Real-gas effect accounted for by the Principle of Extended Corresponding States in modeling supersonic kerosene jet
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Supersonic kerosene jet was computationally investigated by Large Eddy Simulation (LES) combined with the principle of Extended Corresponding States (ECS) applied to model real-gas effect by characterizing the pressure-temperature-density behavior of real kerosene. Two cases including real-gas effect considered jet and real-gas effect neglected jet using ideal gas law were both set to comparatively reveal the flow evolution and development. The modeling results achieved good agreements with the measured near nozzle shock structures in experiments. Furthermore, qualitative and quantitative analyses of flow properties like shock structures, aerodynamic fields, and mixing characteristics were conducted to display the influence of real-gas effect on the kerosene jet properties. The results show that the Mach disk is smaller and closer to nozzle exit in real-gas effect considered jet. And its temperature, pressure and density are higher, but the Mach number is lower at the nozzle exit and upstream of the normal shock. In addition, the diffusion physics is very similar in the two jets, but a little bit shorter potential core and larger diffusion area appear in real-gas effect considered jet.

Nomenclature

\[
\begin{align*}
P &= \text{pressure} \\
\rho &= \text{density} \\
T &= \text{temperature} \\
u_i &= \text{velocity in } x_i \text{ direction} \\
\tau_{ij} &= \text{viscous stress tensor} \\
h_s &= \text{sensible enthalpy} \\
q_i &= \text{heat flux tensor} \\
Y_k &= \text{mass fraction of species } k \\
D_k &= \text{equivalent mass diffusion coefficient} \\
H_i &= \text{energy fluxes in } x_i \text{ direction} \\
\Phi_{k,j} &= \text{species fluxes in } x_j \text{ direction of species } k \\
U &= \text{velocity vector} \\
Ma &= \text{Mach number} \\
Re &= \text{Reynolds number} \\
H_m &= \text{height of Mach disk} \\
D_m &= \text{width of Mach disk} \\
\theta_m &= \text{expansion angle of Mach disk} \\
\alpha_m &= \text{shock reflection angle} \\
D &= \text{diameter of the nozzle exit} \\
A &= \text{area} \\
S &= \text{strain rate tensor} \\
\Omega &= \text{rotation rate tensor} \\
Q &= Q\text{- criterion}
\end{align*}
\]
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\[ x, y, z = \text{Cartesian coordinates} \]

**Superscripts**

- = cell average

\[ \sim = \text{Favre average} \]

\[ \text{sgs} = \text{subgrid-scale} \]

**Subscripts**

\[ \infty = \text{ambient condition} \]

\[ \theta = \text{injection condition} \]

\[ e = \text{condition at nozzle exit} \]

\[ u = \text{condition at upstream of the normal shock} \]

\[ m = \text{parameter of Mach disk} \]

---

## I. Introduction

In modeling hydrocarbon fuel jets, accurate descriptions of the gas state and thermophysical properties are important because they significantly influence the jet breakup and the subsequent mixing. However, the gas density is usually simulated simply by ideal gas law, and the thermophysical properties are accounted for by the values in the gas phase. Since both molecular size and inter-molecular attractions are neglected in the ideal gas law, it is not accurate anymore for non-monatomic gases at high pressures and low temperatures. In scramjet combustors, the kerosene is usually heated to supercritical status before issued into the domain. For supercritical kerosene, the temperature and pressure are above 630 K and above 2.4 MPa respectively [1]. Due to the initial expansion of the jet, the kerosene temperature can become much lower than its boiling point, so that both the liquid and the vapor phases may coexist. Under such high pressures and potential low temperatures, the real-gas effect should be accounted for, for example by the principle of Extended Corresponding States (ECS) [2], to accurately characterize the jet penetration and breakup, which are important for the following fuel mixing and combustion.

Little research has been conducted to examine the influence of real-gas effect on the jet breakup and mixing. However, some equations of state that account for real-gas effects are used in previous studies. Petit et al. [3] simulated the injection of supercritical nitrogen into a warm nitrogen environment, in which Soave-Redlich-Kwong (SRK) and Peng-Robinson (PR) equation of state (EoS) were both used. It was shown that they both do well in predicting the density and temperature in the far field. Oefelein [4] demonstrated that both the SRK- and PR-EoS can give accurate results over the applied range of pressure, temperature, and states of the mixture when they were used with ECS. Furthermore, it was recommended that SRK-EoS was much more exact for low temperatures, but PR-EoS performed better in flow fields with intense heat release. Hamzehloo et al. [5] and Bonelli et al. [6] found evident differences between the predictions on Mach number and pressure by the ideal gas law, the Van-Der-Waals EoS, and the Redlich-Kwong EoS by simulating a hydrogen jet at high injection pressure. The above cubic EoSs are computationally efficient but less accurate, especially for mixtures at near-critical conditions than Benedict-Webb-Rubin (BWR) EoS [7]. A 32-term BWR EoS was used to predict the pressure-volume-temperature behavior of liquid-phase, saturated-vapor, and gas-mixture properties in the vicinity of the critical point and achieved a good result [4].

However, these equations of state are rarely used alone for hydrocarbon fuels, especially kerosene, because of the huge number of their species which need heavy workload to standardize parameters in the formulas. Previous researchers [1, 2, 8] have shown that the principle of extended corresponding states (ECS) [2] can accurately describe the properties of supercritical kerosene. ECS principle is based on the fact that the state curves of different fluids are similar, and is used to obtain an unknown mixture state from the known through scaling state variables and similarity transformations [1, 9]. Ely and Hanley [10, 11] developed the ECS methods to conduct viscosity and thermal conductivity. Takahashi [12] proposed the corresponding-state methodology to obtain mass diffusion coefficients and thermal diffusion coefficients. Oefelein [13] simulated the shear-coaxial liquid-oxygen-hydrogen jet flame at supercritical pressure, where the ECS model was used with a cubic equation of state to evaluate the pressure-volume-temperature behavior.

In the present study, ECS principle is used to model the real pressure-temperature-density behavior in the three-dimensional large eddy simulation (LES) of supersonic kerosene jet with an exit Reynolds number around 8\( \times \)10\(^5\). And the jet penetration, instability, breakup, diffusion, mixing characteristics and near nozzle shock structures are discussed. In addition, the flow parameters of real-gas effect considered jet are compared with results in real-gas effect neglected jet using ideal gas law as well as the experimental results conducted by the same authors’ group.
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II. Numerical methodology

A. Fuel surrogate

A lot of research on physical and chemical properties and supersonic combustion characteristics of Daqing RP-3 aviation kerosene has been carried out by Institute of Mechanics, CAS [1, 14-19]. The chemical composition of Daqing RP-3 is extremely complicated because it has thousands of species, such as straight-chain alkanes, naphthenes and aromatic compounds, which changes with the origins, manufactures and many other reasons. In order to maintain the consistency of the study objects and the reproducibility of the results and to simplify the numerical simulation, it is vital to find out an appropriate fuel surrogate model to simulate the thermophysical properties as well as the injection, mixing and combustion of the complex fuel. There have been some attempts at research on fuel surrogate. Daniau et al. [20] replaced US JP-7 aviation kerosene directly with n-dodecane for studies of cracking and heat transfer. Dagaut [21] proposed a three-component fuel surrogate model for French TR0 aviation kerosene, which consisted of 74% n-decane (C10H22), 11% propylcyclohexane (C9H18) and 15% n-propylbenzene (C8H12) in mole fraction. As Daqing RP-3 is more similar to TR0 in terms of density and composition, Fan et al. [1] selected a surrogate model composed of 49% n-decane (C10H22), 44% 1, 3, 5-trimethylcyclohexane (C9H18) and 7% n-propylbenzene (C8H12) in mole fraction, based on the surrogate model presented by Dagaut [21], to simulate the thermophysical and transport properties of Daqing RP-3 aviation kerosene. In this study, a widely used surrogate of Gas-to-Liquid (GtL) aviation kerosene proposed by Dagaut et al. [22] is selected, consisting of 57.7% n-decane (C10H22), 33.2% 2,2,4-trimethylpentane (C9H18) and 9.1% n-propylcyclohexane (C8H12) in mole fraction as shown in Table 1. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that this surrogate showed a behavior which is similar to many jet fuels, ideally for predicting both chemical and physical properties [22].

| Table 1. Three-component fuel surrogate proposed by Dagaut et al. [22]. |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| Compound | Formula | Mole fraction, % |
|----------|---------|-----------------|
| N-decane | C10H22 | 57.7            |
| 2,2,4-trimethylpentane | C9H18 | 33.2            |
| N-propylcyclohexane | C8H12 | 9.1             |

B. Numerical details

LES is used to characterize an unsteady, compressible, multi-component and non-reacting flow in present study, so the three-dimensional, filtered Navier-Stokes equations are applied to govern it, as in Eq. (1) - (4).

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_i} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \rho \bar{u}_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho \bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}^{sgs}}{\partial x_j} \\
\frac{\partial \rho \bar{h}_s}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho \bar{h}_s \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_s}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \bar{h}_s}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial H_{ij}^{sgs}}{\partial x_j} \\
\frac{\partial \rho g_k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho \bar{u}_k \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_j} \left( \rho \bar{D}_k \frac{\partial \bar{u}_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \Phi_{k,j}^{sgs}}{\partial x_j} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

where ‘—’ means cell average, ‘—’-’ means Favre average, \( \rho, p, u_i \) and \( \tau_{ij} \) are dynamic parameters, the density, the pressure, the velocity in \( x_i \) direction and the viscous stress tensor, respectively. \( h_s, q_i \) are both thermodynamic variables, the sensible enthalpy per unit mass and the heat flux tensor. \( Y_k \) and \( D_k \) are the parameters of component transport, the mass fraction and the equivalent mass diffusion coefficient. All terms with superscript \( sgs \) denote subgrid-scale (SGS) quantities and they are modeled based on the eddy diffusivity assumption. In particular, the SGS stress, \( \tau_{ij}^{sgs} = \bar{\rho}(\bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j - \bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j) \), is modeled with the one-equation turbulent kinetic energy (one-eq K) [23], the SGS energy fluxes \( H_{ij}^{sgs} \) and SGS species fluxes \( \Phi_{k,j}^{sgs} \) are modeled by using linearization method and introducing turbulent Prandtl number and Schmidt number.

Notably, methods to model the pressure-temperature-density behaviors of the two different cases, real-gas effect considered jet and neglected jet, are quite different. In detail, the pressure-temperature-density behavior of the real-gas effect neglected case is predicted by ideal gas law. The real-gas effect for considered jet is accounted for by the principle of Extended Corresponding States. To be specific, the real density is computed by calling the database of
hydrocarbon fuel components from NIST Supertrapp database and software package [2], and then the real pressure can be calculated by multiplying a similar transformation coefficient.

The above equations are solved by a density-based finite volume supersonic compressible flow solver, AstroFoam [24-28], which is developed based on the standard compressible flow solver rhoCentralFoam distributed with C++ open-source CFD package OpenFOAM V3.0.1 [29] mainly through adding the features of multi-species transport and multi-component reaction. Some CFD libraries are coupled into AstroFoam to characterize this problem, NIST-JANAF thermo-physical and transport database [30] is developed to calculate the multi-species thermodynamic and transport properties; NIST Supertrapp software [2] package is used to get the real density and pressure with the principle of extended corresponding states; The semi-discrete central Kurganov-Tadmor (KT) scheme [31] is applied to evaluate convective fluxes for shock capturing and turbulence resolving; The low-dissipation scale-selective discretization (SSD) scheme [32] is adopted to reconstruct the primitive values at faces.

C. Computational setup

An appropriate flow region should be carefully selected for the effective and precise numerical simulation of the present supersonic jet. Figure 1 illustrates the computational domain with specific geometries 260mm, 90mm and 90mm in x-, y- and z-directions, respectively. The starting jet is expected to emerge from the convergent-divergent nozzle with 28.8mm total height and 3.164mm exit diameter, the three-dimensional geometric model of the nozzle is shown in Figure 2. In addition, the same nozzle has been used to conduct several corresponding experiments by the same authors’ group.

![Figure 1. Schematic of the computational model at cross streamwise view](image)

![Figure 2. Geometric model of the nozzle](image)

Figure 3 displays the hexahedral structured grid of the computational model. To make the results of LES better, the spatial resolution of the grid should be rather high. The grid inside and around the nozzle has been refined to capture the drastic changes in the flow. Besides, coarse cell sizes in the far fields are used to avoid wave reflections. The total cell number in the mesh is 9.7 million.

In present study, same starting conditions are applied to the two cases. Surrogate kerosene with static pressure 2MPa and static temperature 850K is injected into quiescent air consisting of 76.699% nitrogen and 23.301% oxygen in mass fraction. The ambient air has uniform distribution of flow parameters, such as pressure $P_\infty = 101.325$ Pa, temperature $T_\infty = 298$ K, velocity $U_\infty = (0, 0, 0)$ m/s and density $\rho_\infty = 1.17$ kg/m$^3$. Moreover, the kerosene jets are issued
from the nozzle exit and enter into air at Mach number 1.43 and 1.50 and Reynolds number $8.13 \times 10^5$ and $8.06 \times 10^5$ in real-gas effect considered and neglected jet severally. Some details of flow conditions in real-gas effect considered jet are listed in Table 2. The flows at inlet of nozzle are subsonic, thus the inlet conditions of velocity are zero-gradient. Besides, no-slip, adiabatic wall conditions are enforced on the surface of the nozzle and open boundary conditions are used at the far fields and the domain outlet.

![Figure 3. Computational grid: (a) view of streamwise; (b) top view at outlet; (c) partially enlarged view at the exit of nozzle](image)

| Parameter                              | Value      |
|----------------------------------------|------------|
| Nozzle pressure ratio (NPR), $P_0/P_\infty$ | 20         |
| Nozzle temperature ratio (NTR), $T_0/T_\infty$ | 2.85       |
| Mach number at nozzle exit, $M_{ae}$     | 1.43       |
| Reynolds number at nozzle exit, $Re_e$   | $8.13 \times 10^5$ |
| Mach number at upstream of the normal shock, $M_{au}$ | 2.98       |

### III. Results and discussion

#### A. Near nozzle aerodynamic fields

The computational results present a highly under-expanded jet flow field. The shock structures like Mach disk, reflected shock, barrel shock, triple points and slip lines in near fields are clearly captured. The mean near-field wave structures which are characterized by density gradient ($\log_{10}|\nabla \rho|$) of real-gas effect considered jet using the ECS principle are shown in Figure 4, and the comparisons with experimental schlieren photography conducted by the
authors’ group and numerical schlieren of real-gas neglected jet using ideal gas law are demonstrated here. Furthermore, the shock structure parameters obtained from flow fields with real-gas effect, such as the height $H_m$ and the width $D_m$ of Mach disk, the expansion angle $\theta_m$ and the shock reflection angle $\alpha_m$, show good agreements with experimental results under injection conditions of nozzle pressure ratio (NPR) = 35 and nozzle temperature ratio (NTR) = 2.52. The details of these parameters are listed in Table 3. What is noteworthy is that the NPR and NTR have been decreased to 20 and increased to 2.85 respectively in the modeling to match with the experimental results. The measuring points of pressure and temperature in the referenced experiment are at the upstream of the kerosene tank, thus total pressure loss and heating phenomena should occur when kerosene flows through valves and pipes with friction. However, Figure 4 and Table 3 also indicate that the width $D_m$ of Mach disk in LES is a little bit greater than that in experiment for the lack of the consideration of pyrolytic reaction triggered by high temperature in LES. Pyrolytic reaction turns kerosene into a mixture of small molecules and the resulting smaller gas constant exactly corresponds with higher sound speed and lower Mach number, which leads to a narrower Mach disk. Another reason is the inaccurate fuel surrogate model. As for the comparison between real-gas effect considered and unconsidered jets, it is found that a lower and narrower Mach disk in real-gas effect considered jet, and the expansion angle and the shock reflection angle are almost equal severally. And the size of Mach disk in LES of real-gas neglected kerosene jet is similar to data of ideal gas conducted by Crist et al. [33].

![Figure 4. Comparison of near-field mean shock structures characterized by density gradient (log$_{10}$|∇ρ|) among experimental and LES's results: (a) experiment; (b) LES with ECS principle; (c) LES with ideal gas law](image)

| Method                  | $H_m$  | $D_m$  | $\theta_m$ | $\alpha_m$ |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|------------|------------|
| Experiment              | 9.09   | 3.76   | 28.3       | 63.2       |
| LES with ECS principle  | 8.83   | 4.76   | 27.4       | 69.4       |
| LES with ideal gas law  | 9.64   | 5.38   | 27.9       | 70.9       |
| Data of N$_2$ by Crist et al. [33] | 9.13   | 5.00   | --         | --         |

Figure 5 presents the comparisons of near-fields mean profiles of temperature, pressure, density and Mach number between real-gas effects considered jet (use ECS principle) and neglected jet (use ideal gas law) along the jet centerline. It is necessary to note that all flow parameters are nondimensionalized by injection parameters with subscript 0, thereinto $P_0=2$ MPa, $T_0=850$K, $\rho_0=40.52$ kg/m$^3$. It is shown clearly in Figure 5 that the two kerosene jets both accelerate to supersonic through the convergent-divergent nozzle, and a region with low temperature, low density, low pressure and high speed ($Ma_{max}=3.0$) forms before Mach disk because of the rapidly expansion of kerosene jet. Consequently, kerosene jets turn to subsonic after being compressed by Mach disk, and the temperature, density, and pressure also sharply increase. In more detail, the temperature, pressure and density decrease in the nozzle, and they decrease to larger values in real-gas effect considered jet than another at the nozzle exit. However, the variation tendency of Mach number is opposite. The Mach numbers at nozzle exit and upstream of the normal shock are lower in real-gas effect considered jet. The jumps of temperature, pressure and density and the drop of speed occur at the normal shock, and the sharp changes appear more forward in real-gas effect considered jet. After the shock, the flow properties tend to be stable, and the differences between the two cases begin to disappear and continue until the far fields.
Figure 5. Comparisons of near-fields mean profiles of flow properties between real-gas effects considered (ECS principle) and neglected (ideal gas law) jets along the jet centerline: (a) temperature; (b) pressure; (c) density; (d) Mach number

B. Global flow field characteristics

Instantaneous fully-developed and real-gas effect considered flow field of temperature at the streamwise section is shown in Figure 6. Similarity, isolines of kerosene mass fraction, which is the sum of mass fractions of the three components are shown in Figure 7, colored by streamwise velocity. Moreover, the diffusion physics of kerosene characterized by its mass fraction variations is displayed in Figure 8. Distributions of velocity, temperature and mass fraction exactly characterize the momentum, temperature and mass diffusion of kerosene, which determine the mixing potential with air. It also can be found that they explicitly illustrate the jet boundary which can make the instability process of jet clear. In the front part, the jet is almost symmetrical and stabilized without transverse diffusion, and a vortex pair can be observed. In the back of the region, vortex rings are inclining, distorting and breaking up because of the Kelvin-Helmholtz (K-H) instability of jet shear layer. Thus, many large-scale coherent structures appear in the flow field, which play a good role in enhancing the mixing of fuel and air.
Figure 6. Instantaneous distribution of temperature at the streamwise section Z=0 of the fully-developed real-gas effect considered jet

Figure 7. Instantaneous isolines of kerosene mass fraction at the streamwise section Z=0, colored by of streamwise velocity Ux of fully-developed real-gas effect considered jet

Figure 8. Instantaneous three-component kerosene mass fraction at the streamwise section Z=0 of fully-developed real-gas effect considered jet

Three-dimensional instantaneous fully-developed and real-gas effect considered isosurfaces of the Q-criterion (Q=10^7 m^2/s^2) are presented in Figure 9 and are colored by temperature at the same time. Q-criterion is defined as Eq. (5) [34], where S and Ω are strain rate tensor and rotation rate tensor.

\[ Q = -\frac{1}{2} (\|S\|^2 - \|\Omega\|^2) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)
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It is also shown that some annular shear layer forms in near nozzle field, and then circumferential instability occurs leading the whole shear layer to break into small-scale vortex tubes. Many large-scale and three-dimensional streamwise vortices and hairpin vortices can be found around the jet shear layer, which mean the arrival of full turbulence.

Figure 9. Instantaneous isosurfaces of Q-criterion ($Q=10^7 m^2/s^2$), colored by temperature $T$ of the fully-developed real-gas effect considered jet

Figure 10. Instantaneous isolines of kerosene mass fraction at transverse sections $x/D=1, 5, 12, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70$, colored by streamwise velocity of the fully-developed real-gas effect considered jet
Figure 10 displays the isolines of kerosene mass fraction in real-gas effect considered flow field at the transverse sections, which can not only demonstrates the spatial evolution of jet shear layer, especially the circumferential instability, but also shows the mass diffusion process. Isolines are colored by instantaneous streamwise velocity under the same conditions. At cross-section x/D=1, the jet boundary is circular. At x/D=5, a vortex ring is sharply captured and the jet core is approximately circular. At x/D=12, 20, jet begins to diffuse around, with a tendency of instability. At areas like x/D=30, 40, the circumferential instability of jet shear layer becomes more obvious and the jet structures begin to distort. Moreover, the internal structures also begin to change, which results from the entered vorticity disturbances from the jet boundary. At the downstream sections x/D=50, 60, 70, the velocity of jet is significantly slower, and the area is larger. Furthermore, the increasing small-scale and low-velocity structure of jet shear layer can promote the momentum exchange between the inside and outside the jet, which enhances the mixing of kerosene jet and air.

Note that the qualitative variation tendencies of aerodynamic parameters, vortex structures, evolutionary rules and mass diffusion processes of jet shear layer are quite similar between real-gas effect considered and neglected flow fields. However, quantitatively, the flow properties of them are partly different. For example, differences of global flow-fields mean profiles of kerosene mass faction, which is the sum of mass fractions of the three components along the jet centerline between the two cases are plotted in Figure 11 (a). It is shown that real-gas effect considered jet (use ECS principle) has a little bit shorter potential core and a lower mass fraction along the jet centerline, which partly demonstrates that it has lower streamwise speed and diffuses around faster than real-gas effect neglected jet (use ideal gas law). Moreover, the diffusion areas of the two jets at several transverse sections are presented in Figure 11 (b). The diffusion area is defined as the area covered by the Y\textsubscript{fuel}=0.05 contour line. The profiles illustrate that diffusion areas increase rapidly along the main flow direction, corresponding with the decreases of kerosene mass fraction. By contrast, what responses to the differences of kerosene mass fraction between the two jets is that the areas of real-gas effect considered jet are always larger than another.

![Figure 11. Comparisons of global flow-fields mean profiles of flow properties between real-gas effects considered (ECS principle) and neglected (ideal gas law) jets along the jet centerline: (a) kerosene mass fraction; (b) kerosene diffusion area](image)

IV. Conclusions

The principle of Extended Corresponding States was applied to model the real-gas effect of supersonic kerosene jet by characterizing the pressure-temperature-density behavior in the three-dimensional large eddy simulation. Two cases including real-gas effect considered jet using the ECS principle and real-gas effect neglected jet using ideal gas law were both set to reveal the penetration, instability, breakup, diffusion and mixing physics in supersonic kerosene jet, and were compared to display the influence of real-gas effect on the jet properties. The modeling results were firstly validated against the measured near nozzle shock structures in experiments, and general good agreements were achieved using the current modeling framework. Then qualitative and quantitative analyses were conducted by the aid of flow parameters distributions in near and global fields. The main conclusions of this study are summarized as follows.
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The local and global flow parameters were presented and analyzed, indicating that the global rules of flow evolutions and developments of the two jets are similar and their turbulence transitions are both characterized by the breakup of vortex pairs, distortion of jet core and generation of vortex structures. Furthermore, the variation tendencies of aerodynamic parameters in near nozzle fields and far fields of them are also alike, and their profiles outline the typical supersonic jet flow fields.

The near nozzle shock structures, e.g., the size of Mach disk and changing profiles of aerodynamic fields, were evaluated quantitatively and comparatively in the two cases. The Mach disk is smaller and closer to nozzle exit in real-gas effect considered jet. And it also has higher temperature, pressure and density and inversely lower Mach number at the nozzle exit and upstream of the normal shock. As for global distributions, the diffusion physics of kerosene jet was characterized by variation rules of its mass fraction and diffusion area, showing that real-gas effect considered kerosene jet has a little bit shorter potential, larger diffusion area and higher diffusion speed than another kerosene jet.
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