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Abstract

Background

Despite the fact that Uganda has been a signatory to the global strategy for Infant and Young Children Feeding practices (IYCF) for nearly a decade, the prevalence of stunting among children under five years of age remains tragically high at 17% in Eastern Uganda and twofold higher countrywide. Only 6% of all children aged 6–23 months feed adequately. This study aimed to establish the covariates of complementary feeding (CF) and its effect on attained height among primary school-aged children in Mbale district (Eastern Uganda).

Methods

This was a community-based prospective cohort study using data from the PROMISE EBF trial. The main exposure variable was adequate complementary feeding (CF) measured in a parent questionnaire at 18–24 months of age. We defined adequate CF as having received animal food, cereals and fruit, juice and/or vegetables during the 24 hours preceding the interview. An adapted minimum acceptable diet was defined as having been given milk or milk products at least twice a day, an adapted meal frequency of two and solid or semi-solid food from at least four food groups on a 24-hour dietary recall based on modified IYCF criteria. The main outcome variable was attained height [(height-for-age Z score (HAZ)] measured between five and eight years of age using the WHO growth standards. Effects of CF on HAZ were estimated using linear regression analyses with cluster-robust standard errors.
Results
A total of 506 children were studied. The majority (85%) were from rural areas and the average age at the end of the study was 6.9 (standard deviation: 0.63) years. Of these, 23.9% were adequately fed and 2.3% received the adapted minimum acceptable diet. Adequate CF was not associated with HAZ (adjusted $\beta = -0.111; 95\% CI: -0.363, 0.141; p = 0.374$). Factors significantly associated with attained height were baseline HAZ ($0.262; 0.152, 0.374; p < 0.001$) and WHZ ($-0.147; -0.243, -0.051; p = 0.004$), child’s age ($0.454; -0.592, -0.315; p < 0.001$) and maternal education ($0.030; 95\% CI: 0.003, 0.057; p = 0.034$).

Conclusion
Adequate CF at age 18–24 months was worryingly insufficient and not associated with attained HAZ at age 5–8 years. Further strategies need to be considered to improve child nutrition and linear growth in resource-constrained settings.

Introduction and background
Globally, stunting, which is a reflection of chronic undernutrition affects nearly 1 in every 4 children less than five years of age [1]. Linear growth is recognized as the best overall marker of a child’s well-being and there is convincing evidence associating stunting with high child mortality, increased susceptibility to infection and poor cognitive and psychomotor development [2–4]. Unlike other regions of the world, sub-Saharan Africa has registered a 23% increase in the prevalence of stunting over the last two decades, and it harbours one-third of all stunted children [1]. This is partially explained by population growth, insufficient economic development and programmatic strategies to improve the nutritional situation for children [5]). In Uganda, the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey indicated that the prevalence of stunting remains high, varying from 11.8% among children under 6 months of age to 36.8% among those aged between 24–35 months, with an overall prevalence of 29% under 5 years of age [6]. Previous cross-sectional studies from Eastern Uganda found stunting to be significantly associated with mixed feeding in the first six months of life, against a background of poverty and food insecurity [7, 8].

The link between child feeding practices including inadequate complementary feeding (CF) and malnutrition is no longer debatable. CF for infants refers to the timely introduction of safe and nutritionally rich foods in addition to breast-feeding at about 6 months of age and is typically provided from 6 to 23 months of age [9]. Thus, it coincides with and impacts on a critical period of linear growth and cognitive development. Complementary foods are necessary in early childhood to fill the constantly increasing gap between the total nutritional needs of the child and the amount of nutrients provided by breast milk. While adequate and appropriate complementary feeding is one of the key evidence-based interventions with a potential to noticeably reverse the prevalence of undernutrition in low income countries [10], it has drawn relatively little attention in comparison to breast and formula feeding which have been widely studied. Numerous studies indicate that in-spite of optimal breastfeeding, quantitatively and qualitatively inadequate complementary feeding from six months of age onwards could lead to stunting [11].

While adequate CF can potentially bring about a one-third decline in the prevalence of stunting in children less-than 36 months of age, avert about one-quarter of child deaths
among these children and prevent up to 60 million DALYs [10], its effects on attained growth of primary school-aged children has not been evaluated adequately in Uganda or in other sub-Saharan countries. In addition, limited information is available on various dietary compositions and their effect on long-term growth. Context specific information is needed as diets vary with culture and regions. The few descriptive studies of CF in developing countries are cross-sectional, with relatively small sample sizes, and were in most cases done in urban areas [12]. We used existing food recalls with known dietary compositions gathered in a systematic manner to assess the long-term effect of CF on attained longitudinal growth over a 5–8 years follow-up.

**Methods**

**Design**

This was a community-based prospective cohort study nested in the PROMISE EBF trial (ClinicalTrials.gov no. NCT00397150) and the follow-up PROMISE Saving Brains (SB) study in Mbale District, Eastern Uganda [13, 14]. The PROMISE-EBF study was a multicenter community-based cluster-randomised behaviour-intervention trial. The study was undertaken in three Sub-Saharan African (SSA) countries; Burkina Faso (Banfora), South Africa (Umlazi, Rietvlei Paarl regions) and Uganda (Mbale district). This paper includes study participants from the Ugandan site only with respective relevant follow-up studies after 5–8 years, thus providing a relatively long prospective longitudinal cohort from this site.

**Study settings and participants**

Mbale district is situated approximately 230 km east of Kampala. The study was carried out in the two biggest counties in the district, urban Mbale Municipality and rural Bungokho. In 2006, when the PROMISE EBF cohort was initiated, Mbale district had an estimated population of 403,000 inhabitants with a population density of 535 per square kilometre. Generally, subsistence agriculture along with petty trading are the main economic activities in Mbale district where nearly 75% of the population live in rural areas [15].

The study population was comprised of singleton children born to women that had an intention to breastfeed and lived in the study area between January 2006 and May 2008. Women who were at least 7 months or visibility pregnant were identified by community-based recruiters and pre-included in the PROMISE EBF cohort. In post-partum, mother-infant pairs were kept in the study if the newborns did not have any congenital malformation that could interfere with breastfeeding.

**Study sample and follow-up**

The cohort size was determined by the cluster randomized trial from which this study arose. Exclusive breastfeeding prevalence and decrease in diarrheal rate were the two outcomes on which the sample size calculation for the primary PROMISE EBF trial was based as described in detail elsewhere [13]. Out of 765 mother-infant pairs included in the original PROMISE EBF trial, 506 children had adequate CF data at 18–24 months of age and 468 children had attained height data at 5–8 years of age, after setting to missing outlying and implausible HAZ values (beyond the flag boundaries of -6 and +6 Z) (Fig 1).

**Data collection**

**Primary PROMISE EBF.** Exposure data (complementary feeding) were collected when the children were aged between 18–24 months and outcome data (attained height) were
collected at age 5–8 years. Data collectors fluent in both the local language (Lumasaaba) and English were recruited through newspaper advertisement. They were trained for one week at the commencement of the original PROMISE EBF trial and with refresher training 9 months later and then again before each new round of data collection. Data were collected using both electronic (18–24 months) and paper-based (5–8 years) structured pre-coded questionnaires. Electronic data were captured on hand-held computers with the Epi Handy tool (version 165.528–142 RC). Data on background characteristics of the participants were collected during pregnancy in order to describe the study population and to identify potential confounders. Follow-up questionnaires were used to collect information on complementary feeding and growth.

**Current analyses.** Baseline data for the present nested study were collected when the children were aged 18–24 months. Follow up data collection was carried out between 2013 and 2015 when the children were 5–8 years old. At 18–24 months and 5-8-year time points, anthropometric data were collected following standard WHO guidelines (http://www.who.int/childgrowth/en/). Infant length between 18–24 months of age was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using the “Baby/infant/adult Length-height measuring system SET 2” and undressed children were weighed to the nearest 0.1 kg using the “Infant scale spring type, 25 kg, 100 g” from UNICEF. Between 5–8 years of age, a Seca stadiometer was used to measure height and weight was measured using digital Seca scales to the nearest 0.1 kg.


**Study variables**

The main explanatory variable was adequate CF, a dichotomous variable based on a 24-hour structured dietary recall to a locally adapted food item list at 18–24 months of age. A child was considered as having received adequate CF if they were given animal food, cereals and fruit, juice and/or vegetables during the 24 hours preceding the interview. The 24-hour dietary recall consisted in asking mothers or caregivers about the food items children were given during the 24 hours preceding the interview, formulated as “from yesterday morning till this morning”. We also examined child feeding at 5–8 years of age based on a 7-day dietary recall whereby questions were asked about the same food items as for the 24-hour recall. Additionally, we used slightly modified IYCF criteria based on breastfeeding status of children aged 6–23 months [16]. Here, a child was considered as having received an adapted minimum acceptable diet if they were given milk or milk products at least twice a day, an adapted minimum meal frequency and solid or semi-solid food from at least four food groups. The modification of the IYCF criteria lay in the definition of the adapted minimum meal frequency that was referred to as having received solid or semi-solid food at least twice a day, regardless of the age of the child and his or her breastfeeding status. The original definition recommends a minimum frequency of 2 times for breastfed infants aged 6–8 months, 3 times for breastfed children between 9–23 months of age and 4 times for non-breastfed children aged 6–23 months. Given the extremely low prevalence of adequate CF in this population, we opted for the modified and much more contextualized IYCF criteria.

At 5–8 years of age, adequate child feeding definition was based on a seven-day structured dietary recall. The following are the main food groups of which a minimum of four is required along with other IYCF practices for adequate feeding: infant formula, milk other than breast milk, cheese or yogurt or other milk products; foods made from grains, roots, and tubers, including porridge and fortified baby food from grains; vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables, other fruits and vegetables, eggs, meat, poultry, fish, and shellfish (and organ meats); legumes and nuts.

The study outcome was HAZ reflecting attained height and defined according to the WHO Child Growth Standards [17, 18]. We classified a child as stunted if she or he had an HAZ score below -2 SD. We also report descriptive data for weight-age Z score, weight-for-height Z score and BMI-for-age Z score.

Potential confounders encompassed socio-demographic characteristics, including socio-economic status (SES), and breastfeeding status at 24 weeks and at 18–24 months of age. A wealth index at baseline was created as a proxy for socio-economic status of the household using Multiple Correspondence Analysis (MCA) from a set of wealth items and housing characteristics, including number of rooms and beds, roof material, ownership of bicycle, motor vehicles, radio, television, lantern, cupboard, and source of drinking water. Three SES levels were generated to rank the families of the children and divided in quintiles, a strategy being widely used in Demographic and Health Surveys in low- and middle-income countries. Wealth status groups were then made of the lowest quintile (20%), the mid two quintiles (40%) and the top two quintiles (40%) which was believed be a realistic presentation of the wealth distribution in this context. Exclusive breastfeeding under 24 weeks was measured at the 24-week visit and defined as not having received any other food or liquids other than breast milk based on seven-day recall [13] Breastfeeding at 18–24 months was a binary variable assessing whether a child was still breastfeeding at the 18–24 months visit.

**Statistical analyses**

For this study, we included all children with anthropometric data between 5 and 8 years of age. Anthropometric indices were generated using WHO AnthroPlus 2009 software http://www.who.
Data were analysed with the use of Stata SE 14.0 (Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX, USA). For the crude analysis, descriptive statistics included means and their standard deviations (SD) as well as medians with interquartile ranges (IQR) for continuous variables. Categorical variables were summarized into frequencies and proportions. To examine the association between the contextualized adequate CF and attained height we fitted bivariable and multivariable linear regression models, using clustered robust standard errors to take into account the cluster design of the primary PROMISE EBF study. Variables were included in multiple regression models when they were associated with complementary feeding and the outcomes at a p-value of $\leq 0.2$ or based on biological plausibility. We examined the distribution of continuous variables with respect to symmetry and heteroscedasticity (i.e. the degree to which variance is different between the children with adequate as compared to those without adequate CF) using scatter plots. Normality and homoscedasticity were tested for using the Shapiro-Wilk and Breusch-Pagan tests, respectively. Multicollinearity between explanatory variables was assessed using the variance inflation factor. A sensitivity analysis based on inverse-probability weighting was conducted to assess whether the loss to follow-up between 18–24 months and 5-8-year time points influenced the effect of adequate CF on attained height. We used a logit model to estimate the average treatment-effect. The significance level for all the analyses was set to $\alpha = 5\%$.

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Makerere University School of Public Institutional Review Board, the Makerere University Research and Ethics Committee (REC, Ref. 2012–177) and the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (Ref. SS 3123). All the pregnant women enrolled in the PROMISE EBF trial had full authority to consent for themselves for participation in the study and provided a written informed consent. A thumb-printed signature was obtained from each caretaker.

Results

General characteristics of the study participants

Of 765 mother-infant pairs enrolled in the PROMISE EBF study in Uganda, 653 (85.4%) were visited at 18–24 months of age, of which 531 (81.3%) were traced and 506 (77.5) had HAZ data at 5–8 years of age. The average age of children was 17.6 (SD: 0.78) months and the range was 18–24 months when CF (exposure data) and baseline growth data were collected and 6.9 (SD: 0.63) years with a range of 5–8 years when follow-up growth data (outcome data) were collected. The mean age and years of education of mothers at the time of recruitment was 26.1 (SD: 6.6) and 6.1 (SD: 3.2) years respectively. Over 85% (430/505) of the participants lived in rural areas during late pregnancy (Table 1). The majority of mothers [81.3% (408/502)] were multipara and 6% (29/502) were not married at recruitment at the commencement of the PROMISE EBF study. Up to 22.8% (115/505) of the participants were living in poorest households and 35.8% (181/505) in least poor ones. About 67.1% (337/502) of the participants had no electricity in their homes and 30.2% (152/505) were using surface water sources. Twenty-five percent (124/492) of children were exclusively breastfed at 24 weeks and 47.3% (239/506) were still breastfeeding at 18 months of age, based on seven-day recall. Up to 24.4% (118/484) of mothers did not attend ANC clinics even once (Table 1).

Child feeding patterns

Infant and young child feeding characteristics in Mbale district. The proportion of children that received the adapted minimum acceptable diet at 18–24 months of age was 0.27%
Table 1. General characteristics of the study participants.

| Characteristics                        | Summary statistics |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------|
| **Categorical data**                   |                    |
| Residence                              | 505                |
| Urban                                  | 75 (14.9%)         |
| Rural                                  | 430 (85.1%)        |
| Marital status of the parents          | 502                |
| Married                                | 337 (67.1%)        |
| Cohabiting                             | 136 (27.1%)        |
| Single/widowed/divorced                | 29 (5.8%)          |
| Wealth status                          | 505                |
| Poor                                   | 115 (22.8%)        |
| Middle                                 | 209 (41.4%)        |
| Least poor                             | 181 (35.8%)        |
| Exclusive breastfeeding at 24 weeks    | 492                |
| Yes                                    | 124 (25.2%)        |
| No                                     | 368 (74.8%)        |
| Breastfeeding at 18–24 months         | 506                |
| Yes                                    | 239 (47.2%)        |
| No                                     | 267 (52.8%)        |
| Parity of the mother                   | 502                |
| Primipara                              | 94 (18.7%)         |
| Multipara                              | 408 (81.3%)        |
| Electricity at home                    | 502                |
| Yes                                    | 165 (32.9%)        |
| No                                     | 337 (67.1%)        |
| Water source                           | 504                |
| Surface water or other                 | 175 (34.7%)        |
| Borehole or tap                        | 298 (59.1%)        |
| Piped into yard or home               | 31 (6.2%)          |
| ANC attendance                         | 484                |
| Yes                                    | 366 (75.6%)        |
| No                                     | 118 (24.4%)        |
| **Continuous data**                    |                    |
| Mother’s age                           | 498                |
| Mean (SD)                              | 26.1 (6.6)         |
| Median (IQR)                           | 25 (21–3)          |
| Child’s age, 5–8 years visit           | 479                |
| Mean                                   | 6.9 (0.6)          |
| Median                                 | 6.9 (6.4–7.4)      |
| Mother’s education (years)             | 500                |
| Mean (years)                           | 6.1 (3.2)          |
| Median (IQR)                           | 6 (4–8)            |
| Father’s education (years)             | 461                |
| Mean (SD)                              | 7.6 (3.47)         |
| Median (IQR)                           | 7 (5–11)           |

Data are number, number (%), mean (SD) and median (IQR); they were collected late in pregnancy.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211411.t001
based on the strict IYCF criteria and 2.32% when using the modified IYCF definition. Approximately 30% (150/506) of children were given animal food whereas 0.4% (2/506) received food from all four food groups during the 24 hours prior to the interview (Table 2). About three-quarters of the children were fed cereals and less than half were given fruits, juice and or vegetables (Table 2). Considering the seven-day dietary recall, up to 61.9% (313/506) of the children were given animal food at 18–24 months of age against 19.4% (98/504) at 5–8 years. The percentage of children receiving fruits, juice and or vegetables during the last 7 days before the interview more than halved, from 68.2% (345/506) to 33.6% (169/503) between the 18–24 months and 5–8 years of age. The number of children receiving milk products also dropped between the two follow-ups, from 54.6% (276/506) to 14.9% (75/504) (Table 2).

When using the relaxed definition of adequate CF much more adapted to the context of rural Uganda and whereby a child was adequately fed if they were given animal food, cereals and fruits, juice and/or vegetables during the last 24 hours, we found that 23.9% (121/506) qualified as being adequately fed between 18–24 months of age against 11.33% (57/503) between 5–8 years of age.

Table 2. Child feeding characteristics in Mbale district.

| Indicator                                      | Yes     | No      | Total  |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|
|                                               | N (%)   | N (%)   | N (%)  |
| 24h dietary recall, 18–24 months of age       |         |         |        |
| Animal food                                   | 150 (29.6) | 356 (70.4) | 506 (100) |
| Cereals                                       | 368 (72.7) | 138 (27.3)  | 506 (100) |
| Food from 4 food groups                       | 2 (0.4)  | 504 (99.6)  | 506 (100) |
| Fruits, juice and/or vegetables               | 250 (49.4) | 256 (50.6)  | 506 (100) |
| Meal frequency                                |         |         |        |
| ≥3                                           | 15 (3.6)  | 401 (96.4)  | 416 (100) |
| ≥2                                           | 153 (36.8)| 263 (63.2)  | 416 (100) |
| Milk or milk product                          |         |         |        |
| At least once                                 | 160 (31.6) | 346 (68.4)  | 506 (100) |
| At least twice                                | 125 (24.7) | 381 (75.3)  | 506 (100) |
| Adapted minimum acceptable diet*              | 13 (2.3)  | 547 (97.7)  | 506 (100) |
| Adequate CF **                                | 121 (23.9) | 385 (76.1)  | 506 (100) |
| 7-day dietary recall, 18–24 months of age     |         |         |        |
| Animal food                                   | 313 (61.9) | 193 (38.1)  | 506 (100) |
| Cereals                                       | 426 (84.2) | 80 (15.8)   | 506 (100) |
| Fruits, juice and/or vegetables               | 345 (68.2) | 161 (31.8)  | 506 (100) |
| Milk or milk products                         | 276 (54.6) | 230 (45.4)  | 506 (100) |
| CF with 3 food groups**                       | 121 (23.9) | 385 (76.1)  | 506 (100) |
| 7-day dietary recall, 5–8 years of age        |         |         |        |
| Animal food                                   | 98 (19.4)  | 406 (80.6)  | 504 (100) |
| Cereals                                       | 399 (79.2) | 105 (20.8)  | 504 (100) |
| Fruits, juice and/or vegetables               | 169 (33.6) | 334 (66.4)  | 503 (100) |
| Milk of milk products                         | 75 (14.9)  | 429 (85.1)  | 504 (100) |
| Adequate child feeding**                      | 57 (11.3)  | 446 (88.7)  | 503 (100) |

CF: complementary feeding
*Adapted minimum acceptable diet defined as a combination of having received animal food, fruit, juice or vegetables, cereals and milk or milk products, having been fed at least twice the last 24 hours in addition to being given milk or milk products.

** Adequate child feeding with three food groups: animal food, cereals and fruits, juice and/or vegetables.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211411.t002
Characteristics of the study population by complementary feeding status. The results on the characteristics of the study cohort by child complementary feeding status at age 18–24 months are presented in Table 3. Of the 384 (76.0%) children inadequately fed, the proportion of rural area residents (78.6%) was significantly higher than that of urban area residents (p = 0.001). At age 5–8 years, the mean age of children who received adequate CF between 18–24 months of age [6.74 (SD: 0.60)] was lower than that [6.97 (SD: 0.63)] of children who received inadequate CF (<0.001). There was no association between CF status with mother’s age, marital status, years of education, wealth status, EBF status, breastfeeding status between 18–24 months, parity, having electricity in house, water source and ANC attendance in bivari able analysis (Table 3).

Nutritional status of children
Nearly 55% (250) of the children were stunted at 18–24 months of age and the average HAZ was -2.13 (SD: 1.12), similar in the two child feeding groups (p = 0.22) (Table 4). The mean WAZ was -1.15 (SD: 1.15) and was not statistically different by feeding status (p = 0.37). The mean WHZ was -0.34 (SD: 0.77) and it did not significantly vary by adequate CF status (p = 0.83). Overall, attained height tended to improve by 5–8 years of age with the average HAZ passing above 2 z-score, though its mean difference by child feeding status at 5–8 years of age did not reach a statistical significance (p = 0.07) (Table 4). Stunting prevalence decreased by more than 37% during follow-up from 55.95% at 18–24 months of age to 18.59% at 5–8 years of age.

Effect of complementary feeding on attained height (HAZ)
The key findings of the linear regression modelling for the relationship between attained length and height (HAZ) and its associated factors are reported, Table 5. Complementary feeding between 18–24 months of age appeared to have no statistically significant effect on attained height at age 5–8 years. The factors found to be positively associated with HAZ at bivariable analysis were baseline HAZ, urban residence, being least poor compared to being poorest, not breastfeeding up to 18–24 months, parental education and mother having attended at least one ANC service. In the adjusted analysis, HAZ, reflecting attained height, was significantly negatively associated with child age at the 5–8 years visit, baseline WHZ and the number of years of maternal education, and positively associated with baseline HAZ and number of years of education. (Table 5). A one-year increase in child’s age at the 5–8 years visit was associated with a decline in HAZ of 0.454 on average (95% CI: -0.592, -0.315; p<0.001), after controlling for complementary feeding status, residence, EBF status at 24 weeks of age, mother’s education, electricity in house, ANC attendance and baseline HAZ and WHZ. HAZ increased by 0.030 on average (95% CI: 0.003, 0.063; p = 0.034) for every one-year increase in maternal education, adjusting for other covariates. In the regression model without baseline HAZ and WHZ, rural residence was significantly negatively associated with attained height. In fact, the average HAZ was lower [-0.506 (95% CI: (-0.987, -0.025; p = 0.040)] in children living in rural areas compared to those in urban areas, holding the other parameters in the regression model constant (S1 Table). This suggests an intermediate role of HAZ and WHZ in the causal pathway between place of residence and attained height.

Discussion
This nested cohort study examined the effects of early childhood feeding on attained height among lower primary school-aged children in Mbale district followed up to 5–8 years age between 2006 and 2015. Baseline measures indicate that the prevalence of adequate
Table 3. Characteristics of the study population by complementary feeding status at 18–24 months of age.

| Characteristics                   | Adequate CF N (%) | Inadequate CF N (%) | P-value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------|
| **Categorical variables**         |                   |                     |         |
| Residence                         | 121 (24.0)        | 384 (76.0)          | <0.001  |
| Urban                             | 29 (38.7)         | 46 (61.3)           |         |
| Rural                             | 92 (21.4)         | 338 (78.6)          |         |
| Marital status of the parents     |                   |                     |         |
| Married                           | 70 (20.7)         | 267 (79.3)          |         |
| Cohabitating                      | 42 (30.9)         | 94 (69.1)           |         |
| Not in union                      | 7 (24.1)          | 22 (75.9)           |         |
| Wealth status                     |                   |                     |         |
| Poor                              | 27(23.5)          | 88 (76.5)           |         |
| Middle                            | 43 (20.6)         | 166 (79.4)          |         |
| Least poor                        | 51(28.2)          | 130 (71.8)          |         |
| Exclusive breastfeeding at 24 weeks|                   |                     |         |
| Yes                               | 21 (17.0)         | 103 (83.0)          | 0.012   |
| No                                | 94 (25.5)         | 274 (74.5)          |         |
| Breastfeeding at 18–24 months     |                   |                     | 0.995   |
| Yes                               | 57 (23.8)         | 182 (76.2)          |         |
| No                                | 64 (24.0)         | 203 (76.0)          |         |
| Parity                            | 119 (23.7)        | 383 (76.3)          | 0.031   |
| Primipara                         | 30 (31.9)         | 64 (68.1)           |         |
| Multipara                         | 89 (21.81)        | 319 (78.19)         |         |
| Electricity                       | 119 (23.7)        | 383 (76.3)          | 0.245   |
| Yes                               | 44 (26.7)         | 121 (73.3)          |         |
| No                                | 75 (22.3)         | 262 (77.7)          |         |
| Water source                      | 120 (23.8)        | 384 (76.2)          | 0.974   |
| Surface water or other            | 41 (23.4)         | 134 (76.6)          |         |
| Borehole or tap                   | 72 (24.2)         | 226 (75.8)          |         |
| Piped yard or home                | 7 (22.6)          | 24 (77.4)           |         |
| ANC attendance                    | 114 (23.6)        | 370 (76.4)          | 0.200   |
| Yes                               | 92 (25.1)         | 274 (74.9)          |         |
| No                                | 22 (18.6)         | 96 (81.4)           |         |
| **Continuous variables**          |                   |                     |         |
| Mother’s age                      | 116 (23.3)        | 382 (76.7)          |         |
| Mean                              | 25.64 (6.75)      | 26.25(6.50)         | 0.532 * |
| Median                            | 24 (20–30.5)      | 25 (21–30)          |         |
| Child’s age, 5–8 years visit      | 106 (22.13)       | 373 (77.87)         |         |
| Mean                              | 6.74 (0.60)       | 6.97 (0.63)         | < 0.002 |
| Median                            | 6.61 (6.34–7.10)  | 6.99 (6.40–7.44)    |         |
| Mother’s education (years)        | 118 (23.6)        | 382 (76.4)          | 0.096   |
| Mean (years)                      | 6.64 (3.3)        | 5.98(3.2)           |         |
| Median (IQR)                      | 7 (5–9)           | 6 (4–8)             |         |
| Father’s education (years)        | 110 (23.9)        | 351 (76.1)          | 0.811   |
| Mean (SD)                         | 7.65 (3.7)        | 7.55 (3.4)          |         |
| Median (IQR)                      | 7 (5–11)          | 7 (5–11)            |         |

*Statistical test was run after log-transformation of the continuous variable.

Data are n (%), mean (SD), or median (IQR). The marital status “not in union” includes mothers who were single, widowed, separated, or divorced. All the data were collected during late pregnancy except for child’s age. P-values are based on cluster-robust standard errors.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211411.t003
complementary feeding was extremely low between 18–24 months of age with disparities by place of residence and parity of the mother. In fact, the prevalence of adequate feeding was so low using the strict IYCF definition that we used a modified definition to aid comparative assessment. Adequate CF at age 18–24 months appeared not to be associated with attained height whereas wealth status and place of residence were significantly associated with attained height between 5–8 years of age.

Only 2.32% of the children were receiving the adapted minimum acceptable diet between 18–24 months of age, based on the modified IYCF criteria. This percentage is below the 13% reported nationwide in the 2016 UDHS report [6] and figures from other developing countries. Recent findings from resource-constrained settings, including in Ethiopia [19, 20], Ghana [21] and India [22] have shown varying prevalence of minimum acceptable diet, yet consistently higher than that observed in this study. These disparities can be attributable at least partly to variability in operationalization of the IYCF criteria. It is also likely that poor knowledge about IYCF in this predominantly rural Ugandan population with a lower average education level compared to other studies could account for the inadequacy of child feeding in our study. It was suggested that Ugandan children born to mothers with lower literacy skills and without formal education were less likely to be fed adequately, given the role of literacy in information processing essential to ensure adequate child feeding and protection against undernutrition [23].

Our findings indicate a statistically insignificant effect of the type of complementary feeding on attained height in lower primary school-aged children. The statistical precision of this relatively negligible effect was sufficiently high, as reflected in narrow 95% confidence intervals. We deemed post-hoc power calculation less relevant given that it is increasingly seen as an

### Table 4. Nutritional outcomes at 18–24 months and 5–8 years of age by child feeding status measured at 18–24 months and 5–8 years of age respectively.

| Outcome | Adequate child feeding | Inadequate child feeding | Total | p-value |
|---------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------|
| **At 18–24 months of age**a | | | | |
| HAZ     | n = 104               | n = 349                 | n = 453 |       |
| Mean (SD) | -1.98 (1.18)         | -2.18 (1.09)           | -2.13 (1.12) | 0.22 |
| Median (IQR) | -1.82 (-2.72, -1.20) | -2.20 (-2.97, 1.47) | -2.14 (-2.92, -1.37) |
| WAZ     | n = 105               | n = 348                 | n = 453 |       |
| Mean (SD) | -1.07 (1.14)         | -1.18 (1.15)           | -1.15 (1.15) | 0.37 |
| Median (IQR) | -1.15 (-1.77, -0.15) | -1.15 (-1.94, 0.34) | -1.16 (-1.91, -0.29) |
| WHZ     | n = 89                | n = 276                 | 365   |       |
| Mean (SD) | -0.32 (0.76)         | -0.35 (0.77)           | -0.34 (0.77) | 0.83 |
| Median (IQR) | -0.47 (-0.82, 0.13) | -0.33 (-0.82, 0.12) | -0.34 (-0.82, 1.23) |
| **At 5–8 years of age**b | | | | |
| HAZ     | n = 46                | n = 422                 | n = 468 |       |
| Mean (SD) | -0.75 (0.97)         | -1.09 (1.11)           | -1.05 (1.10) | 0.07 |
| Median (IQR) | -0.66 (-1.34, -0.20) | -1.09 (-1.88, -0.37) | -1.05 (-1.78, -0.34) |
| WAZ     | n = 47                | n = 422                 | n = 469 |       |
| Mean (SD) | -0.68 (0.83)         | -0.92 (0.94)           | -0.90 (0.93) | 0.051 |
| Median (IQR) | -0.65 (-0.98, -0.27) | -0.89 (-1.48, -0.39) | -0.87 (-1.43, -0.38) |
| BAZ     | n = 39                | n = 402                 | n = 441 |       |
| Mean (SD) | -0.26 (0.83)         | -0.32 (0.78)           | -0.32 (0.78) | 0.69 |
| Median (IQR) | -0.31 (-0.91, 0.55) | -0.33 (-0.81,0.21) | -0.32 (-0.82, 0.21) |

a: Child feeding refers to complementary feeding.
b: Child feeding was defined based on a seven-day dietary recall. P-values are based on cluster-robust standard errors.
obsolete and controversial practice, firmly criticized and discouraged [24]. This result is corroborated by those of sub-Saharan and South Asian studies, though in younger children [25–28]. However, a study in poor peri-urban and rural communities of Ecuador found a positive effect of complementary feeding on growth [29]. The absence of a statistically significant effect of complementary feeding on attained height in our study could be attributed to the lack of variability in child feeding since virtually all the children in this study were (quantitatively and

| Covariate                          | Unadjusted slope (95% CI) | P-value | Adjusted slope (95% CI) | P-value |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
| CF                                |                           |         |                         |         |
| Inadequate                        | Ref.                      |         | Ref.                    |         |
| Adequate                          | 0.032 (-0.261, 0.326)     | 0.822   | -0.109 (-0.329, 0.112)  | 0.318   |
| Child age at 5–8 years visit      | -0.299 (-0.465, -0.132)   | 0.001   | -0.454 (-0.592, -0.315) | <0.001  |
| HAZ between 18–24 months          | 0.440 (0.326, 0.555)      | <0.001  | 0.262 (0.152, 0.374)    | <0.001  |
| WHZ between 18–24 months          | -0.159 (-0.280, -0.039)   | 0.012   | -0.147 (-0.243, -0.051) | 0.004   |
| Residence                         |                           |         |                         |         |
| Urban                             | Ref.                      |         | Ref.                    |         |
| Rural                             | -0.670 (-1.204, -0.136)   | <0.016  | -0.178 (-0.462, -0.106) | 0.207   |
| Wealth status                     |                           |         |                         |         |
| Poor                              | Ref.                      |         | Ref.                    |         |
| Middle                            | 0.095 (-0.165, 0.354)     | 0.458   | 0.043 (-0.237, 0.324)   | 0.753   |
| Least poor                        | 0.577 (0.190, 0.964)      | <0.005  | 0.187 (-0.090, 0.463)   | 0.176   |
| Mother’s education (years)        | 0.057 (0.0193, 0.057)     | 0.005   | 0.030 (0.003, 0.057)    | 0.034   |
| EBF status                        |                           |         |                         |         |
| No                                | Ref.                      |         | Ref.                    |         |
| Yes                               | -0.292 (-0.549, -0.034)   | 0.028   | -0.013 (-0.206, 0.233)  | 0.902   |
| Electricity                       |                           |         |                         |         |
| No                                | Ref.                      |         | Ref.                    |         |
| Yes                               | 0.218 (-0.020, 0.456)     | 0.071   | 0.056 (-0.145, 0.259)   | 0.566   |
| ANC attendance                    |                           |         |                         |         |
| No                                | Ref.                      |         | Ref.                    |         |
| Yes                               | 0.237 (0.023, 0.451)      | 0.031   | -0.005 (-0.155, 0.144)  | 0.942   |
| Marital status of the parents     |                           |         |                         |         |
| Married                           | Ref.                      |         |                         |         |
| Cohabiting                        | 0.117 (-0.154, 0.389)     | 0.381   |                         |         |
| Not in union                      | -0.310 (-0.721, 0.101)    | 0.133   |                         |         |
| Father’s education (years)        | 0.036 (0.001, 0.080)      | 0.113   |                         |         |
| Current BF                        |                           |         |                         |         |
| No                                | Ref.                      |         | Ref.                    |         |
| Yes                               | 0.108 (-0.102, 0.319)     | 0.298   |                         |         |
| Parity                            |                           |         |                         |         |
| Primipara                         | Ref.                      |         |                         |         |
| Multipara                         | -0.048 (-0.385, 0.290)    | 0.773   |                         |         |
| Water source                      |                           |         |                         |         |
| Surface water or other            | Ref.                      |         |                         |         |
| Borehole or tap                   | 0.094 (-0.182, 0.370)     | 0.487   |                         |         |
| Piped yard or home                | 0.235 (-0.343, 0.813)     | 0.409   |                         |         |

Ref.: comparison category. Regression modelling estimates are based on heteroscedasticity-robust standard errors.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211411.t005
qualitatively) receiving insufficient complementary feeding if one considers the strict IYCF criteria. Besides, these apparently opposing findings partly reflect the difference in designs of different studies: children were followed-up to 11 months in Ghana and 24 months in Ecuador against 5 to 8 years in our study. It is well established that growth velocity is intrinsically inconsistent throughout childhood with a steadily fast linear growth during the first 2 years of life and a slow growth during the preschool years [30]. A study in healthy children followed up to 21 months has long revealed that growth is an episodic phenomenon alternating long stasis periods with short salutatory phases to the extent that there is virtually no growth during 90–95% of healthy infancy [31]. Additionally, only 3.61% of children in our study had meal frequency of three times and less than four in ten children were fed at least two times based on 24 dietary recall data, far below the minimum meal frequency of 3–4 times a day jointly recommended by WHO and UNICEF [32]. The likely low energy intake associated with inadequate meal frequency could have accounted for the absence of CF effect on child growth. It is being increasingly advocated that improvement in CF should focus on both energy density and feeding frequency and this can be achieved by scaling up properly tailored nutritional education in addition to provision of adequate complementary food [10, 11, 33].

We noted a strong negative linear correlation between child’s age at 5-8-years visit and HAZ. This association has also been consistently reported in studies conducted in other sub-Saharan countries [34, 35]. Two explanations are possible. First, there is a likely exacerbation of manifestations of prolonged nutritional deprivations with age. Second, as children continue growing up, they may get engaged in labor or schooling concurrently with suboptimal nutritional intake, and thus sink deeper in stunting [34, 36].

We found an improvement in attained HAZ between 18–24 months and 5–8 years of age with a substantial reduction in stunting rates independently of feeding status. This result is in the same direction as those from the Guatemalan study that showed better growth and recovery from malnutrition in children exposed to nutrition intervention in their first 3 years of life than those exposed in later childhood [37]. Our study highlights the necessity of investing in early childhood nutrition for short- and longer-term benefits. In addition, findings from this study support the perspective that the window of opportunity for catch-up growth may extend beyond the first 2 years of life as already remarked by others researchers [38–40].

One of the strengths of this study is the prospective nature of the nutritional data collection both in the first two years of life (18 to 24 months), a period now defined as the window of opportunity for nutritional interventions [41, 42], and in mid-childhood (5–8 years). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to prospectively examine the effect of early child feeding patterns, particularly complementary feeding on attained height among Ugandan children.

This study is limited by the low specificity of the definition used to operationalize child feeding. The strict IYCF definition could not be used in our analyses because there was no child who met all the criteria for minimum acceptable diet. Relaxing the IYCF criteria by generating a more sensitive definition of adequate child feeding might have introduced a potential for non-differential misclassification that could account for the apparent absence of effect of CF on child attained height. In addition, there is a potential for a conservative information bias that might have resulted from inaccurate dietary recall. Such a bias would have led to a non-differential misclassification of complementary feeding status (adequate or inadequate feeding). This was minimized by using a short dietary recall duration of 24 hours. In addition, the 7-day recall may not be fully representative of the entire period between 18–24 moths and 5–8 year periods. Accurate measurement of child feeding over time would be extremely resource intensive given its dynamic nature. The availability of food types changes with season and age related cultural practices and to adequately capture this is beyond the remit of the trial.
this study was nested in. This might have contributed to the non-differential misclassification of child feeding status.

Our analysis of complementary feeding did not focus on the frequency of consumption of specific food groups and items, but rather was focused on overall meal frequency and the consumption of specific food groups in line with WHO definitions [43]. Further research aiming at investigating the link between the frequency in which different food groups or items are consumed and child’s linear growth. There was a potential for a selection bias that might have occurred as a result of a possible selective loss to follow-up in children inadequately fed. However, a sensitivity analysis conducted using an inverse-probability weighting approach did not show any statistically significant change in the adjusted effect of adequate CF on attained height ($\beta = -0.078; 95\% \text{ CI:} -0.339, 0.183; p = 0.560$). Lastly, a residual confounding was likely since, given the availability of the data, a number of nutrition-sensitive factors could not be directly controlled for, including maternal mental health, health and family planning services, child protection, sanitation and hygiene. It can be argued that such factors could influence the scale, coverage, and effectiveness of nutrition-specific interventions, including complementary feeding [10, 44]. Improving nutrition alone is not enough and may not have the intended effects since many other factors impede growth of children [45].

**Conclusion**

This predominantly rural population had a high prevalence of inadequate CF. Adequate CF between 18-24 months of age did not have a strong effect on attained height in later childhood, though children adequately fed at 5–8 years of age tended to catch up with attained height better than those who were not. Other strategies need to be studied to improve child nutrition and linear growth in resource-limited settings.
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