Collective modes of a helical liquid
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We study low energy collective modes and transport properties of the “helical metal” on the surface of a topological insulator. At low energies, electrical transport and spin dynamics at the surface are exactly related by an operator identity equating the electric current to the in-plane components of the spin degrees of freedom. From this relation it follows that an undamped spin wave always accompanies the sound mode in the helical metal – thus it is possible to “hear” the sound of spins. In the presence of long range Coulomb interactions, the surface plasmon mode is also coupled to the spin wave, giving rise to a hybridized “spin-plasmon” mode. We make quantitative predictions for the spin-plasmon in Bi$_2$Se$_3$, and discuss its detection in a spin-grating experiment.
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Introduction - Recently, topological insulators have been theoretically predicted and experimentally observed in both quasi-two dimensional (2D) and three dimensional (3D) systems\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\). The concept of a topological insulator can be defined within the non-interacting topological band theory\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\) or more generally within the topological field theory\(^10\), which is also valid for interacting systems. The simplest topological insulators such as Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and Bi$_2$Te$_3$ have a full bulk insulating gap and a surface state consisting of a single Dirac cone\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\). As is the case for the helical insulating gap and a surface state consisting of a single isotropic Dirac cone\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\), we study the consequences of the helical nature of a topological insulator in the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ family, which has a single isotropic Dirac cone that remains isotropic for low dopant concentrations.

Spin dynamics and electrical transport - Starting from a low energy effective Hamiltonian for the bulk of a 3D topological insulator, we consider a system governed by a single isotropic Dirac cone at energy scales much lower than the bulk insulating gap. We study the consequences of the helical nature of the metallic states: the coupling between spin and charge excitations, and collective modes of the helical liquid. Our theory here is directly applicable to the case of the Bi$_2$Se$_3$/Bi$_2$Te$_3$ family, which has a single isotropic Dirac cone that remains isotropic for low dopant concentrations.

\[
H = \int d^2x\psi_\dagger(x) \left[ \hbar v_f (\sigma \times \hat{z}) \cdot \nabla \right] \psi(x),
\]

where \(k\) is the Bloch vector in the 2D surface Brillouin zone and \(\sigma\) the Pauli matrices describing electron spin. Here, \(\mu\) is the value of the chemical potential relative to the surface Dirac point. This Hamiltonian adequately captures the dynamics of the surface for energies much smaller than the bulk gap \(\Delta\), and for length scales much larger than \(\hbar v_f / \Delta\), the penetration depth of the gapless surface states into the bulk. In this regime, there are several universal features that characterize the surface states. First there is the operator identity relating the charge current to the in-plane component of the spin on the surface:

\[
j(x) = \psi_\dagger(x) v_f (\sigma \times \hat{z}) \psi(x) = v_f S(x) \times \hat{z}
\]

Such a simple relation between charge current density and spin density is the key observation of this work, which is a unique property of the helical liquid, and leads to many intrinsic correspondences between spin and charge dynamics in the system. It should be noticed that the operator identity Eq. (2) remains valid even when interaction terms are added to the Hamiltonian. The only deviation from this identity comes from the change of Fermi surface shape due to rotational symmetry breaking, which has been observed in Bi$_2$Te$_3$ when the chemical potential is close to the bottom of the bulk conduction band\(^7\). In this regime, the Fermi velocity obtains an \(O(k/k_f)^2\) distortion\(^13\)\(^14\), and the operator identity above no longer rigorously holds. However, there is still a one-to-one correspondence between the velocity and spin of the surface electrons, so that many conclusions we will discuss in the following will still hold qualitatively in this regime.

In what follows, we will assume a perfectly circular Fermi surface in the continuum limit. From the above identity \(2\), we derive the important dynamical identity between correlation functions

\[
v^2 \epsilon_{ik} \epsilon_{jl} \langle T_\tau s_i(\tau) s_j(0) \rangle = \langle T_\tau j_k(\tau) j_l(0) \rangle
\]

which relates the electric transport to the dynamical spin structure factor in the linear response regime. The electric response of the helical metal can thus be calculated.
from the generalized spin and density susceptibility
\[ \chi_{\nu \mu}(\mathbf{q}, i\Omega_n) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n} \text{Tr}[\sigma_{\mu} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}, i\omega_n + i\Omega_n) \sigma_{\nu} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)], \]
where \( \sigma_\mu = (1, \sigma) \), and we have introduced the Matsubara Green function \( \mathcal{G} \). In particular, the optical conductivity \( \sigma_{\nu \mu}(\omega) \) is simply related to dynamical spin susceptibility:
\[ \sigma_{\nu \mu}(\omega) = \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \nu} \chi_{\nu \mu}(\mathbf{q}, \omega). \]

We note that in order to obtain this form, we need to regularize the susceptibility tensor, taking the \( \mu = 0 \) ground state as the vacuum \[16, 17\].

The bare susceptibilities can be evaluated explicitly for arbitrary \( \omega \) and \( q \) using the non-interacting Green function
\[ \mathcal{G}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = [i\omega_n - \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k})]^{-1} = \sum_{s=\pm 1} \mathcal{P}_s \mathcal{G}_s^{(0)}(i\omega_n, \mathbf{k}), \]
\[ \mathcal{P}_s = \frac{1}{2} [1 + s(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \cdot \sigma], \]
\[ \mathcal{G}_s^{(0)} = [i\omega_n - s v_f k + \mu]^{-1} \]

As shown in Fig. 1, we find that particle-hole excitations are found everywhere except for \( v_f q < \omega < 2\mu - v_f q \). In this region, the imaginary components of all the susceptibilities vanish and collective excitations are undamped.

We note that the longitudinal current is simply the transverse spin degree of freedom.

The structure of the density and spin response bears a remarkable resemblance to source-free Maxwell electrodynamics in 2 + 1 dimensions, as first pointed out in Ref. [13]. The analogy is made precise when we identify the density with the “magnetic field” perpendicular to the surface, and the transverse spin components with the “electric field” in the plane of the surface. Eq. (5) can be written as \( \partial_\nu n_\mathbf{q} = -i q \sigma_\mathbf{q}^T \) in the real space. The equation of continuity that connects the density to the transverse spin is thus precisely the Faraday law for this system. Moreover, Gauss’ law for the electric field \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}^T = 0 \) is satisfied by construction, since the spins are transverse to the in-plane momentum. A similar identification has been made for a system with Rashba spin-orbit coupling [13]; however, the analogy to electrodynamics is less precise in Rashba systems than in the case of the helical metal due to the presence of the quadratic dispersion in the Rashba Hamiltonian.

Applying the Ward identity to the response functions, we find that the density and transverse spin subset of the susceptibility tensor in the basis \((n, s^T)\) has the form
\[ \chi = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & x \\ -x & -x^2 \end{array} \right) \chi_{nn}, \quad x = \frac{\omega}{v_f q} \]

**Collective modes** - In the long-wavelength, low frequency limit, \( q \ll k_f, \omega \ll \mu \), the non-interacting density susceptibility to leading order is
\[ \chi_{nn} = \frac{\mu}{2\pi \hbar^2 v_f^2} \left[ \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} - 1 \right], \quad x = \frac{\omega + i\delta}{v_f q} \]

with corrections \( O(q/k_f)^2 \). Thus, for \( \omega > v_f q \), the imaginary part of the density and spin susceptibilities vanish and undamped collective modes exist. To determine the collective mode spectrum in this regime, we treat the susceptibility tensor within the random phase approximation (RPA).
In the case of the long-ranged Coulomb interaction, $U(q)$, the RPA correction to the susceptibilities in Eq. (6) is given by

$$\tilde{\chi}^{RPA}(q, \omega + i\delta) = \tilde{\chi}
\left[1 - \frac{U(q)}{2} \left(\hat{1} + \hat{\tau}^z\right) \tilde{\chi}\right]^{-1} \quad (9)$$

where $\tilde{\chi}$ is the density and transverse spin susceptibility in Eq. (6) and $\hat{\tau}^z$ is a Pauli matrix in the same basis. Although the susceptibility is a $2 \times 2$ matrix, the result of the RPA correction turns out to be the same as an ordinary 2D Fermi liquid:

$$\tilde{\chi}^{RPA} = \left(\frac{1}{-x - x^2}\right) \frac{\chi_{nn}}{1 - U\chi_{nn}} \quad x = \frac{\omega}{v_f q} \quad (10)$$

Collective mode excitation spectra are obtained via the poles of the matrix of RPA susceptibilities. For the Coulomb interaction $U(q) = 2\pi \hbar \sigma_v f / q$, where $\alpha = e^2/\epsilon_d \epsilon_0 \sigma_v f$ ($\epsilon_d$ being the dielectric constant of the topological insulator) is the fine structure constant for the helical metal. Therefore the plasmon dispersion satisfies

$$\frac{\hbar v_f q}{\alpha \mu} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} - 1 \quad (11)$$

which for small $q$ establishes that plasmon modes are gapless and propagate with a dispersion

$$\omega = \frac{\mu}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha \mu}{2 k_f}} \quad (12)$$

similar to an ordinary Fermi liquid in 2D. Note that in order for the plasmon to propagate, the solution to Eq. (11) needs to stay in the unshaded region of Fig. 1, which means that for frequency above $\omega_c = (\alpha / 2)(\mu / \hbar)$, the plasmon will merge into the particle-hole continuum. We expect $\hbar \omega_c \sim 2.2 \text{meV}$ for the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ family; this comes from $\epsilon_d \sim 100$ \cite{15} and $v_f \approx 5.0 \times 10^5 \text{m/s}$ with $\mu$ typically around 100meV \cite{15,18}.

In sharp contrast to the conventional Fermi liquid, the surface plasmon of the helical liquid always carries spin, and could be appropriately called the spin-plasmon. This is a consequence of the equation of continuity for the density and the operator identity, Eq. (2): $\partial_t n_q = -iq^2 \tilde{\chi}$.

Thus, a fluctuation in the density will be accompanied by a transverse spin fluctuation due to the “Faraday law” that couples them. In Fig. 2, the nature of the spin-plasmon is shown pictorially at a fixed $\omega$ and $q$. A density oscillation induces a transverse spin wave in perfect analogy with Maxwell electrodynamics in 2 + 1 dimensions. At the peaks and troughs of the density wave, the transverse spin components are zero, whereas regions where the density variations vanish are accompanied by a maximum spin polarization. The locking of the spin and charge degrees of freedom in the spin-plasmon collective mode is unique to the helical liquid and marks a striking difference relative to the ordinary Fermi liquid.

For short-ranged Hubbard-like interactions, the RPA susceptibilities are

$$\tilde{\chi}^{RPA}(q, \omega + i\delta) = \tilde{\chi}
\left[1 - U \hat{\tau}^z \tilde{\chi}\right]^{-1} \quad (13)$$

The reason for this difference in the RPA susceptibilities is that short ranged Hubbard-like interactions can be decomposed in both the density and spin channels, both of which contribute to RPA diagrams, whereas the Coulomb interaction can only be decomposed in the charge channel in the RPA approximation. In both cases, the RPA susceptibilities satisfy the Ward identity.

![FIG. 2: The spin-plasmon collective mode: a density fluctuation (green surface) induces a transverse spin fluctuation (red arrows) or vice-versa. To detect the spin-plasmon, a spin-polarized non-collinear incident beams (relative angle of $2\theta$) induce a spin polarization wave. The transverse component of the photon helicity is $P \cos \theta \cos \varphi$ where $P$ is the total photon helicity amplitude and $\varphi$ is the beam plane makes with the surface. The induced plasmon charge oscillation can be detected by conventional means.](image)

The zero sound spectra for short range interaction can be determined by the pole of Eq. (13), which is given by

$$\frac{2\pi \hbar^2 v_f^2}{U \mu} = \left(x^2 + 1\right) \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} - 1\right) \quad (14)$$

In this case, there is an essential difference from the ordinary fermi liquid. The right hand side of Eq. (14) takes its value in the range $[1, \infty)$, so that the equation has a solution only if $4\pi \hbar^2 v_f^2 / U \mu > 1$, or $U < U_c = 4\pi \hbar^2 v_f^2 / \mu$. The sound wave dispersion for the two limiting cases $U \ll U_c$ and $U \lesssim U_c$ are

$$\omega = \begin{cases} v_f q \left(1 + \frac{U U_c}{U_c^2}\right), & U / U_c \to 0 \\ v_f q \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{U}{U_c - U}}, & U / U_c \to 1 \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Physically, the disappearance of the sound mode for strong interaction $U > U_c$ can be understood as a consequence of the attractive interaction in the spin channel:
note that the interaction vertex in Eq. (13) for the density correlation $\chi_{nn}$ has the opposite sign of the vertex for the transverse spin correlation $\chi_T T_T$. In an ordinary Fermi liquid with repulsive short range interaction, the same argument leads to the damping of the spin wave, but does not affect the zero sound since the spin and charge response are decoupled (or only weakly coupled in systems with weak to moderate spin-orbit coupling). By contrast, in the helical spin liquid, the spin and charge responses are intrinsically coupled due to the operator identity Eq. (2), so that the sound wave can be damped even for a repulsive interaction.

**Spin grating measurements** - Our basic method of detecting the spin-plasmon mode is to excite the spin degree of freedom and to detect the propagating density wave coupled to the spin polarization wave. In other words, we generate transverse spin polarization and detect the induced density wave, which we can measure through spatial modulation of reflectivity.

For generating the transverse spin wave, we propose a method similar to the transient spin grating (TSG) used in Refs. [20] and [21]. For our version of TSG, shown in Fig. 2 we need to have two non-collinear femtosecond laser beam pulses incident on the topological insulator surface, with $\hat{z}$ set as the surface normal; the wave vector directions of these two beams are $(\pm \sin \theta, - \cos \theta \cos \varphi, - \cos \theta \sin \varphi)$. By linearly polarizing the two beams in orthogonal directions, we can generate by interference alternating photon helicity in the direction $(\sin \theta, - \cos \theta \cos \varphi, - \cos \theta \sin \varphi)$ with the grating vector $\mathbf{q}$ along $\hat{x}$; the $q$ can be varied by changing the relative phase between the two interfering beams. For the persistent spin helix experiment, Ti:sapphire lasers (wavelength 650~1100 nm) were used to obtain $q = 0.34 - 2.5 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-1}$ [21]; this $q$ value would suit our purpose (a typical $k$ with $\mu$ lying in the bulk gap can be taken as $60 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-1}$ for Bi$_2$Se$_3$ or Bi$_2$Te$_3$ [21]). So long as we keep the two beams' polarization orthogonal and intensity equal, this photon helicity wave does not directly generate any density wave. However, the spin polarization wave it generates will have a nonzero $s^T \propto \cos \theta \cos \varphi$ for $\varphi \neq \pi/2$. After the laser pulses are applied, the transverse spin components $s^T$ propagate through the spin-plasmon collective mode. On the other hand, other spin components are Landau damped. Note that intensity $I$ of the induced density-spin wave has $\varphi$ dependence, which vanishes for $\varphi = \pi/2$ since in that case $s^T = 0$. Observation of such dependence would be a strong evidence confirming our helical liquid theory.

In conclusion we presented a general theory of the collective modes of the helical liquid. We derived a general relation between the charge current and the spin which is valid for arbitrarily interacting systems. We show that the the spin-plasmon mode propagates on the surface of a topological insulator, and propose a experimental setting to detect this mode. The spin-plasmon mode unifies spintronics and plasmonics, two frontier branches of current research, and can be used for spin transport in spintronics devices.
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