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Envelope (env) proteins of certain endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) participate in various pathophysiologic processes. In this study, we characterized pathophysiologic properties of two murine leukemia virus-type ERV (MuLV-ERV) env genes cloned from the ovary of C57BL/6J mice. The two env genes (named ENV_{OV1} and ENV_{OV2}), with 1,926 bp coding region, originated from two MuLV-ERV loci on chromosomes 8 and 18, respectively. ENV_{OV1} and ENV_{OV2} were ∼75 kDa and predominantly expressed on the cell membrane. They were capable of producing pseudotype murine leukemia virus virions. Tropism trait and infectivity of ENV_{OV2} were similar to the polytropic env; however, ENV_{OV1} had very low level of infectivity. Overexpression of ENV_{OV2}, but not ENV_{OV1}, exerted cytotoxic effects and induced expression of COX-2, IL-1β, IL-6, and iNOS. These findings suggest that the ENV_{OV1} and ENV_{OV2} are capable of serving as an env protein for virion assembly, and they exert differential cytotoxicity and modulation of inflammatory mediators.

1. Introduction

Ancient infection of germline cells with exogenous retroviruses established a genome-wide random embedment of proviruses, called endogenous retroviruses (ERVs), and Mendelian genetics governs their inheritance to the offspring [1]. ERVs are reported to exist in the genome of all vertebrates and constitute approximately 8% of the human genome and 10% of the mouse genome [2–4]. The majority of ERVs identified so far are reported to be defective primarily based on their inability to encode intact polypeptides for gag (group specific antigen), pol (reverse transcriptase), and env (envelope) genes, which are essential for the retroviral life cycle [5]. However, recent studies identified a number of ERVs, which retain intact coding potentials for gag, pol, and/or env genes, and some of them are reported to be associated with a range of normal physiology (e.g., placental morphogenesis) as well as pathogenic processes (e.g., multiple sclerosis, schizophrenia, injury, and chronic fatigue syndrome) [6–10]. On the other hand, biology of porcine ERVs (PERVs) has been studied extensively because of the potential transmission of PERVs to humans as an adverse side effect of xenotransplantation [11].

The env glycoproteins of certain human ERVs (HERVs) have been implicated in diverse disease processes [12–16]. For instance, the env glycoproteins of HERV-K, HERV-E, and HERV-3 were characterized as tumor-associated antigens in different types of cancer [15–18]. The HERV-W env glycoprotein, called syncytin-1, is highly expressed in glial cells within central nervous system of multiple sclerosis, an autoimmune disease, patients [13]. It is proposed that potent proinflammatory properties of syncytin-1 contribute to neuronal inflammation and resultant damage to oligodendrocytes during the progression of multiple sclerosis [12]. On the other hand, syncytin-1 and HERV-FRD env glycoprotein, called syncytin-2, are reported to play an essential role during embryonic development by controlling formation of placental syncytiotrophoblasts primarily through their highly
fusogenic properties [19–22]. Additional env glycoproteins have been identified and characterized from murine ERVs (syncytin-A and syncytin-B) and endogenous Jaagsißeke sheep retrovirus (enJSRV), and their roles in placenta morphogenesis are similar to syncytin-1 and syncytin-2 [7, 23, 24]. The findings from recent studies provide evidence suggesting that env glycoproteins of certain ERVs play a critical role in biological processes of normal physiology as well as diseases.

During a survey of expression profile of MuLV-ERV subgenomic env transcripts in various normal tissues of C57BL/6J mice, two putative full-length env transcripts were identified in the ovary. In this study, the biological characteristics of these two MuLV-ERV env genes, named ENVOV1 and ENVOV2, were investigated by examining a selective set of pathophysiologic parameters.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals. Female C57BL/6J mice (approximately 12 weeks old) were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Me) and housed according to the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health. The Animal Use and Care Administrative Advisory Committee of the University of California, Davis, approved the experimental protocol. Three mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation for tissue collection without any pretreatment, and tissue samples were snap-frozen.

2.2. RT-PCR Analyses. RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis were performed primarily according to the relevant protocols provided by the kit manufacturer. Briefly, total RNAs were extracted using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif) and provided by the kit manufacturer. Briefly, total RNAs were extracted using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif) and were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM, Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, streptomycin, and penicillin G. Five other cell lines (HeLa, Neuro-2a, MDCK, HCT 116, and NIH3T3) were cultured according to the protocols recommended by the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, Va).

2.3. Cloning and Sequencing of env Transcripts. The RTPCR products of the MuLV-ERV subgenomic transcripts (~2.9 Kb) were cloned into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, Wis) followed by plasmid DNA preparation using a kit from Qiagen, and sequencing analysis at Davis Sequencing Inc (Davis, Calif) or Molecular Cloning Laboratory (South San Francisco, Calif). DNA sequences were analyzed using Vector NTI-ver. 10 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif) or Editseq and MegAlign program within DNASTAR ver. 8.0.2 (DNASTAR, Madison, Wis).

2.4. Construction of ENVOV1 and ENVOV2 Expression Vectors. The coding regions of the ENVOV1 and ENVOV2 were cloned by PCR from their respective original cDNA clones using a set of primers embedded with restriction enzyme sites for cloning into the pcDNA4/HisMax (Invitrogen): forward with NotI, 5′-CGC GGC GGC CGC ATG GAA GGT CCA CCG AGA-3′ (MV1K) and reverse, 5′-CTC AGT CTG TCG GAG GAC TG-3′ (MV2D). The following are the primer sets used for inflammatory mediators: COX-2 (forward, 5′-ACA CAG TGC ACT ACA TCC TGA C-3′ and reverse, 5′-ATC TTC TCT ACC TGA GTG TC-3′), ICAM-1 (forward, 5′-AGC TGT TGG AGC TGA GAG AGA-3′ and reverse, 5′-CTG TCG AAC TCC TCA GGA C-3′), IL-1β (forward, 5′-GAC AGT GAG GAT GAG AAT GAC CTG-3′ and reverse, 5′-GAA CTC TGC AGA AGT AAA CTC CA-3′), IL-6 (forward, 5′-GCC TTC CCT ACT TCA CAA GTC CGC-3′ and reverse, 5′-CAC TAG GTT TGC CGA GTA GAT CTG-3′ (MV2D). The following are the primer sets used for inflammatory mediators: COX-2 (forward, 5′-ACA CAG TGC ACT ACA TCC TGA C-3′ and reverse, 5′-ATC TTC TCT ACC TGA GTG TC-3′), ICAM-1 (forward, 5′-AGC TGT TGG AGC TGA GAG AGA-3′ and reverse, 5′-CTG TCG AAC TCC TCA GGA C-3′), IL-1β (forward, 5′-GAC AGT GAG GAT GAG AAT GAC CTG-3′ and reverse, 5′-GAA CTC TGC AGA AGT AAA CTC CA-3′), IL-6 (forward, 5′-GCC TTC CCT ACT TCA CAA GTC CGC-3′ and reverse, 5′-CAC TAG GTT TGC CGA GTA GAT CTG-3′ (MV2D). The following are the primer sets used for inflammatory mediators: COX-2 (forward, 5′-ACA CAG TGC ACT ACA TCC TGA C-3′ and reverse, 5′-ATC TTC TCT ACC TGA GTG TC-3′), ICAM-1 (forward, 5′-AGC TGT TGG AGC TGA GAG AGA-3′ and reverse, 5′-CTG TCG AAC TCC TCA GGA C-3′), IL-1β (forward, 5′-GAC AGT GAG GAT GAG AAT GAC CTG-3′ and reverse, 5′-GAA CTC TGC AGA AGT AAA CTC CA-3′), IL-6 (forward, 5′-GCC TTC CCT ACT TCA CAA GTC CGC-3′ and reverse, 5′-CAC TAG GTT TGC CGA GTA GAT CTG-3′ (MV2D). The following are the primer sets used for inflammatory mediators: COX-2 (forward, 5′-ACA CAG TGC ACT ACA TCC TGA C-3′ and reverse, 5′-ATC TTC TCT ACC TGA GTG TC-3′), ICAM-1 (forward, 5′-AGC TGT TGG AGC TGA GAG AGA-3′ and reverse, 5′-CTG TCG AAC TCC TCA GGA C-3′), IL-1β (forward, 5′-GAC AGT GAG GAT GAG AAT GAC CTG-3′ and reverse, 5′-GAA CTC TGC AGA AGT AAA CTC CA-3′), IL-6 (forward, 5′-GCC TTC CCT ACT TCA CAA GTC CGC-3′ and reverse, 5′-CAC TAG GTT TGC CGA GTA GAT CTG-3′ (MV2D).
was replaced with 0.5 mL of serial dilutions of culture supernatants containing pseudotype LacZ-MuLV virions, in which Polybrene (Sigma, Milwaukee, Wis) was added (8 μg/mL), followed by washing after 4 hours and incubation with 0.5 mL of fresh media for 2 days. The infected cells were treated with fixing solution (2% formaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in PBS) and stained with X-gal solution. Cells stained blue were counted under the microscope as an infection unit.

2.7. Western Blot Analyses. To confirm expression of the pcDNA4/HisMax-ENV\textsubscript{OV1} or pcDNA4/HisMax-ENV\textsubscript{OV2} construct, Western blot analysis was performed following transfection into tsA201 cells using Fugene 6 reagent (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). At 2 days after transfection, the cells were harvested, and Western blot analysis was performed. Briefly, the membrane, blocked in 5% nonfat dry milk (NFDM), was incubated with a goat antibody specific for gp69/71 of Rauscher MuLV (1:200 dilution with 5% NFDM in TBST [Tris-buffered saline with TWEEN 20]) obtained from ViroMed Biosafety Laboratories (Camden, NJ) followed by an anti-goat-HRP antibody (1:5000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, Calif). The protein signal was visualized using ECL reagents (GE healthcare, Pittsburgh, Pa). A similar protocol was used to detect env glycoprotein from supernatants of the GP2-293 cells producing phenotype LacZ-MuLV virions.

2.8. Immunocytochemistry. HeLa cells, which were transfected with the pcDNA4/HisMax-ENV\textsubscript{OV1} or pcDNA4/HisMax-ENV\textsubscript{OV2} construct, were harvested and transferred into 0.1% poly-L-lysine coated coverslips and incubated for 1 day. Cells were then immunostained with a goat antibody specific for gp69/71 (1:200 diluted in culture medium, ViroMed Biosafety Laboratories) and fixed with both 4% paraformaldehyde. Fixed cells were incubated with a Texas-Red-conjugated goat IgG secondary antibody (1:200 diluted in PBS, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, Calif) and stained cells were visualized by a Zeiss microscope using AxioVison software version 4.5 (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

2.9. Cytotoxicity and Cell Proliferation Assays. HeLa cells, which were transfected with the pcDNA4/HisMax-ENV\textsubscript{OV1} or pcDNA4/HisMax-ENV\textsubscript{OV2} construct, were subjected to cytotoxicity assay using a Cytotoxicity Detection Kit (Roche, South San Francisco, Calif) according to the protocol recommended by the manufacturer. Absorbance was measured at 490 nm with a reference at 600 nm using a reader from Molecular Devices (Sunnyvale, Calif). Cell proliferation rate was measured from these cells using the colorimetric MTT (3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) (Sigma, Milwaukee, Wis) assay as described previously [29]. Absorbance was read at 560 nm with a reference at 600 nm using a reader (Molecular Devices). All experiments were performed at least in triplicate, and 4 independent experiments were repeated.

2.10. Analysis of Inflammatory Mediators. RAW264.7 cells, which were transfected with the pcDNA4/HisMax-ENV\textsubscript{OV1} or pcDNA4/HisMax-ENV\textsubscript{OV2} construct, were harvested at 1 day after transfection, and they were examined for expression of a set of inflammatory mediators at mRNA levels by RT-PCR, and the relevant protocols and reagents are described in Section 2.2 above.

2.11. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using two-tailed Student’s t-test and statistical significance was determined as *P < .05 and **P < .01.

3. Results

3.1. Identification and Initial Characterization of Two MuLV-ERV env Subgenomic Transcripts Expressed in the Ovary of C57BL/6j Mice. The expression profiles of MuLV-ERV env genes in various normal tissues (liver, lung, salivary gland, adrenal gland, brain, skin, ovary, and uterus) of C57BL/6j mice were investigated. A number of putative subgenomic transcripts with varying sizes, ranging from ~1 Kb to ~5 Kb, which may be generated by splicing and/or deletion, were differentially expressed in each tissue. Among them were ~2.9 Kb bands presumed to be amplified from full-length MuLV-ERV env transcripts, and their expression was evident in the ovary and uterus as well as other tissues (Figure 1(a)). Sequencing analysis revealed that the two 2,892 bp transcripts were env mRNAs, which were generated by a single splicing using the well-characterized donor and acceptor signals [30]. A subsequent open reading frame analysis revealed that the two full-length MuLV-ERV env genes, named ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2}, retain intact coding potential for env glycoproteins of 641 amino acids. While the nucleotide and polypeptide sequences of the ENV\textsubscript{OV2} was identical to an env gene of an polytropic murine leukemia virus (MuLV)-related retroviral sequence from NFS/N mice, the ENV\textsubscript{OV1} has not been reported yet [31].

Prior to the functional characterization of the ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2}, they were aligned with four different reference env polypeptides displaying different host tropisms: ecotropic, xenotropic, polytropic, and modified polytropic (Figure 1(b)). It turned out that both ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2} had a higher level of sequence similarity to the polytropic/modified polytropic env polypeptides compared to the others. Both the ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2} share the identical sequence in the variable region A and proline rich region, while one amino acid residue was different in the variable region B and R peptide, respectively. To identify the putative MuLV-ERVs encoding the ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2}, respectively, the C57BL/6j genome sequence (Build 37.1) from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) was surveyed with the respective env nucleotide sequences using the BLAST program [32]. The putative proviruses presumed to encode the ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2} were mapped to ideogram data of chromosome 8 and ideogram data of chromosome 18, respectively. Both MuLV-ERVs retained the coding potential for env polypeptide, and ENV\textsubscript{OV2} also had the coding potential for pol polypeptide (Figure 1(c)).

To examine whether the ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2} are able to produce full-length env polypeptides, they were overexpressed in a human cell line followed by Western blot
Figure 1: Identification of full-length env transcripts from the ovary of C57BL/6J mice. (a) A number of MuLV-ERV subgenomic transcripts were expressed in normal tissues (liver, lung, salivary gland, adrenal gland, brain, and skin) of C57BL/6J mice. A schematic diagram indicates the locations of primers used for amplification of the subgenomic transcripts. (b) The amino acid sequences of two intact env polypeptides with known tropism traits (GenBank accession number: AAG39911 (Eco), ACY30460 (Xeno), AAO37283 (Poly), and AAB88318 (m.Poly)). (c) The putative MuLV-ERV proviruses harboring the ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2} genes were mapped to chromosomes 8 and 18 of C57BL/6J genome, respectively. SU (surface domain), TM (transmembrane domain), VRA (variable region A), VRB (variable region B), PRR (proline rich region), LTR (long terminal repeat), R (repeat), and U (unique region).
Figure 2: Coding potential and membrane localization of ENV_{OV1} and ENV_{OV2} polypeptides. (a) The coding potential of the ENV_{OV1} and ENV_{OV2} polypeptides was confirmed by overexpression followed by Western blot analysis using antibody against Rauscher MuLV gp69/71 \textit{env} polypeptide. (b) The cellular distribution of the overexpressed ENV_{OV1} and ENV_{OV2} polypeptides was examined by immunocytochemistry using antibody against Rauscher MuLV gp69/71 polypeptide, and their membrane staining pattern was evident. The cells transfected with a blank plasmid serves as a negative control (mock). DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole).

3.2. Infectivity and Tropism Traits of ENV_{OV1} and ENV_{OV2} Polypeptides. Two relevant characteristics, tropism and infectivity, of the ENV_{OV1} and ENV_{OV2} polypeptides were determined using a retroviral packaging system and compared to reference \textit{env} proteins with known host tropisms: ecotropic, amphotropic, and pan tropic. Prior to the analyses of infectivity and tropism traits, the packaging potential of the ENV_{OV1} and ENV_{OV2} polypeptides and release of pseudotype LacZ-MuLV virions were confirmed by detection of \(~75\text{ kDa}\) bands in the culture supernatants collected after transfection (Figure 3). Interestingly, a markedly higher level of \textit{env} protein was detected in the supernatants presumed to contain ENV_{OV2}-packaged pseudotype virions compared to the ENV_{OV1} samples. This finding may suggest that the ENV_{OV2} polypeptide is more efficiently produced and/or packaged during the course of virion assembly compared to the ENV_{OV1}. The infectivity and tropism traits of the ENV_{OV1} and ENV_{OV2} polypeptides were then examined by infection of various cell types derived from human, nonhuman primate, mouse, and dog. It revealed that the pseudotype LacZ-MuLV virions packaged with either ENV_{OV1} or ENV_{OV2} were capable of infecting both mouse as well as nonmouse cells suggesting their polytropic tropism trait, which is consistent with the alignment data presented in Figure 1 (Table 1). While the pseudotype ENV_{OV2}-LacZ-MuLV virions demonstrated infectivity that is very similar to...
the amphotropic and pantropic controls, the ENVOV1-LacZ-MuLV virions had substantially low infection titers compared to the controls, probably due to low expression level and/or inefficient packaging potential during virion assembly.

3.3. Cytopathic Characteristics of ENVOV1 and ENVOV2 Polypeptides. In this experiment, the cytopathic effects of the ENVOV1 and ENVOV2 polypeptides were examined by overexpression followed by measurement of cytotoxicity and inhibition of cell proliferation. Cytotoxic property of the ENVOV2 polypeptide was clearly demonstrated by both colorimetric quantitative assay and microscopic examination of morphological characteristics, including adherence to culture plate (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). In contrast,
Table 1: Tropism trait and infectivity of the ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2} polypeptides. Infection titer unit (U/mL): number of LacZ positive cells per mL of supernatant containing virus particles. ND: not detectable.

| Cell lines          | ENV\textsubscript{OV1} | ENV\textsubscript{OV2} | pEco   | pAmpho  | p10A1  | pSVVG  |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| Human               |                         |                         |        |         |        |        |
| HeLa                | $1.6 \times 10^4$       | $1.5 \times 10^4$       | ND     | $2.2 \times 10^4$ | $2.2 \times 10^4$ | $4.7 \times 10^4$ |
| tsA201              | $3.1 \times 10^4$       | $2.0 \times 10^6$       | ND     | $2.8 \times 10^6$ | $1.4 \times 10^6$ | $8 \times 10^6$  |
| HCT116              | $2.0 \times 10^1$       | $3.2 \times 10^4$       | ND     | $2.7 \times 10^4$ | $4.0 \times 10^4$ | $1.7 \times 10^4$ |
| Nonhuman primate    |                         |                         |        |         |        |        |
| COS-1               | $4.0 \times 10^1$       | $2.0 \times 10^5$       | ND     | $2.0 \times 10^5$ | $3.8 \times 10^5$ | $1.2 \times 10^5$ |
| COS-7               | $6.9 \times 10^1$       | $8.4 \times 10^5$       | ND     | $1.3 \times 10^6$ | $3.2 \times 10^6$ | $5.2 \times 10^6$ |
| Mouse               |                         |                         |        |         |        |        |
| NIH3T3              | $2.0 \times 10^1$       | $9.5 \times 10^4$       | $4.5 \times 10^5$ | $1.7 \times 10^4$ | $3.3 \times 10^4$ | $1.4 \times 10^4$ |
| Neuro2a             | ND                      | $2.1 \times 10^4$       | $4.4 \times 10^3$ | $2.7 \times 10^3$ | $2.1 \times 10^3$ | $4.8 \times 10^3$ |
| Mouse               |                         |                         |        |         |        |        |
| NIH3T3              | $2.0 \times 10^1$       | $9.5 \times 10^4$       | $4.5 \times 10^5$ | $1.7 \times 10^4$ | $3.3 \times 10^4$ | $1.4 \times 10^4$ |
| Neuro2a             | ND                      | $2.1 \times 10^4$       | $4.4 \times 10^3$ | $2.7 \times 10^3$ | $2.1 \times 10^3$ | $4.8 \times 10^3$ |
| Dog                 |                         |                         |        |         |        |        |
| MDCK                | ND                      | $3.2 \times 10^1$       | ND     | $3.2 \times 10^2$ | $2.6 \times 10^2$ | $1.2 \times 10^2$ |

Figure 5: Effects of ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2} polypeptides on expression of inflammatory mediators. (a) and (b) The effects of the ENV\textsubscript{OV1} or ENV\textsubscript{OV2} polypeptide in RAW264.7 on the expression of various inflammatory mediators are presented. Differential modulation potentials for inflammatory mediators were observed between ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2} polypeptides. The densitometric value of each inflammatory mediator was normalized to β-actin, and a graph was formulated. Three different forms of negative control were included in this experiment: no DNA, pcDNA4 (blank pcDNA4/HisMax plasmid), and GR11 (similar insert size as ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2}: mouse glucocorticoid receptor in pcDNA4/HisMax). The assay was performed in triplicate. ∗ and ∗∗ indicate statistical significance (∗∗ < .05; ∗∗∗ < .01).

3.4. Modulation of Inflammatory Mediators by ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2} Polypeptides. To investigate whether the ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2} play a role in inflammation, changes in mRNA expression of a set of inflammatory mediators were surveyed following their overexpression in RAW264.7 alveolar macrophage cells. The set include proinflammatory mediators of COX-2 (cyclooxygenase-2), ICAM-1 (intercellular adhesion molecule 1), iNOS (inducible nitric oxide synthase), IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α. The expression of four proinflammatory mediators, COX-2, iNOS, IL-1β, and IL-6, was significantly increased by overexpression of ENV\textsubscript{OV2} polypeptide but not ENV\textsubscript{OV1} (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). In contrast, no significant changes in ICAM-1 and TNF-α levels were detected following the overexpression of either the ENV\textsubscript{OV1} or the ENV\textsubscript{OV2} polypeptide. The findings from this study suggest that the ENV\textsubscript{OV1} and ENV\textsubscript{OV2} polypeptides differentially participate in certain signaling events controlling the production of inflammatory mediators.
4. Discussion

Two MuLV-ERV env genes with intact coding potential, named ENVOV1 and ENVOV2, were isolated from the ovary of normal C57BL/6J mice and their biological properties were characterized. Although the sequence of one (ENVOV2) of the two env genes has been reported previously, its biological functions have not been characterized [31]. The findings from this study suggest that both the ENVOV1 and ENVOV2 polypeptides, which were determined to confer polytropic tropism, participate in a range of biological processes, such as retroviral packaging, cell death, proliferation, and inflammation.

The results from this study suggest that putative MuLV-ERV polypeptides, which were determined to confer polytropic tropism, participate in a range of biological processes, such as retroviral packaging, cell death, proliferation, and inflammation.

ERVs have been associated with a range of diseases, such as sepsis, multiple sclerosis, and injury whose central pathology includes inflammatory conditions [12, 33–37]. Some reports provided evidence that certain ERV env gene products, but not the relevant virus particles, play a role in the inflammatory processes associated with various pathologic phenotypes [38, 39]. The HERV-W syncytin-1 exerted its inflammatory effects by induction of proinflammatory mediators, such as IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, iNOS, and TNF-α, leading to neuron inflammation in multiple sclerosis patients [12, 40]. The findings from this study that the ENVOV2 polypeptide is capable of modulating inflammatory mediators suggest its potential roles in immunologic homeostasis as well as in various diseases involving inflammatory conditions, such as sepsis [41, 42].

A markedly higher level of packaging and subsequent release of pseudotype LacZ-MuLV virions was predicted with the ENVOV2 compared to the ENVOV1, based on the detection of abundant env polypeptide in the culture supernatants of ENVOV2 samples. It is consistent with the finding that the ENVOV2-packaged virions (pseudotype ENVOV2-LacZ-MuLVs) had higher infection titers compared to the ENVOV1-packaged virions (pseudotype ENVOV1-LacZ-MuLVs). It is possible that the putative high packaging rate with the ENVOV2 polypeptide is directly linked to its efficient transcription and/or translation as well as stability. On the other hand, abundant presence of the ENVOV2 polypeptide in the cytoplasm may explain, at least in part, the characteristics of cytotoxicity and inhibition of proliferation compared to the ENVOV1. Throughout the entire coding sequences, nine amino acid residues (V22I, R24G, R158G, Q161R, R362G, G518R, G528R, D608, and K640E) were different between the ENVOV1 and ENVOV2 polypeptides. Further investigation may be needed to learn the roles of these polymorphic residues in stability as well as pathogenic characteristics, including infectivity, of the ENVOV1 and ENVOV2 polypeptides.

5. Conclusions

The findings from this study indicate that certain MuLV-ERV env polypeptides, such as ENVOV2, may participate in a range of pathophysiologic processes as an envelope of MuLV-ERV virions and/or independently.
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