Customers perception on logistics service quality using Kansei engineering: empirical evidence from indonesian logistics providers
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Abstract: This article designed a service model in logistics services for document and package delivery through the exploration of service element relationships and customer perceived (i.e. Kansei). Kansei engineering is used in this article to design 24 questionnaire instruments and 41 services attribute questionnaire instruments. The questionnaire was distributed by purposive sampling with a total of 100 respondents in logistics services: package delivery services, package tracking services, and package delivery services. In this study, Partial Least Square for Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) is used to analyze the relationship between Kansei and the logistics service elements. The results indicate that Kansei’s words affect significantly on the three logistics services. The results of this study indicate that the variables obtained from Kansei words have a significant effect on 16 elements of logistics services. The innovative Kansei word has the most influence in the delivery service, the reliable Kansei word has the most influence in the tracking service, the
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PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT

Capturing the voice of consumers towards the attribute quality of logistics services has become an interesting issue to be discussed lately. Servqual attributes that have so far been unable to provide the value of customization expected by consumers for the quality of logistics services they expect. This article shows that Kansei engineering offers a different approach in capturing consumer voice based on ergonomic-based logistics service quality and its relationship with customer trust and loyalty.
reliable and adjustable Kansei word has the most impact in the delivery service. This study also produces the best service attributes at each service stage to improve the quality of logistics services. This finding has important implications for logistics managers in designing services that take into account elements of customer-based voice services.
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1. **Introduction**

Logistics has become the most developed segment offering space for innovation and continuous process improvement in companies, communities, and supply chain management (Jurik, 2016). Logistics service providers handle processes such as inventory, shipping, packaging, and security for shipping. According to Saura et al. (2008), currently, logistics focuses on the ability to provide quality services and produce greater satisfaction with the services offered. The logistics quality provided by logistics services has the main objective to satisfy customers so that their competitive advantage over other competitors is even greater (Thai, 2013). Enhancing the capabilities of a company's logistics services is an effective way to build a close relationship between the company and its customers (Mentzer & Kahn, 1995). Also, to improve customer satisfaction as expressed by (Boaden, 1997), who considers that each company should offer a value-added service, then improving the quality of logistics services that are sustainable must be a major concern for logistics services for logistics service providers. They should become more proactive with customer satisfaction by providing better logistics services (Stank et al., 1999).

Boyter, Boyer et al., (2003b) and Cairns (1996) investigated logistics services for home delivery services (HDS) for food distribution and found that HDS played an essential role in improving logistics service performance. One of the new types of logistics services, for example, home delivery service, is becoming a service that is in high demand by consumers. Furthermore, as suggested by Småros et al. (2000), providing convenient and fast logistics services for customers will have a significant impact on increasing the financial performance of logistics service providers. Meanwhile, Lin & Chen, (2011) in their research concluded that providing home delivery services in one of the logistics service activities has played an important role in increasing the convenience of online transactions and the physical distribution of goods. That would improve the service performance of logistics service providers. Furthermore, superior delivery processes to customers can create competitiveness in the market due to the increasing number of customers who require fast, reliable, and cost-effective logistics processes and services (Persson & Virum, 2001).

Although providing a superior service quality can produce many benefits for service providers, such as time savings, cost reduction, increased market share, and profits (Thompson et al., 1985), but delivering an excellent service quality consistently is difficult. Particularly, when the service or product quality are based on the customers' perspectives, translating the needs, the impression, and the experience of customers who use the products or service is the critical point to get the quality feedback from customers for the service improvement. In logistics services, some studies has been conducted related to the way of gathering information of the services based on the customer's perception, such as Fuzzy QFD (Quality Function Deployment) (Bottani & Rizzi, 2006), Servqual (Roslan et al., 2015), Kano model (Baki et al., 2009), Kansei engineering (Chen et al., 2015). The latest article discussed the framework of the relationship between service elements, Kansei words, and usage intention based on customer Kansei perceptions for international logistics services. Slightly different with the article made by Chen et al. (2015), this article explores the dominant factors from customer Kansei perception of the three models of logistics services: shipping, tracking, and delivery (STD) services and investigate the relationship between service elements of the three logistics services, Kansei words, and customer satisfaction. In the
Indonesian context, studies using Kansei engineering were done in other service areas such as restaurant (Hartono, 2016), hotel services (Hartono et al., 2013) and public transport services (Hapsari et al., 2017), however there is no investigation of Kansei engineering for Indonesian logistics services. Thus, this article discusses how Kansei engineering identifies Indonesian customers’ perception on logistics service quality. This study also discusses the managerial implication for improvement that can be done by the logistics service providers.

2. Literature review

2.1. Kansei engineering in logistics service quality

Kansei Engineering is defined as “A translator system for translating images or feelings into real design components” (Nagamachi, 1995) that can translate customer impressions, feelings, and products and concepts into product and service design. Kansei Engineering is considered as a superior approach compared to other similar methods related to capturing the needs and voices of consumers. This method could translate the customer's emotional needs into product attribute parameters through engineering (Schütte et al., 2004). Also, Kansei Engineering can modify and optimize product properties that are not directly visible (Dahlgaard et al., 2008). In the service businesses, logistics is the most developed segment and offers space for continuous innovation and process improvement in companies, communities, and supply chain management (Jurik, 2016). At present, logistics focuses on the ability to provide quality services and generate greater satisfaction with the services offered. Logistics quality is the basis of logistics companies, and the level of logistics services provided by these companies can determine customer satisfaction, thereby determining their competitive advantage over other competitors (Thai, 2013). Logistics service provider companies should offer value-added services, so improving the quality of logistics services should be the primary focus for logistics service providers and be more proactive with customer satisfaction by providing better logistics services (Stank et al., 1999).

As an ergonomics-based product development technology, Kansei engineering brings together customer preferences and emotions. The use of Kansei engineering in exploring information related to customer perceptions of logistics services is an interesting discussion conducted by researchers and practitioners. Several previous studies have shown that Kansei engineering can be applied to logistics services like home delivery, tracking, and shipping services aspects. Chen et al. (2015) gave an example of the application of Kansei engineering to the home delivery service, which explained that compared to other methods, Kansei engineering could better express users feel better. In another study, Chen et al. (2015) used Kansei engineering to identify the relationships between international express service (IES) service elements, Kansei customer perceptions, and customer use intentions to provide new ideas for IES design.

The complexity of the logistics service quality based on the customer’s voice has encouraged researchers to use Kansei engineering together with other customer-based approaches such as Kano, text-mining-based, and quality function deployment (QFD). For example, Hsiao et al. (2017) designed a cross-border e-commerce using Kansei dan text-mining-based for online content analysis. They found that the emotional perceptions of customers’ needs can be figured out by Kansei engineering with text-mining-based. In their article, the application of the content-mining approach is used to identify service elements and Kansei words for online cross-border logistics services. The findings of their study show that in addition to using conventional customer surveys that are commonly used; the text approach can be an alternative way that can be used to capture the voice of customers together with Kansei engineering effectively. Moreover, Hartono et al. (2017) modeled a logistics service quality using Kansei engineering, Kano, and QFD. The combination has the aim to generate more innovative and accurate ideas to capture customer’s perceptions of the logistics service quality. Another study by Hartono (2016) identified the attributes of services and customer satisfaction using Kansei engineering and the theory of incentive problem solving (TRIZ).
2.2. Customers’ perceptions of logistics service

Several studies (Chen et al., 2015; Naomi, 2015) discuss the importance of using Kansei engineering on capturing customers’ perception of logistics service attributes on the elements of logistics services. According to Naomi (2015), the decisions on buying the product or using the services is based on the customers’ perception. The perception of ease to operate a site system, transaction until purchased-goods delivered. These ordering procedures refer to the efficiency and effectiveness of processes provided by logistic service providers (Bienstock et al., 1997). In terms of delivery time, a study by Davis and Mentzer (2006) stated that customer loyalty is highly dependent on aspects related to the quality of logistics services such as reliability, communication, timeliness, and responsiveness. Timelines refer to the estimated delivery time, which refers to the time taken between placing an order and receiving it by the customer. In the research findings done by Chen et al. (2015) show that the delivery factors captured by Kansei words from customer perceptions indicate that most customer's feeling on delivery factors are like high quality, reliable, familiar, specialized, on time and convenient.

Other important factors affecting logistics service quality is picking-up services and packaging services. Kansei engineering was used to capture customer’s perceptions of logistics services and found that pick-up services are one of the services attributes that most considered by customers (Chen et al., 2015), while a study by Hsiao et al. (2017) and Chen et al. (2015) found that packaging services greatly affects customer perceptions in assessing provider logistics services. Moreover, other factors affecting logistics service quality has been discussed widely such as costs (Avlonitis & Indounas, 2005), warranty services (Parasuraman, 2000), (Ribbink & Grimm, 2014) and (Wang et al., 2003), tracking service (Saura et al., 2008), and (Lewis & Sourel, 2006), shipping area service (Bansal et al., 2004), home delivery service (Boyer et al., 2003a), availability of delivery information (Chen et al., 2015) and claim handling (Firnstahl, 1989) and (Spreng et al., 1995).

The relationship between logistics service quality logistics performance has been studied previously. Most of them investigated the attributes of logistics service quality (servqual) and their relationship to logistics performance. Gefen (2002) and Rezi, Chandra, Budiman, Putra, & Rizki (Rezi et al.) investigated the relationship between service quality and logistics performance through customer loyalty and found that there is a positive relationship between service quality and customer loyalty. The effects between service quality and organization performance which is customer trust and loyalty for hospital service were investigated by (Melinda et al., 2019). The study found that there is a significant effect between them. The attributes of service quality on those studies are taken from 5 dimension developed by Parasuraman et al. (1991) and Parasuraman et al. (1994). The discussions of logistics service quality and their relationship on customer trust and loyalty are recently growing in term of the approach of capturing service attributes. The ergonomic-based attributes of service quality are proposed to generate the customers’ perception on the services of different areas. The ergonomic-based as Kansei engineering that capturing attributes based on customers’ perception is believed to be more customization-based. Therefore, different areas of the case studies and different locations of the research would have different perspective of customer service perceptions. Thus, this study uses Kansei engineering to capture the logistics service attributes from customer’s perception to posit two hypotheses:

H1: Servqual has a significant effect on Trust

H2: Trust has a significant effect on the loyalty

3. Methodology

This study uses an online survey with a total of 100 respondents. The respondents chosen in this study are customers who have used logistics services for shipping, tracking and delivery services. The criteria for sample respondents to be used are respondents who have used each service from a logistics provider, especially in package delivery services, package tracking services, and package
delivery services at least once. Before conducting a formal survey, this study conducted a pilot test with a sample of 30 respondents. The aim is to ensure that everyone in the sample understands the question. In this way also can see whether there are questions that make respondents feel uncomfortable. The survey was done online targeting respondents who have used the services of logistics providers. The databases of respondents are selected based on their experience using logistics services such as individual customers, institutions, the government, and non-government representatives. Then test the validity and reliability of the results of the questionnaire that has been distributed to customers. The sampling technique is done by purposive sampling to get a representative sample with special consideration following specified criteria. There are two types of questionnaire instruments, namely instruments related to Kansei and instruments related to attributes. Kansei instruments are used to evaluate services from logistics providers, and service attribute instruments are used to analyze the importance of each service attribute offered.

3.1. Identifying Kansei words
Ishihara explains suitable sources can be magazines, related literature, manuals, experts, experienced users, related Kansei studies. The first phase in identifying Kansei words is collecting Kansei words from several sources, namely from advertisements, tv, internet videos, or in previous studies. Next, sort out the word Kansei by eliminating words that have the same meaning. Then in the second phase is discussing the Kansei word to logistical experts. In this study, Kansei word from Chen's research (Chen et al., 2015), which focuses on 3 phases of service, is used, namely package delivery services (customers send packages), packet tracking services (customers track packages), and package delivery services (couriers delivering packages to customers). Then in the second phase of this study discussing Kansei word with service managers in logistics service providers. The logistics service providers selected in this study are the largest service providers with the most significant number of branches and distribution networks in Indonesia.

3.2. Identifying Service Attribute
Following the identification of attributes based on Chen's research (Chen et al., 2015), at this stage, the identification of service elements and service attributes in logistics service providers is made through interviews with service managers. Service attributes are collected on each service element in 3 service stages. The attributes collected are considered important and are often used by customers as a reference for their satisfaction. As suggested by Ishihara et al. (2008), regarding the creation of property spaces in Kansei engineering, researchers develop new service proposals based on existing services and then set up a set of service attributes that will also be used as questionnaire items. The conceptual model developed in this study aims to investigate the relationship between Kansei and service elements. In addition, the conceptual model is also used to investigate the service attributes and Kansei word, which have the most dominant influence on service. This study has three conceptual models related to three stages of shipping services, namely, package delivery services, package tracking services, and package delivery services. The variables of Kansei words and attributes were modified from the studies of Chen et al. (2015) and adjusted from to the customers and logistics providers representative's voices. This research uses 24 Kansei words, 16 service elements, and 41 service attributes. Identification of attributes at three service stages can be seen in Tables 1–5.

3.3. Synthesis of Kansei word with service attributes
The synthesis phase was carried out using Kansei engineering Type I (see Figure 1), which identified Kansei (emotional needs) and explored the product/service design elements associated with the Kansei.

The results of KE Type I, in this case, are more positioned as a medium to show the relationship between Kansei word variables and specific service attributes. The influential service attributes associated with Kansei words are shown in Table 4.

The following synthesis results in this study is shown in Table 5:
Table 1. Service elements and shipping service attributes

| Service element | Service attributes | CK1 | CK2 | CK3 | CK4 |
|-----------------|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| CK              | Ease of use shipping services | Customer sends the package to the location of the service provider directly or asks the courier to pick up the package using telephone, web or application | The customer sends the package to the location of the service provider directly or asks the courier to pick up the package by telephone or web | The customer sends the package to the location of the service provider directly or asks the courier to pick up the package by telephone | The customer sends the package to the location of the service provider directly |

| EP              | Estimated delivery | EP1 | EP2 |
|-----------------|--------------------|-----|-----|
| EP              | Provides several estimated delivery time options | Provides only one choice of estimated delivery time |

| LK              | Package delivery location | LK1 | LK2 |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-----|-----|
| LK              | Can send packages to the logistics service provider and other locations | Can only send packages to the logistics service provider |

| WK              | Delivery operational time | WK1 | WK2 | WK3 | WK4 |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| WK              | Operating hours for package delivery are from 8:00 to 20:00 from Monday to Saturday, and services are not available on Sundays and national holidays | 24 hours a day from Monday to Saturday, services are not available on Sundays and national holidays | 8:00-20:00 every day, including holidays | 24 hours a day, including holidays |

(Continued)
| Service element | Service attributes |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| **LP**          | **Packaging service availability**  |
| **LP1**         | The unavailability of standard package packaging |
| **LP2**         | Standard package packaging is available at an additional charge |
| **LP3**         | Package packaging is available at an additional cost, including standard packages and other types of packaging such as tubes |
| **LP4**         | Standard package packaging is available free |
| **LP5**         | Package packaging is available free of charge, including standard packages and other types of packaging such as tubes |
| **PH**          | **Pricing method**  |
| **PH1**         | Shipping rates are determined based on which cost is higher than the weight of the package (Kilograms) or the size of the package (meters) |
| **PH2**         | Provides unit rates (for example, tariffs for documents only) |
| **JP**          | **Package guarantee**  |
| **JP1**         | Provides guaranteed package protection |
| **BP**          | **Method of payment of shipping costs**  |
| **BP1**         | Use cash to pay |
| **BP2**         | Use cash or credit cards to pay |
3.4. Determination of conceptual models
The conceptual model developed in this study has several objectives (see Figure 2). First, this study wants to find out the relationship between Servqual and trust. Second, wants to investigate the relationship between trust and loyalty. Third, we want to find out how significant the relationship is, so from that; we can then find out which attribute has the most dominant value or can be said to be the most representative service attribute in each word. This research will use 6 Kansei words, and 40 service attributes, three trust indicators, and three loyalty indicators.

4. Results and discussion
This study uses SmartPLS 2.0 to examine the relationship between service elements and customer feelings (Kansei), validity and reliability testing and using SPSS 12.0 to produce descriptive statistics.

4.1. Profile of respondents and descriptive statistics
The results of the questionnaire were obtained from the distribution of formal questionnaires to 100 respondents. Respondents were taken based on the criteria of having used each service, namely package delivery services, package tracking services, and package delivery services. The profile of the respondents is summarized in Table 6.

Respondents’ perceptions are divided into 3, namely respondents’ perceptions of Kansei, respondents’ opinions regarding trust in logistics services, and perceptions related to customer loyalty. Respondents’ perception of Kansei was analyzed to determine the level of customer interest in the proposed service attributes. The perception of trust is used to determine the level of customer confidence in the logistics providers in providing services, while the perception of loyalty is used to determine the level of customer loyalty to the logistics service providers. Detailed descriptive statistical results for each indicator are shown in Table 7–9.

The descriptive statistics table of service attributes informs that the response of the respondent to the average item is worth agreeing (3.41–4.2). But there are some service attribute items that have a value of strongly agree (≥ 4.21), namely items JP1 (guaranteed package protection), CL1

| Table 2. Service elements and tracking service attributes |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Service element** | **Service Attributes**                                      |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| CL                  | Ease of use tracking service                              |
|                     | CL1 The sender can do parcel tracking via telephone, web or application. |
|                     | CL2 The sender can do parcel tracking via telephone or web |
|                     | CL3 The sender can do parcel tracking by telephone         |
| IT                  | Availability of tracking information                       |
|                     | IT1 There is information on the current package location and the estimated goods arrived |
|                     | IT2 Current package location information is available       |
| TM                  | Tracking Maps                                             |
|                     | TM1 It is a link that contains a map (live tracking) that shows package trips from pick-up to drop off |
|                     | TM2 It is a link that contains the location of the last drop off package |
Table 3. Service elements and delivery service attributes

| Service element | Service Attributes |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| LD              | Drop off location |
|                 | LD1               | Cannot deliver to all locations |
|                 | LD2               | Can be delivered to all locations, specific locations incur additional costs |
|                 | LD3               | Can be delivered to all locations without additional costs |
| WA              | Delivery operational time |
|                 | WA1               | Delivering from 09:00 AM to 05:00 PM Monday through Saturday, services are not available on Sundays and national holidays |
|                 | WA2               | Delivering from 09:00 AM to 05:00 PM and 06.00–09.00 PM from Monday to Saturday, service is not available on Sundays and national holidays |
|                 | WA3               | Delivering at 09:00 AM to 05:00 PM every day, including holidays |
|                 | WA4               | Delivering at 09:00 AM to 05:00 PM and 06.00–09.00 PM every day, including holidays |
| IP              | Availability of package delivery information |
|                 | IP1               | Provide information that the package has arrived at the nearest the logistics service provider |
|                 | IP2               | Does not provide information the package has arrived at the nearest logistics service provider |
| KP              | Delivery failure  |
|                 | KP1               | If delivery fails, the package will be temporarily stored at the Logistics service provider within a specific time limit, and the logistics service provider will contact the recipient to provide confirmation. |
|                 | KP2               | If delivery fails, the package will be resent by the courier |
| KO              | Compensation      |
|                 | KO1               | If damage occurs during shipment, the service provider provides compensation |
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The level of trust informs the response of the respondent to the average item worth agreeing (3.41–4.2). It means that the respondent agrees that the logistics service providers could provide services according to their needs and expectations.

Descriptive statistics of the loyalty level shows respondents’ responses to the average item worth agreeing (3.41–4.2). It means that the respondent agreed to the logistics providers’ services again.

4.2. Model evaluation

By using smartPLS, in the path diagram, variables and indicators are distinguished, where the variable uses the blue circle, and the indicator variable uses the yellow rectangle. Indicator variables are items that are measured using survey instruments and imported into Spreadsheet (in file.csv) before being processed using smartPLS. Each indicator is coded (with their name) to facilitate identification.

At this stage, the validity and reliability tests are conducted on the 1st and 2nd orders. The validity test aims to determine the validity of indicators in measuring variables. While the reliability test is used to determine the reliability of the survey instrument. In the 2nd order validity test, it can be known through the bootstrapping procedure and the outer loading assessment ≥ 0.60 to test the correlation of indicators with their constructs. While evaluating the measurement model for the 1st order (the relationship between latent variables and indicators), namely, the trust variable and the loyalty variable has been done. Similar to the 2nd order, testing the validity of the criteria used through the loading factor, but in addition to seeing the value of the loading factor, another criteria used as a reference is Average Variance Extracted (AVE). AVE is “the grand mean value of the squared loading of the indicators associated with the construct” (Hair et al., 2016). It can be said that the AVE value has a similar meaning to the loading factor value, where the construct must be equal to or greater than 0.5, meaning that the construct can be explained

| Kansei Word | Influential Service Attributes |
|-------------|--------------------------------|
| Reliable    | Customers pay attention to the safety of the goods. Also, the attitude of professionalism of service providers (such as driver skills) is a significant factor that matters. This reliable also supports the success of the company. |
| Friendly    | Friendly can be interpreted as how the company and its services become “closer” to the customer. Convenience in service becomes an important point, such as the provision of a website or software application. |
| Rapid       | The services involved here are related to the speed and accuracy of drivers and their means of transportation in delivering goods and passengers. |
| Safe        | Customers are very concerned about the safety of their goods and themselves when using the services of this company. Security is not only related to the potential loss of products but also the possibility of exchanging with other products. |
| Professional | A professional attitude is related to how service providers always maintain service consistency, such as cleaning of vehicle facilities, working service hours, and not differentiating services between customers. |
| Innovative  | Innovation can be seen from the availability of IT-based devices that support service efficiency, and also the availability of all facilities that are always up-to-date. |
| Kansei word | Influential service attributes |
|-------------|--------------------------------|
| Reliable    |                                |
| KP1         | If delivery fails, the package will be temporarily stored at the logistics service provider within a specific time limit, and the logistics service provider will contact the recipient to provide confirmation. |
| KP2         | If delivery fails, the package will be resent by the courier |
| KO1         | If damage occurs during shipment, the service provider provides compensation |
| Friendly    |                                |
| CK1         | The customer sends the package to the location of the service provider directly or asks the courier to pick up the package using telephone, web or application |
| CK2         | The customer sends the package to the location of the service provider directly or asks the courier to pick up the package by telephone or web |
| CK3         | The customer sends the package to the location of the service provider directly or asks the courier to pick up the package by telephone |
| CK4         | The customer sends the package to the location of the service provider directly |
| LK1         | Can send packages to the logistics service provider and other locations such as Indomaret and campus |
| LK2         | Can only send packages to the logistics service provider |
| LP1         | Package packaging is available at an additional cost, including standard packages and other types of packaging such as tubes |
| LP2         | Standard package packaging is available free |
| LP3         | Package packaging is available free of charge, including standard packages and other types of packaging such as tubes |
| BP1         | Use cash to pay |
| BP2         | Use cash or credit cards to pay |
| CL1         | The sender can do parcel tracking via telephone, web or application |
| CL2         | The sender can do parcel tracking via telephone or web |
| CL3         | The sender can do parcel tracking by telephone |
| LD1         | Can be delivered to all locations without additional costs |

(Continued)
| Kansei word | Influential service attributes |
|-------------|-------------------------------|
| **Rapid**   |                               |
|             | EP1                           | Provides several estimated delivery time options |
|             | EP2                           | Provides only one choice of estimated delivery time |
| **Safe**    |                               |
|             | JP1                           | Provides guaranteed package protection |
| **Professional** |                           |
|             | WK1                           | Operating hours for package delivery are from 8 AM to 8 PM from Monday to Saturday, and services are not available on Sundays and national holidays |
|             | WK2                           | 24 hours a day from Monday to Saturday, services are not available on Sundays and national holidays |
|             | WK3                           | 8 AM—8 PM every day, including holidays |
|             | WK4                           | 24 hours a day, including holidays |
|             | WA1                           | Delivering from 9 AM to 5 PM Monday through Saturday, services are not available on Sundays and national holidays |
|             | WA2                           | Delivering from 9 AM—5 PM and 6–9 PM from Monday to Saturday, service is not available on Sundays and national holidays |
|             | WA3                           | Delivering at 9 AM—5 PM every day, including holidays |
|             | WA4                           | Delivering at 9 AM—5 PM and 6–9 PM every day, including holidays |
|             | IT1                           | There is information on the current package location and the estimated goods arrived |
|             | IT2                           | Current package location information is available |
|             | TM1                           | It is a link that contains a map (Live Tracking) that shows package trips from pick-up to drop off |
|             | TM2                           | It is a link that contains the location of the last drop off package |
|             | IP1                           | Provide information that the package has arrived at the nearest Logistics service providers |
| **Innovative** |                               |
|             | IT1                           | There is information on the current package location and the estimated goods arrived |
|             | IT2                           | There is information on the current package location |
|             | TM1                           | It is a link that contains a map (live tracking) that shows package trips from pick-up to drop off |
|             | TM2                           | It is a link that contains the location of the last drop off package |
|             | IP1                           | Provide information that the package has arrived at the nearest logistics service providers |
by 50% or more of the indicators in a variant. Also, an average variant taken less than 0.5 indicates the occurrence of errors detected in the variant explained in the construct. Whereas in the reliability test, both 1st and 2nd order, the assessment criteria used were 0.70 composite reliability (Ghozali, 2006). The results of the validity and reliability tests are summarized in Table 10.

Based on the evaluation results of the 2nd order measurement model (dimensional relationship with variables) servqual variables listed in the above table, it is known that the highest loading factor value is the friendly dimension with a value of 0.479. In addition, it is also known that the indicators that measure each dimension have a value greater than 0.6. Thus all indicators are declared valid in measuring variables or can be said to represent Servqual. Then for the reliability test, it is known that in the Cronbach alpha column, all indicators are greater than 0.6, and in the composite reliability column, all constructs are greater than 0.7. Thus, based on the reliability test assessment criteria, all indicators measuring Servqual variables are declared reliable.

In Table 11, it shows that the indicators that measure each variable have a value greater than 0.6. Thus all indicators are declared valid in measuring the variables in each service. Furthermore, in the AVE column it can be seen that the variables in each service produce an Average Variance Extracted value greater than 0.5. Thus the construct is declared valid, or an indicator can be said to explain the construct. Then in the composite reliability column, all constructs are greater than 0.7. Thus, based on the calculation of composite reliability, all indicators that measure Kansei variables are declared reliable.
After all items have been declared valid and reliable, the next step is evaluating the final structural model. This study uses the coefficient of determination analysis (R square) (Hair et al., 2016). The coefficient of determination or the value of R² is generally used to evaluate structural models. The coefficient of determination is calculated through the PLS-algorithm procedure that helps explain the effect of exogenous variables on endogenous variables. The value of R² square is shown in Table 12.

From the structural model evaluation test results, the R square test value on the loyalty variable produces a value of 0.568, which means that the loyalty variable contributes to 56.8% of the Servqual variable, and the remaining 43.2% is not explained in this study. While the trust variable contributed 24.9% to the Servqual variable, and the remaining 75.1% was not explained in the study.

Next, the model evaluation uses the path coefficient to identify the significance of the path coefficient between the variables in Figure 3. The results that show the path coefficient between the variables are shown in Table 13.

The results of the path coefficient analysis in Table 13 show a strong relationship between variables. The relationship between service quality attributes obtained by Kansei engineering and customer trust as well as customer trust and loyalty has a strong relationship (with a path coefficient > 0.45) (Cohen, 1992). Other evaluation using Chi-square to test the relationship between latent variables of the model is shown in Table 14.

Chi-square test results indicate the ergonomic-based perception of customers using Kansei engineering in the service quality variable have a significant relationship on the trust variable. A significant relationship is also found between the variable of customer trust and loyalty (with a value < 0.05) (Rana & Singhal, 2015).
4.3. Relationships between latent variables

The relationship between latent variables displayed in the construct model is represented by the path between constructs (Figure 3). To determine whether the path coefficient is significant or not,

| Indicator | Mean | Std. Dev. | Std. Dev/Mean |
|-----------|------|-----------|---------------|
| CK1       | 4.17 | 0.805     | 19.3%         |
| CK2       | 4.04 | 0.777     | 19.2%         |
| CK3       | 3.95 | 0.757     | 19.2%         |
| CK4       | 3.88 | 0.820     | 21.1%         |
| EP1       | 4.01 | 0.732     | 18.2%         |
| EP2       | 3.27 | 1.153     | 35.3%         |
| LK1       | 3.94 | 0.952     | 24.2%         |
| LK2       | 3.26 | 1.134     | 34.8%         |
| WK1       | 3.65 | 1.038     | 28.4%         |
| WK2       | 3.60 | 1.189     | 33.0%         |
| WK3       | 3.75 | 1.158     | 30.9%         |
| WK4       | 3.47 | 1.259     | 36.3%         |
| LP1       | 3.47 | 0.958     | 27.6%         |
| LP2       | 4.16 | 0.907     | 21.8%         |
| LP3       | 4.15 | 0.845     | 20.4%         |
| JP1       | 4.33 | 0.817     | 18.9%         |
| BP1       | 3.92 | 0.971     | 24.8%         |
| BP2       | 3.98 | 0.853     | 21.4%         |
| CL1       | 4.27 | 0.777     | 18.2%         |
| CL2       | 3.30 | 0.745     | 17.3%         |
| CL3       | 3.92 | 0.800     | 20.4%         |
| IT1       | 4.52 | 0.502     | 11.1%         |
| IT2       | 4.16 | 0.748     | 18.0%         |
| TM1       | 4.36 | 0.746     | 17.1%         |
| TM2       | 4.17 | 0.922     | 22.1%         |
| LD1       | 4.17 | 0.922     | 22.1%         |
| WA1       | 3.62 | 1.090     | 30.1%         |
| WA2       | 3.73 | 1.053     | 28.2%         |
| WA3       | 3.60 | 1.082     | 30.1%         |
| WA4       | 3.64 | 1.227     | 33.7%         |
| IP1       | 4.16 | 0.748     | 18.0%         |
| KP1       | 4.14 | 0.841     | 20.3%         |
| KP2       | 4.14 | 0.817     | 19.7%         |
| KO1       | 4.35 | 0.796     | 18.3%         |

| Indicator | Mean | Std. Dev. | Std. Dev/Mean |
|-----------|------|-----------|---------------|
| IN        | 3.91 | .780      | 19.9%         |
| AB        | 3.90 | .772      | 19.8%         |
| BN        | 3.90 | .798      | 20.5%         |
Table 9. Descriptive statistics of loyalty levels

| Indicator | Mean | Std. dev. | Std. dev/mean |
|-----------|------|-----------|---------------|
| RP        | 4.11 | .709      | 17.3%         |
| RC        | 3.90 | .674      | 17.3%         |
| RT        | 4.09 | .683      | 16.7%         |

Table 10. Final outer loading test 2nd order

| Latent variable | Dimension | Indicator | Loading factor dimension | Loading factor indicator | Cronbach’s alpha | Composite reliability |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Servqual        | Safe      | 0.090     | JP1                      | 1.000                   | 1.000            | 1.000                 |
|                 | Friendly   | 0.479     | BP2                      | 0.699                   | 0.909            | 0.884                 |
|                 |           |           | CK1                      | 0.786                   |                  |                       |
|                 |           |           | CK2                      | 0.810                   |                  |                       |
|                 |           |           | CK3                      | 0.640                   |                  |                       |
|                 |           |           | CL1                      | 0.0842                  |                  |                       |
|                 |           |           | CL2                      | 0.801                   |                  |                       |
|                 |           |           | LP2                      | 0.716                   |                  |                       |
|                 |           |           | LP3                      | 0.644                   |                  |                       |
|                 | Rapid     | 0.082     | EP1                      | 1.000                   | 1.000            | 1.000                 |
|                 | Innovative| 0.281     | JP1                      | 0.852                   | 0.915            | 0.876                 |
|                 |           |           | IT1                      | 0.850                   |                  |                       |
|                 |           |           | IT2                      | 0.852                   |                  |                       |
|                 |           |           | TM1                      | 0.861                   |                  |                       |
|                 | Reliable  | 0.180     | KO1                      | 0.882                   | 0.914            | 0.859                 |
|                 |           |           | KP1                      | 0.886                   |                  |                       |
|                 |           |           | KP2                      | 0.880                   |                  |                       |
|                 | Professional| 0.097 | WA2                      | 0.674                   | 0.816            | 0.728                 |
|                 |           |           | WA4                      | 0.648                   |                  |                       |
|                 |           |           | WK2                      | 0.689                   |                  |                       |
|                 |           |           | WK3                      | 0.801                   |                  |                       |
|                 |           |           | WK4                      | 0.606                   |                  |                       |

Table 11. Final outer loading test 1st order

| Latent variable | Indicator | Loading factor | AVE | Cronbach’s alpha | Composite reliability |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-----|------------------|-----------------------|
| Loyalty         | RP        | 0.835          | 0.641 | 0.719           | 0.843                 |
|                 | RC        | 0.809          |      |                  |                       |
|                 | RT        | 0.757          |      |                  |                       |
| Trust           | AB        | 0.728          | 0.663 | 0.746           | 0.663                 |
|                 | BN        | 0.830          |      |                  |                       |
|                 | IN        | 0.876          |      |                  |                       |
the value of t must be evaluated through bootstrapping (Hair et al., 2016). The picture illustrates the relationship between Servqual and trust, and the relationship of trust and loyalty. The results and discussion of testing the direct influence hypothesis can be explained as follows:

| Variable | Path Coefficient | Mean | STERR | T-statistic | Note |
|----------|------------------|------|-------|-------------|------|
| Servqual -> Trust | 0.499 | 0.506 | 0.055 | 9.049 | Significant |
| Trust -> Loyalty | 0.754 | 0.758 | 0.037 | 20.450 | Significant |
**Table 14. Chi-square test results**

| Variable            | Chi Square | Chi Square Standard | Note     |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------|----------|
| Servqual -> Trust   | 0.000      | 0.05                | Significant |
| Trust -> Loyalty    | 0.000      | 0.05                | Significant |

**H1: Servqual has a significant effect on Trust**

Based on the results of the statistical value in Table 10, Servqual produces a T-statistics value of 9.049, where T-statistics > T table (1.96). This shows that service quality of logistics service providers (Servqual) has a positive and significant effect on customer's trust, so the higher Servqual tends to increase customer's trust. It was tested in the data obtained from data processing; there was a previous discussion. The statistical t-value for the H1 test reaches a significant level, proving that there is an influence between Servqual and trust. Supported by Chenet et al. (2010) research, Servqual is a direct driver of trust. Service quality is recognized as a means by which companies can achieve major organizational results, including market share (Zahorik & Rust, 1993), financial performance improvement (Suri et al., 1993), and overall company performance (Easton & Jarrell, 1998). According to him, service quality has taken the role as an important source of profits in the business-to-business market regulation (Carlos Martin et al., 2008). Between the two researchers and practice managers, there is a long-standing recognition that high service levels are a necessary condition for strong business-to-business relationships (Crosby, 1990) and in particular, for trust to develop between relational partners (Moorman et al., 1992). When customers believe that the company is reliable, responsive, and empathetic, they tend to view the provider as a company that has a high level of integrity where trust tends to develop.

**H2: Trust has a significant effect on the loyalty**

Based on the results of the statistical value in Table 10, Servqual produces a T-statistics value of 20.450, where T statistics> T table (1.96). This shows that trust has a positive and significant effect on loyalty. So if the higher the trust tends to increase loyalty. Several researchers (Moorman et al., 1993, 1992) have suggested that trust is the basis in developing customer loyalty. The importance of trust in explaining loyalty is also supported by writers such as (Lim & Razzaque, 1997), (Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001) and (Sirdeshmukh et al., 2002). However, in markets with suitable alternatives, a lack of trust might lead to negative loyalty. Yoo et al., (2009) have shown that the strong positive influence of trust on customer loyalty in the telecommunications sector.

### 4.4. Representative attribute analysis

Through PLS 2nd order evaluation, this study aims to determine the representative attributes obtained through the analysis of the loading factor value of each indicator in each dimension. The representative attribute is a service attribute that is considered most important by the customer. Analysis of the loading factor values can be seen in Table 15.

Table 15 informs that the service dimension, which has the most dominant value to Servqual or can be said to be the most representative dimension to servqual is friendly. In this case, the company is friendly to be closer to customers, such as the ease of getting services such as location access and effective ordering procedures. This is relevant to Naomi (2015) which explains that consumers have several factors considered in making purchasing decisions; one of these factors is convenience, both ease in terms of operating the site, how to transact until delivery of goods. According to Davis (1989) understanding the perception of ease in the level where someone believes that using a particular system is free from effort. The most important thing for users is the amount of effort expended in using a system. Ordering procedures refer to the efficiency and effectiveness of the procedures followed by service providers (Bienstock et al., 1997). So consumers...
who want to buy products can choose access according to their needs, they are no longer required to go to the product seller's place, and this is because it is very inefficient for consumers who have a hectic schedule.

The representative service attributes in each Kansei word dimension such as safe, friendly, rapid, innovative, reliable, and professional are shown in Table 16.
In addition, this study also provides findings of the most representative service attributes to servqual. This study recommends that companies apply service attributes that have the highest loading factor value on each service element. The goal is that service attributes can be a positive influence on the company. The service attributes that have the most dominant value for each dimension is summarized in Table 17.

4.5. Managerial and theoretical implications
Managerial implications aim to develop managerial policies that are expected to be able to make a theoretical contribution to management practices. This study recommends that companies implement service attributes that have the highest loading factor values on each element of the delivery service obtained from data processing. The goal is that the service attributes can be a positive influence on the company. The proposed attributes that will be explained below are attributes that have not been implemented by the company. Researchers recommend the following service attributes for the company.

Recommendation of the CK2 attribute of “customers can send packages to the location of the service provider directly, or ask the courier to pick up the package by telephone or website”. The availability of several options of transactions of the pick-up service is vital for modern business. This is supported by Naomi (2015), which explains that one of the factors considered in making purchasing decisions, namely ease of use of services, both ease in terms of operating the site, how to transact until delivery of goods. If consumers who want to buy a product are required to go to the product seller’s place, and it is very inefficient for consumers who have a hectic schedule. With the availability of several options for transactions, it will be easier for customers to adjust their needs.

The managerial policy should consider the LP2 attribute of the availability of free packaging for customers. This attribute has significant implications for service quality. According to Wisnalmawati

| Service element                        | Service attributes                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Package guarantee                     | Provides guaranteed package protection                                             |
| Method of payment of shipping costs   | Use cash or credit cards to pay                                                    |
| Ease of use shipping services         | The customer sends the package to the location of the service provider directly or asks the courier to pick up the package by telephone or web |
| Ease of use tracking service          | The sender can do parcel tracking via phone, internet or application                |
| Packaging service availability        | Standard package packaging is available free                                       |
| Estimated delivery                    | Provides several estimated delivery time options                                    |
| Availability of package delivery info | Provide information that the package has arrived at the nearest logistics service providers |
| Availability of tracking information  | There is information on the current package location                                |
| Tracking maps                         | It is a link that contains a map (live tracking) that shows package trips from pick-up to drop off |
| Compensation                         | If damage occurs during shipment, the service provider provides compensation        |
| Delivery failure                      | If delivery fails, the package will be temporarily stored at the logistics service provider within a certain time limit, and the logistics service provider will contact the recipient to provide confirmation. |
| Delivery operational time             | Delivering from 9 AM—5 PM and 6 PM—9 PM from Monday to Saturday, service is not available on Sundays and national holidays |
| Delivery operational time             | 8 AM—8 PM every day, including holidays                                            |
et al. (2014), service quality is the level of excellence expected by consumers to meet consumer desires. If the service received exceeds customer expectations, the perceived quality of service is ideal. In addition, another factor supporting customer satisfaction is the price. For sensitive customers, usually low prices are an important source of satisfaction because they will get high-value money (Handi Irawan, 2003). Therefore the provision of packaging services is critical to support customer satisfaction.

The attribute of the method of payments (CK2) has the highest loading value for the friendly dimension, which indicates that the payment method options play an important role in the quality of logistics services. This is supported by Walton et al. (2016), which states that the high level of competition in the field of logistics services requires companies to use the best methods that facilitate customers in making payment transactions. By providing several choices in payment methods, this can make it easier for customers to adjust their needs.

Furthermore, regarding the TM1 attribute of a live tracking system that shows the trip package from pick-up to drop off, this system allows route visualization or real-time tracking of vehicle locations. To improve logistics management, companies need real-time information with a high degree of precision (Bowersox & Closs, 1996). The information referred to consists of time and location, which can be obtained through the global position system (GPS). The company invests in a monitoring and tracking system that aims to improve services, reduce costs, and ensure safety in cargo transportation. According to Jansen-Vullers et al. (2003), tracking provides a location where the entity is monitored at all times. Shamsuzzoha and Helo (2011) stated that the use of monitoring and tracking systems is significant to reduce costs, speed up shipments, and even identify bottlenecks and operational shortcomings. Moreover, a study by Anefalos and Filho (2011) found that the use of the monitoring and tracking system has contributed to reduce the amount of lost goods. Logistics tracking for the shipping network is an important issue for providing customer service in the transportation and monitoring business, and ongoing tracking is required for the delivery of high-value and vital goods.

In addition to these other attributes, researchers suggest evaluating performance needs to be done regularly. It aims to improve the performance of the logistics service provider's employees so that the level of customer satisfaction can increase. Furthermore, performance evaluation also serves to find out the weak points of the logistics provider services. By evaluating performance, the company will find out the deficiencies that have been complained about by customers. After conducting a performance evaluation, it is expected that there is control from related parties so that the performance that has been evaluated and improved can run smoothly. Prameswara et al. (2014) said that one of the initial efforts in improving the quality of services by enhancing performance and control of relevant parties could run well so that customer satisfaction will increase.

Theoretically, the use of Kansei engineering in the logistics service quality businesses such as in shipping, packing, and delivery services is important to understand the real needs of customers for the services. Kansei words, which are ergonomic-based, has captured the voice of customers for logistics service quality. The attributes of logistics service quality developed using Kansei engineering in this study have led the customers to raise more free and detailed service attributes. This result is in line with previous investigations (Carreira et al., 2013), who found that Kansei engineering could produce a holistic perspective of customers' experience and emotions. Moreover, Hartono and Chuan (2011) indicated that Kansei engineering is an excellent potential approach to provide a competitive advantage from its ability to read and translate customer's affect and emotion in actual service.

5. Conclusion
This study applies the Kansei Engineering approach to design service designs for document and package delivery services through an exploration of the relationship of service attributes and
emotional customer needs (Kansei). By knowing service attributes and needs that are important to customers, companies can improve the quality of existing services or create new services. Therefore Servqual is needed to generate customer trust, so customer loyalty will be easy to obtain.

This study adopted a questionnaire instrument in a previous study, which was developed into 39 service attribute instruments, three trust instruments, and three loyalty instruments. The questionnaire was distributed by purposive sampling. The aim is to get a representative sample with special consideration following the criteria determined by 100 respondents. The sample criteria used, i.e. ever using each service, especially in package delivery services, package tracking services, and delivery services package at least one time.

This article proposes two hypotheses. The result is that service quality at the Indonesian logistics service providers has a significant impact on customer trust. Then the customer’s trust in the services provided by a logistics service provider also has a considerable influence on customer loyalty. In addition, from the loading factor evaluation, it can also determine the importance of specific service attributes, either. This study also provides findings of representative service attributes, both in each word and in each service element.

This study has several limitations and needs to be addressed in future studies. First, this study uses customers in Indonesian logistics service providers as respondents; thus, the results may not fully represent the logistics services in other countries. Future research can investigate whether the findings of this study apply to different regions and respondents. Second, researchers suggest future research can expand Kansei words such as nouns or adjectives. Third, this research does not cover all service elements and service attributes in service design stimulation, such as company visibility and others, so this can be used as stimulation of service design in future research.
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