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1. Introduction

There are many different ways of defining fractional operators, unlike in classical calculus, where there is only one way to define the derivative operation. The most common derivatives are Riemann–Liouville and Caputo derivatives, which were successfully used in the modeling of complex dynamical processes in physics, biology, engineering and many other fields [1–5].

Among the other definitions of fractional calculus, we can mention Hilfer, Riesz, Hadamard, Erd’elyi-Kober, Atangana–Baleanu, Katugampola, fractional conformable derivatives, and many others [2,6–9].

It should be noted that questions related to the theorems of existence and uniqueness of solutions of Cauchy-type and Dirichlet-type problems for linear and nonlinear differential equations of fractional order have been developed in sufficient detail, whereas explicit solutions are only known for certain types of linear differential equations of fractional order.

One of the most widely used methods for constructing solutions to differential equations of fractional order is the method of integral transformations. A detailed description of this method can be found in [2,4,5] and other works. An effective method for constructing explicit solutions and solving the Cauchy problem for differential equations of fractional order is based on the Mikusinski operational calculus. In the papers of Yu. Luchko et al. [10–15], this method was applied to solve linear differential equations of fractional order with constant coefficients and with derivatives of Riemann–Liouville and Caputo type and the general fractional derivative. This method was later used for a general equation with a Hilfer-type operator [16]. In the paper [17] A. Pskhu formulated and solved the initial problem for linear ordinary differential equations of fractional order with Riemann–Liouville derivatives. He reduced the problem to an integral equation and constructed an explicit solution in terms of the Wright function. We also note that in [18,19] the Cauchy problem for differential equations of fractional order has been studied using the Adomian decomposition method.

In this paper, we consider an operator method for constructing solutions to fractional differential equations. This method is based on the construction of normalized systems
with respect to operators of fractional differentiation. The method of normalized systems was introduced in [20] and used to construct exact solutions to the Helmholtz equation and the polyharmonic equation. The method of normalized systems was used to solve the Cauchy problem for ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients [21], as well as to construct solutions to differential equations associated with Dunkl operators [22,23]. Later, in [24–27], this method was applied to the construction of an explicit form of solution of fractional differential equations.

Let us first consider the definition of fractional-order integro-differentiation operators that will be used in this paper.

Let $0 < a < b < \infty$. For function $f(x) \in C^1[a, b]$, we define the operator

$$aT^\alpha f(x) = (t - a)^{1-\alpha} f(t), \alpha > 0.$$ 

In case $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, this operator corresponds to an integral operator of the form

$$aI^\alpha f(x) = \int_a^x f(t) \frac{dt}{(t - a)^{1-\alpha}}.$$ 

Let $0 < \alpha, \beta$ and $\frac{\beta}{a}T^\alpha = aT^\alpha \cdot aT^\alpha \cdot \ldots \cdot aT^\alpha, n = 1, 2, \ldots$. In [8], the following integro-differential operators were considered:

$$\frac{\beta}{a}f^\alpha (x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_a^x \left( \frac{(x - a)^\alpha - (t - a)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta-1} f(t) \frac{dt}{(t - a)^{1-\alpha}}. \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\beta}{a}D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_a^x \left( \frac{(x - a)^\alpha - (t - a)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{n-\beta-1} f(t) \frac{dt}{(t - a)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\beta}{a}C^\alpha D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \left( \frac{(x - a)^\alpha - (t - a)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{n-\beta-1} \frac{\beta}{a}T^\alpha f(t) \frac{dt}{(t - a)^{1-\alpha}}, n - 1 < \beta \leq n. \quad (3)$$

In case $\alpha = 1$, operator $\frac{\beta}{a}f^\alpha$ coincides with the integration operator of the $\beta$ order in the Riemann–Liouville sense, whereas $\frac{\beta}{a}D^\alpha$ and $\frac{\beta}{a}C^\alpha D^\alpha$ coincide with the differentiation operators of the $\beta$ order in the Riemann–Liouville and Caputo sense [2].

It should be noted that the methods for solving fractional differential equations with derivatives $\frac{\beta}{a}D^\alpha$ and $\frac{\beta}{a}C^\alpha D^\alpha$ have been studied by many authors, in particular, in works [28–32].

In [28] the theorem on the existence of a unique solution to the Cauchy problem is proved by the method of successive approximations. In [29], using the generalized integral Laplace transform for the case $0 < \beta \leq 1$, explicit solutions to the following Cauchy problems were constructed

$$\frac{\beta}{a}D^\alpha y(t) = \lambda y(t) + f(t), t > 0, \left(\frac{\beta}{a}f^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} y\right)(0) = b, b \in R,$$

$$\frac{\beta}{a}C^\alpha D^\alpha y(t) = \lambda y(t) + f(t), t > 0, y(0) = b, b \in R.$$ 

Similar results were obtained in [30–32].

The use of differential equations of fractional order with derivatives $\frac{\beta}{a}D^\alpha$ and $\frac{\beta}{a}C^\alpha D^\alpha$ in the modeling of biological processes (fractional analogue of the Bergman model), electrical circuits, motion of electrons under the action of the electric field (fractional analogue of the Drude model), as well as in the analysis of applied dynamic models (Rabinovich–Fabrikant attractor), is described in [33–37].
Further, in the work of A.A. Kilbas and M. Saigo [38], on the basis of the formula for the composition of operators of integration of fractional order with a three-parameter Mittag–Leffler function $E_{\beta,m,l}(z)$, an algorithm for solving an integral equation of the type

$$
\varphi(t) = \frac{\lambda \Gamma(b-1)}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t \frac{\varphi(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{-\beta}} d\tau + f(t), \beta > 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
$$

was obtained.

In this paper (Section 3), this result is generalized for integral equations with the operator $\frac{D^\beta}{D^a}$. In this case, the solution to the integral equation is constructed by a constructive method, i.e., by the method of normalized systems, and it is proved that the solution to the integral equation is represented in terms of Mittag–Leffler functions $E_{\beta,m,l}(z)$. The solution to the integral equation is constructed in a closed form when the right-hand side of the equation is a quasi-polynomial. In the particular case of parameters of the considered integral operator, the results obtained in this work agree with the results obtained in [38].

In Section 4 of this work, the method of normalized systems is used to construct solutions to iterated differential equations of fractional order. In contrast with our work [25], in this case, fractional-order differential equations with degeneration are considered. The construction of solutions to such equations has not been studied by other authors. It should be noted that in constructing solutions to these equations, a new class of special functions $E_{\beta,m,l}^{p+1}(z)$, representing a more general form of three-parameter Mittag–Leffler-type functions $E_{\beta,m,l}(z)$, arises.

In the fifth and sixth sections of the work, application of the method of normalized systems to the construction of an explicit solution of one class of fractional-order differential equations with operators $D^\beta$ and $D^a$ is considered. Homogeneous and inhomogeneous equations are studied. The considered equations and, therefore, the results obtained, generalize the results obtained in [30–32], as well as the results obtained in the work of A.A. Kilbas and M. Saigo [39].

At the end of the section, an example of solving an equation for electrical circuit simulation is given.

Further, we present some well-known information about the method of normalized systems.

Let $L_1$ and $L_2$ be linear operators, acting from the functional space $X$ to $X$, $L_1X \subset X, k = 1, 2$. Let functions from $X$ be defined in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let us give the definition of normalized systems [20].

**Definition 1.** A sequence of functions \( \{ f_i(x) \}_{i=0}^{\infty}, f_i(x) \in X \) is called $f-$normalized with respect to \((L_1, L_2)\) on $\Omega$, having the base $f_0(x)$, if, on this domain, the following equality holds: $L_1f_0(x) = f(x), L_1f_i(x) = L_2f_{i-1}(x), i \geq 1$.

If $L_2 = E$ is a unit operator, then a system of functions $f-$ normalized with respect to \((L_1, I)\) is called $f-$ normalized with respect to $L_1$, i.e., $L_1f_0(x) = f(x), L_1f_i(x) = f_{i-1}(x), i \geq 1$.

If $f(x) = 0$, then the system of functions $\{ f_i(x) \}$ is just called normalized.

The main properties of the systems of functions $f$-normalized with respect to the operators $(L_1, L_2)$ on $\Omega$ have been described in [20]. Let us consider the main property of the $f$-normalized systems.

**Proposition 1.** If a system of functions $\{ f_i(x) \}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is $f-$normalized with respect to $(L_1, L_2)$ on $\Omega$, then the functional series $y(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i(x), x \in \Omega$, is a formal solution of the equation:

$$(L_1 - L_2)y(x) = f(x), x \in \Omega.$$  (4)
The following proposition allows us to construct an $f$—normalized system with respect to a pair of operators $(L_1, L_2)$.

**Proposition 2** ([27]). If for $L_1$ there exists a right inverse operator $L_1^{-1}$, i.e., $L_1 \cdot L_1^{-1} = E$, where $E$ is a unit operator and $L_1 f_0(x) = f(x)$, then a system of functions $f_i(x) = \left(L_1^{-1} \cdot L_2\right)^i f_0(x)$, $i \geq 1$, is $f$—normalized with respect to a pair of operators $(L_1, L_2)$ on $\Omega$.

2. Properties of Integro-Differential Operators

Let us consider some properties of operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f^a$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} D^a$ and $\frac{C}{\partial t} D^a$.

**Lemma 1.** Let $\alpha, \beta > 0, s > -1$ and $f(x) = (x-a)^{as}$. Then, the equality holds:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f^a(t-a)^{as}\right)(x) = \frac{1}{a^\alpha \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^x \left(\frac{(x-a)^{\alpha} - (t-a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta-1} (t-a)^{as} \frac{dt}{(t-a)^{1-a}}. \tag{5}$$

**Proof.** By the definition of the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f^a$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f^a(x) = \frac{1}{a^\alpha \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^x \left(\frac{(x-a)^{\alpha} - (t-a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta-1} (t-a)^{as} \frac{dt}{(t-a)^{1-a}}.$$

After changing variables $\xi = \frac{t-a}{(x-a)^{\alpha}}$ for $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f^a(x)$ we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f^a(x) = \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha}}{a^\alpha \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\beta-1} \xi^s d\xi = \frac{(x-a)^{as+\alpha} \Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(s+1)}{a^\alpha \Gamma(s+1+\beta)}.$$

The lemma is proved. \[\square\]

**Lemma 2.** Let $f(t) = (t-a)^{as}, s > -1$. Then, the following equalities hold:

$$\left(\frac{C}{\partial t} D^a(t-a)^{as}\right)(x) = \begin{cases} 0, s \in \{\beta - 1, \beta - 2, ..., \beta - n\} \\ a^\alpha \Gamma(s+1) (x-a)^{a(\alpha-\beta)}, s > n - 1 \end{cases}, \tag{6}$$

$$\left(\frac{C}{\partial t} D^a(t-a)^{as}\right)(x) = \begin{cases} 0, s \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\} \\ a^\alpha \Gamma(s+1) (x-a)^{a(\alpha-\beta)}, s > n - 1 \end{cases}. \tag{7}$$

**Proof.** If $\beta = n$ is an integer, then, by definition, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} D^a f(x) = \frac{C}{\partial t} D^a f(x) = n \cdot T^a$ and thus, for all $s > n - 1$, we get

$$n \cdot T^a (x-a)^{as} = n \cdot \Gamma(s+1) (x-a)^{a(s-n)} = a^n \cdot \Gamma(s+1) (x-a)^{a(s-n)}.$$

If $s \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$, then $n \cdot T^a (x-a)^{as} = 0.$
Let \( n - 1 < \beta < n, n = 1, 2, \ldots \). Then, by the definition of the operator \( \hat{\beta}_\alpha^D \) and taking into account (5), we get

\[
\hat{\beta}_\alpha^D f(x) =_a T^n \left( (n-\beta)f(t-a)^{\alpha a} \right)(x) =_a T^n \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^{n-\beta}} \Gamma(s+1) (x-a)^{\alpha(s+n-\beta)} \right).
\]

If in the latter equality the parameter \( s \) takes one of the values \( s_1 = \beta - 1, s_2 = \beta - 2, \ldots, s_n = \beta - n \), then

\[
\hat{\beta}_\alpha^D f(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha^{n-\beta}} \Gamma(s+1) (x-a)^{\alpha(s+n-\beta)} = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(s+1)} (x-a)^{\alpha(s+n-\beta)}.
\]

Similarly, if \( s \) takes one of the values \( s_1 = 0, s_2 = 1, \ldots, s_n = n - 1 \), we get

\[
\hat{\beta}_\alpha^D f(x) = 0, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]

Then, for these values of \( s_j \), we get

\[
\hat{\beta}_\alpha^D f(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha^{n-\beta}} \Gamma(s+1) (x-a)^{\alpha(s+n-\beta)} = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(s+1)} (x-a)^{\alpha(s+n-\beta)}.
\]

The lemma is proved. \( \square \)

The following assertion was proved in [8].

**Lemma 3.** Let \( n - 1 < \beta \leq n, n = 1, 2, \ldots \) and \( f(x) \in C[a, b] \). Then, the equality

\[
\hat{\beta}_\alpha^D \left( \hat{\beta}_\alpha^D f(x) \right) = f(x)
\]

is valid.

**3. Construction of a Solution to an Integral Equation**

Let \( \alpha, \beta > 0, m = 1, 2, \ldots \). Let us consider in the domain \( x > a \) the following integral equation

\[
\varphi(x) = \frac{\lambda(x-a)^{\alpha\beta(m-1)}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_a^x \left( (x-a)^{\alpha} - (t-a)^{\alpha} \right)^{\beta-1} \varphi(t) \frac{dt}{(t-a)^{1-\alpha}} + f(x).
\]

It should be noted that for the case of the Riemann–Liouville operator, i.e., for \( \alpha = 1 \), integral Equation (9) was studied in [38]. In this work, in the case when \( \alpha = 1 \), based on the properties of a special Mittag–Leffler type function

\[
E_{\beta,\alpha,l}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i z^i, c_0 = 1, c_i = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{\Gamma[\beta(km+l)+1]}{\Gamma[\beta(km+l+1)+1]}, i \geq 1,
\]

where \( \beta > 0, \alpha > 0, l \geq 0, m \geq 0 \), the function \( E_{\beta,\alpha,l}(z) \) is defined.
Theorem 1. Let \( L \) pair of operators \( \phi \) is a solution to Equation (9) from class \( C[a, b] \). Then, the function

\[
\phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k(x)
\]

(12)
is a solution to Equation (9) from class \( C[a, b] \).

Proof. Let \( f(x) \in C[a, b] \). Then, formally applying operators \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) to the series (11), we have

\[
(L_1 - L_2)\phi(x) = L_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k(x) - L_2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k(x) = f(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L_2^{k+1}f(x)
\]

\[
= f(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L_2^i f(x) = f(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k(x) = f(x).
\]

Hence, function \( \phi(x) \) from (12) formally satisfies Equation (9). It remains to study the convergence of series (11). For this, let us estimate functions \( \phi_k(x) \).

For \( k = 1 \) we get

\[
|\phi_1(x)| = \left| \frac{\lambda(x-a)^{\alpha\beta(m-1)}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_a^x \left( \frac{(x-a)^\alpha - (t-a)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta-1} f(t) \frac{dt}{(t-a)^{1-\alpha}} \right|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\lambda}{a^{\beta-1}\Gamma(\beta)} \int_a^x \left( (x-a)^\alpha - (t-a)^\alpha \right)^{\beta-1} f(t) \frac{dt}{(t-a)^{1-\alpha}}
\]

\[
\leq ||f||_{C[a,b]} \lambda (x-a)^{\alpha\beta(m-1)} \frac{1}{\alpha^\beta (1+\beta)} (x-a)^{\alpha\beta} = ||f||_{C[a,b]} \frac{\lambda}{\alpha^\beta (1+\beta)} (1-x)^{\alpha\beta m}.
\]
Then, the solution to Equation (2) is satisfied. Then,

*Proof.* Under the conditions of this theorem, system (11) can be written as

\[
\phi(x) = (x - a)^{\alpha \beta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_k}{\alpha^k} (x - a)^{\mu k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

In the general case, using the method of mathematical induction, one can prove that the inequality

\[
|\phi_k(x)| \leq ||f||_{C[a,b]} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta(m + 1)/\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta(m + 1)/\beta + 1)} \right) \frac{|\lambda|^k}{a^{k+1}} (x - a)^{\mu k}, \quad k \geq 1
\]

is satisfied. Then,

\[
|\phi(x)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\phi_k(x)| \leq ||f||_C \left( 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta(m + 1)/\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta(m + 1)/\beta + 1)} \right) \frac{|\lambda|^k}{a^{k+1}} (x - a)^{\mu k} \right)
\]

This implies an absolute and uniform convergence of series (12) and the inclusion \( \phi(x) \in C[a,b] \). The theorem is proved.

Now, let us construct explicit solutions of Equation (9) for particular cases of function \( f(x) \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( a, \beta > 0, m > 0 \) and \( f(x) = f_0(x - a)^{\alpha \mu}, \mu > -1 \), where \( f_0 \) is a real number. Then, the solution to Equation (9) is the function

\[
\phi(x) = f_0 \cdot (x - a)^{\alpha \mu} E_{\beta,\alpha\mu/\beta} \left( \lambda (x - a)^{\alpha \mu} \right).
\]

**Proof.** Under the conditions of this theorem, system (11) can be written as

\[
\phi_k(x) = \left( \lambda (x - a)^{\alpha \beta} \right)^k f_0 (x - a)^{\mu k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Find the explicit form of \( \phi_k(x) \). For \( k = 1 \), we get

\[
\phi_1(x) = \lambda (x - a)^{\alpha \beta} f_0 (t - a)^{\alpha \mu} (x)
\]

\[
= f_0 \frac{\lambda (x - a)^{\alpha \beta} \Gamma(\mu + 1)}{a^{\beta}} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + 1)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1 + \beta)} (x - a)^{\alpha(\mu + \beta)} = f_0 \frac{\lambda}{a^{\beta}} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + 1)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1 + \beta)} (x - a)^{\alpha(\mu + \beta - 1)}.
\]

For \( k = 2 \), we get

\[
\phi_2(x) = \lambda (x - a)^{\alpha \beta} f_0 \frac{\lambda}{a^{\beta}} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + 1)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1 + \beta)} (x - a)^{\alpha(\mu + \beta - 1)}
\]

\[
= \lambda (x - a)^{\alpha \beta} f_0 \frac{\lambda}{a^{\beta}} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + 1)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1 + \beta)} \left( (t - a)^{\alpha(\mu + \beta - 1)} \right)(x)
\]
where \( m > 4 \).

Construction of Solutions for Homogeneous Fractional Differential Equations

Let \( \alpha > 0, n - 1 < \beta \leq n, \gamma > 0 \). Let us introduce the notations \( RL B_{a,\beta}^\gamma = (x - a)^{-\alpha \gamma} D_a^\alpha, \ cB_{\gamma}^{a,\beta} = (x - a)^{-\alpha \gamma} C_a^\alpha D_a^\alpha \). Consider in the domain \( x > a \) a differential equation of the type

\[
\left( B_{\gamma}^{a,\beta} - \lambda \right)^m y(x) = 0, x > a,
\]

where \( m = 1, 2, ..., B_{\gamma}^{a,\beta} \) is one of the operators \( RL B_{a,\beta}^\gamma \) or \( cB_{\gamma}^{a,\beta} \).

Let \( m = 1 \). If we introduce the notations \( L_1 = B_{\gamma}^{a,\beta}, \ L_2 = \lambda \), Equation (15) can be rewritten in the form (4), and to construct a solution to this equation we have to construct a 0-normalized system with respect to operators \( \left( B_{\gamma}^{a,\beta}, \lambda \right) \). In this case, we will use the method proposed in [25].

**Definition 2 ([25])**. Operator \( D_\mu \) is called generalized-homogeneous of the \( \mu \) order with respect to the variable \( t \), if

\[
D_\mu t^\mu = C_{\mu, a} t^{a - \mu}, t > 0,
\]

where \( 0 < a \leq \mu \) is a real number, \( C_{\mu, a} \) is a constant.
Let \( s \in R \) and \( D_\mu \) be a generalized-homogeneous operator of order \( \mu \). Let us suppose that operator \( D_\mu \) can be applied to the monomial \( t^{\mu k + s} \). Based on equality (16), we introduce the following coefficients

\[
C(\mu, s, 0) = 1, \quad C(\mu, s, i) = \prod_{k=1}^{i} \left( t^{-\mu k - i + \mu} D_\mu t^{\mu k + s} \right), \quad i \geq 1. \tag{17}
\]

Let us assume that \( C(\mu, s, i) \neq 0, i \geq 1 \).

From (17) it follows that for coefficients \( C(\mu, s, i) \) the equalities

\[
\frac{1}{C(\mu, s, i)} = \frac{(t^{-\mu i - s} D_\mu t^{\mu i + s + \mu})}{C(\mu, s, i + 1)}, \quad i \geq 1
\]

hold.

Let \( p = 0, 1, ..., \) Consider the function

\[
y_{s, p}(t) = \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-p} \left( \frac{i}{p} \right) \frac{t^{\mu i + s}}{C(\mu, s, i)}, \tag{18}
\]

where \( \left( \frac{i}{p} \right) = \frac{\mu}{p(i-p)} \).

**Theorem 3.** Let the series (18) converge and the operator \( D_\mu \) can be applied term-by-term to it. If there exist such values of parameter \( s \) for which the equality \( (t^{-\mu i - s} D_\mu t^{\mu i + s + \mu})|_{i=0} = 0 \), then functions \( y_{s, p}(t) \) for all such values of parameters and for all \( p = 0, 1, ..., m - 1 \) satisfy the equation

\[
(D_\mu - \lambda)^m y(t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad m = 1, 2, ....
\]

From equalities (6) and (7) it follows that operators \( RL_{a, \beta}^T \) and \( C_{B_{a, \beta}}^T \) are generalized-homogeneous of order \( a(\beta + \gamma) \) with respect to \( (x - a) \). Let us construct function (18) for these operators.

First consider the case for operator \( C_{B_{a, \beta}}^T \). Let \( a > 0, n - 1 < \beta \leq n, s_j = j, j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 \) and \( f_{k,j}(x) = (x - a)^{a(\beta + \gamma)k + as_j}, k = 0, 1, .... \)

As in case (17), consider the coefficients

\[
C(a(\beta + \gamma), s_j, 0) = 1,
\]

\[
C(a(\beta + \gamma), s_j, i) = \prod_{k=1}^{i} \left( (x - a)^{-a(\beta + \gamma)k - as_j} D_\mu f_{k,j}(x) \right), \quad i \geq 1.
\]

By virtue of equality (7) for \( k \geq 1 \), we get

\[
(x - a)^{-a} C_{a}^\beta D_\mu f_{k,j}(x) = a^\beta \frac{\Gamma((\beta + \gamma)k + s_j + 1)}{\Gamma((\beta + \gamma)k + s_j + 1 - \beta)} (x - a)^{a(\beta + \gamma)k + as_j - a(\beta + \gamma)}, k \geq 1.
\]

Hence,

\[
C(a(\beta + \gamma), s_j, i) = a^\beta \prod_{k=1}^{i} \left( \frac{\Gamma((\beta + \gamma)k + s_j + 1)}{\Gamma((\beta + \gamma)k + s_j + 1 - \beta)} \right).
\tag{19}
\]

By analogy with (18), we construct the functions

\[
y_j(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i \frac{(x - a)^{a(\beta + \gamma)j + s_j}}{C(a(\beta + \gamma), s_j, i)}, \tag{20}
\]
\[ y_{j,p}(x) = \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} \lambda^{i-p} \binom{i}{p} \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha(\beta+\gamma)+s_i}}{C(a(\beta+\gamma),s_j,i)}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \] (21)

Further, as

\[ (\beta + \gamma)k + s_j + 1 = \beta \left( (1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta})k + \frac{s_j}{\beta} \right) + 1 = \beta \left( (1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta})(k-1) + \frac{s_j + \gamma}{\beta} \right) + 1 \]

and

\[ (\beta + \gamma)k + s_j + 1 - \beta = \beta \left( (1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta})k + \frac{s_j - \beta}{\beta} \right) + 1 = \beta \left( (1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta})(k-1) + \frac{s_j - \beta + \gamma}{\beta} \right) + 1 \]

then, introducing notations \( m = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta}, \ell = \frac{s_j + \gamma}{\beta} \) for coefficients \( \frac{1}{C(a(\beta+\gamma),s_j,i)} \) we get:

\[
\frac{1}{C(a(\beta+\gamma),s_j,i)} = \frac{1}{a^\beta} \prod_{k=1}^{i} \left( \frac{\Gamma[\beta(mk-1+\ell)+1]}{\Gamma[\beta(mk-1+\ell+1)+1]} \right). \]

If we now change the index \( k \) to \( k + 1 \), we finally obtain the equality

\[
\frac{1}{C(a(\beta+\gamma),s_j,i)} = \frac{1}{a^\beta} \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma[\beta(mk+\ell)+1]}{\Gamma[\beta(mk+\ell+1)+1]} \right), m = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta}, \ell = \frac{s_j + \gamma}{\beta}. \]

Hence, function \( y_j(x) \) in (20) satisfies the representation

\[ y_j(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha(\beta+\gamma)+s_i}}{C(a(\beta+\gamma),s_j,i)} = (x-a)^{\alpha(\beta+\gamma)} E_{\beta,1+\frac{\gamma}{\beta}}^{\lambda a^{-\beta}} \left( \lambda a^{-\beta} (x-a)^{a(\beta+\gamma)} \right). \]

Thus, the following assertion is valid.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( a > 0, n-1 < \beta \leq n, \gamma \geq 0, m = 1, s_j = j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1. \) Then, in case of operator \( B_{\gamma}^{a^\beta \beta} \), \( B_{\gamma}^{a^\beta \beta} \) solutions of Equation (15) are the functions

\[ y_j(x) = (x-a)^{\alpha(\beta+\gamma)} E_{\beta,1+\frac{\gamma}{\beta}}^{\lambda a^{-\beta}} \left( \lambda a^{-\beta} (x-a)^{a(\beta+\gamma)} \right), j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1. \]

We can similarly transform the functions \( y_{j,p}(x) \) from (21). We get

\[
y_{j,p}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-p} \left( \frac{n + p}{p} \right) \frac{1}{a^{n+p}} \prod_{k=0}^{n+p-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma[\beta(mk+\ell)+1]}{\Gamma[\beta(mk+\ell+1)+1]} \right) (x-a)^{\alpha(\beta+\gamma)(n+p)} \]

\[
= (x-a)^{ja} \lambda^n \left( \frac{n + p}{p} \right) \frac{1}{a^{(n+p)\beta}} \prod_{k=0}^{n+p-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma[\beta(mk+\ell)+1]}{\Gamma[\beta(mk+\ell+1)+1]} \right) (x-a)^{\alpha(\beta+\gamma)(n+p)} \]

\[
= \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha(\beta+\gamma)+p+ja}}{a^{p^\beta}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{p+1}{n!} \right) \prod_{k=0}^{n+p-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma[\beta(mk+\ell)+1]}{\Gamma[\beta(mk+\ell+1)+1]} \right) (x-a)^{\alpha(\beta+\gamma)n} \]

\[
= \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha(\beta+\gamma)+p+ja}}{a^{p^\beta}} E_{\beta,1+\frac{\gamma}{\beta}}^{\lambda a^{-\beta}} \left( \lambda a^{-\beta} (x-a)^{a(\beta+\gamma)} \right). \]
where function $E_{\beta,m,\ell}^{p+1}(z)$ is defined by the equality
\begin{equation}
E_{\beta,m,\ell}^{p+1}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p + 1)_n}{n!} c_n p z^n, \quad c_0 = 1, \quad c_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta(km + 1) + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta(km + l + 1) + 1)}, \quad n \geq 1.
\end{equation}

\textbf{Theorem 5.} Let $\alpha > 0$, $n - 1 < \beta \leq n$, $\gamma \geq 0$, $m = 1, 2, ..., s_j = j$, $j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$. Then, in case of operator $B_{\gamma}^{a,\beta} = C B_{\gamma}^{a,\alpha}$ solutions to Equation (15) are the functions
\begin{equation}
y_{j,p}(x) = \frac{(x - a)^{\alpha + \gamma + j} a^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} E_{\beta,1 + \gamma / \beta, \beta, 1}^{p+1} \left( \lambda a^{-\beta} (x - a)^{\alpha + \gamma} \right),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation*}
j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1, p = 0, 1, ..., m - 1.
\end{equation*}

\textbf{Remark 2.} Note that for $p = 0$, we get $E_{\beta,m,\ell}^{1}(z) = E_{\beta,m,\ell}(z)$. In addition, the equality holds:
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p!} \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \lambda^p} E_{\beta,m,\ell}(\lambda z) = z^p E_{\beta,m,\ell}^{p+1}(z).
\end{equation*}

Solutions to differential equations with the operator $RL B_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} = (x - a)^{-\alpha \gamma / a} D^\alpha$ are constructed in a similar way.

The following assertion is valid.

\textbf{Theorem 6.} Let $\alpha > 0$, $n - 1 < \beta \leq n$, $\gamma \geq 0$, $m = 1, 2, ..., s_j = j - j = 1, 2, ..., n$. Then in case of operator $RL B_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} = (x - a)^{-\alpha \gamma / a} D^\alpha$ solutions to Equation (15) are the functions
\begin{equation}
y_{j,p}(x) = \frac{(x - a)^{\alpha + \gamma + j} a^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} E_{\beta,1 + \gamma / \beta, \beta, 1}^{p+1} \left( \lambda a^{-\beta} (x - a)^{\alpha + \gamma} \right),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation*}
j = 1, 2, ..., n, p = 0, 1, ..., m - 1.
\end{equation*}

\textbf{Remark 3.} For case $\alpha = 1, a = 0$ this theorem was proved in [39], and for the case $\gamma = 0, a = 0$ it was proved in [25].

5. Construction of Solutions to Inhomogeneous Differential Equations of Fractional Order

In this section, we consider a method for constructing a solution to inhomogeneous differential equations of fractional order with operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial a} D^\alpha$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial a} D^{a,\alpha}$.

Let $\alpha > 0$, $n - 1 < \beta \leq n$, $\gamma, \nu \geq 0$. Consider the equation
\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial a} D^\alpha y(x) = \lambda (x - a)^{a \gamma / a} J^{\nu}(x) + f(x), \quad a < x.
\end{equation}

Let us introduce the notations $L_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} D^\alpha$, $L_2 = \lambda (x - a)^{a \gamma / a} J^{\nu}$. Then Equation (23) can be rewritten in the form (4).

First, we construct a solution to the homogeneous equation. To do this, we will construct 0-normalized systems with respect to the pair of operators $\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial a} D^\alpha, \lambda (x - a)^{a \gamma / a} J^{\nu} \right)$. From Proposition 2, it follows that for this purpose, it is necessary to find all solutions of the equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial a} D^\alpha y(x) = 0$ and the right inverse for the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial a} D^\alpha$. By the proposition of Lemma 3, the right inverse to the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial a} D^\alpha$ is the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial a} D^{a}$, and by virtue of equality (8), linearly independent solutions of the equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial a} D^{a} y(t) = 0$ are functions $(x - a)^{\alpha j}, j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$. 

\textbf{Remark 4.}
Let \( f_{0,j}(x) = (x - a)^{aj}, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1 \). Consider a system of functions

\[
f_{ij}(x) = \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{aj} \cdot \lambda(x - a)^{aj} f_{0,j}(x), i = 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{24}
\]

Let us find an explicit form of the system of functions \( f_{ij}(x) \).

The following assertion is valid.

**Lemma 4.** For functions \( f_{i,j}(x) \) equalities hold

\[
f_{i,j}(x) = \frac{1}{a^{i(\beta + \nu)}} C_{\beta,\nu}(\beta + \nu + \gamma, j, i) (x - a)^{a(\beta + \nu + \gamma + 1) + aj}, i = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{25}
\]

where

\[
C_{\beta,\nu}(\beta + \nu + \gamma, j, i) = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{\Gamma((\beta + \nu + \gamma)k + j + 1)}{\Gamma((\beta + \nu + \gamma)k + j + 1 + \nu)} 
\times \frac{\Gamma((\beta + \nu + \gamma)(k + 1) + j + 1 - \beta)}{\Gamma((\beta + \nu + \gamma)(k + 1) + j + 1)}.
\]

(26)

**Proof.** By virtue of equality (8), we get

\[
\frac{\nu}{\beta} f^{a}(x - a)^{aj} = \frac{1}{a^{\nu}} \frac{\Gamma(j + 1)}{\Gamma(j + 1 + \nu)} (x - a)^{a(j + \nu + \gamma)}.
\]

Hence, for function \( f_{1,j}(x) \), we obtain

\[
f_{1,j}(x) = \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{aj} \cdot \lambda(x - a)^{aj} f_{0,j}(x) = \frac{\lambda}{a^{\nu}} \frac{\Gamma(j + 1)}{\Gamma(j + 1 + \nu)} \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{aj} (x - a)^{a(j + \nu + \gamma)}
\]

\[
= C_{\beta,\nu}(\beta + \nu + \gamma, j, 1) (x - a)^{a(\beta + \nu + \gamma)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\lambda}{a^{\nu}} C_{\beta,\nu}(\beta + \nu + \gamma, j, 1) (x - a)^{a(\beta + \nu + \gamma + j)}
\]

If \( i = 2 \), then

\[
f_{2,j}(x) = \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{aj} \cdot \lambda(x - a)^{aj} f_{0,j}(x) = \frac{\lambda}{a^{\nu}} \frac{\Gamma(j + 1)}{\Gamma(j + 1 + \nu)} \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{aj} (x - a)^{a(j + \nu + \gamma + j)}
\]

\[
= C_{\beta,\nu}(\beta + \nu + \gamma, j, 1) \frac{\Gamma((\beta + \nu + \gamma)j + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta + \nu + \gamma + j + 1 + \nu)} \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{aj} (x - a)^{a(\beta + 2\nu + 2\gamma + j)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\lambda^2}{a^{2(\nu + \beta)}} C_{\beta,\nu}(\beta + \nu + \gamma, j, 1) \frac{\Gamma((\beta + \nu + \gamma)j + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta + 2\nu + \gamma + j + 1 + \nu)} \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{aj} (x - a)^{a(\beta + 2\nu + 2\gamma + j)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\lambda^2}{a^{2(\nu + \beta)}} C_{\beta,\nu}(\beta + \nu + \gamma, j, 2) (x - a)^{2a(\beta + \nu + \gamma) + aj}
\]

where

\[
\frac{\Gamma((\beta + \nu + \gamma)j + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta + 2\nu + \gamma + j + 1 + \nu)} \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{aj} (x - a)^{a(\beta + 2\nu + 2\gamma + j)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\lambda^2}{a^{2(\nu + \beta)}} C_{\beta,\nu}(\beta + \nu + \gamma, j, 2) (x - a)^{2a(\beta + \nu + \gamma) + aj}.
\]
Further, let equality (25) hold for a natural number $r$. Then, for $r + 1$, we get

$$ f_{r+1,j}(x) = \left( \frac{\beta}{a} f_a \cdot \lambda(x-a)^{a \gamma} \cdot y_j f_a \right)^{r+1} f_{0,j}(x) = \left( \frac{\beta}{a} f_a \cdot \lambda(x-a)^{a \gamma} \cdot y_j f_a \right) $$

$$ \cdot \left( \frac{\beta}{a} f_a \cdot \lambda(x-a)^{a \gamma} \cdot y_j f_a \right)^{r+1} f_{0,j}(x) = \frac{\lambda^r}{a^{r+1}(v+\beta)} C_{\beta,v}(\beta + v, j, r) \frac{\Gamma((\beta + v + \gamma) r + j + 1)}{\Gamma((\beta + v + \gamma) r + j + 1 + v)} $$

$$ \cdot (x-a)^{(\beta + v + \gamma) r + aj} = \frac{\lambda^r}{a^{r+1}(v+\beta)} C_{\beta,v}(\beta + v, j, r) \frac{\Gamma((\beta + v + \gamma) r + j + 1)}{\Gamma((\beta + v + \gamma) r + j + 1 + v)} $$

Thus, equality (25) also holds for the case $r + 1$. Obviously, for the given values of parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, v$, for any $i \geq 1$, the inequality $C_{\beta,v}(\beta + v, j, i) \neq 0$ is satisfied. The lemma is proved. □

Let $C_{\beta,v}(\beta + v, \gamma, j, 0) = 1$ and consider functions

$$ u_j(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_{\beta,v}(\beta + v, \gamma, j, i) z^i, j = 0, ..., n - 1, \quad (27) $$

where $z$ is a complex number.

If, in equality (26) $\gamma = 0$, then

$$ C_{\beta,v}(\beta + v, j, i) = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{\Gamma((\beta + v) k + j + 1)}{\Gamma((\beta + v) k + j + 1 + v)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma((\beta + v)(k + 1) + j + 1 - \beta)}{\Gamma((\beta + v)(k + 1) + j + 1)} $$

$$ = \frac{\Gamma(j + 1)}{\Gamma(i(\beta + v) + j + 1)}, $$

and

$$ u_j(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(j + 1)}{\Gamma(i(\beta + v) + j + 1)} z^i = (j + 1)E_{\beta+v,j+1}(z). $$

Moreover, for $v = 0$ the following equality holds:

$$ C_{\beta,0}(\beta + \gamma, j, i) = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{\Gamma((\beta + \gamma) k + j + 1)}{\Gamma((\beta + \gamma) k + j + 1 + \beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma((\beta + \gamma)(k + 1) + j + 1 - \beta)}{\Gamma((\beta + \gamma)(k + 1) + j + 1)} $$

$$ = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{\Gamma((\beta + \gamma)(k + 1) + j + 1 - \beta)}{\Gamma((\beta + \gamma) k + \beta + \gamma + j + 1)} = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta((1 + \gamma)/\beta) k + (\gamma + j)/\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta((1 + \gamma)/\beta) k + (\gamma + j)/\beta + 1 + 1)} = c_i, $$
i.e., these coefficients coincide with the expansion coefficients of the function $E_{\beta,m,l}(z)$ with the indices $m = 1 + \frac{r}{p}$, $\ell = \frac{\gamma + 1}{p}$. It was shown in [30] that the coefficients of the function $E_{\beta,m,l}(z)$ satisfy the asymptotic estimate

$$\frac{c_i}{c_{i+1}} = (\beta mi)^{\beta} \to \infty (i \to \infty),$$

from whence it follows that the function $E_{\beta,m,l}(z)$ is an integral function.

Let us introduce the notation $\delta = \beta + \gamma + \nu$ and rewrite coefficients $C_{\beta,v}(\beta + \nu, \gamma, j, i)$ as

$$C_{\beta,v}(\delta, j, i) = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{\Gamma[\delta k + j + 1]}{\Gamma[\delta k + j + 1 + \nu]} \cdot \frac{\Gamma[\delta k + \delta + j + 1 - \beta]}{\Gamma[\delta k + \delta + j + 1]}$$

$$= \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(v\left(k\frac{\beta}{\nu} + \frac{j}{\nu}\right) + 1\right)}{\Gamma\left(v\left(k\frac{\beta}{\nu} + \frac{j}{\nu} + 1\right) + 1\right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left[\beta\left(k\frac{\beta}{\nu} + \frac{\delta + j - \beta}{\nu} + 1\right) + 1\right]}{\Gamma\left[\beta\left(k\frac{\beta}{\nu} + \frac{\delta + j - \beta}{\nu} + 1\right) + 1\right]}, i \geq 1, \nu > 0.$$

Further, from the asymptotic estimate

$$\frac{C_{\beta,v}(\delta, j, i)}{C_{\beta,v}(\delta, j, i + 1)} = (\delta i)^{\nu + \beta} \to \infty (i \to \infty),$$

it follows that $u_{ij}(z), j = 1, 2, ..., m$, from equality (27) it also follows that they are integral functions.

Lemma 4 and Proposition 2 imply the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** Let $\alpha > 0, n - 1 < \beta \leq n, \gamma, \nu \geq 0$. Then for all values $j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$ the system of functions (25) is 0-normalized with respect to the pair of operators $\left(\frac{C_{\beta}D^a, \lambda(x - a)^{\alpha \gamma}}{a^\beta D^a}, \frac{y}{a^\beta y}\right)$ in the domain $x > a$.

Using the main property of normalized systems, we obtain the following assertion.

**Theorem 7.** Let $\alpha > 0, n - 1 < \beta \leq n, \gamma, \nu \geq 0$ Then, for all values $j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$ the functions

$$y_j(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_{ij}(x) \equiv (x - a)^{a \gamma} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^i}{\alpha^{\beta + \nu}} C_{\beta,v}(\beta + \nu + \gamma, j, i)(x - a)^{\alpha (\beta + \nu + \gamma) i}$$

(28)

are linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous Equation (23) where $y_j(t) \in C[a, b]$, $\frac{C_{\beta}D^a y_j(x)}{a^\beta D^a} \in C[a, b]$.

**Proof.** Consider the function

$$u_j(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i C_{\beta,v}(\beta + \nu + \gamma, j, i)(x - a)_{i}, j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1.$$

Since function (27) is an integral function, it is obvious that

$$y_j(x) = (x - a)^{\alpha \gamma} u_j(\lambda x^{-(\beta + \nu)}(x - a)^{\alpha (\beta + \nu + \gamma)}) \in C[a, b]$$

and

$$\frac{C_{\beta}D^a y_j(x)}{a^\beta D^a y_j(x)} = \lambda y_j(x) \in C[a, b],$$

for $j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$. Therefore, functions $y_j(x)$ from (28) are solutions to the homogeneous Equation (23). The proof of the linear independence of solutions (28) will be shown below in Theorem 11. The theorem is proved. □
Corollary 3. Let the conditions of Theorem 7 be satisfied and \( \nu = 0 \). Then, the solutions to the homogeneous Equation (23) are represented as
\[
y_j(x) = (x - a)^{\alpha_j} E_{\beta_j + 1, \gamma_j + 1} \left( \frac{\lambda (x - a)^{\alpha_j}}{\alpha (\beta_j + \gamma_j)} \right), j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1.
\]

Corollary 4. Let the conditions of Theorem 7 be satisfied and \( \gamma = 0 \). Then, the solutions of the homogeneous Equation (23) are represented as
\[
y_j(x) = \Gamma(j + 1)(x - a)^{\alpha_j} E_{\beta_j + \nu, j + 1} \left( \frac{\lambda (x - a)^{\alpha_j}}{\alpha \beta_j} \right), j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1.
\]

Further, we will consider a method for constructing a solution to the inhomogeneous equation. Let \( f(x) \in C[a, b] \). Then, by the proposition of Lemma 3, the function \( f_0(x) = \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial x^\beta} f(x) \) satisfies the equality
\[
L_1 f_0(x) = C^\beta \partial^\beta_D \left[ \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial x^\beta} f \right](x) = f(x).
\]

Consider the system
\[
f_i(x) = \left( \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial x^\beta} f_a \cdot \lambda (x - a)^{\alpha_i} \cdot \nu \right)^i \cdot f_0(x), i = 1, 2, ..., (29)
\]

**Lemma 6.** Let \( f(x) \in C[a, b] \). Then the system of function (29) is \( f(x) \)-normalized with respect to the pair of operators \( \left( \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial x^\beta} D^\alpha, \lambda (x - a)^{\alpha_i} \cdot \nu \right)^i \) in the domain \( x > a \).

**Proof.** Let \( f(x) \in C[a, b] \), then
\[
|f_0(x)| = \left| \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial x^\beta} f(x) \right| \leq \|f\|_{C[a, b]} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\beta + 1} (x - a)^{\alpha \beta}.
\]

Hence
\[
|f_0(x)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{C[a, b]}}{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\beta + 1} (x - a)^{\alpha \beta} \|f_0(x)\|_{C[a, b]} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\beta + 1} (b - a)^{\alpha \beta}.
\]

Further, we use the notation \( M = \frac{\|f\|_{C[a, b]}}{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\beta + 1} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\beta + 1} \). Then \( |f_0(x)| \leq M(x - a)^{\alpha \beta} \) From the latter estimate, it follows that
\[
\left| \left( \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial x^\beta} f_a \cdot \lambda (x - a)^{\alpha_i} \cdot \nu \right)^i \cdot f_0(x) \right| \leq M|\lambda| \left( \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial x^\beta} f_a \cdot (x - a)^{\alpha_i} \cdot \nu \right)^i \cdot (x - a)^{\alpha \beta}.
\]

Hence, for any \( i \geq 1 \), the estimate is valid:
\[
|f_i(x)| = \left| \left( \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial x^\beta} f_a \cdot \lambda (x - a)^{\alpha_i} \cdot \nu \right)^i \cdot f_0(x) \right| \leq M|\lambda| \left( \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial x^\beta} f_a \cdot (x - a)^{\alpha_i} \cdot \nu \right)^i \cdot (x - a)^{\alpha \beta}.
\]

Let us calculate the value of the function \( g_i(x) = \left( \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial x^\beta} f_a \cdot (x - a)^{\alpha_i} \cdot \nu \right)^i \cdot (x - a)^{\alpha \beta} \). Due to equality (25), we get
\[
g_i(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha (\beta + \nu)} C_{\beta, \nu} (\beta + \nu + \gamma, \beta, i) (x - a)^{(\beta + \nu + \gamma) i + \alpha \beta}, i = 1, 2, ....
\]
Hence, for any $i \geq 1$ the relation $f_i(x) \in C[a, b]$ and estimates

$$|f_i(x)| \leq M \frac{|\lambda|^i}{\alpha^i \beta + \nu} |C_{\beta,i}(\beta + \nu + \gamma, \beta, i)(x - a)^{(\beta + \nu + \gamma)i + \alpha \beta}}$$

(30)

are valid. Moreover,

$$ \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} f^\alpha \cdot \Lambda(x - a)^{\alpha \gamma} \cdot \nu J^\nu \right)^i f_0(x) = \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} f^\alpha \cdot \Lambda(x - a)^{\alpha \gamma} \cdot \nu J^\nu \right) f_1(x) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} f^\alpha g(x) $$

where

$$g(x) = \Lambda(x - a)^{\alpha \gamma} \cdot \nu J^\nu f_{i-1}(x).$$

As $f_{i-1}(x) \in C[a, b]$, then $g(x) = \Lambda(x - a)^{\alpha \gamma} \cdot \nu J^\nu f_{i-1}(x)$ also belongs to class $C[a, b]$ and the equality is satisfied:

$$L_1 f_i(x) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} D^\alpha \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} f^\alpha \cdot \Lambda(x - a)^{\alpha \gamma} \cdot \nu J^\nu \right)^i f_0(x) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} D^\alpha \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} f^\alpha \cdot \Lambda(x - a)^{\alpha \gamma} \cdot \nu J^\nu \right) f_{i-1}(x) = L_2 f_{i-1}(x), i \geq 1.$$

It is obvious that $L_1 f_0(x) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} D^\alpha (f_0)(x) = f(x)$. Thus, in the class of functions $X = C[a, b]$, the equalities

$$L_1 f_0(x) = f(x), L_1 f_1(x) = L_2 f_{i-1}(x), i \geq 1$$

hold, i.e., system (29) is $f -$ normalized with respect to the pair of operators

$$\left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} D^\alpha, \Lambda(x - a)^{\alpha \gamma} \cdot \nu J^\nu \right).$$

The lemma is proved. \qed

**Theorem 8.** Let $f(x) \in C[a, b]$, $f_0(x) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} f^\alpha f(x)$ and function $f_i(x), i \geq 1$ are defined by equality (29). Then, the function

$$y_f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty f_i(x)$$

(31)

is a particular solution of Equation (23) from the class $C[a, b]$.

**Proof.** Let us estimate the series (31). By virtue of estimate (30), we have

$$|y_f| \leq \sum_{i=0}^\infty |f_i(t)| \leq M ||f||_{C[a, b]} (x - a)^{\alpha \beta} \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^\infty |\lambda|^i |C_{\beta,i}(\beta + \gamma + \nu, \beta, i)(x - a)^{(\beta + \gamma + \nu)i} \right].$$

As the latter series converges uniformly in the domain $a \leq t \leq b$, the sum of this series, and hence the function $y_f(t)$, belong to class $C[a, b]$. The theorem is proved.

Let us investigate the representation of function (31) for some special cases of function $f(x)$. \qed

**Lemma 7.** Let $f(x) = (x - a)^{\alpha \mu}, \mu \geq 0$. Then, the particular solution of Equation (23) is written as

$$y_f(t) = \frac{\mu}{\beta} \Gamma(\mu + 1)(x - a)^{\alpha (\mu + \beta)} \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{\lambda^i}{\Gamma(\mu + 1 + \beta)} C_{\beta,i}(\beta + \gamma + \nu, \mu + \beta, i)(x - a)^{\alpha (\beta + \gamma + \nu)}.$$
Proof. In this case, for $f_i(x)$ from (29), we get

$$f_i(x) = \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} f^a \cdot \lambda(x-a)^{\mu} \right)^i$$

Equation (33). Let us show that

$$\Gamma(\mu + 1) \Gamma(1 + \beta) \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} f^a \cdot \lambda(x-a)^{\mu} \right)^i$$

The lemma is proved. □

This lemma implies the following assertion.

Theorem 9. Let $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j (x-a)^{\mu_j}/, \mu_j \geq 0, \mu_j > -1$. Then the particular solution of Equation (23) is written as

$$y_f(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{\lambda_j \Gamma(\mu_j + 1)(x-a)^{\mu_j}}{\alpha^\beta \Gamma(\mu_j + 1 + \beta)}$$

$$\times \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i}{\alpha^{\nu}} C_{\nu,\nu}(\beta + \gamma + \mu_j + \beta, i)(x-a)^{\mu_j}(\beta + \gamma + \nu)$$

Further, let us investigate the following Cauchy-type problem

$$C^\beta_a D^\alpha y(x) = \lambda(x-a)^{\mu} y(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j (x-a)^{\mu_j}/, \mu_j \geq 0, a < x, \quad (33)$$

$$m^a_T y(x)|_{x=a} = d_m, m = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$$

where $d_k$ are real numbers. First, let us consider the homogeneous problem (33), (34).

Theorem 10. Let $\lambda_j = 0, j = 1, 2, ..., p$. Then, the solution to the Cauchy problem (33), (34) exists, is unique, and can be represented as

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{d_j}{\alpha^{\nu}(j+1)}(x-a)^{\nu} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{\alpha^{\nu}} C_{\nu,\nu}(\beta + \gamma + \mu_j + \beta, i)(x-a)^{\mu_j}(\beta + \gamma + \nu)$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_j = 0, j = 1, 2, ..., p$. According to Theorem 7, function $y(x)$ in (35) is a solution to Equation (33). Let us show that $y(x)$ satisfies the initial conditions (34). For function $(x-a)^{\alpha_j}$ we have

$$m^a_T(x-a)^{\alpha_j} = 0, m > j, m^a_T(x-a)^{\alpha_j} = aj(aj - a)...(aj - (m - 1)a)(x-a)^{\alpha(j-m)} = \frac{a^m \Gamma(j + 1)}{\Gamma(j + 1 - m)}(x-a)^{\alpha(j-m)}, m = 1, 2, ..., j.$$
Theorem 12. If the conditions of Theorem 12 are satisfied and \( y \) is unique, and can be represented as

\[
y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{d_j}{\Gamma(j+1)} (x-a)^j \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Gamma(i+\beta)} C_{j,i}(x-a)^{(\beta+\gamma+\nu)i}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Gamma(j+1)} (x-a)^{(\beta+j+\nu)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Gamma(i+\beta)} C_{j,i}(x-a)^{(\beta+\gamma+j+\nu)i})
\]

\[
\times (x-a)^{(\beta+\gamma+j+\nu)}.
\]

Corollary 5. Let the conditions of Theorem 12 be satisfied and \( \nu = 0 \). Then, the solution to the Cauchy problem (33), (34) exists, is unique, and can be represented as

\[
y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{d_j}{\Gamma(j+1)} (x-a)^j E_{\beta,1+\gamma+j+\nu} \left( \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha(\beta+\gamma)}}{\alpha^{\beta}} \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{\lambda_j}{\Gamma(j+1)} (x-a)^{(\beta+j+\nu)} E_{\beta,1+\gamma+j+\nu} \left( \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha(\beta+\gamma)}}{\alpha^{\beta}} \right).
\]

In case \( a = 1, a = 0 \) the obtained representation of the solution coincides with Formula (65) in the work of A.A. Kilbas and M. Saigo [39].

Remark 5. If \( \gamma = 0 \), then it is not difficult to find an explicit form of the system \( f_i(x) \) from (29).

Indeed, in this case, by virtue of Formula (31) from [28], the equality \( \frac{\beta}{a} f^a \cdot \frac{\gamma}{a} f^a = \frac{\beta + \gamma}{a} f^a \) is valid and thus

\[
f_i(x) = \left( \frac{\beta}{a} f^a \cdot \frac{\gamma}{a} f^a \right)^i f_0(x) \equiv \lambda_i f_0(x) = \lambda_i f^a(x) f(x), i = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Then

\[
y_f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i f^a(x) f(x)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Gamma((\beta+\gamma)i+\beta)} \left( \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} - \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^{(\beta+\gamma)i+\beta-1} f(t) \frac{dt}{(t-a)^{1-\alpha}}
\]
Theorem 10 the following assertion follows.

is the function

\[ \frac{(x - a)^{\alpha} - (t - a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \]

In particular, for \( n \) (42) the solution to problem

Example 1.

equation in the theory of electrical circuits.

Corollary 6. Let \( f(t) \) be a smooth function. Then, the solution to the Cauchy problem

\[ C^\beta_D^a y(x) = \lambda^\alpha f^a y(x) + f(x), a < x, \]

is the function

\[ y(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k \left( x - a \right)^{ak} E_{\beta+1,k+1} \left( \frac{\lambda \left( x - a \right)^{a(\beta+v)}}{\alpha^{(\beta+v)}} \right) + \int_a^x \left( \frac{(x - a)^{\alpha} - (t - a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta-1} E_{\beta+v,\beta} \left( \frac{\lambda \left( x - a \right)^{\alpha} - (t - a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right) f(t) \frac{dt}{(t - a)^{1-\alpha}}. \]  

In particular, for \( n = 1, \nu = 0 \) we get

\[ y(x) = d_0 E_{\beta,1} \left( \frac{\lambda \left( x - a \right)^{\beta\alpha}}{\alpha^\beta} \right) + \int_a^x \left( \frac{(x - a)^{\alpha} - (t - a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta-1} E_{\beta+1,\beta} \left( \frac{\lambda \left( x - a \right)^{\alpha} - (t - a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right) f(t) \frac{dt}{(t - a)^{1-\alpha}}. \]

This formula for \( a = 0 \) and \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \) was obtained in [30].

In conclusion, we will consider an example of applying the results obtained to the equation in the theory of electrical circuits.

Example 1. Let \( 0 < \beta \leq 1, \alpha, \gamma \geq 0 \). Consider the following Cauchy problem

\[ \left( C^\beta_D^a V \right)(t) + \rho(t - a)^{\gamma\alpha} V + f^a V(t) = A, t > a, V(0) = V_0, \]

where \( A, \rho, V_0 \) are given as real numbers, \( V(t) \) is an unknown function. By virtue of Formula (37), the solution to problem (42) is the function

\[ V(t) = V_0 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\rho)^i}{\alpha^i(\beta+\nu)} C_{\beta+\nu,0,i}(\beta+v+\gamma,i)(t - a)_{\alpha(\beta+v+\gamma)i} + A \left( t - a \right)^{\gamma\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\rho)^i}{\alpha^i(\beta+\nu)} C_{\beta+\gamma+\nu,\beta+i}(\beta+\gamma+v,\beta,i)(t - a)^{\alpha(\beta+\gamma+v)}. \]
If $\nu = 0$, the solution to problem (42) is represented as

$$V(t) = V_0 \cdot E_{\beta, \frac{1}{\beta}+1} \left(-\frac{\rho}{\alpha \beta} (t-a)^{\alpha (\beta+\gamma)}\right) + A \left(\frac{(t-a)^{\eta \beta}}{\alpha \beta}\right) E_{\beta, \frac{1}{\beta}+1} \left(-\frac{\rho}{\alpha \beta} (t-a)^{\alpha (\beta+\gamma)}\right). \quad (43)$$

If $\nu = 0, \gamma = 0$, then Equation (42) coincides with the differential equation of motion of electrons in metals (the Drude model), considered in [23]. In this case, function (43) will be written as

$$y(t) = V_0 \cdot E_{\beta, 1} \left(-\frac{\rho}{\alpha \beta} (t-a)^{\alpha (\beta+\gamma)}\right) + A \left(\frac{(t-a)^{\eta \beta}}{\alpha \beta}\right) E_{\beta, 1} \left(-\frac{\rho}{\alpha \beta} (t-a)^{\alpha (\beta+\gamma)}\right). \quad (44)$$

As

$$E_{\beta, \frac{1}{\beta}+1} \left(-\frac{\rho}{\alpha \beta} (t-a)^{\alpha (\beta+\gamma)}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\rho}{\alpha \beta}\right)^i \frac{(t-a)^{i \alpha \beta}}{\Gamma(\beta k + \beta + 1)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\rho}{\alpha \beta}\right)^i \frac{(t-a)^{i \alpha \beta}}{\beta (k+1) \Gamma(\beta k + 1)},$$

function (44) coincides with Formula (40) obtained in [29].

**Remark 6.** Similar investigations can be carried out for the equation with the operator $\hat{\beta}_a D^\alpha$.
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