Development and use of a quantum dot probe to track multiple yeast strains in mixed culture
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Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains vary in their ability to develop and enhance sensory attributes of alcoholic beverages and are often found growing in mixed strain fermentations; however, quantifying individual strains is challenging due to quantification inaccuracies, low marker longevity, and compromised kinetics. We developed a fluorescent probe, consisting of glutathione molecules conjugated to a quantum dot (QD). Two S. cerevisiae strains were incubated with different coloured probes (QD attached to glutathione molecules, QD-GSH), fermented at multiple ratios, and quantified using confocal microscopy. The QD method was compared with a culture method using microsatellite DNA analysis (MS method). Probes were taken up by an ADP1 encoded transporter, transferred from mother cell to daughter cell, detectable in strains throughout fermentation, and were non-toxic. This resulted in a new quantification method that was more accurate and efficient than the MS method.

Outside the laboratory, microbial monocultures are rare. Rather, most food and beverage fermentations contain a mixed variety of functionally ambiguous yeasts. Some of which strongly influence the sensorial attributes of the final product. Selected for their superior fermentation performance and reduced production of undesirable metabolites, commercially available strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae are commonly used as fermentation inoculants. Nevertheless, other S. cerevisiae strains can still persist, resulting in co-fermentations between two or more strains of this species1,2. These mixed fermentations are considered quite beneficial in winemaking. For example, they produce more complex sensorial attributes than wines fermented from pure culture3,4. Because of these associated benefits, interactions between multiple S. cerevisiae strains throughout mixed culture fermentations are of considerable interest.

Tracking and quantifying individual strains within a mixed culture is not trivial. Current molecular methods are non-visual and generally require samples to first be grown in culture media before analysis5. Additionally, traditional dyes are not ideal for quantifying cells throughout an extended fermentation (e.g. 5–14 days)3 such as those in winemaking. Most staining techniques require the integrity of the cellular membrane to be compromised before fluorescent tags can be absorbed by the yeast. This may ultimately affect yeast growth and fermentation kinetics. Also, because only a select few organelles are transferred from mother cells to daughter cells during cell division6,7, stains that are not directly taken up or absorbed by the yeast cannot be transferred to newly formed cells8.

In this way, fluorescent tags bound to glutathione have distinctive advantages over traditional fluorescent stains. Glutathione (GSH) is frequently taken up by S. cerevisiae via several transport mechanisms9,10. Yeast are able to metabolize GSH as a sole source of sulfur, or otherwise transfer a portion to storage vacuoles to be used at a later time. Therefore, fluorescent tags bound to GSH can be taken up by yeast and transferred within the cytosol and vacuoles—both of which are transferred from the mother cell to daughter cells during cell division6,7. Commercial kits (i.e., CellTracker™ Invitrogen™, Burlington, Ontario) successfully exploit some of these inherent properties of glutathione. However, these fluorescent tracers are only stable for ~3 days; a timeframe well below the 5–14 days necessary for the completion of a typical wine fermentation3.

In lieu of other tags, highly fluorescent nanoparticles, called quantum dots (QDs), remain photochemically stable for orders of magnitude longer than traditional dyes11. Quantum dots are typically composed of a metal core, generally a chalcogenide (e.g., selenide or sulfide) sheathed within a functional organic polymer. This polymer protects the QD from degradation and provides a chemical surface to which targeting probes can be attached11. Recent studies have documented the uptake of QD probes in bacteria, yeast and multicellular...
fungi. Here we describe a novel QD-based method used to visually and quantitatively track multiple *S. cerevisiae* strains simultaneously throughout mixed culture fermentations in vivo.

Our QD probe consisted of GSH bound to QDs (QD-GSH) (Fig. 1a). Carboxyl groups of GSH were covalently bound to amino terminated blue (495 nm emission) or red (631 nm) QDs (Crystalplex, Pittsburgh, USA). Red QD-GSH was incubated with the *S. cerevisiae* strain Lalvin® (RC212) and blue QD-GSH with Lalvin® ICV-(D254). These QDs were selected because of their commercial availability, small size (< 5.5 nm diameter), and composition-tuned CdSeS cores wrapped in ZnS shells. Because emission colors were tuned based on core compositions rather than overall QD size, each of our QD-conjugates were equivalent in size and mass regardless of emission color.

**Results and Discussion**

On average, each of our QD-GSH conjugates were ~5.6 nm in diameter based on Stokes-Einstein equation and raster image correlation spectroscopy. Both yeast strains took up the QD-GSH probes within 8 hours of incubation. After uptake, we identified each strain based on its unique emission spectra using a confocal microscope (Fig. 1b and c). We did not observe any cells that contained both QD-GSH colours. This suggests that there was no plasmogamy or QD-GSH loss and reabsorption occurring between the two strains during the course of fermentation. We determined that the QD-GSH probes were being transferred from mother cell to daughter cell via a portion of cytosol or vacuole (Fig. 1d). These results are consistent with previous studies that have documented the transfer of cytosol, vacuole, and GSH from mother cell to daughter cell in *S. cerevisiae*.

To determine the mechanism for QD-GSH uptake, we focused on genetic knockouts that lacked membrane transporters associated with glutathione movement (based on gene and protein sequences collected from the GenBank online database). The homozygous diploid deletants in the BY4743 background were obtained from EUROSCARF (Frankfurt, Germany) and included knockouts in one of the following: YJL212C (*OPT1*), an oligopeptide transporter found in plasma membranes; YKR106W (*GEX2*), a glutathione anti-porter located in vacuolar and plasma membranes; YDR135C.
(YCF1), a cadmium-glutathione transporter found in vacuolar membranes; and YCR011C (ADP1), a putative ABC permease found in vacuolar and plasma membranes. Our data indicates that QD-GSH uptake mainly occurred via ADP1-associated pathways (Fig. 1e). Although, this transporter was discovered nearly two decades ago, very little is known about its function. This is the first study to document GSH uptake by the ADP1 transporter. Previously, the only link between ADP1 and GSH uptake by S. cerevisiae was based on putative gene sequences found in other organisms (GenBank accession no. NC_001135).

To test the performance of the QD method in comparison to a microsatellite approach (MS method), two separate sets of experimental fermentations were conducted in parallel. One set was analyzed using a novel QD method (where the initial starting cultures were QD-labelled), and the other using a standard microsatellite approach (MS method), two separate sets of experiments no. NC_001135).

The performance of the QD method in comparison to a microsatellite approach (MS method), two separate sets of experimental fermentations were conducted in parallel. One set was analyzed using a novel QD method (where the initial starting cultures were QD-labelled), and the other using a standard microsatellite approach (MS method). For each fermentation set, three initial inoculation treatments (1:1, 3:1, and 9:1) of RC212: D254 ratios of cell numbers were used to distinguish between strains. For each fermentation set, three inoculation treatments (1:1, 3:1, and 9:1) of RC212: D254 were replicated in triplicate. We found that the QD probe was detectable for at least 120 hours during fermentation; the amount of time it took for >98% of sugars to be depleted (Fig. 2a). Previous studies have documented the photochemical stability of QDs in other organisms over extended periods. For example, after taking up QD-labelled adenine, Bacillus subtilis cells are able to retain their fluorescence for more than a year. In addition, both cell growth and sugar kinetics were statistically identical in fermentations composed of QD-labelled yeast in comparison to those lacking QDs. This suggests that the QD-GSH probes were likely non-toxic to the yeast, having no significant effect on fermentation kinetics (Fig. 2a). Furthermore, there was a significant correlation between the results of the two methods (R = 0.87; Fig. 2b). Cell counts (RC212: D254) produced by the QD method were statistically identical to those produced by the MS method for the individual inoculation ratios at every stage of fermentation (Fig. 2c, d). However, the QD data was observably less variable than the MS data (Fig. 2c, d). In the QD method, cell counts were significantly different across inoculation stages (p = 0.07). Significant differences between ratio treatments were determined using a one-way ANOVA (p < 0.05). Values with the same letters at a given fermentation stage are not significantly different according to a Spearman rank test. Values are means ± S.E; n=3, 20 yeast cells analyzed per flask per time point; *n=3, >1600 yeast cells analyzed per flask per time point.



Figure 2 | Comparison of the quantum dot (QD) method versus a more traditional microsatellite (MS) method when quantifying multiple yeast strains in mixed culture fermentations. (a) There were no significant differences between cell growth (p = 0.70) or sugar utilization kinetics (p = 0.78) when comparing fermentations incubated with QD-GSH versus those without (based on ANCOVAs). These data suggest that the QD-GSH was non-toxic to the yeast throughout the entire course of fermentation. (b) Moreover, there was a positive and strong correlation between the QD and the MS method across all ratios and time points (R = 0.87). To visually display these results, this data is presented as a linear regression (r² = 0.76 and p = 0.003). Individual data points are RC212:D254 ratios of cell numbers. Although similar trends were exhibited in data sets from both the (c) MS and (d) QD method, there was significantly greater variability in the MS results (based on average standard error); albeit only a marginal significance across all fermentation stages (p = 0.07). Significant differences between ratio treatments were determined using a one-way ANOVA (p < 0.05). Values with the same letters at a given fermentation stage are not significantly different according to a Spearman rank test. Values are means ± S.E; n=3, 20 yeast cells analyzed per flask per time point; *n=3, >1600 yeast cells analyzed per flask per time point.
costs, it may be possible to improve the number of cells generated by the MS method by merely increasing the number of cells analyzed per sample replicate.

More than 95% of the cells analyzed using the QD method contained detectable amounts of QD-GSH. The cells that lacked QD-GSH fluorescence appeared flaccid. Although, we did not directly test cell viability in this study, it is possible that the cells lacking QD-GSH were non-viable. When yeast cells become inactive they start autolysis, which ruptures the vacuoles, discharges the intracellular contents and eventually breaks down the rest of the cell. Because QD-GSH is located in vacuoles and cytosol within yeast, cells lacking QD-GSH may represent inactive cells that have already started the autolytic process.

The QD method is able to visually and quantitatively track multiple S. cerevisiae strains throughout an entire fermentation, providing precise in vivo data at a fraction of time and cost associated with current MS techniques. Because this method can distinguish between multiple emission spectra, even those that closely overlap, a multitude of different strains can be tracked simultaneously using different QD colours. Nonetheless, given the extensive use of S. cerevisiae as a model organism, the pragmatic benefits of the QD method extend well beyond the wine-based questions addressed in this study. For example, defining how yeast behave in mixed cultures could help improve our ability to predict how genetically different cells will react in close proximity to one another. Also, since the QD method enables the tagging of individual cells, and consequently their progeny, cell development can be examined while in close proximity to other cells or strains, such as those found on solid media or in biofilms. The impact of these interactions on gene expression could be determined by separating the QD-labelled cells using a fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) before molecular analysis. Moreover, the novel ADP transport mechanism described in this study may provide a pathway to deliver pharmacologically important molecules to eukaryotic cells. For example, nanoparticle drug delivery has become increasingly focused on GSH-mediated release mechanisms21-22. By weakly associating (e.g., hydrostatic associations) an outer GSH monolayer to a drug-carrying nanoparticle, the conjugate may function as a Trojan horse; first being taken up by natural GSH pathways and then unpackaged within the cell via GSH-mediated release. Furthermore, recent studies have documented elevated GSH levels in cankerous cells23. Thus, the ability to quantify QD-GSH uptake rates in vivo could parenthetically serve as a proxy to identify, sort and possibly even treat diseased cells.

Methods
Quantum dot conjugation. Quantum dot-glutathione (QD-GSH) conjugates were composed of glutathione molecules (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, Canada) covalently bound to amino-terminated blue (495 nm emission), or red (631 nm) quantum dots (Crystalpex, Pittsburgh, USA). Two separate binding reactions, one for each QD color, were performed simultaneously, in the dark, in 2 ml amber glass vials. Each reaction vial was vortexed for 20 minutes. Reaction vials were then individually dialyzed against 1 L of sterile water for 30 minutes, after which, 1.05 mM of each QD-GSH conjugate was transferred to an amber vial, wrapped in foil, and stored at 4 °C.

Culture supernatant samples were analyzed for QD content using direct microscopic count using a hemocytometer. The number of QD-GSH was determined via direct microscopic count using a hemocytometer on a light microscope at 40x. To determine cell growth and kinetics, samples from each fermentation flask were analyzed every 12 h throughout the entire course of fermentation. Culture growth (cell count ml−1) was determined from duplicate samples using a light microhematocrit at 28 °C. Culture supernatant was prepared from each fermenter flash by centrifuging 1 ml samples for 1 min at 21,000 × g. Residual sugar concentrations were determined using a D-fructose and D-glucose assay kit (Megazyme, Bray, Ireland).

Quantum dot quantification of yeast strains. To quantify the abundance of each yeast strain using QD-GSH, we labelled the starter cultures of RC212 and D254 with either blue or red QD-GSH before the start of fermentation. Each starter culture was composed of 3 ml of 50 mM QD-GSH. Cultures were incubated at 28 °C anaerobically; where red QD-GSH conjugates were mixed with RC212 and blue conjugates with D254. After 24 h of incubation, QD-tagged yeasts (5 × 10⁷ cells ml⁻¹) were used to start a set of QD-GSH-labelled fermentations. In addition, there were two accompanying sets of control fermentations; QD-conjugations that were not modified to give strain-specific binding of QDs, and QD-free fermentations to serve as a baseline for cellular growth, kinetics and autofluorescence. Each fermentation set was started at three initial inoculation treatments for each QD strain and tagged with different fluorophores. Fermentation stages were defined as early (20 h), mid (105 h) and end (120 h) stages of fermentation. Each sample was washed by centrifugation with 10 mM borate buffer at pH 6.8. After resuspension in fresh buffer, 75 μl was sandwiched between two coverslips for confocal analysis.

Spectral scans were observed from each coverslide at 20x using emission fingerprint confocal microscopy. Emission fingerprinting is a spectral-based technique used to analyze multiple overlapping fluorescent fluorophores despite overlapping emission profiles. Because emission fingerprinting is used to identify the unique spectral profile of individual particles, rather than the fluorescence intensity across a short wave-length of color, this technique is ideal in systems subject to autofluorescence and spectral overlap. For example, since most yeast autofluoresces23, this technique can be used to discriminate between different yeast strains and tagged QDs and fluorophores. For example, two-channel image was filtered using sorbel operators (Olympus FluoviewTM FV1000 spectral unmixing) (Fig 1c). Filtered images were imported into Fiji ImageJ® and converted to binary files. Each image was individually analyzed for cell counts using an automated particle analysis approach following Schindelin et al25. Each channel was analyzed independently of one another. A ratio of RC212 : D254 (cell number) was calculated from the total number RC212 and D254 observed per spectral scan. Roughly 400 cells were analyzed per coverslide. Four coverslides were averaged per flask; for a collective total of nearly 1600 cells analyzed per flask replicate (n = 3). Spectral scans were taken from 470–670 nm at 5 nm intervals using an Olympus FluoviewTM FV1000 confocal microscope (Markham, Ontario, Canada).

Microsatellite quantification of yeast strains. To quantify the abundance of each strain throughout fermentation using a molecular based approach, standard microsatellite (MS) techniques were used following Legras et al18, and Howell et al.1. For MS analysis, 1 ml samples were plated onto YEPD agar (yeast extract 10 g l⁻¹, peptone 20 g l⁻¹, glucose 20 g l⁻¹) and grown aerobically on an incubator shaker (120 rpm) at 28 °C. After 20 h, each starter culture was checked for purity, and cells were added at 5 × 10⁷ cells per ml to individual 250 ml fermentation flasks containing 100 ml of 0.22 μm filter-sterilized full strength (21 ‘Brix) Pinot Noir juice. Each fermentation flask was fitted with an airlock to maintain an anaerobic environment. There were two initial inoculation treatments for each strain (1:1, and 9:1 of RC212 : D254 respectively), and three replicate flasks for each treatment. There were separate fermentations and controls for each cell-count method, which are described in the corresponding sections below.

To test and compare each method during the three main stages of fermentation (early, mid, and end), each fermentation was monitored every 12 h by refractive index Brix, Benedict’s solution was used on fermentation supernatant samples to determine the residual sugar concentration. Fermentations were considered complete when residual sugar was less than 2 g l⁻¹. Fermentation stages were defined as early (20 h), mid (105 h), and end (120 h) stages of fermentation.
BY4743 was inoculated with unconjugated QDs to test for non-specific QD uptake, positive control. There were two additional control treatments: a QD-control; where Pittsburgh, USA) were used in each of the five QD-GSH treatments. Technologies Invitrogen, Burlington Ontario, Canada) was added to each slide. SCIENTIFIC REPORTS QD-GSH (r2 intensities were calculated per calibration well. These values were averaged per con-

was performed using a Sigma DNA extraction kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, Canada). Each deletion strain was sourced from EUROSCARF having been constructed in the diploid laboratory-based wild type background of BY4743. In total, there were five QD-GSH treatments comprised of the four knockouts (op1, ypi1, ygi2, adp1), and their analogous wild type (BY4743), which served as a positive control. There were two additional control treatments: a QD-control; where BY4743 was inoculated with unconjugated QDs to test for non-specific QD uptake, and a no-treatment control; where BY4743 was analyzed without any QD treatment to determine yeast autofluorescence. There were four replicates per treatment for a total of 28 cultures.

For the QD-GSH treatments, individual strains were supplemented with 1.05 mM of green QD-GSH (535 nm emission) in 25 ml of YEPP (200 g l−1 sugar). The QD-control treatments were composed of 1 × 108 BY4743 cells treated with unconjugated amino-terminated QDs in 25 ml of YEPP, and the no-treatment controls consisted of BY4743 (1 × 109 cells) in 25 ml of YEPP. Cultures were incubated at 28 °C for 24 h with shaking (120 rpm). After incubation, 30 μl was taken from each culture and immobilized on cover slides with 100 μl polyvinyl-alcohol-acid-glycerol (PVLG). Slides were cured overnight at 60 °C. As the media hardened, 1 μl of PS-Speck® calibration beads (1 μm diameter: 520–540 nm emission) (Life Technologies Invitrogen, Burlington Ontario, Canada) was added to each slide. Confocal microscope images were captured at different focal depths. Confocal laser scans (535 ± 10 nm emission fluorescence) were collected at 40x from each coverslide. Fluorescence intensity (A.U.) per μm2 yeast was determined using Fiji ImageJ with each yeast being analyzed at a 0.6 μm focal depth. To mini-
imize variability across samples, the observed fluorescence intensity of each strain was divided by the average intensity of ten randomly chosen calibration beads; located within the same focal plane as the observed yeast. These calibrated ratios were then converted to nmol QD-GSH concentration using a normalized QD-GSH (535 nm emission) calibration curve composed of eight QD concentrations: 3.33 × 10−10 nmol ml−1, 3.33 × 10−11 nmol ml−1, 3.33 × 10−12 nmol ml−1, 0.33 × 10−12 nmol ml−1, 0.666 nmol ml−1, 1.665 nmol ml−1, and 3.33 nmol ml−1. Each concentration was transferred to a welled microscope slide sealed with a pap pen, and each well received 1 μl of PS-Speck® (520–540 nm emission) calibration beads. Ten fluorescence intensities were calculated per calibration well. These values were averaged per concentration, and divided by the average intensity of ten randomly chosen calibration beads within the same focal plane. The resulting calibration ratios were regressed against the known QD-GSH concentrations to convert fluorescence intensity to nmol QD-GSH (r2 = 0.998, p < 0.0001; based on linear regression). All confocal spec-
troscopy was performed using an Olympus Fluoview FV1000 confocal microscope.

Data analysis. We performed analyses of variance (ANOVA)s to determine QD-GSH uptake mechanisms in S. cerevisiae. Specifically, we used two-tailed ANOVA}s to test for differences in QD-GSH uptake by knockout strain; where the dependent variables were (op1, ypi1, ygi2, adp1) or wild type (BY4743). To determine whether each method (QD method versus MS method) provided results that were significantly different across starting inoculation ratios at each time point, a one-way ANOVA was performed on Conover and Iman ranked data. To test whether the MS method and QD method produced comparable data and led to statically similar results, a Pearson correlation was performed on cell count data produced by the QD method versus the MS method (Figure S4). Each strain was included across all time points. To visually display the results of this correlation, the data was graphed as a linear regression with corresponding statistical values (Fig. 2b). Additionally, the average standard error of both methods was compared using a one-way ANOVA. To determine whether QD-GSH had negative effects on yeast growth or kinetics, separate ANCOVAs were performed on either cell growth data or sugar concentrations collected from QD-fermentations versus non-QD fermentations. To meet the linear assumptions of ANCOVA, cell growth data and sugar concentrations were log transformed for this statistical test. Differences were considered significant when p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP version 11.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina).
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