Ecological assessment of a southeastern Brazil reservoir
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Abstract: Reservoirs are artificial ecosystems with multiple functions having direct and indirect benefits
to humans; however, they also cause ecological changes and influence the composition and structure of
aquatic biota. Our objectives were to: (1) assess the environmental condition of Nova Ponte Reservoir,
Minas Gerais state, southeastern Brazil; and (2) determine how the aquatic biota respond to disturbances.
A total of 40 sites in the littoral zone of the reservoir were sampled to characterize physical and chemical
habitat, land use, and benthic macroinvertebrate and fish assemblages. The predominant type of land
cover near the reservoir was natural vegetation. A total of 29 fish species and 39 macroinvertebrate taxa
were collected, including eight alien species. Most sites had intermediate levels of human disturbance,
however, high levels of degradation were associated with high proportions of alien species. Disturbances
at multiple scales may alter natural patterns and processes, leading to environmental changes and
damaging biological communities. Our results reinforce the importance of assessing reservoir ecological
conditions at several scales. The study of land use, littoral zone physical habitat characteristics, water
quality, and assemblage structure set the ground for proposing actions to rehabilitate and conserve
aquatic ecosystems.

Keywords: reservoirs, water quality, bioindicators, physical habitat, environmental quality.

MARTINS, I., SANCHES, B., KAUFMANN, P.R., HUGHES, R.M., SANTOS, G.B., MOLOZZI, J.,
cALLISTO, M. Avaliação Ecológica de um reservatório no sudeste do Brasil. Biota Neotropica. 15(1): 1–10.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1676-06032015006114

Resumo: Reservatórios são ecossistemas artificiais com múltiplas funções e que oferecem benefícios
diretos e indiretos ao homem; no entanto, também causam mudanças ecológicas e influenciam a
composição e estrutura da biota aquática. Os objetivos deste estudo foram: (1) avaliar a condição
ambiental do reservatório de Nova Ponte, Estado de Minas Gerais, sudeste do Brasil; e (2) verificar se a
biota aquática responde aos distúrbios. No total, 40 sítios amostrais na região litórea do reservatório
foram amostrados para caracterizar os habitats físicos e químicos, cobertura e uso do solo, assembleias
de macroinvertebrados e peixes. Vegetação natural de cerrado foi o tipo predominante de cobertura do
solo no entorno do reservatório. 29 espécies de peixes e 39 taxa de macroinvertebrados bentônicos foram
coletadas, incluindo oito espécies exóticas. A maioria dos sítios amostrais possui níveis intermediários de
distúrbio antrópico, porém o aumento de alterações antrópicas foi associado ao aumento do número e
abundância de espécies exóticas. Distúrbios em múltiplas escalas podem alterar os padrões e processos
naturais, levando a mudanças ambientais e nas comunidades biológicas. Nossos resultados reforçam a
importância de avaliar as condições ecológicas do reservatório em várias escalas. O estudo do uso e
cobertura do solo, características de habitats físicos na zona litórea, características limnológicas e
estrutura das assembleias fornecem bases para a proposição de ações para reabilitação e conservação de
ecossistemas aquáticos.

Palavras-chave: Reservatórios, bioindicadores de qualidade de água, habitats físicos, qualidade ambiental.
Introduction

Reservoirs are artificial ecosystems with multiple functions, such as water storage, flood control, and electricity generation (Tundisi & Matsumura-Tundisi 2008). Their multiple uses bring indirect benefits to society, including economic development, employment, and income generation (Tundisi & Matsumura-Tundisi 2008). Reservoirs have become one of the most common types of human alteration in Brazilian river basins, where nearly all large rivers are dammed (von Sperling 2012). Dams produce several changes in rivers, including altered flow regimes, substrate composition, riparian flooding patterns, and riparian plant cover (Franklin et al. 1995). Those changes in turn influence fish and macroinvertebrate assemblage structure and composition (Agostinho et al., 2008, Borges et al. 2010), and may interfere with aquatic food web functions (Maroneze et al. 2011).

Aquatic species in reservoirs may be affected by human impacts at local and landscape scales (Luiz et al. 2003). Land use near reservoirs directly affects water quality (Beavan et al. 2001), which affects the density, distribution, and richness of biological communities. Therefore, it is necessary to assess the surrounding land use to evaluate ecological conditions of aquatic ecosystems in a meaningful manner (Meyer & Turner 1994). In urban reservoirs, for example, there are intensive human modifications in adjacent areas resulting from roads, housing, and commercial/industrial development (Hughes et al. 2014). Physical habitat modifications directly influence the composition and structure of aquatic communities (Kaufmann et al. 2014), and alter organism diets, reproduction, and growth (Benedito-Cecílio et al. 1997). As a result of all these influences, and because of the dam itself, aquatic communities undergo changes (Gehrke et al. 2002, Agostinho et al. 2008) that favor biological invasion (Rocha et al. 2011).

Information about land use and cover, littoral zone physical and chemical habitat characteristics, and aquatic assemblage structure facilitate ecological condition assessments and actions for rehabilitating and conserving aquatic ecosystems (Hughes et al. 2014, Kaufmann et al. 2014). This approach is particularly important in river basins with hydroelectric power plants (Macedo et al. 2012).

Our objective was to assess the ecological condition of Nova Ponte Reservoir in terms of its surrounding land use, littoral physical and chemical habitat, and fish and benthic macroinvertebrate assemblage structure. We hypothesized that reservoir macroinvertebrate and fish assemblages would respond to habitat disturbance and water characteristics.

Methods

1. Study area

Nova Ponte Reservoir (19°09'09"S and 47°40'29"W) is located on the Araguaui and Quebra-Anzol Rivers in the state of Minas Gerais, southeastern Brazil, in the Paraná River basin, and was built to generate electricity (Figure 1). The local climate is tropical with temperatures between 14 °C and 30 °C and average annual rainfall of ca. 1,700 mm (Durães et al. 2001). There is a dry season from April to September (minimum rainfall of 11 mm/month) and a rainy season from October to March (maximum rainfall of 280 mm/month) (Climatempo 2013). The predominant vegetation is neotropical savanna (cerrado). Nova Ponte Reservoir is the largest of a series of reservoirs on the Araguaui River, with a depth of 120 m close to the dam, a length of 115 km, and a volume of 12.8 billion m³ (Vono 2002). Its hydroelectric power plant has a capacity of 510 Mw, three generating units, and its dam is 141 m high and 1,600 m long. The floodgates closed in 1993 and operations began in 1994 (CEMIG 2013).

1.1 Study design. The sampling sites were defined according to the concept of spatially balanced sampling (Stevens & Olsen 2004) adapted to large reservoirs (Macedo et al. 2014). The reservoir’s perimeter was divided into 40 equidistant sections based on a randomly defined first point. Each site was located at the beginning of each section and each site was 200 m long. In each of the 40 sites, we assessed physical and chemical habitat, and sampled benthos and fish at the end of the rainy season, in April 2010. We did not conduct any temporal replication because of financial limits and a focus on spatial patterns.

2. Habitat characterization

2.1. Land use. We assessed land use via satellite images (TM sensor onboard Landsat 5 and images from Google Earth 6.0) that were obtained during the sampling period. We determined buffer areas of 500 m around each sampling site. Within each buffer, polygons were delimited and used to quantify the cover percentage of each land use and cover category. To visualize and determine the different types of land uses, we employed Kosmo 2.0 (Open Geographic Information System).

2.2 Physical habitat structure. In each of the 40 sites, we recorded cover percentage of aquatic macrophytes and types of substrates in the littoral zone, riparian vegetation features, and type and intensity of human impacts in the riparian zone (USEPA 2011). In each of the sites, we applied the physical habitat protocol along 200 m of the reservoir margin, in 10 consecutive, equidistant sample units (plots). Each plot comprised parts of the littoral (15 × 10 m), riparian (15 × 15 m), and exposed littoral zones (15 m wide and variable depth).

2.3. Water and sediment character. We used a multiparameter meter (model YSI 6600) to measure temperature (°C), pH, electrical conductivity (µS cm⁻¹), and total dissolved solids (g L⁻¹). We measured total depth with a SONAR gauge, euphotic zone depth with a Secchi disk, and turbidity (NTU) with a Digimed turbidimeter. We analyzed chlorophyll-a content (µg L⁻¹) following Golterman et al. (1978), dissolved oxygen content (mg L⁻¹ and percent saturation) according to Winkler (1888), and total alkalinity (mg L⁻¹) by the GRAN method (Carmouze 1994). We determined total nitrogen (µg L⁻¹) and total phosphorus (TP, ug L⁻¹) according to Golterman et al. (1978) and Mackereth et al. (1978), respectively.

We calculated a trophic state index (TSI) as proposed by the Sanitation Company of São Paulo State (Companhia de Tecnologia de Saneamento Ambiental - CETESB 2004) for each of the 40 sites. The TSI and its component indices were calculated as follows:

TSI = [TSI (TP ) + TSI (Chl)] / 2;
TSI (TP ) = 10x(6-(1.77 - 0.42x(ln TP)/ln 2)); and
TSI (Chl) = 10x(6-(0.92 - 0.34x(ln Chl)/ln 2)).

We measured sediment organic matter content through calcination in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 4 h (Esteves et al.
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1995) and granulometric composition by sieving, following Suguio (1973) as modified by Callisto & Esteves (1996).

2.4. Human disturbance. To evaluate the level of human disturbance at the sites, we applied the IDI (Integrated Disturbance Index) of Ligeiro et al. (2013), which was calculated from a Buffer Disturbance Index (BDI) and a Local Disturbance Index (LDI). We calculated the BDI according to the intensity of the disturbance in the 500 m buffer area as follows:

$$BDI = (4 \times \% \text{residential construction area}) + 2 \times (\% \text{agricultural area} + \% \text{bare soil area}) + \% \text{pasture area})$$

We used the RDis_IX index of Kaufmann et al. (2014) to calculate the LDI. We incorporated human disturbances in both riparian and drawdown areas along with horizontal drawdown distance (Table 1) in the calculation of RDis_IX (Kaufmann, unpublished data).

To incorporate the BDI and LDI into the IDI we first plotted the BDI and LDI scores for each site on a biplot, then we calculated the Euclidean distance of the position of the site relative to the graph origin. This was performed through application of the Pythagorean theorem: $IDI = [(LDI/2.25)^2 + (BDI/3)^2]^{1/2}$. The greater the site IDI value, the greater its deviation from the graph origin and the greater the level of disturbance (Ligeiro et al. 2013).

3. Biological assemblage sampling

3.1 Benthic macroinvertebrates. We collected macroinvertebrates in the littoral zone of each site with an Eckman-Birge grab (0.0225 cm$^2$). The samples were stored in plastic bags, fixed in 10% formalin, and then washed in a sieve (0.5 mm mesh) in the laboratory. The organisms were identified to family under a stereo-microscope using Pérez (1988), Merritt & Cummins (1996), Fernández & Domínguez (2001), Costa et al. (2006), Mugnai et al. (2010), and Trivinho-Strixino (2011), and the Chironomidae were identified to genera. Then they were fixed in 70% alcohol and deposited in the Benthic Ecology Laboratory reference collection (Franc¸a & Callisto 2007), Institute of Biological Sciences, Federal University of Minas Gerais. We considered alien species as those that occurred outside their past or present natural geographic range, and whose dispersal is aided by humans (Falk-Petersen et al. 2006).

3.2 Fish. We sampled fish through use of gillnets with mesh sizes between 3 and 16 cm (distance between opposite knots), heights ranging between 1.6 and 1.8 m, and lengths of 20 m. At each sampling site, we placed a set of five pairs of nets in the late afternoon and removed them the following morning, with a total exposure time of approximately 15 h. In the field, the captured specimens were separated by mesh size and sampling site, labeled, fixed in 10% formalin, placed in plastic drums, and

Figure 1. Location of 40 sampling sites on Nova Ponte Reservoir, in the Araguari river basin, Minas Gerais.
transported to the Ichthyology Laboratory at Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais for sorting, biometry, and identification.

In the laboratory, we washed the fish in water and identified them to species through use of Britski et al. (1986) and Graça & Pavanelli (2007). The individuals that were difficult to identify as well as part of the collected material were deposited as vouchers in the Ichthyological Collection of the State University of São José do Rio Preto, São Paulo, Brazil. We considered as alien species those from other river basins or those that originally did not belong to this reach of the Upper Paraná River (Oliveira et al. 2003, Graça & Pavanelli, 2007).

4. Data analyses

4.1 Spatial autocorrelation assessment. To assess spatial autocorrelation between sampling sites, we applied Mantel tests (Mantel 1967) on Bray-Curtis similarity analyses of macroinvertebrate and fish abundance data in PAST software (Hammer et al. 2003). We calculated dissimilarity matrices from the geographic coordinates (Euclidean distance) of each site. The value of the test is the Pearson correlation coefficient (R) between the two matrices. The significance of the test was generated by comparing the R value with the R value estimated from 10,000 randomly generated permutations from the biological samples.

4.3. Biotic metrics. For benthos, we calculated the following metrics: total abundance, family richness, Chironomidae abundance and genera richness, number and percentage of EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera) individuals and taxa, number and percentage of resistant individuals and taxa, number and percentage of alien individuals and taxa, and number and percentage of tolerant individuals and taxa.

The fish metrics employed were total number of species, total number of native species, number and percentage of alien species, number and percentage of migratory species, number and percentage of rheophilic species, abundance and percentage of native individuals, percentage of species that account for 90% of total abundance, abundance and percentage of rheophilic individuals, catch per unit effort of individuals (CPUEn), catch per unit effort of native species (CPUEnNat), catch per unit effort of alien species (CPUEnExot), and percentage catch per unit effort of alien individuals (% CPUEnAlien).

4.4 Influence of anthropogenic disturbance on biological assemblages. To assess the influence of human disturbances around the reservoir on benthic macroinvertebrate and fish assemblages, we made scatterplots and regressed biological metrics against the IDI, in Statistica 8.0 (StatSoft Inc. 2007). Prior to those analyses, metrics that comprised abundance and richness data were log (x + 1) transformed. Biotic metrics expressed as percentages and IDI values were arcsine square root transformed (Legendre & Legendre 1998, Zar 1996).

4.5 Influence of water quality on biological assemblages. Initially, redundant biotic and abiotic variables were excluded (r > 0.7) through use of Spearman Rank Correlation. If only two variables were correlated, the one with higher average correlation coefficient was excluded from further analysis (Feld & Hering 2007, Hughes et al. 2009, Raposeiro et al. 2011). We then performed an RDA (Redundancy Analysis) on the selected environmental variables standardized by Statistica 8.0 (StatSoft Inc. 2007) and biological metrics transformed as described above. For this, we used R 2.15.1 (R Core Team 2008).

Results

1. Biological assemblages

1.1 Benthic macroinvertebrates. We collected 1,116 organisms and 39 taxa, dominated by Chironomidae (46%) and Oligochaeta (42%) (Figure 2a). Among the Chironomidae, we found 462 individuals and identified 21 genera (Figure 2b), dominated by Tanytarsus (van der Wulp 1984) (46%) and Polyphemium (Kieffer 1913) (15%). In the sites located in the buffer region of rivers and tributaries (24, 29, and 30) we observed the highest richness (6 and 7) of benthic taxa. The sampling sites with lowest richness (1 to 3) were located close to the dam (sites 2, 3, 37, 38, 39 and 40). We also collected non-native Corbicula fluminea (Müller 1974) (Corbiculidae, Bivalvia) and Melanoïdes tuberculatus (Müller 1974) (Thiaridae, Gastropoda) near the dam (sites 01, 02, 37, 38, 39, and 40), and Macrobrachium amazonicum (Heller 1862) (Decapoda) in some sites.

1.2 Fish. We captured 2,463 individuals representing 5 orders, 13 families, and 29 species. Three species were migratory: Leporinus obtusidens (Valenciennes 1836), Salminus hilarii (Valenciennes 1850), and Prochilodus lineatus (Valenciennes 1836), representing only 2.2% of the abundance; and five were non-native: Oreochromis niloticus (Linnaeus 1758), Tilapia rendalli (Boulenger 1897), Pygocentrus nattereri (Kner 1858), Cichla piqui (Kullander & Ferreira 2006), and Metynnis lippincottianus (Cope 1870). The most abundant species were: Iheringichthys labrosus (Lütken 1874), Pinelodus maculatus (Lacepède 1803), Astyanax fasciatus (Cuvier 1829), Schizodon nasutus (Kner 1858), and Galeocharax knerii (Steindachner 1836).

Table 1. Metrics used to calculate the LDI (Local Disturbance Index), calculated based on Ligeiro, et al. (2013).

| Metric         | Description                                                                 | Min | Med | Max |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Pdraw          | Ratio of horizontal drawdown distance divided by the field plot size (15m).  | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| rviWoody       | Summed coverage of woody vegetation in the canopy, understory and ground cover.| 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| rvcCanBig      | Mean proportional areal cover of large diameter trees averaged over the 10 plots. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| rvcGrdBare     | Mean proportional areal cover of bare ground (soil) averaged over the 10 plots. | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| hipf.AnyCirca  | Proportion of plots with at least one type of human activity.               | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| hitAg          | Proportion of plots with agricultural activities.                           | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| hitNonAg       | Proportion of plots with non-agricultural activities.                       | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| hitAll         | Sum of the proportions of plots with agricultural and non-agricultural activities. | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| hitAllCirca    | Proportion between agricultural and non-agricultural activities.            | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
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1879), together representing 72.8% of the captures. The non-native P. nattereri and C. piquiti were among the eight most frequently captured and were collected, respectively, in 36 and 34 of the 40 sampling sites. Two of the sites with the highest fish abundance were in the Santo Antônio tributary of the Quebra Anzol River. Similarly, two out of the four sites with greatest species richness were located in the river mouths of the Capivara and Santo Antônio tributaries.

Because there was insignificant correlation between the relative abundances of fish and macroinvertebrates with site geographical location (Macroinvertebrate R = -0.001, p = 0.57; Fish R = -0.22, p = 0.99), we infer that there was no spatial autocorrelation among sites for abundance.

2. Water and sediment character

The pH and dissolved oxygen values indicated neutral to slightly alkaline (7.26 to 7.96) and well-oxygenated (6.4 to 10.1 mg/L) waters. All 40 sampling sites were classified as ultraoligotrophic (TSI ≤ 47) (CETESB 2004); hence, there were no differences in trophic level among sites (Table 2). The sediments were mostly composed of fine sediments (sand, silt, and clay); in some sites we observed a predominance of larger particles (site numbers 10, 13, 22, 32, 37), such as pebbles and gravel (Table 2).

3. Human disturbance

Buffer land-use was dominated by natural grassland (34.1%), natural forest (33.6%), and agriculture (21.7%), followed by pasture (9.4%), bare soil (0.9%), and residential development (0.3%). The integrated disturbance index (IDI, Figure 3) shows a set of nine sites with low (< 0.2 IDI) scores (site numbers 4, 6, 10, 11, 14, 15, 21, 22, 37). These sites had buffers composed predominantly of natural vegetation. There were many moderately disturbed sites, and a set of four sites with high (> 0.8 IDI) scores (site numbers 2, 8, 38, 39), these sites had buffers dominated by pasture and agriculture.

Table 2. Abiotic values (average and standard deviation), granulometric composition (%), and organic matter content (dry weight %) and codes used in RDA (Redundancy Analyses) in Nova Ponte Reservoir.

| Variables                      | Means and standard deviations | Max     | Min     |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Cobbles % (64 – 250 mm)        | 16.42 ± 25.73                | 87.3    | 0       |
| Gravel % (2 – 63 mm)           | 8.59 ± 15.38                 | 59.2    | 0       |
| Coarse sand % (0.50 – 1 mm)    | 3.42 ± 3.67                  | 14.9    | 0       |
| Medium sand % (0.250 – 0.49 mm)| 12.82 ± 10.60                | 34.4    | 0.05    |
| Fine sand % (0.1 – 0.249 mm)   | 13.02 ± 10.60                | 28.9    | 0.03    |
| Silt/clay % (<0.062 mm)        | 11.83 ± 11.85                | 47.7    | 0.14    |
| Organic matter (% D.W.)        | 9.21 ± 4.22                  | 17.1    | 2.25    |
| Site Depth (m)                 | 4.21 ± 2.23                  | 12      | 1.2     |
| Secchi Depth (m) SEC           | 3.13 ± 1.45                  | 7.7     | 1.2     |
| Air temperature (°C)           | 29.22 ± 2.86                 | 35      | 23      |
| Water temperature (°C)         | 25.63 ± 0.42                 | 26.4    | 24.7    |
| pH                             | 7.56 ± 0.19                  | 7.9     | 7.2     |
| Conductivity (µS cm⁻¹)         | 22.8 ± 4.21                  | 45      | 18      |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)(mg L⁻¹) | 0.01 ± 0.00                  | 0.029   | 0.011   |
| Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg L⁻¹) | 7.74 ± 0.71                  | 10.1    | 6.3     |
| Turbidity (Trb) (NTU)          | 2.53 ± 1.47                  | 7.2     | 1.1     |
| Chlorophyll a (Ch) (µg L⁻¹)    | 0.88 ± 0.41                  | 2.1     | 0.3     |
| Total Nitrogen (TN) (mg L⁻¹)   | 0.05 ± 0.01                  | 0.08    | 0.04    |
| Total Phosphorus (TP) (mg L⁻¹) | 0.01 ± 0.00                  | 0.03    | 0       |
that sites with lower family richness were concentrated near the dam. Sites with greater family richness and individual abundances were located far from the dam. Sites where we collected Chironomus (Meigen 1803) and Goeldichironomus (Fittkau 1965) had abundant aquatic macrophytes and agriculture drainage. These genera prefer sites with greater sediment organic matter content and aquatic macrophytes (Barbosa & Callisto 2001). Tanytarsus (van der Wulp 1984) was the most abundant genus, with a broad distribution in all regions of the reservoir. Because this genus has low blood hemoglobin concentration (Panis et al. 1996), it indicates environments with good water quality.

Ligeiro et al. (2013) indicated that the IDI is a good descriptor of disturbances at different spatial scales. With its implementation, we found that the Nova Ponte reservoir had mostly natural vegetation buffers and intermediate IDI values. However, some sample sites had high levels of agriculture, high values of IDI, and substantial numbers of non-native species. USEPA (2009) emphasized that lakes with poor cover and complexity of riparian and littoral habitat are approximately 3 times more likely to have poor biological integrity, likely because of habitat simplification and reduced riparian buffer protection from upland stressors. Human activities can alter environmental quality, reducing plant cover and physical habitat complexity in reservoir littoral zones (Molozzi et al. 2011, Kaufmann et al. 2014). According to Benedito-Cecílio et al. (1997), habitat modification directly influences such fish biological functions as diet, reproduction, and growth. Allan (2004) reported that watercourses draining croplands that had once been forests supported fewer macroinvertebrate and fish species than those draining forests. However, tools for the assessment of lentic systems have been poorly developed (Irz et al. 2008) and the influence of agriculture on the structure of reservoir aquatic assemblages has been poorly studied. Molozzi et al. (2011) assessed the influence of habitat structural complexity on benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages in three urban reservoirs in the Paraopeba River Basin, southeastern Brazil. They observed the highest richness of benthic organisms and the fewest non-native individuals in the reservoir with the greatest riparian complexity and the least littoral zone disturbance.

Of all the macroinvertebrate metrics examined, only three were significantly related to differences in disturbance among sites in the reservoir, and these were alien species metrics. One of the non-native mollusks recorded near the dam, Melanoides tuberculatus (Gastropoda: Thiaridae), is native to East Africa, Southeast Asia, China, and the Indo-Pacific Islands and is broadly distributed in Brazil (Silva & Barros 2011). Its introduction may be related to the trade of ornamental plants and fish (Fernandez et al. 2003) and to control Biomphalaria glabrata (Say 1818), the intermediate host of Schistosoma mansoni.
mansoni, as it is a possible competitor of the snail (Giovanelli et al. 2002). Another non-native mollusk collected, the bivalve Corbicula fluminea, also has a broad distribution in Brazil (Silva & Barros 2011). That species has a Chinese origin and was introduced in the Americas for food (Suriani et al. 2007).

One of the problems resulting from the introduction of these species is the obstruction of pipes in reservoirs and hydroelectric structures (Silva & Barros 2011). In some sites, we observed Macrobrachium amazonicum (Palaemonidae, Decapoda). This species is broadly distributed in South America, in the basins of the Orinoco, Amazonas, and Paraguay Rivers. Its type locality is the central basin of the Amazon River, in the region of Manaus (Silva et al. 2007).

Similar to macroinvertebrates, only two alien fish metrics were significantly related to differences in disturbance among sites in the reservoir. In Nova Ponte Reservoir, out of five introduced fish species, P. nattereri and C. piquiti stood out, for being collected in most sampling sites and for being the most abundant. The invasion of non-native species is currently considered the second highest cause of biodiversity loss at a

Table 4. Selected biotic metrics.

|                         | Code | Max | Med | Min |
|-------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| **Macroinvertebrates**   |      |     |     |     |
| Number of individuals   | A    | 158 | 27.9| 1   |
| Number of resistant individuals | B    | 140 | 24.6| 1   |
| Percentage of resistant individuals | C    | 98  | 86.1| 19  |
| Percentage of non-native individuals | D    | 80  | 8   | 0   |
| Number of EPT individuals | E    | 12  | 1.1 | 0   |
| Resistant organisms taxa richness | F    | 2   | 1.6 | 1   |
| Percent resistant taxa richness | G    | 100 | 69.7| 25  |
| Percent non-native taxa richness | H    | 66  | 8.6 | 0   |
| **Fish**                |      |     |     |     |
| Number of native species | I    | 12  | 7.6 | 4   |
| Number of non-native species | J    | 4   | 2.3 | 0   |
| Percent species representing 90% of abundance | L    | 85.7| 61.5| 37.5|
| Percent non-native species richness | M    | 37.5| 24.3| 11.1|
| Percent migratory species | N    | 33.3| 5.5 | 0   |
| Percent native species abundance | O    | 100 | 86.3| 11.1|
| Percent non-native individuals | P    | 66.8| 19  | 0   |
| Catch per unit effort of non-native species | R    | 31.7| 12.6| 2.08|
| Abundance of rheophilic individuals | S    | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0   |

Figure 4. RDA ordination of 40 Nova Ponte Reservoir sites based on water quality. Numbers represent sampling sites, letter combinations represent water quality metrics (Table 3), single letters represent biological metrics (Table 4).
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global scale (Coradin & Tortato 2006). These transformations are even more drastic in simplified biological environments, such as reservoirs and when the aliens are piscivores (Hughes & Herlihy 2012). We observed greater native fish species richness and abundance close to the tributaries, which probably resulted from the tendency of the ichthyofauna to colonize sites with physical characteristics similar to the original lotic environment (Agostinho et al. 2007).

Some limnological characteristics were related to the presence of agriculture in the vicinity of some sampling sites. This activity degrades aquatic ecosystems by increasing the entry of pollutants, degrading physical habitat, reducing or completely removing riparian cover, and increasing nutrient concentrations to damaging levels (Allan, 2004). Otherwise, water quality and sediment variables varied little in our study. Homogeneity in physicochemical variables has been reported for other reservoirs also (Beltrão et al. 2009). Araújo & Santos (2001) reported weak associations between abiotic parameters and the ichthyofauna. However, Beghelli et al. (2012) observed a relationship between macroinvertebrates and the trophic state index at different sampling sites in a reservoir in São Paulo state. That study indicated that the benthic macroinvertebrate assemblage responded to nutrient availability and dissolved oxygen concentration. Silva et al. (2006) related low correlations between fish abundance and limnological characteristics to a low susceptibility of fish to alterations in limnological characteristics. In contrast, several studies observed associations between abiotic variables and the distribution of the ichthyofauna in this type of environment ( Oliveira et al. 2005, Prchalová et al. 2008). Some environmental variables are particularly associated with fish assemblages, such as depth (Prchalová et al. 2008), availability of littoral zone food and shelter ( Vidotto & Carvalho 2007), presence of aquatic vegetation ( Pelicice et al. 2005, Abes & Agostinho 2001), dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, (Castro et al. 2003, Araújo et al. 2009), transparency ( Petry et al. 2003) and conductivity ( Rodríguez & Lewis 1997). Distribution and abundance patterns of fish species in reservoirs can also be affected by other physical habitat attributes, such as type and size of substrate, presence of macrophytes or submerged vegetation, and type and integrity of riparian vegetation ( Kaufmann et al. 2014).

We did not reject our hypothesis that macroinvertebrate and fish assemblages in the reservoir respond to habitat disturbance and water quality. Disturbances at multiple scales may alter natural patterns and processes, leading to environmental changes and damaging biological communities ( Ligeiro et al. 2013). Our results reinforce the importance of assessing reservoir ecological conditions at several scales. The study of land use, littoral zone physical habitat, water quality, and assemblage structure set the ground for proposing actions to rehabilitate and conserve aquatic ecosystems ( USEPA 2009).

Anthropogenic disturbances are important for defining priority sites for rehabilitation and conservation of ecological characteristics and aquatic biota. Based on our results we suggest further studies in the reservoir to test other explanations for the results observed, as well as to validate the initial assessment, according to the method described by USEPA (2011). Monitoring environmental quality is very important for hydroelectric enterprises responsible for managing a natural resource that has been modified by humans, is sensitive to alterations in time and space, and subjected to multiple competing uses.
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