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Zusammenfassung

Inspiriert von den integriblen Strukturen der schwach gekoppelten planaren $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills-Theorie studieren wir Q-Operatoren und Yangscbe Invarianten. Wir geben eine Übersicht der Quanten-Inverse-Streumethode zusammen mit der Yang-Baxter Gleichung welche zentral für diesen systematischen Zugang zu integriblen Modellen ist. Den Fokus richten wir auf rationale integrible Spinketten und Vertexmodelle. Wir besprechen einige ihrer bekannten Gemeinsamkeiten und wie sie durch Bethe-Ansatz-Methoden mit Hilfe sogenannter Q-Funktionen gelöst werden können. Die mathematische Struktur, die diesen integriblen Modellen zu Grunde liegt ist als Yangians bekannt. Um dem Leser das Auftreten des Yangians in diesem Kontext in Erinnerung zu rufen, geben wir einen Überblick über dessen RTT-Realisierung. Der Hauptteil basiert auf den ursprünglichen Publikationen des Autors. Zuerst konstruieren wir Q-Operatoren, deren Eigenwerte zu den Q-Funktionen rationaler homogener Spinketten führen, welche insbesondere in der Erforschung des spektralen Problems der planaren $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills-Theorie eine wichtige Rolle spielen. Die Q-Operatoren werden als Spuren gewisser Monodromien von R-Operatoren, welche die Yang-Baxter Gleichung lösen, eingeführt. Unsere Konstruktion erlaubt es uns die Hierarchie der kommutierenden Q-Operatoren und ihre funktionalen Beziehungen herzuleiten. Mit dem Ziel die herkömmliche Konstruktion der Transfermatrix umgehen zu können, studieren wir wie der nächste-Nachbarn Hamiltonoperator, sowie höhere lokale Ladungen direkt aus den Q-Operatoren extrahiert werden können. Danach widmen wir uns der Formulierung der Yangschen Invarianzbedingung, wie sie auch im Zusammenhang mit Baumgraphen die bei der Berechnung von Streuamplituden in der $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills-Theorie eine wichtige Rolle spielen. Die Q-Operatoren aus der Yang-Baxter Gleichung lösen, innerhalb der RTT-Realisierung. Dies erlaubt es uns den algebraischen Bethe-Ansatz anzuwenden und die dazugehörigen Bethe Gleichungen herzuleiten, welche für die Konstruktion der Eigenzustände die Yangsche Invarianz aufweisen, relevant sind. Die Komponenten dieser Eigenzustände der von uns betrachteten Spinketten können außerdem als Zustandssummen gewisser zweidimensionaler Vertexmodelle angesehen werden. Zudem analysieren wir die Verbindung zwischen den Eigenzuständen der von uns betrachteten Spinketten und den oben genannten Baumgraphen der vierdimensionalen Eichtheorie. Schliessend diskutieren wir die von uns vorgelegten Ergebnisse und deren Folgen im Hinblick auf die Erforschung der planaren $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills-Theorie.
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Abstract

Inspired by the integrable structures appearing in weakly coupled planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory, we study Q-operators and Yangian invariants of rational integrable spin chains. We review the quantum inverse scattering method (QISM) along with the Yang-Baxter equation which is the key relation in this systematic approach to study integrable models. Our main interest concerns rational integrable spin chains and lattice models. We recall the relation among them and how they can be solved using Bethe ansatz methods incorporating so-called Q-functions. The basic mathematical structure underlying these integrable models is known as the Yangian. In order to remind the reader how the Yangian emerges in this context, an overview of its so-called RTT-realization is provided. The main part is based on the author’s original publications. Firstly, we construct Q-operators whose eigenvalues yield the Q-functions for rational homogeneous spin chains that, in particular, play an important role in the study of the spectral problem of planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory. The Q-operators are introduced as traces over certain monodromies of R-operators obtained from the Yang-Baxter equation. Our construction allows us to derive the hierarchy of commuting Q-operators and the functional relations among them. With the aim of being able to avoid the ordinary transfer matrix construction, we study how the nearest-neighbor Hamiltonian and in principle also higher local charges can be extracted from the Q-operators directly. Secondly, we formulate the Yangian invariance condition, also studied in relation to tree-level scattering amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory, in the RTT-realization. We find that Yangian invariants can be interpreted as special eigenvectors of certain inhomogeneous spin chains. This allows us to apply the algebraic Bethe ansatz and derive the corresponding Bethe equations that are relevant to construct the invariants. Furthermore, we examine the connection between the Yangian invariant spin chain eigenstates whose components can be understood as partition functions of certain two-dimensional lattice models and tree-level scattering amplitudes of the four-dimensional gauge theory. Finally, we conclude and discuss some future directions and implications of our studies for planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory.
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1. Introduction

Particle Physics and the Standard Model

The development of quantum field theory in the second half of the 20th century marks a milestone in the history of theoretical physics. The electromagnetic, weak and strong nuclear forces are uniformly described in this framework by the Standard Model of particle physics [1]. The predictive power of the model is remarkable. The basic theoretical concept used to calculate probabilities of particle scatterings from the Standard Model Lagrangian are Feynman diagrams. They describe how the colliding particles interact on a microscopic scale. This method proves to be very powerful in certain energy regimes and is in perfect agreement with experiment. However, its perturbative nature makes strongly coupled regimes hard to access analytically. A key example for this phenomenon is Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) which describes the strong interaction between quarks and gluons. In contrast to Quantum Electrodynamics, QCD is strongly coupled at low energies.

Integrability in gauge theories

There is growing amount of evidence that QCD and in general Yang-Mills theories posses additional hidden symmetries at the quantum level that are not manifest in the Lagrangian formulation. Remarkably, in certain regimes this extra symmetry allows to describe Yang-Mills theories as an integrable system. In such situations the integrable structure may allow to overcome the difficulties of accessing the strongly coupled regimes of gauge theories analytically. The study of integrable models is a research line of theoretical physics on its own. Their simplicity and beauty attracted many physicists from different research fields. Interestingly, also Richard Feynman himself got fascinated by models that can be solved by Bethe ansatz methods. In particular, these models can often be understood on a non-perturbative or exact level. As such they appear in statistical physics but also allow the study of certain 1+1-dimensional quantum field theories in all regimes of the coupling using the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) [7–14]. To understand how integrability appears in 3+1-dimensional gauge theories it is convenient to study $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory, the maximally supersymmetric gauge theory in four dimensions, which provides an ideal testing ground. In particular, there is strong evidence that this theory is integrable in the ’t Hooft limit! Furthermore, the AdS/CFT duality allows to actually compare results obtained using integrability methods to the

---

1 The first example of the appearance of an integrable model in effective QCD goes back to 1993 and can be found in [2,3]. For $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills see also [4,5].

2 “I got really fascinated by these 1+1-dimensional models that are solved by the Bethe ansatz and how mysteriously they jump out at you and work and you don’t know why. I am trying to understand all this better.” [6]
strongly and weakly coupled regime of the AdS/CFT system; further details are contained in the next paragraph. Of course, the results obtained for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory cannot always be carried over directly to other gauge theories like QCD. As mentioned above, the maximal amount of supersymmetry makes the theory in many ways simpler. However, a complete understanding of this theory is conceptually extremely important. To describe physical quantities of gauge theories with less supersymmetry as perturbations around their exact counterparts in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills is certainly one of the most exciting prospects of this subject.

**AdS/CFT, integrability and the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz**

The AdS/CFT correspondence [15–17] has been one of the most important advances in string theory of the last decades. It states the equivalence of certain superstring theories on anti de Sitter space-time (AdS) and supersymmetric conformal quantum field theories (CFT). The AdS/CFT duality is of strong/weak type, which means that it provides a way to describe the strongly coupled regime of gauge theories using weakly coupled string theory and vice versa. By now there exist several realizations of the correspondence. As mentioned above, we focus on the duality between the maximally supersymmetric gauge theory $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills in four dimensions and type IIB superstring theory compactified on $AdS_5 \times S^5$. The discovery of integrable structures in the gauge theory shed new light on this duality. An overview on this subject can be found in the recent review collection [18]. It was noticed that in the ’t Hooft limit, where non-planar Feynman diagrams are suppressed, the spectrum of local operators of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills can be calculated using integrability techniques. More precisely, the dilatation operator can be identified with the nearest-neighbor Hamiltonian of a $\mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$ integrable super spin chain at one-loop order of the ’t Hooft coupling constant [4, 5]. Therefore, powerful Bethe ansatz methods can be applied to obtain the one-loop anomalous dimensions. This integrable structure originates from a hidden infinite-dimensional Yangian symmetry algebra which typically appears in integrable models. The strongly coupled regime of the gauge theory is described by a non-linear string sigma model which is known to be classically integrable. According to the AdS/CFT dictionary, the string energy is equal to the anomalous dimension. Thus, the question whether integrability holds in the intermediate regime immediately arises. Assuming integrability, asymptotic Bethe ansatz equations and the dispersion relation were written down for the all-loop AdS/CFT system. However, the so called wrapping effects are not captured by these equations. They have to be taken into account whenever the order of perturbation theory exceeds the length of the operator under investigation [19,20]. The way out is the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) [21,23]. The violation of the asymptotic Bethe equations can be described in terms of Lüscher corrections and yields the exact spectrum predicted by the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz.

**Baxter Q-operators**

To solve the TBA equations of the spectral problem additional input regarding the analytic structure of so called Y-functions is needed. This system of equations can be reduced
to a finite set of non-linear integral equations (FiNLIE) in terms of Wronskians of Q-functions, see \[24\] and references therein. Therefore, the analytic structure of the Y-functions is inherited from the Q-functions which are the eigenvalues of certain unknown Q-operators. A direct construction of the operators would already contain the information of the analytic structure! Originally, the concept of the Q-operator was introduced by R.J. Baxter in 1971 in order to calculate exactly the partition function of the eight-vertex model \[25\]. The method of functional relations and commuting transfer matrices originated in this work. In a series of papers \[26\--\[29\], my collaborators and I constructed the Q-operators for homogeneous spin chains from fundamental principles. The construction follows the quantum inverse scattering method employing degenerate solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation as generating objects along the lines of \[30\--\[32\]. The hierarchy of Q-operators was derived in this way. The functional relations among them are best understood in the notion of the Hasse diagram \[33\]. The Bethe equations follow without making an ansatz for the wave function. The Q-operators for the \(\text{psu}(2, 2|4)\) super spin chain describing the complete one-loop level of the planar AdS/CFT system can be deduced from the work presented here. Furthermore, we show how to extract the nearest-neighbor Hamiltonian and in principle also higher local charges from Q-operators directly in the non-supersymmetric case \[34\]. This circumvents the paradigm of constructing the transfer matrix with equal representations in quantum and auxiliary space and underlines the strength of the Q-operator construction. Furthermore, the energy formula for the spin chain in terms of Bethe roots is proven for a large class of representations.

\[\text{An integrability framework for scattering amplitudes}\]

In the last years, there has been a lot of progress in the understanding of scattering amplitudes of four-dimensional quantum field theories and especially in \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) super Yang-Mills \[35\]. In particular, the duality between scattering amplitudes and Wilson loops led to deep insights concerning the symmetries of the theory. More specifically, it was shown that the superconformal symmetry and the dual superconformal symmetry combine into a Yangian symmetry \[36\]. It is the same Yangian which was found in the spectral problem. This holds for planar tree-level scattering amplitudes but also manifests itself in the loop-integrand, see e.g. \[37\]. The Yangian, as defined by Drinfeld, arises as a consequence of the Yang-Baxter equation underlying one-dimensional quantum integrable models in the so-called rational case. Thus the Yangian structure appearing in the four-dimensional scattering amplitudes naturally suggests a possible reformulation of the problem as an integrable model. The Graßmannian integral formulation provides a convenient way to construct scattering amplitudes. It was argued that all amplitudes can be obtained from on-shell building blocks using the BCFW recursion relation \[38\]. Furthermore, it was shown how the Hamiltonian of the \(\text{psu}(2, 2|4)\) spin chain is closely related to tree-level amplitudes in \[39\]. The Yang-Baxter equation already appeared in the work of \[38\] and was further exploited in \[40\--\[41\]. Here the basic building blocks of the amplitudes were deformed by spectral parameters and directly derived from the
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bootstrap equation. It was speculated that at one-loop level the spectral parameters can be reinterpreted as IR-regulators of the amplitudes. However, while integrability has been essential for the (conjectured) solution of the spectral problem, in the scattering problem it has not yet directly led to any practical advantages in computations, with the notable exception of the recent approach of [43–47]. The reason is that the associated large integrability toolbox, the quantum inverse scattering method, is so far available only for the calculation of anomalous dimensions. Its application usually leads to powerful Bethe ansatz methods. In contradistinction, apparently no such methods exist to-date for directly exploiting Yangian invariance. In this thesis we study how Yangian invariants can be constructed using a Bethe ansatz, see [48]. We derive a special set of Bethe equations that allow to construct eigenstates of a spin chain that are Yangian invariant from the algebraic Bethe ansatz. These spin chain eigenstates are the finite-dimensional analogues of the scattering amplitudes! Furthermore, they can be interpreted as the partition function on a certain lattice similar to the one studied by Baxter in the context of the perimeter Bethe ansatz [49].

\footnote{The bootstrap equation is well known in the context of 1 + 1-dimensional integrable quantum field theories. It describes the formation of bound states, see e.g. [42].}
Overview

This thesis is organised in two parts.

Introductory part Chapter 2 serves as an introduction to the algebraic Bethe ansatz and also contains a short introduction to the coordinate Bethe ansatz. In Chapter 3 we present some aspects of two-dimensional lattice models and their relation to the algebraic Bethe ansatz. In particular, we discuss the notion of crossing, unitarity and the formation of bound states which is commonly studied in relation to integrable quantum field theories in the context of lattice models. The algebraic Bethe ansatz is endowed with a rich mathematical structure. We introduce the Yangian and discuss some of its properties that are of importance for us in Chapter 4.

Research part The second part of the thesis is based on our original contributions. In Chapter 5 we construct Q-operators for rational homogeneous spin chains in the framework of the quantum inverse scattering method and discuss how the Hamiltonian and higher local charges can be obtained from them. In Chapter 6 we discuss how the amplitude problem as mentioned above can be implemented in this framework. Furthermore, we use the algebraic Bethe ansatz to construct finite-dimensional counterparts of the actual tree-level scattering amplitudes. Apart from that, we provide several appendices. In particular, Appendix E contains the R-operators for Q-operators of rational homogeneous supersymmetric $\mathfrak{gl}(n|m)$ spin chains. Appendix H contains a curious relation between the special points of an $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-invariant R-matrix and the BCFW recursion relation. Last but not least, in Appendix I we give a concise discussion of the “hopping” representation of a spin chain Hamiltonian as it also appears in the spectral problem of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory and present a neat way to rewrite it.
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The second part of this thesis is based on the articles \[27, 29, 34, 48\].

- Chapter 5 and Appendix G are based on \[27, 29, 34\]. We derive the R-operators for Q-operators from the Yang-Baxter equation and derive the functional relations among the Q-operators. Furthermore, we present a method to extract the Hamiltonian and in principle also higher local charges directly from the Q-operators.

- Chapter 6 is based on \[48\]. We formulate the condition for Yangian invariance in the RTT-realization. This enables us to study Yangian invariants in the framework of the algebraic Bethe ansatz. Furthermore, we present a relation between tree-level scattering amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills and spin chain eigenstates.

- In Appendix F we give the expression for the Lax operators used to construct the Q-operators for $\mathfrak{gl}(n|m)$ obtained in \[28\]. Furthermore, it contains the unpublished Lax operators for Q-operators with more general representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(n|m)$ in the quantum space.

- Appendix I contains an expression for the Hamiltonian as given by N. Beisert in \[50\] using certain “hopping” operators that can also be used to express the corresponding R-matrix, see also Section 6.3.2. Furthermore, we present a way to write the Hamiltonian building on the form of its action given by B. Zwiebel in \[39\].

- Appendix H gives a recipe to construct Yangian invariants from the building blocks introduced in Section 6.3.2. Furthermore, we discuss special points of the R-matrix in relation to scattering amplitudes. At these points the R-matrix can be decomposed into invariants of fewer legs.

- In Appendix C.0.1 we present the coordinate wave function for a $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ invariant spin chain with fundamental and anti-fundamental representations at each site of the quantum space.

---

Text elements of the author’s publications \[27, 29, 34, 48\] have been used in this thesis.
2. Bethe ansatz techniques

In this chapter we introduce the basic framework of the quantum inverse scattering method (QISM). This systematic approach to study integrable models was proposed by the Leningrad School led by Ludvig Faddeev in the late 1970s [51]. The Yang-Baxter equation plays a key role in this discussion which has been the fundamental equation underlying integrable systems in two-dimensional statistical physics and quantum integrable field theories since the 1960s. For a short but instructive summary of the developments until the late 80s see e.g. [52].

Here we focus on rational solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation. We will outline how these solutions can be obtained and then employ them to construct families of commuting operators. Furthermore, we discuss how the spectrum of the latter can be found from the algebraic Bethe ansatz. The discussion closely follows the survey of Ludvig Faddeev [53] which provides an excellent introduction to integrable spin chains. The topics that are relevant for the following chapters of this thesis will be treated more explicitly than others. In particular, we turn our attention to rational inhomogeneous spin chains and Q-functions which will reappear at several points in this thesis. In particular, we will see in Chapter 5 how the Q-operators whose eigenvalues yield the Q-functions can be constructed directly from the Yang-Baxter equation. In addition we discuss how the eigenvalues of the commuting family of operators and their eigenvectors can be obtained from the solution of the Bethe equations. Also we will introduce a graphical notation which is helpful to understand the structures of the equations and furthermore makes the relation to two-dimensional vertex models that are discussed in Chapter 3 apparent.

At the end of this chapter we review the coordinate Bethe ansatz invented by Hans Bethe in 1931 [54]. It yields a different representation of the eigenfunctions of the family of commuting operators and is more intuitive than the algebraic Bethe ansatz. This representation reappears in Chapter 6 where Baxter’s perimeter Bethe ansatz is discussed.

2.1. The Yang-Baxter equation

An R-matrix acts on the tensor product of two vector spaces

\[ R(u) : V \otimes V' \rightarrow V \otimes V', \]  

(2.1.1)

where \( V \) and \( V' \) are not necessarily finite-dimensional. It depends on the complex spectral parameter \( u \). We consider the tensor product of three vector spaces \( V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \) and define the action of \( R_{ij} \) with \( i, j = 1, 2, 3 \) and \( i \neq j \) on \( V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \) using (2.1.1) with \( V = V_i \) and \( V' = V_j \) imposing that \( R_{ij} \) acts trivially as the identity on the space \( V_k \) with \( k \neq i, j \).
2. Bethe ansatz techniques

To be precise, \( R_{12} \) acts on the space \( V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \) as

\[
R_{12}(u) = R(u) \otimes 1,
\]

(2.1.2)

where \( V = V_1, V' = V_2 \) and \( 1 \) denotes the identity on operator acting on \( V_3 \). The analogue holds true for \( R_{13} \) and \( R_{23} \) which act as an identity in space 2 and 1 respectively. Using the notation introduced above the Yang-Baxter equation can conveniently be written as

\[
R_{12}(u_1 - u_2)R_{13}(u_1 - u_3)R_{23}(u_2 - u_3) = R_{23}(u_2 - u_3)R_{13}(u_1 - u_3)R_{12}(u_1 - u_2).
\]

(2.1.3)

In the following we will often encounter vector spaces formed out of the multiple tensor product \( \bigotimes_i V_i \). The operator acting non-trivially as the R-matrix \( R \) on two spaces \( V_i \) and \( V_j \) will then also be denoted by \( R_{ij} \) and no reference to the spaces where it acts trivially will be made. Our glossary will not distinguish between \( R \) and \( R_{ij} \) and we call \( R_{ij} \) an R-matrix.

It is convenient to think about an R-matrix as a vertex of two intersecting straight lines that indicate the two different spaces on which \( R_{ij} \) acts non-trivially

\[
R_{ij}(u_i - u_j) = u_i \quad \text{and} \quad j \quad i.
\]

(2.1.4)

The spaces on which \( R_{ij} \) acts trivially are not indicated in the graphical notation (2.1.4). We associated a spectral parameter to spaces \( i \) and \( j \) and assume that the R-matrix depends on the difference of those. Also we have introduced an arrow to each line. In this context the arrow directions distinguish between multiplication from the left and from the right. Elements multiplied to the R-matrix (2.1.4) from the right are attached to endpoints with outpointing arrows and vice versa. As an example we consider the product of two R-matrices \( R_{12} \) and \( R_{13} \) sharing the common space 1:

\[
R_{12}(u_1 - u_2)R_{13}(u_1 - u_3) = u_1 \quad 2 \quad 3.
\]

(2.1.5)

Using the diagrammatic notation introduced the Yang-Baxter equation can be depicted as

\[
\text{Diagram}(2.1.6)
\]
2.2. A first solution to Yang-Baxter

We will now encounter the first solution to the Yang-Baxter equation (2.1.8). We define the R-matrix

\[ R(u) = u + P. \]  

(2.2.1)

There are systematic ways to obtain R-matrices from representations of quantum groups such as Yangians and quantum affine algebras. These methods are based on the existence of a universal R-matrix \[\text{[55–58]}\]. Explicit formulas were obtained in \[\text{[59]}\] and evaluated in e.g. \[\text{[60]}\].
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It is an $n^2 \times n^2$ matrix acting on the tensor product of the two copies of $\mathbb{C}^n$

$$R(u) : \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2.2)

Here $P$ is the permutation operator. Its action on $w_1 \otimes w_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ is given by

$$P(w_1 \otimes w_2) = w_2 \otimes w_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2.3)

The permutation operator can conveniently be written in terms of the elementary matrices $e_{ab}$ with $a, b = 1, \ldots, n$. It takes the form

$$P = \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2.4)

The R-matrix in (2.2.1) can then be decomposed as

$$R(u) = u I \otimes I + \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2.5)

where $I$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix which we did not write out explicitly in (2.2.1). This decomposition makes the action of the R-matrix on each of the two spaces in the tensor product (2.2.2) manifest. For $R_{ij}$ defined through (2.2.5) as discussed in Section 2.1 the Yang-Baxter equation (2.1.3) with $V_i = \mathbb{C}^n$ is satisfied. Namely, using the spectral parameters $u$ and $v$ as defined in (2.1.7) it holds that

$$R_{12}(u-v)R_{13}(u)R_{23}(v) = R_{23}(v)R_{13}(u)R_{12}(u-v).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2.6)

To check that the R-matrix (2.2.1) is indeed a solution to the Yang-Baxter equation it is convenient to expand both sides of the equation in terms of the spectral parameters. As $u$ and $v$ are arbitrary all coefficients of this expansion have to agree at any order. Here we sketch how the Yang-Baxter equation can be shown diagrammatically. As the R-matrix (2.2.1) only contains the identity and the permutation it is convenient to introduce the diagrammatic notation

$$R(u) = u \xrightarrow{\text{perm}} + \xrightarrow{\text{perm}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2.7)

where the first term denotes the identity times the spectral parameter $u$ and the second the permutation. Substituting this expression into the Yang-Baxter equation (2.1.8) we can compare the permutations that arise from the R-matrix to all powers of the spectral parameter graphically, cf. (2.1.6). Here we start with the lowest order where all R-matrices are given by the permutation operator:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \\
1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2
\end{array} = \\
\begin{array}{c}
1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \\
1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2
\end{array}\end{array}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2.8)
2.3. Lax matrices

As we can read off from this diagram the left and the right hand side of (2.1.6) reduce to the permutations
\[ 1 \to 3, \quad 2 \to 2, \quad 3 \to 1. \] (2.2.9)

To complete the proof one has to check the Yang-Baxter equation (2.1.8) to higher orders of the spectral parameters. It is rather easy to see that it is satisfied for the orders \( u^2v, uv^2, u^2, v^2 \) as at most one permutation is involved. It remains to do the analysis for the terms proportional to \( u, v \) and \( uv \) which involve sums of different diagrams. Here, we check the order \( u \) and leave the two remaining for the reader:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{=}
\end{array}
= \\
\end{align*}
\]

Thus also at this order the Yang-Baxter equation (2.1.8) is satisfied. Both sides reduce to the permutations
\[ 1 \to 2, \quad 2 \to 3; \quad 3 \to 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \to 3, \quad 2 \to 1, \quad 3 \to 2. \] (2.2.11)

2.3. Lax matrices

As mentioned previously, solutions to the Yang-Baxter relation are usually hard to find. However, we can use known solutions to determine further solutions in a systematic way [53]. Here we will use the R-matrix \( R \) defined in (2.2.1) to demonstrate this mechanism. Let us consider the Yang-Baxter equation (2.1.8) with \( V_1 = \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( V_2 = \mathbb{C}^n \), leaving \( V_3 \) unspecified:

\[ R_{12}(u-v)L_{13}(u)L_{23}(v) = L_{23}(v)L_{13}(u)R_{12}(u-v). \] (2.3.1)

Here \( L_{13} \) (\( L_{23} \)) is an \( n \times n \) matrix acting on the first (second) space with arbitrary operatorial entries acting on the third space \( V_3 \). Furthermore, inspired by the form of the R-matrix \( R \) we require that the leading term in the spectral parameter of \( L \) is proportional to the identity. As we will see later, relaxing this condition yields solutions relevant for the construction of Q-operators. However, here we make the ansatz

\[ L(u) = u + \sum_{a,b=1}^n e_{ab} \otimes J_{ba}, \] (2.3.2)

where for convenience we suppressed the identity operators in the two different spaces in the term proportional to the spectral parameter. In the following we refer to the

\[ ^5 \text{This equation is sometimes referred to as the RLL-relation. As we will see in Chapter 3 it provides a defining relation of the Yangian algebra } \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(n)).\]
R-matrices $\mathbf{L}$ satisfying (2.3.1) as Lax matrices (or Lax operators). We will now use the explicit expression for R-matrix introduced in (2.2.1) to derive certain algebraic constraints on the operatorial entries of the Lax matrices. After substituting (2.2.1) into Yang-Baxter equation (2.3.1) we obtain the relation

$$
(u - v)[\mathbf{L}_{13}(u), \mathbf{L}_{23}(v)] = \mathbf{P}_{12} (\mathbf{L}_{13}(v)\mathbf{L}_{23}(u) - \mathbf{L}_{13}(u)\mathbf{L}_{23}(v)) , \quad (2.3.3)
$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{12}$ acts as a permutation on the spaces 1 and 2 and trivially on 3, cf. (2.2.3).

After substituting the ansatz for the Lax matrix (2.3.2) into the relation above we find that its operatorial entries $J_{ba}$ have to satisfy the algebraic relations

$$
[J_{ab}, J_{cd}] = \delta_{cb} J_{ad} - \delta_{ad} J_{cb} . \quad (2.3.4)
$$

These commutation relations define a $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-algebra with the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-generators which will be labeled as $J_{ab}$ in the following. Thus, for any representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-generators $J_{ab}$ labeled by the Dynkin weights $\Lambda = (\lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^n)$, see Appendix A, the Lax operator

$$
\mathbf{L}_\Lambda(u) \equiv \mathbf{L}(u) = u + \sum_{a,b=1}^n e_{ab} \otimes J_{ba} , \quad (2.3.5)
$$

is as a solution to the Yang-Baxter equation (2.3.1). Furthermore, for the fundamental representation $\Lambda = (1,0,\ldots,0)$ denoted by the Young diagram $\square$ we recover the R-matrix introduced in (2.2.1)

$$
\mathbf{L}_\square(u) = \mathbf{R}(u) . \quad (2.3.6)
$$

### 2.4. R-matrices

We have derived the R-matrix (Lax matrix) with the fundamental representation in first space and representation $\Lambda$ in the second. Naturally, there arises the question for the R-matrix with two arbitrary representations $\Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda_2$. This object can implicitly be defined through the Yang-Baxter equation intertwining two Lax matrices of different representations as given in (2.3.5). We denote the space associated to it using the representation labels as $V_{\square} \otimes V_{\Lambda_1} \otimes V_{\Lambda_2}$. The Yang-Baxter equation reads

$$
\mathbf{L}_{\Lambda_2}(u - v)\mathbf{L}_{\Lambda_3}(u)R_{\Lambda_2,\Lambda_3}(v) = R_{\Lambda_2,\Lambda_3}(v)\mathbf{L}_{\Lambda_3}(u)\mathbf{L}_{\Lambda_2}(u - v) , \quad (2.4.1)
$$

where the index carried by the representation labels $\Lambda$ implies the space in which the R-matrix acts non-trivially. The space in the fundamental representation is suppressed in this notation. Furthermore, when restricting to the fundamental representation in the first space we have

$$
R_{\square,\Lambda}(u) = \mathbf{L}_{\Lambda}(u) \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\square,\square}(u) = \mathbf{R}(u) . \quad (2.4.2)
$$

There are several methods to obtain solutions to this equation, see e.g. [48, 61–63]. A convenient way to determine such R-matrices is to think about them as a Yangian invariants, see Chapter 6 where a neat expression for the R-matrix with arbitrary symmetric
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representations is derived. Here we do not want to go into the details of this method and only discuss the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$-invariant R-matrix which was obtained in [61]. Therefore, we first note that $R_{\Lambda_1\Lambda_2}$ is $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-invariant

$$[J_{ab} \otimes I + I \otimes J_{ab}, R_{\Lambda_1\Lambda_2}(v)] = 0.$$  \hfill (2.4.3)

Here the generators and identity operators in the first (second) space of the tensor product are understood to be in the representation $\Lambda_1$ ($\Lambda_2$). This follows from the expansion of the Yang-Baxter equation (2.4.1) in powers of $u$. It tells us that the R-matrix evaluated on any state in the multiplet of an irreducible representation in the tensor product of the two representations $\Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda_2$ yields the same result. Thus once evaluated on all highest weight states it is completely determined.

A comprehensive derivation of the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$-invariant R-matrix following the logic discussed above can be found in [53]. For finite-dimensional representations $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ with $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ it can conveniently be written as

$$R_{\Lambda,\Lambda}(u; S) = \kappa(u) (-1)^S \frac{\Gamma(1 + u + S)}{\Gamma(1 - u + S)} \frac{\Gamma(1 + u)}{\Gamma(1 - u)},$$  \hfill (2.4.4)

where $\kappa$ is a normalization that cannot be determined from the Yang-Baxter equation. The operator $S$ takes the eigenvalues 0, 1, 2, ..., $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$ measuring the total spin $(\lambda_1^{\text{tot}} - \lambda_2^{\text{tot}})/2$ of the irreducible representations $\Lambda_{\text{tot}}$ in the tensor product of the two spaces of the R-matrix. As an example we consider the R-matrix in the fundamental representation (2.2.1). In this case the tensor product decomposition of the two fundamental representations is given by

$$2 \otimes 2 = 3 \oplus 1,$$  \hfill (2.4.5)

where for the triplet we have $S = 1$ and for the singlet $S = 0$. After substituting these values into (2.4.4) we obtain

$$R_{\Box,\Box}(u; 1) = \kappa(u) \frac{\Gamma(1 + u)}{\Gamma(1 - u)} \frac{u + 1}{u - 1}, \quad R_{\Box,\Box}(u; 0) = \kappa(u) \frac{\Gamma(1 + u)}{\Gamma(1 - u)}.$$  \hfill (2.4.6)

We can check this result by expressing the R-matrix (2.2.1) in the proper eigenbasis according to (2.4.5) with the help of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.$^6$

2.5. A commuting family of operators

Solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation can be used to generate commuting families of operators. They are physically important as the local charges and in particular the nearest-neighbor spin chain Hamiltonian belong to them. The general principle to obtain commuting families of operators is to construct certain monodromy matrices out of R-matrices. In the following we discuss representatives of the family that are constructed

$^6$In this case the normalization in (2.4.4) is fixed to be $\kappa(u) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - u)}{\Gamma(1 + u)} (u - 1)$. 
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from the Lax operators defined in (2.3.5). These are of distinguished importance as the algebraic Bethe ansatz is most conveniently formulated within this framework. The monodromy is built out of Lax operators $L_{\Lambda_i}$ with $i = 1, \ldots, L$ multiplied in the common space which carries the fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$:

$$\mathcal{M}(z; v) = L_{\Lambda_1}(z - v_1)L_{\Lambda_2}(z - v_2)L_{\Lambda_3}(z - v_3) \cdots L_{\Lambda_L}(z - v_L). \quad (2.5.1)$$

Here we used the variable $z$ to denote the spectral parameter carried by the common so-called auxiliary space. The parameters $v_i$ are assigned to the quantum space and are collectively denoted by the set $v$. The monodromy above acts on the auxiliary space, which is in the fundamental representation, and the tensor product of $L$ spaces in the representations $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_L$ of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ which we will refer to as quantum space:

$$\mathcal{M}(z; v) : V \bigotimes_{i=1}^{L} V_{\Lambda_i} \rightarrow V \bigotimes_{i=1}^{L} V_{\Lambda_i}. \quad (2.5.2)$$

Hence, we can think about (2.5.1) as an $n \times n$ matrix in the auxiliary space containing operatorial entries that act in the quantum space. Diagrammatically we can write the monodromy as

$$\mathcal{M}(z; v) = z_{\text{aux}} \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \Lambda_3 \cdots \Lambda_L,$$

where again we used the representation label $\Lambda_i$ to indicate space $i$. The label "aux" denotes the auxiliary space. In the context of spin chains it is common to call $z$ the spectral parameter and $v_1, \ldots, v_L$ inhomogeneities. A transfer matrix is built as the trace of the monodromy in the auxiliary space

$$T(z; v) = \text{tr}_{\text{aux}} \mathcal{M}(z; v). \quad (2.5.4)$$

Thus $T$ acts purely on the quantum space. Its diagrammatic expression is given by

$$T(z; v) = z_{\text{aux}} \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \Lambda_3 \cdots \Lambda_L,$$

where the trace closes the auxiliary space, cf. (2.5.3). In the following we suppress the $v$-dependence.

An important property of the transfer matrix is that it commutes with itself for any, a priori different, values of the spectral parameters $x$ and $y$

$$[T(x), T(y)] = 0. \quad (2.5.6)$$
2.5. A commuting family of operators

The proof of (2.5.6) is based on the Yang-Baxter equation (2.3.1). First we note that the
monodromy satisfies the so-called RTT-relation
\[ R_{12}(x - y) M_1(x) M_2(y) = M_2(y) M_1(x) R_{12}(x - y), \]  
(2.5.7)
where we suppressed the dependence of the inhomogeneities in the monodromy. The
labels 1 and 2 denote the auxiliary spaces of the two monodromies and the multiplication
in the quantum space is according to the RLL-relation (2.3.1). The RTT-relation (2.5.7)
can conveniently be shown diagrammatically using the so-called train track argument [64]
as explained in the following. Rewriting (2.5.7) graphically yields

For the case discussed here the first and the second auxiliary space are in the fundamental
representation of \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \). Now, using the appropriate Yang-Baxter equation (2.3.1), cf. also
the diagrammatic representation in (2.1.6), we can shift all lines through the vertex formed
by the intersecting lines 1 and 2 and find that by construction (2.5.7) holds true. In the
next step we use that \( R \) is invertible for generic values of the spectral parameter \( z \). Its
inverse is given by
\[ R^{-1}(z) = \frac{z - P}{z^2 - 1}. \]  
(2.5.9)
Then we can rewrite (2.5.7) as
\[ M_1(x) M_2(y) = R^{-1}_{12}(x - y) M_2(y) M_1(x) R_{12}(x - y). \]  
(2.5.10)
The final step is to take the trace of the the equation above in the first and second auxiliary
space. Again the diagrammatics is convenient to convince oneself that the R-matrix \( R \)
cancels with its inverse under the trace and one finds
\[ T(x) T(y) = T(y) T(x), \]  
(2.5.11)
which completes the proof of (2.5.6).

Furthermore, we would like to note that the transfer matrix \( T \) is \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \)-invariant
\[ [T(z), J^{\text{tot}}_{ab}] = 0. \]  
(2.5.12)
Here we use the notation
\[ J^{\text{tot}}_{ab} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} J^{(i)}_{ab} \quad \text{with} \quad J^{(i)}_{ab} = \mathbb{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes J_{ab} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{1} \]  
(2.5.13)
\footnote{Unfortunately the choice of our notation does not reflect the origin of this name.}
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to denote the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ generator acting non-trivially only on the $i^{th}$ component in the tensor product of the quantum space. To show that the transfer matrix is $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-invariant we consider of the RTT-relation (2.5.7) in the spectral parameter $y$. The leading terms of the monodromy are given by

$$\mathcal{M}(y) = y^L + y^{L-1} \sum_{i=1}^{L} (e_{ab} \otimes J^{(i)}_{ba} - v_i) + \ldots .$$  

(2.5.14)

Thus, one obtains the invariance condition

$$[\mathcal{M}(x), e_{ab} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes J_{ab}^{\text{tot}}] = 0 .$$

(2.5.15)

After taking the trace in the auxiliary space of (2.5.15) one finds that $T$ is $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-invariant, cf. (2.5.12).

2.6. Further members of the family

The derivation of the commutativity relation for the transfer matrix in (2.5.6) relies on the existence of the RLL-relation (2.3.1) and the existence of an inverse of the R-matrix intertwining the two monodromies. The family of commuting operators is extended by transfer matrices that are built from monodromies with other representations in the auxiliary space. This becomes clear from the train argument presented in (2.5.8). Previously, both auxiliary spaces were in the fundamental representation. However, from the type of Yang-Baxter equation presented in (2.4.1) one can show that (2.5.8) holds for different representations in the auxiliary space, see also [63]. Following the same logic as before one finds that additional transfer matrices can be defined as

$$T_{\text{aux}}(z) = \text{tr} \mathcal{M}_{\text{aux}}(z) .$$

(2.6.1)

These extend the family of commuting operators

$$[T_{\text{aux}_1}(x), T_{\text{aux}_2}(y)] = 0 ,$$

(2.6.2)

and in particular commute with the transfer matrix (2.5.4). The transfer matrices constructed in this way are not independent but satisfy certain functional relations. In particular for finite dimensional representations in the auxiliary space any transfer matrix can be expressed only in terms of the ones constructed from a totally symmetric or totally antisymmetric representation in the auxiliary space. This formula is known as quantum Jacobi-Trudi formula [65]. In particular using the quantum Jacobi-Trudi formula it can be shown that the transfer matrices $T_{a,s}$ for representations corresponding to rectangular Young diagrams with Dynkin labels

$$\Lambda = (s, \ldots , s, 0, \ldots , 0) ,$$

(2.6.3)
in the auxiliary space satisfy the Hirota equation \[66\]
\[T_{a,s}(z+1)T_{a,s}(z-1) = T_{a+1,s}(z)T_{a-1,s}(z) + T_{a,s+1}(z)T_{a,s-1}(z). \tag{2.6.4}\]
This bilinear difference equation depends on the three variables \(a, s\) and \(z\). It is well known from the theory of classical solitons \[67,68\] and plays an important role in the current study of the all loop anomalous dimensions of single trace operators in \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) super Yang-Mills \[69\].

### 2.7. Hamiltonian and shift operator

A spin chain is usually characterized by a Hamiltonian that describes its energy spectrum. One of the most famous examples of an integrable Hamiltonian is the one written down by Heisenberg \[70\] for the closed XXX\(|\frac{1}{2}\) spin chain
\[H_{\text{XXX}} = 4 \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad \vec{S}_{L+1} = \vec{S}_1, \tag{2.7.1}\]
where \(\vec{S}_i = \frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma}_i\), with the usual Pauli matrices \(\vec{\sigma}\) at site \(i\), compare (2.5.13) where we used upper indices to indicate the sites. The space of states is given by the \(L\)-fold tensor product
\[\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^2. \tag{2.7.2}\]
From (2.7.1) we see that the Heisenberg XXX\(|\frac{1}{2}\) spin chain Hamiltonian describes nearest-neighbor interactions as the term that is summed over acts non-trivially only in the spaces \(i\) and \(i+1\). To see how this physical quantity is related to the commuting family of operators introduced previously we concentrate on the monodromy
\[\mathcal{M}(z) = R_{a,1}(z)R_{a,2}(z)R_{a,3}(z) \cdots R_{a,L}(z). \tag{2.7.3}\]
Note that we have set all inhomogeneities equal to zero and recall that the quantum space of the monodromy above is given by
\[\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, \tag{2.7.4}\]
as we focus on the fundamental representation of \(\mathfrak{gl}(n)\) with the R-matrix given in (2.2.1). This example might be misleading as for the fundamental representation the operator \(L\) coincides with \(R\), cf. (2.3.6). However, we would like to stress that the monodromy that yields the Hamiltonian following the approach outlined here\[8\] is characterized by the property that it is built from the R-matrices that carry the same representations at each site.

---

\[8\]In Chapter 5 we will discuss how the Hamiltonian can be extracted from another member of the commuting family of operators; namely the Q-operator.
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site of the quantum space and in the auxiliary space. To recover the Hamiltonian \((2.7.1)\) we will set \(n = 2\) in the end.

Let us first define the transfer matrix with equal representation for the auxiliary as for each site of the quantum space using \((2.7.3)\)

\[ T^f(z) = \text{tr} \mathcal{M}(z). \]  

(2.7.5)

The crucial property of this transfer matrix is that it turns into a string of permutations at a special point of the spectral parameter, compare \((2.2.1)\) or \((2.2.7)\). The operator at this special point of the transfer matrix is called shift operator \(U\) for reasons that will become obvious soon. It is given by

\[ U = T^f(0) = P_{L,L-1} P_{L-1,L-2} \cdots P_{2,1}. \]

(2.7.6)

Its action on a state \(w \in \mathbb{C}^n\) at site \(i\) is given by

\[ U w_i = w_{i-1}. \]

(2.7.7)

For completeness and later purposes we also give its diagrammatic expression

\[ U = \begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & L \\
1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & L \\
\end{array}. \]

(2.7.8)

The Hamiltonian can now be obtained from the expansion of the transfer matrix around the shift point

\[ T^f(z) = U (\mathbb{I} + z H + \ldots). \]

(2.7.9)

It is given by the logarithmic derivative of the transfer matrix at this point

\[ H = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \ln T^f(z) \bigg|_{z=0}. \]

(2.7.10)

By construction all operators in the expansion \((2.7.9)\) belong to the commuting family of operators. The terms proportional to the spectral parameter are also referred to as local charges. As we have seen the Hamiltonian is of nearest neighbor type. The range of interaction in \((2.7.10)\) grows with the order of the spectral parameter. Here we would like to stress again that at the special points the R-matrices in the transfer matrix reduce to the permutation. This is a priori only possible if each site of the quantum space carries the same representation as the auxiliary space. An instructive calculation then shows that for our case the Hamiltonian can be written as

\[ H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} H_{i,i+1}, \]

(2.7.11)

with the Hamiltonian density

\[ H_{i,i+1} = P_{i,i+1}. \]

(2.7.12)
2.8. Algebraic Bethe ansatz

Here we refer the reader to [53] where further details are presented. We obtain the Hamiltonian of the XXX spin chain when restricting to $n = 2$ using $P_{i,i+1} = (\mathbb{I} + 4\vec{S}_i \vec{S}_{i+1})/2$. Furthermore, we need to add a term proportional to the identity

$$H_{XXX} = 2(L - H) \tag{2.7.13}$$

However, this term does not spoil the commutativity within the family of operators and only shifts the eigenvalues by a constant.

Without any proof we also would like to mention that the Hamiltonian density can directly be obtained from the logarithmic derivative of the R-matrix at the permutation point defined in (2.5.1).

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \ln R(z) \bigg|_{z=0} \tag{2.7.14}$$

This can conveniently be used to obtain the Hamiltonian of spin chains with other representations in the quantum space. Using the R-matrix introduced in (2.4.4) we obtain the Hamiltonian density

$$\mathcal{H}_{i,i+1} = 2(-1)^\frac{2S}{2} \psi(S + 1) \tag{2.7.15}$$

where $\psi$ denotes the digamma function. It reduces to the harmonic numbers for integer values $n$

$$\psi(n) = \psi(n-1) - \gamma_e \quad \text{with} \quad \psi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \tag{2.7.16}$$

and the Euler-Mascheroni constant $\gamma_e$. We also would like to note that the action of the Hamiltonian in terms of generators defined at each site as in (2.7.1) can be obtained from (2.7.15) through a Lagrange interpolation, see [53]. The same is true for the R-matrix in (2.4.4).

2.8. Algebraic Bethe ansatz

To diagonalize the spin chain Hamiltonian and the corresponding family of commuting operators we discuss the algebraic Bethe ansatz. It heavily relies on the fact that two commuting operators have the same eigenspace. The representative of the commuting family of operators that is commonly diagonalized by the Bethe ansatz is the transfer matrix with the fundamental representation in the auxiliary space. Its monodromy was introduced in (2.5.1). In the following we present the algebraic Bethe ansatz in detail for $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$-invariant spin chains and give the central equations for the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ case. Finally, we discuss how it is used to obtain the eigenvalues of the shift operator and Hamiltonian.

2.8.1. The ABCD of the algebraic Bethe ansatz

Now, we review the algebraic Bethe ansatz for $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$-invariant inhomogeneous spin chains. The corresponding monodromy was introduced in (2.5.1) for $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. We have already
argued that in the case of $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ the monodromy can be written as a $2 \times 2$ matrix in the auxiliary space

$$
\mathcal{M}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} A(z) & B(z) \\ C(z) & D(z) \end{pmatrix},
$$

(2.8.1)

where the operatorial entries $A, B, C$ and $D$ act purely in the quantum space. For convenience we suppressed the inhomogeneities. Using this notation the transfer matrix can be written as

$$
T(z) = A(z) + D(z).
$$

(2.8.2)

The algebraic Bethe ansatz relies on the existence of a reference state such that

$$
A(z)|\Omega\rangle = \alpha(z)|\Omega\rangle, \quad D(z)|\Omega\rangle = \delta(z)|\Omega\rangle, \quad C(z)|\Omega\rangle = 0.
$$

(2.8.3)

For $|\Omega\rangle$ being the $L$-fold tensor product of highest weight states $|\sigma_i\rangle$ at each site of the spin chain

$$
|\Omega\rangle = \bigotimes_{i=1}^L |\sigma_i\rangle
$$

(2.8.4)

with

$$
J^{(i)}_{11}|\Omega\rangle = s_i|\Omega\rangle, \quad J^{(i)}_{22}|\Omega\rangle = 0,
$$

(2.8.5)

i.e. $\Lambda_i = (s_i, 0)$, compare (2.5.13), one finds that the eigenvalues of the operators $A$ and $D$ are given by

$$
\alpha(z) = \prod_{i=1}^L (z - v_i + s_i), \quad \delta(z) = \prod_{i=1}^L (z - v_i).
$$

(2.8.6)

Furthermore, one can check that the condition for $C$ in (2.8.3) is satisfied. Now the ansatz for the eigenvectors of the commuting family of operators is given by

$$
|\Phi\rangle = B(z_1)B(z_2)\cdots B(z_m)|\Omega\rangle.
$$

(2.8.7)

To motivate this ansatz we note that the commutation relations of the operator $B$ with the Cartan elements of $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ realized on the quantum space of the spin chain take the form

$$
[J^{\text{tot}}_{11}, B(z)] = -B(z), \quad [J^{\text{tot}}_{22}, B(z)] = +B(z).
$$

(2.8.8)

Thus, they act as lowering/raising operators. The relations above are a direct consequence of (2.5.15). The Cartan elements evaluated on the ansatz (2.8.7) are given by

$$
J^{\text{tot}}_{11}|\Phi\rangle = (\sum_{i=1}^L s_i - m)|\Phi\rangle, \quad J^{\text{tot}}_{22}|\Phi\rangle = m|\Phi\rangle.
$$

(2.8.9)

The magnon number $m$ counts the excitations on the highest weight state $|\Omega\rangle$, or equivalently the number of B operators acting on the vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$. Now, the idea is to act with
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the transfer matrix on the ansatz for the eigenfunctions (2.8.7). From the RLL-relation (2.3.1) we derive the following so-called fundamental commutation relations

\[ [B(x), B(y)] = 0, \]  

(2.8.10)

\[ A(x)B(y) = \frac{1 + y - x}{y - x} B(y)A(x) - \frac{1}{y - x} B(x)A(y), \]  

(2.8.11)

\[ D(x)B(y) = \frac{1 + x - y}{x - y} B(y)D(x) - \frac{1}{x - y} B(x)D(y). \]  

(2.8.12)

By commuting \( A \) and \( D \) through all \( B \) operators using the relations above one can show that

\[ A(z)|\Phi\rangle = \alpha(z) \frac{Q(z - 1)}{Q(z)} |\Phi\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\alpha(z_j)}{z_j - z} \frac{Q(z_j - 1)}{z_j - z_k} |\Phi_j\rangle, \]  

(2.8.13)

\[ D(z)|\Phi\rangle = \delta(z) \frac{Q(z + 1)}{Q(z)} |\Phi\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\delta(z_j)}{z_j - z} \frac{Q(z_j + 1)}{z_j - z_k} |\Phi_j\rangle, \]  

(2.8.14)

with

\[ |\Phi_j\rangle = B(z_1) \cdots B(z_{j-1})B(z)B(z_{j+1}) \cdots B(z_m) |\Omega\rangle. \]  

(2.8.15)

Here we introduced the so-called Q-function

\[ Q(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (z - z_i). \]  

(2.8.16)

It is a polynomial of degree \( m \) in the spectral parameter \( z \) with zeros located at the so-called Bethe roots \( z_i \). Their operatorial form will be constructed in Chapter 5 from the Yang-Baxter equation. From (2.8.13) and (2.8.14) we find that the transfer matrix (2.8.2) is diagonal on \(|\Phi\rangle\) if the Bethe roots satisfy the condition

\[ \alpha(z_k)Q(z_k - 1) + \delta(z_k)Q(z_k + 1) = 0. \]  

(2.8.17)

Then the non-diagonal terms in (2.8.13) and (2.8.14) cancel. These equations are the famous Bethe equations. Using the explicit expressions for \( \alpha, \delta \) (2.8.16) and the Q-function (2.8.6) we write them in the more familiar form

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{L} \frac{z_k - v_i + s_i}{z_k - v_i} = - \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{z_k - z_j + 1}{z_k - z_j - 1} \]  

(2.8.18)

where \( z_k \neq v_i \) and \( z_k \neq z_j + 1 \). The eigenvalues \( \tau \) of the transfer matrix \( T \) are given by the so-called Baxter equation

\[ \tau(z) = \alpha(z) \frac{Q(z - 1)}{Q(z)} + \delta(z) \frac{Q(z + 1)}{Q(z)}. \]  

(2.8.19)
Each solution to the Bethe equations (2.8.18) yields an eigenvalue of the transfer matrix using the Baxter equation (2.8.19) and the corresponding eigenvector using (2.8.7). In the following we will sometimes use the term off-shell Bethe vector if we want to stress that we are talking about (2.8.7) for general values $z_i$ and on-shell Bethe vector if the variables $z_i$ satisfy the Bethe equations (2.8.18). We note that the Bethe equations can be obtained from the Baxter equation (2.8.19): By construction the transfer matrix and therefore also its eigenvalues are polynomials in the spectral parameter. Therefore, taking the residue at $z = z_k$ with $k = 1, \ldots, m$ in (2.8.19) we obtain (2.8.17). In the functional Bethe ansatz which is not discussed here the Baxter equations can be seen as the fundamental relation \cite{71-73}. As discussed in Chapter 5 the Baxter equation (2.8.19) also holds on the level of operators. Last but not least, we would like to note that the operators $B$ map between the highest weight states of the irreducible representations appearing in the tensor product decomposition of the spin chain if the Bethe equations (2.8.18) are satisfied, see \cite{53}. Other states in the multiplets can be obtained by descending from the highest weight states with the appropriate lowering operators. It is discussed in Section 2.9 how descendants of the highest weight states can be obtained directly from the Bethe ansatz by breaking the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ symmetry of the transfer matrix.

2.8.2. Elements of the nested ABA

The nested algebraic Bethe ansatz (ABA) was introduced in \cite{74}. To diagonalize the transfer matrix (2.5.4) of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-invariant spin chains one has to go through $n - 1$ nesting levels. In Section 2.8.1 we outlined the algebraic Bethe ansatz for $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$. Here, the main results were the Baxter equation (2.8.19), the off-shell Bethe vector (2.8.7) and of course the Bethe equations (2.8.18). The construction of the Bethe vectors follows the same reasoning as before. Starting from a vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$ the eigenstates can be constructed from the upper triangular entries of the monodromy matrix. At every nesting step $i$ the length of the spin chain is increased by $m_{i-1}$ which denotes the corresponding magnon number at the level $i - 1$. The main difficulty that arises here compared to the contained $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ case is to keep track of the index structure carried by the creation operators. As we are mostly interested in the functional relations, we do not give the explicit form of the eigenvectors and refer the reader to \cite{74}. Nevertheless, we provide a diagrammatic form of the $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ Bethe vectors in Appendix D.

Following \cite{74}, see also Chapter 5 we find that the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix (2.5.4) are given by

$$
\tau(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i(z) \frac{Q_{i-1}(z+1) Q_i(z-1)}{Q_{i-1}(z) Q_i(z)}. \tag{2.8.20}
$$

This formula reduces to the Baxter equation introduced in (2.8.19) for $n = 2$. The Q-functions introduced above are given by

$$
Q_i(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{m_i} (z - z_k^{(i)}), \tag{2.8.21}
$$
2.8. Algebraic Bethe ansatz

where \( z_k^{(i)} \) denotes the \( k\text{th} \) Bethe root of the \( i\text{th} \) nesting level. Furthermore, we have \( Q_0 = Q_n = 1 \). The eigenvalues of the diagonal elements of the monodromy matrix \( M_{ii} \) on the vacuum \( |\Omega\rangle \) which is constructed in analogy to (2.8.3) are given by

\[
\mu_i(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{L} (z - v_k + \lambda_k^i). \tag{2.8.22}
\]

For the case of \( n = 2 \) we identify \( \mu_1(z) = \alpha(z) \) and \( \mu_2(z) = \delta(z) \). Instead of calculating all the unwanted terms as in the \( gl(2) \) case we directly extract the Bethe equations from (2.8.20) following the same logic as discussed at the end of the previous section. For general values of the Bethe roots \( z_k^{(i)} \) we obtain \( n - 1 \) nested Bethe equations which are coupled among themselves

\[
\frac{\mu_i(z_k^{(i)})}{\mu_{i+1}(z_k^{(i)})} = - \frac{Q_{i-1}(z_k^{(i)})}{Q_{i-1}(z_k^{(i)} + 1)} \frac{Q_{i}(z_k^{(i)} + 1)}{Q_{i}(z_k^{(i)} - 1)} \frac{Q_{i+1}(z_k^{(i)} - 1)}{Q_{i+1}(z_k^{(i)})}, \tag{2.8.23}
\]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \). They agree with the Bethe equations presented in (2.8.18) for \( n = 2 \).

2.8.3. Observables

As already mentioned, the shift operator and the Hamiltonian belong to the commuting family of operators. Therefore, they can be diagonalized using the eigenvectors introduced in Section 2.8.1. The goal of this section is to write their eigenvalues in terms of the Bethe roots in the spirit of the Baxter equation (2.8.19), see also (2.8.20). In particular, throughout this section all inhomogeneities are set to zero. Furthermore, we focus on the case of fundamental representations in the quantum space which is particularly simple as the monodromy (2.5.1) reduces to the monodromy (2.7.3) that can be used to extract the local charges. Namely for \( \Lambda_i = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \) we have

\[
T^f(z) = T(z). \tag{2.8.24}
\]

In this case we obtain the desired formulas from the Baxter equation (2.8.20). We have seen in (2.7.6) that the transfer matrix reduces to shift operator at \( z = 0 \). Therefore, from (2.8.20) we determine the eigenvalues of the shift operator to be

\[
U = \tau(0) = \frac{Q_1(-1)}{Q_1(0)} = \prod_{k=1}^{m_1} \frac{1 - z_k^{(1)}}{z_k^{(1)}}, \tag{2.8.25}
\]

where we used the explicit form of the Q-function (2.8.21) and the entries on the diagonal of the transfer matrix on the vacuum (2.8.22). Following the same logic we can also derive the energy eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (2.7.10). Taking the logarithmic derivative of the Baxter equation (2.8.20) at the shift point one finds

\[
H = E = L + \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} \left( \frac{1}{1 + z_k^{(1)}} - \frac{1}{z_k^{(1)}} \right). \tag{2.8.26}
\]
2. Bethe ansatz techniques

As we can see from (2.8.25) and (2.8.26), the physical observables only depend on the first level Bethe roots \( z^{(1)}_k \). This is a consequence of the representation that we are looking at. In terms of Dynkin diagrams we only excited one node. We say that the roots corresponding to this node in the Dynkin diagram are momentum carrying.

2.9. Twist

At the end of Section 2.8.1 we briefly discussed that using the algebraic Bethe ansatz as presented above one only obtains highest weight states by acting with the creation operators (2.8.7) and subsequently solving the Bethe equations (2.8.18). This is an artifact of the \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \)-invariance of the spin chain. In this section we will break this invariance employing a so-called twist in the auxiliary space while preserving the integrability condition (2.5.6), see [75]. In this way we are able to obtain descendants of the highest weight states mentioned above.\(^9\)

We define the monodromy matrix

\[
\mathcal{M}_D(z) = D_L \Lambda_1 (z - v_1) \cdots L_{\Lambda_L} (z - v_L),
\]

(2.9.1)

and the corresponding transfer matrix

\[
T_D(z) = \text{tr} \mathcal{M}_D(z).
\]

(2.9.2)

Here the twist \( D \) is an \( n \times n \) matrix that purely acts in the auxiliary space. To preserve the integrability condition

\[
[T_D(z), T_D(z')] = 0, \tag{2.9.3}
\]

we require that the R-matrix that appeared in the RTT-relation (2.5.7) commutes with the tensor product of two twist matrices

\[
[R(z), \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}] = 0. \tag{2.9.4}
\]

In general, this property is true for any non-degenerate matrix \( \mathcal{D} \). The proof of (2.9.3) is in analogy to the proof of (2.5.6), see also [78]. As before we can study the commutation relations of the transfer matrix with the \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) generators. Following the same logic as presented at the end of Section 2.5 one finds that the \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \)-invariance is broken

\[
[T_D(z), \sum_{i=1}^n J^{(i)}_{ab}] = [\mathcal{M}_D(z), D]_{ba}. \tag{2.9.5}
\]

However, for a diagonal twist \( D_{ab} = d_a \delta_{ab} \) one finds that

\[
[T_D(z), \sum_{i=1}^n J^{(i)}_{aa}] = 0. \tag{2.9.6}
\]

\(^9\)The issue of completeness of the Bethe ansatz, i.e. if and how all eigenvalues and eigenvectors can be obtained from the Bethe ansatz, is still an active field of research, see e.g. [76, 77] and references therein.
From this follows that the magnon number \( m \) is still a “good” quantum number to label the eigenstates. The twist manifests itself in the Hamiltonian and in the Bethe equations. In the diagonal case we find that the Bethe equations for \( \mathfrak{g}l(2) \) get modified as

\[
\frac{d_1}{d_2} \prod_{i=1}^{L} \frac{z_k - v_i + s_i}{z_k - v_i} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{z_k - z_j + 1}{z_k - z_j - 1},
\]

cf. (2.8.18), while the \( \mathfrak{g}l(n) \)-case is discussed in [74]. The Hamiltonian can be obtained from the logarithmic derivative of the appropriate transfer matrix, cf. (2.7.10). Using

\[
T_D^f(0) = U = P_{L,L-1}P_{L-1,L-2} \cdots P_{2,1}D_1,
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} T_D^f(z) \bigg|_{z=0} = \sum_{i=2}^{L-1} P_{L,L-1} \cdots P_{i+2,i+1}P_{i+1,i-1}P_{i-1,i-2} \cdots P_{2,1} D_i
\]

\[+ P_{L-1,L-2} \cdots P_{2,1} D_1 + D_L P_{L,L-1} \cdots P_{3,2},\]

where \( D_i \) denotes the twist acting non-trivially on the \( i \)th space, we find the twist dependent Hamiltonian

\[
H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} P_{i,i+1} \quad \text{with} \quad P_{L,L+1} = D_L P_{L,1} D_L^{-1},
\]

compare also (2.7.11) and (2.7.12). The energy formula (2.8.26) remains unaffected and the twist dependence of the energy enters via the Bethe roots, see also Chapter 5.

2.10. Coordinate Bethe ansatz

In this section we discuss the coordinate Bethe ansatz which provides a different and more explicit representation of the Bethe vectors (2.8.7). It reveals certain physical structure that allows us to interpret the eigenfunctions as a superposition of single particle wavefunctions. In contrast to the algebraic Bethe ansatz the coordinate Bethe ansatz is much more pragmatic. Here, our starting point is the nearest neighbor Hamiltonian that we want to diagonalize. Here we concentrate on the Hamiltonian of the closed \( \mathfrak{g}l(2) \)-invariant spin chain for the fundamental representation given in (2.7.1). It is convenient to rewrite the Hamiltonian in terms of the permutation operator \( P \) such that (2.7.1) takes the form

\[
H_{XXX} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{L} (1 - P_{i,i+1}) .
\]

Bethe made an educated guess for the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian in (2.10.1). To motivate his ansatz\(^{10}\) we first note that

\[
|\psi\rangle = \sum_{x=1}^{L} e^{ipx} | \downarrow \cdots \uparrow \cdots \downarrow \rangle ,
\]

\(^{10}\)In the following we use the notation \( |\sigma_i\rangle \leftrightarrow | \downarrow \rangle \) and \( J_{21}|\sigma_i\rangle \leftrightarrow | \uparrow \rangle \), cf. (2.8.4).
2. Bethe ansatz techniques

is an eigenvector of (2.10.1) when neglecting boundary terms, i.e. in the infinite volume. This can be seen by acting with (2.10.1) on (2.10.2) using the action of the permutation on the basis vectors

\[ P_{x,x+1} | \downarrow \cdots \uparrow \cdots \downarrow \rangle = | \downarrow \cdots \uparrow \cdots \uparrow \cdots \downarrow \rangle. \]  

(2.10.3)

The energy eigenvalue corresponding to the Hamiltonian in (2.10.1) is given by

\[ E_{XXX} = 4(1 - \cos p) \].

(2.10.4)

Now the ansatz is to take a superposition of the free waves in (2.10.2) and add a term to describe the scattering

\[ |\psi\rangle = \sum_{1 \leq x_1 < \ldots < x_m \leq L} \psi(x_1, \ldots, x_m) | \downarrow \cdots \uparrow \cdots \uparrow \cdots \downarrow \rangle, \]  

(2.10.5)

with

\[ \psi(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_P A(P)e^{ipP(1)x_1 + \ldots + ipP(m)x_m}, \]  

(2.10.6)

where the sum goes over all permutations \( P \) of \( (1, \ldots, m) \). The positions of the magnons along the spin chain are labeled by \( x_i \) that take values from the set \( \{1, \ldots, L\} \). The amplitude term \( A(P) \) does in principle depend on the momenta \( p_i \). To determine it one again has to study the action of the Hamiltonian on (2.10.5). Ignoring boundary terms at site 1 and \( L \) one finds that (2.10.5) is an eigenfunction for

\[ A(P) = A(P(1), \ldots, P(m)) = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \frac{z_{P(j)} - z_{P(k)} + 1}{z_{P(j)} - z_{P(k)}}, \]  

(2.10.7)

see e.g. [79, 80]. Here we introduced the rapidities \( z_i \) which will become the Bethe roots. They are related to the momenta via

\[ p_k = \frac{1}{i} \ln \frac{z_k + 1}{z_k}. \]  

(2.10.8)

Let us also note that the total momentum is related to the eigenvalues of the shift operator via

\[ U = e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m P_k}. \]  

(2.10.9)

The corresponding energy eigenvalues are simply the sum of the one particle energies

\[ E_{XXX} = 4 \sum_{k=1}^m (1 - \cos p_k) = -2 \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{z_k} - \frac{1}{z_k + 1} \right), \]  

(2.10.10)

compare (2.8.26) and (2.8.25) as well as (2.7.13). We have diagonalized the Hamiltonian in the infinite volume and will now impose the periodic boundary conditions. This will lead to a rather familiar restriction on the momenta \( p_i \) or equivalently \( z_i \).

To impose periodicity let us first consider the simple example of \( m = 3 \) magnons on a spin chain of length \( L + 1 \). In this example the magnons are located at the positions
2.10. Coordinate Bethe ansatz

\[ x_1 = 2, x_2 = 3, x_3 = L + 1. \]  
As in the algebraic Bethe ansatz we have to identify site \( L + 1 \) with site 1. Then the cyclicity condition on the components of the wave-function \((2.10.6)\) reads

\[ \psi(2, 3, L + 1) \overset{1}{=} \psi(1, 2, 3). \quad (2.10.11) \]

This generalizes to an arbitrary \( m \) magnon configuration as

\[ \psi(x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_m, L + x_1) \overset{1}{=} \psi(x_1, \ldots, x_m). \quad (2.10.12) \]

To obtain the constraints on the momenta we substitute \((2.10.6)\) into the condition and obtain

\[ \sum A(P) e^{iP(1)x_2 + \ldots + iP(m)(x_1 + L)} \overset{1}{=} \sum A(P) e^{iP(1)x_1 + \ldots + iP(m)x_m}. \quad (2.10.13) \]

By shifting the permutation according to \( P(i) = \tilde{P}(i + 1) \) and \( P(m) = \tilde{P}(1) \) we can rewrite the left hand side of \((2.10.13)\) and find

\[ \sum \tilde{\tilde{A}}(\tilde{P}) e^{i\tilde{P}(1)x_1 + \ldots + iP(m)x_m} e^{i\tilde{P}(1)L} \overset{1}{=} \sum A(P) e^{iP(1)x_1 + \ldots + iP(m)x_m}. \quad (2.10.14) \]

By comparing the single terms in the sum we find that this equation is satisfied for all \( x_i \) if

\[ e^{iP(1)L} = \frac{A(P(1), \ldots, P(m))}{A(P(2), \ldots, P(m), P(1))}. \quad (2.10.15) \]

These are the Bethe equations. To rewrite them in the way as presented in \((2.8.18)\) we note that the fraction of the amplitude terms is related to the so-called S-matrix via

\[ \frac{A(1, 2, \ldots, j, j + 1, \ldots, m)}{A(1, 2, \ldots, j + 1, j, \ldots, m)} = \frac{z_j - z_{j+1} + 1}{z_j - z_{j+1} - 1} = S(z_j, z_{j+1}), \quad (2.10.16) \]

from which follows that

\[ \frac{A(P(1), \ldots, P(m))}{A(P(2), \ldots, P(m), P(1))} = \prod_{j=2}^{m} S(z_{P(1)}, z_{P(j)}). \quad (2.10.17) \]

Using \((2.10.17)\) to rewrite \((2.10.15)\) we find

\[ e^{ip_L} = - \prod_{j=1}^{m} S(z_k, z_j). \quad (2.10.18) \]

In terms of the Bethe roots \( z_k \) this is equation reads

\[ \left( \frac{z_k + 1}{z_k} \right)^L = - \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{z_k - z_j + 1}{z_k - z_j - 1} \quad k = 1, \ldots, m, \quad (2.10.19) \]

which agrees with \((2.8.18)\). The left hand side of \((2.10.18)\) is sometimes called the driving term. The right hand side is the scattering part. A way to think about \((2.10.18)\) is that a
particle scattered through the chain only picks up a phase factor. If the Bethe equations which appear as the cyclicity condition are satisfied (2.10.5) is an eigenvector of the closed spin chain Hamiltonian (2.7.1) with the corresponding eigenvalue (2.10.10). By dividing the wave-function in (2.10.6) by $A(1, 2, \ldots, m)$ and using the relation given in (2.10.16) subsequently one obtains the more intuitive expression of the wave-function expressed via the S-matrix

$$\tilde{\psi}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = e^{ip_1 x_1 + ip_2 x_2 + \ldots + ip_m x_m} + S(z_2, z_1) e^{ip_2 x_1 + ip_1 x_2 + \ldots + ip_m x_m} + \ldots. \quad (2.10.20)$$

This representation makes the picture of particles moving along the chain and picking up scattering terms when they are interchanged manifest. This factorization into two particle scatterings is a typical sign of integrability.

### 2.11. More on CBA

It is far from obvious that the coordinate wave-function in (2.10.5) coincides with the expression obtained through the algebraic Bethe ansatz (2.8.7) for $v_i = 0$ and $s_i = 1$. Nevertheless, as we have seen, they diagonalize the same Hamiltonian. In the following we state the wave-function for finite-dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ with inhomogeneities such that the results in Section 2.8.1 and 2.10 coincide. These results were checked experimentally using Mathematica. For a proof we refer the reader to appendix 3.E in [81], where the generalized two site model, see e.g. [82], is used to obtain the wave-function from the algebraic Bethe ansatz.

We find that the coordinate wave-function is related to the Bethe vector of the homogeneous $s = 1 \mathfrak{gl}(2)$ spin chain obtained via the algebraic Bethe ansatz by the overall factor

$$|\Phi_{s=1}^{\text{hom}}\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{z_k^L}{z_k + 1} |\psi\rangle_{\text{CBA}}. \quad (2.11.1)$$

Note, that the overall normalization above is symmetric under permutations of the Bethe roots and as such can be written inside the sum, cf. (2.10.6). The off-shell Bethe vector $|\Phi_{s=1}^{\text{hom}}\rangle$ was given in terms of the $B$ operators in (2.8.7). Using (2.11.1) we rewrite it as

$$|\Phi_{s=1}^{\text{hom}}\rangle = \sum_{1 \leq x_1 < \ldots < x_m \leq L} \Phi_{s=1}^{\text{hom}}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) e_{21}^{(x_1)} \cdots e_{21}^{(x_m)} |\Omega\rangle, \quad (2.11.2)$$

with

$$\Phi_{s=1}^{\text{hom}}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_P A(P) \prod_{k=1}^{m} \left( z_{P(k)} + 1 \right)^{x_k - 1} \left( z_{P(k)} \right)^{L-x_k}. \quad (2.11.3)$$

Furthermore, substituting

$$\Phi_{s=1}^{\text{inh}}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_P A(P) \prod_{k=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{x_k-1} \left( z_{P(k)} - v_j + 1 \right) \prod_{j=z_k+1}^{L} \left( z_{P(k)} - v_j \right). \quad (2.11.4)$$

into (2.11.2) yields the Bethe vectors $|\Phi_{s=1}^{\text{inh}}\rangle$ for $s_i = 1$ and inhomogeneities.
For completeness we state the wave-function for the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ spin chain with symmetric representations labeled by $s_j$ at each site with inhomogeneities. It is given by

$$|\Phi^\text{inh}\rangle = \sum_{1 \leq x_1 \leq \ldots \leq x_m \leq L} \Phi^\text{inh}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) J^{(x_1)}_{21} \cdots J^{(x_m)}_{21} |\Omega\rangle,$$

(2.11.5)

where

$$\Phi^\text{inh}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \omega \sum_P A(P) \prod_{k=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{x_{k-1}} (z_{P(k)} - v_j + s_j) \prod_{j=x_{k}+1}^L \left( z_{P(k)} - v_j \right),$$

(2.11.6)

and

$$\omega = \prod_{i=1}^L \frac{1}{\omega_i^L}.$$

(2.11.7)

The variables $\omega_i$ count the multiplicity of the site $i$ appearing in the set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$. And as such counts the excitations per site and takes values $0 \leq \omega_i \leq s_i$.

The wave-function for the fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ is known. It can be expressed in a rather intuitive way in terms of nested wave-functions of $n - 1$ particle types, see Appendix C.0.1 for the case of $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$. We refer the interested reader to [83] where the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$- case is reviewed. However, in Appendix C.0.1 we present the wave function for a $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$-invariant spin chain with the representations $\Lambda = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\Lambda' = (1, 1, 0)$ in the quantum space.
3. 2d solvable lattice models

In the previous chapter we discussed how the Hamiltonian along with the family of commuting operators of one-dimensional quantum integrable spin chains can be diagonalized using Bethe ansatz methods. In the current chapter we focus on classical statistical systems in two dimensions defined on a lattice. We review how these at first sight unconnected topics are closely related.

In the following, we encounter different kinds of lattices. Most of them are built from line segments, for short lines in the following, that are allowed to intersect as long as not more than two lines meet in one point. We call such an intersection a lattice site or vertex. Additionally, we will also introduce certain trivalent vertices which can be obtained from the ordinary (four-valent) vertices. They naturally appear in the fusion procedure of R-matrices and in the so-called bootstrap equation. In the further sections we will then assign orientations and rapidities to the lines. Furthermore, to each lattice edge we associate a state. In this way various configurations depending on the edge variables can be assigned to a vertex. To each of these configurations we associate a Boltzmann weight that can be thought of as the probability with which such a given vertex configuration can appear. The main observable that we are interested in is the partition function. The partition function is given by the sum over all possible interior vertex configurations where the states at the boundary are held fixed. The result will then in general simply be a complex number.

Lattice models appeared in various research fields. One of the most famous and early applications was the modelling of ice. The solution of the ice-type models goes back to Lieb who was able to connect it to the Bethe ansatz in 1967 [84,85]. Let us consider a rectangular lattice as shown in Figure 3.0.1. At each vertex we can imagine an oxygen

![Figure 3.0.1.: A rectangular lattice.](image)
atom connecting by hydrogen atoms between the lattice sites to its neighbours. We can impose different boundary conditions on such a lattice. As shown in Figure 3.0.2a we can identify the left and right endpoints of the lattice lines to obtain a cylindrical lattice topology. After identifying the end points at the top and bottom we end up with a torus as shown in Figure 3.0.2b. Apart from rectangular lattices we can also have other shapes.

(a)  
(b)  

Figure 3.0.2.: Rectangular lattice with (a) cylindrical (b) toroidal topology.

The lattice in Figure 3.0.3a contains three rectangular lattices that are connected by non-intersecting lines. Interestingly, as explained in Appendix D for certain boundary configurations each rectangular lattice can be thought of as a Bethe vector of a \( \text{gl}(2) \)-invariant spin chain as presented in (2.8.7). The whole lattice in Figure 3.0.3a corresponds to a contraction of three such Bethe vectors. Recently there has been a lot of interest in calculating such objects in the context of \textit{AdS/CFT}. The partition function is intimately related to the structure constants of three-point correlation functions of certain operators in \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) super Yang-Mills, see e.g. [86, 87]. Another research field on its own are \textit{2d} integrable quantum field theories that also bear a close relation to lattice models. Here the lattice is given by straight lines that do not meet asymptotically. We think about each line as the world line of a particle parametrized by one space and one time-coordinate \((x,t)\). Initially the particles are well separated at \( t \to -\infty \), then they scatter at the intersections of the lattice to create the end state at \( t \to +\infty \). The particle number is conserved. Such a lattice is depicted in Figure 3.0.3b. In the context of Baxter’s perimeter Bethe ansatz, see Chapter 6, we will study a vertex model that is slightly more general than the one shown in Figure 3.0.3b. Namely, we loosen the condition that the lines are not allowed to intersect asymptotically, see also Figure 3.7.1a.

3.1. The six-vertex model

As its name indicates, the six-vertex model consist of six different vertices. It is common to denote them with in or outpointing arrows at each edge of a vertex that represent the
3.1. The six-vertex model

Figure 3.0.3.: (a): Non-intersecting contraction of three rectangular lattices. (b): Worldlines in 2d spacetime.

states as mentioned above. In this case only vertices with as many ingoing arrows as outgoing ones are allowed which constrains the total number of vertices to six. This is often referred to as the ice-rule, see also Section 3.2. It incorporates the fact that each oxygen atom shares a binding with two hydrogen atoms. To each of these six vertex configurations we associate one of the three different Boltzmann weights \( a, b, c \) that can be thought of as the probability of each of these local configurations. In addition there is an extra \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) symmetry which leaves the weights invariant under the exchange of all arrow directions such that

\[
\begin{align*}
    a[u, v] &= \frac{u}{v} \begin{array}{c}
        \rightarrow \\
        t_v
    \end{array} = \frac{u}{v} \begin{array}{c}
        \leftarrow \\
        t_v
    \end{array}, \\
    b[u, v] &= \frac{u}{v} \begin{array}{c}
        \rightarrow \\
        t_v
    \end{array} = \frac{u}{v} \begin{array}{c}
        \leftarrow \\
        t_v
    \end{array}, \\
    c[u, v] &= \frac{1}{v} \begin{array}{c}
        \rightarrow \\
        t_v
    \end{array} = \frac{1}{v} \begin{array}{c}
        \leftarrow \\
        t_v
    \end{array}.
\end{align*}
\]

Here the complex parameters \( u \) and \( v \) are the rapidities or spectral parameters assigned to the lines. The white arrows label the different states and the black arrows are used to fix their orientation. In the following, we consider the so-called rational case of the six-vertex model where the Boltzmann weights are given up to an overall normalizations by

\[
\begin{align*}
    a[u, v] &= u - v + 1, \\
    b[u, v] &= u - v, \\
    c[u, v] &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Each of them depends on the difference of the two rapidities or spectral parameters \( u \) and \( v \). We would like to study the partition function of a rectangular lattice as shown in Figure 3.0.1. Therefore, we first have to introduce an orientation and spectral parameters (inhomogeneities) to each of the lines. We assign the rapidities \( u_i \) to the horizontal lines and \( v_i \) to the vertical ones. As indicated by the external arrows in Figure 3.0.1a
the orientation of the lines is from left to right and bottom to top. Once we fix all configuration arrows, i.e. white arrows, at the boundary we can calculate the partition function using the Boltzmann weights defined in (3.1.1) and (3.1.2). It is defined by

\[ Z = \sum_{\text{internal states}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_h} \prod_{j=1}^{N_v} w[u_i, v_j]. \]  

(3.1.4)

Depending on the internal states, i.e. white arrows at the edges of a vertex, the weight \( w \) is given by \( a, b \) or \( c \) as introduced in (3.1.3). Summing over all possible state configurations in the interior of the lattice then yields the partition function. As an example let us consider the case given in Figure 3.1.1b. In this case the partition function is particularly easy to calculate as there is only one configuration of internal arrows allowed at each vertex. This can be seen from (3.1.1) and (3.1.2). Starting from the lower left vertex in Figure 3.1.1b we see that the only allowed local configuration is the first vertex with weight \( a \) in (3.1.1). As a consequence the same is true for the next vertex on the right. Now proceeding line by line from bottom to top we find that the sum in (3.1.4) is trivial and obtain

\[ Z = \prod_{i=1}^{N_h} \prod_{j=1}^{N_v} a[u_i, v_j] = \prod_{i=1}^{N_h} \prod_{j=1}^{N_v} (u_i - v_j + 1). \]  

(3.1.5)

In general for an arbitrary lattice as the ones given in the beginning of this chapter we can associate an orientation and a spectral parameter to each line and calculate the partition function on that lattice after fixing a certain boundary configuration via the formula

\[ Z = \sum_{\text{internal vertices}} \prod_{\text{states}} w[\text{vertex}]. \]  

(3.1.6)

Here the argument of the Boltzmann weight \( w \) depends on the orientation and rapidities of the two crossing lines. For convenience we suppressed the dependence on the state variables.
3.2. Relation to R-matrix

The careful reader might have noticed that the Boltzmann weights of the six-vertex model (3.1.3) are related to the entries of the \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \)-invariant R-matrix introduced in (2.2.1) via

\[
R(u_i - u_j) = \begin{pmatrix}
    a[u_i, u_j] & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & b[u_i, u_j] & c[u_i, u_j] & 0 \\
    0 & c[u_i, u_j] & b[u_i, u_j] & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & a[u_i, u_j]
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (3.2.1)

To relate the graphical notation used in the previous chapter to the one used in Section 3.1 we note that in Chapter 2 an orientation was introduced at each line of a vertex to distinguish left and right multiplication in each of the spaces on which the R-matrix acts non-trivially, compare (2.1.4). However, these black arrows on the lines introduced for this purpose should not be confused with the white arrows used to label the different states of the six-vertex model. The black arrows correspond to the black arrows in (3.1.1) and (3.1.2) and the white arrows translate into the row and column indices of the matrix (3.2.1) acting on the tensor product \( \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \). If a white arrow points into the same direction as the arrow that denotes the orientation of the line we replace it by the state label 1. If it is pointing in the opposite direction we assign the state label 2. Thus, in this notation the vertex configurations of the six-vertex model in (3.1.1) and (3.1.2) are depicted as

\[
a[u_i, u_j] = 1 \quad \begin{array}{c}
\quad \quad \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad
\end{array} 
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array}
1 = 2 \quad \begin{array}{c}
\quad \quad \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad
\end{array} 
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
1
\end{array},
\] (3.2.2)

\[
b[u_i, u_j] = 1 \quad \begin{array}{c}
\quad \quad \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad
\end{array} 
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array}
1 = 2 \quad \begin{array}{c}
\quad \quad \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad
\end{array} 
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
1
\end{array},
\] (3.2.2)

\[
c[u_i, u_j] = 1 \quad \begin{array}{c}
\quad \quad \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad
\end{array} 
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
1
\end{array}.
\] (3.2.3)

Here, according to (3.2.1), all other vertex configurations are identical to zero. Furthermore, we would like to note at this point that the ice-rule translates into the charge conservation condition \( [J^{(1)}_{\alpha a} + J^{(2)}_{\alpha a}, R_{12}] = 0 \) with \( a = 1, 2 \) which follows from the \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \)-invariance of the R-matrix. As a consequence the states assigned to the bottom and left edge have to reappear at the top and right of each vertex.

In general, we can think about the entries of an arbitrary \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \)-invariant R-matrix denoted by \( \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 | R_{\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2} (u_1 - u_2) | \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle \) as Boltzmann weights. Here the indices \( \alpha_{1,2} \) and \( \beta_{1,2} \) take the values \( 1, \ldots, \dim \Lambda_{1,2} \), where \( \Lambda_{1,2} \) denotes the dimension of the representation.
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\( \Lambda_{1,2} \) in space 1, 2 respectively. Graphically this is denoted as

\[
\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 | R_{\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2} (u_1 - u_2) | \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle = \begin{array}{c}
\beta_2 \\
\alpha_2
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\beta_1 \\
\alpha_1
\end{array},
\]

(3.2.4)

compare (3.2.1). This notation has the advantage that the relation to our previous studies becomes more transparent. Whenever we talk about the components of the R-matrices, i.e. Boltzmann weights, we indicate the corresponding indices at the end of the lines as shown in (3.2.4). Here we have neglected the rapidities, they are assigned in the same way as in (2.1.4).

3.3. Partition functions and QISM

We are now in the position to study the partition function of the six-vertex model using the QISM. In particular, we can write the partition function in (3.1.4) for a given boundary configuration as a product of monodromies of length \( N_v \) introduced in (2.5.1) with inhomogeneities \( v_1, \ldots, v_{N_v} \) and fundamental representation of \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \) in quantum and auxiliary space

\[
Z(\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}) = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_{N_h}, b_1, \ldots, b_{N_v}, \mathcal{M}(u_1, v) \cdots \mathcal{M}(u_{N_h}, v) | c_1, \ldots, c_{N_h}, d_1, \ldots, d_{N_v} \rangle.
\]

(3.3.1)

Here the set of indices \( a, b, c, d = 1, 2 \) label the configuration at the boundary, compare Figure 3.1.1a. In our notation \( a \) and \( b \) are associated to the external edges of the lattice to the left and bottom respectively. The external states at the right and top are labeled by \( c \) and \( d \). For cylindrical boundary conditions in the horizontal direction we contract the indices \( a_i \) and \( c_i \) which yields the transfer matrix introduced in (2.5.4) or pictorially in (2.5.5)

\[
\sum_{a=1}^{n} \langle a | \mathcal{M}(u, v) | a \rangle = \text{tr} \mathcal{M}(u, v) = T^f(u, v).
\]

(3.3.2)

The partition function defined on a cylinder as shown in Figure 3.3.1a for a given boundary configuration takes the form

\[
Z(\{b\}, \{d\}) = \langle b_1, \ldots, b_{N_v} | T^f(u_1, v) \cdots T^f(u_{N_h}, v) | d_1, \ldots, d_{N_v} \rangle.
\]

(3.3.3)

This is the same transfer matrix as the one we have diagonalized using the Bethe ansatz in Chapter 2. Let us now consider the partition function on a toroidal topology as shown in Figure 3.0.2b. It can be obtained from (3.3.3) by contracting the indices that now correspond to the edges in the vertical direction

\[
Z_{N_v, N_h}(v, u) = \sum_{\{b\}} \langle b_1, \ldots, b_{N_v} | T^f(u_1, v) \cdots T^f(u_{N_h}, v) | b_1, \ldots, b_{N_v} \rangle.
\]

(3.3.4)
3.3. Partition functions and QISM

The partition function is invariant under basis transformations. Hence it can be written in terms of eigenvalues of the transfer matrices

$$Z_{N_v,N_h}(v,u) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2^{N_v}N_h} \prod_{i=1}^{N_v} \tau_\alpha(u_i,v), \quad (3.3.5)$$

see e.g. [88, 89]. Here \(\tau_\alpha(u_i,v)\) denotes the \(\alpha\)th eigenvalue of the transfer matrix \(T_f(u_i,v)\). Thus we obtained an expression for the partition function defined on a torus parametrized by Bethe roots satisfying the corresponding Bethe equations. Again, as discussed previously in Section 2.9, the issue of completeness of the Bethe equations arises in this context. Furthermore, we would like to note that our derivation of (3.3.5) heavily relied on the quantum inverse scattering method which was not at hand in 1967 when Lieb presented his solution. His derivation is along the lines of Bethe’s original approach from 1931 presented in Section 2.10.

We end this section with the example shown in Figure 3.3.1 where some vertical lines are oriented in the opposite direction in order to prepare the reader for the discussion in Chapter 6. Let \(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(u,v)\) be the monodromy describing a horizontal layer with an opposite orientation at site \(i\). It takes the form

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(u,v) = \ldots R_{a,i-1}(u-v_{i-1})(P_{i,a}R_{a,i}(v_i-u)P_{i,a})t_iR_{a,i+1}(u-v_{i+1}) \ldots, \quad (3.3.6)$$

where \(t_i\) denotes the transposition in the \(i\)th vertical space. The permutation operators \(P\) ensure that the vertex is oriented properly and the transposition is necessary to write the partition function as a product of transfer matrices, compare (3.3.3). Using crossing symmetry this transfer matrix can be rewritten in the familiar form. In particular, this is discussed in the next sections.

Figure 3.3.1.: Cylindrical topology of a square lattice (a) of mixed orientation (b).
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3.4. Symmetries of the R-matrix

We would like to study some of the discrete symmetries of the R-matrix in (3.2.1). For this purpose we extend our analysis to the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-invariant R-matrix in the fundamental representation as introduced in (2.2.1). It contains the same weights as the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$-invariant R-matrix but in total there are $n(2n-1)$ vertices. In components the only difference lies in the range of the indices. We have

$$\langle a_1, a_2 | R(u_1-u_2) | b_1, b_2 \rangle = (u_1-u_2) \delta_{a_1b_1} \delta_{a_2b_2} + \delta_{a_1b_2} \delta_{a_2b_1} \quad (3.4.1)$$

with $a_i, b_i = 1, \ldots, n$. From (3.4.1) we see that the Boltzmann weights are left invariant under the transformation $a_1 \leftrightarrow b_1, a_2 \leftrightarrow b_2$:

$$\langle b_1, b_2 | R(u_1-u_2) | a_1, a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2 | R(u_1-u_2) | b_1, b_2 \rangle . \quad (3.4.2)$$

This reflects the symmetry under transposition in both spaces 1 and 2 of the R-matrix. Furthermore, we also find that (3.4.1) is left invariant under substituting $a_1 \leftrightarrow a_2, b_1 \leftrightarrow b_2$ and therefore

$$\langle a_2, a_1 | R(u_1-u_2) | b_2, b_1 \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2 | R(u_1-u_2) | b_1, b_2 \rangle . \quad (3.4.3)$$

Note that here the indices belonging to space 1 on the left hand side of the equation are identified with the indices of space 2 on the right hand side and vice versa. This identification of $R_{12}$ with $R_{21}$ is possible as we have the same representation in the spaces 1 and 2. The symmetries discussed above can be encoded into the pictorial equivalence relation

$$a_1 \downarrow b_1 = b_2 \uparrow a_2 \quad a_1 = a_2 \quad b_1 = b_2 \quad a_2 \quad \downarrow b_1 . \quad (3.4.4)$$

3.5. The anti-fundamental representation

In the following we introduce the R-matrix $R_{\Box\Box}$ with the fundamental representation in the first space and the anti-fundamental in the second. The generators of the anti-fundamental representation are of the form

$$J_{ab} = -e_{ba} . \quad (3.5.1)$$

The representation is labeled by $\Lambda = (0, \ldots, 0, -1)$ which is denoted by $\Box$ in the following. It is straightforward to show that this choice indeed satisfies the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ algebra (2.3.4), see also next section. Let us again start with the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ case. In analogy to (3.2.1) we can
write the R-matrix as

\[
R_{\alpha\alpha}(u_i - u_j) = \begin{pmatrix}
\bar{a}[u_i, u_j] & 0 & 0 & \bar{c}[u_i, u_j] \\
0 & \bar{b}[u_i, u_j] & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \bar{b}[u_i, u_j] & 0 \\
\bar{c}[u_i, u_j] & 0 & 0 & \bar{a}[u_i, u_j]
\end{pmatrix},
\]  

(3.5.2)

where we used the definition of the Lax operators in (2.3.5). For short we denote this R-matrix as \( \bar{R} \), keeping in mind that

\[
\bar{R}(u) \equiv R_{\alpha\alpha}(u) = L_{\alpha}(u).
\]  

(3.5.3)

The entries of the R-matrix (3.5.2) are given by

\[
\bar{a}[u_i, u_j] = u_i - u_j - 1, \quad \bar{b}[u_i, u_j] = u_i - u_j, \quad \bar{c}[u_i, u_j] = -1.
\]  

(3.5.4)

As before we proceed to the corresponding \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \)-invariant R-matrix. Again, the only difference is the range of the indices and we find the general expression

\[
\langle a_1, a_2 | \bar{R}(u_1 - u_2) | b_1, b_2 \rangle = (u_1 - u_2)\delta_{a_1 b_1} \delta_{a_2 b_2} - \delta_{a_1 a_2} \delta_{b_1 b_2}
\]  

(3.5.5)

for \( a_i, b_i = 1, \ldots, n \). Diagrammatically we denote this R-matrix as

\[
\langle a_1, a_2 | \bar{R}(u_1 - u_2) | b_1, b_2 \rangle = a_1 \quad \downarrow \quad b_1,
\]  

(3.5.6)

where we introduced the white dot to distinguish it from the R-matrix \( R \) introduced previously, cf. (3.2.4). The vertical line corresponds to the space in the anti-fundamental representation. This R-matrix has the same symmetries under the exchange of indices as the \( R \). Namely under the transformation \( a_1 \leftrightarrow b_1, a_2 \leftrightarrow b_2 \) we find

\[
\langle b_1, b_2 | \bar{R}(u_1 - u_2) | a_1, a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2 | \bar{R}(u_1 - u_2) | b_1, b_2 \rangle
\]  

(3.5.7)

and moreover the transformation \( a_1 \leftrightarrow a_2, b_1 \leftrightarrow b_2 \) yields

\[
\langle a_2, a_1 | \bar{R}(u_1 - u_2) | b_2, b_1 \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2 | \bar{R}(u_1 - u_2) | b_1, b_2 \rangle.
\]  

(3.5.8)

Here we note that the dimension of the fundamental and anti-fundamental representation are the equal, thus the indices of space 1 and 2 take the same values which makes the identification in (3.5.8) possible. Diagrammatically we write these relations as

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 & \quad \downarrow \quad b_1 = b_1 \quad \downarrow \quad a_2 = a_2 \quad \downarrow \quad b_2 = b_2 \quad \downarrow \quad a_1. \\
a_2 & \quad \downarrow \quad b_2 = b_2 \quad \downarrow \quad a_1 = a_1 \quad \downarrow \quad b_1 = b_1
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.5.9)
The goal of this section is to relate the R-matrix with fundamental representation of \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) in both spaces with the R-matrix \( R_{\square \square} \) whose second space is in the anti-fundamental representation. The relation can be motivated from the existence of the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) outer automorphism of \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \)

\[
J_{ab} \rightarrow \tilde{J}_{ab} = -J_{ba}.
\] (3.6.1)

Under the action of the automorphism the Dynkin labels transform as

\[
\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda} = (-\lambda_n, \ldots, -\lambda_1),
\] (3.6.2)

compare also to the choice of the generators in (3.5.1). First, we allow a normalization of the R-matrix that we did not include up to now as it cannot be determined from the Yang-Baxter equation. However, in the following it plays an important role. Thus, we rewrite the R-matrices introduced previously as

\[
R(u) \rightarrow R(u) = f(u)(1 + \frac{1}{u}P)
\] (3.6.3)

and

\[
\bar{R}(u) \rightarrow \bar{R}(u) = g(u)(1 - \frac{1}{u}K)
\] (3.6.4)

with \( K = \sum_{a,b=1}^n e_{ab} \otimes e_{ab} \). From (3.4.1) and (3.5.5), where we expressed the R-matrices under study in terms of components, we find that the two R-matrices can be related via

\[
\langle b_1, a_2 | \bar{R}(u_2 - u_1) | a_1, b_2 \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2 | R(u_2 - u_1) | b_1, b_2 \rangle.
\] (3.6.5)

This condition naturally imposes the relation among the normalizations of the R-matrices in (3.6.3) and (3.6.4)

\[
f(u_1 - u_2) = g(u_2 - u_1).
\] (3.6.6)

Using the symmetries discussed previously we note that (3.6.5) can be rewritten as

\[
\langle a_1, a_2 | \bar{R}(u_2 - u_1) | b_1, b_2 \rangle = \langle b_2, a_1 | R(u_1 - u_2) | a_2, b_1 \rangle.
\] (3.6.7)

Thus graphically this relation can be expressed as

\[
\langle a_1, a_2 | \bar{R}(u_2 - u_1) | b_1, b_2 \rangle = a_1 \frac{u_1}{u_2} \frac{b_2}{b_1},
\] (3.6.8)

which can be interpreted as a “rotation” of the vertex given in (3.2.4) by 90°. Crossing relations like the one in (3.6.8) naturally appear in the study of 2d integrable quantum field theories \[90,91\]. We use this property to relate the partition function of the vertex

\(^{11}\)Note that for the case \( n = 2 \) the two representations are equivalent.
3.6. Crossing symmetry

model in Figure [3.3.1b] to the commuting family of operators. Using the notation $R_{a,i}$ for denoting the R-matrix $\mathbf{R}$ with the antifundamental representation in space $i$, we find that the monodromy matrix discussed in (3.3.6) for $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{M}(u, v) = \ldots R_{a,i-1}(u - v_{i-1})R_{a,i}(u - v_{i})R_{a,i+1}(u - v_{i+1}) \ldots$$

which fits into the monodromies studied in the previous chapter and therefore can be diagonalized using the Bethe ansatz. This holds true generally for the extension to $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ along the lines as discussed in this section.

Let us also consider the partition function on the torus defined in (3.3.4). As a consequence of (3.6.7) there exist two equivalent ways to write the partition function in terms of transfer matrices. In Section 3.2 we thought about the partition function as the trace of $N_h$ transfer matrices of length $N_v$, however we can also think about it as the trace of $N_v$ transfer matrices of length $N_h$. It yields a representation of the partition function

$$Z_{N_v,N_h}(v, u) = \text{tr} \tilde{T}(v_{N_v}, u)v_{N_v-1}, u) \cdots \tilde{T}(v_1, u)$$

with the transfer matrices $\tilde{T}$ formed out of the R-matrices in (3.6.4)

$$\tilde{T}(v, u) = \text{tr} R_{a,1}(v - u_1) \cdots R_{a,N_h}(v - u_{N_h}).$$

Again, this prescription applies in the general framework of this section but it has an important implication for the case of $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ where the outer automorphism discussed in (3.6.1) becomes an inner automorphism. Therefore, the anti-fundamental representation can be directly related to the fundamental. To be precise, for

$$\frac{g(u + \frac{1}{2})}{f(u - \frac{1}{2})} = \frac{u + \frac{1}{2}}{u - \frac{1}{2}},$$

we find that

$$R_{\square\square}(u) = S R_{\square\square}(u - 1) S^{-1},$$

with the similarity transformation containing the Levi-Civita symbol

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 \\ 0 & +1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  (3.6.14)

See also [92], where this relation is discussed for the trigonometric case. For general $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ such a relation does not exist as for $n \neq 2$ the anti-fundamental and fundamental representation are not equivalent. After substituting (3.6.13) into (3.6.11) the similarity transformations in the auxiliary space cancel each other under the trace and we obtain

$$\tilde{T}(v, u) = \text{tr} R_{a,1}(v - u_1) \cdots R_{a,N_h}(v - u_{N_h})^{-1}.$$  (3.6.15)

Having in mind the integrability condition (2.5.6) we conclude that

$$Z_{N_v,N_h}(v, u) = Z_{N_h,N_v}(u + 1, v),$$  (3.6.16)

where we denoted the collective shift in the variables $u_i$ by $u + 1$. This so-called modular identity is an important step when studying the thermodynamic limit [89, 93].
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3.7. Z-invariance

Now, we consider a slight generalization of the lattice presented in Figure 3.0.3b. The new feature that appears is that the lines are allowed to intersect asymptotically. We assign rapidities and orientations to the lines as shown in Figure 3.7.1a such that all lines point from the bottom to the top. The major point we want to make here is that the lines can be moved freely as long as their endpoints do not intersect. This can change the form of the lattice but will not change the partition function. This is a consequence of the Yang-Baxter equation

\[ (3.7.1) \]

which we studied in detail for certain R-matrices in the previous chapter, compare e.g. Figure 2.1.6. The property that the partition function (3.1.6) is invariant when the lattice is changed accordingly is usually referred to as Z-invariance, see [94] and in particular [95] for a detailed discussion.\(^\text{12}\) As a consequence the partition function is completely determined by the boundary data which contains the external state labels and the enumeration of the lines. Thus, we do not have to care about the actual lattice configuration inside as shown in Figure 3.7.1b as long as we don’t allow self- nor double-crossings of the lines.

Figure 3.7.1.: (a) Arbitrary lattice built out of straight lines (b) Z-invariance

---

\(^\text{12}\)We thank Patrick Dorey for pointing out this reference.
3.8. Unitarity

As a consequence of the Yang-Baxter relation (2.1.3) there naturally arises a symmetry among R-matrices. This symmetry relates the R-matrix $R_{12}$ to the one where spaces 1 and 2 are exchanged, namely $R_{21}$. To obtain this relation we multiply the Yang-Baxter equation (2.1.3) from both sides with the inverse of $R_{12}$ and obtain

$$R_{12}(u_1 - u_2)^{-1}R_{23}(u_2 - u_3)R_{13}(u_1 - u_3) = R_{13}(u_1 - u_3)R_{23}(u_2 - u_3)R_{12}(u_1 - u_2)^{-1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8.1)

Exchanging the spaces 1 and 2 in (2.1.3) we observe that the inverse of $R_{12}$ satisfies the same Yang-Baxter equation as $R_{21}$. Thus, assuming that the solution is unique, we conclude that they are proportional to each other

$$R_{21}(u_2 - u_1) \sim R_{12}(u_1 - u_2)^{-1}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.8.2)

It is convenient to fix the normalization in such a way that (3.8.2) becomes an equality. This yields the unitarity relation

$$R_{12}(u_1 - u_2)R_{21}(u_2 - u_1) = 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.8.3)

Graphically this equation is depicted in Figure 3.8.1. It has a natural interpretation in terms of 2d integrable field theory where we can think of two particles scattering and rescatter again, see eg. [42,96].

3.8.1. An example

In this section we consider a general lattice as introduced in Figure 3.7.1 but allow for multiple intersections of the lines as shown in Figure 3.8.2. We concentrate on the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-invariant R-matrices with fundamental and anti-fundamental representations in either
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of the two spaces as introduced in (3.6.3) and (3.6.4). Let us now consider the lattice presented in Figure 3.8.2. As shown in the figure we allow to associate different, i.e. fundamental and anti-fundamental, representations of \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) to each line. In this sense it is more convenient to think about Figure 3.8.2 as a product of R-matrices instead of a lattice with Boltzmann weights. Also, as discussed below, the fact that the structure of the lattice can be changed according to the unitarity relation depicted in Figure 3.8.1 makes this interpretation more natural. We already encountered two of the \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \)-invariant R-matrices that appear in our example, i.e.

\[
R(u) = R_{\Box\Box}(u), \quad \bar{R}(u) = R_{\Box\bar{\Box}}(u),
\]

as defined in (3.6.3) and (3.6.4) containing the normalizations \( f \) and \( g \). In addition we introduce the two R-matrices

\[
R_{\Box\Box}(u) \sim R_{\Box\Box}(-u)^{-1} = \frac{1}{g(-u)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{u + n} \right),
\]

which can be obtained from the relation (3.8.2) and

\[
R_{\Box\Box}(u) \sim R_{\Box\Box}(u).
\]

Further, we fix a relative normalization such that

\[
R_{\Box\Box}(u) = R_{\Box\Box}(u + n).
\]

This equation without the shift in the spectral parameter is fulfilled trivially for the R-matrix \( R_{\Box\Box} \) as both spaces are in the same representation. The same holds true for \( R_{\Box\Box} \). Pictorially, this relation can be written as in Figure 3.9.1 for \( \Lambda = \Box \).
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Let us impose the unitarity condition in (3.8.3) to determine the normalization $f$ and $g$ introduced previously. In principle, there are four ways to assign the representations to the two lines in Figure 3.8.1: $(\square, \square)$, $(\square, \bar{\square})$, $(\bar{\square}, \square)$, $(\bar{\square}, \bar{\square})$. However, the unitarity conditions for $(\square, \bar{\square})$ and $(\bar{\square}, \square)$ are equivalent. Furthermore, it follows from (3.8.6) that also the cases $(\square, \square)$ and $(\bar{\square}, \bar{\square})$ yield the same relation. First, we consider the case where we have two fundamental representations. We impose

$$R_{\square\square}(u_1 - u_2)R_{\square\square}(u_2 - u_1) = 1,$$  

(3.8.8)

and obtain the condition

$$f(u_1 - u_2)f(u_2 - u_1) = \frac{(u_1 - u_2)^2}{(u_1 - u_2)^2 - 1},$$  

(3.8.9)

for the normalization $f$. Following the same strategy for the case where we have one fundamental representation and one anti-fundamental

$$R_{\square\bar{\square}}(u_1 - u_2)R_{\bar{\square}\square}(u_2 - u_1) = 1,$$  

(3.8.10)

with the normalization $g$, cf. (3.6.4) and (3.8.7), we obtain

$$g(u_1 - u_2)g(u_2 - u_1 + n) = 1.$$  

(3.8.11)

The normalizations defined implicitly by (3.8.9) and (3.8.11) allow to disentangle the lines in Figure 3.8.2 according to Figure 3.8.1. We find that with this choice the lattice given in Figure 3.8.2 is equivalent to the one presented in Figure 3.7.1a. In this sense we can generalize the notion of $Z$-invariance discussed in Section 3.7.

Last but not least, we would like to give an explicit solution that satisfies the unitarity conditions (3.8.9), (3.8.11) and additionally the crossing relation (3.6.8) of the $gl(n)$-invariant R-matrices discussed here. It takes the form

$$f(u) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-u}{n}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n+u}{n}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{u}{n}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1+n+u}{n}\right)}.$$  

(3.8.12)

This normalization is not unique as one can multiply it with arbitrary functions $h$ that satisfy

$$h(u_1 - u_2)h(u_2 - u_1) = 1, \quad h(u_1 - u_2)h(u_2 - u_1 - n) = 1.$$  

(3.8.13)

Here $h$ is the so-called CDD factor [97] which is of crucial importance in $2d$ integrable field theories. In particular different CDD factors may yield different theories. As this will not be crucial in the following we do not elaborate further on this subject. Further details can be found in e.g. [42, 96, 98].
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3.9. Crossing and unitarity for Lax operators

For later purposes we discuss the crossing and unitarity relation for the Lax operators introduced in (2.3.5) to construct the spin-chain monodromy (2.5.1). These R-matrices have the fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ in the auxiliary space and representation $\Lambda$ in the quantum space, cf. (2.4.2). As in Section 3.6 we allow for a normalization $f_\Lambda$ that cannot be obtained from the Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{\square \Lambda}(u_1 - u_2) = f_\Lambda(u_1 - u_2) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{u_1 - u_2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} e_{ab}J_{ba} \right). \quad (3.9.1)$$

To determine $R_{\square \Lambda}$ we follow the method introduced in Section 3.8 and determine the inverse of the Lax operator (3.9.1). For representations that satisfy the condition

$$\sum_{c=1}^{n} J_{ca}J_{bc} = \gamma J_{ba} + \sigma \delta_{ab}I,$$  

(3.9.2)

with $\gamma, \sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ and the identity $I$ in the appropriate representation $\Lambda$, one obtains

$$R_{\square \Lambda}(u) = \frac{u(u + \gamma)}{(u^2 + u\gamma - \sigma)f_\Lambda(u)} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{u + \gamma} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} e_{ab}J_{ba} \right). \quad (3.9.3)$$

Using the proportionality relation (3.8.2) then yields the R-matrix $R_{\square \Lambda}$. In addition we impose the relation

$$R_{\square \Lambda}(u_1 - u_2) = R_{\Lambda \square}(u_1 - u_2 + \gamma) \quad (3.9.4)$$

to fix the normalization, see Figure 3.9.1. Now, imposing the unitarity condition as

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node at (0,0) {$\Lambda$};
\node at (-1,0) {$a$};
\node at (1,0) {$b$};
\node at (0,1) {$u_1$};
\node at (0,-1) {$u_2$};
\draw[->] (0,0) -- (1,0) node[midway, above] {$\beta$};
\draw[->] (0,0) -- (-1,0) node[midway, above] {$\alpha$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}

Figure 3.9.1.: Symmetry relation.

introduced in (3.8.3)

$$R_{\square \Lambda}(u_1 - u_2)R_{\Lambda \square}(u_2 - u_1) = 1,$$  

(3.9.5)

we obtain a difference equation for the normalization $f$

$$f_\Lambda(-u)f_\Lambda(u - \gamma) = \frac{u(u - \gamma)}{u^2 - u\gamma - \sigma^2}. \quad (3.9.6)$$

$^{13}$See also Section 5.3.2 for further details on this type of representations.
Next, we introduce $R_{\Lambda,\bar{\Lambda}}$ using the algebra automorphism $\bar{J}_{ab} = -J_{ba}$ in (3.6.1). From (2.3.5) we find that it can be written as
\[ R_{\Lambda,\bar{\Lambda}}(u) = f_{\Lambda}(u) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{u} e_{ab}J_{ab} \right). \] (3.9.7)

Here we would like to stress that we employ the generators $J_{ab}$ of representation $\Lambda$ to realize the R-matrix of the conjugate representation $\bar{\Lambda}$ as discussed in (3.6.2). Following the same reasoning as before we determine $R_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}$ through the inverse of $R_{\Lambda,\bar{\Lambda}}$ and fix the normalization such that
\[ R_{\Lambda,\bar{\Lambda}}(u) = R_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}(u - n + \gamma), \] (3.9.8)

where we used the condition on the representation introduced in (3.9.2). For the R-matrices $R_{\Lambda,\bar{\Lambda}}$ and $R_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}$ the unitarity relation reads
\[ R_{\Lambda,\bar{\Lambda}}(u)R_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}(-u) = 1. \] (3.9.9)

It yields the difference equation
\[ f_{\bar{\Lambda}}(-u)f_{\bar{\Lambda}}(u - \mu) = \frac{u(u - \mu)}{u^2 - u\mu - \nu}, \] (3.9.10)

for the normalization $f_{\bar{\Lambda}}$. Here we introduced the complex numbers $\mu$ and $\nu$ via
\[ \sum_{c=1}^{n} J_{bc}J_{ca} = \mu J_{ba} + \nu \delta_{ab}I. \] (3.9.11)

Their relation to $\gamma$ and $\sigma$ is given by
\[ \mu = n + \gamma \quad \nu = \sigma - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{c=1}^{n} J_{cc}. \] (3.9.12)

For the fundamental representation we find the values
\[ \sigma = 1, \quad \mu = n, \quad \gamma = \nu = 0. \] (3.9.13)

Thus, from (3.9.6) and (3.9.10) we reproduce as special cases the difference equations derived earlier in (3.8.9) and (3.8.11).

In the end we present the crossing relation for Lax operators. This relation was discussed in Section 3.6 for the fundamental/anti-fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. In general for an arbitrary representation $\Lambda$ with $\langle \alpha | J_{ab} | \beta \rangle = \langle \beta | J_{ba} | \alpha \rangle$ we obtain the crossing equation
\[ \langle a, \beta | R_{\Lambda}(u_1 - u_2) | b, \alpha \rangle = \langle a, \alpha | R_{\bar{\Lambda}}(u_2 - u_1) | b, \beta \rangle, \] (3.9.14)

and thus the relation between their normalizations
\[ f_{\Lambda}(u_1 - u_2) = f_{\bar{\Lambda}}(u_2 - u_1), \] (3.9.15)

compare (3.6.6).
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3.10. Three-vertices from R-matrices

In this section we introduce certain intertwiners that can be interpreted as three-vertices. We focus on a rather simple example and postpone a more general discussion to the next section and Chapter 6. Let us recall the R-matrix with the fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ in quantum and auxiliary space

$$R(z) = z + P.$$  \hfill (3.10.1)

Apart from the permutation point which, as we discussed in Chapter 2, is of crucial importance to obtain local charges in the framework of the quantum inverse scattering method there are two special points of the spectral parameter where the rank of the R-matrix is reduced. This can be seen if one rewrites the R-matrix in terms of projectors, see e.g. [99],

$$R(z) = 2(z+1)P_+ + 2(z-1)P_-,$$  \hfill (3.10.2)

with $P_\pm = (1 \pm P)/2$. One immediately sees that these points are located at $z = \pm 1$. We will be interested in the case $z = 1$ where the R-matrix reduces to the projector $P_+^2 = P_+$ on the symmetric representation in the tensor product decomposition of two fundamental representations

$$\square \otimes \square = \square \oplus \square.$$  \hfill (3.10.3)

The projector $P_+$ can be factorized into the product of two rectangular matrices

$$Y_L \cdot Y_R = P_+, \quad Y_R = Y_L^t,$$  \hfill (3.10.4)

which are related to each other by transposition. Here $Y_L$ is an $n^2 \times \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ matrix that can explicitly be written as

$$Y_L = \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \delta_{ab} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right) \left[ \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ \end{array} \right].$$  \hfill (3.10.5)

Here we employed the notion of Young tableaux to denote the normalized basis vectors, see e.g. [100, 101]. As an example let us consider the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ case. For $n = 2$ we find

$$P_+ = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{array} \right) = Y_L \cdot Y_R.$$  \hfill (3.10.6)

From the tensor structure of $Y_L$ in (3.10.5) we see that it provides a linear map $f_Y$

$$f_Y : \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}},$$  \hfill (3.10.7)
3.11. Bootstrap equation

where \( \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \) is the dimension of the symmetric representation in (3.10.3). Thus, in the following we denote \( Y_{L,R} \) as three vertices

\[
Y_R = \quad , \quad Y_L = \quad .
\]  
(3.10.8)

It follows that the R-matrix (3.10.2) at the special point can be written diagrammatically as

\[
\frac{1}{4} R(1) = \quad .
\]  
(3.10.9)

Both vertices \( Y_L \) and \( Y_R \) are invariant under permutation of the two spaces that are in the fundamental representation

\[
P \cdot Y_L = Y_L , \quad Y_R \cdot P = Y_R ,
\]  
(3.10.10)

and from the projection property of \( P_+ \) it follows that

\[
Y_R \cdot Y_L = \mathbb{I} \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \times \frac{n(n+1)}{2} ,
\]  
(3.10.11)

which we denote graphically as

\[
\quad = \quad .
\]  
(3.10.12)

The three-vertices introduced in (3.10.8) will be studied on more general grounds in the next section and in particular in Chapter 6. At this point, we will also come back to the discussion of the special points of the R-matrix.

3.11. Bootstrap equation

It is interesting that the three-vertices \( Y_{L,R} \) introduced in the previous section satisfy a Yang-Baxter like equation. Namely, it holds that

\[
L(z - u_1) L(z - u_1 + 1) Y_L = Y_L L(z - u_1) .
\]  
(3.11.1)

In this case, the corresponding relation involving \( Y_R \) can be obtained by transposing (3.11.1) in all spaces. Furthermore, here transposition in space 1, which is suppressed in the notation above, relates the equation to one involving crossed Lax matrices, cf. (3.11.3) where this operation inverts the orientation of line 1. For a proof of these relations we
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refer the reader to Chapter 6. At this point we would like to stress that the so-called Bootstrap equation \[102\] above is not a consequence of the Yang-Baxter equation. As we will see in Chapter 6 the Yang-Baxter equation can be reconstructed from it in certain cases. We introduce it through the generic form

\[ R_{12}(u-v_2)R_{13}(u-v_3)Y = YR_{14}(u-v_4) \]  

(3.11.2)

where \( Y \) is some projector from \( V_2 \otimes V_3 \) onto a subspace \( V_4 \), cf. (3.10.5). In addition there is a constraint on the spectral parameters \( v_i \) that depends on the representations under study. Pictorially, we denote the bootstrap equation as

\[ = \]  

(3.11.3)

In 2d integrable field theories we think about two particles 2 and 3 that form a bound state 4.

The fusion procedure of R-matrices, see e.g. [63], can be understood in this framework. Multiplying the bootstrap equation (3.11.1) with \( Y \) from the left and subsequently using the relation in (3.10.11) we find an expression for Lax matrix with representation \( \Lambda = (2, 0, \ldots, 0) \) in terms of the two Lax matrices \( L_0 \)

\[ L_0(z-u_1) = Y_0L_0(z-u_1)L_0(z-u_1+1)Y_0. \]  

(3.11.4)

Diagrammatically this relation can be depicted as

\[ = \]  

(3.11.5)

where the vertical space denotes the auxiliary space of the Lax operators. A nice feature of the bootstrap equation and the fusion procedure is that we can generate the crossing normalizations of the R-matrices. Following [63] we obtain the crossing normalization \( f_s \) for Lax operators with symmetric representations \( s = (s, 0, \ldots, 0) \) from \( f \) introduced in (3.8.12) for the case \( \Lambda = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \)

\[ f_s(u) = \prod_{k=1}^{s} f(u+k-1) = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1-u}{n} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{n+u}{n} \right)}{\Gamma \left( -\frac{s-1+u}{n} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s+n+u}{n} \right)}. \]  

(3.11.6)

It can be checked that this function indeed solves the constraints on the normalization for \( \gamma = s - 1 \) and \( \sigma = s \) as discussed in Section 3.9. For the example above we find that

\[ f_0(u) = f(u)f(u+1) = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1-u}{n} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{n+u}{n} \right)}{\Gamma \left( -\frac{1+u}{n} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{2+n+u}{n} \right)}. \]  

(3.11.7)
3.11. Bootstrap equation

here the terms $f(u)$ and $f(u + 1)$ arise from the two Lax matrices in the fundamental representation, cf. (3.11.4).
4. Yangian

In this chapter we discuss aspects of the Yangian. This infinite-dimensional Hopf algebra, named after C.N. Yang, was introduced by Drinfeld in 1985 \[55,56\]. It naturally appears in the context of the Yang-Baxter equation and the quantum inverse scattering method. There are three different realizations of the Yangian, usually referred to as Drinfeld’s first realization, Drinfeld’s second realization and the RTT-realization. We are mainly interested in the RTT-realization which is closely tied to the RTT-relation \[2.5.7\]. We discuss how the Yangian algebra arises in this framework and study certain automorphisms and anti-automorphisms. Furthermore, we introduce the quantum determinant which generates the center of the Yangian. We introduce the coproduct and discuss its action on the Yangian generators to motivate the relation between the RTT-realization and Drinfeld’s first realization that can be found in Appendix B. Last but not least we discuss the Yangian generators of rational spin chains and exemplify the use of the coproduct and antipode.

Here we will closely follow the presentation in \[103\] and refer the reader to this reference for proofs and further studies. Other sources are \[81,82,104–108\].

4.1. Yang-Baxter algebra

We start our discussion with the Yang-Baxter equation \[2.1.3\] written in the form

\[
R(z_1 - z_2)(M(z_1) \otimes \mathbb{I})(\mathbb{I} \otimes M(z_2)) = (\mathbb{I} \otimes M(z_2))(M(z_1) \otimes \mathbb{I})R(z_1 - z_2). \tag{4.1.1}
\]

Here \(R\) is the R-matrix in the fundamental representation \[2.2.1\] acting on the spaces 1 and 2. Furthermore, we assume that the remaining two R-matrices are \(n \times n\) matrices with operatorial entries

\[
M(z) = \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} M_{ab}(z) \otimes e_{ab}. \tag{4.1.2}
\]

For later purposes we suppressed the indices indicating on which spaces the R-matrices act trivially and used the notation

\[
R_{12}(z) = R, \quad R_{13}(z) = M(z) \otimes \mathbb{I}, \quad R_{23}(z) = \mathbb{I} \otimes M(z), \tag{4.1.3}
\]

where the identity indicates the trivial action on the space 2 and 1, respectively. The Yang-Baxter equation \[4.1.1\] is a defining relation for the Yangian algebra \(\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}_n)\) \[55\].
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Using our previous result (2.3.3) we eliminate the dependence on the spaces 1 and 2 and obtain the commutation relations

\[(z_1 - z_2)[M_{ab}(z_1), M_{cd}(z_2)] = M_{cb}(z_2)M_{ad}(z_1) - M_{cb}(z_1)M_{ad}(z_2),\]  

(4.1.4)

for the entries of the matrix \(M\) introduced above. The formal Laurent expansion

\[M_{ab}(z) = M_{ab}[0] + M_{ab}[1]z^{-1} + M_{ab}[2]z^{-2} + \cdots\]  

(4.1.5)

with \(M_{ab}[0] = \delta_{ab}\) yields the generators of the Yangian \(\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)\). As mentioned previously, we will discuss certain degenerate solutions with \(M_{ab}[0] \neq \delta_{ab}\) that are relevant for the construction of Q-operators in Chapter 5. Using (4.1.4) and the geometric series expansion, we obtain a system of relations for the Yangian generators \(M_{ab}^{[r]}\). They satisfy the Yangian algebra

\[[M_{ab}^{[r]}, M_{cd}^{[s]}] = \sum_{q=1}^{\min(r, s)} \left( M_{cb}^{[r+s-q]}M_{ad}^{[q-1]} - M_{cb}^{[q-1]}M_{ad}^{[r+s-q]} \right).\]  

(4.1.6)

By construction, these relations are equivalent to the RTT-relation (4.1.1).

Let us recall the Lax operator (2.3.5). This solution to the Yang-Baxter equation was constructed from the ansatz given in (2.3.2). As discussed any solution to the Yang-Baxter equation can be multiplied by a function. Thus dividing (2.3.2) by the spectral parameter we find that our ansatz is equivalent to the case where

\[M_{ab}^{[r]} = 0, \quad \text{for } r > 2.\]  

(4.1.7)

In this case the commutation relations of the Yangian algebra (4.1.6) reduces to the \(\mathfrak{gl}(n)\) commutation relations as discussed in Section 2.3. In the mathematical literature this representation is usually referred to as the evaluation homomorphism from the Yangian to the universal enveloping algebra of \(\mathfrak{gl}(n)\).

4.2. Automorphisms

We will now discuss certain operations on the monodromy

\[M(z) \mapsto \tilde{M}(z),\]  

(4.2.1)

such that \(\tilde{M}\) still satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation (1.1.1) and therefore leave (4.1.6) invariant. As discussed previously any solution of the Yang-Baxter equation can be multiplied by a scalar function and remains a solution. For

\[f(z) = 1 + f_1z^{-1} + f_2z^{-2} + \cdots,\]  

(4.2.2)

we find that the Yangian algebra (4.1.6) remains invariant. Thus the operation

\[M(z) \mapsto f(z)M(z).\]  

(4.2.3)
is an algebra automorphism. Furthermore, we have the freedom of shifting the spectral parameter

$$M(z) \mapsto M(z + c),$$

by some complex number $c$. This leaves the Yangian algebra (4.1.6) invariant as the R-matrix in (4.1.1) enjoys the difference property, namely it only depends on the difference of the spectral parameters $z_1 - z_2$. Additionally, for any invertible $N \times N$ matrices $B_{1,2}$ which act trivially in the quantum space $V$ one has the automorphism

$$M(z) \mapsto B_1 M(z) B_2.$$  

This follows from the property

$$R(z_1 - z_2)(B_{1,2} \otimes B_{1,2}) = (B_{1,2} \otimes B_{1,2}) R(z_1 - z_2).$$  

(4.2.6)

4.3. Anti-automorphism and antipode

The anti-automorphisms map the solutions $M$ of the Yang-Baxter equation (4.1.1) to solutions of the equation

$$R(z_1 - z_2)(I \otimes \bar{M}(z_2))(\bar{M}(z_1) \otimes I) = (\bar{M}(z_1) \otimes I)(I \otimes \bar{M}(z_2)) R(z_1 - z_2).$$

(4.3.1)

The crucial difference in this equation compared to (4.1.1) is the order of multiplication in the quantum space. It is rather straightforward to see that the inversion

$$M(z) \mapsto M^{-1}(z),$$

satisfies (4.3.1). We discussed a similar phenomenon in Chapter 3, see (3.8.1). The mapping in (4.3.2) is usually referred to as the antipode. Additionally, we have the transposition in the auxiliary space

$$M(z) \mapsto M^{t_a}(z).$$

(4.3.3)

We can show that (4.3.1) is satisfied by transposing (4.1.1) in the two auxiliary spaces and by using the symmetry of the R-matrix $R^t = R$. Furthermore, one has the reflection

$$M(z) \mapsto M(-z),$$

(4.3.4)

which follows from the relation

$$R(z)R(-z) = 1 - z^2,$$

(4.3.5)

cf. (2.5.9) and the automorphism (4.2.3). In particular, the fact that the composition of two anti-automorphisms yields an automorphism can be used to fix a certain normalization for $M$. This was discussed in detail for the Lax operators in Section 3.9. For completeness we give the analogues of the defining relations of the Yangian algebra (4.1.6)

$$[\bar{M}_{ab}^{[r]}, \bar{M}_{cd}^{[s]}] = \sum_{q=1}^{\min(r,s)} \left( \bar{M}_{ad}^{[r+s-q]} \bar{M}_{cb}^{[q-1]} - \bar{M}_{ad}^{[q-1]} \bar{M}_{cb}^{[r+s-q]} \right).$$

(4.3.6)
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4.4. Quantum determinant and $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}(n))$

As we have seen in Section 3.10 the R-matrix $R$ becomes a projector on at the special points $z = \pm 1$ on the symmetric and antisymmetric representation in the tensor product decomposition (3.10.3). Previously we were interested in the case $z = 1$ where the R-matrix becomes a symmetrizer. The definition of quantum determinant incorporates the other special point $z = -1$ where the R-matrix reduces to the anti-symmetrizer

$$R(-1) = -4P_\pi = -2(1 - P).$$

(4.4.1)

This property together with the RTT-relation (4.1.1), which simplifies for the choice of the spectral parameters as in (4.4.1) (compare (2.8.11) and (2.8.12)), motivates the definition of the quantum determinant. Here we only present the main properties of the quantum determinant and refer the reader to [81, 82, 103, 106] for their derivations. From the form of the R-matrix $R$ and the RTT-relation (4.1.1) it follows that the quantum determinant is given by

$$q\text{det} M(z) = \sum_P \text{sgn}(P)M_{P(1)1}(z)M_{P(2)2}(z + 1)\cdots M_{P(n)n}(z + n - 1).$$

(4.4.2)

Here the sum goes over all permutations $P$ of $(1, \ldots, n)$. The quantum determinant of $M$ belongs to the center of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}l(n))$

$$[q\text{det} M(z_1), M_{ab}(z_2)] = 0.$$ 

(4.4.3)

Expanding the quantum determinant in inverse powers of the spectral parameter yields

$$q\text{det} M(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{d_k}{z^k}.$$ 

(4.4.4)

As a consequence of (4.4.3), the elements $d_k$ commute among themselves and with all Yangian generators. They generate the center of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}l(n))$. In analogy to ordinary Lie groups one defines $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}(n))$ to be isomorphic to the quotient

$$\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}(n)) \simeq \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}l(n))/(q\text{det} M(z) = 1).$$

(4.4.5)

4.5. Comultiplication

The product in the auxiliary space of any two solutions of the RTT-relation (4.1.1) yields another solution of the RTT-relation. This can be shown directly using the RTT-relation (4.1.1) or diagrammatically using the train argument presented in (2.5.8). We relied on this important property of the Yang-Baxter equation when constructing the commuting family of operators in Chapter 2. In the mathematical literature this structure is usually referred to as the coproduct. It should not be confused with the multiplication in the quantum space that appears in the RTT-relation. In the RTT-realization of the Yangian
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the coproduct is simply given by matrix multiplication in the auxiliary space and the tensor product in the quantum space

$$\Delta(M_{ab}(z)) = \sum_{c=1}^{n} M_{ac}(z) \otimes M_{cb}(z). \quad (4.5.1)$$

As mentioned above, the coproduct satisfies the compatibility condition

$$R(z_1 - z_2)(\Delta(M(z_1)) \otimes I)(I \otimes \Delta(M(z_2))) = (I \otimes \Delta(M(z_2)))(\Delta(M(z_1)) \otimes I)R(z_1 - z_2). \quad (4.5.2)$$

Furthermore, it can be shown that the quantum determinant introduced in the previous section is comultiplicative

$$\Delta(q\text{det} M(z)) = \Delta(q\text{det} M(z)) \otimes \Delta(q\text{det} M(z)). \quad (4.5.3)$$

The coproduct, together with the antipode introduced in (4.3.2) and the counit

$$\epsilon(M_{ab}(z)) = \delta_{ab}, \quad (4.5.4)$$

are characteristic structures of a Hopf algebra. We will not further elaborate on the Hopf algebra structure of the Yangian as it is not necessarily needed to follow the subsequent presentation and refer the reader to the literature. For a mathematical approach see e.g. [105][109] as well as the PhD thesis [110] and for a physicist’s presentation see e.g. [81][104].

We would like to make a few more comments at this point that we find helpful to understand the relation of the RTT-realization to Drinfeld’s first realization of the Yangian that is discussed in Appendix B. In order to do so, we present the action of the coproduct on the Yangian generators introduced in (4.1.5) which can be obtained from the expansion of (4.5.1) using (4.1.5). In general it can be written as

$$\Delta(M_{ab}^{[r]}(z)) = \sum_{c=1}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{r} M_{ac}^{[s]}(z) \otimes M_{cb}^{[r-s]}(z) \quad (4.5.5)$$

Hence, we see that for $r = 1$ we obtain

$$\Delta(M_{ab}^{[1]}(z)) = M_{ab}^{[1]}(z) \otimes I + I \otimes M_{ab}^{[1]}(z), \quad (4.5.6)$$

which is familiar from the representation theory of Lie algebras and quantum mechanics. For higher orders we get a deformation of this term as for example for $r = 2$

$$\Delta(M_{ab}^{[2]}(z)) = M_{ab}^{[2]}(z) \otimes I + I \otimes M_{ab}^{[2]}(z) + \sum_{c=1}^{n} M_{ac}^{[1]}(z) \otimes M_{cb}^{[1]}(z). \quad (4.5.7)$$

The action of the antipode $S$ on the first two Yangian generators is given by

$$S(M_{ab}^{[1]}(z)) = -M_{ab}^{[1]}(z). \quad (4.5.8)$$
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and

\[ S(M^{[2]}_{ab}) = -M^{[2]}_{ab} + \sum_{c=1}^{n} M^{[1]}_{ac} M^{[1]}_{cb}. \]  

(4.5.9)

Using the ordinary multiplication (multiplication in the quantum space) of the Hopf algebra that we did not introduce explicitly we can verify (4.5.8) and (4.5.9). Knowing the Yangian algebra (4.1.6) and the action of the coproduct and antipode on the Yangian generators we can in principle forget about the auxiliary space and the RTT-relation. However, naively we still have to deal with infinitely many generators. The beauty of Drinfeld’s first realization is that the Yangian \( \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}(n)) \) is characterized by the first two levels and an additional algebraic constraint called Serre relations. Solving these equations and imposing the structure introduced previously one can reformulate the Yangian without the need of a Yang-Baxter equation. The explicit connection between the two realizations of the Yangian is presented in great detail for the case of \( \mathfrak{g}(2) \) in [81]. We are not aware of a reference where this has been worked out for \( \mathfrak{g}(n) \). In Chapter 6 we will discuss some advantages and disadvantages of Drinfeld’s first realization and the RTT-realization.

4.6. Yangian and spin chains

Let us recall the spin chain monodromy introduced in (2.5.1) with all inhomogeneities set to zero. As shown graphically in (2.5.8), this monodromy satisfies the RTT-relation (4.1.1) and therefore yields a realization of the Yangian algebra (4.1.6). Defining

\[ \tilde{M}_{ab}(z) = \frac{1}{z^{L}} M_{ab}(z) \]  

(4.6.1)

we can extract the form of the Yangian generators from the expansion in terms of the spectral parameter

\[ \tilde{M}_{ab}(z) = \delta_{ab} + z^{-1} \tilde{M}^{[1]}_{ab} + z^{-2} \tilde{M}^{[2]}_{ab} + \ldots , \]  

(4.6.2)

cf. (4.1.5). We have already seen in Section 2.5 that the term proportional to \( z^{L-1} \) is given by

\[ \tilde{M}^{[1]}_{ab} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} j_{bi}^{(i)}. \]  

(4.6.3)

The term proportional to \( z^{L-2} \) is bi-local, i.e. it acts on non-adjacent sites of the quantum space and takes the form

\[ \tilde{M}^{[2]}_{ab} = \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq L} \sum_{c=1}^{n} j_{ca}^{(k)} j_{bc}^{(j)}. \]  

(4.6.4)

To end this chapter, we present the action of the coproduct and the antipode for spin chains. The coproduct in (4.5.3) adds sites to the spin chain. For convenience we introduce the notation

\[ \tilde{M}^{[1],[2]}_{ab} \rightarrow \tilde{M}^{[1],[2]}_{ab}(L). \]  

(4.6.5)
It is rather easy to verify that
\[ \mathcal{M}_{ab}^{[1]}(L + L') = \sum_{i=1}^{L+L'} J_{ba}^{(i)} = \mathcal{M}_{ab}^{[1]}(L) \otimes I + I \otimes \mathcal{M}_{ab}^{[1]}(L') . \] (4.6.6)

For the second level we find
\[ \mathcal{M}_{ab}^{[2]}(L + L') = \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq L+L'} \sum_{c=1}^{n} J_{ca}^{(k)} J_{bc}^{(j)} = \mathcal{M}_{ab}^{[2]}(L) \otimes I + I \otimes \mathcal{M}_{ab}^{[2]}(L') + \sum_{c=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}_{ac}^{[1]}(L) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{cb}^{[1]}(L') , \] (4.6.7)

which is compatible with \([4.6.4]\).

The action of the antipode on the first and second level Yangian generators can be deduced from the inverse of the monodromy which can be constructed from the inverse Lax operators introduced in Section 3.9. Here we would like to remind the reader that we focussed on a certain class of representations satisfying the relation \((3.9.2)\). The inverse of the monodromy can then be written as
\[ \mathcal{M}(z)^{-1} = R_{\mathfrak{A}, L}(z)^{-1} R_{\mathfrak{A}, L-1}(z)^{-1} \cdots R_{\mathfrak{A}, 1}(z)^{-1} . \] (4.6.8)

Expanding the inverse monodromy above yields the action of the antipode
\[ S(M_{ab}^{[1]}) = - \sum_{i=1}^{L} J_{ba}^{(i)} = -M_{ab}^{[1]} , \] (4.6.9)

and
\[ S(M_{ab}^{[2]}) = \sum_{L \leq k < j \leq 1} \sum_{c=1}^{n} J_{ca}^{(k)} J_{bc}^{(j)} + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{L} J_{ba}^{(i)} + \sigma L \delta_{ab} \] (4.6.10)
\[ = -M_{ab}^{[2]} + \sum_{c=1}^{n} M_{ac}^{[1]} M_{cb}^{[1]} . \]

These relations are in agreement with the general formulas given in \((4.5.8)\) and \((4.5.9)\) and naturally generalize to inhomogeneous spin chains as the ones studied in Chapter 6. In particular, for a certain normalization of the monodromy matrix the Yangian generators for the inhomogeneous spin chain can simply be obtained by shifting the \(\mathfrak{gl}(n)\) generators at each site \(J_{ab}^{(i)} \rightarrow J_{ab}^{(i)} - v_i \delta_{ab} \).
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In this chapter we present a systematic approach to the construction of Baxter Q-operators for rational homogeneous spin chains. This program is motivated by the desire to gain a deeper understanding of the integrable structure of the free/planar AdS/CFT system, where spin chains appear in the weak coupling limit [18]. Although the R-operators provided in the Appendix can be used to construct the Q-operators relevant for the full one loop spin chain of AdS/CFT there has not been any direct application of the method presented in the following, yet. However, the current techniques used to determine anomalous dimensions are based on the imposed analytic structure of all loop Q-functions, see [24] and references therein. Therefore, one may hope that it is possible to construct Q-operators at any value of the ’t Hooft coupling leading to the all loop Q-functions and containing the full information about the eigenspace.

We start the chapter with a review of the Q-operator construction for $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ spin chains carried out in [27] and discuss how the functional relations among the Q-operators and the Bethe equations can be derived. Generalizing the construction to $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ spin chains, we develop a new approach to Baxter Q-operators by relating them to the theory of Yangians, which are the simplest examples for quantum groups. A historic overview of the subject with references to earlier works can be found in Section 5 of [27]. Furthermore, a significant part of the PhD thesis [111] is dedicated to the Q-operator construction for $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ invariant spin chains, cf. Section 5.2. In particular, it contains a careful analysis of the R-operators used in the Q-operator construction which we do not discuss here in its full extent. The method to obtain local charges from the Q-operators presented in Section 5.3 as well as the Lax operators (F.0.7) are not contained in [111].

Following the construction of Bazhanov, Lukyanov and Zamolodchikov [30–32], we study certain degenerate solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation connected with harmonic oscillator algebras. These infinite-state solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation serve as elementary, “partonic” building blocks for other solutions via the standard fusion procedure. After a reviewing the Q-operator construction for $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ compact spin chains with the fundamental representation at each site of the quantum space we consider $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ compact spin chains and derive the full hierarchy of operatorial functional equations for all related commuting transfer matrices and Q-operators\(^\text{14}\). This leads to a systematic and transparent solution of these chains, where the nested Bethe equations are derived in an entirely algebraic fashion, without any reference to the traditional Bethe ansatz techniques. Furthermore, we discuss the Q-operator construction for spin chains with more general representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ at each site of the quantum space and derive the

\(^{14}\)See also [112][114] for independent approaches.
corresponding Bethe equations. In the last part of this Chapter, we discuss how the shift operator and the Hamiltonian enter the hierarchy of Baxter Q-operators in the example of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ homogeneous spin-chains. We find that a reduced set of Q-operators can be used to obtain local charges. The mechanism relies on projection properties of the corresponding R-operators $\mathcal{R}$ on a highest/lowest weight state of the quantum space. It is intimately related to the ordering of the oscillators in the auxiliary space. We introduce a diagrammatic language that makes these properties manifest. This approach circumvents the paradigm of constructing the transfer matrix with equal representations in quantum and auxiliary space and underlines the strength of the Q-operator construction.

We would like to stress that in Chapter 2 and 6 we build monodromies from R-matrices where the first space was taken to be the auxiliary space. This is a matter of conventions and one can equally well build them from R-matrices where the second space is the auxiliary space. In Section 3.9 we introduced the symmetry relation (3.9.4) that relates the two monodromies above if the auxiliary space is in the fundamental representation and the quantum space satisfies the relation (3.9.2). In this case we find

$$T(z) = \text{tr} R_{\Lambda, \omega}(z) \cdots R_{\Lambda, \omega}(z) = \text{tr} R_{\Lambda, \omega}(z + \gamma) \cdots R_{\Lambda, \omega}(z + \gamma) = T(z + \gamma), \quad (5.0.1)$$

where for convenience all representations at the sites were taken to be equal. Thus, the two transfer matrices are related by a shift in the spectral parameter and therefore belong to the same family of commuting operators. In the following we focus on $\bar{T}$ type transfer matrices following the conventions used in [26–29, 34].

### 5.1. Q-operators in a nutshell

In the following we focus on the Q-operator construction for $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$-invariant homogeneous spin chains with fundamental representation at each site of the quantum space. Following [26, 27], see also [18], we employ certain solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation (2.3.1) to derive the Baxter equation and the Bethe equations without referring to a vacuum nor making an ansatz for the eigenstates, cf. Section (2.8.1).

In Section 2.3, we derived the Lax operators $L_z$ from the Yang-Baxter equation making the ansatz (2.3.2). Later on we saw that this solution yields a realization of the Yangian, cf. Chapter 4. In the following we concentrate on the partonic Lax operators

$$L_{\omega, \pm}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z - h & \bar{a} \\ -a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\omega, \pm}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a \\ \bar{a} & z - h \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.1.1)$$

with

$$[a, \bar{a}] = 1, \quad h = \bar{a}a + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5.1.2)$$

As shown in Section 5.2.1 they satisfy the Yang-Baxter equation

$$\mathcal{R}(u - v)(L_{\omega, \pm}(u) \otimes \mathbb{1})(\mathbb{1} \otimes L_{\omega, \pm}(v)) = (\mathbb{1} \otimes L_{\omega, \pm}(v))(L_{\omega, \pm}(u) \otimes \mathbb{1})\mathcal{R}(u - v), \quad (5.1.3)$$
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cf. \((5.1.1)\), where the third space has been realized using the harmonic oscillator algebra \((5.1.2)\). In contrast to the Lax operators introduced previously, the term proportional to the spectral parameter \(z\) is not the identity matrix.

It is easy to show that the product of the two solutions \((5.1.1)\) is related to the ordinary Lax operator \(L_{\Lambda}\) as introduced in Section 2.3. For this purpose we multiply the two partonic Lax operators \((5.1.1)\) in the fundamental space and take the tensor product in the oscillator space. We obtain the factorization formula

\[
L^{[1]}_+(z_1) L^{[2]}_-(z_2) = S \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}(z) G S^{-1},
\]

where the additional labels carried by \(L^{[1]}_\pm\) indicate the two copies of the oscillators \((a^{[1]}, \bar{a}^{[1]})\) and \((a^{[2]}, \bar{a}^{[2]})\) and

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z + J_{11} & J_{21} \\ J_{12} & z + J_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a^{[2]} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The \(\mathfrak{gl}(2)\)-generators on the left-hand side of \((5.1.5)\) are realized by the oscillators \((a^{[1]}, \bar{a}^{[1]})\) in Holstein-Primakoff form \([115]\) as

\[
J_{11} = \lambda^1 - \bar{a}^{[1]}a^{[1]}, \quad J_{21} = \bar{a}^{[1]} (\bar{a}^{[1]}a^{[1]} - (\lambda^1 - \lambda^2)), \quad J_{12} = -a^{[1]}, \quad J_{22} = \lambda^2 + a^{[1]}a^{[1]},
\]

satisfying the \(\mathfrak{gl}(2)\) commutation relations as given in \((2.3.4)\). We denote the Lax operator \(L_{\Lambda}\) using a calligraphic letter \(\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}\) to stress that the \(\mathfrak{gl}(2)\) generators \(J_{ab}\) are realized using oscillators as above. Furthermore, we defined the complex variables

\[
z_1 = z + \lambda^1 + \frac{1}{2}, \quad z_2 = z + \lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2},
\]

as well as the similarity transformation acting solely on the oscillators

\[
S = e^{\bar{a}^{[1]}a^{[2]}}.
\]

Following the vertex notation introduced in Chapter 3, we can write the factorization formula \((5.1.4)\) using the notation \(L_\pm = L^{[\pm]}\) and \(\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}\) as shown in Figure 5.1.1.

Using the Holstein-Primakoff realization of the generators \((5.1.6)\), we fix the highest weight state to be the Fock vacuum

\[
|\sigma\rangle = |0\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad a|0\rangle = 0,
\]

where we suppressed the index carried by the oscillators. The action of the \(\mathfrak{gl}(2)\) generators \((5.1.6)\) on the highest weight state is then given by

\[
J_{11}|\sigma\rangle = \lambda^1|\sigma\rangle, \quad J_{22}|\sigma\rangle = \lambda^2|\sigma\rangle, \quad J_{12}|\sigma\rangle = 0,
\]

and we label the representation as \(\Lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2)\). Furthermore, we note that for a given positive integer \(k\) a representation with \(\lambda^1 - \lambda^2 = k\) is finite-dimensional and the lowest weight state annihilated by \(J_{21}\) is of the form \(|k\rangle \sim \bar{a}^k|0\rangle\), cf. Figure 5.1.3.
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![Graphical representation of the factorization formula (5.1.4). The dashed lines denote the oscillator spaces osc\textsubscript{1,2}.]

The factorization formula (5.1.4) is of prior importance to derive the functional relations among the Q-operators and the transfer matrices with fundamental representations in the quantum space and various representations of \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \) in the auxiliary space. A similar formula where the order of the partonic Lax operators is reversed can be found in [26].

Like the transfer matrices in Chapter 2, the Q-operators are constructed as traces over monodromies built out of the partonic Lax operators (5.1.1). They are defined as

\[
\bar{Q}_\pm(z) = e^{\pm i \Phi} Z_\pm^{-1} \text{tr} e^{\mp 2 i \Phi a a} L_{\mathfrak{D},\pm}(z) \cdots L_{\mathfrak{D},\pm}(z),
\]

(5.1.11)

with the trace explicitly given by

\[
\text{tr}(X) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \langle p | X | p \rangle, \quad \text{with} \quad \langle p | q \rangle = \delta_{p,q},
\]

(5.1.12)

and the normalization

\[
Z_\pm = \text{tr} e^{\mp 2 i \Phi a a} = \pm \frac{e^{\pm i \Phi}}{e^{i \Phi} - e^{-i \Phi}}.
\]

(5.1.13)

Here the auxiliary space is the Fock space generated by the oscillators \((a, \bar{a})\), while the quantum space is given by the \( L \)-fold tensor product of \( \mathbb{C}^2 \). Hence, (5.1.11) is a \( 2^L \times 2^L \) matrix. Naively, the trace over the infinite-dimensional Fock space diverges. Thus, we introduce a twist containing the complex parameter \( \Phi \) in analogy to Section 2.9, see also Section 5.2.3 for further details.

Using the factorization formula (5.1.4), one can show that the Q-operators defined in (5.1.11) are related to the transfer matrix\(^{15}\)

\[
\bar{T}_\Lambda^+ = \text{tr} e^{i \Phi (J_{11} - J_{22})} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{D},\Lambda}(z) \cdots \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{D},\Lambda}(z),
\]

(5.1.14)

via

\[
2i \sin(\Phi) \bar{T}_\Lambda^+(z) = \bar{Q}_+(z + \lambda^1 + \frac{1}{2}) \bar{Q}_-(z + \lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}).
\]

(5.1.15)

\(^{15}\)Note that we choose the auxiliary space to be the second space of the Lax operators. To obtain a monodromy whose auxiliary space is constructed from the first space of the Lax operators via the fusion procedure the degenerate solutions presented in Appendix E have to be employed.
5.1. Q-operators in a nutshell

Figure 5.1.2.: Graphical representation of (5.1.15). All similarity transformations cancel.

Here we used the cyclicity of the trace and the relation

\[ \text{tr} \, e^{2i\phi a a} \, G \otimes \ldots \otimes G = Z_\cdot I. \]  

(5.1.16)

Diagrammatically it is easy to see that the similarity transformations cancel each other, see Figure 5.1.2. However, when taking the trace over the complete Fock space, cf. (5.1.14), also states \(|p\rangle\) with \(p > k\) contribute. These have to be subtracted by hand. As indicated in Figure 5.1.3, the superfluous states are given by the module with highest weight representation \(\Lambda = (\lambda^2 - 1, \lambda^1 + 1)\), i.e. the module defined through

\[ J_{11}|\sigma\rangle = (\lambda^2 - 1)|\sigma\rangle, \quad J_{22}|\sigma\rangle = (\lambda^1 + 1)|\sigma\rangle, \quad J_{12}|\sigma\rangle = 0, \]  

(5.1.17)

see also [50]. The transfer matrix for finite-dimensional representations \(\Lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2)\) in terms of the transfer matrices defined in (5.1.14) then reads

\[ T_\Lambda(z) = T_{(\lambda^1, \lambda^2)}(z) - T_{(\lambda^2 - 1, \lambda^1 + 1)}(z). \]  

(5.1.18)

As a consequence of the factorization formula (5.1.4) and the resulting relation (5.1.15), we obtain the transfer matrix in terms of the Q-operators

\[ \Delta(\Phi)T_\Lambda(z) = \tilde{Q}_+(z + \lambda^1 + \frac{1}{2}) \tilde{Q}_-(z + \lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}) - \tilde{Q}_+(z + \lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}) \tilde{Q}_-(z + \lambda^1 + \frac{1}{2}), \]  

(5.1.19)

with \(\Delta(\Phi) = 2i \sin(\Phi)\). The relation above is the main result of this section. It can be shown that the operators defined in this section commute with each other

\[ [\tilde{Q}_\pm(z), Q_\pm(z')] = 0, \quad [T_\Lambda(z), Q_\pm(z')] = 0, \quad [Q_\pm(z), Q_\pm(z')] = 0. \]  

(5.1.20)

Following the logic presented in Section 2.5, the first relation follows from the Yang-Baxter equation (5.1.3), the second and third one are more difficult to prove. We refer the reader to the discussion of the appropriate Yang-Baxter relations in [111]. To derive the Baxter equation, cf. (2.8.19), we evaluate (5.1.19) for the fundamental representation \(\Lambda = (1, 0)\)
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Figure 5.1.3.: Reducible highest weight module where each state is labeled by the corresponding eigenvalues of the Cartan elements. The operators $J_{21}$ and $J_{12}$ act as raising and lowering operators, respectively.

and for the trivial representation $\Lambda = (0,0)$. Combining the two resulting relations we obtain the Baxter equation

$$\bar{Q}_\pm(z)T_\bullet(z - \frac{1}{2}) = \bar{Q}_\pm(z + 1)T_0(z - \frac{1}{2}) + \bar{Q}_\pm(z - 1)T_0(z + \frac{1}{2}).$$

Here we introduced the notation $\bar{T}_{(1,0)} = T_\bullet$ and $\bar{T}_{(0,0)} = T_0$. By construction the eigenvalues of the operators $\bar{Q}_\pm$ are polynomials of the form

$$\bar{Q}_\pm(z) = e^{\pm iz\Phi} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (z - z_i^\pm),$$

with $m_+ + m_- = L$. Note, that we did not prove that $\bar{Q}_\pm$ is indeed diagonalizable. In order to do so, one should derive the appropriate Yang-Baxter relation to show that $\bar{Q}_\pm$ is a normal operator, i.e. it commutes with its transpose, compare Section 2.5. Following the argumentation in Chapter 2 we obtain the Bethe equations

$$\bar{Q}_\pm(z_k^\pm + 1)T_0(z_k^\pm - \frac{1}{2}) + \bar{Q}_\pm(z_k^\pm - 1)T_0(z_k^\pm + \frac{1}{2}) = 0,$$

from the Baxter equation (5.1.21). Noting that by construction $T_0(z)$ is diagonal with eigenvalues given by $T_0(z) = z^L$ and using the explicit form of the Q-functions we find

$$\left(\frac{z_k^\pm + \frac{1}{2}}{z_k^\pm - \frac{1}{2}}\right)^L = e^{\pm 2i\Phi} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{z_k^\pm - z_i^\pm - \frac{1}{2}}{z_k^\pm - z_i^\pm + \frac{1}{2}} \quad (5.1.24)$$

The Bethe equations can also be derived directly from the functional relations (5.1.19) for $\Lambda = (0,0)$, see Section 5.2.5.

To end this section let us connect our results to Chapter 2. Noting that

$$\bar{T}_\bullet(z) = T_\bullet(z),$$

cf. Section 3.8, we obtain a slightly modified energy formula from the logarithmic derivative of the diagonal form of (5.1.21)

$$E = L + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{z_i^\pm - \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{z_i^\pm + \frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

\[16\] In this chapter we use the letter $T$ to denote the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix instead of $\tau$. 

while the form of the Hamiltonian \((2.7.10)\) coincides. This more symmetric form of the energy formula and the Bethe equations \((5.1.24)\) with \(\Phi \rightarrow 0\) can be recast into the form introduced in \((2.8.26)\) and \((2.8.18)\) with vanishing inhomogeneities by shifting the Bethe roots

\[
z^\pm_i = \tilde{z}^\pm_i + \frac{1}{2}.
\]

This naturally also yields a redefinition of the Q-functions which are polynomials with zeros located at the Bethe roots

\[
\tilde{Q}_\pm(z) = Q_\pm(z - \frac{1}{2}),
\]

such that we recover the Baxter equation \((2.8.19)\) from \((5.1.21)\). In Chapter 2 we only introduced one Q-function. The reason for this is that we fixed the reference state \(|\Omega\rangle\) to be the \(L\)-fold tensor product of highest weight states, cf. \((2.8.4)\), and used the operators \(B\) to create the eigenstates \((2.8.7)\). However, we could have chosen the reference state to be the \(L\)-fold tensor product of lowest weight states and create the Bethe vectors with the operator \(C\), cf. \((2.8.1)\). This symmetry is reflected by the existence of the two Q-operators/functions, see also Section 5.2.4 and Section 5.2.5. Furthermore, later on we will discuss how the Hamiltonian can be obtained from the Q-operators. In particular, for the fundamental representation in the quantum space it is obtained in Appendix G.3.

5.2. More on Q-operators

In the previous section we reviewed the construction of Q-operators for \(\mathfrak{gl}(2)\)-invariant spin chains with a twist. In this section we generalize this construction for \(\mathfrak{gl}(n)\)-invariant spin chains where the twist again plays an important role. We classify a set of degenerate solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation which constitutes the main ingredients to construct the full hierarchy of Q-operators for \(\mathfrak{gl}(n)\)-invariant spin chains. We start with the construction of Q-operators with the fundamental representation at each site of the quantum space. A generalized factorization formula, cf. \((5.1.1)\) and \((5.1.15)\), allows us to construct the hierarchy of Q-operators and derive their functional relations. The Bethe equations follow from this construction. In Section 5.2.6 we present the R-operators that are the building blocks of Q-operators with arbitrary representations of \(\mathfrak{gl}(n)\) at each site of the quantum space and derive the corresponding Bethe equations.

5.2.1. Representations of Yangians

The \(\mathfrak{gl}(n)\) spin chain Hamiltonian \((2.9.10)\) with twisted boundary conditions commutes with a large commuting family of operators \(\mathbf{T}\) and \(\mathbf{Q}\). In the following we explicitly construct these operators via traces of certain monodromy matrices associated with infinite-dimensional representations of the harmonic oscillator algebra. To do this we need to find appropriate solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation

\[
\mathbf{R}(z_1 - z_2)(\mathbb{I} \otimes M(z_1))(\mathbb{I} \otimes M(z_2)) = (\mathbb{I} \otimes M(z_2))(\mathbb{I} \otimes M(z_1))\mathbf{R}(z_1 - z_2),
\]

(5.2.1)
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where $R(z)$ is an $n^2 \times n^2$ matrix,

$$R(z) : \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, \quad R(z) = z + P,$$

acting in the direct product of two $n$-dimensional spaces $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$, cf. (4.1.1).

We will now show that there exist further first order operators, different from the Lax operators (2.3.5) and present their complete classification. For the case of $gl(2)$ these operators were introduced in (5.1.1). To begin, let us recall a symmetry of the Yang-Baxter equation (5.2.1). From the Yangian algebra (4.1.6) it follows that the elements $M_{ab}^{[0]}$ are central, i.e. they commute among themselves and with all $M_{ab}^{[r]}$ for $r \geq 1$. Therefore, we may regard $M_{ab}^{[0]}$ as a numerical $n \times n$ matrix. Applying the automorphism (4.2.5), this matrix can always be brought to a diagonal form

$$M_{ab}^{[0]} = \text{diag}\left(1,1,\ldots,1,0,0,\ldots,0\right), \quad p = 1,2,\ldots,n,$$

where $p$ is an integer $1 \leq p \leq n$. The number $p$ coincides with the rank of the matrix $M_{ab}^{[0]}$. Evidently, if $p = n$, the leading term in the series expansion (4.1.5) is the unit matrix. This case is well studied in the existing representation theory, see e.g. [103]. In fact, the assumption that the series (4.1.5) starts with the unit matrix is usually included into the definition of the Yangian. Here we will not make this assumption, and consider the more general case with arbitrary $1 \leq p \leq n$.

Let us concentrate on the simple case when the series (4.1.5) truncates after the second term, i.e. assume that all $M_{ab}^{[r]} = 0$ for $r \geq 2$. It is convenient to write the only remaining non-trivial coefficient $M_{ab}^{[1]}$ as a block matrix

$$M_{ab}^{[1]} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{ab} & B_{ab} \\ \hline C_{ab} & D_{ab} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A, B, C$ and $D$ are operator-valued matrices of dimensions $p \times p$, $p \times (n-p)$, $(n-p) \times p$ and $(n-p) \times (n-p)$, respectively. We furthermore assume that all undotted indices run over the values $\{1,2,\ldots,p\}$, whereas their dotted counterparts take the values $\{p+1,\ldots,n\}$:

$$1 \leq a,b \leq p, \quad p + 1 \leq \hat{a}, \hat{b} \leq n.$$

Substituting (5.2.3) and (5.2.4) into (4.1.6), one realizes that the elements $D_{ab}^{[1]}$ are central, i.e. they commute among themselves and with all other elements of $M_{ab}^{[1]}$. The other commutation relations read

$$[A_{ab}, A_{ce}] = -\delta_{ae} A_{cb} - \delta_{cb} A_{ae}, \quad [A_{ab}, B_{ce}] = -\delta_{bc} B_{ae},$$

$$[A_{ab}, C_{ce}] = +\delta_{ae} C_{cb}, \quad [B_{ab}, C_{ab}] = \delta_{ab} D_{ab},$$

$$[B_{ab}, B_{ce}] = 0, \quad [C_{ab}, C_{ce}] = 0.$$
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Using the remaining freedom of making the transformations (4.2.5), which do not affect the form of $M^{[0]}$ in (5.2.3), one can bring the matrix $D$ to a diagonal form with zeros and ones on the diagonal, in analogy to (5.2.3). Here we are only interested in highest weight representations of the algebra (5.2.6). These representations admit a definition of the trace, as required for the construction of transfer matrices in Section 5.2.3 below. For this reason we only consider the non-degenerate case \[ \text{det } D \neq 0, \] where the diagonal form of $D$ coincides with the \((n-p) \times (n-p)\) unit matrix

\[ D_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} = \delta_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}, \quad p + 1 \leq \dot{a}, \dot{b} \leq n. \]  

The resulting algebra (5.2.6) can be realized as a direct product of the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(p)$ with $p(n-p)$ copies of the harmonic oscillator algebra:

\[ \mathcal{A}_{n,p} = \mathfrak{gl}(p) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes p(n-p)}. \]  

Therefore, we introduce $p(n-p)$ independent oscillator pairs \((\dot{b}_{ab}, \bar{b}_{ab})\), where $\dot{a} = p + 1, \ldots, n$ and $b = 1, \ldots, p$, satisfying the relations

\[ [\dot{b}_{ab}, \bar{b}_{bc}] = \delta_{\dot{a} \dot{c}} \delta_{bc}. \]  

Furthermore, let $J_{ab}$, $a, b = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ denote the generators of the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(p)$ defined by (2.3.4), where $n$ is replaced by $p$. The generators $J_{ab}$ commute with all oscillators in (5.2.9). The connection of the algebra (5.2.6) with the product (5.2.8) is established by the following relations

\[ A_{ab} = \hat{J}_{ab} - \sum_{\dot{c}=p+1}^{n} (\dot{b}_{ac} \cdot \bar{b}_{cb} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}), \quad B_{ab} = \bar{b}_{ab}, \quad C_{ab} = -b_{ab}, \]  

where the hat in the notation $\hat{J}_{ab}$ denotes the transposition of the indices $a$ and $b$,

\[ \hat{J}_{ab} \equiv J_{ba}. \]  

The corresponding L-operator can be written as a block matrix

\[ L_{(1,2,\ldots,p)}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z \delta_{ab} + \hat{J}_{ab} - \sum_{\dot{c}=p+1}^{n} (\dot{b}_{ac} \cdot \bar{b}_{cb} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}) & \dot{b}_{ab} \\ -\bar{b}_{ab} & \delta_{ab} \end{pmatrix}, \]  

where the rows are labeled by the indices $a$ or $\dot{a}$ and the columns by $b$ or $\dot{b}$, in similarity to (5.2.11). Note that the $p \times p$ matrix of the generators of $\mathfrak{gl}(p)$, which enters the upper left block, is transposed, i.e. the $a$-th row and $b$-th column in this block contains the element $J_{ab} = J_{ba}$.

\[^{17}\text{It appears that for } \text{det } D = 0 \text{ the algebra (5.2.6) does not admit a definition for a suitable trace as needed for the construction of transfer matrices commuting with the Hamiltonian (2.9.10)}. \]
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The matrix (5.2.12) contains the parameter $z$ only in its first $p$ diagonal elements. By simultaneous permutations of rows and columns in (5.2.12) one can move these $z$-containing elements to arbitrary positions on the diagonal, labeled by a set of integers $I = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p\}$. We shall denote the $L$-operator obtained in this way by $L_I(z)$. Within this convention the operator (5.2.12) corresponds to the set $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$, as indicated by the subscript in the left hand side of this equation. Furthermore, employing the notation of Chapter 2 we note that the first space of the operator $L_I(z)$ is in the fundamental representation $L_I(z) = L_0, I(z)$.

The “partonic” $L$-operator $L_a(z) =$

\[
L_a(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -b_{1,a} & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & -b_{a-1,a} & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & -b_{a-1,a} \\
& & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}
\]

(5.2.13)

is a particular case of (5.2.12) with $p = 1$, while the standard Lax operator (2.3.5) corresponds to $p = n$. For $n = 2, p = 1$ these solutions were studied in e.g. \[116–119\]. See also \[120, 121\] for more recent developments. For $n = 3$ these solutions can be obtained in the rational limit of trigonometric solutions obtained in [60].

The $L$-operator in (5.2.12) provides an evaluation homomorphism of the infinite-dimensional Yangian algebra (4.1.6) into the finite-dimensional algebra (5.2.8),

\[
Y(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(p) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes p(n-p)}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq n.
\]

(5.2.15)

This means that for any representation of this finite-dimensional algebra the equation (5.2.12) automatically defines a representation of the Yangian and a matrix solution of the Yang-Baxter equation (5.2.1). Conversely, any first order matrix L-operator with a rank $p$ leading term $M^{[0]}$ and a non-degenerate matrix D in (5.2.4) is, up to a transformation (4.2.3), equivalent to the canonical L-operator (5.2.12) with some particular representation of the algebra (5.2.8). It is worth noting that the transformation (4.2.3)

\[
L_{\{1,2,\ldots,p\}}(z) \mapsto B L_{\{1,2,\ldots,p\}}(z) B^{-1};
\]

(5.2.16)

where $B$ is a block diagonal matrix containing the $p \times p$ matrix $B_p$ and the $(n-p) \times (n-p)$ matrix $B_{n-p}$ on the diagonal, leaves the form of (5.2.12) unchanged.
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The analysis of this section extends the previous results of [116] devoted to the \( n = 2 \) case. The properties of the finite-dimensional representation of the Yangian \( \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(2)) \) associated with the L-operator (2.3.5) can be found in [103, 122, 123] and were also reviewed in Chapter 4.

5.2.2. Fusion and factorization of L-operators

An essential part of our analysis in the following is based on some remarkable decomposition properties of the product of two L-operators of the form (5.2.12). The coproduct of the Yangian \( \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \)

\[
\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(n))
\]

is generated by the matrix product of two L-operators corresponding to two different copies of \( \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \) appearing on the right-hand side of (5.2.17), cf. Section 4.5.

Our main observation is related to the coproduct of two operators \( L_I(z) \) and \( L_J(z) \), defined by (5.2.12) for two non-intersecting sets \( I \cap J = \emptyset \),

\[
L(z) = L_I^1(z + z_1) L_J^2(z + z_2),
\]

where the quantities \( z_{1,2} \) denote arbitrary constants. Let the sets \( I \) and \( J \) contain \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) elements, respectively. The product (5.2.18) is of the first order in the variable \( z \), and that the matrix rank of the term linear in \( z \) in (5.2.18) is equal to \( p_1 + p_2 \). The meaning of the comultiplication is that the matrix product of two L-operators, each of which satisfies by itself the Yang-Baxter equation (5.2.1), solves this equation as well, see (4.5.2). All solutions which possess the above properties were classified in the previous section. Therefore, by using a transformation of type (4.2.6), the right-hand side of (5.2.18) can be brought to a particular case of the canonical form (5.2.12) with \( p = p_1 + p_2 \). It turns out, however, that the expressions for the matrix elements of the resulting L-operator are rather complicated and their explicit connection to those of (5.2.12) is far from obvious, even though these elements satisfy the same commutation relations. In order to make this connection more transparent we apply a suitable operatorial similarity transformation \( S \) to each matrix element such that it rearranges the basis of the oscillator algebras contained in \( \mathcal{A}_{n,p_1} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{n,p_2} \), cf. (5.1.8). Furthermore, the formula (5.2.18) contains two constants \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \). Only their difference is an essential parameter, whereas the sum may be absorbed into the spectral parameter \( z \). Therefore, without loss of generality, one can set

\[
z_1 = \lambda + \frac{p_2}{2}, \quad z_2 = -\frac{p_1}{2},
\]

where \( \lambda \) is arbitrary. This particular parametrization is chosen to simplify the subsequent formulas.

Proceeding as described above, one obtains,

\[
L(z) = L_I^1(z + \lambda + \frac{p_2}{2}) L_J^2(z - \frac{p_1}{2}) = S \left( L_{I \cup J}(z) \right) S^{-1},
\]

where \( S \) is a suitable operatorial similarity transformation.
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where $\mathbb{G}$ is a $z$-independent matrix, whose elements commute among themselves and with all elements of $L_{I,J}(z)$. It should be stressed that the resulting $L$-operator $L_{I,J}(z)$ is only a special case of (5.2.12), since it is connected to some specific realization of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{n,p_1+p_2}$ in terms of the direct product of the two algebras $\mathcal{A}_{n,p_1} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{n,p_2}$ as defined in (5.2.8). Note that the right-hand side of (5.2.20) is a particular case of the transformation (4.2.5) with $B_1 = I$ and $B_2 = \mathbb{G}$. The explicit expressions for the matrices appearing in (5.2.20) are presented below.

By permuting rows and columns any two non-intersecting sets $I$ and $J$ can be reduced to the case when $I = \{1, \ldots, p_1\}$ and $J = \{p_1 + 1, \ldots, p_1 + p_2\}$. So it is sufficient to consider this case only. We introduce three types of indices

$$a, b, \in I, \quad \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \in J, \quad \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \in \{p_1 + p_2 + 1, \ldots, n\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2.21)

It is convenient to rewrite (5.2.12) as a $3 \times 3$ block matrix

$$L_I^1(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
z \delta_{ab} + \hat{J}_{ab}^1 - \sum_{c \in I} (b_{ac}^1 b_{cb}^1 + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}) & \hat{b}_{ab}^1 & \hat{b}_{ab}^1 \\
-\hat{b}_{ab}^1 & \delta_{ab} & 0 \\
-\hat{b}_{ab}^1 & 0 & \delta_{ab}
\end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2.22)

where $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, p_1\}$ and the size of the diagonal blocks is equal to $p_1 \times p_1$, $p_2 \times p_2$ and $(n - p_1 - p_2) \times (n - p_1 - p_2)$, respectively. As before, the superscript “[1]” indicates that the corresponding operators belong to the “first” algebra in the comultiplication (5.2.17), which in the considered case is realized by the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{n,p_1}$ defined in (5.2.8). Similarly, one can write $L_J^2(z)$ as

$$L_J^2(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
\delta_{ab} & -\hat{b}_{ab}^2 & 0 \\
\hat{b}_{ab}^2 & z \delta_{ab} + \hat{J}_{ab}^2 - \sum_{c \in J} (b_{ac}^2 b_{cb}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}) & \hat{b}_{ab}^2 \\
0 & -\hat{b}_{ab}^2 & \delta_{ab}
\end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2.23)

where $J = \{p_1 + 1, \ldots, p_1 + p_2\}$ and the superscript “[2]” labels operators from the “second” algebra, which is the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{n,p_2}$. By construction, all operators labeled by the superscript “[1]” commute with those labeled by the superscript “[2]”. Recall also that the algebra (5.2.8) has a direct product structure, so the generators $J_{ab}^1$ and $J_{ab}^2$ commute with all oscillator operators.

With the notation introduced above the similarity transformation $S$ in (5.2.20) has the form
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\[ S = S_1 S_2, \]  
(5.2.24)

where

\[ S_1 = \exp \left( \sum_{c \in I \cup J} \sum_{\ell \in J} \mathbf{b}^{[1]}_{\ell c} \mathbf{b}^{[2]}_{c \ell} \right), \]  
(5.2.25)

and

\[ S_2 = \exp \left( \sum_{c \in I \cup J} \sum_{\ell \notin I \cup J} \mathbf{b}^{[1]}_{\ell c} \mathbf{b}^{[2]}_{c e} \mathbf{b}^{[4]}_{e \ell} \right). \]  
(5.2.26)

The matrix \( \mathbb{G} \) has the form

\[ \mathbb{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{ab} & -b_{ab}^{[2]} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{ab} & b_{ab}^{[2]} \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{ab} \end{pmatrix}. \]  
(5.2.27)

The similarity transform \( S_1 \) serves to expose the fact that the matrix entries of \( L_{I \cup J}(z) \) commute with the entries of \( \mathbb{G} \). The similarity transform \( S_2 \) brings \( L_{I \cup J}(z) \) to the form \( (5.2.12) \).

Finally, we want to write the operator \( L_{I \cup J}(z) \) in \( (5.2.20) \) in the form \( (5.2.12) \) with \( p = p_1 + p_2 \). To do this we need to make the following identifications for the generators in the upper diagonal block of \( (5.2.12) \):

\[ \hat{J}_{ab} = J_{ab}^{[1]} - \sum_{\ell \in J} \mathbf{b}^{[1]}_{ac} \mathbf{b}^{[1]}_{\ell b} + \lambda \delta_{ab}, \]

\[ \hat{J}_{\bar{a}b} = J_{ab}^{[2]} + \sum_{c \in I} \mathbf{b}^{[1]}_{ca} \mathbf{b}^{[1]}_{b c}, \]  
(5.2.28)

\[ \hat{J}_{\bar{a} \bar{b}} = -b_{ab}^{[1]}, \]

\[ \hat{J}_{\bar{a} \bar{b}} = \left( \sum_{c \in I \cup J} \sum_{\ell \notin I \cup J} \mathbf{b}^{[1]}_{ac} \mathbf{b}^{[1]}_{\ell b} \right) - \lambda b_{ab}^{[1]} + \sum_{\ell \in J} \mathbf{b}^{[1]}_{ac} J_{\ell a}^{[2]} - \sum_{c \in I} b_{ac}^{[1]} J_{\ell a}^{[1]} b_{\ell b}^{[1]}, \]

where we have used the conventions \( (5.2.21) \) for numerating the indices.

Furthermore, let the indices \( A, B \) run over the values \( 1, 2, \ldots, p_1 + p_2 \) and \( \bar{A}, \bar{B} \) over the values \( p_1 + p_2 + 1, \ldots, n \). Introduce operators

\[ a_{\bar{A}B} = \begin{cases} b_{\bar{A}B}^{[1]}, & B \in I, \\ b_{\bar{A}B}^{[2]}, & B \in J, \end{cases} \]

\[ \bar{a}_{A\bar{B}} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{b}_{A\bar{B}}^{[1]}, & A \in I, \\ \mathbf{b}_{A\bar{B}}^{[2]}, & A \in J. \end{cases} \]  
(5.2.29)

Then the L-operator \( L_{I \cup J}(z) \) from \( (5.2.20) \) can be written as

\[ L_{\{1,2,\ldots,p_1+p_2\}}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z \delta_{\bar{A}B} + \hat{J}_{\bar{A}B} - \sum_{\ell \notin I \cup J} (\bar{a}_{\bar{A}C} a_{\bar{C}B} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\bar{A}B}), & \bar{a}_{\bar{A}B} \\ -a_{\bar{A}B} & \delta_{\bar{A}B} \end{pmatrix}, \]  
(5.2.30)
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which has the required form as in (5.2.12).

The formulas in (5.2.28) give a homomorphism of the algebra \( \mathfrak{gl}(p_1 + p_2) \) into the direct product

\[
\mathfrak{gl}(p_1 + p_2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(p_1) \otimes \mathfrak{gl}(p_2) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes p_1 p_2} = \mathcal{B}_{p_1 p_2},
\]

which for \( p_1, 2 \neq 0 \) has only infinite-dimensional representations (similar representations appeared in [124]). An important feature of this map is that if one choses highest weight representations for both algebras \( \mathfrak{gl}(p_1) \) and \( \mathfrak{gl}(p_2) \) then (5.2.28) defines a highest weight representation of \( \mathfrak{gl}(p_1 + p_2) \). The highest weight conditions (A.0.3) are satisfied on the product of the corresponding highest weight vectors and the standard Fock vacuum for all oscillator algebras appearing in (5.2.28). The \( \mathfrak{gl}(p_1 + p_2) \)-weight of the resulting representation is obtained from (5.2.28)

\[
\Lambda^{p_1 + p_2} = \left( \lambda_1^p + \lambda, \lambda_2^p + \lambda, \ldots, \lambda_{p_1}^p + \lambda, \lambda, \lambda_1^2, \lambda_2^2, \ldots, \lambda_2^p \right),
\]

where \( \lambda \) is an arbitrary parameter, cf. (5.2.20).

The L-operators in the first product in (5.2.20) have the superscripts \([1]\) and \([2]\), which indicate that they belong to different algebras (5.2.8) with \( p_1 = p \) and \( p_2 = p \), respectively. By the same reason it is useful to rewrite the RHS of (5.2.20) supplying similar superscripts

\[
\Lambda \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_1^1 \\ \lambda_2^2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{p_1}^{p_1} \\ \lambda \\ \lambda_1^2 \\ \lambda_2^2 \\ \cdots \\ \lambda_2^{p_2} \end{array} \right) = \mathcal{S} \left( \begin{array}{c} L_1[1](z) \\ \mathcal{G}_{[2]} \end{array} \right) \mathcal{S}^{-1},
\]

where the superscript \([1]\) indicates the algebra (5.2.31) and the superscript \([2]\) indicates the product of oscillator algebras \( \mathcal{H}^{\otimes p_1 p_2} \). Note that the matrix \( \mathcal{G} \) could be considered as a \( z \)-independent L-operator, also satisfying the Yang-Baxter equation (5.2.1). In this sense (5.2.33) also describes the comultiplication of two representations of the Yangian.

Consider now some particular consequences of formula (5.2.20). Using it iteratively with \( p_1 = 1 \) and taking into account (5.2.32) one can obtain an arbitrary product of the elementary L-operators (5.2.13). Let \( I = (a_1, \ldots, a_p) \) be an ordered integer set, \( 1 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_p \leq n \), and \( L_I^+(z | \Lambda^p) \) a specialization of the L-operator (5.2.12) to the infinite-dimensional highest weight representation \( \pi^+_\Lambda^p \) of the algebra \( \mathfrak{gl}(p) \)

\[
L_I^+(z | \Lambda^p) = \pi^+_\Lambda^p \left[ L_I(z) \right], \quad \Lambda^p = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \ldots, \lambda^p).
\]

Define also the shifted weights, cf. (5.2.57),

\[
\Lambda^p = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \ldots, \lambda^p), \quad \lambda^j = \lambda^1 + \frac{p - 2j + 1}{2}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, p.
\]

Then it follows from (5.2.20) that

\[
L_{a_1}(z + \lambda^1) L_{a_2}(z + \lambda^2) \cdots L_{a_p}(z + \lambda^p) = \mathcal{S}_I L_I^+(z | \Lambda^p) \mathcal{G}_I \mathcal{S}_I^{-1}
\]

where the matrices \( \mathcal{S}_I \) and \( \mathcal{G}_I \) are products of the expressions of the type (5.2.24) and (5.2.27) arising from the repeated use of the formula (5.2.20). In the particular case \( p = n \) the last formula provides the factorization for the Lax operator (2.3.5),

\[
\mathcal{L}^+(z | \Lambda^n) = \pi^+_\Lambda^n \left[ \mathcal{L}(z) \right],
\]
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Evaluated for the infinite-dimensional highest weight representation $\pi^+_n$ in the auxiliary space,

$$L_1(z + \lambda^1)L_2(z + \lambda^2) \cdots L_n(z + \lambda^n) = S_L L^+_L(z | \Lambda^n) G L S^{-1}_L,$$

(5.2.38)

cf. (5.2.28).

5.2.3. Construction of the Q-operators

The purpose of this section is to define the operators $\bar{T}$ and $\bar{Q}$. They have to commute with the Hamiltonian (2.9.10) of the twisted compact $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-spin chain of length $L$. These operators act on the quantum space which is an $L$-fold tensor product of the fundamental representations of the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$,

$$\bigotimes_{\Lambda}^L \mathbb{C}^n,$$

(5.2.39)

cf. Chapter 2. As before, solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation (5.2.1) are considered to be $n \times n$ matrices, acting in the quantum space of a single spin. Their matrix elements are operators in some representation space $V$ of the Yangian algebra (4.1.6). This representation space will be called here the auxiliary space. For each solution of (5.2.1) one can define a transfer matrix,

$$\bar{T}_V(z) = \text{tr}_V \left\{ \mathbb{D} M(z) \otimes M(z) \otimes \cdots \otimes M(z) \right\},$$

(5.2.40)

where the tensor product is taken with respect to the quantum spaces $\mathbb{C}^n$, while the operator product and the trace is taken with respect to the auxiliary space $V$. The quantity $\mathbb{D}$ is a “boundary twist” operator acting only in auxiliary space, i.e. it acts trivially in the quantum space. This boundary operator is completely determined by the requirement of commutativity of the transfer matrix (5.2.40) with the Hamiltonian (2.9.10) and its generating transfer matrix, cf. (2.7.10). Following the same logic as in Section 2.9 we obtain the condition

$$[M(z), \mathbb{D} \otimes \mathbb{D}] = 0.$$

(5.2.41)

It is convenient to parametrize the diagonal twist $\mathbb{D}$ as

$$\mathbb{D} = \text{diag}(e^{i \Phi_1}, e^{i \Phi_2}, \ldots, e^{i \Phi_n}),$$

(5.2.42)

using the fields $\Phi_a$ which enter in (5.2.41) only through their differences with

$$\sum_{a=1}^n \Phi_a = 0.$$

(5.2.43)

Solving (5.2.41) for the general $L$-operator (5.2.12) with an arbitrary set $I = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p\}$ one obtains

$$\mathbb{D}_I = \exp \left\{ i \sum_{a \in I} \Phi_a J_{aa} - i \sum_{a \in I} \sum_{b \not\in I} (\Phi_a - \Phi_b) \bar{b}_{ab} b_{ba} \right\},$$

(5.2.44)
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which reduces for the fundamental representation and $|I| = n$ to (5.2.12).

In the following we will use some important properties of the trace over the Fock representations of the oscillator algebra

$$[b, b] = 1, \quad [h, b] = -b, \quad [h, \bar{b}] = \bar{b}, \quad h = \bar{b} b + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5.2.45)$$

This algebra has two Fock representations,

$$\mathcal{F}_+ : \quad b |0\rangle = 0, \quad |k + 1\rangle = \bar{b} |k\rangle, \quad (5.2.46)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_- : \quad \bar{b} |0\rangle = 0, \quad |k + 1\rangle = b |k\rangle, \quad (5.2.47)$$

spanned on the vectors $|k\rangle$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \infty$. These representations can be obtained from each other via a simple automorphism of (5.2.45) also referred to as particle-hole transformation

$$b \rightarrow -\bar{b}, \quad \bar{b} \rightarrow b, \quad h \rightarrow -h. \quad (5.2.48)$$

Let $P(b, \bar{b})$ be an arbitrary polynomial of the operators $b$ and $\bar{b}$. Below it will be convenient to use a normalized trace over the representations $\mathcal{F}_\pm$,

$$\hat{\text{tr}}_{\mathcal{F}} \left\{ e^{i\Phi h} P(b, \bar{b}) \right\} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} \left\{ e^{i\Phi h} P(b, \bar{b}) \right\}}{\text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} \left\{ e^{i\Phi h} \right\}}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\pm, \quad (5.2.49)$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is either $\mathcal{F}_+$ or $\mathcal{F}_-$, and $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}$ denotes the standard trace, cf. (5.1.12). An important feature of the normalized trace (5.2.49) is that it is completely determined by the commutation relations (5.2.45) and the cyclic property of the trace. It is therefore independent of a particular choice of representation as long as the traces in the RHS of (5.2.49) converge. Alternatively, one can reproduce the same result by using explicit expressions for the matrix elements of the oscillator operators in (5.2.49). Then the trace over $\mathcal{F}_+$ converges when $\text{Im} \Phi > 0$ and the trace over $\mathcal{F}_-$ when $\text{Im} \Phi < 0$. Both ways of calculation lead to the same analytic expression for the normalized trace. Thus it is not necessary to specify which of the two representations $\mathcal{F}_\pm$ is used.

We are now in the position to define various transfer matrices all commuting with the Hamiltonian (2.9.10). Consider the most general L-operator (5.2.12) with an arbitrary set $I = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p\}$, where $p = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Recall that the matrix elements of (5.2.12) belong to the direct product (5.2.8) of the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(p)$ and of $p(n-p)$ oscillator algebras. Choose a finite-dimensional representation $\pi_{\Lambda^p}$ with the highest weight $\Lambda^p$ for the $\mathfrak{gl}(p)$-factor of this product. Then substituting (5.2.12) and (5.2.44) into (5.2.40) one can define rather general transfer matrices

$$\tilde{X}_I(z, \Lambda^p) = e^{iz \left( \sum_{a \in I} \phi_a \right)} \text{tr}_{\pi_{\Lambda^p}} \hat{\text{tr}}_{\mathcal{F}(n-p)} \left\{ \mathcal{M}_I(z) \right\}, \quad (5.2.50)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_I(z)$ is the corresponding monodromy matrix,

$$\mathcal{M}_I(z) = D_I \, L_I(z) \otimes L_I(z) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_I(z). \quad (5.2.51)$$
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Here $\hat{\operatorname{tr}}_{\mathcal{F}^{p(n-p)}}$ denotes the normalized trace (5.2.49) for all involved oscillator algebras, while $\operatorname{tr}_{\pi_{\Lambda^p}}$ denotes the standard trace over the representation $\pi_{\Lambda^p}$ of $\mathfrak{gl}(p)$. The exponential scalar factor in front of the trace is introduced for later convenience.

Similarly, one can define a related quantity where the $\mathfrak{gl}(p)$-trace is taken over an infinite-dimensional highest weight representation $\pi_{\Lambda^\pm}$,

$$X^+(z, \Lambda^p) = e^{iz \left( \sum_{a \in I} \Phi_a \right)} \operatorname{tr}_{\pi_{\Lambda^\pm}} \hat{\operatorname{tr}}_{\mathcal{F}^{p(n-p)}} \left\{ M_I(z) \right\},$$

(5.2.52)

while the rest of the expression remains the same as in (5.2.50). Note that in the case of (5.2.50) the weights $\Lambda^p = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \ldots, \lambda^p)$ satisfy the conditions (A.0.5). In contradistinction, in (5.2.52) these weights are arbitrary.

In the limiting case $p = n$, the general L-operator (5.2.12) simplifies to (2.3.5), while, as discussed above, the twist (5.2.44) simplifies to

$$D_{\Lambda^p} = D_{\{1,2,\ldots,n\}} = \exp \left\{ i \sum_{a=1}^{n} \Phi_a J_{aa} \right\},$$

(5.2.53)

In this case the definition (5.2.50) reduces to that for the standard T-operator

$$\bar{T}_{\Lambda^n}(z) \equiv X_{\{1,2,\ldots,n\}}(z, \Lambda^n) = \operatorname{tr}_{\pi_{\Lambda^n}} \left\{ D_{\Lambda^n} L_{\Lambda^n}(z) \otimes L_{\Lambda^n}(z) \cdots \otimes L_{\Lambda^n}(z) \right\},$$

(5.2.54)

associated with the finite-dimensional representation $\pi_{\Lambda^n}$ of the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ in the auxiliary space. Here $L_{\Lambda^n}(z)$ denotes the Lax operator (2.3.5). However, we like to stress again that opposite to the definitions in Chapter 2, the spaces in the fundamental representation yield the quantum space and the auxiliary space is labeled by the representation label $\Lambda^n$, cf. (5.0.1). Likewise, the formula (5.2.52) reduces to the T-operator

$$\bar{T}^+_{\Lambda^n}(z) = X^+_{\{1,2,\ldots,n\}}(z, \Lambda^n)$$

(5.2.55)

associated with the infinite-dimensional representation $\pi_{\Lambda^n}$. The above two T-operators are connected due the Bernstein Gel’fand Gel’fand (BGG) resolution of the finite dimensional modules [125]. The BGG result allows one to express finite-dimensional highest weight modules in terms of an alternating sum of infinite-dimensional highest weight modules. This implies that the T-operator (5.2.54) for a finite-dimensional module can be written in terms of (5.2.55) as

$$\bar{T}_{\Lambda^n}(z) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \bar{T}^+_{\pi_{\Lambda^n+\rho^n}-\rho^n}(z),$$

(5.2.56)

where $\rho_n$ is a constant $n$-component vector

$$\rho^n = \left( \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-3}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1-n}{2} \right).$$

(5.2.57)

Note that all possible expressions under the trace in (5.2.50) for each oscillator algebra are exactly as in the LHS of (5.2.49) for some polynomial $P$ and some value of $\Phi$. Thus the definition (5.2.49) is sufficient to calculate all oscillator traces in (5.2.50).
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The summation in (5.2.56) is taken over all permutations of $n$ elements, $\sigma \in S_n$, and $l(\sigma)$ is the parity of the permutation $\sigma$. The relation (5.2.56) and its connection to the BGG resolution were first obtained in [126] in the context of $U_q(\hat{sl}(3))$, while the $n = 2$ case was previously considered in [31, 32], see also Section 5.1.

Similarly, for (5.2.50) one has

$$\bar{X}_I(z, \Lambda^p) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \bar{X}^I(z, \sigma(\Lambda^p + \rho^p) - \rho^p),$$

(5.2.58)

where $\rho^p$ is a $p$-component vector defined as in (5.2.57) with $n$ replaced by $p$.

Another limiting case of (5.2.50) corresponds to the representation $\pi_{\Lambda^p}$ turning into the trivial one-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(p)$ with weight $\Lambda = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$. As we shall see below the resulting operators

$$\bar{Q}_I(z) = \bar{X}_I(z, (0)),$$

(5.2.59)

are actually the Q-operators, whose eigenvalues appear in the nested Bethe ansatz equations. Let us enumerate these Q-operators. It is convenient to start formally from the exceptional case $p = 0$, corresponding to an empty set $I = \emptyset$. By definition we set

$$\bar{Q}_\emptyset(z) \equiv 1.$$

(5.2.60)

For the next level $p = 1$ there are obviously $n$ sets $I$ consisting of just one element $I = \{a\}$, $a = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. The general L-operator (5.2.12) in this case takes the simple form (5.2.13) and the twist operator (5.2.44) simplifies to

$$D_a \equiv D_{\{a\}} = \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{\sigma \in I} (\Phi_a - \Phi_\sigma) \hat{b}_{a\sigma} b_\sigma \right\}, \quad a = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$  

(5.2.61)

In this way one obtains from (5.2.50)

$$\bar{Q}_a(z) = e^{iz \Phi_a} \hat{\tau} \mathcal{F}(n-1) \left\{ D_a L_a(z) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_a(z) \right\},$$

(5.2.62)

where $a = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $L_a(z)$ given by (5.2.13) and

$$\bar{Q}_a(z) = \bar{Q}_{\{a\}}(z) = \bar{X}_{\{a\}}(z, (0)).$$

(5.2.63)

More generally, for the level $p$ there are $\binom{n}{p}$ increasing integer sets $I = \{a_1, \ldots, a_p\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, which numerate the Q-operators (5.2.59). For the highest level $p = n$ the definitions (5.2.59) and (5.2.50) immediately lead to the result

$$\bar{Q}_{\{1,2,\ldots,n\}}(z) = z^L,$$

(5.2.64)

where $L$ is the length of the chain. Altogether there are $2^n$ different Q-operators including (5.2.60) and (5.2.64). As discussed in the next section, they can conveniently be associated with nodes of a hypercubical Hasse diagram.
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The Q-operators form in conjunction with all T- and X-operators a commuting family and therefore can be simultaneously diagonalized. One sees that their eigenvalues have to be of the form

\[ \bar{Q}_I(z) = e^{iz} (\sum_{a \in I} \phi_a) \prod_{k=1}^{m_I} (z - z_k^I), \quad m_I = \sum_{a \in I} m_a, \]  

(5.2.65)

where, for each eigenstate, the numbers \( m_a \) are the conserved occupation numbers,

\[ m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_n = L, \]  

(5.2.66)

cf. Section 2.8.2.

We would like to stress, that in general the operators \( \bar{X}_I(z, \Lambda^p) \), defined in (5.2.50), involve the trace over a representation of the Lie algebra \( gl(p) \) and the trace over a number of Fock representations of the oscillator algebra, whereas the T-operators involve only the Lie algebra trace and the Q-operator only the oscillator traces. This is why we denoted the hybrid operators (5.2.50) by a distinct symbol \( \bar{X} \).

5.2.4. Functional relations

The results of Section 5.2.2 imply various functional relations for the Q-operators. To derive them we need to use some additional properties of the twist operators (5.2.44) which are not immediately obvious from their definition (5.2.41). Let \( D_I \) and \( D_J \) be the operators (5.2.44), corresponding to \( L^{[1]}_I(z) \) and \( L^{[2]}_J(z) \) from the LHS of (5.2.20). By explicit calculation one can check that the product of these operators commutes with the similarity transformation \( S \) defined in (5.2.24),

\[ [D_I D_J, S] = 0. \]  

(5.2.67)

Moreover, this product can be rewritten in the form

\[ D_I D_J = D_{I \cup J} D_G, \]  

(5.2.68)

where \( D_{I \cup J} \) and \( D_G \) are the twist operators obtained from (5.2.41) for the operator \( L_{I \cup J}(z) \) and the \( z \)-independent L-operator \( G \) from the RHS of (5.2.20). Again the relation (5.2.68) is verified by direct calculation, where one needs to take into account the explicit form of (5.2.27), (5.2.28), (5.2.29) and (5.2.30).

Next, define a scalar factor, cf. (5.1.19),

\[ \Delta_I(\Phi) = \Delta_{\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p\}}(\Phi) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} 2i \sin \left( \frac{\Phi_{a_i} - \Phi_{a_j}}{2} \right), \]  

(5.2.69)

which depends on the set \( I \) and the fields \( \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \ldots, \Phi_n \). Combining (5.2.20) with the definition (5.2.52) and taking into account (5.2.67) and (5.2.68) one obtains

\[ \Delta_I \bar{X}_I^+(z + \frac{p_1}{2}, \Lambda^{p_1}) \Delta_J \bar{X}_J^+(z + \lambda - \frac{p_2}{2}, \Lambda^{p_2}) = \Delta_{I \cup J} \bar{X}_{I \cup J}^+(z, \Lambda^{p_1 + p_2}). \]  

(5.2.70)
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There are two non-trivial steps in the derivation of the last formula which are explained in the following. First, the simple transfer matrix
\[
\mathbf{T}_G = \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}_p} \{ \mathcal{D}_G G \otimes G \otimes \cdots \otimes G \} = 1
\] (5.2.71)
that arises in the calculations is equal to the identity operator. Second, the scalar factors in (5.2.70) arise due to the difference in the definition of the trace over the oscillator algebras (normalized trace (5.2.49)) and over the representation of \( \mathfrak{gl}(p) \) (standard trace).

From (5.2.31) it is clear that oscillator pairs have to be “redistributed” to support the Holstein-Primakoff realization of the infinite-dimensional representation \( \pi^{+}_{\Lambda p_{1} + p_{2}} \) of the algebra \( \mathfrak{gl}(p_{1} + p_{2}) \).

A particular simple case of (5.2.70) arises when \( p_{2} = 1 \) and \( J = \{a_{p+1}\} \).\footnote{As previously mentioned the 2\(^{n}\) different operators \( \mathcal{Q}_{I} \) can be assigned to the nodes of a hypercubic Hasse diagram. We will now show that four Q-operators belonging to the same quadrilateral as shown in Figure 5.2.2 satisfy a remarkably simple functional equation, which can be identified with the famous Hirota equation from the theory of classical discrete evolution equation, cf. (2.6.4). Define a matrix
\[
M_{ij} \equiv \mathcal{Q}_{a_i}(z - j + p + 1), \quad i, j \in \{0, \ldots, p + 1\}.
\] (5.2.77)
where \( \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_p, a_{p+1}\} \) is an increasing sequence of \( p + 2 \) integers which contains the subsequence \( I = \{a_1, \ldots, a_p\} \) with \( a \equiv a_0 \) and \( b \equiv a_{p+1} \). Let us now use the Desnanot-
5.2. More on Q-operators

Figure 5.2.1.: Schematic representation of the Desnanot-Jacobi determinant formula for a $4 \times 4$ matrix. The shaded area indicates the matrix of which the determinant is taken.

Jacobi determinant identity, see Figure 5.2.1, for the matrix (5.2.77). Applying (5.2.75) for the subdeterminants one obtains the following operatorial functional relation

$$
\Delta_{\{a,b\}} \bar{Q}_{I\cup a \cup b}(z) \bar{Q}_I(z) = \bar{Q}_{I\cup a}(z - \frac{1}{2}) \bar{Q}_{I\cup b}(z + \frac{1}{2}) - \bar{Q}_{I\cup b}(z - \frac{1}{2}) \bar{Q}_{I\cup a}(z + \frac{1}{2}),
$$

where $\Delta_{\{a,b\}}$ is given by (5.2.69). Since all Q-operators commute with each other the same relation (5.2.78) holds also for the corresponding eigenvalues. In the next section, we use this to derive the Bethe equations and the energy formula. Furthermore, the quadratic functional relations (5.2.78) possess an interesting graphical interpretation, cf. Figure 5.2.2. The full set of functional equations is nicely depicted in so-called Hasse diagrams, cf. [33] and references therein. The Hasse diagram corresponding to the $\mathfrak{g}l(n)$ algebra forms an $n$-dimensional ordered hypercube. Hasse diagrams for $n = 2, 3, 4$ are presented in the Figure 5.2.3a and Figure 5.2.3b, respectively. To read off the functional relations it is enough to take any 4-cycle in these diagrams, using the equivalence depicted in Figure 5.2.2.

Every path in the Hasse diagram which leads from $\bar{Q}_\emptyset$ to $\bar{Q}_{\{1, \ldots, n\}}$ defines a system of equivalent but distinct nested Bethe equations. To find each such system, it is enough to take all Q-operators on a given path and write one relation for any three subsequent functions on the path. Such relation can be written for every three subsequent Q-operators because there always exists a unique 4-cycle containing them.

Figure 5.2.2.: Graphical depiction of the functional relations (5.2.78)

More general relations involving operators (5.2.74) can be obtained in the same way by replacing the arguments of the Q-operators in (5.2.77) with arbitrary constants $z_j, j = 0, \ldots, p + 1$. 
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Figure 5.2.3.: (a) Hasse diagram for $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$. Compare also to the discussion in Section 5.4 where the notation $\bar{Q}_{\{1\},\{2\}} = \bar{Q}_\pm$ has been employed. (b) Cubic Hasse diagram for $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$.

5.2.5. Bethe equations and energy formula

The connection between the Hirota equations (5.2.78) and the Bethe ansatz equations is well understood [31, 33, 126, 129–131]. Let us consider the $\bar{Q}Q$-relations (5.2.78) at the eigenvalue level. The reader might find it useful to look at the examples of Hasse diagrams in Figure 5.2.3 when following the upcoming derivation. Let us denote the zeros, i.e. the Bethe roots, of $\bar{Q}_{I \cup a}(z)$ by $z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a}$. Taking $z + \frac{1}{2} = z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a}$ and $z - \frac{1}{2} = z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a}$, equation (5.2.78) yields

$$\bar{Q}_{I \cup a \cup b}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a} - \frac{1}{2}) \bar{Q}_{I}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a} - \frac{1}{2}) \sim \bar{Q}_{I \cup a}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a} - 1) \bar{Q}_{I \cup b}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup b}),$$

$$(5.2.79)$$

$$\bar{Q}_{I \cup a \cup b}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a} + \frac{1}{2}) \bar{Q}_{I}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a} + \frac{1}{2}) \sim -\bar{Q}_{I \cup a}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a} + 1) \bar{Q}_{I \cup b}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup b}),$$

$$(5.2.80)$$

respectively, where $a, b \not\in I$. Taking the ratio of these two equations above one obtains

$$-1 = \frac{\bar{Q}_{I}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a} - \frac{1}{2}) \bar{Q}_{I \cup a}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a} + 1)}{\bar{Q}_{I}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a} + \frac{1}{2}) \bar{Q}_{I \cup a}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a} - 1)} \frac{\bar{Q}_{I \cup a \cup b}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a} - \frac{1}{2})}{\bar{Q}_{I \cup a \cup b}(z_{I \cup a}^{I \cup a} + \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (5.2.81)$$

Here $I$ can also be the empty set. In this case we can remove $\bar{Q}_\emptyset(z)$ from the equation using (5.2.60). The number of elements in $I \cup a \cup b$ cannot exceed $n$, therefore $I$ contains at most $n - 2$ elements. Thus one obtains $n - 1$ different relations of the type (5.2.81), with various cardinalities of the set $I$. This exactly matches the number of levels of nested Bethe equations for the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ spin chain, cf. Section 2.8.2.

Let us consider a sequence $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ of elements of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, i.e. a path in the Hasse diagram. We construct a sequence of ascending sets $\emptyset = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \ldots \subset I_n = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
\{1, \ldots, n\} such that \(I_i = I_{i-1} \cup a_i\). Then for each \(I_i, i = 1, \ldots, n-1\), we can rewrite (5.2.81) as

\[-1 = \frac{\bar{Q}_{I_{n-1}}(z_{k}^{I_i} - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot \bar{Q}_{I_{i}}(z_{k}^{I_i} + 1) \cdot \bar{Q}_{I_{i+1}}(z_{k}^{I_i} - \frac{1}{2})}{\bar{Q}_{I_{n-1}}(z_{k}^{I_i} + \frac{1}{2}) \cdot \bar{Q}_{I_{i}}(z_{k}^{I_i} - 1) \cdot \bar{Q}_{I_{i+1}}(z_{k}^{I_i} + \frac{1}{2})}.
\]  

(5.2.82)

Substituting the explicit form of the Q-functions as given in (5.2.60), (5.2.64) and (5.2.65) yields the Bethe equations

\[\left(\frac{z_{l}^{I_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2}}{z_{l}^{I_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{2}}\right)^{L} = e^{i(\Phi_{a_{n-1}} - \Phi_{a_{n}})} \prod_{k} \frac{z_{l}^{I_{n-1}} - z_{k}^{I_{n-2}} - \frac{1}{2}}{z_{l}^{I_{n-1}} - z_{k}^{I_{n-2}} + \frac{1}{2}} \prod_{k \neq l} \frac{z_{l}^{I_{n-1}} - z_{k}^{I_{n-1}} + 1}{z_{l}^{I_{n-1}} - z_{k}^{I_{n-1}} - 1},\]

(5.2.83)

Here, as expected for the representation \(\Lambda = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)\) at each site of the spin chain, only one driving term appears on the LHS of the equations, cf. (2.8.23). There are \(n\) alternative forms of the above Bethe ansatz equations, corresponding to \(n\) permutations of the elements of the set \(I\), which in turn are associated with the \(n\) different bottom-to-top paths on the Hasse diagram. We like to stress that in contrast to the analytic Bethe ansatz the analytic structure of (5.2.65) is rigorously derived without any assumptions. It follows from the explicit construction of the Q-operators in Section 5.2.3.

To conclude we give the expression for the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (2.9.10), cf. (2.8.26). It only involves the roots \(z_{l}^{I_{n-1}}\) on the last-level

\[E = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{m_{I_{n-1}}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} - \left(z_{k}^{I_{n-1}}\right)^{2}},\]

(5.2.84)

where \(x_{I_{n-1}}\) is the number of roots of the eigenvalue \(\bar{Q}_{I_{n-1}}(z)\), which according to (5.2.65) is equal to

\[m_{I_{n-1}} = m_{a_1} + m_{a_2} + \cdots + m_{a_{n-1}} = L - m_{a_n}.
\]

(5.2.85)

It can be obtained using the Baxter equation following the logic in Section 2.8.3 see [27] as well as [132]. Note however, that here the numeration of the levels has been reversed and the Bethe roots are shifted as already pointed out in Section 5.1. We present an independent derivation that does not rely on the knowledge of the functional form of the transfer matrix with fundamental representation in the auxiliary space at the end of this chapter.
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5.2.6. Further solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation

In the previous sections we constructed Q-operators for integrable spin chains with fundamental representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ at each site of the quantum space. In the following we extend our analysis to the general case where the representation at each site of the quantum space is given by any $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ irreducible representation. According to the idea presented above we construct Q-operators as special transfer matrices, where the auxiliary space is taken to be an appropriately chosen representation of the Yangian algebra. The functional relations do not differ significantly from the case discussed previously. In particular as we only change the representation in the quantum space, the construction of the finite-dimensional module following the BGG resolution (5.2.56) remains unchanged.

While the L-operators $L_I$ are sufficient to construct Q-operators for the fundamental representation, the first step in the generalization of [27] is to find “R-operators for Q-operators”. In a series of papers [26, 27, 29] new solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation were derived. They allow to construct Baxter Q-operators for $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ invariant spin-chains. These R-operators are of remarkably compact form and can be written as

$$R_I(z) = e^{\bar{a}_c J^c_c} \cdot R_{0,I}(z) \cdot e^{-a^c_c J^c_c}, \quad (5.2.86)$$

with

$$R_{0,I}(z) = \rho_I(z) \prod_{k=1}^{\vert I \vert} \Gamma(z - \frac{|I|}{2} - \ell^I_k + 1). \quad (5.2.87)$$

These equations require some explanations. As before, the letter $I$ denotes a subset of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of cardinality $|I|$. The undotted indices take values from the set $I$ and the dotted ones from its complement $\bar{I}$

$$a, b, c \in I, \quad \dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{c} \in \bar{I}, \quad A, B, C \in I \cup \bar{I}. \quad (5.2.88)$$

The R-operators are composed out of $|I| \cdot |\bar{I}|$ families of oscillators

$$[a^a_b, \bar{a}^\dot{a}_\dot{b}] = \delta^a_d \delta^\dot{b}^\dot{c} \quad (5.2.89)$$

and $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$generators $J^A_B$ with

$$[J^A_B, J^C_D] = \delta^D_C J^A_B - \delta^A_D J^C_B. \quad (5.2.90)$$

For convenience we raised the first index of the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-generators and the second index of the oscillators

$$J_{AB} \rightarrow J^A_B, \quad (b_{AB}, \bar{b}_{AB}) \rightarrow (a^B_A, \bar{a}^\dot{B}_{\dot{A}}). \quad (5.2.91)$$

Furthermore, we renamed the oscillators and introduced the convention that repeated indices imply the corresponding index summation. The choice of the set $I$ naturally identifies a subalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(I)$ of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, i.e. the subalgebra spanned by $J^a_b$, see (5.2.88).

\[\text{For reasons that will become clear in the next section we explicitly denoted the product by “·”}\]
5.2. More on Q-operators

The quantities \( \hat{\ell}_k^K \) are important building blocks for the R-operators above. They are operatorial shifted weights of the subalgebra \( \mathfrak{gl}(I) \). Spelling out their characteristics is an essential step in the study of the properties of Q-operators. The labels of \( \hat{\ell}_k^K \) correspond to a subset \( K \) of \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) and an index \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, |K| \). For \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) there are \( n \cdot 2^{n-1} \) such \( \hat{\ell}_k^K \). The set \( K \) identifies a natural embedding of \( \mathfrak{gl}(I) \) in \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \). The Casimirs of \( \mathfrak{gl}(K) \) defined as

\[
C_{i}^{(K)} = J_{a_{1}}^{a_{1}} \cdot \cdots \cdot J_{a_{n-1}}^{a_{n-1}} \quad \text{with} \quad a_{j} \in K, \tag{5.2.92}
\]

are symmetric polynomials of \( \hat{\ell}_k^K \) via the following formula\(^{21}\)

\[
C_{i}^{(K)} = \sum_{k \in K} \prod_{j \neq k} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\hat{\ell}_k^K - \hat{\ell}_j^K} \right) (\hat{\ell}_j^K)^i. \tag{5.2.93}
\]

In general not all \( \hat{\ell}_k^K \) do commute among themselves. For a chosen path in the Hasse diagram, cf. Figure 5.2.3 i.e. a sequence of sets \( \mathcal{P} = \emptyset \subset \{a\} \subset \{a, b\} \subset \cdots \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) ordered by inclusion, all the \( n(n+1)/2 \) corresponding \( \hat{\ell}_k^K \) commute among themselves. In particular, for a given irreducible representation of \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) there exists a basis such that all \( \hat{\ell}_k^K \) corresponding to the chosen path \( \mathcal{P} \) act diagonally. This basis coincides with the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis, see e.g. \[136\] for a nice review and collection of references.

The operators \( \mathcal{R}_I \) are elements of a suitable extension of the product space of the universal enveloping algebra \( U(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \) and the oscillator algebra denoted by \( \mathcal{H}^{(I, I)} \) which we denote in the following by \( \mathfrak{A}_I \). The normalization \( \rho_I \) in (5.2.87) is not determined by the Yang-Baxter relation and is discussed in the next sections.

As shown in \[29, 111\], the R-operators above satisfy the Yang Baxter equation\(^{22}\)

\[
\mathbf{L}_\Lambda(z_1 - z_2) \cdot \mathcal{R}_I(z_2 - z_3) = \mathcal{R}_I(z_2 - z_3) \cdot \mathbf{L}_I(z_1 - z_3) \mathbf{L}_\Lambda(z_1 - z_2). \tag{5.2.94}
\]

Here \( \mathbf{L}_I \) denotes the operator \( \mathcal{R}_I \) with fundamental representation of \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) as introduced in (5.2.12)

\[
\mathbf{L}_I(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
    z\delta_b^a + H^a_v & \bar{\alpha}_b^a \\
    -\alpha_b^a & \delta_b^a
  \end{pmatrix}
\quad \text{for} \quad I = \{1, \ldots, |I|\}.
\tag{5.2.95}
\]

with \( H^a_v = -\bar{\alpha}_b^a \alpha_b^a - \frac{1}{2} \delta_b^a \delta_b^a \) where the summation over the dotted indices is understood. The operator \( \mathbf{L}_\Lambda \) denotes the well-known Lax matrix as introduced in (2.3.5)

\[
\mathbf{L}_\Lambda(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
    z\delta_b^a + J^a_v & J^a_b \\
    J^a_b & z\delta_b^a + J^a_b
  \end{pmatrix}.
\tag{5.2.96}
\]

As before, Baxter Q-operators are constructed as regularized traces over the oscillator space of monodromies built from the operators \( \mathcal{R}_I \) with representation \( \Lambda \) at each site of the quantum space. Following \[29\], they are given by

\(^{21}\)We refer the reader to \[29, 103, 133, 135\] for further details.

\(^{22}\)Here we use the notation \( \mathbf{L}_I(z) = R_{\Lambda,I}(z) \), \( \mathbf{L}_I(z) = R_{\Omega,I}(z) \) and \( \mathcal{R}_I(z) = R_{\Lambda,I}(z) \), where \( I \) denotes the oscillator space and we defined \( x = z_1 - z_2 \) and \( y = z_1 - z_3 \), cf. \[2.13\].
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\[ Q_I(z) = e^{iz} \sum_{a \in I} \phi_a \left( D_I R_I(z) \otimes \ldots \otimes R_I(z) \right) \]. \hfill (5.2.97)

Here the quantum space consists out of \( L \) sites and will be denoted by \( \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{V}_L \). In the following each \( \mathcal{V}_i \) corresponds to the same representation \( \Lambda \) of \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \). The regulator \( D_I \) in (5.2.97) is defined in (5.2.61) and the normalized trace in (5.2.49).

Applying the reasoning as in the previous section we express all Q-operators using only partonic Q-operators

\[ \Delta_I(\Phi) Q_I(z) = \det ||Q_{a_i}(z - j + \frac{p+1}{2})||_{1 \leq i,j \leq |I|}, \] \hfill (5.2.98)

where \( \Delta_I \) depends only on the twist angles and was defined in (5.2.69). As a direct consequence of these relations one can write down the QQ-relations (5.1.19). Just as in the earlier case of the fundamental representation in the quantum space we can associate all Q-operators to the vertices of a Hasse diagram, which for \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) takes the form of an \( n \)-dimensional hypercube. The functional form of Bethe equations is given by (5.2.82).

So far we did not use any information about the analytic structure of the Q-operators, apart from the fact that there exists at least one root of \( \bar{Q}_I(z) \). For compact representations in the quantum space the eigenvalues of \( \bar{Q}_I(z) \) can be written as

\[ \bar{Q}_I(z) = e^{iz} \left( \sum_{a \in I} \phi_a \right) \left( \prod_{a=1}^{q} \Gamma(z - \frac{q}{2} - \ell_a + 1) \right)^L \prod_{k=1}^{m_I} (z - z^l_{i_k}) \], \hfill (5.2.99)

where we set the normalization of the R-operators to \( \rho_I(z) = 1 \). Substituting (5.2.99) into (5.2.82) we obtain the Bethe equations

\[ e^{i(\Phi_{a_i} - \Phi_{a_{i+1}})} \prod_k \frac{z^l_{i_k} - z^{l-1}_{i_k}}{z^l_{i_k} - z^{l-1}_{i_k} + \frac{1}{2}} = \sum_k \prod_{k \neq l} \frac{z^l_{i_k} - z_{k+1} - \frac{1}{2}}{z^l_{i_k} - z_k - \frac{1}{2}} \prod_k \frac{z^l_{i_k} - z^{l+1}_{i_k}}{z^l_{i_k} - z^{l+1}_{i_k} + \frac{1}{2}}, \] \hfill (5.2.100)

whith eigenvalues the shifted weights

\[ \ell_a = \lambda^a - a + 1 \]. \hfill (5.2.101)

For compact representations, due to Weyl permutation symmetry, we have that for fixed \( |I| \) all \( Q_I(z) \) are isospectral. This means that all \( n! \) systems of Bethe equations have exactly the same form. This is not true for non-compact representations – Weyl symmetry is broken, a fact which leads to different analytic properties of the Q-operators. For representations with a lowest/highest weigh state, however, there still exist paths on the Hasse diagram for which all \( Q_I(z) \) connected by the path can be normalized to be polynomial. In that case the formula (5.2.99) holds true and we are again able to rewrite (5.2.82) into the form (5.2.100), see also (2.8.23).
5.3. From Q-operators to local charges

In this section we show how local charges can be extracted directly from the Q-operators built in Section 5.2. Here no reference to the transfer matrix as discussed in Section 2.7 is made. The procedure discussed here avoids the notoriously complicated construction of the transfer matrix with equal representation in quantum and auxiliary space. In Section 5.3.1 we introduce an opposite product on the auxiliary space and obtain alternative presentations of the degenerate solutions used for the construction of Q-operators, hereafter referred to as R-operators \( \mathcal{R} \). Section 5.3.2 is dedicated to the extremely important projection properties of the degenerate Lax operators. Their alternative presentation obtained in Section 5.3.1 is essential in order to fully exploit these properties. After developing these techniques we introduce a convenient diagrammatic language for R-operators \( \mathcal{R} \) which extends to Q-operators in Section 5.3.3. It further concerns the derivation of the shift operator and the Hamiltonian from Q-operators. Equation (5.3.56) is one of the main results of this section. It defines the Hamiltonian density in terms of the R-operators \( \mathcal{R} \) for Q-operators introduced in Section 5.2. Furthermore, we provide specific examples in Appendix G.2 and G.3.

5.3.1. Alternative presentation of R-operators

The solution (5.2.86) to the Yang-Baxter equation (5.2.94) is presented as a normal ordered expression in the oscillators \((\bar{a}, \bar{\rho})\). For reasons that will become clear in the next section we are also interested in its expression which is anti-normal ordered in the oscillators of the auxiliary space. The anti-normal ordered form of the R-operators \( \mathcal{R} \) can be obtained either from the Yang-Baxter equation or directly by reordering the oscillators in (5.2.86). As we will see the approach from the Yang-Baxter equation will be very powerful to obtain the desired expressions. However, it is not possible to fix the relative normalization by this method.

Yang-Baxter approach

To derive the expression for the anti-normal ordered R-operators \( \mathcal{R} \) directly from the Yang-Baxter equation it is convenient to introduce an opposite product on \( \mathfrak{A}_I \). Let \( \mathcal{O} \in \mathfrak{A}_I \) be written as

\[
\mathcal{O} = \sum_k a(k) \otimes b(k) ,
\]

with \( a(k) \in U(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \) and \( b(k) \in \mathcal{H}^{(I,I)} \). Given two elements of \( \mathfrak{A}_I \) the product used in (5.2.94) is defined as

\[
\mathcal{O}_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_2 = \sum_{k,l} a_1(k) a_2(l) \otimes b_1(k) b_2(l) .
\]

We now define the opposite product \( \circ \) as

\[
\mathcal{O}_1 \circ \mathcal{O}_2 = \sum_{k,l} a_1(k) a_2(l) \otimes b_2(l) b_1(k) .
\]
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This product is associative. The Yang-Baxter equation (5.2.94) can then be written as

$$\mathcal{L}(z_1) \mathcal{R}_I(z_2 - z_1) \circ \mathcal{L}_I(z_2) = \mathcal{L}_I(z_2) \circ \mathcal{R}_I(z_2 - z_1) \mathcal{L}(z_1).$$

(5.3.4)

We like to stress that this is exactly the same equation as (5.2.94) only rewritten in terms of the opposite product. Now, in analogy to [29] we substitute the ansatz

$$\mathcal{R}_I(z) = e^{\bar{a}_c J_c} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,I}(z) \circ e^{-a^c J_c}$$

(5.3.5)

into (5.3.4) and obtain four defining relations for $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,I}$. As there is some redundancy in these equations we only present one of them

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,I}(z) \left( \left( z + \frac{I}{2} \right) J_b^a - J_b^c J_c^a \right) = J_b^a \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,I}(z).$$

(5.3.6)

Comparing this equation to [29] it is easy to recognize that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,I}(z)$ satisfies the same defining relation as $\mathcal{R}_{-1}^{(+)} \left( z + \frac{I}{2} \right)$. We conclude that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,I}(z) = \tilde{\rho}_I(z) \prod_{k=1}^{\lvert I \rvert} \frac{1}{\Gamma(z + \frac{I}{2} - \ell_k + 1)}.\quad (5.3.7)$$

The ratio of $\rho_I$ and $\tilde{\rho}_I$ entering (5.2.86) and (5.3.7) can be determined by requiring that (5.2.86) and (5.3.5) are the same operators. It is investigated in the next subsection.

Direct approach

The R-operators $\mathcal{R}$ (5.2.86) and (5.3.5) can be written as

$$\mathcal{R}_I(z) = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{n! m!} a_{a_1}^{a_1} \cdots a_{a_m}^{a_m} a_{b_1}^{b_1} \cdots a_{b_m}^{b_m} J_{a_1}^{a_1} \cdots J_{a_m}^{a_m} \mathcal{R}_{0,I}(z) J_{b_1}^{b_1} \cdots J_{b_m}^{b_m},$$

(5.3.8)

$$\mathcal{R}_I(z) = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{n! m!} a_{b_1}^{b_1} \cdots a_{b_m}^{b_m} \bar{a}_{a_1}^{a_1} \cdots \bar{a}_{a_m}^{a_m} J_{a_1}^{a_1} \cdots J_{a_m}^{a_m} \mathcal{R}_{0,I}(z) J_{b_1}^{b_1} \cdots J_{b_m}^{b_m}.$$  

(5.3.9)

Here we expanded the exponentials using the definition of the products in (5.3.2) and (5.3.3), respectively. To obtain the relation between $\mathcal{R}_{0,I}$ and $\mathcal{R}_I$ we have to reorder the oscillators in one of the two expressions. We find that for each pair $\bar{a}_c^c, a_c^c$ that is reordered in (5.3.8) $\mathcal{R}_{0,I}$ is “conjugated” by the corresponding $\mathfrak{gl}(\{c, \bar{c}\})$ generators as

$$\mathcal{R}_{0,I} \rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (J_c^c)^k \mathcal{R}_{0,I}(z) (J_{\bar{c}}^\bar{c})^k.\quad (5.3.10)$$

This relation is obtained using (G.4.1) and does not rely on the precise form of $\mathcal{R}_{0,I}$. After subsequent conjugation of $\mathcal{R}_{0,I}$ with all $|I| \cdot |\bar{I}|$ $\mathfrak{gl}(\{c, \bar{c}\})$ subalgebra generators of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ one obtains an expression for $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,I}$:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,I}(z) = \sum_{(k_{cc})=0}^{\infty} \prod_{c \in I, \bar{c} \in \bar{I}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{cc}!}} (J_c^c)^{k_{cc}} \mathcal{R}_{0,I}(z) \prod_{c \in I, \bar{c} \in \bar{I}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{\bar{c}\bar{c}}!}} (J_{\bar{c}}^\bar{c})^{k_{\bar{c}\bar{c}}}.\quad (5.3.11)$$
This fixes the ratio of the prefactors $\rho$ and $\tilde{\rho}$ appearing in (5.2.87) and (5.3.7). Naively, (5.3.11) appears rather different from (5.3.7). However, they must coincide since they satisfy the same defining relations. This is explicitly demonstrated for the case of $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ and further discussed in Section 5.3.2.

5.3.2. Projection properties of R-operators

The construction of local charges in the conventional QISM relies on the R-matrix for which the auxiliary space is the same as the quantum space at each site. It requires the existence of a special point $z_*$ where the R-matrix reduces to the permutation operator

$$ R(z_*) = P, \quad (5.3.12) $$

see Chapter 2. This property is often referred to as regularity condition. The construction of local charges presented here bypasses the use of the R-matrix and is based on remarkable properties of the R-operators $R$ in (5.2.86) for special values of the spectral parameter. For the example of the fundamental representation in the quantum space we find that the operator $L_I$ given in (5.2.95) degenerates at two special points. Using both products introduced in the previous section we find that

$$ L_I \left( + \frac{|I|}{2} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a}_b^c \delta_c^b \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left( -a^a_c \delta_c^a \right), \quad L_I \left( - \frac{|I|}{2} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a}_b^c \delta_c^b \end{pmatrix} \circ \left( -a^a_c \delta_c^a \right). \quad (5.3.13) $$

Interestingly, properties similar to (5.3.13) appear quite naturally in the derivation of the Baxter equation, see e.g. [137, 138]. This in turn is strictly connected to Baxter’s original idea [25]. The degeneration can be understood from the spectral parameter dependent part of the R-operators $R$, compare to (5.2.86), (5.3.5). For the fundamental representation, it originates from a reduction of the rank of $R_{0,I}$ and $\tilde{R}_{0,I}$ at the special points $\hat{z} = + \frac{|I|}{2}$ and $\hat{z} = - \frac{|I|}{2}$, respectively. Of distinguished importance are $L_I$-operators with $|I| = 1$. In this case the rank of the oscillator independent part reduces to 1.

Relations of the type (5.3.13) hold for any highest/lowest weight representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. Their precise form can be obtained via a careful analysis of the spectrum of the shifted weight operators $\tilde{\ell}_i^K$ entering $R_I$. However, the analysis is technically involved. In the following we restrict to representations corresponding to rectangular Young diagrams and their infinite dimensional generalization.

A rectangular Young diagram is labeled by two parameters $(s, a)$ with $s, a \in \mathbb{N}$ according to

$$ a \begin{array}{c} \hline \hline \end{array} $$

(5.3.14)

For representations of this type, also known as Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules [139], there exist two values of the spectral parameter such that $R_{0,I}$ and $\tilde{R}_{0,I}$ respectively are pro-
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jectors on a highest weight state for \(|I| = n - a\). The number of highest/lowest weight states for such representations is \(\binom{n}{a}\) and exactly coincides with the number of operators \(R_I\) with \(|I| = n - a\). Each \(R_{0,I}\) and \(\check{R}_{0,I}\) of cardinality \(n - a\) projects on a different highest weight state depending on the elements in \(I\). With an appropriate normalization discussed in Section 5.3.2 we find for \(|I| = n - a\) that

\[
R_{0,I}(\hat{z}) = |\text{hws}\rangle\langle\text{hws}| \quad \text{and} \quad \check{R}_{0,I}(\check{z}) = |\text{hws}\rangle\langle\text{hws}|. \tag{5.3.15}
\]

As a direct consequence of (5.3.15) we obtain that the \(R\)-operator at the special points \(\hat{z}\) and \(\check{z}\) can be written as

\[
R_I(\hat{z}) = e^{\hat{a}_I^\dagger J_I^\dagger} \cdot |\text{hws}\rangle\langle\text{hws}| \cdot e^{-a_I J_I} \quad \text{and} \quad R_I(\check{z}) = e^{\check{a}_I^\dagger J_I^\dagger} \circ |\text{hws}\rangle\circ e^{-\check{a}_I J_I^\dagger}. \tag{5.3.16}
\]

As we will see, these properties carry over to non-compact representations with highest weight that fulfill a generalized rectangularity condition which we encountered previously in Chapter 3 when studying the inverse of the Lax operators \(L_\Lambda\). However, as discussed in [33], not all \(R\)-operators \(R\) of a certain cardinality \(|I|\) share the projection property. It will become clear in Section 5.3.3 that as a consequence of (5.3.16) the Q-operators at the special points \(\hat{z}\) and \(\check{z}\) are related by the shift operator (2.7.6), see (5.3.17).

Reduction

It emerged in the discussion of the fundamental representation that at special values of the spectral parameter the operators \(R_{0,I}\) and \(\check{R}_{0,I}\) become projectors on a certain subspace. In the following we show when and how this happens. The analysis is connected to the pattern of the decomposition of the \(\mathfrak{gl}(n)\) representation at a site

\[
\Lambda \to \bigoplus a m_a (\Lambda^I_a, \Lambda^I_{\bar{a}}) \tag{5.3.17}
\]

under the restriction \(\mathfrak{gl}(n) \downarrow \mathfrak{gl}(I) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(\bar{I})\). Here \(m_a\) denotes the multiplicity of each decomposition \((\Lambda^I_a, \Lambda^I_{\bar{a}})\). We are specifically interested in representations \(\Lambda\) and a set \(I\) such that \(\hat{J}_k^I\) are bounded from above and \(\check{J}_k^I\) are bounded from below.\(^{24}\) The bound is saturated for all \(k\) by the subspace \((\Lambda^I_{a_0}, \Lambda^I_{\bar{a}_0})\) of \(\Lambda\) annihilated by the action of generators \(J_a^I\)

\[
J_a^I|\Lambda^I_{a_0}, \Lambda^I_{\bar{a}_0}\rangle = 0, \tag{5.3.18}
\]

where the indices take values according to (5.2.88). The subspace \((\Lambda^I_{a_0}, \Lambda^I_{\bar{a}_0})\) is nothing but the \(\mathfrak{gl}(I) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(\bar{I})\) irreducible representation generated by the action of \(J_b^I\) and \(J_{\bar{b}}\) on the \(\mathfrak{gl}(n)\) highest weight state \(\text{hws}\) labelled by \(\Lambda\). Moreover, the eigenvalues of any fixed \(\hat{J}_k^I\) are integer spaced. The fact that the operators \(R_{0,I}\) and \(\check{R}_{0,I}\) become projectors on

\(^{23}\)The notion of highest weight state depends on the choice of the raising generators. For rectangular representations \(a!(n - a)!\) such choices correspond to the same highest weight state.

\(^{24}\)For any finite-dimensional representation this is true for any set \(I\).

\(^{25}\)We chose the raising generators entering the \(\mathfrak{gl}(n)\) highest weight condition to be \(J_a^I\).
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this subspace for special values of the spectral parameter is an immediate consequence of the properties of the operators $\hat{\ell}^K_k$ together with the pole structure of the gamma function.

The class of representations considered at the end of the previous section, here referred to as generalized rectangular representations, have a number of remarkable features. In particular, for generalized rectangular representations there is at least one set $I$ such that the subspace on which $R^I_0$ and $\tilde{R}^I_0$ project is one-dimensional. This fact is equivalent to the existence of a state such that

$$J^a_0|\Lambda^I_0,\Lambda^\tilde{I}_0\rangle = 0, \quad J^a_0|\Lambda^I_0,\Lambda^\tilde{I}_0\rangle = \lambda_I \delta^a_0|\Lambda^I_0,\Lambda^\tilde{I}_0\rangle, \quad J^a_0|\Lambda^I_0,\Lambda^\tilde{I}_0\rangle = \tilde{\lambda}_I \delta^a_0|\Lambda^I_0,\Lambda^\tilde{I}_0\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3.19)

for a properly chosen set $I$ and some $\lambda_I, \tilde{\lambda}_I$. For convenience the state defined in (5.3.19) is denoted as $|hw_I\rangle$.

In [29] the generalized rectangularity condition was defined as

$$J^A_0J^B_0 = \mu J^A_0 + \nu \delta^A_B.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3.20)

In this case, using (5.2.92) and (5.2.93) for the full set $K = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, one can show that the shifted weights are given by

$$\ell_i = \begin{cases} (\tilde{\lambda}_I - i + 1) & i \leq a \\ (\lambda_I - i + 1) & i > a \end{cases},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3.21)

where $a$ is an integer with $0 \leq a \leq n$, compare to (5.3.14) and (5.2.101), and $\lambda_I, \tilde{\lambda}_I$ are in general complex numbers related to $\mu$ and $\nu$ via

$$\mu = \lambda_I + \tilde{\lambda}_I + |I|, \quad \nu = -\lambda_I(|I| + |I|).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3.22)

The label $I$ is introduced for consistency with Section 5.3.2, where $|I| = n-a$. The careful reader might have noticed that a condition similar to (5.3.20) appeared in Chapter 3 when studying the inverse of the Lax operators $L_\Lambda$. The relation between (3.9.2) and (5.3.20) was spelled out in (3.9.12).

**On the normalization of R-operators**

Besides the ratio of $\rho_I$ and $\tilde{\rho}_I$ which is fixed by (5.3.11) an overall normalization of the R-operators $R$ was not yet chosen. In our previous analysis we determined the one-dimensional subspace which saturates the bound of $\hat{\ell}^I_k$ and $\hat{\ell}^\tilde{I}_k$. The action of the shifted weights on this subspace is given in the previous section. As already mentioned, for our purposes it is convenient to choose a normalization such that (5.3.10) holds, i.e.

$$\mathcal{R}_{0,I}(z) = \kappa_I(z) \prod_{k=1}^{|I|} \frac{\Gamma(z - \frac{|I|}{2} - \hat{\ell}^I_k + 1)}{\Gamma(z - \frac{|I|}{2} + k - \lambda_I)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3.23)

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,I}(z) = \tilde{\kappa}_I(z) \prod_{k=1}^{|I|} \frac{\Gamma(z + \frac{|I|}{2} + k - \lambda_I)}{\Gamma(z + \frac{|I|}{2} - \hat{\ell}^\tilde{I}_k + 1)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3.24)
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compare to (5.2.7) and (5.3.7). Above, \( \kappa_I \) and \( \tilde{\kappa}_I \) are periodic functions of \( \ell_k^I, \ell_k^I \) of period one, respectively. Furthermore, they coincide on the highest weight \( \kappa_I(z)|hws\rangle = \tilde{\kappa}_I(z)|hws\rangle = |hws\rangle \) and in analogy to \( \rho_1 \) and \( \tilde{\rho}_I \) are dependent by (5.3.11), see also Section 5.3.2 for the example of \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \). As discussed in Section 5.3.1 from the study of the Yang-Baxter equation it is natural to fix the overall normalization such that

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,I}(z - \frac{|I|}{2}) = \mathcal{R}_{0,I}^{-1}(z + \frac{|I|}{2}).
\]

Interestingly, this relation implies the crossing equation

\[
\left( \mathcal{R}_I(z - \frac{|I|}{2}) \right)^* = \mathcal{R}_I^{-1}(z + \frac{|I|}{2})
\]

with \( \left( \hat{a}_{a_1}^{a_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{a_m}^{a_m} \hat{a}_{b_1}^{b_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{b_n}^{b_n} \right)^* = \hat{a}_{b_1}^{b_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{b_n}^{b_n} \hat{a}_{a_1}^{a_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{a_m}^{a_m} \). However, an explicit study of these relations is left to the future.

The \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \) case: Reordering and projection in full detail

In this section we exploit the properties mentioned in the previous sections for the example of \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \) with \( |I| = \{e\} \), \( I = \{e\} \) and \( e, \tilde{e} = 1, 2 \). In this case \( \mathcal{R}_{0,\{e\}} \) and \( \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,\{e\}} \) are given by

\[
\mathcal{R}_{0,\{e\}}(z) = \kappa_{\{e\}}(z) \frac{\Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2} - \ell_1^{(e)})}{\Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_e)}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,\{e\}}(z) = \tilde{\kappa}_{\{e\}}(z) \frac{\Gamma(z + \frac{3}{2} - \lambda_e)}{\Gamma(z + \frac{3}{2} - \ell_1^{(e)})};
\]

see (5.2.86) and (5.3.5), respectively. We will now determine the explicit relation between \( \rho \) and \( \tilde{\rho} \) as discussed in Section 5.3.1. For the \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \) case \( \mathcal{R}_I \) contains only one pair of oscillators. From this follows that the conjugation in (5.3.11) has to be performed only once

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,\{e\}}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (J_1^e)^n \mathcal{R}_{0,\{e\}}(z)(J_1^e)^n.
\]

We can sum up this expression using the relation

\[
(J_1^a)^k(J_1^a)^k = (-1)^k \frac{\Gamma(J_1^a - \ell_1 + k)\Gamma(J_1^a - \ell_2 + k)}{\Gamma(J_1^a - \ell_1)\Gamma(J_1^a - \ell_2)}
\]

where \( \ell_i = \ell_1^{\{a,d\}} = \ell_1^{\{1,2\}} \). Applying the reflection formula for Gamma functions

\[
\Gamma(1 - z)\Gamma(z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z}
\]

one finds that

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,\{e\}}(z) = -\kappa_{\{e\}}(z) \frac{\sin \pi(z + \frac{1}{2} - \ell_1^{(e)})\sin \pi(z + \frac{1}{2} - \ell_2^{(e)}) \Gamma(z + \frac{3}{2} - \lambda_e)}{\sin \pi(z + \frac{1}{2} - \ell_1^{(e)})\sin \pi(z + \frac{1}{2} - \ell_1^{(e)}) \Gamma(z + \frac{3}{2} - \ell_1^{(e)})},
\]
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using \( C_1 = J^c_1 + J^\dot{c}_1 = 1 + \ell_1 + \ell_2 \) and that up to permutation of \( \ell_1 \) and \( \ell_2 \) it holds that \( \ell_1 = \lambda_c, \ell_2 = \lambda_c - 1 \), see \((5.3.21)\). This is exactly what we expected from the analysis of the Yang-Baxter equation, compare \((5.3.27)\). Furthermore, it fixes the relative normalization

\[
\tilde{\kappa}_c(z) = -\frac{\sin \pi \left( z + \frac{1}{2} - \ell_1 \right) \sin \pi \left( z + \frac{1}{2} - \ell_2 \right)}{\sin \pi \left( z + \frac{1}{2} - \ell^{(\dot{c})}_1 \right) \sin \pi \left( z + \frac{1}{2} - \ell^{(c)}_1 \right)} \kappa_c(z).
\]

(5.3.32)

Let us now look for the projection point as discussed Section \((5.3.2)\). For \( \tilde{z} = \lambda_c - \frac{1}{2} \) one obtains

\[
\mathcal{R}_{0,\{c\}}(\tilde{z}) = |\text{hws}\rangle\langle \text{hws}|.
\]

(5.3.33)

On the other hand at \( \tilde{z} = \lambda_c - \frac{3}{2} \) we find

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,\{c\}}(\tilde{z}) = |\text{hws}\rangle\langle \text{hws}|.
\]

(5.3.34)

The total trigonometric prefactor reduces to 1. Note that this is the case for arbitrary \( z \) on any state if the spectrum of \( \ell^{(\dot{c})} \) and \( \ell^{(c)} \) is integer spaced.

5.3.3. Diagrammatics and local charges

As it is customary we denote R-matrices by two crossing lines. In the construction of generalized transfer matrices each vertical line corresponds to the quantum space associated to a spin-chain site. Likewise, horizontal lines represent the auxiliary space. This notation can be related to the one introduced in Chapter \( 2 \) by assigning ingoing arrows to the bottom lines. In the following R-operators \( \mathcal{R} \) generating Q-operators are depicted as

\[
\mathcal{R}_I(z) =
\]

(5.3.35)

compare to \((5.2.97)\). Now, we will develop a pictorial language for the R-operators \( \mathcal{R} \), which incorporates all properties discussed in Section \((5.3.1)\) and \((5.3.2)\). One of its main advantages is that the opposite product \((5.3.3)\), which might look unfamiliar in the equations, is translated to a rather natural composition rule. It is a key ingredient to reveal the emergence of local charges from Q-operators.

Two multiplication rules

As discussed in Section \((5.3.1)\) it is natural to introduce two different multiplication rules. Diagrammatically the product \( \cdot \) can then be understood as

\[
\mathcal{O}_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_2 =
\]

(5.3.36)

\( ^{26}\)Here we take \( \kappa_{\{c\}}(z)|\text{hws}\rangle = |\text{hws}\rangle. \)
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Here the oscillator (red) and the $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n))$ (blue) components of $O_1$ are both multiplied from the left to $O_2$. On the other hand, the product $\circ$ is denoted by

$$O_1 \circ O_2 = O_2 \otimes O_1.$$

Here the $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n))$ part of $O_1$ is also multiplied from the left to $O_2$, but the oscillator part is multiplied to the right. In summary, once the operators $O_i$ are written as (5.3.1) the order of the factors (from left to right) in (5.3.36) and (5.3.37) is obtained by following the lines from bottom to top.

R-operators $R$

We will now develop a diagrammatic expression for $R_I$ for both (5.2.86) and (5.3.5). To be pedagogical we proceed slowly. It is clear that $R_I$ can be regarded as a composite object of four parts, namely

$$e^{\hat{a}_c J_c^+} = R^\dagger,$$
$$e^{-\hat{a}_c J_c^+} = R^-.$$

$$R_{0,I} = R_0, \quad \bar{R}_{0,I} = \bar{R}_0.$$

$R_{I,0}$ and $\bar{R}_{I,0}$ act trivially in the auxiliary space, this is depicted by the straight line in (5.3.39). The label $I$ is suppressed in the pictures. Let us now construct the two expressions of $R_I$ given in (5.2.86) and (5.3.5). Using the ingredients above and the multiplication rules (5.3.36) and (5.3.37) one finds

$$R_I = R^\dagger R^- R_0, \quad \bar{R}_I = \bar{R}^\dagger \bar{R}^- \bar{R}_0.$$

When reading the diagrams from bottom to top it becomes clear that the expression on the left hand side is normal ordered, while the expression on the right hand side is anti-normal ordered in the oscillator space.

Projection properties

In Section 5.3.2 we argued that at the special points $\hat{z}$ and $\bar{z}$ some R-operators $R$ of certain cardinality $|I|$ decompose into an outer product, see (5.3.16). This fact is a consequence of
the degeneration (to rank 1) of $R_{0,I}$ and $\tilde{R}_{0,I}$ for generalized rectangular representations. In the diagrammatics introduced, the building blocks of (5.3.16) are denoted by

$$e^{\tilde{a}_c^\varepsilon J_c^\varepsilon}|hws\rangle = \quad + \quad , \quad \langle hws|e^{-a_c^\varepsilon J_c^\varepsilon} = \quad - \quad .$$ (5.3.41)

As the above expressions are “vector” and “covector” in the quantum space, a quantum space operator acts on them by left and right multiplication, respectively. This is indicated by one missing ingoing/outgoing vertical line. Using the notions of the two defined products we see that according to (5.3.16) at the special points (5.3.40) simplifies to

$$R_I(\hat{z}) = \quad + \quad - \quad , \quad R_I(\check{z}) = \quad - \quad + \quad .$$ (5.3.42)

**Baxter Q-operators**

Baxter Q-operators can be built from the monodromy of the R-operators $R$ as introduced in Section 5.2. Here, we will concentrate on the Q-operators constructed out of the R-operators $R$ that satisfy condition (5.3.16), see Section 5.3.2 for more details. Hereafter, the index $I$ of the chosen Q-operator will be suppressed. The diagrammatics for these R-operators $R$ was developed above. From (5.3.42) it is clear that the corresponding Q-operators at the special points are given by

$$\bar{Q}(\hat{z}) = \quad \mathcal{D} \quad + \quad - \quad \cdots \quad + \quad - \quad \cdots$$ (5.3.43)

and

$$\bar{Q}(\check{z}) = \quad \mathcal{D} \quad - \quad + \quad \cdots \quad - \quad + \quad \cdots .$$ (5.3.44)

Here $\mathcal{D}$ denotes the regulator in (5.2.61). For convenience we recall that in (5.3.43) and (5.3.44) there is one ingoing and one outgoing vertical line for each spin-chain site. As indicated in the picture, the auxiliary space is closed by the trace, see (5.2.97).

**Shift mechanism**

The homogeneous spin-chain has the property of being translationally invariant; the shift operator defined as

$$U X_n U^{-1} = X_{n-1} , \quad U X_1 U^{-1} = f_L(\Phi) X_L f_L^{-1}(\Phi) ,$$ (5.3.45)
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commutes with the Hamiltonian and all generalized transfer matrices. The operator \( f_L(\Phi) \) arises from the twisted boundary conditions and is explicitly given below.

The shift operator can be written as

\[
U = f_L(\Phi) P_{L,L-1} P_{L-1,L-2} \cdots P_{2,1},
\]

(5.3.46)
cf. (2.7.6), where \( P_{i+1,i} \) acts as a permutation on site \( i \) and \( i+1 \) in the quantum space. The main result of this subsection is to show the relation

\[
\bar{Q}(\bar{z}) = U \bar{Q}(\bar{z}).
\]

(5.3.47)

The label \( I \) has been omitted following the logic as in Section 5.3.3 and 5.3.3. This equation is immediately proven once it is rewritten in the diagrammatic language developed previously, cf. (2.7.8) and (5.3.43):

![Diagram]

The only non-trivial step in the proof is to move the last term \( \oplus \) in the left hand side of (5.3.48) through the regulator \( \otimes \). This is done using the relation

\[
\begin{align*}
&\oplus \quad \otimes \\
&= \quad \otimes \\
&\otimes \quad \oplus
\end{align*}
\]

(5.3.49)

A direct computation shows that

\[
f(\Phi) = \exp \left\{ i \sum_{c \in \mathbb{I}} \Phi_c (J^c_c - \lambda_f) + i \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \Phi (J^\epsilon_c - \bar{\lambda}_f) \right\}.
\]

(5.3.50)

This proves relation (5.3.47) for the large class of generalized rectangular representations. Using (5.3.47) and the form of the Q-operator eigenvalues in terms of Bethe roots \( \{ z_i \}_{i=1}^m \)

\[
\bar{Q}(z) = e^{i z \Phi_f} \prod_{i=1}^m (z - z_i),
\]

(5.3.51)
the eigenvalues of the shift operators are written as
\[ U = e^{i(\bar{z} - \hat{z})\Phi}, \quad \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\bar{z} - z_i}{\hat{z} - z_i}, \]  
(5.3.52)

compare (2.8.25). The identification of the special points \( \hat{z} \) and \( \bar{z} \) is particularly important as it reveals how higher local charges may be extracted from Q-operators. In the next subsection this is elucidated for the case of the nearest-neighbor Hamiltonian.

The nearest-neighbor Hamiltonian and its action

We identified two special points \( \hat{z} \) and \( \bar{z} \) at which the Q-operators are related by the shift operator, see (5.3.47). This enables us to give a direct operatorial derivation of (2.8.26) for the representations under study. The main result of this section is that

\[ H = \bar{Q}'(\bar{z}) - \bar{Q}'(\hat{z}), \]  
(5.3.53)

is a nearest-neighbor Hamiltonian, i.e.

\[ H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} H_{i,i+1}. \]  
(5.3.54)

It is of prime importance as it yields the total energy of the spin-chain and determines the time-evolution of the system. An important step in the derivation of the Hamiltonian (5.3.54) is to rewrite (5.3.53) as

\[ H \bar{Q}(\bar{z}) = \bar{Q}'(\bar{z}) - U \bar{Q}'(\hat{z}). \]  
(5.3.55)

Here we used (5.3.47). The derivation of (5.3.53) is a direct consequence of the truly remarkable identity

\[ \begin{array}{c}
+ \\
\mathcal{H} \\
+ \\
\end{array} = \begin{array}{c}
+ \\
\mathcal{R}(\bar{z}) \\
- \\
\mathcal{R}(\hat{z}) \\
+ \\
\end{array}. \]  
(5.3.56)

The significance of this equation is twofold. Firstly, it contains the non-trivial statement that the right-hand side of (5.3.56) can be written as the left-hand side for “some” \( \mathcal{H} \) that, as encoded in the picture, acts non-trivially only in the quantum space. This is proven in \[34\] using the so-called Sutherland equation, originally introduced to provide a criterion for a local Hamiltonian to commute with a given transfer matrix \[140\], and the
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special properties of the \( R \)-operator. Secondly, (5.3.56) defines \( \mathcal{H} \) uniquely in terms of the \( R \)-operators \( R \) for Q-operators. The fact that this way of defining \( \mathcal{H} \) can be particularly convenient for practical purposes is supported by the non-trivial example of \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \) spin \( -\frac{1}{2} \) in Appendix G.2.

Using (5.3.56), (5.3.55) can be shown quickly. The derivative of the Q-operators follows immediately from the definition in (5.2.97) for any set \( I \)

\[
Q'_I(z) = i \Phi_I Q_I(z) + e^{iz \Phi_I} \sum_{k=1}^L \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}(I,i)} \left\{ D_I R'_{I}(z) \otimes \ldots \otimes R'_{I}(z) \otimes \ldots \otimes R_{I}(z) \right\}.
\] (5.3.57)

Taking a closer look at (5.3.55), one finds that the right hand side can be rearranged as a sum of local contributions corresponding to the Hamiltonian density \( \mathcal{H} \). This is done by pairing terms according to (5.3.56). Furthermore, from (5.3.55) it follows that

\[
\mathcal{H}_{L,L+1} = f_1(\Phi) \mathcal{H}_{L,1} f^{-1}_1(\Phi).
\] (5.3.58)

Thus, we have shown (5.3.55). It is worth to mention that an analogous and equivalent relation as (5.3.56) holds for the action of \( \mathcal{H} \) from the right. On the level of eigenvalues, upon using (5.3.51), (5.3.53) gives the famous energy formula

\[
E = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{z - z_i} - \frac{1}{\bar{z} - \bar{z}_i} \right).
\] (5.3.59)

We would like to stress again that the auxiliary and quantum space of the R-operators \( R \) are of different nature. The mechanism by which the Hamiltonian density can be extracted from the R-operators \( R \) is encoded in (5.3.56). The explicit expression for \( \mathcal{H} \) for generalized rectangular representations in the quantum space is provided in the Appendix G.1. If we further restrict to certain representations one obtains rather convenient expressions for the Hamiltonian density. This is done for the fundamental representation and the \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \) spin \( -\frac{1}{2} \) case in Appendix G.2 and G.3.
6. Bethe ansatz for Yangian invariants

In this chapter we study the nature of Yangian symmetry as it appears in tree-level scattering amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory from the viewpoint of integrability and the quantum inverse scattering method. It was shown in [36, 141] that the superconformal symmetry and the dual superconformal symmetry of those amplitudes combine into a Yangian symmetry. More precisely, using Drinfeld’s first realization reviewed in Appendix B and discussed at the end of Section 4.5, it can be shown that all tree-level amplitudes are annihilated by a certain realization of the first and second level generators. In order to apply the machinery of the quantum inverse scattering method we reformulate the Yangian invariance condition as

$$M_{ab}(z)|\Psi\rangle = \delta_{ab}|\Psi\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.0.1)

where $|\Psi\rangle$ is a Yangian invariant. This is the key formula to connect the scattering amplitudes and the Bethe ansatz. Here $M(z)$ is a monodromy matrix, given by a product of suitable R-matrices, $z$ is a spectral parameter, and $a, b$ are indices in an auxiliary space, taking values in the fundamental representation of the underlying symmetry algebra. The generators of the Yangian algebra are obtained as the coefficients $M_{ab}^{[r]}$ of an expansion of the monodromy matrix $M(z)$ in powers $r$ of the inverse spectral parameter $z^{-1}$, cf. Chapter 4. From (6.0.1) with $M_{ab}^{[0]} = \delta_{ab}$ one then sees that $|\Psi\rangle$ is annihilated by all Yangian generators. By definition, $|\Psi\rangle$ is thus a Yangian invariant. Furthermore, finding all solutions of (6.0.1) for all suitable $M(z)$ should then lead to the complete set of such invariants.

In the simplest case of $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ equation (6.0.1) can be derived from the rational limit of a two-dimensional integrable model, the so-called Z-invariant six-vertex model introduced by Baxter. It has a description as an inhomogeneous spin chain [49]. Introducing a certain oscillator formalism, and thereby considering more general representations, cf. Chapter 2 and 3, one can then obtain $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ Yangian generators. Excitingly, they take forms analogous to the ones acting on the scattering amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory [142, 143]. Furthermore, the procedure generalizes to higher rank cases.

A further interesting aspect of (6.0.1) is that it allows one to consider the Bethe ansatz for the spin chain. Equation (6.0.1) represents a system of eigenvalue problems for the matrix elements $M_{ab}(z)$ of the monodromy matrix $M(z)$, with rather trivial eigenvalues 0 or 1 for a common eigenvector $|\Psi\rangle$. In addition, by taking a trace on both sides, (6.0.1) becomes an eigenvalue problem for the transfer matrix $T(z)$ of the spin chain,

$$T(z) = \text{tr} M(z), \quad T(z)|\Psi\rangle = n|\Psi\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.0.2)
where we already generalized from $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ to $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, hence $M(z)$ is a $n \times n$ matrix in the auxiliary space. We conclude that any such Yangian invariant $|\Psi\rangle$ must then be a special eigenvector of the transfer matrix $T(z)$ with prescribed eigenvalue $n$. It is important to stress that the Yangian invariant $|\Psi\rangle$ in (6.0.2) and thus also in (6.0.1) does not depend on the spectral parameter $z$.

In this study we concentrate on compact representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. This should play the role of a toy model of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ scattering amplitudes, where suitable non-compact representations of $\mathfrak{gl}((4|4))$ are needed instead. The latter are built from continuous generalizations of the oscillators mentioned above, which are essentially the spinor-helicity variables and their derivatives. The basic philosophy based on (6.0.1) should nevertheless remain applicable, at least in the case of the tree-level amplitudes, where Yangian invariance is unequivocal. Each $L$-particle tree-level amplitude should then be identical to an invariant $|\Psi\rangle$ solving (6.0.1) with a monodromy of “length” $L$, and thus amenable to analysis by the QISM. The monodromy is built from $L$ suitable $R$-matrices, just as in the case of integrable spin chains. Thus amplitudes should turn into “special” spin chain states, similar, as we shall see, to $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ symmetric antiferromagnetic ground states of the chain. The spin chain monodromy is again inhomogeneous, and the external scattering data is encoded in the representing “oscillators” $\hat{=} \text{spinor-helicity variables}$. Alternatively, we can think of the tree-level amplitudes as appropriately generalized Baxter lattices, i.e. special vertex models.

Just like in the toy model, it is imperative that the Yangian invariants and therefore the tree-level amplitudes do not depend on the spectral parameter $z$. The latter merely serves as a suitable device for applying the QISM and for employing the Bethe ansatz to the problem. On the other hand, spectral parameters were recently introduced as certain natural “helicity” deformations of $\mathcal{N}=4$ scattering amplitudes in $[40,41]$. This is not a contradiction. In the present framework, these parameters simply correspond to a freedom in the choice of the inhomogeneities of the monodromy in (6.0.1). In the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ toy model, the representation labels in general do not fix the inhomogeneities completely. The same holds true in the $\mathcal{N}=4$ case. In fact, $R$-matrices of rational models in arbitrary representations are also Yangian invariants. They may therefore be found from special solutions of (6.0.1). For instance, a standard four-legged $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ $R$-matrix acting on the tensor product of two arbitrary compact representations may be deduced from the eigenvector $|\Psi\rangle$ of a length-four monodromy $M(z)$. Here a difference of inhomogeneities, denoted by $u$, in the monodromy $M(z)$ is to be interpreted as a spectral parameter of the $R$-matrix $R(u)$. But $u$ is not the spectral parameter $z$ used to solve the spectral problem (6.0.1) for Yangian invariants.

In section 6.1 we review Baxter’s Z-invariant six-vertex model in the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ limit, as well as its remarkable solution through the little-known perimeter Bethe ansatz $[49]$. Its key feature is, rather unusually, that the Bethe equations may be explicitly solved with comparative ease. In section 6.2 we show that Baxter’s approach may be generalized to an important class of compact representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, and reinterpreted as a systematic way to define and derive Yangian invariants. This opens the way to derive a perimeter
Bethe ansatz for the latter. In section 6.3 we illustrate the method for the case of compact oscillator representations of gl(n) by presenting explicit Yangian invariants for three specific examples. Pictorially they correspond to a line, a three-vertex and a four-vertex. The invariants are expressed in oscillator notation. They look somewhat different from the Yangian-invariant tree-level scattering amplitudes, which is surely due to the different nature of the representations under investigation. However, in section 6.4 we demonstrate that our examples may be rewritten as Graßmannian contour integrals. Interestingly, this manifestly turns them into close analogues of the scattering amplitudes, see [144]. An added benefit of our approach is that the (multi)-contours are precisely defined by the construction. In section 6.5 we then discuss the perimeter Bethe ansatz for the Yangian invariants of our toy model. We illustrate it for gl(2) for the sample invariants of section 6.3 and section 6.4. Remarkably, the Bethe roots assemble into exact strings in the complex spectral parameter plane, and are thus explicitly determined. We also sketch the generalization to gl(n), where a nested perimeter Bethe ansatz is required. In addition to [148], we provide a diagrammatic study of the invariants in Appendix H where a remarkable relation between special points of the R-matrix and the BCFW recursion relation, see e.g. [145], is pointed out.

6.1. Perimeter Bethe ansatz

In Section 3.1 we introduced the six-vertex model. We discussed its partition function on several lattices with certain boundary conditions, cf. Figure 3.0.1, Figure 3.0.2 and Figure 3.7.1a. Furthermore, we introduced the concept of Z-invariance in Section 3.7. In the current section we will study the partition function of so-called Baxter lattices introduced in [94]. As we will see, they belong to a slightly more general class of lattices than the ones introduced previously. Instead of following the usual prescription to calculate the partition function as given in (3.1.6) we focus on the method proposed by Baxter in 1987 and is known as the perimeter Bethe ansatz [49]. It relies on the knowledge of the off-shell wave-function of the gl(2)-invariant spin chain with inhomogeneities which we presented in (2.11.4). For certain choices of the inhomogeneities and Bethe roots the components of the Bethe vectors, cf. (2.11.2), yield the partition function.

6.1.1. Baxter Lattice

The Baxter lattice is constructed from N straight lines intersecting in an arbitrary way in the interior of a circle. Each line starts and ends at points on the perimeter of the circle. Furthermore, only two lines are allowed to intersect in one point. An example can be found in Figure 6.1.1 where we represented the perimeter by a dotted circle. The N lines and their 2N endpoints are labeled counterclockwise starting from the reference point B on the perimeter. To each line we assign an orientation, i.e. for the kth line with endpoints (ik, jk) and 1 ≤ ik < jk ≤ 2N we introduce an arrow pointing from ik towards jk. Additionally, each line with (ik, jk) carries a complex rapidity variable θk. A Baxter
lattice is then specified by the ordered sets

\[ G = ((i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_N, j_N)), \quad \theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N). \]  

(6.1.1)

Following Chapter 3, we assign the Boltzmann weights of the six-vertex model in the rational limit to each vertex in the Baxter lattice, see (3.1.3). They depend on the rapidities, orientations of the lines and state labels 1 or 2 as shown in (3.2.2) and (3.2.3). The boundary conditions of the lattice are given by the labels \( \alpha_i \) and \( \alpha_j \) that take the values 1 or 2. They are assigned to the endpoints \( (i_k, j_k) \) of the lines, compare Figure 6.1.2. We denote them as

\[ \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2N}). \]  

(6.1.2)

The partition function of a Baxter lattice is a function of the line configuration \( G \), the rapidities \( \theta \) and the external state configuration \( \alpha \)

\[ Z = Z(G, \theta, \alpha). \]  

(6.1.3)

Furthermore, we add an additional rule for lines that do not intersect with any others, see e.g. the line with endpoints \( (4, 5) \) in Figure 6.1.2. If such a line \( k \) has equal state labels \( \alpha_{i_k} = \alpha_{j_k} \) at the endpoints, it contributes a factor of unity to the partition function. In case of differing labels \( \alpha_{i_k} \neq \alpha_{j_k} \), the partition function is set to zero.

As a consequence of the ice-rule the number of ingoing states 1 (2) agrees with the number of outgoing states 1 (2), cf. Section 6.2. This allows us to label the states at the boundary by \( N \) parameters

\[ \tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_N) \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq \tilde{x}_1 < \ldots < \tilde{x}_N \leq 2N, \]  

(6.1.4)
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Figure 6.1.2.: The Baxter lattice shown in Figure 6.1.1 with fixed boundary configuration labeled by the set \( \alpha \). In this case we have \( \tilde{x} = (1, 4, 6, 9, 10) \). These variables will be used to express the partition function \( Z(G, \theta, \alpha) \) in terms of the wave-function in (2.11.4).

not taking into account the vanishing configurations that do not satisfy the ice-rule. Starting from the reference point \( B \) they label the endpoint positions \( i_k \) at outward pointing edges with \( \alpha_{i_k} = 1 \) and \( j_k \) at edges directed inwards with \( \alpha_{j_k} = 2 \), i.e.

\[
\{ \tilde{x}_k \} = \{ i_k | \alpha_{i_k} = 1 \} \cup \{ j_k | \alpha_{j_k} = 2 \}, \quad (6.1.5)
\]

compare Figure 6.1.2.

The R-matrix (3.2.1) and thus the Boltzmann weights at the vertices (3.1.3) satisfy the Yang-Baxter equation, see Chapter 3. Thus, as discussed in Section 3.7, the partition function is not changed when moving lines in the interior without changing the order of the endpoints due to \( Z \)-invariance.

6.1.2. Baxter’s solution

An expression for the partition function of the six-vertex model on the Baxter lattice (6.1.3) was obtained in terms of the Bethe wave function (2.11.4) in [49]. We derived the wave-function of the homogenous spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) Heisenberg spin chain using the coordinate Bethe ansatz in Section 2.10, see also [79, 146]. The generalization to a spin chain with inhomogeneities can be found in Section 2.11. As discussed there, the wave-function of a spin chain of length \( L \) with \( m \) excitations or magnons is parametrized by

\[
v = (v_1, \ldots, v_L), \quad z = (z_1, \ldots, z_m), \quad x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m), \quad (6.1.6)
\]

denoting the inhomogeneities, Bethe roots and positions of the magnons with \( 1 \leq x_1 < \ldots < x_m \leq L \), respectively. In Section 2.11 we suppressed the dependence on the Bethe
roots and indicated the inhomogeneities with the additional label “inh”, cf. \(2.11.4\). For convenience we spell out the dependence on these variables explicitly and write the inhomogenous wave-function as

\[
\Phi(v, z, x) = \sum_P A(z_{P(1)}, \ldots, z_{P(m)}) \prod_{k=1}^m \phi_x(z_{P(k)}, v), \quad (6.1.7)
\]

where the sum is over all permutations \(P\) of \(m\) elements, the amplitude part \(A(z_{P(1)}, \ldots, z_{P(m)}) = A(P)\) is given in \(2.10.7\) and the single particle wave-function takes the form

\[
\phi_x(z, v) = \prod_{j=1}^{x-1} (z - v_j + 1) \prod_{j=x+1}^{L} (z - v_j). \quad (6.1.8)
\]

Now, we are in the position to express the partition function \((6.1.3)\) in terms of the Bethe wave-function \((6.1.7)\). After identifying the positions of the magnons of the wave-function \(x_i\) with the variables \(\tilde{x}_i\) introduced above, the partition function is calculated in two simple steps

1. For a Baxter lattice with \(N\) lines, we employ a wave function with length \(L = 2N\) and \(m = N\) excitations.

2. The inhomogeneities \(v\) and the Bethe roots \(z\) are given in terms of the rapidities \(\theta\) and \(G\). For each line \(k\) with endpoints \((i_k, j_k)\) we set

\[
v_{i_k} = \theta_k + 1, \quad v_{j_k} = \theta_k + 2, \quad z_k = \theta_k + 1. \quad (6.1.9)
\]

Note that the wave function \((6.1.7)\) is invariant under permutations of the Bethe roots.

Under these identifications, we obtain the desired expression for the partition function \((6.1.3)\) in terms of the Bethe wave-function \((6.1.7)\):

\[
Z(G, \theta, \alpha) = C(G, \theta)^{-1}(-1)^{K(G, \alpha)}\Phi(v, z, x). \quad (6.1.10)
\]

The exponent \(K(G, \alpha)\) counts the number of endpoints \(i_k\) with state label \(\alpha_{i_k} = 2,\)

\[
K(G, \alpha) = \left| \{i_k | \alpha_{i_k} = 2\} \right|. \quad (6.1.11)
\]

It will become clear in the framework of the quantum inverse scattering method that this factor is an artefact of the crossing relation in \((3.6.13)\), see Section \(6.3\). The \(\alpha\)-independent normalization is given by

\[
C(G, \theta) = \Phi(v, z, x_0), \quad (6.1.12)
\]

where \(x_0 = (i_1, \ldots, i_N)\) is obtained from \((6.1.5)\) with \(\alpha_0 = (1, \ldots, 1)\), which means the state labels are 1 at all \(2N\) endpoints.

Remarkably, the choice of inhomogeneities and Bethe roots \((6.1.9)\) yields a solution of the corresponding Bethe equations \((2.8.18)\). This can be seen after writing the Bethe equations in polynomial form in order to avoid divergencies, cf. \((2.8.17)\).
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In this section we study the partition function of Baxter lattices for a wider class of Boltzmann weights assigned to the vertices. We extend the algebra from $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ to $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ and allow for more general representations $\Lambda$ assigned to each line. Note that the representations may differ from line to line. We derive a characteristic identity satisfied by these partition functions and translate it into a set of eigenvalue equations within the context of the QISM. In particular, the partition function for a fixed line configuration $Z$ is identified with a component of a vector $|\Psi\rangle$ which is an eigenvector of all elements of a certain spin chain monodromy $M_{ab}(z)$ as introduced in (2.5.1). As discussed in Chapter 4, such monodromies provide realizations of the Yangian algebra $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(n))$. We will see, that the aforementioned set of eigenvalue equations characterizes vectors $|\Psi\rangle$ that are Yangian invariant. In Section 6.3.2, we will encounter examples of Yangian invariants outside the framework of Baxter lattices. Their existence can be seen as a consequence of the Bootstrap equation (3.11.1).

6.2.1. Vertex models on Baxter lattices

As discussed in Section 6.1.1, a Baxter lattice is determined by the lattice configuration $G$, the set of rapidities $\theta$ and the state configuration at the boundary $\alpha$. When generalizing to representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ we employ the Boltzmann weights given by the R-matrix $R_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2}$ as introduced in (3.2.4)

$$\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 | R_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2}(u_1 - u_2) | \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle = \alpha_1 \beta_2 - \beta_1 \alpha_2. \quad (6.2.1)$$

In this case, the variables in the set $\alpha$ take the values $1, \ldots, \dim \Lambda_i$ depending on the representation $\Lambda_i$ carried by the corresponding line $i$. To keep track of the different representations carried by the lines in the Baxter lattice we introduce the ordered set

$$\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_N). \quad (6.2.2)$$

Following the ordinary prescription introduced in (3.1.6), we can calculate the partition function from the explicit Boltzmann weights. It depends on the variables

$$Z = Z(G, \Lambda, \theta, \alpha). \quad (6.2.3)$$

An example is shown in Figure 6.2.1. Again, we impose that if there is a line without any intersection but different states at the boundary, the partition function vanishes.

6.2.2. Partition function as an eigenvalue problem

To understand the partition function of a Baxter lattice as an eigenvalue problem within the framework of the quantum inverse scattering method we introduce a dashed arc which
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Figure 6.2.1.: Baxter lattice with $N = 3$ lines and $G = ((1, 3), (2, 5), (4, 6))$. To each line we assign a $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ representation $\Lambda_k$ and a spectral parameter $\theta_k$. The corresponding partition function is calculated via

$$Z(G, \Lambda, \theta, \alpha) = \sum \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 | R_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}(\theta_1 - \theta_2) | \alpha_3, \gamma \rangle \langle \gamma, \alpha_4 | R_{\Lambda_2, \Lambda_3}(\theta_2 - \theta_3) | \alpha_5, \alpha_6 \rangle$$

Figure 6.2.2.: Baxter lattice where an additional auxiliary space indicated by the dashed line has been introduced at the boundary. The auxiliary space is in the fundamental representation $\Box$ with spectral parameter $z$ and has states labels $a$, $b$ assigned to its endpoints.

is opened at the reference point $B$ at the boundary that was previously indicated by the dotted line. In contrast to Baxter’s original construction it represents an actual space that we term auxiliary space.\footnote{Note that the lines of the Baxter lattice are slightly extended such that they intersect the arc.} Such a lattice is depicted Figure 6.2.2. The auxiliary space $V_{\Box} = \mathbb{C}^n$ carries the fundamental representation $\Box$ of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ as well as a spectral parameter $z$. The orientation of the line is chosen counterclockwise. The states at the endpoints of the auxiliary line are labeled by the indices $a$ and $b$ which may take the
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values $1, \ldots, n$. As the auxiliary space intersects all other lines twice, it introduces an additional layer of $2N$ vertices at the boundary of the Baxter lattice. The Boltzmann weights at these vertices correspond to elements of R-matrices of the type $R_{\square, \Lambda}$ or $R_{\Lambda, \square}$ introduced in Section 3.9. As discussed in Section 2.4, the Lax operators $R_{\square, \Lambda}$ satisfies a Yang-Baxter equation of the form

\[
(6.2.4)
\]

In addition, we demand the unitarity condition introduced in Section 3.8

\[
R_{\square, \Lambda}(z - \theta)R_{\Lambda, \square}(\theta - z) = 1, \quad \text{i.e.}
\]

\[
(6.2.5)
\]

using the graphical notation. As outlined in Section 3.9, the unitarity condition (6.2.5) implies certain restrictions on the representations in the quantum space (3.9.2) and constrains the normalization of the R-matrices $R_{\square, \Lambda}$ and $R_{\Lambda, \square}$.

Making use of the Yang-Baxter equation (6.2.4) and the unitarity condition (6.2.5) we can disentangle the auxiliary space (dashed line) from the $N$ spaces defining the Baxter lattice (solid lines). Graphically one easily sees that this yields a non-trivial identity for the partition function $Z(G, \Lambda, \theta, \alpha)$ of a Baxter lattice, see Figure 6.2.3. To obtain this identity for a general Baxter lattice, we study the expression for the partition function of the vertices sharing the auxiliary space

\[
Z_{ab}^{arc}(z, G, \Lambda, \theta, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{c_1, \ldots, c_{2N-1}}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{N} \langle c_{i_k-1}, \alpha_{i_k} | R_{\square, \Lambda_k}(z - \theta_k) | c_{i_k}, \beta_{i_k} \rangle \right)
\cdot \langle \beta_{j_k}, c_{j_k-1} | R_{\Lambda_k, \square}(\theta_k - z) | \alpha_{j_k}, c_{j_k} \rangle \right)_{c_0 := a, c_{2N} := b},
\]

where each of the $N$ lines of the lattice contributes two weights. While the variables $c_i$ with $i = 1, \ldots, 2N - 1$ are assigned to the internal edges of the auxiliary space, the states $c_0 := a$ and $c_{2N} := b$ are associated to the external ones. The variables $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{2N})$
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Figure 6.2.3.: An identity for $Z(G, \Lambda, \theta, \alpha)$ of the Baxter lattice in Figure 6.2.1 is obtained by disentangling the dashed auxiliary line from the solid line $s$ using (6.2.4) and (6.2.5). Here, the lattice has been deformed to emphasize that the row of vertices involving the auxiliary line will be written as a monodromy shortly, cf. Figure 6.2.4.

Label the states at the edges that connect the layer of $2N$ vertices to the Baxter lattice, cf. Figure 6.2.2. Equating the Baxter lattice entangled with the auxiliary space to the disentangled situation, we find

$$
\sum_\beta Z_{arc}^{ab}(z, G, \Lambda, \theta, \alpha, \beta) Z(G, \Lambda, \theta, \alpha, \beta) = \delta_{ab} Z(G, \Lambda, \theta, \alpha).
$$

(6.2.7)

Here, the unraveled auxiliary line simply translates into a Kronecker delta $\delta_{ab}$ on the right-hand-side of (6.2.7), compare Figure 6.2.3, which is compatible with the way we treated the non-intersecting lines of the Baxter lattice.

The summed-over Boltzmann weights in $Z_{arc}^{ab}$ can be written as matrix elements of an inhomogeneous spin chain monodromy $\mathcal{M}(z)$ with $L = 2N$ sites. This allows to establish a link with the QISM. We encountered a rather similar situation at the end of Section 3.3.

The monodromy is introduced as

$$
\mathcal{M}(z) = R_{\Box, \Xi_1}(z - v_1) \cdots R_{\Box, \Xi_L}(z - v_L) = \Box, z \quad \cdots \quad \Xi_1, v_1 \quad \Xi_L, v_L,
$$

(6.2.8)

cf. (2.5.1). To avoid possible confusion with the representation labels of the lines in the Baxter lattice we call the representation labels at the $j$th site $\Xi_j$. The auxiliary space (dashed line) carries the fundamental representation $\Box$ and the matrix elements of the monodromy with respect to this space are denoted as

$$
\mathcal{M}_{ab}(z) := \langle a | \mathcal{M}(z) | b \rangle.
$$

(6.2.9)

They are still operators in the quantum space. In what follows, we require the Lax operators associated to the Boltzmann weights in (6.2.6) to satisfy the crossing relation
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Furthermore, using the symmetry relation (3.9.4), see also Figure 3.9.1, we obtain

$$\langle \alpha, \beta | R_{\Box} (z - \theta + \gamma) | b, \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha, a | R_{\Box} (\theta - z) | \beta, b \rangle,$$

(6.2.10)

with $\gamma$ defined in (3.9.2). Graphically we denote this relation as

$$\alpha \quad \Box, z \quad \beta$$

$$\alpha \quad \Box, z \quad \beta$$

Applying the crossing relation (6.2.10) to the weights in the second line of (6.2.6) yields

$$Z_{abc} (z, G, \Lambda, \theta, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{c_1, \ldots, c_{2N} = 1}^{N} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{N} \langle c_{i_{k} - 1}, \alpha_{i_{k}} | R_{\Box \Lambda_{k}} (z - \theta_{k}) | c_{i_{k}}, \beta_{i_{k}} \rangle \right)$$

$$\cdot \langle c_{j_{k} - 1}, \alpha_{j_{k}} | R_{\Box \bar{\Lambda}_{k}} (z - \theta_{k} + \gamma_{k}) | c_{j_{k}}, \beta_{j_{k}} \rangle \biggr|_{c_0 = a} \biggr|_{c_{2N} = b} \biggr).$$

(6.2.12)

In this form the index structure is such that all weights combine into matrix elements of the monodromy (6.2.8) with $L = 2N$ sites

$$Z_{abc} (z, G, \Lambda, \theta, \alpha, \beta) = \langle \alpha | M_{abc} (z) | \beta \rangle,$$

(6.2.13)

satisfying the RTT-relation.

We used the notation $| \beta \rangle := | \beta_1 \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes | \beta_{2N} \rangle \in V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{2N}$ for the basis vectors of the quantum space. The labels of the total quantum space of the monodromy $\mathcal{M}$ are hidden. Thus there is no analogue of the labels $G, \Lambda, \theta$ on the right-hand-side of (6.2.13). However, for each line $k$ of the Baxter lattice with endpoints $i_k < j_k$ specified in $G$ we obtain two spin chain sites with representations and inhomogeneities given by

$$\Xi_{i_k} = \Lambda_k, \quad v_{i_k} = \theta_k \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi_{j_k} = \bar{\Lambda}_k, \quad v_{j_k} = \theta_k - \gamma_k.$$

(6.2.14)

As an example, the spin chain corresponding to the Baxter lattice in Figure 6.2.3 can be found in Figure 6.2.4.

The partition function of the vertex model defines a vector $| \Psi \rangle$ in the quantum space of the spin chain via

$$\langle \alpha | \Psi \rangle := Z (G, \Lambda, \theta, \alpha).$$

(6.2.15)

This allows us to translate the identity (6.2.7) partition function into

$$\langle \alpha | M_{abc} (z) | \Psi \rangle = \delta_{ab} \langle \alpha | \Psi \rangle,$$

(6.2.16)
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Figure 6.2.4.: Applying (6.2.11) in Figure 6.2.3, we can transform all vertical lines such that they have the same orientation. The representations are given by \( \Lambda_i \) and \( \bar{\Lambda}_i \) and the inhomogeneities take the values \( \theta_i \) and \( \bar{\theta}_i = \theta_i - \gamma_i \), cf. (6.2.14). This determines the corresponding spin chain.

using (6.2.9), (6.2.15) and the orthonormality of the states \( |\beta\rangle \). Dropping the bra \( \langle \alpha | \) in (6.2.16), we obtain the previously mentioned set of eigenvalue equations. These equations characterize the vector \( |\Psi\rangle \), which, according to (6.2.15), is built out of the partition functions of a Baxter lattice for all possible boundary configurations \( \alpha \). Equation (6.2.16) tells us that \( |\Psi\rangle \) is a simultaneous eigenvector of all matrix elements of the monodromy (6.2.8) with representation labels and inhomogeneities given (6.2.14). The eigenvector \( |\Psi\rangle \) is special because its eigenvalues are fixed to be 1 for the diagonal monodromy elements and 0 for the off-diagonal ones. Remarkably, (6.2.16) is an eigenvalue problem that can be solved within the framework of the quantum inverse scattering method.

6.2.3. Yangian algebra and invariants

In this section we will analyze the characteristic eigenvalue equation (6.2.16) in the context of the Yangian discussed in Chapter 4. We continue to employ the spin chain monodromy (6.2.8), but allow for general representations \( \Xi_i \) subject to the condition (3.9.2) and inhomogeneities \( v_i \), which in general do not have to obey the restrictions (6.2.14). Furthermore, an odd number of sites \( L \) is now also permitted which was not meaningful in the context of Section 6.2.2 as each line of the Baxter lattice gave rise to exactly two sites.

Let us recall the eigenvalue equation (6.2.16) in the more general context of the current section. Omitting the bra \( \langle \alpha | \), the set of eigenvalue equations\(^{28}\) reads

\[
\mathcal{M}_{ab}(z)|\Psi\rangle = \delta_{ab}|\Psi\rangle .
\]  

\(^{28}\)In [147,148] such a set of equations is shown to be satisfied by the physical vacuum state of integrable two-dimensional quantum field theories.
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It may be depicted as

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\square, z \rightarrow \cdots \\
\Xi_1, v_1 & \Xi_L, v_L
\end{array}
\end{array}
\rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\square, z \rightarrow \cdots \\
\Xi_1, v_1 & \Xi_L, v_L
\end{array}
\end{array}
\rightleftharpoons
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\square, z \rightarrow \cdots \\
\Xi_1, v_1 & \Xi_L, v_L
\end{array}
\end{array}
\rightleftharpoons
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\square, z \rightarrow \cdots \\
\Xi_1, v_1 & \Xi_L, v_L
\end{array}
\end{array},
\end{array} \tag{6.2.18} \]

following the graphical notation for the monodromy (6.2.8) and Figure 6.2.3. It follows from (6.2.17) that all Yangian generators in the inverse expansion of the spectral parameter \( z \) annihilate the vector \( |\Psi\rangle \), i.e.

\[ M_{ab}^{(r)} |\Psi\rangle = 0 \tag{6.2.19} \]

for \( r \geq 1 \), cf. (4.1.5). This means that \( |\Psi\rangle \) forms a one-dimensional representation of the Yangian. Thus, we call \( |\Psi\rangle \) Yangian invariant. The observation that (6.2.17) characterizes Yangian invariants is the main result of this section. Compared to the expanded version (6.2.19), which is on the level of Drinfeld’s first realization presented in Appendix B, equation (6.2.17) has the advantage that it may be understood within the QISM. As a result, powerful mathematical tools become applicable. The formulation (6.2.17) will be exploited in Section 6.5, where this eigenvalue problem is solved using the algebraic Bethe ansatz.

Note that we have seen that the partition function \( Z \) of a vertex model on a Baxter lattice can be interpreted as a component of a Yangian invariant vector \( |\Psi\rangle \), cf. (6.2.15). However, a generic solution \( |\Psi\rangle \) of (6.2.17) with a more general monodromy \( \mathcal{M}(z) \) built from representations \( \Xi_i \) and inhomogeneities \( v_i \) not obeying the conditions (6.2.14) and possibly containing an odd number of sites \( L \) will in general not correspond to a partition function in the sense of Section 6.2.2. Hence, we symbolically denote \( |\Psi\rangle \) in (6.2.18) by a “black box” without specifying the interior. In Section 6.3.2 we will indeed find solutions of the Yangian invariance condition (6.2.17) that go beyond the Baxter lattices of Section 6.2.2. The graphical representation of these solutions not only contains lines and four-valent vertices, i.e. R-matrices, but also trivalent vertices discussed previously in Section 3.10 and 3.11.

We end this section with a remark on a reformulation of Yangian invariance. The condition in the form (6.2.17) can naturally be understood as an intertwining relation of the tensor product of the first \( K \) with the remaining \( L - K \) spaces of the total quantum space. For this purpose we split the monodromy (6.2.8) as

\[ \mathcal{M}(z) = R_{\square,\Xi_1}(z - v_1) \cdots R_{\square,\Xi_K}(z - v_K) \]

\[ \cdot R_{\square,\Xi_{K+1}}(z - v_{K+1}) \cdots R_{\square,\Xi_L}(z - v_L). \tag{6.2.20} \]
Conjugating (6.2.17) in the first $K$ spaces and using (6.2.5) and (6.2.10) yields the intertwining relation

$$R_{\Xi,K} (z - v_{K+1}) \cdots R_{\Xi,L} (z - v_L) \mathcal{O}_\Psi = \mathcal{O}_\Psi R_{\Xi,K} (z - v_K + \gamma_K) \cdots R_{\Xi,L} (z - v_L + \gamma_L),$$

(6.2.21)

where $\mathcal{O}_\Psi := |\Psi\rangle^\dagger \cdots ^\dagger$. Using the notation $\bar{v}_i = v_i - \gamma_i$, this relation is graphically depicted as

In the case where $\mathcal{O}_\Psi$ corresponds to the partition function $Z$ of a Baxter lattice, this equation is nothing but a consequence of $Z$-invariance, cf. [91] and also Section 3.7. An equation of the type (6.2.21) also appeared in the context of a spectral parameter deformation of planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills scattering amplitudes [41]. There it was referred to as “generalized Yang-Baxter equation”. In the scattering problem, Yangian invariance of undeformed tree-level amplitudes is usually formulated in the sense of (6.2.19), see [36] and e.g. [149]. At this point, we like to refer the reader to Appendix H where a curious relation between the invariants under study and the BCFW recursion relation is pointed out.

6.3. Yangian invariants in oscillator formalism

In Section 6.2.3 we characterized Yangian invariants by the eigenvalue equations (6.2.17) for matrix elements of a monodromy and equivalently by the associated intertwining relation (6.2.21). Here we will begin our study of (6.2.17) by working out explicit solutions $|\Psi\rangle$ in a number of concrete examples. We restrict our analysis to monodromies $\mathcal{M}(z)$, where the total quantum space is built by tensoring finite-dimensional totally symmetric representations $\mathfrak{s}$ and their conjugates $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$, i.e. $\Xi_i = \mathfrak{s}_i$ or $\Xi_i = \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots L$ in (6.2.22). We need these conjugate representations to make sure that the total quantum space contains a $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ singlet, which is a necessary criterion for Yangian invariants, see (6.2.19) for the case $r = 1$. Note that as discussed in Section 5.6, the conjugate representation $\bar{s}$ for
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\( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \) can be related to the representations \( s \) via a similarity transform, cf. (3.6.13). This allowed us to write the partition function in terms of the wave-function with fundamental representation at each site. A detailed study of this relation can be found in Section 6.4 of [48].

The representations \( s \) and \( \overline{s} \) are realized in terms of oscillator algebras, see Section 6.3.1. Since the non-zero eigenvalues appearing in (6.2.17) are identical to 1, the normalization of the Lax operators used in the construction is clearly important and will be discussed in some detail. After that we are in place to construct the sought solutions in Section 6.3.2.

Our first and simplest examples are the two-site monodromies of Section 6.3.2, where the representations of the two sites are necessarily conjugate to each other. The inhomogeneities are then fixed by demanding Yangian invariance, i.e. (6.2.17). This solution \( |\Psi\rangle \) is graphically represented by a Baxter lattice consisting of a single line. In Section 6.3.2 we construct three-site invariants. The corresponding intertwiner \( O_\Psi \) satisfying (6.2.21) is interpreted as a solution of a bootstrap equation discussed in Section 3.11. Although these invariants leave the framework of Section 6.2.2, they are naturally included in our definition of Yangian invariants. Finally, in Section 6.3.2 we study the Yangian invariant related to the first non-trivial Baxter lattice consisting of two intersecting lines. The associated intertwiner \( O_\Psi \) contains a free parameter \( u \) and turns out to be the \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \)-invariant \( R \)-matrix \( R_{s,s'}(u) \) for arbitrary totally symmetric representations \( s, s' \). We obtain a compact expression for this \( R \)-matrix in a certain oscillator basis. The spectral parameter \( u \) of the \( R \)-matrix should not be confused with that of the auxiliary space in Section 6.2 denoted by \( z \).

6.3.1. Oscillators, Lax operators and monodromies

We start by specifying the two types of oscillator realizations of the \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) algebra (2.3.4), which will be used for the local quantum spaces of the monodromy (6.2.3). These representations are labeled by their highest weight, cf. Appendix A. Consider the totally symmetric representation of \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) with highest weight \( s = (s, 0, \ldots, 0) \), where \( s \) is a non-negative integer. We build these representations from a single family of oscillators \( a_a \) with \( a = 1, \ldots, n \). Furthermore, the highest weight \( \overline{s} = (0, \ldots, 0, -s) \) is constructed using a second family of \( n \) oscillators \( b_a \). The \( n^2 \) generators \( J_{ab} \) of the representation \( s \) and the second set of \( n^2 \) generators \( \overline{J}_{ab} \) of \( \overline{s} \) are given by

\[
J_{ab} = +\overline{a}_a a_b \quad \text{with} \quad [a_a, \overline{a}_b] = \delta_{ab}, \quad a_a |0\rangle = 0, \quad \overline{a}_a = a_a^\dagger, \\
\overline{J}_{ab} = -\overline{b}_b b_a \quad \text{with} \quad [b_a, \overline{b}_b] = \delta_{ab}, \quad b_a |0\rangle = 0, \quad \overline{b}_a = b_a^\dagger.
\]  

(6.3.1)

It can be checked that this realization of the \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) generators satisfies the commutation relations (2.3.4). Commutators of oscillators that are not specified by these relations vanish. See e.g. [150] for a review of such Jordan-Schwinger-type realizations of the \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) algebra. The generators (6.3.1) act on the representation spaces \( V_s \) and \( V_{\overline{s}} \). These spaces consist of homogeneous polynomials of degree \( s \) in, respectively, the creation operators \( \overline{a}_a \) and \( \overline{b}_a \) acting on the Fock vacuum \( |0\rangle \). Therefore the number operators \( \sum_{a=1}^n \overline{a}_a a_a \) and
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\[ \sum_{a=1}^{n} \tilde{b}_a b_a \text{ both take the value } s. \] The highest weight states in \( V_s \) and \( \tilde{V}_s \) are

\[ |\sigma\rangle = (\tilde{a}_1)^s|0\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad J_{aa}|\sigma\rangle = +s \delta_{1a}|\sigma\rangle, \quad J_{ab}|\sigma\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad a < b, \]

\[ |\tilde{\sigma}\rangle = (\tilde{b}_n)^s|0\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{J}_{aa}|\tilde{\sigma}\rangle = -s \delta_{na}|\tilde{\sigma}\rangle, \quad \tilde{J}_{ab}|\tilde{\sigma}\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad a < b, \] respectively. We find that for \( J_{ab} = \tilde{a}_a a_b \) the condition (3.9.2) is satisfied

\[ \sum_{c=1}^{n} J_{ca} J_{bc} = (s - 1) J_{ba} + s \delta_{ba}, \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \gamma = s - 1, \quad \sigma = s . \] on the space of homogeneous polynomials. The representation \( \tilde{s} \) is conjugate to \( s \) in the sense of (3.6.1),

\[ \tilde{J}_{ab} \big|_{b_a \rightarrow a_a} = -J_{ab}^\dagger . \] The Lax operators for the two realizations defined in (6.3.1) read

\[ R_{\square, s}(z - v) = f_s(z - v) \left( 1 + (z - v)^{-1} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} e_{ab} \tilde{a}_a a_a \right) = \square, \quad \text{as} \]

\[ R_{\square, \tilde{s}}(z - v) = f_{\tilde{s}}(z - v) \left( 1 - (z - v)^{-1} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} e_{ab} \tilde{b}_a b_a \right) = \square, \quad \text{as} \]

compare (2.3.5). As discussed in Section 6.2.2, we require these Lax operators to possess the properties of unitarity (6.2.5) and crossing (6.2.10). These conditions impose constraints on the normalizations \( f_s(z) \). Following Section 3.9, we obtain \( f_s(z) \)

\[ f_s(-u)f_s(u - s + 1) = \frac{u(u - s + 1)}{u(u - s + 1) - s}, \quad f_{\tilde{s}}(-u)f_{\tilde{s}}(u - n - s + 1) = 1 , \] using the characteristic relation (6.3.3) in (3.9.6) and (3.9.10), respectively. The two normalizations in (6.3.5) are related via

\[ f_s(z) = f_{\tilde{s}}(-z) , \] cf. (3.9.15). We have derived the appropriate normalization \( f_s(z) \) in (3.11.6) using the fusion procedure at the end of Section 3.11. It is specified up to a periodic function also called CDD-factor [97].

We concentrate on monodromies \( M(z) \) of the form (6.2.8), which are built entirely out of the two types of Lax operators (6.3.5) and (6.3.6) with the crossing normalization as
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discussed above. Consequently, at the $i$th site of the monodromy the representation of the local quantum space is $\Xi_i = s_i$ or $\Xi_i = \bar{s}_i$ and the oscillator families building these representations are labeled $a_i^i$ or $b_i^i$, respectively. Further restricting to monodromies that allow for solutions $|\Psi\rangle$ of the Yangian invariance condition (6.2.17), one finds severe constraints on the representation labels $s_i$ and inhomogeneities $v_i$.

A class of such monodromies is obtained by considering Baxter lattices in the sense of Section 6.2.2, where each line carries either a symmetric representation or a conjugate one. If the $k$th line of the Baxter lattice with endpoints $i_k < j_k$ and spectral parameter $\theta_k$ carries a symmetric representation labeled by $\Lambda_k = s_{i_k}$, then according to (6.2.14), the monodromy $M(z)$ contains the two sites

$$\Xi_{i_k} = s_{i_k}, \quad v_{i_k} = \theta_k \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi_{j_k} = \bar{s}_{j_k}, \quad v_{j_k} = \theta_k - s_{i_k} + 1$$

with $s_{i_k} = s_{j_k}$. (6.3.9)

As a consequence, in $\mathcal{M}(z)$ the Lax operator $R_{\square s_{i_k}}(z - v_{i_k})$ with the symmetric representation is placed left of $R_{\square \bar{s}_{j_k}}(z - v_{j_k})$ with the conjugate representation. If instead the $k$th line carries the conjugate representation $\Lambda_k = \bar{s}_{i_k}$, we obtain

$$\Xi_{i_k} = \bar{s}_{i_k}, \quad v_{i_k} = \theta_k \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi_{j_k} = s_{j_k}, \quad v_{j_k} = \theta_k + s_{i_k} - 1 + n$$

with $s_{i_k} = s_{j_k}$. (6.3.10)

from (6.2.14). In this case the Lax operator with the conjugate representation is to the left of the one with the symmetric representation.

Let us comment on the normalization of the monodromies considered in the remainder of Section 6.3. The constraints on their representation labels and inhomogeneities guarantee that the gamma functions in the normalizations of the different Lax operators cancel and only a rational function in $z$ remains.

6.3.2. Sample invariants

After these preparations, we are in the position to actually solve (6.2.17) for a number of simple examples. From now on, we label the monodromies $\mathcal{M}_{L,K}(z)$ and the Yangian invariants $|\Psi_{L,K}\rangle$ by the total number of sites $L$ and the number $K$ of sites carrying a conjugate representation of type $\bar{s}$. This is motivated by Section 6.4 where the invariant $|\Psi_{L,K}\rangle$ is compared with the $L$-particle $N^{K-2}$MHV tree-level scattering amplitude of planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory. In addition, we focus on monodromies $\mathcal{M}_{L,K}(z)$ whose sites with conjugate representations of type $\bar{s}$ are all to the left of the sites with $s$. This order corresponds to the gauge fixing used in the Grassmannian integral formulation in Section 6.4.

Line and identity operator

The simplest Yangian invariant $|\Psi_{2,1}\rangle$ solving (6.2.17) corresponds to a Baxter lattice consisting of a single line. In order to obtain the associated monodromy $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}(z)$ where
the site with the conjugate representation is situated to the left of the symmetric one, we choose the line in the Baxter lattice to carry a conjugate representation, cf. (6.3.10) and see Figure 6.3.1.

This leads to the length-two monodromy

\[ \mathcal{M}_{2,1}(z) = R_{\square,s_1}(z-v_1)R_{\square,s_2}(z-v_2) \]  

(6.3.11)

with the constraints on the representation labels and inhomogeneities

\[ v_1 = v_2 - n - s_2 + 1, \quad s_1 = s_2. \]  

(6.3.12)

Recalling the Baxter lattice associated to this particular monodromy, we happily notice that (6.3.12) agrees with (6.3.10). The overall normalization of the monodromy (6.3.11) originating from those of the Lax operators (6.3.5) and (6.3.6) trivializes,

\[ f_{s_1}(z-v_1)f_{s_2}(z-v_2) = 1, \]  

(6.3.13)

where we used (6.3.12) and subsequently the unitarity condition for \( f_a(z) \) in (6.3.7) and the relation between the two normalizations \( f_a(z) \) and \( f_{\bar{a}}(z) \) in (6.3.8). We can now easily solve (6.2.17) to obtain the explicit form of the invariant,

\[ \psi_{2,1} = (\bar{b} \cdot \bar{a}^2)^{s_2}|0\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{b}^i \cdot \bar{a}^j := \sum_{a=1}^{n} \bar{b}^i_a \bar{a}^j_a, \]  

(6.3.14)

where we recall that the upper indices on the oscillators refer to the sites of the monodromy. This solution is unique up to a scalar factor, which clearly drops out of (6.2.17). To obtain the intertwiner associated to the invariant \( \psi_{2,1} \) we employ (6.2.21) with \( K = 1 \) and use the value of the crossing parameter according to (6.3.3). This leads to

\[ R_{\square,s_2}(z-v_2)\mathcal{O}_{\psi_{2,1}} = \mathcal{O}_{\psi_{2,1}} R_{\square,s_1}(z-v_2) \]  

(6.3.15)
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Figure 6.3.2.: The left part corresponds to the l.h.s. of (6.2.17) for $|\Psi_{3,1}\rangle$, i.e. $\mathcal{M}_{3,1}(z) |\Psi_{3,1}\rangle$. It contains a (solid) trivalent vertex, which is an extension of the usual Baxter lattice, and a dashed auxiliary line. Using the crossing relation (6.2.10) and the crossing parameters in (6.3.8), the Boltzmann weights involving the auxiliary line can be reformulated as elements of the monodromy $\mathcal{M}_{3,1}(z)$, as is shown on the right side. The necessary constraints on the representation labels and inhomogeneities of the monodromy may be found in (6.3.18).

with

$$O_{\Psi_{2,1}} := |\Psi_{2,1}\rangle \langle 1| = \sum_{a_1, \ldots, a_2=1}^n \bar{a}_{a_1}^2 \cdots \bar{a}_{a_2}^2 |0\rangle \langle 0| b_{a_1}^1 \cdots b_{a_2}^1 .$$

(6.3.16)

After identifying the representation spaces $V_{s_1}$ and $V_{s_2}$, which is possible because of $s_1 = s_2$ in (6.3.12), we see that $O_{\Psi_{2,1}}$ reduces to $s_2!$ times the identity operator.

Three-vertices and bootstrap equations

The next simplest Yangian invariants are characterized by monodromies with three sites and are of the type $|\Psi_{3,1}\rangle$ or $|\Psi_{3,2}\rangle$. We restrict once more to the case where the sites with conjugate representations are to the left of those with symmetric ones. These three-site invariants clearly leave the framework of Section 6.2.2. We represent them graphically by an extension of the Baxter lattice, which in this case consists of a trivalent vertex, compare Section 3.11. See Figure 6.3.2 and 6.3.3 for the invariants $|\Psi_{3,1}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{3,2}\rangle$, respectively.

We start with a monodromy containing one conjugate site,

$$\mathcal{M}_{3,1}(z) = R_{\Box,s_1}(z - v_1) R_{\Box,s_2}(z - v_2) R_{\Box,s_3}(z - v_3) ,$$

(6.3.17)

see also the right part of Figure 6.3.2. Now the Yangian invariance condition (6.2.17) can be easily solved if the parameters obey

$$v_2 = v_1 + n + s_2 + s_3 - 1 , \quad v_3 = v_1 + n + s_3 - 1 , \quad s_1 = s_2 + s_3 .$$

(6.3.18)

In this case the normalizations of the Lax operators of type (6.3.5) and (6.3.6) appearing in (6.3.17) trivializes using the relation

$$f_s(u) f_{s'}(u + s) = f_{s+s'}(u) ,$$

(6.3.19)
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\[ \bar{s}_1 + \bar{s}_2, v'_3 := v_3 - s_1 - s_2 + 1 - n \]

\[ \square, z \quad \overset{a}{\longrightarrow} \quad \bar{s}_2, v'_3 \quad \overset{b}{\longrightarrow} \quad \bar{s}_1, z \]

\[ \mathcal{M}_{3,2}(z) = \square, z \]

\[ \bar{s}_1, v_1 \quad \bar{s}_2, v_2 \quad s_3, v_3 \]

Figure 6.3.3.: The l.h.s. \( \mathcal{M}_{3,2}(z) |\Psi_{3,2}\) of (6.2.17) for \(|\Psi_{3,2}\rangle\) corresponds to the lattice in the left part. It consists of an extended Baxter lattice in form of a trivalent vertex and a dashed auxiliary space. The Boltzmann weights containing the auxiliary space can be formulated as elements of a monodromy \( \mathcal{M}_{3,2}(z) \) using the crossing relation (6.2.10) with (6.3.8). This monodromy is shown in the right part and the parameters of the monodromy obey the constraints (6.3.25).

which follows from (3.11.6), the unitarity condition for \( f_\bar{a}(z) \) and finally expressing \( f_\bar{a}(z) \) in terms of \( f_a(z) \) with the help of (6.3.8):

\[ f_{\bar{a}_1}(z - v_1)f_{a_2}(z - v_2)f_{\bar{a}_3}(z - v_3) = 1 . \] (6.3.20)

Then one immediately checks that the solution of (6.2.17) is given by

\[ |\Psi_{3,1}\rangle = (\bar{b}^1 \cdot \bar{a}^2)^{s_2}(\bar{b}^1 \cdot \bar{a}^3)^{s_3}|0\rangle, \] (6.3.21)

where we fixed a possible scalar prefactor. We once again proceed to the corresponding intertwining relation. From its general form in (6.2.21) we obtain for \( K = 1 \) the relation

\[ R_{\square,s_2}(z - v_2)R_{\square,s_3}(z - v_2 + s_2)O_{\Psi_{3,1}} = O_{\Psi_{3,1}}R_{\square,s_1}(z - v_2) \] (6.3.22)

with

\[ O_{\Psi_{3,1}} := |\Psi_{3,1}\rangle^\dagger = \sum_{\substack{a_1,\ldots,a_{s_2} \\ b_1,\ldots,b_{s_3}}} \bar{a}^2_{a_1} \cdots \bar{a}^2_{a_{s_2}} \bar{a}^3_{b_1} \cdots \bar{a}^3_{b_{s_3}} |0\rangle \langle 0| b^1_{a_1} \cdots b^1_{a_{s_2}} b^1_{b_1} \cdots b^1_{b_{s_3}} . \] (6.3.23)

The intertwining relation (6.3.22) is usually referred to as the bootstrap equation, cf. (3.11.1).

We move on to a monodromy with two conjugate sites on the left,

\[ \mathcal{M}_{3,2}(z) = R_{\square,s_1}(z - v_1)R_{\square,s_2}(z - v_2)R_{\square,s_3}(z - v_3) , \] (6.3.24)

see also the right part of Figure 6.3.3. Looking for solutions \(|\Psi_{3,2}\rangle\) of (6.2.17) with this monodromy again leads to constraints on the representation labels and inhomogeneities,

\[ v_1 = v_3 - n - s_1 + 1 , \quad v_2 = v_3 - n - s_3 + 1 , \quad s_3 = s_1 + s_2 . \] (6.3.25)
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Analogously to the discussion of the other three-site invariant, the normalization of the monodromy (6.3.24) trivializes using (6.3.25):

\[ f_{s_1}(z - v_1)f_{s_2}(z - v_2)f_{s_3}(z - v_3) = 1. \] (6.3.26)

The explicit expression for the solution of (6.2.17) turns out to be

\[ |\Psi_{3,2}\rangle = (\bar{b}^1 \cdot \bar{a}^3)^{s_1}(\bar{b}^2 \cdot \bar{a}^3)^{s_2}|0\rangle. \] (6.3.27)

Again we fixed a scalar prefactor. We employ the intertwining relation (6.2.21) in this case with \( K = 2 \) to derive the bootstrap equation

\[ R_{\bigtriangleup,s_3}(z - v_3)\mathcal{O}_{\Psi_{3,2}} = \mathcal{O}_{\Psi_{3,2}}R_{\bigtriangleup,s_2}(z - v_3 + s_1)R_{\bigtriangleup,s_1}(z - v_3) \] (6.3.28)

with the solution

\[ \mathcal{O}_{\Psi_{3,2}} := |\Psi_{3,2}\rangle^\dagger 1^\dagger 2 = \sum_{\bar{a}_{a_1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{a_1} \bar{a}_{a_1} \bar{a}_{a_2} \ldots \bar{a}_{a_2}} |0\rangle \langle 0| b^1_{a_1} \cdots b^2_{a_2} \cdot \] (6.3.29)

The invariants \( \mathcal{O}_{\Psi_{4,1}} \) and \( \mathcal{O}_{\Psi_{3,2}} \) can be understood as the generalizations of \( Y_L \) and \( Y_R \) introduced in Section 3.10, respectively.

**Four-vertex and Yang-Baxter equation**

Let us proceed to Yangian invariants associated to four-site monodromies. As an important check of our formalism we will rederive the well-known \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) invariant \( R \)-matrix \[61\] in the form as presented in \[50\], see also Section 2.4. We leave aside the cases where the Baxter lattice consists of two non-intersecting lines and focus on the invariants of type \( |\Psi_{4,2}\rangle \), where the Baxter lattice is a four-vertex\[29\]. Once again, we may a priori vary the positions of the conjugate sites within the monodromy. We picked a particular assignment, where all sites with conjugate representations are left of those with symmetric representations, see figure 6.3.4.

We use the four-site monodromy

\[ \mathcal{M}_{4,2}(z) = R_{\bigtriangleup,s_1}(z - v_1)R_{\bigtriangleup,s_2}(z - v_2)R_{\bigtriangleup,s_3}(z - v_3)R_{\bigtriangleup,s_4}(z - v_4) \] (6.3.30)

with

\[ v_1 = v_3 - n - s_1 + 1, \quad v_2 = v_4 - n - s_2 + 1, \quad s_1 = s_3, \quad s_2 = s_4. \] (6.3.31)

This identification of the inhomogeneities and representation labels corresponds to a Baxter lattice with two lines of type (6.3.10). In order to simplify the normalizations of the Lax operators in (6.3.30), we note that the relations in (6.3.31) are two sets of conditions

\[29\] In addition to these invariants there may also exist solutions where all representations are chosen independently and are not the conjugates of one and another but still form a singlet. We expect that these invariants are combinations of the three-vertices discussed above.
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of the form appearing for the two site-invariant in \((6.3.12)\). Hence the normalization factors are simplified analogously to the discussion in Section 6.5.2 which leads to

\[
 f_{s_1}(z-v_1)f_{s_2}(z-v_2)f_{s_3}(z-v_3)f_{s_4}(z-v_4) = 1. \tag{6.3.32}
\]

For the solution of the eigenvalue equation \((6.2.17)\) with this monodromy we make the factors are simplified analogously to the discussion in Section 6.5.2, which leads to

of the form appearing for the two site-invariant in \((6.3.12)\). Hence the normalization

\[
|\Psi_{4,2}(v_3-v_4)\rangle := |\Psi_{4,2}\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(s_3,s_4)} d_k(v_3-v_4)|\Upsilon_k\rangle \tag{6.3.33}
\]

with

\[
|\Upsilon_k\rangle = (b^1 \cdot a^3)^{s_3-k}(b^2 \cdot a^4)^{s_4-k}(b^3 \cdot a^3)^k(b^1 \cdot a^4)^k|0\rangle. \tag{6.3.34}
\]

In our formalism, the spectral parameter dependence of this four-site invariant emerges in a natural fashion as the difference of two inhomogeneities which from now on is denoted by

\[
u := v_3-v_4. \tag{6.3.35}
\]

We have made this manifest by using the notation \(|\Psi_{4,2}(u)\rangle\). Substituting \((6.3.33)\) into \((6.2.17)\) yields a recursion relation for the coefficients \(d_k\),

\[
d_k(u) \frac{d_{k+1}(u)}{d_{k+1}(u)} = \frac{(k+1)(u-s_3+k+1)}{(s_3-k)(s_4-k)}. \tag{6.3.36}
\]

It is solved, up to a function periodic in the index \(k\) with period 1, by

\[
d_k(u) = \frac{1}{(s_3-k)!(s_4-k)!k!} \Gamma(u-s_3+k+1). \tag{6.3.37}
\]

Following the same logic as before we obtain the equation, which determines the inter-twiner corresponding to \(|\Psi_{4,2}(u)\rangle\), from \((6.2.21)\) with \(K = 2\). This yields the Yang-Baxter like equation in the form

\[
R_{\square,s_1}(z-v_3)R_{\square,s_4}(z-v_4)\mathcal{O}_{\Psi_{4,2}(u)} = \mathcal{O}_{\Psi_{4,2}(u)}R_{\square,s_2}(z-v_4)R_{\square,s_1}(z-v_3), \tag{6.3.38}
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{O}_{\Psi_{4,2}(u)} := |\Psi_{4,2}(u)\rangle^{1\dagger 2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(s_3,s_4)} d_k(u)\mathcal{O}_{\Upsilon_k}, \tag{6.3.39}
\]

with

\[
\mathcal{O}_{\Upsilon_k} := |\Upsilon_k\rangle^{1\dagger 2} = \sum_{a_1 \cdots a_{s_3}}\bar{a}_{a_1} \cdots \bar{a}_{a_{s_3}} a_{a_1} \cdots a_{a_{s_3}} |0\rangle \\
\quad \cdot |0\rangle|b_{a_1}^1 \cdots b_{a_{s_3}}^1 b_{b_{s_4}}^1 \cdots b_{b_{s_4}}^1|0\rangle
\]

\[
\quad \cdot b_{b_1}^2 \cdots b_{b_{s_4-k}}^2 b_{a_{s_3-k}}^2 \cdots b_{a_{s_3-k}}^2. \tag{6.3.40}
\]
6.3. Yangian invariants in oscillator formalism

Figure 6.3.4.: The left part shows a Baxter lattice with two lines specified by $G = ((1,3), (2,4))$, $\Lambda = (\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2)$, $\theta = (v_1, v_2)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ and a dashed auxiliary space. It corresponds to $M_{4,2}(z)$ as the l.h.s. of (6.2.17). The right part contains the monodromy $M_{4,2}(z)$, which is associated to this Baxter lattice. The necessary identifications of the representation labels and the inhomogeneities are written in (6.3.31).

In order to rewrite this form of the Yang-Baxter equation in the standard way, we identify space $V_{s_1}$ with $V_{s_3}$ and $V_{s_2}$ with $V_{s_4}$, and simultaneously $O_{\Psi_{s_2}}(u)$ with $R_{s_3,s_4}(u)$. This then yields

$$R_{\square,s_3}(z - v_3)R_{\square,s_4}(z - v_4)\xi_{s_3,s_4}(u) = R_{s_3,s_4}(u)R_{\square,s_4}(z - v_4)R_{\square,s_3}(z - v_3), \quad (6.3.41)$$

cf. (2.4.1). Indeed, (6.3.41) establishes that $R_{s_3,s_4}(u)$ must be the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ invariant R-matrix [61] for symmetric representations.

In our approach $R_{s_3,s_4}(u)$ is expressed in an oscillator basis. To be as explicit as possible, it is convenient to introduce the hopping operators

$$\text{Hop}_k = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_k \atop b_1,\ldots,b_k} a_{a_1}^3 \cdots a_{a_k}^3 \bar{a}_{b_1}^4 \cdots \bar{a}_{b_k}^4 a_{a_1}^4 \cdots a_{a_k}^4 \cdot \cdot \cdot a_{a_k}^4. \quad (6.3.42)$$

On $V_{s_3} \otimes V_{s_4}$ the operator $\text{Hop}_k$ agrees with $O_{\tau_k}$, after the said identification of spaces, up to a trivial combinatorial factor. This hopping basis allows us to express the R-matrix in the form

$$R_{s_3,s_4}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(s_3,s_4)} \frac{k!}{\Gamma(u - s_3 + k + 1)} \text{Hop}_k. \quad (6.3.43)$$

The operator $\text{Hop}_k$ produces a sum of states containing all possibilities to exchange $k$ of the oscillators in space $V_{s_3}$ with $k$ of the oscillators in space $V_{s_4}$, i.e. it hops $k$ oscillators between the two spaces. See also [41] for its supersymmetric and non-compact version and Appendix I for an expression of the Hamiltonian using the operators $\text{Hop}_k$. Note that we can extend the summation range in (6.3.43) to infinity as $\text{Hop}_k$ with $k > \min(s_3, s_4)$ will annihilate any state. Note also that in (6.3.43) the dependence on the representation labels of the coefficients can be absorbed by a shift of the spectral parameter. Taken in

\[\text{This expression for the R-matrix has been obtained in collaboration with Tomasz Łukowski.}\]
conjunction, these two observations allow to interpret the expression (6.3.43) in a way that does not depend on a specific symmetric representation \( s \).

As mentioned above, apart from the invariant (6.3.33) which corresponds to the R-matrix there exists another class of invariants based on the monodromy (6.3.30). Relaxing the conditions in (6.3.31) one finds further solutions with \( s_1 + s_2 = s_3 + s_4 \). However, in the general case with \( s_1 \neq s_3 \) these invariants do not depend on a free complex spectral parameter.

### 6.4. Toy model for super Yang-Mills scattering amplitudes

The main result of Section 6.3 is summarized by the explicit formulas for the sample invariants (6.3.14), (6.3.21), (6.3.27) and (6.3.33) of the Yangian \( \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \). The aim of this section is to establish a relation between these expressions and tree-level scattering amplitudes of planar \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) super Yang-Mills theory, which will often simply be referred to as “scattering amplitudes”. See e.g. [151] for a recent review of the latter.

The essential connection between the expressions of Section 6.3, which are formulated using oscillator algebras, and these amplitudes is Yangian invariance. For the amplitudes this was shown in [36] employing spinor-helicity variables. A formal relation between these variables and certain oscillators was indicated in [149]. Nevertheless, the Yangian is different in both cases. Here we are focusing on finite-dimensional representations of \( \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) \) and not on the infinite-dimensional representation of the Yangian of \( \mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(4|4) \), which is the one relevant for amplitudes. However, the results obtained are strikingly similar.

In order to compare and relate these two different types of Yangian invariants, it turns out to be most appropriate to formulate the scattering amplitudes as Graßmannian integrals in terms of super twistors. In these variables the generators of the superconformal algebra, i.e. the lowest level Yangian generators, are realized as first order differential operators. The Yangian invariance of these integrals was proven in [142], see also [143, 155, 156]. Furthermore, the super twistor variables together with the associated differential operators obey the commutation relations of the oscillator algebra. In the way the invariants of Section 6.3 are formulated within the framework of the QISM, they naturally contain spectral parameters in the form of inhomogeneities. Hence, we are led to compare these invariants to a recent spectral parameter deformation [40, 41] of these amplitudes.

Those aspects of the Graßmannian integral for undeformed and deformed scattering amplitudes which are important for our discussion are briefly summarized in Section 6.4.1. In Section 6.4.2 we reformulate the invariants obtained in Section 6.3 with the aim of comparing them to the deformed amplitudes. As a first step, the invariants are expressed as multi-dimensional contour integrals over exponential functions of creation operators. Next, the oscillator algebras are realized in terms of multiplication and differentiation.

---

31 Special diligence is required if the particle momenta are not in generic position, but there are collinear particles [152], see also [153].
operators. This turns the exponential functions into certain delta functions, which are characteristic of Grassmannian integrals.

Rewritten in this way, the Yangian invariants of Section 6.3 are essentially $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ analogues of the deformed tree-level scattering amplitudes of planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory. Hence, we may think of them as a “toy model” for scattering amplitudes. Note that our construction allows to explicitly specify the multi-dimensional integration contour for the sample invariants at hand which are in general not known for amplitudes.

### 6.4.1. Grassmannian integral for scattering amplitudes

All tree-level scattering amplitudes of planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory can be packaged into a single compact Grassmannian integral formula using super twistor variables, see [38] for a recent formulation, and [157] for the original proposal. In this formalism the $L$-point $N^{K-2}$MHV amplitude is formally given by

$$A_{L,K} = \int \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{K} \prod_{i=K+1}^{L} dc_{ki}}{(1 \ldots K) \ldots (L \ldots L + K - 1)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \delta^{4|4} \left( W^k + \sum_{i=K+1}^{L} c_{ki} W^i \right). \quad (6.4.1)$$

These amplitudes are organized by the deviation $K - 2$ from the maximally helicity violating (MHV) configuration. The minor $(i \ldots i + K)$, i.e. the $K \times K$ subdeterminant, is built from the columns $i, \ldots, i + K$ of the $K \times L$ matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & c_{1K+1} & \ldots & c_{1L} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 1 & c_{KK+1} & \ldots & c_{KL}
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(6.4.2)

A $\mathfrak{gl}(K)$ gauge symmetry of the Grassmannian integral (6.4.1) has already been fixed by the choice of the first $K$ columns in (6.4.2). The delta functions $\delta^{4|4}$ in (6.4.1) are given by the product of four bosonic and four fermionic delta functions depending on the super twistor variables $W^i_a$ with a point index $i$ and a fundamental $\mathfrak{gl}(4|4)$ index $a$,

$$\delta^{4|4} \left( W^k + \sum_{i=K+1}^{L} c_{ki} W^i \right) := \prod_{a=1}^{4+4} \delta \left( W^k_a + \sum_{i=K+1}^{L} c_{ki} W^i_a \right). \quad (6.4.3)$$

The Grassmannian integral (6.4.1) is often treated in a formal sense, neither explicitly specifying the domain of integration nor the meaning of the delta functions of complex variables. See, however, e.g. [158] for a mathematically more rigorous approach.

Recently, a spectral parameter deformation of the Grassmannian integral for scattering amplitudes has been introduced [40,41] in order to establish connections with the common language of quantum integrable systems. Here we consider the deformations of the 3-point MHV amplitude $A_{3,1}$, the 3-point MHV amplitude $A_{3,2}$, and the 4-point MHV amplitude $A_{4,2}$. These will shortly be compared with the Yangian invariants constructed
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In Section 6.3, the two 3-point amplitudes are of special importance as they provide the building blocks for general $L$-point amplitudes. The 4-point MHV amplitude is the first non-trivial example that can be constructed using these building blocks. The deformations of these amplitudes read \[ \tilde{A}_{3,1} = \int \frac{dc_{12}dc_{13}}{c_{12}c_{13}^{s_{12}+1}} \delta^{n|m}(W_1 + c_{12}W_2 + c_{13}W_3), \] \[ \tilde{A}_{3,2} = \int \frac{dc_{13}dc_{23}}{c_{13}^{s_{13}+1}c_{23}^{s_{23}+1}} \delta^{n|m}(W_1 + c_{13}W_2 + c_{23}W_3), \] \[ \tilde{A}_{4,2}(u) = \int \frac{dc_{13}dc_{14}dc_{23}dc_{24}}{c_{13}c_{24}(c_{15}c_{24} - c_{23}c_{14})} \left( -\frac{c_{13}c_{24}}{c_{13}c_{24} - c_{23}c_{14}} \right)^u \frac{c_{s_{24}+s_{4}}}{\delta^{n|m}(W_1 + c_{13}W_2 + c_{23}W_3 + c_{14}W_4)\delta^{n|m}(W_2 + c_{23}W_3 + c_{24}W_4)}, \] where the deformation parameters $s_i \in \mathbb{C}$ can be understood as representation labels. For these low values of $L$ and $K$ a spectral parameter $u$ appears only in the last expression (6.4.6). In addition, in these deformations the super twistors are generalized to variables $W_a$ with a fundamental $\mathfrak{gl}(n|m)$ index $a$ and the delta functions are to be understood as the corresponding extension of (6.4.3). In case of $n|m = 4|4$, $s_i = 0$ and $u = 0$ the deformations $\tilde{A}_{L,K}$ reduce to the undeformed scattering amplitudes $A_{L,K}$ obtained from the Grassmannian integral (6.4.1). For our comparison in the next section we will need the case $n|0$ with positive integer values of $s_i$, because we will be dealing with finite-dimensional, purely bosonic representations, and generic complex $u$.

6.4.2. Sample invariants as Grassmannian-like integrals

Let us collect the invariants (6.3.14), (6.3.21), (6.3.27), (6.3.33) of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(n))$ constructed in Section 6.3 in terms of oscillators:

\[ |\Psi_{2,1} \rangle = (\tilde{b}^1 \cdot \tilde{a}^2)^{s_2} |0 \rangle , \] \[ |\Psi_{3,1} \rangle = (\tilde{b}^1 \cdot \tilde{a}^2)^{s_2}(\tilde{b}^1 \cdot \tilde{a}^3)^{s_3} |0 \rangle , \] \[ |\Psi_{3,2} \rangle = (\tilde{b}^1 \cdot \tilde{a}^3)^{s_1}(\tilde{b}^2 \cdot \tilde{a}^3)^{s_2} |0 \rangle , \] \[ |\Psi_{4,2}(u) \rangle = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\min(s_3,s_4))} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(s_3,s_4)} \frac{1}{(s_3 - k)! (s_4 - k)! k!} \Gamma(u - s_3 + k + 1) \] \[ \cdot (\tilde{b}^1 \cdot \tilde{a}^3)^{s_3-k}(\tilde{b}^2 \cdot \tilde{a}^3)^{s_4-k}(\tilde{b}^2 \cdot \tilde{a}^4)^k(\tilde{b}^1 \cdot \tilde{a}^4)^k |0 \rangle . \]

At first sight there seems to be little resemblance between these formulas and the deformed amplitudes (6.4.4), (6.4.5) and (6.4.6). Nevertheless, in this section we will reformulate these sample $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(n))$ invariants $|\Psi_{L,K} \rangle$ and compare to the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ version of the deformed amplitudes $\tilde{A}_{L,K}$.

We start by introducing contour integral in some auxiliary variables $c_{ki}$. In case of the simplest two-site invariant (6.4.7) this is particularly easy and we write

\[ |\Psi_{2,1} \rangle = (\tilde{b}^1 \cdot \tilde{a}^2)^{s_2} |0 \rangle = \frac{s_2!(-1)^{s_2}}{2\pi i} \int \frac{dc_{12}}{c_{12}^{s_2+1}} e^{-c_{12} \tilde{b}^1 \cdot \tilde{a}^2} |0 \rangle , \]
where the closed contour encircles the pole at the origin of the complex $c_{12}$-plane counterclockwise. In the same way each product $b^k \cdot a^i$ of oscillators appearing in the further invariants (6.4.8), (6.4.9) and (6.4.10) is translated into one complex contour integral in the variable $c_{ki}$,

\[
|\Psi_{3.1}\rangle = \frac{s_1 s_2}{(2\pi i)^2} \int \frac{dc_{12} dc_{13}}{c_{12}^{s_1+1} c_{13}^{s_2+1}} e^{-c_{12} b^i a^2 - c_{13} b^i \bar{a}^3} |0\rangle, \tag{6.4.12}
\]

\[
|\Psi_{3.2}\rangle = \frac{s_1 s_2}{(2\pi i)^2} \int \frac{dc_{13} dc_{23}}{c_{13}^{s_1+1} c_{23}^{s_2+1}} e^{-c_{13} b^i a^3 - c_{23} b^i \bar{a}^3} |0\rangle, \tag{6.4.13}
\]

\[
|\Psi_{4.2}(u)\rangle = \frac{(-1)^{s_3+s_4}}{(2\pi i)^4} \int \frac{dc_{13} dc_{14} dc_{23} dc_{24}}{c_{13}^{s_3+1} c_{23}^{s_4+1} c_{14} c_{24}} \sum_{k=0}^{\text{min}(s_3,s_4)} \frac{k!}{\Gamma(u - s_3 + k + 1)} \left( \frac{c_{13} c_{24}}{c_{14} c_{23}} \right)^k e^{-c_{13} b^i a^3 - c_{14} b^i \bar{a}^4 - c_{23} b^2 \bar{a}^2} |0\rangle, \tag{6.4.14}
\]

where the contour in each of the variables $c_{ki}$ is again a closed counterclockwise circle around the origin. The four-site invariant (6.4.11) can also be expressed in a slightly more compact form. We notice that the range of the summation in (6.4.14) can be extended to infinity without changing the value of the integral because the additional terms have a vanishing residue. Furthermore, choosing a contour that satisfies $|c_{13} c_{24}| < |c_{14} c_{23}|$, the infinite sum is a series expansion of a hypergeometric function leading to\[\text{Naively this expression does not seem to be valid at the special points } s_3 - u = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \text{ because in this case the series expansion of the hypergeometric function is not defined. However, the divergence of the expansion is regularized by the gamma function, see e.g. [159], and (6.4.15) is also valid at these points.}\]

After these reformulations the integral structure of the invariants $|\Psi_{L,K}\rangle$ already matches the one of the deformed amplitudes $\hat{A}_{L,K}$, in the sense that in both cases there are $K(L - K)$ integration variables. The exponential functions of creation operators in the integrands of the sample invariants $|\Psi_{L,K}\rangle$ are reminiscent of the link representation of scattering amplitudes [144][157].

Next, we turn to the form of the integrand with the aim to express the exponential functions of creation operators as appropriate delta functions like those in (6.4.7), (6.4.13) and (6.4.16). For this purpose we employ different representations of the oscillator algebras at sites carrying symmetric representations of type $s$ and at sites with conjugate representations of type $\bar{s}$, respectively:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{a} & \equiv \mathcal{W}, \quad a \equiv \partial_{\mathcal{W}}, \quad |0\rangle \equiv 1 \quad \text{for sites with } s, \\
\hat{b} & \equiv -\partial_{\mathcal{W}}, \quad \bar{b} \equiv \mathcal{W}, \quad |0\rangle \equiv \delta(\mathcal{W}) \quad \text{for sites with } \bar{s}.
\end{align*}
\]

The oscillators are realized as multiplication and differentiation operators in a complex variable $\mathcal{W}$. Consequently, as we already stressed above, $\delta(\mathcal{W})$ is a delta function of a
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complex variable. These representations of the oscillator algebra are discussed in detail in Appendix A of [48].

Before we apply (6.4.16) to the integral expressions of the invariants |Ψ_{L,K}⟩ given in (6.4.11), (6.4.12), (6.4.13) and (6.4.15), it is instructive to first look at the form of the Yangian generators annihilating these invariants, recall (6.2.19). The corresponding monodromies all have a trivial overall normalization factor, cf. (6.3.13), (6.3.20), (6.3.26) and (6.3.32). Hence, their expansion (4.1.5) leads to the common Yangian generators

\[ M^{[1]}_{ab} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} J_{ia}^i, \quad M^{[2]}_{ab} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq L} \sum_{c=1}^{n} J_{ia}^i J_{bc}^j + \sum_{k=1}^{L} v_k J_{ka}^k, \] (6.4.17)

where the gl(n) generators at the sites are

\[ J_{ia}^i \equiv \begin{cases} W_{ai}^i \partial W_{ai}^i & \text{for sites with } s_i, \\ W_{ai}^i \partial W_{ai}^i + \delta_{ab} & \text{for sites with } \bar{s}_i. \end{cases} \] (6.4.18)

The inhomogeneities \( v_i \) depend on the chosen invariant and are specified in Section 6.3. In this formulation, the variables \( W_{ai}^i \) can be thought of as analogous to the super twistors used in scattering amplitudes, where in case of the latter \( a \) is a fundamental gl(4|4) index. While the oscillator form of the gl(n) generators in (6.4.18) has a different structure at the two distinct types of sites, the generators are, up to the shift \( \delta_{ab} \), identical when written in terms of \( W_{ai}^i \). The two distinct types of representations, \( s_i \) and \( \bar{s}_i \), nevertheless manifest themselves in the structure of the states: The invariants are polynomials in \( W_{ai}^i \) if the \( i \)th site carries a representation \( s_i \), and they contain delta functions with argument \( W_{ai}^i \) and derivatives thereof for a site with \( \bar{s}_i \). In discussions of the Yangian invariance of scattering amplitudes the gl(4|4) generators also take an identical form for all points of the amplitude, see e.g. [143].

Let us return to our main goal of applying (6.4.16) to the sample invariants |Ψ_{L,K}⟩ in the form (6.4.11), (6.4.12), (6.4.13) and (6.4.15). Note that with (6.4.16) an exponential of creation operators becomes

\[ e^{-c_k \tilde{a}_k^a \tilde{b}_k^b |0⟩} \equiv e^{c_k W_{ai}^i \partial W_{ai}^i} \delta(W_{ai}^i) = \delta(W_{ai}^i + c_k W_{ai}^i). \] (6.4.19)

Here \( |0⟩ \) denotes the tensor product of the Fock vacua of the two oscillator algebras. The vacuum of the oscillators \( \tilde{a}_k^a \) is realized as 1 and that of \( \tilde{b}_k^b \) as a delta function. For the invariants |Ψ_{L,K}⟩, the symbol \( |0⟩ \) stands more generally for the tensor product of the Fock vacua of all involved oscillator algebras. This means, using (6.4.16), that

\[ |0⟩ \equiv \prod_{k \in \{ \text{sites with } \bar{s} \}} \delta^n(W_k) \quad \text{with} \quad \delta^n(W_k) := \prod_{a=1}^{n} \delta(W_{ai}^i). \] (6.4.20)

where the range of the first product extends over all sites carrying a conjugate representation of type \( \bar{s} \). Using (6.4.16), (6.4.19) and (6.4.20) the two-site invariant (6.4.11) is
expressed as
\[
|\Psi_{2,1}\rangle = \left(-\sum_{a=1}^{n} \mathcal{W}_a^2 \partial_{\mathcal{W}_a^2}\right)^{s_2} \delta^n(\mathcal{W}^1) = \frac{s_2^2(-1)^{s_2}}{2\pi i} \oint c_{12} \frac{dc_{12}}{c_{12}^{s_2+1}} \delta^n(\mathcal{W}^1 + c_{12}\mathcal{W}^2). \tag{6.4.21}
\]

To show the equality of the middle and the right expression in this formula explicitly, we have to evaluate a contour integral where the integrand contains a delta function. Proceeding analogously in the cases of the invariants (6.4.12), (6.4.13), (6.4.15) we obtain
\[
|\Psi_{3,1}\rangle \equiv \frac{s_2!s_3!(-1)^{s_2+s_3}}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint c_{12}c_{13} \frac{dc_{12}dc_{13}}{c_{12}^{s_2+1}c_{13}^{s_3+1}} \delta^n(\mathcal{W}^1 + c_{12}\mathcal{W}^2 + c_{13}\mathcal{W}^3), \tag{6.4.22}
\]
\[
|\Psi_{3,2}\rangle \equiv \frac{s_1!s_2!(-1)^{s_1+s_2}}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint c_{13}c_{23} \frac{dc_{13}dc_{23}}{c_{13}^{s_1+1}c_{23}^{s_2+1}} \delta^n(\mathcal{W}^1 + c_{13}\mathcal{W}^3) \delta^n(\mathcal{W}^2 + c_{23}\mathcal{W}^3), \tag{6.4.23}
\]
\[
|\Psi_{4,2}(u)\rangle \equiv \frac{(-1)^{s_3+s_4}}{(2\pi i)^4} \oint c_{13}c_{14}c_{23}c_{24} \frac{dc_{13}dc_{14}dc_{23}dc_{24}}{c_{13}^{s_3+1}c_{14}^{s_4+1}c_{23}c_{24}} \cdot \frac{2F1(1,1;u-s_3+1;\frac{c_{13}c_{24}}{c_{14}c_{23}})}{\Gamma(u-s_3+1)} \cdot \delta^n(\mathcal{W}^1 + c_{13}\mathcal{W}^3 + c_{14}\mathcal{W}^3) \delta^n(\mathcal{W}^2 + c_{23}\mathcal{W}^3 + c_{24}\mathcal{W}^4). \tag{6.4.24}
\]

Recall that for these invariants the integrations in all variables \(c_{ki}\) are closed counterclockwise contours encircling the origin and for (6.4.24) we have to assume in addition \(\left|c_{13}c_{24}\right| < \left|c_{14}c_{23}\right|\).

Finally, we want to compare this version of the invariants to the deformed amplitudes summarized in Section 6.4.4. The integrations and the delta functions appearing in these deformed amplitudes are normally only understood in a formal sense, cf. [11]. To be able to make the comparison, we chose closed counterclockwise circles around the coordinate origins for the integration contours in (6.4.21), (6.4.3) and (6.4.6).

First of all, no deformed amplitude \(\tilde{A}_{2,1}\) is presented in [11]. However, at least for \(s_2 = 0\) the two-site invariant (6.4.21) is contained up to a normalization factor in the general formula (6.4.4) for \(\tilde{A}_{L,K}\) after replacing the delta function \(\delta^{4|4}\) by \(\delta^n\). Both three-site invariants (6.4.22) and (6.4.23) agree (again up to a constant normalization) with the \(\mathfrak{gl}(n|0)\) version of the deformed amplitudes provided in (6.4.4) and (6.4.5),
\[
|\Psi_{3,1}\rangle \propto \tilde{A}_{3,1}\bigg|_{n|0}, \quad |\Psi_{3,2}\rangle \propto \tilde{A}_{3,2}\bigg|_{n|0}. \tag{6.4.25}
\]

As already mentioned, the 3-point amplitudes can be understood as the basic building blocks for more general amplitudes. Hence, (6.4.25) is an important check of our formalism. Interestingly, however, the integrand of the deformed amplitude \(\tilde{A}_{4,2}(u)\) given in (6.4.6) does not fully agree with that of \(|\Psi_{4,2}(u)\rangle\) found in (6.4.24). To relate these two

---

33 Similar formulas for invariants of the Yangian of \(\mathfrak{gl}(n)\) were also obtained recently in [160]. This was extended in [161] to \(\mathfrak{gl}(4|4)\), which includes the \(\mathfrak{gl}(4|4)\) case relevant to scattering amplitudes.
expressions we note that at the special points \( s_3 - u = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \) of the spectral parameter the series expansion of the hypergeometric function in (6.4.24) simplifies to

\[
|\Psi_{4,2}(u)\rangle \approx \left( -1 \right)^{s_3 + s_4} \int \frac{dc_{13}dc_{14}dc_{23}dc_{24}}{(2\pi i)^4} \frac{1}{c_{13}c_{24}(c_{13}c_{24} - c_{23}c_{14})} \left( -\frac{c_{13}c_{24}}{c_{13}c_{24} - c_{23}c_{14}} \right)^{u-s_3} \cdot \delta^n(\mathcal{W}_1 + c_{13}\mathcal{W}_3 + c_{14}\mathcal{W}_4)\delta^n(\mathcal{W}_2 + c_{23}\mathcal{W}_3 + c_{24}\mathcal{W}_4). 
\]

(6.4.26)

This agrees up to a shift of the spectral parameter (and again a normalization factor) with the deformed amplitude:

\[
|\Psi_{4,2}(u)\rangle \propto \tilde{A}_{4,2}(u - 2s_3) \bigg|_{n|0} \quad \text{for} \quad s_3 - u = 1, 2, 3, \ldots 
\]

(6.4.27)

In [41] \( \tilde{A}_{4,2}(u) \) is also used for generic values of \( u \). However, at least for our choice of the integration contours around zero this is problematic due to the branch cut of the complex power function in (6.4.6). We want to stress that in the present formulation (6.4.26) is only valid at the special points of the spectral parameter and the full four-site invariant, i.e. the invariant corresponding to the R-matrix, is given by (6.4.24) involving a hypergeometric function. The interesting question whether a \( \mathfrak{gl}(4|4) \) version of (6.4.24) might be a more appropriate deformation of the four-point MHV amplitude \( A_{4,2} \) than (6.4.6) should definitely be clarified.

### 6.5. Bethe ansatz for Yangian invariants

In Section 6.3 we discussed some sample Yangian invariants. Their relation to (deformed) super Yang-Mills scattering amplitudes was then established in Section 6.4. We will proceed to a systematic construction of Yangian invariants based on their characterization as solutions of the set of eigenvalue equations (6.2.17), which involves the monodromy matrix elements \( \mathcal{M}_{ab}(z) \). This characterization shows that the invariant \( |\Psi\rangle \) is a special eigenstate of the transfer matrix

\[
T(z) = \text{tr} \mathcal{M}(z), 
\]

(6.5.1)

where the trace is taken over the auxiliary space \( V_\square = \mathbb{C}^n \), see (2.5.4). Indeed, (6.2.17) implies

\[
T(z)|\Psi\rangle = n|\Psi\rangle 
\]

(6.5.2)

with the fixed eigenvalue \( n \). The transfer matrix (6.5.1) can be diagonalized by means of a Bethe ansatz, cf. Chapter 2. Therefore a Yangian invariant \( |\Psi\rangle \) is a special Bethe vector. This is the key observation leading to the construction of \( |\Psi\rangle \) by a Bethe ansatz for Yangian invariants in this section.

For simplicity, we first focus on \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \) monodromies with finite-dimensional highest weight representations in the quantum space, as discussed in Section 2.8.1 and specialize
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to the case of Yangian invariant Bethe vectors in Section 6.5.1. This leads to a set of functional relations characterizing Yangian invariants, which are equivalent to a degenerate case of the Baxter equation [25]. These equations determine the Bethe roots and, in addition, constrain the allowed representation labels and inhomogeneities of the monodromy. Remarkably, a large class of explicit solutions of these functional relations can be obtained. They show an interesting structure which is discussed in Section 6.5.2. The Bethe roots form exact strings in the complex plane. The positions of these strings depend on the inhomogeneities of the monodromy. The length of the strings, i.e. the number of Bethe roots per string, is determined by the representation labels. We illustrate this structure using the sample invariants already known from Section 6.3. We also present solutions to the functional relations corresponding to Baxter lattices with $N$ lines. In particular, this includes lattices where all lines carry the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ representation of $\text{su}(2)$. Finally, in Section 6.5.3 we sketch the generalization of the set of functional relations characterizing Yangian invariants from the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ to the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ case.

6.5.1. Bethe ansatz for invariants of Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(2))$

Let us explicitly spell out the definition (6.2.17) of Yangian invariants for the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ case using the notation (2.8.1) for the monodromy elements,

$$A(z)|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle,$$
$$B(z)|\Psi\rangle = 0,$$
$$C(z)|\Psi\rangle = 0,$$
$$D(z)|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle.$$  (6.5.3)

Here we separated the equations into (6.5.3) involving the diagonal monodromy elements and (6.5.4) with the off-diagonal elements. To construct Yangian invariants $|\Psi\rangle$ we first solve (6.5.3) by specializing the Bethe ansatz of Section 2.8.1. In a second step, we show that for finite-dimensional representations the Bethe vectors $|\Psi\rangle$ obtained in this way automatically obey also (6.5.4). The result of this procedure yields a characterization of Yangian invariants in terms of functional relations that will be summarized at the end of this section.

Let us first concentrate on the diagonal part (6.5.3). Usually, cf. Section 2.8.1, one wants to construct eigenvectors of the transfer matrix, i.e. eigenvectors of the sum $A(z) + D(z)$. However, here we additionally require that $|\Psi\rangle$ is a common eigenvector of $A(z)$ and $D(z)$. As in Section 2.8.1 we make the ansatz (2.8.7) for the eigenvector and use the commutation relations (2.8.11) and (2.8.12) to derive (2.8.13) and (2.8.14) with

$$\alpha(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{L} f_{\Xi_i}(z - v_i) \frac{z - v_i + \xi^{(1)}_i}{z - v_i}, \quad \delta(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{L} f_{\Xi_i}(z - v_i) \frac{z - v_i + \xi^{(2)}_i}{z - v_i},$$  (6.5.5)

with the representation labels $\Xi = (\xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)})$. However, we now need to demand that the "unwanted terms" in (2.8.13) and (2.8.14) are identical to zero separately. This is guaranteed by

$$\alpha(z_k)Q(z_k - 1) = 0, \quad \delta(z_k)Q(z_k + 1) = 0.$$  (6.5.6)
which is the degenerate case of the Bethe equations (2.8.17) where each term vanishes individually. In order to fix the eigenvalues of $A(z)$ and $D(z)$ to be 1, the equations in (2.8.13) and (2.8.14) imply that we have to require

$$1 = \alpha(z) \frac{Q(z-1)}{Q(z)}, \quad 1 = \delta(z) \frac{Q(z+1)}{Q(z)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.5.7)

This is the degenerate case of the Baxter equation (2.8.19) where each term on the r.h.s. is equal to 1. It leads to the required transfer matrix eigenvalue $\tau(z) = 1 + 1 = 2$, which is the rank of $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$. Assuming the regularity of $\alpha(z)$ and $\delta(z)$ at the Bethe roots $z = z_k$, one shows by taking the residue as in Section 2.8.1 that (6.5.7) implies (6.5.6). Consequently, the problem of constructing common solutions of the eigenvalue equations in (6.5.3) has been reduced to solving (6.5.7).

To address (6.5.4) involving the off-diagonal monodromy elements, we use (6.5.3), which we already solved. We expand (6.5.4) using (4.1.5) to obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_{11}^1 |\Psi\rangle = 0, \quad \mathcal{M}_{22}^1 |\Psi\rangle = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.5.8)

As indicated in Section 2.3, the generators $-\mathcal{M}_{ab}^1(z)$ form a $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ algebra and thus (6.5.8) means that $|\Psi\rangle$ has $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ weight $(0,0)$. The expansion of $C(z)|\Omega\rangle = 0$ from (2.8.3) implies $\mathcal{M}_{21}^1 |\Omega\rangle = 0$. Using the commutation relations of the Yangian algebra (4.1.6) and the relations in (2.8.13) and (2.8.14) one shows

$$\mathcal{M}_{21}^1 |\Psi\rangle = - \sum_{k=1}^m (\alpha(z_k)Q(z_k-1) + \delta(z_k)Q(z_k+1)) \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^m \frac{B(z_i)}{z_k - z_i} |\Omega\rangle = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.5.9)

where we needed (6.5.6) for the last equality. As we are dealing with a finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ representation, (6.5.8) and (6.5.9) imply that $|\Psi\rangle$ is a $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ singlet. Hence, also

$$\mathcal{M}_{12}^1 |\Psi\rangle = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.5.10)

Finally, we obtain the relations

$$[\mathcal{M}_{12}^1, A(z) - D(z)] = 2B(z), \quad [\mathcal{M}_{21}^1, D(z) - A(z)] = 2C(z),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.5.11)

using (4.1.6). Acting with these on $|\Psi\rangle$ and using (6.5.3), (6.5.9) and (6.5.10), we see that also the off-diagonal part (6.5.4) of the Yangian invariance condition is satisfied.

In conclusion, we have reduced the problem of constructing invariants $|\Psi\rangle$ of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(2))$ to the problem of solving the functional relations (6.5.7). Given a solution $(\alpha(z), \delta(z), Q(z))$ of (6.5.7), where the Q-function is of the form (2.8.16), and both $\alpha(z)$ and $\delta(z)$ are regular at the Bethe roots $z_k$, the Bethe vector $|\Psi\rangle$ given in (2.8.7) is Yangian invariant. It is convenient to represent the functional relations (6.5.7) in a slightly different form. Remarkably, the system of two equations in (6.5.7) can be decoupled into an
equation that depends only on the eigenvalues (2.8.3) of the monodromy acting on the reference state and not on the Bethe roots,

$1 = \alpha(z)\delta(z - 1), \quad (6.5.12)$

and a further equation also involving the Bethe roots contained in the Q-function,

$\frac{Q(z)}{Q(z + 1)} = \delta(z). \quad (6.5.13)$

As $\alpha(z)$ and $\delta(z)$ contain the representation labels and inhomogeneities, cf. (6.5.5) where a different normalization of the Lax operators has been used, this equation determines those monodromies that correspond to a Yangian invariant, i.e. for which (6.2.17) admits a solution $|\Psi\rangle$. Once a suitable solution of (6.5.12) is found\(^{34}\), the difference equation (6.5.13) can typically be solved with ease for the Bethe roots $z_k$. This is in stunning contradistinction to the usual situation in most spin chain spectral problems, where the Bethe equations are very hard to solve. Substituting the Bethe roots into (2.8.7) yields the Bethe state, and hence the invariant $|\Psi\rangle$. It would be interesting to study the conditions (6.5.12) and (6.5.13) more carefully from a representation theoretical point of view and understand how they are related to Drinfeld polynomials which provide a systematic way to classify representations of the Yangian, see e.g. [106].

### 6.5.2. Sample solutions of $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ functional relations

At present, we lack a complete understanding of the set of solutions to the functional relations (6.5.12) and (6.5.13). Gaining it should lead to a classification of invariants of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{gl}(2))$, clearly an interesting problem for future research. It was shown in [162] that deformation parameters as introduced in [163] of the tree-level scattering amplitudes satisfy the relation (6.5.12). Here, we show how the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ versions of the invariants in oscillator form with representations of type $\mathfrak{s} = (s, 0)$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{s}} = (0, -s)$ studied in Section 6.3 fit into the framework of the Bethe ansatz for Yangian invariants. In particular, we again discuss the invariant $|\Psi_{2,1}\rangle$, which was represented by a Baxter lattice with a single line, the three-vertices $|\Psi_{3,1}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{3,2}\rangle$, as well as the four-vertex (R-matrix) $|\Psi_{4,2}(u)\rangle$. We also consider the invariant associated to a Baxter lattice of $N$ lines. For all these examples the Bethe roots are given explicitly. They arrange themselves into strings in the complex plane.

#### Line

Let us recall the representation labels and inhomogeneities for the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ case of the invariant $|\Psi_{2,1}\rangle$ discussed in Section 6.3.2 and associated to the spin chain monodromy $M_{2,1}(z)$ with $L = 2$ sites, cf. (6.3.11) and (6.3.12):

$\Xi_1 = \bar{s}_1, \quad \Xi_2 = s_2, \quad v_1 = v_2 - 1 - s_2, \quad s_1 = s_2. \quad (6.5.14)$

\(^{34}\)Note that (6.5.13) is equivalent to the $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ constraint when acting on the vacuum state $|\Omega\rangle$, cf. (4.3.5).
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\[ s_2 + 1 \]

\[ v_1 \bullet \quad z_{s_2} \bullet \quad \cdots \bullet \quad z_2 \bullet \quad \cdots \bullet \quad z_1 \bullet \quad v_2 \]

Figure 6.5.1.: The Bethe roots \( z_k \) associated to the Yangian invariant \( |\Psi_{2,1}\rangle \) of Section 6.3.2 arrange into a string between the two inhomogeneities \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \), cf. (6.5.17) in the complex plane. This string consists of \( s_2 \) roots with a uniform real spacing of 1.

With these relations and the trivial normalization (6.3.13) of the monodromy, (6.5.5) simplifies to

\[ \alpha(z) = \frac{z - v_2 + s_2}{z - v_2}, \quad \delta(z) = \frac{z - v_2 + 1}{z - v_2 + 1 + s_2}. \]  
(6.5.15)

In this form one directly sees that the first functional relation (6.5.12) holds. The remaining relation (6.5.13) is solved by

\[ Q(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z - v_2 + s_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(z - v_2 + 1)} = \prod_{k=1}^{s_2} (z - v_2 + k), \]  
(6.5.16)

where the freedom of multiplying this solution by a function of period 1 in \( z \) has been fixed by imposing the polynomial form of the Q-function (2.8.16). Because \( s_2 \) is a positive integer, the gamma functions in (6.5.16) indeed reduce to a polynomial and we can read off the Bethe roots as zeros of the Q-function,

\[ z_k = v_2 - k \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, s_2. \]  
(6.5.17)

They form a string in the complex plane, see Figure 6.5.1. Note that, as is usual for a \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \) Bethe ansatz, the labels of the Bethe roots can be permuted because the operators \( B(z) \) appearing in the Bethe vector (2.8.7) commute for different values of the spectral parameter \( z \), cf. (2.8.10). Finally, we want to construct the Yangian invariant Bethe vector (2.8.7) corresponding to this solution of the functional relations. For this purpose we need the reference state (2.8.4) for the representations specified in (6.5.14). It is given by a tensor product of the highest weight states (6.3.2):

\[ |\Omega\rangle = (\bar{b}_1^{s_2}(\bar{a}_1^2)^{s_2}|0\rangle. \]  
(6.5.18)

Then we can evaluate (2.8.7) using (6.5.14), (6.5.17) and (6.5.18), where we note that because of (6.3.13) also the normalization of the operators \( B(z_k) \) is trivial. Some details of this straightforward computation for general \( s_2 \in \mathbb{N} \) are given in [18]. One finds

\[ |\Psi\rangle = B(z_1) \cdots B(z_{s_2})|\Omega\rangle = (-1)^{s_2}(\bar{b}_1 - \bar{a}_1^2)^{s_2}|0\rangle \propto |\Psi_{2,1}\rangle. \]  
(6.5.19)

Thus, our Bethe ansatz for Yangian invariants nicely matches \( |\Psi_{2,1}\rangle \) as given in (6.3.14).
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\[ v_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot v_3 \cdot z_{s_3+1} \cdot z_{s_3+2} \cdot \cdots \cdot z_{s_3+1} \cdot z_{s_3+1} ^{-1} \cdot z_{s_1} ^{-1} \cdot z_{s_1} \]

Figure 6.5.2.: The invariant \( |\Psi_{3,1} \rangle \) gives rise to a real string of \( s_1 \) uniformly spaced Bethe roots \( z_k \) in the complex plane, see (6.5.23). They lie in between the inhomogeneities \( v_1, v_2 \) and one root coincides with \( v_3 \).

Three-vertices
In Section 6.3.2 we discussed two different three-site invariants. For the \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \) case the monodromy \( M_{3,1}(z) \) associated to the first invariant \( |\Psi_{3,1} \rangle \) is defined by, cf. (6.3.17) and (6.3.18),

\[ \Xi_1 = \bar{s}_1, \quad \Xi_2 = s_2, \quad \Xi_3 = s_3, \quad v_2 = v_1 + 1 + s_2 + s_3, \quad v_3 = v_1 + 1 + s_3, \quad s_1 = s_2 + s_3. \]  

(6.5.20)

With (6.5.20) and the trivial normalization of the monodromy (6.3.20), the eigenvalues of the monodromy on the reference state of the Bethe ansatz in (6.5.5) turn into

\[ \alpha(z) = \frac{z - v_1 - 1}{z - v_1 - s_1 - 1}, \quad \delta(z) = \frac{z - v_1 - s_1}{z - v_1}. \]  

(6.5.21)

Obviously, they obey (6.5.12). The other functional relation (6.5.13) is uniquely solved by

\[ Q(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z - v_1)}{\Gamma(z - v_1 - s_1)} = \prod_{k=1}^{s_1} (z - v_1 - k), \]  

(6.5.22)

because the \( Q \)-function is of the form (2.8.16). The zeros of (6.5.22) yield the Bethe roots

\[ z_k = v_1 + k \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, s_1. \]  

(6.5.23)

For this invariant the Bethe roots again form a string in the complex plane, see Figure 6.5.2. We now turn to the construction of the associated Bethe vector. With (6.3.2) the reference state (2.8.4) for the Bethe ansatz with the representation labels found in (6.5.20) becomes

\[ |\Omega \rangle = (\bar{b}_2 \bar{a}_1) ^{x_2 + x_3} (\bar{a}_1 ^2 \bar{a}_1 ^3) ^{x_3} |0 \rangle. \]  

(6.5.24)

Notice that one Bethe root is identical to an inhomogeneity, \( z_{s_3+1} = v_3 \). Consequently, the Lax operator \( R_{s_3}(z_{s_3+1} - v_3) \), cf. (6.3.35), contributing to \( B(z_{s_3+1}) \) in the Bethe vector (2.8.7) diverges. Nevertheless, we obtain a finite Bethe vector using an ad hoc
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\[ |\Psi_3,2\rangle = B(z_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot B(z_{s_1}) |\Omega\rangle = (-1)^{s_2+s_3}(b^1 \cdot a^2)^{s_2}(b^1 \cdot a^3)^{s_3}|0\rangle \propto |\Psi_{3,1}\rangle. \quad (6.5.25) \]

Therefore, we have obtained also the three-site Yangian invariant \( |\Psi_{3,1}\rangle \) presented in (6.3.21) from a Bethe ansatz. A derivation of (6.5.25) for general \( s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{N} \).

So-called “singular solutions” of the Bethe equations leading naively to divergent Bethe vectors are well known for the homogeneous \( su(2) \) spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) chain, see e.g. the recent discussion [164], [77] and the references therein. Such solutions were already known to Bethe himself [54] and appeared also early on in the planar \( N = 4 \) super Yang-Mills spectral problem [165]. There are several ways to treat them properly, cf. [164], which might also be applicable for the inhomogeneous spin chain with mixed representations needed for the three-site invariant \( |\Psi_{3,1}\rangle \).

The \( gl(2) \) version of the second three-site invariant discussed in Section 6.3.2 \( |\Psi_{3,2}\rangle \), is characterized by the monodromy \( M_{3,2}(z) \) defined in (6.3.24) and (6.3.25),

\[ \Xi_1 = \mathbf{s}_1, \quad \Xi_2 = \mathbf{s}_2, \quad \Xi_3 = \mathbf{s}_3, \quad v_1 = v_3 - 1 - s_1, \quad v_2 = v_3 - 1 - s_1 - s_2, \quad s_3 = s_1 + s_2. \quad (6.5.26) \]

The trivial normalization of this monodromy, cf. (6.3.26), together with (6.5.26) implies that (6.5.5) simplifies to

\[ \alpha(z) = \frac{z - v_3 + s_3}{z - v_3}, \quad \delta(z) = \frac{z - v_3 + 1}{z - v_3 + 1 + s_3}, \quad (6.5.27) \]

which is a solution of the functional relation (6.5.12). The second relation (6.5.13) is then solved by

\[ Q(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z - v_3 + s_3 + 1)}{\Gamma(z - v_3 + 1)} = \prod_{k=1}^{s_3} (z - v_3 + k). \quad (6.5.28) \]

Demanding this solution to be of the form (2.8.10) guarantees its uniqueness and allows us to read off the Bethe roots

\[ z_k = v_3 - k \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, s_3. \quad (6.5.29) \]
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\[ z_{s_{1}+1} \cdots z_{s_{1}+s_{1}-1} z_{s_{1}+2} z_{s_{1}+1} \]

\[ v_{1} \cdots z_{s_{1}} \cdots z_{s_{2}} \cdots z_{v_{2}} \]

\[ s_{3} + 1 \]

\[ s_{4} + 1 \]

Figure 6.5.4.: The Bethe roots \( z_{k} \) corresponding to the four site invariant \( |\Psi_{4,2}(u)\rangle \), i.e. to the R-matrix \( R_{s_{3},s_{4}}(u) \), arrange into two real strings in the complex plane. The strings consist of \( s_{3} \) and \( s_{4} \) roots, respectively. The difference of their endpoints \( u := v_{3} - v_{4} \), cf. (6.3.35), is the spectral parameter of the R-matrix.

Once again, they form a string, see Figure 6.5.3. To obtain the corresponding Bethe vector, we first evaluate the reference state (2.8.4) with (6.3.2) and the representations labels given in (6.5.26). This leads to

\[ |\Omega\rangle = (\overline{b}_1^2)^{s_{1}} (\overline{b}_2^3)^{s_{2}} (\overline{a}_3)^{s_{1}+s_{2}} |0\rangle. \]  (6.5.30)

Just like the other three-site invariant, the operators \( B(z_{s_{1}+1}) \) diverges because \( z_{s_{1}+1} = v_{1} \). With the same ad hoc prescription as above, we obtain again a finite Bethe vector that, for small values of \( s_{1} \) and \( s_{2} \), has the explicit form

\[ |\Psi\rangle = B(z_{1}) \cdots B(z_{s_{1}})|\Omega\rangle = (-1)^{s_{1}+s_{2}} (\overline{a}_1^3)^{s_{1}} (\overline{a}_2^3)^{s_{2}} |0\rangle \propto |\Psi_{3,2}\rangle. \]  (6.5.31)

This matches the form of the three-site invariant \( |\Psi_{3,2}\rangle \) given in (6.3.27).

**Four-vertex**

The \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \) version of the four site invariant \( |\Psi_{4,2}(v_{3} - v_{4})\rangle \) of section 6.3.2 is characterized by a monodromy matrix \( \mathcal{M}_{4,2}(z) \) that is specified by, cf. (6.3.30) and (6.3.31),

\[ \Xi_{1} = s_{1}, \quad \Xi_{2} = s_{2}, \quad \Xi_{3} = s_{3}, \quad \Xi_{4} = s_{4}, \]

\[ v_{1} = v_{3} - 1 - s_{3}, \quad v_{2} = v_{4} - 1 - s_{4}, \quad s_{1} = s_{3}, \quad s_{2} = s_{4}. \]  (6.5.32)

For this monodromy the overall normalization (6.3.32) is once again trivial and with (6.5.32) the eigenvalues (6.5.5) become

\[ \alpha(z) = \frac{z - v_{3} + s_{3}}{z - v_{3}} \frac{z - v_{4} + s_{4}}{z - v_{4}}, \quad \delta(z) = \frac{z - v_{3} + 1}{z - v_{3} + 1 + s_{3}} \frac{z - v_{4} + 1}{z - v_{4} + 1 + s_{4}}. \]  (6.5.33)
They obey the functional relation (6.5.12). A solution of (6.5.13) is given by
\[
Q(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z - v_3 + s_3 + 1)}{\Gamma(z - v_3 + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(z - v_4 + s_4 + 1)}{\Gamma(z - v_4 + 1)}
\]
\[
= \prod_{k=1}^{s_3} (z - v_3 + k) \prod_{k=1}^{s_4} (z - v_4 + k).
\] (6.5.34)

Because of (2.8.16) this solution is unique. The Bethe roots
\[
z_k = v_3 - k \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, s_3,
\]
\[
z_{k+s_3} = v_4 - k \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, s_4,
\] (6.5.35)
which we read off as the zeros of (6.5.34), form two strings, see Figure 6.5.4. To construct the Bethe vector (2.8.7) we need the reference state (2.8.4) for the representation labels which we read off as the zeros of (6.5.34), form two strings, see Figure 6.5.4. Then the explicit evaluation of (2.8.7) for small values of \(s_3\) and \(s_4\) yields
\[
|\Omega\rangle = (b_2^1)^{s_3}(a_1^3)^{s_4}(b_2^2)^{s_4}(a_1^4)^{s_4}|0\rangle.
\] (6.5.36)

Then the explicit evaluation of (2.8.7) for small values of \(s_3\) and \(s_4\) yields
\[
|\Psi\rangle = B(z_1) \cdots B(z_{s_3})B(z_{s_3+1}) \cdots B(z_{s_3+s_4})|\Omega\rangle
\]
\[
= (-1)^{s_3+s_4} s_3! s_4! \prod_{l=1}^{\min(s_3,s_4)} (v_3 - v_4 + s_4 - l + 1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(s_3,s_4)} \frac{1}{(s_3 - k)!(s_4 - k)!k!}
\]
\[
\times (v_3 - v_4 - s_3 + l) (b_1^1 \cdot a_3^3)^{s_3-k} (b_2^2 \cdot a_4^4)^{s_4-k} (b_2^3 \cdot a_3^3)^{k} (b_1^1 \cdot a_4^4)^{k}|0\rangle
\] (6.5.37)

which coincides with the expression for \(|\Psi_{4,2}(u)\rangle\) from (6.3.33) with (6.3.34), (6.3.35) and (6.3.37). As the invariant \(|\Psi_{4,2}(u)\rangle\) can be understood as the R-matrix \(R_{s_3,s_4}(u)\), we might say that this R-matrix is a special Bethe vector.

**Baxter lattice with \(N\) lines**

We know from section 6.3 that the invariants \(|\Psi_{2,1}\rangle\) and \(|\Psi_{4,2}(u)\rangle\) can be understood as a Baxter lattice with, respectively, one and two lines carrying conjugate symmetric representations. Here we work out the solution to the functional relations (6.5.12) and (6.5.13) for a Baxter lattice consisting of \(N\) lines of this type. In this case the monodromy has \(L = 2N\) sites. According to the \(\mathfrak{g}l(2)\) version of (6.3.10), the \(k^{th}\) line of the Baxter lattice with endpoints \(i_k < j_k\), the representation \(\Lambda_k = \tilde{s}_{i_k}\) and a spectral parameter \(\theta_k\) gives rise to the two spin chain sites
\[
\Xi_{i_k} = \tilde{s}_{i_k}, \quad \Xi_{j_k} = s_{j_k},
\]
\[
v_{i_k} = \theta_k, \quad v_{j_k} = \theta_k + s_{i_k} + 1, \quad s_{i_k} = s_{j_k}.
\] (6.5.38)
This turns the monodromy eigenvalues (6.5.5) into
\[ \alpha(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{N} f_{s_{ik}}(z - v_{ik}) f_{s_{jk}}(z - v_{jk}) \frac{z - v_{jk} + s_{jk}}{z - v_{jk}} = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{z - v_{jk} + s_{jk}}{z - v_{jk}}, \]
\[ \delta(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{N} f_{s_{ik}}(z - v_{ik}) f_{s_{jk}}(z - v_{jk}) \frac{z - v_{ik} - s_{ik}}{z - v_{ik}} = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{z - v_{jk} + 1 + s_{jk}}{z - v_{jk} + 1}. \]

(6.5.39)

For the last equality in both equations we used that each factor of the products corresponds to one line of the Baxter lattice. Using (6.5.38) the normalization factors belonging to each of these lines reduce to 1 analogously to the case of a single line explained before (6.3.13). Obviously, the eigenvalues in (6.5.39) satisfy (6.5.12). The relation (6.5.13) is solved by
\[ Q(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(z - v_{jk} + s_{jk} + 1)}{\Gamma(z - v_{jk} + 1)} = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \prod_{l=1}^{N} (z - v_{jk} + l), \]
which is the unique solution because we also demand the Q-function to be of the form (2.8.16). We read off the Bethe roots as zeros of (6.5.40),
\[ z_{k} = v_{j1} - k \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, s_{j1}, \]
\[ z_{k+s_{j1}} = v_{j2} - k \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, s_{j2}, \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ z_{k+s_{jN-1}} = v_{jN} - k \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, s_{jN}. \]

(6.5.41)

They arrange into \( N \) strings. The \( k \)th line of the Baxter lattice with representation \( \Lambda_{k} = s_{ik} \) leads to one string of \( s_{ik} = s_{jk} \) Bethe roots with a uniform real spacing of 1 lying between the inhomogeneities \( v_{ik} \) and \( v_{jk} \). The arrangement of these strings in the complex plane is determined by the spectral parameters \( \theta_{k} = v_{ik} \) of the lines. Next, we concentrate on the associated Bethe vector. With the form of the highest weight states (6.3.2) and (6.5.38) the reference state (2.8.4) turns into
\[ |\Omega\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^{N} (b_{2}^{k})^{s_{jk}} (a_{1}^{k})^{s_{jk}} |0\rangle. \]

(6.5.42)

The Yangian invariant is then given by the Bethe vector (2.8.7). Note that as in the special cases of one- and two-line Baxter lattices discussed, respectively, in Section 6.5.2 and Section 6.5.2 for generic values of \( \theta_{k} = v_{ik} \) no Bethe root coincides with an inhomogeneity. Consequently, these Bethe vectors with an even number of spin chain sites are manifestly non-divergent.

We finish with a remark on the general structure of the set of solutions to the functional relations (6.5.12) and (6.5.13). Notice that the solution of these relations defined by (6.5.39) and (6.5.40) is actually the product of \( N \) line solutions of the type discussed in Section 6.5.2. More generally, given two solutions \((\alpha_{1}(z), \delta_{1}(z), Q_{1}(z))\) and \((\alpha_{2}(z), \delta_{2}(z), Q_{2}(z))\) of the functional relations, a new one is obtained as the product
\[ (\alpha_{1}(z) \alpha_{2}(z), \delta_{1}(z) \delta_{2}(z), Q_{1}(z) Q_{2}(z)). \]

(6.5.43)
Using this method one can construct new Yangian invariants by superposing known ones. For example, it should be possible to combine line solutions with the three-vertices discussed in Section 6.5.2.

6.5.3. Outline of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ functional relations

In Section 6.5.1 we discussed in detail how the Bethe ansatz for $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ spin chains can be specialized in such a way that the resulting Bethe vector $|\Psi\rangle$ is Yangian invariant. This leads to functional relations (6.5.7) which restrict the allowed representations and inhomogeneities of the monodromy and determine the Bethe roots. The derivation was based on the observation (6.5.2) that a Yangian invariant $|\Psi\rangle$ is a special eigenvector of a transfer matrix. Of course, this observation is also valid more generally for invariants of the Yangian of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. We briefly discussed the nested algebraic Bethe ansatz in Section 2.8.2. In generalization of the discussion of the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ situation in section 6.5.1, it can be specialized to the case where the Bethe vectors are Yangian invariant.

Here we only state one of the main results, the set of functional relations determining the representation labels, inhomogeneities and Bethe roots of Yangian invariants in the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ case:

\[ 1 = \mu_1(z) \frac{Q_1(z-1)}{Q_1(z)}, \]
\[ 1 = \mu_2(z) \frac{Q_1(z+1) Q_2(z-1)}{Q_1(z) Q_2(z)}, \]
\[ 1 = \mu_3(z) \frac{Q_2(z+1) Q_3(z-1)}{Q_2(z) Q_3(z)}, \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ 1 = \mu_{n-1}(z) \frac{Q_{n-2}(z+1) Q_{n-1}(z-1)}{Q_{n-2}(z) Q_{n-1}(z)}, \]
\[ 1 = \mu_n(z) \frac{Q_{n-1}(z+1)}{Q_{n-1}(z)}. \] (6.5.44)

Here $\mu_1(z), \ldots, \mu_n(z)$ are the eigenvalues of the diagonal monodromy elements on the pseudo vacuum of the Bethe ansatz, cf. (2.8.20). For a monodromy (6.2.8), which is composed out of the Lax operators (2.3.5) with a finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ representation of highest weight $\Xi_i = (\xi_i^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi_i^{(n)})$ at the local quantum space of the $i^{th}$ site, these eigenvalues are given by

\[ \mu_a(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{L} f_{\Xi_i}(z - v_i) \frac{z - v_i + \xi_i^{(a)}}{z - v_i}. \] (6.5.45)

The Bethe roots are encoded into the $Q$-functions

\[ Q_k(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{m_k} (z - z_i^{(k)}), \] (6.5.46)
where $k = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ is the nesting level with $m_k$ Bethe roots $z_i^{(k)}$. Obviously, for $n = 2$ equation (6.5.44) reduces to the functional relations (6.5.7). As one can see from the Baxter equation for $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ (2.8.20), (6.5.44) is compatible with the fixed eigenvalue in (6.5.2). More precisely, each term in the Baxter equation is equal to one.

Interestingly, the functional relations (6.5.44) can also be written in the form

\begin{align*}
1 &= \prod_{a=1}^{n} \mu_a(z - a + 1), \quad \text{(6.5.47)} \\
\frac{Q_k(z)}{Q_k(z + 1)} &= \prod_{a=k+1}^{n} \mu_a(z - a + k + 1) \quad \text{(6.5.48)}
\end{align*}

for $k = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. The first equation (6.5.47) does not involve the Bethe roots and only constrains the representation labels and inhomogeneities of the monodromy. Each of the remaining equations (6.5.48) only involves the Bethe roots of one nesting level $k$. The equations (6.5.47) and (6.5.48) generalize (6.5.12) and (6.5.13), respectively, to the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ case.
7. Conclusion and outlook

We reviewed the basic framework of the quantum inverse scattering method focusing on rational spin chains. Apart from being the fundamental building blocks of the monodromy matrix for spin chains, the solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, R-matrices, play an important role in the study of two-dimensional integrable lattice models. Here, their entries are identified with Boltzmann weights of the lattice. This identification leads to an intimate relation between the two subjects. We discussed how unitarity and crossing relations relate certain R-matrices to each other and constrain their normalization which is not fixed by the Yang-Baxter equation. In addition, we introduced certain three-vertices which naturally arise in the study of R-matrices. In 1 + 1-dimensional integrable quantum field theory these projectors are usually interpreted as the process of forming a bound states. The basic mathematical structure underlying the Yang-Baxter equation is known as the Yangian which we recalled focusing on the RTT-realization. In Chapter 5, which is based on the authors' original results obtained in [27–29, 34], we constructed Q-operators for rational homogeneous spin chain within the framework of the quantum inverse scattering method by employing certain degenerate solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. This construction allowed us to derive functional relations among the Q-operators and the Bethe equations without making an ansatz for the wave-function. To underline the strength of the Q-operator construction we studied how the nearest-neighbor Hamiltonian enters the hierarchy of Q-operators. In this way, one can circumvent the standard procedure where the Hamiltonian is extracted from the transfer matrix with equal representation for quantum and auxiliary space. In Chapter 6, we studied Yangian invariance in the context of the RTT-realization. This chapter is based on the authors' publication [48]. We argued that Yangian invariants can be understood as special eigenstates of certain inhomogeneous spin chains. As a consequence, we were able to apply Bethe ansatz methods to construct Yangian invariants. Furthermore, we investigated the relation between the Yangian invariant spin chain eigenstates whose components can be interpreted as the partition functions of certain lattice models and tree-level scattering amplitudes in \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) super Yang-Mills theory. Additional material can be found in the Appendices. In the following, we discuss some open problems, further directions and provide an outlook.

An important question that immediately arises in the study of Chapter 6 is whether there is an efficient way to obtain Yangian invariants explicitly. The self-evident idea is to evaluate the notoriously intricate expressions for the off-shell Bethe vectors using the knowledge of the Bethe roots. There are different approaches to tackle the complexity of the off-shell Bethe vectors as e.g. discussed in [166–168]. However, in general, the
off-shell expressions are much more cumbersome than the final Yangian invariant, see also Appendix 7.0.1. Nevertheless, the arrangements of the Bethe roots into strings suggest that there is a systematic way to deduce the invariants analytically from the off-shell Bethe vectors. Possibly, further insight may be gained from other topics studied in integrable models. As already mentioned in Baxters original work, all Yangian invariants satisfy certain bootstrap like equations. Excitingly, these relations bear certain similarities with the qKZ-equation or Watson equation, see e.g. [169, 170]. However, to our knowledge, the precise connection between them has not been worked out yet.

Besides the application of the Q-operator to planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory, see Chapter 1 and the discussion below, it is interesting to study whether the way of constructing Q-operators presented here has implications on topics studied in the field of integrable models. Recently, there has been renewed interest in open spin-chains, see [171] and references thereof. The construction of the transfer matrices for these types of spin chains is well understood in the framework of the quantum inverse scattering method, see e.g. [71]. However, it is unclear if the Q-operator construction in Chapter 5 can be generalized for this case. The construction may lead to a thorough understanding of the new type of functional equations appearing in this context. Furthermore, Q-operators play an important role in the recently established fermionic basis for the XXZ spin chain [172–176], see also [177]. It would be very interesting to study whether this method can be generalized to spin chains with representations of higher rank and supersymmetry using the Q-operator construction presented in this thesis. Also, the connection between Bäcklund transformations in the theory of classical integrable models and the Q-operators introduced here, see e.g. [178], and its implications on AdS/CFT, remain to be understood.

Our findings and developments bring us closer towards the understanding of weakly coupled planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory as an integrable model. However, the final step of adapting our results to the realization of symmetry algebra $\mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$ remains outstanding. The R-operators for the Q-operators can be deduced from their general expression for $\mathfrak{gl}(n|m)$ given in Appendix E, while the functional relations should remain the same as for the supersymmetric case studied in [28]. We have seen, that the form of the Yangian invariants for the non-supersymmetric case already reflect the structure of their supersymmetric counterparts, i.e. the tree-level scattering amplitudes in the Grassmannian formulation with an appropriate contour. Furthermore, it was shown in [162] that the deformation parameters introduced in [163] satisfy the same relation as in the case of $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ studied here. Thus, we do not expect any major changes in the form of the invariants nor in the Bethe equations. However, as in principle non-compact representations will appear it is not clear whether the algebraic Bethe ansatz can still be applied.

The deformation of scattering amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory [40, 41] sparked a lot of interest in the last years [161–163, 171–182]. Interestingly, without any reference to integrability, such deformations were already considered several decades ago
in order to regulate scattering processes in quantum field theories \cite{183,184}. Following \cite{142,143}, these results led to the fully deformed Graßmannian integral formula \cite{179,180} employing the appropriate form of the Yangian generators \cite{48,163}. However, the integration contours relevant to evaluate a given amplitude from the (deformed) Graßmannian integral formula are in general still unknown. For the representations studied in this thesis we obtained well defined expressions for the corresponding Yangian invariants. This gives hope that the contours relevant for amplitudes can be obtained using our approach at least at tree-level by adjusting the latter to an appropriate representation. It remains to see whether the deformation combined with integrability can be used at loop-level to obtain the naively IR-divergent scattering amplitudes.

In the long run, we expect that the results obtained in this thesis may be helpful to understand planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory from first principles. However, even at weak coupling a coherent reformulation of the theory as an integrable model is unknown and it is not clear how correlation function, Wilson loops, amplitudes and form factors fit in a general picture \cite{35}. Apart from studying whether other observables can be understood within the framework discussed here it is certainly tempting to speculate that our approach to construct tree-level scattering amplitudes can be uplifted to all-loop planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory as it was done in the spectral problem. We have seen, however, that the spectrum of the commuting family of operators is not sufficient to construct Yangian invariants and information about the eigenspace is required. This suggests that the TBA-methods currently used to study the spectral problem, see \cite{24} in references therein, have to be extended to describe other observables like amplitudes, higher-point correlation functions and Wilson loops. Such methods are available in integrable models. The construction of Q-operators to all orders of the coupling would yield the full information about the eigensystem. In addition to the Q-operator approach, there exist powerful bootstrap methods for form factors in integrable models, see e.g. \cite{170}. The bootstrap is based on a set of axioms satisfied by the form factors. As mentioned above, there is hope that the Yangian invariants studied in this thesis can be understood as solutions of a qKZ- or Watson equation, see also \cite{188}. The fact that Yangian invariants can be obtained by combining minimal solutions as discussed in \cite{161,162,181} supports this idea. Certainly, it would be very interesting to study this connection also at loop level and try to understand the relation to the bootstrap equations that appeared in \cite{43,46} and \cite{189,190}. Finally, we hope that the fruitful interplay between integrable models and planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory will ultimately lead to a new understanding of gauge theories.

---

\cite{35} Yangian invariance of smooth Maldacena-Wilson loops was discussed in \cite{185} and it is known that the structure constants of three-point functions can be extracted from certain contractions of Bethe eigenstates \cite{86,186,187}.
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A. Representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$

In this appendix we introduce the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ algebra and Dynkin labels to fix the conventions used throughout the thesis. The commutation relations of the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ algebra are defined by the relation

$$[J_{ab}, J_{cd}] = \delta_{cb} J_{ad} - \delta_{ad} J_{cb}, \quad (A.0.1)$$

where commutator is given as usual by

$$[X,Y] = XY - YX, \quad (A.0.2)$$

for any $X,Y$. Furthermore, $J_{ab}$ are the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-generators with $a, b = 1, \ldots, n$. For irreducible highest weight representations there exists a state such that

$$J_{ab}|\text{hws}\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq a < b \leq n. \quad (A.0.3)$$

Such representations are labeled by the Dynkin labels $\Lambda = \Lambda^n = (\lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^n)$ with

$$J_{aa}|\text{hws}\rangle = \lambda^a |\text{hws}\rangle. \quad (A.0.4)$$

In particular, for finite-dimensional representations, i.e. representations with highest and lowest weight, we have

$$\lambda^1 \geq \lambda^2 \geq \ldots \lambda^n, \quad \lambda^a - \lambda^b \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (A.0.5)$$

In Chapter 5, we denote these representations by $\pi_{\Lambda^n}$ and the infinite-dimensional representations without lowest weight state by $\pi_{\Lambda^n}^+$. 
B. Drinfeld’s first realization

As outlined in Chapter 4, there are three different realizations of the Yangian. For completeness we present Drinfeld’s first realization as this realization was used in [36] to check the Yangian invariance of tree-level scattering amplitudes. This realization of the Yangian does not make any reference to the Yang-Baxter equation, see e.g. [107, 108, 191]. For convenience we restrict ourselves to the case of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ and only spell out the main properties of this realization. It is defined by the ordinary $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ commutation relations

$$[I_\mu, I_\nu] = f_{\mu\nu\lambda} I_\lambda, \quad \text{(B.0.1)}$$

where $I_\mu$ denote the $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$-generators (level-one generators) with $\mu = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ and $f_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ the structure constants. The coproduct satisfying the compatibility condition

$$\Delta([x, y]) = [\Delta(x), \Delta(y)], \quad \text{(B.0.2)}$$

is defined for these generators as

$$\Delta(I_\mu) = I_\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes I_\mu. \quad \text{(B.0.3)}$$

Additionally we have a second set of generators (level-two generators)

$$[I_\mu, J_\mu] = f_{\mu\nu\lambda} J_\lambda, \quad \text{(B.0.4)}$$

with the coproduct

$$\Delta(J_\mu) = J_\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes J_\mu + \frac{h}{2} f_{\mu\nu\lambda} I_\lambda \otimes I_\nu. \quad \text{(B.0.5)}$$

Additionally, the Serre relations

$$[J_\mu [J_\nu, I_\lambda]] - [I_\mu [J_\nu, J_\lambda]] = h^2 a_{\mu\nu\lambda\delta\gamma\sigma} \{I_\delta, I_\gamma, I_\sigma\} \quad \text{(B.0.6)}$$

and

$$[ [J_\mu, J_\nu], [I_\lambda, J_\gamma] ] + [ [J_\lambda, J_\gamma], [I_\mu, J_\nu] ] = h^2 (a_{\mu\nu\delta\omega\kappa} f_{\lambda\gamma\delta} + a_{\lambda\gamma\delta\omega\kappa} f_{\mu\nu\delta}) \{I_\omega, I_\sigma, J_\kappa\} \quad \text{(B.0.7)}$$

have to be satisfied. The antipode of the first and second level generators is defined as

$$S(I_\mu) = -I_\mu, \quad S(J_\mu) = -J_\mu + f_{\mu\nu\rho} I_\nu I_\rho. \quad \text{(B.0.9)}$$

Note that we can bring it in a slightly more symmetric form using the Jacobi identity and $f_{abc} = -f_{bac}$:

$$[J_\mu [J_\nu, I_\lambda]] + [J_\lambda [J_\mu, I_\nu]] + [J_\nu [J_\lambda, I_\mu]] = h^2 a_{\mu\nu\lambda\gamma\sigma} \{I_\delta, I_\gamma, I_\sigma\}$$
C. CBA for higher rank

To generalize Baxter’s perimeter Bethe ansatz to higher rank the knowledge of the coordinate wave function for the appropriate spin chain, cf. Chapter 6, is needed. In particular, this spin chain will have different representations associated to its sites.

The wave-function for the fundamental $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ spin chain with representation $\Lambda = (1, 0, 0)$ at each site can be obtained by combining two $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ spin chains as introduced in (2.11.4). The excitations on the chain carry different “colors” (charges). The two colors are taken into account by the nesting procedure. Let us first consider only one type of excitations. Then the wave function is the same as in spin 1/2 case

$$\psi_1(x_1, P_1) = \prod_{k=1}^{m_1} \prod_{j=1}^{x_{1,k}-1} \left( u_{1,P_1(k)} - v_j + 1 \right) \prod_{j=x_{1,k}+1}^{L} \left( u_{1,P_1(k)} - v_j \right),$$  \hfill (C.0.1)

with

$$x_i = \{ x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,m_i} \}.$$  \hfill (C.0.2)

Now, we the other type of excitations on top of these excitations, but as these excitations can only exist on top of the others we have to consider an inhomogeneous chain with the inhomogeneities given by the rapidities of the first excitations

$$\psi_2(x_2, P_1, P_2) = \prod_{k=1}^{m_2} \prod_{j=1}^{L} \left( u_{2,P_2(k)} - v_j \right) \prod_{j=1}^{x_{2,k}-1} \left( u_{2,P_2(k)} - u_{1,P_1(j)} + 1 \right) \cdot \prod_{j=x_{2,k}+1}^{m_1} \left( u_{2,P_2(k)} - u_{1,P_1(j)} \right).$$  \hfill (C.0.3)

The wave function reads

$$\psi(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{P_1} \left( A(P_1) \psi_1(x_1, P_1) \sum_{P_2} \left( A(P_2) \psi_2(x_2, P_1, P_2) \right) \right).$$  \hfill (C.0.4)

The wave function of a $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ spin chain involving the fundamental and the antifundamental representations\textsuperscript{37} is given in Figure C.0.1. This wave function can be used to generalize Baxter’s perimeter Bethe ansatz to the case where the bulk $R$-matrices are given by the $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$-invariant $R$-matrix of the type (2.2.1). A generalization to totally symmetric/antisymmetric representations should be possible in the same manner as discussed in Section 2.11. Furthermore, we like to refer the reader to [167] and references therein as

\textsuperscript{37}We like to thank Yumi Ko for collaboration.
C. CBA for higher rank

well as to [166] where expressions for Bethe vectors of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ spin chains are given. It would be interesting to evaluate those to proof the rather explicit form of the wave function in Figure C.0.1.
\[
\psi_{1,R}(L_f, L_d, x_1, \sigma_1) = \prod_{j=m_1^- + 1}^{m_1^+} \prod_{k=1}^{L_d} \left( u_{1,\sigma_1(j)} + 1 - w_k \right) \prod_{k=1}^{x_1,j-1} \left( u_{1,\sigma_1(j)} + 1 - v_k \right) \prod_{k=x_1,j+1}^{L_f} \left( u_{1,\sigma_1(j)} - v_k \right)
\]

\[
\psi_{2, \ell}(L_f, L_d, x_2, \sigma_2) = \prod_{j=m_2^- + 1}^{m_2^+} \prod_{k=1}^{L_d} \left( u_{2,\sigma_2(j)} + 1 - w_k \right) \prod_{k=1}^{x_{2,j}-1} \left( u_{2,\sigma_2(j)} - u_{1,\sigma_2(m_2^- + k) + 1} \right) \prod_{k=x_{2,j}+1}^{L_d} \left( u_{2,\sigma_2(j)} - u_{1,\sigma_1(m_2^- + 1)} \right)
\]

\[
\psi_{1, \ell}(x_1, x_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \prod_{j=1}^{m_1^-} \prod_{k=1}^{L_d} \left( u_{1,\sigma_1(j)} + 1 - w_k \right) \prod_{k=1}^{x_{1,j}-1} \left( u_{1,\sigma_1(j)} - u_{2,\sigma_2(m_2^- - k + 1) - 1} \right) \prod_{k=x_{1,j}+1}^{m_2^+} \left( u_{1,\sigma_1(j)} - u_{2,\sigma_1(m_2^- - k + 1)} \right)
\]

\[
\Xi(x_1, x_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \prod_{k=m_1^- + 1}^{m_1^+} \prod_{j=1}^{m_2^-} \left( u_{2,\sigma_2(k)} + 1 \right) \prod_{k=1}^{x_{1,j} - 1} \left( u_{1,\sigma_1(j)} - u_{2,\sigma_2(k) - 1} \right)
\]

\[
A_i(\sigma_i) = \prod_{j<k} \frac{u_{i,\sigma_i(j)} - u_{i,\sigma_i(k)} + 1}{u_{i,\sigma_i(j)} - u_{i,\sigma_i(k)}}
\]

\[
\Psi(L_f, L_d, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} A_1(\sigma_1) A_2(\sigma_2) \Xi(x_1, x_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \psi_{1,R}(L_f, L_d, x_1, \sigma_1) \psi_{2, \ell}(L_f, L_d, x_2, \sigma_2) \psi_{2, R}(x_1, x_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \psi_{1, \ell}(x_1, x_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)
\]

Figure C.0.1.: Wave function of the \( \mathfrak{gl}(3) \)-invariant spin chain with inhomogeneities. Here the first \( L_d \) sites carry the representation \( \Lambda_d = (1, 1, 0) \) and the last \( L_f \) sites \( \Lambda_f = (1, 0, 0) \). These representations are dual to each other. As before, the variable \( x_1 \) denotes the position of the level-one excitations and \( x_2 \) the level-two excitations on top of them. This picture is only valid on the part of the chain with representation \( \Lambda_f \). On the other part the variable \( x_1 \) denotes the position of the level-two excitations and \( x_2 \) the level-one excitations. The magnon numbers \( m_{1,2}^- \) denote the number of level-one or level-two excitations on the left side of the chain, while \( m_{1,2}^+ \) denote the number of level-one or level-two excitations on the right side of the chain. This result has been checked using Mathematica for certain cases. A similar spin chain appeared in the context of a three dimensional Chern Simons theory \[192\] in \[193\], see also \[194\].
D. Bethe vectors as partition functions

It is rather interesting that the elements of Bethe vectors can be represented as partition functions of certain lattices. In this sense, any Bethe vector can be computed through Baxter’s perimeter Bethe ansatz, cf. Chapter 6 which itself yields a Bethe vector. Here, we only state the lattices of interest for the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ case and leave further studies of this matryoshka principle as mentioned above for the future.

Using the diagrammatic language introduced in (2.5.3), see also Section 3.2, we can represent the elements of the monodromy (2.8.1) as

$$A(z) = 1, \quad B(z) = 1,$$

$$C(z) = 2, \quad D(z) = 2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (D.0.1)

where we did not specify the representation at the different sites of the quantum space. The inhomogeneities are assigned to the vertical and the spectral parameter of the auxiliary space to the horizontal line. The components of the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ off-shell Bethe vector (2.8.7) are given by the lattice

$$
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
zm \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
2
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\cdots \\
\cdots \\
\cdots
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
z2 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
2
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\cdots \\
\cdots \\
\cdots
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_1 \\
\alpha_2 \\
\alpha_L \\
vL
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
2
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\cdots \\
\cdots \\
\cdots
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
2 \\
2 \\
2
\end{array}
$$  \hspace{1cm} (D.0.3)

where for simplicity we restrict to fundamental representations at each site of the spin chain. The upper part represents the vacuum of our choice $|\Omega\rangle$. In particular, we note that we assigned a Bethe root $z_i$ to each of the horizontal B-lines as given on the right-hand side, cf. (2.8.3).
D. Bethe vectors as partition functions

For $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ the Bethe vectors are constructed from three different $B$-type operators corresponding to the upper/lower triangular entries of the monodromy in the auxiliary space. Diagrammatically it can be depicted as

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{c}
\{ m_2 \} \\
\{ m_1 \} \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_1 \\
\alpha_L \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
\vdots \\
2 \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
1 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 3 \\
\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
3 \\
\end{array}
\end{equation}

where we restricted to the fundamental representations at each site of the spin chain by choosing the vacuum state. We find that for this case the partition function simplifies as the $L \times m_2$ vertices in the upper left corner freeze, cf. Section 3.1. However, in general we see that the case where we do not have any second level excitations $m_2 = 0$ coincides with (D.0.3). For each level we have a set of Bethe roots assigned to the lines on the left-hand side of (D.0.4). The inhomogeneities are associated to the $L$ vertical lines. As discussed in Appendix C, the upper part of (D.0.4) can be understood as an inhomogeneous $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ spin chain where the first $L$ inhomogeneities are given by the inhomogeneities of the $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ chain and the last $m_1$ inhomogeneities by the Bethe roots of the previous level. The diagram in (D.0.4) generalizes to the case of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ where the total length of the horizontal line at the top of the lattice is $L + m_1 + \ldots + m_{n-2}$. The vacuum state has to be chosen appropriately. Further details can be found in [74, 87, 195, 196].
E. Partonic Lax operators

In Chapter 5 we only considered Q-operators constructed from the Lax operators \( L^+ \) and \( L^- \). Another family of Q-operators can be constructed from the Lax operators \( L^- \) and \( L^- \). Both types of Lax operators are presented here for the case of \( \mathfrak{gl}(2) \)

\[
L^+(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z - h & \bar{a} \\ -a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L^0(z) = \frac{1}{z + \frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \bar{a} \\ -a & z + 1 + h \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
L^- (z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a \\ \bar{a} & z - h \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L^- (z) = \frac{1}{z + \frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} z + 1 + h & -a \\ \bar{a} & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
L^-(z) L^-(-z) = 1, \quad L^+(z) L^0(z) = 1.
\]

It might be interesting to understand the relation between the Q-operators constructed from the Lax operators on the left- and right-hand side of (E.0.1) and (E.0.2).

For the Lax operator in Holstein-Primakoff realization

\[
L^0_j(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z + j & \bar{a} \bar{a} - 2j \\ -a & z - j + \bar{a}a \end{pmatrix},
\]

it holds that

\[
L^0_j(z) = -\frac{1}{(z - j)(z + j + 1)} L^0_j(z + 1).
\]

We can determine the coefficients in (E.0.2) and find

\[
\gamma = -1, \quad \sigma = j(j + 1).
\]
F. Supersymmetric Lax operators

The generalization of the Q-operator construction for the fundamental representation of \(gl(n)\) at each site of the quantum space, see Section 5.2, to the superalgebra \(gl(n|m)\) was carried out in [28], see also [197]. As the construction is rather similar to the \(gl(n)\)-case we only give the supersymmetric counterparts of the Lax operators (5.2.12), see also (5.2.95). It can be written as

\[
\mathcal{L}_I(z) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
  z \delta_B^A & - (1)^{p(B)} \left( E_B^A + (H')^A_B \right) & \bar{\xi}^A_B \\
  - (1)^{p(D)} & \xi_B^A & \delta_B^A \\
  & & & \end{array} \right), \quad (F.0.1)
\]

with

\[
(H')^A_B = \bar{\xi}_D^A \xi_B^D + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{p(A)+p(D)} \delta_{AB}. \quad (F.0.2)
\]

Here \(E_B^A\) denote the \(gl(n|m)\)-generators satisfying

\[
[E_B^A, E_D^C] = \delta_D^C E_B^A - (-1)^{(p(A)+p(B))(p(C)+p(D))} \delta_B^A E_D^C \quad (F.0.3)
\]

where the commutator is defined as

\[
[X, Y] = XY - (-1)^{p(X)p(Y)} YX \quad (F.0.4)
\]

for any \(X, Y\) and the parity function

\[
p : \{1, \cdots, m+n\} \to \{0, 1\}, \quad (F.0.5)
\]

depending on the grading of the algebra. Furthermore, the graded oscillators obey the commutation relations

\[
[\xi_B^A, \xi_D^C] = \delta_D^A \delta_B^C. \quad (F.0.6)
\]

As before we can use these Lax operators to derive the R-operators for general representations. Solving a supersymmetric counterpart of the Yang-Baxter equation (5.2.94), see also [198], we find the supersymmetric version of the R-operators \(R\) presented in (5.2.86). It is of the form

\[
\mathcal{R}_I(z) = \rho(z) e^{E_d^i \xi_i^j} \prod_{a=1}^{r} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{q} \frac{\Gamma(z - \tilde{\mu}_a)}{\Gamma(z + \tilde{\nu}_\alpha)} e^{(-1)^{p(\xi)\xi_d} E_d^j}, \quad (F.0.7)
\]

where \(p\) counts the bosonic elements in \(I\) and \(r\) the fermionic. The shifted weights are given by

\[
\tilde{\mu}_a = \mu_a + r - q - a, \quad (F.0.8)
\]
\[
\tilde{\nu}_\alpha = \nu_\alpha + q - \alpha, \quad (F.0.9)
\]
F. Supersymmetric Lax operators

see [199–201] for a definition of the shifted weights and further details on the Cayley-Hamilton theorem used in the derivation. This result was obtained in collaboration with Tomasz Łukowski, Carlo Meneghelli and Matthias Staudacher. The details of the derivation are left to a future publication.
G. Q-operators and the Hamiltonian

This appendix contains additional material relevant for Section 5.3. In particular, we provide a direct relation between the R-operators $R$ and the Hamiltonian density in analogy to (2.7.14) and exemplify it for fundamental representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ as well as for the non-compact spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ chain.

G.1. A plug-in formula for the Hamiltonian density

For practical purposes we give a plug-in formula for the Hamiltonian density in this appendix. By multiplying (5.3.56) in the auxiliary space with $\cdot$ from the right one finds that

$$
\mathcal{H}_{i,i+1} \mathcal{R}_{I,i}(\hat{z}) \mathcal{R}_{I,i+1}(\hat{z}) = \mathcal{R}_{I,i}(\hat{z}) \mathcal{R}'_{I,i+1}(\hat{z}) - \mathbf{P}_{i,i+1} e^{\delta J(i)} e^{J(i)} \mathcal{R}'_{I,i+1}(\hat{z}) \mathcal{R}_{I,i}(\hat{z}) \mathcal{R}'_{I,i+1}(\hat{z}).
$$

(G.1.1)

Interestingly, $\mathcal{R}_{I,i}(\hat{z}) \mathcal{R}_{I,i+1}(\hat{z})$ can be inverted under $\cdot$ to obtain $\mathcal{H}_{i,i+1}$. As $\mathcal{H}_{i,i+1}$ does not depend on the auxiliary space all oscillators can be removed in a consistent way. In this way one can write

$$
\mathcal{H}_{i,i+1} \mathcal{R}_{0,i}(\hat{z}) e^{-J(i)} e^{J(i)} \mathcal{R}_{0,i+1}(\hat{z}) = \mathcal{R}_{0,i}(\hat{z}) e^{-J(i)} e^{J(i)} \mathcal{R}'_{0,i+1}(\hat{z}) - \mathbf{P}_{i,i+1} \sum_{\{k_{cc},\{m_{cc}\}\}=0}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{c \in I, \dot{c} \in \bar{I}} \frac{1}{k_{cc}! m_{cc}!} (J_c^c(i) + J_c^{\dot{c}}(i+1))^{k_{cc} + m_{cc}} \mathcal{R}_{0,i}(\hat{z}) \mathcal{R}'_{0,i+1}(\hat{z}) \prod_{c \in I, \dot{c} \in \bar{I}} (J_c^c(i))^{k_{cc}} (J_c^{\dot{c}}(i+1))^{m_{cc}} \right).
$$

(G.1.2)

In analogy to (G.1.1) this yields the Hamiltonian density.

G.2. Hamiltonian action for non-compact spin chains

In this appendix we study how the Hamiltonian density for the non-compact spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ spin-chain emerges in the presented formalism. This spin-chain received special interest in the context of the $AdS_5/CFT_4$ correspondence [202, 205]. The $\mathcal{R}$-operators for $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ were discussed in Section 5.3.2 in great detail. Restricting to $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ one finds that one of
G. Q-operators and the Hamiltonian

the two $R$-operators can be written as

$$R_+(z) = e^{aJ_+} R_{0,+}(z) e^{aJ_-} \quad \text{with} \quad R_{0,+}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z + J_0)}{\Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2})},$$

(G.2.1)

compare Section 5.3.2. The usual $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ commutation relations are

$$[J_0, J_\pm] = \pm J_\pm \quad [J_+, J_-] = -2J_0. \quad \text{(G.2.2)}$$

Furthermore, we define the action on module via the common relations

$$J_+|m\rangle = (m + 1)|m+1\rangle \quad J_-|m\rangle = m|m-1\rangle \quad J_0|m\rangle = (m + \frac{1}{2})|m\rangle.$$  \quad \text{(G.2.3)}

It follows that

$$R_{0,+}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2} + m)}{\Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2})} |m\rangle \langle m|,$$

(G.2.4)

$$\tilde{R}_{0,+}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{\Gamma(-z + \frac{1}{2} + m)}{\Gamma(-z + \frac{1}{2})} |m\rangle \langle m|,$$

and

$$R_{0,+}(\hat{z}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Gamma(m) |m\rangle \langle m|,$$

(G.2.5)

$$\tilde{R}_{0,+}(\tilde{z}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \Gamma(m) |m\rangle \langle m|,$$

with $\hat{z} = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\tilde{z} = \frac{1}{2}$. The relevant terms in (5.3.56) are then given by

$$\sum_{m_1, m_2=0}^{\infty} \tilde{a}^{m_1} (|m_1, m_2\rangle \langle 0| e^{aJ_-} \tilde{a}^{m_2}, \quad \text{(G.2.6)}$$

$$- \sum_{m_1, m_2=0}^{\infty} \tilde{a}^{m_1} \left( h(m_1) |m_1, m_2\rangle \langle 0| e^{aJ_-} \tilde{a}^{m_2}, \quad \text{(G.2.7)}
$$
G.3. The Hamiltonian for the fundamental representation

\[ H = \sum_{m_1, m_2 = 0}^{\infty} \bar{a}^{m_1} (h(m_2) | m_1, m_2 \rangle - m_1 \sum_{\ell=1}^{1} \frac{1}{\ell} | m_1 - \ell, m_2 + \ell \rangle \langle 0 | e^{a_j \tilde{a}^{m_2}}. \]  

(G.2.8)

From this we find that

\[ H | m_1 m_2 \rangle = (h(m_1) + h(m_2)) | m_1, m_2 \rangle - m_1 \sum_{\ell=1}^{1} \frac{1}{\ell} | m_1 - \ell, m_2 + \ell \rangle - m_2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{1} \frac{1}{\ell} | m_1 + \ell, m_2 - \ell \rangle. \]  

(G.2.9)

G.3. The Hamiltonian for the fundamental representation

For the fundamental representation one has \( a = 1 \), see (5.3.14). Therefore the R-operators \( \mathcal{R} \) of cardinality \( |I| = n - 1 \) carry the information about the Hamiltonian. In this case the special points are located at \( \hat{z} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \tilde{z} = -\frac{1}{2} \), compare (5.3.13). The derivative \( L'_I \) does not depend on the spectral parameter \( z \) and does not contain oscillators. It follows that equation (G.1.1) simplifies to

\[ H_{i,i+1} L_I(\hat{z}) L_{i,i+1}(\tilde{z}) = (1 - \mathbf{P})_{i,i+1} L_I(\hat{z}) L_{i,i+1}. \]  

(G.3.1)

Furthermore, \( L'_I \) can be written as

\[ L'_I = L_I(\hat{z}) - L_I(\tilde{z}). \]  

(G.3.2)

The expression for the Hamiltonian density

\[ H_{i,i+1} = (\mathbf{P} - 1)_{i,i+1} \]  

(G.3.3)

follows noting that

\[ (1 - \mathbf{P})_{i,i+1} L_I(\hat{z}) L_{i,i+1}(\tilde{z}) = 0. \]  

(G.3.4)

This coincides with the Hamiltonian density in (2.7.12) up to a term proportional to the identity. Interestingly, as a consequence of (5.3.42), identity (G.3.4) holds true for any generalized rectangular representation.

G.4. Reordering formula

The reordering of the oscillators in the auxiliary space we are interested in is of the form

\[ e^{\bar{a}^A} \cdot B \cdot e^{a^C} = e^{\bar{a}^A} \circ \tilde{B} \circ e^{a^C}. \]  

(G.4.1)
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Using \( e^{-\bar{a}A}a^n e^{\bar{a}A} = (a + A)^n \) we find that

\[
\tilde{B} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} A^n B C^n , \quad B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} A^n \tilde{B} C^n .
\]  \hspace{1cm} (G.4.2)

Here we did not specifying any commutation relations among \( A, B, C \).
H. Glued invariants and special points of the R-matrix

It is well known that there exist special points of the R-matrix where it becomes a projector on a lower-dimensional subspace. In particular, the formation of bound states is associated to such a special point, see Section 3.10. However, there are other values of the spectral parameter that can be interpreted as on-shell rescattering processes along the lines of [206]. Typically, these special points are associated to poles in the S-matrix. To make the pole-structure of the R-matrix manifest we rewrite (6.3.33) as

\[ \Gamma(z - s_3) |\Psi_{4,2}(z)\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(s_3, s_4)} \frac{1}{z + k - s_3} |\tilde{\Psi}_{4,2}(s_3 - k)\rangle. \] (H.0.1)

Naturally, (H.0.1) contains only poles of first order ignoring the overall normalization. For \( z = s_3 \) the residue of (H.0.1) is then given by

\[ \Gamma(z - s_3) |\Psi_{4,2}(z)\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(s_3, s_4)} \frac{1}{z + k - s_3} |\tilde{\Psi}_{4,2}(s_3 - k)\rangle. \] (H.0.2)

Furthermore, for \( z = s_3 - \min(s_3, s_4) \) we obtain the decomposition

\[ \Gamma(z - s_3) |\Psi_{4,2}(z)\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(s_3, s_4)} \frac{1}{z + k - s_3} |\tilde{\Psi}_{4,2}(s_3 - k)\rangle. \] (H.0.3)
H. Glued invariants and special points of the R-matrix

or

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{depending on whether } s_3 > s_4 \text{ or } s_4 < s_3. \text{ If } s_3 = s_4 \text{ the middle line vanishes and we obtain} \hfill
&\text{a permutation. The points between the ones discussed above obviously also develop} \hfill
&\text{poles. However, there does not seem to be a fundamental principle to determine the} \hfill
&\text{corresponding on-shell diagrams. From } (H.0.1) \text{ we find that the general decomposition of} \hfill
&\text{the R-matrix at the special points } z = s_3, \ldots, s_3 - \min(s_3, s_4) \text{ is given by} \hfill
\end{align*}
\]

The details of this calculation can be found in the following section.

We would like to point out that the diagram above exactly coincides with the BCFW formula for the four point tree-level amplitude in terms of three point amplitudes. Furthermore, we note that a three vertex can be interpreted as two lines, cf. (6.3.14), (6.3.21) and (6.3.27). A construction of Yangian invariants only involving line solutions was proposed in [161] and further explored in [162, 181].

H.1. Gluing prescription

We start by gluing two of the lines introduced in (6.3.14). This is done most conveniently
in the operator form of the invariants (6.3.16). The representation labels of both lines
have to be identical. Then, after the appropriate identification of the spaces, gluing is
just multiplication of the operators. Using

\[
\langle 0| a_{c_1} \cdots a_{c_{s_2}} \bar{a}_{d_1} \cdots \bar{a}_{d_{s_2}} |0 \rangle = \sum_{\sigma} \delta_{c_1d_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots \delta_{c_{s_2}d_{\sigma(s_2)}}, \quad (H.1.1)
\]
where we sum over all permutations $\sigma$ of $s_2$ elements, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\begin{array}{c}
s_2 \\
\end{array} \\
1 \\
\begin{array}{c}
s_2 \\
2
\end{array}
\end{array} = s_2! \quad \text{(H.1.2)}$$

The result is not a different invariant but again a line with some combinatorial prefactor that could be absorbed into the normalization.

In the following we have to evaluate expressions of the type

$$\sum_{a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i = 1}^{n} \hat{a}_{a_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{a_3} \bar{a}_{b_1} \cdots \bar{a}_{b_4} |0\rangle \langle 0| a_{a_1} \cdots a_{a_3} b_{b_1} \cdots b_{b_4}$$

\begin{align}
&\quad \cdot \hat{a}_{c_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{c_s} \bar{a}_{d_1} \cdots \bar{a}_{d_2} |0\rangle \langle 0| a_{c_1} \cdots a_{c_s} b_{d_1} \cdots b_{d_2} \\
&= \sum_{q = \max(0, s_1 - s_3)}^{\min(s_1, s_4)} p! q! v! w! \left( \begin{array}{c} s_1 \\ q \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} s_2 \\ v \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} s_3 \\ v \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} s_4 \\ q \end{array} \right) (\hat{a}^1 \cdot \hat{a}^3)^p (\bar{a}^1 \cdot \bar{a}^3)^q (\bar{a}^2 \cdot \bar{a}^2)^v (\bar{a}^2 \cdot \bar{a}^2)^w |0\rangle,
\end{align} \quad \text{(H.1.3)}

with $s_1 + s_2 = s_3 + s_4$. The middle part becomes a sum like in (H.1.1) ranging over all permutations of $s_1 + s_2$ objects. Each term in this sum represents one way to contract the indices of the oscillators acting in spaces 1 and 2 with those acting in 3 and 4. The expression (H.1.3), for notational convenience conjugated in spaces 1 and 2, evaluates to

$$\sum_{q = \max(0, s_1 - s_3)}^{\min(s_1, s_4)} p! q! v! w! \left( \begin{array}{c} s_1 \\ q \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} s_2 \\ v \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} s_3 \\ v \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} s_4 \\ q \end{array} \right) (\hat{a}^1 \cdot \hat{a}^3)^p (\bar{a}^1 \cdot \bar{a}^3)^q (\bar{a}^2 \cdot \bar{a}^2)^v (\bar{a}^2 \cdot \bar{a}^2)^w |0\rangle,
\quad \text{(H.1.4)}$$

where

$$p = s_1 - q, \quad w = s_4 - q, \quad v = s_3 - s_1 + q. \quad \text{(H.1.5)}$$

The combinatorial coefficient corresponds to the number of possible contractions of $q$ of the oscillators in space 1 with oscillators in space 4 where the remaining $p = s_1 - q$ oscillators are contracted with those in space 3 and in addition the oscillators in space 2 are contracted with those that are still left in spaces 3 and 4. This is illustrated in Figure [H.1.1].

Instead of immediately composing four three-site invariants analogously to the composition of the four-leg amplitude, it is helpful to study parts of this composition first. We
H. Glued invariants and special points of the R-matrix

\[ \Delta(s_2, s_3, k) = k! (\vec{b}^2 \cdot \vec{a}^1)^{s_3-k} (\vec{b}^2 \cdot \vec{a}^3)^k (\vec{b}^4 \cdot \vec{a}^3)^{s_3-k} |0\rangle. \]  

(H.1.7)

In order for the representation labels of all lines to be positive we have to require \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, \min(s_2, s_3) \). Again the gluing is done by a direct computation in the operatorial form of the invariants. To get a compact expression for the result we conjugate in spaces 1 and 2 and obtain

\[ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta(s_2,s_3,k)} = \cdots. \]  

(H.1.6)

Figure H.1.1.: Contractions of oscillators for gluing of three-site invariants.
The two three-site invariants can also be combined in a different way:

\[
O_{\Theta(s_1,s_4,k)} = \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
3 \\
4
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
s_1 + s_4 - k \\
s_1 + s_4 - k \\
s_1 - k \\
s_1 - k
\end{array}
\]

\[
\Theta(s_1,s_4,k) = \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
3 \\
4
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
s_1 + s_4 - k \\
s_1 + s_4 - k \\
s_1 - k \\
s_1 - k
\end{array}
\]

In this case the combinatorics is more involved due to the double line. Using (H.1.4) we find

\[
|\Theta(s_1,s_4,k)\rangle = \min(s_1,s_4) \sum_{q=k}^\infty \frac{(s_1-k)!(s_4-k)!s_1!s_4!}{(s_1-q)!(s_4-q)!q!(q-k)!} \cdot \langle \bar{b}_1 \cdot \bar{a}_3^{s_1-q}(\bar{b}_1 \cdot \bar{a}_4)^q(\bar{b}_2 \cdot \bar{a}_3)^{s_4-q-k}(\bar{b}_2 \cdot \bar{a}_4)^{s_4-q}|0\rangle ,
\]

where we have to restrict to \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, \min(s_1,s_4) \).

Finally, we combine the two parts (H.1.6) and (H.1.8) into

\[
O_{\Omega(s_3,s_4,k)} = \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
3 \\
4
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
s_3 + s_4 - k \\
s_3 + s_4 - k \\
s_3 - k \\
s_3 - k
\end{array}
\]

The two remaining contractions connecting the parts can again be considered as special cases of (H.1.4) leading to

\[
|\Omega(s_3,s_4,k)\rangle = \min(s_3,s_4) \sum_{q=k}^\infty \frac{(s_3-k)!^2(s_4-k)!^2s_3!s_4!k!}{(s_3-q)!(s_4-q)!q!(q-k)!} \cdot \langle \bar{b}_1 \cdot \bar{a}_3^{s_3-q}(\bar{b}_1 \cdot \bar{a}_4)^q(\bar{b}_2 \cdot \bar{a}_3)^{s_4-q-k}(\bar{b}_2 \cdot \bar{a}_4)^{s_4-q}|0\rangle .
\]

These invariants correspond to the R-matrix in vector form (6.3.33) at special points of the spectral parameter

\[
|\Omega(s_3,s_4,k)\rangle = s_3!s_4!k!(s_3-k)!^2(s_4-k)!^2|\Psi_{4,2}(s_3-k)\rangle ,
\]
for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, \min(s_3, s_4) \). If we allow also complex values for \( k \) in (H.1.11) and thus replace the factorials by gamma functions, the expression literally agrees with (6.3.33). This suggests that, analogously to the four-leg amplitude, the R-matrix with a generic spectral parameter might be understood as a composition of four trivalent vertices. In such an interpretation the internal lines in (H.1.10) would carry complex labels and thus leave the realm of finite-dimensional representations.

Alternatively, the complete R-matrix can be reconstructed from the values at the special points,

\[
|\Psi_{4,2}(z)\rangle = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z - s_3)} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(s_3, s_4)} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!(z + k - s_3)} |\Psi_{4,2}(s_3 - k)\rangle. \tag{H.1.13}
\]

With (H.1.12) this is understood as an expansion of the R-matrix in terms of the diagrams in (H.1.10). So if we stick to finite-dimensional representations also for the internal lines in (H.1.10), in contrast to the four-leg amplitude, the R-matrix is not a simple combination of four three-site invariants but a weighted sum over all diagrams.39

39We like to thank Nils Kanning for checking and discussing about the results presented in this appendix.
I. Hopping Hamiltonians

Fixing the normalization of the R-matrix in (6.3.43) to be given by

\[ R_{s,s}(u) = \rho(u) \frac{\Gamma(u + 1)^2}{\Gamma(u + 1 + s)} \sum_{k=0}^{s} \frac{k!}{\Gamma(u - s + k + 1)} \text{Hop}_k, \]  

(I.0.1)

we obtain the unitarity relation

\[ R_{s,s}(u) R_{s,s}(-u) = \rho(u) \rho(-u). \]  

(I.0.2)

To extract the Hamiltonian we take the logarithmic derivative, see (2.7.14), and find

\[ H = \sum_{k=0}^{s} c_{k,s} \text{Hop}_k, \]  

(I.0.3)

with

\[ c_{0,s} = -h(s), \quad c_{k,s} = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(1 + s - k)}{\Gamma(1 + s)}. \]  

(I.0.4)

This type of Hamiltonian was discussed in [50] and referred to as Harmonic Action. Apart from the underlying symmetry, the major difference is the explicit realization of the operators \( \text{Hop}_k \). In [207] it was shown that the action of the Hamiltonian on a general state

\[ |i,j\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^{s} \prod_{l=1}^{s} \tilde{a}_{ik} \tilde{b}_{jl} |0\rangle, \]  

(I.0.5)

can be written as \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}' \) with

\[ \mathcal{H} |i,j\rangle = \mathcal{H}_0 |i,j\rangle - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} d\theta \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi \cot \theta \prod_{k=1}^{s} \prod_{l=1}^{s} \tilde{a}_{ik}(\theta,\varphi)\tilde{b}_{jl}(\theta,\varphi) |0\rangle. \]  

(I.0.6)

Here the hatted oscillators denote the rotation

\[ \hat{a}(\theta,\varphi) = \cos \theta \tilde{a} - e^{i\varphi} \sin \theta \tilde{b}, \]  

(I.0.7)

\[ \hat{b}(\theta,\varphi) = \cos \theta \tilde{b} + e^{-i\varphi} \sin \theta \tilde{a}, \]  

(I.0.8)

and \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) is introduced to regulate the diagonal contribution

\[ \mathcal{H}_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} d\theta \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi \left( \cot \theta \cos^{2s} \theta - \frac{h_s}{\pi} \right), \]  

(I.0.9)

with the harmonic numbers \( h_s \).

\[ ^{40}\text{This formalism was developed with Tomasz Łukowski.} \]
I. Hopping Hamiltonians

**Beam splitter Hamiltonian**

We will now derive an expression for the Hamiltonian from its action given in (I.0.6) as we did in (I.0.3) for the Harmonic Action in \[50\], i.e. find an explicit form of $H'$ in (I.0.6). Furthermore, as we will see, there is an amusing way to interpret the action of the Hamiltonian density and in particular the coefficients appearing in the expansion (I.0.3).

Let us first define the operator

$$S(z, \bar{z}) = e^{z\bar{a} - \bar{z}a}, \quad (I.0.10)$$

where $\bar{z}$ denotes the complex conjugate of the variable $z$. It can be used to write the rotation of the oscillators in (I.0.7) and (I.0.8) as a similarity transformation

$$\hat{a}(z, \bar{z}) = S(z, \bar{z})\hat{a}S^{-1}(z, \bar{z}) = \cos|z|\bar{a} - \bar{z}\frac{\sin|z|}{|z|}b$$

$$\hat{b}(z, \bar{z}) = S(z, \bar{z})\hat{b}S^{-1}(z, \bar{z}) = \cos|z|\bar{b} + z\frac{\sin|z|}{|z|}a$$

with $z = \theta e^{-i\varphi}$ where $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$ and $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$. Its action on the Fock vacuum $|0\rangle$ is given by

$$S(\theta)|00\rangle = S^{-1}(\theta)|00\rangle = |00\rangle \quad (I.0.13)$$

for all $\theta$. Using

$$S_2(z, \bar{z}) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{2}(z\bar{a}_i\bar{b}_i - \bar{z}a_i b_i)}$$

the transformation rules (I.0.11) and (I.0.12) and the action of $S$ on the Fock vacuum (I.0.13) we obtain

$$e^{\sum_{i=1}^{2}(z\bar{a}_i\bar{b}_i - \bar{z}a_i b_i)}|i,j\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^{s} \prod_{l=1}^{s} \hat{a}_k(z, \bar{z})\hat{b}_l(z, \bar{z})|00\rangle.$$  

(I.0.15)

and the explicit form of the non-diagonal part of the Hamiltonian

$$H' = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \cot \theta S_2(\theta, \varphi).$$

(I.0.16)

The operator $S_2(z, \bar{z}) = S_2(\theta, \varphi)$ can be interpreted as a quantum gate, see e.g. \[208\], as shown in Figure I.0.1. To clarify this statement we act with it on the tensor state with one particle at site “1” and no particles at site “2”, i.e. $\hat{a}|00\rangle = |10\rangle$. We find that

$$S_2(\theta, \varphi)|10\rangle = \cos \theta |10\rangle - e^{i\varphi} \sin \theta |01\rangle.$$ 

This is a normalized entangled state between the two sites of the chain parametrized through the angles of the Bloch sphere. The $\theta$ angle can be seen as the angle under which the quanta hit the splitter. The angle $\varphi$ denotes a phase difference that the quanta pick up.
Figure I.0.1.: Possible scattering with a particle at one site and none on the other.

Figure I.0.2.: Possible scattering with two particles at each site.

when they pass through the gate. We can define the transmission coefficient as $T = \sin^2 \theta$ and the reflection coefficient as $R = \cos^2 \theta$. In the $\mathfrak{g}(2)$ case we have two different kinds of oscillators and the total number of them at each site is fixed by the representation label. Each kind of oscillator we assign one color white or gray and interpret them as particles. Take the state where two red particles are on the first site and two gray on the second. As we will integrate over $\varphi$ all configurations that do not preserve particle numbers at each site will drop out, compare Figure I.0.2.
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