A Review of the Role of Hypoxia in Radioresistance in Cancer Therapy

Wafa Boulefour, Elise Rowinski, Safa Louati, Sandrine Sotton, Anne-Sophie Wozny, Pablo Moreno-Acosta, Benoite Mery, Claire Rodriguez-Lafrasse, Nicolas Magne

Corresponding Author: Wafa Boulefour Esquis, e-mail: wafa.boulefour@icloire.fr

Financial support: None declared

Conflict of interest: None declared

Hypoxia involves neoplastic cells. Unlike normal tissue, solid tumors are composed of aberrant vasculature, leading to a hypoxic microenvironment. Hypoxia is also known to be involved in both metastasis initiation and therapy resistance. Radiotherapy is the appropriate treatment in about half of all cancers, but loco-regional control failure and a disease recurrence often occur due to clinical radioresistance. Hypoxia induces radioresistance through a number of molecular pathways, and numerous strategies have been developed to overcome this. Nevertheless, these strategies have resulted in disappointing results, including adverse effects and limited efficacy. Additional clinical studies are needed to achieve a better understanding of the complex hypoxia pathways. This review presents an update on the mechanisms of hypoxia in radioresistance in solid tumors and the potential therapeutic solutions.

Keywords: Cancer, Familial, with In Vitro Radioresistance • Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1 • Radiotherapy

Full-text PDF: https://www.medscimonit.com/abstract/index/idArt/934116

Indexed in: [Current Contents/Clinical Medicine] [SCI Expanded] [ISI Alerting System] [ISI Journals Master List] [Index Medicus/MEDLINE] [EMBASE/Excerpta Medica] [Chemical Abstracts/CAS]

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)
Background

The tumor microenvironment is composed of extracellular matrix, blood vessels, signaling molecules, and non-malignant cells such as stromal cells, fibroblasts, and immune cells [1]. Unlike normal tissue, solid tumors contain dysfunctional vasculature. Stromal composition, rate of tumor growth, and a pathological vasculature lead to a hypoxic environment and impede immune cell functions in tumors. Moreover, hypoxia causes a selection pressure promoting growth of cells with genetic machinery for malignant potential [2]. Therefore, hypoxia leads to epithelial-to-mesenchymal cell transition (EMT), resulting in cell mobility and thus metastasis [3]. Furthermore, hypoxia often alters tumor cell metabolism by inducing cell quiescence. It also induces an alteration in transport and/or distribution of chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and radiotherapy, leading to resistance to therapies [4]. While proliferating tumor cells in normoxic regions of the tumor respond to chemotherapy and radiotherapy, hypoxic cells manage to survive these anti-neoplastic therapies; thus, they multiply, which leads to treatment failure [5]. In addition, tumor cell sensitivity to X-rays is about 3 times greater when irradiated in a well-oxygenated environment vs under anoxic conditions [6,7].

Hypoxia induces: (1) increased potential of malignancy [8], (2) resistance to chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and radiation therapies [9,10], and (3) increased metastasis occurrence, which all lead to a poor prognosis [11]. Elucidating these resistance mechanisms would therefore improve cancer patients’ outcomes.

Extensive studies have focused on the impact of this hostile environment on tumor cells, therapeutic responses, and clinical outcomes. Many strategies have been developed to overcome this feature [12,13]. This review presents an update on the mechanisms of hypoxia in radioresistance in solid tumors, and presents some potential therapeutic solutions.

Hypoxia-Regulated Programs in Cancer

When pO₂ level is below 10 mmHg, solid cell tumors grow rapidly due to hypoxia, which has long been associated with chemotherapy and radiotherapy resistance [14,15]. The proportion of hypoxic cells is heterogeneous within the tumor mass and among tumors. In normal tissues, consumption and supply of oxygen are balanced, whereas this balance is disrupted in tumoral tissue. Indeed, within this disordered development of cancer cells and expanding tumor tissue, oxygen demand is surpassed by oxygen supply. Therefore, a combination of multiple factors, as well as the increasing vasculature, prevents oxygen diffusion, leading to an increase of hypoxic region area [3,16]. In solid tumors, 2 subtypes of tumor hypoxia are well documented. Acute and chronic hypoxia leads to different hypoxia-related responses within the tumor [17]. Acute hypoxia or perfusion-limited hypoxia is mainly due to a transient occlusion, narrowing of vessels, and arteriolar vasomotion, which cause local disturbances in perfusion and thus a disruption in oxygen supply [18]. Conversely, chronic hypoxia, also called diffusion-limited hypoxia, is caused by a critical limitation in oxygen diffusion from tumor microvessels to surrounding tissues [18]. Anemic hypoxia is due to the decreased oxygen transport induced by chemotherapy [19,20]. Acute and chronic hypoxia used to be considered as having similar biological effects, but recent analyses have proved otherwise. Although there is no consensus, acute hypoxia is associated with a more aggressive phenotype by increasing cell migration and leading to possible tumor metastasis [17]. Cairns et al studied the contribution of acute and chronic hypoxia in the process of lung metastases in murine models of fibromas [21]. Mice with acute hypoxia (intermittently inhaling 5-7% oxygen for 10 min 12 times a day) had significantly more pulmonary metastasis than in the control group (inhaling normal air) [21]. Conversely, there was no significant difference between mice exposed to chronic hypoxia (inhaling 5-7% oxygen for 2 h each day) and the control group [21].

At the cellular level, the effects of hypoxia on tumor cells are multiple and ambivalent. Indeed, hypoxia causes proteomic modification by stopping the cell cycle and thus causing necrosis and apoptosis [22], or induces proteomic changes by stimulating tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis [23]. At the molecular level, transcription factors such as hypoxia-induced factors (HIFs) play an essential role in these shifts. Under hypoxic conditions, the HIF-1α subunit translocates to the nucleus and binds HIF-1β, which results in transcriptional activation of many genes and plays a role in tumor progression. Thus, HIF-1 induces the expression of angiogenic vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which is one of the most important stimulators of angiogenesis. Glucose transporters like GLUT-1 facilitate anaerobic glycolysis metabolism and enable cancer cell survival by limiting sufficient ATP (Adenosine 5-triphosphate) production and hematopoietic factors such as erythropoietin (EPO) [24-26].

HIF-1α is overexpressed in the vast majority of patients with squamous cell cancer of the oropharynx. Its degree of expression has predictive and prognostic significance in patients undergoing curative radiation therapy [27]. For breast and endometrioid ovarian cancer, carbonic anhydrase IX (CAIX) is a biomarker of poor prognosis for metastasis and survival. CAIX is a hypoxia-inducible protein that regulates cellular pH to promote cancer cell survival and invasion in hypoxic microenvironments [28,29]. Therefore, the evaluation of CAIX in breast cancer is a robust indicator of hypoxia.
Tumor Hypoxia and Radiation Efficacy

In 1909, Schwarz demonstrated that skin response to radiation decreased when blood flow in the irradiated area was compressed. Mottram, Crabtree, and Cramer and many others later explored the issue of oxygen and radiation effects more fully [30]. Indeed, they highlighted that cells were much more sensitive to X-rays in the presence of oxygen [30]. This was measured by the oxygen enhancement ratio (OER). OER is a ratio of radiation doses delivered under hypoxic to aerobic conditions to achieve the same biological endpoint. Indeed, hypoxic cells required higher radiation doses to reach the same death rate as normoxic cells. In hypoxic areas, the ability of tumor cells to survive ionizing radiation was 2-3 times higher than in normoxic areas [31]. Concentration and time of exposure to oxygen are crucial. Thus, to produce an apoptosis effect, molecular oxygen must be present during radiotherapy treatment or at least during the lifetime of the free radicals generated by the radiation. Only a small quantity of oxygen was required for radiosensitization; 0.5% oxygen, pO2 of about 3 mmHg [32].

Hyoxic tumors are more resistant to radiotherapy because of the absence of oxygen. Radiation can directly create DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid) strand breaks and induce tumor cell death. Water radiolysis induced the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS; eg, hydroxyl free radical and superoxide radical) and provoked DNA cell damage [33]. Multiple unrepair ed DNA damage then results in cell death. In an oxygen-free environment, ROS formation is limited and leads to DNA damage. Moreover, oxygen reacts with fractured DNA to generate stable peroxides and prevent cells from repairing DNA in an aerobic environment. In a hypoxic environment, tumor cells remove hydrogen from free sulfhydryl groups and are then able to repair DNA damage [31].

In addition, tumor radiosensitivity depends on cell cycle. In late S (chromosome replication) and G0 phases, tumor cells are more radiotherapy-resistant, whereas in G2/M phase (separation of replicated chromosome) cells are more sensitive [34]. Indeed, radiation cell sensitivity changes during the cell cycle. Thus, OER increases with the aging of cells. In G1 phase, cells are more radiosensitive and they thus have a lower OER than in the S phase. At lower oxygen levels, HIF-1α can prevent cells from entering S phase by upregulating genes coding proteins involved in cell cycle regulation. Thus, Waf1 (p21) and Kip1 (p27), which are 2 major cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, are upregulated under hypoxic conditions and cause cell growth arrest [35,36]. Several other factors are activated under low oxygen tension, including transcription factors such as NF-kb (nuclear factor-kappa B), oncogenes, growth factors, and free radicals [37]. Hypoxia can induce apoptosis through a number of pathways (HIF-dependent or not), but tumor cells survive under hypoxic conditions. Induction of anti-apoptotic genes such as IAP-2 or the downregulation of pro-apoptotic proteins of the Bcl2 family are part of these mechanisms (Bid, Bax) [38,39].

In addition, some studies showed that hypoxia in cancer was associated with shorter disease-free and overall survival. Understanding the mechanisms of radioresistance is a major step to develop strategies to finally overcome this problem [15].

Overcoming Radioresistance: A Never-Ending Issue?

Hypoxia is currently used as a potential biomarker for radiation oncology in addition to tumor size and positivity for human papilloma virus in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [40]. Thus, hypoxia must be considered as an ultimate target to enhance therapeutic effects of radiation [41]. Many clinical studies tried to solve this problem by developing physical or chemical approaches.

Radiotherapy is based on the “5 Rs” of radiobiology: repair of sublethal damage, reassortment of cells within the cell cycle, repopulation, intrinsic radioresistance, and reoxygenation. This must be taken into account to develop efficient strategies [32,42]. Thus, many studies focused on testing altered fractionation and/or increased doses to overcome the radioresistance due to hypoxia. Increasing radiation doses are necessary to kill more hypoxic cells. However, normal tissues have a limited tolerance to radiation [43]. Use of focal dose escalation on hypoxic areas was developed to improve local control. Theoretically, it can improve radiation efficacy. However, the literature is conflicting and limited on this particular topic [44,45]. In cervical cancer patients, hypoxia can be imaged by positron emission tomography (PET) [46]. Dose escalation was performed on hypoxic areas, resulting in increased survival [45]. However, some studies showed that increasing radiation doses in hypoxic areas had a very limited impact on acute hypoxic areas, but could partly improve the local control rate of chronic hypoxic areas [44]. The ESCALOX protocol concluded that dose escalation to large parts of the tumor was associated with the risk of more acute and late toxicity [47]. In prostate cancer, the estimated dose escalation to overcome tumor hypoxia was 165 Gy [48]. A recent study reported that hypoxia biomarkers can predict outcome and benefit of dose escalation in prostate cancer patients receiving external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) alone or combined with high-dose-rate brachytherapy boost (HDR-BTb) [49].

Stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) differs from other EBRTs as it involves the delivery of higher radiation doses to the cancer site. Unconventional SBRT was developed to
target hypoxic segments in hypoxic areas [50]. The first data from SBRT-PATHY (Partial Tumor HYpoxia segment) showed very encouraging results for very large unresectable tumors, but prospective studies are necessary to confirm this [51]. It was also demonstrated that SBRT could induce tumor hypoxia after initial treatment [52].

Accelerated radiotherapy (1 fraction every 12 h instead of every 24 h) was tested in head and neck cancer patients, inducing tumor oxygenation in 70-80% of patients and thus causing tumor radiosensitivity [53]. Other altered fractionation schemes were unsuccessfully tested. The large randomized British CHART (Continuous Hyper-fractionated Accelerated Radiotherapy) study was carried out in 918 head and neck cancer patients. It used a total dose of 54 Gy, with 1.5 Gy x 3 per day over a 12-day period. This protocol failed to prove its efficacy versus a normo-fractionated standard radiotherapy, possibly because reoxygenation was insufficient to radiosensitize cancer cells [54]. Thus, post-radiotherapy biological effects such as cell cycle redistribution, blood reperfusion, and reoxygenation are complex to model and trigger.

Regarding synergic action, many studies evaluated radiotherapy-combined treatments, also called radiation-sensitizers. Historically, nitroimidazole was first studied in the 1950s and several generations of drugs generations have emerged since. The radiation-sensitizer behaves like water when irradiated. This generates free radicals that damage DNA and lead to tumor cell death. First- and second-generation drugs turned very toxic, whereas third-generation drugs showed positive but inconsistent results in head and neck and cervical tumors [57-59]. Although such drugs showed efficacy, the effect was not significant enough to stimulate a broader interest in this area. To limit toxicities, bioreductive drugs were developed to target hypoxic tumor cells only [59]. The results of tirapazamine in pre-clinical studies were encouraging, but when combined with radiotherapy it was less efficient than expected. Moreover, no bioreductive drug was approved for use in clinical practice [56-59].

In view of the predominant role of major pathways in hypoxia such as HIF, targeting therapies emerged to overcome hypoxic resistance. Recent reviews focused on the action of HIF-2 inhibitors in glioblastoma, neuroblastoma, and clear cell renal carcinoma [60]. Indeed, a study tested HIF-2 Alpha Inhibitor PT2385 in patients with recurrent glioblastoma [61]. Only a few studies attempted to understand the biological mechanisms by which HIF-2α regulates radiation response in cancer [62]. HIF-2α inhibition enhanced radiation sensitivity in a cellular model of lung cancer by promoting apoptotic activity via the p53 pathway [63]. Likewise, targeting HIF-1 and tumor glucose metabolism sensitized various solid tumors to irradiation [64].

In an in vitro lung cancer model, inhibition of the HIF-1α/VEGF pathway reversed the effect of hypoxia on cell viability, invasion, and migration, thus inhibiting resistance to radiotherapy [65]. Radiotherapy and anti-VEGF interaction are not yet well validated [66]. In vitro, TNP-470, an angiogenesis inhibitor, could increase tumor oxygenation and radiosensitivity [67]. ROS are considered the main cause of radiation-induced cell death. Therefore, disruption of ROS homeostasis can overcome hypoxic radioresistance (Figure 1) [68].

In the tumor microenvironment, hypoxia influences the interaction between cancers and the immune system on every level: downregulation of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules, upregulation of immune checkpoint inhibitors such as HLA-G (Human leukocyte antigen-G), CTLA-4 (cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4), and PD-L1 (programmed death ligand-1) [69,70]. The rational to combine radiotherapy and immunotherapy is based on increased T cell infiltration in irradiated tumors and mitigation of radiation-induced immunosuppressive mechanisms such as PD-L1 upregulation by immune checkpoint inhibition. Thus, hypoxic cells might be a specific target of immune checkpoint inhibitors combined with radiotherapy. Such inhibitors improve local control and induce abscopal effects, which leads to better systemic tumor control [71]. The tumor microenvironment and stromal reaction should be considered, as they clearly play a critical role in resistance and may offer novel therapeutic perspectives [72].

More recently, gene therapy strategies targeting hypoxic cells were developed. Studies evaluated the double gene knockout of GLUT-1 and HIF-1α, which are 2 major genes involved in hypoxic resistance. The technique used was the developed genome editing system CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat)/Cas (CRISPR-associated proteins), which permitted multigene knockout. In modified cells, the proliferation, migration, and invasion significantly decreased [72]. Research is warranted on adding radiotherapy to this model.

**Conclusions**

This review has shown that hypoxia is a major characteristic of the microenvironment of solid tumors. Tumor tissue hypoxia affects the response to radiation therapy, chemotheraphy, and immunotherapy. The mechanism of hypoxia is via the selection of more aggressive tumor cell clones that affect patient prognosis. Currently, multiple therapeutic strategies have failed to overcome hypoxia-related radioresistance. Therefore, the pathophysiological mechanisms and molecular pathways involved need to be investigated.
Figure 1. Strategies to overcome hypoxia-induced radioresistance.

Under hypoxic conditions, the HIF-1α subunit translocates to the nucleus and binds HIF-1β. This results in the transcriptional activation of many genes, which plays a role in tumor progression. Both HIF-1 and HIF-2 stimulate transcription of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a crucial regulator of vascular development. Different strategies have already been tested to overcome hypoxia-induced radioresistance. (1) The ESCALOX protocol concluded that dose escalation to large parts of the tumor was associated with the risk of more acute and late toxicity. (2) Stereotactic body radiation therapy showed very encouraging results for very large unresectable tumors. (3) Accelerated radiotherapy induced tumor radiosensitivity. As perspective, in vitro TNP-470, an angiogenesis inhibitor, could increase tumor oxygenation and radiosensitivity. Likewise, HIF-2α (PT2385) inhibition enhanced radiation sensitivity in a cellular model of lung cancer by promoting apoptotic activity via the p53 pathway. The association of radiotherapy and TNP-470 and/or PT2385 should be investigated.
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