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INTRODUCTION

The successful use of organic electronics in everyday applications rely on the availability of materials with high charge carrier mobility. For this purpose, there is world wide effort to design new materials which allow fast movement of charge carrier through it. The highest charge carrier mobility values so far reported in the literature lie in the range of $10-20\text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$[1–4]. However, the generation of morphology to achieve such high mobility require sophisticated experimental procedure which are still very expensive and inefficient for large scale production.

Use of flat disk-like molecules (discotic) which are often self assembled in a columnar stack to form various discotic liquid crystalline phase is an attractive route to device charge transporting material in organic electronics[5]. Utilizing the high $\pi-\pi$ overlap[6–8] of the electronic cloud of the discotics in a columnar arrangement, one dimensional transport of charge through the stack is plausible. However, the efficiency of charge transport through the columnar arrangement of the discotics depends on the positional and orientational correlation of the discotics and is limited by the structural defects present in a column. To maximize charge carrier mobility in a discotic assembly two difficulties have to be overcome: First, one has to make the columnar arrangements defect free and second, to achieve optimum positional and orientational correlations between the molecules in the column. In this race to achieve high mobility in discotics, researchers have made significant progress in achieving a required correlation between the discotics in the column by tuning the core and tails of the discotics. Andrienko et. al[8] proposed a discotic material with triangular core and alternating hydrophilic/hydrophobic side chains which self assembles in a discotic columnar phase with an average twist of $60^\circ$ between the molecules. With this particular discotic phase, they were able to attain a mobility of only $0.2\text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ due to structural defects. So the main challenge at this stage is to find a way to make a defect free organization of the molecules. Although the emergence of defects in the self assembled discotics are inevitable due to the thermal fluctuation, appearance of defects can significantly be reduced by suitable confinement[9–11].

In this letter we propose a way to achieve defect free columnar aggregates and demonstrate record charge carrier mobility through the column. In particular we show defect free columnar aggregate of coronene molecules inside carbon nanotube (CNT). While this system had been previously studied by various groups[12, 13] in some different context, the charge transport properties of the confined coronene column has not been studied so far. We use molecular dynamics (MD) simulation to study the column formation inside CNTs of various diameter and report a critical value of the diameter of the CNT to achieve a highly ordered defect free coronene stack. We use Marcus-Hush[14] formalism to calculate the charge carrier mobility along the columnar stack. We find a record mobility of $14.93\text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ at an electric field strength of $10^7\text{V/m}$ and a temperature of $300\text{K}$. The calculated mobility value is two order of magnitude higher than the mobility for discotic[8] systems reported so far in the literature. As we have already mentioned the highest charge carrier mobility values reported in some polymer semiconductor based organic field-effect transistors (OFETs)[1–4], lie in the range of $\sim 10-20\text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$. The mobility value that we report in this letter is as high as these.

We also perform a simulation where the coronene molecules were initially placed outside the nanotube. It showed the spontaneous insertion of the coronene molecules inside the CNT and the formation of a well ordered column. This simulation suggests an experiment protocol where the CNT is placed inside the coronene vapor to achieve the CNT encapsulated coronene stack.

The letter is divided in three sections. In the first
section we describe the MD simulation of the coronene stack. In the second section we describe the charge transport simulation and the results. Finally we end with the concluding remarks.

MD Simulation

The zigzag carbon nanotube with various radius was initially prepared using VMD[15]. The coronene molecule was built and geometry optimized in Gaussian 09[16] using B3LYP/6-311g level of theory. The charge in the coronene molecules was calculated following RESP method[17] using Gaussian 09[16] and Ambertools[18] package. No charge was assigned on the carbon atom of the nanotube. Initially 14 coronene molecules were arranged inside the nanotube in a columnar stack with a distance of 4 Å between two neighboring molecules as shown in Figure 1(a). We prepared a series of systems as described above with CNT diameter ranging from 1.40 nm to 1.72 nm. After initial energy minimization, systems were gradually heated from 0 K to 300 K. A production run of 50 ns was then performed in the NVT ensemble. During the simulation, the CNT was held fixed with its axis along the z direction. All molecular dynamics simulations were performed using AMBER package[18] with GAFF[19] force field. Equilibrated simulation snapshot of the systems are shown in Figure 1(b). For the nanotube diameter between 1.40 (n=18, m=0) and 1.56 nm (n=20, m=0) the coronene molecules maintain well order columnar structure inside CNT. For the diameter larger than that no well defined column formed inside the CNT (see Figure 1(b) and Figure S6 of the supplementary information). Please note in bulk, the coronene molecules do not form any thermodynamically stable ordered structure[20].

Next we measure the positional and orientational correlation between the molecules stacked inside the CNT, since it determines the efficiency of charge transport through the coronene stack by controlling the $\pi-\pi$ electronic overlap between the molecules. The pair correlation function of the coronene molecules stacked inside the nanotube was caculated using,

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \langle \delta(z - z_{ij}) \rangle$$

where $z_{ij}$ is the axial separation between the $i$th and the $j$th coronene molecules, $N$ is the number of coronene molecules inside the nanotube, and the angular brackets indicate an average over time. The resultant plot for (20,0) CNT case is shown in Figure 2(a). The pair correlation function for other cases are shown in Figure S1 of the supplementary information. The sharp peak in the correlation function (a) indicate almost defect free arrangements of the coronene molecules inside CNT. The molecules are preferred to have a twist angle of 30° between them(b). The original data is shown in red while a running averaged data in black is shown to guide the eyes (b).

FIG. 1: (a)Snapshot of the initial arrangement of the coronene molecules inside the CNT (n=18, m=0). (b) Equilibrated simulation snapshot of the CNT encapsulated coronene system for the CNTs of diameter ranging from 1.4 nm-1.72 nm. For the CNTs with diameter between 1.40 and 1.56 nm nice coronene column exists inside the nanotube. For larger diameter, no well order column formed inside CNT.

FIG. 2: The pair correlation function (a) of the coronene molecules and twist (b) between the neighboring coronene molecules encapsulated inside (20,0) CNT. The sharp peak in the correlation function (a) indicate almost defect free arrangements of the coronene molecules inside CNT. The molecules are preferred to have a twist angle of 30° between them(b). The original data is shown in red while a running averaged data in black is shown to guide the eyes (b).

At this point we ask the question whether the correlation between the coronene molecules as described above depends on the length of the column inside the nanotube. To answer this question we simulate a long coronene stack of 42 molecules inside the (20,0) CNT. We measure the pair correlation using the same equation 1, but taking only the 14 molecules in the central region of the CNT to compare this with the correlation of the coronene molecules is 3.6 Å for (20,0) CNT with a diameter of 1.56 nm. Since the $\pi-\pi$ overlap between two coronene molecules decreases exponentially with the distance[8], the charge transport through the coronene column encapsulated between the (20,0) CNT are expected to be most efficient.

molecules is 3.6 Å for (20,0) CNT with a diameter of 1.56 nm. Since the $\pi-\pi$ overlap between two coronene molecules decreases exponentially with the distance[8], the charge transport through the coronene column encapsulated between the (20,0) CNT are expected to be most efficient.
molecules in the smaller stack (14 molecules). We found (see Figure S2 of the supplementary information) identical correlation among the coronene molecules for both the cases. We further fit the correlation function to an exponential distribution (see Figure S3 of the supplementary information) and find a correlation length of 18 Å between the coronene molecules. We also calculate the twist between the neighboring molecules in the column (Figure 2(b)), since the overlap between the π−π electronic cloud of the neighboring molecules strongly depend on the twist[8]. Average twist was found out to be 30° between the molecules for the system with CNT diameter 1.56 nm. To understand why the molecules prefer to have a 30° twist, we calculated the energy (using M06-2X/6-311g level of theory) of a pair of coronene as a function of the twist angle between them (see figure 4(a)). The energy curve has a minima at an angle of 30° which explains the tendency of the molecule to achieve 30° twist. However there are no specific twist found (see Figure S4 of the supplementary information) between the coronene molecules confined in (18,0) and (19,0) CNT. In these cases due to smaller radius of the CNT the coronene molecules are tilted to accommodate themselves inside CNT and do not have the rotational degree of freedom to reach to the state with 30° twist.

We also measured the tilt of the molecules with respect to the axis of the CNT (see Figure S5 of the supplementary information) which completes the description of the arrangement of coronene molecules inside CNT. Table 1 tabulates numerical values of all the quantities describing the arrangement of the coronene molecules inside the CNTs of various diameter and chirality.

Now we ask the question how can one achieve such system in laboratory. We perform another simulation with the CNT placed in a box of coronene molecules either in liquid or gas phase as shown in Figure 3(a). The coronene molecules spontaneously entered inside the CNT and form well ordered column (Figure 3(b)) within few picoseconds simulation run. In this case also we followed the same simulation methodology as described in the previous paragraph. The two different systems with coronene molecules kept outside CNT and the coronene molecule arranged inside CNT lead to same arrangements of the molecules after equilibration. This simulation suggests an experimental setup in which the CNT is placed inside the coronene vapor to achieve the nanotube encapsulated coronene stack.

**Charge Transport Simulation**

We now calculate the charge carrier mobility through the columnar stack of coronene encapsulated inside CNT as obtained from the MD simulations. To calculate the mobility we use semi-classical Marcus-Hush formalism[14] which has been extensively used in the past in various types of organic electronic materials including the discotics. In the framework of Marcus-Hush formalism charge transport is described as the thermally activated hopping mechanism between the hopping sites $i$ and $j$ with the rate

$$\omega_{ij} = \frac{|J_{ij}|^2}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{kT}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\Delta G_{ij} - \lambda)^2}{4kT}\right]$$

This expression is the transfer integral defined as $J_{ij} = \langle \Phi_i|\hat{H}|\Phi_j \rangle$. $\Phi_i$ and $\Phi_j$ are the diabatic wave function localized on the $i$th and $j$th hopping site respectively. $\Delta G_{ij}$ is the free energy difference between the hopping sites. $\lambda$ is the reorganization energy of charge transfer, $k$ is the boltzmann constant and $T$ is the temperature. In our case charge hopping sites are the coronene molecules itself. So we shall use the term hopping site and the coronene molecule interchangeably. Highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) were taken as diabatic wave function to calculate the transfer integral $J_{ij}$ for the hole and electron transport respectively. Since the HOMO and LUMO orbital of the coronene molecule is degenerate due to molecular symmetry of the coronene we calculate four terms

$$\langle HOMO|\hat{H}|HOMO\rangle, \langle (HOMO - 1)^i|\hat{H}|HOMO\rangle, \langle HOMO|\hat{H}|(HOMO - 1)^j \rangle \text{ and } \langle (HOMO - 1)^i|\hat{H}|(HOMO - 1)^j \rangle$$

$J_{ij}$ (for hole transport) was taken as the root mean square of these four terms. To calculate these terms we performed density functional theory calculations (at the level of B3LYP/6-311g) using Gaussian 09[16] along with the code available in VOTCA-CTP module[21]. For an application of electric field $F$ along the CNT axis the free energy difference $\Delta G_{ij}$ turned out to be $F \cdot d_{ij}$. Here $d_{ij}$ is the displacement vector between the centre...
of mass of two coronene molecules. The reorganization \( \lambda \) was calculated using

\[
\lambda = U^{nC} - U^{nN} + U^{cN} - U^{cC} \tag{3}
\]

Here \( U^{nC} (U^{cN}) \) is the internal energy of the neutral (charged) coronene molecule with neutral (charged) state geometry and \( U^{nN} (U^{cC}) \) is the internal energy of the neutral (charged) molecule with neutral (charged) state geometry. DFT calculations using B3LYP-6-311G level of theory were performed to calculate all these energy terms in equation 3.

Once all the rates \( \omega \) between all the neighboring coronene molecules was calculated, then Kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) simulation was done to simulate transport of charge and the charge mobility was predicted. Charge transport simulation was repeated with 30 different morphology of the coronene column as obtained from the MD simulation.

More details of the mobility calculation using the Marcus-Hush formalism can be found in the reference [6], [7] and [21]. We find a hole mobility of 14.93 cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) at an electric field of 10\(^7\) V/m (applied along the CNT axis) and at a temperature of 300 K for the coronene column encapsulated inside CNT of diameter 1.56 nm (\(n=20, m=0\)). For the systems with CNT diameters 1.40 and 1.48 nm, the mobility values were found out to be 4.21 and 4.52 cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) respectively. The mobility (14.93 cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) that we report here is the second order of magnitude higher than the reported mobility values in discotics[8]. The reason behind such dramatically high mobility lies in the form of pair correlation function itself. The sharply peaked correlation function indicates solid like ordering of the molecule inside the nanotube which gives rise to a very sharply peaked distribution of the twist angle between them (a). Snapshots of the coronene pair at different twist are shown in the inset of the figure (a). Snapshots of the coronene pair at different twist are shown in the inset of the figure (a).

FIG. 4: Energy of a pair of coronene molecules as a function of the twist angle between them (a). Snapshots of the coronene pair at different twist are shown in the inset of the figure (a).

Probability distribution of the transfer integral between the neighboring coronene molecules encapsulated inside CNT.

The coronene molecules spontaneously self assemble inside CNTs of different chirality. For a specific diameter of 1.56 nm which corresponds to either (20,0) zigzag or (5,17) chiral tube we achieve solid like ordering with average spacing of 3.6 Å between the coronene molecules. In the confined state we see the crystal-like local positional ordering of the molecules which gives rise to a highly efficient charge transport pathway through the coronene column. We report a record charge carrier mobility of 14.93 cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) in this system which is two order of magnitude higher than the reported mobility in the discotics so far[8]. We have given proof of principle demonstration to achieve such ordering inside CNT. The reported system should be easily achievable in the experiment and successful use of it will lead it to a new frontier in energy application.

Conclusions

The coronene molecules spontaneously self assemble inside CNTs of different chirality. For a specific diameter of 1.56 nm which corresponds to either (20,0) zigzag or (5,17) chiral tube we achieve solid like ordering with average spacing of 3.6 Å between the coronene molecules. In the confined state we see the crystal-like local positional ordering of the molecules which gives rise to a highly efficient charge transport pathway through the coronene column. We report a record charge carrier mobility of 14.93 cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) in this system which is two order of magnitude higher than the reported mobility in the discotics so far[8]. We have given proof of principle demonstration to achieve such ordering inside CNT. The reported system should be easily achievable in the experiment and successful use of it will lead it to a new frontier in energy application.
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FIG. S1: Pair correlation function of the coronene molecules encapsulated inside the (18,0) CNT (a) and (19,0) CNT (b).

FIG. S2: Pair correlation function of the coronene molecules encapsulated inside CNT. Equilibrated snapshots of the system are shown in the left of each figure. Pair correlation function remains unaltered as the length of the column increases.
FIG. S3: Pair correlation function (in red) of the coronene molecules encapsulated inside CNT of radius 1.56 nm ($n=20$, $m=0$) and exponential fit of the pair correlation function (in blue).

FIG. S4: Twist between the neighboring coronene molecules encapsulated inside (18,0) and (19,0) CNT. The original data is shown in red while a running averaged data in black is shown to guide the eyes.

FIG. S5: Probability distribution function of the tilt (angle between the CNT axis and the normal of the coronene molecule) of coronene molecules encapsulated inside CNT. Tilt angle is maximum for the case of system with CNT diameter 1.40 nm ($n=18$, $m=0$) and it gradually decreases as the CNT diameter increases.
FIG. S6: Equilibrated simulation snapshot of the various CNT encapsulated coronene systems.
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