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Abstract

Online social media activity can often be a precursor to disruptive events such as protests, strikes, and “occupy” movements. We have observed that such civil unrest can galvanize supporters through social networks and help recruit activists to their cause. Understanding the dynamics of social network cascades and extrapolating their future growth will enable an analyst to detect or forecast major societal events. Existing work has primarily used structural and temporal properties of cascades to predict their future behavior. But factors like societal pressure, alignment of individual interests with broader causes, and perception of expected benefits also affect protest participation in social media. Here we develop an analysis framework using a differential game theoretic approach to characterize the cost of participating in a cascade, and demonstrate how we can combine such cost features with classical properties to forecast the future behavior of cascades. Using data from Twitter, we illustrate the effectiveness of our models on the “Brazilian Spring” and Venezuelan protests that occurred in June 2013 and November 2013, respectively. We demonstrate how our framework captures both qualitative and quantitative aspects of how these uprisings manifest through the lens of tweet volume on Twitter social media.

1 Introduction

Online social networks, such as Twitter, are open platforms for rapidly transmitting information about events observed by user populations. These networks are a rich source of data with potential explanatory and forecasting power. Examples of such studies using social media include financial interests [1, 2], disease spreading [3, 4], and protest activity (civil unrest) [5–7]. Our study builds on protest event-based diffusion models having foundations laid by Oliver and Myers [8]. There are a number of recent large-scale protests with events leaving footprints in social media. The Arab Spring, Brazilian Spring, and Venezuela online protest movements have been used as a canvas by many researchers to model civil unrest evolving in social media [9–11], along with studies of other high impact movements [12, 13]. Our focus is information propagation cascades [14] on Twitter for protest events occurring in Brazil and Venezuela. The
Brazilian protests [15] (e.g. “Brazilian Spring”) in June, 2013 originated in the city of São Paulo. These protests started by disputing the increase in public transportation fares, but quickly expanded to address other facets of governmental corruption. The Venezuelan protests were in opposition to their President in November, 2013 (e.g. see [16] for events leading up to his rule by decree). These were a precursor to the “Venezuelan Spring” protests that encompassed broader economic issues between February and June, 2014.

The dynamics of cascade growth is a complex problem characterized by many variables on multiple scales. A simple model of information diffusion is the Susceptible-Infected-Recovered (SIR) model [17] where each interaction is independent. Goel et al. [18] show deviations from the SIR structure in real networks containing many small cascades and few large cascades. Thresholding models such as [19, 20] have been developed to address the strength of network connections as a parameter for transmission. Analyses of the underlying network structure [21, 22] and temporal complexity [23] have resulted in numerical and analytic growth models of diffusion. However, forecasting cascade growth on social media using machine learning techniques adds further challenges. Many studies employ a traditional approach such as regression [24, 25] or classification [26, 27]. There are also a number of forecasting measures to consider such as popularity [28] in Digg, re-tweeting on the Twitter network [29], and user interests in microblogs [30, 31]. We use the recent work by Cheng et al. [32] of predicting photo reshare cascade sizes on Facebook as a template for our analysis.

The Twitter dataset we analyze consists of over 40 million tweets and 2 million users in South America. We filtered the tweets using a multi-lingual list of 961 protest related keywords such as “protesta”, “vigilantes”, and “vandalismo” and formed the cascades using a follower-network. Conventional network analysis (see [33]) has primarily considered structural and temporal (dynamic) properties of cascades. Structural features such as degree distribution and connectivity do tend to perform well on our data, but are not trivial to compute. The Twitter follower-graph used in this work has a node order of millions and edge order of billions. The dynamic nature of the cascades presents significant challenges in recomputing the structural features of this magnitude. A single computation of the Brazil Twitter graph’s structural features requires around half a terabyte of memory, and takes on the order of days to accomplish. In contrast, temporal features on the same data set can be stored and computed on an ordinary machine with 4 gigabytes on the order of hours. We improve on vanilla temporal features by representing Twitter cascade behavior in terms of a finite number of parameter sets. This parameter model draws heavily from game theory [34], especially the differential games framework proposed by Rufus Isaacs and Antony Merz [35, 36] that accounts for temporal evolution in decisions or choices [37]. A differential game models rational maximizing and minimizing agents optimizing over the same cost (payoff) function at each point in time. Although rationality is not guaranteed in a population, modeling it as such serves as a good measure of the degree to which behavior is rational with respect to a specific cost. Reluga [38] uses a differential game theoretic approach to study social distancing during an epidemic by modeling the cost associated with such distancing. We adopt a similar approach wherein we model a user’s interaction with a protest information cascade using a similarly conceived cost of participation. The assumption is that individuals will experience a cost associated with joining a Twitter cascade, and that this cost can be used to classify the behavior of the cascade as it evolves in time.

Our work adds a new wrinkle to such prediction problems by studying the notion of cost of participation with a differential game model. However, this work is not blind to the challenges that face forecasting cascade growth. There are multiple ways to evaluate a forecasting algorithm. Our method seeks to identify groupings of behavior, which perform very well given the cost model. Applying this to a more event specific metric, such as the number of tweets without the grouping, will likely result in decreased fidelity. However, the advantage of this approach is two-
fold, because it is not resource consuming to extract the features, and the paradigm is general enough in its approach to represent cascades as data-driven behavioral classes. Additionally, the complex and interwoven consequences of actions can result in rather counter-intuitive results (i.e. [39]). Twitter is an expedient and uncertain environment with respect to human actions. Forecasting methods become plagued with high sensitivity to antecedent features, if known, subject to high levels of noise. Consequent forecasting where no prior information is known becomes intractable, time varying, and sensitive to external factors not captured in our temporal feature set. The scope of this work focuses on the more tractable approach of using a temporal differential game model to improve the classification of a cascade given a library of behaviors.

2 Analysis and Methods
The Model
The proposed framework models activity cascades [14], one of many possible ways to define cascades in Twitter. When an individual posts a relevant tweet at time $t$ and within a short duration, $\Delta$, a few of their followers post a relevant tweet, we add these tweets to the cascade. A relevant tweet is one containing keywords from the keyword list given in the supplementary data. This process repeats until the cascade cannot be expanded any further. Note that the follower network for the users must be known to complete this process. We use the susceptible-infected-recovered (SIR) model to capture this intuition of cascade growth wherein individuals of a fixed population (of tweets or users) are believed to be in one of three states:

- **Susceptible**, $x_s$: individuals that have not joined the cascade, but could potentially do so
- **Infected**, $x_i$: individuals that have joined the cascade and are capable of influencing the susceptible individuals
- **Recovered**, $x_r$: individuals that have left the cascade (e.g. now participate in another cascade)

Shown in Fig 1 are two parameters governing the rate at which individuals join one of the three states. The transmission rate, $\beta$, is the ratio of susceptible individuals that join the cascade by contact with members of the infected state. The recovery rate, $\gamma$, is the ratio of infected individuals that leave the cascade. The complete SIR model dynamics are a set of coupled nonlinear differential equations,

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_s &= -\beta x_s x_i \\
\dot{x}_i &= \beta x_s x_i - \gamma x_i \\
\dot{x}_r &= \gamma x_i
\end{align*}$$

![Fig 1. The state diagram for the SIR model.](https://example.com/fig1.png)

The nodes, $x_s$, $x_i$, and $x_r$ represent counts within a population to the susceptible, infected, and recovered states, respectively. The transmission rate, $\beta$, controls the flow of susceptible individuals to infected. The recovery rate, $\gamma$, controls the flow of individuals out of the cascade.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139911.g001
with initial conditions subject to the constraint, $x_s(t_0) + x_i(t_0) + x_r(t_0) = N$. The parameter, $N$, is the population count of individuals, and the constraint holds for all $t$. The cumulative cascade population, $s$, that has joined the cascade by time $t$ is given by the individuals that have left the susceptible state, and are either currently infected or recovered.

\[ s(t) = N - x_s(t) \tag{4} \]

Cost considerations wherein the dynamics at the population level can deviate from SIR dynamics Eq (3) are formed in the general framework where the states, $x \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, evolve as

\[ \dot{x} = f(x, d) = f_{SIR}(x) + f_D(x, d) \tag{5} \]

where $x = [x_s, x_i, x_r]^T$. We assume that the SIR dynamics, $f_{SIR}$, are subject to a population level disturbance, $f_D(x, d)$. The separability of the disturbance term implies that the SIR model is always present to some degree. Examples of disturbances related to protests include portions of the population distancing themselves from the cascade by reducing their social networking time or bowing to societal pressure not to comment on a particular event. The SIR model of protest dynamics, Eq (3), is inserted into Eq (5), and the disturbance is given a yet unknown function $\sigma$

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_s \\
\dot{x}_i \\
\dot{x}_r
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\beta x_s x_i \\
\beta x_s x_i - \gamma x_i \\
\gamma x_i
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
+ \sigma(x, d)_{f_D}
\tag{6}
\]

where $d$ is the population control input for $f_D = \sigma(x, d)$. The only requirement placed on the disturbance function is $\sigma(x, d) \in C^1$. The infinite horizon cost functional by which a population chooses $d$ has the form,

\[ J(x, t, d) = \int_t^\infty g_{\sigma}(x, d) dt \tag{7} \]

where $g_{\sigma}$ is the running cost. Eq (7) is the accrued penalty of disturbing the cascade with $d$ subject to the $\sigma$ function at a given state. The population minimizes Eq (7).

As shown in Fig 2, the solution to this equation consists of finding an optimal disturbance control, $d^*$, and a corresponding value function, $V(x(t))$, such that $V(x(t)) = J(x, t, d^*)$. The value function represents the “cost-to-go” for reaching the target set, $T$ using control, $d^*$. The target set and boundary conditions are identified as,

\[ \forall x \in T \subset X, V(x) = c_0 \tag{8} \]

where $T$ is a set of target states that are assigned the terminal cost, $c_0$. In the case of information spreading in a social network, the target set for a population trying to reach the most number of users is $T = \{x \in X | x_s = 0\}$, shown in Fig 2. From Eq (4), this terminal condition assigns the target as reaching the entire $N$ count population. Implicit in the preceding analysis are assumptions of (i) no finite end time of the cascade or outside intervention on the population level (i.e., individual participation only) and (ii) agents operating rationally with full knowledge per the cost structure. The first assumption is addressed by setting $c_0$, so the state remains in the target set upon entry. The second assumption differentiates between cascades displaying different cost features given below.
We solve for \( d \) using the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation \[40\],

\[
0 = \min_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \left[ g_s(x, d) + \nabla_x V(x)f(x, d) \right] + \dot{V}(x)
\]  

This is a continuous version of the equation, and the control, \( d \), is found by,

\[
d^* = \arg \min_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \left[ \nabla_x V(x)f(x, d) \right]
\]  

To solve numerically using the Fast Marching Semi-Lagrangian (FMSL) method \[41\], a discretized version of the HJB equation is given as,

\[
V(x_i) = \min_{d_i \in \mathcal{D}} \{ V(x_i + hf(x_i, d_i)) + h g_s(x_i, d_i) \}
\]  

where \( x_i \in \mathcal{X} \) is a discretization over \( X \), \( h \) is the discrete fixed time interval, and \( d_i \in \mathcal{D} \) is the discretized control set. The FMSL solution yields the value function, \( V \), and an associated
control sequence

\[ d^*(x_j) = \arg \min_{d_k \in D} [\nabla_x V(x_j)(f(x_j, d_k))] \]  

(12)

There is an optimal control, \( d^* \), at every state in the grid lattice, and it depends on \( g_{\sigma} \).

We now incorporate the propensity of individual actions into the SIR framework using a Markov probability model. An individual is a member of the population, \( i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, N\} \). The dynamics applied to the individual are uncertain transitions of \( i \) being a member of the susceptible, infected, or recovered populations at a given time. Using the proposed formulation in [38], the individual stochastic model is

\[ \dot{p} = Q(t; \hat{d})p \]  

(13)

where \( \hat{d} \) is the individual disturbance term and \( p = [p_s, p_i, p_r]^T \) are probabilities of the individual belonging to a particular state. The transition-rate matrix is given by

\[ Q(t; \hat{d}) = \begin{bmatrix} -\pi(x, \hat{d})\beta x_i & 0 & 0 \\ \pi(x, \hat{d})\beta x_i & -\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]  

(14)

where the SIR parameters, \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) follow from Eq (3). The function, \( \pi(x, \hat{d}) \), is a multiplicative adjustment to the transmission ratio. Combining the population and individual dynamics, the Bellman equation for finding the Nash equilibrium of an individual control \( \hat{d} \) with population control \( d \) is shown [42] to be

\[ -\dot{V} = (Q^T - hI)V + g_{\sigma} \]  

(15)

where \( V \) is the individual value function, \( h \) is the discount factor, and \( g_{\sigma} \) is the running cost defined initially in Eq (7). The individual optimal strategy for cascade participation, \( \hat{d} \), minimizes the individual contribution to the cost. In this framework, the individual observes the population dynamics in Eq (6), chooses \( \hat{d} \), which subsequently adjusts the population level disturbance, \( d \), until a Nash equilibrium is reached. No individual can do better by deviating from the population strategy, \( \hat{d} = \hat{\hat{d}} \).

To solve the game, we show that an individual using \( \hat{d} = d^* \) with no cost discounting will not deviate from the population optimal control, \( d^* \), in Eq (12). Furthermore, we can simplify the solution of the game when the population control \( d^* \) is guaranteed to be a Nash equilibrium if \( \exists d \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in X, |\sigma(x, d)| > |\beta x_i| \). To show this, we only need to find when Eqs (15) and (9) are equivalent under these conditions. This can be done in two steps. First, a variable transformation from \( x \) to \( p \) is performed by simply dividing by the population, \( N \),

\[ p = \frac{x}{N} \]  

(16)

Then, recognizing that \( \sigma(x, d) \) must be strictly greater than \( \beta x_i \) over the entire state space, we have

\[ \pi(x, d) = 1 - \frac{\sigma(x, d)}{\beta x_i} \]  

(17)

Substituting Eqs (16) and (17) into Eq (14) results in \( Q = \nabla_x f(x, d) \). With this equality, Eqs...
(15) and (9) are equivalent and solve for the same control, \(d^*\). When \(d = \hat{d} = d^*\), the population disturbance is optimal and the individual will do no better by deviating. This result is useful, because it enables solving the game using only Bellman optimality.

It remains to specify the objective functions in the Bellman equation. We propose two objective functions to model activity cascade participation:

1. **Zero constant**: Assume no running cost, and cascade growth is governed by a static transmission ratio, \(\beta\).

   \[
   g_s(x, d) = 0 \quad \sigma(x, d) = 0
   \]

   This is the trivial case where \(d\) has no influence on the SIR dynamics, and the cascade is left to grow (and eventually decay) exponentially depending solely on parameters, \(\beta\) and \(\gamma\). For completeness, the value function associated with this objective function is \(\forall x \in X, V(x) = 0\). This objective function captures cascade growth with minimal interference.

2. **Expectation driven**: The cost objective is governed by a plan of an expected outcome in the cascade.

   \[
   g_s(x, d) = \| x - \psi(x) \|^2 \\
   \sigma(x, d) = (-\beta x x_i + d \max \{ \text{sign}(x_i), 0 \}) \xi \\
   \xi = [1 \quad -1 \quad 0]^T
   \]

   where \(\xi\) is used as a placement term and \(\psi\) is a state map. In this work, many of the cascades exhibit a macro-level linear fit such that this choice of \(\sigma(x, d)\) yields a uniformly distanced \(\psi(x)\) sequence over \(x\). When solving for \(d\) using FMSL methods, \(\psi\) is implemented as a sequence of desired target points obtained empirically from data.

   The optimal disturbance function over a normalized population for candidate function (2) is obtained using the FMSL algorithm [41]. The result is shown in Fig 3, where the recovered state is removed from the phase portrait because of its zero control impact in the \(\xi\) matrix. This phase plot of \(\sigma\) over \(x_s\) and \(x_i\) shows a steep increase in the disturbance term as the number of susceptible and infected individuals increase. This makes intuitive sense for protest cascades, because it should require more effort to grow a cascade with fewer current participants, or when the pool of potential new participants is small.

   To use this result as a practical feature for cascade growth forecasting, we create a new combined \(\sigma\) function

   \[
   \sigma(x, \eta, \nu) = (-\beta \eta x x_i + \max \{ \eta \text{sign}(x_i), 0 \}) \xi
   \]

   where \(\eta\) is a parameter describing the degree to which a population behaves using running cost candidate functions (1) or (2). In this combined form, \(d = \eta \nu\). This we term the cost of the cascade, because it represents the amount of effort that a population must enact through \(\sigma\) in order to deviate from the SIR dynamic model, \(f_{\text{SIR}}\) and accruing cost based on the objective function in Eq (7).

### Estimating Cost Parameters from Data

Having formulated the objective function and derived the cost term, \(d\), we now describe how the cost feature for cascade growth can be calculated from data. A cascade is a time series...
sequence of tweets,

\[ \hat{s} : \{s^0, s^1, \ldots, s^I\} \]  

(19)

where \( \hat{s}^i \) is the empirical measurement of cumulative totals Eq (4) of the number of tweets in the cascade since inception. Each point in the sequence represents the cumulative total number of tweets \( \hat{s}^i, i \leq I \) that have participated in the cascade at time \( t^i, i \leq I \). The length of the sequence is \( I \) with index \( i \). The associated time sequence,

\[ t : \{t^0, t^1, \ldots, t^I\} \]  

(20)

represents the posting times of each tweet.
Using the SIR model with cost disturbance introduced in Eq (5), the sequence approximating the cascade is given by

\[ s_{\eta, \nu, \beta}(t') = x_s(0) - \int_0^{t'} f_{\text{SIR}} + \sigma(x, \eta, \nu) dt \]  

where \( f_{\text{SIR}} \) has the form of Eq (3) and \( f_D = \sigma(x, \eta, \nu) \), respectively. The initial condition, \( x_s(0) \) is approximated from data (i.e. cumulative user degree). The term, \( s_{\eta, \nu, \beta} \), signifies that this sequence approximates \( s \) numerically with parameters \( \eta, \nu, \) and \( \beta \). In practice, numerically integrating Eq (21) with Eq (18), induces a high frequency noise component in the system that is not actually present because of the signum function. A scaling term, \( \phi_{a, b}(x_s) \), is incorporated and dampens the oscillations as \( x_s \to 0 \) using the logistic function,

\[ \phi_{a, b}(x_s) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a(x_s-b)}} \]  

where the parameters \( a \) and \( b \) are specific to the numerical solver used.

We want to minimize the error between the empirical cascade, \( \hat{s} \) and the numerically approximated cascade, \( s \) for \( i \leq I \) with the error function,

\[ e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \left( \frac{\hat{s}' - s_{\eta, \nu, \beta}(t')}{\hat{s}'} \right)^2 \]  

The best estimate of the cost parameter is

\[ \widehat{\text{cost}} = d = \eta \nu \]  

where the parameters \( \eta^* \) and \( \nu^* \) are from the minimization

\[ v^*, \eta^*, \beta^* = \arg \min_{\eta, \nu, \beta} e_i(s_{\eta, \nu, \beta}) \]  

To implement the minimization in Eq (26) we use the L-BFGS-B optimizing routine. Using the entire cascade is not always best for a longer term analysis, because of the daily periodic nature of the cascade volume. We use periodic sampling, and select points when the approximate derivative is 0. This is shown in Fig 4, where the markers indicate points of optimization.

### 3 Results and Discussion

We apply our methods to protests occurring in both Brazil and Venezuela in June and November of 2013. Our overall dataset from Twitter consists of over 2 million users and 40 million tweets originating from South America. Using Datasift’s streaming API, we filtered the tweets using a protest language vocabulary from [5]. The complete word list is given in the supplementary data, and contains words such as “vandalismo” and “protesta”. The geocoding component in [5] ensured that the tweets originated from the intended countries. Only tweets timestamped in June 2013 and November 2013 were included for the respective countries. To cull the activity cascades, we superimposed the tweets on the follower network obtained by querying the Twitter API. Tweets were added to the cascade only when users on the same follower network tweeted using a keyword within a duration, \( \Delta \). We set \( \Delta = 4 \) hrs, because this experimentally showed to be the best interval for observing information propagation for events
related to the protests. This process resulted in a Brazilian protest data set containing 7291 cascades, and a Venezuelan protest data set containing 4885 cascades.

We only consider cascades with tweet volumes in excess of 500 tweets. The distributions for both the Brazil and Venezuela protests are shown in Figs 5 and 6 respectively. Roughly 25% of the cascades from Brazil have below 2500 tweets, or 10% of the largest tweet volume recorded. The remaining tweet volumes reach counts of around 100. In the Venezuelan dataset, roughly 75% of the cascades have below 6500 tweets or 50% of the largest tweet volume recorded. Both data sets exhibit right skewing that shows prevailing numbers of smaller cascades. However, in the Brazilian data, a given cascade is less likely to mature to the largest size. Another aspect to the skewness is that many of the cascades can be subgraphs of each other. For both protest data sets, many of the smaller cascades represent the early temporal dynamics of the larger cascades. This property of our data set is advantageous, because it enables the capture of all portions of cascade growth.

Our theoretical analysis is evaluated with the following questions:

1. Is the more generalized cost feature parameter able to discriminate between different modes of growth, (i.e., identify behavior)?

2. How many initial tweets are needed to classify cascade growth and behavior?
Clustering Protest Cascades to Identify Behavior

We answer the first question by clustering different cascade behaviors. The cost feature represents the propensity of individuals to participate in joining information chains through social networking channels. Lower cost is representative of a more epidemic style of spreading behavior, and a higher cost is indicative of more effort (outside interference) in the process. To show the relationship between cost and final cascade volume, clusters of individual cascade properties are identified on the tweet cost-volume plane. Beginning with the Brazilian protests, k-means clustering was used to form the clusters shown in Fig 7. Each color identifies one of the...
six clusters, where the choice of k was validated using the silhouette score and mean distance metrics.

The results show a mostly positive linear relationship between the cost and number of tweets. Clusters representing increased levels of cost indicate an increased cascade tweet volume. For the Brazilian protests, more outside intervention and deviation from epidemic spreading shows more cascade growth. The larger subgraphs of protest cascades did not favor dynamics of the epidemic model as much as the smaller subgraphs. However, given that many of the cascades are subgraphs of a larger cascade graph indicates an initial prevalence among

---

**Fig 6.** The frequency distribution shows the Venezuelan protest cascades used in this analysis ordered by the number of tweets. Compared to the Brazilian protest cascades, the relative drop in sizes between the shorter cascades and longer cascades lasts further into the median of the data set.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139911.g006
all cascades for the lower cost behaviors. The data also show a less dense and noisy cluster located in the upper left corner that results from the increased generality of the cost feature.

Similarly for the Venezuelan protests, clusters were formed on the cost-volume plane. The results in Fig 8 show four clusters emerging from the data where $k = 4$ was validated using both the silhouette and mean distance metrics. Unlike the Brazilian protests, the clusters seen for Venezuela do not show the same level of correlation between tweet volume and cost. The Venezuelan protest cascades exhibit a much wider variety of initial behavior that converges toward a neutral range cost value as the cascades mature to the larger tweet values. This is seen in the top cluster appearing with a red color label.
The cluster results show unique differences between the cascade behaviors of the two protests. A random sampling of cascades from both protests are shown in Fig 9 for Brazil and Fig 10 for Venezuela. The color scheme of the cascades corresponds to the representative clusters in Figs 7 and 8. The behavior emerging in the clusters is verified by the shape of the resulting cascade time series. For Brazil, the clusters show varying degrees of tweet volume, but each instance shows an initial behavior with epidemic growth in tweet volume. These cascades then decrease accruing tweet volume as their growth progresses. The Venezuelan data set shows
more similarity in tweet volume, but differences in behavior are seen in the rates at which the cascades accrue volume.

Clustering the data shows that there are behavioral differences that provide distinguishing characteristics for each protest dataset on the tweet cost-volume plane. The growth behavior seen in the cascades, and represented by cost, is intrinsic to many common structural and temporal features for cascade analysis. Characterizing this behavior as a cost feature and clustering similar attributes provides a measure for identifying similar trends in the activity cascade data.
We build on the framework in [26, 27, 32], and now cast our forecasting question as a classification problem. Namely, if we observe an early portion of the cascade, can we forecast if it will garner significant recruits, and how will this growth behavior manifest? It is well known that information propagation on the Twitter network is significantly affected by two components: the underlying network structure around the participants of a cascade and the temporal

Fig 10. Five randomly selected cascades were chosen from each of the Venezuelan protest cascade clusters. These cascades, as opposed to the Brazilian cascades, exhibit fairly similar behavior throughout the duration of the cascade. In this protest we see more evidence of SIR dynamics, except in the cascades that make such an immediate initial rise.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139911.g010

Forecasting Cascade Growth and Behavior

We build on the framework in [26, 27, 32], and now cast our forecasting question as a classification problem. Namely, if we observe an early portion of the cascade, can we forecast if it will garner significant recruits, and how will this growth behavior manifest? It is well known that information propagation on the Twitter network is significantly affected by two components: the underlying network structure around the participants of a cascade and the temporal
properties of the information. Common structural and temporal features, as well as those associated with the new cost feature are shown in Table 1. We use these features in a support vector machine (SVM) classification algorithm [43] to answer the forecasting question posed above.

From our initial studies in trying to forecast only tweet volume doubling, we indeed found corroborating evidence that the structural and temporal properties of the protest cascades perform with higher precision and recall than a base rate as initially shown in [32]. Our study differs, because it includes cost attributes identifying the behavioral cluster describing cascade growth. Cost as a cascade feature is a more generalized parameter version of the temporal features which include the elapsed time of every tweet, \( i < k \), \( \forall k \leq l \), for the first \( k \) tweets in a cascade. Therefore, we limit our cost feature comparison between elapsed temporal and cost features. We also note the added benefit of achieving reasonable performance with only temporal features, because expensive structural feature calculations can be avoided. For comparison, calculating the structural features consumed up to 500GB of memory and took on the order of days to accomplish. In contrast, the temporal feature calculations took place in hours on a consumer level machine with only 4GB of memory. The difference lies in the number of data points used for each calculation. The structural features require iteration over the follower graph with nodes on the order of millions and edges on the order of billions that scale with the size of the cascade. Temporal features only include a point for each timestamp in the cascade.

The dataset for the Brazilian protests is analyzed for varying lengths, \( k \), of the cascades starting from the initial tweet. The precision, shown in Fig 11, shows increases from \( k = 1000 \) to \( k = 11000 \). We see similar results for both recall and the weighted F1 score shown in Figs 12 and 13 respectively. These metrics show substantial improvement over both the sample

---

**Table 1. Table showing features used for cascade forecasting.** Structural and temporal features are conventional methods with which to conduct cascade forecasting. We propose the addition of the cost features listed at the bottom of the table. Here, the cost has been separated out into its constituent components, \( \nu \) and \( \eta \). The other parameters governing the epidemic curve, and are estimated with the fit of the cascade model are included as \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \).

| Structural          | Temporal            | Cost                  |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| S.1 Degree          | # connections of the \( i^{th} \) tweet |                       |
| S.2 Induced Degree  | # connections of the \( i^{th} \) tweet from the first \( k \) tweets |                       |
| S.3 Active Nodes    | # total tweets reachable from the first \( k \) tweets |                       |
| S.4 Original Connections | # neighbors of the \( k \) tweets who are not part of the cascade |                       |
| S.5 Subgraph        | # edges on the induced sub-graph of the first \( k \) participants |                       |
| S.6 Border Nodes    | # nodes immediately reachable from the participating nodes |                       |
| S.7 User Left       | # of the first \( k \) tweets not neighbors of the root |                       |
| T.1 # Views         | Number of users who saw the first \( k \) tweets |                       |
| T.2 Avg. Reshare First | Average time between posts for the first \( k/2 \) tweets |                       |
| T.3 Avg. Reshare Last | Average time between posts for the last \( k/2 \) tweets |                       |
| T.4 Elapsed Time    | Change in times between the orig. tweet and \( i < k \) tweets |                       |
| T.5 Change \( dt \) | Change in time between tweets |                       |
| C.1 \( \beta \)—transmission rate | From the SIR epidemiological model |                       |
| C.2 \( \gamma \)—recovery rate | From SIR epidemiological model |                       |
| C.3 \( \nu \)—participation percentage | % users participating in the forced cascade |                       |
| C.4 \( \eta \)—cost estimate | Number of users attained per unit time |                       |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139911.t001
frequency base rate and temporal features. One of the primary reasons that the temporal features do not forecast membership of a cascade to a particular cluster as well is that the elapsed time temporal feature over-fits the cascades. When only a portion of the cascades are seen, the clusters become indistinguishable. The cost captures more of the cascade dynamics, and discriminates at an earlier stage of cascade growth. This effect only becomes more exaggerated as \( k \) is increased to include more cascade volume in the forecast.

Fig 11. Precision for the Brazilian data set is given as a function of \( k \). As expected, the more observations available for a given cascade, the better forecasting ability as seen by an increasing average across each of the clusters. Convergence is seen toward 80% precision.
The forecasting results for Venezuela appear similar to those of the Brazilian protest data, except with overall less precision and recall as shown in Figs 14 and 15, respectively. The reason for less overall accuracy in forecasting ability, even with a higher $k$, is that the distinction between cascade volume and cost is not as well defined for Venezuela as it is for Brazil. However, as shown in Fig 16, the overall performance of cost features to temporal features is superior for all values of $k$.
These results are significant for two reasons. First, the cost feature is able to identify membership of a cascade to clusters of different growth behavior better than the base rate or temporal features. It is able to do this for both the Brazilian and Venezuelan datasets which provide supporting evidence for its ability to discriminate among different cascade growths. Second, the cost feature does not require the extensive computational resources like the structural features. The advantage here is that the cost feature information size does not need to scale with $k$, because a cascade of any length is described by the same number of cost parameters.

Fig 13. F1 score for Brazil. The cost features perform better than the base rate or temporal features, because cost includes more of they dynamic attributes of the protest cascade in the parameter set.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139911.g013
4 Conclusions

A cost feature capturing protest cascade growth in Twitter was developed using a differential game model. This cost represents the deviation an individual may take from what would be the exponential cascade growth modeled as an SIR epidemic curve. The differential game is solved by showing that an individual can do no better than the population dynamics, and then fitting this approximate model to empirical data from both Brazilian and Venezuelan protest cascades. We found that using cost as a forecasting feature shows distinct cluster profiles for both protest events. Furthermore, we are able to forecast cascade growth dynamics and volume by

![Venezuela: precision](image)

*Fig 14. The precision scores for the Venezuelan protests are similar to that of the Brazilian protests, with an increasing precision with cost features. The base rate and temporal features do not exhibit an increase with an increase in k with respect to cluster identification ability.*

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139911.g014
identifying the cluster a cascade most likely belongs to using a support vector machine. Despite these results, cascade growth is still subject to sensitivity in the antecedents and a wide range of fluctuations in the consequents making it subject to noise and time dependencies.

Toward future research, there are a number of ways to characterize cascade growth. This cost model approach is just one way to parameterize cascade growth behavior for better interpretation. Using it in the context of transfer learning with tools such as Dirichlet processes (i.e. [44]) could potentially improve the performance of these methods for producing an even more general approach to forecasting Twitter cascade behavior for protests. Despite any other number of possible improvements or extensions, the crux of the problem remains that of finding

![Venezuela: recall](image.png)

**Fig 15.** Recall scores also behave similarly to the Brazilian protests, with the exception of a higher recall than would be expected. This is an artifact of the cluster topology where the temporal features tend to forecast cascades in the lower volume cluster, which is where most of the cascades reside. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139911.g015
the invariant set of features from which to understand cascade growth across multiple domains.
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Fig 16. The F1 score for Venezuela forecasting shows similar results to Brazil with respect to an increasing score with increased k, when the other features exhibit no increase. The clustering approach increases in forecasting ability as the number of points increases, because it is able to discern more of the trajectory family that belongs to particular clusters.
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