Temperature Dependence of Stress and Optical Properties in AlN Films Grown by MOCVD
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Abstract: AlN epilayers were grown on a 2-inch [0001] conventional flat sapphire substrate (CSS) and a nano-patterned sapphire substrate (NPSS) by metalorganic chemical vapor deposition. In this work, the effect of the substrate template and temperature on stress and optical properties of AlN films has been studied by using Raman spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), UV-visible spectrophotometer and spectroscopic ellipsometry (SE). The AlN on NPSS exhibits lower compressive stress and strain values. The biaxial stress decreases from 1.59 to 0.60 GPa for AlN on CSS and from 0.90 to 0.38 GPa for AlN on NPSS sample in the temperature range 80–300 K, which shows compressive stress. According to the TEM data, the stress varies from tensile on the interface to compressive on the surface. It can be deduced that the nano-holes provide more channels for stress relaxation. Nano-patternning leads to a lower degree of disorder and stress/strain relaxes by the formation of the nano-hole structure between the interface of AlN epilayers and the substrate. The low crystal disorder and defects in the AlN on NPSS is confirmed by the small Urbach energy values. The variation in bandgap ($E_g$) and optical constants ($n, k$) with temperature are discussed in detail. Nano-patternning leads to poor light transmission due to light scattering, coupling, and trapping in nano-holes.
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1. Introduction

AlN is a III-V semiconductor that is well-known for its direct wide bandgap (~6.2 eV), high thermal conductivity, high electrical resistivity, high breakdown voltage, and excellent piezoelectric and optical properties. Its lattice constant and the thermal expansion coefficient values are very close to other III-nitride materials that determine its applications such as GaN epitaxy, ultraviolet light sources, radiation detectors, microwave devices, photoelectric devices, power electronic devices and surface acoustic wave devices [1–7]. Compared with sapphire or SiC substrates, AlN has higher chemical compatibility with AlGaN and lower lattice and thermal expansion mismatch [8–10]. Therefore, AlN epilayers are also commonly used as buffer layers to improve the structure of heteroepitaxial films in epitaxial growth. The use of an AlN buffer layer and an AlN/AlGaN superlattice is reported to improve the power level and device performance of LEDs due to the reduction of defects and cracks [9]. A thick AlN layer grown on a trench-patterned AlN/sapphire template results in a crack-free and smooth surface, which
is desirable for LEDs [11]. Substantial improvement in the device lifetime and reliability can be achieved by fabricating deep ultraviolet (DUV) LED structures over fully coalesced thick AlN films [12]. High quality AlN ceramics have been achieved for the nuclear energy industry by irradiating AlN with heavy ions [13].

Sapphire is widely used as a substrate of choice for AlN epitaxial growth because of its easy availability and low cost. AlN films have been grown by various methods [14–20], such as chemical vapor deposition (CVD), pulsed sputter deposition (PSD), pulsed laser deposition (PLD), molecular beam epitaxy (MBE), reactive magnetron sputtering, hydride vapor phase epitaxy (HVPE), and metal organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD). CVD is found to be the most suitable technique in controlling the preferred orientation [21]. The AlN crystal planes oriented parallel to the substrates can change with increasing total gas pressure and decreasing deposition temperature due to supersaturation in the gas phase [14]. The PSD technique is useful for the growth of high-quality AlN films at room temperature, however, the AlN films obtained by this technique exhibit considerable residual compressive strain [15]. PLD as a deposition method, contains molten small particles or target fragments in the deposited films, which greatly reduces the quality of the films [22]. High quality AlN films can be obtained by molecular beam epitaxy, but it is not suitable for thick films due to its slow growth rate [23]. Although the HVPE method has an extremely high growth rate, the high defect density is a serious drawback of this technique [24]. Among above these methods, the growth rate of MOCVD method is moderate and the crystal quality is high. During the MOCVD growth, the high thermal stability of NH₃ requires the use of high substrate temperatures, typically more than 900 °C for AlN, and the nitrogen loss can be partially alleviated by the use of high III/V gas phase ratios (for example, >2000:1) [25]. MOCVD is one of the most mature methods to grow AlN films due to its high growth rate, high quality yield, high production capacity and low cost.

In the crystal system of AlN \( (a = 0.3110 \text{ nm}, \ c = 0.4980 \text{ nm}, \ \alpha_c = 4.2 \times 10^6 \text{ K}^{-1}) \) and sapphire \( (a = 0.4758 \text{ nm}, \ c = 1.2291 \text{ nm}, \ \alpha_c = 7.5 \times 10^6 \text{ K}^{-1}) \), the lattice mismatch is up to 13.2% and thermal expansion coefficient mismatch is as high as 45.4% [6,8,26]. The large lattice and thermal mismatch lead to stress in the epitaxial layer. The residual stress is an important factor affecting the properties of AlN films. Moreover, better stress and optical properties of AlN films are crucial for device performance. The use of nano-patterned sapphire as a substrate can effectively reduce stress caused by lattice mismatch, and alleviate the dislocation density that can be reduced by 1–2 orders of magnitude [27–29]. In this paper, the stress and optical properties of AlN films on flat and nano-patterned sapphire substrates (NPSS) are compared and analyzed in order to improve the quality of AlN for applications in high-quality UV-LEDs.

2. Materials and Methods

AlN samples were grown using the AMEC Prismo HiT3™ MOCVD platform on a 2-inch [0001] conventional (flat) sapphire substrate (sample A) and a nano-patterned sapphire substrate (sample B). The details of growth information and thickness of AlN samples are given in Table 1. The schematic diagrams of samples A and B are shown in Figure 1a,b. The nano-patterned substrate has 400 nm deep truncated cone patterns with a 550 nm diameter at the top circumference and 650 nm at the bottom. The pitch length is ~1000 nm. The sapphire substrates were coated with ~15 nm AlN layer by physical vapor deposition (PVD). For the epitaxial growth in MOCVD, trimethyl-aluminium (TMAI) and ammonia (NH₃) were used as Al and N precursors, respectively. H₂ was the carrier gas. Firstly, the sapphire substrates with a PVD AlN nucleation layer were heated up to 1150 °C in H₂ ambient, followed by the initial AlN roughing layer growth. The temperature was then ramped up to 1250 °C to recover the surface and maintain two-dimensional growth. Subsequently, the AlN samples were annealed for 25 min at 1550 °C with N₂ as the ambient gas.
Table 1. Summary of growth information and thickness of AlN samples.

| Sample no. | Growth Mode | Precursor | Layer              | Thickness (μm)RSM (nm) |
|------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Sample A   | Continue    | TMAI, NH₃| AlN/AlN/CSS        | 3.02/0.015/435/2.32   |
| Sample B   | Continue + Pulse | TMAI, NH₃ | AlN/AlN/NSPSS | 5.12/0.015/435/2.36   |

The morphology of the AlN surface was investigated by atomic force microscopy (AFM; 5100 N). The thickness of the AlN epilayer was determined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; Hitachi SU8220) and additionally by spectroscopic ellipsometry (SE; ME-L) for further confirmation. The variations of bandgap (Eₚ) and optical constants (n, k) with temperature were also estimated by SE measurements. In the spectral range of 195–1650 nm (0.75–6.35 eV), the measurement of variable angle spectroscopic ellipsometry (VASE) was carried out by using a dual rotating compensation Mueller matrix ellipsometer and the variable temperature was determined by an embedded thermocouple with temperature from 300 to 850 K. The analysis of VASE experiment data was used to obtain optical constants, bandgap, thickness and roughness of AlN films. The crystal quality and stress related properties of the epitaxial layers were characterized by high-resolution X-ray diffraction (HRXRD; X’Pert³ MRD) and Raman spectroscopy (LabRAM HR Evolution). Temperature dependent Raman measurements were performed by using 532 nm of He/Cd laser excitation source with a back-scattering geometry. HRXRD data was obtained with Ge (220) four-crystal monochromator using a Cu Kα1 = 1.5406 Å radiation with an angular resolution of about 12 arcsec for AlN films. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM; FEI Talos F200X) images were obtained by using a thermal field emission operating at 200 kV to examine the microstructural properties of the AlN epilayers grown on the different substrate templates. The dual-beam ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer (UV-Vis; TU1901) was employed to determine optical transmission spectra in the wavelength region from 190 to 850 nm. The spectra were recorded with a resolution of λ = 0.01 nm and photometric accuracy of ± 0.3%.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Morphology Analysis

The SEM images were used to determine the thicknesses of AlN epilayers. Figure 1c,d represents the SEM cross-sectional images of samples A and B; the corresponding thicknesses are ~3.02 and ~5.12 μm, respectively. AFM has proved to be a very useful and powerful technique for correctly determining the surface roughness, surface energy and morphology [30,31]. The surface morphology of samples A and B is observed by AFM in the 5 × 5 μm² region, as shown in Figure 1e,f, and the values of root mean square (RMS) surface roughness of films are 2.32 and 2.36 nm, respectively. It can be seen from the figures that the surface of AlN is in the shape of steps.
3.2. Raman Spectroscopy Analysis

The Raman spectra of AlN films were obtained in the temperature range 80–300 K as shown in Figure 2a,b. For sample A/B, the room temperature Raman spectra show peaks at 247.4/247.8, 659.8/658.9 and 890.0/889.6 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the E₂(low), E₂(high), and A₁(LO) phonon modes of wurtzite AlN, respectively. The Raman peaks at 379.3/379.5, 417.7/417.9, 430.2/431.0, 577.1/577.4 and 750.3/750.5 cm⁻¹ are associated with the underlying sapphire substrate. It is known that in the back-scattering geometry, the E₂ and the A₁(LO) modes are allowed for AlN (0002), whereas the A₁(TO) and E₁(TO) phonon modes are forbidden. Here, a weak E₁(TO) phonon mode (~671.3 cm⁻¹) is also observed for both samples at low temperatures as shown Figure 2c,d; that is associated with the presence of slightly misaligned islands [32,33]. The E₂(low) corresponds to the phonon mode when atoms are in shear motion. The peak of E₂(high) is redshifted with the increase of temperature. The E₂(high) mode typically is used to characterize the residual stress in AlN. The lattice mismatch and difference in the thermal expansion coefficients between the substrate and the AlN layer leads to stress in the epitaxial layer [17]. The residual stress affects the phonon scattering frequency. A blueshift in E₂(high) phonon frequency is used as an indication of compressive stress, whereas a redshift corresponds to tensile stress [34].

The full width at half maximum (FWHM) and positions of the E₂(high) peak derived by Lorentz fitting are plotted as a function of temperature (80–300 K), as shown in Figure 2e,f for samples A and B, respectively. The FWHM of the E₂(high) peak of AlN is a useful indicator of crystallinity [35]. The FWHM of E₂(high) peak for sample A increased from 3.33 to 4.17 cm⁻¹, and that of sample B increased from 4.06 to 4.83 cm⁻¹ in the temperature range of 80–300 K. As the temperature range is sufficiently low for defect formation or
any variations in crystal quality to occur, the increase in FWHM with temperatures can be assigned to mismatch and alignment disorder.

As can be seen in Figure 2e, for the $E_{2\text{ (high)}}$ peak of sample A, the Raman shifts are larger than that of strain-free AlN ($\omega_0 = 657.4$ cm$^{-1}$), indicating the compressive stress induced by substrate. The larger blueshift at low temperature indicates that the compressive stress is larger at low temperature. It can be explained in terms of thermal expansion of the lattice and the anharmonic effect of phonon–phonon interactions that result in the redshift with temperature [36,37]. Thus, heating effectively promotes strain relaxation. The relatively smaller frequency shifts for sample B indicate lower values of stress due to the nano-patterning of the substrate. The nano-holes provide a channel for the gradual release of the stress to a nearly stress-free state accompanied by the lateral growth process of the AlN columns on the mesas [38].

The values of stress $\sigma$ are calculated from the experimental peak positions $\omega$ obtained in this work by using the following Equation [39]:

$$\sigma = \frac{\omega - \omega_0}{\kappa}$$  

(1)

Where the stress-shift coefficient $\kappa$ has the value of 4.04 cm$^{-1}$/GPa [40] and a stress-free position $\omega_0$ is reported to be 657.4 cm$^{-1}$ for $E_{2\text{ (high)}}$ [41,42]. The biaxial stress $\sigma$ values
associated with temperature are plotted in Figure 3. As the temperature increased, the value of biaxial stress notably decreased from 1.59 to 0.60 GPa for sample A and slightly reduced from 0.90 to 0.38 GPa for sample B. It can be deduced that nano-patterning leads to very small biaxial stress values. Heating promotes stress release to much lower values for nano-patterned substrate. The data obtained for sample A indicates a compressive stress originating from the interface between the substrate and the epilayer owing to a large lattice mismatch. Imura et al. [43] showed the large thermal expansion coefficient mismatch between AlN and sapphire leads to the tensile stress and cracked epilayer for a layer thickness higher than critical thickness. The disadvantage of excessive stress and cracks can be effectively avoided to much extent by nano-patterning [44]. The substrate patterning is a promising technique to grow ultra-thick AlN films and to improve the structural and optical properties of DUV LEDs.

Figure 3. The biaxial stress of AlN/sapphire films as a function of temperature from 80 K to 300 K.

3.3. High-Resolution X-ray Diffraction Analysis

The composition and stress related properties of the AlN films grown on various substrates are further analyzed using 2θ-ω X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns, shown in Figure 4a. The positions of the XRD patterns are calibrated by the Al2O3 (0006) diffraction plane. The (0002) diffraction planes of samples before (samples A and B) and after (samples Aa and Ba) annealing shift to the 20 values of 35.9810°, 35.9931°, 35.9836° and 35.9974°, respectively. The variation in 2θ values relative to that of the bulk AlN indicates that the sample is subjected to different stress states. The (0002) diffraction peak shifts to lower (higher) 2θ values with respect to the bulk AlN is used as indication of compressive (tensile) stress in the films [45]. This effect derives from the change in interplanar spacing and diffraction angle that could be clarified by the Bragg diffraction formula. From the above results it can be deduced that all the samples are under compressive stress in agreement with the Raman data. The smaller stress in sample B than in sample A is due to the holes in the nano-patterned substrate, which play the key role in effective stress release.

The values of lattice parameter c and the corresponding residual strain in AlN films grown on various substrates are estimated before and after annealing are given in Table 2. The residual strain as a function of lattice constant in c-axis is calculated using the Equation [46,47]:

$$\varepsilon_{c} = \frac{(c_{s} - c_{0})}{c_{0}}$$

(2)

where $c_{s}$ and $c_{0}$ are the c-axis lattice constants of strained and unstrained AlN films, respectively, and $c_{0} = 0.4980$ nm [46]. The strain values of samples before (samples A and B) and after (samples Aa and Ba) annealing are 0.1606%, 0.1285%, 0.1546% and 0.1164%, respectively. The results demonstrate that the residual strain can be reduced by nano-patterning that further reduces by annealing.
**Figure 4.** (a) The 2θ-ω scan of AlN films by X-ray diffraction (XRD). (b) Symmetric (0 0 2) rocking curves of AlN epilayer with different substrates before and after annealing.

**Table 2.** The structural parameters and dislocation density values obtained by XRD of the samples.

| Sample no. | Lattice Constant, c (Å) | Strain, ε⊥ (%) | FWHM (Arcsec) |
|------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|
|            |                         |                | (0002)        | (1012)        |
| Sample A   | 4.9880                  | 0.1606         | 302           | 464           |
| Sample Aa  | 4.9877                  | 0.1546         | 288           | 385           |
| Sample B   | 4.9864                  | 0.1285         | 201           | 410           |
| Sample Ba  | 4.9858                  | 0.1164         | 194           | 320           |
| Unstrained AlN | 4.9800                  | 0.0000         | -             | -             |

HRXRD is used to test the crystal quality of the AlN films. The symmetric (0002) X-ray rocking curves (XRC) of the AlN epilayer with different substrates before and after annealing are shown in Figure 4b. The (0002) and (1012) plane of XRC-FWHM values are listed in Table 2. The FWHM of the symmetric (0002) rocking curve for sample A, sample Aa, sample B, and sample Ba are 302, 288, 201, and 194 arcsec, respectively. It reveals that the crystal quality improves after annealing, which promotes grain growth and reduces defects. The higher disorder and defects lead to larger FWHM values, that is further confirmed by the Urbach energy values obtained for both samples (will be discussed in Section 3.5).

### 3.4. Transmission Electron Microscopy Analysis

TEM is a useful technique for analyzing stress and defects at microscale. The cross-sectional TEM micrographs of the AlN on CSS (sample A) are shown in Figure 5a. To investigate the microstructures in detail, four regions are chosen and marked I, II, III and IV for high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) measurements, as shown in Figure 5b–e, respectively. In region I, the d-spacing at the defect center is up to 5.04 Å, and the d-spacing on both sides of the defect is about 4.98 Å. In the defect-free region II, the d-spacing is 4.95 Å. Although region III also has defects, the d-spacing is significantly smaller than that of regions I and II, that is only 4.91 Å. According to the JCPDS database, the typical d-spacing values of sapphire (0003) and AlN (0001) are 4.33 and 4.98 Å, respectively. The d-spacing is defined as the interatomic spacing or the distance between adjacent planes in the crystalline materials. It can be seen that in the AlN epitaxial layer above the buffer layer, there is a larger d-spacing near the surface of AlN. The Raman and XRD data show that a compressive stress exists in the whole AlN film, but the stress state in the micro-scale region inside the AlN film is different when tested by TEM. The stress is changed from tensile stress in region III to compressive stress in region I. This might be due to the combination and annihilation of the dislocation with the increase of epitaxial thickness during the growth process, which induces the change of stress. Meanwhile, Taniyasu et al. [48] have confirmed that thread dislocations can induce tensile stress in heteroepitaxial AlN layer, which increases with thread dislocation density.
The cross-sectional TEM image of the interface between the AlN buffer layer and CSS are shown in Figure 5e. The various areas in the HRTEM images are marked as region 1, 2 and 3 as shown in Figure 5f–h, respectively. In the AlN buffer layer of region 1 that is affected by the high defect density, the d-spacing varies from 4.96 to 4.98 Å. In region 2, a relative larger lattice mismatch can be seen at the interface, and the d-spacing (4.97 Å) in the AlN buffer layer is extremely close to that of region 1. The d-spacing of U-shaped defect boundaries is 4.36 Å, that matches with the (0003) plane of sapphire. The interior of the U-shaped defect is 4.31 Å, slightly less than the value at the boundary, as shown in region 3 of Figure 5h.

**Figure 5.** (a) A cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of sample A. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of (b) region I; (c) region II; (d) region III; and (e) an interface TEM image in region IV as indicated in (a). HRTEM images of (f) region 1; (g) region 2; and (h) region 3 as indicated in (e).

The cross-sectional TEM images of sample B are shown in Figure 6. The interface between the AlN epilayer and the substrate is clearly observed Figure 6a. The AlN buffer layer cannot be observed clearly on the interface, attributed to interdiffusion of atoms during the growth process. Three regions of the AlN epilayer (marked I, II and III) are selected for the HRTEM measurements, as shown in Figure 6b–d, respectively. Here, the d-spacing of region I is 4.97 Å. The same d-spacing value of 4.97 Å for the AlN epilayer is found in region II. The cylindrical unit of NPSS has 4.37 Å d-spacing, corresponding to the (0003) plane of sapphire. In order to observe the d-spacing more clearly, region III is subdivided into regions 1 and 2, as shown in Figure 6e,f. In region 1, the d-spacing of AlN is 4.93 Å, indicating that the initial growth stage is subjected to a large tensile stress.
Subsequently, the tensile stress is released due to the key-shaped holes as the growth progresses and finally the stress changes to compressive. Meanwhile, in regions 1 and 2, it can be observed that there exists a 2.37–2.39 Å d-spacing in the vertical direction, which is the (10̅11) plane of AlN. The d-spacing of 4.35 Å in region 2 is consistent with 4.37 Å in region II, both of which belong to the (0003) plane of sapphire. The variation of internal stress in the NPSS sample is small compared with the CSS sample. The key-shaped holes in NPSS sample make the internal stress release more easily.

![HRTEM images of sample B](image)

**Figure 6.** (a) A cross-sectional TEM image of sample B. HRTEM images of (b) region I; (c) region II; and (d) region III as indicated in (a). HRTEM images of (e) region I; and (f) region 2 as indicated in (d).

### 3.5. Optical Transmission Spectroscopy Analysis

As the crystal quality and stress are found to be correlated, calculating Urbach energy values can give further insight into crystal quality. Urbach energy can be calculated from UV-Vis absorption/transmission spectroscopy. The stress and optical properties can be correlated in this way. Annealing is also an important factor that can lead to defect healing and lowering the stress values in AlN. To calculate the Urbach energy values and investigate the effect of substrate nano-patterning and annealing on the optical transmission of the AlN epilayer, we used a dual-beam UV-Vis spectrophotometer to measure transmission spectra with the vertical incidence in the wavelength of 190–850 nm. Figure 7a shows that the transmission spectra obtained by two types of sapphire substrates are greatly different. The transmittance for CSS is higher than that of the NPSS sample, especially in the region 220–245 nm where a local minimum is observed at 235 nm for nano-patterned substrate. That may be mainly caused by the increased light scattering and trapping in the nano-holes of NPSS near the interface. The material is nearly transparent above the 210 nm wavelength region. However, the transmittance is extremely weak and drops dramatically when below 210 nm, indicating that the absorption edge exists there. Both samples exhibit very sharp wavelength cutoff curves at ~206 nm, but only the as-grown AlN exhibits a local minimum at the cutoff corner, indicating that the crystal quality of the annealed sample is better than that of the as-grown sample [49].
For semiconductor materials with direct bandgap, the relationship between the absorption coefficient and the photon energy is determined by the Tauc empirical relation [50,51]:

\[ \alpha h\nu = B( h\nu - E_g)^{1/2} \]  

(3)

where the absorption coefficient \( \alpha = 2.303 \text{ A/d} \) (A is absorbance, and d denotes the thickness of the semiconductor material), B indicates a constant, \( h\nu \) is photon energy, and \( E_g \) is the bandgap of the semiconductor. The linear absorption edge is obtained by plotting \( (\alpha h\nu)^2 \) versus \( h\nu \), as shown in Figure 7b. At the intersection of the linear absorption edge and \( h\nu \), that is \( (\alpha h\nu)^2 = 0 \), the corresponding \( E_g \) can be acquired to be 6.03 eV. Due to a considerable absorbance at energies below \( E_g \), the bandgap energies for sample B and Ba are measured by the baseline approach derived by Makula et al. [52]. An intersection of the two fitting lines enables the bandgap energy to be obtained directly from the plot, and the \( E_g \) of sample B and Ba are estimated as 6.01 eV and 6.00 eV, respectively. The difference of bandgap values is caused by the compressive stress in the samples. After annealing, the compressive stress in the sample is partially eliminated, which makes the atomic spacing in the crystal increase the bandgap to redshift.

Regarding the energy range below the bandgap the absorption coefficient shows the nonzero values that are characterized by the Urbach tail [53]. Urbach energies (\( E_U \)) of the samples can be calculated by plotting the absorption coefficient (\( \alpha \)) as a function of photon energy (\( h\nu \)). For semiconductors, the Urbach tail is related to the degree of crystal disorder and defects [54]. Urbach energies can be extracted according to the following Equation [55]:

\[ \alpha = \alpha_0 \exp \left( -\frac{E_U}{h\nu} \right) \]  

(4)

where \( \alpha_0 \) is a constant and \( E_U \) is the width of the localized band-tail states. Generally, samples with low levels of impurities, defects and electron-phonon interactions tend to have a small \( E_U \). Figure 8 shows that the \( E_U \) of the sample A, sample Aa, sample B and sample Ba are 0.27, 0.25, 0.23 and 0.18 eV, respectively. The decreasing trend in \( E_U \) values after annealing is due to improvement in crystal quality and increased long range order [56]. The lower Urbach energy indicate lower defect density in AlN on nano-patterned substrate, in agreement with Raman data. Nano-patternning decreases defect density and allows stress relaxation in the nano-hole. Meanwhile, annealing helps reduce the stress by defect healing by atomic rearrangement.
3.6. Temperature-Dependent Spectroscopic Ellipsometry Analysis

To take a deeper insight into temperature induced variations in optical properties, two samples are investigated by VASE. The parameters of spectroscopic ellipsometry include the amplitude ratio $\Psi$ and phase difference $\Delta$, where $\Delta$ is known as a function of photon energy. Figure 9a,b shows the experimental results of $\Delta$ obtained by ellipsometry data with the incident angle of 70° in the temperature range of 300–850 K. It can be clearly noticed that with the increase of temperature, the value of $\Delta$ decreases; that should lead to bandgap reduction. Figure 9a,b shows that the interference oscillation of sample A is relatively regular, while it is irregular for sample B. There is a strong light scattering and trapping effect at the interface between the nano-patterned substrate and epitaxial layer, which also supports the conclusions from the transmission spectra.

The thickness and surface roughness values of sample A are obtained by fitting SE data that are ~3.02 μm and 2.50 nm, respectively. The AlN buffer layer is ~16 nm. The refractive index (n) and extinction coefficient (k) as a function of photon energy from 0.775 to 6.295 eV can be obtained from the $\Psi$ and $\Delta$ data in the temperature range of 300–850 K as shown in Figure 9c,d. The n and k values vary with increasing temperature as shown clearly in the insets. As the temperature increases, the peak of n redshifts from (6.198, 2.939) to (5.799, 2.805) in the high-energy region, and the n value is higher at high temperatures in the low-energy regions. The extinction coefficients move to the low-energy direction as the temperature increases. An absorption tail is observed in the high-energy region for extinction coefficients, attributed to the existence of defects.

In order to calculate the direct bandgap of AlN, the formula of the absorption coefficient $\alpha$ can be derived from the extinction coefficient k and wavelength $\lambda$, that is $\alpha = 4\pi k/\lambda$ [57]. Combining with the Equation (3), the bandgap $E_g$ of AlN films can be estimated by linear extrapolation of a plot between $(\alpha l)^2$ versus $h\nu$ as described in Figure 9e. The values of bandgap change from 6.16 to 5.73 eV for sample A and from 6.06 to 5.64 eV for sample B in the temperature range of 300–850 K. This behavior can be explained as the increase in interatomic spacing because of increasing thermal energy. As the interatomic spacing increases, the potential of the electrons decreases leading to reduction in the bandgap [58]. The bandgap reduction with increasing temperature could also be explained by thermal expansion and electron–phonon interactions [59,60].

The relationship of temperature (T) and the bandgap $E_g$ has been first proposed by Varshni and expressed as [61]:

$$E_g = E_0 \frac{a}{T+b}$$

where $E_0$ is the transition energy at 0 K, while $a$ and $b$ are the Varshni coefficients. The hollow points represent experimental data and the dash (solid) lines represent the least-square fit to the experimental data using Varshni empirical equation as shown in Figure 9f. The parameters obtained from the best fit are $E_0 = 6.24$ eV, $a = 8.73 \times 10^4$ eV/K and $b =$
857 K for sample A, and $E_0 = 6.19$ eV, $a = 7.84 \times 10^{-4}$ eV/K and $b = 672$ K for sample B. The bandgap variation reflects the redshift with the increase in temperature, which is quite typical in nitride semiconductors.

Figure 9. The experimental data of the delta (Δ) graph with the incident angle of 70° in the temperature range of 300 K to 850 K: (a) sample A and (b) sample B. Fitted optical constants (c) refractive index and (d) extinction coefficients of sample A with temperature varied from 300 K to 850 K. (e) The square of the absorption coefficient $(\alpha \nu)^2$ vs. photon energy $(\hbar \nu)$ for sample A at 300–850 K. (f) The variation of bandgap $(E_\text{g})$ vs. temperature $(T)$ of sample A fitted by the Varshni empirical equation in the range 300–850 K.

4. Conclusions

The influence of temperature on stress and optical properties of the AlN epilayers on flat and nanopatterned substrates has been studied by various characterization techniques. Both samples show compressive stress, that decreases with temperature as estimated by the $E_\text{g}$(high) Raman peak of AlN. The value of biaxial stress decreased from 1.59 to 0.60 GPa for sample A and from 0.90 to 0.38 GPa for sample B in the temperature range 80–300 K. It is found that nano-patterning leads to lower disorder and defects in AlN epilayer due to the effective stress release of the epilayer in nano-holes, which is confirmed by XRD measurement. Furthermore, annealing is found to reduce the residual strain by defect healing. The change of stress state in the micro-scale region and interface
is characterized by TEM. The stress changes from tensile at the interface to compressive on the surface. The key-shaped holes characteristic of NPSS growth are more conducive to the release of internal stress. The calculated Urbach energies show that the lattice disorder and defects can be reduced by annealing; as well, the sample of NPSS has better crystal quality. The refractive index and extinction coefficient as a function of photon energy from 0.775 to 6.295 eV were obtained by SE. The values of bandgap for samples A and B change from 6.16 to 5.73 eV and from 6.06 to 5.64 eV in the temperature range of 300–850 K, respectively. The analysis method of temperature-dependent stress, optical constant and optical bandgap has been proposed, which enables us to predict the thermo-optic effect and optimize the optical properties of AlN-based high power devices for temperatures up to 850 K.
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