A CHANDRA VIEW OF NON-THERMAL EMISSION IN THE NORTHWESTERN REGION OF SUPERNOVA REMNANT RCW 86: PARTICLE ACCELERATION AND MAGNETIC FIELDS

Daniel Castro¹, Laura A. Lopez¹, Patrick O. Slane², Hiroya Yamaguchi²,³,⁴, Enrico Ramirez-Ruiz², and Enectali Figueroa-Feliciano¹

¹ MIT-Kavli Center for Astrophysics and Space Research, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
² Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
³ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 662, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
⁴ Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of California Santa Cruz, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060, USA

Received 2013 September 9; accepted 2013 October 10; published 2013 November 25

ABSTRACT

The shocks of supernova remnants are believed to accelerate particles to cosmic ray (CR) energies. The amplification of the magnetic field due to CRs propagating in the shock region is expected to have an impact on both the emission from the accelerated particle population as well as the acceleration process itself. Using a 95 ks observation with the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer on board the Chandra X-Ray Observatory, we map and characterize the synchrotron emitting material in the northwest region of RCW 86. We model spectra from several different regions, both filamentary and diffuse, where emission appears to be dominated by synchrotron radiation. The fine spatial resolution of Chandra allows us to obtain accurate emission profiles across three different non-thermal rims in this region. The narrow width ($l \approx 10''$–$30''$) of these filaments constrains the minimum magnetic field strength at the post-shock region to approximately $80 \mu$G.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Non-thermal X-ray emission has been detected from several young shell-type supernova remnants (SNRs), including SN 1006 (Koyama et al. 1995), RX J1713.7–3946 (Koyama et al. 1997), and Vela Jr. (Tsunemi et al. 2000; Slane et al. 2001). These X-rays are believed to be synchrotron radiation from electrons accelerated to TeV energies at the shocks, interacting with the compressed, and possibly amplified, local magnetic field. Observations of $\gamma$-ray emission from several SNRs in the TeV range confirm that particles are being accelerated to energies approaching the knee of the cosmic ray (CR) spectrum in these remnants (e.g., Aharonian et al. 2004, 2005; Naumann-Godó et al. 2008). However, while it is broadly believed that diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) in SNRs produces the bulk of CRs below $10^{15}$ eV, we still lack a detailed understanding of the acceleration process and its effects on the system, such as magnetic field amplification (MFA) and maximum particle energy.

Since the amplification of the magnetic field due to the CR acceleration process is expected to have an impact on both the emission from the accelerated particle population as well as the acceleration process itself, it has become a crucial area of research (e.g., Vladimirov et al. 2006). Observations of heliospheric shocks more than three decades ago led to suggestions that strong shocks, such as those in SNRs, could amplify the ambient magnetic field (Chevalier 1977). Multiwavelength observations and models of young SNRs also suggest that the magnetic fields at the forward shock are much larger than expected from simple compression of the ambient field of the interstellar matter (ISM). This is evidenced through several different observed effects, including thin synchrotron filaments detected in X-ray observations (Vink & Laming 2003; Bamba et al. 2003), the broadband spectrum of the synchrotron emission from radio to X-rays of several SNRs (e.g., Völk et al. 2005), and the rapid variability of bright knots of non-thermal emission in some remnants (e.g., Uchiyama et al. 2007). The inferred magnetic fields in SNR Cassiopeia A are $B \approx 0.5$ mG, and similar values ($B \gtrsim 0.1$ mG) have been estimated from observations of Tycho, Kepler, SN 1006, and RX J1713.7–3946 (Reynolds & Ellison 1992; Völk et al. 2005; Uchiyama et al. 2007). Given that the ISM magnetic field is $B_{\text{ISM}} \sim 3$–10 $\mu$G, the strengths of the fields inferred from observations of SNRs imply amplification factors of the order of 10–100, which is likely an effect of DSA at the shocks of these remnants. Bell (1978) suggests that MFA is the result of non-resonant CR instabilities in the shock precursor. MFA is a crucial element in the DSA process since the turbulent field created by CRs is responsible for the scattering of particles in the shock, leading to their acceleration to CR energies. Several authors (e.g., Vink & Laming 2003) have shown that the magnetic field strength of the post-shock region is closely linked to the width of X-ray synchrotron filaments in SNRs.

RCW 86 (G315.4–2.3) is a large (~40'') Galactic SNR possibly associated with the historical supernova explosion SN 185 (Vink et al. 2006, and references therein). It displays a shell-type morphology in radio (Kesteven & Caswell 1987), optical (Smith 1997), and X-rays (Pisarski et al. 1984). The radio observation with the Molonglo Synthesis Telescope at 843 MHz, shown in Figure 1 (top left panel), illustrates the broad morphology of RCW 86 (Whiteoak & Green 1996). Optical studies have derived kinematic distances to RCW 86 of $2.3 \pm 0.2$ and $2.8 \pm 0.4$ kpc (Sollerman et al. 2003; Rosado et al. 1996, respectively); hereafter, we adopt $d = 2.5$ kpc. This value is also consistent with the distance to a group of OB stars found in the region and possibly related to the progenitor system (Westerlund 1969). The entire extent of the SNR has
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Figure 1. Top left panel: radio image of the SNR RCW 86 from observations with the Molonglo Synthesis Telescope at 843 MHz, with square root intensity scale and spanning from 0 to 0.2 Jy beam$^{-1}$ (Whiteoak & Green 1996). The morphology of RCW 86 is clearly shell-type, yet it does not appear to be spherical and suggests that the shock has interacted with regions of different density in different areas. The white square indicates the region observed with Chandra and discussed in this paper. Top right panel: exposure-corrected image of the NW of RCW 86 in the 0.5–7.0 keV energy range, with pixel size 0.492, smoothed with a Gaussian with kernel $\sigma = 3$ pixels, and using a logarithmic intensity scale. The X-ray emission defines a main emission rim much more clearly than the radio emission in this region, and two small arcs are observed $\sim 5'$ north of its position. Overlaid in white are the nine regions used for spectral analysis as well as the background region selected.

Bottom left panel: radio image of the NW rim of RCW 86 from observations with the Australian Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) at a frequency of 1.34 GHz, with beam size 8''. Bottom right panel: an image of the Chandra data in the 0.5–7.0 keV energy range, convolved with a Gaussian kernel 8'' in radius in order to match the beam size of the ATCA image shown in the bottom left panel. The field of view shown matches precisely that of the bottom left panel.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

been covered by ASCA and XMM-Newton observations, which reveal both thermal and non-thermal emission (Bamba et al. 2000; Borkowski et al. 2001; Vink et al. 2006). TeV $\gamma$-ray emission has recently been detected in the direction of the SNR with the HESS Cerenkov Telescope (Aharonian et al. 2009), and the extension of this emission corresponds well to that observed in other energy bands. Hence, it is clear, both from the non-thermal X-ray emission observations and the $\gamma$-ray detections, that RCW 86 accelerates particles up to CR energies.

Chandra observations taken along the NE and SW rims of RCW 86 resolved the spatial distribution of the thermal and non-thermal emission regions (Rho et al. 2002). In typical shell-type SNRs, such as Tycho, Cas A, and SN 1006, non-thermal X-ray emission is observed as thin filamentary structures close to the forward shock. The Chandra study of the NE rim of RCW 86 shows that the X-ray emission is indeed dominated by non-thermal emission located at the blast wave (Vink et al. 2006). In contrast, the non-thermal emission to the SW in RCW 86 is much broader and it is not confined to the blast wave region (Rho et al. 2002). Previous studies of the NW region with XMM-Newton and Suzaku have revealed it to be a highly complex area spectrally and morphologically (Williams et al. 2011; Yamaguchi et al. 2011, respectively). These analyses found that the X-ray bright rim and some diffuse material ahead of it show signatures of both ejecta and non-thermal emission.
In this work, we map and characterize the synchrotron emitting material in the NW of RCW 86, and hence constrain the post-shock magnetic field and the shape of the non-thermal emission spectra in this region. For this study we use a 95 ks observation with the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) on board the Chandra X-Ray Observatory. We take advantage of the fine spatial resolution of Chandra to obtain emission profiles across three different non-thermal rims in the region and derive the minimum magnetic field magnitudes for such filament widths. Additionally, we model spectra from several different regions, filamentary and diffuse alike, where emission appears to be dominated by synchrotron radiation. In Section 2, we describe the observational data and how they have been analyzed to obtain emission profiles and spectra, and we discuss models used to fit both. Finally, in Section 3, we discuss how the observations are interpreted to derive magnetic field magnitudes and to constrain the shape of the accelerated electron distributions.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

The northwestern (NW) rim of RCW 86 was observed with Chandra ACIS-I for 95 ks on 2013 February 3 and 11 (ObsIDs 14890, 15608, and 15609) in the timed exposure VFAINT mode. All data analyses were performed using the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (ciao) software package version 4.5 (Fruscione et al. 2006).

In Figure 1 (top right), we show an exposure-corrected image of the NW of RCW 86 in the 0.5–7.0 keV band, obtained using the ciao merge_obs script. The X-ray picture shows a bright rim of emission (including region D), presumably due to limb brightening at the forward shock of the SNR, as well as two thin arcs ahead of it (regions A and C) and faint diffuse emission behind and ahead of the shock (regions B, E, F, G, H, and I). In order to perform a like-to-like comparison, we contrasted the Australian Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) 1.38 GHz radio image obtained by Dickel et al. (2001), with the X-ray image convolved with a Gaussian kernel of size 8″, which has comparable resolution. These images of the NW region are shown in Figure 2, and they are notably different since the X-ray emission is concentrated in thin arcs and a main rim (MR) is clearly visible, while the radio picture is much more diffuse and extended. Similar differences were found by Long et al. (2003) in the X-ray and radio morphologies of SN1006.

The two-color image shown in Figure 2 (left) was created by combining the soft X-ray band (0.3–0.75 keV, in magenta) and the hard band (1.5–7 keV, in blue). The thin arcs ahead of the shock appear to be dominated by hard X-rays, as is the eastern part of the MR. In Figure 2 (right), we show a two-color optical image of this region (SII in red, Hα in cyan), created using observations with the 0.9 m Curtis/Schmidt telescope at the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO) by Smith (1997). The stars in the frame have been removed and the image was median filtered over 9″. The entire MR is bright in Hα emission, and there is evidence of some diffuse emission in the region as well. Since the hard X-ray radiation corresponds well with the Balmer-dominated emission (regions with bright Hα and no SII), it appears clear that the main (hard X-ray) rim is associated with a non-radiative shock. Using Balmer-line spectra, Ghavamian (1999) derives a shock velocity of $V_s = 650 \pm 120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in this region. The thin hard X-ray arcs ahead of the shock, however, are not detected in the optical observations.

To constrain the characteristics of the non-thermal emitting material in the NW of RCW 86, we extracted spectra from nine different regions, which are shown in white in Figure 1 (top right). Regions where thermal X-ray emission dominates will be discussed in a follow up paper. The spectra (shown in Figure 3) and corresponding weighted response files were obtained using the ciao speceXtract script. These spectra were fit using the SHERPA modeling and fitting software package (Doe et al. 2007). We employed the chi2xspecvar statistic, which uses $\chi^2$ with variance computed from data amplitudes in deriving the best-fit parameters. In all regions, the model that best describes the spectral characteristics is an absorbed non-thermal component combined with a non-equilibrium ionization collisional plasma, nevi6 (Borkowski et al. 2001). We adopt the Tuebingen–Boulder ISM absorption model (Wilms et al. 2000), -- NEVIRS 2.0
and fix the absorbing column density to $N_H = 0.6 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, which is the value obtained for a fit to all spectra combined. The abundances are fixed at solar values, which are taken from those in Wilms et al. (2000). The non-thermal component is modeled using two different prescriptions, *powlaw1d* and *srcut* (Reynolds & Keohane 1999). While *powlaw1d* describes a power-law photon distribution in X-ray energies, *srcut* models the synchrotron emission from an exponentially cut-off power-law electron distribution in a homogeneous magnetic field, which is in itself an exponentially cut-off power law. The radio spectral index (at 1 GHz) for *srcut* is fixed at the value $\alpha = 0.56$, as derived from radio observations (Green 2009). Since the spectra are dominated by the non-thermal component, we set the electron temperature and ionization timescale of the *nei* component to the values obtained for the brightest thermal emission region (the eastern section of the MR—region D), $kT_e = 0.56$ keV and $n_e t = 10^{10}$ s cm$^{-3}$, respectively. An additional component, a Gaussian centered on 6.45 keV energy, was added to account for the Fe-K$\alpha$ emission detected in this region with *XMM-Newton* and *Suzaku* (Williams et al. 2011; Yamaguchi et al. 2011, respectively). This Fe-K$\alpha$ emission is believed to be evidence of reverse shocked iron-rich ejecta located in unresolved clumps in this region. The parameters of the best-fit models for all extracted spectra are shown in Table 1, where the uncertainties quoted are the 1σ confidence limits.

The energy flux in the 2–6 keV range is clearly dominated by non-thermal emission, and only in the MR region (D) does the thermal flux surpass 5% that of the non-thermal component. The cut-off energy of the spectrum (from *srcut*) varies between 0.1 and 1 keV, which is consistent with the overall spectral result from Williams et al. (2011) of $h\nu_{\text{roll-off}} \approx 0.3$ keV. The value of $h\nu_{\text{roll-off}}$ depends strongly on the radio spectral index, and since there are no spatially resolved radio spectral studies of the region, the results of the fitting process should only be regarded as an approximate estimate. The power-law indices obtained using *powlaw1d* span values between 2.4 and 3.1. There are no clear differences in $\Gamma$ between the regions enclosing diffuse emission (i.e., B, E, F, G, H, and I) and those with rim-like regions features (A, C, and D).

Figure 4 (left panel) shows the exposure-corrected *Chandra* image in the 2–6 keV band. To determine the filament emission widths of the rim-like features, we extracted the background subtracted emission profiles in this energy band, since it is dominated by non-thermal emission, in the three regions shown. The profiles were obtained using strips of four pixels (1′.97) wide and with varying lengths ($\Delta_{\text{NE}} = 120$ pixels, $\Delta_{\text{NW}} = 300$ pixels,
and $\alpha_{\text{MR}} = 200$ pixels), as shown in Figure 4 (right panel). These profiles were fit in SHERPA using a model based on that of Bamba et al. (2003), i.e.,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 
A \exp \left( -\frac{x - x_0}{\Delta_{\text{up}}} \right) & x > x_0 \\
A \exp \left( -\frac{x - x_0}{\Delta_{\text{down}}} \right) & x < x_0,
\end{cases}$$

(1)

where $A$ and $x_0$ are the flux and position at the emission peak, and $\Delta_{\text{up}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{down}}$ are the characteristic scales of the emission profile in the upstream and downstream regions, respectively. The results of the fits are included in Table 2, and the interpretation of these is described in Section 3. The filament widths derived for the northeastern (NE), NW, and the subsection of the MR studied are $14'' \pm 2''$, $10'' \pm 2''$, and $22'' \pm 2''$, respectively. Comparing these results with those of the corresponding spectral extraction regions (A, C, and D), there appears to be no correlation between filament width and spectral shape, since the power-law indices derived are $2.65 \pm 0.06$, $2.9 \pm 0.1$, and $2.78 \pm 0.06$ (see Table 1). The profile of the NW arc, shown in the right panel of Figure 4, includes an additional feature at angular distance $r \approx 20''$, which corresponds to a point source that is not believed to be a part of the SNR (identified as PS-NW). The best-fit Gaussian model fit to the profile of this point source was found to have FWHM of $4.1 \pm 0.6$, and is shown as a dotted line. This additional source is further discussed in Section 2.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

### Table 1

| Region | SRCUT | $h\text{Fid-off}$ (keV) | $F_{\text{cut}}^a$ ($10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $F_{\text{int}}^a$ ($10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $\chi^2$/dof |
|--------|-------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| A      | 0.37$^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$ | 1.93  | 0.004 | 108.2/106 | 2.65$^{0.06}_{-0.06}$ | 1.92 | 0.003 | 110.3/106 |
| B      | 0.12$^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$ | 0.68  | 0.023 | 57.4/55  | 3.1$^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ | 0.71 | 0.021 | 58.6/55  |
| C      | 0.17$^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$ | 0.54  | 0.003 | 47.1/54  | 2.9$^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ | 0.56 | 0.003 | 47.1/54  |
| D      | 0.28$^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ | 2.39  | 0.147 | 190.8/166| 2.78$^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$ | 2.44 | 0.144 | 190.5/166|
| E      | 0.6$^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$   | 0.72  | 0.026 | 63.8/53  | 2.5$^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ | 0.74 | 0.025 | 64.3/53  |
| F      | 0.46$^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$ | 3.32  | 0.080 | 173.9/162| 2.59$^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$ | 3.40 | 0.077 | 171.5/162|
| G      | 0.32$^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$ | 3.03  | 0.016 | 167.7/143| 2.68$^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ | 3.05 | 0.012 | 167.8/143|
| H      | 0.8$^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$   | 1.76  | 0.018 | 134.9/87 | 2.43$^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$ | 1.76 | 0.016 | 131.1/87 |
| I      | 0.27$^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$ | 4.03  | 0.052 | 149.2/125| 2.75$^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$ | 4.14 | 0.047 | 150.4/125|

Notes. Absorption model and solar abundance values obtained from Wilms et al. (2000). The absorbing column density is set to $N_\text{H} = 6.0 \times 10^{22}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$. The electron temperature and ionization timescale of the NeI component were fixed at $kT_e = 0.56$ keV and $n_e t = 10^{10}$ s cm$^{-3}$, respectively.

*a* Unabsorbed fluxes in the 2–6 keV energy range.

Figure 4. Left panel: exposure-corrected image in the 2.0–6.0 keV energy range, with pixel size 0.492, smoothed with a Gaussian with kernel $\sigma = 10$ pixels, and using a square root intensity scale. The regions used for extracting emission profiles are overlaid as the white boxes. NE and NW indicate the northeastern and northwestern arcs, and MR shows the area of the main rim dominated by non-thermal emission. Right panel: emission profiles extracted from the three prominent synchrotron filaments in the NW region of RCW 86. The filament widths obtained modeling the profiles using Equation (1) are presented in Table 2. The profile of the NW arc shows an additional feature at angular distance $r \approx 20''$, which corresponds to a point source not believed to be a part of the SNR (identified as PS-NW). The best-fit Gaussian model fit to the profile of this point source was found to have FWHM of $4.1 \pm 0.6$, and is shown as a dotted line. This additional source is further discussed in Section 2.
the NE arc and MR regions used in the profile analysis, 9 et al. (2004) predict that the approximate off-axis positions of the instrument at the position of the NW arc. However, Allen
Notes.
Both NE arc 14 Region The Astrophysical Journal
electrons lose energy through synchrotron radiation, τ
observations to the model described in Equation (1)), through a filament scale derived from observations above (i.e., fitting the synchrotron loss time. Combining Equations (2)–(4), for projections of the Balmer-line profile velocity estimate.

(2006).

2006) argue that the magnetic field strength of the post-shock geometry projection effect and a correction for the observed material is expected to be more compressible in reality due to DSA (Castro et al. 2011, and references therein). The inferred magnetic field B_{adv} should therefore have a scaling dependence on the compression ratio as (\chi S/4)^{-3/2}.

As mentioned above, the velocity of the shock at the main NW rim of RCW 86 was estimated to be V_S = 650±120 km s^{-1} using Balmer-line profiles by Ghavamian (1999). Since we have calculated the smaller non-thermal arcs ahead of the MR to be approximately 5° beyond it, using a distance of d = 2.5 kpc, we extrapolate the velocity of the shock at their position to be approximately 810 ± 150 km s^{-1}. Table 2 lists the derived magnetic fields corresponding to the filament widths calculated in Section 2 using Equation (5).

An alternative approach is that proposed by Bamba et al. (2003) and Völk et al. (2005), which assumes that the filament widths downstream and upstream correspond to the diffusion length scales, L_{diff} = D/v_{diff}, where D is the diffusion coefficient and v_{diff} is the bulk flow relative to the shock frame. In the “Bohm limit,” the smallest possible diffusion coefficient for isotropic turbulence is assumed, where the electron mean free path equals the Larmor or gyroradius and hence D = e E_{b} / 3 \pi B (Parizot et al. 2006). One can then derive the upstream and downstream magnetic fields as a function of diffusion lengths and the shock velocity, i.e.,

\begin{equation}
B_{\text{diff},d} \approx (700 \mu G) \left( \frac{l_{\text{diff},d}}{0.01 \text{pc}} \right)^{-2/3} \left( \frac{V_S}{1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{-2/3},
\end{equation}

for the downstream field (using \(l_{\text{down}} = P l_{\text{diff},d}\) and v_{diff,d} = V_S / 4), and

\begin{equation}
B_{\text{diff},a} \approx (280 \mu G) \left( \frac{l_{\text{diff},a}}{0.01 \text{pc}} \right)^{-2/3} \left( \frac{V_S}{1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{-2/3},
\end{equation}

for the upstream region, using (using \(l_{\text{up}} = P l_{\text{diff},a}\) and v_{diff,a} = V_S). The values derived from the observations of the NW region of RCW 86 are estimated to lie between 300 and 400 \mu G, and are also included in Table 2. While in other cases the two different methods yield similar estimates of the magnetic field (e.g., Vink 2006; Ballet 2006), Vink et al. (2006) found the values derived for the NE of RCW 86 to be inconsistent. This is also the case for the magnetic field estimates gathered in this work. It is very likely that this discrepancy arises from the shock velocity at these filaments being much higher than the

| Region     | \(l_{\text{down}}\) (arcsec) | \(l_{\text{up}}\) (arcsec) | \(\chi^2/(\text{dof})\) | \(l_{\text{down}}\) (pc) | \(l_{\text{up}}\) (pc) | \(V_4\) (km s^{-1}) | \(B_{\text{adv}}\) (\mu G) | \(B_{\text{diff},d}\) (\mu G) | \(B_{\text{diff},a}\) (\mu G) | \(B_{\text{pion}}\) (\mu G) |
|------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| NE arc     | 14 ± 2                        | 10 ± 3                     | 60 / (59)             | 0.17 ± 0.03            | 0.13 ± 0.04          | 810 ± 150              | 27                     | 300                    | 140                    | 110                    |
| NW arc     | 10 ± 2                        | 3 ± 1                      | 94 / (74)             | 0.12 ± 0.02            | 0.03 ± 0.02          | 810 ± 150              | 33                     | 370                    | 360                    | 140                    |
| Main rim   | 22 ± 2                        | 5 ± 1                      | 75 / (65)             | 0.27 ± 0.03            | 0.06 ± 0.01          | 650 ± 120              | 17                     | 250                    | 280                    | 80                     |

Notes. Both \(l_{\text{down}}\) and \(l_{\text{up}}\) are converted to parsecs using the assumed distance, d = 2.5 keV.

\(^{a}\) Shock velocity derived from Balmer-line profile (Ghavamian 1999) for the main rim region. The shock velocities quoted for the arcs ahead of the rim are linear projections of the Balmer-line profile velocity estimate.

\(^{b}\) Derived using the advection length method, using \(l_{\text{down}} = P l_{\text{adv}}\), where P = 3.7 is the projection factor, and Equation (5).

\(^{c}\) Estimates of the magnetic field obtained through the diffusion length method, using \(l_{\text{down}} = P l_{\text{diff},d}\) and \(l_{\text{up}} = P l_{\text{diff},a}\), where P = 3.7 is the projection factor, and Equations (6) and (7).

\(^{d}\) Obtained using the \(l_{\text{adv}} \approx l_{\text{adv,d}}\) condition (Equation (8)).

3. DISCUSSION

Several authors (e.g., Vink & Laming 2003; Parizot et al. 2006) argue that the magnetic field strength of the post-shock region is closely linked to the width of X-ray synchrotron filaments in SNRs. Vink & Laming (2003) propose that the width of the X-ray synchrotron-emitting filaments results from a combination of the velocity at which electrons are advected downstream from the shock, v_{adv}, and the timescale on which electrons lose energy through synchrotron radiation, \(\tau_{\text{syn}}\). If one neglects electron diffusion, the stationary version of the transport equation at a parallel shock is \(\nu_{\text{adv}}(\partial f / \partial x) = -f / \tau_{\text{syn}}\), with solution \(f \propto \exp(-x / \nu_{\text{adv}} \tau_{\text{syn}})\) (Völk et al. 1981). The size of the advection region then is

\begin{equation}
l_{\text{adv}} = \nu_{\text{adv}} \tau_{\text{syn}},
\end{equation}

which can be connected with the downstream characteristic filament scale derived from observations above (i.e., fitting observations to the model described in Equation (1)), through a factor P so that \(l_{\text{down}} = P l_{\text{adv}}\). This factor accounts for the shell geometry projection effect and a correction for the observed width resulting from a convolution of electron advection and diffusion. We have adopted P = 3.7, as estimated by Vink et al. (2006).

Electrons of energy \(E_e\) (in TeV) propagating through a magnetic field with strength \(B\) (in \(\mu G\)) will emit synchrotron radiation with a characteristic photon energy peak at

\[ h\nu_{\text{peak}} = \frac{B_{100} E_e^2}{520} \text{ keV}, \]

where \(B_{100} \equiv B / 100\) (Pacholczyk 1970). Once accelerated, the electron will radiate at energy \(h\nu_{\text{peak}}\) for a time

\[ \tau_{\text{syn}} = (1250 \text{ yr}) E_e^{-1} B_{100}^{-2}. \]

the synchrotron loss time. Combining Equations (2)–(4), for peak photon energies \(h\nu_{\text{peak}}\) close to those of the logarithmic average of the observations in this work, 3.5 keV, the magnetic field is

\[ B_{\text{adv}} \approx (83 \ \mu G) \left( \frac{l_{\text{adv}}}{0.01 \text{ pc}} \right)^{-2/3} \left( \frac{V_S}{1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{2/3}, \]

using \(\nu_{\text{adv}} = V_S / \chi S\), where \(\chi S\) is the shock compression ratio. We have adopted \(\chi S = 4\), which is that expected for a strong shock unmodified by particle acceleration, although the material is expected to be more compressible in reality due to DSA (Castro et al. 2011, and references therein). The inferred magnetic field \(B_{\text{adv}}\) should therefore have a scaling dependence on the compression ratio as \((\chi S/4)^{-3/2}\).
value derived through Balmer filament profiles. If one were to assume that the shock is in its adiabatic evolution phase (Castro et al. 2011), and use the estimated distance $d = 2.5$ kpc and age $t = 1830$ yr, the derived expansion velocity at the position of these filaments would be $\sim 3000–4000$ km s$^{-1}$, which would yield more consistent results between the two methods. We note that while the nonthermal X-ray emission observed along the main X-ray rim shown in Figure 1 (lower left) falls along a region of Balmer emission (Figure 1, lower right), the optical emission actually consists of multiple filaments. It is thus possible that the X-ray emission is not actually physically co-spatial with the Balmer filaments. Indeed, simulations show that the magnetic field amplification expected to accompany efficient particle acceleration results in a very high thermal energy density, making the survival of neutrals directly in the acceleration region unlikely (Reville & Bell 2013).

A third method to connect filament width with magnetic field is based on using the condition where the advection length where the compression ratio has been set to $\chi_S = 4$. Hence, we can estimate, taking the peak photon energy derived from the spectra (and shown in Table 1) $h\nu_{\text{peak}} \approx 0.1–1.0$ keV, that the shock velocity in the region is approximately $800–2700$ km s$^{-1}$. Furthermore, a rough estimated range for the maximum electron energy between 10 and 20 TeV can be obtained by combining Equation (3) with the estimates for the cut-off energy (0.1–1.0 keV) from the spectral fits and the values for $B_{\text{point}}$ shown in Table 2.

The assumption that the arc features found ahead of the main shock are physically in the same plane as the brighter rim is an oversimplification, and the shock velocities derived are hence very conservative lower limits. It appears clear that the estimates of the synchrotron filament widths obtained in this work indicate higher shock velocities for these non-thermal regions than those derived for the Balmer filaments. It is possible that the shock velocity obtained through Balmer-line profiles is an underestimate due to shock modification resulting from the particle acceleration process itself (e.g., Castro et al. 2011).

Using Chandra observations in the direction of the SW of RCW 86, Bamba et al. (2005) derived filament widths in the range $17^{–24}$ and power-law indices $2.2–2.8$. In turn, Vink et al. (2006) found the rim in the NE of RCW 86 to be approximately $100^\prime$ in width, and the spectral index was estimated to be $\Gamma \approx 2.9$ by Helder et al. (2009). The results for the NW region of RCW 86 presented in this paper (roughly $l \approx 10^{–24}$ and $\Gamma \approx 2.6–3.0$) are most similar to those of the SW region. No clear correlations can be established between the filament widths and spectral characteristics yet. However, a consistent study of the data from the different non-thermal X-ray emitting regions in the SNR (NE, SW, and NW), as well as a fuller understanding of their respective environments, will likely allow us to draw more definite conclusions.

The magnitudes of the magnetic fields estimated in similar studies of SNRs Cas A, Kepler, Tycho, and SN 1006 lie in the range 30–300 $\mu$G (Vink 2006), and the magnetic field strengths derived in this work are approximately within that range. However, the nature of RCW 86 appears to be somewhat different than that of these other X-ray synchrotron emitting sources, since the overall morphology of its non-thermal X-ray emission is much more irregular. Williams et al. (2011) argue that the shock of RCW 86 propagated through a low density bubble and has only recently started interacting asymmetrically with a denser shell of material from a late-phase progenitor wind, giving rise to its peculiar shape. In addition to its morphological differences, the shock velocities estimated for Cas A, Kepler, Tycho, and SN 1006 are in the $3000–5400$ km s$^{-1}$ range. In contrast, the shock velocities obtained through optical studies of the northern, NW, eastern, and southwestern regions of RCW 86 are much smaller, varying between 300 and $900$ km s$^{-1}$ (Ghavamian 1999). As we argued before, it is likely that the velocities of the synchrotron emitting shocks in RCW 86 are higher than those inferred from Balmer-line observations, and recent proper motion studies based on optical observations of the E rim of RCW 86 estimate the shock velocity in that region to vary between 700 and $2200$ km s$^{-1}$ (Helder et al. 2013). In any case, it is safe to conclude that RCW 86 stands as a peculiar example in the class of SNRs with X-ray synchrotron filaments due to its irregular morphology and low shock velocities.

The authors thank Parviz Ghavamian for some important discussions and insight. Also, they thank John Dickel for providing ATCA data. D.C. acknowledges support for this work provided by the Chandra GO grant G03-14080 as well as the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory contract SV3-73016 to MIT for Support of the Chandra X-Ray Center, which is operated by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory for and on behalf of the National Aeronautics Space Administration under contract NAS8-03060. P.C. acknowledges support from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under contract NAS8-03060.

REFERENCES
Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A. G., Bazer-Bachi, A. R., et al. 2005, A&A, 437, L7
Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A. G., de Almeida, U. B., et al. 2009, ApJ, 692, 1500
