First Modern Data on the Lophophore Nervous System in Adult *Novocrania anomala* and a Current Assessment of Brachiopod Phylogeny
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Simple Summary: The nervous system of *Novocrania anomala* adults is described for the first time. A table containing data on the lophophore innervation in species from three brachiopod subphyla is presented. A comparative analysis suggests a close relationship between the Craniiformea and the Rhynchonelliformea, and thereby supports the “Calciata” hypothesis of brachiopod phylogeny.

Abstract: Although the lophophore is regarded as the main synapomorphy of all lophophorates, the evolution of the lophophore in certain groups of lophophorates remains unclear. To date, the innervation of the lophophore has been studied with modern methods only for three brachiopod species belonging to two subphyla: Linguliformea and Rhynchonelliformea. In the third subphylum, the Craniiformea, there are data for juveniles but not for adults. In the current research, the innervation of the lophophore in *Novocrania anomala* adults was studied by immunocytochemistry and confocal laser scanning microscopy. In the spiral lophophore of adults of the craniiform *N. anomala*, each arm is innervated by six brachial nerves: main, additional main, accessory, second accessory, additional lower, and lower brachial nerves. Compared with other brachiopod species, this complex innervation of the lophophore correlates with the presence of many lophophoral muscles. The general anatomy of the lophophore nervous system and the peculiarities of the organization of the subenteric ganglion of the craniiform *N. anomala* have a lot in common with those of rhynchonelliforms but not with those of linguliforms. These findings are consistent with the “Calciata” hypothesis of the brachiopod phylogeny and are inconsistent with the inference that the Craniiformea and Linguliformea are closely related.
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1. Introduction

Brachiopods are benthic marine animals that form a phylum consisting of 400 recent species and more than 10,000 extinct species. All brachiopods have dorsal and ventral shell plates, which are produced by the body wall (mantle) and cover the soft body. According to the most common phylogeny, all recent brachiopods can be subdivided into three subphyla: Linguliformea, Craniiformea, and Rhynchonelliformea [1–3]. The first two subphyla are traditionally gathered into “inarticulate” brachiopods, because their shell valves lack articulate structures. According to some data, the clade of “inarticulate” brachiopods includes phoronids, which are the closest relatives of brachiopods; moreover, phoronids are regarded as “brachiopods without shell” [4]. This close relationship is supported by many molecular data, and the clade, which includes brachiopods and phoronids, is called Brachiozoa [5–7]. The shell valves of brachiopods in the subphylum Rhynchonelliformea have articulate structures and are traditionally regarded as “articulate” brachiopods. The division of Brachiozoa into “articulate” and “inarticulate” groups has been supported by...
some data on molecular phylogeny [4]. At the same time, the chemical structure of the shell, anatomy, and development differ greatly among “inarticulate” brachiopods. Thus, linguliforms have the organic chitinous phosphatic shell, whereas craniiformes have calcic shells [8,9]. In linguliforms, a pelagic juvenile presents in the life cycle, whereas craniiformes lack such a juvenile and have bilobed lecitotrophic larvae [10,11]. Such larvae are supposed to form most of the fossil brachiopods [12]. The contradiction between different opinions makes brachiopod phylogeny unclear [13]. The recent phylogeny of brachiopods may be considered in the frame of four main hypotheses: “Inarticulata hypothesis” versus “Calciata hypothesis” and brachiopod monophyly versus brachiopod paraphyly in respect to phoronids [13]. According to “Calciata hypothesis”, craniiforms and rhynchonelliforms with calcic shell are close relatives.

Brachiopod evolution is closely related to the transformation of the lophophore [14]. The lophophore is a tentacular organ that is present in all lophophorates: phoronids, brachiopods, and bryozoans. The monophyly of lophophorates has been recently rebuilt by morphological [15–21] and molecular [22–24] data. The morphological data, which mostly concern the organization of the lophophore nervous system, support the homology of the lophophore in all three phyla of lophophorates. At the same time, differences in the evolution of the lophophore in each phylum of lophophorates are still discussed [25–28].

In adult brachiopods, the organization of the lophophore nervous system has been studied by immunocytochemistry and confocal laser scanning microscopy in three species from two subphyla: Linguliformea (Lingula anatina) [15] and Rhynchonelliformea (Hemithiris psittacea and Cephtolygis grayi) [27,28]. These studies revealed two trends in the evolution of the lophophore in brachiopods. First, a reduction in the main lophophore nerve elements has been accompanied by an increase in the complexity of lophophore morphology [21]. Second, the appearance of a double row of tentacles has been accompanied by an intensification of the second accessory brachial nerve, i.e., by an increase in the complexity of the lophophore nervous system [27]. The first trend is well expressed in rhynchonelliforms, whereas the second trend is typical for most brachiopods.

In adult craniiforms, the organization of the lophophore nervous system has not been studied by immunocytochemistry or confocal laser scanning microscopy. Such data concerning the organization and ontogenic transformation of the lophophore nervous system in craniiformes are limited to juveniles of Novocrania anomala [19]. Comparison of previous results [29] and recent data [19] revealed the difference in organization of the nervous system in adults and in juveniles of N. anomala. In particular, juveniles have the prominent supraenteric ganglion, which is absent in adult craniiforms. Thus, in the current research, I used immunocytochemistry and confocal laser scanning microscopy to study the lophophore nervous system in N. anomala adults. The study of the lophophore nervous system in the adults of a craniiform species will increase our understanding of brachiopod phylogeny and lophophore evolution. It will also fill the gap in our knowledge of the general anatomy of the lophophore nervous system in brachiopods from all three subphyla. Because the organization of the nervous system is traditionally used for establishment of the relationships between different groups, new data will allow a comparative analysis to be made, the results of which may be next applied for the test of different hypotheses about brachiopod phylogeny.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Animals

In the North Sea (Storingavika Bay) in May 2019, a drag net was used to collect stones and adhering adults of N. anomala. The animals were carefully separated from the stones, except the ventral valves that remained attached to the stones. Specimens were dissected to obtain lophophores with mouth, tentacles, and brachial fold. Live animals and separated lophophores were photographed with a Leica M165C (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) stereomicroscope equipped with a Leica DFC420 digital camera. Parts of the
lophophores were fixed for semi-thin sectioning, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and immunocytochemistry combined with confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM).

2.2. Fixation and Microscopy

For semi-thin sectioning and SEM, lophophores were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.2 M cacodylate buffer for 8 h at 4 °C. The specimens were then washed in 0.2 M cacodylate buffer for 24 h and were postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in the same buffer for 3 h at room temperature. After post fixation, specimens were washed three times for 30 min each in distilled water and were dehydrated in a series of ethanol solutions: 10–30–50–70–96% (10 min for each step).

For semi-thin sectioning, after dehydration, specimens were immersed in pure isopropanol for 20 min, then in a mixture of isopropanol and resin—Embed-812 (Electron Microscopy Science, cat. #14120): 3:1 (30 min), 1:1 (24 h), 1:3 (24 h). Then, specimens were infiltrated in pure resin for 24 h at 4 °C. After infiltration, specimens were embedded in resin for 48 h at 60 °C. Semi-thin sections (500 nm in thickness) were prepared with a Leica UC-7 ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany). Semi-thin sections were stained with 1% methylene blue: 1% C16H18ClN3S × 2·3H2O + 1% NaHCO3 + 50% saccharose (with distilled water). Semi-thin sections were stained for 3 min at 65 °C. Sections were then observed with a Zeiss Axioplan2 microscope and photographed with an AxioCam HRm camera (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

For SEM, lophophores were dehydrated in acetone, critical point dried, mounted on stubs, and then sputter coated with platinum–palladium. Specimens were examined with a Jeol JSM scanning electron microscope (JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

For immunocytochemistry, parts of *N. anomala* lophophores were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.2 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4) (ThermoFischer, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) for 8 h at 4 °C. After fixation, specimens were washed in PBS with Triton X-100 (10%) (ThermoFischer) (PBT): 8 times for 20 min each. Nonspecific binding sites were blocked with 10% normal goat serum (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Newmarket, Suffolk, UK) in PBT for 24 h at 4 °C. The specimens were incubated overnight in primary antibody (mouse anti-acetylated-a-tubulin; 1:700; cat. #32-2500; ThermoFischer) in PBT at 4 °C, washed in PBT three times for 5 h each, and exposed to the secondary antibody (goat anti-mouse conjugated with Alexa-635; 1:1000; cat. #A-31574; ThermoFischer) in PBT for 2 h at 4 °C. Then, the specimens were immersed in Alexa Fluor-488 conjugated phalloidin (1:50) (ThermoFischer, cat. num. 12379) in PBT at room temperature in the dark for 2 h, washed in PBS (four times for 20 min each), and embedded in Murray Clear (50:50 mixture of benzyl benzoate and benzyl alcohol). Specimens were examined with a Nikon Eclipse Ti confocal microscope (Nikon, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Z-projections were generated using Image J version 1.43 software. Volume renderings were prepared using Amira version 5.2.2 software (ThermoFischer, Waltham MA, USA). Schemes, photographs, and Z-projections were processed in Adobe Photoshop CS3 (Adobe World Headquarters, San Jose, CA, USA).

2.3. Terminology

The terminology used in this report is in line with the traditional terminology that was suggested in the first papers devoted to the brachiopod nervous system [29,30]. The traditional terminology suggested by the first papers devoted to the brachiopod nervous system [29,30] is often inconsistent with the recent knowledge concerning the organization of the nervous system [31]. To avoid misunderstandings in the descriptions of the brachiopod nervous system, however, the current paper has used the traditional terminology. Thus, I use the term “ganglion” for description of the subenteric nerve center, which is organized as stratified neuroepithelium, but not as typical ganglion [32].
3. Results

3.1. Morphology of the Lophophore and Tentacles

The shell of *N. anomala* consists of two valves: the dorsal (=brachial) and the ventral (=pedicle) valves. The ventral valve is cemented to the hard substratum and usually remains attached to the substratum when animals are collected. This separation enables observation of the animal’s ventral side (Figure 1A). Under the dorsal valve, the anterior area is occupied by the mantle cavity, and the posterior area contains the body with prominent muscles (Figure 1A). The lophophore and tentacles completely occupy the mantle cavity, and the tentacles even extend beyond the edge of the shell (Figure 1B). The lophophore is a spirolophe: it has two brachial arms, each of which is spirally coiled (Figure 1C). These spirals extend to the dorsal valve and cannot be observed from the ventral side of the body (Figure 1A,B). The tip of each spiral is the zone where new tentacles form (Figure 1C). The mouth is located between the two brachial arms (Figures 1A and 2A). The mouth extends to the esophagus, which forms a prominent knob above the mouth (Figures 1C and 2A). The mouth is covered by an epithelial fold, i.e., the brachial fold (Figure 2A). One row of oral tentacles is located under the mouth (Figure 2A). Each brachial arm has a large base that contains coelomic cavities (Figure 2C) and bears a double row of tentacles and the brachial fold (Figure 2B, C). The double row of tentacles is formed by inner and outer tentacles. The inner tentacles are located closer to the brachial fold than the outer tentacles (Figure 2B). The food groove is bordered by the brachial fold and the inner tentacles. Inner and outer tentacles also differ in morphology and shape in cross-section: outer tentacles bear a frontal groove, whereas inner tentacles bear a frontal ridge (see below) (Figures 2D and 3A,B). Tentacles of both types have different zones that extend along each tentacle and are characterized by a specific histology of the epithelium and a specific location of muscles and nerves. There are at least four zones: the frontal zone faces the brachial fold, the abfrontal zone is opposite to the frontal zone, and the two lateral zones face the adjacent tentacles. The frontal zone forms a ridge in the inner tentacles (Figures 2D and 3A) and forms a shallow groove in the outer tentacles (Figures 2D and 3B).

3.2. Main Nerve Elements of the Lophophore

*N. anomala* adults lack the supraenteric ganglion; only thin dorsal neurite bundles extend above the esophagus (Figures 4A and 5A). These thin neurite bundles connect two branches of the main brachial nerve, each of which extends into each brachial arm (Figure 4A,C). Near the esophagus, each branch of the main brachial nerve bifurcates and gives rise to the circumenteric connective (Figure 4B) that skirts the lophophore base and passes to the subenteric ganglion (Figure 5A). According to CLSM data, the subenteric ganglion looks like a thick nerve, which extends under the mouth and is the largest nerve center in *N. anomala* adults (Figure 4C).

3.3. Innervation of the Brachial (Lophophore) Arms

Each brachial arm contains a large and small coelomic canal (Figures 2C and 6A). The small canal is occupied by the circular lophophoral muscle and gives rise to the coelomic canals in each tentacle (Figure 6A). The following six nerves extend along each brachial arm: the main, additional main, additional, second additional, additional lower, and lower brachial nerve tracts (Figures 4C, 5B and 6A). All of these nerves exhibit acetylated alpha-tubulin immunoreactivity (Figure 7; Supplementary material).
Figure 1. Organization of the lophophore in *Novocrania anomala* adults. (A) Photograph of a live animal viewed from the ventral side. (B) The same animal; SEM. (C) Photograph of separated spirolophes from the dorsal side. The zones where new tentacles form are located on the distal end of each brachial arm. Abbreviations: bf—brachial fold; drt—double row of tentacles; dv—dorsal valve of the shell; es—esophagus; fg—food groove; lba—left brachial arm; m—mouth; mc—mantle cavity; ort—oral tentacles; ot—outer tentacle; pa—posterior adductor; rba—right brachial arm; seg—subenteric ganglion; st—zone of youngest tentacle; t—tentacle.
The main brachial nerve extends along the base of the brachial fold (Figure 6B) and consists of two parts, i.e., the upper and lower parts (Figures 5B and 7A). The upper part is much more voluminous (its diameter is about 50 µm) than the lower part, of which the diameter is about 5 µm, and gives rise to the cross nerves (Figure 7A) and semicircular nerves of the arm base (Figure 4C). The lower part of the main brachial nerve consists of a few neurites and forms a separate nerve tract, which I have called the second main brachial nerve.
Cross nerves originate from the upper portion of the main brachial nerve, extend into the extracellular matrix of the brachial arm (Figure 6B), and connect to the additional brachial nerve (Figures 4C and 5B). According to the volume rendering, cross nerves are S-shaped (Figure 8A). A portion of the S-like cross nerve apparently extends under the epithelium of the food groove. Cross nerves are located regularly, and the distance between them is \( \leq 15 \text{ \textmu m} \) (Figures 7A and 8C). The thickness of cross nerves ranges from 5 to 10 \( \text{\textmu m} \) (Figure 7A).

**Figure 3.** Tentacle organization and innervation in *Novocrania anomala* adults. Semi-thin cross-sections (A, B); Z-projections of two adjacent slides of the stack after immunostaining against acetylated alpha-tubulin (cyan) and staining with phalloidin (magenta) (C, D). White line shows the edge of the tentacles; red circles indicate certain tentacle nerves, which consist of several neurite bundles. (A) Inner tentacle. (B) Outer tentacle. (C) Z-projection of cross-section of the inner tentacle. (D) Z-projection of cross-section of the outer tentacle. Abbreviations: afn—abfrontal tentacle nerve; afz—abfrontal zone; ecm—extracellular matrix; fm—frontal muscle; fn—frontal tentacle nerve; frd—frontal ciliated ridge; frg—frontal groove; fz—frontal zone; lafn—lateroabfrontal tentacle nerve; lafz—lateroabfrontal zone; lfn—laterofrontal tentacle nerve; lrd—lateral ciliated ridge; lz—lateral zone; tc—tentacle coelom.
Figure 4. Organization of the nervous system in the oral region of *Novocrania anomala* adults. Z-projections after immunostaining against acetylated alpha-tubulin (cyan). (A) The dorsal view of the esophagus: supraenteric ganglion is absent; main brachial nerves of two arms are connected via dorsal thin neurites. (B) The base of the lophophore arm: circumenteric connective extends from the main brachial nerve. (C) Ventral view of the esophagus and oral area with the single row of oral tentacles. Abbreviations: abn—accessory brachial nerve; cec—circumenteric connective; cn—cross nerve; dtn—dorsal thin neurites; es—esophagus; lb—lower brachial nerve; mbn—main brachial nerve; nlb—nerve of the lophophore base; ort—oral tentacles; san—second accessory brachial nerve; seg—subenteric ganglion.
Figure 5. Schemes of innervation of the lophophore and tentacles in *Novocrania anomala* adults. (A) General scheme of the lophophore nerve elements. (B) A portion of the brachial arm with double row of tentacles. Abbreviations: afn—abfrontal tentacle nerve; abn—accessory brachial nerve; aln—additional lower brachial nerve; amn—additional main brachial nerve; bf—brachial fold; cec—circumenteric connective; cn—cross nerve; dtn—dorsal thin neurites; fan—frontal–abfrontal nerve; fg—food groove; fn—frontal tentacle nerve; ion—inner–outer nerve; it—inner tentacle; lafn—lateroabfrontal tentacle nerve; lbn—lower brachial nerve; lm—lower brachial nerve; lfn—laterofrontal tentacle nerve; m—mouth; mbn—main brachial nerve; nlb—nerve of the lophophore base; ort—oral tentacles; ot—outer tentacle; rbn—radial brachial nerve; san—second accessory nerve; seg—subenteric ganglion; thn—thick nodule.
and lower brachial nerve tracts (Figures 4C, 5B and 6A). All of these nerves exhibit acet-
ylated alpha-tubulin immunoreactivity (Figure 7; Supplementary material).

Figure 6. Brachial nerves in the semi-thin cross-sections of the lophophore arm in *Novocrania anomala* adults. (A) General view of a cross-section of the arm: the location of the brachial nerves is evident. (B) The main brachial nerve giving rise to the cross nerve. (C) Inner side of the base of the double row of tentacles: accessory and second accessory brachial nerves are visible. Abbreviations: abn—accessory brachial nerve; bf—brachial fold; cn—cross nerve; ecm—extracellular matrix; fg—food groove; ion—inner–outer nerve; it—inner tentacle; lbc—large brachial coelomic canal; lbn—lower brachial nerve; lms—large muscle of small coelomic canal; mbn—main brachial nerve; ot—outer tentacle; san—second accessory brachial nerve; sbc—small brachial coelomic canal; tc—tentacle coelom.
Figure 7. Brachial nerves and the innervation of tentacles in *Novocrania anomala* adults. Z-projections after immunostaining against acetylated alpha-tubulin (cyan). (A) The main brachial nerve and its additional part—the additional main brachial nerve. (B) The accessory and second accessory brachial nerves; frontal and latero-frontal tentacle nerves of both inner and outer tentacles originate from the second accessory nerve. (C) Outer side of the lophophore arm: the lower and additional lower nerve are visible. (D) The base of two outer tentacles. (E) The base of the outer tentacles: lateroabfrontal tentacle nerves originate from the thick nodules of the additional lower brachial nerve. Abbreviations: afn—abfrontal tentacle nerve; abn—accessory brachial nerve; aln—additional lower brachial nerve;
amn—additional main brachial nerve; cn—cross nerve; fn—frontal tentacle nerve; ion—inner–outer nerve; lafn—lateroabfrontal tentacle nerve; lbn—lower brachial nerve; lfn—laterofrontal tentacle nerve; mbn—main brachial nerve; rbn—radial brachial nerve; san—second accessory nerve; thn—thick nodule.

Figure 8. Volume rendering of the nerve elements of a part of the lophophore arm in Novocrania anomala adults. Reconstruction after immunostaining against acetylated alpha-tubulin. (A) Shape of cross nerves and their connection to the accessory brachial nerve. (B) Accessory and second accessory brachial nerves. (C) A portion of cross nerves, which extend along the bottom of the food groove. (D) Inner–outer nerve originating from the accessory brachial nerve. (E) Innervation of tentacles: frontal–abfrontal nerve and thick nodule are visible. (F) Nerves of the lophophore base that connect the main and lower brachial nerves. Abbreviations: abn—accessory brachial nerve; cn—cross nerve; fan—frontal–abfrontal nerve; fn—frontal tentacle nerve; ion—inner–outer nerve; lafn—lateroabfrontal tentacle nerve; lbn—lower brachial nerve; lfn—laterofrontal tentacle nerve; lbn—lower brachial nerve; mbn—main brachial nerve; nlb—nerves of the lophophore arm base; ot—outer tentacle; san—second accessory nerve; thn—thick nodule.
The accessory brachial nerve is located on the tentacular side of the food groove (Figure 6A). It is a thick nerve tract that contributes to the innervation of the tentacles (Figures 7B and 8B). The accessory brachial nerve gives rise to thick nerves, which together form the second accessory brachial nerve. This nerve extends at the base of the lateral and abfrontal sides of the inner tentacles (Figure 6C). Some parts of this nerve extend into the epithelium of a ridge, which passes along the brachial arm (Figure 6C). The epithelial ridge forms the inner upper border of the food groove (Figure 6A). The accessory brachial nerve gives rise to the inner–outer nerves (Figure 8D), which extend into the extracellular matrix, and then into the outer side of the base of the lophophore, where they fuse with the radial brachial nerves.

The lower brachial nerve extends along the base of the brachial arm (Figure 6A). The lower brachial nerve is formed by three thick nerves and several thin neurites, which do not abut each other and do not form a prominent nerve tract (Figures 7C and 8F). The lower brachial nerve connects to the radial brachial nerves, which skirt the base of lophophore arms and form a nerve net on the outer side of the brachial arm (Figure 7C,D). At the base of the abfrontal side of the outer tentacles, this nerve net forms a thin additional lower nerve (Figure 7D). This nerve has thick nodules, which are located between and at the bases of the outer tentacles (Figure 8E). According to volume rendering, frontal–abfrontal nerves, which extend between the outer tentacles and connect to the second accessory brachial nerve and the additional lower brachial nerve, contribute to the formation of these nodules (Figure 8E). The lower brachial nerve connects to the main brachial nerve via numerous thick, regularly extended nerves, which skirt the inner side of the base of each lophophore arm (Figures 4B,C and 8F). These are the nerves of the lophophore base.

3.4. Innervation of Tentacles

The innervation of inner and outer tentacles is generally similar, but there are some differences (Figure 5B). Along each tentacle, three groups of nerves extend: one frontal, two lateral, and one abfrontal (Figure 3C,D). In the outer tentacles, there are two groups of lateroabfrontal nerves (Figure 3D). The inner tentacles lack lateroabfrontal nerves (Figure 3C). The frontal and laterofrontal nerves originate from the same lophophoral nerves in both the inner and outer tentacles, whereas the abfrontal nerves originate from different nerve tracts in the inner vs. the outer tentacles. In both the inner and outer tentacles, the frontal nerve arises from the accessory brachial nerve (Figures 5B and 7B), and the laterofrontal nerves connect to the second accessory nerve. In the inner tentacles, the abfrontal nerve originates from the second accessory brachial nerve (Figure 5B). In the outer tentacles, the abfrontal and lateroabfrontal nerves extend from the additional lower brachial nerve (Figure 7D,E). The lateroabfrontal neurite bundles originate from the nodes, which are formed by the frontal–abfrontal brachial nerves (Figures 7E and 8E). From each node, two nerves arise and extend to the adjacent outer tentacles (Figure 7E).

4. Discussion

4.1. Organization of the Central Nervous System in Brachiopods

The lophophore nervous system of brachiopods has been mostly studied by histological methods [29,30,33,34], and only a few species have been investigated by electron microscopy, immunocytochemistry, and confocal laser scanning microscopy [15,19,27,28,35–37]. Together, these studies indicate the general pattern of lophophore innervation.

The main neuronal elements are the ganglia, which are located near the mouth. In all rhyynchonelliformes, there are two ganglia: the supraenteric is located above the mouth and the subenteric is located below the mouth. Neither of these “ganglia” is a true ganglion, i.e., they are represented only by a simple neuroepithelium or a stratified neuroepithelium [32]. Linguliform and craniiform adults have a subenteric ganglion but not a supraenteric ganglion [29,33,34]. Juveniles of the craniiform Novocrania anomala, however, have a prominent supraenteric ganglion, which is a bilaterally symmetrical structure with two lateral groups of perikarya that are connected to each other via a thick central nerve [19]. During devel-
velopment, the thick nerve becomes longer, and the distance between two lateral groups of perikarya increases. The failure to detect a supraenteric ganglion in *N. anomala* adults in the current study suggests that the thick central nerve of the juvenile supraenteric ganglion undergoes further extension and is transformed into the main brachial nerve of the adult.

In linguliform brachiopods, the swimming juvenile, which is usually called a “larva” [10], has a ganglion in the apical median tentacle above the mouth [38,39]. This ganglion, however, is not present in settled juveniles [38]. It follows that the apical ganglion in swimming stages of linguliform larvae can be compared with the apical organ of swimming larvae of other brachiopods [38–40] and with the apical organ of swimming bilaterally symmetrical ciliated larvae of other bilaterians [41,42]. In bilaterian larvae, the apical organ is important for planktonic life but becomes less important as metamorphosis continues [42,43]. In particular, the apical organ supplies the communication between growing larvae and adult animals [44]. At the same time, because settled linguliform juveniles lack the supratenteric ganglion, the apical ganglion of linguliform juveniles cannot be compared with the supratenteric ganglion of *N. anomala* juveniles. In other words, settled juvenile craniiforms and rhynchonelliforms have the supratenteric ganglion, whereas settled juvenile linguliforms lack the supratenteric ganglion (Table 1). Because species from two subphyla of recent brachiopods have the supratenteric ganglion, its presence probably represents the ancestral state, whereas its absence probably represents the apomorphic state.

**Table 1.** Nerve elements of the lophophore in the three subphyla of brachiopods.

| Number | Feature | Rhynchonelliformea [27,28] | Craniiformea [17,29], herein | Linguliformea [15,33] |
|--------|---------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1a     | supratenteric ganglion in juvenile | +                          | +                             | —                     |
| 1b     | supratenteric ganglion in adult   | +                          | —                             | —                     |
| 2      | subenteric ganglion               | +                          | +                             | +                     |
| 3      | organization of subenteric ganglion | a portion of the nerve | a portion of the nerve | ganglion |
| 4      | main brachial nerve               | +                          | +                             | +                     |
| 5      | accessory brachial nerve          | —                          | +                             | +                     |
| 6      | second accessory nerve            | +                          | +                             | —                     |
| 7a     | lower brachial nerve structure: nerve net | + | + | + |
| 7b     | lower brachial nerve structure: solid nerve | + | + | — |
| 8      | origin of the lower brachial nerve | ganglionic | circumenteric | circumenteric |

**Innervation of inner tentacles**

| 9      | frontal nerve | from the cross nerves | from accessory brachial nerve | from accessory brachial nerve |
|--------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 10     | laterofrontal nerves | from second accessory nerve | from second accessory nerve | from intertentacular nerves |
| 11     | abfrontal nerve | from second accessory nerve | from second accessory nerve | from intertentacular perikarya |
| 12     | lateroabfrontal nerves | — | — | — |
| 13     | immunoreactive peritoneal neurites | + | — | — |
Table 1. Cont.

| Number | Feature                  | Rhynchonelliformea [27,28] | Craniiformea [17,29], herein | Linguliformea [15,33] |
|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 14     | frontal nerve            | from second accessory nerve | from second accessory nerve   | from intertentacular nerves |
| 15     | laterofrontal nerves     | from second accessory nerve | from second accessory nerve   | from intertentacular nerves |
| 16     | abfrontal nerve          | from lower brachial nerve   | from lower brachial nerve     | from lower brachial nerve |
| 17     | lateroabfrontal nerves   | from lower brachial nerve   | from lower brachial nerve     | —                     |
| 18     | immunoreactive peritoneal neurites | +                          | —                            | —                     |

"—" indicates the absence of the structure. "+" indicates the presence of the structure.

The subenteric ganglion is represented by a thickened portion of the nerve that extends under the mouth in craniiforms and rhynchonelliforms [19,27,32]. In linguliforms, the subenteric ganglion is the prominent nerve nodule, which appears as the ventral ganglion in swimming juveniles and is maintained in adults [38,39]. Although the ultrastructure of the linguliform ventral ganglion has yet to be studied, immunocytochemistry suggests that it is organized as a typical ganglion with two groups of perikarya with a commissure between them [39]. Thus, the structure of the subenteric ganglion is similar in craniiforms and rhynchonelliforms but different in linguliforms (Table 1).

In the brachiopods studied to date, there are three or four large brachial nerves: the main, accessory, second accessory, and lower nerve. All of these nerves (and some additional nerves) are present in *N. anomala*, but some are absent in rhynchonelliforms and linguliforms. More specifically, the accessory brachial nerve tends to be reduced in rhynchonelliforms; although it is present in *Hemithiris psittacea* [28], it does not contribute to the innervation of the tentacles, and it is completely absent in *Coptothyris grayi* [27]. In linguliforms, the second accessory nerve is absent; *Lingula anatina*, however, has groups of intertentacular perikarya located exactly between the rows of tentacles [15]. The second accessory brachial nerve apparently appeared in a brachiopod ancestor after the formation of the double row of tentacles; its formation has been reported in juveniles of *N. anomala* [18]. Moreover, the current study of adult *N. anomala* shows that the second accessory nerve is absent in the oral area, where tentacles from a single (not a double) row.

4.2. Innervation of the Tentacles in Brachiopods

In all studied brachiopods, the tentacles are innervated in a similar way (Table 1). In inner tentacles, there are four groups of nerves: one frontal, one abfrontal, and two laterofrontal. In outer tentacles, there are six groups of nerves: one frontal, one abfrontal, two laterofrontal, and two lateroabfrontal. The outer tentacles of *L. anatina* lack the lateroabfrontal nerves. The origin of nerves is similar in rhynchonelliforms and craniiforms, but differs in linguliforms. This difference correlates with absence of the second accessory nerve in *L. anatina* (Table 1). It is interesting that the peritoneal neurites exhibit acetylated alpha-tubulin-like immunoreactivity in rhynchonelliforms but not in craniiforms or linguliforms. At this moment, this specificity does not have an explanation. In order to understand the nature of this difference we need more data on immunoreactivity of nerve elements of tentacles in different brachiopod species from different groups.

4.3. Evolution of the Lophophore

There are several ideas about how the lophophore evolved in lophophorates. According to the first idea [9,45–47], the lophophore of brachiopods evolved from an ancestral
form whose small lophophore had a simple morphology to several advanced forms whose lophophores had complex morphologies. According to the second idea, which is based on morphological and paleontological data, the spirolophine, which is relatively complex, is the ancestral state [14]. The second idea suggests that the lophophore of recent brachiopods has evolved in two different ways: (i) simplification and (ii) complication. Both of these ways might correlate with changes in body size and the peculiarities of ontogeny. For some recent and extinct brachiopod species, the paedomorphic origin of the simple-shape lophophore (i.e., the taxolophe and trocholeph) is suggested [14].

In other lophophorates, i.e., phoronids and bryozoans, two different ways of lophophore evolution have been suggested [20,26]: (i) from a relatively large lophophore of complex morphology to a lophophore of simple morphology; and (ii) from a relatively large and complex lophophore to a lophophore of much more complex morphology. Because the homology of the lophophore has been established based on morphology, I suggest that the initial lophophore resembled a simple spirolophine (or a horseshoe-shaped lophophore) (Figure 9). In brachiopods, this ancestral type of lophophore changed due to the appearance of a double row of tentacles (Figure 9). It is important that the ancestral brachiopod lophophore had a double row of tentacles because the presence of a double row of tentacles led to the appearance of the second accessory brachial nerve. The formation of the second accessory brachial nerve due to the appearance of the outer tentacles was recently reported in the development of N. anomala [19].

In other lophophorates, i.e., phoronids and bryozoans, two different ways of lophophore evolution have been suggested [20,26]: (i) from a relatively large lophophore of complex morphology to a lophophore of simple morphology; and (ii) from a relatively large and complex lophophore to a lophophore of much more complex morphology. Because the homology of the lophophore has been established based on morphology, I suggest that the initial lophophore resembled a simple spirolophine (or a horseshoe-shaped lophophore) (Figure 9). In brachiopods, this ancestral type of lophophore changed due to the appearance of a double row of tentacles (Figure 9). It is important that the ancestral brachiopod lophophore had a double row of tentacles because the presence of a double row of tentacles led to the appearance of the second accessory brachial nerve. The formation of the second accessory brachial nerve due to the appearance of the outer tentacles was recently reported in the development of N. anomala [19].

**Figure 9.** Scheme of the lophophore nervous system in brachiopods from all three subphyla. The reconstruction is based on previous [15,27,28] and recent studies. The black circles indicate tentacles.
Colors indicate different nerve elements of the lophophore: yellow–green—main brachial nerve and the supraenteric ganglion; pink—accessory brachial nerve; blue—second accessory brachial nerve; dark green—lower brachial nerve and the subenteric ganglion. Abbreviations: abn—accessory brachial nerve; lbn—lower brachial nerve; mbn—main brachial nerve; san—second accessory brachial nerve; seg—subenteric ganglion; sug—supraenteric ganglion.

5. Conclusions

Among the lophophores of all brachiopods, that of the *N. anomala* adult has the most complex nervous system, which consists of four large brachial nerves and several additional nerves. The presence of many additional nerves in the lophophore may correlate with the greatly developed muscles of the brachial arms. According to Table 1, at least nine characteristics (1a,3,6,7b,10,11,14,15,17) are similar in craniiforms and rhynchonelliforms, whereas only six features (1b,5,8,9,13,18) are shared by craniiforms and linguliforms. Some characteristics, which are used in the table, should be next tested with involvement of the correct outgroup in order to reveal characters’ polarity. At this moment, Table 1 allows the conclusion that the organization of the lophophore nervous system and the innervation of tentacles is generally similar between craniiforms and rhynchonelliforms but differs between the latter subphyla and the linguliforms. The similarities between craniiforms and rhynchonelliforms mostly concern the organization of the central nervous system, the structure of which is traditionally used for phylogenetical studies. These similarities and differences support the inference that craniiforms and rhynchonelliforms are more closely related to each other than to linguliforms, which is consistent with the “Calcita” hypothesis [13]. According to this hypothesis and the current results, the validity of the “Inarticulata” and the close relationship between Linguliformea and Craniiformea should be rejected.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/biology11030406/s1, Movie S1: running Z-projection of a portion of the brachial arm from the inner side to outer side.

Author Contributions: E.T. fixed the animals, designed the study, provided experiments and microscopy, wrote the manuscript, and prepared all figures. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The study is supported by Russian Science Foundation (#18-14-00082-P).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data sets analyzed during this study are available from the author upon request.

Acknowledgments: The author is very grateful to Nataliya Budaeva (Bergen, Norway), who invited me to the Espegrend and organized the expedition for gathering animals. The author is very grateful to Mikhail Klemnentiev for financial support of the publication of this paper. The research was performed at the User Facilities Center of M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University with support from the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (#121032300121-0).

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References
1. Williams, A.; Carlson, S.J.; Branton, C.H.C.; Holmer, L.E.; Popov, L.E. A supra-ordinal classification of the Brachiopoda. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London. Ser. B Biol. Sci.* 1996, 351, 1171–1193.
2. Harper, D.A.T.; Popov, L.; Holmer, L.E. Brachiopods: Origin and early history. *Palaeontology* 2017, 60, 609–631. [CrossRef]
3. Temereva, E.N.; Kuzmina, T.V. Spermatogenesis in the deep-sea brachiopod pelagodiscus atlanticus and the phylogenetic significance of spermatozoon structure. *J. Morphol.* 2018, 279, 1579–1589. [CrossRef]
4. Cohen, B.L. Rerooting the rDNA gene tree reveals phoronids to be ‘brachiopods without shells’; dangers of wide taxon samples in metazoan phylogenetics (Phoronida; Brachiopoda). *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 2013, 167, 82–92. [CrossRef]
5. Cohen, B.L.; Cracraft, J.; Feinstein, J. Monophyly of brachiopods and phoronids: Reconciliation of molecular evidence with Linnaean classification (the subphylum Phoroniformea nov.). Proc. R. Soc. B. Biol. Sci. 2000, 267, 225–231. [CrossRef]

6. Cohen, B.L.; Weydmann, A. Molecular evidence that phoronids are a subtaxon of brachiopods (Brachiopoda: Phoronata) and that genetic divergence of metazoan phyla began long before the Early Cambrian. Organ. Divers. Evol. 2005, 5, 253–273. [CrossRef]

7. Santagata, S.; Cohen, B.L. Phoronid phylogenetics (Brachiopoda; Phoronata): Evidence from morphological cladistics, small and large subunit rDNA sequences, and mitochondrialcox1. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 2009, 157, 34–50. [CrossRef]

8. Williams, A. Brachiopoda: Introduction and Integumentary System. In Microscopic Anatomy of Invertebrates; Wiley-Liss, Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1997; Volume 13, pp. 237–296.

9. Williams, A.; James, M.A.; Emig, C.C.; Mackay, S.; Rhodes, M.C. Anatomy. In Paléontol. J. 2021, 55, 37–68. [CrossRef]

10. Nielsen, C. The development of the brachiopod Lingula anatina (Brachiopoda; Linguliformea) provides insight into the evolution of life cycles of fossil brachiopods. Paleobiology 2021, 47, 134–148. [CrossRef]

11. Malakhov, V.V.; Kuzmina, T.V.; Madison, A.A. Classification of planktonic stages of extant brachiopods. Invertebr. Zool. 2021, 18, 95–104. [CrossRef]

12. Madison, A.A.; Kuzmina, T.V.; Temereva, E.N. Analysis of the juvenile shell of Lingula anatina (Brachiopoda; Linguliformea) provides insight into the morphology of ancestral ectoprocts and the monophyly of the lophophorates. BMC Evol. Biol. 2016, 16, 181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Temereva, E.N. Innervation of the lophophore suggests that the phoronid Phoronis ovalis is a link between phoronids and bryozoans. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 14440. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Temereva, E.N.; Kosevich, I.A. The nervous system of the cyclostome bryozoan Crisia eburnea as revealed by transmission electron and confocal laser scanning microscopy. Front. Zool. 2015, 12, 48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Temereva, E.N. Myoanatomy of the phoronid Phoronis ovalis: Functional and phylogenetic implications. Zoology 2019, 133, 27–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Temereva, E.N. Morphology evidences the lophophorates monophyly: Brief review of studies on the lophophore innervation. Invertebr. Zool. 2017, 14, 85–91. [CrossRef]

17. Laumer, C.E.; Fernández, R.; Lemer, S.; Combsch, D.; Kocot, K.M.; Riesgo, A.; Andrade, S.C.S.; Sterrer, W.; Sørensen, M.V.; Giribet, G. Revisiting metazoan phylogeny with genomic sampling of all phyla. Proc. Biol. Sci. 2019, 286, 20190831. [CrossRef]

18. Marletz, F.; Peijnenburg, K.T.C.A.; Goto, T.; Satoh, N.; Rokhsar, D.S. A new spiralian phylogeny places the enigmatic arrow worms among gnathiferans. Curr. Biol. 2019, 29, 312–318.e3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Zverkov, O.V.; Mikhailov, K.V.; Isaev, S.V.; Russin, L.Y.; Popova, O.V.; Logacheva, M.D.; Penin, A.A.; Moroz, L.L.; Panchin, Y.V.; Lyubetskaya, V.; et al. Dicyemida and Orthonectida: Two Stories of Body Plan Simplification. Front. Genet. 2019, 10, 443. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Temereva, E.N. Myoanatomy of the phoronid Phoronis ovalis: Functional and phylogenetic implications. Zoology 2019, 133, 27–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

21. Temereva, E.N. Myoanatomy of the lophophore in adult phoronids and the evolution of the phoronid lophophore. Biol. Bull. 2019, 237, 270–282. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Isaev, M.A.; Kosevich, I.A.; Temereva, E.N. Peculiarities of tentacle innervation of Flustrellida hispida and evolution of lophophore in bryozoa. Dokl. Biol. Sci. 2021, 496, 30–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Temereva, E.N.; Kuzmina, T.V. The nervous system of the most complex lophophore provides new insights into the evolution of brachiopida. Sci. Rep. 2021, 11, 16192. [CrossRef]

24. Temereva, E.N.; Kuzmina, T.V. The first data on the innervation of the lophophore in the rhyynchonelliform brachiopod Hemithiris psittacca: What is the ground pattern of the lophophore in lophophorates? BMC Evol. Biol. 2017, 17, 172. [CrossRef]

25. Blochmann, F. Die Anatomie von Crania anomala (Müller). In Untersuchungen über den Bau der Brachiopoden I; Blochmann, F., Ed.; Gustav Fischer: Jena, Germany, 1892; pp. 1–65.

26. Hancock, A. On the organization of the Brachiopoda. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. 1857, 148, 791–869.

27. Richter, S.; Loezel, R.; Purschke, G.; Schmidt-Rhaesa, A.; Scholtz, G.; Stach, T.; Vogt, L.; Wanninger, A.; Brenneis, G.; Döring, C.; et al. Invertebrate neurophylogeny: Suggested terms and definitions for a neuroanatomical glossary. Front. Zool. 2010, 7, 29. [CrossRef]

28. Kuzmina, T.V.; Temereva, E.N. First description of ultrastructure of brachiopod ganglia and its phylogenetic significance. J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 2020, 59, 376–386. [CrossRef]
33. Blochmann, F. Die Anatomie von Discinisca lamellose (Broderip) und Lingula anatina (Bruguière). In Untersuchungen über den Bau der Brachiopoden II; Blochmann, F., Ed.; Gustav Fischer: Jena, Germany, 1900; pp. 1–124.
34. Van Bemmelen, J.F.V. Untersuchungen über den anatomischen und histologischen Bau der Brachiopoda Testicardina. Jena Z. Naturwiss. 1883, 16, 88–161.
35. Reed, C.G.; Cloney, R.A. Brachiopod tentacles: Ultrastructure and functional significance of the connective tissue and myoepithelial cells in Terebratulina. Cell Tissue Res. 1977, 185, 17–42. [CrossRef]
36. Gilmour, T.H.J. Ciliation and function of the food-collecting and waste-rejecting organs of lophophorates. Can. J. Zool. 1978, 56, 2142–2155. [CrossRef]
37. Kuzmina, T.V.; Temereva, E.N. Tentacle muscles in brachiopods: Ultrastructure and relation to peculiarities of life style. J. Exp. Zool. Part B Mol. Dev. Evol. 2021. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
38. Hay-Schmidt, A. Ultrastructure and immunocytochemistry of the nervous system of the larvae of Lingula anatina and Glottidia sp. (Brachiopoda). Zoomorphology 1992, 112, 189–205. [CrossRef]
39. Santagata, S. Evaluating neurophylogenetic patterns in the larval nervous systems of brachiopods and their evolutionary significance to other bilaterian phyla. J. Morphol. 2011, 272, 1153–1169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
40. Altenburger, A.; Wanninger, A. Neuromuscular development in Novocrania anomala: Evidence for the presence of serotonin and a spiralian-like apical organ in lecithotrophic brachiopod larvae. Evol. Dev. 2010, 12, 16–24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
41. Altenburger, A.; Martinez, P.; Wanninger, A. Homeobox gene expression in Brachiopoda: The role of Not and Cdx in bodyplan patterning, neurogenesis, and germ layer specification. Gene Expr. Patterns 2011, 11, 427–436. [CrossRef]
42. Malakhov, V.V.; Bogomolova, E.V.; Kuzmina, T.V.; Temereva, E.N. Evolution of metazoan life cycles and the origin of pelagic larvae. Russ. J. Dev. Biol. 2019, 50, 303–316. [CrossRef]
43. Temereva, E.N. Development and structure of the nervous system in phoronids: Evolutionary significance. Neurosci. Behav. Physiol. 2022, 52, 77–85. [CrossRef]
44. Voronezhskaya, E.E.; Khabarova, M.Y. Function of the apical sensory organ in the development of invertebrates. Dokl. Biol. Sci. 2003, 390, 231–234. [CrossRef]
45. Rudwick, M.J.S. Living and Fossil Brachiopods; Hutchinson & Co. Ltd.: London, UK, 1970.
46. Emig, C.C. Functional disposition of the lophophore in living Brachiopoda. Lethaia 1992, 25, 291–302. [CrossRef]
47. Kuzmina, T.V.; Temereva, E.N. Organization of the lophophore in the deep-sea brachiopod Pelagodiscus atlanticus and evolution of the lophophore. Org. Divers. Evol. 2019, 19, 31–39. [CrossRef]