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An extension of the SHARC survey*,**,††

C. Adami¹, M. P. Ulmer², F. Durret³,⁴, G. Covone⁵, G. B. Lima Neto⁹, A. K. Romer¹⁰, D. Russeil¹, and B. Wilhite¹¹

Aims. We report on our search for distant clusters of galaxies based on optical and X-ray follow up observations of X-ray candidates from the SHARC survey, and based on the assumption that the absence of bright optical or radio counterparts to possibly extended X-ray sources could mean that they are distant clusters.

Methods. We have obtained deep optical images and redshifts for several of these objects and analyzed archive XMM-Newton or Chandra data where applicable.

Results. In our list of candidate clusters, two are probably galaxy structures at redshifts of \( z \approx 0.51 \) and 0.28. Seven other structures are possibly galaxy clusters between \( z \approx 0.3 \) and 1. Three sources are identified with QSOs and are thus likely to be X-ray point sources, and six more also probably fall in this category. One X-ray source is spurious or variable. For 17 other sources, the data are too sparse at this time to put forward any hypothesis on their nature. We also serendipitously detected a cluster at \( z = 0.53 \) and another galaxy concentration which is probably a structure with a redshift in the \([0.15–0.6]\) range.

Conclusions. We discuss these results within the context of future space missions to demonstrate the necessity of a wide field of view telescope optimized for the 0.5–2 keV range.
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1. Introduction

Clusters of galaxies are currently used as a complementary tool to WMAP and distant supernovae to constrain cosmological parameters as well as the equation of state of dark energy (e.g. Romer et al. 2001; Allen et al. 2004). However, without strong constraints on cluster formation and evolution, the reliability of clusters as cosmological probes will remain in doubt. In particular, their formation redshift is still not well established (e.g. Andreon et al. 2004; Holden et al. 2004). This is one of the major quests of modern astronomy. The higher the redshift of the system, the younger it is, hence scientists are continually searching for higher redshift galaxy clusters. Moreover, from a very simplified point of view (probably too simple, however), the more massive the cluster, the longer it takes to virialize and therefore when virialized, the older it is (e.g. Sarazin 1986). A good way to detect clusters is to use X-rays (a not exhaustive reference list is: Burke et al. 1997; Henry et al. 1997; Scharf et al. 1997; Ebeling et al. 1998; Rosati et al. 1998; Vikhlinin et al. 1998; Nichol et al. 1999; Romer et al. 2000; Pierre et al. 2006). This is because rich clusters should have a hot intra cluster medium (ICM hereafter), which is detectable as a thermal X-ray source against the relatively faint diffuse X-ray background.

X-ray searches for distant rich clusters have generally required that the X-ray sources be detected as extended. In all cases, however, the X-ray sources were followed up by optical imaging and spectroscopy (see e.g. the Bright-SHARC survey: Romer et al. 2000) in order to obtain redshifts and to characterize the galaxies and richness of the clusters. Unfortunately, not all clusters appear as extended X-ray sources if the point spread function of the X-ray telescope has been degraded, which can be the case when images are far off axis. Furthermore, the X-ray emission of distant clusters and groups may have relatively small angular extents on the sky, and the emission may be dominated by a central AGN or a cool bright X-ray core.

---

* Based on observations obtained at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT), Gemini Observatory, Observatoire de Haute Provence, German-Spanish Astronomical Center Calar Alto, ESO at La Silla and Paranal, Apache Point Observatory 3.5-m telescope and on data taken from the SDSS, SIMBAD and NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) Databases (see acknowledgments).

** Appendix A is only available in electronic form at http://www.aanda.org
The goal of the project described in this paper was, therefore, to search for distant X-ray extended sources that do not appear extended in Rosat PSPC data (due to instrument limitations), as used by the SHARC surveys.

As distant (20.8) X-ray luminous clusters are rare, it is necessary to search the largest possible region of the sky for which deep X-ray exposures are available. We therefore used our previously reported Bright-SHARC survey (e.g. Romer et al. 2000). From the entire catalog of sources detected in the ROSAT pointed observations (covering about 180 deg$^2$; the effective area decreases with the sensitivity level, see Sect. 4), we removed:

- all the sources detected as extended in the PSPC data above $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ found in the original Bright-SHARC survey (Romer et al. 2000); here our survey goes almost 10 times fainter and is therefore limited by definition to sources not appearing as extended;
- all sources with a detection limit lower than 3.5σ;
- all the sources identified with known single objects (single galaxies, QSOs and stars) from the NED and Simbad databases;
- all the sources with a clear NVSS (Dickey & Lockman 1990) radio source, to avoid as much as possible bright distant AGNs or QSOs, within a radius of 2 arcmin. We also checked a posteriori that no radio source from the Radio Master Catalog available at http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/ was detected within our X-ray contours. More specifically, among the 38 entries of Table 1, 35 are covered by the FIRST radio survey (White et al. 1997), but no radio source is located within our X-ray contours.
- all the sources with a clearly visible optical object in the DSS photographic plates (Lasker et al. 1990) within a radius of 2 arcmin.

We finally distilled down the original list of over 3000 objects to 36 candidates that we are in the process of systematically examining with new deep optical imaging, optical spectroscopy, and X-ray followup observations when possible. We also verified there was no duplication between our source list and what was available in the literature for these targets up to Nov. 2006. These 36 remaining sources are then:

- either rich clusters, too distant and/or too faint and/or too offaxis to be detected as extended in our automated processing scheme. As seen in the images, however, a few objects show possible evidence for extended X-ray emission. These candidate clusters are also too distant to have their galaxy population clearly visible in the DSS. Assuming a magnitude of $\mu_R = -23$ for a typical brightest cluster galaxy, the cosmological parameters given at the end of this section and assuming a magnitude limit of $R \sim 18$ for the DSS, this gives a minimal redshift of 0.3 for these clusters (and lower if we assume they are groups instead of clusters, see the text for individual objects below);
- either distant X-ray active objects (e.g. AGNs, QSOs) or stars too faint to be seen in the DSS.

Although the project is not complete, we have gathered a significant amount of data that warrant a “mid-term” report. It is interesting to compare these results with newer ongoing surveys such as ChaMP (Barkhouse et al. 2006) so that when designing future missions devoted to large sky surveys it can be judged whether it is better to design a telescope that cuts off at relatively low energies (similar to ROSAT) versus a smaller field of view telescope but with significant collecting area up to at least 7 keV (such as Chandra or XMM-Newton).

It is also important to note how followup ground based observations are beginning to reveal sets of underluminous X-ray clusters which have the potential of complicating cosmological interpretations of S-Z and X-ray cluster surveys.

We will assume for the purpose of calculations that $H_0 = 71$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_L = 0.73$ and $\Omega_m = 0.27$.

2. The data

We give the list of our 36 candidates in Table 1. This table summarizes for each object the observational details described hereafter.

2.1. Optical imaging

We first observed deep $i'$ (and sometimes $r'$) images of 13 candidates at the ARC 3.5 m telescope with SPIcam$^1$. Exposure times ranged from 20 to 90 min. Two other candidates were observed in the $R$ band at the ESO 3.5 m telescope with EFOSC2 with exposure times of 10 min. Three candidates were observed in the $R$ band at the OHP 1.2 m telescope and CCD camera with exposure times between 40 and 150 min. We obtained $B$, $V$ and $r'$ band observations for one candidate at the CFHT with the CFH12K camera ($B$: 20 min, $V$: 15 min, $r'$: 10 min). Finally, we observed one candidate with the Gemini north telescope in $i'$ and $i'$ for 15 and 17 min, respectively.

For the 16 remaining candidates, 13 are covered by the SDSS and 3 by the DSS Red and Blue photographic plates (McLean et al. 2000). Although the SDSS and DSS data are shallower than our direct observations, by quadratically summing the data in all available bands ($u$, $g'$, $r'$, $i'$, $z'$ for SDSS, and blue and red for DSS), taking into account the typical noise in each band, we then produced deeper images than those in the individual bands. This method is not rigourously optimal to detect the faintest possible objects (see e.g. Szalay et al. 1999, for a better but more complex extraction method) and is not intended to produce any calibrated magnitudes. We used this method because our goal was to get a deep representation of the field object populations, in order to decide which target should be followed with very deep images. The up-to-date results of the optical imaging along with the superposed X-ray contours are given in the on-line material. The images made by superposing various bands will be referred to hereafter as $\chi$ images.

2.2. X-ray imaging

The target selection was made on the basis of ROSAT PSPC images treated by a automated procedure described in Romer et al. (2000). In addition, we found in the Chandra and XMM-Newton archives X-ray data for 6 candidates (5 candidates with XMM-Newton data and 1 with Chandra data), but often at the field edge, with low exposure times, or both. The images in the Appendix were overlayed with XMM/Chandra data when available and with ROSAT PSPC data if not. X-ray contours were computed for each candidate at 1σ intervals starting from the 3σ level. These levels were computed using the background estimated from the count rate in an arbitrarily empty region close to the X-ray source. The ROSAT PSPC images were smoothed over a 1.5 arcmin Gaussian window prior to generating the contours.

$^1$ See http://www.apo.nmsu.edu for details.
Table 1. 36 X-ray sources (plus two additional structures) in the survey. We give the coordinates (from the SHARC wavelet analysis, see Adami et al. 2000), the optical imaging data origin and characteristics (exposure time in minutes), the X-ray data (ROSAT PSPC, XMM or Chandra and radial offset in arcmin), the optical spectroscopy data origin (S for SDSS, CA for Calar Alto or V for the VIMOS IFU), the source status (Single: X-ray point source, Cluster: galaxy structure, Pending: to be determined), an estimated redshift (if a galaxy structure), the ROSAT PSPC count rates (CR in units of $10^{-3}$ in the [0.5–2] keV range), except for Cl J1202+4439 where it is an XMM count rate in the [0.5–10] keV band; all the luminosities given are based on the assumption of the maximum estimated redshift, in units of $10^{44}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, and $n_{H}$ in units of $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. The two additional sources are not detected in the original SHARC analysis and we therefore do not give X-ray properties for them.

| Source      | $\alpha$(2000) | $\delta$(2000) | Imaging      | ET  | X-rays | Sp  | Status       | $z$  | CR  | $L_{30keV}/n_{H}$ |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----|--------|-----|---------------|-----|-----|--------------------|
| Cl0223−0856 | 02 23 06 10    | −08 56 47 6    | OHP 1.2 m R  | 60  | ROSAT 8| S   | Cluster?     | 0.49| 1.97| 0.342/0.29        |
| Cl0240−0801 | 02 40 09 56    | −08 01 06 6    | SDSS $\chi^2$| 80  | ROSAT 8| Pending     | 3.08|     |                    |
| Cl0241−0802 | 02 41 03 56    | −08 02 11 3    | ESO 3.5 m J  | 10  | ROSAT 8| Cluster?    | 0.55| 2.71| 0.762/0.035       |
| Cl0242−0756 | 02 42 22 17    | −07 56 04 9    | SDSS $\chi^2$| 80  | ROSAT 8| Pending     | 7.98|     |                    |
| Cl0254+0012 | 02 54 24 25    | +00 12 52 6    | DSSRed/Blue $\chi^2$| 80  | ROSAT 11| S           | 3.23|     |                    |
| Cl0302−1526 | 03 02 41 77    | −15 26 47 0    | DSSRed/Blue $\chi^2$| 80  | ROSAT 17| Single?     | 2.25|     |                    |
| Cl0317−0529 | 03 17 26 87    | −05 29 34 5    | DSSRed/Blue $\chi^2$| 80  | ROSAT 8| Single?     |     |     |                    |
| Cl0413+1215 | 04 13 54 03    | +12 15 58 8    | OHP 1.2 m R  | 150 | ROSAT 13| Pending    | 2.43|     |                    |
| Cl0922+6217 | 09 22 53 19    | +62 17 14 8    | OHP 1.2 m R  | 40  | ROSAT 9| Pending    | 2.73|     |                    |
| Cl0937+6105 | 09 37 48 76    | +61 05 27 6    | ARC 3.5 m $r'$| 36  | ROSAT 20| Pending    | 3.10|     |                    |
| CI J1024+1943 | 10 24 39 89   | +19 35 15 8    | ARC 3.5 m $r'$| 50  | ROSAT 20| Cluster?   | 0.15–0.65| 6.09| 2.49/0.0214       |
| CI J1024+1943 | 10 24 39 72   | +19 43 14 9    | ARC 3.5 m $r'$| 90  | ROSAT 17| Pending    | 3.10|     |                    |
| CI J1050+6317 | 10 50 17 65   | +63 17 45 2    | ARC 3.5 m $r'$| 50  | ROSAT 25| Pending    | 4.08|     |                    |
| CI J1052+5655 | 10 52 11 89   | +56 55 35 3    | SDSS $\chi^2$| 80  | ROSAT 26| S           | 10.69|     |                    |
| CI J1052+5600 | 10 52 46 60   | +54 00 02 6    | ARC 3.5 m $r'$| 90  | XMM 12 | Spurious?   | 2.02|     |                    |
| CI J1102+2514 | 11 02 08 95   | +25 14 18 5    | ARC 3.5 m $r'$| 50  | ROSAT 12| Cluster?   | 0.15–0.65| 3.65| 1.24/0.0140       |
| CI J1103+2458 | 11 03 21 80   | +24 58 49 9    | SDSS $\chi^2$| 80  | ROSAT 11| Pending    | 1.31|     |                    |
| CI J1113+4042 | 11 13 34 59   | +40 42 32 9    | ARC 3.5 m $r'$| 90  | Chandra 13| CA Cluster | 0.51| 4.68| 1.09/0.0184       |
| CI J1120+2124 | 11 20 48 59   | +12 54 58 8    | CFHT 3.6 m B | 20  | XMM 9 | Single     | 3.53|     |                    |
| CI J1121+4309 | 11 21 40 67   | +43 09 06 8    | SDSS $\chi^2$| 80  | ROSAT 9| Pending    | 3.14|     |                    |
| CI J1121+0338 | 11 21 56 65   | +03 38 18 8    | ARC 3.5 m $r'$| 90  | ROSAT 30| S/V        | 15.18|     |                    |
| CI J1158+5541 | 11 58 50 65   | +55 41 34 4    | SDSS $\chi^2$| 80  | XMM 12 | Pending    | 1.92|     |                    |
| CI J1202+4439 | 12 02 33 03   | +44 39 42 8    | SDSS $\chi^2$| 80  | XMM 10 | Cluster?   | 0.28| 7.36| 0.212/0.135       |
| CI J1207+4429 | 12 07 49 91   | +44 29 38 8    | SDSS $\chi^2$| 80  | ROSAT 12| Pending    | 1.97|     |                    |
| CI J1213+3908 | 12 13 32 88   | +39 08 24 7    | ARC 3.5 m $r'$| 30  | ROSAT 24| Single?    | 7.47|     |                    |
| CI J1213+3317 | 12 13 53 75   | +33 17 27 4    | SDSS $\chi^2$| 80  | ROSAT 16| Pending    | 2.46|     |                    |
| CI J1214+2544 | 12 14 50 32   | +12 54 01 9    | ESO 3.5 m $J$| 80  | ROSAT 14| Pending    | 6.15|     |                    |
| CI J1216+3318 | 12 16 22 89   | +33 18 28 5    | ARC 3.5 m $r'$| 90  | ROSAT 17| Pending    | 7.82|     |                    |
| CI J1216+3318 | 12 16 22 89   | +33 18 28 5    | ARC 3.5 m $r'$| 90  | ROSAT 17| Pending    | 7.82|     |                    |

2.3. Spectroscopy

We checked the NED database for available redshifts in the considered areas (at this writing). We also obtained single slit spectra at the Calar Alto 3.5 m telescope with the MOSCA spectrograph for three candidates (exposure times ranging between 30 min and 3 h). Finally, we got a 12 h VIMOS IFU (Le Fèvre et al. 2003) observation of one candidate (but weather conditions were quite poor).

3. Description of the 36 candidates

Here we discuss the possible nature of each candidate with the data we have in hand. Our conclusions are summarized in Table 1 and the corresponding figures are given in the on-line appendix.

When objects are visible in the optical images (excluding the $\chi^2$ images) within the X-ray contours, we used the brightest one to compute a minimal redshift for the structure, assuming that this object is the dominant galaxy of the structure. We assumed an absolute magnitude of $M_R = -23$ and $M_I = -23.5$ for the
dominant galaxy in each structure (these values are typical in nearby clusters).

We also computed an X-ray flux in the [0.5–2] keV energy range using WEBPIMMS and WEBSPEC, as well as $L_{\text{bol}}$ (using XSPEC) for the X-ray objects that we considered as possible clusters. We assumed a mean $kT$ of 3 keV (the effect of the assumed $kT$ over a reasonable temperature range of $2–10$ keV is, however, less than 10%) and a Mekal model, with a fixed metallicity $Z = 0.3 Z_{\odot}$. We used the hydrogen column density in the Galaxy for each relevant pointing (see Table 1).

3.1. ARC imaging

Thirteen candidates have been followed up with imaging at the ARC 3.5 m telescope at least in the $r'$ band.

Cl J0937+6105 and Cl J11050+6317: the central X-ray contours of Cl J0937+6105 and Cl J11050+6317 are not clearly associated with any optical object.

Cl J1024+1935 has a regular shape but we have no spectroscopy for this candidate. The brightest optical object has a magnitude of $i' = 19.4$ and is possibly a quasar or an AGN similarly to the optical counterpart of Cl J11211+0338, but the X-ray contours can be a typical of a nearby galaxy structure.

Cl J1024+1943 is an X-ray source associated with a complex optical object population, that consists of both quite bright and very faint objects.

Cl J1052+5400: this field contains a rich galaxy population. However, the ROSAT PSPC X-ray source was not detected in our analysis of recent XMM-Newton data. This source could be a variable point source, as the image quality is not good enough to determine if the source is extended. Since it is not present in the XMM-Newton data, the source could also be spurious.

Cl J1102+2514 is a relatively strong X-ray source that is well centered on a relatively bright non-circular optical object ($i' = 19.2$) and the contours also include several other optical counterparts. This X-ray source is probably a group or a cluster between redshifts $z \sim 0.15$ and $0.65$.

Cl J1113+4042 is a complex X-ray source also observed with Chandra. The X-ray data are not deep enough and too far off axis (13′, Chandra, 14′, ROSAT) to allow spectral investigations or to determine a statistically significant X-ray extent. The area probably includes an AGN (the west source) and two real galaxy structures (see Sect. 3.8 for a discussion of the east structure). We determined three redshifts close to the central X-ray emission. Two of the main galaxies are probably associated with the X-ray emission, are at a redshift of $z \sim 0.5$ and have an early type appearance. Cl J1113+4042 is therefore probably a galaxy group at $z \sim 0.5$.

Cl J1121+0338 is a relatively strong X-ray source (two times stronger than Cl J1024+1935) and is well centered on a QSO observed by the SDSS at $z = 0.839$. We also obtained VIMOS IFU spectroscopic data for this region. No galaxy concentration appears in redshift space and we therefore conclude that the X-ray emission of Cl J1121+0338 is due to a quasar at $z = 0.84$.

Cl J1213+3908 and Cl J1350+6028 have outer X-ray contours that include several peaks (the X-ray emission of Cl J1213+3908 covers the whole optical field of view) or are very large. The optical fields are dense enough, however, that the coincidence between these inner contour peaks and the optical objects could be purely by chance.

Cl J1216+3318 has a rich galaxy population that extends outside the border of the X-ray contours. The X-ray contours are quite irregular and it is difficult to conclude with the data in hand whether this a cluster or a single source. In order to explore further the possible existence of a cluster we have plotted in Fig. 1 the galaxy color magnitude relation in the field. The large (red) circles are the optical objects within the X-ray contours. When we also include objects outside the X-ray contours, we find marginal evidence for a red sequence around $r' - i' = 0.8$ (11 objects among the 17 within the X-ray contours have $0.5 < r' - i' < 1.1$), that would place a galaxy structure between $z = 0.2$ and 0.5 (from Fukugita et al. 1995). However, the absence of a central bright galaxy makes it difficult to conclude if Cl J1216+3318 is a cluster or a single source.

Cl J1411+5933 is an X-ray source with at least 5 optical objects within the X-ray contours, the brightest one having an $i'$ magnitude of 20.7. This would place the galaxy structure at $z \sim 1$ if it is an $M_r = -23.5$ galaxy associated to a rich cluster or at $z \sim 0.25$ if it is an $M_r = -20$ group central galaxy.

Cl J1514+4351: This X-ray source is quite elongated, hence it is apparently an extended X-ray source. Several optical objects (including at least two galaxies) are visible within the X-ray contours. The brightest (and most extended one) has an $i'$ magnitude of 20.9. This apparent magnitude places this cluster candidate at $z \sim 1$ if this is an $M_r = -23.5$ galaxy associated with a rich cluster, or at $z \sim 0.3$ if this is an $M_r = -20$ central galaxy of a group. A foreground galaxy has been measured by the SDSS at $z = 0.16518$ and is associated with a larger foreground galaxy cluster at this redshift. However, this foreground structure is probably not related to the X-ray source. This is because the X-ray emission does not overlap the $z \sim 0.16$ galaxy.

3.2. Gemini data for Cl J1651+6107

This candidate has a relatively low Galactic latitude ($\sim 37^\circ$) and is located in a region populated by Galactic stars and with a prominent galactic H$\alpha$ emission coming from the Draco cloud (e.g. Penprase et al. 2000). This is confirmed by the two spectra of cold stars (located at the upper left and lower right in Fig. A.36 of the online data) we obtained at Calar Alto (with MOSCA) within the Cl J1651+6107 field of view. The two bright objects embedded in the diffuse optical emission (see Fig. A.36 in the Appendix) are also stars, as deduced from the $r'$ Gemini image.

We found XMM data in the archive for this candidate, but the exposure time is far too low to allow any spectral analysis. The X-ray emission is, however, located on the top of 7 very faint objects that are galaxies, based on the imaging data used in Figs. 2–4.
Figure 2 shows a clear star-galaxy separation down to $r' \sim 22.9$. We considered all objects fainter than $r' \sim 22.9$ as galaxies, since the Galactic star contribution at these magnitudes is very low (e.g. Adami et al. 2006a).

These results suggest that we have found a structure of galaxies. In order to estimate its redshift, we limited our analysis of the $r'$ data to $r' = 24.5$ (as suggested by Fig. 3) and we plotted in Fig. 4 the color magnitude relation of all objects classified as galaxies. The three brightest galaxies within the X-ray contours have $r' - i'$ colors close to 0.4. Following Fukugita et al. (1995) and assuming these are early type galaxies, this would place the structure between $z = 0.2$ and 0.5. The fainter objects are probably very low mass objects which were not able to retain most of their metals and appear therefore quite blue (e.g. Adami et al. 2006b).

Given the magnitude of the brightest galaxy within the X-ray contours one interpretation is that this structure is a group, since this magnitude is too faint to be a cluster dominant galaxy at $z \leq 0.5$. The absolute $r'$ magnitude of the brightest galaxy would be $-17.7$ at $z = 0.2$ and $-20.0$ at $z = 0.5$. The absolute magnitudes are in the range of $L^*$ values for groups or clusters. An alternative explanation is that Cl J1651+6107 is a cluster at $z \sim 1$ if our interpretation of the colors did not produce the true value of the redshift.

3.3. CFHT data for Cl J1120+1254

We have obtained $B$, $V$ and $R$ CFHT CFH12K images for Cl J1120+1254. The images show several objects inside the X-ray contours. One of the two brightest galaxies has a very blue color, the other a very red color (Fig. 5).

There are XMM data available for this candidate but the source is located off axis ($\sim 9'$), so the angular resolution is degraded by about 50% (this XMM source is however detected as not extended by the ongoing XCS survey, Romer et al., private communication and 2001). For display purposes, we made two images, one in the [0.5–2.0] keV band (soft) and the other in the [2.0–10.0] keV band (hard). Clusters are expected to appear stronger in the soft band than in the hard band, while AGN should look point-like in both bands. Following this, we have plotted in Fig. 6 both the Cl J1120+1254 X-ray source and the X-ray image of a known galaxy structure (ClG J1205+4429, hereafter Cl J1205, see Ulmer et al. 2005). The image of this known galaxy structure also has a very prominent AGN in its field (at the north east in the image). Cl J1205 (the known galaxy structure) is a strong source in the soft band and a very weak one in the hard band, while the AGN can be seen to be relatively strong in both bands (although weaker in the soft band than in the hard band). In contrast, Cl J1120+1254 also appears to be relatively strong in both bands (even if weaker in the hard band compared to the soft band). Given its X-ray image, however, we conclude that Cl J1120+1254 is probably an X-ray point source,
Fig. 6. A known galaxy structure, CIG J1205+4429 (top) with a line-of-sight X-ray detectable AGN in the upper left of the image, see Ulmer et al. (2005). [0.5–2.0] keV (left) and [2.0–10.0] keV band (right). Similar images of Cl J1120+1254 (bottom).

despite that the spectral shape is not the same as that of the AGN in the field of Cl J1205.

3.4. ESO 3.5 m EFOSC2 data for Cl J0241-0802 and Cl J1214+1254

Cl J0241-0802 and Cl J1214+1254 have been imaged with the ESO 3.5 m telescope and the EFOSC2 instrument (imaging mode). Both candidates are associated with relatively bright optical objects.

Cl J0241-0802 is probably a galaxy structure given the large number of optical sources and the “dominant galaxy” appearance of the brightest object visible within the X-ray contours. This object has an I magnitude of 19, placing the possible galaxy structure at $z \sim 0.55$. The X-ray contours also suggest that this is an extended X-ray source. At such a redshift, its extent corresponds to a diameter of 500 kpc, in good agreement with the extent of a typical group of galaxies (poor cluster).

Cl J1214+1254 is poorer than Cl J0241-0802 from an optical point of view but its X-ray shape seems extended. It is however impossible to conclude on the nature of this source with the data in hand.

3.5. OHP data for Cl J0223-0856, Cl J0413+1215 and Cl J0922+6217

These three cluster candidates were observed at the OHP 1.2 m telescope.

The ROSAT X-ray images of Cl J0223-0856 and Cl J0413+1215 are relatively round.

In the R image of Cl J0223-0856 there are four faint objects visible within the X-ray contours (see image in online data Fig. 1 and magnitude histogram in Fig. 7). If Cl J0223-0856 is a cluster of galaxies, then its redshift could be $z = 0.49$ based on the brightest detected galaxy. The angular extent of the X-ray emission is PSF dominated but is equivalent to a 200 kpc diameter circle at this redshift. This is compatible with its being a cluster core or a group. Cl0223-0856 could therefore be a galaxy structure.

There is only one optical object visible within the X-ray contours of Cl J0413+1215; but the source could be a cluster of galaxies as the magnitude limit of the R band image is about 21 which means it is unlikely that fainter cluster member galaxies would be visible in the R image. If Cl J0413+1215 is indeed a cluster of galaxies, its redshift could be $z \sim 1$ based only on the single detected galaxy ($R = 21.7$) within the X-ray contours. The size of the X-ray emission is also PSF dominated and is equivalent to a 300 kpc diameter circle at this redshift. The data are, however, too sparse to allow us to make a definitive statement about its nature.

Cl J0922+6217 has faint optical objects within its contours. The X-ray source could be either a cluster of galaxies or an X-ray point source.

3.6. SDSS data

Thirteen of our cluster candidates have no deep CCD imaging. For each of these objects, we summed in quadrature all the SDSS available bands ($u$, $g$, $r$, $i$, $z$) to make a visible band image onto which to overlay the X-ray contours.

Cl J0240-0801, Cl J1103+2458, Cl J1207+4429 and Cl J1343+2716 all have faint optical objects within their contours. These X-ray sources could be either clusters of galaxies or X-ray point sources.

Cl J1052+5655 is possibly made up of a collection of X-ray point sources. Within this region one galaxy has a measured redshift of $z = 0.52147$ (taken from NED), but it falls at the border of the X-ray contours. The data are too noisy to determine if the X-ray source is truly extended or not. It is probably a collection of individual sources.

Cl J1121+4309 has a quite regular and PSF dominated X-ray shape. There is no visible object within ROSAT PSPC X-ray contours. The optical counterpart is very faint.

Cl J1158+5541 has XMM data, but the image is located at the edge of the MOS fields (~12’ off axis) and is not in the PN field. This prevents us from deriving an X-ray spectrum. The PSF is badly degraded at this location and we cannot provide a reliable measure of the extent of this X-ray source either. This candidate is however associated with a faint optical object population, and thus its nature is indeterminate between a distant cluster and an AGN.

Cl J1202+4439 is a relatively strong X-ray source ($S/N$ greater than 6 in the ROSAT PSPC data) for which XMM-Newton data (net exposure time of 36.7 ks after flare removal) are also available. This source was not detected as extended by the ongoing XCS survey (Romer et al.: private communication and 2001). Our XMM-Newton analysis generated ~300 photons in the source after background removal; we produced an X-ray spectrum (Fig. 8) and fit a MEKAL model...
(\(N_{\text{H}} = 1.35 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) and fixed metallicity of 0.3 Z_\odot). The best fit was obtained for a redshift of 0.28\(^{+0.21}_{-0.38}\). The spectrum in Fig. 8 shows a ~1σ feature that could be due to Fe emission at about 5.2 keV, but many other similarly sized features exist in the spectrum. The 5.2 keV energy for the rest frame of 6.7 keV Fe line is consistent with the derived redshift. There are two optical objects within the X-ray contours which have magnitudes consistent with being galaxies at this ~0.3 redshift. The object is then possibly a group of galaxies at \(z \sim 0.3\) with an estimated luminosity of \(1.1 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg/s}\) (in the [0.5–10] keV range) but the nature of the structure is still to be confirmed with optical spectroscopy. This would be typical of a bright galaxy group (e.g. Jones et al. 2003).

Cl J1213+3317 and Cl J1237+2800 consist of several large X-ray sources with embedded faint optical objects. These candidates could be either clusters of galaxies or made of several unrelated X-ray point sources.

Cl J1234+3755 is possibly an extended X-ray source. There is an SDSS QSO on the edge of the X-ray contours (at \(z = 0.57313\)), so some of the X-ray emission could originate from this QSO. We cannot exclude the possibility of having a QSO embedded in a cluster.

Cl J0242-0756 and Cl J1259+2547 are made up of weak X-ray sources with a few faint optical objects within their contours. Cl J1259+2547 seems more extended than Cl J0242-0756.

3.7. DSS2 red and blue data for Cl J0254+0012, Cl J0302-1526 and Cl J0317-0259

These 3 candidates have no CCD imaging at all. We only used the quadratically summed DSS2 Red and Blue photographic plate data to overlay the ROSAT PSPC X-ray contours.

Cl J0254+0012 appears to be a collection of X-ray point sources. There is one galaxy at the edge of the field with an SDSS redshift (\(z = 0.35952\)) and the cluster of galaxies SDSS CE J043.601063+00.230312 has been detected at \(z = 0.32\) (estimated by the SDSS teams as indicated in NED) also at the edge of the field. We note that we used DSS2 data for Cl J0254+0012 and not SDSS data because this source is only located about 1 arcmin south of an SDSS covered area.

Cl J0302-1526 appears to be a collection of X-ray point sources with one apparent optical identification and is probably not a cluster of galaxies.

Cl J0317-0259 has an X-ray emission that is PSF dominated with one visible optical object within the X-ray contours. This source has also been detected in the ongoing XCS survey (Romer et al.: private communication and 2001) as an unextended source. This source is therefore possibly a real point source (given the better XMM angular resolution compared to the ROSAT PSPC data).

3.8. Additional candidates

We found by chance another cluster of galaxies in the Cl J1050+6317 field of view (Fig. 9). This structure is clearly visible in the optical but completely invisible in the ROSAT PSPC data, implying that it is under luminous in X-rays. We measured five redshifts with the MOSCA instrument at the Calar Alto 3.5 m telescope. All proved to be around \(z = 0.535\) and they were mainly characterized by absorption lines (only one clearly shows the [OII] emission line). Five redshifts are not enough to give a robust velocity dispersion, but the raw computation gives a value of 430 km s\(^{-1}\). This structure is probably a moderately massive cluster. The brightest galaxy has an \(r\) magnitude of 20.1, corresponding to an absolute magnitude of \(-22.3\) which is typical for the central galaxy of a relatively rich galaxy structure.

We also probably found another galaxy structure east of the Cl J1113+4042 ROSAT X-ray source. This X-ray structure has not been sampled with optical spectroscopy but clearly appears associated with a galaxy concentration of a dozen galaxies. The brightest galaxy of this X-ray source has an \(r\) magnitude of 19.3 (yielding a redshift in the \([0.15–0.6]\) redshift range, depending on whether it is a group or a rich cluster).

4. Discussion and conclusions

We have given an update on our extended SHARC survey. Due to the large number of sources and significant amount of observing time needed to obtain redshifts and new X-ray measurements of all the objects, we have presented this work as an intermediate report, so as to make the data available to the public. For simplicity we will assume in our discussion that all the galaxy concentrations we have found are rich clusters, but we acknowledge that some of these could be groups or concentrations on the line of sight of active galaxies and are not necessarily gravitationally bound systems.

There are several interesting aspects to this work: (a) optical cluster searches versus X-ray observations, (b) how we compare with the recent ChaMP results (Barkhouse et al. 2006, also a work in progress); (c) how this relates to future missions designed to find clusters and/or AGN; and (d) the QSO population we found.
Both Donahue et al. (2006) and Barkhouse et al. (2006) demonstrated that it is possible to find optical or near IR concentrations of galaxies that are probably clusters of galaxies, but that these can be weak X-ray emitters (see also Stanford et al. 2005). In our work, we have found the same, in that simply taking relatively deep (i.e. with exposure times ~90 min in the i′-band with 4-m class telescopes) 3′ × 3′ images can reveal clusters of galaxies in the $z = 0.5–0.7$ range (see e.g. the serendipitously discovered cluster in the field of CIJ1050+6317). Our i′ images were also large enough to encompass an area well outside the X-ray image location, and we uncovered faint X-ray clusters and point sources in this process.

As shown by Brodwin et al. (2006), by moving further into the IR even more distant clusters can be found and photometric redshifts can be estimated. These low X-ray luminosity clusters may pose a potential puzzle: if they are massive, then their baryon fraction must be small compared to low redshift clusters. If this is the case, why is the hot gas missing? Hence, a possible quandary arises for those who want to use either X-ray or S-Z surveys to determine cluster evolution and for those using clusters as cosmological probes. For if these clusters have indeed significant amounts of matter, then these X-ray and S-Z invisible clusters must be taken into account when comparing predictions of cluster evolution with cosmological models. Thus picking out a set of these under-luminous $z = 0.5–0.6$ clusters from currently available data bases and measuring their velocity dispersions and/or gravitational lensing signal to determine masses will be very important when using cluster surveys to determine cosmological model parameters. This proposed project would create a census of the X-ray under luminous clusters to determine how their numbers compare to those of X-ray luminous clusters.

Besides the optical and near IR observations, X-ray observations have been one of the standard methods used for finding clusters of galaxies. The examination of the field outside the pointing center of X-ray observations has also been used for a long time (e.g. Henry et al. 1992). There are too many references to review and compare with all the results of these works. We therefore confine ourselves to comparing our work with a recent survey by Barkhouse et al. (2006), who surveyed 13 deg$^2$ down to a flux limit of about 1.5 × 10$^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. They found ~2.5 X-ray cluster candidates per deg$^2$ with no optical counterpart. We estimate the areal coverage of our current survey to be ~15 deg$^2$ from Fig. A.12 of Adami et al. (2000) at the sensitivity level of about 2.3 × 10$^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Thus, we have found comparable numbers of X-ray cluster candidates compared to the Barkhouse et al. survey: 1.8 per deg$^2$. This value is based on a flux limit of 2.3 × 10$^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (compared to the 1.5 × 10$^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ of Barkhouse et al. 2006), and on all the confirmed clusters plus the candidates with a pending status in Table 1. Furthermore, in Fig. 10, it can also be seen that the redshift distribution of the clusters and cluster candidates of our work and of Barkhouse et al. are similar.

This naturally leads to the question for future surveys as to what is the best approach in terms of overall design for an X-ray telescope. For example, the proposed VADER mission concept (Fassbender et al. 2006) uses the flight spare XMM-Newton mirrors that would allow an expanded and curved detector array to cover an extended field of about 1 sq. degree. Although the XMM-Newton detectors cover a 1 deg$^2$ FOV, the vignetting caused by the outer mirror graze angle (inner mirrors have even smaller graze angles) of about 30 arcmin results in a region of about 20 × 20 arcmin$^2$ where the effective area is ≥50%. In contrast, a telescope that only works below about 2 keV such as ROSAT, but with an expanded area made possible by using thin (~0.5 mm) electro formed mirrors, for example, would be able to cover nearly 1 × 1 deg$^2$ with minimal vignetting and a focal length about 2–2.5 times shorter (important both for cost and for reducing the detector background for extended sources); with a design to optimize the off axis angular resolution, the survey would be approximately 10 times the coverage as the VADER mission concept impressive.

AGN/QSOs surveys would also benefit from an X-ray survey mission even if it is one that only works below about 2 keV. Although AGN/QSOs may be heavily absorbed (e.g. have spectra that decrease with decreasing energy due to photoelectric absorption in the rest frame at about 4 keV), QSOs (at least optically identified ones) show a peak in their redshift distribution at about $z = 2$ (e.g. Schneider et al. 2005). The 4 keV absorption will be moved down to 1.3 keV for these QSOs. Also, many of those at even lower redshifts should be easily found with a 0.5–2 keV survey.

In conclusion, a combination of deep i′ band images and X-ray images is a productive way to find more clusters. Some of the i′-band images (or a deep i′ survey) will likely produce X-ray faint (and under luminous) clusters that are not coincident with the X-ray image. The under luminous X-ray clusters could give us new understanding of both the formation of the Intra Cluster Medium (or the lack there of) and the number of massive systems which could be missed by using X-ray (or S-Z) surveys alone. Furthermore, future missions that are aimed at searching for both distant rich clusters and QSOs in X-rays should...
seriously consider a wide field of view (1 × 1 deg² or more) telescope design optimized for the ~0.5–2 keV range.
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Online Material
Appendix A

We present in this appendix the 36 optical images of our candidates overlayed with X-ray contours (ROSAT data except when quoted). We also give the known redshifts in the given optical area.
Fig. A.5. χ² image for Cl J0254+0012 built from the DSS red and blue images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7$ arcmin².

Fig. A.6. χ² image for Cl J0302-1526 built from the DSS red and blue images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7$ arcmin².

Fig. A.7. χ² image for Cl J0317-0259 built from the DSS red and blue images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7$ arcmin².

Fig. A.8. $R$ band OHP image for Cl J0413+1215 (completeness level: $R \sim 21.5$). The field is $4.2 \times 4.2$ arcmin².
Fig. A.9. $R$ band OHP image for Cl J0922+6217 (completeness level: $R \sim 20$). The field is $4.2 \times 4.2$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.10. $i'$ image for Cl J0937+6105 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 22.5$). The field is $1.8 \times 1.8$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.11. $i'$ image for Cl J1024+1935 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 23$). The field is $3 \times 3$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.12. $i'$ image for Cl J1024+1943 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 23.5$). The field is $3 \times 3$ arcmin$^2$. 
Fig. A.13. $i'$ image for Cl J1050+6317 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 23$). The field is $1.8 \times 1.8$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.14. $\chi^2$ image for Cl J1052+5655 built from the SDSS $u$, $g'$, $r'$, $i'$ and $z'$ images. The field is $5.9 \times 5.9$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.15. $i'$ image for Cl J1052+5400 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 24$). The field is $1.8 \times 1.8$ arcmin$^2$. The X-ray source is from ROSAT data but is not confirmed by the available XMM data.

Fig. A.16. $i'$ image for Cl J1102+2514 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 23$). The field is $1.8 \times 1.8$ arcmin$^2$. 
Fig. A.17. $\chi^2$ image for Cl J1103+2458 built from the SDSS $u$, $g'$, $r'$, $i'$ and $z'$ images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.18. $i'$ image for Cl J1113+4042 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 23.5$). The field is $3 \times 3$ arcmin$^2$. Overlayed X-ray contours are Chandra data.

Fig. A.19. $R$ image for Cl J1120+1254 observed at CFHT (completeness level: $R \sim 22$). The field is $1.8 \times 1.8$ arcmin$^2$. Overlayed X-ray contours are XMM data.

Fig. A.20. $\chi^2$ image for Cl J1121+4309 built from the SDSS $u$, $g'$, $r'$, $i'$ and $z'$ images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7$ arcmin$^2$. 
Fig. A.21. $i'$ image for Cl J1121+0338 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 23.5$). The field is $3 \times 3$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.22. $\chi^2$ image for Cl J1158+5541 built from the SDSS $u$, $g'$, $r'$, $i'$ and $z'$ images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7$ arcmin$^2$. Overlayed X-ray contours are XMM data.

Fig. A.23. $\chi^2$ image for Cl J1202+4439 built from the SDSS $u$, $g'$, $r'$, $i'$ and $z'$ images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7$ arcmin$^2$. Overlayed X-ray contours are XMM data.

Fig. A.24. $\chi^2$ image for Cl J1207+4429 built from the SDSS $u$, $g'$, $r'$, $i'$ and $z'$ images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7$ arcmin$^2$. 
Fig. A.25. $i'$ image for Cl J1213+3908 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 22.5$). The field is $3 \times 3$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.26. $\chi^2$ image for Cl J1213+3317 built from the SDSS $u$, $g'$, $r'$, $i'$ and $z'$ images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.27. $I$ image for Cl J1214+1254 observed at ESO (completeness level: $I \sim 21$). The field is $2\times 2$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.28. $i'$ image for Cl J1216+3318 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 23.5$). The field is $1.8 \times 1.8$ arcmin$^2$. 
Fig. A.29. $\chi^2$ image for Cl J1234+3755 built from the SDSS $u, g', r', i'$ and $z'$ images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7 \text{ arcmin}^2$.

Fig. A.30. $\chi^2$ image for Cl J1237+2800 built from the SDSS $u, g', r', i'$ and $z'$ images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7 \text{ arcmin}^2$.

Fig. A.31. $\chi^2$ image for Cl J1259+2547 built from the SDSS $u, g', r', i'$ and $z'$ images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7 \text{ arcmin}^2$.

Fig. A.32. $\chi^2$ image for Cl J1343+2716 built from the SDSS $u, g', r', i'$ and $z'$ images. The field is $3.7 \times 3.7 \text{ arcmin}^2$. 
Fig. A.33. $i'$ image for Cl J1350+6028 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 23$). The field is $3 \times 3$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.34. $i'$ image for Cl J1411+5933 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 23.5$). The field is $1.8 \times 1.8$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.35. $i'$ image for Cl J1514+4351 observed at ARC (completeness level: $i' \sim 22.5$). The field is $1.8 \times 1.8$ arcmin$^2$.

Fig. A.36. $r'$ image for Cl J1651+6107 observed at Gemini (completeness level: $r' \sim 24.5$). The field is $1.7 \times 1.1$ arcmin$^2$. Overlayed X-ray contours are XMM data. Circled objects are stars confirmed by spectroscopy or image analysis.