Determination of the crystal structure and photoluminescence properties of NaEu$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor synthesized by a water-assisted low-temperature synthesis technique
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A single-phase red-emitting NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor with nanosized particles was synthesized using a water-assisted solid state reaction (WASSR) method, a new low-temperature synthesis method developed by our group. NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ exhibits a monoclinic structure with a space group C2/c (no. 15), which is composed of a Na/EuO$_8$ dodecahedron with the site occupancy of Na/Eu = 1:1 and an MoO$_4$ tetrahedron. To enhance the emission intensity of the NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor, Gd$^{3+}$ was doped into the Eu$^{3+}$ sites and NaEu$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ (0 < x ≤ 0.50) samples were synthesized using the WASSR method. The emission intensity of the phosphors was successfully enhanced by increasing the Gd$^{3+}$ content in the samples with 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.30, but was reduced with x > 0.30. This indicates that the optimum composition of the phosphor for achieving a high emission intensity that is ~3.8 times higher than that of the NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ (x = 0) phosphor is NaEu$_{0.70}$Gd$_{0.30}$(MoO$_4$)$_2$. These phosphors exhibit a granular particle morphology with the particle size of the phosphor being ~200 nm.

Introduction

Ceramic optical materials with nanosized particles have been widely used for new technologies, such as lighting systems, bio-sensing and energy devices. In particular, phosphors dispersed in a glass or film have attracted much attention as a light converter in white-light emitting diodes (white-LEDs) and as a spectral convertor in white-light emitting diodes (white-LDs) and as a spectral convertor.

Functional ceramic powder materials including phosphor materials are mostly synthesized using the solid-state reaction (SSR) method, as it is very simple and can be employed for easily synthesizing ceramic materials. However, this method requires high temperatures (>1000 °C) for obtaining single phase materials because ionic diffusion in a solid markedly increases with an increase in the reaction temperature according to the Arrhenius equation:

\[ k = A \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{k_b T}\right) \]

where \( k \) is the velocity constant, \( A \) is the frequency factor, \( E_a \) is the activation energy, \( k_b \) is the Boltzmann’s constant, and \( T \) is the temperature. However, high-temperature synthesis usually results in the particle growth and particles with irregular morphology are formed in the final product. Therefore, it is difficult to synthesize ceramics powders with fine-sized particles using the conventional SSR method. In contrast, liquid phase reaction (LPR) methods, which usually employ aqueous solutions or organic solvents, have been widely investigated for obtaining functional ceramics powder materials with fine-sized particles. Nevertheless, most of the LPR methods require special procedures, such as pH control, filtration and other processes. Therefore, the cost of the ceramic powder materials synthesized by the LPR methods is higher than that obtained by the conventional SSR method. Therefore, the development of a new synthesis method without employing special processes is...
necessary to obtain small particle ceramic materials at a low cost.

In our previous study, we developed a novel synthesis method which can be used for easily synthesizing ceramics powder materials with fine-sized particles at a low temperature (<100 °C) without employing any chemical and physical after-process treatment. Some oxide materials can be synthesized at room temperature by mixing raw materials or by storing the mixture of raw materials at low temperatures. In addition, the reaction can be drastically promoted by adding a small amount of water. We refer this novel synthesis method as the “water-assisted solid-state reaction (WASSR)” method or the “solid hydrate thermal reaction (SHR)” method. Recently, we have referred this novel synthesis method as the WASSR method because the reaction mechanisms of both WASSR and SHR are the same. The added water, which covers the particle surface of the raw materials, activates a surface reaction between them, resulting in the acceleration of the solid acid–base reaction of the raw materials. Using this method, we successfully synthesized functional ceramic powder materials containing fine-sized particles at temperatures lower than 100 °C. Additionally, we investigated the reactivity of different raw materials in solvents other than water such as acids, alcohols, and organic solvents (Table S1 in ESI†). We observed that the reaction between raw materials is either too slow or does not occur in these solvents.

In this study, we synthesized the NaEu(MoO₄)₂ phosphor using WASSR and their photoluminescence properties were investigated in detail and compared with those of the phosphor material because of its excellent thermal and chemical stability. In addition, it is well-known that NaEu(MoO₄)₂ phosphor exhibits red emission under UV excitation.

**Experimental section**

**Preparation of the NaEuₓ₋ₓGdₓ(MoO₄)₂ phosphor**

Commercial grade oxides and carbonates were used as the starting materials. Na₂CO₃ (Kanto Chem. Co. Ltd., 99.8%), Eu₂O₃ (Shin-Etsu Chem. Co. Ltd., 99.99%), Gd₂O₃ (Shin-Etsu Chem. Co. Ltd., 99.99%) and MoO₃ (Kojundo Chem. Lab. Co. Ltd., 99.98%) powders were used as the starting materials for obtaining a single-phase NaEuₓ₋ₓGdₓ(MoO₄)₂ phosphor. The powders were mixed in a stoichiometric ratio using a mortar without any solvent for 1 min. Both the mixture and a glass bottle with a volume of 3 mL containing 1 mL de-ionised water were kept in a closed polystyrene container with a volume of 10 mL as shown in Fig. 1. The closed-container was stored at 80 °C for 48 h in an automatic oven (Yamato Scientific Co., Ltd. DKN 302). To verify the effect of the WASSR method, NaEu(MoO₄)₂ was synthesized using the conventional SSR method for comparison. The stoichiometric mixture was calcined at 800 °C for 10 h in air.

**Characterization of the NaEuₓ₋ₓGdₓ(MoO₄)₂ phosphor**

The crystal structure of the phosphor samples was examined using powder X-ray diffraction (XRD; D2 PHASER, Bruker Co.).

For determining the crystal phases, Rietveld refinement of the obtained XRD pattern was performed using the RIETAN-FP software package. Photoluminescence excitation and emission spectra of the phosphors were measured at room temperature with a spectrophotometer (Jasco Corp. FP-6500/6600). The excitation spectra were recorded for emission at 612 nm and the emission spectra were obtained for excitation at 300 nm. The particle morphology of the phosphor samples was characterised using scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JSM-5310MVB, JEOL Ltd.).

**Results and discussion**

**Crystal structure**

In order to determine the crystal structural parameters of the NaEu(MoO₄)₂ phosphor synthesized by the WASSR method, we carried out the Rietveld analysis on the powder XRD data for all the space groups obtained in the early refinement stages. The monoclinic structure with a space group of C2/c (no. 15) could be fitted most satisfactorily to the XRD pattern of the NaEu(MoO₄)₂ phosphor. The weighted profile R-factors; Rwp, Rrel, S and Rp are 1.690%, 0.737%, 2.2936 and 2.816%, respectively, and these values provide information on the phase purity of the sample prepared in this study. The representative XRD pattern of the NaEu(MoO₄)₂ phosphor prepared using the WASSR method is shown in Fig. 2. The detailed crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters obtained by Rietveld refinement analysis are summarised in Tables 1 and 2. The lattice parameters of the NaEu(MoO₄)₂ sample prepared by the WASSR method were found to be a = 0.74214(6) Å, b = 1.14644(1) Å, c = 0.52475(4) Å, β = 134.999(4)° and V = 0.31570(4) nm³, respectively. The refined cell parameters of the sample synthesized by the SSR method are also listed in Table 1. The refined structural parameters are given in Fig. S1 and Table S2 in ESI†.
of Na1 and Eu1 (4e site) were found to be 0.4961 and 0.504(3), respectively, indicating a Na/Eu ratio of 1 : 1 in the crystal lattice. Therefore, the chemical composition of the obtained NaEu(MoO4)2 sample was found to be stoichiometric. Fig. 3 shows the crystal structure of NaEu(MoO4)2 illustrated using the VESTA program based on the crystallographic data obtained by Rietveld refinement analysis.22 Na+ and Eu3+ ions occupy the same dodecahedral sites and the site occupancy of Na+/Eu3+ is 1 : 1. Mo6+ ions are coordinated with four oxide anions to form a tetrahedron and do not share any edges or corners themselves. The bonding distances between the cation and anion in the NaEu(MoO4)2 phosphors synthesized by WASSR and conventional SSR methods are listed in Table S3 in the ESI.†

SEM images of the NaEu(MoO4)2 phosphors prepared by the WASSR and SSR methods are depicted in Fig. 4. Both the NaEu(MoO4)2 phosphors prepared by the WASSR and SSR methods exhibit a granular particle morphology. The particle size of the sample prepared by the WASSR method is smaller than that of the sample prepared by the conventional SSR method. The average particle size of the sample prepared by the WASSR method is 200 nm. The NaEu(MoO4)2 sample prepared by the WASSR method exhibited no signs of strong sintering of the particles, which contributes to the distribution of individual particles. On the other hand, the NaEu(MoO4)2 sample prepared by the SSR method underwent strong sintering, which could be attributed to the heat treatment employed at high temperatures.

Photoluminescence properties

Fig. 5 shows the excitation and emission spectra of the NaEu(MoO4)2 phosphor prepared by the WASSR method. The excitation spectrum exhibits a strong, broad band from 220 to 350 nm, corresponding to the charge transfer (CT) transition between O2− and Eu3+. A few strong narrow peaks observed in the range of 350–500 nm could be attributed to the 4f–4f transitions of Eu3+.23 All emission peaks of the NaEu(MoO4)2 phosphor correspond to the transition occurring from 5D0 excited level to the 7FJ (J = 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4) ground levels of Eu3+. Generally, the 5D0 → 7FJ electric dipole transition, which is induced by the lack of inversion symmetry at the Eu3+ site, is more sensitive to the site symmetry than the 5D0 → 7F1.
magnetic dipole transition. When an Eu$^{3+}$ ion is located at the low inversion symmetry site, the emission intensity of the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_2$ electric dipole transition is relatively higher than that of the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1$ magnetic dipole transition.\textsuperscript{23} In the emission spectrum, the peak intensity corresponding to $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_2$ electric dipole transition at 617 nm is more dominant than that of the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1$ and $^7F_3$ magnetic dipole transitions observed at 595 nm and 650 nm. This indicates that the EuO$_8$ dodecahedron in the crystal lattice has a low inversion symmetry and that the NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor is suitable for use as red-emitting phosphors because the ideal emission for a rich red colour corresponds to a narrow band observed ~610 nm.\textsuperscript{23}

Effect of Gd$^{3+}$ doping on the photoluminescence properties

When luminescence ions beyond a critical concentration are doped into host materials, the emission intensity of the phosphor reduces due to concentration quenching. The NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor contains a large amount of Eu$^{3+}$ ions in the crystal lattice as compared to the previously reported Eu$^{3+}$-doped phosphors.\textsuperscript{24–29} Therefore, it is necessary to reduce the Eu$^{3+}$ content in the crystal lattice to effectively enhance the emission intensity of the NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor. In this study, Gd$^{3+}$ (ionic radius: 0.1053 nm for 8 coordination)\textsuperscript{30} was doped into the Eu$^{3+}$ (ionic radius: 0.1066 nm for 8 coordination)\textsuperscript{30} site to enhance the emission intensity of the NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor. Gd$^{3+}$ has an identical valence and similar ionic radius as those of Eu$^{3+}$ and does not exhibit any optical absorption or emission bands in the visible light region. Therefore, Gd$^{3+}$ doping would be the most promising strategy to reduce the Eu$^{3+}$ content in the crystal lattice without significantly changing the crystallographic environment around Eu$^{3+}$.

Fig. 6 shows the XRD patterns of the NaEu$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ (0 ≤ $x$ ≤ 0.50) phosphors prepared by the WASSR method. Although weak secondary unknown peaks appeared in the XRD patterns of all samples, almost all of the XRD peaks were well indexed to the single-phase monoclinic NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$. However, in addition, the peak intensity of MoO$_3$ did not change with an increase in the Gd$^{3+}$ content in the NaEu$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ (0 ≤ $x$ ≤ 0.50) phosphors. The XRD peaks corresponding to monoclinic NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ shifted to a higher diffraction angle with an increase in the Gd$^{3+}$ content in the NaEu$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ (0 ≤ $x$ ≤ 0.50) phosphors. Additionally, the refined lattice volume of the NaEu$_{0.70}$Gd$_{0.30}$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor was found to be 0.3150(3) nm$^3$, as comparable to that of the Gd$^{3+}$ non-doped NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor ($V = 0.31571(4)$ nm$^3$). The refinement data are summarised in Table S7 in ESI.\textsuperscript{†} These results indicate that NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ was formed as the main phase in all the samples and small Gd$^{3+}$ ions were successfully substituted into the Eu$^{3+}$ site in the crystal lattice.

Fig. 7(a) shows the excitation and emission spectra of NaEu$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ ($x = 0$ and 0.30) phosphors. The emission...
profiles of all samples were essentially the same without exhibiting a change in the ratio of peak intensity corresponding to the $^7D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_2$ and $^7D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1$ transitions on introducing Gd$^{3+}$ ions into the NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor. This indicates that the inversion symmetry of the EuO$_8$ dodecahedron in the NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ crystal lattice was well maintained even on doping a large amount of Gd$^{3+}$ ions in the crystal lattice. However, a clear difference is recognised in the emission intensity of these spectra. The emission intensity increased with an increase in the Gd$^{3+}$ content and reached a maximum value at $x = 0.30$ in the NaEu$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.50$) phosphors. The emission intensity of the NaEu$_{0.70}$Gd$_{0.30}$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor was $\sim 3.8$ times higher than that of the NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor, and the relative emission intensity of this phosphor was $17\%$ of the value obtained with the commercial Y$_2$O$_3$: Eu$^{3+}$ phosphor.

Conclusions

The red-emission NaEu$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.50$) phosphors were synthesized using the WASSR method, and their crystal structure and photoluminescence properties were investigated. NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ exhibits a monoclinic structure with a space group C2/c (no. 15), which is composed of a NaEu$_8$O$_{24}$ dodecahedron and MoO$_4$ tetrahedron. The NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ structure was found to be the main phase in all the NaEu$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.50$) phosphors. These phosphors exhibited a strong, narrow red emission owing to the energy transfer occurring from $^3D_0$ excited level to the $^7F_j$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) ground levels of Eu$^{3+}$, and the emission intensity of the phosphors was successfully enhanced by doping the NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phosphor with Gd$^{3+}$ ions. The optimization of the Gd$^{3+}$ content resulted in the highest emission intensity for the sample NaEu$_{0.70}$Gd$_{0.30}$(MoO$_4$)$_2$, with the emission intensity of the phosphor being $\sim 3.8$ times higher than that of the NaEu(MoO$_4$)$_2$ ($x = 0$) phosphor. The average particle size of the NaEu$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.50$) phosphors obtained by the WASSR method was $\sim 200$ nm.

Notes and references

1 A. F. Khan, D. Haranath, R. Yadav, S. Singh, S. Chawla and V. Dutta, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2008, 93, 073103.

2 X. Li, Q. Li, J. Wang, S. Yang and H. Liu, Opt. Mater., 2007, 29, 528.

3 G. Yi, H. Lu, S. Zhao, Y. Ge, W. Yang, D. Chen and L. H. Guo, Nano Lett., 2004, 4, 2191.

4 D. Haranath, H. Chander, P. Sharma and S. Singh, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2006, 89, 173118.

5 H. Yang, D. K. Lee and Y. S. Kim, Mater. Chem. Phys., 2009, 114, 665.

6 A. Shahav, B. S. Richards, T. Trupke, K. W. Krämer and H. U. Güdel, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2005, 86, 013505.

7 M. Kakihana, J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol., 1996, 6, 7.

8 K. J. Laidler, J. Chem. Educ., 1984, 61, 494.

9 J. Wang, Y. Xua, M. Hojamberdiev, Y. Cui, H. Liu and G. Zhu, J. Alloys Compd., 2009, 479, 772.

10 C. A. Kodaira, H. F. Brito, O. L. Malta and O. A. Serra, J. Lumin., 2003, 101, 11.

11 S. W. Kim, T. Kaneko, K. Toda, K. Uematsu, T. Ishigaki, M. Sato, J. Koide, M. Toda and Y. Kudo, in Proceeding of IDW15, 2014, vol. 21, p. 510.

12 T. Kaneko, S. W. Kim, A. Toda, K. Uematsu, T. Ishigaki, K. Toda, M. Sato, J. Koide, M. Toda, Y. Kudo, T. Masaki and D. H. Yoon, Sci. Adv. Mater., 2015, 7, 1502.

13 K. Toda, S. W. Kim, T. Hasegawa, M. Watanabe, T. Kaneko, A. Toda, A. Itadani, M. Sato, K. Uematsu, T. Ishigaki, J. Koide, M. Toda, Y. Kudo, T. Masaki and D. H. Yoon, Key Eng. Mater., 2016, 690, 268.

14 S. W. Kim, T. Hasegawa, M. Watanabe, K. Sugimoto, Y. Saito, K. Uematsu, K. Toda and M. Sato, Dyes Pigm., 2017, 136, 219.

15 S. W. Kim, K. Toda, T. Hasegawa, M. Watanabe, T. Kaneko, A. Toda, A. Itadani, M. Sato, K. Uematsu, T. Ishigaki, J. Koide, M. Toda, Y. Kudo, T. Masaki and D. H. Yoon, Sci. Adv. Mater., 2016, accepted.

16 Z. Wang, H. Liang, M. Gong and Q. Su, Mater. Lett., 2008, 62, 619.

17 Y. Zhang, S. Shi, J. Gao and J. Zhou, J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol., 2010, 10, 2156.

18 Z. Wang, H. Liang, L. Zhou, J. Wang, M. Gong and Q. Su, J. Lumin., 2008, 128, 147.

19 Z. Wang, H. Liang, M. Gong and Q. Su, Opt. Mater., 2007, 29, 896.

20 F. Izumi and K. Momma, Solid State Phenom., 2007, 130, 15.

21 A. Arakcheeva, D. Logvinovich, G. Chapuis, V. Morozov, S. V. Eliseeva, J. C. G. Bünzli and P. Pattison, Chem. Sci., 2012, 3, 384.

22 K. Momma and F. Izumi, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2011, 44, 1272.

23 T. Kano, in Phosphor Handbook, ed. W. M. Yen, S. Shionoya and H. Yamamoto, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2nd edn, 2006, pp. 191–214.

24 J. Dhanaraj, R. Jaganathan, T. R. N. Kutty and D. H. Lu, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2001, 105, 11098.

25 J. H. Jeong, J. S. Bae, S. S. Yi, J. C. Park and Y. S. Kim, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2003, 15, 567.

26 S. W. Kim, T. Masui and N. Imanaka, Electrochemistry, 2009, 77, 611.
27 Y. S. Chang, F. M. Huang, Y. Y. Tsai and L. G. Teoh, *J. Lumin.*, 2009, 129, 1181.

28 S. M. Kim, T. Masui and N. Imanaka, *ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol.*, 2012, 1, R41.

29 V. Dubey, J. Kaur, S. Agrawal, N. S. Suryanarayana and K. V. R. Murthy, *Optik*, 2013, 124, 5585.

30 R. D. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Differ., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr.*, 1976, 32, 751.