Case

A 43-year-old multipara presented 10 months post-operative from a retropubic sling with complaint of a growing left suprapubic mass. Her medical history was significant for stage III cervical cancer treated with chemoradiation three years prior. Her past surgical history included a retropubic porcine dermis Pelvicalc sling (Bard Medical, Covington GA) placement and cystoscopy 10 months prior to presentation. The clinical presentation was highly suggestive of local reaction to porcine dermis suburethral sling, however the diagnosis of recurrence of cervical cancer could not be excluded. The patient underwent definitive management with incision and drainage of a large pocket of pus, suprapubic exploration and resection of an indurated mass. The pathology report revealed poorly formed granuloma and no evidence of cancerous cells. The patient had an uncomplicated postoperative course and remains continent and asymptomatic 24 months post operatively.

Case
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Conclusion: We present a rare case of granuloma formation secondary to reaction to porcine dermis graft that presented as a suprapubic mass.

Abstract

Background: Stress urinary incontinence is a debilitating condition which occurs in 10-20% of females. Surgical management of stress urinary incontinence is increasingly common. Suburethral slings are most efficacious in treatment of stress urinary incontinence. Graft materials in these procedures can be synthetic or biologic. Common reported complications include bladder perforation, hematoma, vaginal extrusion of implant, de novo urgency symptoms, urinary tract infections and voiding dysfunction.

Case: A 43-year-old para 5 woman presented to our department with complaint of a left suprapubic growing mass. Her medical history was significant for stage III cervical cancer treated with chemoradiation three years prior. Her past surgical history included a retropubic porcine dermis Pelvicalc sling (Bard Medical, Covington GA) placement and cystoscopy 10 months prior to presentation. The clinical presentation was highly suggestive of local reaction to porcine dermis suburethral sling, however the diagnosis of recurrence of cervical cancer could not be excluded. The patient underwent definitive management with incision and drainage of a large pocket of pus, suprapubic exploration and resection of an indurated mass. The pathology report revealed poorly formed granuloma and no evidence of cancerous cells. The patient had an uncomplicated postoperative course and remains continent and asymptomatic 24 months post operatively.

Conclusion: We present a rare case of granuloma formation secondary to reaction to porcine dermis graft that presented as a suprapubic mass.
Fine needle aspiration of the mass was performed which showed squamous cells without atypia. Failure of resolution of the mass with conservative management required definitive treatment with suprapubic surgical exploration. The patient was taken to the Operating Room, an incision and drainage of a large pocket of pus was performed, and exploration and resection of an indurated mass in the subcutaneous layer was completed. The final pathology report revealed acute and chronically inflamed fibroadipose tissue with poorly formed granuloma (Figure 2). There was no evidence of carcinoma and all wound cultures were negative. Her post-operative course was uncomplicated and the patient was discharged home same day with instructions. The mass did not recur and the patient remains asymptomatic twenty-four months post operatively. Further, the patient remained continent without any urinary symptoms.

Discussion

Tension free slings are considered the "preferred" approach for the correction of stress urinary incontinence with urethral hypermobility. Unfortunately, among others, the following uncommonly reported postoperative complications of foreign body vaginal extrusion, urethral or intravesical erosion, and voiding dysfunction have been reported [1]. Additionally, another rare but reported possible complication of tension free slings is fistula formation [2]. Such reports of vesicovaginal fistulas exist after complicated slings especially when additional risk factors such as multiple previous pelvic surgeries or prior pelvic irradiation exist [3]. In our patient, the prior history of vaginal radiation excluded the use of synthetic sling and the patient had declined an autologous rectus fascia sling.

Various biologic materials such as autologous, allograft or xenograft materials have been used for suburethral slings with varying results. Biologic slings may be considered the gold standard in patients with previous mesh complications, history of previous radiation, severe vaginal atrophy, immunosuppression, or in patients with increased risk of delayed healing or infection. In autografts, tissue can be used from the patient or someone genetically identical, such as rectus fascia, fascia lata, vaginal tissue, dermis or tendon [4]. In allografts, a tissue graft can be donated from a donor of the same species as the recipient but not genetically identical, such as a cadaver while a xenograft is obtained from a donor of a different species. For example, porcine collagen in xenografts has a 95% homology to human collagen, and is easy to handle because it rehydrates quickly [5]. Autologous slings are reported to be equal in efficacy as synthetic slings in short, medium and longterm [6]. In general, minimally invasive slings have decreased operating time, lower rates of post-operative bladder dysfunction and detrusor symptoms, as well as fewer peri-operative complications, apart from bladder perforation [7].

Grafts used in pelvic reconstructive surgery should be biologically compatible and cause minimal inflammatory and cellular reaction. While synthetic grafts activate leukocytes and initiate a T helper type 1 response, biologic grafts induce a T helper type 2 humoral immune response. Previous studies examining histologic changes of graft materials for slings indicate that porcine dermis grafts have a high propensity to encapsulate. In addition they show no fibroblast infiltration, no inflammatory reaction and no foreign body reaction [8].

Granuloma formation after a midurethral sling is a rare complication [9]. As described by Woodruff et al., porcine dermis grafts tend to encapsulate, but should not cause inflammatory or foreign body reaction. Further, transvaginal mesh repairs for correction of pelvic organ prolapse exhibit very low rates of granuloma formation [10]. According to literature, there is limited data available and there is paucity of information to justify routine use of xenografts over synthetic grafts [11]. Apart from that, latest studies support that only macroporous monofilament slings are responsible for chronic inflammatory reactions [12]. However data on granuloma formation and biologic slings are lacking.

Tissue specimens from patients who had explanted cross-linked porcine dermis as suburethral slings using Hematoxylin and Eosin staining revealed limited collagen remodeling and variable tissue reactions [13]. Further, the use of xenograft porcine dermis in our case with the findings of squamous cells on fine needle aspiration may suggest some graft remnant 10 months after the surgery. According to the literature, histologic host response to implanted xenograft depends mainly on chemical cross-linking and porosity and limited to the following four responses: resorption, incorporation, encapsulation and mixed [14].

It is our opinion that the porcine dermis did not incorporate and due to the patient's previous pelvic radiation with resulting fibrosis and decreased vascularization ultimately led to the granuloma formation in the subcutaneous layer on the left suprapubic area. Our modification of anchoring the sling arm to the obturator internus fascia on the right side avoided granuloma formation on that side.

With the increasing frequency of surgical management of stress incontinence, it is important for surgeons to recognize and treat all postoperative complications associated with suburethral slings. As the long term complications of biologic slings are still unclear, more studies are needed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of the various biologic grafts.

Figure 2: Hematoxylin-eosin stain of the granuloma (Magnification x400). Note the multinucleated giant cells (outlined), lymphocytes (arrows), neutrophil (arrow head).
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