Spatially Resolved Moving Radio Burst Associated with an EUV Wave
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Abstract

Coronal mass ejections (CMEs) are large clouds of magnetized plasma ejected from the Sun and are often associated with the acceleration of electrons that can result in radio emission via various mechanisms. However, the underlying mechanism relating the CMEs and particle acceleration still remains a subject of heated debate. Here, we report multi-instrument radio and extreme ultraviolet (EUV) imaging of a solar eruption event on 2011 September 24. We determine the emission mechanism of a moving radio burst, identify its three-dimensional location with respect to a rapidly expanding EUV wave, and find evidence for CME shocks that produce quasiperiodic acceleration of electron beams.

1. Introduction

Coronal mass ejections (CMEs) are large eruptions of magnetized plasma from the low solar corona into the interplanetary space, usually observed as a three-part structure in white-light coronagraphs, i.e., a dark cavity with a bright core embedded inside a bright compression front (Webb & Howard 2012). The dark cavity is supposed to be the cross section of an expanding flux rope (Chen et al. 1997). Fast CMEs can drive plasma shocks that are capable of accelerating electrons up to relativistic speeds, generating bursts of radiation at radio wavelengths through the plasma emission mechanism, such as type II radio bursts (Klassen et al. 2002; Grechnev et al. 2011; Ying et al. 2018; Feng et al. 2020). Fine-structured bursts of radiation drifting toward both lower and higher frequencies, called herringbones, can sometimes be identified in dynamic spectra of type II bursts. These have been considered as signatures of individual electron beams accelerated by CME-driven shocks (Mann & Klassen 2005).

In some cases, CMEs are also accompanied by broadband continuum radiation at decimetric and metric wavelengths; these are called type IV radio bursts. Over the years, type IV radio bursts have been subcategorized into moving type IV bursts and stationary type IV bursts according to the motion of their source regions (McLean & Aaibrum 1985; Pick & Vilmer 2008). Of particular interest are the moving type IV radio bursts, which are commonly believed to be produced by energetic electrons trapped in CMEs via various emission mechanisms (Carley et al. 2020). So far, four emission mechanisms have been suggested to interpret the moving type IV radiations, including coherent plasma emission (Duncan 1981; Harhiran et al. 2016; Vasanth et al. 2019) and electron cyclotron maser emission (Melrose & Dulk 1982; Liu et al. 2018), as well as incoherent synchrotron and gyrosynchrotron emission (Kai 1969; Carley et al. 2017). Therefore, if the emission mechanism can be unambiguously determined, moving type IV bursts can provide powerful diagnostics of plasma conditions inside CMEs, such as electron density, characteristics of the electron energy distribution, or magnetic field strength (Pick 2004; Vourlidas 2004; Carley et al. 2020).

The combination of radio and extreme ultraviolet (EUV) images is helpful to identify the sites of electron acceleration and provide important information on the source region (Chen et al. 2014; Vasanth et al. 2019; Morosan et al. 2020). In this Letter, making use of advanced radio and EUV instruments, we present a spatially resolved moving radio burst that propagated closely in association with a bright EUV front. The moving radio burst was found to be coincident with CME-driven shocks but does not show clear type II or herringbone structures. It is superimposed on the type IV emission at high frequencies but behaves quite differently from a type IV burst at low frequencies. We aim to determine the possible emission mechanism behind the moving radio burst and study its relations with the observed EUV phenomena.

2. The Data and Method

The propagation of the bright coronal structure is traced in 193 Å images obtained by the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) (Lemen et al. 2012) aboard the Solar Dynamic Observatory (SDO). AIA routine takes full-disk images of the Sun and the low corona (up to 0.5 $R_e$ above the solar limb) in seven EUV wave bands (94 Å, 131 Å, 171 Å, 193 Å, 211 Å, 304 Å, and 335 Å) and two UV wave bands (1600 Å and 1700 Å). The EUV images are taken with a time cadence of 12 s and a spatial resolution of 1/2.

The radio spectral data were obtained by the ground-based CALLISTO spectrometers (Benz et al. 2009), the Nançay Decameter Array (NDA) (Lamy et al. 2017), and the space-based WIND/WAVES instrument (Bougeret et al. 1995). The CALLISTO spectrometers form a worldwide spectrometer network (e-CALLISTO) that is able to observe the solar radio emissions.
spectrum from 10 to 870 MHz with a time resolution up to 0.25 s for 24 hr per day. The NDA routinely observes Jupiter and the Sun (at best ~8 hr per day) in 10–80 MHz frequency range with a time cadence of 1 s from Earth. WIND/WAVES provides a 24 hr measurement (from space) of low-frequency (0.02–13.825 MHz) radio emissions of the Sun with a time cadence of 1 minute.

Positions of the radio sources are measured by the Nançay Radioheliograph (NRH) (Kerdraon & Delouis 1997). NRH routinely observes the Sun for about 7 hr per day at 6 (before 2008 May) then 10 frequencies (after 2008 May) between 150 and 450 MHz. It can provide the flux density and the brightness temperature data for the Stokes $I$ and Stokes $V$ components, respectively, with the highest time resolution up to 125 ms. Here we use the integrated data with a 1 s resolution for a higher signal-to-noise ratio.

The temporal evolution of radio emission was compared with hard X-ray radiations measured by the Reuven Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI) (Lin et al. 2002). RHESSI is capable of providing high-resolution imaging and spectroscopy of solar flares from soft X-rays (3 keV) to gamma-rays (17 MeV). The radio observations in combination with X-ray measurements provide the most direct diagnostics of energetic electrons in the solar atmosphere and low corona.

Finally, EUV images at 195 Å, taken by the Extreme Ultraviolet Imager (EUVI) aboard the STEREO-B spacecraft from another perspective, are used to provide nearly a top view of the active region.

### 3. Results and Discussions

The GOES X1.9 flare of 2011 September 24 occurred in NOAA active region 11302 near the eastern limb of the solar disk and was followed by a series of radio bursts (see the dynamic spectrum in Figure 1). From about 09:35 UT, shortly after the appearance of the flare, a type III–like radio burst was observed. The type III burst is well correlated with the impulsive enhancement of the hard X-ray (> 50 keV) emission measured by RHESSI (see inset in Figure 1) and extends below 14 MHz, implying that the electrons were accelerated by the solar flare and injected into interplanetary space at heights much larger than $1 R_S$ above the solar surface. Following the type III burst, a broadband type IV burst was observed to drift from high to low frequencies with time (indicated by the dashed line in Figure 1), presumably ascribed to energetic electrons trapped in CMEs. The type IV emission lasts more than 1 hr and diminished sharply at about 30 MHz. Of particular interest is the much stronger radio burst that started from about 09:37:40 UT. At high frequencies, the radio burst was superimposed on the type IV burst, while at low frequencies (below 14 MHz) it seems to correlate with interplanetary type III radio bursts. Because the radio burst shows a clear movement in Figure 2, we thus refer to the radio burst as a moving radio burst throughout this paper. Different from the earlier type III burst, the moving radio burst shows no relation with the hard X-ray emission (see inset in Figure 1), implying a different physical origin.

The source regions of the radio bursts are shown in Figure 2, where colored contours (70% of the maximum intensity) at six NRH frequencies between 150 and 445 MHz are overlaid on concurrent AIA 193 Å running-difference images to provide information on the context in which the radio emission occurred. Figure 2(a) shows the type III burst, during which the radio emission mainly arises from coronal regions extending above the flaring site, indicating the acceleration of energetic electrons by the solar flare (Lin & Forbes 2000). Then, after about 09:37:40 UT, the moving radio burst starts, together with a bright coronal front that propagates in southwest direction across the solar disk (Figures 2(b)–(f)). Comparison of images as viewed from STEREO-B/EUVI in 195 Å and SDO/AIA in 193 Å (Figure 5) shows that the bright front probably corresponds to the southwest flank of a rapidly expanding EUV wave (Shen & Liu 2012). The source region of the moving radio burst was found to be highly cospatial with the bright front, implying a strong causal relationship between these two phenomena. A movie that shows the copropagation of the radio sources and the bright front is available.

Figure 3 presents the radio centroids colored by progressing times at four individual NRH frequencies, which shows the propagation direction of the radio bursts on the plane-of-sky (POS) images at 193 Å from SDO/AIA. During the early time, the moving radio burst dominates the radio emission and its propagation direction is coincident with movement of the bright coronal front (dashed lines in Figure 3). Then, after a few minutes, the moving radio burst diminished into the background and the type IV emission started to dominate. The type IV emission occurred above the flaring region and moved outward in nearly the solar radial direction. This propagation direction is coincident with the outward expansion of the associated CME. In what follows, we concentrate primarily on the moving radio burst.

To determine the emission mechanism of the moving radio burst, the brightness temperature, the flux density, the circular polarization degree, as well as the spectral index of the moving radio burst are estimated (Figure 4). The brightness temperature is defined as an average values of all pixels within the 70% maximum contour. The flux density is computed for the Stokes $V$ and Stokes $I$ components, respectively, based on which the circular polarization degree is calculated by taking the ratio between them (i.e., $V/I$). The spectral index ($\alpha$) is estimated by fitting a power-law function to NRH flux density spectra. The vertical dashed line in Figure 4 marks the start of the moving radio burst, after which the moving radio emission becomes strongly enhanced and consists of many bursty components (Figure 4(a)). Previous study has designated the moving radio burst as gyrosynchrotron emission radiated by energetic electrons trapped inside the CME (James et al. 2017). However, the spectral indices ($<\sim 8$) calculated for two different times ($t_1$ and $t_2$) during the moving radio burst are both far beyond the known spectral index of gyrosynchrotron emission (Bastian et al. 2001; Maia et al. 2007; Bain et al. 2014; Carley et al. 2017). This suggests that the moving radio emission does not necessarily come within the CME itself. Moreover, the brightness temperature at frequencies below 271 MHz are all above $10^{10}$ K, especially that at 150.9 MHz, which even exceeds $10^{12}$ K. Such a high temperature is indicative of a coherent emission mechanism such as the plasma emission or the electron cyclotron maser emission (Dulk 1985). According to Melrose (1975), the maximum brightness temperature for the fundamental and the second harmonic plasma emission from a gap distribution can be up to $10^{16}$ and $10^{13}$ K, respectively. However, throughout the moving radio burst, the radio emission between 150 and 228 MHz remains weakly polarized (circum polarization
degree of <10%; Figure 4(b)). The low degree of circular polarization strongly argues against the fundamental plasma emission and the electron cyclotron maser emission because both of them are supposed to be highly polarized (∼100%) (Dulk 1985). This leaves only the second harmonic plasma emission mechanism that can be used to interpret our observations.

In the case of second harmonic plasma emission, the electron density \(n_e\) in the radio source region can be estimated from the observed emission frequency \(f_{\text{obs}}\) via the following:

\[
f_{\text{obs}} = 2f_p, \tag{1}
\]

\[
f_p = 8980 \sqrt{n_e}, \tag{2}
\]

where \(f_p\) represents the plasma frequency. Assuming that the radio emission originates from energetic electrons outside the CME, the heliocentric distance and thus the 3D position of the radio source can be estimated if electron density models of the solar corona are provided. Here we use the hybrid electron density models of the solar corona.

Figure 1. Radio dynamic spectrum from WIND/WAVES, NDA, and e-CALLISTO spectrometers, showing the solar radio bursts on 2011 September 24. The white dashed line illustrates the type IV radio burst and the black line refers to the moving radio burst under study. The inset in the bottom panel shows the time profiles of the radio emission at 150.75 MHz and the hard X-ray emission above 50 keV.
density model of Vršnak et al. (2004) to estimate the heights of the moving radio source at specific frequencies. This model has taken into account the enhanced densities above the active regions and has the ability to smoothly connect the active region corona with the interplanetary (IP) space. The radio sources in 3D can then be projected onto the STEREO-B/EUVI images to study their position relative to the EUV wave. The enlarged dots and dashed circles in Figure 5 represent the radio emission centroids and their uncertainties (±0.2 Rₑ) at 150 MHz (magenta) and 228 MHz (cyan), respectively, and their projections onto STEREO-B/EUVI images are presented in Figure 5(c). Note that the uncertainties are estimated by roughly taking half of the FWHM of the radio emission. As can be seen, the projected radio centroids are located right at the southwest flank of the EUV wave. The lower the frequency, the farther away the source is from the flank.

To show the kinematics of the bright EUV front, a running-ratio spacetime plot sampled along a specific slit (white dashed line in Figure 5(f)) in AIA 193 Å images is presented in Figure 6(a). The vertical dotted line indicates the start of the moving radio burst, and the red dashed line represents the fifth-degree polynomial fit to the bright front. The black line in Figure 6(b) shows the time profile of the disk-integrated flux density at 150.9 MHz, and the red line shows the POS speed of the bright front. As can be seen, during the early period, the bright front was quickly accelerated to a speed of ~1050 km s⁻¹, then decelerated to a nearly constant speed (~600 km s⁻¹), then after about 5 minutes, the bright front decelerated again until it diminished into the thermal background. Considering the projection effect, the true expansion speed of the corresponding EUV wave could be much larger than the values we calculated here. Moreover, the speed of the EUV wave was found to increase with its heliocentric heights (Cheng et al. 2012). Of particular interest is the time evolution of the moving radio emission, which shows a high correlation with the propagation speed of the bright front, i.e., the faster the propagation, the stronger the radio emission (Figure 6(b)). Based on the density model of Vršnak et al. (2004), the heliocentric distance of the radio source at 150.9 MHz is estimated to be about 1.3 Rₑ. According to Zucca et al. (2014), the local Alfvén speed at such a height is about 700–1000 km s⁻¹, which is very close to the speed of the bright front we show here. If so, it is most likely that the EUV bright front (or the EUV wave) could be in fact a shock wave driven by the CME (Mann et al. 2003). In such a case, the electrons were accelerated by the CME-driven shock and excited the local plasma to produce the second harmonic plasma emission at
radio frequencies. The bursty features in the radio emission imply that the electrons were accelerated in a quasiperiodic manner. Given that the radio emission remains at low altitudes in the solar atmosphere, it is likely that the electrons were accelerated at the flanks of the CME. This is different from the electron acceleration at the CME nose, where type II radio emission usually emerges (Su et al. 2016; Maguire et al. 2020).

4. Summary

We have reported imaging and spectroscopy observations of the solar radio bursts on 2011 September 24. The dynamic spectrum shows multiple radio bursts, with a type III burst followed by a broadband continuum emission (type IV burst). Interestingly, the type IV burst was superimposed by a much stronger moving radio burst. The combination of high-cadence NRH (radio) and AIA (EUV) images has enabled us to locate the radio waves and discern where, when, and how the radio emission was produced. Our observations show that the type III and type IV bursts originate from the solar flare and CME, respectively, while the moving burst is closely associated with a bright coronal front that propagated away from the active region. According to the imaging observations from another perspective by STEREO-B/EUVI in 195 Å (Figure 5), the bright front is likely to correspond to the southwest flank of an EUV wave released from the active region.

We then studied the physical parameter of the moving radio burst. The brightness temperatures at NRH frequencies below 271 MHz are all above $10^9$ K, with the highest value even exceeding $10^{12}$ K (Figure 4(a)). Meanwhile, the spectral indices calculated at two different times during the moving burst were found to be negative and steep ($<-8$), and the degree of circular polarization of the moving radio emission was found to remain at a very low value (<10%). All the above observational characteristics together with the bursty features observed in the moving radio emission suggest that the moving radio burst was produced via the second harmonic plasma emission mechanism.
Making use of the determined plasma emission mechanism and assuming the electron density model of Vršnak et al. (2004), the 3D position of the moving radio burst was estimated and projected onto the STEREO-B/EUVI images at 195 Å. The projections show that the moving radio source is located right at the southwest flank of an EUV wave. The EUV wave expands rapidly both in radial and lateral directions and is followed by a coronal dimming. A spacetime plot along the propagation of the bright coronal front (Figure 6(a)) shows that the expansion speed of the EUV wave could be up to ∼1050 km s\(^{-1}\). Considering the projection effect, its real speed could be much larger than the local Alfvén speed reported by Zucca et al. (2014). This implies that the EUV wave may correspond to a shock wave driven by the CME. It was also found that the higher the EUV wave speed, the stronger the moving radio emission. We thus proposed that the moving radio burst results from energetic electrons accelerated by the CME-driven shock. These electrons excited the local plasma to oscillate and produce radio emission via the second harmonic plasma emission mechanism.

We perform this study using imaging observations from NRH and AIA. However, NRH only provides radio images at
Figure 5. Comparison of images as viewed from STEREO-B/EUVI in 195 Å (left) and SDO/AIA in 193 Å (right) at three different times (from top to bottom). The observation time of STEREO-B has been corrected by supposing that the spacecraft are located 1 au from the Sun. The inset in panel (b) shows the locations of SDO and STEREO-B in the ecliptic plane relative to the Sun. The larger dots and dashed circles in panel (d) represent the radio emission centroids and their uncertainties (± 0.2 $R_e$) at 150 MHz (magenta) and 228 MHz (cyan), respectively, and their projections onto the STEREO-B/EUVI images are presented in panel (c). The arrow points to an EUV wave with a coronal dimming behind.
frequencies between 150 and 445 MHz, and AIA images were taken at a time cadence that is unable to match the fast time sampling of the radio images and spectra. In the future, we would search for more similar events that can be observed by modern advanced radio imaging spectrometers such as the Low-Frequency Array (LOFAR) (van Haarlem et al. 2013) and the Mingantu Ultrawide Spectral Radioheliograph (MUSER) (Yan et al. 2016). These radio telescopes can provide extremely high temporal and spectral resolutions in a very broad frequency range. Moreover, new EUV imagers such as the Extreme Ultraviolet Imager (EUI) (Rochus et al. 2020) aboard the Solar Orbiter have been successfully launched into space, and the Lyα solar telescope (LST) (Feng et al. 2019; Li et al. 2019) aboard the Advanced Space-based Solar Observatory (ASOS) will be launched in the near future (Gan et al. 2019, 2022). Compared to their precursors, these instruments have much improved temporal and spatial resolutions and thus can have better synergy with the radio observations. The combination of these advanced instruments would further improve our understanding of the solar radio burst.
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**Figure 6.** Kinematics of the bright coronal front. (a) Running-difference spacetime plot along the slit shown in Figure 2(f). The red dashed line represents the fifth-degree polynomial fit to the bright front. The vertical dotted line shows the start of the moving radio emission. (b) Time profile of the disk-integrated radio flux density at 150.9 MHz (black line) and the POS speed of the bright front (red line). As can be seen, the radio flux density is well correlated with the propagation speed of the bright front.
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