Stage-Dependent Regulation of Dental Pulp Stem Cell Odontogenic Differentiation by Transforming Growth Factor-β1
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Transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) is an important multifunctional cytokine with dual effects on stem cell differentiation. However, the role of TGF-β1 on odontogenic differentiation of dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) remains to be entirely elucidated. In the present study, we initially investigated the effect of TGF-β1 at a range of concentrations (0.1-5 ng/mL) on the proliferation, cell cycle, and apoptosis of DPSCs. Subsequently, to determine the effect of TGF-β1 on odontogenic differentiation, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity and Alizarin Red S (ARS) staining assays at different concentrations and time points were performed. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and Western blot analysis were used to determine the levels of odonto-/osteo-genic differentiation-related gene and protein expression, respectively. For in vivo studies, newly formed tissue was assessed by Masson’s trichrome and von Kossa staining. Data indicated that TGF-β1 inhibited DPSCs proliferation in a concentration- and time-dependent manner (p<0.05) and induced cell cycle arrest but did not affect apoptosis. ALP activity was enhanced, while ARS reduced gradually with increasing TGF-β1 concentrations, accompanied by increased expression of early marker genes of odonto-/osteo-genic differentiation and decreased expression of late-stage mineralization marker genes (p<0.05). ALP expression was elevated in the TGF-β1-treatment group until 14 days, and the intensity of ARS staining was attenuated at days 14 and 21 (p<0.05). Compared with the control group, abundant collagen but no mineralized tissues were observed in the TGF-β1-treatment group in vivo. Overall, these findings indicate that TGF-β1 promotes odontogenic differentiation of DPSCs at early-stage while inhibiting later-stage mineralization processes.

1. Introduction

Progress in the development of novel biomaterials and advances in our understanding of pulp biology, vital pulp therapy (VPT), and regenerative endodontic procedures (REPs) have been proposed for treatment of dental pulp diseases. The purpose of VPT and REPs is to preserve pulp vitality and regenerate a new pulp-dentin complex [1]. Repair procedures are based on regulating cell fate, including their proliferation, migration, and differentiation [2]. Within the pulp, it has been shown that several cell populations participate in tissue regeneration [3]. Dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) are isolated from the dental pulp of permanent teeth, the cells displaying multilineage differentiation capability, differentiating into odontoblast-like cells and undertaking dentin formation after stimulation [4]. Therefore, regulating the behavior of DPSCs is crucial for REPs and VPT.

Growth factors (GFs) are directly involved in modulating cellular events via the induction of specific intracellular signaling pathways [5–7]. Transforming growth factor-β1...
(TGF-β1) is a ubiquitous multifunctional growth factor stored as a latent complex in the pulp’s extracellular matrix (ECM) [8]. TGF-β1 can be activated following caries or pulps, subsequently affecting odontoblast-like cell differentiation and reparative dentinogenesis [9, 10]. TGF-β1 is also embedded within the dentin matrix [11] and can be released under specific local environmental conditions to direct cellular activity; for example, when bacterially derived lactic acid demineralizes dentin [12] or when the root canal is irrigated with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) [13]. Exogenous application of TGF-β1 has been shown to elicit the same biological effect as its endogenous counterpart. Consequently, it can be combined with biomaterials to control cell fate during direct pulp capping or transplantation procedures within root canals [5, 14]. Indeed, the elucidation of how TGF-β1 regulates DPSCs differentiation has the potential to enable development of more targeted REPs and VPT.

Previous studies on the effect of TGF-β1 on dentinogenesis and mineralization have generated contrasting data. Reportedly, TGF-β1 can induce odontogenic differentiation of DPSCs and odontoblast-like cells in vitro [15, 16]. Indeed, it has been shown that capping pulp with a TGF-β1-containing material can induce odontoblast-like formation in dog molars [14]. However, a study using transgenic mice overexpressing TGF-β1 demonstrated defective mineralization in the tooth, potentially resulting from reduced dentin sialophosphoprotein (DSPP) levels [17]. Furthermore, TGF-β1 has been shown to downregulate odontogenic markers such as alkaline phosphatase (ALP), DSPP, and dentin matrix phosphoprotein (DSPP) levels [18]. Furthermore, TGF-β1 is also demonstrated defective mineralization in the tooth, potentially resulting from reduced dentin sialophosphoprotein (DSPP) levels [17].}

TGF-β1 has been shown to downregulate odontogenic markers such as alkaline phosphatase (ALP), DSPP, and dentin matrix protein 1 (DMP-1) during odontoblast differentiation in vitro [18]. In addition, our previous reports have confirmed the inhibitory effect of TGF-β1 on stem cells differentiation from apical papilla (SCAP) and odontoblast-like cells [19, 20]. These discrepancies may be attributed to the treatment concentration, incubation time, cell type, and differentiation stage.

Given the known importance of TGF-β1 in dental tissue regeneration and the current lack of clarity relating to its role in dental cell responses, we investigated further how TGF-β1 affects odontogenic differentiation. Consequently, we observed a dual role mediated by TGF-β1 at different stages of DPSC differentiation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Isolation and Culture. Dental pulp tissues were isolated from healthy wisdom teeth of patients aged between 18 and 22 years at the Stomatological Hospital of the Air Force Medical University. All protocols were approved by the institutional review board. Briefly, the pulp tissues was minced into small pieces and dissociated with 4 mg/ml type I collagenase (Gibco, USA) at 37°C for 1 h. Furthermore, the suspension was filtered and transferred into a 6-well plate containing a minimum essential medium (Gibco) supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (NCM Biotech, China). Cells were harvested with 0.25% (w/v) trypsin-EDTA (HyClone, USA) upon reaching 80% confluence. Single cell-derived colony cultures were obtained using the limiting dilution technique and subcultured in growth medium (α-MEM, 10% FBS and 1% penicillin–streptomycin) for further experiments. Three to five passages were used for this experiment.

For osteogenic differentiation, cells were treated with odonto-/osteogenic medium (OM) (α-MEM, 10% FBS, 1% penicillin–streptomycin, 50 mg/mL ascorbic acid, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, and 10 mM dexamethasone Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for 2 weeks and then were stained by Alizarin Red. For adipogenic differentiation, cells were cultured in adipogenic medium (α-MEM, 10% FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, 0.1 μM dexamethasone, 0.2 mM indomethacin, 0.01 mg/mL insulin, and 0.5 mM IBMX Sigma-Aldrich) for 4 weeks and stained with 0.5% Oil Red O and hematoxylin Sigma-Aldrich). For chondrogenic differentiation, cells were centrifuged in a 15 mL polypropylene culture tube and cultured in freshly prepared chondrogenic medium (Cyagen, China) for 4 weeks. The chondrogenic pellets were fixed and paraffin embedded for Alcian blue staining (Cyagen).

The expression of stem cell associated phenotypic markers was analyzed by flow cytometry. Cells were incubated with specific antibodies for CD29, CD34, CD45, CD90, CD105, CD146, and isotype control (1:100; BioLegend, USA) for 1 h at room temperature and analyzed by Becton and Dickinson flow cytometry. The data were analyzed with the Mod-Fit 2.0 cell cycle analysis program (Becton and Dickinson).

2.2. Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) Assay. DPSCs were seeded at 3 × 10³ cells/well into 96-well plates containing medium supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum and exposed to 0.1, 0.5, 1, and 5 ng/mL TGF-β1 (PeproTech, USA), with no exposure established as the negative control. Subsequently, 10 μL of CCK-8 solution (Dojindo, Japan) was added to each well after 1, 3, 5, and 7 days of stimulation, and the plate was incubated at 37°C for 2 h. Optical density was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Power Wave 340, Bio-TEK, USA).

2.3. Cell Cycle Analysis and Apoptosis Assay. Approximately 1 × 10⁶ cells were seeded into 60 mm² culture dishes. After adherence, the cells were treated with the range of TGF-β1 concentrations, as described in Section 2.2, for 48 h. For cell cycle analysis, cells were collected and fixed by dropwise addition of 70% ethanol, followed by incubation with 500 μL PI/RNase staining buffer (BD Pharmingen, USA) for 15 min. Apoptotic cells were quantified using the FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit I (BD Pharmingen), as recommended by the manufacturer. All samples were assessed using flow cytometry (Beckman Coulter-XL, USA) and ModFit 3.0.

2.4. ALP Staining and ALP Activity. DPSCs were cultured in OM with or without TGF-β1 supplementation (range 0.1-5 ng/mL) for 7 days, or incubated in OM with or without 1 ng/mL TGF-β1 for 3, 5, 7, and 14 days. For ALP staining, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and an ALP staining kit (Beyotime, China) was used, following the manufacturer’s instructions. ALP activity was analyzed using an ALP activity colorimetric assay kit (Beyotime) and...
determined by monitoring the absorbance at 405 nm using p-nitrophenyl phosphate as the substrate.

2.5. Alizarin Red S (ARS) Staining and Quantification. ARS staining was performed after 14 days of incubation in OM with the TGF-β1 concentrations ranging from 0.1-5 ng/mL, or at day 5, 7, 14, and 21 after treatment with 1 ng/mL. TGF-β1, no supplementation with TGF-β1 served as the negative control group. After fixing with 4% paraformaldehyde, samples were stained with 2% Alizarin Red S solution (pH 4.2; Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 min at room temperature. To determine the degree of mineralization, the cells and matrix were destained using 10% cetylpyridinium chloride for 30 min, and the absorbance of the solution was measured at 562 nm using a microplate reader (Power Wave 340).

2.6. Western Blot Analysis. Total protein was extracted using RIPA lystate with Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche, Germany), and protein concentrations were measured using a bicinchoninic acid protein assay (Beyotime). Proteins (20 μg) were separated using sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Bio-Rad, USA) and transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (EMD Millipore, USA). After blocking in QuickBlock Blocking Buffer (Beyotime), the membrane was cut according to the molecular weight of the prestained marker protein and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies. Immune complexes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG antibodies at a concentration of 1:600 (Yeasen, China). Enhanced chemiluminescence reagents (EMD Millipore) were added to visualize protein bands, and images were captured using a ChemiDoc MP system (Bio-Rad, USA). ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) was used to calculate the intensity (gray value) of each protein band to enable comparison. Primary antibodies were purchased from the following commercial sources: collagen type I alpha 1 (COL1A1), bone sialoprotein (BSP) (1:1000; CST, USA), DSPP, osteocalcin (OCN) (1; 200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA), DMP-1 (1:1000; Novus, USA), and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (1:10000; ProteinTech, USA).

2.7. Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR) Assay. Total RNA was isolated from DPSCs using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) and reverse-transcribed to complementary DNA (cDNA) using a Reverse Transcription Reagent Kit (Applied Biological Materials, Canada) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qRT-PCR was performed using the CFX96 System (Bio-Rad, Berkeley, USA), and the BlasTaq 2X qPCR MasterMix (Applied Biological Materials) was used for qRT-PCR analysis. Data were normalized to GAPDH expression in each sample. Relative gene expression levels were calculated using ΔΔCt values. Primer sequences of target genes are detailed in Table 1.

2.8. In Vivo Odontogenic Assay. VitroGel 3D solution (Thermofish Science, USA) was used as a scaffold, as previously described [21]. All protocols were approved by the institutional review board. Briefly, cells (1 × 10^7) were suspended in growth medium (GM) (α-MEM, 10%FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin), OM, or OM with TGF-β1 (final concentration of 1 ng/mL). The diluted hydrogel solution was gently mixed with cell suspensions, and the mixture was cultured in a 6-well plate. After five days, the mixtures were injected into subcutaneous pockets in the dorsal region of 6-week-old NOD/SCID mice (Air Force Medical University, China). After eight weeks, the mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation under general anesthesia. Specimens were stained with Masson’s trichrome staining (Servicebio, China), von Kossa staining (Servicebio), and immunofluorescence staining (Beyotime), according to the manufacturer’s instructions and using previously reported protocols [21]. Human nuclear antigen antibodies were purchased from Abcam (1:100). Images were viewed and captured under an inverted microscope (Nikon, Japan) and using a confocal laser scanning microscope (A1 plus, Nikon, Japan).

2.9. Statistical Analyses. All experiments were performed at least in triplicate. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Comparisons between groups were performed using one-way or two-way ANOVA with GraphPad 8 (GraphPad Software, Inc., USA), and asterisks indicate significant differences (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001) compared with the control group.

### Table 1: Primers sequence for real-time qRT-PCR.

| Gene   | Forward         | Reverse           |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------|
| BSP    | CACTGGAGCCATGCGAAAGA | TGGTGGGTTTGAGTTTCAAA |
| COL1A1 | GAGGGCCAGAGGAAAGCCTC | GAGGGCCAGGAAGGACACAC |
| DSPP   | GAGGTAACCCAGGACT | GAGGTAACCCAGGACT |
| DMP-1  | ACTGTTGGATGCGACCCAGAACA | AGCTGCAAAGTTTACATGCAGATCC |
| OCN    | GCCAAGCGGTTGGGGAAGC | GTCAGCCAATCTGTCACAGTCC |
| GAPDH  | GCACCGTCAAGGGCTGAAC | TGGTGAAGACGCCAGTGG |

3. Results

3.1. DPSC Identification. Clone-like growth of primary cells emerged after 5 days culture (Figure 1(a)). The cells obtained from clones appeared spindle-shaped (Figure 1(b)), forming mineralized nodules (Figure 1(c)), lipid droplets (Figure 1(d)) or chondrocytes (Figure 1(e)) under osteogenic, adipogenic, or chondrogenic medium induction. In
Figure 1: Characterization of DPSCs. (a) Primary DPSCs cultures on day 5 (40×). (b) DPSCs at passage 3 exhibit a spindle-shaped morphology (40×). (c) Mineralized nodules in DPSCs cultures stained with Alizarin Red S (50×). (d) Oil Red O staining for lipid droplets in DPSCs cultures (200×). (e) Alcian blue staining indicates synthesis of proteoglycans by chondrocytes (100×). (f) Molecular surface marker expression in DPSCs by flow cytometry. DPSCs: dental pulp stem cells. Scale bars are shown.
Figure 2: Continued.
addition, DPSCs exhibited high expression of mesenchymal surface markers (CD29, CD90, CD105, and CD146), while expression of hematopoietic markers (CD34 and CD45) was relatively low (Figure 1(f)).

3.2. TGF-β1-Mediated Inhibition of Cell Proliferation and Cell Cycle Arrest. Based on findings of the CCK-8 assay, 5 ng/mL TGF-β1 inhibited DPSC proliferation after 3 days of exposure, while treatment at the higher concentrations gradually inhibited cell proliferation at later stages (Figure 2(a)). After 48 h of stimulation, TGF-β1 exposure significantly increased the number of cells in the G1 phase and decreased those in the S phase in the experimental group dose-dependently (Figure 2(b)). No statistical differences in the percentage of early- and late-stage apoptotic cells were observed between exposure groups (Figure 2(c)).

3.3. TGF-β1 Promoted Early-Stage Differentiation but Inhibited the Mineralization Stage of DPSC Differentiation. ALP staining was more intense when DPSCs were cultured with TGF-β1, and this effect was dose-dependent at day 7. Increased ALP activities were consistent with elevated ALP staining levels in the cells with increasing concentrations of TGF-β1 (Figure 3(a)). In contrast, as shown in Figure 3(b), calcium deposition, as determined by ARS, was notably decreased in a dose-dependent manner. In addition, we found that continuous exposure to 1 ng/mL TGF-β1 resulted in the DPSCs exhibiting a gradual and time-dependent increase in ALP up to 14 days (matrix secretion and maturation stage) (Figure 3(c)). There were no differences between the two groups in ARS staining at days 5 and 7, but the intensity of ARS staining in groups treated with TGF-β1 was significantly decreased at days 14 and 21 (matrix mineralization stage) (Figure 3(d)).

Furthermore, on day 7, qRT-PCR analysis showed that the range of concentrations TGF-β1 (0.1-5 ng/mL) stimulated upregulation of COL1A1 and DMP-1 mRNA expression as compared with untreated control. The levels of BSP mRNA were elevated in all treatment groups except the 0.1 ng/mL TGF-β1 exposure. The levels of DSPP mRNA were reduced in groups stimulated with the relatively high concentrations of TGF-β1 (1 and 5 ng/mL). No significant difference in OCN mRNA expression was observed between the exposure groups (Figure 4(a)). The protein expression levels of COL1A1, DMP-1, DSPP, and OCN showed a similar profile to that observed for the gene expression. BSP levels were increased in 1 and 5 ng/mL groups (Figure 4(c)). After incubation with TGF-β1 for 14 days, the variation of COL1A1, DMP-1, and BSP mRNA expressions was decreased between the groups, with a statistical
Figure 3: Effect of TGF-β1 on odontogenic differentiation of DPSCs in vitro (a) Images of ALP staining and ALP activity of DPSCs following range of concentrations of TGF-β1 (0-5 ng/mL) exposure for 7 days (50×). (b) Images and quantitative measurement of calcium mineral deposition stained by ARS staining in DPSCs cultured with the TGF-β1 concentrations for 14 days (50×). (c) Images of ALP staining and ALP activity of cells incubated with 1 ng/mL TGF-β1 at a range of time-points (days 3, 5, 10, 14) (50×). (d) Images and quantitative measurement of calcium mineral deposition stained by ARS staining in DPSCs following 1 ng/mL TGF-β1 exposure at different time-points (days 5, 7, 14, 21) (50×). Error bars = means ± standard deviation (SD), n = 3, statistically significant difference at the levels *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.001 (ANOVA) compared with the control group. ALP: alkaline phosphatase; ARS: Alizarin Red S; DPSCs: dental pulp stem cells; TGF-β1: transforming growth factor-β1.
Figure 4: Continued.
difference only detected in the higher concentration groups. The levels of DSPP and OCN mRNA decreased in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 4(b)). The changes in protein expression in each group were not entirely the same observed for the mRNA expressions, however they appeared to follow a similar trend. Changes in COL1A1 and BSP expression were not statistically significant between groups, and DMP-1 expression levels were only marginally increased in the 1 ng/mL exposure group. DSPP expression decreased significantly following TGF-β1 stimulation in a dose-dependent manner, and the protein level of OCN decreased in groups stimulated with high TGF-β1 concentrations. (Figure 4(d)).

3.4. TGF-β1 Exposure Promoted Collagen Formation but Not Mineralization In Vivo. Results from von Kossa staining demonstrated the presence of calcified mineral deposits as represented by dark nodules, and these were clearly observed in OM group but not in the other exposure groups (Figure 5(a)). Collagen deposition was abundant in the TGF-β1 group, as indicated by Masson’s trichrome staining. Most areas were stained light blue in the OM group, and minimal collagen deposition was detected in the GM group (Figure 5(b)). The total area of dark blue staining was analyzed using ImageJ (Figure 5(c)). Indirect immunofluorescence tests revealed that cells were positive for antihuman nuclear antigen (Figure 5(d)), which excluded cells originating from mice.

4. Discussion

Previous studies have demonstrated that TGF-β1 plays a role in regulating dentin-pulp tissue repair [22]. The biological effects of TGF-β1 on cells are contextual [23], depending on the culture medium, pretreatment procedures, incubation conditions, and more importantly, cell type and differentiation stage [24, 25]. Furthermore, studies have shown that TGF-β1 exerts different functionality during odontogenic differentiation [15, 19, 26]. Our study is now the first report to describe the dual role of TGF-β1 in DPSC differentiation, and now shows that TGF-β1 (at 85 ng/mL) facilitated early phases and modulated later phases of differentiation.

During tissue regeneration and repair, DPSCs differentiate into odontoblast-like cells to form dentin-like tissues. This odontoblast-like cell differentiation process is similar to that observed for osteoblast-mediated bone formation, which can be divided into three developmental stages of proliferation, early differentiation (matrix secretion and maturation), and late-stage differentiation (matrix mineralization) [27, 28]. Examining the different stages of differentiation can be routinely performed using histological staining techniques. Indeed, ALP levels are well characterized—indicator of early stages of differentiation, whereas later-stage mineralized nodule formation can be evaluated using ARS staining [29]. Previous studies assessing the effect of TGF-β1 on odontogenic differentiation have shown that ALP activity was suppressed [18], and ALP and ARS analyses have demonstrated similar variations in cultured cells [16, 30]. These findings are in contrast with our data. In the present study, we detected increased ALP levels and decreased mineralization in groups treated with increasing TGF-β1 doses of up to 5 ng/mL. Consequently, we used hydrogels to continuously deliver GFs in scaffolds in animal studies [21, 31]. Compared with the OM group, the TGF-β1 treatment group formed abundant collagen, however no mineralized tissue was detected; these findings are consistent with the results observed in vitro. Collectively, we propose that TGF-β1 may stimulate matrix secretion and maturation, however sustained exposure impairs the mineralization processes in DPSCs. Notably, this is in agreement with similar studies.
performed in osteoblasts [32, 33], which have reported the dual and stage-dependent role of TGFβ1 in the differentiation process and reported on the underlying mechanisms. Therefore, similar studies should be conducted to better understand the regulatory mechanisms exerted by TGF-β1 on DPSC differentiation and mineralization processes.

It is well established that cell proliferation and differentiation are closely associated [34]. Prior to secreting the pre-dentin matrix, odontoblasts and odontoblast-like cells are withdrawn from the cell cycle [35]. Our results revealed that TGF-β1 inhibited cell proliferation by blocking the cell cycle in the G0/G1 phase, without inducing apoptosis in the early differentiation stage [36]. These results indicate that TGF-β1 promotes the initial differentiation of DPSCs through cell cycle arrest.

Various collagen and noncollagen proteins are systematically expressed during DPSC differentiation and exhibit distinct functions [37]. Therefore, we speculated that the biphasic effect of TGF-β1 was achieved by regulating mineral-associated protein expression, and this was verified by qRT-PCR and Western blot analysis. The dentin matrix is primarily composed of type I collagen, and COL1A1 expression is related to cell secretory activity [38]. BSP is one of the major proteins in mineralized tissue and acts as
a nucletor of the initial apatite crystals [28, 39], and previous researches have described the effect of BSP on reparative dentinogenesis [40, 41]. Past studies have shown that the expression of DMP-1 increased at the early phase of odontoblast differentiation and declined when the odontoblasts reached maturity in vitro and in vivo [27, 42]. Therefore, these three proteins are considered early indicators of osteoblastic and odontogenic differentiation. According to qRT-PCR and Western blot assay, relatively high levels of these proteins were detected on day 7 of culture in the experimental exposure groups indicating that TGF-β1 induced matrix secretion and maturation. DSPP is a major noncollagenous protein found in dentin and can potently bind Ca²⁺ ions and induce mineral formation [43]. qRT-PCR and Western blot analysis showed a significant decrease in DSPP in TGF-β1-treated cells, suggesting that TGF-β1 could suppress dentin matrix mineralization. Decreased OCN expression on day 14 after TGF-β1 exposure also indicated that TGF-β1 impaired dentin matrix mineralization, as OCN is known to be an important marker of osteogenic differentiation and regulates calcium metabolism [28, 38]. These results support our conclusion that TGF-β1 promotes early differentiation and impairs later phase processes.

As discussed above, TGF-β1 regulates cellular events by activating specific signaling pathways. Unfortunately, the present study did not explore the mechanisms underlying the TGF-β1-mediated effects on odontogenic differentiation of DPSCs. Notably, few studies exploring this signaling have been reported. Previous findings have, however, suggested that activation of Smad and non-Smad signaling, such as PI3K/Akt, p38, MEK1/ERK, and Wnt/β-catenin signaling, participates in processing odontogenic differentiation regulated by TGF-β1 [19, 25, 32, 44, 45]. It therefore would appear apparent that the effects of TGF-β1 depend on the regulation of multiple signaling pathways [46]. The contrasting results of previous studies may be related to the stage-specific effect of TGF-β1 signaling on odontoblastic development. To mitigate the inhibitory effect on mineralization, it will be necessary to identify signaling pathways involved in late-stage differentiation.

Based on the findings of the dual role of TGF-β1 in this study, this molecule has the potential to be delivered and released both spatially and temporally during treatment for therapeutic benefit. For example, core/shell microspheres containing TGF-β1 could be applied during the early stage of dentin-pulp regeneration, and an additional growth factor could be subsequently released at a later stage [5]. In addition, it is important to understand the duration of action of the endogenous TGF-β1 released from the dentin matrix and pulp under different environmental conditions. This knowledge could be used for the modulation of the negative effects of this molecule on matrix mineralization by appropriate application of antagonists.

5. Conclusion

Our results demonstrate that TGF-β1 exerts an inhibitory effect on DPSC proliferation and causes cell cycle arrest. TGF-β1 also stimulated matrix formation and maturation while inhibiting matrix mineralization. These results suggest that the dual functions of TGF-β1 should be considered while utilizing TGF-β1 in REPs and VPTs. A thorough understanding of the stage- and dose-depend effects of TGF-β1 on DPSCs and associated underlying mechanisms could be harnessed to provide more targeted clinical interventions.
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