Ginkgolides protect against amyloid-β₁₋₄₂-mediated synapse damage in vitro
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Abstract

**Background:** The early stages of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) are closely associated with the production of the Aβ₁₋₄₂ peptide, loss of synapses and gradual cognitive decline. Since some epidemiological studies showed that EGb 761, an extract from the leaves of the *Ginkgo biloba* tree, had a beneficial effect on mild forms of AD, the effects of some of the major components of the EGb 761 extract (ginkgolides A and B, myricetin and quercetin) on synapse damage in response to Aβ₁₋₄₂ were examined.

**Results:** The addition of Aβ₁₋₄₂ to cortical or hippocampal neurons reduced the amounts of cell associated synaptophysin, a pre-synaptic membrane protein that is essential for neurotransmission, indicating synapse damage. The effects of Aβ₁₋₄₂ on synapses were apparent at concentrations approximately 100 fold less than that required to kill neurons; the synaptophysin content of neuronal cultures was reduced by 50% by 50 nM Aβ₁₋₄₂. Pre-treatment of cortical or hippocampal neuronal cultures with ginkgolides A or B, but not with myricetin or quercetin, protected against Aβ₁₋₄₂-induced loss of synaptophysin. This protective effect was achieved with nanomolar concentrations of ginkgolides. Previous studies indicated that the ginkgolides are platelet-activating factor (PAF) receptor antagonists and here we show that Aβ₁₋₄₂-induced loss of synaptophysin from neuronal cultures was also reduced by pre-treatment with other PAF antagonists (Hexa-PAF and CV6209). PAF, but not lyso-PAF, mimicked the effects Aβ₁₋₄₂ and caused a dose-dependent reduction in the synaptophysin content of neurons. This effect of PAF was greatly reduced by pre-treatment with ginkgolide B. In contrast, ginkgolide B did not affect the loss of synaptophysin in neurons incubated with prostaglandin E₂.

**Conclusion:** Pre-treatment with ginkgolides A or B protects neurons against Aβ₁₋₄₂-induced synapse damage. These ginkgolides also reduced the effects of PAF, but not those of prostaglandin E₂, on the synaptophysin content of neuronal cultures, results consistent with prior reports that ginkgolides act as PAF receptor antagonists. Such observations suggest that the ginkgolides are active components of *Ginkgo biloba* preparations and may protect against the synapse damage and the cognitive loss seen during the early stages of AD.
Background
Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a complex and genetically heterogeneous disease that is the most common form of dementia and affects up to 15 million individuals worldwide. The amyloid hypothesis of AD pathogenesis maintains that the primary event is the production and accumulation of amyloid-β (Aβ) peptides, derived from abnormal proteolytic cleavage of the amyloid precursor protein [1-3]. The accumulation of Aβ peptides leads to the subsequent disruption of neuronal processes, abnormal phosphorylation of tau and ultimately the dysfunction and death of neurons. However, the precise mechanisms by which Aβ peptides lead to neuronal damage remain to be fully determined. Initially it was thought that fibril formation by Aβ peptides was required for neurotoxicity [4], however, more recent studies showed that smaller soluble oligomers of Aβ or Aβ-derived diffusible ligands are also potent neurotoxins [5,6].

The early stages of AD are characterised by memory impairment and subtle behavioural changes, associated with changes in synaptic function and a reduction in the levels of synaptophysin, a presynaptic membrane protein essential for neurotransmitter release and the recycling of synaptic vesicles [7], within the brain. These occur before any gross neurological damage is observed [8-10]. The loss of synapses and the reduction in synaptophysin levels are features of AD that strongly correlate with cognitive decline [11]. We previously developed an in vitro model to examine the effects of Aβ peptides on synapses where the amounts of synaptophysin in neuronal cultures were measured as a surrogate marker of synapse function. The addition of Aβ1–42 reduced the synaptophysin content of neurons indicating the loss of synapses in these cultures [12]. In this paper, a possible mechanism leading to Aβ1–42-induced loss of synaptophysin from neuronal cultures was investigated.

Extracts from the leaves of the Ginkgo biloba tree are becoming increasingly popular as a treatment that is claimed to reduce memory loss and the symptoms of mild cognitive disorders including AD [13-15]. However, there remains considerable controversy regarding the mechanisms of action of these preparations, or even whether such preparations have any clinical benefit. While some published studies conclude that the use of a standardized extract of the leaves of the Ginkgo biloba tree (EGb 761) reduces the symptoms of mild cognitive disorders including AD [13,16], other studies have failed to show clinical benefit [17]. Since the EGb 761 extract contains many compounds, including ginkgolides and the flavonoglycosides myricetin and quercetin, it is not clear which individual components of this extract are efficacious. Our previous studies showed that pre-treatment with ginkgolides protected against Aβ1–42-induced neuronal death [18] and in the current study we tested the main compounds in the EGb 761 extract on cultured neurons and for their effects on synapse damage in response to Aβ1–42. We report that pre-treatment of cultured neurons with ginkgolides A or B significantly reduced the effects of Aβ1–42 on synapses.

Results
Ginkgolides protect against Aβ1–42-induced synapse damage
Here we report that both Aβ1–42 and Aβ1–40 Peptides, but not the control peptide Aβ42-1, reduced the synaptophysin content of cortical neurons in a dose-dependent manner. While the synaptophysin content of cortical neurons was reduced by 50% by 50 nM Aβ1–42, much higher amounts of Aβ1–40 (2 μM) were required to have the same effect (Figure 1). The effects of Aβ peptides on synapses were apparent at concentrations that did not affect neuronal survival. Since Aβ1–42 was the more potent peptide at damaging synapses, all further experiments were carried out using this peptide. Greater than 90% of this activity remained after Aβ1–42 preparations were passed through a 100 Kd filter, or after high speed centrifugation (data not shown), suggesting that the Aβ species responsible were small soluble oligomers or proto-fibrils rather than the
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**Figure 1** Aβ1–42 causes a dose-dependent reduction in the synaptophysin content of neurons. The synaptophysin content of cortical neuronal cultures treated for 24 hours with varying concentrations of Aβ1–43 (solid circle), Aβ1–42 (hollow circle) or Aβ1–40 (solid triangle). Values shown are the mean synaptophysin content ± SD from 12 observations.
larger insoluble fibrils which this peptide sometimes forms.

To determine if treatment with individual components of *Ginkgo biloba* extracts could modify the effects of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub>, cortical neurons were pre-treated with different concentrations (0.001 to 10 µM) of the ginkgolides A or B, or the flavanoglycosides (quercetin or myricetin) before the addition of 200 nM Aβ<sub>1-42</sub>. Treatment of neuronal cultures with these concentrations of ginkgolides A or B, myricetin or quercetin did not affect their synaptophysin content showing that these compounds alone do not damage synapses or stimulate synaptogenesis. Pre-treatment with either ginkgolide A or B reduced the effects of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> on the synaptophysin content of neuronal cultures (Figure 2). These effects were dose-dependent and the ED<sub>50</sub> (the concentration of drug that increased synaptophysin content by 50% in Aβ<sub>1-42</sub>-treated neurons) was 40 nM for ginkgolide A and 10 nM for ginkgolide B. Pre-treatment with either quercetin or myricetin did not affect the loss of synaptophysin induced by Aβ<sub>1-42</sub>.

The synaptoprotective effect of ginkgolide B was competitive; while the concentration of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> required to reduce the synaptophysin content of untreated neurons by 50% was 50 nM, 8 µM Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> was required to have the same effect in neurons treated with 1 µM ginkgolide B (Figure 3). This effect of ginkgolide B required pre-treatment; there was no significant difference between the amount of synaptophysin in neuronal cultures incubated with 200 nM Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> and neuronal cultures in which 1 µM ginkgolide B was added to neurons 30 minutes after the addition of 200 nM Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> (27 units ± 5 v 30 ± 4, n = 6, P > 0.05).

The effects of ginkgolide B were not confined to cortical neurons; the amounts of synaptophysin in hippocampal neuronal cultures were also reduced by Aβ<sub>1-42</sub>. The amount of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> required to reduce the synaptophysin content of hippocampal neuronal cultures by 50% was 10 nM. Pre-treatment with 1 µM ginkgolide B resulted in Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> having a significantly reduced effect on the amounts of synaptophysin in hippocampal cultures; in these cultures the amount of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> required to reduce synaptophysin content by 50% was increased to 4 µM (Figure 4).

---

**Figure 2**

Effects of individual *Ginkgo biloba* components on Aβ<sub>1-42</sub>-induced loss of synaptophysin. The synaptophysin content of cortical neuronal cultures pre-treated for 3 hours with varying concentrations of ginkgolides A (hollow circle) or B (solid circle), myricetin (solid squares) or quercetin (hollow squares) and subsequently incubated with 200 nM Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> for 24 hours. Values shown are the mean synaptophysin content ± SD from 6 observations.

**Figure 3**

Ginkgolide B protects cortical neurons against Aβ<sub>1-42</sub>-induced loss of synaptophysin. Primary cortical neurons were pre-treated for 3 hours with control medium (solid circle) or with 1 µM ginkgolide B (hollow circle) and subsequently incubated for 24 hours with different concentrations of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> as shown. Each point represents the mean synaptophysin content ± SD from 9 observations.
Ginkgolides do not affect the binding of Aβ₁₋₄₂ to neurons

The hypothesis that ginkgolide-treated neurons bind less Aβ₁₋₄₂ was tested by treating cortical neurons with biotinylated-Aβ₁₋₄₂. Firstly, we tested whether biotinylation affected the activity of the Aβ₁₋₄₂ peptide; there were no significant differences in the synaptophysin content of neuronal cultures treated with 200 nM Aβ₁₋₄₂ and 200 nM biotinylated-Aβ₁₋₄₂ (28 units ± 4 v 27 ± 5, n = 6, P > 0.05). Next, cortical neurons were treated with 1 µM ginkgolides A or B prior to the addition of 200 nM biotinylated-Aβ₁₋₄₂. Neuronal extracts were collected at different time points after the addition of peptide and the amounts of cell bound biotinylated-Aβ₁₋₄₂ were measured. The amounts of biotinylated-Aβ₁₋₄₂ increased in a time-dependent manner over the first hour, but showed no significant increases thereafter. There were no significant differences in the amounts of biotinylated-Aβ₁₋₄₂ ingested by untreated cortical neurons and neurons treated with 1 µM ginkgolides A or B (Figure 5).

PAF mimics the effects of Aβ₁₋₄₂ on synaptophysin levels

Ginkgolides have been reported to antagonise PAF receptors [19] raising the possibility that PAF mediates the synapse damage caused by Aβ₁₋₄₂. The addition of PAF, but not lyso-PAF, mimicked the effects of Aβ₁₋₄₂ and caused a dose-dependent reduction in the synaptophysin content of cortical neurons (Figure 6). The synaptophysin content of cortical neurons was reduced by 50% by 5 nM PAF, a concentration that did not affect neuronal survival. Pretreatment of neurons with 1 µM ginkgolides A or B, but not with 25 µM myricetin or 25 µM quercetin, reduced the effects of PAF on the synaptophysin content of neuronal cultures (Figure 7).

These results are consistent with the hypothesis that ginkgolides protect synapses via antagonism of PAF receptors. Confirmation of this hypothesis was sought: pre-treatment with the PAF antagonists, hexa-PAF or CV6209 also caused a dose-dependent increase in the amounts of synaptophysin in neuronal cultures treated with 200 nM Aβ₁₋₄₂ (Figure 8). Next we examined the effects of pre-treatment with a combination of ginkgolide B and hexa-PAF. The amounts of synaptophysin in neuronal cultures incubated with 200 nM Aβ₁₋₄₂ was significantly increased by pre-treatment with either 10 nM ginkgolide B (25 units ± 7 v 58 ± 3, n = 6, P < 0.05) or with 10 nM hexa-PAF B.
(25 units ± 7 v 67 ± 6, n = 6, P < 0.05). Pre-treatment with the combination of 10 nM ginkgolide B and 10 nM hexa-PAF increased the synaptophysin content of cultures (74 units ± 4).

**Ginkgolide B does not protect against PGE₂-induced synapse damage**

Since PAF has been shown to increase the production of PGE₂ from neurons in vitro [20] the effects of PGE₂ on synapses was examined. The synaptophysin content of cortical neurons was significantly reduced following the addition of PGE₂, or with two prostanoid E (EP) receptor agonists (butaprost or misoprostol). Pre-treatment of neurons with 1 µM ginkgolide B did not protect against the loss of synaptophysin in neuronal cultures incubated with 40 nM PGE₂, or 1 µM butaprost or 1 µM misoprostol. In contrast, the effects of PAF or Aβ peptides on the synaptophysin content of neuronal cultures occurred at concentrations significantly lower than those required to kill neurons. These observation are consistent with in vivo observations that show that a loss of synapses and a reduction in synaptophysin levels occurs before any gross neurological damage is observed [8-11].

Although previous studies suggest that the flavonoglycosides have protective properties against oxidative stress in vitro [14,21], no protective effects of myricetin or quercetin against Aβ₁₋₄₂-induced synapse damage were observed in these studies. Moreover, flavonoglycosides have limited bioavailability after oral administration [14] suggesting that these compounds are less likely to be responsible for the protective effects of the EGb 761 extract. In contrast, nanomolar concentrations of both ginkgolides A and B reduced the loss of synaptophysin induced by Aβ₁₋₄₂ in cortical neuronal cultures. Pre-treatment with 1 µM ginkgolide B increased the amounts of Aβ₁₋₄₂ required to...
reduce the synaptophysin content of cortical neurons by 50% from 50 nM in untreated neurons to 8 µM in ginkgolide B-treated neurons. The addition of Aβ1–42 also damaged synapses in cultured hippocampal neurons; it required only 10 nM Aβ1–42 to reduce the synaptophysin content of these cultures by 50%. While it is tempting to suggest that hippocampal neuronal synapses are more sensitive to Aβ1–42 than cortical neuronal synapses a direct comparison is invalid considering the differences in the ages of mice used and the number of contaminating astroglial cells. In hippocampal neuronal cultures pre-treated with ginkgolide B over 1 µM Aβ1–42 was needed to reduce the synaptophysin content by 50%.
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**Figure 8**

**PAF antagonists protect against Aβ1–42 induced synapse damage.** Cortical neurons were pre-treated with different concentrations of the PAF antagonists Hexa-PAF (solid circle) or CV6209 (hollow circle) for 3 hours prior to the addition of 100 nM Aβ1–42 for 24 hours. Values shown are the mean synaptophysin content, ± SD, of 9 observations.

Since Aβ peptides activate phospholipase A2 (PLA2) [23], a major step in the production of PAF [24], the possibility that synapse damage occurs as a consequence of Aβ1–42-induced production of PAF was investigated. PAF receptors are present in synapses [25] and PAF is required for synapse maintenance [26] and long term potential in the hippocampus [27]. However, higher concentrations of PAF are implicated in neurodegenerative diseases including AD [28]. Here we report that the addition of PAF caused a dose-dependent reduction in the synaptophysin

### Table 1: Ginkgolide B does not affect PGE2-induced loss of synaptophysin.

| Pre-treatment          | Synaptophysin content (units) |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
|                        | None                         | Ginkgolide B (1 µM) | AH13205 (100 nM) |
| None                   | 100 ± 5                      | 101 ± 3             | 102 ± 3           |
| Aβ1–42 (200 nM)        | 24 ± 4                       | 93 ± 7              | 97 ± 6            |
| PAF (10 nM)            | 33 ± 7                       | 78 ± 5              | 89 ± 8            |
| PGE2 (40 nM)           | 41 ± 6                       | 44 ± 7              | 96 ± 4            |
| Butaprost (1 µM)       | 29 ± 5                       | 37 ± 11             | 94 ± 7            |
| Misoprostal (1 µM)     | 32 ± 7                       | 34 ± 6              | 98 ± 5            |

The effects of pre-treatment with ginkgolide B, or with the EP receptor antagonist AH13205 on the synaptophysin content of neuronal cultures incubated for 24 hours with Aβ1–42, PAF, PGE2, or with the EP receptors agonists butaprost or misoprostol as shown. Values shown are the mean synaptophysin content ± SD from 9 observations.
content of cortical neurons; 5 nM PAF reduced synaptophysin content by 50%. The effects of PAF are transmitted via a G-protein coupled receptor [29] and the addition of lyso-PAF, a non-acetylated structural analogue of PAF that does not bind to the PAF receptor, did not cause synapse damage. One of the many reported effects of the ginkgolides is antagonism of PAF receptors [19] and in the current study we demonstrated that ginkgolide-treated neurons were partially resistant to the effects of PAF, as well as that of Aβ1–42, on synapses. The synapse-protective effect of ginkgolide B was consistently stronger than ginkgolide A, consistent with reports that ginkgolide B has greater affinity for PAF receptors than ginkgolide A [30]. Pre-treatment with two non-related PAF antagonists, hexa-PAF and CV6209 [31,32], also protected synapses suggesting that antagonism of the PAF receptors mediates the synaptoprotective effects of the ginkgolides. Although pre-treatment with a combination of ginkgolide B and hexa-PAF increased the synaptophysin content of cultures relative to treatment with ginkgolide B or hexa-PAF alone, their effects appeared to be additive rather than synergistic.

Both Aβ1–42 and PAF, but not lyso-PAF, increased the production of PGE2 in cortical neurons in vitro [20] raising the possibility that the effects of PAF on synapses were mediated through the production of PGE2. While pre-treatment with ginkgolide B reduced both Aβ1–42 and PAF-induced production of PGE2, it did not alter the effects of PGE2, or two EP receptor agonists (butaprost or misoprostol), on the synaptophysin content of neuronal cultures. In contrast, the EP receptor antagonist AH13205 [33] protected against Aβ1–42, PAF and PGE2-induced synaptophysin loss. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that ginkgolides protect against Aβ1–42-mediated synapse damage by modifying the production of PGE2. This hypothesis is also consistent with epidemiological data that show that the use of cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors reduce the severity of AD [34]. We suggest that activation of PLA2 by Aβ1–42 leads to sustained production of PAF which in turn increases PGE2 production, and that high concentrations of PGE2 damaged synapses. PGE2 modulates synaptic transmission [35] and although high concentrations were reported to induce apoptosis in hippocampal neurons [36], we found that 10 µM PGE2 did not kill cortical neurons (data not shown). Similarly we found that although the EP receptor antagonist AH13205 reduced Aβ1–42-induced loss of synaptophysin it did not affect Aβ1–42-induced neuronal death (data not shown).

It is worth noting that for many Ginkgo biloba extracts, extraction procedures that use optimise the flavonoglycoside content. However, these procedures may result in extracts that contain different amounts of ginkgolides, and a recent analysis of commercial Ginkgo biloba supplements by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry demonstrated remarkable variations in ginkgolide content [37]. Therefore, variations in the ginkgolide content of different extracts may be a factor that explains the variability of results obtained in clinical studies.

**Conclusion**

In summary we show that sub-toxic concentrations of Aβ1–42 damaged synapses in cultured cortical and hippocampal neurons. We propose that these changes model the early stages of AD, where there are significant behavioural changes including synaptic dysfunction and alterations in the development of short-term memory, but before gross neuronal loss is observed [38]. We report that neurons treated with ginkgolides A or B show increased resistance to the effects Aβ1–42 on synapses. This effect of the ginkgolides correlated with their ability to antagonise PAF receptors [30], and in these studies PAF also reduced the synaptophysin content of cortical neuronal cultures. Recent studies showed that the bioavailability of ginkgolides after oral administration is high [14] suggesting that the ginkgolides have the potential to reduce synapse damage in AD should they be able to cross the blood brain barrier and penetrate the central nervous system.

**Methods**

**Neuronal cultures**

Cortical neurons were prepared from the brains of day 15.5 C57BL/6J mouse embryos. After mechanical dissociation, cell sieving and isolation on histopaque (Sigma, Poole, UK), neuronal precursors were plated at 2 x 10^5 cells/well in 48 well plates in Ham’s F12 containing 5% foetal calf serum (FCS) for 2 hours. Cultures were then shaken (600 r.p.m for 5 minutes) and non-adherent cells removed by 2 washes in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Neurons were grown in neurobasal medium (NBM) containing B27 components (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) for 7 days. Immunostaining showed that the cells were greater than 97% neurofilament positive. Less than 3% stained for GFAP (astrocytes) or for F4/80 or CD14 (microglial cells).

Hippocampal neuronal cultures were prepared from the brains of adult C57BL/6J mice as previously described [39]. Briefly, hippocampi were dissected from the adult brain tissue and triturated with fire-polished pasteur pipette in Ham’s F12 containing 5% FCS, 0.35% glucose, 0.025% trypsin, and 0.1% type IV collagenase (Invitrogen). After 30-minute incubation at 37°C, the cells were shaken and the cell suspension was passed through a 100 µM cell strainer (Becton Dickenson). Cells were collected by centrifugation, washed twice in Ham’s F12 containing 5% FCS, and plated at 2 x 10^5 cells/well in 48 well plates for 24 hours. Cultures were shaken (600 r.p.m for 5 min-
utes) to remove non-adherent cells, washed twice with PBS and the remaining cells were cultured in NBM containing B27 components and 10 ng/ml glial-derived neurotrophic factor (Sigma) for 7 days. Immunostaining showed that after 7 days cultured in NBM less than 5% of the viable cells stained for GFAP or F4/80 (astrocytes or microglial cells). Both types of neuronal cultures were pretreated with test compounds for 3 hours. Cells were then washed with PBS 3 times to remove unbound test compounds before the addition of Aβ peptides in NBM/B27 components; the amounts of synaptophysin in treated cultures were measured 24 hours later.

**Cell extracts**

Treated neurons were washed 3 times with PBS and homogenised in a buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.2% SDS and mixed protease inhibitors (AEBSF, Aprotinin, Leupeptin, Bestain, Pepstatin A and E-46) (Sigma) at 1 × 10^6 cells/ml. Nuclei and cell debris was removed by centrifugation (300 × g for 5 minutes).

**Synaptophysin ELISA**

Synaptophysin levels in neuronal extracts were measured by a sandwich ELISA as previously described [12]. In short, the capture antibody (0.5 µg/ml) was a mouse monoclonal antibody (mab) anti-synaptophysin MAB368 (Chemicon, UK) and bound synaptophysin was detected using a goat polyclonal anti-synaptophysin (Abcam, Chandler's Ford, UK). Bound antibodies were detected with a biotinylated anti-goat IgG (Dako, Cambridgeshire, UK),extravidin-alkaline phosphatase and 1 mg/ml 4-nitrophenol phosphate in diethanolamine buffer (Sigma). Absorbance was measured on a microplate reader at 450 nM and the synaptophysin content was calculated by reference to a standard curve. Samples were expressed as "units synaptophysin" where 100 units was arbitrarily defined as the amount of synaptophysin in 1 × 10^6 untreated cells. A standard curve was generated from this sample using sequential log 2 dilutions (range 100 to 1.56 units).

**Ingestion of biotinylated-Aβ1-42**

Cortical neurons were pre-treated with drugs for 3 hours and washed 3 times with PBS prior to the addition of biotinylated-Aβ1-42. After 10, 30 or 60 minutes neurons were washed a further three times with warm PBS to remove unbound peptide and then homogenised in a buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.2% SDS and mixed protease inhibitors at 1 × 10^6 cells/ml. Nuclei and cell debris was removed by low speed centrifugation (300 × g for 5 minutes). The amounts of biotinylated-Aβ1-42 in cell extracts were determined by ELISA. All stages of the ELISA were carried out at 37°C for 1 hr. Maxisorb Immunoplates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) were coated with 0.1 µg mouse mab to Aβ1-42 (Immunodiagnostic Systems, Tyne and Wear, UK) as a capture antibody. Samples were applied and the amounts of biotinylated-Aβ1-42 bound were determined by incubation with extravidin-alkaline phosphatase and 1 mg/ml 4-nitrophenol phosphate in diethanolamine buffer. Absorbance was measured at 450 nM and the amounts of biotinylated-Aβ1-42 in samples were expressed as optical density (OD) × 1000.

**Peptides**

A peptide corresponding to amino acids 1 to 42 of the Aβ protein (Aβ1-42), a biotinylated version containing biotin at the terminal aspartic acid residue (biotinylated-Aβ1-42), Aβ1-40 and a control peptide (Aβ42-1) were obtained from Bachem (St Helens, UK). 1 mM stock solutions of peptide were kept at -70°C, thawed on the day of use and sonicated prior to dilution and addition to cells.

**Drugs**

CV6209, ginkbolide B, myricetin, quercetin, platelet-activating factor (PAF), lyso-PAF, butaprost, misoprostol and AH13025 were obtained from Sigma. Ginkgolide A, hexa-PAF and PGE2, were obtained from Calbiochem (Nottingham, UK).

**Statistical Analysis**

Comparison of treatment effects were carried out using one and two way analysis of variance techniques as appropriate. Post hoc comparisons of means were performed as necessary.

**Abbreviations**

Alzheimer's disease (AD), amyloid-β (Aβ), enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA), cyclo-oxygenase (COX), a standardized extract of the leaves of the Ginkgo biloba tree (EGb 761), fetal calf serum (FCS), monoclonal antibody (mab), phospholipase A2 (PLA2), platelet-activating factor (PAF), prostaglandin (PG).
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