Blood Pressure Reverse-Dipping is Associated With Early Formation of Carotid Plaque in Senior Hypertensive Patients
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Abstract: Nocturnal variations in blood pressure (BP) were associated with carotid intima-media thickness. However, the precise relationship between circadian variations of BP and carotid plaques remains unknown. Therefore, the prognostic value of reverse-dipper pattern of BP for carotid plaque was investigated.

In this cross-sectional study, a total of 524 hypertensive patients were recruited and evaluated with ambulatory BP monitoring between April 2012 and June 2013. Carotid plaque was classified into Grade 0 (normal or no observable plaque), Grade 1 (mild stenosis, 1%–24% narrowing), and Grade 2 (moderate stenosis, ≥25% narrowing). Multinomial logistic regression was applied to analyze the relationship between different degrees of carotid plaque and ambulatory BP monitoring results.

Reverse-dipper pattern of BP was more common in older patients, smokers, and those with elevated fasting glucose. The incidences of coronary artery disease, lacunar infarction, and diabetes were also higher among hypertensive with reverse-dipper pattern. Multinomial logistic regression analysis showed that reverse dipper (odds ratio [OR] 1.009–2.617; P = 0.010) was significantly different between mild carotid plaque and normal. Our results also suggested that mild carotid plaque was closely related to reverse-dipper pattern of BP (2.308; 95% CI 1.009–2.617; P = 0.010). Reverse-dipper pattern of BP may be a risk factor for carotid atherosclerosis in patients with hypertension to demonstrate the relationship between circadian BP variation, which bear a more significant predictive role for cerebral hemorrhage, cardiovascular risk, and all-cause mortality.

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is a well-recognized major risk factor for cardiovascular events. In addition to blood pressure (BP) control, a growing amount of attention has been focused on BP variations, which are gradually accepted as an important regulator in the progression of end organ damages in hypertensive patients.1 It has been established that BP presents a reproducible circadian pattern, arise from endogenous neuroendocrine circadian rhythms and other variables due to physical activity, psychological state, and other exogenous stimuli.2 Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) is a noninvasive examination to evaluate intermittent BP over 24 hours, whereas patients undergo normal daily activities, including sleep.3 ABPM can provide valuable diagnostic information for patients with fluctuating BP.3–5 More importantly, ABPM may facilitate in collecting additional prognostic information of circadian BP variation, which bear a more significant predictive role for cerebral hemorrhage, cardiovascular risk, and all-cause mortality.6–10 For example, the failure of nocturnal BP dipping increases the risk of organ damages in heart, brain, and kidney.5,11–14

On the basis of the nocturnal dipping of BP, circadian BP patterns were used to be divided into dipper (10%–20% systolic blood pressure [SBP] fall), extreme dipper (>20% SBP fall), and nondipper (<10% SBP fall) previously, where reverse-dipper BP pattern (average nighttime BP is higher than daytime BP) was recognized as a variant of nondipper.15–17 Importantly, reverse dipper was recently regarded as an independent predictor for graft outcome and closely associated with cardiovascular injuries in chronic kidney disease.18,19 For the first time, we have also discovered that reverse dippers were exposed to higher risk for lacunar infarction,20 which highlight our hypothesis that BP reverse-dipping might correlate with carotid atherosclerosis. Interestingly, Cuspidi et al17 and Vasunta et al22 have reported the association of circadian profile of BP with carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT), which was assessed by B-mode ultrasound of carotid arteries and widely used to evaluate the risk and prognosis of cardiovascular diseases. Therefore, we conducted this cross-sectional study in the patients with hypertension to demonstrate the relationship between the circadian variations of BP and the development of carotid atherosclerosis and provide more prognostic information for cardiovascular events.

Abbreviations: ABPM = ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, BP = blood pressure, CI = confidence interval, CIMT = carotid intima-media thickness, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, OR = odds ratio, SBP = systolic blood pressure.
METHODOLOGY

Study Population
In this study, hypertension was diagnosed as SBP ≥140 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥90 mm Hg in casual office recording, or daytime (or awake) SBP ≥135 mm Hg and/or DBP ≥85 mm Hg, or night-time (or asleep) SBP ≥120 mm Hg and/or DBP ≥70 mm Hg in ABPM. Data were extracted from our entire in-patient ABPM service database (1740 patients with hypertension) from April 2012 to June 2013. Hypertensive patients were excluded if the patients were <18 or >90 years old; were pregnant female; were under antihypertensive treatment; had BP measurements over 160/100 mm Hg; had night-work employment; had evidences of acute stroke or myocardial infarction within the past 6 months; had sleep apnea syndrome; had evidence of disease or conditions responsible for secondary hypertension; could not tolerate ABPM; had history of any arrhythmia, congestive heart failure, hepatic failure, kidney failure, and significant systemic disease. Five hundred twenty-four hypertensive individuals in total were eventually included in our study. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital, Xi'an Jiaotong University. All patients were referred because of standard indications that have been shown to use ABPM for appropriate clinical circumstances.

ABPM Assessment
Ambulatory BP was recorded throughout 24 hours using an oscillometric device (Spacelabs 90207; Spacelabs, Redmond, WA). The monitor was installed on the nondominant arm between 7 and 9 AM and removed 24 hours later. Frequency of recordings was made as every 15 minutes during the daytime (from 7 AM to 11 PM) and every 30 minutes during the nighttime (from 11 PM to 7 AM). Strenuous physical activity was discouraged for all patients during the monitoring period. We calculated the following values from the 24-h BP profiles: mean 24-h systolic and diastolic values, SBP- and DBP-awakening, SBP- and DBP-bedtime. The day—night dip in BP was defined for SBP as 10% reduction of the mean BP values at night compared with the daytime values. Values of SBP <70 or >250 mm Hg, DBP <40 or >150 mm Hg, and HR <40 or >150 beats per minute were excluded from the recording. Fewer than 3% of the BP readings were rejected as artifacts on the basis of these criteria. BP patterns of patients in our study were divided into dipper (10%–20% SBP fall), nondipper (0%–10% SBP fall), extreme dipper (>20% SBP fall), and reverse dipper (<0% SBP fall), according to the range of the nocturnal SBP dip.6,17

Carotid Artery Measurements
Although the 2010 American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association guideline for cardiovascular risk assessment recommends (class IIa) the use of CIMT and carotid plaque by ultrasound in asymptomatic adults,24 the accuracy of CIMT as a marker of atherosclerosis has been questioned with increasingly strong evidence that ultrasound assessment of carotid plaque may have higher predictive power.25–28 In our study, we mainly focused on the associations between circadian BP variations and the different degrees of carotid plaque. Carotid plaque was measured with duplex ultrasonography with 7.5-MHz linear array transducer. All carotid analyses were performed by 2 individual physicians unaware of the objective and risk factors. The optimal image of carotid artery wall was obtained and the procedure was repeated for 2 times bilaterally. Carotid plaque was examined in diverse segment including proximal common carotid artery (>20 mm proximal to the bulb bifurcation), distal common carotid artery, bulb, internal carotid artery, and external carotid artery on each side and present for any stenosis >0%.29–31 The degree of carotid artery plaque were divided into Grade 0 (normal or no observable plaque), Grade 1 (mild stenosis, 1%–24% narrowing), and Grade 2 (moderate stenosis, >25% narrowing). Because of the lack of patients of severe carotid stenosis (narrowing >70%), we only investigated the relationships between ABPM results and normal, mild stenosis, or moderate stenosis.

Statistical Analysis
Descriptive statistics are presented as percentages for discrete variables and mean ± SD for continuous normally distributed variables. To compare ordinal and continuous normally distributed variables between subgroups of circadian BP and carotid atherosclerosis, chi-squared and analysis of variance were employed, respectively. Variables with statistical significance in univariate models and acceptable collinearity were then included in the multivariate analyses. A multinomial logistic regression was utilized to analyze the relationship between different degrees of carotid atherosclerosis (normal, mild stenosis, and moderate stenosis) and the age, gender, smoking, diabetes, cholesterol, triglycerides, and circadian BP variation. Multinomial logistic regression was also employed to analyze the relationship between circadian BP (dipper, nondipper, and reverse dipper) and different clinical variables. A calculated difference of P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All the data was analyzed using SPSS 18.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL).

RESULTS
Clinical characteristics of study population with dipping status are shown in Table 1. A total of 524 hypertensive patients including 286 males and 238 females were involved in our study. The male patients tended to have higher 24 hours BP, triglyceride, and blood glucose. The female patients were older, whereas the males were more likely to smoke and had a higher total cholesterol level. However, there was no gender difference in the reverse-dipper pattern of BP (Supplement table 1, http://links.lww.com/MD/A224).

Reverse Dipper Was Correlated With the Progression of Carotid Atherosclerosis
In our study, a total of 111 patients (21.2%) had reverse-dipper BP pattern. Nondipper pattern was observed in 275 hypertensive patients (52.5%) and dipper pattern in 138 patients (26.3%). However, in the absence of data, none of patients in this study had extreme-dipper pattern of BP. Patients of reverse dipper were older and more often smokers, with a higher fasting glucose and glycated hemoglobin (Table 1). There were significantly more patients with coronary artery disease among patients with reverse-dipper pattern. The distribution of hypertensive patients with different degrees of carotid plaque between each circadian BP pattern group was analyzed using chi-squared test. The difference between dipper and nondipper (P = 0.037), dipper and reverse dipper (P < 0.001), nondipper, and reverse dipper (P = 0.009) were statistically significant. The percentage of moderate carotid stenosis (Grade 2) in the 3 groups with different BP patterns was similar. Patients with reverse-dipper pattern had a
TABLE 1. Characteristics of the Study Population by Dipping Status  

| Variable | Dipper | Nondipper | Reverse Dipper | P Value |
|----------|--------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| Patients, n | 138 | 275 | 111 |  |
| Age, y | 58.2 ± 12.7 | 61.5 ± 12.5* | 66.4 ± 11.0* † | 0.001 |
| Male/female, n | 75/63 | 156/119 | 55/56 | 0.44 |
| Never smoked, n, % | 97 (70.3) | 140 (50.9) | 66 (59.5) | – |
| Current smokers, n, % | 41 (29.7) | 135 (49.1) | 45 (40.5) | 0.001 |
| Coronary artery disease, n, % | 44 (31.9) | 108 (39.3) | 58 (25.2) | 0.005 |
| Lacunar infarction, n, % | 46 (33.3) | 99 (36.0) | 50 (45.0) | 0.14 |
| Massive cerebral infarction, n, % | 6 (4.3) | 13 (4.7) | 4 (3.6) | 0.89 |
| Diabetes, n, % | 31 (22.5) | 76 (27.6) | 38 (34.2) | 0.14 |
| Fasting glucose, mmol/L | 5.3 ± 2.0 | 5.3 ± 1.6 | 5.8 ± 2.6 | 0.17 |
| Glycated hemoglobin, % | 6.5 ± 1.3 | 6.6 ± 1.4 | 6.9 ± 1.8 | 0.41 |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L | 2.3 ± 2.1 | 1.8 ± 1.3* | 1.6 ± 1.0* | 0.002 |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L | 4.8 ± 1.0 | 4.6 ± 1.0* | 4.6 ± 1.1 | 0.08 |
| HDL-C, mmol/L | 1.2 ± 0.3 | 1.3 ± 0.5 | 1.3 ± 0.3 | 0.49 |
| LDL-C, mmol/L | 2.9 ± 1.1 | 2.7 ± 0.8* | 2.7 ± 0.9 | 0.049 |
| VLDL-C, mmol/L | 0.7 ± 0.6 | 0.7 ± 0.6 | 0.6 ± 0.5 | 0.29 |
| 24 h-SBP, ABPM, mm Hg | 132.2 ± 13.0 | 136.0 ± 14.0* | 134.7 ± 13.7 | 0.032 |
| SBP awakening, mm Hg | 136.1 ± 13.6 | 137.5 ± 14.2 | 133.8 ± 13.5† | 0.063 |
| SBP bedtime, mm Hg | 116.5 ± 14.8 | 130.2 ± 14.0* | 139.7 ± 14.4* † | 0.001 |
| 24 h-DBP, ABPM, mm Hg | 78.1 ± 12.1 | 79.5 ± 10.2 | 77.5 ± 9.1 | 0.17 |
| DBP-awakening, mm Hg | 81.4 ± 10.8 | 80.5 ± 10.2 | 77.4 ± 9.1† † | 0.006 |
| DBP-bedtime, mm Hg | 68.4 ± 9.9 | 74.5 ± 9.9* | 78.1 ± 10.0* † | 0.001 |

P for difference between the 3 groups. ABPM = ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, SBP = systolic blood pressure, VLDL-C = very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

*Indicated control with nondipper group P < 0.05.
†Indicated control with nondipper group P < 0.05.

The lowest incidence of Grade 0 carotid artery, whereas had a highest prevalence of mild carotid stenosis (Grade 1) (Figure 1). Therefore, in order to evaluate the influence of different circadian BP pattern, risk factors on the progression of carotid plaque, multinomial regression analyses were performed. It was discovered that reverse dipper (OR 2.500, P = 0.005), age (OR 1.089, P = 0.001), smoking (OR 1.625, P = 0.046), and diabetes (OR 1.759, P = 0.020) are significantly different between Grade 1 carotid stenosis (%–24% narrowing) and Grade 0 (Table 2). Additionally, reverse dipper, nondipper, diabetes mellitus, triglycerides, and total cholesterol were homogeneous comparing Grade 2 carotid stenosis with Grade 1 and 0. In addition to this, circadian decline rate of SBP was shown to be an independently factor for Grade 1 carotid stenosis (OR 0.945, P = 0.034) compared with Grade 0 (data not shown).

The Carotid Plaque Affected Circadian Pattern of BP in Hypertensive Patients

Our data indicated that 24-h SBP, SBP-awakening, and SBP-bedtime were elevated in Grade 1 and Grade 2 carotid stenosis (Table 3). The incidences of reverse dipper BP pattern in the Grade 0, 1, and 2 groups were 12.7%, 27.1%, and 23.8%, respectively (P = 0.007). Multinomial regression analyses was carried out to investigate further and showed Grade 1 stenosis (OR 2.308, P = 0.010), age (OR 1.045, P = 0.001), and smoking (OR 2.225, P = 0.010) were significantly different between reverse dippers and dippers. However, carotid plaque of all degrees was not significantly different between nondippers and dippers (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Hypertension is a major risk factor for carotid atherosclerosis, but the fluctuations of BP over a certain period may provide additional prognostic value. For example, visit-to-visit BP variability was considered to be nonspecific but now shown to be associated with stroke, although the degree of

FIGURE 1. The distribution of different degrees of carotid plaque in each circadian BP pattern group. The difference between dipper and nondipper pattern, dipper and reverse-dipper pattern, and nondipper and reverse-dipper pattern were statistically significant (P = 0.037, P < 0.001, and P = 0.009), respectively. Patients with reverse-dipper pattern showed lowest incidence of normal carotid artery and a highest reverse-dipper prevalence of mild stenosis. Grade 0 (normal or no observable plaque), Grade 1 (mild carotid stenosis, 1%–24% narrowing), and Grade 2 (moderate stenosis, ≥25% narrowing).
increased mean IMT in carotid artery. Interestingly, we found study showed that nondipper pattern had a tight correlation with cardiovascular risk markers. Circadian BP variations revealed by ABPM have both been recognized as important BP variability, circadian and minute-to-minute BP variability.

In order to further clarify the impact of different circadian BP pattern in the progression of carotid plaque, we classified the patients as follows: dipper (20% SBP fall), nondipper (0–10% SBP fall), and reverse dipper (<0% SBP fall), according to the range of the nocturnal SBP dip. As a particular variant of nondipper pattern, reverse-dipper pattern has a higher nighttime BP compared with daytime BP. In addition, based on previous studies, carotid plaque was divided into 3 groups including no plaque (Grade 0, 0% narrowing), mild stenosis (Grade 1, 1%–24% narrowing), and moderate stenosis (Grade 2, ≥25% narrowing).

Table 2: Comparison of Risk Factor Prevalence Between No Plaque (0% Narrowing), Mild Stenosis (1%–24% Narrowing), and Moderate Stenosis (≥25% Narrowing)

| Variable          | No Plaque | Mild Stenosis | Moderate Stenosis |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|
|                    | OR (95% CI) | P              | OR (95% CI) | P | OR (95% CI) | P |
| Circadian BP      |            |                |                |                |    |                |
| Reverse dipper    | 2.500 (1.320–4.736) | 0.005 | 0.963 (0.316–2.937) | 0.95 | 0.385 (0.136–1.092) | 0.073 |
| Nondipper         | 1.375 (0.837–2.258) | 0.21 | 0.652 (0.279–1.522) | 0.32 | 0.474 (0.207–1.085) | 0.077 |
| Dipper            | 1          | —             | 1              | —             | 1 | —             |
| Age, y            | 1.089 (1.067–1.111) | 0.001 | 1.085 (1.049–1.123) | 0.001 | 0.997 (0.965–1.029) | 0.83 |
| Gender            | 0.829 (0.524–1.312) | 0.42 | 0.249 (0.098–0.636) | 0.004 | 0.301 (0.123–0.736) | 0.008 |
| Smoke             | 1.625 (1.009–2.617) | 0.046 | 3.895 (1.685–9.003) | 0.001 | 2.397 (1.088–5.283) | 0.030 |
| Diabetes          | 1.759 (1.093–2.830) | 0.020 | 1.457 (0.642–3.306) | 0.37 | 0.828 (0.386–1.778) | 0.63 |
| Triglycerides     | 1.045 (0.899–1.214) | 0.57 | 0.820 (0.557–1.205) | 0.31 | 0.785 (0.535–1.150) | 0.21 |
| Total cholesterol | 0.948 (0.759–1.184) | 0.64 | 0.984 (0.667–1.452) | 0.94 | 1.038 (0.716–1.506) | 0.84 |

Global P for difference between the 3 groups. BP = blood pressure, CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio.

Table 3: The Relationship Between BP Parameters and the Development of Carotid Plaque

| Variable          | No Plaque | Mild Stenosis | Moderate Stenosis | P |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|---|
| Patients, n       | 205       | 277           | 42                |   |
| 24 h-SBP, ABPM, mm Hg | 133.4 ± 13.3 | 134.8 ± 13.9 | 138.3 ± 14.3* | 0.11 |
| 24 h-DBP, ABPM, mm Hg | 80.7 ± 11.6 | 77.1 ± 9.6* | 79.0 ± 9.8 | 0.001 |
| SBP awakening, mm Hg | 135.6 ± 13.8 | 136.2 ± 13.9 | 139.7 ± 14.7 | 0.24 |
| DBP awakening, mm Hg | 82.4 ± 10.3 | 78.2 ± 9.8* | 80.5 ± 10.0 | 0.001 |
| SBP bedtime, mm Hg | 124.8 ± 16.2 | 130.4 ± 16.2 | 132.1 ± 17.0* | 0.001 |
| DBP bedtime, mm Hg | 74.6 ± 10.8 | 72.7 ± 10.2 | 74.2 ± 10.7 | 0.14 |
| Nighttime BP increase, n, % | 26 (12.7) | 75 (27.1) | 10 (23.8) | 0.007 |

P for difference between the 3 groups. ABPM = ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, SBP = systolic blood pressure.

* Indicated control with no plaque group P < 0.05. †Indicated control with mild stenosis (1%–24% narrowing) group P < 0.05.
To study the mechanism underlying BP variability and carotid atherosclerosis, a few researchers proposed that the higher risk of carotid atherosclerosis associated with nondipper pattern of BP may relate to relatively higher blood mechanical forces on endothelial cells, or elevated levels of molecules related to endothelial dysfunction.\textsuperscript{33} On the contrary, BP variability depends on the sensitivity of arterial baroreceptor, which could be compromised due to reduced large arterial compliance.\textsuperscript{34} Additionally, the changes in aortic wall structure and related reduction in aortic dispensability might have been direct consequences of increased BP variability.\textsuperscript{30} The result should not be extended to nonhypertensive patients. Moreover, prospective clinical observation may facilitate better understanding of the underlying mechanism.
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