Introduction

Congenital left ventricular outpouchings (LVOs) are rare primary myocardial malformations, which comprise some overlapping and poorly defined abnormalities. Current definitions of LVOs are not unequivocal and sometimes contradictory since diagnostic criteria are frequently non-exhaustive, mixed, not mutually exclusive. Moreover the lack of a consistent and shared classification of LVOs subtypes prevented from reaching a general consensus on management and treatment strategy.

Recently, a systematic review of 839 cases disclosed the drawbacks of the current definitions and introduced a simple and robust classification for all LVOs. We describe a case of a LVO associated with bicuspid aortic valve in an asymptomatic adult patient and we evaluated it according to this novel classification proposed by Malakan Rad et al.

Case Report

A 47-year-old man with septal hypertrophy suspected by echocardiography (ECHO), during a medical checkup before bony marrow donation, was referred to our Department for performing cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR). The patient was asymptomatic and had no significant previous medical history. Physical examination, electrocardiogram, laboratory tests, chest radiography were unremarkable.

Cine-MRI (Avanto 1.5 T scanner, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) ruled out myocardial hypertrophy and incidentally revealed an outpouching of the left ventricular inferior wall at the basal level surrounded by a thin layer (4 mm) of hypo-contractile myocardium (Figure 1). Late gadolinium enhanced (LGE) images showed no hyperintense areas within the LVO myocardial wall (Figure 2a-b). In addition, a mild regurgitating bicuspid aortic valve was depicted (Figure 2c-d). The patient refused surgery and opted for follow-up.

Discussion

Among congenital LVOs, left ventricular diverticulum (LVD) and left ventricular aneurism (LVA) are the most frequent. LVD is a finger- or hook-like protrusion with narrow connecting neck, a wall composed of all three layers (endocardium, myocardium, epicardium), and systolic contraction synchronous with the ventricular wall.1-4 LVD are classified into fibrous and muscular diverticulum. The former is prone to rupture and is usually located in the basal segments or in the subvalvular area. It has a fibrous wall being considered by some authors as a pseudo-diverticulum and not a true diverticulum.7,8 Muscular LVD is typically located in the apex, but may
also involve the inferior or anterior ventricular walls and it is usually associated with other congenital malformations, particularly with Cantrell’s syndrome.7,8 LV A has a broad connecting neck contained by a fibrous ventricular wall, which may show akinesia, dyskinesia, asynchronous contraction, or paradoxical expansion during systole.1-6 Although ECHO is the initial diagnostic tool, CMR may play a unique role in differentiating fibrotic from non-fibrotic outpouchings through LGE imaging, and enables a combined evaluation of morphological features, tissue characterization and regional motion.4,5,7,10-12 Preserved contractility defines a LVD while akinetic or dyskinetic motility refers to the presence of fibrosis and may denote either a LVA or a LVD with fibrotic evolution.11 The differentiating features of fibrous diverticulum from aneurysm have not been explained in current literature, predominantly based on isolated case reports.1 In our patient, LVO was located in basal segment, it had a crescent-like shape and presented an oval neck which appeared larger in short-axis views and narrow in long axis views; residual wall did not show LGE and was markedly hypokinetic.

In clinical practice it is difficult to distinguish non-invasively the different forms of LVOs, because of overlapping imaging features. In our case, LVO showed features of both LVD and LVA, and so it did not correspond to a definite type of LVO making its therapeutic choice questionable.

Figure 1. Left ventricle long-axis (a,b) and short-axis (c,d) bright-blood cineMR images acquired in end-diastolic (a,c) and end-systolic (b,d) phases show the presence of an outpouching (arrows) of the inferior ventricular wall at the basal level which does not respect the geometry of the chamber distorting its profile. The abnormality has a crescent-like shape with its largest diameter in the short-axis view. The outpouching wall appears severely hypokinetic with the following dimensions in dyastolic phase: long neck diameter 21 mm, short neck diameter 11 mm, depth 12 mm.

Figure 2. Late gadolinium enhanced images acquired in short-axis (a) and long-axis (b) views reveal no hyperintense signal areas suggesting the absence of fibrous tissue in the ventricular wall (arrow); longitudinal (c) and transverse (d) aortic valve views show a mild jet of regurgitation (c) and the anterior leaflets fusion (d) of the bicuspid valve.

Malakan Rad et al.1 based LVOs classification on three parameters - elliptical left ventricular geometry, wall thickness, and wall motion of the outpouching - offering a prognostic perspective with therapeutical implications. This new classification introduces the importance of the elliptical geometry that underlines the mechanics of intraventricular blood flow and optimal vortex formation in left ventricle cavity, ignored by the traditional nomenclature. When the normal elliptical shape of the left ventricular cavity is preserved the LVO is classified as double-chambered left ventricle, and it may be associated with intracavitary obstruction. However, if the elliptical geometry is distorted, but LVO wall thickness and motion are preserved it is classified as type 1, having the best prognosis among all types. If one or both wall features are abnormal, LVO is classified as type II. Type II is further subclassified into types IIa (reduced wall thickness), IIb (altered wall motion), and IIc (compromised wall thickness and motion). In our case elliptical ventricular geometry is not respected, wall thickness is reduced and wall motion compromised then the LVO corresponds to a small IIc type. Type IIc LVOs are considered to have the poorest prognosis, especially if giant. In our LVO, the broad neck and its dyskinetic wall oriented to surgical resection according to the three cases of small IIc LVOs reported in literature13-15, also in relation to the small size and the adult age at diagnosis which are considered to be markers of a good outcome. Furthermore, our case was associated to bicuspid aortic valve.
valve with mild regurgitation and without aortic stenosis. According to our knowledge only two cases of ventricular outpouching associated with bicuspid aortic valve have been published.\textsuperscript{16,17} Their coexistence could be just a coincidence or their pathophysiology may be related to stretching mechanisms\textsuperscript{16} or to a common congenital structural abnormality.\textsuperscript{17}

In particular, it has been hypothesized that a congenital defect of the left ventricular wall near the atrioventricular groove may cause a diverticulum under chronically high intracavitary pressure due to aortic stenosis.\textsuperscript{16} In both published cases, patients underwent cardiac surgery because of their associated pathologies and during the intervention diverticula were removed; pathologic examination confirmed either were fibrous diverticula.

Our case demonstrated that LVO characterization is not as simple as seems and LVO should be analyzed carefully in order to offer to the patient the best therapeutic option based on current evidence.

The classification model proposed by Malakan Rad et al., based only on morphological and functional criteria, is a laudable attempt but needs to be further discussed and refined.

The multiparametric approach offered by CMR may provide additional diagnostic tools, in particular exploiting the capability of LGE imaging to detect the presence of fibrous tissue.

**Ethical Issues**

The authors have obtained permission before using patient data and images.

**Competing Interests**

Authors declare no conflict of interests in this study.

**References**

1. Malakan Rad E, Awad S, Hijazi ZM. Congenital left ventricular outpouchings: a systematic review of 839 cases and introduction of a novel classification after two centuries. *Congenit Heart Dis* 2014; 9: 498-511. doi: 10.1111/chd.12214

2. Losada Mora P, Valenzuela Vicente Mdel C, Ruiz CE. Diagnosis of ventricular diverticulum by cardiac computed tomography. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)* 2014; 67: 850. doi: 10.1016/j.rec.2014.01.017

3. Sakabe K, Fukuda N, Fukuda Y, Wakayama K, Nada T, Morishita S, et al. Isolated congenital left ventricular diverticulum in an elderly patient that was identified because of an incidental finding during a complete medical checkup. *Int J Cardiol* 2008; 125: e30-3. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2007.04.106

4. McMahon CJ, Moniotte S, Powell AJ, del Nido PJ, Geva T. Usefulness of magnetic resonance imaging evaluation of congenital left ventricular aneurysms. *Am J Cardiol* 2007; 100: 310-5. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2007.02.094

5. Ohlow MA. Congenital left ventricular aneurysms and diverticula: definition, pathophysiology, clinical relevance and treatment. *Cardiology* 2006; 106: 63-72. doi: 10.1159/000092634

6. Shauq A, Agrawal V, Crawley C. Congenital left ventricular diverticulum. *Heart Lung Circ* 2006; 15: 272-4. doi: 10.1016/j.hlc.2006.02.013

7. Cianciulli TF, Del Carmen Gonzalez Colaso P, Saccheri MC, Lax JA, Redruello HJ, Guerra JE, et al. Left ventricular diverticulum, a rare echocardiographic finding: two adult patients and review of the literature. *Cardiol J* 2009; 16: 76-81.

8. Romagnoli A, Ricci A, Morosetti D, Fusco A, Citraro D, Simonetti G. Congenital left ventricular diverticulum: Multimodality imaging evaluation and literature review. *J Saudi Heart Assoc* 2015; 27: 61-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jsaha.2014.07.004

9. D’Aloia A, Rovetta R, Vizzardi E, Bonadei I, Sciatti E, Metra M. A case of isolated left ventricle diverticulum. *Heart Lung Vessel* 2014; 6: 280-1.

10. Ahn HS, Kim HK, Park EA, Lee W, Park JH, Sohn DW. Isolated, broad-based apical diverticulum: cardiac magnetic resonance is a “terminator” of cardiac imaging modality for the evaluation of cardiac apex. *Korean Circ J* 2013; 43: 702-4. doi: 10.4070/kcj.2013.43.10.702

11. Marijon E, Redheuil A. Non-invasive ‘tissue characterization approach’ for congenital ventricular outpouchings: role of magnetic resonance with delayed contrast-enhanced imaging. *Eur Heart J* 2007; 28: 1040. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehm042

12. Marijon E, Ou P, Fermont L, Concordet S, Le Bidois J, Sidi D, et al. Diagnosis and outcome in congenital ventricular diverticulum and aneurysm. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2006; 131: 433-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2005.09.046

13. Yamashiro S, Kuniyoshi Y, Miyagi K, Uezu T, Arakaki K, Koja K. Two cases of ventricular tachycardia with congenital left ventricular malformation in an adult. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2004; 10: 42-6.

14. Chowdhury UK, Seth S, Sheil A, Mittal CM, Jagia P, Malhotra P, et al. Successful aneurysmectomy of a congenital apical left ventricular aneurysm. *Tex Heart Inst J* 2009; 36: 331-3.

15. Mitsomoy MF, Ajaja MR, Fkiri B, Haddour L, Cheikhaoui Y. Management of the congenital aneurysm of the left ventricle associated with mitral insufficiency in a child: a case report. *J Cardiovasc Thorac Res* 2013; 5: 35-6. doi: 10.5681/jcvtwr.2013.007

16. Yildirir A, Batur MK, Kabakci G. Left ventricular septal aneurysm in association with bicuspid aortic valve--a case report. *Angiology* 2001; 52: 73-6.

17. Krishnan U, Kilner PJ, Money-Kyrle A, Ramrakha P. Two diverticula of the left ventricular outflow tract adjacent to the commissures of a bicuspid aortic valve. *Congenit Heart Dis* 2006; 1: 332-4. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-0803.2006.00058.x