Case Report

Bifid ureter with blind-ending branch: A rare anatomic variant detected during antegrade ureteric stent insertion
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A B S T R A C T

Anatomic variants of the urinary tract are relatively common; however, a bifid ureter with a blind-ending branch is a rare congenital anomaly. This variant often goes unnoticed because patients are either asymptomatic or complain of vague abdominal symptoms. Diagnosis is often incidental, and may be missed on conventional imaging. Although bifid ureters usually do not require any specific investigations or treatment; it is important to have an appreciation for these anomalies particularly if radiological intervention or surgical procedures are planned. We describe a case of a blind-ending bifid ureter in a patient with bilateral hydronephrosis secondary to a large cervical malignancy. The presence of the bifid ureter was only appreciated during a technically challenging antegrade ureteric stent insertion several weeks after diagnosis. We review the clinical significance, embryology, and radiology findings of this anomaly, as well as the implications during radiological interventional procedures.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Case Report

A 56-year-old postmenopausal woman initially presented to her general practitioner (GP) with a 1-month history of deep seated pelvic ache and increased urinary frequency with recent onset of light vaginal bleeding. A bimanual examination revealed an irregular cervical lump. Subsequently, a smear test was performed with an urgent referral to the gynecology team for further assessment. Her initial colposcopy examination revealed a mass replacing the vaginal vault with abnormal cervical tissue confirmed as a poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) on biopsy. Pelvic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) confirmed a locally advanced cervical tumor with extension into the uterus, parametrium, posterior bladder wall, lower one-third of the vagina, uterosacral...
ligaments, and pelvic sidewall with involvement of the distal ureters and iliac vessels (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) staging system Stage 4B; Figs. 1 and 2). She was admitted to hospital shortly after diagnosis for management of the bilateral hydroureteronephrosis with nephrostomies prior to commencing chemotherapy.

Following her second cycle of chemotherapy, she was referred for bilateral ureteric stent insertions. Despite multiple attempts, the right-sided obstruction could not be manoeuvred and the nephrostomy remained in place. During the stent insertion on the left side, a blind-ending tubular structure was noticed which filled with contrast during the ureterogram which was subsequently found to be a blind-ending bifid ureter with a distal origin (Figs. 3 and 4). Despite a successful ureteric stent insertion, it was a technically challenging procedure with guide wires preferentially tracking into the blind-ending branch. On retrospective review of the initial MRI, this bifid ureter was also demonstrated but initially thought to be a convoluted left ureter as a result of the hydroureteronephrosis.

She completed 6 cycles of chemotherapy with clinical improvement; however, post-treatment imaging revealed progression of disease with peritoneal lymphadenopathy, omental disease, and growth of the primary tumor. A decision was made to continue radical treatment.
Shortly after her restaging review, she was admitted to hospital with severe sepsis from a urinary tract source. Unfortunately, she deteriorated rapidly during her admission and subsequently died from complications.

Discussion

There are a wide range of congenital anomalies of the urogenital tract [1]. A bifid ureter is an uncommon anatomic variant that results from an incomplete duplication of the renal collecting system [2]. In most cases, it is associated with a duplex kidney with unification of the ureters before draining into the bladder through a single orifice. Rarely, 1 of the ureters fails to unite with the pelviccalceal collecting system resulting in a blind-ending branch (Fig. 4). This specific variant has been reported to be more common in women, and more often present on the right side [3]. Blind-ending bifid ureters can be further distinguished into subtypes depending on the origin of the branch, namely proximal, middle, or distal. It has been suggested that the middle blind-ending bifid ureters are the least common subtypes [3].

In normal embryologic development, the ureteric bud is an invasive epithelial tube that arises from the mesonephric (Wolfian) duct at around day 28 of gestation [4]. The ureteric bud is the precursor for the renal collecting system and branches into surrounding metanephric blastema. Once these structures unite, the metanephric tissue eventually forms the filtration structures of the developed kidney and the trunk of the ureteric bud becomes the ureter. In abnormal embryogenesis, the ureteric bud may divide into 2 branches. If the additional branch reaches the metanephric blastema then this forms a complete duplication. If the additional branch fails to reach the metanephric blastema then this forms a blind-ending ureter or incomplete duplication [2,4].

Blind-ending bifid ureters are typically incidental findings and the majority of patients are asymptomatic. Despite this, symptoms may include abdominal pain and recurrent urinary tract infections. These symptoms may be accounted for by inflammation of the blind-ending branch and peristaltic disturbances caused by uretero-ureteric reflux [2]. Other associations may include stone formation and vesicoureteric reflux [2,5,6]. Most cases are diagnosed during common urological investigations such as intravenous urography, retrograde pyelography, or diagnostic cystoscopy. Computed tomography and MRI are also capable of detecting these anomalies, but this is dependent on the scan protocol that is used [6,7]. In particular, the value of multidetector computed tomography imaging using multiplanar reformatting and 3D reconstructions to establish the diagnosis has been described in the literature. This allows a detailed evaluation of the anatomy of the urinary system and exclusion of other possible etiologies [7].
In the case described, the blind-ending bifid ureter could be seen on the presenting MRI and taken to represent a convoluted distal ureter proximal to the obstructing cervical tumor. It was only during the attempted antegrade ureteric stent insertion several weeks after initial diagnosis that it was recognized after presenting a technical challenge while passing the obstruction in the distal ureter. Navigating through tight distal ureteral obstructions under fluoroscopic guidance can be difficult [8,9]. In the presence of an anatomic anomaly such as a bifid ureter with a distal origin, this presents a tougher challenge, and recognizing this uncommon variant is therefore important for both diagnostic and interventional radiologists if longer fluoroscopy screening time and the use of more contrast media is to be avoided.
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