The Primary Enveloped Virion of Herpes Simplex Virus 1: Its Role in Nuclear Egress
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ABSTRACT Many viruses migrate between different cellular compartments for successive stages of assembly. The HSV-1 capsid assembles in the nucleus and then transfers into the cytoplasm. First, the capsid buds through the inner nuclear membrane, becoming coated with nuclear egress complex (NEC) protein. This yields a primary enveloped virion (PEV) whose envelope fuses with the outer nuclear membrane, releasing the capsid into the cytoplasm. We investigated the associated molecular mechanisms by isolating PEVs from US3-null-infected cells and imaging them by cryo-electron microscopy and tomography. (pUS3 is a viral protein kinase in whose absence PEVs accumulate in the perinuclear space.) Unlike mature extracellular virions, PEVs have very few glycoprotein spikes. PEVs are ~20% smaller than mature virions, and the little space available between the capsid and the NEC layer suggests that most tegument proteins are acquired later in the egress pathway. Previous studies have proposed that NEC is organized as hexamers in honeycomb arrays in PEVs, but we find arrays of heptameric rings in extracts from US3-null-infected cells. In a PEV, NEC contacts the capsid predominantly via the pUL17/pUL25 complexes which are located close to the capsid vertices. Finally, the NEC layer dissociates from the capsid as it leaves the nucleus, possibly in response to pUS3-mediated phosphorylation. Overall, nuclear egress emerges as a process driven by a program of multiple weak interactions.

IMPORTANCE On its maturation pathway, the newly formed HSV-1 nucleocapsid must traverse the nuclear envelope, while respecting the integrity of that barrier. Nucleocapsids (125 nm in diameter) are too large to pass through the nuclear pore complexes that conduct most nucleocytoplasmic traffic. It is now widely accepted that the process involves envelopment/de-envelopment of a key intermediate—the primary enveloped virion. In wild-type infections, PEVs are short-lived, which has impeded study. Using a mutant that accumulates PEVs in the perinuclear space, we were able to isolate PEVs in sufficient quantity for structural analysis by cryo-electron microscopy and tomography. The findings not only elucidate the maturation pathway of an important human pathogen but also have implications for cellular processes that involve the trafficking of large macromolecular complexes.
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Herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) is the archetypal member of the Herpesviridae, an extensive family of viruses that infect hosts throughout the animal kingdom. Eight herpesviruses cause diseases in humans, including skin lesions, encephalitis, and cancers. Herpesviruses have large genomes of double-stranded DNA (152 kbp in the case of HSV-1) and need correspondingly large and elaborate virions to accommodate them. In the infected cell nucleus, HSV-1 replicates its genome and assembles its capsid, a
125-nm-diameter $T = 16$ icosahedral particle built from 10 different proteins (1). Subsequently, the nucleocapsid is transferred into the cytoplasm and goes on to acquire its tegument—a heterogeneous protein coating—and its envelope.

The HSV-1 capsid is too large to exit the nucleus via a nuclear pore, which has an exclusion limit of about 40 nm (2); instead, it employs a two-step process of envelopment/de-envelopment, based on interactions between the capsid, the inner nuclear membrane (INM), and the nuclear egress complex (NEC), a heterodimer of two virally encoded proteins: a membrane protein (pUL34) and one with affinity for the capsid (pUL31) (3). First, the nucleocapsid buds into the perinuclear space (PNS), producing a primary enveloped virion (PEV). Second, the PEV envelope fuses with the outer nuclear membrane (ONM), releasing the nucleocapsid into the cytoplasm. A cellular process for nucleocytoplasmic transport of large RNA complexes has recently been described (4, 5) that is mechanistically similar. The present study addressed the structural basis for the HSV-1 nuclear egress pathway and, in particular, the structure of the PEV.

Herpesvirus assembly begins with the formation of a metastable precursor called the procapsid that matures via a transformation of its structure and its affinity for other proteins (1). The surface shells of the three maturation products—A-capsids (empty), B-capsids (retaining scaffold protein), and C-capsids (with packaged genome)—have essentially the same structure except that C-capsids have, on average, 4-fold more of the heterodimeric pUL17/pUL25 complex [also known as the C-capsid-specific complex (CCSC) (6) or the capsid vertex-specific complex (CVSC) (7)]. This distinction correlates with the relative alacrity with which C-capsids embark upon nuclear egress (8). It has been proposed that DNA packaging induces a subtle conformational change in the C-capsid that enhances the affinity of the CCSC binding sites (6).

Recent papers have described the structure and certain other properties of the NEC. In vitro binding experiments (9) and cryo-electron tomography (cryo-ET) of cells over-expressing the NEC (10) have shown that the NEC is sufficient for membrane budding. Its structure has been solved by X-ray crystallography for the HSV-1 protein (11) and for the closely related pseudo-rabies virus (PrV) (11, 12) and cytomegalovirus (13). However, it has not been clear how the NEC interacts with the capsid. On one hand, an interaction between pUL31 and the CCSC was inferred, based on coimmunoprecipitation and biochemical analyses of wild-type and mutant capsids (14). On the other hand, it has been reported that pUL31 can interact with the capsid in the absence of pUL25 (15, 16). Moreover, earlier pulldown experiments suggested an interaction between pUL31 and major capsid protein pUL19 (VP5) (17).

The first sighting of a PEV by electron microscopy (EM) of thin sections of infected cells dates from 1969 (18). Since then, some progress has been made (19–21), albeit hampered by the fact that PEVs are transient particles, difficult to isolate in bulk. More recently, it has been discovered that certain mutants block the transfer of PEVs into the cytoplasm so that they accumulate in the PNS (22–25). In this study, we used a mutation of this kind and developed a method based on classical techniques of nuclei isolation, disruption, and differential centrifugation to obtain preparations enriched in PEVs. While the yields are not high, they have allowed detailed structural analysis by electron microscopy. In addition to PEVs, our isolates contained sacs, also called herniations or invaginations. These are artificial compartments created by the bulging of tracts of INM back into the nucleus, and they contain PEVs. These observations have allowed us to propose a model for how the NEC interacts with the capsid via the CCSC.

**RESULTS**

**Isolation of PEVs.** Nuclei were extracted from cells infected with a US3-null mutant and disrupted by sonication; this material was then fractionated by differential sedimentation. The fractions with the highest content of PEVs were identified by Western blotting with an anti-pUL31 antibody and negative-staining EM (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). PEVs have a distinctive morphology and could be readily identified for structural analysis by EM and ET.
Negative-staining EM. Images of PEVs typically present three layers. The outermost layer is an envelope, derived from the inner nuclear membrane. The innermost layer is the capsid. Between them is an 8.5-nm-thick layer that we interpret as a monolayer of NEC molecules (Fig. 1). On many particles, a “picket fence” motif is visible in the NEC layer (examples are boxed in Fig. 1A and B). The repeat most commonly observed was at ~6 nm (5.9 ± 0.2 nm), and one was also detected at ~10.5 nm (10.3 ± 0.7 nm). These spacings correspond to two side-projections of a hexagonal array with a lattice constant of ~12 nm (Fig. S2A). Because picket fence tracts do not repeat at symmetry-related positions on opposite sides of a given particle, we infer that there is no global symmetry to the NEC lattice, which is, rather, a patchwork of locally ordered domains.

Cryo-ET of PEVs. Central slices through tomograms of two intact PEVs shown in Fig. 1E and F are compared with mature virions in Fig. 1C and D. A larger field is shown in Fig. S3. Mature virions are about 20% larger and more variable in size, i.e., 195 ± 11 nm (186 nm, in an earlier determination [26]) versus 158 ± 5 nm in diameter. Their envelopes are crowded with protruding glycoprotein spikes. In contrast, PEVs have very few spikes (Fig. 1E and F and 2A and B). Specifically, mature virions average 650 to 700 spikes per particle (26), whereas PEVs have only 16 ± 6 (range, 6 to 26).

In mature virions, the space between the capsid and the envelope is occupied by the tegument, which is much thicker and more voluminous than the corresponding region of a PEV, which is largely filled by the NEC layer (cf. Fig. 1E and F and 1C and D).

Despite strenuous efforts to isolate intact PEVs, many (~55%) were missing part of their envelope/NEC layer complex (e.g., Fig. 1G and H), apparently reflecting breakage during isolation or incomplete budding. A picket fence motif was less commonly observed in tomographic slices than in negatively stained projections, suggesting that a slice has to be more precisely oriented than in the NEC array for the periodicity to be seen, but in some regions, the 12-nm repeat is evident (see, e.g., the boxed areas in Fig. 1G and H). Serial slices through the PEV tomogram in Fig. S4 show a NEC layer that is complete, apart from a gap ~40 nm across. We interpret this gap as a site where a PEV budded off and refer to it as a “fontanelle.”

With tomograms, the kind of capsid present in a given PEV is readily identifiable. In our data set (n = 101), the majority (82%) contain C-capsids (e.g., Fig. 1E and F), but we also observed a few with A-capsids (~13%; e.g., Fig. 2C) or B-capsids (~5%; e.g., Fig. 2D).

Cryo-EM reconstruction of PEVs. We also imaged PEVs by cryo-EM, anticipating that three-dimensional (3D) reconstructions should give higher resolution for features conforming to icosahedral symmetry. Some intact PEVs are shown in Fig. 3A and B. In
this data set, 93% of the PEVs contained C-capsids, with the remainder containing an A-capsid or a B-capsid. We calculated a 3D reconstruction by a projection-matching approach (Fig. 3C). The modest number of PEVs with intact envelopes and NEC layers limited the resolution to ~2 nm for the region containing the capsid and to ~3.5 nm for the whole particle (Fig. S5).

**FIG 2** Central cryo-ET slices from reconstructions of four PEVs. The particles in panel A and B have a few protruding densities (white arrows). The PEV in panel C contains an A-capsid and has an unusually large fontanelle delimited by white bars, while the PEV in panel D contains a B-capsid. Scale bar = 50 nm.

**FIG 3** Cryo-EM reconstruction of PEVs. (A and B) Cryo-micrographs of intact PEVs. (C to E) Central sections of reconstructions of a PEV (C), a C-capsid (EMDB code 1354) (D), and an in vitro-matured B-capsid (27) (E). White arrows in panels C to E point to CCSC sites that are occupied in panels C and D but not in panel E. Symmetry-related regions are shown in magnified insets at bottom right in panels C to E. The triplexes to which the CCSC binds are delineated with white contours. The outcrops of density on hexamers indicative of pUL35 are marked with white arrowheads in panels C and D. Scale bars: panels B and D, 50 nm; inset in panel E, 10 nm.
We were particularly interested in whether PEVs contain pUL35 (VP26) and the CCSC, both of which are exposed on the capsid surface and were therefore a priori candidates for NEC binding sites. pUL35 binds after structural maturation of the procapsid creates its binding sites around the tips of the hexons, and it is present at full occupancy on A-capsids, B-capsids, and C-capsids (1). We found that it was also present at full occupancy on PEVs (cf. Fig. 3C and D). The CCSC did not bind to procapsids and was present at 10% to 15% occupancy on A-capsids and B-capsids and at ~60% occupancy on isolated C-capsids (6). Our reconstruction shows that it was present at full (~100%) occupancy on PEVs (Fig. 3C). Comparing this with the lower average occupancy on C-capsids (Fig. 3D) suggests that it is the C-capsids with the highest CCSC content that are taken up into PEVs and/or that additional binding of CCSC takes place during budding.

In the present context, care had to be taken to avoid imprinting features of the starting model—e.g., densities corresponding to the CCSC and pUL35—on the final reconstruction. To guard against this, our starting model was a reconstruction of in vitro-matured B-capsids, which are known to lack both the CCSC and pUL35 (27) (see Fig. 3E). Notwithstanding, both components showed up strongly in the reconstruction (Fig. 3C), which thus validated them as components of the PEV.

The NEC layer is conveyed as a shell ~8.5 nm thick, i.e., about twice as thick as the overlying envelope (Fig. 3C). It shows no sign of in-plane periodicity, affording further evidence that the ordered patches are not in register with the icosahedral lattice of the capsid and consequently were smeared laterally, giving a uniform sheet of density.

The CCSC is a heterodimer of pUL17 and pUL25 (6). Of these, pUL17 is associated with a triplex and pUL25 extends toward the adjacent penton (7). The CCSC conformation in the PEV (Fig. 4B) differs from that in the C-capsid (Fig. 4A) in that part of pUL25 appears to be missing. This change is further illustrated in a difference density map in Fig. 4C. It represents a shift in the pUL25 location and/or an altered conformation, resulting from interactions between the NEC layer and the capsid as budding progresses.

Connections of the NEC layer to the capsid. The NEC layer is anchored in the inner nuclear membrane by pUL34 molecules. PEV budding also involves interactions between the NEC layer and the capsid. Earlier studies proposed that the principal contacts are between pUL31 and the CCSC (15, 21). Because the NEC array is not in register with the capsid lattice, its features are smeared laterally in reconstructions based on the icosahedral symmetry of the capsid (see above). Accordingly, we decided to examine individual bridging densities visualized in tomograms (Fig. 5). As the bridging densities are small and the noise level is considerable, their identification was somewhat subjective. Accordingly, it was performed by three observers independently, and further analysis was restricted to sites selected by at least two observers.

Contact points on the capsid surface can be mapped by determining the orientation of a given PEV capsid and then rotating a reference C-capsid map into the same orientation and locating the corresponding slice and, hence, the point of contact. In this way, we were able to pick out some well-defined NEC-CCSC contacts (Fig. 5, red arrowheads in the left column and red balls in the middle and right-hand columns). Such contacts accounted for ~47% of the bridging densities picked, although they occupied only 25% of the capsid surface; i.e., their distribution was ~3-fold denser than at CCSC-remote sites. Some examples of the latter densities are indexed with green arrowheads and green balls in Fig. 5. The observers counted ~5 CCSC-contacting sites and ~5 other sites per PEV. In particular, there is no evidence of systematic contacts of NECs with pUL35, which decorates the outer rim of each hexon (white arrowheads in Fig. 3C and D). This observation is consistent with the fact that most A-capsids and B-capsids have full complements of pUL35 but remain in the nucleus.

PEVs and membrane sacs. In US3-null infections, the inner and outer membranes separate progressively, i.e., the PNS expands as PEVs accumulate, with the INM bulging into the nucleus (23, 24, 28). This creates an artificial compartment called a sac (see the
Numerous sacs or fragments thereof were present in our isolates. Some are bounded by a single membrane presumably derived from the ONM (data not shown), whereas others, such as the one shown in Fig. 6A, consisted of two lamellae paired back to back, with each lamella consisting of an INM plus a NEC layer. This sac encloses three PEVs, two of which contain C-capsids and the third an A-capsid. A tangential slice of the same tomogram (Fig. 6A) shows a quasihexagonal array of ring-like structures that we take to be NEC oligomers (Fig. 6B). The center-to-center spacings between these rings, at 17 to 22 nm (the distribution is shown in Fig. 6E), are larger and more variable than those seen with a PEV-associated honeycomb NEC array (~12 nm).

We used the ROTASTAT algorithms (29) to probe for rotational symmetries in the rings. This procedure estimates the likelihood of a given harmonic (order of symmetry) being statistically significant for the data set as a whole and does so at each radial position. The relatively weak signals from individual rings are boosted by being combined as conditional probabilities. ROTASTAT found a strongly expressed 7-fold symmetry at radii of 7.2 and 8.4 nm, i.e., around the periphery of the rings (Table 1). The only other symmetry to be detected was 6-fold at radii of 9.5 and 10.6 nm, where
it refers to the surrounding six rings in the array. We conclude that the NEC rings in sacs have 7-fold symmetry. Averaged images of the heptamer ring are shown in Fig. 6C and D.

**DISCUSSION**

The HSV-1 egress pathway starts with exposure of patches of INM by phosphorylation of nuclear lamina proteins \((30)\). This allows the NEC to bind to the INM. These NEC complexes recruit a capsid and pack together as the nascent PEV buds into the PNS. Finally, the PEV envelope fuses with the ONM, releasing the nucleocapsid into the cytoplasm. The scheme given in Fig. 7B draws on and is intended to update earlier accounts \((31, 32)\).

In this study, we took advantage of the property that a mutation in the viral kinase US3 causes PEVs to accumulate in the perinuclear space, allowing them to be isolated in sufficient quantity for characterization by cryo-EM and cryo-ET. However, while thin-section electron micrographs of PEVs in cells infected with a US3-null PrV mutant showed them to be morphologically similar to the rarer PEVs observed in a wild-type infection \((33)\) and to PEVs seen in gB/gH null infections \((34)\), it remains possible that wild-type PEVs differ from US3-null PEVs in certain respects, including molecular composition. With this caveat, we conclude the following.

**Perturbation of the nuclear egress pathway.** On occasion, the pathway yields an aberrant assembly product that has no chance of maturing into an infectious extracellular virion. Such particles represent a fruitless biosynthetic investment. For example, although the PEV should contain a C-capsid, a significant minority have an A-capsid or a B-capsid (Fig. 2), thus lacking a genome. A mechanism that reduces such malfunctions is based on the higher CCSC content of C-capsids over that of A-capsids or B-capsids, which favors the selection of C-capsids for egress.
PEVs and mature virions. We find that PEVs differ from mature virions in almost every feature that is external to the capsid. Besides being ~20% larger, the mature virion envelope is densely studded with viral glycoproteins, whereas PEV envelopes have very few protruding densities (Fig. 1 and 2; see also Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). Such densities may, in principle, represent viral glycoproteins or cellular proteins. Glycoproteins gB and gH/gL mediate the fusion reaction whereby the mature virion enters a host cell and have been viewed as candidates for effectuating the fusion event that delivers the nucleocapsid into the cytoplasm. In the HSV-1 system, gB and gH have been reported to be separately dispensable without affecting nuclear egress, while deletion of both resulted in PEVs remaining in the PNS (34). In contrast, for PrV, deletion of both gB and gH had no effect on nuclear egress (35).

The gB spike has a distinctive morphology in its postfusion state, with three globular domains stacked radially (36), and is readily identifiable in tomograms (37). We saw only one PEV-associated spike from a total of 316 that was a plausible candidate for having that morphology, but we cannot exclude the possibility that PEVs have more gB in a different conformation. For example, ~12% of the PEV spikes could be compatible with prefusion gB (37) (J. Fontana, D. Atanasiu, W.T. Saw, J.R. Gallagher, R.G. Cox, J.C. Whitbeck, L.M. Brown, R.J. Eisenberg, G.H. Cohen, unpublished results), which presents in tomograms as shorter and less structurally differentiated than the postfusion molecule, making it difficult to identify with confidence. In future work, we plan to use immuno-EM to investigate the PEV contents of gB and other viral glycoproteins.

The tegument of a mature virion consists of at least 20 proteins in various amounts, irregularly distributed (38). In contrast, the PEV has a spherical shell of uniform thickness, composed of the NECs clustered in a honeycomb array interrupted only by the fontanelle gap (Fig. S4). Current data allow us to calculate that there are 2,500 to 3,000 copies of pUL31/pUL34 per PEV (see Text S1 in the supplemental material). Tomograms of mature virions show no sign of a NEC shell (Fig. 1C and D), and immunogold EM experiments did not detect either NEC protein (23), whence it follows that they disengage from the nucleocapsid as it enters the cytoplasm (see Fig. 7B).
As for whether tegument proteins are already present in the PEV, the tomograms (e.g., Fig. 1E and F) and the cryo-EM (Fig. 3) consistently show that, if the NEC shell is discounted, there is little space to accommodate macromolecules in the region between capsid and envelope. A small amount of protein is present as bridging densities or in the fontanelle cavity (Fig. S4), but it did not exceed ~10% of the level that is present in a typical tegument (Text S2). Thus, while it appears that a few inner tegument proteins are acquired in the nucleus (reviewed in references 31 and 32), our results imply that the majority of tegument protein is acquired after the egressing nucleocapsid enters the cytoplasm.

Tegument proteins viewed as potential PEV components (31) include the pUS3 kinase and the abundant pUL48 (VP16) and pUL49 (VP22), each with ~700 copies (39). The case is persuasive for pUS3, which phosphorylates the NEC proteins (40) and—to access them—should be inside the PEV envelope. Moreover, pUS3 is present in mature virions (33). As for pUL49, immunogold EM experiments detected essentially no labeling of PEVs (41) but did observe some labeling for pUL48 (0.82 gold particles per PEV compared with 1.56 gold particles per mature virion). On the other hand, the pUL48 of PrV has been reported to be absent from PEVs (42).

The NEC layer and its interaction with capsid. Previous studies have shown that the NEC proteins can assemble into a honeycomb array with a center-to-center spacing of ~12 nm. Such a lattice was detected by cryo-ET of cells overexpressing the NEC proteins (10) and by 2D cryo-EM of NEC proteins bound in vitro to giant unilamellar vesicles (9) and has been modeled based on the crystal structure of the NEC heterodimer (12). Our negative-staining EM (Fig. 1) and cryo-EM (Fig. 3) data afford the first direct evidence for such a lattice in the context of a PEV. The data suggest that the NEC proteins form a spherical shell made up of hexagonal patches, interrupted by the

| TABLE 1 Symmetry determination of NEC ringsa |
| Radius (nm) | Orders significant by  t  test | Order (SRP value) |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| 5.04       | 20 (5.60E–11)                 |                   |
|            | 2 (3.26E–11)                  |                   |
|            | 32 (8.93E–16)                 |                   |
| 6.16       | 36 (7.29E–20)                 |                   |
|            | 34 (6.55E–21)                 |                   |
|            | 2 (7.91E–22)                  |                   |
| 7.28       | 7 (1.02E+12.00)               |                   |
|            | 4 (2.41E–19)                  |                   |
|            | 2 (1.05E–25)                  |                   |
| 8.40       | 7 (3.06E+12.00)               |                   |
|            | 4 (4.34E–14)                  |                   |
|            | 6 (1.09E–21)                  |                   |
| 9.52       | 6 (25.03)                     |                   |
|            | 7 (5.64E–8)                   |                   |
|            | 4 (2.51E–17)                  |                   |
| 10.64      | 6 (6.25E+16.00)               |                   |
|            | 4 (7.85E–25)                  |                   |
|            | 28 (1.00E–25)                 |                   |

a In ROTASTAT (29), significant orders of rotational symmetry are detected in two ways. In one approach, the spectral ratio product (SRP) is calculated whereby the ratio of the amplitude of a given harmonic at a given radius and the amplitude of the same harmonic for a similarly normalized piece of background are calculated for each ring in the data set (n = 188 in this case). These ratios are combined multiplicatively to give the SPR. If the ratios are, on average, even slightly less than unity, the SPR decays rapidly to very low values. With valid symmetries, the SPR remains above unity and may diverge to high values. The other approach is based on the Student’s t test. All orders of symmetry deemed statistically significant at a specified level (we used the conservative cutoff of 10–6) are output. The two approaches gave consistent results. Significant orders of symmetry in-ring are bolded. Number of particles, 188; number of backgrounds, 188; successive rings are at radii 2 px apart. Significance level = 1.0E–6. Orders with the three highest SRP values are given.

As for whether tegument proteins are already present in the PEV, the tomograms (e.g., Fig. 1E and F) and the cryo-EM (Fig. 3) consistently show that, if the NEC shell is discounted, there is little space to accommodate macromolecules in the region between capsid and envelope. A small amount of protein is present as bridging densities or in the fontanelle cavity (Fig. S4), but it did not exceed ~10% of the level that is present in a typical tegument (Text S2). Thus, while it appears that a few inner tegument proteins are acquired in the nucleus (reviewed in references 31 and 32), our results imply that the majority of tegument protein is acquired after the egressing nucleocapsid enters the cytoplasm.
fontanelle (Fig. S4). A similar gap in a spherical shell is left when the Gag layer of a budding HIV virion pinches off from its membrane (43).

These NEC arrays are packed with local hexagonal symmetry; to achieve closure, they should have faults of some kind. There is no indication that the NEC layer conforms to icosahedral symmetry, like the capsid, where 12 5-fold vertices allow closure (44).
Rather, closure of the NEC shell appears to be achieved by having loosely coordinated patches with some voids at the interfaces between them.

We observed a 3-fold-higher incidence of “bridging densities” that involve interaction of the NEC layer with the CCSC (see also reference 21) than elsewhere on the capsid surface. Densities of both kinds (CCSC-proximal and non-proximal) averaged ~5 per PEV, although the totals may be somewhat higher, as our analysis was restricted to only the most clearly defined densities. On the other hand, some densities may not represent adhesive interactions but rather, the trapping of viral and/or cellular proteins between the NEC layer and the capsid as budding proceeds.

The NEC layer is a dominant feature of the PEV, but NEC proteins are not present in the mature virion (Fig. 1). It follows that they switch from a capsid-binding conformation to a nonbinding conformation during maturation. As cytoplasmic C-capsids have no NEC layer (45), we conclude that the NEC proteins dissociate from the capsid before or during its passage into the cytoplasm (Fig. 7B). It appears likely that this transition is induced by phosphorylation of the NEC complex by pUS3 (14, 46).

Nuclear sacs. There is now persuasive evidence that the egress pathway proceeds with the formation of an expanded region of PNS containing the PEV, followed by fusion of the PEV envelope with the ONM (Fig. 7B). However, in the absence of pUS3 as well as under some other circumstances in which egress from the PNS into the cytoplasm is impeded (24, 34), sacs are produced that bleb back from the nuclear envelope into the nucleus (Fig. 7A). It remains unclear how PEV assembly may be affected by this artificial remodeling of the perinuclear region of the cell. Nevertheless, sacs were recovered in our isolates from US3-null infections and most of them contained PEVs (e.g., Fig. 6A). Some of these sacs are bounded by a double lamella, each one consisting of a NEC layer overlying an INM-derived membrane. The lamellae are paired via back-to-back interactions between the two NEC layers. Earlier studies by thin-section EM, notably of US3-null infections, detected two-layered lamellar structures (see, e.g., references 22, 23, and 24). On the basis of the present data, we suggest that they represent paired NEC-lined membranes derived from the INM.

It appears that this NEC-NEC interaction drives remodeling of the nuclear envelope to create nuclear sacs. It is not yet clear whether PEVs contained within a sac can reenter the egress pathway and develop into infectious virions.

The tomograms reveal loosely ordered hexagonal arrays of ring-like oligomers that we take to be NEC proteins (Fig. 6B). The center-to-center spacing between rings is larger than in NEC arrays described earlier (9–12) and here (Fig. 1A and B). Hitherto, NEC arrays have been assumed to consist of hexamers. However, we find that the rings in sac lamellae are heptamers; as such, the arrays are not p6 lattices but random close packings (Fig. 5B). While the possibility that the same protein (NEC) might form different oligomers (hexamers, heptamers) under different conditions or in different locales has not been ruled out, the heptamer scenario has the following, possibly advantageous implication. If this symmetry were to occur in PEVs, there would be lower cooperativity to stabilize the array, easing its dispersal when the NEC layer separates from the nucleocapsid.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation of PEVs and sacs. Typically, a 75-cm² flask of Vero cells was infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5 with a US3 deletion mutant, HSV-1(F)/us3(-) (a gift from Richard Roller, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa). At 12 h postinfection, overlay medium was decanted and the infected cell monolayer was washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), scraped off, and pelleted. All PBS solutions used in this procedure contained protease inhibitor cocktail with no EDTA (Roche). The pellet was resuspended in 15 ml of cold hypotonic buffer (20 mM Tris, 20 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.5) where cells were allowed to swell for 20 min and then subjected to 10 strokes with a glass Dounce homogenizer. The resulting nuclei were pelleted at 1,800 rpm in a Sorvall Legend RT clinical centrifuge, resuspended in 1 ml of PBS, transferred to a 3-ml glass culture tube, disrupted by three 1-s pulses in a bath sonicator (Branson HD-50), and then centrifuged for 3 min at 6,000 rpm in a microcentrifuge (Eppendorf 5424). The resulting supernatant, designated supernatant 1, was removed and saved, while the pellet from this step was resuspended in 1 ml PBS, sonicated, and pelleted, leaving supernatant 2. This process was repeated to give supernatant 3. The supernatants were concentrated by pelleting and resuspension in 100 µl of PBS. In addition to
nuclear debris, supernatant 1 contained primarily nucleocapsids plus a few PEVs; supernatant 2 contained fewer capsids but more PEVs and an occasional sac; and supernatant 3 contained fewer PEVs but more sacs than supernatant 2. The supernatant 2 concentrate was used to perform negative-staining EM, cryo-EM, and cryo-ET of PEVs and the supernatant 3 concentrate to perform cryo-ET of sacs.

**Western immunostaining.** Aliquots of supernatant 2 were layered onto 20% to 50% sucrose 10-step gradients made in Beckman Ultra-Clear tubes (5 by 41mm) and spun at 22,000 rpm in an SW 55Ti Beckman rotor for 45 min at 4°C. Fractions (1 drop each) were collected from the bottom of the centrifuge tube. Each fraction was diluted with PBS and examined by negative-staining EM to confirm the presence of PEVs. PEVs banded in the same location as mature virus, midway between the B-capsids and C-capsids seen in companion gradients (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). PEV-rich fractions were diluted with PBS and recentrifuged in 20% to 50% sucrose gradients as described above. Fractions were subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting and probed (47) with pUL31-specific polyclonal antibody (UL31-GST; a gift from Joel Baines, Louisiana State University [LSU] School of Veterinary Medicine) at a dilution of 1/2,000.

**Negative-staining EM and measurements of NEC layer spacings.** PEV-containing samples were stained with 1% uranyl acetate and examined in a CM120 microscope (FEI). Micrographs were recorded on a charge-coupled-device (CCD) camera (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA) at magnifications corresponding to pixel (px) sizes of 0.44, 0.33, and 0.26 nm. The repeat spacings in picket fence motifs seen in the NEC layer (see Results) were measured manually in real space by determining the length of a tract containing a certain number of repeats and dividing by that number. If a motif was long enough to form an arc with appreciable curvature, the data for two or three segments were summed.

**Cryo-electron tomography.** Isolates containing PEVs and sacs were mixed 2:1 with a suspension of 10-nm-diameter colloidal gold particles (Purion, the Netherlands) to serve as fiducial markers, applied to glow-discharged EM grids (R 2/2 holey carbon grids; Quantifoil, Germany), and plunge-frozen using a Vitrobot (FEI, Hillsboro, OR). A Tecnai-12 microscope (FEI) operating at 120 keV and equipped with an energy filter (GIF 2002; Gatan, Warrendale, PA) set in zero-energy-loss mode with a slit width of 20 eV was used to record tilt series. Images were recorded on a CCD camera (Gatan, Warrendale, PA) (2,048 by 2,048 px) operated in semiautomated mode using SerialEM (48). The nominal defocus was ~4 μm, and a tilt series covered the range of ~51° to +51° in 3° increments at a magnification of approximately ×35,500, giving a pixel size of 0.56 nm. The total electron dose for a tilt series was ~80 e/Å², with a dose per projection of ~2.2 e/Å². The Bsoft package (49) was used to calculate tomographic reconstructions and to extract virions as subtomograms. Subtomograms were denoised using the Gaussian Blur 3D filter implemented in ImageJ (50). In all, 35 cryo-tomograms were collected, containing a total of 101 PEVs. Forty-five percent of all PEVs were intact. A total of 9 sacs were imaged that contained 32 of our PEVs, most (75%) of which were intact.

As the bridging densities are small and the noise level is considerable, their identification is somewhat subjective. Accordingly, it was performed independently by three observers. Their pickings were distilled to sites selected by at least two observers. The CCSC-associated area above the capsid was defined as the region in the asymmetric unit demarcated by the penton and the 3 closest VPS subunits of the adjacent P-hexon, representing approximately 25% of the capsid surface area. Of the connections picked by at least two observers, 47% fell within this area.

The averaged heptamers shown in Fig. 6C were obtained in Fig. 6C and D were obtained as follows. A total of 188 subtomograms, each containing a ring-like structure from the NEC array, were picked from an original tomogram. A tube with a circular cross-section of a size similar to that of the rings was generated for use as the initial reference. The subtomograms were aligned to this tube, and the orientations of the rings were refined through 10 iterations. The particles that correlated most strongly with the reference in each iteration were selected and averaged to calculate the values for next map. The final maps came from 99 particles.

**Cryo-electron microscopy.** Drops of sample (4 μl) were applied to EM grids bearing glow-discharged holey carbon films (see above), vitrified manually using a K980 cryo-station (Reichert-Jung, Germany), and imaged with a CM200-FEG microscope (FEI) operated at 120 kV, as previously described (51). Images were recorded on a CCD camera (Gatan) (2,048 by 2,048 px) at a magnification of approximately ×25,000 (giving a pixel size of 5.7 Å) and processed with the Bsoft package (52), as previously described (53). Briefly, images of PEV were picked manually, yielding a total of 123 particles. These were contrast transfer function (CTF)-corrected by phase-flipping. Origins and orientations were determined by projection matching, using as a starting model a map of B-capsids obtained by in vitro maturation of purified procapsids (27). The final map was deposited in the EMDB (code 8607). Reconstructions were calculated using Bsoft (from the Bsoft package), an algorithm that integrates images as central sections of Fourier space and finally calculates an inverse Fourier transform. Using a cutoff criterion for forward scatter (FSC) of 0.3, the resolution of the reconstruction of C-capsid-containing PEVs was estimated at ~3.5 nm for the whole particle and at ~2 nm as calculated (54) for the region around the capsid shell. The resolution for a reconstruction from the few PEVs containing A-capsids or B-capsids (data not shown) was ~4.5 nm.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00825-17.
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