Immunostimulant Bathing Influences the Expression of Immune- and Metabolic-Related Genes in Atlantic Salmon Alevins
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Simple Summary: Activation of immune cells is bioenergetically expensive, requiring precise control of cellular metabolism. This applies also to innate immune cells. The current study shows that the immunostimulants, Astragalus, Hyaluronic acid, Imiquimod, and Poly I:C can modulate the expression of genes involved in the innate antiviral immune, as well as genes associated with metabolism, in the early life stages of Atlantic salmon.

Abstract: Disease resistance of fish larvae may be improved by bath treatment in water containing immunostimulants. Pattern recognition receptors, such as TLR3, TLR7, and MDA5, work as an “early warning” to induce intracellular signaling and facilitate an antiviral response. A single bath of newly hatched larvae, with Astragalus, upregulated the expression of IFNα, INFγ, ISG15, MDA5, PKR, STAT1, TLR3, and TLR7 immune genes, on day 4 post treatment. Similar patterns were observed for Hyaluronic acid and Poly I:C. Increased expression was observed for ISG15, MDA5, MX, STAT1, TLR3, TLR7, and RDAD2, on day 9 for Imiquimod. Metabolic gene expression was stimulated on day 1 after immunostimulant bath in ULK1, MYC, SLC2A1, HIF1A, MTOR, and SIX1, in Astragalus, Hyaluronic acid, and Imiquimod. Expression of NOS2 in Poly I:C was an average fourfold above that of control at the same timepoint. Throughout the remaining sampling days (2, 4, 9, 16, 32, and 45 days post immunostimulant bath), NOS2 and IL1B were consistently overexpressed. In conclusion, the immunostimulants induced antiviral gene responses, indicating that a single bath at an early life stage could enable a more robust antiviral defense in fish. Additionally, it was demonstrated, based on gene expression data, that cell metabolism was perturbed, where several metabolic genes were co-regulated with innate antiviral genes.
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1. Introduction

Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) is, by far, the most economically important species in European aquaculture, particularly in Norway. A production of 1.4 million tonnes in 2019, valued at 6.6 billion EUR, represents almost 17% of gross domestic product (GDP) and makes Norway the second-largest producer in mariculture of finfish species in the world [1]. Among the many challenges that continuously afflict salmon production, viral diseases remain one of the most significant [2]. Building knowledge on the innate immunity of non-model organisms such as Atlantic salmon can contribute towards a better understanding of the fish immune and physiological responses to pathogens. The yolk sac period (without
feeding) in Atlantic salmon is relatively prolonged, as alevins start feeding at approximately 300 day degrees (dd) after hatching. Throughout this period, the fish larva must rely mostly on innate immunity to protect themselves from infection [3].

At the core of the innate immune response lie two key families of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs): Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and retinoic acid-inducible gene-I-like receptors (RLRs) [4]. These receptors, whose existence was initially proposed by Charles Janeway Jr. [5], work as an “early warning system” to induce intracellular signaling and facilitate antiviral response. The evolutionarily ancient mechanism of recognizing pattern associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and/or damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) is responsible for triggering production cascades of cytokines such as interferon α (IFNα) and c (IFNc) through diverse pathways such as the MyD88-independent pathway, JAK-STAT and/or MAPK signaling pathways [6]. These cascades lead to the transcription and upregulation of IFN-stimulated antiviral genes such as interferon-stimulated gene 15 (ISG15), myxovirus resistance protein 1 (MX1), and radical S-adenosyl methionine domain-containing protein 2 (RSAD2; viperin), which potentially create a heightened antiviral state [7].

Stimulating the innate immune system of fish larvae may be a viable method to increase disease resistance [8], by leveraging its reaction to PAMPs. Immunostimulation of yolk-sac larvae may be achieved by bathing them in a solution containing immunostimulants—such as Poly I:C, Imiquimod, Hyaluronic acid, or other soluble substances. Thus, it is likely that substances that boost innate immunity may be beneficial to larvae by potentially increasing protection against pathogens.

Poly I:C (mimic of RNA virus) has been widely used to induce the expression of antiviral genes in fish [9,10]. It has been reported that Mrigal carp (Cirrhinus mrigala), bathed for 2 hr in water containing Poly I:C, expressed increased levels of MX transcripts [11]. While Poly I:C is widely used as a TLR3/TRIF pathway agonist [12], it can also induce a type I IFN response through the MDA5/NF-κB signaling pathway in miiuy croaker (Miichthys miiyu) [13]. Imiquimod was also responsible for antiviral gene induction in fish [14,15], as well as promoting gene expression via TLR7 sensing in mice [16], by activating the MyD88-dependent signaling pathway [16–18]. However, the effect of this substance has not been examined in fish larva after bath exposure. The same applies to Hyaluronic acid, which has been found to act as a damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMP), triggering sterile inflammation in mammalian animal models [19]. A study by Zhang et al. (2009) [20] showed that hyaluronic interfered with TLR4-dependent activation of Kupffer cells in vitro, while another experiment in a mouse model reported that bioactive hyaluronan suppressed the phosphorylation of several TLR4 signaling pathway proteins [21]. However, it is accepted that CD44 is the main receptor for Hyaluronic acid, which affects cell migration of, e.g., lymphocytes during inflammation. The transmembrane moiety of CD44 can be proteolytically cleaved yielding a 12 kDa intracellular domain that translocates to the nucleus and acts as a transcription factor, which, in turn, regulated the expression of genes involved in cell survival during stress and inflammation [22]. Hyaluronic acid has also been reported to capture/interact with viral particles, thus preventing the entry of viruses into cells [23]. CD44-like RNA sequences have been found in A. salmon (Acc. No: XM_014148324.1 and XM_01412512), but no further characterization has been performed.

One of the immunostimulants that has been extensively studied, by virtue of its ability to modulate immune mechanisms through gene upregulation, is the root extract from a plant belonging to the Astragalus genus (e.g., Astragalus membranaceus). Astragalus root is commonly used in traditional Chinese medicine. The modulatory effects of different Astragalus extracts have been demonstrated in fish species such as turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) [24], large yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea) [25,26], Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) [27–30], largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) [31], grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idella) [32], common carp (Cyprinus carpio) [33], yellow perch (Perca flavescens) [34], spotted maigre (Nibea albiflora) [35], yellow catfish (Pelteobagrus fulvidraco) [36], and Jian carp (Cyprinus carpio var. Jian) [37].
The antiviral properties of Astragalus root extract have also been demonstrated in vitro, during which it partly repressed replication of avian coronavirus (infectious bronchitis virus) in chicken embryo cells [38]. In another study, it was shown that Astragalus polysaccharides suppressed porcine circovirus type 2 replication by reducing oxidative stress and blocking the NF-kB pathway [39]. This response can be linked to the stimulatory effect Astragalus polysaccharides have on the TLR4-MyD88 dependent signaling pathway [40–42].

Activation of cells during, e.g., perturbation, may likely affect cell metabolism, and vice versa, as described in several reviews [43–48]. The accessibility of metabolites and nutrients may be challenging for immune cells throughout infection, owing to the altered local microenvironments that result from oxygen tension; particularly for innate immune cells such as macrophages [49].

When macrophages are activated by danger signals, the cells may undergo substantial changes with respect to metabolism to support cell growth, proliferation, functional transition and synthesis, and release of molecules. This requires metabolic adaptation to new microenvironments. Activated immune cells may have increased glycolytic activity (utilizing glucose, glutamine, and fatty acids to support the increased energy demand), reduced oxidative phosphorylation activity (hence reducing the formation of ATP), and modified tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) activity [50]. A heightened glycolytic activity is reminiscent of the Warburg effect [51]. Of the mentioned immunostimulants, only Poly I:C administration (injection) has been seen to significantly alter the metabolome of fish, as found in Chinook salmon (Chinook tshawytscha) and in yellow catfish (Pettteobagrus fulvidraco) [52].

The effects of Astragalus root extract, Hyaluronic acid, and Imiquimod after bath treatment in newly hatched Atlantic salmon alevins have never been examined before, neither have the effects of the selected immunostimulants on host gene coordinated expression of antiviral and metabolism genes. The current study is aimed at characterizing the influence of Astragalus, Hyaluronic acid, Imiquimod, and Poly I:C on the expression of genes involved in innate antiviral defense and metabolic processes.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals, Fish Stock, and Experimental Setup

Experimental groups were created with single batch Atlantic salmon alevins (402 days-degree eyed-ova provided by Benchmark Genetics, Bergen, Norway) at 530 day degrees. Similar numbers of alevins were distributed across 3 cylindrical incubators (50 L) per treatment (ca. 230–270 individuals/incubator), in a flow-through water system kept at an average temperature of 6–8°C. One day after hatch, the alevins were bathed in 3 L glass beakers containing the immunostimulant (Table 1) (10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) (1 mg L\(^{-1}\) for Imiquimod) for one hour, at 8°C. The concentration was given as mg L\(^{-1}\) since the precise molecular weights of the immunostimulants were not well defined. The control group was not bathed and thus was not handled.

| Immunostimulant     | Concentration (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | CAS No.   | Producer                        |
|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Astragalus root extract | 10                           | 89250-26-0 | Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co. Ltd., Beijing, China |
| Hyaluronic acid     | 10                           | 9004-61-9 | Wuhan Yuancheng Gongchuang Technology Co. Ltd., Wuhan, China |
| Imiquimod           | 1                            | 99011-02-6 | Wuhan Yuancheng Gongchuang Technology Co. Ltd., Wuhan, China |
| Poly I:C            | 10                           | 42424-50-0 | Tianjin Kangtai Biotechnology Co. Ltd., Tianjin, China |
Water temperature was kept at 6–8 °C throughout the experimental period. The freshwater pH was 6.9. The average CaCO$_3$ concentration in the inlet freshwater was 2.79 mg L$^{-1}$ and Mg$_2^+$ 0.77 mg L$^{-1}$. This means that the freshwater is very soft.

Following the exposure to the immunostimulant, alevins were returned to their respective incubators and sampled at 1-, 2-, 4-, 9-, 16-, 32-, and 45 days post exposure. At each sampling point, 36 alevins per treatment were euthanized with a lethal dose of benzocaine (Benzoak vet., 400 mg L$^{-1}$; ACD Pharmaceuticals AS, Norway), placed in cryotubes containing RNAlater, and stored at −20 °C until RNA extraction.

Formal approval of the experimental protocol by the Norwegian Animal Research Authority (NARA) is not required since it falls under the purpose of recognized animal husbandry. These practices are exempt from the European convention on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes (EU Directive (2010/63/EU, cf. article 5d), which Norway has subscribed and implemented.

2.2. RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis

The Qiagen AllPrep RNA/DNA extraction mini kits were used (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturers’ specifications. The tail region, dorsal muscle, and yolk sac of the alevins at day 32 and 45 post hatch were removed before RNA isolation, while the yolk sac and tail region were removed from alevins at earlier time points, prior to homogenization. This resulted in the reduction in sample size and weight, yielding samples from 25 to 45 mg. Prior to extraction, 6 alevins per group from each sampling point, were homogenized (Precellys 24; Bertin Technologies, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France), resulting in a total of 210 RNA samples. The purity and concentration of RNA were measured through Nanodrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). In addition, gel electrophoresis was performed on approximately 50% of the samples to assess RNA integrity.

To synthesize the first-strand cDNA, the High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) was used, with minor modifications to the manufacturer’s specifications. Samples and reaction mixture were incubated for 60 min at 37 °C, followed by a 5 min incubation at 95 °C to inactivate the reverse transcriptase. Samples were then stored at −20 °C until further processing. From an initial amount of ca. 2 µg of RNA, 20 µL of cDNA was synthesized.

2.3. qPCR

The qPCR reactions for each sample were run in duplicate, in an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). For each qPCR reaction, cDNA was diluted at 1:100, mixed with primers and Fast Sybr Green Mastermix (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), and incubated according to the protocol detailed in Table 2.

| Table 2. qPCR protocol for the Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System. PCR product amplification was achieved through 40 cycles, followed by a melting curve stage to ensure reaction specificity. |
|---------------------------------------------|
| **Temperature (°C)** | 95 | 95 | 60 | 95 | 60 | 95 | 60 |
| **Time (s)** | 20 | 3 | 60 | 15 | 60 | 15 | 15 |

The list in Table 3 was created by selecting primers from either previously published reports or unpublished results, based on their relevance to the immune system or metabolism of Atlantic salmon. Three housekeeping genes (HKG) were tested as potential references to normalize gene expression: 18S, β-actin, and Elongation Factor 1 alpha (EF1α). From these three, 18S was the most stable and consistent across a range of dilutions and hence was selected to serve as the endogenous control for this experiment.
Table 3. Selected genes and primer sequences. Gene symbols follow the HUGO Gene Nomenclature Committee approved nomenclature, except for IFNα and IFNc, which are fish specific. Where available, the gene symbol associated with the GenBank accession number is displayed between brackets.

| Gene Symbol | Direction | Primer Sequence          | GenBank Accession No. | Reference | Group    |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|
| 18S         | Forward   | TGTGCCGCTAGAGGTGAAATT     | AJ427629.1            | [53]      | Housekeeping |
|             | Reverse   | GCAATGCTTGCCTTGG        | BT059604              | [54]      | Housekeeping |
| β-actin     | Forward   | CAGCCCTCCTCCTGGTAT       | AN321836              | [55]      | Housekeeping |
|             | Reverse   | CAGCCCTCCTCCTGGTAT       | AN321836              | [55]      | Housekeeping |
| EF1α        | Forward   | CGCCACTGGTCCTGAATT       | AF247727              | [57]      | Metabolic |
|             | Reverse   | GAAAACAGCGTTGATGCAGAG    | DR696159              | [59]      | Metabolic |
| NOS2 (iNOS)| Forward   | AACGAGGCCAACAGGTTGTC     | AJ300555.1            | [56]      | Metabolic |
|             | Reverse   | GGTTGAACAGCTTGGATGGCAG   | DR696159              | [59]      | Metabolic |
| MTOR (mTOR)| Forward   | CACGCTAGGCTCTGAATT       | XM014128422.1         | [57]      | Metabolic |
|             | Reverse   | GAAAACAGCGTTGATGCAGAG    | DR696159              | [59]      | Metabolic |
| CATB (cathepsin B)| Forward | AAGGGGAAGCTCCTTACCTGCTG | DQ354152.1            | [60]      | Immune   |
|             | Reverse   | GGTTGAACAGCTTGGATGGCAG   | DQ354152.1            | [60]      | Immune   |
| SIX1        | Forward   | CCAGTCGGAGGGAGTGGTCTGC   | XM029676167.1         | [57]      | Metabolic |
|             | Reverse   | TAGAGGTCGAGGAGGAGTGGTCTG | XM029676167.1         | [57]      | Metabolic |
| IL1B (IL-1β)| Forward | GCCAGAGAGTGGTGGAGAAGA    | AY617117              | [57]      | Metabolic |
|             | Reverse   | TGCTCCCTGCTGCAGTGGT      | AY617117              | [57]      | Metabolic |
| IFNα        | Forward   | TGCAATGAGGAGAGGTTG       | DQ354152.1            | [60]      | Immune   |
|             | Reverse   | TGCTCCCTGCTGCAGTGGT      | DQ354152.1            | [60]      | Immune   |
| IFNc        | Forward   | AGTGAGAGGAGAGGTTG        | EU768890              | [60]      | Immune   |
|             | Reverse   | GGTTGAACAGCTTGGATGGCAG   | EU768890              | [60]      | Immune   |
| ISG15       | Forward   | CCTGAAAAACGAAGGGGAGGCA   | AY926456.1            | [60]      | Immune   |
|             | Reverse   | GGTTGAACAGCTTGGATGGCAG   | AY926456.1            | [60]      | Immune   |
| MDA5        | Forward   | CGCCACTGAATCAGAGAGATCC  | NM001195179           | [61]      | Immune   |
|             | Reverse   | GGCTTGCTCATCTTACAGTGA    | NM001195179           | [61]      | Immune   |
| MX1         | Forward   | TGAAACACAGAGGCTTTGAAA   | NM001123693.1         | [60]      | Immune   |
|             | Reverse   | GGCTTGCTCAGGAGTGCCTATT  | NM001123693.1         | [60]      | Immune   |
| PKR         | Forward   | TGCCATGAGGAGAGGACAGCAG  | EFS23422.1            | [60]      | Immune   |
|             | Reverse   | GCTGGAGATAACTGGCTCGG     | EFS23422.1            | [60]      | Immune   |
| STAT1       | Forward   | GGTTGGAACAGGATCAGTGGT    | DW551983              | [60]      | Immune   |
|             | Reverse   | GGTTGGAACAGGATCAGTGGT    | DW551983              | [60]      | Immune   |
| TLR3        | Forward   | TTTGATGAGTCTCCGTCCTCA    | BK008846              | [60]      | Immune   |
|             | Reverse   | AATCTGCCAGGCGACACACAGGTC | BK008846              | [60]      | Immune   |
Table 3. Cont.

| Gene Symbol | Direction | Primer Sequence          | GenBank Accession No. | Reference | Group   |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------|
| TLR7        | Forward   | TACAGCTTGGTAACATGACTCTCC | AGKD01152847          | [60]      | Immune  |
|             | Reverse   | CAACTCTCTGAGACTTGTCCGA   | BT047610              | [60]      | Immune  |
| RSAD2       | Forward   | TCCTTGATGTGGGCGTGGA     |                       |           |         |
| (viperin)   | Reverse   | GCATGCAGCTTTGCTCCCA     |                       |           |         |

2.4. Data Analysis

Results of qPCR in the form of threshold cycle values (Ct) were transformed into relative gene expression (RGE) between the gene of interest (GOI) and the HKG, using the Pfaffl method [62]. To achieve that, the converted primer efficiency (E) for each primer pair was calculated with the following equation:

\[
E = \left( \frac{\text{Primer efficiency} \times 100}{100} \right) + 1
\]

RGE was then calculated as follows:

\[
RGE = \frac{E(GOI)^{\Delta Ct(GOI)}}{E(HKG)^{\Delta Ct(HKG)}}
\]

where \( \Delta Ct(GOI) \) = Mean Ct Control-Mean Ct Sample, for the GOI, and \( \Delta Ct(HKG) \) = Mean Ct Control-Mean Ct Sample, for the HKG.

Data wrangling, statistical analysis and plotting was conducted in RStudio (version 1.4.1106, TigerDaylily; R version 4.0.3, Bunny-Wunnies Freak Out; RStudio Team, 2020). Packages used were: ggpubr [63], grDevices [64], Hmisc [65], NCmisc [66], oaColors [67], patchwork [68], readxl [69], rstatix [70], stats [64], tidyverse [71], and utils [64].

Data outliers were identified using the boxplot method from the rstatix R package. Only values above the third quartile-3×IQR (interquartile range), or below the first quartile-3×IQR, were removed. These are considered extreme outliers.

To determine which genes were significantly differentially expressed in relation to the control group, a t-test was used. For this, the mean expression of each gene, for each immunostimulant, was compared against the mean gene expression of a reference group (i.e., control) with the compare_means function of the ggpubr R package. Gene expression data are reported as mean ± standard error of the mean (SE). Genes were grouped by family to facilitate visualization. The correlation between immune and metabolic genes was calculated using a matrix of Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients. This method allowed for gene expression values to be correlated throughout all sampling days.

Significant statistical differences are shown in plots when relevant (\( p < 0.05 \)), in the form of an asterisk. All \( p \)-values were adjusted using the Benjamini and Hochberg [72] method.

3. Results

Due to the importance of the innate immune system in antiviral response, it is hypothesized that stimulating disease resistance by upregulating the expression of key antiviral genes may be beneficial to afford increased protection during the delicate alevin stage. To be able to characterize the response to relevant immunostimulants, the relative expression of several immune- and metabolic-related genes were measured by qPCR. These data are presented in Figures 1 and 2. Genes are grouped to facilitate result interpretation.
Figure 1. Relative expression of immune genes in Atlantic salmon larvae exposed to Astragalus, Hyaluronic acid, Imiquimod, or Poly I:C, sampled at 1, 2, 4, 9, 16, 32, and 45 days post immunostimulant bath. Transcription levels were assessed through qPCR and are presented as the mean ± standard error of mean gene expression relative to the expression of an HKG (18S). Different gene families are color coded in the following manner: blue—PRR; green—transcription factors; orange—interferons; purple—interferon-stimulated genes. Asterisks indicate $p < 0.05$ in a $t$-test. $N = 6$.

Additionally, to shed some light on the metabolic mechanisms underlying the immune response, correlations between gene groups were sought through Spearman’s rank correlation analysis, the results of which are presented in Figure 3.

3.1. Immune Response to Immunostimulant Bath

A generalized decrease in the relative expression of immune and metabolic genes was observed on days 1 and 2 post bath across all groups, which is likely related to a stress induction (see Section 2.1. Fish stock and experimental setup). All immunostimulants generated a response in immune gene expression (Figure 1) after this initial two-day decrease. In Astragalus-treated juveniles, this started on day 4 with the overexpression of PRRs ($TLR3, TLR7, MDA5$), transcription factors ($STAT1, PKR$), interferons ($IFNa, IFNC$), and one of the interferon-stimulated genes ($ISG15$) (Figure 1, first panel). Hyaluronic acid exposed larvae also exhibited a similar overexpression pattern on day 4, and again on day 16, when the expression of transcription factors, interferons, and two of the interferon-stimulated genes increased, possibly as a response to the upregulation of $TLR7$ (Figure 1, second panel). On day 45, genes in both Astragalus and Hyaluronic acid groups were downregulated (Figure 1, first and second panels).
Figure 2. Relative expression of metabolic genes in Atlantic salmon larvae exposed to Astragalus, Hyaluronic acid, Imiquimod, or Poly I:C, sampled at 1, 2, 4, 9, 16, 32, and 45 days post immunostimulant bath. Transcription levels were assessed through qPCR and are presented as the mean ± standard error of mean gene expression relative to the expression of an HKG (18S). Different gene families are color coded in the following manner: red—regulation of autophagy; blue—cytokine production; green—Toll-like receptor signaling pathway; orange—transcription factors; pink—response to hypoxia. Asterisks indicate $p < 0.05$. N = 6.

The immune response in Poly I:C appeared to be lower than in Astragalus, Hyaluronic acid, and Imiquimod (Figure 1, fourth panel). There was, however, a slight increase in TLR3 expression on day 4, and in ISG15 and RSAD2 on day 9. In the Imiquimod group, the gene upregulation was mainly seen on day 9, with the overexpression of TLR3 and the three interferon-stimulated genes (ISG15, MX1, RSAD2) (Figure 1, third panel).

3.2. Metabolic Response to Immunostimulant Bath

Metabolic changes may likely occur due to cell activation during an immune response. By assessing the expression patterns of genes linked to cell metabolism (Figure 2), namely those involved in the regulation of autophagy (MTOR and ULK1), TLR signaling pathways (CATB), transcription factors (SIX1, MYC), cytokine production (IL1B, NOS2, and HIF1A), and organic anion transport (SLC2A1), it is possible to clarify which metabolic pathways are influenced by immunostimulation.
Figure 3. Spearman’s rank correlation between immune (x-axis) and metabolic genes (y-axis) in Astragalus, Hyaluronic acid, Imiquimod, and Poly I:C. Correlation varies between −1 (negatively correlated; green color) and 1 (positively correlated; red color). The size of the squares and depth of color indicate the strength of correlation. Asterisks indicate \( p < 0.05 \).

In line with the observations on the immune genes, there was an overall downregulation of gene expression of metabolic genes (in relation to the control group) on day 2 post immunostimulant bath. In the following sampling days, most genes did not appear particularly down- or upregulated across all immunostimulants, with absolute values of relative expression below 1. The exceptions were \( IL1B \) and \( NOS2 \), both of which were associated with cytokine production. These had mean relative expression above 1 on days 9, 16, and 45 in Hyaluronic acid (Figure 2; second panel), days 9 and 45 in Imiquimod (Figure 2; third panel), and day 45 in Poly I:C (Figure 2, fourth panel). On day 32, both genes were underexpressed in three immunostimulant groups, Astragalus, Imiquimod, and Poly I:C.

3.3. Immune vs. Metabolic Genes

A Spearman’s rank correlation test (Figure 3) was used with the intent of discerning a possible association between the expression of metabolic genes (in relation to the control group) on day 2 post immunostimulant bath. In the following sampling days, most genes did not appear particularly down- or upregulated across all immunostimulants, with absolute values of relative expression below 1. The exceptions were \( IL1B \) and \( NOS2 \), both of which were associated with cytokine production. These had mean relative expression above 1 on days 9, 16, and 45 in Hyaluronic acid (Figure 2; second panel), days 9 and 45 in Imiquimod (Figure 2; third panel), and day 45 in Poly I:C (Figure 2, fourth panel). On day 32, both genes were underexpressed in three immunostimulant groups, Astragalus, Imiquimod, and Poly I:C.

In Astragalus exposed alevins, (Figure 3; Astragalus) \( HIF1A, MTOR, MYC, \) and \( SIX1 \) were significantly correlated (Spearman’s coefficient > 0.7; \( p < 0.05 \)) with the TLRs, \( MX1 \), and \( IFNa \). There were also strong significant correlations of \( NOS2 \) with \( MDA5 \) and \( PKR \), and \( ULKI \) with \( IFNa \) and \( TLR7 \). In the Imiquimod group (Figure 3; Imiquimod), \( CATB, IL1B, SIX1, \) and \( ULKI \) were significantly correlated with several immune genes (Spearman’s
coefficient > 0.7; \( p < 0.05 \)). CATB correlated with MDA5 and MX1, SIX1 and ULK1 correlated with IFNc, and IL1B correlated with TLR3. For Poly I:C (Figure 3; Poly I:C), immune gene MDA5 correlated with MTOR, SIX1, CATB, and MYC, while MX1 correlated with MTOR, SIX1, HIF1A, and ULK1. It is also interesting to point out that SLC2A1 was negatively correlated, albeit not significantly, with most immune genes across all groups.

4. Discussion

4.1. Immune Genes

This study is the first to examine the presence and modulation of immune and metabolic gene expression in newly hatched salmon alevins. From our results, it is possible to affirm that alevins are fully equipped with the necessary antiviral and metabolic genes. In general, gene expression was downregulated on days 1 and 2 post bath, compared to untreated control alevins. We decided to leave control fish untreated by following the rationale that newly hatched alevins do not respond to stress before they are approximately three weeks old [73]. However, it appears there is a degree of response after bathing since most immune and metabolic gene expression was significantly downregulated on day 2. Whether this is due to handling stress or immunostimulant exposure is unknown. An additional control group with handling stress and bathing should have been included in the present experimental plan to determine whether the general downregulation was attributable to a stress response.

From our results, all immunostimulants elicited a response in immune gene expression, especially for alevins bathed in water containing Astragalus (Figure 1; first panel). In this treatment, upregulation of antiviral genes started on day 4 in a pattern that is consistent with an interferon-stimulated gene response. In a recent publication, Astragalus-fed zebrafish (Danio rerio) displayed higher protection against spring viremia of carp virus (SVCV) infections and expression of antiviral genes (type I IFN and MX genes) in the spleen than in a control group [74]. In line with the zebrafish work, elevated antiviral gene expression in turbot was found by feeding the fish with an experimental diet containing Astragalus [24]. In this study, the liver showed higher expression of Myeloid differentiation primary response 88 (MyD88) among other proinflammatory genes. MyD88 is the signaling adaptor of most TLR receptors but not TLR3, which has a TRAM/TRIF adaptor [75,76]. Concerning signaling, the Janus kinase–signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK-STAT) pathway is responsible for the effective communication of signals from outside the cell to the nucleus [77]. JAK-STAT has been linked to cytokine signaling in Atlantic salmon [78], which correlates with the upregulation of STAT1.

Astragalus contains an array of different polysaccharides, saponins, and flavonoids [79]; thus, it is not clear which molecule(s) exert potential antiviral effects. It is an open question whether this overexpression, followed by downregulation at later time points, could make Astragalus an effective antiviral agent for use in commercial production of Atlantic salmon smolt. Astragalus is more researched in livestock species than in fish, where it has been shown, for example, to have an adjuvant effect in maternal broilers whose offspring chickens were immunized against H5N1 influenza [80], and also that it inhibits replication of porcine circovirus infection in vitro [39].

Hyaluronic acid, which can be released during sterile inflammation, may bind to TLR2 and TLR4 [81] and activate the transcription factor activator protein-1 (AP-1) downstream [82]. AP-1 is composed of a heterodimer belonging to the c-Fos, c-Jun, ATF, and JDP families [83]. AP-1 may be triggered by activation of both TLR2 and TLR4 [81], though salmon TLR4 does not seems to exists [84]. Ligand binding to TLR3 and TLR7 may also induce activation and nuclear translocation of AP-1 and subsequent gene expression [85].

Hyaluronic acid exposed larvae exhibited a similar overexpression pattern on day 4, and again on day 16 when especially the expression of TLR3 and ISG15 increased. However, a significant downregulation of TLR7, STAT1, and IFNc was observed on day 45. To the best of our knowledge, there is no other scholarly work that has examined the expression...
of antiviral genes after Hyaluronic acid treatment; thus, it is impossible to compare our results with prior studies.

Bathing of alevins in Imiquimod (mimic of viral ssRNA that binds TLR7) induced downregulation of MDA5 expression on day 4. MDA5 is one member of the RLRs and is capable of recognizing dsRNA and Poly I.C. Perturbation of MDA5 may lead to the induction of type I IFNs, ISG15, and proinflammatory cytokines [86]. MDA5 was found up-regulated on day 45. It is not clear how Imiquimod induced modulated expression of MDA5 in the current study. An elevated MDA5 kidney expression was observed when Imiquimod was injected in olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus) [87]. This supports our finding that MDA5 expression may be modified by Imiquimod. MX1 was upregulated on days 9 and 45, which is also in accordance with the results obtained by Avunje and Jung (2017). STAT1 was upregulated on day 32 and downregulated on day 45.

Imiquimod is a known stimulant that induces the activation of the transcription factor STAT1, which may control type I IFN response and expression of ISGs [88,89]. In the current study, there was downregulation of both STAT1 and IFNc on day 45 after bathing. A correlation between STAT1 and IFNc has been observed in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) which may support the dual downregulation found in our experiment [90].

The immune response in Poly I.C appeared to be generally weaker in terms of fold change gene expression than in alevins from the other immunostimulant groups. There was, however, a slight increase in TLR3 and MX1 expression on day 4, and for ISG15, MDA5, and STAT1 on day 9. Poly I.C is a widely used TLR3 and MDA5 agonist (dsRNA mimic) in several fish species, including olive flounder [91], and has been shown to induce the expression of antiviral genes such as ISG15 and MX in Atlantic salmon [92–94] and STAT1 in vitro [95,96]. The current study confirms the upregulation of ISG15 and STAT1 by using Poly I.C.

4.2. Metabolic Genes

Contrary to what was observed in the immune genes, overall changes in metabolic gene expression in alevins were less pronounced when comparing treatment groups to control. An exception is for alevins bathed in Astragalus, in which the expression of ULK1, MTOR, HIF1A, SIX1, MYC, and SLC2A1 was upregulated on day 1. Thereafter, metabolic gene expression was underexpressed on day 2 post bath, except for IL1B and SLC2A1, which were unaltered.

The findings from one-day-old alevins, which displayed increased expression of metabolic genes after bathing, may be due to handling the stress of having increased metabolic activity. An increase in metabolic activity has been shown before when trout alevins were stressed by acute hypoxia [97].

In addition, there was a notable increase in the expression of MTOR, HIF1A, IL1B, MYC, and SLC2A1 on day 45 after bathing in water containing Poly I.C. The dependence of the mechanistic target of rapamycin (MTOR) on TLR3-induced cell activation has been shown in human keratinocytes [98]. Moreover, HIF1A has been found to be highly expressed during Poly I.C stimulation in mandarin fish (Siniperca chuatsi) [99]. MYC (and HIF1A) has previously been found to be highly expressed in human breast cancer cells treated with Poly I.C [100]. Lastly, expression of SLC2A1 (GLUT1) has been shown to be induced in RAW macrophages stimulated by Poly I.C, indicative of increased glycolysis [101].

4.3. Gene Correlations

Despite the limited response seen in metabolic gene expression, the direction of change and correlation strength provide some insight into the interplay between immune and metabolic genes and, consequently, between cell metabolism and innate immunity.

It was in the Astragalus exposed alevins that the highest number of significant correlations between immune and metabolic genes was observed. Additionally, Imiquimod and Poly I.C groups also induced metabolic changes in terms of gene expression.
It is now well understood why immune cell activation requires cellular glucose metabolism to shift from oxidative phosphorylation to glycolysis as a way of making ATP readily available, a process first described in cancer cells (Warburg effect) [51,102]. To find out whether the immunostimulation of alevins really induced a Warburg effect, additional studies must be performed—such as lactate and ATP measurements, and determination of NAD+/NADH and NADP/NADPH ratios.

4.3.1. Astragalus

MTOR coordinates eukaryotic cell growth and metabolism with environmental inputs including nutrients and growth factors. MTOR is a serine/threonine-protein kinase in the PI3K-related kinase (PIKK) family that forms the catalytic subunit of two distinct protein complexes, known as mTOR Complex 1 (mTORC1) and 2 (mTORC2). mTORC1 is sensitive to inhibition with rapamycin, and mTORC2 facilitates growth by promoting a shift in glucose metabolism from oxidative phosphorylation to glycolysis [103]. mTORC1 regulates cell growth since it has been shown that under nutrient-depleted conditions, mTORC1 phosphorylates ULK1, thereby preventing autophagy [104]. It has been shown that MTOR is able to regulate TLR3- and TLR7-mediated signaling in human keratinocytes [98,105]. In our study, we found a positive correlation between MTOR and TLR3 and TLR7 expression, which is in line with prior knowledge.

It is not clear how MTOR may regulate MX1 expression, though a positive correlation between MTOR and MX1 was found in this study. One possibility is that the alevins produced interferons, which, in turn, bind to the IFN receptor. The downstream cascade may promote the expression of MX1 through the TYK2/JAK1 pathway [106]. MTOR is, similar to TLR3 and TLR7, able to regulate IFN type I expression [107]. Our results show that there is a positive correlation between MTOR and IFNα (and TLR3, and TLR7) expression, which may be ascribed to the central regulatory role of MTOR in metabolism and immune gene expression.

Hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) are central regulators for cells to adapt to low cellular oxygen levels. HIF mediates the primary transcriptional response of a wide range of genes in response to hypoxia—such as regulating the transcription of a large array of genes involved in metabolism, cell survival, proliferation, migration, invasion, angiogenesis, immune evasion, and resistance to therapies in response to hypoxia [108]. HIF1A has been shown to inhibit the transcriptional activity of MYC as an adaptive response that promotes cell survival under low oxygen conditions [109]. Blocking MTOR function by rapamycin inhibits HIF1’s transcriptional activity [110]. This means that the higher transcriptional activity of HIF1A downregulates MYC’s ability to regulate cellular processes. The transcription factor MYC is a global regulator of gene expression involved in a myriad of responses, such as cellular division, differentiation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, DNA replication, RNA processing, metabolism, and ribosome biogenesis [108].

In a study using squamous cancer cells, it was shown that activation of TLR3 and TLR4 stimulated the expression of HIF-1 through NF-kB. In addition, HIF-1 increased the expression of TLR3 and TLR4 through direct promoter binding. Similar findings were reported in human fibroblasts; thus, the TLR/NF-kB pathway forms a positive feedback loop with HIF-1 [111,112]. In another study, it was indicated that TLR7 ligands (e.g., resiquimod—an analog of Imiquimod) induced HIF1A accumulation in a time- and concentration-dependent manner in THP-1 human leukemia monocytic macrophages [113]. However, the expression of TLR7 remained unchanged during resiquimod stimulation. We found a positive correlation between HIF1A and TLR7 expression after Astragalus bathing of alevins, whether this is a coincidence or not is not clear. This applies also to the present finding where there was a positive correlation between MX1 and HIF1A. MX proteins belong to interferon-induced dynamin GTPase, which may inhibit virus replication. To the best of our knowledge, no research has been conducted to decipher the relationship between HIF1A and type I
IFN has been shown in mouse embryonic fibroblasts [114], supporting our observation in which HIF1A expression was positively correlated with IFNα expression.

The expression of ULK1 was positively correlated to the expression of IFNα and TLR7. The ULK1 gene encodes the Unc-51-like autophagy kinase, which has several downstream phosphorylation targets during autophagosome formation and is activated during nutrient deprivation [115]. Functional ULK1 is required for gene transcription mediated via IFN-stimulated response elements (ISRE) and IFNγ activation site (GAS) elements and controls the expression of key ISGs [116]. It is known that TLR7-mediated cell activation induced autophagy [117], implying that there may exist a connection between TLR7, IFNα, and ULK1, as indicated by the concerted positive correlation between these three genes.

There were also positive correlations between NOS2, MDA5, and PKR. Inducible nitrogen synthase (NOS2/iNOS) may be produced by leukocytes in response to stimuli. Melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5) is an RLR for dsRNA. MDA5 has been shown to be induced by infectious bursal disease virus infection in chicken macrophages. Overexpression of MDA5 with subsequent viral infection resulted in increased iNOS expression level [118]. Like MDA5, PKR (protein kinase R) is also able to bind dsRNA. Upon binding dsRNA, PKR undergoes autophosphorylation, activating itself. PKR (interferon inducible) then phosphorylates eIF2α, thus inhibiting protein synthesis in virally infected cells [119]. The MDA5/MAV5/TBK1/IKKe/IRF3/7 pathway induces, in turn, the expression of iNOS and PKR. Thus, based on available knowledge and compared to our results, it is likely that there is a connection between NOS2, MDA5, and PKR.

4.3.2. Imiquimod

Imiquimod is an immune response modifier, acting as a TLR7 agonist. The expression of MDA5 and MX1 was positively correlated to the expression of CATB, IL1B, SIX1, and ULK1. It is apparent that Imiquimod can bind to MDA5, inducing type I IFN production that induces expression of ISGs—where PKR, RSAD2, and MX1 are directly interfering with viral replication [120]. Transcription factor sine oculis homeobox 1 (SIX1) is a key regulator of organogenesis and is a central regulator of the Warburg effect that promotes glucose metabolism. However, a link between SIX1, ULK1, CATB, and IL1B with MDA5 and MX1 has not been reported before, and we are thus unsure whether this is a coincidence or not. As mentioned above, there is a link between ULK1 and type I IFN expression [116], supporting the positive correlation between ULK1 and IFNc in the current study. Engagement of the TLR3 may bring about the expression of IL1B, as shown in another publication [121], which supports the present study. It is likely that TLR3 may induce the expression of IL1B through the TICAM-1/TRIF pathway [122], but Imiquimod is not a TLR3 agonist. Thus, it is not clear why the expression of TLR3 was positively correlated with IL1B expression.

4.3.3. Poly I:C

HIF1A, MYC, MTOR, and SIX1 are all recognized key regulators of the Warburg effect [123], while MDA5 senses dsRNA, associates to MAVS (adapter protein IFNβ promoter stimulator 1 (IPS-1/MAVS)) which leads to the transcriptional activity of NF-kB and the induction of TBK1- and IRF-3-mediated type I IFN response [124]. It is not known whether MDA5 is involved in a Warburg-like response. In our study, there was a positive correlation between HIF1A, MYC, MTOR, CATB and SIX1, and MDA5, which may indicate an elevated metabolic modulation following Poly I:C stimulation of alevins. Cathepsin B (CATB), a lysosomal protease, is overexpressed in human breast cancers with high metabolic activity and is correlated with poor prognosis. Cathepsin B is also a regulator of metabolic processes [125]. It has been shown that HIF1A is involved in the modulated glycolytic activity. The expression of HIF1A, together with MTOR and SIX1, was positively correlated with MX1 expression. We cannot explain how MX1 RNA expression fits with increased gene expression of genes associated with metabolic activity. A plausible explanation is that treatment with Poly I:C both modulates the metabolic activity and induces MX1 expression, as Poly I:C is a potent stimulator for MX1 expression [93]. Upregulation of MTOR, HIF1A, and
MYC have all been linked to this metabolic reprogramming that occurs upon PRR ligation in immune cells, promoting glycolysis by increasing the expression of GLUT1 (SLC2A1; glucose transporter 1) and other glycolytic genes [43,48]. Consequently, we expected upregulation of SLC2A1, together with a positive correlation to PRR expression. Surprisingly, the opposite occurred throughout days 4 to 32, with downregulation of this gene when the immune response was highest in all immunostimulant groups. The expression of SLC2A1 was negatively correlated with PKR and RSAD2 (viperin) expression in our study. PKR has been shown to be activated by Poly I:C [126], similar to RSAD2 and HIF1A [127,128]. We cannot provide a logical explanation for why all these three genes were collectively downregulated in this study.

5. Conclusions

Altogether, our results demonstrate that innate antiviral genes of Atlantic salmon are present at hatching, can be primed by exposure to immunostimulants, and possibly be functional to inhibit viral replication. Whether immunostimulation, by bathing, at early life stages translates into protection against viral diseases, thus making it an effective tool for use in commercial production of Atlantic salmon, remains to be proved. To the best of our knowledge, the concept of determining immune and metabolic gene response in tandem is not common. Our results highlight positive correlations between several immune and metabolic genes, underpinning that the bath treatments induced both innate and metabolic modulation. Further research should take a holistic approach to study the functional relationship between metabolism and innate antiviral defense mechanisms, which includes a pathogen challenge experiment.
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