A Simple Energy-based Approach of Stent Elastic Properties
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Abstract. Simple closed-form expressions were derived for the elastic moduli of lozenge grid structure based on the convenient beam theory and energy conservation principle. Finite element analysis was employed to validate the analytical estimates of the Young’s modulus. The theoretic results were also compared with the numerical data in the literature. The results show that the calculation method of Young’s modulus obtained by energy conservation is feasible, which provides a new way for stress analysis of sandwich structures. At the same time, the cell structure proposed in this paper provides a new scheme for the design of vascular stent.

1 Introduction

At present, stenting is a common method for the treatment of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. It supports the vessel wall by placing medical stents in the stage of coronary artery stenosis to keep the blood flow unblocked. Therefore, the research on the performance of vascular stents has become a hot topic. In this paper, a lozenge grid structure with negative Poisson’s ratio aiming to get the large deformation is proposed in this paper. Meanwhile, the equivalent Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio of this structure are analyzed in the range of linear elasticity, and the analytical formula are achieved. Moreover, the relation between equivalent elastic parameters and geometric variables is also studied.

There were a lot of reevaluating works being done before. Davood Mousanezhada[1] used finite element method to simulate PTCA surgery. The results were consistent with the actual data. It was the first time to show the role of finite element method in the treatment of vascular stenosis. In order to study the expansion characteristics of stents, Brauer carried out experiments of balloon dilatation in vitro on stents with different materials and designs, established a stent-balloon model to simulate the expansion process of stent. In the later research, a large number of simulations to the expansion process of stent were carried out. Etave[2], Migliavacca[3,4], Chua[5] put forward their own simulation methods of stent expansion. However, they didn’t take the role of balloon into consideration, and the pressure was directly loaded to the inner surface of the stent model to expand it.

On its fatigue, and thought that the conventional fatigue theory was not suitable for the study of the stent fatigue. Perry[11] used finite element method to study the fatigue characteristics of the stent, and considered that it was necessary to use finite element method to study the fatigue of the bracket.

2 Equivalent Young’s modulus calculation of lozenge grid structure

2.1 Lozenge grid structure geometry

A representative unit cell of the lozenge grid structure configuration shows in Figure 1. The parameters L, R, α and t represent the rod length, the radius and the thickness of the rod, respectively. The depth of the cell b is not shown for clarity. Structure parameters in detail are shown in Table 1.

2.2 Analytical formulation

In this paper, a new negative Poisson’s ratio structure-lozenge grid structure- is proposed. We will derive closed-form expressions for the equivalent elastic moduli of lozenge grid structure as a demonstration of our proposed method. The detailed derivations for the structure are presented in Fig. 1.
A schematic of a lozenge grid structure, which is based on an underlying square network, bears a uniaxial stress in the x-direction, $\sigma_x$ is shown in Fig. 2(a). The cell unit force diagram is shown in Fig. 2(b). The unit cell contains five cylinders with radius $R$, four cylinders with radius $r$ and four half ligaments, which are assumed to be rigid. Due to the 180° rotational symmetry of the structure and the components of the microscopic stresses, all four external cut points of the unit cell must be moment-free under an arbitrary macroscopic stress state; $\tau_{xy}$ is shown in Fig. 2(c). Also, since the only far-field stress acting on the structure is along the x-direction, all the external cut points must be force-less except points 1 and 2 which carry a pair of forces with opposite directions along the x-direction due to $\sigma_x$. Therefore, unknown forces and moments acting on the unit cell’s external cut points can be summarized as shown in Fig.2(b), where $P_x$ can be determined as a function of applying stress as:

$$P_x = \sigma_x \left( L^2 + (R-r)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (1)

To calculate equivalent elastic parameters of the proposed structure, a mechanical analysis model has been proposed based on the following assumptions:

1. The nodes in structural configurations are perfectly rigid rotating units, and only small deformations occur within the rod.

2. The Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio can be derived for lozenge grid structure isotropic honeycomb making use of conventional beam theory.

3. The circle is considered as rigid body.

Moreover, to calculate the structure’s Poisson’s ratio, a pair of virtual forces are employed. $P_y$ is also added on points 2 and 4 of the unit cell. The strain energy of the unit cell is given as:
\[ U = 2 \frac{P^2(L + R + r)}{2E_A} + 2 \frac{P^2(L + R + r)}{2E_A} + 4 \int_0^L \left( \frac{dF}{E} \right)^2 dx + 2 \frac{(P - F)^2}{E} \left( L/2 + R \right) \] \[ + \int_0^L \left( \frac{dP}{E} \right)^2 dx + 2 \frac{(P - F)^2}{E} \left( L/2 + R \right) \] \[ \text{where } E_s \text{ is the Young's modulus of the cell material, } \] \[ A \text{ is the cross sectional area of cell walls (i.e., for a rectangular cross section with unit depth, } A = t, I \text{ is the second moment of area of the cell wall's cross section (cell walls are assumed to have a rectangular cross section with uniform thickness } t, \text{ and unit depth, i.e., } I = t^3/12, \] \[ \alpha = \tan^{-1}[(R-r)/L] \text{ is the angle between each ligament and the line connecting the centers of two adjacent cylinders as shown in Fig. 1.} \]

Next \( \partial U / \partial P_x \mid P_y = 0, F = 0 \) gives the total displacement of point 1 with respect to point 3 in the x-direction as:

\[ \delta_{11} = 9LPx(4AEs)-1+2Prx(AEs)-1+5Prx(2AEs)-1+L3Prx(3Es)-1+L2Prx(3Es)-1+LPrx(3Es)-1 \] \[ + r3Prx(3Es)-1 \] \[ (3) \]

From this, we can now calculate the structure's average strain in the x-direction:

\[ \epsilon_{11} = \delta_{11}(2L+2R+2r) \] \[ (4) \]

The Young’s modulus of the structure normalized by material’s Young’s modulus is then defined as the ratio of the average stress \( \sigma_{11} \) and the average strain \( \epsilon_{11} \):

\[ E_{11} = \frac{\sigma_{11}}{\epsilon_{11}} \] \[ (5) \]

Similarly, the Young’s modulus of the structure distributed along the other directions are as followed:

\[ E_{22} = \frac{\sigma_{22}}{\epsilon_{22}} \] \[ (6) \]

On the other hand, \( \partial U / \partial F \mid P_x = 0, P_y = 0 \) gives the structure’s shear modulus with respect to the x-y coordinate system. Then, the shear modulus of the structure normalized with respect to the Young’s modulus of the cell wall material, is defined as the ratio of the averages shear stress, \( \tau \) xy to the average shear strain, \( \gamma \) xy and given as the following:

\[ E_{32} = \frac{\tau_{xy}}{\gamma_{xy}} \] \[ (7) \]

After further derivation, the angular strain of principal stress in other directions is obtained.

\[ \epsilon_{12} = \epsilon_{21} = 0 \] \[ (8) \]
The analysis presented in this work provides a new method to analyze and calculate structural elastic deformation, and provided a new type sandwich structures unit cell.

Negative Poisson's ratio structure has a broad application in the field of intelligent structure design and manufacturing in the future. It is worthwhile to study and guide the development and design of negative Poisson’s ratio structures with advanced calculation methods and theories.
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### Table 3. Theoretical and numerical results.

| Elastic module | Theory(Pa) | FEM(Pa) | Error |
|----------------|------------|---------|-------|
| E11            | 2.84 E11   | 2.39E11 | 0.15  |
| E22            | 3.42 E06   | 2.04E06 | 0.40  |
| E33            | 1.34 E11   | 1.95E11 | 0.45  |
| E12            | 0          | 1.52E11 | -     |
| E21            | 0          | 1.52E11 | -     |
| E13            | 1.81 E11   | 1.52E11 | 0.16  |
| E31            | 1.81 E11   | 1.52E11 | 0.16  |
| E23            | 1.66 E11   | 1.52E11 | 0.08  |
| E32            | 1.84 E11   | 1.52E11 | 0.17  |

The theoretical results are compared with numerical data in Table 3. From this table we find favorable comparisons of our elastic constants (Young’s modulus) are almost accordant. Note that some discrepancy is natural both due to the difference in the materials between the two cases and also from the different boundary conditions employed between the two FE models. Analytical closed-form formulas for square and hexagon based networks have been obtained, and the results are verified numerically. The equivalent modulus of elasticity of lozenge grid structure along x and y direction is obtained. However, the values of E12 and E21 are 0, and the moduli of elasticity in different forces are not zero. It shows that under the action of axial tension, the elastic deformation appears in the X direction, but shear effect appears in the Y direction and angular deformation appears. Under pure shear force, there is no elastic deformation but only shear behavior. From the data, the elastic modulus obtained by finite element method showed that the vascular stent exhibited elastic deformation under tension and shear, and the elastic modulus in X and Y direction was shown in the table. The results show that the theoretical Young's modulus is smaller than the elastic modulus calculated by the finite element method, but the overall trend is the same, the error is almost about 10%. It shows that the method of calculating the equivalent Young's modulus based on energy conservation is feasible. The energy conservation method provides a new method for the analysis of structure elastic deformation.

#### 4 Conclusions

In this work, a lozenge grid structure is proposed and material equivalent isotropic elastic modulus has been deduced with beam theory. Then structural deformation is carried out by finite element method by COMSOL Multiphysics. By comparing the theoretical formulas with the finite element simulation results, it is found that:

1. A new closed-form Young's modulus formulas is deduced by the energy principle, the elastic modulus of cellular structures under tensile and shear deformation is derive, and the formula for calculating Young's modulus is given.
2. Finite element analysis of the tension deformation between the lozenge grid structure and the simulation results and the error between them is not large, the equivalent elastic modulus is good associated with the Finite element results.