An innovated practical system to study in the laboratory the influence of desert sandstorm on solar panels
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Abstract—The natural problem is the collection of desert dust from (PV) panels (North Africa, Middle East, area of the Gulf and other regions). Research has shown that accumulated dust can reduce solar panel performance, but the findings have not been very clearly quantified. The research will develop a method for evaluating the effects of the accumulation of dust in the production of laboratory solar panels. An artificial system is developed in this research paper (machine). In order to test electricity and efficiency, experiments with a revolutionary developer using artificial dust particles on solar panels have been carried out. The research found that the dust on the surface of the solar panel would reduce the performance of the system by up to 50 percent. The current pressure characteristics are then measured with distinct densities before and during the simulated sandstorm. The results would directly discuss the impact of pollution on the efficiency of solar panels in remote locations (Sahara).

Index Terms—Desert environment; solar energy; sandstorm effect; Simulation; photovoltaic technology.

I. INTRODUCTION

Renewable energy plays a very important role in power production, especially in developing countries. The sun is a clean, durable, polluting source of energy. In solar power systems, in which the solar photons are absorbed by the semiconductor, energy output is transformed directly into electricity [1]. Solar panels use photovoltaic (PV) panels for converting electricity through sun-radiation into solar energy. A load control and a battery are available on this computer. Sustainable returns can also be obtained with the appropriate design to maximize solar light (orientation, exposure, and tracer) (electricity). However, these are vulnerable to omnipresent functional features like dust deposition, waste birds and water, often overlooked which can dramatically reduce solar thermal plants' efficiency. The losses of inverter, cabling and soiling module (dust and debris) in module output (PV) systems are further reduced by 10–25,000. Algeria is the largest region in Africa, the largest in the Arab world and the Mediterranean basin.

It has a substantial part of the southern desert climate (Sahara). Algeria has an annual sunset rate of 2000 hours per year [4] while the world energy council and energy ministry of Algeria earn an average of 3,900 hours per year [5].

The average solar energy received in the coastal region is 2400 kWh/m² a year, 1900 kWh/m² annually on the highlands, and 2 650 kWh/m² annually in Southern Algeria.

This big solar power in northern Africa is adversely affected by the effects of sandstorms on the efficiency of protective solar panels of glass [3]. Sandstorms and dust in desert regions are caused by solar modules. Most of the dust in the region of the Sahara may be non-organic particles, such as particles of dust or other impurities, hanging electrostatically in the dry wind and on the glass area of solar panels. The dust can also be non-organically abrasive minerals (e.g. silica) that damage and scratch the glass surface of the solar modules. Many powdery areas in desert regions are suspended deposits of wind, birds and other animal fossilization, urban pollutants and leaves of organic plant, pollen, etc. The solar panels are wetly dissipated. A study has been carried out on solar panels [7-9] of the effects of sandstorm and dust. The results demonstrate a sharp rugged development, with sand masses increasingly lowering optical transmission. The efficiency of the Solar Panels is decreasing due to these parameters. Sand stroke tends to have a relatively weak impact on solar cell efficiency (Ef=0.88). Sites produced with grains of sand can also contain particulate matter of dust. The performance of PV panels [10] was also studied; dust has an effect in solar panel performance. The decrease in the maximum power produced depends on the particle density and size [11-16]. Previous research [17, 18] has shown the viability of a 15% reduction. It has also been shown that the effect of dust, however, has been significantly decreased under increased radiation. In figure 2 of this paper we have created an artificial framework. Analyzing the laboratory effect of sandstorms for various doses on solar panels.

II. EXPERIMENT APPARATUS AND SETUP

We used our innovation which is a glass box with dimensions=78 cm x 78 cm x 78 cm, a solar photovoltaic panel which contain 72 cells (12 W) as a power for each one) is placed inside the glass box, particles of dust (sand and gypsum) are produced by a prompter (in order to achieve the artificial sandstorm), I-V measurement setup (voltmeter and ampermeter) are connected to solar panel in order to measure the short circuit current (Isc) and open circuit voltage (Voc) and variable resistances are connected to circuit in order to measure current-voltage characteristics. In order to compare
the performance of the panels before and during the sandstorm, as well as how the performance changed when the density of the dust is increased. The current voltage (I-V) characteristics were measured before, during the sandstorm using a voltmeter and ammeter. The Table 1 and Table 2 summarize the used doses (the volume of sand divided by the volume of the box).

![Figure 1. Schematic representation of I-V measurements](image)

![Figure 2. Artificial system to study the influence of sandstorm on solar panel in laboratory](image)

**Table 1. Two different doses of gypsum**

| Volume used ($10^{-5}$ m$^3$) | 46.15 | 92.32 |
| Dose (%)                      | 0.098  | 0.194 |

**Table 2. Two different doses of gypsum + sand**

| Volume used ($10^{-5}$ m$^3$) | 92.32 | 138.5 |
| Dose (%)                      | 0.194 | 0.292 |

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 3 and Figure 4 present qualitative results of an artificial sandstorm. The following images are taken with different doses at various stages of the experiment. It is clear that the photovoltaic panel relies on the dose of sandstorm (the quantities of gypsum and sand). In desert regions where there is a real sandstorm, the same phenomenon can be seen, so it is a true problem requiring scientific analysis and solutions. The influence of dust on photovoltaic panels examining two key parameters has been examined by numerous published papers: Figures 5 and 6 demonstrate our I-V measurements. The I-V measurements are clearly reduced with increased doses that affect the strength of the solar panel. In the Middle East and Gulf regions, similar findings were achieved [22]. The short circuit stream (ISC) is tested for various dose levels to assess the decrease in solar panel electricity during the sandstorm (Table 3 and Table 4).

![Figure 3. Experience with gypsum (a- first dose, b- second dose)](image)
Fig. 4. Experience with sand and gypsum (a- first dose, b- second dose)

Fig. 5. I-V curves of experience with gypsum using different doses presented in Table 1 (dose 1=0.098% and dose 2=0.194%)

Fig. 6. I-V curves of experience with gypsum and sand using different doses presented in Table 2 (dose 1=0.194% and dose 2=0.292%)

Fig. 7. Variation of $I_{sc}$ as a function of doses of gypsum and (gypsum+sand)

Table 3. Variation of $I_{sc}$ as a function of doses used with gypsum

| Dose (%) | $I_{sc}$ (A) |
|----------|--------------|
| 0.000    | 0.88         |
| 0.194    | 0.55         |
| 0.292    | 0.30         |
| 0.387    | 0.25         |

Table 4. Variation of $I_{sc}$ as a function of doses used with gypsum and sand

| Dose (%) | $I_{sc}$ (A) |
|----------|--------------|
| 0.000    | 0.88         |
| 0.194    | 0.37         |
| 0.292    | 0.20         |
| 0.387    | 0.02         |

From table 3, table 4 and fig. 7 we can propose an empirical relation as:
\[ I_{sc} = I_{sc0} - p \cdot D \]  
\[ D = \frac{\text{Volume of dust}}{\text{Volume of box}} \times 100 \]

Where \( D \) is the dose of dust, \( p \) is a constant represent the slope of the curve in fig. 7. \( I_{sc} \) is short circuit current and \( I_{sc0} \) is the short circuit current without dust. \( D \) can be calculated as:

\[ p = \frac{\text{Experience with gypsum}}{\text{Experience with gypsum + sand}} \times 100 \]

\[ I_{sc} \] represents the slope of the curve.

Using table 3, table 4 and equation (1), the fig. 7 can be represented otherwise (fig. 8)

**Fig. 8. Variation of \( I_{sc} \) as a function of dose of gypsum and (gypsum + sand) with fit of proposed model in equation (1)**

Figure 3 provides the best match for experimental data and figure 4, the gypsum dust constant \( p \) is 1.8 A and the mixed \( p \) (gypsum + sand) is 2.33 A. This model can also be used for the simulation of other dust forms. Researchers have been developed to calculate the density and size dust distribution in normal plasma operations [23] and density distribution in 3D complex plasma [24]. Different methods have been developed in this area.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

The results show that the performance of the PV panels decreases on an alignment of a rise in the dust quantity. A new artificial system is built to investigate the effects of sandstorm in the lab without displacement in the desert regions (Sahara) (doses). A theoretical model is proposed and the difference in PV power for various forms of dust can be studied.
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