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Summary

Introduction: Low muscle mass is a common condition in the critically ill population and is associated with adverse clinical outcomes. The primary aim of this study was to analyze the prognostic significance of low muscle mass using computed tomography (CT) scans in COVID-19 critically ill patients. A second objective was to determine the accuracy and agreement in low muscle mass identification using diverse markers compared to CT as the gold standard.

Methods: This was a prospective cohort study of COVID-19 critically ill patients. Skeletal muscle area at the third lumbar vertebra was measured. Clinical outcomes (intensive care unit [ICU] and hospital length of stay [LOS], tracheostomy, days on mechanical ventilation [MV], and in-hospital mortality) were assessed. Phase angle, estimated fat-free mass index, calf circumference, and mid-upper arm circumference were measured as surrogate markers of muscle mass.

Results: Eighty-six patients were included (mean age ± SD: 48.6 ± 12.9; 74% males). Patients with low muscle mass (48%) had a higher rate of tracheostomy (50 vs 20%, p = 0.01), prolonged ICU (adjusted HR 0.53, 95% CI 0.30–0.92, p = 0.024) and hospital LOS (adjusted HR 0.50, 95% CI 0.29–0.86, p = 0.014). Bedside markers of muscle mass showed poor to fair agreement and accuracy compared to CT-assessed low muscle mass.

Conclusion: Low muscle mass at admission was associated with prolonged length of ICU and hospital stays. Further studies are needed to establish targeted nutritional interventions to halt and correct the catabolic impact of COVID-19 in critically ill patients, based on standardized and reliable measurements of body composition.

© 2022 Elsevier Ltd and European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over 250 million patients worldwide have been affected by Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1]. Studies have shown that ~30% of the hospitalized patients require ventilatory support and admission to Intensive Care Units (ICUs) [2].

The acute phase of critical illness, immobilization, and drug administration (sedatives and neuromuscular blocking agents) results in disturbed metabolism, with a catabolic state characterized by increased protein breakdown and decreased protein synthesis, leading to a rapid wasting of skeletal muscle mass [3–5].

Muscle mass assessment at hospital admission can be useful to identify patients with higher nutritional risk, while its monitoring could offer important opportunities to guide nutritional therapy adjustments during ICU stay [6]. Magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography (CT) are gold standard techniques for the assessment of body composition in clinical populations [7,8]. CT
employs a beam of X-rays that produces signals, that once processed by a computer, can generate cross-sectional images of the body. Using specialized software, skeletal muscle mass can be measured at the third lumbar vertebra (L3), which correlates well with whole-body skeletal muscle mass, being the preferred landmark for the estimation of whole-body muscle mass [9,10]. Skeletal muscle cross-sectional area is then used to calculate skeletal muscle index (SMI, cm²/m²) and compared to one of the available diagnostic criteria.

Although not optimal, other landmarks and isolated muscle groups have been used in clinical settings, including pectoralis muscle area, psoas muscle area or thigh muscles [11,12]. Low muscle mass is associated with clinical outcomes in COVID-19 patients; according to Besutti et al., higher pectoralis muscle cross-sectional area, measured by chest CT images, showed a protective effect on hospitalization, mechanical ventilation (MV) and death [13]. Similar findings were reported by Ufuk et al. using chest images for pectoralis SMI assessment in 130 patients [14]. Furthermore, limited data is available regarding the clinical prognosis of low muscle mass in critically ill patients.

Despite the usefulness of imaging methods for SMI quantification, they are rarely available in hospital settings. As such, bedside techniques can be used as a marker of muscle mass; these include anthropometric measurements [mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC), and calf circumference (CC)], and bioelectrical impedance techniques can be used as a marker of muscle mass; these include anthropometric measurements [mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC), and calf circumference (CC)], and bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA). Nevertheless, they lack accuracy, especially concerning IV infusion therapy and fluid overload, which are treatments commonly observed in critically ill patients [15–17]. Despite its limitations, BIA also allows for the assessment of phase angle (PHA), which has been proposed as an indirect marker of muscle mass and quality, and a predictor of clinical outcomes [18,19].

Considering the potential importance of body composition to COVID-19 patients, and the need for surrogate markers of low muscle mass assessment, the primary aim of the study was to assess muscle mass at the L3 using CT-assessed SMI to evaluate its prognostic significance in predicting clinical outcomes. Secondly, we aimed to assess agreement and accuracy of markers of muscle mass compared to SMI, including CC, MUAC, estimated fat-free mass index, and PHA in COVID-19 critically ill patients.

2. Methods

This was a prospective cohort of consecutive patients admitted to the ICU of the National Institute of Respiratory Diseases, in Mexico City, from November 2020 to March 2021. Adults (age ≥18 years) diagnosed with COVID-19 (confirmed by both reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT PCR) for SARS-CoV-2 and suggestive tomographic findings) under MV were included. Only patients with CT scans performed in the first 24–48 hours after admission were included. This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Institute of Respiratory Diseases (Register #C16-21) and the University of Alberta Research Ethics Office (Pro00111147).

2.1. Nutritional assessment

Nutritional status was assessed in all patients at the first 24–48 hours after ICU admission. Nutritional risk was calculated using the modified NUTRIC-Score during the first 24 hours of initiating mechanical ventilation. This assessment tool includes age, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score, Acute Physiology And Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) score at admission, the number of comorbidities, and pre-ICU hospital length of stay (LOS). High nutritional risk was established at a score ≥5 [20].

2.2. Anthropometric assessment

Anthropometric assessment included MUAC, CC, waist circumference, and half-arm span; measurements were done using a tape graduated in centimeters with 0.1 cm precision (SECA 201, Germany). Anthropometry was assessed using the standard procedures described by Lohman et al. [21]. MUAC was measured at the mid-point between the tip of acromion process and the tip of the olecranon process. CC was measured by wrapping the tape around the widest part of the calf. Body weight and height were estimated using validated equations [22]. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated and was classified using World Health Organization criteria [23].

2.3. Body composition assessment

Body composition was assessed by both BIA and CT scans. Regarding BIA assessment, a multi-frequency device was used (InBody 510®, InBody Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea). Measurements were performed with the patient in a supine position. Eight adhesive electrodes were used: one on each wrist, one on the distal part of the third metacarpal bone of each hand, one on the central part of each ankle, and one on the distal part of the second metatarsal bone in each foot. Estimated body weight and height were inputted into the device. PhA and estimated fat free mass (FFM) were recorded from the machine output. Fat-free mass index (FFMI) was calculated as FFM/height (kg/m²).

Regarding CT images, a SIEMENS brand multidetector CT (SOMATOM Sensations model) with 64 detectors was used; the studies were performed with a volumetric acquisition in the supine position during maximum inspiration in the pulmonary and mediastinal windows. The main scanning parameters were as follows: tube voltage = 100 kVp, automatic modulation of the electric current tube (70–120 mAs), pitch = 1, slice thickness = 1 mm and reconstruction matrix = 512 × 512. All images were reconstructed with a high spatial resolution algorithm and a B70 lung filter with a window amplitude of −600/1200; for the mediastinum, a B30 filter with a window width of 50/350 was applied. On each CT scan, the L3 slice was located by two experts’ radiologists and was exported as DICOM files. Specific tissue demarcation using predefined thresholds in Hounsfield Units (HU) was performed at the Human Nutrition Research Unit (University of Alberta, Canada), as previously described [24,25]. CT images were processed with the SliceOmatic v5.0 (Tomovision, Montreal, Canada) software, and manually corrected as necessary. Cross-sectional area of skeletal muscle (i.e., skeletal muscle area [SMA]), intermuscular adipose tissue (IMAT), subcutaneous adipose tissue, visceral adipose tissue and low attenuation muscle area were determined using thresholds described elsewhere [25]. SMA was adjusted for height in meters to determine SMI (cm²/m²). Skeletal muscle density was generated by the software as the mean radiation attenuation value of the whole muscle area at L3 [26]. Low muscle radiodensity was defined as an SMD lower than 35.5 HU and lower than 32.5 HU for men and women, respectively [27].

2.4. CT-assessed low muscle mass and surrogate markers

Low muscle mass was identified using previously published cutoff points based on sex and BMI categories [27]. For patients with a BMI <30 kg/m², low muscle mass was defined as an SMI ≤52.3 cm²/m² for men and ≤38.6 cm²/m² for women. For those with a BMI ≥30 kg/m², an SMI ≤54.3 cm²/m² for men and ≤46.6 cm²/m² for women was considered. The following surrogate markers were used for low mass identification: a) FFMI <17 kg/m² for males or <15 kg/m² in females [28,29], endorsed by the Global Leadership
Initiative on Malnutrition (GLIM) consensus statement [30]; b) low sex-specific, BMI-adjusted CC was defined using Gonzalez et al. references for males and females using 1 SDs below each mean [31]; c) low MUAC (<5th percentile) was defined based on an Mexican-American population (25.7 cm for females, 28 cm for males) [32], d) and low PhA values (<3.85" in females and <5.25" in males), as reported for COVID-19 critically ill patients [19].

2.5. Clinical data

Days under invasive MV, ICU LOS (calculated as days from ICU admission to ICU discharge, and hospital LOS (days from hospital admission to discharge dates), tracheostomy placement, diabetes and hypertension diagnosis, acute kidney injury (AKI) diagnosis during LOS, and all-cause hospital mortality were recorded.

2.6. Nutritional therapy

Nutritional therapy was prescribed by ICU dietitians. Energy and protein requirements were calculated according to recommendations by the American Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN) and European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN), with a general target of 25 kcal/kg and 1.3 g/kg, respectively [33,34]. Adjusted body weight was used for patients with obesity (BMI > 30 kg/m²) [35]. Calories derived from non-nutritional sources such as propofol and glucose IV infusion were factored into the nutrition prescriptions to avoid overfeeding.

2.7. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata Intercooled (Version 14, STATA Corporation, College Station, TX, USA) and graphics were elaborated in GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc.). Normality was verified with the Shapiro Wilk test. Descriptive statistics were used to analyze categorical variables (absolute and relative frequency) and quantitative variables (mean and standard deviation [SD] or median and interquartile range [IQR]). Differences between normal and low muscle mass were compared using Student’s t-test, Mann–Whitney U-test, or χ² test. Univariate logistic regression and Kaplan Meier survival analysis with log-rank test and the Cox proportional hazards model were performed to assess the association between low muscle mass and tracheostomy placement, MV days, and ICU and hospital LOS in survival patients. Multivariate regression models were performed and fitted to the data using backward stepwise selection. Analyzed variables (categorized age [20–30, 31–40, 41–50, 51–60, 61–70, >71 years], SOFA and APACHE II scales, NUTRIC-Score, IMAT, subcutaneous adipose tissue, visceral adipose tissue, diabetes diagnosis [yes or no], hypertension [yes or no] and acute kidney injury [yes or no]) were retained in the model if they had a p-value that was less or equal to the maximum p-value selection criteria of 0.1. Pearson’s correlation (rho) and linear regression were used to assess the relationship between SMI and MUAC, CC, FFM, and PhA derived from BIA. Agreement between low muscle mass and markers of muscle mass were analyzed by the kappa (κ) statistic; values < 0.2 indicating poor, 0.2–0.4 indicating fair, 0.4–0.6 indicating moderate, 0.6–0.8 indicating substantial, and >0.8 indicating almost perfect concordance [36]. The accuracy of each marker of muscle mass to predict CT-assessed low muscle mass was analyzed by sensitivity, specificity, and area under the receiver operating characteristic curve. The area under the curve (AUC) was interpreted as follows: no discrimination (AUC ≤ 0.5), fail discrimination 0.5 to 0.6, poor discrimination 0.6 to 0.7, fair discrimination 0.7 to 0.8, good discrimination 0.8 to 0.9 and excellent discrimination ≥ 0.9 [37]. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

3. Results

A total of 98 patients with available abdominal CT scans at admission were screened. Of these, 12 had CT scans with streak artifacts from metallic hardware or limited field of view. Finally, 86 critically ill patients with COVID-19 were included. Detailed clinical and body composition characteristics of all samples and by muscle mass status are summarized in Table 1. Mean age was 48.6 ± 12.9 years, most patients were males (73%). A total of 41 patients (48%) were classified as having low muscle mass. Patients with normal muscle mass had higher muscle radiodensity (p = 0.003), lower IMAT (p = 0.02) and higher values of MUAC (p = 0.02), CC (p = 0.02), PhA (p =<0.001) and FFMI (p = 0.003).

3.1. Prognostic significance of low muscle mass

No difference in mortality rate was observed between patients with low and normal muscle mass (22 vs 27%, p = 0.61). From 21 patients who died during ICU LOS, 12 had normal and 9 had low muscle mass. Patients with low muscle mass who survived during hospital stay had higher MV days (25 days vs 15 days, p = 0.06), ICU (27 vs 18 days, p = 0.02) and hospital (35 vs 23 days, p = 0.02) LOS, and higher tracheostomy requirement (50 vs 21%, p = 0.01), compared to their counterparts (Table 2).

The result of the Kaplan–Meier and Cox analysis showed significant associations between low muscle mass and ICU (HR 0.56, 95% CI 0.33–0.94, p = 0.028) and hospital (HR 0.56, 95% CI 0.34–0.95, p = 0.03) LOS but not with MV days (HR 0.61, 95% CI 0.37–1.02, p = 0.06). Alternatively, we also considered age (categorized), hypertension diagnosis, APACHE II score and IMAT as covariates for adjusted HR models for ICU (adjusted HR 0.53, 95% CI 0.30–0.92, p = 0.024) and hospital (adjusted HR 0.50, 95% CI 0.29–0.86, p = 0.014) LOS (Fig. 1).

Univariate logistic regression showed a significant association between low muscle mass and higher tracheostomy placement events in crude (OR 4.0, 95% CI 1.35–11.7, p = 0.012) and adjusted model (OR 7.3, 95% CI 1.82–29.4, p = 0.005) (Table 3).

3.2. Performance of markers of muscle mass

Correlations between surrogate muscle markers and SMA were performed. Statistical significance differences were observed with MUAC, CC, PhA, and FFMI (Table 4). Poor concordance was observed for MUAC (κ 0.15, p = 0.009) and fair concordance for FFMI (κ 0.20, p < 0.001). PhA showed fair concordance (κ 0.34, p < 0.001) and poor accuracy (AUC 0.67, 95% CI 0.57–0.77) for low muscle mass identification, with a sensitivity of 56% and specificity of 78% (Table 5).

4. Discussion

Low skeletal muscle mass is a common condition in the ICU population. Our observational study showed an association between low muscle mass and prolonged ICU and hospital LOS, and a higher rate of tracheostomy.

The identification of low muscle mass at an early stage of critical illness may improve risk stratification, although little is known of this association in the context of COVID-19 patients on MV. To our knowledge, this is the first study that analyzed low muscle mass as a predictor for increased risk of prolonged LOS in a Mexican cohort of critical patients with COVID-19.

We were able to identify low muscle mass using CT scans in 48% of our population, which is a lower frequency compared to the 65% reported in an Italian cohort using different cut-off values for low muscle identification (45.4 cm²/m² for males and 34.4 cm²/m² for...
In critically-ill septic patients, Cox Mc et al., reported a prevalence of baseline low muscle mass in 50% of patients [39]. Another study in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 showed that muscle mass was related to the need for ICU admission (17%), longer hospital LOS (mean, 10.8 days), and mortality (6.6%) [40]. Although their results are not comparable to our population of critically ill patients as muscle mass was assessed using ultrasound, their findings corroborate with ours by highlighting low muscle
mass as an independent predictor of negative clinical outcomes [37], including higher rates of extubation failure, defined as reintubation within 48 hours after extubation following long-term MV for >7 days [41]. Notably, our lack of association with mortality can be simply due to our limited sample size to explore this specific question. In our sample, we observed a trend toward more MV days in patients with low muscle mass.

One important difference often observed across CT-based studies is the choice of thresholds to define low muscle mass [42,43]. Some studies in patients with COVID used references derived from healthy populations [44,45]. In this study, we used sex and BMI-adjusted thresholds proposed by Caan et al. [27] in the absence of data for Mexican patients with COVID. We acknowledge this cutpoint is not cohort-specific, as they were derived from oncology patients. Despite differences across populations, these thresholds showed a good prognosis capacity.

In our sample, 39% of patients had low muscle mass and low muscle radiodensity. The latter, also called myosteatosis is indicative of abnormal muscle “quality” (i.e., depicting fat infiltration into muscle) [46]. Although we have not explored the clinical implications of myosteatosis or a combined condition with low muscle mass in our study due to sample size limitations, this condition has been previously linked to extubation success [38], less ventilator-free and ICU-free days [47], poor survival and

| Characteristics                        | All patients | Normal muscle mass | Low muscle mass | p value |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| Mechanical ventilation, days           |              |                    |                |         |
| Survived                               | 17 (11–39)   | 15 (9–26)          | 25 (13–41)     | 0.06    |
| Tracheostomy placement                 |              |                    |                |         |
| Survived                               | 7 (21%)      | 9 (60%)            | 16 (50%)       | 0.01*   |
| Intensive care unit length of stay, days |             |                    |                |         |
| Survived                               | 21 (14–40)   | 18 (12–29)         | 27 (18–46)     | 0.02*   |
| Died                                   | 18 (10–35)   | 19 (11–35)         | 18 (9–29)      | 0.61    |
| Hospital length of stay, days          |              |                    |                |         |
| Survived                               | 28 (19–47)   | 23 (17–35)         | 35 (20–56)     | 0.02*   |

*Significant results (P < 0.05). median (IQR), n (%).

Fig. 1. A) Intensive care unit (ICU) length of stay and B) Hospital length of stay in patients with normal or low muscle mass.
Therefore, the identification of low muscle mass is important when CT scans are not available. In our study, anthropometric and FFMI/BIA-derived indicators showed insufficient accuracy and agreement with SMA by CT. Despite the evidence of CC as a marker of muscle mass, the lack of accuracy between abnormal CC and CT values may be due to how cut-off points were derived, the former using DXA data from healthy subjects, the latter using CT data from patients with cancer. Similar results were obtained using the BIA-derived FFM, as our cut-off points were not device and population specific.

PhA obtained from BIA is an indicator of cell mass and membrane integrity that is adversely affected by inflammation, disease, and immobilization due to decreased electrical properties of tissues [53]. PhA has been proposed as a surrogate marker for muscle mass in different clinical settings such as patients with cirrhosis [38,54]. In our study, cut-off values of PhA showed a fair agreement and poor accuracy. Our findings highlight the need for simple and non-invasive tools for muscle mass evaluation and monitoring.

Our study has several limitations: 1) our cohort was enrolled at a single center; 2) the number of female participants was limited; 3) we did not include a non-COVID-19 control group which would allow us to distinguish potential differences associated with COVID-19 or with low muscle mass per se; 4) thresholds for low muscle mass identification were derived from another clinical population, in absence of a Mexican references population or a stabilized cut-off for critically-ill patients; 5) Long-term survivorship was not accessible due to the impact of COVID-19 on the workload of the nutrition department, and 6) analysis of additional body composition phenotypes was limited by our small sample size. However, clinical data obtained in this study supports the use of CT a safe non-invasive and reliable technique to detect low muscle mass in critical care patients with COVID-19.

5. Conclusion

Low muscle mass was associated with prolonged ICU and hospital LOS. Further studies are needed to establish nutritional

### Table 3

| Variable                                      | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                               | HR                  | 95% CI | P value | HR                  | 95% CI | P value |
| Mechanical ventilation, days                  | 0.61                | 0.37–1.02 | 0.06 | 0.60                | 0.35–1.05 | 0.07 |
| Intensive care unit length of stay, days      | 0.56                | 0.33–0.94 | 0.028* | 0.53                | 0.30–0.92 | 0.024* |
| Hospital length of stay, days                 | 0.56                | 0.34–0.95 | 0.03* | 0.50                | 0.29–0.86 | 0.014* |

*Significant results (P < 0.05). HR: Hazard ratio, CI: Confidence interval.

1. Model adjusted to age (categorized), hypertension diagnosis, APACHE II score and intermuscular adipose tissue.

### Table 4

| Variable                                      | Rho* | β (95% CI)† | R²  |
|-----------------------------------------------|------|-------------|-----|
| Mid-upper arm circumference, cm              | 0.44 | 4.5 (2.5–6.5), p 0.001* | 0.18 |
| Calf circumference, cm                        | 0.45 | 5.1 (2.9–7.3), p 0.001* | 0.20 |
| Fat free mass (kg)                            | 0.70 | 2.1 (1.7–2.6), p 0.001* | 0.49 |
| PhA angle (°)                                 | 0.39 | 13.5 (6.5–20.4), p 0.001* | 0.14 |

*Significant results (P < 0.05).

† Pearson test.

‡ Univariate linear regression.

### Table 5

| Calf circumference | Mid-upper arm circumference | Fat free mass index | Phase angle |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Concordance        | ≤ 0.05, p 0.27                | ≤ 0.15, p 0.009*    | ≤ 0.20, p 0.004* | ≤ 0.34, p < 0.001* |
| Agreement         | 51.8%                         | 58.8%               | 60.7%       | 67%          |
| Sensitivity        | 80.5%                         | 17%                 | 24.4%       | 56%          |
| Specificity        | 25%                           | 98%                 | 95.3%       | 78%          |
| Area under the curve | 0.52 (0.43–0.61)          | 0.57 (0.51–0.63)    | 0.59 (0.52–0.67) | 0.67 (0.57–0.77) |

*Significant results (P < 0.05).
interventions to ameliorate the catabolic impact of COVID-19 in critically ill patients, based on standardized and reliable measurements of body composition.
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