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Abstract: Wildfire is one of the major destructive hazards which have significant effect on environment, society, and economy. However, limited studies have been carried out on spatial and temporal distribution of wildfire, especially in developing countries like Nepal. The objective of this study was to assess wildfire risk zonation of Sudurpaschim province of Nepal by applying Remote Sensing and GIS. Sudurpaschim province has been divided into four fire risk zones i.e., high, moderate, low and no risk zone. In Sudurpaschim province, about 30.84% area falls under high fire risk zone followed by moderate risk (58.30%), low risk (10.13%) and no risk (0.72%). Among five physiographic regions, Siwalik region is more susceptible to fire due to various factors, such as deciduous forest, topography, terrain, etc. From 2012 to 2019, about 44,342 fire incidences were reported in this province. Approximately 88% wildfire was recorded in spring, the season being dry. Overall, geographically Siwalik region and temporally spring season should be in high priority for developing and implementing wildfire management activities in Sudurpaschim province.
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**Introduction**

Globally, wildfire or forest fire is considered as a hazard for many terrestrial ecosystems resulting in biological and economic losses (Butry et al. 2001), human and wildlife casualties, environmental damages, health hazards including increase in significant amount of Green House Gasses (GHGs) emission accelerating climate change. It plays a vital role in land degradation which causes deforestation and desertification (Hernandez et al. 2006). Wildfire can occur due to natural as well as human activities. It is considered as an important force which damages natural resources. However, its initiation and spread depends upon various factors, i.e., topography, climatic factors, land surface features, and season (Dale et al. 2001).

Annually around 0.4% of the global land surface is apparently burned which covers 30-46 million km² (Randerson et al. 2012). Furthermore, over 80% of the global area burned is grassland and savannas mostly in Africa and Australia including South Asia and South America, while the remaining 20% wildfire was documented in forest and shrub-dominated regions (Flannigan et al. 2009). Especially, tropical countries are at high risk of wildfire in dry and hot seasons. According to Global Forest Resources, annually around 19.8 million ha of forests are affected by fire in 118 countries across the world (FAO 2010). Annually 3.73 million ha of forests are affected in India (Satendra and Kaushik 2014). Amazon rainforest fire and California wildfire burned down more than 7 million ha in 2019 and about 404,680 ha in 2018, respectively (Yeung 2020). In the six states of Australia, more than 7.3 million ha of land have already been burned till January, 2020 (Yeung 2020). Directly, 28 people including many volunteer fire fighters (Newey 2020) and almost half billion (480 million) animals have been killed by wildfire in Australia (BBC 2020). According to European Union’s Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service, Australia’s wildfires have released 400 megatons of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere (Resnick et al. 2020).

Wildfire incidence is increasing globally and Nepal is no exception. Wildfire is very common and the biggest threat to forest covers in Nepal. Wildfire in Nepal mostly occurs due to human activities. Farmers use fire for clearing agricultural land and grazers use fire in pastureland to stimulate sprouting of new nutritious grass (NFFMC 2011). Besides, changes in temperature and precipitation are the reliable evidences of increasing fire occurrences in the country (Negi et al. 2012). Wildfire events are very frequent especially during dry season every year that has significant impact on natural vegetation in Nepal (Parajuli et al. 2015). MODIS sensor recorded 29,844 wildfire occurrences in Nepal from 2003 to 2013 in which 12,269 incidences occurred in forest, grassland, protected area and shrubland (Matin et al. 2017). In 2016, wildfire incidence was remarkably higher (Jenner 2017) resulting loss of about 268,618 ha of forest cover across the country during the months of January-May (Mandal 2019). The same year, the highest density of forest fire was 0.16 with 6.4 hectares burnt area per km² in the Terai region of Nepal (Bhujel et al. 2017). The monetary term of loss of forest is US$ 107,798 (Bhujel et al. 2018). One of the worst
wildfire caused casualties of 49 people including 13 Nepal Army personnel in Ramechhap district of Nepal in 2009 (Mandal 2019).

Based on historical fire events, Nepal is very vulnerable to wildfire especially in summer season. Previous study shows that 58% forest fire occurs due to deliberate setting by grazers, poachers, hunters and non-timber forest product (NTFP) collectors; 22% due to negligence and 20% by accident in Nepal. More than 80% fire occurs during spring season, i.e., March and April, while 60% happens in the month of April only (Mathema 2016). Wildfire assessments proceeding to the disaster events can be the effective mitigation measures for diminishing the potential damages and loss by wildfire (Ghimire et al. 2014). Moreover, wildfire risk mapping considering multiple spatial properties is very critical for prevention of fire, mitigation of negative impacts, and land management (Haas et al. 2013). Understanding the fire risk zonation prediction and its documentation will provide trustworthy guidance to concerned authorities for implementation of effective plan which can minimize disaster to some extent (Chuvieco and Congalton 1989; Verma et al. 2013; Parajuli et al. 2019). However, the institutional capacity to combat the wildfires is very weak in want of systematic and comprehensive record of occurrence and impact of wildfire in Nepal (GoN/MFSC 2002). Assessment of spatial and temporal distribution of wildfire is the first stage for developing the effective wildfire management mechanism in the country. Wildfire risk hazard mapping will delineate the fire prone zone which can guide authorities for applying effective wildfire prevention and suppression tools (Jaafari et al. 2017) in the country.

Globally, Remote Sensing and GIS is the quickest, cheapest, and reliable tools for risk mapping and management of wildfire (Chuvieco and Congalton 1989; Singh and Ajaya 2013). Previous studies suggest that predicted fire potential zones can relate with past fire incidents; thus, fire risk zonation map can be beneficial for future land-use planning (Chhetri and Kayastha 2015). However, limited studies have been carried out on spatial and temporal distribution of wildfire in Nepal. In this regard, Sudurpaschim province is considered as drier region and covers 56.9% forest area in Nepal (DFRS 2015), thus highly vulnerable to wildfire. Hence, the objective of this study was to assess the wildfire risk zonation of Sudurpaschim province of Nepal by applying Remote Sensing and GIS.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

The geographical location of Sudurpaschim province of Nepal is 28.39°N to 30.24°N and 80.06°S to 81.81°S (Figure 1). It covers an area of 19789.4 km². This province has 56.9% forest of total area having tropical to top Nival climate region. Sal forest is dominant in Terai and Siwalik region while coniferous forest in upper altitude, including alpine scrub. Out of total area of this province, Mid-hills region covers 33.5% followed by High Mountain (23.7%), Terai (16.19%), High Himal (15.1%), and Siwalik 10.6%. The province has diverse Land Use Land Cover (LULC) from Terai to
high mountain, i.e. forest, agriculture, lake, river, built up area, grassland, shrubland and bareland. In Terai region, agriculture land covers 50.13% area and broadleaved closed forest (33.26%). Other areas are covered by Bareland, Lake, River, Built up and Shrubland. The northern adjacent region of Terai, i.e. Chure, has more Broadleaved forest cover (55.24%) followed by Broadleaved open forest (24.44%). Other areas are covered by Agriculture, Bareland, river, Built up area, Grassland and Shrubland. Similar to Terai region, the dominant LULC is agriculture land (40.96%) and Broadleaved open forest (22.56%) in Mid-hill region. Rest of the areas are covered by Needleleaved closed forest, Broadleaved closed forest, Needleleaved open forest, Shrubland, Grassland, River, Bareland and Built up area. High mountain region has more Needleleaved close forest (36.68%) followed by agriculture land (26.95%), Needleleaved open forest (14.23%), Broadleaved open forest (4.71%), Broadleaved close forest (1.76%), Grassland, Shrubland, River and Snow/glacier. In High Himal region; the dominant LULC are Grassland (39.20%), Bareland (25.76%), and Snow/glacier (25.03%). The remaining areas are covered by Needleleaved close forest, Needleleaved open forest, Grassland, Shrubland, Agriculture land, Lake and River.

**Figure 1: Study area location map**

**Data Used**

Multiple data from 2012 to 2019 were used for mapping forest risk assessment. LULC is major component for fire risk zonation as is considered as most influential factor for wildfire (Ajin et al. 2016a). So LULC map of Department of Survey, 2010 was
used for this study. Road passing through forest may become risk to wildfire as anthropogenic activities like throwing un-extinguished cigarette butts onto the dry litter, heating bitumen/asphalt for road surfacing, etc., can cause unpredicted fires (Ajin et al. 2016a). Road Map of Survey Department was used for road buffering. Settlement is considered as other important factor for inducing wild fire as neighboring human activities may intentionally or unintentionally set fire to forest (Ajin et al. 2016a). Topography map was used for main settlement location points. Aspect, slope and elevation components are topographic features which play a significant role in fire risk zone analysis (Ajin et al. 2016b; Pandey and Ghosh 2018). SRTM DEM of 30 m resolution was downloaded from USGS Earth explorer for aspect, slope and elevation data. Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) having 375 m spatial resolution active fire product (2012 to 2019) was downloaded as shape file format for fire sensitivity analysis for each thematic layers.

Data Analysis

Reclassification and Sensitivity Analysis

Obtained data from 2012 to 2019 was reclassified on the basis of previous research for all required thematic layers. Then sensitivity of each class of thematic layer was determined based on previous research work by Pandey and Ghosh (2018) and Ajin et al. (2016b) (Table 1) with some modification such as densities of past fire incidents for each class had been considered and weight to each thematic class had been given for weight overlay analysis. For zonation process, different thematic layers were classified by giving weight (Table 1) on the basis of fire potentially. The fire risk zone was divided into four classes such as high, moderate, low and no risk area based on vulnerability to fire.

Table 1: Different potential fire risk parameter details

| S N | Parameters     | Weight | Class              | Fire sensitivity |
|-----|----------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|
| 1   | LULC           | 60     | Broadleaved forest | High             |
|     |                |        | built up           | Low              |
|     |                |        | Grass land         | Moderate         |
|     |                |        | Conifer forest     | High             |
|     |                |        | Shrub              | Moderate         |
|     |                |        | Water              | No Risk          |
|     |                |        | Bare land          | Low              |
|     |                |        | Agriculture        | Moderate         |
| 2   | Slope (degree) | 15     | 0-10               | Low              |
|     |                |        | 10-20              | Low              |
|     |                |        | 20-30              | Moderate         |
|     |                |        | 30-40              | Moderate         |
|     |                |        | 40-50              | High             |
Remote sensing and GIS technology is applied for analyzing data. Overall, following work flow was adopted for data analysis (Figure 2).
Results and Discussion

Results

Thematic Maps

All the thematic maps, i.e. LULC (Figure 3A), slope map (Figure 3B), aspect map (Figure 4A), road network buffer map (Figure 4B), settlement buffer map (Fig 5A) and elevation map (Figure 5B) were prepared on the basis of Table 1. Agriculture land is about 29.89 % followed by Broad-leaved closed forest (27.88 %), needle-leaved closed forest (19.52%), grassland (11.48%), bare area (6.34 %), river (4.71 %) and built-up area (0.19%) in Sudurpaschim Province (Figure 3A).

This province is divided in to seven slope categories, i.e., 0-10, 10-20, 20-30, 30-40, 40-50, and >60 (Figure 3B). The slope category 20-30 degree has covered the highest area, i.e. 29.37% followed by 0-10 degree class (24.53%), 10-20 class (21.55%), 30-40 class(19.40%), 40-50 class (4.66%), 50-60 class (0.32%) and more than 60 class (0.003%). The province covers all aspects almost with equal area, i.e. southwest (14.17%) and south (14.16%) are aspects with more geographical area coverage followed by west (13.84%), southeast (12.26%), northwest (11.14%), north (11.43%), east (11.17%), northeast (10.64%) and flat land (1.14%) (Figure 4A). Altogether, more than 40% areas from south, southeast and southwest aspect are highly vulnerable to wildfire.
The road network of this province has been buffered into five categories, i.e., 100 m, 200 m, 300 m, 400 m and 500 m (Figure 4B). About 6%, 5%, 5.48%, and 4.56% of total area falls under fire risk zone from the area nearby road of first category (100 m), second category (200 m), third category (300 m) and fourth category (400 m) respectively. Remaining area falls under no risk of fire in terms of road network. Moreover, the settlement of this province has been buffered into three categories, i.e., first category (1000 m), second category (2000 m), third category (3000 m) and fourth category (4000 m) (Figure 5A). The first buffer category (100 m), second buffer category (200 m), third buffer category (3000 m), and fourth buffer category (4000 m) cover 6%, 15.85%, 17.23%, 13.19% area respectively and fall under fire risk zone from the settlement. Remaining areas fall under no fire risk zone in terms of settlement. Furthermore, the province has been categorized into five elevation classes, i.e., 0-500 m, 500-1000 m, 1000-3000 m, 3000-5000 m and >5000 m (Figure 5B). Among five elevation classes, 1000-3000 m elevation covers the largest area, i.e., 47.77% followed by followed by 0-500 m (19.75%), 3000-5000 m (17.45%), 500-1000 m (11.54%) and >5000 m (3.46%).

![Figure 3: Land use land cover and slope thematic map](image)
Figure 4: Aspect and road network thematic map

Figure 54: Settlement and elevation thematic map
Wildfire Risk Layer Zonation of Sudurpaschim Province

Wildfire risk zonation map was prepared for Sudurpaschim province, Nepal (Figure 6). About 30.85% of total area of this province falls under high risk zone followed by 58.38% under moderate, 10.13% under low risk and 0.72% under no risk zone. The high fire risk area is spatially distributed in Siwalik region of the province (Figure 6). Furthermore, Terai region, i.e., Kailali and Kanchanpur districts are also fall under high and moderate wildfire risk zone. Suklapahanta National Park of Kanchanpur district (southwest of province) is also under high fire risk zone (Figure 6).

![Wildfire risk zonation map of Sudurpaschim province](image)

**Figure 6: Fire risk zonation map of Sudurpaschim province**

**Validation for Fire Risk Zonation**

The obtained risk zonation maps were validated by overlaying the past fire occurrence location data and risk layers. Results show that higher numbers of fire incidents occurred with high risk class followed by moderate, low and no risk class. High risk class has larger numbers of fire per square kilometers (4.05) as compared to other classes, i.e., moderate (1.65), low (0.36), and no risk (0.51) (Table 2).

**Table 2: Validation Table**

| Fire Risk   | Fire Incidents | Area         | Density (No. per sq km) |
|-------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| High        | 24638          | 6081.25      | 4.05                    |
| Moderate    | 18918          | 11492.3      | 1.65                    |
| Low         | 713            | 1995.84      | 0.36                    |
| No Risk     | 73             | 142.59       | 0.51                    |
**Wildfire Risk Zonation Based on Physiographic Region**

The spatial distribution of wildfire risk zone was extracted for each physiographic region, i.e., Terai, Siwalik, Mid-hills, High Mountain and High Himal of Sudurpaschim province (Figure 7). Among five physiographic regions, Siwalik region falls under high fire risk zone (54.9%) followed by Mid-hills (40.08%), Terai (29.22%), High Mountain (27.18%) and High Himal (1.48%) (Figure 7). Only some areas of High Himal and High Mountain, i.e., 4.50% and 0.02 % respectively, falls under no fire risk zone.

![Wildfire risk zone in physiographic region](image)

**Figure 7: Fire risk area percent in various physiographic regions**

**Fire Occurrence in Sudurpaschim Province**

Sudurpaschim province had total 44,342 fire incidences between the year 2012 and 2019 (Fig.8). Siwalik region has the highest fire incident density (53.01%) followed by Terai (21.33%), Mid-hills (20.28%), High Mountain (4.20%) and High Himal (1.18%).
Seasonality of Fires

Seasonal fire occurrence was analyzed from 2012 to 2019 in Sudurpaschim province (Fig. 9). Approximately 88% wildfire recorded in spring season (March-May). Similarly, winter (December-February) was recorded as the second highest, i.e., 5.71% wildfire incidence season. The lowest fire incidence occurred in autumn season (September-November).
Discussion

Wildfire is increasing across the world (Bhujel et al. 2017) and various factors, i.e., forest resources, topography, weather conditions are responsible for it (Parisien and Moritz 2009). In Asia, variation in climatic variables, carelessness and unsustainable management of the forests are the main reason behind fire incidence (Streets et al. 2003). In the last decade, there were 138 days of wildfire (Westerling 2016). During 2016, wildfire hazard increased due to high-temperature conditions at the surface in Canada (Petoukhov et al. 2018). About 6,954 wildfires incidents that burned 669,534 acres across the entire state of California were reported (Pimlott 2016). In 2016, around 33664 forest fires were detected in India which covered 52.4 fires per 1000 km² (MEFC/India 2017). Furthermore, the study done by Kunwar and Kachhawaha (2003) shows that about 2-3 % of the forest area is affected annually by wildfire and on an average over 34,000 ha forest areas are burnt every year in India. In the United States, 3,390 civilian deaths and14,660 fire injuries occurred including damaged of $10.4 billion worth property in 2016 (Hylton 2017). Catry et al. (2010) reported that about 127,490 ignitions occurred in Portugal during a five year period. FAO results showed that annually about 4830 ha of forest and rangeland have been destroyed from 2003 to 2007 in Iran (Mohammadi 2009). In India, the area affected by fire is 20 % and forest area has also lost annually (Schaphoff et al. 2016).

Approximately 0.22 million ha of forests, which cover 3.4 % of the whole forest area of Nepal were burnt, destroying 2500 ft³ of highly valuable timber and 12500 ft³ fuel-wood in 2016(Bhujel et al. 2018). The loss of timer and fuel wood was equivalent to NRs. 11,750,000 (US$ 107,798) (Bhujel et al. 2018). Between 2000 and 2013, forest fire caused the largest annual burnt area in the year 2005, 2009 and 2012 with 30,220 hotspots in Nepal (Parajuli et al. 2015). Bhujel et al. (2017) reported that wildfire incidents were 35,374 and the burnt area was 17, 23, and 920 ha from 2000 to 2016 in Nepal. This study reported 44,342 fire incidents between the year 2012 and 2019 in Sudurpaschim province (Figure 8). In western region, Kailali and Kanchanpur districts are severely affected by fire (Matin et al. 2017). This suggests that Sudurpaschim province is highly vulnerable to wildfire. This result is consistent with findings of Parajuli et al. (2015), which indicated that western region falls under high fire risk zone due to low precipitation. Overall, the frequency of forest fire is increasing, significantly harming natural environment and human life in Nepal (Parajuli et al. 2015).

The most significant parameters for the wildfire are land use/land cover types, proximity to roads, aspect, slope and elevation (Sharma et al. 2015). Generally, south aspect receives more sun light making soil dry, which accelerates ignition (Sharma et al. 2015). In Sudurpaschim province, about 14% of area falls under south and southwest aspect (Figure 4A, which implies that these aspects pose greater fire incidence (Pyne et al. 1996). About 30 % area falls under 20-30 degree slope implying that this category of slope is very prone to fire in Sudurpaschim province (Figure 3B). Locations near road networks are very vulnerable to fire incidence than the places at
distance due to human interference, i.e. transporting goods, smoking, leakage of oil from tankers, etc. which might result in fire (Pandey and Ghosh 2018; Sharma et al. 2015). About 6% area falls under fire risk zone due to nearby road (100 m) in Sudurpaschim province (Figure 4B). Similarly, wild area near settlement is more prone to fire due to anthropogenic activities that can cause accidental fire. In Sudurpaschim province, more settlements are near the forest and within forest, and they increase risk of wildfire (Figure 5A).

Among five physiographic regions, Siwalik region is the most sensitive to fire risk among all other regions (Figure 7 and 8) (Parajuli et al. 2015; Matin et al. 2017; Bhujel et al. 2018) due to various factors, i.e., Sal forest (Verma et al. 2013), sloppy terrain, elevation gradient, etc. that increase the chance of fire ignition (Chuvieco and Salas 1996). Siwalik and Terai regions have more deciduous Sal forests in this province (Figure 3A). A large amount of dry biomass accumulated under deciduous Sal forest (Nhongo et al. 2020) significantly accelerates wildfire. Thus, Siwalik and Terai regions are under high wildfire risk zone (Sharma et al. 2015). Similarly, National Biodiversity Strategy Action Plan (2014-2016) has also emphasized that these regions get higher priority for fire management activity (GoN/MFSC, 2014).

Previous studies have shown that most fire-hit time is February, March and April in South and Southwest forest areas in China (Shu et al. 2001; Thakur and Singh 2014). In India, high wildfires have been reported during March and April due to long dry seasons and droughts (Giriraj et al., 2010). Matin et al. (2017) have shown 89% wildfires occurrence during pre-monsoon season (March-May) in Nepal. Annually, more than 40,000 ha of forests burn down during March-June in Nepal, resulting in destruction and degradation of forest and biodiversity (Bajracharya 2002). Seasonal analysis of fire incidents shows that spring season (March-May) accounted for around 90% of fire incidents from 2012 to 2019 in Sudurpaschim province (Figure 9). This result is consistent with the findings of Parajuli et al. (2015) and Bhujel et al. (2017), which show that hot and dry season is conducive to wildfire in the country. Due to short duration and late beginning of monsoon, far-western region suffers more from wildfire than eastern region of Nepal (Kansakar et al. 2004; Parajuli et al. 2015). Burning has significant impact on vegetation and environment due to low moisture content in flammable materials in dry season than in winter season (Bucini and Lambin 2002; Van Wilgen et al 2004). This implies that significant precautions have to be taken during spring season regarding wildfire management in Sudurpaschim province.

Wildfire is taken as one of the destructive hazards which destroy natural resources in short span of time (Sharma et al. 2015). Adequate logistics, infrastructures, financial resources, detailed knowledge on wildfire risk zone including parameters responsible for fire are required for prevention and control management of wildfire (Sharma et al. 2015). Western countries such as Australia, USA and Canada are using wildfire hazard maps for effective preparedness activities to combat wildfires; however such practices are lacking in Nepal (Ghimire et al. 2014). In this regard,
preparation and distribution of wildfire risk zonation maps can be very effective for the disaster preparedness activities in Nepal (Sharma 2006; Sharma et al. 2015). Thus, this study is an initiative for identifying wildfire high risk zone in Sudurpaschim province which can be helpful for local and federal government to prepare effective wildfire prevention and control mechanism in the province and country.

Conclusion
Wildfire is influenced by various factors such as land cover, slope, aspect, settlement, road networking and elevation etc. About 30.84% (6081.25 km\(^2\)) area of Sudurpaschim province falls under high fire risk zone and followed by moderate risk zone (58.30%), low risk zone (10.13%) and no risk zone (0.72%). Among five physiographic regions, Siwalik region is most vulnerable to fire. About 44,342 fire incidents are reported in Sudurpaschim province from 2012 to 2019. Approximately 88% wildfire in the province was recorded in spring season (March-May) and so it is considered as fire risk season. Thus, findings of this study will be beneficial for authorities and local/federal government for implementing effective wildfire management program in the province. Overall, preparation of wildfire risk zonation map is the first stage for developing wildfire prevention and control mechanism. However, developing country like Nepal has limited infrastructure and financial resources for management of fire hazard. Altogether, geographically Siwalik region and temporally spring season should be in high priority for developing and implementing wildfire management activities in Sudurpaschim province.
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