Role of computed tomography in determining the choice of treatment for delayed ceramic acetabular liner fracture: A case report
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The fracture rate of ceramic components has been reported to be between 0.013% and 1.1% for acetabular liners. Based on the available data, the revision operation after a ceramic component fracture should be performed as soon as possible to avoid spreading of ceramic particles. Revision to metal on polyethylene bearing surface may be considered a salvage option only if thorough synovectomy and pulsatile lavage are performed. The fragments of the failed component are sharp and harder than metal; if left in the articular space, these fragments would act as an abrasive paste, and the use of a metal head against a polyethylene liner in the revision arthroplasty would quickly lead to catastrophic wear and failure of these new total hip implants. The explanation lies in better tribological behavior of the ceramics, particularly high resistance of ceramics to third body wear. At present, there is no consensus on the best bearing surface for revision following fracture of the ceramic component.

Computed tomography (CT) has been suggested if plain radiographs are not diagnostic and there is still suspicion of a ceramic bearing fracture. In this case report, we used CT to detect the location of the ceramic fragments. We acquired this information to evaluate which particles we could reach by thorough synovectomy and this preoperative planning helped us in deciding on our implant choice.

ABSTRACT

Ceramic acetabular liner fracture is a rare complication and requires early revision surgery to avoid abrasive damaging of metal components by ceramic particles. Chromium-cobalt femoral head can be used in revision surgery if only fractured ceramic particles are completely removed because the abrasive effect of ceramic debris is more pronounced on metal head compared to ceramic head. In this article, we report a 50-year-old male patient of delayed acetabular liner fracture in which we used a ceramic head that is more resistant to residue ceramic particles. We assessed the patient by using computed tomography (CT) to locate the ceramic particles. By using CT imaging, we can detect which ceramic particles pose high morbidity risk for debridement.
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CASE REPORT

A 50-year-old male patient was admitted with complaint of pain at the right hip and also limb length shortening. A total right hip prosthesis had been applied in 2012 in another medical center. Patient had a traumatic event in 2014 and after examination and a proper X-ray imaging, acetabular liner fracture was diagnosed. Patient refused the choice of revision hip arthroplasty and continued full weight bearing for three years. In 2017, the patient was accepted to our hospital whose pelvis anteroposterior X-ray visualized a catastrophic acetabular component failure (Figure 1). A written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

On right hip CT imaging at bone window (window level 800 Hounsfield unit [HU] and width 2,400 HU),
there were high-density amorphous morphological sites without clear borders which began within the iliacus muscle at proximal border, continuing within iliopsoas muscle till its distal tendon beginning anterior to hip joint, and advancing to rectus femoris muscle’s proximal portion neighboring to iliopsoas muscle’s distal portion. Same high-density amorphous morphological sites were visualized inside the iliac bone at periacetabular region (Figure 2). We evaluated these amorphous high-density sites with patient’s clinical information as metallosis components. On CT images, we applied “whiting out” (window level 2,500 HU and width 7,000 HU); then, tissues affected by metallosis became less visible while ceramic fragments could be seen more clearly (Figure 3). We detected an oval defect at the superomedial portion of the acetabular shell and femoral head protrusion through acetabular shell component. Also, there were local resorption bone sites at the acetabular roof’s medial and lateral contours. Through the resorption site, we could see the marked protrusion of the head of the prosthesis to iliac fossa. There were multiple high-signal-density particles 1 cm largest in size located at medial and inferior to right hip joint and at the acetabular roof and its medial border indicating ceramic fragments. Also, we could see the same high-signal-density millimetric particles anterior to right hip joint in less numbers (Figure 4).

At preoperative planning based on CT imaging, we concluded that it would not be possible to clean out all the ceramic debris particles safely. Thus we decided to use ceramic on ceramic bearing surface revision hip...
prosthesis which is much more resistant to ceramic debris. Use of extended trochanteric osteotomy led to effortless extraction of protruded femoral stem, then wide exposure for acetabular shell extraction, after enabling the hip joint to be more reachable for debridement of metallosis and ceramic fragments (Figure 5). Reconstruction was performed with medial acetabular defect allograft grafting, PINNACLE® acetabular 56 mm multihole revision cup with two cancellous acetabular screws (Depuy, Warsaw, IN, USA), and BIOLOX® delta ceramic acetabular liner (CeramTec GmbH, Plochingen, Germany). Femoral side was reconstructed with long-stemmed extensively coated 13.5 mm cementless revision stem (Solution™ DePuy, Warsaw IN, USA), BIOLOX® delta 36 mm +1.5 ceramic head, and extended trochanteric osteotomy fixation with Dall-Miles cable grip. Ceramic fragments were still present at early postoperative radiography (Figure 6). There was no indication for a postoperative control CT, as exact localization of ceramic fragments was not required for follow-up treatment.

Early postoperatively, patient was followed-up with non-weight bearing for six weeks followed by partial weight bearing between six and nine weeks continued with full weight bearing. There were no early postoperative complications.

At two-year follow-up examination, Harris hip score was 96.65% with no evidence of osteolysis or implant deformity (Figure 7).

**DISCUSSION**

Of all bearing surface options for total hip revision replacement at ceramic liner fracture, polyethylene on metal can be used if a complete and thorough synovectomy can be performed. For appropriate
synovectomy, extensive exposure for entire pseudocapsule and synovium excision technique have been described. However, in massive metallosis due to ceramic fracture, even with extensive debridement, the pelvis cannot be cleaned safely from metallosis and ceramic fragments.

Likewise, in this case, even though using extended trochanteric osteotomy to gain greater exposure for hip joint even though we used extended trochanteric osteotomy to gain greater exposure for hip joint, because some of the ceramic fragments were at highly risky anatomic locations, we ended the operation without cleaning up all the ceramic fragments.

To remove all the fractured ceramic fragments, the principles of tumor surgery would have to be applied. Since it is impractical to enforce this requirement in revision endoprosthetics, it should always be expected that ceramic particles would remain in situ.

X-ray images prior to the revision surgery are used to show the position of fractured components. However, plain X-ray imaging is not sufficient for delayed acetabular liner fractures since particles might spread until the time of diagnosis. For avoiding misinterpretation and detecting the exact locations of the particles at the hip joint, we need assistance from three-dimensional imaging like CT. Computed tomography scan can be used for early diagnosis at occult ceramic liner fractures, contemporarily to ascertain the presence of ceramic fragments eventually not visible with traditional X-ray. Also, CT can show concomitant bone defects around the implants. Computed tomography scan at the standard “bone window” can mask the visualization of ceramic fracture fragments, while “whiting out” technique decreases the streak artifact from the hyperdense titanium and less dense ceramic fragments can be visualized. In this case, we used the whiting out technique to decrease the high-signal density caused by metallosis. Ceramic particles are more easily identified on CT images. This gives us the possibility to establish a more realistic preoperative planning.

In conclusion, in delayed cases, the ceramic particles that are deposited in periarticular tissues can be detected with the help of CT, which helps in planning the extent of the surgical debridement area and the choice of the bearing surface to be used.
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