Current and future perspectives on functional molecular imaging in nephro-urology: theranostics on the horizon
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Abstract

In recent years, a paradigm shift from single-photon-emitting radionuclide radiotracers toward positron-emission tomography (PET) radiotracers has occurred in nuclear oncology. Although PET-based molecular imaging of the kidneys is still in its infancy, such a trend has emerged in the field of functional renal radionuclide imaging. Potentially allowing for precise and thorough evaluation of renal radiotracer urodynamics, PET radionuclide imaging has numerous advantages including precise anatomical co-registration with CT images and dynamic three-dimensional imaging capability. In addition, relative to scintigraphic approaches, PET can allow for significantly reduced scan time enabling high-throughput in a busy PET practice and further reduces radiation exposure, which may have a clinical impact in pediatric populations. In recent years, multiple renal PET radiotracers labeled with 11C, 68Ga, and 18F have been utilized in clinical studies. Beyond providing a precise non-invasive read-out of renal function, such radiotracers may also be used to assess renal inflammation. This manuscript will provide an overview of renal molecular PET imaging and will highlight the transformation of conventional scintigraphy of the kidneys toward novel, high-resolution PET imaging for assessing renal function. In addition, future applications will be introduced, e.g. by transferring the concept of molecular image-guided diagnostics and therapy (theranostics) to the field of nephrology.
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Introduction

Renal functional imaging with single-photon-emitting radiotracers has been used as a noninvasive diagnostic technique since the mid-1960s [1], and, during that time, three categories for renal radionuclide scintigraphy have been established (Figure 1). These are (1) radiotracers that are mainly filtered by the glomerulus to monitor glomerular filtration rate (GFR); (2) radiotracers that undergo tubular secretion for the measurement of effective renal plasma flow (ERPF); and (3), radiotracers that are taken up into the tubules so that morphological and/or tubular uptake function can be assessed (Figure 2, Table 1) [2].

http://www.thno.org
Figure 1. First renal scintigraphy with Chloromerodrin (193Hg), showing (A) rather less clear images shortly after transplantation, while in a long-term follow-up after 63 days (B), a “great improvement in the renal contours” was noted by Figueroa et al [1]. Red arrows indicate uptake in the bladder. In (C), a renal scan of patient 5 days after transplantation is displayed and the scan showed “negative contrast” with almost no uptake in the kidney. The patient died 8 days later. Adapted with permission from [1], copyright 1965 NEJM Massachusetts Medical Society.

Table 1. Characteristics of renal scintigraphy along with clinical indications.

| Categories                      | Filtered by the glomerulus | Secreted by the tubules | Tubular fixation |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Single photon radiotracers      | 99mTc-DTPA                  | 99mTc-MAG3              | 99mTc-DMSA       |
| Main indication                 | Measurement of GFR          | Measurement of ERPF     | Morphological assessment |
| Alternate in clinical practice   | Serum creatinine, Creatinine clearance, Insulin clearance | PAH Clearance          | Ultrasound, etc.   |
| Other clinical indication       | Obstructive uropathy, Renal transplant rejection, Renovascular hypertension | Pyelonephritis and parenchymal scarring |

DTPA: diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid, MAG3: mercaptoacetyltriglycine, DMSA: dimercaptosuccinic acid, GFR: glomerular filtration rate, ERPF: effective renal plasma flow, PAH: p-aminobenzoic acid.

Over the last decades, other imaging modalities for assessing renal function beyond renal radionuclide imaging have emerged and include, but are not limited to, ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Ultrasound provides a fast and easily obtainable read-out of kidney morphology, while computed tomography (CT)-angiography provides in-depth renal vascular information. Renal MRI (rMRI), however, provides information with superior soft-tissue contrast to characterize renal masses or parameters on renal flow [3, 4]. Due to the increased use of those imaging modalities, the use of renal scintigraphy has significantly decreased (approximately 25%) in the last decade [5, 6]. Given its unique feature to reliably assess spilt renal function, renal scintigraphy is still frequently requested by referring physicians, especially for pediatric populations or for evaluating living donors for kidney transplantation [7]. Table 2 provides an overview of advantages and disadvantages for different imaging modalities used for renal functional imaging.

Recent years have also witnessed a shift from single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) to higher-resolution positron emission tomography (PET), which is supported by the overwhelming success and increased availability of PET imaging for oncological applications [8]. Of note, various PET radiotracers have been evaluated to assess renal function, such as 68Ga-ethylene-diaminetetraacetic acid (68Ga-EDTA), 11C-para-aminobenzoic acid (11C-PABA) and 2-deoxy-2-[18F]fluoro-D-sorbitol (18F-FDS) [9-15]. In addition, the field of renal nuclear imaging has been further expanded by assessing urinary tract infections [16]. The resulting refinements relative to SPECT may find growing applications for such highly specific renal
PET-based imaging approaches. This review article will provide an overview of renal molecular PET imaging and will highlight the transformation of conventional scintigraphy of the kidneys toward novel, higher-resolution PET imaging for assessing renal function. In addition, future applications in clinical practice will be introduced, e.g. by applying non-invasive systemic read-outs for assessing kidney-organ crosstalk or by transferring the concept of molecular image-guided diagnostics and therapy (theranostics) from oncology to the field of nephrology.

Dynamic renal scintigraphy with single-photon-emitting radiotracers

The most important quantitative characteristics for renal function are GFR and ERPF, and the gold standard for measuring both biomarkers is the clearance of exogenous substances, such as inulin and para-aminohippurate. Given the expensive and time-consuming nature of these diagnostics tests, they are nowadays seldomly performed. Normally, the kidneys receive 20% of cardiac output, with renal plasma flow averaging 600mL/min. The kidneys clear waste products and other substances from the plasma, and their clearances are determined by both glomerular filtration and tubular secretion. GFR is defined as the volume of filtrate by both kidneys per minute and is normally approximately 125 mL/min, i.e. 20% of renal plasma flow delivered to the kidneys. A decline in GFR to <60 mL/min/1.73 m2 for ≥3 months is a common criterion for defining chronic kidney disease and such a loss in GFR has been well associated with a higher risk of all-cause mortality [17]. In current clinical practice, GFR is estimated using serum creatinine, but that approach lacks precision [18, 19]. 51Cr-labeled ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 125I-labeled iothalamate are radiolabeled exogenous substances that offer more precise measurements of GFR, but are rarely used in currently clinical practice because of high costs and inability to provide split renal function [9, 11, 20]. On the other hand, the true renal plasma flow cannot be calculated because no substance undergoes 100% first-pass extraction. The clearance of substances that are almost completely extracted include para-aminohippurate (PAH) or ortho-iodohippurate (OIH), which are 20% filtered by the glomerulus and 80% secreted in the tubules. As a result, effective renal plasma flow (ERPF) is used as a biomarker in clinical practice [21, 22]. There is no blood marker to estimate ERPF, and clearance of PAH or radiolabeled OIH was used to measure ERPF, but similar to inulin clearance in GFR, this approach is not well established in clinical practice [9].

| Imaging Modality | MRI | CT | Ultrasound | PET |
|------------------|-----|----|------------|-----|
| Advantages       | Allows for precise tissue differentiation [88] | Various clinical applications, including staging/restaging, obstruction (kidney stones, polycystic kidney disease) | Virtually available at every hospital [90] | Large variety of renal function can be assessed, e.g. GFR, ERPF, inflammation, RBF or renal PSMA expression |
|                   | Can examine various physiologic aspects of renal function (perfusion, glomerular filtration, interstitial diffusion, urine oxygenation, tubular transit) [88] | Localizing of abscesses | No need for intravenous iodine contrast adminis-tration [90] | 3-dimensional read-out capabilities |
|                   | MR renography can reliably diagnose renovascular hypertension, urinary obstruction or renal transplant complications [88] | Preoperative planning prior to partial nephrectomy [89] | Fast read-out of kidneys and adjacent organs, e.g. for renal cyst evaluation | Assessment of split-renal function [89] |
|                   | Assessment of split-renal function [89] | Can compensate motion (kidneys are prone to motion artefacts given their anatomical localization under the diaphragm) [89] | For renal cyst evaluation | Can be used in a theranostic setting, e.g. for RCC or to assess organ-organ interactions |
| Disadvantages     | Confined space and loud noises leading to patient discomfort | Life-threatening contrast-induced nephropathy with CT contrast agents [91] | Spatial resolution is limited with single 2D slices [89] | PET radionuclides emit all the same energy photons at 511keV and therefore, it is not feasible to use multiple PET tracers at the same time [89] |
|                   | Certain devices, such as pacemakers, are harmful | Anaphylactoid reactions | Does not provide detailed functional information | No larger clinical trials to date |
|                   | Risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis with MRI contrast agents [91] | CT contrast media may lead to drug-drug interactions, e.g. increasing the retention of metformin leading to lactic acidosis [91] | Radiation exposure (up to 8 mSv) [89] | No FDA approval to date for PET radiotracers evaluating renal function |
|                   | Spatial resolution is limited as only multiple 2D slices are provided [89] | Radiation exposure (up to 8 mSv) [89] | PET radionuclides emit all the same energy photons at 511keV and therefore, it is not feasible to use multiple PET tracers at the same time [89] | |

MRI: magnetic resonance imaging, CT: computed tomography, PET: positron emission tomography, GFR: glomerular filtration rate, ERPF: effective renal plasma flow, PSMA: prostate-specific membrane antigens, FDA: Food and Drug Administration.
Instead of blood clearance of exogenous substances, dynamic renal scintigraphy with $^{99m}$Tc-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid ($^{99m}$Tc-DTPA; GFR radiotracer) and $^{99m}$Tc-mercaptoacetyltriglycine ($^{99m}$Tc-MAG3; ERPF radiotracer) is routinely performed to provide comprehensive information about the kidney. Due to its high accumulation and low background accumulation, $^{99m}$Tc-MAG3 allows for high contrast renal images [2, 18]. $^{99m}$Tc-DTPA can provide an assessment of GFR because of its nature of radiotracer filtration at the glomerulus and minimal tubular uptake [2]. The advantages of such renal scintigraphy include measurement of renal function along with comprehensive assessment of the entire urinary excretion system, including both kidneys and ureters. Furthermore, these techniques can be used in patients with poor renal function who are not eligible for CT-based contrast enhanced imaging. As a major drawback, radiation exposure is lower relative to CT, rendering these techniques as attractive kidney functional read-outs in pediatric populations, in particular for repeated renal studies [23].

As a major drawback, renal scintigraphy has only limited spatial resolution of > 1 cm when compared with other imaging modalities. Assessment is mainly based on planar dynamic images. Compton scatter and soft-tissue attenuation can further reduce the image resolution as well as quantification reliability [9, 11]. The combination of SPECT and CT (SPECT/CT) can be used for soft tissue attenuation correction using CT attenuation map [9, 11], although this adds significantly to the radiation exposure and lacks the temporal resolution of planar imaging. The recently introduced high-end cadmium-zinc-telluride (CZT) cameras have even better energy resolution which may further reduce scatter artifacts [24].

Comparison of PET and conventional renal nuclear imaging

In contrast to scintigraphy with single-photon-emitting radiotracers, PET provides multiple advantages including high temporal resolution and sensitivity allowing dynamic three-dimensional acquisition, superior spatiotemporal resolution, absolute quantification with radiotracer kinetics modeling and three-dimensional co-registration with CT images. PET imaging for assessment of the urinary tract using standard scanners with an axial field of view of 20 cm cannot cover the entire urinary system in a single field of view for a continuous three-dimensional dynamic scan [9, 25]. Recently introduced large axial view PET scanners such as the total-body PET Explorer, however, can image the entire body with one bed position (axial field of view 194 cm) and thus, such novel scanners may allow for imaging the entire urinary tract using dynamic acquisition [26].

**Positron emitting radionuclides**

Various positron-emitting radionuclides have been used clinically and their characteristics are defined by different half-lives of the isotope, positron range, and production source (Table 3). $^{18}$F and $^{11}$C, recognized as common standard PET isotopes, are synthesized by a cyclotron, whereas $^{68}$Ga is typically produced by a generator. Longer half-life of $^{18}$F (110 min) is a major advantage as it allows radiotracer delivery from central cyclotron facilities and flexibility in study design in clinical practice (e.g. delayed imaging protocols). Because of its shorter half-life (20 min), $^{11}$C-labeled radiotracers are difficult to use in clinical routine [27]. On the other hand, $^{68}$Ga has a relatively longer half-life (68 min) but it requires an in-house $^{68}$Ge/$^{68}$Ga generator [28]. Since $^{68}$Ga-labeled radiotracers for somatostatin-receptor (SSTR) and prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) imaging for neuroendocrine tumors and prostate cancer are frequently used in the clinic, the availability of such generators has improved [29]. $^{68}$Ga, however, has a relatively high positron energy with long positron range when compared to $^{18}$F which results in reduced spatial resolution [28, 29]. Moreover, in nuclear oncology, there is a shift from

| Advantage | Less exposure to radiation | Lower cost | Delivery available | Flexibility due to longer half-life | Physical properties suitable for imaging |
|----------|----------------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Renal PET tracer | $^{11}$C-PABA | $^{18}$F-FDS | $^{18}$F-PFH | Re(CO)$_3$$^{18}$F-FEDA | $^{68}$Ga-EDTA | $^{68}$Ga-NOTA | $^{68}$Ga-RDye800-tilimanocept | Can be operated without a cyclotron |

Table 3. Characteristics of positron emitters.

| Positron range (mm) | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2.9 |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Advantage            |      |      |      |
| Renal PET tracer     | $^{11}$C-PABA | $^{18}$F-FDS | Re(CO)$_3$$^{18}$F-FEDA |
| Delivery available   |      |      |      |
| Flexibility due to longer half-life |      |      |      |

PABA: para-aminobenzoic acid, FDS: 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-D-glucose, PFH: p-fluorohippurate Re(CO)$_3$N-(fluoroethyl)iminodiacetic acid, EDTA: ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, NOTA: 1,4,7-Triazacyclononane-1,4,7-triacetic acid.
$^{68}$Ga to $^{18}$F-labeled radiotracers and one may speculate that this trend will also further fuel the development and increased clinical use of $^{18}$F radiotracers for renal radionuclide imaging [8].

**GFR agents**

**$^{68}$Ga-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)**

$^{68}$Ga-EDTA reflects GFR, and is the only renal imaging agent to date that has been evaluated in a large clinical trial. Of note, $^{68}$Ga-EDTA has been validated since the 1960s, before the widespread use of PET [30, 31]. Physiologically stable metal chelates like EDTA are excreted by glomerular filtration and, until recently, were widely used for the quantitative assessment of GFR by using $^{51}$Cr-EDTA. Later, with the development of gamma cameras, $^{99m}$Tc-labeled renal radiotracers became the main focus of research. With the increased availability of PET imaging in the past two decades, $^{68}$Ga-based PET imaging of the kidneys has reemerged [11].

Hicks et al. first revealed the excellent kinetics of $^{68}$Ga-EDTA as a renal radiotracer in small animal studies [32], and then investigated the agent in humans [10]. $^{68}$Ga-EDTA initially concentrates in the blood pool, promptly begins to accumulate in the renal cortex, transfers to the renal parenchyma and further into the collecting system 3-4 minutes after administration. In a study of 31 patients with different degrees of kidney function, ranging from severely impaired to normal, Hofman et al. calculated GFR from PET images of $^{68}$Ga-EDTA as well as compared the results with GFR from $^{68}$Ga-EDTA and $^{51}$Cr-EDTA plasma sampling. The GFR measured by $^{68}$Ga-EDTA and $^{51}$Cr-EDTA plasma sampling showed an excellent correlation (Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.94). GFR quantified by $^{68}$Ga-EDTA clearance derived from three organ compartments including the kidneys, ureter and the bladder correlated well with GFR from plasma sampling (correlation co-efficient 0.9). In subjects with a $^{51}$Cr-GFR of more than 150 mL/min, $^{68}$Ga-GFR was significantly lower and the authors explained such findings by plasma binding, tubular secretion, or dissociation in vivo. Furthermore, in 11 patients, $^{99m}$Tc-dimercaptosuccinic acid ($^{99m}$Tc-DMSA) was conducted and read-out included a renal static scintigraphy approach, planar imaging, and SPECT/CT. Good agreement between $^{99m}$Tc-DMSA SPECT/CT and excretory phase (2-10 minutes) from $^{68}$Ga-EDTA dynamic PET was noted. The latter imaging modality, however, allows more in-depth information on the entire urinary tract system in a 3-D capability relative to SPECT [10, 11].

$^{68}$Ga-IRDye800-tilmanocept

Another GFR-reflecting agent, radiolabeled tilmanocept has been reported as a unique radiotracer for evaluating diabetic nephropathy. The number of diabetic patients continues to increase, with diabetic nephropathy being the leading cause of chronic renal failure and occurring in 40% [34]. In such patients, nephropathy progresses slowly over 20 to 30 years, with no symptoms or decline in kidney function in early stages. GFR decline occurs predominantly in advanced nephropathy, which highlights the urgent need to develop imaging tests providing reliable information on the progression of the disease. Qin et al. investigated radiolabeled tilmanocept imaging in mice and its colocalization in the glomerulus with histologic examination. PET imaging of $^{68}$Ga-IRDye800-tilmanocept demonstrated receptor-mediated renal accumulation with evidence of glomerular uptake. Histologic examination demonstrated colocalization of CD206 which resides on the surface of mesangial cells, and IRDye800-tilmanocept within the glomerulus. Furthermore, molecular imaging of diabetic and nondiabetic mice was performed with fluorescence-labeled $^{99m}$Tc-tilmanocept, and hyperfiltration of tilmanocept was observed in diabetic mice. Given the important role of mesangial cells during the progression of diabetic nephropathy, PET or SPECT renal imaging with radiolabeled tilmanocept may provide noninvasive quantitative assessment of glomerular function even in early disease stages [35].

$^{18}$F-FDS

$^{18}$F-FDS, which is a sorbitol derivative filtered through the glomerulus, has shown excellent pharmacokinetics and is readily available by a simple one-step synthesis from the most commonly used radiotracer $^{18}$F-FDG. This makes it available at virtually every PET practice with access to, or supply by, a cyclotron facility [36]. In early human studies,
Furthermore, minutes after radiotracer administration. Metabolites were detected in blood and urine. Analysis also demonstrated that no radioactive renal filtration of 18F-FDS. PET renography showed suggesting low hepatobiliary clearance and exclusive collecting system. There was almost no accumulation radiotracer, basic biodistribution properties and followed by a time-dependent transition to the imaging showed rapid accumulation in the kidneys pathway were explored in healthy rats. Dynamic PET clearance through the renal collecting system reabsorption. Filtration at the renal glomerulus and no tubular sorbitol, may have similar kinetics as inulin, with free binding in the blood leads to underestimation of the radiotracer is filtered at the glomerulus and protein binding in the blood. Serum protein binding of 18F-FDS reported to range from 2% to 10% [38, 39] and the binding in the blood leads to underestimation of the precise GFR measurements. Hence, 18F-FDS, an analogue of inulin, but also has a substantially higher stability when compared to 68Ga-Ga-HBED-CC-DiAsp (Di-Aspartic acid derivative of N,N'-bis [2-hydroxy-5-(carboxyethyl)-benzyl]-ethylenediamine-N,N'-diacetic acid) is a kit-based radiotracer, that potentially exhibits a higher stability when compared to 68Ga-EDTA, rendering it as a potential diagnostic agent to assess GFR in-vivo. In a rat study, biodistribution and dynamic PET/CT imaging studies in rats revealed a rapid clearance [41].

**ERPF agents**

**p-[18F]Fluorohippurate (18F-PFH)**

18F-PFH reflects ERPF and may predict the longterm prognosis of the kidney as demonstrated in
animal studies [42]. The molecular structure of 18F-PFH is similar to that of p-aminohippurate, a gold standard for the measurement of ERPF. Awasthi et al. reported the synthesis and initial in vivo evaluation of 18F-PFH in a rat model. Dynamic PET revealed a rapid clearance of 18F-PFH through the renal–urinary pathway [43]. In light of these encouraging findings, Pathuri et al. compared the renogram of 18F-PFH with 125I-OIH as a gold standard, and with a clinically used agent (99mTc-MAG3), in healthy rats. The results showed no significant difference in time-to-peak, but in the visual analysis of renograms, 18F-PFH seemed to be closer to 125I-OIH compared to 99mTc-MAG3. In addition, the quality of the renogram and the images obtained with the dynamic 18F-PFH PET study were remarkably better than those obtained with the 99mTc-MAG3 dynamic planar imaging study [44]. Additionally, the same research group evaluated the potential of early 18F-PFH PET renography to predict future progression of PKD in Han:SPRD rats with slowly progressive autosomal dominant PKD. They obtained T2 and T20 values, which represent the percentage of the injected dose of 18F-PFH in kidneys at 2 and 20 min after injection, from the imaging data and assessed T20/T2 ratio as a prognostic marker. T20/T2 values at 6 weeks correlated with serum creatinine, serum urea nitrogen, and kidney weight per body weight at 26 weeks. These results demonstrated 18F-PFH could be a surrogate marker, e.g., for progression of autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease [42].

Re(CO)3(18F-FEDA)

Re(CO)3(18F-FEDA) is an ERPF agent, and can be labeled with either 99mTc or 18F, depending on the application. Lipowska et al. demonstrated that 99mTc-Re(CO)3(FEDA) had comparable pharmacokinetics to 131I-OIH in rats [45] and its 18F-labeled counterpart exhibited a high specificity for the kidneys along with rapid renal excretion and high in vitro and in vivo stability comparable to those of its 99mTc-labeled analogue [46].

11C-PABA

Most recently, Ruiz-Bedoya et al. investigated 11C-PABA as a new PET renal agent. PABA is a non-toxic B-complex vitamin with fast renal excretion, and has been previously used to assess 24-hour urine tests. One of its main metabolites, para-aminohippuric acid, has been defined as a gold standard to measure ERPF due to its high renal tubular secretion and thus, 11C-PABA may provide reliable information on ERPF. The authors confirmed the pharmacokinetics of 11C-PABA in rats and rabbits with dynamic PET, and performed first-in-human PET imaging studies in healthy volunteers. Dynamic PET demonstrated a rapid accumulation of 11C-PABA in the renal cortex, followed by rapid excretion through the pelvicalyceal system with low background signal from other organs in rats and rabbits. In humans, the cortex was delineated on PET, and the activity gradually shifted to the medulla and renal pelvis with high spatiotemporal resolution. In addition, the biodistribution of 11C-PABA and 99mTc-MAG3 in postmortem tissues were directly compared by measuring organ-associated radioactivity 30 minutes after simultaneous injection of both radiotracers in identical rats. The results revealed a lower background activity in normal tissue for 11C-PABA compared to 99mTc-MAG3. Moreover, relative to 99mTc-MAG3, a human dose analysis based on mouse data for 11C-PABA showed that the latter radiotracer had a 1.6-fold lower dose. Although the short half-life requires an on-site cyclotron, which increases costs, the authors concluded that 11C-PABA could be used as a novel radiotracer for functional renal imaging, providing high-quality spatiotemporal images with low radiation exposure, rendering 11C-PABA as a suitable imaging agent for pediatric populations [12]. Figure 4 provides an overview of renal imaging using 11C-PABA.

GFR and ERPF Assessments

18F-FDG

Attempts to calculate renal function using 18F-FDG, which is most commonly used in daily clinical practice, have also been reported. Although it appears demanding to obtain information about renal function from a substance like 18F-FDG which is involved in various physiological processes, such an approach would be of great benefit, in particular as information on renal function could be obtained in addition to other clinical applications, e.g., tumor staging. In this regard, Geist et al. performed dynamic 18F-FDG-PET/MRI and Patlak analysis to determine GFR and ERPF in 24 healthy volunteers. Using blood-based creatinine clearance and the 99mTc-MAG3 tubular extraction rate as a reference, total kidney GFR and ERPF as estimated by dynamic PET/MRI were highly correlated when compared to the reference standard (r=0.82-0.88) [47]. Apart from 18F-FDG, 11C-acetate PET/CT may also provide human glomerular filtration rate based on compartmental modeling [48].

Renal Blood Flow

Several radiotracers have been introduced for assessing renal blood flow (RBF). For instance, H215O, which is freely diffusible between tissue and blood, has been investigated in patients with renal
dysfunction demonstrating significantly lower flow values when normalized to 100 g of tissue measured with H$_2^{15}$O when compared to healthy individuals (Figure 5) [49]. Owing to its short half-life of 2.1 min, more practical radiopharmaceuticals for reliably assessing RBF are intensively sought. In this regard, another class of RBF measuring agents has penetrated the clinical arenas, which are physiologically retained in renal tissue [50]. Among others, $^{82}$Rubidium ($^{82}$Rb) has a high first pass extraction and slow renal washout rendering it as an ideal imaging agent to measure RBF. Tamaki et al investigated $^{82}$Rb in dogs using a steady-state kinetic model with various degrees of kidney injury and concluded that $^{82}$Rb allows for serial quantitative assessment of RBF [51]. This has been further evaluated for clinical use by investigating healthy volunteers showing that non-invasive quantitative imaging with $^{82}$Rb is feasible, allowing for excellent image quality, high resolution and contrast [52]. In addition, this radiotracer is a potassium analogue and therefore, a recent report also demonstrated that renal potassium excretion can be visualized by $^{82}$Rb PET [53]. Moreover, $^{13}$N-ammonia, which is widely utilized for myocardial perfusion imaging [54], has been also investigated to quantify RBF [55], which paves the way for assessing cardio-renal perfusion crosstalk. Of note, the renal extraction of this radiotracer is 100% and RBF obtained by $^{13}$N-ammonia demonstrated an excellent correlation when compared to the reference standard H$_2^{15}$O [56].

Renal Inflammation Molecular Imaging

A recent study evaluated the value of $^{18}$F-FDG for autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease in 30 individuals and revealed a high diagnostic accuracy in detecting renal or hepatic cyst infections. Highlighting the potential of guiding therapy, baseline $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT led to change in antibiotics use in up to 63% of the patients [57]. $^{18}$F-FDG, however, is rather nonspecific for inflammation imaging. $^{68}$Ga-Pentixafor targeting the C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4 (overexpressed on leucocytes) has been investigated in patients with complicated urinary tract infections in combination with rMRI. Leukocyte infiltration was identified by areas of CXCR4 upregulation compared with unaffected parenchyma in PET, corresponding to areas with increased cell density in rMRI, which was further validated by ex vivo analysis. As such, combined PET/rMRI data revealed the potential of non-invasive detection of leukocytes in renal allografts [16] (Figure 6).

**Figure 3.** First in-vivo $^{18}$F-Fluorodeoxyxosorbitol ($^{18}$F-FDS) images in a healthy volunteer. Dynamic axial, coronal and sagittal images (left) showed rapid radiotracer accumulation in the renal cortex. A time-activity curve (right) obtained by dynamic PET imaging. Three-dimensional volumes of interest were placed on the outer layer (corresponding to the cortex, green) as well as inner layer (red) corresponding to the medulla [14].
Molecular Imaging of Renal Cell Carcinoma

Assessment of primary renal tumors is challenging, mainly due the high physiological uptake seen with imaging agents such as 18F-FDG or somatostatin receptor-targeting 68Ga-DOTA-D-Phe-Tyr3-octreotide (DOTATOC) or 68Ga-DOTA-D-Phe-Tyr3-octreotate (DOTATATE) [58]. The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved radiotracer Anti-1-amino-3-[18F]-fluorocyclobutane-1-carboxylic acid (anti-18F-FACBC) assessing the upregulation of increased amino acid metabolism, however, has almost no renal excretion. Spearheaded by Schuster et al, six patients afflicted with renal lesions were investigated and correlation with histology revealed that amino acid transport compared with renal cortex is elevated in renal papillary cell carcinoma but not in clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) [59]. Similar results have been gained from a rapid autopsy study investigating the second-generation prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) agent 18F-DCFPyL demonstrating an accurate detection of sites of metastatic ccRCC [60]. In addition, lymph node diseases along with photopenic defects in the kidneys have been recently reported in a biopsy-proven oncocytoma using 18F-DCFPyL [61]. The 68Ga-labeled imaging agent PSMA-11 has received FDA approval just recently, which makes PSMA PET imaging more widely available for potential use in RCC. Nonetheless, such
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Radiopharmaceuticals have been primarily investigated for assessing tumor burden in patients afflicted with RCC, whereas their use for renal functional imaging is rather limited [40].

Clinical Applications

Although the use of PET can be limited by the installed base and high synthesis and maintenance costs, there are definite clinical applications of new renal PET radiotracers. For example, the low radiation exposures may lead to use in pediatrics, and the intrinsic advantages of PET may make these agents useful for those settings where an accurate renal functional assessment is required. Measurement of renal function in children can be difficult with noninvasive techniques because changes in body size and creatinine excretion can make creatinine clearance less reliable. In addition, children often have ureteral obstruction and anatomic abnormalities which may not be well evaluated by convetional scintigraphy [18]. Although there are many hurdles to overcome, renal PET, with its anatomic information and high resolution, may address those issues.

In pediatric nuclear medicine, nuclear medicine specialists are facing the dilemma of reducing both radiation exposure and scan time. According to an IAEA study of 133 institutions from 62 countries on pediatric renal scintigraphy, most of the institutions would in fact administer a higher activity as recommended. The authors noted that the sites may have prioritized a shorter scan time (balanced by higher injected activities) rather than reducing radiation exposure [62]. Given the higher count rates achieved with renal PET imaging relative to conventional scintigraphy, the administered activity could be significantly reduced for renal PET radiotracers while not sacrificing image quality or scan time. For example, Hofmann et al. typically administered 40 MBq of 68Ga-EDTA in adult patients, which results in approximately 1.6 mSv from the PET component and equates to approximately the exposure of 320 MBq of injected 99mTc-DTPA [11]. In addition, Ruiz-Bedoya et al. demonstrated that the effective dose of 11C-PABA was 1.6-fold lower than that of 99mTc-MAG3 in a murine data-based human dosimetry analysis. The CT component of the PET/CT would add additional radiation exposure to the patient, but this can be as low as 0.25 mSv with an ultralow dose CT and the limited field-of-view required for renal imaging [10-12]. As a result, renal PET minimizes radiation exposure, which is especially important for patients in need for an accurate assessment of renal function, e.g. while under chemotherapy or to monitor outcome after kidney transplantation [9, 15, 18]. Renal PET could also be an alternative to CT-based urography to investigate urinary tract obstruction, especially for patients who are allergic to iodine contrast agents [11, 15].

Figure 6. PET and MR of acute renal cyst infection. MR (top) and PET/CT images (bottom) of patient with kidney transplantation due to autosomal-dominant polycystic kidney disease and suspected complicated urinary tract infection. Imaging revealed upregulated CXCR4 expression in 2 cysts (white arrow) showing renal cyst infection. Corresponding MRI confirms a cyst with thick wall (T2-weighted MRI, red arrow) and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) reduction in both areas, consistent with PET findings. Allograft in right lower abdomen, however, shows no signs of infection with low homogeneous 68Ga-Pentixafor signal. MRI shows linear ADC reduction and volume loss, consistent with scarring at upper pole. MIP = maximum-intensity projection. Modified from Derlin et al [16], copyright 2017 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Inc.
Future perspectives of PET in the field of nephro-urology

Identifying high-risk cancer patients for later nephrotoxicity under anti-tumor regimens

Recent years have also seen an expanded use of renal radionuclide SPECT imaging to identify patients which may have renal functional decline after multiple cycles of endoradiotherapies. There has been an expansion of the use of receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) in neuroendocrine tumors using somatostatin analogs labeled with beta-emitting radionuclides [63]. However, PRRT causes an impairment of renal function even years after initiation of therapy and, therefore, pre-therapeutic and serial measurements of kidney function have been recommended [64]. Of note, serum creatinine-based GFR estimates are insensitive to early toxicity and underestimate renal impairment in 12% of subjects after PRRT as compared to GFR measured by 99mTc-DTPA [65-67]. Although renal irradiation from PRRT is most likely to be caused by uptake of radiolabeled somatostatin analogues in the proximal tubules, 99mTc-MAG3 reflecting tubular function could not identify high-risk patients with a late onset of renal failure [67]. Furthermore alpha-emitters (such as 223Ra and 225At) are now being used clinically, and their higher mean energy deposition and shorter range in tissue relative to beta radiation may allow for increased therapeutic efficacy with minimal side effects in normal tissue [68]. In this regard, Kratochwil et al. reported on two patients afflicted with metastatic prostate cancer, who received 225Ac-PSMA [69], while Makvandi et al. observed increased renal toxicity with 225Ac and 213Bi in preclinical studies [70]. Not surprisingly, renal toxicity with 213Bi-DOTATATE for treatment of neuroendocrine tumors has also been reported [71]. In addition, a substantial portion of prostate cancer patients scheduled for radioligand therapy with 177Lu-prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) also develop renal decline [72]. Thus, given the increased use of endoradiotherapies for various cancers, PET agents for renal function may be superior for kidney outcome prediction when compared to clinical biomarkers of renal decline or conventional SPECT imaging. Beyond such nuclear medicine therapies, kidneys are also prone to damage caused by iodine contrast agents and chemotherapy. Thus, PET agents such as Re(CO)3(18F-FEDA) and 18F-PFH, which reflect proximal tubular secretion, may also provide a surrogate marker of short- and long-term renal damage under chemotherapeutic regimens [9].

Theranostics and organ network analyses in nephrology and urology

The theranostic concept in oncology is based on diagnostic molecular imaging, followed by an individually tailored treatment decision using the identical or similar therapeutic radiotracer [58]. In nephro-urology, targeted and timed therapeutic concepts could also be envisaged for clinical applications for the kidneys. Such an image-guided renoprotective strategy would identify dysregulation of the target mechanism in the kidneys (e.g. upregulation of inflammatory-related mediators in urinary tract infections), which indicates risk for adverse outcome. This may trigger targeted therapy assessing the identical target on a subcellular level in order to achieve favourable renal outcome. For instance, receptor blockade of CXCR4 using AMD-3100 increased bladder capacity and hyperexcitability in bladder-inflamed Wistar rats relative to controls [73]. As such, an inflammation-targeted read-out of the urinary tract system by using 68Ga-Pentixafor may identify the most suitable time-point for treatment initiation, which in turn may increase therapeutic efficacy (Figure 7). In addition, the baseline-derived radiotracer signal may be used as a predictor of outcome with defined endpoints such as major adverse renal events (MARE).

In uro-oncology, PSMA-PET/CT assists in identifying prostate cancer patients which are suitable for PSMA-based radioligand therapy (RLT). This theranostic concept is currently penetrating the clinical arena, mainly due favorable results of recent major prospective trials. First, the phase 2 LuPSMA trial reported on progressive metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer patients that have been previously treated with antiandrogens and taxane-based chemotherapy. RLT demonstrated high response rates and low toxic effects with grade 3 or 4 thrombocytopenia in 13% of the patients [74]. These favorable results have been further confirmed in the TheraP trial reporting on higher PSA response and fewer grade 3 or 4 adverse events of RLT when compared with cabazitaxel [75]. The VISION trial (NCT03511664) will assess the outcome of patients treated with RLT in addition to best supportive/best standard of care versus patients treated with best supportive/best standard of care alone [76]. The LuPARP trial will investigate RLT in combination with the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor Olaparib (NCT03874884) [77], whereas the PRINCE trial will investigate favorable effects of PSMA-targeted therapy with the PD1-checkpoint inhibitor pembrolizumab (NCT03658447) [78]. Results of these trials will further refine the role of
theranostics in men afflicted with prostate carcinoma in various clinical scenarios.

As alluded to earlier, PSMA-targeting imaging agents have also been investigated for potential use in RCC. In this regard, 16 patients with suspicion for ccRCC have been scanned with 68Ga-labeled PSMA PET/CT and 75% of the patients demonstrated avid primary lesions, with the majority of ccRCC subtype. Of note, in 42% of the cases, 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT led to change in management, due to the identification of new sites of suspected metastases and synchronous primaries [79]. Beyond its potential role in guiding clinical management, PSMA may also represent a promising therapeutic target in a theranostic setting. First, given the increased intrarenal tumor neovasculature which is tightly linked to increased PSMA expression [80], PSMA imaging may allow for patient selection for antiangiogenic therapies. These considerations are further fueled by recent reports of a prospective trial investigating the beneficial effects of a combination of an immune checkpoint inhibitor with a VEGF-targeted antiangiogenic drug. The anti-programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) antibody avelumab was combined with the highly selective vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGFR) inhibitor axitinib in patients with previously untreated advanced RCC. When compared with sunitinib, progression-free survival was significantly prolonged when used in first-line treatment, but grade 3 adverse events still occurred in 71% receiving such a combination treatment [81]. Multiple trials of antiangiogenic drugs targeting the VEGFR pathway are currently ongoing and resistance to antiangiogenic therapy in clinical practice seems to be a critical issue for future developments in the field. Therefore, PSMA-directed imaging could not only help to increase therapeutic efficacy by selecting individuals with increased intrarenal tumor-driven angiogenesis but may also avoid unnecessary treatments or led to early termination of VEGFR-interacting drugs, e.g. once the signal has been dissipated on restaging under antiangiogenic regimens [82]. Second, aggressive RCC subtypes with increased PSMA expression on the tumor cell surface may also allow for a theranostic approach by identifying potential therapy candidates by 68Ga/18F-PSMA imaging followed by 177Lu-PSMA treatment [83]. For instance, Spatz et al evaluated the relationship between PSMA expression and clinicopathological features in 257 patients with RCC, ccRCC, papillary RCC and chromophobe RCC. Of note, 82.5% of ccRCC and 71.4% of chromophobe RCC specimens demonstrated increased PSMA expression, whereas only 13.6% of papillary RCC expressed PSMA. Most importantly, the association of PSMA expression with overall survival was superior when compared to established clinical parameters, including tumor grade, primary and metastasis stage [84]. In addition, RCC still has a poor prognosis, despite recent efforts of applying novel immune checkpoint inhibitors [85]. If PSMA expression, however, increases with more aggressive disease, one may speculate that the signal derived by PSMA-targeting PET will not be diminished, even in highly advanced disease [84]. Moreover, in chromophobe RCC, where therapeutic options are still rather limited, PSMA was also upregulated in the majority of the cases, supporting the notion of PSMA-based theranostics in this rare phenotype [83]. Last, efficacy of immunotherapy could be further enhanced by combination treatments with PSMA-targeting RLT in PSMA-positive RCC patients [51].

![Figure 7. Targeted and timed therapy for clinical applications in nephrology by using molecular imaging (theranostics).](http://www.thno.org)
Apart from applying theranostics in nephrology and urology, interactions with remote organs, such as the vessels or the spleen, could be also pursued by whole-body molecular imaging, potentially allowing for non-invasive analyses of kidney-organ networks [86]. Long-bore cameras with larger fields-of-views and recent installations of whole-body PET scanners will further enable for such crosstalk investigations along various kidney-organ axes (Figure 7) [87]. Such investigations may be of interest in the field of inflammatory renal molecular imaging, e.g. to assess the signal in organs serving as reservoirs of inflammatory cells, such as the bone marrow or kidneys. Other aspects may also include kidney interactions with the molecular brain signal, potentially allowing for a simultaneous read-out of renal and brain function. Further improving the PET-based read-out of the kidneys, dedicated protocols could be applied, e.g. by furosemide injection enabling for sufficient excretion of the radiotracer prior to the scan [16].

Conclusions

Despite the development of CT, MRI, and ultrasound techniques for evaluating the kidney, renal scintigraphy is still frequently requested in clinical practice. In a manner similar to brain, cardiac, and tumor molecular imaging, renal radionuclide imaging is beginning a shift from SPECT to PET. The GFR-reflecting radiotracer \( ^{18}\text{F}-\text{FDG} \) can be synthesized from \( ^{18}\text{F}-\text{FDG} \) in a one-step synthesis and thus, \( ^{18}\text{F}-\text{FDG} \) would be available at virtually every PET practice with access to a cyclotron. In addition, the relatively long half-life of \( ^{18}\text{F} \) renders it as a potential agent for clinical trials, in particular in pediatric populations as it has significantly less radiation exposure compared to SPECT equivalents [9, 13, 14]. Moreover, inflammatory-targeting radiotracers have been investigated for renal applications, e.g. in patients with urinary tract infections. This may open avenues for timed and targeted therapies at the maximum of the PET-derived target expression, potentially transferring the concept of theranostics from oncology to nephron-urology. Moreover, given the capability of PET for a whole-body systemic read-out, such a molecular image-guided strategy could be used for a wholistic benefit not only maximizing outcome in the target organ (kidneys) but also in remote organs. Nonetheless, whole-body PET scanners are currently sparsely available. Commonly used hybrid devices with 16 cm field of view may still allow for assessing split renal function and clearance measurements. In addition, a more widespread adoption of PET radiotracers for renal applications is needed, preferably in prospective clinical trials. Favorable results relative to conventional SPECT would also pave the way for FDA approval of renal functional PET radiotracers, which would then lead to an increased clinical use of PET for this purpose.
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