Branched Secondary Alcohol Ethoxylate, Ammonium Thiosulfate, Calcium polysulfides-thiosulfate, and Fish Oil Effects on Blossom Thinning, Fruit Set and Quality of Peaches and Nectarines

Esmaeil Fallahi*, Amir A Khoddamzadeh2, Bahar Fallahi1 and Shahla Mahdavi1

1Department of Plant Sciences, Parma Research and Extension Center, University of Idaho, USA
2Department of Earth & Environment, Florida International University, USA

Abstract
Total crop load has an inverse relationship with fruit size, while larger fruit size is often demanded by the peach and nectarine markets. Hand-thinning is extremely expensive, and thus, crop load adjustment, using blossom thinners is a crucial practice to ensure production of commercially acceptable fruit size and yield efficiency in stone fruit. In this study, the influence of branched secondary alcohol ethoxylate (Tergitol TMN-6), ammonium thiosulfate (ATS), Crocker Fish Oil, (FO) and a mixture of calcium polysulfides and thiosulfate (lime sulfur) on fruit set and quality attributes in peaches (Prunus persica) and nectarines (P. persica var. nectarina) were studied. All blossom thinners reduced fruit set in ‘Summer Lady’ peach in both 2016 and 2017. Fruit sets in ‘Summer Lady’ peach trees with a double application of Tergitol TMN-6 at the rate of 7.5 or 10 mL.L−1, each rate applied at 40% and 80% bloom, were lower than those receiving the same rates of this chemical only at 80% bloom, but they were similar to those receiving a single Tergitol TMN-6 spray at 15 mL.L−1 at 80% or 100% bloom. ‘Summer Lady’ peach trees receiving Fish Oil at 20 mL.L−1 plus lime sulfur at 25 mL.L−1, once at 40% bloom and again at 80% bloom had lower fruit set as compared to Un-treated Control but the fruit set was higher than those with any Tergitol-TMN-6 spray. Tergitol TMN-6 at 12 mL.L−1 at 100% bloom or 10 mL.L−1 all stages of bloom reduced fruit set in ‘Zee Lady and Snow Giant’ peaches. Total yield was proportional to the severity of thinning and final fruit weight. In ‘Snow Giant’ peach, trees receiving Tergitol TMN-6 at all concentrations and blossom stages had significantly higher fruit weight as compared to the trees of Un-treated Control. Applications of Tergitol TMN-6 at all concentrations at 80% bloom reduced fruit set in ‘Red Globe’ and ‘Elberta’ peaches in Utah comparing with Un-treated Control. Overall, it is concluded that efficacy of blossom thinners depends on the rate of thinners, cultivar and stage of bloom development.

Keywords
Crop regulation, Fruit thinning, Stone fruit, Regular cropping

Introduction
Hand-thinning is a critical cultural practice that increase the leaf-to-fruit ratio and leads to the production of larger fruit size in apples and peaches, as well as reduction of the biennial bearing in apples [1-4]. Most blossom thinners are caustic and reduce fruit set by damaging different flower parts, including anthers, stigmas, styles, and pollen tubes, and thus prevent fertilization [4].

Hydrogen cyanamide (Dormex, 50% a.i.) was initially used to reduce dormancy requirement in ‘Florida Prince’ peach [5]. In later studies, Dormex was also found to be an effective blossom thinner for plums [6] and peach [2] in Idaho. Full-bloom applications of Dormex at 2.5 to 3.12 mL.L−1 significantly reduced fruit set in ‘Flavorcrest’ peach [7]. Comparing efficacy of blossom thinners revealed that Dormex was a more consistent blossom thinner for apple and peach than monocarba
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to effectively thin ‘Redhaven’ peach blossoms when applied at stages of 85%-90% open bloom [2]. In field trials in Washington, California, and Idaho, Endothall was an effective blossom thinner for apples and stone fruit [2,8]. However, Endothall was ineffective when applied at 100% bloom, when most flowers were already fertilized [2]. Withlin has been used for blossom thinning in stone fruit, including peaches [8-10], and plums [9]. On ‘Friar’ plum, full-bloom application of Withlin at 10 mL L⁻¹ plus polyoxyethylene propylene glycol (Regu-laid with 90.6% a.i) at 1.25 mL L⁻¹, using a hand-gun sprayer, increased the fruit size [4]. In a comparison of ammonium thiosulfate (ATS), Withlin, and Endothall, ATS was proved to be the best blossom thinner under Washington conditions [8].

Full-bloom application of the surfactant N, N-bis-2-(omega-hydroxypropoxy)ethylene-polyoxypropylene ethyl alkyamine (Armothin) at 30 mL L⁻¹ or 5 mL L⁻¹ reduced fruit set in ‘Loadel’ peach in California [11]. Surfactant 2,6,8-trimethyl-4-nonyloxypolyethylene-oxyethanol (Tergitol TMN-6 (90% aq.) was at least one of the putative active ingredients of Surfactant WK, a surfactant that was labeled by DOW Chemical Company [12]. In contrast to most other blossom thinners which are only toxic to pistils and/or stamens, Tergitol TMN-6 killed peach flowers by peduncle lysis and pistils [12]. Fallahi, et al. [13] reported that Tergitol TMN-6 at the rate of 5 mL L⁻¹, applied at 75% to 85% bloom, reduced fruit set in peaches under conditions of southern Idaho, USA. Wilkins, et al. [14] reported that Tergitol TMN-6 effectively reduced fruit set in ‘Fireprince’ peach under climatic conditions of Clanton, Alabama. In that report, there was no difference in thinning response at full bloom or petal fall, suggesting a wide window of efficacy for this chemical. Searching for new blossom thinners, Miller and Tworkoski [15] reported that application of eugenol at 8% and 10% caused major caustic effects but application of this compound at 1% or 2% showed promising results on peach blossom thinning.

Rex lime sulfur (29% calcium polysulfide) at 3% plus 2% JMS stylet oil caused significant russetting and reduced pack out, whereas low rates (e.g. 1% lime sulfur and 1% JMS stylet oil) had no significant thinning effect in apples under conditions of West Virginia [16]. In that report, spraying 1.5%-2% Rex lime sulfur and 2% oil showed acceptable thinning results and less fruit russetting in apples [16].

Choosing a reliable blossom thinner for peaches and nectarines is a risky task. Based on author’s experience (Fallahi, unpublished data), three major risks are associated with blossom thinning of stone and pome fruits, including: 1) Occurrence of severe frost after blossom thinning application; 2) Over thinning due to high rates of blossom thinners and/or unfavorable temperature changes before, during and after applications of blossom thinners; 3) Application in a season that bee activity was limited, due to low temperatures and high wind, resulting in poor fruit set; 4) Creation of ‘rough’ fruit finish or russetting due to aggravation of the fruit cuticle by the blossom thinner and/or high temperatures and fast expansion of fruit surface. Despite these risks, fruit growers are increasing forced to choose a method in addition to hand thinning to reduce the fruit load. The cost hand thinning is between $1800 to $3400 per ha and finding specialized fruit labor force is becoming extremely difficult. These issues would increase the cost of fruit production and marginalizes the net return. Therefore, the goal of this study was to determine efficacy of Tergitol TMN-6, lime sulfur, ammonium thiosulfate, fish oil on blossom thinning, fruit quality, and yield in different peach and one nectarine cultivars under conditions of southwest, USA.

Materials and Methods

General experimental design, orchard conditions, and methods for stone fruits

Blossom thinning studies were conducted on peaches (Prunus persica (L.) Batsch) in five orchards in 2016 (one at Symms Fruit Ranch in Sunny Slope, Idaho, two at the University of Idaho, Parma, Idaho, and two orchards near Ogden, Utah). The same Idaho peach orchards used in 2016, were also used in 2017 blossom thinning studies. Blossom thinning was also conducted in one nectarine cultivars (P. persica var. nectarina) orchard at Williamson Orchards in Sunny Slope, Idaho in 2016. Soil structure in all experimental orchards was sandy loam with a pH of about 7.5. Other than blossom thinning treatments, cultural practices in these orchards were generally similar to those recommended for the Pacific Northwest orchards Washington State University [17].

The peach orchards at Symms Fruit Ranch Sunny Slope, Idaho, in both years had seven-year old trees of ‘Summer Lady’ on Lovell rootstock, planted at 3.52 × 5.79 m spacing. Each of the two orchards used at the University of Idaho near Parma, Idaho was a five-year old ‘Zee Lady’ or ‘Snow Giant’ peach on Lovell rootstock, and trees were planted at 2.44 × 5.03 m spacing. In Utah, five-year old orchards of ‘Red Globe’ and ‘Elberta’ peaches on Lovell rootstock, each with a tree spacing of 3 × 4 m were used for the blossom thinning experiment in 2016. Nectarine blossom thinning was conducted in a five-year old orchard of ‘Diamond Ray’ nectarine on Lovell rootstock with a 3 × 4.5 m tree spacing in 2016. Trees of ‘Zee Lady’ and ‘Snow Giant’ peaches were trained into a perpendiculair V. Other experimental trees were pruned as open vase shape with four to five main scaffolds.

On different sides of each tree, six fruit-bearing hangers or branches were randomly selected and tagged at their basal points. For fruit set measurement, number of flower buds on each of the selected hangers was counted about 7 days before bloom (before any treatment application). The total number of fruits on these tagged hangers or branches was counted after “June drop”, and percentage of fruit set was calculated as (fruit number/flower bud number) × 100. After counting fruits, fruits in all treatments were hand thinned at the beginning of pit hardening to maintain about 17 cm spacing between fruits.

Approximately 40 peach or nectarine per tree were sampled, cleaned, and evaluated for russetting (fruit marks). Thirty of these fruits were used for weight and color measurements. Fruit color was measured by giving a continuous ranking from 1 (green) progressively to 5 (fully developed color). Blossom thinning treatments were as follow:
A. Treatments at Symms Fruit Ranch, near Caldwell, Idaho in 2016:

1. Un-treated Control (Figure 1 and Figure 2).
2. Terg7.5 mL L⁻¹ @80% Bl, where trees received Tergitol TMN-6 at the rate of 7.5 mL L⁻¹ at 80% bloom.
3. Terg7.5 mL L⁻¹ @40% &80% Bl, where trees received Tergitol TMN-6 at 40% bloom and again at 80% bloom, each time at the rate of 7.5 mL L⁻¹.
4. Terg10 mL L⁻¹ @60% Bl, where trees received Tergitol TMN-6 at 60% bloom at the rate of 10 mL L⁻¹ (Figure 3).
5. Terg10 mL L⁻¹ @80% Bl, where trees received Tergitol
1. Un-treated Control.

2. Tergitol TMN-6 at 60% bloom in 'Zee Lady' and 'Snow Giant' at the rate of 10 mL.L−1.

3. Tergitol TMN-6 at 60% bloom in 'Zee Lady' and 'Snow Giant' at the rate of 10 mL.L−1.

4. FO20 mL.L−1 plus LS25 mL.L−1 at 70% bloom for 'Zee Lady' and 'Snow Giant' at the rate of 20 mL.L−1 and lime sulfur at the rate of 15 mL.L−1.

5. ATS20 mL.L−1 at 70% bloom in 'Zee Lady' and 'Snow Giant' at the rate of 20 mL.L−1.

E. Treatments on 'Red Globe' and 'Elberta' Peaches in Utah, 2016:

1. Un-treated Control.
2. Terg7.5 mL·L⁻¹@80%BI, where trees received Tergitol TMN-6 at 80% bloom at the rate of 7.5 mL·L⁻¹.
3. Terg10 mL·L⁻¹@ 80%BI, where trees received Tergitol TMN-6 at 80% bloom at the rate of 10 mL·L⁻¹.
4. Terg12 mL·L⁻¹@100%BI, where trees received Tergitol TMN-6 at 100% bloom at the rate of 12 mL·L⁻¹.

F. Treatments on ‘Diamond Ray’ Nectarine at Williamson Orchards, 2016:
1. Un-treated Control.
2. Terg7.5 mL·L⁻¹once@ 80%BI, where trees received Tergitol TMN-6 at 80% bloom at the rate of 7.5 mL·L⁻¹.
3. Terg7.5 mL·L⁻¹@ 40%&80%BI, where trees received Tergitol TMN-6 at 40% and again at 80% bloom, each time at the rate of 7.5 mL·L⁻¹.
4. Terg10 mL·L⁻¹@ 80%BI, where trees received Tergitol TMN-6 at 80% bloom, at the rate of 10 mL·L⁻¹.
5. Terg10 mL·L⁻¹@ 40%&80%BI, where trees received Tergitol TMN-6 at 40% and again at 80% bloom, each time at the rate of 10 mL·L⁻¹.
Results and Discussion

Experiments on ‘Summer Lady’ peach in Sunny Slope

Application of all blossom thinners treatments significantly reduced fruit set in the ‘Summer Lady’ peaches in both 2016 and 2017 (Table 1). In 2016 and 2017, fruit set reduction in ‘Summer Lady’ peach trees with a double application of Tergitol TMN-6 at the rate of 7.5 mL L⁻¹ or 10mL L⁻¹, each rate at 40% and 80% bloom, were similar to those receiving a single Tergitol-TMN spray at 15 mL L⁻¹ at 80% or 100% bloom (Table 1; Figure 6 and Figure 7). However, trees of the above-mentioned applications had significantly lower fruit set than those receiving a single application (Table 1).

Table 1: Effect of blossom thinners on fruit set in 2016 and 2017 and yield and fruit quality attributes in 2016.

| Blossom Thinner & Bloom Stage | Fruit set (No/100 flowers) | 2016 Yield (kg/tree) | 2016 Avg. Fruit weight (g) | 2016 Fruit no (per tree) | 2016 Fruit color (1-5) | 2016 Fruit russet (%) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Un-treated Control           | 68.67 a                     | 41.63 a              | 77.91 a                     | 141.19 d                 | 552 a                 | 2.70 c                | 5.36 a                |
| Terg7.5 mL L⁻¹@80%Bloom       | 38.97 bc                   | 2.49 c               | 77.56 a                     | 157.17 c                 | 493 a                 | 3.28 b                | 2.58 a                |
| Terg7.5 mL L⁻¹@40&80%Bloom    | 19.65 d                    | 0.47 d               | 56.44 bc                    | 180.11 ab                | 313 bc                | 3.60 ab               | 5.91 a                |
| Terg10 mL L⁻¹@60%Bloom        | 42.70 b                    | -                    | 72.29 ab                    | 157.52 c                 | 446 ab                | 3.83 a                | 7.50 a                |
| Terg10 mL L⁻¹@80%Bloom        | 37.44 bc                   | 4.51 c               | 76.18 a                     | 156.91 c                 | 486 a                 | 3.98 a                | 3.33 a                |
| Terg10 mL L⁻¹@40&80%Bloom     | 14.22 d                    | 0.02 d               | 52.43 c                     | 190.09 a                 | 276 c                 | 4.04 a                | 6.06 a                |
| Terg10 mL L⁻¹@100%Bloom       | 34.60 c                    | -                    | 84.49 a                     | 166.04 bc                | 509 a                 | 3.65 ab               | 4.17 a                |
| Terg15 mL L⁻¹@80%Bloom        | 16.64 d                    | -                    | 76.87 a                     | 181.43 ab                | 424 ab                | 3.93 a                | 7.50 a                |
| Terg15 mL L⁻¹@100%Bloom       | 17.44 d                    | -                    | 72.72 ab                    | 182.93 a                 | 398 b                 | 3.92 a                | 5.13 a                |
| FO20 mL L⁻¹&LS25 mL L⁻¹@40&80%Bloom | -                    | 8.61 b               | -                           | -                        | -                    | -                    | -                    |
| ATS LS20 mL L⁻¹@40&80%Bloom   | -                          | 8.45 b               | -                           | -                        | -                    | -                    | -                    |

Fruit color ranking: 1 = green, progressively to 5 = uniform red.

*Mean separation within each column by LSD at 5% level.
had the highest number of fruit but lowest fruit weight compared to Un-treated Control, but, the fruit yield was higher than those with any Tergitol-TMN-6 spray in 2017 (Table 2).

Table 2: Effect of Tergitol TMN-6 on Fruit Set, Yield, and Fruit Quality in ‘Zee Lady’ Peach in 2016.

| Treatment                  | Thinned fruit on ground (%) | Fruit set (Fruit no/100 flowers) | Yield (kg/tree) | Fruit russet (%) | Avg. fruit weight (g) | Fruit number (No/tree) | Fruit color (1-5) | Fruit russet (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Un-treated Control         | 81.00 a                     | 43.58 a                           | 23.12 a         | 2.14 a           | 191.52 ab            | 121 a                  | 3.38 a          | 2.14 a          |
| Terg10 mL.L-1@60Bl         | 50.3 b                      | 26.10 b                           | 30.36 a         | 1.43 a           | 19.86 a              | 153 a                  | 3.50 a          | 1.43 a          |
| Terg10 mL.L-1@80Bl         | 42.67 c                     | 16.25 c                           | 24.84 a         | 0.01 a           | 194.81 a             | 128 a                  | 3.60 a          | 0.01 a          |
| Terg10 mL.L-1@100Bl        | 48.33 bc                    | 26.00 c                           | 23.46 a         | 0.00 a           | 199.93 a             | 117 a                  | 3.30 a          | 0.01 a          |
| Terg12.5 mL.L-1@100Bl      | 52.80 b                     | 15.99 c                           | 23.46 a         | 1.43 a           | 186.80 b             | 126 a                  | 3.65 a          | 1.43 a          |

*Fruit color ranking: 1 = green, progressively to 5 = uniform red.

*Mean separation within each column by LSD at 5% level.

Table 3: Effect of Fish Oil, Ammonium thiosulfate, and Tergitol TMN-6 on fruit set in ‘Zee Lady’ peach at 70% bloom and ‘Snow Giant’ peaches at 85% bloom in 2017.

| Treatment                  | Fruit set in ‘Zee Lady’ at 70% bloom (Fruit/100 flower) | Fruit set in ‘Snow Giant’ at 85% bloom (Fruit/100 flower) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Un-treated Control         | 41.57 a†                                                | 45.50 a†                                                |
| FO20 mL.L-1 plus LS25 mL.L-1@ 70%BIZL80%BISG          | 36.23 a                                                | 41.84 ab                                                |
| ATS20 mL.L-1@70% BIZL80%BISG                         | 33.40 ab                                               | 37.21 b                                                 |
| Terg10 mL.L-1@70BIZL80%SG                                  | 25.80 bc                                               | 19.18 c                                                 |
| Terg12.5 mL.L-1@70BIZL80%SG                                | 21.33 c                                                | 17.37 c                                                 |

*Mean separation within each column by LSD at 5% level.

Trees receiving Fish Oil at 20 mL.L⁻¹ plus lime sulfur at 25 mL.L⁻¹, once at 40% bloom and again at 80% bloom reduced fruit set as compared to Un-treated Control but, the fruit yield was higher than those with any Tergitol-TMN-6 spray in 2017 (Table 2).

Total yield was proportional to the severity of thinning and final fruit weight (Table 1). Trees in Un-treated Control had the highest number of fruit but lowest fruit weight control trees, 2). Application of Tergitol at 10 mL.L⁻¹ at 40% and 80% bloom cased over thinning in both 2016 and 2017 and resulted in production of largest fruit but lower number of fruit and yield per tree in 2016 (Table 2). Thus, this rate and frequency is not recommended for ‘Summer Lady’ peach blossom thinning. Based on our experience (not published) and another report [18], a marketable medium-large ‘Summer
Lady' peach fruit would weigh about 166-176 grams. Trees receiving Terg10 mL L-1@100%Bl, Terg15 mL L-1@80%Bl, and Terg15 mL L-1@100%Bl had average fruit weight above 166 g and yield above 72 kg/tree, without any adverse effects of fruit color or russetting in 2016 (Table 2), and can be considered optimum rates and bloom stage for Tergitol TMN-6 sprays. However, if the market demands for smaller fruit size with the same yield threshold (i.e. fruit size of above 156 g and yield of above 72 kg/tree), application of Terg7.5 mL L-1@80%Bl, Terg10 mL L-1@60%Bl, and Terg10 mL L-1@80%Bl would be suitable. In these cases, the numbers of fruit per tree were between 398 and 509 (Table 2). Based on this study, double applications of Terg7.5 mL L-1 or higher did result in larger fruit size but drastically reduced yield. Thus, a double application should only be applied when the market demands and justifies for larger fruits with lower yield.

**Experiments on ‘Zee Lady’ and ‘Snow Giant’ peaches at the University of Idaho**

Comparing to trees of Un-treated Control, trees receiving Tergitol TMN-6 at 12.5 mL L-1 at 100% bloom or 10 mL L-1 at all bloom stages of bloom in 2016 (Terg10 mL L-1@60%Bl, Terg10 mL L-1@80%Bl, Terg10 mL L-1@100%Bl, Terg12.5 mL L-1@100%Bl) and Tergitol at 10 mL L-1 or 12.5 mL L-1 at 70% bloom in 2017 (Table 3) significantly reduced fruit set in ‘Zee Lady’ and ‘Snow Giant’ peaches. In ‘Zee Lady’, the percentages of fruit dropped on the ground (PFDG) in each treatment approximately mirrored its fruit set percentage in 2016. Thus, trees in Un-treated Control had significantly higher was significantly higher (PFDG) than all other treatments (Table 2). It is noteworthy that in ‘Zee Lady’ peach, applications of Tergitol at 60% or 100% bloom (Terg10 mL L-1@60%Bl or Terg10 mL L-1@100%Bl) were less effective on thinning than the sprays of Terg10 mL L-1@80%Bl or Terg12.5 mL L-1@100%Bl in 2016 (Table 2). This result implies that a single application of at Tergitol TMN-6 to ‘Zee Lady’ peach at 10 mL L-1 at 60% bloom is too early, as blooms are not sufficiently open and pollinized. Also, application of Tergitol TMN-6 to ‘Zee Lady’ peach at 10 mL L-1 at 100% bloom is too late as most flowers are already fertilized and they cannot be sufficiently thinned at this rate. Nevertheless, when the rate was increased to 12.5 mL L-1, this chemical significantly reduced fruit set of ‘Zee Lady’ peach even at 100% bloom in 2016. However, when ‘Snow Giant’ trees were at 100% bloom stage, application of Tergitol TMN-6 at 12.5 mL L-1 resulted in significantly lower fruit set as compared to all other treatments in 2016 (Table 4). Also, application of Tergitol at 10.0 mL L-1 at 100% bloom effectively reduced fruit set in ‘Snow Giant’. This comparison reveals that ‘Snow Giant’ peach is more sensitive than ‘Zee Lady’ to the same stage of bloom and concentrations of Tergitol TMN-6. Therefore, response of cultivar, concentration of blossom thinner and stage of blooms are all crucial in the success or failure of blossom thinning. In general, the results of Tergitol TMN-6 on peach thinning in this study agreed with our previous report with a different group of peach cultivars [2].

In ‘Zee Lady’, trees receiving Tergitol TMN-6 at 1.25 mL L-1 at 100% bloom had smaller fruit (Table 2), perhaps because this rate resulted in minor leaf burning, reducing carbohydrate deposit into the fruit tissues. Nevertheless, in ‘Snow Giant’, trees receiving Tergitol TMN-6 at all concentrations and blossom stages had significantly higher fruit weight as compared to the trees of Un-treated Control (Table 4). Application of Tergitol TMN-6 did not affect fruit russetting in ‘Zee Lady’ (Table 2) while tended to increase russetting in ‘Snow

**Table 4:** Effect of Tergitol TMN-6 on fruit set, yield, and fruit quality at harvest in ‘Snow Giant’ peach in 2016.

| Treatment | Fruit set (No./100 flowers) | Yield (kg/tree) | Fruit weight (g) | Color (1-5) * | Russet (%) |
|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|------------|
| Un-treated Control | 67.67 a | 23.22 a | 216.85 b | 2.28 a | 1.39 b |
| Terg10 mL L-1@60Bl | 57.55 b | 23.33 a | 243.92 a | 2.39 a | 4.72 ab |
| Terg10 mL L-1@80Bl | 54.75 b | 23.39 a | 236.11 a | 2.33 a | 5.84 a |
| Terg10 mL L-1@100Bl | 49.97 c | 23.45 a | 242.03 a | 2.41 a | 3.95 ab |
| Terg12.5 mL L-1@100Bl | 43.18 d | 22.05 a | 242.50 a | 2.43 a | 5.95 a |

*Fruit color ranking: 1 = green, progressively to 5 = uniform red.*

*Mean separation within each column by LSD at 5% level.

**Table 5:** Effect of Tergitol TMN-6 on fruit set of ‘Red Globe’ and ‘Elberta’ in peaches in Utah, 2016.

| Treat | Red Globe fruit set (Fruit no./100 flowers) | Elberta fruit set (Fruit no./100 flowers) |
|-------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Un-treated Control | 65.49 a | 50.26 a |
| Terg7.5 mL L-1@80Bl | 46.81 b | 33.48 b |
| Terg10 mL L-1@80Bl | 43.23 c | 32.76 b |
| Terg12.5 mL L-1@80Bl | 35.57 d | 27.39 b |

*Mean separation within each column by LSD at 5% level.*
7.5 mL.L⁻¹ can be used to reduce the fruit set and cost of processing could be tolerated in this nectarine, Tergitol TMN-6 at 40% and 21.49%, respectively (Table 6). Thus, if low levels of russet yields, while had lower fruit russet than other rates (20.83% and again at 80%, produced relatively large fruit size and high fruit marking and leaf burning with the application of blossom thinners.

Fine-tuning and a timely application of Tergitol TMN-6 can be economically sound. However, early applications of this chemical, before enough pollination and fertilization may lead to major losses. Additional research on blossom thinning is also warranted to determine a method for a quick determination of the proper blossom stage based on physiological development of pollen tube and/or fertilization of the ovules.
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