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Abstract. Semyanistyi’s fractional integrals have come to analysis from integral geometry. They take functions on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) to functions on hyperplanes, commute with rotations, and have a nice behavior with respect to dilations. We obtain sharp inequalities for these integrals and the corresponding Radon transforms acting on \( L^p \) spaces with a radial power weight. The operator norms are explicitly evaluated. Similar results are obtained for fractional integrals associated to \( k \)-plane transforms for any \( 1 \leq k < n \).

1. Introduction

The Radon transforms play the central role in integral geometry and have numerous applications \([3, 7, 14, 15]\). In 1960 V.I. Semyanistyi \([24]\) came up with an idea to regard the Radon transform on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) as a member of suitable analytic family of fractional integrals \( R^\alpha f \), so that for sufficiently good \( f \),

\[
R^\alpha f|_{\alpha=0} = Rf.
\]

This idea paves the way to a variety of inversion formulas for the Radon transform and has proved to be useful in subsequent developments; see, e.g., \([16]\) and references therein.

We recall basic definitions. Let \( \Pi_n \) be the set of all hyperplanes in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). The Radon transform takes a function \( f(x) \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) to a function...
\((Rf)(\tau) = \int_{\tau} f\) on \(\Pi_n\). The corresponding Semyanistyi’s fractional integrals are defined by

\[
(R^\alpha f)(\tau) = \frac{1}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) [\text{dist}(x, \tau)]^{\alpha-1} dx,
\]

where \(\text{dist}(x, \tau)\) is the Euclidean distance between the point \(x\) and the hyperplane \(\tau\). The normalizing coefficient in (1.2) is inherited from one-dimensional Riesz potentials [13, 20]. More general integrals, when \(\tau\) is a plane of arbitrary dimension \(1 \leq k \leq n - 1\), were introduced in [21].

Mapping properties of Radon transforms were studied in numerous publications from different points of view; see, e.g., [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 12, 15, 17, 18, 25, 28], to mention a few. In Section 2 we suggest an alternative approach which works well in weighted \(L^p\) spaces with a radial power weight, yields sharp estimates and explicit formulas for the operator norms, and extends to fractional integrals (1.2). Similar results are obtained in Section 3 for more general operators associated to the \(k\)-plane transform for any \(1 \leq k \leq n - 1\). Main results of the paper are presented by Theorems 2.1, 2.3, 3.2, and 3.3.

Our approach was inspired by a series of works on operators with homogeneous kernels; see, e.g., [8, 23, 27, 29]. A common point for this class of operators and the afore-mentioned operators of integral geometry is a nice behavior with respect to rotations and dilations. The same approach is applicable to the dual Radon transforms and the corresponding dual Semyanistyi integrals; see, e.g., [22], where a different technique has been used.

2. Fractional integrals associated to the Radon transform

2.1. Preliminaries. In the following \(\sigma_{n-1} = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2)\) is the area of the unit sphere \(S^{n-1}\) in \(\mathbb{R}^n\); \(d\sigma(\eta)\) stands for the surface element of \(S^{n-1}\); \(e_1, \ldots, e_n\) are coordinate unit vectors; \(O(n)\) is the group of orthogonal transformations of \(\mathbb{R}^n\) endowed with the invariant probability measure. The \(L^p\) spaces, \(1 \leq p \leq \infty\), are defined in a usual way, \(1/p + 1/p' = 1\). We recall that \(\Pi_n\) denotes the set of all hyperplanes \(\tau\) in \(\mathbb{R}^n\). Every \(\tau \in \Pi_n\) can be parametrized as

\[
(2.1) \quad \tau(\theta, t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot \theta = t\}, \quad (\theta, t) \in S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}.
\]

Clearly,

\[
(2.2) \quad \tau(\theta, t) = \tau(-\theta, -t).
\]
We set
\[ L^p_\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ f : ||f||_{p,\mu} \equiv |||x|^\mu f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \} , \]
\[ L^p_\nu(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}) = \{ \varphi : ||\varphi||_{p,\nu} \equiv |||t|^\nu \varphi||_{L^p(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})} < \infty \} , \]
where \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) are real numbers. Passing to polar coordinates, we have
\[
||f||_{p,\mu} = \left( \sigma_{n-1} \int_0^\infty r^{n-1+\mu p} \int f(r \gamma e_1) |r\gamma|^p \, d\gamma \right)^{1/p} , \quad 1 \leq p < \infty ,
\]
\[
||f||_{\infty,\mu} = \operatorname{ess sup}_{r,\gamma} r^\mu |f(r \gamma e_1)| .
\]
Similarly,
\[
||\varphi||_{p,\nu} = \left( \sigma_{n-1} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |t|^\nu \int |\varphi(\gamma e_1, t)|^p \, d\gamma \right)^{1/p} , \quad 1 \leq p < \infty ,
\]
\[
||\varphi||_{\infty,\nu} = \operatorname{ess sup}_{t,\gamma} |t|^\nu |\varphi(\gamma e_1, t)| .
\]
We write the Radon transform in terms of the parametrization (2.1) as
\[
(R f)(\tau) \equiv (R f)(\theta, t) = \int_{\theta^\perp} f(t \theta + u) \, d_\theta u ,
\]
where \( \theta^\perp = \{ x : x \cdot \theta = 0 \} \), \( d_\theta u \) denotes the Lebesgue measure on \( \theta^\perp \).
Similarly,
\[
(R^\alpha f)(\tau) \equiv (R^\alpha f)(\theta, t) = \frac{1}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) |t - x \cdot \theta|^{\alpha-1} \, dx .
\]

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty , \, 1/p + 1/p' = 1, \, \alpha > 0 \). Suppose
\[
\nu = \mu - \alpha - (n-1)/p' ,
\]
\[
\alpha - 1 + n/p' < \mu < n/p' .
\]
Then
\[
||R^\alpha f||_{p,\nu} \leq c_\alpha ||f||_{p,\mu} ,
\]
where
\[
c_\alpha = ||R^\alpha|| = 2^{1/p-\alpha} \pi^{(n-1)/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{n/p' - \mu}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1 - \alpha + \mu - n/p'}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha + n/p' - \mu}{2} \right) .
\]
Remark 2.2. The necessity of (2.9) can be proved using the standard scaling argument; cf. [26, p. 118]. Let \( f_\lambda(x) = f(\lambda x), \lambda > 0 \). Then
\[
||f_\lambda||_{p,\mu} = \lambda^{-\mu-n/p} ||f||_{p,\mu}, \quad ||R^\alpha f_\lambda||^\sim_{p,\mu} = \lambda^{-\nu-n-\alpha+1/p'} ||R^\alpha f||^\sim_{p,\mu}.
\]
Hence, whenever ||\( R^\alpha f ||^\sim_{p,\nu} \leq c ||f||_{p,\mu} \) with \( c \) independent of \( f \), we get \( \nu = \mu - \alpha - (n-1)/p' \). As we shall see below, the condition (2.10) is also best possible.

2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.1.

2.2.1. Step 1. Let us prove (2.11). For \( t > 0 \), changing variables \( \theta = \gamma e_1, \gamma \in O(n) \), and \( x = t\gamma y \), we get
\[
(2.12) \quad (R^\alpha f)(\gamma e_1, t) = \frac{\gamma_1(\alpha)}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t\gamma y) |1-y_1|^{\alpha-1} dy.
\]
If \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), then, by Minkowski’s inequality, using (2.5) and (2.3), we obtain that the norm \( ||R^\alpha f||^\sim_{p,\nu} \) does not exceed the following:
\[
\frac{2^{1/p}}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |1-y_1|^{\alpha-1} \left( \sigma_{n-1} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{O(n)} |t^{(\alpha+n-1+\nu)p} f(t\gamma y)|^p d\gamma dt \right)^{1/p} dy\]
\[
(2.13) = c_\alpha ||f||_{p,\mu}, \quad c_\alpha = \frac{2^{1/p}}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |1-y_1|^{\alpha-1} |y|^{-\mu-n/p} dy.
\]
If \( p = \infty \), then (2.6) and (2.4) give a similar estimate \( ||R^\alpha f||^\sim_{\infty,\nu} \leq c_\alpha ||f||_{\infty,\mu} \) in which \( c_\alpha \) has the same form with \( 1/p = n/p = 0 \).

To evaluate \( c_\alpha \), denoting \( \lambda = \mu + n/p \), we have
\[
c_\alpha = \frac{2^{1/p}}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |1-y_1|^{\alpha-1} dy_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (|y|^2 + y_1^2)^{-\lambda/2} dy_1 = c_1 c_2,
\]
\[
c_1 = 2^{1/p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (1+|z|^2)^{-\lambda/2} dz = \frac{2^{1/p} \pi^{(n-1)/2} \Gamma((\lambda + 1 - n)/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda/2)},
\]
\[
c_2 = \frac{1}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |1-y_1|^{\alpha-1} |y_1|^{n-\lambda} dy_1 = \frac{\gamma_1(n-\lambda)}{\gamma_1(\alpha + n-\lambda)};
\]
see, e.g., [13], where convolutions of Riesz kernels are considered in any dimensions. Combining these formulas with (1.3), we obtain the desired expression. We observe that the integral in (2.13) is finite if and only if \( \alpha - 1 + n/p' < \mu < n/p' \), which is (2.10).
2.2.2. Step 2. Let us show that $c_\alpha = ||R^\alpha||$. By Step 1, $||R^\alpha|| \leq c_\alpha$. Thus, it remains to prove that $||R^\alpha|| \geq c_\alpha$. Since the operator $R^\alpha : L^p_\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^p_{\nu'}(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ is bounded, then for any $f \in L^p_\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in L^p_{\nu'}(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ by Hölder’s inequality we have

\begin{equation}
(2.14) \quad I = \left| \int_{S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}} (R^\alpha f)(\theta, t) \varphi(\theta, t) \, d\theta \, dt \right| \leq ||R^\alpha|| ||f||_{p,\mu} ||\varphi||_{p',-\nu}.
\end{equation}

Suppose $f(x) \equiv f_0(|x|) \geq 0$ and $\varphi(\theta, t) \equiv \varphi_0(|t|) \geq 0$. Then

\begin{align*}
I &= \frac{2\sigma_{n-1}}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \varphi_0(t) \, dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_0(|x|) |t - x \cdot e_1|^{\alpha - 1} \, dx \\
&= \frac{2\sigma_{n-1}}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \varphi_0(t) \, dt \int_0^{r^{n-1}} f_0(r) \, dr \int_{S^{n-1}} |t - r\eta_1|^{\alpha - 1} \, d\sigma(\eta) \\
&= \frac{2\sigma_{n-1}}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma(\eta) \int_0^\infty \int_0^{1-s\eta_1} |s^{n-1}|^{\alpha - 1} \, ds \int_0^\infty \varphi_0(t) f_0(ts) \, t^{n+\alpha - 1} \, dt.
\end{align*}

Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then

\begin{align*}
(2.15) \quad ||f||_{p,\mu} &= \left( \sigma_{n-1} \int_0^\infty r^{n-1+\mu p} f_0^p(r) \, dr \right)^{1/p}, \\
||\varphi||_{p',-\nu} &= \left( 2\sigma_{n-1} \int_0^\infty t^{-\nu p'} \varphi_0^p(t) \, dt \right)^{1/p'}.
\end{align*}

Choose $\varphi_0(t) = t^{\mu p - \alpha} f_0^{p-1}(t)$ so that $||\varphi||_{p',-\nu} = 2^{1/p'} ||f||_{p,\mu}^{p-1}$. Then (2.14) yields

\begin{align*}
&\frac{2^{1/p} \sigma_{n-1}}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma(\eta) \int_0^\infty |1-s\eta_1|^{\alpha - 1} s^{n-1} \, ds \\
&\times \int_0^\infty t^{\mu p + n-1} f_0^{p-1}(t) f_0(ts) \, dt \leq ||R^\alpha|| ||f||_{p,\mu}^p.
\end{align*}

(2.16)
Finally we set $f_0(t) = 0$ if $t < 1$ and $f_0(t) = t^{-\mu - n/p - \varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, if $t > 1$. Then $\|f\|_{p,\mu}^p = \sigma_{n-1}/\varepsilon p$ and (2.16) becomes

$$||R^\alpha|| \geq \frac{2^{1/p}}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma(\eta) \int_0^\infty |1-s\eta|^{\alpha-1} s^{n/p'-\mu-1-\varepsilon} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} s^{p'}, & s < 1 \\ 1, & s > 1 \end{array} \right\} ds$$

$$= \frac{2^{1/p}}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |1-y_1|^{\alpha-1} |y|^{-\mu-n/p-\varepsilon} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} |y|^{p'}, & |y| < 1 \\ 1, & |y| > 1 \end{array} \right\} dy;$$

cf. (2.13). Passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we obtain $||R^\alpha|| \geq c_\alpha$.

If $p = 1$, then $\nu = \mu - \alpha$. We choose $\varphi_0(t) = t^{\mu-\alpha}$ and proceed as above. If $p = \infty$, we choose $f_0(r) = r^{-\mu}$. Then $||f||_{\infty,\mu} = 1$,

$$I = \frac{2\sigma_{n-1}}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma(\eta) \int_0^\infty |1-s\eta|^{\alpha-1} s^{n-\mu-1} ds \int_0^\infty \varphi_0(t) t^{n+\alpha-\mu-1} dt,$$

and $I \leq ||R^\alpha|| ||\varphi||_{1,\nu}$. We set $\varphi_0(t) = 0$ if $t < 1$ and $\varphi_0(t) = t^{-\delta}$ if $t > 1$, where $\delta$ is big enough. This gives

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_1(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |1-y_1|^{\alpha-1} |y|^{-\mu} dy \leq ||R^\alpha||,$$

or $c_\alpha \leq ||R^\alpha||$, as desired. \hfill \Box

2.3. The case $\alpha = 0$. This case corresponds to the Radon transform (2.7) and requires independent consideration.

Theorem 2.3. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$,

$$\nu = \mu - (n-1)/p', \quad \mu > n/p' - 1.$$  

Then $||Rf||_{p,\nu} \leq c ||f||_{p,\mu}$, where

$$c = ||R|| = \frac{2^{1/p} \pi^{(n-1)/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{1+\mu - n/p'}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\mu+n/p}{2} \right)}.$$  

Proof. The necessity of (2.17) can be checked as in Theorem 2.1. To prove the norm inequality, setting $\theta = \gamma e_1$, $\gamma \in O(n)$, $t > 0$, we have

$$(Rf)(\theta, t) = \int_{\partial} f(t\theta + u) du = t^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} f(t\gamma(e_1 + z)) dz.$$
Hence,
\[
\|Rf\|_{p,\nu} \sim \left(2\sigma_{n-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{O(n)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} t^{(n-1+\nu)p} |f(t\gamma(e_1 + z))|^p \, dz \right) \, d\gamma \, dt \right)^{1/p}
\]
\[
\leq (2\sigma_{n-1})^{1/p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{O(n)} |f(t\gamma(e_1 + z))|^p t^{(n-1+\nu)p} \, d\gamma \, dt \right)^{1/p} \, dz
\]
\[
= c \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{n-1}} |f(s\eta)|^p s^{(n-1+\nu)p} \, d\sigma(\eta) \, ds \right)^{1/p} = c \|f\|_{p,\mu},
\]
where
\[
c = 2^{1/p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{dz}{(1 + |z|^2)^{(n+1/p)/2}} = 2^{1/p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{dz}{(1 + |z|^2)^{(n/p)/2}}.
\]
The last integral gives an expression in (2.18). The proof of the equality $c = \|R\|$ mimics that in Section 2.2.2. □

3. Fractional integrals associated to the $k$-plane transforms

We denote by $\Pi_{n,k}$ the set of all nonoriented $k$-dimensional planes in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. To parameterize such planes and define the corresponding analogues of $R$ and $R^\alpha$, we introduce the Stiefel manifold $V_{n,n-k} \sim O(n)/O(k)$ of $n \times (n-k)$ real matrices, the columns of which are mutually orthogonal unit $n$-vectors. For $v \in V_{n,n-k}$, $dv$ stands for the left $O(n)$-invariant probability measure on $V_{n,n-k}$ which is also right $O(n-k)$-invariant. Every plane $\tau \in \Pi_{n,k}$ can be parameterized by
\[
\tau(v, t) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : v^T x = t \}, \quad (v, t) \in V_{n,n-k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k},
\]
where $v^T$ stands for the transpose of the matrix $v$. The case $k = n - 1$ agrees with (2.1). Clearly,
\[
\tau(v, t) = \tau(v\omega^T, \omega t) \quad \forall \omega \in O(n-k).
\]
This equality is a substitute for (2.2) for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

The $k$-plane transform takes a function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ to a function $(R_k f)(\tau) = \int_{\tau} f$ on $\Pi_{n,k}$. In terms of the parametrization (3.1) it has the form
\[
(R_k f)(v, t) = \int_{v^T u} f(vt + u) \, dv, u,
\]
where \( v^\perp \) denotes the \( k \)-dimensional linear subspace orthogonal to \( v \) and \( d_v u \) stands the usual Lebesgue measure on \( v^\perp \). Similarly, 

\[
(R_\alpha^t f)(v, t) = \frac{1}{\gamma_{n-k}(\alpha)} \int_{v^\perp} f(x) |v^T x - t|^{\alpha+k-n} dx,
\]

where \( |v^T x - t| \) denotes the Euclidean norm of \( v^T x - t \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \),

\[
\gamma_{n-k}(\alpha) = \frac{2^{\alpha} \pi^{(n-k)/2} \Gamma(\alpha/2)}{\Gamma((n-k-\alpha)/2)}.
\]

Here \( 1/\gamma_{n-k}(\alpha) \) is the same normalizing coefficient as in the Riesz potential on \( \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \) \cite{13, 20}.

**Remark 3.1.** One can regard \( \Pi_{n,k} \) as a fiber bundle over the Grassmann manifold of all \( k \)-dimensional linear subspaces of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). The corresponding parametrization of \( k \)-planes is different from (3.1); cf. \cite{21, 22}. In the present article we prefer to work with parametrization (3.1) because it reduces to (2.1) when \( k = n - 1 \).

Let

\[
L^p_{\nu}(V_{n,n-k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}) = \{ \varphi : ||\varphi||_{p,\nu} < \infty \},
\]

where the \( L^p \) norm on \( V_{n,n-k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \) is taken with respect to the measure \( dv dt \);

\[
v_0 = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n-k} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in V_{n,n-k},
\]

\( I_{n-k} \) is the identity \((n-k) \times (n-k)\) matrix. Then

\[
||\varphi||_{p,\nu} = \left( \sigma_{n-k-1} \int_0^\infty r^{p+2n-k-1} dr \int d\gamma \int_{O(n)} |\varphi(\gamma v_0, r\omega e_1)|^p d\omega \right)^{1/p},
\]

if \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), and

\[
||\varphi||_{\infty,\nu} = \text{ess sup}_{r,\gamma,\omega} |r|^p |\varphi(\gamma v_0, r\omega e_1)|.
\]

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1/p + 1/p' = 1, \alpha > 0 \). Suppose

\[
\nu = \mu - \alpha - k/p',
\]

(3.8) 

\[
\alpha + k - n/p < \mu < n/p'.
\]
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Then \( \| R_k^\alpha f \|_{p,\nu} \leq c_{\alpha,k} \| f \|_{p,\mu} \), where

\[
c_{\alpha,k} = \| R_k^\alpha \| = 2^{-\alpha} n^{k/2} \left( \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \right)^{1/p} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{n/p' - \mu}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\mu + n/p - k - \alpha}{2} \right)} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{\mu + n/p - k - \alpha}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{n/p' - \mu + a}{2} \right)}.
\]

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 3.2.

#### 3.1.1. Step 1.

The necessity of (3.8) is a consequence of the equalities

\[
\| f_\lambda \|_{p,\mu} = \lambda^{-\mu-n/p} \| f \|_{p,\mu}, \quad \| R_k^\alpha f_\lambda \|_{p,\nu} = \lambda^{-\nu-\alpha-k/p'} \| R_k^\alpha f \|_{p,\nu},
\]

where \( f_\lambda(x) = f(\lambda x), \lambda > 0 \). Let \( \gamma \in O(n) \) and \( \omega \in O(n-k) \) be such that \( v = \gamma v_0, t = |t| \omega e_1 \). Changing variables in (3.4) by setting \( x = |t| \gamma \tilde{\omega} y, \tilde{\omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & I_k \end{bmatrix} \), we obtain

\[
(R_k^\alpha f)(\gamma v_0, |t| \omega e_1) = \frac{|\mu|^{\alpha+k}}{\gamma_{n-k}(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|t| \gamma \tilde{\omega} y) |v_0 y - e_1|^{\alpha+k-n} dy.
\]

Suppose first \( 1 \leq p < \infty \). Then, by Minkowski’s inequality, owing to (3.6) and (3.8), the norm \( \| R_k^\alpha f \|_{p,\nu} \) does not exceed the following:

\[
\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{O(n)} \int_{O(n-k)} r^{(p'+n-1)\nu} \|R_k^\alpha f(\gamma v_0, r \omega e_1)\|_{p,\nu}^p \rho \rho' \gamma^{1/p} \right)^{1/p} \\
\leq \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}^{1/p}}{\gamma_{n-k}(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |v_0 y - e_1|^{\alpha+k-n} \\
\times \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{O(n)} \int_{O(n-k)} |f(r \gamma \tilde{\omega} y)|^{p' \rho \rho' + n-1} \rho \rho' \gamma d\gamma dr \right)^{1/p} dy = c_{\alpha,k} \| f \|_{p,\mu},
\]

\[
(3.11) \quad c_{\alpha,k} = \left( \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \right)^{1/p} \frac{1}{\gamma_{n-k}(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |v_0 y - e_1|^{\alpha+k-n}|y|^{-\mu-n/p} dy.
\]

If \( p = \infty \) we similarly have \( \| R_k^\alpha f \|_{\infty,\nu} \leq c_{\alpha,k} \| f \|_{\infty,\mu} \), where \( c_{\alpha,k} \) has the same form as above with \( 1/p = n/p = 0 \).
To compute \( c_{\alpha,k} \), we set \( \lambda = \mu + n/p \). Then

\[
c_{\alpha,k} = \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}^{1/p}}{\sigma_{n-1}^{1/p} \gamma_{n-k}(\alpha)} \int |y' - e_1|^{\alpha + k - n} dy' \int (|y'|^2 + |y''|^2)^{-\lambda/2} dy'' = c_1 c_2,
\]

where

\[
c_1 = \left( \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \right)^{1/p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (1 + |z|^2)^{-\lambda/2} dz = \left( \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \right)^{1/p} \frac{\pi^{k/2} \Gamma((\lambda - k)/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda/2)},
\]

\[
c_2 = \frac{1}{\gamma_{n-k}(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}} |y' - e_1|^{\alpha + k - n} |y'|^{\lambda - \lambda} dy' = \frac{\gamma_{n-k}(n - \lambda)}{\gamma_{n-k}(n - \lambda + \alpha)};
\]

see also (3.5). It remains to put these formulas together and make obvious simplifications. Note that the repeated integral in the expression for \( c_{\alpha,k} \) is finite if and only if \( \alpha + k - n/p < \mu < n/p' \), which is (3.9). Thus \( ||R_k^\alpha|| \leq c_{\alpha,k} \).

3.1.2. Step 2. To prove that \( ||R_k^\alpha|| \geq c_{\alpha,k} \) we follow the reasoning from Section 2.2.2. For any \( f \in L^p_\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( \varphi \in L^{p'}_{-\nu}(V_{n,n-k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}) \),

\[
I = \int_{V_{n,n-k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}} (R_k^\alpha f)(v, t) \varphi(v, t) dtdv \leq ||R_k^\alpha|| ||f||_{p,\mu} ||\varphi||_{p',-\nu}.
\]

Let \( f(x) \equiv f_0(|x|) \geq 0 \), \( \varphi(x, v) \equiv \varphi_0(|v|) \geq 0 \). Then

\[
I = \frac{1}{\gamma_{n-k}(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \varphi_0(|v|) |v|^{\alpha + k} dt \int f_0(|t||y|) |v_0^T y - e_1|^{\alpha + k - n} dy
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}}{\gamma_{n-k}(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}} d\sigma(\eta) \int_0^{\infty} |sv_0^T \eta - e_1|^{\alpha + k - n} s^{n-1} ds \int_0^{\infty} \varphi_0(r) f_0(rs) r^{n+\alpha-1} dr.
\]

Suppose \( 1 < p < \infty \). Then \( ||f||_{p,\mu} \) can be computed by (2.15) and

\[
||\varphi||_{p',-\nu} = \left( \sigma_{n-k-1} \int_0^{\infty} r^{-\nu p' + n-k-1} \varphi_0^p dr \right)^{1/p}
\]

cf. (3.6). Choose \( \varphi_0(r) = r^{\mu p - \alpha} f_0^{p-1}(r) \). Then

\[
||\varphi||_{p',-\nu} = \left( \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \right)^{1/p'} \||f||_{p,\mu}^{p-1}.
\]
and we have
\[
\frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}}{\gamma_{n-k}(\alpha)} \int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma(\eta) \int_0^\infty |sv_0^T \eta - e_1|^{\alpha+k-n}s^{n-1} ds \int_0^{f_0^{p-1}(r)} f_0(rs) r^{\mu p+n-1} dr
\]
(3.12) \[\leq \left( \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \right)^{1/p'} ||R_k^\alpha|| ||f||_{p,\mu}^p.
\]
Setting \(f_0(r) = 0\) if \(r < 1\) and \(f_0(r) = r^{-\mu-n/p-\varepsilon}\), \(\varepsilon > 0\), if \(r > 1\), we obtain \(||f||_{p,\mu} = \sigma_{n-1}/\varepsilon p\), and therefore,
\[
||R_k^\alpha|| \geq \left( \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \right)^{1/p} \frac{1}{\gamma_{n-k}(\alpha)} \int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma(\eta)
\]
\[
\times \int_0^\infty |sv_0^T \eta - e_1|^{\alpha+k-n}s^{n-1-\mu-n/p-\varepsilon} \left\{ s^{\varepsilon p}, \begin{array}{ll} s < 1, & 1, \text{ } s > 1 \end{array} \right\} ds
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \right)^{1/p} \frac{1}{\gamma_{n-k}(\alpha)} \int_{g^n} |v_0^T y - e_1|^{\alpha+k-n}|y|^{\mu+n/p+\varepsilon} \left\{ |y|^{\varepsilon p}, \begin{array}{ll} |y| < 1, & 1, \text{ } |y| > 1 \end{array} \right\} dy;
\]
cf. (3.11) Passing to the limit as \(\varepsilon \to 0\), we obtain \(||R_k^\alpha|| \geq c_{\alpha,k}\). The cases \(p = 1\) and \(p = \infty\) are treated as in Section 2.2.2.

### 3.2. Weighted norm estimates for the \(k\)-plane transform.

The following statement deals with the \(k\)-plane transform (3.3) and formally corresponds to \(\alpha = 0\) in Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \(1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1/p + 1/p' = 1\). Suppose that
\[
\nu = \mu - k/p', \quad \mu > k - n/p.
\]
Then \(||R_k f||_{p,\nu} \leq c_k ||f||_{p,\mu}\), where
\[
c_k = ||R_k|| = \pi^{k/2} \left( \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \right)^{1/p} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu+n/p-k}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu+n/p}{2}\right)}.
\]

**Proof.** As before, the conditions (3.13) are sharp. To prove the norm inequality, as in Section 3.1.1 we set \(v = \gamma v_0, t = r\omega e_1, \gamma \in O(n), \omega \in O(n-k), r > 0\). This gives
\[
(R_k f)(\gamma v_0, r\omega e_1) = r^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(r\gamma \omega (e_1 + z)) dz, \quad \omega = \begin{bmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & I_k \end{bmatrix}.
\]
If $1 \leq p < \infty$, then combining (3.6) with Minkowski’s inequality, we majorize $\|R_kf\|_{p,\nu}$ by the following:

$$\left( \sigma_{n-k-1} \int_0^\infty \int O(n) O(n-k) r^{\nu p + n - k - 1} |(R_k f)(\gamma \nu_0, r \omega e_1)|^p d\omega d\gamma dr \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq \sigma_{n-k-1}^{1/p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left( \int_0^\infty \int O(n) O(n-k) f(r \gamma \tilde{\omega} (e_1 + z)) |r^{\mu p + n - 1} d\omega d\gamma dr \right)^{1/p} dz$$

$$= c_k \|f\|_{p,\mu},$$

$$c_k = \left( \frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \right)^{1/p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (1 + |z|^2)^{-\frac{\mu + n/p}{2}} d\omega.$$  

The last integral was computed in the previous section. In the case $p = \infty$ we similarly have $\|R_kf\|_{\infty,\nu} \leq c_k \|f\|_{\infty,\mu}$ with $1/p = n/p = 0$. The proof of the equality $c_k = \|R_k\|$ mimics that in Theorem 2.1. □
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