Prediction of outcomes in acute exacerbation of COPD with DECAF score and BAP 65 score in a rural population
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ABSTRACT

Background: Prognostic research in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) requiring hospitalization has been limited and there appears to be little common ground between predictors of mortality in stable disease and during AECOPD. Furthermore, none of the prognostic tools developed in stable disease have been tested on hospitalised patients, and most require clinical measurements not routinely available at hospital admission. This study intends to test dyspnoea, eosinopenia, consolidation, acidemia, and atrial fibrillation (DECAF) and biological assessment profile (BAP) 65 Scores on Indian patients in a tertiary care set up and validate the same to be used as a routine and effective score in predicting the outcome in AECOPD.

Methods: Hospital based prospective observational study was carried out in 100 patients with AECOPD who was present to general medicine. DECAF and BAP-65 Scores were calculated. Data was analyzed using SPSS 22 version software.

Results: In our study both DECAF score and BAP-65 score performed equally well for prediction of need for Mechanical Ventilation. The AUROC for need for Mechanical Ventilation was 0.77 (95% CI=0.67–0.84) for DECAF score and 0.77 (95% CI=0.67–0.85) for BAP-65 score. The AUROC for prediction of mortality for DECAF score was 0.83 (95% confidence interval [CI]=0.74–0.89) and for BAP-65 score was 0.79 (95% CI=0.69–0.86).

Conclusions: DECAF and BAP-65 are good and also equal in predicting mortality as well as need for mechanical ventilation. Both scores can be easily applicable in AECOPD patients, so that death during hospitalization for AECOPD and need for mechanical ventilation can be minimized.
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INTRODUCTION

India is experiencing a continued increase in burden of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). With an estimated prevalence of >57,000,000 people suffering from obstructive airway diseases (OADs), at the end of 2016.¹ It will become the third most common cause of death and the fourth cause of disability in the world by the year 2020.²

Despite exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) being both common and often fatal, accurate prognostication of patients hospitalized with an exacerbation is difficult.³ For exacerbations complicated by consolidation, the CURB-65 (confusion, urea, respiratory rate, blood pressure, age>65) community acquired pneumonia prognostic score is often used to risk assess and guide antibiotic therapy but the CURB-65 as a prognostic tool was found to be suboptimal.³ Prognostic indices have been thoroughly investigated and tools
predicting mortality risk, such as the BODE score, are also well established. Prognostic research in exacerbations requiring hospitalization has been limited and there appears to be little common ground between predictors of mortality in stable disease and during AECOPD. Furthermore, none of the prognostic tools developed in stable disease have been tested on hospitalised patients, and most require clinical measurements not routinely available at hospital admission. Of the prognostic tools proposed for use in AECOPD requiring hospital admission, most were derived in highly selected, rather than unselected, patients. In the field of AECOPD outside ICUs, it has never been demonstrated that using such a score has an effect on the appropriateness of medical decisions. There are not enough studies available in Indian literature, hence we need to assess the usefulness DECAF score in predicting outcome in Indian subcontinent. This study intents to test a proposed score- dyspnea, eosinopenia, consolidation, acidemia and atrial fibrillation (DECAF) Score on Indian patients in a tertiary care set up and validate the same to be used as a routine and effective score in predicting the outcome in acute exacerbations of COPD.

**Objectives**

Objectives were to determine The Hospital outcomes in acute exacerbation of COPD using DECAF score and Comparison of DECAF score with BAP 65 in predicting hospital outcomes.

**METHODS**

**Study setting**

A study was conducted in the Department of General Medicine at Sri Devaraj Urs medical college, Kolar, Karnataka.

**Design of study**

Hospital based prospective observational study

**Sample size estimation**

Sample size for this study is estimated based on AUC for DECAF score in a study by John S et al, with 95% confidence with margin of error as 7% with AUC 0.85%, the estimated sample size for the cross-sectional study is 77 AECOPD cases. Finally, we have taken 100 subjects.

**Method of collecting data**

In this study, the patients with acute exacerbation of COPD who was present to general medicine at R.L Jallappa hospital attached to SRI DEVARAJ URS MEDICAL COLLAGE, Tamaka, Kolar, between APRIL 2019 and May 2020. The patients who meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria was taken and subjected to PFT and the DECAF and BAP-65 scores are applied. The findings were then studied and analysed.

**Inclusion criteria**

Inclusion criteria were primary diagnosis of COPD.

**Exclusion criteria**

Patients diagnosed with conditions like, bronchiectasis, bronchial asthma, malignancy, tuberculosis, congestive cardiac failure, coronary artery disease, pregnant and lactating women, patients with allergies including allergic reaction to medications or food.

Patients diagnosed with atopic dermatitis, allergic rhinitis, crohns disease, ulcerative colitis, and vasculitis.

**Statistical analysis**

Data was entered into Microsoft excel data sheet and was analyzed using SPSS 22 version software. Categorical data was represented in the form of Frequencies and proportions. Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test (for 2x2 tables only) was used as test of significance for qualitative data. Continuous data was represented as mean and standard deviation. Independent t test was used as test of significance to identify the mean difference between two quantitative variables. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was calculated to determine optimal cut off value for total DECAF score and total BAP-65 score. The area under the curve, the sensitivity, and the specificity were also calculated to analyze the diagnostic value of total DECAF score and total BAP-65 score.

P value (probability that the result is true) of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant after assuming all the rules of statistical tests. Statistical software: MS Excel, SPSS version 22 (IBM SPSS Statistics, Somers NY, USA) was used to analyze data.

**RESULTS**

In our study 100 subjects were included. Out of which 97 were male and only 3 were female. Out of 100 subjects 92 subjects were survived to discharge and 8 subjects were dead. 89 subjects didn’t require mechanical ventilation and 11 subjects required mechanical ventilation.

Mean age among survived was 67+8 years and Mean age among died was 75+8 years. There was statistically significant difference found between outcome and age. There was no statistically significant difference found between outcome and gender. Mean Duration of COPD among survived was 3+2 years and Mean Duration of COPD among died was 5+2 years, there was no statistically significant difference found between outcome
and Duration of COPD. Mean smoking in pack years among survived was 48±26 pack years and mean smoking in pack years among died was 60±37 pack years, there was no statistically significant difference found between outcome and Smoking in pack years. Mean Duration of ICU stay among survived was 2.25±2.19 days and mean duration of ICU stay among died was 6.88±3.52 days, there was a statistically significant difference found between outcome and duration of ICU stay. There was no statistically significant difference found between outcome and duration of hospital stay (Table 1).

From the Table 2 we can summaries that there was statistically significant difference found between survived and died with respect to JVP, PAH, per abdomen and CNS finding.

There was no statistically significant difference found between survived and died with respect to pulse rate, respiratory rate, Spo2, ABG, gold staging, emphysema, secondary infection, corpumonale.

From the table 3 we can summaries that there was statistically significant difference found between survived and died with respect to Blood urea, PH and PCO2. There was no statistically significant difference found between survived and died with respect to total count, absolute eosinophil count, sodium, potassium, chloride, serum

**Table 1: Comparison of socio demographic profile between survived and died.**

|                          | Survived | Died | P value |
|--------------------------|----------|------|---------|
| AGE in years             | 67±8     | 75±8 | 0.019   |
| Gender (M/F)             | 90/2     | 7/1  | 0.223   |
| Duration of COPD (in years) | 3±2      | 5±2  | 0.197   |
| Smoking in Pack years    | 48±26    | 60±37| 0.268   |
| Duration of ICU in days stay | 2.25±2.19 | 6.88±3.52 | <0.001 |
| Duration of hospital stay | 7.09±2.5 | 6.88±3.52 | 0.829   |

**Table 2: Comparison of clinical profile between survived and died.**

|                          | Survived | Died | P value |
|--------------------------|----------|------|---------|
| Pulse rate               | 107±25   | 86±32| 0.111   |
| Respiratory rate         | 25±5     | 26±11| 0.500   |
| SPO2                     | 87±11    | 81±14| 0.124   |
| JVP                      | 75       | 3    | 0.012   |
| Normal                   | 17       | 5    |         |
| Raised                   | 15       | 1    |         |
| Emphysema                | 77       | 7    | 0.916   |
| No                       | 15       | 1    |         |
| Yes                      | 55       | 2    | 0.072   |
| Secondary infection      | 37       | 6    |         |
| No                       | 55       | 2    |         |
| Yes                      | 37       | 6    |         |
| PAH                      | 45       | 0    | 0.001   |
| No                       | 45       | 0    |         |
| Yes                      | 47       | 8    |         |
| Corpumonale              | 74       | 3    | 0.015   |
| No                       | 74       | 3    |         |
| Yes                      | 18       | 5    |         |
| Per Abdomen              | 2        | 0    | 0.004   |
| Distended                | 7        | 5    |         |
| Hepatomegaly             | 3        |      |         |
| Normal                   | 83       | 3    |         |
| CNS                      | 0        | 4    | <0.001  |
| Drowsy                   | 0        | 2    |         |
| Drowsy, flaps            | 7        | 0    |         |
| Flaps                    | 84       | 1    |         |
| Normal                   | 1        | 1    |         |
| Unconscious              | 1        | 1    |         |
| ABG                      | 34       | 0    | 0.052   |
| Respiratory alkalosis    | 3        | 0    |         |
| Type 1 respiratory failure | 10     | 0    |         |
| Type 2 respiratory failure | 45   | 8    |         |
| GOLDS STAGE              | 1        | 12   | 0.130   |
| 2                        | 63       | 3    |         |
| 3                        | 15       | 4    |         |
| 4                        | 2        | 0    |         |
creatinine, serum albumin, FEV1/FVC, FEVI% predicted. When we compared components of DECAF score according to mortality there was statistically significant difference found between survivors and non survivors with respect to Acidemia and dyspnoea score≥1, eosinopenia, consolidation and atrial fibrillation was not significantly associated with mortality (Table 4).

Table 3: Comparison of investigation between survived and died.

|                | Survived | Died   | P value |
|----------------|----------|--------|---------|
| Total count    | Mean     | SD     | Mean    | SD        | 0.145 |
| Absolute eosinophil count | 123      | 270    | 68      | 69        | 0.150 |
| Sodium         | 135      | 6      | 135     | 8         | 0.970 |
| Potassium      | 6.8587   | 14.161 | 4.5375  | 1.1250    | 0.132 |
| Chloride       | 88.9315  | 14.312 | 92.00   | 9.5019    | 0.423 |
| Serum creatinine| 1.03     | 0.43   | 1.20    | 0.40      | 0.306 |
| Serum albumin  | 3.5424   | .5441  | 3.3125  | .7396     | 0.286 |
| Blood urea     | 36       | 17     | 61      | 27        | <0.001 |
| PH             | 7.3636   | .1078  | 7.1995  | .0493     | <0.001 |
| PCO2           | 51.7630  | 15.700 | 74.112  | 9.3663    | <0.001 |
| FEV1/FVC       | 1.0577   | 5.0543 | .5775   | .0780     | 0.790 |
| FEVI % predicted| 69       | 14     | 60      | 19        | 0.253 |

Table 4: Comparison of components of DECAF score according to outcome components of DECAF score and BAP score.

|                        | Survived | Died   | P value |
|------------------------|----------|--------|---------|
| According to outcome components of DECAF score |          |        |         |
| Dyspnoea score ≥1      | 24       | 6      | 0.010   |
| Eosinopenia <50 (score)| 61       | 5      | 0.828   |
| Consolidation          | 33       | 4      | 0.463   |
| Acidemia PH<7.3        | 30       | 8      | <0.001  |
| Atrial fibrillation    | 3        | 1      | 0.287   |
| According to outcome components of BAP-65 score |          |        |         |
| BUN >25 mg/dl          | 14       | 4      | 0.033   |
| Altered mental status  | 2        | 7      | <0.001  |
| Pulse >109 BPM         | 39       | 1      | 0.140   |
| Age >65 years          | 47       | 6      | 0.276   |

Table 5: Comparison of DECAF score and BAP 65 score in predicting mortality and predicting need for mechanical ventilation.

|                         | DECAF Score | BAP 65 Score | DECAF Score | BAP 65 Score |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Predicting Mortality    |             |              |             |              |
| AUC (95% CI)            | 0.83(0.74-0.89) | 0.79(0.69-0.86) | 0.77(0.67-0.84) | 0.77(0.67-0.85) |
| Cut off                 | >3          | >3           | >2          | >2           |
| Sensitivity (%)         | 62.5        | 50           | 63.64       | 90.91        |
| Specificity (%)         | 95.65       | 94.57        | 77.53       | 48.31        |
| PPV (%)                 | 55.6        | 44.4         | 25.9        | 17.9         |
| NPV (%)                 | 96.7        | 95.6         | 94.5        | 97.7         |
| P value                 | <0.001      | 0.003        | <0.001      | <0.001       |

When we compared components of BAP-65 score according to mortality there was statistically significant difference found between survivors and non survivors with respect to BUN>25mg/dl and altered mental status, pulse>109 bpm and age>65years was not significantly associated with mortality (Table 4).

The AUROC for prediction of mortality for DECAF score was 0.83 (95% confidence interval [CI]=0.74–0.89) and for BAP-65 score was 0.79 (95% CI=0.69–0.86). In our study both DECAF score and BAP-65 score performed equally well for prediction of need for mechanical ventilation. The AUROC for need for
mechanical ventilation was 0.77 (95% CI=0.67–0.84) for DECAF score and 0.77 (95% CI=0.67–0.85) for BAP-65 score (Table 5).

In our study mortality among subjects was 8% which can be comparable with the study by Steer et al.\textsuperscript{14} mortality among patients with AECOPD was 10.4%. In the study by Shorr et al mortality among patients with AECOPD was 4%, respectively.\textsuperscript{12}

Mean age in patients who died is high 75+8 years compare to 67+8 years who discharged which was statistically significant which was comparable to study Nafae et al which implies older age has high mortality.\textsuperscript{15}

In our study AUROC for prediction of mortality for BAP-65 score was 0.79 (95% CI=0.69–0.86) similar to
the in the study by Shorr et al for prediction of mortality
the area under the ROC curve for BAP-65 score was 0.77
(95% CI: 0.76–0.78).12

In our study AUROC for need for Mechanical Ventilation
was 0.77 (95% CI=0.67–0.84) for DECAF score and 0.77
(95% CI=0.67–0.85) for BAP-65 score.

In the study by Shorr et al for prediction of need for IMV,
the area under the ROC curve for BAP-65 score was 0.78
(95% CI: 0.78–0.79).12

CONCLUSION

We conclude that both the scores that is DECAF and
BAP-65 are good and also equal in predicting mortality
as well as need for mechanical ventilation. Both scores
can be easily applicable in AECOPD patients so that
death during hospitalization for AECOPD and need for
mechanical ventilation can be minimized.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to acknowledge all the subjects
participated in the study. Everyone who contributed in
the completion of the study including the technical staff.

Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared
Ethical approval: The study was approved by the
Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

1. Patil SP, Krishnan JA, Lechtzin N, Diette GB. In-
hospital mortality following acute exacerbations of
chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Arch Inter
Medic. 2003;163(10):1180-6.
2. Murray CJL, Lopez AD. Mortality by cause for
eight regions of the world: Global Burden of
Disease Study. Lancet. 1997;349:1269-76.
3. John S, John G, Stephen CB. The DECAF score:
predicting hospital mortality in exacerbations of
chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Bio Med J.
2012.
4. Celli BR, Cote CG, Marin JM. The body-mass
index, airflow obstruction,dyspnea, and exercise
capacity index in chronic obstructive pulmonary
disease. N Engl J Med. 2004;350:1005e12
5. Steer J, Gibson GJ, Bourke SC. Predicting outcomes
following hospitalization for acute exacerbations of
COPD. Int J Med. 2010;103:817e29.
6. Wildman MJ, Harrison DA, Welch CA. A new
measure of acute physiological derangement for
patients with exacerbations of obstructive airways
disease: theCOPD and Asthma Physiology Score.
Respir Med. 2007;101:1994e2002.
7. Ruiz-Gonzalez A, Lacasta D, Ibarz M. C-reactive
protein and other predictors of poor outcome in
patients hospitalized with exacerbations of chronic
obstructive pulmonary disease. Respirology
2008;13:1028e33.
8. Anton A, Guell R, Gomez J. Predicting the result of
noninvasive ventilation in severe acute
exacerbations of patients with chronic airflow
limitation. Chest, 2000;117:828e33.
9. Tabak YP, Sun X. Mortality and need for
mechanical ventilation in acute exacerbations of
chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: development
and validation of a simple risk score. Arch Intern
Med. 2009;169:1595e602.
10. Roche N, Zureik M, Soussan D. Predictors of
outcomes in COPD exacerbation cases presenting to
the emergency department. Eur Respir J
2008;32:953e61
11. Roche N, Chavaillon JM, Maurer C, Zureik M,
Piquet J. A clinical in-hospital prognostic score for
acute exacerbations of COPD. Respirat Res.
2014;15(1):99.
12. Shorr AF, Sun X, Johannes RS, Yaitanes A, Tabak
YP. Validation of a novel risk score for severity of
illness in acute exacerbations of COPD. Chest
2011;140:1177-83.
13. Shorr AF, Sun X, Johannes RS, Derby KG, Tabak
YP. Predicting the need for mechanical ventilation
in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive
pulmonary disease: Comparing the CURB-65 and
BAP-65 scores. J Crit Care. 2012;27:564-70.
14. Steer J, Gibson J, Bourke SC. The DECAF score:
Predicting hospital mortality in exacerbations of
chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Thorax
2012;67:970-6.
15. Ramadan Nafae, Sameh Embarak. Value of the
DECAF score in predicting hospital mortality in
patients with acute exacerbation of chronic
obstructive pulmonary disease admitted to Zagazig
University Hospitals, Egypt. Egypt J Chest Dis
Tubercul. 2015;64:35–40.
16. Sangwan V, Chaudhry D, Malik R. Dyspnea,
eosinopenia, consolidation, acidemia and atrial
fibrillation score and BAP-65 score, tools for
prediction of mortality in acute exacerbations of
chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a
comparative pilot study. Ind J Crit Care Medic.
2017;21(10):671.

Cite this article as: Manchu D, Srinivasa SV, Reddy
VK, Jaya PV, Prasanna KN, Phaneesh BBS, et al.
Prediction of outcomes in acute exacerbation of
COPD with DECAF score and BAP 65 score in a
rural population. Int J Res Med Sci 2020;8:xxx-xx.