Neutral silicon vacancy centers in undoped diamond via surface control
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Neutral silicon vacancy centers (SiV0) in diamond are promising candidates for quantum networks because of their long spin coherence times and stable, narrow optical transitions. However, stabilizing SiV0 requires high purity, boron doped diamond, which is not a readily available material. Here, we demonstrate an alternative approach via chemical control of the diamond surface. We use low-damage chemical processing and annealing in a hydrogen environment to realize reversible and highly stable charge state tuning in undoped diamond. The resulting SiV0 centers display optically detected magnetic resonance and bulk-like optical properties. Controlling the charge state tuning via surface termination offers a route for scalable technologies based on SiV0 centers, as well as charge state engineering of other defects.

Color centers in diamond are promising platforms for quantum information processing and quantum sensing. As atom-like systems, they can exhibit favorable properties such as long spin coherence times and narrow optical transitions [1–3]. Aside from their spin and orbital degrees of freedom, these color centers can often exhibit multiple stable charge states. The charge degree of freedom can be used as a powerful resource in spin-to-charge conversion and photoelectric detection [4, 5], however uncontrolled charge state conversion can also hinder applications and reduce the fidelity of quantum state manipulation [6]. It is therefore of great importance to gain understanding of the mechanisms for charge state conversion and develop methods to stabilize the desired charge state.

Recently, silicon vacancy (SiV) centers in diamond have emerged as a leading platform for quantum network applications [7, 8]. SiV centers are known to exhibit two optically active charge states: negative (SiV−) and neutral (SiV0). SiV− centers possess narrow, stable optical transitions, but also exhibit rapid spin decoherence at liquid helium temperatures, requiring sub-Kelvin temperatures for coherent spin manipulation [9, 10]. On the other hand, SiV0 centers maintain long spin coherence times at elevated temperatures, and they possess narrow, coherent optical transitions and spin-dependent fluorescence, making them a competitive candidate for quantum networks [11, 12]. However, the fabrication of substrates with high conversion efficiency to SiV0 remains challenging. The neutral charge state is not stable in typical high-purity diamonds and requires pinning the Fermi level close to the valence band maximum (VBM) [13] while maintaining a high purity environment. Scalable fabrication of such substrates remains an outstanding challenge, and high conversion yield of SiV0 has been restricted to a limited number of high purity, boron doped diamonds [11].

Here, we demonstrate a novel approach to stabilizing the neutral charge state of near-surface SiV centers in diamond by surface transfer doping. The electronic properties of the diamond surface are known to depend strongly on the surface termination. Specifically, hydrogen-terminated (H-terminated) diamond exhibits a negative electron affinity, pulling the VBM above acceptor levels for surface adsorbates, which leads to a charge transfer process that in turn gives rise to Fermi level pinning near the VBM and band bending [14–16]. This surface transfer doping can be used to modulate the charge state of near-surface diamond defects. For example, the negative charge state of nitrogen vacancy (NV) centers was shown to be quenched under H-terminated surfaces [17], and active tuning of the NV charge state was demonstrated under H-terminated surfaces with electrolytic and in-plane gate electrodes [18–20]. In this work, we develop a gentle, non-destructive, and robust approach of modifying the surface termination and demonstrate reversible tuning of the charge state of SiV centers under different surface terminations. We show that the neutral charge state can be generated efficiently under H-termination while the negative charge state is more favorable under oxygen termination (O-termination). We observe bulk-like optical properties and optically detected magnetic resonance (ODMR) of SiV0 centers under H-terminated surfaces, paving the way for scalable fabrication of SiV0 containing substrates in undoped diamond.

A high purity diamond grown by plasma chemical va-
por deposition (Element Six “electronic grade”) was used in the experiments. The diamond was polished into a 50 µm membrane and implanted with 28Si at 25 keV with total fluence of 3x10^{11} cm^{-2}. The average depth of implanted Si is estimated to be 20 nm using stopping range in matter (SRIM) calculations (Fig. S1(a) [21]). The SiV centers were activated using high-temperature vacuum annealing [22]. We then fabricated parabolic reflectors (PR) with diameters of approximately 300 nm on the diamond membrane to enhance the collection efficiency [23]. The O-terminated surface was prepared with a refluxing mixture of concentrated perchloric, nitric, and sulfuric acids (tri-acid cleaning). The H-terminated surface was prepared by annealing the sample in either pure hydrogen at 750°C for 6 hours or in forming gas (5% H₂ in Ar) at 800°C for 72 hours. Measurements shown in the main text are based on samples prepared with the latter method. The SiV⁰ measurements were conducted in a near-infrared confocal microscope at cryogenic temperatures. The SiV⁻ measurements were conducted in a visible wavelength confocal microscope at room temperature in ambient conditions. Optical emission was detected with either a spectrometer (Princeton Instruments Acton SP-2300i with Pixis 100 CCD and 300 g/mm grating) or a superconducting nanowire detector (Quantum Opus, optimized for 950 - 1100 nm). For more details of the experiments and sample preparation, see supplemental materials [21].

The dominant charge state of SiV centers is determined by the relative position between the Fermi level and SiV charge transition levels (Fig. 1(a)). The charge transition levels for SiV centers were calculated to be 0.25 eV above the VBM for SiV⁺/⁰ and 1.43 eV above the VBM for SiV⁰/⁻ [13, 24]. For an O-terminated surface, the Fermi level near the surface is dominated by nitrogen donors in the bulk (concentration below 5 ppb) that pin the Fermi level to 1.7 eV below the conduction band minimum (CBM) [25], and the negative charge state will be thermodynamically favored. Prior work on stabilizing the neutral charge state relies on boron doping [11], which pins the Fermi level at 0.37 eV above the VBM [26], in between SiV⁰/⁻ and SiV⁺/⁰. An alternative approach is to use high purity, undoped diamond with an H-terminated surface. For an H-terminated surface, charge transfer from the valence band to surface adsorbates leads to the accumulation of a two dimensional hole gas at the diamond surface, which pins the Fermi level to the VBM and results in upward band bending, pulling the SiV⁰/⁻ charge transition point above the Fermi level [14–16]. With 5 ppb nitrogen as the dominant donor, the length scale of the band bending can extend beyond 50 nm [17].

We study the charge state behavior of SiV centers in a membrane sample with a two dimensional array of PRs (Fig. 1(b)). Focusing on the photoluminescence spectrum of SiV centers in a particular PR, we observe that the emission spectrum changes drastically upon changing the chemical termination of the surface (Fig. 1(c)). Compared to the O-terminated surface, after H-termination we observe that the SiV⁰ emission increases while the SiV⁻ emission decreases below background levels. We also observe formation of SiV⁰ in unetched regions of the membrane under H-termination (Fig. S6 [21]). Our results are consistent with prior work on H-terminated nanodiamonds where significant decrease in total SiV⁻ fluorescence is observed after H-termination via hydrogen plasma [27]; however in prior work there has been no reported observation of SiV⁰. The observed emission intensity of SiV⁰ formed under H-termination in the un-
etched region is within a factor of four of the emission intensity of SiV\(^0\) prepared in a boron doped diamond with the same implantation dose, indicating that surface control is comparably effective to bulk doping (Fig. S7(a) [21]).
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**Fig. 2. Characterization of the surface termination process.** (a) Schematic illustration of the surface termination process. The O-terminated surface is prepared using tri-acid cleaning. The H-terminated surface is prepared by annealing the sample in forming gas at 800°C for 72 hours or in hydrogen at 750°C for 6 hours. (b) XPS of the oxygen 1s peak in a test sample after the different processes. Hydrogen cannot be detected using XPS. Therefore, the absence of the oxygen 1s peak and any peaks other than the carbon 1s peak indicates the presence of H-termination. We measure XPS of the sample immediately after the processes to minimize contribution from contamination on the surface. (c) AFM scans of the surface of a test diamond before and after the annealing. The surface morphology is unaffected by the annealing process.

In order to preserve the properties of near-surface color centers, it is important to use a gentle surface termination procedure that avoids subsurface damage and surface roughening. To prepare an H-terminated surface, we first ensure that the diamond surface is contamination free by tri-acid cleaning, and then we anneal the sample either in hydrogen or in forming gas (Fig. 2(a)). To remove the H-termination and reset the surface to O-termination, we perform tri-acid cleaning again. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) shows that the oxygen 1s peak intensity decreases after hydrogen termination (Fig. 2(b)). From the inelastic mean free path of photoelectrons and the X-ray energy (1487 eV), we estimate the contribution of the signal from a monolayer of atoms on diamond surface to be 7.6% [28, 29]. Based on the oxygen 1s signal intensity observed in XPS, we conclude that the surface has \(\sim 40\%\) of a monolayer of oxygen after tri-acid cleaning while the oxygen coverage is less than \(\sim 3\%\) of a monolayer after hydrogen termination. The sub-monolayer coverage of oxygen after tri-acid cleaning is consistent with previous observations [29]. This surface termination procedure is reversible and shows consistent results over many rounds of tri-acid cleaning and hydrogen termination (Fig. S2 [21]). Atomic force microscopy (AFM) scans before and after forming gas annealing reveal that the surface remains smooth (Fig. 2(c)), making the process compatible with shallow color center applications. This is in stark contrast to the commonly used hydrogen plasma treatment where significant surface roughening, subsurface damage, or hydrogen atom diffusion may occur during the plasma treatment [30–33].

We quantify the effect of surface termination on SiV centers by measuring spectral statistics across a large number of PRs. Histograms of the SiV\(^0\) and SiV\(^-\) emission intensities are dramatically different under the two different surface terminations (Fig. 3(a)). Specifically, we observe that SiV\(^0\) center emission is suppressed under O-termination while SiV\(^-\) center emission is suppressed under H-termination. We iteratively prepare the surface with O-termination and H-termination and observe reversible tuning between SiV\(^-\) and SiV\(^0\). We observe that both the occurrence (Fig. 3(b)) and intensity (Fig. 3(c)) toggle reversibly for SiV\(^-\) and SiV\(^0\) centers. This is in contrast to plasma-based processes, where diffusion of atomic hydrogen can lead to irreversible depletion of NV centers [33]. In addition, we probe the stability of the surface against cleaning in piranha solution (a 1:2 mixture of hydrogen peroxide in concentrated sulfuric acid) and long-term air exposure. The spectral statistics of SiV centers are unchanged upon piranha cleaning (Fig. S8 [21]). In fact, we note that initial observation of SiV\(^0\) was under a surface prepared by annealing in hydrogen followed by more than 1.5 years of air exposure (Fig. S3 [21]). The stability of the charge state distribution against piranha cleaning and air exposure demonstrates the exceptional robustness of this approach.

Finally, we demonstrate that SiV\(^0\) centers prepared under an H-terminated surface show similar properties to samples where SiV\(^0\) centers are formed by bulk silicon doping during growth [11, 12]. We investigate the optical transitions of SiV\(^0\) hosted in PRs prepared under an H-terminated surface using photoluminescence excitation (PLE) spectroscopy. First, we scan a narrow linewidth laser across one of the bound exciton transitions of SiV\(^0\) [12] while monitoring the emission into the zero-phonon line (ZPL) at 946 nm. A resonance around 857 nm is observed, consistent with the spectrum from a bulk doped sample, as shown in Fig. 4(a). Then, we probe the ZPL
transition by scanning a narrow linewidth laser across the SiV$^0$ ZPL while emission is measured at wavelengths longer than 960 nm. We observe a resonance at 946.45 nm, consistent with bulk PLE measurements (Fig. 4(b)).

We also observe ODMR in SiV$^0$ centers prepared under an H-terminated surface via excitation of the ZPL transition at 946.45 nm. As the microwave (MW) frequency is swept across the zero-field splitting of SiV$^0$, we observe a resonance peak at 940 MHz (Fig. 4(c)). In addition, we observe that the ODMR contrast at the ZPL is strongly wavelength dependent (Fig. 4(d)), suggesting that even though individual transitions are not resolvable in PLE, they are spectrally separated enough to allow spin-dependent fluorescence. The observation of ODMR in SiV$^0$ centers via the ZPL transition is complementary to the recently demonstrated ODMR via the bound exciton transitions [12]. We note that the observed ODMR frequency of 940 MHz is slightly lower than the previously reported zero-field splitting [34], and that in this sample the ODMR frequency shifts with higher optical excitation power and MW power (Fig. S10 and Fig. S11 [21]). The origin of the shift is currently under investigation, but we note that the sign of the shift is inconsistent with heating from the microwaves or laser [34].

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that chemical
control of the diamond surface can be used to tune the charge state of SiV centers to stabilize the neutral charge state in undoped diamond. The gentle surface termination procedure we developed here allows for non-destructive, reversible and long-lived control of the diamond surface. Near-surface SiV\(^{0}\) centers prepared using our approach preserve bulk-like optical properties and allow for optically detected magnetic resonance.

Our approach provides an alternative route to controlling color centers in diamond without careful control over the doping or defect states in the bulk. In addition, the present work focuses on static stabilization of a particular charge state. Utilizing the surface termination to control the charge state may also be compatible with dynamic electric field tuning of the Fermi level, as has been demonstrated for NV centers with electrolytic and in-plane gate electrodes [18–20]. Such an approach could be widely applicable to other color centers in diamond. For example, Fermi level tuning via surface chemical control could help stabilize and identify other neutral group IV vacancy centers, or access additional charge states of SiV (SiV\(^{+}\) and SiV\(^{2-}\)), whose spectroscopic signatures have been elusive [35, 36], possibly due to challenges in Fermi level engineering. Another avenue of exploration is to control the charge transfer process by using explicit electron acceptor materials on H-terminated diamond, for example molecular species (NO\(_2\), C\(_60\) and O\(_3\) [37–39]) or solid encapsulation materials such as transition metal oxides [40].
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I. SUPPLEMENTARY EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

A. Experimental setup

Photoluminescence (PL) measurements of neutral silicon vacancy (SiV0) centers and negatively charged silicon vacancy (SiV−) centers were performed in two different home-built confocal microscopes.

For SiV0, measurements were conducted in a cryogenic confocal microscope optimized for near infrared (NIR) with a Janis helium flow cryostat and a 0.65 NA, 50X objective (Olympus LCPLN50XIR). The excitation channel and detection channel were combined with a 925 nm dichroic beam splitter (Semrock FF925-Di01-25-D). The resonant excitation channel was combined with the detection channel with a 10/90 beamsplitter (Thorlabs BS044). The set temperature of the cryostat was ∼10 K unless otherwise noted. Emission spectrum measurements and photoluminescence excitation (PLE) spectroscopy of the bound exciton transition were performed using off-resonant excitation with a tunable diode laser (Toptica DL pro 850 nm). The detection channel was filtered using a 937 nm long pass filter (Semrock FF01-937/LP-25). The fluorescence signal was collected into a fiber and sent to a CCD spectrometer (Princeton Instruments Acton SP-2300i with Pixis 100 CCD and 300 g/mm grating). PLE of the zero-phonon line (ZPL) and optically detected magnetic resonance (ODMR) were performed using resonant excitation with a tunable diode laser (Toptica CTL 950) with the sideband emission of SiV0 filtered by a 980 nm long pass filter (Semrock LP02-980RE-25) and detected by a superconducting nanowire detector (Quantum Opus, optimized for 950 - 1100 nm). For ODMR, microwave (MW) excitation was applied using a thin wire stretched across the sample. The MW excitation was generated with a signal generator (Keysight N9310A) and then amplified by a high-power MW amplifier (Triad TB1003). Two 0.8 - 2 GHz MW circulators (Ditom D3C0802S) were added after the amplifier for circuit protection. The MW excitation was pulsed using a fast MW switch (Mini-Circuits ZASWA-2-50DR+) gated by a TTL pulse generator (Spincore PBESR-PRO-500-PCI). For ODMR, the MW excitation was modulated to have a 2 ms period with 50% duty cycle.

For SiV−, measurements were conducted in a confocal microscope optimized for visible wavelengths under ambient conditions. PL measurements were performed with a 561 nm laser (Cobolt 08-01-DPL). A 0.9 NA, 100X objective (Olympus MPlanFL N 100X) was used to focus the light onto the sample. The excitation channel and detection channel were combined with a 635 nm dichroic beam splitter (Semrock Di03-R635-t3-25-D). The detection channel was filtered using a 647 nm long pass filter (Semrock BLP01-647R-25). Emission spectrum was again measured using the CCD spectrometer.

The surface analysis measurements were performed at the Imaging and Analysis Center at Princeton University. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed with a Thermo Fisher K-Alpha spectrometer, collecting photoelectrons normal to the surface. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was performed with either a Bruker ICON3 AFM or a Bruker NanoMan AFM operating in ac tapping mode (AFM tip Asylum Research AC160TS-R3, resonance frequency 300 kHz).
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B. Diamond fabrication methods

A high purity diamond grown by plasma chemical vapor deposition (Element Six “electronic grade”) was used in the experiments. The diamond is nominally undoped with nitrogen concentration below 5 ppb and boron concentration below 1 ppb. The diamond was sciafe polished into a 50 \( \mu \)m membrane, and subsequently etched with Ar/Cl\(_2\) and O\(_2\) to remove subsurface strain [1]. The diamond was implanted with \(^{28}\)Si at 25 keV with total fluence of \(3 \times 10^{11}\) cm\(^{-2}\) at 7\(^{\circ}\) tilt angle. We simulate the distribution of implanted Si ions using stopping range in matter (SRIM) (Fig. S1(a)), and estimate the average depth to be about 20 nm.

Following ion implantation, we cleaned the sample in a tri-acid mixture and performed high-temperature high-vacuum annealing to the sample in a home-built vacuum annealing oven with a heater controller (Tectra HC3500) and a heater plate (BORALECTRIC). The annealing steps are 400\(^{\circ}\)C for 4 hours, 800\(^{\circ}\)C for 11 hours and 1100\(^{\circ}\)C for 2 hours [2]. After annealing, parabolic reflectors (PR) of approximately 300 nm diameter were fabricated using a plasma etcher (Sentech ICP-RIE SI 500) to enhance the collection efficiency following the etch recipe in Ref. [3].

The bulk doped SiV\(^0\) containing sample shown in Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b) was grown by chemical vapor deposition (Element Six) and doped with silicon during growth. The resulting SiV\(^0\) concentration in the sample was estimated to be \(5.6 \times 10^{15}\) cm\(^{-3}\) based on absorption measurement.

Two additional diamonds are discussed in the supplemental material as reference samples for comparing surface transfer doping and bulk doping. The two diamonds are boron doped diamonds from DiamFab, specified with \([B] \sim 10^{17}\) cm\(^{-3}\) and \([N]\) below \(1.8 \times 10^{14}\) cm\(^{-3}\). The first boron doped diamond (heavily implanted) was implanted with silicon using multiple energies with a total fluence of \(3 \times 10^{11}\) cm\(^{-2}\). The implantation recipe is:

| Step# | Energy (keV) | Dose (cm\(^{-2}\)) | Tilt (degrees) |
|-------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|
| 1     | 400         | 6.83\times10^{10}   | 7             |
| 2     | 310         | 5.24\times10^{10}   | 7             |
| 3     | 240         | 4.67\times10^{10}   | 7             |
| 4     | 180         | 3.98\times10^{10}   | 7             |
| 5     | 120         | 3.59\times10^{10}   | 7             |
| 6     | 80          | 2.85\times10^{10}   | 7             |
| 7     | 40          | 2.85\times10^{10}   | 7             |

The second boron doped diamond (lightly implanted) was silicon implanted with a fluence of \(1 \times 10^9\) cm\(^{-2}\) at 70 keV. After implantation, high temperature high vacuum annealing was performed in a Lindberg Blue tube furnace with the following steps [4]: (1) Ramp to 100 \(^{\circ}\)C over 1 hour, hold for 11 hours; (2) Ramp to 400 \(^{\circ}\)C over 4 hours, hold for 8 hours; (3) Ramp to 800 \(^{\circ}\)C over 6 hours, hold for 8 hours; (4) Ramp to 1200 \(^{\circ}\)C over 6 hours, hold for 2 hours; (4) Let cool to room temperature. The pressure over the whole annealing was less than \(2 \times 10^{-6}\) Torr. After the initial annealing, the heavily implanted sample was annealed for a second time at 1850 \(^{\circ}\)C for 10 min under stabilizing pressure to further reduce implantation damage.

The distributions of implanted Si ions for the two boron doped diamonds are simulated using SRIM, as shown in Fig. S1(b).
C. Surface preparation and characterization

SiV centers were characterized under different surfaces throughout the main text. For reversible tuning of SiV, we toggled the surface between H-termination and O-termination. For ODMR measurements, the surface was cleaned in piranha solution (a 1:2 mixture of hydrogen peroxide in concentrated sulfuric acid) 3 times after H termination.

For O-terminated surfaces, we prepare the surface by cleaning the sample in a refluxing 1:1:1 mixture of concentrated sulfuric, nitric, and perchloric acids (tri-acid cleaning) for 2 hours.

In order to prepare the H-terminated surface, we first clean the sample with tri-acid to ensure the sample is contamination free and then anneal the sample in either pure hydrogen or forming gas (5% H\textsubscript{2} and 95% Ar). For hydrogen annealing, the sample was annealed for 6 hours with a hydrogen flow rate of 40 sccm in a tube furnace at 750\degree C and subsequently cooled to room temperature under forming gas with flow rate of 200 sccm. For forming gas annealing, the annealing was performed in a tube furnace (Lindberg Blue Mini-Mite with high-purity quartz process tube) under continuous flow of forming gas at atmospheric pressure. The annealing steps are: (1) Ramp to 100 \degree C over 1 hour, hold for 2 hours; (2) Ramp to 800 \degree C over 2.5 hours, hold for 72 hours; (3) Let cool to room temperature inside the furnace. After surface preparation, the diamond membrane was attached to a silicon chip using Crystalbond 509 with the unetched surface facing up, and the whole silicon chip was mounted on a gold plated copper sample mount using GE varnish (CMR direct) for measurements. We note that initial observation of SiV\textsuperscript{0} was under an H-terminated surface prepared by hydrogen annealing followed by exposure to ambient conditions for over 1.5 years. The data shown in the main text and supplemental materials are with H-termination prepared using forming gas annealing unless otherwise noted.

The surface terminations after different processing were characterized by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). We observed consistent reduction of the oxygen 1s peak in XPS after forming gas annealing. After tri-acid cleaning, the oxygen 1s peak also recovers to a value similar to that before H-termination. In Fig. S2, we show XPS characterization of two test samples after repetitive triacid cleaning and forming gas annealing. The oxygen atomic percentage toggles consistently, demonstrating the reversible and non-destructive nature of the processes.

![Fig. S2. Repetitive forming gas annealing and tri-acid cleaning on two test samples. The surface termination was characterized by the oxygen 1s atomic percentage where the orange bars denote surfaces after triacid cleaning and the blue bars denote surfaces after forming gas annealing. The hold time at 800 \degree C was 24 hours for the light blue bar while the hold time was 72 hours for the rest of tests.](image)

AFM scans were measured on test diamonds before and after the forming gas annealing. In Fig. 2(c), two 500 nm × 500 nm scan were shown to compare the surfaces. The scan image before annealing was filtered using the python package pystripe to remove periodic instrumental stripe noises.

II. ADDITIONAL MEASUREMENTS OF SILICON VACANCY CENTERS

A. Initial observation of SiV\textsuperscript{0} under H-termination after long-term air exposure

SiV\textsuperscript{0} centers were first observed in the sample under a surface prepared by H-termination via hydrogen annealing and subsequent long-term (~1.5 years) air exposure. The SiV\textsuperscript{0} centers prepared under H-termination via hydrogen annealing showed qualitatively similar behavior as the SiV\textsuperscript{0} centers prepared under H-termination via forming gas annealing, even though the former surface was subject to long-term air exposure. Therefore, we conclude that the surface condition was long-lived and robust to air exposure. The accumulation of surface adsorbates complicates quantification of the H-termination after long-term air exposure because adventitious carbon, water, and other adsorbates...
contain oxygen.

FIG. S3. SiV\textsuperscript{0} emission intensity distribution under H-termination prepared by hydrogen annealing with subsequent long term air exposure. The measurement was performed with a set temperature of 10 K using \(\sim\)11 mW 857 nm excitation. Qualitative comparison between SiV\textsuperscript{0} under this surface condition and SiV\textsuperscript{0} prepared under fresh H-termination via forming gas annealing is not provided here due to changes in sample mounting scheme.

**B. Temperature dependence**

The ZPL emission of SiV\textsuperscript{0} was previously shown to be highly temperature dependent, where population trapping into a dark state resulted in quenching of ZPL emission below 70 K [5]. In our sample under H-termination, we performed temperature dependent PL measurements and observed a similar quenching effect, as shown in Fig. S4. The trend of temperature dependence agrees with previous reports where a maximum of PL emission into 946 nm ZPL is reached at around 70K.

FIG. S4. Temperature dependence of 946 nm ZPL emission intensity of SiV\textsuperscript{0} under H-termination. The data is an average over measurements of 36 PRs.

We performed complementary measurements of the emission statistics of SiV\textsuperscript{0} at 70 K under different surfaces, as shown in Fig. S5. The result agrees with the measurements at 10 K shown in the main text.

FIG. S5. SiV\textsuperscript{0} emission intensity distribution under H- and O-termination measured at 70 K with \(\sim\)11 mW 857 nm excitation.
C. Observation of SiV$^0$ in unetched regions and comparison to SiV$^0$ formed in boron doped diamonds

In the main text, characterization of SiV$^0$ emission was done in the etched PRs. Here, we show SiV$^0$ measurements on the unetched region under H-termination. Emission spectra from a randomly chosen position is shown in Fig. S6(a). We performed power dependent measurements at 6 random positions in a 40 µm × 40 µm region, and intensity from only one of the positions showed some sign of saturation, as shown in Fig. S6(b). The ability to observe similar levels of SiV$^0$ emission at different positions suggests that the SiV$^0$ density under H-termination was high enough to form a uniform layer.

![Emission spectra and saturation study](image)

**FIG. S6.** SiV$^0$ emission in unetched region under H-termination. (a) Emission spectra from a random position with different excitation powers. Solid curves show Lorentzian fits to the data. The amplitudes extracted from the fits are used in (b). (b) Saturation study at 6 random positions. Dashed lines show fits of the emission intensity using $I(P) = I_s/(1 + P_0/P)$ where $P$ is the optical power, $P_0$ is the saturation power and $I_s$ is the saturated emission intensity. Only one of the positions showed some signature of saturation. The fit results for other positions were consistent with a linear dependence. Measurements were performed at 70 K with 857 nm excitation.

We compare the emission intensity of SiV$^0$ formed under an H-terminated surface to the emission intensity of SiV$^0$ prepared in boron doped diamonds. We observe that the SiV$^0$ intensity was within a factor of four of the SiV$^0$ intensity from the heavily implanted boron doped diamond (Fig. S7(a)). Discrepancy of normalized SiV$^0$ emission intensity among boron doped diamonds precludes a straightforward quantitative estimate of the total conversion efficiency. For example, even though the total implantation fluence was 300 times higher for the heavily implanted boron doped diamond, the intensity of SiV$^0$ was only a factor of 8.3 higher compared to the lightly implanted sample (Fig. S7(b)). Such a discrepancy could arise from density dependent quenching of SiV$^0$ emission. Nevertheless, the fact that the H-terminated sample (with highest implantation density) only showed a factor of 3.6 lower signal than the heavily implanted boron doped sample demonstrated that surface transfer doping was comparably effective to bulk doping.

D. Surface stability after iterative piranha cleanings

Here, we study the surface stability after piranha cleanings. After piranha cleaning, there was no substantial change of emission statistics of SiV$^0$ and SiV$^-$, as shown in Fig. S8. This suggests that surface condition and the approach to tuning SiV charge state with surface control was robust against piranha cleaning.

E. Additional measurements with resonant excitation

We performed resonant excitation via the ZPL of SiV$^0$ in a PR with different excitation powers. The PR characterized is the same PR that was measured for ODMR in Fig. 4(c) and Fig. 4(d). The surface was first H-terminated and subsequently underwent three piranha cleanings. As shown in Fig. S9, no sign of saturation in intensity was observed.
FIG. S7. Comparison of SiV\(^0\) emission in the H-terminated high purity diamond and boron doped diamonds. (a) Emission intensity comparison between the H-terminated high purity diamond and the heavily implanted boron doped diamond. The intensities were measured at a few different positions on the samples at different powers. The heavily implanted boron doped diamond showed a factor of 3.6 higher SiV\(^0\) emission compared to the high purity diamond under H-termination. (b) Emission intensity comparison between the heavily implanted and the lightly implanted boron doped diamonds. The intensities were measured on a few different positions on the samples at different powers. The heavily implanted boron doped diamond only showed a factor of 8.3 higher SiV\(^0\) emission compared to the lightly implanted boron doped diamond though the implantation fluence was higher by a factor of 300. Measurements were performed at 70 K with 857 nm excitation.

FIG. S8. Effect of piranha cleaning on SiV emission under an H-terminated surface. (a) Histograms of SiV\(^0\) emission under different surfaces. Three piranha cleaning steps were performed after H-termination. Histogram under O-termination is shown for comparison. (b) Histograms of SiV\(^-\) emission under the same surface conditions. Histogram under O-termination is shown for comparison. (c) Average emission intensity of SiV\(^0\) and SiV\(^-\) under different surfaces. Inset: order of surface conditions where O denotes O-termination, H denotes H-termination, and P denotes H-terminated surface after piranha cleaning. (d) Occurrence of SiV\(^0\) and SiV\(^-\) under different surfaces. Measurements for SiV\(^0\) were performed at 70 K with 11 mW 857 nm excitation.

F. Additional ODMR measurements

We performed continuous-wave (CW) ODMR measurements on a PR with different optical powers (Fig. S10(a)). The peak position for magnetic resonance shifts to lower frequency with higher excitation power, as shown in Fig. S10(b), accompanied by linewidth broadening and contrast reduction.

In addition to the optical power dependence, we also observed shift of ODMR peak position at higher MW powers. CW ODMR were measured as a function of MW power at two optical powers, as shown in Fig. S11. We observed
FIG. S9. Power dependence of resonant excitation via the ZPL. (a) Photoluminescence excitation scans performed at different optical powers. The solid curves are Lorentzian fits to the data. (b) Peak emission intensities extracted from the fits in (a) as a function of power. The dashed line shows a fit using $I(P) = I_s / (1 + P_0/P)$ where $P$ is the optical power, $P_0$ is the saturation power and $I_s$ is the saturated emission intensity. No sign of saturation was observed. Measurements were performed at 10 K.

FIG. S10. Optical power dependence of CW ODMR. (a) CW ODMR performed with different resonant optical powers. The laser was parked at 946.45 nm. The solid curves are Lorentzian fits to the data. (b) Fit results of the optical power dependence. Left: ODMR contrast with different optical powers. Middle: transition linewidths with different optical powers. Right: transition frequencies with different optical powers. The measurement was performed with MW power set to -23 dBm before the amplifier.

that the ODMR position shifted to lower frequency at higher MW power, and the MW power dependence was more significant for higher optical power (Fig. S11(c)). The origin of the power dependent shift is subject to further investigation.

III. ESTIMATION OF THE NUMBER OF SiV CENTERS INSIDE PARABOLIC REFLECTORS

Here, we estimate the average number of SiV centers inside each PR based on the occurrence of SiV$^0$ and SiV$^-$ centers measured from a large number (324) of PRs. As shown in Fig. S8(d), we observed a maximum occurrence of
FIG. S11. MW power dependence of CW ODMR. (a) CW ODMR performed with different MW powers. The laser was parked at 946.45 nm with laser power set to 430 µW. The solid curves are Lorentzian fits to the data. (b) CW ODMR performed with different MW powers. The laser was parked at 946.45 nm with laser power set to 110 µW. The solid curves are Lorentzian fits to the data. (c) Fit results of the MW power dependence of ODMR. Left: ODMR contrast with different MW powers. Middle: linewidth of ODMR with different MW powers. Right: positions of ODMR transition with different MW powers. Transition linewidths broaden at higher powers, and transition frequencies shifts to lower frequency at higher powers. The MW power quoted here is the power before the MW amplifier.

82.7% for SiV\(^0\) under H-termination with one piranha cleaning and a maximum occurrence of 93.5% for SiV\(^-\) under O-termination. Assuming the number of SiV centers in a PR follows a Poisson distribution \(P(n, \lambda)\), where \(n\) is the number of SiV centers and \(\lambda\) is the average number of SiV centers per PR, the occurrence of SiV centers is therefore

\[
P(n > 0) = 1 - P(0, \lambda)
\]  

Using the occurrence extracted from the measured spectral statistics, we estimate the average number of SiV centers per PR to be 1.8 ± 0.2 for SiV\(^0\) and 2.7 ± 0.4 for SiV\(^-\) (95 % confidence interval). Based on the PR dimension (300 nm diameter) and the implantation dose (\(3 \times 10^{11}\) cm\(^{-2}\) used in our sample, the maximum number of silicon ions per PR is 212. The apparent occurrence here is similar to prior work on NV centers in PRs after accounting for differences in the implantation dose and the dimension of PR [3].

We note that this estimation is a conservative lower bound because using the occurrence alone to estimate the mean of a Poisson distribution is sensitive to experimental variation. Imperfection of PR fabrication and the inability to observe SiV centers with emission intensity below the background level would result in a lower apparent occurrence. For example, even with only one PR showing no SiV emission (99.7% occurrence with 324 sampling), this estimation already limits the mean number of SiV centers per PR to 5.8 ± 2.0.

To properly estimate how many SiV centers are formed in each PR under based on the emission intensity distribution, one would need some prior knowledge of the emission statistics of SiV centers such as the average brightness and the variation of brightness. Alternatively, if the average brightness of individual SiV center is much higher than the variation their brightness, we would observe isolated peaks in the intensity distribution and the position of the peaks would be related to the number of SiV centers. However, we observe no such peaks in our histogram (Fig. S8(a), Fig. S8(b)), suggesting the variation of SiV brightness in the PRs is at least comparable to the intensity of individual SiV centers, making it challenging to provide a direct estimation of the average number of SiV inside each PR.

IV. UNKNOWN DEFECT WITH 992 NM EMISSION

Throughout our measurement, we observed an additional emission feature around 992 nm. The 992 nm emission correlates with another broad feature at 1020 nm (Fig. S12(a)). We observed that the 992 nm emission was also
dependent on the surface termination, where it was prominent under H-termination and was suppressed under O-termination, as shown in Fig. S12(b) and Fig. S12(c).

FIG. S12. Unknown defect at 992 nm. (a) Emission spectrum measured on the high purity sample under H-termination. Inset: spectrum with higher resolution showing a doublet structure. (b) Average intensity of 992 nm emission under different surfaces. (c) Occurrence of 992 nm emission under different surfaces. The surface was toggled between O-termination (orange) and H-termination (blue). Measurements were performed with ∼11 mW 857 nm excitation at 10 K.

The origin of this additional emission feature remains unknown; the observation of 992 nm emission in the unimplanted surface appears to rule out a silicon-related defect. Nevertheless, the correlation between this defect and the surface termination demonstrates that our method of tuning the Fermi level via surface control should be applicable to a broad range of defects in diamond.
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