Genicular nerve block for postoperative pain relief after total knee replacement

ABSTRACT
There are several modalities described to manage postoperative pain after a total knee replacement (TKR). Presently, the regional anaesthesia techniques used after TKR focus on more peripheral, motor sparing blocks so as to facilitate early ambulation along with good quality pain relief. We describe use of ultrasound guided 3 point genicular nerve block (GNB) for managing postoperative pain successfully after a TKR.
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Introduction
There are several modalities described to manage postoperative pain after a total knee replacement (TKR). Presently, the regional anaesthesia techniques used after TKR focus on more peripheral, motor sparing blocks so as to facilitate early ambulation along with good quality pain relief. Genicular nerve block (GNB) and ablation have been used for managing chronic pain from knee osteoarthritis with good success.[1] Here, we report a case of postoperative pain management (POPM) following TKR with GNB.

Case Report
A 63-year gentleman came for right TKR, following left TKR, which was done a week ago. He had received adductor canal block (ACB) for POPM following left TKR. This time, GNB was done on the right side at three locations, i.e., superomedial, superolateral, and inferomedial locations of the condyle-shaft junction as described in the literature [Figure 1].[2,4] Under ultrasound (USG) guidance and strict asepsis, we injected 2.5 ml of 0.5% bupivacaine with 2 mg of dexamethasone at every three locations (Figures 2 and 3 shows needle placement for superomedial injection and local anesthetic spread). Then, spinal anesthesia was given with 15 mg of bupivacaine heavy and 100 µg of morphine. Intraoperatively, the patient received local infiltrative analgesia (LIA) by the surgeon, ketorolac 30 mg, paracetamol 1 gm, and morphine 5 mg intravenously (IV). LIA included 100 mg of ropivacaine, 0.5 mg epinephrine, and 30 mg ketorolac diluted in 100 ml of normal saline. POPM was done by paracetamol 1 gm thrice daily, ketorolac 30 mg thrice daily, and tramadol 50 mg thrice daily, all IV.
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At 6 h and 12 h post-TKR, his numerical pain rating (NRS) score at rest was 0 on both occasions. At 6 h, he was able to extend the knee fully and stand with support. At 24 h post-TKR, his NRS at rest and physiotherapy were 4 and 6, respectively. He was able to ambulate with support at 24 h post-TKR. He needed an additional 10 mg of IV morphine for rescue analgesia in 24–48 h period. While at discharge, he informed that he had better pain control on the side where GNB was done compared with the other side where he received ACB.

**Discussion**

Traditionally, POPM following TKR is done by various regional nerve blocks, periarticular infiltration, and epidural analgesia with other systemic analgesia.[5] In the last few years, there has been a paradigm shift in the care of these patients where early ambulation and discharge is being facilitated with motor-sparing nerve blocks. GNB has traditionally been used for managing chronic knee pain from osteoarthritis. In recent times, an extensive cadaveric study has been conducted to describe the precise location of genicular nerves and targets for the USG-guided needle placement for the block and ablation. We used GNB after discussing the same with the surgeon and patient which was done under US guidance and strict asepsis. In addition, we used other multimodal techniques like low-dose intrathecal morphine, LIA, and systemic analgesia, which is considered as part of the multimodal technique to provide adequate analgesia, thereby facilitating early rehabilitation. We found only one case series in the literature, where the authors injected 4 ml of dye at four locations (inferolateral in addition to regular three locations) in a fresh cadaver, where they found a wide periarticular spread of the dye in the computed tomography (CT) scan without any intraarticular spread. Subsequently, they did a case series of 12 TKRs with encouraging results.[6]

GNB selectively blocks articular branches and is motor-sparing. This can possibly be helpful in early ambulation and faster discharge of the patient following TKR. However, there is a need to do GNB in many patients and see if the consistent analgesic effect can be produced. Similarly, future study is needed to find out the optimal volume of local anesthetic needed to carry out the block. In addition, comparative studies of GNB with standard nerve blocks like ACB may give us an idea about the role of GNB in fast-track TKR.
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