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Abstract

Intelligent communication is gradually considered as the mainstream direction in future wireless communications. As a major branch of machine learning, deep learning (DL) has been applied in physical layer communications and has demonstrated an impressive performance improvement in recent years. However, most of the existing works related to DL focus on data-driven approaches, which consider the communication system as a black box and train it by using a huge volume of data. Training a network requires sufficient computing resources and extensive time, both of which are rarely found in communication devices. By contrast, model-driven DL approaches combine communication domain knowledge with DL to reduce the demand for computing resources and training time. This article reviews the recent advancements in the application of model-driven DL approaches in physical layer communications, including transmission scheme, receiver design, and channel information recovery. Several open issues for further research are also highlighted after presenting the comprehensive survey.

I. INTRODUCTION

Modern commercial wireless communication systems have developed from the first to the forth generation and have propelled to the fifth generation (5G) to provide advanced wireless services, such as virtual reality, autonomous driving, and Internet of Things. Enhanced mobile broadband,
massive machine-type communications, and ultra-reliable and low-latency communications are the three main scenarios for 5G wireless networks. Therefore, future wireless communication systems are required to handle large amounts of wireless data, recognize and dynamically adapt to complex environments, and satisfy the requirements for high speed and accurate processing. They are widely regarded as being highly intelligent.

As a prevailing approach to artificial intelligence, machine learning, specifically deep learning (DL), has drawn much attention in recent years because of its great successes in computer vision, natural language processing, and has been applied to wireless communications [1]. However, most of the existing DL networks are data driven, which use the standard neural network structure as a black box and train it by a large amount of data. Training a standard neural network also requires a lot of training time in addition to a huge data set, which is often scarce in some circumstances, especially in wireless communications. In contrast to data-driven methods, model-driven DL approaches construct the network topology based on known physical mechanism and domain knowledge, and therefore require less training data and shorter training time [2]. They have begun to apply in physical layer communications, and becomes a promising approach for achieving intelligent communications.

This article elaborates the viewpoint of model-driven DL, provides a comprehensive overview on its applications in physical layer communications, and highlights promising directions for future research. The rest of this article is organized as follows. Section II introduces the basic principles of model-driven DL in physical layer communications. Then, Sections III and IV present the model-driven-DL-based transmission schemes and receiver design, respectively. To address inaccurate models, such as channel state information (CSI) recovery, Section V demonstrates data-driven DL methods are sometimes indispensable. Section VI discusses some open issues in this research area before the article is concluded in Section VII.

II. Why model-driven DL?

Using a fully data-driven DL approach is often the most popular solution in the field of computer vision and natural language processing because the mathematical description of the task cannot easily be obtained. Without relying on a mathematical model and expert knowledge, a data-driven neural network can be designed and the hyperparameters can be tuned by conducting engineering experiments, which depends on a huge amount of labeled data. However, a lot of labeled data cannot be easily obtained in some applications, especially in wireless communica-
Fig. 1. Components of the model-driven DL approach.

tions. Furthermore, the lack of a theoretical understanding about the relationship between neural network topology and performance makes its structure unexplainable and unpredictable. These limitations prevent the widespread use of DL in some practical applications.

To address this issue, model-driven DL has been applied to make a network explainable and predictable [2]. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the model-driven DL comprises three parts: a model, an approach (algorithm), and a network. The model is constructed based on the background knowledge, including its physical mechanism and domain knowledge. Different from the model in the analytical approach, the one in model-driven DL only provides a very rough and broad definition of the solution, thereby reducing the pressure for accurate modelling. An approach (i.e., feasible algorithm and theory) based on the aforementioned model and domain knowledge is then designed to solve the problem. This approach is then employed to obtain a deep network where several learnable parameters are trained via back-propagation. In many cases, the network is constructed by unfolding an iterative algorithm into a signal flow graph, using this algorithm as an initialization step and combining it with a standard neural network, or mimicking the conventional structure of the model-driven method.

Model-driven DL approaches are attractive for physical layer communications, as the mathematical models are often available, even though possibly simplified and inaccurate. The domain knowledge acquired over many decades of intense research in wireless communications can still be exploited. Model-driven DL inherits the advantages of the model-driven approach and avoids the requirements for accurate modeling. The imperfections resulting from the inaccuracy of the model and the predetermined parameters can be compensated by the powerful learning ability of model-driven DL. Furthermore, the model-driven DL has other benefits, such as its low demand for training data, reduced risk of overfitting, and rapid implementation. Therefore, model-driven
Fig. 2. A communication system over an AWGN channel represented as an autoencoder in [3]. The $s$ in one-hot representation is encoded to an $N$-dimensional transmitted signal $x$. After adding channel noise, the noisy encoded signal $y$ is then decoded to an $M$-dimensional probability vector $p$ before determining $s$.

DL presents a promising method for intelligent communications. This article will explore several aspects of model-driven DL for physical layer communications in detail.

III. TRANSMISSION SCHEME

An autoencoder in DL usually maps the original data from the input layer into a code, which can recover the data that closely matches the original one at the output layer. By mimicking such a concept, the method in [3] considers the communication transceiver design as an autoencoder task. As illustrated in Fig. 2, the transmitter and receiver are represented by fully connected deep neural networks (DNN) and are jointly optimized over an AWGN channel. The end-to-end learning communication system based on the autoencoder is purely data-driven, which treats the communication system as a black box. The purely data-driven method can potentially do better than the conventional handmade design. The autoencoder-based system has been extended to multi-user communication over interference channels, orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) systems with multipath channels [4], and multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) channels [5]. In [6], the purely data-driven DL method has been used in transceiver
optimization without using accurate CSI. To avoid the black box architecture, expert knowledge has been incorporated into autoencoder-based communication systems, with the radio transformer network (RTN) [3] and the OFDM-autoencoder [4] as two representative examples.

A. RTN

The RTN, which incorporates communication expert knowledge into the network, can augment signal processing ability and accelerate the training phase [3]. It can be regarded as a simple model-driven DL network that comprises a learned parameter estimator, a parametric transform, and a learned discriminative network. By adding a parameter estimation module to the original autoencoder-based communication system in the receiver, the RTN architecture can adapt to complex scenarios with hardware impairments. The simulation results in [3] demonstrate that the autoencoder with the RTN consistently outperforms and converges faster than the original autoencoder, thereby highlighting the power of expert knowledge in DL.

B. Model-Driven DL for OFDM Transmission

As a standard transmission technology, the cyclic-prefix (CP)-based OFDM scheme can combat multipath fading. In [4], the structure of the autoencoder has combined with the OFDM system and the end-to-end approach has been used to train the whole network. Compared with completely plain autoencoder-based communication systems [3], the OFDM-autoencoder in [4] extends the flexible structure of the autoencoder into a conventional OFDM system. This neural network inherits the advantages of OFDM systems, such as robust against sampling synchronization errors, and simplifying the process of equalization over multipath channels. The OFDM-autoencoder combined with the RTN can handle carrier frequency offset directly in the time domain with a slight performance degradation while the hardware impairments can be easily compensated by carefully designing the whole system.

To address high peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR) in OFDM systems, a PAPR reducing network (PRNet) has been proposed in [7]. This scheme adaptively learns the optimal constellation mapping and demapping via model-driven DL. Specifically, the PRNet embeds the encoder and decoder to a conventional structure of the OFDM system but still reserves several traditional blocks, such as the fast Fourier transform (FFT) module and CP mechanism. To reduce PAPR and prevent the deterioration in bit error rate (BER), the training is divided into two stages with
Fig. 3. ComNet receiver architecture. The two subnets use traditional communication solutions as initializations and apply DL networks to refine the coarse inputs. The dotted shortpath provides a relatively robust candidate for the recovery of binary symbols.

these two distinct objectives. Based on the simulation results in [7], the PRNet outperforms conventional schemes in terms of PAPR and BER.

IV. RECEIVER DESIGN

In recent years, DL has been applied to receiver design because of its power in statistical inference. By taking the OFDM receiver and MIMO detection as examples, we will demonstrate explains how model-driven DL can be applied in receiver design in this section.

A. Model-Driven DL for OFDM Receiver Design

OFDM has been widely used in various communication systems to combat frequency-selective fading and to achieve multi-Gbps transmission. In a traditional OFDM system, the signal is processed in transceivers in a block-by-block manner. Specifically, the receiver utilizes the known pilot and the received symbols for channel estimation (CE) and signal detection (SD), respectively.

With the emergence of the widely adapted DL, a new OFDM receiver architecture has been introduced in [8]. This architecture adopts a five-layer fully connected DNN (FC-DNN) and replaces all blocks at the traditional OFDM receiver, including FFT, CE, SD, and QAM demodulation. Such data-driven approach treats the receiver as a black box, exploits no expert knowledge in wireless communications, and results in the FC-DNN-based receiver unexplainable and unpredictable. As in all data-driven methods, this one depends on a huge amount of data to train a large number of parameters. Therefore, the architecture converges slowly and shows a relatively high computational complexity.
Model-driven DL can be integrated into the OFDM receiver design to address the above issues. Since all blocks in OFDM transceivers have been rigorously developed, the existing algorithms can be configured as the fundamentals of the model family in model-driven DL. In [9], a model-driven DL based OFDM receiver, called ComNet, has been proposed, which combines DL with expert knowledge. As shown in Fig. 3, the ComNet receiver involves two subnets for CE and SD, and is constructed in a block-by-block manner similar to conventional communication systems. The initialization of each subnet is an accessible communication algorithm.

This model-driven DL approach provides more accurate CE compared with linear minimum mean-squared error (LMMSE) CE under linear and nonlinear cases (e.g., CP removal and clipping) and outperforms both the traditional MMSE method and the FC-DNN in [8]. In terms of complexity, this model-driven DL approach has a relatively faster convergence speed and fewer parameters compared with the FC-DNN OFDM receiver [8]. This approach has also been proven to be robust to signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) mismatch. These advantages indicate that the expert knowledge in wireless communications can boost the performance of OFDM receiver with DL.

B. Model-Driven DL for MIMO Detection

The MIMO system has become the mainstream technology in 4G cellular systems and many other wireless area networks given its ability to increase both spectral efficiency and link reliability. An efficient MIMO detection algorithm plays an important role in receiver design in terms of performance and complexity. Given their superior performance and moderate complexity, iterative detectors, such as approximate-message-passing- (AMP) and expectation-propagation-based detectors, have attracted increasing attention. However, these iterative detectors are based on the assumption that channels follow a particular distribution and are hard to demonstrate an optimal performance under many complicated environments (e.g., Kronecker-correlated and Saleh-Valenzuela channels). Moreover, these detectors have fixed generic architecture and parameters while the incorporation of data knowledge remains unknown, thereby restricting the performance of iterative algorithms.

Given these issues, a model-driven DL approach, called detection network (DetNet), has been designed in [10] by applying a projected gradient descent method for detecting maximum likelihood in a neural network. DetNet recovers the transmitted signal by treating the received signal and perfect CSI as inputs. This approach outperforms the iterative algorithms and is com-
parable with the K-best sphere decoder in terms of its symbol-error rate (SER) performance. The robustness of DetNet to some complex channels, such as the fixed channel with a deterministic ill condition and the varying channel model with a known distribution, are also demonstrated. DetNet obtains accuracy rates that are equal and greater than those of the AMP and semidefinite relaxation algorithms, respectively.

To further reduce the number of the parameters that need to be trained, a model-driven DL network, called orthogonal AMP (OAMP)-Net, has been developed in [11] for MIMO detection via unfolding the iterative OAMP detector. Compared with the OAMP algorithm, OAMP-Net introduces only a few trainable parameters to incorporate the side information from the data. The number of trainable variables is independent of the number of antennas and is only determined by the number of layers, thereby giving OAMP-Net an advantage in addressing the large-scale problems, such as massive MIMO detection. With only few trainable variables, the stability and speed of convergence can be significantly improved in the training process. The network can also handle a time-varying channel with only a single training and improve the performance of the OAMP detector in Rayleigh and correlated MIMO channels.

V. CSI Estimation and Feedback

In the previous two sections, we have discussed model-driven DL for transmission schemes and receiver design, respectively. Model-driven DL can be also used in CSI estimation and feedback, which is related to the whole transceiver design. For massive MIMO systems, achieving the potential advantages heavily depends on precise CSI. However, CSI estimation and feedback are challenging problems in massive MIMO systems, as an accurate and specific channel model cannot be easily obtained. Under this circumstance, a large amount of data must be used to allow the neural network to capture the feature of massive MIMO channels. By taking channel estimation and feedback as examples, this section examines model-driven-DL-based CSI estimation and feedback.

A. Model-Driven DL for Beamspace Channel Estimation

MmWave massive MIMO enables the use of multi-GHz bandwidth and large antenna arrays to offer high data rates and is thereby considered an important technique in future wireless communications. However, using a dedicated radio-frequency (RF) chain for each antenna may
entail the use of expensive hardware or a very high power consumption. The lens-antenna-array-based beamspace mmWave system aims to reduce the number of RF chains and has been recognized as a promising architecture for future mmWave communications. However, channel estimation for beamspace mmWave massive MIMO system is extremely challenging, especially when there are a large antenna array and limited number of RF chains.

In [12], the learned denoising-based AMP (LDAMP)-based channel estimation method is proposed for the beamspace mmWave massive MIMO system. In this work, the channel matrix is regarded as a 2D natural image and the LDAMP neural network in applied channel estimation, where the denoising convolutional neural network is incorporated into the AMP algorithm. The LDAMP network is a model-driven DL network that is based on the compressed signal recovery model and the iterative signal recovery algorithm. The network learns the channel structure, estimates the channel from a large number of training data, and demonstrates an excellent performance even with a small number of RF chains at the receiver. The performance of the LDAMP network can be accurately predicted within a short time based on an analytical framework that originates from the state evolution analysis of the AMP algorithm.

B. Data-Aided Model-Driven DL for Channel Feedback

In frequency-division duplex massive MIMO systems, an excessive CSI feedback overhead may result from a large number of antennas, thereby presenting a significant challenge. To reduce the feedback overhead while maintaining the CSI resolution, many model-driven-based limit feedback schemes have been proposed and applied in commercial practice. However, since the number of antennas is considerably large in massive MIMO systems, the conventional model-driven methods face several challenges, including the inaccuracy of the channel model and the inevitable increase in feedback overhead.

As shown in Fig. 4, a DL-based network, called CsiNet, has been proposed in [13] to reduce the feedback overhead in massive MIMO systems. The network architecture of CsiNet is obtained by mimicking the CS architecture, which can be considered as a special case of model-driven DL. CsiNet mainly comprises a convolutional neural network (CNN) that succeeds in image processing and adopts an autoencoder architecture that comprises an encoder for compressive sensing and a decoder for reconstruction. Each RefineNet unit follows the idea of the residual network, that is, it transmits the output of the shallower layer to the input of the deeper layer to avoid gradient vanishing problems in DNNs.
Fig. 4(a) CsiNet architecture in [13]. This architecture comprises an encoder with a $3 \times 3$ conv layer and an $M$-unit dense layer for sensing as well as a decoder with a $2N'_cN_t$-unit dense layer and two RefineNet for reconstruction. Each RefineNet contains four $3 \times 3$ conv layers with different channel sizes. (b) Overall architecture of CsiNet-LSTM in [14]. $H'_1$ and the remaining $T-1$ channel matrices are compressed by high-CR and low-CR CsiNet encoders, respectively. Code words are concatenated before fed into the low-CR CsiNet decoder, and the final reconstruction is performed by three $2N'_cN_t$-unit LSTMs.

The CsiNet-LSTM in [14] aims to further exploit the time correlation in time-varying massive MIMO channels and to reduce the overhead in the time domain. In the DL field, LSTM is an RNN with memory and expertise in sequence processing and extracting the correlation between adjacent frames. Inspired by this approach, the CSI matrices are fed back within the coherence time, share a similar correlation property, and are grouped to create a sequence. All CSI matrices are compressed under a low compression ratio, except for the first CSI matrix of the sequence that is compressed under a higher compression ratio (CR) and reconstructed by CsiNet with a high resolution. The compression is performed because the remaining matrices contain limited information given their similarities to the first matrix. In this way, the feedback overhead can be further reduced. The experiment results show that CsiNet-LSTM is robust to CR reduction and can recover CSI under a low CR with a slight decrease in resolution.
VI. OPEN ISSUES

The model-driven DL for physical layer communications preserves some advantages of the model-driven approaches while avoiding the requirements for precision models. It also retains the powerful learning capability of the DL and overcomes the requirements for a large amount of training time. Although previous studies have obtained promising results, the model-driven DL for physical layer communications is still in its infancy and several other research topics warrants an extensive investigation in the future. In the following, we will discuss the several open issues for future studies, including theoretical analysis, online training, and specialized architecture for model-driven DL.

A. Theoretical Aspects for Model-Driven DL

The recently developed DL-based communication algorithms have demonstrated a competitive performance but lack unified theoretical foundation and framework, thereby preventing their widespread usage. Nevertheless, performing a theoretical analysis for model-driven DL seems feasible because the algorithms are based on specific models that always produce rigorous analytical results within certain performance limits. As one of its prominent features, the model-driven DL reduces to a model-driven approach when the elements of DL are removed. Therefore, analyzing the network performance from the model-driven approach is promising. In [12], an analytical framework has been constructed based on the performance of the LDAMP-based channel estimation network, which comprises a series of state evolution equations that predict the performance of the network over each layer. Instead of performing a time-consuming Monte Carlo simulation, the behavior can be accurately and quickly predicted and the system design can be optimized by using this analytical framework. Accordingly, a theoretical analysis for model-driven DL needs to be performed in future research.

B. Online Training for Model-Driven DL

The aforementioned model-driven DL network is trained offline and employed online. The principal disadvantage of this approach is that the system remains static because the weights of the neural network do not change when this approach is adopted. Therefore, during the training and design, all possible effects of the future system must be considered, which is impossible in many practical applications. To address this challenge, an online training must be conducted
to handle all possible alterations in the channel, which updates its weights during running time [15].

As illustrated in Fig. 5, the model-driven-DL-based OFDM receiver with online and offline training components is considered and both LMMSE channel estimation and ZF detection are used as initialized algorithms. The offline-trained network contains a large number of learnable parameters that are optimized by the stochastic gradient descent algorithm. Parameters $\alpha, \beta$ are additional trainable parameters that are updated via online training to adapt to the fluctuations in the channel conditions. Furthermore, the required labeled data in the online training phase can be recovered from the error-correcting code, which provides perfect label knowledge and avoids the wastage of communication resources.

Fig. 6 demonstrates the positive effect of the online training for an OFDM system over an outdoor channel. The CE method in Fig. 6 includes offline-trained network, LMMSE method and offline-trained and online-updated network. The SD network is same as Fig. 3. The performance of the network trained offline for the indoor channel is deteriorated when employed in the outdoor channels, even worse than traditional LMMSE CE method. Apparently, the offline-trained and online-updated network can obtain a huge gain via rapid online training for the outdoor channel because it has two networks trained for indoor and outdoor channels, respectively. The contribution of the two networks is determined by the online training to adapt to channel alterations when the network is employed.

C. Specialized Model-Driven DL Architecture

Signals in communication systems are usually processed block-by-block. The model-driven DL architecture used for physical layer communications has an important influence on the
performance of each module. However, a specialized network architecture for the communication module is yet to be developed. Most of the existing model-driven DL networks are based on the plain DL architecture or iterative algorithms. The CNN and RNN architectures have been designed for image and speech signals, respectively, and not specially for physical layer communications. In this case, designing specialized model-driven DL architectures for communication modules, such as channel estimation and data detector, becomes desirable. As shown in [3], the RTN network, which is specifically designed for parameter estimation and incorporates expert knowledge into a plain architecture, represents the first attempt in designing a model-driven DL architecture for communication modules. The RTN also demonstrates that the expert-domain knowledge can reduce complexity and accelerate convergence. The LDAMP network proposed in [12] can also be interpreted as a specialized model-driven DL architecture for channel estimation that inherits the superiority of iterative signal recovery algorithms and uses CNN to learn the channel structure. Therefore, a new and advanced specialized model-driven
DL architecture for specific modules in physical layer communications must be investigated.

VII. CONCLUSION

This article presents a comprehensive overview on applications of model-driven DL in addressing the challenges in physical layer communications. Several recent achievements in transceiver design are highlighted, including transmission scheme, receiver design, and CSI estimation and feedback. Given its impressive capacity and interpreted structure, the model-driven DL shows a competitive performance but with a lower number of trainable variables than the black box architecture and demonstrates potential in intelligent communications.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Wang, C.-K. Wen, H. Wang, F. Gao, T. Jiang, and S. Jin, “Deep learning for wireless physical layer: Opportunities and challenges,” China Communications, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 92–111, Nov. 2017.
[2] Z. Xu and J. Sun, “Model-driven deep-learning,” National Sci. Rev., vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 22–24, 2018.
[3] T. O’Shea and J. Hoydis, “An introduction to deep learning for the physical layer,” IEEE Trans. on Cogn. Commun. Netw., vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 563–575, Dec. 2017.
[4] A. Felix, S. Cammerer, S. Dorner, J. Hoydis, and S. T. Brink, “OFDM autoencoder for end-to-end learning of communications systems,” in Proc. IEEE Int. Workshop Signal Proc. Adv. Wireless Commun.(SPAWC), Jun. 2018.
[5] T. O’Shea, T. Erpek, and T. C. Clancy, “Deep learning based MIMO communications,” arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.07980, 2017.
[6] H. Ye, G. Y. Li, B.-H. F. Juang, and K. Sivanesan, “Channel agnostic end-to-end learning based communication systems with conditional GAN,” to appear in IEEE Global Commun. Conf., Abu Dhabi, UAE, Dec. 2018.
[7] M. Kim, W. Lee, and D. H. Cho, “A novel PAPR reduction scheme for OFDM system based on deep learning,” IEEE Commun. Lett., vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 510–513, Mar. 2018.
[8] H. Ye, G. Y. Li, and B. H. Juang, “Power of deep learning for channel estimation and signal detection in OFDM systems,” IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett., vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 114–117, Feb. 2018.
[9] X. Gao, C. K. Wen, and S. Jin, G. Y. Li, “ComNet: Combination of deep learning and expert knowledge in OFDM receivers,” submitted to IEEE Commun. Lett., Jul. 2018.
[10] N. Samuel, T. Diskin, and A. Wiesel, “Learning to detect,” arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.07631, 2018.
[11] H. He, C. K. Wen, S. Jin, and G. Y. Li, “A model-driven deep learning network for MIMO detection,” to appear in IEEE Global Conf. Signal Inf. Process., Anaheim, CA, Nov. 2018.
[12] H. He, C. K. Wen, S. Jin, and G. Y. Li, “Deep learning based channel estimation for beamspace mmWave massive MIMO systems,” IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett., to be published, DOI 10.1109/LWC.2018.2832128.
[13] C. K. Wen, W. T. Shih, and S. Jin, “Deep learning for massive MIMO CSI feedback,” IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett., to be published, DOI 10.1109/LWC.2018.2818160.
[14] T. Wang, C. K. Wen, and S. Jin, G. Y. Li “Deep learning-based CSI feedback approach for time-varying massive MIMO channels,” submitted to IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett., Jul. 2018.
[15] S. Schibisch, S. Cammerer, S. Dörner, J. Hoydis, and S. t. Brink, “Online label recovery for deep learning-based communication through error correcting codes,” arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.00747, 2018.