Infarct Pattern in Patients with Varying Degrees of Internal Carotid Artery Stenosis
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Abstract:

Background: Internal carotid artery (ICA) is one of the commonest site stenosis in patients with ischemic stroke. There is difference in the distribution of stenosis among different sites of cerebral infarct. Volume and severity of cerebral infarct may also depend on the degree of stenosis. To plan efficient evaluation and treatment of individual patient of ischemic stroke, the responsible clinician must be familiar with the relative probability of finding occlusive lesions at various sites within the vascular tree. Objective: The objective of this study was to evaluate the angiographic pattern of ICA stenosis among different types of cerebral infarct. Materials and Methods: We evaluated 53 ischemic stroke patients from indoor, outdoor, stroke and neuro intervention clinic, BSMMU. CT scan and/ or MRI of brain were done to each patient to confirm the diagnosis. After vascular imaging, the degree of stenosis was measured by the NASCET formula. Results: Cervical segment of ICA was most commonly [n=45(84.9%)] encountered site of stenosis and total occlusion of ICA was always observed in cervical segment. Among patients with moderate stenosis (n=15) of ICA, 6(40.0%) presented with subcortical infarction, 4(26.7%) presented with lacunar infarction and 5(33.0%) presented with territorial infarction. In case of severe stenosis (n=23), territorial, lacunar and watershed infarct were 9(39.1%), 8(34.8%) and 2(8.7%) respectively. Whereas total occlusion (n=15) of ICA presented as either territorial infarction [n=11(73.3%)] or watershed infarction [n= 4(26.7%)]. These differences between severity of stenosis and subtype of infarct were also significant (p-value = 0.003). A total of 25 patients presented with territorial infarction, mostly MCA territory [n=23(92%)]. Conclusion: With increasing severity of stenosis, the infarct burden rises. ICA stenosis of e70% mainly presented as territorial infarction whereas, watershed infarct was an indicator of severe stenosis.
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Introduction:
There are over 13.7 million new strokes each year and one in every four people worldwide over the age of 25 will experience a stroke in their lifetime1. Worldwide, 70% of strokes and 87% of both stroke-related deaths and disability-adjusted life years occur in the developing areas. On average, stroke occurs 15 years earlier in these areas affecting individuals at the peak of their productive life2. According to the latest WHO data from 2020, stroke deaths in Bangladesh reached 118,918 or 15.31% of total deaths. The age adjusted death rate is 110.89 per 100,000 of population, which ranks Bangladesh 41 in the world3. Atherosclerosis affecting extracranial and intracranial blood vessels is an important and well recognized cause of cerebral ischemia. Carotid atherosclerosis is recognized as the most common vascular lesion in stroke patients4. Stroke risk is ten times higher in patients with more than 80%
stenosis of carotid arteries than patients with less than 40% carotid stenosis\textsuperscript{5}. Atherosclerosis of major cerebral arteries leads to changes ranging from minor wall thickening to near total occlusion, and may occur in isolation or with systemic atherosclerosis\textsuperscript{5}. In situ thrombotic occlusion, artery-to-artery embolism, hypoperfusion, branch atheromatous disease or the combination of these mechanisms lead to cerebral ischemia in patients with atherosclerotic stenosis\textsuperscript{7}.

Embolism from proximal artery may cause acute obstruction of distal cerebral arteries producing territorial infarction or lacunar infarction, whereas hemodynamically significant stenosis or obstruction of intracranial arteries may cause ischemia in the distal regions of hemisphere causing the so-called border zone infarction\textsuperscript{8}. Collateral flow through circle of Willis and collaterals from pial arterioles connecting two major cerebral arteries affect significantly the outcome of acute arterial obstruction by providing alternate routes for blood flow in the setting of acute ischemic stroke\textsuperscript{4}.

**Methods**

**Patient selection**

This cross-sectional observational study was carried out with an aim to find out the infarct pattern of ICA stenosis among different subtypes of ischemic stroke patients purposively selected from Inpatient, Outpatient and Stroke & Neuro-Intervention clinic of BSMMU. Only the patients with first ever ischemic stroke having significant symptomatic stenosis (\(\geq 50\%\) stenosis) on angiography, presenting within 14 days of symptom onset were included in this study. Patients with previous stroke, concomitant arterial embolism of limbs or other parts of body, or presumed cardioembolic sources (rheumatic heart disease, atrial fibrillation, prosthetic heart valves, recent myocardial infarction, cardiomyopathy) were excluded.

**Clinical evaluation**

Total 155 patients with ischemic stroke were preliminarily selected, among them 12 had previous stroke, 17 had cardioembolic factors present and were excluded. 25 patients had normal angiographic findings and 40 patients having stenosis in vessel other than ICA were excluded from the study. 8 patients had insufficient data and were excluded. So total 53 patients with ischemic stroke who had significant stenosis of ICA were selected as cases.

After ethical clearance from Institutional Review Board (IRB), informed written consent was taken from each patient or his/her attendant. Proper history was taken, physical and neurological examination, keeping in mind of the demographic and clinical variables, were done. All relevant investigations were completed including CT Scan of brain or MRI of brain with DWI sequence.

**Angiographic Evaluation**

Cerebral angiogram was performed within 30 days of presentation. Angiography was performed by any of the modalities like MRA, CTA or DSA, the one being more feasible for the patient, as advised by the attending consultant. In total 48 of our patients underwent DSA and 33 patients underwent TOF MRA. In angiogram, the degree of stenosis was measured according to the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET)\textsuperscript{9}.

Percentage of Stenosis = \([\frac{(D_n - D_s)}{D_n}] \times 100\),

where \(D_n\) is normal diameter and
\(D_s\) is stenosed diameter.

Then, we classified the patients into 3 groups according to the following grading scale: moderate (50\%–69\%), severe (70–99\%), or total occlusion (no flow detected)\textsuperscript{10}. A stenotic lesion which was at or above the petrous part of ICA was considered as intracranial stenosis whereas, stenotic lesion proximal to the petrous part of ICA was considered as extracranial stenosis\textsuperscript{11}.

**Topography of infarct**

Topography of infarct was determined by commonly accepted arterial territories and watershed areas\textsuperscript{12}. Each infarct was subdivided into one of four subtypes: territorial, subcortical, lacunar or watershed zone infarct. Territorial infarction was considered when a large ischemic lesion involving the cerebral cortex and subcortical structures in 1 or more major cerebral artery territories was encountered\textsuperscript{6}. It was subdivided into ACA, MCA or PCA territory infarct according to accepted cortical supply\textsuperscript{12}. Subcortical infarction was considered when (a) the infarcts are restricted to the basal ganglia and/or white matter and the overlying cerebral cortex appears normal; and (b) the maximum diameter of the lesion was more than 20mm\textsuperscript{13}. Small infarcts, occurring in the white matter, deep grey matter nuclei, and brainstem;
and of less than 15mm in diameter was considered as lacunar infarct. Watershed infarction were lesions in one of the hemodynamic risk zones between major cerebrovascular territories: the superficial border zones wedged between the ACA and MCA or between the MCA and PCA, and the deep border zone located in the vascular territory between deep and superficial arterial systems.

**Statistical Analysis**
All the data were rechecked after collection. Continuous variables were expressed as Mean ± SD. Categorical variables were presented by frequency, percentage and graph. Qualitative data were analyzed by chi-square test. P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) windows version 26.0 software program.

**Results and observations:**
In this study, mean (±SD) age was 58.83±9.85 years with range from 35-77 years. 35 were male and 18 were female with male female ratio of 1.94:1 (Table I). Most frequently observed age group was 60-69 year having 22(41.5%) patients. In total 15 patients had moderate stenosis, 23 patients had severe stenosis and 15 patients had total occlusion of ICA (Figure 2). Cervical segment of ICA was most commonly [n=45(84.9%)] encountered site of stenosis and no stenosis was found in petrous segment (Figure 3). Total occlusion of ICA was always observed in cervical segment. Among 45 patients

**Fig.-I:** Definition of infarct pattern. A: MRI-DWI sequence showing territorial infarct involving right MCA territory. B: MRI-DWI sequence showing subcortical infarct involving left side. C: MRI-DWI sequence showing lacunar infarct involving left internal capsule. D: CT scan showing watershed infarct between right MCA and PCA territory.
patients with stenosis of cervical segment of ICA, 24(53.3%) had territorial infarct, 8(17.8%) had lacunar infarct, 7(15.6%) had subcortical infarct and 6(13.3%) had watershed infarct. Four patients with stenosis in cavernous part had subcortical [n=2(50.0%)] and lacunar [n=2(50.0%)] infarct. Four patients with stenosis in supraclinoid part had lacunar [n=3(75.0%)] and territorial [n=1(25.5%)] infarct. This observation was statistically significant (p-value = 0.041) (Table II).

Among 15 patients with moderate stenosis of ICA, 6(40.0%) presented with subcortical infarction, 4(26.7%) presented with lacunar infarction and 5(33.0%) presented with territorial infarction. In case of severe stenosis, territorial, lacunar and watershed infarct were 9(39.1%), 8(34.8%) and 2(8.7%) respectively. Whereas total occlusion of ICA presented as either territorial infarction [n=11(73.3%)] or watershed infarction [n=4(26.7%)]. These differences between severity of stenosis and subtype of infarct were also significant (p-value = 0.003) (Table III). A total of 25 patients presented with territorial infarction, mostly MCA territory [n=23(92%)]. ACA and PCA territory were observed in 1(4.0%) each (Table IV).

### Table-I

| Age of Patient | Sex type of Patient | Total | P-value |
|----------------|---------------------|-------|---------|
|                | Male | Female |         |         |
| <40 years      | 2    | 1      | 3       | 0.979ns |
| 40-49 years    | 4    | 2      | 6       |         |
| 50-59 years    | 10   | 5      | 15      |         |
| 60-69 years    | 15   | 7      | 22      |         |
| ≥70 years      | 4    | 3      | 7       |         |
| Total          | 35   | 18     | 53      |         |
| Mean±SD        | 58.4±9.28 | 59.7±10.89 | 58.8±9.85 |         |
| Range(Min – Max) years | 35-77 |         |         |         |

ns: significant (P value > 0.05), figures in the parentheses indicate corresponding percentage; Chi-squared (c²)Test was done to analyze the data.

![Fig.-2: Bar diagram showing distribution of age of the study population according to severity of stenosis (n=53).](image-url)
Fig. 3: Bar diagram showing distribution of different segments of ICA according to severity of stenosis (n=53).

Table II

| Segment of ICA | Subtype of Infarct | Total | p-value |
|----------------|--------------------|-------|---------|
|                | Territorial (n=25) |       |         |
|                | Subcortical (n=9)  |       |         |
|                | Lacunar (n=13)     |       |         |
|                | Watershed (n=6)    |       |         |
|                |                     |       |         |
| Cervical       | No. (%)            |       |         |
|                | 24(53.3%)          |       | 45(100%)|
|                | (15.6%)            |       | 6(13.3%)|
|                | (17.8%)            |       | 15(100%)|
|                | (13.3%)            |       | 9(17.0%)|
|                | (17.0%)            |       | 10(18.9%)|
|                | (24.5%)            |       | 12(22.6%)|
|                | (13.3%)            |       | 6(11.3%)|
|                | (100%)             |       | 53(100%)|

| Cavernous      | No. (%)            |       |         |
|                | 0(0.0%)            |       | 4(100%) |
|                | (50.0%)            |       | 2(50.0%)|
|                | (50.0%)            |       | 12(22.6%)|
|                | (100%)             |       | 6(11.3%)|

| Supraclinoid   | No. (%)            |       |         |
|                | 1(25.0%)           |       | 4(100%) |
|                | 0(0.0%)            |       | 2(4.7%) |
|                | 2(50.0%)           |       | 8(15.0%)|
|                | 0(0.0%)            |       | 2(4.7%) |
|                | 3(75.0%)           |       | 12(23%) |
|                | 0(0.0%)            |       | 2(4.7%) |
|                | 4(100%)            |       | 15(28.3%)|

Table III

| Severity of Stenosis | Subtype of Infarct | Total | p-value |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------|---------|
|                      | Territorial (n=25) |       |         |
|                      | Subcortical (n=9)  |       |         |
|                      | Lacunar (n=12)     |       |         |
|                      | Watershed (n=6)    |       |         |
|                      |                     |       |         |
| Moderate             | No. (%)            |       |         |
|                      | 5(33.3%)           |       | 15(100%)|
|                      | (40.0%)            |       | 6(40.0%)|
|                      | (26.7%)            |       | 4(26.7%)|
|                      | (0.0%)             |       | 0(0.0%) |
|                      | (100%)             |       | 10(66.7%)|
|                      | (18.9%)            |       | 12(75%) |
|                      | (22.6%)            |       | 6(37.5%)|
|                      | (13.3%)            |       | 5(30.6%)|

| Severe               | No. (%)            |       |         |
|                      | 9(39.1%)           |       | 23(100%)|
|                      | (17.4%)            |       | 8(34.8%)|
|                      | (8.7%)             |       | 2(8.7%) |
|                      | (100%)             |       | 11(61.1%)|
|                      | (18.9%)            |       | 12(75%) |
|                      | (11.3%)            |       | 6(37.5%)|

Total occlusion

| Total                | No. (%)            |       |         |
|                      |                    |       |         |
|                      | 11(73.3%)          |       | 15(100%)|
|                      | 0(0.0%)            |       | 0(0.0%) |
|                      | 0(0.0%)            |       | 0(0.0%) |
|                      | 4(26.7%)           |       | 5(33.3%)|

* significant (P value ≤ 0.05), figures in the parentheses indicate corresponding percentage; Chi-squared ($\chi^2$) Test was done to analyze the data.
Discussion:
Total 53 ischemic stroke patients with significant symptomatic stenosis of ICA were studied. Analysis of age distribution showed that mean age was 58.83±9.85 years with range from 35-77 years. 60-69 year group was seen most commonly encountered (41.5%). There was no significant association found between age of the study population and location of stenosis. An epidemiological survey of stroke in Bangladesh revealed that highest prevalence of stroke was among 65-79 years of age. Another DSA based study from BSMMU revealed mean age was 61.55 ± 8.85 years with 60-69 year group being most commonly (42.9%) encountered. Another DSA based study among diabetic patients revealed mean age was 57.9 ± 9.2 years. A study in India found mean age 57.97 ± 10.75 years with most commonly affected patients were above 60 years of age and no association between stenosis and age. These results are almost similar to our study.

In our study, among 53 patients, 35 were male and 18 were female with male female ratio 1.51:1. There was no association found between sex of patient and location of stenosis. A study revealed that male female ratio was 1.94:1. In another DSA based study found male female ratio was 1.65:1. The reason behind this discrepancy in male female ratio may be explained by increased incidence of stroke in male and also negligence of female ischemic stroke patients.

Our study with 53 patients revealed, 15(28.3%) patients had moderate stenosis, 23(43.4%) patients had severe stenosis and 15(28.3%) patients had total occlusion of ICA. In a recent study at BSMMU, moderate, severe and total occlusion were observed in 26.2%, 40.5% and 33.3% respectively among 42 patients.

In our study among 53 ICA stenotic segments, 84.9% were in cervical segment, 7.5% were in cavernous segment and 7.5% were in supraclinoid segment. No patient had stenosis in petrous part. The total occlusion of ICA was always observed in cervical segment. Among 45 patients with stenosis of cervical segment of ICA, 17.8% had lacunar infarct, 15.6% had subcortical infarct and 13.3% had watershed infarct. Among 4 patients with stenosis in cavernous part 50.0% had subcortical and 50.0% had lacunar infarct. And among 4 patients with supraclinoid stenosis 75.0% had lacunar and 25.0% had territorial infarct. This observation was statistically significant.

A study of large vessel atherosclerotic cerebrovascular disease revealed among 277 patients with ICA disease, 91.7% had cervical segment involvement and 8.3% had intracranial involvement. Total occlusion was observed in 82 patients among them 95.1% had occlusion in cervical segment. Another study about infarct volume and pattern of ICA disease revealed that, among 47 patients 48.9% presented with watershed zone infarct, 14.9% with subcortical

Table-IV
Distribution of territory of infarction according severity of stenosis (n=25)

| Territory of Infarct | Severity of Stenosis | Total (n=25) | p-value |
|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------|
|                      | Moderate stenosis    | Severe stenosis | Total occlusion | n(6) | n(29) | n(15) | n(45) | n(100) |
| ACA                  | No. (%)              | No. (%)       | No. (%)       | No. (%) | No. (%) | No. (%) | No. (%) | No. (%) |
| 1(20.0%)             | 0(0.0%)              | 0(0.0%)       | 1(4.0%)       | 25(100.0%) |
| MCA                  | 0(0.0%)              | 9(100.0%)     | 10(90.9%)     | 23(92.0%) |
| PCA                  | 0(0.0%)              | 1(9.1%)       | 1(4.0%)       | 1(4.0%) |
| Total                | 5(100.0%)            | 9(100.0%)     | 11(100.0%)    | 25(100.0%) |

ns: significant (P value > 0.05), figures in the parentheses indicate corresponding percentage; Chi-squared (c²) Test was done to analyze the data.
infarct, 14.9% with lacunar infarct and 10.6% with large territorial infarct. A study comparing infarct pattern between MCA and ICA disease revealed that, among 63 patients with ICA disease 27% had lacunar infarct and 73% had territorial infarct. Another study of 31 patients with severe to total occlusion of ICA revealed 67.7% had territorial infarction and 32.3% had watershed zone infarct. These studies revealed almost same findings as ours.

We found significant difference between subtype of infarct and severity of stenosis (p-value = 0.003). Among 15 patients with moderate stenosis of ICA, 66.7% presented with subcortical or lacunar infarction and 33.0% presented with territorial infarction. In case of severe stenosis, territorial, lacunar and watershed infarct were 9(39.1%), 8(34.8%) and 2(8.7%) respectively. Whereas total occlusion of ICA presented as either territorial infarction (73.3%) or watershed infarction (26.7%). An MRI based study about acute ischemic stroke pattern revealed, among 19 patients with moderate stenosis 36.8% had lacunar infarct and 26.3% had subcortical infarct. Among 41 patients with severe stenosis, 51.2% had watershed infarct and 26.8% had territorial infarct and among 42 patients with total occlusion, 61.9% had territorial infarct and 21.4% had watershed zone infarct. Another study of 31 patients with ICA stenosis of 70% or more revealed 67.7% had territorial infarction and 32.3% had watershed zone infarct. In another large study among 413 ischemic stroke patients with ICA disease, 33.4% had lacunar infarct and 26.2% had watershed zone infarct. They also showed that 63% of watershed infarct was encountered in ICA stenosis of 70% or more, whereas 42% of such patients presented with lacunar infarct.

Among 53 patients with ICA stenosis, we found 25 patients with territorial infarction. 92.0% had infarction in MCA territory, 4.0% had infarction in PCA territory and 4.0% had infarction in ACA territory. A large study revealed that MCA was the most frequently involved territory (49.6%) and PCA was involved in (8.5%). Another hospital-based study of young patient with ischemic stroke revealed 21.86% had large artery stenosis among them 77.7% had MCA territory infarct. A DSA based study from India revealed, among 161 patients, 81.5% had MCA territory infarction, 4.35% had PCA and 1.2% had ACA territory infarction. Another study about the etiology of MCA territory infarct showed that, 40% ICA occlusion was found among MCA territory infarct.

**Conclusion:**
The present study revealed that, ischemic stroke patients had more cervical segment of ICA involvement than intracranial part of ICA. Territorial infarction was more commonly associated with severe stenosis and lacunar stroke was more commonly associated with moderate stenosis. Watershed infarction was an indicator of severe stenosis.
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