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Abstract

This paper presents a design for a compact arbitrarily terminated port impedance tunable bandpass filter (BPF) that employs a dual-mode resonator. The proposed dual-mode resonator comprises two varactors along with series transmission lines and a shunt short-circuited stub. The resonant frequency separation of the dual-mode resonator can be adjusted by changing the length or characteristic impedance of the short-circuited stub. To achieve arbitrarily terminated port impedances, the coupling between the source/load and the dual-resonator is modified from the originally designed 50-to-50 Ω termination filter. Frequency selective characteristics are achieved by generating two TZs at the lower and upper frequencies of the passband. The location of the TZs can be changed by controlling the source-load coupling. To experimentally validate the proposed tunable BPF, three prototypes (50-to-50 Ω BPF, 25-to-50 Ω BPF, and 20+j10-to-50 Ω BPFs) are designed and fabricated. The measurement results revealed that the center frequency can be tuned from 2.10 GHz to 3.02 GHz (920 MHz tunability), where the insertion loss varies from 1.50 to 2.5 dB.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Microwave/millimeter wave bandpass filters (BPFs) with multifunctional capabilities are highly desirable for their potential to reduce circuit size and reduce the cost of next-generation wireless communication systems [1, 2]. So far, many designs have been proposed for tunable BPFs that employ different tuning elements such as varactor diodes, RF microelectromechanical systems (MEMS), or PIN diodes [3–5]. Among these, varactor-diode based planar tunable BPFs are of particular interest for their ease of easy integration into microwave communication systems. Previous studies have proposed various planar tunable BPF designs, each with a different topology and functionality, including bandpass to bandstop switchable, multi-band, and bandwidth (BW) control [6–13]. A planar tunable BPF that used a switched varactor diode resonator over a wide frequency tuning range (FTR) was presented in [14], however, this BPF had poor frequency selectivity characteristics. In [15], a two-pole tunable BPF was presented over a wide FTR, however, this design required numerous tuning elements and dc-bias voltage control elements.

Recently, tunable BPFs based on multi-mode resonators have attracted attention for their potential to reduce circuit size [16–19]. In [20], a tunable BPF based on a synchronously tuned dual-mode resonator was tested. In addition, an electronically tunable planar BPF using a nonuniform Q-factor of dual-mode resonators was presented in [21] to enhance passband flatness. In [22], a wideband tunable BPF was designed with a multi-
mode step-impedance resonator (SIR), however, this design exhibited poor frequency selectivity characteristics. Similarly, a second-order quasi-elliptic tunable BPF with a constant 3-dB BW using varactor-tuned dual-mode resonators was presented in [23]. In [24], a tunable BPF based on an element-variable coupling matrix and dual-mode resonators was demonstrated; however, this design required numerous tuning elements and a dc-bias control voltage element. The wideband tunable BPF based on a tunable external Q-factor and the multi-mode resonators presented in [25] also suffered some issues. In [26], a tunable BPF with a single dc-bias control was presented; however, this design exhibited high insertion loss and poor frequency selectivity characteristics.

In recent years, various BPFs with arbitrarily terminated port impedances have been reported [27–30]. However, these arbitrarily terminated port impedances BPFs were reported at a fixed center frequency. Meanwhile, conventional tunable BPFs are limited to a 50- to 50-Ω (Rs = Rs = 50 Ω) termination impedance design. Thus, designing a frequency-selective BPF capable of center frequency tuning and arbitrary termination impedance is an important step in the miniaturization of emerging next-generation wireless communication systems.

This paper proposes a frequency selective tunable BPF with arbitrarily terminated port impedances based on a dual-mode tunable resonator. In this design, frequency selectivity is achieved by generating transmission zeros (TZs) located at the lower and upper frequencies of the passband. The proposed dual-mode tunable BPF with arbitrary port termination impedance is designed by modifying the coupling matrix of a 50- to 50-Ω frequency-fixed filter.

II. DESIGN METHOD

Fig. 1(a) depicts the proposed structure of a tunable BPF where the source and load ports are arbitrarily terminated with Rs ± jXs and Rs ± jXs impedances, respectively. The proposed tunable BPF comprises a dual-mode resonator that provides the even- and odd-mode resonant frequencies. Fig. 1(b) presents the coupling diagram of the proposed BPF, where each node represents even- and odd-mode resonant frequencies. In this figure, the solid and dashed lines illustrate the direct coupling and cross-coupling paths, respectively. Using a lossless (N + 2) (N + 2) filter model, the coupling matrix of the proposed tunable BPF is given as (1), where the source and load ports are normalized to 1 Ω.

\[
[M] = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & M_{Se} & M_{So} & M_{SL} \\
M_{Se} & M_{ee} + x & 0 & M_{Le} \\
M_{So} & 0 & M_{oo} + x & M_{Lo} \\
M_{SL} & M_{Le} & M_{Lo} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (1)

The diagonal elements of the coupling matrix have nonzero values, which represent the susceptance of a dual-mode resonator. The self-resonant frequencies (f_s, even-mode; f_o, odd-mode) of a dual-mode resonator can be calculated by as follows:

\[
f_{e/o} = \frac{f_c}{2} \left( 4 + (M_{ee/oo} + x)^2 \Delta^2 - (M_{ee/oo} + x) \Delta \right),
\] (2)

where \( f_c, \Delta \), and \( x \) indicate the center frequency, fractional BW, and tuning element of the filter, respectively.

For a tunable BPF with a normalized arbitrary source impedance (Rs ± jXs) and load impedance (Rs ± jXs), the (N + 2) (N + 2) coupling matrix of filter is evaluated as follows:

\[
[M_{new}] = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & M'_{Se} & M'_{So} & M'_{SL} \\
M'_{Se} & M'_{ee} + x & 0 & M'_{Le} \\
M'_{So} & 0 & M'_{oo} + x & M'_{Lo} \\
M'_{SL} & M'_{Le} & M'_{Lo} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (3)

where

\[
M'_{Se} = \frac{M_{Se}}{\sqrt{r_s}} - \frac{x_s}{r_s} M^2_{Se}, \quad M'_{So} = \frac{M_{So}}{\sqrt{r_s}} + \frac{x_s}{r_s} M^2_{So}
\] (4a)

\[
M'_{Le} = \frac{M_{Le}}{\sqrt{r_L}} + \frac{x_L}{r_L} M^2_{Le}, \quad M'_{Lo} = \frac{M_{Lo}}{\sqrt{r_L}} - \frac{x_L}{r_L} M^2_{Lo}
\] (4b)

\[
M'_{ee} = M_{ee} - \frac{x_s}{r_s} M^2_{Se}, \quad M'_{oo} = M_{oo} - \frac{x_s}{r_s} M^2_{So}
\] (4c)

\[
M'_{SL} = \frac{M_{SL}}{\sqrt{r_s r_L}}, \quad r_s = \frac{R_s}{50}, \quad \frac{x_s}{50}, \quad r_L = \frac{R_L}{50}, \quad \frac{x_L}{50}
\] (4d)

Similarly, Rs and RL are the real parts and Xs and XL are the imaginary parts of the source and load port impedances, respectively, which are normalized with reference to 50 Ω. The \( S \)-parameters of an arbitrary impedance terminated BPF can be obtained as:

\[
S_{11} = 1 + \frac{2j}{r_s} [A^{-1}]_{1,1}, S_{21} = -\frac{2j}{\sqrt{r_s r_L}} [A^{-1}]_{N+2,1},
\] (5)

where

\[
[A] = [M_{new} - jR + \omega W]
\] (6a)
\[ \omega = \left( \frac{f_c - f_s}{f_c} \right) \]

\[ W = \frac{\omega L}{\omega C} \]

\[ \Delta = \frac{f_c}{f_s} \]

\[ R \] is the \((N+2) \times (N+2)\) zero matrix, except for the non-zero entries of \(R_{11} = 1/r_5\) and \(R_{N+2,N+2} = 1/r_7\). Similarly, \([W]\) is the \((N+2) \times (N+2)\) identity matrix except for \(W_{11} = 0\) and \(W_{N+2,N+2} = 0\).

Let us consider a case in which \(f_c\) and \(\Delta\) are 2.50 GHz and 4.40\%, respectively, for a Chebyshev filter with a ripple of 0.043 dB. The synthesized \((N+2) \times (N+2)\) coupling matrix of the proposed tunable BPF with source and load ports of 1 Ω can be determined as.

\[
[M] = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -0.8597 & 0.8146 & 0.0630 \\
-0.8597 & 1.6619 + x & 0 & 0.8597 \\
0.8146 & 0 & -1.6675 + x & 0.8146 \\
0.0630 & 0.8597 & 0.8146 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(7)

The \((N+2) \times (N+2)\) coupling matrix of the arbitrarily terminated BPF can be calculated using (3) and (7).

Fig. 2 shows the synthesis result of a tunable BPFs using a coupling matrix. The center frequency is tuned from 2.10 GHz to 3 GHz by varying \(\omega C\). Similarly, the TZs are also moved while tuning the center frequencies. These results indicated that even though the source and load termination impedances \((R_3\) and \(R_2)\) of BPF are chosen arbitrarily, the response remains identical.

1. Proposed Dual-Mode Resonator

Fig. 3 shows the structure of the proposed dual-mode resonator, which comprises of series transmission lines (TLs) with characteristics impedance of \(Z_2\) and \(Z_1\), electrical lengths of \(\theta_2\), \(\theta_1\), and \(\theta_3\); and a shunt short-circuited stub with a characteristic impedance of \(Z_3\) and an electrical length of \(\theta_3\). Fig. 3(b) and 3(c) depict the even- and odd-mode equivalent circuits. Using these circuits, the even- and odd-mode input admittances are derived as follows:

\[ Y_{ine} = jY_2 \frac{Y_L^e + Y_L^o \tan \beta L_2}{Y_2 - Y_L^o \tan \beta L_2} \]

(8a)

\[ Y_{ino} = jY_2 \frac{Y_L^o + Y_L^e \tan \beta L_2}{Y_2 - Y_L^e \tan \beta L_2} \]

(8b)

where

\[ Y_L^e = Y_1 \frac{Y_{in}^e + Y_L \tan \beta L_1}{Y_1 - Y_{in}^e \tan \beta L_1}, \quad Y_L^o = Y_1 \frac{Y_{in}^o + Y_L \tan \beta L_1}{Y_1 - Y_{in}^o \tan \beta L_1} \]

(9a)

\[ Y_{in} = \frac{\omega C_v A}{\omega C_v (2Y_1^e + Y_k \cot \beta L_k \tan \beta L_0) + A} \]

(9b)

\[ A = 2Y_1^e \tan \beta L_0 - Y_1^o \cot \beta L_k \cot \beta L_0 \]

(9c)

The even- and odd-mode resonant frequencies \((f_{e0}\) and \(f_{o0})\) can be calculated by equating \(\text{im}(Y_{ine}) = 0\) and \(\text{im}(Y_{ino}) = 0\).

Fig. 4(a) shows the calculated resonant frequencies for different values of \(C_v\). The frequencies \(f_e\) and \(f_o\) are tuned by changing the varactor diode capacitance from 1 pF to 20 pF. Similarly, Fig. 4(b) shows the simulated resonant frequencies for different values of \(L_k\). Here, the value of \(C_v\) is maintained at 1 pF. As highlighted in this figure, the even-mode resonant frequency moves lower as the \(L_k\) increases, however, the odd-mode resonant frequency remains constant. These results confirm that the separation between the even- and odd-mode resonant frequencies can be controlled by \(L_k\).

2. External Quality Factors

Fig. 5 shows the configuration of even- and odd-mode external Q-factors that are controlled by the series TL physical parameters \(W_1\) and \(L_s\), as well as the coupled line physical parameter \(g_2\). The external Q-factors can be extracted using the method.
proposed in [20] as follows:

\[ Q_e = \frac{\pi f_c \tau_{311}(f_c)}{2}, \quad Q_o = \frac{\pi f_c \tau_{511}(f_c)}{2}, \]  

where \( Q_e \) and \( Q_o \) indicate for even and odd-mode external Q-factors, respectively. Similarly, \( \tau_{311} \) is the group delay at \( f_c \) and \( f_o \).

Fig. 6(a) and (b) show the extracted even- and odd-mode external Q-factors as functions of \( W_s \) and \( g_2 \), respectively. The external Q-factors increases with the values of \( W_s \) and \( g_2 \) and the desired external Q-factors are therefore obtained by controlling \( W_s \) and \( g_2 \). Similarly, Fig. 6(c) shows the extracted Q-factor as a function of the center frequency. As indicated in this figure, the extracted Q-quality factors are nearly constant across a wide range of center frequencies. A summary of the step-by-step design method for the proposed BPF is provided in Fig. 7.

To validate the proposed arbitrary port-terminated tunable BPF, the simulation results of 50-to-50 \( \Omega \), 25-to-50 \( \Omega \) and 20 + j10-to 50 \( \Omega \) microstrip line BPFs as shown in Figs. 8 and 9, are compared with the coupling matrix synthesis results. The two TZs located at the lower and upper sides of the passband are generated by source-load coupling, which is implemented through a coupled line. The simulation results of microstrip line BPFs are consistent with the coupling matrix synthesis results.
These results indicate that the tunable BPF with input/output complex port impedances can be designed by modifying the input/output external quality factors and even-mode resonant frequency of the dual-mode resonator. The center frequency is tuned from 2.08 GHz to 3 GHz by varying the value of $C_v$ from 1.16 pF to 60 pF. Moreover, the two TZs are also tuned as the center frequency is tuned.

To investigate the effect of source-load coupling, the simulation results obtained with a different gap ($g_c$) between the coupled lines, as shown in Fig. 10, are analyzed. As shown in the figure, the TZs move slightly away from the passband as the value of $g_c$ increases.

**III. SIMULATION AND MEASUREMENT RESULTS**

To experimentally validate the proposed BPF, three tunable BPF prototypes (50-to-50 Ω, 25-to-50 Ω and $20 + j 10$-to-50 Ω) are fabricated and measured using the Taconic substrate (dielectric constant $\varepsilon_r = 2.20$ and thickness $h = 0.787$ mm, and loss tangent $\tan \delta = 0.0009$). Each tunable BPF was designed using a Chebyshev response with a passband return loss of 20 dB for an FTR between 2.10 GHz and 3 GHz. Variable capacitances are implemented using varactor diode SMV 1233-079LF (Skyworks Corporation), which provides diode capacitance between 1.1 pF and 60 pF at 2 GHz by varying the reverse bias-voltage between 15 and 0 V. The physical dimensions of the fabricated the BPFs are shown in Table 1.

Fig. 11 shows the simulated and measured results of the 50-to-50 Ω ($R_S = R_L = 50$ Ω, $X_S = X_L = 0$ Ω) tunable BPF. The measurement results are consistent with those of the simulation results, confirming that the center frequency is tuned from 2.1 GHz to 3.02 GHz (920 MHz or an FTR of 35.94%), while the insertion loss varies from 2.82 dB to 1.66 dB and the 3-dB BW varies from 238 to 265 MHz. Similarly, the measured return losses are better than 12.5 dB in the overall FTR.

Fig. 12 shows the simulation and measurement results of the 25-to-50 Ω ($R_S = 25$ Ω, $R_L = 50$ Ω and $X_S = X_L = 0$ Ω) tunable BPF. The measured center frequency is tuned from 2.2 GHz to 3.02 GHz (820 MHz) with an FTR of 31.42%. Similarly, the
Table 1. Physical dimensions of fabricated BPFs

| 50-to-50 Ω BPF  |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 50-to-50 Ω     |   |   |   |   |   |
| BPF            |   |   |   |   |   |
| $R_S = 50$ Ω, $R_L = 50$ Ω, $X_S = X_L = 0$ Ω |   |   |   |   |   |
| $W_1$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $L_1$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $W_2$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $L_2$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $g_2$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $W_1$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $L_1$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $W_2$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $L_2$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $g_2$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $W_1$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $L_1$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $W_2$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $L_2$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $g_2$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $W_1$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $L_1$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $W_2$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $L_2$          |   |   |   |   |   |
| $g_2$          |   |   |   |   |   |

The dimensions are in millimeters.

Fig. 11. Simulation and measurement results of the 50-to-50 Ω BPF: (a) $S$-parameters, and (b) measured insertion loss and 3-dB bandwidth.

Fig. 12. Simulation and measurement results of 25-to-50 Ω BPF: (a) $S$-parameters, and (b) measured insertion loss and 3-dB bandwidth.

Fig. 13. Simulation and measurement results of 20 + j10-to-50 Ω BPF: (a) $S$-parameters, and (b) measured insertion loss and 3-dB bandwidth.

The measured insertion loss varies from 2.4 dB to 1.67 dB whereas the 3-dB BW varies from 249 to 277 MHz. The measured return losses in the overall FTR are better than 12.5 dB.

Fig. 13 shows the simulation and measurement results of the 20 + j10-to-50 Ω ($R_S = 20$ Ω, $X_S = 10$ Ω and $R_L = 50$ Ω, $X_L = 0$ Ω) tunable BPF. The center frequency is tuned from 2.10 GHz
to 3.01 GHz (910 MHz) with a FTR of 35.62%. Similarly, the measured insertion loss varies from 2.55 dB to 1.76 dB whereas 3-dB BW varies from 242 to 282 MHz. The measured return losses in the overall FTR are better than 12 dB. Photographs of the fabricated filters are shown in Fig. 14.

Table 2 compares the proposed tunable BPF with those described in previous studies. As observed from Table 2, the previously reported tunable BPFs have limited to a 50-to-50 Ω termination impedance filter design [11–26]. Similarly, in [27] presents a direct coupling matrix synthesis of arbitrary input and output port impedances. In [28], a second-order all pole (without TZs) BPF with only one port complex impedance is demonstrated using substrate-integrated evanescent mode (EVA) cavity resonators. The work in [29] presents an active N+3 coupling based BPF design by incorporating a transistor model for small-signal input conjugately matched input impedance. In [30], the arbitrary input and output port complex impedances BPF without any TZs is designed and fabricated using an SIR. However, since these previous studies [27–30] have experimentally demonstrated arbitrarily terminated BPF at a fixed center frequency, they might have faced difficulty in the physical realization of arbitrary input and output port impedance tunable BPFs. In contrast, the present study demonstrates arbitrarily terminated port impedance tunable BPFs (real-to-real and real-to-complex port impedances BPFs) over a wide FTR as well as two TZs. The result shows that the impedance transformer and frequency-selective tunable BPF can be integrated within a single circuit.

![Fig. 14. Photographs of the fabricated tunable BPFs: (a) 50-to-50 Ω and (b) 25-to-50 Ω BPF, and (c) 20 +/−10-to-50 Ω BPF.](image)

**Table 2. Performances comparison between the proposed design and those proposed in previous studies**

| Study                | Frequency (GHz) | $R_s/R_t$ (Ω) | IL (dB) | 3-dB BW (MHz) | RL (dB) | TZs | NVR | NCV |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|
| Gao and Rebeiz [11]  | 0.97–1.53       | 50/50         | 4.2–2.0 | 48–92         | >10     | Yes | 7   | 4   |
| Chiu and Rebeiz [12] | 1.5–2.20        | 50/50         | 5.1–3.2 | 50–170        | >10     | Yes | 9   | 3   |
| Chiu and Rebeiz [13] | 1.75–2.25       | 50/50         | 7.2–3.2 | 70–100        | >10     | Yes | 5   | 2   |
| Jung and Min [14]    | 0.255–0.455     | 50/50         | 1.8–1.40| 70–76         | >10     | No  | 10  | 4   |
| Chi et al. [15]      | 1.7–2.70        | 50/50         | 4.9–3.8 | 50–110        | >10     | Yes | 7   | 4   |
| Lu et al. [16]       | 0.70–1.78       | 50/50         | 4.5–2.0 | 70–98         | >10     | Yes | 2   | 1   |
| Chaudhary et al. [17]| 2.36–2.85       | 50/50         | 3.52–1.45| NA           | >12     | Yes | 3   | 2   |
| Tang and Hong [18]   | 0.60–1.03       | 50/50         | 2.2–1.40| 80–90         | >10     | Yes | 4   | 2   |
| Lu et al. [20]       | 1.15–2          | 50/50         | 3.6–2.40| 110–118       | >10     | Yes | 2   | 1   |
| Guo et al. [21]      | 1.35–1.60       | 50/50         | 4.90–2.30| NA           | >10     | Yes | 10  | 5   |
| Chen et al. [22]     | 0.77–1.42       | 50/50         | 3.10–1.0 | 184–360      | >10     | No  | 6   | 3   |
| Athukorala and Budi mir [23]| 1.45–1.96 | 50/50 | 1.6–2.50 | 210–220 | >10 | Yes | 2 | 2 |
| Lu et al. [24]       | 0.8–1.21        | 50/50         | 3.8–1.8 | 130–140       | >10     | Yes | 10  | 4   |
| Lim et al. [26]      | 1.52–2.91       | 50/50         | 3.2–1.70| 412–878       | >10     | No  | 3   | 1   |
| Chen et al. [28]     | 3              | 3 – 5/50      | NA      | NA            | >12     | No  | NA  | NA  |
| Gao et al. [29]      | 10             | NA            | NA      | NA            | >13     | No  | NA  | NA  |
| Kim and Jeong [30]   | 2.60           | 30 + 10/50    | 0.89    | 140           | >19.33  | No  | NA  | NA  |
| **This work**        | 2.10–3.02      | 50/50         | 2.82–1.66| 238–265      | >12.5   | Yes | 2   | 1   |
|                     | 2.20–3.02      | 25/50         | 2.40–1.67| 249–277      | >12.8   | Yes | 2   | 1   |
|                     | 2.10–3.04      | 20 + 10/50    | 2.55–1.80| 242–285      | >12     | Yes | 2   | 1   |

IL = insertion loss, RL = return loss, NVR = number of varactor diode, NCV = number of control voltage.

*1-dB BW, TZs on both sides of passband frequency. **TZs at only one side of passband frequency.
IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we demonstrated an arbitrarily terminated port impedances tunable BPF with transmission zeros. The proposed tunable BPF is based on a coupling matrix and a dual-mode tunable resonator. The designed tunable BPF with arbitrary termination impedance modified couplings between source/load and resonators of a 50-to-50 Ω BPF design. To validate the proposed design, three microstrip line tunable BPFs prototypes (50-to-50 Ω, 25-to-50 Ω, and 20+j10-to-50 Ω BPF) are designed and fabricated. The measurement results revealed that the center frequency is tuned across a wide frequency range.
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