Membrane transporters and protein traffic networks differentially affecting metal tolerance: a genomic phenotyping study in yeast
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Abstract

Background: The cellular mechanisms that underlie metal toxicity and detoxification are rather variegated and incompletely understood. Genomic phenotyping was used to assess the roles played by all nonessential Saccharomyces cerevisiae proteins in modulating cell viability after exposure to cadmium, nickel, and other metals.

Results: A number of novel genes and pathways that affect multimetal as well as metal-specific tolerance were discovered. Although the vacuole emerged as a major hot spot for metal detoxification, we also identified a number of pathways that play a more general, less direct role in promoting cell survival under stress conditions (for example, mRNA decay, nucleocytoplasmic transport, and iron acquisition) as well as proteins that are more proximally related to metal damage prevention or repair. Most prominent among the latter are various nutrient transporters previously not associated with metal toxicity. A strikingly differential effect was observed for a large set of deletions, the majority of which centered on the ESCRT (endosomal sorting complexes required for transport) and retromer complexes, which - by affecting transporter downregulation and intracellular protein traffic - cause cadmium sensitivity but nickel resistance.

Conclusion: The data show that a previously underestimated variety of pathways are involved in cadmium and nickel tolerance in eukaryotic cells. As revealed by comparison with five additional metals, there is a good correlation between the chemical properties and the cellular toxicity signatures of various metals. However, many conserved pathways centered on membrane transporters and protein traffic affect cell viability with a surprisingly high degree of metal specificity.

Background
Metals, especially the nonessential ones, are a major environmental and human health hazard. The molecular bases of their toxicity as well as the mechanisms that cells have evolved to cope with them are rather variegated and incompletely understood. The soft acid cadmium and the borderline acid nickel are nonessential transition metals of great environmental concern. Although redox inactive, cadmium and nickel cause oxidative damage indirectly [1] and they both have carcinogenic effects [2,3], albeit with reportedly different mechanisms [4-6].
The cellular effects of cadmium are far more studied than those of nickel. Instrumental to the elucidation of some of the basic mechanisms that underlie cadmium toxicity has been the model eukaryote \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} [7]. It was studies conducted in this organism, for example, that yielded the first demonstration of the indirect nature of cadmium’s genotoxic effects, which leads to genome instability by inhibiting DNA mismatch repair [8] and other DNA repair systems [6]. Similarly, lipid peroxidation as a major mechanism of cadmium toxicity [9] as well as the central roles played by thioredoxin and reduced glutathione (GSH) [7], and vacuolar transport systems such as Ycf1 [10], in cadmium detoxification were first documented in yeast. Some of the above components were shown to be upregulated at both the mRNA [11,12] and protein [12,13] levels in cadmium-stressed yeast cells. Predominant among these expression changes was the upregulation of the sulfur amino acid biosynthetic pathway and the induction of isozymes with a markedly reduced sulfur amino acid content as a way to spare sulfur for GSH synthesis [12]. A number of additional cadmium-responsive genes without any obvious relationship to sulfur sparing or cadmium stress were also identified, however. Curiously, only a small subset of the most cadmium-responsive genes produce a metal-sensitive phenotype when deleted [13], thus reinforcing the notion that transcriptional modulation \textit{per se} is not a general predictor of the pathways influencing stress tolerance [14,15]. For example, deletion of genes coding for two major organic peroxide-scavenging enzymes (GPX3 and AHP1; the latter encoding a cadmium-induced alkyl hydroperoxide reductase) did not impair cadmium tolerance [13].

By comparison, only a few studies have dealt with nickel toxicity in yeast. Interestingly, they showed that unprogrammed gene silencing, which is a major mechanism of nickel toxicity and carcinogenicity in humans [16,17], also operates in \textit{S. cerevisiae}. This further emphasizes the high degree of conservation of various aspects of metal toxicity as well as the usefulness of \textit{S. cerevisiae} as a model organism for elucidating the corresponding pathways in humans. They also suggest, however, that a broad and as yet largely unexplored range of cellular pathways may be involved in alleviating the toxic effects of metals. What is currently missing, in particular, is a global view of such pathways at the phenotype level and a genome-wide comparison of different metals as well as other stressors.

We have addressed these issues by examining the fitness of a genome-wide collection of yeast deletion mutant strains [18,19] exposed to two chemically diverse metals, namely cadmium and nickel, each of which is a known carcinogen [2,3,20]. This allowed us to assess the role of all nonessential proteins in modulating the cellular toxicity (sensitivity or resistance) of these two metals. The results of this screen were integrated with interactome data and compared with the genomic phenotyping profiles of other stressors. To gain further insight into the cytotoxicity signatures of different metals, the entire set of 388 mutants exhibiting an altered viability after exposure to cadmium and nickel was challenged with four additional metals (mercury, zinc, cobalt and iron) plus the metalloid AsO$_2^-$; Although overall there is good correlation between the chemical properties and the cellular toxicity signatures of various metals, many conserved pathways centered on (but not limited to) membrane transporters and protein traffic affect cell viability with a surprisingly high degree of metal specificity.

**Results and discussion**

*Genomic phenotyping of cadmium and nickel toxicity*

Sublethal concentrations of 50 $\mu$mol/l cadmium and 2.5 mmol/l nickel (see ‘Materials and methods’, below, for details) were used for multireplicate screening of the yeast haploid deletion mutant collection (five replicates for each metal), which was performed by manually pinning ordered sets of 384 strains onto metal-containing yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD)-agar plates (Additional data file 1 [Figure S1A]). After culture and colony size inspection, strains scored as metal sensitive or resistant in at least three screens were individually verified by spotting serial dilutions onto metal-containing plates. Mutant strains exhibiting various levels of metal sensitivity (high sensitivity [HS], medium sensitivity [MS], and low sensitivity [LS]) and a single class of metal resistant mutant strains were recognized (Additional data file 1 [Figures S1B and S1C]).

A total of 388 mutant strains that were sensitive or resistant to cadmium and/or nickel were identified. As shown in Figure 1a, some of them were specifically sensitive or resistant to cadmium or nickel, whereas others exhibited an altered tolerance to both metals. Metal-sensitive mutants exceeded the resistant ones by more than threefold. The number of sensitive mutants was considerably higher for cadmium than for nickel, which is in accordance with the strikingly different cellular toxicity previously reported for these two metal ions in animal cells [4,21]. Conversely, mutants resistant to nickel were significantly more abundant than cadmium-resistant mutant strains. More than two-thirds of the nickel-resistant mutants were found to be sensitive to cadmium, as opposed to only one instance of cadmium resistance/nickel sensitivity (smf1.A). A detailed list of the mutants, including their degree of sensitivity (Additional data file 1 [Figures S1B and S1C]), Gene Ontology (GO) description, and related information, is provided in Additional data file 2. Human orthologs were identified for about 50% of the genes causing metal sensitivity or resistance, 27 of which correspond to genes previously found to be involved in human diseases, especially cancer. Twenty-four mutants are deleted in genes encoding uncharacterized open reading frames (ORFs), whereas four metal toxicity modulating genes are homologous to unannotated human ORFs (Additional data file 2). Genomic phenotyping data were also compared with the results of transcriptomic
analyses conducted on cadmium-treated yeast cells [11]. In keeping with previous comparisons of this kind [14,15], only a marginal (about 7%) overlap was detected (Additional data file 2).

As revealed by the GO analysis summarized in Figure 1b, a wide range of cellular processes is engaged in the modulation of cadmium and nickel toxicity. At variance with cadmium resistant mutants, which are scattered throughout various GO categories, nickel-resistant as well as cadmium/nickel-sensitive mutant strains were found to be enriched in specific functional categories. Some of the top responsive genes identified by previous expression profiling studies (for example, genes involved in GSH and reduced sulfur metabolism [11,13]) were found to be among deletion mutants specifically sensitive to cadmium, especially within the 'response to stress' category. As expected for cells treated with agents that are actively internalized by and sequestered into vacuoles, a number of the most significant GO categories are related to 'transport', particularly to the vacuole, and to the biogenesis and functioning (for example, acidification) of this organelle. Several processes not so obviously associated with metal tolerance were also identified. For example, 'nucleocytoplasmic transport' (including nuclear pore complex formation, and functionality) emerged as a process that is specifically impaired in nickel-sensitive mutants. Other processes centered on vesicle-mediated transport also profoundly influence cadmium and nickel tolerance in different, often contrasting ways. For example, many 'Golgi-to-vacuole transport' mutants appear to be sensitive to both cadmium and
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**Figure 1**

Distribution among different sensitivity/resistance groups and functional classification of metal tolerance affecting mutations. (a) Venn diagram visualization of mutant strains displaying multimetal or metal-specific sensitivity (green circles) or resistance (red circles); also shown are mutants characterized by an opposite phenotypic response to the two metals (45 cadmium sensitive/nickel resistant strains and one cadmium resistant/nickel sensitive strain). (b) Biologic processes associated with metal toxicity-modulating genes identified with the Gene Ontology (GO) Term Finder program [99]. Statistical significance of GO term/group association ($P$-value < 0.001) and enrichment ratios are reported for each category; parent terms are presented in bold, and child terms of the parent class ‘transport’ are presented in italics.
nickel, whereas defects in 'endosome transport' and 'retrograde transport endosome-to-Golgi' render cells sensitive to cadmium but resistant to nickel (see below).

Importantly, mutants with metal sensitivity phenotypes of varying severity (Additional data files 1 and 2) are present within different mutant classes as well as functional categories. This discounts the possibility that only highly sensitive mutant strains or particular classes of genes are relevant to cadmium/nickel tolerance, and suggests that a suite of pathways, much broader than previously thought, modulates metal tolerance in eukaryotic cells.

**Mutations impairing cadmium and nickel tolerance**

To gain a more detailed understanding of metal toxicity-modulating pathways and the way in which they are interconnected, we set out to analyze genome phenotyping data in the framework of the known yeast interactome [22-24]. The 79 genes that when mutated cause sensitivity to both cadmium and nickel were initially addressed. As shown in Figure 2, 52 of these genes were identified as part of nine functional subnetworks (a minimum of three gene products sharing at least one GO biological process annotation and connected by at least two physical or genetic interactions; see 'Materials and methods', below, for details on this analysis). Seventeen of the remaining genes could be traced to a particular subnetwork but did not pass the above criterion, whereas the other ten remained as 'solitary' entries. Metal sensitivity phenotypes for at least two deletion mutants randomly sampled from each subnetwork were confirmed by independent serial dilution assays carried out on untagged strains of the opposite mating type (data not shown).

In accordance with the tight relationship between metal tolerance and vacuole functionality highlighted by GO analysis, the most populated subnetwork (subnetwork 1; \( P\)-value < 1.5 \( \times 10^{-18} \)) comprises a large set of subunits, assembly factors, and regulators of V-ATPase, which is the enzyme responsible for generating the electrochemical potential that drives the
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**Figure 2**

Interaction subnetworks among gene products whose disruption causes cadmium/nickel sensitivity. Physical (110) and genetic (105) interactions were identified computationally using the Network Visualization System Osprey [103]. Gene products are represented as nodes, shown as filled circles colored according to their Gene Ontology (GO) classification; interactions are represented as node-connecting edges, shown as lines, colored according to the type of experimental approach utilized to document interaction as specified in the BioGRID database [22] and in the Osprey reference manual. The nine identified subnetworks (a minimum of three interacting gene products sharing at least one GO biologic process annotation and connected by at least two physical or genetic interactions; see 'Materials and methods') are encircled and associated with a general function descriptor. Thirteen interacting gene products whose interaction or functional similarity features do not satisfy the above criterion are shown outside encircled subnetworks; genes without any reported interaction (or linked via essential genes, not addressed in this study) are shown at the bottom. Individual subnetworks were subjected to independent verification by serial dilution growth assays carried on at least two untagged strains of the opposite mating type (see 'Materials and methods'). sn., subnetwork.
active accumulation of various ions within the vacuole [25]. Also related to V-ATPase functionality (although not included in subnetwork 1) is Cys4, which is the first enzyme of cysteine biosynthesis, whose disruption indirectly interferes with vacuolar H+-ATPase activity [26]. Another highly populated subnetwork (subnetwork 2; \( P\)-value < 2 \times 10^{-5} \)) contains eight additional vacuole-related genes belonging to either class B or C "vacuolar protein sorting" (ups) mutants, whose deletion respectively causes a fragmented vacuole morphology or lack of any vacuole-like structure [27,28]. This indicates that defects in specific aspects of vacuole functionality as well as in late steps of vesicle transport to, and fusion with, the vacuole cause sensitivity to both metal ions. In keeping with this view, three additional proteins (Fab1, Fig4, and Vac14), which also cause cadmium/nickel sensitivity when disrupted, control trafficking to the vacuolar lumen [29,30]. The role played by the vacuole in metal toxicity modulation may entail both metal sequestration within this organelle as well as the clearance of metal-damaged macromolecules.

Connected with these vacuole-related hot spots, which include a number of genes previously associated with cadmium (but not nickel) tolerance [7], are five additional subnetworks. One of them (subnetwork 3; \( P\)-value < 7 \times 10^{-5} \)) comprises the master regulator Rpn4, which is required for proteasome biogenesis, and three ubiquitin-related proteasomal components (Qri8, Shp1, and Ubp3), thus reinforcing the notion that abnormal protein degradation plays an important role in toxic metal tolerance [31-33]. Other components previously associated with tolerance to cadmium and to other stressors include three subunits of the chromatin remodeling complex SWI/SNF (SWItch/Sucrase NonFermenting; subnetwork 4; \( P\)-value < 0.1) [34] and a group of regulators of the cell wall integrity/mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathway (subnetwork 5; \( P\)-value < 3.4 \times 10^{-6} \)) [35,36]. These are functionally linked to the second largest subnetwork (subnetwork 6; \( P\)-value < 9.1 \times 10^{-5} \), which is centered on Ccr4 and its associated proteins. Ccr4 is a multifunctional mRNA deadenylase that can be part of mRNA decay as well as transcriptional regulatory complexes in association with the NOT factors [37]. None of the NOT deletion mutants was identified as metal sensitive, whereas a few other transcriptional regulators interacting with Ccr4 (for example, Dbf2 and Rtf1) cause cadmium/nickel sensitivity when disrupted. Pop2, another major deadenylase in S. cerevisiae [37], along with three additional RNA processing enzymes (Kem1, Lsm7, and Patt), were also found among cadmium/nickel sensitive mutants. Previously known to be involved in the response to DNA damaging agents [38], these proteins thus appear to play a role also in metal tolerance, which might be aimed at ensuring proper translational/metabolic reprogramming under stress conditions. This finding, along with the identification of cadmium/nickel-sensitive mutations affecting three nuclear pore complex subunits (subnetwork 7; \( P\)-value < 7.3 \times 10^{-5} \)) and a mRNA export factor (Npl3), points to mRNA decay and trafficking (particularly nuclear export) as a novel hot spot of metal toxicity.

The last two subnetworks pertain to ergosterol biosynthesis (subnetwork 8; \( P\)-value < 9.8 \times 10^{-4} \), which critically influences the structural and functional integrity of the plasma membrane (Additional data file 1 [Figure S1B] shows a representative phenotype), and to essential ion homeostasis (subnetwork 9; \( P\)-value < 0.12 \)). The latter includes the endoplasmic reticulum exit protein Pho86, which is required for plasma membrane translocation of the Pho84 phosphate transporter, the high-affinity iron transport complex Ftr1/Fet3, and a transcription factor (encoded by the solitary gene AFT1) that positively regulates FTR1/FET3 expression. All these genes cause cadmium/nickel sensitivity when mutated. A possible explanation for this finding is that toxic metals can make iron, and other essential ions, limiting for cell growth (see below). In fact, one copper transporter (Ctri) and a copper uptake-related transcription factor (Mac1) were also found among the cadmium/nickel-sensitive mutants in our screen.

**Metal-specific sensitive mutants**

A similar interactome analysis was applied to deletion mutants that proved to be specifically sensitive to nickel or cadmium. As shown in Table 1 (and Additional data files 3 and 4), this led to the identification of seven metal-specific subnetworks and to the inclusion of nickel and cadmium specific mutants into previously identified subnetworks. Especially noteworthy are the nickel-specific expansion of the nuclear pore complex (subnetwork 7; \( P\)-value < 1 \times 10^{-4} \) and the many cadmium-specific mutants added to subnetwork 4 (\( P\)-value < 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \), which includes various components of the chromatin modification complexes SAGA and INO80, plus the histone deacetylase HDA1. Proteins involved in histone acetylation may affect metal tolerance by influencing DNA reactivity as well as DNA accessibility to repair enzymes, or by influencing the expression of genes needed for recovery. The selective enrichment of cadmium-sensitive mutants within this subnetwork (as well as in the cadmium-specific subnetwork ‘DNA repair’; subnetwork 12; see below) is not too surprising, if one considers the known genotoxic effects of cadmium, caused by interference with DNA repair [6,8].

Only one of the new subnetworks (subnetwork 10; \( P\)-value < 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \) was found to be specifically associated with nickel sensitivity (Table 1 and Additional data file 3). This includes various components of a multiprotein complex (Adaptor Protein complex AP-3) that is involved in the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) pathway for protein transport from the Golgi to the vacuole. At variance with the other Golgi-to-vacuole transport route (the so-called 'carboxypeptidase Y' [CPY] pathway), which proceeds through an endosome intermediate and includes a number of components that when disrupted cause cadmium sensitivity (see subnetwork 15 in Table 1), the ALP pathway directly targets its cargo proteins to the
vacuole. Different metals and/or different metal-specific detoxifying proteins thus appear to be differentially trafficked through the Golgi-vacuole network. A similar differential toxicity effect was recently reported for iron and copper [39]. Also notable in this regard is the observation that mutants impaired in the retrieval of receptors from the endosome to the Golgi (subnetwork 15; \( P \)-value < 2.4 \( \times \) 10\(^{-3} \)) and in endosome-to-vacuole transport (subnetwork 16; \( P \)-value < 1.6 \( \times \) 10\(^{-8} \)) are specifically sensitive to cadmium but resistant to nickel (see below).

The other cadmium-specific subnetworks are 'DNA repair' (subnetwork 12; \( P \)-value < 0.16), which includes the ubiquitin-conjugating DNA repair enzyme RAD6; ‘antioxidant defense’ (subnetwork 13; \( P \)-value < 0.04) which includes several enzymes involved in antioxidant defense; and ‘Ubiquitin-dependent sorting to the multivesicular body pathway’ (subnetwork 16; \( P \)-value < 0.01), which includes several proteins involved in ubiquitin-dependent sorting.

### Table 1

| Subnetworks\(^a\) | Nickel | Cadmium |
|-------------------|--------|---------|
| | Interacting gene products | Functionally linked gene products\(^b\) | Interacting gene products\(^3\) | Functionally linked gene products\(^b,c\) |
| V-ATPase assembly/regulation (sn. 1) | Rav1, Yma16, Vph1 | Bre5, Cdc26, Dso1, Hji1, Sel1, Ubi4, Ubp6, Ump1 | Dia2 |
| Proteasome (sn. 3) | Cue1 | SAGA complex (Ada2, Chd1, Gcn5, Hfi1, Ngl1, Sp17\(^{8b}\), Sp20\(^{8c}\)); Ino80 complex (Arp5, Arp8, Taf14); COMPASS complex (Bre2, Sdc1); Asf1, Arl1, Eaf7\(^{3b}\), Esc2, Hda1\(^{8b}\), Hmo1, loc2 |
| Chromatin assembly/remodelling (sn. 4) | | Hmo1 |
| Cell wall integrity pathway (sn. 5) | Whi3 | Bem2, Dom34, Ecm33, Kcs1, Pin4, Pog1, Rvs161, Rvs167, Sic1, Sic4\(^{4c}\), Sur7, Swi4, Swi6, Whi2 |
| CCR4 and other mRNA processing enzymes (sn. 6) | | Dhh1, Paf1 |
| Nuclear pore complex (sn. 7) | Nup84, Sac3, Thp1 | Ccc2, Zap1 | Smf3 |
| Essential ion homeostasis (sn. 9) | Pho88 | Mediator complexes (Gal11, Med2, Pgd1, Sp21, Srb8\(^{8c}\), Srb10); Cad1, Elp4, Tup1, Yap1 |
| AP-3 complex (sn. 10) | Ap15, Ap16, Apm3, Ap3 | | Mss11 |
| General transcription (sn. 11) | Mtf1, Rpb9, Rxt103, Thp2 | Ct4, Him1, Met18, Mms22, Mre11, Pbi32, Rad6, Rad27, Xrs2 |
| DNA repair (sn. 12) | | Axl2, Ccs1, Sod1, Sod2 | Cad1, Gir1, Gsh1, Gsh2, Yap1, Zwf1 |
| Antioxidant defense (sn. 13) | | Apm2, Snx3\(^{a}\) | Gre2 |
| Hog1 pathway (sn. 14) | Fps1, Hog1, Pbs2, Rck2, Ste11 | Erv41, Erv46, Get2, Sac1, Sec22, Sec66, Vps13, Cog3, Cog8, Pep7, Tlg2, Vps3, Vps9, Vps21, Vps45; Arl1, Arl3, Ent3, Gda2, Nhx1\(^{1a}\); Rgo1, Ric1, Sys1, Yih0399\(^{8b}\), Vps51, Vps54, Ypt6; Vam10\(^{10}\), Vps11\(^{1a}\), Vps8\(^{8b}\), Pep8\(^{8c}\), Vps5\(^{8c}\), Vps17\(^{8b}\), Vps29\(^{8b}\), Vps30\(^{8c}\), Vps35\(^{8b}\), Vps38\(^{8b}\) | Bsd2\(^{1a}\), Bul1\(^{1a}\), Nhx1\(^{1a}\), Tre1\(^{1a}\) |
| Vesicle targeting to, from or within Golgi (sn. 15) | | | |
| Ubiquitin-dependent sorting to the multivesicular body pathway (sn. 16) | | Vps27\(^{1a}\); ESCRT-I complex (Vps28\(^{8b}\), Mvb1\(^{12}\), Snr2\(^{8c}\), Sta2\(^{22}\)); ESCRT-II complex (Snf8\(^{8b}\), Vps25\(^{8b}\), Vps36\(^{8c}\)); ESCRT-III complex (Did4\(^{4c}\), Snf7\(^{8b}\), Vps20\(^{22}\), Vps24\(^{8c}\)); Bro1\(^{1a}\), Did2\(^{1a}\), Doa4\(^{1a}\), Vps4\(^{8b}\) | Bsd2\(^{1a}\), Bul1\(^{1a}\), Nhx1\(^{1a}\), Tre1\(^{1a}\) |

\(^{a}\)Subnetworks 1 to 9 are the same as those described in Figure 2 but include deletion mutants specifically sensitive to nickel or cadmium (no nickel or cadmium specific mutants were identified for subnetworks 2 and 8); subnetworks 10 to 16 are newly identified interaction networks comprised of gene products causing nickel-specific or cadmium-specific sensitivity when disrupted (also see Additional data files 3 and 4). \(^{b}\)Gene products for which no physical or genetic interaction is documented in the BioGRID database [22] but for which a functional relationship with the indicated subnetworks has been reported. \(^{c}\)Gene mutations causing cadmium sensitivity but nickel resistance are marked with an asterisk. AP-3, Adaptor Protein-3; CCR, Carbon Catabolite Repression; ESCRT, endosomal sorting complexes required for transport; sn., subnetwork.
defence' (subnetwork 13; \( P \)-value < \( 5.8 \times 10^{-2} \)) and other functionally related components (Table 1 and Additional data file 4); and the Hog1 kinase cascade (subnetwork 14; \( P \)-value < \( 3.7 \times 10^{-2} \)), which was previously shown to be involved in cadmium tolerance [40]. The latter, along with the upstream-acting kinase Pbs2, controls a number of cell wall integrity-related genes. Other genes that when mutated cause cadmium or nickel sensitivity encode plasma membrane (Mal31 and Smf1) and intracellular (Ccc2, Pho88, Pho89, Smf3, Ybt1, and Ycf1) transporters (or transport-related proteins), for most of which involvement in toxic metal mobilization (especially export or reduced uptake) has not previously been reported (see below).

A previously underestimated variety of cellular processes, operating in different subcellular compartments (vacuole, Golgi, and endosome, but also cytosol, nucleus, and plasma membrane), thus appears to be involved in metal tolerance in yeast. Perhaps the most significant among the novel metal toxicity-related processes revealed by our screen are mRNA decay and nucleocytoplasmic transport, and the different protein trafficking (particularly vacuole-to-Golgi) pathways that differentially affect cadmium and/or nickel tolerance when disrupted.

**Cadmium and nickel interfere with iron homeostasis through different mechanisms**

To highlight potential commonalities between cadmium/nickel exposure and other stresses, we compared our data with those obtained from similar genomic phenotyping studies [41-45]. As shown in Figure 3a, alkaline pH exhibited the closest overlap with cadmium/nickel stress. About 50% of the cadmium/nickel co-sensitive mutants (plus additional metal-specific mutants) correspond to genes previously shown to cause alkaline pH sensitivity when disrupted [44]. Furthermore, the toxicity phenotypes of both metals (particularly nickel) were exacerbated by increasing growth medium pH (Figure 3b). Especially notable among these shared (toxic metal/alkaline pH sensitive) mutants are those deleted in components directly or indirectly involved in iron homeostasis (for example, Aft1, Ctr1, Fet4/Ftr1, and Mac1), disruption of which leads to iron deficiency [46]. The latter has been implicated as a major determinant of alkaline pH stress through a reduction of iron solubility/availability [44] as well as a contributing factor to the stress induced by zinc overload in yeast, which has been shown to be caused by competition between zinc and iron at the level of cellular uptake [47]. Moreover, exposure to cadmium and nickel reduces intracellular iron levels in plant and animal cells [48-51]. We thus addressed the relationship between iron deficiency and cadmium/nickel toxicity by testing the effect of increasing iron concentrations on the fitness of cells lacking either subunit of Fet3/Ftr1 (deletion of which causes a genetic surrogate of iron starvation) exposed to either cadmium or nickel.

As shown in Figure 4, supplementation of 30 \( \mu \)mol/l \( \text{FeCl}_3 \) increased cadmium/nickel tolerance in \( \text{fet3} \cdot \Delta \) cells (same results for the \( \text{ftr1} \cdot \Delta \) mutant; data not shown). An ameliorating effect of iron supplementation was observed with other mutants not so closely related to iron homeostasis (for example, \( \text{erg2} \cdot \Delta \), \( \text{slt2} \cdot \Delta \), \( \text{van7} \cdot \Delta \), and \( \text{ups5} \cdot \Delta \); data not shown), suggesting that iron deficiency is indeed an important (albeit indirect) determinant of cadmium/nickel toxicity. However, it should be noted that - at variance with cadmium, whose toxicity was progressively alleviated by increasing iron concentrations even in wild-type (WT) cells - nickel toxicity was only partly relieved in the \( \text{fet3} \cdot \Delta \) mutant within a narrow, 30 to 60 \( \mu \)mol/l \( \text{FeCl}_3 \) supplementation range, and gradually deteriorated thereafter (Figure 4).

Also apparent in Figure 4 is the different degree of cadmium/nickel sensitivity of the \( \text{fet3} \cdot \Delta \) mutant (same for \( \text{ftr1} \cdot \Delta \), which is only moderately sensitive to cadmium (LS phenotype) but highly sensitive to nickel (HS phenotype). Other distinguishing features of the iron-related phenotypes of cadmium and nickel originate from the low-affinity/low-specificity transporters encoded by the \( \text{FET4} \) and \( \text{SMF1} \) genes [46,52]. These transporters become major entry sites for iron under iron overload or \( \text{fet3} \cdot \text{ftr1} \cdot \Delta \) conditions [53,54] as well as the absence of the transcription factor Aft1, which positively regulates \( \text{FET3} \) and \( \text{FTR1} \), whose deletion causes a HS phenotype for both cadmium and nickel (Additional data file 1 [Figure S1B] shows a representative phenotype). In addition to iron, Fet4 and Smf1 internalize other metals such as manganese, copper and cadmium [52,55,56], whereas no conclusive data on nickel have thus far been reported. In keeping with this notion, we find that \( \text{fet4} \) and \( \text{smf1} \) deletion mutants are cadmium (but not nickel) resistant, whereas disruption of Rox1 - a negative regulator of \( \text{FET4} \) - makes cells selectively sensitive to cadmium (Additional data file 5). Conversely, over-expression of Smf1 causes cadmium (but not nickel) sensitivity (see Figures 7 and 8, below, for representative phenotypes). Therefore, even though cadmium and nickel toxicity is exacerbated at alkaline pH and both interfere with iron homeostasis, they probably do so with different mechanisms.

Cadmium, but not nickel, is internalized by broad-range transporters such as Fet4, which accumulate under iron-limiting conditions as a way to cope with iron deficiency [54]. Two nonmutually exclusive mechanisms may thus explain the ameliorating effect of iron supplementation on cadmium toxicity, in both WT and \( \text{fet3} \cdot \Delta \) cells: competition between the two metals at the level of cellular uptake; and downregulation of promiscuous (iron/cadmium) transporters under conditions of iron overload [54,57]. Competition between iron and cadmium at the level of cellular uptake may account, for instance, for the anti-cadmium effect of iron that has been described in rats fed with a iron-supplemented diet [58]. Nickel, instead, interferes with iron homeostasis via an as yet unidentified mechanism, which does not appear to rely on direct competition with iron at the level of cellular uptake. An...
alternative possibility is nickel competition at the level of iron-regulated enzymes, as reported for various Fe-S (for example, aconitase and succinate dehydrogenase) and other iron-dependent enzymes in mammalian cells [59].

Other iron-related genes whose mutation makes cells specifically sensitive to nickel or cadmium are Ccc2 (a P-ATPase responsible for copper loading of the Fe [II] oxidoreductase Fet3) and Smf3 (a divalent metal transporter that mobilizes iron ions from the vacuole to the cytosol under conditions of iron deficiency). Mutations affecting the human orthologs of these genes respectively cause Wilson disease (characterized by abnormal copper accumulation in liver) [60] and microcytic anemia with hepatic iron overload [61].

Metal-resistant mutants

A total of 46 mutants, not considering the 45 strains that were nickel resistant but cadmium sensitive (Figure 1a; also see the next section), exhibited increased resistance to cadmium (20 mutants, six of which were in uncharacterized ORFs), nickel (11 mutants), or both metals (15 mutants, three of which were in uncharacterized ORFs; see also Additional data file 2). The latter mutants include the transcriptional repressor Rim101 plus seven genes encoding proteins involved in the proteolytic activation and/or functionality of this regulator (Figure 5a).

Originally identified as a regulator of meiotic gene expression and sporulation [62], Rim101 has also recently been implicated in the control of cell wall assembly and as a determinant of monovalent cation and alkaline pH tolerance [63-65]. Although conclusive evidence on the functional relationship between activated Rim101 and cell wall construction is still lacking, recent DNA microarray data have shed light on the transcriptional targets of Rim101. These include the transcription factors NRGI and SMP1, which themselves act as repressors of functionally heterogeneous sets of genes [64]. To gain insight into Rim101 targets that are more closely related to cadmium/nickel resistance, we over-expressed both repressors and tested metal tolerance of the resulting transformants. As shown in Figure 5b, an increase in cadmium/nickel tolerance was observed in strains over-expressing Nrg1 but not Smp1, thus pointing to the former repressor as a downstream effector of the metal resistance phenotype brought about by Rim101 deletion. Among the targets of Nrg1 [66] is the low-affinity Trp/His transporter encoded by the TAT7 gene, whose deletion also enhances cadmium/nickel tolerance (Figure 5e). In addition, when tested with the fluorescent nickel chelator Newport Green [21], both

Figure 3

Cross-comparison with other stressors. (a) Hierarchical clustering of cadmium and/or nickel sensitivity-conferring mutations with the mutant sensitivity profiles of other stressors [41-45]. The x-axis corresponds to gene deletions and the y-axis indicates the various stressors; mutant strains exhibiting either an enhanced sensitivity or no phenotype are shown in green and black, respectively. Nonmetal stressors were selected from previous genomic phenotyping screens conducted on the deletion mutant collections: methyl methane sulfonate (MMS), γ-radiation (γ-rays), bleomycin (Bleo), alkaline pH (pH), menadione (Men), hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), cumene hydroperoxide (CHP), linoleic acid 13-hydroperoxide (LoaOOH), and diamide (Diam). Mutant strains were hierarchically clustered with EPCLUST (average linkage, uncentered correlation [104]); only mutants sensitive to at least two different stressors were taken into account for this analysis. (b) Serial dilution assays (tenfold increments from left to right, starting from an optical density at 600 nm [OD600] of 1.0) of wild-type cells grown in the absence (upper row) or in the presence of cadmium or nickel, or under standard yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD) medium or on the same medium buffered at the indicated pH values (see ‘Materials and methods’ for details).

http://genomebiology.com/2008/9/4/R67
rim101Δ and tat1Δ mutants exhibited strikingly reduced nickel accumulation (Figure 5d). We thus propose that Tati is a novel entry route for nonessential metals in yeast. Interestingly, mammalian orthologs of Tat1 encode similarly promiscuous transporters that are involved in high-affinity cationic amino acid transport but also serve as receptors for various ecotropic retroviruses such as murine leukemia virus [67].

Other transporter mutants exhibiting cadmium (but not nickel) resistance include smf2Δ, an intracellular manganese transporter [52] (see also Figure 7), and zrt3Δ, which is a transporter that mobilizes zinc ions from the vacuole to the cytoplasm [68]. Additional mutants of this kind are disrupted in the vacuolar transporter chaperones Vtc4 (nickel/cadmium resistant) and Vtc1 (nickel resistant), both of which have previously been reported to cause manganese resistance when deleted [69]. Also notable among the genes that when deleted cause cadmium and/or nickel resistance are Siz2, a subunit of the Set3C histone deacetylase complex whose disruption increases telomeric silencing, the cell cycle regulators Cln3 and Sap190, and the mitogen-activating protein kinase cascade regulator Sis2.

Mutations in the ESCRT and in the endosome-to-Golgi retromer complexes differentially affect cadmium and nickel tolerance
As was anticipated (Figure 1), mutations in 45 genes, more than half of which had never previously been implicated in metal tolerance, oppositely affect cadmium and nickel toxicities, making cells more sensitive to cadmium while increasing nickel tolerance. As shown in Figure 6a (also see Table 1 and Additional data files 3 and 4), 70% of these genes are involved in protein traffic to and formation of the prevacuolar compartment (PVC; pathway I; 20 mutants), and in protein
retrieval from the PVC to the late Golgi (pathway II; ten mutants). Some of these mutants, belonging to pathway I, were previously shown to be cadmium sensitive [52,70-72] or nickel resistant [73], whereas seven pathway II mutations, only one of which known to cause cadmium sensitivity, were found to increase nickel tolerance [74]. Newly identified pathway I mutants include all class E vps components of the ‘endosomal sorting complexes required for transport’ (ESCRT I, II and III) [75,76]. Pathway II mutants are comprised of genes involved in protein retrieval to the Golgi, including all components of the ‘retromer complex’ and other functionally related proteins such as Vps30 and the phosphatidylinositol-3P binding nexin Snx3 [77,78]. Representa
tive phenotypes of mutants affected in these pathways, which are conserved from yeast to humans, are shown in Figure 6b. Targeting to the PVC and formation of the ‘multivesicular body’ by the ESCRT pathway are involved in clearance of misfolded membrane proteins, downregulation of plasma membrane receptors and transporters, localization and processing of vacular components, and removal of selected regions of the plasma membrane, coupled with ingestion of surrounding small molecules, through ‘fluid phase endocytosis’ [75,76,79].

Pathway II, instead, is responsible for recycling hydrolase receptors and other vacuolar traffic components from the PVC to the late Golgi and to the plasma membrane [77,80,81]. Mutational inactivation of these pathways can lead, for instance, to an abnormal accumulation of plasma membrane transporters that may promiscuously internalize toxic metals (I), or to protein missorting and impaired vacuole functionality, including metal detoxification (II). Both scenarios readily apply to and explain cadmium sensitivity. This metal, in fact, is taken up and mobilized through Smf1 and Smf2 [52,82], two membrane transporters that are downregulated via the ESCRT and whose over-expression increases cadmium toxicity [75,76,79]. Pathway II, instead, is responsible for recycling hydrolase receptors and other vacuolar traffic components from the PVC to the late Golgi and to the plasma membrane [77,80,81]. Mutational inactivation of these pathways can lead, for instance, to an abnormal accumulation of plasma membrane transporters that may promiscuously internalize toxic metals (I), or to protein missorting and impaired vacuole functionality, including metal detoxification (II). Both scenarios readily apply to and explain cadmium sensitivity. This metal, in fact, is taken up and mobilized through Smf1 and Smf2 [52,82], two membrane transporters that are downregulated via the ESCRT and whose over-expression increases cadmium toxicity [75,76,79]. Pathway II, instead, is responsible for recycling hydrolase receptors and other vacuolar traffic components from the PVC to the late Golgi and to the plasma membrane [77,80,81]. Mutational inactivation of these pathways can lead, for instance, to an abnormal accumulation of plasma membrane transporters that may promiscuously internalize toxic metals (I), or to protein missorting and impaired vacuole functionality, including metal detoxification (II). Both scenarios readily apply to and explain cadmium sensitivity. This metal, in fact, is taken up and mobilized through Smf1 and Smf2 [52,82], two membrane transporters that are downregulated via the ESCRT and whose over-expression increases cadmium toxicity [75,76,79]. Pathway II, instead, is responsible for recycling hydrolase receptors and other vacuolar traffic components from the PVC to the late Golgi and to the plasma membrane [77,80,81]. Mutational inactivation of these pathways can lead, for instance, to an abnormal accumulation of plasma membrane transporters that may promiscuously internalize toxic metals (I), or to protein missorting and impaired vacuole functionality, including metal detoxification (II). Both scenarios readily apply to and explain cadmium sensitivity. This metal, in fact, is taken up and mobilized through Smf1 and Smf2 [52,82], two membrane transporters that are downregulated via the ESCRT and whose over-expression increases cadmium toxicity [75,76,79]. Pathway II, instead, is responsible for recycling hydrolase receptors and other vacuolar traffic components from the PVC to the late Golgi and to the plasma membrane [77,80,81]. Mutational inactivation of these pathways can lead, for instance, to an abnormal accumulation of plasma membrane transporters that may promiscuously internalize toxic metals (I), or to protein missorting and impaired vacuole functionality, including metal detoxification (II). Both scenarios readily apply to and explain cadmium sensitivity. This metal, in fact, is taken up and mobilized through Smf1 and Smf2 [52,82], two membrane transporters that are downregulated via the ESCRT and whose over-expression increases cadmium toxicity [75,76,79]. Pathway II, instead, is responsible for recycling hydrolase receptors and other vacuolar traffic components from the PVC to the late Golgi and to the plasma membrane [77,80,81]. Mutational inactivation of these pathways can lead, for instance, to an abnormal accumulation of plasma membrane transporters that may promiscuously internalize toxic metals (I), or to protein missorting and impaired vacuole functionality, including metal detoxification (II). Both scenarios readily apply to and explain cadmium sensitivity. This metal, in fact, is taken up and mobilized through Smf1 and Smf2 [52,82], two membrane transporters that are downregulated via the ESCRT and whose over-expression increases cadmium toxicity [75,76,79]. Pathway II, instead, is responsible for recycling hydrolase receptors and other vacuolar traffic components from the PVC to the late Golgi and to the plasma membrane [77,80,81]. Mutational inactivation of these pathways can lead, for instance, to an abnormal accumulation of plasma membrane transporters that may promiscuously internalize toxic metals (I), or to protein missorting and impaired vacuole functionality, including metal detoxification (II). Both scenarios readily apply to and explain cadmium sensitivity. This metal, in fact, is taken up and mobilized through Smf1 and Smf2 [52,82], two membrane transporters that are downregulated via the ESCRT and whose over-expression increases cadmium toxicity [75,76,79]. Pathway II, instead, is responsible for recycling hydrolase receptors and other vacuolar traffic components from the PVC to the late Golgi and to the plasma membrane [77,80,81].
Cellular toxicity signatures of other metals

As a last step in our analysis, we considered the extent to which the range of genes and pathways that, when disrupted, affect cadmium/nickel tolerance overlaps that of other metals. To this end, the entire set of sensitive and resistant mutant strains was exposed to sublethal concentrations of four additional metals with varying degrees of chemical (and/or biologic effect) similarity to cadmium and nickel, plus the metalloid AsO$_2^-$.

As shown by the clustering analysis in Figure 9, which does not include 67 cadmium-specific and nine nickel-specific sensitive mutant strains and protein traffic networks centred on the vacuole and the Golgi.

Figure 6

(a) Schematic representation of the endocytotic pathway, including targeting to (and formation of) the prevacuolar compartment (PVC; pathway I), and protein retrieval from the PVC to the late Golgi (pathway II). The Golgi-to-vacuole, carboxypeptidase Y (CPY) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) pathways that, when disrupted, respectively lead to cadmium and nickel sensitivity are shown for comparison. Pathways whose disruption determines cadmium specificity but nickel resistance are indicated with red arrows; and pathways that cause cadmium or nickel specific sensitivity when disrupted are indicated with black and green arrows, respectively. The Y-shaped symbols indicate plasma membrane transporters whose deletion causes cadmium (#1; for example, Smf1) or nickel (#2; for example, Fur4 and Tna1) resistance; see Additional data file 2 for further details on the genes that are involved in these pathways. (b) Serial tenfold dilutions of mutant strains representative of pathway I and II assayed for their capacity to grow onto yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD) plates supplemented with the indicated cadmium and nickel concentrations; the wild-type (WT) control strain is shown at the bottom of each panel.
nickel-specific mutants (Additional data file 7), the overlap was higher for sensitivity-conferring than for resistance-conferring mutations, and various pathways involved in multi-metal sensitivity could be identified.

The closest resemblance with cadmium was observed for mercury, a highly toxic, thiophilic group IIb metal with a nearly identical atomic radius. This is followed by arsenite, which despite its chemical dissimilarity is known to bind thiolates (especially dithiols [91]) and to share various cellular toxicity similarities with cadmium [32]. The most prominent divergence between cadmium and arsenite pertains to the Rim101 pathway (which when disrupted causes AsO$_2^-$ sensitivity but resistance to cadmium, nickel and zinc) and to a few mutants (which exhibited the opposite phenotypic response, such as erv41Δ and erv46Δ). Interestingly, the same metal discrimination capacity applies to the Rim101/Nrg1-regulated plasma membrane transporter Tat1, whose disruption leads to resistance to cadmium, nickel and zinc, but not arsenite.

The phenotypic overlap between nickel and cobalt was not as high as one might have expected based on their chemical similarity. Especially noteworthy is the increased sensitivity to cobalt and to all the other tested metals, except nickel, exhibited by ESCRT pathway mutants, and the only partial overlap between nickel and cobalt observed for retromer mutants. Also worthy of note are the different metal tolerance phenotypes associated with the Fur4 and Tna1 transporters, whose deletion causes sensitivity to cadmium and to other metals, but nickel resistance (see Additional data file 6 for representative phenotypes). One of them (Tna1) causes resistance to both nickel and cobalt when disrupted, whereas deletion of the other transporter (Fur4) makes cells resistant to nickel and zinc but not cobalt. Conversely, disruption of the Sfm1 transporter as well as disruption of various components of the Adaptor Protein complex AP-3 involved in the ALP pathway (Table 1 and Additional data file 3) causes nickel but not cobalt sensitivity.

As predicted by the protective effect exerted on both WT and mutant cells (Figure 4) and by its 'hard' Lewis acid nature, Fe(III) was the most divergent of the metals investigated. Also apparent in Figure 9 is that under conditions of iron sufficiency, mutations in genes belonging to the iron regulon cause increased sensitivity to all of the examined metals except iron itself. This suggests that, albeit with different mechanisms, toxic metal-induced iron depletion may be a common feature of many (if not all) toxic metals. Zinc and Fe(III), both of which are essential metal ions, clustered together despite their chemical dissimilarity. On the whole, however, we find a fairly close overlap between the chemical properties and the cellular toxicity signatures of the various
multimetal toxicity signatures. Hierarchical clustering of cadmium and nickel sensitive or resistant strains were exposed to at least two metals are shown (see Additional data file 7 for the entire database of multimetal phenotypes). Hierarchical clustering analysis was performed with EPCLUS, as specified in the legend to Figure 3, leaving out 67 cadmium-specific and nine nickel-specific gene mutations (listed in Additional data file 7). Representative genes and pathways affecting multimetal tolerance as well as a subset of co-clustering uncharacterized open reading frames with orthologous sequences in other organisms (see Additional data file 2) are indicated on the right-hand and left-hand, respectively.

**Figure 9**
Multimetal toxicity signatures. Hierarchical clustering of cadmium and nickel tolerance-modulating mutations with the phenotypic profiles of other metals. Cadmium/nickel sensitive or resistant strains were exposed to at least two metals are shown (see Additional data file 7 for the entire database of multimetal phenotypes). Hierarchical clustering analysis was performed with EPCLUS, as specified in the legend to Figure 3, leaving out 67 cadmium-specific and nine nickel-specific gene mutations (listed in Additional data file 7). Representative genes and pathways affecting multimetal tolerance as well as a subset of co-clustering uncharacterized open reading frames with orthologous sequences in other organisms (see Additional data file 2) are indicated on the right-hand and left-hand, respectively.

**Conclusion**
As revealed by this study, which interrogated all nonessential genes of *S. cerevisiae* for their role in modulating metal toxicity, 16 functional subnetworks (comprised of 207 genes, at least half of which had never been implicated in metal tolerance previously) negatively influence cadmium and/or nickel tolerance when disrupted. Core genes influencing cadmium and nickel tolerance were mapped to nine subnetworks, a subset of which (for example, V-ATPase, vacuole fusion, and the ERG pathway) cause enhanced co-sensitivity to mercury, arsenite, cobalt, zinc and iron, thus pointing to the occurrence of multimetal defense systems in yeast. Seven of these subnetworks were expanded to include additional mutations specifically associated with cadmium and/or nickel sensitivity, along with six additional subnetworks causing metal-specific (especially cadmium-specific) sensitivity (Table 1). Only one of the latter subnetworks (with a bearing on the ALP branch of the Golgi-to-vacuole traffic pathway) was found to be specifically involved in nickel tolerance, as opposed to five subnetworks causing cadmium-specific sensitivity when disrupted. Thus, cadmium is not only more toxic than nickel, but it also has a broader spectrum of cellular processes that directly or indirectly contribute to its detoxification. Most prominent among these processes are those related to vesicular protein traffic (including the endocytotic pathway and a different branch of the Golgi-to-vacuole traffic), antioxidant defense, and DNA repair. The latter, in particular, further strengthens the causal relationship between cadmium genotoxicity and DNA repair [6]. In fact, although cadmium and nickel have both been recognized as human carcinogens [2,3], mutagenic activity appears to be a distinguishing feature of cadmium [1,4]. Nickel, instead, is a weak mutagen with a marked nuclear tropism, whose carcinogenicity is thought to primarily rely on unprogrammed chromatin modification [5]. It is interesting to note in this regard that the nuclear pore complex is one of the few core subnetworks enriched in nickel-specific sensitive mutants. Also interesting is that...
three out of eight mutants specifically resistant to nickel (but unrelated to vesicular traffic; Additional data file 7) are deleted in genes encoding distinct chromatin modification enzymes (HDA1, EAF7, and SPT7) and one is deleted in a Ran homolog of the Ras GTPase family (MOG1) that is involved in protein traffic through the nuclear pore.

Many metal toxicity-modulating pathways are related to metal damage prevention or repair, whereas others appear to play a more general (and indirect) role in promoting cell survival/recovery under stress conditions. Especially noteworthy among the latter are mRNA decay and nucleocytoplasmic transport, two processes that to our knowledge have not previously been implicated in metal tolerance and that might explain the variety of putative target genes previously identified as cadmium stress responsive [11]. Their identification among metal sensitivity-conferring mutations suggests that not only the clearance of damaged (or unwanted) proteins by the proteasome and transcriptional regulation, but also mRNA turnover and relocation are important for translational/metabolic reprogramming under conditions of metal stress. Interestingly, coordinate downregulation of iron-related proteins mediated by mRNA degradation under iron starvation conditions [95] as well as mRNA mistranslation after chromium exposure [96] have recently been described in yeast. The fact that structurally diverse yet functionally related gene products cause metal sensitivity when disrupted provides strong evidence that the cellular processes represented in specific subnetworks play an important role in preventing or repairing metal-induced cell damage. However, this does not exclude the possibility that a subset of the mutant strains that we have identified as metal sensitive are due to chemical-genetic synthetic lethality resulting from direct attack (and inactivation) of a functionally related protein target by the metal. Mutations associated with this kind of metal-induced lethality are likely to be enriched in the genes we classified as ‘solitary’.

Among the unrelated stressors we examined, alkaline pH emerged as the most closely related to cadmium/nickel stress. This genomic phenotyping resemblance was traced back to iron deficiency, which - albeit with different mechanisms - is caused by both cadmium and nickel and appears to be a fairly general effect of metal toxicity (Figure 9). Broad-range transporters were identified as the most proximal effectors of iron deficiency-related and other kinds of altered metal tolerance. The latter include Tat1, which is a low-affinity Trp/His transporter negatively regulated by Nrg1 [66], which emerged from this study as one of the downstream effectors of the multitemal resistance caused by disruption of the Rim101 pathway. Toxic metal internalization (or abnormal intracellular mobilization) thus appears to be one of the most general and detrimental effects caused by transporter promiscuity, a trait that has probably evolved as a way to deal with multiple nutritional deficiencies under nutrient limiting (but toxic metal-free) conditions. This provides novel mechanistic support to the notion that nutrient limitation (especially iron and copper, but also amino acids and vitamins) may aggravate metal toxicity in malnourished human populations. Another outcome of this study was the identification of 24 uncharacterized ORFs that are involved in metal tolerance, which lend themselves as novel candidate genes that are worthy of further investigation.

Systematic comparison of the cellular toxicity signatures of cadmium and nickel with those of five additional metals revealed significant overlap between their chemical and cellular toxicity properties. However, it also uncovered an unexpected degree of metal specificity, especially regarding mutations that cause resistance to nickel but sensitivity to most other metals. The hot spots for such mutations were mapped to the ESCRT and the retromer complexes, thus pointing to the ability of these pathways to discriminate between otherwise similar metals and to the potential use of selected toxic metals (for example, cadmium and nickel) as chemical probes of intracellular traffic functionality.

Materials and methods
Yeast strains and culture conditions
The strains used in this study derive from the S. cerevisiae Genome Deletion Project [18]. They were purchased from Open Biosystems (Huntsville, AL, USA) and converted into a 384-well plate format by manual multipinning. Deletion of individual nonessential genes (or ORFs) were in the MATα BY4742 background, except for 79 strains with a MATα BY4739 parental background. Untagged deletion strains utilized for phenotype verification were in the parent MATα BY4741 background and were obtained from EUROSCARF (Frankfurt, Germany). Cells were grown at 30°C on yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD) or synthetic dextrose medium (supplemented with leucine, lysine, and histidine, but without uracil), as indicated. For some experiments, YPD was supplemented with FeCl₃ or adjusted to pH values ranging from 6 to 8.5 with the addition of 50 mmol/l MOPS (3- [4-morpholino]propane sulfonic acid) or TAPS (N-[tris(hydroxymethyl)methyl]-3-aminopropanesulfonic acid), as specified in the text.

Metal tolerance screening
A total of 4,688 single gene deletion mutants, not including 90 strains that failed quality control and 48 slow-growth strains previously shown to exhibit a high false-positive rate [97], were utilized for genomic phenotyping. Metal titrations coupled with serial dilution spot assays (starting from cultures pregrown in YPD at an optical density at 600 nm [OD₆₀₀] of 1.0 and diluted up to 10,000 fold in tenfold increments before spotting; see below) were initially carried out in the parent WT strains to determine metal concentrations, allowing for about 90% of control (‘minus metal’) growth after 48 hours. These concentrations ranged from 40 to 60 μmol/l and from 2.5 to 4.5 mmol/l for cadmium and nickel,
respectively. Metal concentrations for genomic phenotyping were further refined in pilot experiments carried out with an arrayed test set of 384 strains, including the WT strains plus two known cadmium-sensitive mutants ( Yap1Δ [7] and Ycf1Δ [10]) and one nickel-sensitive mutant ( pep5.4 [98]) as positive controls. Optimal concentrations of 50 μmol/l cadmium and 2.5 mmol/l nickel were thus determined and utilized for the screening.

To this end, individual plates from the deletion strain collection (384-well format master plates with eight empty wells as contamination controls) were inoculated into 150 μl liquid YPD plus 200 μg/ml G418 (GIBCO-Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) using a 384-pin tool (VP 384F; V&P Scientific Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). After 2 days at 30°C, cells were replica inoculated onto YPD-agar without G418, supplemented or not supplemented with the appropriate metal. This was done with the use of a library copier (VP 381; V&P Scientific Inc.) by touching the bottom of the wells and then raising and lowering the multipin replicator three times, in order to mix the cells and obtain a properly diluted inoculum (about 500 cells/pin). After 2 to 3 days at 30°C, plates were examined for metal-sensitive and metal-resistant strains according to relative colony size, followed by digital image recording. A positive result was scored when colony size under metal-supplemented conditions was diminished (no growth or slow growth phenotype in the case of metal sensitivity) or augmented (overgrowth phenotype in the case of metal resistance) compared with neighboring (unaffected) strains and with the colony size of the corresponding strains grown on the 'minus metal' plate (Additional data file 1 [Figure StA]). Five replicate screens starting from fresh liquid cultures were run for each metal (Additional data file 2 provides details on the cumulative outcome of this multireplicate screening), followed by verification of strains that were scored as sensitive or resistant in at least three screens by serial dilution spot assays (see below).

Validation and multimetal assays
Strains that were deemed as positive (sensitive or resistant) in the primary screen as well as strains consistently exhibiting an overgrowth or slow-growth phenotype in control ('minus metal') plates were individually verified by serial dilution spot assays. Mutant strains of interest were recovered from the original 96-well plates, assembled into a new plate, and cultured in YPD medium as above. After 24 hours at 30°C, the OD600 of individual cultures was determined with a microplate reader, adjusted with YPD medium to an OD600 value of 1.0 and serially diluted in tenfold increments. Aliquots (4 μl) of each dilution were spotted onto YPD-agar plates in the presence or absence of appropriate metal concentrations (40 μmol/l CdCl2 and 2.5 mmol/l NiCl2 for sensitive strains, and 50 μmol/l CdCl2 and 3.5 mmol/l NiCl2 for strains scored as resistant) and growth was examined after incubation at 30°C for 2 to 3 days. Mutant strains exhibiting a reduction in growth at the first, second, or third (or fourth) dilution were classified as having a 'high', 'medium', or 'low' metal sensitivity (HS, MS, and LS, respectively); only one type of metal-resistant phenotype was recorded (Additional data file 1 [Figures S1B and S1C]). The rate of validation of the phenotypes determined in primary screens was 85% for cadmium and 81% for nickel. Appropriately lower metal concentrations (15 to 20 μmol/l cadmium and 1 to 1.2 mmol/l nickel for sensitivity and resistance, respectively) were used for assays carried out in synthetic dextrose medium (see below). Eighteen strains identified as metal-sensitive in our screen correspond to 'dubious' ORFs [99] overlapping 'bona fide' ORFs that were also found to be metal sensitive. The physical and phenotypic overlapping of this subset of ORFs is annotated in Additional data file 2, from which all redundant ORFs were removed. An additional four strains deleted in 'dubious' ORFs overlapping the 5'-end of ORFs not present in the mutant collection were replaced with the latter ORFs and included in the final dataset. Identical screening and validation assay conditions were applied to the 388 cadmium/nickel sensitive or resistant strains that were tested with four additional metal, plus the metalloid As2O3. The following concentrations, determined by metal titrations coupled with serial dilution spot assays carried out on WT cells (as described above for cadmium and nickel), were utilized: 190 μmol/l HgCl2, 1.5 mmol/l NaAsO2, 2 mmol/l CoCl2, 18 mmol/l ZnCl2, and 15 mmol/l FeCl3.

Overexpression studies
The Escherichia coli XL1-Blue strain was used for DNA cloning experiments. The coding sequences of the genes of interest (NRGI, PHO88, SMF1, SMF2, and SMP1; see the text for further details) were obtained by polymerase chain reaction, using genomic DNA from the BY4742 strain as template and the forward and reverse oligonucleotide primers summarized in Table 2.

Individual amplicons were cloned into a modified (CpoI restriction site-containing) pTX212 vector. Following sequence verification, individual constructs were utilized for yeast transformation using the lithium acetate procedure [100].

Newport Green staining
Yeast cells were grown at 30°C to saturation, diluted to an OD600 of 0.3 and exposed to 1 mmol/l NiCl2 for 18 hours. Cells were then washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) before being incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C in 1 ml PBS containing 7 μmol/l Newport Green DCF and 0.2% F-127 pluronic acid (Invitrogen-Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA), followed by a further 30 minutes of incubation at room temperature. After an additional wash with PBS, cells were visualized by fluorescence microscopy using a Zeiss fluorescent microscope (argon laser; 488 nm). After visualization and cell counting, the fraction of fluorescent cells was determined in selected mutant strains (specified in the text) and in control WT cells.
Table 2

Oligonucleotide primers used for DNA amplification

| Gene name | Forward/reverse | Primer |
|-----------|----------------|--------|
| NRG1      | Forward        | 5’-(CTCGGTCCGCCACCATGTCTTTACCATATAACTATAGTAAC)-3’ |
| NRG1      | Reverse        | 5’-(CTCGGACCGTTAATCTGGAGAGTTTGTCGAACTCG)-3’ |
| PHO88     | Forward        | 5’-(CGCGGTCCGCTACGTAGCCACCACTGTAAGCATTGTTGCTCTTCC)-3’ |
| PHO88     | Reverse        | 5’-(CGCGGACCGTTAATCTGGAGAGTTTGTCGAACTCG)-3’ |
| SMF1      | Forward        | 5’-(CGCGGTCCGCTACGTAGCCACCACTGTAAGCATTGTTGCTCTTCC)-3’ |
| SMF1      | Reverse        | 5’-(CGCGGACCGTTAATCTGGAGAGTTTGTCGAACTCG)-3’ |
| SMF2      | Forward        | 5’-(CGCGGTCCGCTACGTAGCCACCACTGTAAGCATTGTTGCTCTTCC)-3’ |
| SMF2      | Reverse        | 5’-(CGCGGACCGTTAATCTGGAGAGTTTGTCGAACTCG)-3’ |
| SMPI      | Forward        | 5’-(CTCGGTCCGCCACCATGTCTTTACCATATAACTATAGTAAC)-3’ |
| SMPI      | Reverse        | 5’-(CTCGGACCGTTAATCTGGAGAGTTTGTCGAACTCG)-3’ |

Data analysis

Putative human homologs were identified with BLASTP searches and through the Princeton Protein Orthology Database [101]. Information on human disease-related homologs was retrieved from the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man database [102], the Saccharomyces Genome Database [99], and by manual curation. Biologic processes associated with metal toxicity-modulating genes were identified and evaluated for statistical significance (P-value) with the GO Term Finder program [99]. Enrichment ratios were calculated by comparing the representation of each GO term within individual sets of metal tolerance-modulating genes with their representation in the yeast genome. Interactions between metal tolerance-modulating genes were identified computationally using the Network Visualization System Osprey [103] and visualized as specified in the BioGRID database (version 2.035 release) [22] and in the Osprey reference manual. Subnetworks were defined as a minimum of three interacting gene products sharing at least one GO biologic process annotation and connected by at least two physical (two-hybrid, affinity capture-western, affinity capture-MS, or reconstituted complex) or genetic (synthetic lethality, synthetic growth defect, synthetic rescue, dosage rescue, phenotypic suppression, or phenotypic enhancement) interactions. P-values for individual subnetworks were determined by a one-tailed test based on the hypergeometric distribution, using the lowest possible ‘child term’ (the one yielding the lowest P-value) allowed by the present GO categorization. Random samplings of N proteins (where N is the number of toxicity-modulating gene products in the three sets of metal sensitivity-conferring mutations [cadmium/nickel, nickel, and cadmium]) were performed 10,000 times using a script written in Perl and the above-mentioned criteria, leaving out essential genes. They respectively yielded averages of 1.2, 2.1 and 9.5 subnetworks, as compared with the 9, 11 and 16 subnetworks identified with the cadmium/nickel (79 proteins), nickel (118 proteins), and cadmium (303 proteins) sets.
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