Tissue hyaluronan expression, as reflected in the sputum of lung cancer patients, is an indicator of malignancy
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Abstract

Hyaluronan (HA) shows promise for detecting cancerous change in pleural effusion and urine. However, there is uncertainty about the localization of HA in tumor tissue and its relationship with different histological types and other components of the extracellular matrix, such as angiogenesis. We evaluated the association between HA and degree of malignancy through expression in lung tumor tissue and sputum. Tumoral tissue had significantly increased HA compared to normal tissue. Strong HA staining intensity associated with cancer cells was significant in squamous cell carcinoma compared to adenocarcinoma and large cell carcinoma. A significant direct association was found between tumors with a high percentage of HA and MVD (microvessel density) in tumoral stroma. Similarly significant was the direct association between N1 tumors and high levels of HA in cancer cells. Cox multivariate analysis showed significant association between better survival and low HA. HA increased in sputum from lung cancer patients compared to cancer-free and healthy volunteers and a significant correlation was found between HA in sputum and HA in cancer tissue. Localization of HA in tumor tissue was related to malignancy and reflected in sputum, making this an emerging factor for an important diagnostic procedure in patients suspected to have lung cancer. Further study in additional patients in a randomized prospective trial is required to finalize these results and to validate our quantitative assessment of HA, as well as to couple it to gold standard sputum cytology.
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Introduction

Lung cancer (LC) is the major cause of death among other types of cancer in the world (1). Since the last record, LC represented 224,210 new cases with 159,260 deaths according to SEER Cancer Statistic Review (2). Another concerning fact about LC is the mortality rate, which is responsible for 75.2 deaths/100,000 men and 52.3 deaths/100,000 women in the United States (2). In Brazil, the National Institute of Cancer (INCA) estimated 27,330 new cases of LC in 2014 (http://www.inca.gov.br/estimativa/2014/). The high mortality rates are closely related to the diagnosis that is usually performed in the later stages of the disease when the treatment options are only palliative (3). The high incidence and mortality of patients with LC indicate urgency for new diagnostic procedures and prognostic factors for early detection of recurrence and shortening of a patient’s life. In this context, sputum is an attractive potential source of biomarkers for LC because it can be obtained noninvasively and may represent the field of
injury. Consequently, many research groups have been examining sputum for potential biomarkers, but, to date, there are no definitive data indicating that sputum biomarkers are sufficiently sensitive and specific to reliably predict which high-risk patients will go on to develop LC.

Thus, our group studied molecular or other markers in the primary tumor as well as the stroma tissue milieu to determine what might relate to tumor recurrence and shortened survival (4-6). Because cell-extracellular matrix interactions participate in several steps required for tumor cell invasion and formation of metastases, a group of glycosaminoglycans has been targeted as a potentially useful tumor marker (4,5). Among these glycosaminoglycans, hyaluronan (HA) has shown promise. HA is a linear polysaccharide chain, composed of repeating disaccharide units of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine-b (1,4)-D-glucuronic acid-b (7,8), which exists in both a high molecular mass form (1-6106 Da) and a polydisperser lower molecular mass form (0.1-0.56106 Da), with the latter predominating under neoplastic conditions (9). HA has diverse biological functions in migration and proliferation (10), cell growth, and differentiation (11), as well as in disease progression (12). Polymerization of HA is regulated by the action of one or more of three synthases (HAS1, HAS2, and HAS3) (13) and the hyaluronidas (HYAL1 and HYAL2), present in various tissues including the lung, are responsible for its degradation (14). Degradation products of HA may enhance tumor growth by stimulating malignant neovascularization (15). A biotinylated HA probe has shown more sensitivity than gold standard cytology to detect malignant changes in pleural effusion of mesothelioma (16-20) and urine from patients with urologic cancer (21-24), with an impact on prognosis. In some studies, staining for HA in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue has also been found to be significantly associated with survival (25-29), but there has been uncertainty about localization of HA in tumor tissue and its relationship with different histological types and other components of the extracellular matrix, such as angiogenesis, as well as impact of malignancy on prognosis. More important, to our knowledge HA has never been evaluated in the sputum from LC patients.

In the light of previous data, the first step of this work was to evaluate qualitative and quantitative distribution of HA in FFPE tumor tissue and its relationship with histological types and angiogenesis as indicators of malignancy. Hypothesizing an impact of these indicators on prognosis of patients, in a second step we evaluated the reflection of these changes in frozen sputum and cancer tissue specimens. We found that HA expression in tissue is an indicator of malignancy and has a relationship with sputum from patients with LC.

Patients and Methods

Sputum collection

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Hospital das Clínicas, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo (#256/10). Spontaneous sputum was collected from 115 consecutive patients (mean age 63±10 years; 76 male, 39 female), just prior to bronchoscopy, attending the Instituto do Cancer do Estado de São Paulo and the Hospital do Cancer de Barretos for suspected LC. Informed consent to provide a sputum sample was obtained from each patient at a previous clinical appointment. LC was subsequently confirmed through final clinical diagnosis in 90 patients (mean age 61; 59 male, 31 female) whose sputum was included in this study. Table 1 summarizes the clinical features of these 90 LC patients. They included 37 current smokers (median packs/year = 48), 38 ex-smokers (median packs/year = 39), and 15 never smokers. The final stages (tumor, node, metastasis: TNM) for these patients were T1 (n = 8), T2 (n = 24), T3 (n = 22), T4 (n = 36), N0 (n = 14), N1 (n = 76), M0 (n = 46), and M1 (n = 44). Histological diagnosis included 32 squamous cell carcinoma (SqCC), 56 adenocarcinoma (AD), and 2 large cell carcinoma (LCC). The median follow-up for these patients was 27 months, and 32 patients were censored for survival analysis at the last time of follow-up.

Sputum induction

Sputum was also collected from 25 patients classified as cancer-free and 15 as healthy volunteers. All 15 healthy volunteers, selected during an investigation for non-pulmonary diseases, such as ophthalmology or endocrinology, and the patients selected underwent sputum induction by 3 inhalations of 4% hypertonic saline for 7 min each (30). The sputum samples were stored on ice during collection, and were macroscopically separated from the saliva in sterile petri dishes with the help of a needle. After separation, the sputum samples were stored at −80°C until HA analysis.

The sputum samples were thawed and incubated with 7 M urea at 60°C, until the complete breakdown of the sputum’s hydrophobic associations. The protein concentration of each sample was measured using a NanoDrop 2000c (Thermo Scientific, USA). After protein concentration analysis, the samples were incubated with a proteolytic enzyme for 18 h at 60°C, and HA chains were diluted (1:100) in blocking buffer [0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA)].

Frozen lung tissue

Forty-six patients (mean age 65; 25 male, 17 female) of the 90 with confirmed LC were clinically staged as T1-4N1M0 and were considered to have tumors potentially curable by surgical resection. These 46 lung specimens were included in the study for biochemical analysis, histological hematoxylin and eosin staining, and immunohistochemical and immunofluorescence analyses. Clinical staging used routine chest X-ray, bronchoscopy, computerized tomography of thorax and upper abdomen, abdominal ultrasound, and bone scan. Mediastinoscopy
and lymph node biopsy were additionally performed on patients whose lymph nodes had a short axis diameter of >1 cm. They included 25 current smokers (median packs/year = 48), 6 ex-smokers (median packs/year = 39), and 15 never smokers. The final pathological stages (TNM) of these patients included T1 (n = 11), T2 (n = 24), T3 (n = 7), T4 (n = 4), N0 (n = 25), N1 (n = 21), M0 (n = 46), and M1 (n = 0). The tumors were classified as SqCC (n = 13), AD (n = 27), and LCC (n = 6). Other detailed information about these patients is shown in Table 1.

Tumor tissue and normal lung tissue from the resected specimens were examined during the first 15 min after resection; the tumor was localized macroscopically, and 1-cm³ fragments of tumor and normal lung tissue were stored in liquid nitrogen at –170°C. A mirror fragment was fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histological evaluation, in order to guarantee the tumor representation. Two investigators (MPR and VLC) reviewed and verified the histological diagnosis in non-small-cell LC to be SqCC, AD, and LCC, classified according to the recently published classification for LC (31), and selected a sample of the paraffin-embedded tumor for immunohistochemical scoring and analyses of the cell-specific localization by immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy.

### Table 1. Clinical features of lung cancer patients.

|                        | Sputum analysis (n = 90) | Tissue analysis (n = 46) |
|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Age                    | 61 (37-89)               | 65 (44-84)               |
| Gender (M/F)           | 59/31                    | 25/17                    |
| Smoking history        |                          |                          |
| Yes                    | 37                       | 25                       |
| No                     | 15                       | 15                       |
| Ex-smoker              | 38                       | 6                        |
| Stage T                |                          |                          |
| 1                      | 8                        | 11                       |
| 2                      | 24                       | 24                       |
| 3                      | 22                       | 7                        |
| 4                      | 36                       | 4                        |
| Stage N                |                          |                          |
| 0                      | 14                       | 25                       |
| 1                      | 76                       | 21                       |
| Stage M                |                          |                          |
| 0                      | 46                       | 46                       |
| 1                      | 44                       | 0                        |
| Histologic type        |                          |                          |
| Squamous cell carcinoma| 32                       | 13                       |
| Adenocarcinoma         | 56                       | 27                       |
| Large cell carcinoma   | 2                        | 6                        |
| Follow-up (months)     | 27 (7-88)                | 27 (7-88)                |
| Patients censored for survival analysis | 32 | 32 |

Data are reported as number or median and range.

Biotinylated HA probe in frozen sputum and lung tissue

To determine the levels of HA present in the tumoral and normal lung samples collected, the tissues were dehydrated with acetone, dried, weighed, and incubated with a proteolytic enzyme for 18 h at 60°C. The HA chains were diluted (1:100) in blocking buffer (0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1% BSA). Determination of HA was performed using the same “ELISA-like” fluorometric assay as used on the sputum (32). The dry tissue samples were assayed in triplicate. The levels HA found in the tissue specimens is reported using the dry tissue weight (µg/g of dry tissue) as reference.

Biotinylated HA probe and microvessels in FFPE lung tissue

HA quantification in situ was done in FFPE sections of LC and normal tissue. All sections underwent the following treatment: a) inhibition of endogenous peroxidase activity with 3% hydrogen peroxidase in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS); b) blockage of nonspecific binding sites with 1% BSA in PBS; c) incubation in HA-biotinylated probe solution diluted 1:600 in 1% BSA/PBS for 1 h at 4°C; d) elimination of endogenous biotin in tissue sections by incubation in streptavidin peroxidase solution; and e) visualization of peroxidase activity through incubation with.
chromogenic diaminobenzidine (Sigma, USA) and 0.03% hydrogen peroxidase. Brownish staining was considered evidence of HA expression by the cells.

Microvessel evaluation was done by immunostaining using an anti-CD34 monoclonal antibody (Novocastra Laboratory, UK; dilution 1:800), pressure-cooking antigen retrieval, biotinylated rabbit anti-mouse IgG (Dako Corp., USA; dilution, 1:400), streptavidin combined in vitro with biotinylated horseradish peroxidase (Dako Corp.; dilution, 1:1000), diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride, and counterstaining with hematoxylin. Brownish cytoplasmic staining was considered to be evidence of the antigen expression by cells.

**Evaluation of HA and microvessel staining**

We evaluated the HA and microvessel staining in nonneoplastic cells, LC cells, and tumor stroma by image analysis. The HA and microvessel quantification was done by recording histological images with a Nikon Eclipse 50i camera (Japan) attached to the microscope, using a 40× objective. The staining measurements were done by computer analysis, using the Image Pro-Plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, USA). All the analyses were performed at a magnification of 400×.

Staining for HA was noted on the cell surface and in the cytoplasm of cancer cells and in peritumoral stroma, whereas microvessel staining was noted on endothelial cells present in tumoral and peritumoral stroma. The percentage of stained tumor parenchyma was evaluated using a continuous threshold (0%-100). For statistical purposes, cases were further divided into two groups according to a receiver operation characteristic (ROC) curve as follows: expression of HA was considered high if >48% of the tumoral area showed a persistent HA signal, whereas cases having <48% staining were classified as low. This cut-off level allowed the most clear-cut separation between high and low expressors. The intensity of HA staining in cancer cells and in peritumoral stromal tissue was classified as weak (<48 and <84%, respectively, of stromal tissue/cancer cells with intense signal) or strong (>48 and >84%, respectively, of stromal tissue/cancer cells with intense signal). Equally, the intensity of microvessel staining in tumoral stroma and peritumoral stroma was classified as weak (<33 and <26%, respectively, of peritumoral stroma/tumoral stroma with intense signal) or strong (>33 and >26%, respectively, of peritumoral stroma/tumoral stroma with intense signal).

**HA and microvessel colocation**

HA and microvessel colocation was evaluated in tumor stroma after immunofluorescence staining using confocal microscopy. Pressure-cooking antigen retrieval was performed followed by incubation with mouse polyclonal anti-human CD34 antibody (1:50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., USA) and an HA-biotinylated probe solution (1:150; kindly donated by Dr. Nader from the Departamento de Bioquímica, Disciplina de Biologia Molecular, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Brazil). For negative controls, sections were incubated with PBS instead of the primary antibody. The sections were then incubated with the secondary antibodies, i.e., goat anti-mouse ALEXA 488 and streptavidin ALEXA 546 (Invitrogen, USA; dilution 1:400) for 3 h. Nuclear staining was done with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, dihydrochloride for 30 min (Invitrogen; 1:300). Images were obtained using a Zeiss LSM-410 laser-scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany). Serial optical sections were performed with the Simple 32 C-imaging computer software (LSM Image Browser software, Carl Zeiss). Z-series sections were collected at 0.6 μm with a ×60 Plan Apo lens and a scan zoom of ×2. All images were collected at the same photomultiplier tube settings. Images were processed and reconstructed using the US National Institutes of Health Image software.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 18.0 (SPSS Inc., USA). When necessary, the variables were analyzed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to determine the normality pattern. Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to analyze the sputum from 90 patients with LC, 25 cancer-free patients, and 15 healthy volunteers. When nonparametric methods were used, simultaneous comparisons of the confidence levels were corrected with Bonferroni’s post hoc test. The Mantel-Haenszel test or Pearson’s χ² test were used to clarify the relationship between HA and microvessel staining with the tissue and the sputum variables studied. ROC curves were developed for determining the optimal cut-off limits that yielded the best possible sensitivity and specificity values. Data on various biochemical, surgical, and pathological parameters, as well as HA and microvessel staining inferences, were analyzed by the Cox proportional hazards model, using single-variable analysis (univariate analysis). Stratified Kaplan-Meier analyses were performed on the variables found to be significant in the multivariate Cox proportional hazards model. The results with P less than or equal to 0.05 were considered to be significant.

**Results**

Cancer-free patients and healthy volunteers had a median age of 65 years; there was no significant difference in age between the groups. There were fewer females in the cancer-free group (n=17) than in the malignant group (n=31) or the LC group (Table 1).

Figure 1 shows normal lung, SqCC, AD, and LCC stained by hematoxylin and eosin (A, F, K, P), biotinylated HA probe (B, G, L, Q), immunofluorescence double staining for HA and microvessel colocalization at confocal microscopy (D, I, N, S), and quantification of HA in FFPE, respectively.
Normal lung (Figure 1A) showed a strong HA signal at the epithelial cell-extracellular matrix interface (Figure 1, B and C), coincident with regular microvessel staining (C) and absence of colocalization at confocal microscopy (D). A significant increase in the HA signal was found in tumor tissue compared to normal tissue (P<0.05; Figure 1E).

SqCC (F) showed abundant HA signals on the cancer cell-stroma interface (G) coincident with prominent microvessel staining (H) and identical colocalization at confocal microscopy (I). Strong HA staining intensity associated with cancer cells was significant in 32.1% of SqCC compared to 17.9% of AD and 0.0% in LCC (P<0.001; Table 2; Figure 1J).

ADs (Figure 1K) revealed strong stromal HA staining in remarkable contrast with the HA-poor tumor cells in ADs (L). The foci of strong HA intensity in the stroma was coincident with foci of microvessel staining (M) and foci of colocalization at confocal microscopy (N). Furthermore, AD more often showed a lower percentage of HA-positive cancer cells (35.7% of cases) than LCC (14.3% of cases) or SqCC (0% of cases; P<0.001; Figure 1O). The cancer stroma HA staining intensity was similar among the three histological types (P=0.3; Table 2).

For LCC (P), the HA signal in tumor cells was very poor or absent and contrasted with the foci of strong intensity in stroma (Q), coincident with focal microvessel density (R) and focal colocalization at confocal microscopy (S). The poor qualitative distribution of HA in tumor tissue overlapped with the very low HA intensity of staining after quantitation (Figure 1T).

Table 2 shows the morphometric results for microvessels in cancer stroma and normal tissue stratified according to histological type. Microvessels were significantly higher in AD (32.3%) and LCC (16.1%) compared to SqCC (3.2%; P = 0.02). No difference in microvessels was observed in normal lung stroma of the three histological types.

A significant direct association was found between tumors with a high percentage of HA and MVD in tumor

Figure 1. Strong hyaluronan (HA) signal at the epithelial cell-extracellular matrix interface (B) in normal lung (A), coincident with regular microvessels staining (C), absence of colocalization at confocal microscopy (D) and low signal when compared to tumoral tissue (E). High expression (> 48%) of HA in squamous cell carcinoma (SqCC) (F) on cancer cells-stroma interface (G), coincident with high microvessel (>33%) staining foci (H), identical colocalization at confocal microscopy (I) and higher signal when compared to normal tissue (J). HA-negative in normal lung (K) and cells of adenocarcinoma (AD) (L); note the strong HA staining in tumor stroma (L), coincident with microvessels staining (M), foci of colocalization at confocal microscopy (N) and higher expression compared to normal tissue (O). Very poor signal in normal lung (P) and in large cell carcinoma (LCC) (Q); note strong HA foci staining (Q), coincident with focal microvessels density (R), focal colocalization at confocal microscopy (S) and low signal when compared to normal tissue (T). Arrowheads indicate stromal tissue; asterisks indicate carcinoma cells. H&E: hematoxylin and eosin; MVD: microvessel density
stroma (R = 0.6; P < 0.02). Similarly significant was the direct association between tumors at the N1 stage and high levels of HA in cancer cells (R = 0.31; P = 0.05). In addition, tumors in the T4 stage presented positive association with a high percentage of HA-positive cancer cells (R = 0.80; P < 0.01).

At the end of the follow-up, 32 patients of 46 (69.5%) who underwent surgical resection died because of LC. Univariate survival analysis showed that the significant predictors of overall survival (OS) were N stage (χ² = 4.3; P = 0.03) and HA expression in cancer cells (χ² = 5.2; P = 0.02). A high percentage of HA-positive cells predicted a shortened disease-free survival [n = 11 (47.8%), P < 0.02] in univariate analysis together with stage (P = 0.02). However, among ADs, there was a trend toward poor OS, with tumors showing a high percentage of cancer cell-associated HA (P = 0.09). Comparative Cox multivariate analysis controlled by gender, age, and AD showed a significant association between better survival and low cancer cell-associated HA sign (HR = 0.08; P = 0.03). We ranked the cases according to ROC curve into two groups with distinctly different average survival times as illustrated by Kaplan-Meier plots in Figure 3. The group with <692.1 µg/mg HA appears as the top curve, and their median survival time was 72 months. Those with ≥692.1 µg/mg HA (bottom curve) had a median survival time of just 52 months after surgery (log rank = 5.3; P = 0.02).

Table 2 shows the concentration levels of HA found in normal and cancer lung tissue surgically resected from 46 patients with LC. HA concentration levels were significantly increased in tissue from patients with LC compared to normal lung tissue (P < 0.001). HA concentration levels increased seven-fold in cancer tissue compared to normal lung tissue. Concentration levels of HA were significantly higher in lung tissue from patients with SqCC than AD and LCC (P = 0.01; Table 2), coincident with the qualitative and quantitative immunoexpression observed in FFPE (Figure 1, G and J).

The concentration levels of HA in sputum obtained from 90 patients with LC, 25 cancer-free patients, and 15 healthy volunteers are shown in Table 3. HA concentration levels were significantly increased in sputum from LC patients compared to cancer-free and healthy volunteers (P < 0.001, Figure 2A). HA levels were higher in the sputum of patients with SqCC compared to other histological types (P = 0.01; Table 3, Figure 2B).

The sputum HA analysis capacity to distinguish healthy volunteers from LC patients was analyzed using an ROC curve. The area under the curve for this condition was 0.821 (0.727-0.915). Assuming a cut-off value of 20.06 ng/mg, the specificity was 80% and the sensitivity was 66% (Figure 2C). The sputum HA analysis capacity to distinguish cancer-free patients from LC patients was analyzed by a second ROC curve. The area under the curve for this condition was 0.698 (0.600-0.797), and the cut-off value of 24.04 ng/mg showed 68% specificity and 60% sensitivity (Figure 2D).

A significant correlation was found between the biotinylated HA probe in sputum and biotinylated HA FFPE cancer tissue (R = 0.5; P = 0.02).

Discussion

The first aim of this research was to evaluate the association between malignant changes and HA through its expression and location in tumor tissue and its...
relationship with histological types, angiogenesis, and metastases. Hypothesizing to get an association between HA and malignant changes on prognosis, the second aim was to evaluate whether these malignant changes were reflected in sputum from patients with LC.

We found a significant expression of HA and microvessels at the epithelial cell-extracellular matrix interface in tumoral lung tissue, and confocal microscopy confirmed this colocalization, suggesting that an increased synthesis of HA occurs through interactions with HA receptors and endothelium. HA receptors are expressed by endothelial cells (33), lung fibroblasts, and smooth muscle (34), which are abundant at the cell-extracellular matrix interface. This coupled expression of HA receptors and HA at the epithelial cell-extracellular matrix interface suggested that these two components must interact with each other. This interaction could play a role in attaching respiratory epithelial cells to the basement membrane and in maintaining their polarized morphology. When the malignant transformation occurs in respiratory epithelial cells, the imbalance between hyperexpression of HA and HA synthases (14) is unable to attach respiratory epithelial cells to the basement membrane, leading to invasion and exfoliation in fluids, such as sputum. The direct association that we found between a high percentage of HA and microvessels in the tumor cell-stroma interface is supported by the concept that hyaluronidases (HYAL1 and HYAL2), which are responsible for HA degradation (14), stimulate malignant neovascularization (15) for tumor growth and metastases. Indeed, when we analyzed the entire tumor, we found a direct association between tumors in T4 and N1 stages and high levels of HA in cancer cells, clearly suggesting that surgical resection fails to cure some patients with localized LC because of metastases.

In the current study, a strong HA staining intensity associated with cancer cells was also significant in SqCCs compared to AD and LCC. Similar findings were reported by Pirinen et al. (25), who analyzed the prognostic value of HA in a large series of patients with non-small-cell lung carcinoma using a biotinylated HA-specific probe. As previously reported by Wang et al. (27), tumor cells were enhanced in HA, but they showed considerable differences in HA expression depending on the cellular origin as well as on the histological type of the tumor. Our findings also support the concept that normal squamous
cells and carcinomas of squamous cell differentiation mostly express large amounts of HA (29). In contrast, tumors derived from nonstratified epithelium, such as colon (28), ovarian (35), and breast (32) tumors, show an absence of or a very poor HA signal. In agreement with these reports, our present results showed a relatively low percentage of high HA expression in AD. Likewise, our findings showed that an elevated HA signal in tumor cells was associated with poor prognosis, similar to results in the literature (25,28,32,35).

Thus, we should not be surprised to learn that a biotinylated HA-specific probe provided important prognostic information about cancerous changes in tissue, and our results now confirm the prognostic importance of HA in LC. Whereas only four prior studies were able to show a significant relationship between immunostaining for HA in the tumor and survival (25,28,32,35), our results suggest that staining for HA, used as a continuous variable, provides more prognostic information than does routine pathological stage. A binary cut-off point in HA in tumors was the median of 692.1 µg/mg of cells staining for HA, and this point provided a practical way to separate patients into two groups: patients with an expected short survival vs patients with an expected longer survival. Thus, a biotinylated HA-specific probe for HA in the primary tumor offered the potential to show malignant changes in FFPE tissue from patients with LC.

The next question of interest was whether these malignant changes gathered from the tumor tissue or its milieu could help us identify patients with LC using a noninvasive procedure such as sputum. We found that HA concentration levels were significantly increased in sputum from LC patients compared to cancer-free and healthy volunteers. One of the mechanisms by which HA increases in sputum may be via the promotion of cell migration, because of the highly expanded, hydrated extracellular space provided by HA, which facilitates cell movement, and by HA signaling through its receptors CD44 and RHAMM (36), as shown in previous studies (34,36). As already discussed, malignant changes induce a disproportion between expression of HA and hyaluronidase by respiratory epithelial cells (14), which become unable to attach cells to the basement membrane, leading to exfoliation and hypersecretion of HA in sputum. Both events lead to detachment of the HA layer that usually covers glands and the respiratory epithelium (14). There is another possible mechanism by which HA is deposited in sputum. Malignant cells affect tumoral stroma remodeling by regulating fibroblasts. The activated stromal

**Figure 3.** Kaplan-Meier plots of survival probability vs follow-up time in months for all patients. The group with <692.1 µg/mg HA appears as the top curve, and the group with ≥692.1 µg/mg HA appears as the bottom curve.

| Tissue (µg/g)        | Sputum (ng/mg) |
|----------------------|--------------|
| Lung cancer          | 713.13 ± 104.55* |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | 808.28 ± 222.03 |
| Adenocarcinoma       | 717.97 ± 135.28 |
| Large cell carcinoma | 241.7 ± 40.14  |
| Normal lung          | 155.39 ± 39.28 |
| Lung cancer          | 31.72 ± 2.97*  |
| Cancer-free patients | 19.78 ± 2.21   |
| Healthy volunteers   | 8.72 ± 2.11    |

Data are reported as median ± SE of HA in lung specimens from tumors and sputum. In both specimens, determination of HA was performed using the same “ELISA-like” fluorometric assay. * P < 0.001, HA levels in lung cancer tissue specimens were significantly different from normal lung tissue and maintained when categorized by histological types (P < 0.001 and P = 0.005). *P < 0.001, HA levels in sputum of lung cancer patients were significantly different from cancer-free patients and healthy subjects. The Mann-Whitney test was used for statistical analyses.
hyaluronan expression in sputum of lung cancer patients

fibroblasts, termed cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), share some similarities with myofibroblasts, including the expression of alpha smooth muscle actin (37). CAFs play a prominent role in the remodeling process by producing collagen and elastin fibers as well as proteoglycans and glycoproteins (38). It has also been shown that airway fibroblasts from LC patients produce significantly increased concentrations of HA and HA synthase compared to controls (4,5). LC tumors secrete tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), tumor growth factor beta, and endothelial growth factor, known to be potent stimulators of HA synthesis in lung fibroblasts, and may contribute to the deposition of HA in the airways of LC. In addition, tumors express CD44, which binds HA, and this interaction has been implicated in aggregation, proliferation, and migration of neoplastic cells (24,25,34). Consequently, it is possible that LC patients express a pathological feedback loop where tumors stimulate fibroblast synthesis of HA through the release of tumor growth factor beta and TNF-α, and increase recruitment of additional fibroblasts through upregulation of CD44.

We also found that HA levels were higher in the sputum of patients with SqCC compared to other histological types of tumor. SqCC carcinogenesis depends on epigenetic factors, and it has already been reported that the connection between HA and its receptor CD44 can result in the suppression of several epigenetic regulators and the upregulation of survival proteins. In addition, the greater quantities of HA in the SqCC cancer cells were reflected in the greater quantities of HA found in the sputum from SqCC patients in this study, and that might be explained by the central bronchial location and squamous cell origin of the tumor, whereas AD and LCC are located peripherally and originate from columnar gland cells. Previous studies have demonstrated that HA is elevated in malignant mesothelioma effusions. In this context, Pettersson et al. (17) found that, with the cut-off level at 100 mg/L, the pleural fluid concentration of HA was increased in 73% of patients with mesothelioma and 23% of patients with nonmalignant inflammatory diseases, but in none of the patients with cancer other than mesothelioma and in none of the patients with congestive heart failure. Other studies have demonstrated that HA is elevated in urine from patients with urologic cancer. Lokeswar et al. (39) compared levels of HA in the urine of normal individuals and patients with bladder cancer or other genital conditions, and found that the urinary HA measurement by the ELISA-like assay shows a sensitivity of 91.9% and specificity of 92.8% to detect bladder cancer. In inflammatory conditions, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma, HA has also been applied for diagnostic purposes in sputum. Ayars et al. (30) examined levels of HA in the sputum of asthmatics treated with mepolizumab therapy vs those taking a placebo, and found reduced levels of HA as well as improved clinical and spirometry values in the patients treated with mepolizumab, suggesting that this measurement may serve as a noninvasive biomarker of asthma control.

To our knowledge, the current study is the first to investigate HA as an indicator in the sputum from patients with LC, therefore limiting a comparison of the results, unless they are compared to the current gold standard, sputum cytology. Presently, sputum cytology is the minimum invasive resource for obtaining a diagnosis in a patient who is suspected of having LC. However, the diagnostic accuracy of sputum cytology depends on rigorous specimen sampling and preservation techniques, as well as on the location and size of the tumor (central vs peripheral). In the present study, we showed that, using a single low-cost procedure that is not time consuming and that can be performed routinely in the lab, sputum HA distinguished tumor patients from those without tumor with 80% specificity and 66% sensitivity. Similarly, the sputum HA concentration can distinguish cancer-free patients from LC patients with 68% specificity and 60% sensitivity. According to the literature, sputum cytology sensitivity ranges from 42% to 97% and specificity ranges from 68 to 100% (40). Our findings contrast with a recent study published by Van Rensburg et al. (31) in which the sputum cytology in a high-risk population revealed a sensitivity of 38.9% to detect malignant cells and 100% accuracy. Comparing our findings to the literature, we presume that the sensitivities between 60% and 66% that we found can be within the same limits of variation as cytology sputum. Therefore, HA evaluation in sputum could be superior or similar to the current gold standard, sputum cytology, eventually emphasizing that combined sputum HA and cytology may add considerably to the diagnostic armamentarium currently used in the clinic for LC diagnosis.

Localization of HA in tumor tissue and its relationship with histological types and angiogenesis was related to malignancy of LC and reflected in sputum, which is emerging as an important diagnostic procedure in patients with suspicion of LC. To confirm these results, more studies in a randomized and prospective trial are needed, and we also believe it is important to validate our quantitative assessment of HA and to couple it to gold standard sputum cytology by studying HA in additional patients.
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