**Patient-reported quality of life after stand-alone and concomitant arrhythmia surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis**
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**Abstract**

**OBJECTIVES:** Patient-reported quality of life (QOL) has become an important endpoint for arrhythmia surgery for atrial fibrillation (AF). While studies specifically evaluating the effect of arrhythmia surgery on QOL are scarce, we aimed to summarize current evidence of QOL following concomitant and stand-alone arrhythmia surgery for AF.

**METHODS:** All studies reporting on QOL using questionnaires from patients undergoing arrhythmia surgery for AF, both stand-alone and concomitant, were included in this systematic review. A meta-analysis was performed on inter-study heterogeneity of changes in QOL on
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RESULTS: Overall, QOL scores improved 1 year after surgical ablation for AF evaluated by several questionnaires. In stand-alone arrhythmia procedures, meta-regression showed significant improvements in those who were in sinus rhythm compared to those in AF after 1 year. This association between an improved QOL and the procedural effectiveness was also suggested in concomitant procedures. However, when comparing QOL of patients undergoing cardiac surgery with and without add-on surgical ablation for AF, only the variable ‘physical role’ demonstrated a significant improvement.

CONCLUSIONS: In patients with AF, QOL improves after both stand-alone and concomitant arrhythmia surgery. In the concomitant group, this improvement can be attributed to both the cardiac procedure itself as well as the add-on arrhythmia surgery. However, both in stand-alone and concomitant procedures, the improvement in QOL seems to be related to the effectiveness of the procedure to maintain sinus rhythm after 12 months.
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ABBREVIATIONS

AF • Atrial fibrillation
LA • Left atrial
LAA • LA appendage
MV • Mitral valve
QOL • Quality of life
RCT • Randomized controlled trial
SF-36 • Short-Form 36
SR • Sinus rhythm

INTRODUCTION

Historically, the emergence of a surgical treatment for heart rhythm disorders was mainly triggered by ventricular arrhythmias and with the first successful surgical interruption of the bundle of Kent in a patient with the Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome [1], arrhythmia surgery got off to a great start. Notwithstanding the above, today most surgical arrhythmia procedures are focused on the management of supraventricular arrhythmias [2]. Surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation (AF) can be either done in conjunction with other cardiac procedures as a concomitant procedure or on itself as a stand-alone procedure. Concomitant AF surgery is often performed with cardiopulmonary bypass via sternotomy or right anterolateral mini-thoracotomy, but recently also left thoracoscopic ablation in combination with minimally invasive direct coronary artery bypassing on the beating heart has been reported [3, 4]. Although stand-alone procedures are often performed via bilateral thoracoscopic, unilateral thoracoscopic and subxiphoid techniques have been successfully introduced [5–7]. This progression in minimally invasiveness of surgical ablation approaches is important as it can be expected that the reduction in complications and postoperative pain by limiting surgery to one side will lead to further improvement in quality of life (QOL).

Although 1-year success of arrhythmia surgery for AF has long been defined as freedom from any supraventricular tachyarrhythmia, the evaluation of other endpoints, such as patient-reported QOL, has become increasingly important in recent years [8]. Despite the fact that the measurement of QOL is potentially limited by a treatment expectancy bias, it represents an important endpoint for ablation studies [9]. Be that as it may, studies specifically evaluating the effect of stand-alone or add-on arrhythmia surgery on QOL are scarce. Moreover, the reported outcomes are often heterogeneous as not all studies use the same ablation strategy to treat the arrhythmia.

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we summarized current evidence on QOL at baseline and 1 year after both stand-alone and concomitant arrhythmia surgery for AF. Since the guidelines for AF define the success of rhythm outcome after surgical ablation for AF after 1 year, we chose to evaluate the improvement in QOL as well after 1 year, along with the rhythm outcome.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Literature search

This systematic review and meta-analysis were written according to PRISMA standards [10]. A systematic literature search was conducted with free terms in the PubMed and Cochrane databases (see Supplementary Material). Forwards and backwards search were also performed to screen for further eligible papers.

Study selection and risk of bias

All identified studies were screened based on their title and abstract, and full text when necessary, by 2 independent reviewers (C.A.J.v.d.H. and B.M.). All English articles reporting on QOL using the Short-Form 36 (SF-36) questionnaire for measuring QOL after arrhythmia surgery in patients with AF, both stand-alone and concomitant, were found eligible. In all observational studies and non-randomized clinical trials, the methodological quality was assessed with use of the ROBINS-I tool [11]. In articles reporting on randomized controlled trials (RCTs), the risk of bias was assessed using the Cochrane Checklist [12].

Outcomes

The primary outcome was defined as the standardized mean difference in QOL variables assessed 1 year after arrhythmia surgery compared to baseline scores, using the SF-36 QOL questionnaire. As secondary endpoints, differences in the improvement of QOL between patients who were in sinus rhythm (SR) or in AF after 12 months of follow-up were determined for stand-alone procedures and differences between patients who did and did not receive add-on ablation for concomitant procedures.
Statistical analysis

The metric ‘standardized mean difference (μ)’ (rho = 0) was used to analyse continuous QOL changes, comparing 1 year outcomes with baseline scores, per variable of the SF-36 QOL questionnaire [13]. Additional meta-regression was performed using rhythm outcome and add-on arrhythmia surgery after 12 months of follow-up as covariate. All statistical values were computed with a 95% confidence interval in a random-effects model and the 2-tailed P-value threshold for statistical significance was set at 0.05.

Weighted means (μ) of continuous baseline characteristics were computed using the metric ‘TX Mean’, whereas ‘Untransformed Proportions’, defined as the count of successes in the sample divided by the size of that sample, were used for mean frequencies [14]. The latter metric was also used to analyse the percentage of patients that was in SR after 12 months of follow-up. Due to the relatively low complication rate, the metric ‘Freeman–Tukey Double Arcsine Proportion’ was used to analyse the incidence of perioperative complications following arrhythmia surgery.

Inter-study heterogeneity was tested and visualized in forest plots per variable of the SF-36 QOL questionnaire. A statistical P-value <0.10 and/or I² >50% was used as cut-off point for significant heterogeneity. All statistical analyses were performed using Meta-Analyst for Mac software (2009) [14] (version Beta 1.0). Furthermore, publication bias was tested using funnel plots made in Excel, where the standardized mean difference was plotted against the standard error of that study. The variance was calculated after transforming Cohen’s d to Hedges’ g by correcting for sample size and standard deviation per study [15].

RESULTS

Study selection

After exclusion based on title, abstract and full-text reading, 9 out of 2142 studies from the literature search were included in our systematic review and meta-analysis (Supplementary Material, Fig S1 and Table S1).

Risk of bias

The risk of bias in most of the RCTs was estimated to be medium to low, mostly due to unclear reporting of blinding of patients and/or researchers during follow-up [16, 17]. For the observational studies and non-randomized trials, risk of bias was estimated to be medium-high. Confounding due to missing baseline characteristics or marked differences in important predictors of the procedure's success (e.g. type and duration of AF) between groups could not be ruled out in the studies by Joshibayev and Bolatbekov [18] and Lundberg et al. [19]. Selection bias based on the inclusion of patients with serious comorbidities was present in the study of Joshibayev and Bolatbekov [18]. Other factors contributing to the increased risk of bias were missing QOL data due to substantial loss of follow-up in the study by Bagge et al. [20] and the lack of continuous heart rhythm monitoring in the studies by Joshibayev and Bolatbekov [18] and Lönnerholm et al. [21].

Furthermore, funnel plots where the standardized mean difference was plotted against the standard error of Hedges’ g of that study showed that publication bias cannot be ruled out in this review. Due to the marked variance of the included studies, scattering of results unequally along the x-axis occurred. Moreover, the forest plots illustrated that statistical heterogeneity, and thus inter-study variance, per QOL variable measured by the SF-36 was marked.

Study population

Most studies reported on arrhythmia surgery performed in the Netherlands [16, 17, 22, 23], followed by Sweden [19–21], the UK [24] and Kazakhstan [18]. In total, 545 patients were included in the analysis (Table 1). Most patients were men (69.4%), mean age was 60 years, mean duration of AF was 53 months, 8.0% had a history with cerebrovascular accident and the mean left atrial (LA) diameter was 49.2 mm. Most patients had longstanding-persistent AF (41.9%), followed by persistent (29.8%) and paroxysmal AF (27.6%).

Arrhythmia surgery

The technique by which arrhythmia surgery was performed differed between the 12 studies (Table 2). In most of the studies, the LA appendage (LAA) was addressed, either by surgically excision, clipping or stapling. Furthermore, there were 3 studies that reported on thoracoscopic beating heart AF ablation [16, 17, 20]. One study reported on single-stage hybrid ablation [22]. Of the remaining studies, 5 reported on concomitant AF ablation, in most of them, a Cox-maze-III or –IV procedure was performed, and one study used an alternative overlapping pulmonary vein isolation technique. While different techniques were used, all studies performed pulmonary vein isolation with or without extra lesions (Supplementary Material, Table S2). Five studies ablated the roof and inferior lines as well to create the so-called ‘box lesion’, while van Breugel et al. [23] only added a roof line. Four studies ablated the right atrial (RA) free wall, a line to the mitral annulus and 3 ablated the posterior LA wall. Three studies ablated the connection between the superior and inferior caval vein and 2 ablated the coronary sinus and the tricuspid valve. Six studies ablated either an additional cavotricuspid isthmus line, complex fractionated atrial electrograms, ganglionated plexi or the ligament of Marshall, or performed a bi-atrial maze or LA re-resection. These marked differences in techniques and lesion sets have led to marked clinical heterogeneity in this review and meta-analysis.

Primary outcome: quality of life following stand-alone arrhythmia surgery

Overall, QOL improved across all variables incorporated in the SF-36 tool (e.g. physical functioning, bodily pain, role physical, general health, role emotional, vitality, social functioning and mental health). Moreover, the incidence of perioperative complications was low for all studies (Supplementary Material, Tables S3 and S4). To identify clinically relevant improvement in SF-36 subscores, differences in subscores between baseline and 1-year values were marked as >0.5, 1 or 2 times the standard deviation of the value at 1 year [25].
Interestingly, studies with higher success percentages in terms of rhythm outcome (SR after 1 year) also showed greater QOL improvements across all variables (Figs 1 and 2). Moreover, meta-regression based on rhythm outcome in the 2 studies by Al-Jazairi et al. and Driessen et al., who divided outcomes into 2 groups based on rhythm outcome, showed that following cardiac surgery the QOL scores of both SR and AF patients improved. Moreover, patients who were in SR showed significantly greater improvements in QOL compared to baseline concerning physical functioning, physical role, general health and social functioning, than those who remained in AF [17, 22]. The other variables, including bodily pain, role emotional, vitality and mental health, also showed better outcomes for those in SR compared to those in AF, however, non-significant (Table 3).

Primary outcome for concomitant procedures

Furthermore, 3 of the 9 included studies performed an extra analysis on comparing QOL outcomes of patients receiving cardiac surgery with and without add-on arrhythmia surgery for AF (add-on surgical AF ablation versus control group) [18, 23, 24]. While van Breugel et al. and von Oppell et al. randomized their patients between the 2 groups, the study by Joshibayev and Bolatbekov did not [18, 23, 24]. As such, their patients undergoing add-on arrhythmia surgery had a higher rate of longstanding-persistent AF ($P = 0.02$), greater LA size ($P = 0.004$), lower left ventricular ejection fraction ($P = 0.03$) and a longer AF duration ($P = 0.05$) compared to the control group. Yet, this study showed the most improvement in QOL across all variables. Von Oppell et al. showed an improvement in 5 out of 8 variables in the add-on arrhythmia group compared to their control group, but van Breugel et al. only reported a significant improvement in the variable bodily pain compared to the control group. We performed a meta-regression of the 3 above-mentioned studies to evaluate the overall effect of add-on ablation concomitant with cardiac surgery on the QOL. This analysis showed that adding arrhythmia surgery to cardiac surgery as a concomitant procedure overall only leads to a significant improvement in the variable ‘Role physical’ at 1 year after the procedure (Table 4).

Follow-up

Of the 505 patients who completed the follow-up and reported on QOL using the SF-36 questionnaire, 73.8% (62.5–85.0) was in SR after 12 months. The type of rhythm monitoring differed across studies; most studies used a 24-h Holter, followed by 72-h Holter, while only one study used continuous monitoring and used a 12-leads ECG for arrhythmia detection (Supplementary Material, Table S5).

DISCUSSION

This systematic review and meta-analysis summarizes the effect of arrhythmia surgery for AF on QOL. Overall, arrhythmia surgery leads to an improvement in QOL in patients with AF. This improvement seems to be related to the success of the procedure, because the improvement in QOL is higher in studies that reported a higher rate of SR after 12 months of follow-up. This is especially true for patients undergoing stand-alone AF surgery and less in patients undergoing concomitant AF surgery.

In 1991, Drs Cox and Schuessler designed the Cox-maze procedure after extensive epicardial mapping studies [26]. The surgical technique is based on an anatomical approach to prevent macro re-entrant circuits in both atria. Although new surgical tools and alternative surgical approaches were developed, the basic concept of the procedure did not change and still forms the basis of present-day concomitant AF surgery. Even though the procedure has been shown to be very effective in restoring SR [27] and concomitant AF surgery had a class I indication in 2017 [28], it was recently downgraded to a class IIa indication [8]. A potential reason is that the add-on of AF surgery does not result in improved QOL nor reduced stroke and mortality at 1-year follow-up [8].

Overall effect on quality of life following arrhythmia surgery for atrial fibrillation

In this meta-analysis, there was an improvement in QOL after cardiac surgery with concomitant AF ablation compared to

### Table 1: Baseline characteristics of studies reporting on cardiac arrhythmia surgery and quality of life using the Short-Form-36 questionnaire

| Characteristics (n = 545) | Number of patients: n (%) | Adjusted mean (95% CI) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Age (years)              | 453 (83)                  | 59.8 years (56.5–63.0) |
| AF duration (months)     | 316 (58)                  | 53.0 months (5.0–101.0) |
| CVA (%)                  | 466 (86)                  | 8.0% (5.6–10.5)        |
| Female (%)               | 545 (100)                 | 30.6% (23.6–37.6)      |
| Hypertension (%)         | 491 (90)                  | 32.7% (22.1–43.2)      |
| LA diameter (mm)         | 369 (68)                  | 49.2 mm (43.8–54.6)    |
| LVEF (%)                 | 395 (72)                  | 52.3% (50.0–54.5)      |
| Type of AF               |                           |                        |
| Paroxysmal (%)           | 520 (95)                  | 27.6% (12.5–42.8)      |
| Persistent (%)           | 520 (95)                  | 29.8% (11.8–47.9)      |
| Longstanding-persistent (%) | 520 (95) | 41.9% (4.6–79.3) |

Data are presented as number of patients (n) and the percentage (%) of the total group at baseline. The adjusted means or proportions followed by the 95% confidence interval were calculated using the metric ‘TX Mean’ or ‘Untransformed Proportion’, respectively, in a binary random-effects model.

AF: atrial fibrillation; CVA: cerebrovascular accident; LA: left atrial; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction.

DISCUSSION

This systematic review and meta-analysis summarizes the effect of arrhythmia surgery for AF on QOL. Overall, arrhythmia surgery leads to an improvement in QOL in patients with AF. This improvement seems to be related to the success of the procedure, because the improvement in QOL is higher in studies that reported a higher rate of SR after 12 months of follow-up. This is especially true for patients undergoing stand-alone AF surgery and less in patients undergoing concomitant AF surgery.

In 1991, Drs Cox and Schuessler designed the Cox-maze procedure after extensive epicardial mapping studies [26]. The surgical technique is based on an anatomical approach to prevent macro re-entrant circuits in both atria. Although new surgical tools and alternative surgical approaches were developed, the basic concept of the procedure did not change and still forms the basis of present-day concomitant AF surgery. Even though the procedure has been shown to be very effective in restoring SR [27] and concomitant AF surgery had a class I indication in 2017 [28], it was recently downgraded to a class IIa indication [8]. A potential reason is that the add-on of AF surgery does not result in improved QOL nor reduced stroke and mortality at 1-year follow-up [8].

Overall effect on quality of life following arrhythmia surgery for atrial fibrillation

In this meta-analysis, there was an improvement in QOL after cardiac surgery with concomitant AF ablation compared to
### Table 2: Surgical characteristics per study including type of cardiac surgery performed, left atrial appendage procedure, energy source and concomitant surgery

| Study | Anhythmia surgery | LAA | Energy source | Concomitant surgery |
|-------|-------------------|-----|---------------|---------------------|
|       | Minimally invasive (off-pump) | Hybrid | Cox-maze III/IV |                     |
| Al-Jazari et al. [22] | Single stage | Occlusion (Atriclip 30%) | Bipolar RF |                     |
| Bagge et al. [20] | Thoracoscopic | Excised (stapler, 76%) | Bipolar RF |                     |
| Buist et al. [16] | Thoracoscopic | Ligation (endoloop, 100%) | Bipolar RF |                     |
| Driessen et al. [17] | Thoracoscopic | Excised (stapler, 100%) | Bipolar RF |                     |
| Joshibayev and Bolatbekov [18] | Cox-maze IV | LA sealing (55%) | Unipolar RF | MV repair, n = 12, MV replacement, n = 42, CABG, n = 3, Septal myectomy, n = 1, TV repair, n = 1 |
| Lonneholm et al. [21] | Cox-maze III | 100% | Cut and sew | Atrial septal defect closure, n = 1, CABG, n = 2, MV repair, n = 5 |
| Lundberg et al. [19] | Cox-maze III | 100% | Cut and sew | CABG, n = 18, Valve replacement, n = 32, CABG + valve replacement, n = 10, Other, n = 5 |
| van Breugel et al. [23] | Resection (100%) | Bipolar RF |                     |                     |
| von Oppell et al. [24] | Cox-maze IV | Excised (100%) | Bipolar RF | AV replacement, n = 7, CABG, n = 10, MV repair, n = 8, MV replacement, n = 16, TV repair, n = 13 |

AV: aortic valve; CABG: coronary artery bypass graft; LA: left atrial; LAA: left atrial appendage; MV: mitral valve; NS: non-specified; RF: radiofrequency; TV: tricuspid valve.
baseline. However, it is difficult to distinguish between the effect of the cardiac surgical procedure itself and the effect of the add-on arrhythmia surgery on the improvement in QOL. When the results are plotted in relation to the success rate of the arrhythmia surgery in terms of SR after 12 months, the forest plots (Figs 1 and 2) suggest that the improvement in QOL is higher in the studies that report a higher freedom of AF. Of course, these results should be interpreted with caution. First, the type of surgical lesion is not consistent between the different studies. While a large variability of lesion sets was performed, at least all studies performed pulmonary vein isolation, which represents the cornerstone for AF ablation [29]. Furthermore, in 10 out of 12 studies the LAA was electrically isolated in at least half of their patients.

In the BELIEF trial, isolation of the LAA lowered the incidence of AF without increasing the periprocedural complication rate [30]. Moreover, the overall reported stroke incidence in the present study was low (0.8%). As the LAA is considered the main source of thromboembolism in AF, oral anticoagulation and other techniques such as isolating the LAA are key in stroke prevention in AF patients, which may contribute to the QOL [31]. Secondly, follow-up was conducted with different monitoring devices. While using continuous monitoring devices is the most reliable way to keep track of (asymptomatic) palpitations, this was only used by 2 studies. Thirdly, no data on anti-arrhythmic drugs (AAD) use was given, though most of the included patients in this analysis had longstanding-persistent AF (41.9%) and treatment

Figure 1: Forest plots showing the changes per Short-Form 36 quality of life variable after 12 months of follow-up, expressed by the standardized mean difference. The weight given to each study is illustrated by the size of the square box, the point effect estimate by its mid-point and the degree of variance per study by the horizontal line through the box. A greater horizontal line indicates a greater 95% confidence interval for the effect estimates. Red boxes are studies where all patients were still in atrial fibrillation after 12 months. The overall effect estimate is represented by the diamante shape. (A) Physical functioning. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.503, Q(df = 10) = 106.286, P < 0.001, I^2 = 90.6$%. (B) Role physical. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.354, Q(df = 10) = 78.169, P < 0.001, I^2 = 87.2$. (C) Bodily pain. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.482, Q(df = 10) = 111.276, P < 0.001, I^2 = 91.0$. (D) General health. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.577, Q(df = 10) = 125.791, P < 0.001, I^2 = 92.0$. (E) Role emotional. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.265, Q(df = 10) = 65.670, P < 0.001, I^2 = 84.7$. (F) Vitality. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.215, Q(df = 10) = 52.832, P < 0.001, I^2 = 81.1$. (G) Social functioning. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.327, Q(df = 10) = 75.008, P < 0.001, I^2 = 86.7$. (H) Mental health. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.253, Q(df = 10) = 62.246, P < 0.001, I^2 = 83.9$. AF: atrial fibrillation; SR: sinus rhythm.
Figure 2: Forest plots showing the changes per Short-Form 36 quality of life variable after 12 months of follow-up, expressed by the standardized mean difference, comparing studies with 100% sinus rhythm (black box) with 100% atrial fibrillation (red box) after 12 months of follow-up. The weight given to each study is illustrated by the size of the square box, the point effect estimate by its mid-point and the degree of variance per study by the horizontal line through the box. A greater horizontal line indicates a greater 95% confidence interval for the effect estimates. Red boxes are studies where all patients had AF after 12 months. The overall effect estimate is represented by the diamante shape. (A) Physical functioning. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.069$, $Q(df = 3) = 7.887$, $P = 0.048$, $I^2 = 62.0\%$. (B) Role physical. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.069$, $Q(df = 3) = 7.887$, $P = 0.048$, $I^2 = 62.0\%$. (C) Bodily pain. $t^2 = 0.000$, $Q(df = 3) = 1.345$, $P = 0.718$, $I^2 = 0\%$. (D) General health. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.095$, $Q(df = 3) = 9.990$, $P = 0.019$, $I^2 = 70.0\%$. (E) Role emotional. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.000$, $Q(df = 3) = 2.155$, $P = 0.541$, $I^2 = 0\%$. (F) Vitality. $t^2 = 0.040$, $Q(df = 3) = 5.842$, $P = 0.120$, $I^2 = 48.7\%$. (G) Social functioning. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.035$, $Q(df = 3) = 5.476$, $P = 0.140$, $I^2 = 45.2\%$. (H) Mental health. Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.004$, $Q(df = 3) = 3.306$, $P = 0.347$, $I^2 = 9.3\%$. AF: atrial fibrillation; SR: sinus rhythm.

Table 3: Changes in SF-36 quality of life variables based on rhythm outcome after 12 months of follow-up

| SF-36 variable     | SR 12 months | 95% CI     | Adjusted mean | 95% CI     | P-value |
|--------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|---------|
| Physical functioning | 0.8          | (0.6 to 1.0) | 0.3          | (0.0 to 0.7) | 0.015   |
| Role physical      | 1.0          | (0.7 to 1.2) | 0.4          | (0.1 to 0.7) | 0.006   |
| Bodily pain        | 0.0          | (-0.2 to 0.2) | -0.2        | (-0.5 to 0.2) | 0.331   |
| General health     | 0.5          | (0.3 to 0.7)  | -0.1        | (-0.5 to 0.2) | 0.002   |
| Role emotional     | 0.4          | (0.2 to 0.6)  | 0.3          | (0.0 to 0.6) | 0.654   |
| Vitality           | 0.8          | (0.5 to 1.0)  | 0.4          | (0.1 to 0.8) | 0.096   |
| Social functioning | 0.8          | (0.5 to 1.0)  | 0.4          | (0.0 to 0.7) | 0.043   |
| Mental health      | 0.6          | (0.3 to 0.8)  | 0.2          | (-0.1 to 0.6) | 0.123   |

Data are presented as adjusted mean between QOL scores after 12 months versus baseline scores, followed by the 95% CI. P-value of the meta-regression was computed using the metric ‘Standardized mean difference’ in a binary random-effects model using rhythm outcome after 12 month of follow-up as covariate factor.

AF: atrial fibrillation; CI: confidence interval; QOL: quality of life; SF: Short-Form 36; SR: sinus rhythm.
with AADs seems to be less efficient in this patient population for rhythm control and symptom management [8]. Moreover, for the study of Lönnерholm, the reported percentage SR in the forest plot represents the outcome directly after surgery, while in the other studies, it represents the outcome after 12 months [32]. Nevertheless, it seems that the improvement in QOL is related to the outcome of the AF ablation.

**Primary outcome: concomitant atrial fibrillation surgery and quality of life**

The analysis of the 3 studies that compared cardiac surgery with and without add-on arrhythmia surgery failed to show an overall improvement in QOL between the patients that did and did not undergo add-on arrhythmia surgery [18, 24, 33]. While QOL scores after 1 year were improved compared to baseline for both the add-on and stand-alone arrhythmia group, differences were not significant. These differences between the studies regarding the improvement in QOL are very obvious, suggesting that even if there is an effect of add-on arrhythmia on QOL for concomitant procedures, it is not very strong. Joshibayev and Bolatbekov [18] reported a very strong improvement in QOL, but this study did not randomize between both arms and therefore, it cannot be excluded that there was a selection bias in the patients that received arrhythmia surgery. Furthermore, it is surprising that there was almost no improvement in QOL between baseline and 12 months follow-up in the control group, despite the fact that all patients in the control group underwent mitral valve (MV) surgery. The other 2 studies were randomized, but only the study of van Breugel reported a very strong improvement in QOL, but this study did not randomize between both arms and therefore, it cannot be excluded that there was a selection bias in the patients that received arrhythmia surgery. Interestingly, the study by Grady et al. [34] further examined the improvement of health-related QOL using the SF-36 between patients undergoing different isolated cardiac procedures. At baseline, patients with MV disease had a better physical pain' improved [24, 33]. In both studies, patients received coronary artery bypass graft or aortic or MV procedures concomitant to ablation. Interestingly, the study by Grady et al. [34] further examined the improvement of health-related QOL using the SF-36 between patients undergoing different isolated cardiac procedures. At baseline, patients with MV disease had a better physical pain' improved [24, 33]. In both studies, patients received coronary artery bypass graft or aortic or MV procedures concomitant to ablation.

### Table 4: Changes in SF-36 quality of life variables comparing cardiac surgery with and without (control group) add-on surgical AF ablation

| Study                  | SF-36 variable         | Add-on surgical AF ablation | Control group | P-value |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------|
|                        | Baseline | 1 year | Baseline | 1 year |               |
| Joshibayev and Bolatbekov [18] |         |        |         |        |               |
| Physical functioning   | n = 54    | n = 54 | n = 93  | n = 93 | <0.001         |
| Role physical          | 20.0 ± 7.0 | 84.0 ± 22.0 | 38.0 ± 12.0 | 49.0 ± 7.0 | <0.001         |
| Bodily pain            | 38.0 ± 13.0 | 81.0 ± 17.0 | 44.0 ± 9.0  | 47.0 ± 9.0  | <0.001         |
| General health         | 29.0 ± 23.0 | 79.0 ± 5.0  | 53.0 ± 11.0 | 51.0 ± 6.0  | <0.001         |
| Vitality               | 39.0 ± 7.0  | 89.0 ± 21.0 | 51.0 ± 5.0  | 54.0 ± 6.0  | <0.001         |
| Social functioning     | 44.0 ± 12.0 | 88.0 ± 31.0 | 49.0 ± 5.0  | 60.0 ± 5.0  | <0.001         |
| Role emotional         | 39.0 ± 7.0  | 84.0 ± 21.0 | 33.0 ± 11.0 | 51.0 ± 17.0 | <0.001         |
| Mental health          | 41.0 ± 23.0 | 89.0 ± 22.0 | 61.0 ± 11.0 | 50.0 ± 7.0  | <0.001         |
| van Breugel et al. [23] |         |        |         |        |               |
| Physical functioning   | n = 65    | n = 65 | n = 67  | n = 67 | 0.143         |
| Role physical          | 50.2 ± 24.1 | 68.4 ± 23.2 | 50.1 ± 24.2 | 61.2 ± 23.9 | 0.295         |
| Bodily pain            | 23.5 ± 35.3 | 52.3 ± 39.7 | 42.9 ± 42.1 | 47.9 ± 38.1 | 0.026         |
| General health         | 53.2 ± 19.7 | 77.7 ± 22.6 | 72.3 ± 24.6 | 72.8 ± 21.9 | 0.032         |
| Vitality               | 50.5 ± 22.4 | 61.4 ± 17.0 | 51.3 ± 21.8 | 60.0 ± 17.8 | 0.048         |
| Social functioning     | 66.9 ± 25.2 | 80.0 ± 19.3 | 67.0 ± 25.8 | 76.2 ± 24.7 | 0.041         |
| Role emotional         | 67.7 ± 42.9 | 72.1 ± 35.7 | 69.2 ± 42.0 | 69.5 ± 36.6 | 0.157         |
| Mental health          | 69.6 ± 20.0 | 77.7 ± 13.0 | 72.0 ± 22.0 | 74.0 ± 17.5 | 0.300         |
| von Oppel et al. [24]  |         |        |         |        |               |
| Physical functioning   | n = 24    | n = 24 | n = 25  | n = 25 | <0.001         |
| Role physical          | 41.5 ± 25.6 | 61.8 ± 31.9 | 41.4 ± 29.3 | 80.3 ± 20.3 | <0.001         |
| Bodily pain            | 13.5 ± 35.5 | 54.5 ± 47.3 | 23.0 ± 38.1 | 58.8 ± 44.6 | <0.001         |
| General health         | 65.7 ± 34.2 | 70.1 ± 28.1 | 80.7 ± 27.3 | 92.2 ± 12.8 | NS             |
| Vitality               | 58.2 ± 23.9 | 67.0 ± 25.0 | 55.1 ± 23.3 | 78.3 ± 16.8 | <0.001         |
| Social functioning     | 31.9 ± 23.0 | 53.0 ± 26.2 | 30.2 ± 30.5 | 62.5 ± 19.9 | <0.001         |
| Role emotional         | 55.7 ± 36.9 | 68.8 ± 34.2 | 57.5 ± 29.8 | 88.8 ± 17.6 | <0.001         |
| Mental health          | 67.7 ± 42.9 | 72.1 ± 35.7 | 69.2 ± 42.0 | 69.5 ± 36.6 | 0.157         |
| Overall change         |         |        |         |        |               |
| Adjusted mean (95% CI) |          |        |          |        |               |
| Physical functioning   | 1.8 (0.1 to 3.4) | 1.0 (0.5 to 1.4) |          |          | 0.403         |
| Role physical          | 1.5 (0.5 to 2.6) | 0.3 (0.1 to 0.5) |          |          | 0.037         |
| Bodily pain            | 1.1 (0.5 to 2.6) | 0.0 (0.3 to 0.3) |          |          | 0.230         |
| General health         | 1.2 (0.3 to 2.8) | 0.4 (-0.2 to 1.1) |          |          | 0.371         |
| Vitality               | 1.1 (0.4 to 1.7) | 0.1 (0.0 to 1.4) |          |          | 0.704         |
| Social functioning     | 1.3 (-0.0 to 2.5) | 0.2 (-1.1 to 0.7) |          |          | 0.178         |
| Role emotional         | 0.8 (-0.3 to 1.8) | -0.2 (-1.1 to 0.7) |          |          | 0.203         |
| Mental health          | 1.0 (-0.1 to 2.1) | 0.3 (0.1 to 0.5) |          |          |               |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or adjusted mean between QOL scores after 12 months versus baseline scores, followed by the 95% CI. NS: non-significant. P-value of the meta-regression was computed using the metric ‘Standardized mean difference’ in a binary random-effects model using add-on surgery as covariate factor. QOL: quality of life; SF: Short-Form 36. AF: atrial fibrillation. CI: confidence interval.
component summary, but lower mental component summary than patients undergoing aortic valve surgery, coronary artery bypass graft or a Maze procedure. Three and 6 months after surgery, physical component summary scores improved reliably in all groups compared to baseline, except for patients who underwent MV surgery, probably due to their healthier preoperative scores and receiving early intervention. Furthermore, a strong trend was seen for better physical component summary scores of coronary artery bypass graft patients than for aortic valve patients. For changes in mental component summary scores, the improvement was faster for patients undergoing a Maze procedure compared with the other groups, and patients undergoing MV surgery did not show a clinically important improvement after 3 months.

Primary outcome: stand-alone atrial fibrillation surgery and quality of life

In stand-alone AF surgery, the effect of arrhythmia surgery on QOL can be better evaluated, since there is no other surgical procedure that can act as a confounding factor. All studies evaluating QOL using the SF-36 questionnaire in stand-alone AF surgery showed an increase in QOL at 12 months compared to baseline [16, 17, 20, 22]. It must be noted that patients who are referred for an isolated surgical ablation for AF are highly symptomatic and undergo a surgical intervention as a last resort treatment. Accordingly, they usually have a worse QOL at baseline compared to the general population. As such, it is not unexpected that a rapid and significant improvement in QOL follows after a successful surgical ablation, returning patients to normal SR [34].

Furthermore, 2 studies specifically compared the improvement in QOL between patients who were in SR and patients who were in AF 12 months after the procedure [17, 22]. Both studies showed that the improvement in QOL was greater if surgical AF ablation resulted in SR. As such, it can be concluded that successful stand-alone arrhythmia surgery does result in increased QOL. Despite this improvement in QOL and the fact that stand-alone surgical AF ablation, epicardial or in a hybrid setting, is associated with higher success rates compared to catheter ablation [35, 36], it remains to have a class II recommendation due to the paucity of RCTs [8, 9].

Different techniques and lesion sets in concomitant and stand-alone atrial fibrillation ablation

The inconsistency in the type of lesions performed during concomitant arrhythmia surgery makes it difficult and challenging to compare the different studies. For example, the studies of Joshibayev and Bolatbekov and von Oppel et al. included a variety of lesions and a mixture of unipolar and bipolar radio frequency energy. This stands in contrast with the studies evaluating stand-alone AF surgery that adhere more to a fixed ablation protocol. As such, it can be concluded that arrhythmia surgery does result in an improvement in QOL, but it requires a dedicated lesion set. Finally, a potential reason for the greater improvement in QOL after stand-alone AF than concomitant arrhythmia surgery is that stand-alone AF surgery is performed by dedicated teams, while concomitant AF surgery is also performed by surgeons without an extensive experience in AF ablation.

Limitations

This study contains some limitations. Ideally, we aimed to compare the improvements in QOL outcomes obtained by RCTs in our meta-analysis. Unfortunately, solely 2 studies have evaluated this outcome in an RCT. Due to this gap in literature, we worked with pre- and post-surgical QOL values in our meta-analysis of studies using the SF-36 questionnaire and performed a sub-study based on rhythm outcome after 1 year. Furthermore, since there is no golden standard for measuring QOL following arrhythmia surgery for AF, the included studies have used a variety of questionnaires to estimate the effect of ablation surgery on QOL. While being an important endpoint for ablation studies, QOL remains a rather subjective endpoint and comes along with (at least some) expectation bias. As such, the placebo effect of undergoing surgery as rhythm therapy was most likely present in at least some degree for all patients. In this meta-analysis, risk of bias due to other factors such as selection, confounding factors and publication was present as well. Moreover, marked differences between lesion sets between the studies were present. As such, not only statistical but also clinical heterogeneity was present in this study and results about the effectiveness of arrhythmia surgery and the improvement in QOL should be interpreted with caution. Lastly, the analyses in this study were based on a specific subgroup of highly symptomatic patients, which is especially true for patients undergoing stand-alone surgical ablation for AF. As such, these papers reflect only a small subset of all AF patients and thus the findings of improved QOL in this group should not be used as an endorsement for surgery for less symptomatic AF patients.

CONCLUSION

Overall, arrhythmia surgery does result in an improvement in QOL in patients with AF when a dedicated lesion set is used. This effect seems to be related to the outcome in terms of SR after 1 year, both in concomitant as in stand-alone AF ablation. However, studies evaluating QOL following arrhythmia surgery are scarce and analysis based on small, heterogenic, single-arm studies in a random-effects model hinders drawing definite conclusions. Therefore, future trials reporting on AF surgery, both concomitant and stand-alone, should include the evaluation of patient-reported outcomes such as QOL.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is available at ICVTS online.
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