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Abstract - The graph is a great mathematical tool, which has been effectively applied to many fields such as economy, informatics, communication, transportation, etc. It can be seen that in an ordinary graph the weights of edges and vertexes are taken into account independently where the length of a path is the sum of weights of the edges and the vertexes on that path. Nevertheless, in many practical problems, weights at vertexes are not equal for all paths going through these vertexes, but are depending on coming and leaving edges. Moreover, on a network, the capacities of edges and vertexes are shared by many goods with different costs. Therefore, it is necessary to study networks with multiple weights. Models of extended multi-cost multi-commodity networks can be applied to modelize many practical problems more exactly and effectively. The presented article studies the maximal concurrent minimal cost flow problems on multi-cost and multi-commodity networks, which are modeled as optimization problems. On the base of the algorithm to find maximal concurrent flow and the algorithm to find maximal concurrent limited cost flow, an effective polynomial approximate procedure is developed to find a good solution.
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1. Introduction

Network and its flow is a excellent mathematical tool applied in many practical problems, but up to now, most of the applications in traditional network have only considered the weights of edges and nodes which are taken into account independently where the path length is the sum of weights of the edges and the nodes on that path. However, there are many problems in practice, where the weight at a vertex is not equal for all paths passing through that vertex, but also depends on the incoming and outgoing edges of that vertex. For instance, the transit time on the transport network depends on the direction of transportation: going straight, turning left or turning right, and even some directions are forbidden. In order to solve the above problems, the article [1] introduces switching cost only for directed graphs. In addition, there are many types of goods on the network, with different costs for each type of goods. From that, the authors in the work [2] have given the idea of using the theory of duality in linear programming to solve these problems. Consequently, it is necessary to build a multi-commodity extended mixed network model to be able to apply the modeling of real problems more accurately and effectively. The articles [3-11] the authors have studied multi-commodity flows on ordinary networks. Besides, in articles [12-22] scientists have studied the problems of single-cost multi-commodity flow in logistics and transportation systems, economic and energy sectors, and communications and computer networks. The maximal multi-cost multi-commodity flow problems presented by the authors in the work [23-24]. In the articles [25-26] the authors have studied the maximal multi-cost multi-commodity flow limited cost problems. The maximal concurrent flow problems on extended multi-cost multi-commodity networks is presented in the works [27], [28], and in the works [29], [30] the authors have studied the maximal concurrent multi-commodity multi-cost flow problems.

This article studies maximal concurrent minimal cost, multi-cost and multi-commodity flow problems which are modeled as optimization problems. On the base of the algorithm to find the maximal concurrent flow and the algorithm to find the maximal concurrent limited cost flow, an effective polynomial approximate procedure is developed to find a good solution.

2. Multi-commodity flows in extended multi-cost multi-commodity network

Let \( G = (V, E) \) be a mixed graph, where \( V \) is the node set and \( E \) is the edge set. The edges may be directed or undirected. For all nodes \( u \in V \) we denote symbol \( E_u \) the set of edges incident node \( u \). There are some kinds of goods circulating on the network. The nodes and the edges of the graph are shared by goods with different costs. The undirected edges represent the two-way edge, in which the commodities on the same edge, but reverse directions, share the capacity of the edge.

Let \( r \) denote the number of commodities, \( q_i > 0 \) is the coefficient of conversion of commodity type \( l \), \( l = 1..r \).

We define the following functions:

- **Edge circulating capacity function** \( cv:E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* \), where \( cv(v) \) is the circulating capability of the edge \( v \in E \).

- **Edge service coefficient function** \( zv:E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* \), where \( zv(v) \) is the circulating ratio of the edge \( v \in E \) (the real capacity of the edge \( v \) is \( zv(v).cv(v) \)).

- **Node circulating capability function** \( cu:V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* \), where \( cu(u) \) is the circulating capability of the node \( u \in V \).

- **Node service coefficient function** \( zu:V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* \), where \( zu(u) \) is the circulating ratio of the node \( u \in V \) (the real capacity of the node \( u \) is \( zu(u).cu(u) \)).

The tuple \( (V, E, cv, zv, cu, zu) \) is called an extended network.

- **Edge cost function of commodity kind** \( l \), \( l = 1..r \), \( bv:E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* \), where \( bv(v) \) is the cost of circulating the edge...
\( v \in E \) a converted unit of commodity of kind \( l \). Note that with undirected edges, the costs of each direction may vary.

**Node switch cost function of commodity kind \( l \), \( l=1..r \), \( b_{uv}: V \times E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \), where \( b_{uv}(u,v,v') \) is the cost of passing a converted unit of commodity of kind \( l \) from edge \( v \) through node \( u \) to edge \( v' \).**

The set \( (V, E, cv, zv, cu, zu, \{b_{uv}, bu_u, q_l \} l=1..r) \) is called the **multi-cost multi-commodity extended network**.

**Note:** If \( b_{uv}(v,v')=\infty \), goods of kind \( l \) is forbidden from passing on edge \( v \). If \( b_{uv}(u,v,v')=\infty \), goods of kind \( l \) is forbidden from edge \( v \) through vertex \( u \) to edge \( v' \).

Let \( p \) be the path from vertex \( u \) to vertex \( n \) through edges \( v_j, j=1..(h+1) \), and vertices \( u_p, j=1..h \) as follows:

\[
p = [u, v_1, u_1, v_2, u_2, \ldots, v_h, u_h, v_{h+1}, n]
\]

(1)

The cost of transferring a converted unit of commodity of kind \( l \), \( l=1..r \), on the path \( p \), is denoted by the symbol \( b_h(p) \), and calculated as following:

\[
b_h(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{h+1} b_{v_j}(v_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{h} b_{u_j}(u_j, v_j, v_{j+1})
\]

(2)

Given a multi-cost multi-commodity extended network \( (V, E, cv, zv, cu, zu, \{b_{uv}, bu_u, q_l \} l=1..r) \). Assume that for each goods kind \( l \), \( l=1..r \), there are \( k_l \) source-target pairs \( (s_{ij}, t_{ij}) \), \( j=1..k_l \), each pair assigned a quantity of goods of kind \( l \), that is necessary to move from source node \( s_{ij} \) to destination node \( t_{ij} \).

Let \( Q_{ij} \) denote the set of paths from node \( s_{ij} \) to node \( t_{ij} \) in \( G \), which goods of kind \( l \) can be circulated, \( l=1..r \), \( j=1..k_l \). Set

\[
Q_l = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_l} Q_{ij}, \forall l=1..r
\]

(3)

For each path \( p \in Q_{ij}, l=1..r \), \( j=1..k_l \), denote \( x_{ij}(p) \) the flow of converted commodity of kind \( l \) from the source node \( s_{ij} \) to the target node \( t_{ij} \) along the path \( p \).

Let \( Q_{lv} \) denote the set of paths in \( Q_l \) passing through the edge \( v \), \( \forall v \in E \).

Let \( Q_{uv} \) denote the set of paths in \( Q_l \) passing through the vertex \( u \), \( \forall u \in V \).

A set \( F = \{x_{ij}(p) \mid p \in Q_{ij}, l=1..r \}, j=1..k_l \} \) is called a **multi-commodity flow** on the multi-cost and multi-commodity extended network, if the following *node and edge capacity* constraints are satisfied:

**The edge capacity constraints:**

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{k_l} x_{ij}(p) \leq cv(v).zv(v), \forall v \in E
\]

and the vertex capacity constraints:

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{k_l} x_{ij}(p) \leq cu(u).zu(u), \forall u \in V
\]

(4)

(5)

The expressions

\[
fv_{lj} = \sum_{p \in Q_{ij}} x_{ij}(p), l=1..r, j=1..k_l
\]

(6)

are called the **flow value of commodity type \( l \) of the source-target pair \( (s_{ij}, t_{ij}) \) of the multi-commodity flow \( F \).**

The expressions

\[
fv_{l} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_l} fv_{lj}, l=1..r
\]

(7)

are called the **flow value of commodity type \( l \) of the multi-commodity flow \( F \).**

The expressions

\[
fv = \sum_{l=1}^{r} fv_{l}
\]

(8)

is called the **flow value of the multi-commodity flow \( F \).**

### 3. Maximal concurrent minimal cost, multi-cost and multi-commodity flow problems

Given a multi-cost multi-commodity extended network \( G=(V, E, cv, zv, cu, zu, \{b_{uv}, bu_u, q_l \} l=1..r) \). Assume that for each goods kind \( l \), \( l=1..r \), there are \( k_l \) source-target pairs \( (s_{ij}, t_{ij}) \), \( j=1..k_l \), each pair assigned a quantity \( D_{ij} \) of goods of type \( l \), that is required to transferred from source node \( s_{ij} \) to target node \( t_{ij} \).

The mission of the problem is to find a maximal concurrent coefficient \( \lambda \) with approximation ratio \( \omega \) such that there exists a flow converting \( \lambda D_{ij} \) unit of goods kind \( l \), \( l=1..r \), from source node \( s_{ij} \) to target nodes \( t_{ij}, \forall j=1..k_l \), and the total cost is minimal.

Set

\[
d_{ij} = q_l D_{ij}, \forall l=1..r, \forall j=1..k_l
\]

(9)

The problem is expressed by means of an optimization model \((P)\) as follows:

\[
\lambda \rightarrow \text{max}
\]

satisfies

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{k_l} \sum_{p \in Q_{ij}} x_{ij}(p) \leq cv(v).zv(v), \forall v \in E
\]

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{k_l} \sum_{p \in Q_{ij}} x_{ij}(p) \leq cu(u).zu(u), \forall u \in V
\]

(10)

(11)

\[
\sum_{p \in Q_{ij}} x_{ij}(p) \geq \lambda d_{ij}, \forall l=1..r, \forall j=1..k_l
\]

\[
x_{ij}(p) \geq 0, \forall l=1..r, \forall j=1..k_l, \forall p \in Q_{ij}
\]

and the total cost

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{k_l} \sum_{p \in Q_{ij}} x_{ij}(p) b_{l}(p)
\]

is reduced as much as possible.

### 4. Algorithm

**Input:** Multi-cost multi-commodity extended network \( G=(V, E, cv, zv, cu, zu, \{b_{uv}, bu_u, q_l \} l=1..r) \), \( n=|V|, m=|E| \). Assume that for each goods of kind \( l \), \( l=1..r \), there are \( k_l \) source-target pairs \( (s_{ij}, t_{ij}) \), \( j=1..k_l \), each pair assigned a quantity \( D_{ij} \) of goods of kind \( l \), that is necessary to move
from source node $s_{ij}$ to target node $t_{ij}$. Given $\omega$ be the required approximation ratio.

\textbf{Output:} Maximal concurrent flow $F$ represents a set of converged flows at the edges

$$F = \{x_{ij}(v) \mid v \in E, i=1..r, j=1..k\}$$

with minimal total cost $B_f$.

\textbf{Algorithm}

Phase 1:

Run program maximal concurrent flow [28] with approximation ratio $\omega$ to get the maximal concurrent ratio $\lambda$, the maximal concurrent flow $F_0$ and the total cost $B_f$.

Set: $\lambda_{\text{max}} = \lambda$;

$B_0 = B_f$.

Phase 2:

Run program maximal concurrent limited cost flow [30] with the limited cost $B_0$ and the approximation ratio $\omega$ to get the maximal concurrent ratio $\lambda_1$, the maximal concurrent flow $F_1$ and the total cost $B_1$;

// $B_1 \leq B_0$ and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_{\text{max}}$

Phase 3:

\begin{verbatim}
i = 1;
while ($\lambda_i > \lambda_{\text{max}}$) do
{
  Run program maximal concurrent limited cost flow article [30] with the limited cost $B_i$ and the approximation ratio $\omega$ to get the maximal concurrent ratio $\lambda_{i+1}$, the maximal concurrent flow $F_{i+1}$ and the total cost $B_{i+1}$;
  i=i+1;
}
\end{verbatim}

$B_i = B_{i-1}$

Phase 4:

\begin{verbatim}
while ($\lambda_i < \lambda_{\text{max}}$) do
{
  Run program maximal concurrent limited cost flow [30] with the limited cost $B_i$ and the approximation ratio $\omega$ to get the maximal concurrent ratio $\lambda_{i+1}$, the maximal concurrent flow $F_{i+1}$ and the total cost $B_{i+1}$;
  i=i+1;
}
\end{verbatim}

Result: Maximal concurrent ratio: $\lambda_{\text{max}}$

Maximal flow : $F_i$

Minimal total cost : $B_i$

\textbf{Theorem 1.} The algorithm gives maximal flow minimal cost with approximation ratio $\omega$.

\textit{Proof}

Obviously $B_1 \leq B_0$ and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_{\text{max}}$.

The phase 3 ends after finite loops for the the costs are strictly descending

$B_0 > B_1 > B_2 > \ldots > B_i > B_{i+1} > \ldots$

We prove that the phase 4 also ends after finite loops. Suppose the coefficients $\lambda_i$ are rounded to $p$ digits after the decimal point. We have

$$B_i = B_{i-1}*(\lambda_{\text{max}}/\lambda_i), \forall i \geq k \text{ and } \lambda_i < \lambda_{\text{max}}$$

We note that from $\lambda_i < \lambda_{\text{max}}$ it follows $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_{\text{max}} - 10^{-p}$ and $(\lambda_{\text{max}}/\lambda_i) \geq (\lambda_{\text{max}}/\lambda_{\text{max}} - 10^{-p}) = q > 1$.

Finally we have

$$B_i \geq B_{i-1}q > B_{i-2}q^2 \geq \ldots \geq B_{i-(i-k+1)}q^{i-(i-k+1)} = B^{\text{max}}q^{i-k+1},$$

$\forall i \geq k$ and $\lambda_i < \lambda_{\text{max}}$

Because $q^{i-k+1} \to \infty$ when $n \to \infty$, the phase 4 also ends after finite loops.

\textbf{Theorem 2.}

The algorithm’s complexity is

$$O((t_1+t_2).\omega^2.(cv\text{max}dd\text{max}).(\chi+k).m.n^3 \log (m+n+1)),$$

where $t_1$ is the number of loops of the phase 3 and $t_2$ is the number of loops of the phase 4, $m$ is the number of edges and $n$ is the number of vertices of the network,

$$d_{\text{max}} = \max\{d_{ij} \mid i=1..r, j=1..k\},$$

and $\chi = \sum_{i=1}^{i} \sum_{j=1}^{j} d_{ij} / \text{cmin}$

with $\text{cmin} = \min\{\text{cmin}, \text{cumin}\}$,

$$\text{cumin} = \min\{\text{cu}(u).\text{zu}(u) \mid u \in V\}.$$
The results of running the program to find maximal concurrent limited cost flow with B=57582

| Limited cost (B) | Approximation ratio (ω) | Maximal concurrent ratio (λf) | Total cost (B) |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 57582            | 0.050                   | 0.770                         | 56971         |

The Maximal concurrent ratio = 0.770 < λmax. The phase 3 is ended and the phase 4 begins.

Phase 4:

1st loop. Run program to find maximal concurrent limited cost flow with B=57731=(0.772/0.771)*57582:

| Limited cost (B) | Approximation ratio (ω) | Maximal concurrent ratio (λf) | Total cost (B) |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 57731            | 0.050                   | 0.771                         | 57016         |

The Maximal concurrent ratio = 0.771 < λmax. Next loop is executed.

2nd loop. Run program to find maximal concurrent limited cost flow with B=57805=(0.772/0.771)*57731:

| Limited cost (B) | Approximation ratio (ω) | Maximal concurrent ratio (λf) | Total cost (B) |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 57805            | 0.050                   | 0.771                         | 57034         |

The Maximal concurrent ratio = 0.771 < λmax. The next loop is executed.

3rd loop. Run program to find maximal concurrent limited cost flow with B=57880=(0.772/0.771)*57805:

| Limited cost (B) | Approximation ratio (ω) | Maximal concurrent ratio (λf) | Total cost (B) |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 57880            | 0.050                   | 0.771                         | 57043         |

The Maximal concurrent ratio = 0.771 < λmax. Next loop is executed.

4th loop. Run program to find maximal concurrent limited cost flow with B=57955=(0.772/0.771)*57880:

| Limited cost (B) | Approximation ratio (ω) | Maximal concurrent ratio (λf) | Total cost (B) |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 57955            | 0.050                   | 0.771                         | 57057         |

The Maximal concurrent ratio = 0.772 < λmax. The phase 4 is ended. The total cost is reduced from B0 = 59392 to minimal cost 57057. Finally, we obtain the result as shown in the example:

Phase 4:

Approximation ratio (ω) | Maximal concurrent ratio (λf) | Minimal Cost (B)
------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
0.050                   | 0.772                         | 57057

The maximal concurrent flows:

* Commodity type: 1
Source: 1, Target: 4, C.flow: 154.324, R.flow: 154.324

Edge C.flow R.flow
(1, 2) 154.324 154.324
(2, 3) 154.324 154.324
(3, 4) 154.324 154.324

Source: 1, Target: 5, C.flow: 115.752, R.flow: 115.752

Edge C.flow R.flow
(1, 2) 114.886 114.886
(2, 3) 57.653 57.653
(3, 4) 11.934 11.934
(4, 5) 11.934 11.934
(6, 5) 103.808 103.808
(7, 6) 58.090 58.090
(8, 7) 0.856 0.856
(3, 6) 45.718 45.718
(2, 7) 57.234 57.234
(1, 8) 0.856 0.856

Source: 1, Target: 9, C.flow: 231.486, R.flow: 231.486

Edge C.flow R.flow
(13, 9) 231.486 231.486
(1, 15) 231.486 231.486
(14, 13) 231.486 231.486
(15, 14) 231.486 231.486

* Commodity type: 2
Source: 12, Target: 4, C.flow: 192.905, R.flow: 38.581

Edge C.flow R.flow
(1, 2) 0.289 0.058
(2, 3) 0.304 0.061
(3, 4) 0.304 0.061
(9, 4) 192.601 38.520
(10, 9) 192.601 38.520
(11, 10) 192.601 35.520
(11, 2) 0.015 0.005
(12, 11) 192.616 38.523
(12, 1) 0.289 0.058

Source: 12, Target: 5, C.flow: 192.905, R.flow: 38.581

Edge C.flow R.flow
(1, 2) 24.204 4.841
(2, 3) 39.450 7.890
(3, 4) 4.328 0.866
(4, 5) 63.183 12.637
(6, 5) 129.727 25.944
(7, 6) 94.600 18.920
(8, 7) 42.502 8.500
(3, 6) 35.122 7.024
(2, 7) 154.324 10.420
(1, 8) 42.502 8.500
(9, 4) 58.855 11.771
(10, 9) 58.855 11.771
(11, 10) 58.855 11.771
(11, 2) 67.344 13.469
(12, 11) 126.199 25.240
(12, 1) 66.706 13.341

Source: 12, Target: 9, C.flow: 96.453, R.flow: 19.290

Edge C.flow R.flow
(10, 9) 0.395 0.079
(13, 9)  96.058  19.212
(11,10)  0.395  0.079
(12,11)  0.395  0.079
(12,15)  96.058  19.212
(14,13)  96.058  19.212
(15,14)  96.058  19.212

**Commodity type: 3**

Source: 12, Target: 13, C.flow: 192.905, R.flow: 19.290

| Edge  | C.flow | R.flow |
|-------|--------|--------|
| (7, 6) | 192.905 | 19.290 |
| (8, 7) | 192.905 | 19.290 |
| (6, 3) | 192.905 | 19.290 |
| (1, 8) | 192.905 | 19.290 |
| (3,10) | 192.905 | 19.290 |
| (10,13) | 192.905 | 19.290 |
| (12, 1) | 192.905 | 19.290 |

Source: 12, Target: 16, C.flow: 192.905, R.flow: 19.290

| Edge  | C.flow | R.flow |
|-------|--------|--------|
| (12,15) | 192.905 | 19.290 |
| (15,16) | 192.905 | 19.290 |

Source: 13, Target: 16, C.flow: 192.905, R.flow: 19.290

| Edge  | C.flow | R.flow |
|-------|--------|--------|
| (6, 7) | 192.905 | 19.290 |
| (7, 8) | 192.905 | 19.290 |
| (8,16) | 192.905 | 19.290 |
| (3, 6) | 192.905 | 19.290 |
| (10, 3) | 192.905 | 19.290 |
| (13,10) | 192.905 | 19.290 |

**Commodity type: 4**

Source: 13, Target: 16, C.flow: 154.324, R.flow: 7.716

| Edge  | C.flow | R.flow |
|-------|--------|--------|
| (6, 7) | 154.324 | 7.716 |
| (7, 8) | 154.324 | 7.716 |
| (8,16) | 154.324 | 7.716 |
| (3, 6) | 154.324 | 7.716 |
| (10, 3) | 154.324 | 7.716 |
| (13,10) | 154.324 | 7.716 |

**Analyzing the results**

The final result when applying the above algorithm with the example in the article [28] is as follows:

- Approximation ratio ($\omega$) : 0.050
- Maximal concurrent ratio ($\lambda$) : 0.772
- Minimal cost ($B_f$) : 57057.703

The maximal concurrent flows is as follows:

**Commodity type: 1** (Conversion factor of commodity $q = 1$)

Stroke --- illustration of the flow of commodity type 1.

For the source-target pair (1,4), the program will threading as shown in Figure 1 and the value of the stream as follows:

- Conversion flow value (C.flow) : 154.324
- Real flow value (R.flow) : 154.324

**Figure 1. Flow diagrams of commodity type 1 with target source pair (1,4)**

For the source-target pair (1,5), the program will threading as shown in Figure 2 and the value of the stream as follows:

- Conversion flow value (C.flow) : 115.752
- Real flow value (R.flow) : 115.752

**Figure 2. Flow diagrams of commodity type 1 with target source pair (1,5)**

For the source-target pair (1,9), the program will threading as shown in Figure 3 and the value of the stream as follows:

- Conversion flow value (C.flow) : 231.486
- Real flow value (R.flow) : 231.486

**Figure 3. Flow diagrams of commodity type 1 with target source pair (1,9)**

**Commodity type: 2** (Conversion factor of commodity $q = 5$)

Stroke - - - - - - - illustration of the flow of commodity type 2.

For the source-target pair (12,4), the program will threading as shown in Figure 4 and the value of the stream as follows:

- Conversion flow value (C.flow) : 192.920
- Real flow value (R.flow) : 38.581

**Figure 4. Flow diagrams of commodity type 1 with target source pair (1,9)**
For the source-target pair (12,4), the program will threading as shown in Figure 5 and the value of the stream as follows:
- Conversion flow value (C.flow) : $192.905$
- Real flow value (R.flow) : $38.581$

For the source-target pair (12,5), the program will threading as shown in Figure 5 and the value of the stream as follows:
- Conversion flow value (C.flow) : $192.905$
- Real flow value (R.flow) : $38.581$

For the source-target pair (12,9), the program will threading as shown in Figure 6 and the value of the stream as follows:
- Conversion flow value (C.flow) : $96.453$
- Real flow value (R.flow) : $19.290$

For the source-target pair (12,15), the program will threading as shown in Figure 7 and the value of the stream as follows:
- Conversion flow value (C.flow) : $192.905$
- Real flow value (R.flow) : $19.290$

For the source-target pair (12,16), the program will threading as shown in Figure 8 and the value of the stream as follows:
- Conversion flow value (C.flow) : $192.905$
- Real flow value (R.flow) : $19.290$

For the source-target pair (13,16), the program will threading as shown in Figure 9 and the value of the stream as follows:
- Conversion flow value (C.flow) : $192.905$
- Real flow value (R.flow) : $19.290
as shown in Figure 10 and the value of the stream as follows
- Conversion flow value (C.flow) : 154.324
- Real flow value (R.flow) : 7.716

![Flow diagrams of commodity type 4 with target source pair (13,16)](image)

**Figure 10.** Flow diagrams of commodity type 4 with target source pair (13,16)

### 6. Conclusions

The article has studied the maximal concurrent minimal cost flow problems on multi-commodity and multi-cost extended networks, which can be applied to model many practical problems more accurately and efficiently. The maximal concurrent minimal cost flow problems are modeled as optimization problems. On the base of the algorithm to find the maximal concurrent flow in the article [27], [28] and the algorithm to find maximal concurrent limited cost flow in the article [29], [30] an effective polynomial approximate algorithm is developed to find a good solution. Correctness and complexity of the algorithm are proved. The algorithm is tested on an example and brings reliable results.
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