Symmetry fingerprints of a benzene single-electron transistor: Interplay between Coulomb interaction and orbital symmetry
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The interplay between Coulomb interaction and orbital symmetry produces specific transport characteristics in molecular single electron transistors (SETs) that can be considered as the fingerprints of the contacted molecule. Specifically we predict, for a benzene SET, selective conductance suppression and the appearance of negative differential conductance when changing the contacts from para to meta configuration. Both effects originate from destructive interference in transport involving states with orbital degeneracy.
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Understanding the conduction characteristics through single molecules is one of the crucial issues in molecular electronics. The dynamics of the electron transfer to and from the molecule depends on the intrinsic electronic spectrum of the molecule as well as on the electronic coupling of the molecule to its surroundings.

In recent years, the measurement of stability diagrams of single electron transistor (SET) devices has become a very powerful tool to do spectroscopy of small conducting systems via transport experiments. Thus the capability to perform three terminal measurements on single molecules has been a fundamental achievement for molecular electronics. Such molecular transistors might display transport properties that are very different from those of conventional SETs. In fact, vibrational or torsional modes and intrinsic symmetries of the molecule can hinder or favor transport through the SET, visible, e.g., in the absence or presence of specific excitation lines in the stability diagram of the molecular SET, or in negative differential conductance features. Many-body phenomena such as, e.g., the Kondo effect, have been observed as well.

Despite the experimental progress, the theoretical understanding of the properties of single organic molecules coupled to electrodes is far from being satisfactory. On the one hand, numerical approaches to transport based, e.g., on the combination of Green’s function methods with density-functional theory have become a standard approach to study transport at the nanoscale. However, this technique is not appropriate for the description of transport through a molecule weakly coupled to leads, due to the crucial role played by the Coulomb interaction in these systems. Hence, in Ref. 1, an electronic structure calculation for a benzene molecule was performed in order to arrive at an effective interacting Hamiltonian for the π orbitals, to be solved to determine the I-V characteristics of a benzene junction.

In this paper, we consider the electronic transport through a benzene SET. Similar to Ref. 1, in order to devise a semi-quantitative description, we start from an interacting Hamiltonian of isolated benzene where only the localized p orbitals are considered and the ions are assumed to have the same spatial symmetry as the relevant electrons. The Hamiltonian for the isolated molecule possesses 4^6=4096 eigenstates, to be calculated numerically, and whose symmetries can be established with the help of group theory. Large degeneracies of the electronic states occur. For example, while the six-particle ground state (A1g symmetry) is nondegenerate, there exist four seven-particle ground states due to spin and orbital (E_u symmetry) degeneracy. When coupling the benzene SET to leads in the meta and para configurations (see Fig. 1), these orbital symmetries lead to very different stability diagrams for the two configurations (see Fig. 2). Striking are the selective reduction of conductance (Fig. 3) and the occurrence of negative differential conductance (NDC) features when changing from para to meta configuration. As shown in Fig. 4, the NDC effect occurs due to the formation of a blocking state at certain values of the bias voltage. The blocking is clearly visible by monitoring the position-dependent many-body transition probabilities, which, at given values of the bias voltage, can exhibit nodes at the same position as one of the contacts. NDC for benzene junctions has been predicted also in Ref. 11, but in the para configuration and only in the presence of a dissipative electromagnetic bath. In our work, NDC occurs despite the absence of the bath. Both of the effects we predict originate from bias-dependent interference of orbitally degenerate states: coherences, neglected in Ref. 11, are essential to capture interference effects when solving the equations for the benzene’s occupation probabilities. Interference phenomena in transport through benzene have been recently discussed also in Refs. 12 and 13. The parameter regime is, however, very different, as both discuss the strong tunneling limit, where Coulomb blockade effects are not relevant.

We start from the total Hamiltonian \( H = H_{\text{ben}} + H_{\text{leads}} + H_T \), where the Hamiltonian for benzene reads:

\[
H_{\text{ben}} = \sum_{\sigma} \left( \epsilon_n \left| n \right> \left< n \right| + \sum_{n \neq n'} \left( g \left< n \downarrow \big| \sigma \right> \left< n' \downarrow \big| \sigma \right> + \text{H.c.} \right) \right)
\]

where the Coulomb interaction is \( g \) and the states are labeled by \( n \) and \( \sigma \), with \( \sigma \) denoting spin. The leads are described by a similar Hamiltonian with the states labeled by \( \ell \).

FIG. 1. (Color online) The two different setups for the benzene SET considered in this paper.
Here $d_{i\sigma}^\dagger$ creates an electron of spin $\sigma$ in the $p_i$ orbital of carbon, $i=1,\ldots,6$ runs over the six carbon atoms of benzene and $n_{i\sigma} = d_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{i\sigma}$. This Hamiltonian respects the $D_{6h}$ symmetry of benzene and also the particle-hole symmetry. Mechanical oscillations at this level are neglected and all the atoms are considered in their equilibrium position. The parameters $b$, $U$, and $V$ for isolated benzene are given in the literature\textsuperscript{14} and are chosen to fit excitation spectra. Even if the presence of metallic electrodes is expected to cause a substantial renormalization of $U$ and $V$, we do not expect the main results of this work to be affected by this change. The weak coupling suggests that the symmetry of the molecule will remain unchanged and with it the structure of the Hamiltonian (1). The gate voltage $V_y$ is introduced by a renormalized on-site energy $\xi=\xi_0-eV_y$ and we conventionally set $V_y=0$ at the charge-neutrality point. We represent source and drain leads as two reservoirs of noninteracting electrons: $H_{\text{leads}}=\sum_{ak}\xi_k-\mu_{ak}\alpha_k\epsilon_{ak}\alpha_k\beta_{ak}$ where $\alpha=L,R$ and the chemical potentials $\mu_{ak}$ of the leads depend on the applied bias voltage $\mu_{L,R}=-\frac{1}{2}V_y$. In the following, we will measure the energy starting from the equilibrium chemical potential $\mu_0=0$. The coupling to source and drain leads is described by

$$H_T=i\sum_{ak}\left[d_{ak}^\dagger c_{ak\sigma}+c_{ak\sigma}^\dagger d_{ak}\right],$$

where we define $d_{ak}^\dagger$ as the creator of the electron in the benzene carbon atom that is closest to the lead $\alpha$. In particular, $d_{ak}^\dagger := d_{ak}^\dagger d_{ak}^\dagger$ in the para and meta configurations, respectively, while $d_{ak}^\dagger := d_{ak}^\dagger$ in both setups. Due to the weak coupling to the leads, we can assume that the potential drop is all concentrated at the lead-molecule interface and is not affecting the molecule itself. Given the high degeneracy of the spectrum, the method of choice to treat the dynamics in the weak coupling is the Liouville equation method already used, e.g., in Refs. 15 and 16. The starting point is the Liouville equation for the reduced density operator $\rho=Tr_{\text{leads}}[\rho]=-i\hbar Tr_{\text{leads}}\{H,\rho\}$, where $\rho$ is the density operator.\textsuperscript{17} Due to the weak coupling to the leads, we treat the effects of $H_T$ to the lowest nonvanishing order. The reduced density operator $\rho$ is defined on the Fock space of benzene, but coherences between states with different particle number and different energy can be neglected, the former because they are decoupled from the dynamics of the populations, the latter being irrelevant due to their fast fluctuation (secular approximation). As a result, we arrive at a generalized master equation (GME) where coherences between degenerate states are retained. This approach is robust against the small asymmetries introduced in the molecule by the coupling to the leads or by deformation as far as the energy splitting that lifts the orbital degeneracy is comparable to the thermal energy. In particular, the results presented in this paper are robust against the residual potential drop that even in weak coupling could affect the molecule itself. The GME is conveniently expressed in terms of the reduced density operator $\rho^NE=\mathcal{P}_NE\rho\mathcal{P}_NE$, where $\mathcal{P}_NE:=\sum_\ell [NE\ell\rho\langle NE\ell\tau \rangle$ is the projection operator on the subspace of $N$ particles and energy $E$. The sum runs over the orbital and spin quantum numbers $\ell$ and $\tau$, respectively. Eventually the GME reads

$$\dot{\rho}^NE=\sum_{\alpha\tau} \frac{\Gamma_{\alpha\tau}}{2}\left[d_{\alpha\tau}\left[f_{\alpha}(H_{\text{ben}}-E)+i\mu_{\alpha}(H_{\text{ben}}-E)\right]d_{\alpha\tau}^\dagger \rho^NE\right]
\left[d_{\alpha\tau}^\dagger f_{\alpha}(E-H_{\text{ben}})-i\mu_{\alpha}(E-H_{\text{ben}})\right]d_{\alpha\tau}\rho^NE + H.c.$$

$$\sum_{\alpha\tau E'} \Gamma_{\alpha\tau E'E}^\tau(\alpha^N\tau^{\alpha^N}\rho^NE'd_{\alpha\tau}^\dagger\mathcal{P}_NE),$$

where $\Gamma_{LR}=\frac{2\pi}{\hbar}V_{LR}^2\mathcal{D}_{LR}$ is the bare tunneling rates with the constant densities of states of the leads $\mathcal{D}_{LR}$. Terms describing sequential tunneling from and to the lead $\alpha$ are proportional to the Fermi function $f(x-\mu_\alpha)=f_\alpha(x)$ and $f_\alpha(x)=1-f_\alpha^\tau(x)$, respectively. Still in the sequential tunneling limit, but due to the presence of coherences, also energy nonconserving terms appear in the generalized master equation; they are proportional to the function $p_{\alpha}(x)=-\text{Re}\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\mu_\alpha}{E}+\text{sgn}(x-\mu_\alpha)\right)$, where $\psi$ is the digamma function.\textsuperscript{16,17} Finally, we write the GME on the basis of the energy eigenstates for isolated benzene and find numerically the stationary solution.

A closer analysis of the master equation allows us also to define a current operator (one per molecule-lead contact)

$$j_{\alpha\tau}^\tau(\alpha^{N+1}\tau^{\alpha^{N+1}}\mathcal{P}_NE')d_{\alpha\tau}^\dagger\mathcal{P}_NE, \quad \text{FIG. 2. (Color online) Stability diagram for the benzene SET connected in the para (above) and meta (below) configuration. White dot-dashed lines highlight the conductance cuts presented in Fig. 3; the white dashed line shows the region corresponding to the current trace presented in Fig. 4. The parameters used are } U=4|b|, V=2.4|b|, T=0.04|b|, \text{ and } \Gamma=10^{-3}|b|.}$$
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\[ i_a = \sum_{NE} P_{NE} [d_{a\tau} f_a(H_{ben} - E)d_{a\tau}^\dagger - d_{a\tau}^\dagger f_a(E - H_{ben})d_{a\tau}] \]

and calculate the stationary current as the average of Eq. (2), \[ i_L = \text{Tr} \{ \sigma_{\text{tot}} i_a \} = -i_R \], with \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} \) the stationary density operator. In Fig. 2, we present the stability diagram for the benzene SET contacted in the meta (upper panel) and parallel configuration (lower panel). Bright ground-state transition lines delimit diamonds of zero differential conductance typical of the Coulomb blockade regime while a rich pattern of satellite lines represents the transitions between excited states. Though several differences can be noticed, most striking are the suppression of conductance and the appearance of NDC lines representing the transitions between excited states.

In Fig. 2, we present the stability diagram for the benzene SET as a function of the gate voltage \( V_g \) and calculated the stationary current as the average of Eq. (2), \[ i_L = \text{Tr} \{ \sigma_{\text{tot}} i_a \} = -i_R \], with \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} \) the stationary density operator. In Fig. 2, we present the stability diagram for the benzene SET contacted in the para (upper panel) and parallel configuration (lower panel). Bright ground-state transition lines delimit diamonds of zero differential conductance typical of the Coulomb blockade regime while a rich pattern of satellite lines represents the transitions between excited states. Though several differences can be noticed, most striking are the suppression of conductance and the appearance of NDC lines representing the transitions between excited states.

A zero bias cut of the stability diagrams as a function of the gate voltage \( V_g \) is plotted in Fig. 3. Only transitions between lowest energy states are relevant for the conductance. The number of \( p_z \) electrons on the molecule and the symmetry of the many-body state corresponding to the conductance valleys are reported. The conductance in the meta and para configuration is the same for the \( N=11 \leftrightarrow 12 \) and \( N=10 \leftrightarrow 11 \) transitions, while it is systematically suppressed in all other cases. In other terms, transitions between states with \( A \) or \( B \) symmetry, which do not have orbital degeneracy, are invariant under configuration change; transitions that involve both of the orbitally degenerate \( E \) symmetry states are suppressed. Destructive interference between orbitally degenerate states explains the systematic conductance suppression. The eight-particle ground state is antisymmetric\(^{11} \) (with respect to the plane through the contact atoms and perpendicular to the molecular plane), thus excluded from transport in the para configuration and replaced by the first excited symmetric \( E_{2g} \) state. This explains the peculiar position of the 7-8 and 8-9 resonances. By neglecting the energy noncon-servative terms in Eq. (3), we derived an analytical formula for the conductance close to the resonance between \( N \) and \( N+1 \) particle states,

\[
G_{N,N+1}(\Delta E) = 2e^2 \Gamma_L \Gamma_R \sum_{nm\tau} \left| \langle N,n|d_{a\tau}|N+1,m \rangle \right|^2 \times \left[ -\frac{f(\Delta E)}{f(\Delta E)} + S_N \right]
\]

where \( \Delta E = E_{N,N} - E_{N+1} \pm eV_g \) is the energy difference between the benzene ground states with \( N \) and \( N+1 \) electrons diminished by a term linear in the side gate; \( n \) and \( m \) label the \( S_{N+1} \)-fold and \( S_{N+1} \)-fold degenerate ground states with \( N \) and \( N+1 \) particles, respectively. Interference effects are contained in the numerator of the third factor (overlap factor \( \Lambda \)). In order to make these more visible, we remind the reader that \( d_{a\tau} = R_{\phi} d_{L\tau} R_{\phi}^\dagger \), where \( R_{\phi} \) is the rotation operator of an angle \( \phi \) and \( \phi = \pi \) for the para while \( \phi = 2\pi/3 \) for the meta configuration. All eigenstates of \( H_{ben} \) are eigenstates of the discrete rotation operators with angles multiples of \( \pi/3 \) and the eigenvalues are phase factors. The overlap factor now reads

\[
\Lambda = \left| \sum_{nm\tau} \langle N,n|d_{L\tau}|N+1,m \rangle \right|^2 e^{i\phi_{nm}}
\]

where \( \phi_{nm} \) encloses the phase factors coming from the rotation of the states \( |N,n\rangle \) and \( |N+1,m\rangle \). Interference is possible only when \( S_N \) or \( S_{N+1} \) is large, that is, in the presence of degenerate states. It generates a considerable reduction by passing through the benzene SET in the meta configuration calculated at bias and gate voltage conditions indicated by the dashed line of Fig. 2. A pronounced NDC is visible. Lower panels: Transition probabilities between the six-particle and each of the two seven-particle ground states for bias voltage values labeled \( a-e \) in the upper panel. The transitions to a blocking state are visible in the upper (lower) part of the \( e \) (a) panels.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Upper panel: Current through the benzene SET in the meta configuration calculated at bias and gate voltage conditions indicated by the dashed line of Fig. 2. A pronounced NDC is visible. Lower panels: Transition probabilities between the six-particle and each of the two seven-particle ground states for bias voltage values labeled \( a-e \) in the upper panel. The transitions to a blocking state are visible in the upper (lower) part of the \( e \) (a) panels.
from the para to the meta configuration, as seen in Fig. 3.

Interference also affects nonlinear transport and produces in the meta configuration NDC at the border of the six-particle state diamond (Fig. 2). The upper panel of Fig. 4 shows the current through the benzene SET contacted in the meta configuration as a function of the bias voltage. The upper plot of the e panel describes the transitions to the blocking seven-particle state that accepts electrons from the source lead (close to carbon 1) but cannot release electrons to the drain (close to carbon 5). The energy nonconserving rates prevent the complete efficiency of the blocking by ensuring a slow depopulation of the blocking state. At large negative bias, the blocking scenario is depicted in panel a. We remark that only a description that retains coherences between the degenerate seven-particle ground states correctly captures NDC at both positive and negative bias.

To summarize, we analyzed the transport characteristics of a benzene-based SET. The interplay between Coulomb interaction and orbital symmetry is manifested in a destructive interference involving orbitally degenerate states, leading to selective conductance suppression and negative differential conductance when changing the contacts from para to meta configuration.
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