Association between pulmonary artery to aorta diameter ratio with pulmonary hypertension and outcomes in diffuse cystic lung diseases
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Abstract
To investigate the importance of pulmonary vascular measurements on computed tomography (CT) in predicting pulmonary hypertension (PH) and worse outcomes in diffuse cystic lung diseases (DCLDs).

We conducted a cross-sectional study of patients with DCLDs. Patients underwent pulmonary function tests, a six-minute walk test (6MWT), chest CT, transthoracic echocardiography, and right heart catheterization. Pulmonary artery (PA) diameter and PA-ascending aorta ratio (PA-Ao ratio) were obtained from CT. Mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) from right heart catheterization was correlated with tomographic, functional, and echocardiographic variables. The association between the PA-Ao ratio with outcomes was determined by Kaplan–Meier curves.

Thirty-four patients were included (18 with pulmonary Langerhans cell histiocytosis and 16 with lymphangioleiomyomatosis, mean age 46 ± 9 years). Forced expiratory volume in the first second and lung diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide were 47 ± 20% and 38 ± 21% predicted, respectively. PA diameter and PA-Ao ratio were 29 ± 6 mm and 0.95 ± 0.24, respectively. PA-Ao ratio > 1 occurred in 38.2% of patients. PA-Ao ratio was a good predictor of PH. mPAP correlated best with PA-Ao ratio, PA diameter, oxygen desaturation during six-minute walk test, and echocardiographic variables. Patients with PA-Ao ratio > 1 had greater mPAP, and a higher risk of death or lung transplantation (log-rank, P < .001) than those with PA-Ao ratio ≤ 1.

The PA-Ao ratio measured on CT scan has a potential role as a non-invasive tool to predict the presence of PH and as a prognostic parameter in patients with DCLDs.

Abbreviations: 6MWT = six-minute walk test, Ao = ascending aorta, CI = confidence interval, CT = computed tomography, DCLD = diffuse cystic lung disease, DLCO = lung diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide, FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in the first second, IPF = idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, LAM = lymphangioleiomyomatosis, mPAP = mean pulmonary artery pressure, NPV = negative-predictive value, PA = pulmonary artery, PAP = pulmonary artery pressure, PH = pulmonary hypertension, PLCH = pulmonary Langerhans cell histiocytosis, PPV = positive-predictive value, PVR = pulmonary vascular resistance, RHC = right heart catheterization, RV = residual volume, sPAP = systolic pulmonary artery pressure, SpO2 = oxygen saturation, TLC = total lung capacity, TRV = tricuspid regurgitation velocity, TTE = transthoracic echocardiography.
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1. Introduction
Diffuse cystic lung diseases (DCLDs) are characterized by the presence of thin-walled and air-filled spaces (cysts) in more than one lobe of the lungs, usually bilateral. The most common etiologies of DCLDs include lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM), pulmonary Langerhans cell histiocytosis (PLCH), lymphoid interstitial pneumonia, and Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome.[1,2] LAM is a rare and low-grade neoplasm that occurs mostly in
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women at their reproductive age. It occurs in a sporadic form or associated with tuberous sclerosis complex.[1-3] PLCH is an inflammatory myeloid neoplasm that is often associated with smoking and is characterized by the infiltration of organs with dendritic and other inflammatory cells.[6,7]

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) may occur in LAM and PLCH. According to current PH classification, LAM falls within group three (lung diseases and/or hypoxia), whereas PLCH is included in group five (unclear and/or multifactorial mechanisms).[8] The prevalence of PH is approximately 8% in LAM, usually mild and suggestive to be associated more with pulmonary parenchymal involvement.[9-11] However, the prevalence of PH is higher in PLCH, varying from 41% in patients with different severities, to 100% in those with end-stage disease, and is usually more severe than LAM.[12-14] Furthermore, PH is multifactorial and associated with arterial, venular, and/or parenchymal involvement in PLCH.[12-15]

The gold standard for the confirmation of PH is the right heart catheterization (RHC), which is an invasive and more expensive procedure. Therefore, the identification of non-invasive tools to act as surrogates for the presence of PH is needed in patients with chronic lung diseases. The most used non-invasive screening tool for PH is the echocardiogram. However, the accuracy of transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) is controversial in the prediction of the occurrence of PH in DCLDs, due to a potential limitation of the acoustic chest window. Although lung diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO) is considered a functional parameter that can be used to predict the presence of PH in DCLDs, it has limited sensitivity when isolated.[16,14]

In this context, variables assessed in computed tomography (CT) scan, including pulmonary artery-ascending aorta (PA-Ao) ratio, may be used as predictors of PH, which has already been described in several respiratory diseases, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis, cystic fibrosis, and chronic thromboembolic PH, with a reasonable correlation with mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) from RHC and survival.[16-20,22] PA-Ao ratio was also associated with an increased risk of acute exacerbations in patients with COPD.[15,21] Several studies in various lung diseases showed that a PA-Ao ratio > 1 is associated with a greater specificity to detect PH and with worse outcomes.[16,19,20,22,23] Although a previous investigation evaluated the PA-Ao ratio as a surrogate marker of PH in LAM, the analysis of such tomographic variable with parameters obtained in the RHC was not performed.[24]

To the best of our knowledge, there is no previous study that assessed the importance of the PA-Ao ratio or the PA diameter, measured by CT, as markers of resting PH in DCLDs. Therefore, the aims of this study were:

1) investigate whether PA-Ao ratio and the PA diameter could be used as predictors of PH in patients with DCLDs;
2) evaluate whether such tomographic parameters have a greater correlation with mPAP measured by RHC than functional and echocardiographic variables, and their association with worse outcomes.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design and subjects

This was a cross-sectional and single-center study. Data of patients with PLCH and LAM who underwent RHC between 2014 and 2018 were analyzed. The diagnoses of LAM and PLCH were made according to the current recommendations.[3,5-7]

Subjects of this investigation were participants in two previous studies that assessed the prevalence of PH in LAM and in PLCH at our center,[9,14] which were approved by the local ethics committee (2015/06604-0 and 759.676). All patients signed the informed consent form.

2.2. Measurements

All patients underwent pulmonary function tests (PFTs), six-minute walk test (6MWT), CT scan, TTE, and RHC.

### 2.2.1. Clinical and demographic features

The following demographic and clinical variables were obtained from medical records: age, sex, smoking history, time from diagnosis, method of diagnosis, the presence of dyspnea, history of pneumothorax, and use of supplemental oxygen at rest. Dyspnea was evaluated using the modified Medical Research Council dyspnea scale.

#### 2.2.2. Pulmonary function tests and 6MWT

Pulmonary function tests were performed according to recommended standards.[23-27] Forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1), forced vital capacity, FEV1/forced vital capacity ratio, residual volume, total lung capacity, residual volume/total lung capacity ratio, and DLCO were measured. Spirometry was performed using a calibrated pneumotachograph (Medical Graphics Corporation, St Paul, MN), and lung volumes and DLCO measurements were obtained with a body plethysmograph (Elite Dx, Elite Series; Medical Graphics Corporation). Predicted values were obtained from the Brazilian population.[32-30]

A 6MWT was performed according to recommended standards in a 30-m long side corridor.[31] Oxygen saturation and Borg scales for dyspnea and fatigue were obtained at rest and at the end of the exercise.[32] The distance walked was obtained in meters and as predicted values for the Brazilian population.[33]

#### 2.2.3. Chest computed tomography

Multidetector CT scanners were used (MX8000 IDT10 or IDT16, Philips Medical Systems, Cleveland, OH). All CTs were performed in supine position, with breath-holding at full inspiration, without the administration of intravenous contrast. Chest CT was performed within 3 months of the RHC. The diameters of the main PA and the Ao were measured at the level of the PA bifurcation from axial CT images on inspiration and at the mediastinal window, as previously described.[19] The PA bifurcation was defined as the level where the right and left PAs showed equal size. The ratio of the two measurements (PA-Ao ratio) was obtained.

An investigator blinded to the clinical information and the results of the procedures evaluated the tomographic parameters. A second blinded reviewer also measured the PA and Ao diameters to obtain the interobserver agreement.

#### 2.2.4. Transthoracic echocardiography

A two-dimensional TTE (IE 33 equipment, Philips Medical Systems, Bothell) was performed to evaluate the tricuspid regurgitation velocity (TRV) and the estimated systolic pulmonary artery pressure (sPAP).

#### 2.2.5. Right heart catheterization

The RHC was performed at rest and with a pulmonary artery catheter 7F inserted through the jugular vein, as previously described.[14] The following variables were obtained: cardiac output, mPAP, pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR), and wedge pressure. The diagnosis of PH was based on the following findings: pre-capillary PH, mPAP > 20
mm Hg, wedge pressure ≤15 mm Hg, and PVR ≥2.2 Wood units; post-capillary PH, mPAP > 20 mm Hg, wedge pressure > 15 mm Hg, and PVR < 2.2 Wood units; and pre- and post-capillary PH, mPAP > 20 mm Hg, wedge pressure > 15 mm Hg and PVR ≥2.2 Wood units.[10,31]

2.3. Statistical analysis

Data are reported as the mean ± standard deviation for variables with normal distribution, as the median (25th–75th percentiles) for variables with non-normal distribution, or as numbers (percentiles). Unpaired t tests or the Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare continuous variables, whereas Fisher exact test or the Chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables. Interobserver reproducibility of the measurements of the PA-Ao ratio and the diameter of PA for two experienced readers were determined by the intraclass correlation coefficient. The prevalence of patients with PA-Ao ratio > 1 was reported as the percentage with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI). The sensitivity, specificity, positive-predictive value (PPV), and negative-predictive value (NPV) for the PA-Ao ratio > 1 to diagnose PH were calculated. The receiver operating characteristic curve was used to evaluate the optimal cut-off PA-Ao ratio with the best accuracy to predict the presence of PH in RHC. Spearman’s correlation analysis was used to determine the association between mPAP and other variables. Time-to-event (death or lung transplantation, whichever event occurred first) analysis from the date of the chest CT scan was performed with Kaplan–Meier curves and differences between groups were evaluated with Log-Rank tests. P-values less than .05 were considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed using SigmaStat version 3.5 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA).

3. Results

Thirty-four patients with diffuse cystic lung disease (DCLD) were included in the study (18 with PLCH and 16 with LAM). Patients had a mean age of 46 ± 9 years, 82.4% were women, and 52.9% had a history of smoking. FEV1 and DLCO were 47 ± 20% and 38 ± 21% of predicted, respectively. The distance walked and the minimum oxygen saturation in the 6MWT were 392 ± 38 m (96 ± 54% of predicted) and 79 ± 9%, respectively. Table 1 presents demographic, clinical, and functional features.

Table 1 demonstrates tomographic, echocardiographic, and hemodynamic data. The Ao and PA diameters and PA-Ao ratio were 31 ± 4, 29 ± 6 mm, and 0.95 ± 0.24, respectively. The intraclass correlation coefficients for the PA-Ao ratio and PA diameter were 0.949 (CI 95%: 0.898–0.975), P < .001, and 0.945 (CI 95%: 0.945: 0.890–0.973), P < .001, respectively. Figure 1 demonstrates vascular tomographic measurements in patients with PLCH and LAM. PA-Ao ratio > 1 occurred in 38.2% (CI 95%: 23.9–55%) of all patients and 48.1% (CI 95%: 30.7–66%) of those with confirmed PH on RHC. All patients with PA-Ao ratio > 1 had mPAP > 20 mm Hg on RHC. The mPAP was 28.6 ± 10.1 mm Hg. Twenty-seven patients had the diagnosis of PH confirmed, 11 with LAM, and 16 with PLCH. Thus, the prevalence of PH in this sample was 79.4% (CI 95%: 63.2–89.5%), 81.5% was pre-capillary, 7.4% was post-capillary, and 11.1% was pre- and post-capillary. The prevalence of patients with PA-Ao ratio > 1 was 50% in PLCH and 25% in LAM.

Patients with PA-Ao ratio > 1 had higher use of supplemental oxygen, and greater sPAP and TRV on echocardiogram, and mPAP and PVR on RHC, than those with PA-Ao ratio ≤ 1 (Table 3). The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV for the PA-

Table 1

| Demographic and clinical data | 46 ± 9 |
| Age (yrs) | |
| Female (n, %) | 28 (82.4%) |
| Smoking (n, %) | 18 (52.9%) |
| Pack-years | 34 ± 22 |
| Time from diagnosis (yrs) | 2.5 (1–13) |
| Diagnosis | |
| Clinical–tomographic (n, %) | 15 (44.1%) |
| Lung biopsy (n, %) | 18 (52.9%) |
| Renal biopsy (n, %) | 1 (3%) |
| Dyspnea (n, %) | 30 (88.2%) |
| mMRC | 2 (1–2) |
| History of pneumothorax (n, %) | 12 (35.3%) |
| SpO2 at rest (n, %) | 93 (89–96) |
| Use of supplemental oxygen at rest (n, %) | 12 (35.3%) |
| Pulmonary function tests | |
| FEV1 (L) | 1.32 ± 0.61 |
| FEV1 (% predicted) | 47 ± 20 |
| FVC (L) | 2.47 ± 0.82 |
| FVC (% predicted) | 71 ± 20 |
| FEV1/FVC | 0.53 ± 0.15 |
| TLC (L) | 5.13 ± 1.1 |
| TLC (% predicted) | 104 ± 17 |
| RV (L) | 2.60 ± 0.91 |
| RV (% predicted) | 177 ± 62 |
| RV/TLC | 0.51 ± 0.12 |
| DLCO (mL/min/mm Hg) | 10.08 ± 5.84 |
| DLCO (% predicted) | 38 ± 21 |
| Six-minute walk test | |
| Distance (m) | 392 ± 116 |
| Distance (% predicted) | 96 ± 54 |
| Minimum SpO2 (%) | 79 ± 9 |
| Oxygen desaturation (%) | −12 ± 7 |
| Borg dyspnea score | 7 (3–8) |
| Borg leg discomfort score | 4 (0–7) |

Table 2

| Demographic, echocardiographic, and hemodynamic data in patients with diffuse cystic lung diseases (n=34) |
| Computed tomography | 31 ± 4 |
| Ao diameter (mm) | |
| PA diameter (mm) | 29 ± 6 |
| PA-Ao ratio | 0.95 ± 0.24 |
| Transthoracic echocardiogram | 43 (33–46) |
| sPAP (mm Hg)† | 3.08 ± 0.68 |
| TRV (m/s)† | |
| Right heart catheterization | |
| mPAP (mm Hg) | 28.6 ± 10.1 |
| PVR (wood units) | 3.2 (2.4–4.1) |
| CO (L/min) | 4.7 ± 1.0 |
| Wedge pressure (mm Hg) | 11.6 ± 4.9 |

Data are presented as mean ± SD, median (25th–75th percentile), or numbers (percentages). DLCO = lung diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide, FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in the first second, FVC = forced vital capacity, mMRC = modified Medical Research Council Dyspnea scale, RV = residual volume, SpO2 = oxygen saturation, TLC = total lung capacity.

Patients with PA-Ao ratio > 1 had higher use of supplemental oxygen, and greater sPAP and TRV on echocardiogram, and mPAP and PVR on RHC, than those with PA-Ao ratio ≤ 1 (Table 3). The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV for the PA-
Ao ratio > 1 to identify PH were 48%, 100%, 100%, and 33%, respectively.

The receiver operating characteristic curve analysis (Fig. 2) demonstrated that the PA-Ao ratio with the best accuracy to determine the presence of PH on RHC was 0.86 (area under the curve = 0.735, 95% CI = 0.573–0.898, P = .05). PA-Ao ratio > 0.86 occurred in 64.7% (CI 95%: 47.9–78.5%) of all patients. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV for the PA-Ao ratio > 0.86 to identify PH were 74%, 71%, 91%, and 42%, respectively. Patients with PA-Ao ratio > 0.86 had higher mPAP and PVR on RHC than those with PA-Ao ratio ≤ 0.86 (Table 1 on Supplementary Material, http://links.lww.com/MD/G212).

Mean pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) correlated significantly with PA-Ao ratio (r = 0.788, P < .0001), PA diameter (mm) (r = 0.666, P < .0001), oxygen desaturation during the 6MWT (r = 0.453, P = .009), sPAP on TTE (r = 0.675, P < .0001), and TRV (m/s) (r = 0.459, P = .03), which are described in Table 4 and in Figure 3. Mean PAP correlated with PA-Ao ratio in PLCH (r = 0.802, P < .0001) and in LAM (r = 0.704, P = .002).

Kaplan–Meier curve of time to death or lung transplant demonstrated that patients with Pa-Ao ratio > 1 had a worse prognosis than those with PA-Ao ratio ≤ 1 (Fig. 4A, P < .001). In patients with PA-Ao ratio > 1, six (46%) patients died or underwent lung transplantation, whereas, in those with PA-Ao ratio ≤ 1, only one (5%) patient had such outcomes. The mean survival or transplant-free times were 35 and 76 months, respectively. The median time from diagnosis to the time of the CT scan were 2 (1–3.5) and 5 (2–13.5) years in patients with PA-A ratio > 1 and in those with PA-Ao ratio ≤ 1, respectively (P = .045). However, there was no difference in the prognosis when patients with PA-Ao ratio > 0.86 were compared with those with PA-Ao ratio ≤ 0.86 (Fig. 4B, P = .171).

4. Discussion
To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that assessed the measurements of vascular tomographic parameters, such as PA-Ao ratio and PA diameter, and their association with mPAP and outcomes in patients with PLCH and LAM that underwent RHC. The main findings of our study are as follows:

1) PA-Ao ratio and PA diameter have a good correlation with mPAP on RHC and, therefore, are potential surrogate markers of PH;
2) the measurement of pulmonary vascular diameter on CT is reliable when evaluated by different readers;
Table 3
Comparison of clinical, functional, tomographic, echocardiographic, and hemodynamic data between patients with diffuse cystic lung diseases with PA-Ao ratio > 1 and those with PA-Ao ratio ≤ 1.

|                      | PA-Ao ratio > 1 (n = 13) | PA-Ao ratio ≤ 1 (n = 21) | P     |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Age (yrs)            | 43 ± 8                   | 48 ± 9                   | .11   |
| Smoking (n, %)       | 8 (62%)                  | 10 (48%)                 | .66   |
| Time from diagnosis (yrs) | 2 (1–3.5)            | 5 (2–13.5)               | .04   |
| Dyspnea (n, %)       | 12 (92%)                 | 18 (86%)                 | 1.00  |
| mPAP on RHC (mm Hg)  | 92 (86–95)               | 93 (90–95)               | .32   |
| Supplemental oxygen at rest (n, %) | 8 (62%)       | 4 (19%)                  | .02   |
| mPAP on RHC (Wood units) | 1.29 ± 0.66            | 2.61 ± 1.05              | .91   |
| Borg dyspnea score   | 167 ± 37                 | 183 ± 73                 | .47   |
| RV/TLC               | 0.50 ± 0.08              | 0.51 ± 0.14              | .82   |
| DLCO (mL/min/mm Hg)  | 8.94 (6.57–13.33)        | 8.70 (6.34–14.17)        | .86   |
| Distance in the 6MWT (m) | 403 ± 91              | 386 ± 130                | .68   |
| Minimum SpO₂ (%)     | 77 ± 10                  | 81 ± 9                   | .32   |
| Oxygen desaturation (%) | −13 ± 5               | −12 ± 7                  | .82   |
| Borg dyspnea score   | 5 (1–9)                  | 7 (5–8)                  | .28   |
| Ao diameter (mm)     | 29 ± 4                   | 32 ± 5                   | .04   |
| PA diameter (mm)     | 33 ± 7                   | 26 ± 4                   | <.001 |
| sPAP on echocardiogram (mm Hg) | 58 ± 29     | 38 ± 9                   | .02   |
| mPAP on echocardiogram (m/s) | 4.3 ± 6       | 2.81 ± 3.9               | .04   |
| PA-Ao ratio          | 1.16 ± 0.26              | 0.83 ± 0.10              | <.001 |
| TRV on echocardiogram (m/s) | 37 ± 10         | 23 ± 6                   | <.001 |
| mPVR on echocardiogram (mm Hg) | 4.8 ± 3       | 2.6 ± 1.9                | <.001 |
| mPVR on echocardiogram (Wood units) | 4.8 ± 3 | 2.6 ± 1.9                | <.001 |
| Wedge pressure (mm Hg) | 11.8 ± 4.3           | 11.5 ± 5.4               | .84   |

Data are presented as mean ± SD, median (25th–75th percentile), or numbers (percentiles). 6MWT = six-minute walk test, Ao = ascending aorta, DLCO = lung diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide, FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in the first second, FVC = forced vital capacity, mMRC = modified Medical Research Council Dyspnea scale, mPAP = mean pulmonary artery pressure, PA = pulmonary artery, PVR = pulmonary vascular resistance, RHC = right heart catheterization, RV = residual volume, sPAP = systolic pulmonary artery pressure, SpO₂ = oxygen saturation, TLC = total lung capacity, TRV = tricuspid regurgitation velocity.

* n = 13
† n = 14
‡ n = 12

3) PA-Ao ratio > 1 is frequent in patients with DCLDs with PH and is associated with higher use of supplemental oxygen, and with echocardiographic parameters;

4) PA-Ao ratio > 1 has high specificity and PPV to identify PH and is associated with worse outcomes.

Although RHC is still the gold standard for confirming the diagnosis of PH, it is a more invasive tool with potential complications. Thus, it is desirable to identify non-invasive measures that could predict the presence of PH in DCLDs. There are several advantages in assessing the vascular measurements on CT scans, including its availability, simplicity, non-invasiveness, and no use of contrast. Despite being the main tool for PH screening in pulmonary chronic diseases, the echocardiogram has several limitations, particularly in this population. Hyperinflation is a common feature in DCLD and might impose technical complexity for the echocardiogram to access a proper evaluation window which tends to be very narrow in hyperinflated patients. This limitation is not associated with the vascular assessment on CT scan. Other studies identified high values for interobserver agreement for vascular tomographic measurements in parenchymal lung diseases, which was confirmed by our findings. Therefore, the use of tomographic findings to further enhance the sensitivity of conventional PH screening in DCLD is potentially useful and may help to anticipate PH diagnosis in this population.

Previous investigations in other chronic pulmonary diseases, such as COPD, IPF, chronic thromboembolic PH, chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and cystic fibrosis demonstrated the association between PA and PA-Ao ratio with mPAP obtained in the RHC and suggested that PA-Ao ratio is an index of PH, supporting our findings. Our results also reinforce the fact that the PA-Ao ratio has good specificity and PPV for the presence of PH. Generally, a higher probability of PH was associated with PA-Ao ratio > 1. Additionally, several studies demonstrated that PA-Ao ratio > 1 was associated with a higher risk of death and lung transplantation in different pulmonary diseases, such as IPF, COPD, and cystic fibrosis, which was also observed in our study. Thus, our results reinforce the association between PA-Ao ratio > 1 and the prognosis. Another investigation showed that an increase in the PA-Ao ratio during acute exacerbation was associated with worse clinical outcomes in COPD. Only one study evaluated the PA-Ao ratio in LAM and showed that 22% out of 123 patients had an index > 1. However, this study did not assess the correlation between the PA-Ao ratio with
mPAP obtained in the RHC.[24] Then, to our knowledge, this is the first study that assessed the PA-Ao ratio obtained by CT scan and correlated with the mPAP obtained in the RHC and with outcomes in patients with DCLDs. Our findings might help to identify PH in such a population. It is possible that the identification of an increased PA-Ao ratio in a patient with DCLD may abbreviate the length of time required to confirm PH because the indication of RHC is mainly based on echocardiographic findings, which may not be accurate in patients with hyperinflation, such as those with DCLDs. Our results did not change even when we assessed the correlation between PA-Ao ratio and mPAP in LAM and PLCH isolatedly (data not shown). We found that 0.86 was the cut-off with the highest accuracy for predicting PH, which was quite similar to that obtained in investigations with patients with IPF and COPD.[36,37] The lack of difference in pulmonary function parameters between patients with PA-Ao ratio > 1 and those with PA-Ao ratio ≤ 1 suggests that other mechanisms in addition to parenchymal involvement and hypoxic vasoconstriction, such as pulmonary vascular involvement and cardiac dysfunction, may have contributed to the enlargement of PA-Ao ratio in our study.

If we used the previous definition of PH (mPAP ≥ 25 mm Hg at rest), the prevalence of PH in our study would be 61.8% (CI 95%: 45.0–76.1%) and PA-Ao ratio > 1 would occur in 61.9% (CI 95%: 40.9–79.2%) in those with confirmed PH on RHC.[38] However, the correlations found and the comparisons between patients with PA-Ao ratio > 1 and ≤ 1 would not modify with the use of the previous definition of PH.[38]

Our study has limitations that need to be addressed. Our sample size was small, and this was a single-center analysis, which included only patients with LAM and PLCH, with no other DCLD, that underwent RHC in previous studies. We included only patients that underwent RHC, who probably had more advanced disease due to the fact that the screening method for RHC were DLCO < 40%, systolic PAP ≥ 35 mm Hg, TRV ≥ 2.5 m/s, and/or indirect signs of PH at TTE. However, even with these limitations a robust association was found between vascular parameters on CT scan and hemodynamic data on RHC. A multivariate analysis was not performed to avoid the phenomenon of over-fitting of the model.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our study demonstrates a potential role of PA-Ao ratio as a non-invasive, reproducible, and available surrogate marker to predict the presence of PH and as a prognostic parameter in patients with DCLD, therefore adding value to the CT scan evaluation in such population. Future and multi-center studies with a higher number of patients with different severities are necessary to further determine the role of serial vascular measurements assessed on CT scan as complementary markers of prognosis and treatment response.
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