Off-diagonal Flavour Susceptibilities from AdS/CFT
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We study flavour susceptibilities in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SU($N$) super Yang-Mills plasma coupled to two quark flavours at strong coupling and large $N$ by means of its gravity dual. The off-diagonal susceptibility is $1/N$-suppressed and we compute it as a one-loop effect on the gravity side. Contrary to naive extrapolation from perturbative results, it attains a finite value in the infinite-coupling limit. Moreover, its parametric form is independent of whether or not meson bound states exist in the plasma. We conclude that caution must be exercised when drawing conclusions about the QCD plasma from lattice calculations of quark susceptibilities.

1. Introduction. At a temperature $T_c \approx 170$ MeV, Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) undergoes a cross over into a deconfined phase \cite{1}, the so-called quark-gluon plasma (QGP). Asymptotic freedom ensures that a description in terms of weakly coupled quarks and gluons is applicable at $T \gg T_c$. An outstanding question is whether a similar description in terms of weakly coupled quasiparticles is possible in the vicinity of $T_c$. Although this regime can be rigorously studied in lattice-regularized QCD, in this formulation the nature of the underlying degrees of freedom is not directly apparent but instead must be inferred from the behaviour of computable quantities. It is thus important to check the validity of these inferences in gauge theory plasmas in which the underlying degrees of freedom are understood both at weak and at strong coupling.

Computable quantities in finite-temperature lattice QCD consist mainly of thermodynamic potentials and charge susceptibilities. Unfortunately, the former are rather insensitive to the nature of the effective degrees of freedom. This is clearly illustrated by the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills (SYM) plasma, which has proven to be a good toy model for the QGP just above $T_c$ (see e.g. \cite{2} and references therein). At weak coupling the SYM plasma is well described as a gas of quarks, gluons, adjoint scalars and fermions. At strong coupling no quasiparticles exist and the effective description involves black hole in five-dimensional anti-de Sitter (AdS) space \cite{3}. Despite this dramatic difference, in the infinite-coupling limit the pressure saturates at 75% of the free value \cite{4}. Remarkably, the pressure of QCD in the region of interest deviates from the free limit by a comparable fraction \cite{1}. In addition, perturbative calculations generically suffer from problems when extrapolated to such low temperatures and the theoretical uncertainties become comparable to the deviations above \cite{5}.

In contrast to thermodynamic potentials, the flavor structure of the QGP has been regarded as a better discriminant for the presence of quasiparticles. Quark susceptibilities are defined as

$$
\chi_{ij} = \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial^2 \log Z}{\partial \mu_i \partial \mu_j} \bigg|_{\mu_i = 0},
$$

with $Z$ the QCD partition function and $\mu_i = \mu_{u,d}$ the $u$ and $d$ chemical potentials. Early lattice calculations of diagonal quark susceptibilities \cite{6} indicated a rapid convergence to the free-theory limit above $T_c$. This were contrasted with a relatively larger deviation (by a factor of 2) \cite{7} between the free and the strongly coupled limits of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma. However, more recent lattice results \cite{8,9} show a slower approach to the free limit, with sizable deviations up to temperatures of $2T_c$. As before, in this regime the uncertainty arising from perturbative calculations \cite{10} becomes comparable to the difference between the free and the strongly coupled limits \cite{8}.

Similarly, off-diagonal quark susceptibilities have also been proposed \cite{11} as a sensitive probe of the degrees of freedom of the plasma, based on the assumption that a dominance of quark-like quasiparticles must lead to uncorrelated flavors and hence to small off-diagonal susceptibilities. In perturbative SU($N$) QCD, the off-diagonal susceptibility is strongly suppressed with respect to the diagonal one because \cite{10}

$$
\frac{\chi_{ud}}{\chi_{uu}} = -\frac{(N^2 - 1)(N^2 - 4)}{128\pi^6 N^2} \frac{\lambda^3}{N^3} \log \frac{1}{g},
$$

with $g$ the coupling constant and $\lambda = g^2 N$ the ‘t Hooft coupling. In contrast, lattice results have shown that this ratio is sizable up to temperatures as high as $2T_c$. Since this cannot be fully accounted for by the perturbative result \cite{2}, it has been taken as an indication of the presence of bound states in the plasma \cite{12} (see also \cite{13}). In contrast to the previous cases, this inference cannot be tested in strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM coupled to dynamical quarks because the off-diagonal quark susceptibility in this theory has not been calculated. The reason is that, as can be seen from \cite{2}, the off-diagonal susceptibility is $1/N$-suppressed in the large-$N$ limit, and hence
it corresponds to a quantum effect on the dual gravity side. The purpose of this Letter is to perform this calculation and thus shed light on the interpretations of the lattice results described above. We will focus on determining the qualitative form of the result as well as its leading parametric dependence.

2. Gravity calculation. The $N = 4$ SYM plasma coupled to two quark flavours ($u$ and $d$) of equal masses is dual to two coincident D7-brane probes \[1\] in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in the presence of a black hole horizon \[3\]. At temperatures $T < M$ the branes sit entirely outside the horizon in the so-called ‘Minkowski phase’ (MP) \[13\]. On the gravity side the quarks correspond to long strings stretching between the branes and the horizon, and the mesons to normal-mode fluctuations of the branes. Both sets of excitations are good quasiparticles since their widths vanish in the limit $N, \lambda \to \infty$. In contrast, at temperatures $T > M$ the branes pierce the horizon in the so-called ‘black hole phase’ (BHP). In this phase no quasiparticles exist. Meson-like excitations are now broad resonances whose frequencies possess large imaginary parts, and correspond on the gravity side to quasinormal modes on the brane \[17\]. Quarks are effectively massless in this phase, but the widths of quark-like excitations are comparable to their energies. We will determine the susceptibilities in both phases.

The embedding of the D7-branes in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in the presence of the black hole depends only on the dimensionless ratio $M/T$. Following \[13\], we write the induced metric on the branes (in Euclidean signature) as

$$ds^2 = L^2d\lambda^2 + g_{\tau\tau}d\tau^2 + g_{xx}dx^2 + g_{rr}dr^2 + g_{\Omega\Omega}d\Omega^2.$$ (3)

The gauge theory coordinates $x = \{\tau, x^i\}$ and the AdS radial coordinate $r$ are dimensionless. The metric can be restored through the replacements $x \to \pi T x$, $r \to r/\pi L^2 T$. The last term in \[3\] is the metric on the $S^3$ wrapped by the branes. The metric functions depend on $M/T$ and $r$, which we choose so that $r \in (0, \infty)$ in both phases. In the BHP $g_{\tau\tau}$ vanishes at the horizon, i.e. $g_{\tau\tau} = 0$ at $r = 0$.

The full action describing the non-Abelian $U(2)$ gauge theory on the branes is not known. However, for our purposes it suffices to consider the Yang-Mills action \[19\]

$$S_E = \frac{1}{4e^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \text{Tr} \left\{ F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \right\},$$ (4)

where the trace is over flavour indices and $\sqrt{g}$ includes $g_{00}$. The effective coupling constant is $e^2 = 4\pi^2/N$ \[18\], so the large-$N$ limit corresponds to the classical limit.

We introduce chemical potentials by turning on a background value for the gauge field on the branes

$$B_\tau = -i \left( \mu_u \bar{\sigma} + \mu_d \sigma^3 \right) H(r),$$ (5)

where $\mu_u = (\mu_u + \mu_d)/2\pi T$ and $\mu_d = (\mu_u - \mu_d)/2\pi T$ are the (dimensionless) baryon and isospin chemical potentials, respectively, and $\sigma^3$ is the two-dimensional Pauli matrix. The function $H(r)$ is obtained by solving the classical equations, which yields $H(r) = 1$ in the MP and

$$H(r) = 1 - f(\frac{M}{r}) \int r \, dr \frac{g_{\tau\tau}g_{rr}}{\sqrt{g}}$$ (6)

in the BHP, where

$$f^{-1}(\frac{M}{r}) = \int_0^\infty dr \frac{g_{\tau\tau}g_{rr}}{\sqrt{g}}$$ (7)

is a dimensionless function that depends only on the embedding of the branes. Substituting \[4\] into the action \[4\] we obtain (the potential-dependent part of) the free energy, defined as $F = TS_E = -T \log Z$. The result is $F_0 = 0$ in the MP and

$$F_0 = -V f(\frac{M}{r}) \frac{\pi^2 T^2}{2e^2} \left( \mu_u^2 + \mu_d^2 \right)$$ (8)

in the BHP, where the superscript ‘0’ indicates that this is the classical result. Using \[11\] we get the susceptibilities:

$$\chi_{ud}^0 = 0, \quad \chi_{uu}^0 = \chi_{dd}^0 = 0; \quad \text{[MP]}$$ (9)

$$\chi_{uu}^0 = 0, \quad \chi_{uu}^0 = \chi_{dd}^0 = \frac{1}{\pi T} f(\frac{M}{r}) T^2 N. \quad \text{[BHP]}$$ (10)

The diagonal values reproduce the original calculation \[20\]. We will come back to these results in Sec. 3. Sufice it to note here that the off-diagonal susceptibilities vanish at leading order in $N$ in both phases, as expected. We will compute the leading-order correction in the $1/N$ expansion. The corrections that are sensitive to the chemical potentials arise from quantum fluctuations of the brane fields around the classical solution \[5\]. For concreteness we will focus on vector fluctuations, i.e. we set

$$A_\mu = B_\mu + e \sqrt{2} a_\mu, \quad (11)$$

The matrix-valued field $a$ can be decomposed into modes with different charges under the $U(2)$ flavour group as

$$a_\mu = a_\mu^a \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + a_\mu^a \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma^a.$$ (12)

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ for these excitations is obtained by substituting eqn. \[11\] into the action \[4\]. To capture the leading $1/N$ correction we expand the lagrangian to leading order in $e$, i.e. to quadratic order in $a$. Due to the commutation relations among Pauli matrices, the only components that couple to the background field \[4\] are $a_\mu^{1,2}$. For simplicity, henceforth we restrict ourselves to the two transverse excitations that satisfy $k^a a_\mu^{1,2} = 0$ in Fourier space. Since the Euclidean time is periodic under $r \to r + \pi$, each of these can be expanded as

$$a^a = \sum_{\ell} e^{-2\ell g} a_\ell^a,$$

so we finally arrive at

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{\ell} \sqrt{g} g^{xx} \left[ (4\ell^2 g^{xx} + k^a g^{xx}) a_\ell^a a_\ell + g^{rr} \partial_r a_\ell^a \partial_r a_\ell \right]$$

$$- \sqrt{g} g^{rr} g^{xx} \left[ 8i g^{uu} H_0 + 4g^{dd} H^2 \right] a_0^a a_\ell,$$ (13)
where we have introduced \( a_k = (a_k^+ + i a_k^-)/\sqrt{2} \). For Minkowski embeddings, for which \( H(r) = 1 \), this is the Lagrangian of a scalar with a chemical potential \( \mu \).

To determine the partition function, we will express a general fluctuation \( \alpha \) in terms of a basis of (radial) functions obtained by solving the eigen-value problem

\[
- \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(r^x)^2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \sqrt{g(r^x) g(r^z)} \frac{d}{dr} \phi_{\ell \ell_n} \right] + 4 \ell^2 \frac{g(r^x)}{g(r^z)} \phi_{\ell \ell_n} = \kappa_{\ell \ell_n}^2 \phi_{\ell \ell_n} .
\]

(14)

Normalizability requires the eigen-functions \( \phi_{\ell \ell_n} \) to vanish as \( r \to \infty \). As \( r \to 0 \) regularity requires \( \partial_r \phi_{\ell \ell_n} \to 0 \) in the MP [10] and, hence \( \phi_{\ell \ell_n} \to r^{2\ell/1} \) in the BHP [21]. In addition, these functions obey the orthonormality conditions

\[
\int_0^\infty dr \sqrt{g(r^x)^2} \phi_{\ell \ell_n} \phi_{\ell' \ell_m} = \delta_{\ell \ell_n} .
\]

(15)

In this basis, the \( \mu \)-independent part of the Lagrangian is diagonal, which yields a Gaussian partition function for \( \mu = 0 \) given by

\[
Z(0) = \prod_{\ell \ell_n} \int dc_{\ell \ell_n}^* dc_{\ell \ell_n} \exp \left[ -c_{\ell \ell_n}^* c_{\ell \ell_n} (k^2 + \kappa_{\ell \ell_n}^2) \right] .
\]

(16)

The full partition function is obtained by averaging the \( \mu \)-dependent part over the partition function [16]:

\[
\delta \log Z = \mu^2 V \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_i \sum_n \left[ 4 M_{\ell \ell n m}^{(1)} M_{\ell \ell n m}^{(2)} \right] .
\]

(17)

The interpretation of eqn. (20) is obscured by the fact that the pion masses \( \mu_n = 4e^2 \ell \) and similarly that \( \varphi_{\ell \ell n} (\pm i \kappa_{\ell \ell n} r) \) have a simple interpretation. The radial wave functions of the physical excitations satisfy the orthonormality conditions (different from [15])

\[
\int_0^\infty dr \sqrt{g(r^x)^2} \varphi_{\ell \ell n}^*(r) \varphi_{\ell \ell m}(r) = \delta_{\ell \ell_n} .
\]

(20)

Therefore the functions \( \varphi_{\ell \ell n} (r) \) are the matrix elements of the operator \( H(r) \) in this basis. It is clear that these mixing coefficients determine the (second order) perturbation of the physical modes in the presence of the external field.

In the MP the function \( H(r) \) is unity and hence \( N_{\ell \ell n m}^{(2)} = \delta_{\ell \ell_n} \). Also, in this case it is easy to see [10] that the polynomials \( P(i\ell)(k) \) vanish. Since the frequency of the modes does not depend on the Matsubara frequencies \( 2\ell \), eqn. (23) coincides with that of a charged bosonic field. Performing the sum over \( \ell \), we then arrive at the one-loop contribution from transverse vector mesons

\[
\chi_{ud}^1 = -\chi_{du}^1 = h(M/T)^2 , \quad [\text{MP&BHP}] .
\]

(21)
where we have restored physical units and one factor of 2 arises from the two transverse polarizations. We conclude that in the MP there is no mode mixing, i.e. mesons contribute to the partition function independently of one another. In contrast, in the BHP \( H(r) \) is not constant and the mixing coefficients \( N^{(g)}_{nm} \) are not diagonal. As a consequence, the contributions to the one-loop susceptibilities of the broad resonances present in the plasma mix with one another.

**3. Discussion.** The \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM plasma provides a rich model in which several expectations about the behaviour of quark susceptibilities at strong coupling can be tested. One important conclusion is that, contrary to what naive extrapolation of the perturbative formula [2] might suggest, the off-diagonal susceptibility attains a finite value in the limit \( \lambda \to \infty \), as illustrated by eqn. (21). On the gravity side this follows simply from the overall factor \( 1/e^2 \) in the action [4], together with the quadratic nature of the leading-order Lagrangian for the fluctuations. Since these features are common to all brane fluctuations (bosonic or fermionic), \( \chi_{ud} \) will remain \( \lambda \)-independent once the contributions of all the fluctuations are included. This conclusion applies regardless of the nature of the effective degrees of freedom in the plasma. Indeed, the MP contains quark-like and meson-like quasiparticles, whereas no quasiparticle excitations of either type exist in the BHP. Despite this dramatic difference, the off-diagonal susceptibilities are parametrically the same in the two phases. In particular, the BHP illustrates the fact that non-vanishing off-diagonal susceptibilities do not imply the existence of narrow meson-like states. This limited sensitivity suggests that caution should be exercised when extracting conclusions about the value of the coupling constant or about the nature of the underlying degrees of freedom in the QGP from lattice calculations of the off-diagonal susceptibilities.

The fact that the off-diagonal susceptibility is of order \( N^0 \) in both phases is due to the fact it arises from contributions of meson-like states in both cases. In the MP these are narrow quasiparticles and eqn. (27) shows that each of them contributes independently, as expected [1]. In the BHP these are broad resonances and their contributions mix with one another.

Diagonal susceptibilities are of order \( N^0 \) in the MP and of order \( N \) in the BHP. In the MP this is due to the fact that quark-like excitations are parametrically heavier than the mesons by a factor of \( \sqrt{\lambda} \), so their contribution to the susceptibilities is not visible at leading order. In contrast, in the BHP quarks are effectively massless and they produce an order-\( N \) contribution to the diagonal susceptibilities, eqn. (11), despite the fact that they do not give rise to narrow quasiparticles. In the BHP eqn. (21) provides the order-one meson correction to the leading quark contribution.

The change in scaling of the diagonal susceptibilities produces a change in the ratio \( \lambda_{ud}/\lambda_{uu} \) from order one in the MP to order \( 1/N \) in the BHP. We stress that this strong decrease in the ratio is not due to a reduction of cross-flavour correlations across the transition but rather to the appearance of additional, flavour-charged degrees of freedom (the quarks) in the BHP.
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