Clinical management of severe active ulcerative colitis in the TNF-α inhibitors era
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ABSTRACT

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammation of the colonic mucosa clinically characterized by bloody diarrhea, abdominal pain and other systemic symptoms. The onset, as well as subsequent relapses, may occur with varying degrees of clinical and endoscopic activity and extent of disease. The clinical and endoscopic activity varies from mild to severe, while the extent of disease, without interruption, may involve from the rectum up to the entire colon. The severe form, when not properly and promptly treated, can be life-threatening and may determine various complications requiring urgent surgical treatment. Early recognition of severe forms, their treatment and patient monitoring can reduce morbidity and mortality, and improve surgical outcome. Since the 1950s, systemic corticosteroids have been the first-line treatment in severe active UC. Today, appropriate patient monitoring, and recognition of clinical, radiological and laboratory findings indicative of steroid failure guide the clinician in the use of immunomodulatory drugs or suggest indications for surgery. The aim of our study is to review the more recent data and guidelines that could be useful in clinical practice for the management of severe UC.

Introduction

Today there is still no universally accepted definition of severe ulcerative colitis. The definition most used in clinical practice is that based on the criteria of Truelove and Witts who were the first to define as severe the form characterized by 6 or more mucoid discharges over a 24-h period and one or more of the following: body temperature >37.8°C; heart rate >90 bpm; hemoglobin >10.5 g/dL; erythrocyte sedimentation rate >30 mm/h. The clinical severity must be confirmed by a severe endoscopic profile defined as the presence of spontaneous bleeding, deep ulceration and mucosal appearance (Table 1).

Even though total colonoscopy is considered safe in the hands of expert operators, and can provide useful information to make a correct evaluation of the extent and severity of the disease, the risks of complications induced by the procedure are still too high and, in the end, similar information can be obtained by more limited examination. Careful sigmoidoscopy performed without preparatory procedures and with the minimum insufflation of air can be sufficient to obtain all the information needed, even in cases of a suprainfection of Clostridium difficile or cytomegalovirus, and can reduce the risk of acute dilation or perforation of the colon.

The main role of the endoscopy must remain that of guiding the decision-making process in those patients who present clinical aspects that are not clear or who achieve a partial response to medical treatment for whom surgery should be considered. Such an approach is also confirmed from the most recent guidelines proposed by the European Crohn’s Colitis Organization (ECCO) and by the Italian Group for Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IGIBD).

Monitoring and prognostic factors

The patient with severe active ulcerative colitis (UC) must be hospitalized and evaluation must include immediate consultation with doctors from the Surgical Unit. The daily evaluation of the patient must be complete and should include measurement of body temperature, heart rate, number of discharges over a 24-h period, together with consistency of the
stool and the presence of blood. Furthermore, the guidelines suggest daily blood counts, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein, electrolytes and albuminemia. Careful clinical and laboratory evaluation aims to identify factors capable of providing early prediction of any possible inefficacy of traditional therapy. In fact, the introduction of new therapies for the treatment of severe forms that do not respond to standard therapy risks delaying an indication for surgery, with all the consequences that could result from this.

Travis and colleagues have demonstrated that after three days of therapy, 85% of patients with more than 8 discharges a day or 3-8 discharges a day associated with blood levels over 45 mg/L by polymerase chain reaction will not respond to medical treatment.7

Similarly, Lennard-Jones demonstrated that fever, tachycardia, hypoalbuminemia, a high number of discharges over a 24-h period and mucosal appearances on endoscopy or dilation of the colon on abdominal echography are early signs of treatment failure.8 Abdominal X-ray is essential to reveal disease-related complications (e.g. relaxation of the colon, intestinal occlusion or perforation) and to show radiological signs known to be risk factors for colectomy. Furthermore, abdominal X-ray provides a series of other data such as the extent of disease, the presence of deep ulcers and of mucosal appearances. Caprilli and colleagues and Chew and colleagues have shown that the evaluation of the extent and the distribution of endoluminal gas (evaluated through abdominal X-ray), the presence of dilation of the small intestine and dilation (6 cm diameter) of the upper colon are significantly associated with failure of medical treatment and with the need for surgical intervention.9,10 Early identification of these parameters determines the need to intensify or to modify the medical treatment, even in the absence of clinical criteria of severity or in the presence of a partial clinical response (Table 2).7-10

### Table 1. Clinical scoring of ulcerative colitis.

|                      | Slight | Moderate | Severe | Fulminating |
|----------------------|--------|----------|--------|-------------|
| No. discharges       | <4     | 4-6      | 6      | 10          |
| Blood in stools      | Intermittent | ← | Frequent | Continuous |
| Temperature, °C      | Normal | ← | >37.5°C | >37.5°C |
| Heart rate           | Normal | ← | >90 bpm | >90 bpm |
| Hemoglobin           | Normal | ← | <75% of normal | Requiring blood transfusion |
| ESR                  | <30    | ← | 30      | >30         |

ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate. Modified from Truelove and Witts, 1954.1

### Table 2. Prognostic factors for failure of medical therapy.

| References | Factors |
|------------|---------|
| Travis et al. | ≥8 blood discharges/die or 3-8 blood discharges with PCR >45 mg/L after 3 days of e.v. steroids (85% failure rate) |
| Lennard-Jones | Fever, tachycardia, hypoalbuminemia, high no. of discharges in 24-h period+endoscopic evidence of severity or with signs of relaxation of the colon from abdominal X-ray |
| Capirilli et al. | Abdominal X-ray with signs of dilation of the small intestine and relaxation of the colon (diameter >6 cm) |
| Chew et al. | PCR, polymerase chain reaction; e.v., endovenous. |

### Treatment

Severe UC is a serious and potentially life-threatening condition. Treatment with high-dose steroids and early indication for surgery, proposed by Truelove and Witts in 1954, have dramatically reduced mortality from 31-61%11 to 3%,12 reaching zero in reference centers. Over recent years, the introduction of new drugs have once again led to surgical intervention being postponed, often well over the 7-10 days indicated by Truelove and Witts.

The use of immunosuppressives or biological drugs in patients with UC refractory to steroids requires accurate patient monitoring on the part of the medical/surgical team. The ECCO guidelines confirm the importance of the work of this team, suggesting that possible indications for surgery should be discussed at every stage of the severe form of disease (statement 5F, 5).
Corticosteroids

The use of corticosteroids in severe UC was introduced for the first time by Truelove and colleagues in 1954. In a comparison of different doses of hydrocortisone with placebo, approximately 75% of patients in the group treated with cortisone showed clinical improvement or remission compared with 41% of controls (P<0.001). Also, the response to steroid was similar both in cases of disease onset and in cases of relapse, even though patients in the first category showed a better response than those who relapsed (P<0.001 vs P<0.2).1

In 1974, Truelove and Jewell introduced the so-called intensive endovenous regimen.2 In this study, 49 patients with severe UC were treated with prednisolone 21-phosphate 0.75-1 mg/kg/die (60 mg/die), topical hydrocortisone, antibiotics, liquid supplementation, and electrolytes and fasting. Treatment lasted five days after which those patients who achieved disease remission swapped to oral steroids. In cases of absence of or poor clinical improvement, the patient was sent forward for surgery. The 5-day treatment was efficacious in 73% of patients. Prolonged treatment with steroids did not demonstrate any additional benefit in terms of clinical condition but there was, however, an increase in pre- and postoperative complications. This finding was recently the subject of discussion among some observers who demonstrated the efficacy of prolonged periods of treatment (7-10 days) to recover response in some patients. Furthermore, Bossa and colleagues have compared continuous infusion of methylprednisolone with bolus infusion and showed similar efficacy and safety.3 Even though minor efficacy was shown, administration of steroids in a single morning dose is currently recommended, aimed at respecting the circadian cycle of the steroid and of reducing the steroid-associated side effects. A fraction of the daily dose during the day is still shown to be useful in clinical practice in a variety of circumstances. In fact, some patients remain symptomatic with single administration of treatment, above all if they experience night discharges.

The guidelines recommend the use of full dose of steroid in order to reduce the phenomena of steroid-resistance and to begin scaling dosage only after obtaining complete clinical response. There are no precise rules concerning the progressive reduction of steroid other than that this must be done gradually. Patient response to the drug, any possible side effects and previous use of steroid can all guide the physicians’ choice.

In patients in whom a rapid response to steroid treatment is observed, it is possible to scale down the steroid by 5 or 10 mg each week, while dosage should be scaled down more gradually in patients who experience a slower clinical response or who have already relapsed after suspending steroid treatment.

Fasting

Numerous studies have shown that fasting does not modify the outcome of a severe attack of ulcerative colitis.4 Nevertheless, fasting is obligatory in cases of severe UC with complications (e.g. radiological evidence of relaxation of the colon, imminent megacolon, clinical data and/or radiological evidence of intestinal occlusion).

If on the one hand fasting achieves a partial reduction in the number of discharges over the 24-h period, on the other hand oral food intake ensures physiological nutrition of the mucosa that could favor a better response to medical treatment.

Antibiotics

The role of antibiotics in the treatment of severe UC is a subject of controversy. Numerous studies have failed to show a role for antibiotics [endovenous (e.v.) metronidazole or ciprofloxacin] as adjuvant therapy to corticosteroids5,6 to increase their efficacy. Similarly, oral vancomicine at a dose of 500 mg q.i.d. was not shown to be superior to placebo in patients with moderate or severe UC treated with prednisone.7 In another controlled study, oral tobramicine at a dose of 120 mg t.i.d. associated with prednisolone 30-60 mg/die showed remission rates of 70% in the group treated with antibiotics and of 43% in the placebo group (P=0.008).8 Finally, oral rifaximine 400 mg b.i.d. significantly reduced the number of discharges in a 24-h period and the presence of blood in the stools in patients with steroid-refractory severe UC with respect to placebo.9 In severe forms of UC, often associated with sepsis from bacterial translocation, if antibiotics do not improve the efficacy of steroids, wide-spectrum antibiotic therapy is widely used.

ECCO guidelines include the use of triple antibiotic therapy (amoxicillin 500 mg t.i.d., tetracycline 500 mg t.i.d. and metronidazole 500 mg t.i.d.) among those therapies the efficacy of which still remains to be established with any certainty.5

Cyclosporine

Patients who have demonstrated only a partial response to maximal treatment with systemic corticosteroids could be candidates to receive further treatment with e.v. cyclosporine. Lightiger and Present evaluated the efficacy of e.v. cyclosporine at a dose of 4 mg/kg/die in severe colitis resistant to steroid treatment in a controlled study with placebo.
Nine of the 11 patients treated with cyclosporine experienced an improvement in their clinical condition after an average of seven days. This study was interrupted for ethical reasons because of the clear superiority of cyclosporine with respect to placebo; the study was never repeated. However, the small number of patients enrolled limited the value of the study, as indicated in many meta-analyses. In a subsequent study, cyclosporine was compared with methylprednisolone at a fixed dose of 40 mg/die and showed the same efficacy as the previous study.21

Finally, Van Asche compared two different doses of cyclosporine (2 mg/kg/die vs 4 mg/kg/die) obtaining the same efficacy but fewer side effects in the group treated with the lower dose.22 Drug efficacy, confirmed also by numerous open studies, is nevertheless counterbalanced by its side effect.23,24

Arts and colleagues have reported data of the series with the biggest number of patients treated with cyclosporine in severe UC. Results showed that almost 18% of patients presented infections, sometimes serious, paresthesias were reported in 9%, and death caused by opportunistic infections or acute allergic reactions was confirmed in 3.5% patients.25

When a response to cyclosporine is seen after seven days, e.v. administration can be suspended and therapy switched to oral administration at a dose of 5 mg/kg/die during the gradual reduction of steroid. In spite of these encouraging results, the long-term efficacy does not seem to be so positive. In fact, after eight months, approximately 44% of patients have a severe relapse of disease requiring colectomy. Precisely because of the high frequency of relapse, it has recently been proposed to start an immunosuppressive treatment with azathioprine or 6-mercaptopurine as maintenance therapy in patients who have shown clinical remission with cyclosporine.

During combined treatment with 2 or more immunosuppressive drugs, prophylaxis is recommended to prevent pneumonia from Pneumocystis with comitroxazole trimetoprim for 2-3 days a week.

The use of cyclosporine requires setting up reference centers where hemochromocytometric tests can be carried out to monitor drug toxicity and where the patient can be followed by both gastroenterologists and members of the Surgical Unit. Blood levels of cyclosporine must be maintained at 150-300 ng/mL, monitored by high-performance liquid chromatography. It is also important to determine the blood cholesterol and magnesium concentrations before starting cyclosporine treatment in order to reduce the risk of tonic-clonic seizures.

Recently, Actis and colleagues have compared e.v. cyclosporine 2 mg/kg/die with oral administration with respect to cyclosporine micro-emulsion at a dose of 5 mg/kg/die. The oral formulation was shown to be superior in terms of efficacy but was responsible for major side effects with respect to parenteral formulation. Also the ECCO guidelines suggest the use of cyclosporine in the treatment of active severe UC, but the role of this therapeutic approach in the long term still needs to be clarified.

### Infliximab

Anti-TNF-alfa monoclonal antibodies have been shown to help induce and maintain remission in the treatment of steroid-dependent Crohn’s disease or disease-related fistulas. The first open clinical experiences have confirmed the efficacy of infliximab also in active UC. First, Chey26 treated 16 patients with active steroid-refractory UC with a single or double infusion of infliximab.

Clinical, endoscopic and histological improvement was observed in 14 of 16 patients (88%) after infliximab treatment. Surgical intervention was avoided in 6 candidates for surgery (86%). Clinical remission was maintained in 14 of 16 patients (88%) for at least four months and in 4 patients of 16 (25%) for 7-10 months. Most of the patients treated with infliximab were able to completely interrupt steroid treatment.

Recently, Kohn and colleagues27 presented an open study on efficacy of infliximab in patients with active steroid-dependent UC. Thirteen patients were enrolled after 7-10 days of steroid treatment (methylprednisolone 60 mg/die) and treated with a single infusion of infliximab 5 mg/kg. Ten patients (77%) had a clinical response within 2-3 days after the infusion. Nine of 10 patients maintained clinical remission in an average follow-up of 10.1 months without the need for steroids. Three controlled clinical studies have evaluated the efficacy of infliximab in severe UC. First, Jarnerot in Denmark and Sweden compared a single infusion of 5 mg/kg of infliximab versus placebo in patients with severe UC after seven days of e.v. maximum steroid treatment. Forty-five patients were randomized into two groups and the number of colectomies or deaths was evaluated after 90 days of treatment. Secondary endpoints were clinical and endoscopic remission in patients who did not require surgery.

At the end of the study, the cumulative percentage of patients who had not undergone surgery were 71% in the group treated with infliximab and 33% in the placebo group (P=0.0038). No deaths were reported during the study and minor side effects were observed.28 In the same period, two large studies were carried out on UC patients with chronically active moderate or severe steroid-dependent disease and refractory to immunosuppressive or mesalamine therapy. Also in these patients (candidates for surgery) treatment with infliximab was capable of inducing clinical improvement in approximately 70% of pa-
patients and cure of endoscopic lesions in 62% of patients after eight weeks of therapy.29

The European ECCO guide-lines included infliximab among the rescue therapies (LE GR) of active severe forms of UC that are non-responsive to traditional therapy, giving it the same status as cyclosporine. Nevertheless, clinical studies available so far show a wide variability in results in terms of efficacy of infliximab in reducing the need for cholecotomy.30-33 Further controlled clinical studies are, therefore, needed in this setting.5

There is still not sufficient evidence to determine which is the drug of choice, cyclosporine or infliximab, in cases of failure of steroid treatment. A study is close to completion that directly compares the 2 drugs. But until these results are available, physicians must choose which drug to use according to personal experience. Therapeutic flow chart in severe ulcerative colitis is available in Figure 1.

Conclusions

Corticosteroids remain in the front line of treatment for severe ulcerative colitis. Recognition of the negative prognostic factors allows therapy with infliximab or a surgical approach to be adopted without delay. Infliximab has been shown to be efficacious also in inducing

Figure 1. Therapy flow chart for severe ulcerative colitis (UC). e.v., endovenous; o.s., oral somministration.
and maintaining remission free from steroids in steroid-dependent patients who have not responded to therapy with traditional immunomodulatory drugs.
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