Structural, Magnetic, and X-Band Microwave Absorbing Properties of Ni-Ferrites Prepared Using Oxidized Mill Scales
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Abstract

This study aims to evaluate the structural, magnetic, and microwave absorbing properties at the X-band region of oxidized mill scales as by-product derived from a steel making process by means of a facile solid-state reaction. The oxidized mill scales were heated at 600 °C for 4 h followed by mixing with NiO. A calcination process took place at 900 °C and sintering process were conducted at 1260 °C with a milling process conducted in between the heating process. X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) were employed to evaluate the structural properties of the Ni-ferrites samples. Remacomp measurement were conducted to evaluate the magnetic properties and vector network analyzer (VNA) to measure its microwave properties. A single phase of NiFe2O4 was confirmed by XRD data. The site occupancies derived from the Rietveld refinement shows that the Ni:Fe:O ratio deviates from the 1:2:4 ratio as that suggests vacancies formed in the Ni2+ and Fe3+ that lowers the unit cell density to 5.08 g/cm3 that further confirmed by EDS measurement. The coercivity of 11 kOe is also higher than the bulk NiFe2O4 prepared by the chemical grade raw materials. The reflection data of the microwave properties at X-band of 8-12 GHz do not shows significant absorptions. This study suggests that the selected preparation method yields a single phase, however with the significant crystallographic defects and has less ‘soft’ magnetic properties compared to NiFe2O4 prepared using chemical grade by previous study.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The iron and steel making industry are estimated to generate more than ten million tons of scales every year globally [1]. The scales have ranging levels of oxidation depending on the type of the milling process it derives from. Recovery of such scales are usually includes the re-melting and reduction process, which are energy-exhaustive [1]-[2], even more so for scales that are derived from milling process at elevated temperatures. The scales from this process have higher oxidation states, therefore, to yield the metal form, it requires similar amount energy to the extraction from its raw mineral. The high content of the iron in the scales allows it to be considered secondary raw materials. The greener alternative is to utilize the scales to produce material in the ferrites form.

Compared to steel and irons that are dominantly utilized as structural materials, ferrites have the application in the electrical and electronics that partly owing to their particular permutivity and permeability values. Several studies attempted to utilize mill scales to produce ferrites such as Zn-ferrites [3], Ba-hexaferrites [3]-[4], Mg-ferrites [5], and NiZn-ferrites [6]. Ni-ferrites has considerably lower magnetic crystalline anisotropy constant of $0.07 \times 10^6$ erg/cm$^3$ [7] in comparison to other ferrites, such as, NiZn-ferrites at $2.63 \times 10^5$ to $5.94 \times 10^5$ erg/cm$^3$ [8], Co-ferrites at $2.96 \times 10^5$ erg/cm$^3$ [7] and Mg-ferrites $6.1 \times 10^6$ erg/cm$^3$ [9]. Lower values of the magnetocrystalline anisotropy is associated with lower loss of energy for each magnetization and demagnetization cycle, thus suitable to be classified as a soft magnetic material. NiFe$_2$O$_4$ as ferrimagnetic materials are closely related with the resonance behaviour, which is strongly associated to magnetic materials. Ferromagnetic resonance, electron paramagnetic resonance, among others, are few phenomenons occur at varying frequencies [10], which compose the resonance behaviour of materials. X-band (8-12 GHz) is widely used in terrestrial wireless communication. Combined with its low electrical conductivity that results in preventing eddy currents and its high permeability, ferrites can be used in telecommunication application in both resonance and non-resonance states. Significant resonance effect in X-band results in the possibility of utilizing Ni-ferrites prepared using mill scale as electromagnetic shielding by absorbing the incoming electromagnetic waves, whereas the non-existence of resonance behaviour in Ni-ferrites makes it potential to use as circulators.

In the previous study, we have tried to prepare the hexagonal barium ferrites using the mill scale with the
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The oxidized mill scale was obtained from the hot strip mill in steel making process. The Fe-rich oxidized mill scales were first washed using demineralized water then crushed and ground into a powder form. Milling for 50 h in a jar mill helped breaks down most of the scales with subsequent screening using a 45 μm opening mesh. Roasting at 600 °C for 4 h was conducted to aid the formation of Fe3+ ions by first increasing the amount of hematite (rhombohedral Fe2O3) as our previous study suggests [4]. Mixing with NiO powders (technical grade, Anhui Fitech Materials) were conducted in a high energy shaker mill for 10 h. Calcination were brought at 900°C for 4 h. The resulting powders then further milled in the shaker mill for 5 h with the addition of polyvinyl alcohol (Merck) that acts as a binder for the sintering process. The powders were compacted into ring-shaped pellets with dimension of outer diameter 8.2 ± 0.1 mm, inner diameter of 3.9 ± 0.1 mm, and height of 3.8 ± 0.2 mm compacted at 5.9 ton/cm², 8.4 ton/cm², and 10.9 ton/cm². The variations for the compaction pressures were selected at relatively high pressure to encourage the densification of the powders. The sintering process was conducted at 1260 °C for 3 h.

B. Characterization

X-ray fluorescence (XRF, Bruker, S2PUMA) of the mill scale were carried out to reveal the elemental composition of the mill scale as the raw materials. Structural investigation was first performed by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance) measurement using Cu-Kα radiation to determine the phase formation, further analyzed using Rietveld refinements with Fullprof program [17]. A scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL JSM-IT300LV) that was equipped with X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was used to provide the microstructure profile and analyze the distribution of the elemental composition. Mass density was measured with Archimedes method using a Mettler Toledo, ML204T.

Magnetic hysteresis was obtained using a Remacomp (Magnet-Physik) that offers high resolution in the lower field and is useful for measuring the coercivity for soft magnets. For this study, samples in the form of ring with varying compaction pressure were measured at a frequency of 800 Hz and amplitude of 0.95 V. The reflection loss was measured using a vector network analyzer (VNA, Anritsu MS46322A) with the cavity for measuring in the X-band region of 8-12 GHz. For this measurement, the powdered sample was placed in an acrylic die of dimension 25 mm × 15 mm × 2 mm.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the elemental composition of the mill scales that was used as the starting materials. Other than Fe with a fraction of 96.913 ar. % as the main constituent of the scales. The amount of other elements present in the powders are not significant as alloying elements.

A. Materials and Synthesis

The utilization of the mill scales as the starting materials for the ferrite groups from the past studies have been laid out. To the best of our knowledge, the fabrication of Ni-ferrites using mill scales has not been found yet. In fact, in comparison to the studies within the vast ferrite group that are made using the analytic grade raw materials, the studies of the utilization of mill scale in ferrite making is still in its infancy. Furthermore, it is interesting to explore the potential usage of mill scale using the direct and facile solid-state method, evaluate its soft magnetic characteristics, as well as their response to the electromagnetic field.

Therefore, this paper aims to prepare Ni-ferrites using a facile solid-state method, utilizing mill scales that are considered as by-product or industrial waste, with the aid of oxidation process as the pre-treatment of the mill scales. The chemical and structural properties including the microstructure, the crystal structure, and elemental composition of Ni-ferrite prepared using the oxidized mill scales will be set forth. Its remanence, and the coercivity, as the parameters that well-suited to evaluate its magnetic “softness”, will also be presented in this study. Lastly, the reflection loss of Ni-ferrites prepared using mill scales, that represent its electromagnetic response in X-band region will also be provided.
Si, a common deoxidizer in steels and irons making [18], is detected at 1.811 ar. % or 0.601 wt. %, Mg is at third by atomic fraction that is accounted for 0.768 ar. %, Whereas, by weight, Mn is at third at 0.394 wt. %. Carbon as the common constituent of steels and irons [18], as well as other light elements are under the limitation of the XRF detections. Since XRF is incapable to shed some light of crystal arrangement of a sample, therefore XRD characterization is required to determine the constituent phase of the mill scale.

Figure 1 shows peaks identification of the powders through different stages of the synthesis process as measured by XRD. The peaks were compared to the crystallographic models of for Cu-kα X-ray source from the experiments by Jette and Fotte [19] for FeO (wustite), Bragg [20] for Fe₃O₄ (magnetite) Rozenberg et al. [21] for Fe₂O₃ (hematite), and Kremenovic et al. [22] for NiFe₂O₄. Using Rietveld refinement, the estimation of mole phase fraction is presented in Table 2. Figure 1.a) indicates that the crystal structure predominantly belongs to FeO (labelled as a) with higher intensity at 2θ of 43° compared to spherical crystallites. This suggests a strong preferred orientation of (200) and underdeveloped (111) at 2θ of 35°. This most likely is correlated with the hot strip mill process where force was applied during the formation of elongated steel bars, allowing a particular direction for crystal growth during the oxidation process. Low intensity peaks of Fe₃O₄ (labelled as b) were also observed, implying that further oxidation of the wustite took places. Rietveld refinement estimated that the FeO content is 97.9 mole. % whereas the Fe₃O₄ content is 2.1 mole. %.

The oxidation of the mill scale took place during the hot milling process is determined as (1).

$$2\text{Fe} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{FeO} \quad (1)$$

This reaction generated Fe²⁺ ions, and further oxidation took places as (2).

$$6\text{FeO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4 \quad (2)$$

During this reaction, two ions out of the three Fe²⁺ ions were oxidized into Fe³⁺. These reactions occurred during the steel-shaping process, whereas the milling process conducted in this study is to break down the chunks of the mill to prepare it for the roasting process.

Figure 1.b) shows the XRD pattern after the roasting process at 600 °C, the majority of peaks were identified with Fe₂O₃ (labelled as c), accompanied with relatively lower intensity magnetites Fe₃O₄ (b). In the previous study [4], there were no significant amount of Fe₂O₃ (labelled as b) observed. This probably due to the inconsistency of the oxidation during the hot milling process. Rietveld refinement estimated that the mole fraction of the Fe₂O₃ is 90.1 mole % and 9.9 mole % for Fe₃O₄. During the roasting process, the reaction of the oxidation process was as (3).

$$2\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 3\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \quad (3)$$

During this reaction, the remaining of Fe²⁺ ions were oxidized into Fe³⁺; however, in this study, this reaction was not fully completed that some of Fe₂O₃ (labelled as b) was still present after the roasting process. Figure 1.c) displays the final samples after the mixing with NiO and two steps firing process. From the XRD pattern, there are no other peaks observed, hence the formation of the NiFe₂O₄ phases were fully completed. The reaction of the formation of NiFe₂O₄ was allowed by the diffusion of its constituent ions at elevated temperature and formulated as (4).

| Elements | Fe | Si  | Mg  | K  | Ca  | Ti  | Cr  | Mn  | Ni  |
|----------|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Wt. %    | 98.053 | 0.601 | 0.338 | 0.205 | 0.137 | 0.004 | 0.126 | 0.394 | 0.134 |
| Ar. %    | 96.913 | 1.181 | 0.767 | 0.289 | 0.189 | 0.004 | 0.134 | 0.396 | 0.126 |

Figure. 1. a) Mill Scale after 50 h Ball Milling b) Resulted Powder after Roasting at 600 °C for 4 h c) Final Product after Sintering.
TABLE 2
PHASE QUANTIFICATION ESTIMATED USING RIETVELD REFINEMENT FROM XRD PATTERNS

| Sample          | Phase fractions (mole. %) | FeO  | Fe₃O₄ | Fe₂O₃ | NiFe₂O₄ |
|-----------------|---------------------------|------|-------|-------|---------|
| Mill scale      |                           | 97.9 | 2.1   | -     | -       |
| Roasted Mill scale |                         | -    | 9.9   | 90.1  | -       |
| NiFe₂O₄         |                           | -    | -     | -     | 100     |

\[
\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{NiO} \rightarrow \text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4 \tag{4}
\]

Figure 2 shows the Rietveld refinement of the final products. The XRD pattern was matched with the crystal structure of cubic \textit{Fd}-3\textit{m} with lattice parameters provided at Table 3. It suggests strong preferred orientation of (311). The lattice constant derived for the Rietveld refinement do not differ significantly from the past studies, 0.8322 nm in this study, lower compared to NiFe₂O₄ prepared using the chemical grade raw materials as reported by Zabotto \textit{et al.} [23]. Unlike the small difference in the lattice constant, the unit cell density greatly differs from past studies. This can be explained by site occupancies refined by Rietveld refinement, which shows the ratio of Ni : Fe : O as \(0.58811 : 1.07695 : 2.41826 \) (0.97:1.78:4) compared to its chemical formula of \(1 : 2 : 4\), thus the decrease in the unit cell density. The deviation from the standard formula may occur due to the presence of other elements, either alloying elements or impurities within the steels that underwent the hot strip mill process. Interestingly, the lattice constant does not change significantly, so that is highly possible that the impurities were fit well into the lattice thus maintaining the size of the lattice.

Figure 3 shows the formation of the Ni-ferrites after the sintering at 1260 °C for 3 h. It can be seen that the particle sizes are quite heterogeneous, from the smaller particles as presented is 1.220 µm and a large particle of 7.715 µm also presents in the sample. The range is slightly lower and considerably wider compared to...
NiZn-ferrites prepared using mill scales as reported by Hari et al. [6], where the particle size observed from SEM images ranging from 4.578 to 8.69 μm. From the SEM image, we can see that there are voids in between the particles, this also confirmed with the density as measured using Archimedes method, as presented in Table 4, that shows 4.690 g/cm³ or 92.3% density compared to the maximum theoretical density obtained by the Rietveld refinement at 5.084 g/cm³. The density of the specimens compacted at 8.4 ton/cm² increase significantly to 5.9 ton/cm³, from 4.579 g/cm³ to 4.974 g/cm³, however the density fell to 4.690 g/cm³ when compacted at 10.9 ton/cm². This shows that the pressure of 10.9 ton/cm² is excessive for the Ni-ferrites powders and it may accumulate excessive stress that induce cracks and defects that inhibit further densification. The inset in Figure 3 shows interesting feature of stacked triangles that are also found in other spinel ferrites such as CoMn-ferrites [24]. The elongated feature accords to the strong preferential of the (311) as indicated from the Rietveld refinement. The average crystallite size refined from the XRD pattern (0.863 μm) gives smaller value than the particle size observed from SEM image.

Table 4 presents the quantification of the elements found in the final sample as measured by EDS. Other than Ni, Fe, O elements, there are other elements present such as C, Al, and small amounts of Ca. From the elemental ratios at 1000x magnification, the ratio of Ni : Fe : O is 9.56 : 24.3 : 51.2 (or 0.75 : 1.89 : 4), where the ratio provided from the 3000x data do not greatly differ at 9.32 : 23.74 : 51.77 (or 0.72 : 1.83 : 4). Compared to the site occupancies obtained from the Rietveld refinement (0.97 : 1.78 : 4), Ni atoms yield lower amounts whereas Fe atoms yield higher ratio. In addition, both of the Rietveld refinement of XRD pattern and EDS data indicate that the Ni and Fe atoms present are lower than the standard formula of Ni : Fe : O at 1 : 2 : 4. This accords the lower density of the unit cell.

C is a well-known constituent of steels but partly is also coming from the glue of the holder of the SEM. A considerable amount of Al, which has the stable ion form of Al³⁺. The single phase detected by XRD indicates that Al³⁺ are able to fit into the lattice and substitute Fe³⁺ since there are no other phases peaks detected. The substitution of Fe³⁺ by Al³⁺ was also observed in another spinel system ZnFe₂O₄ as a study conducted by Toledo et al. [25]. There are no other impurities or secondary elements detected other than the common elements within steel such as Mn, Si, Cu, Ni, Cr, Mo, Al [26].

Figure 4 displays the elemental mapping from the EDS detector for different elements. Figure 4a) represents the SEM images of the surface stacked with the results of the mapping of the individual elements. In Figure 4b) and c), Ni and Fe atoms are well scattered across the particles, however there are some empty areas in Figure 4b), c), and e), which contours the surface profile of the particles. Ni and Fe atoms have additional characteristic peaks at higher energy that have strong penetrative powers so it can go deeper under the surface. In Figure 5, it can be seen that Al, C, O has lower characteristic peaks whereas Fe and Ni has more characteristic peaks at higher energy (6 to 8 keV). In addition, there are no clusters of Al atoms that is indicative that the Al atoms is fit well into the NiFe₂O₄ lattice, which is in good accordance with the result from Rietveld refinement.

Figure 6 displays the hysteresis of the samples after the sintering. It can be seen that the hysteresis curves are relatively invariant of the compaction pressure. This implies that the compaction pressure used in this study has little effect on the magnetization at low field of the Ni-ferrites prepared using the oxidized mill scale. Table 5 displays the magnetic parameters derived from the Remacomp measurements. The coercivity values obtained from this study (11.5 to 11.7 Oe) have bigger values from the bulk NiFe₂O₄ prepared using the laboratory grade Fe₂O₃ sintered at 1200 °C as reported by Zabotto et al. [23] at a value of 0.4 kA/m or 5.02 Oe. The coercivity values are also higher than NiZn-ferrites prepared from mill scales [6] at 4.32 to 5.873 Oe. Coercivity translates to the magnetic field required to reverse the magnetization to zero, meaning that higher coercivity value, the magnetic properties becoming ‘harder’, i.e., it loses more energy per cycle of hysteresis.

---

**Table 3**

| Parameters                  | This study | Kooti and Sedeh [11] | Zabotto et al. [23] |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Lattice constant a=b=c (nm)| 0.8322    | 0.8321              | 0.834               |
| Unit cell volume (nm³)      | 0.5764    | 0.5761              | 0.580               |
| Unit cell density (g/cm³)   | 5.084     | 5.405               | -                   |
| Crystallite size (nm)       | 863.3     | 48                  | -                   |
| Bragg R-factor              | 5.74      | -                   | -                   |
| Goodness of Fit             | 2.3       | -                   | -                   |

**Table 4**

| Elements | Area 1000x | Area 3000x | Point (1) | Point (2) | Point (3) | Point (4) |
|----------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| C        | 13.05      | 13.13      | 13.31     | 10.84     | 13.54     | 16.59     |
| O        | 51.2       | 51.77      | 43.85     | 41.77     | 44.52     | 38.02     |
| Al       | 1.86       | 1.97       | 2.35      | 2.5       | 2.3       | 2.38      |
| Fe       | 24.3       | 23.74      | 28.71     | 32.06     | 27.88     | 30.65     |
| Ni       | 9.56       | 9.32       | 11.79     | 12.83     | 11.75     | 12.36     |
| Ca       | -          | 0.07       | -         | -         | -         | -         |
However, the coercivity is much lower compared to the study by Khedr [27] that used significantly lower compaction pressure that account for 276 to 365.5 Oe. Khedr has attempted to prepare Ni-ferrites using analytic grade starting materials and lower compaction pressure of 150, 200, and 250 kg/cm². Khedr has shown that the compaction pressure has significant effect on the magnetic properties. However, this is not the case with our study that the effect of compaction pressure is invariant to the magnetic properties. The compaction pressure used in our study are significantly higher, therefore, it is possible that within this range is close to the upper limit of the optimum compaction pressure. Thus, the effect of compaction pressure will have small effect on the magnetic properties.

The saturation magnetization values cannot be obtained using Remacomp since the maximum applied magnetic field is significantly low so it provides better resolution for soft magnetic properties. The remanence values are similar to the NiFe₂O₄ from the chemical grade raw materials at 17.44 to 18.95 emu/g as reported by Kooti and Sedeh [11]. However, from the structural studies, we estimate that the saturation magnetization may see a decrease due to the vacancies and the presence of Al³⁺ atoms in the lattice.

Figure 7 shows the S11 reflection loss of the samples and aluminium plate used during the measurement. The reflection loss patterns of the NiFe₂O₄ closely follow the aluminium plate up until 10 GHz. At higher frequency, the absorption is still suspected coming from the contribution of the aluminum plate that is shifted to lower frequency. Zhao et al. [26] found that the reflection loss of NiFe₂O₄ nanosheets are thickness dependant at 8 – 12 GHz rather than from the contribution of the ferromagnetic resonance since the permeability drops drastically in the MHz range [28].
application of dielectric resonator oscillator for specimens prepared in this study.
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CONCLUSION

In this study, the preparation of NiFe2O4 from mill scales derived as by-product from steel making process using a facile solid-state reaction was successfully conducted. Single phase products of cubic Fd-3m NiFe2O4 were formed by its XRD pattern that was confirmed by Rietveld refinement. In addition, lattice defects such as vacancy of Ni and Fe atoms and partial substitution by Al atoms were detected, which were further confirmed by EDS measurement. Microstructure image reveals heterogeneous particle sizes and porosity was present in the sample. The coercivity of the Ni-ferrites obtained in this study was higher than the Ni-ferrites prepared using chemical grade raw materials. There were no significant reflection losses measured at X-band region of 8-12 GHz.
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