Not Home Alone: Leveraging Telehealth and Informatics to Create a Lean Model for COVID-19 Patient Home Care
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Abstract
In response to the emerging COVID-19 public health emergency in March 2020, the Medical University of South Carolina rapidly implemented an analytics-enhanced remote patient monitoring (RPM) program with state-wide reach for SARS-CoV-2-positive patients. Patient-reported data and other analytics were used to prioritize the sickest patients for contact by RPM nurses, enabling a small cadre of RPM nurses, with the support of ambulatory providers and urgent care video visits, to oversee 1234 patients, many of whom were older, from underserved populations, or at high risk of serious complications. Care was escalated based on prespecified criteria to primary care provider or emergency department visit, with 89% of moderate- to high-risk patients treated solely at home. The RPM nurses facilitated the continuity of care during escalation or de-escalation of care, provided much-needed emotional support to patients quarantining at home and helped find medical homes for patients with tenuous ties to health care.

Keywords: telehealth; telemedicine; remote patient monitoring; COVID-19

Introduction
Early in the COVID-19 pandemic, reports from China,1 Italy,2 and the U.S. northeast3 chronicled the immense pressure that COVID-19 was placing on hospitals and health systems. The influx of COVID-19 patients to emergency departments (EDs) threatened to spread the infection to healthcare workers and non-COVID-19 patients.4 Hospitals burned through personal protective equipment at a fast clip,4 and hospital and especially Intensive Care Unit beds were in short supply.5 To address this emergency, health institutions across the globe turned to telehealth,6 which is recognized as essential to medical responses to disasters.7 Remote patient monitoring (RPM) programs, in which patients’ symptoms and vital signs are sent electronically to remote clinicians, began to be implemented worldwide to ensure that COVID-19 patients were safely monitored and treated at home,8–10 but the staffing demands of such programs posed a threat to their long-term sustainability.11,12

The Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC) Health System rapidly leveraged the expertise and resources of its Center for Telehealth and Biomedical Informatics Center (BMIC), which have a long
history of collaboration, to develop a scalable sustainable COVID-19 RPM program. The program, which preferentially enrolls high-risk patients and those with tenuous ties to care, has reduced the pressure on hospitals and EDs by directing only those patients with worsening symptoms to these resources. It has also provided increased surveillance, care navigation, and emotional support for patients quarantining at home, all while adopting a lean staffing model that helps ensure its sustainability.

Rapid Response Implementation
On March 17, 2020, 11 days after the report of the first two suspected cases of COVID-19 in South Carolina, Telehealth and BMIC leaders began to discuss establishing a bioinformatics-enhanced COVID-19 RPM program. Less than 2 weeks later, on March 30, the program launched.

This rapid launch can be attributed to the strong relationship between Telehealth and BMIC leaders, who had already collaborated to expand COVID-19 screening and testing. Virtual visits, originally intended for minor medical issues, had been adapted to screen patients with possible COVID-19 and refer them for SARS-CoV-2 testing at MUSC Health-affiliated mobile testing sites. BMIC created a registry of all patients who had ever tested positive for COVID-19 at an MUSC Health-affiliated mobile testing site, urgent or ambulatory care facility, or hospital. The RPM program was intended to round out these screening and testing efforts by monitoring SARS-CoV-2-positive patients quarantining at home and, when necessary, help them transition to a higher level of care. In addition, rapid implementation and patient enrollment were facilitated through the patients’ use of their own thermometers and home equipment. Patients purchased home pulse oximeters or utilized built-in phone sensors to track their oxygen saturation levels.

An Informatics-Grounded Approach
The BMIC team created and embedded a short COVID-19 REDCap questionnaire called the Symptom Checker (Table 1) into the patient portal of Epic, the electronic health record (EHR) system. The content of the questionnaire was adapted by MUSC Health clinical experts from a validated pneumonia instrument. All patients tested at an MUSC Health-sponsored site are assigned a medical record number and receive an email inviting them to sign up for the patient portal. Those who completed the process and are enrolled in the RPM program receive a daily reminder to log in to their patient portal and fill out the COVID-19 symptom questionnaire. To avoid deepening the digital divide, nurses do not turn away patients who are unable or unwilling to access the patient portal but instead call them to obtain information on their condition and fill out the questionnaire on their behalf.

| No. | Question Options |
|-----|-----------------|
| 1   | Are you today bothered by shortness of breath when sitting still? Yes/No |
| 2   | Are you today bothered by shortness of breath when walking around the house/ward? Yes/No |
| 3   | Are you today bothered by shortness of breath when washing/dressing? Yes/No |
| 4   | Are you today bothered by shortness of breath when walking in the street? Yes/No |
| 5   | Are you today bothered by shortness of breath when taking a shower? Yes/No |
| 6   | Are you today bothered by shortness of breath when walking the stairs? Yes/No |
| 7   | Rate the severity of your shortness of breath at the moment? Not at all short of breath Slightly short of breath Fairly short of breath Substantially short of breath Terribly short of breath |
| 8   | Do you cough? No Only in the morning, when getting up Now and then, all through the day Frequently, all through the day |
| 8a  | Do you cough up sputum? (amount of sputum by 24h) <2 spoons >2 spoons Half a cup or more |
| 8b  | Do you cough up the sputum with ease? Not bothered by sputum With ease Fairly difficult Very difficult |
| 8c  | What is the color of the sputum? Did not pay attention/no sputum Transparent White Green, yellow, or brown |
| 9   | How fit do you feel at the moment? Very fit Fit Not fit Poor Very poor |
| 10  | Express your general state of health at the moment Excellent Good Fair Poor Very poor |
| 11  | Please describe any other important symptoms |
| 12  | Oxygen saturation |
| 13  | Temperature |
Patient-reported measures flow directly into the COVID-19 registry and into the EHR, where they can be accessed by RPM nurses (Fig. 1). This bioinformatics innovation has enabled RPM data to be embedded into clinical workflows, overcoming a long-standing barrier in the field. If a patient self-reports out-of-norm values or fails to report values for 3 consecutive days, an alert is triggered in the EHR, prioritizing that patient for review and contact by RPM nurses.

This approach enables RPM nurses to focus on patients most in need of care each day and makes possible a leaner staffing model. As a result of this data-driven targeted approach, the equivalent of five full-time RPM nurses successfully monitored and provided care navigation for 1234 COVID-19-positive patients between March 30 and the end of December 2020. This was made possible by leveraging the health system’s ambulatory flexible nursing pool.

**Patient Enrollment**

The program preferentially enrolls patients with the highest risk of complications or the most tenuous ties to care. After reviewing registry data, RPM nurses call patients to obtain further information about their symptoms and health history. This information is entered into a BMIC-created EHR-embedded COVID-19 triage intake form (Fig. 2), which uses an algorithm created by MUSC Health clinicians to assign a risk level (low, medium, or high) for COVID-19 complications. The RPM nurse can manually override the risk assessment. Initially, high-risk patients included those who were immunocompromised, 65 years, or older with a comorbidity, or older than 80 years. Later, a moderate-to-high risk was assigned to patients aged 65 years or older, regardless of comorbidity. A body mass index of 35 kg/m² or greater was also considered a major risk factor and was added to the triage form as a health condition. The patient enrollment period is based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines. Patients are enrolled in the program for the 10–20 days that align with their home quarantine period.

**Care Pathways**

The program’s care pathways are grounded in the patient medical home and ensure continuity for patients as they transition between levels of care (Fig. 3). RPM nurses receive an alert if a patient reports being short of breath at rest, having an uncontrolled fever (>101.4°F), having low oxygen saturation levels (<95%), or having a poor health status overall. An RPM nurse will then

---

**Fig. 1.** Patient-centered model for COVID-19 RPM. EHR, electronic health record; RPM, remote patient monitoring.
Fig. 2. Patient triage intake form in Epic™ (Epic Systems Corporation), the EHR currently used at the MUSC. MUSC, Medical University of South Carolina.

Fig. 3. COVID-19 RPM workflow. ED, emergency department; PCP, primary care provider; RN, registered nurse.
call to obtain further information and decide whether to escalate to a primary care provider (PCP) visit, often through video visit. Through the registry, RPM nurses have access to PCP contact information. The PCP will determine whether the treatment needs to be adjusted or whether referral to the ED is warranted. For patients without a PCP, an affiliated urgent care network provides PCP visits through video during the quarantine period. These visits are free of charge and are available same day for urgent matters and within 3 days for continuum of care issues.

For the most serious cases, RPM nurses, who can access information about local ED capacity through the EHR, directly refer patients to an ED. RPM nurses follow-up to learn whether patients have been seen in an ED and/or admitted. When hospitalized, patients are disenrolled from the RPM program but are often re-enrolled upon discharge for continued monitoring during the remainder of the quarantine period. The program also offers RPM postdischarge for any patient who has been treated for COVID-19 at any MUSC Health hospital.

**Program Metrics**

**Effective care navigation for at-risk patients**

From March 30 to late December 2020, 1234 out of 19,293 patients who had ever tested positive at an MUSC-sponsored site had enrolled in the RPM program. Table 2 provides demographic and risk stratification groupings for enrolled patients. Of note, 75% were at moderate-to-high risk of serious complications, and the proportion of under-represented minorities enrolled was substantially higher than regional demographics (49.8% in the RPM program vs. 37.4% for the state of South Carolina). During that period, a total of 6165 home monitoring encounters occurred, with the highest number occurring in July, which coincided with a local surge in cases (Fig. 4). The number of encounters per patient ranged from 3.8 to 6.0 from April to December 2020, with a standard deviation of 0.7.

RPM nurses referred 445 (36%) patients for a visit with their PCP and 124 (10%) directly to the ED. Seven were re-enrolled into the RPM program upon discharge from the hospital for continued monitoring to ensure good continuity of care. In aggregate, 89% of the 916 patients at moderate or high risk of severe complications were managed solely at home.

| Patient characteristics | n (%) |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Age, mean (SD)          | 55.3 (17.2) |
| Gender                  |       |
| Female                  | 789 (63.9) |
| Male                    | 436 (35.3) |
| Unknown                 | 9 (0.7)  |
| Race                    |       |
| American Indian of Alaska Native | 4 (0.3) |
| Asian                   | 6 (0.5)  |
| Black or African American | 582 (47.2) |
| Hispanic or Latino      | 22 (1.8) |
| White                   | 524 (42.6) |
| Two or more             | 1 (0.1)  |
| Other                   | 30 (2.4)  |
| Unknown/refused         | 65 (5.3)  |
| Uninsured               | 224 (18.2) |
| No PCP                  | 301 (24.4) |
| Active patient portal account | 917 (74.3) |
| Risk                    |       |
| Low risk                | 312 (25.4) |
| Medium risk             | 477 (38.8) |
| High risk               | 439 (35.8) |
| Referral and re-enrollment |         |
| Referral by RPM to PCP visit | 445 (36.1) |
| Referral by RPM to ED   | 124 (10.0) |
| Re-enrolled with RPM after discharge | 7 (0.6) |

**Patient satisfaction**

Upon completion of the RPM program, patients are asked to assess their program experience by completing a Redcap survey, which they can access through their patient portal or email. An optional follow-up personalized telephone interview is also offered. To date, 132 patients have responded to the emailed survey, and 61 have also provided detailed information during the follow-up call. Across all survey questions, 90% of patients report high program satisfaction (agree or strongly agree). Patients indicate feeling isolated during COVID-19 and emphasize that the program provided reassurance and guidance. Many of those who signed up for the patient portal appreciated the two-way communication it afforded them with RPM nurses and other providers. Figure 5 summarizes patient experience responses and program feedback.

**Challenges and program evolution**

Patient and provider feedback has guided the program’s evolution. When patients requested two-way communication with staff, an additional “free” text field for messages was added into the Symptom Checker. Patient feedback also led to creation of a process for obtaining work excuses and back-to-work clearances through the patient portal. Nurses’ needs were...
The COVID-19 home monitoring program was more convenient than visiting the doctor's office in person.

I felt supported by the COVID-19 home monitoring program and its team.

The COVID-19 home monitoring helped meet my home quarantine healthcare needs.

Select Patients' Comments:
1. "I live alone and this program was really a Godsend. There were many days when these nurses were the only people I talked to and really my only contact with the outside world."
2. "I felt comforted to have some form of contact as my family couldn't come and visit me. The nurses were all I had, it was great to talk and discuss what I was going through, this program was a blessing to me."
3. "They took care of me, they were watching out for me. I would've gone to the emergency room two or three times without time them."
4. "I had friends who tested positive from other hospitals and they were shocked when I told them about this program. They wound up going to the emergency room several times while I was sitting at home talking to the nurses. I just wish you had an MUSC close to me."

**Fig. 4.** Number of home monitoring encounters by month.

**Fig. 5.** Patient satisfaction.
addressed with continuously updated workflows, tip sheets, and training materials and better data visualization tools.

Initially, we relied on PCPs with a background in telehealth and/or health disparities when escalation of care was required. As we scaled the program, it became clear that basing it in the patient medical home provided better continuity of care while also reducing program staffing needs. For patients without a PCP, an affiliated urgent care network provides PCP backup through video visit.

We have had to guard against “mission creep,” which can set in when patients who do not have PCPs try to meet their non-COVID-19-related medical needs through the program. We are addressing this issue by rigorously maintaining the program’s focus on COVID-19, while also helping patients without a tie to primary care to establish a relationship with a local PCP or virtual provider.

Our program did not systematically identify patients for whom physiologic monitoring (e.g., home pulse oximetry) might have value and did not offer such monitoring. We have developed infrastructure to include these biometric measures, but the need for rapid response and unclear patient benefit ultimately guided us toward patient-reported measures as our primary focus.

Next Steps and Conclusions

More robust patient dashboards are being developed for improved RPM nurse efficiency. In close collaboration with PCPs, the program will offer extended monitoring of patients with “long haul” COVID-19. Currently, our health system offers this program without cost to patients and payors. However, this is unsustainable, and we are developing pathways to capture revenue associated with RPM programs appropriately.24,25

The COVID-19 RPM program at MUSC has enabled a small cadre of RPM nurses, with the support of the ambulatory flex nursing pool and video visits provided by either their established PCP or an affiliated urgent care network, to offer at-home care for patients with COVID-19. In close collaboration with patients’ PCPs, the RPM nurses have been able to escalate care, referring to EDs when necessary, and to continue monitoring patients postdischarge to ensure continuity of care. The program has provided much-needed emotional and other support to patients quarantining at home and has encouraged increased patient portal uptake. Finally, it has helped connect patients without PCPs to primary care for the first time, which could have lasting benefits for their health.
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