Konno-rastan procedure combined with manouguian root enlargement for small aortic root
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Abstract
Aortic stenosis is a rarely seen condition in the pediatric population. Valve replacement is a treatment option for patients who do not benefit from medical or interventional procedures. In this report, we described our surgical treatment strategy in a 17-year-old patient who developed patient-prosthesis mismatch long after initial aortic valve replacement during the childhood period.
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INTRODUCTION
Aortic stenosis is a rarely seen condition in the pediatric population. The known incidence is 3.8/10,000 in live births \cite{1}. Balloon angioplasty is the most common treatment method in the neonatal period for aortic stenosis \cite{2}. Valve replacement is another treatment option for patients who do not benefit from medical and interventional procedures \cite{3}. Valve replacement options include Ross technique, Konno technique, and biological or mechanical valve replacement. As the patients grow, patient prosthesis mismatch still appears as an important factor who underwent valve replacement surgery \cite{4}. In this report, we described the surgical treatment of a 17-year-old patient who developed patient-prosthesis mismatch due to aortic valve replacement history.

CASE REPORT
A 17-year-old male patient was admitted to our clinic with complaints of increased chest pain and shortness of breath after exercise who underwent aortic valve replacement surgery with a No: 17 mechanical aortic valve with Manouguian aortic root enlargement technique due to diagnosis of aortic stenosis 10 years ago. There was no family history. All biochemical markers found normal. The cardiothoracic index was increased in his chest x-ray. The patient was overweight with a body mass index of 27.19 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}. Echocardiography showed intact mechanical valve prosthesis with low effective orifice area (Indexed EoA: 0.70) and ventricular hypertrophy. The ejection fraction was measured at 55\%. A mean transaortic pressure gradient was measured 24 mmHg with a maximal aortic velocity of 3.4 m/s. Additionally, there was mild pulmonary insufficiency and stenosis, and mild mitral insufficiency. Aortic valve replacement surgery was planned after the patient and his family was informed about the operation and the necessary operation consent was obtained.
Surgical Technique
Sternotomy incision was made along the old sternotomy incision line. Adhesions were removed. The pericardium was opened and suspended. Aortic cannulation and bicaval venous cannulations were performed following systemic heparinization. Cardiopulmonary bypass was initiated and the patient was cooled down to 28°C. A vent catheter was inserted into the left atrium from the right superior pulmonary vein. Cardiac arrest and myocardial protection were provided with direct cold blood cardioplegia after aortic clamp and aortotomy. The old aortic valve was excised. The pericardium used for the old Manougian procedure was found to be calcified and resected. The right ventricle outflow tract (RVOT) was opened and an incision was extended to the interventricular septum. The septum and the anterior aortic root was enlarged with a dacron patch (Konno-Rastan procedure). The Manougian type posterior enlargement was made with a dacron patch (Figure 1). No: 27 mechanical valve was implanted to the aortic root with the help of Teflon reinforced 2/0 ti-cron sutures (Figure 2). The aortotomy was closed using a dacron patch. Pulmonary comissurotomy was performed to relieve mild pulmonary stenosis. RVOT was reconstructed using a dacron patch (Figure 3). Weaning of the cardiopulmonary bypass was achieved with milrinone (0.75 mcg/kg/min) and adrenalin (0.05 mcg/kg/min) infusion. The operation was ended conventionally. The patient was taken to the intensive care unit. The cardiopulmonary bypass and cross-clamp times were 138 minutes and 106 minutes, respectively. The patient was extubated in the 6th hour. The intensive care unit stay was 56 hours. Postoperative course was uneventful and the patient was discharged from the hospital after 8 days.

Postoperative echocardiography indicated no significant transaortic gradient (max: 4-5 mmHg) with normal myocardial functions. She has been followed active, well, and asymptomatic for more than 18 months.

Discussion
Causes of aortic stenosis vary from congenital bicuspid aortic valve, calcification to rheumatic fever. Untreated aortic stenosis has a classical triad composed of dyspnea, syncope and angina. Heart failure and rhythm disorders may be added to these symptoms in later stages. PPM should always be avoided as it has been associated with perioperative mortality, reduced long-term survival, consistent symptoms, and slow regression of LV mass. Meta-analysis has reported that severe PPM is associated with reduced long-term survival. Patient-prosthesis incompatibility is one of the prob-
enlargement procedures which may be helpful at the time of aortic valve replacement for young obese men and women with small aortic roots. Combined aortic root enlargement techniques could be considered in the presence of bodily growth potential.
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