Development and application of a rapid and visual loop-mediated isothermal amplification for the detection of *Sporisorium scitamineum* in sugarcane
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Smut is a fungal disease with widespread prevalence in sugarcane planting areas. Early detection and proper identification of *Sporisorium scitamineum* are essential in smut management practices. In the present study, four specific primers targeting the core effector Pep1 gene of *S. scitamineum* were designed. Optimal concentrations of Mg²⁺, primer and Bst DNA polymerase, the three important components of the loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) reaction system, were screened using a single factor experiment method and the L₁₆(4⁵) orthogonal experimental design. Hence, a LAMP system suitable for detection of *S. scitamineum* was established. High specificity of the LAMP method was confirmed by the assay of *S. scitamineum*, *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Pestalotia ginkgo*, *Helminthosporium sacchari*, *Fusarium oxysporum* and endophytes of Yacheng05-179 and ROC22. The sensitivity of the LAMP method was equal to that of the conventional PCR targeting Pep1 gene and was 100 times higher than that of the conventional PCR assay targeting bE gene in *S. scitamineum*. The results suggest that this novel LAMP system has strong specificity and high sensitivity. This method not only provides technological support for the epidemic monitoring of sugarcane smut, but also provides a good case for development of similar detection technology for other plant pathogens.

Sugarcane smut, caused by the pathogen of *Sporisorium scitamineum*, was the most critical fungal disease in sugarcane industry. It caused earlier germination of buds, increased the incidences of tiller, thinner stalks and black whip in tips of diseased sugarcane by infecting seed plants and jeopardizing sugarcane yield and quality. Fungi-bearing seed canes and diseased ratoon canes were the initial infection sources of sugarcane smut, which was strictly prevented and controlled all over the world. In Australia, an advanced sugarcane producer, a website specific to sugarcane smut established a 24-hour reporting system. In Brazil, the world's largest sugarcane producer, as soon as one smut-infected plant appears in the segregating hybrid population in the process of sugarcane cross breeding, this combination will be eliminated. Studies on sugarcane resistance to smut began in 1990, and the resistance has become an important factor in the approval and release of a new sugarcane variety since 1995 in China. In the past ten years, the cultivar ROC22, to which no cultivar is superior regarding stability, has occupied the dominant position and has accounted for about 60–80% of the total sugarcane plantings in China. However, this variety's insufficient resistance to sugarcane smut results in the prevalence of this disease in sugarcane producing areas. Therefore, studies on sugarcane smut are now receiving increased.

To date, some researchers have carried out studies on sugarcane resistance to smut disease with respect to cytology, morphology, genetics and physiological biochemistry. Pathogenic detection is a fundamental work for disease control as indicated by previous research. An appropriate and early detection method for the sugarcane smut pathogen is critical in early warning, prevention and control of this disease. The most common method of plant disease epidemic surveillance is to predict the prevalence of disease according to symptom investigation in the...
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Figure 1. Optimization of Mg$^{2+}$ concentration in the LAMP reaction. (A) LAMP products detected by 1 000× SYBR Green I (Biotek Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). (B) Detection of LAMP products by agarose gel electrophoresis stained by EB (ethidium bromide). Tubes and lanes 3, 7, 11 and 15: negative control. Tubes and lanes 1, 2, 5 and 6, 9 and 10, 13 and 14: the positive plasmid pMD19-T-Pep1, repeated twice. Tubes and lanes 1–4, 5–8, 9–12 and 13–16: concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$ were 5.75 mmol/L, 6.00 mmol/L, 6.25 mmol/L and 6.50 mmol/L, respectively. Lane M: DL 15 000 + 2 000 DNA marker.

Figure 2. Optimization of ratios of inner and outer primers in the LAMP reaction. (A) LAMP products detected by 1 000× SYBR Green I (Biotek Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). (B) Detection of LAMP products by agarose gel electrophoresis stained by EB (ethidium bromide). Tubes and lanes 3, 7, 11 and 15: negative control. Tubes and lanes 1, 2, 5 and 6, 9 and 10, 13 and 14: the positive plasmid pMD19-T-Pep1, repeated twice. Tubes and lanes 1–4, 5–8, 9–12 and 13–16: ratios of inner and outer primers were 2:1, 4:1, 6:1 and 8:1, respectively. Lane M: DL 15 000 + 2 000 DNA marker.

Figure 3. Optimization of concentration of Bst DNA polymerase in the LAMP reaction. (A) LAMP products detected by 1 000× SYBR Green I (Biotek Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). (B) Detection of LAMP products by agarose gel electrophoresis stained by EB (ethidium bromide). Tubes and lanes 3, 7, 11 and 15: negative control. Tubes and lanes 1, 2, 5 and 6, 9 and 10, 13 and 14: the positive plasmid pMD19-T-Pep1, repeated twice. Tubes and lanes 1–4, 5–8, 9–12 and 13–16: Bst DNA polymerase concentrations were 2.0 U, 4.0 U, 6.0 U and 8.0 U, respectively. Lane M: DL 15 000 + 2 000 DNA marker.
Orthogonal experiment of LAMP. Subsequent reactions were performed under 16 combinations in a LAMP orthogonal design experiment (Table 1). Color changes were observed in the amplification when concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$, inner and outer primers and Bst DNA polymerase were altered. As shown in Fig. 4A, combinations 1, 2, 5, 6, 11 and 16 exhibited no or extremely weak flavogreen fluorescent signals in the SYBR Green I test. Additionally, there were few or no amplification band(s) displayed after electrophoretic analysis (Fig. 4B). LAMP products of combination 7 showed high flavogreen fluorescence, and displayed bright typical ladder-like bands after electrophoresis, apparent in distinction from the negative and blank controls, whereas combinations 4, 8 and 10 showed intensive flavogreen fluorescent signals but weaker band brightness than combination 7 in electrophoresis. For other combinations, results were not as good as combination 7. Thus, the LAMP reaction conditions in combination 7 were optimal for detecting S. scitamineum in sugarcane, which consisted of Mg$^{2+}$ at a concentration of 6.00 mmol/L, a ratio of inner vs. outer primers of 6:1, and a concentration of Bst DNA polymerase of 8.0 U. The optimized LAMP reaction conditions including 4 mmol/L MgSO$_4$ (50 mmol/L), 1.4 mmol/L dNTPs (10 mmol/L), 2.5 μL 10× ThermoPol Reaction Buffer [containing 20 mmol/L Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 10 mmol/L KCl, 2 mmol/L MgSO$_4$, 10 mmol/L (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, 0.1% Triton X-100, NEB company], 1.2 μmol/L each of FIP and BIP primers, 0.2 μmol/L each of F3 and B3 primers, 8.0 U Bst DNA polymerase (8 000 U/mL, NEB company), DNA template 1.0 μL, ddH$_2$O were complemented to a volume of 25 μL.

Table 1. [L$_{16}(4^5)$] orthogonal design for LAMP system.

| Horizontal combination | Mg$^{2+}$ concentration (mmol/L) | inner vs. outer primer ratios | Bst DNA polymerase concentration (U) |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1                      | 5.75                            | 2:1                          | 2.0                                  |
| 2                      | 5.75                            | 4:1                          | 4.0                                  |
| 3                      | 5.75                            | 6:1                          | 6.0                                  |
| 4                      | 5.75                            | 8:1                          | 8.0                                  |
| 5                      | 6.00                            | 2:1                          | 4.0                                  |
| 6                      | 6.00                            | 4:1                          | 2.0                                  |
| 7                      | 6.00                            | 6:1                          | 8.0                                  |
| 8                      | 6.00                            | 8:1                          | 6.0                                  |
| 9                      | 6.25                            | 2:1                          | 6.0                                  |
| 10                     | 6.25                            | 4:1                          | 8.0                                  |
| 11                     | 6.25                            | 6:1                          | 2.0                                  |
| 12                     | 6.25                            | 8:1                          | 4.0                                  |
| 13                     | 6.50                            | 2:1                          | 8.0                                  |
| 14                     | 6.50                            | 4:1                          | 6.0                                  |
| 15                     | 6.50                            | 6:1                          | 4.0                                  |
| 16                     | 6.50                            | 8:1                          | 2.0                                  |

Figure 4. The detection results based on different reaction systems according to orthogonal test. (A) LAMP products detected by 1 000 × SYBR Green I (Biotek Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). (B) Detection of LAMP products by agarose gel electrophoresis stained by EB (ethidium bromide). Tubes and lanes 1–16 are shown in Table 1. Tube and lane 17: negative control. Tube and lane 18: blank control. Lane M: DL 15 000 + 2 000 DNA marker.
Specificity of LAMP. To know whether the optimized LAMP system was specific, the common sugarcane pathogenic DNA was tested. Only target DNA of *S. scitamineum* and pMD19-T-Pep1 plasmid exhibited positive reactions, while no positive reaction was observed in the other six commonly encountered sugarcane pathogens, i.e. *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Pestalotia ginkgo*, *Helminthosporium sacchari*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, and two endophytes from Yacheng05-179 and ROC22 (Fig. 5), indicating the newly developed method has good specificity for detecting *S. scitamineum*.

Comparison of the sensitivity of LAMP and conventional PCR assay. The LAMP assay showed excellent results with regard to sensitivity, compared to conventional PCR by conducting amplification using ten-fold diluted samples of *S. scitamineum* genomic DNA (10^6 copies/μL–1 copies/μL) as a template. As indicated by the color transition of LAMP reaction products (Fig. 6A), the lowest limit of *S. scitamineum* detection was 10^4 copies/μL for LAMP, whereas in conventional PCR for Pep1 (Fig. 6B) and *bE* tests (Fig. 6C), the detection limits were 10^6 copies/μL and 10^8 copies/μL, respectively. This implied that the LAMP assay had a wider dynamic range and was nearly 100 times more sensitive than conventional PCR for detection of *bE* of *S. scitamineum*.

**Figure 5. The detection results of the LAMP reaction specificity.** (A) LAMP products detected by 1000 × SYBR Green I (Biotek Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). (B) Detection of LAMP products by agarose gel electrophoresis stained by EB (ethidium bromide). Tubes and lanes 1–7: Genomic DNA of *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Pestalotia ginkgo*, *Helminthosporium sacchari*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, the endophytes of sugarcane genotypes Yacheng05–179 (smut resistance) and ROC22 (smut susceptible), *Sporisorium scitamineum*, respectively. Tube and lane 8: the positive plasmid pMD19-T-Pep1. Tube and lane 9: negative control. Tube and lane 10: blank control. Lane M: DL 15 000 + 2 000 DNA marker.

**Figure 6. Sensitivity comparison of the LAMP and conventional PCR assay using the genomic DNA of *Sporisorium scitamineum* as template.** (A) LAMP products detected by 1000 × SYBR Green I (Biotek Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). (B) Conventional PCR products of Pep1 gene detected by agarose gel electrophoresis stained by EB (ethidium bromide). (C) Conventional PCR products of *bE* gene detected by agarose gel electrophoresis stained by EB (ethidium bromide). Tubes and lanes 1–7: ten-fold serial dilutions (10^6–1 copies/μL) of the genomic DNA of *Sporisorium scitamineum*, respectively. Tube and lane 8: positive control. Tube and lane 9: negative control. Tube and lane 10: blank control. Lane M: DL 100bp DNA marker.
Detection of *S. scitamineum* in sugarcane inoculated with smut pathogen. In order to test the reliability of the LAMP reaction system optimized in this study, we inoculated buds of ROC22 with a spore suspension of *S. scitamineum*. At 0 d, 1 d, 2 d, 5 d, 7 d, 10 d and 14 d, genomic DNA of the inoculated buds was isolated and tested by the developed LAMP assay and a conventional PCR. A total of six sugarcane samples after inoculation with *S. scitamineum* were detected by the LAMP method developed in this study, and the conventional PCR assay targeting *bE* gene and *Pep1* gene. No amplification was observed in the mock (0 h), negative and blank controls (Fig. 7). Positive reactions were displayed in all the infected samples, as was the case in the positive controls by LAMP assay (Fig. 7A) and conventional PCR assay for the *Pep1* gene in *S. scitamineum* (Fig. 7B), indicating a positive detection rate of 100% for genomic DNA of cane buds incubated for 1 d to 14 d after inoculation. In the conventional PCR reaction for the *bE* gene (Fig. 7C), no visible band could be observed at 5 d, suggesting a positive detection rate of 83.33%.

**Discussion**

Sugarcane smut is one of the most prevalent diseases in sugarcane industry worldwide. High sensitivity and efficiency in the detection of *S. scitamineum* infection is desirable in the process of sugarcane smut resistance breeding. Currently, the common methods for *S. scitamineum* detection involve field disease symptom investigation, electron microscopic observation, and serologic diagnosis, which are complicated to manipulate. In addition, accuracy and sensitivity vary greatly among different approaches. Albert and Schenck designed the primers *bE*4 and *bE*8 targeting the *bE* gene and *Pep1* gene. No amplification was observed in the mock (0 h), negative and blank controls (Fig. 7). Positive reactions were displayed in all the infected samples, as was the case in the positive controls by LAMP assay (Fig. 7A) and conventional PCR assay for the *Pep1* gene in *S. scitamineum* (Fig. 7B), indicating a positive detection rate of 100% for genomic DNA of cane buds incubated for 1 d to 14 d after inoculation. In the conventional PCR reaction for the *bE* gene (Fig. 7C), no visible band could be observed at 5 d, suggesting a positive detection rate of 83.33%.

For efficient amplification, smart design and appropriate dosage of primers in a LAMP system can ensure the loop hairpin structure in the initial and the continuous amplifications in the reaction. It could also promote the specific amplification that is mediated by the chain replacement enzyme (*Bst* DNA polymerase) under constant temperature. Additionally, primer quality and inner *vs*. outer primer ratio play key roles in the LAMP reaction system as these factors have more significant influence on its effectiveness, repeatability and sensitivity.

As *Bst* DNA polymerase is a Mg²⁺ dependent enzyme, the Mg²⁺ concentration was assumed to be the most important component in the LAMP assay. Mg²⁺ also binds to dNTPs, primers and templates, affecting the specificity of the LAMP reaction, amplification of product yield and the formation of primer dimers. Liu et al. reported that the excessive concentration of Mg²⁺ led to incorrect binding between primer and template, causing a decreased specificity of the LAMP reaction. Chandra et al. also found that higher concentrations of Mg²⁺,
those which exceed the optimum level, might result in a pseudo-positive result even in the negative sample\(^{21}\).

Although the MgCl\(_2\) reagent was also used in some investigations\(^{14,29}\), the MgSO\(_4\) reagent was recommended for the 10× ThermoPol Reaction Buffer supplied in the Bst DNA polymerase kit mentioned in our experiments. Here, when the Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration ranged from 5.75 mmol/L to 6.50 mmol/L (Fig. 1A), LAMP results showed a distinction between positive and negative controls. As suggested by electrophoresis, a Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration of 6.25 mmol/L was optimal (Fig. 1B). This was consistent with the results reported by Nie and Liu et al., which suggested the existence of an optimum concentration of Mg\(^{2+}\) during the LAMP reaction\(^{14,29}\). Fluoroscopic and electrophoretic signals were extremely weak at lower dosages of the Bst DNA polymerase (2.0 U) (Fig. 3). When the concentration of the Bst DNA polymerase was 8.0 U, negative and positive controls could clearly be distinguished. This was similar to the Nie’s findings that showed that the product concentration was reduced due to a higher consumption rate of the Bst DNA polymerase\(^{14}\).

Normally, a single factor experiment requires firstly to define initial reaction conditions according to experiences, then one factor is altered to screen approximately optimal concentration ranges under the condition that all the other factors remain unchanged. This method requires more lab work and higher costs. Meanwhile, it is difficult to truly achieve the optimal experimental results using this method due to possible interactions between the factors not considered in the reaction system\(^{12}\). Orthogonal experimental design considers the interaction effects between the reaction factors, with the advantages of scattered balance and comprehensive comparisons\(^{31}\). Therefore, the optimal combination can be rapidly established. However, in order to know the appropriate dosage ranges of impact factors before employing orthogonal experimental design, researchers usually adjust concentration levels of factors to obtain the optimal reaction system\(^{32}\). In the present study, a double optimized LAMP reaction system was rapidly developed that was suitable to detect \(S.\) citamineum by employing a single factor experiment followed by an orthogonal experimental design\(^{33}\).

Several studies found that the specificity and sensitivity of the LAMP technology were higher than those of conventional PCR and RT-PCR\(^{21,33,34}\). Guo et al. found that the sensitivity of LAMP was 10 times higher than that of the conventional PCR for detecting \textit{Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae}\(^{35}\). Similarly, Wang et al. indicated that the LAMP method was 10 times more sensitive than that of conventional PCR for the detection of the \textit{cry1Ac} gene in \textit{Bt} transgenic \textit{Oryza sativa}\(^{14}\). Chandra et al. demonstrated that the sensitivity of the LAMP assay was 5 times greater than that of conventional PCR for detection of gDNA from \textit{F. falciforme}-infected sugarcane tissues\(^{21}\). In this study, except for the positive control, LAMP had no cross reaction with other pathogens, which suggests its high specificity. Sensitivity analysis showed that the detection limit of the LAMP assay was 100 times higher than that of conventional PCR targeting the \(bE\) gene for \(S.\) citamineum. In addition, the LAMP reaction was positive for all tested cane buds that were artificially inoculated with \(S.\) citamineum.

In conclusion, the present work established a LAMP method with the specific primers of Pep1F/Pep1B and Pep1FIP/Pep1BIP for \(S.\) citamineum in sugarcane. Double optimal methods, the single factor experiment as well as \(L_1(4^d)\) orthogonal experimental designs, were employed to optimize the LAMP reaction system. This novel LAMP assay was sensitive and rapid in the detection of \(S.\) citamineum. It might be also suitable to detect imported or exported sugarcane seeds or seed stems, and provide technical support for realizing smut-free sugarcane supervision and administration.

**Methods**

**Plant materials and treatments.** Both the \(S.\) citamineum spores and sugarcane genotype ROC22 were from the Key Laboratory of Sugarcane Biology and Genetic Breeding, Ministry of Agriculture (Fuzhou, China). Smut whips in diseased plants of ROC22 collected in the fields were sealed and stored in 4 °C refrigerator after dried under sunshine or in an incubator at 32 °C. Smut spores were prepared by diluting smut spores with ddH\(_2\)O. Then, 100 μL of diluent was applied on a potato dextrose agar (PDA) plate containing 75 μg/mL of streptomycin and cultured at 28 °C in darkness. After 4 d, the single spore was re-cultured on a new PDA plate at 28 °C in darkness for 5 d. Finally, it was used to extract hypha DNA by the SDS (Sodium Dodecyl Sulfonate) method\(^{35}\). Hypha DNA was treated by 100 μg/mL RNase A (Promega company, USA) and its purity was determined by electrophoresis and spectrophotometer, and then stored at −20 °C.

Healthy and mature sugarcane stalks of ROC22 with uniform growth rates were selected for the test. They were cut into single-bud segments and soaked in flowing water for 24 h, then placed in incubators at 32 °C with 65% humidity and 24 h lighting to accelerate germination. When the cane buds were 2 cm long, a 0.5 μL microliter syringe was used to conduct inoculation on cane buds with a 5 × 10\(^7\) mL of \(S.\) citamineum spore suspension. The 16 h of light/8 h of darkness alternative culture was performed for the treated materials at 28 °C, from which 6 cane buds were selected at 0 d, 1 d, 2 d, 5 d, 7 d, 10 d and 14 d for the genome DNA extraction by CTAB (hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide) method\(^{35}\).

**Primer design and LAMP reaction.** According to the sequences of \textit{Pep1} (gene ID: SmtADNA4_GLEAN_10005329_Smut) in \(S.\) citamineum\(^{36}\), a pair of clone primers Pep1F (5′-CAGTACTCAAGCCATCTCTG-3′) and Pep1R (5′-AGTTGCGAGACCCCGTAG-3′) were designed using Primer Premier 5.0 software. PCR amplification was performed using the \textit{S. citamineum} genome DNA as a template. The PCR reaction system including 2.0 μL dNTP (2.5 mmol/L), 2.5 μL 10× Ex Taq Buffer (Mg\(^{2+}\) Plus), 0.125 μL Ex Taq enzyme (5 U/μL), 1.0 μL Pep1F (10 μmol/L) and 1.0 μL Pep1R (10 μmol/L), 1.0 μL template DNA (25 ng/μL), and ddH\(_2\)O was complemented to a final volume of 25 μL. The PCR amplification procedure was: 94 °C initial denaturation for 4 min; 94 °C denaturation for 30 s, 52 °C annealed for 30 s, 72 °C extension for 30 s, cycled 35 times; 72 °C re-extension for 10 min. After purification by gel extraction, all PCR amplification products (507 bp) were built onto a pMD19-T Cloning Vector (Takara, China) and transformed into \textit{Escherichia coli} DH5α. Positive clones were sequenced by Shanghai Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (China). The plasmid, named pMD19-T-Pep1, was stored for future use.
Based on *Pep1* sequences, software PrimerExplorer 4.0 (http://primerexplorer.jp/e/) was used to design the LAMP primers, from which four primers, two outer primers *Pep1F3* and *Pep1B3* and two inner primers *Pep1FIP* (*F1c* + *F2*) and *Pep1BIP* (*B1c* + *B2*) (Fig. 8) were screened. These primers were synthesized by Shanghai Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (China). The *Pep1FIP* and *Pep1BIP* primers were at HPLC (high performance liquid chromatography) grade.

- *Pep1F3*: 5′-CACTGACTCAAGCCATCCTG-3′;
- *Pep1B3*: 5′-GAAGCGCTCCCTTTACGC-3′;
- *Pep1FIP* (*F1c* + *F2*): 5′-AATCGGGCAGCGGTATAGCG-GCTAGCCTTCGTGCTCAC-3′;
- *Pep1BIP* (*B1c* + *B2*): 5′-CAAGCTGGTGCAATTCCCGATG-CTGTAGCACACACCGAGTTC-3′.

### Optimization of LAMP reaction conditions.

The LAMP reaction was carried out in a 25 μL mixture containing 3.75 mmol/L MgSO4 (50 mmol/L), 1.4 mmol/L dNTPs (10 mmol/L), 2.5 μL 10× ThermoPol Reaction Buffer [including 20 mmol/L Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 10 mmol/L KCl, 2 mmol/L MgSO4, 10 mmol/L (NH4)2SO4 and 0.1% Triton X-100, NEB company], 0.8 μmol/L each of FIP and BIP primers, 0.2 μmol/L each of F3 and B3 primers, 8.0 U *Bst* DNA polymerase (8 000 U/mL, NEB company), and ddH2O, which was complemented to a volume of 25 μL. The blank control (ddH2O), negative control (FN40 tissue culture seedlings free of fungal pathogens) and positive control (pMD19-T-*Pep1* plasmid) were set for the experiment. Key compositions of the LAMP system were optimized using a single factor experiment and an orthogonal experiment to obtain an optimal LAMP system which is stable and sensitive enough for the detection of *S. scitamineum*.

#### Single factor experiment.

During the first optimization test, a single factor experiment with three factors and four levels was conducted to screen the dosage of the major factors (*Mg2+* concentration, inner vs. outer primer ratio and *Bst* DNA polymerase content) influencing the LAMP reaction. *Mg2+* concentrations were set to 5.75 mmol/L, 6.00 mmol/L, 6.25 mmol/L and 6.50 mmol/L, respectively. For the fixed outer primer concentration of 0.2 μmol/L, the inner vs. outer primer ratios were set to 2:1, 4:1, 6:1 and 8:1, respectively. Four different *Bst* DNA polymerase concentrations, 2.0 U, 4.0 U, 6.0 U and 8.0 U, were tested. The amplification procedure followed 65°C incubation for 1 h, and a 80°C hot bath for 5 min to terminate the reaction. The products were detected by color transition and 2.0% agarose gel electrophoresis. 1.5 μL 1000× SYBR Green I fluorescent dyes (Biotek Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) were added and dropped inside the tube cap before reaction, which allowed the positive product to be indicated by color transition from orange to flavogreen after incubation; those showing intense ladder-like electrophoretic bands under UV light were defined as positive. All tests were repeated twice.

#### Orthogonal experiment.

Due to interactions between factors of LAMP reaction, *L*ν(4*) orthogonal experimental design was selected for this study. It was carried out on the basis of the single factor experiment to conduct orthogonal screening with three factors and four levels (Table 1). Each combination was repeated twice.

### Specificity of LAMP.

Specificity of primers was verified by the optimized LAMP system using 100 ng of the following common sugarcane pathogenic DNA as template, including *F. moniliforme*, *P. ginkgo*, *H. sacchari*, *F. oxysporum* and *S. scitamineum* genome DNA. In addition, cane exudates from smut resistant and susceptible sugarcane genotypes (Yacheng05–179 and ROC22) were cultivated at PDA liquid medium (containing 75 μg/mL streptomycin) at 28°C for 1 d to procure the endophytes. Genome DNA of these pathogens and endophytes was extracted following SDS protocol established by Que et al. The amplification products were detected by color transition and 2.0% agarose gel electrophoresis. The experiment was repeated twice.
Analytical sensitivity of LAMP. According to the formulas of MW = genome length × 660 dalton(bp) and copies/mL = 6.02 × 10^23 × (concentration g/mL)/(MW g/mol)^36, the copy number of S. scitamineum (19.8 Mb)^36 was calculated. A ten-fold gradient dilution was conducted on S. scitamineum genome DNA (217 ng/μL, amount to 10^9 copies/μL) to obtain a total of seven samples which ranged from 10^7 copies/μL to 1 copies/μL. The optimized LAMP amplification was conducted and repeated twice.

Additionally, conventional PCR amplification was conducted using S. scitamineum testing primers of bE4 (5′-CGCTCTGGTTCATCAACG-3′) and bE8 (5′-TGCTTTCCGCCATCCTCCT-3′) for the bE gene, as well as another pair of primers Pep1QF (5′-TAGCTCTGGTTCGTCACCTTACC-3′) and Pep1QR (5′-TGCTTTCCGCCATCCTCCT-3′) which were designed by ourselves based on the Pep1 gene sequences. The conventional PCR reaction system containing 2.0 μL dNTP (2.5 mmol/L), 2.5 μL 10× Taq Buffer (MG± Plus), 0.125 μL Ex Taq enzyme (5 U/μL), 1.0 μL forward primer (10 μmol/L) and 1.0 μL reward primer (10 μmol/L), 1.0 μL template DNA, ddH2O was complemented to a volume of 25 μL. The PCR amplification was performed under the following conditions: 94°C initial denaturation for 4 min; 94°C denaturation for 30 s, 55°C (bE gene) or 60°C (Pep1 gene) annealed for 30 s, 72°C extension for 30 s, cycled for 35 times; 72°C re-extension for 10 min. The 2.0% agarose gel electrophoresis was used to test the PCR amplified product.

Evaluation of LAMP using S. scitamineum inoculated samples. Two-bud sets of ROC22 were inoculated with 0.5 μL of the S. scitamineum suspension containing 5 × 10^5 spores/mL in 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20. All the treated samples were planted in sterile soil and cultivated at 28°C in 16 h of light and 8 h of darkness. At each time point of 0 d, 1 d, 2 d, 5 d, 7 d, 10 d and 14 d, five inoculated buds were collected for DNA extraction using the CTAB method. These DNA samples (1 μg) were subjected to the optimized LAMP reaction and conventional PCR (bE gene and Pep1 gene detection) reaction systems. The 2.0% agarose gel electrophoresis was used to detect the amplified product of the conventional PCR.
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