Detailed kinetic models for the low-temperature auto-ignition of gasoline surrogates
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Abstract
In the context of the search for gasoline surrogates for kinetic modeling purpose, this paper describes a new model for the low-temperature auto-ignition of n-heptane/iso-octane/hexene/toluene blends for the different linear isomers of hexene. The model simulates satisfactory experimental results obtained in a rapid compression machine for temperatures ranging from 650 to 850 K in the case of binary and ternary mixtures including iso-octane, 1-hexene and toluene. Predictive simulations have also been performed for the autoignition of n-heptane/iso-octane/hexene/toluene quaternary mixtures: the predicted reactivity is close to that of pure iso-octane with a retarding effect when going from 1- to 3-alkene.

Introduction
While gasoline and diesel fuel have a “near-continuous spectrum” of hydrocarbon constituents, surrogates composed of a limited number of components have to be defined in order to develop detailed kinetic models. The following strategy to develop them has been proposed [1]:
1. Feasibility. Candidates in the formula must have known detailed kinetic mechanisms.
2. Simplicity. Mainly limited for computational capabilities to normal paraffins with less than 12 carbon atoms, monocyclic paraffins with less than 8 carbon atoms, and simple aromatics such as benzene, alkyl-benzenes and naphthalene.
3. Similarity. The surrogate is required to match practical fuels on both physical and chemical properties: (i) volatility (boiling range and flash point), (ii) sooting tendency (smoking point and luminous number), (iii) combustion property (heat of combustion, flammability limits and laminar premixed mass burning rate).
4. Cost and availability.”

The hydrocarbon constituents of gasoline contain from 4 to 10 atoms of carbon and can be divided in five main families, namely linear alkanes (n-paraffins, for about 10% mass in an European gasoline), branched alkanes (iso-paraffins, for about 30%), cyclic alkanes (napthenes, for about 3%), alkenes (olefins, for about 20 %) and aromatic compounds (for about 35%) [2]. Since normal-heptane and iso-octane are primary reference fuels (PRF) for octane rating in spark-ignited internal combustion engines, the n-heptane/iso-octane blend (PRF mixture) has long been the most commonly proposed surrogate to reproduce low-temperature oxidation of gasoline (e.g. [3]). More recently, models have been proposed for a PRF/toluene blend [4,5], as well as for a n-heptane/iso-octane/1-pentene/toluene/methylcyclohexane mixture [6].
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General features of the EXGAS system for the modeling of the oxidation of alkanes and alkenes

As several papers already described the way EXGAS generates detailed kinetic models for the oxidation of alkanes [10, 12] and alkenes [11, 13, 14], only a summary of its main features is given here. The system provides reaction mechanisms made of three parts:

- A C0-C2 reaction base, including all the reactions involving radicals or molecules containing less than three carbon atoms [15].
- A comprehensive primary mechanism including all the reactions of the molecular reactants, the initial organic compounds and oxygen, and of the derived free radicals.
- A lumped secondary mechanism, containing the reactions consuming the molecular products of the primary mechanism which do not react in the reaction base.

**A model for the oxidation of toluene**

The model for the oxidation of toluene includes the following sub-mechanisms [11]:

- A primary mechanism including reactions of toluene containing 193 reactions and including the reactions of toluene and of benzyl, tolyl (methylphenyl), peroxyl benzyl, and cresoxy free radicals.
- A secondary mechanism involving the reactions of benzaldehyde, benzyl hydroperoxide, cresol, benzylic alcohol, ethylbenzene, styrene and bibenzyl.
- A mechanism for the oxidation of benzene [19] including the reactions of benzene and of cyclohexadienyl, phenyl, phenyl peroxy, phenox, hydroxophenox, cyclopentadienyl, cyclopentadienoxo and hydroxy cyclopentadienyl free radicals, as well as the reactions of ortho-

---

**Figure 1**: Algorithm of generation for the primary mechanism of the oxidation of alkanes and alkenes. The reactions in italics are those specific to alkenes.
benzoquinone, phenol, cyclopentadiene, cyclopentadienone and vinylketene.

- A mechanism for the oxidation of unsaturated C0-C4 species, which contains reactions involving •C3H2, •C3H3, C3H4 (allene and propyne), •C3H5 (three isomers), C3H6, C4H2, •C4H3 (2 isomers), C4H4, •C4H5 (5 isomers), C4H6 (1,3-butadiene, 1,2-butadiene, methyl-cyclopropene, 1-butyne and 2-butyne).

This mechanism for the oxidation of toluene has been validated in previous work using experimental results obtained in jet stirred and plug flow reactors and in shock tubes.

Crossed reactions

The software EXGAS is designed to consider systematically the crossed reactions: each time a radical is created, it is submitted to all possible generic propagations without considering the reactant that first yielded it. The possible crossed reactions are of three types:

- **Crossed reactions between species deriving from alkanes and hexenes.**

  The metatheses involving the abstraction of a hydrogen atom from a hexene molecule by heptyl and iso-octyl radicals, as well as the combinations between allylic hexenyl and alkyl radicals have been considered. These reactions are shown hereafter in the case of 1-hexene:

  \[
  \text{Metatheses} \quad \text{Recombinations}
  \]

- **Crossed reactions between species deriving from alkanes and toluene.**

  The metatheses involving the abstraction of a benzylic hydrogen atom from toluene by allylic radicals have been taken into account.

Results and discussion

Simulations have been performed using the SENKIN software of CHEMKIN II [16]. Validations have been made for the ternary iso-octane/1-hexene/toluene blend and for the three different related binary mixtures using for comparison the experimental results obtained in a rapid compression machine. Predictive simulations have been run under similar conditions for n-heptane/iso-octane/hexene/ toluene blends including the different linear isomers of hexene. In all the figures, symbols correspond to experimental results and lines to simulations.

**Validations for binary and ternary mixtures containing iso-octane, 1-hexene or toluene**

Vanhove et al. [20] have measured cool flame and auto-ignition delay times in a rapid compression machine for iso-octane/1-hexene/toluene/O2/Ar/ N2/CO2 mixtures for temperatures (Tc) after compression ranging from 650 to 850 K. Tc is calculated at the end of the compression based on an adiabatic core gas model and can be considered as the maximum temperature reached in the combustion chamber. The three binary mixtures and the ternary one have been investigated. For each mixture, different initial pressures have been tested leading to different pressures after compression (Pc).

In the case of the binary mixtures, the agreement obtained between our simulations and the experimental results is satisfactory both for cool flame and ignition delay times for all studied pressure ranges, as illustrated in Figure 2 in the case of the 11-15 bar range. Simulations reproduce well the difference of reactivity between the three mixtures.

The worst agreement between simulations and experimental results is obtained for the iso-octane/toluene mixture, for which ignition delay times experimentally and numerically recorded are much larger than for the two other blends and for which any cool flame was neither experimentally observed nor simulated. The reactivity of the iso-octane/1-hexene mixture is larger than that of the toluene/1-hexene blend and a negative temperature coefficient (NTC) zone is only observed, as well as predicted by the model, in the first case. As shown by Vanhove et al. [7], iso-octane has no retarding effect on the autoignition of 1-hexene below 750 K, since the reactivity of the iso-octane/1-hexene mixture is close to that of pure 1-hexene. That is not the case of toluene which considerably slows down the low-temperature auto-ignition of 1-hexene, as well as that of iso-octane.
As shown in figure 3, the agreement is also satisfactory in the case of a ternary mixtures containing 47% (mol) iso-octane, 35% toluene and 18% 1-hexene for pressures after compression ranging from 11 to 15 bar and from 15 to 20 bar.

Between 11 and 15 bar, the ternary mixture is much less reactive than the iso-octane/1-hexene binary mixture at the lowest temperatures (below 750 K). The presence of toluene also makes the NTC zone disappear in both experimental results and simulations. The decrease of the cool flame and ignition delay times when pressure increases is well reproduced.
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Figure 4: Ignition delay times for three (8/44/30/18 % mol) n-heptane/iso-octane/toluene/hexane quaternary mixtures for each linear isomer of hexene (P = 15 bar, stoichiometric mixtures in air).

Figure 5: Comparison between the ignition delay times of the quaternary mixture including 1-hexene and those of pure n-heptane, iso-octane and 1-hexene (P = 15 bar, stoichiometric mixtures in air).

Conclusion
This paper presents a new model for the low-temperature auto-ignition of n-heptane/iso-octane/hexene/toluene blends for the different linear isomers of hexene. These models, which include up to 5800 reactions, allow satisfactory simulations compared to experimental ignition delay times measured in a rapid compression machine.

The use of such large models can only be fully justified if not only ignition delay times are well modeled, but also the products distribution. But that can only be achieved when more experimental data on the formation of products are available.
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