Comparative pathogenesis of type 1 (European genotype) and type 2 (North American genotype) porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus in infected boar
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Abstract

Background: Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) now has two main genotypes, genotype 1 (European) and genotype 2 (North American). There is a lack of data on the comparison of pathogenicity of the two genotypes in boars. The objectives of the present study were to evaluate the amount of PRRSV present in semen over time and compare the viral distribution and microscopic lesions of type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars.

Methods: Twenty-four 8-month-old PRRSV-naïve Duroc boars were randomly allocated to 3 treatment groups. The boars in groups 1 (n = 9) and 2 (n = 9) were intranasally inoculated with type 1 or type 2 PRRSV, respectively. The boars in groups 1 (n = 6) served as negative controls. Semen and blood samples were collected up to 35 days post-inoculation (dpi), and necropsies were performed on 14, 21, and 35 dpi.

Results: There were no significant differences in the genomic copy number of PRRSV, microscopic testicular lesion score, number of PRRSV-positive germ cells, or number of apoptotic cells between the type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars throughout the experiment. Histopathological changes were manifested by the desquamation of spermatocytes and the presence of multinucleated giant cells in seminiferous tubules of both type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars. The distribution of PRRSV-positive cells was focal; the virus was found in single germ cells or small clusters of germ cells, localized to the spermatogonia, spermatocytes, spermatids, and non-sperm cells in type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars.

Conclusions: The results of this study demonstrated that two genotypes of PRRSV do not have significantly different virulence toward the male reproductive system of pigs.
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**Results**

**Clinical signs**
The daily rectal temperatures of the infected boars increased (39.3-39.5°C) between 3 and 5 days post-inoculation (dpi). Some infected boars were depressed and anorectic for approximately 4 to 7 dpi. After the onset of fever, clinical signs of disease were not observed in the infected boars. The negative control boars had clinically normal health and rectal temperature (38–39.1°C) throughout the experiment.

**Serology of PRRSV**
Anti-PRRSV IgG antibodies were detected in infected boars as early as 7 dpi and all the infected boars were found to be seropositive by 10 dpi. Thereafter, all of the infected boars remained seropositive for PRRSV. There was no significant difference in the S/P ratio of the serum samples between type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars throughout the experiment (Figure 1). No anti-PRRSV IgG antibodies were detected in the serum of the negative control boars throughout the experiment.

**Virus isolation and sequence analysis in blood and semen**
Type 1 and type 2 PRRSV were isolated from the testicular tissues of all the infected boars except for two type 1 PRRSV-infected boars at 35 dpi and one type 2 PRRSV-infected boar at 35 dpi. All type 1 and type 2 PRRSV isolated from the infected boars was confirmed by sequence analysis to be the same propagating virus as the challenge stock. No PRRSV was isolated from the testicular tissues in the negative control boars.

**Quantification of PRRSV RNA in blood and semen**
No genomic copies of type 1 or type 2 PRRSV were detected in semen or serum samples from any of the boars at 0 dpi in 3 groups. Genomic copies of type 1 PRRSV were detected in the serum and semen samples from type 1 PRRSV-infected boars. For the within-group comparison, the number of genomic copies of type 1 PRRSV in sera increased from 0 to 7 ($P < 0.05$) dpi but decreased thereafter. The number of genomic copies of type 1 PRRSV in semen increased from 0 to 7 ($P < 0.05$) dpi but decreased from 10 to 21 dpi. Genomic copies of the type 2 PRRSV were detected in the serum and semen samples from type 2 PRRSV-infected boars only. The number of genomic copies of type 2 PRRSV in serum increased from 0 to 4 ($P < 0.05$) dpi but decreased from 10 to 14 dpi and from 21 and 28 dpi. The number of genomic copies of type 2 PRRSV in semen increased from 0 to 7 ($P < 0.05$) dpi but decreased from 14 to 21 dpi (Figure 2).

For the inter-group comparison, no significant difference in the number of genomic copies of PRRSV was observed in serum and semen samples between type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars throughout the experiment. No genomic copies of the type 1 and type 2 PRRSV were found in the serum or semen samples from the negative control boars throughout the course of the experiment.

**Microscopic lesions**
There was no significant difference in the testicular lesion scores of the type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars (Table 1). Microscopic lesions were observed in PRRSV-infected boars but not in the negative control boars. Regardless of the type of PRRSV infection, a
consistently observed lesion was hypospermatogenesis, which was characterized by a complete lack of mature spermatids and a nearly complete absence of germ cells. The histopathological changes were manifested by the desquamation of spermatocytes and the presence of multinucleated giant cells in the seminiferous tubules of both the type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars.

In situ hybridization (ISH)
Type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-positive cells were found in the testes of the type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars, respectively. No hybridization signal was observed in tissue sections pretreated with RNase A. The distribution of positive cells was focal, in single or small clusters of germ cells with the virus localized to the spermatogonia, spermatocytes, spermatids, and non-sperm cells in both type 1 (Figure 3a) and type 2 (Figure 3b) PRRSV-infected boars.

In the within-group comparison, the number of type 1 PRRSV-positive cells was significantly \( (P = 0.050) \) higher in spermatocytes than in other cell types in infected boars at 21 dpi. The number of type 2 PRRSV-positive cells was significantly \( (P = 0.048) \) higher in spermatocytes than in other cell types in infected boars at 14 dpi (Table 1). In the inter-group comparison, there was no significant difference in the number of positive cells among the spermatogonia, spermatocytes, spermatids, and non-sperm cells of type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars throughout the experiment (Table 1).

Hybridization signals were also detected in epididymal tissues from infected boars. Most of the infected cells were in the lumen of the efferent ducts. The non-sperm cells contained viral nucleic acid. Occasionally, positive cells were also observed in the stromal connective tissue in the epididymis, ductus deferens, and prostate gland. The spermatozoa were consistently negative by ISH for type 1 and type 2 PRRSV in the lumen of the ductus deferens. No hybridization signals were detected in the bulbourethral glands or penis of infected boars.

Positive hybridization signals were not detected in the testes or epididymis of boars infected experimentally with type 1 PRRSV using the type 2-based PRRSV probe and vice versa. Positive hybridization signals were detected in the lungs from pigs infected experimentally with type 1 (or type 2) PRRSV using only the type 1 (or type 2)-based PRRSV probe, respectively.

Table 1 Testicular lesion score (TLS), in situ hybridization (ISH), and apoptosis in boars at different days post-inoculation (dpi)

| DPI | n | PRRSV   | TLS       | Number of positive germ cells for ISH | Apoptosis |
|-----|---|---------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
|     |   |         | Spermatogonia | Spermatocyte | Spermatid | Non-sperm cell |               |
| 14  | 3 | Type 1  | 5.9 ± 1.5 | 1.4 ± 0.8 | 2.5 ± 0.6 | 2.0 ± 0.6 | 1.4 ± 0.4 | 35.2 ± 1.4 \( ^a \) |
|     |   | Type 2  | 6.0 ± 1.4 | 1.1 ± 0.6 | 2.1 ± 0.4 | 1.9 ± 0.7 | 1.4 ± 0.4 | 28.4 ± 5.8 |
| 21  | 3 | Type 1  | 7.1 ± 1.0 | 0.9 ± 0.5 | 1.9 ± 0.5 \( ^a \) | 1.1 ± 0.7 | 1.2 ± 0.3 | 23.6 ± 7.2 |
|     |   | Type 2  | 7.2 ± 1.2 | 0.9 ± 0.5 | 1.7 ± 0.4 | 1.3 ± 0.4 | 1.1 ± 0.7 | 21.6 ± 6.8 |
| 35  | 3 | Type 1  | 8.5 ± 0.5 | 0.5 ± 0.7 | 0.9 ± 0.5 | 0.5 ± 0.5 | 0.3 ± 0.4 | 16.0 ± 4.2 |
|     |   | Type 2  | 8.4 ± 0.6 | 0.4 ± 0.6 | 0.8 ± 0.4 | 0.6 ± 0.4 | 0.4 ± 0.5 | 16.2 ± 6.4 |

\( ^a \)Significantly different PRRSV-positive cells between spermatogonia and spermatocyte.

\( ^b \)Significantly different numbers of apoptosis between type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars.

In Figure 3, In situ hybridization of testicular tissues from boars experimentally infected with porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) at 14 days post-inoculation. Type 1 (a) and type 2 (b) PRRSV nucleic acids are detected in spermatocyte (arrow) and spermatogonia (arrowheads).
Immunohistochemistry (IHC) of seminal ejaculates

PRRSV-positive cells were detected as early as 4 dpi in the ejaculates of type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars from which semen was obtained. Distinct red staining was observed in the semen smears prepared from samples taken from PRRSV-infected boars. Positive staining of spermatogonia, spermatocytes, spermatids, and non-sperm cells (Figure 4) in the ejaculates was most frequently noted between 7 and 14 dpi.

In the within-group comparison, the spermatocytes had significantly higher numbers of PRRSV-positive cells than did the non-sperm cells \((P = 0.034)\) at 10 dpi, and the spermatogonia \((P = 0.025)\) and non-sperm cells \((P = 0.043)\) at 14 dpi in type 1 PRRSV-infected boars (Figure 5a). In type 2 PRRSV-infected boars, the spermatocytes had significantly higher numbers of PRRSV-positive cells than non-sperm cells at 7 \((P = 0.037)\) dpi, and spermatogonia at 10 \((P = 0.035)\) and 14 \((P = 0.005)\) dpi. Spermatids had significantly higher numbers of PRRSV-positive cells than did spermatogonia at 14 dpi (Figure 5b). In the intergroup comparison, there was no significant difference in the number of positive cells among the spermatogonia, spermatocytes, spermatids, and non-sperm cells between type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars throughout the experiment. No PRRSV-positive-stained cells were observed in semen smears prepared from the negative control boars.

In situ TUNEL staining

Apoptosis induced by type 1 and type 2 PRRSV was examined in the testes of type 1 (Figure 6) and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars. TUNEL-positive cells had red-stained nuclei. Examination of serial sections revealed that the PRRSV-positive cells did not co-localize with apoptotic cells. Minimal numbers of apoptotic cells were observed in testicular tissues from negative control boars \((4.7 \pm 2.1\) cells/0.25 mm\(^2\) for boar at 14 dpi, \(4.2 \pm 2.9\) cells/0.25 mm\(^2\) for boar at 21 dpi and \(5.1 \pm 1.3\) cells/0.25 mm\(^2\) for boar at 35 dpi).

In the within-group comparison, the number of TUNEL-positive cells decreased significantly in the type 1 PRRSV-infected boars from 14 to 21 \((P = 0.019)\) dpi and from 21 to 35 \((P = 0.031)\) dpi. The number of TUNEL-positive cells decreased significantly \((P = 0.050)\) in the type 2 PRRSV-infected boars from 21 to 35 dpi (Table 1). In the intergroup comparison, the number of TUNEL-positive cells at 14 dpi were significantly \((P = 0.035)\) higher in testicular tissues of the type 1 PRRSV-infected boars than in those of the type 2 PRRSV-infected boars (Table 1).

Discussion

This study demonstrated that type 1 and type 2 PRRSV were able to infect germ cells of male reproductive organs from infected boars. Infection of PRRSV may be

---

Figure 4 Immunohistochemistry of semen smear from boars experimentally infected with type 2 porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) at 14 days post-inoculation. PRRSV antigen is detected in the non-sperm cells (arrow) and spermatocyte (arrowhead).

Figure 5 Mean score for immunohistochemistry of semen smear (spermatogonia, ■; spermatocytes, □; spermatids, ●; and non-sperm cells, ○) in pigs experimentally infected with type 1 (a) and type 2 (b) porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus.
depended on the presence of viral receptors on the surface of germ cells. PRRSV enters porcine alveolar macrophages via the receptor-mediated endocytosis in vivo [11]. Four receptors of PRRSV have been identified on porcine macrophages: heparan sulphate, sialoadhesin (CD169), CD 163, and CD151 [12-14]. Further studies are needed to determine the presence of these receptors or other possible receptors on germ cells of male reproductive organs.

The simultaneous detection of viral nucleic acid and protein of type 1 PRRSV by ISH and IHC, respectively, in spermatogonia and other cells indicates that PRRSV replicates in these cells. No significant differences in the type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-positive cells in the male reproductive organs were observed in the present study. These observations contrast with those regarding the respiratory disease in growing pigs [4,5,15], in which type 2 PRRSV was found in higher titers in the respiratory organs, especially alveolar macrophages. These results suggest that type 2 PRRSV may have more affinity for the macrophage lineages. However, there is no significant difference in the affinity of type 1 and type 2 PRRSV for cells of non-macrophage lineages, such as germ cells of male reproductive organs.

This study demonstrated that PRRSV were localized prominently in spermatogonia and their progeny, and apoptosis were also seen in these germinal cells. These results agree with previous findings in which the major site of PRRSV replication and apoptosis were germinal cells; spermatogonia, spermatocytes, and spermatids [10]. Spermatogonia are one of the most important potential targets because these cells do not constitutively express biologically active interferon [16]. PRRSV was most consistently found in the cell fractions of semen from vasectomized and nonvasectomized boars [17,18]. These observations suggest that PRRSV excretion in semen results from viral replication in the reproductive tract of the boars or originates from other organs or tissues in a cell-free or cell-associated state. Our results were also shown that germinal cells are the major contributors of cell-associated PRRSV in ejaculates.

The origin of PRRSV in spermatogonia and their progeny was most likely to the hematogenous spread of the virus because PRRSV did not infect the epithelial cells lining the ducts or the glands of the male reproductive tracts. PRRSV antigens were predominantly detected in macrophages in testes and other male reproductive tracts [18]. PRRSV may use macrophages as a vector for spread of infection to seminal cells within testes. Of the blood vessels, monocytes and endothelial cells have been associated with viremia [19,20]. Because monocytes continue to circulate, virus dissemination in reproductive organs such as testes occurs via infected monocytes. PRRSV viremia contributes to viral distribution throughout the reproductive tissues [18]. Alternatively, direct infection from infectious virions in the peripheral blood is also a distinct possibility given the highly vascular nature of the testes.

There is no significant difference in the histopathological lesions of type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars. The main histopathological lesions are desquamation and multinucleated giant cells of germ cells in both type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars. These characteristics lesions were also described in previous study [10]. The observed desquamation of germ cells indicated the disruption of spermatogenesis [10]. The multinucleated giant cells, consisting of degenerated and necrotic spermatocytes and/or spermatids [21] are the consequence of the particular mode of cytokinesis of dividing germinal cells. The failure of cytokinesis is one of the causes of origin of multinucleated giant cells [22].

Analysis of ejaculates by IHC demonstrated that PRRSV protein was present in spermatogonia and their progeny, and non-sperm cells. The origin of non-sperm cells was identified as macrophages [18]. This result is in agreement with previous findings that PRRSV is present in semen mainly in a cell-associated fashion [10,23,24]. Detection of PRRSV-infected spermatogonia and their progeny in ejaculated semen indicated that the virus is certainly released from the seminiferous epithelium [25] and that the semen may contribute to spreading the virus to the sows [26]. Several studies have demonstrated seroconversion of sows and gilts bred with infected boars or inseminated with semen from such boars or with experimentally contaminated semen [7,26-29].

Boar vaccination against the PRRSV infection is important preventive tool because of the prolonged seminal shedding of virus [13,26]. Vaccination with the modified
live PRRS vaccine reduced or eliminated shedding of wild-type PRRSV in challenged boars by day 50 following vaccination [30]. Nevertheless, the cross-protection between two genotypes is another issue because type 1 PRRSV emerged in Asian countries [31-33]. It has been reported that the type 2 PRRSV-based modified live vaccine is more effective against type 2 PRRSV than the type 1 PRRSV in vaccinated and challenged boars [34].

This is the first study to compare the amount of PRRSV cDNA shed in semen of type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars. Quantitative differences in the shedding patterns of the two viral genotypes were not observed under the experimental conditions. There was no significantly difference in viral replication or the microscopic testicular lesions associated with the two genotypes. Therefore, two genotypes of PRRSV do not differ significantly in their virulence toward the male reproductive system of pigs. However, our results should be interpreted carefully because the pathogenesis of PRRSV can vary greatly among different strains of the same genotype [4,35]. In addition, it should be pointed out that this experiment used one strain of virus of each genotype, which has been passaged serially in MARC-145 cells. It is important to use inoculate which have undergone minimal passage in a porcine line ideally alveolar macrophages because the pathogenicity of the PRRSV field isolate became attenuated when propagated in MARC-145 cells [36]. Further studies are needed to use several strains of virus in each genotype to compare the pathogenesis in male reproductive organs.

Conclusions
In this study, the comparative pathogenicity between type 1 and type 2 PRRSV in boars was evaluated. No significant difference in the number of genomic copies of PRRSV, the microscopic testicular lesion score, PRRSV-positive germ cells, and the numbers of apoptotic cells between type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars throughout the experiment. The results suggest that the two viral genotypes of PRRSV do not differ significantly in their virulence toward the male reproductive system of pigs.

Materials and methods
PRRSV inoculum
Type 1 PRRSV (SNUVR100058) was isolated from lymph node tissue of an aborted fetus in 2011 in Kyounggi Province. Type 2 PRRSV (SNUVR100059) was isolated from lymph node tissue of an aborted fetus in 2011 in Chungcheung Province. Type 1 and type 2 PRRSV (passage 6) were propagated in MARC-145 cells to a titer of $1 \times 10^6$ TCID$_{50}$/mL. SNUVR100058 strain was identified as type 1 PRRSV on the basis of nucleotide sequences of open reading frame (ORF) 5 (GenBank JX988617) and ORF 7 (GenBank JX988612). SNUVR100059 strain was identified as a type 2 PRRSV based on the nucleotide sequences of ORF 5 (GenBank JX988620) and ORF 7 (GenBank JX988615). Type 1 and type 2 PRRSV used in this study share 58.7 and 60.8% nucleotide identity for ORF5 and ORF7, respectively. Type 1 and type 2 PRRSV used in this study were analyzed phylogenetically along with prototype of PRRSV (Lelystad, VR-2332, and Lena) and some 5 other strains used in male reproductive studies that are listed in the GenBank database as ORF 5 sequences (Figure 7).

Experimental design
At 8 months of age, 24 purebred male Duroc pigs were purchased from a PRRSV-free commercial farm. The boars were PRRSV negative according to routine serology and real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) prior to delivery and on arrival. All boars were individually housed throughout the experiment in an environmentally controlled building with pens over completely slatted floors.

The boars were randomly allocated to 3 groups. The boars in group 1 ($n = 9$) were inoculated with type 1 PRRSV intranasally (2 mL) with an infectious titer of $1 \times 10^6$ TCID$_{50}$/mL. The boars in group 2 ($n = 9$) were inoculated with type 2 PRRSV intranasally (2 mL) with an infectious titer of $1 \times 10^6$ TCID$_{50}$/mL. The boars in group 3 ($n = 6$) served as negative controls. Following PRRSV inoculation, the physical condition of the boar was monitored daily and their rectal temperatures were taken. Each of three infected and two negative control boars were tranquilized by an intravenous injection of azaperon (Stresnil, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Beerse, Belgium) and then euthanized by electrocution for necropsy at 14, 21, and 35 dpi. Tissues were collected from each pig at necropsy. All of the methods were previously approved by the Seoul National University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (SNU-121001-4).

Figure 7 Phylogenetic analysis of open reading frame 5 of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) genome. An unrooted neighbor-joining tree was constructed from aligned nucleic acid sequences of several PRRSV found in GenBank.
Serology
Blood samples from boars were collected at 0, 4, 7, 10, 14, 21, 28, and 35 dpi. The serum samples were tested using the commercially available PRRSV enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; HerdCheck PRRS 2XR™, IDEXX Laboratories Inc., Westbrook, Maine, USA). Serum samples were considered positive for PRRSV antibody if the S/P ratio was greater than 0.4 according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Virus isolation
Testicular tissues from boars were collected for virus isolation from all infected and negative control pigs. PRRSV was isolated from these organs as previously described [37].

Sequence analysis
PRRSV isolated from testicular tissues was further analyzed for the ORF5 sequence. RNA was extracted from PRRSV-infected MARC-145 cells [37] and amplified from the ORF5 region by reverse transcription (RT)-PCR [38]. Sequencing was performed on the purified RT-PCR products of amplified ORF5.

Quantification of PRRSV RNA
RNA extractions from the semen (raw) and serum samples were collected 0, 4, 7, 10, 14, 21, 28, and 35 dpi from boars used in this study. Real-time PCR was considered to be positive if the cycle threshold level was obtained at <45 cycles [39].

Preparation of labeled probe
For type 1 PRRSV, a 354-base-pair cDNA fragment representing the 5’ region of the ORF6 and ORF7 was used as a probe. The forward and reverse primers were 5’-CGCTGTGACAAAGCCGGAC-3’ (nucleotides 14,482 to 14,501) and 5’-TCGATTGCAAGCAGAGGGAG-3’ (nucleotides 14,482 to 14,485), respectively. For type 2 PRRSV, a 349-base-pair cDNA fragment representing the 5’ region of the ORF6 and ORF7 was used as a probe. The forward and reverse primers were 5’-TCGATTGCAAGCAGAGGGAG-3’ (nucleotides 14,482 to 14,485) and 5’-TCGATTGCAAGCAGAGGGAG-3’ (nucleotides 14,482 to 14,485), respectively. RT-PCR was carried out as previously described [40]. The purified RT-PCR product was labeled by random priming with digoxigenin-dUTP using a commercial kit (Boehringer Mannheim).

In situ hybridization (ISH)
Five serial sections (4 μm) were mounted on positively charged slide (Erie Scientific Company, Portsmouth, NH, USA) and then prepared from each tissue, two being further processed for ISH using a type 1 and 2 PRRSV probe without RNase A treatment, two for ISH using a type 1 and 2 PRRSV probe with RNase A treatment and one for haematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining. ISH was performed as previously [41]. The lung tissues from three type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected pigs euthanized at 7 dpi were used as positive controls for ISH.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)
Whole semen was filtered through a triple layer of gauze and diluted 1:1000 in Eagle’s minimum essential medium. Diluted whole semen was applied to positively charged slide (Erie Scientific Company) by centrifugation at 200 × g for 5 min. Slides were fixed in alcohol for 5 min and air dried. IHC for type 1 and type 2 PRRSV was performed using SR30 monoclonal antibody (Rural Technologies Inc., Brookings, SD, USA) as previously described [42]. SR30 monoclonal antibody (Rural Technologies Inc.), capable of specifically recognizing nucleocapsid protein of PRRSV, was diluted 1:10,000 in PBS (0.01 M, pH 7.4) containing 0.1% Tween 20.

In situ TUNEL staining
Tissue sections (4 μm) were mounted on positively charged slide (Erie Scientific Company), deparaffinized, and rehydrated. Sections and slides were first treated with 20 μg/mL of proteinase K in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, 0.1 M, pH 7.4) for 20 min at 37°C. After washing in PBS, sections and slides were covered with 50 μl of the TUNEL reaction mixture (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN, USA) and incubate under a coverslip in a humidified chamber for 1 h at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by washing slides in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. The sections were then incubated with the anti-fluorescein-alkaline phosphatase conjugate (Boehringer Mannheim) diluted 1/3 in 100 mM Tris–HCl, 150 mM NaCl (pH 7.5), and 1% blocking agent for 1 h at room temperature. After three washes in PBS, substrate consisting of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) and 5-bromocresyl-3-indolylphosphate (BCIP) was layered over the sections. Color was allowed to develop for 5–8 h in the dark, and the reaction was stopped by dipping slides briefly in Tri-ethylenediaminotetraacetic acid buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl and 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Sections were counterstained with 0.5% methyl green, and the slides were then washed with distilled water for 1 min, and then allowed to dry completely.

Morphometric analysis
Five sections of formalin-fixed testes were taken from each virus-infected boar for morphometric analysis.
Morphometric analysis of the microscopic testicular lesions was performed as previously described [43]. In each testicular slide, spermatogenesis was determined by the semiquantitative testicular score count in 100 cross-sections in each boar at the same magnification and was summed up as mean testicular score. Five sections of formalin-fixed testes were taken from each virus-infected boar for morphometric analysis. In each testicular slide, 10 fields were randomly selected, and the number of positive cells by ISH and in situ TUNEL per unit area (0.25 mm²) was counted. The seminal ejaculates from PRRSV-infected boars were also used for morphometric analysis. Numbers of positive spermatagonia, spermatocytes, spermatids, and non-sperm cells per unit area (0.25 mm²) by IHC were also measured in seminal ejaculates.

Statistical analysis

Student's t-test for paired samples (testicular lesion score, ISH, in situ TUNEL, and IHC scores) was used to compare the differences between type 1 and type 2 PRRSV-infected boars. Continuous data for PRRSV quantification over time among the groups were analyzed at each time point using Paired t-test. P < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests related to the present study.

Authors' contributions

KH and HWS performed the experimental trials, data analysis and writing of the manuscript, CP and YO prepared the inoculum and lab analysis, IK inoculation of virus, CC development of protocol, design of the study, review of the final manuscript, approval for publication. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by contract research funds of the Research Institute for Veterinary Science (RIVS) from the College of Veterinary Medicine and by Brain Korea 21 Program for Veterinary Science in the Republic of Korea.

Received: 12 December 2012 Accepted: 15 April 2013 Published: 21 May 2013

References

1. Cavanagh D. Nidovirales: a new order comprising Coronaviridae and Arterviridae. Arch Virol 1997, 142:629–633.
2. Zimmerman JJ, Benfield DA, Dee SA, Murtagh MP, Stadejek T, Stevenson GW, Torremorell M. In Diseases of Swine. 10th edition. Edited by Zimmerman JJ, Karriker LA, Ramirez A, Schwartz KJ, Stevenson GW. Ames: Wiley-Blackwell Publishing, 2012;461–486.
3. Meng XJ, Paul PS, Halbur PG, Lumm MA: Phylogenetic analyses of the putative M (ORF 6) and N (ORF 7) genes of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV); implication for the existence of two genotypes of PRRSV in the U.S.A. and Europe. Arch Virol 1995, 140:745–755.
4. Halbur PG, Paul PS, Frey ML, Landgraf J, Eernisse K, Meng X-J, Lumm MA, Andrews JJ, Ratheja JA: Comparison of the pathogenicity of two US porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome viruses isolated with that of the Lelystad virus. Vet Pathol 1995, 32:548–660.
5. Martínez-Lobo FJ, Díez-Fuertes F, Segales J, García-Antúa C, Simarro I, Castro JM, Prieto C: Comparative pathogenicity of type 1 and type 2 isolates of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) in a young pig infection model. Vet Microbiol 2011, 154:58–68.
6. van der Linden IF, Voermans JJ, van der Linde-Bil EM, Bianchi AT, Stevenlink PJ: Virological kinetics and immunological responses to a porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus infection of pigs at different ages. Vaccine 2003, 21:1952–1957.
7. Yaeger MJ, Prieve T, Collins J, Christopher-Hennings J, Nelson E, Benfield D: Evidence for the transmission of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) virus in boar semen. Swine Health Prod 1993, 17:9–17.
8. Prieto C, García C, Simarro I, Castro JM: Temporal localization of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus in reproductive tissues of experimentally infected boars. Theriogenology 2003, 60:1505–1514.
9. Prieto C, Suárez P, Bautista JM, Rillo SM, Simarro I, Solana A, Castro JM: Semen changes in boars after experimental infection with porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) virus. Theriogenology 1996, 45:383–395.
10. Sur JH, Doster AR, Christian JS, Galesota JA, Wills RW, Zimmerman JJ, Osoco FA: Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus replicates in testicular germ cells, alters spermatogenesis, and induces germ cell death by apoptosis. J Virol 1997, 71:9170–9179.
11. Nauwynck HJ, Duan X, Favoreel HW, van Oostveldt P, Pensaert MB: Entry of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus into porcine alveolar macrophages via receptor-mediated endocytosis. J Gen Virol 1998, 79:297–305.
12. Calvert JG, Slade DE, Shields SL, Jolie R, Mannan RM, Arkenbauer RG, Welch SK: CD163 expression confers susceptibility to porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome viruses. J Virol 2007, 81:7371–7379.
13. Delputte PL, Vanderheijden N, Nauwynck HJ, Pensaert MB: Involvement of the matrix protein in attachment of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus to a heparinlike receptor on porcine alveolar macrophages. J Virol 2002, 76:4312–4320.
14. Vanderheijden N, Delputte PL, Favoreel HW, Vandekerckhove J, van Damme J, van Woensel PA, Nauwynck HJ: Involvement of sialoadhesin in entry of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus into porcine alveolar macrophages. J Virol 2001, 75:8207–8215.
15. Han K, Seo HW, Oh Y, Kang I, Park C, Chee C: Comparison of the virulence of European and North American genotypes of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus in experimentally infected pigs. Vet J 2013, 195:313–318.
16. Dejucq N, Jegou B: Viruses in the mammalian male genital tract and their effects on the reproductive system. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2001, 65:208–231.
17. Christopher-Hennings J, Nelson EA, Hines RJ, Nelson JK, Swenson SL, Zimmerman JJ, Chase CCL, Yaeger MJ, Benfield D: Persistence of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus in serum and semen of adult boars. J Virol Diagn Invest 1995, 7:456–464.
18. Christopher-Hennings J, Nelson EA, Nelson JK, Rossow KD, Shivers JL, Yaeger MJ, Chase CCL, Gardano RA, Collins JE, Benfield DA: Identification of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus in semen and tissues from vasectomized and nonvasectomized boars. Vet Pathol 1998, 35:260–267.
19. Voicu IL, Silim A, Morin M, Elzahary MA: Interaction of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus with swine monocytes. Vet Rec 1994, 134:422–423.
20. Halbur PG, Miller LD, Paul PS, Meng X-J, Huffman EL, Andrews JJ: Immunohistochemical identification of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) antigen in the heart and lymphoid system of three-week-old colostrum-deprived pigs. Vet Pathol 1990, 32:200–204.
21. Kaya M: Sertoli cells and various types of multinucleates in the rat seminiferous tubules following temporary ligation of the testicular artery. J Anat 1986, 154:15–29.
22. Nakai M, Hess RA: Effects of carbendazim (methyl 2-benzimidazole carbamate; MBC) on meiotic spermatocytes and subsequent spermiogenesis in the rat testis. Anat Rec 1997, 247:379–387.
23. Shin J, Torisson J, Choi CS, Gonzalez SM, Crabo BG, Molitor TW: Monitoring of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus infection in boars. Vet Microbiol 1995, 55:337–346.
24. Christopher-Hennings J, Nelson EA, Nelson JK, Hines RJ, Swenson SL, Hill HT, Zimmerman JJ, Katz JB, Yaeger MJ, Chase CCL, Benfield DA: Detection of...
porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus in boar semen by PCR. J Clin Microbiol 1995, 33:1730–1734.
25. Shin J-H, Molitor TW: Localization of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus infection in boars by in situ riboprobe hybridization. J Vet Sci 2002, 3:87–95.
26. Prieto C, Castro JM: Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus infection in the boar: a review. Theriogenology 2005, 63:1–6.
27. Prieto C, Suárez P, Simarro I, García C, Martin-Rillo S, Castro JM: Insenmination of susceptible and preimmunized gilts with boar semen containing porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. Theriogenology 1997, 47:647–654.
28. Gradil C, Dubuc C, Eaglesome MD: Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus: seminal transmission. Vet Rec 1996, 138:521–522.
29. Swenson SL, Hill HT, Zimmerman JJ, Evans LE, Wills RW, Yoon KJ, Schwartz KJ, Althouse GC, McGinley MJ, Brevik AK: Artificial insemination of gilts with porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) virus-contaminated semen. Swine Health Prod 1994, 10:19–23.
30. Christopher-Hennings J, Nelson EA, Nelson JK, Benfield DA: Effects of a modified-live virus vaccine against porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome in boars. Am J Vet Res 1997, 58:40–45.
31. Chen N, Cao Z, Yu X, Deng X, Zhao T, Wang L, Liu Q, Li X, Tian K: Pathogenic characteristics of three genotype II porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus isolates in a five-week-old cesarean-derived, colostrum-deprived pig model. J Vet Diagn Invest 1996, 8:11–20.
32. Thanawongnuwech R, Amonsin A, Tatsanakit A, Damrongwatanapokin S: Genetic and geographical variation of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) in Thailand. Vet Microbiol 2004, 1019:21.
33. Nam E, Park CK, Kim SH, Joo YS, Yeo SG, Lee C: Complete genomic characterization of a European type 1 porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus isolate in Korea. Arch Virol 2009, 154:629–638.
34. Han X, Seo HW, Shin JH, Oh Y, Kang J, Park C, Chee C: Effect of the modified live porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) vaccine on European and North American PRRSV shedding in semen from infected boars. Clin Vaccine Immunol 2011, 18:1600–1607.
35. Halbur PG, Paul PS, Meng X-J, Lum MA, Andrews JJ, Patthey J: Comparative pathogenicity of nine US porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) isolates in a five-week-old cesarean-derived, colostrum-deprived pig model. J Vet Diagn Invest 1996, 8:11–20.
36. Shang Y, Wang G, Yin S, Tian H, Du P, Wu J, Chen Y, Yang S, Jin Y, Zhang K, Lu Z, Liu X: Pathogenic characteristics of three genotype II porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome viruses isolated from China. Virology 2013, 445:446–453. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2012.10.007.
37. Cheon D-S, Chee C: Comparison of virus isolation, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction, immunohistochemistry, and in situ hybridization for the detection of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus from naturally aborted fetuses and stillborn piglets. J Vet Diagn Invest 2000, 12:582–587.
38. Oleksiewicz MB, Bätter A, Madsen KG, Storgaard T: Sensitive detection and typing of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus by RT-PCR amplification of whole viral genes. Vet Microbiol 1998, 64:17–22.
39. Wasilak A, Callahan JD, Christopher-Hennings J, Gay TA, Fang Y, Dammann M, Reos ME, Torremorell M, Polson D, Mellencamp M, Nelson E, Nelson WM: Detection of U.S., Lelystad, and European-like porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome viruses and relative quantitation in boar semen and serum samples by real-time PCR. J Clin Microbiol 2004, 42:453–461.
40. Kono Y, Kanno T, Shimizu M, Yamada S, Ohashi S, Nakamine M, Shirai J: Nested PCR for detection and typing of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) virus in pigs. J Vet Med Sci 1996, 58:941–946.
41. Han K, Seo HW, Oh Y, Kang J, Park C, Chee C: Pathogenesis of Korean type 1 (European genotype) porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus in experimentally infected pigs. J Comp Pathol 2012, 147:275–284.
42. Han K, Seo HW, Oh Y, Kang J, Park C, Kang SH, Kim SH, Lee BH, Kwon B, Chee C: Evaluation of monoclonal antibody-based immunohistochemistry for the detection of European and North American Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus and a comparison with in situ hybridization and reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. J Vet Diagn Invest 2012, 24:719–724.