Effect of Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction of *Moringa stenopetala* Leaves on Bioactive Compounds and Their Antioxidant Activity
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**SUMMARY**

*Moringa stenopetala* is a multipurpose plant having high nutritional and medicinal values. The aim of this study is to evaluate the effect of time and temperature of ultrasound-assisted extraction on bioactive compounds and antioxidant activities of *M. stenopetala* leaf extract. The ultrasound-assisted extraction took place at each of 30, 40 and 50 °C for 10, 20 and 30 min. The study also included the analysis of the interaction effects of time and temperature on the total phenolic content, total flavonoid content, antioxidant activity (ABTS and DPPH assay), FRAP and chelating activity. The highest total phenolic content, expressed in mg gallic acid equivalents per g dry mass, was 46.6 and total flavonoid content, expressed in mg catechin equivalents per g dry mass, was 20.4 at 40 °C for 20 min. Under the same conditions, the highest antioxidant activities evaluated by DPPH, ABTS and FRAP, expressed in mg Trolox equivalents per g dry mass, were 336.5, 581.8 and 133.3 respectively, and chelating activity, expressed in mg EDTA equivalents per g dry mass, was 28.4. The lowest amounts of bioactive compounds and antioxidant activities were observable when the extraction occurred at 50 °C for 30 min, followed by the extraction at lower temperature (30 °C) for shorter time (10 min). The morphological analysis of the residues obtained after extraction using scanning electron microscope indicated that there was a higher ultrasonic destruction of the structural components of the sample at longer extraction time. Therefore, ultrasound-assisted extraction at a temperature of 40 °C for 20 min is the best time-temperature combination to extract bioactive compounds from *M. stenopetala* leaves.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Moringa stenopetala*, often referred to as the African moringa tree, is a multipurpose tree with nutritional and medicinal values, used as oil source or for water clarification (1-3). It is native to Southern Ethiopia, Northern Kenya and Eastern Somalia with the ability to adapt to a wide range of climates from the arid to humid (4). In Ethiopia, there is a widespread cultivation of moringa tree, which could expand the production, thereby increasing the alternative sources of bioactive compounds, and reduce the burden on highly consumed plants. Moringa tree contains a reasonable amount of amino acids, minerals and vitamins that can be used to develop nutritional supplements (1,5).

*Moringa* species contain a high concentration of phenolic and flavonoid compounds, like cryptochlorogenic acid, isoquercetin and astragalin (6,7), rutin (8), and glucosinolates and isothiocyanates (9). The antioxidant activity of *M. stenopetala* leaf extract is far superior to the antioxidant activity of *M. oleifera* leaf extract (8). Therefore, this plant is a potential source of natural antioxidants (8,10) that serve as anti-inflammatory (11), anti-atherosclerotic (12,13), antihypertensive agents as well as for the prevention and treatment of haematological and hepatorenal disorders (14). Because of these numerous medicinal benefits and nutritional values, this plant can be popularized and promoted for the development of various food and pharmaceutical products.
Therefore, extracted bioactive compounds from *M. stenopetala* leaf can be used in the production of natural products serving as food additives and substitute for synthetic antioxidants. As epidemiological studies show, the consumption of synthetic antioxidants possibly poses health risks, like carcinogenic effect (15-17). As stated by Sreelatha and Padma (18), phenolic and flavonoid compounds are considered as safe natural antioxidants.

For efficient utilization of the plant active compounds, it is important to design the best extraction method (6). There are different conventional and novel technologies for the extraction of bioactive compounds from plants. Nowadays, novel extraction technologies like ultrasound-assisted extraction are getting more attention because of their shorter time and lower energy consumption, and higher extraction efficiency (19). These methods are also known to be environmentally friendly since they use smaller volumes of extraction solvents (19,20). Therefore, ultrasound-assisted extraction is relatively safe, non-toxic, it accelerates mass transport and is considered green technology compared to the conventional extraction methods (19,21). During the extraction, the interaction of the high power intensity and sound wave frequency with the material leads to the formation of cavitation (20,22). This is followed by the implosion of the cavitation bubbles in the solvent and on the surfaces of the sample, which cause physical and chemical changes of the sample (19). These changes result in the destruction of the cell wall, which in turn improves the release of the extracts (20,23).

According to some studies, ultrasound-assisted extraction yields significantly higher concentration of phenolic compounds from peaches and pumpkin (24) and flavonoid compounds from *Rosmarinus officinalis* (25), and higher antioxidant activity of *Origanum majorana* extract (26) than the conventional extraction method. Therefore, application of ultrasound in the extraction of bioactive compounds from *M. stenopetala* leaves may be one of the important techniques for their efficient release. However, no research has been done on the effects of ultrasound-assisted extraction on the bioactive compounds of *M. stenopetala* leaf extract. Therefore, the objective of this study is to evaluate the effects of the ultrasonic extraction time and temperature on bioactive compounds from *M. stenopetala* leaves and their antioxidant activity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample collection**

The *Moringa stenopetala* leaves were collected from Arba Minch, located at 6°01’59” N and 37°32’59” E, an altitude of 1269 m above sea level and 505 km away from the capital of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa (5). The collected sample was washed immediately using distilled water to remove dirt. Subsequently, the sample was dried for 72 h in a room with an average temperature of 25 °C and 62 % relative humidity. The temperature and relative humidity were measured using the iButton temperature/humidity logger (DS1923; Maxim Integrated Products, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). The sample was ground using an electric blender (SMX-757; Shinil Industrial Co. Ltd., Seoul, South Korea) and allowed to pass through a sieve (20 meshes). The ground sample was then kept in a sealed polyethylene bag and stored in the dark place at ambient temperature until extraction was done.

**Chemicals and reagents**

All chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade. Ethanol, methanol, iron(III) chloride, aluminium chloride, potassium persulfate, sodium nitrite, iron(II) sulfate, acetic acid, sodium acetate trihydrate, ethyl acetate and hydrochloric acid were from Dae-Jung (Gyeonggi, Korea). Folin-Ciocalteu phenol reagent, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), 2,4,6-Tris(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine (TPTZ), sodium hydroxide, ferrozine, 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), catechin and gallic acid were from Sigma-Aldrich, Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

**Maceration**

The conventional extraction by maceration was performed according to the method explained by Vongsak et al. (10) with some modifications. The leaf powder (5 g) was put in 200 mL of 70 % ethanol with a sample-to-solvent ratio of 1:40 (g/mL). Subsequently, it was placed in the shaking water bath (JSSB-50T; JS Research Inc., Gongju, South Korea) at 100 rpm and 30 °C for 24 h. The extract was filtered through Whatman no. 1 filter paper and dried using a rotary evaporator (WB 2001; Heidolph, Schwabach, Germany) under vacuum at 40 °C. The dried extract was kept in a refrigerator at 4 °C until it was required for the analysis.

**Ultrasound-assisted extraction**

The extraction of *M. stenopetala* leaves was done using ultrasonic bath (SONOREX™ SUPER RK 510 H; Bandelin electronic GmbH&Co. KG; Berlin, Germany) designed with a fixed frequency of 35 kHz and power intensity 160 W. The sample (5 g) was mixed with 200 mL of 70 % ethanol in the flask in the ratio of 1:40 (g/mL). The ultrasound-assisted extraction was done at different temperatures (30, 40 and 50 °C) and time (10, 20 and 30 min) for each temperature. The extracts were then filtered through Whatman no. 1, dried using a rotary evaporator (WB 2001; Heidolph) under vacuum at 40 °C, and stored at 4 °C until they were required for the analysis.

**Extraction of bound phenolic compounds**

The extraction of the bound phenols was done according to the method described by Uribe et al. (27) with some modifications. About one gram of the residue obtained after extraction was taken and 5 mL of 3 M NaOH were added. The mixture was kept in the shaking water bath (JSSB-50T; JS
Research Inc.) at 30 °C for 9 h and kept at room temperature (25 °C) for 12 h for complete digestion. Then, 5 mL of 3 M HCl were added to acidify the mixture to pH=2, which was then kept for additional 4 h at room temperature. The mixture was then centrifuged (Union 32R plus; Hanil Science Industrial Co., Ltd., Seoul, South Korea) at 1915×g for 15 min. The supernatant was taken and mixed with 10 mL of ethyl acetate (three times) and the upper clear solution was collected. The collected ethyl acetate fraction was dried using rotary evaporator (WB 2001; Heidolph) under vacuum at 37 °C. Then, the dried extract was dissolved in 10 mL of 70 % ethanol to measure the bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds with the same procedure for free phenolic and flavonoid content measurements described below.

Determination of total phenolic content
The total phenolic content (TPC) was determined according to Dadi et al. (28). The extracts (0.5 mL) were mixed with 2.5 mL of the 10 % aqueous (V/V) Folin–Ciocalteu reagent. After 8 min, 2.0 mL of 75 % (m/V) sodium carbonate were added, mixed and kept in the dark at room temperature for 2 h. The same procedure was used for the blank and gallic acid standard prepared at different concentrations (0, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 and 300 µg/mL) to get a standard curve. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Optizen 2120UV; Mecasys Co. Ltd., Daejeon, South Korea). The TPC was expressed in mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per gram of dry mass of the sample.

Determination of total flavonoid content
The total flavonoid content (TFC) was determined according to Adom and Liu (29) with a slight modification. The extract (0.5 mL) was mixed with 0.15 mL of 5 % (m/V) sodium nitrite and 2.5 mL of distilled water and kept for 6 min. A volume of 0.3 mL aluminium chloride (10 % m/V) was then added and mixed. This was followed by the addition of 1 mL of 1.0 M sodium hydroxide and then 0.55 mL of distilled water. The mixture was vortexed and kept for 15 min. Finally, the concentration was measured at 510 nm using UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Optizen 2120UV; Mecasys Co. Ltd). The same procedure, only without the extract, was applied for the blank and catechin standard at different concentrations (0, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 and 300 µg/mL) to get the standard curve. The TFC was expressed in mg catechin equivalents (CE) per gram of dry sample.

DPPH radical scavenging activity
The free radical scavenging activity was measured using the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) as described by Brand-Williams et al. (30). The DPPH solution (2.4 mg) in 100 mL of 80 % ethanol was prepared and the absorbance was checked for the reading of less than one at 515 nm to ensure the optimum reagent concentration for determination of the scavenging activity. A volume of 0.1 mL of the sample or Trolox standard of different concentrations was mixed with 3.9 mL of DPPH solution. The mixtures were then mixed and kept in the dark at room temperature for 30 min. Subsequently, the absorbance was measured at 515 nm using UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Optizen 2120UV; Mecasys Co. Ltd). The antioxidant activity was calculated from the Trolox standard curve and expressed in mg Trolox equivalents (TE) per gram of dry mass.

ABTS⁺ radical scavenging assay
The ABTS⁺ radical scavenging activity was assayed according to the method described by Re et al. (31). The ABTS radical cation (ABTS⁺) was produced by reacting 7 mM ABTS solution with 2.45 mM potassium persulfate and incubated for 12 to 16 h in the dark at room temperature. This solution was then diluted using ethanol to obtain an absorbance of 0.70±0.02 at 734 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Optizen 2120UV; Mecasys Co. Ltd). After optimizing the ABTS reagent, 50 µL of the sample extracts and the standard in different concentrations dissolved in 80 % ethanol were added to 5 mL of the ABTS⁺ solution and mixed thoroughly. The Trolox standard at different concentrations and blank solution were prepared using 80 % ethanol instead of the sample solution and assayed under the same conditions. Finally, the antioxidant activity was calculated from the Trolox standard curve and expressed in mg TE per gram of dry mass.

Ferric reducing antioxidant power
The ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay was done according to Nguyen et al. (32). First, 300 mM acetate buffer solution (pH=3.6) was prepared from acetic acid and sodium acetate trihydrate according to Benzie and Strain (33). The FRAP solution was then prepared by mixing the acetate buffer solution, 10 mM tripyridyltriazine (TPTZ) solution in 40 mM hydrochloric acid and 20 mM FeCl₃.6H₂O solution in the ratio of 10:1:1, respectively. Then, 0.15 mL of the extracts or standard (Trolox) solutions were mixed with 2.85 mL of fresh FRAP solution. The mixture was then kept for 30 min in the dark at ambient temperature including the blank. Then, the absorbance was measured at 593 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Optizen 2120UV; Mecasys Co. Ltd). The reducing power was calculated from the Trolox standard curve and expressed in mg TE per gram of dry mass.

Ferrous ion chelating activity assay
The chelating activity of the extract was done based on the procedure described by Chew et al. (34). Briefly, 1 mL of 0.1 mM iron(II) sulfate was added to 1 mL of the extract, followed by the addition of 1 mL of 0.25 mM ferrozine solution. The mixture was shaken vigorously and allowed to stand for 10 min at room temperature in the dark. The same procedure was done for EDTA standard at different concentrations and the blank. Then, the absorbance was measured using UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Optizen 2120UV; Mecasys Co. Ltd) at 562 nm.
The iron(III)-chelating activity of the extract was calculated and expressed in mg EDTA equivalents (EE) per gram of dry mass using the EDTA standard curve.

**Scanning electron microscopy**

After the completion of extraction, the residues were allowed to dry in the oven (FO-600M; Jeio Tech, Seoul, South Korea) at 40 °C for 4 h. Then, the sample was put on a plate with double-sided adhesive tape and vacuum coated with platinum. The morphological analysis was done using scanning electron microscope (JSM-7500F; JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) at an accelerated voltage of 15 kV and with a magnification of 500×.

**Statistical analysis**

All experiments were conducted in triplicate and results were reported as mean ± standard deviation. The statistical analyses were done using analysis of variance (ANOVA), Duncan’s post-hoc test with a significant level of p≤0.05. The Pearson’s correlation coefficient test was used to determine the correlation between the antioxidant activity, and TPC and TFC. All the data were analyzed by IBM SPSS, v. 20.0 (35).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Effects of time and temperature of ultrasound-assisted extraction on TPC and TFC**

When the ultrasound-assisted extraction and conventional (maceration) extraction techniques were compared, generally, the yield of TPC and TFC after ultrasound-assisted extraction was significantly (p≤0.05) higher than that of the macerated extract (Table 1). However, the maceration extraction gave higher TPC and TFC than the ultrasound-assisted extraction at 30 °C for 10 min and 50 °C for 30 min (Table 1), which might be due to the insufficient extraction time or to the possible destruction of the extracted TPC and TFC because of longer exposure to the ultrasound at higher temperature, respectively. Even if conventional extraction technique has comparable yield of TPC and TFC to some time and temperature combinations of ultrasound-assisted extraction, the time of the extraction was too short compared to maceration extraction that took 24 h. As stated by Chemat et al. (20), conventional extraction methods are characterized by requirement for lengthy time, high energy and a higher volume of harmful chemicals. The results of the current work are in agreement with the findings of Das and Eun (36), who reported similar extraction efficiency of agitation and ultrasound-assisted extraction. On the contrary, Ahmed et al. (37) reported that the TPC and TFC were significantly higher in the cold-brewed coffee extract obtained by ultrasound-assisted extraction.

The TPC and TFC of *M. stenopetala* leaf extracts increased with the increase in the temperature of ultrasound-assisted extraction (Table 1). On the other hand, the TPC and TFC decreased when the extraction temperature was 50 °C, although they were significantly higher than in the extract obtained after 10 min at 30 °C. Extraction temperature improves the yield of bioactive compounds. The increase in temperature improves the solubility of active compounds by facilitating the penetration of the solvent (19), due to the decrease of viscosity and surface tension of the solvent (26).

**Table 1.** The total phenolic (TPC) and flavonoid contents (TFC) on dry mass basis of *Moringa stenopetala* leaves extracted by ultrasonication at different temperatures and time, and by maceration

| Extraction temperature/°C | (t)Extraction/ min | w(TPC as GAE)/(mg/g) | w(TFC as CE)/(mg/g) |
|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 30                        | 10               | (33.4±1.2)          | (13.0±0.4)          |
|                           | 20               | (44.5±0.6)          | (16.1±0.5)          |
|                           | 30               | (44.5±0.5)          | (17.0±0.4)          |
|                           | 10               | (45.5±0.5)          | (19.5±0.6)          |
| 40                        | 20               | (46.6±0.3)          | (20.4±0.5)          |
|                           | 30               | (42.0±0.4)          | (15.3±0.5)          |
|                           | 10               | (43.3±0.5)          | (16.2±0.4)          |
| 50                        | 20               | (42.9±0.5)          | (11.6±0.7)          |
|                           | 30               | (39.8±0.3)          | (8.8±0.8)           |
| Maceration                |                 | (43.0±0.5)          | (17.2±0.8)          |

All values were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (N=3). Values with different superscript letters in each column indicate significant difference (p<0.05). GAE=gallic acid equivalents, CE=catechin equivalents.

As shown in Table 1, the ultrasound-assisted extraction at 40 °C for 20 min gave the highest TPC as GAE (46.6 mg/g dm) and TFC as CE (20.4 mg/g dm), followed by extraction at 30 °C for 30 min. On the contrary, the lowest yields of TPC and TFC were obtained at 30 °C for 10 min and 50 °C for 30 min. This indicates that during ultrasound-assisted extraction, the use of lower temperature and shorter extraction time may result in an insufficient extraction of bioactive compounds, whereas the longer extraction time at a higher temperature may cause an ultrasonic destruction of bioactive compounds. This could be due to the sensitivity of the bioactive compounds to heat and longer exposure to the ultrasonication. Santos et al. (38) reported that the increase of temperature during ultrasound-assisted extraction leads to the increase of the vapour pressure of the solvents, which results in lower cavitation force, leading to the decreased yield of bioactive compounds. Besides, it was reported that lower temperature is preferred for extraction of bioactive compounds (19). This phenomenon was also reported for the ultrasound-assisted extraction of rosmarinic acid when the temperature was increased from 30 to 60 °C (39).

According to this study, the best combination of time and temperature for the ultrasound-assisted extraction of TPC and TFC from *M. stenopetala* leaves is 40 °C for 20 min. Similarly, the highest TPC was obtained from *Ogiraganum majorana* leaves at 35 °C for 10 min (26), from *Rosmarinus officinalis* leaves at 50 °C for 10 min (39), from *Achillea biebersteinii* at 35 °C for 35 min (40) and from citrus peel extract at 15 °C, while at 40 °C lower values were obtained (41). These differences might be due to the variation of the ultrasonic parameters and the structure of the plant constituents.
The yield of bioactive compounds of *M. stenopetala* leaf extract was significantly different when different ultrasonicators with different power and frequency were used, which is in agreement with Chemat et al. (19). When the ultrasonicator power and frequency were increased from 160 to 190 W and 35 to 48 kHz respectively, the TPC and TFC increased (data not shown). As described by Santos et al. (38), not all ultrasonicators perform equally. Increase in the ultrasonic power damages the cell wall, subsequently leading to better release of the bioactive compounds to the solvent (26). During ultrasound-assisted extraction of *M. stenopetala* leaves, there was no significant difference in the yield of TFC and TFC with the prolongation of extraction time from 20 to 30 min at 30 °C and from 10 to 20 min at 40 °C, respectively (Table 1).

**Effect of time and temperature of ultrasound-assisted extraction on the antioxidant activity**

The ultrasound-assisted extraction of *M. stenopetala* leaves gave higher antioxidant activity than the conventional (maceration) extraction technique (Table 2). This shows that ultrasound-assisted extraction is more efficient in extracting antioxidant compounds than the conventional method. Similar trend was reported for the ultrasound-assisted extraction of cold-brewed coffee (37) and *Origanum majorana* leaves (26).

The antioxidant activity of *M. stenopetala* leaf extract was significantly affected by ultrasound-assisted extraction time and temperature (Table 2). The higher the temperature, the lower the antioxidant activity. This might be due to the destruction of bioactive compounds at higher temperatures. The highest antioxidant activity was achieved at 40 °C for 20 min, at which the highest yields of TPC and TFC were obtained. This was also confirmed with a significant positive correlation (p<0.01) between the antioxidant activities and the TPC and TFC of the extract (r=0.51-0.88). The highest values of the DPPH as TE (336.5 mg/g) and ABTS as TE (581.8 mg/g) were found in the extract obtained at 40 °C for 20 min. When the values of DPPH and ABTS are compared, the range of DPPH values is relatively higher than of ABTS values as ultrasound-assisted extraction time and temperature are changed (Table 2). Moreover, ABTS values were higher than the DPPH values (Table 2). The same trend was also reported by Ahmed et al. (37) for cold-brewed coffee extracts, which might be due to the stronger tendency of ABTS radicals to donate electron than of the DPPH radical.

The FRAP value was significantly higher when the time and temperature of ultrasound-assisted extraction of 40 °C for 10 min were used, followed by 20 min extraction at the same temperature, and for 10 min at 50 °C. This indicates that the FRAP value is higher when the extraction time is shorter. This finding is also in agreement with Hussain et al. (26). On the other hand, the lowest reducing power of *M. stenopetala* leaf extract was found when the temperature of ultrasound-assisted extraction was 50 °C for 30 min. This might be due to the degradation of bioactive compounds at higher temperature. The effects of the time and temperature of ultrasound-assisted extraction are different for different compounds (19). This is due to the variation of the structural composition of the plant cell that can have effects on the ultrasonic extraction efficiency. Therefore, it is important to find optimum time and temperature of ultrasound-assisted extraction that can improve the extraction efficiency and antioxidant activity.

**Bound TPC and TFC**

Bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds have comparable biological activities with soluble phenolic compounds in terms of anticancer, anti-inflammatory and other positive health effects (42,43). Bound phenolic compounds are covalently bound to the cell wall matrix, thus the alkaline and acid hydrolysis followed by ethyl acetate extraction methods are commonly used to free them from the cell wall matrix and measure the yield (42). The effects of ultrasound-assisted extraction time on free and bound phenolic and flavonoid content were examined and compared with the maceration extraction technique. There were significant differences in bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds (Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b) as the ultrasound-assisted extraction time was increased from 10 min to 30 min.

### Table 2. Antioxidant activity on dry mass basis of *Moringa stenopetala* leaf extracted by ultrasonication at different temperatures and time, and by maceration

| Extraction temperature/°C | t(extraction)/min | w(DPPH as TE)/(mg/g) | w(ABTS as TE)/(mg/g) | w(FRAP as TE)/(mg/g) | w(Chelation as EE)/(mg/g) |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 30                        | 10               | (230.7±2.2)          | (444.4±3.9)          | (121.2±0.6)         | (24.2±0.3)              |
|                           | 20               | (232.2±1.4)          | (498.9±3.9)          | (130.9±0.5)         | (24.5±0.2)              |
|                           | 30               | (257.3±1.8)          | (571.4±4.5)          | (133.1±0.6)         | (25.6±0.4)              |
|                           | 40               | (273.6±0.9)          | (572.7±3.9)          | (141.0±0.5)         | (26.9±0.3)              |
|                           | 10               | (336.5±1.8)          | (581.8±8.1)          | (133.3±0.4)         | (28.4±0.3)              |
|                           | 30               | (260.3±1.8)          | (567.5±5.9)          | (104.9±0.6)         | (26.4±0.3)              |
|                           | 10               | (265.0±1.4)          | (571.4±9.8)          | (133.2±0.8)         | (24.7±0.2)              |
|                           | 20               | (220.7±1.4)          | (549.4±3.9)          | (128.6±0.6)         | (23.3±0.2)              |
|                           | 10               | (166.3±1.8)          | (435.3±8.1)          | (98.3±0.5)          | (22.9±0.3)              |
|                           | 30               | (242.6±0.9)          | (558.6±2.2)          | (128.8±0.4)         | (25.1±0.6)              |

All values were expressed as mean±standard deviation (N=3). Values with different superscript letters in each column indicate significant difference (p<0.05). TE=Trolox equivalents, EE=EDTA equivalents.
The mass fractions of bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds decreased in the residue when the time of ultrasound-assisted extraction was longer (Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b). This might be due to the exposure of the sample to the effect of ultrasonication for longer time, which results in the destruction of cellular structure and the release of the bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds to the solvent (43). As a result, there were fewer bound bioactive compounds in the residues. As stated by Chemat et al. (19), ultrasound-assisted extraction disrupts the cell structure and facilitates the penetration of the solvent into the cell components, which increases the mass transfer. As shown in Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b, the highest mass fractions of bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds, respectively, were obtained in the residue after ultrasound-assisted extraction for 10 min, followed by maceration. This indicates that ultrasound-assisted extraction for 10 min and maceration are not efficient for the destruction of the cell wall matrix of the plant. Consequently, the fewer bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds are released during free phenolic compound extraction. This was also shown by the higher yield of bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds found in the residues after extraction.

**The antioxidant activity of bound phenolic compounds**

As shown in Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b, there are significant differences in the antioxidant activity of the bound phenolic compounds obtained under different conditions of ultrasound-assisted extraction or by maceration. The highest antioxidant activity was found in the residue after ultrasound-assisted extraction for 10 min, followed by the conventionally extracted residue. Moreover, this study indicates that bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds are responsible for the radical scavenging activity. As a result, the higher the mass fraction of bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds, the higher the antioxidant activity (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). The lowest antioxidant activity was obtained in the residues after ultrasound-assisted extraction for 20 and 30 min. This might be due to the release of the amounts of bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds after free phenolic compound extraction. As shown by the higher yield of bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds found in the residues after extraction.

**Fig. 1.** Mass fractions of: a) bound total phenolic (TPC) and b) flavonoid contents (TFC) on dry mass basis of *Moringa stenopetala* leaf expressed as gallic acid (GAE) and catechin (CE) equivalents, respectively, at different extraction time, or by maceration. Mac=maceration. Different letters show significant differences (p<0.05).

![Fig. 1](image1.png)

**Fig. 2.** The antioxidant activity expressed on dry mass basis of bound phenolic compounds extracted from *Moringa stenopetala* leaves by ultrasound-assisted extraction at different extraction time or by maceration determined using: a) DPPH and b) FRAP assay. Mac=maceration, TE=Trolox equivalents. The different letters show significant differences (p<0.05).

![Fig. 2](image2.png)
phenolic compounds comparable to the soluble phenolic compounds extracted during ultrasonication. The ultrasonic disruption of the cell wall leads to the release of more bound polyphenols (37,43). As a result, the residues obtained after ultrasound-assisted extraction had lower yield of bound phenolic compounds when the extraction was done for longer time. Therefore, ultrasound-assisted extraction can also be used for the extraction of bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds from M. stenopetala leaves, consequently maximizing the antioxidant activity of the extract.

Morphological analysis of the samples as affected by the time of ultrasound-assisted extraction

The morphological analysis of the samples showed clear differences from the sample before extraction, which had intact structure (Fig. 3a). The intact structure was disrupted and swollen during conventional (maceration) extraction (Fig. 3b). The degree of disruption of the structural constituents of the sample significantly changed during ultrasound-assisted extraction, especially with the prolongation of the time of extraction (Figs. 3c-3e). This is due to the effects of the ultrasonic wave and vibration (19). The increase of the destruction of the cellular structure of the sample increases the diffusion rate and dissolves the active compounds in the solvent, subsequently improving the yield of bioactive compounds.

As shown in the images (Fig. 3), the effect of ultrasonication on the morphological change of the sample was high at shorter time when compared to maceration. This showed the effectiveness of the ultrasonication for the extraction of bioactive

---

**Fig. 3.** The morphological images of: a) the sample before extraction, b) the residue after maceration, and after: c) 10, d) 20 and e) 30 min of ultrasound-assisted extraction
compounds from the leaves of *M. stenopetala*. According to Altemimi *et al.* (24) and Chemat *et al.* (19), conventional extraction did not show significant structural changes compared to the ultrasound-assisted one. The destruction of the structural constituents shown in the residue was relatively higher when the ultrasound-assisted extraction lasted for 30 min (*Fig. 3e*). As stated by Ma *et al.* (41), the effect of the ultrasonicator is increased with longer exposure time, which may lead to the release of bioactive compounds by increasing the diffusivity of the solvent. On the other hand, severe destruction of the structure may also have significant effects on the release of active compounds due to the exposure to the ultrasonication wave for a long time. As a result, the bioactive compounds may also be destructed or changed chemically into non-extractable forms.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Ultrasound-assisted extraction of *Moringa stenopetala* leaves is an efficient technique that improves the yield of bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity of the extract. The ultrasound-assisted extraction gave higher yield of bioactive compounds within a short time of extraction and required lower energy consumption than maceration. Therefore, it is favourable process for food and pharmaceutical industries. According to this study, the best time and temperature combination is 20 min at 40 °C to get the highest total phenolic and total flavonoid contents with high antioxidant activity. This time and temperature combination was also efficient for extracting the bound phenolic and flavonoid compounds, thus increasing the yield of the bioactive compounds. Further research is required to study the effects of ultrasonic power and frequency on bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity of *Moringa stenopetala* leaf extracts.
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