Non-targeted metabolomics identify polyamine metabolite acisoga as novel biomarker for reduced left ventricular function
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Abstract

Aims Chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction remains a major health issue. To date, no reliable biomarker is available to predict reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LV-EF). We aimed to identify novel circulating biomarkers for reduced left ventricular function using untargeted serum metabolomics in two independent patient cohorts.

Methods and results Echocardiography and non-targeted serum metabolomics were conducted in two patient cohorts with varying left ventricular function: (1) 25 patients with type 2 diabetes with established cardiovascular disease or high cardiovascular risk (LV-EF range 20–66%) (discovery cohort) and (2) 37 patients hospitalized for myocardial infarction (LV-EF range 25–60%) (validation cohort). In the discovery cohort, untargeted metabolomics revealed seven metabolites performing better than N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in the prediction of impaired left ventricular function shown by LV-EF. For only one of the metabolites, acisoga, the predictive value for LV-EF could be confirmed in the validation cohort (r = −0.37, P = 0.02). In the discovery cohort, acisoga did not only correlate with LV-EF (r = −60, P = 0.0016), but also with global circumferential strain (r = 0.67, P = 0.0003) and global longitudinal strain (r = 0.68, P = 0.0002). Similar results could be detected in the discovery cohort in a 6 month follow-up proving stability of these results over time. With an area under the curve of 0.86 in the receiver operating characteristic analysis, acisoga discriminated between patients with normal EF and LV-EF < 40%. Multivariate analysis exposed acisoga as independent marker for impairment of LV-EF (Beta = −0.71, P = 0.004).

Conclusions We found the polyamine metabolite acisoga to be elevated in patients with impaired LV-EF in two independent cohorts. Our analyses suggest that acisoga may be a valuable biomarker to detect patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction.

Keywords Heart failure; HFrEF; Ejection fraction; Biomarker; Metabolomics; Polyamine metabolism

Introduction

Chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) remains one of the major health issues in the world.1 Patients suffering from HFrEF are frequently hospitalized for acute heart failure decompensation and show a 5 year mortality above 75%.2 HFrEF is defined by heart failure symptoms and left ventricular ejection fraction (LV-EF) < 40%.1 N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) serves as a gold standard biomarker for acute decompensated HFrEF. However, it may also be elevated in heart failure patients with mid-range and preserved ejection fraction.
(HFrmEF and HFPcEF), tachycardia, and valvular heart disease. NT-proBNP exhibits a higher negative than a positive predictive values in patients with non-decompensated, stable disease. Thus, it reliably allows to rule out suspected heart failure but poorly predicts LV-EF. NT-proBNP therefore is not a valuable indicator for left ventricular function, especially in acute decompensated heart failure. To date, no laboratory biomarker for LV-EF prediction is available. Advances in high-throughput technologies, including untargeted metabolomics, have given us novel insights into biomarker discovery. Thus, circulating metabolites may be valuable for screening of impaired LV-EF as well as guidance of clinical decisions. Moreover, by uncovering modulated biomarkers, untargeted metabolomics might give new insights into underlying pathomechanisms of heart failure and therefore into new therapeutic strategies in the future.

In this hypothesis-generating pilot study, we aimed to identify novel circulating biomarkers for LV-EF impairment using untargeted serum metabolomics in two independent patient cohorts.

**Methods**

**Discovery cohort**

Patients with diabetes mellitus type 2 as well as cardiovascular disease (reduced LV-EF by ischemic cardiomyopathy with no coronary event in the past three months) were recruited at RWTH Aachen University hospital between 12/2015 and 07/2016 as described before (NCT03131232, approved by the ethics committee of the RWTH Aachen Medical Faculty; all patients gave informed consent). Serum collection, recording of clinical data, and echocardiography were performed at baseline. Forty-two patients were included, 17 individuals had to be excluded from the metabolomics analysis due to lack of serum collection, lack of echocardiography, or withdrawal of consent. Following initial echocardiography and serum collection patients received 10 mg empagliflozin per day. After 6 months, follow-up echocardiography and serum collection were performed within 13 patients of the cohort. Twelve patients had to be excluded due to lack of serum collection, discontinuation of study-medication, lack of echocardiography, or withdrawal of consent. Due to the exploratory character of this study, the sample size was not determined by statistical methods. Baseline data are provided in Table 1. Strobe diagram is shown in Figure S1.

**Validation cohort**

Patients hospitalized for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction or non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction were included in this cohort between 02/2015 and 04/2016 at Tor Vergata University of Rome, Italy. All subjects gave written informed consent. Forty patients were enrolled in the study; 3 patients had to be excluded to various reasons. The study was approved by the local ethical committee ('AMIDIAB study 28.04/2008'). Serum, clinical data, and echocardiographic data were collected. Only male patients were included in this cohort. Baseline data are provided in Table 2. Strobe diagram is shown in Figure S2.

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics of the discovery cohort

| Parameter                  | LV-EF ≥ 50% | LV-EF 40–49% | LV-EF < 40% | P value |
|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Number                     | 14          | 6            | 5           | 0.98    |
| Age, years                 | 64 ± 10.36  | 65 ± 10.12   | 64 ± 11.09  | <0.0001 |
| Female (%)                 | 21          | 33           | 0           |         |
| BMI, kg/m²                 | 31 ± 4.06   | 31.5 ± 4.18  | 33.8 ± 8.79 | 0.60    |
| HbA1c, mmol/mol            | 67.99 ± 8.87| 68.12 ± 11.69| 73.12 ± 10.25| 0.76    |
| Creatinine, µmol/L         | 93.7 ± 20.33| 86.63 ± 13.26| 93.7 ± 29.17| 0.84    |
| eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m²       | 79.69 ± 16.56| 79.23 ± 11.5 | 77.48 ± 22.88| 0.97    |
| Serum Glucose, mmol/L      | 10.7 ± 2.6  | 11.1 ± 2.5   | 12.3 ± 2.8  | 0.50    |
| NT-proBNP, pg/mL           | 466.1 ± 660.9| 345.6 ± 157.4| 2,348 ± 2,735| 0.02    |
| HDL cholesterol, mmol/L    | 1.02 ± 0.21 | 1.09 ± 0.33  | 0.84 ± 0.31 | 0.28    |
| Sodium, mmol/L             | 136.8 ± 3.24| 138.7 ± 1.97 | 135.2 ± 3.70| 0.20    |
| LV-EF, %                   | 62.0 ± 3.67 | 45.3 ± 3.01  | 28.4 ± 8.08 | <0.0001 |
| GCS, %                     | −0.21 ± 1.37| −0.27 ± 2.53 | −0.18 ± 3.87| <0.0001 |
| GLS, %                     | −29.21 ± 2.23| −24.00 ± 2.83| −16.40 ± 6.12| <0.0001 |
| LV-mass, g                 | 117.6 ± 25.67| 102.7 ± 11.06| 109.4 ± 20.7| 0.38    |
| E/E                         | 7.79 ± 3.29 | 14.00 ± 4.56 | 7.40 ± 7.89 | 0.03    |
| TAPSE, mm                  | 20.7 ± 2.7  | 20 ± 0       | 16.0 ± 8.9  | 0.13    |
| RVSP, mmHg                 | 25.86 ± 4.85| 26.83 ± 6.77 | 30.80 ± 3.53| 0.30    |

Baseline characteristics of the patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus included in the discovery cohort. Analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA or χ² test. Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation. Significant values (P < 0.05) are bold. BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GCS, global circumferential strain; GLS, global longitudinal strain; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; LV-EF, left ventricular ejection fraction; RVSP, right ventricular systolic pressure; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion.
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Non-targeted metabolomics

Metabolomics analysis of serum samples were performed as described previously. After collection, samples were stored at −80°C. Prior to analysis at the Helmholtz Zentrum München (Germany), samples were thawed on ice and randomized on the day of extraction.

A 100 μL of the serum were pipetted into a 2 mL 96-well plate together with 100 μL of human reference EDTA plasma (Seralab, West Sussex, UK) and 100 μL human reference Serum (Seralab, West Sussex, UK). These samples were used as technical replicates throughout the data set in order to assess process variability. As process blank, water samples were used and placed into six wells of each plate.

After protein precipitation, the metabolites were extracted with 475 μL methanol, containing four recovery standard compounds to monitor the extraction efficiency. The supernatant after centrifugation was split into four aliquots of 100 μL onto two 96-well microplates. Two aliquots were used for analysis by two separate reversed-phase/ultra-performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (RP/UPLC–MS/MS) methods with positive ion mode electrospray ionization (ESI), one for analysis by RP/UPLC–MS/MS with negative ion mode ESI and one for analysis by (HILIC)/UPLC-MS/MS with negative ion mode ESI. The samples were dried on a TurboVap 96 (Zymark, Sotax, Löffach, Germany) and reconstituted with 50 μL formic acid (FA) 0.1% prior to UPLC-MS/MS in positive ion mode, and with 50 μL of 6.6 mM ammonium bicarbonate (pH 8.0) prior to analysis with negative ion mode. Both solvents contained internal standards that allowed monitoring of instrument performance and served as retention reference markers.

In order to minimize human error, all handling with liquids was performed on an automated MicroLab STAR® robot (Hamilton Bonaduz AG, Bonaduz, Switzerland). UPLC-MS/MS analysis was performed on a Q Exactive high-resolution/accurate mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific GmbH, Dreieich, Germany) combined with a Waters Acquity UPLC system (Waters GmbH, Eschborn, Germany)

Samples of the discovery cohort

Before the UPLC-MS/MS runs, the dried samples were reconstituted with 80 μL of solvents, which are compatible to each of the methods. Every solvent contained several standards at fixed concentrations to guarantee injection and chromatographic consistency. One aliquot chromatographically optimized for more hydrophilic compounds was analysed using acidic positive ion conditions. The extract was gradient eluted from a C18 column (Waters UPLC BEH C18-2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 μ) by using water and methanol containing 0.05% perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPA) and 0.1% FA. One aliquot chromatographically optimized for more hydrophobic compounds was analysed using acidic positive ion conditions. The extract was again eluted from a C18 column using water, methanol, acetonitrile, 0.05% PFPA, and 0.01% FA operating at an overall higher organic content. The third aliquot was analysed using a separate dedicated C18 column using basic negative ion optimized conditions. The basic extracts were gradient eluted from the column using methanol, water and 6.5 mM ammonium bicarbonate at pH 8. The UPLC gradient elution was developed linear from 0.5% to 70% methanol over 4 min, then to a gradient of 99% methanol in 0.5 min with a constant flow rate of 350 μL/min. The fourth aliquot was analysed using a gradient of water (15%), methanol (5%) and acetonitrile (80%) with 10 mM ammonium formate (pH 10.8) and a mixture of 50% water and 50% acetonitrile via negative ionization following elution from a HILIC column (Waters UPLC BEH Amide 2.1 × 150 mm, 1.7 μ). UPLC separation was developed on a linear gradient from 5% to 50% of the water/acetonitrile–water mixture in 3.5 min followed by a linear gradient from 50% to 95% of the mixture in 2 min at a constant flow rate of 500 μL/min. The MS analysis alternated between MS and
data-dependent MS scans using dynamic exclusion. They covered 70–1000 m/z but varied slightly between methods.

**Samples of the validation cohort**

Prior to UPLC-MS/MS in positive ion mode samples were reconstituted with 50 μL of 0.1% formic acid and those analysed in negative ion mode with 50 μL of 6.5 mM ammonium bicarbonate at pH 8.0. Further internal standards allowing monitoring of instrument performance and serving as retention reference markers were present in the reconstitution solvents for both ionization modes. Two separate columns (2.1 × 100 mm Waters BEH C18 1.7 μm particle) were used for mobile phase conditions. Acidic conditions using 0.1% FA in water (Solvent A) and 0.1% FA in methanol (Solvent B) were used providing optimized conditions for positive ESI. Basic conditions using 6.5 mM ammonium bicarbonate at pH 8.0 (Solvent A) and 6.5 mM ammonium bicarbonate in 95% methanol (Solvent B) were used for negative ESI. Sample extracts were injected, and the columns were developed in a gradient of 99.5% Solvent A to 98% Solvent B at 350 μL/min flow rate in a run time of 11 min. Eluent flow was directly connected to the ESI source of the mass spectrometer. Data dependent MS/MS scans with dynamic exclusion and full scan mass spectra (80–1000 m/z) were recorded in turns.

For both cohorts, raw data were extracted using Metabolon’s hardware and software (Metabolon, Inc., USA). Metabolites were annotated by curation of the LC-MS/MS data against proprietary Metabolon’s chemical database library (Metabolon, Inc., USA). All mentioned metabolites were detected at the highest level of metabolite identification (Level 1, Tier 1) according to the Metabolon’s proprietary standards.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed and visualized using GraphPad Prism 9.0 and MetaboAnalyst 4.0. Statistical analyses were performed using correlation analysis, simple linear regression, receiver operating characteristic (ROC), two tailed t test, and ANOVA, when appropriate. Data are presented as means ± standard deviation (SD). P values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. Metabolomics data were corrected for multiple testing by false discovery rate.

**Results**

We explored non-targeted serum metabolomics of 25 diabetic cardiovascular high-risk patients (discovery cohort) to identify novel biomarkers and pathways associated to reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LV-EF). The cohort was divided into three groups according to the LV-EF ranges of normal LV-EF, HFrEF, and HFrEF: LV-EF ≥ 50%, LV-EF 40–49%, and LV-EF < 40% (*Table 1*). The LV-EF means of each group were as followed: 62.0 ± 3.7%, 45.3 ± 3.0%, and 28.4 ± 8.1%. As expected, global circumferential strain (GCS), global longitudinal strain (GLS) (both P < 0.0001), and NT-proBNP (P = 0.02) differed significantly among the groups. Patients were 64 ± 10, 65 ± 10, and 64 ± 11 years old (P = 0.98). Of all other baseline characteristics only gender was not homogenously distributed (P < 0.0001). No significant difference could be observed for body mass index (BMI), Hba1C, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) (*Table 1*).

By non-targeted metabolomics, we measured 1269 serum metabolites (863 chemically identified and 406 not identified). NT-proBNP was included in the analysis as an established cardiac biomarker. Of 1269 measured, eight already identified metabolites significantly correlated with LV-EF (P < 0.05, false discovery rate <0.2) also revealing a strongly negative correlation to left ventricular strain (*Figure 1A* and 1B). Seven identified metabolites performed better than NT-proBNP (*Figure 1A*). Analysis of variance between patients with LV-EF <40% (HFrEF), LV-EF 40–50% (HFmrEF) and patients with LV-EF >50% revealed that ribitol and lactate were also increased in patients with LV-EF 40–49%, while all other biomarkers were only increased in patients with an LV-EF below 40% (*Figure 1C*). Although differences in single metabolites between the LV-EF groups were noticed, no separation of the groups was observed by principal component analysis of the metabolome (*Figure S3*).

To validate our findings in an independent cohort, we studied non-targeted serum metabolomics of 37 patients referred to the hospital for myocardial infarction (validation cohort). Patients were 61 ± 12 years (LV-EF ≥ 50%), 57 ± 10 years (LV-EF 40–49%), and 62 ± 12 years (LV-EF < 40%) old. Mean LV-EF were as followed: 53.1 ± 3.70%, 44.00 ± 2.11%, and 31.67 ± 4.08%. A significant difference in diabetes mellitus and myocardial infarction status (non ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction vs. ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction) was present between the LV-EF tertiles of the cohort. No difference was observed for age, gender, eGFR, HDL, and LDL (*Table 2*). In this cohort, only acisoga of the previously identified metabolites confirmed its significant correlation to LV-EF (r = −0.38, P = 0.0207) (*Figure 2A*). In patients with LV-EF < 40% levels of acisoga were significantly increased (P = 0.04, *Figure 2B*).

In the discovery cohort, acisoga showed a strong correlation to LV-EF (r = −0.60, P = 0.0016) with discrimination of patients with normal LV-EF, HFmrEF, and HFrEF (P = 0.009) (*Figure 3A*). Moreover, ROC analysis for the detection of LV-EF below 40% revealed an area under the curve of 0.86 (*Figure 3A*). Acisoga also strongly correlated with global longitudinal (GLS) (r = 0.68, P = 0.0002) and circumferential strain (GCS) (r = 0.67, P = 0.0003) as additional markers for left ventricular function (*Figure 3B*). Moreover, a significant correla-
Figure 1  Serum metabolomics reveal biomarkers for reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LV-EF) in the discovery cohort. (A) Serum metabolomics performed in the discovery cohort (n = 25). Top-metabolites showing a significant Pearson correlation to LV-EF (P < 0.05) corrected for multiple testing by false discovery rate (FDR < 0.2). (B) Correlation heat-map showing the seven discovered metabolites and the coefficient of determination ($r^2$) to echocardiographic parameters (LV-EF, GLS, GCS, LV-mass, E/e0, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, RVSP) and N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide (NT-pro-BNP). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. GCS, global circumferential strain; GLS, global longitudinal strain; LV-EF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LV-mass, left ventricular mass; RVSP, right ventricular systolic pressure; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion. (C) Difference in serum metabolites according to LV-EF groups: LV-EF ≥ 50%, LV-EF 40–49%, and LV-EF < 40%. The quantity of the metabolites is shown in fold change or pg/mL (NT-proBNP). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-test.

| metabolite                  | r (to LV-EF) | p-value | FDR |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------|-----|
| vanillic acid sulfate       | -0.71        | 0.0001  | 0.04|
| tyrosine, oxidized          | -0.68        | 0.0002  | 0.07|
| unidentified (X + 12726)    | -0.67        | 0.0003  | 0.09|
| N-acetyl-sparte-glutamate   | -0.66        | 0.0004  | 0.08|
| unidentified (X + 24670)    | -0.63        | 0.0001  | 0.08|
| tyrosine-O-sulfate          | -0.63        | 0.0007  | 0.13|
| ribitol                     | -0.62        | 0.0009  | 0.14|
| unidentified (X + 24473)    | -0.61        | 0.0011  | 0.15|
| unidentified (X + 22162)    | -0.61        | 0.0012  | 0.15|
| lactate                     | -0.60        | 0.0016  | 0.16|
| acisoga                     | -0.60        | 0.0016  | 0.16|
| NT-proBNP                   | -0.60        | 0.0016  | 0.16|
| theobromine                 | -0.56        | 0.0021  | 0.19|

Figure 2  Confirmation of acisoga as biomarker for heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) in a validation cohort. (A) Correlation (Pearson) of acisoga and left ventricular ejection fraction (LV-EF) in the validation cohort, n = 37. (B) Acisoga is significantly enhanced in patients with HFrEF (LV-EF < 40%) compared with patients with normal LV-EF. *P < 0.05 by unpaired two-sided t test.
tion between acisoga and tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, as indicator for right ventricular function, could be observed. No correlation was noticed for right ventricular systolic pressure, E/e₀, and LV-mass (Figure 1B).

After initial serum collection and echocardiography at baseline, patients of the discovery cohort received 10 mg empagliflozin per day. Echocardiography and blood sampling, including the same untargeted metabolomics approach, were repeated after 6 months. LV-EF, GCS, and GLS remained stable in this cohort. A trend towards an improvement of GCS after empagliflozin treatment (P = 0.0532) could be noticed. In accordance with this observation, levels of acisoga were relatively stable over time with a small, but significant, decrease after 6 months, which may reflect improvement of GCS. However, the correlation of acisoga to LV-EF, GLS, and GCS remained significant in this follow-up (Figure S4).

In a multivariate regression analysis correcting for age, eGFR, BMI, HbA1c, and gender, acisoga (Beta = −0.71, P = 0.004) remained an independent predictor for LV-EF in the discovery cohort at baseline (Table S1). Similar was observed in the validation cohort, where acisoga remained an independent LV-EF predictor (Beta = −0.38, P = 0.04) in a multivariate regression analysis corrected for age, BMI, eGFR, diabetes mellitus, and myocardial infarction status (Table S2).

Discussion

Acute and chronic heart failure remain severe, potentially life-threatening diseases with an enormous impact on both mortality and morbidity of patients. Unfortunately, to date no reliable laboratory test predicting the left ventricular function has been discovered. Established biomarkers, such as NT-proBNP, may also be elevated in response to other decompensated heart diseases independently of LV-EF. Untargeted metabolomics are an emerging and innovative tool to detect new biomarkers and to uncover disease pathways in cardiovascular research. Differences in the metabolism between non-heart failure, HFpEF, and HFrEF patients and therefore varying LV-EF have been shown via targeted metabolomics. These findings emphasize metabolomics as a promising tool to detect appropriate biomarkers for LV-EF deterioration. In this study, we ex-
explored metabolomics of two different cohorts to unmask novel biomarkers for impaired left ventricular function. Although detecting several candidates by an untargeted metabolomics approach in a discovery cohort, only one of the metabolites predicting LV-EF could be confirmed in the validation cohort. We found acisoga, a metabolite of the polyamine metabolism, to be enhanced in patients with LV-EF < 40% correlating significantly not only with LV-EF but also with several other echocardiographic parameters of ventricular function, like GLS or GCS.\(^{18,19}\) Even though it was one of few metabolites discriminating successfully between heart failure groups, it was the only metabolite showing reliability in two different cohorts and also a stability over time. Notably, acisoga’s correlation to LVEF was independent of renal function, diabetes mellitus, age, and gender. Acisoga [IUPAC name: \(N\)-(3-(2-oxopyrrolidin-1-yl)propyl)acetamide; HMDB ID: HMDB0061384; CAS Registry Number 106692-36-8] is an organic compound belonging to the chemical group of \(n\)-alkylpyrrolidines.\(^{20}\) Acisoga is part of the polyamine metabolism and the catabolic product of spermidine via N1-acetylspermidine.\(^{16,21}\) It originates from arginine, which is transformed to \(L\)-ornithin by arginase and further decarboxylized to spermidine precursor putrescine (Figure 4).\(^{21}\) Although the biological function of this metabolite remains to be elucidated, it is known to be increased in obesity and to some extend predict the occurrence of atrial fibrillation.\(^{22,23}\) In mouse models of heart failure by left anterior descending ligation and transverse aortic constriction, Sansbury \textit{et al.} observed an elevation of the acisoga precursors spermidine and putrescine in an untargeted metabolomics analysis of cardiac tissue. Both metabolites significantly correlated with echocardiographic LV-EF in this model.\(^{24}\) Moreover, Meana \textit{et al.} found a significant correlation between N1-acetylspermidine, another precursor of acisoga, and LV-EF in human heart tissue of patients undergoing cardiac surgery involving extracorporeal circulation. Even though the sample size in this study was small, it still indicates an alteration of polyamine metabolism in heart failure and fits our findings.\(^{25}\) The fact that spermidine, putrescine, and N1-acetylspermidine were increased in failing cardiac tissue indicates enhanced polyamine metabolism in heart failure increases serum levels of acisoga rather than impaired degradation.\(^{24,25}\) In another untargeted metabolomics approach, acisoga was found to be an independent biomarker to discriminate pulmonary arterial hypertension patients from healthy individuals.\(^{26}\) Patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension mostly have normal left ventricular function, but frequently exhibit reduced right ventricular function. In our cohort, acisoga correlated also with tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion. These findings suggest that acisoga might be elevated also due to right ventricular dysfunction. Echocardiographic imaging however is limited in exact prediction of RV-function. Other imaging techniques, for example MRI, enable a more precise characterization of the right ventricular function.\(^{27}\) Moreover, heart failure patients frequently have combined LV and RV dysfunction. We can only speculate, but our findings may indicate that acisoga rather predicts global ventricular function rather than LV-EF alone.

Interestingly, acisoga has previously been detected in urine.\(^{28,29}\) We found that levels of acisoga predicted LV-EF independently of renal function. Further studies must evaluate whether urinary acisoga reflects serum levels and whether it has also predictive value for left ventricular function.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{Figure_4.png}
\caption{Synthetic pathway of acisoga. Arginine is transformed to \(L\)-ornithin by arginase and further decarboxylated via ornithin decarboxylase to putrescine. Putrescine is then transformed to spermidine via spermidine synthetase. Both, spermidine and putrescine can be transformed to N1-acetylspermidine via sperminidine-spermine-N1-acetyl transferase or polyamine oxidase. N1-acetylspermidine is then oxidated to acisoga via amine oxidase.}
\end{figure}
Our study has limitations. Due to the exploratory character of this study, two cohorts with dissimilar patient characteristics were compared. Nonetheless, both independent cohorts revealed a similar link between acisoga and left ventricular function. Our data provide novel evidence for altered polyamine metabolism in heart failure, which is in consensus with previous investigations. We only included a limited number of patients. Thus, this explorative study needs to be confirmed with a targeted analysis of acisoga in a larger cohort containing patients with normal as well as reduced EF, (potentially also reduced right ventricular function) as well as different diabetes status. Multivariate analyses in both of our cohorts, however, imply an independent up-regulation of acisoga in heart failure patients. To develop potential therapeutic targets, it is crucial to uncover the exact molecular pathways of polyamine metabolism in heart failure by basic research.

In conclusion, acisoga, a metabolite of the polyamine metabolism, may represent a new potential biomarker to rapidly detect impaired left ventricular function and serve as a follow-up marker to predict LV-EF deterioration or improvement in heart failure patients.
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Figure S1. STROBE diagram of the discovery cohort.
Figure S2. STROBE diagram of the validation cohort.
Figure S3. Acisoga is a stable biomarker for left ventricular function over time. A) LV-EF (%), GLS (%), GCS (%) and acisoga (fold-changes) between patients at baseline and 6 months follow-up after empagliflozin treatment (10 mg o.d.) in the discovery cohort (n = 13). *: P < 0.05 by Paired Student’s t-tests. LV-EF = left ventricular ejection fraction; GCS = global circumferential strain; GLS = global longitudinal strain B) Correlation (Pearson) analysis between left ventricular function (LV-EF, GLS and GCS) and acisoga.

Figure S4. Principal component analysis of metabolomics. Principal component analysis plot of the metabolome data set of the discovery cohort at baseline. Red dots = LV-EF ≥50%, green dots = LV-EF 40–49%, blue dots = LV-EF < 40%.

Table S1. Multivariate analysis shows correlation of Acisoga to LV-EF independently of baseline characteristics in patients of the discovery cohort. n = 25; Significant p-values are bold. LV-EF = left ventricular ejection fraction, BMI = Body mass index, GFR = glomerular filtration rate, HbA1c = haemoglobin A1c.

Table S2. Multivariate analysis shows correlation of Acisoga to LV-EF independently of baseline characteristics in patients of the validation cohort. n = 37; Significant p-values are bold. LV-EF = left ventricular ejection fraction, BMI = Body mass index, STEMI = ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, NSTEMI = non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.
References

1. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Celldén JGF, Coats AJS, Falk V, González-Juanatey JR, Harjola VP, Jankowska EA, Jessup M, Linde C, Nihoyannopoulos P, Parissis JT, Pieske B, Riley JP, Rosano GMC, Ruilope LM, Ruschitzka F, Rutten FH, van der Meer P, 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail. 2016; 18: 891–975.

2. Shah KS, Xu H, Matsouaka RA, Bhatt DL, Heidenreich PA, Hernandez AF, DeVore AD, Yancy CW, Fonarow GC. Heart failure with preserved, borderline, and reduced ejection fraction: 5-year outcomes. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017; 70: 2476–2486.

3. Martinsson A, Oest P, Wiborg MB, Reitan Ö, Smith JG. Longitudinal evaluation of ventricular ejection fraction and N-Terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide heart failure subgroups. Scand Cardiovasc J. 2018; 52: 205–210. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29656687/.

4. Zaphiriou A, Robb S, Murray-Thomas T, Roberts E, Ludman AJ, Dworzynski K, Heidenreich PA, Hernandez AF, Devore AD, Yancy CW, Fonarow GC. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction and NT-proBNP in patients referred from primary care with suspected heart failure: Results of the UK natriuretic peptide study. Eur J Heart Fail. 2005; 7: 537–541. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15921792/.

5. Roberts E, Ludman AJ, Dworzynski K, Al-Mohammad A, Cowie MR, McMurray JV, Mant J. The diagnostic accuracy of the natriuretic peptides in heart failure: Systematic review and diagnostic meta-analysis in the acute care setting. BMJ. 2015; 350: h190.

6. Parovic M, Okwo-Beckoe HC, Bailey K, Velick I, Fras Z, Seferovic PM, MacGowan GA, Jakovljevic DG. NT-proBNP is a weak indicator of cardiac function and haemodynamic response to exercise in chronic heart failure. ESC Heart Failure. 2019; 6: 449–454.

7. Gerszten RE, Wang TJ. The search for new cardiovascular biomarkers. Nature. 2008; 451: 949–952. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/nature06802.

8. Kappel BA, Lehrke M, Schütt K, Artati A, Adamski J, Lebherz C, Marx N. Effect of empagliflozin on the metabolic signature of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease. Circulation. 2017; 136: 969–972. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28874423/.

9. Kappel BA, Moellmann J, Thiele K, Rau M, Artati A, Adamski J, Ghesquiere B, Schuett K, Romeo F, Stoehr R, Marx N, Federici M, Lehrke M. Human and mouse non-targeted metabolomics identify 1,5-anhydroglucitol as SGLT2-dependent glycemic marker. Clin Transl Med. 2021; 11: e470. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/ctm2.470.

10. Kappel BA, de Angelis L, Heiser M, Ballanti M, Stoehr R, Goettsch C, Mallivo M, Artati A, Paoluzi OA, Adamski J, MIngrome G, Stoaels B, Burcelin R, Monteolone G, Menghini R, Marx N, Federici M. Crossomics analysis revealed gut microbiome-related metabolic pathways underlying atherosclerosis development after antibiotics treatment. Mol Metab. 2020; 36: 100976.

11. Cardellini M, Ballanti M, Davato F, Cardioli I, Guglielmi V, Rizza S, Pecchioli C, Casagrande V, Mallivo M, Porzio O, Legramante JM, Impoliti A, Farcomeni A, Sbraccia P, Menghini R, Dumas MA, Kats L, Fossati M. 2-hydroxyacetone predicts cardiovascular mortality in patients with atherosclerotic disease. Atherosclerosis. 2018; 277: 179–185. Available from: https://www. atherosclerosis-journal.com/article/article/S0021915018302625/fulltext.

12. Summer LW, Amberg A, Barrett D, Beale MH, Beger R, Daykin CA, Fan TW-M, Fiehn O, Goodacre R, Griffin JL, Hankemeier T, Hardy N, Harly J, Higashi R, Kopka J, Lane AN, Lindon JC, Marriott P, Nicholls AW, Reily MD, Thaden JJ, Viant MR. Proposed minimum reporting standards for chemical analysis. Metabolomics. 2007; 3: 211–221. 10.1007/s11306-008-0082-2.

13. Schrimpe-Rutledge AC, Codreanu SG, Sherrod SD, McLean JA. Untargeted metabolomics strategies–challenges and emerging directions. J Am Soc Mass Spectr. 2016; 27: 1897. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC510944/.

14. Xia J, Wishart DS. Using metaboanalyst 3.0 for comprehensive metabolomics data analysis. Curr Protocol Bioinform. 2016; 2016: 14.10.1–14.10.91. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27603023/.

15. McGarrah RW, Crown SB, Zhang GF, Shah SH, Newgard CB. Cardiovascular metabolomics. Circ Res. 2018; 122: 1238–1258. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29700070/.

16. Hunter WG, Kelly JP, McGarrah RW, Khouri MG, Craig D, Haynes C, Ilkayeva O, Stevens RD, Bain JR, Muelhbejer MJ, Newgard CB, Felker GM, Hernandez AF, Velazquez EJ, Kraus WE, Shag SH. Metabolic profiling identifies novel circulating biomarkers of mitochondrial dysfunction differentially elevated in heart failure with preserved versus reduced ejection fraction: evidence for shared metabolic impairments in clinical heart failure. J Am Heart Assoc. 2016; 5: e003190.

17. Zordony BK, Sung MM, Ezekowitz J, Mandal R, Han B, Bjornsdal TC, Bouatara S, Anderson T, Oudit GY, Wishart DS, Dyck JRB. Metabolomic fingerprint of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10: e0124844.

18. van den Berg GA, Kingma AW, Elzinga H, Muskiet FAJ. Determination of N-(3-acetamidopropyl)pyrrolidin-2-one, a metabolite of spermidine, in urine by isotope dilution mass fragmentography. J ChromatogrB: Biomed Sci Appl 1986; 383: 251–258.

19. Pegg AE. Mammalian polyamine metabolism and function. IUBMB Life. 2009; 61: 880–894. Available from: https:// pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19603518/.

20. Seiler N, Knodgen B, Haegerle K. N-(3-aminoephthoxy)pyrroline-2-one, a product of spermidine catabolism in vivo. Bioche J. 1982; 208: 189–197.

21. Revisited AM, Morris SM. The journal of nutrition supplement: 9th workshop on the assessment of adequate and safe intake of dietary amino acids. J Nutr. 2016; 146: 2579–2586.

22. Alonso A, Yu B, Sun YV, Chen LY, Loehr LR, O’Neal WT, Soliman EZ, Boerwinkle E. Serum metabolomics and incidence of atrial fibrillation (from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study). Am J Cardiol. 2019; 123: 1955–1961. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30979411/.

23. Butte NF, Liu Y, Zakeri IF, Mohney RP, Mehta N, Voruganti VS, Göring H, Cole SA, Comuzzie AG. Global metabolomic profiling targeting childhood obesity in the Hispanic population. Am J Clin Nutr. 2015; 102: 256–267. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26085512/.

24. Sansbury BE, DeMartino AM, Xie Z, Brooks AC, Brainard RE, Watson LJ, DeFilippis AP, Cummins TD, Harbeson MA, Brittan KR, Prabhu SD, Bhatnagar A, Jones SP, Hill BG. Metabolomic analysis of pressure-overloaded and infarcted mouse hearts. Circulation: Heart Fail. 2014; 7: 634–642.

25. Meana C, Rubín JM, Bordallo C, Suárez L, Bordallo J, Sánchez M. Correlation between endogenous polyamines in human cardiac tissues and clinical parameters in patients with heart failure. J Cell Mol Med. 2016; 20: 302–312.

26. Rhodes CJ, Ghataorhe P, Wharton J, Rue-Albrecht KC, Hadinnopola C, Watson G, Bleda M, Haimel M, Coghlan G, Corris PA, Howard LS, Kicly DG, Peacock AJ, Pepke-Zaba J, Toshner MR, Wort SJ, Gibbs JSR, Lawrie A, Gräf S, Morrell NW, Wilkins MR. Plasma meta-
bolomics implicates modified transfer RNAs and altered bioenergetics in the outcomes of pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Circulation.* 2017; 135: 460–475.

27. Kapa S, Elias R, Connolly HJ, Syed IS, Asirvatham SJ. Utility of transthoracic echocardiography to estimate severity of right ventricular dysfunction: an MRI comparison study. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2012; 28: 251–261.

28. Hessels J, Kingma AW, Sturkenboom MC, Elzinga H, van den Berg GA, Muskiet FA. Gas chromatographic determination of N-acetylisoputreanine-gamma-lactam, a unique catabolite of N1-acetylsperrmidine. *J Chromatogr.* 1991; 563: 1–9.

29. Van Den Berg GA, Kingma AW, Elzinga H, Muskiet FA. Determination of N-(3-acetamidopropyl)pyrrolidin-2-one, a metabolite of spermidine, in urine by isotope dilution mass fragmentography. *J Chromatogr.* 1986; 383: 251–258.