Signature Arsenic Detoxification Pathways in *Halomonas* sp. Strain GFAJ-1
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**ABSTRACT** Since the original report that *Halomonas* sp. strain GFAJ-1 was capable of using arsenic instead of phosphorus to sustain growth, additional studies have been conducted, and GFAJ-1 is now considered a highly arsenic-resistant but phosphorus-dependent bacterium. However, the mechanisms supporting the extreme arsenic resistance of the GFAJ-1 strain remain unknown. In this study, we show that GFAJ-1 has multiple distinct arsenic resistance mechanisms. It lacks the genes to reduce arsenate, which is the essential step in the well-characterized resistance mechanism of arsenate reduction coupled to arsenite extrusion. Instead, GFAJ-1 has two arsenic resistance operons, *arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2* and *mfs1-mfs2-gapdh*, enabling tolerance to high levels of arsenate. *mfs2* and *gapdh* encode proteins homologous to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ArsJ and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), respectively, which constitute the equivalent of an As(V) efflux system to catalyze the transformation of inorganic arsenate to pentavalent organoarsenic 1-arseno-3-phosphoglycerate and its subsequent extrusion. Surprisingly, the *arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2* operon seems to consist of typical arsenite resistance genes, but this operon is sufficient to confer both arsenite and arsenate resistance on *Escherichia coli* AW3110 even in the absence of arsenate reductase, suggesting a novel pathway of arsenic detoxification. The simultaneous occurrence of these two unusual detoxification mechanisms enables the adaptation of strain GFAJ-1 to the particularly arsenic-rich environment of Mono Lake.

**IMPORTANCE** *Halomonas* sp. strain GFAJ-1 was previously reported to use arsenic as a substitute for phosphorus to sustain life under phosphate-limited conditions. Although this claim was later undermined by several groups, how GFAJ-1 can thrive in environments with high arsenic concentrations remains unclear. Here, we determined that this ability can be attributed to the possession of two arsenic detoxification operons, *arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2* and *mfs1-mfs2-gapdh*. *mfs2* and *gapdh* encode proteins homologous to ArsJ and GAPDH in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; these proteins create an arsenate efflux pathway to reduce cellular arsenate accumulation. Interestingly, the combination of *acr3-2* with either *arsH* gene was sufficient to confer resistance to both arsenite and arsenate in *E. coli* AW3110, even in the absence of arsenate reductase, suggesting a new strategy for bacterial arsenic detoxification. This study concludes that the survival of GFAJ-1 in high arsenic concentrations is attributable to the cooccurrence of these two unusual arsenic detoxification mechanisms.
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Arsenic is a highly toxic metalloid that poses a serious threat to our drinking water and food supply. Environmental arsenic occurs mainly as inorganic forms, such as trivalent arsenite [As(III)] and pentavalent arsenate [As(V)]. As(III) is reported to be on...
average 100 times more toxic than As(V) for most biological systems, in large part because the trivalent species reacts with active sulfhydryl groups in many enzymes, thereby impairing their function (1). The lower toxicity of arsenate results primarily from its physiochemical similarities to inorganic phosphate, although these similarities also lead to the indiscriminate acquisition of toxic arsenic into cells by cellular phosphate uptake systems. Once inside the cell, arsenate can compete with phosphate in phosphorylation reactions, but the products are less stable and dissociate rapidly (2, 3).

To survive in arsenic-rich environments, organisms have developed multiple metabolic strategies for detoxification, including the arsenic resistance (ars) system, which reduces cytoplasmic toxic As(V) to As(III); the arsenite oxidation (aio) system, which oxidizes As(III) to As(V); the arsenate respiration (arr) system, which is associated with respiration and reduces As(V) to As(III); and the arsenic methylation (arsM) system, which converts inorganic arsenic into volatile derivatives (4–8). ars is the most extensively studied arsenic detoxification system; the mechanism consists of (i) the uptake of arsenate by phosphate transporters and uptake of arsenite by aquaglyceroporins, (ii) transformation of As(V) to As(III) by arsenate reductases, and (iii) extrusion of As(III) by arsenite efflux permeases (9). The minimal ars constituents usually include either three (arsRBC) or five (arsRDABC) genes, which are often organized in operons (10). ArsR is an arsenite-responsive repressor that controls the level of ars operon transcription. The activity of ArsB, a transmembrane As(III) efflux permease that exports arsenite from the cytosol, can be ATP independent or require the help of ArsA, an arsenite-stimulated ATPase tightly bound to ArsB (11). ArsD was first characterized as a weak As(III)-responsive transcriptional regulator, but recent work has reported that it functions as a metallochaperone, transferring As(III) to the ArsAB efflux pump and thus enhancing arsenic extrusion (12, 13). ArsC is an arsenate reductase that catalyzes the conversion of As(V) to As(III), which can then be expelled from the cells. In addition to the above-mentioned two typical arsenic resistance operons, a broad diversity of ars operons has been characterized in different species (14–18).

*Halomonas* sp. strain GFAJ-1 (ATCC BAA-2256), which was isolated from the arsenic-rich sediments of Mono Lake in eastern California, was claimed to be able to use arsenic instead of phosphorus in biomolecules, specifically DNA, to sustain its growth (19). Although arsenate and phosphate share striking similarities, e.g., nearly identical pKₐ values, similarly charged oxygen atoms, and comparable thermochemical radii, this conclusion was greeted with considerable skepticism because of the following. (i) Inorganic As(V) can be easily reduced to trivalent As(III) in physiological conditions. (ii) Arsenate esters are extremely unstable in aqueous solution and hydrolyze spontaneously. This “replacement of phosphorus by arsenic” claim challenged the physiological role of phosphorus and therefore triggered multiple investigations to prove the presence or absence of arsenate in DNA. A later growth analysis showed that the GFAJ-1 strain can grow at low phosphate concentrations (1.7 μM) but not in phosphorus-depleted (<0.3 μM) medium, even when supplemented with sufficient arsenate, confirming that arsenate does not contribute to the growth of GFAJ-1 (20). Unlike the covalent phosphorothioate modification in the DNA backbone (21–24), a mass spectrometry analysis further determined that DNA isolated from GFAJ-1 cells grown with limiting phosphate and abundant arsenate contains trace amounts of free arsenate but no detected arsenate-conjugated mono- or dinucleotides (25). These data collectively provide evidence that GFAJ-1 is a highly arsenate-tolerant, yet still phosphate-dependent, bacterial strain.

Although it has not been proved that arsenic can be incorporated into biomolecules in strain GFAJ-1, the ability to thrive in 200 mM As(V) makes GFAJ-1 one of the most arsenic-resistant microorganisms described so far. Thus, understanding the molecular details of this bacterium’s arsenic detoxification process is of great interest. In this study, we determined that two unusual arsenic detoxification operons, *arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2* and *mfs1-mfs2-gapdh*, cooperatively enable the high arsenate resistance of GFAJ-1. The gene products of *mfs2* and *gapdh*, which resemble ArsJ and glycer-aldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, respec-
tively, catalyze the transformation of inorganic As(V) to organoarsenical 1-arseno-3-phosphoglycerate (1As3PGA), which is then transported out of cells (26). Although the arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2 operon is merely composed of three typical ars genes, it is sufficient to confer both As(III) and As(V) resistance on Escherichia coli AW3110 without the involvement of arsenate reductase, suggesting a novel As(V) detoxification mechanism. The simultaneous occurrence of these two distinctive arsenic resistance pathways enables GFAJ-1 to directly detoxify arsenate, which explains its arsenate hyperresistance and adaptation to Mono Lake, a particularly arsenic-rich environment.

RESULTS

Genome characterization and mining of arsenic-related genes in *Halomonas sp. strain GFAJ-1*. To explore the genes determining arsenic resistance, we first set out to define the complete genome sequence of the GFAJ-1 strain using the HiSeq 2000 sequencing platform. The sequence reads were de novo assembled with the SOAP (short oligonucleotide analysis package) assembler (http://soap.genomics.org.cn/), which generated a single circular chromosome of 3,650,492 bases, 3,385 open reading frames (ORFs), 69 tRNAs, and 36 rRNAs (Fig. 1). The complete genome was annotated with the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline, submitted to NCBI, and assigned GenBank accession number CP016490.

Compared to the previous draft genome of strain GFAJ-1 (AHBC00000000), which comprises 103 contigs (27), the current version of the complete GFAJ-1 genome enabled the mining of all potential arsenic-related genes. A region highly resembling an “arsenic island” such as those found in other *Halomonas* species was immediately identified (Fig. 1) (27). This arsenic island comprises a group of typical arsenic resistance determinants, a three-gene ars operon (arsH1, acr3-2, and arsH2), a phosphate-specific transport (pst) operon (pstB, pstA, pstC, and pstS), transporters of the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) (mfs1 and mfs2), and a putative glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (gapdh) (Fig. 2). ACR3 is a member of the bile/arsenite/riboflavin transporter.
(BART) superfamily, which has a function similar to that of ArsB in extruding As(III) from the cytosol. The gene products of arsH1 and arsH2 were both predicted to be NADPH-dependent flavin mononucleotide (FMN) reductases but shared little sequence identity with each other. The structure of ArsH from Sinorhizobium meliloti has been resolved, and ArsH has been characterized as a reductase with diverse substrates; this enzyme has been reported to participate in the reduction of azo dyes and O2 to H2O2, the reduction of chromate Cr(VI) to Cr(III) and Fe(III) to Fe(II), and the reduction of quinones, though not the reduction of inorganic As(V) (28–31). A recent study showed that ArsH from Pseudomonas putida confers resistance to the trivalent forms of organoarsenicals by oxidizing them to the relatively less toxic pentavalent forms, suggestive of the role of a trivalent organoarsenical oxidase (32). In addition to the pst genes in the arsenic island, GFAJ-1 possesses an additional pst operon mediating arsenate uptake. However, Elias et al. revealed that the two pst systems of strain GFAJ-1 still displayed an exquisite specificity for phosphate, ruling out the possibility that they had diverged to import arsenate under phosphate-limiting and arsenate-rich conditions (33). This result agreed well with our observation that the
deletion of either pst operon in strain GFAJ-1 showed no effect on arsenic resistance. Additional genes, such as annotated \textit{arsA}, \textit{arsB}, \textit{arsR}, and \textit{acr3-1}, were also found scattered throughout the GFAJ-1 chromosome (Fig. 1).

**Determinants involved in the As(III) resistance of strain GFAJ-1.** The presence of two typical As(III) efflux permeases, ArsAB and ACR3, in the GFAJ-1 genome implies the existence of As(III) detoxification pathways, which have been recognized as essential for both As(III) and As(V) tolerance in many bacteria. To confirm the determinants of As(III) resistance, we first performed in-frame deletions of the genes related to As(III) efflux pumps, generating the \( \text{/H9004}_{\text{arsA}}, \text{/H9004}_{\text{arsB}}, \text{/H9004}_{\text{arsR}}, \text{/H9004}_{\text{acr3-1}} \) mutants. No differences were observed between the wild-type GFAJ-1 strain and the \( \text{/H9004}_{\text{arsA}}, \text{/H9004}_{\text{arsB}}, \text{/H9004}_{\text{arsR}}, \text{/H9004}_{\text{acr3-1}} \) mutant in their susceptibilities to 5 mM As(III), indicating that these scattered annotated \textit{ars} genes are not involved in arsenic resistance (Fig. 2). The deletion of \textit{acr3-2}, however, completely abolished As(III) resistance, suggesting its key function in the As(III) detoxification of GFAJ-1 (Fig. 2). \textit{arsH1}, \textit{acr3-2}, and \textit{arsH2} are cotranscribed as a single operon, and their heterologous expression conferred resistance to As(III) on the arsenic-sensitive \textit{E. coli} strain AW3110, indicating their connected functions in the arsenic detoxification process (see Fig. S1A in the supplemental material). To evaluate the contribution of each \textit{arsH} gene to As(III) tolerance, different combinations of the \textit{ars} genes, \textit{arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2}, \textit{acr3-2-arsH2}, and \textit{acr3-2} cells did. These results clearly demonstrate that the \textit{arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2} cluster is the functional determinant of As(III) tolerance in strain GFAJ-1. Notably, \textit{E. coli} AW3110 bearing \textit{acr3-2} alone was still sensitive to As(III), suggesting that Acr3-2 requires ArsH to synergistically detoxify As(III).

**Direct As(V) detoxification mediated by the mfs1-mfs2-gapdh cluster in strain GFAJ-1.** Before the primordial atmosphere became oxidizing, As(III) is thought to have been the predominant arsenic species, driving early microorganisms to evolve detoxification mechanisms to cope with As(III). Pentavalent As(V) would have become the major arsenic form when oxygen appeared in the atmosphere. To adapt to this toxic pressure, arsenate reductase arose to transform As(V) to As(III) for subsequent detoxification via the existing As(III) extrusion pathways (34). This evolutionary history explains why organisms convert the less toxic As(V) to the more toxic As(III) as part of detoxification. Surprisingly, we observed that the \( \Delta(aarsH1-acr3-2-arsH2) \) mutant, lacking the essential As(III) extrusion pathway, was sensitive to As(III) but still highly resistant to As(V), implying alternative arsenate resistance mechanisms (Fig. 2).
Recently, a case of As(V) efflux activity was observed in which ArsJ and GAPDH from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* DK2 synergistically reduce cellular inorganic As(V) accumulation via a two-step reaction. In the first step, arsenate substitutes for phosphate in glycolysis, generating pentavalent organoarsenical 1-arseno-3-phosphoglycerate (1As3PGA) via GAPDH. In the following step, ArsJ, a major facilitator superfamily (MFS) protein, functions as an organoarsenical efflux permease to extrude 1As3PGA from cells, where the unstable 1As3PGA rapidly hydrolyzes to As(V) and 3-phosphoglycerate, making ArsJ and GAPDH an equivalent As(V) efflux system (26).

Given the observation that mutation of the As(III) efflux pathway had a negligible impact on the As(V) resistance of strain GFAJ-1, as well as the presence of the mfs1-mfs2-gapdh operon in the “arsenic island,” a similar direct As(V) efflux mechanism may be feasible in GFAJ-1. A sequence comparison revealed that the gene products of mfs2 and gapdh are highly homologous to ArsJ and GAPDH in *P. aeruginosa* DK2, sharing 63% and 72% sequence identity, respectively. mfs1 is also a putative MFS protein-encoding gene, and its gene product was predicted to possess 10 transmembrane-spanning segments, similar to MFS2, using the TMHMM Server v.2.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/). MFS1 showed no significant sequence similarity to ArsJ or MFS2, but its contextual affiliation with mfs2 and gapdh suggested a connected function in arsenic detoxification (Fig. S1B). To investigate As(V) extrusion in strain GFAJ-1, we constructed a mfs1-mfs2-gapdh mutant and assessed its susceptibility to arsenate. The mfs1-mfs2-gapdh mutant remained resistant to As(V) but showed an apparent lag of approximately 8 h before undergoing visible growth in liquid broth supplemented with 200 mM As(V), in contrast to the behavior of wild-type GFAJ-1 (Fig. 2). As expected, the deletion of mfs1-mfs2-gapdh had no effect on As(III) resistance. Despite the cotranscription of mfs1, mfs2, and gapdh, the combination of mfs1 and gapdh conferred negligible arsenate resistance on *E. coli* AW3110 cells. In sharp contrast, *E. coli* AW3110 harboring mfs2-gapdh and mfs1-mfs2-gapdh showed equivalent levels of resistance to As(V) (Fig. 4). These results confirmed that the As(V) efflux detoxification mediated by the mfs1-mfs2-gapdh operon is functional and partially contributes to the As(V) hyperresistance of strain GFAJ-1.

**A novel As(V) resistance strategy.** Without an As(V) extrusion system, the Δ(mfs1-mfs2-gapdh) mutant is still resistant to As(V), implying multiple arsenic detoxification pathways. To address this issue, we generated a double mutant carrying the arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2 mutation in the Δmfs1-mfs2-gapdh background. As shown in Fig. 2, this Δ(arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2, mfs1-mfs2-gapdh) mutant was severely growth sensitive to As(V), suggesting the vital role of the arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2 cluster in As(V) detoxification. We then set out to locate a possible arsenate reductase, which is thought to cooperate with ArsH1, ACR3-2, and ArsH2 to catalyze As(V) reduction and As(III) extrusion. Upon exploring the GFAJ-1 genome for genes encoding arsenate reductases, we detected none in the vicinity of the arsenic resistance island. However, one gene annotated as arsC in a region unrelated to arsenic transformation or handling was identified (Fig. 2A).

To assess its possible role as an arsenate reductase, we constructed the Δ(mfs1-mfs2-gapdh, arsC) double mutant to simultaneously delete the As(V) efflux and putative As(V) reduction pathways. Surprisingly, no difference was observed in its As(V) resistance
phenotype, implying that this annotated \textit{arsC} does not encode a functional arsenate reductase.

Considering the necessity and unusual composition of the \textit{ars} operon in As(V) detoxification, we tested the As(V) tolerance of \textit{E. coli} AW3110 bearing \textit{arsH1-acr3-2}, \textit{acr3-2}, and \textit{acr3-2-arsH2}. Surprisingly, even in the absence of arsenate reductase, the \textit{ars} operon is sufficient to detoxify As(V) (Fig. 3B). As in As(III) resistance, single ACR3-2 was unable to confer As(V) resistance on \textit{E. coli} AW3110 unless combined with ArsH. This result agreed well with the observation that $\Delta$\textit{mfs1-mfs2-gapdh, arsH1} and $\Delta$\textit{mfs1-mfs2-gapdh, arsH2} mutants showed growth profiles similar to that of the $\Delta$\textit{mfs1-mfs2-gapdh} mutant in the presence of As(V) (Fig. 2). Therefore, \textit{ArsH1}, ACR3-2, and \textit{ArsH2} represent a novel As(V) detoxification strategy that does not require the involvement of arsenate reduction. The As(V) hyperresistance of strain GFAJ-1 is attributable to the simultaneous occurrence of two unusual As(V) detoxification mechanisms involving both \textit{arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2} and \textit{mfs1-mfs2-gapdh}.

**DISCUSSION**

Following the original announcement of possible replacement of phosphorus by arsenic in strain GFAJ-1, scientists sought to confirm this finding by multiple approaches, and eventually, GFAJ-1 was found to contain no arsenic in its DNA following the reported conditions. On the other hand, the ability to thrive in 200 mM As(V) makes GFAJ-1 one of the most arsenic-resistant microorganisms described thus far, prompting us to explore the mechanisms of its hyperresistance. Upon deletion of \textit{acr3-2}, the arsenite-specific transporter, the $\Delta$\textit{acr3-2} mutant is susceptible to As(III), suggesting the occurrence of an As(III) extrusion mechanism and possible arsenate reduction in As(V) resistance. Genome sequencing and mining revealed only one gene annotated as the arsenate reductase \textit{ArsC} in a region unrelated to arsenic metabolism; mutagenesis showed that this gene is not a functional arsenate reductase and has no influence on As(V) resistance in strain GFAJ-1. This phenomenon raises two possibilities. (i) A functional arsenate reductase is present in strain GFAJ-1 but has not yet been located owing to a low sequence similarity to known \textit{ArsCs} and other types of arsenate reductase. (ii) Arsenate reduction activity does not occur in GFAJ-1. Our study supports the latter possibility, because the \textit{arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2} gene products are sufficient to confer both As(III) and As(V) resistance on \textit{E. coli} AW3110 without the involvement of any arsenate reductase.

ACR3-2 is an arsenite-specific efflux carrier and is essential to detoxify As(III) in strain GFAJ-1. Compared to other well-defined \textit{ars} determinants, \textit{arsH} is a relatively newly discovered \textit{ars} component, and it exhibits a variety of effects on arsenical resistance in different microorganisms. For instance, ArsH provides a slight increase in both As(III) and As(V) resistance in \textit{Yersinia enterocolitica}, \textit{arsH} inactivation increased sensitivity to low levels of As(III) and As(V) in \textit{Sinorhizobium meliloti}, and the mutation or expression of \textit{arsH} in \textit{Synechocystis} sp. strain PCC 6803 and \textit{Thiobacillus ferroxidans} does not appear to influence arsenic resistance (35–38). In terms of biological function, ArsH exhibits the ability to reduce azo dyes, chromate, ferric ion, and quinones but not arsenate (28–31). Chen et al. (32) recently reported that ArsH from \textit{Pseudomonas putida} acts as an oxidase to confer high-level resistance to trivalent organoarsenicals, e.g., monosodium methylarsenate, roxarsone (3-nitro-4-hydroxyphenylarsonic acid), and phenylarsenite, by oxidizing them to the relatively less toxic pentavalent species. However, no oxidation activity by ArsH was observed when inorganic As(III) was used as a substrate (32). The individual \textit{acr3-2} gene from strain GFAJ-1 is insufficient to confer As(III) or As(V) resistance to \textit{E. coli} unless it is combined with an \textit{arsH} gene, suggesting the essential role of \textit{arsH} in arsenic detoxification. Both the combinations \textit{arsH1-acr3-2} and \textit{arsH2-acr3-2} increase arsenic resistance in \textit{E. coli} AW3110, but the former confers the ability to tolerate higher levels of As(III) than the latter does, indicating the contributions of ArsH1 and ArsH2 to high-level and low-level resistance, respectively. It is unlikely that the \textit{arsH} gene products in strain GFAJ-1 perform As(V) reduction, because the deletion of \textit{arsH1} or \textit{arsH2} in the $\Delta$\textit{mfs1-mfs2-gapdh} mutant did not result
in an increased arsenate sensitivity, whereas the Δ(acr3-2, mfs1-mfs2-gapdh) mutant was completely susceptible to arsenate. As to the absence of arsenate reductase, one plausible explanation is that ArsH1-ACR3-2-ArsH2 creates a new detoxification pathway to bypass the As(V) reduction step. Currently, whether ArsH1-ACR3-2-ArsH2 extrudes As(V) out of cells directly or catalyzes the conversion of As(V) to an intermediate prior to the efflux remains unclear.

Although evolution has determined the conventional ars detoxification mechanism, in which As(V) is transformed to As(III) and As(III) is subsequently extruded, a direct arsenate efflux system would benefit cells, because it would not require the involvement of reductase, and As(V) would not be converted to the more toxic As(III). Chen et al. recently identified an arsenate efflux pathway in P. aeruginosa: GAPDH converts inorganic arsenate to the organoarsenical 1As3PGA, which is subsequently extruded from cells by the pentavalent organoarsenical efflux permease ArsJ, an MFS superfamily protein (26). Such a direct As(V) efflux pathway also occurs in strain GFAJ-1, based on the observations that MFS2 and GAPDH in strain GFAJ-1 show high sequence similarities to P. aeruginosa ArsJ and GAPDH, respectively, and that the disruption of mfs2 and gapdh in the Δ(arsH1-acr3-2-arsH2) background either abolished or remarkably impaired arsenate resistance. Further investigation is needed to characterize the potential involvement of arsenic in biological processes such as energy generation or electron transfer in strain GFAJ-1 under phosphate-limited conditions. Collectively, our data revealed that the arsenate hyperresistance of strain GFAJ-1 is attributable to the cooccurrence of two arsenate detoxification pathways and that these pathways directly detoxify arsenate instead of performing the typical conversion of As(V) to As(III) and extrusion of As(III).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions. The strains and plasmids mentioned in this study are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. E. coli DH10B and E. coli AW3110 were used as hosts for plasmid construction and the expression of arsenic genes, respectively. The Halomonas strains were grown at 28°C in AML60 liquid broth by the method of Wolfe-Simon et al. (19), supplemented with 0.2 g/liter yeast extract but no added vitamins, whereas E. coli DH10B and derivatives were cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth or on agar plates at 37°C. E. coli AW3110 strains expressing arsenic-related genes displayed better growth in LB or low-phosphate medium (36) at 28°C compared to 37°C.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00515-18.
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