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Because of its excellent properties, mullite porous ceramics are widely used in thermal insulation materials, catalyst carriers, gas-liquid filtration, separation materials, etc. At the same time, zirconia not only has the advantages of high melting point, good chemical stability, and high strength but also can significantly improve the strength of ceramics through phase transformation and particle dispersion in the matrix and is widely used in the reinforcement of ceramics. 

In this paper, using mullite powder as the raw material, Al₂O₃ and SiO₂/ZrSiO₄ as the starting material for the mullite self-bonding phase, and AlF₃·3H₂O, ZrO₂, and Y₂O₃ as additives, the zirconia-reinforced mullite was prepared by the foaming-injection method. The volume density, linear shrinkage rate, microstructure, room temperature, etc. of nanozirconia-reinforced mullite porous ceramics were studied by the amount of the foaming agent, the amount of mullite self-bonding phase powder, the type and amount of additives, etc. Effects of mechanical properties and thermal conductivity were also analyzed. The research results show that zirconia-reinforced mullite porous ceramics were prepared with mullite powder and 6wt% AlF₃·3H₂O as raw materials, and ZrO₂ and Y₂O₃ as additives. Adding an appropriate amount of ZrO₂ and Y₂O₃ can significantly improve the mechanical properties of porous ceramics. When ZrO₂ is 6 wt% and Y₂O₃ is 8 wt%, the porosity is 66.4% and the flexural strength and compressive strength of porous ceramics with a large pore size of 168 μm can reach 14.3 MPa and 36.3 MPa, respectively, which are obviously better than the strength of mullite porous ceramics without adding Y₂O₃ (flexural strength 11.3 MPa, nanocompressive strength 29.4 MPa).

1. Introduction

Porous ceramics refer to porous ceramic materials with open and closed pore diameters and high porosity fired at high temperatures. They not only have large porosity, high specific surface area, and low density but also have the advantages of low thermal conductivity, and have excellent properties such as energy absorption, damping characteristics, and uniform gas-liquid permeability [1–3]. It is often widely used as melt, gas and solid filters, catalyst carriers, electrolytic membranes, high-pressure gas and sound wave-absorbing materials, thermal insulation materials, biomedical materials, etc. [4–6].

Mullite porous ceramics are widely used in energy recovery, metal smelting industry, and chemical industry due to their high melting point, good acid and alkali corrosion resistance, high porosity, good mechanical properties, high temperature resistance, low thermal conductivity, and other excellent properties. They are also used in the pharmaceutical industry, biomedical industry, military industry, and other fields. The preparation methods of mullite porous ceramics mainly include the foaming-injection molding method, organic foam impregnation method, adding pore-forming agent method, freeze-drying method, sol-gel method, biological template method, and ion exchange method. Among them, the foam-injection molding method has become one of the research hotspots in recent years because of its simple process and the ability to prepare large-sized and complex products. However, the traditional foaming-injection molding method needs to add a large amount of organic matter when preparing porous ceramics. During the sintering process, the organic matter decomposes and volatilizes a large amount of harmful gases, causing environmental pollution and seriously affecting the
mechanical properties of porous ceramics. At the same time, the porous ceramic prepared by the traditional foaming method has a large shrinkage rate, and microcracks will form on the surface of the sample, thereby reducing the mechanical properties of the porous ceramic. It is difficult to prepare mullite porous ceramics with high porosity, high strength, and low shrinkage rate. Therefore, how to improve the porosity and mechanical properties of mullite porous ceramics by improving the process is a new research direction in the future. The process of preparing a porous foam glass-ceramic composite building thermal insulation material by a foaming method is shown in Figure 1.

In this paper, the foaming-injection molding combined with the reaction sintering method is proposed to prepare zirconia-reinforced mullite porous ceramics with high porosity, high strength, and low thermal conductivity by introducing the zirconia-reinforcing phase, additives, and self-bonding phase. Its phase composition, linear shrinkage, bulk density, porosity, microstructure, pore size, mechanical properties, and thermal conductivity were studied.

2. Literature Review

The organic foam impregnation method [7–9] is a widely used method for preparing porous lightweight ceramics in the industry. This method was first proposed by Zhang et al. [10] in 1963. The main process is to fully immerse the organic foam with a three-dimensional network structure into the prepared ceramic slurry, then remove the excess slurry on the surface of the foam board, and finally obtain porous ceramics after drying and sintering.

Czelusniak and Amorim. [11] used α-Al₂O₃ as raw material, gum arabic as dispersant, and organic foams with different pore sizes as templates. After sintering at 1200°C/2h by the organic foam impregnation method, porous alumina with a porosity of up to 93% was prepared. The effects of dispersant and sintering aid dosage, sintering temperature, and other factors on the properties of porous ceramics were mainly studied. Teng et al. [12] used Ti₂AlN powder as raw material, polyacrylamide as the dispersant, polyvinyl alcohol as the binder, and ethanol as a defoamer, treated the polyurethane sponge with NaOH solution, and soaked it with hydroxymethyl cellulose solution. Ti₂AlN porous ceramics with a three-dimensional framework structure and relatively uniform pores were prepared. Zhou et al. [13] used ZrO₂ as the raw material, MgO and CeO₂ as stabilizers, and prepared ZrO₂ porous ceramics with an excellent performance by sintering organic foam impregnation method. The effects of stabilizer types on shrinkage, surface morphology, and high-temperature phase content of ceramic samples were studied. The results show that using CeO₂ as a stabilizer can reduce the linear shrinkage of the sample, and using a composite stabilizer of MgO and CeO₂ to promote sintering and generate a high-temperature stable phase is beneficial to improve the sintering rate of the sample.

Although the above research can prepare porous ceramics with excellent properties, there are still some problems with this method: (1) the affinity of the organic foam template with the ceramic slurry affects the thickness and uniformity of the slurry coverage; (2) the body is easily deformed during the sintering process, and the pores of the hollow structure formed by the organic matter after burning have a great influence on the strength of the porous ceramic product; (3) the organic foam emits a large amount of harmful gases during sintering, pollutes the environment, and is harmful to human health; (4) the pore size and porosity of the prepared porous ceramics are limited by the shape and pore size of the selected organic foam template, and the requirements for the pore size of the template are relatively strict. In order to improve the pore size distribution and mechanical properties, many scholars generally use methods combined with freeze-drying, adding pore-forming agents, etc. to prepare porous ceramics.

The foaming method is to add a certain proportion of organic substances such as water-reducing agent, binder, or dispersant to the prepared ceramic slurry, and generate a large number of bubbles through a chemical reaction, mechanical foaming, etc., and then natural drying combined with hot air drying, after sintering at a specific temperature to obtain porous ceramic products [14–16]. The preparation process is shown in Figure 2. During the experiment, some organic substances are often used as a foaming agent, water-reducing agent, binder, dispersing agent, etc. There are many types of commonly used foaming agents, which are mainly divided into physical foaming agents and chemical foaming agents. Physical foaming agents include liquid carbon dioxide, cyclopentane, hydrofluoroalkane, n-hexane, petroleum ether, dichlorodifluoromethane, hydrogenated polyether, etc. Chemical foaming agents include N-diterephthalalidene (NTA), azobisisobutyronitrile, diethyl azodicarboxylate, 4-disulfonylhydrazide diphenyl ether, etc. Superplasticizers and dispersants generally include lignosulfonate sodium salt superplasticizers, naphthalene-based superplasticizers, amino superplasticizers, aliphatic superplasticizers, polycarboxylate superplasticizers, and the like. Adhesives mainly include instant-drying, anaerobic, pressure-sensitive, hot-melt, thermosetting, etc. [17].

Mullite porous ceramics are widely used in various fields due to their many advantages. However, by reviewing and summarizing the research on mullite porous ceramics in recent years, it is found that there are still some urgent problems to be solved in the preparation method and performance of mullite porous ceramics, which are also common problems of various types of porous ceramics [18]. For example, (1) the shrinkage rate of mullite porous ceramics is relatively large during the sintering process; (2) a large amount of organic matter is added during the preparation process, and harmful gases are released during sintering to pollute the environment and human health; (3) the preparation methods of ceramics all have their disadvantages more or less; (4) the prepared mullite porous ceramics have low mechanical strength due to high brittleness, cracking, and other reasons. In view of the above problems, this paper intends to prepare zirconia-reinforced mullite porous ceramics by foaming-injection combined with an in-situ reaction sintering method so as to provide a reference.
for the performance improvement of porous ceramics and a guarantee for environmental protection.

3. Experimental Method

3.1. Experimental Materials and Equipment. The raw materials and specifications used in the experiment are shown in Table 1:

Table 2 shows the particle size characteristic parameters of the experimental raw materials before and after ball milling. It can be seen from the table that the average particle size (Dav) of ZrO₂ powder decreased from 49.8 μm before ball milling to 9.1 μm after ball milling for 4 hours, and the median particle size (D50) decreased from 45.9 μm decreased to 7.2 μm. While the specific surface area (S/V) increased from 0.1 m²/cm³ to 0.7 m²/cm³, the particle size before ball milling was mainly distributed between 24 ∼ 80 μm, and the particle size distribution after ball milling was mainly between 2 and 20 μm. It can be seen from the above that ball milling can effectively reduce the particle size of ZrO₂ powder; for ZrSiO₄ powder before and after ball milling, the average particle size, median particle size, and specific surface area change very little, and the particle size after ball milling is very small. It is mainly distributed between 0.3 and 1.4 μm; the particle size of composite powder after ball milling is mainly distributed between 0.4 and 1.4 μm.

3.2. Experimental Procedure. First, according to the mass ratio of corundum ball : powder : water of 10 : 5 : 3, a certain amount of corundum ball was weighed, mixed the powder (zirconium silicate, alumina, and aluminum fluoride or yttrium oxide in a certain proportion), deionized water, put it into a ball milling tank, ball-milled on a planetary ball mill at a speed of 250 r/min for 4 hours, put the obtained ceramic slurry in a blast drying oven at 120°C to dry to constant weight, passed through a 100-mesh sieve, and obtained a mixed powder body.

Then, the solid content of the mixed powder was controlled to be 52 vol%, a certain amount of the mixed powder was weighed and gradually added to the aqueous solution containing 0.4 wt% Isobam104 (IB, relative to the mass of the powder), and the pulp with good fluidity is obtained after rapid stirring.

Then, a certain amount of lauryl sulfate triethanol-amine (0.2~1 vol%, relative to the volume of the slurry) foaming agent was added into the slurry, firstly through high-speed mechanical stirring and then low-speed stirring to foam to obtain a foam slurry with uniform bubbles. Then, it was quickly injected into a 220 mm × 160 mm × 40 mm mold to solidify and form, the poured mold was let to stand at room temperature for 24 h to demold, and first dried the green body in the natural environment for 72 h, and then heated it at 40~110°C. Then, it was dried in a drying oven until all moisture evaporates.

Finally, the dried green body was placed in an alumina crucible, slowly put into a box-type sintering furnace (specification KSS-1700°C) in an air atmosphere, heated to 1600°C at 3°C/min and kept for 2 h, and cooled with the furnace to 1600°C. The zirconia reinforced mullite porous ceramic is obtained after room temperature.
3.3. Specimen Performance Testing and Characterization. The bulk density of porous ceramics can be directly calculated from the mass-to-volume ratio of the sintered sample [19].

The porosity of porous ceramics can be measured by the Archimedes method. First, the samples are dried in a blast drying oven at 100°C ± 5°C, then cooled to room temperature in the drying oven, and then weighed to obtain dryness. The mass of the sample is 1 m. The sample was put into a clean beaker, placed in a vacuum desiccator, evacuated until the residual pressure is less than 10 mmHg, keep it for 10 min, then opened the valve of the upper funnel of the vacuum desiccator, and let the tap water flow naturally until the sample is completely dry. When submerged but no bubbles are generated, the valve was closed.

The sample was taken out of the water, a moisture-absorbing cloth was used to dry the liquid on the outer surface of the wet sample, and then weighed. The sample was quickly weighed to the nearest 0.01 g to obtain the wet sample mass \( m_2 \).

Then, the mass (floating weight) of the sample was measured in a suspended state in water, accurate to 0.01 g, to obtain a floating weight of 3 m. The absorbent cloth should be immersed in water in advance and completely soaked and wrung out gently to avoid absorbing the water in the pores of the ceramic sample and affecting the experimental results. It is calculated as follows:

\[
\pi_a = \frac{m_2 - m_1}{m_3 - m_1} \times 100\%.
\]

where \( \pi_a \) is the apparent porosity (%), \( m_1 \) is the mass of the dry sample (g), \( m_2 \) is the mass of the wet sample (g), and \( m_3 \) is the floating weight (g).

The flexural strength is measured by the three-point bending method, which can be calculated according to the following formula (2), with a span of 100 mm. The size of the sample is 150 mm × 25 mm × 25 mm.

\[
\sigma_F = \frac{3}{2} \frac{F_{\max} L_s}{bh^2},
\]

where \( \sigma_F \) is the flexural strength at room temperature (MPa), \( F_{\max} \) is the maximum pressure applied to the sample (N), \( L_s \) is the distance between the lower knife edges (mm), \( b \) is the width of the sample (mm), and \( h \) is the height of the sample (mm).

4. Result Analysis

In the reinforcement mechanism of mullite porous ceramics, the second phase of mullite porous ceramics is introduced for reinforcement. The reinforcement method is changed from single whisker reinforcement to phase particle composite reinforcement, whisker-particle composite reinforcement, and whisker-phase transition composite reinforcement, thereby improving the mechanical properties of mullite porous ceramics [20]. The enhancement of ZrO$_2$, especially the enhancement of Y$_2$O$_3$-stabilized tetragonal phase ZrO$_2$, makes the matrix show higher strength. Therefore, adding ZrO$_2$ to the mullite matrix can be used to improve the mechanical properties of mullite and improve the mechanical strength. Liu et al. [21] used zirconium quartz and bauxite as raw materials, and added CaO, Y$_2$O$_3$, and MgO as additives, and prepared zirconia reinforced mullite ceramics with a compressive strength of up to 620 MPa by hot pressing sintering. Chen et al. [22] used mullite as raw material, coarse and fine ZrO$_2$ (Y$_2$O$_3$) as admixtures, and prepared zirconia reinforced mullite ceramics with a compressive strength of 378 MPa by isostatic pressing. Hussien et al. [23] used fused mullite, α-Al$_2$O$_3$ micropowder and semistable zirconia as raw materials, polycrystalline alumina fiber as admixture, and sintered at 1500°C for 3 h to prepare a composite material with good dimensional stability and flexural strength, and compressive strength of 56 MPa and 203 MPa, respectively, and the

### Table 1: Raw materials used in experiments.

| Raw material name | Molecular formula | Purity | Origin |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| Zirconium silicate | ZrSiO$_4$ | Industrial grade | Shan Dong |
| Alumina | α-Al$_2$O$_3$ | Industrial grade | Qing Dao |
| Mullite | 3Al$_2$O$_3$·2SiO$_2$ | DM-70 (325 mesh) | Shan Dong |
| Silica | SiO$_2$ | ≥99.2% | Qing Huang Dao |
| Yttrium oxide | Y$_2$O$_3$ | Chemically pure (CP) | Shang Hai |
| Zirconia | ZrO$_2$ | ≥99.80 | Ping Xiang |

### Table 2: Characteristic parameters of particle size distribution before and after ball milling of raw materials.

| Characteristic parameters | D$_{10}$ (μm) | D$_{50}$ (μm) | D$_{90}$ (μm) | D$_{97}$ (μm) | Dav (μm) | S/V (m$^2$/cm$^3$) | <10μm (%) | <20μm (%) |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| Before ZrO$_2$ ball milling | 23.2 | 45.9 | 81.2 | 1.7 | 49.8 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 6.7 |
| After ZrO$_2$ ball milling for 4 h | 1.3 | 7.2 | 19.8 | 26.9 | 9.1 | 0.7 | 64.1 | 90.2 |
| ZrSiO$_4$ before ball milling | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 7.1 | 100 | 100 |
| After ZrSiO$_4$ ball milling for 4 h | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 100 | 100 |
| ZrSiO$_4$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ after ball milling for 4 h | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 100 | 100 |
number of thermal shocks up to 36 times of alumina fiber reinforced mullite-zirconia composite ceramics [24].

In this paper, mullite and 6 wt% AlF₃·3H₂O were used as raw materials, ZrO₂ was used as reinforcing phase, and Y₂O₃ additive was used to prepare zirconia reinforced mullite porous ceramics by foaming-injection method. Influence of mechanical properties of stone porous ceramic samples.

4.1. Effect of ZrO₂ on Mechanical Properties of Mullite Porous Ceramics. When using different amounts of ZrO₂, the XRD of the mullite porous ceramics prepared after sintering at 1550°C/2h with the increase of the amount of ZrO₂, the diffraction peaks of mullite did not change significantly, while the diffraction peaks of ZrO₂ increased gradually. When ZrO₂ is not added, there are only mullite phase and α-Al₂O₃ phase in the porous ceramic sample, and α-Al₂O₃ phase appears mainly due to the decomposition reaction of AlF₃·3H₂O. When the amount of ZrO₂ is 3 wt%, the main crystal phase in the sample is mullite phase, and the secondary crystal phase is α-Al₂O₃ phase. At the same time, the diffraction peak of m-ZrO₂ appears, and the intensity of the diffraction peak of m-ZrO₂ continuously enhanced. It shows that the addition of ZrO₂ has no obvious effect on the composition of mullite porous ceramics.

Figure 3 shows the effect of the amount of ZrO₂ on the flexural strength and compressive strength of the mullite porous ceramics prepared by sintering at 1550°C/2h. It can be seen that the flexural strength and compressive strength of porous ceramics increase with the increase of the amount of ZrO₂. When the amount of zirconia was 9 wt%, the flexural strength and compressive strength of the prepared porous ceramic samples were 12.6 MPa and 33.7 MPa, respectively, which were 25.4% and 23.7% higher than those of the samples without ZrO₂ addition, respectively. This is mainly due to the addition of ZrO₂, which reduces the pore size of porous ceramics, and the distribution of pores is more uniform, which is beneficial to improve its strength. In addition, ZrO₂ particles are uniformly distributed in the mullite matrix. During the growth of mullite columnar crystals, the intense “pinning effect” of ZrO₂ particles hinders the movement of grain boundaries and limits the growth of mullite columnar crystals (this is consistent with the high-magnification SEM picture, which plays the role of refining the particles. When the columnar crystal matrix encounters the ZrO₂ particles, due to the “pinning effect,” the grain boundary will deflect and absorb a large amount of energy, and the mullite columnar crystals are staggered and densely arranged. The densification of grains and columnar crystals caused by the “pinning effect” of cloth and ZrO₂ particles consumes a lot of fracture energy, thereby improving the mechanical properties of mullite porous ceramics.

4.2. Effect of Y₂O₃ on Mechanical Properties of Mullite Porous Ceramics. Figure 4 shows the effect of the amount of wY₂O₃ on the flexural strength and compressive strength of the mullite porous ceramics prepared after sintering at 1550°C/2h. It can be seen from this that the mechanical strength of porous ceramics increases with the increase of the amount of Y₂O₃. When the amount of Y₂O₃ was 8 wt %, the flexural strength and compressive strength of the prepared porous ceramics reached the maximum, which were 14.3 MPa and 36.3 MPa, respectively, which were 20.5% and 19% higher than the strengths of mullite porous ceramics with similar porosity prepared without additives. This is mainly due to the addition of Y₂O₃, which completely converts m-ZrO₂ into t-ZrO₂; on the other hand, combined with the high-magnification SEM image, it can be seen that with the increase of the amount of Y₂O₃, solid solution reaction occurs between particles, resulting in a eutectic. The particles continue to infiltrate between the particles, the particles are refined, and the grain
ZrO₂ is added, the flexural strength and compressive mechanical properties of porous ceramics. When only 6 wt% ZrO₂ and Y₂O₃ are added at ceramics with a porosity of 68.6% are 11.3 MPa and 29.4 MPa, respectively. On this basis, when Y₂O₃ is added at 8 wt%, the porosity of the prepared porous ceramics is increased by 20.5% and 19%, respectively.

5. Conclusion
In this paper, zirconia-reinforced mullite porous ceramics were prepared by the foaming-injection molding method, and the bulk density, porosity, microstructure, mechanical properties, and thermal insulation properties of porous ceramics were studied. The main conclusions are as follows: zirconia-reinforced mullite porous ceramics were prepared using mullite and 6 wt% AlF₃·3H₂O as raw materials, and ZrO₂ and Y₂O₃ as additives. Introducing an appropriate amount of ZrO₂ and Y₂O₃ can significantly improve the mechanical properties of porous ceramics. When only 6 wt% ZrO₂ is added, the flexural strength and compressive strength of the prepared zirconia-reinforced mullite porous ceramics with a porosity of 68.6% are 11.3 MPa and 29.4 MPa, respectively. On this basis, when Y₂O₃ is added at 8 wt%, the porosity of the prepared porous ceramics is 66.4%, and the flexural strength and compressive strength of the porous ceramics with a large pore diameter of 168 μm can reach 14.3 MPa and 36.3 MPa, respectively, which is higher than that of adding only 6 wt%. The flexural strength and compressive strength of ZrO₂ porous ceramics are increased by 20.5% and 19%, respectively.

In this paper, zirconia-reinforced mullite porous ceramics were prepared by the foaming-injection method, and their bulk density, porosity, linear shrinkage, mechanical properties at room temperature and thermal insulation properties were studied. However, due to the time, its high-temperature mechanical properties and high-temperature thermal insulation properties have not been studied [25]. In addition, the selection of types and amounts of additives is not comprehensive enough. It can be further improved in future research. The mechanical properties and thermal insulation properties of mullite porous ceramics can be further improved by improving the experimental scheme or sintering process.
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