Nonlinear waves in a model for silicate layers
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Some layered silicates are composed of positive ions, surrounded by layers of ions with opposite sign. Mica muscovite is a particularly interesting material, because there exist fossil and experimental evidence for nonlinear excitations transporting localized energy and charge along the cation rows within the potassium layers. This evidence suggest that there are different kinds of excitations with different energies and properties. Some of the authors proposed recently a one-dimensional model based in physical principles and the silicate structure. The main characteristic of the model is that it has a hard substrate potential and two different repulsion terms, between ions and nuclei. In a previous work with this model, it was found the propagation of crowdions, i.e., lattice kinks in a lattice with substrate potential that transport mass and charge. They have a single specific velocity and energy coherent with the experimental data. In the present work we perform a much more thorough search for nonlinear excitations in the same model using the pseudospectral method to obtain exact nanopteron solutions, which are single kinks with tails, crowdions and bi-crowdions. We analyze their velocities, energies and stability or instability and the possible reasons for the latter. We relate the different excitations with their possible origin from recoils from different beta decays and with the fossil tracks. We explore the consequences of some variation of the physical parameters because their values are not perfectly known. Through a different method, we also have found stationary and moving breathers, that is, localized nonlinear excitations with an internal vibration. Moving breathers have small amplitude and energy, which is also coherent with the fossil evidence.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Muscovite is a layered silicate that has been from long ago of interest for different reasons. It was the second material for which nuclear tracks from fission fragments were observed, the first material for which fossil nuclear tracks were discovered. Also fossil tracks from different elementary swift particles as positrons and muons could be observed. These tracks were formed by the precipitation of excess impurity of Fe growing by accretion into the mineral magnetite and can be viewed without instruments. Many other tracks were in the closest-packed directions of the K⁺ plane and were attributed to some kind of quasi one-dimensional lattice excitations, whose exact nature is not well known. See Ref. for a recent review. The existence of these excitations was also demonstrated experimentally by bombarding with α particles a muscovite sample and detecting the ejection of an atom at the direction of the lattice closest-packed lines. Recently, it was deduced that the lattice-excitations, called quodon, could be of many different types with different charge states, and demonstrated experimentally. Trying to understand the basic properties of the mineral that led to the existence of nonlinear excitations, a one-dimensional model was introduced with potentials de-
duced from basic principles and physical properties that led to the numerical finding of the existence of a moving kink or crowdion, that is, a moving interstitial, with a single energy which was the appropriate for the experimental and fossil observations.\textsuperscript{8,9}

In this article we use powerful numerical techniques to perform a more systematic search of nonlinear waves in the same model. We have found other solitary waves as kinks, double kinks and nanopterons with different energies and velocities. However, among them only the faster entities as one single kink, two double kinks and fast nanopterons are stable. Their approximate velocity is about 5 times the sound velocity in the system. We have also found stationary breathers that cannot be moved, and also small amplitude moving breathers that propagate smoothly through the lattice. The physical consequences of these findings are analyzed.

The generic solutions found are nanopterons, i.e., nonlocal solutions that can be described as the coupling of a kink and a plane wave. Nanopterons can be considered as an approximation to a physical solution, but can also be of interest by themselves.\textsuperscript{10–14} Nonlocal breather solutions are also subject of current research.\textsuperscript{15–17}

It should be stressed that finding localized nonradiating travelling-wave solutions in lattices with an on-site potential was and remains an important problem in the physics of nonlinear waves. Discreteness of the media seriously obstructs existence of exact moving topological solitons. However, it has been shown in numerous cases both analytically and numerically that these solutions exist, also in two-dimensional lattices,\textsuperscript{18–20} despite the obvious resonance with the plane waves of the system. Therefore they fall into the family of the embedded solitons, that are literally embedded in the linear spectrum of the system as defined in Ref.\textsuperscript{21}. Contrary to the conventional solitons that usually exist as a one family of solutions, where the parameter may be their velocity, frequency or some other characteristic, the embedded solitons form a discrete set of solutions. In this paper we are going to demonstrate the existence of nonradiating moving topological solitons in a model for mica muscovite with realistic potentials.

The outline of the article is as follows: we describe the mineral and previous results in Sect. II and the details of the model in Sect. III. Section IV describes the peculiarity of the phonon spectrum and the phonon different velocities. Section V explains the pseudo-spectral method for calculating travelling solitary waves with precision. In Sect. VI we describe the characteristics of the nanopterons and kinks obtained, their velocities and energies. Their stability is analyzed in Sect. VII. In Sect. VIII we explore the consequences of changing the strength of the interaction or the on-site potential. In Sect. IX the properties of some double kinks or 2-crowdions are presented. Breathers are studied in Sect. X and the article finishes with the conclusions in Sect. XI.

II. MUSCOVITE AND NONLINEAR EXCITATIONS

In this section we describe the silicate structure of muscovite, the physics of the model, previous results, and some considerations about the possible existence of different nonlinear excitations in the material and the model.

A. Silicate structure

Most silicates are formed by the basic building block of a tetrahedron formed by the cation silicon surrounded by oxygen anions at the vertices. Often these oxygen anions are shared with other tetrahedra forming sheets of several layers, sometimes tetrahedra sheets, joined with other ones or with octahedra sheets, are sandwiched between tetrahedra sheets bringing about thicker layers. These sheets can be joined together by van der Waals forces. Often, substitutions of silicon by other cations like aluminum with different charge are common and therefore the sheets have a net electrical charge. Ions of different sign are therefore brought in to neutralize the charge and the forces between sheets become stronger and predominantly electrostatic. The result is a layer of ions that are coupled to the silicate sheets but less stronger that the silicate structure of the sheets. The inter-layer ions are kept in place at specific places where the attraction of the silicate layer is stronger. If we consider the ion lattice, it can be viewed as subjected to an on-site potential where the ions interact between them through electrical repulsion. It is a natural and important example where on-site potentials become important in determining the properties of a lattice. The layered silicate mica muscovite is of particular interest in this article. It consists of a silicate layer with the structure of tetrahedra-octahedra-tetrahedra. Substitution of Si\textsuperscript{4+} by Al\textsuperscript{3+} results in a net negative electrical charge, which is compensated by the introduction of a cation layer made out of K\textsuperscript{+}. Often, there are also different substitutional impurities which have different properties, however we will refer in this article to the ideal structure.

B. A realistic model for muscovite and the existence of a crowdion

A model with realistic potentials was constructed starting from the simplest model with only electrostatic repulsion between K\textsuperscript{+} ions\textsuperscript{22–26} and later augmented with a Ziegler-Biersack-Littmark (ZBL) short-range repulsive potential between K\textsuperscript{+} ions\textsuperscript{27–29}, which was important for describing the interaction at very short distances bringing about reasonable minimal distances for energetic excitations.\textsuperscript{8,9} In the latter references, it was also introduced an on-site potential calculated using empirical potentials\textsuperscript{30} and the interaction with the two closest two-dimensional layers of ions above and below the K\textsuperscript{+} layers with some adjustment to take into account further layers.
The resulting periodic potential, which we will use in this article, could be expressed as a cosine Fourier series with five terms and was able to reproduce approximately the absorption infrared frequency 110 cm$^{-1}$ obtained experimentally and the 20 eV potential well obtained with molecular dynamics. This model led to the numerical finding of a crowdion, that is a kink in a lattice with a periodic on-site potential, where a particle is moved to another site pushing the particle that sits there and becoming a replacement cascade, which can also be described as a moving interstitial. That crowdion or lattice kink has several important characteristics from the mathematical and physical point of view: a) it has a unique supersonic velocity and energy and travels long distances; b) it survives to perturbations of the media as nonlinear waves and thermalization; c) its energy of about 26 eV is in the right order of magnitude for mica muscovite crystal, smaller than most of the recoils after $\beta$ decay of $^{40}\text{K}$, which has a maximum recoil of 42 eV for $\beta > 2$, and larger than the typical ejection energies of 4-8 eV of atoms in silicates, making a good candidate for the experimental results. The property that supersonic crowdions have a discrete set of velocities is well known. The key point for the existence of supersonic crowdions is the existence of the on-site potential, which can be provided by an external system or simply by the rest of the crystal, if we consider for example a row of nearest-neighbour atoms as a subsystem subjected to the lattice potential. In a layered silicate crystal the on-site potential is provided by the silicate sheets above and below the layer of potassium atoms. The high bond stiffness of the silicate layers make them dynamically decoupled from the K$^+$ layer.

C. Other nonlinear localized waves in muscovite

In this paper we expand the search to other nonlinear localized waves in the same model for mica muscovite. The reason for that is partly theoretical, as a peculiar characteristic of the on-site potential is that it is hard for relatively small amplitudes and later becomes soft. This hard-soft characteristic does not appear as a mathematical curiosity but as a consequence of constructing a potential based on physical laws of a particular system. The same can be said about the interaction potential between ions, which is obtained simply by electrostatic repulsion and short range universal ZBL repulsion, which describes the electrostatic repulsion between the nuclei screened by the electron shell. On the other hand the dark tracks in muscovite that are attributed to nonlinear lattice excitations are not equal, for example, some are strong and continuous and other are faint and discontinuous and are scattered at 60° from the strong ones. These secondary tracks cannot have the same energy as the primary one, which means there may be different excitations at play. We describe three types of lattice excitations for this model, kinks (without tails), nanopterons, which are kinks with tails, and breathers, which are vibrational localized oscillations.

The terminology is not uniform partly due to different characteristics of a excitation depending of the variable used. The word soliton is used also for kinks, because the bond compressions and the velocities are solitons, i.e., their amplitudes tend to zero at $\pm\infty$, and breathers can be seen as envelope solitons with an internal vibration. Kinks and crowdions are the same entity in a system with an external potential as the one studied here.

A method for finding kinks was developed where the terminology bound solitons was used because the profile of the bond compressions looks like the union of several solitons. Nonlocal kinks or nanopterons that are composed of a localized kink core coupled with a plane wave, which are solutions of the system, are constructed. Among them we search for solutions that have zero amplitude for the plane wave, which are proper kinks. Nanopterons are not properly kinks because they have oscillating plane wave asymptotics. They are however long-lived and appear in many different physical systems and are therefore interesting by themselves.

Breathers are found by continuation from the continuous limit. There are site-centered ones that are very stable and have a wide range of frequencies. They are however not movable but they can have relevance in other phenomena as the experimentally observed fast reaction kinetics in muscovite. However, small amplitude moving breathers are found with simple initial conditions.

D. Nonlinear waves in two-dimensional lattices

There is also interest in the study of the possibility of excitation and propagation of solitons, discrete breathers and kinks in two-dimensional lattices. Different interatomic potentials, including discrete sine-Gordon and Lennard-Jones and Morse potentials, were used in these studies which confirm the existence and long lifetime of the kink solutions in two-dimensional lattices, including a two-dimensional model proposed for mica muscovite. In the present work, we consider a one-dimensional lattice model which allows us to perform more rigorous study of the existence and stability of nonlinear excitations in a system with realistic interatomic potentials. This also allows us to obtain the values of magnitudes as frequency, velocity and energy in physical units.

III. A MODEL WITH A HARD SUBSTRATE POTENTIAL AND TWO REPULSIVE INTERACTIONS

As stated above the model and parameters correspond to the system described in detail in Ref.8,9. We consider a one-dimensional system of particles corresponding to
ions K⁺ separated by a distance $r$ which in equilibrium is the lattice constant $a = 5.19$ Å which is set to 1 in scaled form with distance unit $u_L = a$. The interaction between particles is given in scaled form as:

$$U(r) = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{Be^{-r/\rho}}{r},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the first term corresponds to the Coulomb repulsion between ions and the second Yukawa-type potential is the ZBL potential which describes the Coulomb repulsion between nuclei screened by the electron shell. The actual ZBL potential has four Yukawa terms that are important for different energy ranges from eV to MeV, but for the range of energies below 200 KeV one term is enough. The scaled unit of energy is the Coulomb energy at the equilibrium distance $u_E = K_e e^2/a \simeq 2.77$ eV, and the constant $B = 184.1$ is of the order of $Z^2$, being $Z = 19$ the atomic number of K. The screening range is $\rho = 0.0569$, which corresponds to $\simeq 0.3$ Å in physical units. The on-site potential has the periodicity of the lattice and is given in scaled units by

$$V(u) = \sum_{m=0}^{4} V_m \cos(2\pi mu),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

with \{$V_m$\} $= \gamma[2.4473, -3.3490, 1.0997, -0.2302, 0.0321]$. It has been obtained using empirical potentials for the interaction with the two-dimensional silicate layers above and below the K⁺ layers. The parameter $\gamma = 1$ will be used later to check the effect of a change on the strength of the on-site potential.

Each particle with index $n$ is described by a coordinate $x_n$, which in equilibrium has the value $x_0^n = n$. We perform a change of variables to $u_n = x_n - n$ which represents the deviation from the equilibrium position. In the variables $u_n$ the interaction potential is given by:

$$U(u_{n+1} - u_n) = \frac{1}{1 + u_{n+1} - u_n} + \frac{B}{1 + u_{n+1} - u_n} e^{-(u_{n+1} - u_n + 1)/\rho}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

without change for $V(u_n)$ due to its periodicity.

The kinetic energy of each particle is given by

$$K_n = \frac{1}{2} m_K u_n^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

with $m_K = 39.1$ amu being the mass of a potassium atom. It will be taken as the unit of mass $u_M = m_K$ in scaled variables, in which the kinetic energy is simply $\frac{1}{2} u_n^2$. The scaled unit of time $u_\tau$ can now be obtained from $u_E = u_M (u_L/u_\tau)^2$, being $u_\tau = (m_K e^3/K e^2)^{1/2} \simeq 0.2$ ps. Therefore, the total energy is given in scaled units by:

$$H = \sum_n E_n = \sum_n \left( \frac{1}{2} u_n^2 + V(u_n) + U(u_n - u_{n-1}) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The equations of motion have the following form:

$$\ddot{u}_n = -V'(u_n) + U'(u_{n+1} - u_n) - U'(u_n - u_{n-1}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

with $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. The periodic boundary conditions $u_{n+N} = u_n + Q$ are applied with $Q$ being the topological charge that will be explained below.

IV. PHONONS AND THEIR PHASE AND GROUP VELOCITIES

![Fig. 1: Top: Phonon properties: dispersion relation $\omega(q)$ (upper oscillating curve), phase velocity $V_{ph}$ (monotonic function) and group velocity $V_g$ (lower oscillating curve). It can be seen that for any value of the velocity of a solitary wave there is a plane wave with the same phase velocity for which the wavenumber $q$ and the frequency can be immediately deduced. The wavenumber $q$ can be considered in the extended zone but it also has a representative in the first Brillouin Zone. The group velocity $V_g$ is zero at $q = 0$ and $q = \pi$, having the maximum value $V_g \simeq 0.4091$ at $q = 0.4733\pi$. Bottom: Plane wave associated with the sliding kink with velocity $s = 0.825$ found in Sect. VI where it can be seen the plane wave with phase velocity $V = s$ and $q = 1.777\pi$, in the extended zone (smaller wavelength), and its representative in the 1st Brillouin zone, with $q' = q - 2\pi = -0.23\pi$ (larger wavelength) and velocity $V' = -6.37$. The minimum velocity in the first Brillouin zone is 1.68.](image)
small amplitudes of $u_n$ and the phonons properties can be obtained easily. They are typical of systems with an on-site potential but some of their properties and the specific values of some magnitudes worth being remarked.

The phonon dispersion is given by:

$$\omega(q) = \left[ \omega_0^2 + 4c_s^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{q}{2} \right) \right]^{1/2}, \quad (7)$$

with $\omega_0^2 = V''(0) = \gamma \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (2\pi n)^2 V_n$,

where $q$ is the phonon wavevector. The frequency $\omega_0 = 4.48$ corresponds to linear oscillations of the isolated particle and $c_s = \sqrt{2}$ is the sound velocity for the system without substrate, i.e., it is both the group and phase velocity for $q \to 0$, and it is also the maximum phonon
to the following differential-delay equation with the advanced and retarded terms:

$$s^2u''(z) = -V'[u(z)] + U'[u(z + 1) - u(z)] - U'[u(z) - u(z - 1)]. \quad (9)$$

Specific boundary conditions for this model will be discussed later in this section.

Unfortunately, there is no clear analytical technique for finding solutions of such equations, so that we have to use numerical methods. A very accurate and simple method for solving these equations and finding soliton solutions has been developed in Ref. 26.

We look for the solution $u(z)$ in the form

$$u(z) \simeq u^{(0)}(z) + \sum_{m=1}^{k} c_m \sin \left( \frac{2\pi mz}{L} \right), \quad (10)$$

where the second term is a Fourier series of plane waves with wavenumbers $q_m = 2\pi n / L$ and represents any periodic function with period $L$ in $z$ with coefficients $c_m$ that have to be determined. The period $L$ is chosen very large so that the influence of boundaries would be negligible.

Specifically, we will effectively play the role of the chain length in the original system Eq. (6).

The first term $u^{(0)}(z)$ is an initial approximation which is used in order to enhance the initial guess and/or to enforce the appropriate boundary conditions.

For this function we specify the boundary conditions as follows

$$u(-\infty) = a_1, \quad u(\infty) = a_2, \quad a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (11)$$

The topological charge is defined as $Q = a_2 - a_1$, where $Q = -1$ is called an antikink and corresponds to a shift in position of a lattice site after the kink has passed, i.e., to a moving interstitial, while $Q = 1$ corresponds to the opposite phenomena, i.e., a moving vacancy. For simplicity we will use the term kink for both entities clarifying the topological charge when necessary.

In order to extend the method to multi-soliton solutions, the initial approximation $u^{(0)}(z)$ for a general $P$-soliton solution is chosen in the form

$$u^{(0)}(z) = \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} + \frac{a_2 - a_1}{2P} \sum_l \tanh \left[ \mu \left( z + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \right], \quad (12)$$

where $l = 0, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm (P - 1)$ if $P$ is odd and $l = \pm 1, \pm 3, \ldots, \pm (P - 1)$ if $P$ is even. Here $\mu$ and $\delta$ are trial parameters which will be determined below for each iteration step by using various minimization techniques.
FIG. 2. **Left:** Oscillation amplitude $A$ of the nonlocal solution of the nanopteron with topological charge $Q = -1$ (compression) as a function of its velocity $s$ and for $L = 40$. Note that there are some branches that touch zero, but not others. Also, for some branches there are two solutions that correspond to the same branch. **Right** Zoom of two branches that touch zero giving rise to a sliding solution or lattice kink.

FIG. 3. Profiles of the non-local moving solitons (nanopteron) that belong to the same pair of branches in Fig. 2 (thick line: upper branch, thin line: lower branch) and have the same velocity $s = 3.9$ (a) and $s = 1.5$ (b). The inset shows the details in their core.

The parameter $\mu$ describes the inverse width of one kink while the parameter $\delta$ can be considered as the distance between the adjacent kinks.

We substitute the ansatz Eq. (10) into the original differential-delay-advance equation Eq. (9) and divide the interval $[-L/2, L/2]$ into $k$ collocation points (due to Eq. (10)). The solutions we look for are antisymmetric, so we can restrict ourselves to the interval $[0, L/2]$ As a result we obtain a system of $k$ nonlinear algebraic equations on unknown coefficients $c_m$ which can be solved by
VI. OBTENTION OF TRAVELLING NANOPTERONS AND KINKS WITH $$Q = -1$$

In this section we apply the pseudospectral method defined above to the differential-delay equation Eq. (9) and discuss the main properties of its solutions. We investigate the simplest solution, namely the compression kink (strictly antikink) with $$Q = -1$$. To monitor the amplitude of the oscillating tails of the nanopteron we calculate the tail amplitude defined by

$$A = \max_{z \in [L/2 - \xi, L/2]} |u(z) - a_2| \tag{13}$$

which measures the amplitude of the tail oscillations in the right end interval $$\xi$$. The length of this interval was taken to be $$\xi = L/8$$. For non-oscillating (monotonic) solutions the function Eq. (13) should be very close to zero and in the limit $$L \to \infty$$ this function tends to zero. The tails of the kink decay quite slowly after the slope and in order to be sure that $$u(z)$$ is horizontal enough we choose $$L$$ to be approximately 10$$u'(0)\lvert a_2 - a_1 \rvert$$. We find numerically that for the non-oscillating kink solution $$\varepsilon$$ will be almost indistinguishable from the machine zero. Minimizing it for each value of system parameters, we find an appropriate value of the velocity $$s$$ and the corresponding monotonic profile while the tail amplitude function Eq. (13) reaches a minimum which is almost equal to zero.

A. Description of solutions: profiles, amplitudes, velocities and energies

The typical solution of Eq. (9) appears to be a non-local solution, a bound state of a kink with the plane wave that moves with the same velocity $$s$$, also known as a nanopteron for the fixed value of $$L$$ we trace the nanopteron solutions and monitor the amplitude in their tails $$A(s)$$ defined in Eq. (13). This dependence $$A(s)$$, which can be seen as a equation of state, is shown in Fig. 2 and appears to be a set of pairs of branches that come from large values of $$A$$ and that join together at some local minima. It appears that by gradual increasing $$s$$ each branch can be continued to an arbitrarily large value of $$A$$.

The branches amplitude-velocity in each pair as seen in Fig. 2 can be described as an upper one and a lower one. Each of these branches is a single-valued function and these branches join each other at certain bifurcation points where d$$A$/ds = $$\infty$$. Alternatively, we can consider the dependence Fig. 2 as a function $$s = s(A)$$. In that case, the pairs of these branches can be classified as two single-valued functions of $$A$$ that join together at the bifurcation point ds/d$$A$$ = $$\infty$$. In this case we should call them as faster and slower branches since for the fixed amplitude their velocities differ. Because the kink velocity $$s$$ is the variable parameter in our problem, we choose the former classification.

Figure 2 shows that for a fixed value of the kink velocity $$s$$ there exists a countable set of solutions as $$A$$ is increased. While $$s$$ decreases, the branches are packed more densely and each individual branch $$A(s)$$ becomes more and more steep.

If the velocity $$s$$ is fixed, then two different solutions that belong to one pair of curves differ by the amplitude and the wavelength of the oscillating tail. Depending on the pair of branches they may also differ by the behaviour near the solutions center. The typical nanopteron profiles are given in Fig. 3 and is can be observed that if a solution lies on the upper curve of the pair, we may have $$u'(0) < 0$$, otherwise $$u'(0) > 0$$, as shown in the panel (b). However, for larger velocities the behaviour in the core remains very similar with both profiles having $$u'(0) > 0$$.

The wavelength of the nanopteron tail approximately equals to $$2\pi/q_s$$, where the wavenumber $$q_s$$ is the root of the equation $$sq_s = \omega(q_s)$$ and $$\omega(q)$$ is the dispersion law given in Eq. (7). For the two nanopteron with $$s = 3.9$$ given in Fig. 3 the respective wavelength equal 4.47 for the one marked by the thin line and 4.70 for the one marked by the thick line. The solution of the equation $$sq_s = \omega(q_s)$$ yields $$2\pi/q_s = 5.15$$. Close to the sliding velocity the correspondence is much better. Indeed, for the nanopteron from the lowest branch of Fig. 2 at $$s = 2.75$$ we obtain the wavelength 3.45 and the root of $$sq_s = \omega(q_s)$$ gives the same value up to the third digit. We should keep

![Figure 4](image-url)
in mind that the asymptotics of the nanopteron tails are not exactly phonons, but rather nonlinear periodic waves. Certainly, for smaller amplitudes they become closer to phonons. The \( A(s) \) behaviour for this lattice is different from the analogous figure for the discrete Klein-Gordon lattice with harmonic interactions, where the dependence \( A(s) \) is generally single valued.

It appears that within the range of velocities \( 0 < s < 4 \), the dependence \( A(s) \) hits the \( A = 0 \) axis at several points, namely \( s_1 = 2.73025 \), \( s_2 = 1.51338 \), \( s_3 = 0.82501 \), \( s_4 = 0.67820 \), \( s_5 = 0.42719 \), \ldots. As far as velocities \( s > 4 \) are concerned, we did not detect any zeroes of the \( A(s) \) dependence up to \( s = 12 \). We did not continue into smaller velocity values because of the bad convergence of the numerical scheme, which is very likely due to entering into the phonon group velocities zone.

Examples of non-oscillating solitons for two values of the sliding velocity are given in Fig. 4. Both solutions can be treated as a bound state of two acoustic solitons, especially well this can be seen from the \( u'(z) \) profiles. The difference between these solutions is the behaviour in the centre \((z = 0)\). As \( s \) decreases, the separation between the global minima of \( u'(z) \) becomes more significant. This remains to be true for the rest of the non-oscillating solutions, those with \( s < 1 \).

If the length \( L \) is changed the dependence \( A(s) \) also changes, but insignificantly. The sliding velocities (those at which \( A(s) = 0 \)) do not change. This is logical, because when \( A(s) = 0 \), the boundary conditions become irrelevant. It has not been possible to find other non-oscillating bound states in spite of trying different \( \delta \) in the initial ansatz Eq. (12), with one, three or other number of kinks in one bound state.

### B. Energies

We set the zero of the energy at the equilibrium distances, that is, the energy is given by \( E = H - U_0 \), where \( U_0 \) is the sum of the potential interactions at the equilibrium distances, \( U_0 = \sum_{n} U(u_n - u_{n-1}) \). Note that the on-site potential \( V(u_n) \) is already zero for \( u_n = 0 \).

The values of scaled and physical velocities are given in Tab. 1. Note that in spite of the different velocities of the sliding solitons, their energies appear to vary by less than 1%. This is logical because the ions need to have the same energy to overcome the same potential barrier and they need the same energy increase to be two of them fairly close in the same potential well.

### VII. STABILITY OF KINKS AND NANOPTERONS

Stability of the moving sliding kinks with \( Q = -1 \) has been checked by integrating numerically the dynamical equations of motion where the exact or perturbed solution of Eq. (10) was used as an initial condition. The perturbation was introduced by distorting the velocity field \( \dot{u}_n(0) \) in the several ways, for example

\[
\dot{u}_n(0) \to (1 + \epsilon)\dot{u}_n(0),
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is the perturbation parameter. The displacement field \( u_n(0) \) was kept unchanged. Alternatively, the displacement field \( u_n \) can be excited in the similar manner while the velocity field was undistorted. Although, the two methods seem quite different they lead to similar results for the stability as can be seen in Fig. 5. The perturbation parameter has been varied from -0.1 to 0.1. The boundary conditions were periodic. Only the kink with fastest velocity \( s_1 = 2.73025 \) is stable for positive values of \( \epsilon = 0.1 \) but gets pinned for negative \( \epsilon = -0.01 \). This is due to the fact that being the perturbation proportional to the particle velocities in the kink core, which are large, the diminution of the velocities takes too much energy away from the kink.

A different perturbation can be done with a random variation of the initial displacements or velocities:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}_n(0) &\to \epsilon(\xi_n - 1/2) + u_n(0), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \\
\dot{u}_n(0) &\to \epsilon(\xi_n - 1/2) + u_n(0), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, N,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{N} \in [0, 1] \) are random numbers. This perturbation is of the order of the thermal velocities at room temperature for \( \epsilon \simeq 0.1 \). The kink also shows significant robustness. The center of mass dynamics is given in Fig. 5h. Here the energy density \( \mathcal{E}_n \) is defined in Eq. (5).

The stability of nanopterons depends on many details such as speed, amplitude and also the lattice size. Generically speaking, nanopterons with fast velocities \( s \gtrsim 2.5 - 3 \) can travel 10-25 times the whole lattice before getting pinned, while slower nanopterons \( s \lesssim 2 \) travel much shorter distances or get pinned in the lattice from the very beginning. If the nanopteron speed is close to the sliding velocity, it can be significantly robust, travelling successfully around the lattice with \( N = 40 \) more than 60 times (see Fig. 5b). Another nanopteron solution with the velocity \( s = 3 \) and same perturbed initial

| Notation | \( s_1 \) | \( s_2 \) | \( s_3 \) | \( s_4 \) | \( s_5 \) |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| \( V(\text{scaled}) \) | 0.4271903 | 0.67820 | 0.82501 | 1.51338 | 2.73025 |
| \( E(\text{scaled}) \) | 1.1171 | 1.7734 | 2.1573 | 3.9574 | 7.1394 |
| \( V(\text{km/s}) \) | 25.4648 | 25.6093 | 25.6402 | 25.2525 | 26.1585 |
| \( E(\text{eV}) \) | 9.177956 | 9.230015 | 9.241154 | 9.10141 | 9.427962 |

TABLE I. Scaled and physical velocities and energies of the localized kinks with \( Q = -1 \). Note that in spite of the different velocities, their energies are very similar.
FIG. 5. Center of mass (17) evolution for: (a) the kink with the sliding velocity \( s = 2.73025 \) with the randomly perturbed initial velocities according to Eq. (15) and \( \epsilon \approx 0.01 \) of the order of thermal perturbation at room temperature; (b) Nanopterons with \( \epsilon = 0.001 \) in Eq. (15), \( s = 2.8 \) and \( A = 0.0079 \); (c) Nanopteron with \( s = 3 \) and \( A = 0.064 \). Panels (d)-(f) correspond to the same as (a)-(c) but with the initially perturbed displacement field as in Eq. (16).

conditions has managed to travel much shorter distance as shown in Fig. 5c. In all three cases of Fig. 5 the periodic boundary conditions \( u_{n+N} = u_n + Q \) were used. From the physical point of view, we can conclude that nanopterons can play a role as a transient state before the sliding kink velocity is established. It is, of course, impossible, to produce an exact nanopteron in a physical process as it should include a very large part of the lattice, but approximations to them might happen.

To get better understanding of the nanopteron and kink stability, we have performed the global stability studies. For that, we need to follow each of the branches that appear in Fig. 2. We have used the homogeneous velocity perturbation, described in Eq. (14). In this way, we can exclude the dependence on the particular realization of disorder. We also have used the random perturbation scheme (15) for one fixed disorder realization. To characterize the robustness of the solitary wave, we introduce the survival time \( t_s \) for which the progressive propagation with the constant velocity is sustained:

\[
X(t) = \begin{cases} 
X_0 + st, & t < t_s, \\
\text{pinned}, & t > t_s.
\end{cases}
\]

For example, in the case shown by Fig. 5a, \( t_s \approx 800 \). The logarithmic dependence of this critical time is given in Fig. 6. We numerate the pairs of curves in Fig. 2 from right to the left. Thus, the first pair is positioned in the top right corner of that figure. The pair number 4 contains the non-oscillating kink with \( s_1 = 2.73025 \). The second non-oscillating kink belongs to the pair 15. Then we take each branch (upper and lower) from each pair, and compute the time \( t_s \) while the velocity is varied. For the first pair we have used three values of the perturbation \( \epsilon = 0.1, \epsilon = 0.01 \) and \( \epsilon = 0.001 \). This case is depicted in Fig. 5a. As one can see, for the value \( \epsilon = 0.1 \) the original solution gets destroyed too quickly and not much is seen on the logarithmic scale. Therefore we drop it for the next cases. The main results of the global stability studies are the following:

- Only the nanopterons from the lower branches can be truly stable, the survival time for the upper branch solutions never exceeds \( 10^2 \). That means it can hardly travel more than 100 lattice sites. On the contrary, the lower branch solutions can be extremely robust with \( t_s > 2 \times 10^5 \) (the computation was stopped at those times).

- The intervals of high nanopteron stability are positioned at the lower parts of the \( A(s) \) dependencies (for small amplitudes).

- High robustness of the nanopteron is seen for the high-speed branches, especially for the branches 1, 2 and 4. The branch 4 contains a non-radiating kink, however the most stable solutions are nanopterons with velocities \( s \in [2.805, 2.807] \) that slightly exceed the non-radiating kink velocity \( s_1 \). This can be understood easily as the faster nanopteron can slow down because of disorder keeping its stability. The intervals of the extremely high kink robustness are very close to the respective minima of the \( A(s) \) curve for the pairs 1 and 2. Thus, the most stable nanopteron have the smallest tail amplitude. However, as commented above, this is not true for the branches that contain the sliding velocity, where nanopteron with \( A > 0 \) are more stable.
FIG. 6. Survival time $t_s$ (see text) as a function of the velocity for different branches of the $A(s)$ dependence represented in Fig. 2. The panels (a)-(f) correspond to the pairs of curves 1-6 starting from the right, i.e., from higher velocities. The lower curve is generally more stable than the upper one for the same branch. Lower branches: thick continuous line for the perturbation parameter $\epsilon = 0.001$, dashed for $\epsilon = 0.01$; upper branches: dash-dotted line for $\epsilon = 0.001$, dotted line for $\epsilon = 0.01$. Also for panel (a) there are two thinner lines at the bottom for $\epsilon = 0.1$, continuous for the upper branch and dotted for the lower branch. Circles ($\circ$) mark results for the randomly perturbed chain described in Eq. 16 with $\epsilon = 0.01$. Vertical thinner lines mark the position of the local minimum of the respective $A(s)$ dependence.
• Nanopterons starting from the branch 5 and further on appear to be much less robust (see Figs. 6e-f). We did not plot the $t_s(s)$ dependencies for the rest of the branches. As we move towards lower velocities, the dependencies look qualitatively the same as in [3]-f. The values of $t_s$ further decrease to the values $t_s \sim 10$ or even less. At some point (starting from the pair number 12) it becomes impossible to define $t_s$ as nanopterons can travel only several sites, which confirms that nanopterons and kinks can only be stable when their velocity is larger than the minimum phonon velocity in the first Brillouin zone $v_{ph, \text{min}} = 1.68$.

We also note that random perturbations of the initial conditions give qualitatively the same result as the homogeneous perturbations. The survival times are plotted as circles in Fig. 6.

The change of the lattice size does not bring any principal changes to the stability picture. As expected there are changes of the nanopteron tail amplitudes $A(s)$ for the same velocities with respect to the lattice size, which tend to disappear in the vicinity of the sliding kinks. The nanopterons are more robust for the lowest part of the $A(s)$ dependencies, while nanopterons that belong to the top branches are much less stable.

A general conclusion is that it seems that both sliding kinks and nanopterons are only stable at large velocities of the order of the fastest and only stable crowdion with $s_1 = 2.73$ and $q = 0.584\pi$ which is close to twice the speed of sound in the lattice without substrate $2c_s$ and above five times larger than the group velocities. This is also valid for the double crowdions described in Sect. 11.

We have not a mathematical proof but the reason for it seems evident looking at Fig. 1 kinks with velocities that do not correspond to a wavevector in the first Brillouin zone are not stable and get pinned to the lattice because although they are mathematical solutions they are an artificial one.

The wavenumber associated with the tail of both the crowdion and bi-crowdion do not coincide although it is not very far from the magic wave number $q = 2\pi/\sqrt{32}$ which shows very good propagation in FPU lattices because it is an exact solution of the FPU lattice when the interaction potential can be approximated to a polynomial of degree four. This variation is certainly due to the existence of the on-site potential and the peculiar, but realistic, characteristics of the model with hard on-site potential and two repulsive interactions. The global stability results can be explained in the following way. Stable nanopteron solutions are observed for small tail amplitudes and only for those branches with $s \gtrsim s_1$, where $s_1$ is the largest sliding velocity ($A(s_1) = 0$). Large tail amplitudes can trigger the modulational instability in the nanopteron asymptotics that must eventually destroy the moving solution. Careful analysis of the $A(s)$ dependence (Fig. 2) reveals that the pairs of branches with $s \gtrsim s_1$ are more isolated from each other. As $s$ decreases these pairs become more and more densely packed. Thus, if we take a solution from one of those branches and perturb it, an even small perturbation can easily bring us to another solution, with smaller velocity, and, further, to the next one. If the amplitude $A$ is quite large, the branches are even more densely packed and this hopping can take place faster. On the contrary, if the solution from the more isolated branch is perturbed, one needs a much stronger perturbation to get to the neighbouring solution.

### VIII. VARIATION FROM THE PHYSICAL PARAMETERS

Although the values of the parameters in the system have been obtained from physical consideration, it is interesting to know what happens if they change.

#### A. Change of the magnitude of the on-site potential

Suppose $\gamma \neq 1$ in Eq. (2), then there is not a significant change in the global picture, only there are different values of the sliding velocities as represented in Fig. 7 and only one of them is stable, the fastest one. As it can be seen in the inset of the same figure the stable velocity $s_1$ increases monotonically with $\gamma$, which is probably related with the increase of the phase velocity of the phonons. However, for a value of $\gamma \simeq 2.5$ the two velocities coincide and they no longer exist for larger $\gamma$. Therefore, somewhat against intuition, the increase of the magnitude of the on site potential increases the velocity of the sliding kinks but after some value makes it impossible, restoring...
again the intuition.

B. Suppression of the short-range ZBL potential

If the short range ZBL potential is suppressed in Eq. (2) there are no localized solution with velocity \( s > 1 \). The picture for smaller velocities is quite complicated because the branches of the different non-local solutions are very dense. The main conclusion can be that the short-range potential is an important factor for the existence of kinks with fast velocity.

IX. DOUBLE CROWDIONS, \( Q = -2 \) AND OTHER TOPOLOGICAL CHARGES

We have also studied the case with topological charge \( Q = -2 \) which corresponds to a travelling state with two extra particles or a double crowdion. There exist bi-crowdions that consist of two kinks with a distance between them. We have managed to obtain solutions with different distances as different velocities, as shown in Fig. 8. One of them with two crowdions being quite close and with velocity \( s_{D1} = 3.2619 \) and energy \( E \approx 20 \) (56 eV). Another one has larger distance approximately six lattice units between their cores and with velocities very similar to the single one with the first sliding velocities \( s_{D2} = 2.73036 \) and \( s_{D3} = 1.51339 \) very similar to the \( Q = -1 \) case and also approximately the double of energy, i.e. \( E \approx 18.8 \) (52 eV). The double crowdions with velocities \( s_{D1} \) and \( s_{D2} \) are stable while the other is unstable. In Fig. 9 we show the survival time dependence on the double nanopteron velocity corresponding to the branch with \( s_{D1} \). It has been computed with the same procedure as for Figs. 6 for \( \epsilon = 0.01 \). This dependence looks very similar to Fig. 11 where the same branch of the \( Q = -1 \) case has been studied. Both the bi-crowdion and the double nanopteron solutions can be treated as stable.

Note that N-crowdions have been recently found with molecular dynamics in FPU Morse lattices.\(^{54,55}\) We can speculate that also triple, quadruple, etc. crowdions also exist, similar to cnoidal waves in KdV equation. As there are many parameters involved we cannot discard that other bi-crowdions also exist. Also, other N-crowdions, although our search for tri-crowdions (\( Q = -3 \)) has been unsuccessful. On the other hand, the energies of N-crowdions, of the order of N times the energy of a single one is too high to correspond to a realistic lattice excitation.

The large energy of a double crowdion can only be provided by one type of \( \beta \) decay of \( ^{40}\)K: electron capture followed by conversion electron, where the nucleus decay to an excited state of \( ^{40}\)Ar emitting a 1460 KeV gamma photon which kicks out a shell electron. The outcome is \( \text{Ar}^{++} \), with 49.7 eV, which is close to the double crowdion energy. It is very likely that after the first collision it de-ionizes due to its high ionization energy and brings about a potassium ion with 57 eV. This type of decay is only 0.01% but it is monochromatic, differently to the \( \beta^- \) decay where only a fraction of recoils have enough energy to produce nonlinear excitations.\(^6,9\) Of course, this energy can be found in other processes and particularly in the experiments where nonlinear excitations are produced by \( \alpha \) bombardment.\(^{5,7}\)

The properties of the repulsive interaction potential which corresponds to our physical model imply that it is very steep when the ions approach but there is no

FIG. 8. Profile of the stable sliding double crowdion with the largest velocity and different distances between the kinks. The velocities are \( s = 3.2619 \) (a) and \( s = 2.73036 \) (b).

FIG. 9. Top: Survival time as a function of the velocity for the double nanopteron with \( Q = -2 \), \( L = 50 \). Bottom: Double nanopteron tail amplitude as a function of velocity.
restoring force when the bonds are stretched. For kinks with \( Q = 1 \), i.e., moving vacancies or rarefaction solitary waves, to exist in a given system, it is necessary a steep potential corresponding to the stretching of the bonds, except in systems with some special dispersion relations. This implies that moving vacancies are not possible in our system, which has been confirmed by extensive numerical search for kinks with topological charge \( Q = 1 \) with negative results.

X. BREATHERS

In this section, we describe first stationary breathers, their stabilities and energies and, second, the small amplitude moving breathers that we have found in the system.
frequency of the nonlinear oscillator increases with the amplitude.

By a continuation method, increasing the coupling at small intervals, it is possible to obtain breathers from a frequency close to the top of the phonon band to the resonance frequency $2\omega_0$ with the second harmonic of the lowest frequency of the phonon band. Breathers are typical mode-$\pi$ with a staggered profile, both site-centered

(Sievers Takeno or ST) or bond-centered (Page mode or P). The ST-breather can be seen in Fig. 11 for a very low frequency and it is stable. It exists until its frequency is about twice the lowest phonon frequency $\omega_0$. The P breather is always unstable and it exists until its frequency is about twice the top of the phonon band. They become more and more localized as the frequency increases. As can be seen in Fig. 12, the energy of the ST-breather stretches from 0.16 (0.44 eV) to 4.47 (12.4 eV) or about half the energy of the sliding kinks, the P-breather having about the double of energy although they coincide close to the phonon band. Breathers can be produced by $^{40}$K recoil as $\beta^-$ decay has a continuous range of energies from 0 to 42 eV.

B. Moving breathers

Breathers can be moved with several methods, for that it is necessary that the breather is not extremely stable and a pair of Floquet eigenvalues are close to the unity, meaning that other solution is close. Then, a perturbation with the momenta of the eigenvector corresponding to the instability eigenvalue of a localized mode can bring about breather movability. This is not the case, as the eigenvalues can clearly be identified with the phonon band $\exp(i\omega_{phon}T_b) = \exp(i2\pi\omega_{phon}/\omega_b)$ without any pinning mode. Numerical attempts to perturb the breathers with many variants used usually in the literature as the discrete gradient and others have failed. Also the introduction of the expansion of the interaction to more neighbours which has been shown to enhance mobility has not been successful.

However, we have observed the smooth movement of small amplitude and energy breathers produced by some simple but meaningful initial conditions as compressing two particles with some asymmetry. A large stationary breather is produced but also a small moving breather that survives more than one thousand periods even rebounding into the large stationary breather. The velocities and energies of the moving breathers are small, a typical example shown in Fig. 13 has $s \simeq 0.3$, $E \simeq 0.05 \simeq 0.15$ eV. Its velocity is 10 times smaller and its energy 150 times smaller that the single crowdion energy. This is an interesting result as there are many tracks of different types scattering from the primary tracks in muscovite mica. The perturbation that produce them needs to have a much smaller energy. A more detailed study is underway and it will be published in due time. Note that small-amplitude moving envelope solitons and their merging have been described on the FPU chain.

XI. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we have investigated the existence of localized nonlinear waves in a model for the potassium ions in muscovite mica with potentials obtained from physical
properties and empirical potentials fitted for other properties of the material or for short range collisions. The motivation is the fossil and experimental evidence that nonlinear excitations can travel long distances along the closed packed lines of the potassium layer. We have performed a systematic study of the travelling waves in this system and we have found a wide range of nanopterons and non-radiating kinks. The only stable ones being a single crowion and two double crowions with velocities about five times the sound velocity. Nanopterons of small tail amplitude can be even more stable than the sliding kinks. A necessary condition for kinks and nanopterons stability is that their velocity corresponds to a phonon wavenumber in the first Brillouin zone. We have also constructed stationary breathers that cannot be moved, but that can be of interest for other properties of the crystal. There are, also, small amplitude breathers that can be produced by simple physical conditions, with much smaller energies than the crowions and bi-crowions and with a long life time. Among the nonlinear waves that we have found, only the single crowion had been described previously with a less rigorous method. Crowions and bi-crowions are also natural charge carriers in an ionic crystal. Nanopterons with small amplitude tails and high stability may play an important role as long-lived transient states. An interesting subject for future work is the study of charge transport along the lattice close-packed lines as electron or hole hopping coupled with some lattice excitations. We are working in a tight-binding model with these characteristics which will be published in due time. We adventure the hypothesis that the high energy single and double crowions could be the primary charge carriers along lattice lines, but not of the secondary charge carriers that are scattered by it, which could be breathers coupled to a charge.
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