Apolipoprotein E4 mediates insulin resistance-associated cerebrovascular dysfunction and the post-prandial response
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Abstract
Metabolic dysfunction, commonly a result of diets high in saturated fats and sugar, is associated with impaired cognitive function and an increased risk of age-related cognitive decline (ACD) and Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Compared to the E3 isoform of apolipoprotein (apoE), the E4 isoform is a major genetic risk factor for ACD, AD, and for developing cognitive impairments following various environmental challenges, including dietary challenges such as a high-fat diet (HFD). Both insulin resistance (IR) and E4 are associated with metabolic and vascular impairments. Deficits in cerebral metabolism and cerebrovascular function have been proposed as initiating events leading to these impairments. In the current study, we employed a model of human apoE targeted replacement mice and HFD-induced obesity to study the potential link between E4 and IR, at rest and following a postprandial challenge. HFD-induced IR was associated with impaired cognition, reduced cerebral blood volume and decreased glucose uptake. These effects were more profound in E4 than E3 mice. Furthermore, the cognitive, metabolic and cerebrovascular responses to an exogenous glucose load showed an apoE isoform-dependent response, with E4, but not E3 mice, acutely benefiting from a spike in blood glucose.
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Introduction
The elderly population is expanding rapidly, and by 2050, the percentage of the world population aged 65 years or older will more than double to 1.6 billion individuals.¹ Concurrently, diabetes has reached epidemic proportions worldwide.² Projected rates of diabetes over the next two decades are staggering; a 165% increase in the number of patients with diabetes, with the largest increase in individuals over 75 years of age.³ Type 2 diabetes (T2D) accounts for over 90% of all diabetes incidence and is characterized by obesity and insulin resistance (IR).⁴ The major modifiable risk factor for T2D is obesity, while the primary contributors to obesity are sedentary lifestyle and caloric excess, particularly in the form of “Western” style diets high in saturated fat.⁵ Obesity, IR, and T2D increase the risk of developing vascular disease, including both atherosclerosis and vascular dementia.⁴ Diabetes is also associated with an increased incidence of Alzheimer’s Disease (AD),⁶⁷ and it is hypothesized that the two disorders are linked.⁸⁻¹¹
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Apolipoprotein E (apoE) is associated with circulating lipoproteins, specifically very low-density lipoproteins (VLDL) and high-density lipoproteins (HDL). The APOE gene encodes for three isoforms in the human population (E2, E3, and E4). Compared to E3, E4 is associated with higher LDL cholesterol levels and an increased risk of cardiovascular disease. E4 also dramatically increases the risk for developing AD, and genome-wide association studies confirm that E4 is the strongest genetic risk factor for AD.

Cerebrovascular impairment has been proposed as an initiating event leading to neuronal degeneration, and both IR and E4 have been linked to vascular impairments. Individuals with T2D show lower resting blood flow in the brain and a reduced ability to maintain stable and sufficient cerebral blood flow (CBF) compared to controls. The microvasculature within peripheral tissues is particularly sensitive to insulin, and this sensitivity is impaired by obesity and IR. Insulin has many important actions in the brain, and both IR and E4 have been linked to vascular dysregulation, as in IR, acute changes in glucose concentrations are also known to affect cognitive function, and these acute effects can also be apoE isoform-dependent. Therefore, in the current study, we employed a model of human apoE-targeted replacement mice and HFD-induced obesity to study the potential link between E4 and IR, at rest and following a postprandial challenge.

Methods

Experimental animals and diet

Female homozygous human E3- and E4-targeted replacement mice were fed an HFD ad libitum (60% kcal from fat, Research Diets D12492) or an ingredient-matched LFD (10% kcal from fat, D12450B) beginning at 9 months of age. Prior to administration of the HFD or LFD, mice consumed a standard chow diet ad libitum (PicoLab Rodent Diet 20, #5053; PMI Nutrition International, St. Louis, MO). All measures were conducted following six months of specialized diet (15 months of age). All procedures complied with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and with IACUC approval at Oregon Health & Sciences University (OHSU), and were in compliance with ARRIVE (Animal Research: Reporting in Vivo Experiments) guidelines.

Metabolic and biochemical measurements

For the glucose tolerance test, mice were administered an oral gavage of glucose solution (2 mg/g body weight). For the insulin tolerance test, mice were intravenously injected with 0.75 U/kg of human insulin (Gibco). Blood glucose was measured using a glucometer (One Touch Ultra) at indicated time points. For resting glucose uptake, mice were injected following a 4-h fast with 0.75 μCi of 2-L,2-H (N)-deoxy-d-glucose (Perkin Elmer) diluted in glucose solution (2 mg/d body weight) via the tail vein. For glucose uptake during the water maze test (see below), mice were
administered an oral gavage (8 µl/g body weight) of 2\(^{[1,2-\text{H}]}\) deoxy-d-glucose (0.06 µCi/g body weight) diluted in glucose solution (2 mg/g body weight) 14 min prior to a 1-min long memory trial (see Cognitive Testing for details). Tissue glucose uptake was adjusted to plasma values to adjust for variations in injection volumes and dietary absorption. Fifteen minutes after injection or gavage, mice were intraperitoneally administered a lethal dose of ketamine–xylazine–acepromazine cocktail (0.01 ml/g of 25 mg/ml ketamine (Sigma), 0.625 mg/ml acepromazine (Vetus Animal Health), 3.125 mg/ml xylazine (Sigma)). To flush out remaining blood, mice were intracardially perfused with 20 ml of 0.9% phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and tissue was collected. Approximately 100 mg of tissue was homogenized in 4 ml of PBS. Brain and skeletal muscle homogenates were transferred to a scintillation vial, 1 ml of Optiphase scintillation fluid (Perkin Elmer) was added, and samples were measured on a liquid scintillation counter (Beckman).

Cognitive testing

Spatial learning and memory were assessed using the water maze test. The water maze consisted of a circular pool (diameter 140 cm), filled with opaque water (white chalk added, 24°C) divided conceptually into four quadrants. Mice were given two sessions per day (separated by three hours) consisting of two trials each (separated by 5 min), over the course of five days (two days “Visible Platform” followed by three days “Hidden Platform”). Mice were first trained to locate an escape platform submerged below the surface of the water, by the use of a cue during the “Visible Platform” trials. Mice were removed from the pool after locating the platform and remaining on it for 3 s. Mice were inserted in the maze at varying locations during each trial to avoid procedural bias, and during the Visible Platform trials, the location of the platform was moved for each session between the four quadrants to avoid procedural biases in task learning. Subsequent to the “Visible Platform” trials, mice were trained to locate the platform hidden beneath opaque water during the “Hidden Platform” trials, which required the mice to rely on extra-maze cues for spatial reference and orientation. Extra-maze cues consisted of four large (50 × 50 cm) cues of different shapes and color combinations, positioned at the borders of the four quadrants. The platform was not rotated during the Hidden Platform trials, remaining in the same location in the “Target” quadrant. Spatial memory retention was assessed 72 h following the final “Hidden Platform” training session. The submerged platform was removed and spatial memory retention assessed during these “Probe” trials by calculating the cumulative distance to the target and the time spent searching in each quadrant. For assessing effects of acute glucose supplementation on cognitive function, mice were given an oral gavage of glucose solution (2 mg/g body weight) 15 min prior to testing during the 72 h probe trial.

Cerebral blood volume measurement

To measure vascular perfusion (volume of blood-perfused vessels, referred to as cerebral blood volume (CBV)) in vivo, we employed optical microangiography (OMAG), as previously described.\(^{46,47}\) Briefly, mice were anesthetized with ketamine (50 mg/kg) and body temperature was maintained at 37 ± 0.5°C using a warm water pad. The skin over the skull was reflected, the cortex illuminated through the intact skull at 1310 nm, and the resulting backscattered and reference light detected to produce spectral interferograms. CBV was measured in three branch areas of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) at positions centered approximately 0.0 to ~1.0 mm caudal to bregma, and 1.0 to 2.0 mm lateral from the midline suture through the skull. For measures of the effects of glucose supplementation on CBV, the third area was measured at 0 min, and again at 15 min, post intravenous injection of 250 µl of a 0.25 mg/ml glucose solution. Vessel diameters were measured for each branching segment of the MCA within the 1 mm\(^3\) scan area, and pixel intensity histograms were generated using Amira software (FEI) at the Multiscale Microscopy Core (MMC) with technical support from the OHSU-FEI Living Lab and the OHSU Center for Spatial Systems Biomedicine (OCSSB).

Statistical analyses

Data are expressed as mean ± standard error. Multiple groups and/or multiple time points were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) (groups = E3 LFD, E4 LFD, E3 HFD, E4 HFD) using Graph Pad Prism software (San Diego, CA), or repeated measures ANOVA (time × groups) using SPSS software (Chicago, IL). Statistically significant correlations were determined using an error probability level of \( p < 0.05 \) corrected by a false discovery rate (FDR) analysis (Benjamini Hochberg method).

Results

E4 HFD mice gain less weight than E3 HFD mice but show similar degree of IR

While HFD led to increased body weight and adipose tissue in both E3 and E4 mice, E4 HFD mice gained less weight and less adipose tissue than E3 HFD mice (Figure 1(a) and (b)). HFD also led to elevated fasting
plasma glucose concentrations and deficits in glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity, with a similar degree of impairment observed in E3 and E4 HFD mice (Figure 1(c) to (e)). LFD-fed E3 and E4 mice did not differ in any of the measured markers of peripheral metabolism (Figure 1(a) to (e)).

E4 and HFD reduce CBV

A potential physiological link between HFD-induced and E4-associated cognitive dysfunction is the regulation of CBF. To determine whether the observed cognitive impairments are associated with alterations in cerebrovascular function, we quantified CBV, a measure of vascular perfusion. Using OMAG, we measured CBV within pial vessel branches of the MCA (Figure 2(a) and (b)). Total CBV showed a significant effect of diet and genotype (p = 0.002 and p = 0.026, respectively, two-way ANOVA), with E4 HFD mice having the lowest rates of CBV (Figure 2(c)). HFD mice showed lower CBV in microvessels (<10 μM diameter) and small-sized vessels (20–40 μM diameter), with E4 HFD mice showing the lowest CBV within vessels of these diameters (Figure 2(d)). The changes in CBV appear unrelated to differences in vessel morphology, with all groups showing a similar number of blood vessels, total vessel length, and degree of branching (Suppl Figure 1(a) to (c)).

A bolus of glucose improves spatial memory in E4 HFD mice

Previous studies demonstrated measurable changes in cognitive performance following acute meal interventions, including a variable response to low versus high glycemic index (GI) meals. Recent work suggests that these acute dietary effects are modulated by apoE isoform, with E4+, but not E4−, individuals displaying cognitive gains immediately following a high GI meal. Therefore, we next asked whether E4 mice would similarly benefit more than E3 mice from a postprandial spike in pure glucose (GI = 100; standard value). To assess cognitive function, we measured spatial memory retention in the water maze. Fifteen minutes...
prior to a 72-h memory probe trial, mice were given a gavage of either glucose or saline. In saline-gavaged HFD mice, spatial memory retention was impaired in both E3 and E4 mice, as shown by a lack of spatial bias and a lack of spending more time in the target quadrant than any other quadrant (Figure 3(a), open bars). However, in HFD mice orally administered glucose prior to the memory probe, the cognitive effects differed depending on \textit{APOE} genotype despite similar increases in blood glucose concentrations (Suppl Figure 2(a)). Compared to E3 LFD mice given a gavage of saline, E3 LFD mice given a gavage of glucose showed significant impairments in spatial memory retention, as measured by time spent searching in the target quadrant (Figure 3(a)) and cumulative distance from the target (Figure 3(b)). Conversely, E4 HFD mice showed significant improvement in spatial memory retention following an oral gavage of glucose (Figure 3(a) and (b)). Additionally, when compared to their saline-treated counterparts, E3 mice receiving a glucose gavage prior to the memory probe searched further from the target location over the course of the memory probe trial, while E4 mice receiving a glucose gavage searched substantially closer to the platform location (Figure 3(c)). Together, these data demonstrate that a bolus of glucose differentially affects acute cognitive performance based on \textit{APOE} genotype, with E3 mice suffering, and E4 mice benefitting, from a spike in dietary glucose.

**A bolus of glucose increases CBV in E4 HFD mice**

To determine whether the postprandial changes in spatial memory retention were associated with cerebrovascular function, we measured CBV in E3 and E4 mice before and 15 min after an injection of a glucose solution (Figure 4(a)). While all groups showed similar increases in blood glucose concentrations following injection (Suppl Figure 2(b)), only E4 HFD mice demonstrated a significant CBV response to the acute hyperglycemia. There was a significant genotype effect on post-glucose CBV ($p=0.028$, ANOVA) and a trend towards genotype x diet interaction ($p=0.0526$, two-way ANOVA), with E4 mice showing larger increases. Total CBV was significantly increased in E4 HFD mice compared to E3 HFD mice ($p=0.018$, t-test) (Figure 4(b)), and these increases were observed across small vessels of varying diameters (Figure 4(c)).

**E4 and HFD decrease brain glucose uptake at rest and during a memory task**

We next asked whether the cognitive benefits observed in E4 HFD mice following a bolus of glucose were associated with an increase in tissue glucose uptake. To answer this, mice were administered radiolabeled 2-deoxyglucose (2DG) at rest, or prior to a memory test, and glucose uptake in the two primary organs expected to be activated during the water maze – brain and skeletal muscle – was assessed. While there was no significant effect of \textit{APOE} or diet on resting glucose uptake in skeletal muscle, E4 was associated with reduced brain glucose uptake at rest ($p=0.0274$, two-way ANOVA) (Figure 5(a) and (b)). To determine glucose uptake during a cognitive challenge, mice were given an oral gavage of $^{3}$H-2DG 14 min prior to a water maze probe and tissue uptake was assessed immediately following the 1-min long memory test. Glucose uptake during the cognitive challenge was significantly reduced by both E4 and HFD in brain and skeletal muscle (\textit{APOE}, $p=0.0466$ brain, $p<0.01$ muscle; Diet $p<0.01$, both tissues; two-way ANOVA) (Figure 5(c) and (d)). Together, these data show that E4 and HFD
are associated with lower brain glucose uptake, and that these effects are magnified during a cognitive challenge.

Discussion

Increasing evidence suggests that cognitive impairments as a result of both IR and E4 share common pathological features and involve similar changes in metabolism and cerebrovascular function. For instance, there is overlap between the cerebrovascular pathologies observed in diabetic patients and in individuals with E4, and both IR and possession of E4 have been independently associated with inefficient brain glucose hypometabolism and reduced cerebral blood flow. Furthermore, these two factors may interact to impair cognition and promote neurodegeneration. In the current study, we further examined this potential interaction, and whether the effects are mediated via cerebrovascular function and can be modified by changes in postprandial glucose. Here, we show that both E4 and HFD-induced IR reduce CBV and glucose uptake, primarily in an additive fashion. However, the cognitive, metabolic, and cerebrovascular responses to an exogenous glucose load varied considerably depending on APOE genotype, with E4, but not E3 mice, acutely benefiting from a spike in blood glucose.

ACD and AD share several key risk factors with cerebrovascular disease, such as hypertension, cerebral hypoperfusion, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, and E4 carriage. Establishing the role of cerebrovascular dysfunction in ACD and AD has become even more important following recent studies that suggest a majority of clinically diagnosed AD is mixed pathology or vascular disease. APOE genotype itself has clear effects on several risk factors for dementia noted above, such as obesity, hypercholesterolemia, and peripheral vascular disease. Increasing in vivo and in vitro evidence also points to multiple cerebrovascular effects of APOE in the brain.

**Figure 3.** A bolus of glucose improves spatial memory in E4 HFD mice. (a) A glucose gavage differentially affects acute cognitive performance based on APOE genotype. Spatial memory retention was measured as the percent time spent searching in the target quadrant a 72-h probe trial. (Quadrants: T: target; R: right; L: left; O: opposite). n = 7–13. (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, Target compared to all other targets, ANOVA followed by t-tests). (b) E3 LFD mice show impaired memory retention in the water maze following a glucose gavage, while E4 HFD shows improved memory retention. Spatial memory was analyzed by calculating the cumulative distance to the target, a measure of search accuracy during the 72-h memory probe trial. n = 7–13. (*p < 0.05, t-test). (c) Change in cumulative distance to the target platform location compared to genotype- and diet-matched mice gavaged with saline. The mean change in cumulative distance was calculated for each group (mean of the glucose gavage group minus the mean of the saline gavage group). Compared to saline-treated E3 mice, E3 LFD and E3 HFD mice receiving a glucose gavage prior to the memory probe searched further from the target location over the course of the memory probe trial, suggesting a relative impairment in spatial memory. Conversely, E4 LFD and E4 HFD mice receiving a glucose gavage searched closer to the target location, suggesting a relative improvement in spatial memory. (*p < 0.05 compared to all other targets, ANOVA).
Cerebral blood flow (CBF) is decreased in ACD and in AD patients. However, it remains unclear whether cerebral hypoperfusion drives the neurodegenerative process, or whether these deficits simply reflect diminished demand as a result of aging and/or neurodegeneration. In the case of E4, both lower and higher CBF have been observed in individuals with E4, with results differing depending on the age of the carriers. Still, some research suggests that hypoperfusion precedes, and possibly contributes to, the onset of dementia, and in fact decreased resting CBF is observed in E4+ individuals as young as their early twenties. Given that cognitive function relies on an efficient and responsive vascular system, it has been further speculated that the accelerated ACD and AD pathogenesis associated with E4 may result from its harmful effects on the cerebrovasculature.

In the current study, we assessed CBV, a measure of vascular perfusion, using OMAG, which allows for in vivo, 3D, volumetric quantification of blood-perfused vessels with capillary resolution. While historically CBF was believed to be regulated strictly at the level of penetrating arterioles, it has recently been shown that capillaries are first to respond via neuron-pericyte signaling, and results suggest that human imaging studies relying on BOLD may largely reflect capillary function. Our group also recently highlighted the importance of cerebral microvessel function in vivo, linking microvascular perfusion and cognitive performance in a mouse model of diet-induced obesity and IR. Similarly, in the current study, we observed a significant decrease in CBV in HFD fed mice. Here, we also observed a significant decrease in CBV in E4, compared to E3 mice, in the absence of overt changes in vessel morphology. These results are contrary to that observed by Bell et al., showing E4-associated reductions in microvascular length. This discrepancy could be due to experimental methods; using OMAG, we can only visualize vessels with an active flow component (i.e. moving red blood cells (RBCs)), and therefore non-patent vessels would not be visualized and counted using our methodology. Future experiments aimed at carefully detailing potential structural differences in an

Figure 4. A bolus of glucose increases CBV in E4 HFD mice. (a) CBV was measured in E3 and E4 mice using OMAG at 0 and 15 min after an injection of a glucose solution. (b-c) Glucose increases CBV in E4 HFD mice. (b) Percent increase in total CBV following the glucose injection. (c) CBV at 0 and 15 min post-glucose injection across small vessels of varying diameters. \( n = 6-7. \) (* \( p < 0.05, \) two-way ANOVA followed by t-test).

Figure 5. E4 and HFD decrease brain glucose uptake at rest and during a cognitive task. (a-b) Resting glucose uptake in brain (a) and skeletal muscle (b). Following a 4-h fast, tissue uptake of \( ^{3} \)H-2-deoxyglucose was measured 15 min following an i.v. injection of glucose solution. \( n = 13-19. \) (c-d) Glucose uptake in brain (c) and skeletal muscle (d) during a cognitive challenge. Following a 4-h fast, mice were administered an oral gavage of glucose solution containing \( ^{3} \)H-2-deoxyglucose and 14 min later were subjected to a 1-min long water maze memory probe. \( n = 4-9. \) (* \( p < 0.05, \) ^\( p = 0.06, \) E3 vs. E4; ## \( p < 0.01, \) HFD vs. LFD; two-way ANOVA followed by t-test).
apoE model – across age and according to diet or metabolic status – would be valuable. Finally, E4 HFD mice were the only group to show a CBV response to an acute increase in blood glucose. This group was also the only group to significantly improve in cognitive performance following a bolus of glucose. However, glucose uptake during the cognitive challenge in E4 HFD mice remained significantly lower than E3 HFD or LFD mice, which may speak to a potential uncoupling of CBV and glucose uptake. Perhaps the increase in CBV in E4 HFD mice following a glucose gavage, in the absence of increased glucose uptake, reflects an inability of E4 cells to take up glucose in the face of pro-uptake signaling. In other words, perhaps neural activity is able to stimulate CBV in E4 mice, but a dearth of glucose transporter expression or impaired function limits glucose uptake. The exact mechanism behind this potential apoE-specific glucose uptake and CBF uncoupling, however, remains unknown, and warrants future studies.

Evidence from other in vivo studies also highlights CBF as a potential link between E4 and impaired cognition. For example, using a flow-sensitive MRI technique, Wiesmann et al.70 recently showed that 18-month-old E4 mice had reduced CBF compared to WT mice. Similarly, Lin et al.68 showed that E4 mice have reduced CBV compared to WT mice using MRI. Furthermore, they showed CBF improvements in E4 mice following treatment with rapamycin, a pleiotropic compound with various metabolic effects, and provided evidence that the blood–brain barrier (BBB) is involved in mediating the effects of E4 on CBF.68 BBB dysfunction can lead to microvascular impairments, and thus represents a plausible neurovascular pathway leading to neurodegeneration and AD.78 Interestingly, APOE genotype has recently been associated with BBB function in multiple studies, with E4 leading to increased BBB permeability, reductions in cerebral vascularization, thinner vessel walls and reduced CBF.65,66 Importantly, vascular defects have been observed as early as two weeks of age in E4 mice,65 well preceding the neuronal and synaptic dysfunction that is observed in these mice at later ages. These early-life BBB defects highlight an important variable between studies, namely the age at which the measurements were conducted. Age is an important contributor to cerebral metabolic and vascular function, and APOE may modify these age-related changes.27,34,71 For instance, changes in the relationship between cognitive function and CBF during normal aging are modified by APOE genotype.27 While our own study demonstrated E4-associated cerebrovascular deficits at 15 months of age, Bell et al.65 showed reduced CBF in E4 mice at 9 months of age, Wiesmann et al.70 at 18-months of age, and Lin et al.68 at 1, 2, 4 and 7 months of age. While the various imaging modalities employed makes comparisons difficult, taken together, these studies show that E4-associated reductions in CBF are present across the lifespan in mice. Finally, reductions in microvascular length and decreased brain capillary density have been reported in several animal models of AD,79–81 again stressing the overlap of AD and cerebrovascular disease risk factors such as E4 and IR.

While possession of E4 is a clear genetic risk factor for AD, environmental influences also contribute to disease risk and progression. In particular, ‘Western style diets’, high in saturated fats and high GI foods, show a consistent association with increased AD pathology.82–86 Rodent studies also support the idea that high saturated fat diets and high GI diets lead to cognitive dysfunction, insulin dysregulation, increase inflammation, and exacerbate levels and accumulation of the hallmark neurotoxic AD protein amyloid-β (Aβ).53,87–89 Additionally, APOE genotype appears to modify the effects of diet on AD risk, as well as the response to various diet interventions.90 For instance, epidemiological studies generally suggest that diets high in saturated fat and simple sugars increase the risk of AD, but less so in individuals with E4,91–94 while intervention trials involving ketogenic diets and omega-3 fatty acid supplementation show muted benefits in E4+ participants.48,83,95 This gene-diet interaction is seen in mice as well, with diet-induced obesity differentially affecting E4 and E3 mice in terms of tau phosphorylation,96 as well as glial activation and amyloid deposition.97 Additionally, a recent study by our group showed that compared to mice with E3, mice expressing E4 are particularly sensitive to HFD-associated cognitive impairments.53 In addition to an exacerbation of cognitive impairment in E4 mice fed a HFD, we also highlighted multiple changes in metabolic pathways either directly or indirectly tied to glucose metabolism.23 Finally, diet-induced IR may induce a bioenergetic shift away from glucose as the primary cerebral fuel source to alternative sources of energy such as fatty acids,98,99 – a bioenergetic shift that we and others recently suggested may be an inherent feature of E4.58,60

Despite the epidemiological evidence suggesting that APOE genotype modifies the effects of diet on AD risk, long-term diet interventions are challenging to conduct and interpret. Therefore, studies designed to examine acute cerebral responses to specific diets and/or supplements may provide a clearer path to identifying the mechanisms which underlie APOE-diet interactions. Acute, single meal interventions are able to produce measurable cognitive effects,48 and while the results are oftentimes equivocal, the effects of acute hyperglycemia on mood and cognition have been relatively well
studied. In elderly subjects, the majority of studies show improvements in episodic memory following a glucose load, while other cognitive domains such as working memory, semantic memory and visual memory, showing less frequent evidence. The effects of acute hyperglycemia in people with IR or diabetes are likewise ambiguous, with both detrimental and beneficial effects on mood and cognition being reported.

Hanson et al. recently took an acute dietary approach in a clinical research study in which subjects with varying APOE genotype were administered acute dietary challenges, and their metabolic and cognitive responses measured. Interestingly, cognitively normal subjects carrying E4 showed higher memory scores following a meal with a high GI and high in saturated fat (HIGH meal), while subjects who did not have E4 had lower memory scores as compared to genotype-matched controls. Additionally, cognitively impaired individuals with E4 had enhanced executive function following the HIGH meal, while cognitively normal E4-subjects showed reduced executive function. Similarly, we report here that mice expressing E4, but not those with E3, showed improvements in cognitive performance, as well as increases in CBV, following acute administration of a high GI load (glucose, GI = 100). Taken together, our studies suggest that a spike in blood glucose from a high GI meal is acutely beneficial for cognitive performance in E4+, but not E4-, individuals, and that the observed improvements may be mediated by increased cerebral blood flow. Increased efforts are warranted to assess the effect of IR in E4 carriers, particularly mechanistic studies to clarify the relationship between APOE genotype, cerebral glucose metabolism, CBF, and their interactive effects on cognitive function.
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