Amino Acid Encryption Method Using Genetic Algorithm for Key Generation
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Abstract: In this new information era, the transfer of data and information has become a very important matter. Transferred data must be kept secured from unauthorized persons using cryptography. The science of cryptography depends not only on complex mathematical models but also on encryption keys. Amino acid encryption is a promising model for data security. In this paper, we propose an amino acid encryption model with two encryption keys. The first key is generated randomly using the genetic algorithm. The second key is called the protein key which is generated from converting DNA to a protein message. Then, the protein message and the first key are used in the modified Playfair matrix to generate the cypher message. The experimental results show that the proposed model survives against known attacks such as the Brute-force attack and the Ciphertext-only attack. In addition, the proposed model has been tested over different types of characters including white spaces and special characters, as all the data is encoded to 8-bit binary. The performance of the proposed model is compared with other models using encryption time and decryption time. The model also balances all three principles in the CIA triad.
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1 Introduction

Internet and wireless networks offer ubiquitous channels to deliver and exchange data. Some models, such as cryptography, are used to improve the security of data transfer. Cryptography keeps data secure by ensuring that it is not understandable to unauthorized persons. There are two types of encryption: symmetric and asymmetric.

In cryptography, messages are scrambled and become gibberish to help ensure their secrecy. Once a message is encrypted, everyone can see that there is a message, but it cannot be understood...
or read by anyone who does not have the decryption key. As a result, decryption keys must be very difficult to access and use, so that only the intended recipients can read encrypted messages [1–3].

One potential model for key generation is the use of Genetic Algorithms (GA) [4]. Genetic Algorithms are based on Darwin's theory of natural selection and “survival of the fittest.” Genetic Algorithms are used to search among many solutions and find the optimal one. This model depends on randomly selecting several solutions and calculating how good each solution is. Each solution is represented by a chromosome. To calculate each solution's degree and rank, a fitness function is used. A generation consists of a number of chromosomes. After calculating the fitness function for each suggested solution (chromosome) a generation is finished. A portion of the chromosomes is selected to be part of the next generation. New chromosomes are generated by applying crossover and mutation functions. (The best chromosomes generate the best results.) After that, the old selected chromosomes and the newly generated ones are combined to construct the new generation. These iterations continue until a pre-defined threshold is reached. In the end, the best solution is selected for the minimization or maximization function. The solution consists of the best chromosome that achieves the best results for the objective function [5–7]. The encryption system then uses machine learning and DNA-based encryption to improve security. DNA-based encryption is an emerging approach for information security because of its capabilities.

In biology, DNA is the master molecule whose structure encodes all the information needed to create and direct the chemical machinery of life. In 1953, the structure of DNA was correctly predicted by Watson and Crick. They predicted that DNA molecules consist of two long polynucleotide chains. Each of these chains is known as a DNA chain, or a DNA strand, which is made up of simple subunits, called nucleotides. Each nucleotide consists of a sugar-phosphate molecule with a nitrogen-containing side group, or base. The bases are of four types—adenine, guanine, cytosine, and thymine—corresponding to four distinct nucleotides, labeled A, G, C, and T [8–14].

In the proposed model, Genetic Algorithms are used to generate a random key for encryption and decryption. The generated key is used to encrypt data using DNA encryption.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: first we discuss a review of related work, next we explain the proposed model, then we report the simulation results and performance analysis, and finally we discuss the conclusions and future work.

2 Literature Review

In [15] the authors significantly modify the old Playfair cipher by introducing a DNA-based and amino acid structure. In their work a plain message is converted to a sequence of DNA. They propose assigning each letter of the alphabet a corresponding codon, so that the English alphabet’s form of amino acids can go through the traditional Playfair cipher process using the secret key.

In [16], the authors propose a novel algorithm that is composed of encryption and steganography using (DNA) sequences. Their model consists of two phases. In the first phase, the plain data is encrypted using a DNA-and amino acids-based Playfair cipher. In the second phase, the encrypted data is inserted into a DNA sequence. Their algorithm works on any binary data as it is transformed into DNA nucleotides. Then, these DNA nucleotides are converted to the amino acids structure so that they can go through the specially designed Playfair cipher and be encrypted into another DNA sequence. Then, this encrypted DNA data is randomly inserted into a reference DNA sequence to produce a faked DNA sequence whose encrypted data is hidden.
In [17] a model and implementation for key generation using the genetic algorithm with the Needleman–Wunsch (NW) algorithm is proposed. The authors introduce a model for implementing encryption and decryption based on DNA computing using the biological operations Transcription, Translation, DNA Sequencing, and Deep Learning. They evaluate the time taken for encryption and decryption based on the size of the message.

In [18] a Bio-Inspired Cryptosystem for encrypting data is proposed. The authors propose a system based on the Central Dogma of Molecular Biology (CDMB) for encryption and decryption. They used a Bidirectional Associative Memory Neural Network (BAMNN) for key generation. Their cryptosystem shows competent encryption and decryption times even on large data sizes when compared with other systems.

3 Proposed Model

The proposed encryption model contains three phases: the first phase is key generation in which genetic algorithm is used to generate a random key for encryption and decryption. In the second phase the message data is converted to amino acid throw mutable operation. in the third phase the key generated from genetic algorithm is used to encrypt amino acid data using play faire cypher. the three is discussed in detail as follows.

3.1 First Phase: Key Generation

Genetic algorithms will be used to generate a random key in the encryption process as follows:

3.1.1 Initial Population (Generation 0)

The genes of the chromosomes of the initial population will be filled using a random number function that returns (1 or 0). Each chromosome will contain 64 genes and the initial population will contain 100 chromosomes.

3.1.2 Fitness Function

The key in the encryption process must be random to ensure a low guessing factor, so the Run Test of Randomness will be used as the fitness function in our algorithm.

3.1.3 Run Test of Randomness

The Run Test of Randomness is a statistical test that is used to calculate the randomness of data. This test is based on the run. Run is basically a sequence of two types of symbols, such as 0 or 1. The test statistic can be calculated by using an approximation of the normal distribution via the following formula as shown in Eq. (1).

$$Z = \frac{r - \mu}{\sigma} \quad (1)$$

where $r$ is the number of runs, $\mu$ is the expected number of runs, and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the number of runs. The values of $\mu$ and $\sigma$ are computed as in Eqs. (2) and (3).

$$\mu = \frac{2n_1n_2}{n_1 + n_2} + 1 \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{(2n_1n_2)(2n_1n_2 - n_1 - n_2)}{(n_1 + n_2)^2(n_1 + n_2 - 1)}} \quad (3)$$
where \( n_1 \) is number of zeros in our model and \( n_2 \) is number of ones in the proposed model. An example of how to calculate the value of randomness can be found in Fig. 1.

Suppose the data set is as follow
\[
\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}
\]
the number of runs (r) = 15
the number of zero’s (n) = 8
the number of one’s (n) = 7

\[
\mu = \frac{2(21)(9)}{21+9} + 1
\]
\[
\mu = 13.6
\]
\[
\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{2(21)(9)(2(21)(9) - 21 - 9)}{(21+9)^2(21+9-1)}}
\]
\[
\sigma = 2.245
\]

Test statistics:
\[
Z = \frac{15-13.6}{2.245}
\]
\[
Z = 0.624
\]

**Figure 1:** An example of how to calculate the value of randomness

### 3.1.4 Selection

The selection policy determines which individuals are to be kicked out and which are to be kept in the next generation to be mated and combined to create offspring. A selection policy based on the fitness function is applied to choose which individuals to use.

### 3.1.5 Crossover

Crossover is used to combine the genetic information of two parents’ offspring to generate new offspring. The K-Point is used to determine the crossover. K-Point crossover uses more than one crossover point to produce two offspring chromosomes. Two parent chromosomes are selected and a number is generated at random because the number of crossover points is randomly selected. This is shown in Fig. 2.
3.1.6mutation
A mutation is a small random tweak in the chromosome, to get a new solution. Bit string mutations are used as mutation operators. Bit string mutation works by selecting one or more random bits and flipping them, see Fig. 3 below.

![Figure 3: Mutation process](image)

After choosing the best key using the fitness function, this key’s similarity to other keys from the last generation is calculated using the Needleman-Wunsch (NW) Algorithm.

3.1.7 Needleman-Wunsch (NW) Algorithm
The Needleman-Wunsch (NW) Algorithm is a dynamic programming application. It is used for arranging two or more sequences of characters to identify regions of similarity [17]. It uses a scoring system to calculate the degree of similarity or dissimilarity between the two sequences. The greater the score, the more similar the sequences. NW is used to calculate the similarity between the chosen key and other keys from the last generation. The less similar key is selected to be the encryption key. The key generation process is summarized in Fig. 4.

3.2 Second Phase: Data Preparation
The plain data is converted to 8-bit binary format, and then converted to a DNA Nitrogen Base sequence as shown in Tab. 1. Then the DNA Nitrogen Base sequence is converted to an RNA Nitrogen Base as shown in Tab. 2. The RNA Nitrogen Base is then converted to an amino acid according to RNA to Amino Acid table in [15], and then the Ambiguity number as Protein Key (PK) is extracted. The same model will be applied to the generated key.

3.3 Third Phase: Data Encryption Using Amino Acid Based Playfair
Playfair is a polyalphabetic cipher, in which diagrams in plaintext are treated as single units and these units are translated into cipher text diagrams. Playfair encrypts pairs of letters, rather than encrypting single letters as a simple substitution cipher would do. The traditional Playfair algorithm is based on a $5 \times 5$ matrix of letters constructed using a key. The Playfair cipher is a great advance over simple monoalphabetic ciphers. Cryptanalysis of the Playfair cipher is much more difficult than cryptanalysis of normal simple substitution ciphers, because digraphs (pairs of letters) are being substituted instead of monographs (single letters) [15].

In our model, the key generated from the genetic algorithm is used as a Playfair cipher key, and the alphabet is the modified amino acid alphabet. The data preparation phase and the encryption phase are summarized in Fig. 5. Also, a simple example of our proposed algorithm is shown in Fig. 6. The algorithm steps of the encryption process are shown as follows.
Figure 4: The key generation processes

Table 1: Converting 8-bit binary format to DNA

| DNA nitrogen base | Binary sequence |
|-------------------|----------------|
| A                 | 01             |
| C                 | 00             |
| G                 | 11             |
| T                 | 10             |

Table 2: Converting DNA to RNA

| DNA nitrogen base | RNA nitrogen base |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| A                 | A                 |
| C                 | C                 |
| G                 | G                 |
| T                 | U                 |
Algorithm 1: Algorithm of encryption process

Input: Message (M), Genetic KEY (GK)
Output: Protein Cypher (PC), Protein Key (PK)

Begin

1. Step 1: Input Message (M), Genetic KEY (K1).
2. Step 2: Convert Message (M) to 8-bit binary format (biM).
3. Step 3: Convert binary Message (biM) to DNA Nitrogen Base (MDNA).
4. Step 4: Convert Message DNA Nitrogen Base (MDNA) to RNA Nitrogen Base (MRNA).
5. Step 5: Convert Message RNA Nitrogen Base (MRNA) to Protein (PM) and save protein Ambiguity number as Protein Key (PK).
6. Step 6: Create Playfair 5×5 matrix and use Genetic KEY (GK) as Key.
7. Step 7: Use Playfair encryption process to get Protein Cypher (PC).
8. Step 8: Protein Ambiguity number as Protein Key (PK) and Protein Cypher (PC).

End

Figure 5: Encryption algorithm
4 Simulation and Performance Analysis

This section presents the experimental results of the proposed model. In addition, a comparison between the proposed model and Genetic Algorithm with NW model [17] is included in this section. All the models were implemented on a PC with a Pentium core i7 processor, 8 GB of RAM, and the Windows 10 operating system. Python was used to implement both the proposed models and the Kalsi et al. model.

4.1 Encryption Time

The encryption time is the amount of time each model takes to generate cypher text after generating the key. Tab. 3 compares the encryption times (in milliseconds) of the proposed model and Genetic Algorithm with NW [17] model. The results show that our proposed model takes slightly more time than Genetic Algorithm with NW model, because in Genetic Algorithm (GA) with NW model the main operation is XOR but in our model the Playfair matrix takes more time to encrypt.

Figure 6: Simple example of proposed algorithm
Table 3: Comparison of encryption time with the characters from the proposed model with the existing scheme

| Total number of characters | Encryption time          |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                            | Genatic algorithm with NW [17] | Proposed         |
| 500                        | 0.0076003                | 0.063901901      |
| 1000                       | 0.0100408                | 0.174080372      |
| 1500                       | 0.0112293                | 0.286720276      |

4.2 Decryption Time

The decryption time is the amount of time each model takes to generate plain text from cypher text. Tab. 4 compares the decryption time (in milliseconds) of the proposed model and Genatic Algorithm with NW [17] model. The results show that our proposed model takes slightly more time than GA with NW model, because in GA with NW [17] model the main operation is XOR but in our model the Playfair matrix takes more time to encrypt.

Table 4: Comparison of decryption time with the characters from the proposed model with the existing scheme

| Total number of characters | Encryption time          |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                            | Genatic algorithm with NW [17] | Proposed         |
| 500                        | 0.0077                   | 0.0620           |
| 1000                       | 0.0101                   | 0.1679           |
| 1500                       | 0.0113                   | 0.2487           |

4.3 Performance Analysis

4.3.1 Confusion and Diffusion

Confusion means that each bit of ciphertext should depend on several bits of the key. In the proposed model, when a message is translated to protein, the process is one-to-many, because one protein can come from more than one RNA. Also, in the proposed model if we use the key and plaintext independently, the cipher text can’t be produced because it goes through several steps.

Diffusion means that if a change happens in one character of the plaintext, it changes several characters in the ciphertext. In the proposed model, when a character of the plaintext is changed it will affect the DNA value, which in turn will affect the protein value and the cipher text. Also, in the proposed model, the same plaintext will result in different cipher text each time because we use a different key each time.

4.3.2 Avalanche Effect

In cryptography, the avalanche effect means that if a character in plaintext is changed slightly, the cipher text changes significantly. In the proposed model, when a character of the plaintext is changed slightly it will affect the DNA value, which in turn will give a different protein value and change the cipher text.
4.4 Security Against Attacks

4.4.1 Brute-Force Attack
A brute force attack is an attempt to crack a password or username, find a hidden web page, or find the key used to encrypt a message, using a trial-and-error approach, and hoping, eventually, to guess correctly [19].

4.4.2 Ciphertext-Only Attack
In a ciphertext-only attack, the attacker has access to some amount of ciphertext and has some information about the plaintext. This type of attack will succeed if the attacker can get either the plaintext or the key. In the proposed system this is impossible because of the randomness of the key generated by the genetic algorithm. Also, in this model, the plaintext goes through multiple steps to become ciphertext [20].

4.4.3 The Known-Plaintext Attack (KPA)
The known-plaintext attack is an attack model in which the attacker has access to both the plaintext and the ciphertext and attempts to get the key. In the proposed system the attacker can’t succeed because of the randomness of the key generated by the genetic algorithm. It gives a different key each time [21].

4.4.4 Differential Cryptanalysis Attack
In a differential cryptanalysis attack, the attacker gets ciphertext from a set of chosen plaintext. In the proposed system this can’t happen because of the randomness of the key generated by the genetic algorithm. It gives a different key each time [22]. Tab. 5 outlines the implementation of the system proposed in relation to the multiple attacks with other systems.

Table 5: Comparison of existing scheme with proposed scheme based on several attacks

| Attack Model | Echo state network [23] | DES-CDMB [24] | Proposed |
|--------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Brute-force attack | Prevention of attack | Prevention of attack | Prevention of attack |
| Ciphertext-only attack | Prevention of attack | – | Prevention of attack |
| The known-plaintext attack (KPA) | Prevention of attack | – | Prevention of attack |
| Differential cryptanalysis attack | – | Failure | Prevention of attack |

4.4.5 Achievement of CIA
Confidentiality
Confidentiality is keeping information away from unauthorized people. In the proposed system this is achieved as all transmitted entities and parameters are encrypted [25].


Integrity

Integrity is the ability to ensure that data is accurate and remains unchanged. In the proposed system this is achieved because if a change happens in the cipher text it will affect the protein value and the DNA value, and the plaintext won’t be able to be extracted [25].

Availability

It is important to ensure that the information concerned is always readily accessible to the authorized viewer. In the proposed system, this is achieved because it works with different plaintext size and types [25].

5 Conclusion

An amino acid cryptosystem has been proposed that uses genetic algorithms and amino acid cryptography for securing data. The goal of data encryption is to keep data away from unauthorized people. In our system, the input message is encoded to an 8-bit binary format and converted to an amino acid. A genetic algorithm is used to generate an encryption key and the Tun Test of Randomness is used as the fitness function. The MG algorithm is used to select the key that is the least similar to the others. The encoded message is used with Playfair using a generated key. The proposed model can survive over known attacks such as the Brute-force attack and the Ciphertext-only attack. The proposed model has been tested over different types of characters including white spaces and special characters as all the data is encoded to 8-bit binary. The performance of the proposed model is compared with other models on the basis of encryption time. The CIA principle is achieved by the proposed model. Also, using the amino acid ambiguity number gives us more security because even if the intruder knows the input of plaintext, he can’t know the real message without using the ambiguity number.
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