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We have computed Wilsonian effective action in a simple model containing scalar field with quartic self-coupling which interacts via Yukawa coupling with a Dirac fermion. The model is invariant under a chiral parity operation, which can be spontaneously broken by a vev of the scalar field. We have computed explicitly Wilsonian running of relevant parameters which makes it possible to discuss in a consistent manner the issue of fine-tuning and stability of the scalar potential. This has been compared with the typical picture based on Gell-Mann–Low running. Since Wilsonian running includes automatically integration out of heavy degrees of freedom, the running differs markedly from the Gell-Mann–Low version. However, similar behavior can be observed: scalar mass squared parameter and the quartic coupling can change sign from a positive to a negative one due to running which causes spontaneous symmetry breaking or an instability in the renormalizable part of the potential for a given range of scales. As for the issue of fine-tuning, since in the Wilsonian approach power-law terms are not subtracted, one can clearly observe the quadratic sensitivity of fine-tuning measure to the change of the cut-off scale.
1. Introduction

The recent discovery of the Higgs boson at the Large Hadron Collider [1, 2], promotes the question about the protection of the electroweak breaking scale to one of the most puzzling problems of fundamental physics. The observed compatibility of properties of the newly observed particle, with predictions coming from the Standard Model, additionally strengthens tension between the standard theoretical reasoning which results in a prediction of new physics near the electroweak scale and reality. This situation strengthens the need of revisiting the naturalness principle.

Numerous authors [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] propose new definitions of the naturalness. Our goal is less ambitious. We shall try to state clearly a treatment of the fine-tuning based on the Wilsonian effective action and the corresponding Wilsonian renormalization group. Idea of the Wilsonian effective action is close to the intuitive understanding of the cut-off regularization. In a standard discussion based on quadratic divergences, the artificial meaning of the scale of an effective theory is given to the regularization parameter $\Lambda$. This effects in the regularization dependence of this kind of analysis. On the contrary, in the Wilsonian method high energy modes are integrated out in a self-consistent, regularization-independent way, and an effective theory has a well-defined effective action. Moreover, this treatment is universal and depends very weakly on a preferred UV completion. The main impact on the effective action from states with masses greater than the scale of the effective theory can be parametrized by the values of couplings of the Wilsonian effective action. Further corrections are highly suppressed as far as heavy masses are separated from the scale of the effective theory.

Given a model where the vacuum expectation value of a scalar field can be generated with quantum corrections, we can also show how the stability of the effective action looks like from the point of view of the Wilsonian running. This has been compared with the standard picture based on the Gell-Mann–Low running. Since the Wilsonian running automatically includes integration of heavy degrees of freedom, the running differs markedly from the Gell-Mann–Low version. Nevertheless, similar behaviour can be observed: the scalar mass-squared parameter and the quartic coupling can change sign from a positive to a negative one due to running. This causes the spontaneous symmetry breaking or the instability in the renormalizable part of the potential for a given range of scales. However, care must be taken when drawing conclusions, because of the truncation of higher dimension operators. The Gell-Mann–Low running allows one to resume relatively easily a class of operators corresponding to large logarithms to form the RGE improved effective potential valid over a huge range of scales. In the Wilsonian approach this would correspond to following the running of a large number of irrelevant operators, which is technically problematic.

While the simple cut-off analysis of scalar field models has been performed earlier, the goal of the present note is to consistently use the Wilsonian approach, and to make a clear comparison with the discussion based on the Gell-Mann-Low running.

2. Basic features of the model

2.1 Couplings

For the sake of clarity we consider a simple model that exhibits certain interesting features of the SM. The model consists of a massless Dirac fermion $\psi$ which couples via a Yukawa interaction
to a real scalar field $\phi$ with a quartic self-coupling. This Lagrangian takes the form:

$$L = i\bar{\psi} \partial_\mu \psi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} M^2 \phi^2 - \frac{Y}{4!} \phi^4. \quad (2.1)$$

The above Lagrangian is symmetric under the (chiral) $\mathbb{Z}_2$ which acts on $\phi$ as $\phi \to -\phi$ and on $\psi$ as $\psi \to i\gamma^5 \psi$. We consider the case of the non-zero vacuum expectation value for the field $\phi$, which breaks this symmetry spontaneously. In the broken symmetry phase the Lagrangian density (2.1) expanded around the nontrivial minimum $v$ ($\phi = v + \phi'$) will take the form:

$$L = i\bar{\psi} \partial_\mu \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} M'^2 \phi^2 - \frac{Y}{4!} \phi^4 - \frac{g}{3!} \phi^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4. \quad (2.2)$$

The fermion $\psi$ allows one to model the top quark coupling to the Higgs boson, which is known to give the main contribution to quadratic divergencies in the mass of the SM scalar and to the high-scale instability of the quartic coupling.

This model was previously investigated with methods of the FRG in [8, 9, 10], in order to estimate the non-perturbative bound on the Higgs boson mass. The same issue was discussed in [11], with a slightly different Lagrangian. In [12] the stability of potential was discussed with the help of the naive cut-off procedure.

### 2.2 Truncation adopted in the paper

We have calculated the Wilsonian renormalization group equations at the lowest non-trivial order. The Wilsonian action can include an infinite number of non-renormalizable operators, however they are suppressed at the low cut-off scale. Hence our truncation in the symmetric phase contains the following operators:

$$L_\Lambda = i\bar{\psi}_\Lambda \partial_\mu \psi_\Lambda + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_\Lambda \partial^\mu \phi_\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} M^2 \phi_\Lambda^2 - \frac{Y}{4!} \phi_\Lambda^4 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi_\Lambda^4. \quad (2.3)$$

In the ordered phase it is convenient to use fluctuations $\phi_\Lambda$ around the vacuum expectation value $v_\Lambda$. In such a case terms generated by expansion $\phi_\Lambda = v_\Lambda + \phi_\Lambda$ must be included and our truncation takes the form:

$$L_\Lambda = i\bar{\psi}_\Lambda \partial_\mu \psi_\Lambda - m_\Lambda \bar{\psi}_\Lambda \psi_\Lambda + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_\Lambda \partial^\mu \phi_\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} M'^2 \phi_\Lambda^2 - \frac{Y}{3!} \phi_\Lambda^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi_\Lambda^4. \quad (2.4)$$

Wilson coefficients $M^2_\Lambda$, $Y_\Lambda$, $\lambda_\Lambda$ as well as $m_\Lambda$ and $g_\Lambda$ are defined in [13].

Chosen truncation corresponds to the lowest non-trivial order of the Wilsonian running (in the similar way as a 1-loop RGEs corresponds to lowest non-trivial order of the Gell-Mann–Low type running).

### 3. Flow equations

It is convenient to express the Wilsonian RGE in terms of dimensionless parameters, because then Wilsonian RGEs are a dynamical system of differential equations. We use the dimensionless
parameters \( v_\Lambda := \frac{v}{\Lambda}, \Omega_\Lambda := \frac{\Omega}{\Lambda}^2, \omega_\Lambda := \frac{\omega}{\Lambda} \) and \( \gamma_\Lambda := \frac{\gamma}{\Lambda} \). We define \( v_\Lambda \) by the requirement that the shift \( \phi_\Lambda \rightarrow \phi_\Lambda - v_\Lambda \) gives the effective action without terms with odd powers\(^1\) of \( \phi_\Lambda \).

Wilsonian \( \beta \)-functions for \( \Omega_\Lambda \) and \( \lambda_\Lambda \) in the ordered phase read as follows:

\[
\Lambda \frac{d\Omega_\Lambda^2}{d\Lambda} = -2\Omega_\Lambda^2 + 4 \frac{Y_\Lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ \frac{3 - \omega_\Lambda^2}{3(\omega_\Lambda^2 + 1)^3} \Omega_\Lambda^2 - \frac{2\omega_\Lambda^2 - 2}{(\omega_\Lambda^2 + 1)^2} \right] + \frac{\lambda_\Lambda}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ \frac{\Omega_\Lambda^2}{(1 + \omega_\Lambda^2)^2} - \frac{2\omega_\Lambda^2 - 2}{(1 + \omega_\Lambda^2)^2} + \frac{\Omega_\Lambda^2}{(1 + \Omega_\Lambda^2)^2} \right]
\]

\[
\Lambda \frac{d\lambda_\Lambda^2}{d\Lambda} = 3 \frac{\lambda_\Lambda}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{(1 + \omega_\Lambda^2)^2} - \frac{2\gamma_\Lambda^2}{(1 + \omega_\Lambda^2)^2} + \frac{\Omega_\Lambda^2}{(1 + \Omega_\Lambda^2)^2} \right] + \frac{8\lambda_\Lambda Y_\Lambda}{(3(4\pi)^2)^2} \left[ \frac{3 - \omega_\Lambda^2}{(1 + \omega_\Lambda^2)^3} + \frac{\Omega_\Lambda^2}{(1 + \Omega_\Lambda^2)^3} \right] + \frac{2\gamma_\Lambda^2 \lambda_\Lambda}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ \frac{2}{3(\Omega_\Lambda^2 + 1)^2} - \frac{1}{(\Omega_\Lambda^2 + 1)^3} \right] .
\]

We obtained Wilsonian RGEs for discussed model using methods discussed in [14].

4. Numerical solutions of RGE

The RGEs from Sec. 3 have been solved numerically. We used the 1-loop matching conditions in order to compute initial conditions for RGE at \( \Lambda = 100 \) (we use units of GeV through the paper), in terms of the measurable quantities (for definition see [13]).

The example solution with the values: \( m_{ph} = 174, M_{ph} = 125, \lambda_{ph} = 0.2, v_{ph} = 264, g_{ph} = 52.8 \) and \( Y_{ph} = 1 \) is presented in Fig. 1a. Double-logarithmic plot in Fig. 1a shows parameters of the effective Wilsonian action as functions of the scale \( \Lambda \). The dotted line represents the Yukawa coupling \( Y_\Lambda \) which runs typically rather slowly. The quartic coupling \( \lambda_\Lambda \) runs faster, because of the contribution from the fermionic loop. Couplings \( \omega_\Lambda, \Omega_\Lambda^2 \) and \( \gamma_\Lambda \) for low values of \( \Lambda \) run like relevant couplings due to the rescaling, but after reaching scales of the order of the masses, they change their behaviour to a slow running near constant value. The behaviour of the above couplings for high values of \( \Lambda \) is caused by quadratic divergences (or more precisely by the same diagrams which generate quadratic divergences). The same behaviour is manifested by the vacuum expectation value \( v_\Lambda \) plotted as a solid line.

Solutions with different initial conditions have the same qualitative behaviour. in Fig. 2 we plotted families of solutions with the initial conditions \( M_{ph} = \frac{3}{4} 125 \) (dashed line) and \( M_{ph} = \frac{3}{4} 125 \) (dotted line). The reference solution has been plotted as well with the solid lines.

The important observation is that the presented solutions run very close to each other for high scales \( \Lambda \). Similar behaviour can be observed, if one changes the values of other parameters. Fig. 2

\(^1\)The standard treatment is such that in the ordered phase one expresses the symmetric Lagrangian density (2.3) by the field shifted by its vev: \( \phi \rightarrow \phi + v \). This shift introduces terms with the odd powers of \( \phi \) to the Lagrangian density. If we want to recover from the Lagrangian density expressed by \( \phi \), the value of vev by which \( \phi \) was shifted, we need to search for the shift \( \phi \rightarrow \phi - v \) such that terms with the odd powers of \( \phi \) will be absent. Note that we expand around a minimum, which means that the coefficient of the linear term vanishes.
suggests the following understanding of the fine-tuning. If different trajectories of Wilsonian flow toward the UV approach each other, then the small change of parameters in the effective action at high scale, gives the effect of changing the trajectory. The change of values of the Wilson coefficients at high scale will result, in turn, in physical parameters much different than the original ones, since the IR limit of the Wilsonian running corresponds to measurable quantities. The behaviour presented in Fig. 2 is the sign that the fine-tuning of parameters in the effective action at high scales is required in order to get the prescribed values of physical observables.

The example of a numerical solution for the Gell-Mann–Low type RGEs for the same theory is given in Fig. 1b. Comparing Fig. 1b with Fig. 1a, one finds that the flow of parameters of the Wilsonian effective action is much more complicated than the running in Gell-Mann–Low method. One should note that the Wilsonian RGE accommodates decoupling of massive particles i.e. corrections from particles with masses greater than $\Lambda$ are strongly suppressed.

5. Fine-tuning

The standard measure $\Delta_{c_i}$ of the fine-tuning with respect to the variable $c_i$ is defined as

$$\Delta_{c_i} = \frac{\partial \log v^2}{\partial \log c_i^2} , \quad (5.1)$$

where $c_i$ is a coupling in the model and $v$ is the vacuum expectation value of the field which breaks a symmetry spontaneously (here—chiral parity). As a measure of the fine-tuning of the whole model we take $[15, 16, 17]$:  

$$\Delta = \left( \sum_i \Delta_{c_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \quad (5.2)$$

We have computed $\Delta_{c_i}$ for parameters of the effective action as functions of the scale $\Lambda$.  

Figure 1: Examples of a numerical solution of (a)—Wilsonian and (b)—Gell-Mann–Low type RGEs corresponding to: $m_{ph} = 174$, $M_{ph} = 125$, $\lambda_{ph} = 0.2$, $v_{ph} = 264$, $g_{ph} = 52.8$ and $Y_{ph} = 0.5$. 
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Unfortunately, the effective action\(^2\) for \(\Lambda = 0\) cannot be obtained by the direct numerical integration of RGEs.

For that reason we approximated \(v_\Lambda\) for \(\Lambda = 0\) (\(v_0\)), by the value at \(\Lambda = 10^{-4}\) i.e. \(v_{10^{-4}}\). We used \(\Lambda = 10^{-4}\), because this turns out to be the lowest scale which gives \(v_\Lambda\) safety from numerical errors. To sum up, we have computed the fine-tuning measure (5.1) by taking numerical derivatives of \(v_{10^{-4}}\) with respect to dimensionless parameters \(\omega_\Lambda\), \(\Omega_\Lambda^2\), \(\gamma_\Lambda\) and \(\lambda_\Lambda\), \(Y_\Lambda\) over the range of scales \(10 < \Lambda < 10^6\).

In Fig. 3 the measure (5.2) as a function of scale \(\Lambda\) is shown. The power function \(\propto \Lambda^p\) which has been fitted to the fine-tuning curve is shown as a dashed line. The fitted power is equal \(2.19 \pm 0.02\). The power-like function has been fitted over the interval \(10^3 \leq \Lambda \leq 10^6\) (that is above assumed mass thresholds). The reason is the visible change of behaviour of the flow of parameters below \(10^3\).

\(^2\)Wilsonian effective action in the limit \(\Lambda \to 0\) reproduce 1PI effective action.
An interesting issue is the question of the spontaneous symmetry breaking and the stability of the potential seen from the point of view of the Wilsonian approach. The change of a sign of $M_\Lambda^2$ during the flow toward IR indicates that the stable vacuum of the theory must have the non-zero vacuum expectation value of the scalar field $\phi$ (as long as the quartic coupling stays positive). Moreover, the quartic scalar coupling $\lambda_\Lambda$ can run negative for higher $\Lambda$ which shows the similar behaviour as the one observed in the Gell-Mann–Low type running (Fig. 1b), known from the Standard Model. In the context of the SM, the zero of the quartic self-coupling is usually considered as an indication of the instability of the electroweak vacuum. In the Wilsonian approach however, simple analysis based on the quartic coupling alone is insufficient, because higher dimension operators with higher powers of the scalar field $\phi$, which we suppressed in our truncation (2.3), may dominate the scalar potential for large values of $\phi$. The impact coming from higher dimension operators was recently investigated in [10, 11, 18] and [19]. To draw strong conclusions one needs a procedure of resummation of the possibly large contributions to the scalar potential coming from operators with all higher powers of $\phi$. However, the observed instability of the Wilsonian quartic coupling may be seen as an indication of a crossover behaviour at higher scales.

The example of a solution demonstrating such features is plotted in Fig. 4. For this solution the scalar mass parameter $\Omega_\Lambda^2$ vanishes at the scale $\Lambda = 2.67 \times 10^4$ and the quartic coupling $\lambda_\Lambda$ has a zero at $\Lambda = 1.07 \times 10^6$. While investigating features of this solution one can notice a strong dependence of the scale of the symmetry breaking on the value of the Yukawa coupling $Y_\Lambda$. This fine-tuning problem makes one choose very precisely the initial condition for the Yukawa coupling in order to make the symmetry breaking scale low.

The issue of the spontaneous symmetry breaking can be studied with the help of the numerical approximation of the phase space presented in Fig. 5. Regions in Fig. 5 marked as "ordered phase" are points which used as initial conditions at $\Lambda = 10^{10}$, produce the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry during the flow to $\Lambda = 10^{-4}$. In Fig. 5a one can see that if for any scale $\Lambda$ the coupling...
Naturalness in Wilsonian approach

T. Krajewski

Figure 5: The numerical approximation of the phase space of the model given by the Lagrangian density (2.1). Points for which the flow from $\Lambda = 10^{10}$ to $\Lambda = 10^{-4}$ crosses $\Omega^2 = 0$ are assumed to be in the ordered phase.

$\Omega_2^\Lambda$ will be lower than certain critical value $\Omega_{c r}^2$, then $\Omega^2$ will run negative in the IR and the chiral parity will be spontaneously broken. Moreover as can be seen in Fig. 5b the critical value $\Omega_{c r}^2$ is rather sensitive to the Yukawa coupling $Y$. From the behaviour shown in Fig. 5b one concludes that $\Omega_{c r}^2$ increases when the value of $Y$ increases, and for any value of $\Omega^2$ there should exist a critical value of the Yukawa coupling $Y_{c r}$. We conclude that once $Y_{c r}$ is exceeded, the radiative spontaneous symmetry breaking appears. Hence the lower right portion of Fig. 5b gives the direct evidence of the Coleman–Weinberg mechanism at work.

7. Extended model

In order to further investigate the decoupling of heavy fields we considered an extended model with two scalar fields $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$, described by the Lagrangian density of the form:

$$\mathcal{L} = i \overline{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_1 \partial^\mu \phi_1 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_2 \partial^\mu \phi_2 - \frac{1}{2} M_1^2 \phi_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} M_2^2 \phi_2^2 - Y_1 \phi_1 \overline{\psi} \psi - Y_2 \phi_2 \overline{\psi} \psi - \frac{\lambda_1}{4!} \phi_1^4 - \frac{\lambda_2}{4!} \phi_2^4 - \frac{\lambda_3}{4} \phi_1^2 \phi_2^2 - \frac{\lambda_4}{3!} \phi_1 \phi_2^3 - \frac{\lambda_5}{3!} \phi_1^3 \phi_2.
$$

(7.1)

In the limit $\lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, Y_2 \rightarrow 0$ this theory reproduce previous theory given by the Lagrangian density (2.1) if we identify the field $\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi$ and couplings:

$$M_1 \rightarrow M, \quad \lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda, \quad Y_1 \rightarrow Y.
$$

(7.2)

Hence one can supposes that for the heavy $\phi_2$ field (the case in which we are interested in), the Lagrangian density (2.1) will describe effective theory for presented extended model.

The Lagrangian density (7.1) is symmetric under a transformation $\phi_1 \rightarrow - \phi_1, \phi_2 \rightarrow - \phi_2$ and $\psi \rightarrow i \gamma^5 \phi$. Moreover if $Y_2 = 0$ ($Y_1 = 0$) simultaneously with $\lambda_4 = \lambda_5 = 0$ a transformation of $\phi_2$...
(φ₁) is independent from the transformation of remaining fields. Is such a case the Lagrangian density has two independent symmetries: φ₁ → −φ₁ with ψ → iγ⁵φ and φ₂ → −φ₂ (φ₂ → −φ₂ with ψ → iγ⁵φ and φ₁ → −φ₁). If any of λ₄, λ₅ or both Yukawa couplings Y₁, Y₂ are non-zero then fields φ₁ and φ₂ must have the same quantum numbers and can mix with each other. For the sake of clarity let us concentrate on this special case.

7.1 Decoupling

It is convenient to define the quantity:

\[ \text{nd}_i = \left| \frac{c_i^{\text{EXT}} - c_i^{\text{EFF}}}{c_i^{\text{EXT}}} \right| \]  

(7.3)

where the \( c_i^{\text{EXT}} \) stands for one of the following couplings appearing in (7.1): \( m, M, \lambda, Y \). The \( c_i^{\text{EFF}} \) is the coupling from the effective theory (2.1) which corresponds to \( c_i^{\text{EXT}} \) following the equation (7.2). The quantities 7.3 are referred to as normalized differences.

Using Wilsonian RGEs for two theories, among which one is the effective theory for the other, one can try to find out how precise is the decoupling of heavy states in the running. We have estimated how Wilson coefficients change with scale in two scenarios. In the first case we use Wilsonian RGEs for the extended model to run from UV down to IR. In the second case we use RGEs for extended model only down to an intermediate scale \( \Lambda \) matching, lower then the mass \( M \). Below \( \Lambda \) matching we use RGEs for the effective theory with initial conditions given by Wilson coefficients of extended model computed at the matching scale \( \Lambda \). In Fig. 6 quantities (7.3) are plotted in two examples which differ by the choice of the scale \( \Lambda \). The spikes on both plots correspond to the zeros i.e. the positions of matching scales \( \Lambda \) matching (because our matching condition is equality of couplings \( c_i^{\text{EXT}} \) and \( c_i^{\text{EFF}} \) at \( \Lambda \)). In Fig. 6b normalized differences as a functions of the scale \( \Lambda \) in the effective action are plotted for the case of matching scale equal to the mass of the heavy scalar \( \Lambda = M = 10^5 \). In this case differences between Wilson coefficients in both theories in the IR limit are of the order of these couplings. In Fig. 6a we plotted normalized differences for the matching scale \( \Lambda \) one decade lower (with the same initial conditions for RGEs in the extended model). One can notice that for the lower scale \( \Lambda \) quantities 7.3 fall down rapidly.

8. Conclusions

In this paper we have used the Wilsonian effective action to investigate the fine-tuning and the vacuum stability in a simple model exhibiting the spontaneous breaking of a discrete symmetry and large fermionic radiative corrections which are able to destabilize the quartic scalar self-coupling. The regulator independence of the Wilsonian RG provides a consistent and well-defined procedure to analyze the issue of quadratic divergences. In the simplified model simulating certain features of the SM, the Wilsonian renormalization group equations have been studied.

Numerical solutions of RGEs have revealed an interesting behaviour, caused by the same diagrams which generate quadratic divergences. An operator relevant near Gaussian fixed point (for example the mass parameter for the scalar particles) can run like a marginal or even an irrelevant operator, rather than decrease with growing scale. Furthermore solutions for different physical
quantities flow close to each other with increasing scale. The flow in the direction of some common values indicates the severe fine-tuning. In such a situation small changes of boundary values of parameters at high scale produce very different vacuum expectation values for the scalar field and other measurable quantities at low energies. We have estimated the fine-tuning as a function of scale of the effective theory. For all parameters the adopted measure of the fine-tuning grows rapidly.

It should be stressed that the Wilsonian RGE, in contrast to the Gell-Mann–Low running, automatically accommodate decoupling of heavy particles. As investigated in Section 7, the contribution to the flow coming from particles with masses $M_{\text{heavy}}$ greater than the scale $\Lambda$ of the effective action is strongly suppressed. The main contributions to the interactions generated by heavy states are integrated out during calculation of the effective action for $\Lambda \ll M_{\text{heavy}}$, and are included in the effective Wilson coefficients. These properties of Wilsonian RGE explain why the fine-tuning of the Wilson parameters is so interesting. Let us imagine a more fundamental theory (say theory A) in which the SM is embedded. If one calculates in theory A the effective action for the scale $\Lambda$ below, but not very much below, the lowest mass of the particles from the New Physics sector, one obtains certain values of the Wilson coefficients $c_A$. On the other hand one can extrapolate the flow obtained from the SM to the scale $\Lambda$ and calculate the Wilson coefficients $c^{\text{SM}}$. Couplings computed in both ways should match, that is $c_A^\Lambda = c^{\text{SM}}_A$. If the couplings $c_A$ are different from $c^{\text{SM}}$ at the level of the fine-tuning $\Delta c$, that is $c_A^\Lambda (1 \pm \Delta c) = c^{\text{SM}}_A$, theory A will produce the IR effective action completely different from the SM.

We have studied the issue of the spontaneous symmetry breaking due to radiative corrections in the Wilsonian framework. We have demonstrated that there exists a critical value $\Omega_{cr}^2$ below which $\Omega^2$ runs negative in the IR and the symmetry becomes spontaneously broken. Moreover, the critical value $\Omega_{cr}^2$ is sensitive to the Yukawa coupling $Y$. One can see that $\Omega_{cr}^2$ increases when the value of $Y$ increases and for any value of $\Omega^2$ there exists a critical value of the Yukawa coupling $Y_{cr}$. Once $Y_{cr}$ is exceeded, the radiative spontaneous symmetry breaking appears, which is a direct evidence of the Coleman–Weinberg mechanism at work.
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