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ABSTRACT

Neural compression is the application of neural networks and other machine learning methods to data compression. Recent advances in statistical machine learning have opened up new possibilities for data compression, allowing compression algorithms to be learned end-to-end from data using powerful generative models such as normalizing flows, variational autoencoders, diffusion probabilistic models, and generative adversarial networks. This monograph aims to introduce this field of research to a broader machine learning audience by reviewing the necessary background in information theory (e.g., entropy coding, rate-distortion theory) and computer vision (e.g., image quality assessment, perceptual metrics), and providing a curated guide through the essential ideas and methods in the literature thus far.
The goal of data compression is to reduce the number of bits needed to represent useful information. Neural, or learned compression, is the application of neural networks and related machine learning techniques to this task. This monograph aims to serve as an entry point for machine learning researchers interested in compression by reviewing the prerequisite background and representative methods in neural compression.

The basic idea of learning-based data compression has long existed in various forms before the current era of deep learning [224][154][37][60]. Many of the tools and techniques for neural compression, especially for images, also draw on a rich history of learning-based approaches in computer vision. Indeed, many problems in image processing and restoration can be viewed as lossy image compression; e.g., image super-resolution can be solved by learning a decoder for a fixed encoder (the image downsampling process) [49][105]. In fact, neural networks have already been applied to image compression in the late 1980s and 1990s [170][61], and even an early review article [96] exists. Compared to early work, modern methods differ markedly in their scale, neural architectures, and encoding schemes.
Current research in neural compression is heavily inspired by advances in deep generative modeling, such as GANs [65], VAEs [99][151], normalizing flows [104], and autoregressive models [180][140]. While these models allow us to capture complex data distributions from samples (a key to neural compression), the research tends to focus on generating realistic data samples [139][142] or achieving high data log-density [151][101], objectives not necessarily aligned with data compression.

Arguably the first work exploring deep generative models for data compression appeared in 2016 [70], and the topic of neural compression has grown considerably since then. Multiple researchers have identified connections between variational inference and lossless [59][118] as well as lossy [12][184][6][209] compression. This monograph hopes to further facilitate such exchange between these fields, raising awareness of compression as a fruitful application of generative modeling along with the associated challenges.

Instead of surveying the vast literature, we aim to cover the essential concepts and methods in neural compression, with a reader in mind who is versed in machine learning but not necessarily data compression. We hope to complement existing surveys that have a more specialized or applied focus [10][117][111] by highlighting the connections to generative modeling and machine learning in general. In most of this monograph, we make essentially no assumption on the data other than that it
is independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.), a typical setting for machine learning and statistics. We center our discussions around image compression, where most neural compression methods were first developed, but the basic ideas we present here are data agnostic. Towards the end, in Section 3.7, we lift the i.i.d. assumption and consider video compression, which can be seen as an extension of the existing ideas along the temporal dimension.

Neural compression can ease the development and optimization of data compression algorithms in a data-driven fashion. This can be especially useful for new or domain-specific data types, such as VR/AR content or scientific data, where developing custom codecs may otherwise be expensive. Indeed, learning-based approaches are being applied to emerging data types, such as point clouds [147][72][89], implicit 3D surfaces [178], and neural radiance fields [22]. Effectively compressing such data may require new neural architectures [178] and/or domain knowledge to convert the data into neural-network-friendly representations [89]. However, the essential ideas and techniques introduced here for reducing the entropy, or bit-rate cost, of learned representations remain the same.

**JPEG** [92] serves as a good motivating example of the lossy compression pipeline (depicted in Figure 1.2). First introduced in 1992, it is still one of the most widely used image compression standards [90]. At the heart of JPEG are linear mappings which losslessly transform pixels into coefficients and back. The coefficients are first quantized to integers, incurring some information loss. Then they are further compressed losslessly by a combination of run-length encoding and entropy coding (the latter is discussed in Section 2.1.1).

The linear portion of the encoding process consists of several steps. First, each pixel is transformed from RGB to YCC coefficients consisting of a luma component (Y) and two color components (C). After this color transform, each channel is treated independently, and optional downsampling is applied to the color channels. Next, each channel is divided into $8 \times 8$ pixel blocks, and each block independently undergoes a *discrete cosine transform* (DCT). The transform coefficients are then
Figure 1.2: A typical pipeline in both neural and classical lossy image compression. An encoder transformation $f$ (for example, the DCT or a neural network) maps images to coefficients $z$, which are first quantized to $\hat{z}$, and then entropy encoded into bits using an entropy model $P$. A reconstruction $\hat{x}$ is obtained using a decoder $g$ that aims for a small distortion $\rho$ between the data $x$ and its lossy reconstruction $\hat{x}$. In addition, neural compression can also involve an adversarial critic $D$, encouraging realism and high perceptual quality.

For $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\hat{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, linearly scaled and finally rounded to integers. Given an image $x$, the encoder thus performs

$$\hat{z} = [DACx],$$

where $C$ is the pixelwise color transform, $A$ is the block- and channelwise DCT, and $D$ is a diagonal matrix scaling the coefficients. The decoder applies the transforms in reverse,

$$\hat{x} = C^{-1}A^\top D^{-1}\hat{z}.$$  \hfill (1.2)

Readers familiar with machine learning will be reminded of autoencoders \cite{158} and it is natural to consider learned neural networks in place of the linear transforms. As we will see later, there are indeed close connections between lossy compression and variational autoencoders (VAEs) \cite{184}\cite{211}, though other generative models have a role to play as well. What we call “coefficients” in the context of compression are often called “latent variables” in the context of generative models.
Like generative models, JPEG defines a probability distribution over coefficients which represents assumptions about the latent representation. Just as in VAEs, we can use this distribution to draw samples from the model underlying JPEG, with an example shown in Figure 1.1.

**Overview.** This introduction is organized into two main parts, lossless (Section 2) and lossy (Section 3) compression, with the latter relying on the former for compressing lossy representations of the data (see Figure 1.2). We begin by reviewing basic coding theory (Section 2.1), and learn how we can turn the problem of lossless compression into learning a discrete data distribution, with the help of entropy-coding. For this to work in practice, we decompose the potentially high-dimensional data distribution using tools from generative modeling, including autoregressive models (Section 2.2), latent-variable models, (Section 2.3), and other models (Section 2.4). Each model class differs in its compatibility with different entropy-coding algorithms, and offers a different trade-off between the compression bit-rate and computational efficiency. Lossy compression introduces additional desiderata, the most common being the distortion of reconstructions, based on which the classical rate-distortion theory and algorithms such as vector quantization and transform coding are reviewed (Section 3.1). We then introduce neural lossy compression as a natural extension of transform coding (Section 3.2) and discuss the techniques necessary for end-to-end learning of quantized representations (Section 3.3), as well as lossy compression schemes that attempt to bypass quantization (Section 3.4). We then explore additional desiderata, such as the perceptual quality of reconstructions (Section 3.5), and the usefulness of learned representations for downstream tasks (Section 3.6), before briefly reviewing video compression (Section 3.7). Finally, we conclude in Section 4 with the challenges and open problems in neural compression that may drive its future advances.
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