Phospholipase A₂ (PLA₂) activity has been shown to be involved in the sperm acrosome reaction (AR), but the molecular identity of PLA₂ isoforms has remained elusive. Here, we have tested the role of two intracellular (iPLA₂) and one secreted (group X) PLA₂s in spontaneous and progesterone (P4)-induced AR by using a set of specific inhibitors and knock-out mice. iPLA₂ is critical for spontaneous AR, whereas both iPLA₂ and group X secreted PLA₂ are involved in P4-induced AR. Cytosolic PLA₂ is dispensable in both types of AR. P4-induced AR spreads over 30 min in the mouse, and kinetic analyses suggest the presence of different sperm sub-populations, using distinct PLA₂ pathways to achieve AR. At low P4 concentration (2 μM), sperm undergoing early AR (0–5 min post-P4) rely on iPLA₂, whereas sperm undergoing late AR (20–30 min post-P4) rely on group X secreted PLA₂. Moreover, the role of PLA₂ in AR depends on P4 concentration, with the PLA₂ being key actors at low physiological P4 concentrations (≤2 μM) but not at higher P4 concentrations (~10 μM).

The acrosome reaction (AR) is an exocytotic and mandatory event occurring in the cumulus mass or on the zona pellucida of the oocyte and allowing the release of several types of sperm enzymes. The release of these enzymes leads to a partial hydrolysis of the layers surrounding the oocyte, allowing the sperm to reach the oolemma where the fusion between the two gametes occurs. The physiological agonists of the AR and their respective downstream molecular pathways are the subject of intense investigation (1, 2). Two main physiological agonists were identified as follows: progesterone (P4), which is released by the cells of the cumulus mass, and ZP3, one of the glycoproteins of the zona pellucida (ZP) (3–5). However, for most fertilizing mouse sperm, the AR is triggered before contact with the ZP (6), and acrosome-reacted sperm are able to freely cross both the cumulus and the ZP (7), strongly suggesting that AR occurs before reaching the ZP and that P4 may be the main physiological effector of mouse AR in vivo. As in most exocytotic processes, Ca²⁺ plays a central role, and blocking the increase of [Ca²⁺] provides specific calcium-binding proteins such as synaptotagmin (8, 9), even if Ca²⁺ also activates calmodulin-dependent enzymes such as PI3K, whose inactivation blocks the AR (10). Numerous agonist-dependent actors involved in [Ca²⁺] increase have been characterized, including Ca²⁺ influx through activation of voltage-dependent calcium channels and store-operated channels and calcium release from the acrosome itself through activation of PLCβ4 and the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor (2, 11–13). Contrary to neuronal exocytosis where [Ca²⁺] increase is followed within milliseconds by degranulation, sperm granule exocytosis spreads over 30 min in the presence of the agonists. Several molecular pathways are activated during the first few minutes that are mandatory for the sperm AR, including PLA₂. These enzymes catalyze the hydrolysis of phospholipids at the sn-2 position and generate lysophospholipids and free fatty acids that are precursors of different lipid mediators. The involvement of PLA₂ in AR was first suggested 30 years ago by experiments showing that a PLA₂ activity was associated with mouse acrosomal membranes and was inhibited by nonspecific PLA₂ inhibitors such as indomethacin, sodium meclofenamate, mepaticrine, and p-bromophenacyl bromide (14). The role of PLA₂ was reinforced by indirect results showing that fatty acids and lysophospholipids could trigger the acrosome reaction (15–17). By using ¹⁴C-la-
beled fatty acids, Roldan and Fragio (18) showed that fatty acids are released during the AR of ram sperm and that RO314493, a PLA₂ inhibitor, blocked both fatty acid release and the acrosome reaction. Similar results were found in guinea pig sperm, where progesterone or ZIP-induced fatty acid release and the AR were blocked by aristolochic acid, another PLA₂ inhibitor (19). Another study showed that the mouse AR is also blocked by ONO RS-82, a different PLA₂ inhibitor (20). Taken together, these studies showed that the activation of PLA₂ plays a central role in the AR and that the production of fatty acids and/or lysophospholipids is required for acrosomal and plasma membrane fusion during the AR.

At this time, however, the molecular identification of the PLA₂ isoforms involved in the AR observed in these pharmacological studies was impossible due to the lack of data regarding the specificity of the above used PLA₁ inhibitors. Indeed, the PLA₂ superfamily comprises now 37 different enzymes, divided into 16 groups and many more subgroups. It includes six distinct major types of enzymes, namely the cytosolic PLA₂s (cPLA₂) and the Ca²⁺-independent PLA₂s (iPLA₂), both groups being intracellular, the secreted PLA₂s (sPLA₂), and more specific groups such as the platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolases, the lysosomal PLA₂s, and the adipose PLA₂ (21, 22). Both intracellular and secreted PLA₂s have been identified in mouse and human sperm cells (23–26). In mature mouse sperm, the group X sPLA₂ (mGX) is located in the acrosome and is released during the AR (24). It specifically improves fertilization and early embryo development (24, 27, 28).

PLA₂s are involved in numerous physiological processes (29, 30), and one of the challenging questions regarding PLA₂s is their respective contribution to cellular functions. The pharmaceutical industry has made significant efforts to design specific PLA₂ inhibitors with the highest possible affinity (22) to control PLA₂ activity in different pathological contexts. Among the different emerging drugs, the following drugs with reported specificities represent valuable tools to decipher the role of each PLA₂ isoform in the AR. For sPLA₂, LY329722 is an interesting compound, which was developed from a lead compound, LY311722, a very potent inhibitor of human group IIA sPLA₂ (31). LY329722 inhibits several subgroups of sPLA₂ (I/II/V/X) and has an IC₅₀ of 30 nM for the group X sPLA₂ in vitro. For iPLA₂, two inhibitors emerged, S-bromoenol lactone (BEL) and FKGK18. BEL is a selective and irreversible inhibitor of iPLA₂β with a half-maximal inhibition of around 60 nM after preincubation (32). Although it is a potent inhibitor of iPLA₂β, BEL also inhibits non-PLA₂ enzymes (33). For this reason FKGK18, a recently characterized iPLA₂β inhibitor presenting fewer off-target effects with a similar potency, was identified (33). Finally, pyrrolidin-1 (Pyr-1), a pyrrolidine-based inhibitor, was identified to inhibit cPLA₂α (IC₅₀ = 70 nM). In vitro assays showed that it is less potent toward cPLA₂γ and iPLA₂β (IC₅₀ ~ 10 μM) and that 10 μM Pyr-1 has no inhibitory effect on group IIA, V, and X secreted PLA₂s (34).

Because of the presence of a least three different PLA₂s in sperm (23, 24, 26), and because a cross-talk between different PLA₂s has been described (35), we assessed the possible involvement of several PLA₂s during P4-induced AR in mouse sperm cells. If several PLA₂s are involved, then their respective contributions and their time of action should be characterized. Herein, we show for the first time that two PLA₂s are required during the mouse AR, iPLA₂β and mouse group X sPLA₂ (mGX). Conversely, cPLA₂α does not appear to be involved. Interestingly, we showed that the overall contribution of PLA₂ in the AR depends on P4 concentration.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Biological Preparation**—All animal procedures were performed according to the French guidelines on the use of living animals in scientific investigations with the approval of the local Ethical Review Committee. mGX-KO mice (null for Pla2g10 gene) on a C57BL/6j background were obtained from Lexicon Inc. as described (36). cPLA₂α KO mice (null for the Pla2g4a gene) were obtained as described (37). iPLA₂β KO mice (null for the Pla2g6a gene) were obtained as described previously (23). All other mice (OF1 strain, 2–6 months old) were from Charles River Laboratories (Les Oncins, France).

**Sperm Analysis, Morphology of Sperm**—Sperm was displayed over a slide, dried at room temperature, and then fixed in 75% ethanol for Harris-Schorr staining. Motility of sperm was assessed with computer-assisted motility analysis. Non-capacitated sperm suspension was immediately placed onto an analysis chamber (100 μm deep, Leja Products B.V., Netherlands) and kept at 37 °C for microscopic quantitative study of sperm movement. Sperm motility parameters were measured at 37 °C using a sperm analyzer (Hamilton Thorn Research, Beverly, MA). The settings employed for analysis were as follows: acquisition rate, 60 Hz; number of frames, 100; minimum contrast, 25; minimum cell size, 10; low static size gate, 2.4; high static size gate, 2.4; low static intensity gate, 1.02; high static intensity gate, 1.37; minimum elongation gate, 12; maximum elongation gate, 100; magnification factor, 0.70. The motility parameters measured were curved lineal velocity (VCL), average path velocity (VAP), and straight-line velocity (VSL). At least 100 motile sperm were analyzed for each assay. Motile sperm and progressive sperm were characterized by VAP >1 μm/s, by average path velocity >30 μm/s and straightness (VSL/VAP) >70%, respectively.

**In Vitro Fertilization**—Eggs were collected from mature OF1 females, synchronized with 5 units of pregnant mare serum gonadotrophin and 5 units of human chorionic gonadotrophin. Sperm were capacitated for 35–55 min in M16 2% BSA (37 °C, 5% CO₂) and introduced into droplets containing oocytes. Oocytes were incubated with 1.5 × 10⁵ to 5 × 10⁵ capacitated sperm/ml (37 °C, 5% CO₂) in M16 medium, and unbound sperm were washed away after 4 h of incubation. Twenty four hours after fertilization, the different stages, i.e. unfertilized oocytes, aborted embryos (corresponding to fragmented oocytes or oocytes blocked after the extrusion of the second polar body), and 2-cell embryos (as an indication of successful fertilization) were scored.

**Capacitation and AR Triggering**—Mouse sperm, obtained by manual trituration of caudae epididymides, were allowed to swim in M2 medium for 10 min and capacitated in M16 medium with 2% fatty acid-free BSA at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ for 65 min. For progesterone (P4) treatment, capacitated sperm (35 min) were incubated with P4 in M16 medium at 37 °C for the
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last 30 min of capacitation (total duration of the experiment 65 min). Control experiments were always performed with the same concentration of DMSO in the medium (DMSO concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 0.1%).

AR Assay—Sperm were transferred in PBS solution and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 5 min. Sperm were washed (100 mM ammonium acetate, 2 min), wet mounted on slides, and air-dried. Slides were then rinsed with water and stained with Coomassie Blue (0.22%) for 2 min. Slides were analyzed, and at least 150 sperm were scored per condition.

Preparation of the Cumulus-Oocyte Complex-conditioned Medium for Enzyme Immunoassay—Eggs were collected from mature OF1 females (6 weeks old) synchronized with 5 units of pregnant mare serum gonadotrophin and 5 units of human chorionic gonadotrophin. Superovulation was confirmed by plasma P₄ concentrations determined to be around 150 nM (154.4 ± 35.12 nM, n = 5). Cumulus-oocyte complexes harvested from 10 mice were placed in culture Petri dishes containing 250 µl of M16 medium and incubated for 10 min, 1 and 2 h at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Incubations were stopped by putting the dishes on ice and by centrifugation at 5,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatants and the cell pellets were frozen and used to determine the concentration of progesterone.

Determination of Progesterone Concentrations—Progesterone concentrations were determined by radioimmunoassay using ¹²⁵I-labeled progesterone (IBL International, Hamburg, Germany). The RIA detection limit was calculated to range between 0.1 and 0.5 ng/ml, i.e. between 0.3 and 1.6 nM depending upon the labeling lot. Cross-reactivity with other steroid hormones was reported to be minimal as follows: <3.5% for 20β-dihydroprogesterone and 5α-pregnane-3,20-dione; 1.5% for 17α-hydroxyprogesterone; 0.8% for 11-deoxycorticosterone; <0.4% for pregnenolone and corticosterone; and <0.1% for all other steroid hormones tested by the manufacturer. Of the steroids indicated, only 17α-hydroxyprogesterone is generated in significant amounts by cumulus cells. Its concentration is ~5-fold lower than that of P₄ in plasma during the luteal phase, and a 1.5% cross-reactivity with P₄ can therefore not significantly bias the data. Briefly, after centrifugation the cell pellets were extracted with diethyl ether and centrifuged to remove cell debris. The ether extracts were evaporated under nitrogen, and the residues were re-dissolved in 250 µl of Tris buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4) containing 0.1% BSA. Culture medium (250 µl) and plasma samples were assayed directly according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Progesterone levels were undetectable in the Tris/BSA buffer as well as in the M16 medium used for the incubations. For the calculation of intra-cumulus P₄ concentrations, the total volume of the cumuli was estimated based on the number of oocytes per sample and on their average volume.

SDS-PAGE and Immunoblotting—Washed sperm were resuspended in Laemmli sample buffer without β-mercaptoethanol and boiled for 5 min. After centrifugation, 5% β-mercaptoethanol was added to the supernatants, and the mixture was boiled again for 5 min. Protein extracts equivalent to 1–2 × 10⁶ sperm were loaded per lane and subjected to SDS-PAGE. Resolved proteins were transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore). Membranes were treated with 20% fish skin gelatin (Sigma) in PBS-T and then incubated for 1 h at room temperature with anti-phosphotyrosine antibody (clone 4G10, Millipore, Molsheim, France) (1:10,000); this was followed by 1 h of incubation with a horseradish peroxidase-labeled secondary antibody. Immunoreactivity was detected using chemiluminescence detection kit reagents and a Chemidoc™ Station (Bio-Rad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France).

Chemical Compounds—M2 medium, M16 medium, progesterone, and BSA were from Sigma (Lyon, France). Pregnant mare serum gonadotrophin and human chorionic gonadotrophin were from Intervet (Beaucouze, France), and BEL was from Intervet (Montluçon, France). Pyr-1 and LY329722 was provided by Prof. Michael Gelb (University of Washington, Seattle) and FKGK18 by George Kokotos (University of Athens, Greece).

Statistics—n represents the number of biological replicates, and for each replicate, more than 100 sperm were assessed per condition. Statistical analyses were performed with SigmaPlot. t tests were used to compare the effects of various compounds on AR and fertility. Data represent mean ± S.E. Statistical tests with 2-tailed p values of ≤0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Reproductive Phenotype of iPLA₂β, mGX, and cPLA₂α KO Males—We focused our study on iPLA₂β, mouse group X sPLA₂ (mGX), and cPLA₂α for the following reasons. First, iPLA₂β and mGX have been shown to be present in mouse sperm (23, 24). Second, cPLA₂α is a constitutive enzyme with a ubiquitous expression and an important role in lipid mediator release and vesicle trafficking (38, 39), and more importantly its enzymatic activity and distribution are modified in patients presenting asthenozoospermia (26), suggesting that it may be important for male fertility. Deficient mice for these three proteins were previously described; the absence of the corresponding protein was confirmed, and their phenotype was studied (23, 36, 37). Nevertheless, the reproductive phenotype of mGX and iPLA₂β KO males was partially reported (23, 24), but no data are available for cPLA₂α KO males. In Table 1, we present for the first time a comparative table showing several reproductive parameters of males from these three deficient mice. Both iPLA₂β and mGX KO males exhibit sperm defects with teratozoospermia and low motility (Table 1 and Fig. 1). iPLA₂β-deficient males were clearly the most affected, presenting deficient spermatogenesis as witnessed by the significant level of teratozoospermia (45% of typical sperm morphology versus 92% for WT sperm) and low motility, leading to complete in vitro infertility. The sperm phenotype of mGX KO males was less severe, with 70% of typical sperm morphology and half-reduction of progressive sperm, leading to a significant decrease of in vitro fertilization outcome (Table 1 and Fig. 1). In contrast, cPLA₂α KO males presented a normal spermatocytogram and fertility, although mobility was affected. These latter results suggest that cPLA₂α is dispensable. However, its involvement in AR may be revealed only under specific conditions, as shown for Pkdrej KO mice for which the defective reproductive phenotype was revealed only under specific competing conditions (40). For this
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TABLE 1

Phenotype of sperm from mGX, iPLA₂β, and cPLA₂α KO males

|                      | mGX KO n = 3 | iPLA₂β KO n = 3 | cPLA₂α KO n = 3 | Range values for WT sperm |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Sperm cells concentration (millions/ml) | 9.7          | 4.2*            | 9.8             | 10–16                    |

**Motility analysis**
- Total motility (%) | 14.0*        | 5.6*            | 30.0*           | 53–60                    |
- Progressive motility (%) | 12.1*        | 2.0*            | 22.3*           | 43–48                    |
- Curvilinear velocity (VCL) mean (μm/s) | 235.4        | 110.9*          | 183.0           | 175–260                  |

**Morphology analysis**
- Typical morphology (%) | 69*          | 45*             | 81              | 74–92                    |
- Head anomalies (%) | 24*          | 44*             | 12              | 4–25                     |
- Midpiece anomalies (%) | 5            | 7               | 1               | ND                       |
- Flagellum anomalies (%) | 10           | 18              | 6               | ND                       |
- Absence of acrosome (%) | 13.5         | 20.5*           | 14              | 13.5                     |

**Fertility analysis (in vitro fertilization)**
- % of 2-cell embryos at 24 h KO male × WT female | 17.2* (n = 4) | 0.1* (n = 6) | 52.5 (n = 2) | 51 (n = 10) |

* p < 0.05 as compared with WT sperm.

In the literature, although numerous concentrations of P4 have been tested to induce AR, from sub-micromolar to tens of micromolars, P4 at 10–15 μM is usually used (20, 25, 41, 42). These concentrations may, however, not represent the physiological concentrations met by the sperm during their journey to oocytes embedded in the cumulus mass. To fit such physiological conditions, it was thus important to evaluate physiological P4 concentrations in both the cumulus mass and the tubal fluid. Cumuli of 10 superovulated females were harvested and incubated for 120 min in the fertilization medium, and [P4] was measured after centrifugation in both the cumuli and in the incubation medium by radioimmunoassay. We found similar P4 concentrations in the cumuli (0.71 ± 0.08 μM (n = 5)) and in the fertilization medium (1.13 ± 0.27 μM (n = 5)). Moreover, incubation of cumuli for various time points indicates that P4 synthesis is stable for at least 2 h (Fig. 2A). The value found for cumuli should be representative of the physiological value. This may not be the case for the fertilization medium because tubal fertilization is a dynamic process in which tubal fluid undergoes a continuous turnover. Indeed, P4 upon its synthesis is partly metabolized into 17OH-progesterone, a precursor of androstenedione, and partly released into the extracellular fluid by passive diffusion as it is a lipophilic hormone. Part of the progesterone that is released further diffuses and can be metabolized by adjacent cells, with the rest being cleared by the flux of tubal fluid and by the bloodstream. It is therefore difficult to reproduce in vitro these in vivo conditions. In our experimental conditions, the only clearance mechanism is metabolism of P4 by the cumulus cells, and therefore the measured concentration reflects the maximal attainable concentrations of P4 in vivo. One can therefore reasonably assume that the [P4] surrounding the cumuli does not exceed low micromolar levels. As an example, P4 concentrations in tubal fluid were reported to range from 2 to 10 nM in rabbit (43) and from 50 to 100 nm (44) in hamster depending upon the phase of the oestrous cycle. In super-ovulation, these concentrations can be assumed to be higher, due to the maturation of many more follicles. Thus, sperm meet a P4 concentration gradient during its journey to oocytes, from sub-micromolar concentrations in the tubal fluid to low micromolar concentrations in the cumulus mass. For this reason, two concentrations of P4 were tested as follows: 10 μM, a concentration that has been tested in various reports, and also 2 μM, a concentration closer to the physiological one. AR was assessed with the Coomassie Blue protocol (Fig. 2B) (45). We next performed a dose-response experiment of the AR of intact sperm at the end of the capacitation period as a function of P4 concentration ([P4]), testing P4 concentrations between 0.1 and 50 μM (Fig. 2C). The dose-response curve is bell-shaped, and 10 μM P4 elicited the highest response with 65.1 ± 1.9% (n = 5) acrosome-reacted sperm after 30 min of incubation, likely explaining why such concentrations are generally used in reports studying molecular pathways involved in the AR. Remarkably, physiological concentration of P4 at 2 μM was potent and triggered AR of ~55% of intact sperm.

Inhibition or Absence of iPLA₂β Reduces the P4-induced AR—We first evaluated the involvement of iPLA₂β by measuring the inhibitory effect of BEL and FKGK18 on the P4-induced AR. These compounds were tested on sperm capacitated for 35 min and further incubated for 30 min with 2 or 10 μM P4, and the AR rate was compared with the level obtained in the absence of the tested drug. The two compounds are potent inhibitors of the P4-induced AR with similar inhibitory effects; at 2 μM P4 the induced AR is inhibited by ~25% (n = 3–6) and at 10 μM by ~20–30% (n = 3–6) (Fig. 3A and B). These results suggest that iPLA₂β is involved in the P4-induced AR in the mouse. To confirm this result, the acrosome reactions of sperm from KO males deficient in iPLA₂β were analyzed and compared with

![FIGURE 1. Typical defects observed with sperm from iPLA₂β, mGX, and cPLA₂α KO males. Sperm were stained by the Harris-Shorr method. The % of defective sperm is indicated in Table 1.](image-url)
those of control sperm from WT littermate males. Because these KO mice have a high level of teratozoospermia, we only took into account sperm exhibiting a normal head shape. The absence of the corresponding protein led to a decrease in the AR rate, and the percentage of decrease was close to those measured in pharmacological experiments (Fig. 3C), confirming the involvement of iPLA2/H9252 in P4-induced AR. Finally, the specificity of BEL was challenged by measuring its ability to inhibit the AR of sperm from iPLA2/H9252 KO mice. BEL was no longer effective, demonstrating that its inhibitory effect on AR is mediated by iPLA2/H9252 (Fig. 3D). Altogether, these results demonstrate that iPLA2/H9252 contributes to P4-induced AR.

Inhibition or Absence of Mouse Group X sPLA2 Reduces the P4-induced AR—Next, we evaluated the involvement of mGX sPLA2 by measuring the inhibitory effect of LY329722 on the P4-induced AR as described above. LY329727, a pan inhibitor of sPLA2s, was a potent inhibitor of the P4-induced AR; at 2 μM the P4-induced AR is inhibited by ~30% (~30% and at 10 μM by ~35% (~35%) (Fig. 4A). These results suggest that an sPLA2 is involved in the P4-induced AR in the mouse. We assumed that it was most probably the sPLA2 of group X (mGX), as we have previously shown that mGX is the only sPLA2 in mouse sperm (24). To confirm this result, the acrosome reactions of sperm...
from mGX KO males were analyzed and compared with those of control sperm from WT littermate males. The absence of mGX led to a decrease in the AR rate, and the percentage of decrease was close to that measured in pharmacological experiments (Fig. 4B), confirming the involvement of mGX in P4-induced AR. Finally, LY329722 was unable to inhibit the AR of mGX KO sperm, demonstrating that its inhibitory effect is mediated by mGX (Fig. 4C) and confirming that mGX is the only SPLA2 involved in mouse AR. Altogether, these results demonstrate that mGX contributes to P4-induced AR.

\( cPLA_2\alpha \) Is Not Involved in the P4-induced AR—We finally evaluated the involvement of \( cPLA_2\alpha \) by measuring the inhibitory effect of Pyr-1 on the P4-induced AR as described for iPLA2\( _\beta \). Pyr-1 was a potent inhibitor of the P4-induced AR; at 2 \( \mu M \), the P4-induced AR was inhibited by \( \sim 30\% \) (\( n = 3 \)) and at 10 \( \mu M \) by \( \sim 35\% \) (\( n = 3 \)) (Fig. 5A), suggesting that \( cPLA_2\alpha \) is involved in the P4-induced AR in the mouse. However, Pyr-1 displayed the same inhibitory effect on both WT and \( cPLA_2\alpha \) KO sperm, suggesting that Pyr-1 is not specific for \( cPLA_2\alpha \) (Fig. 5C). This latter result, associated with the fact that the AR rates of sperm from \( cPLA_2\alpha \) KO and WT males were similar (Fig. 5B), demonstrates that \( cPLA_2\alpha \) is not involved in the mouse sperm AR and that at 1 \( \mu M \), Pyr-1 inhibits the AR through an enzyme other than \( cPLA_2\alpha \). Because this enzyme may be
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iPLA2β, the inhibitory effect of Pyr-1 was measured on sperm from iPLA2β KO mice; Pyr-1 was ineffective at blocking the AR (Fig. 5D), strongly suggesting that the target of Pyr-1 was actually iPLA2β.

We have shown herein that iPLA2β and mGX inhibition, either through pharmacological tools or genetically modified mice, led to a decrease of the total P4-induced AR rate, demonstrating that these enzymes contribute to AR. In contrast, cPLA2α does not participate with P4-induced AR in mouse.

Kinetic of AR Shows Different Types of AR and Different Sperm Subpopulations—Because the AR spreads over a long time period, the measure of the final AR rate 30 min after addition of P4 only gives a partial understanding of the mechanisms involved. To obtain better insight, the level of AR was plotted as a function of time from the beginning of the capacitation (time ~35 min) to the end of the P4-induced AR period (up to 50 min), with time 0 corresponding to the addition of P4 into the capacitation medium (Fig. 6). After P4 addition, the AR rate was monitored every 5 min. This graph highlights two points. First, the level of AR of mouse epididymal sperm after collection was 14.08 ± 0.45 (n = 24). This ~15% likely corresponds to either poorly matured sperm or sperm stored in the epididymis for too long. Second, the kinetics revealed the presence of two types of AR, the spontaneous AR (SAR), which occurs during the capacitation period, and the P4-induced AR. Importantly, the AR kinetics highlight different sperm subpopulations; some sperm cells accomplish their AR very early after addition of P4 (~5 min), whereas others require longer incubation time with P4 (20–30 min). At 2 µM P4 (Fig. 6, green triangles, n = 12), analysis of the kinetics of the P4-induced AR suggests the involvement of different actors for the different subpopulations, because a significant speeding up of slope in the curve is observed between 10 and 15 min after P4 introduction to the medium compared with slope measured between 0 and 10 min (Fig. 6, highlighted by a green arrow). Moreover, the plateau observed at 2 or 10 µM P4 show that a subpopulation of sperm (~45 and 30%, respectively) was insensitive to this agonist. Interestingly, at 10 µM P4 the kinetic of the AR is different (Fig. 6, red circles, n = 12); the initial AR increase (0–5 min) is stronger (as indicated by the comparison of slopes measured at 2 µM P4 versus that measured at 10 µM P4). Moreover, no change in the slope was observed between 0 and 30 min, suggesting that AR signaling may be different.

Spontaneous AR Is iPLA2β-dependent—SAR, which is not well characterized yet, occurs in media supporting or not capacitation in the mouse and corresponds to sperm undergoing AR in the absence of any external stimulus. Although increased SAR was associated with bigger litter size in CD46 KO mice (46), its physiological role remains elusive, because its rate greatly varies among species. We previously showed that during the first 45 min of capacitation, SAR is independent of CD46 and mGX (24, 27). Nevertheless, another PLA2 could be involved during the earlier phase of the SAR. To test this hypothesis, sperm were incubated between ~35 and 0 min with the following PLA2 inhibitors, BEL and FKGK18 for iPLA2β and LY329722 for mGX. Among the three different inhibitors, only those targeting iPLA2β were able to inhibit the SAR (Fig. 7A), showing that iPLA2β is activated during capacitation and is necessary to trigger the SAR. We also measured the rate of SAR in similar conditions (35 min of capacitation) of sperm from iPLA2β, mGX and cPLA2α KO mice (Fig. 7B). For mGX and cPLA2α KO sperm, the absence of these proteins did not prevent the occurrence of SAR, confirming that these enzymes are not involved in SAR. For iPLA2β, the level of AR at t = −35 min was already high in KO mice (20.6 ± 0.6%, n = 4) compared with WT littersmates (14.3 ± 0.8%, n = 4), due to defective spermatogenesis (Fig. 1). Thus, experiments with iPLA2β KO mice were not informative to determine the role of this protein in SAR. Finally, the inhibition of SAR observed with sperm incubated with BEL is unlikely to be due to an absence of capacitation because protein phosphorylation on tyrosine residues was observed with BEL, albeit slightly weaker (Fig. 7C).

Kinetic of AR Highlights That iPLA2β Is Necessary for the Initial Phase of the AR at Low P4 Concentration—We next assessed when and for how long iPLA2β is required during AR induced by 2 µM P4. Time window of its involvement was determined by measuring the kinetics of AR in the presence of the iPLA2β inhibitors BEL or FKGK18. As expected, during the capacitation (~35 to 0 min), the SAR is blocked, and in these conditions, the rate of AR at t = 0 is lower than that of sperm incubated in control conditions (Fig. 8A). For P4-induced AR, we focused our attention on two phases, corresponding to the fast responding subpopulation (0–5 min) and to the late responding subpopulation (20–30 min). The slopes of the two phases were measured allowing us to characterize the rise of AR.
in both subpopulations (Fig. 8B). The early phase was clearly inhibited by iPLA2/H9252 inhibitors, as shown by the significant differences of the slopes between control and treated sperm, whereas the late phase was unchanged by BEL and FKGK18 (Fig. 8B). Because iPLA2/H9252 inhibitors also inhibited the SAR (Fig. 7A), we wondered whether the inhibition of AR rise observed with BEL or FKGK18 at 0–5 min might be related to the SAR inhibition induced by the inhibitor treatment. To address this question, a new experimental design was tested, with BEL introduced at the end of the capacitation period (Fig. 8C).

Remarkably, whenever the iPLA2/H9252 inhibitor BEL was introduced, the response to 2 μM P4 was clearly delayed, and no increase was observed during the first 5 min (Fig. 8D), demonstrating that BEL inhibition is independent on the level of SAR. It is worth noting that the speeding up of the slope observed with sperm in control conditions between 10 and 15 min (Fig. 6, green arrow) was abolished with BEL and FKGK18, and slopes measured at 10–15 min dropped from 2.51 ± 0.19 (n = 12) in control condition to 1.4 ± 0.11 and 1.33 ± 0.13 for BEL and FKGK18, respectively (n = 3); the difference between slopes being statistically different (p = 0.015 and 0.013, respectively).

This result suggests that iPLA2/β contributes to the AR during the first ~15 min after P4 addition. Altogether, the observed final inhibition of ~30% AR with BEL is thus due to the delayed response and the absence of slope increase between 10 and 15 min. Altogether, these experiments show that iPLA2/β is necessary for the early phase (0–5 min), contributes to the second phase (5–15 min), and is dispensable to the late phase (20–30 min) of AR induced at physiological P4 concentration.

At 10 μM P4, contrary to what was observed for 2 μM P4, the inhibition of the early phase in the presence of iPLA2/β inhibitors was not observed (Fig. 9A), and the slopes of AR measured in control conditions or with BEL were not statistically different (Fig. 9B), suggesting that at this P4 concentration iPLA2/β contributes slightly to the onset of the AR. In contrast to what was observed at low P4 concentration, the late phase (20–30 min) was significantly inhibited (Fig. 9B). Similar results were obtained with iPLA2/β KO mice (Fig. 10). At 2 μM P4, the slope of the initial rise (0–5 min) was significantly reduced in comparison with that obtained with WT sperm (p < 0.001), whereas the slope of the late phase of AR was unchanged (Fig. 10, A and B). At 10 μM P4, no difference was
Several PLA₂s Control Sperm Acrosome Reaction

**FIGURE 8.** Kinetic studies reveal that activation of iPLA₂β is necessary for sperm achieving early AR at physiological P₄ concentration. A, after 35 min of capacitation, 2 μM P₄ was introduced to the capacitating medium (time 0, dashed line), and the % of completed AR was followed during 30 min. BEL (10 μM, n = 3) or FKGK18 (10 μM, n = 3) was introduced at the beginning of the capacitation period. Green triangles correspond to the kinetic of the P₄-induced AR of WT sperm measured in the absence of the inhibitors (n = 12). Notably, inhibition of the spontaneous AR by BEL or FKGK18 is clearly evidenced by the shift of the curve at t = 0.8, increase of AR was characterized by the measure of slopes of the curves between 0–5 and 20–30 min, corresponding to early and late response to P₄ and calculated as (x₂₀ – x₀)/(y₂₀ – y₀) and (x₃₀ – x₀)/(y₃₀ – y₀), respectively. BEL and FKGK18 treatment inhibited the early increase, although no differences were observed between 20 and 30 min. C, similar experiment but BEL (10 μM) was introduced at the end (blue circles, n = 3) of the capacitation period. Because BEL was introduced at the end of the capacitation, no shift was observed at t = 0. D, AR increase was similarly characterized by measuring slopes between 0–5 and 20–30 min. Likewise, BEL treatment inhibited the early increase, although no difference was observed between 20 and 30 min. n represents the number of biological replicates, and for each replicate more than 100 sperm were assessed per condition. Statistical differences were assessed using t test. NS, not significantly different.

in the presence of LY329722 and by comparing the kinetics of AR of mGX KO and WT sperm. Contrary to what was observed when iPLA₂β is inhibited, the AR of the fast responding sperm cells was not modulated by LY329722 or when mGX was genetically altered at low P₄ concentration (Fig. 11A), and no statistical differences were found between slopes of P₄-induced rise of AR in the different conditions tested between 0 and 5 min (Fig. 11B), confirming that mGX is dispensable to the early phase of AR. In contrast, the AR of late responding sperm cells (20–30 min) was blocked by LY329722 for WT sperm and inhibited for mGX KO sperm (Fig. 11, A and B). Moreover, from 10 min, the rise of AR was significantly reduced. These results thus show that mGX is necessary for the intermediate and late phase of AR, from 10 min.

Similar results were obtained at 10 μM P₄; the early phase of AR (0–5 min) was not inhibited by LY329722 or changed with sperm from mGX-deficient mice, whereas the late phase was strongly inhibited in both conditions (Fig. 11, C and D).

Importantly, the overall inhibition measured at 30 min was more pronounced at 2 μM than 10 μM, with 68 and 56% of inhibition, respectively (Fig. 11, A versus B), suggesting that different pathways are activated at different P₄ concentrations.

To address this hypothesis, we measured AR inhibition by LY329722 as a function of P₄ concentration. For P₄ concentrations below 1 μM, LY329722 inhibition was very strong, and the AR was almost completely abolished (Fig. 12). In contrast, the potency of LY329722 decreased when P₄ concentration

**FIGURE 9.** Time window of the involvement of iPLA₂β during AR is P₄ concentration-dependent. A, kinetic of AR induced by 10 μM P₄. After 35 min of capacitation, P₄ was introduced into the capacitating medium (time 0, dashed line), and the % of completed AR was followed during 30 min. BEL (10 μM, n = 3) or FKGK18 (10 μM, n = 3) was introduced at the beginning of the capacitation period. Green circles correspond to the kinetic of the P₄-induced AR of WT sperm measured in the absence of iPLA₂β inhibitors (n = 12). Notably, inhibition of the spontaneous AR by BEL or FKGK18 is clearly evidenced by the shift of the curve at t = 0.8, increase of AR was characterized by the measure of slopes of the curves between 0–5 and 20–30 min and corresponding to early and late response to P₄ and calculated as (x₂₀ – x₀)/(y₂₀ – y₀) and (x₃₀ – x₀)/(y₃₀ – y₀), respectively. BEL or FKGK18 treatment inhibited the late increase of AR, and no differences were observed between 0 and 5 min.

observed for 0–5 min, although a significant difference of the slope of AR increase was observed between WT and KO sperm during the late phase, confirming the results obtained with BEL and FKGK18. Importantly, results obtained with pharmacological tools or deficient animal show that the time window of the involvement of iPLA₂β during AR is P₄ concentration-dependent.

**AR Inhibition by the sPLA₂ Inhibitor LY329722 Is P₄ Concentration-dependent**—We next assessed when and for how long mGX is required during P₄-induced AR. The time course of activation was determined by measuring AR kinetics by the measure of slopes of the curves between 0–5 and 20–30 min, evidenced by the shift of the curve at t = 0. Notably, inhibition of the spontaneous AR by BEL or FKGK18 is clearly evidenced by the shift of the curve at t = 0.8, increase of AR was characterized by the measure of slopes of the curves between 0–5 and 20–30 min and corresponding to early and late response to P₄ and calculated as (x₂₀ – x₀)/(y₂₀ – y₀) and (x₃₀ – x₀)/(y₃₀ – y₀), respectively. BEL or FKGK18 treatment inhibited the late increase of AR, and no differences were observed between 0 and 5 min. n represents the number of biological replicates, and for each replicate more than 100 sperm were assessed per condition. Statistical differences were assessed using t test. NS, not significantly different.

**AR Kinetics**

- BEL and FKGK18 treatment inhibited the early increase, although no differences were observed between 20 and 30 min.
- BEL treatment inhibited the early increase, although no difference was observed between 20 and 30 min.
- BEL or FKGK18 treatment inhibited the late increase of AR, and no differences were observed between 0 and 5 min.
- BEL (10 μM, n = 3) or FKGK18 (10 μM, n = 3) was introduced at the beginning of the capacitation period.
- Green circles correspond to the kinetic of the P₄-induced AR of WT sperm measured in the absence of iPLA₂β inhibitors (n = 12).
- Notably, inhibition of the spontaneous AR by BEL or FKGK18 is clearly evidenced by the shift of the curve at t = 0.8, increase of AR was characterized by the measure of slopes of the curves between 0–5 and 20–30 min and corresponding to early and late response to P₄ and calculated as (x₂₀ – x₀)/(y₂₀ – y₀) and (x₃₀ – x₀)/(y₃₀ – y₀), respectively. BEL or FKGK18 treatment inhibited the late increase of AR, and no differences were observed between 0 and 5 min.

**Statistical Analysis**

- n represents the number of biological replicates, and for each replicate more than 100 sperm were assessed per condition.
- Statistical differences were assessed using t test.

**NS**

- Not significantly different.

**Discussion**

- In the presence of LY329722 and by comparing the kinetics of AR of mGX KO and WT sperm. Contrary to what was observed when iPLA₂β is inhibited, the AR of the fast responding sperm cells was not modulated by LY329722 or when mGX was genetically altered at low P₄ concentration (Fig. 11A), and no statistical differences were found between slopes of P₄-induced rise of AR in the different conditions tested between 0 and 5 min (Fig. 11B), confirming that mGX is dispensable to the early phase of AR. In contrast, the AR of late responding sperm cells (20–30 min) was blocked by LY329722 for WT sperm and inhibited for mGX KO sperm (Fig. 11, A and B). Moreover, from 10 min, the rise of AR was significantly reduced. These results thus show that mGX is necessary for the intermediate and late phase of AR, from 10 min.

- Similar results were obtained at 10 μM P₄; the early phase of AR (0–5 min) was not inhibited by LY329722 or changed with sperm from mGX-deficient mice, whereas the late phase was strongly inhibited in both conditions (Fig. 11, C and D).

- Importantly, the overall inhibition measured at 30 min was more pronounced at 2 μM than 10 μM, with 68 and 56% of inhibition, respectively (Fig. 11, A versus B), suggesting that different pathways are activated at different P₄ concentrations.

- To address this hypothesis, we measured AR inhibition by LY329722 as a function of P₄ concentration. For P₄ concentrations below 1 μM, LY329722 inhibition was very strong, and the AR was almost completely abolished (Fig. 12). In contrast, the potency of LY329722 decreased when P₄ concentration

**Conclusion**

- Several PLA₂s are involved in the early and late phases of AR.
- Inhibition of iPLA₂β by BEL and FKGK18 leads to a significant decrease in AR.
- The overall inhibition measured at 30 min was more pronounced at 2 μM than 10 μM, with 68 and 56% of inhibition, respectively.

**Figures**

- **Figure 8:** Kinetic studies reveal that activation of iPLA₂β is necessary for sperm achieving early AR at physiological P₄ concentration.
- **Figure 9:** Time window of the involvement of iPLA₂β during AR is P₄ concentration-dependent.
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- In the presence of LY329722 and by comparing the kinetics of AR of mGX KO and WT sperm. Contrary to what was observed when iPLA₂β is inhibited, the AR of the fast responding sperm cells was not modulated by LY329722 or when mGX was genetically altered at low P₄ concentration (Fig. 11A), and no statistical differences were found between slopes of P₄-induced rise of AR in the different conditions tested between 0 and 5 min (Fig. 11B), confirming that mGX is dispensable to the early phase of AR. In contrast, the AR of late responding sperm cells (20–30 min) was blocked by LY329722 for WT sperm and inhibited for mGX KO sperm (Fig. 11, A and B). Moreover, from 10 min, the rise of AR was significantly reduced. These results thus show that mGX is necessary for the intermediate and late phase of AR, from 10 min.

- Similar results were obtained at 10 μM P₄; the early phase of AR (0–5 min) was not inhibited by LY329722 or changed with sperm from mGX-deficient mice, whereas the late phase was strongly inhibited in both conditions (Fig. 11, C and D).

- Importantly, the overall inhibition measured at 30 min was more pronounced at 2 μM than 10 μM, with 68 and 56% of inhibition, respectively (Fig. 11, A versus B), suggesting that different pathways are activated at different P₄ concentrations.

- To address this hypothesis, we measured AR inhibition by LY329722 as a function of P₄ concentration. For P₄ concentrations below 1 μM, LY329722 inhibition was very strong, and the AR was almost completely abolished (Fig. 12). In contrast, the potency of LY329722 decreased when P₄ concentration
Increased above 1 μM, strongly suggesting that an alternative sPLA2-independent AR pathway is activated at high concentrations of P4.

Inhibition of Both iPLA2β and mGX Dramatically Reduces AR at Low P4 Concentration—We have clearly shown above that iPLA2β and mGX are sequentially involved at low P4 concentration. iPLA2β is necessary for the fast responding subpopulation (0–5 min) and contributes to sustain AR up to ~15 min. In contrast, mGX is indispensable for the early phase but is necessary for sperm accomplishing their AR after 10 min. To confirm these time windows of involvement, both iPLA2β and mGX were inhibited at the same time, either by pharmacological tools or by a combination of inhibitor and KO mice; WT sperm samples were treated with both LY329722 and BEL inhibitors, and mGX KO sperm were treated with BEL and iPLA2β KO sperm with LY329722. In the three conditions, both the early (0–5 min) and late phase (20–30 min) of AR are inhibited (Fig. 13A), and significant differences between slopes of P4-induced rise of AR measured in control conditions and those measured with inhibitors and/or KO sperm were found at both early and late phases (Fig 13B).

Discussion

iPLA2β and mGX sPLA2 but Not cPLA2 Are Involved in the Mouse AR—The importance of phospholipase activity in the sperm AR was demonstrated several decades ago (1). However, the molecular identities of the implicated PLA2s were not determined. Here, we have challenged the involvement of three
Several PLA₂s Control Sperm Acrosome Reaction

** FIGURE 12. Group X sPLA₂ is necessary for AR induced at P₄ concentration below 1 μM.** The AR in the presence (black columns) or absence (white columns) of LY329722 (1 μM) was measured at 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, and 10 μM P₄ (n = 3), and their comparisons show that the potency of AR inhibition by LY329722 changes in function of progesterone concentration. ** indicates the differences between P₄ and P₄ + inhibitor (p < 0.001–0.0001) are significant. The red dashed line indicates the level of spontaneous AR. n represents the number of biological replicates, and for each replicate, more than 100 sperm were assessed per condition. Statistical differences were assessed using t test.

** FIGURE 13. Kinetic studies of the P₄-induced AR reveal additive effect of iPLA₂β and mGX sPLA₂ inhibition.** A, after 35 min of capacitation, 2 μM P₄ was introduced to the capacitating medium (time 0, dashed line) and the % of completed AR was followed for 30 min. BEL (10 μM) and LY329722 (1 μM) were introduced at the beginning of the capacitation period. Three conditions were compared. (i) WT sperm were treated with BEL and LY329722 at the same time (red diamond, n = 3). (ii) sperm from mGX KO males were treated with BEL (black circles, n = 3). (iii) sperm from iPLA₂β were treated with LY329722 (blue circles, n = 3). Green triangles correspond to the kinetic of the P₄-induced AR of WT sperm measured under control conditions, without the PLA₂ inhibitors (n = 12). β, corresponding slopes at 0–5 and 20–30 min, showing additive effect of iPLA₂β and mGX sPLA₂ inhibition. n represents the number of biological replicates, and for each replicate, more than 100 sperm were assessed per condition. Statistical differences were assessed using t test.

Different PLA₂s as follows: iPLA₂β, cPLA₂α, and group X sPLA₂ in the mouse AR by using specific inhibitors and the corresponding knock-out mice. Concerning the specificity of the used inhibitors, it is worth noting that the specificity of both iPLA₂β and sPLA₂ inhibitors was validated by their lack of inhibition in the corresponding KO sperm. Moreover, LY329722 does not inhibit iPLA₂β, because LY329722 has no effect on SAR, contrary to BEL and FKGK18, two iPLA₂β inhibitors. Similarly, BEL does not inhibit recombinant mGX sPLA₂ (data not shown). Collectively, these results validate our pharmacological study performed with these two compounds. Moreover, we showed that Pyr-1 was not specific at 1 μM and also inhibited iPLA₂β. Altogether, from pharmacological data and study of the AR of sperm from three different PLA₂ knock-out mouse strains, we demonstrated that iPLA₂β and group X sPLA₂ are involved in the P₄-induced AR in mouse sperm. In contrast, AR measured on sperm from cPLA₂α mice show that this PLA₂ is involved neither in spontaneous nor induced AR. Although the presence of other PLA₂s cannot be ruled out, the probability of their contribution in the AR is very low. Concerning sPLA₂s, we have previously shown that only mGX is present in mouse mature sperm (24). Concerning the other members of the iPLA₂ (γ δ, ε, ζ, and η) and cPLA₂ (β, γ δ, ε, and ζ) groups, no expression was found in the testes (22), and it is thus unlikely that they are involved in the AR. Nevertheless, the presence of other phospholipases generating fusogenic lipids is not excluded. Indeed, PLA₁ is present in sperm (47), and PLB and PLD₁ are activated during the AR (48, 49), possibly explaining why some sperm were still able to perform the AR although iPLA₂β and mGX were inhibited (Fig. 13).

Studying P₄-induced AR Kinetics Provides New Insights on AR Actors. Different Subpopulations Are Activated by Different Mechanisms—Most of the studies performed on the molecular pathways involved in the AR focus either on the first minutes of the AR (50–52) or on the final rate obtained after 20–30 min (20, 48, 53). Only a few studies presented kinetic data, mainly on a single cell basis, thus focusing on fast responding cells (54–56). However, sperm population is very heterogeneous, and the presence of sperm subpopulations with different degrees of maturity after capacitation completion is well documented in human and mouse (57–61). At the population level, AR is a long lasting event occurring over 30 min (Fig. 6A), and only kinetic studies can address the question whether the AR is an homogeneous event. For this study, we chose to follow the full release of the acrosomal vesicle as evidenced by Coomassie Blue staining as an indication of AR outcome. We showed that this method can discriminate between different phases of the AR, revealing very sensitive subpopulations completing their AR in less than 5 min, and others requiring up to 30 min. Here, we show for the first time that these subpopulations do not rely on the same molecular pathways to achieve the AR. At 2 μM P₄, a concentration close to the physiological concentration inside the cumulus, we showed that inhibition of iPLA₂β by BEL blocked the fast responding subpopulation (Figs. 8 and 10A). For this fast responding subpopulation, mGX activity was not necessary because LY329722 alone had no effect and the initial rise of AR rate in mGX KO sperm was similar to that of WT sperm (Fig. 11A). Interestingly, between 10 and 15 min, the slope of the AR rise in the presence of BEL was lower than that measured in control condition (Fig. 8A), showing that iPLA₂β inhibition partially blocked the AR during this interval and thus suggesting that early lipid hydrolysis by iPLA₂β was responsible for the speeding up of AR increase observed between 10 and 15
min in control conditions (Fig. 6, green arrow). In contrast, mGX inhibition by LY329722 led to a strong blocking of the AR after 5 min (Fig. 10), demonstrating the key role of this enzyme in the intermediate and late phase.

We can thus propose that at this low physiological P4 concentration, sperm achieving their AR early rely only on iPLA$_2$$\beta$, whereas sperm achieving their AR late rely mainly on mGX sPLA$_2$, and in between these two phases, both PLA$_2$s are important.

**Contribution of PLA$_2$ Is Dependent on P4 Concentration**—Remarkably, the potencies of PLA$_2$ inhibitors on the AR were dependent on P4 concentration. At low P4 concentration, the initial phase (0–5 min) was strongly dependent on the iPLA$_2$$\beta$ activity (Fig. 8). At high P4 concentration, inhibition of iPLA$_2$$\beta$ (Fig. 9) or its absence (Fig. 10B) only slightly decreased the level of AR. Similarly, mGX inhibition produced a near-complete inhibition (>95%) of the P4-induced AR at [P4] <1 $\mu$M and a weaker inhibition at 10 $\mu$M P4 (~50%) (Fig. 12). The AR induced by low P4 concentrations is thus strongly PLA$_2$-dependent. Moreover, these results suggest that there are alternative pathways allowing a bypass of the lack of fusogenic lipids produced by iPLA$_2$$\beta$ or mGX at high P4 concentration. Ca$_{2+}$ plays a central role in the AR, and Kobori et al. (62) showed that low or high P4 concentrations activate different Ca$_{2+}$ responses; for [P4] <5 $\mu$M sperm exhibiting only transient calcium increase contrary to [P4] >10 $\mu$M, where both transient and long lasting calcium increases were observed. We can thus postulate that Ca$_{2+}$ signaling triggered by low [P4] is able to initiate the AR in a primed sperm subpopulation only in conjunction with the production of fusogenic lipids by iPLA$_2$$\beta$. At high [P4], AR onset relies on a likely stronger Ca$_{2+}$ signaling that can trigger the AR without fusogenic lipids or with a different set of fusogenic lipids, which could be produced by PLA$_2$ (47), PLB (48), or PLD$_1$ (49). Alternatively, P4 may bind to a different receptor at high concentrations (63) and activate a different pathway.

It is worth noting that at low P4 concentrations (<1 $\mu$M), inhibition of mGX sPLA$_2$ by LY329722 led to an almost complete inhibition of the AR (Fig. 12). This result suggests that the expected synergy between P4-induced Ca$_{2+}$ increase and fusogenic lipids produced by iPLA$_2$$\beta$ is not sufficient to trigger a full AR, but rather produce transitional states of acrosomal exocytosis, allowing only transient opening of pores. These pores can open and close before the final loss of the acrosome as suggested previously (64). During this transient period, sperm visually appear to be “acrosome-intact” sperm. The inhibition of mGX, located in the sperm acrosome (24), likely through these pores, may prevent synergistic effects of fusogenic lipids produced by both PLA$_2$s leading to their complete opening and thus pores return to a closed state, leading to a blocking of the AR.

Importantly, we showed that physiological P4 concentration is closer to 1 than 10 $\mu$M (Fig. 2A), and our results clearly indicate that under physiological conditions both iPLA$_2$$\beta$ and group X sPLA$_2$ are key players of the mouse sperm AR. Overall, our results indicate that different molecular pathways are activated by different P4 concentrations during the AR and thus that [P4] is a key factor, which should be taken into account in P4-induced AR studies.

Requirement of PLA$_2$ during Capacitation—We showed that the SAR occurring during the first 35 min of the capacitation period was inhibited only by iPLA$_2$$\beta$ inhibitors and not by a pan sPLA$_2$ inhibitor (Fig. 7B), demonstrating that iPLA$_2$$\beta$ is required during capacitation.

Remarkably, comparison of the final rate of the AR at 30 min between the sperm treated before (Fig. 8A) or at the end of the capacitation (Fig. 8C) shows no statistical difference (39.0 ± 0.57 versus 39.6 ± 0.33, n = 3; p = 0.8), although the level of AR at t = 0 is different, due to SAR inhibition when iPLA$_2$$\beta$ is inhibited during capacitation (Fig. 7A). This result indicates that inhibition of iPLA$_2$$\beta$ during capacitation increased the slope of P4-induced AR rise, mean slopes being 0.73 and 1.03 (measured between 5 and 30 min after P4), whereas BEL is introduced at the beginning or at the end of the capacitation, respectively. This result thus suggests that the subpopulation of sperm, which should have achieved their AR during capacitation, was primed and waiting for a cofactor to achieve the AR, which may be Ca$_{2+}$ influx triggered by P4.

In conclusion, the progesterone-induced AR is a long lasting event, spreading over 30 min in the mouse, and by performing kinetic analyses, we revealed new insight concerning the AR and showed the presence of different sperm subpopulations that do not rely on the same molecular pathways to achieve their AR. At low physiological P4 concentration, sperm achieving their AR early (0–5 min post progesterone) rely only on iPLA$_2$$\beta$, whereas sperm achieving their AR late (20–30 min post progesterone) rely on group X sPLA$_2$. We thus showed that the AR is not a homogeneous molecular process and instead changes over time. Moreover, we showed that PLA$_2$ involvement depends upon P4 concentration, PLA$_2$s being key actors at low P4 concentrations close to the physiological concentration (<2 $\mu$M) and less central at higher P4 concentrations above 10 $\mu$M.
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