α-Amylase inhibitor-1 gene from *Phaseolus vulgaris* expressed in *Coffea arabica* plants inhibits α-amylases from the coffee berry borer pest
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Coffee is an important crop and is crucial to the economy of many developing countries, generating around US$70 billion per year. There are 115 species in the *Coffea* genus, but only two, *C. arabica* and *C. canephora*, are commercially cultivated. Coffee plants are attacked by many pathogens and insect-pests, which affect not only the production of coffee but also its grain quality, reducing the commercial value of the product. The main insect-pest, the coffee berry borer (*Hypotheneumus hampei*), is responsible for worldwide annual losses of around US$500 million. The coffee berry borer exclusively damages the coffee berries, and it is mainly controlled by organochlorine insecticides that are both toxic and carcinogenic. Unfortunately, natural resistance in the genus *Coffea* to *H. hampei* has not been documented. To overcome these problems, biotechnological strategies can be used to introduce an α-amylase inhibitor gene (α-AI1), which confers resistance against the coffee berry borer insect-pest, into *C. arabica* plants.

**Results:** We transformed *C. arabica* with the α-amylase inhibitor-1 gene (α-AI1) from the common bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris*, under control of the seed-specific phytohemagglutinin promoter (PHA-L). The presence of the α-AI1 gene in six regenerated transgenic T1 coffee plants was identified by PCR and Southern blotting. Immunoblotting and ELISA experiments using antibodies against α-AI1 inhibitor showed a maximum α-AI1 concentration of 0.29% in crude seed extracts. Inhibitory in vitro assays of the α-AI1 protein against *H. hampei* α-amylases in transgenic seed extracts showed up to 88% inhibition of enzyme activity.

**Conclusions:** This is the first report showing the production of transgenic coffee plants with the biotechnological potential to control the coffee berry borer, the most important insect-pest of crop coffee.

**Background**

Coffee is one of the most valuable primary products in world trade, and its cultivation, processing, transportation and marketing provide employment for around 25 million people worldwide [1]. Furthermore, this culture is crucial to the economies of many developing countries, and its international trade reaches up to US$70 billion per year [2]. World coffee production is around 7 million metric tons, and Brazil is the leading producer [3].

*Coffea arabica* is the main cultivated coffee species (70%) worldwide [4], and its production is commonly affected by different insect-pests. Among these pests, the most damaging is the coffee berry borer (CBB), *Hypotheneumus hampei* (Ferrari, 1867) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). CBB attacks and feeds on coffee berries, decreasing the quality and the flavour of the grain coffee and causing world-wide monetary losses of around US$500 million annually [5]. A major part of the insect life cycle is spent inside the coffee beans, making control very difficult [6]. Current methods used to control CBB are based on cultural, biological and predominantly chemical approaches. The use of the insecticide ENDOSULFAN is very widespread; however, CBB with high levels of resistance to ENDOSULFAN have already been detected and reported in New Caledonia [7]. In addition, of the 115 species in genus *Coffea* described, none have shown any natural
resistance against CBB [8], making it unlikely that control of this insect can be achieved by plant breeding alone.

Biotechnology may be a promising alternative for H. hampei control. Several genes are potentially available for this purpose, including Bt toxins, digestive enzyme inhibitors, chitinases and lectins [9-15]. With respect to the enzyme inhibitor class, the expression of alpha-amylase inhibitors (α-AI) from both scarlet runner bean (Phaseolus coccineus) and common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) has been shown to be effective in transgenic plants, showing high protection against seed weevils in pea [16,17], azuki bean [18], chickpea [19,20], and cowpea [21]. With pea, complete protection against the pea weevil Bruchus pisorum was shown under field conditions [22]. In all of these experiments, expression of the α-AI coding region was driven by the seed-specific promoter of P. vulgaris phytohemagglutinin. Interestingly, both amylase inhibitors, α-AI1 from P. vulgaris and α-AI1-like amylase inhibitor from wild accessions of scarlet runner bean have shown to inhibit the α-amylases from H. hampei [23,24].

Here we describe the introduction of an expression cassette carrying the α-AI1 gene under phytohemagglutinin seed-specific promoter control in C. arabica plants using biolistics, followed by regeneration of coffee plants. According to the molecular characterisation and in vitro assays, extracts from the transgenic plants with a relatively high level of expressed α-AI1 protein were active against coffee berry borer α-amylases, indicating that this transformation event with α-AI1 represents a promising method that can be applied to the control of CBB.

**Results and discussion**

**Transformation of C. arabica plants**

C. arabica plants were transformed using particle bombardment with vector pBIN19α-AI1. After 8 months of in vitro culture and selection of bombarded C. arabica calli had been bombarded (Figures 1, 2A, B and 2C), 26 plantlets were obtained. All displayed normal subsequent development (Figures 2D and 2E). Six positive plants for α-AI1 gene were maintained in the greenhouse (Figure 2F) and after two years the first flowers appeared, followed by fruit development (Figures 2G and 2H), like normally, a classic proportion of three transgenic plants for one non-transgenic plant (3:1) is expected for C. arabica because it is an allotetraploid crop presenting a regular bivalent pairing of homologous chromosomes [28]. In our results we did not observe a 3:1 proportion, possibly due to the low number of T1 individuals analysed. Alternatively, this proportion of positive T1 plants could be generated by a T0 plant with more than one insertion.

**Analysis of expressed α-AI1 in transgenic coffee seeds**

To examine α-AI1 protein expression in coffee beans of T0 plants, crude extracts from transformed seeds were analysed using SDS-PAGE and immunoblots (Figures 5A and 3B, respectively). The level of expression was evaluated by ELISA assay (Table 1). The immunoblot showed three positive bands of 19, 17 and 16 kDa that were not present in the extracts of untransformed plants and cor-
responded to the protein found in *P. vulgaris* seed extracts (Figure 5B lanes 1, 2, 3 and 4). In the common bean, amylase inhibitor-1 is synthesised as a preproprotein, and in its mature form, it consists of two polypeptides of 19 and 14 kDa [29]. Differential processing of the polypeptide and glycan portions of the protein results in a series of bands on immunoblots, especially in transgenic seeds of different species where processing events may be different. For example, as many as 11 different immunoreactive polypeptides were found in tobacco seeds [30]. In transgenic peas expressing α-AI1, three polypeptides were visualised on immunoblots [17]. The altered molecular mass of α-AI1 in the different transgenic plants may have occurred due to a variation in the extent of glycosylation or the processing of the glycans [31]. Even in *P. vulgaris* seeds, the subunits α and β of α-AI1 are not always glycosylated in the same way, generating polypeptide heterogeneity [32]. Unexpectedly, differences in glycosylation between the inhibitor isolated from the common bean and from transgenic peas expressing α-AI1 resulted in differences in immunogenic properties between the two proteins. The protein made in peas caused immunological responses and inflammation in mice [33]. Nevertheless, no difference in immunogenicity was observed in mice fed with transgenic chickpeas expressing α-AI1 [33]. As a consequence, it is difficult to predict if similar immunogenic alterations will occur in an inhibitor made by transgenic coffee plants.

Using ELISA assays, we detected different levels of recombinantly expressed α-AI1 in the different transformed plants (Table 1). The highest level was found in plant 2 (Table 1), in which α-AI1 comprised 0.29% of the

---

**Figure 2** *Coffea arabica* transformation steps. A) Friable callus used in the transformation. B) Callus after bombardment under kanamycin selection. C) Regenerating embryo. D) Small coffee plant under kanamycin selection. E) Plant transferred to the greenhouse. F) Coffee plants positive for the α-AI1 gene. G) Flowers of the transformed coffee plant. H) Transgenic fruits, 10 weeks after flowering. I) T1 generation from plant 3.
total seed protein extract. These variable protein concentration levels in transgenic plants may be explained by several factors, such as somaclonal variation [34, 35], mutations in the inserted gene [36], genetic alterations caused by tissue culture [37], different copy numbers of the inserted transgene [25], or by the position of the transgene inside the plant genome [25]. In transgenic chickpeas and peas seeds, higher α-AI1 expression levels were observed: 1.0-3.5% in peas [17] and 4.2% in chickpea [19] or 0.72% in chickpea [17, 20].

The phytohemagglutinin (PHA-L) promoter used in this work was cloned from the *P. vulgaris* genome and first analysed in tobacco. The promoter showed similar seed-specific expression, in both, tobacco and beans [38]. It is important to emphasise the α-AI1 coffee seed-specific expression driven by this promoter, as it decreases the chance of affecting non-target insects. Because pollinators can increase coffee yields by 20.8% and decrease the frequency of pea berries by 27%, the protection of non-target insects is fundamental for coffee crop production [39].

**Inhibition of H. hampei α-amylases by α-AI1 expressed in transgenic coffee seeds**

In *in vivo* insect assays described in other works exhibited mortality ranging from 89.8% to 100% [17, 20], depending on the inhibitor concentration in each tested plant. The high levels of amylase inhibitor may be related to the fact that in some studies [17], seeds from homozygous plants were used. However, the coffee plants used here were hemizygous. Valencia *et al*. (2000) showed that an inhibitor from common bean inhibits the *H. hampei* gut amylases up to 95%. We tested the effect of extracts from transformed seeds, and the level of inhibition of amylase activity correlates with the level of inhibitor in the common bean (*P. vulgaris*) seeds (Table 1). The plant with the highest amount of α-AI1 inhibitor (Plant 2) exhibited the strongest *H. hampei* α-amylases inhibition, and the inhibition levels reached by each transgenic plant were directly related to the α-AI1 concentration (Figure 6).

**Conclusions**

The data presented here lead to several important conclusions. From PCR and Southern blot analysis, it was possible to conclude that the α-AI1 gene, fused under control of the phytohemagglutinin promoter and terminator, was inserted into the *C. arabica* genome. Both the α-AI1 expression and inhibitor activity were confirmed in coffee seeds. Additional tests will be necessary not only to confirm the *in vivo* efficiency of these transgenic plants against *H. hampei*, but also to analyse the inheritance of the inserted genes through different generations until attainment of a fully homozygous progeny (T3). Moreover, the presence of nptII will be evaluated to identify if this gene was inserted in any other locus in the genome, allowing its separation from α-AI1 through conventional breeding. Finally, considering the long life cycle of the coffee plant, we consider these transformation events a crucial step that might control *H. hampei*, the main insect pest in coffee.

**Methods**

**Plasmid vector construction**

Plasmid vector pBIN19αAI-1 (16.6 kb) was constructed using the fragment of a pTA3 plasmid containing the α-amylase inhibitor-1 (α-AI1) gene flanked by a phytohemagglutinin (PHA-L) promoter and terminator [29]. The
α-AI1 expression cassette of pTA3 was digested with *Hin*dIII and subcloned in the pBIN19 vector [40] using the same restriction site (Figure 1). The PHA-L promoter is seed-specific [38], driving the α-AI1 gene expression into the type of tissue attacked by *H. hampei*.

*Coffea arabica* genetic transformation through bombardment

*Coffea arabica* cv Catuaí Vermelho plants were transformed by bombardment of embryogenic callus, according to the procedures described by Albuquerque *et al*. (2009) [41] and the following details. Explants were obtained from coffee leaf fragments cultivated in C medium [42] modified with 20 μM 2,4-D (C20 medium). After one month of incubation in dark conditions, the produced calli were transferred to fresh medium and cultivated for five additional months. Seven days before the bombardment, embryogenic calli were dispersed over a 0.45 μm Membrane filter in Petri dishes containing C medium with 10 μM 2,4-D (C10 medium). The membranes carrying calli were transferred to C10 medium that contained mannitol (0.5 M) and phytagel (8 g/L) 24 hours before bombardment. After this osmotic treatment, calli were bombarded with tungsten microparticles coated with vector pBIN19α-AI1 [29]. Two weeks after transformation, calli were transferred to C10 medium containing the selective agent kanamycin (200 mg/L), and subsequently subcultured in C10 medium containing kanamycin at 300 mg/L and 400 mg/L at one week intervals. Selected calli and somatic embryos were then subcultivated until embryos reached the torpedo stage. Fully developed embryos were cultivated in WPM medium until they become plantlets. Rooted individuals were acclimated and grown in a greenhouse (temperature 27°C ± 3, humidity 75% ± 10) for two years, until the first fruits appeared. The first seeds were used to produce the T1 generation. Two T0 lines (Plants 2 and 3) were selected and ten seeds of each one were planted and maintained in the greenhouse until germination.

| α-AI1 concentration (%) | Inhibition of *H. hampei* α-amylase |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Plant 1                 | 0.14 ± 0.03%                        | 54.38 ± 20.13%                     |
| Plant 2                 | 0.29 ± 0.02%                        | 88.86 ± 14.34%                     |
| Plant 3                 | 0.03 ± 0.01%                        | 20.61 ± 13.22%                     |
| Negative plant          | 0.02 ± 0.01%                        | 0.94 ± 12.32%                      |

One unit of *H. hampei* α-amylase activity was utilised in the inhibition assay.

---

**Table 1**: Concentration of α-AI1 in seed protein extracts from three different transgenic coffee plants and *H. hampei* α-amylase inhibition level using α-AI1 expressed in these coffee plants.

---

**Figure 5** α-AI1 expression in transgenic coffee beans from T0 plants. A. SDS-Polyacrylamide gel (15%) stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue. M, Benchmark™ prestained protein ladder (Invitrogen); P, purified α-AI1 from *Phaseolus vulgaris* seeds; 1-3, total seed protein extract from transgenic coffee plants. The arrows indicate possible expressed α-AI1; 4, total seed protein extract of non-transgenic coffee plant. B. Western blot. M, Benchmark™ prestained protein ladder (Invitrogen); P, purified α-AI1 from *Phaseolus vulgaris* seeds; 1-3, total seed protein extract from transgenic coffee plants; 4, total seed protein extract of the non-transgenic coffee plant.

**Figure 6** Comparison between the amount of α-AI1 in coffee transgenic plants and the inhibition rate of *H. hampei* α-amylases measured by DNS assay. In the DNS assay, 30 μg of the total seed protein extract was used, and the α-AI1 quantity in these extracts was measured using ELISA.
Identification of positive plants through PCR

DNA from the T0 and T1 coffee lines were extracted using the CTAB method modified with the addition of 2% PVP and 2% sodium metabisulfite [43]. The extractions were quantified in a NanoDrop™ spectrophotometer ND-1000 (Thermo Scientific). Before the PCR experiments, 2 μg of DNA from transgenic plants were linearised with the EcoRI restriction enzyme to enable the primers' alignment. The presence of the kanamycin resistance (nptII - 411 bp) and α-AI1 genes (204 bp) were detected using the respective primers: nptII forward (5'-GAGGC-TATTCCGGCTATGACT-3'), nptII reverse (5'-TCGA-CAGAGCCGGCTTCCTCATC-3'), α-AI1 forward (5'-GGCCTTGGGATGTACACGACT-3') and α-AI1 reverse (5'-CTCCATGGATAAGCCCCTGA-3'). Both amplification reactions were carried out with 0.6 μg of digested DNA and an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 60°C for 1 min and extension at 72°C for 30 seconds, and a final extension for 10 min at 72°C. DNA from a non-transgenic C. arabica plant was used as a negative control, while the pBIN19α-AI1 vector served as the positive control. PCR fragments were analysed by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide [44]. Eleven plants from T1 generation were evaluated using the same methodology.

Evaluation of integrated DNA through Southern blot

The Southern blot experiment was carried out with 20 μg of DNA from PCR positive plants digested with the following three restriction enzymes at the same time: PvuII, EcoRI and ClaI. The enzyme ClaI cut only at the beginning of the α-AI1 gene (nucleotide 86) and the enzyme EcoRI cut only at the end of the phytohemagglutinin terminator, releasing a DNA fragment with 4150 bp. The PvuII enzyme has no corresponding restriction site inside of the vector sequence. An α-AI1 fragment excised from the pBIN19α-AI1 vector, using HindIII enzyme, was used as a positive control, and DNA of a non-transformed coffee plant was used as a negative control. The digested samples were submitted to electrophoresis on a 1.0% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide [44]. Evaluation of integrated DNA through Southern blot was performed with 20 μg of DNA from PCR positive plants digested with the following three restriction enzymes at the same time: PvuII, EcoRI and ClaI. The enzyme ClaI cut only at the beginning of the α-AI1 gene (nucleotide 86) and the enzyme EcoRI cut only at the end of the phytohemagglutinin terminator, releasing a DNA fragment with 4150 bp. The PvuII enzyme has no corresponding restriction site inside of the vector sequence. An α-AI1 fragment excised from the pBIN19α-AI1 vector, using HindIII enzyme, was used as a positive control, and DNA of a non-transformed coffee plant was used as a negative control. The digested samples were submitted to electrophoresis on a 1.0% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide [44].

Quantification of expressed α-AI1 using ELISA

α-AI1 was quantified by ELISA assays [47] with 2.5 μg of seed protein from each one of the 3 transformed coffee plants (T0) and one from the non-transgenic coffee plant. Assays were performed in triplicate on a 96-well Eia/Ria microplate (Sigma-Aldrich) with the same antibodies previously used in the Western blot analysis.

Detection of expressed α-AI1 in seeds of Coffea arabica

The α-AI1 expression levels in the coffee beans was analysed by SDS-PAGE and western blot [45]. Untransformed and transformed coffee beans (T0) were powdered by grinding the coffee seeds with the use of liquid N2. Proteins from the seed powder were extracted at 4°C with four volumes of 150 mM succinic acid buffer pH 5.0, containing 60 mM NaCl, 20 mM CaCl2 and 10 mM sodium metabisulfite. The extract was slowly agitated for 60 min at 4°C and centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 20 min, and the supernatant was transferred to a new Eppendorf tube. Protein extracts were quantified by Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Five micrograms of protein from each transformed plant was separated using 15% SDS-PAGE (20 mA, 90 min). About 400 ng of semi-purified α-AI1 from P. vulgaris was used as a positive control, and 5 μg of seed protein extract from the untransformed coffee plant used as a negative control. Another SDS-PAGE was run with 10 μg of protein extract and stained with Coomassie Blue [46]. Proteins of the first SDS-PAGE were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond-C Extra, Amersham Biosciences) using Trans-Blot SD Semi-Dry (Bio-Rad), following the manufacturer's instructions. After transfer to the nitrocellulose membrane, the membrane was blocked with a TBS buffer containing 3% BSA and then incubated with an anti-α-AI1 polyclonal antibody produced in rabbit. Final detection of the α-AI1 protein was made with an Anti-Rabbit IgG conjugate to alkaline phosphatase (SIGMA), and the reactive proteins in the nitrocellulose membrane were revealed with a Kit AP Conjugate Substrate (Bio-Rad), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Hyphotenemus hampei α-amylase inhibition assay

The inhibition of H. hampei α-amylases by the α-AI1 expressed in transgenic C. arabica plants (T0) was measured using the dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method, adapted from Bernfeld [48], using 1% soluble starch as a substrate. A protein extract from H. hampei adult insects was prepared with 150 mM succinic acid buffer pH 5.0, containing 60 mM NaCl, 20 mM CaCl2 and 10 mM sodium metabisulfite. Coffee seed protein extracts were made using the same buffer solution. The coffee protein extract was dialysed against the extraction buffer using a Mini Dialysis Kit (1 kDa cut-off) (Amersham Biosciences) to eliminate soluble sugars. The reaction was run using one unit of H. hampei α-amylase and 30 μg of coffee seed protein extracts. Assays were carried out in triplicate.
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