A Randomized Study of Nutritional Supplementation in Patients with Unilateral Wet Age-Related Macular Degeneration
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Abstract: The purpose of this study is evaluate the efficacy and safety of medicinal products containing the original Age-Related Eye Disease group (AREDS) formulation at doses approved in Europe (EU, control group; n = 59) with a product that adds DHA, lutein, zeaxanthin, resveratrol and hydroxytyrosol to the formula (intervention group; n = 50). This was a multicenter, randomized, observer-blinded trial conducted in patients aged 50 years or older diagnosed with unilateral exudative Age related Macular Degeneration AMD. At month 12, the intervention did not have a significant differential effect on visual acuity compared with the control group, with an estimated treatment difference in Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) of –1.63 (95% CI –0.83 to 4.09; p = 0.192). The intervention exhibited a significant and, in most cases, relevant effect in terms of a reduction in some inflammatory cytokines and a greater improvement in the fatty acid profile and serum lutein and zeaxanthin concentration. In patients with unilateral wet AMD, the addition
of lutein, zeaxanthin, resveratrol, hydroxytyrosol and DHA to the AREDS EU recommended doses in the short-term did not have a differential effect on visual acuity compared to a standard AREDS EU formula but, in addition to improving the fatty acid profile and increasing carotenoid serum levels, may provide a beneficial effect in improving the proinflammatory and proangiogenic profile of patients with AMD.
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1. Introduction

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a leading cause of loss of vision and is associated with a substantial burden for the individual. Globally, the prevalence of AMD has been estimated to be 8.7% in individuals aged 45 to 85 years [1]. In Europe, despite the observation of a decrease in the prevalence in the last two decades, it is expected that the number of affected subjects will increase, with AMD remaining a significant public health problem [2]. In 2015, AMD was the fourth cause of blindness globally and the third cause of moderate-to-severe visual impairment [1]. Individuals with AMD may exhibit an important deterioration in quality of life depending on visual acuity and other factors, such as the disease stage and comorbidities [3–5].

Advanced forms of AMD include geographic atrophy or dry AMD and choroidal neovascularization or wet AMD. Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) agents are effective in patients with wet AMD in terms of maintaining visual acuity [6] and should therefore be considered a standard of care for these patients [7]. Despite its proven efficacy, due to the difficulties in implementing strict intravitreal treatment patterns in clinical practice, anti-VEGFs may not be associated with the expected outcomes [8]. In addition, dry AMD is a more resistant form of the disease, and no drug has yet been approved for its treatment. Therefore, there is considerable interest in identifying therapeutic options that could delay the occurrence of advanced forms of the disease.

The recognition of the role of oxidative stress in macular degeneration and the fact that the retina is particularly susceptible to it has led to the proposal of several antioxidants for reducing the risk of progression of AMD [9]. In this context, a large, multicenter, randomized trial, namely, the Age-Related Eye Disease Study (AREDS), demonstrated that a supplement containing vitamin C, vitamin E, beta-carotene and zinc compared to placebo reduced the five-year risk of developing AMD by 25% in patients at risk, a modest but significant benefit [10]. Afterward, in light of the potential antioxidant benefit of other micronutrients and potential risks of beta-carotene, the AREDS2 randomized trial was conducted to evaluate, using a factorial design, the efficacy and safety of the addition of lutein plus zeaxanthin and/or omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LCPUFAs) to the original formula and to assess the omission of beta-carotene and/or reduction in the dose of zinc from the formula [11]. The primary analysis of the trial did not find a further reduction in the risk of AMD with the addition of lutein plus zeaxanthin and/or omega-3 LCPUFAs. However, a secondary analysis showed that individuals randomized to lutein plus zeaxanthin and the AREDS formula without beta-carotene compared to those who received no lutein plus zeaxanthin and the AREDS formula containing beta-carotene exhibited a significant 18% reduction in the likelihood of progression to advanced AMD and a 22% reduction in the likelihood of developing neovascular AMD; there was no significant effect on the evolution of central geographic atrophy [12]. Further studies have demonstrated the beneficial role of lutein plus zeaxanthin in AMD [13–15]. PUFAs play a role in inflammation and its resolution [16,17] and have a beneficial effect in AMD [18,19], and their role in the maintenance of vision has been endorsed by approval from the European Food Safety Authority [20]. Other substances, such as resveratrol and hydroxytyrosol, have been investigated and have shown antioxidant and/or antiangiogenic properties.
in cultured retinal pigment epithelial cells [21,22]. However, there are insufficient data on the role of docosahexaenoic acid [DHA]/eicosapentaenoic acid [EPA] combined with the recommended dietary allowances of vitamins and minerals or the addition of other micronutrients, such as resveratrol, vitamin B or vitamin D, as preventive strategies in AMD [20].

We report herein the results of a randomized, observer-blinded trial aimed at evaluating the efficacy and safety of medicinal products containing the original AREDS formulation at doses approved in Europe with a product that adds to the formula DHA, lutein, zeaxanthin, resveratrol and hydroxytyrosol.

2. Materials and Methods

This was a randomized, observer-blinded trial conducted at nine sites in Spain and Portugal between November 2014 and April 2018. Every patient provided written informed consent before performing any study procedure. The protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Clínica Universidad de Navarra, and each participant site endorsed that approval. The study was conducted following the principles included in the Declaration of Helsinki. Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT04756310.

2.1. Study Subjects

We included patients aged 50 years or older diagnosed with unilateral choroidal neovascularization secondary to AMD or any of its sequelae (i.e., disciform scar, pigment epithelium detachment secondary to subretinal fluid, and/or subretinal hemorrhage (stage V of the modified AREDS classification)) with no exudative involvement in the contralateral eye (study eye) and who provided written informed consent.

Patients were excluded if they met any of the following criteria: had myopia of six diopters; had posterior pole abnormalities that could lead to choroidal neovascularization such as choroidal nevus, angioid streaks, central serous choroidopathy, inherited degenerative retinal diseases, and diabetic retinopathy; had coexisting media opacities that prevent assessment of the fundus; were considered to be at risk of becoming lost to follow-up based on the investigator’s judgment; had participated in a therapeutic trial within the last three months; had received any nutritional supplement within one month of the study entry; had suspected or confirmed diagnosis of substance use disorder (illegal drugs); and/or were not able to understand the study procedures.

2.2. Interventions

Patients were randomized in a 1:1 ratio with a block design to receive a supplement containing the components of the AREDS original formulation (i.e., vitamin C, vitamin E, beta-carotene and zinc) manganese and selenium (Theavit®, laboratorios Mayoli Spindler, Barcelona, Spain; the control group) or a supplement containing the AREDS original formulation, except for beta-carotene, plus copper, DHA, lutein, zeaxanthin, resveratrol and hydroxytyrosol (Retilut®, laboratorios Thea, Barcelona, Spain; the intervention group). The doses of the AREDS formulations complied with European requirements for these supplements and are specified in Table S1. The boxes containing the control and intervention products were identical in appearance and were consecutively numbered according to the randomization schedule. Patients were instructed to receive two capsules daily regardless of the assigned group.
2.3. Evaluations and Outcomes

Patients were evaluated at the inclusion visit (baseline) and at 6 and 12 months. At baseline, we recorded information on medical and ophthalmologic history, a brief nutritional questionnaire was applied, the best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was assessed in the study eye in a sitting position using Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) testing charts, an anterior segment biomicroscopy was performed, and stereoscopic fundus evaluation and digital fundus photography were performed; in addition, blood samples were obtained for biochemical analysis (see below). During the follow-up, BCVA, biomicroscopy and fundus evaluation were performed every six months, while digital retinography and biochemical analysis were performed at baseline and 12 months. Adverse events were recorded at each study visit.

2.4. Biochemical Analyses

Biochemical analyses included the determination of several inflammation and oxidative stress markers, vascular endothelial growth factor and the fatty acid profile.

2.4.1. Determination and Analysis of Lutein and Zeaxanthin

The extraction of lutein and zeaxanthin from the serum samples (600 µL) was carried out with a mixture of hexane:dichloromethane (5:1) [23], and the extract was reconstituted with a mixture of methanol:methyl-tert-butyl-ether (50:50) and injected (20 µL) into the chromatograph. A duplicate analysis was performed on 20% of the patients’ samples, and all of them contained an internal standard (tocopherol acetate).

Lutein and zeaxanthin analysis was performed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a kit consisting of a model 600 pump, a Rheodyne injector, and a diode array detector (PDA) (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). The system included a C30 YMC column (5 µm, 250 x 4.6 mm i.d.) and a precolumn (Aquapore ODS type RP-18). The mobile phase consisted of methanol with triethylamine (0.1%) and methyl-tert-butyl-ether in a linear gradient from 95:5 to 70:30 in 30 min as described in Olmedilla-Alonso et al. [24]. The flow rate was 0.9 mL/min. The response was recorded using the Empower 2 software application (Waters). The identification of the compounds was carried out by comparing the retention times with those of standard compounds and comparing the UV-VIS spectra online. Quantification was performed using a calibration curve. The repeatability of the response to the concentration of these carotenoids was verified by repeated injections of the standards on the same day and on different days.

2.4.2. Multiplex Cytokine Analysis of IL-1b, -6, -8, -9, -10, -12p70, IFN-γ, MCP1 and TNF-α

Cytokine analysis for IL-6, -8, -18, IFN-γ, MCP1 and TNF-α was performed using FirePlex Firefly® Analysis Workbench (Abcam), which is software for multiplex protein expression assays from Abcam Laboratories. A total of 100 µL of each sample (plasma) was assayed. All cytokines are expressed in pg/mL.

2.4.3. MMP-10 Analysis by ELISA

Plasma samples were assayed for MMP-10 levels using the BioAim ELISA kit (BioAim Scientific, Scarborough, ON, Canada) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Data are presented as pg/mL.

2.4.4. VEGF Measurement by Western Blot

Western blotting for VEGF determination was performed as previously described [25]. Briefly, 2 µL of plasma samples (diluted 1:10) was mixed with Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad), boiled for 5 min, separated on 10 to 12% SDS PAGE gels and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. After blocking with 5% skimmed milk (w/v), 0.1% Tween-20 (w/v) in TBS (1 h, RT), membranes were exposed to rat monoclonal anti-VEGF antibody (1:5000, 512808, BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA) at RT for 1 h followed by incubation at RT for 1 h with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG peroxidase conjugated antibody.
Signals were detected with an enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) kit (ECL Prime Western blotting detection kit, GE Healthcare) and captured with ImageQuant 400 (GE Healthcare, Fairfield, CT, USA). The relative intensities of the immunoreactive bands were analyzed with ImageQuantTL software (GE Healthcare). The loading was verified by Ponceau S red, and the same blot was stripped and rebotted with an anti-β-actin monoclonal antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) to normalize the VEGF levels.

2.4.5. Fatty Acid Profile Analysis

For the fatty acid (FA) profile analysis, we used a method described elsewhere [26]. The plasma was initially subjected to a saponification step with sodium methylate and anhydrous methanol to obtain FAs in their free form. Then, FA methyl esters (or FAMEs) were obtained with the use of boron trifluoride and methanol, and finally, the FAMEs were extracted with hexane and injected into the gas chromatograph. Quantification was performed by normalization, expressing the results in relative amounts. Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (n-3 PUFA), omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid (n-3 LCPUFA), omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid (n-6 PUFA) and omega-6 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid (n-6 LCPUFA) sums were created by adding the individual FAs. Additionally, the ratios of n-6:n-3 PUFAs and n-6:n-3 LCPUFAs were calculated for the analysis.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The primary outcome was the mean change from baseline in the BCVA in the non-affected eye by choroidal neovascularization secondary to AMD (study eye). Secondary outcomes included the mean change from baseline in the evaluated cytokines and lipids and the frequency of adverse reactions, mainly the development of choroidal neovascularization in the study eye.

To detect a mean difference between treatments in the BCVA of 4.6 letters (standard deviation 8.9), assuming a high correlation between the baseline assessment and the determination to be compared (minimum correlation of 0.8 between both), with a two-sided significance level of 5%, a power of 90%, and an anticipated number of dropouts of 10 to 20%, a sample size of 40 patients per treatment arm was required.

All efficacy outcomes were analyzed in the intent-to-treat population using a visit-wise approach. To compare the mean changes from baseline in the different efficacy outcomes, we used the unpaired Student’s t-test or the Mann–Whitney U test. All tests were two-sided and considered significant if \( p < 0.05 \). Effect sizes for the difference in mean changes between the intervention and control groups were calculated using Cohen’s d [27]. We considered Cohen’s d of <0.20, 0.20 to 0.49, 0.50 to 0.79, and ≥0.80 to reflect trivial, small, moderate, and large effect sizes, respectively [27]. Effect sizes that were at least moderate were interpreted as relevant changes. All analyses were performed with SPSS 20.0.

3. Results

3.1. Patient Disposition and Baseline Characteristics

We randomly assigned 109 patients to treatment. Fifty patients received the intervention, and 59 received the control. Patients had a mean age of 77.1 years (standard deviation [SD]; 7.6) and were evenly distributed with respect to sex. Baseline characteristics were generally well-balanced between the intervention and the control, except for the AMD status, which showed the worst result in patients from the intervention group (Table 1). Of the 109 randomized patients, 93 completed the trial. The number of participants discontinuing treatment prematurely was 5 (10%) with the intervention treatment and 11 (18.6%) with the control treatment (Figure S1).
3.2. Best Corrected Visual Acuity

At month 12, ETDRS letters had decreased with the intervention \((N = 45; \text{mean change } -1.73, 95\% \text{ CI } -3.28 \text{ to } -0.19)\) and in the control group \((N = 48; \text{mean change } -0.10, 95\% \text{ CI } -2.03 \text{ to } 1.83)\), for an estimated treatment difference between the intervention and control groups of \(-1.63 (95\% \text{ CI } -0.83 \text{ to } 4.09; p = 0.192)\).

3.3. Carotenoids and Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids

The serum levels of the carotenoids lutein and zeaxanthin increased with the intervention and remained almost unchanged with the control treatment, and the difference between the intervention and control was statistically significant and showed a large effect size at month 12 (Table 2).

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

| Characteristic                  | Intervention \(N = 50\) | Control \(N = 59\) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Age (years), mean (SD)          | 78.4 (7.0)              | 76.0 (8.0)        |
| Sex (women), n (%)              | 22 (44.0)               | 31 (52.5)         |
| BCVA, mean (SD)                 | 75.6 (11.1)             | 76.2 (11.7)       |
| Corneal alterations (yes), n (%)| 2 (4.0)                 | 2 (3.4)           |
| AMD status                      |                         |                   |
| Presence of drusen, n (%)       | 41 (82.0)               | 42 (71.2)         |
| Degree of drusen, n (%)         |                         |                   |
| 1                               | 8 (16.0)                | 14 (23.7)         |
| 2                               | 17 (34.0)               | 15 (25.4)         |
| 3                               | 10 (20.0)               | 6 (10.2)          |
| 4                               | 6 (12.0)                | 7 (11.9)          |
| Missing                         | 8 (18.0)                | 17 (28.8)         |
| Pigmentary alterations, n (%)   |                         |                   |
| Hyperpigmentation               | 15 (30.0)               | 13 (22.0)         |
| Hypo-/hyperpigmentation         | 15 (30.0)               | 15 (25.4)         |
| Hypopigmentation                | 3 (6.0)                 | 5 (8.5)           |
| No alterations                  | 17 (34.0)               | 15 (24.2)         |
| Geographic atrophy (yes), n (%) | 9 (18.0)                | 4 (6.8)           |
| LENS status                     |                         |                   |
| Phakic                          | 30 (60.0)               | 37 (62.7)         |
| Pseudophakic                    | 20 (40.0)               | 22 (37.5)         |

AMD, age-related macular degeneration; BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; SD, standard deviation.

At month 12, the mean changes in the PUFAs were statistically significantly different between the intervention and control groups except for the total n-6 LCPUFAs; thus, DHA, total n-3 PUFAs and total n-3 LCPUFAs showed a greater increase with the intervention than with the control treatment, with an effect size that was moderate to large, while the total n-6 PUFAs, total n-6 LCPUFAs and the ratios of n-6/n-3 PUFAs and LCPUFAs showed a greater decrease in the intervention group than in the control group, also with moderate to large effect sizes (Table 2).
Table 2. Changes in carotenoids and polyunsaturated fatty acids at month 12.

| Variable                   | Intervention | Control | Mean Difference (Intervention-Control) | p-Value | 95% CI   | Effect Size |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------|----------------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|
|                            | N            | Mean    | SD          | N    | Mean    | SD          | Student’s t-Test | Lower | Upper | Cohen’s d |
| CAROTENOIDS (µg/dL)        |              |         |             |      |         |             |                   |        |        |           |
| Lutein                    | 42           | 24.41 ** | 27.93       | 43   | −1.57   | 6.58        | 26.0              | <0.001 | 17.08 | 34.91     | 1.29     |
| Zeaxanthin                | 42           | 2.88 **  | 3.52        | 43   | −0.09   | 1.29        | 2.98              | <0.001 | 1.83  | 4.13      | 1.13     |
| POLYUNSATURATED FATTY ACIDS (as % of total fatty acids in plasma) | | | | | | | |
| DHA                       | 34           | 0.74    | 0.59        | 35   | 0.04    | 0.66        | 0.701             | <0.001 | 0.4   | 1.004     | 1.12     |
| Σ n-3 PUFAs               | 34           | 0.82    | 1.1         | 35   | 0.05    | 1.04        | 0.763             | 0.004  | 0.248 | 1.279     | 0.72     |
| Σ n-6 PUFAs               | 34           | −0.97   | 4.4         | 35   | 2.47    | 7.28        | −3.444            | 0.021  | −6.347| −0.541    | 0.57     |
| Σ n-3 LCPUFAs             | 34           | 0.79    | 1.11        | 35   | 0.08    | 1           | 0.715             | 0.006  | 0.207 | 1.222     | 0.67     |
| Σ n-6 LCPUFAs             | 34           | −0.35   | 1.15        | 35   | 0.3     | 2.19        | −0.654            | 0.126  | −1.5   | 0.188     | 0.37     |
| Ratio of n-6/n-3 PUFAs     | 34           | −2.18   | 2.59        | 35   | 1.05    | 3.35        | −3.227            | <0.001 | −4.7   | −1.785    | 1.08     |
| Ratio of Σn-6/Σn-3 LCPUFAs | 34           | −0.6    | 0.64        | 35   | 0.18    | 0.89        | −0.783            | <0.001 | −1.155| −0.412    | 1.00     |

DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; LCPUFA, long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid; N, number of evaluable patients; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; SD: standard deviation; CI, confidence interval. * p < 0.05. ** p < 0.001.

3.4. Inflammatory and Oxidative Stress Markers and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor

The intervention was associated with significant reductions in the levels of interferon-γ, IL-1β and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, while changes from baseline in the levels of cytokines in the control group were not significant. The intervention treatment reduced the levels of some cytokines, such as interleukin IL-8, IL-1β and TNF-α, to a significantly greater extent than the control group, with the effect size being small for IL-8 and moderate for IL-1β and TNF-α (Figure 1a–k; Table S3). Both treatment groups reduced matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-10 and VEGF to a similar extent, and the difference between the groups was not statistically significant with a trivial effect size (Figure 1j,k; Table S3).

3.5. Tolerability and Safety

Overall, there were 21 adverse events reported throughout the study—13 in the intervention group and eight in the control group. Of them, 17 were related to the eyes. The most common adverse events related to the eyes were the development/progression of cataracts (five cases in the intervention group and one case in the control group) (Table S2). An adverse event of special interest was the development of exudative AMD in the study eye, which occurred in three patients in the intervention group and two patients in the control group.
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Figure 1. (a–k). Inflammatory markers and angiogenic factors at month 12. CI, confidence interval; ETD, estimated treatment difference; ES, effect size (Cohen’s d). Figures between brackets are standard deviations. * \( p < 0.01 \) vs. baseline; ** \( p < 0.001 \) vs. baseline.

4. Discussion

Overall, our results indicate that in patients with unilateral wet age-related macular degeneration, short-term treatment with the original AREDS formulation at doses approved in Europe supplemented with DHA, lutein, zeaxanthin, resveratrol and hydroxytyrosol has no significant differential effect on visual acuity compared with the original AREDS formulation at doses approved in Europe. The supplemented formula exhibits a significant and, in most cases, relevant effect in terms of reduction of some inflammatory cytokines and a greater improvement in the fatty acid profile and serum lutein concentration. Both formulations were generally well-tolerated.

Both supplements were associated with minimal changes in visual acuity, with no significant differences between them. Although difficult to compare, these results are consistent with those of the AREDS trial. In the AREDS trial, the median visual acuity score was maintained in the overall population, with a small reduction from a median of 86 to 85 after one or two years among patients with no or few drusen at baseline and no change in the same period among those with large drusen at baseline [28]. In the AREDS2, none of the AREDS formulations were associated with relevant worsening or improving of visual acuity [11].

Compared to the original AREDS formulation at doses approved in Europe, the supplemented formula was associated with a significant and relevant increase in DHA, total n-3 PUFAs and total n-3 LCPUFAs and a significant and relevant decrease in total n-6 PUFAs and the ratios of n-6/n-3 PUFAs and LCPUFAs. These results indicate that the
addition of DHA to the original formula has a positive and relevant impact on the fatty acid profile. However, there is great controversy about the beneficial effect of these fatty acids in AMD. Fish consumption appears to be associated with a significant reduction in the risk of developing AMD according to some meta-analyses [29,30]. However, a systematic review analyzing two placebo-controlled trials of omega-3 fatty acid supplements found that this supplementation in subjects with AMD for periods up to five years is not associated with a reduced risk of the progression of AMD or the development of relevant visual loss [31]. The results of the AREDS2 trial [11] support the results of that systematic review. However, an analysis of the Nutritional AMD Treatment 2 (NAT2) trial comparing the prophylactic effect of oral DHA with placebo showed that patients who maintained high levels of red blood cell membrane EPA/DHA had a reduced likelihood of choroidal neovascularization compared to those who maintained consistently low levels (hazard ratio 0.32, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.99) [18]. Bearing in mind the overall evidence, some authors consider that the beneficial effect of omega-3 fatty acids should be further evaluated using other formulations and/or populations of AMD patients [32].

Chronic inflammation is involved in the pathogenesis of AMD, as shown by the elevations in local and systemic proinflammatory markers in patients with AMD [33–35]. Chronic inflammation has been linked to a transformation of the tissue microenvironment into a senescence-associated secretory phenotype, releasing proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-6 and C-reactive protein [36,37]. In our study, the supplemented formula was also associated with a significantly greater reduction in inflammatory markers compared to the standard formula, more specifically with a significant reduction in IL-8, IL-1β and TNF-α and, albeit not significant, with a reduction in IL-6. All these changes were of moderate effect size with a Cohen’s d of approximately 0.50. IL-1β is a potent proinflammatory cytokine whose upregulation induces angiogenesis and neuroinflammation [38] and has been reported to be an inflammatory mediator in the development of wet AMD, since these patients have shown increased plasma and vitreous levels of this cytokine [39,40]; in contrast, in animal models, its inhibition significantly reduced the development of subretinal neovascularization and has been shown to prevent choroidal neovascularization [39,41,42]. IL-6 has been associated with choroidal neovascularization [43,44], and IL-8 also plays a role in inflammation and angiogenesis [45]. High levels of IL-6 and IL-8 have been found in the aqueous humor of patients with AMD compared to patients with cataracts [46], and a recent meta-analysis confirmed that the levels of IL-8 are increased in patients with wet AMD [47]. A study that compared the cytokine profiles in aqueous humor in patients with neovascular AMD found positive correlations between interleukin IL-6 and IL-8 and monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP) 1, a key chemokine that, in turn, has been associated with wet AMD [48,49]. TNF-α has also been involved in the pathogenesis of AMD [50]. In animal models, TNF-α contributes to laser-induced choroidal neovascularization formation [51], probably by upregulating VEGF production in retinal pigment epithelium cells [52], suggesting that TNF-α could be a therapeutic target for the prevention and treatment of AMD [51]. However, despite the initial interest in anti-TNF-α drugs for the treatment of retinal disease [53], in addition to safety concerns, anecdotal case reports provide controversial results on the use of anti-TNF-α drugs in patients with AMD [54,55]. Interestingly, resveratrol, a component of the supplemented formula, can decrease the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α [56] and, therefore, could have contributed to the observed effects on cytokines in our study in the intervention group.

The main limitation of our study is the short-term follow-up. A one-year follow-up is appropriate for detecting changes in biochemical parameters but not in visual acuity or in the occurrence of clinical events. Another possible limitation is the use of only systemic cytokines to evaluate the macular inflammatory and stress oxidation levels. The determination of these biomarkers in blood is an indirect determination and it has not been possible to demonstrate that they reflect what is happening at the macular level. However, as occurs with the main genetic factors related to factor H (fH)-related
complement activation, which takes place locally in the retina, our group has shown in previous studies that the concentration of fH variants in plasma varies between controls, AMD patients and aging patients, which can help explain the association of the fH-H402 protein with AMD [57]. These results indicate that the AMD pathology is not an exclusively ocular process.

Although there is no generalized agreement on which are the most important components of micronutrition, supplementation is currently included in the routine management of AMD by many ophthalmologists in Europe [58]. Our study shows that in patients with unilateral wet AMD, the addition of lutein, zeaxanthin, resveratrol, hydroxytyrosol and DHA to the AREDS EU recommended doses in the short term did not have a differential effect on visual acuity compared to a standard AREDS EU formula, but, in addition to improving the fatty acid profile and increasing carotenoid serum levels, it may provide a beneficial effect on improving the proinflammatory and proangiogenic profile of patients with AMD. The impact that these changes could have on the long-term progression of AMD to more advanced stages of the disease requires further investigation. It would be worth evaluating the long-term impact of this supplementation in other AMD subpopulations, such as those with intermediate AMD stages.
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