Endoscope-Assisted Microneurosurgery for Neurovascular Compression Syndromes: Basic Principles, Methodology, and Technical Notes

Abstract

Background: Microscopic microvascular decompression (MVD) has a low but not negligible failure rate due to some missed conflicts, especially in case of multiple offending vessels. The reported study is aimed to assess the principles, methodology, technical notes, and effectiveness of the endoscope-assisted (EA) MVD for neurovascular compression syndromes (NVCS) in the posterior fossa. Materials and Methods: A series of 43 patients suffering from an NVCS and undergone to an EA MVD were retrospectively reviewed. Syndromes were trigeminal neuralgia in 25 cases, hemifacial spasm in nine cases, positional vertigo in six cases, glossopharyngeal neuralgia in two cases, and spasmodic torticollis in one case. In all cases, a 0°–30° specially designed endoscope was inserted into the surgical field to find/treat those conflicts missed by the microscopic exploration. Each procedure was judged in terms of the effectiveness of the adjunct of the endoscope according to a three types classification system: Type I – improvement in the visualization of the nerve’s root entry/exit zone; Type II – endoscopic detection of one or more conflicts involving the ventral aspects of the nerve and missed by the microscope; Type III – endoscope-controlled release of the neurovascular conflict otherwise difficult to treat under the only microscopic view. Results: A total of 55 conflicts were found and treated. Twenty-eight procedures were classified as Type I, nine as Type II, and six as Type III. All the patients had a full recovery from their symptoms. Conclusions: In selected cases, EA MVD offers some advantages in the detection and treatment of neurovascular conflicts in the posterior fossa.
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Introduction

Posterior fossa microvascular decompression (MVD) is the most widely accepted surgical technique for the treatment of different neurovascular compression syndromes (NVCS). Its effectiveness has been recognized both for common syndromes, as trigeminal neuralgia (TN), hemifacial spasm (HFS), and glossopharyngeal neuralgia (GPN), and for less common cranial nerve rhizopathies as disabling positional vertigo (PV) and spasmodic torticollis (ST).

Although historically based on the employment of the microscope, MVD technique has been enhanced over the years by the integration with the endoscope in the so-called endoscope-assisted (EA) microneurosurgery. Basically, EA MVD arises from a combined microscopic and endoscopic approach where the microscope provides a direct illumination and magnification of the superficial aspects of the surgical field and the endoscope allows for a clearer visualization of very deep-seated neurovascular structures. EA procedures also exploit all the advantages of the endoscope offers in “looking around the corner,” although the surgical maneuvers are however performed under a pure microscopic control. Rarely, some benefits can be obtained in the performing the release of the conflict in an endoscope-controlled mode.

The avoidance of the cerebellar retraction constitutes one of the main strengths of EA-MVD technique. EA-MVD technique appears therefore to be able to provide for some theoretical advantages in neurovascular compression rhizopathies. The present study aims to review the basic principles, methodology, and technical
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notes of EA MVD, as well as its usefulness, reliability, and feasibility in the treatment of several types of neurovascular conflicts causing NVCS in the posterior fossa.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patient population**

The charts, clinical notes, and videos of a 10-year consecutive series of 43 patients (23 males and 20 females; age ranging between 22 and 77 years [mean 57]) undergone to an MVD because of an NVCS were retrospectively reviewed. Patients who harbored a hybrid NVCS involving more than a single cranial nerve were excluded from the review. According to the classification scheme proposed by Burchiel\cite{27,28} TN was classified in Type I, shock-like pain, and Type II, constant pain.

Preoperatively, all the patients were undergone to T1–T2 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), three-dimensional (3D) constructive interference in steady-state MRI, and time-of-flight MR angiography to study the course of the cranial nerve and to identify the neurovascular conflict. All the procedures were performed by the same surgeon (RJG).

**Technical notes of endoscope-assisted microvascular decompression**

The retrosigmoid approach was performed always with the patient in a modified park-bench position. A tailored navigation-guided craniotomy, ranging between 20 mm and 25 mm in diameter, was sufficient in all cases apart from those conflicts involving the vertebral artery, where a more generous bony removal was necessary to achieve a full mobilization of the offending artery. In HFSs, intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring of the facial nerve, consisting in a free-running electromyography, was conducted.

A generous cerebrospinal fluid release under microscopic view was paramount to avoid cerebellar retraction and mechanical stress to the cranial nerves in their cisternal segment. No rigid retractors were used. Dynamic retraction technique, by means of suction tube, cottonoids, and bipolar were performed to obtain the retraction of the cerebellar hemisphere and to access to the cerebellopontine angle (CPA) and adjacent areas. After the first microscopic inspection and microneurolysis of the arachnoid bands around the target nerve, the endoscope was introduced into the operative field under microscopic control to avoid contact injuries to the neurovascular structures. The visualization of the neurovascular conflict and the surgical maneuvers were executed under a simultaneous microscopic-endoscopic view.

Two types of endoscopes were employed: A 0° straight-forward telescope and a 30° forward-oblique telescope with a downward or upward view direction (Karl Storz GmbH and Co. KG, Tuttingen, Germany, Hopkins Galzio Endoscope). Outer diameter was 2.7 mm and working length 15 cm for both endoscopes. Endoscopes had a 45° angled eyepiece aimed to avoid the encroachment with the line of sight of the microscope [Figure 1]. Light power of the endoscope never exceeded 15% to avoid thermal injuries to the nerves. Microscope’s light source offered the background lighting of the surgical field. Microscopic and endoscopic images were simultaneously viewed picture-in-picture on a 7-inch high-resolution liquid crystal display (LCD) monitor mounted on the microscope’s headpiece [Figure 2]. A 21-inch LCD external monitor of the endoscope offered a further source of view. The smaller LCD monitor attached to the microscope allowed to alternate microscopic and endoscopic view by means of a simple gaze movement [Figure 3]. The 0° and 30° endoscopes were used sequentially in all cases to achieve a circumferential 360° inspection of the entire length of the nerve also in those cases where at least one conflict had already been found through the microscopic exploration. In selected cases, the endoscope was fixed to a dedicated mechanical holder attached to the operative table and the surgical maneuvers were performed under a simultaneous microscopic-endoscopic view. Seldom and in selected cases, MVD was performed solely under the endoscopic control (endoscope-controlled MVD). A small graft of autologous muscle between the offending artery and the involved nerve was used in all cases to release the conflict.

**The assessment of the usefulness of endoscope-assisted microvascular decompression**

Each procedure was judged in terms of the usefulness of the adjunct of the endoscope according to a three types classification system: Type I – improvement in the...
visualization of the nerve’s root entry/exit zone (REZ); Type II – endoscopic detection of one or more conflicts involving the ventral aspects of the nerve and missed by the microscope; Type III – endoscope-controlled release of the neurovascular conflict otherwise difficult to treat under the pure microscopic view [Table 1]. The criterion of objectivity in the evaluation of the usefulness of endoscopic use consisted in the assessment of the number of treated cases, in which at the end of the microscopic inspection and after it has not allowed the finding of adequate treatment of the conflict, the addition of the endoscope was essential in achieving the primary goal of the surgery. 

Basically, Type I was assigned to those procedures where the endoscope allowed only a better understanding of the local anatomy, but where, however, the adjunct of the endoscope was not essential. Type II procedures were those where the endoscope permitted the identification of one or more conflicts that certainly or most probably would have been missed, by means of the microscopic exploration alone, because hidden or deep-seated. In these cases, MVD was performed under a microscopic view, the endoscope being only a tool through which to reach an exhaustive inspection of the nerve in its entire length and circumference. Type III was reserved to the endoscope-controlled procedures, in which MVD would never had been satisfactory or complete if not performed under a pure endoscopic view. Type II and III procedures were those where the employment of the endoscope was objectively essential to achieve the MVD.

### Results

Twenty-five patients were diagnosed with a TN, nine patients with an HFS, six patients with a disabling PV, two patients with a GPN, and one patient with an ST [Graph 1]. Fifty-five conflicts in 43 patients were found and released. Forty-three conflicts were single (78%), whereas 12 were multiple (22%) sustained by more than a single offending vessel [Graph 2]. Twenty-two patients suffered from a Type I TN, whereas three patients had a Type II neuralgia. None of the patients with TN underwent other surgical treatments before MVD. Among HFSs, seven patients showed with a typical presentation with initial twitching starting in the orbicularis muscle and gradually progressing caudally, and two had an atypical pattern of onset consisting in an initial twitching starting in the buccal muscles and going rostrally [Table 2].

Anterior inferior cerebellar artery (AICA) was involved in 24 cases, superior cerebellar artery (SCA) in 21 cases, posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA) in five cases, and vertebral artery in two cases. In three cases, the conflict was venous by an ectatic Dandy’s vein (DV) causing a TN [Graph 3]. In no cases, the superior petrosal vein was sacrificed. All the patients suffering from TN experienced a complete recovery from their symptoms without (22 cases) or with (3 cases) medication. A complete resolution of the twitching was observed in all cases of HFS. An excellent outcome, characterized by an early pain relief, was achieved in all GPN. The same early recovery occurred in PVs. The unique case of ST had a residual mechanical impairment.

Two patients suffered by a cerebrospinal fluid leak as a complication of surgery. Both cases were treated successfully by means of a lumbar drain placed on the second postoperative day and maintained for 3 days in the first case and 5 days in the second patient.

Twenty-eight procedures (65%) were classified as Grade I, 9 (21%) as Grade II, and 6 as Grade III (14%) [Graph 4].

---

**Table 1: Classification system for the evaluation of the effectiveness of the adjunct of the endoscope to the microscopic microvascular decompression**

| Type   | Improvement in the visualization of the nerve at REZ | Effectiveness of the endoscope assistance to the microscopic MVD | Endoscope-controlled release of the conflict otherwise difficult to treat under the microscopic view |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Type I | Yes                                                  | No                                                              | No                                                                                                |
| Type II| Yes                                                  | Yes                                                             | No                                                                                                |
| Type III| Yes                                                 | Yes                                                             | Yes                                                                                                |

MVD – Microvascular decompression; REZ – Nerve’s root entry/exit zone
Illustrative cases

Case 1

A 57-year-old male diagnosed with a Type I TN due to a double neurovascular conflict involving the left trigeminal nerve [Figure 4]. Microscopic exploration allowed to detect the first conflict by an extremely tortuous AICA at the inferior aspect of the trigeminal nerve and a second conflict by SCA at the upper aspect of the nerve. Both conflicts were easily released under microscopic view, but after the upward transposition of SCA, the endoscopic assistance allowed to immediately appreciate a further hidden conflict by a duplication of SCA at REZ. In the present case, the endoscopic assistance was also useful to assess the adequacy of MVD. The procedure was classified as Type II.

Case 2

A 48-year-old female diagnosed with a severe, typical, right HFS. Intraoperatively, the microscopic exploration allowed only a very limited view of the right facial nerve at REZ, in the absence of a rigid retraction and the conflict caused by the high-riding PICA was only supposed. Endoscopic exploration with a 30° endoscope highlighted...
clearly the encroachment of the facial nerve at REZ by the cranial loop of PICA, leading to avoid completely any rigid retraction of the cerebellar hemisphere [Figure 5]. Note that the light output of the endoscope was set at a 5% of the maximum power. Ultimately, the detection of the neurovascular conflict was endoscopic. The procedure was classified as Type II.

Discussion

MVD is a well-established and effective treatment for many cranial nerves rhizopathies. According to the historical and widely confirmed theory proposed by Jannetta, it does exist at least one conflict underlying each TN.[5,6,29] The same concept also applies for other NVCS within the posterior fossa. Based on these evidence, the identification and release of all the putative neurovascular conflicts at the base of each syndrome is paramount to achieve the best patient’s outcome. In large series, the failure rate related to the conventional MVD for TN ranges between 12% and 34%. [2,3,30] We speculate that most of these cases, ultimately resulting in a poor outcome, are due to missing conflicts, especially at REZ. Although MVD is classically executed under microscopic view, many works have demonstrated an additional accuracy up to 80% of the endoscope-assistance of MVD.[15,16,21,22,31-36] It seems to be particularly useful for less common compression syndromes as disabling PV and GPN. In 1993, Perneczky popularizes the use of the endoscope in neurosurgery by introducing the concept of “minimally invasive key-hole approach”[24,25] and in 1994, Magnan first reported a case of HFS treated with a combined microscopic-endoscopic approach.[15,16] In 2002, Jarrahy et al. reported the first case of a fully endoscopic MVD in a TN case who had an excellent outcome.[21] The personal authors’ experience proved that, in selected cases, EA MVD is an extremely useful and reliable technique to identify and manage the neurovascular conflicts, especially if multiple because sustained by more than a single offending vessel. Often, these vessels are duplicated or fenestrated. EA MVD is very effective also in assessing the adequacy of decompression. Based on the reported classification system, 9 procedures out of 43 (21%) were classified as Type II and 6 (14%) as Type III. It means that in a 35% of the treated cases, the adjunct of the endoscope to the classic microscopic MVD was very useful to detect or even to treat the conflict in the posterior fossa. Furthermore, 21% of the overall number of conflicts probably would have been even missed without endoscopic inspection. The line of sight of the microscope consists in a 270° view limited to the superior, posterior, and inferior aspect of the nerve; moreover, difficult to achieve at REZ if not in the presence of an unattractive cerebellar retraction. On the other hand, as widely proven and reported in literature, the compression by the offending vessel can occur anywhere around the circumference and anywhere along the length of the nerve.[26,27] The adjunct of the 0° and 30° endoscopic view contributes to overcome some limits of the pure microscopic view, ultimately transforming the 270° view of the microscope into a 360° view around the whole circumference of the nerve. Furthermore, the endoscope allows for an easier visualization of the cranial nerves at REZ, where classically both arteries and veins can create the conflict.[32,38] All
these aspects imply that EA MVD offers the advantages of a lesser or no need for cerebellar retraction, which is associated in turn with the most serious morbidities as cerebellar hemorrhage, infarction, swelling, and hearing loss.\[1,2,26,30,36,39\] Far from least, the keyhole concept of Perneczky is applicable to MVD also. The endoscope allows to reach very easily all the areas of the surgical field in depth, regardless of the size of the craniotomy. Indeed, in the authors’ experience, the diameter of the retrosigmoid craniotomy has been continuously reduced up to no ≥25 mm over the years. Some potential risks of mechanical or thermal injury to the cranial nerves or other critical neurovascular structures have been associated with the use of the endoscope.\[30,32,36\] With the aim to decrease the risk of mechanical injury, in the authors’ technical note, the endoscope is introduced and shifted into the operative field always under a direct microscopic view and coaxially with the line of sight of the microscope. The combined microscopic-endoscopic view, exploiting the background illumination of the microscope’s light beam, allows to set at a very low output the light intensity of the endoscope, thus limiting the risk of thermal injury also. Most of the authors have emphasized the need for a dedicated instrumentation for EA MVD.\[22,23,30,32,36,37,40\] Since 2002, a dedicated system of endoscopes and mechanical holders, designed by the senior author (RJG), has been introduced in our institution. One of the most common problems of EA procedures is the partial obstruction of the microscopic view caused by the camera head. This problem, strictly related to the use of conventional endoscopes, is particularly evident during the rotation of the instrument aimed to obtain different visual perspectives. To avoid this limitation of the surgical view, the authors have developed a specific type of endoscope with an eyepiece angled at 45°, so that the camera head remains out of the surgical field [Figure 1]. Furthermore, the 45° angled design of the eyepiece resulted very ergonomic during surgery, ultimately allowing for a quick and effective adjustment of the endoscope according to the needs of the surgeon. The system also includes a mechanical holder which allows for a precise and nontraumatic fixation of the endoscope to the operative table [Figure 6]. A further problem raised by different authors concerns the difficulty in sharing microscopic and endoscopic view.\[30,32,36\] As reported in the present technical note, this problem can be partially overcome thanks to the screen above the microscope. In fact, a simple gaze upward movement by the surgeon can allow to obtain easily a combined microscopic-endoscopic view [Figure 3]. Furthermore, while the microscope offers a 3D view giving a wider sense of depth, the endoscope allows a two-dimensional view limited in depth. With the progressive implementation and spread of 3D endoscopes, this discomfort will be further minimized. Although ultimately performed under a pure endoscopic view, endoscope-controlled procedures and fully endoscopic procedures are however quite different. Fully-endoscopic MVD involves that the endoscope is introduced through minimal “key-hole” approaches, which are even smaller than the retrosigmoid minicraniotomy performed in the present series, light source has to be set at medium/high output power, and the instrument must be held by the assistant surgeon or by a holder. Some authors reported the successes of a fully endoscopic MVD for NVCS in the CPA.\[12,15,16,22,29,30,40\] We believe that, for the aforementioned risks of mechanical and thermal injuries, the fully endoscopic MVD may be dangerous, beyond difficult in some cases, especially because the endoscopic
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Figure 5: Illustrative case 2. 48-year-old female diagnosed with a right hemifacial spasm. Microscopic exploration allowed a limited view of facial nerve at root entry/exit zone (a) Endoscopic exploration with a 30° endoscope showed the encroachment of the facial nerve at REZ by the cranial loop of posterior-inferior cerebellar artery. (b and c) Rigid retraction of the cerebellar hemisphere was completely avoided. Endoscope-assisted microvascular decompression of the conflict. (d-f) The procedure was classified as Type II. FN – facial nerve
light beam power causes an excessive local heating when used at medium-to-high output.\cite{1,30,32,36}

Unfortunately, despite the large improvement of the modern neuroimaging techniques, it is still difficult, in the preoperative planning, to anticipate exactly where the main conflict responsible for the symptoms will be found around the nerve. It follows that there are no strict preoperative selection criteria for EA MVD, apart from those cases of multiple symptoms (e.g., symptoms attributable to the involvement of more than a single trigeminal division). According to their personal experience, the authors suggest to perform EA MVD in all cases where, intraoperatively, the conflict results apparently absent or not clear by means of the conventional microscopic exploration which, as general rule, must always involve the entire length of the nerve from the REZ to the distal cisternal part. Furthermore, the endoscopic inspection is advised in the presence of anatomic variations of the offending vessels (e.g., duplicated SCA).

Rather than a novel surgical technique, EA MVD has to be considered a technical variation of the conventional microscopic MVD where some not negligible advantages of the endoscopic view are exploited to maximize the effectiveness of the standard and well-established microscopic technique. EA MVD decreases the need for a rigid cerebellar retraction and diminishes the false negatives of the technique due to the missed conflicts.

**Conclusion**

EA-MVD technique appears therefore to be able to provide for some theoretical advantages in neurovascular compression rhizopathies.
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