Assessment of variations in sphenoid sinus pneumatization in Indian population: A multidetector computed tomography study
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Abstract

Background and Purpose: The purpose of our study was to assess the prevalence of variations in the extent of sphenoid pneumatization in the Indian population and compare with existing literature. Materials and Methods: This retrospective study included 500 patients who underwent CT of the paranasal sinuses. The multiplanar reformations of paranasal sinus were assessed for the type of pneumatization of the sphenoid sinus and type of clival, lateral recess, lesser wing, and anterior recess extensions. Results: The conchal, presellar, incomplete sellar, and complete sellar types comprised 0%, 1.2%, 22.2%, and 76.6% of patients. The extensions of pneumatization subtypes in the study population were clival in 76.6% subjects; lateral recess, lesser wing, and anterior recess in 59.7%, 20.4%, and 20.4% of sinuses, respectively. The pure forms were seen in 25.4% and combined forms in 61% of sinuses. The presellar type (1.2%) was less common and sellar type (98.8%) being common in our population compared to the Caucasian and East Asian population. The sphenoid sinuses were extensively pneumatized in our population compared to the Chinese and Caucasian population, the prevalence being 76.6%, 68%, and 44.5% for clival; 59.7%, 46%, and 28.3% for lateral recess; 20.4%, 32%, and 12% for lesser wing extension, respectively. The pure forms were relatively less common and combined forms being more common compared to the Chinese and Caucasian population in our study. Conclusion: The extent of pneumatization of the sphenoid sinus has clinical and surgical implications in sellar and central skull base lesions, and variability in different populations confirms that ethnicity influences the differences in prevalence.
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Introduction

The knowledge of anatomy and normal variants is a necessary requisite for the understanding of pathological processes in radiology. The radiological findings are complementary to the clinical features and pathology. The sphenoid bone is non-pneumatized and contains only red marrow at birth. Sphenoid pneumatization occurs in two peaks after conversion to the fatty marrow; first from birth...
to four years and the second between 8 to 12 years of age.\cite{11} The sphenoid sinus (SS) shows signs of pneumatization as early as nine months of age. SS pneumatization shows slow development between and after peak years to reach up to the back of sella turcica by seven years and attains adult volumes by 12 to 15 years of age.\cite{2-4} The SS is the most inconsistent and least accessible paranasal sinus with variations in pneumatization ranging from minimal to extensive.\cite{9} The SS shows variations in size, pneumatization, and pattern of septations, which lead to differences in the segmentation of SS. The pneumatization can extend into the greater wing of sphenoid, pterygoid process, clivus, and sometimes into the anterior clinoid process.\cite{6,7}

Diverse pathologies affecting the sellar and parasellar regions and extended approaches of trans nasal endoscopic procedures to lesions affecting the floor of the middle cranial fossa, petrous apex, and pituitary lesions highlight the necessity to recognize the anatomical variations and neurovascular structures in relation to SS.\cite{8,9} The assessment of variations in pneumatization of SS is essential, yet there is lack of an in-depth study in the Indian population, while the prevalence has been well reported in different ethnic populations.\cite{10-13}

The knowledge of these variants and their implications allows us to highlight their presence to the surgeon and aid in optimal patient selection, intraoperative guidance, predicting the complications of intracranial lesions, and minimize the iatrogenic complications in surgical practice. This study aims at identifying the prevalence of variations in the extent of pneumatization in the SS, comparing the results with the existing literature with an emphasis on the need to be aware of the variations in pneumatization.

Materials and Methods

Study participants
The Institute Ethics Committee approved this retrospective study. The study group included multi-detector computed tomography (CT) of paranasal sinuses of 500 consecutive patients performed between August 2016 and August 2017 after exclusion of those with facial trauma or history of surgery leading to alterations in normal anatomy, those with sinonasal tumors or younger than 16 years of age. Patients with extensive rhinosinusitis causing bony rarefaction were also excluded from the study.

Image acquisition
All the subjects underwent CT of the paranasal sinus with a standardized protocol. The axial CT was performed with 128 multislice scanner (Optima 660, GE Healthcare) using 120 KV and 110 mAs with a 0.6-mm slice thickness. Continuous axial sections were obtained parallel to the orbitomeatal line. The multiplanar reformatted images were analyzed on Z820 workstation.

Image analysis
Based on the images acquired by CT, they were assessed for the following variables as described: type of pneumatization of SS, type of clival, lateral, lesser wing, and anterior recess extensions of SS.\cite{10,11}

Classification of types of pneumatization of SS
The SS was classified into conchal, presellar, and sellar (incomplete and complete) types based on the relation to anterior and posterior walls of sella turcica on the sagittal plane [Figures 1 and 2]. The extension of pneumatization into the clivus was classified into subdorsal, dorsal, occipital, and combined (dorsal + occipital) types based on relation to the posterior wall, the floor of sella, and vidian canal [Figures 3 and 4]. The lateral extension of pneumatization was classified into the greater wing of the sphenoid, pterygoid, and full lateral (greater wing + pterygoid) extension based on the line connecting the medial aspects of foramen rotundum and vidian canal (VR Line) [Figures 5A-C and 6A]. The lesser wing extension was said to be present when there was an extension into either optic strut, lesser wing or anterior clinoid process [Figures 5D and 6A]; and the anterior recess type when the anterior wall of the sinus extended anteriorly beyond the plane of the sphenoidal crest [Figure 6B].

Statistical methods
Statistical analysis of all the data sets was performed with SPSS, Version 22 (IBM, Armonk, New York). The types of pneumatization of SS and extensions were compiled and utilized to determine the prevalence of various categories. The \( P \) value of < 0.05 indicated a significant statistical difference in Chi-square test.

Results
A total of 500 patients were assessed with CT, whose ages were ranging from 16 to 87 years with a mean of 43.13 ± 17.39. The study population comprised 43.4% females (217) and 56.6% male patients (283). There is no significant statistical difference in prevalence of pneumatization patterns between male and female subjects.

Sphenoid sinus
There were no patients with conchal type, 1.2% (6) of patients with presellar type, 22.2% (111) of patients with incomplete sellar type, and 76.6% (383) of patients with a complete sellar type of pneumatization [Table 1].

Clival extension
The clival extension was seen in 76.6% of the sinuses. Of the 383 sinuses with clival extension, the most
common form was the subdorsal type (65%, 325 patients), followed by dorsal (4%, 20 patients), occipital (3.8%, 19 patients), and combined type (3.8%, 19 patients) of clival pneumatization [Tables 2 and 3].

**Lateral extension**

The lateral extension was found in 597 (59.7%) of the 1000 sinus walls evaluated on CT. Among the 597 sinuses with a lateral extension, the pterygoid extension was most common, seen in 313 (52.4%); followed by full lateral extension seen in 273 (45.7%) with greater wing of the sphenoid extension being the least, seen in 11 (1.8%) [Tables 2 and 3]. There was a statistically significant difference in the lateral extension between the left and right sides, with extension commonly on the left side ($P < 0.01$).

**Lesser wing extension and anterior recess**

The sinus with a lesser wing pneumatization had extension into either optic strut or anterior clinoid process. The lesser wing type of pneumatization was found in 204 (20.4%) of the sinuses examined on imaging. The optic canal was surrounded by the sphenoid air cells in lesser wing

---

**Figure 1 (A-D):** Types of sphenoid pneumatization. Pictorial representation with vertical lines drawn along the anterior (orange line) and posterior walls (blue line) of the sella. (A) Conchal type; pneumatization >10 mm anterior to the anterior wall of sella. (B) Presellar type; the posterior margin of pneumatization anterior to the anterior wall. (C) Incomplete sellar; the posterior margin of pneumatization beneath the sella, but anterior to the posterior wall of sella. (D) Complete sellar; the posterior margin of pneumatization, posterior to the posterior wall of sella.

**Figure 2 (A-D):** Midsagittal images of sphenoidal sinus demonstrating conchal type (A), presellar type (B), incomplete sellar type (C), and complete sellar type (D).

**Figure 3 (A-D):** Types of Clival extension. Pictorial representation with horizontal lines at the inferior margin of sella (brown line) and along the vidian canal (purple line), and vertical line (orange line) along the posterior wall of sella. 3A – Subdorsal; Pneumatization not extending above the inferior margin of sella or below the level of the vidian canal. 3B – Dorsal; Pneumatization extending superiorly into the dorsum sella. 3C – Occipital; Pneumatization extending inferior to the level of the vidian canal. 3D – Combined; Dorsal + Occipital.

**Figure 4 (A-D):** Midsagittal images of sphenoidal sinus demonstrating subdorsal type (A), dorsal type (B), occipital type (C), and combined type (D).
type of pneumatization. The anterior recess was found in 204 (20.4%) of the 1000 sinuses [Table 2].

**Pure and combined forms of pneumatization**
The pure subtypes of pneumatization were seen in 25.4% (254 sides) and the combined forms of pneumatization in 61% (610 sides). The common form was isolated clival extension seen in 18%, followed by pure lateral in 4.3%, isolated anterior recess in 2% with isolated lesser wing extension being the least common, seen in 1%. The combined forms of sphenoid pneumatization are as shown in Table 4.

**Discussion**
The sphenoid sinuses were classified into conchal, presellar, and sellar types initially by Hammer and Radberg, a widely accepted classification as it predicts the surgical corridor used in transsphenoidal surgeries. Guldner et al. subdivided the sellar type into incomplete and complete types based on the extension of the pneumatization beyond the posterior wall of the sella. The modifications and the traditional system focus on the posterior extent of pneumatization and the ease of accessibility of the sellar floor during endoscopic surgeries.

The study of pneumatization patterns of SS has gained added importance due to newer developments in transsphenoidal sinus surgeries and its utility in accessing the lesions involving middle cranial fossa, retroclival region, and foramen magnum. Wang et al. expanded the classification system based on anatomical and imaging studies to include the lateral and anterior extension to access possible sites in extended transsphenoidal sinus surgery. The pneumatization of SS in our study showed differences from those reported previously in literature as discussed below [Table 5].

**Comparison of prevalence of Sphenoid sinus pneumatization extensions**
Firstly, among the published studies from the Caucasian and East Asian populations, the overall prevalence of conchal type was 1 to 2%, However, there were no patients with a conchal type of pneumatization in our study. There was a significant difference in the presellar type of pneumatization with overall prevalence ranging between 18.7 and 20.6% in previous literature to 1.2% in our study, highlighting that a lesser degree of pneumatization occurs commonly in the Caucasian and East Asian populations. Even though the sellar type of pneumatization was the most prevalent type in all populations, it was highest in our study (98.8%) compared to the overall prevalence of 76.9–79.9% in the previously published literature. There was a statistically significant difference in types of SS with \( P < 0.01 \), suggesting extensive pneumatization of SS in our population.

Secondly, the subdorsal type of clival pneumatization was the most prevalent subtype ranging from 63.2 to 84.8%, being highest in our study. There was a difference in the prevalence of other subtypes with the least common subtype being occipital in the study by Wang et al. (Caucasian) and complete in the study by Lu et al. (Chinese). Both occipital and complete types were less common in our study. There was a statistically significant difference in prevalence of subtypes of clival pneumatization with \( P < 0.01 \). The

---

### Table 1: Prevalence of types of sphenoid sinus pneumatization (500 CT scans)

| Type                      | Number of individuals | Percentage |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Conchal                   | 0                     | 0          |
| Presellar                 | 6                     | 1.2        |
| Incomplete sellar         | 111                   | 22.2       |
| Complete sellar           | 383                   | 76.6       |

### Table 2: Extensions of sphenoid pneumatization on CT (500 patients and 1000 sides)

| Pneumatization extension | Number of sides | Percentage |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Clival extension (Patients) | 383             | 76.6       |
| Lateral extension (Sides)    | 597             | 58.7       |
| Anterior clinoid/Optic strut (Sides) | 204              | 20.4       |
| Sphenoethmoidal recess (Sides) | 204              | 20.4       |

### Table 3: Extensions of sphenoid pneumatization on CT (500 patients and 1000 sides)

| Pneumatization extension | Number of sinuses | Percentage |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Clival extension (383 patients) | 325/383         | 84.8       |
| Subdorsal                 | 20/383            | 5.2        |
| Dorsal                    | 19/383            | 5          |
| Occipital                 | 19/383            | 5          |
| Combined (Dorsal + Occipital) | 19/383         | 5          |
| Lateral extension (597 sinuses) | 313/597       | 52.4       |
| Pterygoid                 | 11/597            | 1.8        |
| Greater wing              | 11/597            | 1.8        |
| Full lateral              | 273/597           | 45.7       |

### Table 4: Types of combined sphenoid sinus based on computed tomography

| Combined type (610 sides) | Number of individuals | Percentage |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Clival + Lateral          | 286                   | 46.8       |
| Clival + Lateral + Lesser Wing | 114               | 18.7       |
| Clival + Lateral + Anterior | 90                  | 14.7       |
| Clival + Lateral + Lesser Wing + Anterior | 41             | 6.7        |
| Clival + Anterior         | 34                   | 5.6        |
| Clival + Lesser Wing      | 13                   | 2.1        |
| Lateral + Lesser Wing     | 13                   | 2.1        |
| Clival + Lesser Wing + Anterior | 7                 | 1.1        |
| Lateral + Anterior        | 6                    | 1          |
| Lateral + Lesser Wing + Anterior | 4               | 0.6        |
| Lateral + Anterior        | 2                    | 0.3        |
| Lesser Wing + Anterior   | 2                    | 0.3        |
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Table 5: Comparison of prevalence of sphenoid sinus types and clival pneumatization

| Sphenoid sinus types | Conchal | Presellar | Sellar | Postsellar | P       |
|----------------------|---------|-----------|--------|------------|---------|
| Caucasian            |         |           |        |            |         |
| Hamid et al. 2008    | 6       | 62        | 162    | 66         | <0.001  |
| Wang et al. 2010     | 0       | 2         | 98     |            |         |
| Lupascu et al. 2014  | 6       | 56        | 138    |            |         |
| Sevinc et al. 2014   | 3       | 102       | 511    |            |         |
| Vaezi et al. 2014    | 3       | 24        | 75     |            |         |
| Total                | 18 (1.3%)| 246 (18.7%)| 1050 (79.9%)|          |         |
| East Asian           |         |           |        |            |         |
| Li et al. 2010       | 5       | 80        | 175    |            |         |
| Lu et al. 2011       | 12      | 57        | 131    |            |         |
| Aruska et al. 2015   | 1       | 20        | 279    |            |         |
| Total                | 18 (2.3%)| 157 (20.6%)| 585 (76.9%)|          |         |
| Indian               |         |           |        |            |         |
| Our study            | 0       | 6         | 111    | 383        |         |
| Total                | 0 (0%)  | 6 (1.2%)  | 494 (98.8%)|          |         |

| Clival pneumatization | Subdorsal | Dorsal | Occipital | Complete |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Caucasian             |           |        |           |          |
| Wang et al. 2010      | 43 (63.2%)| 16 (23.5%)| 1 (1.5%)| 8 (11.8%)| <0.001 |
| Chinese               |           |        |           |          |
| Lu et al. 2011        | 64 (72%)  | 11 (12.3%)| 13 (14.6%)| 1 (1.1%)|         |
| Indian                |           |        |           |          |
| Our study             | 325 (84.8%)| 20 (5.2%)| 19 (5%)  | 19 (5%)|         |

Thirdly, there were differences in pure and combined forms of sphenoid pneumatization as well. The difference in the prevalence of pure clival forms (21.4% in Chinese, 11.2% in Caucasian, and 18.1% in our study); pure lateral and lesser wing extensions (11.4% and 0.8% in Chinese with similar prevalence rates in Caucasian, 4.3% and 1% in our study). By contrast, the combined forms of SS were more frequent in our study (61%), compared to 59.2% in Caucasian and 48.1% in Chinese population. This epidemiological study of pneumatization patterns of SS highlights its variability in different populations and confirms with the existing literature that ethnicity influences the differences in prevalence.\([21]\)

Figure 5 (A-D): Types of lateral and lesser wing extension. Pictorial representation with a line drawn along the medial margins of foramen rotundum and vidian canal (orange line) on both sides. (A) Pterygoid; pneumatization extending inferior to the vidian canal on the right side into the pterygoid process. (B) Greater wing of sphenoid; pneumatization extending laterally into greater wing of sphenoid on the left side, beyond the foramen rotundum. (C) Full lateral; pterygoid + lateral on left side. (D) Lesser wing; pneumatization extending into the anterior clinoid process on the right side.

Figure 6 (A and B): Lateral, lesser wing and anterior extensions. (A) The coronal section of sphenoid sinus showing greater wing extension (arrow head), pterygoid extension (asterisk) and lesser wing extension (notched arrow). (B) The extension of pneumatization anterior to sphenoidal crest (white line), i.e., sphenoethmoidal recess on the left side (asterisk).
Clinical and surgical implications of sphenoid sinus pneumatization

The arrested and under pneumatization of SS is uncommon in our population. Cystic fibrosis and sickle cell disease are commonly associated with arrested pneumatization and underdeveloped paranasal sinuses than in the general population. The presence of such variants should highlight the likely etiology in appropriate clinical settings. Nonpneumatized and conchal pneumatization are less favorable for a transsphenoidal approach to sellar and parasellar lesions. These subtypes require drilling and removal of thick cancellous bone, resulting in an increased operative time. However, access to sella is safe with the availability of intraoperative navigation after confirmation of surgical landmarks in these subtypes.

The hyperpneumatization of SS not only allows extended approaches of transsphenoidal surgeries but also increases the likelihood of collision between operative instruments and chances of iatrogenic injuries. The posterior extension of aeration into the clivus, dorsum sella, and anterior extension into planum sphenoidale may result in an inadvertent damage to the bony walls of the sphenoid sinus, resulting in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leaks. The bony dehiscence and protrusion of the adjacent neurovascular structures into the SS increase in proportion to the pneumatization. The lesser wing extension leads to the protrusion and thinning of bony wall of the optic nerve and internal carotid artery, thereby increasing susceptibility to injury during endoscopic surgeries. The extension into the clinoid process needs a special mention in presurgical evaluation of sellar-suprasellar masses and periclinoid aneurysms to avoid post-surgical CSF leaks. The lateral extension into the pterygoid process and greater wing of sphenoid also render maxillary nerve, vidian nerve, and carotid artery susceptible to iatrogenic injury. Such individuals can also present with vidian and or maxillary neuralgia as a complication of inflammatory sinus disease. Extensive pneumatization of the SS and lateral recess increases susceptibility to bony erosion and spontaneous CSF leaks in idiopathic intracranial hypertension and extension of sellar and parasellar lesions into SS.

Although we have extensively studied on SS pneumatization in the Indian population, our study has a few limitations: First, the inclusion of relatively asymptomatic patients in this retrospective study. Although we have extensively studied on SS pneumatization in pathological conditions, we require further studies to ascertain the role of pneumatization in chronic sinusitis as well as post-operative outcomes and prognosis in sellar pathologies. Second, the correlation between the extent of pneumatization of the SS, dehiscence, and protrusion of adjacent neurovascular structures into SS were not assessed in this study. Further studies concerning this topic are needed to ascertain the association.

Conclusion

This study describes extensive clival and lateral extension of sphenoid sinus pneumatization with an increased prevalence of combined forms in the Indian population compared to other ethnic population. Although extensive pneumatization of sphenoid sinus facilitates extended
approaches of transsphenoidal endoscopic surgeries, it poses an increased risk of iatrogenic complications such as neurovascular injuries and CSF leaks, the higher propensity of bony erosion, and sinus extension of sellar and parasellar mass lesions in our population. Radiologists need to be aware of these variations and include them in routine reporting templates.
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