Long-term dynamics of stand structure and regeneration in high-stocked selection fir-beech forest stand: Croatian Dinarides case study
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In recent decades, changes in stand structure in Central European fir-beech forests, such as accumulation of large-diameter firs, fir dieback, and poor regeneration, have been well documented. Besides environmental factors, light harvesting was suggested as one of the main drivers of the negative structural dynamics of these forests in Croatia. This study applied the MOSES 3.0 stand simulator on permanent sample plot data to reconstruct stand development over the past 20 years and simulate long-term projections of selection stand structure and regeneration with respect to theoretical values, using three management regimes differing by the applied harvesting intensity (traditionally applied, theoretical intensity, and no management). Sample plot data from three sets of detailed measurements (1992, 2002, and 2012) were used for validation of the simulator, and eleven 10-year cycles of management were then simulated under the above management scenarios. Results showed a positive influence of harvest intensity on stand regeneration and the achievement of a targeted selection structure in the long term. Two management scenarios predicted a decrease in stand volume (34% and 40%, respectively), an increase in the initial percentage (28%) of beech and maple (58% and 75%, respectively), and the achievement of optimal stand regeneration of 11–13 recruited trees per hectare annually (60% firs). No management scenario could achieve old-growth structure (accumulation of standing stock, large trees, and deadwood). The theoretical intensity scenario was evaluated as the better approach to be applied in this type of forest stands in Croatia.
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Introduction
Uneven-aged forest management, often considered as a “close-to-nature” management system, has high importance worldwide (Emmingham 2002, O’Hara et al. 2007). The selection system, a type of uneven-aged forest management, plays a significant role in Central European forestry (Bončina 2011) and it has proven to be suitable for Dinaric fir-beech forests as well (Bončina et al. 2002, Čavlović et al. 2006, Bončina 2011), especially because it naturally establishes a selection stand structure (Diaci et al. 2011). Moreover, the selection forest management has a long tradition, dating back to the Austro-Hungarian period, and in particular it had been applied in the past to the Dinaric beech-fir mixed forests, which still cover 12% of total forest area in Croatia, according to the last national forest inventory (CRONFI – Čavlović et al. 2016).

Although the selection management system is known in Croatia since more than a century, it was re-introduced in fir-beech forests (Abieti-Fagetum) after the World War II (Klepac 1961, O’Hara et al. 2018). At that time, Croatian forests were characterized by irregular (transitional) stand structures caused by changes in economic, social, and ownership conditions (Bozić 1999). Accordingly, a management system was established by defining a theoretical (target) stand structure using the “BDq approach” (Klepac 1961, Čavlović et al. 2006), characterized by 10-year harvesting cycles, each removing up to 25% of standing volume. The so-called “BDq approach”, which has its roots in the work of Meyer (1943), was a common approach for stocking control, where “B” represents the basal area, “D” the maximum target diameter class, and “q” the exponent of the decline function. Achievement or maintenance of a target (reverse-J) diameter distribution is based on the BDq approach (O’Hara & Gerondes 2014). However, the stated management principles were applied inconsistently, especially regarding low harvesting intensities, because an actual rate of current volume increment was used instead of a theoretical rate. In such mixed silver fir (Abies alba Mill., hereafter fir) and European beech (Fagus sylvatica L., hereafter beech) stands characterized by irregular structures, a high proportion of large-diameter trees, and a low rate of current volume increment, the commonly applied harvesting intensity was 17% or less, which neither provided enough canopy openings nor promoted stand regeneration. Therefore, according to the General Forest Management Plan in Dinaric fir-beech forests from 1996 to 2005, an average harvesting intensity of only 14% was prescribed.
In recent decades, several trends in the stand structure development of fir-beech forests have been observed in Croatia (Čavlović et al. 2016) and in other Central European countries (Bončina et al. 2014, Ficko et al. 2011). These changes in stand structure can be summarized as follows: (i) increase of total standing stock; (ii) growing stock accumulation, predominantly of large and low-vitality fir trees; (iii) fir dieback; (iv) poor or non-existent fir regeneration; and (v) an increasing share of beech and maple (Acer pseudoplatanus L.). The standing stock and the growing stock accumulation of large and low-vitality fir trees can be mostly attributed to low-intensity management activities, whereas climate change and air pollution are thought to be the main causes of fir dieback (Linares & Camarero 2012). Furthermore, poor fir regeneration is of special concern (Čavlović et al. 2006, Rozenberg et al. 2007). In general, environmental factors are considered as the main drivers of fir regeneration dynamics (Safar 1965, Dobrowolska & Bolibok 2019). However, stand structure and canopy openings should also be taken in consideration as influential factors. Čavlović et al. (2006), in a study of Dinaric fir-beech forest characterized by unbalanced stand structures, reported a significant negative correlation between abundance of fir regeneration and large-tree basal area (DBH > 50 cm). Similar stand dynamics were also recorded in the entire Dinaric region (Bončina 2011, Bončina et al. 2014).

Recently, low harvest intensities in Croatian Dinaric fir-beech forests have been addressed as a main driver of such stand dynamics. This assumption led to new regulations for forest management planning in Croatia (Regulations for Forest Management Planning 2006). Hence, to trigger stand regeneration and improve stand structures in selection fir-beech forests, the maximum intensity allowed for 10-year selection felling was set at 30%.

In this forest type, there is a large part (up to one-fourth) of high-stocked stands where two main management objectives may be set: (i) providing economic benefits from fir-dominated forests by gradually establishing an efficient selection stand structure with a growing stock close to or slightly above an equilibrium structure (Hašnewinkel et al. 2014); and (ii) providing a basis for fulfilling the requirements for habitat and nature protection (i.e., Natura 2000 requirements) by maintaining processes that promote an old-growth forest structure (Bauhus et al. 2009, Pirovano & Zecca 2014). The influence of different management regimes (managed, non-managed) and approaches (i.e., the traditionally applied lower-intensity approach vs. a moderate-intensity theoretical approach) on long-term stand dynamics could be simulated on previously monitored permanent sample plots representing such a high-stocked structure.

In this study, we collected data from a permanent sample plot in a mixed fir-beech stand in the Croatian Dinarides representing the stand structure and dynamics described above. The MOSES ver. 3.0 stand simulator (Hasenauer et al. 2006) was used to simulate stand dynamics in a selection fir-beech forest stand. The objective was to explore the influences of different selection harvesting intensities on stand structure and regeneration in the long run. Our starting hypotheses were: (i) the MOSES 3.0 stand simulator can accurately simulate fir-beech forest stand dynamics in the Croatian Dinarides; (ii) higher levels of selection harvesting and canopy openings will lead to the achievement of the targeted selection stand structure over the long term; (iii) a more intensive selection management will positively affect stand regeneration dynamics and economic efficiency.

### Material and methods

#### Study site

This study was carried out in the Delnice Management Unit (MU) located on a mildly sloping mountain range in the Gorski kotar region (Western Croatia). The MU is representative of a typical selection fir-beech stand type characterized by irregular diameter structure of selection stands and poor natural regeneration. Species composition is dominated by fir (43%) and beech (40%), followed by Norway spruce (Picea abies Karst. – 10%) and maple (6%). More detailed information about the stand structure and characteristics is reported in Tab. S1 (Supplementary material).

The experimental plot is located on limestone and dolomite bedrock with mountain chernozem and acid brown soils, and is representative of a larger area with similar vegetation and soil characteristics. The uneven-aged forests in the Gorski kotar area are characterized by irregular diameter structure of selection stands and poor natural regeneration. Species composition is dominated by fir (43%) and beech (40%), followed by Norway spruce (Picea abies Karst. – 10%) and maple (6%). More detailed information about the stand structure and characteristics is reported in Tab. S1 (Supplementary material).

The prevalent climate in the area is temperate and moist, with a mean annual temperature of 7.6 °C. The mean monthly temperature does not exceed 28 °C in the summer. Air humidity is high during the entire year and reaches a maximum in February (92%). Annual rainfall varies between 1500 and 2000 mm, and the snow period lasts 188 days, on average. Data were provided by the Tab. S1 (Supplementary material).

### Data collection

The permanent sample plot was established in 1992 and inventoried every 10 years (first in 1992, and subsequently in 2002 and 2012) after selective felling. Detailed measurements and assessments included trees with diameter at breast height (DBH) ≥ 10 cm (numbering and tagging, tree species, diameter at breast height, tree height, height of crown base, tree position in local coordinate system, tree vitality). Diameter at breast height was measured for saplings and young trees (DBH 0.1 to 10 cm), whereas seedlings were counted into two height classes: small (0.1 to 0.5 m) and tall seedlings (0.51 to 1.3 m).

All cut trees and their year of harvest were recorded using data from previous inventory, field surveys, and assessment of stumps. The obtained stand characteristics and trends (Tab. 1) were used to analyze the past development of stand structure for validation of the stand simulator, and to simulate future stand structure (initial state 2012 inventory).

### Data analysis

According to data from the first national forest inventory in the Republic of Croatia (CRONFI – Čavlović et al. 2016), there is a significant number of well-stocked selection fir-beech stands in the Gorski kotar region. These stands are characterized by overstocking with a large portion of large tree diameter class (DBH > 50 cm) and poor stand regeneration, especially of firs, on approximately one-fourth of the forest area (Tab. S1 in Supplementary material), because of low selection harvests carried out in the past few decades (Čavlović et al. 2006). The stand structure observed (Tab. 1) was assumed to be appropriate for simulations and stand structure projections, as it represents one-fourth of the best-stocked stands of this type in the region.

In the 2012 inventory, 222 trees with DBH ≥ 5 cm were recorded in the permanent sample plot. Diameter class distribution, basal area, and growing volume per 5-cm DBH class (as well as three wider diameter classes: small, 10 ≤ DBH < 30 cm; medium, 30 ≤ DBH < 50 cm; large, DBH ≥ 50 cm) were determined for trees with DBH ≥ 10 cm. The mean height of 18 dominant firs (one-fifth of the highest firs in the large-diameter class, ≥ 50 cm) was 36.2 m, and that of 15 dominant beech trees (half the highest beech trees in the 31-50 cm diameter class) was 30.7 m. By applying dominant heights and the BDQ approach, theoretical (target) reverse-J diameter distributions were determined according to traditional uneven-aged forest management practice in Croatia (Klepac 1961, Čavlović et al. 2006). Thus, the parameters of the target model for the mixed selection fir-beech stand with 80% and 20% of the total growing volume (GV = 4030 m³ ha⁻¹ at half cycle), were determined using the theoretical distribution of fir, which was defined by q = 1.30, Dmax (maximum tree diameter) = 70 cm, BA (basal area) = 35.1 + 0.80 × 28.1 m² ha⁻¹, and that of beech, defined by q = 1.45, Dmax = 50 cm, BA = 22.4 + 0.20 × 4.5 m² ha⁻¹, with total BA = 32.6 m² ha⁻¹. The MOSES 3.0 stand growth simulator...
(Hasenauer et al. 2006) was used to simulate selection stand structur development. This software had been previously used for growth modeling (including mortality probabilities) of fir-beech stands in Croatia (Mikac et al. 2013). The simulator was adapted to the local volume equations according to Spiranec (1976). Validation was performed by comparing the simulated standing volumes with the inventory data. The year 1992 was taken as the base year, and comparison of standing volumes was made after 10 years (2002) and subsequently after 20 years (2012). A paired t-test ($\alpha = 0.05$) was applied to test for differences in standing volume ($\Delta V$) between the observed (inventory) and simulated data, with the following outcome: $t_{(1992-2002)}: \Delta V = 2.14 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$, $p = 0.1671$; $t_{(2002-2012)}: \Delta V = 2.71 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$, $p = 0.4204$.

Beech (1992-2002: $\Delta V = 1.03 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$, $p = 0.1211$; 1992-2012: $\Delta V = 2.07 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$, $p = 0.1187$), and total $\Delta V$ (1992-2002: $\Delta V = 0.29 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$, $p = 0.5815$). According to the small and non-significant differences obtained between observed and simulated volumes, it was assumed that the simulation of future stand development would perform in a similar and consistent way also for comparison of different future scenarios.

Besides stand regeneration (tree recruitment), tree increment, and mortality, the amount of harvest and its distribution among diameter classes were considered as main drivers affecting selection stand structure and development. Harvesting changes the diameter structure and consequently the pattern of tree growth and regeneration. Hence, three management scenarios were developed using different approaches to prescribe harvest volume.

**Scenario 1**

The reference scenario (Scenario 1) was based on the common approach of prescribing a harvest volume (equation 1):

$$H_{\text{ref}} = SV_{\text{ref}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1.0^p} \right)$$

where $H_{\text{ref}}$ is the harvest amount according to Scenario 1 ($\text{m}^3$ 10-yr$^{-1}$); $SV_{\text{ref}}$ is the actual total standing volume before harvest ($\text{m}^3$ ha$^{-1}$); $GV_{\text{ref}}$ is the theoretical total growing volume before cut ($\text{m}^3$ ha$^{-1}$) based on the developed BDG model (see above), $p$ is the length of the harvest cycle (10 years), and $p$ is the rate (%) of current annual volume increment; instead of a theoretical value, an actual rate has traditionally been applied, leading to low harvest intensities. The scenario was chosen because this approach has been used for operational forest management and planning of selection fir-beech forests during the last 70 years.

**Scenario 2**

As an alternative to the reference scenario, the Hundeshagen formula was chosen as a method for estimating sustainable harvests (Bettinger et al. 2009). According to the method, continuous application of the theoretical rate of current annual volume increment on actual standing volume would lead to achieve the theoretical (i.e., desired) growing volume with a balanced structure over the long term. Hence, Scenario 2 is based on a theoretical harvest in...
density using the theoretical rate of current annual volume increment and the harvest cycle length (eqn. 2):

$$ HA_{t+1} = SV_{t+1} / h_l $$

where $h_l$ is the theoretical 10-year harvest intensity (25.4%), and a 10-year harvest cycle and a 2.54% rate of theoretical current annual volume increment based on the developed BDq model were used. Theoretical harvest intensity and the rate of mean annual volume increment were based on theoretical growing volume before harvest.

Scenario 3
A third scenario (Scenario 3) simulated the future dynamics of the study stand where only natural processes (tree growth, tree death, and stand regeneration) take place and no management activities are carried out.

Simulations
Stand structure development was simulated for 11 future decades with a 10-year cycle and directed (in scenarios 1 and 2) to the target model for the mixed selection stand of fir and beech. For all scenarios at the end of each simulation step, tree attributes (species, age, DBH, and height) as well as stand variables per hectare (number of trees, basal area, growing volume, volume increment, number of dead trees, and the annual recruitment of young trees that exceed the threshold of DBH = 10 cm) were recorded.

For the first two scenarios, the total harvest volume was calculated at the end of each cycle (eqn. 1, eqn. 2). After each simulation step, canopy opening in the stand was simulated by marking trees to be felled according to the following criteria: (i) gradual harvesting of overmature firs; (ii) favoring fir regeneration and recruitment; (iii) leaving high-value broadleaf trees (maple); (iv) achieving and maintaining a balanced selection stand structure, regeneration, and increment. Trees to be felled were selected by visual inspection based on the spatial distribution of the simulated stand (Fig. 1). Total harvest volume was calculated according to tree species and size using projected data (i.e., height, dbh, volume). Targeted and simulated numbers of trees were compared within each DBH class and species. Overall volume of all marked trees should be equal or close to the calculated harvest volume.

Evaluation of management scenarios
Indicators of management and stand structure variables predicted by simulations in relation to the theoretical (target) values were used to compare and evaluate the three scenarios described above.

Deviations of the projected values of management variables (harvest volume, harvest intensity, rate of current annual increment, and net present value) from theoretical values of the target BDq model were estimated by calculating the following indices (eqn. 3):

$$ I_{dev} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} | X_{sc} - X_{t} |}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} X_{t}} $$

where $t$ is the number of periods (simulation steps), $X_{sc}$ is the projected value at each simulation step, and $X_{t}$ is the theoretical value according to the target BDq model. The smaller the overall deviation from the target value, the better was the scenario rank.

Stand structural variables (volume of small, medium, and large fir/beech trees, current annual volume increment and recruitment of fir/beech trees simulated in the last three cycles) averaged over cycles were used to calculate the indices of achievement of the targeted stand structure at the end of simulation period. The rate of volume increase of the three diameter classes (small, medium, and large) for fir and beech/other broadleaves was calculated as the average of simulated values in the last three cycles, and compared to the theoretical rates. The average simulated values of the rates of current annual volume increment and tree recruitment for the two species were also calculated for the last three cycles and compared with their theoretical values.

The software STATISTICA® v. 13.0 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA) was used for validation of the growth simulator by paired t-tests, while the Excel® package (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA) was used for the statistical evaluation of management scenarios.

Results
Stand dynamics over the period 1992-2012 (Tab. 1) was characterized by an increased standing volume (from 579.4 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ to 755.5 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$) and increased share of broadleaf species (beech and sycamore maple, from 20.4% to 27%) based on the 2012 inventory (before felling). During this period, the standing volume of large firs (DBH > 50 cm) increased from the initial 381 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ (82.6% of the total standing volume of firs) to 490 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ (89%). Management was characterized by a low felling intensity (only 15% in 2002), although felling intensity increased to 23.3% in 2012, aimed to remove large, overmature, and low-vitality firs as well as large beech trees. The diameter structure and the absence of small-diameter firs indicate poor fir regeneration over a long period in the past, as well as poor recent regeneration, with no seedlings, saplings, or young firs observed in the sample plot. Unlike fir, beech and sycamore showed a fairly good regeneration (Tab. 1).

The observed dynamics of stand structure at the study plot during the 20-year period (Tab. 1) reflects the highest-stocked fir-beech forests in the Gorski kotar region and the Croatian Dinarides, as well as forest management in the last 70 years. An accumulated standing volume of large-diameter firs, poor fir regeneration, and low selection harvesting intensities are the main characteristics. According to CRONFI, the average standing volume at the study plot (579 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ – Tab. 1) was 1.6 times higher than that of the entire forest type (364.9 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$) and higher than that of the same forest type over the whole Gorski kotar region (417.5 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ – Tab. Si in Supplementary material), which is reasonable given the different spatial scales considered.

However, a similar number of large-diameter trees (DBH > 50 cm) was observed both in the Gorski kotar region and at the study plot, with a share of 48% vs. 65% of total standing volume, respectively (Tab. 1, Tab. Si in Supplementary material). This is much higher than what expected based on the theoretical structure (33% of the total growing volume). Similar results were obtained in terms of poor fir regeneration (Tab. 1). Over the period 1996-2005, the average harvest intensity prescribed in these stands was only 14%, while the actual inten-
Compared to previous management cycles, the results of the simulated stand structure and regeneration in a fir-beech forest stand were analyzed. The 10-year period was considered a significant timeframe for evaluating forest growth and productivity, as shown in Tab. 2 for different scenarios. (DTR): volume of dead trees during last 10-year period; (MDT): medium-diameter trees (DBH 30-50 cm); (LDT): large-diameter trees (DBH > 50 cm); (1): for standing volume and 10-year harvesting intensity the average values for the simulation period are shown; (2): for Scenarios 1 and 2, HA includes the volume of trees marked to harvest and that of dead trees during last 10-year period, while for Scenario 3, HA has relative meaning and presents dead trees during last 10-year period; (3): 10-year gross volume increment includes net 10-year volume increment and volume of dead trees during the last 10-year period.

Results of the simulated stand structure achieved by harvesting in eleven successive 10-year cycles are reported in Tab. 2. Compared to previous management carried out in the studied stand, absolute and relative harvest amounts would be considerably increased during the first three harvest cycles, especially in the reference Scenario 1. The main differences among the simulated management scenarios reflect the different 10-year harvest intensity applied. A continuous intensity of about 25% would normally be applied in Scenario 2, whereas the reference Scenario 1 would start with a maximum intensity of 32.6%, gradually decrease to 22.3% in the fourth cycle, and continue between 22% and 23% in the next cycles (Tab. 2). On the other hand, in the Scenario 3 (no management), the volume of living trees during the simulation period (110 years) would be reduced by tree mortality, with the volume of dead trees increasing on average by 7.4% in every 10-years period (Tab. 2).

Because 10-year harvests including volumes of dead trees are larger by an average of 19% than the gross 10-year increment (especially in the first four cycles), a
considerable decrease in standing volume and a change in the stand structure are expected based on Scenarios 1 and 2 (Tab. 2, Fig. 3b). Due to the large amount of harvesting in the first two cycles in Scenario 1, the standing volume of fir would be excessively decreased, whereas Scenario 2 predicts a gradual decrease in growing volume for fir (Fig. 3a). Scenario 1 and Scenario 2 showed similar trends of total standing volume in the last five cycles, almost coinciding with the theoretical BDq values. Harvesting would therefore focus on the large-diameter firs and medium-diameter beech trees. On the contrary, a volume of dead trees lower than the gross volume increment within cycles (average 62% gross increment) predicted by Scenario 3 (no-management) would further increase standing volume to 1100 m$^3$ per hectare (Tab. 2, Fig. 3b).

To better elucidate the effects of the simulated management regimes on the stand structure dynamics, we compared the DBH distribution at the beginning and the end of the simulation period with that obtained from the theoretical function (BDq) for fir (Fig. 2a) and for beech and other broadleaves (OB – Fig. 2b). Improved stand regeneration (Fig. 2c) and increased tree diameter increment (i.e., a faster transition of trees into larger-diameter classes) would lead to an increased number of trees in the small DBH classes (fir and beech/OB) and the medium DBH classes (beech/OB) within Scenarios 1 and 2 and would result in approximately similar DBH distributions of fir and beech. The increased number of small-diameter firs, especially in the first two 5-cm DBH classes (above theoretical values), and the removal of most large-diameter firs indicate fir regeneration. Scenario 1 would result in slightly better stand regeneration, as indicated by the higher number of recruited trees in the last three cycles (8.9 vs. 7.1 firs and 13.5 vs. 11.3 overall trees – Fig. 2c). According to the theoretical distribution, the remarkable absence of medium-diameter firs would continue. In contrast, relative to the beginning and theoretical distributions, the ending DBH distributions of beech and other broadleaves would shift to the right (i.e., towards larger diameters). The presence of very large (DBH > 80 cm) fir trees (44 per hectare) and medium and large beech/OB trees (94 per hectare) would be expected at the end of the simulation period under the no-management scenario (Scenario 3).

The relationship between the intensity and dynamics of harvesting and the amount of deadwood is reported in Fig. 2d. The more intensive harvest Scenario 2 would result in the least total volume of deadwood, amounting to 221 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ 110 years$^{-1}$ with only 85 m$^3$ of dead fir trees. Compared to Scenario 2, the volume of dead trees would be 3.5 times larger under the no-management scenario (782 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$) and would disproportionally reflect large-diameter firs and medium-diameter beech/OB trees (Fig. 2d).

The structure of the standing volume obtained at the end of the simulation period with respect to the initial and theoretical structures (Fig. 3c, Fig. 3d) shows differences among Scenarios 1, 2, and 3. The reference Scenario 1, with its less intensive harvest intensity, would result in a lower percentage of fir in the last cutting cycle (25% vs. 43% – Tab. 2, Fig. 3c, Fig. 3d), which is especially noticeable in the middle 10-cm DBH classes, where fir is almost absent. A large increase in volume and a shift in the DBH distribution, with fir prevailing in the last three 10-cm DBH classes (DBH > 80 cm) and beech/OB prevailing in the previous DBH classes, would be achieved under the no-management approach (Scenario 3).
Fig. 3 - Trends of observed and simulated standing volume for (a) Silver fir and (b) total standing volume over the period 1992–2122; (c) structure of beginning vs. theoretical stand volume (upper panel), and structure of stand volume at the end of the simulation period for Scenario 1 (lower panel); (d) structure of stand volume at the end of the simulation period for Scenario 2 (upper panel) and Scenario 3 (lower panel). All diagrams show the values after harvest.

Tab. 3 • Indicators used in the evaluation of simulated management scenarios and their comparison with theoretical (‘‘target’’) values. Indicators of management variables are not relevant for Scenario 3, (p): rate of mean (current) annual volume increment; (INC): economic value (‘‘on the stump’’) of trees to be felled; (n/r): not relevant; (I,EB, I,Fir); rate of large, medium and small silver fir diameter trees volume, respectively, according to the total theoretical volume; (I,EB,Fir, I,Fir); rate of large, medium and small European beech and other broadleaves diameter trees volume, according to the total theoretical volume; (I,Fir, I,EB): ratio of an actual annual volume increment of silver fir/European beech according to the theoretical for each tree species; (I,EB,Fir, I,Fir, I,EB): ratio of actual recruitment of silver fir/European beech according to the theoretical recruitment for each tree species; (*) denote best ranking scenario for each indicator (simulated value closest to the theoretical value); (1): calculation includes the whole simulation period; (2): includes only the volume of trees to be felled (no dead trees); (3) the average value of the actual variables for the last three cycles was used to calculate the indicators.

| Variable Group | Indicator variable | Target value | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Harvest (1) (m³ ha⁻¹ 10yr⁻¹) | | | | | |
| | | average | in/hr | average | in/hr | average | in/hr |
| n10 (%) | 117.2 | 99.7 | 0.35 | 119.1 | 0.2* | n/r | n/r |
| P (%) | 25.4 | 24 | 0.13 | 25.4 | 0.01* | n/r | n/r |
| INC (eur ha⁻¹ 10yr⁻¹) | 4435 | 4043 | 0.33 | 4667 | 0.16* | n/r | n/r |
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Although the reference Scenario 1 had approximately similar trends and stand structure development (Fig. 2, Fig. 3), our simulations showed that the management Scenario 2 would be more suitable (Tab. 3). Indeed, the average values of management variables are closer to the target values, showing less deviation over the simulation period, and the indicators of stand structure variables are closer to theoretical rate.

Finally, Scenario 3 (no-management) showed the highest discrepancies in stand structure indicators with respect to theoretical (balanced) stand structure, which is also assumed to be economically efficient.

**Discussion**

This study aimed to explore the influence of different management regimes on stand dynamics in high-stocked stands. The study was based on an available small permanent sample plot 0.36 ha in size, which was representative of the stand structure characteristics. Generally, small plots have smaller number of trees, a larger edge effect, and do not include large tree clusters. Accordingly, tree spatial patterns are generally assessed on plots of at least 0.25 ha (Hanewinkel 2004). On the other hand, Carrer et al. (2018) suggested plots larger than one hectare to investigate large-scale spatial patterns and achieve high accuracy for long-distance patterns (> 10 m) in old-growth mountain forests across Europe. In the study plot at the beginning of the simulation period, several (up to six) tree clusters (Fig. 1a) could be distinguished, indicating the existence of a lower limit for long-distance patterns. However, simulation of scenarios under different management regimes (Scenarios 1 and 2) based on single-stem and small-group selection (small canopy openings) would reveal even shorter-distance patterns and fine spatial structure. Regarding the assessment of stand structure attributes, Gray (2003) studied the plot size effect in old-growth and mature Douglas-fir forests, revealing that the density of small, medium, and large trees (75–122 cm DBH) estimated on four clustered sub-plots of 18 m radius each within a 1-ha plot (40.7%) differed by 10%–20% in relation to the reference 1-ha plot density. Based on similar size (0.36 vs. 0.41 ha), a similar degree of representativeness of the 1-ha stand area for the studied plot can be assumed. Hence, due to the above issues on plot size and its consequent limitations, the obtained, observed, and simulated results of this study are somewhat inconsistent, and the following considerations should be taken with caution.

Unlike the observed (Tab. 1) and traditionally applied low harvest intensities, the reference and theoretical harvest intensity scenarios showed that more intense harvesting and stand openings would lead to the achievement of a balanced stand structure in the long term. According to Raymond et al. (2018), who graded harvest intensities as light (20%), moderate (31%) and heavy (43%) in a study of temperate mixed-wood stands, the Scenario 2 can be categorized as moderate harvesting and the reference Scenario 1 as light harvesting. Moderate harvest intensity and more intense selection cuttings are needed to improve the stand structure by providing better light conditions for potential regeneration of various tree species, as recommended in several studies (Lafond et al. 2015, Raymond et al. 2018). Although the absolute theoretical selection structure at the tree species level would not be achieved even after 110 years (Fig. 2a, Fig. 2b), a relative theoretical selection structure with a surplus of thin-diameter trees is expected to occur in the long run.

Significant changes in diameter structure, tree species composition, and stand volume over the long term have been reported in many studies of the past dynamics of selection forests. Bončina et al. (2014), in a study of Dinarcic uneven-aged forests in the NW Balkans, revealed a permanent increase in stand volume and large-diameter trees in managed forests. Similarly, an increase in stand basal area, a decrease in the number of trees per ha, and a substantial increase in the number of large-diameter firs were observed in other studies (O’Hara et al. 2007, Keren et al. 2018). Increases in the proportion of beech and other species were also noticed (Parobekova et al. 2018). These findings are consistent with the results of this study (Tab. 1). However, according to the simulated results (Scenario 1, Scenario 2 – Tab. 2), large decreases in overall stand volume and the volume of large-diameter trees as well as large increases in beech and sycamore maple are expected. The large increases of the latter species correspond with the results of Mina et al. (2017), who reported a strong rise in the proportion of beech in the Dinarcic Mountains under both management and climate change impacts over the long term.

Despite the overstocked stand structure observed in this study, poor fir regeneration in the past period and more recently as well as the abundance of regeneration and small-diameter beech trees (Tab. 1, Fig. 2a, Fig. 2b) indicate a long-term expansion of beech at the expense of fir, as already revealed by many studies (Bončina et al. 2002, 2014, Diaci et al. 2011). However, in forests characterized by high structural heterogeneity and tree species mingling (Keren et al. 2018), better conditions for development of young firs under older beech trees may be expected. Stand structure and canopy openings are considered as the key influential factors of stand regeneration (Čavlović et al. 2006, Raymond et al. 2018, Dobrowolska & Bolibok 2019). Besides stand structure, environmental factors (i.e., site condition, climate, browsing) can strongly impact fir regeneration. Šafar (1965) revealed that fir is less competitive than beech in sites with slow decomposition of accumulated litter due to stand overstocking and dry micro-site condition. The impact of climate on fir regeneration was studied by Dobrowolska & Bolibok (2019), who found that climatic variables (summer heat, moisture) are significant for predicting the probability of fir regeneration. Although the impact of ungulate browsing in limiting fir regeneration has been documented in Central Europe (Motta 1996, Klopcić et al. 2010, Fischer et al. 2013), this has minor impacts in the Gorski kotar region in Croatia due to contrasting densities of red deer individuals (up to 1.5 per km² – Malnar 2011), which is quite low compared to more than six red deer individuals per km² reported for Slovenia (Klopcić et al. 2010). However, due to the unpredictability of dynamics and the influence of many ecological and other influential factors on stand regeneration, long-term projections of tree recruitment are less trustworthy.

Projection of the diameter structure of stands with respect to its theoretical expectations represents a powerful explanatory tool for decision-making in forest management. However, the present study could not consider the long-term influence of changes in climatic conditions and other influential factors. Yet, long-term predictions suggested a significant decrease in potential fir habitat in Croatia due to climate change (Anić et al. 2009), as well as improved growing conditions for beech at higher elevations compared to the current climate (Mina et al. 2017). Other environmental factors may also influence future stand dynamics (Kienast et al. 1999, Fischer et al. 2013).

Besides the achievement and maintenance of economically efficient stand structures, the functionality of stand structures should also be considered, as the goals of a multi-objective forest management are affected by currently changing environmental, social, and economic conditions. Bončina et al. (2014) highlighted an important advantage of uneven-aged forest management under increasingly unpredictable conditions, which is due to the “elasticity” of the structure of selection stands. Sustainability of uneven-aged management in a multi-objective sense based on different sites and uneven-aged management systems were confirmed in several studies (O’Hara et al. 2007, Lafond et al. 2015). The alternative to these harvest management approaches may be the maintenance of old-growth stands in specific parts of the fir-beech forest by applying a light-harvesting or no-harvest regime, with the objective of increasing the share of large trees and deadwood (Fig. 2d, Fig. 3d) and supporting ecological and forest habitat functions (Hodge & Peterken 1998, Paillé et al. 2017). To this end, our projections showed that the adoption of a no-harvest regime (Scenario 3) would result in a considerably larger amount of deadwood compared with the other two harvesting scenarios.
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Conclusions
The results of our study can support its
starting hypotheses, despite the limitations
discussed above. The projected stand
structure based on simulations of different
management regimes showed that a more
intensive selection harvest would posi
tively affect stand regeneration dynamics,
favoring the achievement of the targeted
selection stand structure in at least 100
years, assuming the absence of influences
from any other factors.
The approach traditionally used in opera
tional forest planning based on the actual
rate of current annual increment has not
led to as “poor” a harvest intensity ap
proach as expected, due to the initial over
stocked conditions of the stand. However,
the results obtained indicated that the re
ference approach was less suitable than the
others. On the contrary, the theoretical
harvest intensity approach was deemed as
the more appropriate according to both
management and stand structure vari
ables. Hence, the permanent application of
this approach can be recommended in the
long run, independently of the structural
characteristics of the selection stand.
This study investigated for the first time
the dynamics of past stand development and
long-term projections of high-stocked stand structure and its regeneration under
different management regimes in the fir
beech forest type in the Croatian Dinardis.
The results obtained may be useful to sup
port the conversion of high-stocked stands
to a balanced structure, as well as to
achieve old-growth structure in the Dinaric
region. However, further comprehensive
investigations are needed, including plots
representing different site-stand character
stics, forest types, and previous manage
ment regimes. Various selection manage
ment systems, management objectives, and
environmental factors (climate, emis
tions, disturbances) should also be in
cluded to support planning and manage
ment in fir-beech forests.
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