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Abstract

Background:

Obesity is a risk factor for several diseases related to oxidative stress, hyperlipidemia, and hyperglycemia. Several pharmacological agents have been used to treat obesity, but these commonly exhibit undesirable side effects. The aim of this study was to explore the effects of *Garcinia mangostana* (mangosteen) and *Curcuma longa* independently and synergistically in modulating selected biochemical markers of oxidative stress, dyslipidemia, and hyperglycemia commonly observed in high-fat diet-induced obesity in rodent models.

Methods:

Male albino Wistar rats were divided into eight experimental groups, fed on a normal diet or high-fat diet (HFD), then given mangosteen extract (400 mg/kg body weight/day) and/or curcumin (80 mg/kg body weight/day) for 6 weeks. Oxidative stress markers, glucose, and lipid fractions were measured in the sera.

Results:

Curcumin was found to be more effective in reducing BMI, while mangosteen extract was found to induce a significant increase in anti-atherogenic marker HDL-C, but was ineffective in reducing dyslipidemia. Mangosteen extract and curcumin effectively reduced blood glucose. Mangosteen did not exhibit any anti-oxidative effects in normal weight rats; however, it induced a significant increase in glutathione in obese rats.

Conclusion:

The present study demonstrated that mangosteen pericarp extract and curcumin were independently and synergistically effective in treating obesity-induced atherogenesis.

1. Introduction

Obesity is a complex metabolic disorder with multifactorial etiology. Obesity can lead to reduced quality of life due to numerous associated complications, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer, asthma, sleep disorders, liver and renal dysfunction, and sterility. Increasing evidence suggests that oxidative stress plays a critical role in linking obesity to these associated complications[1–3].

Recent studies have proposed that the causes of oxidative stress in obesity include hyperleptinemia, hyperglycemia, insufficient antioxidant capacity, increased free radical generation, mitochondrial dysfunction, and chronic inflammation [4,5]. Accumulating evidence also shows that the quality of diet has demonstrated a negative shift toward consumption of high-energy foods, while intake of nutrient-rich foods such as fruits and vegetables has decreased [6,7]. Such low-quality diets have been linked to a higher risk of obesity (Azizi, 2017); consequently, dietary modification is frequently recommended as the
main approach in prevention and treatment of obesity [8,9]. Many studies have also indicated that natural products such as phytochemicals in fruits and vegetables can be vital modulators of the risks accompanying obesity.

Mangosteen (Garcinia mangostana L.) fruit has a unique sweet-sour taste, and is rich in valuable compounds, such as xanthones. Mangosteen has been used in various traditional remedies to treat fever, diarrhea, and to promote wound healing. Recently, it has been used as a chief constituent in health supplements for weight loss and for supporting overall health [10], due to its well-known anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory medicinal properties [11–13]. Mangosteen pericarp contains mostly sugars (nearly 50% of total metabolites), followed by additional metabolites such as organic acids, alcohols, sugar acids, and aromatic compounds. Additionally, mangosteen pericarp contains several phenolic compounds, such as benzoic acid, tyrosol, and protocatechuic acid, which are known to have anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory effects.

Interestingly, various studies have revealed that spices such as curcumin may show efficacy in controlling weight gain or reducing obesity. Curcumin is a bioactive polyphenol component found in turmeric rhizomes [14]. Clinical effects of curcumin in reducing weight and body mass index (BMI) have not been thoroughly assessed, and the results of many studies are unreliable and contradictory. Multiple studies have reported that a bioavailable form of curcumin resulted in improved weight management in obese humans and experimental animals [15,16].

High-energy diets have been utilized to induce obesity and related metabolic disorders in rodent models; however, dietary mediation has not been absolutely standardized [17]. Usually, these diets comprise a simple exchange of carbohydrate-derived calories with fat-derived calories and are compared with a standard chow diet (SCD) as a control.

In this study, we sought to determine the potency of Garcinia mangostana pericarp extract and curcumin either independently or synergistically in modulating biochemical markers of oxidative stress, hyperlipidemia, and hyperglycemia in a high-fat diet-induced rodent model of obesity.

2. Methods

2.1. Preparation of mangosteen extract

Mangosteen fruits were obtained from a local market in Riyadh, and authenticated by a taxonomist from the Department of Botany, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh. 500 g of the dried pericarp of mangosteen was soaked in 1.5 liters of 99.7% methanol at room temperature for 72 h. Extracts were filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper, and solvent was evaporated using a rotary evaporator at 60°C. The resulting viscous concentrate was freeze-dried to ensure complete removal of solvent, and stored at −20°C for further use.
2.2. Preparation of curcumin

Fresh curcumin was obtained from a local market in Riyadh, and dried after proper cleaning using a drying oven with an air fan at 50°C for 3-5 h. Curcumin was then ground and sieved, and the remaining soft powder was stored at −80°C until further use.

2.3. Animals

Eighty male albino Wistar rats, twenty-one days old and weighing approximately 60 to 70 g, were obtained from the Experimental Surgery and Animal Laboratory of the Prince Naif Health Research Center (PNHRC). The animals were housed under normal laboratory conditions. All experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of King Saud University (reference no: KSU-SE-18-17).

2.4. Animal groups and diets

Animals were divided into eight groups of 5 animals each. Group 1 serves as the control group, as animals were fed a normal diet. Group 2 received a normal diet and 400 mg/kg body weight/day water extract of mangosteen pericarp for 6 weeks. Group 3 rats received a normal diet and curcumin at 80 mg/kg body weight/day for 6 weeks. Group 4 received a normal diet and both mangostine pericarp extract (400 mg/kg body weight/day) and curcumin (80 mg/kg body weight/day) for 6 weeks. Group 5 was fed with a high-fat diet (HFD) for 4 weeks. Group 6 rats were fed a HFD for 4 weeks followed by 400 mg/kg body weight/day water extract of mangosteen pericarp for 6 weeks. Group 7 received HFD for 4 weeks, followed by 80 mg/kg body weight/day curcumin for 6 weeks. Group 8 animals received a HFD for 4 weeks, followed by both mangosteen pericarp extract (400 mg/kg body weight/day) and curcumin (80 mg/kg body weight/day) for 6 weeks. At the end of the experiment, animals were anesthetized with 5.0 % of sevoflurane and 100 % oxygen, the flow rate of sevoflurane was determined as the following formula: Flow rate (ml/min)=0.5 × body weight (g). Blood was collected from the eye and heart using hematocrit capillaries (75 mm/75 μl). Our study was carried out in compliance with the ARRIVE guidelines.

2.5. Collection of serum

Serum was collected from blood samples by centrifugation for 15 min at 4,000 rpm. Serum was further utilized in the analysis of the following biochemical parameters.

2.5.1. Levels of serum lipid fractions
Cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL, and LDL levels were quantitatively determined using commercial kits from United Diagnostics Industry.

### 2.5.2. Levels of serum glucose

Quantitative determination of glucose levels was made via the use of Trinder glucose oxidase I activity test using a kit from, a product of United Diagnostics Industry Company.

### 2.5.3. Level of serum Oxidative stress markers

Lipid oxidation was estimated according to the method reported by Begonaruizlarrea, Maleal, Liza, Lacort & Degroot [18]. Vitamin C was estimated using the method described by Jagota & Dani [19]. Glutathione levels were evaluated per the method described by Beutler, Duron & Kelly [20]. Glutathione S-transferase (GST) activity was determined by method reported by [21].

### 2.6. Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD). To compare results between groups, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests were used. Significance was assigned at the level of $p < 0.05$. The receiver operating characteristics curve (ROC) was analyzed, and the area under the curve (AUC), cutoff values, and degrees of sensitivity and specificity were calculated.

### 3. Results

Body weight, weight gain, and BMI in normal and HFD-induced obese rats in response to mangosteen extract and curcumin are shown in Table 1. There was a significant difference between normal and obese experimental groups in weight ($p < 0.001$). While the BMI in obese controls (Group 5) reached 0.86±0.09 gm/cm$^2$, the normal diet controls (Group 1) recorded a significantly lower BMI of 0.55±0.04 gm/cm$^2$ ($p < 0.001$). Both mangosteen extract and curcumin ameliorated the increase in BMI as measure of obesity; while mangosteen pericarp extract induced a remarkable decrease in BMI (from 0.86 to 0.81 gm/cm$^2$), curcuma either alone or in combination was more effective, as BMIs were reduced to 0.78 and 0.79 gm/cm$^2$, respectively.

Levels of malondialdehyde (MDA) serve as a marker of lipid peroxidation and oxidative stress. Glutathione (GSH) levels, GST activity, and vitamin C levels also serve as markers of anti-oxidative capacity. Unexpectedly, obese controls did not show any significant increase in MDA levels or decrease in GSH levels, GST activity, or vitamin C levels (Table 2). While mangosteen pericarp extract did not affect these antioxidant markers in normal weight rats, it induced a significant increase of GSH in obese rats (123.86 ± 15.53 μg/ml vs 288.72 ± 121.37 μg/ml). There was also a significant increase in GST activity in rats treated with both mangosteen extract and curcumin ($p < 0.016$), although independently these
compounds did not achieve a significant effect. On the other hand, vita min C levels did not demonstrate any change either in HFD or in treated animals (Table 2).

Dyslipidemia was measured by blood cholesterol, TAG, LDL-C, and HDL-C levels. Obese rats displayed significantly higher cholesterol, TAG, and LDL-C levels, and non-significantly lower HDL-C levels (Table 3). While mangosteen pericarp extract was ineffective in reducing dyslipidemia-related markers (total and LDL-C), it did induce a significant increase in HDL-C, an anti-atherogenic marker ($p \geq 0.026$).

Obese rats also had higher total cholesterol/HDL-C and HDL-C/LDL-C values, clinically used measures that indicate a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. While normal weight rats recorded ratios of $1.52 \pm 0.28$ and $4.62 \pm 1.21$, respectively, obese rats recorded much higher total cholesterol/HDL-C ($3.02 \pm 0.78$) concomitant with much lower HDL-C/LDL-C ($1.15 \pm 0.73$) ratios (Table 4). Serum glucose was also measured in the eight experimental groups. While HFD-induced obesity did not lead to elevation of blood glucose, mangosteen pericarp extract was effective in reducing glucose levels in both normal weight and obese animals (Table 4).

Correlations between all measured markers were also calculated. Negative correlations were observed between BMI, antioxidant status (GSH levels), and HDL-cholesterol (Table 5). BMI was also positively correlated with dyslipidemia-related markers CHOL, LDL, TAG, and CHOL/HDL-C.

ROC and AUC were calculated to measure specificity and sensitivity in the four obese groups. Among the measured variables, BMI was the most efficient variable either to predict obesity in HFD-fed mice or the therapeutic potency of curcumin and mangosteen (Table 6). The other measured variables demonstrated fair ($0.5 – 0.65$), good ($0.7-0.85$), and excellent ($0.85-1$) predictive values with relative specificity and sensitivity (Table 6).

Multiple regression analysis was also performed using GSH levels as the dependent variable. Interestingly, we observed that MDA as measure of oxidative stress together with dyslipidemia-related independent variables greatly contributed to GSH depletion.
Table 1
Independent and synergistic effects of curcumin and mangosteen extracts on BMI of lean and HFD-induced obese rats.

| Parameters | Groups          | Mean ± SD     | p-value a | p-value b |
|------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| BW (g)     | Control lean    | 306.80 ± 32.38|           |           |
|            | Mangosteen lean | 341.00 ± 70.84| 0.355     |           |
|            | Curcumin lean   | 360.00 ± 52.56| 0.090     |           |
|            | Synergistic lean| 317.00 ± 15.67| 0.544     |           |
|            | Control obese   | 528.40 ± 33.92| 0.001     |           |
|            | Mangosteen obese| 504.00 ± 14.85| 0.006     | 0.179     |
|            | Curcumin obese  | 524.00 ± 21.63| 0.001     | 0.813     |
|            | Synergistic obese| 464.00 ± 38.19| 0.001     | 0.023     |
| Weight gain (g) | Control lean    | 152.00 ± 30.83|           |           |
|            | Mangosteen lean | 210.80 ± 72.81| 0.153     |           |
|            | Curcumin lean   | 207.20 ± 46.40| 0.058     |           |
|            | Synergistic lean| 207.00 ± 13.25| 0.006     |           |
|            | Control obese   | 458.60 ± 35.66| 0.001     |           |
|            | Mangosteen obese| 405.00 ± 16.67| 0.003     | 0.016     |
|            | Curcumin obese  | 411.20 ± 21.05| 0.001     | 0.034     |
|            | Synergistic obese| 392.00 ± 38.63| 0.001     | 0.022     |
| BMI (g/cm²) | Control lean    | 0.55 ± 0.04   |           |           |
|            | Mangosteen lean | 0.61 ± 0.06   | 0.102     |           |
|            | Curcumin lean   | 0.65 ± 0.09   | 0.065     |           |
|            | Synergistic lean| 0.57 ± 0.02   | 0.423     |           |
|            | Control obese   | 0.86 ± 0.09   | 0.001     |           |
|            | Mangosteen obese| 0.81 ± 0.06   | 0.001     | 0.360     |
|            | Curcumin obese  | 0.78 ± 0.03   | 0.030     | 0.105     |
|            | Synergistic obese| 0.79 ± 0.07   | 0.001     | 0.259     |
a Significant difference between normal weight and obese rats in each group (Control, Mangosteen, Curcumin, and Synergistic)

b Significant difference between each group and respective normal weight or obese control groups
Table 2
Independent and synergistic effects of curcumin and mangosteen extract on oxidative stress- and antioxidant-related markers in lean and HFD-induced obese rats.

| Parameters       | Groups          | Mean ± SD  | p-value a | p-value b |
|------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| MDA (µg/ml)      | Control lean    | 108.95 ± 12.54 |           |           |
|                  | Mangosteen lean | 100.18 ± 0.98  | 0.193    |           |
|                  | Curcumin lean   | 94.77 ± 8.12   | 0.067    |           |
|                  | Synergistic lean| 93.17 ± 11.36  | 0.071    |           |
|                  | Control obese   | 94.97 ± 13.70  | 0.131    |           |
|                  | Mangosteen obese| 81.21 ± 23.90  | 0.114    | 0.296    |
|                  | Curcumin obese  | 94.73 ± 24.01  | 0.997    | 0.984    |
|                  | Synergistic obese| 101.98 ± 9.61 | 0.222    | 0.377    |
| GSH (µg/ml)      | Control lean    | 148.31 ± 36.42 |           |           |
|                  | Mangosteen lean | 123.86 ± 15.53 | 0.205    |           |
|                  | Curcumin lean   | 125.11 ± 16.23 | 0.230    |           |
|                  | Synergistic lean| 162.51 ± 47.20 | 0.609    |           |
|                  | Control obese   | 168.50 ± 20.49 | 0.312    |           |
|                  | Mangosteen obese| 288.72 ± 121.37| 0.017    | 0.047    |
|                  | Curcumin obese  | 140.94 ± 8.25  | 0.088    | 0.024    |
|                  | Synergistic obese| 152.84 ± 36.07| 0.726    | 0.423    |
| GST activity (µ/ml)| Control lean     | 1.35 ± 0.42   |           |           |
|                  | Mangosteen lean | 1.73 ± 0.39   | 0.115    |           |
|                  | Curcumin lean   | 1.23 ± 0.27   | 0.599    |           |
|                  | Synergistic lean| 0.68 ± 0.86   | 0.249    |           |
|                  | Control obese   | 1.64 ± 1.46   | 0.600    |           |
|                  | Mangosteen obese| 2.77 ± 2.33   | 0.599    | 0.346    |
|                  | Curcumin obese  | 1.37 ± 0.23   | 0.293    | 0.465    |
|                  | Synergistic obese| 2.39 ± 0.53  | 0.016    | 0.117    |
| Vit. C           | Control lean    | 0.04 ± 0.01   |           |           |
| (µg/ml)       | Group                  | Value  | p-value |
|--------------|------------------------|--------|---------|
| Mangosteen   | lean                   | 0.05 ± 0.03 | 0.602   |
| Curcumin     | lean                   | 0.07 ± 0.09 | 0.675   |
| Synergistic  | lean                   | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.094   |
| Control      | obese                  | 0.03 ± 0.00 | 0.075   |
| Mangosteen   | obese                  | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 0.016   |
| Curcumin     | obese                  | 0.06 ± 0.08 | 0.141   |
| Synergistic  | obese                  | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.402   |

**Significance**

- **a** Significant difference between normal weight and obese rats in each group (Control, Mangosteen, Curcumin, and Synergistic)
- **b** Significant difference between each group and respective normal weight or obese control groups
Table 3
Independent and synergistic effects of curcumin and mangosteen extract on the lipid profiles of lean and HFD-induced obese rats.

| Parameters | Groups               | Mean ± SD       | p-value a | p-value b |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
|            |                      | CHOL (mg/dl)    |           |           |
|            | Control lean         | 74.26 ± 6.97    |           |           |
|            | Mangosteen lean      | 105.51 ± 14.21  | 0.002     |           |
|            | Curcumin lean        | 104.07 ± 9.84   | 0.001     |           |
|            | Synergistic lean     | 88.87 ± 2.93    | 0.003     |           |
|            | Control obese        | 148.00 ± 48.24  | 0.026     |           |
|            | Mangosteen obese     | 182.22 ± 9.31   | 0.001     | 0.189     |
|            | Curcumin obese       | 123.41 ± 34.60  | 0.287     | 0.381     |
|            | Synergistic obese    | 145.97 ± 21.93  | 0.004     | 0.934     |
|            | HDL-C (mg/dl)        | 54.95 ± 8.71    |           |           |
|            | Control lean         | 51.45 ± 17.76   | 0.702     |           |
|            | Mangosteen lean      | 72.29 ± 17.96   | 0.088     |           |
|            | Curcumin lean        | 56.18 ± 11.46   | 0.853     |           |
|            | Synergistic lean     | 68.57 ± 18.27   | 0.171     |           |
|            | Control obese        | 50.16 ± 12.30   | 0.446     | 0.897     |
|            | Mangosteen obese     | 50.94 ± 13.88   | 0.124     | 0.961     |
|            | Curcumin obese       | 50.16 ± 12.30   | 0.446     | 0.897     |
|            | Synergistic obese    | 50.94 ± 13.88   | 0.124     | 0.961     |
|            | LDL-C (mg/dl)        | 11.12 ± 3.89    | 0.016     |           |
|            | Control lean         | 31.88 ± 12.21   | 0.016     |           |
|            | Mangosteen lean      | 30.30 ± 10.07   | 0.009     |           |
|            | Curcumin lean        | 13.19 ± 3.25    | 0.463     |           |
|            | Synergistic lean     | 65.05 ± 43.59   | 0.075     |           |
|            | Control obese        | 95.94 ± 8.81    | 0.009     | 0.173     |
|            | Mangosteen obese     | 49.35 ± 16.88   | 0.076     | 0.602     |
|            | Curcumin obese       | 58.45 ± 15.91   | 0.009     | 0.754     |
|            | Synergistic obese    | 58.45 ± 15.91   | 0.009     | 0.754     |
|            | TAG (mg/dl)          | 182.29 ± 31.89  |           |           |
|            | Control lean         | 194.60 ± 24.94  | 0.516     |           |
|            | Mangosteen lean      |                 |           |           |
|                  | Mean ± SD | p-value |
|------------------|-----------|---------|
| Curcumin lean    | 196.49 ± 18.04 | 0.411   |
| Synergistic lean | 215.54 ± 6.71  | 0.052   |
| Control obese    | 223.07 ± 14.77 | 0.032   |
| Mangosteen obese | 222.53 ± 3.91  | 0.038   | 0.941   |
| Curcumin obese   | 197.22 ± 89.32 | 0.987   | 0.556   |
| Synergistic obese| 250.27 ± 44.94 | 0.126   | 0.234   |

a Significant difference between normal weight and obese rats in each group (Control, Mangosteen, Curcumin, and Synergistic)

b Significant difference between each group and respective normal weight or obese control groups
Table 4
Independent and synergistic effects of curcumin and mangosteen extract on cardiovascular risk factors in lean and HFD-induced obese rats.

| Parameters | Groups          | Mean ± SD | p-value a | p-value b |
|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| CHOL/HDL-C | Control lean    | 1.52 ± 0.28 |  |  |  |
|            | Mangosteen lean | 1.49 ± 0.24 | 0.877 |  |  |
|            | Curcumin lean   | 1.73 ± 0.40 | 0.352 |  |  |
|            | Synergistic lean| 1.36 ± 0.32 | 0.416 |  |  |
|            | Control obese   | 3.02 ± 0.78 | 0.010 |  |  |
|            | Mangosteen obese| 2.97 ± 0.85 | 0.005 | 0.934 |  |
|            | Curcumin obese  | 2.67 ± 1.31 | 0.165 | 0.628 |  |
|            | Synergistic obese| 2.78 ± 0.67 | 0.003 | 0.620 |  |
| HDL-C /LDL-C| Control lean   | 4.61 ± 1.21 |  |  |  |
|             | Mangosteen lean | 2.54 ± 1.02 | 0.016 |  |  |
|             | Curcumin lean   | 1.95 ± 0.40 | 0.009 |  |  |
|             | Synergistic lean| 5.35 ± 1.43 | 0.465 |  |  |
|             | Control obese   | 1.15 ± 0.73 | 0.009 |  |  |
|             | Mangosteen obese| 0.57 ± 0.07 | 0.009 | 0.346 |  |
|             | Curcumin obese  | 1.21 ± 0.79 | 0.117 | 0.917 |  |
|             | Synergistic obese| 0.95 ± 0.51 | 0.009 | 1.000 |  |
| GLU (mg/dl) | Control lean    | 232.55 ± 74.67 |  |  |  |
|             | Mangosteen lean | 154.43 ± 34.27 | 0.076 |  |  |
|             | Curcumin lean   | 121.12 ± 8.90 | 0.009 |  |  |
|             | Synergistic lean| 162.08 ± 39.89 | 0.117 |  |  |
|             | Control obese   | 206.94 ± 100.22 | 0.347 |  |  |
|             | Mangosteen obese| 169.67 ± 36.21 | 0.346 | 0.917 |  |
|             | Curcumin obese  | 174.43 ± 56.07 | 0.076 | 0.754 |  |
|             | Synergistic obese| 155.44 ± 74.38 | 0.917 | 0.754 |  |

*a Significant difference between normal weight and obese rats in each group (Control, Mangosteen, Curcumin, Synergistic)
Curcumin, and Synergistic)

b Significant difference between each group and respective normal weight or obese control groups

| Parameters                   | R (Correlation Coefficient) | p-value | Correlation |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|-------------|
| BMI with CHOL                | 0.601**                     | 0.000   | p<sup>a</sup> |
| BMI with HDL-C               | -0.381*                     | 0.015   | N<sup>b</sup> |
| BMI with GSH                 | -0.298*                     | 0.011   | N<sup>b</sup> |
| BMI with CHOL/HDL-C          | 0.645**                     | 0.000   | p<sup>a</sup> |
| CHOL with TRIG               | 0.507**                     | 0.001   | p<sup>a</sup> |
| TRIG with CHOL/HDL-C         | 0.384*                      | 0.014   | p<sup>a</sup> |
| LDL-C with BMI               | 0.634**                     | 0.000   | p<sup>a</sup> |
| LDL-C with GSH               | 0.343*                      | 0.030   | N<sup>a</sup> |
| LDL-C with CHOL              | 0.955**                     | 0.000   | p<sup>a</sup> |
| LDL-C with TRIG              | 0.451**                     | 0.003   | p<sup>a</sup> |
| LDL-C with HDL-C / LDL-C     | -0.927**                    | 0.000   | N<sup>b</sup> |

** p < 0.01  
* p < 0.05  
<sup>a</sup> Positive correlation  
<sup>b</sup> Negative correlation
Table 6
ROC of all parameters for obese rats.

|                | Synergistic obese | 1.000 | 27.970 | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 0.009 | 1.000 - 1.000 |
|----------------|-------------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|-------|---------------|
| **TRIG**       | Control obese     | 1.000 | 204.290| 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 0.009 | 1.000 - 1.000 |
|                | Mangosteen obese  | 0.960 | 211.535| 100.0 % | 80.0 %  | 0.016 | 0.843 - 1.077 |
|                | Curcumin obese    | 0.600 | 226.895| 60.0 %  | 100.0 % | 0.602 | 0.171 - 1.029 |
|                | Synergistic obese | 0.800 | 242.755| 80.0 %  | 100.0 % | 0.117 | 0.449 - 1.151 |
| **CHOL/HDL-C** | Control obese     | 1.000 | 1.950  | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 0.009 | 1.000 - 1.000 |
|                | Mangosteen obese  | 1.000 | 1.800  | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 0.009 | 1.000 - 1.000 |
|                | Curcumin obese    | 0.800 | 1.970  | 80.0 %  | 80.0 %  | 0.117 | 0.494 - 1.106 |
|                | Synergistic obese | 1.000 | 1.790  | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 0.009 | 1.000 - 1.000 |
| **HDL-C /LDL-C**| Control obese     | 1.000 | 2.470  | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 0.009 | 1.000 - 1.000 |
|                | Mangosteen obese  | 1.000 | 1.065  | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 0.009 | 1.000 - 1.000 |
|                | Curcumin obese    | 0.800 | 1.305  | 80.0 %  | 100.0 % | 0.117 | 0.449 - 1.151 |
|                | Synergistic obese | 1.000 | 2.605  | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 0.009 | 1.000 - 1.000 |
| **GLU**        | Control obese     | 0.680 | 152.088| 60.0 %  | 100.0 % | 0.347 | 0.301 - 1.059 |
|                | Mangosteen obese  | 0.680 | 145.168| 80.0 %  | 60.0 %  | 0.347 | 0.328 - 1.032 |
|                | Curcumin obese    | 0.840 | 141.216| 60.0 %  | 100.0 % | 0.076 | 0.580 - 1.100 |
|                | Synergistic obese | 0.520 | 139.069| 80.0 %  | 40.0 %  | 0.917 | 0.135 - 0.905 |
Table 7
Multiple regression analysis using GSH levels as the dependent variable.

| Predictor Variable | Coefficient | SE  | p-value | Adjusted R2 | 95% CI | Lower | Upper |
|--------------------|-------------|-----|---------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|
| LDL-C              | 1.196       | 0.285| 0.000   | 0.298       | 0.618 | 1.773 |
| LDL-C              | 2.355       | 0.391| 0.983   | 0.482       | 1.563 | 3.146 |
| HDL-C / LDL-C      | 25.584      | 6.716| 0.000   |             | 11.976| 39.191|
| LDL-C              | 2.231       | 0.350| 0.000   | 0.589       | 1.521 | 2.941 |
| HDL-C / LDL-C      | 26.558      | 5.990| 0.000   |             | 14.409| 38.707|
| MDA                | -1.509      | 0.463| 0.002   |             | -2.448| -0.570|

4. Discussion

A diet high in fat leads to obesity in both humans and animals [22,23]. In both rats and mice, a positive relationship has been demonstrated between the levels of fat in the diet and body weight or fat gain; also, rats that consumed diets containing high quantities of fat gained weight faster than those on diets containing minimal fat [24–28].

The recorded increase of BMI in obese rats is in agreement with previous studies [29], in which they reported that BMI in obese rats is usually higher than 0.75 gm/cm$^2$. The final weight and weight gain in obese rats were also coupled with high BMI, in agreement with the findings of Picklo et al [30], who showed the obesogenic effect of a saturated lipid diet in animal models. Unexpectedly, while both mangosteen pericarp extract and curcumin were effective in lowering the BMI of obese animals, both also induced weight gain in lean animals. This is similar to the findings of Husen et al [31], who reported an increase in body weight following mangosteen extract and curcumin after the decrease in body weight induced by STZ treatment.

The elevated levels of ROS after high caloric intake or inflammation can later result in increase of BMI or incidence of obesity. Adipose tissue can undergo pathological alterations induced by inflammation or oxidative stress, which in turn enhances the secretion of adipokines and affects the peripheral tissues that produce ROS, further promoting oxidative stress and the inflammatory response [32] (see also Cristancho & Lazar 2011). The concentration of the serum MDA can be used as an indicator of oxidative stress. MDA is one of the final products of the peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA). The concentration of MDA can be used as an indicator of cell or tissue damage due to the increase in lipid peroxidation. The unexpected non-significant increase of MDA and decrease in GSH levels, GST activity, and vitamin C levels in HFD-induced obese rats might be attributed to the addition of coconut oil to the high saturated fat diet given in our paradigm as an inducer of obesity. Multiple studies demonstrate the anti-oxidative effects of virgin coconut oil, supporting this hypothesis [33,34]. Table 2 also shows the
independent and synergistic effects of mangosteen pericarp extract and curcumin on oxidative stress-related variables. While mangosteen pericarp extract did not demonstrate anti-oxidative effects in normal weight rats, it did induce a significant increase in GSH levels in obese rats. GST functions as antioxidant, and enzymes can catalyze the detoxification of xenobiotics via conjugation with GSH.

The diet-induced obesity animal model is one of the most common and reliable models used in obesity studies due to its similarity in modeling the most common route of obesity in humans, as well as related metabolic effects. As shown previously, this HFD models obesity via increased food intake, body weight gain, body fat accumulation, BMI increase, defects in antioxidant levels, and disruption in the lipid profile [22,28].

While LDL-C is responsible for the delivery of cholesterol to peripheral tissues, HDL-C mediates the inverse process of cholesterol transport from peripheral tissues [35]. The non-significant decrease of HDL-C in obese rats reported in the present study may be related to the anti-oxidative effect of coconut oil, a component of the HFD used here [33,34].

In general, ingestion of coconut oil can increase HDL-C [36]. It has been proposed that lauric acid, the main constituent of coconut oil, is the cornerstone of this pathway. Lauric acid accounts for 50% of the content of coconut oil. Although lauric acid is considered a medium-chain fatty acid (MCFA), 70% of lauric acid is transported as a long-chain fatty acid (LCFA), while the other 30% remains as a MCFA. Thus, there are two ways of transporting lauric acid in the body. When lauric acid reaches the liver, it serves as a substrate in the production of apoA1 and apoB, further contributing to the formation of both HDL-C and LDL-C [36].

Studies show that in contrast, to LDL-C, HDL-C may play an anti-atherogenic and anti-thrombotic role by protecting LDL-C particles against lipid peroxidation and reducing the deleterious effects of oxidized LDL-C [37]. Based on this report, the non-significant decrease of HDL-C in obese rats may be related to the presence of coconut oil in the HFD [38].

Moreover, our data is consistent with those of Wihastuti et al [39], who showed that 400 mg/kg body weight mangosteen pericarp extract affected LDL-C but no other lipid marker, and significantly reduced $H_2O_2$ levels and NF-$\kappa$B expression. At concentrations of 800 mg/kg body weight, this extract was most effective in improving the lipid profile; this suggests that although in the present study mangosteen pericarp extract did induce a hypo-lipidemic effect in obese rats, the anti-atherogenic effects likely occurred via its anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory effects [39].

This contradiction may also be attributed to the fact the amount of total xanthone in the mangosteen pericarp extract is strongly affected by the extraction capacity of the solvent to recover different phenolic constituents from various fruit origins, as well as the methods of transportation and storage [40–42]. Aisha, Abu-Salah & Ismail [43] have reported that toluene is the most efficient extraction solvent for mangosteen pericarp extract compared to 75% ethanol and methanol [44].
Obesity is a risk factor for the development of cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, and arteriosclerosis (Cannon, 2007). To treat and prevent obesity and obesity-related complications, an increasing number of people use hypoglycemia-inducing or weight-loss drugs. However, the long-term use of these drugs can damage the liver and kidney. Unsurprisingly, finding safe and effective weight-loss- and hypoglycemia-inducing agents is becoming increasingly urgent.

Table 4 reveals the level of serum glucose in the studied rats. While obesity itself did not induce elevation of blood glucose, mangosteen pericarp extract was effective in reducing glucose levels in both normal weight and obese animals. This is consistent with the previous work of Taher et al [45], which demonstrated that orally administered mangosteen pericarp extract at various doses demonstrated a hypoglycemic effect in streptozotocin (STZ)-induced diabetic rats and normoglycemic rats. Moreover, curcumin demonstrates hypoglycemic effects in both obese and normal weight rats; in obese rats, the synergistic effects of both of these extracts was much higher than each extract independently. This is also supported by Rivera-Mancía et al [46] and Sohaei et al [47], who showed the hypoglycemic effects of curcumin. As previously described, the use of curcumin in vitro and in animal models of diabetes revealed a variety of potential mechanisms of action to treat diabetes mellitus; however, clinical trials in humans have thus far been inconsistent with these findings.

Pearson's correlation coefficient (PCC) is a statistical metric that measures the strength and direction of a linear relationship between two or more random variables [48]. Table 5 indicates the correlations between all variables measured in this study. It can be seen that obesity (BMI), antioxidant status (GSH levels), and dyslipidemia-related markers (CHOL, TAG, CHOL/HDL-C) were negatively or positively correlated in a manner that demonstrates the negative impact of oxidative stress and dyslipidemia on obesity.

Abruzzo et al [49] highlighted the advantage of using ROC curves as an outstanding statistical tool for the identification of biomarkers that are sufficiently sensitive and specific for the early diagnosis of obesity. Although its utility in prediction, risk valuation, and assessment of therapeutic interventions still requires further validation, ROC curves emphasize the most significant statistical differences between patients and controls and even animal models of diseases [50]. The AUC provides a useful measure to evaluate the predictive value of biomarkers. While an AUC value near 1 designates an excellent predictive marker, a curve that lies adjacent to the diagonal (AUC = 0.5) indicates no diagnostic usefulness. AUC values close to 1.00 are always accompanied by satisfactory values of specificity and sensitivity [51].

Table 6 demonstrates the ROC curves with AUC, specificity, and sensitivity in obese rats. Among the measured variables, BMI showed excellent predictive value as a marker of obesity, with AUC between 0.8 and 1 with satisfactory specificity and sensitivity. The other measured variables demonstrate relatively less predictive ability with any specificity and sensitivity.

5. Conclusion

The present study ascertained the efficiency of a HFD in inducing obesity in rats, and the effectiveness of mangosteen pericarp extract and curcumin independently or synergistically in treating obesity-induced
atherogenesis.
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