vivo, but their homing to the BM was not impaired. Furthermore, SDF-1 is dispensable for the development of leukaemia in mice. Thus, CXCR4 signalling may play an essential role in AML stem cells, preventing differentiation independent of SDF-1. Using high-resolution 2-photon and confocal intravital microscopy of mouse calvarium BM, chemoresistant MLL-AF9 AML cells were found to become less motile and unaffected by AMD3100. Therefore, there may be other factors that regulate the homing and retention of AML cells within the BM. However, whether such phenomena possess leukaemia-type specificity remains unclear.

2.2 CXCR4 expression and its regulation in AML

AML cells exposed to low oxygen partial pressure showed upregulated expression of CXCR4, and the underlying mechanisms involved alteration of lipid rafts. NPM1 is one of the most common mutated genes in AML, and increased CXCR4 expression was observed when NIH3T3 cells were transfected with plasmids encoding NPM1 mutation with enhanced migration and invasion abilities. AML blasts with mutated NPM1 displayed significantly higher CXCR4 expression than those without. However, no significant correlation between NPM1 mutation and CXCR4 or phosphorylated CXCR4 (pCXCR4)
expression was observed in the BM specimens of untreated AML patients. CEBA mutations consist of unilateral and bilateral mutations, whereas only bilateral mutations indicate a favourable prognosis. N-terminal CEBA mutations may impair CXCR4 expression, as only CEBA p42 can recognize the CXCR4 promoter by chromatin immunoprecipitation assays. FLT3-ITD mutation is an indicator of poor prognosis for patients with AML and associates with upregulated CXCR4 expression in a series of studies. The downstream pathways may involve STAT5 and Pim-1. Epigenetic regulation of CXCR4 expression by miR-146a has been reported in patients with AML. Chemotherapy-induced upregulation of CXCR4 expression was observed in both AML cell lines and clinical samples, which may represent a mechanism of treatment-induced resistance in AML. Accordingly, the expression of CXCR4 in AML is regulated by multiple mechanisms, indicating a complicated role of CXCR4.

2.3 | Relationship between CXCR4 expression and prognosis of AML

The unfavourable prognostic indication of CXCR4 expression in AML has been well documented in many studies. AML patients with <20% CXCR4+/CD34+ cells had significantly superior OS and relapse-free survival (RFS) than those with ≥20%. In a prospective study, patients with AML were divided into groups with low, intermediate or high levels of CXCR4 expression, as determined by CXCR4 mean fluorescence intensity ratio thresholds of <5, 5-10 and ≥10, respectively, which resulted in significantly different outcomes. AML patients with normal karyotype showed higher percentages of CXCR4+ cases than those without, and high CXCR4 expression predicted poor prognoses in multivariate analysis. A combination of CXCR4 and VLA-4 expression can divide AML patients into different groups with various prognoses. In paediatric patients with AML, high CXCR4 expression indicated an unfavourable prognosis only in the low-risk group. Taken together, CXCR4 expression levels show prognostic indications in AML and may be a potential marker for re-stratifying the prognosis of patients with AML.

2.4 | Targeting CXCR4 in treatment of AML

2.4.1 | CXCR4 small molecular antagonist AMD3100/AMD3465

The first generation of CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100 inhibited the migration of AML blasts induced by SDF-1 and their proliferation in vitro and reversed the enhanced engraftment of AML blasts into NOD/SCID mice mediated by SDF-1. Tavor et al. found that AMD3100 could significantly inhibit proliferation and induce apoptosis in multiple AML cell lines and upregulate the expression of CD15 and CD11b. AMD3465 is the second generation of CXCR4 antagonist that can inhibit the migration of AML cells induced by SDF-1 and multiple intracellular signalling pathways responsible for cell survival. AMD3465 partially reversed the protective effects of stromal cells on leukaemia cells in vitro. AMD3465 alone or combined with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) mobilized leukaemia cells from the BM and render them killed by chemotherapy drugs or sorafenib in leukemic mice, leading to reduced leukaemia burden and prolonged survival. In a similar study of a murine APL model, AMD3100 also reversed the drug resistance of AML cells mediated by stromal cells in vitro and reduced leukaemia burden and prolonged survival of leukaemic mice when used with chemotherapy.

Cocultivation of FLT3-ITD mutated AML blasts or haematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs) on BM stromal cells resulted in a strong proliferation advantage compared with FLT3-wide-type AML blasts, and addition of AMD3100 to the co-culture significantly reduced the proliferation of FLT3-ITD mutated cells, but did not affect FLT3-wide-type cells. AMD3100 promoted the death of leukaemia cells with high CXCR4 expression and reduced NOG leukaemia-initiating cells but had no efficacy when AML cells did not express CXCR4. This suggests that CXCR4 expression levels may be a potential marker for identifying candidates who can benefit from CXCR4 antagonists. A triple combinational therapy using AMD3100 and anti-PD-L1 plus chemotherapy was investigated in a mouse AML model. Noticeable benefits of triple combinational therapy could be achieved to eradicate leukaemia blasts that transformed into prolonged survival of mice. The frequencies of regulatory T cells (Tregs) and myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the PB of mice treated with triple combinational therapy consistently decreased. Collectively, conventional chemotherapeutic drugs, kinase inhibitors or immune checkpoint inhibitors are potential strategies to be combined with CXCR4 antagonists to enhance the eradication of AML.

In 2009, the first case report of using AMD3100 in a relapsed patient with AML who underwent sibling donor allo-HSCT was reported. A significant decrease in leukaemia cell levels was observed after the patient was treated with AMD3100 plus chemotherapy, and a second allo-HSCT was performed thereafter. Complete remission (CR) was achieved one month after transplantation. Five months after allo-HSCT, the patient died of severe graft-vs-host disease (GVHD), but maintained continuous CR. Three years later, the first clinical trial of combination therapy with AMD3100 and chemotherapy in 52 patients with relapsed or refractory AML was reported. AMD3100 was increased to a maximum of 240 μg/kg/d without any dose-limiting toxicities. An overall CR and CR with incomplete blood count recovery (CRi) rate of 46% were achieved in 46 patients treated with AMD3100 plus chemotherapy. Furthermore, no evidence of symptomatic hyperleukocytosis or delayed haematopoietic cell recovery was found. The efficacy and safety of chemotherapy combined with AMD3100 and G-CSF in the treatment of relapsed or refractory AML were evaluated by the same group. No dose-limiting toxicities were observed when AMD3100 was increased to a maximum of 750 μg/kg/d. However, this clinical trial was terminated early due to unsatisfactory responses after interim analysis. The POE 10-03 trial was released.
in 2017 by the paediatric oncology experimental therapeutics investigators’ consortium. Nineteen patients were enrolled, including 13 with AML, 5 with ALL and 1 with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). AMD3100 was administered for 5 days at four dose levels (6, 9, 12 and 15 mg/m²/dose daily) followed by high-dose cytarabine (every 12 hours) and etoposide (dailly) 4 hours later. No dose-limiting toxicities were found, and febrile neutropenia and hypokalaemia were the most common grade 3 or higher non-haematologic toxicities attributable to AMD3100. Mobilization of leukaemia blasts into the PB was observed in 14 of 16 evaluable patients. All three patients achieved CR/CRi with AML. In phase I/II study of AMD3100 in combination with fludarabine, idarubicin, cytarabine and G-CSF (FLAG-Ida) for the treatment of patients with early-relapsed or refractory AML, the CR/CRi rate was 50% among primary refractory and 47% among early-relapsed patients, and three patients died during induction. Thus, AMD3100 plus FLAG-Ida resulted in a relatively high CR/CRi rate in adult patients with primary refractory or early-relapsed AML with acceptable toxicity. AMD3100 combined with the hypomethylating agent decitabine was used to treat newly diagnosed elderly patients with AML in phase I clinical trial (n = 69), with an overall response of 43%, and the most common side effects were myelosuppression and infection.

2.4.2 | New peptide or antibody antagonists of CXCR4

New antagonists of CXCR4 in preclinical and clinical studies are summarized in Table 1. These antagonists not only inhibit SDF-1 or stromal cell-induced chemotaxis of leukaemia cells, but also impair the proliferation or induce death of leukaemia cells directly. Thus, when used alone or in combinational therapies, CXCR4 antagonists were found to significantly inhibit the growth of leukaemia cells and prolong the survival of leukaemic mice. It is worth noting that LY2510924 and PF-06747143 have entered phase I clinical trials. Although some of these antagonists were suggested to be more potent than AMD3100, further preclinical and clinical studies are needed to confirm it.

2.4.3 | Other strategies that target CXCR4

Ibrutinib, an inhibitor of Bruton’s tyrosine kinase (BTK), is used to treat Waldenström’s macroglobulinaemia, mantle cell lymphoma and lymphoblastic leukaemia, which also inhibits SDF-1 induced AKT and MAPK activation, leading to the inhibition of the migration and proliferation of leukaemia cells. Downregulation of CXCR4

| TABLE 1 | New peptide or antibody antagonists of CXCR4 in preclinical or clinical studies |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Drugs** | **Types** | **Functions in vivo or in vitro** |
| RCP16868 | Peptide | Inhibit SDF-1 or stromal cell-induced chemotaxis of leukaemia cells<br>Block the binding of 12G5 to cell surface CXCR4<br>Induce apoptosis in stroma-cocultured AML cells harbouring FLT3 mutation |
| E5 | Peptide | Inhibit SDF-1 or stromal cell-induced chemotaxis of leukaemia cells<br>Induce concentration-dependent apoptosis in AML cell lines<br>Induce growth of HL-60 cells in vivo and prolong survival of leukaemic mice<br>Micelle formulation of E5 is a promising therapeutic approach for AML |
| LY2510924 | Peptide | Inhibit SDF-1-induced chemotaxis and prosurvival signals of AML cells<br>Chiefly inhibit the proliferation of AML cells with little induction of cell death<br>Mobilize the BM leukaemia cells into PB<br>Anti-leukaemia effects as monotherapy or in combination with chemotherapy<br>Enhance the efficacy of quinazolinib against FLT3-ITD mutated AML cells<br>Phase 1 trial: 4/11 patients achieved CR treated with LY2510924 plus chemotherapy (NCT02652871); dose escalation to a higher dose will be planned |
| PF-06747143 | Antibody | Inhibit SDF-1-induced chemotaxis of leukaemia cells<br>Induce leukaemia cell death through its Fc-effector function<br>Inhibit growth of leukaemia cells in vivo and prolong survival of leukaemic mice<br>Phase 1 trial is terminated due to a change in sponsor prioritization (NCT02954653) |
| BL-8040 | Peptide | Mobilize the BM leukaemia cells into PB<br>Induce differentiation of AML cells<br>Induce apoptosis of AML cells in vivo and in vitro<br>Synergize with BCL-2 inhibitors or FLT3 inhibitors |
| HC4319 | Peptide | Inhibit SDF-1 and stromal cell-induced chemotaxis of leukaemia cells<br>Reverse drug resistance mediated by stromal cells<br>Inhibit growth of U937 cells in vivo and prolong survival of leukaemic mice |
expression by small interfering RNA (siRNA) is a potential strategy to treat many diseases, including AML. Lipopolymer/siRNA complexes are used to decrease CXCR4 expression, resulting in the inhibition of AML cell proliferation and chemosensitization. Dual-function polycation (PCX)/siRNA nanoparticles can simultaneously inhibit CXCR4 expression and deliver siRNAs that target key oncogenes in AML cells. Monomethyl auristatin E conjugated with the CXCR4-targeted protein nanoparticles could be utilized to kill CXCR4+ AML cells and to reduce leukaemia burden in mice without the severe toxicity of classical AML therapeutic drugs.

2.4.4 | CXCR4 is potential target for immunotherapy

The frequencies of Tregs in PB and BM of AML patients were higher than those in healthy controls. Increased CXCR4 expression robustly promoted the migration of Tregs towards BM, which played critical roles in immunosuppression of conventional T cells through proliferation inhibition, apoptosis promotion and suppression of IFN-γ production. Using a murine MLL-AF9 AML model, blocking CXCR4 was found to reduce Treg accumulation in the leukaemia haematopoietic microenvironment and promote anti-leukaemic effects of CD8+ T cells, and delay leukaemia progression.

2.4.5 | CXCR4 and differentiation syndrome

Differentiation syndrome is a common complication of APL. Differentiated APL cells expressed high levels of CXCR4, and SDF-1 secreted by lung cells could help these cells migrate to lung tissues, which was reduced by pretreatment with an anti-CXCR4 antibody. Therefore, targeting CXCR4 may provide the basis for potential prophylaxis or treatment of differentiation syndrome.

3 | ROLE OF CXCR4 IN ALL

3.1 | CXCR4 in the pathogenesis of ALL

The precursor B-cell line Nalm-6 selectively localized within the BM stroma, which was partially controlled by the SDF-1/CXCR4 axis in vitro. Patient B-ALL cells express high levels of CXCR4, and SDF-1 stimulation can induce strong calcium fluxes and increased transendothelial migration. CXCR4 antagonists inhibited the chemotaxis and migration of B-ALL cell lines and leukaemia blasts to BM stroma. Nalm-6 cells pretreated with SDF-1 showed reduced CXCR4 expression and homing to BM by 72 ± 16%, and leukaemia cell engraftment was significantly reduced (22 ± 11% vs 48 ± 5%). Murine BM contains unique anatomic regions defined by a specialized endothelium that expresses the adhesion molecule E-selectin and SDF-1 in discrete, discontinuous areas. It is CXCR4 blockade, not the loss of E-selectin, that severely impedes homing of Nalm-6 cells to these vascular niches. Similarly, CXCR4 is crucial for the homing and retaining of T-ALL cells in the BM and stemness of T-ALL. ALL with MLL gene rearrangements (MLL +ALL) has a dismal outcome due to its insensitivity to chemotherapy. MLL +ALL cells expressed both CXCR4 and CXCR7, but chemotherapeutic agent-induced apoptosis of leukaemia cells was inhibited by pretreatment with a CXCR4 inhibitor and accelerated by pretreatment with a CXCR7 inhibitor. Furthermore, patient B-ALL cells or Nalm-6 cells pretreated with SDF-1 showed a doubling of adhesion to fibronectin, laminin and VCAM-1. Collectively, these results indicate that SDF-1/CXCR4 regulates the migration and chemosensitivity of ALL cells and their homing to BM and enhances the interaction between leukaemia cells and the extracellular matrix.

Although migration of B-ALL and human CD34+ cells increased towards SDF-1 concentrations, a significant decrease in migration towards very high SDF-1 levels was only observed in B-ALL cells. This difference may be due to the distribution of intracellular and cell surface CXCR4 between normal and malignant human HPCs. Moreover, VLA-4 and Rho proteins are critical for B-ALL cell homing to BM, but not for normal CD34+ cells. In a study using 27 clinical samples, leukaemia cells from all the patients showed SDF-1-dependent proliferation, but some did not undergo chemotaxis in response to SDF-1 due to the absence of phosphorylation of p38 MAPK. However, loss of the chemotactic response of ALL cells to SDF-1 did not impede their engraftment in NOD/SCID mice. SDF-1-mediated signalling through p38 MAPK is required for the homing of ALL cells, but not for normal PB CD34+ cells. Therefore, the different chemotactic responses and signalling pathways of normal CD34+ and ALL cells may shed light on their therapeutic implications.

B-cell precursor ALL (BCP-ALL) cells migrated significantly more towards ALL +mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) co-cultures than towards MSC mono-cultures, and such preferential migration of BCP-ALL cells towards the leukaemia niche was not affected by AMD3100. Furthermore, there was no significant difference in SDF-1 levels in these two culture systems' supernatants. These results suggest that other factors regulate the migration and homing of leukaemia cells after the leukaemia niche is created.

3.2 | CXCR4 expression and its regulation in ALL

Most studies indicated that ALL cell lines and primary ALL blasts expressed high CXCR4 levels, while heterogeneity of CXCR4 expression in ALL was also reported in 100 paediatric patients with relapsed BCP-ALL. Inactivation of Rac1 significantly prolonged the chemotactic response of ALL cells to SDF-1, and this effect was associated with an alteration of CXCR4 internalization. CXCR4 expression was reduced in calcineurin-deficient T-ALL cells due to downregulation of cortactin expression, impinges CXCR4 trafficking. Inhibitors of histone deacetylases extensively downregulated CXCR4 expression at both mRNA and protein levels in leukaemia cell lines and lymphoblasts from patients. Accordingly, CXCR4 expression in ALL may show heterogeneity.
3.3 | Role of CXCR4 in extramedullary invasion of ALL cells

Levels of CXCR4 expression determined by flow cytometry in lymphoblasts were associated with extramedullary organ infiltration (EOI) in childhood ALL (n = 73). EOI was defined as ultrasonographically measured enlargement of the liver or spleen. The fluorescence intensity of CXCR4 in leukaemia cells was significantly higher in patients with EOI than those without.\textsuperscript{104}

3.4 | Relationship between CXCR4 expression and prognosis of patients with ALL

Expression of CXCR4 and pCXCR4 in 54 adults with newly diagnosed B-ALL, including 19 patients with Philadelphia chromosome (Ph), was analysed. CXCR4 expression levels were not related to clinical or laboratory findings or survival. However, pCXCR4 levels are associated with high leukocyte counts, serum bilirubin levels and patients’ outcomes.\textsuperscript{105} The prognostic significance of CXCR4 and VLA-4 expression was evaluated in 29 adult and 25 paediatric patients with ALL,\textsuperscript{106} and only in adult patients, high CXCR4 expression was associated with shorter disease-free survival (DFS) and OS and low VLA-4 expression associated with shorter DFS.\textsuperscript{106}

3.5 | Targeting CXCR4 in treatment of ALL

3.5.1 | Small antagonists that targeting CXCR4

In precursor B ALL and stromal cell co-cultures, AMD3100 enhanced the cytotoxicity of vincristine and dexamethasone.\textsuperscript{89} Treating murine ALL cells with Ph with low doses of dasatinib over an extended period allowed the emergence of drug-resistant cells with upregulated CXCR4 expression on their surfaces. A combination of dasatinib and a CXCR4 antagonist resulted in increased cell death,\textsuperscript{107} indicating that this may be a promising strategy to kill ALL cells with Ph. However, it should be noted that CXCR4 antagonists may attenuate the cytotoxicity of cytarabine against ALL cells with MLL rearrangements.\textsuperscript{94} Using human B-ALL patient-derived xenograft (PDX) and murine leukaemia models, CXCR4 antagonists have reportedly mobilized ALL cells into PB. Compared with control mice, extended administration of a CXCR4 antagonist to leukaemic mice resulted in a reduction in leukemia levels in PB and spleens and in the dissemination of ALL cells to extramedullary sites. This is the first study to present the concept of using CXCR4 antagonists to potentiate the effects of chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{108} The mobilization responses of human ALL cells in the PDX model and haematopoietic stem/progenitor cells (HSPCs) in BALB/c mice were compared. ALL cells remained in the circulation for up to 6 hours after AMD3100 administration, when normal HPCs could not be detected. AMD3100 also increased the proportion of actively cycling ALL cells in PB.\textsuperscript{109} The combination of CXCR4 antagonists with tyrosine kinase inhibitor, chemotherapeutic agents and FLT3 inhibitors can effectively eradicate ALL cells in multiple PDX models.\textsuperscript{109-112} Chemotherapy-induced upregulation of CXCR4 expression led to drug resistance, which was reversed by AMD3100.\textsuperscript{112} The POE 10-03 trial enrolled five patients with ALL, but no response was observed.\textsuperscript{65} Consequently, whether patients with ALL can benefit from chemotherapy plus CXCR4 antagonists is unknown, and further studies are needed.

3.5.2 | Other treatment strategies based on CXCR4

CXCR4 may be a target for virotherapy in patients with T-ALL. A minimized derivative of HIV-1 was constructed to selectively remove leukaemia cells.\textsuperscript{113} Thereafter, this group constructed doxycycline-dependent mini-HIV-1 variants that may improve the safety of virotherapy.\textsuperscript{114} Ibrutinib may be a potential drug to treat B-ALL because it can inhibit the phosphorylation of CXCR4 induced by SDF-1 and the expression and activation of ERK and BCL-xL.\textsuperscript{115} CXCR4-targeted endoradiotherapy efficiently reduced leukaemia cells in the T-ALL PDX model.\textsuperscript{116}

In summary, CXCR4 plays very important roles in leukemogenesis and the biological characteristics of AL. CXCR4 is also a prognostic marker and can be used as a target for the treatment of AL. The major progress of research on SDF-1/CXCR4 in AL is presented in Figure 1.

4 | ROLE OF CXCR4 IN HSCT

4.1 | Mobilization of autologous HSCs by AMD3100-contained regimens

In the preliminary phase I study for evaluating the pharmacokinetics and safety of AMD3100, all participants experienced a dose-related elevation of the leukocyte counts in PB, indicating that CXCR4 antagonists may have the ability to mobilize HSCs.\textsuperscript{117} For the first time, Liles et al studied the mobilization effects of AMD3100 on HSPCs. A single dose of AMD3100 (80 microsubcutaneously) induced rapid and generalized leukocytosis associated with an increase in PB CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells in 10 subjects, identified as pluripotent haematopoietic progenitors by in vitro colony-forming unit assays.\textsuperscript{118} Subsequently, this group conducted a phase I clinical trial to compare the mobilization responses of G-CSF and AMD3100 with 18 volunteers. The results showed that AMD3100 significantly increased both G-CSF-stimulated mobilization of CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells and leukapheresis yield of CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells, and more T and B cells were observed in AMD3100-mobilized than G-CSF-stimulated leukapheresis products.\textsuperscript{119} Simultaneously, another group demonstrated rapid mobilization of murine and human HSCs and HPCs by AMD3100 and synergistically augmented G-CSF-induced mobilization of HPCs, which were proven to be long-term repopulating cells in subsequent animal experiments.\textsuperscript{120} Based on these observations, Flomenberg et al first...
SU et al. used G-CSF plus AMD3100 (G-CSF + AMD3100) in auto-HSCT. More CD34+ cells were mobilized and target levels of at least $5 \times 10^6$ cells/kg for transplantation were completed with fewer apheresis procedures in patients treated with G-CSF + AMD3100 than those treated with G-CSF alone. The harvested products also showed long-term and stable engraftment in subsequent transplantation.¹²¹

FIGURE 1 The major progress of research on SDF-1/CXCR4 in AL. A, SDF-1/CXCR4 plays important roles in homing and retention of leukaemia cells (LCs) in the BM. SDF-1 produced by CXCL12-abundant reticular (CAR) cells and stromal cells can recruit LCs into BM where they reside in special niches. Some LCs reside as leukaemia-initiating cells (LICs). In the BM microenvironment, Tregs (Tr) and stromal cells protected LCs from killing by effector T cells (T) or chemotherapeutic agents. CXCR4 blockade with special antagonists can mobilize LCs from the protective microenvironment into peripheral blood and render them eradicated by chemotherapeutic agents, kinase inhibitors, checkpoint inhibitors, hypomethylating agents and cellular immunotherapy. B, Shown is the major progress of SDF-1/CXCR4 function and the potential of CXCR4 as a therapeutic target in AL during the last three decades. CA: CXCR4 antagonists; vin: vincristine; dem: dexamethasone; CT: chemotherapy
These results were also supported by other studies, including a phase III prospective randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Additionally, an obvious increase in primitive PB progenitor cells (PBPCs) with high repopulation capacity was observed in subjects mobilized with G-CSF + AMD3100 than in those treated with G-CSF alone. Compared with PBPCs mobilized by G-CSF alone, 81 genes were upregulated and 29 genes were downregulated in those treated with G-CSF + AMD3100. Increased expression was observed in the categories of apoptosis, cell cycle, replication/DNA repair, cell motility and oxygen transport, while decreased expression was found in the proapoptosis gene group and CXCR4 receptor gene itself. Therefore, the addition of AMD3100 to G-CSF facilitates the collection of sufficient HSCs for transplantation. AMD3100 was shown to be utilized for mobilizing autologous HSCs in patients with multiple myeloma and lymphoma in 2008 by the FDA. Furthermore, G-CSF + AMD3100 is an alternative mobilization regimen for patients who fail to collect sufficient HSCs for auto-HSCT previously mobilized with G-CSF or G-CSF plus chemotherapy. This will benefit more patients who have not acquired auto-HSCT when mobilized with conventional regimens.

4.2 | Healthy donor mobilization by AMD3100-contained regimens

Mobilization responses of G-CSF alone and G-CSF + AMD3100 for allo-HSCT in an animal model were compared. A significantly higher proportion of c-kit+Sca-1+ HSCs and plasmacytoid dendritic cells was found in grafts collected after combinational mobilization than in those mobilized by G-CSF alone. Recipient mice receiving allografts from G-CSF + AMD3100 mobilization showed higher mortality associated with increased acute GVHD clinical scores and higher pathology scores in the intestine than those that received G-CSF grafts, which may be related to the upregulation of CCR6 expression on both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. In 2011, physicians from Italy presented a case report in which G-CSF + AMD3100 was used for a normal donor of allo-HSCT who failed marrow harvest due to failure of intubation after anaesthesia. A single leukapheresis yielded sufficient HSCs for transplantation. During the 8 months' follow-up, immunosuppressants were withdrawn, and no significant appearance of GVHD was observed. Patients achieved continuous CR and complete donor cell chimerism.

Mobilization with AMD3100 alone has also been explored. The durable engraftment of AMD3100-mobilized allogeneic PB mononuclear cells in a canine transplantation model was reported. In rhesus macaques, AMD3100 could mobilize true long-term repopulating HSCs with more cells in the G1 phase of the cell cycle and those expressing CXCR4 and VLA-4 compared with CD34+ cells mobilized by G-CSF. A comparison of cells mobilized by AMD3100 or G-CSF was performed in healthy volunteers. AMD3100-mobilized human mononuclear cells (MNCs) showed enhanced repopulating frequency compared with G-CSF-mobilized MNCs from paired donors, and purified CD34+ progenitors were at least as efficient as G-CSF-mobilized cells in NOD/SCID mice. The immune characteristics of leukapheresis products mobilized by AMD3100 have been analysed. In the rhesus macaque model, AMD3100 mobilization significantly increased both effector and Treg populations in PB and the resulting leukapheresis products compared with G-CSF. CD8+ T cells (including effector memory T cells) were mobilized to a greater extent than CD4+ cells compared with G-CSF alone. Considering the high number of effector memory and Tregs in leukapheresis harvests, AMD3100 mobilization may induce less GVHD after allo-HSCT. T cells mobilized by AMD3100 had a similar phenotype, mixed lymphocyte reactivity, and Foxp3 gene expression levels in CD4+ T cells, and expression levels of 84 genes associated with Th1/Th2/Th3 pathways were not altered compared with non-mobilized T cells. However, G-CSF mobilization decreased CD62L expression on both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and altered the expression of 16 cytokine-associated genes in CD3+ T cells. In a murine GVHD model, recipient mice that received allografts mobilized by AMD3100 showed a higher incidence of skin GVHD than those receiving G-CSF mobilized allografts.

The impact of AMD3100 mobilization on engraftment of donor cells and GVHD was evaluated in two clinical trials. In the first, 25 donors were recruited and treated with 240 μg/kg AMD3100, and leukapheresis was performed 4 h later. A total of 22 of 24 donors undergoing 1 or 2 days of leukapheresis had sufficient CD34+ cells for transplantation. Finally, 20 patients with haematologic malignancies received allografts and all engrafted neutrophils and platelets. Grade 2 or higher acute GVHD occurred in 35% of patients, and one died of complications related to acute GVHD. All 14 survivors in remission had robust multilineage haematopoiesis and were transfusion-free. Another phase II study was conducted using allografts mobilized by AMD3100 alone for sibling donor HSCT. Enough CD34+ cells were collected from 63 of 64 donors for transplantation after 1 or 2 days of leukapheresis. The recipients were treated with reduced intensity (RIC) or myeloablative conditioning (MAC). The median time for neutrophil and platelet engraftment was 15 and 18 days, respectively, in patients who received RIC and 13 and 19 days, respectively, in those who received MAC. Therefore, mobilization with AMD3100 alone seems sufficient for allo-HSCT.

4.3 | Other mobilization agents targeting CXCR4

4F-benzoyl-TN14003 (BKT140 or T-140) is a small peptide antagonist of CXCR4 with a stronger affinity than AMD3100 (approximately 21-fold). BKT140 can mobilize HSPCs, monocytes and B and T lymphocytes into circulation and synergizes with G-CSF. Compared with AMD3100, BKT140 with or without G-CSF was significantly more potent in mobilizing HSPCs into PB. A single injection of BKT140 into healthy volunteers triggered rapid and substantial mobilization of leukocytes and CD34+ cells into circulation with intact long-term engraftment potential, as demonstrated by engraftment of these human cells in NSG immunodeficient mice. BKT140 administration to mice transplanted with BM cells promoted the production
of various progenitors and mature cells and increased the egress of mature cells to the periphery.\textsuperscript{141} BKT140 was combined with chemotherapy and G-CSF for autologous stem cell mobilization. A single leukapheresis after BKT140 administrated at the highest dose of 0.9 mg/kg achieved $20.6 \pm 6.9 \times 10^6$ kg CD34$^+$ cells for transplantation. The median times of neutrophil and platelet recovery were 12 and 14 days, respectively.\textsuperscript{142} BTK140 with G-CSF mobilizes autologous HSCs for patients with multiple myeloma in an ongoing phase III, randomized, double-blind placebo-control study (NCT03246529).\textsuperscript{143}

POL5551, a novel CXCR4 antagonist, showed rapid mobilization kinetics and unprecedented efficiency, exceeding AMD3100 and at higher doses of G-CSF in mice.\textsuperscript{144} Balixafortide (POL6326), very similar to POL5551, mobilized HSPCs into PB, and mobilization was similar in the dose range 1500-2500 $\mu$g/kg.\textsuperscript{145} ATI-2341, a potential drug for HSC mobilization, was identified by screening a small CXCR4-targeted pepducin library.\textsuperscript{146}

4.4 | Blocking CXCR4 in conditioning of allo-HSCT

In phase I study (n = 12), AMD3100 was used (240 $\mu$g/kg) on days −4, −4 to −3, or −4 to −2 in conditioning for second allo-HSCT in pediatric patients with refractory or relapsed leukaemia. No dose-limiting toxicity was found, and grade 1 or 2 gastrointestinal side effects were the most common adverse events.\textsuperscript{147} In phase I/II study (n = 45), both G-CSF (10 $\mu$g/kg, days −9 to −4) and AMD3100 (0-240 $\mu$g/kg, days −7 to −4) were added to the conditioning regimen for patients with haematologic malignancies undergoing allo-HSCT. Compared with historical controls, patients in this study showed increased myeloid chimerism and lower GVHD rates, but no difference in long-term outcomes.\textsuperscript{148} In another phase I study, 12 patients were enrolled in four sequential cohorts. Patients in the first cohort received one dose of AMD3100 (240 $\mu$g/kg) before the first dose of chemotherapeutic agents, and subsequent cohorts received injections before 2, 3, and 4 days of conditioning chemotherapy. All patients were successfully engrafted. Six patients died due to infection (n = 3), relapse (n = 2), or chronic GVHD (n = 1), and the remaining patients maintained continuous CR.\textsuperscript{149} Thus, the addition of CXCR4 antagonists in conditioning was well-tolerated and associated with increased myeloid chimerism. However, enhanced eradication of residual leukaemia cells or survival benefits were not observed.

4.5 | Blocking CXCR4 after allo-HSCT

Because CXCR4 is widely expressed on multiple immune cells, its antagonists will cause tissue redistribution of these cells, which may be relevant to GVHD or GVL effects. AMD3100 can redistribute leukocytes from primary immune organs to peripheral tissues or blood.\textsuperscript{150} The impact of AMD100 on haematopoietic and immune cell reconstitution was evaluated in phase I/II clinical trial (n = 30). AMD3100 was administrated every other day from day +2 to day +21 or until neutrophil recovery. Compared with historic controls, AMD3100 treatment promoted engraftment of neutrophils and platelets, but no significant difference was observed in GVHD occurrence, long-term outcomes, secretion of inflammatory factors, or immune cell reconstitution.\textsuperscript{151} Using immune-compromised mice grafted with human B-ALL generated from human CD34$^+$ cells with forced MLL-AF9 overexpression, we found that injection of AMD3100 after allogeneic lymphocyte infusion could enhance GVL effects, leading to more efficient eradication of leukaemia cells within the immune-privileged site BM.\textsuperscript{152} Thus, CXCR4 antagonists combined with donor lymphocyte infusion may be a potential treatment option for relapsed patients post-allo-HSCT.

In summary, CXCR4 antagonists can be used alone or in combination with G-CSF to mobilize HSCs. Administration of CXCR4 antagonists in conditioning or post-allo-HSCT to enhance leukaemia cell eradication by high-dose chemotherapy or GVL effects was attempted. Further studies or optimized designs may be necessary to improve outcomes. The major progress in research on SDF-1/CXCR4 in HSCT is shown in Figure 2.

![FIGURE 2](image-url)  \(\text{FIGURE 2}\) The major progress of research on SDF-1/CXCR4 in HSCT. Shown is CXCR4 blockade with AMD3100 in mobilization of HSCs, conditioning of allo-HSCT, and recipients undergoing allo-HSCT during the last three decades.
The role of CXCR4 in AL has been increasingly complicated with recent research progress. Although the SDF-1/CXCR4 pathway is critical for the homing to and retention of leukaemia cells in the BM, drug-resistant MLL-AF9 AML cells in BM were not affected by AMD3100, and BCP-ALL cell chemotaxis towards the leukaemia niche was independent of SDF-1/CXCR4. These results indicate that other mechanisms regulate the migration of leukaemia cells. Targeting CXCR4 by antagonists is a potential therapeutic strategy for AL when combined with chemotherapeutic drugs, kinase inhibitors, hypomethylating agents or checkpoint inhibitors. Blocking CXCR4 with AMD3100 alone or in combination with G-CSF can be used to mobilize HSCs. New antagonists of CXCR4 are currently being investigated in preclinical studies or clinical trials, and some of them have promising clinical applications.

Combination therapies consisting of CXCR4 antagonists and chemotherapy have been evaluated in patients, but there have been no randomized clinical trials. Whether patients with high CXCR4 expression are more appropriate for such combination therapies is unknown. FLT3-ITD mutation is related to high levels of CXCR4 expression, and if a combination of FLT3 inhibitor and CXCR4 antagonists will improve outcomes for these patients needs to be determined. CXCR4 antagonists may mobilize drug-resistant leukemia cells or leukemia-initiating cells, chemotherapy or molecular target drugs may not kill them effectively, and new combinational strategies still need to be explored. Immunotherapies may be more suitable in combination with CXCR4 antagonists because they are not affected by drug-resistant leukemia cells. Post-transplantation administration of AMD3100 had no significant impact on GVL effects in clinical trials, which may be associated with the time and frequency of drug use. AMD3100 was administered when immunosuppressants were used, and the intensity was too low. The influence of using CXCR4 antagonists in conditioning regimens or post-transplantation on outcomes of patients undergoing allo-HSCT needs to be further verified. Furthermore, donor lymphocyte infusions followed by CXCR4 antagonists may be a promising therapeutic combination for treating relapsed patients after allo-HSCT or for pre-emptive treatment in patients with high-risk diseases or positive minimal residual disease.
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