A Link between Dimerization and Autophosphorylation of the Response Regulator PhoB

Response regulator proteins within two-component signal transduction systems are activated by phosphorylation and can catalyze their own covalent phosphorylation using small molecule phosphodonors. To date, comprehensive kinetic characterization of response regulator autophosphorylation is limited to CheY, which follows a simple model of phosphodonor binding followed by phosphorylation. We characterized autophosphorylation of the response regulator PhoB, known to dimerize upon phosphorylation. In contrast to CheY, PhoB time traces exhibited an initial lag phase and gave apparent pseudo-first order rate constants that increased with protein concentration. Furthermore, plots of the apparent autophosphorylation rate constant versus phosphodonor concentration were sigmoidal, as were PhoB binding isotherms for the phosphoryl group analog BeF$_3$\(^{-}\). Successful mathematical modeling of the kinetic data necessitated inclusion of the formation of a PhoB heterodimer (one phosphorylated and one unphosphorylated monomer) with an enhanced rate of phosphorylation. Specifically, dimerization constants for the PhoB heterodimer and homodimer (two phosphorylated monomers) were similar, but the rate constant for heterodimer phosphorylation was ~10-fold higher than for the monomer. In a test of the model, disruption of the known PhoBN dimerization interface by mutation led to markedly slower and noncooperative autophosphorylation kinetics. Furthermore, phosphotransfer from the sensor kinase PhoR was enhanced by dimer formation. Phosphorylation-mediated dimerization allows many response regulators to bind to tandem DNA-binding sites and regulate transcription. Our data challenge the notion that response regulator dimers primarily form between two phosphorylated monomers and raise the possibility that response regulator heterodimers containing one phosphoryl group may participate in gene regulation.

Two-component signal transduction systems, present in both eukaryotic and prokaryotic microorganisms as well as plants, are nearly ubiquitous in bacteria with tens of thousands of two-component system proteins currently annotated in sequence databases (1, 2). The essential components of any two-component system are a sensor kinase and a partner response regulator. Detection of an environmental stimulus regulates the sensor kinase, often leading to autophosphorylation of a conserved histidine. The phosphoryl group is subsequently transferred to a conserved aspartate residue on the partner response regulator. Phosphorylation switches the response regulator to an active conformation (3), allowing the response regulator to exert its downstream effects. Many response regulators control transcription (4), typically by dimerization and binding to a symmetric DNA-binding site (5). To terminate the signal, response regulators dephosphorylate through autodephosphorylation (6), phosphatase-enhanced dephosphorylation (7, 8), or reverse phosphotransfer (9).

All response regulators contain a conserved receiver domain, and most also contain one or more variable output domains. The receiver domain (Fig. 1) has a conserved $\beta\alpha_6$ tertiary structure and contains the dimerization interface for many response regulators as well as the conserved active site that catalyzes both phosphorylation and dephosphorylation (5, 10). The five invariable residues that compose the active site include a conserved aspartate that is the site of phosphorylation, two acidic residues that coordinate a divalent cation required for catalysis, a threonine/serine, and a lysine. In addition to catalyzing phosphotransfer from the sensor kinase, response regulators can also catalyze their own autophosphorylation with small molecule phosphodonors, including phosphoramide (H$_2$N$^+$−PO$_3^{2−}$, PAM),$^2$ acetyl phosphate, and monophosphoimidazol (11–15).

Although autophosphorylation has been qualitatively demonstrated for many response regulators, CheY is the only
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response regulator for which extensively characterized autophosphorylation kinetics have been published (11, 13, 15, 16).

The model of CheY autophosphorylation kinetics (in Scheme 1 with the phosphodonor PAM (12)) involves initial binding of phosphodonor to CheY to form a noncovalent complex (dissociation constant $K_d$), followed by phosphorylation (rate constant $k_{phos}$) and subsequent autodephosphorylation (rate constant $k_{dephos}$).

For CheY, the pre-steady state pseudo-first order rate constant for the accumulation of phosphorylated CheY (CheY-P) upon mixing CheY with phosphodonor remains linear with respect to PAM or acetyl phosphate concentration up to the highest concentrations of phosphodonor tested (100 mM), indicating very weak binding ($K_d > 500$ mM) (11, 15, 16). Thus for CheY, it is only possible to measure the apparent bimolecular rate constant, $k_{phos}/K_d$, for accumulation of CheY-P, which is $\sim 10$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for autophosphorylation with either acetyl phosphate or PAM (11, 13, 15, 16). However, CheY is not a typical response regulator in several respects. CheY consists only of a receiver domain and thus does not contain a variable output domain to come together and bind to a DNA-binding site on the promoter to regulate transcription (17). PhoB can autophosphorylate with acetyl phosphate or PAM (18–21) and contains an active site tryptophan (Fig. 1) that can be used as a fluorescent probe for both metal binding and autophosphorylation (20).

Analysis of structures of numerous receiver domains shows that phosphorylation or binding of a phosphoryl group analog to the active site Asp leads to conformational rearrangements on the $\alpha4$-$\beta5$-$\alpha5$ surface (3, 5, 22). These conformational shifts occur via a conserved mechanism of allosteric communication between the site of phosphorylation and the functionally relevant $\alpha4$-$\beta5$-$\alpha5$ surface. In dimerizing response regulators like PhoB (20, 23, 24), this conformational change allows two monomers to dimerize along the $\alpha4$-$\beta5$-$\alpha5$ surface (Fig. 1) (5). The coupling of phosphorylation and conformational change within the receiver domain occurs in both directions. Just as phosphorylation of response regulators promotes the active conformation, increasing the population of response regulator in the active conformation (e.g. binding target ligand) increases the rate of autophosphorylation (16, 25).

The PhoB receiver domain (PhoBN) has been demonstrated to form two different types of dimers. The two PhoBN dimers have been extensively characterized both structurally and biochemically (26–29). As expected, a dimer along the $\alpha4$-$\beta5$-$\alpha5$ surface (here termed dimer 1) forms in the presence of a phosphoryl group analog (29) and represents the functional, phosphorylation-mediated dimer. Unexpectedly, metal binding alone supports a modified version of dimer 1 (27). Phosphorylated full-length PhoB (PhoBF) dimer (dimer 1) has a dimerization constant of 5.1 $\mu M$ (28) measured by analytical ultracentrifugation. A second PhoBN dimer, here termed dimer 2, forms along the $\alpha1$-$\beta5$-$\alpha5$ loop-$\alpha5$ surface (Fig. 1) and has been observed under crystallographic conditions in both the absence of metal and phosphorylation (26) or in the presence of just metal (single crystallographic conditions in PhoBN monomers in both dimer 1 and dimer 2 within the asymmetric unit (27)). Dimer 2 may not be physiologically relevant due to a dimerization constant (>350 $\mu M$ (28)) that is substantially higher than the in vivo concentration of PhoB (30).

Here, we present kinetic characterization of autophosphorylation of the Escherichia coli response regulator PhoB with the phosphodonor PAM. PhoB autophosphorylation exhibited several kinetic features that were fundamentally different from those of CheY. In contrast to the linear relationship between the pseudo-first order rate constant for accumulation of CheY-P and PAM concentration, this relationship for PhoB appeared sigmoidal and to approach saturation. Furthermore, unlike CheY, apparent pseudo-first order rate constants for PhoB autophosphorylation showed a protein concentration dependence. Additional biochemical evidence, supported by mathematical modeling, indicated a link between formation of PhoB dimer 1 and autophosphorylation kinetics. We propose that a heterodimer formed between a phosphorylated monomer and an unphosphorylated monomer of PhoB plays a role in PhoB autophosphorylation kinetics.
**Experimental Procedures**

**Cloning and Site-directed Mutagenesis**—Genes encoding *E. coli* full-length PhoB (PhoBp, residues 1–256) and the N-terminal receiver domain of PhoB (PhoBpR, residues 1–127) were amplified using standard PCR methods from the pENTR-b0399 (phoB) plasmid (31), provided by Dr. Michael Laub (Massachusetts Institute of Technology). The gene encoding *E. coli* CheY was amplified using standard PCR methods from pRS3 (32). PCR products were inserted into the pET28a vector (Novagen) to generate constructs (PhoBF, pET28a–phoB; PhoBp, pET28a–phoBpR; and CheY, pKCI) that encode proteins with a thrombin-cleavable His6 tag at the N terminus. After thrombin cleavage, the resultant proteins contain an additional Gly-Ser-His at the N terminus. Addition of the three small residues is unlikely to affect the activity of the PhoB constructs because the N terminus is on the opposite side of the protein from the site of phosphorylation and is in a portion of PhoB that does not undergo a conformational change between the inactive and the active conformation. The presence of the same three residues in CheY did not affect the rates of autophosphorylation by PAM (data not shown) or autodephosphorylation (33). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using QuikChange technology (Agilent Technologies). Wild type and mutagenized plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3) for protein expression and purification.

The Gateway Entry vector for *phoR* (pENTR-b0400 (31)) encoding the soluble portion of *E. coli* PhoR (residues 193–431, throughout referred to as PhoR) and the Gateway Destination vector (pMCL75) were provided by Dr. Michael Laub (Massachusetts Institute of Technology). The gene encoding PhoR was transferred from the entry vector into the destination vector using standard Gateway protocols (Invitrogen) to generate a construct (pDEST-phoR) that encodes a protein with a thrombin-cleavable His6 tag at the N terminus.

**Synthesis of Phosphoramidate**—The potassium salt of PAM was synthesized as described (34).

**Protein Overexpression and Purification**—For the purification of CheY, PhoBp, PhoBpR, PhoBp, F20D, and PhoR, 1 liter of LB (+30 µg/ml kanamycin) was inoculated with 2.5 ml of an overnight culture of the appropriate expression strain and grown at 37 °C to an absorbance at 600 nm of 0.4. The culture was then transferred to room temperature, induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-galactopyranoside, and grown for 17–20 h. The cell pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer containing 140 µg of Halt protease inhibitor mixture (Thermo Scientific) followed by sonication, nickel-NTA chromatography, and thrombin cleavage as above. The Superdex 75 gel filtration buffer contained 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, 2 mM DTT, and 10% (v/v) glycerol.

**Fluorescence Measurements**—All fluorescence measurements were made using a PerkinElmer Life Sciences LS-50B fluorometer equipped with a magnetic stirring device and FLWinLab software. Trypophan fluorescence was measured at an excitation wavelength of 295 nm and an emission wavelength of 346 nm. Sample temperature was held at 25 ± 0.5 °C by a circulating water bath.

**Equilibrium Fluorescence Measurements**—Tryptophan fluorescence was used to monitor binding of divalent cation (Mn2+ or Mg2+) or beryllium trifluoride (BeF3−) to various PhoBpR constructs. All ligand binding assays were performed in triplicate in a 1 × 1-cm quartz cuvette at an initial volume of 1.5 ml.

**Divalent cation binding** was assayed similarly to a published protocol (35). PhoBpR (5 µM) or PhoBp F20D (5 µM) in 100 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, was allowed to come to temperature equilibrium with constant magnetic stirring, and the initial fluorescence emission was measured. Then small volumes of a concentrated stock of MnCl2 or MgCl2 were incrementally added to the solution. After each addition, the solution was incubated for 2 min to ensure a homogeneous mixture. Ten fluorescence measurements were taken (1 measurement/s), and the values were averaged and corrected for dilution. Altogether, fluorescence was measured for 15 concentrations of divalent cation ranging between 5 and 1000 µM MnCl2 and between 0.25 and 5.0 µM MgCl2.

For BeF3− binding, PhoBpR (5 µM) or PhoBp F20D (5 µM) was incubated in 100 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, 3 mM MnCl2, and 10 mM NaF with constant stirring to reach temperature equilibrium. Small volumes of a concentrated stock of BeCl2 were incrementally added to the solution. After each addition of BeCl2, the solution was incubated for 2 min. BeCl2 reacts with NaF to form a series of beryllium fluoride adducts, which should be dominated by BeF3− at 10 mM NaF (36). Thus, the concentration of BeF3− is assumed to be equal to the concentration of BeCl2. Ten independent fluorescence measurements were taken (1 measurement/s) for each concentration of BeCl2, and the values were averaged and corrected for dilution. To correct for nonspecific interactions between PhoBpR and BeCl2, titrations were also performed in the absence of NaF. There was a small increase in fluorescence upon the addition of the three small residues is unlikely to affect the activity of the PhoB constructs because the N terminus is on the opposite side of the protein from the site of phosphorylation and is in a portion of PhoB that does not undergo a conformational change between the inactive and the active conformation. The presence of the same three residues in CheY did not affect the rates of autophosphorylation by PAM (data not shown) or autodephosphorylation (33). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using QuikChange technology (Agilent Technologies). Wild type and mutagenized plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3) for protein expression and purification.

The Gateway Entry vector for *phoR* (pENTR-b0400 (31)) encoding the soluble portion of *E. coli* PhoR (residues 193–431, throughout referred to as PhoR) and the Gateway Destination vector (pMCL75) were provided by Dr. Michael Laub (Massachusetts Institute of Technology). The gene encoding PhoR was transferred from the entry vector into the destination vector using standard Gateway protocols (Invitrogen) to generate a construct (pDEST-phoR) that encodes a protein with a thrombin-cleavable His6 tag at the N terminus.

**Synthesis of Phosphoramidate**—The potassium salt of PAM was synthesized as described (34).

**Protein Overexpression and Purification**—For the purification of CheY, PhoBp, PhoBpR, PhoBp, F20D, and PhoR, 1 liter of LB (+30 µg/ml kanamycin) was inoculated with 2.5 ml of an overnight culture of the appropriate expression strain and grown at 37 °C to an absorbance at 600 nm of 0.4. The culture was then transferred to room temperature, induced with 0.25 mM isopropyl-β-D-galactopyranoside, and grown for 17–20 h. The cell pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer containing 140 µg of Halt protease inhibitor mixture (Thermo Scientific) followed by sonication, nickel-NTA chromatography, and thrombin cleavage as above. The Superdex 75 gel filtration buffer contained 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, 2 mM DTT, and 10% (v/v) glycerol.

**Fluorescence Measurements**—All fluorescence measurements were made using a PerkinElmer Life Sciences LS-50B fluorometer equipped with a magnetic stirring device and FLWinLab software. Trypophan fluorescence was measured at an excitation wavelength of 295 nm and an emission wavelength of 346 nm. Sample temperature was held at 25 ± 0.5 °C by a circulating water bath.

**Equilibrium Fluorescence Measurements**—Tryptophan fluorescence was used to monitor binding of divalent cation (Mn2+ or Mg2+) or beryllium trifluoride (BeF3−) to various PhoBpR constructs. All ligand binding assays were performed in triplicate in a 1 × 1-cm quartz cuvette at an initial volume of 1.5 ml.

**Divalent cation binding** was assayed similarly to a published protocol (35). PhoBpR (5 µM) or PhoBp F20D (5 µM) in 100 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, was allowed to come to temperature equilibrium with constant magnetic stirring, and the initial fluorescence emission was measured. Then small volumes of a concentrated stock of MnCl2 or MgCl2 were incrementally added to the solution. After each addition, the solution was incubated for 2 min to ensure a homogeneous mixture. Ten fluorescence measurements were taken (1 measurement/s), and the values were averaged and corrected for dilution. Altogether, fluorescence was measured for 15 concentrations of divalent cation ranging between 5 and 1000 µM MnCl2 and between 0.25 and 5.0 µM MgCl2.

For BeF3− binding, PhoBpR (5 µM) or PhoBp F20D (5 µM) was incubated in 100 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, 3 mM MnCl2, and 10 mM NaF with constant stirring to reach temperature equilibrium. Small volumes of a concentrated stock of BeCl2 were incrementally added to the solution. After each addition of BeCl2, the solution was incubated for 2 min. BeCl2 reacts with NaF to form a series of beryllium fluoride adducts, which should be dominated by BeF3− at 10 mM NaF (36). Thus, the concentration of BeF3− is assumed to be equal to the concentration of BeCl2. Ten independent fluorescence measurements were taken (1 measurement/s) for each concentration of BeCl2, and the values were averaged and corrected for dilution. To correct for nonspecific interactions between PhoBpR and BeCl2, titrations were also performed in the absence of NaF. There was a small increase in fluorescence upon
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first addition of BeCl₂, which was subtracted from the fluorescence intensity for the titrations that contained 10 mM NaF.

The above protocols were modified slightly for measurements of ligand binding to PhoB₅, R115D. PhoB₅, R115D exhibited a modest time-dependent quench in fluorescence, which stabilized within 15 min, in response to changes in solution ionic strength. The initial solutions containing 5 mM PhoB₅, R115D in buffer were thus allowed to incubate for 30 min at 25 °C. An additional control titration was carried out with KCl (0–15 mM) in lieu of Mn²⁺, Mg²⁺, or BeF₃⁻ to quantify the ionic strength response. For all titrations, solutions were incubated for 15 min after addition of ligand and before fluorescence measurements were taken. The changes in fluorescence due to changes in ionic strength as determined by KCl titration were subtracted from the fluorescence intensity at each concentration of ligand. For divalent metal and BeF₃⁻ binding, the changes in fluorescence due to changes in ionic strength were less than 5% of the total change in fluorescence.

For both divalent cation and BeF₃⁻ binding, plots of (I₀ − I) versus ligand concentration were constructed, where I₀ is the fluorescence intensity with no added ligand, and I is the fluorescence intensity at a given concentration of ligand. Plots of BeF₃⁻ binding to PhoB₅ and PhoB₅, F20D were sigmoidal, and data were fit to Equation 1 (GraphPad Prism) to determine n, the Hill coefficient, and $K_{d}$, the concentration of BeCl₂ required to acquire half (I₀ − I_max).

$$ (I₀ − I) = \frac{(I₀ − I_{max}) \times [\text{ligand}]^n}{K_{1/2}^n + [\text{ligand}]^n} \quad \text{(Eq. 1)} $$

For determining all other ligand binding constants, a standard one-site binding equation was used.

Fluorescence Measurements of Reaction Kinetics—Tryptophan fluorescence was used to continuously monitor autophosphorylation of both CheY and various PhoB constructs with PAM. Observed rate constants for autophosphorylation of CheY at a given concentration of PAM decrease with increasing ionic strength of the solution (13). Thus, all reactions were performed at a constant ionic strength of 400 mM, which includes the concentration of buffer, divalent cation, PAM, and KCl.

Fluorescence measurement of CheY autophosphorylation was similar to previous reports (12, 15, 16). Briefly, a rapid mixing device (Applied Photophysics RX2000) was used to mix equal volumes of 10 μM CheY (in 6 mM MnCl₂ and 100 mM Hepes, pH 7.0) and PAM (20–300 mM in 100 mM Hepes, pH 7.0). Both CheY and PAM solutions were supplemented with KCl to give a final ionic strength of 400 mM. Because CheY and PAM solutions were mixed at a one-to-one (v/v) ratio, the concentrations of CheY, MnCl₂, and PAM were decreased by half in the final reaction. Fluorescence was continuously monitored, and time points were recorded every 20 ms. Reactions were performed in duplicate for each experimental condition.

Autophosphorylation reactions for PhoB₅, PhoB₅, F20D, and PhoB₅, F20D were carried out in a 1 × 0.4-cm quartz cuvette with manual mixing to initiate the reaction. The beginnings of the time traces were identical when measured using a stopped-flow apparatus or the standard cuvette. However, the ends of the time traces showed variability when measured using the stopped-flow apparatus, likely due to diffusion over the longer period of time required to achieve steady state for PhoB (up to 35 min). PhoB₅ (5 μM), PhoB₅ (2.5–20 μM), or PhoB₅, F20D (5 μM) was incubated in 100 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, 3 mM MnCl₂, and varying concentrations of KCl to reach a constant ionic strength of 400 mM (after PAM addition) with magnetic stirring to reach temperature equilibrium. The autophosphorylation reaction was initiated by addition of PAM (10–150 mM final concentration) to bring the final volume to 750 μL, followed by repetitive pipetting to mix (<5 s), and fluorescence was continuously monitored. Time points were recorded every 20 or 100 ms. Reactions were performed in triplicate for each experimental condition.

Autophosphorylation time traces of PhoB₅, R115D were measured in a 1 × 1-cm quartz cuvette at a final volume of 1.5 mL PhoB₅, R115D (10 μM) was incubated in 6 mM MnCl₂, 100 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, and 282 mM KCl at a volume of 750 μL for 30 min with magnetic stirring. Because of the effect of ionic strength on PhoB₅, R115D fluorescence (see above), it was necessary to keep the ionic strength of the whole system at 400 mM throughout the experiment. For that reason, the autophosphorylation reaction was initiated by addition of an equal volume of PAM (20–300 mM) in 100 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, and varying concentrations of KCl to maintain a constant ionic strength of 400 mM, followed by mixing by repetitive pipeting (<5 s), and fluorescence was continuously monitored. Because PhoB₅, R115D and PAM solutions were mixed at a one-to-one (v/v) ratio, the concentrations of PhoB₅, R115D, MnCl₂, and PAM were decreased by half. Time points were recorded every 0.5 s. Reactions were performed in triplicate for each experimental condition.

Analysis of Kinetic Data—Autophosphorylation reactions were performed under pseudo-first order conditions, with a large molar excess of PAM over protein. Fluorescence time traces reflected a continually changing reaction rate, as the amount of unreacted CheY or PhoB decreased, although PAM concentration was essentially unchanged. All time traces were normalized by dividing the fluorescence intensities by the initial fluorescence intensity (I₀) to generate relative fluorescence intensity (RFI). Replicate time courses for the same protein or PAM concentration were averaged. The averaged, normalized fluorescence intensities are referred to as “time traces” for the rest of this study. Initial curve fits were made to a single exponential decay (GraphPad Prism). For CheY and PhoB₅, R115D, the time traces gave excellent fits to a single exponential, and the resulting pseudo-first order rate constants were termed observed rate constants (k_obs). For PhoB₅, PhoB₅, and PhoB₅, F20D, there were modest but systematic deviations from a single exponential decay. Therefore, we also tried fitting these data to a two-phase exponential decay, but the fits were indistinguishable from a single exponential. As described under “Results,” there was utility in using the single exponential fits for initial data analysis, so the rate constants derived from imperfect single exponential fits were termed apparent rate constants (k_app). The simple kinetic model shown in Scheme 1 implicates the relationship between the pseudo-first order rate constant k_obs and individual kinetic parameters, shown in Equation 2 (12). For CheY-Mn²⁺, plots of k_obs versus PAM concentration were linear, consistent with results obtained with
CheY-Mg$^{2+}$ (11, 15, 16). This result indicates that the dissociation constant between CheY-Mn$^{2+}$ and PAM is much larger than the highest concentration of PAM used in the experiment ($K_s \gg [PAM]$), reducing Equation 2 to Equation 3. Thus the slope of the line is equal to the apparent bimolecular rate constant ($k_{phos}/K_s$). For PhoB$_N$ R115D, plots of $k_{obs}$ versus the concentration of PAM were also linear, and the slope was similarly used to determine $k_{phos}/K_s$. Plots of the pseudo-first order rate constant $k_{app}$ versus the concentration of PAM for PhoB$_{57}$ PhoB$_{58}$, and PhoB$_{59}$ F20D appeared sigmoidal and were thus fit using a modified Hill equation (Graph Pad Prism), Equation 4, where $k_{max}$ is the maximum change in apparent rate constant; $K_{1/2}$ is the PAM concentration required to reach the mid-point of the dose-response curve; $n$ is the Hill coefficient; and $k_{min}$ is the minimum apparent rate constant.

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_{phos} \times [PAM]}{K_s + [PAM]} + k_{dephos}$$  \hspace{1cm} (Eq. 2)

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_{phos}}{K_s} \times [PAM] + k_{dephos}$$ \hspace{1cm} (Eq. 3)

$$k_{app} = \frac{k_{max} \times [PAM]^n}{K_{1/2}^n + [PAM]^n} + k_{min}$$ \hspace{1cm} (Eq. 4)

Mathematical Modeling of PhoB$_N$ Autophosphorylation Time Traces—Mathematical modeling of PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation time traces was performed using DynaFit software (37) to potentially explain several features of the kinetic data, including an apparent lag at the beginning of the PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation time traces and the observation that protein concentration affected the apparent pseudo-first order rate constant. As described under “Results,” numerous models of increasing complexity were tried before choosing the model described below. In particular, the protein concentration dependence of normalized PhoB$_N$ time traces constrained the model significantly because it necessitated the inclusion of a reaction step whereby multiple molecules of PhoB$_N$ interact to give reaction species with altered phosphorylation kinetics. Thus, based on a large body of evidence documenting the dimerization of PhoB$_T$ and PhoB$_N$ (20, 23, 24, 26–29), a comprehensive model of autophosphorylation kinetics of PhoB that included multiple versions of PhoB dimer 1 with the possibility of differing autophosphorylation kinetics was considered (Scheme 2). In the model, a monomer (m) of PhoB can bind to a molecule of PAM with dissociation constant $K_{S}(m)$ and then phosphorylate with rate constant $k_{phos}(m)$, analogous to the first two steps in Scheme 1 for CheY. A phosphorylated PhoB monomer can then interact with either another phosphorylated PhoB monomer to form a homodimer (PhoB-P/PhoB-P) or with an unphosphorylated PhoB monomer to form a heterodimer (PhoB/PhoB-P), with dimerization constants $K_{dimer}(hom)$ and $K_{dimer}(het)$, respectively. The heterodimer can then bind to a molecule of PAM with dissociation constant $K_s(het)$ and phosphorylate with rate constant $k_{phos}(het)$. All three phosphorylated species of PhoB can also dephosphorylate, with rate constants $k_{dephos}(hom)$, $k_{dephos}(het)$, and $k_{dephos}(m)$. Dimerization of two unphosphorylated PhoB monomers (dimer 2) was excluded from the model due to a measured dimerization constant (378 µM (28)) that was much greater than the highest concentration of PhoB used in our experiments.

To fit the kinetic data to the model, the normalized fluorescence intensities for PhoB$_{57}$ autophosphorylation time traces were first multiplied by the protein concentration used in the experiment. DynaFit requires a conversion factor that translates the concentration of different PhoB species to the measured fluorescence responses. We established conversion factors based on the assumption that tryptophan fluorescence was quenched solely as a result of the conformational change that occurs upon PhoB phosphorylation or formation of the heterodimer but not by PAM binding. Thus, the species that are not primarily in the active conformation (PhoB and PhoB-PAM) were assigned a conversion factor equal to the initial fluorescence intensity divided by the protein concentration. The species that are primarily in the active conformation (PhoB-P, PhoB/PhoB-P, PhoB-PAM/PhoB-P, and PhoB-P/PhoB-P) were assigned a conversion factor equal to the minimum fluorescence intensity for autophosphorylation of 5 µM PhoB$_N$ with 150 mM PAM i.e. the time trace with the maximum quench in fluorescence intensity divided by the protein concentration. The described assumptions for the conversion factors gave good fits. Alternative assumptions were also tested (e.g. PAM binding to PhoB$_N$ resulted in quenched tryptophan fluorescence). However, alternative conversion factors could not by themselves account for fundamental features of the kinetics, such as the lag phase observed in the time traces or the protein concentration dependence of the apparent pseudo-first order rate constant.

An initial model composed of the nine reactions described above gave good fits for 10 of the 12 autophosphorylation time traces representing different concentrations of protein or PAM. However, there were systematic deviations for the two experimental conditions with the slowest time scales for autophosphorylation (10 and 20 mM PAM). Specifically, the rate and
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equilibrium constants predicted by DynaFit gave modeled time traces that had the expected initial lag phases; however, the modeled plateaus at long reaction times were up to 30% below the experimental data. Slow formation of a PhoB aggregate (PhoBa) was added to the model with a rate constant of $k_{agg}(m)$. To compensate for the higher plateau observed in the experimental time traces, the conversion factor for PhoBa was set to three times the initial fluorescence intensity divided by the protein concentration. This larger conversion factor is consistent with light scattering due to formation of a soluble aggregate.

Next, we set the following four parameters to experimentally measured values. $K_{dimer}(hom)$ was set to the published value of 5.1 $\mu$M (28). (For all equilibrium constants, we assumed rapid equilibrium and set the $k_{on}$ rate constant equal to $1 \times 10^5$ $M^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (38).) The three autodephosphorylation rate constants were determined by monitoring the disappearance of $[^{32}P]$PhoB$_{N_2}$-P or $[^{32}P]$PhoB$_N$ R115D-P over time (see “Experimental Procedures” below, data not shown). The rate constant $k_{dephos}(hom)$ was set equal to the rate constant determined for $[^{32}P]$PhoB$_{N_2}$-P (0.0003 s$^{-1}$). Because PhoB$_{N_2}$ R115D cannot form dimer, 1, $k_{dephos}(m)$ was set equal to the rate constant determined for $[^{32}P]$PhoB$_N$ R115D-P (0.0002 s$^{-1}$). Because the model did not include formation of the unphosphorylated PhoB dimer, autodephosphorylation of the heterodimer was equivalent to dimer dissociation followed by monomer dephosphorylation. For that reason, $k_{dephos}(het)$ was also set equal to the rate constant determined for $[^{32}P]$PhoB$_N$ R115D-P. Note that the measured $k_{dephos}$ values for PhoB$_{N_2}$ are in close agreement with previously reported values for $k_{dephos}$ for PhoB$_F$ (30, 35, 39).

The remaining six rate and equilibrium constants ($K_s(m)$, $k_{phos}(m)$, $K_{dimer}(het)$, $K_s(het)$, $k_{phos}(het)$, and $k_{agg}(m)$) were then manually varied until the modeled time traces were similar to the raw time traces for autophosphorylation of 5 $\mu$M PhoB$_N$ with 60 mM PAM. These modeled values were then used as initial constants for global analysis of all of the time traces for PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation (i.e. 2.5–20 $\mu$M PhoB$_N$ with 60 mM PAM and 5 $\mu$M PhoB$_{N_2}$ with 10–150 mM PAM). Nonlinear least square regressions were performed to simultaneously fit the six adjustable rate and equilibrium constants: $K_s(m)$, $k_{phos}(m)$, $K_{dimer}(het)$, $K_s(het)$, $k_{phos}(het)$, and $k_{agg}(m)$. Perturbing the initial values for the rate and equilibrium constants did not affect the resultant output. The residuals that were output by DynaFit were divided by protein concentration to allow for comparison between protein concentrations.

Radiolabeling of PhoR Using $[^{32}P]ATP$—His$_{6}$-tagged PhoR (230 $\mu$g) was reacted with 1 mM $[^{32}P]ATP$ (18 Ci/mmol) in 35 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 35 mM KCl, and 35 mM MgCl$_2$ in a total volume of 200 $\mu$l for 30 min at room temperature. The sample was passed over 100 $\mu$l of prewashed nickel-NTA resin in a small centrifugal 0.22-$\mu$m filtration device to bind His$_{6}$-tagged PhoR and washed 10 times with 400 $\mu$l of buffer containing 35 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 35 mM KCl, and 35 mM MgCl$_2$ to remove excess $[^{32}P]ATP$. A 100-$\mu$l sample of each PhoB$_{N_2}$ (30 $\mu$M) or PhoB$_N$ R115D (30 $\mu$M) in 35 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 35 mM KCl, and 3 $\mu$M MnCl$_2$ was added to the column and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. The sample was allowed to filter over the nickel-NTA resin, and the nonbound material was collected. 7.5-$\mu$l samples were removed and added to an equal volume of 2 $\times$ SDS-PAGE buffer at the following time points: 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, and 120 min. Samples were electrophoresed on 18% polyacrylamide gels. The gels were dried, and the amount of $[^{32}P]$PhoB$_N$-P at each time point was determined by phosphorimaging. The percentage of $[^{32}P]$PhoB$_N$-P remaining was plotted against time and then fit to a one-phase exponential decay to determine the rate constant for autodephosphorylation.

RESULTS

Optimization of PhoB Autophosphorylation Reaction Conditions—A divalent cation bound in the receiver domain active site is essential for catalysis of both response regulator phosphorylation and dephosphorylation (12, 40). Therefore, as a prerequisite for measuring PhoB autophosphorylation kinetics, it was necessary to characterize the metal binding properties of the various PhoB proteins (full-length PhoB (PhoB$_F$), the N-terminal receiver domain (PhoB$_{N_2}$), PhoB$_{N_2}$ F20D, and PhoB$_N$ R115D) so that the occupancy of the metal-binding site was known for subsequent autophosphorylation experiments. Tryptophan fluorescence measurements indicated that binding between Mg$^{2+}$ and PhoB$_N$ occurred with a dissociation constant ($K_d$) of 1.6 $\pm$ 0.2 mM, in agreement with the reported $K_d$ for PhoB$_F$ Mg$^{2+}$ binding of 2.0 $\pm$ 0.5 mM (35). However, the concentration of Mg$^{2+}$ required to reach high levels of metal-bound PhoB (> 95%) led to visible precipitation of both PhoB$_{N_2}$ and PhoB$_F$ within the time scale of the autophosphorylation reactions.

To avoid complications associated with precipitation, we searched for another metal ion that bound to PhoB and could support autophosphorylation. Although Mg$^{2+}$ is most likely
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FIGURE 2. Normalized CheY and PhoB<sub>N</sub> autophosphorylation time traces at various substrate or protein concentrations. Fluorescence measurement of autophosphorylation of 5 μM CheY (A) and 5 μM PhoB<sub>N</sub> (B) at the following PAM concentrations: 10 mM (purple), 20 mM (gray), 40 mM (dark blue), 60 mM (light blue), 80 mM (orange), 100 mM (green), 125 mM (black), and 150 mM (red). Insets to A and B show a magnified view of the first portions of the autophosphorylation time traces. C, autophosphorylation of 2.5 μM (green), 5 μM (orange), and 10 μM (purple) CheY with 60 mM PAM. D, autophosphorylation of 2.5 μM (green), 5 μM (orange), 10 μM (purple), 15 μM (red), and 20 μM (blue) PhoB<sub>N</sub> with 60 mM PAM. RFI is the relative fluorescence intensity and represents the normalized tryptophan fluorescence intensity obtained by dividing all fluorescence intensities by the initial fluorescence intensity. Reactions were carried out in duplicate for A and C and in triplicate for B and D. Time traces represent the mean of multiple reactions, with standard deviations (not shown for clarity) that were less than 3% of the mean. Note that the time scales of all panels are different.

the divalent cation utilized by PhoB in vivo, Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> have similar size and preferred coordination number, geometry, and ligand type (41). Furthermore, Mn<sup>2+</sup> supports autophosphorylation of the response regulator Spo0F with PAM (42), autophosphorylation of several sensor kinases, and phosphotransfer from a sensor kinase to a response regulator in vitro (43–45). Binding of Mn<sup>2+</sup> to both PhoB<sub>F</sub> and PhoB<sub>N</sub> was much tighter than Mg<sup>2+</sup>, with a K<sub>D</sub> of 82 ± 6 and 70 ± 4 μM, respectively. Mn<sup>2+</sup> bound to PhoB<sub>N</sub> F20D and PhoB<sub>N</sub> R115D with similar affinities (K<sub>D</sub> of 51 ± 5 and 20 ± 8 μM, respectively). Furthermore, Mn<sup>2+</sup> was highly capable of mediating PhoB<sub>N</sub> autophosphorylation, and the concentration of Mn<sup>2+</sup> required for >95% occupancy of the metal-binding site (3 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>) did not lead to visible precipitation. Thus, the standard conditions for all autophosphorylation reactions in this study included 3 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub> to ensure high occupancy of the metal-binding site. Autophosphorylation of CheY was also readily catalyzed by 3 mM Mn<sup>2+</sup> (see below); thus, all autophosphorylation experiments were done in parallel for PhoB and CheY to rule out the possibility that any novel characteristics of PhoB autophosphorylation were due to the use of Mn<sup>2+</sup> as opposed to Mg<sup>2+</sup>

The visible precipitation that occurred between Mg<sup>2+</sup> and PhoB was more severe for PhoB<sub>F</sub> than for PhoB<sub>N</sub>. Although no visible precipitation occurred in solutions containing Mn<sup>2+</sup> and either PhoB<sub>F</sub> or PhoB<sub>N</sub>, we wanted to take every measure to minimize formation of aggregates during autophosphorylation experiments. For that reason, the majority of the autophosphorylation reactions was performed using the highly soluble PhoB<sub>N</sub>. As expected from previous work (46), the removal of the DNA-binding domain did not affect autophosphorylation of PhoB (see below).

Normalized Autophosphorylation Time Traces for PhoB<sub>N</sub> Displayed a Visible Lag Phase and a Protein Concentration Dependence—Autophosphorylation of both PhoB<sub>N</sub> and CheY at various PAM and protein concentrations was monitored by continuous measurement of tryptophan fluorescence. In all cases, PAM concentration exceeded protein concentration by at least 3 orders of magnitude, so the reactions occurred under pseudo-first order conditions. For both CheY and PhoB<sub>N</sub>, autophosphorylation was initiated by the addition of PAM, which resulted in a time-dependent quench in fluorescence that eventually leveled out (Fig. 2, A–D). The fluorescence intensity is a relative measure of the progress of the reaction from all unphosphorylated CheY or PhoB<sub>N</sub> (intensity at zero time) to a steady state level of phosphorylated CheY or PhoB<sub>N</sub> (intensity after steady state is achieved). Achievement of steady state indicates equal rates of autophosphorylation and autodephosphorylation. Because the absolute magnitude of the initial fluorescence intensity varied for individual reactions (especially for different protein concentrations), time traces (Fig. 2) were normalized by dividing by the initial fluorescence intensities and are reported as RFI.

As expected, the time traces for CheY·Mn<sup>2+</sup> autophosphorylation (Fig. 2A) displayed similar properties as those reported for CheY·Mg<sup>2+</sup> (11, 13). The shapes of the time
traces for CheY$^{\text{Mn}^{2+}}$ autophosphorylation were the same as for CheY$^{\text{Mg}^{2+}}$ and occurred on a similar time scale (20–100 s). Also, the maximum quench in fluorescence due to autophosphorylation was 50% for both CheY$^{\text{Mn}^{2+}}$ and CheY$^{\text{Mg}^{2+}}$.

Because of the pseudo-first order reaction conditions employed ([PAM] and [CheY]) and the fact that fluorescence is a measure of the relative progress of the reaction, increasing the concentration of PAM resulted in a shift of the time traces to shorter reaction times (Fig. 2A), but varying the concentration of CheY did not affect the time frame of the normalized time traces (Fig. 2C).

However, comparison of autophosphorylation time traces for CheY (Fig. 2A) and PhoB$_N$ (Fig. 2B) revealed three evident differences. First, autophosphorylation of PhoB$_N$ was markedly slower than autophosphorylation of CheY, although the PhoB$_N$ time traces were still dependent on PAM concentration. For example, at 60 mM PAM, it took ~500 s for autophosphorylation of PhoB$_N$ to reach steady state, while it only took ~35 s for CheY. Second, the PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation time traces displayed a visible lag phase (Fig. 2B, inset) that was not present in time traces for CheY autophosphorylation (Fig. 2A, inset). This lag was most evident during the first 100 s of the autophosphorylation time traces for 40 and 60 mM PAM. Finally, the half-life of the normalized autophosphorylation time traces of PhoB$_N$ clearly decreased with increasing protein concentrations (2.5–20 $\mu$M) (Fig. 2D); however, CheY autophosphorylation time traces did not show a protein concentration dependence (Fig. 2C).

Both the lag and the protein concentration dependence of normalized time traces suggested that PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation occurred with a more complex kinetic mechanism than CheY. Protein concentration dependence was inconsistent with a reaction in which a single molecule of PhoB$_N$ participates but was consistent with a reaction that involves interactions between two or more PhoB$_N$ molecules. The PhoB$_N$ protein concentration dependence, in particular, suggested that interactions between PhoB$_N$ monomers play a role in the kinetic mechanism of PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation.

**Initial Analysis of PhoB$_N$ Autophosphorylation Suggested Positive Cooperativity with Respect to PAM**—To analyze the kinetics of autophosphorylation more quantitatively, we fit the autophosphorylation time traces to a one-phase exponential decay and plotted the resultant rate constants against PAM concentration. For CheY, the time traces fit extremely well to a one-phase exponential decay (Fig. 3A), with low residuals (difference between data and the one-exponential decay) that were less than 3% of the mean. Most error bars in C and D were smaller than data points.
played no obvious pattern. The CheY rate constants from these fits were termed $k_{\text{obs}}$. For CheY-Mn$^{2+}$, the plot of $k_{\text{obs}}$ versus PAM concentration was linear, consistent with results obtained with CheY-Mg$^{2+}$ (11, 15, 16), and the slope of the plot was equal to $k_{\text{phos}}/K_s$. The $k_{\text{phos}}/K_s$ for reaction of CheY-Mn$^{2+}$ with PAM was $2.2 \pm 0.04 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, which was $1/2$ to $1/3$ the $k_{\text{phos}}/K_s$ of 6.1 M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for reaction of CheY-Mg$^{2+}$ (after corrections for ionic strength (15)).

In contrast to CheY and with the visible lag, the PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation time traces exhibited systematic deviations from a one-phase exponential decay (Fig. 3B). The residuals for PhoB$_N$ followed a pattern and were 4–52 times larger than for CheY throughout the entire time course (Fig. 3, A and B, lower panel). Despite the systematic deviations from a single exponential decay, $R^2$ values for fitting PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation time traces with a one-phase exponential decay were greater than 0.98. Rate constants obtained from the single exponential decay, $R^2$ values for fitting PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation time traces with a one-phase exponential decay were greater than 0.98. Rate constants obtained from the single exponential fits were used to estimate the overall rate of acquisition of the PAM concentration (11, 15, 16). The plot of $k_{\text{phos}}/K_s$ versus PAM concentration for PhoB$_N$ fit to a sigmoidal dose-response curve (see Equation 4) for PhoB$_N$.

A plot of $k_{\text{app}}$ versus PAM concentration for PhoB$_N$ (Fig. 3D) differed from the plot of $k_{\text{obs}}$ versus PAM concentration for CheY (Fig. 3C) in two ways. First, the plot of $k_{\text{app}}$ versus PAM concentration for PhoB$_N$ fit to a sigmoidal dose-response curve with a Hill coefficient of 2.1 ± 0.2, which suggested that autophosphorylation of PhoB$_N$ exhibited some sort of positive cooperativity. The apparent positive cooperativity indicated communication between PhoB$_N$ active sites, such that autophosphorylation of one active site led to enhanced autophosphorylation kinetics at other sites, another suggestion that multimerization affects the rate of autophosphorylation of PhoB$_N$. Second, in contrast to $k_{\text{obs}}$ for CheY, which showed no signs of saturating at the PAM concentrations used, $k_{\text{app}}$ for PhoB$_N$ appeared to saturate at high PAM concentrations.

Previous work on PhoB autophosphorylation showed that removal of the DNA-binding domain did not affect the rate of PhoB autophosphorylation with 20 mM PAM (46). As expected, PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation time traces with multiple PAM concentrations also showed a visible lag (Fig. 4A) and protein concentration dependence (data not shown) similar to PhoB$_N$. Also, plots of $k_{\text{app}}$ versus PAM concentration for PhoB$_N$ (Fig. 4B) were nearly superimposable on PhoB$_N$ (Fig. 3D, Hill coefficient of 2.1 ± 0.3) and also appeared saturable. Thus, the kinetic properties exhibited by PhoB$_N$ were not due to removal of the DNA-binding domain.

**Beryllium Trifluoride Binding to PhoB$_N$ Displayed Positive Cooperativity**—The plot of $k_{\text{app}}$ versus PAM concentration for PhoB$_N$ (Fig. 3) used rate constants derived from curve fits that systematically deviated from a single exponential decay. Thus, we sought an alternative method of assessing communication between PhoB$_N$ active sites by examining binding of a phospholipid group analog. BeF$_3$ binds to the active site of response regulators and mimics the Asp-phosphate linkage of phosphorylated response regulators (22, 29, 47). A plot of the quench in PhoB$_N$ tryptophan fluorescence versus BeF$_3$ concentration (Fig. 5) was also sigmoidal with a Hill coefficient of 1.8 ± 0.2. The positive cooperativity exhibited in BeF$_3$ binding lends credibility to the apparent cooperativity of PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation kinetics (Fig. 3D). Also, with a $K_{1/2}$ for BeF$_3$ binding to PhoB$_N$ in the low micromolar range ($44 \pm 4 \mu M$), the concentrations of BeF$_3$ required to reach saturation were approximately 3 orders of magnitude lower than PAM concentrations needed, further reducing the possibility that a small amount of invisible aggregation could be affecting the PhoB$_N$ fluorescence signal.

**Mathematical Modeling Supported a Link between Dimerization and Autophosphorylation of PhoB$_N$**—In an attempt to account for the lag in the time traces and the protein concentration dependence of PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation, we utilized mathematical modeling software to construct a model of autophosphorylation. We began with a model that was similar to the kinetic model of CheY autophosphorylation (Scheme 1) and included PAM binding, phosphorylation, and dephosphorylation.
lation of PhoBN. This model was unable to account for either the lag of the autophosphorylation time traces or the protein concentration dependence, even when slow PAM binding or a variety of fluorescence intensity conversion factors were considered, suggesting a more complex kinetic mechanism of PhoBN autophosphorylation. The protein concentration dependence of PhoBN autophosphorylation, the visible lag in the PhoBN autophosphorylation time traces, the cooperative relationship between \( k_{\text{app}} \) and PAM concentration, and the sigmoidicity of BeF\(_3\) binding to PhoBN all suggested that there was a relationship between multimerization and autophosphorylation of PhoBN. Specifically, the protein concentration dependence of autophosphorylation rate constants demanded that multiple PhoBN molecules participated in the autophosphorylation reaction, and the lag suggested the existence of a step early in the reactions that, once completed, resulted in faster autophosphorylation. PhoB is known to dimerize when phosphorylated, so we next included formation of a homodimer (PhoBN-P/PhoBN-P) in the model. This, too, was unable to account for the protein concentration dependence of autophosphorylation and the lag in the PhoBN autophosphorylation time traces, suggesting an even more complex mechanism.

To account for all aspects of the autophosphorylation data, formation of a PhoBN heterodimer (PhoBN-P/PhoB\(_N\)) was fundamental to the model. The resultant 10-step kinetic model (Scheme 2, Fig. 6, and see “Experimental Procedures” for extensive details) included the formation of both the PhoBN homodimer (PhoBN-P/PhoBN-P) and the PhoBN heterodimer (PhoBN-P/PhoBN\(_N\)) and the possibility of differing autophosphorylation kinetics (\( K_s \) and \( k_{\text{phos}} \)) for the PhoBN monomer and the PhoBN heterodimer. Four out of the 10 kinetic parameters were set to constants obtained experimentally to limit the number of variables that were subject to change by DynaFit software.

All 12 time traces for autophosphorylation (i.e. 5 \( \mu \)M PhoBN with 10–150 mM PAM (Fig. 2B) and 2.5–20 \( \mu \)M PhoBN with 60 mM PAM (Fig. 2D)) were simultaneously fit to the model by global analysis using DynaFit software (Fig. 7). The global analysis of the PhoBN\(_N\) autophosphorylation time traces succeeded in fitting all data, and a single set of predicted kinetic constants (Fig. 6) gave simulated time traces that overlaid well with all 12 of the PhoBN\(_N\) autophosphorylation time traces. Formation of the PhoB aggregate was an extremely slow process with a rate constant (\( k_{\text{agg}}(m) \)) at least 2 orders of magnitude slower than either the rate constant for phosphorylation of the monomer (\( k_{\text{phos}}(m) \)) or the heterodimer (\( k_{\text{phos}}(\text{het}) \)). Furthermore, excluding aggregation from the model did not greatly affect 10 of the 12 autophosphorylation time traces. This was consistent with slow formation of a very small amount of soluble aggregate.

The rate and equilibrium constants generated by computer modeling were consistent with a link between PhoBN dimerization and autophosphorylation. Specifically, formation of a PhoBN heterodimer (PhoBN-P/PhoBN\(_N\)) and different autophosphorylation properties of the heterodimer compared with the monomer were fundamental to the model. Not only was inclusion of the heterodimer essential to fit the data, it was essential that the dimerization constant for the heterodimer (\( K_{\text{dimer}}(\text{het}) \)) had to be in the low micromolar range, similar to the dimerization constant for formation of the homodimer (\( K_{\text{dimer}}(\text{hom}) \), 5.1 \( \mu \)M (28)). In addition, \( k_{\text{phos}}(\text{het}) \) had to be at
least 10-fold faster than $k_{\text{phos(m)}}$. Dimerization constants in the same range as the protein concentration used in the experiments account for the protein concentration dependence of $k_{\text{app}}$. The difference between $k_{\text{phos(m)}}$ and $k_{\text{phos(het)}}$ accounts for the lag in the autophosphorylation time traces. Even modest modifications ($\pm 50\%$) to the rate and equilibrium constants predicted by DynaFit led to poor fits to the autophosphorylation data.

**Disruption of the Physiologically Relevant Dimer 1 Interface of PhoBN, Impeded Autophosphorylation**—Crystallographic evidence shows that PhoB$_N$ is able to dimerize at two different dimerization interfaces (26, 27, 29), the $\alpha4-\beta5-\alpha5$ surface (dimer 1) and the $\alpha1-\beta5a5$ loop-$\alpha5$ surface (dimer 2) (Fig. 1). To more directly test the potential link between dimer formation and autophosphorylation of PhoB$_N$, we characterized autophosphorylation kinetics of PhoB$_N$ variants containing previously characterized amino acid substitutions known to disrupt dimer formation (28). Replacement of Arg-115 with Asp (PhoB$_N$ R115D) disrupted the electrostatic interaction between residues Arg-115 and Asp-101 in the dimer 1 interface. Replacement of Phe-20 with Asp (PhoB$_N$ F20D) disrupted the hydrophobic dimerization interface of dimer 2.

Autophosphorylation of PhoB$_N$ F20D (dimer 2 mutant) with varying PAM concentrations displayed kinetics similar to wild type PhoB$_N$. The autophosphorylation time traces exhibited a visible lag at the beginning of the time traces similar to wild type PhoB$_N$ (Fig. 4A). A plot of $k_{\text{app}}$ versus PAM concentration for PhoB$_N$ F20D also fit to a sigmoidal curve with a Hill coefficient of 1.8 $\pm$ 0.2 (Fig. 4B), implying positive cooperativity of autophosphorylation similar to wild type PhoB$_N$. Therefore, the cooperativity of autophosphorylation of wild type PhoB$_N$ was not likely due to formation of a dimer along the nonphysiological dimer 2 interface.

In contrast, autophosphorylation of PhoB$_N$ R115D (dimer 1 mutant) with PAM occurred over a much longer time scale than wild type PhoB$_N$ and did not exhibit a visible lag at the beginning of the time traces (Fig. 4A, inset). The plot of $k_{\text{obs}}$ versus PAM concentration for PhoB$_N$ R115D (Fig. 4B) showed no signs of sigmoidicity and fit to a linear regression. Thus, disruption of the physiologically relevant PhoB$_N$ dimer 1 interface resulted in slow autophosphorylation kinetics that were not cooperative. This result supported the link between dimerization and autophosphorylation of PhoB$_N$ and, furthermore, identified the dimer 1 interface as the functionally relevant dimerization surface in autophosphorylation. Putting the mutant results together with previous observations and modeling, we propose that autophosphorylation kinetics of wild type PhoB$_N$ reflect the formation of a heterodimer along the dimer 1 interface, which leads to an enhanced rate constant for phosphotransfer of the PhoB$_N$ heterodimer compared with the PhoB$_N$ monomer.

**Beryllium Trifluoride Binding to the Physiologically Relevant Dimer 1 Mutant PhoB$_N$ R115D Was Not Cooperative**—To further investigate the role of dimer formation on the cooperativity of wild type PhoB$_N$ kinetics, we characterized the BeF$_3^-$ binding properties of PhoB$_N$ R115D and PhoB$_N$ F20D. Binding of BeF$_3^-$ to PhoB$_N$ F20D was cooperative with a Hill coefficient (2.0 $\pm$ 0.1) and binding constant (51 $\pm$ 3 $\mu$M) similar to wild type PhoB$_N$ (Fig. 5). In contrast, binding of BeF$_3^-$ to PhoB$_N$ R115D was not cooperative and displayed a much weaker binding constant than wild type PhoB$_N$ (Fig. 5). Because disruption of dimer 1 eliminated the cooperativity of BeF$_3^-$ binding to PhoB$_N$, the cooperativity of BeF$_3^-$ binding to wild type PhoB$_N$ was likely due to the formation of a dimer along the dimer 1 interface.

**Phosphotransfer from $^{32}$P$\text{PhoR-P}$ to Wild Type PhoB$_N$ Was Faster Than to PhoB$_N$ R115D**—To determine whether there was a link between the formation of the PhoB$_N$ dimer and phosphotransfer from the histidine kinase PhoR, the rate constants for phosphotransfer from $^{32}$P$\text{PhoR-P}$ to wild type PhoB$_N$ and PhoB$_N$ R115D were determined by monitoring the time-dependent disappearance of $^{32}$P$\text{PhoR-P}$ in the presence of a
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A central observation here was that in contrast to CheY, PhoB$_N$ and PhoB$_F$ autophosphorylation kinetics exhibited properties indicative of communication between active sites from different monomers. Numerous lines of evidence supported the notion that association of PhoB monomers to form heterodimers (one phosphorylated and one unphosphorylated monomer) affected autophosphorylation kinetics, including the protein concentration dependence of the normalized autophosphorylation time traces (Fig. 2D), the systematic deviation of the autophosphorylation time traces from a one-phase exponential (Fig. 2B), and the sigmoidicity of the plot of $k_{\text{app}}$ versus PAM concentration (Fig. 3D). Binding of the phosphoryl group analog BeF$_3$ to PhoB$_N$ was sigmoidally dependent on BeF$_3$ concentration (Fig. 5) also indicating communication between PhoB$_N$ active sites. As predicted by a reaction scheme in which heterodimers play a role in autophosphorylation kinetics, disruption of the dimer 1 dimerization interface by the previously characterized R115D amino acid substitution (28) greatly reduced the rate of PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation (Fig. 4B) and eliminated cooperativity in both autophosphorylation and BeF$_3$ binding (Fig. 5).

Successful Mathematical Modeling of Autophosphorylation Data Provided Insights into the PhoB Reaction Mechanism—After testing multiple models of increasing complexity, we developed a scheme that linked autophosphorylation and dimerization of PhoB$_N$ (Fig. 6). A mathematical model based on this scheme and utilizing a single set of kinetic and equilibrium constants (some experimentally determined, some computationally inferred) was able to faithfully reproduce all the experimentally observed effects of changing either PhoB$_N$ or PAM concentration (Fig. 7).

First, the difference between $k_{\text{phos}}$ (m) and $k_{\text{phos}}$ (het) accounted for the lag in the autophosphorylation time traces and deviation from a single phase exponential. Specifically, PhoB autophosphorylation kinetics were initially dominated by monomers ($k_{\text{phos}}$ (m)) and hence were slow. After creation of phosphorylated monomers facilitated heterodimer formation with an excess of unphosphorylated PhoB monomers, faster autophosphorylation kinetics ($k_{\text{phos}}$ (het)) became evident. The model predicted that under the experimental conditions employed, there was a flux from monomers through heterodimers to homodimers but not necessarily a large accumulation of heterodimers. Second, a predicted dimerization constant ($K_{\text{dimer}}$ (het)) for heterodimer formation in the same range as the protein concentration used in the experiments accounted for the protein concentration dependence of the normalized PhoB$_N$ time traces observed in Fig. 2D. Changing PhoB$_N$ concentration around $K_{\text{dimer}}$ (het) altered heterodimer formation and hence the experimentally measured rate constants for the reaction. Additional insights gleaned from the mathematical model into the detailed mechanism of PhoB positive cooperativity are described below.

Dimerization Constant for the PhoB$_N$-P/PhoB$_N$ Heterodimer Was in the Low Micromolar Range—A surprising prediction from the kinetic modeling was that the dimerization constant for formation of the PhoB$_N$-P/PhoB$_N$ heterodimer ($K_{\text{dimer}}$ (het), 12 $\mu$M) was similar to that measured for formation of the PhoB$_N$-P/PhoB$_N$-P homodimer ($K_{\text{dimer}}$ (hom), 5.1 $\mu$M (28)). Receiver domain phosphorylation results in a conserved allosteric activating conformational change that includes changes in the $\alpha_4-\beta_5-\alpha_5$ surface (3, 5). For multiple response regulators in the OmpR/PhoB family, the changes in the $\alpha_4-\beta_5-\alpha_5$ surface result in formation of a dimer (3, 5, 20, 23, 24). Thus, it might be expected that acquisition of the active conformation is a prerequisite for dimerization and that formation of a PhoB heterodimer would involve association of a phosphorylated PhoB monomer with an unphosphorylated monomer that is in the active conformation. In such a circumstance, $K_{\text{dimer}}$ (het) would

10-fold molar excess PhoB$_N$ (Fig. 8). Phosphotransfer from $^{32}$P$\text{PhoR}$ to wild type PhoB$_N$ was approximately five times faster than phosphotransfer from $^{32}$P$\text{PhoR}$ to PhoB$_N$ R115D, with binomolecular rate constants of $77,000 \pm 5000$ and $16,000 \pm 1,000$ m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. Therefore, both PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation with PAM and phosphotransfer from $^{32}$P$\text{PhoR}$ to PhoB$_N$ were enhanced by the formation of dimer 1. Furthermore, accumulation of the radiolabeled phosphoryl group on PhoB$_N$ R115D occurred in a time-dependent manner to a similar extent as wild type PhoB$_N$ (Fig. 8) indicating functional PhoB$_N$ R115D protein.

**FIGURE 8.** Phosphotransfer from $^{32}$P$\text{PhoR}$ to either wild type PhoB$_N$ or PhoB$_N$ R115D. A, phosphorimage of SDS-polyacrylamide gel analysis of phosphotransfer reactions. Each lane represents a different time point between 0 and 600 s as shown. B, quantitative analysis of the phosphorimage as shown in A for wild type PhoB$_N$ (squares) and PhoB$_N$ R115D (triangles), fit to a one-phase exponential decay. Each point represents the average and standard deviation of replicate experiments.
be a composite of both the equilibrium constants for the conformational change and for dimerization (linked eqilibria (48)), described by $K_{dimer, link}$ in Equation 5, where $K_{dimer, link}$ is the apparent dimerization constant for heterodimer formation; $K_{conf}$ is the conformational equilibrium constant for PhoB in the active conformation, and $K_{dimer, act}$ is the associative dimerization constant of PhoB in the active conformation,

$$K_{dimer, link} = K_{conf} \cdot K_{dimer, act} \quad (Eq. 5)$$

Although it is difficult to measure the fraction of the response regulator population in the active conformation, it is estimated that no more than 10% of unphosphorylated response regulator molecules are in the active conformation (16, 49). Therefore, if $K_{conf}$ is less than 0.1, we would predict $K_{dimer}(het)$ to be at least 10-fold larger than $K_{dimer}(hom)$, which does not contain a significant conformational component because the phosphorylated monomers are predominantly in the active conformation. The similarity between the predicted $K_{dimer}(het)$ and the measured $K_{dimer}(hom)$ suggests that acquisition of the active conformation is not a prerequisite for PhoB heterodimer formation. Although there is no direct evidence for the formation of a PhoBN heterodimer, a modified version of dimer 1 has been crystallized in the absence of BeF$_3$ (27). Both monomers in the structure were in the inactive conformation, implying that PhoBN dimer 1 formation does not require acquisition of the active conformation before association.

**Accelerated Autophosphorylation of the PhoBN-P/PhoBN Heterodimer**—The second important prediction from the mathematical modeling of PhoBN autophosphorylation was that the rate constant for phosphorylation of the heterodimer ($k_{phos}(het)$) was approximately 10 times higher than the rate constant for phosphorylation of the monomer ($k_{phos}(m)$), implying that formation of the heterodimer of PhoBN$_N$ enhanced the rate of autophosphorylation. This result is consistent with other observations that have linked an activated conformation to faster autophosphorylation kinetics. Addition of FliM (a ligand that pushes CheY toward an active-like conformation (50)) to CheY greatly enhances the rate of autophosphorylation compared with no ligand present (16). Similarly, addition of DNA that binds to OmpR increases the rate of autophosphorylation compared with no ligand present (25). We propose that formation of the heterodimer of PhoB$_N$ pushes the conformational equilibrium of the unphosphorylated monomer in the heterodimer toward the active conformation, which results in a faster rate of autophosphorylation of the PhoB$_N$ heterodimer compared with the PhoB$_N$ monomer.

**Physiological Implications of Cooperative Kinetics**—We have presented extensive yet indirect evidence for formation of a PhoB heterodimer. It is not presently known whether other response regulators form heterodimers and, if so, whether heterodimer formation leads to enhanced phosphorylation kinetics. However, there is at least one published observation consistent with heterodimer formation by another response regulator. An apparent heterodimer of ComE was trapped by cross-linking and separated from the cross-linked homodimer by SDS-PAGE (51).

How the network properties of different two-component systems can result in different output behaviors, including all-or-none versus graded responses, is the focus of both theoretical and experimental studies (52, 53). Response regulators that form heterodimers with enhanced phosphorylation kinetics may contribute to an all-or-none output response. Our data demonstrating that phosphotransfer from the sensor kinase PhoR to PhoB was enhanced by dimer 1 formation (Fig. 8) would predict a sigmoidal relationship between phosphorylated PhoR (PhoR-P) and phosphorylated PhoB (PhoB-P) (i.e. a sudden nonlinear output response over a small change in input). PhoB is an autoregulated response regulator, where PhoB-P regulates transcription of both $\text{phoR}$ and $\text{phoB}$, leading to a positive feedback loop for $\text{phoB}$ regulation (54). In combination, the positive cooperativity and auto-regulation could lead to a physiological response that acts as an on/off switch. At low concentrations of PhoR-P, there would be little PhoB-P and thus a low level of transcriptional activation within the cell. Eventually, a threshold concentration of PhoR-P will be reached, and PhoB-P will abruptly accumulate, leading to an abrupt cellular response. There is precedent for such behavior in the all-or-none response of PhoB to phosphorylation by non-cognate histidine kinases (55). Because the PhoRB system regulates transcription of genes encoding proteins involved in phosphorus assimilation, the potential on/off switch may act as a way to dampen responses to minor fluctuations in the environmental concentration of phosphate.

Because formation of dimer 1 of PhoB orients the DNA-binding domains to interact with the symmetric DNA-binding sites (29), it is possible that a PhoB heterodimer would have similar affinity for DNA and thus could regulate transcription in vivo. A recent study that characterizes the relationship between unphosphorylated and phosphorylated PhoB concentrations in vivo and transcriptional activity showed that, even at full transcriptional activity, the concentration of phosphorylated PhoB was less than the total concentration of PhoB (30). Although there are multiple explanations for this phenomenon, it could be due to a physiologically active heterodimer. If the heterodimer is active in vivo, there are many potential consequences. First, because the heterodimer only requires phosphorylation of a single monomer of PhoB, it will accumulate at lower concentrations of PhoB-P than the homodimer, leading to an increased sensitivity of response for the heterodimer. Second, some response regulators (e.g. OmpR (56), BvgA (57), and NtrC (58)) bind to different promoters with different affinities, which allows stepwise responses to changing environmental circumstances. High affinity promoters are populated at low response regulator concentrations, and promoters with low binding affinities are not populated until the concentration of response regulator is sufficiently high. The PhoB heterodimer and homodimer might exhibit different promoter binding affinities, which would facilitate a more complex regulatory scheme. Third, when the cellular signal is turned off, dephosphorylation of the PhoB homodimer may result in a functional heterodimer that can induce transcription for a longer timeframe than if no active heterodimer is formed.

**Autophosphorylation of PhoB$_N$ Was Different Than CheY**—Positive cooperativity was not the only parameter that differed between CheY and PhoB. Although CheY autophosphorylation was 15–30 times faster than PhoB$_N$ autophosphorylation,
PhoB$_N$ appeared to bind PAM slightly tighter than CheY. Based on our mathematical modeling, PAM binds to both the heterodimer and the monomer with binding constants ($K_b$(het), $K_b$(m)) of $\sim$150 mM, whereas the PAM binding affinity for CheY is estimated to be greater than 500 mM (11, 15, 16). These differences in rate and binding constants were noteworthy due to the similarities in tertiary structure, active site geometry, and divalent metal coordination of PhoB$_N$ and CheY. There must be other characteristics that differ between PhoB$_N$ and CheY that can explain the differences in rate and binding constants. One hypothesis based on work on CheY where positions near to the site of phosphorylation were substituted is that a more positive charge at the active site leads to faster autophosphorylation kinetics (15). We looked at the electrostatic surface potential of the Mg$^{2+}$-bound forms of PhoB$_N$ (Protein Data Bank 2IYN (27)) and CheY (Protein Data Bank 2CHE (59)) using Adaptive Poisson-Boltzmann Solver software (60). The surface of CheY is more positively charged than PhoB$_N$ due to residues with varying charges in nonconserved positions structurally adjacent to the active site. Because the small molecule phosphodonor is intrinsically negatively charged, the overall positive charge of the surface of CheY may lead to the faster rate of autophosphorylation compared with PhoB$_N$.
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