Solve Partial Differential Equations using the Banach Contraction Method and Improve Results Using the Trapezoidal Rule

Ahmed E. Ghitheeth
ahmed_entesar84@uomosul.edu.iq
Department of Mathematics
College of Computer Science and Mathematics
University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq

Hiba Sh. Mahmood
hiba.sh@uomosul.edu.iq
Department of Mathematics
College of Education for Pure Science
University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq

Received on: 04/03/2021
Accepted on: 04/04/2021

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the Banach contraction method (BCM) was hybridized with the base of the trapezoid (TR) to solve non-linear partial differential equations. The results obtained from (BCM) were improved by (TR). The results obtained from (BCM - TR) proved the efficiency of this method compared to the default method (BCM). This was done by calculating the mean square error (MSE) and estimating the absolute maximum error (MAE).
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1. Introduction

Partial differential equations have many important applications in various disciplines (science and engineering) and others. There are many ways to solve this type of equations, numerical or analytical methods, such as the analytical Adomian method [1], the variable iteration method [2], the residual power chain method [3] and there are many other methods, and these methods have proven high efficiency in solving these equations and reach good results.
The fixed point theory is called Banach (the principle of contraction maps) and it is one of the important and fundamental methods in the metric area theory because it means the presence and uniqueness between the fixed points of some original maps of the metric area, and the name of this theory was derived from Stefan Banach [1892-1945] and was first mentioned in 1922 [4]. The BCM method is a development of the Picard method and it is easy to implement, which distinguishes it from other iterative method. The BCM method is applied in solving some important problems in engineering and physics.

Numerical analysis was used in solving differential equations, and in this paper, the trapezoid rule was used, which is one of the ancient and well-known numerical methods for approximating the specific integral, representing the mean left and right Riemann sum as the best approximation by dividing the integration period, is more precisely approximated by dividing the integral into multiple periods, after which this rule is applied to each period, and then we summation the results [5]. We will solve the partial equation whose general form is:
\[ L_z(z(x,t)) + L_x(z(x,t)) + Rz(x,t) + Nz(x,t) = s(x,t) \] ... (1)
with the initial conditions
\[ z(x,0) = H(x,t), z_t(x,0) = \rho(x,t) \] ... (2)
Where as \( L_t = \frac{dz}{dt} \) or \( L_x = \frac{dz}{dx} \) is a highest rank difference in \( t \), \( L_x \) is a highest rank difference in \( x \), \( R \) is the differential factor and consists of derivatives of a lesser order, \( N \) is a nonlinear analytical term and \( s(x,t) \) is the defined heterogeneous term [6].

The research is organized as follows: definitions and theorems in section 2, basic rules for (BCM) are mentioned in section 3, the proposed technique of (BCM-TR) is mentioned in section 4, applications and results are mentioned in section 5, conclusions are mentioned in section 6.

2. Basic Concepts

Some fundamental meanings and concepts relevant to the research topic will be discussed in this section.

2.1 Let \((B,d)\) It must be a metric space then a mapping \( T: B \rightarrow B \) is said to be Lipschitz if a positive real number exists \( \geq 0 \), as a result \( \forall b, h \in B \) implies \( d(T_b, T_h) \leq kd(b, h) \)

Furthermore if \( k < 1 \), then \( T \) is called a contraction mapping on \( B \) [7].

2.2 Theorem of Banach Fixed Points:

Let’s \((B,d)\) be such a complete metric space, where \( B \neq \emptyset \) also \( T: B \rightarrow B \) be a contraction on \( B \), after this \( T \) does have a unique fixed-point \( b^* \in B \) if \( T(b^*) = b^* \)

Theorem 2.3:[8]

Let \((B,d)\) It must be a complete metric space & let \( T: B \rightarrow B \) be a mapping such that \( T^k \) is a contraction mapping of \( B \) for most any real positive number \( k \). Then \( T \) has a unique fixed point in \( B \).

2.4 Banach Contraction Principle [9].

let \((B,d)\) is complete metric space and \( T: B \rightarrow B \) is a contraction mapping with Lipschitz constant \( k \), that \( T \) has a unique fixed point \( b_0 \) in \( B \) as well as each \( b \in B \). We've
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\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n(b) = b_0 \] and Furthermore, for each \( b \in B \), We've got \( d(T^n(b), b_0) \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(T(b), b) \)

2.5 The Maximum Absolute Error (MAE) [10]:

It is defined as the greatest absolute value of the difference between an exact solution and an approximate solution as follows:

\[ \|z_{\text{Exact}}(t) - \Phi_q(t)\|_\infty = \max_{a \leq x \leq b} \{|z_{\text{Exact}}(t) - \Phi_q(t)|\} \] ... (3)

2.6 Mean Square Error (MSE):

It mean square of error is calculated as the sum of the square of the difference between the exact solution \( E(t, \tau) \) and the approximate solutions \( \Phi(t, \tau) \) divided by the number of points used \( M \) and as in the following formula:

\[ MSE = \frac{\sum_{\tau=1}^{M} (E(t, \tau) - \Phi(t, \tau))^2}{M} \] ... (4)

Where \( \tau \) are vectors since \( \tau = 1, 2, \ldots \)

3. Banach Contraction Method (BCM)

Recognize the functional nonlinear equation.

\[ z(\xi) = s(\xi) + N(z(\xi)) \] ... (5)

We define the successive approximations as follows

\[ z_0 = s \]
\[ z_1 = z_0 + N(z_0) \]
\[ z_2 = z_0 + N(z_1) \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ z_I = z_0 + N(z_{I-1}), \quad I = 1, 2, \ldots, \] ... (6)

the solution of (3) We get it by

\[ z = \lim_{I \to \infty} z_I \] ... (7)

4. The Proposed Technique BCM-TR

By adding the operator \( L_t^{-1} \) which is the inverse of \( L_t \) to equation (1) and (2), we get

\[ z(x, t) = f(x, t) + L_t^{-1}(L_x z - Rz - Nz) \] ... (8)

We obtain the following successive approximations:

\[ z_0 = f(x, t) \]
\[ z_1 = z_0 + L_t^{-1}(L_x z_0 - Rz_0 - Nz_0) \]
\[ z_2 = z_0 + L_t^{-1}(L_x z_1 - Rz_1 - Nz_1) \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ z_I = z_0 + L_t^{-1}(L_x z_{I-1} - Rz_{I-1} - Nz_{I-1}), \quad I = 1, 2, \ldots \] ... (9)

Now, Banach's contraction method has been linked to the trapezoidal base by using the series (9) when \( I = 3 \) and considering it an elementary solution and then solving the example again with the base of a trapezoid and obtaining numerical results.

5. Illustrative Examples

In this section, three different models of non-linear homogeneous and heterogeneous partial differential equations were solved using the method (BCM-TR), and we obtain numerical results by using Maple.
Example 1 [11]:

Let us use the following Burgers’ nonlinear partial problem of the first order:
\[ z_t(x, t) + z(x, t)z_x(x, t) = z_{xx}(x, t) \]  
with condition \( z(x, 0) = 2x \)

The exact solution is \( z_{Exact}(x, t) = \frac{2x}{1+2t} \).

Following the technique mentioned in Part 4, the following iterations are done:

- \( z_0 = 2x \)
- \( z_1 = 2x - 4xt \)
- \( z_2 = 2x - 4xt + 8xt^2 - \frac{16}{3}xt^3 \)
- \( z_3 = 2x - 4xt + 8xt^2 - 16xt^3 + \frac{64}{3}xt^4 - \frac{64}{3}xt^5 - \frac{128}{9}xt^6 - \frac{256}{63}xt^7 \)  

Finally, take the series (11) and solve it using the trapezoid rule.

| Table 1: Compare both (BCM) and (BCM_TR) when \( t = 0.5 \) for Example 1 |
|---|---|---|
| Error Scale | (BCM) | (BCM_TR) |
| MAE | 0.14285 | 0.00831 |
| MSE | 0.00714 | 2.41847E-05 |

Example 2[12]:

Let us have the non-linear partial advection problem of the following first order:
\[ z_t(x, t) + z(x, t)z_x(x, t) = 0 \]  
where condition \( z(x, 0) = -x \)

The exact solution is \( z_{Exact}(x, t) = \frac{x}{t-1} \).

Following the technique mentioned in Part 4, the following iterations are done:

- \( z_0 = -x \)
- \( z_1 = -x - xt \)
- \( z_2 = -x - xt - xt^2 - \frac{1}{3}xt^3 \)
- \( z_3 = -x - xt - xt^2 - xt^3 - \frac{2}{3}xt^4 - \frac{1}{3}xt^5 - \frac{1}{3}xt^6 - \frac{1}{63}xt^7 \)  

Finally, take the series (13) and solve it using the trapezoid rule.
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Table 2: Compare both (BCM) and (BCM_TR) when \( t = 0.6 \) for Example.2

| Error Scale | (BCM) | (BCM_TR) |
|-------------|-------|----------|
| MAE         | 0.20605 | 0.01094  |
| MSE         | 0.01486 | 4.19525 E-05 |

Example 3 [13]:

Let us use the following Second-order non-linear partial problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
z_{tt}(x,t) - z(x,t)z_{xx}(x,t) &= 1 - 0.5 \, t^2 + 0.5x^2 \\
\text{with conditions} \\
z(x,0) &= 0.5x^2 \\
z_t(x,0) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

The exact solution is \( z_{Exact}(x,t) = 0.5 \, t^2 + 0.5x^2 \).

Following the technique mentioned in Part 4, the following iterations are done:

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_0 &= 0.5x^2 + 0.041666t^4 - 0.5(1 + 0.5x^2)t^2 \\
\psi_1 &= 0.5x^2 - 0.041666x^2t^4 + 0.5(1 + 0.5x^2)t^2 + 0.25x^2t^2 + 0.3103e^{-30t^4} + 0.009722t^6 - 0.000372t^8 + 0.0041666x^2t^6 \\
\psi_2 &= 0.5x^2 - 0.5(1 + 0.5x^2)t^2 + 0.12917e^{-10t^16} + 0.61549e^{-6t^{14}} + 0.19078e^{-6x^2t^{14}} - 0.61377e^{-5t^{12}} - 0.52609e^{-5x^2t^{12}} - 0.50429e^{-5t^{10}} + 0.39580e^{-4x^2t^{16}} + \ldots \\
\psi_3 &= 0.5x^2 - 0.5(1 + 0.5x^2)t^2 + 0.25x^2t^2 + 0.17857e^{-33t^8} + 0.26979e^{-7x^2t^{14}} + 0.58454e^{-6x^2t^{12}} + 0.33061e^{-5x^2t^{10}} - 0.99206e^{-4x^2t^8} + 0.32430e^{-8t^{16}} - 0.24570e^{-6t^{14}} + \ldots 
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, take the series (15) and solve it using the trapezoid rule.

Table 3: Compare both (BCM) and (BCM_TR) when \( t = 0.25 \) for Example.3

| Error Scale | (BCM) | (BCM_TR) |
|-------------|-------|----------|
| MAE         | 0.062500 | 0.014574  |
| MSE         | 0.003906 | 7.64184 E-05 |

73
6. Conclusions

In this paper, first and second-order partial differential equations are solved using (BCM-TR) method. We obtained good numerical results compared to the hypothetical method by computing the mean square error (MSE) and maximum absolute error (MAE) as shown in Tables (1, 2, 3). The drawing also shows the efficiency and accuracy of this method.
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