adding that a needs assessment of each child will be undertaken to determine what services may be required.

The 37 children range in age from less than 1 to 18 years. Many are in wheelchairs and require a wide variety of services including speech therapy, occupational therapy, physiotherapy and respite care for their families. To date, the Norway House Cree Nation has been unable to secure any of these services because the federal and provincial governments cannot agree on who should pay.

Band Councillor Mike Muswagon says the community is angry that the 2 governments would dare compromise the care of these children in the wake of Jordan’s Principle. “The fact is that both levels of government have to provide for these kids, period,” he adds.

Jordan’s Principle was drafted in response to the death of Jordan River Anderson, another Norway House child who died in 2003 of a rare muscular disorder after years of medical treatment in a Winnipeg hospital. There was a plan to discharge Jordan in 2001 so he could be with his family in his home community but no agreement could be reached between Ottawa and Manitoba about which level of government would pay for his special medical needs. The House of Commons resolution stipulated that in the event of a jurisdictional dispute over funding for a First Nation child, the government of first contact would pay for any services and seek cost-sharing after the services had been provided.

However, for Jordan’s Principle to be effective, Ottawa and the provinces had to reach intergovernmental agreements to implement the policy. Manitoba hopes to become the first province to reach such an agreement with the federal government but negotiations have not yet proved fruitful. Muswagon says federal and provincial officials visited Norway House on Apr. 23, 2008, to assess capital needs on the reserve and review case files on each of the 37 children. He also says the band has proposed to establish a new facility to provide a variety of therapies for the children.

Manitoba has verbally offered to pay one third of the cost of those additional services. Ottawa would be on the hook for the remainder. Indian and Northern Affairs Canada declined comment other than to say that a proposal from the First Nation is under consideration. — Dan Lett, Winnipeg, Man.
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Ontario and Manitoba to reimburse expenses for living organ donors

Living organ donors in Ontario and Manitoba are now eligible to receive reimbursements for out-of-pocket expenses and income lost during surgery recovery. British Columbia is the only other province with such a program.

In Manitoba, the government is partnering with that province’s branch of the Kidney Foundation of Canada, each contributing $25 000, to provide up to $5000 to donors to cover things such as travel expenses, hotel stays, meals and child care. Manitoba Health Minister Theresa Oswald made the announcement on Apr. 18, 2008.

Ontario’s program began on Apr. 1, 2008, and will be run by the Trillium Gift of Life Network, a government agency. Donors will be eligible for up to $5500 in reimbursements. The program was officially announced as part of National Organ and Tissue Donation Week (Apr. 20–27, 2008) but is retroactive to August 2007, when Ontario Premier Dalton McGuinty promised to reimburse living donors as part of his election campaign.

“Our society values organ donation enormously,” says Frank Markel, president and chief executive officer of the network. “It is one of the most generous acts anyone can perform, to give an organ to another human being. People should not suffer financial loss because they do this.”

The British Columbia reimbursement program, a 36-month pilot project, has given money to 145 donors and potential donors, $154 000 in total, since its inception in July 2006. Of those, 106 were British Columbia residents, 20 were from other provinces and 19 were international donors. As long as the organ recipient is from British Columbia, the residence of the donor is irrelevant.

The program benefits both recipient and donor, says Lorraine Gerard, executive-director of the British Columbia branch of the Kidney Foundation of Canada. Although it’s unlikely the program has resulted in more donors, it does accelerate the transplantation process, as donors no longer need to schedule their surgeries to minimize financial losses (waiting until their holidays, for example). It also provides peace of mind to donors during recovery.

“They can concentrate on recuperation rather than on how those bills will be paid,” says Gerard.
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