Influenza viruses are the leading cause of serious wintertime respiratory morbidity worldwide. Several studies investigating the effects of influenza-related illness during pregnancy have shown a strong impact on the health of pregnant women in terms of increased rates of hospital admission because of respiratory illness.\(^1\)–\(^3\) Schanzer and colleagues\(^2\) found that pregnant women in Canada were at increased risk of influenza-related hospital admission when compared with nonpregnant women of similar age and health status. In addition, influenza-related illness during pregnancy may have a negative impact on neonatal outcomes. A study in Nova Scotia, Canada, showed that infants whose mothers were admitted to hospital because of respiratory illness during influenza season while pregnant were more likely to be small for gestational age and to have lower mean birth weight.\(^4\)

By 2007, the cumulative evidence from these and other studies was compelling enough for advisory boards in Canada to recommend routine influenza vaccination for all pregnant women, including those without medical comorbidities.\(^5\) Despite these recommendations, seasonal vaccination rates among pregnant women have remained low. In a cohort of pregnant women who delivered at the IWK Health Centre, Halifax, from 2006 to 2009, only 20% had received the vaccine during their pregnancy. Marital status, parity, location of residence (rural v. urban), smoking during pregnancy and maternal influenza risk status were determinants of maternal vaccine receipt. The odds of preterm birth was lower among infants of vaccinated women than among those of nonvaccinated women (adjusted odds ratio \([\text{OR}]\) 0.75, 95% confidence interval \([\text{CI}]\) 0.60–0.94). The rate of low-birth-weight infants was also lower among vaccinated women (adjusted \([\text{OR}]\) 0.73, 95% \([\text{CI}]\) 0.56–0.95).

**Results:** Overall, 1958 (16.0%) of 12 223 women in our cohort received the influenza vaccine during their pregnancy. Marital status, parity, location of residence (rural v. urban), smoking during pregnancy and maternal influenza risk status were determinants of maternal vaccine receipt. The odds of preterm birth was lower among infants of vaccinated women than among those of nonvaccinated women (adjusted odds ratio \([\text{OR}]\) 0.75, 95% confidence interval \([\text{CI}]\) 0.60–0.94). The rate of low-birth-weight infants was also lower among vaccinated women (adjusted \([\text{OR}]\) 0.73, 95% \([\text{CI}]\) 0.56–0.95).

**Interpretation:** Despite current guidelines advising all pregnant women to receive the seasonal influenza vaccine, influenza vaccination rates among pregnant women in our cohort were low in the aftermath of the 2009 H1N1 pandemic. This study and others have shown an association between maternal influenza vaccination and improved neonatal outcomes, which supports stronger initiatives to promote vaccination during pregnancy.
pregnant women in the 2 nonpandemic influenza seasons (2010/11 and 2011/12) following the 2009 H1N1 pandemic. We also assessed whether neonatal outcomes differed between women who received the vaccine during pregnancy and those who did not.

Methods

Study design and population
We conducted a population-based retrospective cohort study in the province of Nova Scotia. We included all women who delivered a liveborn or stillborn infant at any hospital in the province between Nov. 1, 2010, and Mar. 31, 2012. Women were included if the infant’s birth weight was at least 500 g and the delivery was at 20 weeks’ gestation or later.

Approval for the study was received from the IWK Health Centre Research Ethics Board.

Data collection
We obtained all information from the Nova Scotia Atlee Perinatal Database. Data are collected for the database after hospital discharge from standardized clinical forms and hospital records. Data quality is assessed during periodic abstraction studies and other validation projects.20 As of Nov. 1, 2009, the database began collecting information from prenatal records and the hospital admission records on the receipt of influenza vaccine during the current pregnancy. The database also collects data on maternal comorbid factors for serious influenza, including pre-existing diabetes, pulmonary disease, renal disease, heart disease or anemia during pregnancy.5 We considered women to be at high risk for serious influenza if they had one or more of these conditions and low risk if they had none.

We also used the Nova Scotia Atlee Perinatal Database to obtain data on potential confounders, including maternal age, body mass index before pregnancy, marital status, education level, neighbourhood income level, smoking status, parity, presence of psychiatric conditions, one or more children under the age of 5, previous pregnancy loss, infant sex and urban versus rural residency.

Outcome measures
The primary outcomes were preterm birth, low birth weight and small-for-gestational age. The secondary outcomes were low birth weight at term and a composite neonatal mortality and morbidity variable. Data on the following outcomes were obtained from the Nova Scotia Atlee Perinatal Database: fetal death, low birth weight (< 2500 g), small-for-gestational age (defined as the bottom 10th percentile of birth weight [for each sex] for each week of gestational age) and preterm birth (defined as < 37 weeks’ gestation at delivery). The composite neonatal mortality and morbidity variable was generated based on a diagnosis of any of the following: neonatal death up to 28 days, low 5-minute Apgar score (< 4), sepsis (positive blood culture, septicemia or systemic infection), asphyxia, respiratory distress syndrome (moderate or severe), intraventricular hemorrhage (grade 3 or 4) or acute necrotizing enterocolitis.

Statistical analysis
We used the $\chi^2$ test to compare characteristics between women who received the seasonal influenza vaccine and those who did not. We then used a backward stepwise approach to determine which maternal characteristics were significantly associated with vaccine receipt. The final model included maternal characteristics that, when removed, caused a significant change in the likelihood ratio for the model ($p < 0.05$). We used a complete case analysis, whereby we excluded women for whom data were missing for a covariate included in the final model.

For the analysis of neonatal outcomes, we considered only singleton liveborns. For each outcome, we used logistic regression models to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Initially, unadjusted ORs were calculated for all outcomes. We then used multivariable regression to calculate ORs adjusted for potential confounding variables. The potential confounders were maternal characteristics found to be significantly associated with vaccine receipt in the regression model described earlier. We examined the interaction between vaccine receipt and infant sex, and between vaccine receipt and maternal obesity, to determine whether the ORs for vaccine receipt differed by infant sex or by maternal obesity.

Preterm birth is a time-dependent outcome measure. Because women who deliver preterm have a shorter gestation, they have less opportunity to receive the influenza vaccine during pregnancy than those delivering at term. In a time-invariant analysis, this creates a potential bias in the direction of showing a protective effect of vaccination on preterm birth.21 We attempted to evaluate this bias in 2 ways. First, to determine whether there was a difference in the association between vaccination status and deliveries in November or December versus the other months, we added an interaction term between these 2 variables to the logistic regression model to test its significance. We hypothesized that November and December represent the peak time of
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| Characteristic                          | Vaccination status; no. of women | p value* |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
|                                        | Missing data, no. (%)            | No vaccine n = 10 265 | Vaccine n = 1 958 | Vaccination rate, % |                       |
| Age, yr                                |                                  | 0.7                      |
| < 20                                   | 0                               | 598                     | 114                | 16.0              |
| 20–29                                  | 0                               | 4 935                   | 920                | 15.7              |
| 30–34                                  | 0                               | 3 059                   | 584                | 16.0              |
| ≥ 35                                   | 0                               | 1 673                   | 340                | 16.9              |
| Body mass index                        | 2 378 (19.5)                    | 0.5                      |
| < 18.5 (underweight)                   | 414                            | 71                      | 14.6              |
| 18.5–24.9 (normal)                     | 3 964                          | 812                     | 17.0              |
| 25–29.9 (overweight)                   | 1 900                          | 384                     | 16.8              |
| ≥ 30 (obese)                           | 1 900                          | 400                     | 17.4              |
| Marital status                         | 645 (5.3)                      | 0.003                    |
| Married or common law                  | 6 947                          | 1 421                   | 17.0              |
| Single, widowed or divorced            | 2 739                          | 471                     | 14.7              |
| Education level                        | 6 155 (50.4)                   | 0.1                      |
| 1–3 (high school or technical college) | 1 920                          | 498                     | 20.6              |
| 4–7 (postsecondary or higher)         | 2 955                          | 695                     | 19.0              |
| Location of residence                  | 0                               | 0.02                     |
| Urban                                  | 7 417                          | 1 362                   | 15.5              |
| Rural                                  | 2 848                          | 596                     | 17.3              |
| Neighbourhood income                   | 986 (8.1)                      | 0.03                     |
| Lowest quintile¹²                      | 3 837                          | 686                     | 15.2              |
| Highest quintile¹³⁵                     | 5 591                          | 1 123                   | 16.7              |
| Smoking during pregnancy               | 92 (0.8)                       | < 0.001                  |
| No                                     | 7 951                          | 1 607                   | 16.8              |
| Yes                                    | 2 228                          | 345                     | 13.4              |
| Parity                                 | 0                               | 0.01                     |
| 0                                      | 4 706                          | 959                     | 16.9              |
| ≥ 1                                    | 5 559                          | 999                     | 15.2              |
| Season of delivery                     | 0                               | 0.02                     |
| November 2010–March 2011               | 2 868                          | 575                     | 16.7              |
| April–October 2011                     | 4 532                          | 796                     | 14.9              |
| November–March 2012                    | 2 865                          | 587                     | 17.0              |
| Maternal risk status†                   | 0                               | < 0.001                  |
| Low                                    | 8 037                          | 1 460                   | 15.4              |
| High                                   | 2 228                          | 498                     | 18.3              |
| Previous pregnancy loss                | 124 (1.0)                      | 0.5                      |
| No                                     | 10 017                         | 1 923                   | 16.1              |
| Yes (≥ 1)                              | 137                            | 22                      | 13.8              |
| ≥ 1 child at home aged < 5 yr          | 0                               | 0.7                      |
| No                                     | 6 318                          | 1 213                   | 16.1              |
| Yes                                    | 3 947                          | 745                     | 15.9              |

Continued
influenza vaccine receipt and that deliveries during this period are therefore most likely to be affected by this bias. Second, we performed subgroup analyses whereby we repeated the primary analysis but excluded all deliveries taking place during November and December to determine whether similar results would be obtained.

Results

Of the 12 223 women who gave birth to a live-born or stillborn infant during the study period, 1958 (16.0%) received the seasonal influenza vaccine during their pregnancy. Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of the cohort according to whether the vaccine was received or not. Several maternal characteristics were found to be significantly associated with receipt of the seasonal influenza vaccine in the multivariable regression analysis (Table 2). The odds of receiving the vaccine were higher among pregnant women who resided in rural areas and among those with medical comorbidities. Single women, multiparous women and women who smoked during their pregnancy were less likely to have been vaccinated during their pregnancy.

Neonatal outcomes according to maternal vaccination status are shown in Table 3. For this analysis, we included 11 293 singleton liveborn infants. In the unadjusted analysis, vaccinated mothers had a significantly lower odds of having a preterm birth (OR 0.75, 95% CI 0.60–0.93) or low-birth-weight infant (OR 0.71, 95% CI 0.54–0.92) compared with women who did not receive the seasonal influenza vaccine during their pregnancy. The associations between vaccine receipt and the other neonatal outcomes were not statistically significant. The results were unchanged after we adjusted for the potential confounding variables identified in Table 2. The interactions between maternal obesity and receipt of the seasonal vaccine and between infant sex and vaccine receipt were not significant (p > 0.05) for any of the outcome measures. Associations between neonatal outcomes and other maternal characteristics are shown in Appendix 1 (available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.130499/-/DC1).

The interaction term between vaccination status and month of delivery (November and December v. all other months) was not statistically significant for the outcome of preterm birth. In the subgroup analysis in which we excluded deliveries occurring during the peak vaccination periods (November–December 2010

| Characteristic                      | Missing data, no. (%) | No vaccine n = 10 265 | Vaccine n = 1 958 | Vaccination rate, % | p value* |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|
| **Gestational diabetes**           | 0                     | 9 754                 | 1 864             | 16.0               | 0.8      |
| No                                 |                       | 511                   | 94                | 15.5               |          |
| Yes                                |                       | 10 110                | 1 938             | 16.1               | 0.1      |
| **Gestational hypertension**       | 0                     | 155                   | 20                | 11.4               |          |
| No                                 |                       | 10 151                | 1 943             | 16.1               |          |
| Yes                                |                       | 114                   | 15                | 11.6               |          |
| **Pre-existing hypertension**      | 0                     | 9 148                 | 1 710             | 15.7               | 0.02     |
| No                                 |                       | 1 117                 | 248               | 18.2               |          |
| Yes                                |                       | 1                     | 172               | 14.9               |          |
| **Any psychiatric condition**      | 0                     | 10 093                | 1 928             | 16.0               | 0.7      |
| 1                                  |                       | 172                   | 30                | 14.9               |          |
| ≥ 2                                |                       |                       |                   |                    |          |
| **Sex of infant**                  | 0                     | 5 024                 | 968               | 16.2               | 0.7      |
| Female                             |                       | 5 241                 | 990               | 15.9               |          |
| Male                               |                       |                       |                   |                    |          |

*p2 test. †Pre-existing diabetes, pulmonary disease (including asthma), renal disease, heart disease or anemia.
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and 2011), the OR for preterm birth was 0.71 (95% CI 0.54–0.94), which was similar to the result from the primary analysis.

**Interpretation**

The annual rate of seasonal influenza vaccination among pregnant women in Nova Scotia during the 2 nonpandemic influenza seasons immediately following the H1N1 pandemic was a disappointing 16%. This rate is substantially lower than previously reported rates for the 2009 H1N1 pandemic. Government data suggest that 64% of pregnant women in Nova Scotia received the H1N1 vaccine during the pandemic. The rate from our study is similar to rates of seasonal influenza vaccination among pregnant women in the province in the years immediately preceding the pandemic.

In our cohort, women who had received the seasonal influenza vaccine during their pregnancy were less likely to have a preterm birth or low-birth-weight infant than women who had not received the vaccine. Rates of low-birth-weight infants delivered at term were similar between the vaccinated and nonvaccinated groups, which suggests that the increased likelihood of a low-birth-weight infant in the vaccinated group was driven predominantly by the greater likelihood of preterm birth. Our results were essentially unchanged after we adjusted for various maternal characteristics, which suggests that these variables were not actual confounders of the association between vaccine receipt and neonatal outcomes.

It is possible that the mothers of preterm infants had less opportunity to be vaccinated during their pregnancy than mothers of term infants had. However, the results of a sensitivity analysis in which we excluded all deliveries during the peak vaccination period (November and December), when the bias would be expected to have an impact, were similar to the results of the primary analysis. In addition, introduction of an interaction term between vaccination status and season of delivery into our regression model for preterm birth had no effect. Given the results of these additional analyses, we do not think that our reported results for preterm birth among vaccinated mothers are influenced by this potential bias.

A study conducted by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimated the rate of seasonal influenza vaccination among pregnant women in the United States during the 2011/12 influenza season was 47%. Other American studies consistently reported similar rates among pregnant women. In the CDC study, 43% of women reported receiving both a recommendation and an offer of vaccination by their health care provider. Vaccination rates among these women were significantly increased (74%). Among women who received neither a recommendation nor an offer of vaccination, the rate was only 11%.

Several studies have similarly shown strong associations between maternal vaccination and lower rates of preterm birth and low birth weight. However, 1 study found that the rate of preterm birth was lower among vaccinated women compared with unvaccinated women, whereas other studies found no difference.
cations between recommendations by care providers and increased likelihood of vaccination.23,24 A lack of knowledge of the risks of influenza during pregnancy and concerns about the fetal safety of the vaccine are commonly cited barriers to influenza vaccination during pregnancy.6,17 However, it has been shown that, at the recommendation of their care provider, women will often agree to vaccination despite their concerns.24

A growing number of studies have addressed barriers to influenza vaccination during pregnancy and potential interventions to help improve vaccine uptake. Despite strong evidence showing the importance of recommendations by care providers, less than half of pregnant women in 2 recent US studies reported receiving this advice.23,31 Studies evaluating the efficacy of provider-focused reminders to offer vaccination to pregnant patients have been successful at significantly increasing vaccination rates,25,26 which suggests that this is a promising strategy. In contrast, a randomized study involving patient reminders sent via text messaging failed to improve vaccination rates.27

Observational studies investigating the association between maternal influenza vaccination and preterm birth have yielded conflicting results thus far. In an American cohort study, comparison of vaccinated and nonvaccinated mothers during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic showed an association between vaccine receipt and reduced likelihood of preterm birth.28 In a study conducted during the 2009 pandemic in Ontario, Canada, Fell and colleagues showed an association between H1N1 vaccination and reduced likelihood of very-preterm birth (< 32 wk gestation).29 For nonpandemic influenza seasons, the majority of studies have not shown an association between seasonal influenza vaccination during pregnancy and subsequent risk of premature birth.6,13–15 However, a cohort study by Omer and colleagues that analyzed 4326 live births occurring between 2004 and 2006 found that infants born during the influenza season whose mothers received the seasonal influenza vaccine were significantly less likely to be premature than infants born to nonvaccinated women during the same period. It is unclear whether the inconsistent findings reported thus far may be due to a true lack of association, or whether they may be the result of other methodologic challenges (e.g., small samples) or possibly differences in influenza epidemiology or demographic characteristics between study populations.

Although the cause of preterm birth is complex, maternal infection has been identified as a risk factor.31 It is hypothesized that the link between infection and prematurity is mediated in part by inflammatory pathways. Increases in the pro-inflammatory cytokines interleukin-1 and tumour necrosis factor-α have been shown to stimulate the production of prostaglandins in the amnion and decidua.32,33 Prostaglandins play a key role in the initiation of labour, mainly through the stimulation of uterine contractility.24 To further support this inflammation theory of preterm birth, animal models have shown that the administration of either interleukin-1 or tumour necrosis factor-α can induce preterm labour.13,14 In recent studies, influenza virus infection has been specifically shown to induce gene expression of various pro-inflammatory cytokines, including interleukin-1 and tumour necrosis factor-α. By averting maternal infection, the vaccine may exert a protective effect on the fetus by avoiding the associated inflammatory response that may trigger premature labour.

Few studies have evaluated neonatal outcomes associated with maternal seasonal influenza vaccination, and all were conducted before the H1N1 pandemic.6,17,18 Two studies showed a reduced likelihood of preterm birth and small-for-gestational age, but only in periods of widespread influenza activity;17,18 the third study observed a reduced risk of low birth weight and small-for-gestational age associated with influenza vaccination.6 As well, several recent studies have shown reductions in influenza-related illness among infants whose mothers received the seasonal influenza vaccine during pregnancy.13–16 Our population-based study of the impact of maternal seasonal influenza vaccination on neonatal outcomes after the H1N1 pandemic adds to the existing evidence that suggests a benefit of maternal influenza vaccination for the infant.

Limitations
Although the Nova Scotia Atlee Perinatal Database has been validated in several studies, its collection of data on maternal influenza vaccination is relatively new and those data have not yet been validated. However, the government of Nova Scotia reported a vaccination rate among pregnant women of 18.9% for the 2010/11 influenza season,35 which agrees quite closely with our estimated rate during this period. A number of studies have assessed the accuracy of self-reported influenza vaccination history and found it to be acceptable, although most have been specific to populations of older people.36,37

The relatively low number of deliveries occurring in Nova Scotia each year prevented analyses of rare outcomes such as fetal death and neonatal death. Because we report multiple outcome measures, some of our associations may have been the result of a type I error. The availability of information on maternal demographic
characteristics, health behaviours and medical history in the Atlee Perinatal Database supported the assessment of a number of potential confounding variables. Other potential confounders such as ethnic background and maternal education were not available, and it is possible that these, or other variables, were confounding the association between receipt of influenza vaccine and pregnancy outcomes.

Another limitation of our study is the lack of information regarding date of maternal vaccination, which prevented the analysis of trimester-specific effects of vaccination. Date of vaccination would also have allowed exclusion of term infants whose mothers received the vaccination after 37 weeks’ gestation from our analysis of preterm birth.

Conclusion
Our population-based study showed a reduced likelihood of preterm birth and low birth weight among infants whose mothers received the seasonal influenza vaccine during pregnancy. Our findings add to the existing body of evidence that vaccination during pregnancy not only offers maternal benefits, but may also provide both prenatal benefits to the fetus and postnatal protection to the infant through transplacental antibodies. Given the mounting evidence, both Canadian and World Health Organization guidelines now recommend routine seasonal influenza vaccination of all pregnant women in any trimester.”

However, despite these recommendations, the rates of seasonal influenza vaccination in our study were disappointingly low. Our attention must now turn toward efforts to improve these vaccination rates. Thus far, the evidence suggests that rates are highest when pregnant women receive a recommendation for influenza vaccination from their antenatal care provider, who can then offer the vaccine in the same care setting. Future research should include comparisons of vaccination rates between different care models. Once the care models with optimal vaccine uptake are identified, research will be needed into how best to incorporate them into practice.
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