Observational manifestations of young neutron stars: Spin-powered pulsars
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Abstract. The largest number of known young neutron stars are observed as spin-powered pulsars. While the majority of those are detected at radio frequencies, an increasing number can be studied at other parts of the electromagnetic spectrum as well. The Crab pulsar is the prototype of a young pulsar which can be observed from radio to gamma-ray frequencies, providing a red thread of discussion during a tour through the pulsar properties observed across the electromagnetic spectrum. The basic observational features of pulsar emission are presented, preparing the ground for more detailed reviews given in these proceedings. Here, particular attention will be paid to those emission features which may provide a link between the radio and high-energy emission processes.

1. Introduction

It is an impossible task to summarize the diversity of pulsar phenomena observed across the electromagnetic spectrum on a few pages. Clearly, the wealth of information that can be obtained outside the classical radio window today justifies some re-definition of the word “pulsar”. Rather than being characterised by appearing as a pulsating radio sources, a new definition of pulsar should be that it emits radiation that is pulsed due to rotation and powered by rotational energy. This definition also encompasses X-ray pulsars that are clearly powered by the loss of rotational energy but which have not been detected at radio frequencies. This may be due to a misaligned radio beam or due to a very low radio luminosity. The discovery of an increasing number of very weak radio pulsars coincident with X-ray point sources by Camilo and co-workers (see these proceedings) clearly shows that the expression “radio-quiet” cannot be used without the discussion of a corresponding flux density limit. Bearing this in mind, we will use “radio-quiet” in the context of sources where pulsations have been detected at high energies but not (yet) at radio frequencies, assuming that there is no fundamental difference in the physics of these objects. Also, concentrating on young pulsars, we will neglect the whole population of millisecond pulsars which appear, however, to function under the same underlying principles as young pulsars (Kramer et al. 1998). There is not sufficient room to discuss these principles in a detailed theoretical framework, but observational implications for a working theory will be pointed out. Young, slowly-rotating pulsars known as “Magnetars” (SGRs/AXPs) are discussed by Kaspi in these proceedings.
2. The population of young pulsars

The majority of spin-powered pulsars are still discovered and studied at radio frequencies. They dominate by far the number of about 1600 pulsars currently known, of which most are shown in the $P-\dot{P}$-diagram presented in Figure 1. We will define “young pulsars” as those with a characteristic age, $\tau = P/2\dot{P}$, of less than 100 kyr. About 80 of these are currently known, and they are located in the upper left area of Fig. 1. Specifically, pulsars with characteristic ages of less than 10 kyr appear in the cross-hatched area, whilst pulsars with ages between 10 and 100 kyr are located in the hatched area. The latter pulsars are often compared to the Vela pulsar if they match its spin-down luminosity, i.e. $\dot{E} \gtrsim 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$. A corresponding line of constant $\dot{E}$ is shown together with a line for $\dot{E} = 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$. About 26 Vela-like pulsars are currently known (Kramer et al. 2003a). In comparison, there are only 6 Crab-like pulsars, including the fastest rotating young but radio-quiet pulsar PSR J0537−6910 with a period of only 16 ms, the “Crab-twin” PSR B0540−69, PSR J1124−5916, and PSR B1509−58. In the same group we also find PSR J1119−6137 with the youngest age and highest $P$ for any radio pulsar whilst the overall records are currently set by the radio-quiet PSR J1846−0258 in Kes75 with a period of 323 ms and characteristic age of only 722 yr (Gotthelf et al. 2000). This raises the question about the birth periods of pulsars which have currently been estimated for about 9 sources (e.g. Migliazzo et al. 2002), covering one order of magnitude from less than 14 ms for PSR J0537−6910, over 19 ms for the Crab pulsar to 140 ms for PSR J0538+2817 (Migliazzo et al. 2002, Kramer et al. 2003b). For some of these estimates, a measured braking index was needed. In total, 5 pulsars have a measured braking index that seems to reflect a physical braking process (rather than timing noise) with values ranging from $n = 1.4$ to $n = 2.9$ (e.g. Zhang et al. 2001).

3. Radio properties

The radio properties of pulsars are discussed in detail by Gupta (these proceedings). Therefore, we do not attempt to present or discuss the rich assortment of radio phenomena, but shortly review those which we consider to be important in context of the bigger picture and their connection to high energy emission. In comparison to high energies, the energy output in the radio is tiny (see Section 7.), with a median luminosity observed at 1400 MHz of $L_{1400} = 25 \pm 5$ mJy kpc$^2$. This number is biased by distant luminous sources, so that $L_{1400} = 3 \pm 1$ mJy kpc$^2$ computed for pulsars within a 3 kpc distance is a more representative number. There is a slight trend for older pulsars to be less luminous but this is mostly suggested by the millisecond pulsars which are indeed less luminous and less efficient radio emitters (Kramer et al. 1998). The flux density spectrum, $S \propto \nu^\alpha$, is steep with a mean spectral index of $\alpha \sim -1.7$. No age dependence is found despite earlier reports to the contrary (Maron et al. 2000). The highest radio frequency that pulsars have been detected at is 87 GHz (Morris et al. 1997) and there are indications for a spectral turn-up at mm-wavelengths (Kramer et al. 1996). These observations are interesting due to a suggested “radius-to-frequency mapping” (RFM), according to which high frequency radio emission originates from closer to the neutron star surface than low frequency emission.
Figure 1. $P - \dot{P}$-diagram of the currently known population of pulsars. The hatched area contains pulsars with spin-down ages of less than 100 kyr, whilst the cross-hatched area marks young pulsars with an age less than 10 kyr. Pulsars located in the grey area exhibit surface magnetic fields, $B_S = 3.2 \times 10^{19} \sqrt{PP}$ Gauss, above the quantum critical field. Lines of constant spin-down luminosity of $\dot{E} = 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $\dot{E} = 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$ are shown. Pulsars marked as large filled circles have been detected at X-ray frequencies, and pulsars with $\gamma$-ray detections are shown by sharply-edged squares. Normal squares indicate neutron stars identified as SGRs or AXPs. Spin-powered pulsars with not (yet?) visible as normal radio pulsars are shown as filled triangles.

(Cordes 1978). The model is motivated by the observation that pulse profiles become narrower at high frequencies. However, it seems difficult to decide whether the derived magnetospheric altitudes correspond to the heights where the coherent, usually highly polarized emission is created or where it escapes the magnetosphere after it had been created further down and propagated to the escape radius, possibly in certain wave modes (see contributions by Gupta, Petrova and Karastergiou et al.). In any case, there seems to be no doubt that the classical radio emission originates from a few hundred km or so above the pulsar surface (e.g. Kramer et al. 1997), and that the radiating plasma originates from the polar cap region. The interesting question is thereby how this plasma is related to
that creating high energy emission, for which competing models place the origin near the polar cap as well (e.g. Daugherty & Harding 1996) or further out in the magnetosphere in so-called “outer gaps” (e.g. Cheng et al. 1986). Clues are available from giant pulses observed for a handful of pulsars at radio frequencies. Giant pulses are individual pulses with flux densities exceeding the mean value by a factor of 10 to 100 or more. Recently published results for the Crab pulsar by Hankins et al. (2003) reveal fine-structure on nanosecond timescales with brightness temperatures reaching $10^{37}$ K. Giant pulses tend to be aligned with the high energy pulses rather than with the radio profile (see Section 7.). This suggests a common origin, indicating that some observed radio emission could be in fact a by-product of the high energy radiation process. This could explain the highly unusual “High-Frequency Components”, seen to emerge at some odd pulse phases in the Crab profile at a few GHz (Moffett & Hankins 1996), as the results of such possible by-products and geometrical RFM-like effects. This likely possibility underlines our need to take geometry into account if we want to understand both the observed radio as well as the high energy emission.

4. Optical properties

Currently, only five pulsars are reported to show pulsed optical emission (see contribution by Mignani or Shearer & Golden 2002 for a review). The prime example is the Crab pulsar which is strong enough to allow extensive studies of its single pulses and their polarization properties, revealing interesting differences between the peak emission of the prominent double-peaked profile and the bridge and non-zero off-pulse emission (e.g. Romani et al. 2001, Kanbach et al. 2003). The similarity between the optical, X-ray and $\gamma$-ray profiles suggests that the emission is created by the same incoherent non-thermal radiation process, presumably at the same location. The polarization measurements and the applications of the rotating-vector model (Radhakrishnan & Cooke 1969) thereby allow us to study the geometry of the corresponding emission region in a way that is not yet possible at X-rays. Such studies are not possible for the other weaker pulsars with detected pulsed emission, i.e. Vela, Geminga and PSRs B0656+14 and B0540−69. Unpulsed emission has been detected for four pulsars, including PSR B1055−52 which together with the Crab, Vela, Geminga and possibly PSR B0656+14 is also detected as a gamma-ray pulsar (e.g. Thompson 2001), again suggesting that the optical emission is closely related to the X-ray and $\gamma$-ray emission. For a discussion of the involved luminosities it is important to note that for both PSRs B1055−52 and B0656+14 the most recent distance estimates dropped by more than a factor of two (see Kramer et al. 2003a, Brisken et al. 2003).

5. X-ray properties

On one hand, X-ray observations promise to offer a more direct insight into the magnetospheric plasma densities and processes when compared to the view provided by radio observations that is somewhat occluded by the (unknown) physics responsible for the coherence of the radio emission. On the other hand, in many pulsars the observed X-ray emission is due to a mixture of thermal and non-thermal processes which cannot always be discriminated by the available data.
Non-thermal, magnetospheric emission can be created by an accelerated relativistic plasma and should be highly pulsed with a power-law spectrum ranging from optical to $\gamma$-ray frequencies. Thermal emission may originate from the stellar surface and may still show low-amplitude modulation due to the rotating hot polar cap. In this case, we would expect a blackbody spectrum that is modified by a possible atmosphere of the neutron star, ranging from optical to soft X-ray frequencies. In addition, matters may be complicated due to unresolved emission from pulsar-driven synchrotron nebulae or pulsar winds interacting with dense ambient media. Neglecting X-ray detections of millisecond pulsars, pulsed emission has been observed for 15 radio pulsars while 9 pulsars have only been seen as unpulsed X-ray sources (Fig. 1; see Becker, these proceedings, and Becker & Aschenbach 2002 for a review). In addition, Geminga and PSRs J0537−6910, J1209−51/52, J1811−1925 and J1846−0258 are clearly spin-powered pulsars at X-rays but have no corresponding radio detection, yet. An account of recent deep searches in X-ray sources is given by Camilo (these proceedings), which lead, for instance, to the discovery of radio pulses from the 66-ms pulsar J0205+6449 in SNR 3C58. This pulsar would supersede the Crab as the youngest radio pulsar if 3C58 is indeed associated with the historical supernova SN1181 (Murray et al. 2002). In general, it is instructive to group the X-ray detected pulsars into Crab-like sources, Vela-like sources and middle-aged pulsars. The Crab-like pulsars include PSRs B0540−26, J0537−6909, B1509−58, J0205+6449 and J1617−5055, all of which show strong features of magnetospheric emission and doubled-peaked profiles which are, if detected, aligned with similar optical profiles. For Vela-like pulsars only Vela itself has been detected as a faint optical source. Their X-ray spectra do not represent simple power-laws whilst the pulse profiles are more complicated and typically misaligned with radio or $\gamma$-ray pulses. Finally, the middle-aged pulsars, i.e. Geminga, PSR B1055−53 and PSR B0656+14, show a mixture of thermal and non-thermal emission with energy-dependent profiles. The non-thermal emission is thought to originate either from the polar cap region (e.g. Daugherty & Harding 1996, Harding et al. 2002) or outer gaps (e.g. Cheng et al. 1986, Romani 1996).

6. $\gamma$-ray properties

The $\gamma$-ray emission of pulsars is highly pulsed, beamed and, obviously, of non-thermal origin. There are 7 “classical” $\gamma$-ray detections, i.e. Crab, Vela, Geminga and PSRs B1055+53, B1509−58, B1706−44 and B1951+32 (see e.g. Thompson 2001 or Kanbach 2002 for recent reviews). Their typically double-peaked profiles change their appearances towards higher energies due to a phase-dependent spectral hardness, suggesting, again, that geometry plays an important role. Similar studies are not possible for other, much weaker pulsars for which a detection has been suggested. Here, significance levels are much lower, and often their detection is based on the positional coincidence of a known radio pulsar with an unidentified $\gamma$-ray point source. A viable pulsar candidate should have properties consistent with those of the classical $\gamma$-ray pulsars, shown to be steady $\gamma$-ray sources (e.g. McLaughlin et al. 1996). While the beaming fraction is uncertain, the $\gamma$-ray luminosity seems to be a few per cent of the spin-down luminosity. Until recently, this $\gamma$-ray efficiency was believed to reach much larger values, up to 20%. However, this value was set by PSR B1055−52 for which the
updated distance estimate (see Section 4.) now results in a decreased efficiency of only 4%. A recent detailed discussion of the proposed associations was presented by Kramer et al. (2003a) who estimated that about 19 ± 6 of the associations are genuine. Ultimately, it will need instruments like GLAST to verify most of these candidates. By extending the observed $\gamma$-ray spectrum to a few tens GeV, GLAST may then also be able to distinguish between the outer gap and polar cap model. The polar cap model interprets the observed emission as inverse Compton scattering of upward polar cap cascades and expects a cut-off of the spectrum due to $\gamma$-B-field absorption. In contrast, the spectrum expected for the outer gap particles is curvature radiation limited and should extend to higher energies (e.g. Thompson 2001).
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Figure 2.  
*Left:* Efficiency, $\eta \equiv L_\nu / \dot{E}$, as derived for radio, optical, X-ray and $\gamma$-ray frequencies. A fit to the median values shows an increase of efficiency with frequency of $\eta \propto \nu^{0.17\pm0.10}$.  
*Right:* Magnetic field strength at the light cylinder. Filled circles mark pulsars detected both at radio and high-energies. Radio-quiet pulsars are shown as filled squares. Pulses with detected giant pulse emission are surrounded by a box. The Vela pulsar and PSR B1706–44 show so-called “micro-giants” (see Johnston, these proceedings.)

7. Relation to spin-parameters

It would be naïve to assume that the radiation processes are governed by a single or a few control variables. It is nevertheless intriguing to relate some of the radiation properties to the spin-down luminosity and the magnetic fields at the surface and light cylinder.

A comparison of the energy output at radio, optical, X-ray and $\gamma$-ray frequencies is complicated by uncertain distance estimates, different spectral shapes, instrumental effects and in particular by the unknown beaming fractions. The radio emission appears to be emitted in a cone of radius $\rho$ that scales with period as $\rho \propto 1/\sqrt{P}$ (e.g. Kramer et al. 1998). Due to the potential mixture of thermal and non-thermal emission observed, at optical and X-rays
one typically assumes isotropic emission in the computation, even though this is obviously wrong for non-thermal emission. At γ-rays a beaming fraction of 1/4π is adopted due to the lack of better knowledge. Bearing these uncertainties in mind, we can try to compare the derived luminosities “observed” at the different frequencies with the spin-down luminosity, ˙E. At radio no correlation can be found between \( L_{\text{radio}} \) and ˙E. This is not unexpected giving the importance of geometry and the complicated processes that must be present to create coherent emission. In the optical range, one can use peak luminosities to mitigate geometrical issues or luminosities integrated over the pulse profile (Shearer & Golden 2002). Using the new distance for PSR B0656+14 has a significant impact on the low number statistics, and we find \( L_{\text{opt}} \propto ˙E^{1.6\pm0.2} \) (see Fig. 2). The X-ray luminosity, \( L_X \), was studied by a number of authors, including Becker & Trümper (1997) who found  \( L_X \propto ˙E \) at soft X-rays (0.1 – 2.4 keV). More recently, Possenti et al. (2002) reviewed the harder X-rays (2 – 10 keV), deriving a relationship that is remarkably similar to that at optical frequencies, \( L_X \propto ˙E^{1.5} \). At γ-rays, the low number statistics is again affected by revised distances for PSRs B1055−52 and J0218+4232 (Kramer et al. 2003a) but the values are consistent with \( L_\gamma \propto ˙E^{0.5} \) (Thompson 2001). In conclusion, the inferred efficiencies, \( \eta_\nu = L_\nu/ ˙E \), show large variation at each frequency band, but as a general trend they increase from radio to γ-rays. A formal fit gives \( \eta_\nu \propto \nu^{0.17\pm0.10} \) (Fig. 2).

In order to explain the lack of radio emission from magnetars (see Kaspi, these proceedings), it has been suggested that a surface magnetic field exceeding the quantum critical field, \( B_{\text{crit}} = m_e^2 c^3 / e\hbar = 4.4 \times 10^{13} \) Gauss, would quench the radio emission due to the lack of emitting plasma (Zhang & Harding 2000, see Fig. 1). However, the discoveries of pulsars with magnetar-like spin-parameters and derived magnetic fields above the critical field, like the 6-s PSR J1847−0130 with \( B_S \sim 10^{14} \) Gauss (McLaughlin et al. 2003), question the importance of the actual field value for the emission process. A more directly observable effect may be caused by the magnetic field at the light cylinder. It has been noted that pulsars with detected giant pulses happen to have the largest field strengths (Fig. 2, see contributions by Johnston and Joshi et al.) and that in general the giant pulse emission seems to align with the high energy emission. Indeed, there also appears to be a direct observational link between the radio giant pulses of the Crab and its optical emission (Shearer et al. 2003). Giant pulses may finally provide the clue to connect the emission across the whole electromagnetic spectrum.

8. Summary & Conclusions

Apparently, the radio emission originates from close to the stellar surface, while the high energy emission may tend to be created further out. In that picture, one would not expect an alignment of radio and high-energy emission, as typically observed. Where alignment is observed, like for the Crab pulsar, the observed radio emission may be of different origin and more related to that at high energies. Only the Crab’s pre-cursor component may be considered as the classical radio pulse, while main and interpulse are by-products of high energy processes causing also the High-Frequency Components at a few GHz. If that is the case, the Crab pulsar should be considered as a much less luminous radio source,
similar to PSR B0540–69 when ignoring its giant pulse emission (Johnston & Romani 2003). In summary, we have reason to believe that optical, X-ray and γ-ray processes are related and that they connect to the radio via giant pulses. A lot appears to be determined, or at least influenced, by geometry, and it is clear that no single control parameter exists. There is still a lot to be done and understood.
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