JNK signaling regulates oviposition in the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*
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The reproductive fitness of the *Anopheles gambiae* mosquito represents a promising target to prevent malaria transmission. The ecdysteroid hormone 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E), transferred from male to female during copulation, is key to *An. gambiae* reproductive success as it licenses females to oviposit eggs developed after blood feeding. Here we show that 20E-triggered oviposition in these mosquitoes is regulated by the stress- and immune-responsive c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK). The heads of mated females exhibit a transcriptional signature reminiscent of a JNK-dependent wounding response, while mating—or injection of virgins with exogenous 20E—selectively activates JNK in the same tissue. RNAi-mediated depletion of JNK pathway components inhibits oviposition in mated females, whereas JNK activation by silencing the JNK phosphatase *puckered* induces egg laying in virgins. Together, these data identify JNK as a potential conduit linking stress responses and reproductive success in the most important vector of malaria.

*Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes are the most important vectors for *Plasmodium* malaria parasites, which infected at least 200 million people and caused more than 400,000 deaths in 2018. The number of malaria deaths has more than halved since the year 2000 largely as a result of mosquito control strategies, especially insecticide-treated bed nets. This promising progress is, however, threatened by the spread of insecticide resistance in *Anopheles* populations, highlighting the pressing need for novel strategies for mosquito control. A number of recently proposed alternatives aim at reducing vector populations by regulating female reproductive output via either chemical or genetic means, and their successful development is dependent upon a detailed understanding of the mechanisms regulating reproduction in *Anopheles*.

Mating represents a vulnerable step in the *An. gambiae* reproductive cycle as it happens only once in the female's lifetime. During this single sexual event, males transfer sperm along with a gelatinous mating plug that contains a host of proteins and other factors produced by the male accessory glands, including the ecdysteroid hormone 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E). Sexual transfer of this steroid hormone is a feature that is unique to anophelines, having evolved specifically in the *Cellia* subgenus and exclusively in the lineages leading to the most important African and South East Asian malaria vectors. Transfer of 20E drives profound behavioral and physiological changes in the female collectively termed post-mating responses. Perhaps the most striking of these changes are refractoriness to further mating, underpinning the female's monandry, and a license to oviposit eggs developed following a blood meal. Depletion of endogenous 20E levels in males reduces egg laying rates and increases remating frequency in the females with whom they mate. Conversely, injection of exogenous 20E in virgin females is sufficient to induce both oviposition of developed eggs and refractoriness to further copulation. Importantly, both refractoriness to further mating and the license to oviposit are irreversible, lifelong behavioral switches. However, the molecular processes through which 20E induces these changes in *An. gambiae* remain unknown.

Some insight into the mechanisms regulating these processes may come from the distantly related dipteran model organism, *Drosophila melanogaster*, where—similar to *An. gambiae*—the effects of mating include both...
a temporary refractoriness to further copulation and increased oviposition. Interestingly, while 20E in *D. melanogaster* does have an important role in regulating courtship behavior and specifically in the consolidation of long-term courtship memory in males, post-mating responses in *fruit fly* females are not driven by 20E but by small male accessory gland peptides (Acps) transferred to the female during mating. These include ovulin, which is implicated in the control of oviposition, and most importantly Acp70A, also known as Sex Peptide (SP). SP is necessary and sufficient to induce the post-mating switch: injection of exogenous SP induces both refractoriness to mating and oviposition while loss of the G protein–coupled Sex Peptide Receptor (SPR) in females largely blocks these responses. Consistent with its role in modulating female post-mating behavior, SPR is found in neurons innervating the reproductive tract as well as the brain and ventral nerve chord, while ovulin acts through octopamine–dependent neurons. The importance of the brain in controlling female responses after copulation in *Drosophila* is also demonstrated by the fact that in genetic SPR mutants post-mating responses can be rescued by introducing a mutation that yields a leaky blood–brain barrier phenotype, identifying the entry of mating factors into the brain as a potentially crucial step in inducing post-mating changes. Consistent with these findings, the *Drosophila* brain exhibits a robust transcriptional program following mating or injection of exogenous SP.

Here we show that 20E-induced oviposition behavior in *An. gambiae* is partially regulated by c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) signaling in the female head. We detect a strong, mating-induced transcriptional signature in female heads, enriched in immune genes and reminiscent of a JNK-dependent wound-healing response. Silencing multiple components of JNK signaling reduces oviposition rates of mated females, as well as those of virgin females injected with 20E. Conversely, JNK activation by depletion of the negative regulator puckered increases oviposition rates in virgin females. Our results unveil an unexpected link between an important mosquito reproductive behavior and the activation of JNK, a pathway classically associated with stress resistance and longevity, but which is also pivotal to anti-plasmodium immunity.

**Results**

**A transcriptional signature of wounding response is found in the head after mating.** To gain insight into the molecular basis of the mating response in *An. gambiae*, we performed transcriptional analysis of the heads of mated and age-matched virgin females at 3 and 24 h post mating (hpm). Microarray analysis identified a strong immune signature triggered by mating in the head, the like of which had not been detected in similar analyses of other *An. gambiae* female tissues. As summarized in Table 1, 23 genes were differentially regulated after mating at the two time points under analysis, 22 of which were upregulated at either 3 hpm (11 genes) or 24 hpm (11 genes). A single gene, an acyltransferase (AGAP007078), was downregulated in the head 24 hpm. Functionally, 6 of the 22 upregulated genes were common to a group of genes previously linked to the wounding response in *An. gambiae*, while 16 were implicated in the melanization pathway, which has been studied in this species predominantly in the context of *Plasmodium* infection but is also strongly linked to wound healing in other insects including *Drosophila*. In addition, 13 of the upregulated genes were previously found to be preferentially expressed in hemocytes, cells related to mammalian macrophages and central to the repair and regeneration of damaged cells and to the wounding-induced transcriptional response in *Drosophila*.

At the earlier 3 hpm time point we found mating-induced upregulation of transglutaminase 2 (TGase2), a member of an enzyme family involved in chemical crosslinking of proteins in the hemocel that is implicated in the coagulation responses that follow infection or trauma and was previously shown to be involved in JNK-dependent wounding responses in *An. gambiae* (Table 1). Also upregulated at 3 hpm were two members of the CLIP protease family, CLIPs B1 and 11E-like, serine proteases which initiate proteolytic cascades leading to the activation of prophenol oxidases (PPOs) that mediate both melanization and coagulation. We also identified the CLIP inhibitor, Serpin 17, a member of the serine protease inhibitor (Serpin) family which blocks CLIP-mediated proteolytic processing of PPO enzymes. Finally, we detected the up-regulation of L-dopachrome tautomerase (also known as Yellow F2), an enzyme involved in melanin biosynthesis.

Among the genes upregulated at 24 hpm we identified three PPOs (PPO2, PPO5, and PPO6) and three additional CLIP proteases; CLIP15B, CLIP17, and *gasturbation defective*. Beyond the melanization pathway we also found multiple thioester-containing proteins (TEP1, TEP4, TEP8 and TEP19) which are linked to the mosquito complement-like response, along with APL1C (*Anopheles-Plasmodium*-responsive leucine-rich repeat protein 1, isoform C), a member of the leucine-rich repeat (LRR) immune protein (LRIM) family. APL1C associates with another LRR family member (LRIM1, not identified here), and TEP1 in a complex that retains TEP1 in a stably active form that can then form thioester bonds with surface-exposed proteins of invading pathogens including *Plasmodium* parasites, targeting them for lysis. Taken together, these data suggest mating induces a strong wounding response in the head of *An. gambiae* females.

**JNK is activated in the head after mating.** The preponderance of wounding-related transcripts in the head after mating prompted us to ask what signaling pathways might lead to such a response. The importance of the JNK signaling pathway in the insect wounding response has been highlighted in both *An. gambiae* and *Drosophila*. Since JNK is well known to be activated post-transcriptionally by phosphorylation, we asked whether mating might increase levels of active (phosphorylated) JNK (pJNK) in the female head. *An. gambiae* females were dissected around the onset of detectable transcriptional changes (1–6 hpm), and heads were analyzed by Western blot using an antibody specifically recognizing pJNK. Mating induced a marked increase in the levels of pJNK in the head compared to virgin controls, an effect that was already detectable at 1 hpm and was still evident at 4 hpm across multiple experiments (Fig. 1A,B). In mated females we detected a strong band at 46 kDa and a much weaker band at 52 kDa, similar to reports in *An. stephensi*. This mating-induced JNK
response was tissue-specific as we did not detect it in other tissues including the reproductive tract (ovaries, atrium and spermatheca) or the rest of the body (Fig. 1C, Supplementary Fig. S1). Moreover, while levels of pJNK increased in the heads of mated females (one sample t test, \( p = 0.0496, n = 4 \)), levels of the phosphorylated (active) form of extra-cellular signal-regulated kinase (pERK), a second member of the MAP kinase family to

| Gene name                | AGAP        | Mating regulation | Link to melanization*28,29 | Upregulated by wounding*27 | Hemocyte-enriched*32 |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| **Upregulated genes**    |             |                   |                            |                           |                      |
| Melanization             |             |                   |                            |                           |                      |
| PPO2                     | AGAP006258  | 2.5-fold, 24 hpm  | \( p = 0.0082 \)           | ✓                         | ✓                    |
| PPO5                     | AGAP012616  | 1.8-fold, 24 hpm  | \( p = 0.0065 \)           | ✓                         | ✓                    |
| PPO6                     | AGAP004977  | 2.1-fold, 24 hpm  | \( p = 0.0070 \)           | ✓                         | ✓                    |
| CLIPB1                   | AGAP003251  | 1.7-fold, 3 hpm   | \( p = 0.012 \)            | ✓                         | ✓                    |
| CLIPB15                  | AGAP009844  | 2.8-fold, 24 hpm  | \( p = 0.011 \)            | ✓                         | ✓                    |
| CLIPC7                   | AGAP003689  | 2.1-fold, 24 hpm  | \( p = 0.0049 \)           | ✓                         | ✓                    |
| CLIPE11-like             | AGAP003691  | 3.8-fold, 3 hpm   | \( p = 0.00018 \)          | ✓                         | ✓                    |
| Gastrulation-defective   | AGAP013252  | 1.2-fold, 24 hpm  | \( p = 0.0035 \)           | ✓                         |                      |
| Serpin 17                | AGAP001376  | 1.8-fold, 3 hpm   | \( p = 0.0016 \)           | ✓                         | ✓                    |
| Yellow F2                | AGAP004324  | 2.4-fold, 3 hpm   | \( p = 0.0075 \)           | ✓                         |                      |
| **TEP/LRIM family**      |             |                   |                            |                           |                      |
| TEP1                     | AGAP010815  | 3.1-fold, 24 hpm  | \( p = 0.0034 \)           | ✓                         | ✓                    |
| TEP4                     | AGAP010812  | 1.7-fold, 24 hpm  | \( p = 0.0049 \)           | ✓                         |                      |
| TEP8                     | AGAP010831  | 3.3-fold, 24 hpm  | \( p = 0.0012 \)           | ✓                         |                      |
| TEP19                    | AGAP010832  | 3.3-fold, 24 hpm  | \( p = 0.0035 \)           | ✓                         | ✓                    |
| APL1C                    | AGAP007033  | 2.1-fold, 24 hpm  | \( p = 0.018 \)            | ✓                         | ✓                    |
| **Coagulation**          |             |                   |                            |                           |                      |
| TGase2                   | AGAP009098  | 2.4-fold, 3 hpm   | \( p = 0.0095 \)           | ✓                         | ✓                    |
| **Other**                |             |                   |                            |                           |                      |
| membrane protease        | AGAP001365  | 1.5-fold, 3 hpm   | \( p = 0.0078 \)           | ✓                         |                      |
| Unknown                  | AGAP004316  | 3.6-fold, 3 hpm   | \( p = 0.0075 \)           |                           | ✓                    |
| Vesicle transport        | AGAP006609  | 1.1-fold, 3 hpm   | \( p = 0.0084 \)           |                           |                      |
| Carboxylsterase          | AGAP011509  | 2.2-fold, 3 hpm   | \( p = 0.0029 \)           |                           |                      |
| Hsc70                    | AGAP004192  | 2.5-fold, 3 hpm   | \( p = 0.017 \)            |                           |                      |
| Hsp90b                   | AGAP001424  | 1.1-fold, 3 hpm   | \( p = 0.012 \)            |                           |                      |
| **Down regulated genes** |             |                   |                            |                           |                      |
| Acyltransferase          | AGAP007078  | 1.5-fold, 3 hpm   | \( p = 0.012 \)            |                           |                      |

Table 1. Genes regulated in the head after mating are enriched in genes linked to wound-healing, hemocytes and the JNK pathway. The 23 genes identified by microarray as being significantly regulated in the head after mating were compared with the relevant literature reports indicated. The mean mating-induced fold-change over age-matched virgins, the time point at which that change was observed and the adjusted \( p \) value, after False Discovery Rate (FDR) correction for multiple testing, are indicated (further detail of statistical validation of microarray data is included in "Methods").
which JNK belongs, albeit one traditionally associated with growth stimuli, were reduced in mated heads relative to virgin controls (one sample t test, \( p = 0.02, n = 4 \)) (Supplementary Fig. S1), suggesting a level of pathway specificity.

**JNK1 is required for mating-induced oviposition.** To determine the contribution of the JNK pathway to post-mating responses, we silenced elements of the pathway by RNAi and examined the effect on oviposition, a physiological response that is induced in mated females once eggs are fully developed after blood feeding. Given the annotation of two distinct \( An. \ gambiae \) JNK genes, \( JNK1 \) (AGAP029555) and \( JNK3 \) (AGAP009460), we performed preliminary experiments to assess the relative expression of each transcript and found that \( JNK1 \) transcript levels were 2–3 orders of magnitude more abundant than \( JNK3 \) in all tissues measured (Supplementary Fig. S2) leading us to focus on the \( JNK1 \) gene product. Thus, virgin females were injected with dsRNAs targeting \( JNK1 \) (ds\( JNK1 \), Supplementary Fig. S3) or its two transcription factor targets, \( Jun \) (ds\( Jun \)) and \( Fos \) (ds\( Fos \)), using ds\( GFP \) as control. Using a previously established protocol, injected females were then blood-fed and mated, and oviposition rates were measured. \( JNK1 \) silencing inhibited the mating-induced increase in oviposition (Supplementary Fig. S4) and significantly reduced oviposition compared to control females (logistic regression model, \( p < 0.0001 \), Fig. 1D). The failure to oviposit after mating was 5.6-fold more likely in ds\( JNK1 \)-treated females than in ds\( GFP \)-treated controls (odds ratio [OR] = 5.6; \( p < 0.0001 \)). Similarly increased rates of oviposition failure were observed with ds\( Fos \) (OR = 4.03, \( p = 0.0003 \)) and ds\( Jun \) (OR = 6.2, \( p = 0.0002 \)) (Fig. 1D). We observed no significant effect on the total number of eggs developed or the number of eggs oviposited (Supplementary Fig. S5) in any group, and similarly, gene silencing did not affect the ability of females from any of the groups to mate, as assessed by the detection of mating plugs in the atrium (ds\( GFP \), 218 plug-positive females/274 females captured in copula [79.6%]; ds\( Jun \) 83/108 [76.9%, \( p = 0.57 \), Fisher’s exact test]; ds\( Fos \) 207/262 [79.0%, \( p = 0.92 \)]; ds\( JNK1 \), 187/252 [74.2%, \( p = 0.15 \)]). Finally, \( JNK1 \) depletion reduced the up-regulation of wounding-related genes (\( APLIC \), \( TEPI \) and \( PPO2 \)) in the female head after mating (Supplementary Fig. S6). Together, these
data suggest the involvement of the JNK pathway in the mating-induced cascades leading to oviposition in *An. gambiae*.

**Depletion of the JNK-phosphatase puckered is sufficient to induce oviposition in virgins.** Having established a role for the JNK pathway in mating-induced oviposition, we went on to determine whether an increase in the level of pJNK in the head might be sufficient, per se, to induce oviposition in blood-fed virgin females. The activation of JNK is regulated by the dual phosphorylation of its TxY motif by the MAP kinase kinase (MAP2K) Hemipterous, and is prevented by dephosphorylation of the same motif by the dual specificity phosphatase Puckered (Puc), also known as MAP kinase phosphatase 5 (MKP5). Because depletion of *puc* in *Drosophila* leads to the spontaneous activation of JNK-responsive genes\(^4,4\), we reasoned that silencing of this phosphatase might mimic the activation of JNK noted after mating. Indeed, *puc* silencing (Supplementary Fig. S3) increased pJNK levels relative to controls in the female head across multiple experiments (Fig. 2A,B), while no noticeable effects were observed in the reproductive tract of the same females suggesting tissue-specific activation (Fig. 2C). Moreover, a significant proportion of virgin females (logistic regression model, \(p < 0.0001\)) injected with *ds*puc laid eggs after blood feeding (Fig. 2D). Using the logistic regression model applied above, we determined that *ds*puc virgins were approximately 25-fold more likely to oviposit than controls (OR 24.6, \(p < 0.0028\)). These results are consistent with our findings that JNK activation in the head after mating induces oviposition.

While in *Drosophila* Puc acts selectively to regulate the JNK pathway\(^4,4\), a recent study in *An. stephensi* suggested that the same phosphatase can impact MAP kinases other than JNK\(^3\). To address the role of JNK1 in the oviposition induced by *ds*puc, we performed a double knock down of *puc* and *JNK1*. Consistent with a predominant role for JNK signaling in regulating this behavior, coinjection of *ds*puc with *ds*JNK1 significantly reduced the frequency of oviposition induced by *ds*puc alone (OR relative to *ds*puc alone 3.7, \(p = 0.021\)) without affecting the efficiency of *puc* knock down (Supplementary Fig. S3). These data suggest that activation of JNK in the head of a virgin female is sufficient to induce oviposition.
The JNK pathway is required for oviposition induced by exogenous 20E. Since thoracic delivery of exogenous 20E is sufficient to induce oviposition in blood-fed virgin females\textsuperscript{12}, we next assessed whether injected 20E—like mating (Fig. 1A,B)—might also induce an increase in pJNK levels in the head. When compared to controls, a robust increase in pJNK in the head was observed across multiple experiments 1–2 h post injection (hpi) (Fig. 3A,B), while the reproductive tract again showed no JNK activation at these time points (Fig. 3C).

To determine if 20E-induced oviposition occurs via JNK signaling, we silenced \textit{JNK1}, \textit{Jun} or \textit{Fos} and allowed females to take a blood meal. After completion of egg development, females were injected with either 20E or a solvent control, and oviposition rates were measured. The number of females failing to oviposit after 20E-injection increased markedly after treatment with \textit{dsJNK1} (logistic regression model, \( p < 0.0001 \)), compared to \textit{dsGFP}-treated control females (OR of failed oviposition compared to \textit{dsGFP} control = 5.4, \( p < 0.0001 \)). Similar results were obtained after silencing of \textit{Jun} (OR 5.8, \( p < 0.0001 \)) and \textit{Fos} (OR 4.1, \( p = 0.0006 \)) (Fig. 3D). These data support the involvement of the JNK pathway in oviposition induced by sexual transfer of the male steroid hormone 20E. Injection of a solvent control failed to induce oviposition in any \textit{dsRNA} group (94–100% failed oviposition, see Supplementary Table S1), as expected\textsuperscript{12}.

**Discussion**

The transfer of the ecdysteroid hormone 20E from \textit{An. gambiae} males to females during copulation is linked to a life-long license to oviposit eggs developed across multiple gonotrophic cycles\textsuperscript{10,12}. Here, we identify the JNK pathway, traditionally associated with responses to diverse environmental stressors including wounding\textsuperscript{27} and \textit{Plasmodium} infection\textsuperscript{24,25}, as a key component of the downstream events linking sexual transfer of 20E to oviposition. We document a rapid increase in the amount of active pJNK in the heads of mated females that is recapitulated by injection of exogenous 20E. The activation of JNK in the head after mating appears pathway-specific
since ERK, an independently-regulated, growth-associated member of the MAP kinase family to which JNK belongs, is not activated in the same tissue. Moreover, JNK itself is not activated by mating in other tissues studied (reproductive tract or rest of body). The lack of a detectable JNK response to mating in the reproductive tract tallies with the absence of an obvious immune- or wounding-related gene signature in our previous microarray studies of mating-regulated genes in that tissue. In contrast to the striking immune signature and copulatory wounding reported in *Drosophila* reproductive tract after mating.

We find that the activation of the JNK pathway in the head is both necessary and sufficient for at least one element of the post-mating response—the oviposition of developed eggs. RNAi-mediated depletion of *JNK1* inhibited the mating-induced pJNK signal (Supplementary Fig. S4) and reduced oviposition induced either by mating (Fig. 1D) or by the injection of exogenous 20E (Fig. 3D). The link between this reduced egg laying phenotype and JNK function is highlighted by the fact it was phenocopied by depletion of *Jun* or *Fos*, transcription factor targets of pJNK. Moreover, activation of the JNK pathway—by RNAi-induced depletion of *puc*—was sufficient to both increase pJNK levels in the head (Fig. 2A,B) and trigger oviposition in blood-fed-virgin females (Fig. 2D). Importantly, while recent data have shown that in some settings *Puc* is capable of modulating MAP kinase pathways other than JNK, our data show that the induction of oviposition by depletion of *puc* is largely reversed by concomitant depletion of *JNK1*, identifying this MAP kinase as the dominant Puc target in regulating this phenotype (Fig. 2D).

Despite the 100–1,000-fold greater transcript abundance of *JNK1* (Supplementary Fig. S2), we cannot exclude a contribution to the oviposition phenotype from the *JNK3* gene product, given the 70% identity between the two over the mRNA sequence targeted by dsJNK1. Similarly, the origin and importance of the two protein bands observed using the pJNK antibody remain unclear. They could reflect the products of the *JNK1* and *JNK3* genes as suggested by others, but might equally represent alternative post-translationally modified forms of the same gene product. Future studies using specific antibodies or gene targeting strategies will help address these questions.

Given the partial nature of the effects observed, our data also highlight the likely existence of JNK-independent pathways controlling oviposition, although we cannot exclude that these effects are due to incomplete gene silencing. Importantly, in the absence of tissue-specific gene knockout studies, the systemic nature of RNAi makes it difficult to exclude the possibility that JNK signaling outside the head may contribute to the regulation of oviposition, notwithstanding the head-specific activation of JNK observed after mating, 20E injection or *puc* depletion discussed above. The effects of the JNK pathway appear to affect oviposition rather than oogenesis, since neither the number of eggs developed or laid are affected by JNK pathway depletion (Supplementary Fig. S5). Also, we observed no effects on the mating ability of JNK-depleted females, although it remains to be determined whether this pathway may affect female remating frequencies.

While, to our knowledge, this is the first demonstration that the stress-responsive JNK pathway is involved in an important reproductive behavior such as oviposition, egg laying has been previously linked to stress responses in mosquitoes. Stressful stimuli including heat, dessication, starvation and infection have all been shown to impact on the timing of oviposition, whereas confinement stress has been hypothesized to increase the frequency of oviposition in various anophelines. Links between steroid hormones and stress responses have also been documented. Of particular relevance, 20E titers have been shown to increase following stressful social interactions such as courtship. This has led to the proposal that—in a related sex steroids in mammals—20E functions in insects to consolidate stress-associated memory and to drive pathways of neuronal remodeling underpinning the development of appropriate adaptive behaviors.

Although the mating-mediated mechanisms controlling egg laying remain largely elusive, the data presented here provide clear evidence of collaboration between 20E and the JNK pathway to induce oviposition. In fact, such collaboration is well documented during larval/pupal metamorphosis in *Drosophila*, where 20E and the JNK pathway together drive waves of apoptosis which control the reshaping or destruction of obsolete larval tissues as well as the remodeling of neuronal connections in the larval brain by axon and dendrite pruning. It is plausible that an irreversible process of this type might regulate the lifelong behavioral changes induced by mating in *An. gambiae*. In future studies, it will be important to examine whether other elements of the post mating response, such as mating refractoriness, show a similar requirement for the JNK pathway. In addition, given the importance of the JNK pathway in the *An. gambiae* immune response to some *Plasmodium* infections and the centrality of 20E to mosquito physiology and parasite development, it will be informative to examine whether 20E signaling in other contexts (e.g. after blood feeding) also engages with the JNK pathway.

**Methods**

**Rearing of Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes.** *An. gambiae* mosquitoes of the G3 line were maintained at 28 °C, 70% relative humidity with 12 h light/ dark diurnal cycle and water and 10% glucose solution ad libitum and fed weekly on human blood obtained from the blood bank of the Servizio Immunotrasfusionale, Ospedale Santa Maria della Misericordia di Perugia. For mating experiments, males and females were maintained as virgins by separation of male and female pupae by microscopic examination of the terminalia and kept in separate cages until sexual maturity (3 days post eclosion) or as required for mating experiments (see below).

**Oviposition experiments.** Three-day old virgin females were injected (Nanoject II, Drummond Scientific/Olinto Martelli Srl, Florence, Italy) with 0.69 μg (138 nl, 5 μg/μl double stranded RNAs [dsRNAs, see below]) targeting JNK pathway components (*JNK1, Jun, Fos, or pucker (puc)*) or GFP, a gene not expressed in these mosquitoes, as a negative control. In experiments in which two genes were targeted simultaneously (e.g. Fig. 2), a mixture of the two dsRNAs, in which the concentration of each was 5 μg/μl, was prepared and injected in the same volume (138 nl) as a single dsRNA treatment. Assignment of mosquitoes to different groups was random but groups were not blinded. Using oviposition protocols described previously females were blood fed...
Microarray experiments and analysis. Using protocols described previously heads from 15 mated or age-matched virgin control females were dissected in ice-cold PBS at 3 h and 24 h post mating and immediately transferred to Tri Reagent. Four independent biological replicates were performed on different generations of the same mosquito line (G3). Total RNA was recovered by phenol/chloroform extraction, DNAse-treated to remove genomic DNA and quantified using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermofisher). An. gambiae whole genome microarrays (Design ID G2519F-020449). Labelling, hybridization and scanning were performed by the Institute of Genetics and Molecular and Cellular Biology (Illkirch, France).

Microarray datasets were analyzed using the R statistical software environment (version 2.15.0) running the Linear Models for Microarray Data (Limma) package (version 3.14.4). Dye signals were background-corrected using the normexp method with an offset of 16 and normalized across microarrays using the quantile method. Multiple probes for the same transcript identifier were collapsed to individual genes, and average fold-change results were generated for each unique array identifier. Package ArrayQualityMetrics was used for quality control of all microarrays before significance was estimated by fitting a linear model to each gene across replicate arrays, applying a contrast matrix of comparisons of interest in the mating-array experiment, and determining an empirical Bayes moderated t statistic. The decideTests function for multiple testing across genes and contrasts (“global strategy”) was also used to classify the related t statistics as up, down, or not significantly regulated. P values then were corrected for multiple testing by the Benjamini–Hochberg method. Results were exported as Microsoft Excel files, and transcript identifiers [Ensembl Gene ID (AGAP) numbers or ESTs] with adjusted P < 0.05 were selected for further analysis. When possible, ESTs were identified by using manual submissions of Blast to the An. gambiae PEST strain genome or were classified as unknown. Gene function annotation was assigned via VectorBase gene description, AnoXcel summary, and/or orthology.

Western blotting. Three-day-old virgin females were mated with age-matched males, injected with 20E or dsRNAs, as indicated in the figure legends and described above (oviposition experiments). The tissues indicated were dissected from 10 to 15 females in to 25 μl of homogenization buffer [Tris–HCl, 10 mM (pH 7.4); NaCl, 150 mM; EDTA, 5 mM; Triton X-100 0.5%; sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS), 0.1% w/v; sodium orthovanadate, 10 mM; sortam ioacetate, 5 mM; protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, prod. code, p8340) 10 μl/ml; phosphatase inhibitor cocktail III (Sigma, prod. code, p0044) 10 μl/ml; sodium fluoride (1 mM)], manually homogenized, clarified by centrifugation (14,000×g, 5 min, RT), and denatured by heating (85 °C, 5 min) in 1×LDS-PAGE sample buffer (Thermofisher) containing dithiothreitol (DTT, 5 mM). Proteins were separated over 4–12% gradient Bis–Tris gels (Thermofisher) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes which were blocked (1 h, RT) in PBS-Tween (PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20) supplemented with 5% w/v bovine serum albumin then incubated (overnight, 4 °C, 1/1,000 dilution in 5% BSA) with primary antibodies (Cell Signalling Inc, Leiden, The Netherlands); rabbit anti-pJNK (RRID:AB_823588) or anti-pERK (RRID:AB_331646). Membranes were washed (4×15 min PBS-tween), incubated (1 h, RT, 1/5,000 dilution in PBS-Tween containing 5% non-fat milk) with anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary (RRID:AB_2536530), washed again (4×15 min, PBS-Tween), developed using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) (Ammersham, Cambridge, UK) and visualised using a Fusion FX chemiluminescence detector (Vilber-Lourmat, Marne-la-Vallée, France). Membranes were then stripped (15 min, RT, ReStore; Thermofisher) and re-probed (1 h, RT, 1/10,000 diluted in PBS-tween with 5% non-fat milk) with rat anti-β-actin (AbCam, Cambridge, UK, RRID:AB_867488) then washed and developed as above using a goat anti-rat-HRP secondary (RRID:AB_228356). Optical density of bands was analyzed using Image J software using ‘non-saturated’ signals (Fusion FX software) and values for pJNK were normalized against those of actin in the same sample (relative phosphorylation). Differences in relative phosphorylation between groups were assessed using an unpaired, two-tailed Mann–Whitney test. In Supplementary Fig. S1 ‘fold-change’ data for pJNK and pERK (mated over virgin) were tested for deviation from a hypothetical value of 1 using a one sample t test. Full length versions of the cropped blots appearing in the figures are reproduced in Supplementary
Information while unprocessed versions of all the blots used to generate this manuscript are available through Mendeley Data (see data availability statement below).

**RNAi.** Double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) constructs targeting *pucked* (psc, AGAP004353), JNK1 (AGAP022950), JUN (AGAP006386) and Fos (AGAP001093) were prepared using established methods described elsewhere. Briefly, PCR primers (see Supplementary Table S2) designed using the E-RNAi webservice (https://www.dkfz.de/signaling/e-rnai/) were used to generate blunt ended amplicons from *An. gambiae* cDNA which were ligated in to the TOP1.1 vector (Thermofisher) and transected in to Top10 competent E Coli (Thermofisher) by heat shock. Plasmids were purified by midiprep kit from blue/ white selected colonies and the insert verified by sequencing. These plasmids (made available through Adgene: pCR2.1 JNK1, AGAP004422; pCR2.1 Puck, #133285; pCR2.1 Jun, #133286; pCR2.1 Fos, #133287) were used to generate amplicons from which gene-specific dsRNAs were prepared using a T7 polymerase in vitro transcription kit (T7 Megascript, Thermofisher). DNase1-treated dsRNAs were purified by phenol/chloroform extraction and re-suspended in H2O at 10–20 μg/μL. As a negative control a dsRNA targeting GFP was prepared in the same way from an EGFP plasmid described previously.

**Gene expression analysis by qRT-PCR.** Three-day-old females were injected with dsGFP or dsJNK and two days later mated with age-matched males, as indicated in the figure legend and described above (oviposition experiments). Gene expression was assessed by qRT-PCR as described previously. Briefly, dissected tissues were recovered directly to 10 μl of RNA-later (Ambion), immediately supplemented with 250 μl Tri-reagent and homogenized using a motorized pestle. RNA in clarified supernatants (14,000xg, 15 min, 4 °C) was extracted, DNase digested and eluted using Direct-zol RNA miniprep columns (Zymo Research/Euroclone, Milan, Italy) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Some of this material (0.5–1 μg) was reverse transcribed to cDNA as described in detail elsewhere. Triplicate 5 μl aliquots were analysed using Fast SybrGreen master Mix (Thermofisher) and the forward and reverse primers listed in Supplementary Table S2. Reactions were run on a QuantStudio 3 thermocycler (Thermofisher). For each sample, the mean number of cycles to cross threshold (CT) obtained for a the gene of interest was expressed relative to that of a reference gene (*Ribosomal protein L19*, Rpl19, AGAP004422) whose expression is insensitive to mating and blood feeding (delta CT). Delta CT values were used to calculate the relative expression of the gene of interest using the formula: relative expression = 2−(delta CT). On occasion the relative expression in one group (e.g. virgin females) was expressed relative to that in another group (e.g. mated females) as fold-change in expression (delta delta CT). Effects of RNAi-mediated JNK1 depletion on mating-induced expression changes were analyzed by two-way ANOVA using relative expression data (2−delta CT). Efficiency of knock down of target genes was calculated using delta delta CT values from *ds*target vs dsGFP controls and analyzed using a one sample t-test for deviation from a hypothetical value of 100%.

**Statistical analysis.** All Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 8.0 (GraphPad, La Jolla, USA) except logistic regression analyses which were performed using JMP version 14 (SAS, Cary, USA). Non-parametric methods were used unless the normality of all groups analyzed could be demonstrated using a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. In all tests, a significance cut-off of *p* = 0.05 was applied. Comparisons of multiple treatment groups to a single ‘control’ group were corrected using Dunnett’s (for parametric tests) or Dunn’s (for non-parametric tests) multiple comparison correction. If all possible intergroup comparisons were made, Tukey’s correction was used. On occasion (Supplementary Figs. S1, S2) the effect of a manipulation was assessed using a one sample t-test. In these cases, the normality of all groups was verified using a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (Supplementary Fig. S2) or a Shapiro–Wilks test (Supplementary Fig. S1).

**Data availability**

Plasmids encoding dsRNAs generated in this manuscript are publicly available through Adgene. The microarray data reported are available through ArrayExpress (E-MTAB-8733). Other raw data reported here is available through the Mendeley Data repository: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/sgmsnvzbw9/2. A preprint version of this manuscript is available at bioRxiv: https://biorxiv.org/cgi/content/short/2020.03.14.990945v1.
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