To the Editor:

Resistance/intolerance to hydroxyurea (HU) develops in 20–30% of patients with polycythemia vera (PV) and has been associated with increased risk of thrombosis, disease transformation and lower survival [1–5]. Recently, a molecular classification of myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs) based on data provided by next-generation sequencing (NGS) techniques has been proposed [6]. This classification, which stratifies MPN patients into eight molecular groups, may potentially be useful for personalizing prognosis and treatment; however, the impact of this genomic classification in the risk of development of HU resistance is unknown.

The objective of the present study was to characterize PV patients with resistance to HU by genomic classification and to assess its value in predicting disease progression and survival. Samples obtained from 61 HU-resistant patients at diagnosis (n = 38) and/or at time of resistance (n = 45) were analyzed by NGS. Cases with paired samples (n = 22) were sequenced at both time points. Fifty-nine HU-treated patients with no developed resistance were also sequenced by NGS and used as controls. Patients were hierarchically allocated into eight molecular subgroups according to the algorithm reported by Grinfeld et al. [6]. Patients were classified according to the result of the available sample or at time of resistance in those with paired samples. Resistance to HU was assessed according to the ELN modified criteria as described by Barosi et al. [7] and PV diagnosis was established according to World Health Organization criteria [8]. Informed consent was obtained for scientific use of the patients’ clinico-hematological data and biological samples, and the study was approved by the Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria La Fe institutional review board. Survival analyses and time-to-event curves were calculated from date of HU initiation.

The main clinical characteristics of the 61 PV patients with resistance to HU and the 59 controls are shown in supplemental Table 1. Median time on HU therapy was 4.6 years in cases and 5.9 years in controls (p not significant for the comparison). Types of resistance were need for phlebotomies (n = 13), progressive splenomegaly (n = 3), uncontrolled myeloproliferation (n = 6), and cytopenia (n = 39). All patients except one were JAK2-positive. Mutations other than JAK2 were frequently observed in resistant patients, which were reclassified as PV with TP53 disruption/aneuploidy (16.4%), PV with spliceosome/
chromatin mutations (37.7%), PV with homozygous JAK2 mutation (27.9%), and PV with heterozygous JAK2 mutation (16.4%), whereas the corresponding figures in controls were 1.7%, 11.9%, 44%, and 41%, respectively (p < 0.0001, Supplemental Table 2). Distribution of mutations by genomic classification in HU-resistant patients is shown in Fig. 1. In resistant patients with paired samples at diagnosis and at time of resistance, a total of 18 new variants were documented in 9 patients corresponding to a rate of 12.9 new mutations × 100 person years. The main characteristics of these nine patients are shown in supplemental Table 3. The genomic subgroup changed at the time of HU resistance in six cases (27%), four of them as a result of mutation acquisition in TP53 or ZRSR2 genes (two cases each) and the remaining two due to transition from heterozygous to homozygous JAK2 mutational status.

Time to HU resistance according to genomic classification is shown in Fig. 2a. The probability of developing resistance after 5 years of HU treatment was 64% in patients with TP53 disruption/aneuploidy, 49% with spliceosome or chromatin aberrations, 27% with homozygous JAK2 mutation, and 14.5% with heterozygous JAK2 mutation (p < 0.0001 for comparison between groups). In multivariate analysis, genomic classification was associated with risk of resistance to HU (HR 2.2, 95% CI: 1.5–3.2, p < 0.0001) after correction for age (HR: 1.01, 95% CI: 0.97–1.03, p = 0.9), sex (HR: 0.7, 95% CI: 0.9–1.2, p = 0.4), Hb value (HR: 1.08, 95% CI: 0.9–1.2, p = 0.3), leukocyte...
count (HR: 1.1; 95% CI: 1.01–1.18, p = 0.02), platelet count (HR: 1.0, 95% CI: 0.9–1.002, p = 0.9), and spleen size (HR 1.03, 95% CI: 0.9–1.2, p = 0.7). Type of resistance according to molecular subgroup is shown in Supplemental Table 4.

With a median follow-up of 7 years from HU initiation (6.5 years for cases and 7.3 for controls, p = 0.6) 38 patients died, resulting in a projected median survival of 15.7 years from HU initiation (95% CI: 7.6–23.8). Probability of survival at 10 years from HU initiation was 94%, 75%, 48%, and 59% in patients with heterozygous JAK2 mutation, homozygous JAK2 mutation, aberrations in spliceosome/chromatin genes, and TP53 disruption/aneuploidy, respectively (Fig. 2b, p < 0.0001). In multivariate analysis and after correction for age, patients with TP53 disruption/aneuploidy or spliceosome/chromatin mutations showed increased risk of death compared with JAK2 heterozygous patients (HR: 4.2, 95% CI: 1.2–15.1, p = 0.026) and JAK2 homozygous patients (HR: 2.1, 95% CI: 1.01–4.04, p = 0.046).

There were no significant differences in thrombosis incidence by genomic classification except between JAK2 homozygous and heterozygous patients (p = 0.047). Patients with spliceosome or chromatin gene aberrations progressed faster to MF than those in the other molecular groups whereas the rate of progression to MF was similar among patients with TP53 disruption/aneuploidy and JAK2 homozygosity (Fig. 2c). The probability of MF remained low only in JAK2 heterozygous patients (p < 0.0001, Fig. 2c). Nine progressions to AML and three to myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) were registered mainly in patients with TP53 disruption/aneuploidy or aberrations in spliceosome/chromatin genes (p < 0.0001, Fig. 2d).

To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study addressing the genomic complexity of PV patients developing resistance to HU and the first to apply the recently

Fig. 2 Outcomes according to genomic classification in 120 patients with polycythemia vera treated with hydroxyurea (HU). a Time to hydroxyurea resistance. b Probability of survival. c Probability of myelofibrotic transformation. d Probability of progression to acute myeloid leukemia. Solid red line: TP53 disruption/aneuploidy. Dotted red line: spliceosome/chromatin aberration. Solid black line: homozygous JAK2 mutation. Dotted black line: heterozygous JAK2 mutation. p < 0.0001 for all comparisons.
proposed MPN molecular classification in this clinical setting [6]. As expected, resistant patients were frequently located in the high-risk molecular groups, which would explain the high rate of disease progression and worse survival reported in such patients [1, 2]. Thus, 17% of HU-resistant patients corresponded to the TP53 disruption/aneuploidy category, while up to 40% were classified within the group with spliceosome/chromatin gene mutations, percentages clearly higher than the ones observed in non-resistant HU-treated controls and general PV patients [6]. Moreover, in up to 27% of patients the molecular group allocated at diagnosis had changed at time of resistance, highlighting the importance of sequential studies in patients receiving cytoreductive treatment. The latter finding could be explained by the existence of subclonal mutations not detectable at diagnosis that would expand under HU therapy, or by the appearance of new mutations due to genomic instability [9–11].

HU resistance developed especially rapid in patients with TP53 mutation. Similarly, a high proportion of patients with mutations in spliceosome/chromatin genes developed resistance to HU, but in this case the number increased progressively during follow-up, affecting virtually all patients at longest follow-up. Molecular classification revealed a particular pattern of progression under HU therapy in each molecular subgroup. Thus, in patients with TP53 disruption the natural history of the disease was affected mainly by the high probability of AML in the first five years of therapy, while in patients with mutations in spliceosome/chromatin genes, transformation to MF was more notable. High rates of thrombosis and progression to MF were observed in the JAK2 homozygous mutation group. Finally, patients classified as heterozygous JAK2 mutation had low rates of resistance, thrombosis and disease progression during HU treatment.

The main limitation of the present study is the relatively small number of patients included and the lack of samples from PV diagnosis in a proportion of cases. However, it is important to underline that there were no significant differences between cases and controls regarding general characteristics such as age, sex, history of thrombosis or duration of HU treatment, and that the distribution of molecular groups in the controls was totally superimposable to that described in Grinfeld et al. ’s pivotal study [6].

In conclusion, our data should prove useful in clinical decision-making. They support the implementation of NGS techniques in clinical practice to select the most appropriate treatment for PV patients. New drugs are clearly needed for PV patients with high molecular risk, since results of HU treatment are poor. Improvement of preventive measures for thrombosis is essential in HU-treated patients with homozygous JAK2 mutation. Conversely, patients classified within the heterozygous JAK2 mutation category can benefit from long-term cytoreduction with HU, as demonstrated by their low rates of resistance, thrombosis and disease progression.
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