Leukemia-on-a-chip: Dissecting the chemoresistance mechanisms in B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia bone marrow niche

Chao Ma1,2, Matthew T. Witkowski3,4, Jacob Harris2, Igor Dolgalev3,4, Sheetal Sreeram3, Weiyi Qian1, Jie Tong1, Xin Chen1, Iannis Alfantis3,4, Weiqiang Chen1,2,4*

B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) blasts hijack the bone marrow (BM) microenvironment to form chemoprotective leukemic BM “niches,” facilitating chemoresistance and, ultimately, disease relapse. However, the ability to dissect these evolving, heterogeneous interactions among distinct B-ALL subtypes and their varying BM niches is limited with current in vivo methods. Here, we demonstrated an in vitro organotypic “leukemia-on-a-chip” model to emulate the in vivo B-ALL BM pathology and comparatively studied the spatial and genetic heterogeneity of the BM niche in regulating B-ALL chemoresistance. We revealed the heterogeneous chemoresistance mechanisms across various B-ALL cell lines and patient-derived samples. We showed that the leukemic perivascular, endosteal, and hematopoietic niche-derived factors maintain B-ALL survival and quiescence (e.g., CXCL12 cytokine signal, VCAM-1/OPN adhesive signals, and enhanced downstream leukemia-intrinsic NF-κB pathway). Furthermore, we demonstrated the preclinical use of our model to test niche-cotargeting regimens, which may translate to patient-specific therapy screening and response prediction.

INTRODUCTION

B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) is the most common cancer among children and is characterized by the overproduction of immature and dysfunctional B cell blasts within bone marrow (BM). Despite the substantial progress achieved over the past decade with multidrug chemotherapy regimens, allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) transplantation, and, most recently, CD19-targeted CAR (chimeric antigen receptor) T cell immunotherapy, relapse is common after initial treatment and the leading cause of death for pediatric patients with B-ALL (1, 2). Patients with refractory and relapsed B-ALL have a poor prognosis with a 5-year survival rate of about 10%, largely because of acquired resistance by the heterogeneity in the BM microenvironment and tumor genetics (1–3). Currently, there is limited prognostic information between these heterogeneity and therapeutic responses, such as patients with ETV6–RUNX1+ B-ALL often have favorable outcomes, while patients with Philadelphia chromosome–positive (Ph+) B-ALL are expected to have an unfavorable prognosis (4). A clearer understanding of the microenvironmental evolution during leukemia pathogenesis and the heterogeneity of acquired chemoresistance mechanisms from distinct B-ALL subtypes may provide previously unidentified therapeutic targets for optimized therapy for patients with refractory and relapsed B-ALL.

The BM microenvironment is characterized by a complex milieu of evolving interactions among hematopoietic and nonhematopoietic niche cells to facilitate normal hematopoiesis. Upon leukemic initiation, B-ALL blasts transform their BM niches, dysregulating BM niche cell signaling to promote B-ALL pathogenesis and evade targeted therapies. Clinical ALL cases have reported abnormal vascular architecture in leukemic BM described by increased sinusoidal endothelial cell (EC) and perivascular mesenchymal stromal cell (MSC) proliferation, microvascular density and vascular permeability, and altered endosteal (osteoblastic) niche that together result in abnormal HSC and progenitor development and the accumulation of leukemia-associated factors (5). We, and others, have demonstrated in previous in vivo T cell ALL (T-ALL) studies that leukemia colonization is driven by CXCL12 (C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 12)/CXCR4 (C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4) signals derived from the vascular niche (6, 7). Leukemic blasts have also been shown to engage perivascular stromal cells or endosteal osteoblasts via intercellular adhesion mediated by, such as very late antigen–4 (VLA-4), vascular cell adhesion molecule–1 (VCAM-1) and osteopontin (OPN) (8–10). In addition, other hematopoietic cells, such as monocyte, may also be involved in regulating the chemotherapeutic response of B-ALL, as well as other types of leukemia (11–13). Moreover, HSCs residing in either endosteal region or medullary cavity demonstrate distinct niche-regulated cell fates (e.g., proliferation, quiescence, and differentiation) (14), suggesting that the perivascular and endosteal niches may differentially regulate B-ALL progression. These different niche cell components, cytokine and adhesive signaling, together can promote leukemia survival and/or dormancy, yet the downstream regulators remain not fully defined with transcription nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) being implied as a functional role (8–10). In addition, while the functions of perivascular and endosteal niches have been concurrent in healthy hematopoiesis and other leukemia types such as acute myeloid leukemia (AML), it remains poorly understood how B-ALL blasts may distinctly exploit BM microenvironment signaling to raise chemoresistance within these different BM niches (15–17).

To dissect the heterogeneity of BM niche mechanisms associated with treatment resistance for genetically distinct B-ALL subtypes, there is a critical demand for both an accurate, real-time, and modular methodology and reliable clinical biomarkers to identify and screen promising therapy targets for patients with refractory and relapsed B-ALL diseases. At present, preclinical ALL murine models, with the aid of intravital microscopy, have provided the foundation
of the in vivo leukemic BM ecology. Results emanating from these approaches may be difficult to reproduce as demonstrated, for instance, in previous T-ALL studies highlighting differences in leukemic blast localization in vivo (6, 7, 18) and translate to molecularly distinct B-ALL subtypes. The conventional two-dimensional (2D) or 3D cell coculture systems are simple and convenient platforms for biological studies but they cannot recapitulate the key architectures and characteristics of the in vivo B-ALL BM niche such as the central sinus, medullary cavity, and endosteal space as well as the hematopoietic environment (19, 20). Moreover, scanning cell compositions in leukemic BM niches provides an overall demographic of BM cell populations, but it does not map the real-time and dynamic evolution of the tumor-niche cross-talk. Recent advances in microfluidics-based “organ-on-a-chip” in vitro models have been applied to mimic the pathophysiology of solid tumor microenvironments, yet few attempts have been made to accurately recapitulate the in vivo anatomical architectures and heterogeneity of the liquid tumors such as B-ALL, especially for revealing the heterogeneous resistance mechanisms (21–24).

In the present study, we reported an unique 3D organotypic “leukemia-on-a-chip” microphysiological system that maps the in vivo pathophysiology and heterogeneity of leukemic BM niches. Using this biomimetic system, we accurately characterized the mechanistic details of the real-time, dynamic interactions between B-ALL blasts and its leukemic BM niche within in vitro central sinus, medullary cavity, and endosteum anatomical regions as well as the hematopoietic environment. We also comparatively mapped the B-ALL subtype–specific niche signals with the integration of single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) to further dissect the heterogeneity of leukemic niches using various genetically distinct human B-ALL cell lines and patient samples and validated that the niche-enhanced downstream NF-κB signaling and cellular quiescence in B-ALL blasts promote chemotherapy resistance. Last, we demonstrated the preclinical utility of our in vitro bioengineered model as a proof of concept to screen niche-cotargeting regimens, which together may translate to patient-specific therapeutics screening and disease management.

RESULTS

Modeling the in vivo leukemic BM niche in an in vitro leukemia-on-a-chip system

To mirror the in vivo pathology of the leukemic BM niche and identify underlying mechanisms responsible for B-ALL chemoresistance, we engineered an in vitro organotypic leukemic BM microenvironment, dubbed leukemia-on-a-chip. This microfluidics-based microphysiological system integrates key features that replicate in vivo BM tissue architecture and monitors dynamic B-ALL and leukemic BM niche interactions in real time with live-cell imaging (Fig. 1 and fig. S1). Specifically, leukemia-on-a-chip was fabricated using standard soft lithography with polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) (25, 26). Leukemia-on-a-chip culture (Fig. 1A and fig. S1, A to C) was compartmentalized into a central venous sinus (center region; red), a medullary cavity (middle ring; green), and endosteal regions (outer ring) connected with four medium reservoirs for long-term medium supply. These three functional regions were partitioned by regularly spaced trapezoid micropillars that confine cell–embedded hydrogels, by balancing surface tension and capillary forces, to overall mimic the native in vivo BM tissue architecture of leukemia.

The reconstituted on-chip leukemic BM niche houses a biomimetic central venous sinus, medullary cavity, and endosteum anatomical (endosteal) regions (Fig. 1B and fig. S1, D to F) that permit spatially defined, intercellular communication (i.e., B-ALL, ECs, MSCs, and osteoblasts) to interrogate cytokine and adhesive signaling milieus in conferring B-ALL chemoresistance. In parallel, we compared our on-chip reconstruction of the B-ALL BM niche to the in vivo BM tissue architecture of recipient mice injected with leukemic blasts, specifically using a high-risk Ph+ B-ALL preclinical C57BL/6 mouse model (Fig. 1C) (27). Our results demonstrate that leukemia-on-a-chip resembles the in vivo spatial architecture and cellular composition of the leukemia BM tissue.

Mapping the leukemia niche heterogeneity across molecularly distinct B-ALL subtypes

Notably, interpreting the liaison between tumor heterogeneity and therapeutic response, such as patients with ETV6-RUNX1+ B-ALL are associated with favorable outcome while patients with Ph+ B-ALL display poor responses to conventional agents, as compared to tyrosine kinase inhibitor [e.g., nilotinib (NILT)], is still an outstanding issue (4). Moreover, because of the technical difficulties associated with isolating human BM stromal subpopulations in primary leukemic patient BM samples, the evolving interactions between human BM microenvironment and leukemia remain unclear. To study the heterogeneity in B-ALL human BM microenvironments, we used the leukemia-on-a-chip platform to established human B-ALL BM niche in vitro models by seeding either ETV6-RUNX1+ REH [American Type Culture Collection (ATCC)] and Ph+ SUP-B15 (SUP, ATCC) human B-ALL cell lines with a combination of human umbilical vein Ecs (HUVECs; Lonza), human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs; Lonza), and human osteoblasts (hFOB 1.19, ATCC) that aimed to mimic components of the human BM niche. Notably, REH and SUP BM niches showed distinct chemotherapy sensitivity in the biomimetic devices upon exposure to increasing doses of vincristine (VCR; Sigma-Aldrich), with SUP B-ALL cocultured with niche cells showing more resistant to VCR than REH cocultured with niche cells (Fig. 1D), consistent with insensitivity of Ph+ B-ALL to conventional chemotherapeutic agents. To understand the differences in chemoresistance that exists between human B-ALL cell lines, SUP and REH, cocultured with BM niche cells, we quantified differences in cytokines present in the supernatant of these respective devices. Here, we showed that progressive production of CCL2, CCL5, interleukin-6 (IL-6), and IL-8 were observed upon seeding and growth of either REH or SUP in the leukemia BM niche model and that SUP BM niche had a slightly higher production of CCL2, IL-6, and IL-8, as compared to REH BM niche (Fig. 1E). We also observed that NF-κB signaling was enhanced in both leukemia subtypes upon coculture with niche cells (Fig. 1F), based on the nuclear/cytoplasmic expression of phosphorylated p65 subunit, a subunit of NF-κB. Moreover, we found that SUP B-ALL demonstrated a decreased percentage of Ki67 staining, whereas REH B-ALL showed an increased Ki67 expression, compared between with and without coculture with niche cells (Fig. 1G).

To further elucidate this heterogeneity across genetically distinct human B-ALL blasts and their related BM niches, we leveraged the powerful scRNA-seq analysis tool that we have recently reported for characterizing the BM microenvironment with limited cell input number (11, 28) to molecularly explore the favorable (REH) and unfavorable (SUP) human leukemia BM niches. Single-cell suspensions
were prepared and sequenced for the engineered REH and SUP BM niche samples, as well as control groups (i.e., B-ALL alone and Niche alone) (Fig. 2A). In total, we obtained data for 14,650 cells (Fig. 2B). On the basis of the experimental design of input and culturing five distinct cell types (SUP B-ALL, REH B-ALL, osteoblasts, HUVECs, and hMSCs), we were able to classify single cells into five distinct clusters (Fig. 2, B and C) that distinctively expressed (REH and SUP B-ALL) CD19+ PAX5+, (hMSC) NES+, (osteoblasts) COL1A1+, and (HUVECs) CDH5+ (Fig. 2D). To further validate cell type identity of our niche cell clusters, we compared the gene signatures of our three niche cell clusters with previously described gene of murine BM niche cells (28). Together, these results confirmed that conserved gene signatures exist between previously described murine niche cell types and gene expression profiles of hMSC, osteoblasts, and HUVECs derived from our devices (fig. S2A).

We then performed gene sets enrichment analysis of Molecular Signature Database (MSigDB) Hallmark gene sets for all the cell types from the two leukemia niche samples (Fig. 2, E and F). We found that significantly enriched gene expression profiles related to TNFA signaling via NF-κB and inflammation response activation were associated with most of the cell types, highlighting the inflammation situation upon leukemia progression. SUP B-ALL, compared to REH B-ALL, significantly decreased expression of mitotic spindle and G2-M checkpoint–related gene sets, which indicated that unfavorable B-ALL may demonstrate much more quiescence in comparison with favorable B-ALL in the leukemic BM niche (Fig. 2E). In contrast, niche cells from both leukemia niches augmented expression of epithelial mesenchymal transition–related gene sets, suggestive of leukemia-driven niche remodeling (Fig. 2F).

Having established gene expression profiles for the BM niche cells and leukemic blasts present in our in vitro devices, we sought to determine the cell type–specific and shared signaling pathways that exist throughout the leukemic microenvironments. In particular, we assessed the mRNA expression of leukemia survival and proliferation/quiescence regulators, such as NFKB1A and MKI67 as well as niche-derived cytokine and adhesive signaling throughout all five clusters (Fig. 2 and fig. S2, B to D). In the presence of niche cells, for instance, we observed that SUP B-ALL blasts slightly decreased MKI67 mRNA expression relative to those in the absence of niche cells, which is consistent with our on-chip observance (Fig. 1G and fig. S2B).
addition, SUP B-ALL cells increased NFKB1A expression when cultured with niche cells, whereas this was not the case for REH B-ALL. To clarify the variance of NFKB1A expression, we applied gene set enrichment analysis of NF-κB pathways on these two B-ALL cell types (fig. S2C). The results showed that both B-ALL blasts were more enriched with NF-κB–related signaling when cultured in leukemia BM niche models. As for gene expression of adhesive signaling in niche cells, we found that hMSCs from the SUP BM niche showed increased expression of OPN, while hMSCs from the REH BM niche showed decreased OPN expression, implicating that such heterogeneity may contribute to diverse extent of quiescence in the two leukemia subtypes (fig. S2D), although the protein levels may not fully correlate with the mRNA levels observed. Therefore, together with scRNA-seq, cytokine secretion, and immunofluorescence analysis,
we had generated a comprehensive molecular map of the engineered human BM niche for the two representative B-ALL cell types, which may provide a landscape to systematically interrogate the disease progression of varying leukemia subtypes and the accompanying transformation of nonmalignant BM niche components.

**Monitoring the temporal dynamics of B-ALL progression in the leukemic niche**

To mechanistically understand the dynamic microenvironmental interactions during leukemic pathogenesis, we longitudinally monitored the in situ migratory patterns of murine Ph+ B-ALL and niche cells (containing ECs and MSCs) for a 3-day period with time-lapse imaging. To clearly visualize and distinguish Ph+ GFP+ leukemia cells and niche cells, we labeled ECs with CellTracker Red CMTPX dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific). We first characterized the migration of niche cells toward B-ALL cells by intentionally segregating niche cells into the ring area and B-ALL cells in the central region and mapped the dynamic migration of B-ALL cells and niche cells (especially ECs) at the interface of central and ring regions. We found that B-ALL cells attracted ECs during the 3-day culture, as indicated by the presence of ECs in the central area (Fig. 3A). In addition, we quantified the intercellular distance between B-ALL and niche cells during coculture, and the results demonstrated that B-ALL and niche cells dynamically colocalized (Fig. 3B). We further observed an enhanced clustering of B-ALL cells around niche cells compared to the B-ALL monocyte condition, indicating that niche cells may provide additional adhesive sites to facilitate B-ALL clustering (Fig. 3C).

Time-lapse migration analysis of B-ALL cells revealed that leukemic cells either cultured in the presence or absence of niche cells were comparably motile at the culture onset (day 0), whereas after a two-day culture, leukemia blasts cocultured with niche cells were less motile, as compared to those cultured in the absence of niche cells (Fig. 3, D and E), suggesting the temporally evolved features in chemotactic and adhesive signaling among B-ALL blasts and niche cells. Together, these studies prove that in vivo B-ALL BM pathology is faithfully recapitulated in the in vitro leukemia-on-a-chip model that permits real-time and longitudinal monitoring of the in situ temporal microenvironmental evolution during leukemic pathogenesis, revealing unique migration patterns and clustering behaviors of B-ALL blasts in the leukemic BM niche.

**Dissecting the niche-derived signal underlying leukemia progression**

We and others revealed in previous in vivo T-ALL and B-ALL studies that CXCL12 from BM niche may induce leukemia progression via its receptor CXCR4, thus supporting leukemia survival (6, 7, 29). To corroborate the results of scRNA-seq analysis of engineered leukemic BM niche, we studied the identified signaling further in our in vitro leukemia-on-a-chip model. We found that CXCR4+ B-ALL cells were colocalized with CXCL12+ niche cells in the leukemic BM niche (fig. S1G), confirming the potent role and the existence of spatiotemporal regulation of niche cell–derived signaling in the evolving progression of B-ALL. Steady-state cell surface expression of CXCR4 results from a balance between endocytosis, intracellular trafficking, and recycling (30). By quantifying the subcellular CXCR4 (Abcam, ab124824) receptor distribution in B-ALL cells, we showed that B-ALL cells in the B-ALL BM niche tended to have a higher ratio of CXCR4 internalized within the cytoplasm than those without niche cells, indicating active leukemia-intrinsic downstream events by CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling from interactions with the leukemic BM niche (Fig. 4, A and B). To confirm the source of CXCL12, we performed a membrane-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) cytokine analysis on niche cells (Fig. 4, C and D, and figs. S3 and S4). The results showed that niche cells (without culture with B-ALL blasts) exhibited high production of CXCL12, while it decreased within the leukemic niche upon 2-day coculture with B-ALL. This indicates that CXCL12 may function in the early stage of leukemia progression, such as induction of leukemia migration (Fig. 3, D and E). The overall cytokine profiles also demonstrated that niche cells secreted an array of cytokines, such as CCL2 and CCL5, regulating leukemia progression (figs. S3 and S4), which is consistent with our human system (Fig. 1E) and previous studies (9, 31).

We also observed that leukemic blasts physically cluster around niche cells, indicating that niche cells may provide unique adhesion sites for engrafting B-ALL cells (Fig. 3C). HSC retention within the BM microenvironment is mediated by VLA-4/VCAM-1 signaling; similarly, leukemic blasts have been shown to engage perivascular
stromal cells via this intercellular adhesive signaling (8–10). To confirm intercellular adhesive interactions between B-ALL cells and niche cells, we found, in on-chip cultures, that VLA-4+ B-ALL cells (Abcam, ab202969) colocalized with niche cells via VCAM-1/VLA-4 signaling. (F) Representative images showing nuclear translocation of NF-κB in B-ALL cells. (G) NF-κB activation in B-ALL cells with or without niche cells and under treatments with CXCR4 inhibitor, AMD3100 (AMD), and VLA-4 inhibitor, BIO. The ratios were manually quantified from three experimental replicates (n > 200). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. (H) B-ALL cell viability cultured with or without niche cells. (I) Quantification of NF-κB activation in human NALM-6, 697, RS(4;11), and UOCB1 blasts within their leukemia niches. The ratios were manually measured from three experimental replicates (N > 150). (J) Quantification of NF-κB activation in patient-derived B-ALL blasts within engineered leukemia niches. The ratios for various B-ALL blasts were manually measured from three technical replicates (N > 20). Unpaired t test (*P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01, Mann-Whitney test).
B-ALL survival, we assayed and compared B-ALL cell viability in the presence or absence of niche cells when blocking the NF-κB-signaling with its inhibitor, BAY 11-7082 (BAY; EMD Millipore). Blocking NF-κB signaling in B-ALL cells significantly decreased B-ALL survival in the absence of niche cells, whereas coculture with niche cells partially rescued B-ALL cell survival from BAY treatment (Fig. 4H). These results together prove that cytokine signaling (CXCL12/CXCR4 axis) and adhesive signaling (VCAM-1/VLA-4 axis) between niche and B-ALL blasts may act to regulate downstream NF-κB signaling to promote B-ALL cell survival in the in vitro leukemic BM niche model.

To further confirm our observation, we applied our leukemia-on-a-chip for testing another four genetically different types of human B-ALL leukemia cell lines [i.e., NALM-6, 697, RS(4;11), and UOCB1], as well as three patient-derived samples (i.e., Ph+ PAUZUW, Ph+ 16-265, and non-Ph+ 16-656). The results showed that after coculture with niche cells, NF-κB expression was significantly increased in human B-ALL cell lines (Fig. 4I) as well as in Ph+ PAUZUW and 16-265 patient-derived B-ALL blasts, although it was not the case for non-Ph+ 16-656 patient blasts (Fig. 4I). Together, these results suggested the enhanced NF-κB signaling as a general prosurvival mechanism contributed by niche cells.

**Revealing the cellular and signaling heterogeneity in the leukemic BM niche**

From the cytokine analysis, we observed that niche cells (ECs and MSCs) decreased CXCL12 secretion after prolonged coculture with B-ALL cells (Fig. 4, C and D). To understand whether murine ECs or MSCs or both cell types decrease CXCL12 secretion, we cultured ECs and MSCs, respectively, with B-ALL blasts for 2 days and monitored their cytokine secretion profiles using membrane-based ELISA and Western blotting assays. We found that ECs and MSCs differentially respond to the presence of B-ALL, whereas MSCs were more strongly affected by B-ALL blasts and had a reduced secretion of CXCL12 than ECs did (Fig. 5A and figs. S3 and S4).

We also studied whether ECs and MSCs all engaged in intercellular adhesive signaling, such as VCAM-1 or OPN, to promote B-ALL cell adhesion. We observed a significant increase of VCAM-1 expression but no significant change of OPN expression in ECs when cocultured with B-ALL cells (Fig. 5B and fig. S7, A and B). By contrast, coculture with B-ALL elicited a significant increase of OPN expression in MSCs (Fig. 5C). Moreover, we confirmed that OPN blockade can significantly decrease B-ALL adhesion onto MSCs, which was absent in ECs (fig. S6). By staining cells with proliferation marker, Ki67 (BioLegend, 652402), we demonstrated that a higher percentage of high DiD-retaining cells in B-ALL cells cocultured with MSCs compared to B-ALL cells cultured in isolation or with ECs (Fig. 5, E and F).

Historically, HSCs residing in either endosteal or medullary space (the peri-/vascular niche) show distinct niche-regulated cell fates (e.g., maintenance, proliferation, quiescence, and differentiation) (14). To further expand our understanding of how perivascular and endosteal niche cells differentially regulate leukemia progression, we co-seeded murine MC3T3 osteoblasts with B-ALL cells at 1:1 ratio in the outer ring area to encircle the medullary cavity, replicating the in vivo endosteal region (Fig. 1B and fig. S1). First, we characterized the adhesive signaling in osteoblasts in the presence or absence of B-ALL cells. We found that the presence of B-ALL cells slightly reduced mature osteoblast marker OPN expression in osteoblasts (fig. S7C), indicating that leukemia progression may inhibit osteoblast differentiation and maturation and be related to bone fracture or loss. Moreover, we compared the dormant status of B-ALL cell located in the perivascular and endosteal niches by characterizing its expression of p21 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA5-31479), a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor mediating cell cycle arrest (32). We observed that B-ALL cells in the endosteal niche had a higher number of p21-positive cells, in contrast to those in the perivascular niche (Fig. 5G). In parallel, we compared the viability of B-ALL cells in the two niches in response to 2-day treatment of three different drugs, including glucocorticoids, prednisone (PRE), microtubule inhibitor, VCR, and targeted agent capable of BCR-ABL1 inhibition, NIL (Fig. 5H and fig. S9). The results further confirmed a stronger dormancy and chemoresistance of B-ALL cells in the endosteal niche.

We also investigated the contributing mechanisms from hematopoietic cells. We cultured REH B-ALL blasts with primary human CD34+ HSPCs (hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells) for over 1 week, during which hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) may reestablish the hematopoietic environment following the previous protocol (33). The results showed that hematopoietic cells also promoted NF-κB activation in B-ALL cells and chemoresistance to VCR treatment (Fig. 5, I and J). Furthermore, we cultured healthy BM mononuclear cells with and without REH blasts in our leukemia systems for days 1 and 9 and quantified the number of CD34+ cells. We observed that leukemia progression may impede the maintenance and/or expansion of hematopoietic progenitor cells (Fig. 5, K and L). Together, these findings highlight that BM niche cells, such as ECs, MSCs, and osteoblasts, may differentially contribute niche signaling to B-ALL blasts (Fig. 6A); for instance, ECs may enhance VCAM-1 signaling to regulate B-ALL progression, while osteoblasts and MSCs may promote B-ALL dormancy via OPN signaling.

**Eradicating leukemic burden by cotargeting leukemia niche signaling**

To validate the preclinical utility of this platform and our mechanistic findings (Fig. 6A) in the niche-associated signaling for developing effective therapies, we screened a variety of combinational therapy systems for days 1 and 9, and then cocultured with MSCs at a 1:1 ratio. It was found that B-ALL cells more physically close to MSCs tended to retain a higher intensity of DiD labeling, indicating that MSCs critically induced B-ALL dormancy (Fig. 5D). This finding was also confirmed by flow cytometric analysis (BD FACSymphony) with GFP (green fluorescent protein) as a gateway. The obtained result was analyzed using FlowJo (Tree Star, BD Biosciences), which showed a greater percentage of high DiD-retaining cells in B-ALL cells cocultured with MSCs compared to B-ALL cells cultured in isolation or with ECs (Fig. 5, E and F).

Eradicating leukemic burden by cotargeting leukemia niche signaling
We then investigated whether cotargeting niche-derived prosurvival signals (CXCR4/CXCL12, VCAM-1/VLA-4, and NF-κB signaling) using either CXCR4 inhibitor (AMD), VLA-4 inhibitor (BIO), or NF-κB inhibitor (BAY) could improve the responsiveness of B-ALL–targeting chemotherapeutics. B-ALL blasts and niche cells (containing ECs and MSCs) were cocultured for 24 hours in the leukemia-on-a-chip, and then, we administered individual niche-targeting compounds in combination with either NIL, PRE, or VCR for 48 hours, after which B-ALL cell viability was measured. Notably, inhibiting CXCR4 and NF-κB signals significantly reversed the chemoprotective activity of niche cells exposed to tumor-targeting agents when compared to vehicle-, AMD-, and BAY-treated control groups, whereas VLA-4...
inhibition showed no significant effect on the responsiveness of B-ALL blasts to tumor-targeting agents (Fig. 6, C and D). The lack of response to VLA-4 treatment may be due to VLA-4 blockade breaks the leukemia dormancy to compensate the B-ALL death. This may also indicate a complex and redundant adhesive signaling between leukemia and niche cells, such as CD44 and E-selectin, as revealed in HSC niche and other types of leukemia (36, 37).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we engineered a biomimetic 3D microphysiological leukemia BM niche system for capturing the in vivo pathological features of human and murine B-ALL niche interactions and dissecting the underlying heterogeneous mechanisms regulated by niche cells to drive leukemia progression and chemoresistance. Unlike solid tumors, a comprehensive understanding of the leukemic BM niche remains in infancy (20). The healthy BM niche plays a vital role in regulating HSC fate and maintaining normal hematopoiesis, whereas in hematologic malignancies like acute leukemia, leukemic cells harness the BM niche to favor leukemia survival (15, 38). Current in vitro studies adopt suspension cultures of primary leukemia cell lines to test therapeutics, but these simplified methods are clearly inadequate to mirror the complex conditions inside the 3D leukemic BM niche. Preclinical murine models allow for an in vivo study of the leukemia–BM niche interactions; however, in vivo complexity may affect reproducibility and accessibility of real-time monitoring of B-ALL interactions with its leukemic niche (39). Microfluidics-based microphysiological systems have been recently reported to reestablish the solid tumor microenvironments, yet limited attempts have been made to precisely replicate the in vivo anatomical structure of the leukemia BM niche and comparatively dissect the heterogeneous leukemia-niche interactions and chemoresistance mechanisms in B-ALL (21–24). Our in vitro engineered organotypic leukemia-on-a-chip is such a complementary platform to these preclinical models, as it functions as a bona fide replicate of the in vivo BM tissue architecture. Specifically, it provides several methodological advantages including the capability of control over various biological parameters (e.g., cell type, concentration and composition, tissue architectural information, and extracellular matrix properties), real-time visualization of physiological and pathophysiological dynamics (e.g., cell proliferation and migration, cell fate, and direct and indirect intercellular communications) modulated by internal factors and external stimuli, and the easy setup and compatibility with high-throughput on-chip biological assays (e.g., molecular, cellular, and histological characterizations) and follow-up cell retrieval for in-depth genetic analyses (e.g., scRNA-seq) (19, 24).

Using this biomimetic niche model, we systematically explored the temporally dynamic interactions between B-ALL blasts and niche cells (i.e., vascular ECs, perivascular MSCs, and endosteal osteoblasts) and determined the distinct roles of different niche cells in regulating cytokine (e.g., CXCL12), intercellular adhesive signaling (e.g.,

---

**Fig. 6. On-chip testing of cotargeting niche signaling to eradicate leukemic burden.** (A) The schematic of the protective leukemia BM niche regulating leukemia progression and quiescence via CXCL12/CXCR4 cytokine signaling and VCAM-1/VLA-4/OPN adhesive signaling. (B) The chemoresistance phenomenon was confirmed in the condition that B-ALL cells cultured in the leukemia BM niche were treated with three typical drugs (i.e., NIL, 1 μM; PRE, 1 μM; VCR, 0.1 μM) (n = 6). (C) Representative graphs showing quantified result corresponding to the VCR-treated groups in (D). The mean fluorescence intensity of GFP and DAPI of each B-ALL cell identified in ImageJ [National Institutes of Health (NIH)] was plotted after incubation at the respective conditions. (D) The graphs showed the percentage of B-ALL killing quantified for blockade of different niche singling. The respective control groups were AMD alone, BIO alone, and BAY alone treated groups. Data are means ± SD, collected from three individual experiments. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test (*P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01). PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase.
difficulties associated with isolating human BM stromal subpopulations. A detailed understanding of the leukemic BM immune niche is of great importance since, to-date, it remains a challenge to map the in vivo counterpart because of the biological complexities associated with isolating human BM stromal subpopulations in primary leukemic patient BM samples. The current leukemia-on-a-chip system, although not fully a replica of its in vivo counterpart, did provide a useful and powerful way to probe the evolving interactions between BM microenvironment and leukemia, which is presently not available with conventional methods and other blood cancer chips (21–24).

Recently, CAR T cells have emerged as a promising Food and Drug Administration–approved immunotherapy for relapsed and refractory B-ALL (41); however, patient responses are largely unpredictable. A detailed understanding of the leukemic BM immune niche is also indispensable for improving CAR T cell therapy. Future studies can be expanded on this model by increasing its biological complexity with addition of patient-derived cells, such as immune cells, to answer how the BM immune niche-derived regulatory signals influence leukemia progression and clinically relevant immune resistance, as well as other key BM niche components (e.g., hematopoietic cells), to interrogate how leukemia pathogenesis hampers normal hematopoiesis and how treatments may restore and maintain homeostasis. Additional work can be directed to recapitulate the biochemical (e.g., oxygen and cytokine gradients) and biophysical (e.g., ECM stiffness and sustained perfusion) cues in the in vivo leukemic BM niche, which may also be involved in regulating leukemia progression and therapy resistance (17, 42).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a unique organotypic leukemia-on-a-chip microphysiological system and comparatively and controllably dissected the heterogeneity of the leukemic BM niches in regulating B-ALL chemotherapy resistance. We believe that this bioengineered platform can be applied to many other hematological malignancies, for instance, AML, diffuse large B cell lymphoma, and multiple myeloma, as well as to study HSCs in a healthy BM niche, presenting an enabling tool for drug development and treatment testing under more physiologically relevant contexts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

HUVECs (Lonza, C2519A) were cultured in EGM-2 Endothelial Cell Growth Medium-2 (Lonza, CC-3162). Human BM stem cells (hMSCs; Lonza, PT2501) were cultured in MSCGM Mesenchymal Stem Cell Growth Medium (Lonza, PT-3001). Human osteoblasts, hFOB 1.19 (hFOB, ATCC), were cultured using a 1:1 mixture of Ham’s F12 medium and Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM/F12) with G418 (0.3 mg/ml; Corning) and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen). All the primary cells were used in experiments between passages 3 and 8. Human cord blood CD34+ cells (catalog no. 70008.5) and human BM mononuclear cells (catalog no. 70001.2) were purchased from STEMCELL Technologies and cultured in StemSpan SFEM II supplemented with StemSpan CC100. Human B-ALL cells i.e., EVT6-RUNX1 REH (ATCC), MLL RS(4;11) (ATCC), E2A-PBX1 697, E2A-HLF UOCB1, and NALM-6 (the Aifantis laboratory) were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS, and PH+ SUP-B15 cells (ATCC) in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM; Gibco) with 15% FBS. Patient-derived sample (PH+ B-ALL blasts, PAUZUW) was provided by the Aifantis laboratory and sorted on the basis of CD45loCD19midCD10+ (11). Patient-derived samples (PH+ B-ALL blasts, 16-265, and non-PH+ B-ALL blasts, 16-656) were purchased from AMSBIO LLC and isolated using EasySep Release Human CD19 Positive Selection Kit (STEMCELL Technologies). Since multiple cells are cultured in the microfluidic system, the culture medium was used in a mixture (2:1:1:1) of HUVEC medium (EGM-2, Lonza), hMSCs medium (MSCGM, Lonza), hFOB medium (DMEM/F12), and human B-ALL cell medium (RPMI 1640 or IMDM, Gibco).

Murine EC line, C166 (ATCC), derived from mouse yolk sac, was grown in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich), supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Murine MSC cell line, OP9 (ATCC), was grown in minimum essential medium–α (MEM–α; Thermo Fisher Scientific), supplemented with 20% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Murine B-ALL cells was isolated from a well-characterized model of pediatric PH+ B-ALL (27), in which lethally irradiated C57BL/6 mice are reconstituted with retrovirally infected HSPCs cells ectopically coexpressing the B-ALL-associated P190 BCR-ABL1 isoform, as well as GFP for fluorescent cell tracking (Addgene plasmid no. 38185). Following the isolation, PH+ GFP+ B-ALL cells were cultured and expanded in IMDM supplemented with 15% FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, 100 μM l-glutamine, and 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol in a 37°C (with 5% CO2) incubator. In addition,
to clearly distinguish the coexistence of ECs and MSCs, the two types of cells were prewashed with CellTracker Red CMTTPX Dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific; 10 μM in DMEM, 45 min) and DiD dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific; 1:200 dilution in MEM-α, 20 min), respectively, before being loaded into the device for the subsequent studies.

**Hydrogel solutions**

The gelatin solution (12 mg/ml) was prepared by dissolving gelatin powder from porcine skin (G2500, Sigma-Aldrich) in 1× Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS; without calcium and magnesium; Invitrogen), warming, and vigorously stirring at 60°C for 30 min. The gelatin solution was then sterile-filtered, aliquoted, and stored at 4°C for future use. The fibrinogen solution (6 mg/ml) was prepared by dissolving lyophylized fibrinogen from bovine plasma (F8630, Sigma-Aldrich) in DPBS at 37°C for 2 hours. The sterile-filtered fibrinogen solution was stored at 4°C for future use within 1 week. The thrombin solution was prepared by reconstituting lyophylized thrombin (604980, Sigma-Aldrich) in sterile DPBS to 100 U/ml and stored in aliquots at −20°C.

**Device design, fabrication, and cell loading**

The 3D microfluidics-based organotypic leukemia-on-a-chip device is composed of three distinct functional regions (fig. S1A): a central sinus region vascularized by ECs, an inner ring region serving as an interface of leukemia blasts (B-ALL cells) and niche cells (ECs and MSCs) interactions, and the outer ring channels (which is used to create the endosteal region by encapsulating osteoblasts and B-ALL cells within hydrogel), connected with four medium reservoirs, for cell culture medium supplies and waste removal. All the cell types were embedded in a fibrin hydrogel to maintain 3D cell culture. The microfluidic device was fabricated using standard soft lithography replica molding technique (25, 26).

Generally, the mold for the microfluidic device was fabricated with SU-8 negative photoresist (2050, MicroChem) at a thickness of 100 μm on a silicon wafer by using photolithography (fig. S1B). Before the replica molding process, the SU-8 mold was surface-modified by trichloro(1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctyl) (448931, Sigma-Aldrich) vapor overnight in vacuum desiccation to facilitate later PDMS release. Then, a mixture of PDMS base and curing agent (SYLGARD 184, Dow Corning) at 10:1 weight-to-weight (w/w) ratio were mixed well, cast on the mold, degassed, and then solidified in an 80°C oven for 1 hour. Once PDMS was peeled from the mold, 1- and 3-mm holes were punched for three inlets and four medium reservoirs, respectively. The cleaned PDMS slabs were lastly bounded onto glass coverslips (22 mm by 22 mm; Thermo Fisher Scientific) to assemble the microfluidic device using oxygen plasma (PE-50 XL, Plasma Etch; 350 W, 2 min) and then incubated overnight in an 80°C oven for the recovery of hydrophobicity. The microfluidic devices were subsequently treated with ultraviolet for sterilization in a type 2 class laminar flow hood for 20 min. Afterward, B-ALL cells, ECs, and MSCs were embedded into 3D biomimetic hydrogels (fibron, 3 mg/ml) (43), following a multistep loading protocol to seed ECs in the central sinus region and encapsulate B-ALL cells and niche cells (e.g., ECs and MSCs) in the medullary cavity region (fig. S1C).

The seeding densities of ECs, MSCs, and B-ALL cells in medullary cavity region were $2.5 \times 10^6$, $2.5 \times 10^6$, and $5 \times 10^6$ cells/ml, respectively. To comparatively study the perivascular and endosteal niches, a mixture of osteoblasts and B-ALL cells (both at $5 \times 10^6$ cells/ml) in fibrin solution (3 mg/ml) was also loaded into the outer ring area to form the endosteal region and perivascular/endosteal niche interface. The cell-laden chips were cultured for 3 days, after which the morphology was analyzed by immunostaining. Note that the cell-laden chips for the human system were cultured for about 7 days. See the Supplementary Materials and fig. S1 for the detailed protocol.

**Cell motility**

GFP⁺ B-ALL cells, C166 ECs, and OP9 MSCs were seeded in the biomimetic device and allowed to balance for 4 hours or culture for 48 hours at 37°C with 5% CO₂. After the defined incubation, the device was mounted on an inverted phase contrast microscope (Zeiss Axio Observer Z1) with a motorized stage and an environment control incubation chamber (Incubator XLMulti S1) to maintain 37°C with 5% CO₂. Phase contrast and fluorescent images were recorded every 5 min for 4 hours using a digital complementary metal-oxide semiconductor camera (ORCA-Flash4.0 LT, Hamamatsu Photonics) with a 20× objective. Each single cell was manually labeled in the continuous frames (in total, 49 frames) for 4 hours. Cell motility parameters were assessed via tracking of single B-ALL cell (60 cells per conditions) in ImageJ [National Institutes of Health (NIH)] using the Manual Tracking plug-in. Average cellular migration speed was defined by the distance traveled in a unit time calculated using the corresponding x and y coordinates at initial time $t_{n-1}$ and end time $t_n$.

**Immunostains**

Cytokine secretion profiles of niche cells were examined by using Mouse Cytokine Antibody Array membrane-based ELISA kit (AAAMCYT-3, RayBiotech) or Human Cytokine Antibody Array membrane-based ELISA kit (AAH-CYT-1, RayBiotech), according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Briefly, supernatants were collected after 48-hour culture and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C to remove cellular debris and then incubated overnight with Cytokine Antibody Array membranes. Biotinylated antibody cocktail was incubated with the membranes at 4°C overnight, followed by washing and incubation with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)–labeled streptavidin (1:1000) at 4°C overnight. Detection buffer C and D mixture (1:1) was then applied to visualize chemiluminescence for 2 min at room temperature (RT). Imaging was obtained by using a ChemiDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad). Mean intensity of each spot was quantified in ImageJ (NIH) using the Protein Array Analyzer plug-in (written by G. Carpentier, Faculté des Sciences et Technologies, Université Paris, Paris, France).

**Western blotting**

Niche cells were cultured alone or cocultured with B-ALL cells for 3 days. The cells were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay cell lysis buffer, supplemented with Halt Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (1:100; Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 min on ice, and then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was stored at −80°C until assayed. Protein content was determined with Protein Quantification Kit–Rapid (51254, Sigma-Aldrich). Proteins (30 μg) were loaded on Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free Protein Gels (4568123, Bio-Rad) and then electroblotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride transfer membranes (1704156EDU, Bio-Rad). After blocking with 5% (w/v) nonfat dry milk in tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST) for 1 hour at RT, the membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with CXCL12 antibody (1:1000; 3740S, Cell Signaling Technology), with glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (1:1000; 2118S, Cell Signaling Technology) used as
a housekeeping gene. After washing three times with TBST for 30 min, the membranes were incubated for 1 hour at RT with a goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G HRP-conjugated polyclonal antibody (1:4000; Bio-Rad) and developed with a chemiluminescence enhancement kit (Clarity Max Western ECL Substrate, 1705061, Bio-Rad). Band densities were quantified from digital acquisition by a ChemiDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad) in ImageJ (NIH) using the Gels plug-in.

Immunofluorescence staining
To investigate VCAM-1 and OPN expression level of murine ECs, MSCs, and osteoblasts in different conditions, the cells were respectively seeded onto a cover glass in 12-well plates (Falcon) and cocultured with B-ALL cells for 24 hours or infused into devices for 3D culture. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (15711, Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 30 min, permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 (11332481001, Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min, and then blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin for 1 hour on ice to prevent non-specific binding. Cells were incubated with VCAM-1 (1:200; MAB2627, Millipore) and OPN (1:50; sc-20788, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) primary antibodies for 3 hours at RT or overnight at 4°C and then visualized with Alexa Fluor 555- or Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were incubated for 10 min with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Thermo Fisher Scientific) to counterstain nuclei.

Histopathology
The in vivo Ph + B-ALL murine model was generated using a previously published approach, and GFP + leukemic blasts were intravenously injected into nonirradiated C57BL/6 syngeneic recipient mice. When leukemia burden reached approximately 20% in total BM cells (about 12 days after transplantation), B-ALL recipient mice were sacrificed, and femurs were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C. For hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) sectioning, fixed femurs were decalcified in 14% EDTA for 48 hours before being dehydrated in 70% ethanol and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin sections in 5 μm were stained with H&E for bright-field microscopy.

Drug resistance testing
The outcome of drugs was defined by the viability of B-ALL cells as a cytotoxic end point after drug treatment. B-ALL cells were cultured alone or cocultured with niche cells in 3D hydrogel devices, treated alone or cocultured with niche cells in 3D hydrogel devices, the cells were resuspended on-chip for 7 days as described above. Single-cell suspensions of B-ALL samples were prepared by off-chip recovery with nattokinase [50 FU (fibrinolytic unit)/ml] (43) and prelabeled with different anti-human hashtag antibodies (TotalSeq, BioLegend) and mixed into two samples, REH BM niche and SUP BM niche. The libraries were prepared using the Chromium Single Cell 3he bar Kit Libs (v3) [Single Cell Single Cel & Gel Bead Kit v3 (PN-1000075), Single Cell 3gk it Kit v3 (PN-1000073), and i7 Multiplex Kit (PN-120262) (10x Genomics)] and following the Single Cell 3nd follo Kits (v3) User Guide (manual part no. CG000183 Rev B). Libraries were then run on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 using 28-base pair (bp) read 1, 8-bp i7 index, and 91-bp read 2.

Sequencing results were demultiplexed and converted to FASTQ format using Illumina bcl2fastq software, followed by sample demultiplexing, barcode processing, and single-cell 3′ gene counting with Cell Ranger v3.1 (10x Genomics). The cDNA insert was aligned to the hg38/GRCh38 reference genome. Further analysis including quality control and data filtering, the identification of highly variable genes, dimensionality reduction, standard unsupervised clustering algorithms, and the discovery of differentially expressed genes was performed using the Seurat toolkit (44). For data visualization, uniform manifold approximation and projection dimensionality reduction was lastly applied (45). See the Supplementary Materials for the detailed protocol.

Statistics
Data were first analyzed for normality and then compared with unpaired t test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Prism8.0 (GraphPad). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 were considered significantly different. The results, including the error bars in the graphs, were given as means ± SD. Details are reported in each figure.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS
Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/6/44/eaba5536/DC1

View request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.
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