The Management of Intraabdominal Testis: A Survey of the World Federation of Associations of Pediatric Surgeons (WOFAPS) Practices
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Background and Objective: The optimal treatment protocol of intraabdominal testis is still a matter of debate and until now there are a lot of areas of controversy as regards this challenging subtype. The aim of this report is to document current practice patterns among surgeons from different continents through an online Redcap survey supervised the World Federation of the Association of Pediatric Surgeons (WOFAPS).

Methods: A 16-question-survey related to the management of intraabdominal testis was created and administered via RedCap. The WOFAPS headquarters sent an email to all members inviting voluntary survey participation. Data were entered using Microsoft EXCEL spreadsheet and analyzed. Descriptive statistics were performed for each survey item.

Results: There were 436 WOFAPS members who participated in this study with a response rate of 29%, and the vast majority were pediatric surgeons. Only 13% tried to use hormone therapy aiming to induce testicular descent or to improve future fertility. The choices of various surgical techniques were noted. During laparoscopy, if vessels and cord structure were seen entering the ipsilateral internal inguinal ring, most respondents chose to explore the groin. On the other hand, should there was an absent or atrophic testis, the respondents were split on whether to perform a contralateral orchiopexy.

Conclusion: This survey describes the current practices of a sample of pediatric surgeons and urologists in the management of intraabdominal testis. The use of hormonal treatment, timing of fixation and management in case of passing through vas and vessels through DIR were undisputable. However, management of low-lying and peeing testis together with the management of contralateral testis was still debatable.
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INTRODUCTION

Cryptorchidism or undescended testis is the most common genitourinary anomaly affecting boys with an overall incidence of around 3% in full term neonates, reaching up to 30% in pre-mature boys (1). During the first months of life, spontaneous normal descent process of the testes may continue. Cryptorchidism is detected in 1–2% by 3-month of age, and drops to 0.8–1.2% in boys at the age of 1 year (2). Approximately 20% of the testicular maldescent is not palpable (3). A non-palpable testis could be either vanishing testis (due to intra-uterine demise), true monorchia (due to agenesis), intra-abdominal testis, or inguinal testis showing a different grade of dysplasia, atrophy or dysplasia (4).

While the management of boys with palpable undescended testes is more or less standardized, the optimal treatment protocol of intraabdominal testis is still a matter of debate and until now there are a lot of areas of controversy as regards this challenging subtype (5). Among these areas are the role of hormonal therapy, the optimal surgical procedures should the testis was visible using laparoscopy and the ideal management scenario in cases of passing through and absent testis. The aim of this report is to document current practice patterns among pediatric surgeons and urologists from different continents through an online redcap survey supervised the World Federation of the Association of Pediatric Surgeons (WOFAPS).

METHODS

A 16-question-survey regarding respondent demographics and practices related to the management of intraabdominal testis was created and administered via RedCap, a web application for building and managing online surveys and databases. The first four demographic questions were required, but all other questions were answered at participant discretion. The survey was developed and screened through the WOFAPS Outcomes and Evidence Based Practice Committee prior to dissemination. The headquarters of WOFAPS sent an email on 4th of April 2021 to all WOFAPS members inviting voluntary survey participation. Collective survey answers were tabulated and reported as frequencies and percentages. Data were entered using Microsoft EXCEL spreadsheet and analyzed using IBM SPSS software package version 20.0. (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Descriptive statistics were performed for each survey item.

RESULTS

Respondent Demographics

A total of 436 of 1,503 WOFAPS members (29%) who received the survey responded with the majority being from Latin America and Asia (both contribute to around one-third). This was followed by Africa and Europe with minimal contribution by North America and Australia. Around 92% were pediatric surgeon, 7% pediatric urologist, and 1% general surgeons. Approximately two-fifth of the respondents had 21–30 years of experience.

The results of the response to the survey is summarized in Table 1. The highlights of the results revealed some important agreements as well as discrepancies. Regarding the use of hormonal treatment, only 13% tried to use hormone therapy to induce passage of intra-abdominal testicles or to try to improve future fertility. Most respondents would perform surgery after age 6 months. The choices of various surgical techniques were noted including Fowler-Stephen's, Shehata's technique and lap assisted single stage vessel sparing orchiopexy. In cases where there is no palpable testicle and laparoscopy shows vessels and cord structure on laparoscopy entering the ipsilateral internal inguinal ring, most respondents chose to explore the groin. On the other hand, if there was an absent or atrophic testis, the respondents were almost split on whether to perform a contralateral orchiopexy (54% against, 46% for).

DISCUSSION

This survey describes the current practices of a sample of pediatric surgeons and urologists in the management of intraabdominal testis. Points of interest were the use of hormonal therapy, timing of surgery, and surgical options in different scenarios during diagnostic laparoscopy.

Hormonal Treatment

The majority of the respondents chose not to use hormonal therapy by any means during management of an intraabdominal testis, where only 13% use hormonal therapy to induce testicular descent and 7% to improve future fertility. The hormonal treatment of cryptorchidism is based on the hormonal reliance of testicular descent. Human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG), gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH, luteinizing hormone releasing hormone—LHRH) or a combination of both are the most used hormonal therapies (6).

The main reason for not recommending hormonal treatment of the undescended testis is the low success rate of this treatment (up to 20%), (7) which after follow-up, drops to 15% as a result of secondary re-ascent of the testis (8). Nevertheless, this was not compatible by the randomized study performed by Höcht et al. (9) who reported an initial descend of 59% (17/29), which dropped slightly after 10 years to 52% after initial treatment using LH-RH nasal sprays. In the often cited study performed by Cortes et al. (10) the use of hCG in cryptorchidism was claimed to be acco with higher apoptosis rate of spermatogonia and a 50% smaller testicular volume compared to cryptorchid testes not treated with hCG. Therefore, based on the aforementioned causes, combined with the possible deleterious side effects and the lack of published long-term outcome data, recent AUA guidelines along with the Nordic consensus panel defer the use of hormonal therapy to facilitate testicular descent (7, 11). Likewise, the European Association of Urology (EAU)/European Society for Pediatric Urology (ESPU) Guidelines Committee stated that there is no consensus on utilizing hormonal treatment for testicular descent (Level 2 evidence, Grade B recommendation) (12).

On the other hand, proponents of the role of hormonal therapy demonstrated that cryptorchidism is a serious...
TABLE 1 | Management practices evaluated in the survey.

| Question                                                                 | Number (%)          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Do you use hormonal treatment to induce testicular descent?              | Yes 57 (12.1) No 379 (86.8) |
| Do you use hormonal treatment to improve future fertility?              | Yes 31 (7.1) No 405 (92.9) |
| What is the preferred timing for operation?                              | 6–9 months 183 (41.9) |
| 10–12 months 170 (39.1) 12–18 months 78 (17.9) >18 months 5 (1.1) |
| What is the preferred technique for testis within 3 cm from DIR?         | Lap assisted single stage vessel sparing orchiopexy 176 (40.4) |
|                                                                           | Lap assisted FS 24 (5.3) |
|                                                                           | Lap assisted Shehata technique 16 (3.9) |
| What to do if you find a vas and vessels passing through open DIR?       | Explore the inguinal canal 328 (75.2) Do not explore the inguinal canal 108 (24.8) |
| What to do if you find a vas and vessels passing through closed DIR?     | Explore the inguinal canal 400 (91.7) Do not explore the inguinal canal 36 (8.3) |
| Would you perform prophylactic contralateral pexy in absent testis?      | Yes 200 (45.9) No 236 (54.1) |
| Have you ever seen torsion in a single testis?                           | Yes 99 (22.7) No 337 (77.3) |

Timing of Surgery

The optimal timing for intervention was not a subject of controversy in the survey as 82% reported to perform orchiopexy from 6 to 12 months (42% from 6 to 9 months, 39% from 9 to 12 months), whilst only one-fifth prefer at 12–18 months.

Because of the high incidence of spontaneous descent during the first few months of life, surgery for undescended testes diagnosed at birth should not be performed before 6 months of age (Level 2 evidence, Grade B recommendation). Wenzler et al. (17) performed a 10-year, retrospective study of 1,235 boys with cryptorchidism and they found that the should the testis was still undescended by 2 months of age, the chance of spontaneous descent dropped drastically, with the chance of descent after 6 months of age is almost nil. Hence, if the testis remains undescended by the age of 6 months, orchiopexy should be performed as early as 12 months, (17) and up to a maximal cutoff of 18 months of age as recommended by the 2014 AUA update on cryptorchidism (11). This is because it appears that the longer cryptorchid boys wait to have orchiopexy, the higher the risk of having a paucity of germ cells in their testes, (18) elevating the risk of infertility from 79 to almost 100% (19). In addition, Kollin et al. (20) showed an improvement of testicular growth with early orchiopexy (9 months) when compared to orchiopexy at the age of 3 years. Another factor is that the distance required to lower the testicles in older boys becomes too long, which increases the risk and difficulty of surgery (21).

Surgical Approach

Testis Found Within 3 cm From Deep Inguinal Ring (DIR)

This distance is measured from the lowest point in which the testis can reach the DIR without tension using a piece of suture material and then measured externally on a scale. Two techniques, the two-stage Fowler-Stephens (FS) and the lap assisted single stage vessel sparing orchiopexy, were almost equally preferred by around 70% of the respondents in management of low-lying testis. These are followed by Shehata technique in 18% and one-stage FS in a tenth of the surgeons.

For the low-lying abdominal testis (<3 cm from DIR), one-stage orchiopexy is a suitable option. The key steps are mobilization of the peritoneum, dividing the gubernaculum and redirecting the spermatic cord medial to the inguinal canal (Prentiss maneuver). Excellent results are reported in low-lying abdominal testis, for instance, Baker et al. reported success in

andrological problem even if it is a unilateral one. This is supported by the fact that even after successful surgery, azoospermia is 25 times more common in unilateral and 80 times more common in bilateral cryptorchidism in comparison with the control population (13). Thus, it is recommended that hormonal therapy should be the first therapeutic choice because it may avoid resorting to surgery, as claimed by Höcht et al. with the highest success reported when the testis was in the pre-scrotal position (9, 14). Moreover, on comparing those who received LH-RH spray after successful orchiopexy with those who underwent surgery alone, 86% of the former group was within the normal range, whilst all the latter group remained oligospermic (15). Hence, a post-surgical hormonal treatment for the high infertility and azoospermia patients who underwent successful early orchiopexy has been recommended (16).
173/175 cases (97.2%) and Radmayr et al. in 28/28 cases (100%) (22, 23).

In 1959, Fowler and Stephens (24) reported the single-stage testicular descent fixation following spermatic vascular ligation based on the fact that vas deferens generally is sufficiently long to allow the IAT to reach the scrotum. Later, modifications of the technique was done, where the testes are kept in the abdomen in situ without any treatment after ligating the spermatic vessels, followed by staged surgery. As regards the outcome, Esposito et al. (25) found that 10/12 (83%) had a viable testis in the scrotum. However, despite the good vascularization detected on color doppler ultrasound, the operated testis was always smaller in size than the normal testis. Additionally, Rosito et al. (26) found that the number of spermatogonia and seminiferous tubules was reduced significantly 6 months after ligation of the spermatic vessels. Despite these reported drawbacks, FS technique is still commonly used worldwide.

Whether one- or two- stage FSO is superior is not yet fully determined. In a meta-analysis performed by Elyas et al. and by Wayne et al. (27, 28) two-stage FS was minimally but significantly superior; hence, the choice between one- or two- stage FSO repair should be according to the surgeon’s preference. Opposite findings were found by Elzeneini et al. (29) who found that 25% of the testes were atrophic and 50% only were scrotal after one-stage FS compared to 12% atrophic and 96% in scrotal position after one-stage FS.

On the other hand, Shehata technique entails laparoscopic staged traction procedure without the need for vessel ligation. In this operation, a suture fixation of the testis to the anterior abdominal wall, medial to the contralateral anterior superior iliac spine with 2nd stage laparoscopic orchiopexy performed around 12-weeks later. This fixation is thought to use the gravity of the intestine to continuously and gently produce traction to the testicular vessels. The overall success rate was 84 percent in 140 testes as reported by the authors, with younger children and those with lower testicles having higher success rate (30). In another study performed by Abouheba et al. (31) neither testicular atrophy by undue tension (due to preserved gonadal vessels) nor internal herniation complicated the traction period or follow-up periods.

Fortunately, post-operative complications are relatively uncommon, where testicular atrophy being the most serious. Typically, it results from an iatrogenic injury to the spermatic vessels, tension on the cord, with resultant ischemia, iatrogenic torsion, and clipping of the gonadal vessels as an essential step of FSO. Other less common complications include iatrogenic testicular asent and vas deferens injury (12).

**Peeping Testis**

Peeping testis represents a diagnostic challenge and a therapeutic dilemma. Open surgical treatment of such testes is the most popular approach among pediatric urologists and surgeons. Around half of the respondents of this survey still chose the inguinal approach for management of peeping testis. Nevertheless, due to the difficult surgical mobilization of some peeping testes along with significant complications such as testicular retraction/atrophy (3–18%), some surgeons believe that lap-assisted orchiopexy for such high inguinal testes is an attractive alternative approach. The most preferred lap-assisted technique in our survey was the lap assisted one stage orchiopexy (40% of the respondents), followed by lap assisted FS and lap assisted Shehata techniques.

The potential advantages of laparoscopy in such situations are a better magnification, and a wide range of testicular dissection with facilitation of the Prentiss maneuver to allow satisfactory orchiopexy without significant vascular compromise. In a study performed by Elderwy et al. (32) open and laparoscopic orchiopexy procedures for peeping testes were fairly comparable; though laparoscopy was relatively more effective, as two re-do orchiopexies were required in the open surgical group.

**Passing Through Vas and Vessels Through a Closed or Open DIR**

When cord structures found entering the DIR, some surgeons prefer not to explore the inguinal canal regardless the patency of the DIR claiming that even there was a testicular nubbin, excision is not necessary as it lacks viable germ cells (33). Nonetheless, the majority prefers to perform an inguinal exploration in this case to search for an ectopic or an intracanalicular atrophic testis, where the latter can be a risk factor for developing testicular cancer (32). An inguinal exploration is recommended in obese patients as well, because these patients might have a small normal testis in the inguinal canal (34, 35).

Esposito et al. (36) prefer laparoscopic inguinal exploration if the DIR is open, as the intraabdominal testis might have been migrated into the inguinal canal by the effect of abdominal insufflation, leaving exploration via the open surgery to patients with a closed DIR. In a more recent study, laparoscopic inguinal exploration was feasible in cases of impalpable UDTs, irrespective of the status of the DIR or the appearance of the testicular vessels; however, this study was limited by the small number of included cases (37).

**Management of Contralateral Testis in Case of a Solitary Testis**

The management of contralateral testis in case of a solitary testis continued to be a debatable issue and perhaps this is the most hotly disputed one in our survey. Surgeons who do not prefer to fix the contralateral testis are slightly higher than those who prefer contralateral orchiopexy (54% vs. 46%). The rationale of fixation is to prevent the disastrous event of torsion of the solitary testis, where, in our survey, around quarter of the respondents reported seeing torsion of a single testis. On the other hand, those who argue that contralateral fixation is not necessary claim that prevalence of contralateral bell clapper anomaly is exceedingly small, substantiating an insufficient risk of subsequent torsion to justify routine fixation of the solitary testis. In a research conducted by Martin and Rushton (38), 50 children with a testicular nubbin underwent contralateral scrotal exploration to assess the risk of future torsion, and only one patient had a partial bell clapper abnormality in the contralateral solitary testis. Thus, this issue should be discussed with the patient parents or legal
guardian prior to intervention (Level 5 evidence, Grade D recommendation) (39).

To sum up, this survey describes the current practices of a sample of pediatric surgeons and urologists in the management of intraabdominal testis. The use of hormonal treatment, timing of fixation and management in case of passing through vas and vessels through DIR were generally agreeable. However, management of low-lying and peeing testis together with the management of contralateral testes were still areas of debate in this survey.
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