Magnetic Nanostructures Embedded in III-Nitrides: Assembly and Performance
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Abstract: III-Nitride semiconductors are the materials of choice for state-of-the-art opto-electronic and high-power electronic applications. Through the incorporation of magnetic ions, like transition metals and rare-earths, III-Nitrides have further extended their applicability to spintronic devices. However, in most III-Nitrides the low solubility of the magnetic ions leads to the formation of secondary phases that are often responsible for the observed magnetic behavior of the layers. The present review summarizes the research dedicated to the understanding of the basic properties, from the fabrication to the performance, of III-Nitride-based phase-separated magnetic systems containing embedded magnetic nanostructures as suitable candidates for spintronics applications.
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1. Introduction
The birth of spin-electronics or spintronics, which aims at exploiting not only the charge of the electron but also its spin, occurred with the discovery of the giant magnetoresistance effect [1,2]. This effect has since set the basis for the development of faster and more efficient magnetic recording media. However, the non-compatibility of metals with the constantly growing Si-technology due to their high impedance mismatch, prompted an urge for new materials with tunable material properties. The most promising candidates have been magnetic semiconductors, also called dilute magnetic semiconductors (DMS) [3,4]. The field of research of DMS has been already active for about five decades and is based on the addition of magnetic ions to technologically important semiconductors, in order to exploit the semiconducting properties, like the tunable band gap, and at the same time adding a magnetic moment [5].

The very first studies concerning magnetic semiconductors focused on II-VI semiconductors, such as CdTe and ZnSe, where the valence of the cation matches that of the Mn used as the magnetic impurity. However, the low electric $n$ and $p$ doping efficiency in these materials limited their applicability. Concerning the III-V semiconductors, GaAs doped with Mn has been the most studied magnetic semiconductor, where hole-mediated ferromagnetism [5] and electrical-field manipulation of the magnetization [6] was achieved. However, despite large efforts the Curie Temperature ($T_C$) for this magnetic semiconductor still lies below room temperature (RT) [7].

In the year 2000 Dietl et al. predicted that carrier-mediated ferromagnetism could be achieved in two wide-band gap semiconductors: Co-doped ZnO and Mn-doped GaN when doped with a magnetic dopant concentration above 5% and enough free holes to mediate the magnetic interaction between the ions [8]. However, the low solubility limit of the magnetic ions in these two semiconducting materials made it soon evident that the realization of a DMS with $T_C$ above RT was more challenging than expected, paving the way for the investigation of the so-called condensed magnetic semiconductors (CMS) [9]. The incorporation of the magnetic ions in a semiconductor depend on the growth conditions: either they substitute the original lattice cations and are randomly distributed in the
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The incorporation of magnetic ions like transition metals (TM) and rare-earths (RE) in III-Nitrides has been widely studied [15–17]. Theoretical ab initio calculations have predicted that TM-doped dilute GaN-based semiconductors could further serve as antiferromagnetic semiconductors, taking advantages of a 100% spin polarization but zero net magnetic moment [18]. For both dilute and condensed magnetic III-Nitrides, the observation of RT ferromagnetisms has been reported [15]. In many cases, the observed ferromagnetic signatures are attributed to the formation of secondary phases consisting of $X_yN$ compounds, where $X$ represents a TM or RE ion. A first-principle density functional theory-generalized gradient approximation study of the TM doping of bulk GaN and the nearest neighbor interactions at the (0001) GaN surfaces, predicted that Fe ions tend to aggregate at surfaces, while Cr and Mn tend to repulse each other [19].

In the present work the continuous progress dedicated to the understanding of the basic properties, from the fabrication to the performance, of phase-separated III-Nitride-based magnetic systems containing embedded magnetic nanostructures is presented and summarized. The review is divided into four main sections dedicated to the different III-Nitride CMS: (i) Mn-doped GaN, (ii) Fe-doped GaN, (iii) Cr-doped AlN and GaN, and (iv) Gd-doped GaN. For each material system, the different growth methods employed for fabricating the phase-separated layers, the structural properties of the secondary phases and the corresponding magnetic properties of the layers, are presented. At the end of each section a brief summary of the magnetic phases present in the III-Nitride CMS is provided. Many similarities between the embedded magnetic nanostructures in the different material systems and the employed growth mode are identified. It is found that the embedded magnetic nanostructures can be often tuned on demand by adjusting the fabrication conditions and depend largely on the growth mode and growth method employed, leading to the self-assembly of magnetic nanostructures. This work underlines the importance of a detailed nanocharacterization in these CMS and demonstrates the huge potential of these technologically relevant III-Nitride CMS for their implementation into spintronic devices.

2. Mn-Doped GaN

Manganese-doped GaN is a very controversial magnetic semiconductor with $T_C$ values reported between 4.5 K [20] to 940 K [21]. The origin of the high reported $T_C$ is still under debate, mostly concerning the question whether the material is dilute or not [11]. Despite the predictions by Dietl et al. [8], no carrier-mediated magnetism in Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N has been so far demonstrated. However, the achievement of a dilute ferromagnetic insulator with Mn concentrations below 10% and $T_C$ ranging from 1.8 K [22] to 10 K [23] has been reported. In these materials the ferromagnetism is well-described by tight-binding and Monte Carlo simulations in the frame of a superexchange scenario with Jahn Teller distortions of the dilute Mn$^{3+}$ ions [22,24]. Antiferromagnetic interactions in Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N have been also reported for samples containing between 10% [25] and 36% [26] of Mn ions attributed to a majority of the ions being in the Mn$^{2+}$ charge state.

2.1. (Ga)Mn$_{y}$N$_{x}$ Embedded Magnetic Nanoclusters in GaN

The solubility limit of Mn in hexagonal GaN was calculated based on phase equilibrium calculations and thermodynamic analysis constructed Ga-Mn-N phase diagrams [27]. Additional ab initio electronic structure calculations were employed to estimate the formation enthalpies of the binary and ternary secondary phases. It was found that the ternary GaMn$_5$N is the most stable phase
likely to form in Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N systems grown under low pressure conditions like molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) and metal-organic vapour phase epitaxy (MOVPE). In fact a solubility of up to 2.4% of Mn in the wurtzite Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N was predicted for growth temperatures taking place at 1073 K.

The first observation of phase-decomposition was reported by Zajac et al. in microcrystalline Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N layers [25]. These were fabricated by the ammonothermal technique with a Mn concentration of 0.5%. The secondary phase was identified as crystalline Mn$_2$N$_3$ from x-ray diffraction (XRD) and from the XRD intensity, it was estimated that only 5% of the entire layer volume was occupied by the nanoclusters. The layers presented a dominant paramagnetic behavior due to the dilute Mn$^{2+}$ ions substituting for the Ga cations in the GaN matrix with spin S = 5/2. Additionally, a linear component associated to the Mn$_2$N$_3$ nanocrystals was observed, which is consistent with Mn$_2$N$_3$ being antiferromagnetic with a Néel Temperature ($T_N$) of 925 K [28], shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Magnetization of a Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N layer with 0.4% Mn acquired at different temperatures. The dashed line fit to the data at 2 K is for pure Brillouin paramagnetism and the solid line is the Brillouin and an additional antiferromagnetic component attributed to the Mn$_2$N$_3$ nanoclusters. Reproduced with permission from Reference [25].

The same group reported the formation of numerous Mn$_{z}$N$_{y}$ phases with $z = 1, 3, 4$ and $y = 2, 1$ in Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N obtained from a detailed analysis of the contributions observed in the magnetometry measurements and the separate fabrication of Mn$_2$N$_3$ phases by adjusting the ammonia flow and the growth temperature during the ammonothermal process [29]. They described the magnetic properties of their Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N layers as a contribution of several components: (i) a Brillouin-like paramagnetic component from the Mn diluted in the GaN matrix, and (ii) a ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic contribution attributed to the Mn$_{z}$N$_{y}$ nanocrystallites in the layers. Depending on the employed fabrication conditions, they were able to corroborate the formation of antiferromagnetic MnN ($T_N = 650$ K) [30], antiferromagnetic Mn$_3$N$_2$ and ferrimagnetic Mn$_3$N ($T_C = 790$ K) [31] in their Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N layers. They concluded that according to their findings, the presence of Mn$_{z}$N$_{y}$ phases could not be excluded solely from the results obtained from conventional XRD techniques, as the volume of these phases in the layers was sometimes below the detection limit.

The formation of Mn$_3$N$_2$, Mn$_3$Ga and Mn$_8$N$_{2.58}$ nanoclusters was further identified in Mn-implanted $p$-type GaN layers by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) [32]. The $p$-type GaN templates with a hole carrier concentration of $2.5 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ grown by metalorganic chemical deposition on a (0001) sapphire substrate were implanted with Mn$^+$ ions up to a concentration of 5% and subsequently annealed for 30 s to temperatures of 700 °C to 900 °C. Depending on the annealing temperature, the formation of different nanoclusters were observed by HRTEM and corresponding selected area diffraction patterns (SADPs) as seen in Figure 2.
Figure 2. Identification of secondary phases in Mn-implanted GaN by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) imaging and selected area diffraction patterns (SADPs) annealed at: (a) 700 °C with the identified Mn$_3$Ga phase, and (b) 900 °C with the phases identified as Mn$_6$N$_{2.58}$ (c) and Mn$_3$N$_2$ (d), respectively. Reproduced with permission from Reference [32].

In the Mn-implanted GaN layers annealed below 800 °C the formation of Mn$_3$Ga nanoclusters with sizes ranging between 3 nm and 7 nm were identified from SADPs. The magnetization of these layers showed a weak ferromagnetic behavior associated to the Mn$_3$Ga nanoclusters, for which a weak ferromagnetic behavior in the hexagonal phase [33] and a ferrimagnetic behavior in the tetragonal crystal structure [34] have been reported. When the implanted layers were annealed at temperatures above 900 °C, the nanoclusters size increased to around 30 nm and the phases were identified as Mn$_3$N$_2$ and Mn$_6$N$_{2.58}$, both phases are antiferromagnetic with $T_N$ above RT [28,35], leading to a reduction in the magnetization of the layers.

The formation of Mn$_3$Ga in Mn-implanted layers has been underlined by the work of Sun et al., where ferromagnetic signatures accompanied by a magnetic anisotropy was attributed to the formation of Mn$_3$Ga secondary phases in Mn-implanted nonpolar GaN annealed to 900 °C [36]. The magnetic anisotropy suggests two contributions responsible for the net magnetic moment: (i) the Mn ions diluted in the GaN matrix, and (ii) polarization induced changes in the enviroment of the magnetic ions. Recently, an inverse polaratization effect in dilute (Ga,Mn)N layers grown by MOVPE induced by an applied electric field demonstrated the control over the anisotropy of the Mn$^{3+}$ ions in the GaN matrix [37].

While the formation of Mn$_3$N$_y$ secondary phases was observed in layers prepared by the ammonothermal technique and ion implantation, the formation of perovskite GaMn$_3$N was reported in layers grown by MBE on different substrates for Mn concentrations above 2% [38–41]. The GaMn$_3$N phase is expected to be ferromagnetic near its equilibrium volume and is expected to have a large spin polarization of about 60% [42], while a spin-glass behavior at temperatures below 133 K has been experimentally reported for the antiperovskite crystalline structure [43]. The Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N layers containing GaMn$_3$N secondary phases show a ferromagnetic component with a $T_C = 175$ K, which is not directly assigned to the embedded phases.

The GaMn$_3$N precipitates in p-type Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N layers, identified from XRD presented in Figure 3, exhibit a slightly larger $T_C$ of 200 K and enhance the conduction in the layers, leading to an anomalous Hall effect at RT [38]. This is an unexpected behavior, taking into consideration that MnAs precipitates in (Ga,Mn)As are known to increase the resistivity of the layers [44]. The increased conductivity is explained in the frame of the formation of an ohmic contact between the GaMn$_3$N precipitates and the surrounding Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N matrix leading to an enhanced conduction, proving the suitability of this phase-separated material for magnetotransport devices.
Figure 3. Molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) grown Ga\textsubscript{1-x}MnN layers containing embedded GaMn\textsubscript{3}N nanocrystals identified from the (111) diffraction peak measured by X-ray diffraction (XRD). Reproduced with permission from Reference [38].

In Mg co-doped Ga\textsubscript{1-x}Mn\textsubscript{x}N layers grown by MOVPE, the addition of Mg to provide free holes did not lead to the expected carrier mediated ferromagnetism, but to the formation of Mn-Mg\textsubscript{k} magnetic complexes with tunable spin properties, emitting in the technologically important range of 1.2 \textmu m [45–47]. Extended x-ray fine structure (EXAFS) and Raman spectroscopy aided the identification of the Mn-Mg\textsubscript{k} complexes with \( k = 1, 2, 3 \), where \( k \) can be adjusted via the fabrication conditions [45,46]. In this way, the co-doping of Mg of Ga\textsubscript{1-x}Mn\textsubscript{x}N allows controlling the charge state of the Mn ions and its spin state, as evidenced through ab-initio calculations and superconducting quantum interference (SQUID) measurements, depicted in Figure 4. This is directly evidenced from the magnetic anisotropy of the non-interacting Mn\textsuperscript{3+} ions shown in the left inset of Figure 4b. After the addition of Mg, the magnetic anisotropy is strongly reduced, pointing to a reduction in the charge state from Mn\textsuperscript{3+} to Mn\textsuperscript{2+} [48]. The strong emission in the infrared of the Mn-Mg\textsubscript{k} complexes in GaN make this material relevant for laser and telecommunication technologies [49].

Figure 4. Tunable spin Mn-Mg\textsubscript{k} complexes in Ga\textsubscript{1-x}Mn\textsubscript{x}N grown by MOVPE that serve to modify the magnetic anisotropy of the layers: (a) Schematic representation of the complexes, and (b) magnetic anisotropy as a function of Mg/Mn ratio. Adapted from Reference [45].

Secondary phase formation was also observed for In\textsubscript{1-x}Mn\textsubscript{x}N layers grown by MBE with a Mn concentration as low as 1%, where Mn\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{2} nanoclusters were detected by XRD [50]. Despite the presence of the antiferromagnetic Mn\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{2} nanoclusters, the layers were only paramagnetic.
2.2. Summary of Secondary Phases Observed in Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N Layers

The binary and ternary secondary phases identified in Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N are summarized in Table 1, where their crystal structure, their lattice parameters, and their magnetic properties are listed. The growth methods employed to fabricate the phase-separated Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N layers are also included.

Table 1. Observed secondary-phases in Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N, their structural and magnetic properties, as well as the growth technique employed for the growth of the phase-separated layers.

| Phase       | Structural Properties | Magnetic Properties | Growth Method          |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| θ-MnN       | fct                   | Antiferromagnetic, $T_N = 650$ K [30] | Ammonothermal [25]     |
| $\eta$-Mn$_2$N$_2$ | fct                  | Antiferromagnetic, $T_N = 925$ K [28] | Ammonothermal [25], implantation [32] |
| ε-Mn$_4$N   | perovskite            | Ferrimagnetic, $T_C = 738$ K [31] | Ammonothermal [25]     |
| ε-Mn$_3$Ga  | wz                    | Weak ferromagnetic, $T_C = 460$ K [33] | Implantation [32]      |
| GaMn$_3$N   | Antiperovskite        | Ferromagnetic [42]  | PA-MBE [38,40]         |
|             | $a = 0.36$ nm [39]    |                     |                        |

3. Fe-Doped GaN

The incorporation of Fe into GaN began as a way to make the unintentionally $n$-type doped GaN grown by MOVPE a semiinsulating material suitable for applications into high-electron mobility transistors (HEMTs) [51–53], revealing that a very small amount of Fe (<10$^{15}$ ions/cm$^3$) was enough to compensate the $n$-type background of unintentionally doped GaN. However, the addition of Fe also showed the deterioration of the surface quality of the GaN thin layers due to the segregation of the Fe ions during in-situ fabrication [54,55]. This drawback damped the interest of the research community in the implementation of GaN:Fe in heterostructures for electronic devices.

Soon, Fe-doped GaN became an appealing material for achieving a magnetic semiconductor with high $T_C$. The first reports on ferromagnetic signatures were documented in $p$-type GaN templates grown by MOVPE ($\approx 3 \times 10^{17}$ holes/cm$^3$) and implanted with Fe$^+$ ions. The observed ferromagnetism persisted up to 250 K for a Fe concentration of 3% [56] and in layers with an Fe concentration of 10% a paramagnetic to ferromagnetic transition persisting above 350 K was reported [57]. In both works, the presence of secondary phases was excluded as the source of the observed ferromagnetism. However, due to the low carrier concentrations present in these implanted $p$-GaN:Fe layers, carrier-mediated ferromagnetism was also excluded, leaving the origin of the observed high $T_C$ unclear.

3.1. Embedded Fe$_y$N Magnetic Nanocrystals and Nanoclusters in Fe-Doped GaN

The first observation of phase-decomposition was reported in $p$-type GaN Fe-implanted samples by Talut et al. [58]. They observed via Mössbauer spectroscopy that the formation of the nanoclusters occurred already during implantation of Fe with a dose of $4 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$ followed by a 5 min annealing to 850 °C, which was comparable to the dose employed by Shon et al. [57]. The nanoclusters, identified as a-Fe, were epitaxially embedded in the GaN matrix with an epitaxial relation $(110)_{\text{Fe}} \parallel (10-11)_{\text{GaN}}$ and $(011)_{\text{Fe}} \parallel (0001)_{\text{GaN}}$, as is seen in the HRTEM micrograph and the corresponding SADP shown in Figure 5. The magnetic properties of these layers displayed a temperature independent paramagnetic Van Vleck contribution assigned to the non-interacting Fe$^{3+}$ ions and a superparamagnetic behavior consistent with the presence of the Fe nanoclusters. They concluded that not only the fluence, but also the annealing time and annealing temperature in Fe-ion implanted GaN were decisive in the formation and the size of the nanoclusters. So, in order to avoid the phase separation in Ga$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$N, the recrystallization annealing after implantation should be kept at the lowest temperatures, and should be as short as possible.
Further in-situ synchrotron XRD (SXRD) measurements presented in Figure 6 during annealing of the Fe-implanted p-type GaN samples to 1073 K gave a more detailed insight into the formation of the secondary phases [59]. After implantation, the samples do not show any precipitation. However, after annealing to 1073 K a broad diffraction peak assigned to a disordered ε-Fe$_3$-$\gamma$N phase was observed that turned into an ordered ε-Fe$_3$N phase as the sample was cooled down to RT. This process was reversible, but depended on the Fe out-diffusion and gradual oxidation of the Ga and the Fe with each annealing cycle. The temperature dependent measurements of the magnetic moment showed a superparamagnetic behavior pointing at a broad size distribution of the nanoparticles, with an estimated average size of 16 nm. The magnetic moment of the Fe ions was estimated to be 20 times lower than for pure ε-Fe$_3$N, indicating that not all the implanted Fe incorporated into the nanoparticles.

Figure 5. Fe nanoclusters epitaxially embedded in a p-GaN sample after an implantation of $4 \times 10^{16}$ Fe$^+$ ions and subsequently annealed to 800 °C. Left side: HRTEM, and right side: the corresponding SADP. Reproduced with permission from Reference [58].

Figure 6. In-situ SXRD (SXRD) observation of the formation of nanoclusters in Fe-implanted p-GaN with a dose of: (a) $8 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$, and (b) $16 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$. Reproduced with permission from Reference [60].
A detailed investigation comparing two different fluences, $8 \times 10^{16}$ and $16 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$ corresponding to about 9% and 18% at. Fe, and short annealing times by employing flash-light annealing (FLA) during in-situ SXRD demonstrated the formation of secondary phases even at very short annealing times [60]. The correlation of the structural and magnetic properties through Mössbauer spectroscopy, Rutherford back scattering, in-situ SXRD and SQUID magnetometry, allowed identifying spinodal decomposition [11,61] as a preliminary stage before the formation of nanoparticles in Fe-implanted $p$-type GaN, this being responsible for the complex magnetic interaction observed in the samples. The presence of ferromagnetic signatures was observed only for the annealed samples and was therefore, attributed to the identified $\alpha$-Fe and $\varepsilon$-Fe$_{3-y}$N nanoparticles formed during the annealing process.

In the case of Fe-doped GaN grown by MOVPE, a detailed characterization at the nanoscale employing a combination of EXAFS, electron paramagnetic resonance, HRTEM, SXRD and SQUID magnetometry demonstrated that the low solubility limit of Fe in GaN leads to the formation of predominantly hexagonal $\varepsilon$-Fe$_3$N ferromagnetic oblate nanocrystals (NCs) with a size of $(20 \pm 2)$ nm perfectly embedded into the GaN matrix, responsible for the observed high $T_C$ of 540 K [62]. When the Ga$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$N layers were fabricated in a continuous growth mode, a solubility limit of 0.4% of Fe ions into GaN led to the chemical and crystallographic phase separation. The layers containing less than 0.4% Fe ions showed a Brillouin-like paramagnetism associated to the Fe ions in the $Fe^{3+}$ charge state substituting for the Ga cations, responsible for a $p$-$d$ exchange interaction in the strong coupling limit [63]. Above the solubility limit, the magnetic properties of the layers displayed two contributions: (i) a paramagnetic component dominating at temperatures below 20 K attributed to diluted Fe ions in the matrix, and (ii) a ferromagnetic component persisting up to 540 K attributed to the embedded $\varepsilon$-Fe$_3$N nanocrystals.

3.2. Tuning the Structural and Magnetic Properties of (Ga)Fe$_y$N Nanocrystals in GaN

The aggregation of the magnetic ions during the growth of Ga$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$N in a MOVPE process is affected by growth rate and co-doping with shallow impurities [64]. While Si co-doping was found to hinder the aggregation of Fe ions in GaN and modify the charge state of the dilute Fe ions from $Fe^{3+}$ to $Fe^{2+}$ [65], Mg co-doping showed a behavior dependent on the growth mode employed [66]. There is a competition between Mg and Fe to occupy the Ga cation substitutional sites. This results in a reduced efficiency of the Fe ion incorporation in the homogeneously grown Mg co-doped layers, leading to a dilute and purely paramagnetic system, while in the $\delta$ Mg co-doping mode the aggregation of Fe is enhanced, inducing the formation of ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic Fe$_y$N ($y = 0, 2, 3, 4$) phases [66] presented in Figure 7.

**Figure 7.** Embedded Fe$_y$N nanocrystals with $y = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ in MOVPE grown Ga$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$N imaged by HRTEM and the corresponding fast-Fourier transformation images: (a,b) $\varepsilon$-Fe$_3$N, (c,d) $\alpha$-Fe, (e,f) $\zeta$-Fe$_2$N, and (g,h) $\gamma'$-Fe$_4$N. Adapted from Reference [67].
Moreover, not only the employed growth-mode, but also the growth temperature \( T_g \), modifies the stoichiometry of the embedded Fe\(_y\)N nanocrystals in Ga\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_x\)N and, therefore, their magnetic properties. The detailed characterization combining HRTEM, SXRD and SQUID magnetometry allowed the construction of a phase-diagram of the different Fe\(_y\)N NCs as a function of the fabrication temperature for homogenously-doped Ga\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_x\)N thin layers grown by MOVPE \cite{67,68}. The magnetic properties of these layers depend entirely on the stoichiometry of the embedded Fe\(_y\)N nanocrystals, displaying ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic components \cite{67,69}. X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) measurements proved that the ferromagnetism in these layers is linked to the Fe(3\(d\)) states and that the N(2\(p\)) states carry considerable spin polarization, due to an exchange coupling with the Fe ions \cite{70}.

The employment of the \( \delta \)-growth mode for the fabrication of Ga\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_x\)N layers by MOVPE resulted in the formation of single-phase \( \gamma'\)-Ga\(_y\)Fe\(_{4-y}\)N nanocrystal arrays localized in one plane close to the Ga\(_2\)FeN/GaN interface \cite{71–73}, as presented in Figure 8. By varying the number of the employed \( \delta \)-growth periods, the amount of Ga provided during the fabrication process can be adjusted. The resulting nanocrystals are epitaxially embedded into the GaN matrix with an epitaxial relation (001)[110]\_NC ||(0001)[1120]GaN \cite{71}.

![Figure 8. Secondary phases in Ga\(_2\)FeN layers identified from: (a) high-resolution XRD (HRXRD), and (b) cross-section TEM showing the planar \( \gamma'\)-Ga\(_y\)Fe\(_{4-y}\)N nanocrystal arrays. Adapted from Reference \cite{71}.

While the phase, crystal structure and epitaxial relationship of the embedded nanocrystals are independent of the fabrication conditions, the size, shape and density are strongly fabrication dependent \cite{73}. It was found that the amount of Ga supplied during the fabrication condition is the dominant parameter to achieve control over the size, shape and density of the nanocrystals. The Ga-flow provided during the \( \delta \)-doping determines the amount of Fe incorporated, the spatial density of the NCs, as well as the aspect ratio of the NCs, and, consequently, the overall magnetic behavior of the system.

The magnetic properties of the \( \delta \)-doped Ga\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_x\)N layers are consistent with those of the homogenously Fe-doped GaN layers, displaying a superparamagnetic behavior with a ferromagnetic component persisting above 390 K, associated to the oblate \((20 \pm 5)\) nm sized \( \gamma\)-Ga\(_y\)Fe\(_{4-y}\)N nanocrystals \cite{69}, and a uniaxial magnetic anisotropy with three in-plane easy axis \cite{72}. Since the magnetic response of \( \gamma\)-Ga\(_y\)Fe\(_{4-y}\)N changes from strongly ferromagnetic \((y < 0.25)\) to weakly antiferromagnetic \((y > 0.25)\) \cite{74,75}, the planar arrays of \( \gamma\)-Ga\(_y\)Fe\(_{4-y}\)N nanocrystals embedded in GaN become suitable for ferromagnetic as well as for the emerging field of antiferromagnetic spintronics \cite{76,77}.

The overall conduction mechanism in these \( \delta \)-doped Ga\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_x\)N layers occurs at the unintentionally \( n \)-doped GaN buffer on which they are grown on. The behavior of the resistivity and the magnetoresistance (MR) in these layers can be described in terms of: (i) an Arrhenius-like mechanism for temperatures above 50 K and (ii) a variable range-hopping (VRH) conduction mechanism with inter-nanocrystal hopping conduction, where the spin polarized current is transported between
nanocrystals at temperatures below 25 K [78]. Additionally, the layers exhibit a positive out-of-plane anisotropic magnetoresistance of 16% at 2 K and 3% at 300 K attributed to the embedded nanocrystals, which is significantly larger than that previously reported for γ'-Fe₃N thin films [79].

When the δ-doped Ga₁₋ₓFe₃N layers were left uncapped, the formation of α-Fe NCs located at the sample surface, was observed [78]. This is attributed to the evaporation of nitrogen taking place during the MOVPE process [80]. The presence of the α-Fe nanocrystals showed an increased strong ferromagnetic contribution in the layers, while leaving the (magneto)transport properties unaffected [78].

Interestingly, by adding Al into the buffer on which the δ-doped Ga₁₋ₓFe₃N layers were grown, the additional formation of elongated prolate ε-Fe₃N nanocrystals is induced. This leads to a change in the magnetic easy axis from in-plane to out-of-plane and a sizeable out-of-plane magnetic anisotropy in the layers [81], displayed in Figure 9, opening the perspectives for an all-nitride based memory device.

Figure 9. Shape-induced magnetic anisotropy in Ga₆FeN/AlₓGa₁₋ₓN heterostructures containing ε-Fe₃N and γ'-GaₓFe₄₋ₓN as observed by (a) HRXRD and (b) HRTEM. Out-of-plane magnetic anisotropy of the layers grown on AlₓGa₁₋ₓN buffers with (c) x = 0 and (d) x = 0.22. Adapted from Reference [81].

3.3. Summary of the Secondary Phases Observed in Ga₁₋ₓFe₃N

The above results have shown that the most common observed secondary phase forming in Ga₁₋ₓFe₃N layers is the ε-Fe₃N phase that is ferromagnetic with a Tₐ above RT. However, depending on the employed growth conditions, the formation of numerous Fe₃N phases with y = 0, 2, 4 have been also reported. The main properties – structure and magnetism – of the secondary phases found in Ga₁₋ₓFe₃N layers are summarized in Table 2. The growth method and the growth mode employed is also specified: h stands for homogenous, and δ for the interrupted δ-growth mode.
Table 2. Observed secondary-phases in Ga$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$N, their structural and magnetic properties, as well as the growth technique employed for the growth of the phase-separated layers.

| Phase          | Structural Properties | Magnetic Properties | Growth Method          |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| α-Fe           | bcc                   | Ferromagnetic, $T_C$ = 1044 K [82] | Implantation [58], MOVPE [67] |
| $\zeta$-Fe$_2$N | ortho, $a = 0.443$ nm | Antiferromagnetic, $T_N$ = 9 K [84] | MOVPE(h) [67] |
| ε-Fe$_3$N      | wz                    | Ferromagnetic, $T_C$ = 575 K [85] | Implantation [58,60], MOVPE(h, δ) [67,81] |
| γ'-Fe$_3$N     | fcc                   | Ferromagnetic, $T_C$ = 750 K [86] | MOVPE(h, δ) [67,71] |
| γ'-Ga$_y$Fe$_{4-y}$N | $a = 0.378$ nm [74] | Weak antiferromagnetic, $\Theta = 20$ K [74] | |

4. Cr-Doped III-Nitrides

The doping of III-Nitrides with Cr atoms has been a subject of numerous publications where ferromagnetism with $T_C$ above RT has been reported [50,87–92]. The formation of secondary phases as the source for the observed ferromagnetism was often ruled out, while the origin was attributed to magnetic long-range interaction between the diluted Cr atoms. However, computational methods predicted on the one side the tendency of Cr ions to aggregate, favouring the formation of Cr nanoclusters with ferromagnetic interaction for clusters with two ions and antiferromagnetic interaction for clusters with more than two ions [93]. On the other side, short-ranged interactions between Cr ions and low $T_C$ in the Ga$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N DMS were calculated [94], suggesting the origin for the observed high $T_C$ in the formation of ferromagnetic secondary phases and nanoclusters. Very few detailed nanocharacterization studies exist about for Cr-doped III-Nitride systems where the formation of secondary phases was identified [88,90,95].

4.1. Phase Separation in Al$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N Layers

In Cr-doped AlN layers fabricated by reactive co-sputtering with Cr concentrations ranging between 12% to 36%, the formation of secondary phases could not entirely be ruled out based solely on XRD [87]. The magnetometry results, which revealed hysteresis with a coercivity independent of the Cr concentration in the layers as depicted in Figure 10, pointed at the existence of a ferromagnetic secondary Cr$_x$N phase with fixed composition. However, the origin of the ferromagnetic signatures was discussed in the frame of magnetic percolation and Cr-Cr magnetic interactions due to the high Cr atom concentrations in the layers. The Al$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N layers exhibited a decreasing resistivity with increasing Cr concentration and VRH type conduction properties.

Figure 10. Magnetization of Al$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N layers prepared by reactive co-sputtering displaying room temperature (RT) ferromagnetism and fixed coercivity for the entire Cr concentration range $x = 0.12$–0.36, pointing at the formation of secondary phases. Reproduced with permission from Reference [87].
The dependence on the growth temperature in MBE grown Al$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N layers with $x \approx 2\%$ of Cr ions showed the formation of single-phase, resistive layers with ferromagnetic behaviour persisting up to 350 K when grown below 1000 °C [88]. In the layers grown at temperatures above 1000 °C, secondary phases identified as Cr$_2$N and Al$_x$Cr$_y$ (possibly Al$_3$Cr$_2$ and Al$_3$Cr) were detected by Powder XRD. The phase-separated Al$_{0.98}$Cr$_{0.02}$N layers were conductive, in contrast to the single-phase layers. Polyakov et al. [96] investigated the optical and electrical properties in detail of these Al$_{0.98}$Cr$_{0.02}$N layers grown below and above 1000 °C. The increased resistivity in the single-phase layers is attributed to the deep-state of Cr formed in the band gap of AlN [97]. A reduction in the optical transmission observed in the Al$_{0.98}$Cr$_{0.02}$N layers containing secondary phases, infers a shift of the band gap from 6.2 eV to 5.8 eV in the multi-phase material explained by the absorption through free carriers and strong scattering, due to the presence of conductive nanoclusters. These conductive nanoclusters are responsible for the large VRH-type conductive behavior of the layers.

The nanocharacterization via HRTEM and energy filtered TEM, showed the formation of a microcolumnar morphology, where the Cr ions tend to cluster in Al$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N thin films grown by reactive MBE [90,97]. Already for $x > 0.025$, nanoclustering of the Cr into the columnar morphology was observed [90] if the layers were grown at 700 °C. If the layers were grown at 800 °C, the Cr formed spherical nanoclusters randomly distributed in the layer, as observed in Figure 11. These phase-separated layers are ferromagnetic with the highest $T_C$ and magnetization per Cr atom observed for the Al$_{0.93}$Cr$_{0.07}$N layer of 900 K and 0.6 $\mu_B$, respectively. The origin of the observed ferromagnetism is left open, while the formation of Cr$_y$N phases is completely excluded, based on the fact that most of the Cr$_y$N phases are known to be antiferromagnetic, except for the Cr$_2$N phase [98]. It is believed that the nanoaggregation of Cr into the columnar structure favours the Cr-Cr interaction in the layers or that the ferromagnetic interaction takes place due to the depletion of electrons from the Cr-Al level by compensating acceptors [97].

![Figure 11](image_url)

**Figure 11.** Energy filtered TEM showing the Cr nanoaggregation into the columnar morphology in AlCrN with 4% Cr prepared at two fabrication temperatures: (a) 700 °C, and (b) 800 °C. Reproduced with permission from Reference [90].

### 4.2. Embedded Cr$_x$N Nanostructures in GaN

Energy density calculations predicted that the Cr distribution in GaN is not random or homogeneous but that it is energetically favourable for the Cr atoms to form clusters. For clusters larger than two atoms an antiferromagnetic interaction will be energetically more favourable than the ferromagnetic one [93]. The cluster formation is enhanced both by increasing the Cr concentration and also by employing high growth temperatures during fabrication.
In reactive MBE grown Ga$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N layers with 2% of Cr, the presence of small nanoclusters near to the surface were detected by HRTEM [90]. The nanoclusters were identified from the SADP as CrN having an epitaxial relation with the GaN matrix of (110)$_{Cr}$$||(0001)_{GaN}$, depicted in Figure 12. Rutherford back scattering measurements indicated that up to 70% of the Cr ions are located in substitutional sites, which is lower than that found for Al$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N layers grown with the same concentration, where 90% of the Cr is in substitutional sites [96]. The Ga$_{0.98}$Cr$_{0.02}$N layers show an even higher $T_C$ than the one reported for Al$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N layers of around 1000 K, which origin is left open [99]. The presence of the few CrN nanoclusters is not taken into consideration, as this phase is known to be antiferromagnetic [100].

Magnetotransport measurements of the layers showed that the layers were highly conductive and presented a VRH-type conduction with a Coulomb gap. Furthermore, a negative MR of 3% at 2 K that decreases with increasing temperature was reported. While the conductive character of the layers was explained in the frame of the conduction electrons coming from the partially filled $t_2$ Cr$^{3+}$ levels, the presence of the CrN embedded nanoclusters contributing to the conductive character like in the case of the phase-separated Al$_{0.98}$Cr$_{0.02}$N [96] was not considered.

![Figure 12. CrN nanocluster embedded in Ga$_{0.97}$Cr$_{0.03}$N probed by HRTEM and identified from the SADP shown in the inset. Reproduced with permission from Reference [90].](image)

Silicon co-doping of Ga$_{0.98}$Cr$_{0.02}$N thin layers grown by electron-cyclotron-resonance MBE showed no phase separation from XRD and a ferromagnetic character persisting to above 400 K [101]. Similar as in the cases of Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$N and Ga$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$N, Si co-doping leads to a change in the charge state of Cr from Cr$^{3+}$ to Cr$^{2+}$. Unexpectedly, a higher $T_C$ is observed for the Si co-doped layers, which is explained in terms of the formation of Cr-rich clusters due to the presence of Si. The authors emphasized the need for a detailed microscopic nanocharacterization of the Si do-doped Ga$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N layers in order to fully understand their results.

An enhanced formation of Cr$_y$N secondary phases is expected to occur through the addition of Mg to Ga$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N layers [16], observed in the form of a low temperature splitting of the magnetic zero-field-cooled and field-cooled magnetometry curves. The observed splitting pointed at the formation of magnetic anisotropic nanoclusters below its blocking temperature ($T_B$) with a broad size distribution. According to DFT calculations, Cr atoms have the tendency to concentrate around N atoms and form Cr$_2$N and Cr$_3$N nanoclusters, which are both ferromagnetic [102].
The δ-doping mode was also employed to grow aligned Cr-rich nanocluster arrays in Ga$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N by radio-frequency MBE (RF-MBE) [95], motivated by the simulations of spinodal nanodecomposition by Katayama-Yoshida et al. where it was found that by controlling the decomposition dimensionality, various characteristic phases occur in DMSs: a three-dimensional (3D) Dairiseki phase and a one-dimensional (1D) Konbu phase [94]. It was predicted that the superparamagnetic blocking temperature of GaCrN with a Konbu phase could be higher. The δ-doped layers consisted of Cr-periods grown between 8 nm GaN thin layers grown at different temperatures. By employing a growth temperature of 700 °C for the intermediate GaN layers, the formation of aligned CrN elongated nanostructures epitaxially embedded into the Ga$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N surrounding matrix were found. The aligned nanoclusters, shown in Figure 13, had an epitaxial relation (111)$_{CrN}$∥(0001)$_{GaN}$ and [110]$_{CrN}$∥[10$\bar{1}$0]$_{GaN}$ with the surrounding GaN matrix. Unfortunately, no details on the magnetic properties of the aligned CrN nanoclusters in Ga$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N were provided.

![Figure 13.](image.png) Aligned CrN nanoclusters in GaN grown by RF-MBE imaged by cross-section TEM. Reproduced with permission from Reference [95].

### 4.3. Summary of Secondary Phases Observed in III-Nitrides Doped with Cr

The nanoaggregation of Cr atoms into the columnar morphology in Al$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N was not considered as a phase separation, eventhough this type of Konbu phase was discussed in the frame of spinodal nanodecomposition occuring in DMS by Katayama-Yoshida et al. [94]. Additional phase separation was observed in both, Cr-doped AlN and GaN. The phases formed and observed in Cr-doped III-Nitrides layers are summarized in Table 3.

| Phase          | Structural Properties | Magnetic Properties                        | Growth Method     |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| CrN            | rock salt             | Antiferromagnetic, $T_N = 72$ K [82]        | MBE [90], RF-MBE [95] |
| Cr$_2$N        | trigonal              | Ferromagnetic, $T_C = 380$ K               | MBE [90]          |
| Al$_2$Cr$_3$   | $a = 0.443$ nm, $c = 0.484$ nm [83] | -                                          | Implantation [58], MOVPE |
| Al$_3$Cr       | fcc                   | Ferro/antiferromagnetic                     | MBE [90]          |
5. Gd-Doped GaN

Investigations on RE doping of GaN started as an alternative for achieving tunable optoelectronic devices [17,98]. However, doping of GaN with RE, in particular with Gd, soon demonstrated their potential as suitable candidates for achieving high $T_C$ DMS [105–110].

The first observations of ferromagnetism Ga$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$N thin films grown by RF-MBE with $T_C > 400$ K were reported by Teraguchi et al. [105], where the presence of secondary phases such as Gd and GdN were ruled out due to their low reported $T_C$ of 308 K and 72 K, respectively [111]. Gd-doped GaN became a particularly interesting DMS after the observation of a colossal magnetic moment of 4000 $\mu_B$ per Gd ion in RF-MBE Ga$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$N layers with $x < 0.04$ [106,112].

The origin of the observed colossal magnetic moment and the high $T_C$ in the DMS was then extensively discussed. It was consensly attributed to the existence of defects created during the incorporation of Gd ions into the GaN lattice, which amount depends largely on the growth mode employed [113]. These defects created through the Gd ions were expected to lead to a strain field around the Gd atoms in GaN and create therefore a potential dip around each Gd atom due to the large piezoelectric polarization of GaN, trapping carriers that could be spin polarized [107]. In particular, interstitial Ga, N, and O in octahedral sites next to the Gd ions were identified as the most probable sources of the observed ferromagnetism [110,114–116]. First-principle calculations attributed the colossal magnetic moment to the interaction of Gd 4$f$ spins via $p$-$d$ coupling involving holes introduced by intrinsic defects such as Ga vacancies [117,118].

Interestingly, like in the case of Ga$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$N, the magnetization of Si co-doped Ga$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$N layers increased with increasing Si co-doping reaching higher values that in the undoped material [119]. The same magnetization enhancement was observed for Mg co-doping [120]. In contrast, co-doping with hydrogen decreased the magnetic moment, indicating that the ferromagnetism in Ga$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$N was stabilized by electrons [109].

5.1. Structure and Magnetism of Secondary Phases in Ga$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$N

Similar as TM in III-Nitrides, Gd in GaN was found to substitute for the Ga cation sites in a tetrahedral coordination [121]. First indications of phase separation in Ga$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$N was observed by Han et al. in Gd-implanted GaN with a concentration of 0.1% [122]. They observed secondary phase separation independent of the employed implantation energy, which had not been detected previously [107,108]. The secondary phases were identified from HRXRD as Gd, GdN and Gd$_3$Ga$_2$, shown in Figure 14. The layers displayed a superparamagnetic behavior with $T_B$ above RT. Due to the fact that the magnetic properties of the observed Ga$_2$Ga$_3$ phase were not known, the contribution of this phase to the overall magnetization could not be estimated. The other two phases, Gd and GdN, are known to be ferromagnetic, but because their $T_C$ is much lower than the one of the Ga$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$N layers, they were excluded as the origin of the observed ferromagnetism.

Onset of phase separation was also observed in plasma-assisted MBE grown Ga$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$N layers with a Gd concentration of 2.9% by employing a combination of circular and linear magnetic dichroism and ferromagnetic resonance [123]. It was found that the magnetic signatures of the sample corresponded to a superparamagnetic system containing Gd-clusters with a $T_C$ of 70 K, which is consistent with the literature values of the $T_C$ of GdN [124].
Interestingly, phase-separation was observed already for layers containing Gd ions in the concentration range between 0.0004–0.06% by employing a combination of detailed TEM techniques and geometric phase analysis simulations, shown in Figure 15 [125]. The formation of ultrathin, coherently strained GdN nanoplatelets lying parallel to the basal plane of the GaN matrix was observed. The density of the nanoplatelets increased with increasing Gd concentration in the layers, while the mean nanoplatelet size increased only from 2 nm to 4 nm from the lowest to the highest Gd concentrated sample. The implications of these GdN nanoplatelets on the magnetic properties of the layers was not discussed. However, these results underlined the importance of a detailed nanocharacterization in magnetic semiconductors treated as diluted, but where spinodal nanodecomposition has taken place [11].

**Figure 14.** Secondary phases identified by XRD in Gd-implanted GaN thin layers annealed at (a) 700 °C and (b) 900 °C. Reproduced with permission from Reference [122].

**Figure 15.** Identified GdN nanoplatelet in Ga$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$N from: (a) HRTEM and (b) displacement-field mapping along the [0001] direction. Reproduced with permission from Reference [125].
Through the implementation of a δ-doping-like growth mode in MBE grown GdN/GaN superlattices deposited onto GaN/Al₂O₃ MOVPE templates, the formation of GdN nanoislands was observed [126]. The superlattices consisted of ultrathin layers of GdN (0.2 ML) separated by 10 nm thick GaN spacer layers, resulting in the formation of GdN elongated cubic nanoislands embedded in the hexagonal GaN matrix after the deposition of 1.2 ML of GdN (6 periods), presented in Figure 16. The size of the nanoislands along the growth direction was constant, while the increase in the Gd supplied during the growth increased only their lateral size, similar as the behaviour observed of γ'-GaₓFe₄₋ₓN embedded nanocrystals found in δ-doped Ga₁₋ₓFeₓN layers [73]. The epitaxial relation of the GdN nanoislands along the growth direction was found to be (111)GdN∥(0001)GaN.

The magnetic characteristics of the Ga₁₋ₓGdₓN superlattice containing GdN nanoislands consisted of two contributions: (i) a dominating, isotropic magnetic component from the GdN nanoislands with T_C = 70 K, and (ii) a weak, anisotropic ferromagnetic component that persists up to above RT, which is attributed to the interaction of Gd with the lattice defects in the GaN matrix, consistently with previous results on single-phase Ga₁₋ₓGdₓN [106]. At temperatures below 70 K, an additional paramagnetic component attributed to the substitutional Gd³⁺ ions in GaN was also observed. The weak ferromagnetic component, attributed to the defects, displayed out-of-plane magnetic anisotropy.

**Figure 16.** GdN nanoislands embedded in a GaN/GdN superlattice. (a) Sample structure, (b) cross-section high angle annular dark-field scanning TEM of the superlattice structure, and (c) HRXRD showing the orientation of the GdN embedded nanoislands in the GaN matrix. Reproduced with permission from Reference [126].

It has been demonstrated that these epitaxially embedded GdN nanoislands in GaN can be employed for efficient interband tunnelling in GaN p – n junctions [127]. An efficient tunnel injection of holes from a n-type GaN top contact into the p-type GaN was achieved, demonstrating a low tunnel junction specific contact resistivity of 1.3 × 10⁻³ Ω·cm². This demonstration of efficient tunnelling using GdN nanoislands opens the perspectives for designing III-nitride electronic and optoelectronic devices with higher performance and functionality.

### 5.2. Summary of Secondary Phases Observed in Ga₁₋ₓGdₓN

The high T_C observed in Ga₁₋ₓGdₓN layers has been attributed to the presence of defects: Ga, N and O vacancies and interstitials. In this material system, the formation and presence of secondary phases is not necessary linked to the magnetic properties. Nevertheless, it has been shown that phase decomposition occurs already for concentrations of Gd in the order of 10¹⁵ ions/cm³. The reported secondary phases in Ga₁₋ₓGdₓN are listed in Table 4.
Table 4. Observed secondary-phases in Gd-doped GaN, their structural and magnetic properties, as well as the growth technique of the phase-separated layers.

| Phase       | Structural Properties | Magnetic Properties | Growth Method |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| GdN         | rock salt             | Ferromagnetic, $T_C = 72$ K [124] | Implantation [122], RF-MBE [125,126] |
| Gd $a = 0.497$ nm [124] |                      |                     |               |
| Gd$_3$Ga$_2$ | -                     | Ferromagnetic, $T_C = 308$ K [111] | Implantation [122] |
|             | -                     | -                   | Implantation [122] |

6. Summary and Outlook

The formation of secondary phases in III-Nitride-based magnetic semiconductors and their role on the observed magnetic properties have been reviewed. It has been shown, that the low solubility limit of TM and RE magnetic ions in III-Nitrides, lying often in a few percent of magnetic ions, should not be regarded as a drawback, but as an advantage that open numerous perspectives for these phase-separated materials.

The incorporation of both, TM and RE ions, usually occurs substituting for the III-cations in a 3+ charge state, which is usually changed to a 2+ charge state through co-doping with Si. The magnetic properties of these phase-separated III-Nitrides consist of at least two contributions: (i) a paramagnetic contribution attributed to the dilute substitutional 3+ magnetic ions in the III-Nitride matrix, and (ii) a magnetic component dominant at RT associated to the secondary phases, which can be either ferromagnetic, antiferromagnetic or superparamagnetic.

The often controversial origin of high $T_C$ ferromagnetism in many III-Nitride-based magnetic semiconductors has in the case of TM-doped GaN, been identified as originating from the formation of ferromagnetic secondary phases epitaxially embedded in the hexagonal matrix. While in Gd-doped GaN the colossal magnetic moment and high $T_C$ ferromagnetism is attributed to formation of defects formed during the incorporation of the magnetic dopant.

The above presented results underline the importance of a detailed nanocharacterization through the combination of high-resolution spectroscopic, imaging and computational techniques in order to gain full insight into the nanostructure and the origin of the observed magnetic features in III-Nitride magnetic semiconductors, which also applies to numerous other material systems [11].

The embedded nanostructures and their properties, like phase, size and density, can be often tuned on demand by adjusting the fabrication conditions and depend largely on the growth mode and growth technique employed. The fabrication of self-assembled nanostructured arrays in Fe, Cr and Gd-doped GaN by employing an interrupted δ-growth mode has been achieved, opening wide perspectives for their implementation into functional devices. The observation of out-of-plane magnetic anisotropy in δ-Ga$_{1-x}$/Fe$_x$N/Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N heterostructures and GdN/GaN superlattices and the manipulation of the aligned nanostructures through magnetic and electric fields, makes them appealing for their implementation into memory device-like structures.

This review demonstrates the large potential of III-Nitride CMS, where still many challenging physics are yet expected to be discovered when investigating proximity effects in combination with 2D van der Waals structures, superconductors and topological insulators [128,129].
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