Recycling of tailings from the Barruecopardo tungsten deposit for the production of glass
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Abstract

Tailings from tungsten mining activities in the vicinity of the Barruecopardo (Salamanca) represent high environmental pollution. In this paper we present a study of the use of these wastes as raw materials for the manufacture of glass. This procedure aims to contribute to environmental remediation of mining areas through the vitrification, a process which offers an alternative for stabilization of hazardous wastes. In addition, the marketing of the obtained product would provide an additional income to the mining areas. The chemical composition of the tailings to be used as raw materials was determined by X-ray fluorescence and their mineralogy by X-ray diffraction. Wastes are of granitic composition enriched in potentially toxic. For this study a representative sample of mining wastes of sandy grain size was used to make the glass. On the basis of its composition, glass was formulated by adding 29.28 mass% of CaCO3 and 14.03 mass% of Na2CO3 and a green glass was produced. Crystallisation temperatures, obtained by DTA, were 875 °C and 1022 °C and the melting temperature was 1175 °C. The transition temperature of glass was of 644 °C. The temperatures for the fixed viscosity points and the working temperatures were obtained. A thermal treatment induced devitrification to produce a glass-ceramic made of nepheline and wollastonite. Leaching tests of the obtained glass confirm its capacity to retain potentially toxic elements.
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Introduction

Mining wastes constitute a serious environmental risk. To achieve the purity or concentration required to obtain the marketable product, it is necessary to apply processes that generate high volumes of tailings. The impact of mining wastes in the environment is even more concerning because, besides occupying large areas, it can lead to the emission of potentially toxic elements, as often the destabilization of contained sulphides produces acid mine drainage.

Intense mining to obtain tungsten in deposits associated with granitic rocks has long existed at the western part of the Iberian Peninsula. These activities have caused significant pollution in soils and water, especially with high levels of emission of As, as is the case with the old tungsten mines in Barruecopardo, Salamanca [1–3]. The strategic importance of tungsten [4] makes this activity of interest and currently many mines of this commodity are in process of reopening. This activity implies that economic and environmental aspects related to tailings management must be taken into account.

Vitrification is an alternative of environmental remediation through the transformation of wastes to glass in order to stabilize their pollutant components because their constituents are bound in a glassy stable matrix [5, 6]. Nevertheless, it is an expensive process that can usually only be applied if the obtained glass is reused in high-value applications [7]. Examples of these applications include a diversity of materials such as industrial wastes [8], fly ashes [9], sewage sludge [10] and pyrolized shales [11]. An advantage associated with the use of these materials lies in the fact that, as they have been already undergone industrial processes, they usually present good homogeneity and fine particle size. In
addition, the mining costs for extraction are minimal. Furthermore, production of glass and glass-ceramic from mining wastes reduces the volume of waste exposed to the atmospheric processes and therefore prevent environmental pollution and at the same time yield additional economic returns.

The chemical composition of mining wastes originated from granitic rocks is similar to the most commonly used raw materials in glass making process, thus being suitable to make glass and ceramic materials [12–16]. The high environmental risk produced by tailings result of the tungsten mining [17] has led to several investigations to reduce this problem using these wastes to obtain glass-ceramic products [18], ceramic materials [19] or polymer-based composite materials [20].

The present work aims to determine the potential of tailings from W-rich granitic rocks from Barruecopardo to be used as raw materials in glass and glass-ceramic production. The tailings and the obtained glass have been characterised.

Material and experimental procedures

Samples

The Barruecopardo mine, located in Salamanca, is a W greisen-type ore deposit that consists mainly of granitic rocks with scheelite, wolframite, pyrite, abundant arsenopyrite and minor amounts of chalcopyrite, molybdenite and cassiterite. The activity at the Barruecopardo ore deposit developed from 1918 to 1985 and left millions of tons of mineral waste. Around 5 Mm³ of waste material, occupying a surface of 28·10⁴ m², are stocked in the Barruecopardo tailings. A systematic sampling was undertaken in order to obtain 11 samples which are representative of the different areas of the tailing. The wastes were oven-dried at 90 °C during 24 h; afterwards they were quartered and pulverized to a diameter under 45 µm to obtain homogeneous samples.

Methods

The chemical compositions of raw tailing were determined by X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) using a Sequential X-ray Spectrophotometer (Philips PW 2400). Major elements were analysed in fused pearls (1/20 dilution in lithium tetraborate), two pearls for each sample. Trace elements were determined on pressed powder pellets. The spectrometer is calibrated by a set of more than 60 international standards.

The mineralogy of the tailing samples and the obtained glass-ceramic was determined by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD). The spectra were measured from powdered samples in a Bragg-Brentano PANAnalyticalX’Pert Diffractometer system (graphite monochromator, automatic gap, Kα-radiation of Cu at λ = 1.54061 Å, powered at 45 kV-40 mA, scanning range 4-100 ° with a 0.017 ° 20 step scan and a 50s measuring time). Identification and semiquantitative evaluation of phases was made on PANanalyticalX’Pert HighScore software.

The mineral phases of the glass ceramic were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) in order to determine the crystal morphologies and textural indicators of growth. The equipment was an environmental electron microscope ESEM Quanta 200 FEI, XTE 325/D8395 with an energy-dispersive X-Ray spectrometer (EDX)

After the evaluation of chemical composition results and considering the homogeneity of the tailing, the composition of a representative sample was used to formulate a glass with the following composition: 57% BP-7 waste, 29 % of CaCO₃ (PANREAC, cod.121212) and 14 % of Na₂CO₃ (PANREAC, cod.131648). The addition of CaO and Na₂O had the function of lowering both the melting temperature of the raw materials and the viscosity of the melt. These additives ensure that the original glass can be produced at temperatures under 1500 °C.
The batch was introduced in an alumina-mullite crucible and placed inside a globular alumina furnace equipped with molybdenum disilicide Super Kanthal® and a Eurotherm® 902 programmer. Heating began up to 450 ºC at 1 ºC min⁻¹, followed by a second step of 2 ºCmin⁻¹ up to 1450 ºC, with a 2h long isotherm. The melts were cast into a metallic mould preheated to 350 ºC, and annealed near the glass transition temperature (Tg) at 450 ºC during 30 min, followed by free cooling inside the kiln.

Colour glass was defined by measuring the spectral diffuse reflectance according to the CIE, 1931 XYZ colour space (CIE, Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage), which measures colour spaces and calculates the chromatic parameters. These parameters were measured with a Minolta CM-503i spectrophotometer over the visible range (400nm to 700nm wavelength range). The spectrophotometer was fitted with a barium sulphate coated integrating sphere, and a standard illuminant C was used as a light source. A colorimeter is designed to evaluate the colour of a material according to international standards [21]. The tristimulus method is equivalent to the human eye system. It always has the same illuminant and measurements are performed under the same instrumental light source and illumination.

T_g and dilatometric softening temperature (Ts) have been measured and calculated using a Linseis horizontal dilatometer L76/1550. A powdered sample (diameter under 45 µm) was introduced in a SP5856/3605/10 sample carrier, then placed in a horizontal furnace and heated up to 1000 ºC at a 10 ºCmin⁻¹. Viscosity at Tg in these conditions has a constant value, independent of composition, of 10^{12.3}Pa·s [22].

Experimental viscosity-temperature curves (η-T) have been drawn using the fixed points defined by [23] for hot-stage microscopy (HSM) according to rule [24] and T_g (obtained by dilatometry). 3 mm-high test cylinders were conformed using samples powdered under 45 µm and bound using a 1/20 solution of Elvacite® in acetone, conformed in an uniaxial press. Test cylinders were heated at a 5 ºCmin⁻¹ rate from room temperature to 1500 ºC in air atmosphere. All this process is recorded in pictures with ProgRes Capture Pro software. Picture analysis was performed with Hot-Stage software, developed by the Departament de Llenguatges i Sistemes Informàtics, ETSEIB, UPC [25]. The fixed viscosity points are plotted in a graph and then fit to Vögel-Fulcher-Tammann (VFT, Eq. 1).

\[ \log \eta = A + \frac{B}{T - T_0} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where \( \eta \) is the viscosity and parameters A, B and T_0 are determined from iterations of VFT equation.

The chemical composition of the obtained glass was used to calculate the theoretical viscosity-temperature curves using the model defined by Fluegel [26].

Leaching tests in acidic solution according to EPA SW 846 [27] standard have been used to establish the heavy metal inertization efficiency of the glass. The obtained solution has been analysed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry and optical emission source (ICP-MS and ICP-OES).

Thermal evolution of the original glass was obtained by Differential Thermal Analysis and Thermogravimetry (DTA-TG) using a Netzsch equipment (STA 409C model). Analyses were carried in a dry air atmosphere with a flux of at 80mLmin⁻¹ constant flow ratio, using an alumina crucible at a temperature range from 25 to 1300 ºC with a linear temperature gradient set to 10 ºCmin⁻¹.

The original glass has been thermally treated according to DTA results to determine the mineralogical evolution and make a glass-ceramic. Different original glass fragments were heated inside the kiln at 2 ºCmin⁻¹ to 750 ºC, followed by a 2h isothermal step, further heating up to 875 ºC, a second 2h isothermal step, heating up to 1075 ºC and a final 2h isotherm.

Results and discussion
Raw tailing characterization

The tailings from Barruecopardo are homogeneous both from the chemical and the mineralogical point of view. Table 1 shows the chemical composition of tailing samples. The major components are SiO\(_2\), 74-78 mass%; Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), 12-14 mass%; TiO\(_2\), 0.1-0.2 mass%; Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), 0.8-1.3 mass%; Na\(_2\)O, 2.2-2.9 mass%; CaO, 0.4-0.6 mass%; MgO, 0.1-0.4 mass%; K\(_2\)O, 5.1-6.2 mass% and P\(_2\)O\(_5\), 0.3-0.4 mass%. Relevant potentially toxic elements which are over EPA concentration limits for solid wastes are As (83-632 ppm), Ba (107-212 ppm), Cr (5-21 ppm), Pb (23-29 ppm), Sn (10-16 ppm), Sr (32-59 ppm) and Zn (30-60 ppm). High W contents (500-700 ppm) have also been obtained.

XRD patterns of tailing samples evidence that the main mineral phases are quartz [SiO\(_2\)], albite [NaAlSi\(_3\)O\(_8\)], K-feldspar [KAlSi\(_3\)O\(_8\)] and muscovite [KAl\(_2\)(Si\(_3\)Al)O\(_{10\,(OH,F)}\)\(_2\)], together with minor contents of hematite [Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)] and kaolinite [Al\(_2\)(Si\(_2\)O\(_5\))(OH)\(_4\)].

Glass

The major components of glass calculated from the composition of the raw materials are SiO\(_2\), Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), CaO and Na\(_2\)O. The glass network-forming oxides are SiO\(_2\), 59.0 mass% and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) (10.7 mass%), with relatively low contents of SiO\(_2\) and high contents of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), when comparing to a soda-lime silicate glass (Table 2). The concentrations of network-modifying alkaline oxides, Na\(_2\)O and K\(_2\)O, are 8.2 mass% and 4.2 mass% respectively. Network-stabilizer alkaline earth oxide CaO is 16.4 mass%. Other network-modifiers, such as MgO (0.2 mass%), MnO (0.01 mass%) and P\(_2\)O\(_5\) (0.28 mass%) are at low concentrations. TiO\(_2\) (0.1 mass%) and Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (0.9 mass%) are also scarce.

The green colour of the glass is attributed to Fe content at the raw material, approximately 1% in reduced state. The main optical aspects and chromaticity coordinate values (L*, a*, b*, C* and h) of the glass are a*= -0.34, b*= 1.16, L*= 0.7, C= 1.21 and h=106.34. The obtained h value for the glass of Barruecopardo tailing is in good agreement with green coloured glass.

Glass transition calculated from the dilatometric curve of the original glass occurs at a temperature of 644 ºC. Dilatometric softening temperature (another indicator of glass deformation before crystallization) is 698 ºC.

The temperatures of fixed viscosity points determined by HSM of the original glass are shown in Figure 1 and listed in Table 3. These experimental values were fit to VFT equation to plot the evolution of viscosity with temperature of the original glass BP7 in Figure 2. First shrinkage, which corresponds to a viscosity of 10\(^9\) Pa·s, is obtained at 854 ºC; maximum shrinkage, 10\(^{-7.2}\) Pa·s, at 989 ºC; softening, 10\(^{8.1}\) Pa·s, at 1179 ºC; ball, 10\(^{14.4}\) Pa·s, at 1253 ºC; half ball, 10\(^{16.6}\) Pa·s, at 1344 ºC; and flow, 10\(^{13.3}\) Pa·s, at 1406 ºC. These values are inside the same magnitude range than those considered for glasses obtained using sewage sludge from wastewater treatment plants in Egypt [28] and tailings from mining activities in Bolivia [29].

A mathematical model for industrial glasses [26] predicts an evolution of viscosity with temperature which is not in good agreement with the experimental results (Figure 2). Although at the glass transition (10\(^{12.3}\) Pa·s) the theoretical curve only differs from the experimental value by a few degrees, the deviation grows quickly with increasing temperature. In the low viscosity range (10\(^2\)-10\(^4\) Pa·s) measured viscosity is more than 300 ºC higher than predicted viscosity. This model is designed for industrial glasses that have more restricted compositions, such as lower Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and which do not bear trace amounts of potentially toxic elements (the case of BP-7 and most glasses made of wastes) as the combination of these elements influences viscosity in still not completely understood mechanisms.

The obtained significant workability values are the lower annealing point, 10\(^{13.5}\) Pa·s, at 595 ºC; upper annealing point, 10\(^{12}\) Pa·s, at 671 ºC; forming range, 10\(^{3.8}\) Pa·s, 926-1419 ºC; glass conditioning range, 10\(^{2.3}\) Pa·s, 1419-1556 ºC; and melting range, 10\(^{1.2}\) Pa·s > 1556 ºC. Table 3 summarizes the temperatures of the upper and lower annealing points,
forming interval, interval conditioning and melting range together with their corresponding viscosities [30]. The viscous
behaviour of the glass-forming melt at a certain temperature allows casting the melt inside a mould along a fairly wide
temperature range, by various processes, such as straining, blowing, stretching, rolling or pressing. Each conformation
method will require appropriate thermal conditions in the work area in order to stabilize and maintain its viscosity
enough time to ensure casting. The producer must reach a compromise between the viscosity required for each
moulding method and the cadence and performance in manufacturing automated systems. In the present case, the range
of workability of the glass is between 1190 and 1556 °C.

The temperature increase in the $10^5$-10³ Pa·s viscosity range for BP-7 is 326 °C (Figure 2). Temperature variations
under 400 °C in this interval correspond to the rheological behaviour of so-called short glasses [30], which are suitable
for automatic manufacturing. When this difference is larger than 400 °C, they are called long glasses, and are suitable
for manual shaping.

The analysis of leachates shows that the cations have been introduced in the glass structure because the
concentrations of potentially toxic elements in the liquid are either very low (Zn, Cr, Ni, Pb, Ba) or directly below the
detection limit (As, Se, Cd, W, Ag). These results comply with the requirements stated in EPA SW 846 [27] as the
obtained glass has a good chemical durability (with a negligible leaching).

DTA obtained from annealed original glass powders is shown in Figure 3. The formation crystalline phases is
represented by the occurrence of a single exothermal event. In this study, a small exothermic peak at 875 °C and
another, more prominent, at 1022 °C, correspond to crystallization temperatures ($T_c$). The last peak is an endothermic
event at 1200 °C attributed to the melting of the system. The glass has been thermally treated in the furnace on the basis
of these values in order to make a glass-ceramic and determine which mineral phases are formed during the heating
process.

A glass-ceramic was produced by crystallization of the original glass. The first phase crystallized at 875 °C and the
second at 1022 °C. After crystallization, melting occurs at 1175 °C, which is the temperature of the eutectic of
NaAlSiO₄-CaSiO₃ system.

XRD patterns corresponding to glass treated over $T_c$ show the mineral association produced in the devitrification
process, constituted by 47% nepheline (Na,K)AlSiO₄ and 53% wollastonite (CaSiO₃) as presented in Figure 4. The
bump of the diffractogram between 20 and 40°/º evidences the existence of a remaining amorphous phase.

Glass-ceramic

The newly formed phases in thermally treated original glass are shown in Figure 5. The two different morphologies
formed, which can be correlated with XRD results, are equidimensional crystals of nepheline and needle-like
wollastonite crystals. The amorphous phase deduced in the diffractogram has also been identified by SEM in the central
part of thermally treated glass, corresponding to residual glass matrix. In the region observed in Figure 5A, nepheline
and wollastonite crystals are immersed in the glassy matrix. In the fully crystalline region, nepheline and wollastonite
are intergrown (Figure 5B, C). The typical fibrous texture of wollastonite is shown in Figure 5D.

In order to further prevent waste generation and accumulation and to limit consumption of certain raw materials,
some waste products from other industries could be used as fluxes in the manufacture of this glass. CaO may be
obtained from marble dust generated in the quarries of this material during cutting operations. In the case of sodium, the
perfect option would be to use a waste product of sodium carbonate process.

Conclusions
The Barruecopardo tailing has homogeneous chemical and mineralogical composition. The SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ contents make it suitable to produce glass after the addition of CaO and Na$_2$O as modifying oxides. Therefore, vitrification could be an efficient solution for the valorization and inertization of these wastes, which are enriched in toxic elements such as As, Ba, Cd, Cr, Pb and Zn (concentration over EPA limits for solid wastes).

The glass transition temperature is 644 °C; the glass production should reach temperatures of at least 1556 °C (lower limit of the melting range). As these temperatures are too high for an industrial process, the composition of the original glass should be changed to increase the content of modifiers, moving its composition closer to the most basic rocks, and therefore lowering its melting temperatures.

The original glass has a green colour and it could be used with marketable purposes, thus giving an economic value to the residues and at the same time minimizing the environmental problems. Leaching tests of the obtained glasses confirm their capacity to retain potentially toxic elements. The main crystalline phases obtained from the devitrification process of the glass are wollastonite and nepheline.

In spite of high energetic consumption and the attached economic costs, the reuse of Barruecopardo tailings is desirable from the social and environmental point of view to reduce the amount of unusable material now emplaced at the deposits, and to sequestrate the pollutant components in the glassy matrix, once their composition is adequately optimised.
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Figure captions

**Fig. 1** Photomicrographs of the glass cylindrical probe: shapes corresponding to the fixed viscosity points obtained during the experimental procedure.

**Fig. 2** Viscosity-temperature curves obtained both experimentally and by the application of the theoretical model.

**Fig. 3** DTA curve of original glass. The exothermal peaks correspond to crystallization of nepheline and wollastonite and the endothermic event is the melting point of the system.

**Fig. 4** XRD pattern of glass ceramic showing the mineral phases produced in the devitrification process.

**Fig. 5** SEM images of the obtained glass-ceramic: **a** Glass ceramic formed by nepheline, wollastonite and residual glass matrix in the central part of sample, **b** Overview of the intergrowth of wollastonite fibrous crystal texture with tabular nepheline crystals, **c** Detail of a wollastonite-nepheline intergrowth, **d** Wollastonite needle-like crystals.
Figure-1
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Table 1 Chemical composition of Barruecopardo tailings

|     | SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | TiO₂ | Fe₂O₃ | Na₂O | MgO | CaO | K₂O | P₂O₅ | As | Ba | Cr | Pb | Sn | Sr | W | Zn | Cd |
|-----|------|-------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| BP1 | 74.4 | 14.5  | 0.2  | 1.3   | 2.9  | 0.3 | 0.6 | 5.4 | 0.4  | 363| 157| 16.0| 27.9| 14.6| 42.4| 753| 38.0| 2.9|
| BP2 | 75.3 | 13.9  | 0.2  | 1.2   | 2.9  | 0.3 | 0.6 | 5.3 | 0.4  | 291| 172| 4.7 | 27.8| 15.6| 42.8| 908| 38.0| 2  |
| BP3 | 76.0 | 13.3  | 0.2  | 1.2   | 2.5  | 0.2 | 0.5 | 5.6 | 0.3  | 256| 212| 8.3 | 29.4| 12.6| 49.7| 919| 44.6| 2.6|
| BP4 | 75.6 | 13.7  | 0.1  | 1.1   | 2.7  | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.6 | 0.4  | 397| 163| 7.4 | 26.5| 14.4| 41.3| 735| 32.8| 1.6|
| BP6 | 75.8 | 13.7  | 0.1  | 1.1   | 2.8  | 0.2 | 0.5 | 5.5 | 0.3  | 223| 144| 11.6| 24.5| 13.2| 38.0| 859| 33.0| 1.5|
| BP7 | 75.6 | 13.7  | 0.1  | 1.1   | 2.8  | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.4 | 0.4  | 545| 169| 9.6 | 26.0| 14.4| 42.9| 772| 31.3| 2.4|
| BP8 | 77.7 | 12.4  | 0.1  | 0.8   | 2.2  | 0.2 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 0.3  | 632| 183| 16.6| 24.7| 9.8 | 43.1| 617| 26.6| 2.2|
| BP9 | 75.9 | 13.1  | 0.2  | 1.3   | 2.3  | 0.4 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 0.3  | 123| 185| 24.3| 21.1| 11.3| 48.6| 719| 30.0| 2.4|
| BP10| 74.9 | 14.1  | 0.1  | 0.9   | 2.7  | 0.2 | 0.5 | 6.2 | 0.4  | 83 | 169| 5.7 | 24.6| 10.5| 41.8| 677| 27.7| 1.6|
| BP11| 76.6 | 13.3  | 0.1  | 1.0   | 2.6  | 0.2 | 0.5 | 5.4 | 0.3  | 236| 157| 16.9| 24.7| 13.5| 40.5| 757| 34.6| 1.5|
| BP12| 77.7 | 12.8  | 0.1  | 0.9   | 2.5  | 0.1 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 0.3  | 262| 107| 20.9| 23.3| 15.7| 31.9| 1011| 36.5| 1.9|
Table 2 Chemical composition of the original glass.

| Oxides /mass% | SiO$_2$ | Al$_2$O$_3$ | TiO$_2$ | Fe$_2$O$_3$ | MnO | Na$_2$O | MgO | CaO | K$_2$O | P$_2$O$_5$ |
|--------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|-----|---------|-----|-----|--------|----------|
|              | 58.99   | 10.69       | 0.11    | 0.89        | 0.01| 8.20    | 0.20| 16.40| 4.21   | 0.28     |
**Table 3** Experimental temperatures for the fixed viscosity points (HSM) [23], glass transition point (dilatometry) [22] and workability temperatures.

| Viscosity/Pa·s | Temperature/ºC | Experimental | Calculated* |
|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| Glass transition point/10^{12.3^*} | 644 | 624 |
| First shrinkage/10^9 | 854 | 706 |
| Maximum shrinkage/10^{7.2} | 989 | 772 |
| Softening/10^{5.1} | 1179 | 884 |
| Ball/10^{1.4} | 1253 | 935 |
| Half ball/10^{3.6} | 1344 | 1006 |
| Flow/10^{3.1} | 1406 | 1059 |

*Calculated temperatures of the significant production viscosities*

| Temperature/ºC | Experimental | Calculated* |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| Lower annealing point/10^{13.5} | 595 | 602 |
| Upper annealing point/10^{12} | 671 | 630 |
| Forming range/10^8-10^3 | 926-1419 | 740-1071 |
| Glass conditioning range/10^3-10^2 | 1419-1556 | 1071-1211 |
| Melting range/10^2-10^3 | 1556-1712 | 1211-1416 |
| Workability interval/10^2-10^2 | 1190-1556 | 891-1211 |

* Calculated using the model by Fluegel [26]