Safety Assessment of Conventional and Biological Systemic Therapy in Older Adults with Psoriasis, a Real-world Multicentre Cohort Study
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Optimal selection of systemic therapy in older adults with psoriasis can be challenging, due to sparse evidence-based guidance. This multicentre retrospective study investigated the safety of systemic therapy with causality assessment in a real-world cohort of older adults (≥65 years) with psoriasis. From 6 hospitals on (serious) adverse events were collected, causality assessment performed and incidence rate ratios calculated. Potential predictors for adverse event occurrence were studied using multivariable logistic regression analysis. In total, 117 patients with 176 treatment episodes and 390 patient-years were included, comprising 115 (65.3%) and 61 (34.7%) treatment episodes with conventional systemic therapy and biologics/apremilast, respectively. After causality assessment, 232 of 319 (72.7%) adverse events remained and were analysed further, including 12 serious adverse events. No significant differences in incidence rate ratios were found between the systemic treatment types. In regression analysis, increasing age was associated with causality assessed adverse events occurrence (odds ratio 1.195; p=0.022). Comorbidity, polypharmacy, and treatment type were not associated with causality assessed adverse events occurrence. In conclusion, increasing age was associated with a higher causality assessed adverse events occurrence. Causality assessed serious adverse events were rare, reversible and/or manageable in clinical practice. Therefore, the safety profile of systemic antipsoriatic therapy within this population is reassuring.
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Psoriasis is a chronic inflammatory skin disease, prevalent in older adults (aged ≥65 years) (1–3). Due to the rapidly ageing world population, dermatologists will increasingly be confronted with this patient group. The chronic nature of psoriasis often requires patients to use antipsoriatic treatments for extended periods. Selecting the best treatment for older adults with psoriasis can be challenging and depends on the safety profile of the treatment, disease severity, comorbidity, co-medication, functional status, impact on quality of life, and patient preferences (4–6).

Literature on this growing population is scarce, since older adults are often excluded from clinical trials (7, 8). Furthermore, conflicting results have been reported regarding treatment safety, implicating that much is still unknown in this population (9–11). In addition, data regarding adverse events (AEs) can be difficult to interpret in any population, but especially in older adults, in whom multimorbidity and co-medication use are highly prevalent (12). This might result in an over-estimation of AE-occurrence in older adults compared with younger or healthier populations (13). Therefore, causality assessment of AEs is key when interpreting data regarding AEs (14).

Previous research shows that the use of systemic antipsoriatic therapy regularly differs between age groups, even though only minor differences in clinical characteristics are reported (13, 15–19). This finding could potentially be explained by a higher prevalence of certain contraindications (comorbidity and co-medication use) for systemic antipsoriatic treatment. Another suggested potential explanation for this finding is a possible...
reluctance amongst physicians to prescribe systemic treatment for psoriasis in older adults, which might be caused by the above-mentioned sparse evidence-based guidance available (18).

Therefore, the aim of this study was to gain a greater understanding of treatment safety in older adults with psoriasis using systemic antipsoriatic therapy in a real-world cohort.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and participants

A multicentre retrospective cohort study was performed to assess disease and treatment patterns in older adults (≥65 years) with psoriasis (Geriatric Psoriasis Patterns (GEPPA) study). Relevant parameters for this study were gathered from a literature review, a previous survey, and multidisciplinary brainstorm sessions (15). All patients were diagnosed with psoriasis by a dermatologist and treated in 1 of the 6 participating centres in the Netherlands: 1 academic medical centre (Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen), 4 general hospitals (Gelderse Vallei Hospital, Ede; Canisius-Wilhelmina Hospital, Nijmegen; Bernhoven Hospital, Uden; Rijnstate Hospital, Arnhem) and 1 private practice (Padberg Clinic, Ede). In the current study, only treatment episodes (TEs) of patients using systemic therapy for psoriasis were included (conventional systemic [methotrexate, dimethyl fumarate, acitretin, ciclosporin] and biological/apremilast therapies). One TE accounted for 1 continuous episode of a specific systemic antipsoriatic therapy. Approval from the medical ethics committee Arnhem-Nijmegen (reference number: 2019-5904) and written informed consent from each patient were obtained. Patients were chronologically included based on their last visit, starting from 1 January 2019, using a web-based data management system (see also Appendix S1).

Outcome measures

Various patient characteristics were collected, including comorbid disease status using the International Classification of Diseases – 10th Revision (ICD-10) version of the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI), co-medication use, and presence of polypharmacy (20, 21). The following comorbidities of interest were also separately classified: skin cancer, depression, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, overweight, obesity and cardiovascular disease. To assess treatment patterns, the current use of systemic therapy, and TEs were collected from the age of 65 years, including: treatment duration, AE-occurrence and reasons for treatment discontinuation.

Adverse events and causality assessment

An AE was defined as any undesirable medical event of significant nature during antipsoriatic treatment. An AE was classified as serious AE (SAE) when a patient needed hospitalization, had persistent or significant disability/incapacity, and occurrence of life-threatening conditions or death (22). AEs were independently assessed on causality by 3 physician-researchers (SL, EtH, LvS) using the World Health Organization-Uppsala Monitoring Center (WHO-UMC) causality assessment system and clinical experience (23), followed by a consensus meeting. AEs scored < 3 using the WHO-UMC assessment system were excluded from further analysis and AEs scored as ≥3 using the WHO-UMC assessment system, remained included, further mentioned as causality assessed AEs (caAEs). From the available TEs, incidence rate ratios (IRR) of caAEs per year for the selected systemic treatment were computed. More details are shown in Appendix S1.

Table 1. Patient demographics

| Characteristics | Patients (n = 117) |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| Age, years, mean ± SD | 70.5 ± 4.6 |
| Median, range | 70 (65–85) |
| Sex, male, n (%) | 62 (53.0) |
| Type of medical centre, n (%) | |
| Academic medical centre | 85 (72.6) |
| General hospital/private practice | 32 (27.4) |
| Age at onset of psoriasis, years, mean ± SD | 40.2 ± 18.3 |
| Median, range | 43.5 (8–79) |
| Body mass index, kg/m², mean ± SD | 29.1 ± 6.0 |
| Overweight (BMI ≥ 25), n (%) | 59 (52.6) |
| Obesity (BMI ≥ 30), n (%) | 31 (26.6) |
| Use of co-medicationa, n (%)c | 89 (89.9) |
| Polypharmacyb | 43 (43.4) |
| Comorbidity/medical history, n (%)c | |
| None | 12 (12.0) |
| Hypertensionc | 47 (40.0) |
| Hyperlipidaemiad | 32 (27.4) |
| Myocardial infarctiond | 11 (10.0) |
| Cardiac failurec,d | 1 (0.0) |
| Cerebral vascular diseased | 11 (11.0) |
| Peripherale vascular diseased | 9 (9.1) |
| Cardiovascular diseasedae | 35 (35.0) |
| Diabetes mellitusc,d | 17 (15.0) |
| Chronic pulmonary diseased,f | 19 (19.0) |
| Connective tissue disorderc | 3 (3.0) |
| Cancer| 14 (14.0) |
| Metastat ic | 2 (2.0) |
| Skin cancer| 18 (16.0) |
| Chronic kidney diseased,i | 15 (15.0) |
| Peptic ulcer | 4 (4.0) |
| Liver diseasel,k | 19 (19.0) |
| Depression | 11 (10.0) |
| Dementia | 1 (0.0) |
| Paraplegiad | 0 (0.0) |
| HIV | 0 (0.0) |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI)c,e, median (range) | |
| CCI 0, n (%) | 40 (40.0) |
| CCI 1, n (%) | 21 (20.0) |
| CCI 2, n (%) | 14 (14.0) |
| CCI ≥ 3, n (%) | 25 (22.0) |

Values might not add up due to missing values and combination of variables.

aOther than psoriasis medication. bPolypharmacy was defined as the simultaneous use of ≥5 medications. cOnly counted when patients had a diagnosis and used medication. The comorbidities scored in the CCI, in some cases specific comorbidities are not scored in the CCI calculation according to the ICD-10 codes by Sundarajan, but are scored here in this overview. For specific definitions per comorbidity category of the CCI see the ICD-10 codes by Sundarajan (20). dCardiovascular disease included MACAEs (incident myocardial infarction, stroke, cardiovascular death, heart failure, coronary artery disease, coronary or peripheral revascularization, atrial fibrillation, transient ischaemic attack, valvular disease). eChronic pulmonary disease included chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, chronic bronchitis, emphysema, interstitial lung disease. fAll types of cancer other than non-melanoma skin cancer. gSkin cancer included melanoma, basal cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. hChronic kidney disease is defined as a GFR < 60 ml/min/1.73 m² for at least 3 months. iLiver disease included steatohepatitis, liver fibrosis, cirrhosis, hepatitis, drug induced liver injury. jThe CCI consists of 17 comorbidities. kFor each comorbidity a separate weight was assigned. This index is a validated and a commonly used tool in clinical practice and research (28). lMissing age at onset: n = 29, body mass index: 39, co-medication: 18, comorbidity/medical history: 17, Charlson Comorbidity Index: 17, BMI: body mass index; CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; SD: standard deviation.
multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed with caAEs only, and a sensitivity analysis was performed including all reported AE(s (see also Appendix S1).

Missing values were not included in the analyses. Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) and for the negative binomial analysis R (version 3.6.3) and the lme4 library (version 1.1-21) were used (25).

RESULTS

Study participants

In total, 117 patients with 176 TEs of systemic antipsoriatic therapy were included between 19 May 2020 and 6 March 2021: 85 (72.6%) from an academic centre and 32 (27.4%) from general hospitals/private practices. The median age at onset of psoriasis was 43.5 (range 8–79) years. Patient demographics are shown in Table I. Comparison of our complete study cohort with previously described psoriasis cohorts including older adults showed that the age and sex distribution was highly comparable, indicating representativeness regarding these characteristics (Table S1). The 176 TEs comprised a cumulative follow-up of 390 patient-years. Conventional systemic therapy (TE 115, 65.3%) was more often used than biologics/apremilast (TE 61; 34.7%), depicted in Table II. Regarding previously used systemic therapy, 68.3% of the included patients had used more than one systemic antipsoriatic therapy previously.

Comorbidity and co-medication use

Data regarding comorbidity and body mass index (BMI) was available for 100 patients (85.5% of the total cohort) and 78 patients (66.7% of the total cohort), respectively. From these 100 patients most had 1 or more comorbid condition(s) (n=88; 88.0%), 12% (n=12) of patients had no comorbidity. Being overweight (n=59; 75.6%) and hypertension (n=47; 47.0%) were most frequently reported. The median CCI was 1 (range 0–7). Data on co-medication was available for 99 out of 117 patients (84.6%). In these 99 patients co-medication use (n=89; 89.9%) and polypharmacy (n=43; 43.4%) were frequently reported. More details are shown in Table I.

Treatment safety and adverse events

In total, 319 AEs were reported in 176 TEs of 117 patients. After causality assessment 232 AEs (72.7%) remained, of which 12 were SAEs (see Table II). An overview of the caAEs scoring method is shown in Table SII. In patients using conventional systemic therapy 134 caAEs (57.8%) were reported and in patients using biologics/apremilast 98 caAEs (42.2%) were reported. The most common caAEs in the specific systemic treatments were infections (n=103; 63.6%), laboratory test deviations (n=47; 29.0%) and gastro-intestinal disorders (n=28; 17.3%). Infections were most common in methotrexate (n=27; 26.2%) and etanercept (n=27; 26.2%) followed by ustekinumab (n=23; 22.3%) and adalimumab (n=20; 19.4%). Laboratory test deviations were most common in dimethyl fumarate (n=16; 34.0%) and methotrexate (n=15; 31.9%). A total of 12 caSAEs were recorded, this occurred in 10 patients across the specific systemic treatments, of which most were infections (n=6). Based on the available data, all caSAEs were reversible and/or manageable in clinical practice. A summary of the recorded (S)AEs is given in Table III and Table SIII.

Table II. Overview of all systemic treatment episodes and adverse events reported in patients aged 65 years and over, during 390 years of treatment exposure, before and after causality assessment

| Treatment episode | Treatment exposure, years | Adverse events | Causality assessed adverse events | Serious adverse events | Causality assessed serious adverse events |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Conventional systemic | (n=176) | 224.4 | (n=319) | 134 (57.8) | 10 (35.7) | 4 (33.3) |
| Methotrexate | 42 (23.9) | 105.4 | 91 (28.5) | 67 (28.9) | 6 (21.4) | 2 (16.7) |
| Dimethyl fumarate | 43 (24.4) | 68.1 | 54 (16.9) | 43 (18.5) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Acitretin | 26 (14.8) | 47.3 | 39 (12.2) | 21 (9.1) | 4 (14.3) | 2 (16.7) |
| Ciclosporin | 4 (2.3) | 3.7 | 3 (0.9) | 3 (1.3) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Biologics/apremilast | 61 (34.7) | 165.4 | 132 (41.4) | 98 (42.2) | 18 (64.3) | 8 (66.7) |
| Adalimumab | 20 (11.4) | 48.3 | 36 (11.3) | 32 (13.6) | 4 (14.3) | 3 (25.0) |
| Ustekinumab | 18 (10.2) | 53.4 | 46 (14.4) | 31 (13.4) | 7 (25.0) | 3 (25.0) |
| Etanercept | 13 (7.4) | 56.5 | 44 (13.8) | 33 (14.2) | 6 (21.4) | 2 (16.7) |
| Secukinumab | 3 (1.7) | 2.5 | 3 (0.0) | 3 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Ixekizumab | 2 (1.1) | 2.0 | 2 (0.7) | 2 (0.7) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Guselkumab | 1 (0.6) | 0.2 | 1 (0.3) | 1 (0.3) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Infliximab | 1 (0.6) | 1.3 | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Certolizumab-pegol | 1 (0.6) | 0.2 | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Apremilast | 2 (1.1) | 1.3 | 2 (0.7) | 2 (0.7) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |

*Adverse events were only recorded occurring at the age of 65 years or over and if they were of significant nature (e.g. required medical attention, dose alterations, treatment discontinuation, other medical interventions). 

With the World Health Organization-Uppsala Monitoring Center causality assessment system, the best possible estimate of the probability of a causal relationship with the antipsoriatic treatment was assessed in a standardized way, resulting in 6 categories: certain, probable, possible, unlikely, conditional and unassessable (23). The following categories were defined as causal in this study; possible, probable and certain.
Table III. Summary of causality assessed adverse events (caAEs) in older adults with psoriasis using the most frequently prescribed systemic antipsoriatic treatments

| caAEs, number | Methotrexate (TE 42) | Dimethyl fumarate (TE 43) | Acitretin (TE 26) | Adalimumab (TE 20) | Ustekinumab (TE 18) | Etanercept (TE 13) |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Total caAEs   | 67                   | 43                         | 21               | 32                 | 31                  | 33                |
| Total caSAEs  | 2                    | 0                          | 2                | 3                  | 3                   | 2                 |
| Infections    | 27 (40.3)            | 6 (34.0)                   | 0 (0.0)          | 20 (62.5)          | 23 (74.2)           | 27 (81.8)         |
| Laboratory test deviations | 15 (22.4)            | 16 (37.2)                  | 6 (28.6)         | 5 (15.6)           | 3 (9.7)             | 2 (6.1)           |
| Neoplasms     | 2 (3.0)              | 0 (0.0)                    | 0 (0.0)          | 1 (3.1)            | 1 (3.2)             | 2 (6.1)           |
| General disorder | 8 (11.9)            | 2 (4.7)                    | 5 (23.8)         | 2 (6.3)            | 0 (0.0)             | 1 (3.0)           |
| Gastro-intestinal disorder | 9 (13.4)            | 14 (32.6)                  | 3 (14.3)         | 1 (3.1)            | 1 (3.2)             | 0 (0.0)           |
| Cardiovascular disorder | 1 (1.5)            | 3 (7.0)                    | 1 (4.8)          | 1 (3.1)            | 0 (0.0)             | 0 (0.0)           |
| Hepatobiliary disorder | 1 (1.5)            | 0 (0.0)                    | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)            | 0 (0.0)             | 0 (0.0)           |
| Neurological disorder | 0 (0.0)            | 0 (0.0)                    | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)            | 0 (0.0)             | 0 (0.0)           |
| Musculoskeletal disorder | 0 (0.0)            | 0 (0.0)                    | 1 (4.8)          | 1 (3.1)            | 3 (9.7)             | 0 (0.0)           |
| Skin disorder | 0 (0.0)              | 2 (4.7)                    | 4 (19.0)         | 1 (3.1)            | 0 (0.0)             | 0 (0.0)           |
| Eye disorders | 1 (1.5)              | 0 (0.0)                    | 1 (4.8)          | 0 (0.0)            | 0 (0.0)             | 0 (0.0)           |
| Psychological disorder | 1 (1.5)            | 0 (0.0)                    | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)            | 0 (0.0)             | 0 (0.0)           |
| Other AEs     | 2 (3.0)              | 0 (0.0)                    | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)            | 0 (0.0)             | 0 (0.0)           |

aAdverse events (AEs) were only recorded if they occurred at the age of 65 years or over and if they were of significant nature (e.g. required medical attention, dose alterations, treatment discontinuation, other medical interventions). All AEs presented in this table are assessed on causality; possible or probable related to the antipsoriatic treatment. A specified overview of all reported (S)AEs is shown in the supplements, before and after causality assessment. bIncludes; flu-like symptoms, skin infections, abscess, urinary tract infections, pneumonia, gastrointestinal infections, oral infections, middle-ear infection, epididymitis, bacterial infection. cLaboratory test deviations without clinical symptoms, including; transaminases, gamma-glutamyl transferase, amino terminal type III procollagen peptide (P3NP), alkaline phosphatase, creatine kinase, total cholesterol, triglycerides, renal function deterioration, proteinuria, haematuria, desintegration in urine, neutropenia, lymphocytopenia, anaemia. dIncludes; actinic keratosis, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, lung cancer, tubular adenoma, kidney cancer. eIncludes; fatigue, sleep problems, weight loss, dizziness, hair loss, headache, dry lips, dry mouth. fIncludes; abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, reflux, obstipation. gIncludes; non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. hIncludes; paraesthesia. iIncludes; pain in joints, pain in muscles, muscle cramps. jIncludes; rash, skin burn, pruritus, retinoid dermatitis, exfoliation of hand/foot palms and lips, exacerbation of psoriasis, pustules on the chest. kIncludes; dry eyes, retinal detachment. lIncludes; depression. mIncludes; pneumonitis on methotrexate. nIncludes; non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. oIncludes; rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, claudicatio intermittens, thrombotic event, syncope, flushing, hot flashes. For the comparison of systemic therapies, methotrexate was selected as reference as this was a commonly used treatment in this study. In this comparison, no significant differences for all systemic therapies regarding the odds of developing a caAE were found. Furthermore, all comorbidities, CCI, polypharmacy, age at onset of psoriasis, overweight, and sex were not associated with caAE-occurrence on current systemic therapy. The model including all reported AEs on current antipsoriatic therapy, without causality assessment showed the same results in general (Table VI).

Table IV. Negative binomial model on the incidence rate ratios of causality assessed adverse events per year of selected treatment episode in patients aged 65 years and over

| Antipsoriatic treatment | IRRb | 95% CI | p-value |
|-------------------------|------|--------|---------|
| Methotrexate            | Reference | 0.771–2.700 | 0.264 |
| Dimethyl fumarate       | 1.427 | 0.330–1.609 | 0.450 |
| Acitretin               | 0.739 | 0.582–2.589 | 0.548 |
| Adalimumab              | 1.248 | 0.582–2.589 | 0.626 |
| Ustekinumab             | 1.586 | 0.695–3.813 | 0.284 |
| Etanercept              | 1.560 | 0.407–5.984 | 0.516 |

aThe above shown antipsoriatic treatments were selected, based on a minimum of 10 treatment episodes. bThe incidence rate ratios (IRRs) are only calculated with the TE(s) of which the treatment duration was known. TE(s) were excluded from this analysis including corresponding adverse events (n = 8). CI: confidence interval.

Table V. Multiple logistic regression model on the relation of different factors with the occurrence of causality assessed adverse events when using systemic antipsoriatic therapy

| Variablesa | Odds ratio | 95% CI | p-value |
|------------|------------|--------|---------|
| Age, years | 1.195      | 1.026–1.393 | 0.022 |
| CCI scoreb <1 vs ≥1 | 1.677 | 0.531–5.303 | 0.378 |
| Polypharmacyc | 0.385 | 0.122–1.211 | 0.103 |
| Type of systemic treatmentd | 0.626 |
| Methotrexate | Reference |
| Dimethyl fumarate | 1.560 | 0.407–5.984 | 0.516 |
| Acitretin | 0.303 | 0.066–1.402 | 0.127 |
| Biologicald | 2.889 | 0.754–11.069 | 0.122 |

aThe following variables are also assessed in this model, but did not show a significant relation: sex, age at onset of psoriasis, overweight, kidney disease, history of cancer, liver disease, cardiovascular disease. bThe Charlson comorbidity index (CCI) score was divided into 2 groups, CCI<1 and CCI≥1 based on the data distribution. cPolypharmacy was defined as the simultaneous use of ≥5 medications. dSix patients were excluded due to the simultaneous use of 2 types of antipsoriatic treatment. eIncluding etanercept, adalimumab, ustekinumab, ixekizumab. f95% CI: 95% confidence interval.
the observation time. The most common reasons to discontinue systemic antipsoriatic treatment in older adults (including all systemic treatments) were adverse events \((n=37; 41.1\%)\), ineffectiveness \((n=36; 40.0\%)\), followed by combination of adverse events and ineffectiveness \((n=9; 10.0\%)\), remission \((n=4; 4.4\%)\), other reasons \((n=3; 3.3\%)\) and unknown reason for discontinuation \((n=1; 1.1\%)\). In conventional systemic antipsoriatic therapy the most frequently reported reasons for treatment discontinuation were AEs \((n=30; 50.0\%)\), followed by ineffectiveness \((n=14; 23.3\%)\). For biologics/apremilast, AEs as reason for discontinuation was less often reported \((n=7; 23.3\%)\) and ineffectiveness \((n=22; 73.3\%)\) was more often reported as reason for treatment discontinuation compared with conventional systemic therapy. No significant difference was seen regarding overall treatment discontinuation frequency between conventional systemic therapy and biologics/apremilast \((p=0.663)\). Reasons for treatment discontinuation for the selected systemic therapies are shown in Table SVII.

**DISCUSSION**

This real-world multicentre retrospective cohort study assessed the treatment safety of older adults with psoriasis using systemic therapy. In total, data from 117 patients \((\geq 65\) years) with 176 TEs of systemic antipsoriatic therapy with a cumulative follow-up of 390 patient-years were analysed. In this study \((S)\)AEs were thoroughly assessed on causality with the systemic antipsoriatic therapy, resulting in 232 AEs and 12 SAEs possibly related to the use of systemic antipsoriatic therapy. Causality assessed SAEs were rare, mostly infectious of nature, and were reversible and/or manageable in clinical practice. Treatment discontinuation due to adverse events was most frequently recorded in patients using conventional systemic antipsoriatic therapy and treatment discontinuation due to ineffectiveness was most often recorded in patients using biologics/apremilast. It was found that increasing age was associated with a higher caAE-occurrence \((OR 1.195; p=0.022)\), while no association was found between comorbidity, polypharmacy and systemic treatment type with caAE-occurrence. No significant differences in IRRs were found between the systemic treatment types.

Previous research has shown that most antipsoriatic treatments are not associated with more AEs in older adults \((9, 13, 15, 19)\). Nevertheless, some systemic treatments do show a tendency of more AEs in this population, mainly in patients using ciclosporin, but also in those using dimethyl fumarate \((10, 11)\). Causality assessment can be valuable in reporting and interpreting data on AEs. This is especially the case in older adults, as the incidence of comorbidity and related health problems/events generally increases with age and therefore misclassification of an unrelated health problem/event as AE might be more common in this population. This could lead to biased safety data in this population, potentially resulting in a disproportional treatment reluctance and undertreatment. After causality assessment 232 caAEs were reported in this study. The most common types of caAEs in the selected systemic treatments were: infections, laboratory test deviations, and gastro-intestinal disorders, in line with previous research \((9, 10, 26)\). The most common reasons to discontinue systemic antipsoriatic treatment in older adults (including all systemic treatments) were AEs \((n=37; 40.7\%)\), and ineffectiveness \((n=36; 39.6\%)\), concurring with reasons for treatment discontinuation in a younger psoriasis cohort \((27)\).

The emergence of AEs on systemic antipsoriatic treatment may be related to numerous factors, including comorbidities, drug interactions, altered age-related drug metabolism, and decline in functional status \((9, 13)\). As expected and in line with previous research, comorbidities and co-medication use were common in our study, with being overweight \((75.6\%)\) and hypertension \((47.0\%)\) being most reported \((10, 15, 17, 19)\). Furthermore, the majority of the study population \((89.9\%)\) used co-medication and polypharmacy was common \((43.4\%)\). Multivariable regression analysis showed a higher odds of developing AEs with ageing. However, no significant association was found between the presence of comorbidity and polypharmacy on caAE-occurrence. Furthermore, no significant association was found between the specific types of systemic antipsoriatic therapy on caAE-occurrence in this population of older adults. Conventional systemic therapy was more often used in our study cohort than biologics/apremilast, which is in concordance with previous studies \((15, 17)\). The highest IRRs of caAEs per year were seen in etanercept, dimethyl fumarate and adalimumab when compared with the reference methotrexate, yet no statistical significant differences were found among the different systemic treatments. However, most caAEs were reported in the conventional systemic group compared with the biologics/apremilast group, in line with previous research \((10, 13)\). It should be taken into account that not all studies have incorporated a thorough causality assessment of AEs, as in the current study. Out of 319 AEs, a fourth of AEs were excluded and 232 caAEs \((72.2\%)\) remained.

To conclude, comparing data regarding AEs amongst different studies can be difficult, due to the possibility of reporting bias, different definitions of AEs, variability in exposure time, the possibility of indistinct causality with the treatment, and the difficulty of drawing causal relations in any study. Therefore, standardized reporting of AEs and assessing AEs on causality can be very valuable in clinical research.

Due to the retrospective and observational nature of this study, using existing data from patient records, misinterpretation and/or incomplete data might have been a source of bias. To reduce this risk of bias, we...
used multiple data sources from the patient records, referral notes from other medical specialists, and a second researcher manually checked 10% of the data. Nevertheless, with this cohort study we provided a total recording of AEs of a significant nature in older adult patients using systemic antipsoriatic therapy, including a causality assessment of AEs.

This study found that increasing age was associated with higher caAE-occurrence. caSAEs were rare, most were of infectious nature, and all caSAEs were reversible and/or manageable in clinical practice. Furthermore, no association was found between comorbidity, polypharmacy, and the specific types of systemic antipsoriatic therapy on the occurrence of caAEs. Therefore, the safety profile of systemic antipsoriatic treatment in this population of older adults was reassuring. This population of older adults with psoriasis is heterogeneous (e.g. in terms of functional dependency and frailty status), therefore a personalized approach including relevant patient and disease characteristics and patient preferences is important. For further treatment personalization, more real-world data is needed, particularly prospective studies on the efficacy and safety of systemic antipsoriatic treatments in older adults with psoriasis, preferably including a causality assessment on the reported (S)AEs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to all patients who participated in this study by providing informed consent. We thank all the participating dermatological practices for their efforts. We also would like to thank L. van der Schoot for reviewing with us the AEs on causality.

This investigator-initiated study was conducted with financial support from Almirall. The funding source had no influence on study design, data collection and analysis, or the content of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest. ELMvH has carried out investigator-initiated research with financial support from Almirall and has carried out clinical trials for Novartis. PCMDvK serves as the chief medical officer of the International Psoriasis Council and received fees for lectures and consultancies from Bristol-Myers Squib, UCB, Leo Pharma, Eli Lilly and Company, Dermavant, Almirall, Celgene Novartis, Janssen, and Abbvie. EMGJdV has received research grants for the independent research fund of the Department of Dermatology of Radboud University Medical Center Nijmegen, the Netherlands from Abbvie, BMS, Janssen Pharmacuetica, Leo Pharma, Novartis, and UCB for research on psoriasis, has acted as consultant and/or paid speaker for and/or participated in research sponsored by companies that manufacture drugs used for the treatment of psoriasis or eczema including Abbvie, Amgen, Almirall, Celgene, Galapagos, Janssen Pharmacuetica, Lilly, Novartis, Leo Pharma, Sanofi and UCB. All funding is not personal, but goes to the independent research fund of the department of dermatology of Radboud University Medical Center Nijmegen, the Netherlands. SFLK has received research grants for investigator-initiated research by Almirall, and has acted as consultant and/ or paid speaker for Janssen, LEO Pharma, Almirall, Sanofi Genzyme and Sunpharma. All funding is not personal, but goes to the independent research fund of the department of dermatology of Radboud University Medical Centre Nijmegen, the Netherlands. No other potential conflicts of interest were reported.

REFERENCES

1. Parisi R, Symmons DP, Griffiths CE, Ashcroft DM, on behalf of the Identification and Management of Psoriasis and Associated ComorbidiTy (IMPACT) project team. Global epide- miology of psoriasis: a systematic review of incidence and prevalence. J Invest Dermatol 2013; 133: 377–385.
2. Hahnel E, Lichterfeld A, Blume-Peytavi U, Kottner J. The epidemiology of skin conditions in the aged: a systematic review. J Tissue Viability 2017; 26: 20–28.
3. Michalek IM, Loring B, John SM. A systematic review of worldwide epidemiology of psoriasis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2017; 31: 205–212.
4. Balato N, Patruno C, Napolitano M, Patri A, Ayala F, Scarpa R. Managing moderate-to-severe psoriasis in the elderly. Drugs Aging 2014; 31: 233–238.
5. Endo JO, Wong JW, Norman RA, Chang AL. Geriatric derma- tology: Part I. Geriatric pharmacology for the dermatologist. J Am Acad Dermatol 2013; 68: S21.e1–S21.e10.
6. van Winden MEC, Ter Haar ELM, Groenewoud JMM, van de Kerkhof PCM, de Jong E, Lubeek SFK. Quality of life, treatment goals, preferences and satisfaction in older adults with psoriasis: a patient survey comparing age groups. Br J Dermatol 2021; 184: 759–762.
7. Schaap MJ, van Winden MEC, Seysger MMB, de Jong EMGJ, Lubeek SFK. Representation of older adults in randomized controlled trials on systemic treatment in plaque psoriasis: a systematic review. J Am Acad Dermatol 2020; 83: 412–424.
8. Garcia-Doval I, Carretero G, Vanaclocha F, Ferrandiz C, Dauden E, Sanchez-Carazo JL, et al. Risk of serious adverse events associated with biologic and nonbiologic psoriasis systemic therapy: patients ineligible vs eligible for randomized controlled trials. Arch Dermatol 2012; 148: 463–470.
9. van Winden MEC, van der Schoot LS, van de L’Isle Arias M, van Vugt LJ, van den Reek J, de Kerkhof PCM, et al. Effectiveness and safety of systemic therapy for psoriasis in older adults: a systematic review. JAMA Dermatol 2020; 156: 1229–1239.
10. Piascenico S, Conti A, Lo Console F, Di Simone C, Prestinari F, Mazzotta A, et al. Efficacy and safety of systemic treatments for psoriasis in elderly patients. Acta Derm Venereol 2014; 94: 293–297.
11. Dickel H, Bruckner T, Höxtermann S, Dickel B, Trinder E, Altmeyer P. Fumaric acid ester-induced T-cell lymphopenia in the real-life treatment of psoriasis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2019; 33: 893–905.
12. Phillips R, Hazell L, Szebehely M, Cornelius V. Analysis and re- porting of adverse events in randomised controlled trials: a review. BMJ Open 2019; 9: e024537.
13. Medina C, Carretero G, Ferrandez C, Dauden E, Vanaclocha F, Gomez-Garcia FJ, et al. Safety of classic and biologic sys- temic therapies for the treatment of psoriasis in elderly: An observational study from national BIOBADADERM registry. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2015; 29: 858–864.
14. Naidu RP. Causality assessment: a brief insight into prac- tices in pharmaceutical industry. Prospect Clin Res 2013; 4: 233–236.
15. van Winden MEC, ter Haar ELM, Groenewoud HMM, van de Kerkhof PCM, de Jong E, Lubeek SFK. Disease and treatment characteristics in geriatric psoriasis: a patient survey compa- ring age groups. Acta Derm Venereol 2020; 100: adv00215.
16. Trettel A, Seppi C, Kerber A, Augustin M. The impact of age on psoriasis health care in Germany. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2017; 31: 870–875.
17. Chan P, Sigal ML, Esteve E, Reguiai Z, Barthelemy H, Beneton N, et al. Psoriasis in the elderly: epidemiological and clinical aspects, and evaluation of patients with very late onset psoriasis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2016; 30: 78–82.
18. Geale K, Hendriksen M, Schmitt-Egenolf M. Evaluating equality in psoriasis healthcare: a cohort study of the impact of age on assimilation of biologics. Br J Dermatol 2016; 174: 579–587.
19. Napolitano M, Balato N, Ayala F, Patruno C, Patri A, Megna M, et al. Psoriasis in elderly and non-elderly population: clinical and molecular features. Ital Dermatol Venereol 2016; 151: 587–595.
20. Sundararajan V, Henderson T, Perry C, Muggivan A, Quan H, Ghali WA. New ICD-10 version of the Charlson comorbidity index predicted in-hospital mortality. J Clin Epidemiol 2004; 57: 1288–1294.

21. Dutch College of General Practitioners, Huisartsen Genootschap, Nederlandse Vereniging voor Klinische Geriatrie, Orde van Medisch Specialisten. [Multidisciplinary guideline polypharmacy in elderly], Utrecht: Dutch College of General Practitioners, 2012. [accessed 2020 March 2] Available from: https://www.nhg.org/sites/default/files/content/nhg_org/uploads/polyfarmacie_bij_ouderen.pdf (in Dutch).

22. Dutch Central Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects, Centrale Commissie Mensgebonden Onderzoek. CCMO. SAEs, SUSAR’s en SADE’s. [accessed 2020 Dec]. Available from https://www.ccmo.nl/onderzoekers/tijdens-en-na-het-onderzoek/saes-susars-en-sades (in Dutch).

23. World Health Organization (WHO) UMC, Uppsala Monitoring Centre. The use of the WHO-UMC system for standardized case causality assessment. 2018-04-06. [accessed 2021 May]. Available from http://www.who-umc.org/graphics/4409.pdf.

24. Phan C, Beneton N, Delaunay J, Reguiai Z, Boulard C, Fougerousse AC, et al. Real-world effectiveness and safety of apremilast in older patients with psoriasis. Drugs Aging 2020; 37: 657–663.

25. R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. [accessed 2021 June]. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/.

26. Ricceri F, Bardazzi F, Chiricozzi A, Dapavo P, Ferrara F, Muggeddu C, et al. Elderly psoriatic patients under biological therapies: an Italian experience. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2019; 33: 143–146.

27. Yeung H, Wan J, Van Voorhees AS, Callis Duffin K, Krueger GG, Kalb RE, et al. Patient-reported reasons for the discontinuation of commonly used treatments for moderate to severe psoriasis. J Am Acad Dermatol 2013; 68: 64–72.

28. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis 1987; 40: 373–383.