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Abstract

Objectives. Autoantibodies against apolipoprotein A1 (anti-apoA1 IgGs) and its C-terminal region (cter apoA1) have emerged as an independent biomarker for cardiovascular disease. Cter apoA1 mimetic peptides were shown to reverse the deleterious anti-apoA1 IgG effects in vitro. We evaluated the association of anti-cter apoA1 IgGs with overall mortality in the general population and tested the ability of a cter apoA1 analogue to reverse the antibody-mediated inflammatory response and mortality in vitro and in vivo, respectively.

Methods. Anti-cter apoA1 IgGs were measured in serum samples of 6386 participants of the CoLaus study of which 5220 were followed for a median duration of 5.6 years. The primary outcome was overall mortality. The peptide inhibitory concentration 50% (IC50) was determined in vitro on HEK-Blue-4 and RAW cells. ApoE−/− mice were exposed to 16 weeks of anti-apoA1 IgG passive immunisation with and without peptide co-incubation. Results. Anti-cter apoA1 IgGs were associated with higher interleukin 6 levels and independently predicted overall mortality; an increase of one standard deviation of anti-cter apoA1 IgG level was associated with an 18% increase in mortality risk (hazard ratio: 1.18, 95% confidence interval: 1.04–1.33; P = 0.009). The cterApoA1 analogue reversed the antibody-mediated inflammatory response with an IC50 of 1 µM in vitro but did not rescue the significant anti-apoA1 IgG-induced mortality rate in vivo (69% vs. 23%, LogRank P = 0.02).

Conclusion. Anti-cter apoA1 IgG independently predicts overall mortality in the general population. Despite being effective in vitro, our cter apoA1 analogue did not reverse the anti-apoA1 IgG-induced mortality in mice. Our data suggest that these
autoantibodies are not readily treatable through cognate peptide immunomodulation.
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INTRODUCTION

During the last decade, evidence has accumulated that autoantibodies against high-density lipoproteins (HDLs) and their components impact multiple pro-atherogenic processes, facilitating atherogenesis and the occurrence of cardiovascular (CV) diseases.1 Autoantibodies of the IgG subclass against apolipoprotein A1 (anti-apoA1 IgG), the major apolipoprotein of HDL, have been shown to be an independent CV risk factor in the general population, as well as predictors of poor CV outcomes and overall mortality in most populations reported so far.2–11 Translational investigations showed that anti-apoA1 IgGs efficiently promote sterile inflammation, facilitate foam cell formation in vitro, and enhance the development of atherosclerosis and atherothrombosis in mice through specific innate immune receptors complexes and related signalling pathways.12–18 In humans, the polyclonal anti-apoA1 IgG response has been shown to be preferentially oriented against the last alpha helix of the C-terminal part of apoA1 (amino acids: 241–266).19 We previously showed that a corresponding mimetic peptide in a specified three-dimensional conformation could be used for both the detection of anti-c-terminus apoA1 IgG (anti-cter apoA1 IgG) and the neutralisation of deleterious anti-apoA1 IgG pro-inflammatory effects in vitro, including dampening of the anti-apoA1 IgG-induced inflammation20 and related foam cell formation.21

Aside a single-centre and small-sized prospective study,8 no data are currently available regarding the possible prognostic value of anti-cter apoA1 IgG. Therefore, we explored the associations of these autoantibodies with demographic and biological characteristics and assessed the prognostic value of anti-apoA1 IgG on a large general population sample of 6386 individuals.3–5

Furthermore, although several in vitro studies showed that some of these cter apoA1 mimetic peptides efficiently abrogate the anti-apoA1 IgG pro-inflammatory and pro-atherogenic responses,19–21 knowledge of whether such protective effects could be reproduced in vivo is still lacking. Therefore, we challenged the ability of our peptide to rescue the anti-apoA1 IgG-induced mortality in apoE−/− mice.

RESULTS

Anti-cter apoA1 IgG associations with baseline clinical and biological characteristics

The baseline demographic characteristics of the participants included from the CoLaus cohort are presented in Table 1. When analysed by increasing anti-cter apoA1 IgG tertiles, these autoantibodies were found to be associated with female gender, a very high CV risk SCORE category, and inversely associated with BMI. The prevalence of history of acute myocardial infarction tended to be higher in the last anti-cter apoA1 IgG tertile. No other associations with baseline clinical characteristics were found. Anti-cter apoA1 IgG autoantibodies were found to be associated with higher levels of anti-apoA1 IgGs, lower total and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol in patients without lipid-lowering treatment, lower triglyceride levels and a lower HOMA index (Table 2). Those autoantibodies were also found to be weakly associated with higher median uric acid levels in men, and higher IL-1β and IL-6 in the last tertile (Table 2). We further fitted restricted cubic splines (RCSs) to assess linearity of the associations between IL-1β, IL-6, tumor necrosis alpha (TNF-α) and titres of anti-cterA1 IgG, using a RCS model with five knots at 5%, 27.5%, 50%, 72.5% and 95% of the anti-cterA1 IgG distribution. This analysis was non-significant for non-linear associations (all P for non-linearity < 0.1, Supplementary figure 1).

When the cohort was split according to anti-cter apoA1 IgG seropositivity, which comprised 20.7% of the individuals (1323/6386), the prevalence of smoking was higher in anti-cter apoA1 IgG seropositive individuals than in seronegative individuals, but the association with
gender could no longer be detected. Our sensitivity analyses indicated that these associations were similar in primary or secondary CV prevention groups (Supplementary table 1). In the latter situation, a higher proportion of individuals under β-blockers was found in seropositive individuals (Supplementary table 1). Supplementary table 2 indicates that several of these biological associations were substantially different in patients in secondary CV prevention; the associations with renal function and LDL cholesterol were lost, whereas the association with uric acid in men became stronger and a significant association with higher homocysteine levels was observed. A trend was observed for ultra-sensitive C-reactive protein (US-CRP), but no associations were found for the three analysed cytokines (Supplementary table 2). Finally, the associations between anti-cter apoA1 IgGs and anti-apoA1 IgGs, total cholesterol, LDL, triglycerides, HOMA index and IL-6 results were modestly but significantly corroborated by Spearman correlation analyses (Supplementary table 2).

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the overall sample according to anti-cter apoA1 IgG tertiles (in OD at 405 nm)

|                         | Anti-cter apoA1 IgG T1: OD < 0.21 | Anti-cter apoA1 IgG T2: 0.21 to < 0.33 | Anti-cter apoA1 IgG T3: OD ≥ 0.33 | P-value |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Age, years (n = 6386)   | 52.8 ± 10.6                      | 52.5 ± 10.7                           | 52.8 ± 11.0                      | 0.614   |
| Male sex, n (%)         | 1066 (50.1)                      | 1011 (47.5)                           | 961 (45.2)                       | 0.006   |
| Hypertension, n (%)     | 753 (35.4)                       | 722 (33.9)                            | 750 (35.2)                       | 0.555   |
| Diabetes, n (%)         | 150 (7.0)                        | 133 (6.3)                             | 135 (6.3)                        | 0.521   |
| Current smoking, n (%)  | 559 (26.2)                       | 549 (25.8)                            | 595 (28.0)                       | 0.242   |
| Current alcohol consump.| 1544 (72.5)                      | 1552 (72.9)                           | 1493 (70.2)                      | 0.097   |
| Autoimmune disease, n (%)| 48 (2.3)                        | 43 (2.0)                              | 61 (2.9)                         | 0.174   |
| SLE, n (%)              | 1 (0.1)                          | 3 (0.1)                               | 4 (0.2)                          | 0.416   |
| Heart rate, bpm         | 68.1 ± 9.7                       | 67.7 ± 9.7                            | 68.2 ± 10.2                      | 0.157   |
| Blood pressure, mmHg    |                                  |                                       |                                  |         |
| SBP                     | 128.3 ± 17.7                     | 128.1 ± 17.9                          | 127.8 ± 18.2                     | 0.735   |
| DBP                     | 79.2 ± 10.9                      | 79.5 ± 10.9                           | 79.1 ± 10.8                      | 0.446   |
| Metabolic syndrome, n (%)| 522 (24.5)                      | 470 (22.1)                            | 464 (21.8)                       | 0.069   |
| Body Mass Index, kg/m²  | 26.0 ± 4.6                       | 25.6 ± 4.4                            | 25.8 ± 4.6                       | 0.015   |
| Cardiovascular risk calculated by SCORE | 2.0 ± 3.2                     | 2.1 ± 3.5                             | 2.2 ± 3.9                        | 0.096   |
| CV risk categories according to SCORE, n (%) |                                  |                                       |                                  |         |
| Low risk                | 1236 (58.1)                      | 1289 (60.6)                           | 1258 (59.2)                      |         |
| Intermediate risk       | 416 (19.6)                       | 354 (16.7)                            | 336 (15.8)                       |         |
| High risk               | 223 (10.5)                       | 227 (10.7)                            | 236 (11.1)                       |         |
| Very high risk          | 251 (11.8)                       | 256 (12.0)                            | 295 (13.9)                       | 0.017   |
| History of:             |                                  |                                       |                                  |         |
| Total cardiovascular disease, n (%) | 149 (7.0)                      | 127 (6.0)                             | 163 (7.7)                        | 0.089   |
| Coronary heart disease, n (%) | 78 (3.7)                        | 62 (2.9)                              | 85 (4.0)                         | 0.147   |
| Acute myocardial infarction, n (%) | 69 (3.2)                        | 52 (2.4)                              | 77 (3.6)                         | 0.078   |
| Stroke, n (%)           | 44 (2.1)                         | 29 (1.4)                              | 29 (1.4)                         | 0.107   |
| Family history of:      |                                  |                                       |                                  |         |
| Total cardiovascular disease, n (%) | 152 (7.1)                      | 152 (7.1)                             | 163 (7.7)                        | 0.753   |
| Coronary heart disease, n (%) | 109 (5.1)                       | 104 (4.9)                             | 109 (5.1)                        | 0.923   |
| Stroke, n (%)           | 45 (2.1)                         | 57 (2.7)                              | 62 (2.9)                         | 0.236   |
| CV drugs                |                                  |                                       |                                  |         |
| Aspirin, n (%)          | 340 (16.0)                       | 328 (15.4)                            | 335 (15.7)                       | 0.885   |
| Statins, n (%)          | 264 (12.4)                       | 205 (9.6)                             | 212 (10.0)                       | 0.006   |
| Beta-blockers, n (%)    | 113 (5.3)                        | 113 (5.3)                             | 126 (5.9)                        | 0.599   |
| ACEi/ARA, n (%)         | 157 (7.4)                        | 154 (7.2)                             | 165 (7.8)                        | 0.801   |
| Diuretics, n (%)        | 49 (2.3)                         | 46 (2.2)                              | 43 (2.0)                         | 0.821   |

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or number of participants and (percentage). Statistical analysis by the chi-squared test for categorical variables and the Kruskal–Wallis test for continuous variables.

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
Table 2. Biological characteristics of the overall sample according to anti-cter apoA1 IgG tertiles (in OD at 405 nm)

| Overall sample (n = 6386) | Anti-cter apoA1 IgG T1: OD < 0.21 (n = 2129) | Anti-cter apoA1 IgG T2: OD 0.21 to < 0.33 (n = 2129) | Anti-cter apoA1 IgG T3: OD ≥ 0.33 (n = 2129) | P-value |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|
| Anti-cter apoA1 IgG, n (%) | 217 (10.2)                                  | 341 (16.0)                                      | 713 (33.5)                                  | < 0.001 |
| Anti-cter apoA1 OD        | 0.31 (0.2-0.47)                             | 0.38 (0.26-0.55)                               | 0.52 (0.36-0.75)                            | < 0.001 |
| Lipid metabolism         |                                            |                                                |                                             |         |
| Total cholesterol, mmol L⁻¹ | 5.6 (4.9-6.3)                               | 5.5 (4.9-6.3)                                  | 5.4 (4.7-6.1)                               | < 0.001 |
| LDL cholesterol, mmol L⁻¹ | 3.3 (2.8-4)                                 | 3.3 (2.7-3.9)                                  | 3.2 (2.6-3.8)                               | < 0.001 |
| Under lipid-modifying treatment | 3.0 (2.4-3.6)                             | 3.0 (2.4-3.5)                                  | 3.0 (2.4-3.6)                               | 0.747   |
| Devoid of lipid-modifying treatment | 3.4 (2.8-4)                             | 3.3 (2.8-4)                                    | 3.2 (2.6-3.8)                               | < 0.001 |
| HDL cholesterol, mmol L⁻¹ | 1.6 (1.3-1.9)                               | 1.6 (1.3-1.9)                                  | 1.6 (1.3-1.9)                               | 0.703   |
| In men                   | 1.4 (1.2-1.6)                               | 1.4 (1.2-1.6)                                  | 1.4 (1.2-1.6)                               | 0.850   |
| In women                 | 1.8 (1.5-2.1)                               | 1.8 (1.5-2.1)                                  | 1.8 (1.5-2.0)                               | 0.421   |
| Triglycerides, mmol L⁻¹  | 1.2 (0.8-1.7)                               | 1.1 (0.8-1.6)                                  | 1.1 (0.8-1.5)                               | < 0.001 |
| Apolipoprotein B, mg dL⁻¹ | 139 (98-212)                                | 144 (101-207)                                  | 140 (99-199)                                | 0.278   |
| Glucose metabolism       |                                            |                                                |                                             |         |
| HOMA Index               | 1.78 (1.17-2.76)                            | 1.70 (1.13-2.64)                               | 1.65 (1.10-2.69)                            | 0.026   |
| Renal function           |                                            |                                                |                                             |         |
| Creatinine, μmol L⁻¹     | 78.1 (69-88)                                | 78.8 (69-88.4)                                 | 78.0 (69-88)                                | 0.230   |
| eGFR, mL min⁻¹/1.73 m²   | 78.1 (69-88.3)                              | 77.7 (68.9-87.1)                               | 77.7 (68.4-87.9)                            | 0.465   |
| Surrogate markers of CVD |                                            |                                                |                                             |         |
| Uric acid, μmol L⁻¹      | 310 (255-367)                               | 303 (251-362)                                  | 302 (245-366)                               | 0.057   |
| In men                   | 351 (307-406)                               | 352 (304-397)                                  | 359 (310-415)                               | 0.019   |
| In women                 | 264 (224-315)                               | 262 (227-308)                                  | 258 (222-304)                               | 0.075   |
| Homocysteine, μmol L⁻¹   | 9.5 (8-11.5)                                | 9.5 (7.9-11.6)                                 | 9.5 (7.8-11.8)                               | 0.903   |
| Ultra-sensitive CRP, mg L⁻¹ | 1.3 (0.6-2.8)                             | 1.2 (0.6-2.6)                                  | 1.3 (0.6-2.8)                               | 0.054   |
| Cytokines                |                                            |                                                |                                             |         |
| IL-1β, pg mL⁻¹           | 0.38 (0.1-1.81)                             | 0.36 (0.1-1.58)                                | 0.46 (0.1-1.79)                             | 0.038   |
| IL-6, pg mL⁻¹            | 1.29 (0.56-3.1)                             | 1.22 (0.54-2.95)                               | 1.42 (0.64-3.50)                            | < 0.001 |
| TNF-α, pg mL⁻¹           | 2.99 (1.89-4.58)                            | 2.74 (1.74-4.28)                               | 2.88 (1.76-4.72)                            | < 0.001 |

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median (inter quartile range) according to the variable distribution. Statistical analysis was performed by the Student’s t-test or the Kruskal-Wallis test, depending on the distribution.

CVD, cardiovascular disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA, Homeostasis Model Assessment; IL, interleukin; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; US-CRP, ultra-sensitive C-reactive protein.

**Anti-cter apoA1 IgG associations with study endpoints**

As shown in Table 3, Cox regression analyses showed that each standard deviation (SD) increase of anti-cter apoA1 IgG was associated with a 25% increase in mortality risk (HR: 1.25; 95% CI: 1.11–1.41, P < 0.001), which remained of the same order of magnitude in our adjusted model (HR: 1.75; 95% CI: 1.20–2.55; P = 0.004). Kaplan-Meier analyses showed that the cumulative mortality in anti-cter apoA1 IgG seropositive individuals was 9% versus 4.1% (LogRank P < 0.001; Figure 1), translating into an absolute mortality difference of 4.9%. Moreover, anti-cter apoA1 IgGs were not found to be associated with coronary artery disease (CAD) incidence (Table 3), which did not change whether these events were fatal or not (data not shown).

To assess for non-linearity in the adjusted association between anti-cter apoA1 IgG titres and risk of (1) all-cause mortality and (2) incident CAD during follow-up, we fitted RCS models with five knots at 5%, 27.5%, 50%, 72.5% and 95%. The linear continuous dose-response associations between anti-cterA1 IgG...
levels and risk of all-cause mortality and incident CAD using RCS are shown in Supplementary figure 3. P-values for non-linearity were calculated by comparing RCS terms to linear models using the Wald test. The P-value for non-linearity was (1) $P = 0.879$ for all-cause mortality and (2) $P = 0.241$ for incident CAD. We found no evidence of a non-linear association between increasing titres of anti-cterA1 IgG levels and outcomes and analysis by tertiles of anti-cterA1 IgG levels yielded similar results (Supplementary table 4).

Finally, due to fact that the correlation between anti-cter apoA1 and anti-apoA1 IgG was modest ($r = 0.358$) in the longitudinal samples, we evaluated how the risk factors would vary across the four different combinations of serological status. As shown in Table 4, double seropositive individuals displayed a three-fold increased risk when compared to double seronegative participants, which remained unchanged after multivariable adjustment (HR: 2.78; 95% CI: 1.73–4.46; $P < 0.001$). In addition, double seropositivity did not significantly affect the incident CAD hazard.

### Model discrimination improvement after inclusion of Anti-cter apoA1 IgG and Anti-apoA1 IgG

We further assessed the model’s discrimination and reclassification capacity, before and after the inclusion of anti-cterA1 IgG and anti-apoA1 IgG for overall mortality and incident CAD. With regard to

| Study endpoints | Hazard ratio (95% CI); $P$ | Anti-cter apoA1 IgG seropositive vs seronegative individuals |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| All-cause mortality ($n = 192$) | | |
| Unadjusted | 1.25 (1.11–1.41); $P < 0.001$ | 2.05 (1.42–2.95); $P < 0.001$ |
| Adjusted | 1.18 (1.04–1.33); $P = 0.009$ | 1.75 (1.20–2.55); $P = 0.004$ |
| Incident CAD ($n = 159$) | | |
| Unadjusted | 0.95 (0.80–1.13); $P = 0.584$ | 1.32 (0.84–2.07); $P = 0.229$ |
| Adjusted | 0.89 (0.74–1.08); $P = 0.235$ | 1.15 (0.71–1.87); $P = 0.558$ |

Results are expressed as unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios with 95% confidence interval according to Cox proportional hazards regression. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, diabetes, smoking, HDL and LDL cholesterol, baseline CAD, statin, beta-blocker treatment, eGFR and autoimmune disease. CAD, coronary artery disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; SD, standard deviation.

| Anti-cter apoA1 & anti-apoA1 negative ($n = 3694$) | Anti-cter apoA1 negative & anti-apoA1 positive ($n = 735$) | Anti-cter apoA1 positive & anti-apoA1 negative ($n = 323$) | Anti-cter apoA1 & anti-apoA1 positive ($n = 266$) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Hazard ratio (95% CI); $P$ | Hazard ratio (95% CI); $P$ | Hazard ratio (95% CI); $P$ | Hazard ratio (95% CI); $P$ |
| All-cause death ($n = 192$) | | | |
| Unadjusted | 1 (ref.) | 1.17 (0.79–1.76); $P = 0.432$ | 1.57 (0.92–2.69); $P = 0.102$ | 2.80 (1.76–4.45); $P < 0.001$ |
| Adjusted | 1 (ref.) | 1.30 (0.86–1.97); $P = 0.215$ | 1.22 (0.70–2.12); $P = 0.489$ | 2.78 (1.73–4.46); $P < 0.001$ |
| Incident CAD ($n = 159$) | | | |
| Unadjusted | 1 (ref.) | 1.37 (0.90–2.08); $P = 0.139$ | 1.02 (0.59–1.75); $P = 0.956$ | 1.55 (0.83–2.89); $P = 0.166$ |
| Adjusted | 1 (ref.) | 1.35 (0.87–2.08); $P = 0.179$ | 1.28 (0.68–2.38); $P = 0.443$ | 1.20 (0.59–2.41); $P = 0.616$ |

Results are expressed as adjusted hazard ratios and (95% confidence interval) across the four combined anti-cter apoA1 IgG and anti-apoA1 IgG serological groups. The Anti-cter apoA1 & anti-apoA1 negative group was defined as the reference ratio (ref) of 1. Statistical analysis by Cox proportional hazards regression adjusted for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, diabetes, smoking, HDL and LDL cholesterol, baseline CAD, statin, beta-blocker treatment, eGFR and autoimmune disease. CAD, coronary artery disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; SD, standard deviation.
all-cause mortality, inclusion of anti-cterA1 IgG on top of the multivariate baseline model significantly improved the C-statistic, IDI and NRI (p). Inclusion of anti-apoA1 IgG on top of this model yielded further improvement in discrimination and reclassification indices (Table 5).

For incident CAD, the multivariate baseline model for incident CAD already presented an excellent predictive capacity (baseline C-statistic: 0.822). Inclusion of autoantibodies on top of this model did not result in significant improvements in model discrimination or reclassification (Table 5).

For incident CAD, the multivariate baseline model for incident CAD already presented an excellent predictive capacity (baseline C-statistic: 0.822). Inclusion of autoantibodies on top of this model did not result in significant improvements in model discrimination or reclassification (Table 5).

Cter apoA1 mimetic peptide 50% inhibitory concentration in vitro and in vivo dose definition

The cter apoA1 mimetic peptide (F3L1) was tested for its ability to inhibit anti-apoA1 IgG-induced inflammatory cytokine release in HEK cells expressing human TLR4, as well as a mouse macrophage cell line (RAW cells). On these two cell lines, F3L1 showed comparable inhibitory activity against an anti-apoA1 IgG-induced inflammatory response (Figure 2), with fitted IC50 values of 4.3 μM (95% CI 2.3–8.2) and 5.4 μM (3.9–9.7).

Cter apoA1 mimetic peptide impact on anti-apoA1 IgG-induced apoE−/− mice mortality

Using a validated passive immunisation protocol in apoE−/− mice,12–14 100 μL of F3L1 at 1 mM (0.295 mg) was co-incubated for 2 h at room temperature with either anti-apoA1 IgG or control IgG (50 μg per injection) every other week for 16 weeks. As shown on Figure 3, the mortality rate in anti-apoA1 IgG recipient mice was 69% (11/16), whereas it was 23% (3/13) in control IgG recipient mice. This difference was found to be significant (69 vs 23%; LogRank P = 0.02). The mortality rate in peptide recipient mice was found to be similar to the one observed in the control IgG recipients (13% vs 23%; LogRank P = 0.41). As shown on Figure 3, when co-incubated with the peptide, the anti-apoA1 IgG-induced mortality rate remained unchanged (75% vs 69%, LogRank P = 0.59). Finally, when control IgGs were co-incubated with the analogue, the mortality rate increased significantly from 12% to 53% (LogRank: P = 0.01). Due to the high mortality in these different mice recipient groups, key pre-analytical factors could not be respected for organs and blood sampling, which prevented us from performing exhaustive histological examinations. At the beginning and at the end of the protocol (for living mice), mice weight, appearance and activity across the different groups were similar.

DISCUSSION

The key finding of this study lies in the fact that anti-cter apoA1 IgG levels measured by using F3L1 as a capture antigen displayed an independent
and dose-dependent association with overall mortality, but not with incident CV events in the general population. The absolute overall mortality risk difference between anti-cter apoA1 seropositive and seronegative individuals was 4.9% whereas the absolute mortality difference was 1.6% according to anti-apoA1 IgG seropositivity, corresponding to a three-fold relative difference.

These results extend existing knowledge in the field by suggesting that (1) the anti-cter apoA1 IgG strength of association for overall mortality seems to be superior to the one previously reported for anti-apoA1 IgGs and (2) anti-cter apoA1 IgGs are not associated with anamnestic nor incident CAD. Because anti-cter apoA1 IgGs were only modestly correlated (r = 0.36) with anti-apoA1 IgGs, this differential association pattern suggests that both antibodies represent related but different entities, even if they display a similar seropositivity prevalence (around 20%) and independently predict overall mortality. The cumulative effect observed of double seropositivity on overall mortality (but not incident CAD) risk is in accordance with this concept and nurtures the hypothesis that anti-cterApoA1 may be more specific for assessing the overall individual risk of death, whereas anti-apoA1 IgG could be more predictive of CV disease (CVD). These results also indicate that assessing the levels of both antibodies at the same time would probably be more relevant to a patient’s overall prognosis rather than applying a sequential order, as is done in screening strategies. While likely to represent a specific class of antibodies, the inverse associations between anti-cter apoA1 IgG total and LDL cholesterol together with the positive correlations with pro-inflammatory parameters retrieved presently are globally similar to the ones reported with anti-apoA1 IgG seropositivity, corresponding to a three-fold relative difference.

The second notable finding of this translational study lies in the fact that, despite the fact that passive immunisation with anti-apoA1 IgG increased the mortality rate of apoE−/− mice in a similar proportion (69% vs 23%: three-fold relative change) than what was retrieved in seropositive individuals included in the Colaus cohort, our cter apoA1 analogue was unable to neutralise the previously demonstrated anti-apoA1 IgG-induced mortality in apoE−/− mice. Several explanations could explain the failure of our apoA1 analogues in vivo despite providing promising results in vitro. One possible reason is the relative simplicity of our in vitro models consisting of human and murine macrophages, which certainly do not reflect the in vivo biological complexity, especially in regard to immune complex (IC) physiopathology. Indeed, in our in vitro models, the inhibitory effect of the cter apoA1 analogues has been related to their ability to block anti-apoA1 IgG binding to cells by occupying the idiotypic part of anti-apoA1 IgG that is expected to lead to IC formation, which in turn would prevent the subsequent activation of toll-like receptor (TLR) 2/4/CD14 complex. Because Fc gamma receptors (FcγR) are not expressed in HEK-blue 4 cells, the possible contribution of Fcγ-R subtype activation by IC could not be fully assessed in our in vitro models. Along the same line, as heat-inactivated serum was used in our experimental procedures, neither could we detect an IC-dependent complement activation effect in our in vitro models. Moreover, in our mice passive immunisation protocol, we specifically tested the ability of IC consisting of apoA1 and cognate antibodies to neutralise the anti-apoA1 IgG-induced mortality, assuming that these IC would be efficiently cleared from the apoE−/− mice reticuloendothelial system without major biological impacts. The fact that mice exposed to our cter apoA1 analogue co-incubated with control IgG (polyclonals) displayed a significant increase in mortality when compared to the analogues alone, and a similar mortality rate to the ones observed with either anti-apoA1 IgGs alone or co-incubated with the analogues, is in favor of an IC contribution to the observed mortality increase. Nevertheless, because kidneys were not taken upon mice sacrifice and could not be obtained within the requested pre-analytical time for most mice as a result of the high mortality rate (75%), we could not formally ascribe the failure of the cter apoA1 peptide to neutralise anti-apoA1 IgGs effects to the generation of IC. The fact...
that all reagents were devoid of endotoxin together with the rather delayed occurrence of deaths (by day 30), a reagent contamination issue can reasonably be excluded. Our results may appear to contrast with a recent observation in humans, where the presence of IC consisting of apoA1 and IgG subclass 4 was inversely related to adverse events, including death.23 Nevertheless, because of the complex IC physiopathology characterised by a concentration-dependent balance between beneficial and deleterious IC biological properties,22 we believe that our in vivo results are the consequence of an excess of IC formation, even if we could not formally and conclusively demonstrate this.

A limitation to this study relates to the high mortality rate of mice in the group receiving anti-apoA1 IgG alone or in combination with the both analogues, as well as control IgG with both analogues. The mortality rate observed for anti-apoA1 IgG recipient mice was 69%, whereas it was previously 25%.12 The reasons for such a mortality increase are still elusive, but a reagent or a mice-contamination issue has been reasonably excluded. Because of this high mortality rate, we could not respect the mandatory pre-analytical requirement for organ sampling in all these groups. This prevented us from performing tissue and histological analyses to study the impact of these peptides on the anti-apoA1 IgG-induced atherogenesis,12-14 or from confirming possible tissue IC deposition in the kidneys. The same phenomenon impeded us from obtaining proper blood samples required for further biological characterisation of the mice used. Nevertheless, these limitations do not affect the key conclusion of our animal study, which demonstrates the inability of apoA1 analogues to neutralise the deleterious anti-apoA1 IgG effects in vivo. A second limitation is that, because of our clinical study design, we could not assess the causal link between the presence of anti-cter apoA1 IgG and overall mortality. In this respect and despite the aforementioned limitations, our animal study was instrumental to underpin this possible causal link, as we used polyclonal anti-cter apoA1 IgGs in our passive immunisation protocol. Another limitation resides in the fact that we used a single antigen concentration to neutralise anti-apoA1 IgG based on the maximal feasible dose.24 Such concentration exceeded anti-apoA1 IgG concentration by 24-fold and was identical to the apoA1 mimetic peptide concentrations previously shown to be beneficial in mice through the same route of administration.25 Therefore, even if only one single neutralising apoA1 mimetic peptide was tested in vivo and could not be compared to higher concentrations, we believe that an adequate peptide concentration was used in vivo. Although specific causes of mortality were adjudicated (Supplementary table 5), we could not ascribe in further detail the exact mortality cause associated with anti-cter apoA1 antibodies. Finally, we did not collect data on medications...
therefore could not account for a possible change in medications during follow-up.

In conclusion, this reverse translational study shows for the first time that this cter apoA1 mimetic peptide can be used as a capture antigen to detect cognate antibodies whose levels independently predict premature overall mortality in the general population. Furthermore, despite appealing \textit{in vitro} results, our study shows that this cter apoA1 analogue does not neutralise the anti-apoA1 IgG-induced death \textit{in vivo}. From a precision medicine perspective, if our results indicate that anti-cter apoA1 IgG may allow the identification of individuals at high risk of premature death, they do not support the hypothesis that these autoantibodies could be useful targets of cognate peptide immunomodulation. Because other therapeutic means have been reported to neutralise anti-apoA1 IgGs effects \textit{in vitro}, such as intravenous immunoglobulins, L-Type calcium channel blockers, and eplerenone, using an already existing means may represent a more appealing option than a mimetic peptide based-approach. Among these, colchicine inhibits the same innate immune receptors as those that are activated by anti-apoA1 IgGs.\textsuperscript{12,14,15,18,26} Because colchicine treatment has recently been shown to be highly effective at reducing incident ischemic CV events,\textsuperscript{27} knowing whether anti-apoA1 or anti-cter apoA1 IgG seropositive individuals could represent a subgroup particularly prone to benefit from this treatment may represent an important field of investigation in the field of humoral autoimmunity against apoA1 in CVD.

**METHODS**

**Cter apoA1 mimetic peptide synthesis**

As published previously,\textsuperscript{19,20} our lead C-terminal apoA1 mimetic peptide (F3L1: amino acids 241–266, sequence: GLLPVLESKYSLLEETYKKLNT, molecular weight: 2950.4 Dalton) was synthesised and maintained in a given three-dimensional conformation via lactam bridge between Glu259 and Lys263. The peptide was synthesised according to a standard Fmoc-protocol on Rink amide AM resin (Novabiochem, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) using a Prelude synthesizer (Protein Technologies, Manchester, UK). For F3L1, orthogonal protecting groups (allyl/allyloxycarbonyl) were used for the glutamic acid and lysine residues utilised to form the lactam bridge. On-resin lactam bridge formation,\textsuperscript{29} monitored by the Kaiser ninhydrin test, was carried out with three equivalents of 6-chloro-benzotriazole-1-yl-oxy-tris-pyrrolidino-phosphonium hexafluorophosphinate and nine equivalents of N,N-diisopropylethylamine over 48 h at 37°C. As reported earlier,\textsuperscript{20} the mass of our peptide was verified by mass spectrometry and the alpha helix content was evaluated by circular dichroism spectroscopy. Since we have previously demonstrated that an F3L1 scrambled peptide failed to reverse the pro-inflammatory and pro-atherogenic effects of anti-apoA1 IgGs,\textsuperscript{20,21} this peptide was not used in the present study. F3L1 was reconstituted in sterile water at a concentration of 1 mM (2.95 g mL\textsuperscript{-1}).

**The CoLaus cohort and study endpoints**

As previously published, the ‘clinical and biological data were obtained from the CoLaus study, a population-based cohort of 6733 participants aged 35–75 years recruited between 2003 and 2006 in the city of Lausanne, Switzerland’. Of the initial baseline sample of 6649 participants with available anti-apoA1 IgG measures,\textsuperscript{3–5} 6386 had remaining serum samples to assess anti-cter apoA1 IgG. 5220 (mean age 52.6 ± 10.7 years, 47.3% men) had complete clinical and biological data over a median
follow-up time of 5.6 years and were included in this prospective analysis. A detailed description of the study design, variables and sampling procedures has been reported elsewhere.3–5,30,31 The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the University of Lausanne, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants before inclusion in the study in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

All participants attended the outpatient clinic of the University Hospital of Lausanne. Clinical data and fasting venous blood samples were collected from each participant by trained field interviewers during a single visit lasting about 60 min. Blood pressure and heart rate were measured three consecutive times using an automated sphygmomanometer (Omron® HEM-907, Matsusaka, Japan), and the average of the last two measurements was used. Body weight and height were measured with participants standing without shoes in light indoor clothes. Body weight was measured in kilograms to the nearest 100 g using a Seca® scale, which was calibrated regularly. Height was measured to the nearest 5 mm using a Seca® height gauge.

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/height2 (m2). Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg and/or a diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg, and/or the presence of anti-hypertensive treatment. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting plasma glucose ≥ 7.0 mmol L−1 and/or insulin or other oral anti-diabetic treatment. Prevalent CVD was defined by the presence of myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, percutaneous revascularisation or bypass grafting for ischemic heart disease, stroke or transient ischemic attack and was assessed according to standardised medical records.30,31 History of autoimmune diseases (ADs) was obtained via questionnaire. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated by the simplified Modification of Diet in Renal Disease prediction equation. Absolute risk for CVD was computed using the SCORE algorithm.

The primary study endpoint was overall mortality. The secondary endpoints consisted of incidents of CAD, defined as fatal or non-fatal myocardial infarction, stable or unstable angina, or percutaneous coronary revascularisation bypass grafting. The primary study endpoint was adjudicated by two independent internal medicine specialists, and secondary endpoints by two independent cardiologists. All adjudicators were blinded to biochemical results.

Blood sampling, biomarkers, anti-apoA1 IgG and anti-cter apoA1 IgG levels’ determination on CoLaus

Venous blood samples were drawn after an overnight fast, and assays were either performed on fresh plasma samples within 2 h of blood collection for routine analyses, or previously on unthawed CoLaus serum aliquots (2003–2006) for anti-apoA1 anti-cter apoA1 IgG determination (see below). Routine analyses consisted of standard lipid profile, serum creatinine, glucose, homocysteine, uric acid, US-CRP, interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6 and TNF-α measures that were performed according to routine methods as previously reported.31,32

Anti-apoA1 and anti-cter apoA1 IgGs were measured following our validated anti-apoA1 IgG protocol reported above,2–5,7,8,10,11,13,14,16,17,33 except that the F3L1 apoA1 analogue (1 µg per well) was used as coating antigen instead of fully delipidated and native apoA1. The seropositivity cut-off for anti-cter apoA1 IgGs was prospectively set at an optical density (405 nm) value of 0.5,
corresponding to the 97.5th percentile of the distribution obtained on healthy blood donors and reported previously.\textsuperscript{21} The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation at the seropositivity cut-off were < 6%.

\textbf{In vitro determination of 50% inhibitory concentration for cter apoA1 mimetic peptide}

As previously published, two cell lines were used to test the ability of our peptide analogue to inhibit the anti-apoA1 IgG-induced cytokine production\textsuperscript{19,20} in order to define the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50): Human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells stably expressing human TLR4, MD2, and CD14 (HEK-Blue-4, InvivoGen, San Diego, CA, USA); and Raw cells. Anti-apoA1 IgGs (100 µg mL\textsuperscript{-1}) were incubated with the peptide across a concentration range from 100 to 0.06 µg mL\textsuperscript{-1} for 2 h at room temperature prior to addition to cells. After 24 h of stimulation, IL-8 and TNF-α were quantified in the cell supernatants by ELISA according to manufacturer’s instructions (R&D System, Minneapolis, MN, USA).

\textbf{In vivo study}

Eleven-week-old ApoE\textsuperscript{−/−} mice were submitted to passive immunisation with anti-apoA1 IgG and respective control IgG for additional 16 weeks, according to our previously described protocol.\textsuperscript{12–14} Briefly, mice (n = 13–16 mice per experimental group) were fed under the standard chow diet and received intravenous endotoxin-free (∼0.25 EU mL\textsuperscript{-1} using the limulus ameobocyte lysate endotoxin assay) goat polyclonal anti-human apoA1 IgG (Academy Biomedical Company, Houston, TX, USA) or goat polyclonal IgG controls (Meridian Life Science, Memphis, TN, USA; 50 µg per mouse per injection) every 2 weeks for 16 weeks.\textsuperscript{12–14} Antibodies were pre-incubated with PBS or the cter apoA1 analogue (F3L1) for 2 h at room temperature prior to injection. The F3L1 dose chosen for this study (590 µg or 200 nmol) per mouse per injection) corresponds to the maximal feasible dose, taking into account the peptide’s maximum solubility (2 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}) and the maximum injection volume for the study (150 µL total; 100 µL F3L1 solution plus 50 µL antibody solution). Assuming a total mouse blood volume of 1.5 mL,\textsuperscript{24} these injections would be expected to achieve a circulatory concentration of 132 µM, that is 24-fold in excess of the IC50 values determined \textit{in vitro} (Figure 2). The investigation conforms to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85–23, revised 1996) and was approved by the local and ethics authorities (Geneva Veterinary Office and the Ethic Commission of Animal Experimentation of the University of Geneva). This study conformed to the ‘position of the American Heart Association on Research Animal Use’.

\textbf{Reagents}

RPMI-1640 medium, foetal bovine serum, PBS free of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, L-glutamine, penicillin and streptomycin were obtained from Gibco BRL-Life Technologies (Rockville, MD, USA). Interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) was from Roche (Mannheim, Germany). Affinity-purified goat polyclonal anti-human apoA1 IgG (ref. 11AG2) was obtained from Academy Biomedical Company, and goat control IgG was obtained from Meridian Life Science (ref. A66200H). Raw 264.7 macrophage cells were kindly provided by Professor Patrice Lalive, Geneva University Hospitals, and HEK-Blue-4 were purchased from InvivoGen.

\textbf{Statistical analysis}

For the clinical study, bivariate analysis of continuous variables was performed using the Student’s or Mann–Whitney tests as appropriate, while analysis of categorical variables was performed using the chi-squared test. In the cross-sectional analysis, the associations of anti-cter apoA1 IgG levels with baseline clinical and demographic characteristics were evaluated for 6386 individuals using analyses per anti-cter apoA1 IgG tertiles, autoantibody seropositivity status and by Spearman correlation for continuous variables. Because of the exploratory nature of this work and the pre-specified analysis planned, adjustments for multiple tests were not performed. Results were expressed as median, interquartile range (IQR) and range unless stated otherwise.

To assess non-linearity in the association of continuous biomarkers (IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, uric acid) with titres of anti-cter apoA1 IgG, we further fitted RCS models. We used an RCS model with five knots at percentiles 5%, 27.5%, 50%, 72.5% and 95% of the anti-cter apoA1 IgG distribution, as previously proposed.\textsuperscript{34,35} Varying the number or location of the knots (using percentiles 10%, 50%, 90% or 5%, 35%, 65% and 95%)\textsuperscript{34} had negligible influence on the estimates. A P-value for non-linearity was calculated by comparing RCS terms to linear models using the Wald test.

For the longitudinal part of the clinical study, considering the mortality rate in CoLaus (192 deaths) and a two-sided alpha of 0.05, our study had 80% power to detect a relative risk for overall mortality of 1.45 in participants positive for anti-cterA-1 IgG. The association of anti-cter apoA1 IgG levels with overall mortality was assessed on the 5220 individuals sampled with available follow-up. The association of anti-cter apoA1 levels with the study endpoints was assessed by Kaplan-Meier curve analyses and by Cox proportional hazards. Kaplan-Meier analyses were performed according to anti-cter apoA1 IgG seropositivity based on a predefined and validated cut-off value [OD value > 0.5 (21)], and differences were assessed using the log-rank test. For Cox regression analyses, due to the skewed anti-cter apoA1 IgG distribution, natural log-transformed anti-cter apoA1 IgG concentrations were used to calculate hazard ratios (HR) provided with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). HRs were expressed per standard deviation (SD) increase of anti-cter apoA1 IgG levels and according to anti-cter apoA1 IgG seropositivity. Multivariate HRs were calculated after adjusting for age, gender, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, BMI, eGFR, HDL and LDL cholesterol, baseline CVD, and ADs. Humoral autoimmunity against apoA1 being known to be relevant for CVD, secondary prevention subgroups. Secondary prevention
individuals were defined by the anamnestic presence of any CAD, any stroke or peripheral artery disease. Primary prevention individuals were defined as those without the aforementioned conditions irrespective of the presence of CV risk factors.

To assess for non-linearity in the adjusted association between anti-cter apoa1 IgG titres and risk of (1) all-cause mortality and (2) incident CAD during follow-up, we further fitted RCS models with five knots at 5%, 27.5%, 50%, 72.5% and 95%. The dashed lines show the 95% confidence interval for the adjusted hazard ratio point estimates associated with different antiapolipoA1IgG levels. A P-value for non-linearity was calculated using the Wald test.

Finally, we assessed the model’s discrimination as well as reclassification capacity before and after the inclusion of anti-cter apoa1 IgG and anti-antiapolipoA1IgG for overall mortality and incident CAD. Discriminative capacity was assessed by Harrell’s C-statistic.36 Because of the absence of established reclassification cut-offs points for overall mortality and incident CAD, we assessed reclassification according to the category-free integrated discrimination improvement (IDI)36 and the net reclassification improvement (NRI) ‘at the event rate’ (NRI(p)),37 as recommended by Pencina et al. and others.36,38

For in vitro experiments, the Kruskal–Wallis test was used to detect median differences among three or more independently sampled groups of a single non-normally distributed continuous variable and the Mann–Whitney U-test was used to determine P-values. For in vivo experiments, survival analyses were performed using Kaplan–Meier with the LogRank test. Two-sided P-values < 0.05 were considered significant. Statistical analyses were conducted using Stata v14.1 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA), MatLab v8.3 (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA), Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) and STATISTICA software (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA).
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