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Background: Professionalism education is one of the major elements of surgical residency education.
Aims: To evaluate the studies on core professionalism education programs in surgical professionalism education.
Study Design: Systematic review.
Methods: This systematic literature review was performed to analyze core professionalism programs for surgical residency education published in English with at least three of the following features: program developmental model/instructional design method, aims and competencies, methods of teaching, methods of assessment, and program evaluation model or method. A total of 27083 articles were retrieved using EBSCOHOST, PubMed, Science Direct, Web of Science, and manual search.
Results: Eight articles met the selection criteria. The instructional design method was presented in only one article, which described the Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation model. Six articles were based on the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education criterion, although there was significant variability in content. The most common teaching method was role modeling with scenario- and case-based learning. A wide range of assessment methods for evaluating professionalism education were reported. The Kirkpatrick model was reported in one article as a method for program evaluation.
Conclusion: It is suggested that for a core surgical professionalism education program, developmental/instructional design model, aims and competencies, content, teaching methods, assessment methods, and program evaluation methods/models should be well defined, and the content should be comparable.
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Professionalism is briefly defined as a “social contract” with the society, and is a crucial element of medical practice along with the healing role (1). One of the driving forces for defining professionalism in medicine and surgery was a response to the rapid expansion of the concept of commercialism and associated ethics in these professions. Defining professionalism for medical specialties remains somewhat controversial, but it has been proposed that the main professional values include altruism, respect, honesty, integrity, dutifulness, honor, excellence, and accountability (1,2). The definition of professionalism in medicine has been developed by the American Board of Internal Medicine Foundation, American College of Physicians Foundation, and European Federation of Internal Medicine and published as “Medical Professionalism in the New Millennium: A Physician Charter”. Various countries, professional associations, and councils have studied and accepted this code of professionalism (3-8). In addition, university project groups have been established, and conferences have been held on topics, such as the concept of professionalism and its implications in surgical practice and implementation of professionalism education in the field of surgery (9-12). However, despite all the studies on professionalism, defining it remains challenging. Although professionalism education is one of the major concerns in medical and surgical training programs worldwide, the implementation and assessment of these programs remain debatable (13-16). It has been suggested that professionalism education includes character development, and implementation of a professionalism education program has been described as designing to educe latent wisdom” (17,18). Parker et al. (19) proposed an orientation program and commitment to professionalism in their Pyramid of Professionalism education model which was tailored for medical students. To initiate an individual’s commitment to professionalism, we defined for the Core Professionalism Education Program (COPEP), which is a start-up program for the development of an individual’s professional values. A comprehensive surgical education
program should start with COPEP and integrate professionalism throughout the educational period. COPEP can be planned for surgical residents as well as medical students. The aim of this systematic review was to evaluate studies on COPEP in surgery (COPEPS) for surgical residents.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We searched for articles on COPEPS that described a professionalism program conducted during surgical training, including program development model/instructional design methods, aims and competencies, methods of teaching, methods of assessment, and program evaluation model/method. COPEPSs that targeted surgical residents and possessed at least three of the abovementioned characteristics were included.

Review group

The study review group comprised four members: an emeritus member of the academic staff in Pediatric Surgery who was also a philosophiae doctor student in Medical Education, member of the academic staff in Medical Education, instructional designer in Medical Education, and emeritus member of the academic staff in Surgery who was the chair of the Association for the Evaluation and Accreditation of Medical Education Programs in Turkey.

Search strategy

A detailed search was conducted between February 2015 and April 2015, and articles published between January 2005 and January 2015 were included. The start date was chosen as 1 year after the Surgical Task Force on Professionalism began in 2003. The Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) words and search strings used are presented in Table 1. Electronic databases searched included EBSCOHOST, PubMed, Science Direct, and Web of Science. The word “professionalism” was not present in the PubMed MeSH vocabulary; hence, a suggestion was made to National Institutes of Health for its inclusion. The research material was collected in Mendeley under separate folders according to the databases searched and time of search. We also searched the web for gray literature, such as proceedings and congress papers. In addition, a separate manual search of the Journal of Surgical Education, Medical Teacher, Medical Education, Academic Medicine, and Clinical Teacher was conducted. References from 11 outstanding articles and reviews were also manually searched (20).

Selection of eligible articles

The articles were reviewed to select the eligible ones. Articles including at least three components of COPEPS (program developmental model/instructional design method, aims and competencies, methods of teaching, methods of assessment, or program evaluation model/method) were included. Furthermore, both qualitative and quantitative reports were included. Articles that described COPEPSs associated with core surgical professionalism education programs for residents and for others were also included. Articles published in languages other than English and those related to only nonsurgical residency, undergraduate medical education, and continuing medical education programs were excluded. Articles based on programs that claimed of teaching professionalism as a component of other competencies and/or teaching other competencies, such as technical skills (i.e., integrated programs) (21-38); those based on programs that taught only one component of professionalism, such as leadership (39-41); and those based on programs with only one component of program development or instructional design, such as assessment (22,23,42-48) were also excluded. Data were extracted and collated into an Excel program by the first reviewer. The articles were then rechecked by the first reviewer. Next, the selected articles were separately reviewed by two reviewers to screen eligible articles. Intra- and inter-rater agreements were calculated.

RESULTS

A total of 27,083 articles were retrieved from EBSCOHOST, PubMed, Science Direct, Web of Science, and manual search (Table 2). A flow diagram of the systematic review is presented in Figure 1. After eliminating duplicates and screening the articles by titles, the remaining 930 articles were screened for those based on professionalism and surgery. As a result, 342 articles were selected. Then, these articles were reviewed for the exclusion criteria. The first reviewer analyzed 342

| TABLE 1. MeSH words and search strings used in the literature search |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| MeSH/search string                                           |
| #1. Professionalism and surgeon and education               |
| #2. Professionalism and surgical education                  |
| #3. Professionalism and surgical resident                   |
| #4. Professionalism education and surgery                   |
| #5. Professionalism education and surgical education        |
| #6. Professionalism education and surgical resident         |
| #7. Surgical professionalism and education                 |
| #8. Medical professionalism and surgery                     |
| #9. #8 and education                                        |
| #10. Professionalism and surgery                            |
| #11. #10 and vocational training                            |
| #12. #10 and specialty training                             |
| #13. #10 and resident                                       |

MeSH: Medical subject headings
articles twice in the given time range and identified 16 articles
that reported on COPEPS. Intra-rater agreement for the first
reviewer was 97.07% (Cohen’s kappa = 0.532). The 16 articles
selected were reviewed again by the two reviewers to select
the articles containing at least three components of COPEPS.
To ensure reliability throughout the research, intra- and inter-
rater reliability measurements were evaluated. The inter-rater
reliability results showed a high level of agreement between
the two reviewers (Cohen’s kappa = 0.625), indicating that
there was an acceptable agreement between the two reviewers
for most articles (49,50). Eight articles (9,10,51-56) met the
research criteria and were analyzed to delineate COPEPS.
Three of these reported a component shared with COPEPSs for
other residents, faculty, nurses, and students. Details regarding
the analysis of the eight articles are presented in Table 3.

**DISCUSSION**

We concluded that COPEPS is necessary to orient surgical
residents toward the principles of surgical professionalism. The
residents are expected to understand that professionalism is a
social contract and in fact their social contract starts as soon as
they start working as a professional. The implementation of this
core program is therefore crucial. In this systematic review, we
identified eight articles on COPEPS that met the review criteria.
These selected articles covered programs specific to COPEPS
during surgical residency. A combined core component
targeting faculty, residents, nurses, and medical students
was detected in addition to the core component for surgical
residents (54-56). These combined programs could be useful
to nurture teamwork, but the authors suggested that the core
component for surgical residents should not be neglected. Only
one article covered the instructional design method, describing
the Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and
Evaluation model (54). All the selected articles prescribed a
method for COPEPS, although a particular method was not
specified. Six articles specified the Accreditation Council
for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME), whereas one
specified the nine domains of Royal Australian College of
Surgeons as COPEPS criteria. Because it is difficult to define
professionalism, we suggest that the baseline criteria should be
used as a guide for developing COPEPS. Even when the aims
and competencies of COPEPS were based on those specified
by ACGME, a wide range of differences were observed in
their content, except two consecutive articles describing the
same program. We suggest that it is better to develop COPEPS
on the basis of criteria that are universal or associated with
a national professional association or content that completely
defines professionalism, and should be comparable. The
duration of the implemented programs described in the articles
varied from hours to a year. Hochberg et al. (53) preferred to
provide core professionalism education throughout the year,
including surgical residents in their first, second, and third
years of training simultaneously (9,53). Another important
point is to provide COPEPS at the beginning of surgical
residency. In the following years, COPEPS could be supported
by integrated surgical professionalism education or refresher
programs. We believe that for COPEPS, both conditions can be
| Author, year, journal | Duration | Program development/ instructional design model | Aims/ competencies | Content | Teaching methods | Assessment methods | Program evaluation method/ model | Target population |
|-----------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. Bearman et al. (10) 2012, J Surg Educ | Two-day course | - | Based on the nine domains of RACS; 14 learning objectives were specified | Patient-centered skills: (patient-centered communication, professionalism); Inter-professional skills: (communication, teamwork, leadership, and professionalism) | Learning conference; Multisource feedback; Learning needs analysis, Scenario-based learning using SPs, responsive mannequins, and simulated environment; Structured reflection; Debriefing | Questionnaire about the learning objectives and overall experience | Questionnaire for the pedagogical framework, learning materials, and simulation scenarios | Residents from all surgical specialty groups |
| 2. Dorotta et al. (51) 2006, J Clin Anesth | Within system - Based Practice Seminars | - | Based on ACGME Accountability, Humanity, Physicians' well-being, Ethics, Professionalism within anesthesiology, Scientific ethics, Conduct within an anesthesiology group | Presentations, Case-based learning with scenarios, Discussion | | Questionnaire - | Anesthesiology residents |
| 3. Edelstein et al. (52) 2005, J Clin Anesth | Mini course and ethics course | - | Based on ACGME Ethics education and role modeling | For ethics teaching: case-based discussions, journal clubs in bioethics, ethics grand round presentations. For role modeling: promoting role models and mentorship, and conducting interactive sessions | Based on ABIM: 360° evaluation by the faculty, residents, SPs and self-assessment | 360° evaluation by the faculty, staff, patients, and self-assessment | Anesthesiology residents |
| 4. Hochberg et al. (9) 2010, Am J Surg | Throughout the academic year | - | Based on ACGME Advanced communication skills, Admitting mistakes, Delivering bad news, Interdisciplinary respect, Working across language and cultural barriers, Selfcare of the resident and his/her family | Group discussion, Mini lectures, Critiques, Videotapes and video clips, Role play, Team-building activities, Puzzles, Self-awareness exercises | Pre- and post-OSCE | - | Surgical residents in their first, second, and third years of training |
| 5. Hochberg et al. (53) 2012 Am J Surg | Throughout the academic year | - | Based on ACGME Medical malpractice and the surgeon, Advanced communication skills, Admitting mistakes, Delivering bad news, Interdisciplinary respect, Working across language and cultural barriers, Selfcare of the resident and his/her family | Group discussion, Mini lectures, Critiques, Videotapes and video clips, Role play, Team-building activity puzzles, Self-awareness exercises | Study 1: Residents’ self-assessment; Study 2: OSCE over a 3-year period; Study 3: A national survey conducted by SPICE study group and their residents | The three studies are a part of program evaluation | Surgical residents in their first, second, and third years of training |
| Author, year, journal | Duration | Program development/ instructional design model | Aims/ competencies | Content | Teaching methods | Assessment methods | Program evaluation method/model | Target population |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 6. Hultman et al. (54) | 6-week and 12-hour courses | ADDIE | Based on ACGME, American Board of Plastic Surgery, Joint Commission for the Accreditation of Health Care Organizations. Main goals and five objectives were defined | Professionalism in our culture, Leadership styles, Modeling professional behavior, Leadership, Self-management, Leadership while working | 1-2 h self-study before each session, didactic lectures, review of course, readings, small group discussions, journal club, book club | Perception of the course; Multiple-choice test before and after the course; Number of sentinel events as individual unprofessional behaviors; Patient complaints and satisfaction surveys collected before and after the course | Kirkpatrick | Professionalism program for the faculty, residents, nurses, and medical students |
| 7. Khandelwal et al. (56) | 4-hour session | - | - | Tenets of professionalism, Trust, Reliability, Self-regulation, Responsibility toward profession, Inter-professional team work, Leadership, Ethical conduct, e-professionalism | Simulation-based workshop in the flipped classroom format; multimedia self-study package; simulated case scenarios; debriefing; self-reflection; feedback | Pre- and post-workshop survey | Some changes already planned according to pre-post-test design | Residents from surgical and medical disciplines |
| 8. Zabar et al. (55) | 5-6 sessions of 1-hour each | - | Defined as knowledge, skills, and attitudes | Effective communication, Ethical choices, Interacting with culturally diverse populations, Interdisciplinary respect, Delivering bad news, Stress during practice | Video vignettes, Role play, Faculty role modeling, OSCE report cards | Pre- and post-curricular OSCE with SPs | Planned | Surgical and emergency medicine residents |

RACS: Royal Australasian College of Surgeons; OSCE: objective-structured clinical examination; ACGME: Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education; ADDIE: analysis, design, development, implementation, and evaluation; CA: clinical anesthesia; SP: simulated patients
applied, depending on the requirements of surgical residents, provided that the basic principles of professionalism education are followed. The most common teaching methods described were role modeling and scenario- and case-based learning. Other methods included conferences using multisource feedback and learning analysis, discussion, reflection, self-reflection, debriefing, mentorship, self-awareness exercises, team-building activities, role play, journal and book clubs, grand round presentations, and objective-structured clinical examination (OSCE). Other teaching methods included the use of simulated environments, simulated patients, mannequins, videotapes, and video clips. A recently published article also described the use of flipped classrooms. It has been reported that role modeling and mentoring were the most frequently used teaching methods for professionalism education (57,58). The evaluation of professionalism is another important issue (16,18,43,59). The methods of evaluation reported in the articles included OSCE, 360° evaluation, self-assessment, national survey, patient complaint and satisfaction survey, standard patient questionnaires, and multiple-choice questions. However, if surgical residents are expected to develop professional behavior and attitude, it would not be appropriate to use only multiple-choice tests and questionnaires for evaluation. The evaluation of a professionalism education program is also extremely important. The Kirkpatrick model of program evaluation was used in one of the selected articles (60). Other reported methods included questionnaires, 360° evaluation, and pre- and post-test designs. The lack of consensus regarding professionalism education can be regarded as a limitation of this study (13). Teaching professionalism needs a cognitive base, but environment is also important; hence, principles from both cognitive and situated learning theories should be applied (57). Some institutions have tried to achieve this by establishing an institutional culture or ecology of professionalism within the institution through professionalism improvement activities (61-63). Surgical residents interact with other people as a part of a complex system, and education is imparted everywhere. Thus, a core or even an integrated professionalism education program would not be sufficient; it would be better to cultivate a culture of professionalism throughout the clinic and institution. We detected that a complete professionalism education program for surgical residents begin with COPEPS, and that COPEPS should end with a written commitment. Additionally, COPEPS should include the steps of instructional design and should be well defined and elaborately constructed in accordance with the regulations of national and international associations.
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