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Abstract

A Dirac operator $D$ on quantized irreducible generalized flag manifolds is defined. This yields a Hilbert space realization of the covariant first-order differential calculi constructed by I. Heckenberger and S. Kolb. All differentials $df = i[D, f]$ are bounded operators. In the simplest case of Podleś' quantum sphere one obtains the spectral triple found by L. Dabrowski and A. Sitarz.

1 Introduction

After about 20 years of quantum groups and of noncommutative geometry in the sense of A. Connes the relation between these two theories is still not understood very well. In particular, there is no theory linking Connes’ concept of spectral triple to that of finite-dimensional covariant differential calculus on quantum spaces as developed by S.L. Woronowicz [W]. It is only known that the basic examples of such calculi which are the $3D$-calculus and the $4D_{\pm}$-calculi on the quantum group $SU_q(2)$ itself can not be realized by spectral triples. This was shown by K. Schmüdgen in [S]. So the spectral triples of $[\mathbb{C}P, G]$ are not related to these calculi.

The aim of the present paper is to show that $q$-deformations of irreducible generalized flag manifolds $M = G/P$ behave better in this respect. In [HK1] I. Heckenberger and S. Kolb proved that these quantum spaces admit exactly two irreducible finite-dimensional covariant differential calculi $(\Gamma_\pm, d_\pm)$. Their direct sum $(\Gamma, d)$ is a $*$-calculus whose elements are $q$-analogues of complex-valued differential forms on the real manifold $M$.

The main result of this paper is that $\Gamma$ can be realized by bounded operators on a Hilbert space such that $df = i[D, f]$ for a self-adjoint operator $D$. The latter generalizes the classical Dirac operator on $M$. A calculation of its spectrum seems to be a non-trivial problem, but its construction suggests that the spectrum is a smooth deformation of the classical one.

The simplest example of a generalized flag manifold is the complex projective line $\mathbb{C}P^1 \simeq S^2$. The corresponding quantum flag manifold is Podleś’ quantum sphere in the so-called quantum subgroup case. For this one obtains the Dirac operator found by L. Dabrowski and A. Sitarz [DS1].

The paper is organized as follows: The first two sections are devoted to background material on quantum groups and quantum flag manifolds. In Sections 4 and 5 we define analogues of the tangent space, its Clifford algebra and the spinor bundle of $M$. With these as ingredients the Dirac...
operator $D$ is constructed in Section 7. In the final section we study the associated differential calculus and prove the main results.

While working on this paper the author profited a lot from discussions with many people. He would like to thank especially I. Agricola, T. Friedrich, I. Heckenberger and S. Kolb for their suggestions and help.

## 2 Quantum groups

In this section we fix notations and recall some definitions and results of quantum group theory used in the sequel. We refer to the monographs [KS] and [J] for proofs and further details.

Throughout this paper $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex simple Lie algebra, $G$ the corresponding connected simply connected Lie group, $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N\}$ and $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N\}$ are a set of simple roots and the corresponding set of fundamental weights. The integral root and weight lattices are denoted by $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{P}$, respectively. The dominant integral weights are denoted by $\mathbb{P}^+$. The Killing form induces a bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$. Then $\langle \omega_i, \alpha_j \rangle =: \delta_{ij} d_i$.

Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantized universal enveloping algebra corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}$ in the form denoted by $\tilde{U}$ in [J, 3.2.10]. We denote its generators by $K_{\lambda}, E_i, F_i, i = 1, \ldots, N, \lambda \in \mathbb{P}$ and set $K_i := K_{\alpha_i}$. See [KS, Section 6.1.2] for their explicit relations. For the coproduct, counit and antipode we use the conventions of [J]. In particular, the coproduct of $E_i, F_i$ is

$$\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_i.$$  

We assume $q \in (1, \infty)$ and consider $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as the Hopf $\ast$-algebra called the compact real form of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in [KS, Section 6.1.7]. Its involution $\ast$ coincides on generators with $\kappa'$ from [J, 3.3.3],

$$E_i^\ast = K_i F_i, \quad F_i^\ast = E_i K_i^{-1}, \quad K_i^\ast = K_i,$$

but $\kappa'$ is continued to a linear map. As in [GZ] we set $\theta := \ast \circ S$.

There is a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ called the quantum Killing form (or Rosso form) which is invariant under the adjoint action

$$\text{ad}(X)Y := X \triangleright Y := X_{(1)} Y S(X_{(2)}), \quad X, Y \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$$

of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ on itself in the sense that

$$\langle Z \triangleright X, Y \rangle = \langle X, S(Z) \triangleright Y \rangle \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Above as in the following we use Sweedler notation $\Delta(X) = X_{(1)} \otimes X_{(2)}$ for the coproduct $\Delta$ of a Hopf algebra.

The quantum Killing form satisfies [J, 3.3.3, 7.2.4]

$$\langle X^\ast, X \rangle = \langle Y^\ast, X \rangle, \quad \langle X^\ast, X \rangle > 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}), X \neq 0.$$  

and vanishes on $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \times U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ if $\lambda \neq -\mu$. Here $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}), \mu \in \mathbb{Q}$, consists of the elements $X \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with $K_\lambda X K_\lambda^{-1} = q^{(\lambda, \mu)} X$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}$.

The representation theories of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ are closely related. In particular, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$ there exists an irreducible representation $(\rho_\lambda, V_\lambda)$ of
highest weight $\lambda$ and a Hermitian inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda}$ on $V_{\lambda}$ such that $\rho_{\lambda}$ becomes a $*$-representation. The weight structure of $V_{\lambda}$ and the decomposition of tensor products into irreducible components remains unchanged as well [KS, Chapter 7].

Let $C_q[G]$ be the coordinate algebra of the standard quantum group associated to $G$. This is the Hopf $*$-subalgebra of the Hopf dual $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ generated by all matrix coefficients $t_{ij}^\lambda$ of the representations $V_\lambda$, $\lambda \in P^+$. We will conversely treat elements of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as functionals on $C_q[G]$ and write the dual pairing between $X \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $f \in C_q[G]$ as $X(f)$.

This pairing turns $C_q[G]$ into a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-bimodule with left and right action given by $X \triangleright f := X(f_{(2)})f_{(1)}$, $f \triangleleft X := X(f_{(1)})f_{(2)}$. The structure of this bimodule is given by the classical Peter-Weyl theorem. That is, the $t_{ij}^\lambda$ form a vector space basis of $C_q[G]$ and for fixed $i$ (fixed $j$) a basis of the representation $V_\lambda$ with respect to the left (right) action.

The linear functional $h : C_q[G] \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $h(t_{ij}^\lambda) := \delta_{\lambda_0}$ and called the Haar functional is biinvariant with respect to the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-actions [KS, Section 11.3]. The associated Hermitian inner product

$$
(f, g)_h := h(f^* g), \quad f, g \in C_q[G]
$$

is positive definite and is the direct sum of the $(\cdot, \cdot)_\lambda$ arising from considering $C_q[G]$ as right $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module. That is, we have

$$(f \triangleleft X, g)_h = (f, g \triangleleft X^*)_h \quad \forall X \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}), f, g \in C_q[G].$$

## 3 Quantum flag manifolds

Let $P$ be a standard parabolic subgroup of $G$ and $M = G/P$ the corresponding generalized flag manifold [FH, §23.3, BE]. As a real manifold $M$ is diffeomorphic to $G_0/L_0$, where $G_0$ denotes the compact real form of $G$, $L$ is the Levi factor of $P$ and $L_0 := L \cap G_0$, cf. [BE, 6.4]. Let $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{l}$ denote the Lie algebras of $P, L$. Throughout this paper we assume that $M$ is irreducible, that is, that $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$ is irreducible with respect to the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{p}$. This implies that $L$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\mathfrak{h}$ and the root vectors $E_i, F_i$ associated to the simple roots $\alpha_i$, $i \neq r$, for a certain $r$, see [BE, Example 3.1.10]. For example, if $G = SL(N + 1, \mathbb{C})$, then the irreducible flag manifolds exhaust the complex Grassmann manifolds $Gr(r, N + 1)$, $r = 1, \ldots, N$.

Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the Hopf $*$-subalgebra generated by $K_\lambda, E_i, F_i$, $\lambda \in P, i \neq r$ and define

$$C_q[M] := \{ f \in C_q[G] \mid X \triangleleft f = \varepsilon(X)f \forall X \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \}.$$ 

This algebra is a $q$-deformation of a $*$-algebra of complex-valued functions on $M$. Completion with respect to the $C^*$-completion of $C_q[G]$ leads to a $q$-deformation of the $C^*$-algebra associated to the compact topological space $M$. With slight abuse of terminology from algebraic geometry we call $C_q[M]$ the coordinate algebra of the quantum flag manifold $M_q$. See for example [HK1, DS2] for more information about quantum flag manifolds. The right and the left action of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ on $C_q[G]$ commute. Hence $C_q[M]$ is a right $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module. It decomposes into irreducible components in the same way as its classical analogue.
4 The tangent space

Let \( u \) be the orthogonal complement of \( l \) with respect to the Killing form of \( g \). It decomposes as \( u = u_+ \oplus u_- \), where \( p = l \oplus u_+ \) is the Levi decomposition of \( p \) and \( u_- \) can be identified with the complex tangent space \( g/p \) of \( G/P \) at \( eP \). The adjoint action of \( l \) on \( u \) defines an embedding of \( l \) into \( \mathfrak{so}(2m, \mathbb{C}) \), where \( \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = m \). We now introduce analogues of \( u, u_\pm \) for quantum flag manifolds.

Let \( \lambda = -2n \cdot \omega_r \). The number \( n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \) is arbitrary but fixed and will play no role in the sequel. But probably it may be used to adjust the analytical properties of the Dirac operator we will derive below.

Define \( X_0 := K_{\lambda} - 1 \) and

\[
X_1 := F_r \triangleright X_0 = F_r \triangleright K_{\lambda} = F_r K_{\lambda} K_r - K_{\lambda} F_r K_r = (1 - q^{2n\delta r}) F_r K_r K_{\lambda}.
\]

**Proposition 1** The adjoint action turns \( u_- := \text{ad}(U_q(l)) X_1 \) into the irreducible finite-dimensional representation of \( U_q(l) \) with highest weight \(-\alpha_r\).

**Proof.** Since \( \Delta(K_{\mu}) = K_{\mu} \otimes K_{\mu} \) and \( S(K_{\mu}) = K_{\mu}^{-1} \) we have

\[
K_{\mu} \triangleright X_1 = K_{\mu} X_1 K_{\mu}^{-1} = q^{-(\mu, \alpha_r)} X_1 \quad \forall \mu \in P.
\]

Furthermore, for \( i \neq r \) we have

\[
E_i \triangleright X_1 = E_i F_r K_{\lambda} - K_{\lambda} E_i F_r K_{\lambda} = 0,
\]

because \( K_{\lambda} \) commutes with all \( E_i, F_i \) for \( i \neq r \) and therefore

\[
E_i \triangleright K_{\lambda} = E_i K_{\lambda} - K_{\lambda} E_i = E_i K_{\lambda} - E_i K_{\lambda} = 0.
\]

Since \( X_0 \) belongs to the locally finite part of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) \([J, 7.1.3]\) the vector space \( u_- \) is finite-dimensional. Hence the claim follows. \( \square \)

Fix a basis \( X_i \) of \( u_- \) consisting of weight vectors and define \( X^i := X_i^* \). Then the \( X^i \) form a basis of a vector space which we denote by \( u_{+} \). Since

\[
(X \triangleright Y)^* = \theta(X) \triangleright Y^*
\]

this vector space is \( \text{ad}(U_q(l)) \)-invariant as well. Set \( u := u_+ \oplus u_- \). We also introduce \( u_0 := \{ X \in u \mid X^* = X \} \) as an analogue of the real tangent space of \( M \). It is invariant under \( U_q(l_0) := \{ X \in U_q(l) \mid \theta(X) = X \} \). Note that \( U_q(l_0) \) is a subalgebra of \( U_q(l) \), but not a Hopf subalgebra \([GZ]\).

Since the highest weight representations of quantized universal enveloping algebras are for \( q \) not a root of unity of the same structure as their classical counterparts the complex dimension of \( u_+ \) equals \( m \).

The weights of \( X_i \) are all distinct, so after an appropriate normalization we have \( \langle X_i, X^j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \).

5 The Clifford algebra

We now define a quantum Clifford algebra associated to \( M_q \). We refer to \([Fr]\) for the appearing notions from classical spin geometry.
The Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ is the universal algebra for which there is a vector space embedding $\gamma : \mathbb{C}^{2m} \to \text{Cl}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\gamma(v)^2 = -\sum_i v_i^2$ for all $v \in \mathbb{C}^{2m}$. The spin representation $\sigma$ on the space $\Sigma_{2m} := \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ of $2m$-spinors yields an isomorphism $\text{Cl}(2m, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{End}(\Sigma_{2m})$. The crucial point leading to a quantum analogue of $\text{Cl}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ in the context of quantum flag manifolds is that $\gamma$ is $\mathfrak{so}(2m, \mathbb{C})$-equivariant. Here the representation of $\mathfrak{so}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2m}$ is the vector representation $\rho$ and the one on $\text{End}(\Sigma_{2m}) \simeq \Sigma_{2m} \otimes \Sigma_{2m}^*$ is the tensor product $\sigma \otimes \sigma^*$ of the spin representation and the dual representation. In fact, the standard vector space isomorphism $\text{Cl}(2m, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \Lambda^* \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{so}(2m, \mathbb{C})$-representations and $\gamma$ is the restriction to $\mathbb{C}^{2m} = \Lambda^1 \mathbb{C}^{2m}$.

Not all flag manifolds are spin manifolds [CG], but all admit spin structures [Fr, Section 3.4]. In any case the embedding $I \subset \mathfrak{so}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ defines the representations $\rho$ and $\sigma$ of $I$ and $\rho$ appears in $\sigma \otimes \sigma^*$. These representations of $I$ can be deformed to representations of $U_q(I)$ which we denote by the same symbols. The decomposition of $\sigma \otimes \sigma^*$ into irreducible components remains the same. Hence we have:

**Proposition 2** There is a $U_q(I)$-equivariant embedding

$$\gamma : U_+ \oplus U_- \to \text{End}(\Sigma_{2m}).$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$\gamma(X^i) = \overline{\gamma(X_i)^T} =: \gamma(X_i)^*,$$

because we could embed first only $U_-$ and take the above formula as the definition of $\gamma(X^i)$. Note that the map $\gamma$ is not uniquely determined by these conditions, but it always can be assumed to be a smooth deformation of the classical one.

We call the algebra generated by $\gamma(u_0)$ and $\gamma(u)$ the real and complex quantum Clifford algebra associated to the quantum flag manifold $M_{q^*}$.

6 The spinor bundle

Next we define a spinor bundle $\mathcal{S}$ over $M_q$ in form of a quantum homogeneous vector bundle [GZ] generalizing the homogeneous vector bundle $G_0 \times_{L_{q^*}} \Sigma_{2m}$ over $M$. It is defined in terms of the following vector space whose elements are interpreted as its sections:

$$\Gamma(M_q, \mathcal{S}) := \{ \psi \in \mathbb{C}_q[G] \otimes \Sigma_{2m} \mid X \triangleright \psi = \sigma(S(X)) \psi \forall X \in U_q(I) \} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+} V_{\lambda} \otimes \text{Hom}_{U_q(I)}(V_{\lambda}, \Sigma_{2m}).$$

The isomorphism $\simeq$ is given by Peter-Weyl decomposition of $\mathbb{C}_q[G]$. If $\{ A_{ij}^{\lambda} \}$ are bases of $\text{Hom}_{U_q(I)}(V_{\lambda}, \Sigma_{2m})$ for all $\lambda$ for which this space is non-trivial and if the matrix coefficients $t_{ij}^{\lambda}$ of the Peter-Weyl basis are defined with respect to the bases $\{ v_k^\lambda \}$ of $V_\lambda$, then the elements

$$\psi_{ij}^\lambda := \sum_k S(t_{ij}^{\lambda}) \otimes A_{ij}^{\lambda}(v_k^\lambda)$$
form a basis of $\Gamma(M_q, S)$.

We define a Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_S$ on $\Gamma(M_q, S)$ by applying $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h$ to $\mathbb{C}[G]$ and the invariant Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\sigma$ to $\Sigma_{2m}$. We can choose the basis $\psi_{ij}^\lambda$ to be orthonormal. We complete $\Gamma(M_q, S)$ to a Hilbert space $H$ which we call the space of square-integrable spinor fields on the quantum flag manifold $M_q$.

The quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(g)$ acts on $\Gamma(M_q, S)$ from the right (by acting from the right on $\mathbb{C}[G]$). The multiplication in $\mathbb{C}[G]$ defines a $\mathbb{C}[M]$-bimodule structure on $\Gamma(M_q, S)$ and when restricting to a one-sided action one obtains a projective module over $M_q [GZ]$.

7 The Dirac operator

Let $D_-$ be the linear operator acting on $\text{Hom}_{U_q(l)}(V_\lambda, \Sigma_{2m})$ by

$$D_- : A \mapsto - \sum_i \gamma(X^i) \circ A \circ \rho_\lambda(X_i).$$

The following proposition shows that $D_-$ is well-defined.

**Proposition 3** We have $D_-(A) \in \text{Hom}_{U_q(l)}(V_\lambda, \Sigma_{2m})$.

**Proof.** For $Y \in U_q(l)$ we have

$$\begin{align*}
\sum_i \gamma(X^i) \circ A \circ \rho_\lambda(X_i) & \circ S(Y) \\
= \sum_i \gamma(X^i) \circ A \circ \rho_\lambda(S(Y_{(1)})Y_{(2)}X_iS(Y_{(3)})) \\
= \sum_i \gamma(X^i) \sigma(S(Y_{(1)})) \circ A \circ \rho_\lambda(Y_{(2)} \triangleright X_i) \\
= \sum_{ij} \gamma(X^i) \sigma(S(Y_{(1)})) \circ A \circ \rho_\lambda((Y_{(2)} \triangleright X_i, X^j)X_j) \\
= \sum_{ij} \gamma((X_i, S(Y_{(2)}) \triangleright X^j)X^i) \sigma(S(Y_{(1)})) \circ A \circ \rho_\lambda(X_j) \\
= \sum_j \gamma(S(Y_{(2)}) \triangleright X^j) \sigma(S(Y_{(1)})) \circ A \circ \rho_\lambda(X_j) \\
= \sum_j \sigma(S(Y_{(3)})) \gamma(X^j) \sigma(S(Y_{(2)})) \sigma(S(Y_{(1)})) \circ A \circ \rho_\lambda(X_j) \\
= \sigma(S(Y)) \sum_j \gamma(X^j) \circ A \circ \rho_\lambda(X_j),
\end{align*}$$

where we used the Hopf algebra axioms and the equivariance of $\gamma$. □

The resulting operator on $\Gamma(M_q, S)$ which acts trivially on $V_\lambda$ in (2) will be denoted by the same symbol. It can be extended to a linear operator on $\mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \Sigma_{2m}$ acting by

$$D_- : f \otimes v \mapsto - \sum_j (S^{-1}(X_j) \triangleright f) \otimes \gamma(X^j)v.$$
We consider $D_-$ as densely defined operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Analogously there is an operator $D_+$ acting on $\mathbb{C}_q[G] \otimes \Sigma_{2m}$ by

$$D_+ : f \otimes v \mapsto -\sum_j (S^{-1}(X^j) \triangleright f) \otimes \gamma(X_j)v.$$ 

Finally we define the Dirac operator $D := D_+ + D_-$. Notice that for $X \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $f, g \in \mathbb{C}_q[G]$ the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-invariance of $h$ and (1) imply

$$h((X \triangleright f)g^*) = h((X_{(1)} \triangleright f)(X_{(2)}S(X_{(3)}) \triangleright g^*)) = h(X_{(1)} \triangleright (f(S(X_{(2)}) \triangleright g^*))) = h(f(S^2(X)^* \triangleright g)^*).$$

Hence $D$ is symmetric on the domain $\Gamma(M_q, \Sigma)$. It is the direct sum of its restrictions to the spaces $V_\lambda \otimes \text{Hom}_{U_q}(\mathfrak{g})(V_\lambda, \Sigma_{2m})$ which are all finite-dimensional and pairwise orthogonal. Hence it becomes diagonal in a suitable orthonormal basis and therefore extends to a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}$ which we denote by $D$ as well.

It seems to be a non-trivial task to generalize Parthasarathy’s formula for $D^2$ [Pa] to the quantum case and to calculate explicitly the spectrum of $D$ as in [CFG]. But since only finite matrices are involved which are smooth deformations of those describing the classical Dirac operator, the spectrum should as well be a smooth deformation of the classical spectrum.

In the simplest example of a generalized flag manifold $M = \mathbb{C}P^1 \simeq S^2$ the corresponding quantum flag manifold is one of Podles’ quantum spheres [Po]. In this case L. Dabrowski and A. Sitarz derived a Dirac operator starting with an ansatz and implementing the axioms for real spectral triples [DS1]. It follows from the uniqueness result [DS1, Lemma 5] that the Hilbert space representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ and $\mathbb{C}_q[M]$ calculated in [DS1] is the one on $\mathcal{H}$ considered here. Inserting the explicit formulae for the left action of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ on the Peter-Weyl basis one sees that the Dirac operators also coincide.

8 The differential calculus

In this section we study the covariant first-order differential calculi over $\mathbb{C}_q[M]$ induced by $D_\pm$ and $D$. We refer to [KS] and [HK2] for the general theory of covariant differential calculi on quantum groups and quantum homogeneous spaces.

The author’s main impetus to study quantum flag manifolds was the result of [HK1] mentioned already in the introduction that on quantum flag manifolds as discussed here there exist exactly two finite-dimensional irreducible (first-order) covariant differential calculi $(\Gamma_\pm, d_\pm)$. These calculi have dimension $m$ and their direct sum $(\Gamma, d)$ is a $\ast$-algebra.

A spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ over a $\ast$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (cf. [C]) always induces a differential $\ast$-calculus with $df := i[D, f], f \in \mathcal{A}$. The result of this section will be that the operators $D_\pm, D$ realize the calculi $\Gamma_\pm, \Gamma$ in this way by bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$.

We will treat only $\Gamma_-$, the analogous results for $\Gamma_+$ and $\Gamma$ are immediate.
In the rest of this paper, elements of \( C_q[M] \) will always be treated as linear operators on \( H \) by considering the right action of \( C_q[M] \) on \( \Gamma(M_q,S) \). If one rewrites this paper starting with the left coset space \( P \setminus G \), the constructions will work for the left action instead.

We denote by \( \Gamma_\cdot \) the differential calculus over \( C_q[M] \) defined by \( D_\cdot : \)

\[
\Gamma_\cdot := \left\{ i \sum_j f_j [D_\cdot, g_j] \mid f_j, g_j \in C_q[M] \right\} \subset \text{End}(\Gamma(M_q, S)).
\]

Then the following formula for \( d_\cdot f := i[D_\cdot, f] \) holds:

**Proposition 4** For all \( f \in C_q[M] \) we have

\[
d_\cdot f = -i \sum_{i=1}^m S^{-1}(X_i) \triangleright f \otimes \sigma(K_\lambda) \gamma(X_i).
\]

**Proof.** The coproduct of \( S^{-1}(X_1) = -(1 - q^{2nd_r}) K_\lambda^{-1} F_r \) is given by

\[
S^{-1}(X_1) \otimes K_\lambda^{-1} K_\lambda^{-1} + K_\lambda^{-1} \otimes S^{-1}(X_1).
\]

Since \( X_j = Y \triangleright X_1 \) for some \( Y \in U_q(l) \) one obtains for \( f \in C_q[M] \) and \( \sum_i g_i \otimes v_i \in \Gamma(M_q, S) \) the relation

\[
\sum_i S^{-1}(X_j) \triangleright (g_i f) \otimes v_i = \sum_i (Y(3) S^{-1}(X_1) S^{-1}(Y(2)) \triangleright g_i)(Y(4) K_\lambda^{-1} K_\lambda^{-1} S^{-1}(Y(1)) \triangleright f) \otimes v_i + (Y(3) K_\lambda^{-1} S^{-1}(Y(2)) \triangleright g_i)(Y(4) S^{-1}(X_1) S^{-1}(Y(1)) \triangleright f) \otimes v_i = \sum_i (S^{-1}(X_j) \triangleright g_i) f \otimes v_i + g_i(S^{-1}(X_j) \triangleright f) \otimes K_\lambda \triangleright v_i,
\]

where we used the defining properties of \( C_q[M], \Gamma(M_q, S) \) and the fact that \( K_\lambda \) commutes with elements of \( U_q(l) \).

Since the right multiplication operators \( R_g : f \mapsto fg, f, g \in C_q[G] \) extend to bounded operators on the Hilbert space obtained by completing \( C_q[G] \) with respect to Haar measure Proposition 4 implies:

**Corollary 1** The elements of \( \Gamma_\cdot \) extend to bounded operators on \( H \).

By [HK2, Corollary 5] there is a one-to-one correspondence between \( m \)-dimensional covariant differential calculi over \( C_q[M] \) and \( m+1 \)-dimensional subspaces \( T \) of \( C_q[M]^\circ \) such that

\[
\varepsilon \in T, \quad \Delta(T) \subset T \otimes C_q[M]^\circ, \quad U_q(l) T \subset T.
\]

Here \( C_q[M]^\circ \) denotes the dual coalgebra of \( C_q[M] \), see [HK2]. In view of [HK1, Theorem 6.5] it is sufficient to consider \( T \subset \pi(U_q(g)) \), where \( \pi : C_q[G]^\circ \rightarrow C_q[M]^\circ \) is the restriction map. Then \( U_q(l)T \subset T \) means that \( \pi(XY) \in T \) for all \( X \in U_q(l) \) and \( Y \in U_q(g) \) with \( \pi(Y) \in T \). The vector space \( T^0 := \{ X \in T \mid X(1) = 0 \} \) is called the quantum tangent space of the corresponding differential calculus.

**Proposition 5** The vector space \( T^0 \subset C_q[M]^\circ \) spanned by \( \pi \circ S^{-1}(X_i), \)

\[
i = 1, \ldots, m \text{ coincides with the quantum tangent space of } \Gamma_\cdot.
\]
Proof. For \( f \in \mathbb{C}_q[M] \) we have
\[
F_r K_r K_\lambda(f) = F_r ((K_r K_\lambda) \triangleright f) = F_r(f)
\]
and similarly
\[
(Y \triangleright X_1)(f) = Y X_1(f) \quad \forall Y \in U_q(0).
\]
Hence the claim reduces to the fact that the tangent space of \( \Gamma_- \) is \( U_q(0) \pi(F_r) \subset \mathbb{C}_q[M]^\alpha \), see [HK1]. \( \square \)

It remains to show that \( \Gamma'_- \) is indeed isomorphic to \( \Gamma_- \). To see this we first realize \( \Gamma_- \) as a calculus induced by a \( m+1 \)-dimensional covariant differential calculus over \( \mathbb{C}_q[G] \). This calculus has tangent space
\[
T^-_G := \mathbb{C} S^{-1}(X_0) \oplus S^{-1}(\text{ad}(U_q(0)) X_1) \subset U_q(g).
\]

Using that \( S^{-1} \) is a coalgebra antihomomorphism and that \( K_\lambda \) commutes with all elements of \( U_q(l) \) one calculates that
\[
\Delta(S^{-1}(Y \triangleright X_1)) = K^{-1}_\lambda \otimes S^{-1}(Y \triangleright X_1) + S^{-1}(Y_2 \triangleright X_1) \otimes S^{-1}(Y_1 K_r K_\lambda S(Y_3)) 
\in T^-_G \otimes U_q(g)
\]
for all \( Y \in U_q(0) \), where \( T^-_G := \mathbb{C} \cdot 1 \oplus T^C_G \). Therefore there is indeed a differential calculus \( \Gamma^-_G \) over \( \mathbb{C}_q[G] \) with quantum tangent space \( T^C_G \) (the last condition in (3) becomes trivial on quantum groups). By construction we have \( \pi(T^-_G) = T^0_\gamma \) and hence \( \Gamma^-_G \) induces \( \Gamma_- \) [HK2, Corollary 9].

The general theory of covariant differential calculi over Hopf algebras with invertible antipode (see [KS, Section 14.1]) implies that in \( \Gamma^-_G \) the differential can be written as
\[
d^-_G f = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (S^{-1}(X_i) \triangleright f) \cdot \omega^i \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{C}_q[G],
\]
where \( \{\omega^i\} \) is a basis of \( \Gamma^-_G \) consisting of invariant 1-forms. Proposition 4 generalizes the above formula to differential calculi over quantum flag manifolds.

The relation (4) implies in particular that
\[
\sum_i f_i d^-G_i = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_i f_i (S^{-1}(X_j) \triangleright g_i) = 0 \quad \forall j.
\]

The matrices \( \sigma(K_\lambda)^\gamma(X^i) \) are linearly independent, because \( \sigma(K_\lambda) \) is invertible, \( \gamma \) is injective and the \( X_0 \) are linearly independent. Furthermore \( \mathbb{C}_q[G] \) is free of zero divisors [J, 9.1.9]. Hence Proposition 4 implies
\[
\sum_i f_i d^-G_i = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_i f_i (S^{-1}(X_j) \triangleright g_i) = 0
\]
and we obtain:

**Proposition 6** The map
\[
\psi : \Gamma_- \rightarrow \Gamma'_-, \quad \sum_j f_j d^- g_j \mapsto \sum_j f_j d'_- g_j
\]
is an isomorphism of differential calculi.
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