The effect of individual nutritional support and nurse follow-up on weight loss during radiotherapy in cancer patients

Serap Yücel¹, Sedenay Oskeroğlu Kaplan², Zeynep Güral¹, Aysin Kayış³, Fethullah Işık³, Fulya Ağaoğlu¹

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The aim of this study was to evaluate the nutritional status of patients receiving definitive or adjuvant radiotherapy with head and neck, pelvic, thoracic and intraabdominal tumors and to determine their weight loss.

Methods: 40 patients admitted to the radiation oncology outpatient clinic since 01.11.2015 and planned; head, thoracic, abdominal and pelvic radiotherapy, were included in the study. The patients were evaluated before the treatment and in addition to the daily nutrition of the patients, special nutritional support was provided as 35 kcal/kg energy, 2gr/kg protein and 15 mg glutamine daily. The weight loss of the patients evaluated by radiation oncologists and nurses was recorded.

Results: The mean age was 61 years (range, 39-86 years). 9 patients with lung cancer were over 70 years old and had definitive radiotherapy. All patients underwent radiotherapy treatment without interrupting treatment, except for an 86-year-old patient scheduled for adjuvant therapy with gastric cancer and two patients with lung cancer receiving chemoradiotherapy. 72% of the patients continued their nutritional support during the entire treatment. When weight loss was evaluated, only 8% of patients had more than 10% weight loss. According to nutritional support, weight loss rates were found to be significantly lower in patients who fully applied for nutritional support (p: 0.003).

Conclusion: Early and intense nutritional support of cancer patients with risk of malnutrition may lead to less weight loss during radiotherapy.
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KANSERLI HASTALARDA RADYOTERAPI SIRASINDA ÖZEL BESLENME DEŞEĞİ VE HEMŞIRE TAKİB İÇİNDEKİ KİLO KAYBI ÜZERİNE ETKİSİ

ÖZET

Amaç: Çalışmanın amacı baş ve boyun kanserli, toraks, üst batin ve pelvis yerleşimli tümör tanısı ile definitif veya adjuvan radyoterapi almakta olan hastaların beslenme durumlarını değerlendirme ve tedavi sırasında yapılan beslenme desteğini tespit etmektir.

Yöntemler: Çalışmaya Radyasyon Onkolojisi poliklinikine 01.11.2015 tarihinden itibaren başvuran ve baş, boyun, torakal, batin ve pelvik radyoterapi planlanan rastgele 40 hasta dahil edilmiştir. Hastaların tedavi öncesi değerlendirme ve hastaların günlük beslenmelerine ek olarak günlük 35 kcal/kg enerji, 2gr/kg protein ve 15 mg glutamin olarak özel beslenme destekleri sağlanmıştır. Poliklinik kontrollerinde radyasyon onkoloji ve hemşire tarafından radyoterapi sırasında kullanılan beslenme destekleri tespit edilmiştir.

Bulgular: Hastaların ortalaması yaş 61 (39-86) idi. 33 hasta (82.5%) cabeza, 7 hasta (17.5%) toras, 1 hasta (2.5%) abdomen ve 9 hasta (22.5%) pelvis yerleşimli tümör tanısı ile definitif veya adjuvan radyoterapi almakta olan hastaların %88′si (34 hasta) radyoterapi sırasında kesintisiz tedavi alarak gerçekleştirmiştir. Beslenme düzeyleri için belirli bir deneme yapılmış; %12′sinin (4 hasta) beslenme normalden düşük, %4′ün (1 hasta) beslenme normalden yüksek ve %84’un (35 hasta) beslenme düzeyi normal olmuştur. Montok hali olan hastaların %6′sında (2 hasta) beslenme düzeylerinde değişim tanınmıştır. Beslenme düzeylerinin yorgunluk oranları %8′sinin (2 hasta) değişmemiştir. Beslenme düzeyinin değişmeyen hastalarda %88′sinin (30 hasta) kilo kaybı farkı tespit edilmiştir (p<0.003).

Sonuç: Beslenme düzeyinin değişmemesi ve kilo kaybının azalması kronik hastaların radyoterapi sırasında maliyeti düşüktür. Beslenme tespiti ve çalışması radyoterapi ve hastanın maliyeti olumsuz etkilemektedir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Radyoterapi, kanser, beslenme
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Nutritional status in cancer patients significantly affects our treatment results. Progressive weight loss is a common feature of many cancers and the incidence of malnutrition in this patient group ranges between 40-80% (1-3). A recent review and observational studies show that diet and nutrient selection take a major role in cancer progression, recurrence risk, and overall survival. Also, 60-75% of patients with cancer had at least one additional disease, and the probability of death from non-cancerous causes was significantly higher than the normal population (4-7). Therefore, nutrition management is an important factor in the functional disorders, prevention and management of additional diseases. Cancer-related malnutrition arises as a result of the imbalance between the nutritional needs of the patient, tumor metabolism and the nutritional stores in the body (8-10). Prolonged nutritional deficiencies may result in clinical symptoms such as progressive, involuntary weight loss with cachexia, edema, impaired immune responses, reductions in motor and mental functions. Especially, treatment-related malnutrition observed more often in upper gastrointestinal system cancer patients treated with radiotherapy (RT)/chemoradiotherapy (RCT). Isenring et al. observed in their randomized study that patients with radiotherapy (RT)/chemoradiotherapy (RCT). Isenring et al. observed in their randomized study that patients treated with abdominopelvic radiotherapy and received early nutritional counseling had significantly better results in terms of weight loss, nutritional status and quality of life than patients who received standard information and a booklet about possible nutritional problems (11). Similarly, Ravasco et al. reported that nutrition counseling provided significant improvements in energy and protein intake with 111 colorectal cancer patients who received RT and better results were obtained in quality of life evaluations (12). The aim of this study is to identify weight loss in different types of cancer patients such as head and neck, thoracic, abdominal and pelvic cancers with the evaluation of their nutritional status and individual supportive therapy during radiotherapy treatment.

Materials and methods

Acibadem University Medical Research approval was obtained for this study. ‘Information Form’ and ‘Radiation Oncology Patient Evaluation and Follow-up Form’, in which the patients were evaluated and weight loss was recorded, were used. A total of 40 randomly assigned patients who were admitted to the Radiation Oncology outpatient clinic in November 2015 and who had a planned; head and neck, thoracic, abdominal and pelvic RT, were included in the study. The forms of the patients were evaluated before the RT and in addition to their basal nutrition, special nutritional supplements were given to include 35 kcal/kg energy, 2 g/kg protein and 15 mg glutamine daily. Radiotherapy-related side effects and weight loss were recorded in patients evaluated at least once a week by a radiation oncologist and a nurse. 10 patients from each region were included in the study. IBM SPSS Statistics 22 (IBM SPSS, Turkey) was used for statistical analysis. The normal distribution of the parameters was evaluated by the Chi-square test and the numerical variables were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Results were considered statistically significant with p < 0.05.

Results

The mean age of the patients was 61 years (39-86 years) and 9 patients with lung cancer were older than 70 years. According to the diagnoses, patients with 1 Nasopharyngeal, 4 larynx/ Laryngeal, 5 oral cavity, 10 lung, 8 stomach, 2 pancreatic, 4 rectal, 4 endometrial and 2 cervical cancer received treatment. The diagnosis and treatment characteristics of patients are shown in Table 1. Except for an 86-year-old patient who was planned for adjuvant treatment with the diagnosis of gastric cancer and two patients who received CRT for lung cancer, all patients completed their treatment without interruption. 72% of the patients were able to adapt to the planned nutritional support. Weight loss of less than 5% was seen in 65% of patients and 8% of patients had a weight loss of more than 10%. When weight loss rates according to nutritional support were evaluated, weight loss was found to be significantly less in those who fully applied nutritional support (p<0.003, Table 2). However, there was no statistically significant relationship between primary cancer diagnosis and weight loss.

Table 1. General patient characteristics

| Type of cancer       | Number of Patients (n) | Age       | RT          | Nutritional Support Full-Received Patient (n) |
|----------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Head and Neck        | 1                      | 39        | 48-84       | 70% (7)                                     |
| Nasopharynx          | 4                      | 49-84     | 47-64       | 100% (1)                                    |
| Larynx               | 5                      | 38-78     | 46-74       | 100% (4)                                    |
| Oral Cavity          |                        |           |             | 40% (2)                                     |
| Thorax               | 7                      | 61-78     | 52-84       | 60% (6)                                     |
| NSCLC*               | 3                      | 60-78     | 52-84       | 71% (5)                                     |
| SCLC**               |                        |           |             | 66% (1)                                     |
| Upper Abdomen        | 8                      | 39-86     | 66-81       | %90 (9)                                     |
| Stomach              | 2                      | 39-86     | 66-81       | %58 (7)                                     |
| Pancreas             |                        |           |             | 100% (2)                                    |
| Pelvic               | 4                      | 44-82     | 46-69       | 70% (7)                                     |
| Rectum               | 4                      | 46-69     | 54-66       | 75% (3)                                     |
| Endometrium          |                        |           |             | 75% (3)                                     |
| Cervix               | 2                      | 44-82     | 54-66       | 50% (1)                                     |

*NSCLC: Non-small cell lung cancer
**SCLC: Small cell lung cancer
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Discussion

According to the results of the study, individual diet counseling provided during the treatment positively affects the weight control of cancer patients. The fact that weight loss is significantly lower in patients with complete nutritional supplementation and additional energy and protein intake is an important need in cancer patients. Although there was no significant relationship between the diagnosis of primary cancer and weight loss, primary tumor localization and stage can make a difference at long term follow-up after RT.

A proper diet can contribute to the management of weight loss in cancer, which is caused by side effects (reduced intake of food, nausea, vomiting, constipation, diarrhea, malabsorption, stomatitis and taste changes) associated with treatments such as chemotherapy (CT) and radiation therapy (RT) (11-15). However, in spite of the intensive care provided during RT, patients may not be able to adapt to the planned nutritional support, because patients may need professional help against psychological changes such as anxiety, depression, hopelessness and feelings of isolation. Therefore, short and long-term follow-up of patients to continue to receive both physiological and psychological support against possible changes in nutritional gains and disease can contribute to the prognosis in a positive way.

In a review of 11 controlled randomized studies published by Stratton and Elia in 1999, it was concluded that oral nutritional supplements did not benefit in terms of weight and body composition in cancer patients (16). Several studies have found that, despite the increase in protein and energy intake, it only slows down the rate of weight loss in patients receiving CT (17,18). In a Cochrane review, which investigated the effect of dietary counseling in malnourished patients receiving and not receiving oral dietary supplements in 2004, it was concluded that nutritional supplements were more important than dietary counseling in maintaining body weight, even if there was insufficient evidence to reduce morbidity and mortality (19).

Nutritional support has been shown to improve overall survival, weight gain, or functional outcomes in cancer patients (2, 16). Nevertheless, an important limitation of these studies was the lack of adequate definition of nutritional counseling such as control frequency, follow-up. In a study published in 2002 by Capra et al., it is emphasized that the lack of adequate nutrition programs and adherence of these studies to patient-oriented issues such as quality of life and patient satisfaction may be responsible for the negative consequences of diet studies (20). In a randomized controlled study by Isenring et al. in 2004, radiation oncology protocols and nutritional counseling, appropriate to medical nutritional therapy generated by the American Dietetic Association and Morrison Health Service to improve patient outcomes and reduce health care costs, compared to standard practices (general nutrition speech and booklet) have shown a positive effect on body weight, nutritional status and quality of life (11). In 2007, the same study group similarly demonstrated that nutritional intervention in patients receiving radiotherapy to the gastrointestinal or head and neck region provided better dietary intake (protein, energy, fiber) in addition (22). In our current study, it can be said that the reason for superiority obtained in terms of maintaining body weight is the density and frequency of nutritional counseling as well as nutritional supplements. Especially minimization of eating difficulties experienced by patients receiving RT to the gastrointestinal tract and head and neck region is very important in terms of weight control.

In cancer patients, the solution to the problems related to nutrition requires a holistic approach, continuous intervention, evaluation and counseling. If intensive and personalized nutrition programs with continuous nursing support can be implemented, better weight control during RT can be achieved. When considering the possible effects of weight loss on disease prognosis and completion of treatments, it would be more appropriate to treat each patient with these evaluations and supports before and during RT.
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