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Abstract

With increasing demand of green energy supply with high efficiency and low CO\textsubscript{2} emission, Solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) has been intensively developed in recent years. And the integration of gasification with fuel cell (IGFC) shows potential in large scale power generation to further increase the system efficiency. Reliable design of multi-stacks for large system and long term stability of stacks with practical fuel gas from industrial equipment are the key for commercial application of IGFC. In this work, a test rig of 5kW SOFC system was fabricated using practical syngas from industrial gasifiers as fuel and long term test under high fuel utility was conducted to investigate the system performance. The results show that the maximum steady output power of system is 5700W for hydrogen case and 5660W for syngas case, and the maximum steady electrical efficiency is 61.24% while the fuel utility efficiency is 89.25%. The test lasted for more than 500h as the fuel utility efficiency was larger than 83%. The performances of each stack tower are almost identical at both initial stage and after long term operation. After 500h operation, the performances of stack towers just slight decrease under lower current and almost not change under higher current. Therefore, the results illustrate that the reliability of multi-stacks design and the prospect of SOFC power generation system for further enlarging its application in a MW\textsubscript{th} demonstration.

1 Introduction

With increasing demand of green energy supply with high efficiency and low CO\textsubscript{2} emission, solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) is an attractive choice, thus get more attention of researchers and has been intensively developed in recent years [1]. Its advantages include variety of fuels, high electrical efficiency and full utility of heat, quiet operation and versatility in the electrolyte material [2]. It provides an high electrical efficiency of about 60% in normal operation and up to 90% in combined heat and power operation [3]. It also has the flexibility to be integrated with another power generation source, water heating device and cooling device used in residential homes [4]. To further its application for large scale, the integration of SOFC with gasification using coal or biomass as feedstock shows potential in power generation [5–7]. And it seems a feasible and prospective way for integration gasification with fuel cell (IGFC).

Most of researches on SOFC focus on materials for the development of anode, electrolyte and cathode as well as performance test of cell [8, 9]. And different cells have been developed for better chemical stability and electrochemical performance. As the performance of cells improving, SOFC stacks consisting of multi-layer cells should obtain more attention to widen the applications, while not much research work on them. Lim et al. fabricated and characterized an anode-supported flat tubular SOFC stack for intermediate temperature operation and the result show a maximum power of nearly 921W at 750°C using H\textsubscript{2} (fuel utilization ratio = 25.2%) as the stability test period is about 200 h [10]. Fang et al. conducted durability test and investigated degradation behavior of a 2.5 kW SOFC stack with internal reforming of LNG [11]. They also tested two stacks of different design under high utilization of up to 90% with humidified hydrogen or 10% pre-reformed LNG, and the results found that high fuel utilization could introduce polarization and a high risk of fuel starvation [12]. The fuel utilization ratio is between
64%~80% and mostly about 70% while the feeding fuels of the anode side are H\textsubscript{2} or simulated reformate gas, and the stability test period is more than 5000 h under 700°C. Edison R&D center built a 5 kW SOFC system and conducted life test for 1500 h at fixed power output (1500W) over four start-up/shutdown cycles, and the system power was up to 3000W during the first test sessions. [13].

Researches also have been explored on combine SOFC with gasification to widen the application in more fields for heat utilization besides electrical supply. Lim et al. constructed a pressurized 5 kW class anode-supported planar SOFC power generation system with a pre-former for a fuel cell/gas turbine hybrid system [14]. The results show that the output of the SOFC stack was 4.7 kW for the pre-performed gas while the output increased to 5.1 kW at 3.5 atm (abs.). Modelling of common hybrid configuration of the SOFC-Gas turbine system illustrated a significant efficiency upgrade. The combined SOFC-Gas turbine system produces an electric efficiency up to 50% and better syngas utilization compared to the implementation of each single technology [15]. Subotic et al. discussed the applicability of the SOFC technology for coupling with biomass-gasifier systems, using commercial SOFC single cells of industrial size fueled with different representative producer gas compositions of industrial relevance at two relevant operating temperatures [7]. The results show that feeding SOFC with a producer gas from a downdraft gasifier, with hot gas cleaning operating temperature of 750 °C represents the most favorable setting, considering system integration and the highest fuel utilization.

There are still few commercial SOFC stacks available in market. To build a demonstration of SOFC power generation system in MW class scale, hundreds of stacks should be well assembled and it is important to distribute the fuel gas for each stack in order to prevent fuel starvation. When coupled with gasification to use practical produce gas as fuel, long term durability of stacks should be tested as most research using simulated produce gas. As there still lack of performance results of multi-stacks SOFC system using syngas as fuel from industrial gasifiers fed with coal, we fabricated a test rig of 5 kW SOFC system using practical syngas from industrial gasifier as fuel to explore the feasibility of a MW class IGFC demonstration equipment. As syngas used as fuel for the anode side, it has been reported that it might lead to fast degradation phenomena due to the impurities such as hydrogen sulphide or tars as well as coke deposition [16–19]. Thus an long term test using practical industrial syngas under high fuel utility was conducted to investigate the influence of syngas on the performance of stacks in this work.

2 Experimental

2.1 Test rig

As shown in Fig. 1, a test rig of 5 kW class was designed and implemented, which mainly composed of two parts, a fuel gas supply system and a hotbox shown in Fig. 2. The fuel gas supply system could provide H\textsubscript{2}, syngas, N\textsubscript{2} and stream for the anode of stacks and air for the cathode. The hotbox consists of a heating furnace and 4 SOFC stacks assembled in parallel connection in the heating furnace. The nominal power of the commercial SOFC stack is designed about 1 kW for syngas and H\textsubscript{2} as fuel feeding while it is 1.5 kW for CH\textsubscript{4} case. The test rig was operated in the Synthesis Oil Plant in Ningmei Coal to
Liquid (CTL) Company in Ningxia province, and all the fuel gases were provided by industrial equipment. For the power generation control of test rig, the current of stacks were adjusted with two ITEC IT8904 electronic loads.

In the hotbox, four SOFC stacks were divided into two groups, i.e. two stack towers, and two stacks of each stack tower shared one gas distributor. For both anode and cathode side, two branches of fuel feeding gas connected with the inlet of each distributor. And the tail gases were also gathered into the outlet of the distributor and flew out of the heating furnace. The heating furnace had four heating walls and the temperature could be controlled by the heating power with the precision of ±1℃. The current and power of each stack tower was controlled with a electronic load.

2.2 Test methods

The schematic flowchart of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 3. Hydrogen and syngas from the 4 Mt/a CTL industrial equipment are used as fuel gas supplied to the anode side. Practical stream is added for syngas case and its molar flow rate is equal to CO in the syngas to prevent coke deposition. Nitrogen is used as an inert gas for the anode side. The air used as an oxidant for the cathode is also provided by the CTL plant. The flow rates of gases are controlled by mass flow controllers. The purity of hydrogen is 99.9% and the components of syngas are listed as Table 1. For syngas case, syngas has been desulphurized before it enters into stacks.

| Components of syngas used for the anode side (molar%) | H₂ | CO | N₂ | CH₄ | Ar |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|-----|----|
|                                                     | 61.774 | 36.711 | 1.125 | 0.372 | 0.018 |

As the stacks have been reduced before experimental, a mixture of nitrogen and hydrogen is used as shielding gas for the anode side while air is also used for the cathode side at the start up stage after the stacks were assembled. The inlet pressure of the shielding gas and air are regulated with pressure control valves, and the inlet temperature is controlled by pre-heaters. To save energy, the tail gas flowing out of the stacks transfer heat to the feeding gas flowing into the stacks by high temperature heat exchanger. Then the heating furnace is also controlled the heating power to keep the temperature increasing rate of stacks within 30℃/h. As the system temperature increase, the flow rate of cooling water of secondary heat exchanger is regulated to maintain the temperature of tail gas out of the system, and fans are applied to maintain the system pressure. When the temperature of stacks reaches the working temperature, it maintains 1 h and the shielding gas shift to fuel gas. As the test goes, the current is increased and more heat would be produced. Thus the flow rate of air is also adapted to keep the system temperature stable. For the stack, most data is for methane case and no data for syngas case. Therefore, test of hydrogen was performed initially and then hydrogen was changed to syngas. In both cases, the furnace was operated at 770℃.
3 Results And Discussion

3.1 Performance verification of stack towers design

As the power generation system consists of two stack towers and each tower consist of two stacks, the feasibility of stack towers design was firstly identified. As shown in Fig. 4, the results of I-V and I-P of multi-stacks illustrate that the average open circuit voltage (OCV) is 62.35V and the voltage decrease to 41.89V when the current increase to 36A. The system power reaches the design value i.e. 5 kW when the current is 29A. The consistence between the two stack towers also could be characterized by the data of electronic load data of each stack tower, as shown in Fig. 5. The voltage and power of each stack towers under the same current is almost the same all the test range. As shown in Fig. 6, ASR of the multi-stacks power generation system stabilize at about 0.35Ω·cm$^2$ as the current density increase up to 200 mA/cm$^2$. The maximum current density is about 350 mA/cm$^2$ and the fuel utility is larger than 90%. Thus, the performance test results of stack towers identify the reliable design of stack towers.

3.2 Performance results of long term test

A long term performance test using hydrogen and syngas as fuel feeding was conducted. The experimental result of hydrogen as fuel feeding is shown in Fig. 7. As the fuel flowrate increases, and the output power increases from 3700W to 5700W. The operation data is very stable and few fluctuations appear in the operation. The detailed operation conditions and results of cases would be discussed in following.

The experimental results of syngas as fuel feeding are shown in Fig. 8. As the fuel flowrate increases, the output power increases from 4500W to 5600W. The currents of different cases are very stable while there are fluctuations appeared in the output power. The fluctuations in the output power are caused by fluctuations in the feeding stream flowrate as the pressure of stream from the plant is not very stable. The detailed operation conditions and results of cases would be discussed in following.

The long term performance test lasted about 600 h and the overview of the results is illustrated in Fig. 9. Totally ten cases of different feeding have been conducted, and the operation conditions as well as the results of electrical efficiency $E_f$ and fuel utility efficiency $U_f$ are listed in Table 2. At first, hydrogen is used as fuel feeding to start up the generation system at low fuel utility level. When the operation becomes stable, the fuel flow rate is increased and the current accordingly is increased to keep the fuel utility efficiency above 80%. Then the operation at high fuel utility level lasted for 500 h. As for the syngas cases, the ratio of $H_2$/CO was adjusted to investigate its influence on the system performance. As shown in Table 2, the maximum electrical efficiency is up to 61.24% and the according fuel utility efficiency is 89.25% in steady operation with hydrogen feeding. For syngas case, the maximum electrical efficiency is up to 56.15% and the according fuel utility efficiency is 88.22%. The maximum output power in steady operation is 5700W for hydrogen feeding cases and it is 5660 W for syngas cases with the approximate feeding flowrate, while the electrical efficiency of hydrogen case was about 2% larger than that of syngas
case. No obvious influence of \( \mathrm{H}_2/\mathrm{CO} \) ratio has been found in the experiment as the operation time is not long for each case and should be further investigated.

| case | \( \mathrm{H}_2 \) flowrate (slm) | Syngas flowrate (slm) | \( \mathrm{H}_2/\mathrm{CO} \) ratio | Current (A) | Power (W) | \( E_f \) (%) | \( U_f \) (%) |
|------|---------------------------------|------------------------|################################|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1    | 52                              | -                      |                                   | 80          | 3700      | 43.25     | 59.70     |
| 2    | 40                              | -                      |                                   | 84          | 3840      | 58.35     | 81.49     |
| 3    | 40                              | -                      |                                   | 92          | 4030      | 61.24     | 89.25     |
| 4    | -                               | 47.5                   |                                   | 108         | 4560      | 56.15     | 88.22     |
| 5    | -                               | 57.67                  |                                   | 124         | 5220      | 52.98     | 83.43     |
| 6    | -                               | 60.5                   |                                   | 130         | 5430      | 52.53     | 83.38     |
| 7    | -                               | 62.5                   |                                   | 136         | 5630      | 52.73     | 84.43     |
| 8    | -                               | 62                     |                                   | 136         | 5660      | 53.11     | 85.12     |
| 9    | -                               | 62.75                  |                                   | 136         | 5660      | 52.90     | 84.10     |
| 10   | 61.9                            | -                      |                                   | 136         | 5700      | 55.95     | 85.23     |

Performance comparison of stack tower1 of initial with after 500 h operation is shown in Fig. 10. The voltage and power of stack tower1 is slightly decreased at low current after 500 h operation compared with that of initial stage, while the performance is almost the same as initial when the current is increased above 50A. The result of stack tower2 shown in Fig. 11 illustrates the similar tendency. As shown in Fig. 12, comparison of stack tower1 and tower2 after 500 h operation shows almost same performance, just slight different when the current is larger than 60A. The results also illustrate the long term stability of stack towers and the whole system.

### 4 Conclusions

In this work, a test rig of 5 kW SOFC system was fabricated using practical syngas from industrial gasifiers as fuel and long term test under high fuel utility was conducted to investigate the system performance. The results show that the maximum steady output power of system is 5700W for hydrogen case and 5660W for syngas case, and the maximum steady electrical efficiency is 61.24% while the fuel utility efficiency is 89.25%. The test lasted for more than 500 h as the fuel utility efficiency larger than 83%. The mean performance of stack towers is better than that of single stack, and the performances of each stack tower are almost identical. After 500 h operation, the performances of stack towers just slight decrease under lower current and almost not change under higher current. Therefore, the results illustrate
that the reliability of multi-stacks design and the prospect of SOFC power generation system for further enlarging its application for a MWth demonstration.
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