Modified methods for isolation of pancreatic stellate cells from human and rodent pancreas
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Abstract

Primary cultures of pancreatic stellate cells (PSCs) remain an important basis for in vitro study. However, effective methods for isolating abundant PSCs are currently lacking. We report on a novel approach to isolating PSCs from normal rat pancreases and human pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) tissue. After anaesthesia and laparotomy of the rat, a blunt cannula was inserted into the pancreatic duct through the anti-mesentery side of the duodenum, and the pancreas was slowly infused with an enzyme solution until all lobules were fully dispersed. The pancreas was then pre-incubated, finely minced and incubated to procure a cell suspension. PSCs were obtained after the cell suspension was filtered, washed and subject to gradient centrifugation with Nycodenz solution. Fresh human PDAC tissue was finely minced into 1×1×1 mm3 cubes with sharp blades. Tissue blocks were placed at the bottom of a culture plate with fresh plasma (EDTA-anti-coagulated plasma from the same patient, mixed with CaCl2) sprinkled around the sample. After culture for 5–10 days under appropriate conditions, activated PSCs were harvested. An intraductal perfusion of an enzyme solution simplified the procedure of isolation of rat PSCs, as compared with the multiple injections technique, and a modified outgrowth method significantly shortened the outgrowth time of the activated cells. Our modification in PSC isolation methods significantly increased the isolation efficiency and shortened the culture period, thus facilitating future PSC-related research.
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Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the most lethal malignancy in humans with an extremely poor prognosis[1-2]. Chronic pancreatitis (CP) is a known risk factor for PDAC[3-4], with desmoplasia a common and important pathophysiological characteristic for both CP and PDAC[5]. There is a growing body of evidence showing that excessive desmoplasia plays a crucial role in the aggressive behaviour of PDAC[6]. Pancreatic stellate cells (PSCs) have been identified as the principal source of excessive extracellular matrix observed in CP and PDAC, and can promote growth, enhance invasion, trigger tumour immune escape or induce chemotherapeutic and radiation resistance of pancreatic cancer cells[7-11]. PSCs have generated considerable scientific
interest over recent years in light of their importance in the progression of PDAC\textsuperscript{12-14}. In normal physiological states, PSCs are quiescent and located in the periacinar area with vitamin A lipid droplets in their cytoplasm\textsuperscript{15}. When exposed to tissue injury, inflammation, tumour, toxin or cultured in vitro, PSCs will transform into an activated state with myofibroblast-like characteristics, including loss of vitamin A droplets, enhanced proliferation and migration ability, production of abundant amounts of extracellular matrix proteins, including collagen, fibronectin, and laminin and remain distinguishable from fibroblasts with the expression of neuroectodermal markers, such as glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)\textsuperscript{16}. Various methods for isolating PSCs have been published, either utilising dispersed acini, enzymatic tissue digestion and density centrifugation, or the outgrowth of PSCs from pancreatic tissue\textsuperscript{17-18}. These methods require fairly large amounts of tissue to obtain a sufficient number of cells\textsuperscript{18}. Although immortalised cells have been generated by various study groups with numerous methods, due to differences between individuals, immortalised PSCs may not be an ideal model for all studies and different cell sources are also required\textsuperscript{20-21}. Therefore, the successful isolation of primary culture remains essential to the study of PSCs.

There were many shortcomings in current isolation methods of PSCs, which limited the yield and cell vitality of PSCs, mainly caused by incomplete or over digestive of pancreas in the process of isolation. Especially, due to the complex process, the success rate of isolation was low. The aim of present study was to describe our modifications in current isolation methods of PSCs.

Materials and methods

The protocols for this study were approved by the Ethical Committee at the First Affiliated Hospital with Nanjing Medical University (Nanjing, China).

Gey's balanced salt solution (GBSS with NaCl) and protease were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA; Nycodenz was purchased from Axis-Shield, Oslo, Norway; Collagenase P. and deoxyribonuclease were purchased from Roche, Mannheim, Germany; penicillin-streptomycin antibiotics were purchased from Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA; conical flasks and plastic Petri dishes were purchased from Corning, NY, USA. Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium nutrient mixF-12 (DF-12), foetal bovine serum (FBS) and L-glutamine were purchased from Gibco, Carlsbad, Calif, USA.

Mouse anti-desmin antibodies and mouse anti-GFAP antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (CST), Danvers, MA, USA; mouse anti-vimentin antibodies, rabbit anti-α-SMA antibodies, rabbit anti-CK, anti-CD68 antibodies and mouse anti-Pan-Ck antibodies were purchased from Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA; fluorescence (CY3) goat anti-mouse secondary antibody or fluorescence (FITC) goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody, HRP-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody or HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody, immunology staining blocking buffer, Triton X-100, and Trypan Blue staining were purchased from Beyotime, Beijing, China; bovine serum albumin (BSA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Isolation and culture of quiescent rat pancreatic stellate cells (rPSCs)

A 250 g male Sprague-Dawley rat (5–7 weeks) was chosen for a single isolation. The rat was anaesthetised by intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbital. Our method used for the isolation of quiescent PSCs was based on that described by Apte \textit{et al.} with some novel modifications, as detailed below\textsuperscript{18}. The digestive enzyme solution for pancreatic tissues was made from 100 mL GBSS+NaCl with 65 mg collagenase P, 50 mg protease and 1 mg deoxyribonuclease. Once the abdomen was fully opened under sterile conditions and the duodenum exposed, a sterile blunt cannula (intravenous infusion catheter) was inserted into the pancreatic duct through the duodenum papilla, and fixed with silk thread and the rat pancreas was allowed to be slowly infused with 5–6 mL enzyme solution until all lobules were well dispersed. After the gland was fully infused, the pancreas was resected and transferred into a conical flask for pre-incubation with a 10 mL enzyme solution. The conical flask was incubated at 37°C for 7 minutes in a shaking water bath [high speed (120 cycles/minute) for 4 minutes and low speed (80 cycles/minute) for 3 minutes]. The partially digested tissue was then transferred into another glass dish and was cut into morsels using a pair of two-curved scissors. If the mixture was sticky, 20 μL deoxyribonuclease (4 mg/mL) was added. The mixture was then transferred back into the previous conical flask to undergo a second incubation for 7 minutes at 37°C in a shaking water bath at 80 cycles/minute. Twenty millilitres of complete culture medium was added to the flask and pipetted to mix thoroughly. The cell suspension was then filtered through a 250 μm nylon mesh into a 50 mL centrifuge tube. The tube was centrifuged at 450 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. After the supernatant was carefully aspirated, the cell pellet was washed with GBSS + NaCl containing 0.3% BSA and centrifuged at...
Isolation and culture of cancer associated pancreatic stellate cells (CaPSCs)

Human cancer associated PSCs were isolated via the outgrowth method, using histologically-proven fibrotic pancreatic tissue from patients with pancreatic cancer. Informed consent was obtained from the patients for the collection of all clinical tissues and blood samples. Detailed steps were as follows: Two millilitre blood samples were drawn from patients diagnosed with pancreatic cancer before surgery using EDTA-anticoagulant containers. After centrifugation at 1,300 rpm for 10 minutes, the plasma was collected and filtered with 0.45 µm mesh and stored at 4°C for further use. After surgical resection, pancreatic cancer tissue was collected and stored in a cold sterile 0.9% NaCl solution. Tissues were washed for three times with cold 0.9% NaCl and cut into 1×1×1 mm blocks in a glass dish with sharp scissors. Tissue blocks were washed 1–3 times until there was no oil on the liquid surface, and then seeded in two a 6-well culture plate with 5–8 pieces per well. Plasma collected from the same patient was mixed with 100 µmol/L calcium chloride (CaCl₂) solution [200 µL CaCl₂, solution per 1000 µL plasma], and was dropped onto the organisation blocks in one 6-well culture plate, while blocks in the other 6-well culture plate were not dropped with plasma as control. The plate was transferred into the incubator until the plasma coagulated and 2 mL total DF-12 medium was added to the plate. Blocks were further incubated in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Once confluence reached 90%, the CaPSCs were trypsinised and replanted into another culture plate, cultured with total DF-12 medium and incubated in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Immunofluorescence

rPSCs and CaPSCs were cultured in 24-well plates. Cells were washed twice with cold PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilised in a 0.1% Triton X-100 PBS solution, blocked (immunology staining blocking buffer), and then followed by immunofluorescent staining for α-SMA, vimentin, GFAP, CK19, CD68 and Pan-CK antibodies at 4°C overnight. After wash with 0.1% Triton X-100 PBS solution, cells were incubated with secondary antibodies goat anti-mouse (CY3) or goat anti-rabbit (FITC) for 90 min at room temperature and nuclei/DNA counterstained with DAPI. Staining was evaluated using a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope with a Nikon DS-Qi1 camera and NIS-Elements software.

Immunocytochemistry

rPSCs and CaPSCs were seeded in 24-well plates. Cells were washed twice with cold PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilised with 0.1% Triton X-100 PBS solution, blocked (immunology staining blocking buffer), then incubated for α-SMA, vimentin, desmin, GFAP, CK19, CD68 and Pan-CK antibodies at 4°C overnight. After wash with 0.1% Triton X-100 PBS solution, cells were incubated with HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse secondary antibody for 120 minutes at room temperature and nuclei/DNA counterstained with hematoxylin. Staining was evaluated using a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope with a Nikon DS-Qi1 camera and NIS-Elements software.

Results

Isolation and culture of rPSCs

With our trans-duodenal intraductal perfusion technique, solutions are well-infiltrated into the pancreas tissue, which can be demonstrated in our preliminary experiment with hematoxylin. Moreover, there was no leakage of the infused solution (Fig. 1A). When infused with digestive solution, the pancreas became transparent and increased to twice its original volume (Fig. 1B, C). Using the protocol described above, rPSCs yields were about 0.5–1×10⁷/g pancreas. The viability of freshly isolated PSCs, using trypan blue staining, was approximately 90%. In early culture, quiescent rPSCs had a small size and globular appearance and contained multiple lipid droplets (Fig. 1D), with blue-green autofluorescence at 320 nm. For rPSCs, most cells were attached to the culture vessel 24 hours after isolation. After about 72–96 hours, rPSCs underwent auto-activation process, the cells gained appearance of myofibroblasts with
loss of lipid droplets in their cytoplasm (Fig. 1F). We have tested our modified method on 25 rats, the successful rate reached 92%, and was more than that of traditional methods (about 43%, \(P<0.01\)).

**Isolation and culture of CaPSCs**

Five to ten days after human pancreatic cancer tissue blocks were seeded, CaPSCs could be observed to grow out from the block edges (Fig. 2A). Simultaneously, most of the tissue blocks remained adherent to plate, while there were more tissue blocks floating in the control plate. These cells showed an active state feature, with larger cell volume and loss of intracellular lipid droplets. After about 2–3 weeks, primary cells would reach 90% confluence and pass into next generation (Fig. 2B). With in vitro cell culture and subculture, CaPSCs could be further activated, with its appearance changed, including increased cell volume, a more apparent intracellular fibrous structure and more accelerated cell proliferation. In the control group without the plasma, the CaPSCs would need more than 2-weeks to grow out from the tissue blocks, usually taking 4–5 weeks for the first cell passage. We have tested our new method on 15 human tissues, the successful rates of our modified method for isolating human CaPSCs reached 93%, while the parallel traditional methods’ successful rates was only 64% (\(P<0.01\)).

**Expression of PSC markers α-SMA, vimentin, desmin and GFAP**

Cells were assessed for positive PSCs markers: α-SMA, vimentin, desmin and GFAP\(^{[18]}\). Strong cytoplasmic positivity for vimentin and desmin was observed by immunofluorescence (Fig. 3A) and immunocytochemistry (Fig. 3B), while α-SMA and GFAP showed mild positive staining.

In order to exclude the potential contamination of epithelial cells or macrophages, CK19 and pan-CK (epithelial markers), and CD68 (macrophage marker) staining was also performed\(^{[22]}\). The PSCs isolated using our methods stained negatively for these markers (Fig. 3C, D).
Fig. 2 Isolation and culture of CaPSCs. Human pancreatic cancer tissue blocks were seeded in a 6-well plate and dropped around plasma, while the tissue blocks in the other 6-well plate were not dropped around plasma; after 5–10 days, cells grew out from the edges of tissue blocks (A), and about 2–3 weeks later, primary cells reached 90% confluence (B). CaPSCs: Cancer associated pancreatic stellate cells.

Fig. 3 Expression of characteristic pancreatic stellate cell markers in isolated cells. A: Immunofluorescent staining of α-SMA, vimentin and GFAP; B: Immunocytochemistry staining of α-SMA, vimentin, desmin and GFAP; C: Immunofluorescent staining of CK19, Pan-CK and CD68; D: Immunocytochemistry staining of CK19, Pan-CK and CD68.
Discussion

The effective isolation and culture of PSCs in vitro and in vivo is a key technological infrastructure necessary for the clarification of their role in the pathogenesis of CP and pancreatic cancer\(^\text{[23-24]}\). Although immortalised PSCs have been constructed and applied to current researches, individual differences remain a problem that cannot be ignored\(^\text{[25]}\). Therefore, primary isolation of PSCs will continue to serve an indispensable role in the field of PSC research\(^\text{[18]}\).

The main method of isolating quiescent PSCs is via density gradient centrifugation with Nycodenz, Percoll, iohekol, and oriodixanol being the most common materials\(^\text{[15]}\). We chose Nycodenz for its low cytotoxicity and convenient preparation for gradient\(^\text{[26]}\). Reasonable digestion is the key point for the isolation of PSCs. Compared to previous studies, intraductal perfusion of the enzyme solution simplified the procedure and improved digestive conditions. Despite an increase in cold ischaemia time, intraductal perfusion resulted in a more even distribution of the digestion solution and adequate digestion of the pancreatic tissues. We also adjusted the concentration of digestive enzymes, shaking speed in water bath and digestion time. These improvements also resulted in decreased cell debris and significantly increased rPSCs yield. This indicates that suitable digestion is the key to the success of the enzymatic digestion-density gradient centrifugation method for isolating quiescent PSCs.

Explant techniques are mainly utilised for the isolation of activated state PSCs from CP or pancreatic tumours\(^\text{[27]}\). Compared to previous reports, the method used in our study (i.e. adding plasma) is simple and feasible. With the plasma coagulant, blocks firmly adhered to the culture plate, which significantly increased the yield of outgrowth cells. Plasma coagulant contains various growth factors, such as IL-2, IL-6, TGF-β and TNF-α, calcium and a fibrin three-dimensional skeleton structure, which provides an appropriate physical structure and physiological environment for PSCs to promote their maximal outgrowth\(^\text{[29]}\). With our improved method, and the time for cells to grow out was shortened from 2 weeks to 5–10 days. Moreover, the cells grow faster so that the time for first cell passage was shortened from 4–5 weeks to 2–3 weeks, while the phenotype of the cells did not have difference compared with the control cells. Nevertheless, in 2–5 generations, the cells are at the optimum state and provide an excellent basis for related experiments.

Primarily isolated PSCs, especially for cells isolated via the explant method, could inevitably be contaminated with other cells. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate the purity of the isolat PSCs. Quiescent PSCs have as specific morphology and structure, including large amounts of lipid droplets in the cytoplasm\(^\text{[17]}\). When subcultured, the PSCs were activated with a change in cell morphology and the lipid droplets disappeared\(^\text{[29]}\). Subsequently, isolated cells were immunostained by immunofluorescence and immunocytochemistry, and assessed for the presence of PSC markers, including α-SMA, vimentin, desmin and GFAP\(^\text{[30]}\). Strong cytoplasmic positivity for vimentin and vescin were observed, while α-SMA and GFAP were moderately positive. We also evaluated the presence of epithelial markers (CK19, Pan-CK) and macrophage marker (CD68), which were all negatively immunostained, illustrating that no contamination with other types of cells had occurred.

However, due to the presence of dense stroma in human pancreatic tissue and individual heterogeneity, our method did not yield good results in isolating quiescent human PSCs; further studies are needed to improve the method.

In summary, this article reports effective modifications for the isolation of PSCs. Not only were the cell harvest and viability significantly increased, but cell purity and success rate were also ensured. This method is expected to benefit future in vitro PSC research.
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