Prenatal diagnosis and molecular cytogenetic characterization of a de novo duplication of 15q24.3-q26.1

Abstract: Reported cases of distal 15q interstitial duplications are uncommon and do not result in a recognizable pattern of abnormalities. Some studies report prenatal overgrowth, while others describe growth retardation. We present molecular cytogenetic characterization of a 14 Mb interstitial duplication, encompassing 81 Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) genes, in a fetus with single umbilical artery and short limbs. We propose that growth restriction, previously described and present in our patient, may be due to duplication of a gene or genes contained in the 15q24 region.
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Introduction

Reported cases of distal 15q duplications are very uncommon; in fact, there are ~100 cases reported, and even less de novo duplications as in this case report. Previous authors have described a distal 15q trisomy syndrome characterized by prenatal and postnatal overgrowth, developmental delay, craniofacial and skeletal malformations, and genital abnormalities, particularly in affected males. There are only few reported cases of de novo single duplication of 15q24-qter region. The type and severity of reported anomalies depend on the length and location of the duplicated region of chromosome 15q, but there is a common phenotype consisting of minor craniofacial anomalies (downslanting palpebral fissures and ptosis, large prominent nose, facial asymmetry, and microgнатia), arachnodactyly and camptodactyly, heart defects (septal communications, patent ductus arteriosus, pulmonary artery stenosis), hypogonadism and cryptorchidism, scoliosis, severe developmental delay, and anencephaly.

Reported patients with trisomy of 15q25/q26qter have presented prenatal and postnatal overgrowth. Overgrowth has been attributed to overexpression of the IGF1R gene, which is located on 15q26.3. On the contrary, patients with trisomy of 15q24qter region exhibit growth restriction/intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) and developmental delay.

In this report, we present a prenatal diagnosis of a fetus with single umbilical artery, short limbs, and a de novo duplication of 15q24.3q26.1.

Clinical report

A 30-year-old patient had a routine anomaly scan at 21+1 weeks gestation. Although her first trimester combined screening risk was low, the ultrasound scan showed a male fetus with short long bones (~2.5 standard deviation [SD]), according to Chitty and
Altman\textsuperscript{11} (femur length [FL]: 27–27 mm, tibia: 25–26 mm, fibula: 25–25 mm, humerus: 25–26 mm, ulna: 25–26 mm, radius: 25–25 mm) but with normal morphology and mineralization signs, corresponding to a 19+5 gestation week fetus, with an estimated weight of 289 g (according to Hadlock tables, biparietal diameter [BPD] 45 mm, head circumference [CC] 174 mm, abdominal circumference [AC] 147 mm) (Figure 1A and B). The remaining anatomy was normal except for a single umbilical artery (Figure 1C). At Week 24, the fetus still showed 2-week-younger measurements, and the transverse cerebellar diameter was 23 mm, below the 5th centile, according to Snijders and Nicolaides\textsuperscript{12} (Figure 1D). After ruling out an error in pregnancy dating, an amniocentesis was performed to find out the origin of the growth delay. The fetus karyotype showed a 15q duplication, visible by conventional G-banding (Figure 1E). The duplication was considered de novo because the parents displayed normal karyotypes on testing. Comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) array (KaryoNIM® Prenatal 60K; NIMGenetics, Madrid, Spain) was carried out to determine the size and extent of the duplication (Figure 1F). It was an interstitial duplication of 14 Mb: 46,XY,dup(15)(q24.3q26.1).arr15q24.3q26.1(76223116-90340143)x3. The duplicated region contains 257 genes in the Genes on Sequences National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) map, of which 81 are in the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) database. The patients underwent genetic counseling and opted for a termination of pregnancy. They declined postmortem examination.

Written informed consent has been provided by the patient to have the case details and any accompanying images published.

Figure 1 Morphologic ultrasonogram of a 21+1-week male fetus with 19+5-week-ultrasound parameters.  
Notes: Bone morphology and mineralization characteristics were normal. (A) From left to right: FL 27-27 mm, tibia 25-26 mm, and fibula 25-25 mm. (B) From left to right: humerus 25-26 mm, ulna 25-26 mm, and radius 25-26 mm. (C) Single umbilical artery. (D) In Week 24, the transverse cerebellar diameter was 23 mm (<p5). Next, characterization of the duplication was carried out by cytogenetic and molecular analyses. (E) Conventional G-banding of chromosome 15. The duplicated chromosome is on the right. (F) CGH array. Reduced dosage for probes is shown to the left (red) of the control two-copy line and increased dosage is shown to the right (blue).  

Abbreviation: CGH, comparative genomic hybridization.
Discussion

The fetus described in this report exhibited single umbilical artery, had short limbs, and had a de novo duplication of 15q24.3q26.1. Based on the cases reported to date, it is not clear whether the duplication of 15q24-qter results in a recognizable pattern of abnormalities.

Zollino et al\(^1\) reported a total of 32 patients with 15q duplications and divided them in two groups: one group had trisomy for 15q21-24qter, showing microcephaly and normal prenatal growth; and the other group showed trisomy 15q25-26qter, characterized by prenatal overgrowth, macrocephaly, and craniosynostosis. The cause of overgrowth has been thought to be related to a dosage excess of the IGF1R gene, located in 15q26.3.\(^{1,2,4-6}\) This gene is not contained in the region duplicated in the fetus we report herein.

Roggenbuck et al\(^2\) reported two unrelated cases with single 15q24q26.3 duplication showing small size. O’Connor et al\(^6\) reported a patient with a single 15q24qter trisomy and normal sizes/measures at birth. Genesio et al\(^2\) described the case of a multiple-malformed newborn with IUGR and a de novo inverted duplication of 15q21q26.3, with three copies of the IGF1R gene. The authors hypothesized that the IUGR depends on global transcription dysregulation more than the impairment of a single gene specifically correlated to the malformation.\(^8\) El-Hattab et al\(^4\) reported a case with short stature and developmental delay and a 15q24 microduplication that contained the OMIM genes SCAPER, ISL2, EFTA, NRG4, FBXO22, and UBE2Q2. All these genes, except UBE2Q2, are duplicated in the fetus reported here. It is tempting to speculate that dosage excess of genes located in 15q24 leads to short limbs/IUGR instead of tall stature.

In the case of a fetal ultrasonogram displaying short long bones (<5th percentile), it is advisable to analyze their morphology and mineralization, as well as rule out a possible error in gestational dating and femur–humerus confusions. Then, differential diagnoses should include constitutional short stature, IUGR, skeletal dysplasia such as achondroplasia, and chromosomal abnormalities such as deletion of 15q24, similar to this case report.

This study underscores the utility of the CGH array in the characterization of the size and nature of rearrangements, as well as in predicting the severity of phenotypes, which depends on the length and location of the duplicated region. Associations between phenotypes and copy number variations of small chromosome regions provide valuable information about clinically important genes or regulatory elements and allow the investigation of their role in the phenotypes. Systematic characterization of newly reported patients provides useful information for clinicians and patients.
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