Prior Solutionising Deformation Consequence on the Aging Characteristics of Steel Powder Reinforced Al 7075 Composites
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Abstract— Globally, in the application of structural materials, aluminum composites are emerging as pioneer materials due to balanced properties like ductility, strength, hardness and weight to volume ratio. It is obvious that addition of harder steel powder reinforcements to the softer aluminum alloy matrix will yield in larger benefits as energy efficient method, durability and recyclability for the composite. Infact, improvement in hardness levels at low temperatures in softer matrix aluminium alloys is the order of the day for wear related applications. Aluminum alloy composites especially Al 7075 matrix containing solid state soluble elements like copper, zinc and silicon with or without wetting agents like magnesium are heat treatable and get medium strength. The alloy matrix dispersed with solid reinforcements like carbides, oxides, flyash and steel powder contribute for the property improvement by tailoring the suitable heat treatment with flexibility in process parameters. Cold deformation assisted heat treatments, prior to or post solutionising challenge conventional heat treatments like age hardening or precipitation hardening. When the cold deformation is provided before solution treatment increases hardness by strain hardening with increased nucleation sites for phase transformation. When partial solutionising is given to the cold deformed composite retains the partial strain hardening effect on the specimen compared to complete solutionising. The retention of partial strain hardening followed by further aging develops complex interaction effect of strain hardening coupled with controlled precipitation of intermetallics on the composite for drastic uplift in hardness property.

During conventional age hardening hardness and strength of the samples increase. Reduction in peak hardness value with increasing aging temperature is the renowned behaviour of age hardenable composites. The obtained peak hardness value is further increasing when cold deformation is supported with prior intentional deformation. Considering these features, it is proposed to perform prior solutionising deformation followed by subsequent aging on the stir cast Al 7075 –steel powder reinforced composite and analyse the microstructure and hardness distribution pattern by varying the steel powder quantity (0, 3 and 6 wt%), deformation density (10 and 20%) and aging temperatures (100 and 180°C).
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There was better distribution of reinforcements in the matrix, higher peak hardness with the increase in deformation density and reinforcement quantity in the matrix. Higher peak hardness is observed at lower aging temperature with reduction in the peak age duration in the composites at all other variable conditions like, reinforcement quantity, degree of deformation.

Index Terms: Al 7075, aging, solutionising, intermetallics, strain hardening.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is important to know the properties of material which will use and work under various environmental and mechanical conditions. For the proper selection of materials, it is essential to have a thorough understanding of nature and behaviour of materials under the load and environmental condition to which they are subjected. Heat treatment is one of the ways to alter the structure of the material there by to get the desired properties of the material. Aluminium alloys show good strength to weight ratio, low density, good electrical and thermal conductivity and are often used for heat treatments to improve their qualities. Generally, homogenizing, solutionising, artificial aging and thermo mechanical treatments were given to alter the properties.

Age hardening covers two steps viz., solution annealing (solutionising) and aging. Solutionising makes the high temperature structure possible at lower temperatures as super saturated solid solution on quenching and aging is the timing phenomenon to allow the precipitation of various harder phases by a number of metastable coherent or incoherent intermediate zones [1]. The reasonable better strength of the peak-aged alloy is due to the solid phase strengthening consequence of the complex intermediate phases which are semi or fully coherent with the parent matrix. The stable coarser phases form beyond the peak-age condition [2-4]. Age hardening involves heating the alloy to the solvus temperature for ample time so that alloying elements such as Be, Cu, Mg and Zn etc. go into solution in stable solid state and form solid solution type alloy. After solution annealing the alloy, is quenched in liquid media at moderate severity so that nonequilibrium room temperature phase is formed in ambient temperature. The variables participating in the heating and cooling process to play in the properties are isothermal holding temperature and time followed by rate of
cooling [5-7]. Instantaneously quenching makes alloys relatively soft and can be mechanically formed till it ages at reasonably good temperature. Proper solid solution treatment temperature harvests good toughness whereas increased temperature yields unwanted grain coarsening phenomenon [8-9]. The increase in the number of the fine precipitates evolved from parent finer grains would increase the nucleation locations for void instigation to reduce the strength and toughness [9]. Plastic deformation at cold or warm temperature results in the production of various structural defects such as vacancies, dislocation jogs, twist and twin boundaries and stacking faults. These defects have a serious impact on the phase transformation in metal and alloy by providing nucleation sites and abetting diffusion process [10]. This will give rise to increased hardness and strength due to intentional work hardening mechanism. Heating such deformed material with increased lattice defects recrystallizes into finer high temperature phase, remains as finer on quenching at room temperature so that nucleation sites for aging increases [11].

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Alloy Composition

The actual composition of Al 7075 alloy obtained by spectrometric investigation is shown in table 1.

| Element | Si | Mg | Fe | Mn | Cr | Zn | Ti | Al |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| wt%     | 0.2| 2.2| 0.4| 0.2| 1.9| 6.1| 2  | 0  |

B. Steel powder reinforcement composition

The eutectoid steel powder (Water quench tool steel) of 20-30 micron size is used as reinforcement. The composition of reinforcement is shown in table 2.

| Element | C | Mg | P | Mn | Cr | Si | S | Fe |
|---------|---|----|---|----|----|----|---|----|
| wt%     | 0.8| 0.0| 0.0| 0.07| 0.0| 0.0| 0.0| Balanced |

The two types of composites, each containing 3 and 6 wt% of steel powder, are prepared by two step liquid stir casting and compared with base alloy. Castings are flattened to rectangular billets and polished to take out any surface irregularity and scale. Then billets are isothermally heated for 10 hours at 500°C in the salt bath furnace for diffusion annealing to eliminate any microscopic chemical inhomogeneity present in the matrix. Small pieces of 20 mm x 10 mm x 10 mm are cut from the billets, a total of 20 to 30 numbers of specimens each are prepared from three casting groups.

C. Microstructure

For microstructure analysis the SEM microphotographs are taken after series of polishing stages and etching with Keller’s reagent to analyse the distribution of reinforcements in aluminium alloy and its composites.

D. Cold Rolling Treatment

Before solutionising, specimens are undergone rolling by conventional two high rollers at room temperature. Thickness of specimen is reduced to providing a minimum deformation in each pass. By grinding and polishing with emery papers, burrs formed if any during rolling are removed. The specimens are undergone cold rolling, with 10% and 20% deformations to stain the matrix.

E. Solutionising

In the salt bath, each set of deformed specimens is heated to 530°C and quenched in cold water until the temperature of the specimen decreases to room temperature for solutionising. Later, samples are isothermally aged at 100 and 180°C. Hardness distribution vs aging time graphs are plotted for all samples at every one-hour aging intervals.

F. Hardness Measurement

All the specimens are subjected to Vickers hardness test and hardness numbers are noted. Peak hardness values (average of 5 consistent readings) are noted for age hardened as well as mechanically deformed samples.

G. Aging

Aging is executed by reheating the solutionised specimens at 100 and 180°C i.e., well below solvus temperature for numerous time durations to note down the aging pattern. Aging pattern, maximum hardness number and peak aging duration depend on aging temperature and composition of composites. Peak hardness value at different temperatures for different composites are noted from the hardness versus aging time duration curves.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Microstructure analysis:

Before stepping to aging characteristics or testing, it is advisable to study the dispersion of reinforcements in the alloy matrix. The SEM images of the etched specimens, both alloy and composites in as-cast condition is shown in the figures 1(a), (b) and (c). The dark spots are the discrete eutectoid steel particles embedded in Al 7075 matrix. No agglomeration or scatter of particulates in the matrix is observed in all the composites. The Energy dispersive x-ray spectrum (EDX) of the composite signifying the elemental spreadout at matrix and reinforcement locations is also shown in figures 1(a), (b) and (c), representing the peak spectrum as reinforcement Fe (Steel), and matrix with Al, Zn and Mg.

B. Hardness measurement

Figures 2, 3 and 4 show the hardness distribution graphs with aging time in hours for age hardened base alloy specimens during aging at 100 and 180°C with and without prior deformations (10 and 20%). Figures 5, 6 and 7 show hardness distribution graphs with aging time in hours for Al 7075-3 wt% steel powder composite specimens during aging at 100 and 180°C with and without prior deformations (10
and 20%). Figures 8, 9 and 10 show similar graphs with aging time in hours for Al 7075-6 wt% steel powder composite specimens during aging at 100 and 180°C with and without prior deformations (10 and 20%). The average of 5 consistent readings out of 8 readings are considered in the study. During aging, hardness value continuously increases, reaches maximum and later decreases with aging duration. This trend pattern is observed in all the composites used in this study. Several technical experts used the well-defined theory known as “Lattice coherency theory” to explain the concept responsible for this aging phenomenon [11-12]. The spontaneous evolution of several intermediate metastable coherent or partially coherent intermetallic phases precipitation leading to stable precipitates (intermetallics) is happening during aging [13]. Several transition zones are observed with considerable mechanical strain due to the continuous change in lattice structures during converging into stable phase. Maximum (Peak) hardness is observed for the given aging temperature for the given alloy or composite where lattice coherency is maintained between the matrix and the precipitated phases. Further growth of the intermediate metastable phases beyond the critical size coarsens the precipitates slowly to change over from semi-coherency to incoherency to record drop in the hardness values. This stage is known as overaging [14].

Lower the soaking temperature slower is the diffusion rate, longer is the time to achieve the coherency condition [15]. As the steel powder quantity in the composite increases or the degree of deformation increases the peak hardness value increases with decrease in aging time.

The typical hardness distribution pattern observed in all the cases is due to the combined effect of work hardening and lattice coherency. Approximately 10 to 30% increase in peak hardness and 30% reduction in the peak aging duration is observed with the combined effect of aging and prior deformation in the composites under consideration. Hence as the weight percentage of reinforcement or degree of deformation in the composite increases, peak hardness increases. Figures 11 and 12 show the comparison of peak hardness values of the composites during aging at 100 and 180°C respectively with and without prior deformations. Higher the deformation density followed by low temperature aging shows excellent peak hardness value whereas higher temperature aged shows lowest.
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Figure 3: Aging curve for Al 7075 alloy with 10% deformation at 100 and 180°C

Figure 4: Aging curve for Al 7075 alloy with 20% deformation at 100 and 180°C

Figure 5: Aging curve for Al 7075-3% steel powder composite without deformation at 100 and 180°C

Figure 6: Aging curve for Al 7075-3% steel powder composite with 10% deformation at 100 and 180°C

Figure 7: Aging curve for Al 7075-3% steel powder composite with 20% deformation at 100 and 180°C

Figure 8: Aging curve for Al 7075-6% steel powder composite without deformation at 100 and 180°C

Figure 9: Aging curve for Al 7075-6% steel powder composite with 10% deformation at 100 and 180°C

Figure 10: Aging curve for Al 7075-6% steel powder composite with 20% deformation at 100 and 180°C
IV. CONCLUSION

Al 7075 alloy and composites are efficaciously heat treated by age hardening with or without prior deformation. There is noteworthy enhancement in the hardness of the alloy and composites especially by prior deformation supported age hardening. In all the categories of the materials under consideration, lower aging temperature records maximum peak hardness values in age hardening with or without prior deformation. Cold rolling supported aging treatment shows higher peak hardness values compared to conventional aging hardening. Higher the rolling deformation (20%) higher is the hardness, shorter is the peak aging time. The SEM images of as-cast specimens shows good dispersal of steel powder in the matrix without scatter or agglomeration.
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