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Abstract

BMSCs have drawn great interest in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine attributable to their multi-lineage differentiation capacity. Increasing evidence has shown that the mechanical stiffness of extracellular matrix is a critical determinant for stem cell behaviors. However, it remains unknown how matrix stiffness influences MSCs commitment with changes in cell morphology, adhesion, proliferation, self-renewal and differentiation. We employed fibronectin coated polyacrylamide hydrogels with variable stiffnesses ranging from 13 to 68 kPa to modulate the mechanical environment of BMSCs and found that the morphology and adhesion of BMSCs were highly dependent on mechanical stiffness. Cells became more spread and more adhesive on substrates of higher stiffness. Similarly, the proliferation of BMSCs increased as stiffness increased. Sox2 expression was lower during 4h to 1 week on the 13-16 kPa and 62-68 kPa, in contrast, it was higher during 4h to 1 week on the 48-53 kPa. Oct4 expression on 13-16 kPa was higher than 48-53 kPa at 4h, and it has no significant differences at other time point among three different stiffness groups. On 62-68 kPa, BMSCs were able to be induced toward osteogenic phenotype and generated a markedly high level of RUNX2, ALP, and Osteopontin. The cells exhibited a polygonal morphology and larger spreading area. These results suggest that matrix stiffness modulates commitment of BMSCs. Our findings may eventually aid in the development of novel, effective biomaterials for the applications in tissue engineering.

Introduction

BMSCs are of great interest for biomedical research, drug discovery, and cell-based therapies as they are capable of differentiating into neurogenic, adipogenic, myogenic, and osteogenic lineages [1-3]. The fate of the stem cells is influenced by the microenvironment in which they reside [4]. Although extensive efforts are devoted to identifying biochemical factors that mimic the stem cell microenvironment to maintain the stem status and to promote the differentiation if necessary, it is still a challenge to optimize new biomolecules supporting stem cell differentiation and/or producing a high level of desired lineages from the stem cells. Thus, intense efforts have been dedicated to the identification of physical contributors in the regulation of stem cell behaviors [5-7]. It is increasingly clear that cells respond to the mechanical surroundings. Cells spread more on stiffer matrix [8, 9], and migrate towards the area of higher modulus [9, 10]. Adhesion [8], tyrosine signalling [11], and proliferation [12, 13] of fibroblasts, smooth muscle cells, and chondrocytes are regulated by the substrate stiffness. In a recent study, Engler et al. reported that BMSCs differentiate into tissue specific lineages dependent on the stiffness of the supporting substrates when BMSCs were cultured on matrixes mimicking the stiffness of brain (0.1–1 kPa), muscle (8–17 kPa) and pre-mineralized bone.
However, it remains unclear how matrix stiffness influences BMMSCs lineage specificity on cell morphology, adhesion, and proliferation.

Polyacrylamide hydrogels, whose mechanical properties can be managed by the level of cross-linking and tuned within the physiologically relevant regime from several hundred Pascal (brain) to thousands of Pascal (kPa, arteries), are widely used as substrates for stem cell culture [14]. The surface chemistry of the gel remains unchanged while its mechanical properties are altered [14, 15]. The porosity of the gels enables the flow of the medium. These properties of the gels provide a more natural environment than do conventional culture models, such as glasses or plastic substrates [16]. In this study, we employed fibronectin-coated polyacrylamide hydrogels cross-linked to various degrees to modify the mechanical microenvironment and to assess how BMMSCs respond to matrix stiffness in terms of morphology, adhesion, proliferation, self-renewal and osteogenic differentiation.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and characterization

Primary BMMSCs were isolated from the bone marrow of young male C57BL/6J mice under ethical approval and maintained in an expansion medium (DMEM-F12; Gibco, USA) consisting of 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco) supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Beijing Dingguo Changsheng Biotechnology, China) and 10 ng/ml of basic fibroblast growth factor (PeproTech, USA). All experimental procedures were approved by the ethics committee of Jilin University and conformed to the regulatory standards. Isolated MSCs were characterized by the expression of surface markers through flow cytometric analysis and immunofluorescence assays. The multipotency of the BMMSCs differentiated into mesenchymal lineages, including adipocytes and osteoblasts, was confirmed before the cells were used for the following experiments. The osteogenic differentiation of BMMSCs was induced in osteogenic medium containing 0.1 μmol/L dexamethasone, 10 mM b-glycerophosphate, 50 μg/mL ascorbic acid, and 10 nM vitamin D3. The differentiation-inducing medium was changed every 2 days. BMMSCs were used at passage 3 for all experiments.

Oil red O and Alizarin red Staining

For evaluation of lipid droplets, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes and stained with oil red O (Dalian Meilun Biotech Co., Ltd, China) for 10 min at room temperature. For characterization of mineralized matrix, cells were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde and stained with 1% of Alizarin Red S solution (Dalian Meilun Biotech Co., Ltd, China) in water for 10–15 minutes at room temperature. The cells were observed under inverted phase contrast microscope.

For characterization of mineralized matrix, cells were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde and stained with 1% of Alizarin Red S solution (Dalian Meilun Biotech Co., Ltd, China) in water for 10–15 minutes at room temperature. The cells were observed under inverted phase contrast microscope.

Flow cytometric analysis and immunofluorescence

Expression of surface markers of BMMSCs was determined by using flow cytometry and immunofluorescence staining. Cells were collected and washed with PBS for three times and fixed with 4% polyformaldehyde for 20 min. The cells were then blocked with 1% BSA in PBS for 30 min, incubated with 10 μg/ml anti-CD29, CD34, CD44, or CD45 mAbs (eBioscience, USA) for 1 h.

Gene expression analysis

The same amount of total RNA was used to synthesize the first strand cDNA using Primerscript RT reagent kit. PCR thermal profile consisted of 95 °C for 5 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 60 °C for 30 seconds and 72 °C for 1 minute. Genes were normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH and fold differences were calculated using the comparative Ct method. The osteogenic markers RUNX2, ALP, COL1A1, Osteopontin, and Osteocalcin were analyzed. Primers for the qRT-PCR were obtained from Sangon Biotech (Shanghai). Primer sequences for the amplification are shown in Table 1.

Quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was used to determine relative gene expression in osteogenic specific genes. Total RNA was extracted using TRI reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The same amount of total RNA was used to synthesize the first strand cDNA using Primerscript RT reagent kit. PCR thermal profile consisted of 95 °C for 10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds, 60 °C for 1 minute. Genes were normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH and fold differences were calculated using the comparative Ct method. The osteogenic markers RUNX2, ALP, COL1A1, Osteopontin, and Osteocalcin were analyzed. Primers for the qRT-PCR were obtained from Sangon Biotech (Shanghai). Primer sequences for the amplification are shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Primers used for the quantification of markers

| Gene name | Forward (5’-3’) | Reverse (5’-3’) |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| Osteocalcin | AGCACCGCTGCCCAGACCTA | TAGGCGGGGATCTGTCACCTAC |
| RUNX2 | CACTGCGGCTGCCAACAAAGA | TTTCAAAACCGGAGGCTTTC |
| ALP | TGCCCATCTTGTGCGGTGAA | TCAACCGATGTTAGTCACAAATG |
| Osteopontin | TCCAAAGCCACGGCTGGAAC | TGACCTCAGAAGATGAACCTC |
| PPARγ2 | TCCGAATGCGCTCCTTGGGA | CCATTAGCGGAGGATCC |
| AP2 | AGGATCATAAACCTATAGCTG | GAAGTCACGCCTTACAAAC |
| C/EBPα | TTTGAGTCTGTTCCTCACC | CATAACCTACCTACCTAC |
| Sox2 | CGGGAGCCTTGATCTATCCCTT | GCCAGGAGGAACTTGTGCTCC |
| Oxt | CAGGCCCTTTAGCTGCGTGCCCC | AGTGCGCGTGGACTTTGCA |
| GAPDH | CATGGCCTTCCGTTCTCATA | CTTGCTTACCACCTTCTTGAT |

Fabrication of polyacrylamide substrates with varying stiffness

Tunable polyacrylamide substrates were prepared as reported previously [16]. Briefly, glass coverslips were treated with 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane and 0.5% glutaraldehyde. Solution of 8% acrylamide (Sigma, USA) and varying concentrations of bis-acrylamide (0.1%, 0.5%, and 0.7%) (Sigma, USA) were mixed. Polymerization was initiated with N,N,N’,N’-tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED) and ammonium persulfate (Sigma, USA). Then 0.2 mg/ml N-sulfosuccinimidyl-6-(4’-azido-2’-nitrophenylamino) hexanoate (sulfo-SANPAH) (Thermo, USA) dissolved in 10 mM HEPES (pH 8.5) was applied to cover the polyacrylamide gel and exposed to 365 nm ultraviolet light for 70 minutes for photoactivation in 24-well plates. The polyacrylamide sheet was washed three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to remove excess reagent and incubated with fibronectin solution (1 μg/cm²; Sigma, USA) each well overnight at 4°C. Before cells were plated, the polyacrylamide substrates were soaked in PBS and then in DMEM at 4°C. The Young’s modulus of polyacrylamide hydrogels was quantified using a biomechanical testing machine under contact load at a strain rate of 0.5 mm/s.

Microscopy and imaging analysis of cell and matrix morphology

The morphologic changes of BMMSCs were observed and photos were taken by an inverted phase contrast microscope at 4, 24, 72h and 1 week after seeding on polyacrylamide substrates. The major and minor axes of the cells were computed from the moments up to the second order of the thresholded binary image of the cell using NIH ImageJ; the aspect ratio of the cell is the ratio of major to minor axis.

For SEM imaging, after being washed three times in PBS, matrices were fixed with 1% glutaraldehyde solution in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2) at 4°C for 3 days. By removing the glutaraldehyde with PBS, fixed cells were dehydrated in gradient ethanol and then ester exchanged with isoamyl acetate. Finally, these matrices were critical point-dried with CO₂[17].

Cell adhesion assays

For the analysis of cell adhesion, 1.0 x 10⁴ cells/cm² were seeded each well in a 24-well plate and allowed to attach for 24 hours. Then, the cells were washed 3 times with PBS to remove non-adherent cells, followed by addition of 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes. The cells were then washed with PBS for three times. After incubation for 5 minutes with Hoechst, attached cells were observed with a fluorescent inverted phase contrast microscope.

EdU cell proliferation assay

Cell proliferation was further analyzed using Cell-Light™ EdU DNA Cell Proliferation Kit (Ribobio, Guangzhou, China) according to the manufacturer's manual after 72 hours. Briefly, cells were re-suspended in fresh pre-warmed (37 °C) complete medium, counted and plated at a density of 3×10⁴cells/ml onto 24-well plate, in which gel slides had been placed. 24 hours later, cell culture medium was replaced with medium containing EdU, and the cells were incubated for additional 2 hours. Then the cells were fixed, exposed to Apollo® reaction cocktail, and analyzed with electronic fluorescent microscopy.

Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analyzes were performed using the statistics package SPSS 13.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Comparison among all groups was carried out using independent-samples t-test. Differences were considered as significant at P< 0.05.

Results

The characteristics of BM MSCs

To confirm the characteristics of the BM MSCs in our system, we cultured the BM MSCs with a standard method. After 1 week of primary culture, BM MSCs
adhered to culture dishes and exhibited polygonal shapes with limited spreading areas (Fig. S1A). The passage 2 BMMSCs displayed as long spindle-shaped fibroblastic cells with large nucleus and abundant cytoplasm (Fig. S1A). The passage 3 cells principally formed bipolar spindle-like cells, which were consistent with typical morphology (Fig. S1A). When the confluence reached 90%, cells exhibited as spiral shape (Fig. S1A). These cells were used in our following experiments. Both flow cytometry and immunofluorescence staining analyses showed that BMMSCs at passage 3 were strongly positive for BMMSCs markers, such as CD44, CD73 and CD90, and negative for CD34 and CD45 (Figure S1B and C). Furthermore, the isolated BMMSCs displayed the potential to differentiate into adipogenic and osteogenic lineages after treatment with the respective induction factors. Cells induced with adipogenic medium contained numerous Oil-Red-O-positive lipid globules at the end of 2 weeks (Fig. S1D). Expression of adipocytic makers, such as AP2, PPARγ2, and C/EBPα was evidenced (Fig. S1E). Similarly, dense cell packing and calcium deposits stained by Alizarin red were found in osteogenic BMMSCs after 3 weeks of cultivation (Fig. S1D).

Expressions of osteoblastic makers RUNX2 and Osteocalcin were confirmed (Fig. S1E). Together, our results demonstrated that the BMMSCs used in current study were indeed multipotent and responsive to differential stimuli.

**Stiffness measurement**

The mechanical properties of polyacrylamide can be easily modified by altering the density of cross-links in the gel. Increasing the concentration of either the amount of acrylamide monomer or bis-acrylamide cross-linker resulted in a gel with a higher Young’s modulus after polymerization [18]. By adjusting the concentration of monomer- and/or bis-acrylamide, we made 3 gels with different stiffness values ranging from 13-16 to 62-68 kPa (Fig. 1A). Under the assay of SEM, the gel surface was flat and no aperture was observed in the 13-16 kPa. However, multiple small apertures were displayed in the 48-53 kPa and 62-68 kPa gels (Fig. 1B). When 0.2 mg/ml fibronectin was added on the top of the gel, the surface remained flat and the small apertures were merged with fibronectin, which was later approved to be fit for the cell culture (Fig. 1B).

| Acrylamide | Bis-acrylamide | Stiffness   |
|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| 8%        | 0.1%           | 13-16 kPa   |
| 8%        | 0.5%           | 48-53 kPa   |
| 8%        | 0.7%           | 62-68 kPa   |

**Figure 1.** Characteristics of polyacrylamide hydrogels. (A) 8% acrylamide, with a variety of concentrations of bis-acrylamide gel were used to make gels of different stiffnesses. (B) The polyacrylamide hydrogels of different stiffnesses were then topped with/without 0.2 mg/ml fibronectin and analyzed with SEM.

http://www.medsci.org
The characteristics of BMMSCs morphological changes on substrate with different stiffnesses

To determine the impact of different stiffnesses on the growth of BMMSCs, we first detected the morphology of the cultured BMMSCs on the polyacrylamide gels. On a gel with stiffness of 13-16 kPa, the cells displayed oval and short spindle shapes with pseudopodia after 4h of inoculation. With the extension of pseudopodia, the cells exhibited an increasingly branched, filopodia-rich morphology 1 week after plantation (Fig. 2A). Short shuttle-like cells gradually spread out in both ends and acquired a more stretched or elongated shape similar to that of myoblasts after 1 week on matrices with stiffnesses of 48-53 kPa. On 62-68 kPa gel culture, the pseudopodia of cells stretched out and appeared to be triangular after 4 hours. A wide stretch of pseudopodia spread and the quantity of pseudopodia increased. 1 week later, the cells exhibited affluent pseudopodia and showed polygonal shapes similar to osteoblasts in morphology. In addition, we quantified the morphological changes by measuring the extent of cell elongation versus stiffness (aspect ratio, an indicator for the elongated cell shapes) and found that there was a highest aspect ratio at 48-53 kPa gels, whereas BMMSCs on 13-16 kPa and 62-68 kPa gels possessed a low aspect ratio at 4 h, 24 h, 72 h and 1 week (Fig. 2B). A time-course effect was observed for aspect rations in 48-53 kPa gel (Fig. 2C).

![Figure 2.](http://www.medsci.org)
Effect of matrix stiffness on adhesion and proliferation of BMMSCs

To determine the functional impact of the matrix stiffness on BMMSCs culture, we investigated the adhesion and proliferation of BMMSCs by culturing them on polyacrylamide gels of increased stiffness. The percentage of adherent cells increased with elevated stiffnesses, reaching a maximal effect at 62-68 kPa. The proliferation rate of BMMSCs was also monitored. As shown, cells in higher stiffnesses possessed a markedly elevated proliferative rate. The highest proliferation rate was obtained on the substrate with a modulus of 62-68 kPa, similar to the stiffness driving best adhesion. Cells displayed similar proliferation rates on substrates with stiffnesses of 48-53 kPa, and showed about 40% decrease in the proliferation rate on the softer substrate (13-16 kPa). Thus, cell adhesion and proliferation appear to be correlated with matrix stiffness (Fig. 3).

Regulation of matrix stiffness on self-renewal gene expression

To determine the effect of matrix stiffness on cell self-renewal, we cultured cells on different matrices for 4h, 24h, 72h and 1 week to observe the expression levels of Sox2 and Oct4. Sox2 expression on 48-53 kPa and 62-68 kPa were lower than 13-16 kPa at 4h; after 24h Sox2 expression on 48-53 kPa were highest; and gene expression were highest at 72h but at 1 week Sox2 expression were highest on 48-53 kPa. Oct4 expression on 13-16 kPa were higher than 48-53 kPa at 4h, and it has no significant differences at other time point among three different stiffness groups (Fig. 4A). Cells cultured on the 13-16 kPa and 62-68 kPa, Sox2 expression were lower during 4h to 1 week, in contrast, Sox2 expression were higher during 4h to 1 week on the 48-53 kPa (Fig. 4B). Oct4 expression were highest at 24h than other point on 13-16 kPa while it was highest at 1 week on 48-53 kPa. However, Oct4 expression has no significant differences on 62-68 kPa during 4h to 1 week (Fig. 4B).

Figure 3. Regulation of BMMSCs adhesion and proliferation by matrix stiffness. Cell nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst (blue) 24 hours after planting to detect cells adhesion. Cell proliferation was assessed after 72 hours by EdU-based proliferation assay. Statistical analysis of results. * P< 0.05, **P<0.01. Scale bar = 50 μm.
Figure 4. Osteogenic differentiation of BMMSCs on different matrix stiffnesses. (A) Sox2 and Oct4 gene expressions on different matrices after 4h, 24h, 72h and 1 week. (B) Sox2 and Oct4 gene expressions on 13-16 kPa, 48-53 kPa and 62-68 kPa at different time point. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

**Regulation of matrix stiffness on osteogenic gene expression**

To determine the influence of matrix stiffness on the differentiation of BMMSCs, we cultured the BMMSCs in osteogenic medium on polyacrylamide substrates with varying stiffnesses for 4h, 24h, 72h and 1 week. We then used qPCR to determine the expression of osteogenic regulator RUNX2, early osteogenic markers COL1A1, Osteopontin, ALP and late stage markers Osteocalcin in the cells. It showed that the expressions of RUNX2 were highest at 4h but significantly elevated on the gel with the stiffness of 62-68 kPa at 1 week. And COL1A1 were significantly increased on gel with 48-53 kPa at 72h while Osteocalcin were highest on the 62-68 kPa at 1 week; ALP expression was highest on the 13-16 kPa at 4h but was significantly elevated on the 62-68 kPa during 72h to 1 week. Osteocalcin expression was highest on the 13-16 kPa at 4h and 24h, while it was highest on the 48-53 kPa at 1 week (Fig. 5A). RUNX2 expression was lower from 4h to 1 week on the 13-16 kPa while higher from 4h to 1 week. COL1A1 expression was higher
from 4h to 72h on the 13-16 kPa while higher from 4h to 1 week on the 48-53 kPa and 62-68 kPa. Osteopontin expression was lower from 4h to 1 week on the 13-16 kPa and from 4h to 24h on the 48-53 kPa, while was higher at the 62-68 kPa during 4h to 72h. ALP expression was higher from 4h to 1 week on the 13-16 kPa and it was higher from 4h to 72h but lower at 1 week on the 48-53 kPa. However, ALP expression was higher at 1 week than 4h on the 62-68 kPa. Osteocalcin expression was lower from 4h to 1 week. There was no significant difference between other groups (Fig. 5B). After cultured on three groups for 72h and 1 week, we stained Alizarin red S to detect calcium deposits. It has shown that cells secrete calcium deposits on 62-68 kPa at 1 week, while negative expression on the other groups (Fig. 5C). Collectively, these results support that culture on 62-68 kPa induced MSCs differentiation into osteoblasts. These results showed cells on 62-68 kPa differentiated to osteoblast.
Discussion

While numerous studies have involved in the role of matrix stiffness in mediating stem cell behavior, much less is known about the relationships between matrix stiffness and changes in cell morphology, adhesion, proliferation and differentiation. Here we used polyacrylamide hydrogels with independently modulated stiffness as an analogue of cellular microenvironment. We found that stiff substrate facilitated the proliferation of BMMSCs as compared with soft substrates. MSCs had a similar proliferation rate on medium substrates with modulus of 48-53 kPa (Fig. 3). Proliferation of multiple cell types has been shown to be dependent on substrate stiffness. Smooth muscle cells [13] and fibroblasts [19] grow better on stiff flat substrates or stiff scaffolds, whereas adult neural stem cells proliferate most quickly on matrices of medium stiffness [20]. In line with prior works, thus, in MSCs level, our work adds another layer of evidence demonstrating the importance of stiff substrates in cellular proliferation. Similarly, a previous experiment showed that MSCs proliferated better at 3 and 15 kPa than those on a 1 kPa substrate as indicated by a 30% decrease in the proliferation rate on soft substrate, whereas no distinct difference was observed between 3 and 15 kPa [21]. Therefore, it is possible that the relationship between stiffness and cell proliferation rate is nonlinear although increasing stiffness may preferentially enhance MSCs proliferation. MSCs probably respond to softer or stiffer matrix more strongly relative to intermediate modulus in terms of cell proliferation. Future studies should elucidate whether our results are universal for all sources of MSCs and explore the detailed dependence of MSCs proliferation on matrix stiffness.

Self-renewal of stem cell is regulated by transcription factors Sox2 [22] and Oct4 [23]. Oka reported that Sox2 and Oct4 expression were reduced with cells differentiation [24], and these events permit differentiation through a standard downregulation of Oct4-Sox2 mechanism [25]. We detected Sox2 and Oct4 expression of cells cultured on different stiffness matrices. Sox2 expression was significantly downregulated when cells cultured on 13-16 kPa and 62-68 kPa from 4h to 1 week (Fig. 4B). While the expression of Sox2 and Oct4 were significantly upregulated on 48-53 kPa, suggesting cells maintain self-renewal on 48-53 kPa. But it has been reported Oct4 is not necessary to main self-renewal because Lengner confirmed that deletion of Oct4 of MSCs can still maintain self-renewal[26]. Our results confirmed that Oct4 expression of MSCs on 62-68 kPa does not decrease during osteogenic differentiation from 4h to 1 week.

We proved that osteogenic differentiation of MSCs preferentially occurred on stiffer substrate as indicated by high expression of osteogenic markers RUNX2, ALP and Osteopontin (Fig. 5), which is consistent with previous reports [27-29]. Yet, there was no obvious increase in the expression of other osteogenic genes including COL1A1 and Osteocalcin, both of which are directly regulated by RUNX2 [30]
A plausible explanation is that there may be a commitment/growth-dependent effect for MSCs. When osteogenic commitment is initiated in MSCs, cells are still actively proliferating. Thus, the differentiation induced by RUNX2 may be impeded due to the proliferation. The downstream targets of RUNX2, such as collagen type I and osteocalcin, may retain unchanged in a certain time [32]. It may weaken the effect and elevate COL1A1 and osteocalcin expression if the time exposed to the induction for the cells is sufficient. Moreover, although higher stiffness of 62-68 kPa drove osteoblast differentiation, the stiffnesses of 48-53 kPa, which are comparable to those in pre-mineralized bone (>30kPa), induced the formation of spindle-shaped cells similar to myoblasts (Fig. 2A). Generally, these results are consistent with Engler’s work showing that MSCs undergo the osteogenesis at 25-40 kPa, whereas intermediate polyacrylamide gels of 8-17 kPa favour myogenic differentiation [6]. Interestingly, it was reported that MSCs were also engaged in osteogenic differentiation in soft chondroitin sulfate-collagen scaffolds of 1.5 kPa [33]. These phenomena can be attributable to a few reasons. Synthetic matrix materials with a similar crosslinking degree may bear a distinct modulus due to lack of an effectively unified representation and measurement. The testing data for stiffness only provide the bulk modulus of the matrix material rather than the local mechanical stiffness, which is highly likely to change during cellular processes. Additionally, different protein coatings to improve cell-adhesive properties or biochemical compositions of matrix used in studies may interact with matrix and modify the optimal modulus that is determined by stiffness in stem cell culture [34, 35].

Consistent with other studies [6, 36], we demonstrated that MSCs adopted different morphologies in response to varying substrate stiffnesses (Fig. 2). Notably, a higher proliferation rate and osteogenic commitment on stiff matrices were accompanied by an increase of cell spreading and polygonal morphology. Cell shapes have been shown to involve in cell proliferation and commitment [37]. The increase in endothelial cell spreading results in an escalation of nuclear volume and a greater proportion of cells in the S phase of cell cycle [38]. McBeath et al. [39] utilized micro patterning to control MSCs shapes and demonstrated that flatten, spread cells prefer to differentiate into osteoblasts, whereas unspread, round cells develop into adipocytes. Furthermore, it was found that the shape-dependent control of stem cell proliferation and differentiation is mediated by actin–myosin-generated tension through Rho signalling [40, 41]. Thus, matrix stiffness may influence MSCs proliferation and differentiation process through changing cell shapes.

We found that cell adhesion was dependent upon matrix stiffness (Fig. 3). Maximal expression of osteogenic genes was observed in MSCs cultured on stiffest substrates, which also promoted cell adhesion. The finding pointed to a direct correlation between MSC adhesion and the induction of lineage specification. In fact, suspension cells are less capable to sense and interpret the signals from the close mechanical environment [42]. In a stark contrast, during the stiffness sensing process, cells adhere and apply traction force on a substrate, and respond to the resistance from substrates, which may activate a cascade of signalling events vital to cell behaviors [43, 44]. It has been shown that MSCs may be driven into differentiation by a tight or loose attachment depending on the stiffness of the substrate [6]. The high percentage of adhesion on stiff substrate probably promotes the differentiation of MSCs towards osteogenic lineage. Moreover, cell proliferation was increasing very similarly to cell adhesion with the enhancement of stiffness in the substrates. Thus it is likely that a high proliferation rate of MSCs boosts the osteogenesis on stiff matrices regulated by cell adhesion as cell proliferation has been proven to be effectors of differentiation both in 2D and 3D microenvironments [45, 46].

In the current study, we demonstrated that matrix stiffness modulates morphology, adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation in MSCs in 2D culture. However, the 2D cell culture model is unable to fully recapitulate the complex of the microenvironment in vivo. The stiffness of 3D matrix may overcome the disadvantage and mimic the microenvironment in vivo. For example, it was reported that MSCs maintain a spherical morphology when these cells are encapsulated in 3D polyethylene glycol hydrogels of stiffness ranging from 0.2 to 59 kPa [47]. Additionally, matrix stiffness is only one of the factors determining the stem cell fate. Additional micro environmental signals may work in a manner correlated with stiffness. Thus, in future researches, the synthetic effects of matrix stiffness, ligands, soluble factors, and cell–cell contact on stem cell behaviours should be performed in truly 3D stem cell niche.

In summary, our results support the hypothesis that matrix stiffness influences stem cell proliferation and differentiation via the alteration of cell morphology, adhesion, and cell proliferation. These findings are of great significance for the design of biomaterials with appropriate stiffness in tissue engineering and for harnessing the regenerative potential of stem cells although the molecular mechanism by which stem cell differentiation is
regulated by matrix stiffness requires further studies.
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