The recombinant pea defensin Drr230a is active against impacting soybean and cotton pathogenic fungi from the genera *Fusarium*, *Colletotrichum* and *Phakopsora*
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Abstract Plant defensins are antifungal peptides produced by the innate immune system plants developed to circumvent fungal infection. The defensin Drr230a, originally isolated from pea, has been previously shown to be active against various entomopathogenic and phytopathogenic fungi. In the present study, the activity of a yeast-expressed recombinant Drr230a protein (rDrr230a) was tested against impacting soybean and cotton fungi. First, the gene was subcloned into the yeast expression vector pPICZaA and expressed in *Pichia pastoris*. Resulting rDrr230a exhibited in vitro activity against fungal growth and spore germination of *Fusarium tucumaniae*, which causes soybean sudden death syndrome, and against *Colletotrichum gossypii* var. *cephalosporioides*, which causes cotton ramulosis. The rDrr230a IC50 corresponding to inhibition of fungal growth of *F. tucumaniae* and *C. gossypii* var. *cephalosporioides* was 7.67 and 0.84 μM, respectively, demonstrating moderate activity against *F. tucumaniae* and high potency against *C. gossypii* var. *cephalosporioides*. Additionally, rDrr230a at 25 ng/μl (3.83 μM) resulted in 100 % inhibition of spore germination of both fungi, demonstrating that rDrr230a affects fungal development since spore germination. Moreover, rDrr230a at 3 μg/μl (460.12 μM) inhibited 100 % of in vitro spore germination of the obligatory biotrophic fungus *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*, which causes Asian soybean rust. Interestingly, rDrr230a substantially decreased the severity of Asian rust, as demonstrated by *in planta* assay. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a plant defensin active against an obligatory biotrophic phytopathogenic fungus. Results revealed the potential of rDrr230a as a candidate to be used in plant genetic engineering to control relevant cotton and soybean fungal diseases.
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Introduction

Plant diseases caused by fungi affect a broad range of crops worldwide, being responsible for significant losses and for the decrease in quality and safety of agricultural products. In crop plants, fungi cause more economic damage than any other group of microorganisms, with annual losses estimated at more than US$ 200 billion (Horbach et al. 2011). There are several fungal diseases impacting in tropical areas, which include cotton ramulosis, Asian soybean rust and soybean sudden death syndrome caused by *Colletotrichum gossypii* (South) var. *cephalosporioides* A. S. Costa, *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* H. & P. Syd., and *Fusarium solani* (Mart.) Sacc. f. sp. *glycines* (= *Fusarium tucumaniae* T.) (Aoki et al. 2003), respectively. Currently,
there are no cotton or soybean varieties with good agronomic traits, which at the same time present high resistance against these important diseases. In addition, none of these diseases are satisfactorily controlled by fungicides.

To circumvent fungal infection, plants have developed innate immune systems that recognize the presence of pathogens and initiate effective defense responses (Muthamilarasan and Prasad 2013). Therefore, plants produce diverse molecules such as carbohydrates, lipids (Rojas et al. 2014), antimicrobial enzymes (Habib and Fazili 2007), secondary metabolites, (Ahuja et al. 2012; Bednarek 2012) and several defense-related proteins such as chitinases, glucanases, lectins, thionins, and defensins (Carvalho and Gomes 2009).

Defensins, which are pathogenesis-related proteins produced by plants, invertebrate and vertebrate animals, are basic, small (around 50 amino acids, about 5 kDa), cysteine-rich-peptides whose three-dimensional structure presents one αβ-helix and three antiparallel β-sheets stabilized by an αβ motif (Lay and Anderson 2005; Carvalho and Gomes 2009). Defensins have a broad range of biological activity, including inhibition of α-amyrases (Bloom and Richardson 1991; Pelegrini et al. 2008) and proteases (Wijaya et al. 2000; Melo et al. 2002), antimicrobial activity (Ye and Ng 2001; Wong and Ng 2005; de Zélécourt et al. 2007), inhibition of protein synthesis (Mendez et al. 1990, 1996), blockage of ionic channels (Spelbrink et al. 2004) and interference in cell cycle by DNA binding (Lobo et al. 2007).

Transgenic plants expressing defensins have been shown to be an alternative strategy to protect plants against pathogens (De Bondt et al. 1999; Gao et al. 2000; Parashina et al. 2000; Chen et al. 2002, 2006; Park et al. 2002; Chan et al. 2005; Zhu et al. 2007; Anuradha et al. 2008; Choy et al. 2009; Kovalskaya and Hammond 2009; Abdallah et al. 2010; Jha and Chattoo 2010) especially in case there is no source of natural resistance for breeding programs.

The pea (Pisum sativum) defensin Drr230a, transgenically expressed in tobacco, has been previously shown to present antimicrobial activity against the entomopathogenic fungus Trichoderma reesei and against the phytopathogenic fungi F. solani, F. oxysporum, Ascochyta pisi, A. pinodes, A. lentis, Alternaria alternata, and Leptosphaeria maculans (Lai et al. 2002).

Considering the potential of Drr230a to control other relevant fungal diseases in agriculture, in the present study, we describe the expression of the drr230a gene in Pichia pastoris, the purification of the resulting recombinant Drr230a (rDrr230a) and evaluations of its activity against the impacting cotton and soybean fungi C. gossypii var. cephalosporioides, P. pachyrhizi and F. tucumaniae.

**Materials and methods**

**Phytopathogenic fungi**

The fungal pathogen *F. solani* (Mart.) Sacc. *f. sp. glycines* (= *F. tucumaniae*), which causes soybean sudden death syndrome, was provided by Dr. Leila Costamilan (Embrapa Trigo, Passo Fundo-RS, Brazil). *C. gossypii* (South) var. cephalosporioides A. S. Costa, a fungal pathogen that causes cotton ramulosis, was provided by Dr. Nelson Dias Suassuna (Embrapa Algodão, Campina Grande-PB, Brazil). Both fungi were maintained by in vitro cultivation and spore lyophilization.

The *P. pachyrhizi* H. & P. Syd. uredospores, which cause Asian soybean rust, were collected at soybean fields in Londrina-PR, Brazil and maintained in soybean plants under greenhouse controlled conditions at Embrapa Soja, Londrina-PR, Brazil.

**Subcloning of drr230a gene into yeast expression vector**

The *drr230a* defensin gene (Genebank accession AF525685), provided by Dr. Richard Fobert (National Research Council Canada, Plant Biotechnology Institute), was originally isolated from pea (*P. sativum*) and previously demonstrated to present antifungal activity (Lai et al. 2002). The *drr230a* gene, initially subcloned into a plant transformation vector, provided by Dr Richard Fobert, was amplified by using the primers DRR230a01For (5’ GCC GAA TTC AAC ACA TGT GAG AAC 3’) and DRR230a02Rev (5’ TGG GCG GCC GCT CAA TGA TGA TGA TGG CAG TTT TTA GTA CAC CAA TGC CAG CGA AAG TCA TC 3’) and against the *F. solani*, *F. oxysporum*, and *F. tucumaniae*, which causes soybean sudden death syndrome, was provided by Dr. Leila Costamilan (Embrapa Trigo, Passo Fundo-RS, Brazil). *C. gossypii* (South) var. cephalosporioides A. S. Costa, a fungal pathogen that causes cotton ramulosis, was provided by Dr. Nelson Dias Suassuna (Embrapa Algodão, Campina Grande-PB, Brazil). Both fungi were maintained by in vitro cultivation and spore lyophilization.

The *P. pachyrhizi* H. & P. Syd. uredospores, which cause Asian soybean rust, were collected at soybean fields in Londrina-PR, Brazil and maintained in soybean plants under greenhouse controlled conditions at Embrapa Soja, Londrina-PR, Brazil.

The resulting construct pPICZaA-Drr230a integrity was confirmed by automated ABI sequencing (Perkim-Elmer). The pPICZaA-Drr230a construct was used to transform *P. pastoris* competent cells strain X-33 by using 20 μg of *SacI* linearized DNA via electroporation (in accordance with the manufacturer). Clones were selected on YPD broth (1 %
yeast extract; 2% dextrose; 2% peptone, 2% agar) plates containing zeocin (100 μg/ml) and by PCR analyses. Clones were then cultivated in plates with higher zeocin concentration (500 μg/ml) to increase chances of selecting those with two gene copies in the yeast genome (Vassileva and Chugh 2001).

**Expression of rDrr230a in *P. pastoris* and its purification by immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC)**

One positive clone containing the pPICZαA-Drr230a construct was inoculated in 2 ml of YPD broth (1% yeast extract; 2% dextrose; 2% peptone) and incubated over night at 28 °C and 200 rpm (i.e. pre-inoculum). Afterwards, the 2 ml pre-inoculum was diluted in 200 ml of BMG broth (1.34% de YNB, 1% de glycerol, 4 × 10⁻⁵% Biotin, 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0) and incubated for 24 h to reach OD₆₀₀ = 20 (i.e. inoculum). Then, those 200 ml inoculum were centrifuged (1500 × g; 25 °C; 5 min) and the resulting pellet was resuspended in the same volume of BMM broth (1.34% de YNB, 1% methanol, 4 × 10⁻⁵% Biotin, 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0) to induce expression of the recombinant protein rDrr230a. During the induced expression, the culture was incubated at 28 °C and 220 rpm agitation for 4 days. Aliquots of the culture supernatant were analyzed by SDS-PAGE (14%) and Western Blot probed with commercial anti-His antibodies (Invitrogen Co.) to detect the His-tag rDrr230a protein (Towbin et al. 1979; Schagger and Von Jagow 1987).

Supernatant from the expression culture was filtered through 0.2 μm membranes (Milllex-GV, Millipore), diluted in binding buffer (100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4; 500 mM NaCl) and applied into a His-Trap FF crude column (1.6 × 2.5 cm; 5 ml) (GE Healthcare) previously equilibrated with binding buffer pH 7.4 at 5 ml/min. Binding recombinant rDrr230a protein was eluted with elution buffer (binding buffer containing imidazole to final concentration of 500 mM) and checked for purity by SDS-PAGE (14%) and Western Blot probed with commercial anti-His antibodies (Invitrogen Co.). The fraction containing the recombinant rDrr230a protein was dialyzed, lyophilized and dissolved in deionized water. The N-terminal amino acid sequence of rDrr230a was confirmed by sequencing using Edman’s degradation technique.

**Antifungal bioassay against non-biotrophic phytopathogenic fungi**

Bioassays against the soybean pathogenic fungus *F. tucumaniae* and against the cotton pathogenic fungus *C. gosypii* var. *cephalosporioides* were performed in two quantitative assays. The first assay, performed to analyze inhibition of fungal growth, consisted of incubating 10 μl of a solution containing 10⁴ spores/ml with 80 μl of liquid PDB (Potato Dextrose Broth) and rDrr230a to different final concentrations, varying from 0.2 up to 60 ng/μl in the case of inhibition of *F. tucumaniae* growth, and varying from 5 up to 50 ng/μl in the case of inhibition of *C. gosypii* var. *cephalosporioides* growth (assay total volume corresponded to 100 μl per sample). The assay was done in a 96-well microplate and the samples were incubated at 28 °C for 48 h in the dark. The inhibition of fungal growth was measured by optical density in a microplate reader (BIORAD) at 600 nm. All treatments were done in triplicates. Distilled water and hydrogen peroxide (70 μM) were used as negative and positive controls, respectively.

The second assay, performed to analyze inhibition of spore germination, was carried out using 5 μl of a solution containing 10⁴ spores/ml and 15 μl of a solution containing rDrr230a. The samples were incubated at 28 °C for 12 h. The germinated spores were counted in a Neubauer chamber upon observation under an optical microscope (Olympus Optical Co.) within several fields of 100 spores/field. Moreover, representative sample fields were photographed with the same equipment. All treatments were done in triplicates. Distilled water and hydrogen peroxide (70 μM) were used as negative and positive controls, respectively.

**Antifungal bioassay against obligatory biotrophic phytopathogenic fungus**

Bioassays against the obligatory biotrophic soybean pathogenic fungus *P. pachyrhizi* were performed in two assays. The first assay was performed to analyze the disease severity caused in planta by *P. pachyrhizi* in presence or absence of rDrr230a. This assay was carried out by inoculating half soybean detached leaflets with 150 μl of a solution containing 8 × 10⁴ uredospores/ml (in sterile distilled water containing 0.01% v/v Tween 20), by brushing. Fourteen minutes afterwards, the same half leaflets were treated, by brushing, with rDrr230a to final concentration 3 μg/μl. The leaflets were then incubated at 24 °C for 14 days in a humid chamber. The severity was evaluated daily. All treatments were done in triplicates. Distilled water was used as the negative control. In addition, 24 h post inoculation, one half leaflet of each treatment was punched with a microtube cap to collect samples for microscopy analyses of uredospore germination under a Scanning Electron Microscope. For this reason, each collected leaf disk was immediately fixed in glutaraldehyde/paraformaldehyde solution. Afterwards, the leaf disks were further fixed in osmium tetroxide and then in uranyl acetate. Dehydration of the leaf disks was performed in...
acetone solutions of serially increasing concentrations. Acetone was removed from the leaf disk samples by critical point drying. Finally, the leaf disks were coated with gold by low-vacuum sputter coating, then observed and photographed under Scanning Electron Microscope (Jeol).

The second assay, performed to analyze inhibition of uredospore germination, was carried out by using 50 μl of a solution containing 10^5 uredospores/ml (in sterile distilled water containing 0.01 % v/v Tween 20) and rDrr230a at final concentration of 3 μg/μl, in a final volume of 100 μl. The assay was done in a 96-well microplate and the samples were incubated at 24 °C in a humid chamber overnight. To end up the assay, lactophenol was added. The germinated uredospores were counted in a Neubauer chamber upon observation with an optical microscope (Olympus Optical Co) within several fields of 100 uredospores/field. Moreover, representative sample fields were photographed with the same equipment. All assays were done in triplicates. Distilled water was used as negative control of inhibition of uredospore germination.

Results and discussion

Expression of rDrr230a in P. pastoris and its purification by immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC)

To evaluate the biological activity of rDrr230a against phytopathogenic fungi, the corresponding gene was introduced into the P. pastoris expression vector pPICZαA and the rDrr230a protein was expressed under control of the pAOX1 methanol-inducible promoter (Fig. 1). The resulting recombinant protein rDrr230a was secreted due to the α-mating factor signal peptide present in the vector (Fig. 1). A poly-histidine tag (6xHis) was added to the 3’ end of the gene sequence to enable purification of the resulting recombinant His-tagged protein (Fig. 1) through IMAC. P. pastoris strain X-33 was transformed with the expression construct and resulting colonies were assayed in plates with high concentration of the selection agent zeocin. Zeocin resistant clones were used in a small-scale expression assay. The clone that presented the highest expression level was chosen to proceed to large-scale production of rDrr230a.

The recombinant protein rDrr230a was expressed upon promoter induction with methanol, and untransformed cells were used as negative control sample. Aliquots from the expression set up were collected every 24 h in a time course from 24 h up to 96 h, and analyzed in TRICINE-SDS-PAGE. The production of rDrr230a occurred at 24 h, remaining until 96 h, and rDrr230a presented the expected molecular size of 6.52 kDa (Fig. 2a, b).

The supernatant, containing the secreted rDrr230a, was directly submitted to purification by IMAC. The eluted fractions were analyzed by TRICINE-SDS-PAGE 14 % and Western blot probed with anti-His antibody, confirming the expression of a recombinant protein comprising 6.52 kDa (Fig. 3a, b). The N-terminal amino acid sequence of rDrr230a was confirmed by Edman’s degradation sequencing, which revealed additional amino acids corresponding to leftovers of the Kex2 cleavage site (EAEA) and EcoRI site (EF) at the N-terminus (Fig. 1). Considering as well the prediction of amino acid sequence based on nucleotide sequence, the resulting protein sequence of the His-tagged recombinant rDrr230a (57 residues) is EAEA KDa (Fig. 3a, b). The N-terminal amino acid sequence of rDrr230a was confirmed by Edman’s degradation sequencing, which revealed additional amino acids corresponding to leftovers of the Kex2 cleavage site (EAEA) and EcoRI site (EF) at the N-terminus (Fig. 1). Considering as well the prediction of amino acid sequence based on nucleotide sequence, the resulting protein sequence of the His-tagged recombinant rDrr230a (57 residues) is EAEA.

rDrr230a activity against non-biotrophic and obligatory biotrophic phytopathogenic fungi

To analyze inhibition of fungal growth upon incubation with the defensin, spores of the non-biotrophic fungi F. tucumaniae and C. gossypii var. cephalosporioides were incubated with rDrr230a in microplate wells in liquid medium for 48 h. This bioassay was performed by using a concentration range of rDrr230a varying from 0.2 ng/μl up to 60 ng/μl (0.03 μM up to 9.20 μM) to analyze inhibition of F. tucumaniae growth and a range varying from 5 up to 50 ng/μl (0.77 μM up to 7.67 μM) in the case of C. gossypii var. cephalosporioides (Fig. 4). Based on the resulting curves of inhibition of fungal growth of both fungi upon incubation with rDrr230a, the calculated IC50 against F. tucumaniae was 7.67 μM (50 ng/μl; Fig. 4a) and against C. gossypii var. cephalosporioides corresponded to 0.84 μM (5.5 ng/μl; Fig. 4b), indicating that rDrr230a is moderately efficient against F. tucumaniae and highly potent against C. gossypii var. cephalosporioides.

To further investigate the deleterious effect of rDrr230a upon both fungi, the activity of rDrr230a against spore germination of F. tucumaniae and C. gossypii var. cephalosporioides was tested at 25 ng/μl (3.83 μM) 12 h post incubation, in a similar microplate setup as previously described. At concentration 25 ng/μl (3.83 μM) the spore germination of both F. tucumaniae and C. gossypii var. cephalosporioides was inhibited in 100 % (Fig. 5a, c, e) despite the fact that the spores of both fungi germinated normally under incubation in water (Fig. 5a, b, d). These observations demonstrate that rDrr230a affects the fungal development of F. tucumaniae and C. gossypii var. cephalosporioides from the very beginning, i.e. since the spore germination.
The *P. pastoris* system was suitable to produce active rDrr230a (Figs. 2, 3) as shown by biological activity of the resulting recombinant protein against *F. tucumaniae* and *C. gossypii* var. *cephalosporioides* (Fig. 4). There are several other reports corroborating that yeast expression systems are adequate to produce active antimicrobial peptides rich in sulfide-bridges, such as defensins. For instance, *P. pastoris* yeast expression systems were successfully used to produce active plant defensins such as the corn defensin PDC1 (Kant et al. 2009), the dimeric defensin SPE10 (Song et al. 2005), the pea defensin Psd1 (Almeida et al. 2001), the sugar beet defensin AX2 (Kristensen et al. 1999), the mungbean defensin VrD1 (Jrchen et al. 2004) and the wild tobacco defensin NmDef02 (Portieles et al. 2010).

Besides expression of plant defensins in yeast systems, there are reports as well of expression of plant defensins in bacteria, in all cases resulting in production of bioactive peptides against various fungi, such as the wild indigo defensin Tvd1 (Vijayan et al. 2008), the pepper defensin J1-1 (Seo et al. 2014) and the corn defensin PDC1 (Kant et al. 2009). Nevertheless, when expressed in *E. coli* as compared to the *P. pastoris* system, PDC1 expressed in yeast was more efficient to inhibit growth of *F. graminarum* than the same protein expressed in bacteria (Kant et al. 2009), as it is expected, since yeast is an eukaryotic system, what favors correct cysteine-bond formation, protein correct folding and consequently protein full activity (Demain and Vaishnav 2009; Fickers 2014).

Concerning recombinant plant defensins tested against phytopathogenic fungi from the *Colletotrichum* genus, Seo et al. (2014) demonstrated activity of the defensin J1-1, expressed in bacteria, against *C. gloeosporioides* (IC$_{50}$ 8.3 µM). The defensin SPE10, expressed in yeast, also presented antifungal activity when tested towards the same fungal species (Song et al. 2005), i.e. *C. gloeosporioides*, despite being less potent than J1-1 (IC$_{50}$ > 20 µM).
Interestingly, rDrr230a is considerably more potent than J1-1 and SPE10 against a target fungus from the Colletotrichum genus (C. gossypii var. cephalosporioides) (IC₅₀ 0.84 µM), what represents around tenfold increase in antifungal potency. This implies that the recombinant rDrr230a expressed in yeast was correctly folded and therefore was biologically active.

In what concerns activity against the Fusarium genus, rDrr230a (IC₅₀ 7.67 µM) was shown to be more effective than the defensin PDC1 produced in bacteria (IC₅₀ 30 µM), though being as effective as the same recombinant defensin expressed in yeast (IC₅₀ 7.5 µM; Kant et al. 2009). Moreover, rDrr230a (IC₅₀ 7.67 µM) was more effective than the defensin SPE10 (IC₅₀ > 20 µM), but less effective than the recombinant defensins VrD1 (IC₅₀ 1.0 up to 3.4 µM against different F. oxysporum isolates), NmDef02 (IC₅₀ 1.0 µM against F. oxysporum) and TvD1 (IC₅₀ < 5.0 µM against both F. oxysporum and F. moniliforme) against Fusarium species (Jrchen et al. 2004; Song et al. 2005; Vijayan et al. 2008; Portieles et al. 2010).
As *P. pachyrhizi* is an obligatory biotrophic phytopathogenic fungus, it is not feasible to assess rDrr230a in vitro activity against its growth. Therefore, the impact of rDrr230a upon *P. pachyrhizi* infection was assessed by *in planta* bioassays. For that reason, soybean detached leaflets were inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* uredospores either in presence or absence of rDrr230a and the disease severity was evaluated daily for 2 weeks, by using a scale varying from 0 % up to 100 % severity (Godoy et al. 2006). rDrr230a at 3 µg/ml (460.12 µM) resulted in no disease, i.e. 0 % severity, as compared to average 80 % rust severity in the absence of the recombinant protein, 14 days post inoculation (Fig. 6a, c). A leaf disk sample of each treatment was fixed at 1 day post inoculation for scanning electron microscopy analyses. The corresponding micrographs depict representative images of no uredospore germination onto well preserved plant epidermal cells when rDrr230a is present, whereas there is normal uredospore germination onto damaged epidermal plant cells in absence of rDrr230a (Fig. 5b, d). Moreover, inhibition of *P. pachyrhizi* uredospore germination was evaluated in vitro, under similar setup as previously evaluated for *F. tucumaniae* and *C. gossypii* var. *cephalosporioides*. In this case, rDrr230a inhibited 100 % spore germination of *P. pachyrhizi* at 3 µg/ml (460.12 µM) (Fig. 7). Despite the considerably high concentration used that inhibited in vitro *P. pachyrhizi* spore germination and decreased *in planta* disease severity, to our knowledge, this is the first report of a plant defensin being active against a obligatory biotrophic phytopathogenic fungus.

The antifungal effect of wild type Drr230a protein (also named as DRR206) was firstly reported by Wang and Nowak (1999) who showed that transgenic canola (*Brassica napus*).
expressing Drr230a was active against the fungus *L. maculans*, which causes blackleg disease on Brassica crops. Wang and Fristensky 2001 additionally demonstrated that Drr230a expressed in transgenic canola was effective against the phytopathogenic fungi *Rhizoctonia solani* (soil-borne pathogen that causes various plant diseases in a wide host range such as collar rot, root rot, damping off and wire stem) and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (that causes white mold in a wide host range of plants).

In 2002 Lai et al showed that leaf extracts from transgenic tobacco plants expressing Drr230a were active against the entomopathogenic fungus *T. reesei* and the phytopathogenic fungi *F. solani*, *F. oxysporum* (both *Fusarium* species that cause various diseases in plants and have extremely broad host range), *A. pisi*, *A. pinodes*, *A. lentis* (all *Ascochyta* species that cause blight in legume crops), *A. alternata* (that causes leaf spot in numerous plant hosts) and *L. maculans* (that causes blackleg on *Brassica* spp.). It is not possible to compare the potency of Drr230a against the target fungi herein tested and other affected fungi previously described because of lack of previous literature IC$_{50}$ data available. Nevertheless, the previously reported antifungal activities of Drr230a expressed in transgenic plants is compatible with the herein presented data. Furthermore, the present data demonstrates that the recombinant rDrr230a expressed in yeast retained its antimicrobial property, interestingly against different fungal species as previously tested and with remarkable high potency against *C. gossypii* var. *cephalosporioides*.

The effect of extra residues upon antimicrobial activity of recombinant defensins is a case-wise issue. For instance, Kant et al. (2009) showed a minor deleterious effect of 6xHis-tag upon the antifungal activity of the defensin PDC1 against *F. graminearum*. Nevertheless, the activity of the defensin Psd1 against *Aspergillus niger*, a saprophytic fungus that causes post-harvest black mold in fruits and vegetables, was abolished in the presence of the four leftover residues from the Kex2 cleavage (EAEA) at the N-terminal of the recombinant protein (Cabral et al. 2003). In spite of the fact that rDrr230a expressed in yeast has extra residues, due to the Kex2 cleavage site left over (EAEA) and EcoRI site (EF) at the N-terminus and the presence of 6xHis-tag at the C-terminus (Fig. 1), the antifungal activity of rDrr230a was considerably retained, what is indicated by the remarkable high antimicrobial efficiency (IC$_{50}$ 0.84 $\mu$M) against *C. gossypii* var. *cephalosporioides* (Fig. 4).

There are several reports of plant defensins transgenically expressed in plants for protection against pathogenic fungi (De Bondt et al. 1999; Gao et al. 2000; Parashina et al. 2000; Chen et al. 2002, 2006; Park et al. 2002; Chan et al. 2005; Zhu et al. 2007; Anuradha et al. 2008; Choy et al. 2009; Kovalskaya and Hammond 2009; Abdallah et al. 2010; Jha and Chattoo 2010), including the Drr230a defensin, which is object of the present study (Wang and Nowak 1999; Wang and Fristensky 2001; Lai et al. 2002). Nonetheless, to our knowledge, this is the first report of a plant defensin active against *P. pachyrhizi*, *F. tucumaniae* and *C. gossypii* var. *cephalosporioides*, which are relevant tropical phytopathogenic fungi of soybean and cotton crops. Since there is neither satisfactory chemical control nor natural sources of resistance against *P. pachyrhizi*, *F. tucumaniae* and *C. gossypii* var. *cephalosporioides*, these results point to rDrr230a as a promising tool for the development of transgenic soybean and cotton plants against this set of impacting tropical diseases.

**Conclusions**

The successful expression and purification of the defensin rDrr230a by using *P. pastoris* yeast system, as well as the antimicrobial activity of rDrr230a against impacting cotton and soybean pathogenic fungi was demonstrated in this article. Notably it was demonstrated the inhibition of fungal growth and spore germination of the economically important phytopathogenic fungi *F. tucumaniae*, *P. pachyrhizi* and *C. gossypii* var. *cephalosporioides*, which cause soybean sudden death syndrome, Asian soybean rust and cotton ramulosis, respectively. The data herein presented indicate moderate activity of rDrr230a against *F. tucumaniae* and high potency against *C. gossypii* var. *cephalosporioides*. rDrr230a also drastically decreased the severity of Asian soybean rust. Moreover, rDrr230a affects the development of the three target fungi tested since spore germination. These results reveal the potential of rDrr230a for plant genetic engineering to control relevant tropical fungal diseases of cotton and soybean, for which there are
neither satisfactory chemical control nor resistant varieties commercially available.
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