Overexpression of leucine-rich repeat-containing G protein-coupled receptor 5 predicts poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma
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ABSTRACT

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common malignancies and the fifth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. Novel prognostic biomarkers are urgently needed for patients with HCC. Leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein coupled receptor 5 (LGR5) overexpression may promote tumor metastasis in HCC. However, few studies investigate the prognosis predictive role of LGR5 in patients with HCC. Herein, we aimed to examine the expression level of LGR5 in tumors and its correlation with clinical characteristics and survivals of patients with HCC. LGR5 expression in tumor specimens and adjacent tissue resected from 66 patients were detected by immunohistochemistry. The results showed that the expression of LGR5 was markedly higher in HCC than in normal adjacent tissues (P = .006). High expression of LGR5 was significantly correlated with later disease stage (P = .009). In addition, high LGR5 expression was remarkably correlated with short overall survival than those with low LGR5 expression (P < .05). The median overall survival of patients with high LGR5 expression was 12 months, whereas that of patients with low LGR5 expression was still not reached (longer than 70 months). Notably, in our limited cases, we did not detect any difference in tumor size, lymphatic invasion, or metastasis in patients with high or low expression of LGR5. In conclusion, high protein level of LGR5 was associated with poor prognosis of these patients. LGR5 appears to be a valuable prognostic predictor clinically and a potential target in HCC therapy.

© 2018 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common malignancies and the fifth leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. Few patients are suitable for surgery and liver transplantation, which are believed to be effective treatments for HCC. Currently, there exist no effective drugs to treat HCC (Bertuccio et al., 2017; Siegel et al., 2017), and the general prognosis of these patients remains poor with a 5-year overall survival rate of approximately 10% (Yang and Roberts, 2010). To predict prognosis and to guide treatment in HCC patients, many biomarkers have been explored. These include glucose-regulated protein 78 (GRP 78) (Ying et al., 2017), programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) (Dai et al., 2017), and flotillin-2 (Flot2) (Wang et al., 2017).
However, the sensitivity and specificity of these biomarkers are not optimal. Therefore, novel prognostic biomarkers are urgently needed for HCC.

Leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein coupled receptor 5 (LGR5), also known as G-protein coupled receptor 49 (GPR 49) and G-protein coupled receptor 67 (GPR 67), is a member of the G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) family. The GPCR class of proteins have 7 transmembrane domains and can transduce extracellular signals into the cells (Carmon et al., 2011; de Lau et al., 2011). LGR5 has been identified as a stem cell marker in the colon, stomach, hair follicles, kidney, and mammary glands (Gil-Sanchis et al., 2013). Moreover, LGR5-positive stem cells drive malignant progression in both small intestine and colon (Barker et al., 2009). LGR5 is also found overexpressed in many types of cancer including breast cancer (Yang et al., 2015), basal cell carcinoma (Tanese et al., 2008), and HCC (Liu et al., 2017; Yamamoto et al., 2003).

As for its clinical relevance, LGR5 overexpression is reported to be correlated with lymphatic invasion in gastric cancer (Yamanoi et al., 2013). In addition, LGR5 overexpression also indicates poor prognosis in breast patients (Yang et al., 2015). In HCC, LGR5 is closely associated with Wnt/β-Catenin signaling (Effendi et al., 2014; Lei et al., 2015) and mediates epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (Liu et al., 2017). Since EMT leads to stronger invasive capacity (Zhang et al., 2013), LGR5 overexpression may promote tumor metastasis in HCC. However, few studies have investigated the predictive value of LGR5 in HCC prognosis (Lei et al., 2015).

In this study, we examined the expression of LGR5 in 66 HCC tissues and matched normal specimens that were acquired from surgical resection. We analyzed the association between LGR5 expression and clinical parameters, and explored the prognostic role LGR5 in patients with HCC.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients and specimens

The formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues used for the study were collected from 66 HCC patients who underwent curative surgery at Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital from 2008 to 2015. These specimens were grouped as tumor tissues and matched adjacent normal tissues. Overall survival was evaluated from the date of surgical resection of the primary tumor to the date of death or the last follow-up (March, 2016). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital. All patients provided written informed consent before any study-related procedures.

2.2. Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

IHC was performed by a standard method as previously described (Bai et al., 2015). Briefly, 5-μm sections from tissue microarrays were baked at 70 °C for 2 h. The sections were then removed from paraffin in xylene solution, rehydrated using a gradient of ethanol concentrations, boiled in 1 mM Tris-EDTA buffer with a high-pressure cooker for 3 min to retrieve antigens, blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 15 min to inhibit activities of endogenous peroxidases and incubated with 10% goat non-immune serum for 20 min to reduce non-specific staining. Then, the sections were incubated with rabbit anti-LGR5 monoclonal antibody (1:500 dilution; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) at 4 °C overnight, then incubated with biotin-labeled secondary antibody (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at room temperature for 15 min, followed by incubation with HRP-conjugated streptavidin (Invitrogen) at room temperature for another 15 min. Color development was performed with DAB Substrate Kit (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). Finally, the sections were counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated, cleared, and mounted.

2.3. Evaluation of IHC staining

The IHC staining was independently scored by two experienced pathologists based on the intensity and the proportion of positively stained cells. Stain intensity was evaluated with a 4-point grading system: 0 = negative, 1 = weak, 2 = moderate and 3 = strong. The percentage of positive cells were scored as follows: 0 for no cells stained, 1 for 1–25% of cells stained, 2 for 26–50% of cells stained, 3 for 51–75% of cells stained and 4 for more than 75% of cells stained. Scores for intensity and percentage of positive cells were
multiplied. Scores ≤2 was used to define tumors with low LGR5 expression and scores >2 with high LGR5 expression.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics version 24.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) and Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). The correlations between LGR5 and clinical parameters were tested using Fisher’s exact test or Pearson’s chi-square test, as appropriate. Overall survival was evaluated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and log-rank test was used to compare the difference between groups. Cox regression analysis of survival was performed through the SPSS software, version 24 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All results were considered significant when P < .05.

3. Results

3.1. Expression of LGR5 in HCC tissues

The protein levels of LGR5 in tumor tissues and matched adjacent normal tissues from 66 HCC patients were detected by IHC staining (Fig. 1). High LGR5 expression was observed in 25 out of 66 (38%) cases of tumor tissues and 11 out of 66 (17%) cases in adjacent normal tissues. Comparison between the expression levels of LGR5 in HCC tissue and adjacent normal tissues showed that LGR5 (P = .006) was significantly increased in HCC (Table 1).

3.2. LGR5 expression is associated with disease stage of HCC

We then investigated the correlation between LGR5 and clinical features of the 66 HCC patients. According to the IHC staining of tumor tissue, we classified all patients into LGR5-low and LGR5-high groups. Intriguingly, LGR5 expression in tumors was positively correlated with disease stage (P = .009), but no other clinical parameters including age, sex, tumor size, tumor number, Edmondson grade, metastasis, vessel invasion, hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, cirrhosis, AFP levels, satellite nodule or Child-Pugh scores (Table 2). This finding suggested that LGR5 was more likely to be a good indicator of disease progression rather than merely tumor biology in HCC.

3.3. High LGR5 expression in tumor tissue is associated with short survival

The Kaplan-Meier curves indicated that patients with high LGR5 expression were remarkably correlated with short overall survival than those with low LGR5 expression (P < .05, Fig. 2). The median overall survival of patients with high LGR5 expression was 12 months, whereas that of patients with low LGR5 expression was still not reached (longer than 70 months).

Cox regression analysis revealed that high LGR5 expression was an independent predictor of short OS (Table 3). As expected, other parameters were tested using Fisher’s exact test or Pearson’s chi-square test, as appropriate. Overall survival was evaluated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and log-rank test was used to compare the difference between groups. Cox regression analysis of survival was performed through the SPSS software, version 24 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All results were considered significant when P < .05.

3.1. Expression of LGR5 in HCC tissues

The protein levels of LGR5 in tumor tissues and matched adjacent normal tissues from 66 HCC patients were detected by IHC staining (Fig. 1). High LGR5 expression was observed in 25 out of 66 (38%) cases of tumor tissues and 11 out of 66 (17%) cases in adjacent normal tissues. Comparison between the expression levels of LGR5 in HCC tissue and adjacent normal tissues showed that LGR5 (P = .006) was significantly increased in HCC (Table 1).

3.2. LGR5 expression is associated with disease stage of HCC

We then investigated the correlation between LGR5 and clinical features of the 66 HCC patients. According to the IHC staining of tumor tissue, we classified all patients into LGR5-low and LGR5-high groups. Intriguingly, LGR5 expression in tumors was positively correlated with disease stage (P = .009), but no other clinical parameters including age, sex, tumor size, tumor number, Edmondson grade, metastasis, vessel invasion, hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, cirrhosis, AFP levels, satellite nodule or Child-Pugh scores (Table 2). This finding suggested that LGR5 was more likely to be a good indicator of disease progression rather than merely tumor biology in HCC.

3.3. High LGR5 expression in tumor tissue is associated with short survival

The Kaplan-Meier curves indicated that patients with high LGR5 expression were remarkably correlated with short overall survival than those with low LGR5 expression (P < .05, Fig. 2). The median overall survival of patients with high LGR5 expression was 12 months, whereas that of patients with low LGR5 expression was still not reached (longer than 70 months).

Cox regression analysis revealed that high LGR5 expression was an independent predictor of short OS (Table 3). As expected, other parameters were tested using Fisher’s exact test or Pearson’s chi-square test, as appropriate. Overall survival was evaluated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and log-rank test was used to compare the difference between groups. Cox regression analysis of survival was performed through the SPSS software, version 24 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All results were considered significant when P < .05.

### Table 1

| Total | LGR5 expression | P value |
|-------|-----------------|---------|
|       |                 |         |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma | 66 | 25 | 41 | .006* |
| Adjacent tissue | 66 | 11 | 55 |   |

* P < .05, statistically significant, Pearson’s χ² test.

### Table 2

| Clinical parameters | Total | LGR5 expression | P value |
|---------------------|-------|-----------------|---------|
|                     |       |                 |         |
| Age (years)         | 839   |                 |         |
| <60                 | 38    | 14              | 24      |
| ≥60                 | 28    | 11              | 17      |
| Gender              | .662  |                 |         |
| Male                | 57    | 21              | 36      |
| Female              | 9     | 4               | 5       |
| Size                | .631  |                 |         |
| <5                  | 42    | 15              | 27      |
| ≥5                  | 24    | 10              | 14      |
| Tumor number        | .919  |                 |         |
| Single              | 61    | 23              | 38      |
| Multiple            | 5     | 2               | 3       |
| Edmondson grade     | .450  |                 |         |
| I + II              | 58    | 21              | 37      |
| III                 | 8     | 4               | 4       |
| Metastasis          | .139  |                 |         |
| M0                  | 64    | 23              | 41      |
| M1                  | 2     | 2               | 0       |
| Vessel invasion     | .180  |                 |         |
| Absence             | 38    | 17              | 21      |
| Presence            | 28    | 8               | 20      |
| HBs antigen         | .679  |                 |         |
| Negative            | 15    | 5               | 10      |
| Positive            | 51    | 20              | 31      |
| HCV infection       | 1.000 |                 |         |
| Yes                 | 51    | 23              | 28      |
| No                  | 64    | 25              | 39      |
| TNM stage           | .009  |                 |         |
| I + II              | 31    | 17              | 38      |
| III + IV            | 24    | 8               | 3       |
| Cirrhosis           | .980  |                 |         |
| Yes                 | 21    | 8               | 13      |
| No                  | 45    | 17              | 28      |
| AFP levels          | .665  |                 |         |
| ≥400 µg/L           | 6     | 3               | 3       |
| <400 µg/L           | 60    | 22              | 38      |
| Satellite nodule    | .542  |                 |         |
| Yes                 | 5     | 1               | 4       |
| No                  | 61    | 24              | 37      |
| Child-Pugh scores   | .868  |                 |         |
| A                   | 63    | 24              | 39      |
| B                   | 3     | 1               | 2       |
| C                   | 0     | 0               | 0       |

*American Joint Committee on Cancer Staging (2010).
HBs: hepatitis B virus.
HCV: hepatitis C virus.
* P < .05, statistically significant, Pearson’s χ² test was applied to analyze the relationship between expression of LGR5 and various clinical parameters except for metastasis, HCV infection, satellite nodule and Child-Pugh scores by which were used Fisher’s exact test.
independent parameters including Edmondson grade, vessel invasion, and metastasis were also identified as independent predictors of OS in HCC patients. This finding suggested that LGR5 expression in HCC could be a promising tool for predict overall survival of HCC patients.

4. Discussion

It has been reported that overexpression of LGR5 could promote HCC cell viability and enhance colony formation (Fukuma et al., 2013). Overexpression of LGR5 resulted in enhanced proliferation and resistance to chemotherapy (Hsu et al., 2013). In addition, a meta-analysis showed that LGR5 could be a valuable and reliable prognostic factor of colorectal cancer progression (Jiang et al., 2016). Furthermore, it was recently reported that the expression level of LGR5 was correlated with E-cadherin and N-cadherin (Liu et al., 2017), indicating that LGR5 promoted HCC metastasis through inducing EMT. Evidence also showed that LGR5 could be regarded as a candidate biomarker for prognosis and as a target in therapy (Liu et al., 2017). Moreover, there are some studies concerning the prognostic values of LGR5 in several types of cancer such as gastric carcinoma (Jiang et al., 2016; Yamanoi et al., 2013). However, there are still few studies that show the relationship of LGR5 expression and survival in HCC patients, about which we care much as clinicians. Here, we investigated the correlation between LGR5 expression and cancer progression in 66 HCC patients to evaluate its clinical value in HCC. The present study revealed that the expression of LGR5 was markedly higher in HCC than in normal adjacent tissues. High expression of LGR5 was significantly correlated with later disease stage. In addition, HCC patients with high expressions of LGR5 showed short overall survival. Meanwhile, Cox regression analysis showed that LGR5 expression was an independent indicator for the OS. Notably, in our limited cases, we did not detect any difference in tumor size, lymphatic invasion, metastasis, hepatitis C virus, cirrhosis, AFP levels, satellite nodule or Child-Pugh scores in patients with high or low expression of LGR5. This discrepancy implicated that LGR5 probably influences HCC progression in a more general way, rather than merely EMT or proliferation. On the contrary, Liu and colleagues showed that LGR5 expression was significantly associated with tumor size, pathological grade, early recurrence and metastasis using a similar IHC scoring system with different cutoff criteria from ours (Liu et al., 2017). Evaluation of IHC staining can vary greatly, and relies highly on the processors who conduct the procedures and pathologists who interpret the results. Thus, only when more reports from different centers are available, should we make a convincing judgment in the clinical value of LGR5 as a prognostic predictor.

Many studies have explored the mechanisms by which LGR5 promotes HCC. Yamamoto et al. (2003) found that LGR5 might be associated with Wnt/β-catenin pathway and play a critical role in the development of HCC (Yamamoto et al., 2003). It was reported that LGR5 was overexpressed in HCCs with nuclear accumulation of β-catenin and was down-regulated as a result of Wnt signaling suppression (Yamanoi et al., 2013). Therefore, we think LGR5 might be a downstream target of Wnt signaling and be associated with β-catenin that induces EMT process through the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. However, this hypothesis needs further investigation. Several studies revealed that overexpression of LGR5 conferred HCC cells with some stem cell-like properties including sphere formation and enhanced survival (Fukuma et al., 2013). In whole, LGR5 expression was closely related to the key markers of EMT and cancer stemness. Such properties of LGR5 may partially explain why high expression of LGR5 in HCC correlates with late disease stage and poor prognosis of patients. However, an in vivo study also showed that LGR5 transformed tumors from a diffused phenotype to a more nodular one, and from a metastatic phenotype to a less metastatic one (Fukuma et al., 2013). The contradictory results suggest that the roles of LGR5 in HCC is complicated, and warrant further investigations especially in vivo and ex vivo.

In conclusion, we identified the expression of LGR5 in 66 HCC patients and found that a high protein level of LGR5 was associated with poor prognosis in HCC patients. LGR5 appears to be a valuable prognostic predictor clinically and a potential target in HCC therapy. However, more large scale studies are necessary to confirm these findings.
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