High CCR5 Density on Central Memory CD4+ T Cells in Acute HIV-1 Infection Is Mostly Associated with Rapid Disease Progression

Xue Yang*, Yan-mei Jiao*, Rui Wang, Yun-xia Ji, Hong-wei Zhang, Yong-hong Zhang, De-xi Chen, Tong Zhang, Hao Wu*
Center for Infectious Diseases, Beijing You’an Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

Abstract

CD4+ central memory T cells play a critical role in the pathogenesis of simian immunodeficiency virus disease, and the CCR5 density on the surface of CD4 T cells is an important factor in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 disease progression. We hypothesized that quantifying central memory cells and CCR5 expression in the early stages of HIV-infection could provide useful prognostic information. We enrolled two different groups of acute HIV-infected subjects. One group progressed to CD4 T cell numbers below 250 cells/μl within 2 years (CD4 Low group), while the other group maintained CD4 cell counts above 450 cells/μl over 2 years (CD4 High group). We compared the CCR5 levels and percentage of CD4 subsets between the two groups during the 1st year of HIV infection. We found no differences between the two groups regarding the percentage of naïve, central memory and effector memory subsets of CD4 cells during the 1st year of HIV-1 infection. CCR5 levels on CD4+ CM subset was higher in the CD4 Low group compared with the CD4 High group during the 1st year of HIV-1 infection. High CCR5 levels on CD4 central memory cells in acute HIV infection are mostly associated with rapid disease progression. Our data suggest that low CCR5 expression on CD4 central memory cells protects CD4 cells from direct virus infection and favors the preservation of CD4+ T cell homeostasis.

Introduction

Early events during human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection are associated with the rate of subsequent disease progression [1,2]. The identification and measurement of biomarkers correlating to disease progression during early infection would be useful for further understanding HIV pathogenesis and highly valuable for identifying individuals most likely to benefit from early therapeutic intervention.

The entry of HIV into a cell is initiated by the interaction between the virus’s surface envelope proteins and two cell surface components of the target cell, namely CD4 and a chemokine coreceptor, usually CCR5 [3,4]. Research shows that the surface expression of CCR5 on CD4+ and CD8+ T cells from AIDS patients is higher than subjects infected with HIV but with no symptoms and healthy controls [5]. The paucity of CD4+ CCR5+ T cells is a typical feature of natural SIV hosts [6]. The expression of CCR5 positively correlates with viral load, and negatively correlates with CD4+ T cell counts [7–9]. This suggests that the expression of CCR5 is closely related to disease progression in HIV infection.

CD4+ lymphocytes are the main targets for HIV-1 infection with various sub-populations infected to a different extent [10,11]. Naïve and memory lymphocyte subsets differ in body distribution, proliferative capacity and expression levels of CCR5 and CXCR4, the main co-receptors for HIV-1 [12–17]. CD4+ memory T cells can be subdivided into phenotypically distinct subsets with different functions. Central memory (CM) cells, which localize to the blood and secondary lymphoid tissues, are capable of regeneration and long-term maintenance. These can differentiate to effector memory (EM) cells, which are more prevalent in peripheral tissues and provide immediate effector functions at sites of inflammation.

Several studies have shown that CD4 CM cells are the main T cell subset that correlate to the loss or preservation of CD4 cells in HIV or SIV infection [18–21]. In the SIV-infected macaque model, the loss of CD4 EM cells is largely driven by the lack of replenishment of CD4 CM cells [22]. In HIV-1 infected humans, preserved CD4 CM cells are strongly associated with the preservation and reconstitution of host immunity [20,23]. In addition, CD4 CM cells of sooty mangabey that express low amounts of CCR5 showed a reduced susceptibility to SIV infection both in vitro and in vivo when compared with CD4+ CM
Figure 1. Comparison of the percentage of CD4 subsets between the CD4 High and CD4 Low groups. (A) Based on the expression of CD45RA and CCR7, CD4 T cells were subdivided into naive (CD45RA+CCR7+), central memory (CM; CD45RA–CCR7+) and effect memory (EM; CD45RA–CCR7–) cell subsets. (B–C) Comparison of the percentage of CD4 subsets between the CD4 High and CD4 Low groups during the first year of HIV infection. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049526.g001
cells of rhesus macaques [24]. These data suggest that low CCR5 expression on sooty mangabey CD4+ T cells favors the preservation of CD4+ T cell homeostasis and promotes an AIDS-free status by protecting CD4 CM cells from direct virus infection [24].

In this study, we wanted to evaluate whether CCR5 density and CD4 CM cell quantification in acute HIV infection is associated with rapid disease progression. Two groups of patients with clearly different disease progression were enrolled. Seventeen HIV-patients progressed rapidly and their CD4 counts fell below 250 cells/µl within 2 years (CD4 Low group), while the other 23 patients maintained CD4 counts above 450 cells/µl (CD4 High group). We found no significant difference between the two groups regarding the percentage of naïve, CM and EM subsets of CD4 cells during the 1st year of HIV-1 infection. CCR5 expression on CD4+ CM subset was higher in the CD4 Low group compared with the CD4 High group during the 1st year of HIV-1 infection. High CCR5 levels on CD4 CM cells during acute HIV infection are mostly associated with rapid disease progression.

Results

No Difference in the Percentage of CD4 Cell Subsets between the CD4 High and CD4 Low Groups

We compared the percentage of naïve (CD45RA+CCR7+), CM (CD45RA CCR7+) and EM (CD45RA CCR7−) CD4+ T cells between the CD4 High and CD4 Low groups during the 1st year of HIV infection (Figure 1A). We found no differences in percentage of the three subsets between the two groups during the 1st year of HIV infection (Figure 1C).

High Levels of CCR5 Expression on CD4+ CM Cells in the CD4 Low Group

We compared CCR5 levels on total CD4, naïve, CM and EM CD4+ cells between the CD4 High group and CD4 Low group during the 1st year of HIV infection. We found that CCR5 level was significantly lower only on CM subset from the CD4 High group when compared with the CD4 Low group within the first 90 days of HIV infection (Figure 2A,2B). With the disease progression, CCR5 level was significantly lower on all subsets from the CD4 High group when compared with the CD4 Low group(Figure 2C,2D). Of note, CD4+ EM cells in both groups contained a higher fraction of CCR5+ cells compared with CM cells, with the lowest levels of CCR5 expression being present on naïve cells during the 1st year of HIV infection (Figure 2A–D).

CCR5 Expression on CM Cells is Positively Associated with Viral Load and Negatively Associated with CD4 Cell Counts

We also compared the CD4 and viral load between the two groups during the first year of HIV infection. We found that CD4 was significantly lower in CD4 low group compared with CD4

Figure 2. Comparison of the median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CCR5 on CD4 subsets between the CD4 High and CD4 Low groups. Comparison of the median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CCR5 on CD4 subsets between the CD4 High and CD4 Low groups among estimated infection 30day(A), 30–90 day(B), 90–180day(C), 180–360day(D). **p<0.01, *p<0.05.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049526.g002
high group during the first year of HIV infection (Figure 3A). The viral load was no difference between the two groups during the first 30 days of HIV infection (Figure 3B). With the disease progression, the viral load of the CD4 low group is higher than that of the CD4 high group (Figure 3B).

Next, the relationship between CCR5 density on CD4 subsets (naïve, CM and EM) and viral load and CD4 counts was analyzed. We found that CCR5 expression on CM and naïve was negatively associated with CD4 counts ($r = -0.323$, $p = 0.015$ for CM; $r = -0.297$, $p = 0.038$ for naïve; Figure 3C). There was no correlation between CCR5 expression on EM cells and CD4 counts ($r = -0.202$, $p = 0.131$, Figure 3C). CCR5 expression on CM and EM cells was positively associated with viral load ($r = 0.326$, $p = 0.012$ for CM; $r = 0.267$, $p = 0.035$ for EM; Figure 3D) but there was no correlation.

**Figure 3. Analysis the correlation between CCR5 MFI on CD4 subsets and disease progression.** Compare the CD4(A) and viral load(B) between the CD4 high and CD4 low groups. The correlations of CCR5 MFI on CD4 subsets with CD4+ T cells(C) and viral load (D). *p<0.05,**p<0.01,***p<0.001 Data are expressed as the average and range for Figure 3A and B.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049526.g003
between CCR5 expression on naive cells and viral load (r = 0.144, p = 0.381, Figure 3D). CM is the only subset that CCR5 expression on this subset are both positively associated with viral load and negatively associated with CD4 counts.

**Early High CCR5 Expression on Naïve and CM Subsets are Associated with a Reduction in CD4 Counts below 250 Cells/µl.**

Next, we analyzed the association of the initial CCR5 expression on CD4 subsets (naïve, CM and EM) with the time taken for CD4 counts to fall below 250 cells/µl using the Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. We found that high levels of CCR5 on CM and naïve subsets, but not EM cells, were associated with the time taken for CD4 counts to fall below 250 cells/µl (Figure 4B, C, D).

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to identify correlates of disease progression measured during early HIV infection. In the present study, we compared CD4+ cell subsets (naïve, CM and EM) and CCR5 expression levels in the 1st year of HIV infection between two distinct groups of patients. One group progressed to CD4 cell numbers below 250 cells/µl within 2 years, while the other group maintained CD4 cell counts above 450 cells/µl within 2 years.

Studies using the SIV model of infection have demonstrated the critical role of CD4+ CM cells in the pathogenesis of HIV disease. In SIV-infected macaques the ultimate loss of CD4 EM cells is in large part driven by lack of replenishment of this compartment by CD4 CM cells [18]. Other studies have shown that vaccinated macaques survive longer when CD4 CM cell numbers are preserved [19] and that HIV-1 viral controllers tend to preserve CD4 CM cell numbers [20]. However, in this study we did not observe a percentage difference in the CD4 subsets (naïve, CM and EM) between the CD4 High and CD4 Low groups during the 1st year of HIV infection. Remodeling of the memory compartment may be sufficiently variable during early infection such that memory cells may not provide a useful predictor for disease progression. Our findings are consistent with a previous study that reported a lack of association between CM cells and disease progression in early HIV infection [1]. Thus, CM cell numbers may not provide a predictor for disease progression in early HIV infection. It has been reported that the density of CCR5 on the surface of CD4 T cells is an important factor in HIV-1 disease progression [7,9]. Low levels of SIV infection in sooty mangabey CM CD4+ T cells are associated with limited CCR5 expression [24]. Thus, it would be useful to determine whether high CCR5 expression on CM cells in early HIV infection is associated with rapid disease progression. We found that high CCR5 expression...
only on CM subset significantly higher in the CD4 Low group compared with the CD4 High group within the first 90 days of HIV infection. We also observed that CCR5 expression on CM cells was mostly associated with disease progression compared with CCR5 expression on naïve and EM cells. The high CCR5 expression on CD4 CM cells may be the result of a high HIV infection rate of CD4 CM cells. A progressive depletion of CM CD4⁺ T cells from the peripheral blood was observed, accompanied by high levels of viral replication in the cells of this subtype [25]. We propose that in the CD4 High group, low CCR5 expression on CD4 CM cells protects against virus-mediated depletion and thus favors the preservation of CD4⁺ T cell homeostasis. Thus, it may be useful to down modulate CCR5 expression on CM cells in early HIV infection to delay disease progression.

In conclusion, we found that a high CCR5 density on CM CD4⁺ T cells in acute HIV-1 infection is mostly associated with rapid disease progression. As we had no specimens available before HIV infection, it is important to determine whether the difference in CCR5 expression on CD4 subsets is inherent or HIV-induced.

**Patients and Methods**

**Patients**

Forty patients recently infected with HIV-1 (from Fiebig stage III to Fiebig stage V) from a group of HIV-1-negative high-risk MSM (men who have sex with men) cohorts who were screened every 2 months for HIV-1 infection in Beijing You’an Hospital were enrolled. The patients were divided into two groups with significant disease progression: one group of 17 patients (CD4 Low group) progressed to CD4 counts < 250 cells/μl within 2 years, while the other group (CD4 High group) of 23 patients maintained CD4 counts higher than 450 cells/μl. The progression of early HIV-1 infection can be depicted in six discrete stages proposed by Fiebig et al. [26,27]. The infection time is estimated according to Fiebig stages [26,27]. The characteristics of patients in this study are presented in Table 1. Samples were collected at the first positive point and 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 96, and 108 weeks after the first positive point. 15 health persons whose gender and age are matched with the HIV patients were enrolled as control. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee at Beijing You’an Hospital and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Flow Cytometric Analysis**

The monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) CD4-PerCP and CD45RA-FTIC were purchased from BD Bioscience (San Diego, CA, USA). CCR7-APC and CCR5-PE were purchased from eBioscience (San Diego, CA, USA). Based on the expression of CD45RA and CCR7, CD4 T cells were subdivided into naïve, CM and EM cell subsets (CD4⁺ Naïve, CD4⁺ CM and CD4⁺ EM, respectively) as follows: naïve cells (CD45RA⁺CCR7⁺); CM cells (CD45RA⁻CCR7⁺); EM cells (CD45RA⁻CCR7⁻). To investigate the change of CD4⁺ subset numbers and the expression of CCR5, freshly isolated peripheral blood mononuclear cells were incubated with CD4-PerCP, CD45RA-FTIC, CCR5-PE and CCR7-APC for 20 min at 4°C. After incubation with the various antibodies, the cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (1 × PBS). Four-color flow cytometric analyses were then performed using FACS Calibur and CELL Quest software (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA).
Assays for CD4 T Cell Counts and Plasma HIV-1 RNA

After whole-blood lysis (FACSlysing Solution, Becton Dickinson San Diego, CA, USA), T lymphocyte counts were determined by three-color flow cytometry using CD3-APC, CD4-FITC and CD8-PE monoclonal antibodies (BD Bioscience San Diego, CA, USA). The analysis was performed using a BD FACSCount flow cytometer in accordance with Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines.

Plasma HIV RNA was quantified by real-time PCR (Roche, Germany), a super-sensitive method. The sensitivity of detection of this assay was 40 copies/ml.

Statistical Analyses

All data were analyzed using SPSS version 16.0 for Windows software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Correlation between two parameters was determined using Spearman’s correlation coefficient. Non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare medians between the groups. Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test was used to compare the survival. A value of p<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the subjects and staffs who participated in this study.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: XY JY. Performed the experiments: XY JY RW. Analyzed the data: HZ YZ TZ. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: YJ DC RW. Wrote the paper: YJ XY.

References

1. Ganesan A, Chattopadhyay PK, Brodie TM, Qin J, Gu W, et al. (2010) Immunologic and virologic events in early HIV infection predict subsequent rate of progression. J Infect Dis 201: 272–284.
2. Blattner WA, Ousler KA, Clisham F, Charurat M, Still A, et al. (2004) Rapid clearance of virus after acute HIV-1 infection: correlates of risk of AIDS. J Infect Dis 189: 1795–1801.
3. Maddon PJ, Dalgleish AG, McDougall JS, Clapham PR, Weiss RA, et al. (1986) The T4 gene encodes the AIDS virus receptor and is expressed in the immune system and the brain. Cell 47: 333–348.
4. Clapham PR, Blanc D, Weiss RA (1991) Specific cell surface requirements for the infection of CD4-positive cells by human immunodeficiency virus types 1 and 2 and by Simian immunodeficiency virus. Virology 181: 703–715.
5. Kalinkovich A, Borkow G, Weissman Z, Tsimanis A, Stein M, et al. (2001) Increased CCR5 and CXCR4 expression in Ethiopians living in Israel: environmental and constitutive factors. Clin Immunol 100: 107–117.
6. Pandrea I, Apetrei C, Gordon S, Barbercheck J, Dufour J, et al. (2007) Paucity of CD4 T cell surface CCR5 density as a host factor in HIV-1 disease progression. AIDS 15: 1627–1634.
7. Marmor M, Krowka J, Goldberg JD (2000) CD4+ T cell surface CCR5 density and virus load in persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Infect Dis 182: 1294–1296.
8. Reynolds J, Portales P, Segondy M, Baillat V, Andre P, et al. (2001) CD4 T cell surface CCR5 density as a determining factor of viral load in persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Infect Dis 181: 927–932.
9. Brenchley JM, Hill BJ, Ambrozak DR, Price DA, Guenaga FJ, et al. (2004) Lack of in vivo compartmentalization among HIV-1 infected naive and memory T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91: 1069–1076.
10. Hua W, Jiao Y, Zhang H, Zhang T, Chen D, et al. (2012) Central memory CD4+ T cells are an early indicator of immune reconstitution in HIV/AIDS patients with anti-retroviral treatment. Immunol Invest 41: 1–14.
11. Muñoz-Calleja C, Costantini A, Silvestri G, Butini I, Regnery CM, et al. (2001) Highly active antiretroviral therapy induces specific changes in effector and central memory T cell subpopulations. AIDS 15: 1807–1809.
12. Kolber MA (2000) CD8+ T-cells negatively correlate with CD4 central memory cells in virally suppressed HIV-1-infected individuals. AIDS 22: 1937–1941.
13. Mannion A, Bourry O, Selzer P, Delache B, Brochard P, et al. (2009) Dynamics of viral replication in blood and lymphoid tissues during SIVmac251 infection of macaques. Retrovirology 6: 106.
14. Lanzavecchia A, Sallusto F (2005) Understanding the generation and function of memory T cell subsets. Curr Opin Immunol 17: 326–332.
15. Picker LJ, Butcher EC (1992) Physiological and molecular mechanisms of lymphocyte homing. Annu Rev Immunol 10: 561–591.
16. Eckstein DA, Penn ML, Kornin YD, Scripture-Adams JD, Zack JA (2001) HIV-1 actively replicates in naive CD4(+) T cells residing within human lymphoid tissues. Immunity 15: 671–682.
17. Heeregrafe E, Geel M, Baen E, van der Smiss RM, Paxton WA, et al. (2010) Variated sensitivity to therapy of HIV-1 strains in CD4+ lymphocyte sub-populations upon ART initiation. AIDS Res Ther 7: 42.
18. Okoye A, Meier-Schellersheim M, Brenchley JM, Hagen SI, Walker JM, et al. (2007) Progressive CD4+ central memory T cell decline results in CD4+ effector memory insufficiency and overt disease in chronic SIV infection. J Exp Med 204: 2171–2185.
19. Levin NL, Mascola JR, Sun Y, Gorgone DA, Busby AP, et al. (2006) Preserved CD4+ central memory T cells and survival in vaccinated SIV-challenged monkeys. Science 312: 1530–1533.
20. Potter SJ, Lacabaratz C, Lambotte O, Perez-Patigreton S, Vingert B, et al. (2007) Preserved central memory and activated effector memory CD4+ T-cell subsets in human immunodeficiency virus controllers: an ANRS EP36 study. J Virol 81: 13904–13915.
21. Heeregrafe E, Geel M, Baen E, van der Smiss RM, Paxton WA, et al. (2010) Variated sensitivity to therapy of HIV-1 strains in CD4+ lymphocyte sub-populations upon ART initiation. AIDS Res Ther 7: 42.