A BAC-Based Transgenic Mouse Specifically Expresses an Inducible Cre in the Urothelium
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Abstract

Cre-loxp mediated conditional knockout strategy has played critical roles for revealing functions of many genes essential for development, as well as the causal relationships between gene mutations and diseases in the postnatal adult mice. One key factor of this strategy is the availability of mice with tissue- or cell type-specific Cre expression. However, the success of the traditional molecular cloning approach to generate mice with tissue specific Cre expression often depends on luck. Here we provide a better alternative by using bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC)-based recombineering to insert iCreERT2 cDNA at the ATG start of the Upk2 gene. The BAC-based transgenic mice express the inducible Cre specifically in the urothelium as demonstrated by miRNA expression and staining for LacZ expression after crossing with a Rosa26 reporter mouse. Taking into consideration the size of the gene of interest and neighboring genes included in a BAC, this method should be widely applicable for generation of mice with tissue specific gene expression or deletions in a more specific manner than previously reported.

Introduction

Bladder cancer is one of the major causes of malignancy-related morbidity and mortality worldwide, representing the 7th most common type of cancer world wide and the 4th in developed countries [1]. More than 90% of bladder cancers correspond to urothelial carcinomas, since gene mutations are believed to occur in the urothelium, thus initiating malignant transformation. Profiling of bladder cancer samples from patients has revealed frequent inactivation mutations of key tumor suppressor genes such as PTEN, TP53, and RB [2]. However, the causal relationship between these mutations and bladder cancer development has not been well studied in genetic mouse models of bladder cancer due to the lack of suitable transgenic mice that can be used to inactivate these tumor suppressors in the adult, since Pten and Rb are required for embryonic development, and Pten/Rb deficient mice are not born [3,4].

The Upk2 promoter has been used successfully in driving expression of Cre [5] and a modified reverse tetracycline transactivator[6] in urothelium specific fashion, as well as in the generation of two transgenic mouse models of invasive and superficial bladder cancers [7,8]. This breakthrough in bladder cancer modeling was made possible only after large-scale protein purification from bovine bladder followed by cloning of the urothelial specific Uroplakin[9,10]. On view of these published works, we therefore generated a mouse line that expresses an inducible CreERT2 recombinase under 3.6 kb of the Upk2 promoter sequence. Surprisingly, we found that CreERT2 expression was not exclusively observed in the urothelium of bladder and ureters, but also in testis and brain (unpublished observation), which is in concordance with previous reports that described the use of the same Upk2 promoter for the generation of transgenic mice [10,11]. Recently, it was found that there is sporadic ectopic expression of Cre in tumors developed in the skin and the lung using the same Upk2 promoter driven Cre mouse, indicating limitations of tissue specificity conferred by the short promoter although the unexpected Upk2 promoter activity was beneficial to the particular study [12].

To overcome this non-specificity, we reasoned that by using a much large construct such as a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) that contains most, if not all, transcriptional determinants of a gene, the tissue specific expression would be guaranteed. It is noteworthy that BAC-based transgenic approach has been used extensively in the field of neuroscience where complex composition of cell types in the brain demands absolutely specific transgene expression [13,14]. Likewise, increasing number of researchers in other fields has adopted this approach to achieve cell specific gene expression [15,16,17,18]. As a further demonstration of the
potential of BAC-based approach, by replacing a large segment in the mouse genome with a syntenic human BAC DNA, humanized mice can be made to better modeling human diseases [19]. Indeed, using recombinering [20], we were able to precisely modify a BAC clone that contains the Upk2 gene to generate a BAC-based transgenic mouse line with restricted expression of CreERT2 in the urothelium, which we named as TgUICBAC.

Results and Discussion

Upk2 is a single gene in the mouse genome, and is flanked by Foxr1 and BCfl9l on mouse chromosome 9. Using recombinering, we inserted a codon-improved iCreERT2 [21] together with a polyA tail into the ATG of Upk2 translational start site of a BAC clone that contains the Upk2 gene (Figure 1A). This modified BAC clone was subsequently injected into fertilized eggs of B6CBA/F1 mice to generate transgenic TgUICBAC mice. Two mice were identified to contain the transgene based on genotyping by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Southern blot analyses: Mouse #1 and #5 had 2 and 4 copies of the transgene, respectively, based on the intensity of signals on the Southern blot in comparison with that of the endogenous Upk2 allele (Figure 1B). Only mouse #1 which showed a low copy number of the transgene was able to produce offspring; therefore, it was used for further characterization of the TgUICBAC mice. The transgenic mice showed no phenotypic alterations and bred normally, producing offspring with regular number of litters.

To confirm that iCreERT2 expression was specific to the urothelium, total RNA was extracted from the major organs of male and female transgenic mice and subjected to RT-PCR analysis using specific primers to iCreERT2. Indeed, differently to previously reported Upk2-based transgenic mice [10,11] and our TgUPCreERT2 mice (unpublished observation), only organs that contain urothelium express the transgene, such as bladder, ureter, and kidney (renal pelvis), whereas no other organs such as brain and testis were found to express the transgene (Figure 2). To further prove the specificity and in vivo activity of iCreERT2, TgUICBAC mice were crossed with Rosa26 reporter mice in which a floxed stop cassette is placed before the LacZ gene so that LacZ expression is allowed only after the stop cassette removal mediated by Cre activity [22]. When the compound mice were administered Tamoxifen by injection, X-gal staining revealed a strong and distinct blue staining in the epithelial cells of the urothelium of bladder, whereas no blue staining was observed in bladder tissue of control mice which received only oil injection (Figure 3A). To assess the percentage of recombination, we performed double immunofluorescence using antibodies against Upk2 and β-galactosidase (Figure 3B), and quantified co-expression of these two markers (Figure 3C). This analysis revealed that recombination rate was observed in most of the Upk2 expressing cells (82.3% ± 11.2%) in the four representative mice analyzed, underlining the potential use of our model. No significant differences were observed between female and male bladder recombination rates, which corresponded to 85.8% ± 10.9% and 78.7% ± 11.3%, respectively (p = 0.297). As further evidence of the Cre specificity, X-gal staining was also found in the urothelium of ureter, and renal pelvis, but not in other organs that do not

![Figure 1. Generation of mice carrying insertion of iCreERT2 into the ATG of Upk2 gene. A) The BAC clone bMQ-343M5 contains the Upk2 gene flanked by parts of Foxr1 and Blr1 genes, which together with Upk2 gene are all transcribed from reverse strand, and Bcfl9l, which is from forward strand. The size of the BAC clone insert is 82951 bp (from 44267673 to 44184722 in mouse chromosome 9). iCreERT2-pA is inserted into the ATG start of Upk2 gene. Scal sites and probes for southern blot (P5) are shown. Arrows indicate primers used for PCR genotyping. B) Mouse genotyping by PCR (upper panel) and Southern blot (lower panel). Positive founder lines (#1 and #5) are marked with circles. UIC stands for the TgUICBAC transgene. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035243.g001](https://www.plosone.org/doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0035243.g001)
contain urothelium in the compound mice (Figure 4). These data indicate that we have generated an urothelium-specific and tamoxifen-inducible Cre mouse line with a high recombination rate in the urothelium of both male and female.

Because the BAC clone that was used for this study included genes flanking Upk2, namely Bcl9l, Blr1 (or Cxcr5) and Foxr1, and the transgenic founder with higher copy number of the BAC was unable to breed (Figure 1), overexpression of these genes may have phenotypic consequences. Therefore, we performed quantitative RT-PCR to determine the transcript levels of such genes in the bladder of \textit{TgUICBAC} mice that breed normally with low copy number of the BAC. As expected, the transcript levels of these three genes are significantly up-regulated in the bladder of the transgenic mice compared to those in wild type mice (Figure S1). Although there are no phenotypic changes in the established \textit{TgUICBAC} mouse line, it is necessary to identify and separate potential effects of overexpression of the three genes on the phenotypes of interest by including proper control groups, as it is usually the case in studies of mouse modeling of human diseases.

The specificity of the \textit{TgUICBAC} transgene expression confirms that Upk2 is an urothelium marker [23], suggesting that the short \textit{Upk2} promoter may lack some transcriptional determinants to allow transgene expression non-specifically in other organs such as brain and tests. This mouse line that we have generated will be a critical tool for conditional inactivation of tumor suppressors specifically in the urothelium for bladder cancer modeling.

Recombineering is a homologous recombination mediated genetic engineering in bacteria [20]. It does not rely on the presence (or absence) of restriction sites that traditional molecular cloning requires. It has the capability to modify at nucleotide level precision any possible genetic modifications on a large DNA molecule, such as a BAC clone. Because of its size at the average of over 100 kb [24], a BAC clone usually covers the entire genomic structures of most genes in the mouse genome, it is perhaps not surprising that a BAC-based transgenic mouse approach as described here will provide faithful gene expression and will be the future trend in the generation of transgenic mice for various purposes.
Figure 3. iCreERT2 activity in TgUICBAC mice in vivo. 

A) Eight week old TgUICBAC;Rosa26 mice were injected with Tamoxifen for 3 days (TAM) or equal volume of sunflower oil (OIL, control group). Mice were sacrificed one week after injection, bladder sections were obtained and X-gal staining was performed. Note that X-gal blue staining is observed in the epithelial cells of the bladder in mice injected with TAM, whereas no staining is observed in the bladder of control mice. Bars correspond to 100 µm.

B) Representative immunofluorescence analysis of Upk2 (green) and ß-galactosidase (red) co-expression in bladder urothelium of TgUICBAC;Rosa26 mice injected with Tamoxifen. Note that most of the Upk2-positive urothelial cells show a dotted staining for ß-galactosidase. Bars correspond to 100 µm.

C) Quantification of percentage of recombination in the analyzed bladder sections from 2 female and 2 male transgenic mice illustrated by mean ± SD.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035243.g003
Figure 4. Tissue-specific activity of iCreERT2 in vivo. Eight week old TgUICBACRosa26 mice were treated as in Figure 3, sections of other organs were obtained and X-gal staining was performed. Note that X-gal blue staining is observed only in the epithelial cells of the renal pelvis, ureter (indicated with arrows) in mice injected with TAM, whereas no staining is observed in control mice or other organs of TAM-injected mice. Bars correspond to 100 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035243.g004
The expression of unintended genes close to the gene of interest in the BAC as identified in this work represents a common but often neglected issue in the BAC-based transgenic organisms, which is usually the case when the size of the gene of interest is small. Neighboring genes in a BAC can be removed by recombinering provided that these genetic elements do not contribute to the specificity of expression of the gene of interest. On the other hand, if a gene is too big (such as over 200 kb), the BAC-based transgenic approach is faster and easier than gene targeting, and even advantageous when these shortcomings, the BAC-based transgenic approach is not reliable because of the size limitations of average BACs. If these are major concerns in both scenarios, a targeted knock-in will be the best choice. In spite of limitations of average BACs. If these are major concerns in both scenarios, a targeted knock-in will be the best choice. In spite of these shortcomings, the BAC-based transgenic approach is faster and easier than gene targeting, and even advantageous when adding an extra-targeted allele is less desirable than a simple transgene in the complicated breeding scheme to get multiple targeted genes in a single mouse.

Methods

Ethic Statement

All procedures involving mice were conducted in accordance with National Institutes of Health regulations concerning the use and care of experimental animals, and according to the approved animal use and care protocol (AAAA7254) at the Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center of Columbia University.

Mouse Strains

Rosa26 reporter mice [22] and C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. The TgUICBAC founder mice were in a B6CBA/F1 background, and founder line #1 was backcrossed with C57BL/6 for more than 10 generations. The established TgUICBAC mice have normal appearance and behavior.

BAC Transgene Construction

A mouse BAC clone with the Upk2 gene in the middle and flanked by large genomic regions at either side was obtained from an annotated 129Sv BAC library [24]. The BAC DNA was purified using a regular plasmid DNA mini-prep kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and was used as a template to verify the content by PCR using primers that amplify a segment of the Upk2 gene. The PCR product was sequenced and confirmed. The Upk2 cDNA and a polyA tail together with a flrted cassette flanked by sequences containing ATG at both sides of the Upk2 gene. The PCR product was sequenced and confirmed. The Ampicillin selection, the survived clones were picked and verified that there were no un-intended mutations. Then the content of the PCR product was sequenced and confirmed. The PCR product was sequenced and confirmed. The PCR product was sequenced and confirmed.

Table 1. Primer sequences for generation and characterization of TgUICBAC mice.

| Primers (5’→3’) and Applications | 
|---------------------------------|
| ATGGAGCTCAGTGGGCAAGAG (forward) |
| AGATCTCTTCTGCGAGCATG (reverse) |
| Using modified BAC DNA as a template to get a 312 bp product for TgUICBAC transgenic mice PCR genotyping. |
| TGTCGCCCCAGGTTGTTACCT (forward) |
| CTCGAGGATGTGCCGTTT (reverse) |
| Using BAC DNA as a template to get a 389 bp probe for Southern blot genotyping. Using the probe and ScaI digestion to get 8869 bp and 11196 bp for transgenic and WT allele on Southern blot, respectively. |
| CGGAGAGGAGAGGCTGTCTCTG (forward) |
| CTCTGAATCGAGGGATGGAGT (reverse) |
| qRT-PCR for Bcl9l |
| GGGCCAGGAGCATCGGACATG (forward) |
| CGCGAGAGGAGTGTGTCTG (reverse) |
| qRT-PCR for Foxr1 |
| TGGCCTCTTCTAGCCAGCAAGCACGA (forward) |
| GATCCAATTGCACGCTTATAGGAG (reverse) |
| qRT-PCR for Cxcr5 |
| AGGTCGGTAGGAACGGATTGT (forward) |
| CTCTGAATCGAGGGATGGAGT (reverse) |
| qRT-PCR for Gapdh |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035243.t001

Using BAC DNA as a template to get a 389 bp probe for Southern blot genotyping. Using the probe and ScaI digestion to get 8869 bp and 11196 bp for transgenic and WT allele on Southern blot, respectively.

Using tail genomic DNA to get a 312 bp product for TgUICBAC transgenic mice PCR genotyping.

Using modified BAC DNA as a template to get a 3498 bp product.

Using BAC DNA as a template to get a 860 bp Amp cassette to delete the loxp site in BACe3.6. Lower-case letters are from BAC3.6 sequence, capital letters are from Upk2 sequence. Using pTAMP as a template to get a 3772 bp (or 274 bp for unmodified BAC) product. Using pIndu-perfect as a template to get a 389 bp product.

Using BAC DNA as a template to get a 389 bp probe for Southern blot genotyping. Using the probe and ScaI digestion to get 8869 bp and 11196 bp for transgenic and WT allele on Southern blot, respectively.

Using BAC DNA as a template to get a 3498 bp product.

Using BAC DNA as a template to get a 860 bp Amp cassette to delete the loxp site in BACe3.6. Lower-case letters are from BAC3.6 sequence, capital letters are from Upk2 sequence. Using pTAMP as a template to get a 3772 bp (or 274 bp for unmodified BAC) product. Using pIndu-perfect as a template to get a 3498 bp product.

Using BAC DNA as a template to get a 860 bp Amp cassette to delete the loxp site in BACe3.6. Lower-case letters are from BAC3.6 sequence, capital letters are from Upk2 sequence. Using pTAMP as a template to get a 3772 bp (or 274 bp for unmodified BAC) product. Using pIndu-perfect as a template to get a 3498 bp product.

Using BAC DNA as a template to get a 860 bp Amp cassette to delete the loxp site in BACe3.6. Lower-case letters are from BAC3.6 sequence, capital letters are from Upk2 sequence. Using pTAMP as a template to get a 3772 bp (or 274 bp for unmodified BAC) product. Using pIndu-perfect as a template to get a 3498 bp product.

Using BAC DNA as a template to get a 860 bp Amp cassette to delete the loxp site in BACe3.6. Lower-case letters are from BAC3.6 sequence, capital letters are from Upk2 sequence. Using pTAMP as a template to get a 3772 bp (or 274 bp for unmodified BAC) product. Using pIndu-perfect as a template to get a 3498 bp product.

Using BAC DNA as a template to get a 860 bp Amp cassette to delete the loxp site in BACe3.6. Lower-case letters are from BAC3.6 sequence, capital letters are from Upk2 sequence. Using pTAMP as a template to get a 3772 bp (or 274 bp for unmodified BAC) product. Using pIndu-perfect as a template to get a 3498 bp product.

Using BAC DNA as a template to get a 860 bp Amp cassette to delete the loxp site in BACe3.6. Lower-case letters are from BAC3.6 sequence, capital letters are from Upk2 sequence. Using pTAMP as a template to get a 3772 bp (or 274 bp for unmodified BAC) product. Using pIndu-perfect as a template to get a 3498 bp product.

Using BAC DNA as a template to get a 860 bp Amp cassette to delete the loxp site in BACe3.6. Lower-case letters are from BAC3.6 sequence, capital letters are from Upk2 sequence. Using pTAMP as a template to get a 3772 bp (or 274 bp for unmodified BAC) product. Using pIndu-perfect as a template to get a 3498 bp product.
Amp selection cassette was removed in Flpe expressing bacteria. Finally, the lox site present in the BAC clone was deleted by recombineering using Amp as a selection marker. The final BAC DNA was purified using a large construct purification kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA), and subject to microinjection into fertilized eggs of B6CBA/F1 mice at the transgenic core facility of the Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center of Columbia University. Primers used in these procedures are summarized in Table 1.

Mouse Genotyping
A mix of three primers was used to genotype Rosa26 reporter mice as previously described [22]. The genotyping of TgUICBAC mice was done by PCR using primers that amplified a segment of iCreERT2, and by Southern blot using a probe outside of the Upk2 gene to identify both the endogenous allele and the transgene. PCR was performed at the following conditions using HotStarTaq Master Mix (Qiagen, Valencia, CA): 95°C for 15’, 30 cycles of 95°C for 30”, 57°C for 1’, 72°C for 1’ and 72°C for 5’ for the last cycle yielding a 312-bp product for the transgenic allele. Southern Blot was performed following standard procedures. Briefly, mouse tail genomic DNA was extracted using proteinase K digestion and ethanol precipitation. After Scal digestion, the DNA fragments were resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis, transferred to a hybrid membrane (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ), and hybridized with the 32P-labeled 389-bp DNA probe located upstream of the mouse Upk2 promoter. After autoradiography, the copy number of the transgene was determined by comparing the relative density of the transgene with that of the endogenous Upk2 gene. PCR was used for routine genotyping for the following generations of transgenic mice. Primers and probes used in these procedures are summarized in Table 1.

RT-PCR and qRT-PCR Analyses
Total RNA was isolated from major organs of TgUICBAC mice (both sexes) by Qiazol (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA integrity was verified by electrophoresis on a 1.2% agarose gel and was visualized with ethidium bromide staining. The concentration was quantified by ultraviolet absorption with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). One µg of total RNA from each sample was subjected to first-strand cDNA synthesis using SuperScriptTM III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen). RT-PCR was carried out using the GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems). Two µl of obtained cDNA were used as template for amplification of a fragment of the iCreERT2. Gapdh was used as a control[26]. PCR was performed at the following conditions using HotStarTaq Master Mix (Qiagen): 95°C for 15’, 30 cycles of 95°C for 30”, 57°C for 1’, 72°C for 1’ and 72°C for 5’ for the last cycle. PCR products were analyzed on 2% agarose gels.

qRT-PCR was conducted using the Mastercycler epgradient S realplex4 (Eppendorf) in accordance to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA transcript levels of Foxr1, Bcl2l1 and Ccex5 from 6 TgUICBAC bladders (3 females and 3 males) were compared to 6 wild type bladders (3 females and 3 males). qRT-PCR contained in a final volume of 20 µl, 10 µl of 2x QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Qiagen), 1 µl of cDNA, and 2 µl of the forward and reverse primers. The thermal cycling conditions were: 95°C for 15’, and 40 cycles of 94°C for 30”, 56°C for 40” and 72°C for 30”. The PCR products were analyzed by melting curve analysis and agarose gel electrophoresis to confirm that no by-products were formed.

The relative concentrations of the PCR products derived from the target gene were calculated using the realplex software (Eppendorf) using the ΔΔCt method [27]. The results were expressed relative to the levels of a housekeeping gene Gipk. All experiments were conducted in triplicate. Primers used in these procedures are summarized in Table 1.

LacZ Staining
TgUICBAC mice were crossed with Rosa26 reporter mice and the compound mice were subjected to administration of Tamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich, 1p., 0.15 mg/g body weight, in 1.5mg/ml of sun flower oil, for 3 consecutive days) or of equal volume of sun flower oil (control group). One week after the last injection, mice were euthanized, major organs were taken out and embedded in OCT. X-gal staining was performed following standard protocol. Briefly, frozen 10 µm sections were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (pH 7.4) for 10 minutes at 4°C. Then sections were stained with 1mg/ml X-gal in PBS (pH 7.4) containing 5mM K3Fe(CN)6, 5mM K4Fe(CN)6, 2mM MgCl2, 0.1% NP-40, and 0.1% sodium deoxycholate at 37°C for 15 hours. After being washed in PBS, sections were fixed with 10% formalin for 10 minutes, counterstained with nuclear fast red for 5 minutes and mounted with an aqueous mounting medium.

Immunofluorescence Analysis and Recombination Quantification
Double immunofluorescence analysis was performed on 10-µm frozen tissue sections from four Tamoxifen injected mouse bladder samples (2 females and 2 males) to determine the rate of co-expression of Upk2 and ß-galactosidase. Sections were fixed for 10 minutes with 4% Paraformaldehyde and after washing in 1X PBS submitted to antigen retrieval by steam treatment for 15 minutes in 10 mM citrate buffer, pH 6.0. Slides were then treated with 10% normal horse serum for 30 minutes, followed by ß-galactosidase antibody (mouse monoclonal 40–1a, Santa Cruz) incubation overnight at 4°C. Slides were then incubated with biotinylated secondary antibodies (Vector Laboratories) for 30 minutes, followed by strepatvidin-594 (Invitrogen) incubation for 60 minutes. After that, slides were blocked with 10% normal donkey serum for 30 minutes, followed by Upk2 antibody (goat polyclonal, Santa Cruz) incubation overnight at 4°C. Slides were then incubated with Alexa Fluor® 488 IgG secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) for 60 minutes and then mounted with Vectashield mounting medium containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories). The antibodies against Upk2 and ß-galactosidase were specific, because urothelial staining was absent when they were omitted as the first antibodies.

For quantification of recombination, we determined the percentage of expression of ß-galactosidase in Upk2-expressing cells identified in 5 microscopic high power fields (HPF) of each bladder section. Specifically, in each of the 4 bladder samples analyzed, random areas containing urothelium were identified by DAPI staining at low magnification, and then 5 HPF were analyzed by counting the number of cells displaying a Upk2-positive phenotype and then counting the cells with ß-galactosidase positivity. Average of recombination was assessed for the 2 female and 2 male samples separately.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was done with IBM SPSS Statistics v19.0. t-test was used to assess differences in transcript expression of Foxr1, Bcl2l1 and Ccex5 genes between bladders of wild type and TgUICBAC mice, and to assess differences of co-expression of
Upk2 and β-galactosidase in female and male bladder urothelium. All statistical tests were 2-sided with significance considered at $p = 0.05$.

**Supporting Information**

Figure S1 qRT-PCR analysis of relative expression levels of Bcl9l, Cxcr5, and Foxr1 in the bladder of Wt (set to 1) and TgUICBAC mice. Mouse Gapdh expression level was used as control. Refer to “Method” for more detail. In a brief summary, Bcl9l showed a 2.2-fold increase in male ($p = 0.014$) and 1.8-fold increase in female ($p = 0.02$); Cxcr5 showed a 20.8-fold increase in male ($p = 0.002$) and Foxr1 showed a 40.0-fold increase in male ($p = 0.033$) and 43.0-fold increase in female ($p = 0.006$). No significant differences were observed between male and female TgUICBAC mice in transcript levels of the three genes. (TIF)
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