Introduction

Lesch-Nyhan Disease (LND) is a monogenic neurodevelopmental disease caused by mutations in the X-linked gene encoding the purine salvage biosynthetic enzyme hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) [1]. The clinical disorder is characterized by dystonia, choreoathetosis, cognitive deficits and self-injurious behavior, the hallmark feature of LND. The most prominent and well-recognized neuropathological consequence of HPRT deficiency in the human central nervous system is dysfunction of basal ganglia dopaminergic (DA) neurons and defective development of DA signaling pathways [2–5] that in turn are thought at least partially to cause the aberrant neurological phenotype. The mechanisms connecting the defective purine pathways with neurological defects are not well understood, although most current models of LND pathogenesis assume that aberrant purine metabolism is the proximate cause of the neurological dysfunction through a direct effect of aberrant purine levels on early neural development or on neural function.

Recent studies in our laboratories have identified molecular neural dysregulatory mechanisms associated with HPRT deficiency that are likely to underlie defective neural development and aberrant function of dopaminergic and possibly other classes of neural cells. These findings point to a complex set of dysregulated functions and pathways that constitute a multi-systems set of pathogenic mechanisms responsible for this monogenic disease. Most relevant have been demonstrations of aberrant expression of key neuronal transcription factors, microRNA expression and defects in purinergic and other cellular signaling functions in a variety of mouse and human cell culture systems including human iPS cells. These defects have included aberrant canonical Wnt/b-catenin signaling and defective presenilin-1 expression [6], dysregulated expression of purinergic receptors with resulting aberrant expression of phospho-CREB and phospho-ERK signal-
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unrelated pleiotropic effects in other systems. The possibility that the HPRT protein carries out other purine-metabolic housekeeping gene. Still largely unstudied is the developmental functions, a previously unrecognized role of a metabolic housekeeping gene. These results have led to the surprising conclusion that the HPRT-deficiency phenotype may constitute a "systems" disorder of neurodevelopment by mechanisms possibly stemming from dysregulated pluripotency or inappropriate stem cell entry into differentiation of SNM cells to fully differentiated neurons. We performed microarray-based transcriptome analysis of cells at the pre-differentiation embryonic stem cell stage, at the partially differentiated SNM (spherical neural mass) stage [9] and at the fully differentiated neuronal stage. We also used RNA-Seq analysis for a detailed temporal characterization of the transcriptomes of WT and HPRT-knockdown ESD3 cells during the 14-day differentiation of SNM cells to fully differentiated neurons. Through these studies, we have discovered that HPRT deficiency causes aberrant regulation of multiple genetic and metabolic pathways including those that affect stem cell pluripotency and that drive cells in differentiation directions that involve a cell developmental fate choice to develop along neuronal or glial pathways. In addition, we have found multiple additional defects in HPRT-deficient cells, including aberrations related to cell cycle and proliferation mechanisms, RNA metabolism, DNA replication and repair, replication stress, lysosome function, membrane trafficking, signaling pathway for platelet activation (SPPA) multiple neurotransmission systems and sphingolipid, sulfur and glycan metabolism. We therefore hypothesize that the human HPRT-deficiency phenotype may constitute a "systems" disorder that produces broad and powerful dysregulation of many aspects of neurodevelopment by mechanisms possibly stemming from dysregulated pluripotency or inappropriate stem cell entry into aberrant differentiation pathways. If correct, such broad developmental mechanisms represent unexpected functions for a metabolic housekeeping gene.

Results

Preparation of control and HPRT knockdown ESD3 cells

The HPRT mRNA level in HPRT knockdown cells was down-regulated by approximately 98% compared with WT cells (Figure 1A) and HPRT protein expression was markedly reduced in HPRT knockdown cells (Figure 1B). The enzymatic activity of HPRT was also significantly reduced by a comparable amount in HPRT knockdown cells as measured by a Western blotting assay (Figure 1C). Wild type cells continued to express HPRT at high levels throughout the differentiation process, although the level of expression at the SNM and neuronal stages was somewhat reduced compared with the ES stage. The knockdown cells, as expected, showed very low expression throughout differentiation.

Neuronal differentiation

WT and HPRT knockdown ESD3 cells both undergo similarly efficient degrees of differentiation to the DA neuronal phenotype, as described previously [9]. Fig. 2 illustrates typical neuronal morphology of a sparse field of cells differentiated from SNM derived from WT and HPRT-knockdown ESD3 cells following the full 14-day differentiation process. The WT and knockdown cells produced cells with similar typical neuronal morphology.

For further evaluation of the molecular events accompanying neuronal differentiation, we analyzed mRNA expression levels of pluripotency and neuronal markers by qPCR through the differentiation process at the embryonic, spherical neural mass (SNM) and fully differentiated neuronal stages. As expected, the pluripotency markers OCT-4 and Nanog became significantly down-regulated in both WT and knockdown cells as they differentiated from the embryonic stage through the SNM stage to the fully differentiated neuronal stage (Figure 3A and B). However, while WT cells also showed efficient down-regulation of the pluripotency and neuronal/glial developmental marker Sox2 during differentiation, expression of Sox2 not only failed to down-regulate in HPRT-knockdown cells but instead was up-regulated.
at the SNM stage and even further markedly up-regulated at the neuronal stage (Figure 3C). As expected, the neuronal marker β-III tubulin showed marked up-regulation in WT cells, particularly in the differentiation step from SNM to the neuronal phenotype. However, the HPRT-knockdown cells failed completely to up-regulate β-III tubulin expression at either the SNM or DA neuronal stage (Figure 3D) as did the WT cells. In contrast, the neuronal marker directly relevant to the dopaminergic system; i.e., dopamine transporter (DAT), showed modest up-regulation in WT cells at the neuronal stage (Figure 3E) while the HPRT-knockdown cells showed an earlier and much more robust up-regulation at the fully differentiated neuronal stage (Figure 3E). Interestingly, regulation of TH was not as clearly or consistently affected by HPRT deficiency. The results for TH transcription were inconsistent and un-interpretable. Briefly, both the wild type and knockdown cells demonstrate alternating levels of increased and decreased expression during the differentiation process (data not shown). We therefore have not yet been able to identify a reproducible regulatory role of HPRT in expression of TH.

To determine the efficiency of DA neurogenesis in WT and HPRT-knockdown cells, we used immunocytochemical methods to examine the fully differentiated neuron-like cells for expression of the DA neuronal markers β-III tubulin and the DA neuronal marker tyrosine hydroxylase (TH). Both markers were found to be readily expressed equally well in the WT and HPRT-knockdown cells at the final stage of differentiation (Fig. 4a, b, d, e). Merged images of TH-positive and β-III tubulin-positive cells demonstrated that a high percentage of cells derived from either the WT or the HPRT-knockdown cells co-express the two neuronal markers with approximately equal efficiency (Fig. 4c, f). Furthermore, FACS analysis with TH-specific antibody demonstrated that the efficiency of differentiation to the TH-positive phenotype was similar in the two cell types (~97% and ~99% of WT and HPRT knockdown cells, (Figure 5a and 5b, respectively)). The similarly efficient generation of TH and β-III tubulin-positive DA neuron-like cells from WT and HPRT-knockdown ESD3 cells is consistent with the fact that human LND brain and brains of HPRT-knockout mice display essentially normal cyto-architecture and contain largely normal numbers and normal distribution of TH-positive neurons [10–12]. These morphological studies do not test whether neurons generated from HPRT-deficient embryonic stem cells are functionally normal and display abnormal cellular migration or cell-interaction properties in vivo or are subject to the same normal cell death mechanisms during CNS development as cells derived from WT HPRT+ stem cells.

**Transcriptional aberrations**

We characterized the transcriptomes of differentiating WT and knockdown cells by both microarray analysis and by RNA-Seq analysis. Microarray analysis of the parent WT and HPRT-knockdown cells was limited to the undifferentiated ES stage, the SNM stage and the final fully differentiated neuronal stage. To define the more detailed temporal gene regulatory changes induced by HPRT deficiency, we also performed RNA-Seq transcriptional analysis on days 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 of differentiation of SNM cells. Data derived from the microarray and the RNA-Seq experiments were analyzed by GeneSpring GX and Avadis NGS, respectively, and additional signaling pathway analyses were carried out using the Panther classification system.

The total number of genes differentially expressed at the 1.5X-fold level and their direction of change are summarized in Table 1. At the ES stage, 73 genes are dysregulated in knockdown ESD3 cells compared with WT cells, 13 of which are up- and 60 down-regulated. As the cells progress through the intermediate SNM

---

**Figure 3. Regulation of pluripotency markers at the embryonic stem cell, SNM and neuronal (DA) stages of neuronal differentiation of WT control and HPRT-knockdown ESD3 cells, as measured by qPCR analysis.** (A) Expression of Oct-4, showing expected down regulation; (B) expression of Nanog during differentiation; (C) expression of Sox2 during differentiation. Control cells show the expected down regulation at the SNM and DA stages but HPRT-knockdown cells show up-regulated expression during both SNM and DA stages of differentiation; (D) expression of the neuronal marker β-III tubulin showing the expected up-regulation in control cells, but reduced expression during differentiation of HPRT knockdown cells; (E) up-regulation of the dopaminergic neuronal marker DAT in control cells and HPRT-knockdown cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074967.g003
stage of differentiation and then to the final neuronal stage, knockdown cells demonstrate greater numbers of down-regulated (710) and up-regulated (1,318) genes at the SNM stage compared with the ES stage and 1,653 down-regulated and 1,485 up-regulated genes at the neuronal stage compared with WT cells.

We subjected the WT and HPRT-knockdown cell transcriptome databases to gene ontology (GO) analysis during the differentiation period from the embryonic stem cell stage to the DA neuronal stage. GO analysis of microarray data using GeneSpring GX revealed surprisingly that HPRT deficiency markedly perturbs a neuronal/glial cell fate decision that may have relevance to the neural defects in LND. Tables 2 and 3 demonstrate the evolution of expression of genes included in the Nervous System GO term during neuronal differentiation. Table 2 presents the GO terms that are differentially expressed (≥1.5 fold change) in SNM from WT and HPRT-knockdown ESD3 cells. At the SNM stage of differentiation, WT and HPRT-knockdown cells show virtually identical patterns of up-regulation of neural functions and CNS development GO terms. However, at the neuronal stage of differentiation, HPRT-knockdown cells demonstrate a striking down-regulation of virtually all of the terms related to neuronal functions in HPRT-knockdown cells compared with WT cells and a concomitant up-regulation of glial and myelination terms related to gliogenesis, myelination and ensheathment (Table 3).

For an even more detailed comparison of myelination-related functions in WT and HPRT-knockdown cells at the ES, SNM and neuronal stages, we carried out analyses using GeneSpring GX as presented in Table 4. This analysis identifies no differential gene expression at the ES stage in either WT or HPRT-knockdown ESD3 cells but confirms altered gene expression at the SNM and neuronal stages of differentiation of a number of myelination-related pathways such as those associated with Notch, hedgehog and histone deacetylase (HDAC) pathways. Western blot analysis of lysates of WT and HPRT-knockdown at the neuronal stage of differentiation provides support for a functional consequence of the up-regulated glia-related gene expression in the HPRT

Table 1. Number of genes found by microarray analysis to be differentially expressed in HPRT-knockdown ESD3 cells at the ES, SNM, and DA neuronal stages of differentiation.

| Stage   | Up   | Down | Total |
|---------|------|------|-------|
| ES      | 13   | 60   | 73    |
| SNM     | 1,318| 710  | 2,028 |
| DA      | 1,485| 1,653| 3,138 |

The up- and down-regulations are based on the HPRT knockdown condition. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074967.t001

Figure 4. Immunohistochemical detection of the neuronal marker β-III tubulin and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) in ESD3 cells at the final 14-day neuronal stage of SNM differentiation. (A) β-III tubulin in WT control cells; (B) TH expression in control WT cells; (C) merged β-III tubulin and TH expression in WT control cells; (D) β-III tubulin in HPRT-knockdown cells; (E) TH in HPRT-knockdown cells, and (F) merged β-III tubulin and TH expression in HPRT-knockdown cells. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074967.g004

Figure 5. FACS analysis of control WT (A) and HPRT-knockdown (B) cells expressing TH. The cell types demonstrate indistinguishable high percentages of TH-positive cells. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074967.g005

Table 1. Number of genes found by microarray analysis to be differentially expressed in HPRT-knockdown ESD3 cells at the ES, SNM, and DA neuronal stages of differentiation.
knockdown cells by demonstrating increased levels of the two key myelination functions, MBP and Olig2 in the HPRT-knockdown cells (Fig. 6).

To test the possible relevance of these aberrations to DA neurotransmission functions, GO analyses of the transcriptomes from microarray data were performed using Avadis NGS. These analyses reveal up-regulated expression of multiple DA and catecholaminergic functions at the neuronal stage of differentiation of WT cells but reduced up-regulation in HPRT knockdown cells (Table 5).

A more detailed extension of these findings to additional neurotransmitter pathways is demonstrated by GO analysis of RNASeq data and demonstrates that the differentiated HPRT-knockdown neuronal cells demonstrate aberrant neurotransmitter functions related to glutamatergic, serotonergic and GABAergic neuronal functions (Tables S2–4 in File S1 respectively). The pattern of dysregulation in HPRT-deficiency is similar for all three neurotransmitters; i.e., very little change in WT cells until late in the differentiation process but marked and less apparently organized dysregulation throughout most of the differentiation process in HPRT-knockdown cells. In contrast to glutamatergic, serotonergic and GABAergic neurotransmitters, GO terms related to acetylcholinergic neuronal functions showed no consistent changes in either cell type.

Because it is generally thought that the underlying defect responsible for LND ultimately reflects aberrant purine metabolism, especially of guanine-based purines, and because of the possibility that altered GTP/GDP fluxes might therefore play a

Table 2. GO functions significantly altered (p ≤ 0.05) in the GO term “nervous system” at the SNM stage of control and HPRT-knockdown cells.

| Up regulation in SNM stage in Control | GO ACCESSION | GO Term | corrected p-value |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------|------------------|
| GO:0007399                            | nervous system development | 6.51E-10 |
| GO:0043005                            | neuron projection       | 2.18E-06 |
| GO:0048699                            | generation of neurons   | 1.33E-05 |
| GO:0022008                            | neurogenesis            | 2.16E-05 |
| GO:0031175                            | neuron projection       | 6.22E-05 |
| GO:0048666                            | neuron development      | 1.11E-04 |
| GO:0030182                            | neuron differentiation  | 1.12E-04 |
| GO:0007409|GO:0007410 | axonogenesis        | 0.00146468 |
| GO:0048812                            | neuron projection morphogenesis | 0.00408663 |
| GO:0048667                            | cell morphogenesis      | 0.00532666 |
| GO:0030424                            | axon                   | 0.0127463 |
| GO:0045202                            | synapse                | 0.02571509 |

| Down regulation in SNM stage in Control | GO ACCESSION | GO Term | corrected p-value |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|---------|------------------|
| GO:0007399                             | nervous system development | 1.97E-11 |
| GO:0048699                             | generation of neurons | 6.21E-06 |
| GO:0022008                             | neurogenesis  | 7.68E-06 |
| GO:0043005                             | neuron projection | 4.99E-05 |
| GO:0031175                             | neuron projection development | 4.99E-05 |
| GO:0048666                             | neuron development | 1.38E-04 |
| GO:0030182                             | neuron differentiation | 1.38E-04 |
| GO:0007409|GO:0007410 | axonogenesis      | 0.00133804 |
| GO:0048812                             | neuron projection morphogenesis | 0.00154705 |
| GO:0048667                             | cell morphogenesis involved in neuron differentiation | 0.00238253 |
| GO:0045202                             | synapse             | 0.0090807 |
| GO:0030424                             | axon                | 0.046589  |

| Up regulation in SNM stage in HPRT knockdown | GO ACCESSION | GO Term | corrected p-value |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|------------------|
| GO:0007399                                  | nervous system development | 1.97E-11 |
| GO:0048699                                  | generation of neurons | 6.21E-06 |
| GO:0022008                                  | neurogenesis  | 7.68E-06 |
| GO:0043005                                  | neuron projection | 4.99E-05 |
| GO:0031175                                  | neuron projection development | 4.99E-05 |
| GO:0048666                                  | neuron development | 1.38E-04 |
| GO:0030182                                  | neuron differentiation | 1.38E-04 |
| GO:0007409|GO:0007410 | axonogenesis      | 0.00133804 |
| GO:0048812                                  | neuron projection morphogenesis | 0.00154705 |
| GO:0048667                                  | cell morphogenesis involved in neuron differentiation | 0.00238253 |
| GO:0045202                                  | synapse             | 0.0090807 |
| GO:0030424                                  | axon                | 0.046589  |

| Down regulation in SNM stage in HPRT knockdown | GO ACCESSION | GO Term | corrected p-value |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|------------------|
| GO:0007399                                  | nervous system development | 1.97E-11 |
| GO:0048699                                  | generation of neurons | 6.21E-06 |
| GO:0022008                                  | neurogenesis  | 7.68E-06 |
| GO:0043005                                  | neuron projection | 4.99E-05 |
| GO:0031175                                  | neuron projection development | 4.99E-05 |
| GO:0048666                                  | neuron development | 1.38E-04 |
| GO:0030182                                  | neuron differentiation | 1.38E-04 |
| GO:0007409|GO:0007410 | axonogenesis      | 0.00133804 |
| GO:0048812                                  | neuron projection morphogenesis | 0.00154705 |
| GO:0048667                                  | cell morphogenesis involved in neuron differentiation | 0.00238253 |
| GO:0045202                                  | synapse             | 0.0090807 |
| GO:0030424                                  | axon                | 0.046589  |

No significant GO terms related nervous system

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074967.t002
role in HPRT neuropathology [13], we examined the microarray data of WT and HPRT knockdown cells for the effect of HPRT knockdown on G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) GO terms. While cells at the ES and intermediate differentiation SNM stages showed few differentially expressed GPCR-related functions, the late DA neuronal stage of differentiation revealed a large number of dysregulated GPCR-related functions (Table 6) and mapping of these functions to the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) signaling pathway maps confirmed dysregulation of multiple calcium-mediated GPCR functions and signaling pathways in HPRT-deficient cells.

Because we have previously reported that HPRT deficiency leads to several aberrant signaling defects in common with those found in Alzheimer’s disease, as in the case of dysregulated presenilin-1 and Wnt/β-catennin signaling in HPRT-deficient fibroblasts [6], we applied the Panther Classification System to examine the microarray transcriptome data for GO terms related to neurodegenerative disease (Table 7). Most striking in this analysis are the findings at the embryonic stem cell and neuronal differentiation stages of HPRT-deficient cells of dysregulated signaling pathways also aberrantly expressed in Alzheimer’s and

### Table 3. GO functions significantly altered (p≤0.05) in the GO term “nervous system” at the DA neuronal stage of control and HPRT-knockdown conditions.

| GO ACCESSION | GO Term                                      | corrected p-value |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| GO:0030665   | clathrin coated vesicle membrane              | 4.77E-06          |
| GO:0030135/GO:0005909 | coated vesicle                              | 7.19E-06          |
| GO:0045202   | synapse                                      | 9.55E-06          |
| GO:0030136   | clathrin-coated vesicle                      | 9.65E-06          |
| GO:0030662   | coated vesicle membrane                      | 4.24E-05          |
| GO:0043005   | neuron projection                            | 0.001051372       |
| GO:004456    | synapse part                                 | 0.001532143       |
| GO:0030672   | synaptic vesicle membrane                    | 0.011576067       |
| GO:0008021   | synaptic vesicle                             | 0.013373459       |

| GO ACCESSION | GO Term                                      | corrected p-value |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| GO:0008366/GO:0042553 | axon ensheathment                          | 0.006744339       |
| GO:0007272   | ensheathment of neurons                      | 0.006744339       |
| GO:0019228   | regulation of action potential in neuron     | 0.011118365       |
| GO:0043209   | myelin sheath                                | 0.04257185        |

| GO ACCESSION | GO Term                                      | corrected p-value |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| GO:0007399   | nervous system development                   | 0.030906077       |
| GO:0031175   | neuron projection development                | 0.0315122         |
| GO:0048812   | neuron projection morphogenesis              | 0.036022328       |
| GO:0007411/GO:0008040 | axon guidance                              | 0.046554424       |
| GO:0007409/GO:0007410 | axonogenesis                                | 0.047252778       |
| GO:0030182   | neuron differentiation                       | 0.0481869         |

Figure 6. Western blot analysis for glial markers MBP and Olig2 of control WT cells and HPRT-knockdown ESD3 cells at the final neuronal stage of differentiation. Both markers demonstrate a significant increase of expression in the HPRT-knockdown cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074967.g006
Huntington’s disease, including the presenilin-1 pathway, lending support to a possible functional significance of our previous findings in HPRT-deficient fibroblasts [6] and supporting the concept that LND, Alzheimer’s and Huntington’s diseases may share some common pathogenic mechanisms.

To further define the unexpectedly broad and important regulatory role that HPRT seems to play in many cellular developmental and signaling processes, we examined dysregulation of canonical pathways from MSigDB [14] testing the RNASeq transcriptomic data for overall shift in mean and for polarization [15], and used sample-level permutations to establish stringent significance thresholds. Results are presented in Figure 7. At a threshold of two false discoveries, equivalent to a false-discovery rate of 0.22, we identified 6 major pathways that show consistent and temporally-related up-regulation in WT cells and 3 in KD cells. The 6 up-regulated WT pathways all reflect processes characteristic of rapidly proliferating cells: cell cycle, RNA metabolism, DNA repair, replication stress, and HIV life cycle and HIV infection pathways. The HIV-related pathways are not related to the immune response but rather to active transcription and translation, including over-expression of nuclear pore proteins, and also over-expression of the HCK gene, an Src protein-tyrosine kinase that activates microglial cells [16] and signals through PI3K/Akt [17]. The WT pathways are most active through day 8, decrease thereafter and then show a second increase at day 12 (Fig. 7).

The three pathways showing major up-regulation in KD cells (Fig. 7) include those characteristic of mechanisms related to lysosome function, membrane trafficking, and signaling pathway for platelet activation (SPPA). The most highly expressed lysosome genes are related to lysosomal function in sphingolipid and ganglioside metabolism that are active in astroglial cells [18]. Similarly, the most highly expressed membrane trafficking genes such as GNS are related to sulfate metabolism in the lysosome and storage disorders.

The polarization test identifies pathways with subsets responding in opposite directions, thereby resulting possibly in no net shift in overall pathway expression. Polarization often arises in cell signaling, with distinct cell types expressing ligands and receptors, and systematic differences are apparent. Detailed analysis of results

| Table 4. | Significantly altered (p≤0.05) myelination-related pathways in HPRT knockdown cells. |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| In embryonic stage | Pathway | corrected P-value |
| No significant pathway related to myelinating related pathways | |
| In SNM stage | Pathway | corrected P-value |
| Maturation of Notch precursor via proteolytic cleavage | 0.015534699 |
| Notch signaling pathway | 0.017844982 |
| Signaling events mediated by the Hedgehog family | 0.018737104 |
| Signaling events mediated by HDAC Class II | 0.025368122 |
| In DA stage | Pathway | corrected P-value |
| Sonic hedgehog receptor ptc1 regulates cell cycle | 0.011203819 |
| Signaling events mediated by HDAC Class III | 0.04690445 |

| Table 5. Expression of “dopamine” GO terms in differentiated neuronal cells. |
|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Up-regulation in SNM day 14 in Control | GO Term | corrected p-value |
| synaptic transmission, dopaminergic | 3.11E-04 |
| dopamine binding | 8.35E-04 |
| dopamine receptor activity | 0.00103916 |
| catechol metabolic process | 0.01598897 |
| catecholamine metabolic process | 0.01598897 |
| Up-regulation in SNM day 14 in HPRT knockdown | GO Term | corrected p-value |
| dopamine biosynthetic process | 0.00705662 |

WT control cells demonstrate up-regulation of multiple dopamine and catecholinergic functions while HPRT-knockdown neuronal cells demonstrate a narrower pattern of up-regulated dopamine biosynthetic processes. Neither cell type demonstrates down-regulation of dopamine GO terms. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074967.t005

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074967.t004

WT control cells demonstrate up-regulation of multiple dopamine and catecholinergic functions while HPRT-knockdown neuronal cells demonstrate a narrower pattern of up-regulated dopamine biosynthetic processes. Neither cell type demonstrates down-regulation of dopamine GO terms.
illustrated in Fig. 7 have identified a number of such polarized gene expression aberrations (data not presented). WT cells express Notch ligands jagged-2 (JAG2) and deltex-4 (DTX4) whereas HPRT-KD cells express notch 2, notch glycosylation protein Lunatic Fringe (LNFG), and notch signaling partner mastermind-like protein 2 (MAML2). HPRT-KD cells express CXCL12, whose receptor CXCR4 is highly over-expressed in WT cells. HPRT-KD cells also express TGFB2 and TGFB3, whose receptor TGFBR1 is over-expressed in WT cells. Polarization may also reflect pathways that include both activators and deactivators/repressors. For example, in the T cell receptor (TCR) signaling pathway, WT cells express protein tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor type 7 (PTPN7), which negatively regulates MAPK1/ERK2 and MAPK3/ERK1 [19,20] (Table 7), whereas HPRT-KD cells over-express MAPK3. These transcriptional findings support and suggest possible mechanisms responsible for our previous Western blotting demonstration of constitutive over-expression of MAPK/ERK1 and ERK2 in HPRT-deficient iPS cells [7]. Polarization also arises from expression of alternative subunits. For example, the NOS1 pathway points to HPRT-regulated discordant expression of NMDA glutamate receptors: WT cells express increasing amounts of GRIN2A, whereas HPRT-KD cells express GRIN2C and GRIN3A (Fig. 7).

### Discussion

Studies of the classical monogenic HPRT-deficiency Lesch Nyhan Disease have largely failed previously to connect the well-understood underlying genetic defect of purine salvage biosynthesis with the development of the neurological defects of dystonia, choreoathetosis, moderate cognitive impairment and compulsive self-mutilation. We have recently identified a number of biochemical and molecular aberrations in HPRT deficiency that point to multiple defects in gene expression and cellular signaling involving expression of DA transcription factors, a variety of signaling pathways and miRNA expression. We have hypothesized that these defects may contribute to severe developmental and functional dysregulation of neural developmental pathways, especially those that determine DA neurogenesis and dopaminergic signaling. We have now used a robust system for in vitro neuronal differentiation of iPS and ES cells to identify some

**Table 6.** Significantly altered (p≤0.05) GPCR signaling pathways in control and HPRT-knockdown cells.

| Pathway                                                                 | corrected P-value |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| In embryonic stage                                                     |                   |
| No significant pathway related to GPCR                                  |                   |
| In SNM stage                                                           |                   |
| Wnt signaling pathway                                                 | 9.45E-03          |
| Heterotrimeric G-protein signaling pathway-Gi alpha and Gs alpha mediated pathway | 1.67E-02          |
| In DA stage                                                            |                   |
| Metabotropic glutamate receptor group III pathway                      | 1.98E-06          |
| Wnt signaling pathway                                                 | 2.77E-06          |
| SH2 type receptor mediated signaling pathway                           | 6.94E-06          |
| Thyrotropin-releasing hormone receptor signaling pathway               | 7.74E-06          |
| Cytoskeletal regulation by Rho GTPase                                  | 3.54E-05          |
| Histamine H1 receptor mediated signaling pathway                       | 3.62E-05          |
| Oxytocin receptor mediated signaling pathway                           | 5.20E-05          |
| Beta1 adrenergic receptor signaling pathway                            | 5.88E-05          |
| Beta2 adrenergic receptor signaling pathway                            | 5.88E-05          |
| SST1 type receptor mediated signaling pathway                          | 1.78E-04          |
| GABA-B_receptor_II_signaling                                           | 2.38E-04          |
| Metabotropic glutamate receptor group II pathway                       | 6.31E-04          |
| Heterotrimeric G-protein signaling pathway-Gi alpha and Gs alpha mediated pathway | 7.36E-04          |
| Ras Pathway                                                           | 9.45E-04          |
| Endothelin signaling pathway                                           | 1.09E-03          |
| Heterotrimeric G-protein signaling pathway-Gq alpha and Go alpha mediated pathway | 1.12E-03          |
| SH2 type receptor mediated signaling pathway                           | 1.18E-03          |
| Beta3 adrenergic receptor signaling pathway                            | 1.60E-03          |
| Histamine H2 receptor mediated signaling pathway                       | 2.15E-03          |
| Heterotrimeric G-protein signaling pathway-rod outer segment phototransduction | 6.94E-03          |
| Metabotropic glutamate receptor group I pathway                        | 7.96E-03          |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074967.t006
mechanisms by which HPRT regulates the molecular and cellular functions during neuronal differentiation of pluripotent murine ESD3 cells. These results establish that the housekeeping gene HPRT carries out functions far more complex than simply driving the metabolic steps of salvage purine biosynthesis and that it also plays very important roles in regulating a large number of developmental and cell signaling pathways that accompany and regulate many aspects of CNS development, most particularly mechanisms that determine neuronal/glial cell fate decisions during neurogenesis. We have used global transcriptomic characterization methods to identify mechanisms that are severely disturbed when HPRT expression is deficient. Although we have not yet fully established a direct causal relationship between these aberrations and the development of the neural dysfunction in the HPRT-deficient mammalian CNS, we have built upon these findings in the murine HPRT deficiency model to develop a working hypothesis that important aspects of impaired development and function of DA and possibly other neural pathways in human HPRT deficiency result from aberrations of many pathways and signaling systems caused by dysregulated differentiation and cell fate decisions made by embryonic stem cells during neurogenesis in the developing embryonic human CNS.

One major prime candidate for playing a causative factor in defective neural development in HPRT deficiency is an apparently aberrant embryonic cell fate decision that leads to a switch from a neuronal pattern of gene expression to an almost exclusively glial pattern of gene expression. Approximately midway during the ES DA neuronal differentiation process in vitro, HPRT-deficient murine ES cells undergo a major transcriptional switch away from neuronal almost entirely to a glial gene expression program, even as they continue to generate cells with at least some of the principal molecular properties of dopaminergic neurons such as β-III tubulin and TH expression (Fig. 4). We note the apparently discrepant results of β-III tubulin expression as determined by qPCR (Fig. 3) and immunostaining (Fig. 4) techniques. We do not have experimental results that explain that difference, but several previous reports have demonstrated that such discrepancies between mRNA and protein gene product levels are well recognized in some systems [21, 22]. The exact nature of the responsible mechanisms has not been definitively established in these earlier studies, but the differences have been attributed to many possible mechanisms, including actual differences between the transcripts and protein products reflecting the existence of possible alternative differential splicing events leading to multiple isoforms with different mechanisms regulating their protein stability and abundance, probe set definition, technological sensitivity differences, etc.

Although the switch from neuronal to glial gene expression might be expected to affect the generation or distribution of glial cells and altered myelination and ensheathment functions in HPRT deficiency, there have been until now no major neuronal or glial cyto-architectural aberrations described in HPRT-knockout mice and only occasional but unconfirmed demonstrations of glial or myelination disturbances with peripheral neuropathy in human LND patients [23, 24]. Multiple mechanisms may theoretically cooperate to dysregulate gliogenesis and myelination in HPRT deficiency. Wnt signaling plays a vital role in myelination in the mammalian CNS [25–32] and the Wnt/β-catenin canonical signaling pathway inhibits oligodendrocyte maturation and their myelination function [50], underscoring the possible significance of our previous report of deficient canonical Wnt-1 signaling/β-catenin processing in HPRT-deficiency [6]. This impaired β-catenin expression may therefore play a role in up-regulating glial gene expression in the HPRT-knockdown ESD3 cells described above. In addition, the dysregulated myelination-associated signaling pathways shown in Table 4 include aberrations of histone deacetylase (HDAC), hedgehog and Notch function, all of which are known to be regulators of myelination and glial function.

Mice lacking both HDAC1 and HDAC2 exhibit severe myelin deficiency with arrested Schwann cell development and HDAC2 acts in synergy with Sox10 to activate the transcriptional program of myelination [30, 33, 34]. Since hedgehog and Notch participate in the regulation of oligodendrocyte function and myelination,
their perturbations in HPRT deficiency could contribute to mechanisms producing the neuronal/glial gene expression switch described in the present murine ES cell model system. Hedgehog expression is required for the expression of Olig1 and Olig2 in myelin-forming oligodendrocytes [35–39] and the aberrant hedgehog expression seen in the HPRT-knockdown ESD3 cells might therefore be expected to alter oligodendrocyte functions and mechanisms of myelination. Similarly, Notch expression is required by oligodendrocytes for production and stabilization of the myelin component myelin basic protein [MBP] [39]. The increased level of MBP in the HPRT-knockdown cells illustrated in Fig. 6 may therefore be at least partly the result of dysregulated Notch expression (Table 4) and subsequent to up-regulated expression of MBP. Interestingly, despite the robust aberration of gliogenic gene expression in the differentiating murine ES cells, no major myelination and myelogenesis defects have been described in HPRT deficiency, either in the human or in the HPRT-knockout mouse. Only two studies have reported possible myelination aberrations in HPRT deficiency [23,24] but the question of gliogenesis and myelination have not been thoroughly examined in HPRT deficiency. Nevertheless, our present studies demonstrate that HPRT is a strong determinant of the neuronal or glial gene expression pattern in murine ES cells during neuronal differentiation. That fact together with dysregulations in multiple other regulatory systems such as notch, hedgehog and HDAC, in HPRT deficiency (Table 4), indicates the need for future studies to identify the specific aberrations in these signaling pathways and to determine whether they cause, follow or are merely coincidentally related to the altered patterns of gene expression in HPRT-deficient cells, both in cell culture model systems and in vivo.

Another surprising defect in differentiating HPRT-deficient murine ES cells is the aberrant regulation of Sox2. The aberrant neuronal/glial developmental switch may be mechanistically related to an altered state of pluripotency and aberrant mechanisms of exit from pluripotency and initiation of neuronal differentiation in HPRT-deficient ESD3 cells, as suggested by the early and progressive up-regulation of Sox2 during neuronal differentiation (Fig. 3C). Sox2, along with Nanog and Oct-3/4, are vital for faithful mammalian embryogenesis, for the maintenance of pluripotency and for aspects of neural development [40–44]. Persistent expression of Sox2 is associated with continued proliferative potential of stem cells while down-regulation is characteristic of cells differentiating into post-mitotic neurons. Equally striking is the demonstration that Sox2 over-expression interferes with neurogenesis in both the mouse and the chicken inner-ear [45,46] and that production of neurons from stem cells requires down-regulation of Sox2. Therefore, the persistent and even increasing expression of Sox2 in the differentiating HPRT-deficient ESD3 cells in these studies is consistent with the impaired neurogenesis characteristic of HPRT deficiency [2–5].

The extreme complexity of this system is underscored by the apparent discrepancy between what seems to comparably efficient generation of differentiated cells with morphological and molecular and properties of DA neurons (Figs. 2, 4 and 5) and the sharp shift during DA neurogenic differentiation away from a neuronal to a glial pattern of gene expression. While these and our previous studies have identified a degree of aberrant DA neurogenesis in HPRT deficiency, the defect is an impeded, misdirected or inappropriately timed differentiation rather than a block to neurogenesis. The CNS in LND and in HPRT-knockout mice are known to contain normal numbers and distribution of cells with morphological properties of dopaminergic neurons and our own studies show generation from HPRT-deficient murine ES cells of populations of cells which express the DA neuronal markers TH and β-III tubulin but which have virtually shut down neuronal gene expression in favor of glial cell gene expression. This apparent discrepancy in HPRT-regulation of DA neurogenesis may be explained partly by the possibility that most cells in a heterogeneous population of differentiated HPRT-deficient DA-neuronal cells have become partially differentiated toward the DA neuronal phenotype, as least far enough along the pathway to express β-III tubulin TH and but are being impeded by HPRT deficiency and are either being re-directed along a glial developmental pathway or are being replaced by glial elements in the cell population that have a growth or survival advantage in the HPRT-deficient milieu.

An additional intriguing clue to the mechanisms of neuropathogenicity in HPRT deficiency is provided by the broad dysregulatory effect of HPRT deficiency on guanine purine metabolism and thereby on GPCR expression. HPRT deficiency causes broad changes in purine and purine nucleotide levels, including guanine nucleotide levels [47], an effect that would be expected to dysregulate the function of many GPCRs and thereby to disturb many cellular signaling transduction events dependent on intact GPCR signaling pathways. We have previously reported that HPRT deficiency leads to defective expression of the GPCR purinergic receptor P2Y1 and that in turn is associated with aberrant pERK and pCREB signaling [7]. Other groups have shown that HPRT alters activities of additional GPCRs including membrane NTPase and adenylate cyclase [48,49] The many aberrantly-expressed GPCRs listed in Table 7 include functions associated with neurotransmission and neural development, including Wnt-1 signaling, glutamate receptors, 5HT receptors, GABAergic receptors. These results are consistent with our findings of disturbed function of these neurotransmitter functions as identified in Tables S2–4 in File S1, with aberrant regulation of aspects of DA binding, DA receptor activity, and catechol metabolic processes. Our findings also support previous demonstrations by other groups of defective function of serotonin and adenosine receptors in HPRT deficiency [50–53]. Overall, these studies indicate that HPRT deficiency produces neurotransmission defects more extensive than the well-recognized defects in dopamine transmission and DA neurogenesis.

As illustrated in Fig. 7, HPRT deficiency in murine ESD3 cells is associated with impaired cellular activity devoted to an unexpectedly broad set of signaling pathways and metabolic functions that affect cell proliferation, protein synthesis, RNA biosynthesis, DNA replication and repair, enhanced cellular effort devoted to such diverse functions as sphingolipid metabolism and membrane biosynthesis, sulfur and glycan metabolism and to

Figure 7. Mean shift and polarization of canonical pathways. Gene expression of 802 canonical pathways was tested for (A) shift in mean expression and (B) polarization, each test aggregating paired differences between wild-type (WT) and HPRT-knockdown (KD) at each time point during the differentiation. Rows are significant pathways, organized by source database and with the top three WT and KD genes provided underneath the pathway name. Columns are the module response over time, with red/blue indicating over-expression in WT/KD cells and diameter indicating the fraction of genes in the pathway with differential expression. The mean-shift test statistic is the mean log-ratio of WT to KD expression aggregated over time points for genes within a pathway; the polarization test statistic is the variance of gene log-ratios within a pathway. For both tests, the null distribution is obtained by permutation of sample labels. Thresholds are two false discoveries (FDR = 0.042) for mean shift and one false discovery (FDR = 0.042) for polarization.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074967.g007
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PPAR metabolism [54,55] and others. In several of the cell signaling pathways, the wild-type and HPRT-deficient cells express a number of discordant component mechanisms potentially participating in aberrant neuronal development. These systems have not been thoroughly characterized in human HPRT deficiency and their functions and interactions in producing the HPRT neural phenotype are largely unknown.

An area of potential relevance to HPRT neuropathology is the possibility that HPRT deficiency leads to disruption of normal microRNA expression, thereby leading to aberrant expression of many target genes involved in embryonic development of the mammalian CNS. Defects in microRNA expression would have the effect of providing an efficient mechanism for producing the extremely broad set of downstream defects that characterize HPRT deficiency and the LND neurological phenotype. We have previously reported that HPRT deficiency indeed leads to dysregulation of at least one miRNA (miR181a) [8] and recently we have found preliminary evidence for dysregulated expression of additional miRNAs (unpublished results). Their significance is under study.

We interpret these combined results to indicate that the housekeeping gene HPRT is a vital neurodevelopmental gene and that it plays a number of important non-“housekeeping” functions. Our studies suggest that HPRT is a determinant in regulating steps in mammalian CNS development possible through combined effects on stem cell pluripotency and neuronal differentiation, on cell fate decisions in neuronal and glial development, on microRNA expression, on GPCR expression, and on multiple additional cellular signaling and metabolic functions. We surmise that HPRT deficiency constitutes a systems disorder and that the pathogenesis of this monogenic but yet very complex neurodevelopmental Mendelian disease results from combinatorial and multigenic defects. We further surmise that these defects are all ultimately the result of aberrant purine metabolism but we cannot exclude the possibility that at least some of the cellular defects may result from other pleiotropic and non-purinergic functions of the HPRT protein. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, this is the first time this kind of stem cell developmental gene expression analysis has been carried out in a neurodevelopmental disease and certainly in HPRT deficiency, and we suggest that it is of great value to workers in this field that we have documented the broad transcriptional aberrations induced in many pathways by HPRT deficiency. Our study identifies, for the first time, the many pathways that now can become accessible for deeper functional study and underscores the importance of examining functions in all of these aberrant pathways if we are to understand the very complex mechanisms by which the purine metabolome regulates neurogenesis and its role in the pathogenesis in Lesch Nyhan Disease.

Materials and Methods

Cells and neuronal differentiation

The ESD3 mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC) line derived from mouse strain 129S2/SvPas was purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA) and the cells were maintained on primary mouse embryonic fibroblast (PMEF) feeder cells in ES medium consisting of Dulbecco’s minimum essential medium (DMEM)/F12 medium, 20% (v/v) knockout serum replacement (KOSR), 1 mM glutamine, 4 ng/ml of basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), 1,000U/ml of leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), 1% (v/v) nonessential amino acids (NEAA), 50 U/ml of penicillin, 50ug/ml of streptomycin and 0.1 mM of 2-mercaptoethanol. PMEF and LIF were obtained from Millipore (Billerica, MA), and the other reagents used for ES medium were obtained from GIBCO (Grand Island, NY). The culture and differentiation reagents including collagenase IV, N2 supplement and B27 supplement were purchased from GIBCO and sonic hedgehog (Shh), fibroblast growth factor 8 (FGF8) and ascorbic acid were obtained from R&D system (Minneapolis, MN, USA), PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ) and Sigma (St. Louis), respectively. Matrigel was purchased from BD Biosciences (San Jose). All cell culture and neuronal differentiations were carried out in established cell culture conditions (5% CO2, 37°C).

Microarray analysis

Total RNAs from both HPRT knockdown and control cells were collected at the embryonic, SNM and final neuronal differentiation stages using RNaseq Mini Kit from QIAGEN (Valencia, CA). Purified RNAs from each condition were pooled and transferred to the UCSD Biogem Illumina core facility for gene expression analysis. The integrity of RNA samples was documented by Agilent Bioanalyzer (Santa Clara) and RNA preparations with RNA integrity numbers (RIN) of at least 9.2 ± 0.5 was used for microarray analysis. Transcriptional analysis was performed in triplicate using the Illumina MouseWG-6 v2.0 Expression BeadChip Kit (≥ 45,200 transcripts) and reagents and experimental methods according to manufacturer’s directions (Illumina, San Diego). Scanning of hybridized BeadChip and image quantitation was performed using Illumina’s BeadArray software, raw data were normalized and exported to GeneSpring GX 11.5 (Agilent, Santa Clara) and the PANTHER Classification System for GO, GSEA and signaling pathway analysis (http://www.pantherdb.org/). Total detected entities were filtered by signal intensity value (upper cut-off 100th and lower cut-off 20th percentile) and error (coefficient of variation: CV <50.0 percent) to remove very low signal entities and to select reproducible signal values of entities among the replicated experiments, respectively. For statistical analysis, paired and unpaired t-tests (p<0.05) were used and significant changes were defined as above either 1.5-fold or 2-fold change. Signals were selected if they were above microarray background (detection p-value <0.05) in either all experiments or in at least three knockdown or control experiments in each pair for comparison. All microarray-based transcriptomic data are accessible through GEO Series accession number (GSE42453), a MIAME compliant database (e.g. ArrayExpress, GEO), as described on the MGED Society website (http://mgid.sourceforge.net/ontologies/).
RNA-Seq analysis

For RNA-Seq gene expression analysis of cells during differentiation from SNM to the final neuronal differentiation stage, total RNAs were collected as for microarray analysis during SNM differentiation at days 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14. Purified RNAs derived from each time point in each condition were pooled and applied for RNA sequencing in the UCSD Biogem Illumina core facility. Library preparation was performed with TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation Kit v2 of Illumina (San Diego), and the prepared libraries were validated and quantified with Agilent Bioanalyzer (Santa Clara). The RIN was 9.5±0.5. To quantify and qualify the DNA library before sequencing, quantitative PCR and Nanodrop/Bioanalyzer analyses were carried out and the validated library was applied to the HiSeq 2000 sequencer of Illumina for 50 cycles of single lane run. Twenty libraries were applied to four sequencing lanes evenly in a flow cell and five samples in a lane were distinguished by using index libraries. Raw sequencing data (fastq.gz file) were generated by CASAVA 1.8.2 pipeline provided by Illumina and aligned onto NCBI37/mm9 mouse genome using ELAND (Illumina) alignment algorithm in the pipeline (.txt.gz file).

Aligned data including all aligned reads, the partial reads, novel gene and exons were imported to Avadis NGS 1.3.1 (Strand Scientific Intelligence, San Francisco). An average of 15 million reads by Illumina tile plot filter were applied to following analysis. The parameters used for finding novel genes and exons included one 10th of minimum exon, intron and gene lengths, one 50th of minimum exon and gene RPKM (reads aligned per kilobases per million mapped), one 10th of minimum exon RPKM w.r.t. host gene and one 90th of maximum intron length, were specified. The normalization algorithm was DESeq [http://www.bioconductor.org/]. To remove very low signal entities, total detected entities were filtered by gene expression values (upper cut-off 100th and lower cut-off 20th percentile) and required at least one out of two samples to have values within that range. To detect differential gene expression during neuronal differentiation, changes either ≥1.5 or ≥2.0 fold between control and HPRT knockdown conditions were selected. Analyses of GO, GSEA and signaling pathways were carried out using the Avadis NGS 1.3.1 (Strand Scientific Intelligence) and the PANTHER Classification System (http://www.pantherdb.org/). All transcriptomic data are MIAME compliant and raw data were deposited in NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus [54] and are accessible through GEO Series accession number (GSE42662), a MIAME compliant database (e.g. ArrayExpress, GEO), as detailed on the MGED Society website [http://www.mged.sourceforge.net/ontologies/].

Canonical pathways from MSigDB [14] were also analyzed. For this analysis, over 98% of short reads were mapped to NCBI mm9 transcripts using Tophat [55]; gene-level RPKM values were provided by Cufflinks [56]; and gene-level differential expression log-ratios, z-scores, and p-values for knockdown vs. control at each time point were estimated by Cuffdiff. The day 6 data appeared to be a highly discrepant outlier and were omitted. Pathways were required to have at least 10 observed genes, yielding 802 for testing. For each pathway, two tests were performed: shift-of-mean, for overall up- or down-regulation of the entire pathway, and polarization, for subsets of genes with strong up-regulation and down-regulation within a single pathway. Both tests used parametric statistics [15] and corrected for gene non-independence by permutation.

The test statistic for shift-of-means was $S = \bar{z}_g / (GT)^{1/2}$, where $\bar{z}_g$ is the z-score for wild-type (WT) vs. knockdown (KD) for gene $g$ at time $t$, and the sum is over the $G$ genes in a pathway and $T$ total time points, and using if the $z$-scores are independent and identically distributed standard normal, the normalization by $(GT)^{1/2}$ yields a standard normal. To correct for non-independence, however, we performed all $2^T$ sample-level permutations by swapping WT and KD labels within time points, corresponding to negating all z-scores at that time point, but excluded the permutations equivalent to the observed data and to the negation of the observed data. The mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^2$ of $S$ were computed for each pathway individually from the 510 permutations; $S$ for each pathway was converted to a z-score $(Gm)/\sigma$ and a two-sided p-value for $S$ was calculated from the standard normal distribution. The p-value for one false discovery was estimated as $1/(\text{number of pathways tested})$, or 1/802. No pathway was significant at this level, but 9 pathways were significant at two false discoveries, equivalent to a false-discovery rate of 0.22.

The test statistic for polarization was $P = \left[ \sum_{g \in G} (z_g - \bar{z})^2 / (G-1) / \left[ \sum_{g \in G} z_g^2 / G \right] \right]$, where the z-score for a gene is $z_g = S_{z_g} / T^{1/2}$, and the mean dysregulation for a pathway is $z = \bar{z}_g / G$. If the $z_g$ values are independent, identically distributed standard normal, then $S_{z_g} / G$ follows a chi-square distribution with $G-1$ degrees of freedom; subtracting the mean $G-1$ and dividing by variance $2(G-1)$ gives a test statistic that approaches a standard normal. To correct for non-independence, the mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^2$ of $P$ were computed for each pathway with permutations; $P$ was converted to a z-score as $P(m)/\sigma$, and the one-sided p-value for $P>\mu$ was calculated from the standard normal distribution. At one false discovery, 24 pathways were significant, equivalent to a false-discovery rate of 0.042.

Immunocytochemistry

Cells maintained on Matrigel-coated coverslips (BD Biosciences, San Jose, California, USA) were stained and examined as described [15]. Mouse monoclonal anti-beta III tubulin and rabbit monoclonal anti-tyrosine hydroxylase primary antibodies in this study were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge), and the anti-mouse and anti-rabbit IgGs conjugated to Texas Red and FITC, respectively, were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz). Expression of DAPI and secondary fluorophores was detected using an aBX51 fluorescence microscope digital imaging system of Olympus (Center Valley, PA).

FACS analysis

For FACS analysis, approximately $10^6$ cells were fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma) for 15 minutes at room temperature, permeabilized with 100% methanol on ice for 30 min. Treated cell aliquots were exposed to blocking solution of 0.5% BSA (Sigma) in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. For immunostaining, primary antibodies were diluted in blocking solution according to the manufacturer’s instructions and incubated with cells for 1 hour at room temperature. The fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibody was applied for 30 min at room temperature. Antibodies included rabbit monoclonal anti-tyrosine hydroxylase primary antibody (Abcam) and Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). Analysis of immunostained cells was carried out in the flow cytometry core facility in the UCSD Moores Cancer Center using FACS Calibur flow cytometer of BD Bioscience (San Jose).

Quantitative PCR analysis

Purification of total RNAs in cell extracts was carried out by RNeasy Mini Kit of QIAGEN (Valencia) according to the manufacturer’s instruction and qPCR reactions were performed using glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase as a standard-
HPRT enzymatic assay

HPRT enzyme activity was determined as previously described [6]. The IMP and AMP positions scraped from the TLC plates were mixed with Insta-Gel Plus liquid scintillation cocktail (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) and radioactivity was measured using a Beckman Coulter LS 6500 liquid scintillating counter (Brea, CA).
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