Enhancing Brain Retention of a KIF11 Inhibitor Significantly Improves its Efficacy in a Mouse Model of Glioblastoma

Gautham Gampa1,6, Rajappa S. Kenchappa1,6, Afroz S. Mohammad1, Karen E. Parrish1, Minjee Kim1, James F. Crish3, Amanda Luu2, Rita West2, Alfredo Quinones Hinojosa4, Jann N. Sarkaria5, Steven S. Rosenfeld2* & William F. Elmquist1*

Glioblastoma, the most lethal primary brain cancer, is extremely proliferative and invasive. Tumor cells at tumor/brain-interface often exist behind a functionally intact blood-brain barrier (BBB), and so are shielded from exposure to therapeutic drug concentrations. An ideal glioblastoma treatment needs to engage targets that drive proliferation as well as invasion, with brain penetrant therapies. One such target is the mitotic kinesin KIF11, which can be inhibited with ispinesib, a potent molecularly-targeted drug. Although, achieving durable brain exposures of ispinesib is critical for adequate tumor cell engagement during mitosis, when tumor cells are vulnerable, for efficacy. Our results demonstrate that the delivery of ispinesib is restricted by P-gp and Bcrp efflux at BBB. Thereby, ispinesib distribution is heterogeneous with concentrations substantially lower in invasive tumor rim (intact BBB) compared to glioblastoma core (disrupted BBB). We further find that elacridar—a P-gp and Bcrp inhibitor—improves brain accumulation of ispinesib, resulting in remarkably reduced tumor growth and extended survival in a rodent model of glioblastoma. Such observations show the benefits and feasibility of pairing a potentially ideal treatment with a compound that improves its brain accumulation, and supports use of this strategy in clinical exploration of cell cycle-targeting therapies in brain cancers.

Glioblastoma (GBM), the most common and lethal of primary brain tumors, has two defining phenotypes: uncontrollable proliferation and diffuse infiltration within the brain1–6. These features together allow tumor cells to invade regions of brain with an intact blood-brain barrier (BBB), enabling them to proliferate in an environment protected from potentially effective therapeutics. Thus, an ideal therapeutic needs to not only target both proliferation and invasion, but also penetrate the BBB and be retained within tumor-infiltrated brain long enough to kill tumor cells when they are vulnerable to the drug.

The microtubule (MT)-based cytoskeleton is essential for both mitotic spindle function, which drives GBM proliferation, and cell motility, which drives GBM infiltration7. Several MT-targeting agents inhibit spindle function, including the taxanes and vinca alkaloids, and these drugs have been successfully used for treating a variety of malignancies8. However, MTs are essential for peripheral and central nervous system (PNS, CNS) function, and dose-limiting neurotoxicity has been observed with these MT-targeting drugs8,9. This has served as an impetus for developing drugs that target other components of mitotic spindle. One such group are MT-associated molecular motors, referred to as mitotic kinesins, which play multiple roles in mitotic spindle function and cell motility10,11. A member of the mitotic kinesins is KIF11 (kinesin family member 11)12,13. Over the last twenty years, more than 50 highly specific and potent small-molecule inhibitors of KIF11 have been developed10. While these drugs are not neurotoxic14–17, they have been disappointing as anti-cancer therapeutics. This has been attributed to the fact that most of the KIF11 inhibitor clinical studies have tested them in solid malignancies which typically have a low proliferative index, using drugs with a relatively short half-life18. Mitotic spindle inhibitors are cytotoxic only when tumor cells are vulnerable to the drug.
for cells in the M phase, which constitutes less than 5% of cell cycle duration. Thus, it seems highly unlikely that any appreciable fraction of cells from a tumor with a low proliferative index will be affected by a drug that is only active in M phase, particularly if the drug has a short half-life and can only be dosed intermittently.

KIF11 inhibitors have not been clinically tested in GBM. In spite of the prior disappointing clinical experience, we have shown that KIF11 inhibitors are promising therapeutics in GBM for several reasons. KIF11 is needed for survival of GBM tumor initiating cells (TICs)—the most invasive and chemoresistant cells in this tumor—and is essential for driving both GBM invasion and proliferation. Furthermore, since GBM has a high proliferative index and rarely metastasizes outside the CNS, and given that the brain is essentially a post-mitotic structure, accumulating high doses of a KIF11 inhibitor in brain would essentially target all GBM cells with relatively little CNS toxicity. However, an effective GBM therapeutic must be able to cross the BBB and accumulate in brain at concentrations sufficient to kill tumor cells when they are vulnerable. Many small-molecule anti-cancer therapies are prone to active efflux at the BBB by P-glycoprotein (P-gp; also known as ABCB1) and breast cancer resistance protein (Bcrp; also known as ABCG2), and this can severely limit drug distribution to the brain. Although the BBB may be relatively compromised in the core of a GBM, infiltrative tumor cells reside behind a functionally intact BBB and result in the establishment of pharmacologic “sanctuaries” that provide sites for tumor recurrence that is inevitable in this disease.

Ispinesib (SB-715992) (Supplementary Fig. S1) is a potent and highly specific KIF11 inhibitor, and we have shown that it is active against GBM cells, including treatment resistant TICs, in vitro and against orthotopic GBM models in vivo. However, even if ispinesib can freely diffuse into the brain, its efficacy could be severely limited if a substantial fraction of the drug is actively pumped out by efflux transporters at the BBB. In order to optimize ispinesib as a GBM therapeutic, we have undertaken a systematic investigation of its pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in orthotopic rodent models of GBM. We find that while ispinesib is a P-gp and Bcrp substrate, its retention and efficacy in GBM can be substantially improved by inhibiting these efflux transporters. Our results support the use of targeting efflux mechanisms as an adjunct to therapeutic development of GBM therapies.

Results
Ispinesib is a substrate for the P-gp and Bcrp efflux transporters. Ispinesib was administered intravenously to Friend leukemia virus strain B (FVB) wild-type mice and to FVB mice deleted for the P-gp and Bcrp efflux transporters (referred to as Mdr1a/b−/− Bcrp1−/−) in order to determine how these efflux transporters affect brain distribution. The plasma and brain concentration versus time profiles and brain-to-plasma ratios following a single intravenous (iv) bolus dose of 5 mg/kg ispinesib are depicted in Fig. 1A–C. At each time point, the brain concentrations are significantly lower than the corresponding plasma concentrations in wild-type mice, while in Mdr1a/b−/− Bcrp1−/− mice, they are significantly higher. A summary of the pharmacokinetic parameters is presented in Fig. 1D. The brain-to-plasma AUC ratios (Kp, Equation 3, Supplementary methods) for ispinesib in wild-type and Mdr1a/b−/− Bcrp1−/− mice are 0.23 and 12.12, respectively. We further measured free and bound drug in plasma and in brain using rapid equilibrium dialysis (RED) technique. These experiments reveal that ispinesib exhibits a high degree of binding to proteins and cellular constituents. The percentages of unbound drug (Equations 1 and 2, Supplementary methods) in plasma and brain are 0.6 ± 0.1% and 0.05 ± 0.02%, respectively. We used the unbound fractions to calculate the unbound concentrations and unbound partition coefficients (Kp,un) of ispinesib (Equation 6, Supplementary methods). The Kp,un are 0.02 and 1.01 in wild-type and Mdr1a/b−/− Bcrp1−/− mice, respectively.

These results demonstrate that ispinesib crosses the BBB but is a substrate for one or both of the P-gp and Bcrp efflux transporters. In order to determine which of these drives ispinesib efflux, we measured ispinesib plasma and brain concentrations, and brain-to-plasma concentration ratios in FVB mice with the following genotypes: wild type, Mdr1a/b−/− (deleted for only P-gp), Bcrp1−/− (deleted for only Bcrp), and Mdr1a/b−/− Bcrp1−/− (deleted for both) at 2 and 6 hours following intraperitoneal (ip) administration of 10 mg/kg ispinesib. The results are depicted in Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table S1. The plasma concentrations (Fig. 2A) are similar in the four genotypes of mice. However, brain concentrations (Fig. 2B) are significantly higher in Mdr1a/b−/− Bcrp1−/− mice compared to wild-type mice. The brain-to-plasma concentration ratios (Fig. 2C) 2 hours after drug administration for wild-type, Bcrp1−/−, Mdr1a/b−/− and Mdr1a/b−/− Bcrp1−/− mice are 0.01, 0.08, 0.35 and 3.07, respectively, while at 6 hours, they are 0.16, 0.15, 1.52 and 5.20, respectively. These results indicate that P-gp and Bcrp play a cooperative role in restricting the brain uptake of ispinesib. We conclude that effective blocking of active efflux of ispinesib at the BBB requires targeting both of these transport proteins.

Elacridar significantly enhances ispinesib concentrations in brain and in orthotopic GBM. We injected FVB wild-type mice with a single ip dose of 10 mg/kg ispinesib or 10 mg/kg ispinesib simultaneously with 10 mg/kg elacridar, a highly potent and specific inhibitor of P-gp (EC50 of about 20–200 nM) and Bcrp (EC50 of about 300 nM), and measured ispinesib concentrations in brain and plasma 2 and 6 hours later. The results are summarized in Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table S2. While the concentration of ispinesib in plasma (Fig. 3A) is unaffected by elacridar at both the time points, the brain concentrations (Fig. 3B) are higher and the brain-to-plasma concentration ratios (Fig. 3C) are approximately 10-fold higher with elacridar co-administration.

We wished to determine how systemically administered ispinesib is distributed within the brain of an orthotopic GBM rodent model, and to evaluate if elacridar co-administration alters this distribution. We generated fluorescent GBMs in 3-day old rat pups by intracerebral injection of a retrovirus encoding for PDGF and TdTomato. After an additional 21 days, rat pups were injected ip with a single dose of microemulsion vehicle, 10 mg/kg elacridar, 10 mg/kg ispinesib, or a combination of 10 mg/kg ispinesib and 10 mg/kg elacridar, and they were sacrificed 2 hours later. We employed a fluorescence-guided punching technique (Supplementary Fig. S2) to isolate tumor core, tumor rim (tumor-infiltrated brain), and normal (tumor-free) brain (Fig. 4A,B and Supplementary Fig. S3), measured the concentrations of ispinesib in these samples and in plasma (Fig. 4C),
and calculated the corresponding tissue-to-plasma concentration ratios (Fig. 4D). The results show that there is a heterogeneous distribution of ispinesib, with higher drug concentrations in the tumor core compared to the surrounding brain. Furthermore, the uptake/retention of ispinesib is relatively restricted from the invasive tumor rim compared to the tumor core. This trend is similar in both the ispinesib and ispinesib + elacridar treatment groups. However, there is an approximately 10-fold increase in the $K_p$ in the tumor rim and normal brain regions and a 4-fold increase in $K_p$ in the tumor core when elacridar is co-administered with ispinesib (Fig. 4E). These observations indicate that elacridar co-administration improves the distribution of ispinesib in this orthotopic GBM model, particularly to the invasive edge of the tumor.

Ispinesib is active against both human and murine GBM cell lines. We measured the efficacy of ispinesib in vitro against three GBM cell lines: GBM1A, TP53(−/−), and PTEN(−/−). GBM1A is a human GBM line that has glioma TIC features. TP53(−/−), and PTEN(−/−) are PDGF-driven murine GBM cell lines. Treatment with ispinesib resulted in potent tumor cell killing in all the tested GBM cell lines. The EC50s from ispinesib dose-response curves in GBM1A (Fig. 5A, solid blue line with closed circles), TP53(−/−) (Fig. 5A, solid red line with closed triangles), and PTEN(−/−) (Fig. 5A, green line with closed squares) are 1.4 ± 0.2, 14.4 ± 1.2 and 10 ± 0.9 nM, respectively. In addition to being active components of the BBB, both P-gp and Bcrp efflux transporters are frequently expressed in TICs, such as GBM1A. We wondered if these two proteins might play a substantial role in modulating intrinsic responsiveness to ispinesib, so we measured ispinesib dose-response relationships of GBM1A cells in the presence of 500 nM elacridar. As Fig. 5A shows, elacridar has at most a modest effect, shifting the EC50 of ispinesib for GBM1A (dashed blue line with closed circles), TP53(−/−) (dashed red line with open triangles), and PTEN(−/−) (dashed green line with open squares) cells to 0.5 ± 0.04, 3.9 ± 0.5, and 3.3 ± 0.4 nM, respectively.

Subsequently, we wanted to test the efficacy of combining ispinesib with elacridar in an in vivo rodent model of GBM. Before performing these studies, however, we compared the predicted unbound concentration-time profiles of ispinesib following 5 mg/kg intravenous administration in FVB wild-type mice with the in vitro EC50 of ispinesib against GBM1A (Fig. 5B). The comparisons show that the unbound concentrations in the brain (solid red curve) are appreciably below the EC50 (dashed green line) for this drug. Increasing the brain concentrations of ispinesib by 10-fold (dotted orange curve), such as would occur with elacridar co-administration, would result in unbound concentrations higher than EC50, and thereby allow the brain to be exposed to a therapeutic concentration of the drug for a substantial fraction of time. Increasing the unbound concentration by 50-fold in

Figure 1. Brain accumulation of ispinesib is limited by active efflux at the BBB. The pharmacokinetic profiles of ispinesib in wild-type and Mdr1a/b−/− Bcrp1−/− mice following intravenous bolus dose of 5 mg/kg are shown: (A) Plasma concentrations, (B) brain concentrations, and (C) brain-to-plasma concentration ratios. The pharmacokinetic parameters estimated using non-compartmental analysis (NCA) are listed in the table (D). Data represent mean ± S.D., n = 4. The AUCs in the table represent mean ± S.E.M. Abbreviations: AUC0−t, area under the curve from zero to the time of last measured concentration; CL, clearance; Vd, volume of distribution; $K_p$, the ratio of AUC0−t(brain) to AUC0−t(plasma) using total drug concentrations; $K_p$uu, the ratio of AUC0−t(brain) to AUC0−t(plasma) using unbound drug concentrations; DA (Distribution Advantage), the ratio of $K_p$knockout to $K_p$wild-type.

|                | Wild-type | Mdr1a/b−/− Bcrp1−/− |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------|
|                | Plasma    | Brain               | Brain               |
| Half-life (h)  | 4.8       | 4.4                 | 6.8                 |
| AUC0−t (µg•h/mL) | 7.5 ± 0.4 | 1.8 ± 0.2           | 4.7 ± 0.3           | 47.6 ± 3.7 |
| AUC0−t (µg•h/mL) | 8.4       | 2.0                 | 5.8                 | 70.7       |
| CL (mL/min/kg) | 9.9       | -                   | 14.3                |
| Vd (L/kg)     | 4.1       | -                   | 8.4                 |
| $K_p$          | -         | 0.2                 | -                   | 12.1       |
| $K_p$uu        | -         | 0.02                | -                   | 1.0        |
| DA             | -         | 1                   | -                   | 53         |
brain (dotted pink curve), such as would occur in P-gp and Bcrp deficient mice, would result in unbound brain concentrations matching the plasma concentrations (solid blue curve) and would enhance the time of therapeutic drug exposure substantially further.
Elacridar significantly improves the efficacy of ispinesib in blocking mitosis in GBM. KIF11 inhibition induces bipolar mitotic spindle to collapse into a monopolar structure, and this histologic hallmark can be used as a surrogate marker for the anti-mitotic effect of ispinesib. We therefore examined if we could enhance this effect by systemically co-administering ispinesib and elacridar to rodents with intracranial GBM. We accomplished this by intraperitoneally injecting a single dose of vehicle, 10 mg/kg elacridar, 10 mg/kg ispinesib, or a combination of 10 mg/kg ispinesib and 10 mg/kg elacridar into NSG mice with orthotopic GBM1A tumors, sacrificing animals after 8 hours, excising brains, and staining sections through the core of the tumor for tubulin and DNA. We counted the number of cells with monopolar spindles in each of these four conditions to determine the fraction of cells with monopoles (Fig. 6A). The insets in the vehicle and elacridar treated brains show the normal distribution of DNA (blue) in the center, surrounded by tubulin (red) in the periphery. By contrast, ispinesib administration produces occasional monopoles, with DNA-containing chromosomes in the periphery surrounding a center of tubulin (white arrows). Co-administration of elacridar with ispinesib markedly increased

Figure 3. Inhibition of P-gp and Bcrp by elacridar co-administration improves the brain distribution of ispinesib. The plasma concentrations (A), brain concentrations (B), and brain-to-plasma concentration ratios (C) of ispinesib at 2 and 6 hours post dose following a single intraperitoneal administration of 10 mg/kg ispinesib in FVB wild-type mice with or without 10 mg/kg elacridar co-dosing are illustrated. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 for statistical comparison by unpaired t-test. Data represent mean ± S.D., n = 4.
the fraction of monopoles. This is quantified in Fig. 6B, which demonstrates that elacridar significantly enhances the ability of ispinesib to produce the desired pharmacodynamic effect.

Elacridar significantly enhances the efficacy of systemically administered ispinesib in a rodent model of GBM. We injected 500,000 luciferase expressing GBM1A cells into the white matter of NSG recipient mice and initiated treatment 7 days later with vehicle, 10 mg/kg ip elacridar, 10 mg/kg ip ispinesib, or a combination of 10 mg/kg ispinesib and 10 mg/kg elacridar ip, once every 4 days for a total of 28 days. We monitored the increase in bioluminescence (BLI) signal to assess the kinetics of tumor growth and followed animals for survival, and results are depicted in Fig. 6C–E. Figure 6C depicts photon flux versus time during the course of the experiment, with the period of drug treatment (days 7–37 post tumor inoculation) indicated. Figure 6D illustrates a plot of BLI over days 7–37, with data fit to single exponential growth equations. This reveals tumor doubling times of 7.9 (vehicle), 6.2 (elacridar), 9.4 (ispinesib) and 35.9 (ispinesib + elacridar) days. The mice in this experiment
were also followed for survival after discontinuing treatment on day 37, and Kaplan Meier survival curves are illustrated in Fig. 6E. The median survival for vehicle, elacridar, ispinesib, and elacridar + ispinesib treated groups are 61, 62, 74, and 92 days, respectively. While treatment with ispinesib alone prolongs survival compared to vehicle or elacridar (p < 0.0001, log rank test), combined therapy with elacridar and ispinesib is clearly superior to...
Figure 6. Elacridar co-administration significantly enhances anti-mitotic activity and efficacy of ispinesib in an orthotopic mouse model of GBM. (A) Mice with orthotopic GBM were injected with a single intraperitoneal dose of vehicle, 10 mg/kg elacridar, 10 mg/kg ispinesib, or a combination of 10 mg/kg ispinesib and 10 mg/kg elacridar (n = 8–10). At 8 hours, whole brains were collected, stained for tubulin and counter stained for DAPI. The insets in vehicle and elacridar treated groups show bipolar spindle formed during normal mitotic division, DNA (blue) in the center surrounded by tubulin (red) in periphery. The insets in ispinesib and combination (ispinesib + elacridar treated) groups show collapsed monopolar spindle in a fraction of cells, with tubulin in the center surrounded by DNA-containing chromosomes in periphery. The monopoles are marked with white arrows. (B) DAPI stained cells and monopolar spindle positive cells were counted, and percent monopolar spindles are depicted. Statistical testing using two tailed t-test. Data represent mean ± S.D., n = 3 biological replicates. (C) NSG mice were intracranially injected with luciferase expressing human GBM1A cells. After 7 days, animals were randomized to receive intraperitoneal doses of vehicle, 10 mg/kg elacridar, 10 mg/kg ispinesib, or 10 mg/kg ispinesib and 10 mg/kg elacridar (n = 10) once every 4 days for 28 days (Treatment). Tumor growth was monitored by measuring bioluminescence signal, and photon flux was plotted against time. (D) The data in (C) during treatment period is depicted in an expanded scale. Photon flux for this time period could be fit to a set of single exponential growth equations, revealing doubling times of 7.9 (vehicle), 6.2 (elacridar), 9.4 (ispinesib) and 35.9 (ispinesib + elacridar) days. (E) Kaplan-Meier survival curves that define median survival of 61, 62, 74, and 92 days for vehicle, elacridar, ispinesib, and elacridar + ispinesib treatment, respectively. While differences between vehicle and elacridar do not reach statistical significance (p = 0.07, log rank test), those between vehicle/elacridar and ispinesib, as well as between vehicle/elacridar and ispinesib + elacridar are significant (p < 0.001, log rank test). Likewise, difference in survival between ispinesib and ispinesib + elacridar also reaches statistical significance (p < 0.0001, log rank test).
ispinesib alone (p < 0.0001, log rank test). These results demonstrate a significant improvement in efficacy when elacridar was co-dosed to enhance the brain accumulation of ispinesib. Also, the combination of ispinesib and elacridar in the above dosing regimen was well tolerated without any toxicity related death events.

**Discussion**

Tumor heterogeneity has been a defining feature for GBM since the early histopathology studies of the 19th century. More recently, this heterogeneity has been documented to occur at the genetic, epigenetic, and gene expression levels, both from one GBM tumor to another, as well as within a given GBM. However, heterogeneity also occurs at the level of cell biology, as GBM cells have also been observed to demonstrate two distinct phenotypes—one characterized by high proliferation and the other by high dispersion. Furthermore, we and others have shown that these two phenotypes are reciprocally related— inhibiting one activates the other. Thus, an ideal GBM therapeutic needs to inhibit a target that drives both phenotypes simultaneously and be active against TICs and nonTICs alike. Our prior study has shown that ispinesib addresses these requirements. However, to be clinically effective, ispinesib must also cross the BBB and be retained at sustained therapeutic concentrations within both brain and surrounding brain. Our previous studies with other anti-cancer agents that are active against GBM in *vitro* and in *in vivo* flank models have shown that restricted brain delivery can render these promising compounds ineffective in orthotopic GBM. A key contributor to this problem is P-gp and Bcrp-mediated active efflux at the BBB. In this study, we have examined whether we can realize the potential of a promising GBM therapeutic, ispinesib, by improving its delivery to and retention within both brain and tumor.

The tightly regulated structure of the BBB is known to limit the delivery of a wide range of CNS therapeutics to the brain. For this reason, we sought to examine the brain distribution of ispinesib in FVB mice. The results shown in Fig. 1 suggest severely restricted delivery of ispinesib to the brain, as reflected from the brain-to-plasma partition coefficient (Kp) of 0.23 and unbound partition coefficient (Kp,uu) of 0.02 in wild-type mice. A major factor responsible for restricting the brain delivery of therapies is the gatekeeper function of the P-gp and Bcrp efflux transporters at the BBB. We therefore performed additional studies in transporter-deleted mice to examine the influence of P-gp and Bcrp on the brain delivery of ispinesib. The Kp and Kp,uu in P-gp and Bcrp deficient mice were 12.12 and 1.01, respectively, which are substantially higher than the corresponding values in wild-type mice (Fig. 1). These results demonstrate that P-gp and Bcrp-mediated drug efflux plays a significant role in limiting the brain accumulation of ispinesib (Fig. 2). Together, the brain distribution studies in FVB mice show that ispinesib has poor brain retention due to active efflux by P-gp and Bcrp transport systems at the BBB.

Our results with elacridar, a potent non-toxic inhibitor of P-gp and Bcrp, highlight the importance of inhibiting efflux transport in order to improve brain delivery of promising therapeutics. Our results demonstrate a 10-fold enhancement in the brain delivery of ispinesib (Fig. 3) with co-administration of elacridar implying that targeting drug efflux transport at the BBB enhances the brain accumulation of ispinesib. There have been reports of spatial heterogeneity in drug uptake to brain tumors, with severely limited drug accumulation in certain tumor regions residing behind an intact BBB. Such restricted delivery can result in the establishment of a protected pharmacological sanctuary for the tumors that can therefore grow unimpeded within the CNS. We therefore measured the regional drug distribution in a preclinical model of GBM, and also evaluated if ispinesib accumulation in the regions of tumor with an intact BBB can be improved with elacridar co-administration. The results depicted in Fig. 4 reveal regional variability in ispinesib delivery with higher accumulation in the tumor core, where the BBB is relatively compromised, and with lower accumulation in the invasive tumor rim, where the BBB is more often intact. This confirms that systemic delivery of ispinesib to treat GBM would likely lead to early disease relapse, due to inadequate drug concentrations at the tumor/brain interface. We therefore tested the impact of elacridar co-administration on the delivery of ispinesib to regions of GBM. Our observations, depicted in Fig. 4, show that there is an improvement in the delivery of ispinesib to the tumor, not only in regions where the BBB is already defective, but in the invasive margin of the tumor as well.

Our brain distribution studies prompted us to explore if we could translate this enhanced delivery to improved efficacy in mice with orthotopic GBMs. We began by comparing the *in vitro* cytotoxic concentrations of ispinesib in a GBM cell line (Fig. 5A) with the unbound brain concentration-time profile in wild-type mice. This reveals that the free concentration of ispinesib in the brain following an intravenous dose of 10 mg/kg is lower than the *in vitro* EC50 in GBM1A (*solid red curve*, Fig. 5B). However, our results predict that co-administration of elacridar should appreciably enhance the fraction of time that brain and GBM are exposed to therapeutic drug concentrations (*dotted orange curve*, Fig. 5B), which in turn would enhance the probability that sufficient drug would be present to kill cells when they are vulnerable, in M phase. This prompted us to examine the effect of elacridar co-administration on monopolar spindle formation (Fig. 6A,B), *in vivo* tumor growth kinetics, and survival (Fig. 6C–E) in an orthotopic GBM1A mouse model. We find that elacridar co-administration markedly increases the frequency of monopolar spindles—a surrogate marker for anti-mitotic activity of ispinesib *in situ*. Our *in vivo* efficacy studies build on this finding by demonstrating that while ispinesib by itself slows tumor growth and improves survival in an *in vivo* GBM model, these effects can be markedly enhanced by co-administration of elacridar. These outcomes show that improving the brain accumulation of ispinesib results in superior *in vivo* target engagement and efficacy in an orthotopic model of GBM.

While the BBB passively prevents the entry of hydrophilic drugs into the CNS, it is also capable of actively extruding hydrophobic small molecules, primarily through the action of two ABC transporters, P-gp and Bcrp. Together, these features of the BBB present a major obstacle to the development of effective therapies for GBM. Although the BBB is heterogeneously compromised within a GBM, viable tumor cells are found in the brain-infiltrative margin where the BBB is intact, and this feature provides a therapeutic sanctuary that drives the inevitable recurrence of disease. This highlights the general principle that efforts to identify new GBM targets and develop new drugs against such targets are in vain if they cannot be translated into therapies that reach and remain at the site of tumor growth and invasion. While the ability of the BBB to shield GBM from potentially
effective therapeutics applies to any drug, it is particularly problematic for therapeutics that are only active during distinct phases of the cell cycle. Inhibitors of the mitotic kinesin KIF11 illustrate this point. The motivation for developing these inhibitors was the presumption that they would be devoid of the neurotoxicity seen with microtubule-directed anti-mitotics, and the clinical experience with these drugs has confirmed this.6,17 However, KIF11 inhibitors are effective only when they are present in therapeutic concentrations during M phase, which accounts for only about 5% of cell cycle time.40 Thus, for a KIF11 inhibitor to be therapeutically active in GBM, it needs not only to cross the BBB, but be retained within both brain and tumor at effective concentrations for considerable periods of time in order to target a substantial proportion of tumor cells when they are vulnerable.

Although we had previously shown that the KIF11 inhibitor ispinesib is capable of crossing the BBB and prolonging survival in a patient-derived xenograft model of GBM, we suspected that active extrusion of this hydrophobic therapeutic might limit its ability to control this disease.4 Our current study confirms this suspicion. Ispinesib is indeed a substrate for P-gp and Bcrp transporters, which together reduce drug exposure in situ to sub-therapeutic concentrations. We have gone on to demonstrate that co-administration of elacridar, a potent and specific third generation inhibitor of both efflux transporters,25,27 can significantly enhance: i) brain concentrations of ispinesib, ii) the frequency of monopolar spindles—a surrogate marker for KIF11 inhibition; and iii) survival over ispinesib alone in an orthotopic xenograft model implanted with human GBM. Our results highlight the importance of blocking drug efflux transporters in GBM when sustained concentrations of drug are needed for a therapeutic effect. Although safety concerns have limited the clinical testing of efflux transport inhibitors,44,45 we find that the combination of ispinesib and elacridar is well tolerated and does not lead to any toxicity related deaths. In addition, elacridar has been safely administered to patients in recent studies, and the development of an oral formulation of elacridar has revived interest in testing this compound in the clinic.46,47 In short, our findings indicate that a GBM therapeutic which targets both of the malignant phenotypes of this disease—brain invasion and proliferation—can be made much more effective by simultaneously targeting the BBB efflux transporters in a manner that does not enhance drug toxicity. We believe that our approach in this study is applicable to a wide variety of other GBM therapies, and should be considered in designing both pre-clinical as well as clinical trials of promising drugs for the treatment of GBM.

Materials and Methods

**Chemicals.** Ispinesib, N-[(3-aminopropyl)-N-[(1 R)-1-(3-benzyl-7-chloro-4-oxoquinazolin-2-yl)-2-methylpropyl]-4-methylbenzamide, was purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX). Elacridar [GF-120918, N-[4-2-(6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydro-1H-isouquinolin-2-yl)ethyl]phenyl]-3-methoxy-9-oxo-10H-acridine-4-carboxamide] was purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals Inc. (Ontario, Canada).

**In vitro assay for determination of unbound (free) fractions.** Rapid equilibrium dialysis was used to determine unbound fractions with modifications to manufacturer’s protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Mouse plasma and brain homogenates (in 3 volumes of PBS, w/v) were spiked with 0.1 mg/mL ispinesib to obtain final concentrations of 1 µM. 300 µL of drug-spiked matrices were placed in donor chamber, and 500 µL of PBS was placed in receiver chamber of inserts (8 KDA MWCO), in triplicates. The base plate with inserts was incubated on Bioshaker at 37 °C and 1000 rpm for 4 hours. Ispinesib concentrations in samples were analyzed by liquid-chromatography tandem mass-spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Additional details are described in the supplemental methods.

**In vitro cytotoxicity assay.** TP53(−/−) and PTEN(−/−) cells were seeded into 96-well plates (pre-coated with fibronectin) at a density of 5,000 cells per well in DMEM media with 0.5% FBS, 1% nitrogen supplement, 10 ng/mL of FGF and 10 ng/mL of PDGF-AA. Human GBM1A cells were seeded into 96 well plates (pre-coated with laminin) in DMEM media with 1% NeuroFlex supplement, 20 ng/mL of EGF and 20 ng/mL of FEF. After 24 hours (~70% confluency), cells were exposed to ispinesib (n = 10 wells per concentration) from 0.025–1000 nM with or without 500 nM elacridar co-treatment. The plates were incubated for 72 hours, cell viability measured using CellTiter-Glo ATP-based assay, and read on a luminometer. The dose-response data were fit to the Hill equation.

**Pharmacokinetics following intravenous and intraperitoneal administration of ispinesib.** A single intravenous bolus dose of 5 mg/kg ispinesib (vehicle: ethanol, Tween 80 and distilled water in volume ratio of 20:2.5:77.5) was administered to FVB wild-type and Mdr1a/b−/− Bcrp1−/− mice. This was followed by collection of whole blood and brain samples at 0.17, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 15 hours post-dose, and blood samples were centrifuged to separate plasma for further analysis. In another study, a single intraperitoneal dose of 10 mg/kg ispinesib (microemulsion vehicle: Cremophor EL, Carbitol, Captex 355 and distilled water in volume ratio of 20:10:3:67) was dosed in wild-type, Mdr1a/b−/−, Bcrp1−/−, and Mdr1a/b−/− Bcrp1−/− mice. At 2 and 6 hours following administration of ispinesib, whole blood and brain samples were harvested, and blood samples were centrifuged for plasma separation. Additional details on the conduct of in vivo pharmacokinetic studies are described in the supplementary methods. The drug concentrations in all samples were measured by a specific and sensitive LC-MS/MS assay for ispinesib.

**Brain distribution of ispinesib with and without co-administration of elacridar.** FVB wild-type mice received a single dose of 10 mg/kg ip ispinesib with or without simultaneous co-administration of 10 mg/kg
ip elacridar, both in microemulsion vehicle. Ispinesib and elacridar (half-life of 3.2 hrs following ip dosing) have comparable half-lives and so were dosed together. Blood and brain specimens were harvested at 2 and 6 hours post-dose.

**Spatial distribution of ispinesib in a rat model of GBM.** The GBM tumors were induced by stereotactic injection of a PDGF-IRE5-tdTomato retrovirus in rat pups, using postnatal day 3 (P3) neonatal Sprague-Dawley rats. Rats were randomized (n = 9) to receive single dose of 10 mg/kg ip ispinesib with or without simultaneous co-administration of 10 mg/kg ip elacridar on day 21 following intracranial injections. Blood and brain (tumor-bearing) samples were collected at 2 hours post-dose, and whole brains were immediately flash frozen. A fluorescence-guided punch biopsy technique (Supplementary Fig. S2) was developed and employed for isolation of tumor core, tumor rim (brain adjacent to tumor, BAT) and normal (non-tumor) brain regions from brain samples. Briefly, an acrylic adult rat brain matrix (WPI) was used to obtain thick coronal brain sections (1–2 mm thick) through the Td-Tomato labelled tumors. The tumor regions were identified by relative fluorescence signal (Nikon AZ100M microscope), and biopsy punches with varying diameters were utilized to isolate tumor core (tumor region with fluorescence signal 5-fold or higher relative to background signal) and rim (region adjacent to tumor core with fluorescence signal 3 to 5-fold higher relative to background) (Supplementary Fig. S3). The samples from individual brains were pooled together for analysis of ispinesib concentrations by LC-MS/MS.

**Pharmacodynamics of ispinesib in a mouse model of GBM.** Female NSG mice were intracerebrally injected with 500,000 GBM1A cells at coordinates X = 1.5 mm, Y = 1.5 mm and Z = 2.5 mm relative to the bregma, and tumor growth was monitored by bioluminescence imaging. After 4 weeks (tumor size of about 2 × 10^9 photons/sec), animals were randomized to receive single ip dose of microemulsion vehicle, 10 mg/kg elacridar, 10 mg/kg ispinesib, or a combination of 10 mg/kg ispinesib and 10 mg/kg elacridar (n = 8–10). At 8 hours following treatment, mice were perfused and whole brains were isolated. The samples were processed for immunofluorescence by staining for tubulin with an alpha-tubulin antibody (Cell signaling, Cat#2125) and counter staining with DAPI for visualizing nuclei. Images were scanned and counted for cells with monopolar spindles.

**In vivo efficacy of ispinesib in a mouse model of GBM.** Female NSG mice were intracranially implanted with 500,000 luciferase expressing GBM1A cells (transduced with lentiviral particles expressing GFP-Luciferase). After 7 days, animals were randomized into four groups and ip administered with microemulsion vehicle, 10 mg/kg elacridar, 10 mg/kg ispinesib, or a combination of 10 mg/kg ispinesib and 10 mg/kg elacridar, once every 4 days for 28 days (n = 10). The dosing schedule of once every 4 days was based on our previously publication with ispinesib5. Tumor growth was monitored by bioluminescence imaging and animals were followed for survival.

**LC-MS/MS analysis.** A detailed description is provided in the supplemental methods. In brief, all samples were spiked with dasatinib as internal standard. After extraction in ethyl acetate, organic supernatant was dried under nitrogen, reconstituted in mobile phase (55:45 of 1 mM ammonium formate with 0.1% formic acid: acetonitrile), and injected onto a Phenomenex Synergi 4 Polar-RP 80 A column. The m/z transitions were 517.20–400.99 (positive-ionization mode). Statistical and data analysis. The details of pharmacokinetic data analysis, calculations and statistical testing are described in the supplemental methods.

Received: 27 November 2019; Accepted: 21 March 2020; Published online: 16 April 2020

**References**

1. Chiesa-Vottero, A. G., Rybicki, L. A. & Prayson, R. A. Comparison of proliferation indices in glioblastoma multiforme by whole tissue section vs tissue microarray. *Am J Clin Pathol* 120, 902–908, https://doi.org/10.1309/8UAU-KFK3-NBDM-VTNU (2003).
2. Stoyanov, G. S., Dithenkov, D. L., Kitanova, M., Donev, I. S. & Gheney, P. Correlation Between Ki-67 Index, World Health Organization Grade and Patient Survival in Glial Tumors With Astrocytic Differentiation. *Cureus* 9, e1396, https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.1396 (2017).
3. Matsukado, Y., Maccarty, C. S. & Kernohan, J. W. The growth of glioblastoma multiforme (astrocytomas, grades 3 and 4) in neurosurgical practice. *J Neurosurg* 18, 636–644, https://doi.org/10.3171/jns.1961.18.5.0636 (1961).
4. Demuth, T. & Berens, M. E. Molecular mechanisms of glioma cell migration and invasion. *J Neurooncol* 70, 217–228, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-004-2751-6 (2004).
5. Cuddapah, V. A., Robel, S., Watkins, S. & Sontheimer, H. A neurocentric perspective on glioma invasion. Nat Rev Neurosci 15, 455–465, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3765 (2014).
6. Mastronardi, L., Guiducci, A., Puzzilli, F. & Ruggeri, A. Relationship between Ki-67 labeling index and survival in high-grade glioma patients treated after surgery with tamoxifen. *J Neurosurg* Scie 43, 263–270 (1999).
7. Venere, M. et al. The mitotic kinesin KIF11 is a driver of invasion, proliferation, and self-renewal in glioblastoma. *Sci Transl Med* 7, 304ra143, https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aac6762 (2015).
8. Wood, K. W., Cornwell, W. D. & Jackson, J. R. Past and future of the mitotic spindle as an oncology target. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 1, 370–377 (2001).
9. Cana, A., Chiorazzi, A. & Cavallotti, G. Tubulin: a target for antineoplastic drugs into the cancer cells but also in the peripheral nervous system. *Curr Med Chem* 16, 1315–1324 (2009).
10. Rath, O. & Koziel, F. Kinesins and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 12, 527–539, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3310 (2012).
11. Cross, R. A. & McNish, A. Prime movers: the mechaonochemistry of mitotic kinesins. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 15, 257–271, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3768 (2014).
12. Sarli, V. & Giannis, A. Targeting the kinesin spindle protein: basic principles and clinical implications. *Clin Cancer Res* 14, 7583–7587, https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-0120 (2008).
13. Wójcik, E. J. et al. Kinesin-5: cross-bridging mechanism to targeted clinical therapy. *Gene* 531, 133–149, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2013.08.004 (2013).
Acknowledgements
The authors thank James Fisher, Clinical Pharmacology Analytical Laboratory, University of Minnesota, for his support in the development of the LC-MS/MS assays. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health grants R01-NS073610 (to SSR and WFE), U54-CA210180 (to JNS and WFE) and U54-CA210190 (to SSR). Gautham Gampa was supported by the Ronald J. Sawchuk Fellowship in Pharmacokinetics and University of Minnesota Doctoral Dissertation Fellowship.

Author contributions
G.G., R.S.K., A.S.M., K.E.P., M.K., J.F.C., A.L., R.W., A.Q.H., J.N.S., S.S.R. and W.F.E. conceived of the study; and G.G., R.S.K., A.S.M., K.E.P., M.K., J.F.C., A.L., R.W., A.Q.H., J.N.S., S.S.R. and W.F.E. designed and conducted the experiments. The manuscript was written by G.G., R.S.K., S.S.R. and W.F.E. All of the authors discussed the results and edited and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-63494-7.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.S.R. or W.F.E.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020