Remediation of Multiply Contaminated Ground via Permeable Reactive Barrier and Electrokinetic Using Recyclable Food Scrap Ash (FSA)
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Featured Application: This study was carried out using in-situ electrokinetic remediation which does not disturb the ground. The drawback of electrokinetic remediation is that precipitation occurs, and it interrupts the transport of the heavy metals. Therefore, a Permeable Reactive Barrier was filled with recyclable Foods Scrap Ash to increase the movement of the contaminant. Little research has been conducted into soils contaminated with heavy metals and organics. This study presents fundamental research about the remediation of ground with multiple contaminations and provides practical guidance in the remediation of contaminated ground.

Abstract: A study of the application of electrokinetic (EK) remediation and Permeable Reactive Barriers (PRB) using recyclable Foods Scrap Ash (FSA) in multiple contaminated soils was carried out. An FSA was chosen as a PRB fill material due to its highly efficient capacity for contaminant removal. Acetic acid and Brij30 were used as enhancers on copper and phenanthrene, respectively, to improve EK remediation performance in removing the heavy metal and organic contaminants. Copper adsorption in PRB was so substantial that the confirmed removal efficiency was 83.86–90.17% and the remaining amount was 105–212 mg. While a high removal efficiency of acetic acid was observed on copper in multiple contamination soils; the removal of phenanthrene was hardly detected and the recovery rate of the contaminant was low during pretreatment. Therefore, an additional study of pretreatment on the phenanthrene-contaminated kaolinite needs to be performed.
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1. Introduction

Soil pollution has not been considered as serious as water and air pollution, but soil pollutions have deteriorated rapidly with the use of chemicals alongside economic growth [1–3]. Heavy metals contaminate the ground as persistent non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) contaminants that remain adsorbed onto soil particles. In addition, ground contamination caused by the rapid increase in the use of organic compounds has come to the fore as a serious social problem [4]. Representative contaminants include Cu, Pb, Zn and Ni from industrial activities (e.g., operating power plants or constructing the infrastructures) and BETX and TPH in aged gas stations in South Korea [5–8].

Soil pollution has not been seriously considered compared to water or air pollution in South Korea [1]. The effect of soil pollution cannot be simply determined because the mobility of the contaminants is restricted, and such contaminants are mainly transported by the ground water [9,10].
In addition, there is a substantial gap in time in which the contaminated groundwater leads to an outbreak resulting in a threat to human health. [11,12]. Soil hardly recovers once contaminated compared to other forms of environmental pollution, and it requires much more time and money. In particular, a consistent increase in annual food waste production due to improvements in quality of life and an increase in small families has resulted in resource-wasting and environmental pollution [13].

There are difficulties in remediating the contaminated ground, especially in processing the fine-textured soils that cannot be cleaned using general methods such as Pump and Treat or Soil Washing. The in-situ method is preferred to reduce excavation costs and ensure safety, and the electrokinetic (EK) remediation technique is an in-situ method that is appropriate for fine-textured soils. Electrophoresis and electroosmosis are the main mechanisms in heavy metal removal and organism purification, respectively [14,15].

EK remediation consists of installing electrodes and sending a low direct current (DC) current in an area with polluted soil to remove the contaminants (e.g., heavy metals and microorganisms) [16–18]. This study applied the more effective removal technique using a Permeable Reactive Barrier (PRB) that prevents the spread of contaminants and purifies them by inducing the adsorption, precipitation and decomposition with the reactant [19,20].

In addition, the PRB technique was chargeable with recyclable food scrap ash (FSA) and exchangeable to discharge contaminants within the ground to the cathode. The adsorbent was installed at 75% (x/L) to the anode, near the precipitation boundary, to prevent precipitation by the hydroxyl ion and remove contaminants by applying an improver. The multiply contaminated soil was selected to include heavy metal, copper and aromatic compounds with benzene rings, phenanthrene [5]. The remediation technique applied in this study was an economical and environmentally friendly purification technique. FSA was a recycled material resulted from the incineration of food waste, and it was used as an adsorbent in the treatment process. Finally, this study will be beneficial in developing an advanced remediation technique using recyclable FSA by characterizing the EK remediation of copper and phenanthrene in multiple contaminated ground.

2. Materials and Experimental Methods

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Soil Sample

The sample used in the experiment consisted of visco-plastic clay (US commercial Kaolinite (Southeastern Clay Company, South Carolina, USA) with limited amount of foreign materials). For the EK remediation experiment, kaolinite with a low plasticity was more effective than the one with a high plasticity, containing distensible minerals and at the condition of less than 30% particles of a size smaller than 2 µm [21]. Additionally, due to its high affinity to heavy metals, kaolinite becomes homogeneous in indoor experiments and remains stable as inactive clay even under changing water content [22]. It also has a relatively low cation-exchange capacity (CEC) to facilitate the characterization of EK remediation at a concentration of the contaminant with a fast enough reaction rate for the ion exchange. The material properties are described in Table 1.

| Liquid Limit | Plastic Limit | Plastic Index | Specific Gravity | Passing 0.75 µm | CEC (Cmol/kg) | pH at w = 400% | USCS |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|------|
| 62.21        | 41.82         | 20.39         | 2.6              | 100             | 7.24          | 4.5–5.5        | CL   |

2.1.2. Recyclable Adsorbent, FSA, Filled in the PRB

The PRB method was selected to prevent the adsorption and the precipitation of heavy metal resulted from the interaction with the existing hydroxyl ions forming precipitates. The incinerated
food waste was used as FSA to install in the PRB for the adsorption of contaminants. A No. 100 sieve was used to maintain homogeneity in the material. The environment-friendly adsorbent, FSA, has great heavy metal- and organic matter-specific adsorption capacities. Table 2 describes the components of the FSA used in the PRB.

| I. Chemical composition of FSA (%) | O  | Ca  | C   | K   | Cl  | Na  | P   | Fe  | Si  | Mg  | S   | Al  | Other | Total |
|-----------------------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|
|                                   | 38.39 | 25.51 | 21.73 | 3.2 | 3.05 | 2.64 | 1.5  | 1.29 | 0.97 | 0.71 | 0.51 | 0.27 | 0.23  | 100   |

| II. Characteristics of FSA | Surface area (m²/g) | Pore Volume (cm³/g) | Average pore diameter (Å) |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
|                            | 14.16               | 0.0469              | 132.4                      |

2.1.3. Improver

Acetic acid and Brij30 were selected to improve the mobility of the heavy metal (i.e., copper) and organic contaminant (i.e., phenanthrene) within the ground. Han et al. [23] effectively removed copper from the contaminated ground using acetic acid and Lee et al. [24] confirmed phenanthrene removal using Brij30 in phenanthrene-contaminated ground. Detergents and acid solutions used in heavy metal removal remain in the ground and consecutively induce a secondary contamination. The acetic acid used in this study was a stable biodegradable material that was more economically feasible than other improvers. Brij30 used to treat organic compounds is also a stable material with no toxicity to microorganisms [25].

2.2. Experiments

2.2.1. Experimental Tools

The experimental device was designed using acryl, as shown in Figure 1, and it consisted of a DC Power supply, Mariotte bottle and Test cell.

![Figure 1](image-url)
The test cell with the electrode reservoir installed at both ends consisted of a bubble tube and cylinder which made a free discharge via electroosmosis and measured the outflow discharge. The water level of the internal test cell remained consistently by injecting the improver through a Mariotte bottle and the carbon electrode was used.

2.2.2. Batch Test

A batch test was conducted using the adsorbent, FSA, to examine the adsorption of copper and phenanthrene. Copper was stirred at 200 rpm for 5–80 min in a solution manufactured at 50–800 ppm, while phenanthrene was stirred at 150 rpm for 12 h in an organic solution manufactured at 500–4500 ppm. Due to the difference in absorption capacity between the heavy metal (i.e., copper) and the organic compound (i.e., phenanthrene), each contaminant was analyzed with ICP (Inductively Coupled Plasma) and GC (Gas Chromatography) after the experiment was carried out under different conditions. The lab-scale experimental conditions are provided in Table 3.

| Pollution Material | Concentration (ppm) | Contract Time (min) | Shaking Speed (rpm) | Mixture Rate (g/mL) |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Copper             | 50, 100, 200, 400, 800 | 50, 10, 20, 40, 80   | 200                 | 50:1                |
| Phenanthrene       | 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 3500, 4000, 4500 | 12 (hr)             | 150                 | 200:1               |

2.2.3. Cell Test

EK remediation was conducted to characterize the contaminant removal in multiple contaminated ground with heavy metal and organic contaminants. Pollution concentrations of 1000 ppm phenanthrene and 500 ppm copper was mixed with methanol and DDW (Double Distilled Water) as a control condition and acetic acid and Brij30 were added as the improvers. Specifically, the phenanthrene contaminated solution was prepared using methanol as a solvent and the copper contaminated solution was prepared using copper nitrate powder (Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$) in DDW. Kaolinite was used as a source of simulating the ground condition and it was contaminated with 1000 ppm with phenanthrene solution and dried in the shade. After drying, the pulverized kaolinite was applied to 500 ppm of copper contaminated soil at a water content of 60%. Then, the contaminated kaolinite was installed in the cell in which the FSA was filled inside of PRB.

10 days of running time, 1V/cm of electric gradient, PRB located at ($x/L$) = 0.75 where acid and base fronts are meet, and 0.05 M acetic acid and 0.01 M Brij30 were used [26]. The current density, the change in pH between the anode and cathode, and the outflow were measured during the experiment, while the other parameters (e.g., water content, residual contaminant concentration, and pH) were analyzed after the completion of the experiment with the KSTM (Korea Standard Testing Method).

In this study, the cell test was conducted using the EK remediation technique to characterize the contaminant removal in the multiple contaminated ground with heavy metals and organic contaminants. The PRB and improvers were used to solve the precipitation problem that occurred in the previous EK remediation technique, and the FSA was applied as the filling material for the PRB to induce the adsorption of the contaminants. Hereby, the removal characteristics of the heavy metals and organic contaminants analyzed in fine-textured ground with multiple contamination. The EK experiments were performed in the lab and the test conditions are provided in Table 4.
3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Batch Experiments

The results of the FSA batch test in the adsorption of copper and phenanthrene are described in Figures 2 and 3. The maximum adsorptions with FSA were approximately 28 mg/g of copper and 890 mg/g of phenanthrene, respectively, indicating the greater adsorption capacity of FSA for phenanthrene, which was approximately 30 times higher than the adsorption of copper. Previous copper adsorption isotherms are described with a semi-log in Figure 2b as well as in Figure 3b. As a result of linearizing the adsorption isotherm models using the Langmuir and Freundlich models in Figures 2a and 3a, the Langmuir model predicted the adsorption of the heavy metals and the organic compounds better than Freundlich model. Similarly, the semi-log scale (e.g., Figures 2b and 3b) also shows a better fit in the Langmuir model.

![Figure 2](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 2.** Comparison of the experimental results, with the amount of Copper adsorbed obtained using Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms. (a) General Graph; (b) Log Graph.

![Figure 3](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 3.** Comparison of the experimental results, with the amount of Phenanthrene adsorbed obtained using Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms. (a) General Graph; (b) Log Graph.
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**Table 4.** EK Test Condition.

| Fixed Factor | Variable Factor |
|--------------|-----------------|
| Duration (Day) | Electric Gradient (V/cm) | PRB location (x/L) | Pollution Material (ppm) |
|---------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 10            | 1                       | 0.75        | Copper          |
| 10            | 1                       | 0.75        | Phenanthrene    |
|               |                         |             | Mix (Copper and Phenanthrene) |
|               |                         |             | 500             |
|               |                         |             | 1000            |
|               |                         |             | 500 and 1000    |
| Solution      |                         |             | DDW             |
| Test No.      |                         |             | Exp. 1          |
|               |                         |             | Exp. 2          |
|               |                         |             | Exp. 3          |
|               |                         |             | Exp. 4          |
|               |                         |             | Exp. 5          |

In this study, the cell test was conducted using the EK technique to characterize the contaminant removal in the multiple contaminated ground with heavy metals and organic contaminants. The PRB and improvers were used to solve the precipitation problem. The PRB and improvers were applied as the filling material for the PRB. The EK test was conducted using the EK technique to analyze in fine textured ground with multiple contamination. The EK technique was applied as the filling material for the PRB.
However, the undistinguishable parts in the adsorption isotherm graphs confirm initial part on basic graphs and the Langmuir and Freundlich models. Accordingly, the proper comparison including these undistinguishable parts was not successful in the adsorption isotherm, but it can be confirm through the semi-log scale.

3.2. EK Remediation Experiments

3.2.1. Post-Experiment Water Content

Figure 4 shows the post-experiment water content in the sample, which was determined from five separate ports upon completing the experiment. Each value of the water content in general was not much different from the initial value of 60%, however, it tended to be at a point of x/L = 0.9. Considering that the water content remained similar to that of the initial value, the movement of the improver within the soil was confirmed to be most active during the EK remediation.

![Figure 4. Water content within the sample of the test (Exp. 1–Exp. 5).](image)

3.2.2. Current Density and Outflow

The pore water within the sample was found to move toward the cathode via electroosmosis. The outflow and current density of the improver are presented in Figure 5. To check the appropriate use of the improver per use, the result of the outflow was used to indicate whether the improver was smoothly injected into the sample.

![Figure 5. Result of the current density and total flow during the test (Exp. 1–Exp. 5). (a) Exp. 1–Exp. 2; (b) Exp. 3–Exp.5.](image)

Figure 5a shows a comparison between the current density and outflow in the copper- and phenanthrene-contaminated ground using DDW. The current density first increased in the copper-contaminated Exp. 1, and the outflow also increased following the increase in the current
density before decreasing. The heavy metal copper ion responded with an EK phenomenon faster than the organic phenanthrene.

Figure 5b describes the current density and outflow of the heavy metal and the organic compound with respect to the purification time determined by experiments using DDW, acetic acid and Brij30 as the improver in the multiple contaminated ground. The current density increased and then decreased after 48 h, as shown in Exp. 1, and it reached a maximum with Brij30 after 24 h. The outflow alongside the increase in the current density responded faster in Exp. 5 than in the other samples. The amount of outflow was presented in descending order as Brij30 > acetic acid > DDW, and the current density in the soil with multiple contaminations reached a peak in descending order, Brij30 > acetic acid > DDW. The amount of outflow hardly changed after 200 h.

The initial current density and outflow appeared fairly quickly with Brij30 as the improver, but slowly decreased after 24 h with acetic acid in the ground with multiple contaminations. Selective contaminant removal and effective purification will be achieved in the ground with multiple contaminations if the more responsive Brij30 is applied at the early stage to remove the organic substance first and the improver is changed into acetic acid.

3.2.3. PH and Residual Concentration in the Post-Experiment Sample

Figure 6 shows the concentration and pH of the residual copper and phenanthrene measured in the post-experiment sample. As described in Figure 6a, the concentration of copper was detected to be slightly high at the point of x/L = 0.7, which was relatively lower than the initial concentration of the contaminant.

![Figure 6](image.png)

**Figure 6.** PH distribution within the sample of the test (Exp. 1–Exp. 5). (a) Copper; (b) Phenanthrene.

At this point, the concentration of the residual copper was detected as the lowest with the acetic acid improver, implying that the degree of purification in the contaminated ground was greater than that of other cases. In addition, the pH at x/L = 0 – 0.7 was determined to be 2–4, lower than pH 5 where the precipitation of copper occurs, indicating that no precipitation occurred based on the results of a previous study [27]. However, as described in Figure 6b, the characteristics of the removal of phenanthrene were not clearly defined due to the low recovery of the pretreated contaminant sample. Therefore, further study of the pretreatment of phenanthrene might need to be conducted.

3.2.4. Mass Balance

The mass balance of the copper and organic phenanthrene was calculated upon completing the EK remediation experiment. The copper and phenanthrene extracts remained in the FSA and the filling material in the PRB were measured using the KSTM (Korea standard test method). The anode and the cathode of reservoir were measured and the mass balance of the copper was detected to be 91.53%, 91.58%, 93.90% and 95.71% in Exp. 1, 3, 4 and 5, respectively, while that of phenanthrene was hardly detected. The results are provided in Table 5. The amount and percentage of copper
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*Appl. Sci. 2020, 10, 1194*
adsorbed in the FSA ranged from 105 mg to 121 (i.e., 73% to 84%, respectively) and the best removal of the copper was achieved with the acetic acid improver. Table 6 shows that the mass balance of phenanthrene was hardly detected, and this was because of the low recovery rate of phenanthrene in kaolinite, based on the results of study by Elektorowicz et al. [28] and Kang [29]. Therefore, further study of the pretreatment of phenanthrene may be needed to clarify the current results. Figure 7 shows that a certain amount of heavy metal remained in the contaminated sample, with reservoir and outflow confirming a large amount of copper remained in the FSA. However, phenanthrene was hardly detected and was unidentifiable.

**Table 5.** Mass balance of copper removed.

| Test No. | Initial Amount of Copper (mg) | Residual in the Bed (mg) | PRB (FSA) (mg) | Amount Removed by EOF (mg) | Residual Amount in EOF (mg) | Mass Balance (%) |
|----------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Exp. 1   | 144                          | 23.24                   | 105.88        | 0.45                      | 2.17                        | 95.73            |
| Exp. 3   | 144                          | 22.24                   | 108.62        | 0.21                      | 0.75                        | 95.58            |
| Exp. 4   | 144                          | 16.70                   | 121.12        | 0.11                      | 1.29                        | 93.90            |
| Exp. 5   | 144                          | 14.15                   | 116.98        | 0.35                      | 6.27                        | 95.71            |

**Table 6.** Mass balance of phenanthrene removed.

| Test No. | Initial Amount of Phenanthrene (mg) | Residual in the Bed (mg) | PRB (FSA) (mg) | Amount Removed by EOF (mg) | Residual Amount in EOF (mg) | Mass Balance (%) |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Exp. 2   | 288                                 | 3.86                     | 0.00075        | N.D                       | N.D                         | 1.34             |
| Exp. 3   | 288                                 | 4.22                     | 0.00025        | N.D                       | N.D                         | 1.47             |
| Exp. 4   | 288                                 | 6.65                     | 0.00075        | N.D                       | N.D                         | 2.31             |
| Exp. 5   | 288                                 | 6.21                     | 0.00175        | N.D                       | N.D                         | 2.16             |

**Figure 7.** Mass Balance. (a) Copper; (b) Phenanthrene.

4. Conclusions

In this study, soil contaminants were removed by applying PRB filled with eco-friendly FSA and the improved EK remediation technique to ground with multiple contaminations. A comparison between the previous and log-transformed adsorption isotherms showed that the characteristics of copper and phenanthrene adsorption were matched better with the adsorption isotherm model by Langmuir. The overall adsorption isotherm might be confirmed using the semi-log transformation of those parts that were unidentifiable using a previous model. In addition, FSA, one of the by-products of food waste, was determined to be appropriate for use as a PRB filling material due to its highly efficient capacity to remove contaminants.

Acetic acid and Brij30 were used to improve the movement of contaminants within the ground and, ultimately, to improve the efficacy of the EK technique to treat heavy metals and organic compounds.
The outflow increased as the initial value of the current increased and, thereafter, tended to decrease in a consistent degree over time. This indicates that the movement of the contaminants improved through the smooth introduction of the improver. If Brij30 with a high initial current density and responsiveness to the outflow was used after using the acetic acid, the heavy metal might be removed via selective purification involving the use of acetic acid as an improver after the purification of the initial organic contaminants. In addition, the water content of the post-experiment sample was maintained as the initial water contents, 60%, and the movement of the improver was determined to be smooth during the EK remediation. A substantial change in the current and outflow reaction of the ionized heavy metal copper suggested rapid heavy metal removal.

The FSA adsorption was 105–212 mg after the experiment in the heavy metal copper-contaminated ground. The use of acetic acid as the improver was confirmed to be appropriate in Exp. 4 which used the acetic acid as an improver in the copper adsorbed to the FSA. Accordingly, acetic acid might be a safe and economical improver, maintaining the stability of current density while biodegrading. However, phenanthrene was hardly detected and was unidentifiable due to its low recovery rate in kaolinite. Therefore, pretreatment in the phenanthrene-contaminated kaolinite requires further study.
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