Regulatory T cells (Tregs) play a crucial role in maintaining immunological self-tolerance and preventing autoimmune diseases. Despite the considerable progress in the therapy of autoimmune pathologies, the existing methods are associated with the risk of serious adverse events. We think that regulatory T cells hold great promise for the therapy of disorders caused by a breakdown in immunological self-tolerance. This article aims at estimating the possible challenges facing Treg-based clinical approaches and offers solutions to the technical issues associated with the use of these cells in the therapy of autoimmune diseases.
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Autoimmune diseases constitute a group of disorders arising from an imbalance in the immune system and a breakdown of self-tolerance mechanisms; these defects trigger a cascade of immune reactions against the body’s own tissues.

At present, the treatment of choice for autoimmune disorders includes immunosuppressants, disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD) or genetically engineered therapeutics. However, these drugs are associated with the risk of serious adverse events. Regulatory T cells (Tregs) prevent immune responses to self-antigens, keep inflammation in check and thus block the development of autoimmune disorders by inducing and maintaining peripheral tolerance. Tregs constitute 3–5% of the peripheral CD4+ T-cell population and can inhibit activation, proliferation and effector functions of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, natural killer cells, B lymphocytes, and antigen presenting cells (APCs) [1]. In patients with autoimmune disorders, Treg levels are aberrant and Treg function is often weakened or impaired [2, 3]. Experiments conducted in the mouse models of autoimmune diseases have demonstrated that adoptive transfer of Treg cells isolated from healthy mice has a good therapeutic effect [4]. The positive outcomes of preclinical trials have raised hopes for Treg-based approaches to the therapy of autoimmune diseases.
The current state of research in the field and the limitations of the existing treatments for autoimmune disorders dictate the need for novel, highly specific, safe and effective approaches. We believe that Tregs can become the key component in the combination therapy of autoimmune disorders once the existing technical hurdles are overcome.

Based on the results of research and clinical trials, we offer our opinion on the prospects of harnessing Tregs for treating autoimmune diseases, assess challenges facing this approach and offer potential solutions.

Regulatory T cells and suppressive mechanisms

The key Treg markers are CD25 (a membrane antigen) and FOXP3 (an intracellular transcription factor). FOXP3 expression enables Tregs to exert their suppressive function [5], whereas CD25 is an IL2 receptor α-chain, whose expression is correlated with proliferation and differentiation of Tregs [6].

Treg differentiation occurs in the thymus and peripheral tissues. After leaving the thymus, Tregs migrate into the bloodstream and to peripheral lymphoid organs during the first 2 or 3 days of their life [7], where those with the CD4+FOXP3+ phenotype can further differentiate into induced Tregs that actively express FOXP3 (CD4+CD25+FOXP3+).

Tregs exert their suppressive activity against immunocytes either via direct contact with the latter or by secreting bioactive molecules. There are 4 major involved mechanisms: a direct cytotoxic effect mediated by PD-1/PD-L1, OX40/OX40L and granzyme B; induction of metabolic changes in the target cells (CD25, CD39, tryptophan); secretion of inhibiting cytokines (IL10, TGFβ and IL33); suppression of APCs (CD80 and CD86/CTLA-4) [8].

Clinical trials of Treg immunotherapies

Clinical trials of Treg immunotherapies for autoimmune diseases began less than a decade ago. They sought to investigate technical challenges associated with Treg infusion, as well as to assess its safety and efficacy. In these clinical trials, a general approach is used: sorting of T cells, polyclonal expansion of Treg cells, Treg dose selection and infusion into patients. However, this approach does not account for the functional state of the used cells. The lack of stable FOXP3 expression and sustained suppressive activity are the common problems facing this approach to cell therapy and often the root cause of its low efficacy.

Published in 2012, a phase I/IIa open-label multicenter clinical study known as CATS1 conducted in 4 groups of 20 patients with symptomatic refractory Crohn’s disease has demonstrated the safety of Treg infusions [9]. In February 2018, a double-blind placebo-controlled trial (TRIBUTE; NCT03185000) was started to assess the effect of CD4+CD25+CD127−CD45RA+ Treg immunotherapy in patients with Crohn’s disease resistant to at least two standard regimens.

Trials of Treg immunotherapy for type 1 diabetes mellitus (DM1) have produced favorable results. They began in 2014; the first trial was a phase I randomized study aimed at assessing the safety and feasibility of autologous Tregs isolated ex vivo from patients aged 7 to 18 years with a recently diagnosed DM1 [10]. This one-year-long trial did not reveal any serious adverse effects of Treg infusions and confirmed their safety. Besides, 8 of 12 patients participating in the trial showed signs of remission.

Another study carried out at the University of California and Yale University also investigated the efficacy and safety of Treg-based immunotherapy in adult patients with DM1; its results were published in 2015 [11]. Fourteen patients recruited for the study (6 females and 8 males aged 18–43 years) were distributed into 4 groups depending on the Treg dose. The participants received infusions of polyclonal Treg cells with the CD4+CD127−CD45RA+ phenotype in the amount of 0.05 × 10^9 to 26 × 10^9 cells. Up to 25% of the cells (from their peak amount) were retained in the peripheral blood of the recipients for the entire year following the adoptive transfer. No infusion-related or adverse effects were observed [11]. However, the optimal number of cells for infusion was not determined in the study and the effect of Treg therapy on the function of pancreatic β-cells was not established. A new phase II multicenter randomized double-blind placebo-controlled ongoing clinical trial (NCT026911247) is now attempting to fill this knowledge gap. The use of umbilical cord Treg cells with interleukin and insulin therapies in adult/elderly patients with autoimmune diabetes is now being assessed in phase II randomized open-label trials NCT03011021 and NCT02932826 (Central South University, Changsha).

In addition, two trials of immunotherapies with autologous polyclonal T cell infusions have already been initiated to test its efficacy and safety in patients with active pemphigus (NCT03239470) and autoimmune hepatitis (NCT02704338).

Prospects and challenges of Treg immunotherapy

The feasibility of Treg immunotherapy in patients with autoimmune pathology and the relative safety of this approach have been demonstrated in a few pilot clinical trials. Further research should focus on how immunosuppressive therapies can be combined with Treg infusions as some immunosuppressants affect Treg properties in a dose-dependent manner and can reduce the efficacy of these cells [12]. The use of Treg cells in combination with other therapies tailored to an individual patient holds the greatest promise for the future.

One of the major challenges impeding successful translation of Tregs into the clinic is production of stable Treg populations with sustained immunosuppressive activity. Currently, Tregs are isolated from peripheral blood cells and expanded ex vivo. Peripheral blood cells are heterogeneous and largely represented by the cells with induced FOXP3 expression.

Improving the specificity of Treg therapy is another important task. Treg functional activity is essentially antigen-specific. Antigen presentation leads to Treg activation and enhances the expression of membrane-bound inhibitory molecules that exert a suppressive effect on the target cell. This phenomenon has been demonstrated in vivo for CTLA-4, the key inhibiting molecule of Treg cells. CTLA-4 is constitutively expressed by Tregs and T-effector cells [13]. Tregs control activation of T effectors by blocking their access to the co-stimulating molecules CD80/86 on the APC membrane. CTLA-4 competitively blocks CD80/86 on the APC surface, binds and transports CD80/86 into the cell in the process of trans-endocytosis. Thus, Tregs can regulate the APC phenotype and effectively restrain the CD28-dependent activation of T effectors. The competitive effect is achieved due to the fact that CTLA-4 expression on antigen-specific Treg cells is significantly higher than on T cells. The capture of CD80/86 by Treg is triggered by self-antigen presentation [13].

Clinical trials of Treg immunotherapy typically assess the effect of infusions of polyclonally expanded Treg cells with unknown antigen specificity. The majority of fused clones cannot effectively inhibit effector cells and suppress immune response. Besides, with polyclonal lymphocytes there is a high
risk of adverse events, such as systemic immune suppression and reactivation of latent infection. Thus, clinical approaches based on polyclonal Treg cells are inevitably weak.

The past decade has seen the emergence of new highly effective therapeutic approaches based on adoptive cell transfer that can overcome the barriers preventing the use of Treg cells in the treatment of autoimmune diseases. Such approaches include genetically engineered effector T cells expressing highly specific chimeric antigen receptors (CARs), as well as genome editing techniques, such as CRISPR/Cas9.

T cells modified with CARs are successfully used in the therapy of some cancers. Such modification can also be effectively applied to Treg cells. CAR-Tregs have enormous potential: due to their antigen specificity, they can significantly improve the efficacy of treatment while causing very mild side effects [14]. In comparison with polyclonal Tregs, CAR-Tregs can bind to a specific protein on the membrane of the target cell. However, the manufacturing of CAR-Treg cells with sustained suppressive activity is technologically demanding. Besides, similarly to CAR-T cells, there is a risk of inducing the so-called cytokine storm and neurotoxicity. This is a very serious obstacle preventing the use of CAR-Tregs in the clinical setting. Moreover, identification and selection of targets for targeted therapy also pose a difficulty, especially in autoimmune diseases due to some aspects of their pathogenesis and the lack of knowledge of their etiology.

Previously, we mentioned that it is hard to produce a stable fraction of Treg cells. CRISPR/Cas9 (clustered, regularly interspaced, short palindromic repeats / CRISPR-associated protein) technologies or their advanced counterparts can offer a solution. The first preclinical studies of using CRISPR/Cas9 for immunotherapy have yielded encouraging results. Attempts are being made to stabilize and enhance the functional activity of Treg cells. It is known that one of the major challenges is maintaining Treg viability ex vivo, which directly depends on the stability of Foxp3 expression. Here, the CRISPR/Cas9 technology can be recommended for the editing of genes participating in the regulation of transcription programs controlling Treg differentiation and the level of Foxp3 expression. A CRISPR/Cas9 system is highly specific due to small RNAs that guide Cas9 precisely into the target genome fragment [15]. In the case of Tregs and Foxp3, the CRISPR/Cas9 technology can also be employed for editing the epigene. An experiment in the primary T cells of mice has shown that a modification of a baseline technology, specifically the use of a mutant Cas9 without endonuclease activity (dead Cas9; CRISPR/dCas9), can induce the desired epigenetic changes promoting stabilization of Foxp3 expression [16].

CONCLUSIONS

The first results of clinical trials have demonstrated the relative safety of Treg-based therapy for autoimmune diseases. Research studies of the efficacy of polyclonally expanded Treg cells in patients with Crohn’s disease, type 1 diabetes mellitus and some other autoimmune disorders have yielded inspiring results. However, the majority of clinical studies of Treg safety were conducted on small groups of patients and the approach itself has a few serious drawbacks, including its low antigen specificity in the first place. Further research should focus on the unsolved problems of adoptive Treg transfer, such as the search for the effective Treg dose, the improvement of the method’s specificity by identification of novel molecular targets and the use of antigen-specific Treg infusions, stabilization of Treg immunosuppressive activity and achieving a sustained response to cell therapy in patients with autoimmune diseases.
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