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Prepregnancy Consumption of Fruits and Fruit Juices and the Risk of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus

A prospective cohort study

**OBJECTIVE**—Examine the association of prepregnancy habitual consumption of fruits and fruit juices and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) risk.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**—A prospective study among women with at least one singleton pregnancy in the Nurses’ Health Study II from 1991 to 2001.

**RESULTS**—Among 13,475 women, 860 reported a first diagnosis of GDM. The adjusted relative risks (RRs) for GDM from the lowest to highest quintile of whole fruit consumption were 1.00 (referent), 0.80 (95% CI 0.65–0.98), 0.90 (0.73–1.10), 0.80 (0.64–1.00), and 0.93 (0.76–1.16), respectively. The corresponding RRs for fruit juice were 1.00, 0.82 (0.66–1.01), 0.78 (0.63–0.96), 0.84 (0.68–1.04), and 1.00 (0.81–1.23).

**CONCLUSIONS**—These data suggest that prepregnancy higher consumption of whole fruits is not associated with an increased GDM risk. The association between fruit juices and GDM risk appears to be nonlinear.

**RESULTS**—Among 13,475 eligible women, 860 reported a first diagnosis of GDM. At baseline, the median intake was 1.00 serving/day (Supplementary Table 1). After adjustment for age, parity, race, smoking, alcohol intake, physical activity, family history of diabetes, BMI, and other dietary factors (Table 1; model 3), the RRs across the lowest to highest quintiles of fruit consumption were 1.00 (referent), 0.80 (95% CI 0.65–0.98), 0.90 (0.73–1.10), 0.80 (0.64–1.00), and 0.93 (0.76–1.16). In stratified analyses according to participants’ BMI status (<25 or ≥25 kg/m²), family history of diabetes (yes or no), parity (1 or ≥2), or physical activity (low or high), the direction of the association between GDM risk and fruit consumption was consistent in each stratum (data not shown). For subgroups of fruit and the risk of GDM (Table 1), only intake of apple was significantly associated with GDM risk. When apple intake was treated as a continuous variable, each additional serving per day of apple was associated with a 25% lower risk of GDM (95% CI 1–44). The association of 100% fruit juices with GDM risk was nonlinear, with the lowest risk being among women with moderate consumption (Table 1).

**CONCLUSIONS**—In this large prospective study, we found that habitual food frequency questionnaire (2) designed to assess food intake during the previous year. For this analysis, we summed up the intake of single items to determine the consumption of fruit (nine items) and 100% fruit juices (four items) (for more information, see Supplementary Data).

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS statistical software (version 8.2; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). In primary analyses, we calculated the cumulative average intakes of dietary variables to reduce within-person variation and to represent long-term dietary intakes. Relative risks (RRs) and 95% CIs of GDM were estimated using Cox proportional hazards models. Tests for non-linear associations were performed using restricted cubic spline regressions (3).
### Table 1—RR (95% CI) of GDM in relation to whole fruits and fruit juice consumption among participants in the Nurses' Health Study II (N = 13,473; GDM case subjects n = 860)

| Fruits, fruit juices, and risk of GDM | Quintiles of cumulative averaged intake | Case/PY | Crude incidence per 100,000 PY | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3* | Model 3# | Other fruits | Case/PY | Crude incidence per 100,000 PY | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3* | Other fruits | Case/PY | Crude incidence per 100,000 PY | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3* | Other fruits | Case/PY | Crude incidence per 100,000 PY | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3* | Other fruits |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Whole fruits                        |                                     |         |                                |        |        |        |        |              |        |                                |        |        |        |              |        |                                |        |        |        |              |        |                                |        |        |        |              |        |                                |        |        |        |              |        |
| Servings per day (median)           |                                     | 0.35    | 0.71                           | 1.07   | 1.53   | 2.36   |        |              | 226/108,600 | 163/120,203 | 167/101,745 | 140/103,767 | 164/110,315 |        | 208                      | 136    | 164    | 135    | 149                      |        | 1.00                           | 0.76   | 0.81   | 0.68   | 0.79   | 0.03   |
|                                     |                                     |         |                                |        |        |        |        |              | 335/136,460 | 51/72,507    | 197/104,409 | 164/132,338 | 113/98,915   |        | 245                      | 70     | 189    | 124    | 114                      |        | 1.00                           | 1.05   | 0.82   | 0.78   | 0.81   | 0.045  |
|                                     |                                     |         |                                |        |        |        |        |              | 118/67,968  | 251/119,713  | 171/142,903 | 234/141,743 | 86/72,302    |        | 174                      | 210    | 120    | 165    | 119                      |        | 1.00                           | 0.86   | 0.87   | 0.89   | 0.88   | 0.76   |
|                                     |                                     |         |                                |        |        |        |        |              | 165/131,181 | 253/117,085  | 36/51,764   | 270/159,316 | 136/85,283   |        | 136                      | 216    | 70     | 169    | 159                      |        | 1.00                           | 1.17   | 1.19   | 1.10   | 1.04   | 0.75   |
|                                     |                                     |         |                                |        |        |        |        |              | 162/106,663 | 250/113,578  | 173/109,103 | 119/82,960  | 156/132,325  |        | 152                      | 220    | 159    | 143    | 118                      |        | 1.00                           | 1.28   | 1.08   | 1.22   | 1.11   | 0.99   |
|                                     |                                     |         |                                |        |        |        |        |              | 226/96,629  | 163/102,951  | 167/124,550 | 140/84,974  | 164/135,524  |        | 108                      | 136    | 164    | 135    | 149                      |        | 1.00                           | 0.94   | 0.92   | 0.81   | 0.86   | 0.19   |
|                                     |                                     |         |                                |        |        |        |        |              | 213/115,979 | 135/107,000  | 185/110,923 | 166/107,620 | 161/103,098  |        | 184                      | 126    | 167    | 154    | 156                      |        | 1.00                           | 1.07   | 1.04   | 0.92   | 1.00   | 0.70   |
|                                     |                                     |         |                                |        |        |        |        |              | 248/119,393 | 146/114,957  | 148/98,842  | 154/103,228 | 164/108,209  |        | 208                      | 127    | 150    | 149    | 152                      |        | 1.00                           | 0.82   | 0.73   | 0.74   | 0.83   | 0.06   |
|                                     |                                     |         |                                |        |        |        |        |              | 358/187,290 | 6/37,012     | 257/120,486 | 114/73,367  | 125/126,474  |        | 191                      | 16     | 213    | 155    | 99                       |        | 1.00                           | 0.75   | 1.08   | 1.06   | 0.97   | 0.07   |
|                                     |                                     |         |                                |        |        |        |        |              | 183/128,393 | 214/96,594   | 154/90,085  | 159/122,624 | 154/106,933  |        | 143                      | 222    | 171    | 126    | 144                      |        | 1.00                           | 0.73   | 1.06   | 1.06   | 0.97   | 0.72   |
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high consumption of fruits before pregnancy was not associated with increased GDM risk. For whole fruit, a slightly lower risk was observed in the 2nd and 4th quintiles as compared with the lowest quintile after controlling for known risk factors of GDM. Among specific fruits, higher apple consumption was associated with a modestly reduced risk of GDM. The association of total fruit juices with GDM risk was nonlinear, with the lowest risk being among women in the 3rd quintile of consumption.

We are unaware of published studies that prospectively examine the association of prepregnancy consumptions of fruit and fruit juices with the risk of GDM. Previous prospective studies on fruit intake and type 2 diabetes risk have yielded mixed results (4–8). Fruits have high antioxidant and fiber content as well as relatively low energy density and low glycemic load. In addition, fruits contain numerous bioactive components, such as vitamins, minerals, carotenoids, folates, flavonoids, and polyphenol, which have been suggested to be beneficial in insulin sensitivity and/or pancreatic β-cell function by relieving oxidative stress (9). However, fruits also contain a relatively high amount of sugar (i.e., fructose), which has been directly linked to impaired pancreatic β-cell function in humans (10). It is highly likely that the overall health effect of whole fruit is a mix of many bioavailable compounds present in whole fruit and is dependent on the individuals’ baseline consumption level.

Our finding of an inverse association between apple intake and GDM risk is consistent with two studies on apples and type 2 diabetes risk (11,12). Apples provide a low glycemic index source of carbohydrate, and are a major source of flavonoids. However, in the current study, flavonoids were not significantly associated with GDM risk, and the association of apple intake with GDM remained significant after the adjustment of flavonoid intakes (data not shown). We speculate that other polyphenolic compounds (i.e., catechins), antioxidants (i.e., vitamin C and β-carotene), or unidentified dietary factors in apples, individually or in combination, may contribute to the potentially protective effects of apples on GDM risk.

The association of total fruit juices with GDM risk was nonlinear. The interpretation of this nonlinear association needs to be cautious. On one hand, vitamins, minerals, and phytochemicals in fruit juices may have beneficial effects for diabetes. On the other hand, fruit juices have lower fiber contents and higher glycemic load than whole fruit. At a moderate level of consumption, the beneficial effects from some components (i.e., vitamins and minerals) may counterbalance the potential adverse effects of the rapidly absorbed sugars. In this study, however, we were unable to completely rule out residual confounding from some unmeasured health behaviors associated with moderate fruit juice consumption.

In conclusion, our data suggest that prepregnancy higher consumption of whole fruits is not associated with increased GDM risk. The association of fruit juices with GDM risk appears to be nonlinear, with the lowest risk being among women with modest consumption. Further studies are warranted to confirm our observations.
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