FGF2 and FGFR2 in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and lung cancer
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Abstract. The aim of this study was to investigate the expression of FGF2 and FGFR2 in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and lung cancer (LC) as well as their clinical significance. Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) and western blotting were used to detect FGF2 and FGFR2 expression in LC and adjacent normal tissues of LC patients and lavage fluid of idiopathic pulmonary fibers patients and normal controls (confirmed by bronchoalveolar lavage examination). The expression levels of FGF2 mRNA and protein in the non-small cell LC tissues were significantly higher than those in the adjacent normal tissues (P<0.001). The expression level of FGF2 protein in lavage fluid of patients with IPF was higher than that of the control group (P<0.001). The expression level of FGFR2 mRNA in the non-small cell LC tissues was significantly higher than that in the adjacent normal tissues (P<0.001). The expression level of FGFR2 protein in the non-small cell LC tissues was higher than that in the adjacent normal tissues (P<0.001). The expression levels of FGF2 mRNA and FGFR2 mRNA in cancer tissues were not significantly correlated with age, sex and history of smoking (P>0.05), but were significantly correlated with lymph node metastasis, tumor differentiation and TNM staging. FGF2 and FGFR2 proteins were highly expressed in cancer tissues of LC patients and lavage fluid of patients with IPF. The expression of FGF2 mRNA and FGFR2 mRNA was correlated with lymph node metastasis and TNM stage. The high expression levels of FGF2 mRNA and FGFR2 mRNA were associated with tumor metastasis and poor prognosis of LC patients.

Introduction

Cancer is one of the most important public health problems faced by human beings all over the world, and lung cancer (LC) is one of the most common cancers with the highest mortality rate among all malignant tumors (1). Approximately 2 million patients in developing countries die each year due to LC, and incidence and mortality of LC showed an increasing trend in recent years, and the onset age is becoming increasingly younger (2,3). LC mainly affects patients between 60-70 years, and the incidence is higher in men than in women. Surgical treatment is the main treatment for patients with LC, while most patients are diagnosed at advanced stages and chemotherapy and targeted drug therapy for those patients failed to significantly improve the 5-year survival rate, leading to poor prognosis (4). Therefore, early diagnosis and treatment is critical for the survival of patients with LC.

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a characteristic pathological change from common interstitial pneumonia to chronic inflammatory interstitial lung disease. The main manifestations of IPF include pulmonary fibrosis and diffuse alveolitis. Fibrosis of lung tissue leads to increase in hardness of the lung tissue and decrease in compliance (5,6). The median survival time of IPF patients after diagnosis is as short as 2-3 years, and 5-year survival rate is only 20%, seriously influencing the patient's life. Current diagnostic methods for IPF are mainly based on pulmonary imaging examinations and clinical features, but these methods do not provide information for the prognosis of those patients during treatment (7).

In recent years, studies have shown that FGFs and FGFRs form the FGFR pathway. Studies have shown that the FGFR pathway participates in the body's growth and development, wound healing, tumor formation, fibrosis and inflammatory reactions (8). Therefore, we detected the expression of FGF2 and FGFR2 in LC and IPF. Our findings provide new insights into the diagnosis and treatment of LC and IPF.

Patients and methods

Clinical data. In this study, 108 patients with LC who were treated in Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital (Shanghai, China)
from May 2013 to July 2016 were collected. Cancerous tissues and adjacent healthy tissues were collected during surgery. Tissues were stored in liquid nitrogen. In addition, 88 cases of IPF patients and 100 normal people with cough were also collected in Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital. Lavage fluid was collected from IPF patients and normal controls. This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital (Shanghai, China), and all patients were informed and signed informed consent. Clinical data of patients were collected for further analysis.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria. Patients > 18 years, and course of the disease longer than 6 months; patients who were not treated with anti-tumor drugs; patients who received no radiotherapy or chemotherapy.

Exclusion criteria. Patients with digestive disease; patients with blood relationship with other patients; patients with cirrhosis or autoimmune disease; patients with serious infection; patients who received blood transfusion recently.

Detection method

Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). Tissues were ground in liquid nitrogen and total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Shanghai Pufei Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). RNA quality was tested by an ultraviolet spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan), and only RNA samples with a 260/280 ratio between 1.8-2.2 were used in reverse transcription to synthesize cDNA using a reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). PCR reaction system was prepared using a PCR kit (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.): 10 µl of TaqMan 2 x Universal Master Mix II, 2 µl of each of the upstream and downstream primers, 2 µl of cDNA template and 4 µl of water. PCR reaction conditions: 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec, 55°C for 35 sec and 72°C for 20 sec. Each experiment was performed 3 times with GAPDH as endogenous control. Sequences of primers used in PCR reactions are listed in Table I.

Western blotting. Lung tissues were mixed with protein lysate containing protease inhibitor and ground on ice. Collected lavage fluid was centrifuged at 2,500 x g using a cryogenic centrifuge for 5 min at 4°C to remove the supernatant. Centrifugation was performed again to remove the supernatant. Then protein lysate containing protease inhibitor was added and incubated on ice. BCA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used to measure protein concentration. After 10% SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis (80-120 V) for 100 min, gel transfer to a PVDF membrane was performed.

Table I. Primer sequences.

| Genes   | Forward | Reverse               |
|---------|---------|-----------------------|
| FGF2    | 5'-CGGCTGTACTGCGAAAAACGG-3' | 5'-GATGTGAGGGTCGCTTTCTCC-3' |
| FGFR2   | 5'-TACCAAATCTCCCCAACCAGAG-3' | 5'-CCCATCTTTAGTCCAACTGAT-3' |
| GAPDH   | 5'-CAGGGCCTGTTTTAATCTCCTGTAA-3' | 5'-GGGTGGAATCATATTGGAAACATGT-3' |

Table II. Comparison of clinical data among groups.

| Groups | n       | LC patients | IPF patients | Normal controls | F-value | P-value |
|--------|---------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|---------|
| Sex    |         |             |              |                 |         |         |
| Male   | 203     | 75          | 60           | 68              | 0.060   | 0.971   |
| Female | 93      | 33          | 28           | 32              |         |         |
| Age    |         |             |              |                 |         |         |
| >60    | 174     | 65          | 49           | 60              | 0.498   | 0.780   |
| ≤60    | 122     | 43          | 39           | 40              |         |         |
| Smoking history |         |             |              |                 |         |         |
| Yes    | 205     | 78          | 62           | 65              | 1.356   | 0.508   |
| No     | 91      | 30          | 26           | 35              |         |         |
| Alcoholism |       |             |              |                 |         |         |
| Yes    | 49      | 20          | 12           | 17              | 0.858   | 0.651   |
| No     | 247     | 88          | 76           | 83              |         |         |
| Exercise habits |     |             |              |                 |         |         |
| Yes    | 36      | 15          | 9            | 12              | 0.612   | 0.736   |
| No     | 260     | 93          | 79           | 88              |         |         |
| Place of residence |         |             |              |                 |         |         |
| Urban area | 267   | 99          | 78           | 90              | 0.511   | 0.775   |
| Rural area | 29    | 9           | 10           | 10              |         |         |
| Marital status |       |             |              |                 |         |         |
| Married | 273     | 99          | 82           | 92              | 0.166   | 0.920   |
| Unmarried | 23   | 9           | 6            | 8               |         |         |

LC, lung cancer; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.

The membranes were blocked with 5% skimmed milk for 1.5 h at room temperature. After washing with TBST, the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies (1:1,000; SAB Biotherapeutics, Inc., Sioux Falls, SD, USA) overnight at 4°C. After washing with TBST, the membranes were further incubated with secondary antibody (1:2,000) at room temperature for 1 h. Finally, TBST (ProteinTech Group, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was added to develop signals. The relative expression of each protein was normalized to β-actin. Primary rabbit polyclonal FGF2 antibody (dilution: 1/1,000; cat. no. ab8880), rabbit polyclonal FGFR2 antibody (dilution: 1/1,000; cat. no. ab10648), rabbit polyclonal β-actin antibody (dilution: 1/1,000; cat. no. ab8227) and secondary goat anti-rabbit (HRP) IgG antibody (dilution: 1/2,000; cat. no. ab6721) were all purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA).
Statistical analysis. SPSS 20.0 software package (IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA) was used to process the collected data. Measured data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and compared by t-test. Count data were expressed as % and compared by Chi-square test. Comparisons among multiple groups were performed using one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc test (Least Significant Difference). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Comparison of clinical data among groups. No significant differences in sex, age, IBM, smoking history, alcoholism, exercise habits, place of residence, and marital status were found among groups (P>0.05) (Table II).

Relative expression levels of FGF2 and FGFR2 in each group. RT-qPCR and western blotting results showed that FGF2 mRNA and protein was highly expressed in cancer tissues of LC patients, and the expression levels were significantly higher than that in the adjacent tissues (P<0.05). In addition, FGFR2 mRNA and protein was highly expressed in cancer tissues of LC patients, and the expression levels were significantly higher than that in the adjacent tissues (P<0.05). Besides that, the expression levels of FGF2 and FGFR2 proteins were also significantly higher in IPF patients than in the normal patients (P<0.05) (Table III; Figs. 1 and 2).

Correlation between expression of FGF2 and FGFR2 and clinicopathological data of LC patients. Correlation analysis between the expression of FGF2 and FGFR2 mRNA in cancer tissues of LC patients and patients’ clinicopathological data showed that expression of FGF2 and FGFR2 in LC lung tissues was not significantly correlated with age, sex and smoking history (P>0.05), but was significantly correlated with lymph node metastasis, tumor differentiation, and TNM stage (P<0.05) (Table IV).
LC as the most common type of malignancy in clinical practice is also one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths in both developed and developing countries. Studies have shown that the incidence of LC is increasing every year, and the incidence rate in urban areas is the highest (9). Surgical resection is the most common treatment for LC patients, but is not acceptable for most patients. In clinical practice, chemotherapy and radiotherapy are mostly used. However, patients with long-term radiotherapy and chemotherapy will develop severe adverse reactions.

IPF is a fibrosis-specific lesion, and the cause of IPF is unknown. The occurrence of IPF is related to age, sex, working environment, and smoking history (10). Hutchinson (11) retrospectively analyzed the prevalence of IPF in 21 countries, and it was found that the prevalence of IPF in the world is on the rise. With the growth of the aging population and aggregated air pollution, the incidence of IPF has been further increased. Studies have shown that the occurrence of IPF and LC share the same genetic mutations and abnormal activation of signal pathways (12), suggesting a potential link between IPF and LC.

FGFR signaling pathway is composed of FGFs and FGFRs, which were expressed in all tissues of the human body and participate in a variety of physiological processes (13). FGFs circulate through a variety of secretory pathways (autocrine, paracrine, and endocrine). FGFs bind to heparin sulfate proteoglycans to protect ligands and assist FGFRs in the activation of downstream signaling molecules (14). FGFR2 receptor is a tyrosine kinase, and studies have shown that FGFR2 is closely related to tumor angiogenesis, tumor metastasis, cancer prognosis, and plays an important role in target gene therapy (15).

In this study, the expression of FGF2 and FGFR2 in LC patients and IPF patients was detected at mRNA and protein levels. We found that FGF2 and FGFR2 expression was significantly upregulated in cancer tissues compared to the adjacent tissues in LC patients. Studies have shown that (16) FGF2 is highly expressed in various cancer tissues, Siegfried et al. (17) found that FGF2 is also highly expressed in patients with non-small cell LC, which is consistent with the findings in our study. Correlation analysis between the expression of FGF2 and FGFR2 in cancer tissues of LC patients and patients’ clinical pathological data showed that expression of FGF2 and FGFR2 in LC lung tissues was not significantly correlated with age, sex, histological types and smoking history, but was significantly positively correlated with lymph node metastasis and TNM stage (P<0.05). After the binding of FGFs to FGFRs, their receptors will dimerize and activate downstream pathways to promote cell proliferation, invasion, migration, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (18). Therefore, we speculated that the high expression levels of FGFs and FGFRs were associated with tumor invasion. In this study, the expression levels of FGF2 and FGFR2 proteins in lavage fluid were also found to be significantly higher in IPF patients than in the normal patients.

Table IV. Correlation between expression of FGF2 and FGFR2 and clinicopathological data of LC patients.

| Clinicopathological data | n=108 | FGF2 mRNA t/F | P-value | FGFR2 mRNA t/F | P-value |
|--------------------------|-------|---------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| Age                      |       |               |         |                |         |
| ≥60                      | 65    | 0.984±0.131   | 1.259   | 1.025±0.158    | 1.211   |
| <60                      | 43    | 0.953±0.116   | 1.179   | 1.063±0.162    | 0.328   |
| Sex                      |       |               |         |                |         |
| Male                     | 75    | 0.973±0.138   | 0.708   | 1.084±0.162    | 0.269   |
| Female                   | 33    | 0.968±0.124   | 0.179   | 1.073±0.158    | 0.788   |
| Smoking history           |       |               |         |                |         |
| Yes                      | 78    | 0.975±0.132   | 3.783   | 1.110±0.158    | 2.203   |
| No                       | 30    | 0.955±0.130   | 0.708   | 1.092±0.149    | 0.030   |
| Lymph node metastasis    |       |               |         |                |         |
| Yes                      | 97    | 1.135±0.164   | 6.684   | 1.154±0.164    | 3.257   |
| No                       | 11    | 0.942±0.120   | 0.002   | 1.041±0.132    | 0.042   |
| Differentiation          |       |               |         |                |         |
| Low                      | 18    | 1.105±0.142   | 13.958  | 1.112±0.178    | 4.682   |
| Moderate                 | 55    | 0.988±0.137   | 0.002   | 1.035±0.163    | 0.011   |
| High                     | 35    | 0.965±0.133   | 0.998±0.149 | 0.994±0.143 | 1.054±0.158 |
| TNM stage                |       |               |         |                |         |
| I                        | 16    | 0.958±0.122   | 13.958  | 0.998±0.149    | 1.132±0.183 |
| II                       | 45    | 0.994±0.143   | 1.132±0.155 | 1.132±0.183 | 1.132±0.155 |
| III+IV                   | 47    | 1.132±0.155   | 1.132±0.183 | 1.132±0.155 | 1.132±0.183 |

LC, lung cancer.
proliferation and fibrosis formation in mice after lung injury. Therefore, we hypothesized that neovascularization in patients with IPF may be mediated by the FGF2/FGFR2 pathway.

However, our study also has some limitations. The expression of FGF2 and FGFR2 in IPF patients was not detected at the mRNA level, and the downstream pathways were not investigated. All patients were from the local region, and regional differences were not excluded. We will try to detect FGF2 and FGFR2 mRNA in the peripheral blood of patients with IPF, increase the number of test items, and increase the sample size in our future studies to further validate our conclusions.

In conclusion, FGF2 and FGFR2 proteins were highly expressed in cancer tissues of LC patients and lavage fluid of patients with IPF. The expression of FGF2 mRNA and FGFR2 mRNA was correlated with lymph node metastasis and TNM stage. The high expression levels of FGF2 mRNA and FGFR2 mRNA were associated with tumor metastasis and poor prognosis of LC patients.
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