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The neurological phenotype of developmental motor patterns during early childhood
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Abstract

Introduction: During early childhood, typical human motor behavior reveals a gradual transition from automatic motor patterns to acquired motor skills, by the continuous interplay between nature and nurture. During the wiring and shaping of the underlying motor networks, insight into the neurological phenotype of developmental motor patterns is incomplete. In healthy, typically developing children (0–3 years of age), we therefore aimed to investigate the neurological phenotype of developmental motor patterns.

Methods: In 32 healthy, typically developing children (0–3 years), we video-recorded spontaneous motor behavior, general movements (GMs), and standardized motor tasks. We classified the motor patterns by: (a) the traditional neurodevelopmental approach, by Gestalt perception and (b) the classical neurological approach, by the clinical phenotypic determination of movement disorder features. We associated outcomes by Cramer’s V.

Results: Developmental motor patterns revealed (a) choreatic-like features (≤3 months; associated with fidgety GMs ($r = 0.732$) and startles ($r = 0.687$)), (b) myoclonic-like features (≤3 months; associated with fidgety GMs ($r = 0.878$) and startles ($r = 0.808$)), (c) dystonic-like features (0–3 years; associated with asymmetrical tonic neck reflex ($r = 0.641$) and voluntary movements ($r = 0.517$)), and (d) ataxic-like features (>3 months; associated with voluntary movements ($r = 0.928$)).

Conclusions: In healthy infants and toddlers (0–3 years), typical developmental motor patterns reveal choreatic-, myoclonic-, dystonic- and ataxic-like features. The transient character of these neurological phenotypes is placed in perspective of the physiological shaping of the underlying motor centers. Neurological phenotypic insight into developmental motor patterns can contribute to adequate discrimination between ontogenetic and initiating pathological movement features and to adequate interpretation of therapeutic interactions.
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INTRODUCTION

During the first three years of life, typically developing infants and toddlers show a gradual transition from innate motor patterns to acquired motor skills by the continuous interplay between nature and nurture (Teulier, Lee, & Ulrich, 2015). During the first year of life, key dynamic transitions induce the gradual replacement of innate neonatal motor patterns by goal-directed movements (Einspieler & Prechtl, 2005). Until now, clinical insight into the neurological phenotype of these developmental motor patterns is still incomplete. We reasoned that neurological data on the phenotypic expression of the underlying developmental motor patterns would contribute to (a) insight into the functional developmental condition of the underlying motor centers and networks, (b) clinical neuro-pediatric discrimination between physiological and pathological movement disorder features, (c) adequate phenotypic interpretation of therapeutic effects. In the present study, we therefore aimed to elucidate the neurological phenotype of developmental motor patterns by associating two different approaches: (a) the traditional neurodevelopmental approach, by the technique and theory of Gestalt Perception (Prechtl, 1990) and (b) the classical neurological approach, by the clinical phenotypic determination of movement disorder features.

The first traditional neurodevelopmental approach involves the assessment of the developmental motor patterns by Gestalt perception (Prechtl, 1990). This method describes the quality (i.e., variability in amplitude, speed, fluency, and symmetry) of spontaneous motor behavior, including general movements (GMs; Prechtl, 1990). GMs are complex, spontaneous movements, involving the whole body, characterized by variability in intensity, force, speed, and amplitude (Prechtl & Hopkins, 1986). During the early neonatal period, GMs are of writhing character (i.e., small-to-moderate amplitude and slow-to-moderate speed), transforming into fidgety quality (i.e., continuous small movements of moderate speed and variable acceleration of trunk, neck, and limbs in all directions) around six to nine weeks postterm (Einspieler & Prechtl, 2005; Prechtl & Hopkins, 1986; Prechtl, 1991). At about 20 weeks of age, fidgety GMs are gradually being displaced by intentional movements, involving grasping, rolling, sitting, and walking. During the acquisition of new motor patterns, the healthy motor system explores different strategies, resulting in variable motor output of optimal complexity (Dusing, Thacker, Stergiou, & Galloway, 2013). In this period, the nervous system is being shaped and organized by innate activation of neural circuitry and environmental interaction. These processes will result in the elimination of inefficient synaptic connections, preserving the most efficient neural networks (Edelman, 1993; Nishiyori, Bisconti, Meehan, & Ulrich, 2016). This organization concurs with a gradual change in the quality of motor behavior, changing from a clumsy pattern with co-contractions, into fluent, precise, and well-coordinated motor performances (Hempel, 1993a, 1993b; Jovanovic & Schwarzer, 2017; Largo, Fischer, & Rousson, 2003; Lin & Nardocci, 2016; Nishiyori et al., 2016).

The second classical neurological approach is based on the identification of movement disorder features by the examination of reflexes, postures, and movements. Historically speaking, this method is generally extrapolated from adult neurology. However, in early childhood it is important to realize that the neurological phenotype of immature, healthy motor networks could physiologically express movement disorder-like features as part of normal neurological development. For instance, in healthy children older than four years of age, we have indicated that physiologically immature motor behavior can reveal features that resemble ataxia and dystonia (Brandsma et al., 2014; Kuiper et al., 2016). These physiological, developmental features are inversely related with age, implicating the highest expression by the most immature motor centers, and the gradual disappearance until adolescence. Analogous to movement quality features (as described by the neurodevelopmental approach), this implies that neurological movement disorder phenotypes express the physiological maturation and fine-tuning of neural motor networks between the basal ganglia, cerebral cortex, and cerebellum (Edelman, 1993; Gogtay et al., 2004; Lenroot & Giedd, 2006; Nishiyori et al., 2016). In infants and toddlers (0–3 years of age), we reasoned that the occurrence of physiological developmental movement disorder features may clinically complicate the early quantitative distinction between ontogenetic and pathologic motor features and the neurological interpretation of treatment strategies.

In healthy, typically developing children (0–3 years of age), we aimed to investigate the neurological phenotype of developmental motor patterns. We hypothesized that developmental motor patterns in the neonate and toddler would consistently reveal movement disorder features (such as chorea, myoclonus, dystonia, and ataxia). If so, these developmental motor patterns could be neurologically attributed to the physiological shaping and maturation of the underlying motor centers.

METHODS

2.1 Participants

The medical ethical committee of the University Medical Center Groningen, the Netherlands, approved the present study. In the absence of pre-existing data, the present study is explorative in character. Analogous to previous studies determining age-related influences on quantitative ataxia and dystonia rating scale scores, we included four children per age subgroup. After receiving signed informed consent by the parents, we included 32 healthy, typically developing children, consisting of four children (two male, two female) per age subgroup (i.e., 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 18, 24, and 36 months of age). Inclusion criteria were as follows: healthy children, full term, uneventful delivery, normal development, and achievement of age-adequate motor milestones (Supporting Information Appendix S1). Exclusion criteria were as follows: perinatal asphyxia, neurological or skeletal disorders, and medication with known side effects on motor behavior. We recruited the children by open advertisement. We collected physiognomic data on length, weight, and head circumference. Parents completed a questionnaire.
regarding their educational level, see Supporting Information Table S1.

2.2 | Procedure

We videotaped pediatric motor behavior in a quiet and alert behavioral state (state 4). For the children's comfort, parents were present during the recordings. In children of 0 to 24 months of age, we videotaped 5 min of spontaneous motor behavior, including at least two GMs (0–3 months of age), spontaneous posturing and/or voluntary movements (6–24 months of age). In 3-year-old children, we videotaped 2 min of spontaneous motor behavior and standardized motor tasks (such as reaching, sitting, and walking), see Supporting Information Table S2.

2.3 | Neurodevelopmental assessment of motor behavior

In children between 0 and 3 months of age, AFB, neonatologist and co-founder of the General Movements Trust, scored and analyzed the GMs according to Prechtl’s method of Gestalt perception (Einspieler, Prechtl, Ferrari, Cioni, & Bos, 1997). The average duration per assessment was three minutes.

2.4 | Phenotypic assessment of physiologic immature motor patterns

Five investigators (three pediatric neurologists and two MD PhD students in pediatric movement disorders) independently assessed the motor patterns for the neurological phenotypic appearance. The average duration per phenotypic assessment was 10 min. For this task, the assessors applied the definitions of movement disorder features as the gold standard (see Supporting Information Appendix S2). For the assessment form, see Supporting Information Appendix S3.

In each child, we calculated the percentage of observers who phenotypically recognized the same movement disorder features (i.e., the % movement disorder recognition). If the same movement disorder feature was indicated by the majority of observers (≥3/5 observers), we considered the indicated movement disorder feature as “reproducible.” Subsequently, we analyzed the occurrence of reproducible movement disorder features per age subgroup (0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 18, 24, and 36 months of age, n = 4/age subgroup). When the majority of children per age subgroup (≥2/4) revealed the same reproducible movement disorder features, the indicated features were processed as “main” movement disorder features for that particular age subgroup. This implies that main movement disorder features are indicated by the majority of the observers in the majority of children per age subgroup.

We determined inter-observer agreement for the obtained main movement disorder features (between five assessors). Furthermore, we associated the percentage of main movement disorder features with the age of the subgroups and also with the identified developmental motor patterns, involving GM characteristics using Gestalt Perception (by AFB, expert and co-founder of the GM trust) and the identification of primitive reflexes (startles and asymmetric tonic neck reflex [ATNR]) and voluntary motor patterns (such as sitting, standing, walking, reaching, and voluntary grasping).

2.5 | Statistical analysis

We performed statistical analyses using PASW Statistics 20 for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago IL, USA). We assessed normality of the distribution of the neurological phenotypic outcomes (i.e., percentage of recognition), both graphically and with the Shapiro-Wilk test. We determined inter-observer agreement between observers by Gwet’s agreement coefficient (Gwet’s ACi) and interpreted the outcomes by criteria of Landis and Koch: ACi <0.20: slight; 0.21 to 0.40: fair; 0.41 to 0.60: moderate; 0.61 to 0.80: substantial; >0.81: almost perfect (Landis & Koch, 1977). We correlated the percentage of the main movement disorder features with age by Pearson’s r or by Spearman’s rho (when outcomes were not normally distributed). Finally, we correlated the developmental motor patterns with the percentage of the main movement disorder features with Cramer’s V. p-Values of <0.05 (two-sided) were considered to indicate statistical significance.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Phenotypic assessment of the immature motor patterns

In healthy children between 0 and 3 years of age, neurological phenotype assessment revealed: choreatic, myoclonic, dystonic, and ataxic features as main movement disorder characteristics (for illustration, see video S1-S4). Features resembling tremor, tics, and hypotonia were only incidentally observed in the minority of the children per age subgroup. We therefore excluded these features from further analysis. The inter-observer agreement (Gwet’s ACi) regarding the phenotypic identification of main movement disorder features revealed statistically significant coefficients (p < 0.001) of 0.459 for choreatic features ("moderate"), 0.771 for myoclonic features ("substantial"), 0.755 for dystonic features ("substantial"), and 0.682 for ataxic features ("substantial").

3.2 | Association between main movement disorder features and age

In healthy children between 0 and 3 months of age, choreatic, myoclonic, and dystonic features were present in respectively 50%, 63%, and 100% of the children. In healthy children between 6 and 36 months of age, dystonic features persisted in 96% of the children, and ataxic features were indicated in 88% of the children, see Figure 1. The observed choreatic, myoclonic, dystonic, and ataxic features correlated significantly (p < 0.01) with age (r = −0.526, r = −0.708, r = −0.632, and r = 0.727, respectively).
Association between neurodevelopmental and movement disorder phenotypes

In healthy children between 0 and 3 months of age, fidgety GMs and startles correlated significantly with choreatic ($r = 0.732$, $p = 0.002$ and $r = 0.687$, $p = 0.005$, respectively) and myoclonic features ($r = 0.878$, $p < 0.001$ and $r = 0.808$, $p < 0.001$, respectively). Asymmetric tonic neck reflex correlated significantly with dystonic features ($r = 0.641$, $p = 0.004$).

In healthy children between 6 and 36 months of age, the presence of voluntary coordinated movements correlated significantly with dystonic and ataxic features ($r = 0.517$, $p = 0.036$ and $r = 0.928$, $p < 0.001$, respectively). The correlation coefficients between voluntary motor patterns and neurological phenotypes are shown in Supporting Information Table S3. An overview of the concurrence between developmental motor patterns, the neurological phenotypic features, and physiological brain maturation is shown in Figure 2.

4 | DISCUSSION

In the present study, we aimed to elucidate the neurological phenotype of developmental motor patterns. In infants (<3 months), developmental motor patterns (general movements and primitive reflexes) revealed hyperkinetic (choreatic, myoclonic, and dystonic) movement disorder features. Older children (6–36 months) were identified with persistent dystonic features and also with ataxic features during voluntary movements. In children of four years and older, these physiological developmental dystonic and ataxic features will gradually diminish and disappear during adolescence. The present discussion describes the transient occurrence of these motor features against the neurodevelopmental background of the underlying motor centers.

4.1 | 0 – 3 months of age

In healthy children between 0 and 3 months of age, hyperkinetic (choreatic, myoclonic, and dystonic) movement disorder features are physiologically present during the execution of developmental motor patterns. This is attributed to the development of the underlying motor centers and networks connecting the immature basal ganglia, cerebral cortex, and cerebellum. During the neonatal period, brain maturation involves many neurodevelopmental processes, including synaptic organization and myelination (Volpe, 2008). Synaptic organization involves synaptogenesis and subsequent synaptic pruning, peaking during the first 2 years of life (Ismail, Fatemi, & Johnston, 2017). This early period coincides with a “switch” in CNS receptors, due to the transition from excitatory to inhibitory GABA_4 receptors and the functional activation of glutamatergic...
receptors (NMDA and AMPA)(Ben-Ari, 2002; Ben-Ari, Khazipov, Leinekugel, Caillard, & Galarsa, 1997; Ismail et al., 2017; Zhang & Poo, 2001). As this transition concurs with synaptic organization, these CNS receptors are considered to participate in the formation of the neural networks (Chugani, 1998; Ismail et al., 2017; Zhang & Poo, 2001). At three months of age, these neural networks reveal a significantly increased connectivity of the basal ganglia, cerebral cortex, and cerebellum (Chugani, 1998). This critical period concurs with the replacement of GMs and primitive reflexes by voluntary goal-directed movements, social smiling, binocular vision, and stable state regulation (Feigelman, 2011; Volpe, 2008). Within this specific time frame, we also observed the disappearance of myoclonic and choreatic hyperkinetic movement disorder features. From the neurodevelopmental perspective, it is tempting to speculate that the disappearance of these hyperkinetic features from the neurological phenotype is related to enhanced inhibition by increased cortical activity (Sanger, 2003). Additionally, one could also speculate that increased functional activity of the basal ganglia (via the indirect and hyperdirect pathway) is related (Mink, 2003; Singer, Mink, Gilbert, & Jankovic, 2010). Altogether, our data indicate that neonatal myoclonic and choreatic movement disorder features are transiently present until the third month of age.

4.2 | 6 – 36 months of age

In children of six months and older, the process of synaptic organization continues to peak until the second year of life (Chugani, 1998; Ismail et al., 2017). During this period, the child achieves and subsequently refines voluntary functional motor performances, such as reaching, grasping, manipulation, sitting, standing, and walking (Fragaszy, Simpson, Cummins-Sebree, & Brakke, 2016; Hempel, 1993a, 1993b; Yang, Mitton, Musselman, Patrick, & Tajino, 2015). In contrast with the disappearing choreatic and myoclonic features, dystonic features are persistent in the neurological phenotype. These data confirm our previous study data in older children of 4–16 years of age, revealing the existence of dystonic features.
In this study group (4–16 years of age), dystonic features were inversely related with age (i.e., the strongest expression in the youngest children) and disappeared around adolescence (Kuiper et al., 2016). Although speculative, the early presence of dystonic features, the prolonged continuation and the gradual disappearance (before adulthood), could be attributed to the continuous development and maturation of the basal ganglia and the connecting networks. Due to the redundancy of neurons and synaptic connections in early childhood, inefficient activation of muscles may induce co-contractions and dystonic overflow movements (Fog & Fog, 1963; Kuiper et al., 2016; Largo et al., 2007; Lin & Nardocci, 2016; Nishiyori et al., 2016). By the interaction between somatosensory and visual input and by selective elimination of inefficient synapses, basal ganglia neural networks will become more effective (Chugani, 1998; Edelman, 1993; Gogtay et al., 2004; Nishiyori et al., 2016), eventually resulting in the gradual disappearance of dystonic features from the neurological phenotype (Kuiper et al., 2016).

Analogous to the dystonic movement features at six months of age, we also observed that the neurological phenotype of voluntary movements reveals ataxic features. In a previous study, we have also shown that these physiologic ataxic features are persistent after 36 months, revealing an inverse relationship with age (i.e., the strongest expression in the youngest children) to disappear around adolescence (Brandsma et al., 2014). The execution and learning of coordinated movement patterns are generally regarded as a cerebellar function (Ghez & Thach, 2000). Cerebellar development starts by nine weeks gestational age, with ongoing neuronal proliferation and migration throughout the first year of life (Lavezzi, Ottaviani, Terni, & Matturri, 2006; White & Sillitoe, 2013). From the 24th week of gestation onwards, cerebellar circuits are being formed between the brainstem, thalamus, cerebral cortex, and the spinal cord (Wang & Zoghbi, 2001; White & Sillitoe, 2013). These cerebellar networks receive, process, and adapt information for balance and for decision-making regarding speed, force, and direction of intended movements. Throughout childhood, selective synaptic elimination and subsequent myelination of the persistent connections will continuously shape the cerebellar network activity, resulting in a relatively protracted development and achievement of functional optimality (Lenroot & Giedd, 2006; Saksea et al., 2008; Tiemeier et al., 2010).

In conclusion, in typically developing infants and toddlers, transient movement disorder phenotypes are attributed to physiological neurodevelopment. Neurological phenotypic insight into developmental motor patterns may hopefully contribute to adequate discrimination between ontogenetic and initiating pathological movement features and to adequate interpretation of therapeutic interventions.
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