DExD/H-Box Helicase 36 Signaling via Myeloid Differentiation Primary Response Gene 88 Contributes to NF-κB Activation to Type 2 Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus Infection
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DExD/H-box helicase 36 (DHX36) is known to be an ATP-dependent RNA helicase that unwinds the guanine-quadruplexes DNA or RNA, but emerging data suggest that it also functions as pattern recognition receptor in innate immunity. Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) is an Arterivirus that has been devastating the swine industry worldwide. Interstitial pneumonia is considered to be one of the most obvious clinical signs of PRRSV infection, suggesting that the inflammatory response plays an important role in PRRSV pathogenesis. However, whether DHX36 is involved in PRRSV-induced inflammatory cytokine expression remains unclear. In this study, we found that PRRSV infection increased the expression of DHX36. Knockdown of DHX36 and its adaptor myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88 (MyD88) by small-interfering RNA in MARC-145 cells significantly reduced NF-κB activation and pro-inflammatory cytokine expression after PRRSV infection. Further investigation revealed that PRRSV nucleocapsid protein interacted with the N-terminal quadruplex binding domain of DHX36, which in turn augmented nucleocapsid protein-induced NF-κB activation. Taken together, our results suggest that DHX36–MyD88 has a relevant role in the recognition of PRRSV nucleocapsid protein and in the subsequent activation of pro-inflammatory NF-κB pathway.
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INTRODUCTION

Virus infection induces innate immune responses through the activation of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which detect pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and are crucial for protection against microbial invasion and maintaining homeostasis (1). Previous studies have suggested involvement of various DExD/H-box RNA helicases, for example, DExD/H-box helicase...
58 (also known as RIG-I) in the initiation of innate immune responses (2, 3). Recently, the involvement of other DExD/H-box RNA helicases, for instance, DExD/H-box helicase 36 (DHX36), in innate immunity has also been extensively studied (2–4).

DExD/H-box helicase 36, also termed RNA helicase associated with AU-rich RNA element, was initially characterized as an ATP-dependent RNA helicase that demonstrates high affinity for quadruplex (G4) structures in DNA and RNA (5). DHX36 contains a core helicase domain responsible for ATP-binding/helicase activity and is flanked on either side by N- and C-terminal extensions (5). Later, DHX36 has been shown to function as a myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88 (MyD88)-dependent sensor for herpes simplex virus 1 in the cytosol of plasmacytoid dendritic cells, and a TIR-domain-containing adapter-inducing IFN-β (TRIF)-dependent sensor in response to influenza and reovirus infection in myeloid dendritic cells (2, 3). Whether DHX36 can recognize other virus-derived PAMPs except nucleic acids has not been elucidated to date.

Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) is an enveloped, positive-stranded RNA virus, which belongs to the family of Arteriviridae that are grouped together with the Coronaviruses into the order Nidovirales (6–8). The positive-stranded RNA genome of PRRSV is approximately 15 kb in length and is packaged by the nucleocapsid (N) protein, one of the most abundant viral proteins during infection (9, 10). Several pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α are significantly elevated during PRRSV infection and correlate with the persistent infection and tissue pathology associated with PRRSV (11–13).

Although lipopolysaccharides treatment results in enhanced production of pro-inflammatory cytokines during PRRSV infection, the intracellular pathogen sensors that recognize PRRSV have not been elucidated yet (14–20). The purpose of this work is to identify whether the intracellular pathogen sensor DHX36 is involved in PRRSV-induced inflammatory response. In this study, we found that the expression levels of DHX36 in cytoplasm increase after PRRSV infection. Knockdown and overexpression analyses showed that DHX36 is involved in both PRRSV and N protein-induced NF-kB signal activation. Furthermore, co-immunoprecipitation confirmed that N protein binds to DHX36, both in transfected HEK293T cells and in PRRSV-infected MARC-145 cells. Thus, our studies have illuminated a cellular mechanism responsible for PRRSV-associated inflammatory responses that may contribute to a deeper understanding of the infection and pathogenesis of PRRSV.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Virus, Cells, and Reagents

Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus WUH3 strain (GenBank accession number: HM853673.2), isolated from the brain of pigs suffering from “high fever” syndrome in China at the end of 2006 and identified as a highly pathogenic North American type PRRSV (type 2), was amplified and titrated as described previously (21). UV-inactivated PRRSV was irradiated 30 cm under short-wave (254 nm) ultraviolet light (40 W) for 1 h. Loss of infectivity was confirmed by the inability of the UV light-exposed viruses to produce cytopathic effect on monolayers of MARC-145 cells. MARC-145 cells were grown and propagated in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator. HEK293T cells were cultured and maintained in RPMI-1640 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. Porcine primary pulmonary macrophages (PAMs) used in this study have been described previously (22).

Mouse monoclonal anti-hemagglutinin (anti-HA), anti-FLAG, and anti-β-actin antibodies were purchased from ABclonal Biotechnology. Anti-FLAG polyclonal antibody (Macgene, China), anti-DHX36 polyclonal antibody (Proteintech, China), anti-TRIF polyclonal antibody (Proteintech, China), anti-MyD88 polyclonal antibody (Proteintech, China), anti-HSP90 polyclonal antibody (Proteintech, China), anti-LaminA + C polyclonal antibody (Proteintech, China), anti-IκBα, anti-NF-κB P65, and anti-phosphor-p-P65 polyclonal antibodies (Cell Signaling) were purchased and used according to the manufacturers’ recommendations. Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG antibodies were purchased from Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology (Jiangsu, China). PRRSV N protein monoclonal antibody was produced from hybridoma cells derived from Sp2/0 myeloma cells and spleen cells of BALB/c mice immunized with recombinant N protein from PRRSV strain WUH3.

Plasmids

The FLAG or HA epitope tag was amplified by PCR and cloned into the pCAGGS-MCS vector to generate the pCAGGS-FLAG or pCAGGS-HA plasmid, encoding an N-terminal FLAG or HA tag. Expression plasmids for FLAG-tagged DHX36 were constructed by PCR amplification of the cDNA from PAMs. Plasmids encoding truncated DHX36 were constructed by PCR amplification using the specific primers listed in Table S1 in Supplementary Material. The HA-tagged expression plasmid encoding the PRRSV N protein (pCAGGS-HA-N) used in this study, and its expression was confirmed with HA-tag immunoblotting (23). Reporter plasmids NF-κB reporter plasmid and pRL-TK were described elsewhere (21). All constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

RNA Extraction and Real-time RT-PCR

MARC-145 cells grown in 24-well plates were infected with PRRSV or mock-infected at an MOI of 1. Total RNA was isolated at the indicated time points using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen). Real-time RT-PCR was performed using SYBR Green Real Time PCR Master Mix (Toyobo Biologics, Osaka, Japan) in a LightCycler 480 (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). Individual transcripts in each sample were assayed three times. The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation for 10 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 15 s at 58°C, and 40 s at 72°C. The fold change in gene expression relative to normal was calculated using the delta delta cycles to threshold (ΔΔCT).
method (24). Primers (Table S2 in Supplementary Material) were designed using the Primer Express software (version 3.0; Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

**Transfection and Luciferase Reporter Assay**

Transient transfection was carried out using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). MARC-145 cells were seeded on 24-well plates at a density of 2–4 × 10^5 cells/well and cultured until the cells reached approximately 70–80% confluence. Cells were then transfected with the indicated plasmids or small-interfering RNA (siRNA) in triplicate. For each transfection, 0.2 µg of the NF-κB reporter plasmid (purchased from Stratagene) along with 0.05 µg pRL-TK and 80 nM siRNA were used. Firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were determined using the dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. siRNA sequences used are as follows: si-DHX36, sense 5′-GGAGCCGGAUUUGUAAGCAGUAGAA-3′, antisense 5′-UUCUACUGCUUACAAAUCCGGCUCC-3′; si-MyD88, sense 5′-GGAGCCGGAUUUGUAAGCAGUAGAA-3′, antisense 5′-UUCUACUGCUUACAAAUCCGGCUCC-3′; si-TRIF, sense 5′-GGAGCCGGAUUUGUAAGCAGUAGAA-3′, antisense 5′-UUCUACUGCUUACAAAUCCGGCUCC-3′; negative control (NC) siRNA, sense 5′-UUCUACUGCUUACAAAUCCGGCUCC-3′, antisense 5′-GGAGCCGGAUUUGUAAGCAGUAGAA-3′.

**Western Blotting Analysis**

Cytoplasm and nuclear protein extracts from PRRSV-infected PAMs or HEK293T cells after transfection with N protein expression plasmid were prepared with the cytoplasmic and nuclear protein extraction kit (Aidlab Biotechnologies Co., Ltd.) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Cells cultured in 60-mm dishes were prepared by adding 120 µL 2× lysis buffer A (65 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 4% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 3 % dithiothreitol, and 40% glycerol). The cell extracts were boiled for 10 min and then resolved with 8–12% SDS-PAGE. The separated proteins were electroblotted (Bio-Rad Transblot Cell System, USA) onto a polyvinylidenedifluoride (PVDF) membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and run for 3–5 h at 40 V on ice. The Western blot was probed with specific antibodies and the expression of β-actin was detected with an anti-β-actin mouse monoclonal antibody to demonstrate equal protein sample loading.

**Co-Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting Analyses**

To investigate the interactions between proteins, HEK293T cells or MARC-145 cells were lysed in immunoprecipitation lysis buffer (RIPA). After the lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 10 min, the lysate proteins were incubated overnight at 4°C with the indicated antibodies. Protein A + G agarose beads (30 µl; Beyotime) were then added to each immunoprecipitation reaction for another 6 h. The agarose beads were then washed three times and the captured proteins resolved on 8–12% SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and analyzed by immunoblotting.

**Indirect Immunofluorescence Assay**

MARC-145 cells seeded on microscope coverslips and placed in 24-well dishes were infected with PRRSV (MOI = 0.5). At 24 hpi, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min and then permeated with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 10 min at room temperature. After three washes with PBS, the cells were sealed with PBS containing 5% bovine serum albumin for 1 h and then incubated separately with rabbit polyclonal antibody directed against DHX36 (1:200) and mouse monoclonal antibody directed against PRRSV N protein (1:200) for 1 h at 37°C. The cells were then treated with fluorescein-isothiocyanate-labeled goat anti-mouse or Cy3-labeled goat anti-rabbit antibodies (Invitrogen) for 1 h, followed by 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole for 10 min at room temperature. After the samples were washed with PBS, fluorescent images were acquired with a confocal laser scanning microscope (Olympus Fluoview ver. 3.1, Japan).

**Statistical Analysis**

The statistical analyses (Student’s t test) and generation of graphs were performed with the GraphPad Prism® 6 software. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

**RESULTS**

**PRRSV Infection Steadily Increases the Expression of DHX36**

To better understand the role of DHX36 in PRRSV infection, we first examined the expression levels of endogenous DHX36 after PRRSV infection. MARC-145 cells were mock-infected or infected with PRRSV, and cells were collected at 12, 24, 36, and 48 h post-infection (hpi) for Western blotting analyses. As shown in Figure 1A, elevated endogenous DHX36 protein was first observed at 24 hpi in PRRSV-infected MARC-145 cells and achieved stronger intensity at 36 hpi, while stimulation with UV-inactivated PRRSV failed to alter DHX36 protein expression. This indicates that the up-regulation of DHX36 depends on viral replication.

To substantiate that the expression of DHX36 is induced by PRRSV, we then tested the expression of DHX36 in PAMs. To this end, PAMs were mock-infected or infected with PRRSV or UV-inactivated PRRSV and collected at 12 and 36 hpi before subjected to Western blot analyses. As shown in Figure 1B, the expression of DHX36 was increased in PRRSV-infected PAMs. UV-inactivated PRRSV also failed to alter DHX36 protein expression. Collectively, above data support the basal presence of DHX36 in unstimulated cells and demonstrated that DHX36 is modulated by PRRSV infection.

**DHX36 Resided Mainly in the Cytoplasm after PRRSV Infection**

The subcellular localization of DHX36 was monitored by indirect immunofluorescence staining. Western blotting revealed that DHX36 resided mainly in the cytoplasm. To this end, PAMs were mock-infected or infected with PRRSV and collected at 12 and 36 hpi before subjected to Western blot analyses. As shown in Figure 1B, the expression of DHX36 was increased in PRRSV-infected PAMs. UV-inactivated PRRSV also failed to alter DHX36 protein expression. Collectively, above data support the basal presence of DHX36 in unstimulated cells and demonstrated that DHX36 is modulated by PRRSV infection.
functions both in the cytoplasm and nucleus (3). To explore the subcellular localization of DHX36 after PRRSV infection, MARC-145 cells were inoculated with PRRSV for 24 h, and indirect immunofluorescence assay was performed with a polyclonal antibody against DHX36. The PRRSV-infected cells were monitored by monoclonal antibody against viral N protein. As shown in Figure 2A, endogenous DHX36 protein was distributed diffusely in both cytoplasm and cell nuclei in mock-infected cells; in contrast, even though some of the DHX36 still resided in the nucleus, PRRSV infection resulted in the accumulation of DHX36 in the cytoplasm.

To further reinforce this observation, the nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were separated from PAMs at 24 h after PRRSV infection, followed by Western blot analyses. As expected, HSP90 and Lamin A+C, the cytoplasmic and nuclear protein markers remained unchanged in their fractions, respectively, eliminating the possibility of fractions cross-contamination and unequal sample loading (Figure 2B). In accord with the results in MARC-145 cells, the increased DHX36 mainly located in cytoplasmic fraction in PRRSV-infected PAMs (Figure 2B).

These results suggest a possibility that PRRSV infection might provide potential ligand, which redistributes DHX36 into the cytoplasm.

**The DHX36–MyD88 Axis Is Involved in PRRSV-Induced NF-κB Activation**

In cytoplasm, DHX36 plays important roles in sensing poly I:C and viral infection (3, 25). Considering that pigs infected with PRRSV may develop severe interstitial pneumonia, we focused on the relationship between DHX36- and PRRSV-induced pro-inflammatory NF-κB signaling activation. First, we utilized DHX36-specific siRNA to silence DHX36. The knockdown efficiency of the synthesized siRNA-targeting DHX36 (si-DHX36) was evaluated by real-time RT-PCR and Western blotting analyses. Compared with the cells treated with NC siRNA, cells transfected with si-DHX36 significantly decreased DHX36 expression at protein and mRNA levels (Figure 3A).

Next, we assessed the impact of DHX36 silencing in response to PRRSV stimulation. Poly I:C was used as a positive control. As expected, when DHX36 was knocked down in MARC-145 cells, the poly I:C-induced activation of NF-κB-promoter-dependent luciferase activity was less pronounced (Figure 3B). Similarly, compared to DHX36-knockdown MARC-145 cells, cells transfected with NC siRNA induced high levels of NF-κB activation following stimulation with PRRSV, highlighting the importance of DHX36 for NF-κB signal activation in response to PRRSV infection (Figure 3B).

To further validate the function of DHX36 in PRRSV-induced activation of NF-κB, we again stimulated NF-κB promoter activity using PRRSV after DHX36 overexpression. Synthetic RNA duplex poly I:C was also included for comparison. Under the same conditions, overexpression of DHX36 significantly augmented the poly I:C- and PRRSV-induced activation of the
NF-κB promoter as compared with empty vector-transfected cells (Figure 3C).

Two adaptor molecules, TRIF and MyD88, have been reported to be utilized by DHX36 to mediate downstream signaling (2, 3). To further identify which adaptor is utilized by PRRSV to induce NF-κB activation, we again used siRNA to knock down endogenous MyD88 or TRIF and confirmed the knockdown efficiency by real-time RT-PCR and Western blotting analyses (Figures 4A,B). As shown in Figure 4C, compared with NC siRNA, knockdown of MyD88 significantly reduced PRRSV-induced NF-κB activation, but no appreciable change was detected after knockdown of TRIF. We further validated this observation by Western blotting analysis of IκBα expression level and P65 phosphorylation. To this end, MARC-145 cells were transfected with DHX36-, MyD88-, and TRIF-specific siRNA or control scrambled siRNA, after which the cells were infected with PRRSV, and cell extracts were prepared and separated by SDS-PAGE, followed by immunoblotting. Consistent with the results of the dual-luciferase assay, Western blotting showed that IκBα protein degradation and P65 phosphorylation were strongly impaired in both DHX36- and MyD88-knockdown cells, whereas these parameters barely changed in TRIF-knockdown cells (Figure 4D). These results indicate that MyD88 is the key downstream adaptor of DHX36 responsible for PRRSV-induced NF-κB activation.

### DHX36 and MyD88 Silencing Impair PRRSV-Induced Expression of NF-κB-Dependent Pro-inflammatory Cytokines

NF-κB is the key transcription factor for pro-inflammatory cytokine production following PRRSV infection (26–28). DHX36 is involved in PRRSV-induced NF-κB activation, thus theoretically, it should be associated with PRRSV-induced cytokine production. To test this, MARC-145 cells were transfected with DHX36-specific siRNA to knock down endogenous DHX36 expression, and then infected with PRRSV. At 36 hpi, cells were collected for real-time RT-PCR to analyze the expression of various cytokines. As shown in Figures 5A–D, silencing of endogenous DHX36 led to drastic reduction of IL-6 (Figure 5A), IL-8 (Figure 5B), TNF-α (Figure 5C), and RANTES (Figure 5D) mRNA expression in PRRSV-treated MARC-145 cells. Accordingly, knockdown of MyD88 also resulted in >40% loss in cytokine production (Figures 5A–D), indicating that DHX36 is critical for PRRSV-induced cytokine transcription and that DHX36-driven pro-inflammatory cytokine expression is dependent on MyD88.

### PRRSV N Protein-Induced NF-κB Activation Involves DHX36

Among PRRSV-encoded proteins, the N protein has been identified as the key NF-κB activator (23). To further investigate whether DHX36 is involved in N protein-induced NF-κB signal activation, pCAGGS-HA-N, pCAGGS-FLAG-DHX36, pRL-TK, and an NF-κB reporter plasmid were transiently co-transfected into MARC-145 cells. After 36 h transfection, a dual-luciferase assay was performed to detect NF-κB reporter activation. As shown in Figure 6A, N protein overexpression could initiate the transcription of an NF-κB promoter-driven luciferase construct and overexpression of DHX36 further augmented N protein’s ability to activate NF-κB. We also evaluated the involvement of DHX36 in N protein-induced NF-κB
activation by knockdown of endogenous DHX36. As shown in Figure 6B, we observed that loss of DHX36 led to a significant decrease in the ability of N protein to activate luciferase under control of the NF-κB promoter.

To further confirm NF-κB activation, we examined the phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of NF-κB subunit P65 in cells co-expression of N protein and DHX36. As shown in Figure 6C, the amount of phosphorylated P65 and nuclear P65 protein increased, while total P65 was unaltered in cells co-expressing N protein and DHX36, compared to cells expressing only N protein. These results indicate that forced expression of N protein in MARC-145 cells significantly activates NF-κB signaling and this effect involves DHX36.

**DHX36 Interacts with N Protein via N-Terminal Domain**

To test whether PRRSV N protein might function as a ligand of the intracellular sensor DHX36 to initiate NF-κB signaling activation in mammalian cells, we transfected HEK293T cells with FLAG-tagged DHX36 and HA-tagged N protein then examined their interaction. As shown in Figure 7A, DHX36 was detected in anti-HA co-immunoprecipitates from N protein co-transfectants but not from cells co-transfected with the control plasmid, indicating that FLAG-tagged DHX36 forms a complex with HA-tagged N protein in co-immunoprecipitation assays.

Full-length porcine DHX36 cDNA comprises 1012 amino acid residues, containing a helicase core domain and is flanked on either side by N- and C-terminal extensions (Figure 7B). To examine which domain of DHX36 might be involved in N protein binding, five mutants with deletion of different domains of DHX36 were constructed by mutagenesis (Figure 7B). HEK293T cells were co-transfected with various combinations of FLAG-tagged full length or deleted versions of DHX36 and HA-tagged N protein. As shown in Figure 7C, the CO-IP experiments indicated that mutants with deletion of the N-terminal domain of DHX36 were incapable of interacting with PRRSV N protein.

Finally, we examined whether N protein interacted with endogenous DHX36 after PRRSV infection. To address this, MARC-145 cells were mock infected or infected with PRRSV (MOI = 0.5) for 24 h before cells were harvested. The endogenous DHX36
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### Figures

**Figure 4** Continued.

**Figure 6**

- **A,B** Silencing efficiency of small-interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting MyD88 (A) or TIR-domain-containing adapter-inducing IFN-β (TRIF) (B). MARC-145 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNA. Thirty-six hours later, real-time RT-PCR and Western blot were performed to analyze the mRNA and protein expression of MyD88 or TRIF.
- **C** MARC-145 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNA along with the NF-κB reporter plasmid and pRL-TK plasmid. At 24 h after transfection, cells were infected with PRRSV (MOI = 1) for 24 h before being lysed for dual-luciferase assay.
- **D** MARC-145 cells were transfected with si-DHX36, si-MyD88, or control siRNA. At 36 h after transfection, cells were infected with PRRSV (MOI = 1). Cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts were prepared at 36 h post-infection. The levels of IκBα, phosphorylated P65, total P65, and β-actin were evaluated by Western blotting at 36 hpi, and the blot was probed with a specific monoclonal antibody directed against PRRSV N protein to confirm PRRSV infection.

**Figure 7**

- **A** DHX36 was detected in anti-HA co-immunoprecipitates from N protein co-transfectants but not from cells co-transfected with the control plasmid, indicating that FLAG-tagged DHX36 forms a complex with HA-tagged N protein in co-immunoprecipitation assays.
- **B** Full-length porcine DHX36 cDNA comprises 1012 amino acid residues, containing a helicase core domain and is flanked on either side by N- and C-terminal extensions.
- **C** The CO-IP experiments indicated that mutants with deletion of the N-terminal domain of DHX36 were incapable of interacting with PRRSV N protein.
- **D** Finally, we examined whether N protein interacted with endogenous DHX36 after PRRSV infection. To address this, MARC-145 cells were mock infected or infected with PRRSV (MOI = 0.5) for 24 h before cells were harvested. The endogenous DHX36

### Additional Details

- To test whether PRRSV N protein might function as a ligand of the intracellular sensor DHX36 to initiate NF-κB signaling activation in mammalian cells, we transfected HEK293T cells with FLAG-tagged DHX36 and HA-tagged N protein then examined their interaction. As shown in Figure 7A, DHX36 was detected in anti-HA co-immunoprecipitates from N protein co-transfectants but not from cells co-transfected with the control plasmid, indicating that FLAG-tagged DHX36 forms a complex with HA-tagged N protein in co-immunoprecipitation assays.

Full-length porcine DHX36 cDNA comprises 1012 amino acid residues, containing a helicase core domain and is flanked on either side by N- and C-terminal extensions (Figure 7B). To examine which domain of DHX36 might be involved in N protein binding, five mutants with deletion of different domains of DHX36 were constructed by mutagenesis (Figure 7B). HEK293T cells were co-transfected with various combinations of FLAG-tagged full length or deleted versions of DHX36 and HA-tagged N protein. As shown in Figure 7C, the CO-IP experiments indicated that mutants with deletion of the N-terminal domain of DHX36 were incapable of interacting with PRRSV N protein.

Finally, we examined whether N protein interacted with endogenous DHX36 after PRRSV infection. To address this, MARC-145 cells were mock infected or infected with PRRSV (MOI = 0.5) for 24 h before cells were harvested. The endogenous DHX36
The DExD/H-box helicase 36 (DHX36)-MyD88 axis is involved in porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV)-induced production of pro-inflammatory cytokines. (A–D) MARC-145 cells were transfected with si-DHX36, si-MyD88 or negative control small interfering RNA for 24 h prior to PRRSV (MOI = 1) infection for 36 h. The mRNA expression of IL-6 (A), IL-8 (B), TNF-α (C), and RANTES (D) was evaluated by real-time RT-PCR.

DExD/H-box helicase 36 (DHX36) is involved in N protein-induced NF-κB activation. (A) MARC-145 cells were transfected with the N protein and/or DHX36 along with NF-κB reporter plasmid and the pRL-TK plasmid. Cells were collected for dual-luciferase assay at 36 h post-transfection. (B) MARC-145 cells were transfected with either DHX36 small-interfering RNA (siRNA) or negative control siRNA. At 24 h post-transfection, cells were transfected with NF-κB reporter plasmid and pRL-TK plasmid along with N protein. After another 36 h, cells were collected and lysed for dual-luciferase assay. (C) HEK293T cells were co-transfected with expression vectors encoding FLAG-DHX36 and/or HA-N. Cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts were prepared at 28 h post-transfection and subjected to Western blot analysis with antibodies specific for P65 or p-P65.

was then precipitated, and immunoblotting was performed with an anti-N monoclonal antibody. As shown in Figure 7D, CO-IP analysis indicated that N protein associated with DHX36 in PRRSV-infected MARC-145 cells. Besides, cytoplasmic fractions contained both DHX36 and N protein in PRRSV-infected cells, which provided evidence of their colocalization (Figures 2A,B). Collectively, the above results indicate that DHX36 recognizes N protein and contributes to both PRRSV- and N protein-induced NF-κB pathway activation.

**DISCUSSION**

Modulation of the inflammatory response in the respiratory tract contributes significantly to PRRSV pathogenesis as well as lung disease severity, but the mechanism is poorly understood (6, 15, 29, 30). In this study, we demonstrate that PRRSV infection significantly increases NF-κB-driven inflammatory cytokine production by activating the DHX36–MyD88-P65 signaling cascade. Knockdown of DHX36 inhibits both PRRSV- and N protein-induced activations of the NF-κB signaling. Our findings suggest a new mechanism through which PRRSV employs a viral nucleocapsid protein to initiate DHX36-dependent innate responses to promote activation of NF-κB pathway (Figure 8).

Nucleocapsid protein has been identified as the key NF-κB activator among PRRSV-encoded proteins in previous studies (23, 31); however, it remains unclear which PRRs recognize this protein and contributes to NF-κB pathway activation. In fact, host cells possess a number of PRRs to detect invading virus (28). Viral proteins in some cases can serve as PAMPs to be recognized by certain PRRs such as TLR2 and TLR4, to trigger the innate
immune response (32, 33). In this study, we demonstrate that the N protein of PRRSV binds to DHX36 in both transfected HEK293T cells and PRRSV-infected MARC-145 cells. The interactome map of the PRRSV N protein has been examined using a proteomics approach, and several DExD/H-box helicase superfamily proteins, including DDX3X, DDX5, DDX17, DHX9, DHX30, and DHX36, were identified (34, 35). Consistent with this observation, our work not only validated the interaction between N protein and DHX36 but further showed that the N-terminal domain of DHX36 was responsible for mediating binding of PRRSV N protein. In addition, inhibition of DHX36 expression by specific siRNA impaired N protein-mediated NF-κB activation. Our study therefore provides evidence that a virus-derived protein can bind to the cytoplasmic PRR DHX36 and can subsequently stimulate NF-κB activation.

During the last decade, several reports have illustrated differential functions of DExD/H-box helicase superfamily proteins in PRRSV infection. For example, overexpression of DDX3X was found to significantly inhibit PRRSV replication in MARC-145 cells (36). In addition, it has been shown that DDX5 plays a positive role in the replication of PRRSV via its interaction with viral nsp9 in PRRSV-infected MARC-145 and PAM cells (37). More recently, DHX9 was reported to be recruited by the N protein during PRRSV infection to aid the synthesis of
The formation of stress granules is critical for the maintenance of genomic integrity, prevention of abortive transcription, and translation initiation (5, 39, 40). As an evolutionarily conserved DEAH-box ATP-dependent helicase, DHX36 is highly specific for DNA and RNA G4s with N-terminal extension motifs required for quadruplex recognition (5). This N-terminal domain was also found to be both essential and sufficient for its localization in stress granules, the membraneless RNA- and RNA-binding protein-containing complexes that are transiently assembled in stressful conditions to promote cell survival (41). Recently, biochemical data have shown that DHX36 and double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase (PKR) form a complex in a dsRNA-dependent manner and facilitate dsRNA binding, phosphorylation, and activation of PKR, processes which are essential for the formation of stress granules (25). It is noteworthy that stress granule formation is also triggered by PRRSV infection, which in turn facilitates pro-inflammatory cytokine production (unpublished data). These observations imply that there is some unidentified relationship between PRRSV-triggered stress granule formation and the activation of DHX36 signaling. In addition, Western blots were performed to detect the expression of P65 and p-P65 by co-expression of N protein and the wild-type DHX36 or individual DHX36 mutant. We found that, except the wild-type DHX36, all DHX36 mutants (mutants a, b, c, d, and e) cannot up-regulate the phosphorylation level of P65 when co-expressed with N protein (data not shown). This is possibly because DHX36 mutants a, b, and c lack the C-terminal MyD88-binding domain responsible for downstream signaling activation, while mutants d and e lose the N-terminal domain responsible for binding with PRRSV N protein.

Viral-derived proteins are frequently documented as negative regulators of innate immunity (42–49). Consistent with this, it has been shown that the PRRSV N protein can suppress IFN production by inhibiting IRF3 phosphorylation and nuclear translocation (43). Among the viral-derived proteins that are involved in PRRSV-induced pathogenesis, identification of the major contributor to severely impaired IFN responses and the massive inflammation in the respiratory tract associated with PRRSV infection, along with dissection of the underlying molecular mechanism, is of critical importance. Although recent studies have revealed that several proteins encoded by the PRRSV genome independently antagonize IFN signaling, only N protein has been widely accepted to possess the ability of activating NF-κB signaling (23). Thus, the current study sought to identify the mechanisms by which PRRSV N protein activates NF-κB-driven inflammatory responses and identified that DHX36 is involved in N protein-mediated NF-κB activation. In fact, the N protein of PRRSV is a multifunctional protein in addition to its role in packaging viral genomic RNA (9, 50). Several amino acid motifs on the N protein have been identified not only to be involved in virus replication but are also associated with cellular proteins and signaling pathways (51, 52), such as translation initiation and RNA post-transcriptional modification (53–55). These studies, together with our work, demonstrate the importance of the N protein in the life cycle of Arteriviruses.

In summary, our present study confirms that the expression of DHX36 is markedly induced in PRRSV-infected MARC-145 cells. Knockdown of DHX36 significantly suppresses the NF-κB-driven pro-inflammatory cytokine production by PRRSV infection. Moreover, DHX36 interacts with the N protein of PRRSV and is involved in N protein-induced activation of NF-κB signaling. This work provides a new understanding of the ability of type 2 PRRSV to induce NF-κB activation, but whether DHX36 is also associated with the pathogenesis of PRRSV EU strain (type 1) deserves further investigation.

FIGURE 8 | Proposed model illustrating the DEAH/D-box helicase 36 (DHX36)-MyD88 axis involvement in PRRSV N protein-induced NF-κB signaling. PRRSV infection induces the up-regulation and relocalization of DHX36 from the nucleolus to the cytoplasm. DHX36 in the cytoplasm senses the N protein of PRRSV and then recruits MyD88 to facilitate P65 phosphorylation, which in turn initiates NF-κB-dependent IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α and RANTES transcription.
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