Structural dynamics of a single-stranded RNA–helix junction using NMR

CATHARINE D. EICHHORN1 and HASHIM M. AL-HASHIMI2,3
1Chemical Biology Doctoral Program, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA
2Department of Biochemistry, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina 27710, USA

ABSTRACT

Many regulatory RNAs contain long single strands (ssRNA) that adjoin secondary structural elements. Here, we use NMR spectroscopy to study the dynamic properties of a 12-nucleotide (nt) ssRNA tail derived from the prequeuosine riboswitch linked to the 3′ end of a 48-nt hairpin. Analysis of chemical shifts, NOE connectivity, 13C spin relaxation, and residual dipolar coupling data suggests that the first two residues (A25 and U26) in the ssRNA tail stack onto the adjacent helix and assume an ordered conformation. The following U26-A27 step marks the beginning of an A6-tract and forms an acute pivot point for substantial motions within the tail, which increase toward the terminal end. Despite substantial internal motions, the ssRNA tail adopts, on average, an A-form helical conformation that is coaxial with the helix. Our results reveal a surprising degree of structural and dynamic complexity at the ssRNA–helix junction, which involves a fine balance between order and disorder that may facilitate efficient pseudoknot formation on ligand recognition.
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INTRODUCTION

Single-stranded RNAs (ssRNAs) are essential elements of RNA architecture and serve a wide variety of functions. They can act as spacers between structured domains (Lodeiro et al. 2009; Watts et al. 2009), provide binding sites for protein or RNA recognition (Auweter et al. 2006), act as checkpoints in RNA maturation (Spitzfaden et al. 2000), serve as signaling elements that can be sequestered into helices to generate switching behavior (Schwalbe et al. 2007), and form active sites to perform catalysis (Shi et al. 2012) and are key components of structured motifs such as pseudoknots (Zhang et al. 2011). Secondary structure analysis of RNA genomes and large structured RNAs reveals a pattern of adenine-enriched single-stranded regions (Gutell et al. 1985; Pollom et al. 2013).

ssRNAs are frequently considered to be unstructured despite considerable evidence to the contrary in certain sequences based on low-resolution structure characterization techniques such as circular dichroism (CD) and ultraviolet/visible (UV/Vis) spectroscopy (Dewey and Turner 1979; Freier et al. 1981). Recently, Herschlag and coworkers studied the sequence dependence of an ssRNA adjoining the group I ribozyme to a duplex. The investigators found that for a three-adenine ssRNA junction, the adjoined duplex exhibited limited motions; however, a three-uridine junction greatly increased the duplex motions, nearly doubling the
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effective motional amplitude (Shi et al. 2012; Nguyen et al. 2013). While this study demonstrates the role of RNA sequence on interhelical dynamics and flexibility, little is known about the dynamic properties of ssRNA–helix junctions at the atomic level, even though they are often important sites for conformational changes and adaptation, particularly for systems containing pseudoknots (Kim et al. 2008; Cao and Chen 2009; Cash et al. 2013). The dynamic properties of ssRNA–helix junctions are of particular interest in the transcription-regulating prequeuosine riboswitch aptamer because the ssRNA tail must fold back onto the helix to form a pseudoknot to allow efficient cotranscriptional binding to ligand (Kang et al. 2009; Klein et al. 2009; Spitale et al. 2009; Rieder et al. 2010; Suddala et al. 2013). Our previous studies on the isolated 12-nt ssRNA indicated a degree of order within the ssRNA that may facilitate rapid docking into the minor groove of the adjacent helix in the presence of ligand (Eichhorn et al. 2012a); however, these studies neglected the effect of the ssRNA–helix junction.

Here, we examine the effects of local motions imparted by joining the ssRNA to a 48-nt hairpin—as occurs in biological RNAs—and also assess the dynamics of the ssRNA relative to the hairpin. The ssRNA–helix construct also allowed us to obtain more reliable estimates for absolute motional amplitudes within the ssRNA tail by decoupling internal and overall motions. Such a domain-elongation approach has been successfully used in the past to characterize motions in helix–junction–helix (HJH) motifs (Leeper and Varani 2005; Staple and Butcher 2005; Zhang et al. 2006, 2007; Getz et al. 2007b; Sun et al. 2007; Zhang and Al-Hashimi 2009).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Construct design and resonance assignments

We previously reported the dynamic properties of an isolated 12-nt ssRNA, hereafter referred to as SS, derived from a B. subtilis prequeuosine riboswitch (Eichhorn et al. 2012a). We designed a ssRNA–helix junction construct variant containing the same 12-nt ssRNA connected to a GC-rich 22-bp hairpin capped with the thermodynamically stable cUUCGg tetraloop (E-SS) (Fig. 1A). The ssRNA is adjoined at the 3’ end of the helix, analogous to its position in the wild-type prequeuosine riboswitch. The GC-rich helix incorporates three isotopically (13C/15N) labeled A-U “reporter” base pairs that are used to obtain information regarding the dynamic properties of the helix. The construct was prepared using isotopically enriched 13C/15N A and U nucleotides and unlabeled G and C nucleotides, thus rendering the vast majority of resonances in the long helix NMR invisible. The helix was designed to be longer than that found in the prequeuosine riboswitch in order to help decouple internal and overall motions, thus facilitating quantitative analysis of NMR 13C spin relaxation and RDC data in describing both the local flexibility of the ssRNA and motions of the ssRNA relative to the helix across the ssRNA–helix junction (Zhang et al. 2006, 2007; Getz et al. 2007b; Sun et al. 2007).

Analysis of 2D C-H and N-H HSQC NMR spectra confirmed that the E-SS construct folds into the predicted secondary structure (Fig. 1B,C). The imino 1H spectrum (Fig. 1C) revealed the expected number of base pairs within the helix. This, together with the observation of signature chemical shifts (CSs) for the cUUCGg tetraloop, confirmed that the hairpin adopts the expected helical conformation. In contrast, no imino resonances are observed for the ssRNA tail (Fig. 1C), indicating that it adopts a conformation lacking base-pairing interactions, as shown previously for the isolated ssRNA (Eichhorn et al. 2012a).

The resonances belonging to the reporter 13C/15N-labeled A and U residues embedded within the helix were assigned...
using a “divide and conquer” strategy similar to that used previously to assign elongated DNA helices (Nikolova and Al-Hashimi 2009). Specifically, we prepared an unlabeled NMR sample of a short (18-nt) helix containing the 5′-CUAC-3′ tetranucleotide step within the 22-bp helix, flanked on both 5′ and 3′ ends with two G-C base pairs and capped with a cUUCGg tetraloop (CUAC) (Fig. 1A,B). Resonances in this construct were easily assigned using standard 2D $^1$H–$^1$H NOESY experiments and transferred to the E-SS construct based on excellent spectral overlap (Fig. 1B). As further confirmation of the assignments, resonances belonging to the 22-bp helix have the expected weakened resonance intensities compared with ssRNA residues, consistent with a slower overall tumbling rate (Fig. 1B).

With the exception of junction residues A25 and U26, we were able to transfer all of the sugar (C1′H1′) and nucleobase (C6H6, C8H8, C2H2, and C5H5) resonance assignments from SS to E-SS based on excellent spectral overlap (Fig. 1B). The resonance assignments in E-SS were independently confirmed using 2D HCN experiments. The resonances belonging to A25 and U26 in E-SS were perturbed relative to SS and were difficult to assign because of severe spectral overlap in 2D NOESY spectra of E-SS. However, these resonances could be assigned using 2D NOESY spectra acquired on a construct containing a shorter helix and ssRNA that minimizes resonance overlap (E-SS$^{\text{short}}$) (Fig. 2A). Although the A25 C1′H1′ resonance could be assigned in the E-SS$^{\text{short}}$ spectrum, we were unable to unambiguously assign A25 C1′H1′ in E-SS; however, we did observe several resonances at the expected position that may reflect the conformational exchange and heterogeneity that disappears upon ligand binding (see below).

Impact of helix on ssRNA conformation and dynamics from CS analysis

We examined how the addition of the hairpin affects the CSs observed for the SS tail. In Figure 1D, we show the weighted (1H and $^{13}$C) CS differences between E-SS and SS. Significant CS perturbations (>0.5 ppm) are observed for junction residues A25 and U26, indicating a change in their local electronic environment due to addition of the hairpin (Fig. 1D). The specific upfield perturbations in both the 1H and $^{13}$C CSs for base moieties (C5H5, C6H6, C8H8, and C2H2) are consistent with a more helical conformation. Although analysis of the E-SS NOESY spectra was complicated by severe resonance overlap, in E-SS$^{\text{short}}$ we observe NOE connectivities between the terminal G1-C14 base pair and the junction ssRNA residue A25 (G1H1-A25H2, C14H1-A25H8, and G1H1-A25H2), as well as between A25 and U26 (A25H2-U26H1′, A26H8-U26H5) (Fig. 2B). This indicates that A25 and U26 stack onto the adjoined helix rather than forming flexible terminal-like residues. Prior studies have shown that ssRNA nucleotides that overhang the 3′ or 5′ termini stabilize the adjacent helix (O′Toole et al. 2005, 2006). Much smaller (<0.1 ppm) CS perturbations are observed at other residues in the ssRNA tail, including the adenine core (A30–A32), which also suggests a more helical conformation (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, these CS perturbations appear to depend on the length of the helix and/or ssRNA and are generally smaller in E-SS$^{\text{short}}$ (Fig. 2A).

We note that in E-SS but not E-SS$^{\text{short}}$, we observe additional (2x C8H8, 2x C2H2, and 5x C1′H1′) resonances that need to be accounted for. These resonances are sharp and have high intensities, indicating that they belong to highly disordered residues. They are apparent in freshly made samples and do not change over time. These resonances are overlapped in the 1H dimension and could not be unambiguously assigned in 2D NOESY spectra (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, based on 2D HCN experiments, two C8H8, two C2H2, and two C1′H1′ of these resonances belong to two adenine residues. The remaining three C1′H1′ resonances are clustered near the A25 CS position in the isolated SS construct, indicating the structure may be experiencing slow conformational exchange on the NMR timescale at the ssRNA–helix junction. While all five C1′H1′ resonance positions are consistent with a
terminal-like residue, the C8H8 and C2H2 resonances are similar to those observed for adenine residues within the A6-tract. Nucleobase C8H8 resonances for these adenine residues are shifted upfield with decreasing temperature, indicating increased stacking consistent with other adenine residues within the A6-tract; however, peak intensities do not appear to significantly change relative to other ssRNA resonances, suggesting the population of this alternative state is not temperature dependent. Interestingly, these adenine resonances are also observed in spectra of the 36-nt prequeuosine aptamer in the absence of ligand, but they disappear upon ligand binding (Fig. 2C). These resonances are therefore unlikely to arise from UV chemical damage to the RNA (Greenfeld et al. 2011; Kladwang et al. 2012) or from $N + 1$ products during in vitro RNA transcription (Milligan et al. 1987; Cazenave and Uhlenbeck 1994; Pleiss et al. 1998; Helm et al. 1999). Rather, these additional resonances could reflect an alternative conformation for adenine residues A25, A27, and A28 near the junction that is in slow exchange with the major state. Integration of adenine resonance volumes suggests that A27 and A28 could be experiencing the exchange due to reduced volumes relative to other adenine residues within the A6-tract (data not shown). Analysis of RDCs and $^{13}$C relaxation values measured for these resonances (Supplemental Tables S1, S2) suggests that these additional resonances belong to disordered residues, with near-zero RDCs and low $R_2/R_1$ values.

**Picosecond–nanosecond motions from $^{13}$C spin relaxation**

To examine the dynamic properties of E-SS at picosecond-to-nanosecond timescales, we measured $^{13}$C longitudinal ($R_1$) and transverse ($R_2$) spin relaxation data in E-SS for the nucleobase C2, C6, and C8 carbons as previously described (Eichhorn et al. 2012a). This allowed us to examine how the adjoining hairpin impacts local motions in the ssRNA tail, as well as obtain insights into motions of the ssRNA tail relative to the helix. Our previous $^{13}$C relaxation NMR studies of isolated SS made it difficult to obtain insights into the absolute amplitudes of internal motions owing to significant correlations between the internal and overall motions and lack of a frame of reference for characterizing overall motions (Zhang et al. 2006). In the E-SS construct, the adjoining helix helps decouple internal and overall motions and provides a reference for assessing overall motions, making it easier to measure the absolute level of motions in the ssRNA tail. This domain-elongation strategy has widely been applied in studies of RNA systems, but never for an ssRNA tail (Getz et al. 2007a; Bothe et al. 2011).

In Figure 3A we compare the $R_2/R_1$ values measured for each carbon site in the isolated SS construct with those measured when adjoining the helix. The $R_2/R_1$ values provide a measure of the extent of internal and overall motions occurring at nanosecond timescales. In the isolated SS, we observe a pattern in which the central adenine residues A29–A32 are the most ordered and have the highest $R_2/R_1$ values (Eichhorn et al. 2012a). As residues extend away from the polyadenine core, the levels of dynamics gradually increase, with maximum dynamics observed for the terminal residues A25 and A36 (Eichhorn et al. 2012a). Interestingly, the addition of the helix does not significantly affect the overall dynamics pattern in the ssRNA tail (Fig. 3A). However, there are two notable differences. First, while the dynamics of A27 are similar to that in the isolated SS, there is an abrupt decrease in dynamics at the junction residues A25 and U26, which have significantly elevated $R_2/R_1$ values that are comparable to those measured in the reporter A-U base pairs within the helix (Fig. 3A). This is consistent with CS and NOE data indicating stacking of these junction residues on the adjoined helix. Thus the pivot point for dynamics between the ssRNA tail and the helix is not the point of attachment (A25) but is rather the U26-A27 step, which is known to be highly flexible and which precedes the stable A6-tract in the ssRNA tail. Second, the $R_2/R_1$ values of A29–A32 in the A6-tract are significantly larger (about fourfold) in E-SS compared with SS. This may reflect comparatively greater stability within the ssRNA core due to the addition of the helix, or more likely, it reflects slower overall tumbling of E-SS compared with SS, which makes it possible to capture slower motions within the ssRNA tail. Independent support for the latter comes from the improved agreement with motional amplitudes derived from REMD simulations (see below).

We also compared the $2R_2 − R_1$ values for each carbon site, which is a good approximation for the order parameter $S^2$, describing the amplitude of motions occurring at rates faster than the overall molecular tumbling, and which varies between zero and one for maximum and minimum motions (Fushman et al. 1999; Hansen and Al-Hashimi 2007). We computed relative $S^2 (S^2_{rel})$ values by normalizing the $2R_2 − R_1$ values for each carbon type (C2, C6, C8) relative to the largest value measured in the stable A-form helix (Fig. 3B; Hansen et al. 2012a). As residues extend away from the polyadenine core, the levels of dynamics gradually increase, with maximum dynamics observed for the terminal residues A25 and A36 (Eichhorn et al. 2012a). Interestingly, the addition of the helix does not significantly affect the overall dynamics pattern in the ssRNA tail (Fig. 3A). However, there are two notable differences. First, while the dynamics of A27 are similar to that in the isolated SS, there is an abrupt decrease in dynamics at the junction residues A25 and U26, which have significantly elevated $R_2/R_1$ values that are comparable to those measured in the reporter A-U base pairs within the helix (Fig. 3A). This is consistent with CS and NOE data indicating stacking of these junction residues on the adjoined helix. Thus the pivot point for dynamics between the ssRNA tail and the helix is not the point of attachment (A25) but is rather the U26-A27 step, which is known to be highly flexible and which precedes the stable A6-tract in the ssRNA tail. Second, the $R_2/R_1$ values of A29–A32 in the A6-tract are significantly larger (about fourfold) in E-SS compared with SS. This may reflect comparatively greater stability within the ssRNA core due to the addition of the helix, or more likely, it reflects slower overall tumbling of E-SS compared with SS, which makes it possible to capture slower motions within the ssRNA tail. Independent support for the latter comes from the improved agreement with motional amplitudes derived from REMD simulations (see below).

We also compared the $2R_2 − R_1$ values for each carbon site, which is a good approximation for the order parameter $S^2$, describing the amplitude of motions occurring at rates faster than the overall molecular tumbling, and which varies between zero and one for maximum and minimum motions (Fushman et al. 1999; Hansen and Al-Hashimi 2007). We computed relative $S^2 (S^2_{rel})$ values by normalizing the $2R_2 − R_1$ values for each carbon type (C2, C6, C8) relative to the largest value measured in the stable A-form helix (Fig. 3B; Hansen et al. 2012a). As residues extend away from the polyadenine core, the levels of dynamics gradually increase, with maximum dynamics observed for the terminal residues A25 and A36 (Eichhorn et al. 2012a). Interestingly, the addition of the helix does not significantly affect the overall dynamics pattern in the ssRNA tail (Fig. 3A). However, there are two notable differences. First, while the dynamics of A27 are similar to that in the isolated SS, there is an abrupt decrease in dynamics at the junction residues A25 and U26, which have significantly elevated $R_2/R_1$ values that are comparable to those measured in the reporter A-U base pairs within the helix (Fig. 3A). This is consistent with CS and NOE data indicating stacking of these junction residues on the adjoined helix. Thus the pivot point for dynamics between the ssRNA tail and the helix is not the point of attachment (A25) but is rather the U26-A27 step, which is known to be highly flexible and which precedes the stable A6-tract in the ssRNA tail. Second, the $R_2/R_1$ values of A29–A32 in the A6-tract are significantly larger (about fourfold) in E-SS compared with SS. This may reflect comparatively greater stability within the ssRNA core due to the addition of the helix, or more likely, it reflects slower overall tumbling of E-SS compared with SS, which makes it possible to capture slower motions within the ssRNA tail. Independent support for the latter comes from the improved agreement with motional amplitudes derived from REMD simulations (see below).

We also compared the $2R_2 − R_1$ values for each carbon site, which is a good approximation for the order parameter $S^2$, describing the amplitude of motions occurring at rates faster than the overall molecular tumbling, and which varies between zero and one for maximum and minimum motions (Fushman et al. 1999; Hansen and Al-Hashimi 2007). We computed relative $S^2 (S^2_{rel})$ values by normalizing the $2R_2 − R_1$ values for each carbon type (C2, C6, C8) relative to the largest value measured in the stable A-form helix (Fig. 3B; Hansen et al. 2012a). As residues extend away from the polyadenine core, the levels of dynamics gradually increase, with maximum dynamics observed for the terminal residues A25 and A36 (Eichhorn et al. 2012a). Interestingly, the addition of the helix does not significantly affect the overall dynamics pattern in the ssRNA tail (Fig. 3A). However, there are two notable differences. First, while the dynamics of A27 are similar to that in the isolated SS, there is an abrupt decrease in dynamics at the junction residues A25 and U26, which have significantly elevated $R_2/R_1$ values that are comparable to those measured in the reporter A-U base pairs within the helix (Fig. 3A). This is consistent with CS and NOE data indicating stacking of these junction residues on the adjoined helix. Thus the pivot point for dynamics between the ssRNA tail and the helix is not the point of attachment (A25) but is rather the U26-A27 step, which is known to be highly flexible and which precedes the stable A6-tract in the ssRNA tail. Second, the $R_2/R_1$ values of A29–A32 in the A6-tract are significantly larger (about fourfold) in E-SS compared with SS. This may reflect comparatively greater stability within the ssRNA core due to the addition of the helix, or more likely, it reflects slower overall tumbling of E-SS compared with SS, which makes it possible to capture slower motions within the ssRNA tail. Independent support for the latter comes from the improved agreement with motional amplitudes derived from REMD simulations (see below).
and Al-Hashimi 2007). Similar $S_{rel}^2$ values of 0.3 are observed for the polyadenine tract in E-SS and SS, which agrees well with computed $S_{rel}^2$ values from the previous REMD simulations (Eichhorn et al. 2012a). On the other hand, due to the slowing down of overall tumbling, larger motional amplitudes (lower $S_{rel}^2$ values) are observed for residues near the terminal ends, which are in better agreement with the REMD simulation (Fig. 3B, open circles). Many of these dynamics observations are mirrored independently in measured RDCs (see below).

**Global structure and sub-millisecond motions from RDCs**

We measured RDCs (Tolman et al. 1995; Tjandra and Bax 1997) to gain further insights in the structural and dynamic behavior of the ssRNA–helix junction. RDCs depend on the orientation of a bond vector relative to an order tensor frame describing the average orientation of an aligned molecule relative to the applied magnetic field (Tolman et al. 1995; Tjandra and Bax 1997). They provide long-range structural information and are also sensitive to motions occurring over a broad range of timescales (picoseconds to milliseconds) (Tolman et al. 1997; Peti et al. 2002; Tolman and Ruan 2006; Getz et al. 2007a; Bothe et al. 2011; Eichhorn et al. 2012b).

We measured RDCs for base C5H5, C6H6, C8H8, and C2H2 and ribose C1′H1′ moieties by aligning E-SS in ~8 mg/mL Pf1 phage (Fig. 4A; Clere et al. 1998; Hansen et al. 2000). Since the elongated helix is expected to be locally rigid and to partially dominate alignment with its long helical axis aligned on average nearly parallel to the magnetic field, nucleobases within the helix are expected to be aligned nearly perpendicular to the principal direction of order ($S_{zz}$) describing the average direction of the molecule relative to the magnetic field (Zhang et al. 2007). Accordingly, the C-H RDCs measured in the nucleobases and sugars have the expected positive and negative values, respectively.

Toward the ssRNA tail, the residue-specific trends in the RDCs are similar to those observed with $^{13}$C spin relaxation. The magnitude of RDCs measured for junction residues A25 and U26 approaches those of the helical residues, consistent with stacking of these residues on the helix and with localization of the pivot points for motions between the ssRNA tail and helix not at the point of attachment (A25) but rather at the U26-A27 step, consistent with the $^{13}$C spin relaxation data. Once again, we observe an abrupt reduction in the magnitude of RDCs measured in A27, and the RDC values subsequently increase toward the core of the ssRNA, reaching a near maximum value at A30, before beginning to reduce again toward the terminal end. This pattern of dynamics is in very good agreement with those seen by $^{13}$C spin relaxation. The similar signs observed for the base and sugar RDCs in the ssRNA tail and helix are consistent with the ssRNA tail adopting a conformation that is near coaxial with the helix.

To gain further insights into the dynamics of the ssRNA–helix junction, including the orientation and dynamics of the ssRNA tail relative to the reference helix, RDCs measured in the helix and ssRNA were subjected to an order tensor analysis (Losonczi et al. 1999; Bailor et al. 2007). Here, measured RDCs and an assumed local geometry for a given fragment are used to determine five elements of an order tensor describing the alignment of the fragment relative to the applied magnetic field (Saupe 1968); three Euler angles specify a principal ordering frame that describes the average orientation of the fragment relative to the applied magnetic field; a generalized degree of order ($\theta$) (Tolman et al. 2001) describes the degree of fragment alignment; and an asymmetry parameter ($\eta = S_{xy} - S_{xz}/S_{zz}$) describes the asymmetry of alignment. The relative orientation of fragments can be determined by superimposing their respective order tensor frames (Losonczi et al. 1999). The relative ratio of the fragment $\theta$ values ($\theta_{int} = \theta_{SS}/\theta_{helix}$) describes the extent of interfragment motions and ranges between zero and one for maximum and minimum motional amplitudes (Tolman et al. 2001). Comparison of the fragment asymmetry values can provide insights into motional asymmetry (Tolman et al. 2001).

We determined order tensors for the helix and ssRNA fragments assuming idealized A-form helix geometry (Muselman et al. 2006). We previously showed that RDCs measured in the isolated SS tail can be well described by an A-form helical geometry (Eichhorn et al. 2012a). To further examine whether the SS tail adopts an A-form geometry when appended to a helix, we examined the agreement between the measured RDCs and values predicted using the best-fit order tensor for the following local geometries: (1) A-form helix, (2) B-form helix, (3) the average structure obtained from a previous MD simulation of the SS tail (Eichhorn et al. 2012a), and (4) X-ray structure (3FU2) and (5) NMR (2L1V) structure of the preQ1-bound RNA (Supplemental Table S3). Consistent with the 12-nt SS construct, an A-form geometry showed the best agreement with the measured RDCs. As shown in Figure 4B, for both the helical and ssRNA
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**FIGURE 4.** RDC analysis of global structure and dynamics of the ssRNA–helix junction. (A) RDCs measured in E-SS. Dashed vertical lines separate the UUCG tetraloop, helical residues, and ssRNA residues. (B) Comparison of measured RDCs and back-calculated values for the 60-nt E-SS construct using the best-fit order tensor. The correlation constant ($R^2$) and root mean square deviation (RMSD) are given at the top.
TABLE 1. Summary of order tensor parameters

| Domain | N | CN (%) | RMSD (Hz) | $R^2$ | $\vartheta \times 10^{-3}$ | $\theta_{int}$ | $\vartheta$ | $\xi$ |
|--------|---|--------|-----------|-------|----------------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| Helix  | 11 | 4.37   | 0.99      | 1.7 ± 0.07 | 0.5  | 16    | -1   |
| ssRNA  | 14 | 2.46   | 2.9       | 0.95   | 0.67 ± 0.13 | 0.85 ± 0.12 | ±0.07    | ±3    |

Values are as follows: number of RDCs (N) used in the order tensor analysis; condition number (CN), defined as the ratio of the largest to smallest singular value in the singular value decomposition (Tolman et al., 2001); the quality, or $Q$, factor, which compares the agreement between calculated ($D^{calc}$) and observed ($D^{obs}$) RDCs and is defined as $Q$ = rms ($D^{calc} - D^{obs}$)/rms($D^{calc}$) (Cornilescu et al., 1998; Bax, 2003); RMSD between experimental RDCs and RDCs calculated with best-fit order tensor parameters; correlation constant ($R^2$) between experimental RDCs and values calculated with best-fit order tensor parameters; asymmetry ($\eta$); generalized degree of order ($\vartheta$) computed from order tensor analysis; inter-helical degree of order ($\theta_{int}$) between ssRNA and helix; bend angle ($\theta$) between ssRNA and helix; and twist ($\xi$) between ssRNA and helix.

For the ssRNA tail residues, we observe very good agreement between the measured RDCs and values back-predicted using the best-fit order tensor. The root mean square deviation (RMSD) between measured and predicted values is 1.5 Hz and 2.9 Hz for helix and ssRNA tail, respectively (Table 1). This compares to an RMSD, normalized to the degree of alignment of E-SS, of 0.9 Hz for the isolated SS. The order tensor elements were further examined using “leave-one-out” cross-validation. Here one measured RDC is omitted from the order tensor determination, and its value is back-predicted using the order tensor determined using all other RDCs. The process is repeated each time omitting a different RDC data point. This validation helps to identify RDCs that may strongly bias the order tensor. As shown in Figure 4B, we obtain excellent agreement in this cross-validation analysis (RMSD = 3.62 Hz) (Fig. 4B). These results suggest that both the helix and ssRNA tail assume an A-form helix-like geometry.

As expected, the helix exhibited a larger level of alignment ($\vartheta_{helix} = 1.7 ± 0.07 \times 10^{-3}$) compared with the more flexible ssRNA tail ($\vartheta_{ss} = 0.85 ± 0.1 \times 10^{-3}$), yielding a small $\theta_{int} = 0.5$ value between the two fragments. This small $\theta_{int}$ value very likely captures both collective motions of the ssRNA tail relative to the helix as well as any local motions within the ssRNA tail. As we discussed for the isolated SS construct, it is likely that any local motions in the SS tail correspond to isotropic motions due to partial melting of the stack, which result in uniform scaling of the RDCs without significantly affecting their agreement with an A-form geometry (Eichhorn et al., 2012a).

Next we determined the average relative orientation of the two fragments by superimposing their respective order tensor frames. The order tensor frame is degenerate with respect to 180° rotations about the $S_{xx}$, $S_{yy}$, $S_{zz}$ principal directions, resulting in four distinct solutions (which we will refer to as “initial,” $S_{xx} + 180°$, $S_{yy} + 180°$, and $S_{zz} + 180°$) for assembling two fragments (Al-Hashimi et al., 2000).

We assembled the four conformations by connecting the backbone heavy atoms of residue C-1 and examined the resulting structure for steric clashes. In addition, we subjected each resulting conformation to a structure-based calculation of the order tensor using the program PALES (Zweckstetter et al., 2004; Zweckstetter, 2008). Here, the overall alignment frame of the molecule is predicted based on its overall shape. The predicted alignment frames were then compared to those determined experimentally.

The $S_{xx} + 180°$ and $S_{yy} + 180°$ conformations are unlikely to be viable solutions because they result in steric clashes between the ssRNA tail and the helix (Fig. 5A). Moreover, they result in overall shapes and PALES-predicted order tensor frames that deviate somewhat from those determined experimentally (deviations in $S_{xx}$ direction are ∼4° and 7°, respectively) (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, one of the conformations ($S_{zz} + 180°$) features the ssRNA tail possibly docked into the helix in a manner reminiscent of the conformation observed for preQ1 bound to ligand (Fig. 5A). However, such a conformation is unlikely to exist in great abundance given the very large differences in the CSs observed for residues in the ssRNA tail between the free and ligand-bound aptamer structures due to the A₆ core transitioning from unpaired nucleotides to forming hydrogen bonds along the minor groove of the adjacent 3’ helix. While both the “initial” and the $S_{xx} + 180°$ solutions do not lead to significant steric clashes (Fig. 5A), the “initial” conformation leads to favorable stacking between the ssRNA tail and helix, consistent with observed NOEs, and also yields slightly better agreement between measured and predicted order tensor frames (deviations in the $S_{xx}$...
axis are 2° compared with 6°) (Fig. 5B). This conformation is characterized by average interhelical bend ($\beta_{11}$) and twist ($\xi = \omega_{11} + \gamma_{11}$) (Bailor et al. 2011) angles of $-16^\circ$ and $-1^\circ$, respectively, indicating that on average the ssRNA tail and the helix favor coaxial stacking with minimal interhelical twisting.

It is of interest to examine how the addition of the helix affects the overall alignment of the ssRNA tail. We previously showed that despite adopting on average an A-form-like conformation, the experimentally determined $S_{zz}$ axis for SS deviates from the helix axis by $\sim 20^\circ$ (Fig. 5C; Eichhorn et al. 2012a). Such a deviation was also predicted for SS using PALES ($\sim 14^\circ$) and was attributed to the absence of the complementary strand, which leads to an overall shape with a long axis that is not coincident with the helical axis. Interestingly, the addition of the helix to the ssRNA tail results in an $S_{zz}$ direction in E-SS that deviates by as little as $\sim 10^\circ$ from the helix axis for the ssRNA (Fig. 5C). This is again consistent with the helix adopting a conformation that is nearly coaxial with the ssRNA tail, resulting in an overall shape that is more coincident with the common helix axis.

Implications for prequeuosine riboswitch function

The ssRNA sequence used in our study is located in the prequeuosine riboswitch as a 3′ overhang. On binding ligand, the ssRNA tail forms a sharp kink at the first residue (A25) at the ssRNA–helix junction site and forms a pseudoknot, where the polyadenine tract forms A-minor interactions to the helix and 3′ terminal residues base pair to the apical loop (Kang et al. 2009; Klein et al. 2009; Spitale et al. 2009; Rieder et al. 2010; Feng et al. 2011). Our studies indicate that the first two residues of the ssRNA–helix junction stack upon and extend the helix (Fig. 6). In order to form the bound conformation, A25 must unstack from the terminal helical base pair and form a sharp turn. The high level of dynamics at A27 indicates that the U26-A27 step acts as a pivot point about which the ssRNA tail moves. U-A dinucleotide steps have weak stacking energies compared with other dinucleotide steps, which may influence the dynamics at this site. A degree of flexibility near the junction site may be necessary in order to efficiently bind ligand: If the ssRNA rigidly stacks upon the helix, it may be unable to fold into the pseudoknot-structured structure. Conversely, if the ssRNA was very flexible in a random conformation, the large amount of accessible conformational space may inhibit efficient ligand binding. In support of the importance of the pivot point in the prequeuosine riboswitch, a pyrimidine is nearly always observed within 3 nt of the ssRNA–helix junction. A combination of a flexible junction with an ordered central region likely allows for competent binding in a timely manner while maintaining structural plasticity to rapidly adopt the bound conformation in the presence of ligand.

CONCLUSIONS

ssRNA–helix junctions are ubiquitous throughout nature, yet the structural and dynamic properties of these junctions remain poorly understood. Although some studies on short 1- to 3-nt 3′ and 5′ ssRNA overhangs have reported the impact of a ssRNA overhang on helix stability, few studies have focused on the behavior of ssRNA at the end of a helix. Our data suggest that the ssRNA tail is partially ordered, on average adopting an A-form helical-like conformation that is stacked upon the helix. While the first two residues A25 and U26 stably stack upon the helix, the ssRNA tail retains a high level of dynamics, particularly at A27, indicating this residue acts as a pivot point. The degree of order increases along the central polyadenine residues, behaving similarly to the isolated 12-nt SS construct, with the degree of order approaching that of the 12-nt SS construct for the last 4 nt at the 3′-end. The appearance of additional resonances suggests conformational exchange and heterogeneity that may involve transitions between coaxial and disordered ssRNA conformations. Our results reveal a high degree of structural and dynamic complexity at the ssRNA–helix junction, which involves a fine balance between order and disorder that may facilitate efficient pseudoknot formation of the prequeuosine riboswitch on ligand recognition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample preparation

The $^{13}$C/$^{15}$N A/U-labeled E-SS construct was prepared by in vitro transcription using T7 RNA polymerase as described previously (Zhang et al. 2006). The RNA was repeatedly exchanged into NMR buffer (25 mM NaCl, 15 mM sodium phosphate at pH 6.4, 0.1 mM EDTA) using an Amicon Ultra-4 (Millipore). The final RNA concentration was $\sim 0.4$ mM. CUAC and E-SS$^{\text{short}}$ constructs were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies as a lyophilized powder at natural abundance. To prepare the sample, the RNA was dissolved in ddH$_2$O and annealed for 5 min at 95°C followed by multiple steps of buffer exchange into NMR buffer. The final RNA concentration was $\sim 2$ mM.
Resonance assignments

All NMR experiments were performed at 298 K, unless specified otherwise, on a Bruker Avance 600-MHz spectrometer equipped with a triple-resonance cryogenic (5-mm) probe. NMR spectra were analyzed using NMRDraw (Delaglio et al. 1995) and Sparky 3 (Goddard and Kneller 2004). The $^{1}H$, $^{13}C$, and $^{15}N$ resonances in E-SS were assigned using standard homonuclear and heteronuclear 2D experiments as well as a “divide and conquer” strategy to assign helical resonances. The CUAC construct was assigned with the $\Delta \delta$ order parameters (Lipari and Szabo 1982) using the equation $\Delta \delta = \sqrt{(\Delta \delta_{H})^2 + (0.25 \Delta \delta_{C})^2}$, where $\Delta \delta_{H}$ and $\Delta \delta_{C}$ are the $\Delta \delta$ differences in proton and carbon dimension, respectively (Cavanagh 2007).

Carbon spin relaxation

Longitudinal ($R_{L}$) and transverse ($R_{T}$) carbon relaxation data for the nucleobases (C2, C6, and C8) were measured as described previously (Hansen and Al-Hashimi 2007). Due to significant differences in the relaxation properties between the elongated helix and the ssRNA, relaxation delays were optimized to each domain. The relaxation parameters used are as follows (in msec): 20, 160, 320, 400 (in duplicate), and 480 for $R_{L}$ and 4, 16, 40 (in duplicate), 60 (in triplicate), and 80 (in duplicate) for $R_{T}$. Relaxation delays were performed in an interleaved manner with alternating short and long relaxation delays. $R_{L}$ and $R_{T}$ rates are listed in Supplemental Data.

The measured $R_{L}$ and $R_{T}$ values were used to compute relative order parameters (Lipari and Szabo 1982) using $S_{rel} = (2R_{L} - R_{T})$ (Dethoff et al. 2008) and normalized to yield a relative order parameter ($S^{2}_{rel}$) describing the relative degree of order within a molecule ranging from zero to one, where zero and one represent the minimum and maximum order, respectively. The $S^{2}_{rel}$ values were normalized against the helical residues with the highest values: A-30 (C8), A-40 (C2), and U-19 (C6).

Measurement and order tensor analysis of RDCs

Base and sugar $^{1}H-^{13}C$ splittings were measured from the difference between the upfield and downfield components of the $^{1}H-^{13}C$ doublet along the $^1H$ component using the narrow transverse relaxation-optimized spectroscopy (TROSY) component in the $^{13}C$ dimension as implemented in 2D $^{1}H-^{13}C$ $^{3}J$-coupled singlet quantum correlation (HSQC) experiments (Meissner and Sorensen 1999). The measured $^{2}H$ splitting was $\sim8$ Hz in the presence of $\sim8$ mg/ml Pf1 phage (Asda Biotech). Idealized A-form structures were constructed using Insight II (Molecular Simulations) correcting for the propeller twist angles from $+15^\circ$ to $-15^\circ$ using an in-house program (Bailor et al. 2007). The measured RDCs are listed in the Supplemental Data. The experimental error was estimated to be $\sim3$ Hz, determined by repeated measurements of the weaker helical resonances.

RDCs from the elongated helix and 3’ ssRNA tail were independently subjected to order tensor analysis using idealized A-form helices (Bailor et al. 2007). Previous NMR studies of the 12-nt ssRNA showed that the ssRNA can be modeled as an idealized helix for RDC order tensor analysis (Eichhorn et al. 2012a). Briefly, the measured RDCs and idealized A-form helices were used to determine the best-fit order tensors for both helical and ssRNA domains using singular value decomposition, implemented by the in-house written program RAMAH (Zhang et al. 2007). Another in-house program, Aforn-RDC, was used to determine the order tensor errors due to inherent structural noise as well as RDC uncertainty (Musselman et al. 2006). The final RNA structure was assembled by rotating each domain into the principal axis system (PAS) of each best-fit order tensor and assembling the two helices. RDCs from tetraloop residue U-26, as well as the terminal end residues A35-A35, were excluded from analysis due to a high level of dynamics as observed in $^{13}C$ spin relaxation measurements. The interhelical angles were calculated using an in-house program as previously described (Bailor et al. 2007).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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