Selection of Feature for Epilepsy Seizer Detection Using EEG
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Abstract: The study of the electrical signals produced by neural activities of human brain is called Electroencephalography. Epilepsy is one of the most common neurological diseases and the most common neurological chronic disease in childhood. Electroencephalography (EEG) still remains one of the most useful and effective tools in understanding and treatment of epilepsy. EEG signal when decomposed into frequency subbands, gives us several statistical features in each band. Some of these features that may be employed for detection of epilepsy are explored in this paper.
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1. Introduction

Epilepsy is a neurological disorder due to excessive neuronal activity in the brain characterized by recurrent brain malfunction. Epilepsy can be assessed by the EEG i.e. the electrical activity of brain. It is highly non-linear and non-stationary, and therefore is difficult to characterize and interpret. The signals are normally presented in the time domain, but many new EEG machines are capable of applying simple signal processing tools such as the Fourier transform to perform frequency analysis. There have been many algorithms developed so far for processing EEG signals. The operations include, but are not limited to, time-domain analysis, frequency-domain analysis, and spatial-domain analysis. For past many years there are various features that have been extracted by the researchers for the purpose of classification of EEG signal to be epileptic or not on the basis of those features [1-4].

2. Data Selection and Recording

In proposed work publicly available data ia used described in [5]. The complete data set consists of five sets (denoted A–E) each containing 100 single-channel EEG segments. These segments were selected and cut out from continuous multi-channel EEG recordings after visual inspection for artefacts, e.g., due to muscle activity or eye movements.

Sets A and B consisted of segments taken from surface EEG recordings that were carried out on five healthy volunteers using a standardised electrode placement scheme. Volunteers were relaxed in associate awake-state with eyes open (A) and eyes closed (B), severally. Sets C, D, and E originated from graph archive of pre-surgical identification. EEGs from 5 patients were hand-picked, all of whom had achieved complete seizure management when surgical operation of one of the hippocampal formations, that was
thus properly diagnosed to be the epileptogenic zone. Segments in set D were recorded from among the epileptogenic zone, and folks in set C from the hippocampal formation of the opposite hemisphere of the brain. Whereas sets C and D contained alone activity measured throughout seizure free intervals, set E alone contained seizure activity.

The electrodes were set as shown in figure. 1. Therefore, the electrodes named as: FP1, FP2, F3, F4, C3, C4, P3, P4, F7, F8, T1, T2, T3, T5, T6, O1, O2, F2, P2. The frontal lobe, temporal lobe, parietal lobe, central lobe, and occipital lobe were named F, T, P, C, and O respectively [6].

The Figure 2 describe the anatomy of the brain with different regions [7].

The cerebrum is the largest part and is responsible for initiation of movement, coordination of movement, sensing temperature, touch, vision, hearing, judgment, reasoning, problem solving, emotions and learning. Cerebrum is divided into four lobes. They are frontal lobe, occipital lobe, parietal lobe & temporal lobe. Here segments were hand-picked from all recording sites exhibiting ictic activity.

All encephalogram (EEG) signals were recorded with constant 128-channel electronic equipment system, victimisation a mean common reference.

The info were digitised at 173.61 samples per second victimisation twelve bit resolution. Band pass filter settings were 0.53–40 cps (12dB/oct). In this study, a tendency to used 2 dataset (A and E) of the whole dataset. The signals which have been taken as input for thhe analysis purpose. Figure. 3, 4, 5 show healthy, convulsive and epileptic signals. The signal overlap healthy and epileptic shown in Figure. 6.

In processing medical signals, it is vitally important to minimize existing noises and artifacts in order that they have the minimum effect on the feature extraction stage.
Figure 4. An example of convulsive signals.

Figure 5. An example of epileptic signals.
3. Problem Identification

For identifying the epileptic and non-epileptic EEG, it is required to examine subject for selected features extracted from the subbands of EEG. It is probable that some of the features may have non-overlapping range and useful to achieve highest frequency. Those features are needed to be identified for detection of epilepsy.

4. Methodology

The subband decomposition of the EEG signal explicitly explained in [8] is applied on the sets of epileptic and non-epileptic data of 50 subjects each. Each set is composed of 4096 samples at sampling frequency of 173.6 Hz. In this study, the discrete wavelet transform is used as a primary computational tool for extracting features of the epileptic EEG signals at different resolutions. Decomposition of Epileptic and Non-Epileptic data into Delta, Theta, Alpha, Beta, Gamma subbands are shown in figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively. It is apparent from figures that the amplitude of gross epileptic signal is considerably higher than non-epileptic one. Also the amplitudes of subbands are significantly high in case of epileptic data, especially in gamma subband.

The statistical parameters all the extracted features constitute the combined feature index (CFI) = (F1, F2, F3, F4, F5...... Fn), which is presented as an input to the system. The features used in evaluating the performance of the scheme are mean, standard deviation, median, entropy, kurtosis and skewness were calculated at each decomposition level starting from 01 to 04 for the normal and epilepsy categories of signals [8].

Mean µ

Mean are fundamental statistical attributes of a time series the arithmetic mean of a time series is the average expected value of that time series. In some cases, the mean value of a time series can be the operating point or working point of a physical system that generates the time series.

\[ \mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i \]

The mean indicated by \( \mu \). The Value in the signal X, byletting the index, i, run from 0 to 1. Then finish the calculation by dividing the sum by N. This is identical to the equation: \( \mu = (X_0 + X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_{N-1})/N \).
Figure 7. Epileptic EEG signal with delta, theta, alpha, beta and gamma subband decomposition.

Figure 8. Non-epileptic EEG signal with delta, theta, alpha, beta and gamma subband composition.
Standard Deviation $\sigma$

The standard deviation is similar to the average deviation except the averaging is done with power instead of amplitude. This is achieved by squaring each of the deviation before taking the average. To finish the square root is taken to compensate for the initial squaring. In equation from the standard deviation is calculation:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$X$ is signal with mean $\mu$, $N$ is the number of sample and $\sigma$ is standard deviation.

Kurtosis $k$

The kurtosis is higher-order statistical attributes of a time series. Kurtosis measures the peakedness of the probability density function (PDF) of a time series. A kurtosis value close to three indicates a Gaussian-like peakedness. PDFs with relatively sharp peaks have kurtosis greater than three. PDFs with relatively flat peaks have kurtosis less than three.

$$k = \frac{E((x-\mu)^4)}{\sigma^4}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Skewness $S$

The skewness are higher-order statistical attributes of a time series. Skewness indicates the symmetry of the probability density function (PDF) of the amplitude of a time series. A time series with an equal number of large and small amplitude values has a skewness of zero. A time series with many small values and few large values is positively skewed and the skewness value is positive. A time series with many large values and few small values is negatively skewed and the skewness value is negative.

$$S = \frac{E((x-\mu)^3)}{\sigma^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Entropy $E$

Entropy is a numerical measure of the randomness of a signal. Entropy can act as a feature and used to analyze psychological time series data such as EEG data. The Entropy can thus be calculated as:

$$E(s) = \sum_i E(s_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The $E$ must be the an additive cost function such that $E(0) = 0$. Entropy is the statistical descriptor of the variability

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i}{n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

X refers to the entire set of the numbers. Median is more robust than arithmetic mean and geometric mean if the raw data does not contain significant outliers.

5. Rest and Conclusion

These 30 parameters analysed for 50 non-epileptic and 50 epileptic cases taken from database [8], it is found that that these 30 parameters are highly suitable for the detection of epilepsy with 100% accuracy [8]. These parameters are illustrated in the Table 1. These features can be significantly used for detection of epilepsy.

| Subband Features | Gamma | BETA | ALPHA | THETA | DELTA |
|------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Mean             | M1    | M2   | M3    | M4    | M5    |
| Standard deviation | S1     | S2   | S3    | S4    | S5    |
| Median           | Md1   | Md2  | Md3   | Md4   | Md5   |
| Entropy          | E1    | E2   | E3    | E4    | E5    |
| Kurtosis         | K1    | K2   | K3    | K4    | K5    |
| Skewness         | Y1    | Y2   | Y3    | Y4    | Y5    |

6. Future Scope

There are several different features which have different significant. Yet some different features needs to be compare or use to achieve the highest or full accuracy.
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