Correlation between sfar score and nasal cytology in paediatric allergic rhinitis patients visiting OPD in Goa Medical College
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Abstract

Background: The prevalence of allergic rhinitis is 10-20% worldwide. Allergic rhinitis being a non fatal condition is often trivialized but it causes significant morbidity. The diagnosis of allergic rhinitis is mainly clinical, which often fails in differentiating allergic versus non allergic rhinitis. The importance of this study is to find the effectiveness of nasal mucosal cytology as a diagnostic method for allergic rhinitis, nasal cytology being a simple, non invasive and easily available test may be used as a tool in the diagnosis of allergic rhinitis. Objective: To find the correlation between SFAR score and nasal mucosal eosinophilia in diagnosing allergic rhinitis. Materials and Methods: This was a descriptive prospective hospital based study conducted over a period of two years in Goa medical college. Children in the age group of 1-12 years in whom a clinical diagnosis of allergic rhinitis was made based on ARIA guidelines were included in the study. A subjective definition of allergic rhinitis was made using SFAR score. Nasal smears were collected and examined for eosinophils. A semi quantitative scale was used for grading nasal mucosal eosinophilia. Results: A positive correlation was obtained between nasal smear eosinophilia and SFAR score. The spearman’s correlation was 0.426 suggesting a correlation. Conclusion: Nasal mucosal smear eosinophilia can be used as a simple, cheap and non invasive method in diagnosing allergic rhinitis especially in resource limited settings.
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Introduction

Allergic Rhinitis, commonly called as hay fever is an IgE mediated hypersensitivity. It is the most common chronic disease suffered by human beings. The prevalence of allergic rhinitis is 10-20% worldwide and 10-15% in India [1]. The prevalence of allergic rhinitis has increased during the last two - three decades and it continues to increase.

Allergic rhinitis being a non-fatal condition has been trivialized but it causes significant morbidity to the sufferers. In infancy it may contribute to feeding difficulties and during childhood it may contribute to sleep disturbances, lack in day time concentration, lack of productivity in school, work or sport and social ostracism, thus significantly affecting the quality of life [2,3,4]. The financial burden to the society due to allergic rhinitis is substantial. Allergic Rhinitis leads to complications of sinusitis and otitis media with effusion [5]. Appropriate management of allergic rhinitis may be an important component in effective management of coexisting or complicating respiratory conditions such as bronchial asthma, sinusitis or sleep apnea. [6,7]. Allergic Rhinitis precedes asthma in the ‘allergic march’ which begins as infantile eczema proceeds to allergic rhinitis and ends up in bronchial asthma.

There are very few Indian studies on allergic rhinitis, especially in children. There is no published study on allergic rhinitis in Goa, thus this study on allergic rhinitis aims at defining the demographic and clinical pattern of allergic rhinitis in the state of Goa.

The diagnosis of allergic rhinitis is mainly clinical, which often fails in differentiating allergic versus non
allergic rhinitis. The non availability and cost factors involved in the immunological tests leaves us with no available methods in diagnosing allergic rhinitis. The importance of this study is to find the effectiveness of nasal mucosal cytology as a diagnosing method for allergic rhinitis, nasal cytology being a simple, non invasive and easily available test may be used as a tool in the diagnosis of allergic rhinitis and thus will aid in timely therapeutic intervention [8,9].

Materials and Methods

This was a descriptive prospective hospital based study conducted in the Department of Paediatrics, Goa medical college, Bambolim over a period of 2 years from September 1, 2012-August 31, 2014, over two allergic seasons.

Children in the age group of 1-12 years visiting paediatric out patient department were included in the study. Children were included in the study in whom a clinical diagnosis of allergic rhinitis was made as per the ARIA guidelines [10], which defines allergic rhinitis as presence of 2 or more recurrent symptoms of excessive sneezing, watery nasal discharge, nasal congestion, nasal itching, red itchy eyes for at least one hour on most of the days or on most days of the season if symptoms are seasonal. Those with fever, purulent nasal discharge and on nasal steroids were excluded from the study.

Inclusion Criteria

- 1-12 Years.
- 2 or more symptoms defining allergic rhinitis i.e recurrent sneezing, watery nasal discharge, nasal congestion, nasal itching, red itchy eyes ,for at least one hour on most of the days or on most days of the season if symptoms are seasonal.

Exclusion Criteria

- Age less than 1 year and more than 12 years.
- Presence of fever, purulent nasal discharge.

Results

In this study majority of the cases were females (57.4%). Out of 223 cases majority were in the age group of 8-12 years (58.3%). Most of the cases were from North Goa district of Goa (57.8%), Recurrent sneezing was the most common symptom present in 95% of the patients, 92.35 % had running nose, 60% had blocked nose and 47% had nasal itching. Allergic conjunctivitis was present in 31.3% of the patients.

Cold weather was the most common triggering factor (66.4%), followed by dust allergy present in 60.5% , allergy to pets in 32.2%, 26 % had allergy to strong odours, 21% had allergy to smoke and food allergy in 4.9%. Family history of atopy was present in 52.4%.
Table 1: Nasal smear finding in SFAR positive and SFAR negative patients.

|                  | Normal | Mild | Moderate | Severe | Total |
|------------------|--------|------|----------|--------|-------|
| **SFAR negative**|        |      |          |        |       |
| count            | 62     | 5    | 0        | 0      | 67    |
| %                | 92.5%  | 7.5% | 0.0%     | 0.0%   | 100.0%|
| **SFAR positive**|        |      |          |        |       |
| count            | 73     | 62   | 20       | 1      | 156   |
| %                | 46.8%  | 39.7%| 12.8%    | 0.6%   | 100.0%|
| count            | 135    | 67   | 20       | 1      | 223   |
| %                | 60.5%  | 30.0%| 9.0%     | 0.4%   | 100.0%|

Figure-1 Triggers for Allergic rhinitis:

Cold weather was the most common trigger in 66.36% patients (148), followed by dust 60.5% (135), pets 32.2% (72), strong odors 26% (58), smoke 21.07% (47), food allergy 4.9% (11).

Figure-2: SFAR Score.

SFAR Score of more than 7, which defines allergic rhinitis was present in 70% (156) of the patients, out of which 97 (43.4%) of them had scores of more than or equal to 10. SFAR score of less than or equal to 7 (no allergic rhinitis as per SFAR) was present in 67 patients (30%).
Nasal smear eosinophilia was normal in 135 (60.5%) of the patients, mild eosinophilia was present in 67 patients (30%), moderate eosinophilia in 20 (9%) of them and severe eosinophilia was present in 1 patient (0.4%).

In SFAR positive (score more than 7), group normal smear finding was present in 73, mild eosinophilia in 62, moderate in 20 and severe in 1 among the SFAR negative group normal smear finding was present in 62 and mild eosinophilia in 5.

Symptoms were seasonal in majority of the cases, (81.6%). Otitis media was present in 18.8% of the patients as a co morbidity followed by eczema in 14.7%, bronchial asthma in 13%, sinusitis in 0.04%.

Nasal mucosal eosinophilia was found in 53% of the patients with SFAR positive allergic rhinitis. 83 of them had nasal eosinophilia, mild eosinophilia in 36.5%, moderate eosinophilia in 12.8% and severe eosinophilia in 0.6%. 89 of them had a positive smear for eosinophilia irrespective of the SFAR score. A positive correlation was obtained between nasal smear eosinophilia and SFAR score. The spearman’s correlation was 0.426 suggesting a weak correlation.

Thus it can be concluded that nasal smear eosinophilia can be used as a simple and cheap method in diagnosing allergic rhinitis especially in resource limited setting.
Discussion

Allergic rhinitis was predominantly noted among females in our study. A similar finding was seen in the study done by AD Olusesi et al in Nigeria [15].

Recurrent sneezing was the most common symptom in our study affecting 95% (212 out of 223) of the children with allergic rhinitis, followed by running nose affecting 92.3% (206 out of 223), blocked nose affecting 60% (134 out of 223) and nasal itching affecting 47% (105 out of 223). In the study done by S.P.S Yadav et al in Haryana recurrent sneezing was the commonest symptom seen in 100% of the cases, 90% had rhinorrhea similar to our study, 72.5% had nasal obstruction and 57.8% had nasal itching.

Cold weather was the most common allergic trigger as per my study affecting 66.4% (148) of the children, followed by dust 60.5% (135), pets 32.2% (72), strong odours 26% (58), smoke 21.07% (58) and food allergy in 4.9% (11). In the study done by Said et al dust was found as the major trigger for allergy, dust was triggering factor in 39.5% of the cases, followed by cold weather in 27.9% cases, perfume in 17.9% cases and smoke in 3.7% cases.

In our study nasal mucosal eosinophilia was found in 50% of the patients with SFAR positive allergic rhinitis out of which 36.5% had mild eosinophilia, 12.8% had moderate eosinophilia and 0.6% had severe nasal mucosal eosinophilia. In the study conducted by M Bakhshae et al in Iran, nasal mucosal eosinophilia was present in 51% of the patients. Nasal smear eosinophilia was found in 52.4% of patients with allergic rhinitis in the study by N Kumar et al [16]. In the study conducted by F E Ologe et al mild eosinophilia was not present in any patients with allergic rhinitis, moderate eosinophilia in 71.6% patients and severe eosinophilia in 28.4% cases [17].

The study by Chanda et al has shown a lower nasal smear eosinophilia of 40% in the study they conducted at PGIMS, Rohtak, Haryana [13].

We found that there is a correlation between SFAR score and nasal smear eosinophilia, the spearman correlation coefficient was 0.429. A correlation of 0.88 between nasal mucosal cytology and SFAR score was obtained by F E Ologe et al. In this study they have found a strong correlation between nasal smear eosinophilia & SAFAR score [17].
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Key Messages: Nasal mucosal cytology for eosinophilia can be used as simple, cheap and noninvasive method for diagnosing allergic rhinitis in settings where complex immunological tests are not available.

Capsule Summary: SFAR is a validated and accepted scoring system for allergic rhinitis however nasal cytology is not validated. A positive correlation of nasal mucosal eosinophilia implies that nasal eosinophilia will serve as a definite diagnostic test for allergic rhinitis especially in resource limited settings.
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