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Recent Developments in MaMFIS Technology for the Production of Highly Charged Ions
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Abstract: We present results for the production of highly charged ions in a rippled electron beam propagating in a multi-section drift tube with different electrostatic potentials in an axial magnetic focusing field. The inner-shell ionization of target atoms by electron impact occurs in local ion traps formed at the electron-beam crossovers. The utmost electron current density achieved is assessed at ~10 kA/cm^2. The successive ionization of cathode materials and working substances such as xenon and bismuth was investigated as a function of the confinement time. The characteristic X-ray radiation from ions including Ir^{62+}, Ce^{48+}, Xe^{46+}, and Bi^{60+} was detected. It is shown that it is possible to extract highly charged ions from local ion traps for a certain geometry of the drift tube structure and a certain distribution of the electric potentials.
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1. Introduction

This paper is dedicated to the memory of our colleague Professor Evgeny Denisovich Donets (1935–2021). More than 50 years ago, E.D. Donets suggested a novel design for the production of highly charged ions [1], which later became widespread. In the device, called the electron-beam ion source (EBIS), a smooth electron beam is used for the successive ionization of atomic targets (neutral elements and low-charged ions) [2]. An additional axial magnetic field enhances the compression of the electron beam. The highest electron current density, a prerequisite for the production of ions in high charge states, can only be achieved in the case of Brillouin focusing [3]. The extraction of ions from the ionization region is carried out by varying the electric potentials applied to different sections of the drift tube.

In the Main Magnetic Focus Ion Source (MaMFIS), highly charged ions are produced and confined in a sequence of local ion traps, which form in crossovers (focuses of a thick magnetic lens) of the rippled electron beam [4]. The radial confinement is due to the space charge of the electron beam and magnetic field. The axial confinement is provided by the potential well of the electron beam. Its depth ΔV_{trap} is determined by the ratio r_{max}/r_{min} of beam radius in its maximum and minimum cross-sections [5]:

\[ \Delta V_{\text{trap}} = \frac{U P}{2 \pi \epsilon_0 \sqrt{2 \eta}} \ln \frac{r_{\text{max}}}{r_{\text{min}}} \] (1)

Here, U is the accelerating voltage, P = I/\sqrt{\epsilon_0 U} is the beam perveance, I is the electron current, \epsilon_0 is the permittivity of free space, and \eta = e/m is the absolute value of the electron charge-to-mass ratio. In a ripple-free beam (r_{max} = r_{min}), local ion traps are not formed. In
a crossover, the Brillouin limit does not apply, and the electron current density $j$ can exceed it by orders of magnitude.

In the original MaMFIS approach, highly charged ions are extracted from local ion traps by transforming the rippled electron beam into a beam with a constant radius. The shape of the electron beam is controlled by the bias voltage on the focusing (Wehnelt) electrode, so that the local ion traps can be shifted to the electron collector region. The second way is to extract highly charged ions in the radial direction perpendicular to the electron beam by varying the potential applied to the extractor electrode [4].

In experiments with a pilot sample of the MaMFIS, a single drift tube was integrated with the anode of the electron gun [5]. In such a design, stationary local ion traps with a high electron current density $j \sim 10 \text{kA/cm}^2$ were observed. Obviously, with a certain geometry of the drift tube structure and extraction voltage, it is possible to extract highly charged ions from local ion traps using EBIS technology, i.e., by changing the electric potentials on the drift tube sections. The extraction potential should penetrate deep enough into the drift tube to open the local ion traps so that the accumulated ions could leave the electron beam along the source axis. Here, we investigate this approach in more detail.

2. Device and Electron-Optical System

The experimental studies with MaMFIS were performed using X-ray spectroscopy in the Veksler and Baldin Laboratory of High Energy Physics at the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research in Dubna. A schematic view of the device is shown in Figure 1. The electron beam generated by a Pierce-type gun is formed into a sequence of focuses by a thick magnetic lens. The axial magnetic field with a maximum strength of 0.35 T is created by a permanent magnet focusing system. The electron-beam current $I$ varied from 20 mA to 50 mA (direct current mode) at an accelerating voltage $U$ in the range of 8–30 kV. The drift structure of the ionizer consists of three sections isolated from each other (electrodes $S_1$, $S_2$, and $S_3$ in Figure 1). The first section is integrated with the anode of the electron gun. The second section has four slots for radiation output from the source and the injection of working substances into the region of local ion traps. In the median plane of the second section, the vacuum chamber has a beryllium window of 50 µm thickness and 3 mm diameter. A standard detector (Si) XR-100CR AMPTEK with a resolution of approximately 150 eV (full width at half maximum) was used in the measurements. The detector was installed opposite the Be window at a distance of 40 mm from the electron-beam axis. This configuration allows one to observe approximately 10 mm of the beam length with a sufficiently high detection efficiency. Statistics were collected during 24 h runs.

Figure 1. (left) Schematic of the present setup and electron-optical system; and (right) photo of the Dubna MaMFIS.
Standard vacuum technology and equipment were used to generate a high vacuum. A typical basic vacuum of approximately $10^{-9}$ mbar can be achieved in one day. The quality of the vacuum is measured by a vacuum gauge mounted above the pump in the region of the electron gun. Since the vacuum gauge is located at a sufficiently large distance from the area of the ion traps, the measurements of residual gas pressure in the ionization region are not very accurate.

### 3. Formation of Electron Beam and Local Ion Traps

According to MaMFIS technology [4,5], a rippled electron beam must be formed in the device. The focusing procedure aims to shape a crossover (or crossovers) of the electron beam with a high current density in the region of the second drift tube section. This goal can be achieved for a certain geometry of the electron gun and the corresponding distribution and magnitude of the magnetic focusing field. The magnetic lens is a thick one. In the Dubna MaMFIS, it is possible to form the third sharp focus of the electron beam in the center of the second section of the drift tube at an electron energy $E$ of approximately 11.5 keV and a current $I$ of 20 mA (Figure 2a). In the installation, the Brillouin focusing system is applied for the electron beam in a near-zero magnetic field at the cathode without matching the electron trajectories and the magnetic focusing field (mismatched Brillouin flow). In this case, the electron beam forms a sequence of crossovers and cathode images [6].
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**Figure 2.** Simulated trajectories (red) and equipotential lines (blue) for an electron beam with an energy $E$ of: (a) 11.5 keV; (b) 15 keV; (c) 25 keV. Electron current $I = 20$ mA in all cases. Part of the drift tube section with an enlarged diameter corresponds to the area under radiation control.

The wavelength $\lambda$ of a ripple can be roughly estimated for a uniform magnetic field of induction $B$. It reads [3]:

$$\lambda = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{2\eta}} \frac{\sqrt{U}}{B} \sqrt{\frac{2}{1 + K}},$$

where $K$ is the coefficient of cathode shielding. For a completely shielded cathode, $K = 0$ (Brillouin flow). If the cathode is unshielded from the magnetic field ($K = 1$), Equation (2) coincides with the cyclotron wavelength (pitch of the Larmor spiral). Since $\lambda$ is proportional to the square root of the accelerating voltage, the dependence of the crossover location (local ion trap) in the center of the middle drift tube section $S_2$ on the electron-beam energy $E = eU$ has a discrete character for a given magnetic field. There is a set of energy values (the so-called operating points) at which the maximum current density (crossover) of the electron beam is realized in the required location (center of the second section) (see Figure 2). However, more precisely, the operating energy is not strictly fixed because the drift tube is of finite size: a minor deviation of $E$ from the operating point results in a slight shift of the focus location within the drift tube section. Under such conditions, it is still possible to detect characteristic radiation and extract highly charged ions produced at the optimal magnitude of $j$ in the local ion trap.

In Figure 2a, $5/4$ of the ripple wavelength $\lambda$ (third focus) is achieved at an electron energy $E = 11.5$ keV. The next operating point (second focus) corresponds to $3/4$ of the
new ripple wavelength $\lambda'$ at another energy $E'$. Due to Equation (2), one can estimate the wavelength ratio as follows:

$$
\frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} \sim \frac{\sqrt{E}}{\sqrt{E'}} \sim 3.5.
$$

(3)

Accordingly, $E'$ should be approximately 30 keV. Numerical simulation predicts $E' \sim 25$ keV (see Figure 2c), which was confirmed in previous experiments [7]. The next operating point (first focus) lies in the range of ~90 keV, which is impossible to implement in the present design. At an electron energy of approximately 15 keV, in the area of radiation detection, the electron beam has the maximum rippling radius and, respectively, the minimum current density (Figure 2b). All subsequent experiments were performed at an electron-beam energy of 11.5 keV and 15 keV and a current of 20 mA.

4. Ion Extraction from the Local Trap by EBIS Technology

As we discussed in [7], the extraction of highly charged ions produced and confined in local ion traps can be performed in the direction of the electron collector using EBIS technology under certain conditions, such as the diameter-to-length ratio of the drift tube, the electron trajectories and the extraction voltage (see Figure 3).
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Figure 3. Schemes of electric potential distribution along the drift tube: C, cathode; $U_c$, cathode potential; $U_{\text{ext}}$, extraction potential; potentials $U_1$, $U_2$, and $U_3$ are applied to the drift tube electrodes $S_1$, $S_2$, and $S_3$, respectively. (a) Regime of axial trapping: the edge sections of the drift tube are positively biased with respect to the middle section to form the axial potential barriers. (b) The ion extraction regime is controlled over time by switching the potential $U_3$.

The voltage source provides three channels for the drift tube potentials. Two channels ($U_1$ and $U_2$) create constant potentials adjustable from 0 to 3 kV. In the third channel, the electric potential $U_3$ pulsates from a set value (3 kV at maximum) to zero. Thus, a potential difference is created between the potential $U_2$ applied to the second drift tube section and the zero potential; it extracts ions from the ion trap: $U_{\text{ext}} = U_2$. The duration of electric pulses and their repetition period determine the confinement (ionization) time $\tau$ and the duration of the ion pulse, respectively. In the experiments, the former ranged from 5 ms to 5 s.

Neglecting the minor effect of the space-charge potential of the electron beam, the accelerating voltage $U$ and thereby the incident electron energy $E = eU$ in the ion trap region are given by the difference of potentials applied to the middle drift tube section and the cathode: $U = U_2 - U_c$. The experiments were carried out at electron energies $E$ of 11.5 keV and 15 keV. The energy of $E = 11.5$ keV was achieved in two combinations; the first variant: $U_c = -10$ kV and $U_2 = 1.5$ kV, while the second one: $U_c = -9$ kV and $U_2 = 2.5$ kV. The extraction voltage $U_{\text{ext}}$ is 1.5 kV and 2.5 kV, respectively.
5. Experimental Results

The characteristic radiation from the Dubna MaMFIS was detected in dependence on the confinement time for various parameters of the electron beam and the residual gas composition. The charge-state distributions of trapped ions were determined from the high-energy part of X-ray spectra corresponding to the radiative recombination of highly charged ions with beam electrons. The ionization energy is given by the difference between the energy $\omega$ of emitted photons and the electron-beam energy $E$, so that it can be compared to the binding energy of ionized ions. The consistency of the temporal evolutions of the experimental spectra with the theoretical simulations makes it possible to estimate the maximum electron current density achieved in the experiment [7].

5.1. Basic Spectrum of Cathode Materials

In Figure 4a, the X-ray spectra due to the radiative recombination of highly charged ions of the cathode materials (iridium and cerium) are presented for two values of electron energy $E$: 11.5 keV and 15 keV. The basic spectrum results from the radiation of a steady-state plasma that is in thermodynamic and charge equilibrium. The potential distribution along the drift tube corresponds to the ion trapping mode (see Figure 3a). Control in time by potential $U_3$ is absent. The equilibrium radiation spectrum exhibits the presence of all atomic species up to $M$-shells of Ce and Ir. The resonance peak measured around the photon energy $\omega \approx 13.15$ keV for $E = 11.5$ keV corresponds to the decay of the doubly excited states of highly charged Ir ions arising from dielectronic recombination [8].

![Figure 4. X-ray spectra of cathode materials. Photon energy $\omega$ is shifted by electron-beam energy $E$.](image)

(a) Permanent uncontrollable ion trap; observation during 24 h; electron current $I = 20$ mA; (red line) $E = 11.5$ keV; (black line) $E = 15$ keV. The vacuum measured in the vicinity of the cathode fell in the range $(0.5–1) \times 10^{-8}$ mbar. (b) Controllable ion trap; confinement time $\tau$ in the range from 100 ms to 5 s; $E = 15$ keV; $I = 20$ mA; $j \sim 1$ kA/cm$^2$. Binding energies of ions in various charge states $+q$ are shown by vertical lines.

5.2. Control over Ion Trapping

Several experiments were conducted to investigate the possibility of controlling the charge-state distributions of ions in local traps by manipulating the electric potentials applied to different drift tube sections. It corresponds to the leaky mode, in which the lower the potential barrier goes down, the larger the fraction of ions in higher charge states can be drawn from the trap.

In Figure 4b, the temporal evolution of the radiation spectra is obtained for the following parameters: $U_c = -13.5$ kV, $U_1 = 1.8$ kV, and $U_2 = 1.5$ kV. The potential $U_3$ pulsed from 1.7 kV to zero with pulse duration from 0.1 s to 5 s. The extraction voltage is $U_{ext} = 1.5$ kV. The degree of ionization and the number of photon counts grow with increasing confinement time. The measurements were used together with the theoretical predictions of the two-component model described in detail in [9] to estimate the effective
electron current density \( j \). Computer simulations of physical processes in the ion trap under conditions close to those of the real experiment are consistent with the radiative recombination spectra shown in Figure 4b, assuming that \( j \) does not exceed 1 kA/cm\(^2\). The beam trajectories corresponding to this running mode are presented in Figure 2b. The electron beam has a maximum radius profile in the transverse midplane. In the area accessible for the registration of characteristic radiation, the local ion trap is absent. The device operates according to EBIS technology.

The local ion trap appears in the crossover of the electron beam at an energy \( E \) of 11.5 keV (see Figure 2a). In the setup, the electron energy is formed as \( U_1 = -10 \) kV and \( U_2 = 1.5 \) kV. The X-ray radiation emitted from the local trap is presented in Figure 5. In the case of the extraction voltage \( U_{\text{ext}} = 1.5 \) kV, the characteristic structure of the time-resolved spectra does not change (see Figure 5a). The yield of ions in the highest charge states from the local trap is absent because the extraction voltage is not high enough. The extraction potential does not penetrate deep enough into the middle drift tube section and does not completely open the local ion trap. As can be seen from Figure 5b, if the extraction voltage \( U_{\text{ext}} \) increases to 2.5 kV, and the local ion trap is completely opened. Accordingly, it is possible to extract highly charged ions from the MaMFIS using EBIS technology.

![Figure 5. X-ray spectra of cathode materials for different durations of ion trapping. Energy \( E = 11.5 \) keV; current \( I = 20 \) mA; vacuum \( \sim 7 \times 10^{-9} \) mbar. Extraction voltage \( U_{\text{ext}} \): (a) 1.5 kV; and (b) 2.5 kV.](image)

The confinement time \( \tau \) of approximately 5 ms is sufficient for the ionization of the \( M \)-shell of iridium. The radiation spectra presented in Figure 5 are consistent with the electron current density \( j \sim 10 \) kA/cm\(^2\). This value should be compared to the current density \( j \sim 6 \) kA/cm\(^2\) obtained in the electron-beam ion trap at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory [10]. In this case, a magnetic field of \( \sim 3 \) T strength was produced using the superconducting Helmholtz coils. In the EBIS, a characteristic value of the electron current density does not exceed 1 kA/cm\(^2\) [11].

5.3. Ionization of Working Substances in the Local Ion Trap

The emission spectra were detected for injected xenon and bismuth for different durations of ion trapping (see Figure 6). The constant pressure of the xenon in the device is \( (1-2) \times 10^{-8} \) mbar. Xenon is a cooling gas for iridium, so if the pressure of xenon is high enough, it strengthens charge-exchange processes and decreases the ionization efficiency. With the increasing confinement time, the accumulation of highly charged ions of iridium prevails in the local trap because lighter xenon is more likely to escape. Bismuth atoms were introduced into the electron beam from a small effusion cell. The highest degrees of ionization achieved in the present experiments for Xe and Bi ions are +48 and +62, respectively.
Figure 6. Temporal evolution of the X-ray spectra of the cathode materials and working substance: (a) Xe; and (b) Bi. Parameters of the electron beam: $E = 11.5$ keV; $I = 20$ mA; $j \sim 10$ kA/cm$^2$.

6. Conclusions

In summary, we investigated the temporal evolution of X-ray spectra of highly charged ions produced in local ion traps following the MaMFIS technology. The highest charge states, such as Ir$^{67+}$, Ce$^{48+}$, Xe$^{48+}$, and Bi$^{62+}$, are obtained. The experimental results are consistent with the electron current density $j \sim 10$ kA/cm$^2$. The time scale required for an efficient ionization of inner-shell electrons can be at the millisecond level. We also showed that, for a certain length-to-diameter drift tube ratio and particular electric potential distribution, highly charged ions can be extracted from local traps using EBIS technology. These results are of particular importance for the charge breeding of short-lived radionuclides, which can deliver low-intensity bunches of highly charged ions at a high repetition rate. Another application is the production of highly charged ions of heavy elements (e.g., for the investigation of solid surfaces).
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Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

EBIS Electron-Beam Ion Source
MaMFIS Main Magnetic Focus Ion Source

References

1. Donets, E.D. USSR Inventor’s Certificate No. 248860, 16 March (1967). Bull. OIPOTZ 1969, 24, 65. Available online: https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/157226154937944704 (accessed on 30 August 2022).
2. Donets, E.D. Historical review of electron beam ion sources (invited). Rev. Sci. Instrum. 1998, 69, 614–619. [CrossRef]
3. Molokovsky, S.I.; Sushkov, A.D. Intense Electron and Ion Beams, 1st ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2005; p. 281. [CrossRef]
4. Ovsyannikov, V.P.; Nefiodov, A.V. Main magnetic focus ion source with the radial extraction of ions. Nucl. Instrum. Method B 2016, 367, 1–7. [CrossRef]
5. Ovsyannikov, V.P.; Nefiodov, A.V. Main magnetic focus ion source: Basic principles, theoretical predictions and experimental confirmations. *Nucl. Instrum. Method B* 2016, 370, 32–41. [CrossRef]

6. Amboss, K. Studies of a magnetically compressed electron beam. *IEEE Trans. Electr. Dev.* 1969, 16, 897–904. [CrossRef]

7. Ovsyannikov, V.P.; Nefiodov, A.V.; Boytsov, A.Y.; Ramzdorf, A.Y.; Stegailov, V.I.; Tyutyunnikov, S.I.; Levin, A.A. Main magnetic focus ion source: Device with high electron current density. *Nucl. Instrum. Method B* 2021, 502, 23–28. [CrossRef]

8. Borovik, A.; Dreiling, J.M.; Silwal, R.; Dipti; Takács, E.; Gillaspy, J.; Lomsadze, R.; Ovsyannikov, V.; Huber, K.; Schippers, S.; et al. Dielectronic resonances in highly-charged heavy ions observed in ion traps. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 2017, 875, 052026. [CrossRef]

9. Kalagin, I.V.; Küchler, D.; Ovsyannikov, V.P.; Zschornack, G. Modelling of ion accumulation processes in EBIS and EBIT. *Plasma Sour. Sci. Technol.* 1998, 7, 441–457. [CrossRef]

10. Marrs, R.E. Milestones in EBIT spectroscopy and why it almost did not work. *Can. J. Phys.* 2008, 86, 11–18. [CrossRef]

11. Zschornack, G.; Grossmann, F.; Kentsch, U.; Ovsyannikov, V.P.; Ritter, E.; Schmidt, M.; Thorn, A.; Ullmann, F. Status report of the Dresden EBIS/EBIT developments. *Rev. Sci. Inst.* 2010, 81, 02A512. [CrossRef] [PubMed]