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Abstract

**Background:** Although vaccination of infants against *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) invasive infections is effective and has been routinely available in Canada since 1992, cases of the disease continue to occur. We were interested in determining whether recent cases of Hib infection reflected progressive loss of protection with time since vaccination, increasing non-acceptance of vaccination or a deleterious effect of coadministration of recently introduced vaccines such as those for pneumococcal and meningococcal conjugates and hepatitis B. We report on the causes of Hib infections among vaccinated and unvaccinated children between 2001 and 2003 in Canada.

**Methods:** Through our established network of 12 pediatric tertiary care hospitals we actively searched for cases in each centre by reviewing daily admissions and laboratory reports, visiting the wards and checking discharge diagnosis codes. Culture-confirmed cases were summarized by nurse monitors using a standardized reporting system.

**Results:** We identified 29 cases during the 3 years: 16 in 2001, 10 in 2002 and 3 in 2003. Half of the 29 patients had meningitis. Hib infection was more common among children less than 6 months of age (11 cases) and in boys (20 cases). Two deaths occurred (7% case-fatality ratio). A total of 20 children had received no or incomplete primary vaccination because of parental refusal (7 cases), because they were too young to have completed the primary series (11 cases, including 1 in which parental refusal was also a factor) or because of delays in completing the primary series (2 cases); the vaccination history was uncertain in the remaining case. Infection despite primary vaccination occurred in 9 children: 2 previously healthy children and 7 who were immunocompromised or who had a predisposing condition. None of the cases identified in 2003 involved children who had received any of the newly introduced vaccines.

**Interpretation:** Invasive Hib infections remain rare in Canada, with most cases occurring in children too young to have completed the primary series. Protection after vaccination appears to extend into later childhood and does not appear to be diminished by coadministration of newer infant vaccines.

Until recently *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) was a leading cause of meningitis, epiglottitis and other invasive infections in children, affecting about 1 child in 250 by 5 years of age.¹ The risk of infection was highest among children 6–24 months of age. Antibodies directed against the Hib capsular polysaccharide (polyribosyl ribitol phosphate, PRP) form the basis of protection. PRP protein conjugate vaccines that elicit anti-PRP responses in young infants have been used in Canada since 1992. Doses are recommended at 2, 4 and 6 months of age to establish protection and at 18 months to reinforce it. Since 1995 all provinces have used the same Hib vaccine (a PRP–tetanus protein conjugate [PRP-T], produced by Aventis Pasteur), in combination products based on whole-cell pertussis vaccines (from 1995 to 1997) or acellular pertussis vaccines (1998 to the present).

Invasive Hib infections have been monitored since 1992 by a network of Canadian pediatric hospitals known as the Immunization Monitoring Program, Active (IMPACT).² In 1985, before the first Hib vaccine was licensed, 485 invasive Hib cases were seen at 10 centres (those participating in IMPACT when the “look-back” was done).³ Case totals fell progressively as better vaccines became available.³–⁴ In 2000, only 4 cases were recorded by the IMPACT centres (which by then numbered 12), 99% fewer than in 1985.⁵ Continuing surveillance is important to assess the effectiveness of the current schedule and vaccine. Because Hib vaccination is relatively new, the question of duration of protection remains open. Resurgence of Hib disease occurred recently in the United Kingdom,⁶ prompting addition of a booster dose to the vaccination schedule (as in Canada). Other questions of relevance are whether nonacceptance of Hib vaccine is influencing case totals and whether coadministration of newer vaccines, such as those for pneumococcal and meningococcal group C conjugates and hepatitis B, is adversely affecting Hib responses. A reduced response is most likely to occur when infants are given conjugate vaccines containing the same carrier protein,⁷ which is not the case with PRP-T and pneumococcal
conjugate vaccines; however, their compatibility has not been formally demonstrated to date. In this report we present details of cases encountered by IMPACT in the period 2001 to 2003.

Methods

The surveillance method has been described in detail elsewhere. In brief, IMPACT comprises 12 pediatric centres from coast to coast, representing about 90% of the nation’s tertiary care pediatric beds. About 45% of Canadian children live near an IMPACT centre. A nurse monitor at each centre searched for cases by reviewing daily admissions, liaising with microbiology staff and visiting the wards. Monitors confirmed the completeness of case-finding by auditing relevant discharge diagnosis codes with health records staff and checking Hib isolate lists in the laboratory. Eligible cases had Hib isolated from a normally sterile body site or fluid (e.g., blood, spinal fluid). Isolates were serotyped by the IMPACT centre laboratory or provincial reference laboratory. Cases were summarized by chart review using a specific report form. Monitors obtained details of prior Hib vaccinations (including reasons for non-vaccination when known) from the chart, doctor or health department. Reports were collated at the project data centre. We used the $t$ distribution to calculate 95% confidence intervals for the mean number of annual cases. The project was approved by the research ethics boards of participating centres.

Table 1: *Haemophilus influenzae* type b cases at IMPACT centres, 2001 to 2003

| Characteristic                        | Year; no. of cases* |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                       | 2001 $n = 16$ | 2002 $n = 10$ | 2003 $n = 3$ | Total $n = 29$ |
| Age                                   |                   |
| ≤ 5 mo                                |  7               |  4               |  0               | 11           |
| 6–12 mo                               |  3               |  1               |  0               |  4           |
| 1–2 yr                                |  4               |  2               |  0               |  6           |
| 3–5 yr                                |  1               |  1               |  1               |  3           |
| ≥ 6 yr                                |  1               |  2               |  2               |  5           |
| Sex ratio (male:female)               | 12:4             | 5:5              | 3:0              | 20:9         |
| Attributable deaths                   |  1               |  1               |  0               |  2           |
| Case-fatality ratio, %                |  6               | 10               |  –               |  7           |
| Place of residence                    |                   |
| IMPACT city                           |  4               |  5               |  2               |  11          |
| Other (referred)                      | 12               |  5               |  1               |  18          |
| Major presenting syndrome            |                   |
| Meningitis                            |  9               |  5               |  1               |  15          |
| Pneumonia with bacteremia             |  2               |  2               |  2               |  6           |
| Epiglottitis                          |  2               |  2               |  0               |  4           |
| Septic arthritis                      |  1               |  0               |  0               |  1           |
| Cellulitis                            |  1               |  0               |  0               |  1           |
| Isolated bacteremia                   |  1               |  1               |  0               |  2           |
| Immunization failure                  |                   |
| Previously healthy child              |  0               |  2               |  0               |  2           |
| Immunocompromised child               |  2               |  1               |  1               |  4           |
| Chronic condition, normal immunity    |  1               |  0               |  2               |  3           |
| Total                                 |  3               |  3               |  3               |  9           |
| No or incomplete immunization         |                   |
| Parental refusal                      |  4               |  3†              |  0               |  7           |
| Too young to have completed primary series |  7             |  4†              |  0               | 11           |
| Delayed completion of primary series  |  2               |  0               |  0               |  2           |
| Uncertain vaccination history          |  0               |  1               |  0               |  1           |
| Total                                 | 13               |  7               |  0               | 20           |

Note: IMPACT = Immunization Monitoring Program, Active.

*Unless specified otherwise.
†One child appears in both categories, but the column total has been corrected for the duplication.

Results

Over the 3-year period we detected 29 cases (Table 1). A large subset of the subjects (11 children) were less than 6 months of age, too young to have completed the recommended primary series of vaccinations, although parental refusal was a contributing factor in 1 case (Table 1). Cases were progressively less frequent over the 3 years, with only 3 infections being reported in 2003. The most common diagnosis was meningitis, present in half of the cases.

Predisposing factors were present in 8 children, including dysgammaglobulinemia, hypogammaglobulinemia, nephrotic syndrome treated with corticosteroids, prematurity (29 weeks’ gestational age), ventriculoperitoneal shunt, transnasal hypophysectomy, HIV infection and severe chronic comorbidity. All had received 1 or more doses of Hib vaccine.

The vaccination history was obtained for every child. Nine cases involved immunization failure, defined as onset of infection 4 or more weeks after completion of primary vaccination. Only 2 failures involved previously healthy children. Five children had received 4 age-appropriate doses of Hib vaccine (as DTaP.IPV.Hib combination vaccine [diphtheria and tetanus toxoids, acellular pertussis, inactivated poliomyelitis and Hib tetanus protein conjugate vaccine]); of these, 4 had predisposing conditions and 1 was previously healthy. Three children had received 3 primary doses of Hib vaccine (as DTaP.IPV.Hib vaccine); 2 had predisposing conditions and 1 was previously healthy. One failure occurred 10 years after a single age-appropriate dose of an earlier Hib vaccine (not PRP-T), in a child with HIV infection.

Twenty children had received no or incomplete primary vaccination. Parental refusal of vaccination was associated with invasive Hib infection in 7 children, 6 of whom were old enough to have completed the primary series. Four of these children had meningitis and 2 had epiglottitis. Eleven children were too young to have completed a primary series: 3 were too young for the first dose, 6 had received only the first dose at 2 months, 1 had received 2 doses, and 1 was unvaccinated at 5 months of age. Two children who were older than 6 months had not completed a primary series. The vaccination history was incomplete for one 11-month-old child.
Seven of the children identified during the 3-year period resided in the Yukon Territory, Nunavut or the Northwest Territories. Each had meningitis, which was the cause of 1 death. All but 1 child (whose parents declined to complete the full series) had received at least 1 age-appropriate dose, but only 2 were old enough to have completed the primary series.

None of the cases in 2003 arose from populations of infants that were newly offered hepatitis B (British Columbia), pneumococcal conjugate (British Columbia, Alberta, Nunavut) or meningococcal group C conjugate (Alberta) vaccinations concurrently with Hib vaccines.

Two deaths resulted from Hib infection. Neither was classified as preventable, because 1 occurred in a child 1 month old and the other in a child 5 months old.

**Interpretation**

IMPACT centres manage about half of the invasive Hib infections affecting Canadian children. After reporting a historic low of 4 cases in 2000, we were concerned to see the case total reach 16 in 2001, the largest annual total in a decade. The subsequent decrease to 10 cases in 2002 and a new low of 3 cases in 2003 indicates that the fluctuations in case totals were situational and not indicative of declining vaccine effectiveness. During the past 8 years, when all provinces and territories have used the same PRP-T Hib vaccine, the annual case total at IMPACT centres ranged from 3 to 16, with a mean of 8.4 (95% confidence interval 5.1–11.7). We should anticipate that future case totals will fall within this range in the absence of changes in vaccine effectiveness or vaccination rates.

A key observation is that the annual number of PRP-T vaccine failures after age-appropriate vaccination has been nearly constant since 1996, ranging from 1 to 4, with only 2 to 3 per year during the period 2001 to 2003. This is the most direct evidence that the effectiveness of the current vaccination regimen (product and schedule) is stable. Among the 9 vaccine failures in this report, only 2 involved previously healthy children. The others were immunocompromised or had other predisposing conditions. There was no indication of declining protection among infants who received new vaccines concurrently with Hib vaccinations, but it is too early to exclude this possibility, as only a few provinces had implemented new programs with additional vaccines in 2002 and 2003. The number of cases in older children was unchanged from previous years, which speaks against declining protection with age. The oldest PRP-T vaccines (or vaccine recipients) are now 11–12 years old.

An important contributor to the fluctuation in case totals was variation in the proportion of referred cases. Whereas about half of the cases in 2002 and 2003 were referred from elsewhere, in 2001 referred cases outnumbered local cases by 3 times, accounting for the large total. The reasons for this anomaly are unclear but included an unusual number of referrals from the territories (see later).

Case series data such as these are vulnerable to unrecognized influences on referral practices, particularly because many IMPACT centres serve entire provinces and adjacent territories. On the other hand, one strength of the IMPACT methods is the aggressively complete case ascertainment, which would have revealed changes in local practices such as greater use of outpatient management.

Parental refusal of vaccination accounted for one-quarter of the cases in this series, with severe consequences for some of the children. Parents who refuse vaccination should be informed of the potential consequences for the child. The average annual number of cases associated with parental refusal was unchanged from data obtained in 1998 and 1999. Accurate data on Hib vaccination rates across Canada are not available, because surveys have been hampered by inaccurate record-keeping for combined vaccines.

Young age was a contributing factor in one-third of the recent cases. With PRP-T vaccine, doses at 2, 4 and 6 months of age are recommended for optimal protection, but most children develop protection after the first or second dose. Some cases in the first 5 months of life are not preventable with the current regimen, but these are uncommon because of the temporary protection afforded by maternally derived antibody. Where disease risk is increased among young infants, as in Alaskan native communities, a different Hib vaccine (PRP-OMP [PRP conjugated to Neisseria meningitidis outer membrane protein complex]) is potentially advantageous because it rapidly elicits protection after the first or second dose. Use of that vaccine might have prevented more cases in the territories in 2001, as all of those children had received at least 1 dose of Hib vaccine. Census data indicate that 4836 children up to 3 years of age lived in the territories in 2001, so the 5 cases of Hib infection encountered that year reflect an incidence rate of at least 103.4 per 100 000, whereas the reported rate for all of Canada in 2000 was about 1.0 per 100 000 for this age group. An Arctic surveillance project spanning Alaska and the territories is in progress and will provide a more complete assessment of disease rates and optimal control strategies.

The messages from this recent experience are clear: Hib organisms remain dangerous, so children should complete the vaccination series in a timely manner. Among children vaccinated with the current Hib vaccine, breakthrough infections are rare. Surveillance should continue to confirm long-term protection and to assess the effects of concurrent vaccination with other conjugate vaccines as new provincial programs are implemented.
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