Combined Anti-Adipogenic Effects of Hispidulin and \( p \)-Synephrine on 3T3-L1 Adipocytes
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Abstract: Hispidulin is abundant in Arrabidaea chica, Crossostephium chinense, and Grindelia argentina, among others. \( p \)-Synephrine is the main phytochemical constituent of Citrus aurantium. It has been used in combination with various other phytochemicals to determine synergistic effects in studies involving human participants. However, there have been no reports comparing the anti-adipogenic effects of the combination of hispidulin and \( p \)-synephrine. The current study explores the anti-adipogenic effects of hispidulin alone and in combination with \( p \)-synephrine in a murine preadipocyte cell line, 3T3-L1. Co-treatment resulted in a greater inhibition of the formation of red-labeled lipid droplets than the hispidulin or \( p \)-synephrine-alone treatments. Co-treatment with hispidulin and \( p \)-synephrine also significantly inhibited adipogenic marker proteins, including Akt, mitogen-activated protein kinases, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha, glucocorticoid receptor, and CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein \( \beta \). Although further studies are required to assess the effects of each drug on pharmacokinetic parameters, a combination treatment with hispidulin and \( p \)-synephrine may be a potential alternative strategy for developing novel anti-obesity drugs.
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1. Introduction

Adipogenesis is a process by which preadipocytes differentiate into mature adipocytes [1]. In the development of obesity, an increased adipose tissue size results in an increased adipocyte cell size (adipocyte hypertrophy) and adipocyte cell number (adipocyte hyperplasia) [2]. These mechanisms are implicated in childhood obesity and obesity-related metabolic disturbances [3]. In particular, adipocyte hypertrophy is implicated as the main cause of adult-onset obesity [4], whereas adipocyte hyperplasia in adults occurs when existing adipocytes reach a critical size [5].

Phentermine, diethylpropion, phendimetrazine, and mazindol are drugs used to treat obesity by suppressing the appetite and increasing energy expenditure through the regulation of norepinephrine and dopamine metabolism [6–9]. In addition, Qsymia\(\text{®}\), an FDA-approved combination drug of phentermine and topiramate, demonstrates the additive and synergistic effects of the individual components, which have different mechanisms of action to treat obesity [10]. However, the use of these drugs is limited due to critical side effects, such as dizziness, dry mouth, anxiety, insomnia, and increased blood pressure [11]. For these reasons, several studies have attempted to find safe phytochemicals...
from natural products to develop novel drugs or dietary supplements for weight loss [12]. For instance, curcumin, a major component of *Curcuma longa* (roots), and capsaicin, an alkaloid from *Capsicum annuum* (fruits), showed anti-obesity effects by suppressing 3T3-L1 adipocyte differentiation [13,14]. In addition, research on various natural resources, such as marine organisms and microorganisms, is being actively conducted to develop therapeutics for obesity [15,16]. As such, the development of alternative obesity drugs from natural products has attracted considerable interest.

*p*-Synephrine is the main phytochemical constituent of *Citrus aurantium* (fruits). It is a protoalkaloid, and its chemical structure is similar to ephedrine [17]. The use of ephedrine for weight loss has been banned in some countries, mainly due to adverse effects on the cardiovascular system. Thus, *p*-syneprine has been used to replace ephedrine in dietary supplements [17,18]. Studies have demonstrated the low or negligible toxicity of *p*-synephrine in both in vitro and in vivo experimental models [19–22]. A study involving human participants showed that the combination of *p*-synephrine with two flavonoids (hesperidin and naringin) increased the thermogenic effects associated with weight loss [23]. In this study, we attempt to identify a flavonoid that exhibits improved effects over other flavonoids (hesperidin and naringin) when treated with *p*-synephrine.

Hispidulin is a flavonoid derived from plants, including *Arrabidaea chica* (leaves), *Crossostephium chinense* (whole plants), *Grindelia argentina* (aerial parts), and *Cirsium japonicum* (whole plants) [24]. It has been reported to exhibit various pharmacological effects, such as anti-fungal, neuroprotective, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer, and anti-osteoporotic effects [25–30]. Accumulated results demonstrate that hispidulin shows low or negligible toxicity in both in vitro and in vivo experimental models [31–33]. Recently, an in vitro study indicated that *p*-syneprine and hispidulin suppressed adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 adipocytes [34,35]. The agonists of the gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor (GABA<sub>A</sub>-R) expressed in adipose tissues have been shown to be valuable in the treatment of obesity [36]. Hispidulin is known to act as a positive allosteric modulator of the α1,3,5,6β2γ2S GABA<sub>A</sub>-R subtype [37]. One pharmacokinetic study reported a maximum plasma concentration of 2 ng/mL after an oral administration of 46.9 mg *p*-syneprine in humans [38]. Another pharmacokinetic study using a rat model showed a maximum hispidulin plasma concentration of 32 ng/mL after an oral administration of 6 mL/kg *Cirsium japonicum* extract [39]. Both studies suggest that high concentrations of individual compounds cannot be reached in the body by consuming plant-based foods or pure chemical drug substances. A strategy to address this problem is to combine several phytochemical constituents from various plants. In particular, we focused on the common and differing mechanisms of action of *p*-syneprine and hispidulin.

Network pharmacology has developed rapidly based on the concepts of systems biology and polypharmacology. The concept of “multi-drugs–multi-targets” has expanded in terms of existing drug discovery, in which the concept of a “single drug–single target” was previously predominant [40]. In particular, network pharmacology facilitates the prediction of active ingredients and mechanisms of action of natural products composed of various components [41]. A number of recent studies have used network pharmacology to investigate the mechanisms of action of compounds from natural products. For instance, Zhang et al. isolated oxyepiberberine from *Coptis chinensis* (rhizomes) and applied a network pharmacology analysis to identify the mechanism underlying its anti-cancer potential [42]. Cui et al. utilized a network pharmacology approach to understand the anti-inflammatory mechanism of phytochemicals from *Salvia miltiorrhiza* (roots) [43]. As such, network pharmacology plays an important role in overcoming the limitations of studies on conventional natural products by offering a new approach to predict the active ingredients, potential targets, and mechanisms of action.

In this study, we used a network pharmacology-based approach to predict potential targets and mechanisms of action of the anti-obesity effects of *p*-syneprine and hispidulin. We experimentally assessed the anti-obesity effects of *p*-syneprine and hispidulin when
used alone and in combination to confirm their additive and synergistic effects when used in combination in 3T3-L1 cells.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Network Pharmacology Analysis
2.1.1. Acquisition of Hispidulin, p-Synephrine, and Disease-Related Targets
   All the targets of hispidulin and p-synephrine were obtained from the PubChem database (http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ (accessed on 19 August 2021)) and SwissTargetPrediction database (http://www.swisstargetprediction.ch/ (accessed on 19 August 2021)) [44]. The SMILES of compounds was obtained from the PubChem database and entered into the SwissTargetPrediction database to obtain the predicted targets. In addition, the GeneCards database (http://www.genecards.org/ (accessed on 19 August 2021)) [45] was used to detect the pathological targets of obesity.

2.1.2. Acquisition of Potential Targets
   First, duplicates and false-positive targets of the compounds were removed; second, common targets were obtained by comparing with obesity-related targets. These common targets were selected as potential targets. Potential targets were visualized with a Venn diagram using Venny 2.1 (BioinfoGP, Spanish National Biotechnology Centre (CNB-CSIC), Madrid, Sapin) (http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html (accessed on 19 August 2021)) [46]. The DisGeNET database (http://disgenet.org/home/ (accessed on 19 August 2021)) [47] was used to retrieve specific protein class information of potential targets.

2.1.3. Construction and Analysis of Protein–Protein Interaction (PPI) Network
   The STRING database (http://string-db.org/ (accessed on 19 August 2021)) [48] was used to obtain PPI networks. Protein interactions with a confidence score $\geq 0.7$ were selected in the designed setting after eliminating duplicates. The resultant data were introduced into Cytoscape (3.8.2) (National Resource for Network Biology (NRNB), Bethesda, MD, USA) to establish the PPI network of potential targets. The PPI network of the potential targets was analyzed using Cytoscape. Three parameters, “degree”, “betweenness centrality”, and “closeness centrality”, were used to assess topological features of nodes in the network. Based on the network analysis, targets within the cut-off values were selected as key targets.

2.1.4. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Pathway Enrichment Analysis
   KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of the key targets was performed using the DAVID Bioinformatics Resources 6.8 database (http://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp (accessed on 19 August 2021)) [49]. The false discovery rate (FDR) error control method (FDR < 0.05) was used to correct the $p$-value. Finally, a threshold value of $p < 0.05$ was set and signaling pathways were obtained. The KEGG pathway enrichment analysis results were visualized using ImageGP (EH BIO Gene Technology, Beijing, China) (http://www.ehbio.com/ImageGP (accessed on 19 August 2021)).

2.1.5. Construction and Analysis of Compound–Target–Pathway (C–T–P) Networks
   The targets associated with this pathway were obtained from the KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. Cytoscape (3.8.2) (NRNB, Bethesda, MD, USA) was used to visualize and analyze the C–T–P network.

2.2. Cell Culture and Adipogenic Differentiation
   The mouse preadipocyte cell line (3T3-L1) was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Cellgro, Manassas, VA, USA) containing 10% bovine calf serum (BCS; Gaithersburg, MD, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin antibiotics (P/S; Gaithersburg, MD, USA). To induce adipogenesis, 3T3-L1 preadipocytes ($4 \times 10^4$ cells/well)
were grown in a 24-well plate for 2 days, and then the culture medium was replaced with the adipogenic differentiation medium containing 0.4 µg/mL dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gaithersburg, MD, USA), 1-methyl-3-isobutylxanthine (IBMX; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 1% P/S antibiotics, and 5 µg/mL insulin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). After incubation for 2 days, the culture medium was replaced with DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 5 µg/mL insulin, and 1% P/S antibiotics every 2 days. Finally, the culture medium was replaced with DMEM containing 10% FBS and 1% P/S antibiotics, which was changed every 2 days, as previously described [50]. Hispidulin (5, 10, 20, and 40 µM) and p-synephrine (5, 10, 20, and 40 µM) were added individually or in combination in the culture medium during adipogenic differentiation. Hispidulin (≥98%) and p-synephrine (≥98%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.3. Measurement of Cell Viability

The viability of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes was assessed using a tetrazolium salt (WST-1)-based colorimetric assay kit (Ez-Cytox Cell Viability Assay Kit; Daeil Lab Service, Seoul, Korea). The 3T3-L1 preadipocytes (4 × 10^4 cells/well, 96-well plate) were grown in 10% BCS and 1% P/S antibiotics for 24 h, and then treated with hispidulin (5, 10, 20, and 40 µM) and p-synephrine (5, 10, 20, and 40 µM) individually or in combination. After treatment for 24 h, EZ-Cytox reagent was added, and the 3T3-L1 preadipocytes were further incubated for 40 min. The spectrophotometric absorbance was measured using a PowerWave XS microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA) at 490 nm, as previously described [51].

2.4. Oil Red O Staining

On day 8, differentiated cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 1 h and stained with Oil Red O solution containing 0.5% Oil Red O (ORO; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 40% distilled water (DW), and 60% isopropanol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 1 h. After washing with DW, lipid droplets stained with ORO were imaged under an inverted microscope at 20× magnification and eluted with 100% isopropanol. The spectrophotometric absorbance was measured on a PowerWave XS microplate reader at 540 nm, as previously described [52].

2.5. Western Blot Analysis

On day 8 of cell differentiation, whole cell protein lysates from differentiated cells were prepared, resolved by 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Target proteins, including phospho-protein kinase B (P-Akt), Akt, phospho-extracellular signal-regulated kinase (P-ERK), ERK, phospho-c-Jun N-terminal kinase (P-JNK), JNK, phospho-P38 (P-P38), P38, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR-γ), C/EBP-alpha, C/EBP-beta, glucocorticoid receptor (GR), and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), were detected using primary antibodies and horseradish peroxidase-labeled anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). Target proteins were visualized using ECL Plus Western blotting detection reagents (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA). Protein levels were determined densitometrically using a chemiluminescence system (FUSION Solo, PEQLAB Biotechnologie GmbH, Erlangen, Germany), as previously described [53].

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Statistical significance was determined using one-way analysis of variance and multiple comparisons with Bonferroni correction. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. All analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics ver. 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
3. Results

3.1. Network Pharmacology Analysis

3.1.1. Target Prediction and Screening of Potential Targets

The SwissTargetPrediction database was used to predict the targets of hispidulin and p-synephrine. In data preprocessing, 103 and 32 verified targets of hispidulin and p-synephrine, respectively, were screened. In addition, 9489 obesity-related targets were acquired from the GeneCards database, and the relevance score was used as a cut-off value. Based on the relevance score, 1897 obesity-related targets belonging to the top 20% were used for the analysis. As shown in Figure 1, the predicted targets of hispidulin and p-synephrine shared 53 and 23 targets, respectively, with obesity-related targets. Thus, these targets were selected as potential targets (Tables 1 and 2).

Figure 1. Venn diagrams of predicted targets of compounds and obesity-related targets. (A) Venn diagram of hispidulin-predicted targets and obesity-related targets. (B) Chemical structure of hispidulin. (C) Venn diagram of p-synephrine-predicted targets and obesity-related targets. (D) Chemical structure of p-synephrine.
| No. | Uniprot ID | Gene | Relevance Score | Target | Protein Class |
|-----|------------|------|----------------|--------|---------------|
| 1   | P18031     | PTPN1| 12.186         | protein tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor type 1 | -   |
| 2   | P31749     | AKT1 | 11.022         | AKT serine/threonine kinase 1 | Kinase |
| 3   | P14679     | TYR  | 10.667         | tyrosinase | Enzyme |
| 4   | P03372     | ESR1 | 10.026         | estrogen receptor 1 | Nuclear receptor |
| 5   | P11511     | CYP19A1| 9.307       | cytochrome P450 family 19 subfamily A member 1 | Enzyme |
| 6   | P14416     | DRD2 | 8.109          | dopamine receptor D2 | G-protein coupled receptor |
| 7   | P08069     | IGF1R| 7.564          | insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor | Enzyme |
| 8   | P00734     | F2   | 6.902          | coagulation factor II, thrombin | Enzyme |
| 9   | P14780     | MMP9 | 6.393          | matrix metalloproteinase 9 | Enzyme |
| 10  | P10275     | AR   | 5.830          | androgen receptor | Nuclear receptor |
| 11  | P21397     | MAOA | 5.226          | monoamine oxidase A | - |
| 12  | P09917     | ALOX5| 5.000          | arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase | Enzyme |
| 13  | O14746     | TEKT | 4.864          | telomerase reverse transcriptase | Enzyme |
| 14  | P05177     | CYP1A2| 4.092         | cytochrome P450 family 1 subfamily A member 2 | Enzyme |
| 15  | P12931     | SRC  | 4.045          | SRC proto-oncogene, non-receptor tyrosine kinase | Kinase |
| 16  | P11474     | ESRRA| 3.994         | estrogen-related receptor alpha | Nuclear receptor |
| 17  | P08253     | MMP2 | 3.992          | matrix metalloproteinase 2 | Enzyme |
| 18  | P30542     | ADORA1| 3.818        | adenosine A1 receptor | G-protein coupled receptor |
| 19  | P15121     | AKR1B1| 3.658         | aldol-keto reductase family 1 member B | Enzyme |
| 20  | P00533     | EGFR | 3.653          | epidermal growth factor receptor | Kinase |
| 21  | P14061     | HSD17B1| 3.553        | hydroxysteroid 17-beta dehydrogenase 1 | Enzyme |
| 22  | P35869     | AHR  | 3.423          | aryl hydrocarbon receptor | Transcription factor |
| 23  | P35372     | OPRM1| 3.300          | opioid receptor mu 1 | G-protein coupled receptor |
| 24  | P35228     | NOS2 | 3.298          | nitric oxide synthase 2 | - |
| 25  | P35354     | PTGS2| 3.209          | prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 | Enzyme |
| 26  | P08581     | MET  | 3.161          | MET proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase | Kinase |
| 27  | Q92731     | ESR2 | 3.099          | estrogen receptor 2 | Nuclear receptor |
| 28  | P48736     | PFK3CG| 2.973        | phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit gamma | Kinase |
| 29  | P51955     | NEK2 | 2.886          | NIMA related kinase 2 | Kinase |
| 30  | P22748     | CA4  | 2.821          | carbonic anhydrase 4 | - |
| 31  | Q9UNQ0     | ABCG2| 2.640          | ATP binding cassette subfamily G member 2 (juvenile blood group) | Transporter |
| 32  | P05089     | ARG1 | 2.514          | arginase 1 | Enzyme |
| 33  | P01813     | ABCB1| 2.514          | ATP binding cassette subfamily B member 1 | Transporter |
| 34  | P49841     | GSK3B| 2.512          | glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta | Kinase |
| 35  | P22303     | ACHE | 2.449          | acetylcholinesterase (Cartwright blood group) | Enzyme |
| 36  | O95271     | TNKS | 2.335          | tankyrase | - |
| 37  | P04798     | CYP1A1| 2.319         | cytochrome P450 family 1 subfamily A member 1 | Enzyme |
| 38  | P02766     | TTR  | 2.317          | transthyretin | Transporter |
| 39  | P35968     | KDR  | 2.128          | kinase insert domain receptor | Kinase |
| 40  | P05067     | APP  | 2.119          | amyloid beta precursor protein | Enzyme modulator |
| 41  | P14555     | PLA2G2A| 2.057        | phospholipase A2 group II A | Enzyme |
| No. | Uniprot ID | Gene | Relevance Score | Target | Protein Class |
|-----|------------|------|----------------|--------|---------------|
| 42  | Q16875     | PFKFB3 | 1.902          | 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-biphosphatase 3 | Kinase |
| 43  | P47989     | XDH   | 1.866          | xanthine dehydrogenase | Enzyme |
| 44  | P04745     | AMY1A | 1.716          | amylase alpha 1A | - |
| 45  | P39900     | MMP12 | 1.685          | matrix metallopeptidase 12 | Enzyme |
| 46  | P27238     | MAOB  | 1.649          | monoamine oxidase B | - |
| 47  | Q00534     | CDK6  | 1.574          | cyclin dependent kinase 6 | Kinase |
| 48  | P36888     | FLT3  | 1.567          | fms-related receptor tyrosine kinase 3 | Kinase |
| 49  | Q96537     | SLC22A12 | 1.554 | solute carrier family 22 member 12 | Transporter |
| 50  | Q16790     | CA9   | 1.553          | carbonic anhydrase 9 | - |
| 51  | P16050     | ALOX15| 1.520          | arachidonate 15-lipoxygenase | Enzyme |
| 52  | P30530     | AXL   | 1.459          | AXL receptor tyrosine kinase | Kinase |
| 53  | P09918     | CA2   | 1.444          | carbonic anhydrase 2 | - |

| No. | Uniprot ID | Gene | Relevance Score | Target | Protein Class |
|-----|------------|------|----------------|--------|---------------|
| 1   | P18031     | PTPN1 | 12.186         | protein tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor type 1 | - |
| 2   | P31749     | AKT1  | 11.022         | AKT serine/threonine kinase 1 | Kinase |
| 3   | P14679     | TYR   | 10.667         | tyrosinase | Enzyme |
| 4   | P03372     | ESR1  | 10.026         | estrogen receptor 1 | Nuclear receptor |
| 5   | P11511     | CYP19A1 | 9.307 | cytochrome P450 family 19 subfamily A member 1 | Enzyme |
| 6   | P14416     | DRD2  | 8.109          | dopamine receptor D2 | G-protein coupled receptor |
| 7   | P08069     | IGF1R | 7.564          | insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor | Kinase |
| 8   | P00734     | F2    | 6.902          | coagulation factor II, thrombin | Enzyme |
| 9   | P14780     | MMP9  | 6.393          | matrix metallopeptidase 9 | Enzyme |
| 10  | P10275     | AR    | 5.830          | androgen receptor | Nuclear receptor |
| 11  | P21397     | MAOA  | 5.226          | monoamine oxidase A | - |
| 12  | P09917     | ALOX5 | 5.000          | arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase | Enzyme |
| 13  | O14746     | TERT  | 4.864          | telomerase reverse transcriptase | Enzyme |
| 14  | P05177     | CYP1A2 | 4.092 | cytochrome P450 family 1 subfamily A member 2 | Enzyme |
| 15  | P12931     | SRC   | 4.045          | SRC proto-oncogene, non-receptor tyrosine kinase | Kinase |
| 16  | P11474     | ESRRB | 3.994          | estrogen-related receptor alpha | Nuclear receptor |
| 17  | P08253     | MMP2  | 3.992          | matrix metallopeptidase 2 | Enzyme |
| 18  | P30542     | ADORA1 | 3.818 | adenosine A1 receptor | G-protein coupled receptor |
| 19  | P15121     | AKR1B1 | 3.658 | aldo-keto reductase family 1 member B | Enzyme |
| 20  | P00333     | EGFR  | 3.653          | epidermal growth factor receptor | Kinase |
| 21  | P14061     | HSD17B1 | 3.553 | hydroxysteroid 17-beta dehydrogenase 1 | Enzyme |
| 22  | P35869     | AHR   | 3.423          | aryl hydrocarbon receptor | Transcription factor |
| 23  | P35372     | OPRM1 | 3.300          | opioid receptor mu 1 | G-protein coupled receptor |
3.1.2. Construction of PPI Network

To further explore the interaction between the potential targets, 53 hispidulin anti-obesity potential targets and 23 p-synephrine anti-obesity potential targets were put into the STRING database. The PPI networks were placed in the Cytoscape software for a visualization and analysis (Figures 2 and 3). The three parameters, (1) degree, (2) betweenness centrality, and (3) closeness centrality, were applied to analyze the PPI networks. These three parameters indicate the importance and influence of the node in a complex network. The degree (degree centrality) is defined as the number of connections owned by a node [54]. Thus, it is the most straightforward and most intuitive indicator of the importance of a node in the network. The betweenness centrality measures the extent to which a node plays a bridging role in a network. Precisely, it measures the node falls on the shortest path between other pairs of nodes in the network [55]. The closeness centrality is related to the distance between nodes. It is calculated as the average of the shortest path length from the node to every other node in the network [56]. The nodes in the networks represent the target genes, and the edges symbolize the connections between target genes. The size and color of a node indicates the intensity of the degree. Thus, the higher the degree of the target, the larger the node, and the color gradually deepens from yellow to red. The width of the edge designates the grade of the correlation between the targets; the larger the combined score, the higher the binding degree between targets and the thicker the edge. As shown in Figure 2A, the PPI network of hispidulin anti-obesity potential targets consisted of 44 nodes and 90 edges. To identify the key targets among the 44 potential targets, three analytical index cut-off values were applied—degree $\geq 4$, betweenness centrality $\geq 0.002$, and closeness centrality $\geq 0.4$, and a total of 15 targets was identified that satisfied the cut-off values. According to the PPI network analysis of hispidulin anti-obesity targets (Figure 2B), SRC (proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase Src), EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor), and AKT1 (AKT serine/threonine kinase 1) were the top three genes based on the degree (Table 3). The network visualization and analysis were also performed for the 23 p-synephrine anti-obesity potential targets. Potential PPI network targets constructed had 16 nodes and 26 edges (Figure 3). As shown in Figure 3, the PPI network of p-synephrine anti-obesity potential targets formed two clusters. One of the two clusters was an adrenergic receptor cluster and the other was a dopamine-serotonin receptor cluster. All targets in the two clusters were selected as key targets. The topological analysis results of the p-synephrine anti-obesity key targets are listed in Table 4.

3.1.3. KEGG Pathway Enrichment Analysis

The DAVID database was used to identify signaling pathways associated with the key targets of hispidulin and p-synephrine. The results of the biological pathways are shown in Figure 4. As shown in Figure 4A, the key anti-obesity targets of hispidulin were primarily related to estrogen, prolactin, CEGF, and Rap1 signaling pathways. In particular, the estrogen signaling pathway exhibited the highest $p$-value. For p-synephrine, two pathways, the calcium signaling pathway and the cAMP signaling pathway, showed very high $p$-values.
Table 4. Hispidulin anti-obesity key targets identified based on PPI network topological analysis.

| No. | Uniprot ID | Gene   | Degree | Betweenness Centrality | Closeness Centrality |
|-----|------------|--------|--------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1   | P14416     | DRD2   | 5      | 0.256                  | 0.647                |
| 2   | P23975     | SLC6A3 | 5      | 0.211                  | 0.611                |
| 3   | P31645     | SLC6A4 | 5      | 0.120                  | 0.611                |
| 4   | P46098     | HTR3A  | 5      | 0.159                  | 0.611                |
| 5   | Q05586     | GRIN1  | 4      | 0.188                  | 0.550                |
| 6   | P08908     | HTR1A  | 4      | 0.053                  | 0.579                |
| 7   | P28223     | HTR2A  | 4      | 0.053                  | 0.579                |
| 8   | Q13224     | GRIN2B | 3      | 0.006                  | 0.524                |
| 9   | P07550     | ADRB2  | 3      | 0.667                  | 1.000                |
| 10  | P21917     | DRD4   | 3      | 0.029                  | 0.458                |
| 11  | P08913     | SLC6A2 | 3      | 0.017                  | 0.500                |
| 12  | P13945     | ADRB3  | 2      | 0.000                  | 0.750                |
| 13  | P08588     | ADRB1  | 2      | 0.000                  | 0.750                |
| 14  | P35462     | DRD3   | 2      | 0.000                  | 0.423                |
| 15  | P35368     | ADRA1B | 1      | 0.000                  | 0.600                |
| 16  | P35372     | OPRM1  | 1      | 0.000                  | 0.367                |

Figure 2. Protein–protein interaction (PPI) network of potential targets and key targets. (A) The PPI network of potential anti-obesity target genes of hispidulin. (B) The PPI network of the key anti-obesity target genes of hispidulin. The size and the red hue of a node represent its significance within the network.
obesity target genes of hispidulin. The size and the red hue of a node represent its significance within the network.

Figure 3. Protein–protein interaction network of potential anti-obesity target genes of \( p \)-synephrine. The size and red hue of a node represent its significance within the network.

3.1.3. KEGG Pathway Enrichment Analysis

The DAVID database was used to identify signaling pathways associated with the key targets of hispidulin and \( p \)-synephrine. The results of the biological pathways are shown in Figure 4. As shown in Figure 4A, the key anti-obesity targets of hispidulin were primarily related to estrogen, prolactin, CEGF, and Rap1 signaling pathways. In particular, the estrogen signaling pathway exhibited the highest \( p \)-value. For \( p \)-synephrine, two pathways, the calcium signaling pathway and the cAMP signaling pathway, showed very high \( p \)-values.

### Table 3. Hispidulin anti-obesity key targets identified based on PPI network topological analysis.

| No. | Uniprot ID | Gene | Degree | Betweenness Centrality | Closeness Centrality |
|-----|------------|------|--------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1   | P12931     | SRC  | 12     | 0.277                  | 0.875                |
| 2   | P31749     | AKT1 | 10     | 0.167                  | 0.778                |
| 3   | P00533     | EGFR | 10     | 0.126                  | 0.778                |
| 4   | P10275     | AR   | 6      | 0.047                  | 0.636                |
| 5   | P03372     | ESR1 | 6      | 0.025                  | 0.636                |
| 6   | P14780     | MMP9 | 5      | 0.025                  | 0.609                |
| 7   | P18031     | PTPN1| 5      | 0.017                  | 0.609                |
| 8   | P08069     | IGF1R| 5      | 0.008                  | 0.609                |
| 9   | P35354     | PTGS2| 4      | 0.007                  | 0.560                |
| 10  | Q92731     | ESR2 | 4      | 0.000                  | 0.583                |
| 11  | P05067     | APP  | 3      | 0.010                  | 0.560                |
| 12  | P49841     | GSK3B| 3      | 0.007                  | 0.538                |
| 13  | P35228     | NOS2 | 3      | 0.004                  | 0.560                |
| 14  | P35968     | KDR  | 3      | 0.003                  | 0.538                |
| 15  | P35869     | AHR  | 3      | 0.002                  | 0.538                |

### Table 4. Hispidulin anti-obesity key targets identified based on PPI network topological analysis.

| No. | Uniprot ID | Gene | Degree | Betweenness Centrality | Closeness Centrality |
|-----|------------|------|--------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1   | P14416     | DRD2 | 5      | 0.256                  | 0.647                |
| 2   | P23975     | SLC6A3| 5      | 0.211                  | 0.611                |
| 3   | P31645     | SLC6A4| 5      | 0.120                  | 0.611                |
| 4   | P46098     | HTR3A| 5      | 0.159                  | 0.611                |
| 5   | Q05586     | GRIN1| 4      | 0.188                  | 0.550                |
| 6   | P08908     | HTR1A| 4      | 0.053                  | 0.579                |
| 7   | P28223     | HTR2A| 4      | 0.053                  | 0.579                |
| 8   | Q13224     | GRIN2B| 3      | 0.006                  | 0.524                |
Table 4. Cont.

| No. | Uniprot ID | Gene   | Degree | Betweenness Centrality | Closeness Centrality |
|-----|------------|--------|--------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 9   | P07550     | ADRB2  | 3      | 0.667                  | 1.000                |
| 10  | P21917     | DRD4   | 3      | 0.029                  | 0.458                |
| 11  | P08913     | SLC6A2 | 3      | 0.017                  | 0.500                |
| 12  | P13945     | ADRB3  | 2      | 0.000                  | 0.750                |
| 13  | P08588     | ADRB1  | 2      | 0.000                  | 0.750                |
| 14  | P35462     | DRD3   | 2      | 0.000                  | 0.423                |
| 15  | P35368     | ADRA1B | 1      | 0.000                  | 0.600                |
| 16  | P35372     | OPRM1  | 1      | 0.000                  | 0.367                |

Figure 4. Bubble diagrams of the KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. (A) Bubble diagram visualizing KEGG pathway analysis of hispidulin anti-obesity key targets. (B) Bubble diagram visualizing KEGG pathway analysis of p-synephrine anti-obesity key targets.
3.1.4. Construction and Analysis of Compound–Target–Pathway Networks

An integrative network analysis was performed using Cytoscape to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the compounds, selected key targets, and pathways related to the two drugs. The C–T–P networks are shown in Figure 5. Blue squares represent compounds, reddish circles represent key targets, and green diamonds represent pathways. The size and color of the circles indicate the degree of each target. Through the network analysis, the parameter degree, betweenness centrality, and closeness centrality were calculated. In the network analysis, the degree indicates the direct influence and importance of the node. Therefore, high degree nodes play important roles in the network.

As shown in Figure 5A, the hispidulin C–T–P network consisted of 31 nodes (1 compound node, 15 key target nodes, and 15 pathway nodes) and 74 edges. Among the key target nodes, AKT1, SRC, EGFR, and GSK3β showed high degree values of 15, 9, 9, and 8, respectively. In the pathway nodes, estrogen, prolactin, Rap1, and PI3K-Akt signaling pathways exhibited the degree values of 6, 5, 5, and 5, respectively.

In Figure 5B, the p-synephrine C–T–P network formed 1 compound node, 16 key target nodes, 12 pathway nodes, and 63 edges. In particular, ADRB1, ADRB2, GRIN1, and ADRB3 showed high degree values of 9, 8, 6, and 6, respectively. Among these, ADRB1, ADRB2, and ADRB3 were the key targets that clustered in the PPI network analysis. In addition, these targets were connected to the calcium and cAMP signaling pathways, which had the highest degree values among the pathway nodes.

Figure 5. Cont.
3.2. Inhibitory Effects of Hispidulin and p-Synephrine on Adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 Preadipocytes

The inhibitory effects of hispidulin and p-synephrine at non-toxic concentrations on adipogenesis were determined using Oil Red O staining of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes (Figure 6D). Treatment with 20 μM and 40 μM hispidulin inhibited the differentiation of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes into mature adipocytes. The cells treated with 20 μM and 40 μM hispidulin showed a slight but not significant inhibition (56.63 ± 0.53% and 37.75 ± 1.81% reduction, respectively) of the formation of red-labeled lipid droplets. Similarly, treatment with 20 μM and 40 μM p-synephrine inhibited the differentiation of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes into mature adipocytes. The cells treated with 20 μM and 40 μM p-synephrine showed a slight but not significant inhibition (46.24 ± 4.53% and 47.59 ± 2.66% reduction, respectively) of the formation of red-labeled lipid droplets. However, co-treatment with 20 μM and 40 μM hispidulin and 20 μM and 40 μM p-synephrine resulted in a greater inhibition of the formation of red-labeled lipid droplets than the hispidulin or p-synephrine-alone treatment. Co-treatment with hispidulin (20 μM and 40 μM) and p-synephrine (20 μM and 40 μM) significantly inhibited the differentiation of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes into mature adipocytes. The cells treated with equal concentrations of hispidin and p-synephrine (20 μM and 40 μM) showed a significant inhibition (22.28 ± 4.04% and 22.96 ± 1.11% reduction, respectively) of the formation of red-labeled lipid droplets (Figure 6E–G).

3.3. Effect of Hispidulin and p-Synephrine on the Expression of Proteins Involved in Adipogenesis in Differentiated 3T3L-1 Cells

To examine how hispidulin and p-synephrine inhibited adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells, we used the Western blot analysis to examine the expression of adipogenic marker proteins, including Akt, ERK, JNK, P38, PPARY, C/EBPA, GR, and C/EBPB (Figure 7A). Treatment with either 40 μM hispidulin or 40 μM p-synephrine slightly inhibited the expression of
phospho-ERK, phospho-JNK, phospho-P38, PPARγ, C/EBPα, GR, and C/EBPβ in differentiated 3T3L-1 cells compared with the untreated controls. Co-treatment with hispidulin and p-synephrine further suppressed the expression of these proteins. In particular, after treatment with hispidulin, the expression of P-Akt was significantly suppressed, whereas p-synephrine had no effect on the expression of P-Akt compared with the untreated differentiated 3T3L-1 cells. Co-treatment with hispidulin and p-synephrine slightly suppressed the expression of P-Akt (Figure 7A,B). This suggested that the co-treatment of hispidulin and p-synephrine was effective in decreasing adipogenic marker proteins during the eight-day adipocyte differentiation period.

Figure 6. Inhibitory effects of hispidulin and p-synephrine on adipogenesis in 3T3L-1 preadipocytes. Effect of (A) hispidulin, (B) p-synephrine, and (C) combination of hispidulin and p-synephrine on the viability of 3T3L-1 preadipocytes for 24 h by Ez-Cytox cell viability assay. (D) Images of the Oil Red O staining of differentiated 3T3L-1 cells imaged under an inverted microscope at 20× magnification on day 8 after treatment with hispidulin and/or p-synephrine. (E–G) Quantification of Oil Red O staining expressed as the percentage of the untreated control (n = 3 independent experiments, * p < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric test). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM.
Figure 7. Inhibitory effects of hispidulin and p-synephrine on the expression of adipogenesis-related proteins in differentiated 3T3-L1 cells. (A) The protein expression of phospho-Akt (P-Akt), Akt, phospho-extracellular signal-regulated kinase (P-ERK), ERK, phospho-c-Jun-N-terminal kinase (P-JNK), JNK, phospho-P38 (P-P38), P38, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ), CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha (C/EBPα), C/EBPβ, glucocorticoid receptor (GR), and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) in differentiated 3T3-L1 cells on day 8 after treatment with hispidulin and/or p-synephrine. (B) Analysis of the ratios of the band intensities of P-ERK, P-JNK, P-P38, PPARγ, C/EBPα, C/EBPβ, and GR in the treated cells compared with those in the untreated differentiated 3T3-L1 cells (n = 3 independent experiments, * p < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric test). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM.
4. Discussion

In this study, we applied a network pharmacology analysis to predict the anti-obesity mechanism of action of hispidulin and p-synephrine. Through a network pharmacology analysis, the anti-obesity effect of hispidulin was predicted to act on estrogen, prolactin, Rap1, and PI3K-Akt signaling pathways by targeting AKT1, SRC, EGFR, and GSK3B. Previous studies have reported that these signaling pathways are related to obesity or adipocyte metabolism [57–60]. In addition, p-synephrine was predicted to exert its anti-obesity effect via calcium and cAMP signaling pathways by targeting adrenergic receptors, ADRB1, ADRB2, and ADRB3. In particular, a number of studies has provided evidence regarding the relationship between β3-adrenergic receptors (ADRB3) and obesity [61–63]. Moreover, recent studies have shown that the calcium signaling pathway specifically plays a key role in reducing obesity by enhancing energy consumption and promoting adipocyte differentiation and metabolism [64–67]. Based on the results of previous studies, the network pharmacology analysis in the present study predicted a feasible possible mechanism of action of hispidulin and p-synephrine against obesity.

Furthermore, the results of the combination network analysis of the two compounds showed completely different targets and pathways, which suggests that combination treatment with hispidulin and p-synephrine might exhibit additive and synergistic effects through different mechanisms of action. Among the commercially available diet drugs, Qsymia® (phentermine/topiramate) and Contrave® (naltrexone/bupropion) are the combinations of two drugs with different mechanisms of action [10,68]. These drugs show a stronger appetite suppressant effect than single drugs through the additive and synergistic effects of the combined components with different mechanisms of action. Based on this evidence, the combination treatment of hispidulin and p-synephrine has a potential to show stronger effects against obesity than when used alone. Therefore, additional experiments were performed to verify the results of the network pharmacology analysis and further evaluate the efficacy of hispidulin and p-synephrine in single and combination therapies.

Both compounds have already been reported to be effective against adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells. A previous study showed that hispidulin at 40 µM exhibited a maximal inhibitory effect (46% reduction) on the formation of red-labeled lipid droplets in 3T3-L1 cells. However, anti-adipogenic effects examined in this study only focused on the protein expression of PPARγ, C/EBPα, and adiponectin [35]. In the same cell lines, p-synephrine at 10 µM exhibited a maximal inhibitory effect (26% reduction) on the formation of red-labeled lipid droplets via the regulation of Akt, glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β), β-catenin, PPARγ, C/EBPα, fatty acid-binding protein 4 (aP2), and glycogen synthase (GS) [34]. However, the detailed mechanisms underlying the anti-adipogenic effects of hispidulin and p-synephrine are not yet completely clear.

The inhibitory effect of hispidulin or p-synephrine on the formation of red-labeled lipid droplets reported in previous studies is in line with our study. In the present study, co-treatment with hispidulin and p-synephrine caused a greater inhibition of the differentiation of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes than hispidulin or p-synephrine alone. In this regard, although we did not test the two compounds at higher concentrations, it is expected that concentrations of 40 µM or higher will further inhibit adipogenesis. However, high concentrations of hispidulin or p-synephrine at the cellular level in the body may not be possible when ingested through plant-based foods or as pure chemical drugs [38,39]. In addition, there are no definitive studies on the toxicity of hispidulin or p-synephrine at high concentrations. Thus, combining hispidulin and p-synephrine at low concentrations may be a potential alternative strategy to prevent obesity via consuming plant-based foods or pure chemical drugs.

Subsequently, a mechanistic study was conducted to observe the changes in the levels of adipogenic marker proteins, including PPARγ and C/EBPα, which were highlighted by two previous studies on the effects of hispidulin or p-synephrine [34,35]. The anti-adipogenic effect of the combination of hispidulin and p-synephrine was accompanied by a decreased protein expression of PPARγ and C/EBPα. These results were consistent
with those of the previous studies. PPARγ and C/EBPα are important transcription factors in the terminal differentiation of adipocytes, and their cross-regulation is important in accumulating and storing lipids. In addition to the accumulation and storage of lipids, PPARγ and C/EBPα are important in promoting and maintaining a fully differentiated state in adipocytes [69,70].

Additionally, the combination of hispidulin and p-synephrine resulted in a decreased protein expression of the transcription factor C/EBPβ, which plays a principal role in orchestrating early steps of adipogenesis [71]. During the early stage of adipogenesis, the nuclear localization of C/EBPβ is mediated by the activation of ERK, P38, and GR in response to adipogenic stimuli [72–74]. In addition, glucocorticoid hormones affect adipocyte differentiation and the maintenance of adipogenic genes by binding to GR, a ligand-activated transcription factor [75,76]. It has been previously shown that JNK is responsible for the transcriptional activity of PPARγ [77,78]. As little is known about the role of JNK in adipocyte differentiation, its potential as a target appears to be currently limited. In the present study, the combination of hispidulin and p-synephrine compared to hispidulin or p-synephrine caused a stronger inhibition of MAPKs (ERK, JNK, and P38) and GR. These results indicate that hispidulin and p-synephrine share a common mechanism in regulating adipogenesis. In particular, after treatment with hispidulin, the phosphorylation of Akt was significantly suppressed, whereas p-synephrine had no effect on the phosphorylation of Akt compared with the untreated differentiated 3T3L-1 cells. Co-treatment with hispidulin and p-synephrine slightly suppressed Akt phosphorylation. These results suggested that the mechanisms of action of the two compounds had both different and common features. Thus, the target that p-synephrine does not affect may be compensated for by co-treatment with hispidulin.

Taken together, the combination of hispidulin and p-synephrine significantly inhibited adipocyte differentiation by inhibiting PPARγ and C/EBPα via the regulation of C/EBPβ, GR, and MAPKs (ERK, JNK, and P38) during the differentiation of 3T3-L1 adipocytes. Our results may offer an invaluable scientific experimental basis for the application of the combination of hispidulin and p-synephrine for the development of novel anti-obesity drugs. In future, studies identifying pharmacokinetic drug–drug interactions using animal models will be required. In addition, selecting pharmacopuncture as the injection method solves the problem of the concentration of phytochemicals at the physiological level and their stability. Pharmacopuncture is a new method of acupuncture with the injection of chemical ingredients from herbal medicine to the acupoints on the abdomen. Its effect could be observed immediately after injection because chemical ingredients are absorbed directly without going through the gastrointestinal tract. Thus, it is easy to adjust the dosage [79]. Further in vivo studies using pharmacopuncture with standardized methodology should be performed to evaluated the anti-obesity effect of hispidulin and p-synephrine.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we predicted the mechanisms underlying the anti-obesity effects of hispidulin and p-synephrine using a network pharmacology analysis. AKT1, SRC, EGFR, and GSK3B were identified as key anti-obesity target genes of hispidulin, and estrogen, prolactin, Rap1, and PI3K-Akt signaling pathways were predicted to be involved in the anti-obesity effects of hispidulin. For p-synephrine, adrenergic receptors were predicted as key target genes, and calcium and cAMP signaling pathways were predicted to be associated downstream signaling pathways. Our study revealed that the combination treatment with hispidulin and p-synephrine performed better than separate treatments with each compound in suppressing adipogenesis. This additive effect was related to the significant inhibition of protein expression, including MAPKs (ERK, ERK, JNK, and P38), C/EBPα, C/EBPβ, PPARγ, and GR. Specifically, as predicted, the phosphorylation of Akt was suppressed after treatment with hispidulin only. Although further studies are required to assess the pharmacokinetic interactions of the drugs, the combination treatment with
hispidulin and p-synephrine may be a potential alternative strategy for developing novel anti-obesity drugs.

**Author Contributions:** K.S.K., D.L. and D.-W.K. conceived and designed the experiments; D.L., H.J.K., S.H.K. and B.H.K. performed the experiments; D.L. and H.J.K. analyzed the data; D.-W.K. and K.S.K. contributed reagents, materials, and analytical tools; D.L., H.J.K. and K.S.K. wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This results was supported by “Regional Innovation Strategy (RIS)” through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (MOE) (2021RIS-001). This research was also supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education (2019R1F1A1059173).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data is contained within the article.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Zhang, K.; Yang, X.; Zhao, Q.; Li, Z.; Fu, F.; Zhang, H.; Zheng, M.; Zhang, S. Molecular mechanism of stem cell differentiation into adipocytes and adipocyte differentiation of malignant tumor. *Stem Cells Int.* 2020, 2020, 8892300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Verboven, K.; Wouters, K.; Gaens, K.; Hansen, D.; Bijnen, M.; Wetels, S.; Stehouwer, C.; Goossens, G.; Schalkwijk, C.; Blaak, E. Abdominal subcutaneous and visceral adipocyte size, lipolysis and inflammation relate to insulin resistance in male obese humans. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, 8, 4677. [CrossRef]
3. Vukovic, R.; Dos Santos, T.J.; Ybarra, M.; Atar, M. Children with metabolically healthy obesity: A review. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2019, 10, 865. [CrossRef]
4. Suwa, A.; Kurama, T.; Shimokawa, T. Adipocyte hyperplasia and RMI1 in the treatment of obesity. *FEBS J.* 2011, 278, 565–569. [CrossRef]
5. Longo, M.; Zatterale, F.; Naderi, J.; Parrillo, L.; Formisano, P.; Raciti, G.A.; Beguinot, F.; Miele, C. Adipose tissue dysfunction as determinant of obesity-associated metabolic complications. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 2358. [CrossRef]
6. Kiortsis, D.N. A review of the metabolic effects of controlled-release Phentermine/Topiramate. *Hormones* 2013, 12, 507–516. [CrossRef]
7. Arias, H.R.; Santamaría, A.; Ali, S.F. Pharmacological and neurotoxicological actions mediated by bupropion and diethylpropion. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 2009, 88, 223–255. [PubMed]
8. Rothman, R.B.; Katsnelson, M.; Vu, N.; Partilla, J.S.; Dersch, C.M.; Blough, B.E.; Baumann, M.H. Interaction of the anorectic medication, phendimetrazine, and its metabolites with monoamine transporters in rat brain. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2011, 639–646. [CrossRef]
9. Johnson, W.G.; Hughes, J.R. Mazindol: Its efficacy and mode of action in generating weight loss. *Addict. Behav.* 1979, 4, 237–244. [CrossRef]
10. Shin, J.H.; Gadde, K.M. Clinical utility of phentermine/topiramate (Qsymia™) combination for the treatment of obesity. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Obes. Targets Ther.* 2013, 6, 131. [CrossRef]
11. Kang, J.G.; Park, C.-Y. Anti-obesity drugs: A review about their effects and safety. *Diabetes Metab. J.* 2012, 36, 13–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Arya, A.; Nahar, L.; Khan, H.U.; Sarker, S.D. Anti-obesity natural products. *Mol. Nat. Prod. A Dis. Focused Approach* 2020, 55, 411. [CrossRef]
13. Ahn, J.; Lee, H.; Kim, S.; Ha, T. Curcumin-induced suppression of adipogenic differentiation is accompanied by activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 2010, 298, C1510–C1516. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Baboota, R.K.; Singh, D.P.; Sarma, S.M.; Kaur, J.; Sandhir, R.; Boparai, R.K.; Kondepudi, K.K.; Bishnoi, M. Capsaicin induces “brite” phenotype in differentiating 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e103093. [CrossRef]
15. Delzenne, N.M.; Neyrinck, A.M.; Bäckhed, F.; Cani, P.D. Targeting gut microbiota in obesity: Effects of prebiotics and probiotics. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 2011, 7, 639–646. [CrossRef]
16. Barde, S.R.; Sakhare, R.S.; Kanthale, S.B.; Chandak, P.G.; Jamkhande, P.G. Marine bioactive agents: A short review on new marine antidiabetic compounds. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Dis.* 2015, 5, S209–S213. [CrossRef]
17. Schmitt, G.C.; Arbo, M.D.; Lorensi, A.L.; Maciel, E.S.; Krahn, C.L.; Mariotti, K.C.; Dallegave, E.; Leal, M.B.; Limberger, R.P. Toxicological effects of a mixture used in weight loss products: P-synephrine associated with ephedrine, salicin, and caffeine. *Int. J. Toxicol.* 2012, 31, 184–191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
18. Croitoru, M.D.; Fülöp, I.; Zaharia, M.; Modroiu, A.; Zecheru, L.; Fogarasi, E. Presence of declared and undeclared caffeine and ephedrine in weight-loss herbal supplements. *FARMACIA* 2017, 65, 968–971.
19. Arbo, M.; Larentis, E.; Linck, V.; Aboy, A.; Pimentel, A.; Henriques, A.; Dallegrave, E.; Garcia, S.; Leal, M.; Limberger, R. Concentrations of p-synephrine in fruits and leaves of Citrus species (Rutaceae) and the acute toxicity testing of Citrus aurantium extract and p-synephrine. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2008, 46, 2770–2775. [CrossRef]

20. Arbo, M.D.; Franco, M.T.; Larentis, E.R.; Garcia, S.C.; Sebben, V.C.; Leal, M.B.; Dallegrave, E.; Limberger, R.P. Screening for in vivo (anti) estrogenic activity of ephedrine and p-synephrine and their natural sources Ephedra sinica Stapf. (Ephedraceae) and Citrus aurantium L. (Rutaceae) in rats. Arch. Toxicol. 2009, 83, 95–99. [CrossRef]

21. Arbo, M.D.; Schmitt, G.C.; Limberger, M.F.; Charão, M.F.; Moro, Â.M.; Ribeiro, G.L.; Dallegrave, E.; Garcia, S.C.; Leal, M.B.; Limberger, R.P. Subchronic toxicity of Citrus aurantium L. (Rutaceae) extract and p-synephrine in mice. Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 2009, 54, 114–117. [CrossRef]

22. Rossato, L.G.; Costa, V.M.; De Pinho, P.G.; Carvalho, F.; de Lourdes Bastos, M.; Remião, F. Structural isomerization of synephrine influences its uptake and ensuing glutathione depletion in rat-isolated cardiomyocytes. Arch. Toxicol. 2011, 85, 929–939. [CrossRef]

23. Stohs, S.J.; De Pinho, P.G.; Carvalho, F.; de Lourdes Bastos, M.; Remião, F. Structural isomerization of synephrine influences its uptake and ensuing glutathione depletion in rat-isolated cardiomyocytes. Arch. Toxicol. 2011, 85, 929–939. [CrossRef]

24. Patel, K.; Patel, D.K. Medicinal importance, pharmacological activities, and analytical aspects of hispidulin: A concise report. J. Tradit. Complement. Med. 2017, 7, 360–366. [CrossRef]

25. Lv, L.; Zhang, W.; Li, T.; Jiang, L.; Lu, X.; Lin, J. Hispidulin exhibits potent anticancer activity in vitro and in vivo through activating ER stress in non-small-cell lung cancer cells. Oncol. Rep. 2020, 43, 1995–2003. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Dai, Y.; Sun, X.; Li, B.; Ma, H.; Wu, P.; Zhang, Y.; Zhu, M.; Li, H.-M.; Qin, M.; Wu, C.-Z. The Effect of Hispidulin, a Flavonoid from Salvia plebeia, on Human Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma CNE-2Z Cell Proliferation, Migration, Invasion, and Apoptosis. Molecules 2021, 26, 1604. [CrossRef]

27. Gao, H.; Gao, M.-Q.; Peng, J.-H.; Han, M.; Liu, K.-L.; Han, Y.-T. Hispidulin mediates apoptosis in human renal cell carcinoma by inducing ceramide accumulation. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 2017, 38, 1618–1631. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

28. An, P.; Xie, J.; Qu, S.; Liu, Y.; Wang, J.; Xiu, X.; Li, L.; Tang, M. Hispidulin exhibits neuroprotective activities against cerebral ischemia reperfusion injury through suppressing NLRP3-mediated pyroptosis. Life Sci. 2019, 232, 116599. [CrossRef]

29. Dabaghi-Barbosa, P.; Mariane Rocha, A.; da Cruz, F.L.A.; de Oliveira, H.B. Hispidulin: Antioxidant properties and effect on mitochondrial energy metabolism. Free Radic. Res. 2005, 39, 1305–1315. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Zhou, R.; Wang, Z.; Ma, C. Hispidulin exerts anti-osteoporotic activity in ovariectomized mice via activating AMPK signaling pathway. Cell Biochem. Biophys. 2014, 69, 311–317. [CrossRef]

31. Ashaq, A.; Maqboul, M.F.; Maryam, A.; Khan, M.; Shakir, H.A.; Irfan, M.; Qazi, J.I.; Li, Y.; Ma, T. Hispidulin: A novel natural compound with therapeutic potential against human cancers. Phytother. Res. 2021, 35, 771–789. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Gao, H.; Wang, H.; Peng, J. Gao, H.; Peng, J. Hispidulin induces apoptosis through mitochondrial dysfunction and inhibition of P13k/Akt signalling pathway in HepG2 cancer cells. Cell Biochem. Biophys. 2014, 69, 27–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Xie, J.; Gao, H.; Peng, J.; Han, Y.; Chen, X.; Jiang, Q.; Wang, C. Hispidulin prevents hypoxia-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human colon carcinoma cells. J. Cancer Res. 2015, 5, 1047.

34. Guo, L.X.; Chen, G.; Yin, Z.Y.; Zhang, Y.H.; Zheng, X.X. p-Synephrine exhibits anti-adipogenic activity by activating the Akt/GSK3β signaling pathway in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. J. Food Biochem. 2019, 43, e13033. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Lee, S.G.; Limberger, R.P.; Nam, J.-O. Hispidulin inhibits adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 adipocytes through PPARβ/δ signaling pathway in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. J. Food Biochem. 2019, 43, e13033. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Tian, J.; Dang, H.N.; Yong, J.; Chui, W.-S.; Dizon, M.P.; Yaw, C.K.; Kaufman, D.L. Oral treatment with Ephedra sinica Stapf. (Ephedraceae) and p-synephrine in fruits and leaves of Citrus species (Rutaceae) and the acute toxicity testing of Citrus aurantium L. (Rutaceae) extract and p-synephrine in mice. Arch. Toxicol. 2004, 142, 811–820. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Haller, C.A.; Benowitz, N.L.; Jacob, P., III. Hemodynamic effects of ephedra-free weight-loss supplements in humans. Am. J. Med. 2005, 118, 998–1003. [CrossRef]

38. Zhang, Z.; Jia, P.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, Q.; Yang, H.; Shi, H.; Zhang, L. LC–MS/MS determination and pharmacokinetic study of seven flavonoids in rat plasma after oral administration of Cirsium japonicum DC. extract. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2014, 148, 136–146. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Kavvadias, D.; Sand, P.; Youdim, K.A.; Qaiser, M.; Rice-Evans, C.; Baur, R.; Sigel, E.; Rausch, W.D.; Riederer, P.; Schreier, P. Flavonoids on resting metabolism, blood pressure, heart rate and self-reported mood changes. Int. J. Med. Sci. 2011, 8, 295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

40. Hopkins, A.L. Network pharmacology: The next paradigm in drug discovery. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2008, 4, 682–690. [CrossRef]

41. Li, S.; Fan, T.-P.; Jia, W.; Lu, A.; Zhang, W. Network pharmacology in traditional Chinese medicine. Evid.-Based Complement. Altern. Med. 2014, 2014, 138460. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Zhang, Y.; Yao, Y.; Fu, Y.; Yuan, Z.; Wu, X.; Wang, T.; Hong, Z.; Yang, Y.; Wu, H. Inhibition Effect of Oxyepiberberine isolated from Coptis chinensis Franch. on Non-small cell lung cancer Based on a Network Pharmacology Approach and Experimental Validation. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2021, 278, 114267. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Cui, S.; Chen, S.; Wu, Q.; Chen, T.; Li, S. A network pharmacology approach to investigate the anti-inflammatory mechanism of effective ingredients from Salvia miltiorrhiza. Int. Immunopharmacol. 2020, 81, 106040. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
44. Daina, A.; Michielin, O.; Zoete, V. Swiss Target Prediction: Updated data and new features for efficient prediction of protein targets of small molecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2019**, *47*, W357–W364. [CrossRef]

45. Stelzer, G.; Rosen, N.; Plaschke, I.; Zimmermann, S.; Twik, M.; Fishilevich, S.; Stein, T.I.; Nudel, R.; Lieder, I.; Mazor, Y. The GeneCards suite: From gene data mining to disease genome sequence analyses. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinform.* **2016**, *54*, 1.30.1–1.30.33. [CrossRef]

46. Oliveros, J.V. An Interactive Tool for Comparing Lists with Venn’s Diagrams. 2007–2015. 2016. Available online: http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html (accessed on 19 August 2021).

47. Piñero, J.; Ramírez-Arguita, J.M.; Saúch-Pitarch, J.; Ronzano, F.; Centeno, E.; Sanz, F.; Furlong, L.I. The DisGeNET knowledge platform for disease genomics: 2019 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2019**, *48*, D845–D855. [CrossRef]

48. Szklarczyk, D.; Gable, A.L.; Nastou, K.C.; Lyon, D.; Kirsch, R.; Pyysalo, S.; Donecheka, N.T.; Legeay, M.; Fang, T.; Bork, P. The STRING database in 2021: Customizable protein–protein networks, and functional characterization of user-uploaded gene/measurement sets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2021**, *49*, D605–D612. [CrossRef]

49. Huang, D.W.; Sherman, B.T.; Lempicki, R.A. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat. Protoc.* **2009**, *4*, 44–57. [CrossRef]

50. Lee, S.R.; Kang, H.; Yoo, M.J.; Yu, J.S.; Lee, S.; Yi, S.A.; Beemelmans, C.; Lee, J.; Kim, K.H. Anti-adipogenic pregnant estrogen from a Hydractinia-associated fungus, *Cladosporium sphaerospermum* SW67. *Nat. Prod. Sci.* **2020**, *26*, 230–235.

51. Kim, H.; Choi, P.; Kim, T.; Kim, Y.; Song, B.G.; Park, Y.-T.; Choi, S.-J.; Yoon, C.H.; Lim, W.-C.; Ko, H. Ginsenosides Rk1 and Rg5 inhibit transforming growth factor-β1-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition and suppress migration, invasion, anoikis resistance, and development of stem-like features in lung cancer. *J. Ginseng Res.* **2021**, *45*, 134–148. [CrossRef]

52. Yi, S.A.; Lee, J.; Park, S.K.; Kim, J.Y.; Park, J.W.; Lee, M.G.; Nam, K.H.; Park, J.H.; Oh, H.; Kim, S. Fermented ginseng extract, BST204, disturbs adipogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells through inhibition of S6 kinase 1 signaling. *J. Ginseng Res.* **2020**, *44*, 58–66. [PubMed]

53. Ryu, Y.S.; Hyun, J.W.; Chung, H.S. Fucoidan induces apoptosis in A2058 cells through ROS-exposed activation of MAPKs signaling pathway. *Nat. Protoc.* **2020**, *26*, 191–199.

54. Rodrigues, F.A. Network centrality: An introduction. In *Analyzing Social Media Networks with NodeXL*, 2009–2015. 2016. Available online: http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html (accessed on 19 August 2021).

55. Hansen, D.L.; Shneiderman, B.; Smith, M.A.; Himelboim, I. Twitter: Information flows, influencers, and organic communities. In *Analyzing Social Media Networks with NodeXL*, 2009–2015. 2016. Available online: http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html (accessed on 19 August 2021).

56. Golbeck, J. Network structure and measures. In *Analyzing the Social Web*, Macau, E.E.N., Ed.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. 177–196.

57. Yeung, F.; Ramirez-Anguita, J.M.; Mateos-Gomez, P.A.; Pinzaru, A.; Ceccarini, G.; Kabir, S.; Fernández-Hernando, C.; Steir, A. Nontelomeric role for Rap1 in regulating metabolism and protecting against obesity. *Cell Rep.* **2015**, *3*, 1847–1856. [CrossRef]

58. Huang, X.; Liu, G.; Guo, J.; Su, Z. The PI3K/AKT pathway in obesity and type 2 diabetes. *Cell Death Differ.* **2018**, *25*, 1483. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

59. Le, J.A.; Wilson, H.M.; Shehu, A.; Devi, Y.S.; Aguilar, T.; Gibori, G. Prolactin activation of the long form of its cognate receptor induces apoptosis in A2058 cells through ROS-exposed activation of MAPKs signaling pathway. *Nat. Protoc.* **2011**, *6*, 931–937. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

60. Newbold, R.R.; Padilla-Banks, E.; Jefferson, W.N. Environmental estrogens and obesity. *Mol. Cell Endocrinol.* **2009**, *304*, 84–89. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

61. Muzzin, P.; Revelli, J.; Kuhne, F.; Gocayne, J.; McBride, W.; Venter, J.; Giacobino, J.; Fraser, C. An adipose tissue-specific beta-adrenergic receptor. Molecular cloning and down-regulation in obesity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1991**, *266*, 24053–24058. [CrossRef]

62. Collins, S.; Daniel, K.W.; Rohlfs, E.M.; Ramkumar, V.; Taylor, L.L.; Gettys, T.W. Impaired expression and functional activity of the beta 3-and beta 1-adrenergic receptors in adipose tissue of congenitally obese (CS7BL/6j ob/ob) mice. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **1994**, *8*, 518–527.

63. Lowell, B.B.; Flier, J.S. Brown adipose tissue, β3-adrenergic receptors, and obesity. *Annu. Rev. Med.* **1997**, *48*, 307–316. [CrossRef]

64. Pramme-Steinwachs, I.; Jastrow, I.; Luxor, S. Extracellular calcium modulates brown adipocyte differentiation and adipogenesis through PPARγ pathway. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* **2012**, *361*, 321–328. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. He, Y.-H.; He, Y.; Liao, X.-L.; Niu, Y.-C.; Wang, G.; Zhao, C.; Wang, L.; Tian, M.-J.; Li, Y.; Sun, C.-H. The calcium-sensing receptor promotes adipocyte differentiation and adipogenesis through PPARγ pathway. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* **2019**, *450*, 389–399. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Xu, Z.; Huo, J.; Ding, X.; Yang, M.; Li, L.; Dai, J.; Hosoe, K.; Kubo, H.; Mori, M.; Higuchi, K. Coenzyme Q10 improves lipid metabolism and ameliorates obesity by regulating CaMKII-mediated PDE4 inhibition. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 8888. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Sun, W.; Uchida, K.; Suzuki, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Kim, M.; Takayama, Y.; Takahashi, N.; Goto, T.; Wakabayashi, S.; Kawada, T. Lack of TRPV 2 impairs thermogenesis in mouse brown adipose tissue. *EMBO Rep.* **2016**, *17*, 383–399. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

68. Ornelas, T.; Chavez, B. Naltrexone SR/Bupropion SR (Contrave): A new approach to weight loss in obese adults. *Pharm. Ther.* **2011**, *36*, 255.

69. Park, H.; Ju, U.; Park, J.-W.; Song, J.; Shin, D.; Lee, K.; Jeong, L.; Yu, J.; Lee, H.; Cho, J.Y. PPAR γ neddylation essential for adipogenesis is a potential target for treating obesity. *Cell Death Differ.* **2016**, *23*, 1296–1311. [CrossRef]

70. Rosen, E.D.; Hsu, C.-H.; Wang, X.; Sakai, S.; Freeman, M.W.; Gonzalez, F.J.; Spiegelman, B.M. C/EBPα induces adipogenesis through PPARγ: A unified pathway. *Genes Dev.* **2002**, *16*, 22–26. [CrossRef]
71. Guo, L.; Li, X.; Tang, Q.-Q. Transcriptional regulation of adipocyte differentiation: A central role for CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP) β. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2015, 290, 755–761. [CrossRef]
72. Leiva, M.; Matesanz, N.; Pulgarín-Alfaro, M.; Nikolic, I.; Sabio, G. Uncovering the role of p38 family members in adipose tissue physiology. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2020, 11, 572089. [CrossRef]
73. Ambele, M.A.; Dhanraj, P.; Giles, R.; Pepper, M.S. Adipogenesis: A complex interplay of multiple molecular determinants and pathways. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 4283. [CrossRef]
74. Li, X.; Kim, J.W.; Grønborg, M.; Urlaub, H.; Lane, M.D.; Tang, Q.-Q. Role of cdk2 in the sequential phosphorylation/activation of C/EBPβ during adipocyte differentiation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2007, 104, 11597–11602. [CrossRef]
75. Han, L.; Wang, B.; Wang, R.; Gong, S.; Chen, G.; Xu, W. The shift in the balance between osteoblastogenesis and adipogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells mediated by glucocorticoid receptor. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2019, 10, 377. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
76. Lee, M.-J.; Pramyothin, P.; Karastergiou, K.; Fried, S.K. Deconstructing the roles of glucocorticoids in adipose tissue biology and the development of central obesity. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis.* 2014, 1842, 473–481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
77. Camp, H.S.; Tafuri, S.R.; Leff, T. c-Jun N-terminal kinase phosphorylates peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ1 and negatively regulates its transcriptional activity. *Endocrinology* 1999, 140, 392–397. [CrossRef]
78. Lee, J.; Jung, E.; Lee, J.; Huh, S.; Kim, Y.-S.; Kim, Y.-W.; Kim, Y.S.; Park, D. Anti-adipogenesis by 6-thioinosine is mediated by downregulation of PPARγ through JNK-dependent upregulation of iNOS. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2010, 67, 467–481. [CrossRef]
79. Park, J.; Lee, H.; Shin, B.C.; Lee, M.S.; Kim, B.; Kim, J.I. Pharmacopuncture in Korea: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Evid. Based Complement. Alternat. Med.* 2016, 2016, 4683121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]