INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia is a psychiatric illness characterized by heterogeneous symptoms, including hallucinations, delusions, disorganized speech and behaviors, and negative symptoms. Although some advances have been made in understanding the disorder, a fundamental feature that can explain the root of the illness still remains enigmatic. One of the suspected core features underpinning the heterogeneous symptoms is self-disturbance. While this psychopathology in schizophrenia has been explored in various perspectives including self-experience, self-awareness, and the sense of self, little is known about a reflection of self-image.

A reflection of self-image can be related to perceived emotion, social anxiety, feelings of guilt, or self-esteem. In particular, the self-discrepancy theory postulates the domains of the self as the actual-, ideal-, and ought-self, which refer to the representations of self-image that one actually possesses, would like to possess, and ought to possess, respectively. The discrepancy between the actual-self and ideal-self has been related to psychiatric symptoms such as paranoia and depression. From this viewpoint, we can expect that a reflection of actual or ideal self-image may also be disturbed in schizophrenia. However, little has been studied regarding a disturbance of self-image reflection in the illness. To address this issue, the present study focused on evaluating the level of ambivalence that patients with schizophrenia show towards one's self-image.

Objective

Little has been explored about a reflection towards self-image in schizophrenia, though it can be related to heterogeneous symptoms of the illness. We identified the neural basis of ambivalence towards ideal self-image in patients with schizophrenia.

Methods

20 patients with schizophrenia and 20 healthy controls underwent functional MRI while the self-image reflection tasks of determining whether to agree with sentences describing their actual or ideal self-image that contained one of the adjective pairs with opposite valence. The interaction between the group and ideal ambivalence score was examined, and group differences in functional connectivity related to ambivalence towards ideal self-image were further studied.

Results

The interaction of group-by-ideal ambivalence score was shown in the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, where activities were positively correlated with the level of ideal self-image ambivalence in patients, but not in controls. Task-related decrease in functional connectivity was shown between the orbitofrontal cortex and cerebellum in patients.

Conclusion

The process of reflecting on ambivalent ideal self-image in schizophrenia may be related to aberrant prefrontal activity and connectivity. Abnormality in the prefrontal regions that take part in cognitive conflict monitoring and value judgment may underlie the pathophysiology of increased ambivalence towards ideal self-image.
typy rather than ambivalence towards one's self-image reflection concerned in the present study. In fact, it can be hardly said that there exists a validated measure of ambivalence for use in patients with schizophrenia. Furthermore, no functional neuroimaging experiment to find a brain region relevant to ambivalence towards self-image has been carried out to date.

The impairment in the self-referential processing and/or value judgment can lead to contradictive value assignment to one's ideal self-image, giving rise to the ambivalent feeling towards ideal self-image. This inherent contradictive value assignment can possibly be accompanied by recruiting the cognitive conflict monitoring function to resolve the contradiction.

Therefore, it can be expected that the neural substrates of ambivalence towards ideal self-image in schizophrenia would be found in brain regions related to three cognitive processes, which are self-referential processing, value judgment, and cognitive conflict monitoring. In fact, there have been reports of impaired self-referential processing in schizophrenia based on aberrant activity in the midline cortical structures, including the medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC) and posterior cingulate cortex (PCC). It has also been reported that patients with schizophrenia show impaired value judgment based on orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) dysfunction and abnormal cognitive conflict monitoring associated with dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) dysfunctions.

The present study aimed to objectively measure the level of ambivalence towards positive or negative self-image with a behavioral task and explore the neural mechanism of ideal self-image reflection in schizophrenia. For this approach, we used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) with a self-image reflection task, in which the attitudes towards actual or ideal self-image of the participant. The task stimuli were presented as a block design with two sessions. The block condition was set for each stimulus type. Each block consisted of six sentences, each of which was displayed for four seconds. A total of eight experimental blocks in each session were randomly presented. Resting blocks using a cross-hair were presented for 16 seconds between task blocks. In response to the sentences, participants were asked to choose one of the four answers: 1) strongly disagree; 2) disagree; 3) agree; and 4) strongly agree.

The behavioral performance was analyzed in terms of the response score and reaction time. The response score was evaluated as follows: 'strongly disagree=-2; disagree=-1; agree=+1; and 'strongly agree=+2'. The actual and ideal ambivalence scores were elicited in each of the actual and ideal conditions, respectively, as the sum of 24 conversion values, which were a multiplication of the two response scores corresponding to the word pair of opposite valence. This formula was based on a cross-product model of the models for calculating ambivalence. Reaction time of each participant was also calculated

**METHODS**

**Participants**

Participants included 20 patients with schizophrenia and 20 age- and sex-matched healthy controls. Patients were recruited at psychiatric outpatient clinics after a psychiatric interview confirming the diagnosis of schizophrenia. All participants underwent the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV to exclude psychiatric diagnoses other than schizophrenia. Exclusion criteria included current or past substance abuse or dependence, presence of significant neurological or medical illnesses, and any contraindication for MRI scanning. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Severance Hospital, Yonsei University and informed consent was obtained from all participants (4-2013-0875).

**Psychometric self-report scales and clinician-rated symptom severity**

All participants completed self-reporting psychometric scales, including the SAS and the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) to measure the severity of subjective ambivalent feelings and depressive symptoms, respectively. Differences in the scores between the two groups were compared with independent t-tests (Table 1). Patients were further evaluated for symptom severity with the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) and Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF).

**Task design and behavioral data analysis**

Participants underwent a set of self-image reflection tasks during fMRI scanning of the brain. The task stimuli were a total of 96 sentences; each sentence included an adjective that described actual or ideal self-image of the participant. The adjectives comprised 24 word pairs of opposite valence (e.g., optimistic-pessimistic), and sentences consisted of four types, such as actual positive (e.g., "I am an optimistic person"), actual negative (e.g., "I am a pessimistic person"), ideal positive (e.g., "I wish to be an optimistic person"), and ideal negative (e.g., "I wish to be a pessimistic person"). The task stimuli were presented as a block design with two sessions. The block condition was set for each stimulus type. Each block consisted of six sentences, each of which was displayed for four seconds. A total of eight experimental blocks in each session were randomly presented. Resting blocks using a cross-hair were presented for 16 seconds between task blocks. In response to the sentences, participants were asked to choose one of the four answers: 1) strongly disagree; 2) disagree; 3) agree; and 4) strongly agree.

The behavioral performance was analyzed in terms of the response score and reaction time. The response score was evaluated as follows: 'strongly disagree=-2; disagree=-1; agree=+1; and 'strongly agree=+2'. The actual and ideal ambivalence scores were elicited in each of the actual and ideal conditions, respectively, as the sum of 24 conversion values, which were a multiplication of the two response scores corresponding to the word pair of opposite valence. This formula was based on a cross-product model of the models for calculating ambivalence. Reaction time of each participant was also calculated.
separately in the two conditions. Independent t-tests between the schizophrenia and control groups were performed to compare the mean values of the actual and ideal ambivalence scores and reaction times in the two conditions. Pearson correlation analysis was performed to clarify the relationship of the actual and ideal ambivalence scores and reaction times with psychometric scale scores (SAS, BDI, PANSS, and GAF).

### Imaging results and statistical analysis

Preprocessed images were analyzed using a general linear model. A series of events as regressors of interest was modeled by convolving the event-train of stimulus onsets with the canonical hemodynamic response function separately for each session. Trials were modeled based on the onset of stimulus presentation, specified as zero-duration events, with movement parameters as regressors of no-interest. The first-level analysis generated within-subject contrast maps of whole-brain activity during ideal self-image reflection, with activity during actual self-image reflection serving as the control condition.

The second-level analysis was conducted for the whole-brain as the interaction effect between the group and ideal ambivalence score. The BDI score was used as a control variable in this analysis, considering that the degree of depression can affect the ambivalence score. Results were considered significant at a threshold of family-wise error-corrected $p<0.05$. Then, the parameter estimate of activity in the statistically significant clusters was determined for each subject for further analysis. Post-hoc correlation analysis between the regional activity and the ideal ambivalence score was performed in the separate groups. In addition, we performed correlation analysis between the regional activity and the SAS score.

The group difference of functional connectivity with respect to the level of ambivalence towards ideal self-image was further studied using the CONN toolbox. The intrinsic connectivity analysis with the advantage of not requiring prior information about the seed region of functional connectivity was first performed to find the seed region. In this analysis, intrinsic connectivity of a voxel was defined as the root mean square of the correlation coefficients of the voxel with all other voxels in the brain, representing a measure of node centrality of the voxel. In this analysis, voxels showing a significant group difference for the regression of the ideal ambivalence

### Table 1. Demographic and clinical variables of participants

|                     | Schizophrenia (F/M=10/10) | Healthy control (F/M=10/10) | t    | df  | p    |
|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------|-----|------|
| Age (y)             | 34.90±8.20                | 31.95±7.23                 | 1.21 | 38  | 0.235|
| Education (y)       | 12.50±2.33                | 16.25±2.40                 | -5.01| 38  | <0.001|
| SAS score           | 10.50±4.84                | 5.05±4.71                  | 3.61 | 38  | <0.001|
| BDI score           | 19.20±8.64                | 7.25±5.41                  | 5.24 | 38  | <0.001|
| PANSS score         | 79.60±9.51                | -                         | -    | -   |      |
| GAF score           | 45.80±8.23                | -                         | -    | -   |      |
| Actual AS           | -8.00±17.26               | -28.75±17.01               | 3.83 | 38  | <0.001|
| Ideal AS            | -26.25±23.27              | -57.45±17.68               | 4.77 | 38  | <0.001|
| Actual RT (ms)      | 1,868.04±464.83           | 1,513.70±259.97            | 2.98 | 38  | 0.005|
| Ideal RT (ms)       | 1,790.44±438.31           | 1,411.85±218.96            | 3.46 | 38  | 0.002|

F: female, M: male, SAS: Schizotypal Ambivalence Scale, BDI: Beck Depression Inventory, PANSS: Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale, GAF: Global Assessment of Functioning, AS: ambivalence score, RT: reaction time
score with respect to intrinsic connectivity were specified as the seed region. Then, the group difference in seed-based functional connectivity during the ideal task condition was identified using independent t-test.

RESULTS

Demographic and clinical variables
Participants with schizophrenia did not significantly differ from healthy controls in terms of age or sex ratio, while educational level was significantly higher in the control group (t=5.01, p<0.001). Independent t-tests of self-reported scales showed significant differences indicating higher levels of schizotypal ambivalence (t=3.61, p<0.001) and depression (t=5.24, p<0.001) in the schizophrenia group (Table 1).

Behavioral task results
The schizophrenia group had significantly higher scores for actual ambivalence (t=3.83, p<0.001) and ideal ambivalence (t=4.77, p<0.001) than the control group (Figure 1). Reaction time was significantly longer in both actual (t=2.98, p=0.005) and ideal (t=3.46, p=0.002) conditions in the schizophrenia group than in the control group.

In the correlation analysis, the actual ambivalence score was positively correlated with the SAS score (r=0.45, p=0.045) in the control group and negatively correlated with the GAF score (r=-0.48, p=0.031) in the schizophrenia group, whereas the ideal ambivalence score was not correlated with any clinical scores in either group. In the correlation between reaction time and clinical score, the control group showed a negative correlation between reaction time for the actual condition and the SAS score (r=-0.46, p=0.044), whereas the schizophrenia group showed positive correlations between reaction time of both actual (r=0.54, p=0.014) and ideal (r=0.68, p=0.004) conditions and the BDI score. Other correlations were not significant in either group.

Regional activity during ambivalence
The dorsal ACC and bilateral DLPFC showed a significant interaction effect between group and ideal ambivalence score (Table 2). In the post-hoc partial correlation analysis for each group, the schizophrenia group showed a significant positive correlation of functional activity with the ideal ambivalence score in all regions, such as the dorsal ACC (r=0.71, p=0.001), left DLPFC (r=0.65, p=0.003), and right DLPFC (r=0.68, p=0.001).

Table 2. Brain regions exhibiting the significant interaction effect of correlation with the ideal ambivalence score

| Region                        | Side  | Cluster size | Z_{max} | Coordinates (x, y, z) |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------------|---------|----------------------|
| Dorsal anterior cingulate     | Right | 884          | 4.88    | 4 20 40              |
| Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex| Left  | 447          | 4.49    | -40 38 8             |
|                               | Right | 487          | 4.70    | 36 32 22             |

Cluster size is the number of voxels. Z_{max} and Coordinates mean Z-value and Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates (x, y, z in mm) of the peak voxel in the cluster, respectively. Statistical inferences were thresholded using a significance of family-wise error (FWE) corrected p<0.05.

Figure 1. Behavioral results for the self-image reflection task. Both actual and ideal ambivalence scores were significantly higher in the schizophrenia group than in the control group. *p<0.05.
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0.001), whereas the control group showed no significant correlations (Figure 2A). Meanwhile, in the partial correlation between regional activity and the SAS score, the schizophrenia group showed a significant negative correlation in the left DLPFC (r=-0.50, p=0.028) and right DLPFC (r=-0.48, p=0.040), but not in the dorsal ACC. The control group showed no significant correlation.

Functional connectivity during ambivalence

The intrinsic connectivity analysis showed a significant group difference in functional connectivity in the left OFC area. Then, the functional connectivity analysis using the OFC area as the seed region revealed reduced connectivity with the right cerebellum in the schizophrenia group when compared with the control group (Figure 2B).

DISCUSSION

In the present study for exploring a measured level of ambivalence towards self-image reflection and its neural basis in schizophrenia, utilization of the ambivalence score, which was derived from the behavioral data, was a unique approach for quantifying the objective level of ambivalence. This study objectively demonstrated the level of ambivalence towards actual and ideal self-image in patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls. As hypothesized, patients showed a significantly higher level of ambivalence towards both actual self-image and ideal self-image in the behavioral task than controls. This result is consistent with previous studies using the other measurements that have indicated elevated levels of ambivalence in patients with schizophrenia.8,9,30 Although caution is warranted since the uniqueness of our approach may require further verification to ensure it accurately represents an individual's level of ambivalence, we believe that this kind of new attempt is needed to advance the study for understanding ambivalence.

The interaction effect between group and ideal ambivalence score was found only in the dorsal ACC and bilateral DLPFC, where regional activity and the ideal ambivalence score were positively correlated in the schizophrenia group, but not in the control group. These two regions have been known to mediate conflict processing on cognitive control.13,31 A previous study using the synaptic specializations also suggest that the two regions have the unique roles in mechanisms of cognitive control.32 In particular, the dorsal ACC is engaged in executive control occurring at response-related levels of processing,33 and is a critical region of the distributed conscious control

Figure 2. Summary of imaging results. A: Ideal ambivalence score and its partial correlation with activity of the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) and left (L.) and right (R.) dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) in each group. The schizophrenia group showed a significant positive correlation of functional activity with the ideal ambivalence score in all regions (blue triangles), whereas the control group showed no significant correlations (red circle). B: Results from the intrinsic connectivity analysis and functional connectivity analysis. Significant voxels in the intrinsic connectivity analysis are shown in red (the left orbitofrontal cortex), whereas those in the functional connectivity analysis are shown in blue (the right cerebellum).
network that is disrupted in schizophrenia. The DLPFC is involved in executive control including hierarchically ordered control signals, and executive dysfunctions such as impairments in contextual control or proactive control have been considered to be a common feature of schizophrenia. Therefore, our results from the analysis of regional activity suggest that ambivalence towards ideal self-image in patients with schizophrenia may be associated with dysfunctions in regions related to cognitive conflict monitoring or executive control rather than self-referential processing and value judgment. Given that altered ACC and PFC activity during cognitive control may be a potential indicator of genetic liability for schizophrenia, ambivalence towards ideal self-image is also likely to have a genetic basis in patients with schizophrenia.

It is worth noting that the self-reported SAS score and DLPFC activity showed a negative correlation, not a positive correlation. Although both the ambivalence score and SAS score were applied to measure the level of one's ambivalence, the former was about ambivalence towards self-image in our behavioral task, whereas the latter dealt with ambivalence related to schizotypal traits. Our behavioral results showed that the two scores were not correlated in the schizophrenia group. The SAS includes some items quantifying ambiguity of one's feelings or thoughts rather than ambivalence (e.g., I doubt if I can ever be sure exactly what my true interests are). Therefore, the two scores seem to measure completely different aspects of ambivalence that may be different in direction. In this aspect, the negative correlation between the SAS score and DLPFC activity may support that the more severe the schizotypal ambivalence traits, the weaker the execution-related DLPFC activity.

In contrast to our expectations, the medial cortical structures such as the MPFC and PCC that play a role in self-referential processing showed no interaction effect between group and ideal ambivalence score. This result may be because that the element of self-referential processing was eliminated in analyzing the imaging data. Brain activity during actual self-image reflection was used as the control in the first-level analysis generating within-subject contrast maps for ideal self-image reflection. If the other task independent of self-image was used as a control condition, MPFC or PCC activity might have been the result.

Although the hypothesis that dysfunction of the brain region related to value judgment would be related to ambivalence towards ideal self-image was not proved in the results of regional activity, it was not in the results of functional connectivity. The left OFC, which is related to the value judgment function, was found to have aberrant intrinsic connectivity in the schizophrenia group. Furthermore, the functional connectivity analysis based on the left OFC showed decreased connectivity with the cerebellum in the schizophrenia group. The dysfunction of the OFC and cerebellum with respect to ambivalence has also been revealed in the results of our previous research. Given that cerebellar dysfunction in schizophrenia may cause coordination failure of mental or cognitive processes, decreased functional connectivity between the OFC and cerebellum may represent the disruption of cognitive coordination related to value judgment. This disruption can in turn be expressed as elevated level of ambivalence towards ideal self-image in schizophrenia.

Although our neuroimaging experiment was designed to identify the brain regions related to the level of ambivalence towards ideal self-image, the regions revealed from the analyses are all known to be related to anhedonia, a representative negative symptom of schizophrenia. In terms of anhedonia, the OFC is responsible for judging the value of a stimuli and sending the coded value information to the DLPFC and the ACC, where reward valuation is processed for the final decision-making. The cerebellum is also gaining much attention recently as a central coordinating region of the negative symptom in schizophrenia. It has been raised that the status of anhedonia should be clarified in studying affective ambivalence in patients with schizophrenia. We carefully suggest it is worth noting from our study that there may exist a shared underlying neural pathophysiology between anhedonia and ambivalence.

There are some limitations to be discussed in this study. First, the sample size was relatively small, and thus caution is warranted when generalizing our findings. Second, the schizophrenia group had a lower educational level and higher depression score than the control group, and the level of cognitive functioning was not considered in the study. Finally, the medications that patients were taking were not included in the analysis. There is evidence that antipsychotic drugs can alter functional activity and connectivity of the brain in patients with schizophrenia, which could have confounded the results of our study.

In summary, our experiment demonstrated that patients with schizophrenia showed the positive correlation of activity in the dorsal ACC and DLPFC with the level of ambivalence towards ideal self-image and aberrant functional connectivity between the OFC and cerebellum. These results suggest that aberrant functional activity and connectivity in the brain regions that take part in cognitive conflict monitoring and value judgment underlie the pathophysiology of increased ambivalence towards ideal self-image in patients with schizophrenia.
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