The SUMO1-E67 Interacting Loop Peptide Is an Allosteric Inhibitor of the Dipeptidyl Peptidases 8 and 9*
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Background: SUMO1 binds to an arm motif in the prolyl-peptidase DPP9, leading to allosteric activation of the peptidase.

Results: A SUMO1 peptide covering the DPP9 interaction surface inhibits DPP9 activity. Inhibition is dependent on residues in the DPP9 arm motif.

Conclusion: The SUMO1 peptide and its variants are allosteric DPP9 inhibitors.

Significance: This work highlights the potential use of peptides mimicking interaction surfaces for modulating enzyme activity.

The intracellular peptidases dipeptidyl peptidase (DPP) 8 and DPP9 are involved in multiple cellular pathways including antigen maturation, cellular homeostasis, energy metabolism, and cell viability. Previously we showed that the small ubiquitin-like protein modifier SUMO1 interacts with an armlike structure in DPP9, leading to allosteric activation of the peptidase. Here we demonstrate that the E67-interacting loop (EIL) peptide, which corresponds to the interaction surface of SUMO1 with DPP9, acts as a noncompetitive inhibitor of DPP9. Moreover, by analyzing the sensitivity of DPP9 arm mutants to the EIL peptide, we mapped specific residues in the arm that are important for inhibition by the EIL, suggesting that the peptide acts as an allosteric inhibitor of DPP9. By modifying the EIL peptide, we constructed peptide variants with more than a 1,000-fold selectivity toward DPP8 (147 nM) and DPP9 (170 nM) over DPPIV (200 μM). Furthermore, application of these peptides to cells leads to a clear inhibition of cellular prolyl peptidase activity. Importantly, in line with previous publications, inhibition of DPP9 with these novel allosteric peptidase inhibitors leads to an increase in EGF-mediated phosphorylation of Akt. This work highlights the potential use of peptides that mimic interaction surfaces for modulating enzyme activity.

Peptidases constitute 1–5% of eukaryotic genes. Remarkably, only few can cleave a peptide bond following a proline residue, because of its ridged ring structure (MEROPS Peptidase Database) (1, 2). Proteases of the S9B/dipeptidyl peptidase (DPP)2 IV family are serine amino peptidases that have the unique ability to cleave a dipeptide bond after the amino acid proline (xP).

Four active members of this family are known: DPPIV, the fibroblast activation protein α, DPP8, and DPP9 (reviewed in Refs. 1–5). Both DPPIV and fibroblast activation protein α are cell surface peptidases. Fibroblast activation protein α is expressed in embryonic tissues, is absent from adult tissue, but is expressed in tumors (6). The best characterized member of this family is DPPIV. Known DPPIV substrates include the incretin hormone glucagon-like peptide and the glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide, which are important for glucose homeostasis. Consequently, DPPIV inhibitors such as sitagliptin, saxagliptin, and vildagliptin are approved drugs for treatment of type 2 diabetes (7–10). Recently, Spagnuolo et al. (11) showed that application of vildagliptin increases the anti-leukemic activity of parthenolide, suggesting that it can be used together with parthenolide for treatment of leukemia. Surprisingly, however, the authors showed that this effect was not due to inhibition of DPPIV but rather to inhibition of its intracellular homologs: DPP8 and DPP9 (11), which share ~35% homology with DPPIV.

Our understanding of the physiological roles of the two cytosolic peptidases DPP8 and DPP9 is still developing. DPP8 and DPP9 are 57% identical, with a higher conservation in their active site, corresponding to 90% amino acid identity (12–14). Not surprisingly, DPP8 and DPP9 are very similar in their catalytic properties. However, experiments with siRNA oligonucleotides show that the physiological roles of these two enzymes do not necessarily overlap; for example, DPP9, but not DPP8, is the rate-limiting enzyme for cleavage of proline-containing peptides in all tested cell lines (15).

The first identified endogenous DPP9 substrate is the tumor epitope RU134-42 (VPYGSKFHV). Inhibition or silencing of DPP9, but not DPP8, led to increased presentation of this antigen on MHC class I alleles to cytotoxic T-cells, linking DPP9 to the MHC class I antigen presentation pathway (Ref. 15; reviewed in Refs. 16 and 17). Recently a proteomics screen performed on DPP8 or DPP9 overexpressing cells led to the identification of 29 substrates. Among these were adenylyl kinase 2 and calreticulin, suggesting a role for DPP8 and/or DPP9 in cellular homeostasis and energy metabolism (18).
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Several lines of evidence suggest that changes in the expression level or activity of DPP8 and DPP9 are critical for cell survival and cell proliferation. Silencing of DPP8 or DPP9 in cells originating from the Ewing sarcoma family of tumors decreases cell survival and induces apoptosis (19). Inhibition of DPP8 and DPP9 with vildagliptin or the DPP8/9 inhibitor 1G244 led to reduced cell viability and apoptosis of cells from acute myeloid leukemia patients and activated macrophages (11, 20). Surprisingly, the overexpression of DPP9 also induces apoptosis (21) and attenuates EGF-mediated Akt phosphorylation in human hepatoma and human embryonic kidney cells. Of note, inhibition of Akt phosphorylation was shown to depend specifically on DPP9 but not on DPP8 activity (22).

Regulation of DPP8 and DPP9 on mRNA and protein levels was previously shown. For example, higher expression of DPP8 and DPP9 mRNA are detected in inflamed lungs (23) and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (24). Additionally, DPP9 protein levels are increased during differentiation of monocytes to acute myeloid leukemia patients and activated macrophages (11, 20). Surprisingly, the overexpression of DPP9 also induces apoptosis (21) and attenuates EGF-mediated Akt phosphorylation in human hepatoma and human embryonic kidney cells. Of note, inhibition of Akt phosphorylation was shown to depend specifically on DPP9 but not on DPP8 activity (22).

In addition to regulation of expression, DPP9 is also regulated in a post-translational manner. Recently, we showed that the small ubiquitin-like protein modifier SUMO1 acts as an allosteric activator of DPP9. By binding to an armlike motif in DPP9, SUMO1 activates peptidase activity (25). Homology structure models of DPP9 predict that this armlike structure extends from an eight-bladed propeller and is located next to a large cavity leading to the catalytic pocket in the hydrolase domain of DPP9 (26, 27). Mutations or deletions of this arm structure lead to reduced activity (25, 28), whereas SUMO1 binding to the arm leads to positive activation of DPP9 (25).

Here we asked whether it is possible to prevent allosteric activation of DPP9 by interfering with the SUMO1-DPP9 interaction. For this, we took advantage of a short peptide in SUMO1, the E67-interacting loop (EIL), which covers the association surface on the SUMO1 side and which can displace SUMO1 from preformed DPP9-SUMO1 and DPP8-SUMO1 complexes (25).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture—HEK293T and HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1% l-glutamine. HEK293T cells were transfected at a confluence of 50–60% in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/ml of HA peptide in the same buffer. Immunopurified DPP9 was added to the assay mixture and the reaction was then stopped by the addition of 25 nM DPP9. To test for inhibition, 10 μM peptide inhibitor (SLRFYEG) was added. All reactions were performed in TB supplemented with 0.2% Tween 20 and acidification (1/100 (v/v) in 1% formic acid, 2% acetonitrile) followed by 1/50 (v:v) in 0.1% formic acid, 2% acetonitrile.

Peptidase Activity Assay by LC/MS/MS—For cleavage of natural DPP9 substrates, 250 μM of peptide substrate, the RU1 peptide (VPYGSFKHV) or the adenylate kinase 2 peptide (APSVPAAEPEYPKGIR) were incubated alone or in the presence of 25 nM DPP9. To test for inhibition, 10 μM peptide inhibitor (SLRFYEG) was added. All reactions were performed in TB supplemented with 0.2% Tween 20. The reactions were stopped at 0-, 15-, 45-, and 120-min time points by a 1/5,000 dilution and acidification (1/100 (v/v) in 1% formic acid, 2% acetonitrile followed by 1/50 (v:v) in 0.1% formic acid, 2% acetonitrile. The resulting samples were analyzed on a nanoLC425 nanoflow chromatography system coupled to a TripleTOF 5600 Plus mass spectrometer of QqToF geometry (both AB SCIEX). In short, 5 μl of sample were preconcentrated on a self-packed reversed phase C18 precolumn (Reprosil C18-AQ; pore size, 120 Å; particle size, 3 μm; length, 20 cm; inner diameter, 0.075 cm) using a 10-min linear gradient (5 to 35% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid modifier; flow rate, 300 nl/min; column temperature, 45 °C) followed by a 5-min high organic cleaning step and a 15-min column re-equilibration. The eluent was introduced to the mass spectrom-
eter using a Nanospray 3 ion source and Desolvol Chamber Interface (AB SCIEX) via a commercial Fused Silica tip (FS360-20-10-N-C15; New Objective) at a spray voltage of 2.5 kV, a sheath gas setting of 15, and an interface heater temperature of 150 °C. The MS acquisition cycle consisted of a 500-ms TOF MS survey scan that was used for profiling of substrate and product concentrations followed by data-dependent triggering of up to five 75-ms TOF product ion spectra to confirm the identity of detected analytes. Data analysis was performed using Analyst TF 1.6 and PeakView 1.2.1 software (AB SCIEX). Analyses were performed in quadruplicate with blank injections interspersed between replicate sets.

Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR)—Analysis was performed on a Reicert SPR Biosensor SR 7500 C instrument, using Ni\textsuperscript{2+} chelator chips (NiHC 1000 m) from Xantec Bioanalytics. Measurements were performed at a flow rate of 40 \( \mu \text{L} / \text{min} \) at 20 °C. After conditioning of the surface with 250 \( \mu \text{L} \) of 0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.5, and washing with immobilization buffer (10 mM Hepes, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.005% Tween 20, 50 \( \mu \text{M} \) SUMO1-EIL peptide (SLRFLFEGQRIADNH) or SUMO1-control peptide (SSEIHFKVKMTTHLK). Lysates were immediately prepared for hydrolisis of GP-AMC and KP-AMC (Fig. 1, A and B). This effect was specific for the EIL and not observed in the presence of a control peptide also originating from SUMO (SSEIHFKVKMTTHLK) but not participating in the DPP9-SUMO1 interaction (25). Importantly, the EIL did not inhibit the hydrolisys of R-AMC or AAC-AMC, which are not substrates for DPP enzymes (Fig. 1, C and D). Taken together, these results show for the first time that the EIL specifically inhibits prolyl peptidase activity in cell lysates.

The SUMO1 EIL Peptide Is a Novel Noncompetitive Inhibitor of DPP8 and DPP9—To further study the inhibitory mechanism of the EIL-peptide, we tested its effect on purified recombinant DPP9 in complex with SUMO1. In line with our previous results, DPP9 was activated by 25 \( \mu \text{M} \) SUMO1, as measured by a 2.5% increase in GP-AMC hydrolysis (Fig. 2A) (25). To reverse this effect, the activity of the SUMO1-DPP9 complex was measured in the presence of 27 or 55 \( \mu \text{M} \) EIL peptide. Under these conditions, we could not observe activation of DPP9 by SUMO1 (Fig. 2A). Surprisingly, in the presence of the EIL peptide, DPP9 activity was lower compared with the activity of DPP9 alone (Fig. 2A, Mock), suggesting that the inhibitory effect of the EIL peptide is higher than expected solely because of the dissociation of the SUMO1-DPP9 complex (Fig. 2A).

The inhibition kinetics of DPP9 by the EIL indicates that in the presence of a 25% increase in GP-AMC hydrolysis (Fig. 2A) (25). To reverse this effect, the activity of the SUMO1-DPP9 complex was measured in the presence of 27 or 55 \( \mu \text{M} \) EIL peptide. Under these conditions, we could not observe activation of DPP9 by SUMO1 (Fig. 2A). Surprisingly, in the presence of the EIL peptide, DPP9 activity was lower compared with the activity of DPP9 alone (Fig. 2A, Mock), suggesting that the inhibitory effect of the EIL peptide is higher than expected solely because of the dissociation of the SUMO1-DPP9 complex (Fig. 2A). Moreover, as shown in Fig. 2B, the EIL inhibits recombinant DPP9, also in the absence of SUMO1 (Fig. 2B). We tested for direct binding between the EIL and DPP9 using SPR experiments. Using this setup, we verified direct association of the EIL with DPP9. As shown in Fig. 1, incubation of cell lysates with the EIL peptide leads to a clear reduction in the capacity of these lysates to hydrolize artificial DPP substrates such as GP-AMC and KP-AMC (Fig. 1, A and B). This effect was specific for the EIL and not observed in the presence of a control peptide also originating from SUMO (SSEIHFKVKMTTHLK) but not participating in the DPP9-SUMO1 interaction (25). Importantly, the EIL did not inhibit the hydrolisys of R-AMC or AAC-AMC, which are not substrates for DPP enzymes (Fig. 1, C and D). Taken together, these results show for the first time that the EIL specifically inhibits prolyl peptidase activity in cell lysates.
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FIGURE 2. The EIL peptide is a noncompetitive inhibitor of DPP8 and DPP9. A–H. His-tagged recombinant enzymes purified from insect cells. All experiments described were performed at least three times, in triplicate. A, DPP9 (25 nM) was incubated with SUMO1 (2.5 μM). Following complex formation, the EIL peptide was added (27 or 55 μM), and DPP9 was tested for the hydrolysis of GP-AMC. B, shown is a Michaelis-Menten analysis for 25 nM DPP9. Ki values were calculated in the absence or presence of varying concentrations of the EIL peptide, assuming noncompetitive inhibition. Black lines show observed binding, and thin solid lines show global fit of obtained data using kinetic parameters kₐ and kₐ. The outstanding peak marked by an asterisk corresponds to a pump refill during the measurement. D, equilibrium binding analysis of DPP9 and EIL at 20 °C. The equilibrium binding constant (Kₑ) was obtained using a nonlinear curve fit. E, activity of the DPP9 SUMO-binding arm mutant V285A was measured as in B. F, activity of DPP8 was measured as in B. G, activity of DPPIV was measured as in B. H, table summarizing the Kᵢ values for inhibition by the EIL peptide.

for its interaction with SUMO1 (15). In line with the importance of Val-285 for interaction with SUMO1, the DPP9 V285A mutant is also less sensitive to the EIL peptide, as measured by an increased Kᵢ value of 10.36 μM compared with 5.4 μM for the wild-type enzyme (Fig. 2E). In line with our previous findings showing that both DPP8 and DPP9 interact with SUMO1, here we find that DPP8 is also inhibited by the EIL, to a similar extent as DPP9, with a Kᵢ value of 5.6 μM (Fig. 2F). On the other hand, DPPIV is significantly less sensitive to the EIL, with a Kᵢ value of 90 μM (Fig. 2, G and H). Taken together, these results show that the EIL peptide, originating from SUMO1, is a novel, noncompetitive inhibitor of DPP9, which relies on Val-285 in the arm motif. The inhibitor shows selectivity for DPP8 and DPP9 over DPPIV.

TABLE 1 Mapping of residues in the DPP9 arm that affect the enzymatic activity or the sensitivity to the EIL

| Protein | Kᵢ | Kₑ | Kᵢ/Kₑ |
|---------|----|----|-------|
| DPP9    | 587.2 | 55.5 | 10.6 | 4.2 |
| DPP9 Y277A | 126.4 | 40.7 | 3.1 | 4.4 |
| DPP9 E278A/E279A | Inactive | Inactive | Inactive | ND |
| DPP9 D281A/E282A | Inactive | Inactive | Inactive | ND |
| DPP9 V285A | 310.4 | 158.9 | 1.9 | 10.4 |
| DPP9 E286A | 371.1 | 60.4 | 6.2 | 4.5 |
| DPP9 I289A | 346.1 | 40.4 | 8.6 | 4.1 |
| DPP9 H290A | 270.9 | 50.9 | 5.3 | 3.9 |
| DPP9 V290A | 324.7 | 39.5 | 8.2 | 4.0 |
| DPP9 S292A | 146.6 | 47.3 | 3.1 | 4.5 |
| DPP9 L295A | 712.4 | 80.1 | 8.9 | 6.5 |
| DPP9 E296A/E297A | 718.4 | 66.1 | 10.9 | 4.5 |
| DPP9 K299A | 434.8 | 98.8 | 4.4 | 6.3 |
| DPP9 K299A | 273.4 | 55.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| DPP9 D301A | 414.8 | 244.2 | 1.7 | 13.9 |
| DPP9 Y303D | 610.4 | 68.9 | 8.9 | 9.3 |
| DPP9 Y305D | 87.9 | 865.2 | 0.1 | 4.0 |
| DPP9 Y305A | 243.4 | 798.9 | 0.3 | 4.5 |

Mapping of Residues in the Arm Important for DPP9 Sensitivity to the EIL: Leu-295 and Tyr-303—DPP9 V285A mutant shows reduced sensitivity to the EIL peptide but is also impaired in catalytic activity. The Kᵢ value of V285A mutant is 310 s⁻¹ compared with the wild-type enzyme with a Kᵢ value of 608 s⁻¹ (Fig. 2, B and E). We asked whether there are residues that when mutated they are less sensitive to the EIL without impairing DPP9 enzyme activity. For this, we constructed several DPP9 arm mutants, which we expressed and purified from HEK293T cells. All mutants were first tested for enzymatic activity. Of note, wild-type DPP9 purified from HEK293T cells showed a slightly reduced Kᵢ (4.2 μM) compared with a recombinant DPP9 expressed and purified from insect cells (5.4 μM). Therefore, all mutants purified from HEK293T cells were compared in their sensitivity to the wild-type protein, purified in the same way, also from HEK293T cells. As shown in Table 1, most of the DPP9 arm mutants were less active compared with the wild-type enzyme, however, to a different extent. Strongest reduction in activity was measured for DPP9 variants mutated in Leu-295, Arg-298, and Tyr-303—DPP9 Y305D mutant showed reduced sensitivity to the EIL peptide. ND, not determined.
impaired in their catalytic activity (Table 1 and Fig. 3, bottom left and right panels). These results demonstrate the importance of selective residues in the DPP9 arm for sensitivity to the EIL peptide, with only a minor effect on general enzyme kinetics.

**Improved DPP8 and DPP9 Inhibition by Truncated EIL Variants Peptides**—To increase the inhibitory effect on DPP8 and DPP9, we designed a peptide library containing truncated versions of the EIL peptide (Table 2 and data not shown). In this screen, recombinant DPP8 and DPP9 were tested for the hydrolysis of GP-AMC in the presence or absence of the peptide variant. Shortening of the EIL peptide from the amino terminus by removal of the first serine strongly reduced the inhibitory effect of the peptide variant toward both DPP8 and DPP9, showing more than 80% residual activity compared with control reactions in the absence of an inhibitory peptide. On the other hand, peptide variants shortened from the carboxyl terminus were more effective inhibitors compared with the EIL. A peptide with the minimal sequence SLRFLFEG was most effective in inhibition of both DPP8 ($K_i$ of 4 $\mu$M) and DPP9 ($K_i$ = 1.3 $\mu$M) compared with the EIL peptide ($K_i$ = 5.4 $\mu$M) (Table 2 and Fig. 4A).

In a subsequent step, we modified the SLRFLFEG peptide to increase the efficiency of inhibition (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, two peptide variants, SLRFLWEG and SLRFLYEG, displayed a 4–4.5-fold higher selectivity toward DPP8 over DPP9. Of all tested peptides, the most efficient inhibitor was SLRFLYEG, with $K_i$ values of 0.147 and 0.17 $\mu$M for DPP8 and DPP9, respectively, more than 30-fold lower compared with the inhibition of DPP8 and DPP9 with EIL peptide (Fig. 4B and C). Surprisingly, replacing Phe in position 4 by a Tyr, strongly impaired the inhibitory effect of SLRFLYEG (Fig. 4A), showing more than 130- and 170-fold decreases in inhibition of DPP8 and DPP9, respectively, compared with the SLRFLFEG.

Importantly, SLRFLWEG and SLRFLYEG show a significant increase not only in efficiency but also in selectivity toward DPP8 and DPP9, compared with DPPIV. Whereas the $K_i$ values for DPP8 and DPP9 are in the nanomolar range, DPPIV is inhibited by both peptides with a $K_i$ higher than 200 $\mu$M (Fig. 4, B–D). Therefore, the selectivity toward DPP8 and DPP9 by both peptides is more than a 1000-fold compared with DPPIV. For comparison, the EIL peptide showed only a 16-fold selectivity toward DPP8 and DPP9.

**SLRFLYEG Inhibits the Processing of Natural DPP9 Substrates**—Because DPP9 kinetics described so far were performed on the artificial GP-AMC substrate, next we tested whether SLRFLYEG also affects the cleavage of natural DPP9 substrates. For this, we analyzed the processing of RU134–42, an antigen peptide (VPYGSFKHV), which we previously showed to be an endogenous DPP9 substrate (15). As shown in Fig. 5, mass spectrometry analysis demonstrates that DPP9 removes two residues (VP) from the RU134–42 peptide in a time-dependent manner. Importantly, processing of the RU134–42 Peptide by DPP9 is clearly inhibited in the presence of SLRFLYEG, compared with control samples containing DPP9 and RU134–42 alone (Fig. 5A). In addition, we also assayed the cleavage of an amino-terminal peptide originating from adenylate kinase 2 (APSVPAAEPEYPKGIR), which was recently identified in a proteomics screen for DPP9 substrates and shown to be processed in vitro by recombinant DPP9 (18). As shown in Fig. 5B, the processing of the adenylate kinase 2 by DPP9 is also clearly inhibited by the SLRFLYEG peptide (Fig. 5B). Taken together, these results show that the SLRFLYEG peptide is a novel DPP9 inhibitor, affecting the processing of not only artificial substrates but also of natural DPP9 substrates.

**Inhibition of Endogenous DPP8 and DPP9 Activity by the SLRFLYEG and Its Variants**—Having shown that SLRFLYEG and its variants are novel allosteric DPP8 and DPP9 inhibitors, we next asked whether these could be applied as a tool for inhibition of DPP8 and DPP9 activity in intact cells.

To deliver these peptide inhibitors into cells, we took advantage of Pep-1, (KETWETWETWESQPKKKRKV), a well characterized carrier peptide. Pep-1 is known to improve the translocation of its cargo, through the plasma membrane, leav-
ing the biochemical properties of the cargo unchanged (Ref. 29; reviewed in Refs. 30 and 31). This method allows us to deliver the SLRFLYEG peptide variants to a comparable efficiency and compensate for the differences in the Grand average hydrophobicity. To test for inhibition of DPP8 and DPP9 activity in the cytosol, cells were treated for 30 min with SLRFLYEG inhibitory peptides complexed with Pep-1. The cells were then lysed, and the capacity of the cytosolic extracts to cleave the model substrate GP-AMC was analyzed. Using this setup, we detect a 30% drop in the cytosolic prolyl peptidase activity in cells exposed to 5 mM SLRFLYEG compared with the control cells treated with Pep-1 alone (Fig. 6A). A similar decrease in cytosolic prolyl-peptidase activity was also achieved for cells incubated with 5 mM SLRFLYAG and SLRFLWEG (Fig. 6, B and C). For control, we treated cells with SLRYLYEG, which is less efficient in inhibition of DPP8 and DPP9 as measured by higher Ki values compared with SLRFLYEG (Fig. 4A). To compensate for the lower inhibition efficiency, we treated cells with 10 mM inhibitor peptide instead of 5 mM. Under these conditions, exposure of cells to SLRYLYEG caused a 20% drop in cytosolic prolyl peptidase activity compared with control cells (Fig. 6D).

Taken together, these results validate the inhibition of endogenous DPP8 and DPP9 by SLRFLYEG, SLRFLYAG, and SLRFLWEG. Because inhibition of cytosolic prolyl peptidase activity was observed already by treating cells with 5 mM SLRFLYEG, it is highly unlikely that the inhibition results from unspecific inhibition of the extracellular peptidase DPPIV, which shows a Ki value in the low micromolar range. Furthermore, by designing and modifying shorter variants of the EIL peptide, we increased the efficiency of inhibition. The most effective inhibitor we obtained was SLRFLYEG with Ki values of 147 nM for DPP8 and 170 nM for DPP9, a more than 35-fold increase in efficiency compared with the EIL. Importantly, we verified that treating DPP9 with SLRFLYEG inhibits not only the degradation of artificial (GP-AMC) substrates but also the degradation of a peptide originating from adenylate kinase 3, which was recently identified as a DPP9 substrate candidate in a large proteomics screen, and the

DISCUSSION

Previously we showed that the arm of DPP9 is an important site for allosteric regulation of the peptidase and identified SUMO1 as the first allosteric activator of DPP9 (25). As a continuation of this work, here we show that the SUMO1-EIL peptide, which covers the interaction surface of SUMO1 with DPP9, inhibits both DPP9 and DPP8, with Ki values in the low micromolar range. Furthermore, by designing and modifying shorter variants of the EIL peptide, we increased the efficiency of inhibition. The most effective inhibitor we obtained was SLRFLYEG, with Ki values of 147 nM for DPP8 and 170 nM for DPP9, a more than 35-fold increase in efficiency compared with the EIL. Importantly, we verified that treating DPP9 with SLRFLYEG inhibits not only the degradation of artificial (GP-AMC) substrates but also the degradation of a peptide originating from adenylate kinase 3, which was recently identified as a DPP9 substrate candidate in a large proteomics screen, and the
degradation of the endogenous DPP9 substrate, the RU1\textsubscript{34–42} antigenic peptide.

Enzyme kinetics shows that the EIL and its variants act as noncompetitive inhibitors. Furthermore, we mapped residues in the DPP9 arm, which are important for sensitivity of the enzyme to the EIL and SLRFLYEG. In our analysis of the DPP9-SUMO1 interaction, we previously identified residues in the DPP9 arm motif of DPP9 as being important for interaction with SUMO1, most prominently Val-285. In line with this, the DPP9\textsubscript{V285A} is also less sensitive to the inhibitory effect of the
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**FIGURE 5.** SLRFLYEG inhibits the cleavage of native DPP9 substrates, assayed by nanoLC/MS/MS. **A,** synthetic RU1 peptide (VPYGSKHVal) was incubated either alone (row a), with 25 nM DPP9 (row b), or with 25 nM DPP9 and 10 \( \mu \)M EIL peptide inhibitor SLRFLYEG (row c). Aliquots were taken at 0-, 15-, 45-, and 120-min time points, the enzymatic reactions were stopped by dilution/acidification, and the resulting samples were analyzed by high resolution liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. All mass spectrometry analysis were performed in quadruplicate. Representative experiments per time point are shown. The panels show extracted ion chromatograms for both substrate VPYGSFKHV (labeled S, [M +2H]\textsubscript{2+} m/z 517.2769; retention time, 12.3 min) and product YGSFKHV (labeled P, [M +2H]\textsubscript{2+} m/z 419.2163; retention time, 11.4 min; trace offset by 4%). The identity of the product peak was established both by accurate mass measurement within 5 ppm and by product ion spectra (data not shown). Small peaks were observed at all time points for product P and attributed to peptide synthesis side products (11.4 min). **B,** synthetic adenylate kinase 2 (AK2) peptide (APSVPAAEPEYPKGIR) was incubated either alone (row a), with 25 nM DPP9 (row b), or with 25 nM DPP9 and 10 \( \mu \)M EIL peptide inhibitor SLRFLYEG (row c). Aliquots were taken and analyzed as described for A. The panels show extracted ion chromatograms for both substrate APSVPAAEPEYPKGIR (labeled S, [M +3H]\textsubscript{3+} m/z 561.3018; retention time, 12.3 min; trace offset by 4%) and product SVPAAEPEYPKGIR (labeled P, [M +3H]\textsubscript{3+} m/z 505.2718; retention time, 12.3 min; trace offset by 4%). The identity of the product peak was established both by accurate mass measurement within 5 ppm and by product ion spectra (data not shown). Small peaks were observed at all time points for product P and attributed to peptide synthesis side products (12.3 min). **Row c** clearly demonstrates the dipeptidylpeptidase activity of DPP9 on the substrate, whereas **row c** shows inhibition by the EIL peptide.
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EIL-SUMO1 peptide. However, whereas DPP9V285A completely loses interaction with the full-length SUMO1 protein, it does not completely lose its sensitivity to the SUMO1-EIL peptide, as measured by a 2-fold increase in $K_i$ value, suggesting a 50% drop in affinity. This difference implies that the interaction of the isolated EIL peptide with DPP9 is not identical to the interaction of full-length SUMO1 with DPP9 and may result from a slightly altered conformation of the EIL as an isolated peptide compared with its structure in the folded SUMO1 protein. For example, solved structures of SUMO1 show that serine 61, leucine 62, and phenylalanine 64 of SUMO1 are buried in the full-length protein. However, they may be exposed in the EIL peptide and thus affect the interaction with DPP9. In contrast to DPP9V285A mutant, which is impaired in activity, the DPP9 variant mutated at Tyr-303 is only slightly impaired in enzymatic activity, as measured by $K_{cat}$ and $K_m$ values. Nonetheless, the DPP9Y303 mutant also loses its sensitivity to the EIL peptide, showing a 2-fold increase in $K_i$ compared with the wild-type enzyme, thus unlinking intrinsic enzymatic activity from interaction with the peptide. In summary, inhibition of DPP9 by the EIL peptide and its variants is dependent on single residues in the DPP9 arm. Taken together, these results suggest that the EIL and its variants act as novel allosteric inhibitors of DPP9. Crystal structures of DPP9 in complex with the EIL or SLRFLYEG will provide more details regarding the exact residues that participate in this interaction and a better understanding of the mechanism leading to DPP9 inhibition by these peptides.

The analysis of the activity of multiple point mutation in the DPP9 arm stresses the role of the arm for enzymatic activity. Single and double point mutations in the DPP9 arm, such as Glu-278, Glu-279, Asp-281, Glu-282, and Tyr-305, lead to a

**FIGURE 6. Inhibition of endogenous DPP8 and DPP9 by SLRFLYEG and its variants in intact cells.** A–D, inhibitory EIL peptide variant. SLRLXG was complexed with the carrier peptide (Pep-1). HeLa cells were incubated for 30 min at 37 °C with a complex containing the SLRLXG inhibitory peptide and the Pep-1 carrier peptide. Cytosolic extracts were then tested for hydrolysis of GP-AMC over time. All experiments described were performed at least three independent times. A, 5 μM SLRLFLYEG was complexed with the carrier peptide Pep-1. For control, HeLa cells were incubated with Pep-1 only. B, same as in A but with SLRFYAG. C, same as in A but with SLRFLWEG. D, same as in A but with but with 10 μM SLRFLYEG. E, HeLa cells were incubated for 25 min with the Pep-1-SLRFLYEG complex at 37 °C, followed by a 5-min induction with EGF (10 ng/ml). Samples were immediately lysed in sample buffer and analyzed by Western blotting with specific antibodies. F, same as in E, but with the SLRFLYAG peptide.
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strong impairment in peptidase activity. A DPP9 homology model (26) predicts that these amino acids are located to the inner edge of the arm, on the hinge connecting the arm to the propeller. The predicted localization to the hinge suggests that the reduced enzymatic activity may result from a change in the molecular localization of the arm and may imitate a natural dynamic or movement in this region. Thus, the arm of DPP9 is an important motif for enzymatic activity and for allosteric regulation of the peptidase.

All inhibitors described so far are competitive and target both DPP8 and DPP9 (32–36). The best selectivity was described recently by Van Goethem et al. (36), who systematically dissected and modified the DPP8/9 inhibitor 1G244 to increase the selectivity of the inhibitor toward DPP8. This analysis led to identification of compound 12n, which showed a 10-fold selectivity toward DPP8 when measuring IC_{50} values and a 6.4 selectivity for DPP8 over DPP9 in K_{i} values (36). Allosteric inhibitors are emerging as a promising alternative approach to competitive inhibitors, which are more prone to off-target side effects caused by the high conservation of the catalytic sites (37–39). Amino acid alignment analysis of DPP8, DPP9, and DPPIV shows a lower conservation of the arm compared with the active site of the DPP enzymes. DPP8 and DPP9 share 72% similarity in the arm, compared with catalytic pockets, which is 90% identical. Here we observed that an exchange of single amino acids in the EIL peptide variants can give a preference for inhibition of DPP8 over DPP9. For example, SLRFLWEG possesses 4.5-fold selectivity for DPP8 over DPP9, as measured in K_{i} values. We propose that further modifications of the allosteric peptide inhibitors described here, such as SLRFLWEG, are promising leads for the development of inhibitors with higher selectivity toward DPP8 or DPP9, to circumvent the problem posed by the high conservation in the catalytic domain of DPP8 and DPP9 (27, 36). Furthermore, the conservation of the arm motif is even lower for DPPIV, which shares only ~28% similarity with DPP8 and DPP9 in the arm motif. In line with this, SLRFLYEG possesses more than 1,000-fold selectivity for DPP8 and DPP9 over DPPIV. For comparison, vildagliptin, which is an approved drug for treatment of diabetes type II, displays only a 32-fold selectivity toward DPPIV over DPP9, with K_{i} values of 3 and 95 nm, respectively (40).

Importantly, here we show that the SLRXLXXG peptide variants can be used to inhibit DPP8 and DPP9 in intact cells, in combination with Pep-1 (Ref. 29; reviewed in Refs. 30 and 31). Using this method, application of SLRFLYEG, SLRFLWEG, and SLRFLYAG leads to a significant and comparable reduction of ~30% in cytosolic prolyl-peptidase activity. Apart from the SLRXLXXG peptides described here, the only DPP8/9 inhibitor that was clearly shown to penetrate the cell membrane is 1G244 (35). In this case, the addition of 1.6 or 8 μM of 1G244 to cells for 6 h resulted in a 40 and 60% reduction in cellular DPP activity, respectively (35). For comparison, in combination with Pep-1, the application of 5 μM SLRFLYEG or SLRFLYAG results in a 30% reduction of cellular DPP activity, already after 30 min of incubation.

Furthermore, treatment of HeLa cells with SLRFLYAG or SLRFLYEG leads to an increase in EGF-mediated phosphorylation of Akt, compared with untreated cells. This effect confirms previous results obtained with a DPP8/9 inhibitor that targets the active site of these enzymes (22). However, in the experiments described here, the cells did not overexpress DPP9, showing that inhibition of endogenous DPP9 with these novel allosteric inhibitors is sufficient for modulating the Akt pathway. Therefore our results not only demonstrate the applicability of SLRFLYEG and SLRFLYAG for studying the regulation and activity of recombinant DPP8 and DPP9 but are also applicable for studies of these endogenous peptidases within cells. In summary, this work highlights the potential use of peptides that mimic interaction surfaces as tools for modulating and studying enzyme activity.
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