Combined effect of ultraviolet radiation and application of acetic acid on the quality of guinea pig meat and increased of its shelf life

Abstract

The aim of this research was to determine the effect of the combination of ultraviolet radiation (UVR) and acetic acid (AA) on the quality of guinea pig meat and the increasing of its shelf life. 90 weaned guinea pigs of 14 days old on average were used. A 3 x 3 Factorial Design was used, 9 treatments with 5 repetitions and 2 animals per repetition, considering: Factor 1: UV radiation time (0, 5 and 10 minutes) and Factor 2: Acetic acid concentration (0, 2 and 4%). A total of 45 experimental units were analyzed. The parameter evaluated was meat quality: nutritional, microbiological, physical, chemical and organoleptic characteristics. For this research, it was used the statistical program SAS (Statistical Analysis System) using Duncan’s mean comparative test to analyze the variance of the data and differences between treatments. Friedman test and Scalar difference test were used to evaluate the sensory analysis. The ultraviolet radiation combined with acetic acid enhances the antimicrobial activity and improves the quality of guinea pig meat, increasing its shelf life as this was observed in the combined treatments with 5 and 10 minutes of UVR with 2% and 4% of AA. The protein, ethereal extract, ashes and nitrogen-free extract of guinea pig meat were not altered in the 9 treatments by the application of UVR and AA. The moisture and dry matter of guinea pig meat are maintained with the application of UVR and AA and the organoleptic characteristics (smell, color, taste, juiciness and, texture) of the meat were not altered by the application of UVR and AA, being the treatment with 10'UV+4%AA, which presented the greatest preference, compared with 10'UV+0%, both did not show any microbial load but 10'UV+4% presented much preference than 10'UV+0%AA. There was no statistical difference between treatments. In conclusion, the combination of acetic acid and UV radiation enhanced the quality and preference of guinea pig meat being the best treatment evaluated 10'UV+4%AA.
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Introduction

Food can contain different kind of spoilage agents coming from natural sources, environmental contamination or those incorporated during their production or transformation, in some cases, causing diseases, which are called foodborne illness. Meat is a matrix rich in nutrients that provide an adequate environment for the proliferation of various microorganisms, spoilage, and pathogenic microorganisms, within this group are E. coli O157 and non-E. coli-O157, Salmonella spp. and Listeria monocytogenes. Food preservation methods aim to increase the shelf life of products during storage, ideally by applying techniques that prevent microbiological alterations while maintaining quality.1,2 That is why today technologies have been created that achieve this goal; among them the UV radiation stands out, defined as; “Absorption by atoms and molecules produces joint breaks and ion formation.” Nowadays UV radiation is used in different sectors of the food industry, due to the harmful effect it causes on the DNA of many microorganisms. Also, it is chosen because it is a process which does not alter the organoleptic properties of the products and reduces the use of chemical substances. It is used for the preservation of liquid and solid foods, but in solid food, this application is effective at the surface.3

The use of UV technology for disinfection purposes involves the ultraviolet region of the electromagnetic spectrum, with a wavelength range between 100 and 400nm. This can be subdivided into: UV short-wave (UV-C) between 200 and 280nm, germicidal range. UV wave medium (UV-B) between 280 and 315nm and UV long wave (UV-A) between 315 and 400nm. Acetic acid is a natural chemical that is found in apples, cheese, cocoa, grapes, milk, oranges, parsley, peaches, pineapples, raspberries, strawberries and berries. The vinegar is approximately 5% acetic acid. As a GRAS substance, it is often used as a flavoring agent, in the pickling process and to control acidity in processed foods.4 The shelf life or expiration of a food can be defined as “the period of time, after processing and/or packaging and under certain storage conditions, in which the food remains safe and suitable for consumption”, in other words during this time, it must conserve its physical-chemical, microbiological and sensory characteristics, as well as its nutritional and functional characteristics.5 The aim of this research was to determine the effect of the combination of UV radiation and acetic acid on the quality of guinea pig meat and the increasing of its shelf life.5

Material and methods

The present research was conducted at San Marcos Major National University (Lima, Peru) in a research farm, especially prepared for the development of this study. 90 guinea pigs of 14 days old on average were used, which were distributed in 9 treatment and 5 repetitions per treatment, where each repetition consisted of 2 animals.5
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a) Guinea Pigs: The animals were placed in the guinea pig research farm, twenty-four cages of 0.5m long by 0.5m wide and 0.37m high were used, with one clay feeder and one clay drinking trough per cage. A digital scale model SF-400 of 0 to 7 000g was used to control weekly the weight of the animals during 8weeks.

b) Ultraviolet Radiation: One UVC-low pressure lamp of 15 W model PHILIPS G15T8 was used in an experimental module. The distance between the lamp and the guinea pig meat was 24cm for all treatments.

c) Acetic acid: White vinegar from a local brand “Del Firme”, with pH 2.3 +/-0.20 was used. The different treatments were calculated on the percentage of the vinegar.

Treatments
9 treatments were evaluated, which are described below:
- T1: UV rays for 0 min and 0% acetic acid
- T2: UV rays for 0 min and 2% acetic acid
- T3: UV rays for 0 min and 4% acetic acid
- T4: UV rays for 5 min and 0% acetic acid
- T5: UV rays for 5 min and 2% acetic acid
- T6: UV rays for 5 min and 4% acetic acid
- T7: UV rays for 10 min and 0% acetic acid
- T8: UV rays for 10 min and 2% acetic acid
- T9: UV rays for 10 min and 4% acetic acid

Parameters evaluated
- i. Physical analysis
- ii. Chemical analysis
- iii. Microbiological analysis
- iv. Sensory evaluation

Experimental design
A 3x3 Factorial Design 9 treatments with 5 repetitions each one, 2 animals per repetition was used, considering: Factor 1: UV radiation time (0, 5 and 10 minutes), Factor 2: Acetic acid concentration (0, 2 and 4%). A total of 45 experimental units were conducted.

Analysis of data
The data were analyzed using the SAS program. Duncan’s test was used to compare the averages and for the tasting test was used the Scalar difference test, Friedman test, ANOVA and Tukey test.

Results and discussions

Physical analysis
Table 1 represents the result of the proximal physical analysis of guinea pig meat. Nine treatments were tested.

A. Moisture: The meat of the guinea pig that received 10 minutes of ultraviolet radiation without acetic acid (10’UV+0% AA) presented the highest percentage of moisture with 71.21%, followed by the meat of the guinea pig of the treatment 5’UV+2% AA with 70.99% and the lowest percentage of moisture was presented by the meat of the guinea pig with 0’UV+0% AA.

B. Dry matter: The meat of the guinea pig that received 0’UV+0% AA presented the highest percentages of dry matter, known as dry weight which represents its solids excluding water, with 40.51%, followed by the meat of the guinea pig that received 5’UV+0% AA with 37.05% and the lowest percentages of dry matter was presented by the meat of the guinea pig that received 10’UV+0% AA with 28.79% (Table 1).
juiciness and texture of the guinea pig meat where the UVR and AA were applied, were not altered and had the best preference, this shows that the application of UVR and AA to guinea pig meat does not affect its organoleptic characteristics.

### Table 2 Proximate chemical analysis of guinea pig meat (*)

| Treatment     | Protein(%) | Ethereal extract(%) | Ash(%) | Nitrogen-free extract(%) |
|---------------|------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------------|
|               | Wet | Dry    | Wet | Dry   | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry   |
| 0'UV+0%AA     | 17.65 | 43.34 | 13.63 | 34.07 | 1.38 | 3.39 | 7.85 | 19.2   |
| 0'UV+2%AA     | 13.16 | 39.61 | 13.35 | 40.58 | 0.91 | 2.73 | 6.1  | 17.09  |
| 0'UV+4%AA     | 15.13 | 49.27 | 8.95  | 29.10 | 1.01 | 3.30 | 5.62 | 18.34  |
| 5'UV+0%AA     | 16.04 | 43.09 | 12.04 | 32.20 | 1.20 | 3.27 | 7.77 | 21.45  |
| 5'UV+2%AA     | 14.02 | 48.34 | 9.40  | 22.19 | 0.71 | 2.45 | 7.88 | 27.02  |
| 5'UV+4%AA     | 14.75 | 49.26 | 8.72  | 29.10 | 0.90 | 2.99 | 5.58 | 18.65  |
| 10'UV+0%AA    | 16.65 | 40.38 | 11.82 | 26.56 | 0.96 | 2.67 | 8.56 | 30.39  |
| 10'UV+2%AA    | 15.07 | 45.10 | 10.31 | 30.97 | 1.01 | 3.01 | 7.03 | 20.92  |
| 10'UV+4%AA    | 14.88 | 43.88 | 10.63 | 34.66 | 0.83 | 2.42 | 6.47 | 19.04  |

*The values correspond to the average of three samples per treatment.

### Table 3 Organoleptic characteristics of guinea pig meat of different treatments

| Treatment     | Color | Smell | Taste | Juiciness | Texture |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|---------|
| 0'UV+0%AA     | 2.63  | 3.38  | 2.63  | 2.63      | 2.63    |
| 0'UV+2%AA     | 2.88  | 2.75  | 2.63  | 2.63      | 3.00    |
| 0'UV+4%AA     | 3.13  | 3.13  | 3.13  | 3.00      | 3.00    |
| 5'UV+0%AA     | 2.88  | 3.38  | 3.00  | 3.25      | 3.25    |
| 5'UV+2%AA     | 3.50  | 3.50  | 3.13  | 2.75      | 2.88    |
| 5'UV+4%AA     | 3.13  | 3.38  | 3.13  | 3.13      | 3.00    |
| 10'UV+0%AA    | 15.07 | 45.10 | 10.31 | 30.97     | 1.01    |
| 10'UV+2%AA    | 14.88 | 43.88 | 10.63 | 34.66     | 0.83    |
| 10'UV+4%AA    | 14.88 | 43.88 | 10.63 | 34.66     | 0.83    |

### Preference degree for guinea pig meat: Table 4 shows the preference degree for guinea pig meat of different treatments. The panelists showed much preference with 62.5% for guinea pig meat that received 10'UV+4% AA, then preference with 62.5% for guinea pig meat that received 10'UV+2% AA, they showed little preference for meat that received 0'UV+2% AA and no preference for meat that received neither UVR nor AA (0'UV+0% AA). When performing the statistical analysis of the results, referring to the preference for the meat of guinea pigs from different treatments, it was observed, there were no significant differences between the samples on the preferences of guinea pig meat that received the different experimental diets. The tasters showed a lot of preference for guinea pig meat where UVR and AA were applied, which indicates a high degree of satisfaction of the panelists for the guinea pig meat that received the longest UV application time combined with the highest AA percentage (Table 4).*  

### Table 4 Preference degree for guinea pig meat of different treatments *

| Treatment     | Much preference | Preference | Little preference | No preference |
|---------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|
|               | %               | %          | %                 | %            |
| 0'UV+0%AA     | 0               | 37.5       | 37.5              | 25           |
| 0'UV+2%AA     | 12.5            | 25.0       | 62.5              | 0            |
| 0'UV+4%AA     | 25.0            | 62.5       | 12.5              | 0            |
| 5'UV+0%AA     | 50.0            | 0          | 50.0              | 0            |
| 5'UV+2%AA     | 25.0            | 62.5       | 12.5              | 0            |
| 5'UV+4%AA     | 37.5            | 50.0       | 12.5              | 0            |
| 10'UV+0%AA    | 37.5            | 62.5       | 0                 | 0            |
| 10'UV+2%AA    | 37.5            | 62.5       | 0                 | 0            |
| 10'UV+4%AA    | 62.5            | 37.5       | 0                 | 0            |

*Each treatment was evaluated by the same 8 panelists.
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Microbiological analysis of guinea pig meat: The result of the microbiological analysis is shown in Table 5 and Figure 1. It is appreciated that there was no growth of colonies in MacConkey agar, that is to say, there was no presence of microbial load, in the same way in Nutritive agar in the treatments with 0'UV+4%AA, 5'UV+2%AA, 5'UV+4%AA, 10'UV+0%AA, 10'UV+2% AA and 10'UV+4%AA. The highest microbial load was presented by the meat of the treatments with 0'UV+0% AA. There is a difference between the treatments with 0'UV+2% AA and 0'UV+4%AA, where the treatment with a major percentage of AA do not show microbial load likewise 5'UV+0%AA and 5'UV+2%AA. There was no presence of a microbial load in the combined action of UVR and AA in 5'UV and 10'UV with 2%AA and 4%AA, with an exception of the treatment 10'UV+0%AA which is the longest UVR time evaluated, this indicates that the application of UVR and AA on guinea pig meat inhibits bacterial growth, decreasing the microbial load on the surface. The UV-C radiation (254nm) has an important bactericidal action and on the other hand, its use is proposed to produce a beneficial effect in the tissues in response to low or sub-lethal doses according to the concept of "hormesis". UV-C radiation is emerging as one of the technologies with greater application in the future, Cisneros-Zevallos suggests the postharvest application of a type of controlled abiotic stress (for example exposure to UV-C light) to induce production and increase of the synthesis of photochemical compounds with nutraceutical activity or the reduction of undesirable compounds. Thus, stress control induced by UV-C light can be used as a tool to reinforce the beneficial properties of fresh products, whole or cut products. Ultraviolet radiation combined with acetic acid enhances its antimicrobial activity, as observed in the results presented in this research.

| Treatment               | Nutritive agar (CFU/mL) | Macconkey agar (CFU/mL) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0'UV+0%AA               | 9.00E+04                |                         |
| 0'UV+2%AA               | 2.00E+04                |                         |
| 0'UV+4%AA               | 0.00E+00                |                         |
| 5'UV+0%AA               | 1.00E+04                |                         |
| 5'UV+2%AA               | 0.00E+00                | 0                       |
| 5'UV+4%AA               | 0.00E+00                |                         |
| 10'UV+0%AA              | 0.00E+00                |                         |
| 10'UV+2%AA              | 0.00E+00                |                         |
| 10'UV+4%AA              | 0.00E+00                |                         |

Table 5 Microbial load on guinea pig meat

Conclusion
At the end of this research work, the following conclusions were reached:

A. Ultraviolet radiation combined with acetic acid enhances its antimicrobial activity and improves the quality of guinea pig meat, increasing its shelf life.
B. The chemical and physical characteristics of guinea pig meat were not altered by the application of UV radiation and acetic acid.
C. The organoleptic characteristics (smell, color, taste, juiciness and texture) of the meat were not altered by the application of UVR and AA. The degree of preference was greater for the guinea pig meat that received the application 10'UV+4%AA. The best treatment evaluated in this research was 10'UV+4%. This treatment did not present changes in its chemical and physical characteristics did not show any microbial load and it had the greatest preference by the panelist.

Recommendations
We recommend carrying out this research in meats for human consumption derived from other minor animals. Implement this method of increasing the quality of the meat in companies producing and industrializing guinea pig meat.
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