Reconstruction of the hepatic artery using the superior mesenteric artery for liver transplantation
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Background: To investigate the application of the superior mesenteric artery (SMA) for the in vitro reconstruction of the hepatic artery for liver transplantation, and to improve the success rate and safety of donor liver transplantation.

Methods: The donor liver and the pancreas were obtained, and the SMA and its branches were used to reconstruct the hepatic artery. Liver transplantation was performed after reconstruction to understand the intraoperative situation after donor liver opening, as well as postoperative liver function. Color Doppler ultrasound of the transplanted liver was also performed.

Results: During the period from September 2016 to March 2020, a total of 98 pancreases were obtained. The common hepatic artery and gastroduodenal artery loop (CHA-GDA) were preserved to the donor pancreas, and only the proper hepatic artery (PHA) or left/right hepatic artery (LHA/RHA) were preserved to the donor liver. If the PHA of the donor liver was short or absent, the SMA was used for lengthening the PHA or in vitro reconstruction of the LHA/RHA, followed by implantation of the donor liver after reconstruction. A total of 17 cases of this type of donor liver required mesenteric artery lengthening or reconstruction. After opening, the donor liver was well-filled, bile secretion was normal, and liver function recovered as scheduled after surgery. Color Doppler ultrasound and CT angiography (CTA) of the transplanted liver revealed that hepatic arteries were normal without complications such as hepatic artery embolism.

Conclusions: In vitro reconstruction of the hepatic artery with the SMA is an effective new method of vascular reconstruction, which ensures the blood flow of the hepatic artery, reduces the anastomosis difficulty of the arteries of the donor liver, and reduces the occurrence of vascular complications.
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**Introduction**

Simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplantation is the most effective treatment option for end-stage diabetic nephropathy, which can eradicate diabetes mellitus as well as renal failure, and improve the long-term survival rate of diabetic patients compared to renal transplantation alone. However, the integrity of the common hepatic artery-pancreaticoduodenal artery (CHA-PDA) needs to be preserved during pancreatic repair in simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplantation to ensure the blood flow of the duodenum, and to reduce intestinal complications after simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplantation/pancreas transplantation. Therefore, the only arteries left to the donor liver are the proper hepatic artery (PHA) or the left/right hepatic artery (LHA/RHA), which often increases the difficulty of liver transplantation and requires revascularization in some cases. In previous experience, the donor’s iliac artery/iliac vein were used for reconstruction (1). Our center used the superior mesenteric artery (SMA) and its branches to reconstruct the PHA and the LHA/RHA, and achieved good results.

We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-7200).

**Methods**

All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University (No. ChiCTR1900026543) and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

**Patient characteristics**

Of the 17 donors, 9 had severe traumatic brain injury and 8 had cerebral hemorrhage. Donors were aged 20–51 years, 15 were male and 2 were female, with BMI ranging between 18.75–27.72. Total bilirubin ranged between 11.4–119.2 μmol/L (median 33.7 μmol/L), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) ranged between 15–134 μ/L (median 32 μ/L), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) ranged between 19–98 μ/L (median 45 μ/L), and gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) ranged between 20–237 μ/L (median 57 μ/L).

The primary diseases of recipients were chronic acute liver failure in 5 cases, primary liver cancer in 5 cases, and chronic liver failure in 7 cases. The model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) scores (7–40 points) and Child-Pugh grades (A–C) were also evaluated.

**Surgery process**

After routine en bloc acquisition of the donor liver, pancreas, and both kidneys, the abdominal aorta was cut between the renal artery and the SMA, the inferior vena cava was transected below the liver and above the right renal vein, the donor pancreas and liver were preserved, and the renal junction kidney group was trimmed. The surrounding tissues, including lymphatic vessels, the hepatic plexus, and surrounding connective tissue, were carefully dissected at the hepatic pedicle at the first porta hepatis. The common bile duct, hepatic portal vein, and PHA were freed from the right to the left, respectively. The distal part of the common bile duct was transected and doubly ligated with no. 7 and 4 silk sutures, the hepatic portal vein was transected approximately 1.5–2 cm away from the outlet of the pancreas, and the PHA was freed in the direction of the pancreas. The common hepatic artery and gastroduodenal arterial arch could be observed, the arterial variation was explored, then the integrity of the arterial arch was obtained. The PHA was transected approximately 0.5 cm away from the distal end of the arterial arch, the PHA transection was closed with a 5-0atraumatic vascular suture, and the opening of the donor liver end was examined (Figure 1). If the hepatic artery was variant, the variant hepatic artery branches were divided separately (Figures 2 and 3). The SMA and its branches were obtained for liver reserve (Figure 4).

The donor liver was trimmed in vitro and revascularization was performed. After trimming the SMA and its branches and selecting the appropriate branches, the hepatic artery and SMA branches were end-to-end sutured with appropriate atraumatic vascular sutures, fixed at 4 points, then intermittently sutured. The reconstructed artery was then anastomosed end-to-end with the hepatic artery in vivo (Figures 5 and 6). If the PHA of the donor liver was not variable but short, end-to-end anastomosis was performed with the SMA and the PHA of the donor liver in vitro, and end-to-end anastomosis was performed between the SMA of the donor and the PHA of the recipient liver after the portal vein was opened (Figure 7).

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS20.0 software was used to process the data, and the measurement data of non-normal distribution was represented by the median.
Results

Of the 17 recipients, 14 were male and 3 were female, aged between 27 to 56 years. The MELD scores ranged from 1 to 35, and the Child-Pugh classifications were A to C. A total of 15 cases were modified piggyback liver transplantations, and 2 cases were classical piggyback liver transplantations. The intra-operative hemorrhagic volume was 300–6,000 mL, blood transfusion volume was 800–10,955 mL, warm ischemia time was 0 min, cold ischemia time was 5–8 hours, and the anhepatic period was 32–57 min, with an average of 44.5 min. The hospital stays ranged between 34–120 days, with an average of 61.3 days, and the ICU stays ranged between 1–8 days, with an average of 2.83 days. The patients were followed up for 1–96 months, and the prognosis was good. The color Doppler ultrasound of the transplanted liver showed that there were no obvious abnormalities of the blood flow signal of the hepatic artery. The computed tomography angiography (CTA) of the transplanted liver showed that the direction of the transplanted hepatic artery was normal, and the blood flow filling was normal (Figure 8). Postoperative bilirubin and transaminase levels decreased steadily (Figures 9-11). One patient developed hepatic artery stenosis (HAS) 3 months after surgery, and the stenotic segment disappeared after stent placement, whilst all other patients had no vascular complications. All patients had no biliary complications, and no acute/chronic rejection occurred.

Discussion

Good arterial perfusion is important for maintaining the function of the transplanted liver and reducing biliary complications. The incidence of hepatic artery complications has been reported in the literature to range from 2% to 9% in adult recipients and 7% to 26% in
pediatric recipients (1,2). They mainly include hepatic artery thrombosis (HAT), HAS, as well as pseudoaneurysms (3,4). The hepatic arterial system is the most important source of the intrahepatic and extrahepatic biliary system and anastomosis in recipients. Therefore, abnormal hepatic artery hemodynamics after liver transplantation will directly lead to ischemic-type biliary lesions (ITBL), significantly increasing the occurrence of fatal biliary complications. Hernandez et al. (5) reported that out of 300 cases of liver transplantation, 12 cases had biliary stricture with patent hepatic artery without re-transplantation, while 9 cases had biliary tree necrosis or biliary stricture caused by HAT or HAS requiring re-transplantation. Torras et al. (6) reported that 16 out of 22 cases (72.7%) of ischemic biliary stricture required re-transplantation. Therefore, abnormal hepatic artery hemodynamics are independent risk factors for biliary complications.

It is generally accepted that the surgical suture technique is an important risk factor leading to HAT (7), which is also related to immune factors, donor liver quality, ischemic time, infection, and recipient coagulation status (8,9). Yang et al. (10) reported that complex graft hepatic artery reconstruction is also one of the risk factors for HAT.

The external iliac artery of the donor has been predominantly used in previous hepatic artery or branch reconstructions, however, it increases the incidence of HAT after pulse surgery (11). Del Gaudio et al. (12) reported that the incidence of postoperative HAT was 21.8% and 8.6% in recipients who had donor iliac artery bypass to reconstruct the hepatic artery and those who did not, respectively, whilst Warner et al. (13) reported rates of 11.1% and 4.4%, respectively. We used the donor SMA to reconstruct the hepatic artery, which produced a high success rate. Furthermore, we chose to reconstruct in vitro, thus reducing the surgical difficulty of in vivo reconstruction. Our results showed that 5.9% (1/17) of recipients with hepatic artery reconstruction using the SMA developed HAS after surgery, all of which were mild and did not affect liver function. Additionally, no arterial embolism occurred, which is comparable to the incidence of HAT in non-bypass recipients.
reported in the previous literature (12,13). Therefore, our transplantation method was effective and safe.

The SMA has distinct anatomical advantages. It is an important branch of the abdominal aorta, arising from the anterior wall of the abdominal aorta between the 12th thoracic vertebra and the 2nd lumbar vertebral body, with an origin approximately 10 mm below the celiac artery and located behind the pancreas. The SMA trunk descends posterior to the pancreatieocervicopancreatic junction, accompanies the superior mesenteric vein, and passes between the lower edge of the pancreatic neck and the duodenum. It crosses down to the level of the duodenum into the root of the small bowel mesentery. Xu and Tang (14) measured the diameter of the mesenteric artery on CT images. At the level where the left renal vein drains to the inferior vena cava, the mean diameter is 6.5 mm. At the level where the left renal vein drains to the plane 10 mm above the inferior vena cava, the mean diameter is 6.7 mm. At the level where the left renal vein drains 10 mm below the inferior vena cava, the diameter is 6.3 mm. The diameter of the SMA

\[ \text{Figure 5} \text{ Reconstruction of hepatic artery using superior mesenteric artery and opening the hepatic portal vein. (A) Branches of hepatic artery anastomoses with branches of the superior mesenteric artery. (B) After opening the hepatic portal vein, the superior mesenteric artery was end-to-end anastomosed to the recipient hepatic artery.} \]

\[ \text{Figure 6} \text{ Reconstruction of hepatic artery using superior mesenteric artery and opening the hepatic portal vein. (A) Branches of hepatic artery anastomoses with branches of the superior mesenteric artery. (B) After opening the hepatic portal vein, the superior mesenteric artery was end-to-end anastomosed to the recipient hepatic artery.} \]

\[ \text{Figure 7} \text{ If the common hepatic artery of the donor liver was short, it was first extended with an end-to-end anastomosis of the mesenteric artery in vitro, then end-to-end anastomosed with the recipient hepatic artery.} \]
branches gradually decreases, and as there are many branches, they can match each hepatic artery or branch with different diameters. It therefore has advantages over the iliac artery in terms of branch matching, and also has good reproducibility.

**Conclusions**

Reconstruction of the hepatic artery with the SMA in vitro is a novel method of vascular reconstruction, which ensures blood flow of the hepatic artery, reduces the anastomosis difficulty of the arteries of the donor liver, and reduces the occurrence of vascular complications.
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