THE EULER TOP AND CANONICAL LIFTS
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Abstract. In this note, we prove a finiteness result for fibers that are canonical lifts in a given elliptic fibration. The question was motivated by the authors’ construction of an arithmetic Euler top, and it highlights an interesting discrepancy between the arithmetic and the classical case: in the former, it is impossible to extend the flows to a compactification of the phase space, viewed as an elliptic fibration over the space of action variables.

1. Introduction

In this note, we present an analogue, for the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$, of one of the main finiteness results for elliptic curves proved in [4]. This is then applied to a question left open in [3, Remark 6.6], namely whether the main theorem, [3, Th. 6.1] could be improved by showing that a certain extension property (saying, roughly, that an arithmetic flow on an affine elliptic fibration can be “compactified”) holds for the arithmetic Euler top, which was there introduced. As we shall see in Corollary 2.4 below the answer to this question is negative: no such extension property holds and, hence, no such improvement of Theorem 6.1 in [3] is possible. Note, on the other hand, that the corresponding extension property does hold in the case of the classical Euler top, which is an algebraically completely integrable Hamiltonian system (ACI). This discrepancy between the arithmetic and the classical case adds a somewhat intriguing feature to the construction effected in [3].

Although the motivation of the present paper arose from [3], the paper is written so as to be logically independent.

In section 2 we discuss terminology and conventions and we state two theorems. The first, Theorem 2.1, is the aforementioned analogue, for the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$, of a finiteness result for elliptic curves proved in [4]. The second, Theorem 2.2, is an application of the first theorem to the geometric setting of the Euler top. We then derive a corollary to Theorem 2.2 showing the relevance of our analysis to the question raised in [3]. Section 3 contains the proofs of the two theorems and a final remark on a variation on Theorem 2.2.
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2. Main results

First, some terminology. In what follows varieties over \( \mathbb{C} \) will be identified with their sets of \( \mathbb{C} \)-points. By an elliptic fibration over \( \mathbb{C} \) we understand a surjective morphism \( f : \mathcal{E} \to B \) from a non-singular projective surface to a non-singular projective curve, whose general fiber is a connected curve of genus 1. If \( B^0 \subset B \) is the set of all points with smooth fiber then one has the \( J \)-function \( J : B^0 \to \mathbb{C} \) that attaches to each \( P \in B^0 \) the \( j \)-invariant \( j(\mathcal{E}_P) \) of the fiber \( \mathcal{E}_P = f^{-1}(P) \). The theory of \( J \) is usually presented for Jacobian fibrations, i.e., for elliptic fibrations possessing a section. On the other hand, to any elliptic fibration \( f : \mathcal{E} \to B \) one can attach its Jacobian fibration \( f' : \mathcal{E}' \to B \) which is a compactification of \( \text{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{E}/B^0} \to B^0 \) where \( \mathcal{E}' := f'^{-1}(B^0) \); cf. [1], p. 260. Then the fibers of \( f \) and \( f' \) above each \( P \in B^0 \) are isomorphic so \( f \) and \( f' \) have the same \( J \)-function \( B^0 \to \mathbb{C} \). This \( J \)-function induces a morphism \( J : B \to \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{ \infty \} \) which we still refer to as the \( J \)-function, cf. [5].

We also make, in what follows, the following convention. If finitely many varieties and morphisms over \( \mathbb{C} \) are given, which are defined over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \), then we will implicitly choose a number field \( L \) over which they are defined and we will choose models of our objects over \( \mathcal{O}_L[1/M] \) for some integer \( M \). Then, for any sufficiently big rational prime \( p > 0 \), we will choose an unramified prime \( \mathfrak{p} \) in \( \mathcal{O}_L \), not containing \( M \), and we will base change these models to \( R = R_{\mathfrak{p}} \), the completion of the maximum unramified extension of the \( \mathfrak{p} \)-adic completion of \( \mathcal{O}_L \), which is isomorphic to the completion \( R_p \) of the maximum unramified extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). These new schemes and morphisms over \( R_p \) will be denoted by the same letters as our original varieties and morphisms over \( \mathbb{C} \). We will denote by \( k_p = R_{\mathfrak{p}}/pR_{\mathfrak{p}} \) the residue field of \( R_p = R_{\mathfrak{p}} \), which is therefore an algebraic closure of the field \( \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \). Also we denote by \( ^\wedge \) the \( p \)-adic completion of rings or schemes.

Further, we denote by \( \mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}] \) the multiplicative group scheme over the integers; so for any ring \( A \) we have \( \mathbb{G}_m(A) = A^\times \). According to our conventions, over \( \mathbb{C} \), we continue to write \( \mathbb{G}_m \) in place of \( \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^\times \) and we have \( \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{G}_m \cup \{ 0 \} \) and \( \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{G}_m \cup \{ 0, \infty \} \).

Finally recall that a Frobenius lift on a scheme (respectively on a \( p \)-adic formal scheme) is an endomorphism whose reduction mod \( p \) is the absolute Frobenius. Trivially, \( \text{Spec} R_p \) has a unique Frobenius lift. An elliptic curve over \( R_p \) is said to be a canonical lift (or to be CL) if it has a Frobenius lift which is compatible with the Frobenius lift on \( \text{Spec} R_p \). If an elliptic curve is CL then it has complex multiplication (or is CM); cf. [4] for a review of the relationship between CL and CM.

Our first result will be the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( f : \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{G}_m \cup \{ 0, \infty \} \) be an elliptic fibration defined over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \), with \( J \)-function \( J : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \). Assume there exists \( P_0 \in \mathbb{G}_m \) such that \( J(P_0) = \infty \). Then for all sufficiently big primes \( p >> 0 \) there exists a constant \( c_p \) such that for any integer \( r \) and any subgroup \( \Gamma \subset \mathbb{G}_m(R_p) = R_p^\times \) of rank \( r < \infty \) the set

\[ \{ P \in \Gamma; \mathcal{E}_P \text{ is CL} \} \]
is finite of cardinality at most \(c_p p^r\).

Here the rank of \(\Gamma\) is defined, as usual, as the maximum number of multiplicatively independent elements in \(\Gamma\); in particular, the torsion group \(T_p\) of \(R_p^\times\) has rank 0. Also, according to our conventions, \(E_p\) in the above theorem is the fiber \(f^{-1}(P)\) of \(P \in \mathbb{P}^1(R_p)\), where \(f : E \to \mathbb{P}^1\) is the induced morphism over \(R_p\).

What we will need in the application of Theorem 2.1 to the next result will be the finiteness statement, not an actual estimate for the cardinality.

To state our next result recall from [3] the geometric setting of the Euler equations. Let \(a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}\) be distinct complex numbers and consider the functions

\[
H_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i x_i^2, \quad H_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i^2,
\]

in the polynomial ring \(\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]\). Also we consider the affine spaces

\[
\mathbb{A}^2 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2], \quad \mathbb{A}^3 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]
\]

and the morphism

\[
\mathcal{H} : \mathbb{A}^3 \to \mathbb{A}^2
\]

defined by

\[
z_1 \mapsto H_1, \quad z_2 \mapsto H_2.
\]

For any \(\mathbb{C}\)-point \(c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C})\) we denote by

\[
E_c = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(H_1 - c_1, H_2 - c_2)
\]

the fiber of \(\mathcal{H}\) at \(c\). Consider the polynomial

\[
N(z_1, z_2) = \prod_{i=1}^{3} (z_1 - a_i z_2) \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2].
\]

For \(c \in \mathbb{A}^2\) with \(N(c) \neq 0\), \(E_c\) is smooth over \(\mathbb{C}\). If we consider the projective closure \(\mathcal{E}_c\) of \(E_c\) in the projective space

\[
\mathbb{P}^3 = \text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3], \quad x_i = t_i/t_0,
\]

then for \(c \in \mathbb{A}^2\) with \(N(c) \neq 0\) the curve \(\mathcal{E}_c\) is still smooth. (In [3], the curves \(\mathcal{E}_c\) were denoted by \(E_c^\ast\).)

Recall that for a rational prime \(p\) we denoted by \(T_p\) the torsion subgroup of \(R_p^\times\); it is the set of all roots of unity of order prime to \(p\) in an algebraically closed field containing \(R_p\). In the notation above we will prove the following:

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \(a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{Q}\) and \(c_2 \in \mathbb{C}\) a root of unity. For all sufficiently big primes \(p \gg 0\) the set

\[
\{c_1 \in T_p; \quad N(c_1, c_2) \in R_p^\times, \quad \mathcal{E}_{(c_1, c_2)} \text{ is CL}\}
\]

is finite.

To explain the relevance of Theorem 2.2 for the Euler equations considered in [3], we first recall the classical picture. The classical Euler top is a rotating solid body attached to a fixed point in (three-dimensional) space, subject to no external force. Its motion is described by a system of three ordinary (non-linear) differential equations in 3 variables (the Euler equations); these equations correspond to a
polynomial vector field on the affine complex 3-space and hence to a derivation $\delta$ on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. The derivation is given by the expression

$$\delta := (a_2 - a_3)x_2x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + (a_3 - a_1)x_3x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + (a_1 - a_2)x_1x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3},$$

where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ are distinct complex numbers. This vector field is trivially seen to have $H_1$ and $H_2$ in (2.11) as prime integrals in the sense that

$$\delta H_1 = \delta H_2 = 0.$$

For $c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ with $N(c) \neq 0$ the curves $E_c$ given by (2.8) are tangent to the vector field so the derivation $\delta$ induces derivations $\delta_c$ on the rings $\mathcal{O}(E_c)$. The remarkable fact about the situation is that $\delta_c$ on $\mathcal{O}(E_c)$ are linearized in the sense that for all $c \in \mathbb{A}^2$ with $N(c) \neq 0$,

$$\delta_c \text{ extends to a vector field on the compactification } \mathcal{E}_c \text{ of } E_c.$$

This makes the Euler equations “solvable by elliptic functions.” Condition (2.9) is equivalent to the condition that

$$(2.10) \quad \delta_c \omega_c = 0,$$

where we continue to denote by $\delta_c$ the action of $\delta_c$ as Lie derivative on the 1-forms on $E_c$ and $\omega_c$ is the restriction to $E_c$ of some (equivalently any) non-zero 1-form on $\mathcal{E}_c$; the equivalence of (2.9) and (2.10) is, of course, a consequence of Henri Cartan’s formula for the Lie derivative.

In [3], we developed an arithmetic analogue of the classical Euler equations. To explain this, it is convenient to introduce some ad hoc terminology. Let us define a $p$-triple as being a triple $(K, X, \phi)$ where

- $K \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2) = \overline{R_p[z_1, z_2]}$, $K \not\equiv 0 \mod p$,
- $X \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ an open set over $R_p$,
- $\phi$ a Frobenius lift on $\hat{X}$,

such that the reduction mod $p$ of $K$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $k_p[z_1, z_2]$.

Theorem 6.1 in [3] implies the following:

**Corollary 2.3.** Let $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then, if $p >> 0$ is any sufficiently big prime, there exists a $p$-triple $(K, X, \phi)$ satisfying the following two conditions:

1) one has equalities

$$\phi(H_1) = H_1^p, \quad \phi(H_2) = H_2^p;$$

2) for all $c \in T_p^2$ with $N(c)K(c) \in R_p^\times$, one has $\hat{E}_c \cap \hat{X} \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\phi_p^* \omega_c \equiv K(c)^{-1} \cdot \omega_c \mod p,$$

where

- $\phi_c$ is the Frobenius lift on $\hat{E}_c \cap \hat{X}$ induced by $\phi$;
- $\omega_c$ is an $R_p$-basis for the 1-forms on the compactification $\mathcal{E}_c$ of $E_c$ over $R_p$.

Here $\phi$ induces a Frobenius lift on $\hat{E}_c \cap \hat{X}$ because, for $c = (c_1, c_2) \in T_p^2$, we have

$$\phi(H_i - c_i) = H_i^p - c_i^p \in (H_i - c_i), \quad i = 1, 2.$$
We proved Corollary 2.3 in [3] by choosing $K$ in the $p$-triple to be the Hasse invariant $A_{p-1}$ of an appropriate associated plane quartic, cf. [3]; note that $A_{p-1}$ itself is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $p - 1$ and for $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have that $A_{p-1}(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}_{(c_1, c_2)}$ has ordinary reduction.

Conditions (2.11) and (2.12) above are of course to be viewed as arithmetic analogues of conditions (2.8) and (2.10) respectively. Now, in view of the equivalence between (2.9) and (2.10) it is natural to ask for an arithmetic analogue of the condition (2.9).

An arithmetic analogue of (2.9) could be the condition

\begin{equation}
(2.13)
\phi_c \text{ extends to an endomorphism of the compactification } \mathcal{E}_c \text{ of } E_c.
\end{equation}

Note that condition (2.13) implies, of course, the condition that

\begin{equation}
(2.14)
\frac{\phi^*_c \omega_p}{p} = \kappa_c \cdot \omega_c
\end{equation}

for some $\kappa_c \in \mathbb{R}_p$ where $\omega_c$ is a basis for the space of 1-forms on $\mathcal{E}_c$; in its turn condition (2.14) is a strengthening of the congruence (2.12) (at least if the “eigenvalue” $K(c)^{-1}$ in (2.12) is not being specified).

One is then tempted to ask the following question; cf. Remark 6.6 of [3]:

\begin{equation}
(2.15)
\text{Does Corollary 2.3 hold with condition (2.12) replaced by condition (2.13)?}
\end{equation}

The answer to this question is no; indeed we have the following consequence of Theorem 2.2.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then, if $p >> 0$ is any sufficiently big prime, there is no $p$-triple $(K, X, \phi)$ satisfying the following two conditions:

1) one has equalities

\begin{equation}
(2.16)
\phi(H_1) = H_1^p, \quad \phi(H_2) = H_2^p;
\end{equation}

2) for all $c \in T_p^2$ with $N(c)K(c) \in R_p^\times$, one has $\hat{E}_c \cap \hat{X} \neq \emptyset$ and

\begin{equation}
(2.17)
\phi_c \text{ extends to an endomorphism of the compactification } \mathcal{E}_c \text{ of } E_c,
\end{equation}

where $\phi_c$ is the Frobenius lift on $\hat{E}_c \cap \hat{X}$ induced by $\phi$.

**Proof.** Assume that there is an infinite set $S$ of primes $p$ such that for each $p \in S$ there is a $p$-triple $(K, X, \phi)$ satisfying (2.16) and (2.17). Let us fix a root of unity $c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. By Theorem 2.2 for all $p >> 0$ the set

\begin{equation}
\{c_1 \in T_p; \quad N(c_1, c_2) \in R_p^\times, \quad \mathcal{E}_{(c_1, c_2)} \text{ is } CL\}
\end{equation}

is finite. Now, for any $p \in S$, since the image of $K$ in $k_p[z_1, z_2]$ is homogeneous and not $\equiv 0 \mod p$, the zero locus of $K$ mod $p$ in the plane $\mathbb{A}^2(k_p) = k_p^2$ is a union of lines passing through the origin hence the intersection of this locus with the line $z_2 = c_2$ must be finite. So, for all $p \in S$, the set

\begin{equation}
\{c_1 \in T_p; \quad K(c_1, c_2) \notin R_p^\times\}
\end{equation}

is finite. Similarly, since $N$ is homogeneous, the set

\begin{equation}
\{c_1 \in T_p; \quad N(c_1, c_2) \notin R_p^\times\}
\end{equation}

is finite for all $p >> 0$. It follows that for all except finitely many $p \in S$ the set

\begin{equation}
\{c_1 \in T_p; \quad N(c_1, c_2)K(c_1, c_2) \in R_p^\times, \quad \mathcal{E}_{(c_1, c_2)} \text{ is not } CL\}
\end{equation}

is finite.
has a finite complement in $T_p$, in particular it is non-empty. This violates the condition 2) in the statement of the corollary. We obtained a contradiction which ends our proof.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 2.5.** As we saw, Corollary 2.3 fails if one replaces congruence 2.12 by condition 2.13. One can ask, however, whether Corollary 2.3 continues to hold if one replaces congruence 2.12 by the equality,

\[ (2.18) \quad \frac{\phi^*_c}{p} \omega_c = K(c)^{-1} \cdot \omega_c, \]

or, at least, by condition 2.14. Indeed, 2.18 (an equality) is stronger than 2.12 (the corresponding congruence), and also stronger than condition 2.14; on the other hand, as already noticed, condition 2.14 is weaker than condition 2.13.

### 3. Proof of the Theorems

**Proof of Theorem 2.1** Consider the restriction $J : G_m \to X(1) = \mathbb{P}^1$ of the map $J : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ in the statement of the Theorem and consider the cartesian diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
G_m & \xrightarrow{J} & X(1) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
Z & \rightarrow & X_1(N)
\end{array} \]

where we are using the standard notation $X(1)$ and $X_1(N)$ for the modular curves, $N \geq 4$; cf. [4]. Note that since the right arrow is finite we have that the left arrow is finite so $Z$ is an affine curve. Recall that $X_1(N)$ possesses a distinguished cusp $\infty$ lying over the point $\infty \in X(1) = \mathbb{P}^1$. So $Z$ contains a point $z_\infty$ mapping to $P_0 \in G_m$ and also to $\infty \in X_1(N)$. Denote by $X$ the normalization of an irreducible component of $Z_{red}$ that contains $z_\infty$, let $x_\infty \in X$ be a point lying over $z_\infty$, and consider the induced maps

\[ \Pi : X \to Z \to X_1(N) \]

and

\[ \Phi : X \to Z \to G_m \xrightarrow{L_{P_0}^{-1}} G_m, \]

where $L_{P_0}$ is the translation by $P_0$ in the group $G_m$. So we have at our disposal a diagram (a correspondence)

\[ (3.1) \quad X_1(N) \leftarrow^\Pi X \xrightarrow{\Phi} G_m, \quad \Pi(x_\infty) = \infty, \quad \Phi(x_\infty) = 1. \]

Also $\Phi$ is a finite morphism. Note that for $p >> 0$ we have that any point $P$ in

\[ \{P \in \Gamma; \ E_P \ is \ CL\} \]

which is not a ramification point for $\Phi$ is the image of a point in

\[ (3.2) \quad \Phi^{-1}(\Gamma') \cap \Pi^{-1}(CL) \]

where $\Gamma' := \langle \Gamma, P_0 \rangle$ is the subgroup of $G_m(R_p) = R_p^\times$ generated by $\Gamma$ and $P_0$, while $CL \subset X_1(N)(R_p)$ is the set of CL points (points corresponding to CL elliptic curves). Since $\text{rank}(\Gamma') \leq \text{rank}(\Gamma) + 1$ it is sufficient to prove that the intersection 3.2 has cardinality bounded by a constant that does not depend on $\Gamma'$ times $p^{\text{rank}(\Gamma')}$. This is a statement analogous to that of Theorem 1.6 in [4] and can be given a proof entirely analogous to the proof in [4]. \[ \square \]
Remark 3.1. Some comments on the proof of Theorem 1.6 of [4] and its relation to our situation here are in order. That theorem has two cases.

One case refers to correspondences

\[(3.3)\quad X_1(N) \xleftarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A, \quad \Pi(x_{\infty}) = \infty, \quad \Phi(x_{\infty}) = 0,\]

with \(A\) an elliptic curve. The proof in [4] for this case is based on Fourier expansions and is divided into two subcases: one subcase corresponds to the situation when \(A\) itself is CL while another subcase corresponds to the situation when \(A\) is not CL.

The proof of the subcase in which \(A\) is CL goes through, essentially word for word, when one replaces (3.3) by (3.1); all one has to do is replace the canonical \(\delta\)-character of \(A\) in section 4.3 of [4], cf. also [2], with the canonical \(\delta\)-character of \(\mathbb{G}_m\) in [2].

Another case of Theorem 1.6 in [4] refers to correspondences

\[(3.4)\quad S \xleftarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A, \quad \Phi(x_{\infty}) = 0,\]

with \(A\) an elliptic curve and \(S\) a Shimura curve. The proof in [4], in this case, uses Serre-Tate expansions (rather than Fourier expansions) and, interestingly, the Serre-Tate expansion method does not seem to go through for correspondences (3.1).

Indeed the Serre-Tate expansion method in [4] only applied to primes that were not anomalous for our elliptic curve \(A\) (in the sense of Mazur [6]; cf. also [4], Definition 3.2). On the other hand, if one attempts to apply the Serre-Tate expansion method in [4] to the case of \(\mathbb{G}_m\) instead of \(A\) one immediately discovers that “all primes behave as if they were anomalous;” hence the method is not applicable.

We next consider the proof of Theorem 2.2. We need to review some notation from [3] first. We may and will assume \(a_1 \neq 0\). Consider two more indeterminates \(x, y\), and consider the polynomial

\[F = F(z_1, z_2, x) \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2][x]\]

defined by

\[(3.5)\quad F := ((a_2 - a_3)z_2 + z_1 - a_2z_2)((a_3 - a_1)x^2 - z_1 + a_1z_2)\]

For any \(c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2\) set

\[(3.6)\quad E'_c := \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y^2 - F(c_1, c_2, x)).\]

Then we have a morphism

\[(3.7)\quad \pi : E_c \to E'_c,\]

given by

\[x \mapsto x_3, \quad y \mapsto (a_1 - a_2)x_1x_2.\]

Note that, under the assumption that \(N(c_1, c_2) \neq 0\), the discriminant of \(F\) is in \(\mathbb{C}^\times\) so \(E'_c\) is a smooth plane curve whose smooth projective model \(E'_c\) is an elliptic curve and hence (3.7) is induced by a degree-two isogeny of elliptic curves,

\[(3.8)\quad E_c \to E'_c.\]

We also need some well-known formulas relating quartic to cubic equations. We recall that the complex plane cubic

\[y^2 = Ax^4 + Cx^2 + E\]

is birational to the Weierstrass equation

\[v^2 = u^3 + A_2u^2 + A_4u + A_6\]
where
\[ A_2 = C, \quad A_4 = -4AE, \quad A_6 = -4ACE; \]
the coordinates are related by
\[ x = \frac{2\sqrt{E}(u + C)}{v}, \quad y = -\sqrt{E} + \frac{x^2}{2\sqrt{E}}. \]
On the other hand recall that the discriminant \( \Delta \) of the above elliptic curves is given by
\[
1728\Delta = (16A_2^2 - 48A_4)^3 - (64A_2^3 - 288A_2A_4 + 864A_6)^2 \tag{3.9}
\]
and the \( j \)-invariant is given by
\[
j = \frac{(16C^2 + 192AE)^3}{\Delta}. \tag{3.10}
\]
So the \( j \)-invariant of \( E'_c \) is given by \( 3.10 \) with \( \Delta \) as in \( 3.9 \) and
\[
A = (a_2 - a_3)(a_3 - a_1), \quad C = (a_2 - a_3)(a_1c_2 - c_1) + (a_3 - a_1)(c_1 - a_2c_2), \quad E = (c_1 - a_2c_2)(a_1c_2 - c_1). \tag{3.11}
\]

**Proof of Theorem 2.2** Recall that we assumed \( a_1 \neq 0 \). In view of Theorem 2.1 it is enough to show that, in the notation of Theorem 2.2 and with notation as in the discussion preceding this proof, the following holds: for any root of unity \( c_2 \in \mathbb{C} \) we have
\[
j(E_{(c_1,c_2)}) \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad c_1 \to a_1c_2. \tag{3.12}
\]
Indeed if this is the case, with the root of unity \( c_2 \in \mathbb{C} \) fixed, we can apply Theorem 2.1 to the elliptic fibration obtained by compactifying the family of smooth elliptic curves,
\[(E_{(t,c_2)})_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{a_1c_2, a_2c_2, a_3c_2\}; \]
we get that if \( p >> 0 \) then there are only finitely many pairs \((c_1,c_2)\), with \( c_1 \in T_p \), such that \( E_{(c_1,c_2)} \) is CL.

To conclude the proof fix a fundamental domain \( F \) for \( SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) in the complex upper half plane that contains all complex numbers with real part in \(( -1, 1 )\) and imaginary part in \(( 1, \infty )\), say. If \( \tau \in F \) corresponds to \( E'_c \) then \( E_c \) (which admits an isogeny of degree 2 to \( E'_c \)) corresponds to one of the numbers \( 2\tau, 2\tau + 1, \tau/2 \). So it is enough to prove that
\[
j(E'_{(c_1,c_2)}) \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad c_1 \to a_1c_2. \tag{3.13}
\]
Now, using \( 3.9 \, 3.10 \, 3.11 \) one gets that, as \( c_1 \to a_1c_2 \), we have
\[
E \to 0, \quad C \to (a_3 - a_1)(a_1 - a_2)c_2 \neq 0, \quad \Delta \to 0,
\]
so \( j \to \infty \) and we are done. \( \square \)
Remark 3.2. Theorem 2.2 states that for any choice of \((a_1, a_2, a_3)\) there exists a bound such that for any prime larger than that bound one can find “many” pairs \((c_1, c_2)\) such that a certain property holds. One can turn the tables and show that there exists a pair \((c_1, c_2)\) for which one can find “many” triples \((a_1, a_2, a_3)\) and “many” primes such that that same property holds. The latter is easier and does not require an input from Theorem 2.1. Here is an example of such a statement.

Let \(m \in \mathbb{Z}\) and set \(a_1 = 2, a_2 = 0, a_3 = m\).

Claim. For all but finitely many \(m\) the following holds: there are infinitely many primes \(p\) such that
1) \(N(1, 1) \not\in R_p^\times\);
2) \(E_{(1, 1)}\) has ordinary reduction but is not CL.

To check the Claim note that
\[
F(1, 1, x) = m(2 - m)x^4 - 2x^2 + 1,
\]
so the affine elliptic curve defined by
\[
y^2 = F(1, 1, x)
\]
is birationally equivalent over \(\mathbb{C}\) to the affine elliptic curve defined by
\[
y^2 = x^3 - 2x^2 - 4m(2 - m)x + 8m(2 - m).
\]
The latter has \(j\)-invariant given by
\[
j(m) = \frac{P_6(m)}{P_6(m) - P_4(m)}
\]
where \(P_6, P_4 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]\) are polynomials of degree 6 and 4 respectively. Since there are only finitely many numbers in \(\mathbb{Q}\) that appear as \(j\)-invariants of CM elliptic curves there is a cofinite set of integers \(m\) such the curve defined by (3.15) is not a CM curve. Fix such an \(m\). Then the curve defined by (3.14) and hence the curve \(E_c\), is not a CM curve. Now there are infinitely many primes \(p\) such that \(E_c\) has ordinary reduction, i.e., for \(A_{p-1}\) the Hasse invariant as in [3],
\[
A_{p-1}(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.
\]
Finally there are infinitely many primes \(p\) such that, in addition,
\[
N(1, 1) = \prod_{i=1}^3 (1 - a_i) = m - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.
\]
For such primes \(E_c\) is not a CL curve. This ends the proof of our Claim.
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