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The Koopman linearization of measure-preserving systems or topological dynamical systems on compact spaces has proven to be extremely useful. In this article, we look at dynamics given by continuous semiflows on completely regular spaces, which arise naturally from solutions of PDEs. We introduce Koopman semigroups for these semiflows on spaces of bounded continuous functions. As a first step we study their continuity properties as well as their infinitesimal generators. We then characterize them algebraically (via derivations) and lattice theoretically (via Kato’s equality). Finally, we demonstrate—using the example of attractors—how this Koopman approach can be used to examine properties of dynamical systems.

This article is part of the theme issue ‘Semigroup applications everywhere’.

1. Introduction

The idea of investigating properties of a dynamical system via the time-evolution of its observables goes all the way back to the beginnings of thermodynamics and statistical mechanics at the end of the nineteenth century. Boltzmann and others observed that it is impossible to exactly determine the position and momentum of each and every particle of a gas or fluid. So it is a physical necessity to observe how measurement parameters such as temperature or pressure evolve over time. This basic, but important idea can be translated into the mathematical analysis of dynamical systems: instead of examining a semigroup action \( \varphi \) on a state space \( X \), we pass to a suitable vector space \( F(X) \) of functions on \( X \) and the induced semigroup \( T_\varphi \) thereon. The advantage of this procedure is the gain of algebraic structure. Most
importantly, we arrive at a semigroup of linear mappings on $F(X)$, and hence the transition from the original system to this semigroup can be seen as a global linearization.

This is an approach used in many mathematical disciplines, e.g. in differential geometry (differentiable dynamics on a smooth manifold induce operators on the space of smooth functions), complex analysis (holomorphic mappings induce operators on Banach and Fréchet spaces of holomorphic functions), algebraic geometry (morphisms of algebraic varieties induce mappings on algebras of regular functions) and ergodic theory (measure-preserving dynamics induce operators on the corresponding $L^p$-spaces). Depending on the respective mathematical background, the arising linear maps are known as composition operators, pullbacks, induced operators or Koopman operators (named after B. O. Koopman who introduced them in the context of ergodic theory in [1]).

In this article we are interested in the Koopman linearization of topological dynamical systems, i.e. continuous semiflows on topological spaces. In the case of dynamics on a compact space, this approach is systematically pursued in [2] for the time-discrete case and—to some extent—in [3] for the time-continuous one. At the heart of this ‘Koopman theory’ for topological dynamical systems lie on Banach algebras and Banach lattices, allowing a translation of properties of topological dynamical systems to the language of functional analysis. In particular, the famous representation theorems of Gelfand for commutative $C^*$-algebras (e.g. [4, Section 1.4]) and Kakutani for AM-spaces (e.g. [5, Section II.7]) imply that the categories of topological dynamical systems on compact spaces on one hand, and strongly continuous semigroups of unital algebra or lattice homomorphisms on unital commutative $C^*$-algebras or on unital AM-spaces on the other hand, are equivalent.

We are interested in extending the Koopman theory to the more general framework of semiflows on completely regular spaces. First results in this direction have been given in [6–10] for dynamics on metric spaces. The interest in this very general class of topological dynamical systems stems from the fact that they often arise from the solutions of abstract Cauchy problems on a Banach space $E$ of the form

$$u'(t) = Au(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

$$u(0) = u_0,$$

where $A : \text{dom}(A) \to E$ is a (generally nonlinear) map defined on a subset $\text{dom}(A)$ of $E$ and $u_0 \in \text{dom}(A)$ is a fixed initial value. These, in turn, can be used to give a functional analytic description of (nonlinear) evolution equations (e.g. [11–14]).

In this article, we describe a Koopman linearization of dynamical systems on completely regular spaces (e.g. subsets of Banach spaces). After giving an introduction as well as a discussion of examples and basic continuity properties in §2, we proceed by extending the algebraic and lattice theoretic characterizations of Koopman semigroups from the compact case to our more general situation in §3. In the final section, we give an outlook on how Koopmanism can be applied to obtain a new approach to key dynamical concepts such as attractors.

In the following all (locally) compact spaces, completely regular spaces and topological vector spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff.

2. Koopman linearization of semiflows on completely regular spaces

Topological dynamical systems have been studied extensively if the underlying space is compact (e.g. [15–18]). Here we start from a more general setting and consider dynamics on a non-empty completely regular space $X$.

**Definition 2.1.** A family $\varphi = (\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of continuous mappings on $X$ is a semiflow if

- $\varphi_s \circ \varphi_t = \varphi_{s+t}$ for all $s, t \geq 0$, and
- $\varphi_0 = \text{id}_X$.  

It is (jointly) continuous if the map
\[ \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X \to X, \quad (t, x) \mapsto \varphi_t(x) \]
is continuous. In this case, we call the pair \((X; \varphi)\) a topological dynamical system. \(^1\)

A simple example of a continuous semiflow on \(X = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\) is given by \(\varphi_t(x) := x + t\) for \((t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2\). More interesting ones arise from partial differential equations which are formulated as abstract Cauchy-problems on Banach or Hilbert spaces.

**Example 2.2 (\(m\)-accretive relations, the Crandall–Liggett theorem).** Let \(E\) be a Banach space and \(A \subseteq E \times E\) be a relation such that \(\text{Id} + \omega A\) is accretive for some \(\omega \in \mathbb{R}\), meaning that \((\text{Id} + \omega A)^{-1}\) is a 1-Lipschitz continuous function on \(\text{dom}((\text{Id} + \omega A)^{-1})\). Set \(X := \text{dom}(A) \subseteq E\). The Crandall–Liggett theorem states that if \(X \subseteq \text{rg}(\text{Id} + \lambda A)\) for all sufficiently small \(\lambda > 0\), then for every \(x \in X\) and \(t \geq 0\) the limit
\[ \varphi(t, x) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \text{Id} + \frac{t}{k} \right)^{-k} x \]
exists and defines a dynamical system \(\varphi : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X \to X\) on \(X\). See [19] and, e.g. [11,20,21] for details and relations to differential equations.

**Example 2.3 (Analytic semigroups and semilinear equations).** Let \(E\) be a Banach space and let \(A\) be an invertible linear operator such that \(-A\) is the generator of a bounded, analytic semigroup on \(E\). Suppose that the function \(f : \text{dom}(A^\alpha) \to E\) is Lipschitz continuous for some given \(\alpha \in (0, 1)\). Then for each \(x \in \text{dom}(A^\alpha)\) the Cauchy problem
\[ u'(t) + Au(t) = f(u(t)) \quad (t > 0), \quad u(0) = x \]
has a unique solution \(u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, E) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \text{dom}(A^\alpha))\) (see [22, Theorem 3.3]). With \(X = \text{dom}(A^\alpha)\), and scrutinizing §3 in [22], we immediately obtain that \(\varphi(t, x) = u(t)\) (with the unique solution subject to \(u(0) = x\)) defines a dynamical system.

**Example 2.4.** Informally speaking, similarly to Example 2.3, a (partial) differential equation that admits unique, global solutions to all initial values with continuous dependence on initial data (Hadamard well-posedness), yields a dynamical system \(\varphi\) via setting \(\varphi(t, x) = u(t)\) (with the unique solution subject to \(u(0) = x\)). We refer to the Epilogue by Nickel [23] for some thoughts about the underlying philosophy. Such examples are provided for instance by the two-dimensional Navier–Stokes equation and some reaction-diffusion equations (cf. Example 2.3 concerning the latter), see, e.g. [12, Sections III.1, III.2] or [13, ch. 8 and 9].

We now define Koopman linearizations for such systems. A suitable ‘space of observables’ is the vector space \(C_b(X)\) of all bounded complex-valued continuous functions on \(X\).

**Definition 2.5.** For a semiflow \(\varphi = (\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}\) on \(X\), we define the corresponding **Koopman semigroup** \(T_\varphi = (T_\varphi(t))_{t \geq 0}\) on \(C_b(X)\) by \(T_\varphi(t)f := f \circ \varphi_t\) for \(f \in C_b(X)\) and \(t \geq 0\).

It is evident that each \(T_\varphi(t)\) is a linear operator on \(C_b(X)\), \(T_\varphi(s + t) = T_\varphi(s)T_\varphi(t)\) holds for all \(s, t \geq 0\), and \(T_\varphi(0) = \text{Id}_{C_b(X)}\). Thus, \(T_\varphi\) is in fact a semigroup of linear operators. We now study its continuity properties and therefore have to consider a suitable topology on \(C_b(X)\).

Clearly, \(C_b(X)\) is a Banach space with respect to the supremum norm \(\| \cdot \|_\infty\). However, besides the norm topology \(\tau_1\|\|_\infty\), there is a second natural topology on this space, namely the compact-open topology \(\tau_c\) defined by the seminorms \(p_K(f) := \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)|\) for \(f \in C_b(X)\) and every compact subset \(K \subseteq X\). Both topologies have their disadvantages: On one hand, the norm topology is too strong to reflect the properties of \(X\). On the other hand, equipping \(C_b(X)\) with the compact-open topology generally does not yield a complete topological vector space. In fact, \(C_b(X)\) is dense in the space \(C(X)\) of all continuous complex-valued functions on \(X\) with respect to the compact-open topology generally does not yield a complete topological vector space. In fact, \(C_b(X)\) is dense in the space \(C(X)\) of all continuous complex-valued functions on \(X\) with respect to the compact-open topology generally does not yield a complete topological vector space. In fact, \(C_b(X)\) is dense in the space \(C(X)\) of all continuous complex-valued functions on \(X\) with respect to the compact-open topology generally does not yield a complete topological vector space. In fact, \(C_b(X)\) is dense in the space \(C(X)\) of all continuous complex-valued functions on \(X\) with respect to the compact-open topology generally does not yield a complete topological vector space. In fact, \(C_b(X)\) is dense in the space \(C(X)\) of all continuous complex-valued functions on \(X\) with respect to the compact-open topology generally does not yield a complete topological vector space.
topology. In order to circumvent these problems we ‘mix’ the two topologies. We refer to [24,25] for an introduction to locally convex topologies.

**Definition 2.6.** The mixed topology $\tau_m$ on $C_b(X)$ is the strongest locally convex topology on $C_b(X)$ agreeing on norm-bounded sets with the compact-open topology $\tau_c$.

Such a locally convex topology indeed exists, see [26,27], where this construction first appeared in an abstract context, or [28, Ch. 1 and 2] and [24, Section 2.10.D]. Sometimes it is also called the *strict topology* and defined explicitly via the seminorms in an abstract context, or [28, Section II.1] and [24, Section II.1]). See [30, Theorem 2.4].

We refer to the fundamental work [30] of Sentilles on strict topologies on $C_b(X)$, and note that his construction used the Čech–Stone compactification of $X$.

We now list some important properties of this topology (see [28, Proposition II.1.2 and Corollary 1.9] and [24, Theorems 2.10.4 and 3.6.9]). Recall here, that $X$ is compactly generated (or a $k$-space) if a subset $A \subseteq X$ is closed whenever $A \cap K$ is closed for every compact subset $K \subseteq X$ (see [31, Section XI.9]). Every locally compact space and every first-countable space is compactly generated. In particular, every metric space—and thus every normed vector space—is compactly generated. A function $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ on a compactly generated space $X$ is continuous if and only if its restrictions to compact sets are continuous.

**Proposition 2.7.**

(i) The following inclusions hold: $\tau_c \subseteq \tau_m \subseteq \tau_{\| \cdot \|_{\infty}}$.

(ii) A set is bounded with respect to the mixed topology $\tau_m$ if and only if it is norm bounded.

(iii) A sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $C_b(X)$ converges to $f \in C_b(X)$ with respect to $\tau_m$ if and only if it is norm bounded and converges with respect to $\tau_c$.

(iv) The space $C_b(X)$ is complete with respect to $\tau_m$ if and only if $X$ is a compactly generated space.

**Remark 2.8.** We point out that many desirable properties of locally convex spaces cannot be expected to hold for the mixed topology. For example, $C_b(X)$ equipped with $\tau_m$ is only barrelled or bornological if $X$ is compact (in which case $\tau_c = \tau_m = \tau_{\| \cdot \|_{\infty}}$), see [28, Proposition II.1.2 5)] or [30, Theorem 4.8].

From now on, $C_b(X)$ is equipped with the mixed topology unless explicitly stated otherwise. We then obtain the following characterization of the dual space (see [28, Section II.3] or [24, Theorem 7.6.3]). Here, we write $M(X)$ for the space of all regular complex Borel measures $\mu$ on $X$, where a complex Borel measure $\mu$ on $X$ is regular if its variation $|\mu|$ satisfies

$$|\mu|(A) = \sup\{|\mu|(K) \mid K \subseteq A \text{ compact} \} = \inf\{|\mu|(O) \mid O \supseteq A \text{ open} \}$$

for every Borel measurable set $A \subseteq X$.

**Theorem 2.9.** The map

$$M(X) \to C_b(X)', \quad \mu \mapsto \left[f \mapsto \int f \, d\mu\right]$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

Using this isomorphism we identify $C_b(X)'$ with $M(X)$ in the following.

With the ‘right’ topology at our disposal, we now investigate the continuity properties of Koopman semigroups. Recall that a mapping $m : I \to \mathcal{L}(E,F)$ from an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ to the space of continuous linear operators $\mathcal{L}(E,F)$ between topological vector spaces $E$ and $F$ is

— strongly continuous if the map $m_x : I \to E$, $t \mapsto m(t)x$ is continuous for every $x \in E$. 

— *locally equicontinuous* if the set \( m(K) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(E,F) \) is equicontinuous for every compact subset \( K \subseteq I \).

We abbreviate \( \mathcal{L}(E):= \mathcal{L}(E,E) \). Note that many results from the theory of strongly continuous one-parameter semigroups on Banach spaces can be generalized to locally equicontinuous and strongly continuous semigroups on sequentially complete locally convex spaces [32–36].

**Proposition 2.10.** Consider a semiflow \( \varphi \) on \( X \) and the induced Koopman semigroup \( T_\varphi \) on \( C_b(X) \).

(i) If \( \varphi \) is jointly continuous, then \( T_\varphi \) is strongly continuous and locally equicontinuous.

(ii) If \( X \) is compactly generated and \( T_\varphi \) is strongly continuous, then \( \varphi \) is jointly continuous.

**Proof.** Observe first that by Proposition 2.7 (iii) \( T_\varphi \) is strongly continuous on \( C_b(X) \) if and only if

\[
\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to C(K), \quad t \mapsto T_\varphi f|_K
\]

is continuous with respect to the norm topology on \( C(K) \) for every compact subset \( K \subseteq X \) and every \( f \in C_b(X) \). By [2, Lemma 4.16] this is equivalent to the continuity of the map

\[
\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times K \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (t,x) \mapsto f(\varphi_t(x))
\]

for every compact subset \( K \subseteq X \) and every \( f \in C_b(X) \). Since \( X \) carries the initial topology with respect to the functions in \( C_b(X) \), this simply means that the restricted mappings

\[
\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times K \to X, \quad (t,x) \mapsto \varphi_t(x)
\]

are continuous for every compact subset \( K \subseteq X \). This certainly holds if \( \varphi \) is a jointly continuous semiflow. On the other hand, if \( X \) is compactly generated, then so is \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X \) (see [31, Theorem 4.4]) and therefore the continuity of these mappings imply that \( \varphi \) is jointly continuous.

To finish the proof, we show that \( T_\varphi \) is locally equicontinuous whenever \( \varphi \) is jointly continuous. We note that by [28, Corollary I.1.7], a set \( M \) of linear mappings on \( C_b(X) \) is equicontinuous if and only if for every norm bounded subset \( F \subseteq C_b(X) \) the set \( M|_F \) of restrictions to \( F \) is equicontinuous with respect to the compact-open topology. But—given \( t_0 \geq 0 \)—for the set

\[
M_{t_0} := \{ T_\varphi(t) \mid t \in [0,t_0] \},
\]

even more is true: it is equicontinuous with respect to the compact-open topology on the whole space \( C_b(X) \). In fact, if \( K \subseteq X \) is a compact subset, then \( L := \varphi([0,t_0] \times K) \) is still compact and

\[
p_K(T_\varphi(t)f) \leq p_L(f)
\]

for every \( t \in [0,t_0] \) and every \( f \in C_b(X) \). ■

**Remark 2.11.** When dealing with semigroups on Banach spaces with an additional locally convex topology there is a second natural approach besides looking at mixed topologies. Taking into account both topologies at once, one can use the concept of the so-called *bi-continuous semigroups* for which there is a rather rich theory (e.g. [9,37,38]). It follows from Proposition 2.10 that Koopman semigroups associated with jointly continuous semiflows on compactly generated completely regular spaces \( X \) form a bi-continuous semigroup with respect to the norm and the compact-open topologies. It should also be noted that in some cases the class of bi-continuous semigroups on \( C_b(X) \) with respect to the compact-open topology is strictly larger than the one of locally equicontinuous, strongly continuous semigroups with respect to the mixed topology, see [39, Example 4.1]. However, if \( X \) is a Polish space or a \( \sigma \)-compact, locally compact space, then both classes coincide, see [39, Proposition 1.6, Theorem 3.1], [40, Remark 2.5], a basis for this being the fundamental work [30] of Sentilles on strict topologies.

The most important tool in the theory of one-parameter operator semigroups is their *generator*. Given a strongly continuous semigroup \( T = (T(t))_{t \geq 0} \) of continuous operators on a locally convex...
space $E$, we introduce the operator $\delta$: $\text{dom}(\delta) \to E$ by setting
\[
\text{dom}(\delta) := \left\{ f \in E \left| \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{T(t)f - f}{t} \text{ exists} \right. \right\}
\]
and
\[
\delta f := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{T(t)f - f}{t} \quad \text{for } f \in \text{dom}(\delta).
\]
For every $f \in \text{dom}(\delta)$, the map $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to E, t \mapsto T(t)f$ is continuously differentiable with derivative $\delta T(t)f = T(t)\delta f$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ (see [32, Proposition 1.2]). Moreover, if $\mathcal{T}$ is locally equicontinuous and $E$ is sequentially complete, then the generator $\delta$

— is closed, i.e. the graph of $\delta$ is closed in $E \times E$ (see [32, Proposition 1.4]),
— is densely defined, i.e. $\text{dom}(\delta)$ is dense in $E$ (see [32, Proposition 1.3]), and
— determines $\mathcal{T}$, i.e. if $\delta$ is a second strongly continuous and locally equicontinuous on $E$ with generator $\delta$, then $\delta = \mathcal{T}$ (see [33, Theorem 3.1]).

In particular, the generator of the Koopman semigroup $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$ associated with a jointly continuous semiflow $\varphi$ on a compactly generated space $X$ is closed and densely defined. From now on we denote this operator by $\delta_\varphi$. The following result shows that this is the same as the so-called Lie generator of the semiflow. The proof is essentially the same as the one of [9, Proposition 19] in the case of Polish spaces, but we include it for completeness (see also [7, Proposition 2.4]).

**Proposition 2.12.** Let $\varphi = (\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be continuous semiflow on a compactly generated space $X$. For $f$, $g \in C_b(X)$, the following assertions are equivalent.

(a) $f \in \text{dom}(\delta_\varphi)$ and $\delta_\varphi f = g$.
(b) For every $x \in X$
\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(\varphi_t(x)) - f(x)}{t} = g(x).
\]

**Proof.** Clearly, (a) implies (b). By [32, Proposition 1.2] (a) holds if and only if $T_\varphi(t)f - f = \int_0^t T_\varphi(s)g \, ds$ for every $t > 0$. However, this is equivalent to $f(\varphi_t(x)) - f(x) = \int_0^t g(\varphi_s(x)) \, ds$ for all $t > 0$ and $x \in X$. Now take $x \in X$. By (b) the function $f_\varphi: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{C}$, $s \mapsto f(\varphi_s(x))$ is right-sided differentiable with derivative $g(\varphi_s(x))$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Since the right-sided derivative is continuous, the function $f_\varphi$ is actually continuously differentiable and we can apply the fundamental theorem of calculus to obtain the desired identity for every $t > 0$.  

In the simple example of the translation $\varphi$ on $X = \mathbb{R}$ discussed above, the generator of the induced Koopman semigroup is given by $\delta_\varphi f = f'$ where $\text{dom}(\delta_\varphi) = C^1_b(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of all bounded continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with bounded derivative.

**Remark 2.13.** The generator $\delta_\varphi$ of a continuous semiflow $\varphi$ on $X$ coincides with the one defined by Kühnemund in the setting of bi-continuous semigroups, see [9,37]. As a consequence, $\delta_\varphi$ is closed with respect to the norm topology on $C_b(X)$ and for $\nu > 0$ the operator $\nu \text{Id} - \delta_\varphi$ is invertible with
\[
(\nu \text{Id} - \delta_\varphi)^{-1}f = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\nu t} T_\varphi(t)f \, dt \quad \text{for every } f \in C_b(X),
\]
where the integral is understood with respect to the compact-open topology. Moreover, $\delta_\varphi$ is a Hille–Yosida operator. See [9,37].
A particularly useful construction for bi-continuous semigroups $\mathcal{T} = (T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space $E$ with generator $\delta$ is the following: define $E_0 := \text{dom}(\delta)$, then $E_0$ is invariant under the semigroup operators $T(t)$, whose restrictions $T_0(t) := T(t)|_{E_0}$ define a one-parameter semigroup on $E_0$ which is strongly continuous with respect to the norm $\| \cdot \|_E$. The generator of $(T_0(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is the part $\delta_0 := \delta|_{E_0}$ of $\delta$ in $E_0$. Moreover, $\text{dom}(\delta^2) \subseteq E_0$, and $E_0$ consists precisely of those functions $f \in E$ for which $t \mapsto T(t)f$ is strongly continuous with respect to the norm. Using this idea, one can apply the rich theory for strongly continuous semigroups on Banach spaces as described in the book [23] of Engel and Nagel.

We refer to [9,37,38] for all these results.

3. Algebraic and lattice theoretic characterizations

The space $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ is not only a vector space but carries additional structure. Equipped with pointwise multiplication of functions it becomes an algebra. Likewise, the pointwise modulus turns it into a complex vector lattice. It is straightforward to check that the multiplication and the modulus map are continuous with respect to $\tau_m$ (see [28, Proposition II.2.1] for the continuity of the multiplication). Koopman semigroups respect both the algebraic and the lattice theoretic structure of $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$. But even more is true: they can be characterized precisely as the semigroups compatible with these structures.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that $X$ is compactly generated. For a strongly continuous semigroup $\mathcal{T}$ on $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ the following assertions are equivalent.

(a) There is a continuous semiflow $\varphi$ on $X$ with $\mathcal{T} = T_\varphi$.
(b) $\mathcal{T}$ is a semigroup of unital algebra homomorphisms.
(c) $\mathcal{T}$ is a semigroup of unital lattice homomorphisms.

If these equivalent assertions hold, then the semiflow $\varphi$ in (a) is unique.

Recall here that an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}_b(X))$ is

— an algebra homomorphism if $T(fg) = (Tf)(Tg)$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_b(X)$.
— a lattice homomorphism if $|Tf| = T|f|$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(X)$.
— unital if $T1 = 1$ where $1 \in \mathcal{C}_b(X)$ is the constant 1 function.

We remark that the algebraic characterization is also contained in [6, Section 3] (for metric $X$ and up to the oversight that the operators need to be unital). The result follows directly from the following theorem combined with Proposition 2.10.

**Theorem 3.2.** For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}_b(X))$ the following assertions are equivalent.

(a) There is a continuous map $\psi: X \rightarrow X$ with $T = T_\psi$.
(b) $T$ is a unital algebra homomorphism.
(c) $T$ is a unital lattice homomorphism.

If these equivalent assertions hold, then the map $\psi$ in (a) is unique.

**Proof.** It is clear that (a) implies (b) and (c). The converse implications follow from [28, Proposition II.2.3] but for the reader’s convenience we sketch the proof which is based on the following key observation: we can recover the space $X$ from the spectrum of $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$, i.e. the space $X'$ of all continuous unital algebra homomorphisms $\mathcal{C}_b(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. More precisely, we obtain from [28, Proposition 2.2] that

$$i: X \rightarrow X', \quad x \mapsto i_x,$$

where $i_x(f) := f(x)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(X)$ is a homeomorphism. Since the unital complex-valued lattice homomorphisms and the unital complex-valued algebra homomorphisms agree on any
unital commutative $C^*$-algebra, we can also characterize $X$ as the set of all unital lattice homomorphisms $C_b(X) \to \mathcal{C}$. Thus, if $T \in \mathcal{L}(C_b(X))$ is a unital algebra or lattice homomorphism, its adjoint $T^* : C_b(X) \to C_b(X)$ restricts to a weak* continuous map $X \to X$. Via $i$ this induces a map $\varphi : X \to X$ with $T = T \varphi$. Uniqueness of $\varphi$ is clear since $C_b(X)$ separates the points of $X$. ■

Remark 3.3. In view of the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 3.2 and the classical Gelfand–Naimark and Kakutani theorems (e.g. [4, Section 1.4] and [5, Section II.7]) representing every unital commutative $C^*$-algebra or AM-space as the space of continuous functions on its (then compact) spectrum, the question arises as to whether one can also give an abstract characterization of the spaces $C_b(X)$ for completely regular $X$. In fact, there is such a result due to Cooper showing that these are (up to some technicalities) the prototypes of the so-called unital commutative Saks-C$^*$-algebras, i.e. unital commutative $C^*$-algebras with a suitable additional locally convex topology, see [28, Proposition II.2.6 and Appendix A.2] for a precise category theoretic equivalence. Loosely speaking, this result shows that no essential information is lost when passing to the Koopman linearization.

In the case of semiflows on compact spaces, additional algebraic and lattice theoretic characterizations of Koopman semigroups are available in terms of their generators. The lattice theoretic one is due to Arendt [41] and inspired by the classical Kato inequality for the Laplace operator which is related to positivity of semigroups [42–46]. One makes use of the observation that for fixed $w, z \in \mathcal{C}$ the function $[0, \infty) \to \mathcal{C}, \ t \mapsto |w + tz|$ is right-sided differentiable at 0 with derivative $\text{Re} \, \hat{\text{sign}}(w)(z)$ (see [3, Lemma B-II.2.4]) where

$$\hat{\text{sign}}(w)(z) := \begin{cases} (\text{sign } w) \cdot z & \text{if } w \neq 0, \\ |z| & \text{if } w = 0, \end{cases}$$

and $\text{sign}$ is the usual complex sign function, i.e.,

$$\text{sign}(z) := \begin{cases} z & \text{if } z \neq 0, \\ |z| & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

We now generalize the algebraic and lattice theoretic characterizations of Koopman semigroups to the case of compactly generated completely regular spaces (see [3, Theorems B-II.2.5 and B-II.3.4] for the case of compact $X$). To do so, we first recall that given a linear operator $\delta$ on a locally convex space $E$ we can always consider the adjoint linear relation $\delta' \subseteq E' \times E'$, i.e., $(f', g') \in \delta'$ if

$$\langle \delta f, f' \rangle = \langle f, g' \rangle$$

for every $f \in \text{dom}(\delta)$. If $\delta$ is densely defined, this can be identified with a linear map defined on a subspace $\text{dom}(\delta')$ of $E'$. Moreover, if $\delta$ is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $T = (T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a sequentially complete locally convex space $E$, then $\delta'$ is precisely the generator of the semigroup $T' = (T'(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of adjoint operators which is continuous with respect to the weak* topology on $E'$, see [32, Prop. 2.1].

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $X$ is compactly generated. For the generator $\delta$ of a strongly continuous and locally equicontinuous semigroup on $C_b(X)$ the following assertions are equivalent.

(a) There is a continuous semiflow $\varphi$ on $X$ with $\delta = \delta \varphi$.
(b) $\delta$ is unital and a derivation.
(c) $\delta$ is unital and satisfies Kato’s equality.

If these equivalent assertions hold, then the semiflow $\varphi$ in (a) is unique.

Here, we say that a densely defined operator $\delta$ on $C_b(X)$
is a derivation if \( \text{dom}(\delta) \) is a subalgebra of \( C_b(X) \) and
\[
\delta(fg) = \delta(f)g + f\delta(g)
\]
for all \( f, g \in \text{dom}(\delta) \).

— satisfies Kato’s equality if
\[
\langle \text{Re} (\text{sign}(\overline{T}))\delta f), \mu \rangle = \langle |f|, \delta' \mu \rangle
\]
for all \( f \in \text{dom}(\delta) \) and \( \mu \in \text{dom}(\delta') \).

— is unital if \( 1 \in \text{ker}(\delta) \).

We remark once again that for complete metric spaces \( X \) the algebraic characterization can be found in [6,9] with the unitality of the operator missing.

For the proof of Theorem 3.4, we need several preliminary results. The following technical lemma is a generalization of [23, Lemma B.16].

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \( I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) be an interval with non-empty interior, \( E \) and \( F \) topological vector spaces and \( t_0 \in I \). Let further \( H : I \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F) \) be a strongly continuous and locally equicontinuous map and \( h : I \rightarrow E \) continuous. If

— \( h \) is differentiable in \( t_0 \), and
— \( H \cdot h(t_0) : I \rightarrow E, t \mapsto H(t)h(t_0) \) is differentiable in \( t_0 \),

then \( H \cdot h : I \rightarrow E, t \mapsto H(t)h(t) \) is continuous on \( I \) and differentiable in \( t_0 \) with derivative

\[
(H \cdot h)'(t_0) = H(t_0)h'(t_0) + (H \cdot h(t_0))'(t_0).
\]

**Proof.** We may assume that \( 0 = t_0 \in I \) and \( I \) is compact. Since the set \( h(I) \) is compact, it is an easy consequence of [25, Theorem III.4.5] that \( H \cdot h \) is continuous. We write

\[
t^{-1}((H \cdot h)(t) - (H \cdot h)(0)) = H(t)t^{-1}(h(t) - h(0)) + t^{-1}(H(t) - H(0))h(0)
\]

for \( t \in I \setminus \{0\} \). Since \( H \) is locally equicontinuous and the set \( \{t^{-1}(h(t) - h(0)) \mid t \in I \setminus \{0\} \} \) is precompact, we conclude again from [25, Theorem III.4.5] that

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} H(t)t^{-1}(h(t) - h(0)) = H(0)h'(0).
\]

On the other hand,

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} t^{-1}(H(t) - H(0))h(0) = (H \cdot h(0))'(0).
\]

**Lemma 3.6.** Let \( \delta \) be the generator of a strongly continuous and locally equicontinuous semigroup \( \mathcal{T} = (T(t))_{t \geq 0} \) on \( C_b(X) \).

(i) For all \( f, g \in \text{dom}(\delta) \) the map

\[
\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow C_b(X), \quad t \mapsto (T(t)f) \cdot (T(t)g)
\]

is differentiable with derivative \( (T(t)f) \cdot (\delta T(t)g) + (\delta T(t)f) \cdot (T(t)g) \) for \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).

(ii) For all \( f \in \text{dom}(\delta) \) and \( \mu \in \text{M}(X) \) the map

\[
\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad t \mapsto \langle |T(t)f|, \mu \rangle
\]

is right-sided differentiable with derivative \( \langle \text{Re} (\text{sign}(|T(t)f|)\delta T(t)f), \mu \rangle \) for \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).

**Proof.** For (i) take \( f, g \in \text{dom}(\delta) \) and apply Lemma 3.5 to the maps

\[
h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow C_b(X), \quad t \mapsto T(t)g
\]

and

\[
H : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(C_b(X)), \quad t \mapsto M_{T(t)f},
\]

with \( M_{T(t)f}k = (T(t)f) \cdot k \) for \( k \in C_b(X) \) and \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).
Now consider (ii) and let \( f \in \text{dom}(\delta) \). For \( x \in X \), we obtain that the map
\[
h: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad t \mapsto T(t)f(x)
\]
is differentiable with derivative \((\delta T(t)f)(x)\) for \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). Combining the right-differentiability of the modulus function discussed above with a chain rule (see [3, Proposition B-II.2.3]) we conclude that
\[
[0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}, \quad t \mapsto |T(t)f(x)|,
\]
is also right-sided differentiable with derivative \(|\text{Re}(\text{sign}(T(t)f(x))(\delta T(t)f(x)))|\) for \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). Since
\[
\sup_{s \in (0,1]} \frac{|T(s + t)f| - |T(t)f|}{t} \leq \sup_{s \in (0,1]} \frac{T(s)T(t)f - T(t)f}{t} < \infty
\]
for every \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) (see Proposition 2.7 (iii)), we can apply Lebesgue’s theorem to obtain (ii). \( \square \)

We now characterize semigroups of lattice homomorphisms on \( C_b(X) \) using arguments as in [3, Theorem B-II.2.5].

**Proposition 3.7.** For the generator \( \delta \) of a strongly continuous and locally equicontinuous semigroup \( \mathcal{T} \) on \( C_b(X) \) the following assertions are equivalent.

(a) \( \mathcal{T} \) is a semigroup of lattice homomorphisms.

(b) \( \delta \) satisfies Kato’s equality.

**Proof.** If (a) holds and \( f \in \text{dom}(\delta) \) and \( \mu \in \text{M}(X) \), we obtain that
\[
[0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}, \quad t \mapsto \langle T(t)|f|, \mu \rangle = \langle |T(t)f|, \mu \rangle
\]
is right-sided differentiable in 0 with derivative \( \langle \text{Re}(\text{sign}(\hat{f})(\delta f)), \mu \rangle \) by Lemma 3.6 (ii). However, if \( \mu \in \text{dom}(\delta) \), we obtain that
\[
\lim_{t \to 0} t^{-1} \langle |f|, T(t)\mu - \mu \rangle = \langle |f|, \delta' \mu \rangle,
\]
and consequently \( \langle |f|, \delta' \mu \rangle = \langle \text{Re}(\text{sign}(\hat{f})(\delta f)), \mu \rangle \).

Now assume that (b) holds and pick \( f \in \text{dom}(\delta) \), \( \mu \in \text{dom}(\delta') \). We show that the map
\[
h_\delta: [0, s + 1] \to \mathbb{C}, \quad t \mapsto \langle |T(t)f|, T(s - t)\mu \rangle
\]
is right-sided differentiable with derivative zero for every \( s \geq 0 \). This implies that \( h_\delta \) is constant and therefore
\[
\langle |T(s)f|, \mu \rangle = h_\delta(0) = h_\delta(s) = \langle T(s)f, \mu \rangle
\]
for every \( s \geq 0 \). Since \( \text{dom}(\delta') \) is \( \sigma(\text{M}(X), C_b(X)) \)-dense in \( \text{M}(X) \) (see [47, Proposition 5.2]) and \( \text{dom}(\delta) \) is dense in \( C_b(X) \), we then obtain that \( |T(s)f| = T(s)f \) for all \( f \in C_b(X) \) and \( s \geq 0 \).

Now take \( s > 0 \) and observe that—by suitably replacing \( f \) and \( \mu \)—it suffices to show that \( h_\delta \) is right-sided differentiable in 0 with derivative zero. Since the set
\[
\left\{ t^{-1}(T(s - t)\mu - T(s)\mu) : t \in (0, 1) \right\} \subseteq C_b(X)'
\]
is \( \sigma(C_b(X)', C_b(X)) \)-precompact, it is equicontinuous by [28, Corollary 1.24]. Thus we obtain that
\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \langle |T(t)f|, t^{-1}(T(s - t)\mu - T(s)\mu) \rangle = -\langle |f|, \delta'T(s)\mu \rangle,
\]
by [25, Theorem III.4.5]. But then
\[
t^{-1} \left( \langle |T(t)f|, T(s - t)\mu \rangle - \langle |f|, T(s)\mu \rangle \right) = \langle |T(t)f|, t^{-1}(T(s - t)\mu - T(s)\mu) \rangle
\]
\[
+ \langle t^{-1}(|T(t)f| - |f|), T(s)\mu \rangle
\]
converges to zero for \( t \to 0 \) by (b) and Lemma 3.6 (ii). \( \square \)
We finally show that unitality of the semigroup operators and the generator are equivalent. This can be derived more generally for bi-continuous semigroups \((T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) by considering the restricted semigroup \((T_0(t))_{t \geq 0}\) which is strongly continuous with respect to the norm topology (cf. Remark 2.13).

**Lemma 3.8.** Let \(\delta\) be the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup \(\mathcal{T} = (T(t))_{t \geq 0}\). Then

\[
\ker(\delta) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \ker(\text{Id} - T(t)) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \ker(\text{Id} - T_0(t)).
\]

**Proof.** The inclusions

\[
\ker(\delta) \supseteq \bigcap_{t > 0} \ker(\text{Id} - T(t)) \supseteq \bigcap_{t > 0} \ker(\text{Id} - T_0(t)),
\]

are trivial. If \(f \in \ker(\delta)\), then \(f \in \text{dom}(\delta^2) \subseteq E_0\), i.e. \(f \in \ker(\delta_0)\), and one can invoke Corollary 4.3.8 from [23] to conclude

\[
\ker(\delta) \subseteq \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \ker(\text{Id} - T_0(t)),
\]

finishing the proof. \(\blacksquare\)

**Proof of Theorem 3.4.** Let \(\mathcal{T} = (T(t))_{t \geq 0}\) be strongly continuous and locally equicontinuous semigroup generated by \(\delta\). We first note that by Lemma 3.8 the operator \(\delta\) is unital if and only if \(T(t)\) is unital for every \(t \geq 0\).

If (a) holds (and therefore (b) of Theorem 3.1), we take \(f, g \in \text{dom}(\delta)\) and then obtain by Lemma 3.6 (i) that the map

\[
[0, \infty) \to C_b(X), \quad t \mapsto T(t)(fg)
\]

is differentiable in 0 with derivative \(f\delta(g) + \delta(f)g\). Thus, \(T(t)(fg) \in \text{dom}(\delta)\) with \(\delta(T(t)(fg)) = f\delta(g) + \delta(f)g\).

Suppose conversely that (b) holds and take \(f, g \in \text{dom}(\delta)\) as well as \(s > 0\). By Lemma 3.6 (i) we obtain that

\[
h: [0, s] \to C_b(X), \quad t \mapsto (T(t)f) \cdot (T(t)g)
\]

is differentiable with derivative \((T(t)f) \cdot (\delta T(t)g) + (\delta T(t)f) \cdot (T(t)g)\) for \(t \in [0, \infty)\). Applying Lemma 3.5 with \(H(t) := T(s - t)\) for \(t \in [0, s]\) and (b) yield that

\[
H \cdot h: [0, s] \to C_b(X), \quad t \mapsto T(s - t)(T(t)f) \cdot (T(t)g))
\]

is differentiable with derivative

\[
(H \cdot h)'(t) = T(s - t)[(T(t)f) \cdot (\delta T(t)g) + (\delta T(t)f) \cdot (T(t)g)] - T(s - t)\delta((T(t)f) \cdot (T(t)g))
\]

\[
= T(s - t)[(T(t)f) \cdot (\delta T(t)g) + (\delta T(t)f) \cdot (T(t)g) - \delta((T(t)f) \cdot (T(t)g))]
\]

\[
= 0
\]

for \(t \in [0, s]\). Thus,

\[
T(s)(fg) = (H \cdot h)(0) = (H \cdot h)(s) = (T(s)f)(T(s)g),
\]

which shows (a) by density of \(\text{dom}(\delta)\) and Theorem 3.1.

The equivalence of (a) and (c) immediately follows from Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.7. Moreover, uniqueness of \(\varphi\) follows from Theorem 3.1 and the fact that the semigroup is uniquely determined by its generator. \(\blacksquare\)

### 4. Attractors and stability: an outlook

Koopman semigroups have proven to be an effective tool to study dynamical systems on compact spaces. The characterization of these semigroups and their generators on spaces \(C_b(X)\) can be the starting point to extend the Koopman theory to a more general framework, thereby allowing for an approach to nonlinear systems arising from partial differential equations based on linear functional analysis and operator theory. One aspect that can be addressed is the study
of attractors, playing a key role for dynamical systems and, in particular, for the solutions of partial differential equations (e.g. [12, Ch. 1], [13, Part III], [14,48]). Various notions can be found in the literature and these can be translated to stability properties of the corresponding Koopman semigroup as discussed in [10] (and [49] for dynamics on compact spaces). The basis for this approach is provided by the following observation. Recall that a linear subspace \( I \subseteq C_b(X) \) is an ideal if \( fg \in I \) whenever \( f \in C_b(X) \) and \( g \in I \).

**Proposition 4.1.** The mapping

\[ M \mapsto I_M := \{ f \in C_b(X) \mid f|_M = 0 \} \]

establishes an inclusion reversing bijection between the set of all closed subsets of \( X \) and the set of closed ideals in \( C_b(X) \). Moreover, if \( \phi = (\phi_t)_{t \geq 0} \) is a continuous semiflow on \( X \), the following assertions are equivalent for a closed subset \( M \subseteq X \).

(a) \( M \) is \( \phi \)-invariant, i.e. \( \phi_t(M) \subseteq M \) for every \( t \geq 0 \).

(b) \( I_M \) is \( T_\phi \)-invariant, i.e. \( T_\phi(t)I_M \subseteq I_M \) for every \( t \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** The first part readily follows from [28, Proposition 2.7]. The second part is a simple application of complete regularity of \( X \) analogous to the proof of [2, Lemma 4.18]. We omit the details.

With this correspondence, many concepts of attractiveness for a set \( M \subseteq X \) can be described by stability conditions for the semigroup \( T_\phi|_{I_M} \) of the restricted operators \( T_\phi(t)|_{I_M} \) for \( t \geq 0 \). Let \( \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) \) be a family of subsets of \( X \). A compact invariant set \( M \subseteq X \) is called \( \mathcal{B} \)-attractive if for every \( B \in \mathcal{B} \) and every open neighbourhood \( U \) of \( M \) there is \( t_0 \geq 0 \) with \( \phi_t(B) \subseteq U \) for every \( t \geq t_0 \). If \((X,d)\) is a metric space, this is equivalent to the requirement \( \lim_{t \to \infty} d(\phi_t(B), M) = 0 \) for each \( B \in \mathcal{B} \). It can be easily proven that a compact invariant set \( M \subseteq X \) is \( \mathcal{B} \)-attractive if and only if \( \lim_{t \to \infty} T_\phi(t)f = 0 \) uniformly on each \( B \in \mathcal{B} \) for every \( f \in I_M \). A simple example in the case of \( \mathcal{B} = \{X\} \) is the following.

**Example 4.2.** Let \( X = [0, \infty] \) be the one-point compactification of \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) and \( \phi_t(x) = x + t \) for \( x \in X \) and \( t \geq 0 \) (where \( \infty + t = \infty \)). Then every subset \([x, \infty]\) for \( x \in X \) is \( \{X\} \)-attractive.

In particular, we observe that in this example there exists a smallest, \( \mathcal{B} \)-attractive, compact, invariant set (namely \( \{\infty\} \)). The existence of such a ‘smallest attractor’ is an interesting problem. For example, if \( \mathcal{B} \) is the collection of all bounded subsets of a Banach space \( X \), then the existence of a smallest, \( \mathcal{B} \)-attractive, compact, invariant set can be shown in many examples, e.g. for the semiflows arising from the two-dimensional Navier–Stokes equation (e.g. [13, Theorems 12.3 and 12.5]) or certain reaction–diffusion equations (e.g. [13, Theorem 11.4]). A sufficient condition for existence which is often used in this context is the following: if there is a compact absorbing set \( A \subseteq X \), i.e. for every bounded set \( B \subseteq X \) there is \( t_0 \geq 0 \) with \( \phi_t(B) \subseteq A \) for every \( t \geq t_0 \), then there exists a smallest, boundedly attractive, compact, invariant set. We give a short proof of a generalization of this existence theorem for a large class of collections \( \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) \) and completely regular spaces \( X \) by using the Koopman linearization.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \((X; \phi)\) be a topological dynamical system and let \( \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) \) such that

- \( \bigcup \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset \), and
- for every \( B \in \mathcal{B} \) and \( t \geq 0 \) there is \( C \in \mathcal{B} \) with \( \phi_t(B) \subseteq C \).

Suppose that the non-empty compact set \( A \) is \( \mathcal{B} \)-absorbing, i.e. has the property that for every \( B \in \mathcal{B} \) there is \( t_0 \geq 0 \) with \( \phi_t(B) \subseteq A \) for every \( t \geq t_0 \). Then there exists a smallest, non-empty, compact, \( \mathcal{B} \)-attractive, invariant set \( M \) and

\[ I_M = \{ f \in C_b(X) \mid \lim_{t \to \infty} T_\phi(t)f = 0 \text{ uniformly on each } B \in \mathcal{B} \}. \]
Proof. It is readily checked that
\[
I := \{ f \in C_b(X) \mid \lim_{t \to \infty} T_\varphi(t)f = 0 \text{ uniformly on each } B \in \mathcal{B} \}
\]
is $T_\varphi$-invariant ideal. By $\bigcup \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$, we have $\mathbf{1} \notin I$. We prove that $I$ is closed. Take $f \in I$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We then find $t_0 > 0$ with $\varphi_t(B) \subseteq A$ for every $t \geq t_0$ and $g \in I$ with $p_A(f - g) \leq \varepsilon$. Then
\[
\sup_{x \in B} |T_\varphi(t)f(x) - T_\varphi(t)g(x)| \leq \varepsilon
\]
for every $t \geq t_0$. Since we find such a $g \in I$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain $f \in I$. By Proposition 4.1 we now find a closed invariant set $M \subseteq X$ with $I = IM$; by the above, $M \neq \emptyset$. If $\tilde{M}$ is any $\mathcal{B}$-attractive set, then $I_M \subseteq I_M$ and therefore $M \subseteq \tilde{M}$. In particular, $M \subseteq A$ which shows that $M$ is compact.

We remark that—by considering these general collections $\mathcal{B}$—our result also covers many kinds of attractors (e.g. uniform attraction of the whole space, compact sets, all points or only the orbit of a single point). Moreover, the generality of completely regular spaces also allows us to consider weaker forms (e.g. by considering Banach spaces equipped with the weak topology). Finally, we remark that the result can be proved analogously, with the obvious adjustments, in the time-discrete case, i.e. for Koopman operators induced by a single continuous map $\psi : X \to X$.

A systematic approach to attractors on completely regular spaces using the Koopman linearization therefore seems fruitful. Other promising topics for the Koopman theoretic approach are Lyapunov functions and spectral theory, which the authors aim to investigate in future works.
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