Estrogen Receptor β (ERβ1) Transactivation Is Differentially Modulated by the Transcriptional Coregulator Tip60 in a cis-Acting Element-dependent Manner*
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Background: The interactions between estrogen receptor β (ERβ1) and different coregulators are responsible for the distinct functions of ERβ1.

Results: Tip60 enhances ERβ1 transactivation at the AP-1 site but inhibits it at ERE sites.

Conclusion: Tip60 is either a coactivator or a corepressor for ERβ1 in a regulatory element-dependent manner.

Significance: Tip60 is the first multifaceted coregulator of the transcriptional activity of ERβ1 that has been identified.

Estrogen receptor (ER) β1 and ERα have overlapping and distinct functions despite their common use of estradiol as the physiological ligand. These attributes are explained in part by their differential utilization of coregulators and ligands. Although Tip60 has been shown to interact with both receptors, its regulatory role in ERβ1 transactivation has not been defined. In this study, we found that Tip60 enhances transactivation of ERβ1 at the AP-1 site but suppresses its transactivation activity at the estrogen-response element (ERE) site in an estradiol-independent manner. However, different estrogenic compounds can modify the Tip60 action. The corepressor activity of Tip60 at the ERE site is abolished by diarylpropionitrile, genistein, equol, and bisphenol A, whereas its coactivation at the AP-1 site is augmented by fulvestrant (ICI 182,780). GRIP1 is an important tethering mediator for ERs at the AP-1 site. We found that coexpression of GRIP1 synergizes the action of Tip60. Although Tip60 is a known acetyltransferase, it is unable to acetylate ERβ1, and its coregulatory functions are independent of its acetylation activity. In addition, we showed the co-occupancy of ERβ1 and Tip60 at ERE and AP-1 sites of ERβ1 target genes. Tip60 differentially regulates the endogenous expression of the target genes by modulating the binding of ERβ1 to the cis-regulatory regions. Thus, we have identified Tip60 as the first dual-function coregulator of ERβ1.

Estrogen normally exerts its effects via two main receptor subtypes, estrogen receptor (ER)α and β (ERβ1) (1). These receptors function as transcription factors and regulate gene expression either by binding directly to estrogen-response elements (EREs) within the regulatory region of target genes (2, 3) or by interacting with other transcription factors, such as AP-1, NFκB, and Sp1 (4, 5). The activation of ERs is controlled by interplay between the binding of ligands and coregulators (coactivators and corepressors) (6). Most ER signaling pathways require ligand binding because ligands are able to induce the dimerization of ERs and conformational changes in receptors and thus to increase the potency of coactivator recruitment (7). However, studies of the ligand-independent regulation of ERβ1 by coregulators are limited to previous findings demonstrating this mode of action for SRC1 and GRIP1 (8, 9). Global transcriptional profiling also reveals that unliganded ERβ1 regulates a significant number of target genes (10, 11). These findings, taken together, have stimulated significant interest in the topic of ligand-independent action.

Coregulators regulate the activity of transcription factors through several mechanisms, including post-translational modification. Activities of ERs are regulated, for example, by acetylation, phosphorylation, and ubiquitination (12–14). A putative acetylation motif is present in many hormone receptors conserved among different species (13, 15), revealing that acetylation is a common regulatory mechanism of receptor activity. ERα is acetylated by p300 and SRC1 (16, 17), whereas its hormone sensitivity and transactivation are regulated by acetylation (17). Moreover, acetylation of ERα modulates or is modulated by other post-translational modifications, such as ubiquitination and phosphorylation (18, 19). However, acetylation of ERβ1 has not yet been reported. Alternatively, coregulators can act as scaffold proteins to allow tethering of ERs and activated B cell; ER, estrogen receptor; AP-1, activation protein 1; Sp1, specificity protein 1; NFκB, nuclear factor κ-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cell; ERE, estrogen-response element; E2, estradiol; DPN, diarylpropionitrile; GEN, genistein; EQ, equal; DAI, daizein; API, apigenin; TAM, 4-OH-tamoxifen; RAL, raloxifene; BPA, bisphenol A; TSA, trichostatin A; ICI, ICI 182,780; Ni-NTA, nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid; HAT, histone acetyltransferase; CSS, charcoal-stripped serum; HD, hinge domain; LBD, ligand-binding domain; DBD, DNA-binding domain; PR, progesterone receptor; SRC, steroid receptor coactivator; AR, androgen receptor; SERM, selective estrogen receptor modulator.
associated proteins onto other transcription factors (4, 20). For example, AP-1 recruits CBP and p300, which bind to p160 coactivators. ERs then tether onto the transcriptional complex of AP-1 through the physical interaction with p160 coactivators (4, 20). In short, the diverse actions of a nuclear receptor such as ERβ could depend largely on its interacting coregulators.

Tip60 (lysine acetyltransferase 5 (KAT5)) is a well studied ERα coregulator. It belongs to the MYST (MOZ, Ybf2/Sas3, Sas2, and Tip60) family. Members of this family possess an acetyltransferase domain capable of acetylating histones and other proteins (21). Moreover, Tip60 functions as either a coactivator (22–26) or a corepressor (27, 28), depending on its interacting transcription factors. Tip60 enhances ERα transactivation at ERE sites in a ligand-dependent manner (29, 30) and thus increases the expression of certain ERα target genes (29, 31). A study also shows that Tip60 interacts with ERβ1 in the presence of estrogen (32). However, it remains unclear how Tip60 modulates ERβ1 function.

This study investigated the biological function of Tip60 on ERβ1 transactivation, particularly at the various cis-regulatory sequences and/or in the presence of different types of ligand. The dependence of histone acetyltransferase (HAT) domain activity in Tip60 was evaluated with a HAT domain mutant. Its interactions with other common coregulators such as SRC-1 and GRIP1 were determined. Moreover, the co-occupancy of ERβ1 and Tip60 at cis-regulatory elements of endogenous ERβ1 target genes and their differential regulation by Tip60 were evaluated. Here, we showed that Tip60 is a unique dual-function coregulator of ERβ1 in a cis-acting element-dependent manner.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Culture Conditions**—HEK293 and DU-145 cells were grown in Eagle’s minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, t-glutamine. PC-3 cells were grown in F-12K medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (ATCC, Manassas, VA). All cells were grown in 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The phenol red free DMEM was supplemented with 10% charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum (CSS) prior to the addition of ligands in experiments. Cells were grown at 37 °C and 5% CO₂.

**Transfection Reagents and Chemicals**—Transient transfection of plasmids into HEK293 cells was performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Transient transfection of plasmids into PC-3 and DU-145 cells was performed using X-tremeGENE HP (Roche Applied Science). DharmaFECT 2 was used as the siRNA transfection reagent for PC-3 (Thermo Scientific Dharmacon, Florence, KY). Chemicals such as estradiol (E₂), diarylpropionitrile (DPN), genistein (GEN), equol (EQ), daizein (DAI), apigenin (API), 4-OH-tamoxifen (TAM), raloxifene (RAL), bisphenol A (BPA), anacardic acid, trichostatin A (TSA), and nicotinamide were purchased from Sigma. ICI 182,780 (ICI) was a gift from Zeneca Pharmaceuticals (Cheshire, UK).

**Plasmids, siRNAs, and Recombinant Protein**—Full-length ERβ1 and ERα were subcloned into pGBK7 vector, whereas Tip60 was cloned into pACT2 vector (Clontech). ERβ1 and Tip60 were also cloned into pcDNA-HisMax (Invitrogen) or subcloned into pENTR entry vector (Invitrogen) and then transferred into destination vector pDEST40 through gateway cloning (Invitrogen). In addition, full-length ERβ1 and ERα were subcloned into the pGBK7 vector, whereas Tip60 was cloned into the pACT2 vector (Clontech). SRC-1 and GRIP1, gifts from Dr. Nancy Weigel (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston), were cloned into pDNA3.1. ONTARGETplus SMARTpool 4 siRNAs specific to Tip60 were used for gene knockdown. ONTARGETplus nontargeting siRNA was used as the negative control (Thermo Scientific Dharmacon). Recombinant ERβ1 protein was purchased from Thermo Scientific Pierce.

To generate different domain-deleted ERβ1 constructs, a c-Myc tag was first added by PCR to the N terminus of the full-length ERβ1 coding sequence, which was cloned into pDEST40. We generated different domain-deleted ERβ1 by performing PCR with different sets of primers (Table 1) and using ERβ1-pDEST40 as the template.

**Antibodies**—Rabbit polyclonal anti-ERβ (H-150), goat polyclonal anti-Tip60 (N-17 and K-17), goat polyclonal anti-SRC-1 (C-20), rabbit polyclonal anti-GRIP1 (M-343), mouse monoclonal anti-c-Myc (9E10), and control IgG were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX). Mouse monoclonal anti-ERβ1 was purchased from AbD Serotec (Raleigh, NC). Rabbit polyclonal anti-acetyl-lysine and IgG XP isotype control were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). EZview red anti-HA and anti-c-Myc affinity gel were purchased from Sigma.
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Construction of ERβ1 Stably Expressed Cell Lines—Stably expressed cell lines were constructed according to the published data (33). Full-length ERβ1 or LacZ (negative control) was subcloned, respectively, into pmLenti6 lentiviral vector by Multisite Gateway Cloning (Invitrogen) and transduced into 293FT for production of lentivirus. The titer of lentivirus was measured, and the multiplicity of infection of PC-3 cells was determined. Lentivirus-infected PC-3 cells were selected with blasticidin (10 μg/ml) for 3 weeks. Quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR, Western blot, and β-galactosidase assay were performed to confirm the stable expression of ERβ5 or LacZ.

In Vitro Coimmunoprecipitation (Co-IP)—T7 promoter and HA tag were added to the N terminus of the coding sequence of Tip60 by PCR. pGBKTT7 vector containing the full-length of ERβ1, ERα, and purified PCR product of Tip60 were, respectively, translated in vitro by the TnT T7-reticulocyte system (Promega, Fitchburg, WI) labeled with EasyTag EXPRESS 35S protein labeling mix (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). Tip60 (10 μl) and ERβ1 or ERα (each 10 μl) proteins were mixed at 4 °C for 1 h. Lysates were incubated with 20 μl of EZview red anti-HA affinity gel (Sigma) at 4 °C overnight with agitation. The samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE. The dried gel was exposed to x-ray film for 72 h, and an intensifying screen (Eastman Kodak) was used for signal enhancement. Films were scanned using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LiCor Bioscience, Lincoln, NE).

Yeast Two-hybrid Assays—ERα- or ERβ1-pGBKT7 and Tip60-pACT2 were cotransformed into yeast strain Y187 (Promega, Fitchburg, WI) labeled with EasyTag EXPRESS 35S (Promega, Fitchburg, WI) labeled with EasyTag EXPRESS 35S (Promega, Fitchburg, WI) labeled with EasyTag EXPRESS 35S (Promega, Fitchburg, WI) labeled with EasyTag EXPRESS 35S protein labeling mix (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). Tip60 (10 μl) and ERβ1 or ERα (each 10 μl) proteins were mixed at 4 °C for 1 h. Lysates were incubated with 20 μl of EZview red anti-HA affinity gel (Sigma) at 4 °C overnight with agitation. The samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE. The dried gel was exposed to x-ray film for 72 h, and an intensifying screen (Eastman Kodak) was used for signal enhancement. Films were scanned using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LiCor Bioscience, Lincoln, NE).

Ni-NTA Purification of His-tagged Proteins—HEK293 cells were transfected with ERβ1 and Tip60. After a 24-h transfection, medium was added with 10 mM E2. Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 0.1% Tween 20) containing complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor mixture (Calbiochem) followed by sonication. About 1 mg of total lysate was incubated with 20 μl of Ni-NTA-agarose beads (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) at 4 °C overnight. Washing and elution procedures followed the manufacturer’s protocol. The transformed yeast cells were grown on quadruple dropout (SD/-Ade – His – Leu – Trp) (QDO) agar with X-α-galactosidase until the appearance of blue colonies.

Luciferase Reporter Assay—Different luciferase reporter constructs were subjected to Western blot analysis. In the domain-deletion study, full-length and domain-deleted ERβ1 constructs were immunoprecipitated by EZview red anti-c-Myc affinity gel (Sigma). IgG XP isotype was used as negative control (Cell Signaling Technology).

Immunofluorescence Staining—HEK293 cells or ERβ1 stably expressed PC-3 cells were seeded on a round coverslip. HEK293 cells were transfected with ERβ1 and Tip60. Cells were fixed in 10% formalin and permeabilized with 1% Nonidet P-40. Normal chicken serum was used for blocking. Cells were incubated with rabbit ERβ (H150) and goat Tip60 (N-17) at room temperature for 1 h followed by incubation with different fluorescent-tagged secondary antibodies. DAPI (Sigma) was used for nuclear counterstaining. Prolong R Gold anti-fade reagent (Invitrogen) was used for signal enhancement. Fluorescent images were obtained with an Axiovert 200 M fluorescent microscope equipped with an AxioCam MRm camera and Axiovision 4.8 software (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Site-directed Mutagenesis—The acetylation-deficient mutant of Tip60, Tip60ΔHAT (Q377E/G380E), was generated with the use of the Stratagene QuikChange lightning site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) as described in the protocol. Primers for mutagenesis were designed through the QuikChange primer design program (Agilent Technologies) (Table 1). In brief, the mutant strand synthesis was done by PCR, and products were treated with the restriction endonuclease DpnI to digest the parental DNA. The mutated single-stranded DNA was converted to the duplex form in vivo through bacterial transformation. Plasmids were extracted and sequenced to confirm the mutations.

In Vitro and in Vivo Acetylation Assay—For the in vitro acetylation assay, HEK293 cells were transfected with either wild-type Tip60 (Tip60WT) or Tip60ΔHAT. Cells were treated with 3 μM TSA and 5 mM nicotinamide for 6 h. Recombinant Tip60 was purified on the Ni-NTA column as described above, and the lysis and wash buffers were added with 1 μM TSA and 5 mM nicotinamide, which are inhibitors of different deacetylase families. The Tip60-bound Ni-NTA column was resuspended in HAT buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 10% glycerol, 100 μM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 10 mM sodium butyrate, 5 mM nicotinamide) with 500 μM acetyl-CoA and 500 μg of recombinant ERβ1. The mixture was incubated at 30 °C for 1 h. Lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis.

For the in vivo acetylation assay, HEK293 cells were transfected with ERβ1, Tip60WT, or Tip60ΔHAT. Cells were treated with 3 μM TSA and 5 mM nicotinamide for 6 h. Immunoprecipitation was performed with ERβ1 or Tip60 antibody, and the lysis and wash buffers were added with 1 μM TSA and 5 mM nicotinamide. Lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis.

Luciferase Reporter Assay—Different luciferase reporter plasmids were used. The pt109-ERE3-Luc carrying 3× vitellogenin ERE was provided by Dr. Craig Jordan (Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia). The pAP-1-Luc was purchased from Clontech. The C3 ERE-Luc, c-Fos ERE-Luc, progesterone receptor (PR) ERE-Luc, and pS2 ERE-Luc reporters were gifts from Dr. Carolyn Klinge (University of Louisville, Louisville, KY). NFκB-Luc and pSp13-Luc were provided by Dr. Francis Chan (University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA). HEK293 cells were seeded on 24-well plates at 2.8 × 10^5 in phenol red-free medium supplemented with 10% charcoal.
Transactivation activities of ERβ and BPA were added to the medium after a 24-h transfection. Tip60 translated and Tip60, we performed in vitro buffer with 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) to elute the immunoassays, DNA-containing magnetic beads were incubated in TE (Dynabeads) for capturing antibodies (Invitrogen). In re-ChIP Tip60, together with luciferase reporter plasmids and cyclinD2-ChIP-R

| Primers | Sequences |
|---------|-----------|
| ERβ-RT-F | TGGCTTACCTCCTGCTGTCCT |
| ERβ-RT-R | TGCCAGACGGTCTACTACA |
| Tip60-RT-F | CGAACTGGGGGAGATGCTG |
| Tip60-RT-R | AGTGCTTTACCGCCCTCAGA |
| CXCL12-RT-F | TGGCTTCTACCCGTCAGA |
| CXCL12-RT-R | TGCAGGCCAGCGTTATA |
| CyclinD2-RT-F | TGAAGCTGCTGTCGTAAGATC |
| CyclinD2-RT-R | AGCTTGGCCTGCGAGTCAT |
| GAPDH-RT-F | GAGCCTGGAAGTCTGGCTG |
| GAPDH-RT-R | GACAACTTCCCTGCTCTAG |

ChIP real time PCR

| Primers | Sequences |
|---------|-----------|
| CXCL12-ChIP-F | AGGCATCACAATGCAAATCA |
| CXCL12-ChIP-R | AGGCATCACAATGCAAATCA |
| CyclinD2-ChIP-F | AGGCCAGCGTTATA |
| CyclinD2-ChIP-R | AGCTTGGCCTGCGAGTCAT |
| ERβ5-ChIP-F | CTTACAAGGGCGCTCTGCTG |
| ERβ5-ChIP-R | TATAAACCCGACAAGTGG |
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stripped serum (CSS). Expression plasmids of ERβ1, GFP, or Tip60, together with luciferase reporter plasmids and β-galactosidase, were transiently transfected into cells. Different ligands, such as E2, DPN, GEN, EQ, DAL, API, TAM, RAL, ICI, and BPA were added to the medium after a 24-h transfection. Transactivation activities of ERβ1 were measured by using the Bright-Glo luciferase kit (Promega). Normalization of transfection efficiency was done by measuring β-galactosidase activity using the β-gal assay kit (Promega). Each independent experiment was carried out in technical triplicates.

Quantitative RT-PCR—Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), and cDNA synthesis was done with SMART Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase with poly(dt) primer following the manufacturer’s protocols (Promega). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed with ABI7900 real time PCR system (Invitrogen). The sequences of primers used are summarized in Table 2.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and Re-ChIP Assays—PC-3-ERβ1 cells were grown in CSS-containing medium supplemented with 10 mm E2. ChIP assays were performed as described previously (34), except for the use of magnetic beads (Dynabeads) for capturing antibodies (Invitrogen). In re-ChIP assays, DNA-containing magnetic beads were incubated in TE buffer with 10 mm dithiothreitol (DTT) to elute the immunoprecipitated DNA after the first ChIP assay. The second ChIP assay was performed with the purified DNA by the second antibody. The ChIP DNA was amplified by PCR with the ABI7900 real time PCR system. The sequences of primers used in the amplification are summarized in Table 2.

Statistical Analysis—The Student’s t test of QuickCalcs (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) was used for statistical analysis. p values calculated were two-sided, and values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

ERβ Can Interact with Tip60 in Either the Absence or Presence of Estrogen—To show the physical binding between ERβ1 and Tip60, we performed in vitro coimmunoprecipitation. Tip60 translated in vitro was incubated with ERα or ERβ in the presence of E2 and immunoprecipitated with HA antibody. The translated Tip60 interacted with both ERα (Fig. 1A, lane 2) and ERβ1 (Fig. 1A, lane 6). To confirm the interactions in a cellular system, we cotransformed ERβ1, ERα, or empty vector with Tip60 into yeast cells. We were surprised to find that Tip60 interacted with ERβ1 in the absence or presence of E2, as indicated by the growth of blue yeast colonies (Fig. 1B, left panel).

Consistent with previous findings (29, 32), ERα-Tip60 interaction occurred only in the presence of E2 (Fig. 1B, middle panel). To verify the interaction in a mammalian system, we transfected HEK293 cells with Tip60 or empty vector along with ERβ1, followed by immunoprecipitation (Fig. 1C). ERβ1 was coimmunoprecipitated with Tip60 in the absence and presence of E2 (Fig. 1C, lanes 1 and 3). Their interaction was verified by reciprocal coimmunoprecipitation using ERβ1-specific antisera. Tip60 was coimmunoprecipitated only when cells overexpressed ERβ1 and Tip60 (Fig. 1D, lane 1). However, no Tip60 was coimmunoprecipitated when the cells overexpressed only ERβ1 (Fig. 1D, lane 2) or Tip60 alone (Fig. 1D, lane 3). The interaction was also confirmed in a cell line with a high endogenous level of Tip60. A prostate cancer cell line, PC-3, with ectopic expression of ERβ1 (PC-3-ERβ1) was used (35). Tip60 was coimmunoprecipitated with ERβ1 in the absence or presence of E2 (Fig. 1E, lanes 1 and 3).

We further determined the presence of ERβ1 and Tip60 in the same subcellular compartments. ERβ1 (red) was shown to be colocalized with Tip60 (green) (Fig. 1F) in the nucleus of HEK293 cells in the absence or presence of E2. Colocalization of the two proteins also was observed in PC-3-ERβ1 (Fig. 1G). These data show that ERβ1 physically interacts with Tip60 inside the nucleus in either the absence or presence of E2.

Hinge Domain of ERβ1 Is Responsible for the Interaction with Tip60—We performed interaction analysis of different domains of ERβ1 with Tip60 to further characterize the interaction between ERβ1 and Tip60. Functional domains of ERβ1 include activation function 1 (AF-1), DNA-binding domain (DBD), hinge domain (HD), ligand-binding domain (LBD), and AF-2 domain. We constructed five domain-deleted ERβ1 mutants (ERβ1ΔAF-1, ERβ1ΔAF-1ΔDBD, ERβ1ΔAF-1ΔHD, ERβ1ΔLBD-ΔAF-2, and ERβ1ΔAF-2) with the c-Myc tag at the N termini (Fig. 2A). Tip60 together with full-length ERβ1 or its domain-deleted mutants were transfected into HEK293 cells followed by immunoprecipitation. A considerable amount of Tip60 was pulled down simultaneously with the N-terminally deleted mutants ERβ1ΔAF-1 and ERβ1ΔAF-1ΔDBD (Fig. 2B, upper panel) and the C-terminally deleted mutants ERβ1ΔLBD-ΔAF-2 and ERβ1ΔAF-2 (Fig. 2B, lower panel). However, no Tip60 was coimmunoprecipitated with the ERβ1ΔAF-1ΔHD construct (Fig. 2B, lower panel). The data show that the hinge domain of ERβ1 is responsible for interacting with Tip60.

Tip60 Differentially Regulates ERβ1 Transactivation at ERE and AP-1 Sites—ERβ1 is a transcription factor controlling gene expression by either directly binding to consensus DNA sequences or tethering on other transcription factors (2, 5, 36). We were interested in investigating whether Tip60 enhances ERβ1 transactivation and whether the effect is dependent on a cis-regulatory element.
We therefore transfected Tip60, ERβ1, and different luciferase reporter plasmids into HEK293 cells. Tip60 reduced ERβ1 transactivation at the vitellogenin-ERE site in the absence or presence of E2 (Fig. 3A). Moreover, we verified its inhibitory effect at ERE sequences of different ERβ1-target genes. Tip60 inhibited ERβ1 transactivation at C3-ERE (Fig. 3B) and c-Fos-ERE sites (Fig. 3C) in the absence or presence of E2 and also at pS2-ERE (Fig. 3D) and PR-ERE sites (Fig. 3E) in the absence of E2. To determine its mode of regulatory action, we showed that the inhibitory effect of Tip60 on ERβ1 transactivation was concentration-dependent (Fig. 3F). Tip60 decreased constitutive and E2-induced transactivation, and the fold change also was similar in the absence and presence of E2 (Fig. 3F). Apart from directly binding to DNA sequences, ERβ1 can interact with coregulators to tether onto other transcription factors to activate the transcription. Tip60 enhanced ERβ1 transactivation at
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**FIGURE 2.** Hinge domain of ERβ1 is responsible for the interaction with Tip60. A, a schematic diagram shows the domains of full-length ERβ1 and different domain-deleted constructs. The c-Myc tag was added to the N terminus of each construct. The strength of interaction between different ERβ1 constructs and Tip60 is represented by ‘+’ and ‘−’ signs. ‘+ + + +’ represents the strongest interaction, and ‘− −’ represents no interaction. AF-1, activation function 1; AF-2, activation function 2. HEK293 cells were grown in CSS-containing medium and transfected with Tip60 and different domain-deleted ERβ1 constructs. Lysates were immunoprecipitated (IP) with c-Myc antibody. Immunoglobulin IgG was used as the negative control. The immunoprecipitates were immunoblotted (IB) with c-Myc antibody. Asterisks denote the positions of ERβ1 and its mutants.

Next, we verified the results in vivo. Either Tip60WT or Tip60ΔHAT was expressed simultaneously with ERβ1. The cells were incubated with TSA and nicotinamide to maximize the level of acetylation. Immunoprecipitation was performed with either ERβ1 or Tip60 antibody to isolate different populations of protein complex. Tip60WT, but not Tip60ΔHAT, was able to auto-acetylate in vitro, as shown in the input lysate (Fig. 5C, right panel). Immunoprecipitation was first performed with ERβ1 antibody to isolate ERβ1 complexes that may or may not contain Tip60. Although Tip60 was coimmunoprecipitated with ERβ1, no acetylation of ERβ1 or Tip60 was detected (Fig. 5C, left panel). Similarly, Tip60 antibody was then used in the pulldown assay to isolate two populations of Tip60 complexes, including the one with or without ERβ1. As expected, Tip60 and ERβ1 were isolated simultaneously. Although there was no acetylation of ERβ1, auto-acetylation of Tip60WT was detected in the immunoprecipitate (Fig. 5C, middle panel), and its unacetylated form may interact preferentially with ERβ1. To
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Further determine the significance of the HAT activity of Tip60 to the transcriptional activity of ERβ1, we used a HAT inhibitor, anacardic acid, which inhibits Tip60-dependent acetylation (39). Similar to the results shown in Fig. 6, B and C, enhancement of ERβ1 transactivation by Tip60 was up-regulated at the AP-1 site in the presence of anacardic acid (Fig. 6E), but no change was observed at the ERE site (Fig. 6D). The results suggest that HAT activity of Tip60 is not required to regulate ERβ1 transactivation at ERE or AP-1 site.

Tip60 Interacts with GRIP1 to Enhance ERβ1 Transactivation at the AP-1 Site Synergistically—The p160 SRC family consists of three homologous members, SRC1, GRIP1, and SRC3 (40–42). Of these, SRC1 and GRIP1 are coactivators of ERs at the AP-1 and ERE sites (4, 43). Because Tip60 enhanced ERβ1 transactivation at the AP-1 site but diminished transactivation at different ERE sites, we investigated whether Tip60 has any combinatorial effect with p160 coactivators. We overexpressed different combinations of Tip60, SRC1, and GRIP1 together with ERβ1 and determined the regulation of ERβ1 transactivation by these proteins at the ERE and AP-1 sites. SRC1 enhanced ERβ1 transactivation in the absence of E2, whereas Tip60 and GRIP1 reduced ERβ1 transactivation in the absence or presence of E2. The effect of inhibition persisted when Tip60 and GRIP1 were overexpressed simultaneously (Fig. 7A).

At the AP-1 site, all three coregulators were able to enhance ERβ1 transactivation with or without E2 (Fig. 7B). In the absence of E2, coexpression of Tip60 and GRIP1 had the strongest stimulatory effect on the transactivation. Interestingly, overexpression of SRC1 abolished the synergistic effects of Tip60 and GRIP1 (Fig. 7B). To further investigate the synergistic effect of Tip60 and GRIP1 on ERβ1 transactivation at the AP-1 site, we performed luciferase assays with different ratios of GRIP1 and Tip60 plasmids. Consistent with the results in Fig. 7B, coexpression of GRIP1 and Tip60 resulted in a greater enhancement of ERβ1 transactivation than expression of GRIP1 alone, whereas a 1:1 ratio of GRIP1 and Tip60 plasmids resulted in the greatest enhancement at the AP-1 site (Fig. 7C). Next, an immunoprecipitation experiment was used to determine whether ERβ1, Tip60, and the two p160 coactivators are involved in a transcriptional complex. Tip60 interacted with ERβ1, GRIP1, and SRC1 (Fig. 7D). To conclude, ERβ1, Tip60, GRIP1, and SRC1 are able to form a multiprotein complex, whereas Tip60 and GRIP1 synergistically enhance ERβ1 transactivation at the AP-1 site.

Tip60 Differentially Regulates ERβ1 Target Genes by Modulating ERβ1 Binding to the cis-Regulatory Regions Possessing the ERE or AP-1 Site—In our study, Tip60 either enhanced or reduced ERβ1 transactivation at the AP-1 site. To invest...
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FIGURE 5. ERβ1 cannot be acetylated by Tip60 and preferentially interacts with unacetylated Tip60. A, a schematic diagram shows the structural domains of Tip60 and the substitution of amino acids on the HAT-defective mutant (Q377E/G380E) (Tip60ΔHAT). B, ERβ1 is not acetylated by Tip60 in vitro. His-tagged wild-type of Tip60 (Tip60WT) or Tip60ΔHAT was transfected, respectively, into HEK293 cells, and Tip60 proteins were purified on an Ni-NTA column. Recombinant ERβ1 protein and Tip60 were incubated in HAT buffer containing acetyl-CoA. The immunoprecipitates (IP) were immunoblotted (IB) with acetyl-lysine, ERβ1, or Tip60 antibody. Asterisk denotes the nonspecific band that appeared when the blot was immunoblotted with pan-acetyl-lysine antibody. C, ERβ1 is not acetylated by Tip60 in vivo and preferentially interacts with unacetylated Tip60. Tip60WT or HAT was transfected with ERβ1 into HEK293 cells. Lysates were immunoprecipitated with either ERβ1 (left panel) or Tip60 (middle panel) antibody. Immunoglobulin IgG was used as the negative control. The immunoprecipitates were immunoblotted with acetyl-lysine, ERβ1, or Tip60 antibody.

tigate whether ERβ1 target genes are differentially regulated by Tip60, we determined their gene expressions in ERβ1 or LacZ stably expressed PC-3 cells (PC-3-ERβ1/PC-3-LacZ) after the knockdown of Tip60. The ectopic expression of ERβ1 and the efficiency of Tip60 knockdown were confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 8, A and B) and Western blotting (data not shown). We found that the expressions of CXCL12 and cyclin D2 were drastically increased in PC-3-ERβ1 compared with the control (PC-3-LacZ) (Fig. 8, C and D). Moreover, their expressions were differentially regulated with the knockdown of Tip60 in PC-3-ERβ1 cells. Expression of CXCL12 was further up-regulated (Fig. 8C), whereas that of cyclin D2 was down-regulated after Tip60 depletion (Fig. 8D). The cis-regulatory sequence of the CXCL12 gene was found to have an ERE site (44), and sequence analysis revealed a predicted AP-1-binding site at the upstream region of the cyclin D2 gene (data not shown). In ChIP assays, ERβ1 and Tip60 were significantly recruited to the respective investigated regions (Fig. 8, E and F). Moreover, the co-occupancy of ERβ1 and Tip60 on the respective cis-regulatory regions of CXCL12 and cyclin D2 was confirmed in the re-ChIP assay (Fig. 8G). Similar results were observed in the reciprocal re-ChIP assay (data not shown).

Next, we investigated the molecular mechanism of differential regulation of ERβ1 target genes by Tip60. Upon the depletion of Tip60, the recruitment of ERβ1 to the cis-regulatory region of CXCL12 was significantly enhanced, whereas the recruitment of ERβ1 to the investigated region of cyclin D2 was decreased (Fig. 8H). Collectively, our results showed that Tip60 differentially regulates the expression of ERβ1 target genes by modulating the binding of ERβ1 to their respective cis-regulatory regions.

DISCUSSION

Estrogen signaling is mediated primarily by ERα and ERβ1, whereas ERβ1 is able to activate a distinct set of target genes and also to antagonize ERα transactivation (45–49). Although ERs share many common coregulators, the differential interaction between the coregulatory proteins and ERs may be responsible for their distinct functions (8). In this study, Tip60 was found to interact with ERβ1 in the absence or the presence of E2. Tip60 either enhances or inhibits ERβ1 transactivation, depending on the cis-regulatory sites. Moreover, Tip60 and GRIP1 enhance the transactivation at the AP-1 site synergistically. We also showed that ERβ1 is not acetylated by Tip60 and thus that the regulation of ERβ1 activity by Tip60 is independent of its HAT activity. In addition, Tip60 is able to differentially control the endogenous expression of ERβ1 target genes possessing the ERE or AP-1 site by modulating ERβ1 binding to the respective cis-regulatory regions. On the basis of these data, we suggest that ERβ1 transactivation is differentially regulated by Tip60 in a regulatory element-dependent manner.

Tip60 is an interacting partner of some hormone receptors, including ERs, AR, and PR. Their interactions were shown to require the presence of respective agonists (32). In this study, we found that the binding of Tip60 to ERβ1 does not require ligands and that the strength of the interaction is similar in the
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FIGURE 6. HAT activity of Tip60 is not necessary for regulation of the ERβ1 transactivation at AP-1 and ERE sites. A, expression of Tip60, HAT was similar to that of Tip60 WT. Lysates were extracted and immunoblotted (IB) with Tip60 antibody. β-Actin was used as the loading control. B and C, HAT activity of Tip60 is not necessary for the regulation of ERβ1 transactivation at AP-1 and ERE sites. GFP, Tip60 WT, or Tip60 HAT was transfected, respectively, with ERβ1, pCMV-β-gal (B), AP-1 (C), or vitellogenin-ERE reporter plasmids into HEK293 cells before the addition of E2. D and E, GFP or Tip60 was transfected, respectively, with ERβ1, pCMV-β-gal (D), AP-1 (E), or vitellogenin-ERE reporters into HEK293 cells. After the transfection, DMSO or E2, together with ethanol (vehicle) or anacardic acid (AnAc) was added as indicated. B–D, relative luciferase activity was determined as in Fig. 3. Results are the average of three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean ± S.D. The statistical significance of the difference in luciferase activity between the overexpression of GFP and Tip60 in the presence of DMSO or E2 is shown as follows: *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001.

absence or presence of E2. The discrepancy between our finding and that from another group may be due to our use of different ERβ1 sequences and interaction assays. Gaughan et al. (32) used a construct containing only LBD of ERβ1 in the mammary two-hybrid assay. We used the full-length ERβ1, which is more biologically relevant in terms of protein folding, to show the interaction in yeast two-hybrid assays, in vitro and in vivo coimmunoprecipitation, and subcellular localization studies in different cell lines. It is not uncommon for ligand-independent interactions to occur between ERβ1 and coregulators. For example, phosphorylation of ERβ1 leads to ligand-independent recruitment of SRC1 (48), and GRIP1 is also recruited by unliganded ERβ1 (8, 10, 20). Both coactivators stimulate unliganded ERβ1 transactivation (8, 10). Our data suggest that Tip60 interacts with ERβ1 regardless of E2 presence.

The interaction of Tip60 with LBD of ERα in a ligand-dependent manner is well documented (29, 30, 32). The distinct mechanisms of recruiting Tip60 by ERα and ERβ1 imply that they may have different domains interacting with Tip60. We performed domain deletion of ERβ1 followed by immunoprecipitation to show that the hinge domain of ERβ1 is the interacting region. Although ERs interact with the most coactivators and corepressors at either or both N and C termini (50), they also bind to some coregulators at the hinge domain. L7/SPA interacts with the hinge domain of ERα and enhances transactivation of antagonist-occupied ERα at the ERE site (51). ERα also binds to PGC-1 at its hinge domain in a ligand-independent manner (52). Although the hinge domain of ERs is not as well characterized, it has been shown to affect protein degradation and activity of ERβ1 (53, 54). ERα tethered-mediated AP-1 transactivation (55), and the functional synergy between AF-1 and AF-2 of ERs (56). Because AF-1 and AF-2 domains are responsible for E2-independent and E2-dependent activation of the transactivation of ERs (50), we speculate that the atypical interaction interface between ERβ1 and Tip60 at the hinge domain may contribute to the unique regulation of ERβ1 activity by Tip60.

Tip60 functions as a coregulator of many transcription factors (57). Hence, we determined its role in the regulation of ERβ1 transactivation by the luciferase assay and used reporter constructs with different cis-regulatory sequences of the target genes of ERβ1. Tip60 reduced ERβ1 transactivation at different ERE sequences, such as vitellogenin-ERE, C3-, c-Fos-, pS2- and PR-EREs. Moreover, the inhibitory action of ERβ1 transactivation by Tip60 is concentration-dependent but E2-independent. Our results imply that Tip60 can inhibit transcription of certain ERβ1-regulated genes possessing ERE sites. In contrast, Tip60 increased the expression of some estrogen-regulated ERα target genes containing EREs (29, 30). Because ERβ1 antagonizes E2-dependent transactivation through hetero-dimerization (50), Tip60 may be a key factor in determining the antagonism between ERs. ERβ1 also interacts with other transcription factors to modulate the transcription through tethering. We showed that Tip60 did not regulate ERβ1 transactivation at either the NFκB or the Sp1 site but that it drastically increased the transactivation at the AP-1 site. Moreover, the enhancement by Tip60 was more drastic in the absence of E2. It is not surprising for a coregulator to show dual regulation of the activity of transcription factors. GRIP1 acts as a coactivator of ERα at ERE and AP-1 sites (4, 8) but inhibits the activity of E2-bound ERα, which tethers on c-Jun and NFκB at TNFα promoter (58).

In addition, GRIP1 is either a coactivator or a corepressor of glucocorticoid receptor in a hormone-response element-dependent manner (59). Our study not only shows that the regulation of ERβ1 transactivation by Tip60 occurs in an E2-independent manner but also provides evidence that it can enhance or inhibit the transactivation at the AP-1 response element or ERE, respectively.

The modulation by ligands of ERβ1 signaling at different response elements has been well documented (36). We extensively investigated the effects of various steroidal compounds on the transcriptional regulation by Tip60. Consistent with the previous findings (36, 60, 61), we found that estrogenic compounds (E2 and DPN) and phytoestrogens (GEN, EQ, DAI, and API) up-regulated ERβ1 transactivation at ERE, whereas SERMs (TAM and RAL) and antiestrogen (ICI) did the opposite. Surprisingly, DPN, GEN, and EQ abolished Tip60-mediated inhibition at the ERE site. Moreover, all estrogenic chemicals except apigenin significantly inhibited enhancement by Tip60 at the AP-1 site. The discrepancy may be due to differential conformational changes of ERβ1 through binding to dif-
ERα and SERMs cannot improve the potency of Tip60 recruitment by Tip60 as compared with the control. We suggest that potential regulation of ERα either Tip60 or SERMs causes a similar conformational change in ERα that is favorable to tethering on the AP-1 site (67–69). Perhaps the binding of these compounds triggers the recruitment of other coactivators, such as Tip60, to ERα at the AP-1 site. Although Fujimoto et al. (37) suggested that estrogens and phytoestrogens repress the activity of certain transcription factors through acetylation (57). Thus, we sought to determine whether its regulation of ERα activity is mediated through acetylation. We used different acetylation assays to illustrate that Tip60 is incapable of acetylating ERα. This is consistent with studies of other coregulators of ERα that possess HAT activity, but none of them was found to acetylate ERα (9, 13, 16, 45, 48). Moreover, acetylation of nuclear receptors is assumed to occur at a conserved motif “(K/R)XKK” (13), which is absent in ERα (data not shown). These findings suggest that ERα may not be post-translationally modified through acetylation.

In addition to acetylating its interacting partners, Tip60 can auto-acetylate to regulate its activity (71, 72). In our in vivo acetylation assays, acetylation of Tip60 was detected only in the immunoprecipitation that used Tip60 antibody but not ERα antibody, revealing that those Tip60 proteins in the ERα-Tip60 complex are probably unacetylated. The result implies that ERα may preferentially interact with unacetylated Tip60, perhaps because auto-acetylation modifies the structure of Tip60 (71). Our study verified that HAT activity of Tip60 does not increase ERα transactivation. In contrast, Tip60ΔHAT did not reduce but enhanced ERα activity at the AP-1 site. The result was confirmed with the use of anacardic acid, which inhibits the HAT activity of Tip60 (37). The observation may be
explained by the increased amount of unacetylated Tip60 that binds to ERβ1. In fact, HAT activity of Tip60 is not essential for the regulation of the activity of some transcription factors, such as CREB, STAT3, and PGC-1α (27, 28, 73). Our data indicate that ERβ1 transactivation is not regulated through HAT activity of Tip60. Furthermore, the receptor appears to interact preferentially with unacetylated Tip60.

In this study, we found that ERβ1 activity was enhanced by Tip60 at the AP-1 site. The ERβ1-mediated transactivation requires the recruitment of CBP/p300 and p160 coactivators at the AP-1-response element (4), where ERβ1 interacts primarily with p160 coactivators (4, 43, 74, 75). These observations urged us to investigate whether Tip60 interacts with p160 coactivators to regulate ERβ1 transactivation. We found that Tip60 interacted with SRC1 and GRIP1, although it only enhanced ERβ1 activity synergistically with GRIP1 at the AP-1 site. Moreover, expression of different amounts of GRIP1 and Tip60 always resulted in a greater enhancement of ERβ1 transactivation compared with expression of GRIP1 alone, revealing that they simultaneously act as coactivators of ERβ1 at the AP-1 site. It is interesting that SRC1 was not synergistic with the other two coregulators, implying that it may have other mechanisms regulating ERβ1 transactivation. Because ERβ1 interacts with Tip60 at its hinge domain and GRIP1 binds to AF-1 and AF-2 domains of the receptor (43), we therefore hypothesize that Tip60 and GRIP1 cooperate to modify the conformation of ERβ1, permitting more efficient tethering on the AP-1 site.

In addition, we showed that Tip60 modulates ERβ1 regulation of endogenous gene expression in prostate cancer cells. In our search for ERβ1-regulated genes (10, 11), CXCL12 (76)
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cyclin D2 (previously unknown) were the only two that we identified in this study that were regulated by both ERβ1 and Tip60. We found that upon the knockdown of Tip60, the expression of CXCL12 increased and that of cyclin D2 decreased. Interestingly, the promoter region of CXCL12 contains multiple EREs (44, 76, 77) and that of cyclin D2 harbors two AP-1 sites based on bioinformatics. In the ChIP and re-ChIP assays, ERβ1 and Tip60 were shown to co-occupy the investigated regions. Moreover, the depletion of Tip60 appeared to increase ERβ1 binding to the promoter of CXCL12 and decrease its recruitment to the promoter of cyclin D2. These results raise the possibility that Tip60 promotes the recruitment of ERβ1 to AP-1 site but reduces its ER binding, a mechanism that likely contributes to the differential regulation of ERβ1-targeted gene expression.

In conclusion, we showed that Tip60 modulates ERβ1 action in a regulatory element-dependent manner as exemplified by its opposing roles on ERβ1 transactivation at the ERE and AP-1 sites. Furthermore, its coregulatory action on ERβ1 appears to be E2-independent at both cis-elements, unlike its action on ERα. Contrary to common belief, Tip60 action is not mediated by its HAT activity. Our data also suggest that the interaction between Tip60 and GRIP1 synergistically enhances ERβ1 tethering on the AP-1 site. Moreover, Tip60 can modulate the recruitment of ERβ1 to the promoters of CXCL12 and cyclin D2, harboring the ERE and AP-1 site, respectively. Collectively, these data put Tip60 into the category of a multifaceted coregulator in the ERβ1 context, similar to GRIP1 in the regulation of the activities of ERα and glucocorticoid receptor (4, 8, 58, 59).
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