Effects of the apical suspension of the upper vagina by cervicosacropexy or vaginosacropexy on stress and mixed urinary incontinence
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Cervicosacropexy (CESA) and vaginosacropexy (VASA) are standardized surgical procedures to elevate and tighten the apical end of the vagina. A combination of CESA or VASA, and a transobturator tape was reported to cure urgency urinary incontinence (UUI). However, the efficacy of CESA or VASA in curing stress urinary incontinence (SUI) has not been investigated.

Materials and Methods: Patients with SUI were asked to specify the situation when they urinated involuntarily. All of these patients were never operated on the genital tract before. In all the patients, the uterosacral ligaments were replaced by polyvinylidenefluoride tapes of identical length. The surgical outcomes in terms of vaginal anatomy and urinary incontinence were established several weeks after surgery. SUI was subdivided into SUI 1 (urinary loss while sneezing and coughing) and SUI 2 (urinary loss while performing other activities).

Results: In addition to the anatomical effect, the apical fixation of the upper vaginal wall by CESA and VASA led to continence in 57% of the patients. The continence (cure) rate was higher in patients with SUI 1 (73%) than that in patients with SUI 2 (51%). The cure rate decreased with increase in the age of the patient at surgery. Of the 161 patients, 144 (89.4%) patients with SUI 2 were clinically having UUI. CESA and VASA led to continence in 39.1% and 29.3% of these patients, respectively.

Conclusion: CESA and VASA can establish urinary continence in 29% to 48% of patients with stress and UUI. Continence rates decreased with patients’ age.
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INTRODUCTION

The Integral Theory by Petros and Ulmsten states that urinary continence in women is based on the integrity of the pubourethral ligaments (PULs) and the uterosacral ligaments (USLs).1-4 This theory was supported when suburethral tapes to replace the PULs led to continence.5 In stress urinary incontinence (SUI) the increased pressure during coughing or sneezing leads to a short opening of the urethrovaginal junction (UVJ), leading to loss of urine. The tapes compress the UVJ when vertical pressure...
is exerted on the bladder and the patient remains continent.\textsuperscript{15} While the replacement of the PULs by tapes is an established treatment modality for SUI the role of the USLs for continence is not defined so far.

Descensus of the uterus and the vagina is often associated with urinary incontinence.\textsuperscript{2,3} In these patients the USLs are stretched; however, it is not known if that is the cause or the effect of the descensus. The role of the USLs in urinary continence and incontinence has not been investigated in detail.\textsuperscript{9} With increasing age, the major problem of urinary incontinence is the sudden urge to void along with nearly immediate loss of urine. Urgency urinary incontinence (UUI) was primarily considered as a neurological disorder, with effects on the detrusor muscle, and was treated accordingly.\textsuperscript{10} It was observed that medical treatment could improve symptoms and reduce incontinence episodes. However, it did not lead to normal continence.\textsuperscript{11-13}

Another prediction of the Integral Theory is the significance of the intact USLs. In the Petrov's "bridge allegory," it was stated that both suspensions in the front and in the back must be intact to hold the road (vagina) in balance.\textsuperscript{2,3,14} The USLs put tension on the upper vagina to stabilize the bladder resting on that part of the vagina. When that tension decreases, the pressure of the full bladder can stretch the vagina, especially in the upright body position.

Some women develop an instability of the UVJ with increasing age, which could lead to the sensation of "urgency" and urine loss.\textsuperscript{15,16} This hypothesis was supported by the finding that the elevation of this part of the vagina during clinical vaginal examination leads to the disappearance of the feeling of "urgency" in respective patients.\textsuperscript{1,2}

To elevate the upper part of the vagina, we developed a surgical procedure to restore the posterior suspension of the vagina, namely the USL.\textsuperscript{17-20} Studies have reported that the length of the USL is approximately 8.5–9.3 cm.\textsuperscript{21,22} Tapes were either sutured to the cervix through cervicosacropexy (CESA) or at the vaginal stump through vaginosacropexy (VASA) and tunneled along the uterosacral folds to the sacral bone where they were fixed.\textsuperscript{18,23,24} In patients with stress and UUI (mixed UI) a CESA or VASA tape was placed together with a transobturator tape (TOT).\textsuperscript{19} That resulted in continence in 75% of the patients.\textsuperscript{25} It was hypothesized that this was due to the TOT effect on the stress factor and the CESA or VASA effect on the urgency factor.

In a randomized clinical trial (URGE 1), we evaluated the effectiveness of CESA or VASA in patients with UUI comparing the surgical approach with an established medical treatment.\textsuperscript{25} Several of these patients with UUI were also suffering from MUI. During the study it was observed that CESA or VASA could lead to continence also in these patients with MUI.\textsuperscript{25,26} That was interpreted as an effect of CESA or VASA on the suburethral hammock. During further treatment of patients with MUI the surgical procedures were separated. In the first step, the CESA or VASA structures were placed. If the patients were still incontinent a TOT was placed several weeks later.

In this study we identified the stress-related symptoms that could be cured through CESA and VASA. Our results offer novel insights into the treatment of urinary incontinence in women.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present study was conducted in 224 women with urinary incontinence who presented to the University Hospital of Cologne, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, between January 2011 and December 2018. Only patients who never had undergone any surgery that could influence urinary incontinence were included in the study. Patients who had undergone colporrhaphy or a suburethral tape procedure were excluded from the study.

The diagnosis of urinary incontinence was established according to the recommendations of the International Continence Society by using established and validated urinary incontinence questionnaires.\textsuperscript{19,20,27}

All the patients were additionally advised to perform a micturition protocol and maintain a specially designed bladder diary comprising questions on UUI symptoms for 12 days. Urodynamic measurements were performed only in the first year. However, treatment decisions were based on the clinical symptoms of the patients. A follow-up appointment was scheduled 2 weeks later for clinical examination, and an interview was conducted during this appointment.

The questions during the interviews comprised everyday situations to avoid misinterpretations or misunderstandings of the questionnaire. The following question was asked to differentiate patients with SUI and those with UUI:

"Do you lose urine when coughing or sneezing?"

When the answer to this question was affirmative, patients were categorized into SUI grade 1 (SUI 1). These patients were usually treated with a TOT. They were operated by CESA or VASA only when incontinence was associated with a descending uterus or vaginal stump.

To define UUI, the following question was asked to the patients:

"Imagine you are watching News on TV. Suddenly you feel the need to void urine. What do you do?"

The patients who gave the answer "When I get the feeling to void, I have to go immediately. Sometimes I reach the toilet in
time, sometimes I already lose urine on my way to the toilet.” and those who reported immediate urine loss with the feeling of urgency were classified as having UUI.

All patients with SUI and UUI were further asked the following questions to specify when they lost urine:
1) when still sitting on the chair?
2) when getting up from the chair?
3) on the way to the toilet?
4) other occasions?

When the answers to one or more of these questions were affirmative, patients were categorized as SUI grade 2 (SUI 2).

Thus, patients were categorized as SUI 1 and SUI 2. When they also had UUI symptoms, they were categorized as mixed urinary incontinence grade 1 (MUI 1) or MUI grade 2 (MUI 2). The corresponding answers were recorded both in a documentation sheet and electronically. The interviews were conducted during the initial presentation at the clinic and at 1 and 4 weeks postoperatively and the responses were documented accordingly.

Surgical treatment consisted of the CESA and VASA procedures. The corpus uteri was removed in patients undergoing CESA, and the CESA-structure (Dynamesh CESA, FEG, Aachen, Germany) was sutured to the cervical stump. The lateral USL parts “arms” were pulled through the peritoneal tunnel of the USL and fixed in front of the first sacral bone (S1). The “arms” were 8.8 cm in length for all patients.18

A similar procedure was followed in patients undergoing VASA. In patients who had hysterectomy the structure (Dynamesh VASA, FEG, Aachen, Germany) was sutured to the vaginal stump, and the “arms” were longer (9.3 cm).17 The CESA and VASA operations are being performed through laparoscopy since 2016.25,26

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Cologne, Germany (approval no: 20-1080).

Statistical analysis

The cure rate between groups was compared using a nonparametric test at a significance level of 5%. Between-group analysis for categorical variables was performed using the \( \chi^2 \) or Fisher’s exact tests. Pre- and post- scores were compared using the Wilcoxon test, and between-group comparisons of scores were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Binary logistic regression analyses were performed to study the influence of age on the treatment outcome. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 25 (SPSS, Chicago, Ill., USA) at a significance level of 5%.

RESULTS

The study was conducted in 234 patients fulfilling the study criteria. Follow-up data of 10 patients were not available; and thus, the final analysis was performed on a total of 224 patients. According to our classification, 63 patients exhibited SUI 1 and 161 patients exhibited SUI 2. Of the 244 patients, 183 patients also presented with clinical symptoms of UUI and were considered as MUI. They were categorized either as MUI 1 (n=39) or MUI 2 (n=144) (Figure 1). Of the total patients, 128 patients (57.1%) became continent after CESA or VASA (Figure 2). Of the total number of patients, 78% with SUI 1 (coughing and sneezing) became continent after CESA, and 63.6% became continent after VASA (Figure 2). This difference was statistically significant (p<0.05).

Of the 161 patients with SUI 2, the continence rate (CR) in patients was 56.2% after CESA and 45.7% after VASA (SUI 2) (Figure 2). However, this difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05). Of the 224 patients, 183 patients (81.6%) with SUI were also suffering from urgency symptoms. These patients were classified as MUI 1 or MUI 2 (Figure 3). Of the 224 patients, 183 patients (81.6%) with SUI were also suffering from urgency symptoms. These patients were classified as MUI 1 or MUI 2 (Figure 3). Eighteen patients of 39 patients (46.1%) with MUI 1 became continent and 49 patients of 144 patients with MUI 2 (34%). This difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05).

The CR in patients with MUI 1 was 48% after CESA and 42% after VASA (Figure 3), whereas that in patients with MUI 2 was 39% after CESA and 29% after VASA. However, the difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05).

The CRs after CESA or VASA in patients with SUI were significantly higher than those in patients with MUI. This finding was observed in all subgroups of SUI as in MUI. The CR after CESA or

Figure 1. Classification of patients according to their urinary incontinence symptoms

Two hundred and twenty-four patients suffering from stress urinary incontinence (SUI) separated in patients who lose urine during different activities (SUI 1, coughing and sneezing; SUI 2, standing up and walking). Patients with additional urgency urinary incontinence (UUI) were referred to as mixed urinary incontinence (MUI)
VASA in patients aged <60 years was 66%, whereas the CR after CESA or VASA in patients aged >60 years was 48.7% (Figure 4), and the difference was statistically significant (p<0.05). The CR diminished with increase in age, and the least CR was observed in patients aged more than 70 years (Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

The present retrospective analysis of the effects of the apical fixation of the vagina on urinary incontinence led to the reconsideration of the current hypotheses on urinary incontinence in women. The study indicates that CESA and VASA can cure SUI, in addition to their effects on urgency. The elevation and tensioning of the upper vagina after CESA or VASA led to continence in patients having both SUI and MUI.

Our previous studies have demonstrated that CESA or VASA can cure UUI.19,22-25 This finding was explained by the theory that the “urgency” transmitting receptors at the trigone of the bladder base adjacent to the UVJ are stabilized by the elevation or tensioning of the hammock.

However, CESA and VASA were also found to cure SUI, especially SUI during coughing or sneezing which is commonly believed as the indication for a TOT or tension-free vaginal tape.25,26 Effects on other “stress” (activity related) incontinence as incontinence when rising from a chair and walking to the toilet were less impressive but also found in about one third of...
patients. So far, we have no explanation for that effect of the apical fixation.

The strength of this study lies in the homogeneity of the clinical diagnosis and treatment in all the patients. We excluded patients with history of surgery that could have an influence on urinary continence. A hysterectomy could influence continence because the cervical support of the bladder is removed in this procedure. Therefore, data were separated between patients with a cervix (CESA) and those who had been hysterectomized (VASA).

All the patients underwent apical fixation through CESA or VASA. In CESA, the polyvinylidene difluoride tapes were sutured to the cervical stump (after supracervical hysterectomy) and fixed in front of the S1 and were 8.8 cm long. In VASA, the tapes were sutured to the vaginal stump and were 9.3 cm long. The same lengths of the tapes could be used in all patients because the dimensions of the bony small pelvis are nearly identical in all women.

The continence status was recorded preoperatively and at least two times postoperatively (at discharge and 4 weeks after discharge). The definition of continence was based on the experience and symptoms of the patients after CESA or VASA. All the patients were able to compare the symptoms before and after surgery. According to the standardized interview, the criteria for continence were always the same and reproducible by other investigators. Patients were considered continent, if they admitted that they “do not lose urine anymore! We can watch the News until the end and then go to the toilet without any loss of urine.”

The CRs after CESA and VASA were different. More patients became continent after CESA than after VASA. However, the statistical analysis revealed that the difference was significant only in patients with SUI 1.

Significant differences in cure rates were observed in patients with urinary incontinence during different activities. Both CESA and VASA were able to cure more patients with SUI 1 than those with SUI 2, and the difference was statistically significant. In patients with severe “urgency” symptoms, outcomes in patients with MUI 2 were significantly worse compared with those having SUI 2 symptoms alone. Studies have demonstrated that the addition of a TOT can cure approximately 50% of patients who remain incontinent after CESA or VASA. It is not explained; however, why the other patients remain incontinent.

Our data indicated an inverse relation between age and the cure rate in both the patients with SUI and those with MUI. The cure rate in patients aged less than 60 years with SUI symptoms was significantly higher than that in patients aged more than 60 years. Similar findings were observed in patients with MUI.

We hypothesize that urinary incontinence is a pathophysiological continuum. Pressure plays a vital role in incontinence. When the bladder is empty, no “urgency” exists; the “urgency” comes with the filling of the bladder which causes an increase in pressure on the bladder wall and probably on the UVJ and the “urgency” receptors. Incontinence, however, occurs when the patient stands up from the sitting position. In that moment, the counter-pressure of the perineum on the UVJ diminishes, the UVJ opens, and the patient loses urine. A study by Jäger et al. reported that the association between “urgency” and activity-related incontinence is observed in 96% of all patients with UUI. The finding could explain the role of medical treatment in decreasing the urgency symptoms; however, not the incontinence episodes.

According to the International Urogynaecological Association (IUGA), UUI is defined as the complaint of involuntary loss of urine associated with urgency, and SUI is defined as the complaint of the involuntary loss of urine during effort or physical exertion. Therefore, the IUGA recommended that the term “activity-related incontinence” should be preferred to “SUI” to differentiate SUI from psychological stress.

Thus, according to the recommended definitions of incontinence by the IUGA, all patients with or without MUI were suffering from “activity-related incontinence.” The preferred treatment for this type of incontinence is surgical and not medical.

This may explain why a surgical treatment such as CESA and VASA led to continence and disappearance of the “urgency.” Thus, the feeling of “urgency” is based on a change in anatomy, which can be repaired by the respective surgical treatment.

CONCLUSIONS

The greatest advantage of this study is its reproducibility. CESA and VASA are the standardized operations that can be performed similarly by every surgeon. Furthermore, the symptoms of urinary incontinence were based on the subjective complaints of the patients. “Urgency” is basically a symptom and can also be evaluated according to our interview method. Therefore, the results presented in this study can be proven or disproven by every surgeon. From the scientific point of view, this is a crucial message.
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