Troponin T Is Negatively Associated With 3 Tesla Magnetic Resonance Peripheral Nerve Perfusion in Type 2 Diabetes
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Objective: The pathogenesis of diabetic polyneuropathy (DN) is poorly understood and given the increasing prevalence of DN, there is a need for clinical or imaging biomarkers that quantify structural and functional nerve damage. While clinical studies have found evidence of an association between elevated levels of troponin T (hsTNT) and N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide (proBNP) with microvascular compromise in type 2 diabetes (T2D), their implication in mirroring DN nerve perfusion changes remains unclear. The objective of this study was, therefore, to investigate whether hsTNT and proBNP assays are associated with MRI nerve perfusion in T2D.

Methods: In this prospective cross-sectional single-center case-control study, 56 participants (44 with T2D, 12 healthy control subjects) consented to undergo magnetic resonance neurography (MRN) including dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) perfusion imaging of the right leg. Using the extended Tofts model, primary outcome parameters that were quantified are the sciatic nerve’s microvascular permeability (Ktrans), the extravascular extracellular volume fraction (vₑ), and the plasma volume fraction (vₚ), as well as hsTNT and proBNP values from serological workup. Further secondary outcomes were clinical, serological, and electrophysiological findings.

Results: In T2D patients, hsTNT was negatively correlated with Ktrans (r=-0.38; p=0.012) and vₑ (r=-0.30; p=0.048) but not with vₚ (r=-0.16; p=0.294). HsTNT, Ktrans, and vₑ were correlated with peroneal nerve conduction velocities (NCVs; r=-0.44; p=0.006, r=0.42;
INTRODUCTION

Diabetic polyneuropathy (DN) is one of the most frequent and most disabling complications of diabetes mellitus (1). Especially in type 2 diabetes (T2D), the complex pathophysiological mechanisms that cause DN have not been understood completely (1–4). Evidence from clinical and histological studies suggests that nerve ischemia related to macro- and microangiopathy as well as cardiac insufficiency is a major contributor to demyelination and axonal damage in T2D (5–8). Recent studies have found cardiac biomarkers, high sensitivity troponin T (hsTNT) and N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide (proBNP), to be associated with microvascular complications in patients with T2D (9). It remains to be determined, however, whether hsTNT and proBNP are also associated with the occurrence of DN and whether both hsTNT and proBNP codify parameters of nerve perfusion such as plasma volume or microvascular permeability (9). To date, it has not been possible to assess the perfusion of peripheral nerves directly in the context of clinical studies. Magnetic resonance neurography (MRN) at 3 Tesla (3T) is a non-invasive method that allows to visualize and quantify structural and physiological changes of peripheral nerves along the entire anatomical course (10, 11). Recent studies on MRN in patients with T2D have found that structural nerve damage associated with demyelination in T2D is related to elevated levels of hsTNT but not proBNP (12). While there are several animal experimental MRI studies on the vascular supply of peripheral nerves and monitoring changes in microvasculature (13–16), only a recent pilot study on dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE) MRN in patients focusing on inflammatory neuropathies could, for the first time, demonstrate that DCE sequences allow investigating the perfusion of peripheral nerves by assessing parameters related to plasma volume and microvascular permeability (17). These parameters can be obtained from DCE MRN using the extended Tofts model, which allows calculating the constant of the examined nerve’s capillary permeability (Ktrans), the volume fraction of the plasma space (vp), and the volume fraction of the extracapillary extracellular space (ve) (18, 19). The aim of this study was to combine DCE imaging of nerves at thigh level in patients with T2D with hsTNT and proBNP assays, and demographic, clinical, and electrophysiological data in order to investigate potential associations between hsTNT and proBNP with parameters of nerve microcirculation in patients with T2D.

METHODS

Study Design and Participants

This study was approved by the ethics committee of Heidelberg University Hospital (HEIST-DiC, clinicaltrials.gov identifier NCT03022721, local ethics number S-383/2016) and all participants gave written informed consent. Overall exclusion criteria were age <18, pregnancy, an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60ml/min, any contraindications for MR imaging or administration of MRI contrast agents. Further reasons for exclusion were history of myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease, heart surgery, spine surgery, lumbar disc extrusion, risk factors for sarcopenia or neuropathy other than diabetes such as malignant diseases, alcoholism, hypovitaminosis, previous or ongoing exposure to neurotoxic agents, chronic neurological diseases such as Parkinson’s disease, restless legs syndrome, or multiple sclerosis. The sample size was based on the results of previous MRN studies on DN (12, 20) and 44 patients with T2D (17 women, 27 men) and 12 controls (7 women, 5 men) were enrolled in this prospective single-center study between June 2016 and March 2020 and underwent DCE MRN with subsequent clinical, electrophysiological, and serological assessments.

Clinical and Electrophysiological Examination

For every participant, a detailed medical history was taken. Electrophysiological examinations (VikingQuest; Viasys Healthcare GmbH, Höchberg, Germany) included an assessment of nerve conduction velocities (NCVs) of the tibial, peroneal, and sural nerve, distal motor latencies (DMLs) of the right tibial and peroneal nerve, compound muscle action potentials (CMAPs) of the tibial and peroneal nerve, and sensory nerve action potentials (SNAPs) of the sural nerve of the right leg. Skin temperature was kept at 32°C throughout the examination. Electrophysiological studies were conducted by two specially trained medical technical assistants with more than 6 years of experience in electrophysiological assessments on patients with diabetes. An examination of neuropathic symptoms was performed comprising the neuropathy disability score (NDS) and the neuropathy severity scale (NSS) (21). In line with Gibbon’s criteria for DN, patients with an NDS ≥ 3 were assigned to the DN group (22).
MRI Imaging Protocol
All participants underwent high-resolution MRN of the right thigh in a 3.0 Tesla MR-scanner (Magnetom Tim TRIO, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). A 15-channel transmit-receive extremity coil was used and the following sequences were applied:

1) axial high resolution T2-weighted turbo spin echo (TSE) 2D sequence with spectral fat suppression; repetition time (TR) = 5970 ms, echo time (TE) = 55 ms, field of view (FOV) = 160 × 160 mm², matrix size = 512 × 512, slice thickness = 4 mm, no interslice gap, voxel size = 0.3 × 0.3 × 4.0 mm³, 24 slices, 24 acquired images, total acquisition time = 4:42 min;

2) axial T1-weighted volume interpolated breathhold examination (VIBE) sequence; TR = 3.3 ms, TE = 1.11 ms, FOV = 160 × 160 mm², matrix size = 128 × 128, slice thickness = 4 mm, interslice gap = 0.8 mm, voxel size = 1.3 × 1.3 × 4.0 mm³; single acquisition at a flip-angel of 5°, 8°, 11°, 14°, 17° (24 slices = 144 acquired images), total acquisition time = 30s;

3) axial T1-weighted volume interpolated breathhold examination (VIBE) sequence; TR = 3.3 ms, TE = 1.11 ms, FOV = 160 × 160 mm², matrix size = 128 × 128, slice thickness = 4 mm, interslice gap = 0.8 mm, voxel size = 1.3 × 1.3 × 4.0 mm³ 50 repetitions (1200 acquired images) at a flip angle of 15°, contrast agent administration (Dotarem®, Guerbet, France, 0.1 mmol/kg, flow rate 3.5ml/s) after completion of the sixth repetition, total acquisition time = 4:09 min.

MRI Data Analysis and Statistical Analysis
All images were pseudonymized and observers were blinded to all clinical data. For each patient, we segmented the sciatic nerve manually on all images of the T2-weighted sequence with ImageJ (23). T2-weighted images were also co-registered to the T1 VIBE sequence with affine transformations using custom-written code in Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, MA, R2020b) (24). The schematic process of image segmentation and co-registration is shown in Figure 1. We manually determined the arterial input function (AIF) by segmenting a region of interest of the femoral artery on a representative imaging slice. The average signal intensity of all artery voxels for consecutive imaging time points was then used to obtain the signal intensity curve during contrast administration. The signal intensity baseline end was determined as the last imaging time point before signal intensity would increase by more than 25% above the averaged signal intensity of all preceding imaging time points. The resulting AIF was smoothed with a moving average filter of 3 images.

We determined the relaxation time $T_{1,0}$ for the first imaging time point subsequently for each voxel in dependence on flip angle $\alpha$ by assigning $T_{1,x}(\alpha) = SI_0/\tan(\alpha)$ and $T_{1,y}(\alpha) = SI_0/\sin(\alpha)$, where $SI_0$ represents the initial signal intensity. Subsequent linear regression on $T_{1,x}$ and $T_{1,y}$ yields the slope $m$ (25). Spin-lattice relaxation time $T_{1,0}$ follows as $T_{1,0} = - TR/\log(m)$, with repetition

The sequence was centered on the sciatic nerve bifurcation at distal thigh level in every participant.
**RESULTS**

**Demographic and Clinical Data**

This study comprised 44 patients with T2D (17 women, 27 men, mean age 66.14 ± 7.12) and 12 controls (7 women, 5 men mean age 61.58 ± 7.79). Between T2D patients and controls, there were no significant differences for age, gender, BMI, or glomerular filtration rate. In the T2D group, 26 patients suffered from DN. On electrophysiological examination, lower tibial and peroneal NCVs and CMAPs were found in T2D patients compared to controls. A summary and comparison of demographic and clinical data of patients with T2D and controls is provided in **Table 1**.

| Table 1 | Comparison of demographic, serologic, clinical, electrophysiological, and MRN imaging data of all study participants. |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| **T2D**                      | **Controls**                                         | **p**         |
| Ktrans (min⁻¹)               | 0.040 ± 0.011                                     | 0.035 ± 0.011 | 0.207³  |
| vp (%)                       | 4.74 ± 0.82                                      | 4.63 ± 0.56  | 0.995¹  |
| ve (%)                       | 3.28 ± 4.58                                      | 1.64 ± 1.71  | 0.118¹  |
| Age (years)                  | 66.14 ± 7.12                                     | 61.58 ± 7.79 | 0.076⁰  |
| Diabetes duration (years)    | 10.18 ± 9.53                                     | n.a.          |         |
| Gender (w/m)                 | 17 women/27 men                                  | 7 women/5 men |         |
| BMI (kg/m²)                  | 28.68 ± 4.11                                     | 27.47 ± 3.64 | 0.350⁰  |
| hsTNT (pg/mL)                | 9.93 ± 4.04                                      | 7.25 ± 2.81  | 0.032⁰  |
| proBNP (pg/mL)               | 115.30 ± 121.60                                  | 75.92 ± 52.20| 0.846⁰  |
| HbA1c %                      | 6.88 ± 1.23                                      | 5.88 ± 0.55  | <0.001⁰ |
| GFR (ml/min)                 | 87.55 ± 15.05                                    | 87.50 ± 13.88| 0.992⁰  |
| NDS                          | 3.56 ± 3.08                                      | 1.33 ± 1.44  | 0.026⁰  |
| NSS                          | 4.14 ± 3.43                                      | 2.33 ± 3.60  | 0.144⁰  |
| Sural nerve NCV (m/s)        | 45.13 ± 7.06                                     | 45.83 ± 4.82 | 0.756⁰  |
| Sural nerve SNAP (µV)        | 5.54 ± 5.20                                      | 7.89 ± 4.71  | 0.070⁰  |
| Peroneal NCV (m/s)           | 39.59 ± 5.40                                     | 45.08 ± 4.60 | 0.007⁰  |
| Peroneal CMAP (mV)           | 5.02 ± 4.01                                      | 8.88 ± 6.96  | 0.020⁰  |
| Peroneal DML (ms)            | 7.37 ± 13.13                                     | 3.94 ± 0.69  | 0.009⁰  |
| Tibial NCV (m/s)             | 40.81 ± 5.02                                     | 44.75 ± 4.00 | 0.017⁰  |
| Tibial CMAP (mV)             | 9.68 ± 6.41                                      | 16.58 ± 8.24 | 0.004⁰  |
| Tibial DML (ms)              | 5.00 ± 3.01                                      | 3.62 ± 0.53  | 0.014⁰  |

Group Comparisons of hsTNT and proBNP Levels for T2D Patients and Controls

Patients with T2D showed higher levels of hsTNT compared to controls (9.93 ± 0.04 pg/mL versus 7.25 ± 2.18 pg/mL, respectively, p=0.032), see **Table 1**. Also, ANOVA revealed that hsTNT was higher in T2D patients with DN compared to T2D patients without DN (11.67 ± 3.50 vs. 8.65 ± 4.05; p=0.015) and compared to controls (vs. 7.25 ± 2.18; p=0.002), no such differences were found for proBNP. In T2D patients, hsTNT correlated negatively with tibial and peroneal NCVs and with tibial CMAPs. A positive correlation was found between hsTNT and age. No such correlations were found for proBNP. A summary of all correlations of hsTNT and proBNP in T2D patients and controls is provided in **Table 2**, and correlations of hsTNT with tibial and peroneal NCVs are illustrated in Figures 2A, B.

**MRN Perfusion Parameters**

No significant differences were found for perfusion parameters Ktrans, vp, and ve between T2D patients and the control group. ANOVA found lower Ktrans values in patients with DN compared to T2D patients without DN (0.037 ± 0.010 vs. 0.044 ± 0.010; p=0.042) but not compared to controls (0.037 ± 0.010 vs. 0.035 ± 0.011; p=0.882). In patients with T2D and in controls, Ktrans was strongly correlated with ve (r=0.75; p<0.001, and r=0.76; p=0.007, respectively). A summary of all correlations of perfusion parameters in T2D patients is provided in **Table 3**.
Correlation of Perfusion Parameters With Demographic Data

In patients with T2D and controls, K\text{trans} correlated positively with the BMI. Another correlation was found between v_e and BMI in patients with T2D. Parameter v_p was negatively correlated with age, while no such correlation was found for K\text{trans} or v_e.

Correlation of Perfusion Parameters With Serological, Clinical, and Electrophysiological Data

In T2D patients, K\text{trans} was positively correlated with NCVs of tibial, peroneal, and sural nerves. Parameter v_e was positively correlated with tibial and peroneal NCVs and DMLs. Correlations of K\text{trans} with tibial and peroneal NCVs are illustrated in Figures 2C, D. K\text{trans} and v_e were negatively correlated with hsTNT (Figure 2E). In a partial correlation analysis, double-controlled for confounding variables age and BMI, correlations remained significant between K\text{trans} and hsTNT (r=-0.42; p=0.005) and between v_e and hsTNT (r=0.33; p=0.034). No such correlations were found for K\text{trans} and v_e with proBNP, HbA1c, or the glomerular filtration rate. No correlations were found for v_p with hsTNT or electrophysiological parameters. A detailed summary of all correlations of MRN perfusion parameters with clinical and electrophysiological data in T2D patients and controls is provided in Table 3. Using a modified classification score for diabetic neuropathy severity based on electrophysiological parameters as proposed in (33), we allocated a score of 1 to patients with a sural nerve SNAP amplitude ≥ 5 µV, and a score of 2, 3, and 4 to patients with a sural nerve SNAP amplitude < 5 µV and a tibial nerve CMAP amplitude ≥ 5 mV, ≥ 2 mV and ≤ 5 mV, and < 2 mV, respectively, where neuropathy severity increases with score value. We subsequently found significant negative correlations between neuropathy severity score value and K\text{trans} (r=-0.41; p=0.007), and v_e (r=-0.37; p=0.017), indicating that neuropathy severity is associated with reduced nerve perfusion in agreement with previous animal experimental studies, possibly due to the development of abnormal microvasculature and capillary dysfunction (34, 35).

DISCUSSION

This study used DCE 3T MRN to investigate potential associations of peripheral nerve perfusion with cardiac biomarkers hsTNT and proBNP in patients with T2D. The main findings were (i) in T2D patients, hsTNT was negatively correlated with K\text{trans} and v_e, while no such correlation was found for proBNP; (ii) in T2D, hsTNT, K\text{trans}, and v_e were correlated with electrophysiological parameters and an electrophysiology-based neuropathy severity score; and (iii) hsTNT was increased while K\text{trans} and v_e were decreased in DN patients compared to patients without DN.

The results of this study confirm the hypothesis that hsTNT codifies parameters of nerve perfusion in patients with T2D (9, 12, 36). Specifically, the correlation of hsTNT with K\text{trans} suggests that an increase in hsTNT is associated with a decrease in capillary permeability of peripheral nerves. The correlations of K\text{trans} with v_e and between hsTNT and v_e further indicate that a decrease in nerve capillary permeability accompanied by elevated hsTNT levels is associated with a reduction of the extracapillary extracellular volume (EEV) fraction, which may ultimately result in nerve ischemia and demyelination. This assumption is further
supported by the finding that hsTNT was negatively correlated with nerve conduction velocities of tibial and peroneal nerves, while $K_{\text{trans}}$, and $v_e$ were positively correlated with nerve conduction velocities. Since a decrease in nerve conduction velocity is generally assumed to represent myelin damage (37), these correlations indicate that a decrease in capillary permeability and EEV fraction result in demyelination. In addition, the finding that there were no correlations for $v_p$ with hsTNT or any of the acquired electrophysiological parameters further implies that there is no relevant impact of the capillary plasma volume fraction on structural nerve damage in the examined patients. The absence of correlations between proBNP and any of the acquired clinical and serological parameters in T2D patients is of importance for an understanding of the origin of elevated hsTNT levels in patients with T2D, meaning, that while there was a correlation of hsTNT and proBNP in healthy controls, no such correlation was found in the T2D group. This finding is of particular interest since it indicates that the well-established correlation of hsTNT and proBNP (38) does not apply in the T2D group. Since proBNP is an indicator for myocardial insufficiency, the lack of a correlation between hsTNT and proBNP indicates that elevated hsTNT levels in T2D patients and correlations of MRN perfusion parameters
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K should be considered, however, that negative correlations of and obesity-related changes of perfusion in peripheral nerves. It should be determined, how much hyperglycemia or other metabolic changes (39–41).

Instead, our results are in line with previous studies suggesting that correlations with age while BMI, therefore, the findings of this study only represent age- and obesity-related changes of perfusion in peripheral nerves. It should be considered, however, that negative correlations of Ktrans and ve with hsTNT remained significant in a partial correlation analysis which was controlled for both age and BMI as confounding variables.

One may of course argue, that hsTNT showed positive correlations with age while Ktrans showed positive correlations with BMI, therefore, the findings of this study only represent age- and obesity-related changes of perfusion in peripheral nerves. It should be considered, however, that negative correlations of Ktrans and ve with hsTNT remained significant in a partial correlation analysis which was controlled for both age and BMI as confounding variables.

This study only found differences in perfusion parameters Ktrans and ve between T2D patients with and without DN, while no such difference was found between controls and T2D patients with DN. This is in line with previous studies on animal models for diabetes that found an increased vascular permeability in nerves of diabetic rats without diabetic neuropathy, supposedly due to an increased permeability of the basement membrane in Schwann cells and a reduction of nerve permeability in rats with DN compared to rats without DN, supposedly due to microangiopathy (42, 43).

This study is limited by the fact that only patients without an impairment of renal function were included due to the administration of MRI contrast agent. Thus, we cannot draw conclusions on the impact of hsTNT levels on nerve perfusion in patients with impaired renal function, since hsTNT is usually elevated in those patients due to renal elimination. Another limitation is the cross-sectional nature of the study which does not allow any conclusions on the predictive value of hsTNT for the progression of DN. The study is further limited by the sample size of T2D patients and controls, which cannot rule out all potential confounders for the observed differences and correlations. It should be considered, however, that patients and controls were matched for age, BMI, and renal function to minimize confounding and that correlations between hsTNT and perfusion parameters remained stable in a double-controlled partial correlation analysis.

In summary, this study found correlations between hsTNT and parameters of nerve perfusion obtained from 3T DCE MRN. The results indicate that hsTNT codifies a decrease in capillary permeability of peripheral nerves which is associated with a decrease in extravascular extracellular volume that ultimately causes demyelination as a result of nerve ischemia. Further longitudinal studies on the predictive value of hsTNT for the progression of DN, including the effects of age and BMI on nerve perfusion, are warranted.
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