Racial disparity in taxane-induced neutropenia among cancer patients
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Abstract

Background: Large interindividual variations have been reported in chemotherapy-induced toxicities. Little is known whether racial disparities exist in neutropenia associated with taxanes.

Methods: Patients with a diagnosis of primary cancer who underwent chemotherapy with taxanes were identified from Vanderbilt University Medical Center’s Synthetic Derivative. Multinomial regression models were applied to evaluate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of neutropenia associated with race, with adjustments for demographic variables, baseline neutrophil count, chemotherapy-related information, prior treatments, and cancer site.

Results: A total of 3492 patients were included in the study. Compared with White patients, grade 2 or higher neutropenia was more frequently recorded among Black patients who received taxanes overall (42.2% vs. 32.7%, \( p < 0.001 \)) or paclitaxel (43.0% vs. 36.7%, \( p < 0.001 \)) but not among those who received docetaxel (32.0% vs. 30.2%, \( p = 0.821 \)). After adjustments for multiple covariates, Black patients who received chemotherapy with any taxanes had significantly higher risk of grade 2 (OR = 1.53; 95% CI = 1.09–2.14) and grade 3 (OR = 1.91; 95% CI = 1.36–2.67) neutropenia but comparable risk of grade 4 neutropenia (OR = 1.19; 95% CI = 0.79–1.79). Similar association patterns were observed for Black patients who specifically received paclitaxel, but a null association was found for those treated with docetaxel.

Conclusion: Black cancer patients treated with taxanes for any cancer had a higher risk of neutropenia compared with their White counterparts, especially those who received paclitaxel. More research is needed to understand the mechanism(s) underlying this racial disparity in order to enhance the delivery of patient-centered oncology.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a major public health issue worldwide and the second leading cause of death in the United States (U.S.).\(^1\) It is estimated that over 1.8 million new cancer cases were diagnosed, and approximately 600,000 patients died of cancer across the United States in 2020.\(^1,2\) Cancer survival has been substantially improved over the past 30 years, partly due to advances in cancer therapeutics, such as immunotherapy, targeted molecular therapy, and chemotherapy.\(^1,2\)

Taxanes, including paclitaxel and docetaxel, are a main class of chemotherapeutic drugs that have been used to treat multiple cancers (e.g., cancers of the breast, lung, ovary, etc.) since the 1960s.\(^3\) Taxanes act, primarily, through binding to tubulin and inhibiting microtubule disassembly in a time- and concentration-dependent manner.\(^4\) The most common taxane dose-limiting toxicity is neutropenia (i.e., neutrophil count \(< 1.5 \times 10^3/\mu$L\)), which may result in chemotherapy dose delays or dose reductions. Severe neutropenia (i.e., neutrophil count \(< 0.5 \times 10^3/\mu$L\) and fever) range from 6.9% to 23% in incidence\(^5,6\) and are associated with longer hospitalization stays, as well as increased morbidity, mortality, and treatment costs.\(^7\)

Large interindividual variations, including differences arising from race, have been observed in toxicities associated with chemotherapy.\(^8\) Chemotherapy-induced toxicities, including neutropenia, may be a result of exposure to a specific drug, the dose-density received, as well as each individual’s underlying sensitivity to chemotherapy and susceptibility for developing toxicity.\(^9\) Understanding the factors related to interindividual variations in chemotherapy-induced toxicities may facilitate the delivery of more personalized treatment and improve treatment and patient-reported outcomes, such as quality of life. Of note, it has been previously described that neutropenia may be a common, albeit considered benign, phenomenon among healthy African Americans. This observation may necessitate chemotherapy dose modifications for safety, and thereby may contribute to widely reported racial/ethnic disparities in survival post-cancer diagnosis.\(^10\) However, less is known whether race impacts the prevalence of neutropenia after receiving chemotherapy with taxanes. Because racial/ethnic minorities are historically underrepresented in clinical trials,\(^11\) this question has not been previously addressed in clinical trial settings.

To fill this knowledge gap, we utilized electronic health record (EHR) data from Vanderbilt University Medical Center (VUMC) to evaluate the influence of race on the risk of taxane-induced neutropenia among patients diagnosed with a primary cancer.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study population

We identified 3,492 patients who were diagnosed with a primary cancer and received taxane (i.e., paclitaxel or docetaxel) treatment from VUMC’s Synthetic Derivative (SD), a data repository containing deidentified EHRs for over 3 million individuals, with records dating back to January 1993.\(^12\) This study was approved by the institutional review boards at VUMC.

2.2 | Study variables and covariate assessment

We extracted taxane treatment information from medication administration records, which included details for each infusion that occurred at VUMC. These semi-structured records included date and time of administration, type of taxane—identified by keywords “PACLITAXEL” and “DOCETAXEL”—and administered dose. Using this information, we derived overall taxane treatment start date, mean dosage, mean treatment duration (months), and number of treatment cycles. We focused on the first taxane treatment course for each individual. For cancer type, we determined the most recent diagnosis code prior to cancer treatment and manually grouped diagnosis codes by anatomical site. Cancer stage data were retrieved from the VUMC North American Association Central Cancer Registry (NAACR), where available.

Demographic information, including self-reported race, age at first taxane treatment, sex, most recent body mass index (BMI, weight in kilograms/height in center meter\(^2\)) measurement prior to the first taxane treatment, and ever smoking status, was retrieved from EHRs. Age at first taxane treatment was categorized into \(<55, 55\) to \(<65, 65\) to \(<75, \geq75\). We also categorized BMI into underweight (BMI \(<18.5\)), normal weight (BMI \(\geq18.5\) to \(<25\)), overweight (BMI \(\geq25\) to \(<30\)), obese (BMI = 30 to \(<40\)), and morbidly obese (BMI \(\geq40\)).

Neutrophil counts and measurement dates were extracted from the SD “measurement” table, which contained laboratory results for each individual, using the Logical Observation Identifiers Names and Codes (LOINC) code 3013650. LOINC is a standardized terminology for medical laboratory observations. Baseline neutrophil count (10^3/µL) was estimated by taking the...
most recent neutrophil count prior to the first taxane treatment. Using keyword matches, we also created an indicator variable for prophylactic granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF) treatment (namely filgrastim, pegfilgrastim, and sargramostim) between the month prior to the first taxane treatment and the first date of neutropenia onset. Prophylactic GCSF treatment has been shown to reduce the risk and severity of neutropenia.\textsuperscript{13} We additionally assessed several other covariates including prior chemotherapy and co-chemotherapy via keyword matches, as well as prior radiotherapy using Current Procedural Terminology codes, standardized codes used to report medical services and procedures. These factors have been previously implicated as risk factors for neutropenia risk.\textsuperscript{14} All keywords and codes used to identify study variables are documented in Table S1.

2.3 Study endpoint

The primary study endpoint was any occurrence of neutropenia during the individual’s first taxane treatment course. For each individual, we categorized measured neutrophil counts during their first taxane treatment course into neutropenia grades, following guidelines outlined by the US National Cancer Institute’s Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, v5.0\textsuperscript{15}: grade 2 neutropenia (neutrophil count = 1.0 to <1.5 × 10\textsuperscript{3}/µL), grade 3 neutropenia (neutrophil count = 0.5 to <1.0 × 10\textsuperscript{3}/µL), and grade 4 neutropenia (neutrophil count <0.5 × 10\textsuperscript{3}/µL).

2.4 Statistical analysis

Pearson $\chi^2$ tests for categorical variables and Student $t$-tests for continuous variables were performed to compare the characteristics of patients who received taxane treatment by self-reported race.

Multinomial regression models were applied to evaluate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of neutropenia associated with self-reported race and other demographic variables of interest (age at first treatment, sex, BMI, and ever smoking status). All variables of interest were included in the multinomial analysis with adjustments for mean taxane treatment dose, number of taxane treatment cycles, base neutrophil count, prior platinum treatment, prior radiotherapy, and cancer site. All statistical tests were based on two-tailed probability and a significance level set at alpha ($\alpha$) less than 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed in R v.3.6.1.

3 RESULTS

Selected characteristics of the 3,492 study participants who received chemotherapy with taxanes are shown in Table 1. Chemotherapy with paclitaxel was more frequently used than docetaxel (76.0% vs. 24.0%) among our study population. Overall, 22.3% of study participants received taxane treatment in combination with other chemotherapy agents. A majority of study participants (93.6%) received other chemotherapy agents prior to their first taxane treatment, and 23.6% of participants received radiotherapy prior to taxane treatment. In comparison to White patients, a higher proportion of Black patients received docetaxel (29.0% vs. 22.8%; $p = 0.008$). Black patients were more likely to receive taxanes concurrently with other chemotherapy agents (27.7% vs. 21.7%; $p = 0.009$) but were less likely to have had prior chemotherapy with platinum (59.2% vs. 72.0%; $p < 0.001$) or prior radiotherapy (18.9% vs. 25.0%; $p = 0.010$). There were no significant differences in mean treatment dose or taxane treatment duration between the two self-identified racial groups.

Among all the study participants, breast cancer was the most common cancer treated with taxanes, followed by head & neck, lung & other respiratory, female genital & reproductive, and gastrointestinal cancers. Compared with White patients, a higher proportion of Black patients received taxane treatment for breast cancer (47.4% vs. 30.8%), but a lower proportion of Black patients received taxanes for head & neck (14.5% vs. 23.5%) and lung & other respiratory (13.7% vs. 18.5%) cancers. Compared with Black patients, White patients tended to be older, have lower BMIs, and were more likely to have ever smoked. There were significantly higher proportions of females among Black patients receiving taxanes than their White counterparts (71.8% vs. 56.7%). At baseline, Black patients had significantly lower neutrophil counts, on average, compared with White patients (mean neutrophil count = 5.1 vs. 5.7; $p = 0.001$) and were more likely to receive prophylactic GCSF treatment (11.5% vs. 7.3%; $p = 0.004$).

Among all study participants receiving taxanes, 39.8% experienced neutropenia of grade 2 or above during their first taxane treatment course (Table 2). Compared with White patients, grade 2 or above neutropenia was more frequently recorded among Black patients who received taxane overall (42.2% vs. 32.7%; $p < 0.001$) or paclitaxel (43.0% vs. 36.7%; $p < 0.001$), but there were no significant differences among patients receiving docetaxel (32.0% vs. 30.2%; $p = 0.821$).

Findings for racial differences in neutropenia remained after adjustments for age, sex, BMI, smoking status, mean treatment dose, number of treatment cycles,
|                                | All patients (N = 3492) | White patients (N = 3019) | Black patients (N = 365) | p value\(^a\) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Age (years) at first treatment, Mean ± SD | 59.2 ± 12.6            | 59.6 ± 12.4               | 56.7 ± 12.5              | 1.82 × 10\(^{-5}\) |
| Age categorical, N (%) <55      | 1166 (33.4)             | 966 (32.0)                | 144 (39.5)               | 0.003        |
| 55 to <65                       | 1059 (30.3)             | 920 (30.5)                | 118 (32.3)               |              |
| 65 to <75                       | 905 (25.9)              | 809 (26.8)                | 77 (21.1)                |              |
| ≥75                             | 362 (10.4)              | 324 (10.7)                | 26 (7.1)                 |              |
| Sex, N (%)                      |                         |                           |                          | 3.68 × 10\(^{-8}\) |
| Female                          | 2046 (58.6)             | 1713 (56.7)               | 262 (71.8)               |              |
| Male                            | 1466 (41.4)             | 1306 (43.3)               | 103 (28.2)               |              |
| Taxane type (%)                 |                         |                           |                          | 0.008        |
| Docetaxel                       | 838 (24.0)              | 689 (22.8)                | 106 (29.0)               |              |
| Paclitaxel                      | 2654 (76.0)             | 2330 (77.2)               | 259 (71.0)               |              |
| Mean taxane treatment duration (months) ± SD | 2.9 ± 4.7             | 2.9 ± 4.9                 | 2.7 ± 3.2                | 0.380        |
| BMI, Mean ± SD                  | 28.2 ± 6.7              | 28.1 ± 6.4                | 30.2 ± 8.3               | 4.59 × 10\(^{-9}\) |
| BMI categorical, N (%) <18.5    | 113 (3.2)               | 94 (3.1)                  | 13 (3.6)                 | 2.23 × 10\(^{-8}\) |
| 18.5 to <25                     | 1093 (31.3)             | 958 (31.7)                | 89 (24.4)                |              |
| 25 to <30                       | 1112 (31.8)             | 987 (32.7)                | 96 (26.3)                |              |
| 30 to <35                       | 977 (28.0)              | 830 (27.5)                | 124 (34.0)               |              |
| ≥40                             | 197 (5.7)               | 150 (5.0)                 | 43 (11.8)                |              |
| Ever smoke, N (%)               | 1587 (45.4)             | 1415 (46.9)               | 141 (38.6)               | 0.006        |
| Cancer type, N (%) \(^b\)      |                         |                           |                          | 4.16 × 10\(^{-8}\) |
| Breast                          | 1149 (32.9)             | 930 (30.8)                | 173 (47.4)               |              |
| Head & Neck                     | 789 (22.6)              | 710 (23.5)                | 53 (14.5)                |              |
| Lung & Other Respiratory        | 624 (17.9)              | 559 (18.5)                | 50 (13.7)                |              |
| Female Genital & Reproductive   | 215 (6.2)               | 181 (6.0)                 | 27 (7.4)                 |              |
| Gastrointestinal                | 185 (5.3)               | 165 (5.5)                 | 18 (4.9)                 |              |
| Unknown                         | 135 (3.9)               | 114 (3.8)                 | 17 (4.7)                 |              |
| Cancer stage, N (%) \(^c\)     |                         |                           |                          | 0.0407       |
| I                               | 398 (11.4)              | 328 (10.9)                | 56 (15.3)                |              |
| II                              | 472 (13.5)              | 405 (13.4)                | 46 (12.6)                |              |
| III                             | 484 (13.9)              | 415 (13.7)                | 55 (15.1)                |              |
| IV                              | 788 (22.6)              | 698 (23.1)                | 66 (18.1)                |              |
| Unknown                         | 1350 (38.7)             | 1173 (38.9)               | 142 (38.9)               |              |
| Mean baseline neutrophil count \(10^3/\mu L\) ± SD | 5.6 ± 3.0              | 5.7 ± 3.0                 | 5.1 ± 3.1                | 0.001        |
| Mean treatment dose \(mg/m^2\) ± SD | 81.0 ± 45.0            | 80.5 ± 45.8               | 84.7 ± 40.1              | 0.094        |
| Prophylactic GCSF, N (%)         | 271 (7.8)               | 220 (7.3)                 | 42 (11.5)                | 0.004        |
| Co-chemotherapy, N (%)           | 777 (22.3)              | 654 (21.7)                | 101 (27.7)               | 0.009        |
| Platinum, N (%)                 | 687 (19.7)              | 583 (19.3)                | 85 (23.3)                | 0.071        |
| Prior chemotherapy, N (%)       | 3270 (93.6)             | 2833 (93.8)               | 339 (92.9)               | 0.474        |

(Continues)
baseline neutrophil count, prior platinum treatment, prior radiotherapy, and cancer site. Compared with White patients, Black patients who received chemotherapy with any taxanes had significantly higher risk of grade 2 (OR = 1.53; 95% CI = 1.09–2.14) and grade 3 neutropenia (OR = 1.91; 95% CI = 1.36–2.67) but a comparable risk of grade 4 neutropenia (OR = 1.19; 95% CI = 0.79–1.79; Table 3). Additionally, male patients had a significantly lower risk of grade 3 neutropenia (OR = 0.63; 95% CI = 0.46–0.86) than female patients, and patients with a BMI ≥40 kg/m² showed a lower risk of grade 2 neutropenia (OR = 0.42; 95% CI = 0.23–0.76) compared with those with BMIs between 18.5 and <25 kg/m².

When analyses were restricted to patients who received paclitaxel, similar association patterns and point estimates for neutropenia were observed for race, sex, and BMI (Table 4). In addition, patients aged ≥75 at first paclitaxel treatment had significantly higher risk of grade 4 neutropenia (OR = 1.95; 95% CI = 1.09–3.50) than younger patients (i.e., age <55).

A similar race neutropenia association pattern was also observed among patients who received chemotherapy with docetaxel, although the point estimates failed to reach statistical significance (Table 5). These analyses were based on a much smaller sample size. We found that, compared with patients aged <55 at first treatment, those aged between 55 and 64 had a lower risk of grade 2

### Table 1 (Continued)

|                          | All patients (N = 3492) | White patients (N = 3019) | Black patients (N = 365) | p valuea |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Platinum, N (%)          | 2466 (70.6)            | 2175 (72.0)               | 216 (59.2)               | 3.42 × 10^{-7} |
| Prior radiotherapy, N (%)| 833 (23.9)             | 755 (25.0)                | 69 (18.9)                | 0.010    |

a p values derived from Pearson’s χ² tests for independence for the categorical variables and Student t tests for the continuous variables comparing self-reported race.

b Top five cancer types are listed. Cancer types were determined using most recent diagnosis code to start of taxane treatment. Patients without diagnosis codes were grouped into “Unknown.”

c Cancer stage was determined from VUMC NAACCR data, which was only available for patients diagnosed at VUMC.

### Table 2  Frequency of neutropenia stratified by self-reported race

| Taxane treatment | All patients (N = 3492) | White patients (N = 3019) | Black patients (N = 365) | p valuea |
|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Any taxane user (%)b | 7.17 × 10^{-4} | 196 (53.7) | 3.42 × 10^{-7} | 1.39 × 10^{-4} |
| None              | 2103 (60.2)            | 1837 (60.8)               | 196 (53.7)               | 7.17 × 10^{-4} |
| Mild (Grade 2)    | 455 (13.0)             | 387 (12.8)                | 57 (15.6)                | 0.61     |
| Moderate (Grade 3)| 396 (11.3)             | 322 (10.7)                | 62 (17.0)                | 0.27     |
| Severe (Grade 4)  | 323 (9.2)              | 277 (9.2)                 | 35 (9.6)                 | 0.821    |
| Missing           | 215 (6.2)              | 196 (6.5)                 | 15 (4.1)                 | 0.11     |
| Paclitaxel user (%) | 1.39 × 10^{-4} | 130 (50.2) | 3.42 × 10^{-7} | 0.821    |
| None              | 1564 (58.9)            | 1394 (59.8)               | 130 (50.2)               | 7.17 × 10^{-4} |
| Mild (Grade 2)    | 396 (14.9)             | 339 (14.5)                | 49 (18.9)                | 0.821    |
| Moderate (Grade 3)| 338 (12.7)             | 278 (11.9)                | 52 (20.1)                | 0.821    |
| Severe (Grade 4)  | 185 (7.0)              | 161 (6.9)                 | 19 (7.3)                 | 0.821    |
| Missing           | 171 (6.4)              | 158 (6.8)                 | 9 (3.5)                  | 0.821    |
| Docetaxel user (%) | 0.821                 | 66 (62.3) | 3.42 × 10^{-7} | 0.821    |
| None              | 539 (64.3)             | 443 (64.3)                | 66 (62.3)                | 7.17 × 10^{-4} |
| Mild (Grade 2)    | 59 (7.0)               | 48 (7.0)                  | 8 (7.5)                  | 0.821    |
| Moderate (Grade 3)| 58 (6.9)               | 44 (6.4)                  | 10 (9.4)                 | 0.821    |
| Severe (Grade 4)  | 138 (16.5)             | 116 (16.8)                | 16 (15.1)                | 0.821    |
| Missing           | 44 (5.3)               | 38 (5.5)                  | 6 (5.7)                  | 0.821    |

a p values derived from Pearson’s χ² tests for independence comparing self-reported race.

b Reported percentages are for treatment subcategories.
neutropenia (OR = 0.33; 95% CI = 0.13–0.86), while those aged between 65 and 74 had a lower risk of grade 3 neutropenia (OR = 0.36; 95% CI = 0.13–0.97). Patients with BMIs between 25 and <30 showed a higher risk of grade 4 neutropenia (OR = 1.69; 95% CI = 1.01–2.83) compared with those with BMIs between 18.5 and <25.

**DISCUSSION**

In this single-center study, we found that Black cancer patients who received chemotherapy with taxanes had a higher risk of grade 2 or grade 3 neutropenia compared with White patients, even after adjustments for baseline neutropenia count and other treatments received. This higher risk was mainly confined to patients who received chemotherapy with paclitaxel. No significant differences were found among those who received docetaxel.

Neutropenia is one of the most common side effects of chemotherapeutic agents, including taxanes. Although it has been frequently reported that large interindividual variations exist in toxicity associated with anticancer therapy, data on racial and/or ethnic variations in chemotherapy-induced neutropenia have been limited, and results varied across cancer sites or chemotherapy agents. To date, no studies have systematically evaluated racial differences in toxicity from taxane-induced neutropenia. In our study, with nearly 3,500 cancer patients evaluated, Black patients who received chemotherapy with taxanes showed a higher risk of neutropenia than White patients. There are several possible explanations for this observed racial disparity. First, several studies, including our present study, have shown that, compared with White individuals, neutropenia, or lower neutrophil counts are more common even among healthy Black individuals. Thus, Black cancer patients may be more susceptible to chemotherapy-induced neutropenia compared with their White counterparts. This concern may have already been recognized in clinical practice, as we observed that a higher proportion of Black patients received prophylactic

### Table 3: Race and other demographic factors associated with neutropenia among all taxane patients

| Race         | Mild (Grade 2) (N = 455) | Moderate (Grade 3) (N = 396) | Severe (Grade 4) (N = 323) |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| N a          | OR (95% CI)b             | N                            | OR (95% CI)               |
| White        | 387 / 2224               | Reference                     | 322 / 2159                | Reference                  |
| Black        | 57 / 253                 | 1.53 (1.09–2.14)              | 62 / 258                  | 1.91 (1.36–2.67)           |
| Other        | 11 / 81                  | 0.74 (0.38–1.44)              | 12 / 82                   | 1.02 (0.53–1.94)           |
| Race         |                          |                               |                           |
| Age          |                          |                               |                           |
| <55          | 154 / 853                | Reference                     | 138 / 837                 | Reference                  |
| 55 to <65    | 133 / 804                | 0.89 (0.67–1.18)              | 113 / 784                 | 0.81 (0.60–1.09)           |
| 65 to <75    | 123 / 659                | 1.16 (0.86–1.55)              | 98 / 634                  | 0.94 (0.69–1.29)           |
| ≥75          | 45 / 242                 | 1.08 (0.72–1.63)              | 47 / 244                  | 1.19 (0.79–1.79)           |
| Sex          |                          |                               |                           |
| Female       | 281 / 1482               | Reference                     | 245 / 1446                | Reference                  |
| Male         | 174 / 1076               | 0.89 (0.65–1.23)              | 151 / 1053                | 0.63 (0.46–0.86)           |
| BMI          |                          |                               |                           |
| 18.5 to <25  | 153 / 803                | Reference                     | 129 / 779                 | Reference                  |
| <18.5        | 13 / 75                  | 0.81 (0.39–1.69)              | 17 / 79                   | 1.30 (0.68–2.48)           |
| 25 to <30    | 153 / 817                | 0.96 (0.73–1.25)              | 112 / 776                 | 0.90 (0.67–1.21)           |
| 30 to <35    | 121 / 724                | 0.83 (0.63–1.10)              | 116 / 719                 | 0.99 (0.74–1.33)           |
| ≥40          | 15 / 139                 | 0.42 (0.23–0.76)              | 22 / 146                  | 0.69 (0.41–1.18)           |
| Ever smoke   |                          |                               |                           |
| No           | 223 / 1220               | Reference                     | 200 / 1197                | Reference                  |
| Yes          | 208 / 1166               | 1.02 (0.80–1.30)              | 177 / 1135                | 0.87 (0.67–1.12)           |

aReporting as counts of patients in category / sum of patients with no neutropenia and patients in category.
bAll variables included in the multinomial analysis with adjustments for mean treatment dose, number of treatment cycles, and base neutrophil count, prior platinum treatment, prior radiotherapy, cancer site, and all other variables included in the table.
GCSF when receiving taxanes. Second, Black individuals have lower smoking rates and typically smoke fewer cigarettes than White individuals, as observed among our study population. While it has been previously reported that nonsmokers treated with paclitaxel, docetaxel, and other chemotherapy agents had a higher incidence of neutropenia in comparison with smokers, smoking was not significantly associated with neutropenia in our study. Our study reveals that racial disparity in taxane-induced neutropenia cannot be explained by the above described differences between White and Black patients alone. After accounting for baseline neutrophil count, smoking history, as well as other demographic- and treatment-related information, this disparity persisted, indicating the possibility that other unidentified contributors exist. It is possible that the racial disparities reflect the effects of structural racism on health disparities in the United States. For instance, predominantly Black neighborhoods are disproportionately affected by a lack of nutritional infrastructure as a result of residential segregation. Nutritional deficiencies, such as vitamin B12 and folate deficiencies, have been associated with neutropenia. Further work is needed to better understand the impacts of structural racism on racial disparity in neutropenia in cancer patients. In addition, pharmacogenetic studies have shown that single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) located in genes encoding key metabolic enzymes, such as drug transporters (e.g., ABCB1) and the cytochrome P450 family (e.g., CYP3A4, CYP3A5, and CYP2C8) are associated with taxane-induced toxicities. Different distributions of metabolic composite groups related to the above SNPs have been reported between Black and White individuals, which may also contribute to varied taxane sensitivity and toxicity. Although both paclitaxel and docetaxel share similar structures, anticancer mechanisms, and key metabolic enzymes (i.e., CYP3A and CYP2C8), a previous study has also shown that paclitaxel pharmacokinetics are more likely to be influenced by environmental and genetic factors. Our finding that racial disparity in taxane-induced neutropenia was only observed among patients who received paclitaxel but not docetaxel is consistent with these observations.

### Table 4: Race and other demographic factors associated with neutropenia among paclitaxel patients

|                      | Grade 2 Neutropenia (N = 396) | Grade 3 Neutropenia (N = 338) | Grade 4 Neutropenia (N = 185) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                      | N | OR (95% CI) | N | OR (95% CI) | N | OR (95% CI) |
| **Race**             |   |       |   |       |   |       |
| White                | 339 / 1733 | Reference | 278 / 1672 | Reference | 161 / 1555 | Reference |
| Black                | 49 / 179 | 1.47 (1.01–2.15) | 52 / 182 | 1.86 (1.27–2.72) | 19 / 149 | 1.16 (0.66–2.04) |
| Other                | 8 / 48 | 0.77 (0.35–1.72) | 8 / 48 | 0.90 (0.41–2.01) | 5 / 45 | 1.00 (0.36–2.80) |
| **Age**              |   |       |   |       |   |       |
| <55                  | 125 / 617 | Reference | 111 / 603 | Reference | 48 / 540 | Reference |
| 55 to <65            | 125 / 624 | 1.02 (0.75–1.38) | 92 / 591 | 0.81 (0.58–1.12) | 56 / 555 | 1.25 (0.79–1.99) |
| 65 to <75            | 108 / 523 | 1.16 (0.84–1.60) | 90 / 505 | 1.03 (0.73–1.45) | 54 / 469 | 1.52 (0.95–2.43) |
| ≥75                  | 38 / 196 | 1.05 (0.68–1.64) | 45 / 203 | 1.30 (0.84–2.01) | 27 / 185 | 1.95 (1.09–3.50) |
| **Sex**              |   |       |   |       |   |       |
| Female               | 240 / 1051 | Reference | 208 / 1019 | Reference | 118 / 929 | Reference |
| Male                 | 156 / 909 | 0.83 (0.59–1.15) | 130 / 883 | 0.59 (0.42–0.82) | 67 / 820 | 0.71 (0.45–1.13) |
| **BMI**              |   |       |   |       |   |       |
| 18.5 to <25          | 134 / 612 | Reference | 108 / 586 | Reference | 59 / 537 | Reference |
| <18.5                | 12 / 62 | 0.78 (0.36–1.70) | 14 / 64 | 1.23 (0.61–2.48) | 10 / 60 | 2.07 (0.89–4.82) |
| 25 to <30            | 132 / 632 | 0.93 (0.70–1.25) | 99 / 599 | 0.91 (0.66–1.26) | 56 / 556 | 0.98 (0.64–1.50) |
| 30 to <35            | 105 / 549 | 0.78 (0.57–1.06) | 97 / 541 | 0.91 (0.66–1.26) | 47 / 491 | 0.84 (0.53–1.32) |
| ≥40                  | 13 / 105 | 0.37 (0.19–0.71) | 20 / 112 | 0.65 (0.37–1.16) | 13 / 105 | 0.78 (0.38–1.60) |
| **Ever smoke**       |   |       |   |       |   |       |
| No                   | 186 / 857 | Reference | 167 / 838 | Reference | 85 / 756 | Reference |
| Yes                  | 192 / 980 | 1.04 (0.80–1.36) | 159 / 947 | 0.89 (0.67–1.17) | 93 / 881 | 0.97 (0.67–1.41) |

*Reporting as counts of patients in category / sum of patients with no neutropenia and patients in category.

*All variables included in the multinomial analysis with adjustments for mean treatment dose, number of treatment cycles, and base neutrophil count, prior platinum treatment, prior radiotherapy, and cancer site.
not among those who received docetaxel, appears to support this pharmacogenomic hypothesis. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that the null association among patients who received docetaxel was a result of the smaller sample size. It is also worth mentioning that our study was a retrospective analysis of single-center data. Future validation studies using multi-center data, ideally within randomized clinical trials, are warranted. Nonetheless, our findings highlight the need to investigate potential contributors to the racial disparity in taxane-induced neutropenia and improve evidence-based precision medicine to manage this common side effect.

In addition to the observed racial variations in chemotherapy-induced neutropenia, we also found variations associated with sex and BMI. In general, male or obese cancer patients had a lower risk of neutropenia than their counterparts, especially in terms of mild or moderate neutropenia. Our findings regarding BMI-associated variations are in line with previous studies, which showed that patients with higher BMIs had reduced risk or rates of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia.31 This phenomenon has been consistently observed across various cancer types and chemotherapy agents.32-34 Although the mechanisms behind the observed reduced neutropenia risk among obese cancer patients have not been well-illuminated, one possible explanation is that obese patients are more likely to receive planned empirical dose reduction, also known as “dose capping,” of chemotherapy agents.31 Some studies have also indicated that pharmacokinetic profiles of chemotherapy agents may be altered among obese patients,35 although more research on pharmacokinetic parameters is warranted. On the other hand, results on sex-disparity in chemotherapy-induced neutropenia have been inconclusive. While one study reported that female patients were at higher risk of post-chemotherapy toxicities, including neutropenia,36 others showed similar risk of neutropenia between male and female cancer patients.19,37 However, cancer types and chemotherapy agents varied across these studies, and further research with a specific focus on chemotherapy with taxanes is needed.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to systematically evaluate racial disparity in taxane-induced graded

| TABLE 5 Race and other demographic factors associated with neutropenia among docetaxel patients |
| --- |
| Grade 2 Neutropenia (N = 59) | Grade 3 Neutropenia (N = 58) | Grade 4 Neutropenia (N = 138) |
| Race | | |
| White | 48 / 491 | Reference | 44 / 487 | Reference | 116 / 559 | Reference |
| Black | 8 / 74 | 1.45 (0.60–3.51) | 10 / 76 | 1.71 (0.75–3.93) | 16 / 82 | 0.95 (0.50–1.80) |
| Other | 3 / 33 | 0.83 (0.22–3.04) | 4 / 34 | 1.49 (0.47–4.79) | 6 / 36 | 0.78 (0.29–2.08) |
| Age | | |
| <55 | 29 / 236 | Reference | 27 / 234 | Reference | 52 / 259 | Reference |
| 55 to <65 | 8 / 180 | 0.33 (0.13–0.86) | 21 / 193 | 0.81 (0.39–1.68) | 32 / 204 | 0.65 (0.38–1.13) |
| 65 to <75 | 15 / 136 | 1.66 (0.75–3.69) | 8 / 129 | 0.36 (0.13–0.97) | 39 / 160 | 0.87 (0.48–1.56) |
| ≥75 | 7 / 46 | 1.74 (0.49–6.12) | 2 / 41 | 0.28 (0.05–1.44) | 15 / 54 | 0.90 (0.39–2.04) |
| Sex | | |
| Female | 41 / 431 | Reference | 37 / 427 | Reference | 74 / 464 | Reference |
| Male | 18 / 167 | 2.80 (0.80–9.75) | 21 / 170 | 0.92 (0.33–2.54) | 64 / 213 | 1.23 (0.65–2.35) |
| BMI | | |
| 18.5 to <25 | 19 / 191 | Reference | 21 / 193 | Reference | 41 / 213 | Reference |
| <18.5 | 1 / 13 | 1.04 (0.10–10.71) | 3 / 15 | 1.53 (0.25–9.41) | 4 / 16 | 1.85 (0.48–7.19) |
| 25 to <30 | 21 / 185 | 0.91 (0.41–1.99) | 13 / 177 | 0.84 (0.36–1.92) | 52 / 216 | 1.69 (1.01–2.83) |
| 30 to <35 | 16 / 175 | 1.03 (0.47–2.25) | 19 / 178 | 1.45 (0.68–3.09) | 31 / 190 | 1.29 (0.73–2.29) |
| ≥40 | 2 / 34 | 0.66 (0.13–3.25) | 2 / 34 | 0.73 (0.15–3.55) | 10 / 42 | 2.32 (0.98–5.46) |
| Ever smoke | | |
| False | 37 / 363 | Reference | 33 / 359 | Reference | 76 / 402 | Reference |
| True | 16 / 186 | 0.97 (0.47–2.01) | 18 / 188 | 0.88 (0.44–1.76) | 58 / 228 | 0.94 (0.59–1.48) |

*aReporting as counts of patients in category / sum of patients with no neutropenia and patients in category.

bAll variables included in the multinomial analysis with adjustments for mean treatment dose, number of treatment cycles, and base neutrophil count, prior platinum treatment, prior radiotherapy, and cancer site.
neutropenia among patients with a primary cancer diagnosis. The results of our study were further strengthened by comprehensive adjustments for a wide range of factors, particularly baseline neutrophil count and other cancer treatments, as well as age, BMI, and smoking history. There are also some limitations of our study. First, we cannot rule out the potential selection bias inherited from the single-center study design. Patients treated at VUMC, an academic tertiary care center, may have different demographic characteristics and significant co-morbid conditions from those treated in primary health care facilities. It is also possible that some patients may have had treatment at other healthcare settings prior to VUMC, which may have biased the results. Second, information on treatment, occurrence of neutropenia, as well as self-reported race was extracted from the medical records. For patients who were transferred from other hospitals, information on prior history of taxane use was unavailable. Thus, misclassifications could not be ruled out, which would likely bias our study results toward null. Third, despite the relatively large sample size overall, we were not able to evaluate cancer-specific race neutropenia associations due to the small sample sizes for individual cancer types. Moreover, discontinuation of treatment, comorbidity, detailed regimen (i.e., weekly or every 3 weeks), and post-chemotherapy care (e.g., dietary quality, etc.), which may also be related to the occurrence of neutropenia, could not be accounted for due to the lack of relevant information. Finally, we could not evaluate racial disparity in febrile neutropenia, the most severe neutropenia, due to the lack of a reliable measurement.

In conclusion, after accounting for baseline neutropenia count, patient characteristics, and other treatments received, Black patients who received chemotherapy with taxanes had a greater risk for neutropenia compared with White patients, especially for those who received paclitaxel. This is critically important for treatment planning and dose adjustments, expectation setting, and physician awareness for the potential for increased infections or febrile episodes during periods of neutropenia in Black patients. Our findings call for further investigations on this disparity, particularly in clinical trial settings, as well as on the underlying mechanisms.
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