Effects of Different Solvents Extractions on Total Polyphenol Content, HPLC Analysis, Antioxidant Capacity, and Antimicrobial Properties of Peppers (Red, Yellow, and Green (*Capsicum annuum* L.))
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Plants possessing various bioactive compounds and antioxidant components have gained enormous attention because of their efficacy in enhancing human health and nutrition. Peppers (*Capsicum annuum* L.), because of their color, flavor, and nutritional value, are considered as one of the most popular vegetables around the world. In the present investigation, the effect of different solvents extractions (methanol, ethanol, and water) and oven drying on the antioxidant and antimicrobial properties was studied. The green pepper water extract showed the highest total polyphenol content (30.15 mg GAE/g DW) followed by red pepper water extract (28.73 mg GAE/g DW) and yellow pepper water extract (27.68 mg GAE/g DW), respectively. The methanolic extracts of all the pepper samples showed higher TPC as compared to the ethanol extract. A similar trend was observed with the total flavonoid content (TFC). The antioxidant assays (DPPH scavenging and reducing power) echoed the findings of TPC and TFC. In both antioxidant assays, the highest antioxidant activity was shown by the water extract of green pepper, which was followed by the water extract of red pepper and yellow pepper. Furthermore, all extracts were assessed for their potential antimicrobial activity against bacterial and fungal pathogens. Aqueous extracts of all three pepper samples exhibited slightly higher inhibition zones as compared to their corresponding ethanolic and methanolic extract. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values ranged from 0.5 to 8.0 mg/ml. The lowest MIC values ranging from 0.5 to 2.0 mg/ml concentration were recorded for aqueous extracts of green pepper. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis revealed tannic acid as the major phenolic compound in all three pepper samples. Thus, it is envisaged that the microwave drying/heating technique can improve the antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of the pepper.

1. Introduction

Antioxidants protect biological processes by delaying, controlling, or inhibiting the oxidative stress caused by free radicals [1]. Free radicals’ accumulation in the human body could disturb the normal functions of cells and organs that successively result in the onset of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) [2]. Plants with a variety of bioactive
compounds and antioxidant components are gaining popularity as a result of their efficacy in enhancing human health and nutrition [3, 4]. They have been linked to lower cancer and heart disease incidence and in turn the mortality rates [5, 6].

Peppers (Capsicum annuum L.) are a member of the Solanaceae family known by various other names too, such as bell pepper, chili, and capsicum. Because of their color, flavor, and nutritional value, peppers are considered one of the most popular vegetables around the world. The plant, which is native to North and South America, thrives in hot, dry climates and is used in Africa and other parts of the world for both medicinal and culinary purposes [7]. They are thick-walled bell-shaped vegetables, comprising three or four lobes, and are found in different sizes and colors depending on the genotype or seasonal period of breeding [8]. The chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments give peppers their green color [9, 10], and carotene, zeaxanthin, lutein, and cryptoxanthin are liable for giving the yellow-orange hue of pepper [10]. Capsanthin, capsorubin, and capsanthin 5,6-epoxides are carotenoid pigments that give peppers their red color [11]. The difference in levels of these compounds, changes during ripening, the genotype, and the seasonal period of breeding are the various factors responsible for the differences in the colors of peppers. The taste and flavor of each pepper can be influenced by the color of the fruit. Red, yellow, and orange peppers, for example, are sweeter than green peppers as a result of higher glucose content during the ripening period [12]. Bell peppers are good sources of vitamins, such as vitamins C and E, provitamin A, and carotenoids [13–15]. They were also found to be a good source of phenolic or flavonoids, such as quercetin, luteolin, and capsaicinoids [16]. Types and quantities of bioactive compounds differ among different colored peppers.

Studies have shown the efficacy of the antioxidative components of several pepper species [17, 18]. They are effective in reducing the risk of various degenerative, mutagenic, and chronic diseases [19–21]. It has also been used for alleviating toothaches and in the management of the respiratory disease [22]. Loizzo et al. 2008 reported the inhibitory effect of C. annuum var. Acuminatum on the enzyme acetylcholinesterase, which is a therapeutic method for the symptomatic management of Alzheimer’s disease [23]. In animal assays, peppers have shown hypocholesterolemic properties [24, 25]. Capsaicin, the main representative of the pungent components, is a lipophilic alkaido and because of its analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity has been used in clinical practice. An analysis on rats’ revealed peppers antioxidant capacity, which has defensive effects on the brain cells [26]. It is critical to study the phytochemicals found in common vegetables and fruits in order to learn more about their possible health benefits. The extraction solvents used may have an impact on the precision with which bioactive compound concentrations are measured [27, 28]. In natural foods, the concentration and activity of bioactive compounds can be directly related to solvent properties such as lipophilic and hydrophilic solvents and their respective polarity [14, 29]. A study on the efficiency of different extraction solvents (hexane, ethyl acetate, acetone, methanol, and methanol-water mixture) using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on the bioactivity of nonpungent peppers demonstrated that solvent chemical properties such as polarity can differentially influence the efficacy of recovering bioactive compounds from foods, and this might eventually result in differences in estimated biological activity, such as antioxidant capacity [30]. In another study, in comparison with green and yellow sweet peppers, the orange and red sweet peppers extracted with hexane showed the highest TPCs and antioxidant activities, likely caused by carotenoids as the compounds were mainly extracted by nonpolar solvents [31]. Perishable products face losses due to enzymatic and microbial degradation which are active at suitable temperature and storage problems. Drying comprises concurrent transient heat, mass, and momentum transport, and it is one of the most widely used methods for the preservation of food [32]. Dried food products can be stored at ambient temperatures for longer periods due to their low moisture content, which reduces the microbiological activity and allows the availability of the product even in off-season. Studies have indicated that as substitutes to the conventional drying procedures (sun drying), the use of microwaves drying/heating techniques can improve the antioxidant activity of the plant materials by reducing the thermal damages of antioxidant components [33, 34].

Exploring antimicrobial properties along with the antioxidant activity of the plant are an important aspect as there is a growing need to replace existing synthetic food additives with those of natural origin. Various studies have demonstrated the antibacterial potential of different species of Capsicum spp. Methanolic extracts of C. annuum and C. frutescens were found effective against food-borne pathogens Staphylococcus aureus, Vibrio cholerae, and Salmonella Typhimurium. Recently, an aqueous extract of yellow-colored C. annuum was found to demonstrate the highest antimicrobial activity against pathogen P. aeruginosa. In another study, phenolic compounds capsaiacin, dihydrocapsaicin, and chrysoeriol isolated from the hexane and acetone extracts of fruit, peel, and seed of C. frutescens demonstrated promising antimicrobial activity against three Gram-negative bacteria (E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and K. pneumoniae), three Gram-positive bacteria (Enterococcus faecalis, Bacillus subtilis, and S. aureus), and yeast (C. albicans). Flavanoid chrysoeriol was found to possess potent antimicrobial potential as compared to the other two isolated compounds.

This study was undertaken to investigate the effect of different solvents extractions (methanol, ethanol, and water) on the antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of oven-dried red, yellow, and green peppers.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials and Reagents. Fresh red, yellow, and green peppers were procured from the local market in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, in January 2021. The experiments were performed immediately after procurement. Gallic acid, Folin–Ciocalteu reagent, and 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl
2.2. Sample Preparation. The peppers were rinsed in water and dried on paper towels. The stem and seeds were removed, and edible parts were collected. These portions were cut in almost equally shaped small pieces (2 × 2 cm) and a lot was dried through a hot air oven. All experiments were performed in triplicate, each using 200 g of pepper.

2.3. Drying. Two hundred grams of sliced peppers were placed in a hot air oven and dried at 60°C for 4 consecutive days. The dried peppers were allowed to cool down at room temperature for 15 min, and then slices were ground using an electronic blender to obtain peppers powder. Finally, the powder sample was packed in low-density polyethylene (LDPE) bags.

2.4. Extraction. Two grams of dried pepper samples were extracted individually with 100 mL of ethanol, methanol, and water solvents. The contents were sonicated at room temperature for 30 min in an ultrasonic bath (frequency, 40 Hz; power, 300 W; SD-350H; Seong Dong, Seoul, Korea) and then filtered using Whatman No. 4 filter paper.

2.5. Total Polyphenol Content (TPC). In this study, TPC was detected by Folin–Ciocalteu (FC) method as described earlier [35]. Firstly, 25 μL of the extract was added to 125 μL of undiluted FC reagent, and then 1500 μL nanopure water was added to the mixture. The mixture was allowed to shake for 1 min at room temperature and then 20% sodium carbonate (375 μL) and 475 μL of water were added, and the final volume of the mixture was made to 2500 μL. Finally, the prepared mixture was incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Phenol's detection was accomplished spectroscopically at 760 nm (Jasco, V-630 spectrophotometer, USA). The TPC was expressed as gallic acid equivalent per Gram dry weight of the sample (mg GAE/g DW).

2.6. Total Flavonoid Content (TFC). The TFC was determined according to the precisely described method used by [35]. Thousand μL of water was added to 250 μL of extract. After that, 75 μL of each 5% (w/v) sodium nitrite and 10% (w/v) aluminum chloride was added to the mixture and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Then, the mixture was vortexed after adding 500 μL of 1 M sodium hydroxide and 600 μL of water. The blank was prepared following the same procedure without extract. The absorbance was measured spectroscopically at 510 nm (Jasco, V-630 spectrophotometer, USA). TFC was expressed as mg catechin equivalent per Gram dry weight of the sample (mg CE/g DW).

2.7. DPPH Scavenging. The free radical scavenging capacity of the extract was determined using DPPH according to the standard method with some modifications [36]. Firstly, 0.1 mM DPPH solution was prepared and then 130 μL of the extract was mixed with 2000 μL of DPPH solution. The mixture was allowed to rest in the dark for 30 min and then absorbance was measured at 510 nm (Jasco, V-630 spectrophotometer, USA). Control was prepared in the same manner, but ethanol was used instead of extract. Methanol was used as a blank. The scavenging percentage was calculated as

\[
\text{DPPH scavenging} \% = \frac{A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \times 100.
\]

2.7.1. Reducing Power. The ferric reducing power of the sample was estimated according to the method of Hayat et al. [33]. Half (0.5) mL extract was mixed with 1.25 mL of potassium ferricyanide, and 1.25 mL buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6). The mixture was then incubated for 20 min at 50°C. After the incubation of 20 minutes, trichloroacetic acid (1.25 mL) was added and the mixture was centrifuged at 3000 × g for 10 min at room temperature. Finally, an aliquot (1.25 mL) was taken from the supernatant, to which 1.25 mL water and 0.25 mL of ferric chloride were added. Blank was also prepared following the same protocol but without extract. The absorbance was recorded at 700 nm (Jasco, V-630 spectrophotometer, USA).

2.8. Determination of Phenolic Compounds. In the present study, we utilize HPLC with the method described previously [37]. Phenolic compounds (tannic acid, resorcinol, 1,2-dihydroxybenzene, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, vanillin, acetylsalicylic acid, 3,5-dinitro salicylic acid, salicylic acid, and quercetin) quantification in three pepper (green, yellow, and red) samples was carried out using HPLC analysis, as described earlier with some modification [37]. The HPLC (Prominance) system Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan) was equipped with an LC-20AB binary pump and variable Shimadzu SPD-10A UV detector. The column used was Zorbax SB-C18 (250 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm; Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and the mobile-phase was Milli Q water (1% acetic acid, A) and methanol (B). The binary gradient program used was 0–10 min, 15–30% B; 10–20 min, 30–40% B; 20–30 min, 40–50% B; 30–41 min, 50–60% B; and 41–45 min, 15% B. The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min. The injection volume was 10 μL, and the detector was set at 280 nm. Compounds in pepper samples were identified by comparing their peak retention time with those of standards. All samples were analyzed in duplicate and arithmetical mean ± standard error was reported.

2.9. Antimicrobial Activity of Pepper Extracts. Antimicrobial activity of red, green, and yellow pepper extracts was assessed against Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, and Candida albicans using agar well diffusion assay [38]. Briefly, 0.1 ml of overnight grown cultures was spread onto Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) plates, agar wells were punched, and 6 mg/ml concentration of the prepared
extracts was loaded in each well. Solvent (5% DMSO) and Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB) were used as negative controls and antibiotics were used as a positive control. Plates were incubated for 18–24 h at 37°C and observed for halo zones of inhibition around the well. All the samples were analyzed in triplicates.

2.10. Assessment of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC). MIC of the pepper extracts was determined using the microbroth dilution method described previously [39].

2.11. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS (Version 9.2, 2000–2008; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) for data analysis. All the analyses were carried out in triplicate. The results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The differences among the treatment groups were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) at a significance level of \( p \leq 0.05 \), and a post hoc analysis using Duncan’s multiple range tests was performed if differences were found significant between the groups.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Total Polyphenol Content (TPC). The effect of different extraction (ethanol, methanol, and water) solvents on the total polyphenol content of green, yellow, and red peppers are shown in Figure 1. The green pepper water extract showed the highest total polyphenol content (30.15 mg GAE/g DW) followed by red pepper water extract (28.73 mg GAE/g DW) and yellow pepper water extract (27.68 mg GAE/g DW), respectively. The methanolic extracts of all the pepper samples showed higher TPC as compared to the ethanol extract. For example, the TPC of methanol extract of green, yellow, and red pepper was 22.69, 24.33, and 22.76, while that of the ethanol extract was 19.63, 15.55, and 17.1 mg GAE/g DW. Our results are contrary to the findings of Sun et al. [14] who reported a higher TPC of red peppers than the green peppers. The TPC of the methanolic extract of green, yellow, orange, and red peppers was documented as 2.4, 3.3, 3.4, and 4.2 micromol catechin equivalent/g fresh weight, respectively. Another study also reported that the methanolic extract of red sweet pepper cultivar/rootstock (Fascinato/Robusto) had a higher concentration of total phenols of 111.26 mg/100 g of dry weight as compared to the green pepper (Sweet/Robusto) which showed the lowest content, averaging 70.39 mg/100 g of dry weight. Moreover, the total phenol content depended on the variety as well as the color of the bell peppers and the highest content was recorded in colored peppers than in the green, values being highest in red, followed by yellow, and then by orange peppers [18]. But our results are in line with the findings of Blanco-Ríos et al. [40], who found that the variety Orion (green) had the highest concentration of phenolic compounds, while no differences were detected between the varieties Mazurca (red), Simpaty (orange), and Taranto (yellow). Ahmad et al. [41] reported that the solvents (acetone, ethanol, and water) established a significant role in the extraction of phenolic compounds from 27 samples of pepper from different origins. Kumar et al. [42] reported that the fresh green bell peppers showed a TPC of 64.58 mg GAE/g. The extraction for the TPC measurement in this study was performed by homogenizing the fresh bell peppers with water.

3.2. Total Flavonoid Content (TFC). Figure 2 shows the total flavonoid content of pepper samples extracted with three different solvents. TFC showed almost a similar trend as that of the TPC. The water extract of green pepper exhibited the highest (13.04 mg CE/g DW), while the ethanol extract of red pepper showed the lowest (5.11 mg CE/g DW) total flavonoid content among all the samples. Statistically, the total flavonoid contents of the methanol extract of green pepper (5.74 mg CE/g DW) and ethanol extracts of green (5.72 mg CE/g DW) and yellow pepper (5.82 mg CE/g DW) were not significantly different from each other \( (p > 0.05) \), while the TPC of the water extracts of yellow and red peppers were also statistically similar to each other. Kumar et al. [41] reported the TFC of water extract of green bell-pepper as 11.95 mg quercetin equivalent (QE)/g sample. In an earlier study, the TFC of the water, methanol, and ethanol extract of the pepper (C. annum L.) was determined as 78.2, 67.2, and 82.3 mg QE/100 g DW, respectively, and the values were not significantly different \( (p > 0.05) \) from each other [43]. Previous study reported that the TFC values of extract from Capsicum annum L. averaged from 121 to 130 mg QE/100 DW [44]. It is well known that water is more polar than ethanol and methanol. Some of the plant bioactive compounds, like O-methylated are less polar than the non-methylated flavonoids [45]. Based on the different TFC valued obtained by solvent used, the results of our study might be explained that the peppers have different group of flavonoids soluble in different polarities. Moreover, the different values of bioactive compounds of pepper reported in the literature might be due to the varietal, agronomical, environmental, and analytical factor. Hallman and Rembialkowska [46] reported that the phenolic content was influenced by the crop, as the organic system gave higher values than did the conventional one.

3.3. Antioxidant Activity. The antioxidant potential of different extracts of green, yellow, and red peppers are as assessed by 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl scavenging and ferric reducing power is shown in Figure 3, respectively. The antioxidant activity potential of the extracts echoed the aforementioned results of TPC and TFC. The significantly highest DPPH scavenging was shown by the water extract \( (0.02 g/mL) \) of green pepper (72.76%) \( (p < 0.05) \), which was followed by the water extract of red pepper (70.26%) and yellow pepper, respectively. But statistically, there was no difference \( (p > 0.05) \) between the DPPH scavenging of the water extract of the red and yellow pepper. The ethanol extract of red pepper showed the lowest DPPH scavenging (18.31%) among all the samples. Figure 4 depicts the reducing power of the pepper extracts. As can be seen, the highest reducing power was exhibited by the water extract of green pepper (2.305) followed by the water extract of yellow
pepper (1.905) and red pepper (1.857), respectively. The lowest reducing power was shown by the ethanol extract of yellow pepper (0.696) among all the samples. The higher antioxidant activity of the water extract could be due to the leaching of hydrophilic phenolic compounds in the extract [47, 48].

A recent study reported the DPPH scavenging of 88.35% for the water extract (0.25 g/mL) of green bell pepper [42]. In another study, the methanolic extract (0.04 g/mL) of red bell pepper dried at 50°C and 70°C exhibited the DPPH scavenging of 67.02% and 73.25%, respectively [49]. The free radical scavenging ability of peppers determined by the DPPH method was the lowest for the green pepper but not significantly different from the other 3 peppers (yellow, orange, and red) (Sun et al.) [14]. In another study, the TPC, TFC, and DPPH scavenging of red and green sweet bell peppers processed at various temperatures were evaluated. The methanolic extract of red peppers showed higher DPPH scavenging under all the processing conditions as compared to the green peppers (Yazdizadeh Shotorbani et al.) [50]. Chávez-Mendoza et al. [18] evaluated the antioxidant activity by DPPH of the 80% ethanolic extract of different cultivar/rootstock combinations of bell peppers and found that Fascinato/Robusto red colored had the highest antioxidant activity with an average of 79.65%, while yellow colored Jeanette/Terrano presented the lowest activity of 64.90%. The average antioxidant activity of the cultivar/rootstock combinations is diminished as follows: (red) Fascinato/Robusto > (red) Fascinato/Terrano > (green) Sweet/Robusto > (orange) Orangela/Terrano > (yellow) Jeanette/Terrano.

3.4. Reducing Power

3.4.1. Antimicrobial Studies. Solvent extracts of red, yellow, and green pepper were examined for their potential antimicrobial activity against bacterial and fungal pathogens. Aqueous extracts of all the three pepper samples exhibited slightly higher inhibition zones as compared to their corresponding ethanolic and methanolic extract (Figure 5). Aqueous extract of green pepper extract demonstrated the highest inhibition zone of 15, 13, 14, and 12 mm against S. aureus, L. monocytogenes, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and C. albicans, respectively. Similarly, the zone of inhibition for green pepper (ethanol extract) was recorded as 13, 12, 15, 15, and 13 mm against S. aureus, L. monocytogenes, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and C. albicans, respectively, while methanolic extract of green pepper demonstrated inhibition zone ranging from 10 to 15 mm against the test pathogens. Red pepper (alcoholic extracts) showed inhibition zones ranging from 10 to 14 mm, while the aqueous extract of the red pepper demonstrated inhibition zones of 12–15 mm against the test pathogens. In the case of yellow pepper, extract from methanolic samples showed the highest zone of 11 mm against E. coli, L. monocytogenes, and P. aeruginosa, and the lowest zone of 8 mm was recorded against C. albicans. Almost similar results were observed with the ethanolic extract of yellow pepper. Slightly higher inhibition zones ranging from 10 to 12 mm were recorded with the aqueous extract of yellow pepper samples. Antibiotics chloramphenicol and fluconazole (antifungal) were used as positive controls. Our findings are in sync with those reported with methanolic extracts of C. annuum and C. frutescens. Both extracts were found effective against food-borne pathogens Staphylococcus aureus, Vibrio cholerae, and Salmonella typhimurium [51]. Recently, an aqueous extract of yellow-colored C. annuum was found to demonstrate the highest antimicrobial activity against pathogen P. aeruginosa [52]. In another study, phenolic compounds capsaicin, dihydrocapsaicin, and chrysoeriol isolated from the hexane and acetonitrile extracts of fruit, peel, and seed of C. frutescens demonstrated promising antimicrobial activity against three Gram-negative bacteria (E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and K. pneumoniae), three Gram-positive bacteria (Enterococcus faecalis, Bacillus subtilis, and S. aureus), and yeast (C. albicans) [53].
MICandMBCvaluesofallthepreparedextractswere
determined against all test pathogens. Extracts of water
demonstratedlowerMICandMBCvaluesascomparedto
the alcoholic extracts (Table 1). flY_he lowest MIC values
ranging from 0.5 to 2.0 mg/ml concentration were recorded
for aqueous extracts of green pepper, while the highest MICs
(4–8 mg/ml) and MBCs (8–16 mg/ml) were observed with
the alcoholic extracts of yellow pepper. flY_he antimicrobial
action of the pepper extracts can be attributed to the
presence of polyphenols and capsaicinoids as demonstrated
previously by Mokhtar et al. [54]. Our results demonstrate
slightly higher MIC values against Gram-positive bacteria as
compared to Gram-negative bacteria. flY_his finding is on the
expected lines as the structure and composition of the cell
wall of Gram-positive bacteria differs from Gram-negative
bacteria. The cell wall of the Gram-positive bacteria com-
prises a thick layer of peptidoglycan with covalently bound
teichuronic and teichoic acid making them less susceptible
to the action of plant extracts.

3.5. HPLC Analysis of Phenolic Compounds. The effect of
different extracting solvents on the phenolic compounds of
three (green, yellow, and red) pepper (Capsicum annumum L.)
samples that were analyzed by high-performance liquid
chromatography (HPLC) representative overlay chromatograms is shown in Figure 6 and the average values are
reported in Table 2. Phenolic substances' type and con-
centration are responsible for biological activities. The
analysis and characterization of phenolic compounds with
modern techniques potentially open the door for the dis-
covery of biologically active compounds. The factors which
affect the phenolic compounds are the production system,
climate conditions, fruits, cultivars' maturation state, and

![Figure 2: Effect of different solvent extractions on the total flavonoid content of peppers. The treatment codes denoted by two letters represent the green (G), yellow (Y), and red pepper (R), extracted with ethanol (E), methanol (M), and water (W).]

![Figure 3: Effect of different solvent extractions on the DPPH scavenging activity of peppers. The treatment codes denoted by two letters represent the green (G), yellow (Y), and red pepper (R), extracted with ethanol (E), methanol (M), and water (W).]
Treatments

0
0.5
1
1.5
2
2.5
3
Absorbance 700 nm

Figure 4: Effect of different solvent extractions on the reducing power of peppers. The treatment codes denoted by two letters represent the green (G), yellow (Y), and red pepper (R), extracted with ethanol (E), methanol (M), and water (W).

Figure 5: Antimicrobial activity of pepper extracts. The treatment codes denoted by two letters represent the green (G), yellow (Y), and red pepper (R), extracted with ethanol (E), methanol (M), and water (W).

Table 1: MIC and MBC values of different pepper extracts against test pathogens.

| Sample | S. aureus | L. monocytogenes | E. coli | P. aeruginosa | C. albicans |
|--------|-----------|-----------------|---------|---------------|-------------|
|        | MIC       | MBC             | MIC     | MBC           | MIC         | MBC        | MIC | MBC |
| RE     | 4         | 8               | 4       | 8             | 1           | 2          | 2   | 4   | 8   | 16 |
| RM     | 4         | 8               | 4       | 8             | 1           | 2          | 2   | 4   | 8   | 16 |
| RW     | 2         | 8               | 2       | 4             | 1           | 2          | 1   | 2   | 2   | 4  |
| GE     | 2         | 4               | 2       | 4             | 1           | 2          | 2   | 4   | 4   | 8  |
| GM     | 2         | 4               | 2       | 4             | 1           | 2          | 2   | 4   | 4   | 4  |
| GW     | 1         | 2               | 1       | 1             | 0.5         | 1          | 0.5 | 1   | 2   | 2  |
| YE     | 8         | 16              | 8       | 16            | 4           | 8          | 4   | 4   | 8   | 16 |
| YM     | 8         | 8               | 8       | 16            | 4           | 8          | 4   | 4   | 8   | 16 |
| YW     | 4         | 8               | 4       | 8             | 2           | 4          | 2   | 4   | 4   | 8  |

MIC and MBC values are given in mg/ml.
postharvest treatment [17]. Tannic acid is the major phenolic compound in all three pepper samples ranging within 1028.67–3501.16 mg/100 g dw. Chlorogenic acid, 19.03–28.42 mg/100 g dw, is high in green pepper as compared to yellow and red pepper samples. Other individual phenolic compound ranges are resorcinol 10.42–14.45, 1,2-DHB 10.57–21.47, caffeic acid 16.45–30.23, acetylsalicylic acid 9.94–34.94, 3, 5 DNSA 4.10–18.51, and salicylic acid 0.5–27.83 mg/100 g dw. In general, yellow pepper samples show higher phenolic compounds as compared to red and green peppers. Green pepper phenolic compounds are higher in water extraction than methanol and ethanol extraction. Guilherme et al. [17] reported the higher content of chlorogenic acid in green pepper. Hallmann and Rembielkowska [46] reported chlorogenic acid 877.0 mg/kg dw in organic and 749.0 mg/kg in conventional grown bell pepper. Lee et al. [55] reported that the fresh pepper contains the total soluble phenolic compound from 178 to 384.9 mg chlorogenic acid equivalent per 100 gram off fresh weight. Caffeic acid in four cultivars of red sweet pepper is a little higher to this study, in the range of 38–63 μg/kg [56]. Different values in the literature may be due to different cultivars, different extraction methods, and the ways to express the results [57].

4. Conclusions

The current study investigated the effect of different solvents extractions (methanol, ethanol, and water) and oven drying on the antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of red, yellow, and green peppers. All solvent extracts impacted the biological properties of the pepper samples. Among all the samples tested, an aqueous extract of green pepper was found to possess the highest TPC, TFC, antioxidant, and antimicrobial activity. HPLC analysis revealed tannic acid as the major phenolic compound in all three pepper samples, while chlorogenic acid was found to be in higher amounts in green pepper samples as compared to red and yellow pepper. It is postulated that envisaged that the microwave drying/ heating technique can improve the antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of the pepper, but the exact mechanism needs to be unearthed in future studies. Further, the findings of this study could be exploited in the processing, storage, and consumption of pepper.

**Table 2:** Phenolic compounds of pepper (green, yellow, and red) HPLC (mg/100 g) dry weight (dw).

| Sample | Tannic acid | Resorcinol | 1,2-DHB | Chlorogenic acid | Caffeic acid | Vanillin | Acetyl salicylic acid | 3,5-DNSA | Salicylic acid |
|--------|-------------|------------|---------|-----------------|-------------|----------|----------------------|----------|-----------|
| GE     | 1028.67 ± 1.38 | ND         | 10.57 ± 0.10 | 19.03 ± 0.28 | 16.45 ± 0.19 | 1.43 ± 0.02 | 34.94 ± 0.76 | 5.72 ± 0.08 | 13.53 ± 0.15 |
| GM     | 1689.40 ± 1.37 | ND         | 14.34 ± 0.11 | 25.42 ± 0.51 | 24.20 ± 0.32 | 2.44 ± 0.03 | 22.77 ± 0.25 | 9.15 ± 0.14 | 27.83 ± 0.38 |
| GW     | 2284.25 ± 1.84 | ND         | 21.47 ± 0.09 | 28.42 ± 0.13 | 23.05 ± 0.10 | 2.42 ± 0.00 | 28.07 ± 0.03 | 9.92 ± 0.07 | 17.83 ± 0.22 |
| YE     | 2577.62 ± 1.57 | ND         | 13.88 ± 0.01 | 10.44 ± 0.01 | 18.89 ± 0.04 | 0.70 ± 0.04 | 11.88 ± 0.37 | 4.10 ± 0.03 | 2.78 ± 0.02 |
| YM     | 3501.16 ± 1.23 | ND         | 13.31 ± 0.00 | 13.81 ± 0.10 | 28.79 ± 0.10 | 2.01 ± 0.08 | ND         | 17.06 ± 0.01 | 5.07 ± 0.02 |
| YW     | 2618.90 ± 3.54 | 14.45 ± 0.18 | 18.40 ± 0.04 | 15.03 ± 0.02 | 26.70 ± 0.03 | 1.64 ± 0.01 | ND         | 18.31 ± 0.11 | 2.96 ± 0.01 |
| RE     | 2559.68 ± 1.19 | ND         | 12.67 ± 0.00 | 7.99 ± 0.08  | 21.36 ± 0.06 | 0.68 ± 0.02 | 9.94 ± 0.04 | 6.10 ± 0.02 | 1.06 ± 0.00 |
| RM     | 2940.58 ± 1.05 | ND         | 17.92 ± 0.07 | 13.14 ± 0.00 | 30.23 ± 0.03 | 0.55 ± 0.01 | ND         | 18.51 ± 0.09 | 0.85 ± 0.00 |
| RW     | 1933.00 ± 3.57 | 10.42 ± 0.16 | 21.15 ± 0.26 | 16.15 ± 0.09 | 27.47 ± 0.07 | 1.61 ± 0.09 | ND         | 15.01 ± 0.02 | 0.50 ± 0.00 |

DHB = dihydroxy benzene; DNSA = dinitro salicylic acid; G = green pepper; Y = yellow pepper; R = red pepper; E = ethanol; M = methanol; W = water; ND = not detected.
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