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We prove that the low dimensions of a class of Moran sets coincide with their Hausdorff dimensions and obtain a formula for the lower dimensions. Subsequently, we consider some homogeneous Cantor sets which belong to Moran sets and give the counterexamples in which their Assouad dimension is not equal to their upper box dimensions and packing dimensions under the case of not satisfying the condition of the smallest compression ratio $c > 0$.

1. Introduction

Let us begin with the definition of the Assouad dimension and the lower dimension. For $r > 0$, $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, and $N_r(E)$ denotes the smallest number of open sets required for an $r$-cover of a bounded set $E$.

**Definition 1.** The Assouad dimension of a nonempty set $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined by $\dim_A F = \inf\{\alpha \geq 0 \mid \text{there exists a constant } c > 0 \text{ such that, for any } 0 < r < R, \text{ and } x \in F, \ N_r(B(x, R) \cap F) \leq c (R/r)^\alpha$}\}.

If the Hausdorff dimension provides fine, but global, geometric information, then the Assouad dimension which was introduced by Assouad [1] provides coarse, but local, geometric information. The Assouad dimension is a fundamental notion of dimension used to study fractal objects in a wide variety of contexts. An important theme in dimension theory is that dimensions often come in pairs. The natural partner of the Assouad dimension is the lower dimension, which was introduced by Larman [2], where it was called the minimal dimensional number.

**Definition 2.** The lower dimension of $F$ is defined by $\dim_L F = \inf\{\alpha \geq 0 \mid \text{there exists a constant } c > 0 \text{ such that, for any } 0 < r < R, \text{ and } x \in F, \ N_r(B(x, R) \cap F) \geq c (R/r)^\alpha$\}.

The lower dimension is not monotonic, and the modified lower dimension is defined by

$$\dim_{ML} F = \sup \{\dim_L E : E \subseteq F\}. \tag{1}$$

The Assouad dimension has recently received an enormous interest in the mathematical literature due to its connections with the doubling property. This lead Larman to introduce the dual notion of dimension, namely, the lower Assouad dimension, often simply called the lower dimension. Just like the Assouad dimension, the lower dimension has also received an enormous interest in the mathematical literature due to its connections with the uniform property of metric spaces. As a result of this, a large number of papers have investigated the Assouad dimension and the lower dimension of different classes of fractal sets. Olsen [3] gave a simple and direct proof that the Assouad dimension of a graph-directed Moran fractal satisfying the open-set condition which is Ahlfors regular coinciding with its Hausdorff and box dimensions. However, in general, it is difficult to obtain the Assouad dimensions of sets which are not Ahlfors regular. Mackay [4] calculated the Assouad dimension of the self-affine carpets of Bedford and McMullen and his main result solved the problem posed by Olsen [3]. For the Moran sets introduced by Wen [5] which are not Ahlfors regular, Li et al. [6] obtained the Assouad dimensions of Moran sets under suitable condition and studied the Assouad dimensions of Cantor-like sets. Jinjun Li [7] also show that the Assouad dimensions of some Moran sets coincide with their packing and upper box dimensions. However, Li [7] did not compute the lower dimension of this class of fractals, the main conclusions of the paper [6, 7] must satisfy the
condition that the smallest compression ratio \( c_\ast > 0 \) and the paper [7] conjecture that the conclusion remains true if the condition \( c_\ast > 0 \) is removed (see Remark 1 [7]). In this paper, we prove that the low dimensions of a class of Moran sets coincide with their Hausdorff dimensions and obtain a formula for the lower dimensions. Subsequently, we consider some homogeneous Cantor sets which belong to Moran sets and give the counterexamples which their Assouad dimension is not equal to their upper box dimensions and packing dimensions under the case of not satisfying the condition that the smallest compression ratio \( c_\ast > 0 \), and we give a negative answer to the conjecture in the paper [7].

2. Lower Dimensions of Some Moran Sets

Firstly, let us recall the definition of Moran sets introduced by Wen [5]. Let \( \{n_k\}_{k \geq 1} \) be a sequence of positive integers. Define \( D_0 = \emptyset \), and for any \( k \geq 1 \), set \( D_{m,k} = \{(i_m,i_{m+1},...,i_k): 1 \leq i_j \leq n_j, m \leq j \leq k\}, \) \( D_k = D_{1,k} \), and \( D = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} D_k \). If \( \sigma = (\sigma_1,\sigma_2,...,\sigma_k) \in D_k \), \( \tau = (\tau_1,\tau_2,...,\tau_{m-k}) \in D_{k+1,m} \), let \( \sigma \ast \tau = (\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\tau_1,...,\tau_{m-k}) \). And if \( \sigma \in D_k \), remark \( \sigma | l = (\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_i) \) for \( 1 \leq l \leq k \).

Definition 3. Suppose that \( J \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) is a compact set with \( \text{int} J \neq \emptyset \). Let \( \{\phi_k\} \) be a sequence of positive real vectors with \( \{\phi_k\} = (c_{k,1},c_{k,2},\ldots,c_{k,m}) \) and \( \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{k,j} \leq 1, k \in \mathbb{N} \). We say the collection \( F = \{J_\sigma: \sigma \in D\} \) of closed subsets of \( J \) fulfills the Moran structure if it satisfies the following Moran structure conditions (MSC):

1. For \( \sigma \in D \), \( J_\sigma \) is geometrically similar to \( J \), i.e., there exists a similarity \( S_{\sigma}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \) such that \( J_\sigma = S_{\sigma}(J) \). For convenience, we write \( J_\phi = J \).

2. For all \( k \geq 0 \) and \( \sigma \in D_k \), \( J_{\sigma^1} \supseteq J_{\sigma^2} \) and \( J_{\sigma^1} \cap J_{\sigma^2} = \emptyset (i \neq j) \). Let \( \text{int} J_{\sigma^1} \cap \text{int} J_{\sigma^2} = \emptyset (i \neq j) \).

3. For any \( \sigma \in D_{k+1}, 1 \leq j \leq n_k \),

\[
\frac{|J_{\sigma^j}|}{|J_{\sigma}|} = c_{k,j},
\]

where \( |A| \) denotes the diameter of \( A \).

Suppose that \( F \) is a collection of closed subsets of \( J \) fulfilling the Moran structure, set

\[
E_k = \bigcup_{\sigma \in D_k} J_\sigma, \quad E = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} E_k.
\]

It is ready to see that \( E \) is a nonempty compact set. The set \( E = E(F) \) is called the Moran set associated with the collection \( F \).

Let \( F_k = \{J_\sigma: \sigma \in D_k\} \) and \( F = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} F_k \). The elements of \( F_k \) are called \( k \)th-level basic sets of \( E \) and the elements of \( F \) are the basic sets of \( E \). Suppose that the set \( J \) and the sequences \( \{n_k\} \) and \( \{\phi_k\} \) are given. We denote by \( M = M(J,\{n_k\},\{\phi_k\}) \) the class of the Moran sets satisfying the MSC. We call \( M(J,\{n_k\},\{\phi_k\}) \) the Moran class associated with the triplet \( (J,\{n_k\},\{\phi_k\}) \).

Remark 1. From the above definition, we see that if the Moran sets \( E_1, E_2 \in M(J,\{n_k\},\{\phi_k\}) \) and \( E_1 \neq E_2 \), then the relative positions of \( k \)-level basic sets of \( E_1 \) and those of \( E_2 \) may be different, although they satisfy the same MSC.

Under some mild conditions, Hua et al. [8] gave the Hausdorff packing and upper box dimensions of Moran sets. To state their result, we need some notations. Let \( M = M(J,\{n_k\},\{\phi_k\}) \) be a Moran class. Let \( \sigma_*: = \inf \{c_{ij} \mid c_{ij} = c_{i_1,j_1},\ldots,c_{i_k,j_k} \} \). For \( \sigma = \sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_k \in D_k \), let

\[
s_* = \liminf_{k \to \infty} s_k, \quad s^* = \limsup_{k \to \infty} s_k,
\]

where \( s_k \) satisfies the following equation:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} c_{ij} = \sum_{\sigma \in D_k} c_{\sigma} = 1.
\]

We can now present the main result of Hua et al. [8].

**Theorem 1** (see [8]). Suppose that \( M = M(J,\{n_k\},\{\phi_k\}) \) is a Moran class satisfying \( c_\ast > 0 \). Then, for any \( E \in M \),

\[
\dim_H E = s_* ,
\]

\[
\dim_P E = \overline{\dim}_B E = s^*.
\]

Li [7] computed the Assouad dimension of a fairly general (and important) class of Moran fractals.

**Theorem 2** (see [7]). Suppose that \( M = M(J,\{n_k\},\{\phi_k\}) \) is a Moran class satisfying \( c_* > 0 \). Then, for any \( E \in M \),

\[
\dim_P E = \overline{\dim}_B E = \dim_H E = s^*.
\]

The natural partner of the Assouad dimension is the lower dimension, and we prove that the low dimensions of a class of Moran sets coincide with their Hausdorff dimensions and obtain a formula for the lower dimensions.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that \( M = M(J,\{n_k\},\{\phi_k\}) \) is a Moran class satisfying \( c_\ast > 0 \) and \( 0 < \underline{h} \leq \bar{h} < \infty \). Then, for any \( E \in M \),

\[
\dim_1 E = \dim_M E = \dim_H E = s_*.
\]
Lemma 1. There exists a probability measure $\nu$ supported by the Moran $E$ such that

$$\nu(I_{\sigma}) = \frac{(J_{\sigma})^{r_\tau}}{\sum_{\sigma \in D_k} (J_{\sigma})^{r_\tau}}$$

for any $k \geq 1$ and $\sigma_0 \in D_k$.

Proof. Take a sequence of probability measures $\{\nu_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ supported by $E$ such that

$$\nu_m(I_{\sigma}) = \frac{(J_{\sigma})^{r_\tau}}{\sum_{\sigma \in D_k} (J_{\sigma})^{r_\tau}}$$

for any $\sigma_0 \in D_m$.

More precisely, we can construct $\nu_m$ as follows.

First, we distribute the unit mass among the $m$th-level basic elements according to (11). Inductively, suppose that we have already distributed the mass of proportion $\nu_m(I_{\sigma})$ to a $k^{\text{th}}$-level basic set $J_{\sigma}$ ($\sigma \in D_k$, $k \geq m$); then, we distribute the mass concentrated on $J_{\sigma}$ evenly to each of its $(k + 1)^\text{th}$-level basic subsets, i.e.,

$$\nu_m(I_{\sigma}) = \frac{(c_{k+1,j})^{r_\tau}}{\sum_{l=1}^{n_k} (c_{k+1,l})^{r_\tau}} \nu_m(I_{\sigma}),$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n_{k+1}$.

Repeating the above procedure, we get the desired measure.

Now, fix some $m \geq 1$; for any $k < m$ and $\sigma_0 \in D_k$, we obtain

$$\nu_m(I_{\sigma}) = \sum_{\sigma \in D_{k+1,m}} \nu_m(I_{\sigma \cdot \tau}).$$

Combining it with (11), we have

$$\left(\sum_{\sigma \in D_m} |J_{\sigma}|^{r_\tau}\right) \nu_m(I_{\sigma}) = \sum_{\sigma \in D_{k+1,m}} |J_{\sigma \cdot \tau}|^{r_\tau}.\tag{14}$$

For any $\sigma_1 \in D_k$, by the definitions of $E$,

$$\frac{|J_{\sigma_1 \cdot \tau}|}{|J_{\sigma}|} = \frac{|J_{\sigma_1 \cdot \tau}|}{|J_{\sigma}|} \tag{15}$$

and thus by (14),

$$|J_{\sigma}|^{r_\tau} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in D_m} |J_{\sigma}|^{r_\tau}\right) \nu_m(I_{\sigma_1}) = |J_{\sigma_1}|^{r_\tau} \sum_{\sigma \in D_{k+1,m}} |J_{\sigma_1 \cdot \tau}|^{r_\tau}.\tag{16}$$

This gives

$$\left(\sum_{\sigma \in D_k} |J_{\sigma}|^{r_\tau}\right) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in D_m} |J_{\sigma}|^{r_\tau}\right) \nu_m(I_{\sigma_1}) = |J_{\sigma_1}|^{r_\tau} \sum_{\sigma \in D_{k+1,m}} |J_{\sigma_1 \cdot \tau}|^{r_\tau}.\tag{17}$$

Observing that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in D_m} (J_{\sigma})^{r_\tau} = \sum_{\sigma \in D_{k+1,m}} |J_{\sigma_1 \cdot \tau}|^{r_\tau},$$

one obtains

$$\nu_m(I_{\sigma_1}) = \frac{(J_{\sigma_1})^{r_\tau}}{\sum_{\sigma \in D_k} (J_{\sigma})^{r_\tau}}\tag{19}$$

To summarize, we obtain a sequence of probability measures $\{\nu_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ supported by $E$ and satisfy (10) for any $k \leq m$ and $\sigma_0 \in D_k$.

Now, Hellys theorem [9] enables us to extract a subsequence $\{\nu_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ converging weakly to a limit measure $\nu$.

To verify that $\nu$ fulfills the desired requirements, we fix some $k \geq 0$ and $\sigma_0 \in D_k$. Then, by the properties of the weak convergence,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \nu_m(I_{\sigma_0}) \leq \nu(I_{\sigma_0}).$$

Combining with (19), this implies

$$\nu(I_{\sigma_0}) \geq \frac{(J_{\sigma_0})^{r_\tau}}{\sum_{\sigma \in D_k} (J_{\sigma})^{r_\tau}}.$$\tag{21}

On the other hand, take an $\epsilon > 0$ small enough so that the $\epsilon$-neighborhood $J(\epsilon)$ of $J_{\sigma_0}$ is separated from the other $m^{\text{th}}$-level basic set; then, $\nu_m(J(\epsilon)) = \nu_m(I_{\sigma_0})$. By the properties of weak convergence, the following holds:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \nu_m(J(\epsilon)) \geq \nu(J(\epsilon)) \geq \nu(I_{\sigma_0}).$$\tag{22}

Combining with (19) yields

$$\nu(I_{\sigma_0}) \leq \frac{(J_{\sigma_0})^{r_\tau}}{\sum_{\sigma \in D_k} (J_{\sigma})^{r_\tau}}.$$\tag{23}

We have for any $k \geq 1$ and $\sigma_0 \in D_k$,

$$\nu(I_{\sigma_0}) = \frac{(J_{\sigma_0})^{r_\tau}}{\sum_{\sigma \in D_k} (J_{\sigma})^{r_\tau}}.$$\tag{24}

Finally, for any $x$ which is not in $E$, since $E$ is a closed set, there exists an open set $U$ containing $x$ and separated from $E$, and thus, $\nu(U) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu_m(U) = 0$, which asserts that $\nu$ is supported by $E$.

Lemma 2 (see [1, 2]). If $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is closed, then

$$\dim_I E \leq \dim_{\text{Mink}} E \leq \dim_H E \leq \dim_F E \leq \dim_{\text{Haus}} E \leq \dim_I E.$$\tag{25}

For $\sigma \in D$, we denote by $\sigma_-$ the word obtained by deleting the last letter of $\sigma$. For $\gamma > 0$, we define $\Gamma(\gamma)$ by $\Gamma(\gamma) = \{\sigma \in D \mid c_\sigma < \gamma \leq c_{\sigma_-}\}$. 

Lemma 3 (see [7], Lemma 3.1). If \( c_\alpha > 0 \), there exists a constant \( l_0 \) such that \(|\tau \in \Gamma (y) | B(x, y) \cap J, \tau \neq \emptyset | \leq l_0 \) for all \( x \in E \) and \( y > 0 \).

Remark 2. Some subtly different definitions of the low dimension are given as follows: \( \dim _F F = \inf \{ \alpha \geq 0 \} \). There exist two constant \( c > 0 \) and \( b > 0 \) such that, for any \( 0 < r < R \leq b \leq |F| \), and \( x \in F \), \( N_r (B(x, R) \cap F) \geq c (r/R)^{\alpha} \).

It is easy to check this definition and Definition 2 coincides.

Proof of Theorem 3. Fix \( x \in E \) and \( 0 < r < R \); there exists \( \sigma_0 \in \Gamma (R/|J|) \) such that \( x \in J_{\sigma_0} \) and \( J_{\sigma_0} \subset B(x, R) \). By Lemma 1, there exists a probability \( \nu \) supported by \( E \), such that

\[
\nu (J_{\sigma_0}) = \left( \frac{J_{\sigma_0}}{J} \right)^{r_1},
\]

where \( |J_{\sigma_0}| \) denotes the rank of \( J_{\sigma_0} \), i.e., \( J_{\sigma_0} \) is the \( |J_{\sigma_0}| \)-th level basic set. This implies that

\[
\frac{\nu (J_{\sigma_0})^{r_1}}{\sum_{\sigma \in D_{\sigma_0}} |J_{\sigma}|^{r_1}} \leq \# \left\{ \tau \in \Gamma \left( \frac{r}{|J|} \right), J_{\tau} \subset J_{\sigma_0} \right\} \left( \frac{r}{|J|} \right)^{r_1}. \tag{27}
\]

By Remark 2, let \( R \) be small enough such that \( \sum_{\sigma \in D_{\sigma_0}} |J_{\sigma}|^{r_1} < 2h \) and \( \sum_{\sigma \in D_{\sigma_0}} |J_{\sigma}|^{r_1} > (h/2) \). Therefore,

\[
\# \left\{ \tau \in \Gamma \left( \frac{r}{|J|} \right), J_{\tau} \subset J_{\sigma_0} \right\} \geq \left( \nu (J_{\sigma_0}) \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in D_{\sigma_0}} |J_{\sigma}|^{r_1}}{\sum_{\sigma \in D_{\sigma_0}} |J_{\sigma}|^{r_1}} \right) \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^{r_1}, \tag{28}
\]

\[
> \left( \nu (J_{\sigma_0}) \frac{h}{4R} \frac{R}{r} \right)^{r_1}.
\]

Using Lemma 3 and (28), we attain

\[
N_r (B(x, R) \cap E) > \left( \nu (J_{\sigma_0}) \frac{h}{4R} \frac{R}{r} \right)^{r_1}, \tag{29}
\]

which implies \( \dim _E (E) > s_\alpha \) and by Lemma 2 and Theorem 1, the proof of Theorem 3 is completed. \( \square \)

3. Assouad Dimensions and Lower Dimensions of Homogeneous Cantor Set

Definition 4. Suppose that \( J \) is the interval \([0, 1]\) and \( c_{k_j} = c_k \) for any \( k > 0 \), \( 1 \leq j \leq n_k \), in Definition 3. For all \( k > 0 \), \( \alpha \in D_{\sigma_0} \), \( \text{dist} (J_{\sigma_0}, J_{\sigma(j_1)}) = \text{dist} (J_{\sigma(j_1)}, J_{\sigma(j_2)}) (1 \leq j \leq n_k - 2) \), and the left endpoint of \( J_{\sigma_1} \) is the left endpoint of \( J_{\sigma_0} \) and the right endpoint of \( J_{\alpha n_k} \) is the right endpoint of \( J_{\sigma} \). The set \( E (\epsilon \in M (J, \{\sigma_k\}, \{c_k\})) \) is called the homogeneous Cantor set. Write \( E = C (J, \{\sigma_k\}, \{c_k\}) \).

Theorem 4 (see [10]). Suppose \( E = C (J, \{\sigma_k\}, \{c_k\}) \). Then,

\[
\dim _E \mu = \lim _{k \to \infty} \inf \left( \log \frac{n_1 n_2 \ldots n_k}{|\log c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k|} \right),
\]

\[
\dim _E \mu = \lim _{k \to \infty} \sup \left( \frac{\log n_1 n_2 \ldots n_k}{|\log c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k + \log n_k|} \right). \tag{30}
\]

Theorem 5. Suppose \( E = C (J, \{\sigma_k\}, \{c_k\}) \). If \( \lim _{k \to \infty} n_k = 0 \), then \( \dim _A E = 1 \).

Proof. Take \( x \) as the left endpoint of some basic elements of order \( k \) and \( R = c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k \); then, \( c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k \) is the length of basic elements of order \( k \). It is obvious that \( x \in E \). Notice that

\[
c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k + c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k (1 - n_k c_k + 1) = c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k (1 - c_k),
\]

\[
n_k = 1 - c_k + 1.
\]

Here, \( c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k \) is the length of the basic elements of order \( k + 1 \) of the Moran set, and \((c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k (1 - n_k c_k + 1))/(n_k - 1)) \) is the length of the interval among the basic elements of order \( k + 1 \) of the Moran set \( E \). Take \( \tau = ((c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k (1 - c_k)))/(n_k - 1) \); then,

\[
N_r (B(x, R) \cap E) \geq n_k + 1 = (1 - c_k) + 1 = \frac{1 - c_k + 1}{n_k - 1} \cdot \frac{1}{n_k - 1} \cdot \frac{R}{r} \geq \frac{R}{r} \tag{32}
\]

Note that \((R/r) = (n_k + 1)/(1 - c_k) \) \( \to \infty \) when \( k \to \infty \); therefore, \( \dim _A E = 1 \). \( \square \)

Theorem 6. Suppose \( E = C (J, \{\sigma_k\}, \{c_k\}) \). If \( \lim _{k \to \infty} \mu_E c_k = 0 \), then \( \dim _E E = 0 \).

Proof. Take \( x \) as the left endpoint of some basic elements of order \( k + 1 \) and

\[
R = c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k + c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k (1 - n_k c_k + 1) = c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k (1 - c_k),
\]

\[
n_k = 1 - c_k + 1.
\]

Here, \( c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k \) is the length of the basic elements of order \( k + 1 \) of the Moran set and \((c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k (1 - n_k c_k + 1))/(n_k - 1)) \) is the length of the interval among the basic elements of order \( k + 1 \) of the Moran set \( E \). Take \( \tau = c_1 c_2 \ldots c_k \). It is obvious that

\[
N_r (B(x, R) \cap E) \leq 3 = \frac{3}{R} \tag{34}
\]

Note that \((R/r) = (1 - c_k)/(n_k + 1)c_k + 1) \) \( \to \infty \) when \( k \to \infty \); therefore, \( \dim _E E = 0 \). \( \square \)

Example 1. Take \( n_1 = 3^k \) and \( c_1 = 4^{-k} \), and then \( \dim _E E = 0 \), \( \dim _A E = \dim _E E = \dim _A E = (\log 3/\log 4) \), and \( \dim _E E = 1 \).
Remark 3. By Theorem 5 and Theorem 6, we give a negative answer to the conjecture in the paper [8, see Remark 1].
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