TSPAN5 influences serotonin and kynurenine: pharmacogenomic mechanisms related to alcohol use disorder and acamprosate treatment response
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Abstract

We previously reported that SNPs near TSPAN5 were associated with plasma serotonin (5-HT) concentrations which were themselves associated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor treatment outcomes in patients with major depressive disorder (MDD). TSPAN5 SNPs were also associated with alcohol consumption and alcohol use disorder (AUD) risk. The present study was designed to explore the biological function of TSPAN5 with a focus on 5-HT and kynurenine concentrations in the tryptophan pathway. Ethanol treatment resulted in decreased 5-HT concentrations in human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived neuron culture media, and the down-regulation of gene expression of TSPAN5, DDC, MAOA, MAOB, TPH1, and TPH2 in those cells. Strikingly, similar observations were made when the cells were treated with acamprosate—an FDA approved drug for AUD therapy. These results were replicated in iPSC-derived astrocytes. Furthermore, TSPAN5 interacted physically with proteins related to clathrin and other vesicle-related proteins, raising the possibility that TSPAN5 might play a role in
vesicular function in addition to regulating expression of genes associated with 5-HT biosynthesis and metabolism. Downregulation of TSPAN5 expression by ethanol or acamprosate treatment was also associated with decreased concentrations of kynurenine, a major metabolite of tryptophan that plays a role in neuroinflammation. Knockdown of TSPAN5 also influenced the expression of genes associated with interferon signaling pathways. Finally, we determined that TSPAN5 SNPs were associated with acamprosate treatment outcomes in AUD patients. In conclusion, TSPAN5 can modulate the concentrations of 5-HT and kynurenine. Our data also highlight a potentially novel pharmacogenomic mechanism related to response to acamprosate.
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**INTRODUCTION**

We previously reported that TSPAN5 eQTL SNPs on chromosome 4 were associated with variation in plasma serotonin (5-HT) concentrations which were themselves correlated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor treatment outcomes in patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) (1). We also reported that knockdown of TSPAN5 resulted in the down-regulation of genes involved in both 5-HT biosynthesis and metabolism (1). It should be pointed out that depression is the most common psychiatric co-morbidity among AUD patients (2–4). A recent genome-wide association study (GWAS) of alcohol consumption in UK Biobank participants identified a series of genome-wide significant variants on chromosome 4 (5). Strikingly, several of those SNPs (rs3114045, rs193099203 and rs9991733) are trans-eQTLs in the brain for TSPAN5 which maps to chromosome 4. When the UK Biobank study results were stratified by sex, the rs114026228 SNP in TSPAN5 (p=3.60E-13) was the top signal associated with alcohol consumption in men (5). In addition, a recent GWAS meta-analysis demonstrated that TSPAN5 SNPs were associated with alcohol use disorder (AUD) risk in an African American population (2). It should also be pointed out that the TSPAN5 rs11947402 SNP which was originally identified from our GWAS for baseline plasma 5-HT concentrations in MDD patients was also associated with AUD risk (p=0.017) in that same AUD GWAS meta analysis (2, 6). As a result of this growing body of evidence that TSPAN5 may play a role in both MDD and AUD risk, the present study was designed to explore the biological function of TSPAN5 with a focus on the tryptophan pathway using human iPSC-derived CNS cells exposed to either ethanol (EtOH) or acamprosate—an FDA approved medication for the treatment of AUD (7). The significance of the present study results from this expanding body of molecular genomic data with regard to TSPAN5, from the societal importance of AUD, from the possibility of more highly individualized treatment for AUD, and from the fact that the results of the studies described subsequently suggest novel genetic mechanisms that might influence individual variation in acamprosate response in AUD patients (8–10).

TSPAN5 is one of the 33 members of the tetraspanin gene family (11). TSPAN5 is widely expressed in the brain based on the GTEx database (12). However, the possible functional
role of TSPAN5 in AUD is unknown. Since our previous report demonstrated that TSPAN5 is associated with plasma concentrations of 5-HT—a metabolite of tryptophan—the present study places a focus on the impact of TSPAN5 on the tryptophan metabolic pathway, one branch of which leads to the formation of 5-HT, with the other main branch resulting in the formation of kynurenine (Figure 1a). During our previous MDD study we found that kynurenine was, among the metabolites assayed, the most highly associated with severity of depression symptoms (1). In an attempt to understand the possible roles of TSPAN5, EtOH and acamprosate in the regulation of 5-HT biosynthesis and metabolism, we first demonstrated that both EtOH and acamprosate decreased 5-HT in the culture medium of iPSC-derived forebrain neurons and astrocytes. In parallel we demonstrated that TSPAN5 expression was also down-regulated in the presence of EtOH or acamprosate. We also demonstrated that TSPAN5 could regulate both kynurenine concentrations and the expression of a series of genes associated with interferon (IFN) related pathways. Those experiments were followed by a series of functional genomic studies using astrocytes and microglia which showed that TSPAN5 might have a role in CNS immune response. Finally, we found that several SNPs that are cis-eQTLs for TSPAN5 were associated with acamprosate treatment outcomes in patients with AUD.

In summary, the present study greatly extends our original observations with regard to TSPAN5 and plasma 5-HT regulation (1), and serves to highlight a novel pharmacogenomic mechanism related to acamprosate treatment response by which TSPAN5 can modulate and influence major metabolites of tryptophan and the CNS immune response—both of which have been implicated in neuropsychiatric disorders, including AUD (13). Taken together, these observations serve to emphasize the possible importance of TSPAN5 in acamprosate treatment response. As a result, they have expanded and broadened our understanding of acamprosate’s mechanism of action.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Subjects

The Mayo Clinic Center for the Individualized Treatment of Alcoholism recruited 442 AUD subjects with associated clinical data, and DNA samples were obtained for genotyping (14–16). Specifically, 305 European-American subjects included in this study had acamprosate treatment outcomes available, i.e. abstinence length during acamprosate therapy (17). In addition, induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) were generated from five healthy subjects from the Mayo Clinic Biobank. All subjects provided written informed consent for their participation in these studies. The protocol for this study was reviewed and approved by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board (reference number: 10–006845). See Supplementary text for details.

Generation of patient-derived iPSCs, and glial and neuronal cells differentiation

Fibroblasts from skin biopsies for all subjects were utilized for iPSC reprogramming using the CytoTune™-iPS 2.0 Sendai Reprogramming Kit (A16517, Thermo Fisher, USA). Patient-derived iPSCs were characterized as previously described (18, 19). Those iPSCs were then differentiated into astrocytes and forebrain neurons as previously described.
Cells were then treated with various concentrations of EtOH or acamprosate within the range of concentrations observed in patients drinking EtOH or patients treated with acamprosate observed during acamprosate treatment of patients with AUD, respectively (21). See Supplementary text for details.

**RNA Sequencing and functional genomic studies**

RNA-seq was performed by GENEWIZ using an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform. Fastq files containing paired RNASeq reads were aligned with STAR (22) against the UCSC human reference genome (hg19) using Bowtie 2.2.3 with default settings (23). Gene level counts from uniquely mapped, non-discordant read pairs were obtained using the subRead featureCounts program (v1.4.6) (24) and gene models from the UCSC hg19 Illumina iGenomes annotation package. Differential expression analysis was performed using the DESeq2 package with default parameters (25). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) software was used for pathway analysis (26, 27). Real time PCR was used for validation and primer sets for real time PCR are listed in Supplementary Table 1. We performed functional genomic studies including high-performance liquid chromatography, immunofluorescence staining and confocal imaging analysis, mass spectrometry, Western blot analysis, TSPAN5 siRNA knockdown and CRISPR/cas9 knockout studies. See the Supplementary text for details.

**RESULTS**

**TSPAN5 is an alcohol responsive gene**

As a first step, we set out to determine the possible effect of EtOH on TSPAN5 expression and concentrations of 5-HT—one of the metabolites of tryptophan (Figure 1a)—using iPSC-derived forebrain neurons. TSPAN5 expression was significantly down-regulated in iPSC-derived forebrain neurons after EtOH (25 mM) exposure that is considered physiologically relevant, with 25 mM EtOH being slightly higher than the 0.08% blood alcohol concentration (BAC) required to be legally intoxicated in most states in the United State (29) (Figure 1b). In parallel, 5-HT concentrations in the culture media decreased substantially after EtOH exposure (Figure 1c). We also observed that the down-regulation of TSPAN5 by EtOH was associated with decreased mRNA expression of *DDC*, *TPH1*, *TPH2*, *MAOA*, and *MAOB*, all of which play roles in 5-HT biosynthesis and metabolism (Figure 1d). In follow-up of this observation, siRNA knockdown studies were performed using four independent TSPAN5 siRNAs as well as one pooled siRNA (Dharmacon Chicago, IL, USA). The results for all of those experiments were consistent. Specifically, knockdown of TSPAN5 in iPSC-derived neurons to 5% of its baseline significantly decreased 5-HT concentrations in the culture media (Figure 1e–1f), with associated down regulation of the expression of *DDC*, *TPH1*, *TPH2*, *MAOA*, *MAOB* (Figure 1g), consistent with our previous report that used a neuroblastoma cell line, SK-N-BE(2) (1). Use of that neuroblastoma cell line also made it possible for us to optimize treatment conditions for our subsequent functional genomic studies, which would have been impractical using iPSC-derived neurons due to their high cost and the length of time required for their differentiation (see Supplementary Figure 1).
Acamprosate modulates TSPAN5 expression and 5-HT concentrations

The next series of experiments was performed to determine whether acamprosate, an FDA approved drug for the treatment of AUD, might also influence TSPAN5 expression and 5-HT concentrations in iPSC-derived neuron culture medium. The concentrations of acamprosate used to perform those experiments were selected to fall within the range of blood drug concentrations observed during acamprosate therapy of patients with AUD (21). Strikingly, TSPAN5 expression in iPSC-derived forebrain neurons was also down-regulated in the presence of acamprosate (Figure 1h). In parallel, 5-HT concentrations in the neuron culture medium also decreased significantly (Figure 1i). Even more striking, and somewhat surprisingly, we observed that expression of the genes associated with monoamine neurotransmitter biosynthesis and metabolism shown in Figures 1d and 1g was also down-regulated in response to acamprosate treatment (Figure 1j).

TSPAN5 biological function in iPSC-derived astrocytes

Having determined the effect of EtOH and acamprosate on forebrain neurons, we next determined the effect of EtOH and acamprosate on iPSC-derived astrocytes. TSPAN5 expression also decreased significantly in response to EtOH treatment of iPSC-derived astrocytes (Figure 2a). Consistently, 5-HT concentrations were also significantly decreased in iPSC-derived astrocyte culture medium in the presence of EtOH (Figure 2b). Similar results were observed when cells were treated with acamprosate (Figure 2c–2d). In addition, knockdown of TSPAN5 in iPSC-derived astrocytes significantly decreased 5-HT concentrations in the culture medium (Figure 2e–2f). We should point out that iPSC-derived astrocyte cultures displayed about 10-fold higher concentrations of 5HT than did the neurons, as shown in Figure 1. That is because the cell numbers used to perform assays for the iPSC-derived astrocytes were at least 10 times higher than for the iPSC-derived neurons, as described in the figure legend. It was not practically possible to use higher numbers of iPSC-derived neurons as a result of their high cost and the length of time required for their differentiation. It should also be emphasized that, unlike iPSC-derived neurons, iPSC-derived astrocytes can be expanded for functional studies that require a larger number of cells. Using the required larger number of cells, we next performed TSPAN5 pulldown studies with iPSC-derived astrocytes for mass spectrometric identification of the proteins “pulled down” and identified a series of proteins that included clathrin heavy chain and other neurotransmitter vesicle-related proteins including AP2M1, AP3M1, VAMP7 and VPS29, all of which interacted physically with TSPAN5, (Supplementary Table 2). These observations suggested that TSPAN5 might play roles in both the regulation of 5-HT biosynthesis and metabolism (see Figure 1 and Figure 2) as well as in vesicular function.

TSPAN5 influences kynurenine

We next set out to determine whether TSPAN5 might influence kynurenine concentrations (Figure 1a) just as it did for another major tryptophan pathway metabolite, 5-HT, and whether TSPAN5 downregulation resulting from EtOH or acamprosate treatment might also affect kynurenine concentrations. To answer those questions, we used HMC3 cells, a human microglial cell line, to perform the functional studies because microglia are the major cell type in the CNS responsible for immune response (30) and because our
previously published studies had placed a focus on the relationship of the “kynurenine arm” of tryptophan metabolism with immunity and inflammation (1). Specifically, we knocked out TSPAN5 using CRISPR-Cas9 and demonstrated that kynurenine concentrations decreased significantly in the TSPAN5 knockout cell culture medium as compared to wildtype cells (Figure 3a–3b). In line with those observations, both TSPAN5 expression and kynurenine concentrations (Figure 3c–3f) were decreased in response to EtOH or acamprosate treatment. These results significantly extended our original observations with regard to TSPAN5 and plasma 5-HT regulation (1), and served to highlight a possible novel pharmacogenomic mechanism by which TSPAN5 can influence kynurenine concentrations which have been implicated in CNS immune response and neuropsychiatric disorders.

**TSPAN5 may also play a role in CNS immune response**

We also used iPSC-derived astrocytes to perform mRNA expression profiling before and after TSPAN5 knockdown, and identified 301 genes that displayed significant changes in expression (FDR ≤0.05) after the knockdown of TSPAN5 (Figure 4a, and Supplementary Table 3). Pathway enrichment analysis demonstrated that a series of immune response signaling pathways were the most common and most highly affected pathways after TSPAN5 knockdown (Figure 4b, and Supplementary Table 4). Those findings were validated using four additional human iPSC-derived astrocytes. Specifically, we confirmed that genes associated with the “response to interferon (IFN)” pathway were down-regulated when TSPAN5 was knocked down (Figure 4c). We then tested the effect of TSPAN5 on IFN signaling pathways using an IFN-stimulated response element (ISRE) luciferase reporter system. Knockdown of TSPAN5 in iPSC-derived astrocytes resulted in significantly decreased ISRE activity (Figure 4d). That was also true when iPSC-derived astrocytes were treated with either EtOH or acamprosate (Figure 4e). We then replicated those findings using HMC3 cells. Consistently, ISRE luciferase activities were significantly lower in TSPAN5 knockout cells than in wildtype cells (Supplementary Figure 3a). TSPAN5 in HMC3 cells was also involved in differences in expression for a panel of genes involved in IFN signaling pathways as shown in Figure 4c. Specifically, TSPAN5 knockout cells displayed significantly decreased levels of expression for IRF7, IRF9, MX1, MX2, OAS1, OAS2, IFITM1, DDIT3, GRP78 and STAT1 (Supplementary Figure 3b). In similar fashion, treatment of HMC3 cells with either EtOH or acamprosate resulted in significantly decreased expression of genes associated with response in IFN signaling pathways (Supplementary Figure 3c–3d). These findings suggested that both acamprosate and EtOH could influence TSPAN5 gene expression which, in turn, altered both 5-HT and kynurenine concentrations and down-regulated IFN signaling pathways in the brain—all of which might have implications for neuropsychiatric disorders such as AUD. Therefore, the next series of studies was designed to determine the possible association of TSPAN5 genetic variants with acamprosate treatment response in AUD patients.

**TSPAN5 SNPs are associated with response to acamprosate treatment**

We next set out to determine whether SNPs within TSPAN5 might be associated with the length of abstinence until the first drink of alcohol during 3 months of acamprosate treatment for AUD patients enrolled in the Mayo Clinic Center for the Individualized Treatment of Alcoholism clinical trial (Figure 5a and Supplementary Table 5) (17, 31).
Clinical characteristics for those subjects are listed in Supplemental Table 6. Specifically, the most significant three TSPAN5 SNPs (rs11940430, rs4699354, and rs10029405) were in tight linkage disequilibrium (LD) ($R^2$ > 0.98) and were associated with length of abstinence during 3 months of acamprosate treatment. Those same three SNPs were also associated with a different but related clinical phenotype, i.e. abstinence length until heavy drinking or complete abstinence during 3 months of acamprosate treatment (Figure 5b). In addition, homozygous variant genotypes for those same three SNPs were also associated with higher risk of relapse during 3 months of acamprosate treatment (Figure 5b). Finally, the three TSPAN5 SNPs shown in Figure 5a were also eQTLs for the expression of TSPAN5 in many brain regions (Supplementary Table 7), specifically frontal cortex, cerebellum, medulla and putamen based on data obtained from the 1231 brain tissue samples (up to ten brain regions) that have been archived in the BRAINEAC database (http://www.braineac.org/) which includes 134 brains from individuals free of neurodegenerative disorders. These results, taken together, indicate that genetic variants that are associated with TSPAN5 expression might be biomarkers for abstinence length in AUD patients treated with acamprosate.

In summary, the results of this series of experiments suggest that TSPAN5 may play a significant role in 5-HT and kynurenine regulation and metabolism. Both of these tryptophan metabolites have been reported to play important roles in neuropsychiatric disorders (18, 32–35). That may result, in part, from an interaction of TSPAN5 with a series of vesicle-related proteins or its effect on the expression of enzymes involved in monoamine neurotransmitter biosynthesis and metabolism. Furthermore, as a result of the down-regulation of TSPAN5 in the presence of either EtOH or acamprosate, downstream genes involved in 5-HT biosynthesis and metabolism, as well as IFN signaling pathways were also down-regulated. Finally, TSPAN5 SNP genotypes appeared to be associated with acamprosate treatment outcomes in AUD patients—although those observations will require replication. This series of results represent a potentially important step in the process of obtaining functional insight into molecular mechanisms underlying the role of TSPAN5 in the regulation of two major tryptophan pathway metabolites, 5-HT and kynurenine, as well as individualized treatment outcomes for AUD patients treated with acamprosate.

### DISCUSSION

The present study provides the first evidence that TSPAN5 genetic variation might be associated with acamprosate treatment outcomes in AUD patients. AUD is the most prevalent substance use disorder (36). However, only three drugs—acamprosate, naltrexone and disulfiram—have received FDA approval for the treatment of AUD in the United States, and only a small proportion (~35%) of patients respond to treatment with these agents by achieving sustained abstinence (17, 37, 38). It would represent a major achievement for precision medicine if we were to develop ways to better individualize the drug therapy of AUD patients in order to increase the frequency of the achievement of abstinence and to select the patients most likely to respond prior to the initiation of drug therapy.

Acamprosate, an NMDA glutamate receptor antagonist, is a synthetic compound with a chemical structure similar to those of the neurotransmitter gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and the amino acid taurine (39). The AUD therapy literature and studies of
the mechanism of action of acamprosate have most often focused on its effects on the balance between GABAergic inhibitory and glutamatergic excitatory effects. For example, we reported previously that plasma glutamate concentrations can serve as pharmacometabolomic biomarkers for acamprosate treatment outcomes in AUD patients (40). However, the present study was designed to explore the biological function of TSPAN5—with a focus on the tryptophan metabolic pathway—by using human iPSC derived CNS-like cells (i.e. astrocytes and neurons), as well as a microglial cell line as cellular model systems to study molecular and genomic signatures for AUD as well as mechanisms underlying individual variation in response to acamprosate. We observed that acamprosate, an NMDA antagonist, appeared to have “ethanol-like effects” on the expression of genes associated with monoamine neurotransmitter biosynthesis and metabolism as shown in Figures 1d, 1g and 2a–2d. It is of interest that Krystal and colleagues have reported that “ethanol-like effects” might involve not only serotonergic and noradrenergic mechanisms but also glutamatergic mechanisms (41). For example, another NMDA antagonist, ketamine, produced ethanol-like effects in a dose-dependent fashion in detoxified AUD patients. In addition, ketamine did not increase craving for ethanol. The mechanism underlying NMDA antagonist-induced ethanol-like effects remains to be determined (42–44).

*TSPAN5* is an alcohol responsive gene that plays a role in the regulation of 5-HT and kynurenine concentrations. Both EtOH and acamprosate decreased TSPAN5 expression, ultimately leading to decreased 5-HT concentrations in cell culture medium. These molecular mechanism(s) could be multifactorial in nature as a result of acamprosate’s effects on neurotransmission, neuroinflammation and/or intracellular signaling in AUD patients. The functional genomic data from our studies of iPSC-derived CNS cells have opened a new avenue for understanding the biological role of TSPAN5 in AUD. We utilized iPSC-derived astrocytes which are expandable to perform a series of functional genomic studies, studies that required a large number of cells. Specifically, we performed gene expression profiling before and after TSPAN5 knockdown in iPSC-derived astrocytes and found that genes associated with changes in expression after the knockdown of TSPAN5 were enriched in IFN signaling pathways. We also determined the possible influence of *TSPAN5* on levels of 5-HT and kynurenine, two major tryptophan metabolites that have been implicated in a variety of neuropsychiatric disorders (18, 32, 33, 35). Unfortunately, kynurenine concentrations were below the limit of detection in iPSC-derived astrocyte or neuron culture media. These experimental data serve to re-emphasize the importance of the use of iPSC-derived CNS-like cells as tools for the study of neuropsychiatric disorders. The data shown in Figure 4 represents an example of the use of iPSC-derived CNS-like cells to generate hypotheses and to identify novel biology. Specifically, we performed gene expression profiling before and after knockdown using iPSC-derived astrocytes and found that genes related to interferon signaling pathways displayed significantly altered expression. Those results stimulated us to test a series of genes associated with interferon signaling and to perform interferon-stimulated response element reporter assays. It should be pointed out that iPSC-derived astrocytes are immunocompetent because they can respond to inflammatory stimuli and they can sustain inflammation by producing pro-inflammatory cytokines, similar to the behavior of primary astrocytes (20). However, microglia are the most prominent immune cells in the CNS. As a result, we replicated these findings using
HMC3 cells, as shown in Supplementary Figure 3. Strikingly, TSPAN5 knockout in HMC3 cells was associated with the downregulation of kynurenine and ISRE luciferase activities, results compatible with observations that we made when the cells were treated with either EtOH or acamprosate. We observed that both EtOH and acamprosate behaved in a similar fashion in this system.

It should be pointed out that the concentrations of acamprosate used in our cell culture studies were within the range of acamprosate concentrations observed in AUD patients treated with acamprosate (21). The cells were also exposed to EtOH at 5–50 mM, concentrations that are considered physiologically relevant. It is currently accepted that treatment with EtOH for 24 hours is considered as an acute exposure (28). However, the effects of alcohol vary based on the length of exposure and the EtOH concentration. As a result, molecular mechanisms related to the diverse effects of chronic and acute EtOH exposure remain unclear. For example, our results suggest that decreased TSPAN5 mRNA expression after EtOH treatment for 24 hours leads to the down-regulation of genes involved in IFN signaling pathways. However, it is well-documented that chronic EtOH exposure can severely damage multiple organs including the liver and brain through the activation of immune-related pathways including IFN signaling pathways (45, 46). As a result, acute EtOH exposure might have anti-inflammatory effects while chronic EtOH exposure could potentially switch anti-inflammatory to pro-inflammatory responses (46).

The present study used human iPSC-derived CNS-like cells to perform functional genomic studies. Obviously, iPSC-derived cell lines, like any cell lines, have limitations. For example, it should be emphasized that iPSC-derived CNS cells are “region-specific”. The present study utilized forebrain-specific glial and neural cells, cells that have been implicated in the pathophysiology of AUD (47, 48). However, future studies that include different brain regions will be required to pursue the results reported here as well as the application of co-culture systems or of iPSC-derived organoids in an attempt to mimic glial-neuronal cell communications in vitro.

In summary, the present study has highlighted the fact that TSPAN5 can regulate the two major metabolites of the tryptophan metabolic pathway as well as CNS immune responses. Specifically, genes that were modulated by TSPAN5 were enriched in IFN signaling pathways. Our data also raise the possibility that TSPAN5, which appears to play a functional role in CNS immune response, might also contribute to individualized acamprosate treatment outcomes through a novel pharmacogenomic mechanism.
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Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1.
TSPAN5 and 5-HT concentrations can be regulated by EtOH and acamprosate. (a) Tryptophan metabolic pathway in schematic outline. (b) TSPAN5 mRNA expression was down-regulated in iPSC-derived forebrain neurons (n=5) after exposure to EtOH (25 mM) for 24 hours. (c) 5-HT concentrations decreased significantly in iPSC-derived forebrain neuron culture medium in response to EtOH treatment. (d) Down-regulation of TSPAN5 expression resulted from EtOH exposure, with downstream effects on the expression of a series of genes involved in 5-HT biosynthesis and metabolism. In parallel, knockdown of TSPAN5 (e) decreased 5-HT concentrations (f), and resulted in the downregulation of genes associated with 5-HT biosynthesis and metabolism (g). Similar results were obtained when the cells were exposed to acamprosate (5uM) for 24 hours. Specifically, TSPAN5
expression, 5-HT concentration and expression of the genes displayed in panels (c,df and g) all were down-regulated in response to acamprosate treatment (h-j). Five independent human-derived-iPSC-derived neuron cell lines were used to perform these experiments. Cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of $2.5 \times 10^5$ cells per well in 2 ml culture medium. *A p value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant (two tailed paired t test). Three independent experiments were performed. All values are mean±SEM.
Figure 2.
Effects of EtOH and acamprosate on iPSC-derived astrocytes. (a) Down-regulation of TSPAN5 mRNA expression ($F_{4,30} = 24.25$, $p = 0.0007$) and (b) 5-HT concentrations ($F_{4,35} = 4.46$, $p = 0.046$) in iPSC-derived astrocyte culture medium (n=5) in the presence of EtOH (from 5 mM to 50 mM) for 24 hours. (c) Down-regulation of TSPAN5 mRNA expression ($F_{4,35} = 4.54$, $p = 0.037$) and (d) 5-HT concentrations ($F_{4,35} = 4.65$, $p = 0.031$) in iPSC-derived astrocyte culture medium (n=5) in the presence of acamprosate (0.25 μM to 10 μM) for 24 hours. (e) Knockdown of TSPAN5 (f) decreased the 5-HT concentrations in iPSC-derived astrocyte culture medium. Five independent human-derived-iPSC-derived astrocyte cell lines were used to perform these experiments. Cells were seeded in T75
culture flasks at a density of $2.5 \times 10^6$ cells. *A $p$ value $\leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Three independent experiments were performed. All values are mean±SEM.
Figure 3.
TSPAN5 regulates kynurenine concentrations. (a) Western blot analysis of TSPAN5 knockout clones for HMC3 cells. (b) Lower kynurenine concentrations ($F_{3,12} = 10.54$, $p = 0.013$) were observed in TSPAN5 knockout cells. (c-d) TSPAN5 mRNA expression was down-regulated in response to EtOH ($F_{2,21} = 5.8$, $p = 0.018$) or acamprosate treatment ($F_{2,21} = 14.87$, $p = 0.0011$) of HMC3 cells. (e) In parallel, kynurenine concentrations displayed significant decreases in the presence of EtOH ($F_{2,21} = 15.8$, $p = 0.0009$) or acamprosate ($F_{2,21} = 19.24$, $p = 0.0005$) for 24 hours. *$p \leq 0.05$, as compared to wildtype cells or no drug treatment. Three independent experiments were performed. All values are mean±SEM.
Figure 4.

*TSPAN5* expression plays a role in immune response. (a) Heat map showing expression profiles for 301 genes (FDR ≤0.05) with mRNA expression that was altered after TSPAN5 knockdown as determined by RNA-seq. Two biological replicates were performed. (b) Pathway analysis was performed using gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) software, and those data placed a focus on the interferon pathways and the immune response—highlighted in the figure. (c) mRNA expression of genes involved in the IFN signaling pathways in iPSC-derived astrocytes before or after TSPAN5 knockdown, as determined by real time PCR with five independent human iPSC-derived astrocytes. (d) Knockdown of TSPAN5 decreased ISRE luciferase activities. (e) ISRE luciferase activities could be regulated by EtOH or acamprosate ($F_{4,15} = 52.05$, $p = 0.0012$). *p ≤0.05, as compared to negative.
siRNA or vehicle treatment. Three independent experiments were performed. All values are mean±SEM.
Figure 5. 
*TSPAN5* SNPs were associated with acamprosate treatment response. (a) Kaplan-Meier curves for abstinence length until first drink during 3 months of acamprosate therapy. (b) *TSPAN5* SNPs were associated with several AUD acamprosate treatment response phenotypes. SNPs within the *TSPAN5* gene (GRCh37/hg19: chr4:99939518–99579812) were tested for association. Single SNPs were evaluated individually as predictors of time until return to alcohol consumption following acamprosate treatment, and time until return to heavy alcohol consumption using multivariable Cox proportional hazard (CPH) models. SNP association with the binary outcome of complete abstinence from any drinking during 3 months of acamprosate therapy was evaluated using multivariable logistic regression models. Models were adjusted for days sober prior to treatment, baseline Penn Alcohol Craving Scale (PACS), and study site. A total of 241 European American subjects passed quality control (QC) and completed 3 month follow up and were therefore included in the logistic outcome models. A total of 305 European American subjects had at least 1 week of follow up time and were included in the CPH analyses of abstinence length until first drink or first heavy drinking day during 3 months of acamprosate therapy. Results of the CPH
analyses are displayed using Kaplan-Meier plots, and are not adjusted for multiple testing. Analyses and plots were generated using Rstudio (version 9.4.2). The odds ratios or hazard ratio are represented as HR or OR (95% confidence interval), with a value >1 indicating worse outcome, i.e. variant genotypes for all three SNPs were associated with shorter abstinence length until first drinking or heavy drinking during 3 months of acamprosate treatment. P values <0.05 have been bolded.