INTRODUCTION

Circumcision is a religious practice as well as a surgical procedure having definite risk and benefits. Circumcision is mostly performed for religious purposes not for medical reasons. In Pakistan, males undergo circumcision from 1st day to five years of age. Circumcision is easily performed in both infants and young children. Healing is most commonly completed within 1st two weeks after operation. It carries a low risk of surgical complications ranging from 1 to 15%. Out of various techniques available for circumcision, Plastibell device method has now become the method of choice in children less than one year of age in the modern world. The Plastibell circumcision device was introduced by Hollister in...
It is a plastic ring having a handle designed for male circumcision and is available in ring sizes 1.1 to 1.7 cm. The rate of complications with the use of Plastibell device (PD) are reported to be 2.0-3.0% in some studies. Plastibell circumcision is largely under vision, almost blood less, least painful and easy to perform, with no tragic complications like traumatic amputation of glans, urethro-cutaneous fistula etc, which are frequently seen in bone cutter method.

According to a study, Plastibell device is mainly used by Pediatric surgeons in Pakistan but not by others who are performing circumcision on regular basis. Bone cutter method is a routinely used method for circumcision by general practitioners. According to a study, bone cutter method is still a most commonly used method for circumcision in Pakistan. There are very few randomized trials available in Pakistan regarding efficacy and safety of plastibell circumcision device over the bone cutter method, so general practitioners are reluctant to adopt this procedure for circumcision.

We conducted this study to compare the rate of complications of Plastibell and bone cutter circumcision technique and recognition of top worries and satisfaction rate in the mind of parents before and after the procedure of Plastibell device (PD) circumcision in infants less than 6 months of age.

METHODS

It was a descriptive prospective study conducted at department of surgery Sheikh Zayed Hospital, Rahim Yar Khan after ethical approval from the Research and Development Support unit of the hospital. Two hundred parents of infants and infants of less than six months of age were included in this study. Babies of more than six months of age, or with urological anomalies, bleeding disorders, sickle cell anemia & hemolytic diseases were excluded from study.

An informed consent was taken from the parents of infants and they were informed about the Plastibell circumcision method. Then they were asked to fill a Performa about the worries of the parents regarding bone cutter and plastibell device circumcision. No Performa was used for bone cutter method because this is an older technique and most of the parents were familiar with the bone cutter method and were not easily willing to let their child go for plastibell circumcision. So they were asked to fill this perfoma so that their main worries can be recognized. The data of complications were not included in the perfoma because they were not aware of complications of bone cutter and plastibell circumcision method.

Both procedures were performed under local anesthesia using 2% lidocaine solution at a dose of 4mg/kg (administred using a 27-guage needle). In the plastibell circumcision technique, the plastic bell which fits over 2/3 of the glanz was placed under the foreskin and over the glans surface. The device was secured with a cotton thread supplied with the plastibell device. The parents were advised to inform if the bell does not separates within 10 days after the procedure. In bone cutter technique, the bone cutter was applied to the prepuce and was kept clamped for one minute. Light pressure was applied on the perpendicular axis of the cut edges until the glanz fully released. The circumcision site was dressed circumferentially with sterile gauze, the edges of the gauze were fixed by adhesive plaster. The parents were asked for routine check up on 2nd post-operative day and one month after the procedure.

Operating time and bleeding amount during the procedure was recorded. Post-operative complications were recorded on a performa. Bleeding amount was calculated by weighting the gauze piece before using and then after the procedure. The average weight of 1 ml of blood is about one gram. Visual analogue scale (VAS) was used to measure pain. The performa of post-operative pain was filled by parents on 2nd post-operative day. Oral analgesia medication and a local analgesia ointment was given to all parents for management of pain and infection prevention to all parents. Then after one month of the procedure, the parents of both groups were asked to fill a Performa regarding overall satisfaction of the procedure including cosmetic concerns of the penis of baby.

Data was entered and analyzed using SPSS Version 17. Percentages were used to express qualitative variables. Independent sample t-test and chi-square test was used to compare quantitative and qualitative variables respectively. P-value <0.05 was taken as significant difference.

RESULTS

Parents main worries regarding Plastibell Circumcision are shown in Table-I. Parent’s main worry about this technique was will there be a fever after circumcision? This fear was present in 42.0% parents. Other fear included pain and bleeding during the circumcision process and fear of night awakening with the child because of risk of bleeding.
or any other issue. The fear that their children will have any urinary problem after circumcision was only in 10.0% parents. Fear of cosmetic concerns i.e. regarding shape of penis using Plastibell device technique for surgery was found in 11.0% parents.

There was no significant difference in the age of babies at the time of surgery. The operation time was high in bone cutter method 5.25±1.48 minutes versus 3.03±0.87 minutes in Plastibell method (P-value <0.0001). There was more bleeding 10.65±3.31 ml in bone cutter method as compared to Plastibell method, in which bleeding was only 5.48±0.84 ml during operation (P-Value <0.0001). There was no incidence of infection in two groups. But there were three other complications in bone cutter method. These were; traumatic amputation of glans occurred in one baby, urethra-cutaneous fistula occurred in one baby and there was one incidence of over-circumcision. In Plastibell technique there was only one complication that was urine retention which required repositioning of the device.

DISCUSSION

The word “circumcision” comes from Latin circum (meaning “around”) and caedere (meaning “to cut”). Early depictions of circumcision are found in paintings of Ancient Egyptian tombs. Religious male circumcision is considered a commandment from God in Judaism. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), global estimates suggest that 30% of males are circumcised, of whom 68% are Muslim.

Circumcision using bone cutter method is blind with frequent tragic outcomes like amputation of glans and urethra-cutaneous fistula that leads to severe post-operative pain and in some cases intractable bleeding requiring with 3-0 absorbable sutures. Over circumcision and under circumcision are also common problems with bone cutter method. According to various studies, the rate of complications using bone cutter method varies from 4.7 to 8.4%. Whereas the rate of complications using plastibell device have been reported to be 2-3% only. Bleeding and local infection have been reported to be the most common complications of plastibell circumcision. Other complications that can occur are; bell impaction, incomplete separation of plastibell device, dysuria, and excessive loss of skin or inadequate skin removal.

In this study, we found greater complication rate in bone cutter method. The bleeding rate was higher in bone cutter method as compared to plastibell device method in this study. Incidence of complications using bone cutter method in this
study was 3.0% and only 1% in PD group. Traumatic amputation of the glans, urethrocutaneous fistula and over-circumcision were the main complications that occurred in bone cutter method. The only complication that occurred in PD group was urine retention. Freeman et al., showed 95.6% rate of parent satisfaction regarding plastibell circumcision.18 In our study the parent satisfaction rate was high; it was 98% in plastibell group and only 87% in bone cutter method group. Cosmetic displeasure was found only 1% parents in plastibell group and 4% parents in bone cutter method group.

Plastibell Device (PD) is associated with shorter operation time, less pain and smaller number of complications as compared to bone cutter method according to the results of this study. It is also associated with the highest level of parent’s satisfaction according to the results of our study. So this technique of circumcision should be used routinely as it is associated with least level of complications. As such the surgeon and the parents should not worry to adopt this technique.

CONCLUSION

Plastibell Device circumcision is a safer technique and is associated with highest level of parent’s satisfaction.
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