SEROEPIDEMIOLOGY OF INFECTION WITH NEOSPORA CANINUM, LEPTOSPIRA, AND BOVINE HERPESVIRUS TYPE 1 IN WATER BUFFALOES (BUBALUS BUBALIS) IN VERACRUZ, MEXICO
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We aimed to determine the seroprevalence of infection with Neospora caninum, Leptospira, and bovine herpesvirus type 1 and risk factors associated with these infections in water buffaloes in Veracruz State, Mexico. Through a cross-sectional study, 144 water buffaloes (Bubalus bubalis) raised in 5 ranches of Veracruz were examined for anti-Neospora caninum and anti-bovine herpesvirus type 1 antibodies by enzyme immunoassays, and anti-Leptospira antibodies by microscopic agglutination test.

Of the 144 buffaloes studied, 35 (24.3%) were positive for Neospora caninum, 50 (34.7%) for Leptospira, and 83 (57.6%) for bovine herpesvirus. The frequencies of leptospiral serovars in buffaloes were as follows: 18.7% for Muenchen (n = 27), 10.4% for Hardjo LT (n = 15), 9.0% for Pyrogenes (n = 13), and 4.8% for Icterohaemorrhagiae (n = 7). Seropositive buffaloes were found in all 5 ranches studied. Logistic regression showed that cohabitation of buffaloes with cows was associated with infection with Leptospira (odds ratio [OR], 2.2; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.04–4.5; \( P = 0.03 \)) and bovine herpesvirus (OR, 12.0; 95% CI, 4.0–36.2; \( P < 0.01 \)).

This is the first study that provides serological evidence of Neospora caninum, Leptospira, and bovine herpesvirus type 1 infections in water buffaloes in Mexico. Our findings could be used to enhance preventive measures against these infections.
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Introduction

Neospora caninum (N. caninum), Leptospira, and bovine herpesvirus type 1 are important infectious pathogens that lead to bovine abortion, neonatal mortality, and birth of weak calves [1]. The protozoan Neospora caninum is an obligate intracellular parasite in the Apicomplexa phylum that causes Neosporosis [2, 3]. Neosporosis affects domestic and wild animals including the water buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) [4] and is recognized as an important cause of abortions and neonatal deaths among populations of susceptible species around the world [2, 5]. Tissue cysts of
N. caninum have been found in aborted fetuses of water buffaloes with encephalitis and myocarditis [6]. Neosporosis in water buffaloes has economic importance in several countries including Brazil, India, Italy, and Vietnam [3]. Seroprevalence of N. caninum in water buffaloes varies among countries; for instance, 34.6% in southern Italy by the indirect fluorescence antibody test (IFAT) [6], 64% and 53% in female water buffaloes in Sao Paulo State, Brazil by IFAT and Neospora agglutination test, respectively [7], and 64% in northeast Argentina by IFAT [8].

Bacteria of the genus Leptospira cause leptospirosis, which is a zoonotic disease of global importance [9, 10]. Infection with Leptospira occurs in more than 160 species of domestic and wild animals [11]. Serological evidence of Leptospira infection has been reported in water buffaloes [12, 13], especially in those 3 to 5 years old [14]. Diverse seroprevalence rates of Leptospira infection in water buffaloes obtained by microscopic agglutination test (MAT) among countries have been reported, e.g., 22% in northeast Argentina [15], 29% in Egypt [16], 30.5% in Thailand [17], and 48% in Philippines [18].

Bovine herpesvirus type 1 is a pathogen that causes infectious bovine rhinotracheitis, abortion, infectious pustular vulvovaginitis, balanoposthitis, and neurological and systemic disease in cattle [19]. Water buffaloes are susceptible to this virus [20, 21]. Seroprevalence of bovine herpesvirus type 1 in water buffaloes obtained by micro-serum neutralization test varied from 14.7% in Brazil [22] to 85% in India [23].

Water buffalo raising is gaining popularity in Mexico as an alternative livestock production system [24]. In the Mexican state of Veracruz, water buffalo and cattle can share the agroecosystem [25, 26]. Preliminary findings highlighted the risk for infection with N. caninum, Leptospira, and bovine herpesvirus type 1 in water buffaloes in Mexico [24]. Therefore, this study aimed to: (1) determine the seroprevalence of infection with N. caninum, Leptospira, and bovine herpesvirus type 1 in water buffaloes (Bubalus bubalis) in Veracruz State, Mexico and (2) determine the risk factors associated with these infections in the water buffaloes studied.

Materials and methods

Study design and place of the study

A cross-sectional study was performed in five ranches, also known as bovine production units (BPU), where water buffaloes (Bubalus bubalis) were raised and located in the municipalities of Isla, Juan Rodríguez Clara y Sayula de Alemán in the south of Veracruz State, México, from August 2012 to July 2014. The Isla municipality (18° 01′ 45″ N; 95° 31′ 35″ W) has an altitude of 70 m above sea level, a warm climate, an average annual temperature of 29.4 °C, and an annual precipitation of 2316 mm. The Juan Rodríguez Clara municipality (17° 59′ 35″ N; 95° 24′ 06″ W) has an altitude of 130 meters above sea level, a warm climate, an average annual temperature of 25.0 °C, and a mean annual precipitation of 1266 mm. The Sayula de Alemán municipality (17° 52′ 50″ N; 94° 57′ 34″ W) has an altitude of 80 m above sea level, a warm climate, an average annual temperature of 27.0 °C, and a mean annual precipitation of 1650 mm.

Buffaloes studied and sampling

One hundred and forty-four buffaloes (21 males and 123 females) were studied. All water buffaloes were raised under an extensive system. A convenience sampling was used in this study. Blood (10 ml) was drawn from buffaloes by direct jugular venipuncture. Sera samples obtained by blood centrifugation were kept frozen at −20 °C until tested. Data about ranches and buffaloes were obtained with the aid of a questionnaire. Information gathered included ranch identification, number of animals, handling of placentas and fetuses, presence of dogs, type of feeding, cohabitation with cows, and water supply. Additionally, data about age, weight, type of reproductive stage (bull calves, studs, heifers, female buffaloes), and reproductive history (abortions, deliveries) of buffaloes were obtained.

Laboratory tests

Anti-N. caninum IgG antibodies were detected by a commercially available enzyme immunoassay (EIA) “IDEXX Neospora Ab test” (IDEXX® Laboratories, Westbrook, USA). This test has a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 98.9%. Anti-Leptospira interrogans antibodies were detected by MAT. A panel of nine leptospiral serovars was used: Canicola, Hardjo, Icterohaemorrhagiae, Pomona, Pyrogenes, Autumnalis, Ballum, Wolff, and Muenchen. Anti-bovine herpesvirus type 1 antibodies were detected by a commercially available EIA (HerdChek IBRgB, IDEXX® Laboratories). This test has a sensitivity of 97.4% and a specificity of 92.4%.

Statistical analysis

Results were analyzed with the aid of the software STATA version 11.0. Seroprevalence of each infection was calculated. The association of infections with general data of ranches and buffaloes was analyzed by X² and by logistic regression. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were also calculated. P values of <0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Ethics statement
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y Zootecnia) of the State University of Veracruz (Universidad Veracruzana). Consent for the field research was obtained from the water buffalo ranchers.

Results and discussion

Of the 144 buffaloes studied, 35 (24.3%; 95% CI, 17.7–32.2) were positive to anti-*N. caninum* antibodies. Buffaloes seropositive for *N. caninum* were found in all 5 BPU studied. Table 1 shows the seroprevalences of *N. caninum*, *Leptospira*, and bovine herpesvirus type 1 and how they correlated with the buffalo BPU. The seroprevalence of *N. caninum* found in the present study is higher than those reported in other countries using EIA. For instance, Huong et al. reported a 1.5% seroprevalence in water buffaloes in southern Vietnam [27], whereas other researchers found no cases of *N. caninum* infection in the herds studied in China [28] or in the Peruvian Amazon [29]. Flores et al. found a seroprevalence of 14.6% in water buffaloes in Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil [30]. In the present study, the higher seroprevalences of *N. caninum* infection were observed in buffaloes aged less than 1 year (40%; 95% CI, 13.7–72.6) and in those aged ≥7 years (41%; 95% CI, 21.5–63.3). Table 2 shows the seroprevalences of infections and their correlation with age groups of buffaloes. The seroprevalence of *N. caninum* infections in water buffaloes increased with age [8, 31]. The high seroprevalence of *N. caninum* infection in the oldest buffaloes found in our study is consistent with finding of other reports, e.g., in an Italian study, Guarino et al. found a higher (43.1%) seroprevalence of *N. caninum* infection in water buffaloes aged >6 years than in those 1–2 years (24.5%) [6]. Concerning the reproductive stage of buffaloes, female buffaloes with 1 delivery had the highest seroprevalence of *N. caninum* infection (33.3%; 95% CI, 18.6–52.0). The correlation of seroprevalence of infections and reproductive stage of buffaloes is shown in Table 3. Seropositive buffaloes were found in all reproductive stages of buffaloes. The high seroprevalence of *N. caninum* in female buffaloes with 1 delivery might be due to an old age of these animals. The older the animals, the longer the time for a likely expose to *N. caninum* [3]. In our study, none of the characteristics of the ranches examined including ranch, number of animals, handling of placentas and fetuses, presence of dogs, type of feeding, cohabitation of cows, and water supply, or characteristics of the water buffaloes examined including age, weight, reproductive stage, and reproductive history were associated with *N. caninum* infection by logistic regression. Coyotes and dogs were present in the ranches examined; however, their presence was not associated with *N. caninum* infection. Therefore, other infection routes may have contributed to infection in the water buffaloes, e.g., trans-

| BPU tested | No. of buffaloes | Positive to anti-*N. caninum* | % | Positive to anti-*Leptospira* | % | Positive to anti-BHV* | % |
|------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| 1          | 7                | 1                           | 14.3 | 5                           | 71.4 | 6                     | 85.7 |
| 2          | 56               | 11                          | 19.6 | 16                          | 28.6 | 26                    | 46.6 |
| 3          | 42               | 10                          | 23.9 | 20                          | 47.6 | 38                    | 90.5 |
| 4          | 5                | 2                           | 40.0 | 1                           | 20.0 | 2                     | 40.0 |
| 5          | 34               | 11                          | 32.4 | 8                           | 23.6 | 11                    | 32.4 |
| Total      | 144              | 35                          | 24.3 | 50                          | 34.7 | 83                    | 57.6 |

*Bovine herpesvirus type 1

| Age (years) tested | No. of buffaloes | Positive to anti-*N. caninum* | % | Positive to anti-*Leptospira* | % | Positive to anti-BHV* | % |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| <1                 | 10               | 4                           | 40  | 1                           | 10  | 6                     | 60  |
| 1–2                | 45               | 8                           | 17.8 | 8                           | 17.8 | 9                     | 20  |
| 3–4                | 42               | 10                          | 23.8 | 17                          | 40.5 | 24                    | 57.1 |
| 5–6                | 25               | 4                           | 16   | 13                          | 52   | 23                    | 92  |
| >7                 | 22               | 9                           | 41   | 11                          | 50   | 21                    | 95.5 |

*Bovine herpesvirus type 1
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placental infection as reported by other researchers [3, 32].

With respect to *Leptospira* infection, 50 of the 144 water buffaloes examined had antibody titers of >1:100. Thus, the seroprevalence of *Leptospira* infection in the water buffaloes studied was 34.7% (95% CI, 27.1–43.2). Seroprevalence of *Leptospira* infection varied among the buffalo BPU (Table 1). The frequencies of leptospiral serovars in the 144 water buffaloes examined were as follows: Muenchen in 27 (18.7%; 95% CI, 13.1–26.3), Hardjo LT in 15 (10.4%; 95% CI, 6.1–16.9), Pyrogenes in 13 (9.0%; 95% CI, 5.1–15.2), and Icterohaemorrhagiae in 7 (4.8%; 95% CI, 2.1–10.1). We compared those seroprevalence results with data reported elsewhere using the same MAT. The seroprevalence of *Leptospira* infection found in water buffaloes in the present study is lower than the 67.2% reported in water buffaloes in Italy [33]. The leptospiral serovars found in the present study also differ from those reported in the Italian study where researchers found that serovars Sejroe, Hardjo bovis, and Icterohaemorrhagiae were predominant. In contrast, the seroprevalence found in water buffaloes in Mexico is higher than the 17.0% seroprevalence of *Leptospira* infection in water buffaloes in India [12]. The leptospiral serovars reported in the Indian study also differ from the ones found in the present study. The leptospiral serovars Hardjo and Andaman were predominant in the Indian study. On the other hand, our seroprevalence is similar to the 33.3% seroprevalence of *Leptospira* infection reported in water buffaloes in the sub-Himalayan Kumaon region [14]. In the present study, the seroprevalence of *Leptospira* infection increased with age in the water buffaloes. This observation agrees with findings reported by other researchers [14, 34].

Table 2 shows a correlation of *Leptospira* seropositivity and buffalo age groups. With respect to reproduction stage, we observed that female buffaloes with ≥2 deliveries had the highest seroprevalence of *Leptospira* infection (50%; 95% CI, 35.5–64.5) (Table 3). This finding might be due to increased age in these animals. Logistic regression showed that *Leptospira* infection was associated with cohabitation of water buffaloes with female bovines (OR, 2.2; 95% CI, 1.04–4.5; *P* = 0.03). Other characteristics of the ranches examined including location, number of animals, handling of placenta and fetuses, presence of dogs, type of feeding, and water supply, or characteristics of the water buffaloes examined including age, weight, reproductive stage, and reproductive history were not associated with *Leptospira* infection by logistic regression. The leptospiral serovars Muenchen and Icterohaemorrhagiae have been associated with infection in rats, and the serovar Hardjo with cattle [34]. Our findings highlight the importance of contact with cattle for *Leptospira* transmission and suggest the likely contributing role of rats for *Leptospira* transmission in the water buffaloes examined.

Concerning infection with the bovine herpesvirus type 1, we found a seroprevalence of 57.6% (95% CI, 49.1–65.7). This infection was more frequent than *N. caninum* and *Leptospira* infections in the water buffaloes studied. Infection with bovine herpes virus was found in all the buffalo BPU studied (Table 1). In a study in India, researchers found a 13.5% seroprevalence of this infection in buffalo bulls [35]. In the present study, stratification by age showed that water buffaloes ≥7 years old had the highest seroprevalence of bovine herpesvirus infection (95.5%; 95% CI, 75.1–99.8) (Table 2). This finding is in line with an increase of bovine herpes virus prevalence with age [36]. As to reproductive stage, the highest seroprevalence was found in studs (100%; 95% CI, 60–100) and female buffaloes with ≥2 deliveries (93%; 95% CI, 79.5–98.1) (Table 3). This is likely due to the increased age of these animals. Logistic regression showed that bovine herpesvirus infection was associated with cohabitation of water buffaloes with female bovines (OR, 12.0; 95% CI, 4.0–36.2; *P* < 0.01). Other characteristics of the ranches and water buffaloes examined were not associated with bovine herpesvirus infection by logistic regression. All studs examined were seropositive to bovine herpesvirus, and sexual transmission might have contributed to infection in female buffaloes. However, secretions of the virus in nose but not in vagina have been demonstrated in experimental infections in buffaloes [37]. Therefore, infection acquired by contact with nasal secretion may be more efficient for virus transmission than the sexual route. Cohabitation with cattle has been reported as a transmission route for bovine herpesvirus infection in water buffaloes [36]. This route of transmission also predisposed buffaloes in Veracruz, Mexico to infection with bovine herpesvirus infection.
Conclusions

This was the first seroepidemiological study on N. caninum, Leptospira, and bovine herpesvirus type 1 infection in water buffaloes in Mexico. Results provided serological evidence of these infections in water buffaloes in the southern Mexican state of Veracruz. Serovars of Leptospira were determined. Cohabitation with cattle was an important factor for the transmission of Leptospira and bovine herpesvirus in water buffaloes.
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