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ABSTRACT

Background: Cervical cancer is the fourth most frequent cancer in women according to World Health Organization. In India the incidence is approximately 1 in 53 compared with 1 in 100 women in more developed regions of the world. Cervical cancer is eminently preventable by early detection using various screening tests like Pap smear, colposcopy, HPV testing. In this study we aim to evaluate the use of colposcopy in early detection of cervical cancer and also assess risk factors for the same. Primary objective was to study the correlation between colposcopic findings and histopathological analysis with a secondary objective to assess risk factors for development of cervical neoplasia.

Methods: The study was carried over a period of 2 years (October 2016 to October 2018) with a sample size of 60 in the department of obstetrics and gynecology at tertiary care centre. Participants who fit the inclusion criteria were included in the study after taking a written and informed consent. The colposcopy findings were correlated with the histopathological findings of the cervical biopsy that was undertaken.

Results: Colposcopy impression had sensitivity of 93.8% in predicting the histopathology, specificity was 77.3%, positive predictive value was 60%, negative predictive value was 9% and the diagnostic accuracy was 81.7%. On analyzing the risk factors, it was found that the odds of malignant histopathology were 0.55 times decreasing with each unit increase in age (at first intercourse) (p value<0.05).

Conclusions: Colposcopy gives immediate and accurate results and its value as a diagnostic test is undisputable. The sensitivity of colposcopy is high and hence in high risk population or remote places where women do not turn for regular screening tests, colposcopy can be used primarily as the screening test.
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INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer is the fourth most frequent cancer in women according to World Health Organization. Cervical cancer is a public health problem in developing countries like India as one-quarter of the worldwide cases of cervical cancer are seen in India.1,2 In India the incidence is approximately 1 in 53 compared with 1 in 100 women in more developed regions of the world. Cervical cancer is eminently preventable.2 Technologies to prevent cervical cancer are:

- Two prophylactic vaccines with high efficacy against HPV types 16 and 18, which cause 70% of cervical cancer cases globally and a nonavalent vaccine against five additional oncogenic HPV types that together with HPV-16, 18 cause up to 90% of cases
- Sensitive screening tests that detect oncogenic HPV infections and precancerous lesions
- Effective treatment of precancer stage.3

The ACOG recommends that every woman in the age group of 21-65 years has to undergo a screening for
cervical cancer and is the optimal way for early detection. The various screening tests available for cervical cancer screening are Pap smear, visual inspection with acetic acid and Lugols iodine, HPV testing and colposcopy.

**Colposcopy**

Hans Hinselmann, a German physician developed the Colposcope along with Eduard. Colposcopy is a diagnostic as well as a screening technique used to detect cervical cancer. It basically functions as a microscope with light source to magnify the view of the cervix, vagina, and vulvar surface. Higher magnification of 8x to 25x is utilized to evaluate the vagina and cervix. Presence of atypical vascular patterns is characteristic of precancerous or cancerous lesions and high magnification along with green filter is often used to identify such vascular patterns. Application of acetic acid solution and iodine solution further helps in identifying the abnormal tissue and thus help in taking biopsy from appropriate site.

**METHODS**

**Study site**

This study was conducted in the department of obstetrics and gynecology at our tertiary care hospital. A total 60 patients. All the eligible subjects were recruited into the study consecutively by convenient sampling till the sample size is reached. The data collection for the study was done from October 2016 to October 2018.

**Inclusion criteria**

Women aged above 18 years undergoing colposcopy with cervical biopsy for any indication such as
- Abnormal pap smears
- Visible or palpable abnormality of cervix
- HPV infection detected on screening.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Women not consenting to be a part of the study
- Pregnant women
- Active cervico vaginal or pelvic infections.

Study was approved by institutional human ethics committee. Informed written consent was obtained from all the study participants.

A complete and detailed history was taken prior to the examination.

**Steps of examination**

- Patient in lithotomy position under local anaesthesia.
- First cervix is examined in low power and then moving to high power
- Green filter applied to look for abnormal vascular pattern
- Entire transformation zone seen to declare the colposcopy as satisfactory
- Acetic acid applied and acetowhite areas noted
- Schiller’s iodine applied and iodine negative areas noted
- Cervical biopsy taken from acetowhite and iodine negative areas, atypical vessels if any also included in biopsy.

Colposcopy findings were noted and analysed. Clinical impression using Reids colposcopic index was noted.

**REID colposcopic index**

- 0-2= HPV OR CIN1
- 3-5= CIN I OR CIN II
- 6-8= CIN II OR CIN III

At colposcopy, the cases will be categorized into -

- Normal: squamous epithelium, columnar epithelium, transformation zone
- CIN I, II, III
- Invasive cancer
- Unsatisfactory - cervix not visible or squamocolumnar junction not visualized
- Miscellaneous - atrophy, keratosis, condyloma, polyp

Histopathological report of cervical biopsy noted and they were grouped into

- Normal
- Inflammation
- Metaplasia
- CIN I
- CIN II/III
- Invasive cancer
- Any other.

**Statistical analysis**

Colposcopy impression, histopathology were primary outcome variables. Descriptive analysis was carried out by mean and standard deviation for quantitative variables, frequency and proportion for categorical variables. Data was also represented using appropriate diagrams like bar diagram, pie diagram and box plots. Quantitative variables were assessed for compliance with normal distribution, by visual inspection of histograms and normality Q-Q plots. Statistical tests like Shapiro Wilk test and Kolmogrov Smirnov test p-values were also calculated. The association between explanatory variables and categorical outcomes was assessed by cross tabulation and comparison of percentages’ value <0.05
was considered statistically significant. IBM SPSS version 22 was used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

A total of 60 subjects were included in the final analysis.

Mean age of study population was 48.65 years with minimum 28 years and maximum 78 years (95% CI 45.4-51.82).

Among the study population only 14 (63.6%) people were with post-menopausal bleeding.

Table 1: Descriptive analysis for age (in years) in study population (N=60).

| Parameter          | Mean±SD | Median | Min | Max | 95% CI for EXP (B) Lower | Upper |
|--------------------|---------|--------|-----|-----|--------------------------|-------|
| Age (in years)     | 48.65±12.25 | 45.50  | 28.00 | 78.00 | 45.48 | 51.82 |

Table 2: Descriptive analysis of postmenopausal bleeding in study population (N=60).

| Postmenopausal bleeding | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Yes                     | 14        | 63.6%      |
| No                      | 8         | 36.4%      |

Among the study population 26 (43.33%) had undergone Tubal ligation, 21 (35.00%) used Barrier method of contraception, only 1 (1.67%) used Hormonal method while 12 (20.00%) did not use any contraception.

Figure 1: Type of contraception distribution in study population (N=60).

Figure 1: Type of contraception distribution in study population (N=60).

History of smoking or tobacco chewing was noted among 15 (25.00%) people.

Among the study population only 3 (5.00%) people were with History of sexually transmitted disease.

Table 3: Descriptive analysis of history of smoking or tobacco chewing in study population (N=60).

| History of smoking or tobacco chewing | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Yes                                  | 15        | 25.00%     |
| No                                   | 45        | 75.00%     |

Table 4: Descriptive analysis of history of sexually transmitted disease in study population (N=60).

| History of sexually transmitted disease | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Yes                                    | 3         | 5.00%      |
| No                                     | 57        | 95.00%     |

Mean age at first intercourse of study population was 17.98 years with minimum 14 years and maximum 24 years (95% C.I 17.41-18.55).

Among the study population only 1 (1.67%) had history of genital warts.

The Pap smear details were 11 (18.83%) were with inflammatory Pap smear, 47 (78.33%) had atypical cells and 2 (3.33%) had dysplastic cells on Pap smear.

The indications for colposcopy were grouped as follows: Pap smear abnormalities were the indication in 43 (71.67%) while 12 (20.00%) had visible or palpable abnormality of cervix and 4 (6.67%) had atypical Pap smear as well as visible or palpable abnormality of cervix.

Table 5: Descriptive analysis for age at first intercourse in study population (N=60).

| Parameter                   | Mean±SD | Median | Min | Max | 95% CI for EXP (B) Lower | Upper |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------|-----|-----|--------------------------|-------|
| Age at first intercourse    | 17.98±2.205 | 18.00  | 14.00 | 24.00 | 17.41 | 18.55 |
On colposcopy, among the study population only 2 (3.33%) were reported as polyp and 16 (26.67%) cases of erosion while 17 (28.33%) had atypical transformation zone. Punctations were seen in 28 (46.67%) cases and 16 (26.67%) had atypical vessels. Among the study population 5 (8.33%) had ulcer and 38 (63.33%) had inflammatory changes while 8 (13.33%) cases were colposcopically suspected as invasive carcinoma.

Table 6: Descriptive analysis of colposcopy findings in study population (N=60).

| Colposcopy findings | Yes | No  |
|---------------------|-----|-----|
| Polyp               | 2   | 58  |
| Erosion             | 16  | 44  |
| Atypical transformation zone | 17  | 43  |
| Punctuation         | 28  | 32  |
| Mosaic              | 21  | 39  |
| Atypical vessels    | 16  | 44  |
| Ulcer               | 5   | 55  |
| Inflammation        | 38  | 22  |
| Colposcopically suspect invasive carcinoma | 8   | 52  |

As per the Reid’s colposcopy index, 3 (5%) were normal, 21 (35%) inflammatory changes, 11 (18.33%) were CIN...
1 or HPV, 8 (13.33%) were CIN 1 or 2, 10 (16.67%) were CIN 2 or 3, 7 (11.67%) were Invasive cancer.

On histopathology, 16 (26.67%) were normal, 23 (38.33%) were inflammatory, 5 (8.33%) had metaplasia, 4 (6.67%) had CIN 1, 4 (6.67%) had CIN2 or 3 and 8 (13.33%) had Invasive cancer.

Among the malignant histopathology, 15 (93.8%) were malignant impression and only 1 (6.3%) were benign impression. Among the benign histopathology, only 10 (22.7%) were malignant impression and 34 (77.3%) were benign impression. The difference in the proportion of impressions between different histopathology was statistically significant (p value <0.001).

**Table 7: Comparison of histopathology between colposcopy impression (N=60).**

| Histopathology | Normal (N=3) | Inflammatory changes (N=21) | CIN 1 or HPV (N=11) | CIN 1 or 2 (N=8) | CIN 2 or 3 (N=10) | Invasive cancer (N=7) |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Normal         | 3 (100%)    | 9 (42.85%)                  | 1 (9.090%)          | 3 (37.5%)        | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)               |
| Inflammatory   | 0 (0%)      | 11 (52.38%)                 | 10 (90.90%)         | 2 (25%)          | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)               |
| metaplasia     | 0 (0%)      | 0 (0%)                      | 0 (0%)              | 3 (37.5%)        | 2 (20%)           | 0 (0%)               |
| CIN 1          | 0 (0%)      | 0 (0%)                      | 0 (0%)              | 0 (0%)           | 4 (40%)           | 0 (0%)               |
| CIN2 or 3      | 0 (0%)      | 1 (4.761%)                  | 0 (0%)              | 0 (0%)           | 3 (30%)           | 0 (0%)               |
| Invasive cancer| 0 (0%)      | 0 (0%)                      | 0 (0%)              | 0 (0%)           | 1 (10%)           | 7 (100%)             |

**Table 8: Comparison of histopathology between impression malignant and benign (N=60).**

| Impression | Histopathology | Chi square | p value |
|------------|----------------|------------|---------|
| Malignant  | Malignant (N=16) | 24.351     | <0.001  |
|            | Benign (N=44)   |            |         |
| Benign     | 1 (6.3%)        |            |         |
|            | 34 (77.3%)      |            |         |

**Table 9: Predictive validity of colposcopy impression as compared to histopathology (N=60).**

| Parameter | Value  | 95% CI |
|-----------|--------|--------|
|           |        | Lower  | Upper  |
| Sensitivity| 93.8%  | 81.98% | 100.0% |
| Specificity| 77.3%  | 64.92% | 89.7%  |
| False positive rate | 22.7%  | 10.32% | 35.1%  |
| False negative rate | 6.3%   | 1.00%  | 18.2%  |
| Positive predictive value | 60.0%  | 40.80% | 79.2%  |
| Negative predictive value | 97.1%  | 91.54% | 100.0% |
| Diagnostic accuracy | 81.7%  | 71.88% | 91.5%  |

**Table 10: Univariate analysis of risk factors associated with histopathology findings.**

| Parameter                          | Un-adjusted odds ratio | 95% CI for unadjusted odds ratio | p value |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
|                                    |                        | Lower  | Upper  |                  |
| Age                                | 1.04                   | 0.99   | 1.09   | 0.11              |
| Age (at first intercourse)         | 0.55                   | 0.37   | 0.83   | 0.004             |
| Post-menopausal bleeding (baseline-no) | 0.9                   | 0.15   | 5.26   | 0.91              |
| Type of contraception              |                        |        |        |                   |
| Tubal ligation                     | 0.300                  | 0.070  | 1.283  | 0.104             |
| Barrier                            | 0.235                  | 0.049  | 1.132  | 0.071             |
| Hormonal                           | 0.000                  | 0.000  | 1.000  |                  |
| No contraception (baseline)        |                        |        |        |                   |
| History of smoking or tobacco chewing (baseline-no) | 3.5                   | 1.003  | 12.22  | 0.05              |
| History of sexually transmitted disease (baseline-no) | 6.14                  | 0.52   | 72.99  | 0.15              |
The following results were seen while correlating colposcopy and histopathological findings: Among the normal impression all 3 (100%) were normal on histopathology as well. Among the colposcopy impression of inflammation, 9 (42.85%) were normal and 11 (52.38%) were inflammatory pathology while 1 (4.761%) was CIN2 or 3 on histopathology.

Among CIN1 or HPV, 1 (9.09%) was normal and 10 (90.90%) were inflammatory pathology on histopathology. Among CIN 1 or 2 normal and metaplasia in histopathology as 3 (37.55) in each and only 2 (25%) were with inflammatory pathology. Among the CIN 2 or 3 (20%) were metaplasia, 4 (40%) were CIN1, 3 (30%) were CIN 2 or 3 and 1 (10%) was with invasive cancer on histopathology. Among invasive cancer impression people all 7 (100%) were invasive cancer on histopathology.

Colposcopy impression had sensitivity of 93.8% (95% CI 81.98% to 100%) in predicting the histopathology. Specificity was 77.3% (95% CI 64.92% to 89.7%), false positive rate was 22.7% (95% CI 10.32% to 35.1%), false negative rate was 6.3% (95% CI 1.0% to 18.2%), Positive predictive value was 60% (95% CI 40.80% to 79.2%), negative predictive value was 9%. 1 (95% CI 91.54% to 100%) and the diagnostic accuracy was 81.7% (95% CI 71.88% to 91.5%). On analysing the risk factors, it was found that the odds of malignant histopathology were 0.55 times decreasing with each unit increase in age (at first intercourse) (95% CI 0.37-0.83) (p value <0.05). Lack of use of barrier contraception could be possible cause.

Table 11: Comparison of histopathological findings with other studies.

| Current study | Boicea A et al | Satyanarayana L et al | Souza CA et al |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Normal: 16 (26.67%) | Normal: 4 (1.6%) CIN I: 26 (10.6%), CIN II: 55 (22.4%), CIN III: 138 (56.3%) micro-invasive carcinoma: 15 (6.1%) | Normal/inflammation: 1,066 (64%) CIN I: 438 (26.6%) CIN 2-3: 134 (8.15%) invasive cancer: 6 (0.3%) | No neoplasia: 22 (27.5) CIN 1: 43 (53.8) CIN 2: 11 (13.8) CIN 3: 3 (3.8) Malignant neoplasia: 1 (1.3). |
| Inflammation: 23 (38.33%) | | | |
| Metaplasia: 5 (8.33%) CIN 1: 4 (6.67%) CIN2 or 3: 4 (6.67%), invasive cancer: 8 (13.33%) | | | |

Table 12: Comparison between colposcopy findings in present study and other studies.

| Current study | Boicea A et al | Satyanarayana L et al |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Normal: 3 (5%) | 28 (11.4%) cases were CIN I, 50 (20.4%) cases were CIN II, 150 (61.2%) cases were CIN III, 13 (5.3%) cases were micro-invasive carcinoma and four (1.6%) cases were CIS. | Normal/Inflammation: 2,720(97.1%) CIN I: 71(2.5%) CIN 2-3: 9(0.4%) invasive cancer: 1 |
| Invasive changes: 21 (35%) CIN 1 or HPV: 11 (18.33%), CIN 1 or 2: 8 (13.33%) CIN 2 or 3: 10 (16.67%), invasive cancer: 7 (11.67%) | | |

Agreement

In the current study, in the normal colposcopy impression all 3 (100%) were normal in histopathology, among the inflammatory impression 9 (42.85%) were normal and 11 (52.38%) were inflammatory pathology and 1 (4.761%) was CIN2 or 3 in histopathology. Among CIN1 or HPV 1 (9.09%) was normal and 10 (90.90%) were inflammatory pathology in histopathology. Among CIN 1 or 2 normal and metaplasia in histopathology were 3 (37.55) in each and only 2 (25%) were with inflammatory pathology. Among the CIN 2 or 3 (20%) were metaplasia, 4 (40%) were CIN1, 3 (30%) were CIN 2 or 3 and 1 (10%) was with invasive cancer in histopathology. Among invasive

DISCUSSION

Risk factors

When all the factors were used in regression analysis, only one factor was found significant. The odds of malignant histopathology were 0.55 times decreasing with each unit increase in age (at first intercourse) (95% CI 0.37-0.83) (p value <0.05). Lack of use of barrier contraception could be possible cause.

Histopathological findings

In the current study, the histopathological report was normal in 16 (26.67%) participants. 23 (38.33%) had inflammation, 5 (8.33%) had metaplasia, 4 (6.67%) had CIN 1, 4 (6.67%) with CIN2 or 3 and 8 (13.33%) had invasive cancer. This was found to be in accordance with various studies like those undertaken by Boicea A, et al, Satyanarayana L et al, Scouza CA et al respectively.5-7

Colposcopy findings

In the current study, the colposcopy impressions were 3 (5%) were normal, 21 (35%) had inflammatory changes, 11 (18.33%) had CIN 1 or HPV, 8 (13.33%) had CIN 1 or 2, 10 (16.67%) had CIN 2 or 3, 7 (11.67%) were invasive cancer. This was again in accordance with Boicea A et al and Satyanarayana L et al5,6
cancer impression people all 7 (100%) were invasive cancer in histopathology.

**Table 13: Diagnostic accuracy of colposcopy across various studies.**

| Studies           | Value in percentage |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Massad LS et al   | 86.0%               |
| Savitha T et al   | 85.0%               |
| Arora RS et al    | 87.0%               |
| Present study     | 81.7%               |

**Diagnostic accuracy**

In the current study the diagnostic accuracy was 81.7% which was lesser compared to the studies done by Massad LS et al, Savitha T et al and Arora RS et al was 86%, 85% and 87% respectively. 9-11

**CONCLUSION**

Despite availability of various screening methods in India utilization of the services is very poor. The high incidence and prevalence of cervical cancer in India and Southeast Asian countries is due to poor to moderate living standards, a high prevalence of HPV (more than 10% in women aged more than 30 years) and due to lack of screening. As cervical cancer has a precancerous stage and there is a lag period of 10-20 years to develop into invasive cancer, screening procedures become imperative.

Colposcopy gives immediate and accurate results and its value as a diagnostic test is undisputable. The sensitivity of colposcopy is high and hence in high risk population or remote places where women do not turn for regular screening tests, colposcopy can be used primarily as the screening test.
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