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AIM
To evaluate the occurrence of resistant mutations in treatment-naïve hepatitis C virus (HCV) sequences deposited in the European hepatitis C virus database (euHCVdb).

METHODS
The sequences were downloaded from the.euHCVdb (https://euhcvdb.ibcp.fr/euHCVdb/). The search was performed for full-length NS3 protease, NS5A and NS5B polymerase sequences of HCV, separated by genotypes 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b and 3a, and resulted in 798 NS3, 708 NS5A and 535 NS5B sequences from HCV genotypes...
INTRODUCTION

Chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection affects around 180 million people worldwide and is a significant cause of liver-related morbidity and mortality[1] until recently. Interferon-α in combination with Ribavirin was the mainstream treatment regimen but eligibility and safety of the interferon-based therapies were low, and consequently the overall effectiveness of the treatment was very limited. Fortunately, the development of new direct-acting antiviral (DAA) drugs against HCV has progressed significantly and resulted in oral interferon-free therapies[2].

The three main therapeutic targets for HCV infection are the NS3/4A protease, the NSSB polymerase, and the NSSA replication complex. The first series of interferon-free regimens, including combinations of simprevir (SMV), sofosbuvir (SOF), paritaprevir, daclatasvir, ledipasvir (LDV), ombitasvir (OMV), dasabuvir (DSV), grazoprevir (GZR) and elbasvir have already been approved and recommended by the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) and by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD)[3,4].

HCV variants infecting the human population show extreme genetic diversity, which is partly explained by the long evolutionary association between the virus and its human host. HCV exists in the host as a swarm of related quasispecies. This diversity is a result of the error-prone viral polymerase combined with rapid viral replication, which, in turn, enables the virus to rapidly overcome the host immune responses and to become resistant to antiviral drugs[5]. The selection of resistance-associated amino-acid variants (RAV) from HCV quasispecies is dependent on drug-, host- and virus-related factors. The potency of the drug itself is primarily influenced by viral susceptibility, by previous exposure to the drug and by the genetic barrier to resistance. The ability of a RAV to persist and induce treatment failure (relapse, non-response or viral breakthrough) is related to its fitness or its replication capacity as compared to the wild-type virus[6,7].

Resistance to DAAs is driven by the selection of mutations at different positions in the NS3 protease, NS5B polymerase and NS5A protein[8,9]. Each compound or drug family induces a specific mutation profile that may be characteristic of the viral genotype/subtype. Furthermore, each class of DAA is characterized by a difference in the genetic barrier to resistance. Even though the specific resistance mutation for each individual agent in the drug class differs, there is a

---

RESULTS

The Q80K variant in the NS3 gene was the most prevalent mutation, being found in 44.66% of subtype 1a and 0.25% of subtype 1b. Other frequent mutations observed in more than 2% of the NS3 sequences were: I170V (3.21%) in genotype 1a, and Y56F (15.93%), V132I (23.28%) and I170V (65.20%) in genotype 1b. For the NSSA, 2.21% of the genotype 1a sequences have the P58S mutation, 5.95% of genotype 1b sequences have the R30Q mutation, 15.79% of subtypes 2a sequences have the Q80K mutation, 23.08% of subtype 2b sequences have a L31M mutation, and in subtype 3a sequences, 23.08% have the M31L resistant variants. For the NSSB, the V321L RAV was identified in 0.60% of genotype 1a and in 0.32% of genotype 1b sequences, and the N142T variant was observed in 0.32% of subtype 3a sequences. The C316Y, S556G, D559N RAV were identified in 0.33%, 7.82% and 0.32% of genotype 1b sequences, respectively, and were not observed in other genotypes.

CONCLUSION

HCV mutants resistant to DAAs are found in low frequency, nevertheless they could be selected and therapy could fail due resistance substitutions in HCV genome.
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great concern about the possibility of cross-resistance between compounds in the same inhibitor class, especially for the NS3 protease and NS5A inhibitors[10].

The ability to detect RAV depends primarily on the different types of the sequencing technologies used, including population-based sequencing, clonal sequencing and deep sequencing. The sensitivities for detection by these three approaches were reported to be approximately 25%, 5% and 0.5%, respectively, and the presence of viral mutants below the detection levels might be missed[11]. For HCV the frequency of routine testing of drug resistance prior to the use of the new treatment regimens is not known. Some guidelines[11] suggest that routine monitoring for HCV drug resistance-associated variants during therapy should not be recommended and there is no consensus on the utility of pre-treatment resistance testing.

Currently, there is a large number of HCV sequences available on public databases, however they have not been analyzed to correlate HCV genotypes and viral genomic characteristics with drug resistance phenotypes[11]. Three HCV databases are currently available to provide insight into the basic biology, immunology, and evolution of the virus: the Japanese database (http://s2as02.genes.nig.ac.jp), the European database (http://euhcvdb.ibcp.fr) and the American database (Los Alamos National Laboratory) (http://hcv.lanl.gov).

The objective of this study is to evaluate the occurrence of polymorphisms and resistant mutations in the NS3, NS5A and NS5B regions in treatment-naïve HCV sequences deposited in the European hepatitis C virus database (euHCVdb). This analysis will provide insights into the levels of circulating drug resistance, which may affect the success of the therapeutic regimens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

HCV database
The sequences were downloaded from the euHCVdb (https://euhcvdb.ibcp.fr/euHCVdb/). This bank provides important data about the HCV sequences (e.g. genotype, genomic region, viral proteins and their functions, known 3-dimensional structures) and ensures consistency of the annotations, which enables reliable keyword queries. Users can extract subsets of sequences obtained by Sanger sequencing matching particular criteria or enter their own sequences and analyze them with various bioinformatics programs available on the same server. The euHCVdb is mainly oriented towards protein sequence, structure and function analyses and structural biology of HCV, and is re-built every month from an up-to-date database by an automated process[12].

The search was performed for full-length NS3 protease, NS5A and NS5B polymerase sequences of HCV separated by genotypes 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b and 3a. These subtypes were chosen due to their worldwide prevalence and presence in drug trials, specifically genotype 1 with protease inhibitors (PI) and genotype 3 with polymerase inhibitor. Reference strains for the three genotypes were obtained (1a: AF009606, 1b: D90208, 2a: D00944, 2b: D10988 and 3a: D17763). Sequences containing missing data, such as gaps and sequencing errors, and sequences from patients previously treated with DAA were excluded from the analysis. To ensure the quality of the analysis, sequences with stop codons in the NS5B gene or with ambiguities consisting of more than 2 bases per nucleotide position or more than 2 ambiguities per codon at individual drug resistance-associated position were also excluded.

Alignment and edition of the sequences
The sequence alignment was performed with MEGA 6.06 MAC[13] followed by sequence editing, exclusion of sequences with missing data, and translation of the nucleic acids sequences into amino acids. The resulting protein sequences were then analyzed using Bioedit 7.2.5. to identify mutations associated with resistance[14].

Analysis of natural polymorphisms
Known mutations associated with resistance to protease-, NS5A complex- and polymerase-inhibitors were used to search for polymorphism patterns among HCV genotypes[15]. Only positions that have been described as being associated with failure in treatment in in vivo studies, and/or as conferring a more than 2-fold change in replication in comparison to the wildtype reference strain in in vitro phenotypic assays were included in the analysis.

RESULTS

Database search
The search resulted in 831 NS3, 869 NS5A and 6,065 NS5B sequences from HCV genotypes 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b and 3a. After the exclusion of incomplete sequences and those containing errors and/or gaps, and from patients previously treated with DAA, 798 sequences were included in the NS3 dataset. There were 313 from genotype 1a, 412 from genotype 1b, 19 from genotype 2a, 26 from genotype 2b and 28 from genotype 3a. There were 699 sequences identified in the NS5A dataset, with 272 from genotype 1a, 353 from genotype 1b, 19 from genotype 2a, 26 from genotype 2b and 29 from genotype 3a. For the NS5B polymerase there were 533 HCV sequences: 165 from genotypes 1a, 307 from genotype 1b, 19 from genotype 2a, 24 from genotype 2b and 20 from genotype 3a. Notably, the NS5B region has more than 5300 incomplete sequences deposited into this databank.

Mutation analyses
Mutation analyses were performed for positions
where resistance-associated amino acid substitutions have been described in the literature for conferring resistance to DAA. Amino acid substitutions related to HCV resistance to DAA are described below.

**Frequency of resistance-associated variants**

**NS3/4A PI (Table 1):** The available PI are more effective against HCV genotype 1 than to other genotypes due to natural polymorphisms in the NS3 region of the latter, therefore they are only used in the treatment of patients carrying HCV genotype 1. Thus, our analysis discusses mainly the findings for the genotype 1 dataset; nevertheless, the results for the other genotypes are shown in Table 1. The Q80K variant was the most prevalent mutation, found in 44.66% of the subtype 1a, and in 0.25% of subtype 1b sequences; the variant V80L was also observed in 6.39% of the latter. Other positions with frequencies higher than 2% were 1170V (3.21%) in genotype 1a, and Y56F (15.93%), V132I (23.28%) and 1170V (65.2%) in genotype 1b.

The V36L and V36M RAVs were identified in 1.6% and 0.32% of genotype 1a sequences, respectively, and in 0.74% and 0% of genotype 1b, respectively. The T54S variant was observed in 0.97% of genotype 1a and in 0.5% of genotype 1b sequences. The R155K variant was observed in 0.64% of genotype 1a sequences and was not observed in genotype 1b. There were two genotype 1b sequences (0.5%) with P substitution at position 155. Finally, no RAV substitutions were found in both subtypes 1a and 1b. The A421V mutation occurred in 9.64% of the 535 NS5B sequences analyzed. The M414T and Y448H RAVs were not found in any of the sequences; there has been no reported evidence for these in our dataset. Mutations L23M (0.37%), M28T (0.75%), Q30H (1.47%), Q30R (0.37%), L31M (1.12%), P58S (2.21%) and Y93C (0.37%) were observed, whereas no variants were observed at NS5A position 32. Of the 353 subtype 1b sequences analyzed, 0.28% had the L23I mutation, 2.27% had L28M mutation, 5.95% had R30Q mutation, 3.40% had M31L mutation, 3.68% had P58S mutation, and 4.25% had the Y93H mutation. Of 19 subtype 2a sequences analyzed, one (5.26%) sequence had the Q30R mutation, 3 (15.79%) sequences had the M31L mutation, and one (5.26%) sequence had the H58P mutation. For subtype 2b a total of 26 sequences were analyzed, 6 (23.08%) with the L31M and one (3.85%) with the S58P mutation. In subtype 3a, for which 28 sequences were analyzed, the resistant variants M28I, A30L and P58R were found, each in a different sequence (3.57%) of the dataset. Only M31L was found in more than one sequence (23.08%) for this subtype. No mutation was found in the NS5A sequence at position 32 of any subtype.

**NS5B polymerase inhibitors (Table 3):** The NS5B S96T, C223H/Y, and S282T variants were not observed in any sequence in the present study, and the NS5B N142T variant was observed in 0.32% of the subtype 1b sequences. The V321L RAV was identified in 0.6% of genotype 1a sequences and in 0.32% of genotype 1b sequences.

The C316Y, S556G, and D559N RAVs were identified in 0.33%, 7.82% and 0.32% of genotype 1b sequences, respectively, and were not observed in other genotypes. The M414T and Y448H RAVs were not found in any of the 535 NS5B sequences analyzed.

Variants at NS5B positions 495 and 496 known to confer resistance polymerase inhibitors were not observed; on the other hand, the NS5B A421V and V499A substitutions were found in both subtypes 1a and 1b. The A421V mutation occurred in 9.64% of subtype 1a and in 4.55% of subtype 1b sequences. The V499A variant was the dominant amino acid substitution in subtype 1a sequences (95.15%), while for subtype 1b it was observed in only 9.74% of the sequences; there has been no reported evidence for negative clinical impact of the V499A.

### Table 1 Main amino acid substitutions found in the hepatitis C virus NS3 protease

| Position | wt | Variants | wt | Variants | wt | Variants | wt | Variants |
|----------|----|----------|----|----------|----|----------|----|----------|
| 36       | V (98.08) | L (1.6) | M (0.32) | L (0.74) | I (0.25) | V (99.01) | L (100) | - |
| 80       | Q (54.37) | K (44.66) | R (0.97) | Q (93.37) | K (0.25) | L (6.39) | G (100) | - |
| 155      | R (99.36) | K (0.64) | - | R (99.50) | P (0.50) | - | R (100) | - |
| 156      | A (100) | - | A (100) | - | A (100) | - | A (100) | - |
| 168      | D (99.36) | E (0.32) | G (0.32) | D (98.77) | A (0.25) | E (0.98) | D (100) | - |

Amino acids in bold are associated with resistance. wt: Wild-type; HCV: Hepatitis C virus.
The resistance to direct antiviral therapy has been a major problem in a number of chronic viral infections. While much attention has been given to studies about HIV infection and resistance to antiviral therapy [16], the extent of mutations in the development of drug resistance in infection by HCV is less studied. The presence of HCV mutations is mainly due to factors such as selection pressure, error-prone replication (because of RNA polymerase’s poor fidelity) and the high replication capacity of the virus. It is believed that any mutant can be generated continuously in a HCV-infected patient [17]. Hence, selected variants are considered to be pre-existent mutants generated during the natural HCV life cycle. The incidence of resistant variants is variable and depends on the binding domain, as well as on the different HCV populations, genotypes and subtypes.

With the exception of NS5B nucleoside analogues, the current DAAs target the NS3, as well as the allosteric sites of NS5B and NS5A, which all have a low threshold of resistance [10,18]. Data from both replicon analysis and from clinical trials have consistently identified viral mutations that can be associated with antiviral treatment failure [19]. A recently published analysis found that 58.7% of the HCV sequences deposited in GenBank harbored at least one dominant resistance variant [20]. In the present study, the overall prevalence of patients with variants resistant to DAAs was found to be low. The frequency of variants resistant to NS5A-inhibitors ranged from 0% to 4.25%. Variants resistant to polymerase-inhibitors were observed mainly in genotype 1b and occurred in up to 7.82% (palm site), 2.41% (thumb site), and 9.74% (finger-loop site) of the sequences. These data corroborate other studies reported in the literature [15,21], and a comparison with an analysis performed in Los Alamos databank [11] showed similar results (Table 4).

Pre-existing dominant resistance mutations in the NS3 region are more common in treatment-naïve patients infected with genotype 1a (cumulative incidence 8.6% vs 1.4%) [22]. Within NS3, the resistant Q80K mutation, which is based on available data only relevant for SMV and ASV, was the most prevalent (44.66% genotype 1a, 0.25% genotype 1b) and this result corroborates the recent findings of Pol et al [5,23] with European patients where, Q80K was observed in 34.7% and 2.1% of subtype 1a and 1b patients, respectively. The mutation I170V, present in 3.21% of genotype 1a and 65.20% of the genotypes 1b sequences analyzed, has been reported as not showing any influence on protease inhibitor activity [24]. Therefore, considering the actual recommendations in EASL and AASLD guidelines, up to 45% of patients with genotypes 1 have resistance mutations that can lead to treatment failure using PI.

The prevalence of resistant variants in the context of the NS5A inhibitors is highly dependent on viral subtype due to several positions having different baseline amino acids in each subtype [18]. Resistance against DVC, OMV, LDV is more common in genotype 1b (up to 4.25% of the sequences), but it can also occur in genotype 1a in less than 1.5% of the sequences. Furthermore, a broad cross-resistance between NS5A inhibitors is expected by the selection of mutations at codons 31 and/or 93 causing a loss in susceptibility to the majority of these compounds [24]. Other researchers also determined Y93H as most frequent baseline NS5A RAV in genotype 1b (6%–23%), followed by L31M (3%–4%) [24,25], whereas NS5A RAVs occurred at low frequencies in genotype 1a. Across the HCV genotypes, variation is observed at several of the residues identified as important sites for resistance, and substitutions M28L, Q30R, H58P that were found in genotype 1b; M28F, Q30K, L31M, H58P in genotype 2a; M28L, Q30K, L31M, H58P in genotype 2b and Q30A in genotype 3a could be defined as natural polymorphisms that distinguish those genotypes from 1a.

### Table 2 Main amino acid substitutions found in the hepatitis C virus NS5A protease

| Amino acid (frequency %) | Position | HCV genotype |
|--------------------------|----------|--------------|
|                         | wt       | 1a           | 1b           | 2a           | 2b           | 3a           |
| 28                       | M        | 94.38        | 0.37         | T            | 0.75         | V            | 4.49         | L            | 97.17        | 2.27         | V            | 0.52         |
| 30                       | Q        | 98.36        | 1.47         | R            | 0.37         | R            | 92.35        | K            | 1.13         | L/M          | 0.28         | Q            | 5.95         | K            | 94.74        | R            | 5.26         | K            | 100          | M            | 96.46        | 1.37         |
| 31                       | L        | 98.88        | 1.12         | L            | 96.03        | M            | 3.40         | I            | 0.57         | M            | 84.21        | L            | 15.79        | M            | 76.92        | L            | 23.08        | L            | 100          |
| 93                       | Y        | 98.90        | 0.37         | H            | 0.74         | Y            | 95.75        | H            | 4.25         | Y            | 100          | Y            | 100          | Y            | 100          |

Amino acids in bold are associated with resistance. wt: Wild-type; HCV: Hepatitis C virus.

**DISCUSSION**

The resistance to direct antiviral therapy has been a major problem in a number of chronic viral infections. While much attention has been given to studies about HIV infection and resistance to antiviral therapy [26], the extent of mutations in the development of drug resistance in infection by HCV is less studied. The presence of HCV mutations is mainly due to factors such as selection pressure, error-prone replication (because of RNA polymerase’s poor fidelity) and the high replication capacity of the virus. It is believed that any mutant can be generated continuously in a HCV-infected patient [27]. Hence, selected variants are considered to be pre-existent mutants generated during the natural HCV life cycle. The incidence of resistant variants is variable and depends on the binding domain, as well as on the different HCV populations, genotypes and subtypes.

With the exception of NS5B nucleoside analogues, the current DAAs target the NS3, as well as the allosteric sites of NS5B and NS5A, which all have a low threshold of resistance [10,18]. Data from both replicon analysis and from clinical trials have consistently identified viral mutations that can be associated with antiviral treatment failure [19]. A recently published analysis found that 58.7% of the HCV sequences deposited in GenBank harbored at least one dominant resistance variant [20]. In the present study, the overall prevalence of patients with variants resistant to DAAs was found to be low.

The frequency of variants resistant to NS5A-inhibitors ranged from 0% to 4.25%. Variants resistant to polymerase-inhibitors were observed mainly in genotype 1b and occurred in up to 7.82% (palm site), 2.41% (thumb site), and 9.74% (finger-loop site) of the sequences. These data corroborate other studies reported in the literature [15,21], and a comparison with an analysis performed in Los Alamos databank [21] showed similar results (Table 4).

Pre-existing dominant resistance mutations in the NS3 region are more common in treatment-naïve patients infected with genotype 1a (cumulative incidence 8.6% vs 1.4%) [22]. Within NS3, the resistant Q80K mutation, which is based on available data only relevant for SMV and ASV, was the most prevalent (44.66% genotype 1a, 0.25% genotype 1b) and this result corroborates the recent findings of Pol et al [5,23] with European patients where, Q80K was observed in 34.7% and 2.1% of subtype 1a and 1b patients, respectively. The mutation I170V, present in 3.21% of genotype 1a and 65.20% of the genotypes 1b sequences analyzed, has been reported as not showing any influence on protease inhibitor activity [24]. Therefore, considering the actual recommendations in EASL and AASLD guidelines, up to 45% of patients with genotypes 1 have resistance mutations that can lead to treatment failure using PI.

The prevalence of resistant variants in the context of the NS5A inhibitors is highly dependent on viral subtype due to several positions having different baseline amino acids in each subtype [18]. Resistance against DVC, OMV, LDV is more common in genotype 1b (up to 4.25% of the sequences), but it can also occur in genotype 1a in less than 1.5% of the sequences. Furthermore, a broad cross-resistance between NS5A inhibitors is expected by the selection of mutations at codons 31 and/or 93 causing a loss in susceptibility to the majority of these compounds [24]. Other researchers also determined Y93H as most frequent baseline NS5A RAV in genotype 1b (6%–23%), followed by L31M (3%–4%) [24,25], whereas NS5A RAVs occurred at low frequencies in genotype 1a. Across the HCV genotypes, variation is observed at several of the residues identified as important sites for resistance, and substitutions M28L, Q30R, H58P that were found in genotype 1b; M28F, Q30K, L31M, H58P in genotype 2a; M28L, Q30K, L31M, H58P in genotype 2b and Q30A in genotype 3a could be defined as natural polymorphisms that distinguish those genotypes from 1a.
In contrast to NS3 PI, NS5B-non-nucleoside-inhibitors and NS5A-inhibitors where resistance mutations are subtype-dependent, little is known about NS5B nucleos(t)ide analogs genotype- and subtype-dependent resistance mutations. Several nucleotide analogs have shown very promising results and SOF is the first DAA in this drug class to gain regulatory approval[9,26], followed by DSV. In the present analysis, NS5B RAV were not detected in genotype 1a, whereas in genotype 1b, NS5B RAV were found in more than one third of the individuals (C316N in 37.02% and S556G in 7.82%) conferring low to medium resistance to DSV. In mixed cohorts consisting of American and European patients, while the S556G mutation was observed in frequencies of 0.5%-16%, the C316N RAVs occurred in frequencies lower than that observed in this study (11%-18%), at baseline in genotype 1b samples[15].

The S282T is the in vitro signature resistance mutation that conveys decreased susceptibility to SOF in the replicon system. Although the S282T substitution requires only a single nucleotide change, this variant was not found in any of the NS5B sequences analyzed in this study, neither in a previous study based on sequences from the Los Alamos databank[11]; in a study that analyzed 1459 HCV sequences from GenBank, this mutation was found in only one sequence[20].

### Table 3 Main amino acid substitutions found in the hepatitis C virus NS5A protease

| Position | HCV genotype | 1a | wt | 1b | wt | 2a | wt | 2b | wt | 3a | wt |
|----------|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 282      | S            | 99.40 | R | 0.60 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 316      | C            | N | 37.02 | C | 62.34 | R/Y | 0.32 | C | C | C | C |
| 556      | S            | N | 89.24 | D | 0.98 | G | 7.82 | N | 1.95 | G | G |

Amino acid in bold are associated with resistance. wt: Wild-type; HCV: Hepatitis C virus.

### Table 4 Resistance associated variants conferring resistance to direct antiviral agents

| DAA       | euHCVdb | Los Alamos | 1a | 1b |
|-----------|---------|------------|----|----|
| Paritaprevir | R155K (0.64%) | D168E (0.98%) | D1567 (0.00%) | D1567 (0.00%) |
| Grazoprevir | A156T (0.00%) | D168E (0.98%) | D1567 (0.00%) | D1567 (0.00%) |
| Ledipasvir | M28T (0.75%) | Y93H (4.25%) | n.a | n.a |
| Daclatasvir | M28T (0.75%) | Y93H (4.25%) | n.a | n.a |
| Ombitasvir | M28V (0.74%) | Y93H (4.25%) | n.a | n.a |
| Elbasvir | L31M (1.12%) | Y93H (4.25%) | n.a | n.a |
| Sofosbuvir | S282T (0.00%) | S282T (0.00%) | S282T (0.00%) | S282T (0.00%) |

1DAAs recommended by the EASL and AASLD guidelines 2015. RAV: Resistance associated variants; DAAs: Direct antiviral agents; AASLD: American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases.
With the currently in-use DAAs recommended by EASL and AASLD guidelines, our analyses suggest that it is possible that virologic failure could occur in half of the patients with HCV genotype 1a receiving SMV in combinations with pegylated-interferon and ribavirin. In addition, more than 7% of the patients with HCV genotype 1b receiving DSV could also fail to respond to treatment, and the presence of variants with resistant mutations in the NS5A region should affect almost 5% of the treated individuals.

If in one hand the analysis of a public databank may not reflect the real prevalence of RAV in the population, on the other hand the abundance of information deposited in databank’s sequences allows the identification of potentially unknown polymorphisms in populations not submitted to new HCV treatments. Since it is impossible to correlate criteria of inclusion in databanks with population data, epidemiological studies are necessary to determine the real prevalence of RVA in the population.

Although the potential to confer resistance to DAAs of the majority of the amino acid substitutions identified in our analyses is not known, the ability of HCV to rapidly evolve under drug selection pressure and the presence of baseline natural polymorphisms associated with resistance to DAA should be considered as possible threats to the success of these new therapies. The real impact of these constitutive RAV on the possibility of SVR with DAA remains unclear and undefined and some of these RAV apparently disappear after therapy (NS3/NS4 RAV) while others remain in the viral population (NS5 RAV). Globally, clinical significance of these constitutive RAV remains obscure.

In summary, there are many relevant clinical questions that still need to be answered regarding HCV resistance to DAAs, mainly due to the limited available data and the large number of DAA approved or soon to be approved for clinical use. Perhaps resistance mutations in the new interferon-free DAA era may not have significant clinical impact initially[27,28], nonetheless the presence of a minor drug-resistance population will likely affect the success of the therapy upon the expansion and prolonged use of DAA regimens, and the relevance of pre-existing resistance mutations for responses to Interferon-free DAA therapies needs to be further investigated. Therefore, testing for drug resistance variants prior to the initiation of treatment will be needed in the very near future in order to help guide the selection of the most optimized treatment option.
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COMMENTS
Background
Chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. The main therapeutic targets against HCV are the viral NS3/4A protease, NS5B polymerase, and NS5A replication complex. While much attention has been given to HIV infection and resistance to antiviral therapy, the extent of mutations in the development of drug resistance in HCV infection is less studied. The presence of HCV mutations is mainly due to factors such as selection pressure, error-prone replication (because of RNA polymerase’s poor fidelity) and the high replication capacity of the virus. It is believed that any mutant can be generated continuously in HCV-infected patients. Hence, selected variants are considered to be pre-existent mutations generated during the natural HCV life cycle. The incidence of resistant variants is variable and depends on the binding domain, as well as on the different HCV populations, genotypes and subtypes and pre-existence of resistance associated variants to direct antiviral agents (DAAs) reduces sustained virologic response rates. A recently published analysis found that 58.7% of the HCV sequences deposited in the GenBank harbored at least one dominant resistance variant.

Research frontiers
It is expected that in the near future a method able to detect all the mutations in the HCV genome will be available, making it possible to decide which DAA can be used to treat hepatitis C in a specific patient.

Innovations and breakthrough
This study showed a low frequency of mutations but a high number of polymorphisms of HVC genome which can impact in patients receiving treatment with DAAs.

Applications
Although the potential to confer resistance of the majority of the amino acid substitutions identified in our analyses is not known, the ability of HCV to rapidly evolve under drug selection pressure and the presence of baseline natural polymorphisms associated with resistance to DAAs should be considered as possible threats to the success of these new therapies.
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