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Abstract A continuum model of piezomagnetic material with strain, magnetic and piezomagnetic coupling gradient effects is proposed using a variational principle in this work. This model is employed to an anti-plane problem, and a general solution is constructed in polar coordinates. Special attention is paid to microstructural effects on the magnetic and mechanical response in an infinite piezomagnetic medium with a void. It is found that the microstructural length scales have a significant influence on the mechanic and magnetic fields. The three length scales (corresponding to strain, magnetic and piezomagnetic coupling gradients) are indispensable to describe the nonlocal effects of piezomagnetism. Additionally, controlling the direction and magnitude of the magnetic field at the edge of the void can be achieved by adjusting the microstructural length scales of the piezomagnetic medium.
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1 Introduction

Piezomagnetic components have important applications in many areas, including sensors, head recorders, micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS), ultrasonic generators, magneto-mechanical transducers, active vibration damping system, high-precision linear motors, micro-valves and micro-positioning devices [1, 2, 3]. With increasing levels of miniaturisation of structures and systems, the underlying microstructure of the material needs to be accounted for in modelling approaches for accurate and reliable descriptions and predictions of the mechanic and magnetic behaviour of these structures and systems. An effective modelling approach is to enrich the governing piezomagnetic continuum equations with additional terms that are envisaged to capture the microstructural effects. Such efforts may be inspired by gradient-enriched elasticity theories, in which the length scale parameters that accompany these higher-order gradient terms can typically be linked to the microstructural properties [4].

In this way, magneto-mechanical coupling has been combined with couple stress theory in [5, 6], with strain gradient theory in [7], with Eringen’s stress-gradient theory in [8, 9], with Eringen’s gradient theory adding inertia gradients in [10, 11] and with both strain and stress gradients in [12, 13]. However, in these...
applications, the length scales included in the model appear in the mechanical contributions only. Because the magnetic contributions have been shown to be equally sensitive to the microstructure of the material [14], microstructural terms in the magnetic parameters should be added for a more accurate description of magnetic parameters. Gradient enrichment of the magnetic induction is considered in [15, 16] and higher-order gradients of the magnetic field are added in [17, 18, 19, 20] based on Eringen’s gradient theory, using the same scale parameters as those of the mechanical field.

For a more versatile description of magneto-mechanical coupling behaviour, a general piezomagnetic continuum model with gradients of strain, magnetic field and piezomagnetic coupling terms is used here, and the individual effects of three length scales on the removal of singularities from magnetic field and mechanical field and the prediction of size-dependent piezomagnetic response are discussed in detail using the finite element method [21]. In this paper, we will analyse the influence of the three length scales on the nonlocal effects of mechanic field and magnetic field in the context of the anti-plane boundary value problem using an analytical solution method.

2 Formulation of the piezomagnetic continuum model with gradients of strain, magnetic field and piezomagnetic coupling

2.1 Variational principle for a piezomagnetic medium

Consider a piezomagnetic body occupying a domain \( \Omega \) with boundary \( \Gamma \). The total energy functional \( I \) of the body in statics can be written as

\[
I = \int_{\Omega} W(\varepsilon_{ij}, \varepsilon_{ij,k}, H_i, H_{i,j}) \, d\Omega - V
\]

\[
= \int_{\Omega} W(\varepsilon_{ij}, \varepsilon_{ij,k}, H_i, H_{i,j}) \, d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma} \bar{T}_j u_i \, d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma} \left( \bar{T}_l u_i + \bar{\eta}_i D u_i + \bar{\eta}\delta \varphi + \bar{\pi} D\varphi \right) \, dS
\]  

(1)

where \( V \) is the work done, \( W \) is the internal energy density function, \( \varepsilon_{ij} \) is the strain, \( H_i \) is the magnetic field, \( u_i \) is the displacement field, \( \varphi \) is the magnetic potential and \( \bar{T}_j \) is the body force [21].

The internal energy density includes the standard piezomagnetic contributions in terms of strain and magnetic field, but also non-standard contributions in terms of the spatial derivatives of strain and magnetic field (each of which will be discussed in specific detail below). In the same spirit, and motivated by the work of Sladek et al. on the work done by external “force” [7], the surface integral in \( V \) contains the external loading terms with \( \bar{T}_i, \bar{T}_j, \bar{\eta}_i, \bar{\eta} \) and \( \bar{\pi} \) denoting the traction, the double traction, the magnetic traction and the magnetic double traction, respectively. Furthermore, we use the internal surface gradient operator \( D u_i = n_i u_{i,i} \) and \( D\varphi = n_i \varphi, i \), with \( n_i \) being the component of outward unit normal vector on \( \Gamma \).

Finally, the kinematic relationships relate the strain and magnetic field to the primary unknowns, that is the displacements \( u_i \) and the magnetic potential \( \varphi \), according to

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( u_{i,j} + u_{j,i} \right) \\
H_i &= -\varphi, i
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

According to the Helmholtz principle of minimum energy dissipation, the total energy functional \( I \) should satisfy the variational equation

\[
\delta I = \int_{\Omega} \delta W(\varepsilon_{ij}, \varepsilon_{ij,k}, H_i, H_{i,j}) \, d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma} \bar{T}_j \delta u_i \, d\Omega
\]

\[
- \int_{\Gamma} \left( \bar{T}_l \delta u_i + \bar{\eta}_i D \delta u_i + \bar{\eta}\delta \varphi + \bar{\pi} D\delta \varphi \right) \, dS = 0
\]  

(3)

Applying the Gauss formula, the following result is obtained:

\[
\delta I = - \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}} \right)_{,i,j} + f_i \right] \delta u_i \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial H_i} \right)_{,i} + f_j \right] \delta H_i \, d\Omega
\]

\[
+ \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varphi} \right)_{,i} + f_{ij} \right] \delta \varphi \, d\Omega
\]

\[
\delta I = - \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}} \right)_{,i,j} + f_i \right] \delta u_i \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial H_i} \right)_{,i} + f_j \right] \delta H_i \, d\Omega
\]

\[
+ \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varphi} \right)_{,i} + f_{ij} \right] \delta \varphi \, d\Omega
\]
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\[ + \oint_{\Gamma} \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij,k}} \right)_k n_j - \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij,k}} n_k \right)_j + \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial n_k n_l} \right)_l n_j - \bar{t}_i \right] \delta u_i dS \]

\[ + \oint_{\Gamma} \left[ - \frac{\partial W}{\partial H_i} - \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial H_{i,j}} \right)_j n_l + \left( n_j \frac{\partial W}{\partial H_{i,j}} \right)_i - \left( n_j \frac{\partial W}{\partial H_{i,j}} \right)_i n_j - \bar{\rho} \right] \delta \phi dS \]

\[ + \oint_{\Gamma} \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varepsilon_{ijk,l}} n_k n_j - \bar{\pi}_i \right) (\delta u_i)_j n_l dS - \oint_{\Gamma} \left( n_j n_j \frac{\partial W}{\partial H_{i,j}} - \bar{\pi}_i \right) (\delta \phi)_j n_l dS = 0 \] (4)

2.2 Constitutive relations and boundary conditions

We introduce the standard stress tensor \( t_{ij} \), double stress tensor \( s_{ijk} \), dipole magnetic flux density \( b_i \) and quadrupole magnetic flux density \( \pi_{ij} \) through the following relations:

\[ \begin{align*}
  t_{ij} &= \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}} \\
  s_{ijk} &= \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varepsilon_{ijk}} \\
  b_i &= -\frac{\partial W}{\partial H_i} \\
  \pi_{ij} &= -\frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi} 
\end{align*} \] (5)

With the above definitions, the total stress \( \sigma_{ij} \) and total magnetic flux density \( B_i \) are

\[ \begin{align*}
  \sigma_{ij} &= t_{ij} - s_{ijk,k} \\
  B_i &= b_i - \pi_{ij,j} 
\end{align*} \] (6)

Taking into account that the variations of \( \delta u_i \) and \( \delta \phi \) are arbitrary, we can extract the following equilibrium equations in \( \Omega \),

\[ \begin{align*}
  \sigma_{ij,j} + \bar{T}_i &= 0 \\
  B_{i,i} &= 0 
\end{align*} \] (7)

and the following boundary conditions on \( \Gamma \)

\[ \begin{align*}
  \left( t_{ij} - s_{ijk,k} \right)_j n_j - \left( s_{ijk} n_k \right)_j n_j &= \bar{t}_i \text{ or } u_i = \bar{u}_i \\
  s_{ijk} n_j n_j &= \bar{\pi}_i \text{ or } u_{ij} n_j = \frac{\partial \bar{\pi}_i}{\partial n} \\
  \left( b_i - \pi_{ij,j} \right)_j n_i + \left( \left( \pi_{ij,j} n_i + \pi_i \right) n_j \right)_j n_i - \left( \pi_{ij,j} n_i + \pi_i \right)_j = \bar{\rho} \text{ or } \phi = \bar{\phi} \\
  \pi_{ij,j} n_i &= \bar{\phi} \text{ or } n_i \pi_{ij,j} = \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}}{\partial n} 
\end{align*} \] (8)

The internal energy density function \( W \) includes gradients of strain, magnetic field and coupling terms, as shown in [21]:

\[ W(\varepsilon_{ij}, \varepsilon_{ij,k}, H_i, H_{i,j}) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ij} C_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ij,m} l_i^2 C_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl,m} - \varepsilon_{ij} q_{ij,k} H_k \\
- \varepsilon_{ij,m} l_i^2 q_{ij,k} H_{k,m} - \frac{1}{2} H_i \mu_{ij} H_j - \frac{1}{2} H_{i,m} l_i^2 \mu_{ij} H_{j,m} \] (9)

In the above equations, \( C_{ijkl}, q_{ij,k} \) and \( \mu_{ij} \) are, respectively, elastic, piezomagnetic and magnetic permeability coefficients, whereas \( l_1, l_2 \) and \( l_3 \) are material length scale parameters reflecting microscale size effects, owing to the introduction of strain gradient, piezomagnetic coupling gradient and magnetic field gradient, respectively, in the energy function.

Substituting Eqs. (9) and (5) into Eq. (6), the following gradient-enriched constitutive equations can be obtained

\[ \begin{align*}
  \sigma_{ij} &= C_{ijkl} (\varepsilon_{kl} - l_i^2 \nabla^2 \varepsilon_{kl}) - q_{ij,k} (H_k - l_i^2 \nabla^2 H_k) \\
  B_i &= q_{ij,k} (\varepsilon_{jk} - l_j^2 \nabla^2 \varepsilon_{jk}) + \mu_{ij} (H_j - l_j^2 \nabla^2 H_j) 
\end{align*} \] (10)
where $\nabla^2 \equiv \nabla^T \nabla$ is the Laplace operator.

Combining the kinematic equation Eq. (2), equilibrium equation Eq. (7) and constitutive equation Eq. (10) yields the following gradient-enriched governing equations in terms of the primary unknowns $u_i$ and $\phi$:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{ijkl}(u_{i,k} - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 u_{i,j}) + q_{ijk}(\phi, jk - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 \phi, jk) + f_i &= 0 \\
q_{ijk}(u_{i,j,k} - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 u_{i,j,k}) - \mu_j(\phi, ij - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 \phi, ij) &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

(11)

### 3 Analytical solution of anti-plane problems of piezomagnetic medium

#### 3.1 Construction of the solution via superposition

Consider a transversely isotropic piezomagnetic material polarized along the $z$-direction and with the $xy$-plane as the plane of isotropy. Let the material be subjected to anti-plane shear strain and in-plane magnetic field $H_x$ at infinity. Thus, the anti-plane displacements are described by

$$
u_x = u_y = 0, \quad u_z = w(x, y), \quad \phi = \phi(x, y)
$$

(12)

The non-vanishing strain and magnetic field components are

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{zx} \\ \varepsilon_{zy} \end{bmatrix} &= \nabla w, \\
\begin{bmatrix} H_x \\ H_y \end{bmatrix} &= -\nabla \phi
\end{align*}
$$

(13)

Substituting them into the gradient-enriched constitutive Eq. (10), where $C = \begin{bmatrix} c_{44} \\ c_{44} \end{bmatrix}$, $Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_{15} \\ q_{15} \end{bmatrix}$, $P = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11} & -c_{44} \\ -c_{44} & \mu_{11} \end{bmatrix}$, the total stress and total magnetic flux density can be obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{zx} \\ \sigma_{zy} \end{bmatrix} &= c_{44}[\nabla w - l_{12}^2 \nabla (\nabla^2 w)] + q_{15}[\nabla \phi - l_{12}^2 \nabla (\nabla^2 \phi)] \\
\begin{bmatrix} B_x \\ B_y \end{bmatrix} &= q_{15}[\nabla w - l_{12}^2 \nabla (\nabla^2 w)] - \mu_{11}[\nabla \phi - l_{12}^2 \nabla (\nabla^2 \phi)]
\end{align*}
$$

(14a)

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} B_x \\ B_y \end{bmatrix} &= q_{15}[\nabla w - l_{12}^2 \nabla (\nabla^2 w)] - \mu_{11}[\nabla \phi - l_{12}^2 \nabla (\nabla^2 \phi)]
\end{align*}
$$

(14b)

In the absence of body forces, substitution of Eqs. (12) and (13) into Eq. (11) leads to the following gradient-enriched governing equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} c_{44}[\nabla^2 w - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 \nabla^2 w] + q_{15}[\nabla^2 \phi - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 \nabla^2 \phi] \\ q_{15}[\nabla^2 w - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 \nabla^2 w] - \mu_{11}[\nabla^2 \phi - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 \nabla^2 \phi] \end{bmatrix} &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

(15)

Equation (15) can be rewritten by factoring the various derivatives as

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} c_{44}[\nabla^2 w - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 w] + q_{15}[\nabla^2 \phi - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 \phi] \\ q_{15}[\nabla^2 w - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 w] - \mu_{11}[\nabla^2 \phi - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 \phi] \end{bmatrix} &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

(16)

with the first solution set satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^2 w &= 0 \\
\nabla^2 \phi &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

(17)

or rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^2 \left\{ c_{44}[\nabla^2 w - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 w] + q_{15}[\nabla^2 \phi - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 \phi] \right\} &= 0 \\
\nabla^2 \left\{ q_{15}[\nabla^2 w - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 w] - \mu_{11}[\nabla^2 \phi - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 \phi] \right\} &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

(18)

and the second solution set satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} c_{44}[\nabla^2 w - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 w] + q_{15}[\nabla^2 \phi - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 \phi] \\ q_{15}[\nabla^2 w - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 w] - \mu_{11}[\nabla^2 \phi - l_{12}^2 \nabla^2 \phi] \end{bmatrix} &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

(19)

The total solution of Eq. (15) is the combination of the first solution set obtained from Eq. (17) and the second solution set obtained from Eq. (19).
3.2 Solution in polar coordinates

The two solutions sets are solved in polar coordinates with \( \nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \), assuming \( w = f(r) \cos(n\theta), \varphi = g(r) \cos(n\theta) \). The solution of Eq. (17) is

\[
\begin{align*}
    w_1 &= a_0 + h_0 \ln r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( a_n r^n + h_n r^{-n} \right) \cos n\theta \\
    \varphi_1 &= c_0 + d_0 \ln r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( c_n r^n + d_n r^{-n} \right) \cos n\theta
\end{align*}
\]

where \( a_n, h_n, c_n \) and \( d_n \) are undetermined constants. Substituting \( w = f(r) \cos(n\theta), \varphi = g(r) \cos(n\theta) \) into Eq. (19) and dividing by \( \cos n\theta \), the following equations are obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
    c_{44} \left[ 1 - l_1^2 \left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{n^2}{r^2} \right) \right] f(r) + q_{15} \left[ 1 - l_2^2 \left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{n^2}{r^2} \right) \right] g(r) &= 0 \\
    q_{15} \left[ 1 - l_2^2 \left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{n^2}{r^2} \right) \right] f(r) - \mu_{11} \left[ 1 - l_2^2 \left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{n^2}{r^2} \right) \right] g(r) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

Introduce the modified Bessel function \( \left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{n^2}{r^2} \right) y(x) = y(x) \) and let \( x = r\sqrt{\lambda} \), then the modified Bessel function is

\[
\left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{n^2}{r^2} \right) y(r\sqrt{\lambda}) = \lambda y(r\sqrt{\lambda})
\]

Based on Eq. (22), substitute \( f(r) = \alpha_1 I_n(r\sqrt{\lambda}), g(r) = \alpha_2 I_n(r\sqrt{\lambda}) \) into Eq. (21a), by which the following equation is obtained

\[
\begin{align*}
    c_{44}(1 - l_1^2 \lambda) \alpha_1 + q_{15}(1 - l_2^2 \lambda) \alpha_2 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

Next, substituting \( f(r) = \alpha_1 K_n(r\sqrt{\lambda}), g(r) = \alpha_2 K_n(r\sqrt{\lambda}) \) into Eq. (21b) leads to

\[
\begin{align*}
    q_{15}(1 - l_2^2 \lambda) \alpha_1 - \mu_{11}(1 - l_2^2 \lambda) \alpha_2 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

where \( I_n \) and \( K_n \) are modified Bessel functions of order \( n \) of the first and second kind, with \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) undetermined constants.

In order to obtain a non-trivial solution \( [\alpha_1, \alpha_2], \lambda \) should satisfy

\[
\det \begin{vmatrix} c_{44}(1 - l_1^2 \lambda) & q_{15}(1 - l_2^2 \lambda) \\ q_{15}(1 - l_2^2 \lambda) & -\mu_{11}(1 - l_2^2 \lambda) \end{vmatrix} = 0
\]

Letting \( \beta_1 = \frac{q_{15}}{c_{44}\mu_{11}} \) and \( \beta_2 = l_1^2 l_2^2 + l_4^2 \frac{q_{15}^2}{c_{44}\mu_{11}} \) leads to the following inequalities

\[
\begin{align*}
    (l_1^2 - l_3^2)^2 + 4\beta_1 l_1^2 l_2^2 + l_1^2 l_3^2 - l_1^2 l_2^2 - l_2^2 l_3^2 > 0 \\
    (l_1^2 + l_3^2)^2 + 4\beta_2 l_1^2 l_2^2 > 0 \\
    \frac{\beta_5}{\beta_2} l_2^2 l_3^2 > 0
\end{align*}
\]

There are two positive eigenvalues \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \), and two sets of \([\alpha_1, \alpha_2]\) corresponding to \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \), respectively:

\[
\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{(l_1^2 + l_3^2)^2 + 2l_1^2 \beta_1}{2\beta_2} \pm \sqrt{(l_1^2 - l_3^2)^2 + 4\beta_1 l_1^2 l_2^2 + l_1^2 l_3^2 - l_1^2 l_2^2 - l_2^2 l_3^2 + (l_1^2 + l_3^2)^2 + 4\beta_2 l_1^2 l_2^2}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    \alpha_1 &= \beta_1 \\
    \alpha_2 &= \beta_2 \\
    \alpha_1 &= \beta_1 \\
    \alpha_2 &= \beta_2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

(26)
Thus, the solutions $f(r)$ and $g(r)$ in Eq. (21) are

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} f_n(r) \\ g_n(r) \end{bmatrix} &= G_{1n} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} \\ \alpha_{21} \end{bmatrix} I_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}r\right) + G_{2n} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{22} \end{bmatrix} I_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_2}r\right) \\
&+ G_{3n} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} \\ \alpha_{21} \end{bmatrix} K_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}r\right) + G_{4n} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{22} \end{bmatrix} K_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_2}r\right)
\end{align*}
$$

(28)

where $G_{1n}$, $G_{2n}$, $G_{3n}$ and $G_{4n}$ are undetermined constants. Therefore, the solution of Eq. (19) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} w_2 \\ \varphi_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ G_{1n} \alpha_{11}^0 I_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}r\right) + G_{2n} \alpha_{12}^0 I_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_2}r\right) + G_{3n} \alpha_{11}^1 K_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}r\right) + G_{4n} \alpha_{12}^1 K_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_2}r\right) \right] \cos n\theta \\
&+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ G_{1n} \alpha_{21}^0 I_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}r\right) + G_{2n} \alpha_{22}^0 I_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_2}r\right) + G_{3n} \alpha_{21}^1 K_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}r\right) + G_{4n} \alpha_{22}^1 K_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_2}r\right) \right] \cos n\theta
\end{align*}
$$

(29)

The expressions of displacements $w$ and $\varphi$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} w \\ \varphi \end{bmatrix} &= w_1 + w_2 = a_0 + h_0 \ln r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( a_n r^n + h_n r^{-n} \right) \cos n\theta \\
&+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ G_{1n} \alpha_{11}^0 I_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}r\right) + G_{2n} \alpha_{12}^0 I_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_2}r\right) + G_{3n} \alpha_{11}^1 K_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}r\right) + G_{4n} \alpha_{12}^1 K_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_2}r\right) \right] \cos n\theta \\
&+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ G_{1n} \alpha_{21}^0 I_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}r\right) + G_{2n} \alpha_{22}^0 I_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_2}r\right) + G_{3n} \alpha_{21}^1 K_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}r\right) + G_{4n} \alpha_{22}^1 K_n\left(\sqrt{\lambda_2}r\right) \right] \cos n\theta
\end{align*}
$$

(30)

The various stress and magnetic flux measures can be expressed in polar coordinates as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{rr} &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \\
\varepsilon_{r\theta} &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} \\
I_{r\theta} &= C_{44} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + q_{15} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r} \\
I_{r\theta} &= C_{44} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} + q_{15} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} \\
s_{rr} &= C_{44} l_1^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial r^2} \right) + q_{15} l_2^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial r^2} \right) \\
s_{r\theta} &= C_{44} l_1^2 \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} \right) + q_{15} l_2^2 \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \\
\sigma_{rr} &= C_{44} \left[ \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - l_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\nabla^2 w) \right] + q_{15} \left[ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r} - l_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\nabla^2 \varphi) \right] \\
\sigma_{r\theta} &= C_{44} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} - l_1^2 \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\nabla^2 w) \right] + q_{15} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} - l_2^2 \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\nabla^2 \varphi) \right] \\
H_r &= -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r} \\
H_\theta &= -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}
\end{align*}
$$
Next, we will briefly discuss the nature of the solutions for several special cases that will be studied in detail in the next Section.

**Case 1: \(l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = 0\).**
In this case, there is no solution for Eq. (19), and the expressions of displacements \(w\) and \(\varphi\) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi & = c_0 + d_0 \ln r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( c_n r^n + d_n r^{-n} \right) \cos n \theta \\
\end{align*}
\]

(32)

**Case 2: \(l_3 = l_2 = 0, l_1 \neq 0\).**
In this case, there is only one real eigenvalue \(\lambda = (1 + \beta_1)/l_1^2\), and one set of \([\alpha_1, \alpha_2]\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 & = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \\
\alpha_2 & = \frac{C_{44}}{15}
\end{align*}
\]

(33)

Then, the solutions of \(f(r)\) and \(g(r)\) in Eq. (21) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ f_n(r) \right] & = G_{1n} \left[ \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \right] I_n \left( \sqrt{\lambda} r \right) + G_{3n} \left[ \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \right] K_n \left( \sqrt{\lambda} r \right) \\
\left[ g_n(r) \right] & = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \left[ \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \right] I_n \left( \sqrt{\lambda} r \right) + \frac{C_{44}}{15} \left[ \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \right] K_n \left( \sqrt{\lambda} r \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(34)

by which the solution of Eq. (19) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_2 & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ G_{1n} \alpha_1 I_n \left( \sqrt{\lambda} r \right) + G_{3n} \alpha_1 K_n \left( \sqrt{\lambda} r \right) \right] \cos n \theta \\
\end{align*}
\]

(35)

where the expressions of displacements \(w\) and \(\varphi\) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi & = c_0 + d_0 \ln r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( c_n r^n + d_n r^{-n} \right) \cos n \theta \\
\end{align*}
\]

(36)

**Case 3: \(l_1 = l_2 = 0, l_3 \neq 0\).**
In this case, there is only one real eigenvalue \(\lambda = (1 + \beta_1)/l_3^2\), and one set of \([\alpha_1, \alpha_2]\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 & = \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} \\
\alpha_2 & = -\frac{\alpha_1}{\mu_{11}}
\end{align*}
\]

(37)
Fig. 1 An infinite piezomagnetic medium with a circular microvoid

### Table 1 Material parameters of MSCP and MEE [22]

| Material name                  | MSCP | MEE  |
|-------------------------------|------|------|
| Elastic constant [GPa]        | $C_{44}$ | 13.6 | 43 |
| Piezomagnetic constant [N/Am] | $q_{15}$ | -60.9 | 550 |
| Magnetic permeability [$10^{-4}$ Ns²/C²] | $\mu_{11}$ | 0.054 | 0.05 |

The solution is the same as Case 2.

**Case 4:** $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l \neq 0$.

In this case, there is only one real eigenvalue $\lambda = 1/l^2$, and one set of $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$. And $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ in Eq. (23) have no correlation. The solution is the same as Case 2.

Thus, we have a consistent set of solutions for the above 4 special cases, consisting of the solution to the standard equations of piezomagnetics given in Eq. (32) augmented with additional terms due to gradient enrichment as given via the last terms in Eq. (36). It is therefore sufficient to assess the quantitative and qualitative effects of the length scales from the investigation of Eq. (36). However, to show the additional effect of $l_3$ on magnetic field, another special case is considered too.

**Case 5:** $l_2 = 0, l_3 = kl_1 \neq 0$.

In this case, $k$ should satisfy $k \geq \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{4\beta_1}}$ or $k \leq \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{4\beta_1}}$ according to Eq. (25), while the expressions of displacements $w$ and $\varphi$ are shown in Eq. (30).

## 4 Analysis

### 4.1 Computational example

Consider a circular microvoid in an infinite piezomagnetic medium, as shown in Fig. 1 but see also [23]. The radius of the void is $R = 2$ mm. A transversely isotropic magneto-electro-elastic (MEE) material and a (Terfenol-D)-epoxy mixed component (MSCP) have been chosen. Assuming the material is polarized along the $z(3)$-direction and has the $xy(12)$-plane as its plane of isotropy, the relevant material parameters are listed in Table 1. The specimen is subjected to a uniform anti-plane shear strain $\varepsilon_{xz} = \varepsilon_0 = 0.0005$ and in-plane magnetic field $H_0 = 200$ A/m. Therefore, the far-field conditions are

$$ \begin{align*}
\varphi^{(M)} &= -H_0x = -H_0r\cos\theta \\
w^{(M)} &= \varepsilon_0x = \varepsilon_0r\cos\theta \quad (r \to \infty)
\end{align*} $$

(38)

Based on the results of Sect. 3.2 and the symmetry of loading, the displacement and magnetic potential in the matrix are

$$ \begin{align*}
w^{(M)} &= (a_1r + h_1r^{-1})\cos\theta + \left[ G_{31}a_1^{(1)}(\sqrt{\lambda_1})K_1\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}r\right) + G_{41}a_1^{(2)}K_1\left(\sqrt{\lambda_2}r\right) \right] \cos\theta \\
\varphi^{(M)} &= (c_1r + d_1r^{-1})\cos\theta + \left[ G_{31}a_2^{(1)}(\sqrt{\lambda_1})K_1\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}r\right) + G_{41}a_2^{(2)}K_1\left(\sqrt{\lambda_2}r\right) \right] \cos\theta
\end{align*} $$

(39a)

(39b)

while the displacement and magnetic potential in the void are given by

$$ \begin{align*}
w^{(V)} &= 0 \\
\varphi^{(V)} &= m_1r\cos\theta
\end{align*} $$

(40a)

(40b)
The superscripts M and V indicate the matrix and the void, respectively, whereas \( a_1, h_1, c_1, d_1, G_{31}, G_{41} \) and \( m_1 \) are unknown coefficients.

The boundary conditions at the interface \( r = R \) can be obtained according to Eq. (8):

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi(R^-) &= \varphi(R^+) \\
B_r(R^-) &= B_r(R^+) \\
\sigma_{\varphi r}(R^+) &= 0 \\
s_{\varphi r}(R^+) &= 0 \\
p_{\varphi r}(R^+) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(41)

Combining with the far-field conditions, 7 unknown coefficients in Eq. (39) and (40) can be determined. For several special cases, \( w(M), \varphi(M) \) and the boundary conditions are:

**Case 1:** \( l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = 0 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
w(M) &= (a_1 r + h_1 r^{-1}) \cos \theta \\
\varphi(M) &= (c_1 r + d_1 r^{-1}) \cos \theta
\end{align*}
\]  

(42a)  

(42b)

The 5 unknown coefficients \( a_1, h_1, c_1, d_1, \) and \( m_1 \) can be determined by the 2 far-field conditions and the following 3 boundary conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi(R^-) &= \varphi(R^+) \\
B_r(R^-) &= B_r(R^+) \\
\sigma_{\varphi r}(R^+) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(43)

**Case 2:** \( l_3 = l_2 = 0, l_1 \neq 0 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
w(M) &= \left[ a_1 r + h_1 r^{-1} + G_{31}a_1 K_1\left(\sqrt{\lambda} r\right)\right] \cos \theta \\
\varphi(M) &= \left[ c_1 r + d_1 r^{-1} + G_{31}a_2 K_1\left(\sqrt{\lambda} r\right)\right] \cos \theta
\end{align*}
\]  

(44a)  

(44b)

where \( \lambda = (1 + \beta_1)/l_1^2, a_1 = 1/\beta_1, a_2 = \frac{C_{44}}{q_{15}} \). The 6 unknown coefficients \( a_1, h_1, c_1, d_1, G_{31}, \) and \( m_1 \) can be determined by the 2 far-field conditions and the following 4 boundary conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi(R^-) &= \varphi(R^+) \\
B_r(R^-) &= B_r(R^+) \\
\sigma_{\varphi r}(R^+) &= 0 \\
s_{\varphi r}(R^+) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(45)

Further, combining Eqs. (14) and (44), the expressions of \( b_r, b_\theta, \pi_{rr} \) and \( \pi_{\theta\theta} \) in the matrix are:

\[
\begin{align*}
b_r &= [-\mu_{11}(c_1 - d_1 r^{-2}) + q_{15}(a_1 - h_1 r^{-2})] \cos \theta \\
b_\theta &= [\mu_{11}(c_1 + d_1 r^{-2}) - q_{15}(a_1 + h_1 r^{-2})] \sin \theta \\
\pi_{rr} &= 0 \\
\pi_{\theta\theta} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(46a)  

(46b)  

(46c)  

(46d)

which show that the magnetic flux density \( (b_r, b_\theta) \) and the quadrupole polarization \( (\pi_{rr}, \pi_{\theta\theta}) \) are independent of \( l_1 \).

**Case 3:** \( l_1 = l_2 = 0, l_3 \neq 0 \).

The expressions for \( w \) and \( \varphi \) are the same as in case 2, but with \( \lambda = (1 + \beta_1)/l_3^2, a_1 = \beta_1, a_2 = -\frac{q_{15}}{\mu_{11}} \). Moreover, the 4 boundary conditions are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi(R^-) &= \varphi(R^+) \\
B_r(R^-) &= B_r(R^+) \\
\sigma_{\varphi r}(R^+) &= 0 \\
p_{\varphi r}(R^+) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(47)
Further, combining Eqs. (14) and (44), the expressions of $t_{zr}$, $t_{z\theta}$, $s_{zrr}$ and $s_{z\theta\theta}$ in the matrix are:

$$t_{zr} = \left[c_{44}(a_1 - h_1 r^{-2}) + q_{15}(c_1 - d_1 r^{-2})\right] \cos \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (48a)

$$t_{z\theta} = -\left[c_{44}(a_1 + h_1 r^{-2}) + q_{15}(c_1 + d_1 r^{-2})\right] \sin \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (48b)

$$s_{zrr} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (48c)

$$s_{z\theta\theta} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (48d)

which show that the standard stresses ($t_{zr}$, $t_{z\theta}$) and the double stress ($s_{zrr}$, $s_{z\theta\theta}$) are independent of $l_3$.

**Case 4**: $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l \neq 0$

$$u_r^{(M)} = \left[a_1 r + h_1 r^{-1} + G_1 K_1(\sqrt{\lambda} r)\right] \cos \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (49a)

$$\psi^{(M)} = \left[c_1 r + d_1 r^{-1} + G_2 K_1(\sqrt{\lambda} r)\right] \cos \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (49b)

where $\lambda = 1/l^2$, $G_1 = G_{31}a_1$, $G_2 = G_{32}a_2$. The 7 unknown coefficients $a_1$, $h_1$, $c_1$, $d_1$, $G_1$, $G_2$ and $m_1$ can be determined by the 2 far-field conditions and the 5 boundary conditions of Eq. (41).

**Case 5**: $l_2 = 0$, $l_3 = kr_1 \neq 0$.

The boundary conditions are shown in Eqs. (39) and (41), and the only additional requirement is that $k \geq \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{4\beta_1}}$ or $k \leq \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{4\beta_1}}$.

### 4.2 Results and discussion

The effects of the three length scales on the mechanic and magnetic fields will be discussed based on the simulation results next. Because the results of MEE material and MSCP material show similar patterns, principally, the results of MEE material are discussed in detail, while the results of MSCP material are given afterwards for supplementary insight.

Figure 2 shows the effect of all three length scales on the distribution of the strain $\varepsilon$ in and around the void. Figure 2a1 and a2 show the case $l_1 \neq 0$ with $l_2 = l_3 = 0$, Fig. 2b1 and b2 show the case $l_3 \neq 0$ with $l_1 = l_2 = 0$, Fig. 2c1 and c2 show the case $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l$, and Fig. 2d1 and d2 show the case $l_2 = 0$ with $l_1 \neq 0$ and $l_3 \neq 0$.

An increase in value of $l_1$ (while initially keeping $l_1 = 0$) has a strong influence on the distribution of strain near the void, particularly the radial component as demonstrated in Fig. 2a1. For low values of $l_1$, the response is governed by the boundary conditions of the classical (non-gradient enriched) problem which dictate a zero radial shear strain on the edge of the void. For larger values of $l_1$, the boundary conditions imposed on stresses have less and less influence on the value of $\varepsilon_{zr}$. Conversely, the concentration of circumferential shear strain $\varepsilon_{z\theta}$ near the void decreases as $l_1$ increases, as Fig. 2a2 shows.

Figure 2b1 and b2 shows that, when $l_1 = l_2 = 0$, the distribution of $\varepsilon_{zr}$ and $\varepsilon_{z\theta}$ remain unchanged as $l_3$ increases, and in isolation $l_3$ appears to have no influence on the strains. On the other hand, there is a modest effect of $l_3$ on the strains when $l_1 \neq 0$: there is a minor perturbation of the distribution of $\varepsilon_{zr}$ close to the edge with increasing values of $l_3$, as shown in Fig. 2d1. However, the overriding observation is that the effect of on $l_3$ the strains is minimal.

Next, we consider the case $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l$. Fig. 2c1 and c2 shows the two relevant components $\varepsilon_{zr}$ and $\varepsilon_{z\theta}$ for increasing value of the length scale. Compared to the case of only one non-zero length scale, i.e. $l_1 \neq 0$ while $l_2 = l_3 = 0$ as shown in Fig. 2a1 and a2, having multiple non-zero length scales leads to much more pronounced smoothing of the strain profile—particularly for the radial shear strain component. Since we have demonstrated above that the strains hardly depend on $l_3$, we conclude that this increased smoothing of the strains is the added effect of $l_2$. It means that the piezomagnetic coupling length scale $l_2$ has a similar effect on the mechanical field as the mechanical field length scale $l_1$. The piezomagnetic coupling length scale $l_2$ has a quantitative contribution to the nonlocal mechanical response, but it is not indispensable in the smoothing of the mechanical field variables.

Figure 3 shows the effect of all three length scales on the distribution of the magnetic field in and around the void. Figure 3a1 and a2 show the case $l_1 \neq 0$ with $l_2 = l_3 = 0$, Figure 3b1 and b2 show the case $l_3 \neq 0$ with $l_1 = l_2 = 0$, Fig. 3c1 and c2 show the case $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l$, while Fig. 3d1 and d2 show the case $l_2 = 0$ with $l_1 \neq 0$ and $l_3 \neq 0$. 
Fig. 2 The distribution of $\varepsilon_{zz}$ and $\varepsilon_{z\theta}$ (MEE, unit of length scales: mm)
Fig. 3 The distribution of $H_r$ and $H_\theta$ (MEE, unit of length scales: mm)
Taking only $l_1 \neq 0$, both the magnetic field in the void and around the void depart from the far-field value as $l_1$ increases, as Fig. 3a1 and a2 show. This goes against the usual observation that nonlocal effects decrease the concentration of the field variables near the void. On the other hand, taking $l_3 \neq 0$ as the only non-zero length scale, the magnetic field in the void and around the void approach the far-field value as $l_3$ increases, and the concentration of magnetic field near the void is decreased as $l_3$ increases, shown in Fig. 3b1, b2—a similar trend is observed taking both $l_1 \neq 0$ and $l_3 \neq 0$, as shown in Fig. 3d1, d2. Therefore, to describe the magnetic field realistically, the magnetic field gradient $l_3$ must be included.

Furthermore, the effect of $l_3$ on decreasing the concentration of magnetic field near the void is less pronounced than the combined effect $l_1, l_2$ and $l_3$ shown in Fig. 3c1, c2. It means that the piezomagnetic coupling length scale $l_2$ has a similar effect on the magnetic field as the magnetic field length scale $l_3$. Similar to its effect on the mechanical field $l_2$, has a quantitative contribution to the nonlocal magnetic response, but it is not indispensable in the magnetic field description.

The effects of three length scales on the mechanic field and magnetic field are summarised as follows: the strain gradient length scale $l_1$ in Eq. (10) alone can describe the nonlocal effect on the mechanical field, while the piezomagnetic coupling gradient $l_2$ has a quantitative contribution to the nonlocal mechanic response. However, the strain gradient $l_1$ in Eq. (10) alone cannot describe the nonlocal effect on the magnetic field; the magnetic field gradient $l_3$ must be included. Therefore, to describe the nonlocal effect of piezomagnetism comprehensively, the length scales accompanying the strain gradient and magnetic field gradients are indispensable, while the effect of the coupling length scale $l_2$ is quantitative only and certainly not essential.

$l_1$ and $l_3$ have effects on magnetic field in the void and around the void. It also shows that we can control the magnetic field in the void and around the void by adjusting the length scales (namely, designing the microstructures). In Fig. 4, with the increase in $l_3/l_1$, the direction of $H_r$ changes. When $l_3/l_1$ is equal to 4 and 8, the value of $H_r$ is negative, while it turns positive when $l_3/l_1$ is over 16. So, it is speculated that, at the edge of the void, $H_r=0$ can be obtained if $l_3/l_1$ takes certain value. Controlling the direction of magnetic field and zero magnetic field has a lot of practical application, such as in weak magnetic detection [24], bioelectromagnetics research [25] and low energy physics experiment research [26, 27]. So, it is meaningful for the study of these phenomena.

5 Conclusions

In this investigation, a model for piezomagnetic material with gradient effects of strain, magnetic and piezomagnetic coupling field is developed. An analytical solution for the anti-plane problem is constructed based on two sets of fundamental solutions that are superposed. In the analysis of an infinite piezomagnetic medium with a circular microvoid, it is found that the scale of microstructure has a significant influence on the mechanic field and magnetic field, and the length scales corresponding to strain and magnetic gradients are indispensable in order to describe the nonlocal effects of piezomagnetism. Especially, controlling the direction and magnitude of the magnetic field at the interface of the void can be achieved by tuning the ratio of void radius to microstructural length scales of the piezomagnetic medium.
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