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Abstract

Context. Digital health offers innovative mechanisms to engage in palliative care, yet digital systems are typically designed for individual users, rather than integrating the patient’s caregiving “social convoy” (i.e., family members, friends, neighbors, formal caregiving supports) to maximize benefit. As older adults with serious illness increasingly rely on the support of others, there is a need to foster effective integration of the social convoy in digitally supported palliative care.

Objectives. Conduct a qualitative study examining patient, social convoy, and health care provider perspectives on digital health for palliative care to inform the design of future digital solutions for older adults with serious illness and their social convoy.

Methods. Grounded theory approach using semi-structured interviews (N = 81) with interprofessional health care providers, older adults with serious illness, and their social convoy participants at home, clinic, or Zoom. Interviews were conducted using question guides relevant to the participant group and audio-recorded for verbatim transcription. Two coders lead the inductive analysis using open and axial coding.

Results. Thematic results aligned with the human-centered design framework, which is a participatory approach to the design process that incorporates multiple user stakeholders to develop health solutions. The human-centered design process and corresponding theme included the following: 1) Empathy: Patient, Caregiver, and Provider Experience reports participants’ experience with managing serious illness, caregiving, social support, and technology use. 2) Define: Reactions to Evidence-Based Care Concepts and Barriers illustrates participants’ perspectives on the domains of palliative care ranging from symptom management to psychosocial-spiritual care. 3) Ideation: Desired Features reports participant recommendations for designing digital health tools for palliative care domains.

Conclusion. Digital health provides an opportunity to expand the reach of geriatric palliative care interventions. This paper documents human-centered preferences of geriatric palliative care digital health to ensure technologies are relevant and meaningful to health care providers, patients, and the caregiving social convoy.
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**Key Message**

This article is a qualitative study exploring patient, “social convoy” (family members, friends, neighbors, formal supports), and clinical perspectives on digital health for geriatric palliative care. Findings uncover the need for adaptable, personalized platforms for multiple users, with shared communication, tailored assessments, advanced functionalities, and alignment with current technology use.

**Background**

Family, loved ones, and formal caregivers provide care for nearly 1 million older adults that die each year from a serious chronic illness. These older patients experience significant physical and psychological symptom burden and progressive dependence on their network of care, referred to as a social convoy. Social Convoy Theory is a well-established framework for understanding the relationships of individuals in a group of people with whom they give and receive social support over the lifecycle. The convoy can include informal supports such as family members, friends, and neighbors, and formal supports such as professional caregivers that are trained and paid to provide care.

Palliative care provides an interdisciplinary and patient-family-centered approach to address the physical, psychological, emotional, and spiritual suffering for patients and their convoy. Digital health, including tele-health, wearable devices, and mobile applications (mHealth), provides modern opportunities for patients and their convoy to engage in palliative care. An estimated 53% of convoy members have used a technology to help with caregiving and 12% are interested in learning how to use new technologies to support the health of their loved ones. However, digital systems are typically designed for individual users, rather than integrating the patient’s convoy to maximize benefit. As older adults with serious illness increasingly rely on the support of others, there is a need to foster effective integration of the convoy in digitally supported palliative care.

Recently, the pandemic of the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) shifted society’s use of digital health, specifically synchronous telehealth, to provide palliative care and support convoys’ care for older adults while maintaining social distancing. While reserving synchronous telehealth for specific consultations, other digital health tools such as symptom monitoring and assessment apps, wearables, and digital education may offer clinical and psychosocial supports for older adults with serious illness and those caring for them.

The aim of this study seeks to use heart failure (HF)-specific palliative care as a model to investigate the design of digitally supported palliative care by older users and their convoy. HF is an excellent model, as it disproportionately affects older adults resulting in frequent hospitalizations and poor quality of life. Older adults with HF are at increased risk of COVID-19 complications and mortality, and there is a need for HF-specific digital health to monitor symptoms and assess palliative care needs. This qualitative work will inform the design of the Social Convoy Palliative Care (Convoy-Pal) digital health intervention, currently in development, by summarizing patient, convoy, and health care provider feedback. Such work also seeks to produce a human-centered designed framework useful for researchers, clinicians, and industry partners to design digitally supported palliative care solutions for both older adults and their convoy during times of COVID-19 social distancing and beyond.

**Methods**

A grounded theory methodological orientation was used to inductively describe patient, social convoy, and provider perspectives regarding digital health interventions to support palliative care needs in the setting of HF. The purpose of using a grounded approach was to develop a theoretical framework for integrating the social convoy in the design and context of digital health for older adults with serious illness. Our work was informed by the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research (COREQ) and methods were approved by the Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board (COMIRB).

**Participants and Recruitment**

Study participants were identified using both purposeful and snowball methods of recruitment. The inclusion of patients, the patient convoy, and health care providers ensured incorporation of diverse perspectives into the design process.

**Patients.** Patients were identified from the health system’s electronic medical record, Epic. Inclusion criteria included being a patient with HF, ≥65 years of age, with two or more discharges for primary diagnosis of HF within the last 12 months. Patients with a diagnosis of dementia, preparing for transplant or left ventricular assist device (LVAD), and/or those unable to provide informed consent were excluded. We mailed eligible patients a letter introducing the study and requesting that patients “opt-out” by phone if they did not want to be contacted. Patients were contacted by phone, screened for eligibility, and invited to participate.
Convoys. Patient convoys were identified during patient interviews by asking patients “Could you please tell us all the people who help you with your heart condition or your daily life?”. Follow-up probes were used as needed. With the patient’s permission, potential convoy participants were contacted for an interview via phone, email, and text message. Because the average social convoy size of older adults in the U.S. is approximately three people, the research team aimed to interview at least two convoy members but no more than six per patient. Convoy members under the age of 15 or who were unable to provide informed consent were excluded.

Health Care Providers. Health care provider participants were identified via the palliative care consult and advanced heart failure care teams. Health care providers were recruited and consented through email. Specific recruitment efforts are documented elsewhere.

Data Collection
We developed three semi-structured interview guides targeting each sample group: patients, convoy, and health care providers (Appendix II). The guide was first drafted by the principle investigator (J. D. P) based on our previous qualitative work with older adults regarding digital health and refined by geriatric, palliative care, and digital health experts (R. S. B., D. B. B., S. C., and S. B.). During the interviews, we asked participants to first reflect on their experience managing HF or treating patients with HF. We then asked participants to consider potential digital health solutions that might be helpful in a palliative care setting. We also asked participants to “think aloud” while navigating wireframes, that is, images of potential digital features and functions, and to express opinions about palliative care content.

We invited participants for 60-minute interviews to accommodate health care provider and convoy members schedules. However, the question and answer section of the interview averaged 44.6 minutes and ranged from 20 to 90 minutes. The shortest interview was due to the patient’s health and fatigue, while the longer interviews allowed for further exploration of participants’ experience and ideas. Interviews were held at a location convenient to the participant including 1) in person at the patient/family home, 2) in person at the health clinic, 3) the provider’s office, or 4) using university-supported Zoom conferencing depending. All data collection occurred during October 2018 to September 2019. Interviews were audio-recorded. Field notes and researcher memos were maintained throughout data collection to assist in data interpretation.

Analysis
All audio files were professionally transcribed verbatim before analysis. The unit of analysis (word, sentence, paragraph) was determined by the analytic team using an iterative and inductive approach. We managed our data with DeDoose software (Socio-Cultural Research Consultants, LLC, 2018). Two data coders (K. L. F. and K. D.) used a combination of open and in vivo coding to develop an initial codebook. The codebook included a list of initial codes, code meaning, and criteria for using the code. Over half of the transcripts were double-coded (55%) and coders met with the interview team weekly throughout the data collection process to review codes, clarify code definitions, and reconcile coding disagreements. The grounded theory approach involved a constant comparison method of analyzing data. A second cycle of focused coding, known as axial coding, collapsed initial codes into umbrella categories. These categories were based on patterns in the data and to form themes that identify prototype design to incorporate preferred palliative care content. The research team established a coding tree, deriving themes until reaching thematic saturation. Our confidence in establishing saturation is based on several factors, including a sample size consistent with qualitative inquiries regarding older adults and digital health, initial coding during data collection, and inclusion of multiple analytic perspectives, for example, having a coder unaffiliated with the interview process and triangulation of transcript data with field notes and memos from three interviewers, to identify “new” concepts. In addition, the principle investigator established monthly meetings with palliative care and HF experts as a form of member checking.

Results
Participants
We contacted 231 potential patient participants; 13.9% agreed to participate, 31.6% declined participation, and 54.5% were unable to contact. We identified 49 potential convoy participants from patients; 63.2% agreed to participate, we were unable to contact 36.7%, and only one convoy member declined participation. A total of 81 interviews were transcribed for analysis. Table I describes the demographics for patients (n = 30) and their convoy (n = 31). The age range varied for patients (66–91 years old) and convoys (31–80 years old). Social convoy participants were primarily adult children (28.8%) but also included spouses, siblings, grandchildren, and friends. We contacted 25 potential health care provider participants based on the health system’s palliative care and advance heart failure care teams;
21 agreed to participate, one later declined, and four were unable to contact. The health care provider sample (n = 20) included palliative care physicians and cardiologists (n = 4), advanced practice nurses (n = 7; two DNP, five NPs), registered nurses (n = 3), spiritual providers (n = 2; MDiv), art/music therapists (n = 2), and social workers (n = 2). The majority of providers identified as female, identified as white, and had on average 9.78 (±3.75) years of experience working with seriously ill older adults.

### Themes

We initially used a grounded theory approach to develop new framework to inform social convoy digitally supported palliative care solutions. However, through the interview and analysis process, findings substantially aligned with design thinking, particularly human-centered design framework (Figure 1). Human-centered design is a participatory approach to the design process that incorporates multiple user stakeholders to develop health solutions. The model outlines a process to create digital solutions easy to use, useful, and meaningful to users by capturing the perspective of people experiencing the problem in which a solution is intended to solve. The first steps of the process are to better understand the users and what is most important to them (Empathy) and to identify specific needs outlined by both users and scientific evidence (Define). These background phases allow for productive brainstorming around features and functions for possible tools (Ideation). Through this participatory approach, a human-centered prototype can then be developed and tested. While we did not consider human-centered design apriori, themes generated from this qualitative study highlight the first three phases of the human-centered design framework including 1) Empathy: Patient, Caregiver, and Provider Experience, 2) Define: Reactions to Evidence-Based Palliative Care Concepts and Barriers, and 3) Ideation: Desired Features for Palliative Care Digital Health.

### Empathy: Patient, Caregiver, and Provider Experience

This theme captures participants’ experience with managing serious illness. Participants reported experiences about their health, social support, and technology use. Table 2 illustrates exemplar quotes.

**Health Experience.** Patients, convoys, and providers discussed the difficulty of living with major medical conditions, function limitations, and reduced well-being and engagement in pleasurable activities. Although we targeted patients with HF, our patient participants indicated that “heart failure is the least of [their] worries.” Patient participants articulated that they managed multiple chronic conditions (MCCs), including diabetes, cancer, neuropathy, among others. Patients were often more concerned about other health conditions, the decline of their health generally, and were largely content or neutral with their HF-specific care.

### Social Support

Patients reported that they rely on social support and family caregivers in diverse ways. Many reported their convoy members manage the logistics of health care and daily living activities (depending on patient’s function) and monitor daily HF and MCC management. Most patients and caregivers reported different and conflicting perspectives. For example, patients commonly indicated that they did not want to bother their family while the social convoy often craved more information that they did not want to bother their family while waiting for patients to feel self-sufficient and maintain independence. Health care providers reported the importance of convoy involvement highlighting their contribution to the patient’s overall health and adherence to HF care plan. They also recognized

---

**Table 1**

Demographics of Patient Participants (N = 31) and Their Social Convoy (N = 30)

| Demographic       | Patients (n, %) | Convoy (n, %) |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Gender            |                |               |
| Female            | 12 38.7        | 33 55.93      |
| Race              |                |               |
| Black             | 3 9.7          | 2 6.9         |
| White             | 27 87.1        | 27 93.1       |
| Other             | 1 3.2          | —             |
| Hispanic          | 3 9.7          | 3 10.3        |
| Member type       |                |               |
| Spouse            | 8 13.56        | —             |
| Child             | 17 28.81       | 1 1.69        |
| Grandchild        | 1 1.69         | —             |
| Sibling           | 1 1.69         | —             |
| Friend            | 2 3.39         | —             |
| Marital status    |                |               |
| Single, never married | 2 6.5    | 6 20.7       |
| Married or domestic partnership | 17 54.8  | 20 69.0     |
| Widowed           | 7 22.6         | —             |
| Divorced          | 4 12.9         | 2 6.9         |
| Chose not to answer | 1 3.2    | 1 3.5        |
| Education         |                |               |
| High school       | 9 29.0         | 2 6.9         |
| Some college      | 7 22.6         | 5 17.2        |
| College graduate  | 10 32.3        | 9 31.0        |
| Postgraduate      | 4 12.9         | 12 41.4       |
| Chose not to answer | 1 3.2    | 1 3.5        |
| Income            |                |               |
| < $30,000         | 14 45.2        | 4 13.8        |
| $30,000 to $49,999 | 4 12.9   | 8 27.6       |
| $50,000 to $74,999 | 9 29.0  | 12 41.4      |
| >$75,000          | 3 9.7          | 4 13.8        |
| Chose not to answer | 1 3.2    | 1 3.5        |
the social, environmental, and logistical challenges convoys experience in providing care for their loved ones. However, although patients and their convoys reported focusing their caregiving on daily needs such as transportation, coordinating medical appointments, and managing medications, leveraging social support to address important daily logistics was not reported as a barrier.

**Technology Use.** Some patients indicated a reluctance to use newer-technology, such as text or sensor-based applications, with preference for face-to-face options or more well-known technologies, such as phone calling. Most patients reported less interest in technology use, unlike convoy members and provider participants. Convos were skeptical of their loved ones using technology. In addition, incongruence was observed across participants when probed for data-sharing access, finding providers, and convoys reported in support of data sharing, whereas patients were less enthusiastic. After probing, patients and convoys describe the value in sharing reports and app activities with each other; however, this was not universal. Patients, convoy, and provider participants all acknowledged that technology use and technology-literacy vary among patients and convoys, reflecting a generational gap in the culture of technology use and communication differences between participants.

**Define: Reactions to Evidence-Based Care Concepts and Barriers to Use**

Domains of palliative care generally include quality of life assessment, symptom management, family support including bereavement or grief, spiritual care, psychosocial support, decision support, value-based goal setting, and patient/family education. Participants provided feedback related to receiving and delivering these components of care via digital health. Table 3 illustrates exemplar quotes from the theme. Overall, participants indicated that patients relied on their convoy for all of these palliative care domains and emphasized the need to increase patient-convoy communication. The concept of using digital tools to connect the full convoy and communicate about palliative care needs in a new way was of most interest to participants.

**Symptom Monitoring.** Overall, providers, patients, and convoys report the importance of having a way to self-monitor HF care. However, patients tended to be divided on their willingness to use digital tools to manage their care. Other patients mentioned they would be willing to use technology for symptom monitoring if they had additional technical support. Other patients already use or are interested in using a variety of technology to track their HF, ranging from activity trackers, patient portals, to sophisticated monitoring equipment. Providers reported interest in ways to
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**Table 3** illustrates exemplar quotes from the theme.
encourage patient-reported outcomes and remote patient monitoring and however still questioned their clinical significance with vast amounts of real-time data.

*Psychosocial Support.* Many patients and convoys reported being positive and optimistic about their psychosocial well-being. However, some disclosed challenges around mental illness, social isolation, anxiety, and depression. There were mixed reviews on how to incorporate psychosocial support using technology. Some participants reported negative reactions to virtual assessments while others reported it as a useful way to check-in on patients or caregivers. Many patients expressed this support “wasn’t for me” but might be useful for patients or caregivers “like me.”

*Decision Support.* Most patients and convoys described their experience throughout the patient’s disease trajectory, describing confusion and difficulties dealing with the unknown. Many participants reported how individualistic their care plan was due to multiple chronic conditions and highlighted how technology must account for personalization. Providers reported critical to include tools for prognosis and advanced care planning. Most caregivers remained optimistic about their choices and decisions; however, reported in hindsight, it would have been useful to obtain more guidance around clinical and palliative care decisions.

*Social Convoy Support.* Many convoys were surprised when asked about their own well-being. Most patients reported wanting resources to support their convoy’s health, as many of the convoys were also suffering from illness or poor physical and emotional health. Patients and convoys acknowledged the severity of HF and participants were open to resources for anticipatory grief and bereavement. Most providers agreed resources for convoy support must be carefully communicated using technology, as it is a sensitive and emotional topic.

*Spiritual Care.* While spiritual well-being remains an important aspect of palliative care in the literature, patients and convoys reported mixed feelings about incorporating this domain into digital tools. Some patients expressed interest in virtual connection with a congregation or reminders for religious practices, while others were unable to draw the connection with spirituality and HF care. Spiritual care providers reported interest in this feature and however presented similar reservations about unobtrusive design of spirituality digital tools.
Table 3

| Subtheme                  | Quote                                                                 |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Symptom monitoring       | I’m a diabetic. I mean I take more drugs now than I did in the 60s. It’s just I can tell. I know if I get high, I know if I get low. That I do monitor. Stab your fingers. I got I forgot where we were going. When you get so many health issues going on at once, where do you focus. Or do you just roll with the punches. I just roll with the punches. – 2023 |
| Psychosocial support     | Yeah. You know, I haven’t really had that problem but if need be, I think it would be helpful. – 2096 |
| Decision support         | You can’t go through it without feeling that way [sad and isolated]. But, I always tried to stay positive as I could. Live each day to the fullest. You never knew when it was going to be the last. – 2109 |
| Family support           | I think that would be great. I mean, I don’t—like in—mom’s doing so well on her own, but I know there are people who were—it’s a very big burden to take care of their loved ones with heart failure. And it would be great to have something that checks in with the caregiver. – 2109_1 |
| Spiritual care           | Probably for some people, that would help. I don’t know. For me, I don’t think so. – 2050 |
| Goal setting             | If you set physical goals and then dietary goals, because I know that’s another thing that he pushes back on, is just taking care of his health from a dietary perspective. I mean, I know he tries to push himself physically by walking the dogs or even checking out to my boys’ soccer games and everything else. Like, I know that’s a lot of work for him, a lot of physical work. But I think yeah, setting goals, daily goals, hourly goals, or whatever is appropriate. Not only physically but maybe also in regards to diet and then also maybe mental goals, you know. – 2023_2 |
| Education resources      | Oh my wife did a lot of research, on using the Internet, just finding out different things. Plus we had a lot of our conversation with the doctors in terms of understanding the situation. The doctors that I had were very, very good in terms of explaining and listening, and so as a result, the technology was supplemental to actual interface with the medical staff. That was available. – 2019 |

Goal Setting. When prompted about goal setting, all participants reported enthusiasm for the ability to create tailored, value-based goals for patients and convoys. For example, some patients hope to take the trash out each week, while healthier patients expressed interest in monitoring their symptoms more robustly. Providers reiterated the importance of creating realistic goals for both patients and caregivers. Many patients reported health-specific goals such as eating better or exercising more, while others emphasized increasing time with friend and family or travel. Most convoys reported wanting to monitor the patient’s goals for social support and accountability.

Education Resources. Nearly all participants expressed interest in a resource library offering consolidated information about disease trajectory, personalized goals, and end-of-life planning. Many patients and convoys reported the challenges of obtaining educational resources online (via Google). Most providers suggested the resources be accessible, evidence-based, and easy to read and interpret.

Ideation: Recommendations and Desired Features

Participants were asked to brainstorm ideas on the palliative care domains and offer recommendations for future technology with older adults, caregivers, and palliative care. Suggestions are outlined in Table 4.

Both patients and convoys recommended similar desired features related to content and technology interface, stressing the importance of tailoring and personalization. They reported interest in syncing palliative care tools to patient portals, and the
Table 4
Ideation: Recommendations and Desired Features Exemplar Quotes

| Domain                      | Suggestions                                                                 | Quote |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Symptom monitoring          | • Syncing to patient portal                                                  |       |
|                             | • Interoperable with current technologies                                    |       |
|                             | • Medication reminders                                                       |       |
|                             | • Goal setting features                                                      |       |
|                             | This is in the portal. If all this stuff was in together, but see, with my   |       |
|                             | pharmacy, they—it’s all on automatic so I mean, I wouldn’t—because this     |       |
|                             | wouldn’t be a big deal to me. And I use something else. — 2130               |       |
|                             | I have a machine that does it but I do put it in my journal. My daily        |       |
|                             | records of it. I do my weight, my oxygen level, my blood pressure. I can    |       |
|                             | tell you my blood pressure for the last 30 years. — 2001                    |       |
|                             | I think people with heart failure sometimes feel that they don’t have        |       |
|                             | control over it so giving them any sense of empowerment or ability to        |       |
|                             | manage anything on their own I think it big. Especially if you look at the   |       |
|                             | average age person diagnosed with heart failure, they are generally speaking |       |
|                             | are going to be older. Having kids telling them what to do. — 1000 (provider) |       |
|                             | Because I’m a nurse practitioner in symptom management, I want to know      |       |
|                             | if they’re short of breath, if weight’s going up, if weight’s going down,    |       |
|                             | fatigue, all the symptoms would be of what would be most in my domain. But  |       |
|                             | knowing how they’re doing, good day, bad day, in general is really helpful  |       |
|                             | too. And then the other things that they’re struggling—psych, social,       |       |
|                             | financially, that’s good for me to know so that I can refer it on. But      |       |
|                             | maybe to kind of give a little bit of a protection of the providers maybe   |       |
|                             | all of this information would download to kind of a networking central      |       |
|                             | person or team that would then go, okay, [NAME] needs to know that [NAME] is|       |
|                             | suffering shortness of breath so I’ll send that message on to her. So that  |       |
|                             | way I know I’m getting pertinent information, I’m not wasting a lot of time  |       |
|                             | in my day chasing false alarms when I should be addressing the real ones.   |       |
|                             | — 1005 (provider)                                                            |       |
| Psychosocial support        | • Photo reminders of loved ones or memories                                  |       |
|                             | • Encouraging text messages                                                  |       |
|                             | • Syncing to music apps                                                      |       |
|                             | I think it’s a good idea for the other guy. Not a good idea for me. — 2198  |       |
|                             | I think maybe a fun feature would be, you know, “Your doctor wants to get   |       |
|                             | to know you better. Pick two favorite pictures that somebody in your family  |       |
|                             | or a pet or something that you could share your doctor with.” You come into |       |
|                             | the coming visit, that’s [] kind of selfish. But you know, I always love to  |       |
|                             | see pictures of grandkids and dogs and vacations photos. — 2199.1            |       |
|                             | I’d be really curious to know how honest my dad would be if he were to be    |       |
|                             | asked these [psychosocial support] questions because—how hard is it to      |       |
|                             | admit that you’re not okay? That’s extremely difficult. — 2023.2             |       |
|                             | And so if people are having a really difficult time there’s reminders of    |       |
|                             | the resources they have in their life; reminders of things that have         |       |
|                             | worked well for them. — 1012 (provider)                                      |       |
|                             | Maybe that part of it would be on there and then psychosocial, I guess, if  |       |
|                             | you had like so many sad or down days in a row is that triggered? Maybe you  |       |
|                             | do need a referral to a social work or palliative care for evaluation. — 1011|       |
|                             | (Provider)                                                                   |       |
| Decision support            | • Check lists for specific situations                                        |       |
|                             | If you could go into the app and maybe have a list of things you need to     |       |
|                             | take with you. Because in the process of it, you don’t think straight. — 2081|       |
|                             | An app would be fine because that would save me Googling stuff. Because a   |       |
|                             | lot of times you can’t get that information from the doctor. — 2002.2        |       |
|                             | People may want information on those things [LVAD options], too. A little    |       |
|                             | could be just down in sort of the education but as you progress heart       |       |
|                             | failure stuff that happens there’s like chronic daily decisions, chronic     |       |
|                             | daily management with these big sort of decisions as you go. And I don’t    |       |
|                             | know if this is the place to incorporate that but it’s something like the    |       |
|                             | rest of the months ago. — 1015 (provider)                                   |       |
|                             | [viewing trends in disease state] So if I can step back and look over six    |       |
|                             | months’ period of time and I can see that they’re doing this slow downward  |       |
|                             | trajectory, then I better be getting on the game with end of life discussions|       |
|                             | or making sure things are—that they’re along with me in this perception of |       |
|                             | the way things are going. — 1005 (provider)                                 |       |
| Family support              | • Syncing to family organization apps (calendars, shared lists)              |       |
|                             | • Resources                                                                  |       |
|                             | We put a man on the moon. They have a hotel now that’s underwater. You can’t |       |
|                             | make two systems talk each other. That’s insane. — 2023                     |       |
|                             | I think even for family members and social—the educational pieces are       |       |
|                             | really good. There are a lot of families who are deep in denial and want    |       |
|                             | to downplay that this person’s as sick as they are. So it                   |       |

(Continued)
importance of an easy-to-use interface with large fonts and accessibility. For example, many participants recommended the technology be available for individuals using voice-to-text features for hearing or visual impairments. Balancing privacy and confidentiality was a preference for all participants, highlighting the varying levels of access to data when sharing reports. Providers stressed the importance of access to credible, evidence-based resources, rather than obtaining information via “Dr. Google” or WebMD. Providers reported a need for thoughtfulness to language, context, and delivery when assessing care needs, particularly to collect what you can intervene on. Most patients and caregivers agreed with recommendations to not overburden end-users with assessments, and reminders (text messages or push notifications) should be often, however not too many.

| Domain                  | Suggestions                                                                 | Quote                                                                 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Spiritual care          | • Connection with current church Facebook groups  
                          • Journal prompts as push notifications or texts | I think you also could do it [spiritual assessment question] as a more nuanced; To make it more complex, you could acknowledge that care for someone with a serious illness can impact many areas of life. And have you found—or in what ways has your life been impacted or changed, or have you had to adjust? It could open it up to things like relationships. It could open it up to a caregiver’s sense of themselves or their ability to do things that they enjoy or have found meaningful beyond work and school. — 1006 (provider) |
| Goal setting            | • In-app goal setting and monitoring                                        | I think if you’re looking at the psychosocial part of that, you want to help them do an activity that they would succeed in, not tell them to do something that they can’t. — 1011 (provider) |
| Educational resources   | • Resource library with credible links on palliative care topics  
                          • Algorithms to send resources based on assessments | A: “Yeah. I think maybe if there was like a tab about maybe end of life or questions that people have that people are afraid to ask. That can just be like a frequently asked questions, for lay out kind of what people could expect.” — 2198  
For caregivers, I would say that explanation of the disease. What they can do to help you balance it and keep it in check. — 2081  
No. My only concern is what can I do? I just need to find out more information on how I take care of myself. Knowledge is power. — 2003  |
| General suggestions     | • Physical activity reminders  
                          • Features to improve communication between patient and convoy  
                          • Video/virtual family visits with care team  
                          • In-app games related to care or medication | Yeah, I mean, if the case where that someone was bad at taking his medications, a text message reminder, even to family members or whomever is the one responsible for helping that family member takes their medication could be helpful. — 2198  
I think it’s tough because too many people helping can kind of become frustrating probably for my dad. So there becomes a point where too much help is hurting more than helping. 2198_2  
I said this before but I’ll say it again, I just think older people have a hard time with too much information. Keeping it all meat and no gravy with him and [] to the left or the right, always the center is important. — 2113_1  
The simpler you can keep it the better people will use it. Because if it starts to become too complicated, they’re gonna get halfway through there and say “Oh, forget it. I don’t want it. It’s bugging me. I don’t want to bother with it anymore.” But if you can just … (ptoo!) quick easy references, great. — 2001_1  
If you kind of did it like an onion peel, this is really a simple app and then you could do some deep things and as part of the research, it would be to see what deep things people use. — 1015 (provider)  
I really like the convoy concept and the connecting, and having apps that speak to each other to the caregiver. I think that could be really cool and applied to lots of things. — 1015 (provider) |
Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate patients, convoys, and health care providers’ perspectives regarding palliative care digital health to inform the design of future digital interventions. While we initially intended to generate a grounded theory framework specific to geriatric palliative care, our results aligned with human-centered design approaches. These participatory approaches allow for the generation of meaningful, valued, and evidence-based design of digital health solutions.\textsuperscript{30,31} The documentation of participants’ experiences, opinions about palliative care domains, and desired features can be used to design digitally supported palliative care interventions for both older adults and their convoy. Digital health strategies are needed more than ever during COVID-19 pandemic to provide palliative care interventions, monitor patients, support convoys, and promote caregiving across the social convoy.

Participants highlight the challenge of developing digital health tools in the setting of serious illness and also the need for tools incorporating MCC. Many digital palliative care interventions address specific diseases.\textsuperscript{32} Disease-specific interventions have the advantage of targeting and tailoring information and resources. However, this specificity may not be as meaningful to older adults and convoys that are managing MCC. Developing tools for conditions that commonly co-occur, providing tool settings to personalize targeted illnesses, or syncing interventions across multiple conditions may be helpful for this population.\textsuperscript{33}

Results suggest that patients and convoys have conflicting perspectives about using and adopting specific technologies, the amount of information and tools needed, and personal health information sharing. Differences in patient and caregiver needs and expectations are well documented, and incongruence in health management is associated with poorer health outcomes among patients and caregivers.\textsuperscript{34—38} Patients often wanted less tools and information, suggesting that too many tools would burden their convoy. In opposition, caregivers were eager for supportive tools. Introduction materials for digital interventions that include clear rationales for how each tool is useful to convoys, rather than burdensome, may be helpful for concerned patients.

Patients, convoy, and health care providers alike were excited about the opportunity to communicate about palliative care needs in a new, digitally supported way. While several digital palliative care interventions currently target symptom monitoring and assessment\textsuperscript{32,30} and participants recognized the importance of these domains, they were less enthusiastic about these specific tools. Rather, participants were eager to use tools regarding decision support, convoy support, goal setting, and educational resources. There is increased interest in developing digital decision aids, caregiver interventions, and mobile goal monitoring for older adults. Integrating these tools in combination with symptom monitoring and assessment features to tailor educational resources may provide a more comprehensive digital palliative care experience for patients and their convoy. Comprehensive digital solutions may allow patients and convoys to better self-manage and identify individualized palliative care needs, reserving synchronous palliative telehealth for more complicated and intensive cases, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The COVID-19 pandemic highlights the need for digital solutions to address spirituality, anticipatory grief, and bereavement needs.\textsuperscript{40} While our participants had some difficulty connecting spiritual needs with their HF care, owing to social distancing measures, many people are staying connected with their spiritual and religious communities via discussion boards, social medical, and streaming services. Best practices for integrating these supports after social distancing will likely benefit older adults who are unable to participate in-person in the future. Owing to the high hospitalization and fatality rates of COVID-19 particularly among older adults with MCC,\textsuperscript{17} anticipatory grief and bereavement tools may be most needed at this time. While there are few nonsynchronous bereavement options, less is available for anticipatory grief.\textsuperscript{32}

Based on these findings, we are currently developing the Convoy-Pal digital health intervention. The human-centered design model was a useful method to merge both scientific evidence and user feedback. Some key considerations we are incorporating in our design include the following: using a mobile platform for multiple users that includes patients and several caregivers; incorporating assessments on patients and convoy to tailor resources; increasing interoperability with technologies currently used by participants (wearables, calendars, videoconferencing); comprehensive tools that range from symptom monitoring to psycho-social-spiritual supports; and facilitating shared patient-convoy health decision-making. Our next steps include iterative usability testing and a feasibility trial.

While this study documents perspectives from a large, multi-stakeholder qualitative sample, there are limitations to our work. First, our sample lacked the desired diversity in race and ethnicity but included a broad age-range among patients and convoys. Many of our sickest patients had a difficult time participating and providing detailed feedback. Therefore, our findings are likely biased toward a slightly healthier sample. Some patients were unable to identify
convoy members, while for others, we were able to recruit multiple convoy participants. convoy participants were also primarily adult children. Perspectives of less-involved convoys may be missing from the described experiences and recommendations. Although we member-checked our results with clinical experts, we did not review findings with patient and convoy participants.

In conclusion, digital health provides an opportunity to expand the reach of geriatric palliative care interventions. This paper documents human-centered preferences of geriatric palliative care digital health to ensure technologies are relevant and meaningful to all engaged stakeholders.

Disclosures and Acknowledgments
The authors would like to thank Kira Elsbernd, MPH, for her assistance with interviews, provider coding, analysis; Melodie Santodomingo, MPH, for her contribution to interviews and managing demographic information; and Catia Chavez, MPH, for conducting interviews.

The authors have no conflicts to report.

This research is funded by a career development award and training support from the National Institute on Aging (K76AG059934, T32AG044296).

Supplementary Data
Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2020.06.027.

References
1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Chronic Diseases: The Leading Causes of Death and Disability in the United States. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/leading-causes-of-death.htm. Accessed July 22, 2020.

2. Morrison RS. Research priorities in geriatric palliative care: an introduction to a new series. J Palliat Med 2013;16:726–729.

3. Antonucci TC, Ajrouch KJ, Birditt KS. The convoy model: explaining social relations from a multidisciplinary perspective. Gerontologist 2014;54:82–92.

4. Antonucci TC, Fiori KL, Birditt K, Jackey LMH. Conveys of social relations: integrating life-span and life-course perspectives. In: Lerner RM, Lamb ME, Freund AM, eds. The Handbook of Life-Span Development. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2010.

5. Kahn RS, Antonucci TC. Conveys over the life course: attachment, roles, and social support. In: Baltes PB, Brim O, eds. Life-Span Development and Behavior, Vol 3. New York, NY: Academic Press, 1980:254–283.

6. Block S. In: Quill TE, Miller FG, eds. Palliative Care and Ethics. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 2016.

7. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Digital health. Available from https://www.fda.gov/medicaldevices/digitalhealth/. Accessed September 27, 2017.

8. Head BA, Schapmire TJ, Zheng Y. Telehealth in palliative care: a systematic review of patient-reported outcomes. J Hosp Palliat Nurs 2017;19:130–139.

9. Capurro D, Ganzinger M, Perez-Lu J, Knaup P. Effectiveness of eHealth interventions and information needs in palliative care: a systematic literature review. J Med Internet Res 2014;16:e72.

10. Worster B, Swartz K. Telemedicine and palliative care: an increasing role in supportive oncology. Curr Oncol Rep 2017;19:37.

11. Caregiving in the U.S. AARP and National Alliance for Caregiving. Available from https://www.caregiving.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/AARP1316_RPT_CaregivingintheUS_WEB.pdf. Accessed June 17, 2020.

12. Fox S, Duggan M, Purcell K. Family Caregivers Are Wired for Health. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center, 2013.

13. Hong Y-R, Lawrence J, Williams D Jr, Mainous A III. Population-level interest and telehealth capacity of US hospitals in response to COVID-19: cross-sectional analysis of google search and national hospital survey data. JMI Public Health Surveil 2020;6:e1896.

14. Humphreys J, Schoenherr L, Elia G, et al. Rapid implementation of inpatient telepalliative medicine consultations during COVID-19 pandemic. J Pain Symptom Manage 2020;60:e54-e59.

15. Anderson G. Chronic Care: Making the Case for Ongoing Care. Princeton, NJ: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, 2010.

16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Hospitalizations for Patients Aged 85 and Over in the United States, 2000-2010. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/databriefs/db182.htm. Accessed May 16, 2016.

17. CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Severe outcomes among patients with Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) — United States, February 12–March 16, 2020. MMWR Morbidity Mortality Weekly Rep 2020;69:343–346.

18. Masterson Greber RM, Maurer MS, Reading M, Hiraldo G, Hickey KT, Iribarren S. Review and analysis of existing mobile phone apps to support heart failure symptom monitoring and self-care management using the Mobile Application Rating Scale (MARS). JMIR mHealth and uHealth 2016;4:e74.

19. Creswell JW, Poth CN. Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing among Five Approaches, 4th ed. Los Angeles, CA: SAGE, 2018.

20. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. Int J Qual Health Care 2007;19:349–357.

21. Mays N. Qualitative research in health care: assessing quality in qualitative research. BMJ 2000;320:50–52.

22. Cornwell B, Laumann EO, Schumm LP. The social connectedness of older adults: a national profile*. Am Sociol Rev 2008;73:185–203.
23. Portz JD, Ford K, Bekelman DB, et al. “We’re taking something so human and trying to digitize”: provider recommendations for mHealth in palliative care. J Palliat Med 2020;23:240–247.

24. Portz JD, Bayliss EA, Bull S, et al. Using the technology acceptance model to explore user experience, intent to use, and use behavior of a patient portal among older adults with multiple chronic conditions: descriptive qualitative study. J Med Internet Res 2019;21:e11604.

25. Ayres L, Kavanaugh K, Knafl KA. Within-case and across-case approaches to qualitative data analysis. Qual Health Res 2003;13:871–883.

26. Saldana J. The Coding Manual for Qualitative Researchers. Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications, 2015.

27. Andrews JA, Brown LJ, Hawley MS, Astell AJ. Older adults’ perspectives on using digital technology to maintain good mental health: interactive group study. J Med Internet Res 2019;21:e11694.

28. Tkatch R, Musich S, MacLeod S, et al. A qualitative study to examine older adults’ perceptions of health: keys to aging successfully. Geriatr Nurs 2017;38:485–490.

29. Wang S, Bolling K, Mao W, et al. Technology to support aging in place: older adults’ perspectives. Healthcare 2019;7:60.

30. Plattner H, Meinel C, Leifer L, eds. Design Thinking Research: Making Distinctions: Collaboration versus Cooperation. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018.

31. Altman M, Huang TTK, Brelan JY. Design thinking in health care. Preventing Chronic Dis 2018;15:E117.

32. Portz JD, Elshernd K, Plys E, et al. Elements of social convoy theory in mobile health for palliative care: scoping review. JMIR mHealth and uHealth 2020;8:e16060.

33. Mathew-Maich N, Harris L, Ploeg J, et al. Designing, implementing, and evaluating mobile health technologies for managing chronic conditions in older adults: a scoping review. JMIR mHealth and uHealth 2016;4:e29.

34. Metzger M, Norton SA, Quinn JR, Granling R. Patient and family members’ perceptions of palliative care in heart failure. Heart Lung The J Acute Crit Care 2013;42:112–119.

35. Davidson PM, Abernethy AP, Newton PJ, Clark K, Currow DC. The caregiving perspective in heart failure: a population based study. BMC Health Serv Res 2013;13:342.

36. Nicholas Dionne-Odorn J, Hooker SA, Bekelman D, et al. Family caregiving for persons with heart failure at the intersection of heart failure and palliative care: a state-of-the-science review. Heart Fail Rev 2017;22:543–557.

37. Retrum JH, Nowels CT, Bekelman DB. Patient and caregiver congruence: the importance of dyads in heart failure care. J Cardiovasc Nurs 2013;28:129–136.

38. Bekelman DB, Nowels CT, Retrum JH, et al. Giving voice to patients’ and family caregivers’ needs in chronic heart failure: implications for palliative care programs. J Palliat Med 2011;14:1317–1324.

39. MacKenzie Greenle M, Morgan B, Sayani S, Meghani SH. Identifying mobile apps targeting palliative care patients and family members. J Palliat Med 2018;21:1380–1385.

40. Wallace CL, Wladkowski SP, Gibson A, White P. Grief during the COVID-19 pandemic: considerations for palliative care providers. J Pain Symptom Manage 2020;60:70–76.
### Appendix II

#### Example Interview Guide Questions by Participant Group

| Group                  | Experience                                                                 | Potential of Digital Health                                                                 | Wireframe “Think Aloud”                                                                 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Patient                | • Can you tell me about your experience with HF and other serious illnesses? | • What do you think would help you manage your health?                                     | • Reviewing this (example tool):                                                         |
|                        | • What are some of the challenges you experience?                            | • Do you use any technology to help you with this specific (caregiving) concern?          | • Would you use a tool like this?                                                         |
|                        | • Do you have any concerns about your health?                               | • Would a digital tool be helpful in addressing this concern?                             | • Would you prefer to type in your answer? Voice response? Use sensors?                   |
|                        | • Do you have family/friends to help you?                                  |                                                                                           | • Would you want to share this information?                                               |
| Social convoy          | • Can you tell me what it is like to help care for someone with HF and other serious illness? | • What do you think would help you better manage caring for your loved-one?               |                                                                                           |
|                        | • What are some of the challenges you have in helping your loved one?       | • Do you use any technology to support your own self-care?                                |                                                                                           |
|                        | • Do you have family or friends to support you and your loved-one in providing care? | • How do you make sure you are also taking care of yourself?                             |                                                                                           |
|                        |                                                                                   | • Do you have any suggestions of how the tool could be supportive to you in achieving your own health and well-being goals? |                                                                                           |
| Health care provider   | • How long have you been working with patients with serious illness?         | • What kinds of digital tools do you think would be most helpful?                        | • As you look at this (example) tool, what do you think?                                  |
|                        | • How often do you work with patients with HF?                              | • Are there palliative care tools you think would be particularly helpful for patients and caregivers? | • Do you think patients and caregivers would use this tool?                              |
|                        | • What role do you play in supporting the health of the patient and caregivers? | • Is there anything else you would like to include on a mobile tool?                    | • What information from this tool would be particularly helpful in your clinical practice? |
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