The present study was performed to evaluate the therapeutic safety and feasibility of purse-string sutures with nylon loops and metal clips under single-channel endoscopy to repair gastrointestinal wall defects that had previously developed during endoscopic full-thickness resection (EFR). A multicenter prospective cohort study of 42 patients who had developed defects of the gastrointestinal wall during EFR was conducted from April 2012 to October 2016. All lesions were endoscopically repaired with either a single-channel gastroscope (research group, n=18) or double-channel gastroscope (control group, n=24). The patients’ clinical features, purse-string suturing times and complication rates were analyzed. There was no significant difference in the perforation rate between the research and control groups. There were also no significant differences in the purse-string suturing time (research vs. control group, 10.5 vs. 14.6 min, respectively; P=0.214), specimen size or complication rate (subcutaneous emphysema) between the two groups. No recurrences were observed during the follow-up period. The current data suggest that application of purse-string sutures with nylon loops and metal clips for repair of EFR-induced gastrointestinal wall defects may be safely and feasibly applied under single-channel gastroscopy as well as under double-channel gastroscopy.

Introduction

With the development of gastrointestinal endoscopy, particularly endoscopic ultrasonography (1), the detection rate of benign submucosal tumors of the digestive tract has gradually increased (2). Submucosal tumors are often considered to be relatively benign; however, they have malignant potential, particularly if they originate from the muscularis propria layer (3). Gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST), the most common neoplasm that originates from the muscularis propria layer of the gastrointestinal tract, is diagnosed as malignant in 10-30% of cases (4). Additionally, the fear of such tumors often causes psychological problems for patients and a subsequent medical burden (5).

Endoscopic full-thickness resection (EFR) (6) is a novel nonsurgical method for radical treatment of submucosal tumors. The application of purse-string sutures with nylon loops and metal clips using a double-channel gastroscope is a commonly used treatment method for defects of the digestive tract resulting from EFR of submucosal tumors (7,8). However, a double-channel gastroscope is not as commonly used as a single-channel gastroscope in the majority of endoscopic centers in China. Thus, the present study aimed to investigate the therapeutic safety and feasibility of the application of purse-string sutures with nylon loops and metal clips under single-channel endoscopy in patients with EFR-induced gastrointestinal wall defects.

A prospective cohort study of 42 patients with gastrointestinal wall defects after EFR was conducted in the First People's Hospital of Wujiang District and the Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University (Jiangsu, China). In the present study, the feasibility and safety of purse-string suture placement under single- vs. double-channel gastroscopy was assessed.

Materials and methods

Medical ethics. The Medical Ethics Committees of The First People's Hospital of Wujiang District (Suzhou, China) and The Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University (Suzhou, China) approved the current study. The inclusion criterion was...
the presence of a digestive tract defect following EFR of a submucosal tumor. The exclusion criteria (6) for patients were: i) Non-correctable coagulopathy; ii) severe organ failure; iii) a comorbidity requiring continuous antithrombotic medication; iv) procedure time >180 min.

Patients. From April 2012 to October 2016 in the endoscopic centers of the First People's Hospital of Wujiang District and the Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, a total of 42 patients (age, 49.0±16.6 years; 21 men, 48.3±18.1 years; 21 women, 49.5±16.5 years) with full-thickness defects of the gastrointestinal wall that had occurred during EFR of submucosal tumors (27 in the stomach, 5 in the duodenal bulb and 10 in the rectum) were prospectively investigated. All study participants provided their written informed consent. Two groups were formed using opaque sealed envelopes according to a computer-generated randomized set of numbers. Eighteen patients underwent defect repair using purse-string suture placement with nylon loops and metal clips under a single-channel endoscope (research group), and 24 patients underwent purse-string suture placement with nylon loops and metal clips under a double-channel endoscope (control group).

Medical instruments. The following medical instruments were used during treatment: UM-2R (12 MHZ) and UM-3R (20 MHz) miniature ultrasonic probes (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), EUM 2000 endoscopic ultrasonography system (Olympus), GIF-260J with flushing function (Olympus), GIF-2T260J with double channel (Olympus), ND-201-11802 cap (Olympus), ITKnife2 Electrosurgical Knife (KD-611L; Olympus), KD-620LR hook knife (Olympus), NM-4i-1 injection needle (Olympus), FD-410I hot biopsy forceps (Olympus), MAJ-254 and MAJ-340 nylon loops (Olympus), SD-210U-25 snare (Olympus), HDN-300-360 hemostatic clips (Olympus), VIO 200S electrosurgical unit (Erbe Electromedizin GmbH, Tübingen, Germany) and CO₂ supply system (Olympus). All procedures were primarily completed by three chief physicians who had performed more than 100 cases of endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD).

Suture method for digestive tract defects. A new suture method was applied in the research group. First, a nylon loop was fixed on a pusher, and the nylon loop was then loosened and tied tightly around the front end of the cap while still holding the handle of the pusher. Third, the chief endoscopist slowly pulled the endoscope backward while the assistant pushed the nylon loop forward until the field of vision was wholly exposed. Fourth, the angle of the metal clips was adjusted to enable the clips to bring the nylon loop to the distal end of the wall defect, and the nylon loop was clipped as tightly as possible into the full layer or muscle layer of the defected wall. Fifth, clipping of the nylon loop to the other side of the defected wall with metal clips was continued using a total of four to six pieces. Sixth, the assistant tightened the handle of the nylon loop to narrow the nylon loop, closed the defected wall, and pulled out the nylon loop pusher.

Finally, the residual defected wall was clipped with metal clips if necessary (Fig. 2).

The standard suture method was applied in the control group. First, the nylon loop and metal clips were inserted through the two channels of the double-channel endoscope, respectively. Second, the nylon loop was opened under direct endoscopic vision, and the loop was then clipped and fixed to the peripheral edge of the defected wall with metal clips, as in the research group. Third, the assistant tightened the handle of the nylon loop to narrow the nylon loop, closed the defected wall, and pulled out the nylon loop pusher. Finally, the residual defected wall was clipped with metal clips if necessary.

Postoperative management. Fasting, fluid infusion, nutritional support, hemostasis and antibiotics were administered as routine treatment. Nasogastric negative pressure drainage and a semi-reclining position were adopted following surgery when the resected submucosal tumor was located in the upper gastrointestinal tract, and proton pump inhibitors were also administered. Patients were monitored for clinical symptoms and signs, including abdominal pain, abdominal distension, fever, melena, haematemesis and signs of peritonitis. Endoscopy was repeated and the mucosal healing condition was carefully observed 3 months postoperatively. At 6 and 12 months postoperatively, endoscopy was repeated to determine whether any recurrence of the submucosal tumor had occurred.

End points and subgroup analyses. The primary outcome was the success rate of all patients that underwent purse-string suture placement with nylon loops and metal clips, and whether all tumors were resected and taken out. The secondary outcomes were total procedure time and treatment outcomes of ESD (decrease in hemoglobin, days of antibiotic used and average hospital stay). These endpoints were also compared between the two groups.

Statistical analysis. Statistical evaluations were performed using SPSS 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Numerical data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation, and categorical variables are expressed as mean (percentage). Comparisons between the control group and research group were performed using one-way analysis of variance for continuous variables. Student's t-test was conducted for the continuous variable age. The Pearson's chi-square test was used to test for differences in categorical variables. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.
Results

Patient outcomes. The clinical characteristics of patients are presented in Table I. No significant differences were identified between the two groups (P>0.05). All 42 patients underwent purse-string suture placement with nylon loops and metal clips with a 100% success rate. All tumors (1.0-3.0 cm) were successfully resected and taken out. The procedure time was 10.5±5.6 min in the research group and 14.6±4.5 min in the control group, with no significant difference (P>0.05). The mean hospital stay was 4.5±1.0 days in the research group and 4.5±2.5 days in the control group, with no significant difference (P>0.05) and no further consultation with a general surgeon or transfer to the general surgery department was required in any patient. The detailed results are presented in Table II.

No cases of hydropneumothorax, mediastinal emphysema or subcutaneous emphysema occurred during the procedure. Eight patients in the research group and 10 patients in the control group underwent abdominal puncture and air drainage due to visible pneumoperitoneum, with no significant difference between the groups (P>0.05). No postoperative bleeding (hematemesis, melena) was observed in either group.

Postoperative follow-up. Postoperative follow-up was performed for all 42 patients (100%). The gastrointestinal wall defects had completely healed with no residual metal clips or nylon loops 3 months after EFR treatment. No submucosal tumor recurrences were observed at 6 and 12 months postoperatively.

Discussion

Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) and ESD techniques are now performed worldwide (9). Complications of these procedures include iatrogenic active perforation or unpredictable iatrogenic perforation (10). There is an urgent requirement for endoscopists to repair these gastrointestinal perforations under EMR/ESD/EFR rather than transfer these patients to undergo a general operation. Metal clips are used to close the defects, particularly following ESD and EFR (11). However, when defects of ≥3 cm or severe mucosal edema are present, closure using metal clips alone is challenging (12). Recent developments of the Over-The-Scope Clip system (Ovesco Endoscopy AG, Tübingen, Germany) (13), cutting and sewing machines (14) and artificial repair material (15) have facilitated suturing of iatrogenic perforations following EMR/ESD and EFR, however, the costs of these medical devices and materials are high.

In 2012, Zhong et al (7), successfully closed EMR-induced mucosal defects using a new technique involving purse-string suture placement with metal clips and nylon loops. However, the purse-string sutures were usually placed with a double-channel endoscope in this technique, which limits its widespread clinical use since many hospitals do not have double-channel endoscopes.

Since April 2012 in the First People's Hospital of Wujiang District and the Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University the application of purse-string sutures with nylon loops and metal clips under single-channel endoscopy has been successfully used to close EFR-induced gastrointestinal defects in 18 patients. Many other techniques have also been applied since April 2012; for example, the gastric tube insertion method was initially attempted using a single-channel endoscope. This maneuver was performed by insertion of a nylon loop into the gastric cavity first, followed by insertion of the endoscope into the stomach, opening of the nylon loop under direct endoscopic vision, and placement of the nylon loop onto the defects with the help of metal clips. However, because of the hardness of the head of the nylon loop pusher, rough insertion of the nylon loop pusher through the patient's throat could easily cause injury. To avoid this complication,
A new technique was developed. This involved synchronous insertion of the nylon loop pusher along with the endoscope, temporary fixation of the nylon loop onto the head of the cap, insertion of the endoscope with the nylon loop to the gastrointestinal wall defects, loosening of the nylon loop and removal of the cap, and gradual purse-string suture placement after metal clip insertion through the channel of the endoscope.

Certain advantages of single-over double-channel endoscopy were also identified by practicing this maneuver using a single-channel endoscope in 18 patients. First, when the nylon loop is inserted into the gastrointestinal tract using a single-channel endoscope, placement of the loop onto the defect under the guidance of metal clips is considerably easier than with a double-channel endoscope, with which the direction of the clips and nylon loops is relatively fixed. Second, reversal of the endoscope to complete the suture placement is more difficult with a double- than single-channel endoscope. Third, better operational space and flexibility are achieved when the endoscopists and assistants independently reach the defect with the endoscope and nylon loop under single-channel endoscopy.

Collaboration between the endoscopist and assistant is essential to complete the purse-string suture placement.

In the current prospective study, no significant differences in operation time, occurrence of bleeding, occurrence of fever, rate of 3-day postoperative resumption of an oral diet or hospital stay were identified between the research and control groups. This lack of differences suggests that purse-string suture placement under single-channel endoscopy is as safe and efficient as under double-channel endoscopy.

In conclusion, purse-string suture placement with nylon loops and metal clips under single-channel endoscopy appears to be as safe, economical, convenient and efficient as that under double-channel endoscopy. Furthermore, because endoscopists and assistants manipulate the nylon loop pusher and metal clips independently under a single-channel endoscope, a single-channel scope has better operational space and flexibility compared with a double-channel endoscope, making full-thickness suturing of digestive tract defects easier to perform. It is worth considering the widespread application of single-channel endoscopes for repair of such defects, particularly in hospitals without a double-channel endoscope.

### Table I. Comparison of clinical characteristics between the two groups.

| Characteristic                        | Research group | Control group | P-value |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| No. of patients                       | 18             | 24            | -       |
| Sex (male:female)                     | 8:10           | 13:11         | 0.38    |
| Age, years (mean ± SD)                | 48.5±18.2      | 49.5±16.8     | 0.27    |
| Location of the lesion (S:D:R)        | 12:2:5         | 15:3:5        | 0.52    |
| Median maximum tumor diameter, mm (range) | 23.4 (17.0-30.0) | 23.7 (17.0-30.0) | 0.34 |
| Median maximum specimen diameter, mm (range) | 29.2 (17.0-30.0) | 30.6 (17.0-30.0) | 0.32 |

SD, standard deviation; S, stomach; D, bulb of duodenum; R, rectum.

### Table II. Comparison of outcome measures between the two groups.

| Outcome                               | Research group (n=18) | Control group (n=24) | P-value |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Successful repair, n (%)              | 18 (100)              | 24 (100)             | -       |
| Decrease in Hb, g/dl, mean ± SD       | 1.4±0.7               | 1.5±0.8              | 0.177   |
| Procedure time, min, mean ± SD        | 10.5±5.6              | 14.6±4.5             | 0.146   |
| Muscle injury, n (%)                  | 4 (22.2)              | 6 (25.0)             | -       |
| Postoperative bleeding (hematemesis, melena), n (%) | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)               | -       |
| Postoperative fever, n (%)            | 0 (0)                 | 0 (0)                | -       |
| Postoperative abdominal pain, n (%)   | 10 (55.6)             | 14 (58.3)            | 0.226   |
| Postoperative sepsis, n (%)           | 0                     | 0                    | -       |
| Postoperative GI tract leakage, n (%) | 0                     | 0                    | -       |
| Antibiotic use, days, mean ± SD       | 1.5±0.5               | 1.5±0.5              | 0.245   |
| Hydropneumothorax/mediastinal emphysema/subcutaneous emphysema, n (%) | 0/0/0 (0/0/0) | 0/0/0 (0/0/0) | - |
| Pneumoperitoneum, n (%)               | 8 (44.4)              | 10 (41.7)            | 0.189   |
| Restart food on POD 3, n (%)          | 14 (77.8)             | 18 (75.0)            | 0.381   |
| Hospital stay, days, mean ± SD        | 4.5±1.0               | 4.5±2.5              | 0.600   |

Hb, hemoglobin; SD, standard deviation; GI, gastrointestinal; POD, postoperative day.
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