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Abstract

In this paper, we describe our proposed method for the SemEval 2022 Task 11: Multilingual Complex Named Entity Recognition (MultiCoNER). The goal of this task is to locate and classify named entities in unstructured short complex texts in 11 different languages. After training a variety of contextual language models on the NER dataset, we used an ensemble strategy based on a majority vote to finalize our model. We evaluated our proposed approach on the multilingual NER dataset at SemEval-2022. The ensemble model provided consistent improvements against the individual models on the multilingual track, achieving a macro F1 performance of 65.2%. However, our results were significantly outperformed by the top ranking systems, achieving thus a baseline performance.

1 Introduction

Named entity recognition (NER) is the process of identifying pre-defined categories of named entities, such as people, places, organizations, from unstructured text. NER usually serves as an important first component in various natural language processing (NLP) tasks, such as question answering (Mollá et al., 2006), information retrieval (Guo et al., 2009) and machine translation (Babych and Hartley, 2003). Thus, the performance of the NER system can influence the quality of many downstream NLP applications. Despite the high performance achieved by the current NER systems, they still face some critical challenges (Augenstein et al., 2017). NER models are typically trained on a well-formed news text containing a variety of entities within a relatively long context. In addition, most of the existing NER datasets usually include a large number of common entities between train set and test set. As a result, the performance of the models drops dramatically in the real world applications as they must deal with unseen entities and noisy texts. Furthermore, previous studies on NER have mostly focused on English and as a result, many other languages specially low-resource ones, such as Turkish, Korean, and Persian, have not been as well studied (Rouhizadeh et al., 2021a,b). In this context, SemEval-2022 proposes the task of Multilingual Complex Named Entity Recognition (MultiCoNER) (Malmasi et al., 2022b), which is concerned with detecting semantically ambiguous and complex entities in short and low-contextual settings for 11 languages (i.e. English, Spanish, Dutch, Russian, Turkish, Korean, Farsi, German, Chinese, Hindi, and Bangla). In this paper, we present a multilingual NER method based on ensemble of deep neural language models. We first trained multiple NER models on the official training dataset and then utilized an ensemble strategy based on a majority of votes from the top-3 best-performing models. Based on the macro-average F1-score of 65.2, achieved by our model, we placed 20th in the multilingual track of the competition. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews published work related to the NER task. Section 3 and section 4 explain our proposed NER system and the experimental setup respectively. The results and detailed analysis of the model performance are discussed in section 5 and the conclusion and future work are reported in section 6.

2 Related Work

Over the last decade, deep learning approaches have significantly improved the results of different NER tasks (Baevski et al., 2019; Akbik et al., 2018). The most recent works on NER utilize pre-trained language models like BERT in a supervised setting (Yamada et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Schnei- der et al., 2020; Shaffer, 2021). These models use pre-trained language models that have been trained on a large monolingual or multilingual corpus to fine-tune NER models. Meng et al. (2021) intro-
duced a number of current challenges of developed NER datasets and systems. The challenges include the presence of long-tail entities, i.e., entities with large distribution and millions of values, emerging entities, i.e., domains with growing entities, or complex entities, i.e., linguistically complex entities such as gerunds and full clauses, in the context of the systems’ inputs. In addition, as discussed in Jayarao et al. (2018) the context of search queries and questions usually include a short amount of words which could be problematic for NER systems. To overcome the above issues, Meng et al. (2021) created three new NER datasets, including short sentences, questions, and search queries, and a novel NER system which uses a contextual gazetteer representation (CGR) encoder and a mixture of experts (MoE) gating network to feed a CRF layer for final predictions. Fetahu et al. (2021) also tackled the challenge of the code-mixed queries in which entities and non-entity query terms co-exist simultaneously. They developed a large-scale NER dataset in six languages with four different scripts as well as a novel multi-lingual NER method for code-mixed queries which integrates external knowledge into the multilingual setting.

3 Method

Our multilingual NER system takes sentences in 11 different languages and automatically identifies and classifies named entities within each sentence. For each sentence, the system utilizes three different BERT-like models (fined-tuned on the multilingual NER dataset) to perform entity prediction independently. Next, for each entity, the label with the majority of votes will be chosen as the final prediction. In the following, we provide details on different NER models we used in our pipeline and our ensemble strategy for label prediction in section 3.1 and section 3.2, respectively.

3.1 Training NER Models

To build our NER model, we first fine-tune a number of pre-trained multilingual transformer-based models, i.e., Multilingual-BERT (Pires et al., 2019), XLM-RoBERTa-base, XLM-RoBERTa-Large (Conneau et al., 2019) and Distilbert-Multilingual (Sanh et al., 2019), on the official training dataset (see section 4.1 for more details about the dataset). We fine-tune each particular model by adding (1): a fully connected neural network (FCNN) layer or (2): a conditional random fields (CRF) layer (Lafferty et al., 2001) on the top of the transformer architecture. Transformer-based models usually use the byte-pair encoding for the tokenization. In other words, each token might be divided into more than one sub-token. To deal with this, during training, among the sub-tokens labels of a given word, the label of the first sub-token has been considered as the label of the word. We also use the BERT-like models to train a simple BiLSTM model with an additional linear classifier on the dataset. Following Reimers and Gurevych (2019), we calculate the vector representation for each context word by taking the average of the layer output embeddings of the pre-trained language model and feed them to a BiLSTM neural network as input.

As the next step, we select three of the best-performing NER models and use an ensemble strategy (discussed in section 3.2) to finalize our model.

3.2 Ensemble of the NER Models

Having trained multiple NER models, we use an ensemble strategy based on a majority vote to assign the predictions (Copara et al., 2020b,a; Knafou et al., 2020; Naderi et al., 2021). More in detail, for a given sentence $S$, three NER models infer their predictions independently. Thus, we will have three labeled instances of $S$ associated with several entity labels. Next, for each identified entity, we choose the label that gets the majority of votes (at least two votes) as the final prediction. Note that as we use three different NER models in our pipeline, three different labels might be assigned to a given entity. In such cases, we choose the predicted label of the best-performing model (evaluated on the dev set) as the final prediction.

4 Experimental Setup

This section discusses the dataset we used to conduct our experiments, followed by the parameters we used to train the models.

4.1 Data

Our experiments were conducted using the multilingual dataset provided by the SemEval-2022 Task 11 organizers (Malmasi et al., 2022a). The dataset consists of entity annotated sentences from eleven different languages. We used the code provided by Adelani et al. (2021) to perform BiLSTM experiments.
### Table 1: General statistics of the dataset including the number and the distribution of each entity.

| Entity          | Train   | Dev     | Test    |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Person          | 35091   | 18.4%   | 8862    | 18.6%   | 2342    | 18.7%   |
| Location        | 43052   | 22.6%   | 10978   | 23.1%   | 2932    | 23.4%   |
| Group           | 26373   | 13.8%   | 6473    | 13.6%   | 1638    | 13.0%   |
| Creative Work   | 30817   | 16.2%   | 7556    | 15.9%   | 2015    | 16.1%   |
| Production      | 28170   | 14.8%   | 6949    | 14.6%   | 1848    | 14.7%   |
| Corporation     | 26315   | 13.8%   | 6575    | 13.8%   | 1738    | 13.8%   |
| All             | 189818  | 100%    | 47393   | 100%    | 12513   | 100%    |

### Table 2: The F1 performance of different multilingual NER models. Each cell include the results when we used a FFCN (the number of the left side) or a CRF layer (the number of the right side) in the model.

| Entity / Model | m-BERT | XLM-RoBERTa-base | XLM-RoBERTa-large | m-DistillBERT | BiLSTM | Ensemble |
|----------------|--------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|----------|
| Person         | 69.2%  | 70.8%            | 88.8%             | 90.1%        | 83.0%  | 91.3%    |
| Location       | 69.4%  | 69.9%            | 86.9%             | 88.0%        | 83.0%  | 89.9%    |
| Group          | 60.7%  | 71.1%            | 80.3%             | 84.2%        | 74.0%  | 86.2%    |
| Creative Work  | 58.3%  | 59.1%            | 75.0%             | 80.7%        | 67.0%  | 80.6%    |
| Production     | 55.0%  | 56.6%            | 74.8%             | 79.6%        | 67.0%  | 88.1%    |
| Corporation    | 69.1%  | 69.4%            | 82.7%             | 85.5%        | 76.0%  | 61.5%    |
| All            | 63.8%  | 64.9%            | 82.5%             | 84.7%        | 75.7%  | 86.3%    |

4.2 Parameters

In our experiments, we fine-tuned different multilingual pre-trained language models including bert-base-multilingual-uncased, XLM-Roberta-base, XLM-Roberta-large, distilbert-base-multilingual-cased, and also trained a simple BiLSTM model on the dataset. We trained each particular model for 6 epochs using Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2014), a batch size of 16, the learning rate of 2e-5, and the maximum sequence length of 256 tokens. We computed the F1 performance of the model on each epoch and finally saved the parameters of the epoch with the best performance to perform NER on the test set.

5 Results and Discussion

5.1 Results

In Table 2, we show the macro-averaged F1 performance of the NER models on the different entities of the unofficial test dataset. We use the three best performing models identified in the dev set, i.e., XLM-RoBERTa-large + CRF, XLM-RoBERTa-base + CRF and XLM-RoBERTa-large + FCNN, to create our ensemble strategy. As shown in Table 2, the ensemble model outperforms the other single transformer-based models, improving the F1-score of the top-performer models by around 1% point. The results also indicate that the models fine-tuned on the XLM-RoBERTa (both large and base) outperform the other models by a wide margin. In addition, a comparison between the results of each particular model with and without CRF on the test set shows that adding a CRF layer to the models could be helpful as it improves the model performance in most cases. The results show that all models perform best in inferring Person and Location entities. This can be due to the large number of instances of both entities in the training set. In Table 1, it is shown that the number of oc-
currences of these entities in the dataset is greater than the other ones. The BiLSTM model also performs significantly worse than the fine-tuned XLM-RoBERTa-large models, despite using the same word vectors.

5.2 Discussion

Effect of the context length One of the most important factors affecting the performance of the NER systems is the context length (Meng et al., 2021). To analyze the effect of the input context on our NER system, we divided the (unofficial) test set into 5 different groups: (1): sentences with five or fewer words, (2): sentences with a context length of at least 6 and less than 11, (3) sentences including at least 10 and less than 15 context words, (4) sentences containing between 15 and 20 words, and (5) sentences containing more than 20 context words. The number and ratio of sentences in each group is reported in Table 3. Figure 1 shows the performance of the ensemble NER model on the different groups of sentences. As it can be seen, the model has the worse performance when the sentences contain 5 or less words. Surprisingly, the model performs best in the second group (sentences containing between 5 and 10 words) showing the strength of the model even in the short the sentences.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented our multilingual NER method that uses an ensemble of different fine-tuned models to identify the named entities in the unstructured texts. Using a variety of multilingual pre-trained language models, we first fine-tuned several NER models and then applied a vote-based ensemble strategy to make the final prediction. Our submission achieved an overall F1 score of 65.2, ranking 20th in the multilingual track of task 11 of SemEval-2022. Our next step would be to examine other possible types of ensemble strategies as it has shown to be effective in the performance of the NER models.
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