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Abstract—In the field of learning, we are witnessing more and more the introduction of new environments in order to better meet the specific needs of the main actors of the process. The shift from face-to-face learning to distance learning or e-learning has overcome some of the challenges of availability, location, prerequisites, but has been rapidly impacted by the development of mobile technology. As a result, m-learning appeared and quickly evolved into p-learning.

The arrival of the "Open Software" concept has given birth to several "open-something" initiatives, among which are the Open Educational Resource (OER) and the Massive Online Open Course (MOOC). These learning resources have also made progress, although they are fairly recent. Admittedly, this diversity of environments offers a wealth and a multitude of pedagogical resources. However, the question of the capitalization of contents, knowledge and know-how of each of these environments is necessary. How can the exchange and reuse of pedagogical resources be guaranteed between these different learning environments? otherwise-said how to guarantee the interoperability of these resources? In order to contribute to the creation of an pedagogical heritage, we propose to design a case-based system allowing the author, when creating a course in a particular context and environment, to exploit the resources that are already available. The goal is to put in place an intelligent production system based on case-based reasoning. It is based on four phases ranging from indexing to reuse, through the similarity measurement and the evaluation.

In the first part, we will detail the evolution of learning environments. In the second part, we will review the existing course production platforms, their principles and their challenges. In the third part, we will present case-based reasoning systems, and then we will introduce our target system.
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1 Introduction

At the present time, we are witnessing a proliferation of resources and learning environments that offer such rich and varied content. Thus, the role of the author, an indispensable player in learning is evolved. Will its role be limited to the production of content, knowledge and know-how in a given environment? it is certain that the reuse
of existing resources will be part of it. It is interesting to capitalize on this production and to exploit it in other situations or contexts of learning. This reuse will allow authors to improve existing production, adapt it to the contexts in which they find themselves and focus on new and advanced pedagogical objectives. We are interested in our laboratory in the development of intelligent learning systems. And we are interested in the development of an intelligent production system for the author focused on the specificities decided at the time of the launch of the research in a heterogeneous environment of learning platform consisting of e-learning platform, MOOC and Open Educational Resources (OER).

The basic questions of this paper are: How to design an intelligent course production system on behalf of the author to search heterogeneous learning environments? How to hide the heterogeneity of structures? How can we have a result that meets the need of the author? How can the production task be made easier and provide him with the opportunity to perfect and better adapt the found resource to its context? How to re-use an pedagogical resource located in a learning platform?

Thus, we aim in this paper, the design of an intelligent system able to support, to facilitate for the author the phase of the production of courses.

This article is structured in four sections: in the first section, we will review the evolution of learning environments as well as the richness and importance of their content. In the second section, we will discuss existing content production systems, their operating principles and their limitations. In the third section, we will detail through a state of the art, the principle and evolution of case-based systems and we will propose our vision for the intelligent production system in the fourth section. Finally, we will conclude our article with some perspectives on future actions.

2 Evolution and richness of learning environments

Our vision is to design an intelligent system capable of assisting the author as one of the main actors in the learning process during the course production phase. First, we aim to capitalize and reuse the existing resources. Then, we want to facilitate the production task to the author and offer him more opportunity to adapt and enrich the resource than to reproduce it. We consider that the reproduction of a resource similar to an already existing resource is a waste of time for the author as well as for the learners. Today with the advent of information and communication technologies, learners can be very knowledgeable when participating in a course. The author must therefore have much more time for adaptation, improvement and refinement of the resources to make it adequate with the context of use. We remind that we will deal with OERs and e-learning resources and MOOCs. In what follows, we will return to the evolution of these learning environments.
2.1 Evolution of distance learning

The distance learning has overcome all the barriers of time and space constraints for traditional or classic learning. The multiple evolutions of the technology have greatly helped the companies, universities and all interested people to benefit, to follow and to acquire eternally the knowledge, skills and experience under different types: Basic training or continuing education. E-learning has been a result of the development of ICTs for learning.

The beginning of distance learning was marked by correspondence learning to arrive at e-learning in the first decade. Its switch to d-learning, m-learning or p-learning was strongly linked to technological progress. Today, the trend is to return to the initial concept of e-learning which has become a concept that includes all types of learning, already cited, despite the multitude of technologies. Indeed, although the technologies differ, we always talk about the same concept and the same learning content. These learning modes have one thing in common which is the infrastructure or the network and the subject matter that is the pedagogical content. Fig. 2 illustrates the evolution of distance learning:
The e-learning in the era of cloud computing has almost the same problems as e-learning to m-learning regardless of technology and services. E-learning in a cloud environment, can use either services, platforms or infrastructures. The cloud computing has provided e-learning with new opportunities in terms of infrastructure, platforms and services. The cloud computing will hide the problems related to each of these levels. In fact, it eliminates the difficulties related to the maintenance of content servers, the management and administration of learning platforms and it offers a costs reduction since only the services used are paid.

Today, with the mobility of tutors and the progress of mobile technology, a tutor can work on multiple platforms. It must have the possibility, when creating an pedagogical content, to capitalize first on the existing. However, when we talk about the existing is not necessarily in the platform where he wants to create content but in any type of platform that it meets a standard or not.

The development of mobile technology as well as the advances made in the field of web services have allowed e-learning another opening and other facilities for communication, sharing, availability of contents, speed of dissemination on the social networks. This gave birth to e-learning 2.0. Initially, the platforms started with simple websites, but they developed into specialized learning platforms. Today there are specialized platforms for managing collaborative content in several ways.

### 2.2 Evolution of pedagogical resources

The trend of the 2000s was much more oriented towards collaborative work. This has further expanded the spectrum of e-Learning and made transformations in the roles of stakeholders in these environments.

In 2012, they were defined as follows: "Teaching, learning and research materials on any medium, digital or otherwise, existing in the public sector or published under an open license allowing access, use, adaptation and free redistribution by others, without restrictions or with limited restrictions." (UNESCO 2012). Today many universities have begun to trust open resources. The richness of their contents and the freedom of access have made them very widespread. the MOOCs have been widely used by pedagogical institutions. Their evaluation principles, the richness and the importance of their contents have made them part of the academic curriculum. In this multitude of learning environments and the wealth of content, we want to reuse and capitalize these already existing resources. We note the existence of an interesting content that we want to re-exploit and save the author the reproduction of content that already exists.

### 3 Learning Content Production Platform: The Author a Leading Actor

In the field of platforms dedicated to e-learnin and MOOCs there are two main categories: the first category is that of so-called "proprietary" platforms, which means that the source code owns the publisher. The second category offers open-source solutions for which the code is accessible and modificable. The four main platforms, free and
open, specialized in e-learning and MOOC, are the following: CLAROLINE, GANESHA, MOODLE and OPEN EDX. However, the EDOs do not have a specific platform. Table 1 includes the platforms studied.

| Resource Type | MOOC | e-learning | OER |
|---------------|------|------------|-----|
| Plateform     | Open Edx | MOODLE, CLAROLINE, GANESHA | Do not have a specific platform |

3.1 State of the art

In what follows, we will describe each platform with its functionalities that are related to the production of content:

CLAROLINE, developed in 2002 by the Leuven University in Belgium. It allows the creation of online course spaces and the management of training activities on the Internet. It is supported by an important global community because it is translated into 35 languages. It is used by several institutions in 84 countries. With no license fee, it creates workspaces and online courses.

MOODLE is used to create communities of learners around content and pedagogical activities. It has a powerful content management system and offers interactions between educators, learners and pedagogical resources to form a network or community around a theme. This feature is undeveloped in other platforms.

Open edX, although its interface is sober, it is a little star of the sector. It offers all the functions that can be required of such a platform. Founded by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and Harvard University, it is widely deployed internationally. Through its community, it is in continuous improvement. The road map of developments reflects the major changes underway. Its installation takes time and requires expertise in system administration and the Python programming language. The researchers are conducted by a team of researchers [44] have described the Open edX platform as the most widely used platform. This result is obtained due to an analysis made on the various CLOM platforms likely to serve as a technological base for a pilot project: Open edX, OpenMOOC, Racing Builder, Canvas, Udacity, Coursera, FutureLearn, Moodle, Sakai, WordPress and SPIP. The criteria on which this choice is based are: the modern software architecture, opening, robustness, scalable to large groups for a cloud deployment, ease of use and ergonomics for course designers and learners, the license strategy allows the platform to be adaptable and, finally, the guarantee of durability, thanks to the endorsement of important stakeholders such as Harvard, MIT, Stanford, Google and FUN.

GANESHA is a LMS distance training created by the company Anema. It allows a trainer or training department, the implementation of a module with course materials, supplements, quizzes, tests and collaborative tools.

The following table Table 2 summarizes the main functions identified in each platform:
Table 2. Synthesis on the main functionalities offered by the studied platforms

| Creation date | OpenEdx | CLAROLINE | GANESHA | MOODLE |
|---------------|---------|-----------|---------|--------|
| Main language | 2013    | 2001      | 2001    | 2002   |
|               | Python  | PHP       | JAVA    | PHP    |
| Course Creation Tool | Simple use. Can become complex with many modules to add | Works according to the concept of space associated with a course or an pedagogical activity | Provides interfaces to create one or more modules for one or more groups | The courses are created by administrators, courses creators or managers |
| Navigation in the course | Hierarchical | Ergonomic | Only the administrator who can configure online training | User-friendly and easy to move around the tree structure (depending on the user's role) |
| Allows peer evaluation | yes (feature to add and complex to set) | Yes (Includes tools specific to collaborative pedagogy) | Track learners | Yes (This is the role of the Workshop activity) |
| WIKI | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| Workgroups Management | Yes (newly developed functionality) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Chat | maybe added | Yes (Video and text chat) | Yes (little ergonomic) | Yes |
| Badges system to unlock | No (being implemented) | - | No | Yes (Requires the administrator role) |
| SPOC / private space | Yes, but not tested | Yes (Extranet) | Yes | Yes |
| Social Learning Compatibility | SCORM (standard of e-learning) | WAI, SCORM 1.1 & 1.2, LDAP, SSO, Shibboleth, LCS, IMS/QTI, CSS, HTML, RSS | LOM, SCORM 1.2, SCORM 2004 | SCORM 1.2, WebCT, Blackboard |
| Interface with the IS and accepted standards | Unix, Linux and Windows | Unix, Linux and Windows | Unix, Linux et Windows |
| Server operating system | Ubuntu/Linux 14.04 | Linux (Ubuntu, Mandrake, Red Hat, Suze), Mac (OS X), Windows (9x, Me, NT4, 2000, XP) | Mozilla Firefox 1.5+, Internet Explorer 1.7+, Google Chrome | Mozilla Firefox 4.0+, Internet Explorer 8.0+, Google Chrome 11.0+ |
| Customer Post | Chrome, Firefox, Safari or Internet Explorer (version 9+) | No restriction | Mozilla Firefox 1.5+, Internet Explorer 1.7+, Google Chrome | Mozilla Firefox 4.0+, Internet Explorer 8.0+, Google Chrome 11.0+ |
| Application sur Android | Yes | Yes | No | Yes, Moodle Mobile |
3.2 Challenges of platforms

Despite the advantages of open source platforms, they also have certain limitations. Which are distinguished as shown in Table 3.

| CLAROLINE                          | GANESHA                          | MOODLE                          | OPEN EDX                          |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| - The workspaces remain partitioned, a space manager cannot copy the information he has created in another space (schedule, ads, html pages). | - The Instructor-tutors cannot set their courses independently, only the platform administrator can organize online training unlike many other platforms. It is an organizational choice to consider. - Limited internal messaging (sending only one file at a time, but possibility to send a compressed file of several) - Authentication: passwords of unencrypted users. | - Possibility of positioning tests but manual management, no automatic route assignment. -For teachers, the diversity and specificity of all tool settings may seem too complex for users who are less familiar with ODL. However, many online tutorials exist, it is also important that the platform administrator or project coordinator be available to help teachers understand the full functionality of Moodle. To avoid failures, one of the first courses to install on the platform is the one about using Moodle. | - The majority of courses are in English, which may limit the access. - Sometimes the certificate is conditioned by the payment (especially those of Harvard or MIT). However, there is the option to print the transcript in order to attest the success. - Forums are not always practical according to their size. |
| - Ergonomic interface of learning paths to improve ... too many screens to reach the content. If the resource has many items, there is no dynamic menu, it’s a lot of scrolls and clicks to follow the course. | - The SCORM is not fully interpreted by the platform. | | |

As we mentioned in the first section, we will discuss the reasoning systems based on use cases. We consider that the reuse of a resource in another context and another environment other than its own, is a case of use that will subsequently improve the quality of the search and the choice of the relevant resource for an author. In the following, we will present a state of the art of these systems and then we will propose the architecture of our target system.

3.3 Synthesis

In this comparative study, we focused on open source and public platforms that are the best known and most widely adopted by leading universities around the world. The study took into consideration the following components: pedagogy, tutoring, communication, collaboration, planning and administration.

We recall that our goal is the reuse of pedagogical resources when switching from one platform to another. However, through this study, we found that it is impossible to communicate resources between these platforms. Although some environments support some standards, this is not enough to reuse platform resources in another platform. So the resources exist but they are dispatched. So, we want to have a system of intelligent production that aggregates these four environments and provides us with a case base where each resource is enriched by its use cases. In other words, a module that will promote reuse by taking into account the specification of each platform.

http://www.i-jet.org
4 Design of use case systems

The general principle of case-based reasoning or Case-Based Reasoning (CBR) is based essentially on experiences described through fully structured formats such as objects or records extracted from a database. In parallel with this, other models wanted to go beyond the limits of this structural approach and allow this principle to be extended to more varied fields. In this part, we pass a succinct presentation of the general principle of case-based reasoning, we present projects built on this principle.

4.1 Principles of case-based reasoning

The principle of CBR case-based reasoning is an approach that aims to solve problems based on past experiences in solving new problems [34]. Past experiences form what is called a case base. Concretely a case is built on the basis of two part a "problem" and a "solution" that remedies a specific situation. This case can also describe the benefits of applying the solution. The techniques used for CBR make it possible to propose new solutions by widening the problem to similar situations. The basic principle of the CBR has its foundation of cognitive science research driven by Roger Schank during the eighties. [35]

4.2 Components of a case-based system

In general, a CBR is a suite of processes and also knowledge that aims to capitalize on past experiences. The following figure Fig.3 presents the generic model of a CBR system as well as the main processes that constitute it:

![Fig. 3. Generic model of a CBR system [39]](image-url)
A CBR system uses four categories of knowledge ("knowledge containers"). They are grouped as follows:

- **Indexing vocabulary**: To characterize the problem description and solutions of the domain, we will need a list of attributes or features that play an important role during the research phase.
- **Case base**: All the structured experiences already passed will be exploited during the other phases: Research, adaptation, and maintenance.
- **Similarity measures**: These are the functions that allow the evaluation of the degree of similarity between two or more cases. These measurements are used during the research phase in the case base. They are essentially based on the features.
- **Adaptation knowledge**: These are heuristics of the treated area. In general, they are in the form of rules that allow modification and evaluation of applicability in new situations.

### 4.3 Design of an Intelligent Production System based on CBR

We recall that our goal is to deal with pedagogical resources related to certain learning environments. We must therefore look for a way to make these resources usable during the migration of an EAi to an EAj. We want to capitalize on existing production. For example, a tutor who has produced a course in e-learning and has migrated to POOC, he must have the opportunity to reuse this resource and not create a new course dedicated to the new learning environment according to a new structure. The multitude of environments engenders a multitude of pedagogical resources. Not to mention that each resource has its own pedagogical goals. How to make the most of pedagogical resources when producing courses in a pedagogical environment on the author's side? We have a concern to capitalize and mutualize the efforts and minimize the investments of the authors. It is thought to reuse all that already exists with communication with learning environments. It is the foundation of the concept of interoperability. But since we are in the pedagogical field, semantics is of great importance since the pedagogical objectives have a meaning that must be preserved. The use of XML files has been able to overcome syntactical conflicts. In addition, without semantics, we can’t achieve interoperability between pedagogical objectives.

OERs, in turn, are also rich learning content that must be reused. This requires semantic interoperability between OERs and MOOCs.

OERs have their own structures and metadata; So we have different learning environments where each environment has its own resources and therefore different representations. There is often a need to produce a new course expressed by the authors. For example, a resource in MOOCs has its own metadata similarly for e-learning or OER. There are several works concerning the use of OERs and MOOCs.

We have found, therefore, that CBR systems have a great contribution in the field of Artificial Intelligence. We propose to use these systems to solve our problem. As mentioned in the previous paragraphs, the principle of case-based systems is essentially based on the use cases.
An author who wants to reuse an already existing resource, the cases of use of the resource in question is for him an important indicator on which he can be based to reuse this resource or not. Its use in another context is a case of use of the resource. This positively impacts its importance, its ability to adapt and finally its relevance. As a result, when an author wants to produce a pedagogical content, it is important to first capitalize on existing production. So he has to do a search according to his own pedagogical goals. The result is a set of resources that do not exactly meet the needs initially expressed by the author. In order to bring the result closer to the teaching objectives, an indexing action is essential in order to have a sorting by relevance. During this phase, a pedagogical intervention by an expert is essential. Some researchers have also mentioned the notion of loyalty. In other words, actors who often contribute content that is considered interesting can benefit from a privilege of acceptance of their proposal. Figure 4 illustrates our vision.

![Fig. 4. Scenario of production of learning content through case-based reasoning.](image)

**Indexing – semantics.** This action will be based on the keywords or pedagogical objectives sought and performs an indexing of the pedagogical content. In order to avoid syntax problems, we propose a list in which the producer chooses the keywords by which he wishes to carry out the search. In case, he wants to introduce a term that is not in the list, an administrator will update the keyword database before giving the hand to the producer to start his search.

**Similarity search.** This phase, will search in an already obtained indexed content, to avoid redundancy, and extract all the resources having a similarity with the pedagogical objectives set by the author. The documents are classified according to the chosen method: decimal or universal decimal;
The research or similarity function: In this phase and through the specific keywords and objectives specified by the author, we will model a search function that will draw from the three learning environments concerned by this paper and return to us the resources found, at this stage we will need an ontology that will model this research.

**Choice and validation.** This phase requires the intervention of an expert. It is a manual operation that aims to select and validate the pedagogical resources responding to the need expressed by the author. However, we can sort, based on the use cases of the resource, its annotations, tags or comments if they have exploitable, but human intervention is essential. Indeed, the author is the only actor able to measure the utility of the resource in its context apart from its ranking in the research.

**Reuse and enrichment.** A use of the resource when creating pedagogical content is a case of using the resource. In this phase, we enrich a resource use bank by this use case. Indeed, a use case is a successful experience of the resource. It is also an indicator for content producers. The resources which are already reused and enriched will be considered in the future as a research environment among existing ones. Searching in an environment that contains the resources already in use can be very beneficial for the author to perform a simple search on a given platform.

### 5 Conclusion

In this paper, through a comparative study of learning platforms, we have identified the common elements and divergences that exist between learning platforms on the content production side and we have noted the difficulty of reusing pedagogical resources during production in learning platforms. We proposed a solution based on the artificial intelligence to know the reasoning based on case. We focused on the architecture of the case-based production system and detailed its steps. Currently we are finalizing the design of the ontology which is the phase of development to then begin the next three stages namely: Research, Validation, Reuse and enrichment.

In future works, we will further detail the first step of this system by proposing a conception of a formalism able to group together the maximum common concept of a pedagogical resource.
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