Abnormal Indexes of Liver and Kidney Injury Markers Predict Severity in COVID-19 Patients
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Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Corona Virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), is widely spread around the world and has caused significant pressure on the social and impact human health. Previous studies revealed that SARS-CoV-2 uses the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor to enter the lungs, which can cause severe lung fibrosis and consolidation, resulting in high mortality in severe cases. Further studies have demonstrated that this virus can also damage the liver and kidneys, since ACE2 is also expressed in both organs. Other possible associated mechanisms of liver and kidney damage include the inflammatory cytokine storm, drug-induced injury, chronic liver and kidney disease and other factors, such as hemodynamic changes.

Evidence suggests that the incidence of COVID-19 patients liver injury ranged from 14.8% to 53%, mainly presented by abnormal laboratory indexes including alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and urea nitrogen (BUN), and higher alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), albumin (ALB), lactate...
dehydrogenase (LDH), and α-hydroxybutyricdehydrogenase (α-HBDH). Moreover, severe cases were more likely to have a severe liver injury than mild patients. In addition, kidney involvement is common in COVID-19 patients who usually present with proteinuria. Especially, severe patients are prone to sepsis-related acute kidney injury (AKI) and hypoperfusion-related AKI. COVID-19 combined with kidney damage is an independent risk factor for poor prognosis and is associated with high mortality rates in ICU.

As a result, we have known that SARS-CoV-2 can damage the liver and kidney function via different mechanisms, and the more serious the disease is, the more serious is the function damage. So far, there has been little discussion about early monitoring of liver and kidney function to predict the patient’s condition changes, thereby reducing mortality. Our study focuses on the clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients’ liver and kidney function, aiming to investigate the role of liver and kidney indexes in the transition from mild to severe in COVID-19 patients. We collected and compared the clinical data of mild and severe COVID-19 patients, hoping to help improve critically ill patients with COVID-19.

Methods

Participants
The inclusion criteria for patients admitted to the Changsha Public Health Treatment Center were tested positive for novel coronavirus nucleic acid in two respiratory specimens, according to the diagnosis and treatment guidelines of COVID-19 in China. Cases without complete clinical data were excluded from this study. According to the COVID-19 management guidelines in the seventh edition, we defined the mild patients as follows: (1) the clinical symptoms are mild, and no pneumonia manifestations can be found in imaging; or (2) a patient has symptoms, such as fever and respiratory tract symptoms, and pneumonia manifestations can be seen in imaging. Severe patients were defined as those who meet any of the following criteria: respiratory rate≥30 breaths/min; oxygen saturation ≤93% at a rest state; arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂)/oxygen concentration (FiO₂) ≤300 mm Hg; occurrence of respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation; the presence of shock; other organ failures that require monitoring and treatment in the Intensive Care Unit.

The liver injury patients were defined as follows: (1) patients diagnosed COVID-19; (2) examined for liver function with one or more of the following abnormalities during treatment, alanine aminotransferase (ALT)>66 u/l, aspartate aminotransferase (AST)>46 u/l, total bilirubin (TBil)>20.5μmol/l. All patients had no abnormal baseline liver function. The kidney injury patients were defined as follows: (1) patients diagnosed COVID-19; (2) with blood urea nitrogen (BUN) >7.1 mmol/L or creatinine (Cr) >106 mol/L.

Data Collection
We collected the demographic characteristics information and baseline clinical data through the electronic medical record system. The data included patients’ age, sex, comorbidities, and related laboratory indicators such as total bilirubin (TB), ALB, ALT, AST, and other indexes. All the laboratory testing values were the values tested for the first time after admission. After treatment, patients whose condition is aggravating, transforming into severe type; then, three days later, their disease index was measured. All patients were anonymous, and all records were deleted after data coding and analysis to protect patients’ privacy.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were undertaken using SPSS v21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The description of measurement data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median value within the interquartile range. The comparison between the two groups was made using the t-test and the Mann–Whitney U-test. And the comparison of three independent samples was made using the Kruskal–Wallis H-tests. The chi-square test or the Fisher’s exact test was used to compare the rates between the two samples. Binary logistic regression was used to analyze the influence of liver and renal indexes on the severity of the disease and calculate the joint factor to draw the joints in the receiver operating curve (ROC). P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Comparison of Baseline Characteristics Between Three Group Patients
According to the criteria, we divided the 266 patients into 235 mild patients and 31 severe patients (Table 1). The age of the severe patients was older than that of the mild patients (57.42 ± 14.28 years vs 43.86 ± 15.71 years, p<0.001).
Severe patients have a higher proportion of fever (p<0.001), cough (p=0.014), dyspnea (p<0.001), and anorexia (p=0.002) than those of mild patients. The body temperature of the severe patients was higher than that of the mild patients in the range of 38 degrees Celsius (p<0.001). The white blood cell (WBC) and neutrophil (NEU) ratio of severe

**Table 1 Baseline Characteristics Between Three Group Patients**

| Characteristics       | Mild Group (235) | Severe Group (31) | P value |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|
|                       | Pre-Severe Group (31) | After-Severe Group (31) |         |
| Age (years)           | 43.86±15.71     | 57.42±14.28       | <0.001  |
| Sex (female, n%)      | 121(51.49%)     | 13(41.9%)         | 0.317   |
| Smoking               | 22 (9.4%)       | 5 (16.1%)         | 0.241   |

**Signs and symptoms**

|                    | Mild Group       | Severe Group      | P value |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Fever               | 108(46.35%)      | 27(87.10%)        | <0.001  |
| Cough               | 166(70.64%)      | 23(74.19%)        | 0.014   |
| Dyspnea             | 44(18.72%)       | 13(41.92%)        | <0.001  |
| Diarrhea            | 35(14.89%)       | 1(3.23%)          | 0.113   |
| Anorexia            | 17(7.23%)        | 10(32.26%)        | 0.002   |
| Headache            | 23(9.79%)        | 4(12.90%)         | 0.290   |

**Temperature (°C)**

|        | Mild Group       | Severe Group      | P value |
|--------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| ≤37.2  | 102(43.40%)      | 7(22.58%)         | 0.002   |
| 37.2–38| 57(24.26%)       | 6(19.35%)         | 0.299   |
| 38.1–39| 46(19.15%)       | 16(51.61%)        | <0.001  |
| ≥39.1  | 5(2.13%)         | 2(6.46%)          | 0.047   |

**Comorbidities**

|                      | Mild Group | Severe Group | P value |
|----------------------|------------|--------------|---------|
| Diabetes             | 22(9.36%)  | 3 (9.68%)    | 1.000   |
| Hypertension         | 35 (14.89%)| 10 (32.26%)  | 0.015   |
| Cerebral infarction  | 8 (3.40%)  | 1 (3.23%)    | 1.000   |
| Heart disease        | 8 (3.40%)  | 3 (9.68%)    | 0.242   |
| Liver disease        | 15 (6.38%) | 3 (9.68%)    | 0.760   |
| Chronic obstructive Pulmonary disease | 4 (1.70%) | 1 (3.23%) | 1.000   |
| Tumor                | 2 (0.85%)  | 0 (0.00%)    | 1.000   |

**Laboratory indexes**

|                      | Mild Group   | Severe Group  | P value |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------|
| WBC(×10⁹/L)          | 5.07(3.86–6.49) | 4.31(2.79–4.70) | <0.001  |
| NEUT(×10⁹/L)         | 3.15(2.37–4.09) | 2.76(1.80–3.17) | <0.001  |
| NEUT %               | 64.85(59.45–72.43) | 68.20(64.00–73.70) | <0.001  |
| LYM(×10⁹/L)          | 1.27(0.92–1.73)  | 0.80(0.69–0.97)  | <0.001  |
| LYM%                 | 25.40(18.50–30.00) | 22.10(17.40–28.00) | <0.001  |

Note: Statistically significant differences are emboldened.
Abbreviations: WBC, white blood cell; NEUT, neutrophil; LYM, lymphocyte.
Comparison of Liver and Kidney Indexes Between Three Group Patients

By comparing the liver and kidney indexes’ values of mild patients and the pre-severe values of severe patients, we found that the severe patients had higher values of AST, LDH, and PT than those of the mild patients (all \( p < 0.05 \)). Compared to the liver and kidney indexes’ values of mild patients and the after-severe values of severe patients, severe patients had higher values of ALT, AST, LDH, BUN, and DD, and lower values of ALB and APTT (all \( p < 0.05 \)). When comparing with the liver and kidney indexes’ values of pre-severe and after-severe values of severe patients, we found that with the transition from pre-severe to severe, the values of LDH, BUN, and DD were increased; and the values of ALB and APTT were decreased (all \( p < 0.05 \)) (Table 2). From the detailed comparison of liver and kidney indexes between mild group and severe group (Table 3), we found that within the range of ALT < 42u/L, there were 196 mild patients and 19 severe patients. Within the range of ALT > 150u/L, only 2 mild patients and 3 severe patients. Within the range of AST > 120 u/L, there is only 1 patient with mild disease and 3 patients with severe disease. One hundred eighty-two patients with mild disease and 11 severe patients within the normal range of ALB. There were 43 mild patients and 22 severe patients with LDH over 225U/L. There were 162 mild patients and 11 severe patients with BUN exceeding 8.2 mmol/L. Other indicators such as TB and Cr were not statistically different between the two groups.

Comparison of Clinical Laboratory Indexes Between Patients with and without Liver Injury

Liver injury was defined as increased levels of ALT, AST, and total bilirubin (TB). Of those admitted, 38 patients had liver injuries. And they had higher WBC, NEU, DD, AST, LDH, BUN levels and lower Lymphocyte ratio, ALB

Table 2 Comparison of Liver and Kidney Indexes Between Different Conditions of COVID-19 Patients

| Liver and Kidney Indexes | Mild Group (235) | Severe Group (31) | P1 value (Mild vs Pre-Severe) | P2 value (Mild vs After-Severe) | P3 value (Pre-Severe vs After-Severe) |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| TB (\( \mu \text{mol/L} \)) | 10.87(8.12–15.65) | 9.99(6.90–16.45) | 13.91(8.61–17.10) | 0.342 | 0.220 | 0.147 |
| ALB (g/L) | 38.72(35.83–42.30) | 37.30(35.33–39.52) | 32.88(29.50–36.50) | 0.073 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| ALT(U/L) | 19.85(14.16–29.90) | 21.71(16.29–25.80) | 24.00(16.20–57.24) | 0.685 | 0.029 | 0.145 |
| AST(U/L) | 22.79(17.99–30.62) | 30.70(26.28–43.50) | 30.00(18.87–55.32) | 0.000 | 0.032 | 0.617 |
| LDH(U/L) | 167.65(140.15–207.83) | 197.40(179.00–280.00) | 295.40(207.55–393.18) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 |
| BUN (mmol/L) | 4.16(3.23–5.30) | 4.40(3.45–5.08) | 6.23(5.19–8.60) | 0.632 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Cr (\( \mu \text{mol/L} \)) | 53.37(41.50–67.92) | 56.48(50.00–74.00) | 49.60(41.60–61.00) | 0.108 | 0.724 | 0.125 |
| PT (time) | 11.70(11.10–12.50) | 12.20(11.80–12.70) | 12.10(10.88–12.90) | 0.031 | 0.368 | 0.659 |
| APTT (time) | 33.10(30.60–35.80) | 33.30(29.90–38.30) | 30.85(25.88–34.50) | 0.529 | 0.010 | 0.038 |
| DD (mmol/L) | 0.26(0.14–0.55) | 0.31(0.14–0.56) | 0.52(0.27–1.84) | 0.765 | 0.001 | 0.037 |

Note: Statistically significant differences are emboldened.

Abbreviations: TB, total bilirubin; ALB, albumin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; LDH, lactic dehydrogenase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; Cr, creatinine; PT, prothrombin time; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; DD, D-Dimer.
levels than that of patients without liver injury (all p<0.05). There was no significant difference between LYM%, PT, APTT, TB, and Cr (Table 4).

### Comparison of Clinical Laboratory Indexes Between Patients with and without Renal Injury
Renal injury was defined as increased creatinine levels. Of those admitted, 18 patients had renal injury. And they had higher DD, LDH, and BUN levels than patients without renal injury (all p<0.05). There was no significant difference between WBC, NEU, LYM, PT, APTT, TB, ALB, ALT, AST, and Cr (Table 5).

### Binary Logistic Regression Analysis of Laboratory Indexes to Predict the Mild and Severe Patients
We performed the binary logistic regression analysis to find the independent factors that influence the severity of COVID-19. The results showed that ALB [OR=0.273...
ALT [OR=2.680 (1.036–6.934), P=0.042], BUN [OR=1.325 (1.081–1.624), P=0.007], LDH [OR=1.005 (1.001–1.008), P=0.006] were independent risk factors of the transition from mild to After-severe condition (Table 6).

### Table 4 Comparison of Clinical Laboratory Indexes Between Patients with and without Liver Injury

| Clinical Laboratory Indexes | Patients without Liver Injury (228) | Patients with Liver Injury (38) | P value |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| WBC (×10^9/L)               | 5.11(3.86–6.85)                  | 6.25(4.52–8.91)                | 0.021   |
| NEUT (×10^9/L)              | 3.19(2.37–4.39)                  | 3.91(2.81–7.85)                | 0.020   |
| NEUT%                       | 63.97(56.86–72.85)               | 66.42(61.00–89.40)             | 0.024   |
| LYM (×10^9/L)               | 1.20(0.84–1.70)                  | 1.02(0.57–1.50)                | 0.051   |
| LYM%                        | 26.26(18.90–32.51)               | 23.83(6.20–28.88)              | 0.012   |
| PT (time)                   | 11.80(11.10–12.70)               | 11.40(10.98–12.50)             | 0.483   |
| APTT (time)                 | 32.60(30.20–35.70)               | 33.55(27.45–35.45)             | 0.826   |
| DD (mmol/L)                 | 0.26(0.14–0.56)                  | 0.39(0.24–0.74)                | 0.015   |
| TB (μmol/L)                 | 10.92(8.01–16.20)                | 11.75(8.64–17.10)              | 0.419   |
| ALB (g/L)                   | 38.45(35.48–42.38)               | 36.53(32.49–39.15)             | 0.015   |
| ALT (U/L)                   | 19.29(13.57–26.40)               | 53.66(28.79–97.23)             | <0.001  |
| AST (U/L)                   | 22.38(17.45–28.40)               | 42.75(24.84–55.34)             | <0.001  |
| LDH (U/L)                   | 171.30(141.00–210.50)            | 206.85(170.63–308.20)          | 0.001   |
| BUN (mmol/L)                | 4.20(3.24–5.39)                  | 5.20(4.57–6.55)                | 0.001   |
| Cr (μmol/L)                 | 53.68(41.48–67.15)               | 49.40(41.60–67.55)             | 0.956   |

Note: Statistically significant differences are emboldened.

Abbreviations: WBC, white blood cell; NEUT, neutrophil; LYM, lymphocyte; PT, prothrombin time; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; DD, D-Dimer; TB, total bilirubin; ALB, albumin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; LDH, lactic dehydrogenase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; Cr, creatinine.

(0.079–0.947), P=0.041], ALT [OR=2.680 (1.036–6.934), P=0.042], BUN [OR=1.325 (1.081–1.624), P=0.007], LDH [OR=1.005 (1.001–1.008), P=0.006] were independent risk factors of the transition from mild to After-severe condition (Table 6).

### The ROC Analysis of Liver and Kidney Indexes to Predict the Mild and Severe Patients

We analyzed the ROCs of ALT, AST, LDH, and BUN in the mild and after-severe patients (Figure 1, Table 7). Moreover, we also drew the ROC curve of the combined indexes to predict the severity of COVID-19. ALT, AST, LDH, and BUN could independently predict the transition from mild to after-severe in COVID-19 patients (all p<0.05). Current evidence for mechanisms of liver-kidney injury in SARS-CoV-2 infection is still unclear. Seow et al reported the co-expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in a TROP2+ liver progenitor population and identified a potentially high-risk liver cell-type for viral ingress. Much of which is based on speculation, case reports, and case series, as shown in Figures 2 and 3, what we know precisely is that ACE2 and TMPRSS2, the host receptor of SARS-CoV-2, exists in the liver (cholangiocytes have higher expression) and kidney, which may explain why SARS-CoV-2 can lead to liver and kidney injury.

### Discussion

Numerous reports have shown that the mortality of severe COVID-19 patients was very high. It is urgent to find early indicators for predicting disease exacerbation. Previous studies have shown that the production of inflammatory cytokines and the extension of lung infection were positively correlated with the severity of the disease and could be used as clinical indicators for judging the severity of COVID-19. Our study found that ALT, AST, LDH, and BUN could independently predict the transition from mild to after-severe in COVID-19 patients (all p<0.05). Current evidence for mechanisms of liver-kidney injury in SARS-CoV-2 infection is still unclear.
to liver-kidney damage and levels of liver enzymes and kidney bio-makers such as creatinine and BUN increased. The distribution and expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 are strongly associated with the target organ of the SARS-CoV-2 infection. BUN is one of the common indicators of kidney function, and BUN levels increase during kidney failure. Studies have shown that patients with severe-critical disease had more laboratory evidence indicating a cytokine storm responsible for developing severe pneumonia, organ damage, and abnormal laboratory data. Abnormal blood rheology and the drug’s toxic effect could explain why BUN and liver enzymes can be independent predictors for COVID-19 patients. The combination indexes of ALB, ALT, BUN, and LDH exhibited the largest area under the ROC (0.914), with the specificity (83.0%) and sensitivity (86.7%), which means that the liver and kidney combined indexes could also predict the severity of COVID-19 patients. These findings may help to improve critically ill COVID-19 patients.

In this study, we also find that the average age of severe patients (57.42 years) is higher than that of mild patients (43.86 years). Severe patients showed a higher percentage of fever, cough, dyspnea, and anorexia than mild patients. And severe patients show higher

### Table 5 Comparison of Clinical Laboratory Indicators Between Patients with and without Renal Injury

| Clinical Laboratory Indexes | Patients without Renal Injury (248) | Patients with Renal Injury (18) | P value |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| WBC (×10^9/L)               | 5.06 (3.77–6.84)                  | 5.12 (4.18–8.72)              | 0.268   |
| NEU (×10^9/L)               | 3.17 (2.32–4.39)                  | 3.19 (2.78–6.72)              | 0.240   |
| NEUT%                       | 65.1 (58.80–73.08)                | 71.59 (60.75–82.79)           | 0.263   |
| LYM (×10^9/L)               | 1.12 (0.80–1.62)                  | 0.92 (0.61–1.56)              | 0.367   |
| LYM%                        | 25.80 (18.52–30.73)               | 19.95 (11.00–30.76)           | 0.286   |
| PT (time)                   | 11.90 (11.10–12.60)               | 12.25 (11.38–13.60)           | 0.093   |
| APTT (time)                 | 32.70 (30.10–35.70)               | 33.55 (31.15–38.08)           | 0.270   |
| DD (mmol/L)                 | 0.27 (0.15–0.56)                  | 0.49 (0.17–1.45)              | 0.049   |
| TB (μmol/L)                 | 11.29 (8.15–16.43)                | 10.66 (6.38–17.17)            | 0.400   |
| ALB (g/L)                   | 38.31 (35.36–41.60)               | 36.12 (29.08–42.00)           | 0.136   |
| ALT (U/L)                   | 20.13 (14.55–29.12)               | 27.18 (15.08–45.43)           | 0.184   |
| AST (U/L)                   | 24.30 (18.84–33.17)               | 28.00 (21.50–41.84)           | 0.092   |
| LDH (U/L)                   | 180.10 (142.63–220.70)            | 224.35 (180.43–314.25)        | 0.004   |
| BUN (mmol/L)                | 4.29 (3.37–5.34)                  | 7.37 (4.10–8.95)              | 0.001   |
| Cr (μmol/L)                 | 54.24 (43.15–66.85)               | 62.46 (37.58–120.11)          | 0.435   |

*Note:* Statistically significant differences are emboldened.

*Abbreviations:* WBC, white blood cell; NEUT, neutrophil; LYM, lymphocyte; PT, prothrombin time; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; DD, D-Dimer; TB, total bilirubin; ALB, albumin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; LDH, lactic dehydrogenase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; Cr, creatinine.

### Table 6 Binary Logistic Regression Analysis of Laboratory Indexes to Predict the Mild and After-Severe Patients

| Laboratory Indexes | Regression Coefficient | Standard Deviation | OR | 95% CI | P value |
|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----|--------|---------|
| ALB (g/L)          | −0.205                 | 0.059              | 0.815 | 0.725–0.915 | 0.001 |
| ALT (U/L)          | 0.009                  | 0.004              | 1.009 | 1.002–1.016 | 0.013 |
| BUN (mmol/L)       | 0.281                  | 0.104              | 1.325 | 1.081–1.624 | 0.007 |
| LDH (U/L)          | 0.005                  | 0.002              | 1.005 | 1.001–1.008 | 0.006 |

*Note:* Statistically significant differences are emboldened.

*Abbreviations:* ALB, albumin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; LDH, lactic dehydrogenase; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence intervals.
inflammatory responses, such as leukocyte rise, neutropenia, and lymphocyte decrease. These results are in accordance with studies indicating that older patients are more likely to turn into severe patients\(^\text{50,51}\) and a larger proportion of severe patients showed high fever, cough, and dyspnea.\(^\text{52}\) Moreover, inflammatory responses in severe patients were more intense.\(^\text{16,18,43,53}\)

A previous study showed that more than one-third of SARS-COV-2 patients had abnormal liver function.\(^\text{9}\) A review published by Kukla et al showed that a large number of studies indicated that liver abnormalities were mainly characterized by mild to moderate elevation of aminotransferase, hypoproteinemia, and prolonged prothrombin time.\(^\text{54}\) Our study also found that the liver and

**Figure 1** The ROC analysis of liver and kidney indexes to predict the mild and severe patients.

**Table 7** The ROC Analysis of Liver and Kidney Indexes to Predict the Mild and Severe Patients

| Indexes         | AUC (95% CI)        | Critical Value | Sensitivity | Specificity | Jordan Index | P value |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| ALT(U/L)        | 0.619 (0.506–0.733) | 46.56          | 0.387       | 0.884       | 0.271        | 0.031   |
| AST(U/L)        | 0.618 (0.492–0.741) | 37.43          | 0.452       | 0.854       | 0.306        | 0.032   |
| LDH(U/L)        | 0.869 (0.808–0.930) | 189.2          | 0.900       | 0.665       | 0.565        | <0.001  |
| BUN (mmol/L)    | 0.800 (0.717–0.883) | 4.89           | 0.871       | 0.682       | 0.553        | <0.001  |
| Combined indexes | **0.914 (0.868–0.959)** | **0.023** | **0.867** | **0.830** | **0.697** | **<0.001** |

**Note:** Statistically significant differences are emboldened.

**Abbreviations:** ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; LDH, lactic dehydrogenase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under curve.
kidney indexes of patients were abnormal. Compared with mild patients, the level of ALB in severe patients was decreased, and the levels of ALT, AST, LDH, and BUN in severe patients were increased (all p<0.001). Binary logistic regression analysis of laboratory indexes suggests that ALB and ALT may be risk factors for patients' transformation from mild to severe.

Our study also compared with other laboratory indicators in COVID-19 patients with and without liver injury. The results found that patients with COVID-19 liver injury had elevated neutrophils, decreased lymphocytes, and decreased albumin. This result may be explained by the fact that SARS-CoV-2 can damage hepatocytes by binding to the ACE2 receptors of hepatocytes, which leads to liver enzyme abnormalities. The pathophysiological understanding of COVID-19-related kidney injury is yet to be elucidated. Several mechanisms are possibly involved in kidney injury during SARS-CoV-2 infection, including direct invasion of SARS-CoV-2 into the renal parenchyma, an imbalanced RAAS and microthrombosis but also kidney injury secondary to hemodynamic instability, inflammatory cytokines, and the consequences of therapeutics that are used in ICU (nephrotoxic drugs, mechanical ventilation). The images are presented in Figure 3. We also compared changes in laboratory indicators in patients with and without kidney damage. We found that the APTT of kidney injury patients was significantly prolonged, CRP also specifically increased, and the condition of kidney injury patients was often accompanied by hematuria. These results could be attributed to SARS-COV-2 infection of the liver leading to abnormal APTT and elevated CRP, and by the damage the renal function to cause hematuria. D-dimer is a marker for detecting thromboembolic processes and is sensitive to the high risk of thromboembolism. We found that D-dimer was significantly elevated in patients with severe disease. It is speculated that they are related to liver-kidney injury and severe infection. These mechanisms exacerbate one another, resulting in the development of the patient’s condition worsened.

There were some limitations in our study. First, this is a retrospective single-center study with a relatively small
sample size. The establishment of a larger database would better assess the liver and kidney indexes in COVID-19 patients. Second, how does the virus affect the pathophysiological mechanisms of liver and kidney function in patients deserves further study.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, COVID-19 patients, especially severe patients, have damage to liver and kidney function. ALT, AST, LDH, and BUN could be independent factors for predicting the severity of COVID-19. Combining the ALB, ALT, BUN, and LDH together could predict the transition from mild to severe in COVID-19 patients.
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