Aggregated Gas Molecules: Toxic to Protein?
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The biological toxicity of high levels of breathing gases has been known for centuries, but the mechanism remains elusive. Earlier work mainly focused on the influences of dispersed gas molecules dissolved in water on biomolecules. However, recent studies confirmed the existence of aggregated gas molecules at the water-solid interface. In this paper, we have investigated the binding preference of aggregated gas molecules on proteins with molecular dynamics simulations, using nitrogen (N2) gas and the Src-homology 3 (SH3) domain as the model system. Aggregated N2 molecules were strongly bound by the active sites of the SH3 domain, which could impair the activity of the protein. In contrast, dispersed N2 molecules did not specifically interact with the SH3 domain. These observations extend our understanding of the possible toxicity of aggregates of gas molecules in the function of proteins.

An increased concentration of breathing gases (N2, O2, Ar, Kr, CO2, Xe, etc.) in body tissues can cause severe biological effects, such as nitrogen narcosis4–6. Although some mechanisms have been proposed, such as the gas-induced alteration of ion permeability at the cell membrane or the binding of gas to proteins of neurotransmitter receptors5–7, the mode of action remains unresolved. Earlier work mainly focused on the influences of dispersed gas molecules dissolved in water on biomolecules. The recent experimental and theoretical studies demonstrated that gas can be aggregated at the water-solid interface in the form of nanobubbles8–18 and nanopancakes19,20 after the great debate21–23. Thus, the interaction between aggregated gas molecules, as opposed to dispersed gas molecules, and biological macromolecules is an important area of investigation.

The interactions between nanoscale particles and biomolecules have attracted great attention. Previous studies have shown that nanoparticles can adsorb onto proteins24–40. If the protein-ligand binding sites are attacked or blocked by the nanoparticles, the biological functions of these proteins could be impaired. Considering that the binding sites and many of the aggregated gases are usually hydrophobic42–45, we questioned if aggregated gas molecules showed similar toxicity toward proteins as observed with nanoparticles.

In this paper, we applied molecular dynamics simulations to study the adsorption capability of aggregated gas onto the Src-homology 3 (SH3) domain. The SH3 domain was chosen due to its widespread presence in protein families for regulating signaling pathways and for substrate specific-binding46–52 (Figure 1). Figure 1 was generated using the VASCo PYMOL plug-in53. The functional loss of the SH3 domain would be lethal for the normal functions of living cells. As the gas, N2 was employed due to its abundance in air. We found that aggregated N2 molecules preferred to adhere to the SH3 domain at the ligand-binding sites of the SH3 domain. In contrast, the dispersed N2 molecules showed no specific binding to the SH3 domain.

Results

In the initial configuration of the system, N2 molecules were placed around the SH3 domain in the water box with box size of Lx = 7.5 nm, Ly = 7.5 nm, Lz = 7.5 nm (Figure 2). Different numbers of N2 molecules were examined in six samples with 100 ns molecular dynamics simulations under the NPT (P = 1 atm, T = 300 K) ensemble.

In the first four samples, 330, 310, 290 and 270 nitrogen molecules were placed in the cubic water box, denoted by NS_1, NS_2, NS_3 and NS_4, respectively. The N2 molecules were found to be aggregated and we denoted them as NS. In the other two samples, denoted by DS_1 and DS_2, with 216 and 205 N2 molecules, respectively, the maximum aggregate size was no more than 6 N2 molecules, and thus we denoted them as DS. The details of all samples appear in Table 1. The criterion to determine the existence of aggregated N2 molecules followed the Wolde and Frenkel (TWF) theory54. Two N2 molecules were considered as a neighboring pair if their distance was less than rS (the Stillinger radius, in our systems, the rS = 1.5<rNN = 0.489 nm)55,56. If a N2 molecule had more than
two neighbors, this N2 belonged to the aggregated N2 molecules. More computational details are listed in the Methods section.

Representative snapshots of the trajectory for NS_1 and the change of the interface area of this sample are given in Figure 3. (For other samples, see Figure S3 in supplementary information.) The interface area was defined as:

$$\text{Interface Area} = (\text{SASA}_{\text{SH3}} + \text{SASA}_{\text{SIT}} - \text{SASA}_{\text{SH3} + \text{SIT}})/2.$$ 

The SASA_{SH3}, SASA_{SIT} and SASA_{SH3+SIT} were defined as the solvent accessible surface areas of the SH3 domain, the N2 molecules, and the complex of the SH3 domain and the N2 molecules, respectively. Based on the snapshots and interface area, we could illustrate the whole simulation process. For NS situations, in the first ∼5 ns, N2 molecules gathered quickly, corresponding to a decline in the interface area to nearly zero. Then, the aggregated N2 molecules were separated from the SH3 domain for about 25 ns. Next, the interface area rose quickly to about 3 nm², which was caused by a rapid adsorption of aggregated N2 onto the SH3 domain. This increase in the interface area indicated a strong interaction between aggregated N2 molecules and the hydrophobic surface of the SH3 domain. Then, the aggregated N2 molecules attached the SH3 domain in the rest of the simulation (see the snapshots at t = 35 ns and t = 100 ns). There were some large fluctuations in the curve of the interface area, owing to the quite loose and flexible structure of the aggregated N2 molecules.

Discussion

To further characterize the adsorption between the N2 and the SH3 domain, we calculated the probability of the adsorption of N2 molecules to each amino acid residue in the SH3 domain over the last 50 ns. The distances between the amino acids and N2 molecules were evaluated in every frame (In simulations, we recorded one frame of trajectory every 1 ps). If the minimum distance of one amino acid to any N2 molecule was less than rS (rS = 1.50 Å = 0.489 nm) in one frame, we defined the phenomenon as the adsorption of N2 molecules by this particular amino acid in this specific time frame and the adsorption frequency of this amino acid added 1. The adsorption probability of a specific amino acid was the adsorption frequency of this amino acid divided by the total frame number (50,000 in our simulations).

The typical adsorption probabilities of each residue are illustrated by a histogram (Figure 4a) showing data for NS and DS (Figures of more samples can be found in Figure S2 in supplementary information). A high probability means strong adsorption. Additional details appear in Table 1, which contains the favorable N2-binding residues, defined as those residues with a probability of greater than 0.7 of all samples. In the first four samples (NS_1–NS_4 in Table 1), the SH3 domain had the same favorable binding sites with the aggregated N2 molecules. The amino acid residues 7(LEU), 8(PHE), 35(GLN), 36(TRP), 50(PRO), 52(PRO) and 53(TYR) presented more favorable N2 binding in NS cases. It is known that 8(PHE), 36(TRP), 50(PRO) and 53(TYR) (Figure 1 and Table 1) are the key binding sites of the SH3 domain and its corresponding ligand. Thus, we can conclude that the binding of the aggregated N2 molecules to the SH3 domain had specificity for the active sites. Figure 1 shows a typical structure of the ligand binding to the SH3 domain. The hydrophobicity of the surface was plotted by lipophilic potential and the surface of the SH3 domain in contact with the ligand had hydrophobicity<sup>53</sup>. Since the aggregated N2 molecules completely covered the ligand-binding sites of the SH3 domain, aggregates of nitrogen might block the key binding sites and hinder the normal process of ligand binding at the SH3 domain. In another words, binding of aggregated N2 molecules might lead to the loss of protein function. Because proteins were the functional units essential for life, our simulation indicated that aggregated gas might be toxic.

In the last two samples (DS_1 and DS_2) in Table 1, N2 molecules did not aggregate, a completely different outcome that with the NS samples. Another difference was an absence of probabilities greater than 0.7 for the most active binding sites (8, 36, 50 and 53) for DS, which means the adsorption abilities of DS were much weaker than the adsorption abilities of NS at these sites. More importantly, a greater number of N2 molecules did not correspond to the high adsorption probabilities when N2 molecules were dispersed, which could be illustrated by DS_1 and DS_2 with 216 N2 and 205 N2, respectively (shown in Figure S2 in supplementary information). The differences in the probabilities of binding could only be from the existence of the aggregated N2, as shown in Table 1. To further illustrate the difference between DS and NS, we calculated the
standard deviation of each residue’s adsorption probability to N₂ (Table 1). All standard deviations of NS were greater than 0.25, whereas none of those of DS was greater than 0.19. Furthermore, the distribution of probability of DS tended to be well-distributed compared with NS, which indicated the adsorption of dispersed N₂ molecules to the SH₃ domain had no specific binding sites. This fact implied that the characteristic binding sites were related to the state of N₂. Only when the N₂ molecules were aggregated could they adsorb well onto the SH₃ domain at some specific sites.

Finally, it should be noted that the SH₃ domain is a small domain with 57 residues. Each residue in SH₃ could be in contact with water and N₂ molecules. The calculated exposed area of each residue in water is shown in Figure 4b. Compared with Figure 4a, the exposed areas of the key residues (8(PHE), 36(TRP), 50(PRO) and 53(TYR)) are all located at the hydrophobic groove (red surface in Figure 1) of the SH₃ domain, the ability of each residue to adsorb nitrogen was related to the hydrophobicity of the exposed residues rather than to the exposed areas of these residues.

| Sample | N_water | Nₛ | Nₐ | N_d | Index number of residues | σ | L [nm] | C [kg·m⁻³] |
|--------|---------|----|----|-----|-------------------------|---|--------|-----------|
| NS₁    | 12834   | 330| 110| 7   | 8, 10, 35               | 0.298| 7.64  | 34.6      |
| NS₂    | 12834   | 310| 106| 7   | 8, 10, 35               | 0.301| 7.61  | 32.9      |
| NS₃    | 12834   | 290| 100| 7   | 8, 10, 35               | 0.255| 7.60  | 30.9      |
| NS₄    | 12834   | 270| 96 | 7   | 8, 10, 35               | 0.298| 7.57  | 29.1      |
| DS₁    | 13106   | 216| 6  | 210 | 56                      | 0.175| 7.52  | 23.8      |
| DS₂    | 13106   | 205| 5  | 200 | 8, 36, 50, 53           | 0.189| 7.52  | 22.6      |

**Figure 3** | (a) Some representative snapshots of one trajectory (NS₁). The key residues of the protein domain are noted by red surfaces. The rest of residues of protein are shown in cyan semi-transparent surface. The N₂ are shown as blue balls. (b) The change of interface area of N₂ molecules and the SH₃ domain corresponding to the trajectory shown in Figure 3a.

**Figure 4** | (a) A typical adsorption probability plot of each residue. The X-axis represents the index of residues in the SH₃ domain while the Y-axis represents the probability that the minimum distance of the amino acid to any one nitrogen molecule will be less than 0.489 nm in the last 50 ns. The blue rectangles stand for the probabilities of the aggregated N₂ system (NS₁), and the red rectangles stand for the probabilities of the dispersed N₂ system (DS₁). (b) The exposed area in water of each residue of the SH₃ domain.
In general, N₂ molecules aggregated and were adsorbed onto the surface of the SH3 domain at several specific binding sites. Considering non-specific adsorptions of dispersed N₂ molecules in the system, this binding could be concluded as the hydrophobic interactions between aggregated N₂ molecules and the hydrophobic residues in the key binding sites of the SH3 domain. Furthermore, the occupation of the binding sites of the SH3 domain by aggregated N₂ molecules would interfere with ligand binding at these same positions, which would poison the protein function. Our study might provide insights into the potentially common phenomenon of the toxicity of aggregated gases in the biological systems and could provide an alternative way to understand the gas effects in anesthesia.

**Methods**

The molecular dynamics simulations were carried out with the Gromacs 4.5.1 program package. In simulations, Amber force field was applied in protein and water, the nitrogen atoms were modeled as uncharged Lennard-Jones particles with a radius of cross-section of $\sigma_{N,N} = 3.260 \times 10^{-1} \text{ nm}$, and a depth of the potential well of $\epsilon_{N,N} = 2.888 \times 10^{-11} \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ nm}^{-2}$. The N-N bond lengths of 1.400 $\times 10^{-1} \text{ nm}$ were maintained by harmonic potentials with spring constants of 1.280 $\times 10^{8} \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ nm}^{-2}$. The pdb file of the SH3 domain in the simulations was downloaded from RCSB Protein Data Bank. Simulations were performed under the isothermal-isobaric (NPT, 1 atm and 310 K to mimic in vivo condition) ensemble with periodic boundary conditions applied in three dimensions. A time step of 1 fs was used, and data were collected every 1 ps. The particle mesh Ewald method was used to treat the long-range electrostatic interactions with cut-off of 1 nm.
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