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Abstract

Background: Periodontitis is defined as an inflammatory disease of the supporting tissues of the teeth caused by specific microorganisms or groups of specific microorganisms, resulting in progressive destruction of the periodontal ligament and alveolar bone with pocket formation, recession, or both. The clinical feature that distinguishes periodontitis from gingivitis is the presence of clinically detectable attachment loss.

Aim of the study: Comparative study the effect of probiotics as chewing gum in patient with periodontal disease.

Methods: In this study, 20 patient with periodontal disease (chewing gum with probiotic supplement group)(test group) and 20 patient(chewing gum) (control group) both received treatment in periodontal department of dentistry college by means of scaling and polishing , the (test group) in addition received probiotic chewing gum in order to study its effect and compare it with the(control group).

Result: Probiotic chewing gum users demonstrated less amount of plaque than in the (control group), and less gingival inflammation in the study group than in the control group.

Conclusion: Probiotic chewing gum has more effect on the periodontal tissue health, by decreasing the amount of plaque and gingival inflammation with adjunct scaling when compared to the control group.

Introduction:-

The first studies of the use of probiotics for enhancing oral health were for the treatment of periodontal inflammation.13 Patients with various periodontal diseases, gingivitis, periodontitis, and pregnancy gingivitis, were locally treated with a culture supernatant of a L. acidophilus strain. Significant recovery was reported for almost every patient. There has been significant interest in using probiotics in treatment of periodontal disease recently, too. The probiotic strains used in these studies include L. reuteri strains, L. brevis (CD2), L. casei Shirota, L. salivarius WB21, and Bacillus subtilis. L. reuteri and L. brevis have improved gingival health, as measured by decreased gum bleeding1, 2, 3. The use of probiotic chewing gum containing L. reuteri ATCC 55730 and ATCC PTA 5289 also decreased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in GCF,4 and the use of L. brevis decreased MMP (collagenase) activity and other inflammatory markers in saliva.5 With L. casei Shirota and Bacillus subtilis no difference in test and control groups in gingival bleeding or measured plaque index was observed, but the use of L. casei Shirota decreased PMN elastase and MMP-3 activities in saliva, while L. reuteri was effective in reducing gingivitis.

B. subtilis seemed to reduce the number of periodontal pathogens.5 Use of tablets containing L.
Salivarius WB21 has been shown to decrease gingival pocket depth, particularly in high-risk groups such as smokers, and also affect the number of periodontal pathogens in plaque.\(^{6,7}\)

Again, although encouraging results have been observed, most studies have been fairly short. Furthermore, in some studies the observed differences were quite small, though statistically significant. Some probiotic *Lactobacillus* and *Streptococcus* strains seem able to colonize the oral cavity of some people during the time that products containing them are in active use. However, both in vitro and in vivo evidence indicate that the differences between various probiotic strains, products, and also host individuals are obvious.\(^{16,2}\) *L. rhamnosus* GG and two different *L. reuteri* strains have been reported to colonize the oral cavity of 48–100% of volunteers consuming products containing them.\(^2\)

At least some of the probiotic bacteria used in various probiotic products may colonize the oral cavity during the time they are in use; thus, the effects of probiotic bacteria in the oral cavity are important to understand. Probiotic bacteria seem to affect both oral microbiota and immune responses. On the other hand, the extent to which bacteria in food or in food ingredients can influence relatively stable oral microbiota is difficult to predict. Thus, both research to unravel the mechanisms of possible probiotic action and long-term clinical trials are needed if probiotics are to provide a scientifically proven means of preventing or treating oral diseases.\(^{2,3}\)

**Material And Method:**

Across sectional survey of forty patient divided in to two group(test group),chewing  gum with probiotic and(control group) chewing gum without probiotic(probiotic chewing gum prepared in college of agriculture department of food science).the test group was composed of twenty subject and control group was composed also of twenty subject the patient was selected from periodontal department in collage of dentistry-university of Baghdad.the subjects for the study were selected randomly and they were in good general health and were not using any medications and the subject we take contain male and female patient we carried out a careful examination of patient using the plaque index (PI)(silness and loe 1963),gingival index (GI)(loe, 1967) of those patient a comparison analysis. We used SPSS statistics for analysis and Microsoft excel 2013 for figures.

**Method:**

After doing scaling and polishing for test group subjects asked them to use probiotic chewing gum(with lactobacillus acidophilus probiotic) two time daily 10 minute during one week and examined the subject during the first and second visit to make comparison analysis. While control group was done scaling and polishing askedthem to chew sugar free chewing gum two time daily for 10 minute during one week, and made comparison analysis. A thorough periodontal examination was carried out under good artificial light ,and parameter selected for the study were carefully record .plaque index, gingival index all were measured using these specific index and record on case sheet design for this study .Informed consent was obtained from all the subject before starting periodontal examination.

**Clinical examination:**

**Plaque index:**

The plaque index (loe and silness,1963)was created for the assessment of the plaque accumulation on the basis of 0 to 3 the criteria are:

| Scores | Criteria |
|--------|----------|
| 0      | No plaque |
| 1      | A film of plaque adhering to the free gingival margin and adjacent area of the tooth cant be seen by naked eye. |
| 2      | Moderate accumulation of soft deposit within the gingival pocket or the tooth and gingival margin can be seen by naked eye. |
| 3      | Abundance of soft matter within the gingival pocket and 0r on the tooth and gingival margin |

**Gingival index:**

The gingival index (loe1967)was created for the assessment of the gingival condition and records qualitative changes in the gingival .it records the gingival and interproximal tissue separately on the basis of 0 to 3 the criteria are:
| Scores | Criteria                                                                 |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0      | Normal gingival                                                          |
| 1      | Mild inflammation –slight change in color and slight edema but no bleeding on probing |
| 2      | Moderate inflammation –redness ,edema ,glazing bleeding on probing        |
| 3      | Sever inflammation –marked redness and edema, ulceration , with tendency to spontaneous bleeding |

**Instrument:**
- dental mirror
- tweezers
- towels
- mask
- Kidney dish
- periodontal probe
- Cotton
- Alcohol
- Gloves

**Statistical analysis**
Use spss.21. of windows 7 and use excel.10 for fig.
1-descriptive statistic
   - tables
   - mean
   - standard deviation (SD)
2-invertial statistic
   - t-test
   - person complex (r)
   - p-value
   If p˂0.05 significant
   If p> 0.05 non significant
   If p˂0.01 high significant

**Result:**

Plaque Index and gingival index:-
The following table and figures summarized the descriptive statistics of group A and B regarded plaque index (PI) and gingival index(GI).

**Table 1:** Descriptive of group A(test group)

|        | Base line | Week1 |         |        |
|--------|-----------|-------|---------|--------|
|        | Mean      | SD    | Mean    | SD     |
| PI     | 1.3       | 0.033 | 0.7     | 0.018  |
| GI     | 1.2       | 0.03  | 0.6     | 0.015  |

**Figure 1:** Descriptive statistic of group A(test group)
As we see in the figure (1) there are high differences between PI and GI of test group between first and second week.

### Table 2: t-test between weeks (test group)

|       | t-test | P-value | Sig |
|-------|--------|---------|-----|
| PI    | 3.862  | 0.029   | S   |
| GI    | 4.36   | 0.028   | S   |

Now if we see the descriptive statistic of subject group B (see figure 2) we also see a result similar to group A also there are high differences between the first and second week.

### Table 3: Descriptive of groups B (Control)

|       | Week 1 |       | Week 2 |       |
|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
|       | Mean   | SD    | Mean   | SD    |
| PI    | 1.3    | 0.033 | 0.9    | 0.023 |
| GI    | 1.1    | 0.028 | 0.8    | 0.02  |

![Figure 2: Descriptive statistic of group B (control).](image)

If we compared between the result of group (A) and (B) we will found the following result (see figure 3).

### Table 4: Descriptive of groups by weeks.

| Base line | Week1 |       | Week1 |       |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|           | GroupA | GroupB | GroupA | GroupB |
|           | Mean   | SD    | Mean   | SD    | Mean   | SD    | Mean   | SD    |
| PI        | 1.3    | 0.033 | 1.3    | 0.0325 | 0.7    | 0.018 | 0.9    | 0.023 |
| GI        | 1.2    | 0.03  | 1.1    | 0.0275 | 0.6    | 0.015 | 0.8    | 0.02  |

![Figure 3: A comparism between the descriptive of group A and B (chewing and control).](image)

### Table 5: t-test between Group A & Group B of weeks
| Base line | Week1 |
|----------|-------|
|          |       |
| t-test   | P-value | t-test | P-value |
| Pl       | 0      | NS     | 0.36    | 0.86    | NS     |
| Gl       | 0.12   | NS     | 0.465   | 0.796   | NS     |

**P>0.05 Non significant**

| Group A | GroupB |
|---------|--------|
|         |        |
| t-test  | P-value | t-test | P-value |
| Pl      | 4.26   | 0.034 S| 3.625   | 0.036 S |
| Gl      | 2.03   | 0.049 S| 2.036   | 0.028 S |

*P<0.05 Significant

| Second week | Test group | Control group |
|-------------|------------|---------------|
|             | Mean       | SD            | mean       | SD          | p-value |
| PH          | 7.85       | +0.31         | 7.50       | +0.23       | 0.23    |
| FR          | 5.7        | +2.3          | 4.83       | +2.6        | 0       |

P>0.5 not significant

Table (7):-

| Table (7): 
| Control group | Test group | Total subject |
|---------------|------------|---------------|
| chewable      | 10         | 8             | 20 control   |
| trestles      | 10         | 10            | 20 test      |
| sticky        | 0          | 1             |              |
| flavible      | 0          | 1             |              |

Discussion:-

Probiotics can be defined as living microbes, or as food ingredients containing living microbes, that beneficially influence the health of the host when used in adequate numbers.¹

In figure (1) the (20) patient (groupA) and make scaling to them after that we record the plaque and gingival index then give to them probiotic chewing gum. After one week we record (GI,PI) index we see high difference between the first and second week , this agree with (Wetman et al.,2009).

In figure (2) the same experience another (20) patient (group B) but give them just chewing gum, after one week we also see difference in (GI,PI) index between the first and second week due to mechanical plaque control.

In figure (3) we compare between group A(chewing gum) and group B(control) , the chewing gum patient show slightly higher decrease in in plaque index and gingival index between the first and second week than the controlled patient ,this agree with(Hojo et al.,2007).

In table 5 shown that there are significant deference between two group and that agree with many research that the probiotic can defense the bacterial plaque and enhance the oral hygiene which is the ability of lactobacillus acidophilus to fight the biofilm in oral cavity. Della Riccia DN, Bizzini F, Perilli MG, Polimeni A, Trinchieri V, Amicosante G, et al 2007., . Mayanagi G, Kimura M, Nakaya S, Hirata H, Sakamoto M, Benno Y, et al.2009.

Some probiotic Lactobacillus and Streptococcus strains seem able to colonize the oral cavity of some people during the time that products containing them are in active use. However, both in vitro and in vivo evidence indicate that the differences between various probiotic strains, products, and also host individuals are obvious.²⁶,44,57,58 L. rhamnosus GG and two different L. reuteri strains have been reported to colonize the oral cavity of 48–100% of volunteers consuming products containing them.²⁴,58-60 In addition, S. salivarius K12, used for treating oral malodor, temporarily colonizes the oral cavity for a short time after use.⁶¹ Furthermore, consumption of a mixture of seven different Lactobacillus strains increased the number of salivary Lactobacillus counts, although the identities of the strains in the saliva were not determined.⁶¹
Patients with various periodontal diseases, gingivitis, periodontitis, and pregnancy gingivitis, were locally treated with a culture supernatant of a *L. acidophilus* strain. Significant recovery was reported for almost every patient. There has been significant interest in using probiotics in treatment of periodontal disease recently, too. Improved gingival health, as measured by decreased gum bleeding. The use of probiotic chewing gum containing *L. reuteri* ATCC 55730 and ATCC PTA 5289 also and Use of tablets containing *L. salivarius* WB21 has been shown to decrease gingival pocket depth, particularly in high-risk groups such as smokers, and also affect the number of periodontal pathogen in plaque. Again, although encouraging results have been observed, most studies have been fairly short. Furthermore, in some studies the observed differences were quite small, though statistically significant. Table 6 show that the chewing gum increase the salivary flow and the ph of the saliva and that also in agreement with many study which decrease the gingivitis by washing the mouth from materia alba and plague.

**References:**

1. Kragen H. The treatment of inflammatory affections of the oral mucosa with a lactic acid bacterial culture preparation. Zahnarzt Welt. 1954;9:306–308. [PubMed]
2. Krasse P, Carlsson B, Dahl C, Paulsson A, Nilsson A, Sinkiewicz G. Decreased gum bleeding and reduced gingivitis by the probiotic Lactobacillus reuteri. Swed Dent J. 2006;30:55–60. [PubMed]
3. Saxelin M, Tynkkynen S, Mattila-Sandholm T, deVos WM. Probiotic and other functional microbes: from markets to mechanisms. CurrOpinBiotechnol. 2005;16:204–211. [PubMed]
4. Rodrigues L, van der Mei H, Teixeira JA, Oliveira R. Biosurfactant from Lactococcus lactis 53 inhibits microbial adhesion on silicone rubber. ApplMicrobiolBiotechnol. 2004;66:306–311. [PubMed]
5. Ahrne S, Nobaek S, Jeppsson B, Adlerberth I, Wold AE, Molin G. The normal Lactobacillus flora of healthy human rectal and oral mucosa. J ApplMicrobiol. 1998;85:88–94. [PubMed]
6. Beighton D, Gilbert SC, Clark D, Mantzourani M, Al-Haboubi M, Ali F, et al. Isolation and identification of bifidobacteriaceae from human saliva. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2008;74:6457–6460. [PMC free article][PubMed]
7. Köll-Klais P, Mandal R, Leibur E, Marcotte H, Hammarstrom L, Mikelsaar M. Oral lactobacilli in chronic periodontitis and periodontal health: species composition and antimicrobial activity. Oral MicrobiolImmunol. 2005;20:354–361. [PubMed]
8. Hojo K, Mizoguchi C, Taketomo N, Ohshima T, Gomi K, Arai T, et al. Distribution of salivary Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium species in periodontal health and disease. BiosciBiotechnolBiochem. 2007;71:152–157. [PubMed]
9. Parvez S, Malik KA, Ah Kang S, Kim HY. Probiotics and their fermented food products are beneficial for health. J ApplMicrobiol. 2006;100:1171–1185. [PubMed]
10. Kekkonen RA, Lummela N, Karjalainen H, Latvala S, Tynkkynen S, Jarvenpaa S, et al. Probiotic intervention has strain-specific anti-inflammatory effects in healthy adults. World J Gastroenterol. 2008;14:2029–2036. [PMC free article][PubMed]
11. Painseau D, Carcano D, Leyer G, Darquy S, Alynakian MA, Simonneau G, et al. Effects of seven potential probiotic strains on specific immune responses in healthy adults: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 2008;53:107–113. [PubMed]
12. Rautava S, Kalliomaki M, Isolauri E. Probiotics during pregnancy and breast-feeding might confer immunomodulatory protection against atopic disease in the infant. J Allergy ClinImmunol. 2002;109:119–121. [PubMed]
13. Haukioja A, Yli-Knuuttila H, Loimaranta V, Kari K, Ouwehand AC, Meurman JH, et al. Oral adhesion and survival of probiotic and other lactobacilli and bifidobacteria in vitro. Oral MicrobiolImmunol. 2006;21:326–332. [PubMed]
14. Stingu CS, Eschrich K, Rodloff AC, Schaumann R, Jentsch H. Periodontitis is associated with a loss of colonization by Streptococcus sanguinis. J Med Microbiol. 2008;57(Pt 4):495–499. [PubMed]
15. Caglar E, Kavaloglu SC, Kuscu OO, Sandalli N, Holgerson PL, Twetman S. Effect of chewing gums containing xylitol or probiotic bacteria on salivary mutans streptococci and lactobacilli. Clin Oral Investig. 2007;11:425–429. [PubMed]
16. Caglar E, Kuscu OO, SelviKuvvetli S, KavalogluCildir S, Sandalli N, Twetman S. Short-term effect of ice-cream containing Bifidobacteriumlactis Bb-12 on the number of salivary mutants streptococci and lactobacilli. ActaOdontol Scand. 2008;66:154–158. [PubMed]
17. Montalto M, Vastola M, Marigo L, Covino M, Graziosetto R, Curigliano V, et al. Probiotic treatment increases salivary counts of lactobacilli: a double-blind, randomized, controlled study. Digestion. 2004;69:53–56. [PubMed]
18. Kragen H. The treatment of inflammatory affections of the oral mucosa with a lactic acid bacterial culture preparation. Zahnarztli Welt. 1954;9:306–308. [PubMed]
19. Krasse P, Carlsson B, Dahl C, Paulsson A, Nilsson A, Sinkiewicz G. Decreased gum bleeding and reduced gingivitis by the probiotic Lactobacillus reuteri. Swed Dent J. 2006;30:55–60. [PubMed]
20. Della Riccia DN, Bizzini F, Perilli MG, Polimeni A, Trinchieri V, Amicosante G, et al. Anti-inflammatory effects of Lactobacillus brevis (CD2) on periodontal disease. Oral Dis. 2007;13:376–385. [PubMed]
21. Twetman S, Derawi B, Keller M, Ekstrand K, Yucel-Lindberg T, Stecksen-Blicks C. Short-term effect of chewing gums containing probiotic Lactobacillus reuteri on the levels of inflammatory mediators in gingival crevicular fluid. ActaOdontol Scand. 2009;67:19–24. [PubMed]
22. Staab B, Eick S, Knofler G, Jentsch H. The influence of a probiotic milk drink on the development of gingivitis: a pilot study. J ClinPeriodontol. 2009;36:850–856. [PubMed]
23. Tsubura S, Mizunuma H, Ishikawa S, Oyake I, Okabayashi M, Katoh K, et al. The effect of Bacillus subtilis mouth rinsing in patients with periodontitis. Eur J ClinMicrobiol Infect Dis. 2009;28:1353–1356. [PubMed]
24. Shimauchi H, Mayanagi G, Nakaya S, Minamibuchi M, Ito Y, Yamaki K, et al. Improvement of periodontal condition by probiotics with Lactobacillus salivarius WB21: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J ClinPeriodontol. 2008;35:897–905. [PubMed]
25. Mayanagi G, Kimura M, Nakaya S, Hirata H, Sakamoto M, Benno Y, et al. Probiotic effects of orally administered Lactobacillus salivarius WB21-containing tablets on periodontopathic bacteria: a double-blinded, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial. J ClinPeriodontol. 2009;36:506–513. [PubMed]
26. Busscher HJ, Mulder AF, van der Mei HC. In vitro adhesion to enamel and in vivo colonization of tooth surfaces by lactobacilli from a bio-yoghurt. Caries Res. 1999;33:403–404. [PubMed]
27. Yli-Knuuttila H, Snall J, Kari K, Meurman JH. Colonization of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG in the oral cavity. Oral MicrobiolImmunol. 2006;21:129–131. [PubMed]
28. Meurman JH, Antila H, Salminen S. Recovery of Lactobacillus Strain GG (ATCC 53103) from saliva of healthy volunteers after consumption of yoghurt prepared with the bacterium. Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease. 1994;7:295–298.
29. Comelli EM, Guggenheim B, Stingele F, Neese JR. Selection of dairy bacterial strains as probiotics for oral health. Eur J Oral Sci. 2002;110:218–224. [PubMed]
30. Stamatova I, Kari K, Vladimiriv S, Meurman JH. In vitro evaluation of yoghurt starter lactobacilli and Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG adhesion to saliva-coated surfaces. Oral MicrobiolImmunol. 2009;24:218–223. [PubMed]
31. Zahradnik RT, Magnusson I, Walker C, McDonell E, Hillman CH, Hillman JD. Preliminary assessment of safety and effectiveness in humans of ProBiora3, a probiotic mouthwash. J ApplMicrobiol. 2009;107:682–690. [PubMed]
32. Hillman JD, Mo J, McDonell E, Cvitkovitch D, Hillman CH. Modification of an effector strain for replacement therapy of dental caries to enable clinical safety trials. J ApplMicrobiol. 2007;102:1209–1219. [PubMed]
33. Marcotte H, Koll-Klais P, Hultberg A, Zhao Y, Gmur R, Mandar R, et al. Expression of single-chain antibody against RgpA protease of Porphyromonasgingivalis in Lactobacillus. J ApplMicrobiol. 2006;100:256–263. [PubMed]
34. Slipeun I, Van Damme J, Van Essche M,loozen G, Quirynen M, Teughels W. Microbial interactions influence inflammatory host cell responses. J Dent Res. 2009;88:1026–1030. [PubMed]
35. Lahtinen SJ, Ahokoski H, Reinikainen JP, Guemponde M, Nurmi J, Ouwehand AC, et al. Degradation of 16S rRNA and attributes of viability of viable but nonculturable probiotic bacteria. LettApplMicrobiol. 2008;46:693–698. [PubMed]
36. Hatakka K, Saxelin M. Probiotics in intestinal and non-intestinal infectious diseases--clinical evidence. Curr Pharm Des. 2008;14:1351–1367. [PubMed]
37. Twetman L, Larsen U, Fiehn NE, Stecksen-Blicks C, Twetman S. Coaggregation between probiotic bacteria and caries-associated strains: An in vitro study. ActaOdontol Scand. 2009;27:1–5. [PubMed]
38. Boirivant M, Strober W. The mechanism of action of probiotics. CurrOpinGastroenterol. 2007;23:679–692. [PubMed]
39. Hojo K, Nagaoka S, Murata S, Taketomo N, Ohshima T, Maeda N. Reduction of vitamin K concentration by salivary Bifidobacterium strains and their possible nutritional competition with Porphyromonasgingivalis. J ApplMicrobiol
40. Dawes C. Circadian rhythms in human salivary flow rate and composition. J physiol 1972 ;220:529 -545
41. FergusonDB, botchwayCA. Circadian variation in flow rate and composition of human stimulated submandibular saliva. arch Oral Biol 1979; 24:433-437.