KymographClear and KymographDirect: two tools for the automated quantitative analysis of molecular and cellular dynamics using kymographs

Pierre Mangeol, Bram Prevo, and Erwin J. G. Peterman*
Department of Physics and Astronomy and LaserLaB Amsterdam, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam
NH 1081HV, Netherlands

ABSTRACT Dynamic processes are ubiquitous and essential in living cells. To properly understand these processes, it is imperative to measure them in a time-dependent way and analyze the resulting data quantitatively, preferably with automated tools. Kymographs are single images that represent the motion of dynamic processes and are widely used in live-cell imaging. Although they contain the full range of dynamics, it is not straightforward to extract this quantitative information in a reliable way. Here we present two complementary, publicly available software tools, KymographClear and KymographDirect, that have the power to reveal detailed insight in dynamic processes. KymographClear is a macro toolset for ImageJ to generate kymographs that provides automatic color coding of the different directions of movement. KymographDirect is a stand-alone tool to extract quantitative information from kymographs obtained from a wide range of dynamic processes in an automated way, with high accuracy and reliability. We discuss the concepts behind these software tools, validate them using simulated data, and test them on experimental data. We show that these tools can be used to extract motility parameters from a diverse set of cell-biological experiments in an automated and user-friendly way.

INTRODUCTION
Different forms of dynamics can be found in the living cell. Diffusive motion is effective over short length scale, in the cytoplasm, on membranes, and along DNA or microtubules, whereas directed motion governs processes on larger length scales, including DNA synthesis, intracellular transport, cytoskeletal rearrangements, and mitosis (Bray, 2001; Phillips et al., 2012). Using fluorescence microscopy or other types of optical microscopy, it is possible to capture the dynamics of these processes by generating time series of two- or three-dimensional images. For quantitative understanding of biological processes using, for example, mathematical models, it is crucial to quantify all parameters that underlie the dynamics of the process (e.g., determine directions, speeds, diffusion coefficients, and fluxes), which can be a difficult and time-consuming task. Single-particle tracking (Saxton and Jacobson, 1997) provides the most detailed information but is severely hampered by high particle density and low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). In many cases, image quality is not sufficient to allow accurate particle tracking, and kymographs can be a convenient means to obtain insight into motility (Snow et al., 2004; Ou et al., 2005; Renkawitz et al., 2009; Hao et al., 2011; Burkel et al., 2012; Moughamian and Holzbaur, 2012; Smith et al., 2012; Prevo et al., 2015). A kymograph is a time–space plot in which the intensity along a given track is plotted as a function of time. Particles moving with constant velocity show up as straight lines, with the slope representing velocity, whereas diffusing particles produce more complex, sinuous trajectories. The intensity of these trajectories depends on the number of particles moving together, and the density of the trajectories is a measure of...
RESULTS

KymographClear and KymographDirect workflow and features

The workflow of the two software tools discussed here is presented in Figure 1 (also see Supplementary Figures S1–S6).

KymographClear (Figure 1, A–D) is an ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012) macro toolset that allows for the generation of kymographs from image sequences. In this toolset, first (Figure 1A) an averaged or maximum-intensity image is calculated from the image sequence. Within this image, the user can define a track, which can be a straight line, a segmented line, a freehand line, or a spline. An important difference of our macros from existing ImageJ plug-ins is that it uses the subpixel interpolation capabilities of ImageJ, a feature that is essential for correct length determination, in particular along curved tracks.

After selection of a track, a macro generates a kymograph that is stored for further analysis (Figure 1B). Another macro can estimate and store background levels for subsequent correction in KymographDirect and can optionally be background corrected (Figure 1C and Supplementary Information). Another feature of KymographClear is Fourier filtering of the kymograph (Chenouard et al., 2010), which allows discrimination of forward-moving, backward-moving and pausing components within the kymograph (Figure 1D, Supplementary Figure S1, and Supplementary Information).

KymographDirect (Figure 1E–I) is a stand-alone software tool written in LabVIEW that automates kymograph analysis and allows accurate determination of trajectories, even at very low SNR. It is designed to process kymographs generated with KymographClear: In a first step (Figure 1E), it prepares the kymographs for analysis by performing (optional) background and bleaching corrections (Supplementary Information). Kymographs are Fourier filtered (Figure 1F; as noted earlier) to limit analysis to distinct motility components. The key step in kymograph analysis (Figure 1G) is the automated tracking of individual trajectories in the kymograph (Supplementary Information),
which is performed as follows. First, when nondiffusing particles are analyzed, KymographDirect uses an algorithm that evaluates the average local velocity in the kymograph on the basis of a cross-correlation-based calculation. Next it detects trajectories with peak detection and links particle positions using the average particle velocity obtained in the previous step. When static or diffusing particles are analyzed, the same algorithm is used, with one exception: the average velocity, which directs the search for the next point in the trajectory, is set to zero. Once trajectories are extracted from the kymograph, they can be further analyzed. In principle, particles can display different motility components, and in KymographDirect, these components are treated separately, resulting in split trajectories, which can be linked straightforwardly by the user within the software (Figure 1H). Finally, KymographDirect can evaluate the velocity and intensity along trajectories and perform a statistical analysis of these quantities (Figure 1I and Supplementary Information). KymographDirect can also be used to extract dynamics from the moving edge of an object. In this case, the strategy to analyze the data is simplified: the kymograph is not Fourier filtered, and the edge of the object is determined using a thresholding algorithm. The edge trajectory is then extracted in the same way as static or diffusing particles.

Validation of KymographDirect with simulated and experimental data

To test the validity of our kymograph-analysis tools in an unbiased way, we use them to analyze the motion of particles or moving edges in a variety of simulated data sets, mimicking different experimental conditions, such as varying SNR, crowding, particle crossing, and stochasticity conditions. KymographDirect can analyze this wide range of data by using different algorithms that can be readily selected for edge detection, particle diffusion, or forward- or backward-moving particles. In most cases, Fourier filtering is used to select the direction of motion that is analyzed. We demonstrate the wide applicability of the tools by using them to analyze data ranging from in vitro motility assays to intracellular motility in living multicellular organisms.

Tracking single-particle motion

Simulated data of particles undergoing directed motion. We start with a validation of KymographDirect using simulated data of an individual particle moving directionally, for example, driven by molecular motors (Cho et al., 2008; Su et al., 2013), focusing on the effects of stochastic velocity variations and SNR. The initial velocity of the particle is 2 pixels/frame. Subsequently it undergoes a stochastic, normally distributed acceleration, ranging from 0 to 0.25 pixel/frame². In addition, particle and background intensities are Poisson distributed (with SNR defined as the ratio between the average intensity of the particle and the SD of the background). Example kymographs are shown in Figure 2A. Figure 2B shows that the algorithm can extract the trajectory with subpixel accuracy also for the most stochastic motion, even at SNR < 1. The ability of the algorithm to accurately extract single-particle trajectories at such low SNR is substantially helped by Fourier filtering and by low-pass filtering the local velocity, evaluated in the first step of the algorithm. Apart from reliably determining the positions of the particles, the algorithm also performs well in extracting their velocities (Figure 2C), with particularly high accuracy when velocities are almost constant.

Simulated data of diffusing particles. Another type of motion that can be analyzed using kymographs is diffusion. Kymographs have been used, for example, to follow one-dimensional (1D) diffusion of proteins along microtubules (Sheng and Cai, 2012; Moughamian and Holzbaur, 2012), and DNA (Biebricher et al., 2013; Heller et al., 2013). To validate our algorithms for the analysis of such data, we first simulate the random walk of a single particle on a 1D track and vary its diffusion constant from 0 to 2 pixels²/frame. Particle and background intensities are Poisson distributed to explore a large range of SNR. Examples are shown in Figure 3A. Next we apply KymographDirect in the diffusive particle mode. The program can be set to extract trajectories from kymographs that have been Fourier filtered (which is beneficial for extracting trajectories of pausing particles) or not. In the latter mode, the algorithm successfully extracts trajectories of particles, in particular with low diffusion constants (Figure 3, B and C). In these cases, subpixel localization accuracy is achieved. Localization of particles with higher diffusion constants is less accurate, but almost complete trajectories are extracted, even at SNR close to 1 (Figure 3C). When the program is used using Fourier filtering, the accuracy of the extracted trajectories is slightly lower, except for static particles, for which the accuracy is the same as without filtering (Figure 3D). This slight loss of accuracy can be explained in the smoothing of the trajectories after Fourier filtering (Supplemental Figure S7). In most cases, complete trajectories are extracted, in particular for particles
with low diffusion constants. For faster-diffusing particles, trajectory extraction is less efficient, since many particles move >1 pixel between frames and thus are filtered out and cannot be extracted. Nevertheless, >70% of lengths can be extracted at low SNR and >90% at a SNR > 4 (Figure 3E). For best performance, the Fourier filtering algorithm should only be used for trajectories that show pauses or cross each other frequently. In other cases, the algorithm without Fourier filtering should be used since it is more accurate and efficient in extracting trajectories.

**Experimental data:** proteins diffusing and translocating along extended DNA. Next we apply KymographDirect to experimental data of single proteins moving along DNA extended with optical tweezers in vitro: the Plk1-interacting checkpoint helicase (PICH; Biebricher et al., 2013), which translocates along the DNA, regularly switching direction, and mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM; Heller et al., 2013), which diffuses (Figure 4, A and B). In both cases, the relatively low SNR kymographs are treated in KymographDirect in the diffusive data mode. Trajectories are successfully detected (Figure 4, A and B), allowing further analysis. Figure 4C shows the instantaneous velocities in the PICH trajectories and their distributions, clearly indicating the switches of direction. Velocities obtained with KymographDirect are similar to the velocity reported in the original article as obtained from single-particle tracking analysis (Figure 3D of Biebricher et al., 2013); note that the original article took into account only motile events, whereas here we do not discriminate static and motile events. For TFAM, the mean square displacement of proteins was calculated (Figure 4D), which allows for the determination of the distribution of diffusion constants. The distribution obtained with KymographDirect is very similar to the distribution presented in the original article, which was obtained by Gaussian fitting of the fluorescent spots (Figure 6D of Heller et al., 2013). These analyses of data from

**FIGURE 3:** Validation of the kymograph-analysis tools on simulated data mimicking single diffusing particle trajectories. (A) Examples of kymographs generated with diffusion coefficient $D$ from 0 to 2 pixel$^2$/frame and with various SNRs. (B, C) The diffusion coefficient has the values 0 (black), 0.5 (dark red), 1 (red), and 2 (light red) pixel$^2$/frame. (D) Average distance between detected trajectory position and input (simulated) trajectory position for different values of $D$. (E) Line coverage: ratio between the total length of found trajectories and the total length of the input (simulated) trajectory as a function of SNR.

**FIGURE 4:** Translocation of PICH and diffusion of TFAM on stretched DNA. Analysis of experimental data. (A) Kymograph of PICH protein translocation on DNA under tension (from Biebricher et al., 2013) overlaid with the trajectories found with KymographDirect; scale bars, 2 µm (horizontal bar), 100 s (vertical). (B) Kymograph of TFAM protein diffusion on DNA under tension (from Heller et al., 2013) overlaid with the trajectories found with KymographDirect; scale bars, 1 µm (horizontal), 100 ms (vertical). (C) Left, velocities deduced from the trajectories found in A. Right, velocity absolute value distribution; each time point of each trajectory contributes to one count in this distribution. (D) Distribution of diffusion coefficients obtained from the trajectories found in B. The diffusion coefficients were obtained from a linear fit of the first 14 time lags of the MSD calculated by KymographDirect.
FIGURE 5: Validation of the kymograph-analysis tools on simulated data mimicking single diffusing edge trajectories. (A) Examples of kymograph generated with diffusion coefficient $D$ ranging from 0 to 2 pixel$^2$/frame and with various SNRs. (B, C) The diffusion coefficient has the values 0 (black), 0.5 (dark red), 1 (red), and 2 (light red) pixel$^2$/frame. (B) Average distance between detected trajectories and input (simulated) trajectories for different values of $D$. (C) Line coverage: ratio between the total length of found trajectories and the total length of the input (simulated) trajectory as a function of SNR.

single protein complexes moving along DNA highlight the capabilities of KymographDirect to track complex motility data with low SNR on the single-molecule level with an accuracy comparable to single-particle tracking analysis of the data.

Tracking moving edges

Simulated data. Kymographs are widely used to analyze the dynamics of objects such as microtubules (Gardner et al., 2011; Zanic et al., 2013) and cells (Giannone et al., 2004; Gomes et al., 2005; Renkawitz et al., 2009; Burkel et al., 2012). In these cases, the point of interest is the moving edge of the object. For such data, the algorithm is simplified by not applying Fourier filtering. To test the performance of the algorithm in analyzing this kind of data, we simulated a fluorescent segment with a leading edge undergoing a random walk. The edge diffusion coefficient is varied from 0 to 2 pixel$^2$/frame. The edge-detection mode of KymographDirect allows extraction of mobility parameters, such as the instantaneous growth rate, which can be represented in a histogram (Figure 6B), allowing the user to extract a distribution of growth rates and not only the average.

Another tool has been used to analyze microtubule dynamics on basis of kymographs (Smal et al., 2010). This tool segments growth phases into monotonic growth phases, which is helpful to obtain the average microtubule growth rate but not ideal to capture the complex dynamics of microtubule growth, which are characterized by large variations in growth rate (Figure 6). Other tools use filament tracking and can extract both filament direction and the extremities of the filament. One such tool is FIESTA (Ruhnow et al., 2011), which we tested on the experimental data of in vitro growing microtubules. In our test, FIESTA could detect position and extremities of only part of the microtubules: four of nine could be tracked over the entire image sequence, two were only tracked during half of the sequence, and three were not detected at all. KymographDirect, on the other hand, extracted all positions of all microtubules. Both programs extracted similar distributions of microtubule growth rates (Figure 6B and Supplemental Figure S8), with an average growth rate of 0.53 ± 0.13 μm/min (10 μM tubulin at saturating GTP condition), similar to values reported in the literature (Zanic et al., 2013). Use of FIESTA could be advantageous when the orientation of the microtubules changes over the image sequence, something that is more difficult to analyze with kymographs. For other data, KymographDirect appears to be more robust. This analysis of microtubule data demonstrate that KymographDirect can be used to extract quantitative motility parameters from kymographs of moving edges, including cytoskeletal polymers and edges of a cell.

Tracking complex dynamics under crowded conditions

Simulated data: crowded conditions. Kymographs are often used to extract the motility of many crowded moving particles. Such data are obtained, for example, when one is following a moving cell by tracking multiple particles in the cell (Giannone et al., 2004; Gomes et al., 2005; Renkawitz et al., 2009; Burkel et al., 2012). Because of particle crowding and poor contrast of such data, tracking of
individual particles is impractical, and quantification of motility parameters has rarely been performed. Our automated kymograph analysis tools can deal with such kymographs, allowing reliable, quantitative analysis.

We mimic crowded conditions by randomly distributing 30 particles along a line of motion that is used to generate the kymographs. The fluorescence intensities of the particles are Poisson distributed, each particle being assigned a random, time-averaged intensity ranging from one to three times the average of the dimmest particle. To test a wide range of SNRs, each kymograph is simulated with different fluorescence and background intensities (Figure 7A), in the same way as in the single-particle tracking case; SNR is calculated with the average intensity of all particles used in the kymograph. The algorithm extracts trajectories reliably with subpixel resolution, even at low SNR (Figure 7B), and velocities are determined accurately, within a few percent (Figure 7C). The algorithm is also very efficient: in this simulation, for SNR > 3, >90% of the trajectories are detected (Figure 7D). Even in the range of SNR = 1–3, the majority of trajectories are found. The analysis of these simulated kymographs shows that our algorithms are very efficient in obtaining quantitative parameters from crowded, low-SNR kymographs.

Simulated data: bidirectional motion and crossing particles. Motion in opposite directions is often observed in intracellular transport (Ou et al., 2005; Hao et al., 2011; Moughamian and Holzbaur, 2012; Smith et al., 2012; Wei et al., 2012; Prevo et al., 2015). Kymographs generated from image sequences obtained from these processes are difficult to analyze because particles trajectories can cross. Furthermore, particles can be very numerous, and their motion can vary stochastically from particle to particle and time to time.

To test the applicability and accuracy of our tools on this kind of data, we simulate two data sets: one with all particles moving only in one direction (Figure 8A), and one with particles moving in either the forward or backward direction, creating ∼100 crossing points in the kymographs (Figure 8B). In both cases, stepping of the particles is stochastic: at each time point, a forward-moving particle steps randomly in the range of 0–5 pixels, whereas a backward-moving particle makes a step in the range of 0 to −5 pixels. The particle and background intensities are Poisson distributed and varied in order to test a wide range of SNRs.
Analysis of these kymographs with KymographDirect shows that the output of the algorithm is only slightly affected by particle crossings: even in kymographs displaying many crossing lines, the algorithm successfully and accurately detects individual trajectories running in both directions with subpixel accuracy even at very low SNR (Figure 8C). Compared to similar data without trajectory crossings, the loss of accuracy in detecting individual trajectories is limited to ~0.1 pixel over the range of SNR tested (Figure 8C). The efficiency of the algorithm to find trajectories is not noticeably affected by the occurrence of crossing lines (Figure 8D). This ability of KymographDirect to analyze complex, bidirectional data stems from the effective discrimination of the different directions of motion using Fourier filtering.

**Experimental data: C. elegans intraflagellar transport dynamics.** To test KymographDirect on complex bidirectional experimental data, we applied it to fluorescence image sequences of intraflagellar transport (IFT) in living C. elegans. IFT is required for the building and maintenance of chemosensory cilia and depends on the active transport of protein complexes by motor proteins (Snow et al., 2004; Ou et al., 2005; Hao et al., 2011; Prevo et al., 2015). Kymographs obtained from image sequences of IFT in living C. elegans often suffer from poor contrast, complicating analysis and quantification of motility parameters. KymographDirect is very well suited to analyze this kind of data and extract parameters such as position-dependent velocities and intensities. We recorded the motion of enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-labeled OSM-3 kinesin in the phasmid cilia of C. elegans using laser-illuminated epifluorescence microscopy (Figure 9A and Supplemental Movie S1). KymographClear was used to generate a kymograph (Figure 9B) from this image sequence. Forward-moving, backward-moving, and static components can be clearly discriminated using Fourier filtering (Figure 9C). KymographDirect was next used to extract trajectories of groups of OSM-3 kinesins moving together (Figure 9D). The SNR (defined as the ratio between the average intensity along a trajectory and the SD of the background), ranges between 2 (for the dimmest trajectories) and 8 (for the brightest). Most trajectories that can be seen in the kymograph are automatically extracted by KymographDirect within 1 s, yielding 64 trajectories. From these trajectories, KymographDirect can determine velocity and intensity (Figure 9, E and G) and perform statistical analysis. Shown here are position-dependent, trajectory-averaged velocities and intensities, including their SDs (Figure 9F and H). It is important to note that the algorithm is successful in tracking particles in these data, even though trajectories frequently cross. Furthermore, KymographDirect allows extraction of position-dependent velocities of IFT-components, providing important additional insights into the transport mechanism (Prevo et al., 2015).

**Experimental data: axonal transport in primary neurons.** Axonal transport of vesicles exhibits very rich dynamics, with frequent changes of direction and pauses. To test whether our tools can accurately extract trajectories displaying such changeability and complexity, we use an image sequence published by Moughamian and Holzbaur (2012; top sequence of their Supplemental Movie S2, scrambled case). In these data, LAMP1-RFP is used to monitor lysosome dynamics. We generated a kymograph and color-coded static and forward- and backward-moving motion components with KymographClear (Figure 10, A and B). We analyzed the different components separately and determined the corresponding trajectories (Figure 10C). The diffusive component of motion is very well captured, as are the majority of the directional trajectories. Note that for this type of data, the range of intensities is very large (in this example, brightest and dimmest trajectories differ 30-fold in intensity) and the intensity of the dimmest trajectories is close to the
Quantitative analysis of molecular and cellular dynamics is crucial to understand their underlying mechanisms. Kymographs are a very powerful way to display the time dependence of these processes and can in principle be used to obtain quantitative insight into their dynamics. Automating kymograph analysis has been difficult, however, in particular for complex and low-SNR data. As a result, tools like these are essential to unravel the complex dynamics of intracellular transport in vivo.

**Comparison of KymographDirect with kymograph automated analysis tools.** The automated analysis of kymographs representing complex dynamics is highly desired, since manual analysis can be time consuming and potentially inaccurate. Several algorithms have been reported to improve the automation of trajectory extraction (Chenouard et al., 2010; Chetta and Shah, 2011; Mukherjee et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Goshima et al., 2012) and estimate velocities (Welzel et al., 2009) from kymographs. Most of these algorithms are not publicly available and have been validated on limited data sets, making a thorough comparison with the tools presented here difficult. One application, Kymotracker (Chenouard et al., 2010), implemented in ICY (De Chaumont et al., 2012), is publicly available and has been designed to help users extract trajectories in a semiautomated way. In the following, we compare the performance of KymographDirect with Kymotracker. Kymotracker allows for kymograph generation and Fourier filtering for anterograde- and retrograde-moving particles but does not allow analysis of pauses as KymographDirect can do. A semiautomated routine helps the user to extract trajectories manually in the kymographs generated, which requires substantially more user interaction than KymographDirect. We tested Kymotracker on the kymographs generated from IFT data (Figure 9B) and found that in our hands, Kymotracker introduces horizontal sections on most trajectories, which would indicate very large velocities, incompatible with kinesin or dynein motion (unpublished data). Such artifacts were not observed with KymographDirect (Figure 9, E and F). Kymotracker did not produce this kind of artifact when analyzing axonal transport data (Figure 10A), presumably because the SNR of these data is higher and the kymograph is less dense. Because in Kymotracker, trajectories are obtained by the user, almost all trajectories can be extracted, including the ones missed by KymographDirect. In Kymotracker, additional software tools are needed for further analysis of the trajectories, whereas KymographDirect can perform key analysis tasks. In conclusion, KymographDirect is the most advanced and comprehensive tool publicly available for efficient and accurate automated analysis of kymographs.

**DISCUSSION**

Under such demanding conditions, the algorithm can identify some trajectories that are actually noise. KymographDirect allows straightforward deletion of such undesired trajectories by the user. In addition, KymographDirect allows manual linking of the trajectories that arise from the three different motion components obtained using Fourier filtering. In this way, linked trajectories can be obtained that contain the full extent of dynamics. Overall ∼90% of trajectories are extracted, with most missed trajectories being low-intensity ones. In axonal transport, it is of particular interest to determine the fraction of time during which particles are taking part in active transport (Moughamian and Holzbaur, 2012); in KymographDirect, this can be computed using the velocities and intensities extracted from the trajectories (Figure 10D). Applying a velocity threshold of ±0.15 μm/s, we find that 22 and 16% of the time points in the extracted trajectories correspond to anterograde and retrograde motion, respectively, whereas in 62%, no motion is detected, in agreement with the analysis in the original study (Moughamian and Holzbaur, 2012). Using KymographDirect, these fractions can be further analyzed taking into account the intensity information, that is, the quantity of proteins involved. We find that, averaged over time, 72% of proteins are nonmotile, whereas 16.5 and 11.5% move in anterograde and retrograde directions, respectively. This quantification is in agreement with the more intense appearance of the nonmotile trajectories in the kymograph.

These analyses demonstrate that KymographDirect can identify single-particle trajectories in kymographs of complex transport processes in vivo to accurately extract quantitative motility parameters. In our view, tools like these are essential to unravel the complex dynamics of intracellular transport in vivo.
In the analysis of simulated data, we used the setting "noise reduction" in KymographDirect, which is accessible in all modes of analysis (Supplementary Information). We found that this mode gives the most precise and reliable results. Custom-written programs were used to validate KymographDirect. The fraction of trajectories identified by the program (the "coverage") corresponds to the fraction of time points extracted from simulated trajectories of diffusive particles (Figures 2 and 4) and to the fraction of locations extracted from simulated trajectories of or particles with directed motion (Figures 7 and 8).

C. elegans imaging

Microscopy images were acquired using a custom-built epi-illuminated wide-field fluorescence microscope operated by a MicroManager software interface (μManager, MicroManager 1.4, www.micro-manager.org; Edelstein et al. 2014) and built around an inverted microscope body (Eclipse Ti; Nikon, Amsterdam, Netherlands) fitted with a 60x water-immersion objective (CFI Plan Apo IR 60x water immersion, numerical aperture 1.27; Nikon). Excitation light was provided by a diode-pumped solid-state laser (Calypso 50, 491 nm; Cobolt, Solna, Sweden). Images were captured with an electron-multiplying charge-coupled device camera (Xon 897; Andor, Belfast, UK). One camera pixel corresponded to 92 nm x 92 nm in the image plane.

The C. elegans strain expressing EGF-P-tagged OSM-3 kinesin motor proteins (Snow et al. 2004) was a kind gift of Jonathan M. Scholey (University of California, Davis, Davis, CA). Fluorescence imaging in living C. elegans was performed by anesthetizing adult worms (maintained at 20°C) in M9 containing 5 mM levamisole (tetramisole hydrochloride, L9756, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and immobilizing them between a 2% agarose pad and a cover slip. Samples were imaged at room temperature (21°C) at 152 ms/frame.
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