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ABSTRACT

Three pathogenic human coronaviruses have emerged, with SARS-CoV-2 causing a global pandemic. While therapeutic antibodies targeting the SARS-2 spike currently focus on the poorly conserved receptor-binding domain, targeting essential neutralizing epitopes on the more conserved S2 domain may provide broader protection. We report three antibodies, binding epitopes conserved on the pre-fusion MERS, SARS-1 and SARS-2 spike S2 domains. Antibody 3A3 binds a conformational epitope with ~2.5 nM affinity and neutralizes in in vitro SARS-2 cell fusion and pseudovirus assays. Hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry identified residues 980-1006 in the flexible hinge region at the S2 apex as the 3A3 epitope, consistent with binding to natural and engineered spike variants. This location at the spike trimer interface suggests 3A3 prevents the S2 conformational rearrangements required for virus-host cell fusion. This work defines a highly conserved vulnerable site on the SARS-2 S2 domain and may help guide the design of pan-protective spike immunogens.

TEASER

A conserved, neutralizing epitope in the S2 domain of coronavirus spike was identified as a target for pan-coronavirus therapy and vaccination.
INTRODUCTION

COVID-19, the disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-2), has been responsible for over 2 million deaths worldwide since it was identified in late 2019. This pandemic is the latest and largest of three deadly coronavirus outbreaks, including those caused by SARS-CoV-1 (SARS-1) in 2002 and Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS) in 2012. Although COVID-19 has a case fatality rate estimated at ~1-3% versus ~15% for SARS-1 and ~34% for MERS (1), it has proven to be far more infectious and its spread has impacted every aspect of society worldwide. Four other coronaviruses are known to infect humans, resulting in relatively mild upper respiratory disease and symptoms: 229E, OC43 (both discovered in the 1960s), NL63 (2004), and HKU1 (2005). All seven of these coronaviruses are zoonotic and a large number of other coronaviruses are endemic in animals, foreshadowing future coronavirus outbreaks.

Coronaviruses are enveloped positive sense, single-stranded RNA viruses that invade target cells by fusion of the viral envelope with the target cell membrane, mediated by the spike glycoprotein. The spike is a homo-trimer comprised of the S1 and S2 domains, with S2 proximal to the viral envelope forming a stalk-like structure and S1 forming a cap over the end of S2. Each S1 domain monomer contains an N-terminal domain (NTD) and a receptor-binding domain (RBD) that overlaps adjacent NTDs and RBDs within the trimer, forming a responsive surface that allows each RBD to extend to the “up” position for receptor binding or tuck into the “down” position for immune shielding. When all three RBDs engage a receptor in the up position and target-cell-anchored proteases prime the spike, the S1 domain is released from S2, propelling the fusion peptide into the target cell surface. Simultaneously, S2 undergoes a massive structural rearrangement to bring the viral envelope into contact with the target cell, initiating fusion and leaving spike in the post-fusion state (2).

A powerful strategy to prevent coronavirus fusion is to disrupt the interaction between the RBD and its host cell receptor. For SARS-2, the receptor is angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), and antibodies that block RBD binding to ACE2 are potently neutralizing and common in convalescent patient serum (3). Accordingly, most monoclonal antibody therapies in development target this interaction (4). However, RBD sequences from different coronaviruses are quite different to allow for binding to various host cell receptors. Even within the three human-relevant coronaviruses that bind ACE2 (SARS-1, SARS-2 and NL63), RBD-binding antibodies exhibit limited cross-reactivity (5), consistent with the low level of S1 sequence conservation (~20-24% identity, ~41-52% similarity; fig. S1). As a result, it has been difficult to identify antibodies binding multiple lineage B β-coronaviruses, such as SARS-1 and SARS-2 (6), much less across lineages, such as the lineage C MERS.

By contrast, the spike S2 domain is the most conserved spike domain, with 63-98% sequence similarity in pairwise comparisons across the seven human coronaviruses (fig. S1). Moreover, the analogous domain in influenza, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and HIV contains potently neutralizing epitopes (7, 8), prompting speculation that the spike S2 domain may also serve as an effective target for neutralizing antibodies. Multiple potential mechanisms inhibit viral fusion by targeting the S2 domain, including preventing S2 conformational rearrangement, blocking the fusion peptide (9-11), and interfering with S2 proteolytic processing (12), or inducing premature S1 shedding and non-productive transformation into the post-fusion spike. However, few antibodies binding the S2 domain of SARS-2 or conserved epitopes on S2 have yet been reported in detail.
Here, we aimed to identify antibodies binding epitopes conserved across all known highly pathogenic coronavirus strains. To focus immune responses on the S2 domain, we immunized mice with a stabilized MERS S2 protein and isolated antibodies using alternate rounds of selection with the MERS S2 and intact SARS-2 spike using phage display. Of three high affinity, cross-reactive antibodies characterized, 3A3 neutralizes SARS-2 spike in cell fusion and pseudovirus assays by binding a conformational epitope at the apex of the S2 domain. This epitope is only accessible when the RBDs shift to the up position and becomes increasingly accessible to 3A3 in spike variants that stabilize the RBD-up conformation, a feature which correlates with increased viral transmission. The 3A3 epitope defines a novel site of vulnerability on spike, which is increasingly relevant for the more transmissible spike variants and may be an important target for future pan-coronavirus vaccines and therapeutic strategies.

RESULTS

Isolation of antibodies binding the S2 domain of SARS-1, SARS-2 and MERS spikes

To generate S2-specific antibodies, three Balb/c mice were immunized with stabilized MERS S2 protein and boosted four weeks later, resulting in a robust serum antibody with titers >10,000. The MERS S2 protein includes amino acid residues 763-1291 of MERS-S-2P of the MERS spike protein with a c-terminal T4 phage fibritin (foldon) domain that assembles into a pre-fusion trimer. To generate immune antibody libraries, total mRNA was isolated from the mouse spleens and reverse transcribed; the antibody variable regions were then amplified (13) and inserted into the pMopac24 M13 bacteriophage display vector (14) for the expression of pIII-scFv-c-myc tag fusion proteins. The phage display library (~3.1 × 10^8 individual clones) was subjected to four rounds of panning with immobilized antigen: anti-c-myc antibody to deplete truncated non-expressing clones, then MERS S2, and finally SARS-2 spike at a high followed by moderate coating concentrations. These experiments used stabilized spike 2P with prolines at residues 986 and 987 (15); in this work, “spike” refers to the extracellular domains from SARS-1, SARS-2, MERS, and HKU1 containing the homologous 2P mutations fused to a foldon domain unless otherwise noted.

Phage clones isolated after rounds 3 and 4 were confirmed to bind MERS S2 and SARS-2 spike by ELISA and evaluated for binding to uncoated plates and the foldon domain. While no clones exhibited plate or milk binding, ~85% of round 3 and 4 clones bound the shared foldon domain fused to the RSV F protein (16)(Fig S2). One of these foldon binders, 3E11, was carried forward as a control antibody. Three unique clone families were identified from the remaining clones specific to the spike protein: 3A3, 4A5, and 4H2. Antibody 3A3 and close relatives appeared in round 3 and were enriched to ~10% of the population after round 4, while 4A5 and 4H2 were unique sequences isolated after round 4 of panning.

Cross-reactive antibodies bind the spike S2 domain with high affinity and specificity

After expression in ExpiCHO cells and purification of 3A3, 4A5, 4H2, and 3E11 as full-length antibodies with human Fc and kappa domains, the antibodies were biophysically characterized to measure spike binding affinity by biolayer interferometry, thermal unfolding by thermal shift, polydispersity by size exclusion chromatography (SEC), and purity by SDS-PAGE (Table 1, fig. S3 and S4). The antibodies all appeared as expected for intact immunoglobulins, with 4A5 exhibiting a slightly delayed SEC retention
volume. All four antibodies exhibited low- to mid-nanomolar equilibrium affinities for the SARS-2 spike (15) as measured by BLI. Additional measurements with SARS-2 HexaPro, an ultra-stable SARS-2 spike with a total of six proline mutations relative to wild-type spike (17), confirmed these affinities. Finally, for 3A3 Fab binding to the SARS-2 HexaPro S2 domain, the $K_d$ of $\sim$2.5 nM was again consistent by both SPR and BLI and the binding on and off rates in the absence of S1 were found to be $\sim 2\times 10^6$/Ms and $5\times 10^{-3}$/s, respectively (fig. S4).

ELISA was used to assess the ability of these antibodies to bind spike proteins from a variety of coronaviruses (SARS-1, SARS-2, MERS, and HKU1) as well as SARS-2 HexaPro, coated on plates with RSV F-foldon as a control (Fig. 1A, fig. S5) and validated with BLI $K_a$ measurements (Table 1, fig. S4D). Antibodies 3A3, 4A5 and 4H2 retained strong binding the SARS-1, MERS and MERS S2 spikes but exhibited significantly reduced binding to HKU1 spike and no detectable binding to RSV F-foldon. Specifically, 3A3 exhibited an affinity of 21 nM for SARS-1 and 23 nM for MERS intact spikes. The foldon binding antibody 3E11 showed relatively high binding affinity $\sim$3-6 nM across all spikes and the RSV F-foldon as expected since these proteins all include foldon domains for stabilization.

Antibody 3A3 binds a conformationally-sensitive S2 epitope

To better understand the basis for spike protein recognition, the SARS-2, SARS-2 HexaPro, MERS, and HKU1 spikes were fully denatured and reduced and subjected to western blotting. Quadruplicate blots were incubated with each full-length antibody and binding to spike polypeptide was detected with anti-human-Fc-HRP and chemiluminescent peroxidase substrate (Fig. 1B). Antibodies 4A5, 4H2, and 3E11 detected linearized SARS-2, SARS-2 HexaPro, and MERS spike proteins, with undetectable binding to HKU1 spike. In contrast, 3A3 did not bind any spike protein in western blot, indicating that the 3A3 antibody binds a purely conformational epitope, while the other three antibodies recognize epitopes with significant linear components.

To better understand the 3A3 conformational epitope, we evaluated 3A3 binding to fresh versus stressed SARS-2 spike by ELISA (Fig. 1C and D). Soluble SARS-2 spike has low stability, with lower production yields than SARS-1 and MERS, despite sharing the homologous “2P” proline mutations (~0.5 mg/L versus ~6 mg/L and ~20 mg/L, respectively) (15, 17, 18), and is sensitive to freeze-thaw stresses. By contrast, SARS-2 HexaPro includes four additional proline substitutions and exhibits resistance to freeze-thaw stresses (17). We stressed spike by three freeze/thaw cycles, which produced SDS-PAGE-detectable aggregates in the SARS-2 spike but not HexaPro (fig. S6) and captured spike on antibody-coated ELISA plates. Antibodies 4A5, 4H2, and 3E11 bound fresh and stressed SARS-2 spike proteins similarly, with 4A5 and 4H2 binding stressed spike slightly better (Fig. 1D). However, 3A3 bound stressed 2P spike with a ~150-fold worse EC$_{50}$, while binding of stressed HexaPro was unaffected due to its improved stability, indicating a reduced capacity to bind misfolded and/or aggregated spike.

Antibody 3A3 neutralizes spike in in vitro cellular fusion and pseudovirus infection assays

To investigate the abilities of 3A3, 4A5, and 4H2 to impact spike function, we first employed a mammalian cell fusion assay (Fig. 2). A CHO cell line expressing wild-type SARS-2 spike and GFP was incubated with ACE2-expressing HEK 293 cells dyed with the red fluorescent Cell Trace Far Red stain. After 24 hours, large syncytia formed with green CHO cell fluorescence overlapping ~70% of red HEK 293 cell fluorescence, indicating fusion of the CHO and HEK 293 membranes in the presence of no antibody (Fig. 2A). Antibodies 3A3 and 4A5, but not 4H2, significantly reduced and/or eliminated ~70% of red HEK 293 cell fluorescence, indicating fusion of the CHO and HEK 293 membranes in the presence of the respective antibody (Fig. 2B and 2C).
2A) or 100 μg/ml irrelevant human IgG1 (Fig. 2D), 4A5 or 4H2 antibodies (Fig. S7). Minimal fluorescence colocalization occurred if either the CHO cells did not express SARS-2 spike (Fig. 2B) or the HEK 293 cells did not express ACE2 (Fig. 2C). Incubation with 100 μg/ml (Fig. 2G) or 10 μg/ml (Fig. 2F) of 3A3 significantly reduced colocalization to ~50% (p <0.0001). While 1 μg/ml 3A3 had minimal impact on fluorescence colocalization (Fig. 2E), analysis of average cell size showed significantly reduced syncytia size in the presence of as little as 1 μg/ml 3A3 (Fig. 2, H and I).

We next assessed 3A3 neutralization in an in vitro pseudovirus neutralization assay. Antibody 3A3 or isotype control was preincubated for one hour with pseudotyped lentivirus expressing either wild-type or D614G SARS-2 spike, both without stabilizing modifications, then added to HEK293 cells expressing ACE2. The pseudovirus induced luciferase expression in infected cells and the extent of infection was tracked over 72 hours (Fig. 3). With one hour of preincubation, antibody 3A3 blocked infection of wild-type spike pseudovirus with an IC₅₀ of ~25.4 μg/ml (170 nM) and D614G spike pseudovirus with an IC₅₀ of ~1.6 μg/ml (11 nM).

The neutralizing 3A3 epitope is located on the S2 hinge

To identify the specific epitope recognized by 3A3, we used hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX). We measured deuterium uptake of the SARS-2 HexaPro spike alone, as well as bound by the 3A3 IgG or 3A3 Fab (Supplemental Tables 1 & 2). Complexes were formed with excess antibodies such that the SARS-2 HexaPro spike was ~90% bound in both cases. We tracked 192 unmodified peptides through the deuteration time course (10¹⁰, 10⁹, 10⁸, and 10⁷ s), covering over half of the protein sequence (fig. S8). We did not search for glycosylated peptides as de-glycosylation had a small effect on 3A3 affinity (Table 1). Analysis of the raw deuterium uptake in the SARS-2 HexaPro spike alone shows maintenance of the trimer during the HDX reaction. There was relatively low deuterium uptake in the helix at the center of the trimer and high deuterium uptake in the HR1 helix at the trimer's surface (fig. S9).

Antibody epitopes are identified by looking at the difference in deuterium uptake between SARS-2 HexaPro spike in the free and antibody-bound states. We defined a significant difference in a change in deuterium uptake greater than 0.2 Da with a p-value less than 0.01 (Fig. 4, A and B). The binding of 3A3 IgG caused such a significant decrease to occur in 12 peptides that redundantly span residues 980 to 1006 of the SARS-2 HexaPro spike (Fig. 4C and fig. S10A). These peptides have reduced deuterium uptake with 3A3 IgG at several timepoints during the exchange reaction. An identical result was observed when the 3A3 Fab was used in place of the IgG, consistent with identical binding (Fig. 4, B and C and fig. S10B). In contrast to 3A3 IgG and Fab, similar experiments with 4A5 and 4H2 antibodies showed no significant difference in deuterium uptake upon antibody addition (fig. S11). The epitopes recognized by 4A5 and 4H2 are distinct from 3A3 and possibly lie in regions where we lack peptides. Taken altogether, these data suggest that the 3A3 epitope lies within residues 980 to 1006 of the SARS-2 HexaPro spike.

Mapping the difference in deuterium uptake between free and 3A3-bound states onto the structure localizes the epitope to the apex of the S2 domain, distal to the viral envelope (Fig. 4D). It covers the end of the HR1 helix, the two stabilizing proline mutations (residues 986 and 987), and the CH helix's beginning. This region is highly conserved in sequence and structure across all coronaviruses known to infect humans (Fig. 5, A and B). The RMSD of Ca atoms ranges from 0.6 Å for HKU1 to 3.1 Å for MERS. To confirm the epitope and show its validity to varied spike proteins, we used BLI to assess 3A3 binding to the MERS S2 domain used for selection or a variant with the apex (including the putative epitope residues) replaced by
linker sequences. 3A3 strongly bound the MERS S2 domain but lost binding when the apex was deleted (fig. S12). This confirms that 3A3 directly binds the apex of the S2 domain of the spike proteins from varied coronaviruses.

**RBD position influences access to the 3A3 epitope**

The 3A3 epitope location suggests its accessibility is regulated by the position of the RBDs in the S1 domain. The epitope is completely hidden in a closed spike with all three RBDs in the down position and becomes increasingly exposed as one, two, or three of the RBDs adopt an up position or bind the ACE2 receptor (Fig. 5C and fig. S10C). Consistent with this, 3A3 did not bind a SARS-2 HexaPro spike that was locked into the closed conformation by engineered disulfide bonds (Fig. 6A, bottom). However, this constrained spike was recognized by the control 2-4 antibody (Fig. 6A, top).

We then evaluated 3A3 binding to naturally occurring spike variants with different open versus closed propensities using flow cytometry. We compared the wild-type versus D614G SARS-2 variants observed in the closed state in ~90% versus 5% of particles, respectively (2, 19). We observed minimal 3A3 binding to wild-type spike expressed on the surface of mammalian cells after one hour of staining. In contrast, 3A3 strongly bound surface-expressed D614G spike (Fig. 6B), consistent with this variant providing more frequent access to the 3A3 epitope. Notably, the SARS-2 variants used in this assay did not contain the stabilizing 2P mutations. This shows that 3A3 recognizes an epitope without these two proline mutations present.

In the same flow cytometry assay, antibody CR3022 (20), a rare SARS-1/ SARS-2 cross-reactive RBD-binding antibody that binds a cryptic epitope requiring RBDs up (3), exhibited reduced binding to D614G than wild-type spike (Fig. 6B), suggesting it is less relevant than 3A3 for binding a range of circulating SARS-2 strains. Finally, to evaluate the possibility that 3A3 binds immediately following S1 dissociation, capturing the S2 domain in a transient but still prefusion state, we evaluated the binding of 3A3-bound HexaPro to the RBD-binding antibody S309 (21), using BLI. Since strong S309 binding was observed, 3A3 can bind when at least some S1 monomers are still present (fig. S13).

**DISCUSSION**

Over the past two decades, the regular emergence of pathogenic coronaviruses motivated our efforts to isolate cross-reactive coronavirus spike antibodies. In all highly pathogenic coronaviruses, the spike protein is responsible for targeting host cells via the S1 domain, which has little sequence similarity across coronaviruses (41-87%; fig. S1). In contrast, the S2 domain mediates viral envelope and target cell membrane fusion through a complex conformational change (22), with a correspondingly low tolerance for sequence variation (63-98% similarity; fig. S1). Moreover, neutralizing sera from individuals never exposed to SARS-2 is common in young people and exclusively bind the S2 domain (22). Accordingly, S2 is an attractive target for pan-coronavirus antibody therapy and vaccination. With this in mind, we isolated and characterized three highly cross-reactive antibodies binding S2 from a MERS S2 immune phage library.

All three antibodies bind SARS-2 HexaPro with low- to mid-nanomolar $K_d$ values (Table 1) and exhibit similar binding to HexaPro, SARS-1, SARS-2, and MERS spikes in ELISA assays, with minimal binding to HKU1 spike (Fig. 1A). Antibodies 4H2 and 4A5 bind conserved linear epitopes but do not neutralize in cell fusion assays. By contrast, antibody 3A3 binds a conformational epitope conserved across $\beta$
coronavirus clades with a 2.5 nM affinity for SARS-2, 21 nM for SARS-1 and 23 nM for MERS intact spikes (Table 1). It exhibits selectivity for fresh versus stressed SARS-2 spike (ELISA EC$_{50}$ ~125-fold higher), suggesting 3A3 could be used to monitor spike quality (Fig. 1C and D). Moreover, 3A3 binds SARS-2 spike when expressed on the mammalian cell surface and neutralizes in multiple in vitro assays (Fig. 2 and 3), with greater sensitivity for the more transmissible SARS-2 D614G variant.

The 3A3 binding site was identified by HDX mass spectrometry as a cryptic epitope at the apex of S2 (Fig. 4), under the S1 domain. This region is completely obscured by the S1 domain when the RBDs are in the three-down or “closed” conformation but is progressively exposed as the three RBDs transition to one-up, two-up, and finally the three-up, “open” conformation (Fig. 5C). Biochemical data are consistent with this analysis, as 3A3 does not readily bind spike variants with RBDs stabilized in the “down” position but does bind those with RBDs stabilized in the “up” position. Cryo-EM images show wild-type SARS-2 spike primarily in the three RBDs down “closed” conformation (2) and 3A3 exhibits weak binding to this protein when expressed on the mammalian cell surface (Fig. 6D). Similarly, a soluble HexaPro variant with all RBDs constrained in the down position is not bound by 3A3 (Fig. 6A). By contrast, stabilized SARS-1 and SARS-2 spikes appear more often with one RBD-up than their wild-type counterparts in cryo-EM images (2, 23) and 3A3 binds stabilized SARS-2 spike with ~2.5 nM K$_d$ affinity (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The RBDs are even more commonly “up” in the SARS-2 HexaPro ultra-stable and stabilized MERS spikes, which are bound tightly by 3A3: two RBDs are up in ~30.6% of HexaPro images (17), while one or two RBDs are up in >90% of MERS images (18).

Antibody 3A3 more potently neutralizes the highly transmissible D614G than wild-type SARS-2 spike. The naturally occurring D614G spike now dominates circulating SARS-2 strains, is more stable than wild-type SARS-2 spike, and samples the RBD-up state more frequently (19). Consistent with our understanding of this epitope, 3A3 binds D614G more readily than wild-type spike in the absence of receptor, as monitored by flow cytometry (Fig. 6D), and more potently neutralizes pseudovirus expressing D614G versus wild-type spike (Fig. 3). Although 3A3 cannot readily access its epitope on surface-displayed wild-type spike, its ability to neutralize wild-type spike (Fig. 3) indicates that it may bind and trap spike after ACE2 engagement or S1 dissociation exposes the 3A3 epitope but before the spike conformational change.

The 3A3 epitope is highly conserved across human coronaviruses. This epitope has high sequence identity (48%) and similarity (70%, Fig. 5A) among seven human coronaviruses. Moreover, 3A3’s ability to bind SARS-1, SARS-2 and MERS spikes with similar sensitivities supports the concept that while binding depends on RBD position, it is independent of RBD sequence or receptor specificity. The structure of the 3A3 epitope is highly conserved across wild-type (PDB 6XR8), 2P (PDB 6VS), HexaPro (PDB 6XKL), stabilized D614G (PDB 6XS), and non-stabilized D614G (PDB 7KDL) SARS-2 spike with RMSD of ≤0.7 Å, but the efficiency of 3A3 binding is altered for each, indicating that epitope accessibility impacts 3A3 binding affinity. ELISA may weakly detect binding to HKU1 spike because these spikes rarely sample the RBD-up configuration, even in stabilized forms, and the 3A3 epitope is poorly accessible (24). Neutralization of other coronavirus spikes by 3A3 requires further investigation.

The location of the 3A3 epitope suggests a spike neutralizing mechanism. The 3A3 epitope is near the “jackknife hinge” on the S2 domain between HR1 and the central helix, converting from a hairpin turn into a long, straight helix. This transition is the key event in spike conformational change; accordingly, the stabilizing “2P” proline substitutions are also located in this region. There are no glycosylation sites to
impede the transition (25). The binding of 3A3 to the pre-fusion hairpin region near the trimer epicenter may lock spike in its pre-fusion conformation and prevent movement of the fusion peptide. Confirmation of this presumptive neutralizing mechanism awaits high-resolution structural analysis.

Although S2 targeting antibodies exist, very few S2 specific antibodies have been reported, and even fewer have been described in detail. Chi et al. describe over twenty SARS-2 S2 antibodies, several of which are neutralizing but do not discuss their cross-reactive binding, epitopes, or mechanisms of neutralization (26). In other work, an anti-S2 antibody was reported to bind the SARS-2 and HKU1 spikes near the S2 base, opposite the 3A3 apex epitope, with weakly neutralizing activity (27), and recently a MERS/OC43 binding antibody was reported that binds this same region and neutralizes MERS (28). Antibodies neutralizing SARS-1 epitopes at the base of S2 on the HR2 or HR1 peptides were also reported but not fully characterized (29, 30).

Therapeutic antibody cocktails may benefit from the inclusion of neutralizing antibodies targeting S2, such as a humanized 3A3, since simultaneous targeting of multiple epitopes can improve protection and reduce the risk of escape variants. For example, antibodies binding the MERS S2 domain are potently neutralizing individually but synergize with antibodies blocking receptor binding and prevent the emergence of viral escape mutants only when used together (31). However, SARS-2 cocktails are currently approved or in development focus on the RBD and S1 (4). Regeneron’s approved therapeutic cocktail REGN-COV2 is a mixture of two noncompeting RBD binding antibodies. SARS-2 spike variants have already been identified to evade both antibodies, one requiring only a single amino acid substitution and another found in a patient after treatment with REGN-COV2 (32). The inclusion of neutralizing antibodies targeting S2 in therapeutic cocktails could provide a second layer of defense, targeting an epitope independent of the RBD.

Mapping conserved, especially neutralizing, spike epitopes will help guide the design of broadly protective immunogens able to protect against all highly pathogenic human coronaviruses. This will include spike engineering to reduce some regions' immunodominance while increasing the immunogenicity of conserved epitopes, analogous to prior efforts with the RSV F antigen (33). While S2 antibodies are elicited by infection and can be neutralizing and cross-reactive, the vast majority of naturally occurring neutralizing antibodies target the immunodominant RBD (34). The S2-binding antibodies described here were generated by direct immunization with stabilized S2, suggesting that immunization with a mixture of spike and isolated S2 may promote the generation of anti-S2 antibodies. The high immunogenicity of the foldon domain, as indicated by the high frequency of foldon-binding scFvs isolated in this work, implies that the foldon may need to be shielded to avoid generating unprotective responses when employed in vaccine antigens.

There are several limitations to this work as currently described. First, a structure showing the atomic details of 3A3 complexed with spike would provide additional insight into the mechanism of binding and neutralization. However, structures of antibodies bound to S2 are generally challenging to obtain with just one structure available of an antibody binding near the HR2 stem (28). It is possible that 3A3 binding distorts spike structure, disturbing otherwise ordered regions. Accordingly, additional efforts to better understanding the molecular underpinnings of 3A3/ spike interactions are underway. Second, while we have shown that 3A3 binds spike from all three highly pathogenic coronaviruses with similar affinities, we have only demonstrated its ability to neutralize SARS-2 spikes in vitro. Demonstration of broad neutralization in addition to broad recognition would increase the potential relevance of this epitope for
future therapeutics. The 3A3 epitope is highly conserved, with pairwise comparisons showing between 56% and 100% identity to the SARS-2 epitope for MERS and SARS-1, respectively (fig. S14). Since 3A3 affinity for the least similar MERS spike is comparable to that for the SARS-2 spike and greater than for HKU1, it seems likely that binding and neutralization depend primarily on RBD position epitope accessibility. The most concerning emerging SARS-2 variants have one conservative substitution in this epitope in B.1.1.7, identified in the United Kingdom, and has no changes in this epitope in B.1.351, identified in South Africa (fig. S14).

Here we report an antibody binding of the first reported neutralizing S2 epitope, which is conserved across all highly pathogenic coronavirus strains. HD mapping identified the epitope in the hinge at the S2 apex, suggesting 3A3 locks spike in its pre-fusion conformation. This conformationally sensitive epitope suggests that 3A3 could be used as a sensitive reagent to assess spike protein quality in both academic and industrial settings. Interestingly, 3A3 spike binding and pseudovirus neutralization is enhanced for emerging SARS-CoV-2 spike variants with increased transmissibility, apparently because these variants stabilize the RBDs in the up position required for epitope accessibility. Future work will evaluate 3A3 neutralization of other coronavirus strains in vitro and in vivo and high-resolution structural analysis to determine the precise antibody footprint and neutralizing mechanism.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Design**

This study's objective was to isolate antibodies with broad cross-reactivity to spike S2 domains and characterize the neutralizing epitopes identified. Mice were immunized with stabilized MERS S2 and their antibody repertoires were captured in a phage display library. After panning against MERS S2 and full-length SARS-2 spike, three antibodies, 3A3, 4A5 and 4H2, were isolated and biophysically characterized. The three antibodies were evaluated in mammalian cell fusion assays, where only 3A3 inhibited the fusion of cells expressing SARS-2 spike with cells expressing the ACE2 receptor. The neutralization potency of 3A3 in a pseudotyped lentiviral assay was assessed for both wild-type and D614G SARS-2 spike. Finally, HDX mass spectroscopy was used to locate the epitope of 3A3 at the apex of S2, with confirmatory experiments performed by BLI and flow cytometry.

**Spike Expression**

Soluble coronavirus spikes and spike variants were expressed and purified as previously described (15, 17). SARS-2 (15), SARS-1 (23), and HexaPro (17) spikes were expressed in ExpiCHO cells (ThermoFisher Scientific). MERS (18), HKU1 (18), and the SARS-2 variants HexaPro S2 (residues 697-1208 of the SARS-2 spike with an artificial signal peptide, proline substitutions at positions 817, 892, 899, 942, 986 and 987 and a C-terminal T4 fibritin domain, HRV3C cleavage site, 8xHisTag and TwinStrepTag), HexaPro RBD-locked-down (HexaPro with S383C-D985C substitutions), and aglycosylated HexaPro (HexaPro treated with Endo H overnight at 4 °C leaving only one N-acetylg glucosamine attached to N-glycosylation site) as well as MERS S2-only (residues 763-1291 of MERS-2P with 8 additional stabilizing substitutions), MERS S2-apex-less (MERS S2-only construct with residues 811-824 replaced with GGSGGS and residues 1042-1073 replaced with a flexible linker) were expressed in Freestyle 293-F cells (ThermoFisher Scientific).
**Murine immunization**

Three BALB/c mice were immunized subcutaneously with 5μg pre-fusion stabilized MERS S2 and 20 μg of ODN1826 + 100 μl of 2X Sigma Adjuvant System (SAS; Sigma) containing monophosphoryl lipid A and trehalose dimycolate in squalene oil. Four weeks later, the mice were boosted with the same dose of the same mixture. Three weeks after boosting, the mice were sacrificed and spleens were collected in RNALater (ThermoFisher). The University approved mouse protocols of Texas at Austin IACUC (AUP-2018-00092).

**Phage display antibody library construction**

RNA was isolated from the aqueous phase of homogenized spleens mixed with 1-bromo-3-chloropropane and purified with the PureLink RNA kit (Invitrogen) separately. The Superscript IV kit (Invitrogen) was used to synthesize cDNA. The V$_H$ and V$_L$ sequences from each immunized mouse were amplified with mouse-specific primers described by Krebber et al. (13). Maintaining separate reactions for each mouse, the V$_L$ and V$_H$ regions were joined by overlap extension PCR for each immunized mouse spleen to generate VL-linker-VH fragments (scFv) which the linker region encodes the amino acids (Gly$_4$Ser)$_4$ and SfiI sites flanked the scFv sequence. The scFv PCR products were pooled cloned into pMopac24 (14) via SfiI cut sites to encode the M13 phage pVIII protein fused to an scFv with a c-terminal myc tag. This library was then transformed to XL1-Blue (Agilent Technologies) E. coli. The total number of transformants was 3.1 × 10$^8$ with <0.01% background based on plating.

**Phage display and panning**

The E.coli containing the library were expanded in growth media (2×YT with 1% glucose, 200 μg/mL ampicillin, 10 μg/mL tetracycline) at 37 °C to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.5, then infected with 1 × 10$^{11}$ pfu/ml M13K07 helper phage (NEB) and induced with 1mM isopropyl β-d-thiogalactopyranoside. After two hours of shaking at room temperature, 12.5 μg/ml of kanamycin was added for phage expression overnight. Phage were precipitated in 20% PEG-8000 in 2.5 M NaCl, titered by infection of XL1-Blue and plating, and used for Round 1 panning. This process was repeated for each round of panning, starting from overnight growth of the output phage from each round.

Four rounds of panning were used to isolate scFvs binding both MERS S2 and SARS-2 spike using the following solutions coated on high binding plates: 2 μg/ml anti-c-myc tag antibody (Invitrogen) to eliminate phage expressing no or truncated scFv (Round 1), 2 μg/ml MERS S2 (Round 2), 2 μg/ml SARS-2 spike (Round 3), and 0.4 μg/ml SARS-2 spike (Round 4). In each round of panning, the plates were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 0.05% Tween-20 (PBS-T), and phage were preincubated with 5% non-fat milk in PBS-T for 30 minutes before incubation on the plate for 1.5 hours at room temperature. After thorough washing with PBS-T, output phage was eluted using 0.1 M HCl at pH 2.2, neutralized with ~1:20 2M Tris base, and allowed to infect XL1-Blue cells overnight amplification.

Random clones isolated after Round 3 and Round 4 of panning were sequenced and unique clones were tested by monoclonal phage enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) on plates coated with SARS-2 spike or RSV F foldon at 2 μg/ml in PBS. Briefly, plates were coated overnight at 4°C, washed with PBS-T, then blocked with PBS-T and 5% milk. Phage were allowed to bind for one hour at room temperature, thoroughly washed with PBS-T, then incubated with 1:2000 anti-M13 pVIII-HRP (GE Healthcare) in PBS-
T 5% milk for another hour. After washing, the plate was developed with the TMB Substrate Kit (Thermo Scientific), quenched with an equal volume of 1 M HCl and evaluated by absorbance at 450 nm (fig. S2).

**Antibody expression, purification, and quality control**

Full-length antibody versions of 3A3, 4A5, 4H2, and 3E11 were cloned as previously described (35) as mouse variable region-human IgG1 constant region chimeras. Antibodies were expressed in ExpiCHO (ThermoFisher Scientific) cells according to the high titer protocol provided and purified on a Protein A HiTrap column (GE Healthcare) with the ACTA Pure FPLC system (GE Healthcare), and buffer exchanged to PBS. SDS-PAGE analyzed each purified antibody (3 μg antibody per well) under reducing and non-reducing conditions (fig. S3A) and by analytical size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex S200 column (GE Healthcare) (fig. S3B).

Mouse Fab fragments of each sequence were generated by cloning the \( V_H \) and \( V_L \) regions upstream of heavy chain constant regions with a 3C protease site in the hinge (I8) and a mouse kappa chain, respectively. After expression, protein A purified protein was digested with human rhinovirus 3C protease, and the flow-through from a protein A HiTrap column was collected. Excess 3C protease was removed by incubation with Ni Sepharose 6Fast Flow beads (GE Healthcare). Fully murine antibodies were produced by cloning the VH regions into a mouse IgG2 expression cassette in the pAbVec background, co-transfected with the appropriate mouse IgK plasmid (36), and purified as described above.

According to the kit instructions, the thermal unfolding temperatures of the chimeric antibodies (0.3 mg/ml) were assessed in triplicate using the Protein Thermal Shift Dye Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific). Continuous fluorescence measurements (\( \lambda_{\text{ex}} = 580 \text{ nm}, \lambda_{\text{em}} = 623 \text{ nm} \)) were performed using a ThermoFisher ViiA 7 Real-Time PCR System, with a temperature ramp rate of 0.05°C/sec increasing from 25°C to 99°C (fig. S3, C and D).

**ELISA evaluation of antibody cross-reactivity and binding to stressed spike**

ELISAs were performed as described above throughout the work. For testing each antibody’s specificity, plates were coated with 1 μg/ml of purified spike proteins (SARS-1, SARS-2, SARS-2 HexaPro, MERS, HKU1, and RSV F foldon) in PBS. Duplicate serial dilutions of each full-length antibody were allowed to bind each coat, and the secondary antibody solution was a 1:1200 dilution of goat-anti-human IgG Fc-HRP (SouthernBiotech). ELISA curves were fit to a 4-parameter logistic curve (Fig. 1A).

To stress the spike proteins, fresh aliquots of SARS-2 and SARS-2 HexaPro spikes were thawed and split. One half of the aliquot was stressed by incubation at -20°C for 5 min, then 50°C for 2 min for a total of three temperature cycles. The freshly thawed and stressed spikes were serially diluted and captured on ELISA plates coated with each full-length antibody at 1 μg/ml or nothing (no coat). The ELISA was carried out as above with 3% w/v BSA in place of milk in the diluent and blocking buffer and Strep-Tactin-HRP (IBA) as the secondary reagent (Fig. 1, C and D). For each fresh and stressed spike, 8 μg was analyzed by SDS-PAGE under non-reducing conditions (fig. S3).
Western blot of antibody binding to coronavirus spike proteins

Purified coronavirus spike proteins (SARS-2 HexaPro, SARS-2, MERS, and HKU1) were reduced and boiled, and 50 ng of each was subjected to SDS-PAGE and transfer to PVDF membranes in quadruplicate. After blocking with PBS-T with 5% milk, the membranes were probed with 0.2 μg/ml 3A3, 1 μg/ml 4A5, 1 μg/ml 4H2 or 0.2 μg/ml 3E11 for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing with PBST, the membranes were incubated with 1:4000 goat anti-human IgG Fc-HRP for 45 minutes at room temperature, then developed with the SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific) and imaged (Fig. 1B).

Surface plasmon resonance and biolayer interferometry (BLI) measurements

SPR was used to determine the binding kinetics and affinity of the 3A3 Fab and HexaPro S2 interaction. An anti-StrepTagII Fab was covalently coupled to a CM5 sensor chip, which was then used to capture purified HexaPro S2 by the c-terminal twin StrepTag to ~80 response units (RU) in each cycle using a Biacore X100 (GE Healthcare). The binding surface was regenerated between cycles using 0.1% SDS followed by 10 mM Glycine at pH 2. The 3A3 Fab was serially diluted from 12.5 nM to 1.56 nM and injected over the blank reference flow cell and then HexaPro S2-coated flow cell in HBS-P+ buffer. Buffer was also injected through both flow cells as a reference. The data were double-reference subtracted and fit to a 1:1 binding model using BIAevaluation software.

To determine the affinity of 3A3 Fab by BLI, anti-human IgG Fc sensors were coated with the anti-foldon antibody identified in this work (3E11) at 20 nM in kinetic buffer. MAb coated sensors were then incubated with HexaPro S2 at 60 nM until a response of 0.6 nm was obtained. Association of 3A3 Fab was recorded for 5 minutes in kinetics buffer, starting at 100 nM followed by 1:2 dilutions. Dissociation was recorded for 10 minutes in the kinetics buffer. Kd values were obtained using a 1:1 global fit model using the Octet instrument software. 3A3 Fab kinetics measurement was repeated once (fig. S4B).

Apparent K_d s of IgGs were measured using the Octet Red96 (ForteBio) instrument. Anti-Human IgG Fc (AHC) (ForteBio) sensors were loaded with mAbs in the kinetic buffer (0.01% BSA and 0.002% Tween-20 in PBS) at 10 nM until a response of 0.6 nm was reached. Association curves were recorded for 5-30 min by incubating the sensors in different concentrations of SARS-2 HexaPro, SARS-2, and aglycosylated HexaPro, starting from 100 nM and serial 1:2 dilutions. The dissociation step was recorded for 10-20 min in the kinetic buffer. Steady-state K_d values were determined using the response values obtained after five minutes of association using the Octet analysis software (Table 1 and fig. S4C).

To compare 3A3 binding to HexaPro and “Down” HexaPro, anti-Human IgG Fc (AHC) (ForteBio) sensors were loaded with 3A3 mAb in kinetic buffer at 10 nM until a response of 0.6 nm was reached. After a baseline step, the sensors were briefly incubated with either HexaPro or “Down” HexaPro, both at 60 nM. Short dissociation step recorded in the kinetic buffer (Fig. 6A).

To evaluate 3A3 binding to HexaPro captured by an RBD binder (IgGS309), Anti-Human Fc Sensors were used to pick up IgGS309 (20 nM) to a response of 0.6 nm. Then mAb coated tips were dipped into wells containing HexaPro (50 nM) to a response of 0.6 nm and then dipped into wells containing murine-3A3 (50 nM), irrelevant murine mAb (50 nM), or buffer. Association of m3A3/irrelevant mAb was measured.
for 5 min and dissociation for 10 min. A similar experiment was also performed but in the reverse orientation where anti-mouse Fc sensors were used to pick up murine-3A3 (fig. S13).

Octet Red96 (ForteBio) instrument was used. Between every loading step, sensors were washed with kinetics buffer for 1 minute. Before use, sensors were hydrated in the kinetics buffer for 10 minutes. After each assay, the sensors were regenerated using 10mM Glycine, pH1.5.

**Confocal cell fusion assay**

On day 0, the CHO-T cells (Acyte Biotech) were transfected with either pPyEGFP (37), 1:4 pWT-SARS-2-spike:pPyEGFP, and 1:4 pD614G-SARS-2-spike:pPyEGFP using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies), and media was replaced on day 1. On day 2 after transfection, HEK-293T-hACE2 cells (BEI, NR-52511), which stably expresses human ACE2, were stained with 1 μM CellTrace Far Red dye (Invitrogen, Ex/Em: 630/661 nm) in PBS for 20 minutes at room temperature, then quenched with DMEM with 10% heat-inactivated FBS for 5 minutes, and resuspended in fresh media. CHO-T cells expressing EGFP or EGFP and surface spike were preincubated with the antibody for one hour at 37°C, then mixed with HEK-hACE2 cells at a ratio of 5:1 in 24-well plates with a coverslip on the bottom of each well. On day 3, after 20 hours of coincubation, the coverslip with bound cells was washed once with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature, washed again, and mounted on slides with DAPI-fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech). Images were collected with Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc) and processed using ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij) (Fig. 2 and fig. S7).

The cell fusion level was determined by two different statistical analysis methods. The first statistical analysis was based on the percentage of HEK-ACE2 pixels (red) colocalizing with spike expressing CHO pixels (green), which was determined by the following equation within the JACoP plugin for ImageJ (38):

$$\text{HEK – ACE2 colocalization\%} = \frac{\text{(summed intensities at 633 nm wavelength of HEK – ACE2 pixels colocalizing with CHO pixels)}}{\text{(summed intensities at 633 nm wavelength of HEK – ACE2 pixels)}}</$$

The colocalization percentage for each independent image was determined using the Manders’ coefficient. The second statistical analysis was based on the average HEK-ACE2 cell size after the coincubation with CHO cells using the ImageJ software. The image of HEK-ACE2 (red fluorescence color at 633 nm wavelength) was converted into 16-bit in greyscale and adjusted the threshold to highlight the cell structure. The average cell size was automatically counted with the “Analyze Particles” tab with a size threshold (50-infinity) to exclude the background noise. The cell on the edge was excluded. The statistical significance of either HEK-ACE2 colocalization percentage or average cell size between different conditions was calculated with ANOVA using GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software). Values represent the mean and standard deviation of at least 160 cells.

**Lentiviral plasmids**

Plasmids required for lentiviral production were obtained from BEI resources. Plasmids expressing the HIV virion under the CMV promotor (HDM-Hgpm2, pRC-CMV-Rev1b, and HDM-tat1b) were provided under the following catalog numbers NR-52516, NR-52519, and NR-52518, respectively(39). Plasmids for lentiviral backbone expressing a luciferase reporter under the CMV promotor followed by an IRES and ZsGreen (pHAGE-CMV-Luc2-IRES-ZsGreen-W) or human ACE2 gene (GenBank ID NM_021804) under an EF1a promoter (pHAGE2-EF1aInt-ACE2-WT) were provided as NR-52520 and NR52516, respectively.
Acid as the basic LC buffer.

Samples were thawed and LC flash 1:1 with cooled 0.2% (v/v) Formic acid, 200 mM TCEP, 8 M Urea, pH 2.3. Samples were immediately Tris pD 8.0, 200 mM NaCl. (Hydrogen
Hydrogen recorded in the presence and absence of the tested antibody and a half manufacturer’s protocol. The percentage of entry was estimated as (Promega, E2610) to detect a luciferase signal (relative luciferase units or RLU) following the temperature. After 60–72 hours, a total number of cells per well were estimated using an IncuCyte® ZOOM equipment with a ×10 objective. Then cells were treated with the Bright-Glo Luciferase Assay reagent (Promega, E2610) to detect a luciferase signal (relative luciferase units or RLU) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The percentage of entry was estimated as the ratio of the relative luciferase units recorded in the presence and absence of the tested antibody and a half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC_{50}) calculated using a 3-parameter logistic regression equation (GraphPad Prism v9.0) (Fig. 3).

**Hydrogen-Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry**

Hydrogen-deuterium exchange was performed on 0.50 μM SARS-2 HexaPro spike protein alone (fig. S8 & S9) or in the presence of 0.55 μM 3A3 IgG or Fab (Fig. 4 and fig. S10), 0.75 μM 4A5, or 0.75 μM 4H2 (fig. S11). Complexes were incubated for 10 min at 25°C before exchange in 90% deuterium and 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl. The exchange was quenched after 10^1, 10^2, 10^3 and 10^4 s by mixing samples 1:1 with cooled 0.2% (v/v) Formic acid, 200 mM TCEP, 8 M Urea, pH 2.3. Samples were immediately flash-frozen in liquid N\textsubscript{2} and stored at −80°C.

Samples were thawed and LC-MS performed using a Waters HDX manager and SYNAPT G2-Si Q-Tof. Three or four technical replicates of each sample were analyzed in random order. Samples were digested on-line by Sus scrofa Pepsin A (Waters Enzymate™ BEH Pepsin column) at 15°C and peptides trapped on a C18 pre-column (Waters ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 VanGuard pre-column) at 1°C for 3 min at 100 μL/min. Peptides were separated over a C18 column (Waters ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 column) and eluted with a linear 3-40% (v/v) Acetonitrile gradient for 7 min at 30 uL/min at 1°C and 0.1% (v/v) Formic Acid as the basic LC buffer.

**Generation of HEK293T-ACE2 target cells, stably expressing human ACE2**

Lentiviral vector (pHAGE2-EF1aInt-ACE2-WT) expressing human ACE2 under an EF1a promoter was used to transduce HEK293T cells. Clonal selection depended on the susceptibility to infection by the pseudotyped lentiviral particles; selected clones were validated using western blotting.

**SARS-CoV-2 spike-mediated pseudovirus entry assay**

HIV particles pseudotyped with wild type or D614G mutant of SARS-CoV-2 spike were generated in HEK293T cells. A detailed protocol for generating these particles was reported by Crawford et al. (39). HEK293T cells were co-transfected with plasmids for (1) HIV virion-formation proteins (HDM-Hgpm2, pRC-CMV-Rev1b, and HDM-tat1b; (2) lentiviral backbone expressing luciferase reporter (pHAGE-CMV-Luc2-IRES-ZsGreen-W), and (3) a plasmid encoding one of the envelope proteins (2019-nCoV Spike-WT, D614G mutant or VSV G as a positive control). 72 hours post-transfection, media containing the pseudovirus particles were collected, filtered, fractionated, and stored at −80°C. The particles were used directly in cell entry experiments or after pre-incubation with each antibody for one hour at room temperature. After 60–72 hours, a total number of cells per well were estimated using an IncuCyte® ZOOM equipment with a ×10 objective. Then cells were treated with the Bright-Glo Luciferase Assay reagent (Promega, E2610) to detect a luciferase signal (relative luciferase units or RLU) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The percentage of entry was estimated as the ratio of the relative luciferase units recorded in the presence and absence of the tested antibody and a half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC\textsubscript{50}) calculated using a 3-parameter logistic regression equation (GraphPad Prism v9.0) (Fig. 3).

**Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry**

Deuterium exchange was performed on 0.50 μM SARS-2 HexaPro spike protein alone (fig. S8 & S9) or in the presence of 0.55 μM 3A3 IgG or Fab (Fig. 4 and fig. S10), 0.75 μM 4A5, or 0.75 μM 4H2 (fig. S11). Complexes were incubated for 10 min at 25°C before exchange in 90% deuterium and 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl. The exchange was quenched after 10\textsuperscript{1}, 10\textsuperscript{2}, 10\textsuperscript{3} and 10\textsuperscript{4} s by mixing samples 1:1 with cooled 0.2% (v/v) Formic acid, 200 mM TCEP, 8 M Urea, pH 2.3. Samples were immediately flash-frozen in liquid N\textsubscript{2} and stored at −80°C.

Samples were thawed and LC-MS performed using a Waters HDX manager and SYNAPT G2-Si Q-Tof. Three or four technical replicates of each sample were analyzed in random order. Samples were digested on-line by Sus scrofa Pepsin A (Waters Enzymate™ BEH Pepsin column) at 15°C and peptides trapped on a C18 pre-column (Waters ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 VanGuard pre-column) at 1°C for 3 min at 100 μL/min. Peptides were separated over a C18 column (Waters ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 column) and eluted with a linear 3-40% (v/v) Acetonitrile gradient for 7 min at 30 uL/min at 1°C and 0.1% (v/v) Formic Acid as the basic LC buffer.
MS data were acquired using positive ion mode and either HDMS or HDMS\textsuperscript{E}. HDMS\textsuperscript{E} mode was used to collect both low (6 V) and high (ramping 22-44 V) energy fragmentation data for peptide identification in water-only samples. HDMS mode was used to collect low energy ion data for all deuterated samples. All samples were acquired in resolution mode. The capillary voltage was set to 2.8 kV for the sample sprayer. Desolvation gas was set to 650 L/hour at 175 °C. The source temperature was set to 80°C. Cone and nebulizer gas were flowed at 90 L/hour and 6.5 bar, respectively. The sampling cone and source offset were set to 30 V. Data were acquired at a scan time of 0.4 s with a range of 100-2000 m/z. A mass correction was done using [Glu1]-fibrinopeptide B as a reference mass.

Water-only control samples were processed by Protein Lynx Global Server v.3.0.2 with a ‘minimum fragment ion matches per peptide’ of 3 and allowing methionine oxidation. The low and elevated energy thresholds were 250 and 50 counts, respectively, and the overall intensity threshold was 750 counts. The resulting peptide lists were then used to search data from deuterated samples using DynamX v.3.0. Peptide filters of 0.3 products per amino acid and 1 consecutive product were used. Spectra were manually assessed, and figures were prepared using HD-eXplosion (40) and PyMOL (41). The HDX data summary table (Table S1) and complete data table (Table S2) are included.

Flow cytometry

On day 0, Expi-293 cells (ThermoFisher) were mock-transfected or transfected with pWT-SARS-2-spike (BEI NR-52514) or pD614G-SARS-2-spike (generated by site-directed mutagenesis). On day 2, 30nM full-length 3A3 or CR3022 was added to \( \sim 5 \times 10^5 \) transfected cells for 1 hour on ice. All cells were collected, washed with PBS with 1% FBS, then incubated with 1:100 goat-anti-human Fc-AF647 for one hour on ice. Cells were washed again, then scanned for AF647 (640 nm excitation, 670/30 bandpass emission) fluorescence on a BD Fortessa flow cytometer and analyzed with FlowJo (Fig. 6B).

Statistical analyses

The means ± SD were determined for all appropriate data. For the mammalian cell fusion experiments, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s simultaneous test with \( P \) values was used to determine statistical significance between groups. Welch’s t-test was used to determine the significance of deuterium uptake differences.
Fig. 1. Antibodies 3A3, 4A5, and 4H2 bind to SARS and MERS spike proteins. (A) Full-length 3A3 (blue), 4A5 (red), 4H2 (purple), and 3E11 (grey) were tested for binding to plates coated with SARS-2, SARS-2 HexaPro (HP), SARS-1, MERS, HKU1, RSV F foldon, or milk (no coat) proteins by ELISA. (B) 4A5, 4H2, and 3E11 bind reduced denatured SARS-2 HP, SARS-2, and MERS spike proteins by western blot, but 3A3 does not. No antibodies show binding to HKU1 2P by western blot. The ladder molecular weight is labeled in kDa on the left side. (C) ELISA capture of fresh (red circles) or stressed (pink diamonds) SARS-2 spike on 3A3 coated plates. (D) Antibodies coated on ELISA plates captured fresh (dark blue or red, circles) or stressed (pink or light blue, diamonds) SARS-2 HP (blue) or SARS-2 (red) spike proteins. For both (A) and (D), duplicate dilutions of spike over ~5 log in concentration were used to calculate EC_{50} values. For dilution series in which no binding was observed, EC_{50} was assumed to be >1000 nM. Open symbols are replicate data and filled rectangles are average data.
Fig. 2. 3A3 inhibits cellular fusion induced by the interaction of SARS 2 spike with human ACE2. (A) HEK 293 cells stably expressing human ACE2 were stained with Cell Trace Far Red and incubated with a CHO-based cell line transiently expressing wild-type SARS 2 spike and EGFP. The cultures were imaged after 24 hours of incubation for EGFP (green), or Cell Trace Far Red (red) and the level of colocalization (yellow) was evaluated. (B) CHO cells not expressing SARS 2 spike and (C) HEK 293 cells not expressing ACE2 exhibited minimal fusion. (D) When the cultures were preincubated with an irrelevant isotype control antibody, extensive fusion and syncytia formation equivalent to no antibody was apparent. Incubation at (E) 1 (7 nM), (F) 10 (70 nM), and (G) 100 μg/ml (700 nM) 3A3 reduced fusion in a dose-dependent manner with significance reached at 10 μg/ml. (H) The percentage of HEK-ACE2 pixels (red) colocalizing with spike expressing CHO pixels (green) was analyzed with the JACoP plugin for ImageJ. Shown are the mean and standard deviation of at least 160 cells per condition from 8-9 independent images. The statistical analysis of colocalization percentages under different conditions were performed with ANOVA. (I) The same images per condition used in (H) were analyzed for the average cell size of fused HEK-ACE2 with ImageJ as a second statistic method to test the cell fusion level. Shown are the mean and standard deviation. The statistical analysis of average cell sizes under different conditions were performed with ANOVA. The results shown are representative of four independent experiments; **** p<0.0001. Scale bar, 100 μm.
Fig. 3. Effect of the antibody on SARS-CoV-2 entry into HEK293T-ACE2 target cells. Neutralization assays with HIV particles pseudotyped with (A) SARS-CoV-2 WT-spike (B) SARS-CoV-2 spike D614G mutant and (C) VSV-Glycoprotein. The pseudoviruses were incubated with different doses of each antibody for 1 hour at room temperature before adding to HEK293T cells stably expressing ACE2. Viral entry was detected by luciferase luminescence 60-72 hrs later. The entry efficiency of SARS-CoV-2 pseudoviruses without any treatment was considered 100%. (D) The effect of the 3A3 antibody and an isotype control on cell proliferation in the absence of pseudovirus. Experiments were performed in triplicate; error bars represent ±SD (n = 3).
Fig. 4. The 3A3 epitope is located at the apex of the S2 domain. Volcano plots showing changes in deuterium uptake in SARS-2 HexaPro spike peptides upon addition of 3A3 IgG (A) or Fab (B) after $10^2$ s exchange. Significance cutoffs are an average change in deuterium uptake greater than 0.2 Da and a $p$-value less than $10^{-2}$ in a Welch’s t-test (hatched box). Peptides with a significant decrease in deuterium uptake are indicated by black dots and their boundaries labeled. (C) Deuterium uptake plots for peptides with a significant decrease in deuterium uptake upon addition of 3A3 (see also fig. S10A). Traces are SARS-2 HexaPro spike alone (black), with 3A3 IgG (blue), and with 3A3 Fab (orange). Error bars are ±2σ from 3 or 4 technical replicates. Y-axis is 70% of max deuterium uptake assuming the N-terminal residue undergoes complete back-exchange. Data have not been corrected for back-exchange. (D) Monomeric SARS-2 2P spike (PDB: 6VSB chain B) colored according to the difference in deuterium fractional uptake between SARS-2 HexaPro spike alone and with 3A3 IgG. The figure was prepared using DynamX per residue output without statistics and Pymol. Residues lacking coverage are indicated in grey. Structural features are labeled, including the 2P mutations at residues 986 and 987 (shown as sticks).
**Fig. 5. Structural location of 3A3 epitope and implications for antibody binding.** The 3A3 epitope identified by HDX mass spectrometry (SARS-2 amino acids 980-1006) is highly conserved across the spike (A) sequences and (B) structures of coronaviruses known to infect humans. In (A), identical residues are highlighted in yellow and similar residues are highlighted in aqua. Solvent exposed residues visible in spike structures with at least one RBD-up are underlined in the SARS-2 sequence. The location of the two proline mutations introduced to 2P variants are shown below the alignment. In (B), the structure of each epitope is displayed as follows: SARS-2 (6VSB) – red, SARS-1 (6CRV, RMSD = 0.8 Å) – orange, MERS (5X5C, RMSD = 3.1 Å) – blue, NL63 (7KIP, RMSD = 2.6 Å) – grey, HKU1 (5I08, RMSD = 0.5 Å) – teal, OC43 (6OHW, RMSD = 0.6 Å) – green, 229E (6U7H, RMSD = 2.0 Å) – yellow. (C) Trimeric SARS-2 spike in various conformations colored according to the difference in deuterium fractional uptake between SARS-2 HexaPro spike alone and with 3A3 IgG (as in Figure 4D). The 3A3 epitope (dark blue) within S2 is completely hidden by S1 in the structure of wild-type SARS-2 spike in the (i) three RBDs down or closed conformation (PDB: 6XR8). In structures of stabilized spike with (ii) one RBD-up (PDB: 6VSB), (iii) two RBDs up (PDB: 7A93), or (iv) three RBDs up and bound to ACE2 (red) (PDB: 7A98), the 3A3 epitope is increasingly accessible. Residues lacking coverage in the HDX experiment are indicated in grey. The side view is shown in fig. S10C.
Fig. 6. The 3A3 epitope is inaccessible in the closed conformation of the SARS-2 spike. (A) By BLI, the control antibody 2-4 (red lines) bound both HexaPro (solid) and HexaPro locked into the “closed” conformation (dashed). 3A3 (blue lines) was able to capture SARS-2 HexaPro (solid), but not “closed” HexaPro. Vertical dashed lines indicate the start of the dissociation phase; paired lines represent data and a fitted curve. (B) Antibody 3A3 weakly stains wild-type spike (WT; green) expressing Expi293 cells and more strongly binds D614G spike (blue) expressing cells in flow cytometry. Control Expi293 cells not expressing spike (mock) are shown in grey.
| Antibody variant | SARS-2 HexaPro S2 | HexaPro S2 | HexaPro aglyc | SARS-1 | MERS | MERS S2 |
|------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--------|------|--------|
| 3A3              | 2.5 ± 0.1         | 3.2 ± 0.3  | 12.0 ± 0.3    | 8.4 ± 0.3 | 21±0.8 | 23±1.2 | 5.9±0.6 |
| 4A5              | 14 ± 2            | 11.0 ± 0.1 | 3.2 ± 0.3     | 10 ± 2 | 4±0.9 | ND     | ND     |
| 4H2              | 26 ± 2            | 63 ± 7     | 6.4 ± 0.6     | 9 ± 2  | 20±2 | ND     | ND     |
| 3E11             | 5.0 ± 0.2         | 10 ± 1     | 3.1 ± 0.3     | 11 ± 2 | 5.7±0.5 | ND     | ND     |

*Kd* values are equilibrium affinity of immobilized full-length IgGs on anti-Fc sensors capturing the indicated SARS-2 spike or spike domain, with standard error. All fits had *R*²>0.90.

ND = no data.
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