Prospective Study of Rapid Relief Provided by C1 Esterase Inhibitor in Emergency Treatment of Acute Laryngeal Attacks in Hereditary Angioedema
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Abstract

Introduction Hereditary angioedema (HAE) is a rare disorder characterized by C1 esterase inhibitor (C1-INH) deficiency, resulting in periodic attacks of acute edema that can be life-threatening if they occur in the laryngeal region. We assessed the efficacy of C1-INH concentrate in the emergency treatment of rarely occurring acute laryngeal HAE attacks in a prospective, open-label clinical study.

Methods Acute laryngeal attacks were each treated with C1-INH concentrate (Berinert) at a single dose of 20 U/kg body weight. Efficacy endpoints included time to onset of symptom relief and time to complete resolution of all symptoms, each based on the patient's assessment.

Results All 39 laryngeal attacks in 16 patients were treated successfully. The median time to onset of symptom relief was 15 min. The median time to complete resolution of all symptoms was 8.25 h. No treatment-related serious adverse events occurred, and the treatment was well tolerated. The administration of C1-INH concentrate was not associated with any viral infections.

Conclusion C1-INH concentrate is an effective and safe emergency treatment for providing reliable and rapid relief from the potentially life-threatening symptoms of laryngeal HAE attacks.
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Introduction

Hereditary angioedema (HAE) is a rare disease in which an inherited functional deficiency of C1 esterase inhibitor (C1-INH) is clinically characterized by unpredictable episodes of edema at various body locations [1, 2]. C1-INH is an important control protein of the complement and kallikrein–kinin cascade systems [3]. During HAE attacks, these plasma proteolytic cascades are activated and, due to either the complete lack of C1-INH (type I HAE) or the expression of dysfunctional C1-INH (type II HAE), result in enhanced vascular permeability, leading to local subcutaneous or submucous swellings. The most frequent symptoms of HAE are acute episodes of skin swellings or abdominal pain that can be inconvenient and painful but which are not life-threatening. In contrast, the rare (approximately 1% of HAE attacks [4]) but potentially life-threatening episodes of laryngeal edema are associated with a risk of asphyxiation, which is the most important symptom of concern for patients with HAE [5]. Clinical manifestations of laryngeal attacks include hoarseness, stridor, dyspnea, the feeling of having a lump in the larynx, dysphagia, and voice change [4, 6].

The first-line therapy for acute HAE attacks is replacement therapy with C1-INH concentrate as recommended in the 2007 International Consensus Algorithm for the Management of HAE [7]. Before the availability of effective treatments, up to 25% to 30% of patients with HAE died from laryngeal edema [8]. An even higher mortality of approximately 50% has been reported for patients with undiagnosed HAE [9]. Since the introduction of C1-INH concentrate in several countries, the rate of mortality has decreased dramatically, but patients with HAE still die from laryngeal attacks that are not treated with C1-INH concentrate [10, 11]. Due to the danger of asphyxiation, it is vital that acute attacks of laryngeal edema are treated effectively before reaching their maximum development. During this time, emergency intervention procedures include the administration of C1-INH concentrate, the administration of oxygen, intubation, and tracheotomy [5].

The efficacy and safety of C1-INH concentrate have been demonstrated in clinical use for more than 30 years, involving over 400,000 treatments in patients with HAE; this evidence is based mainly upon the treatment of acute abdominal and facial attacks using a fixed dose of C1-INH concentrate [2, 12–15]. Information on the efficacy of C1-INH concentrate in the emergency treatment of laryngeal edema is limited [16].

Here, we report on data obtained from 39 laryngeal attacks in 16 patients with HAE that were treated with C1-INH concentrate (Berinert; CSL Behring, Marburg, Germany) in a prospective, open-label clinical study (International Multicenter Prospective Angioedema, C1-INH Trial 2 [I.M.P.A.C.T.2]). This highly purified C1-INH concentrate is produced from human plasma obtained in the USA. It is rendered free of detectable virus by two independent steps of virus inactivation and removal [17]. The I.M.P.A.C.T.2 study is an extension of the placebo-controlled I.M.P.A.C.T.1 study that had previously established 20 U/kg as an effective dose of C1-INH concentrate in the treatment of acute HAE attacks [15]. While only single abdominal and facial HAE attacks were treated in I.M.P.A.C.T.1, repeated attacks in all body locations were treated in I.M.P.A.C.T.2. Here, we analyze the treatment of the laryngeal attacks that occurred in I.M.P.A.C.T.2. Our focus is to evaluate whether C1-INH concentrate given at the weight-based dose of 20 U/kg, which had demonstrated efficacy compared to placebo against abdominal and facial attacks in I.M.P.A.C.T.1, is also as effective at providing rapid relief from the symptoms of laryngeal edema. Due to the life-threatening nature of laryngeal attacks and the evidence from retrospective reports for the efficacy of C1-INH concentrate in the treatment of laryngeal attacks, a placebo-controlled investigation of laryngeal attacks was not considered ethical.

Methods

Study Design and Setting

Laryngeal attacks were evaluated in this prospective, open-label, uncontrolled extension study (I.M.P.A.C.T.2) in patients who had participated in the preceding I.M.P.A.C.T.1 study [15] at seven centers in North America. The data were obtained between 31 August 2005 and 24 August 2009.

Patients were treated with C1-INH concentrate (50 U/mL after reconstitution) at a single intravenous dose of 20 U/kg body weight (4 mL/min) for each attack evaluated at the study center, irrespective of the intensity of the attack. After treatment, patients remained at the study center and were observed until they reported onset of symptom relief. If a patient was discharged before complete resolution of an attack, a diary card was issued for the patient to document the time of complete resolution as well as any concomitant medication taken. In addition, the patients documented any adverse events experienced within 7 to 9 days after
treatment of the attack. An HAE attack was only to be reported as an adverse event if the symptoms of a treated attack had worsened; new attacks were not to be reported as adverse events.

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board at each participating center or by a central Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient or, if the patient was a minor, from a legally acceptable representative. Assents were also obtained when appropriate. An independent data and safety monitoring board monitored the safety of the study.

Selection of Participants

Patients were eligible for treatment if they had previously been enrolled in the I.M.P.A.C.T.1 study, irrespective of their treatment in the study. The total sample size in this extension study was therefore limited by the number of patients who had been screened and found eligible for participation in I.M.P.A.C.T.1.

Other inclusion criteria included being at least 6 years of age with laboratory and historically confirmed C1-INH deficiency (type I or II HAE) and having a documented history of abdominal or facial attacks. Relevant exclusion criteria included a history of hypersensitivity to C1-INH concentrate, acquired angioedema due to C1-INH deficiency, all other types of angioedema not associated with C1-INH deficiency, use of any C1-INH concentrate within 24 h before the start of treatment in I.M.P.A.C.T.2, and use of fresh frozen plasma or native plasma within 7 days before the start of treatment.

Methods of Measurement

The primary endpoint was the time from start of treatment to onset of symptom relief, as determined by the patient’s responses to a standard question posed at predetermined intervals for up to 24 h after the start of treatment. This type of endpoint has been widely used in the evaluation of HAE therapies [18–20]. In addition, for the preceding I.M.P.A.C.T.1 study, we retrospectively validated this primary endpoint by correlation with the course of the associated HAE symptoms (data on file). Secondary endpoints included time to complete resolution of all symptoms (patient’s assessment), proportion of patients with at least one attack with onset of relief exceeding 4 h, and proportion of attacks with a time to onset of relief exceeding 4 h.

Adverse events and vital signs (systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, respiratory rate, and body temperature) were recorded at appropriate times throughout the study. A viral safety assessment was performed before, and 7 to 9 days (parvovirus B19) or 12 weeks (human immunodeficiency virus type 1 and 2, and hepatitis A, B, and C virus) after, treatment of the first attack in I.M.P.A.C.T.2.

Reflecting clinical practice, there were no restrictions for the time between the onset of an attack and the start of treatment, or for the use of prior or concomitant medications, including rescue medications such as analgesics and anti-emetics.

Data Analysis

Efficacy analyses were based on the intention-to-treat principle and included all patients and attacks treated with C1-INH concentrate. Descriptive statistics were calculated for all efficacy outcomes on a by-patient and by-attack basis, and confidence intervals were calculated for the median time to onset of symptom relief and the median time to complete resolution of all symptoms. For the by-patient analyses, the mean times for all attacks in a given patient were used. Missing values for time to onset of symptom relief were conservatively imputed as the maximum time to start of relief for the subject involved or, if no other time to onset of relief was available for the subject, as the time to complete resolution of all symptoms.

All patients who had received any treatment with C1-INH concentrate were included in the safety analysis. Adverse events were coded according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Affairs (version 12.0), and incidence rates were calculated for adverse event preferred terms. No routine laboratory safety data were collected. Data for vital signs and viral safety were analyzed descriptively.

All data were analyzed using SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Characteristics of Patients

Each of the 39 laryngeal attacks occurring in 16 patients was treated with C1-INH concentrate. The median dose administered was 20 U/kg (range, 18–20 U/kg). The number of laryngeal attacks treated per patient ranged between one and eight, with seven patients treated for more than one laryngeal attack. A total of 14 patients were female, and two were male (Table I). The mean age was 32 years. All patients but two had type I HAE. The median time from the estimated start of the laryngeal HAE attack until the start of treatment was 2.8 h (range, 1–95 h). Of the 39 laryngeal attacks treated, 11 were mild in intensity, 16 were moderate, and 12 were severe.

Apart from systemic antihistamines, diuretics, and sex hormones taken by two patients each (one patient took
danazol), each of 13 classes of concomitant medication recorded at the time of C1-INH treatment was taken by no more than one patient each.

Efficacy Outcomes

The median time between the start of treatment and the onset of symptom relief was 0.25 h for the 39 laryngeal attacks analyzed (Table II). All attacks were treated successfully. The median time to onset of relief for individual mean values per patient was 0.44 h. Within 1 h of the start of treatment, onset of relief had been reported in at least 95% of all attacks, and the time to onset of relief was ≤0.75 h in at least 85% of patients (Fig. 1).

The median time from start of treatment to complete resolution of all symptoms was 8.25 h when analyzed by

| Characteristic | Intention-to-treat population (N=16) |
|---------------|------------------------------------|
| Sex, n (%)    |                                    |
| Female        | 14 (87.5)                          |
| Male          | 2 (12.5)                           |
| Age (years)   |                                    |
| Mean (SD)     | 32.3 (12.49)                       |
| Range         | 13–53                              |
| Race, n (%)   |                                    |
| Caucasian     | 14 (87.5)                          |
| Black         | 2 (12.5)                           |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) |                |
| Mean (SD)     | 26.6 (4.12)                        |
| Range         | 22–37                              |
| Primary disease (type of HAE), n (%) |             |
| Type I        | 14 (87.5)                          |
| Type II       | 2 (12.5)                           |

HAE hereditary angioedema, N total number of patients with laryngeal attacks, n number of patients with available data, SD standard deviation

danazol), each of 13 classes of concomitant medication recorded at the time of C1-INH treatment was taken by no more than one patient each.

Efficacy Outcomes

The median time between the start of treatment and the onset of symptom relief was 0.25 h for the 39 laryngeal attacks analyzed (Table II). All attacks were treated successfully. The median time to onset of relief for individual mean values per patient was 0.44 h. Within 1 h of the start of treatment, onset of relief had been reported in at least 95% of all attacks, and the time to onset of relief was ≤0.75 h in at least 85% of patients (Fig. 1).

The median time from start of treatment to complete resolution of all symptoms was 8.25 h when analyzed by

| Statistics                                              | Time (h) |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Patients* (N=16)                                        |          |
| Time to onset of symptom relief—primary efficacy outcome|          |
| Mean (SD)                                               | 0.53 (0.323) | 0.42 (0.291) |
| Median (range)                                          | 0.44 (0.2–1.3) | 0.25 (0.1–1.3) |
| Two-sided 95% CI for median                             | [0.32; 0.72] | [0.25; 0.50] |
| Time to complete resolution of all symptoms             |          |
| Mean (SD)                                               | 11.41 (13.384) | 13.87 (13.624) |
| Median (range)                                          | 5.87 (0.6–48.3) | 8.25 (0.6–48.9) |
| Two-sided 95% CI for median                             | [2.05; 18.26] | [4.10; 21.50] |

a Analysis of mean time for all attacks per patient

Safety and Tolerability

Safety was evaluated in all 16 patients treated with C1-INH concentrate. There were no deaths. Only 2 of the 16 patients experienced an adverse event. None of the adverse events led to discontinuation of treatment. One patient experienced a serious adverse event of HAE, which was not related to the treatment. The attack resolved without sequelae after 4.4 h. Contrary to the intended study design, this new attack was reported by the study center as an adverse event. The other patient had an adverse event of influenza-like illness, which was assessed by the investigator as being possibly related to the treatment. This adverse event resolved within 1 day without sequelae.

No consistent or clinically relevant changes in vital signs occurred during the study. None of the subjects tested positive for markers of human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis virus, or parvovirus B19 when tested after the first administration of C1-INH concentrate.

Discussion

C1-INH concentrate is the recommended first-line treatment for HAE attacks at all body locations [7]. Because
laryngeal attacks are potentially life-threatening, and because the medical community generally lacks knowledge about this rare disorder, it is recommended that patients have an emergency supply of C1-INH concentrate at home or with them when they travel. However, C1-INH concentrate has yet to be licensed in some countries for the treatment of HAE attacks. Other treatment options, including fresh frozen plasma and/or epsilon-amino-caproic acid, are variable in their efficacy and can be associated with significant inherent side effects [21]. Therapeutic options that are effective for histamine-induced angioedema, such as corticosteroids and antihistamines, are not effective in the treatment of HAE attacks [8].

Although there is now more than 20 years of clinical experience with C1-INH concentrate in the treatment of acute HAE attacks [2], information on its efficacy in the emergency treatment of laryngeal edema is limited [16]. Furthermore, almost all of the clinical experience with C1-INH concentrate has been obtained using fixed dosing with 500 or 1,000 U. Until recently, few data had been published from randomized, controlled studies to demonstrate the efficacy of C1-INH concentrate compared to placebo. Where such studies had been conducted, only low numbers of patients were analyzed [18, 20]. This deficit was addressed by the recent I.M.P.A.C.T.1 study, which demonstrated the statistically significant efficacy of a weight-based dose of C1-INH concentrate (20 U/kg) compared to placebo in the treatment of abdominal and facial HAE attacks in 124 patients [15]. Laryngeal attacks were not investigated in I.M.P.A.C.T.1 due to ethical concerns about treating this potentially life-threatening type of attack with placebo.

Against this background, our current study used an open-label setting to assess whether the beneficial efficacy of the 20 U/kg dose of C1-INH concentrate seen in the placebo-controlled I.M.P.A.C.T.1 study is also applicable to the treatment of laryngeal attacks. We prospectively investigated the efficacy of C1-INH concentrate in the emergency treatment of 39 acute laryngeal attacks in 16 patients with HAE. To our knowledge, this is the largest number of laryngeal attacks that have been investigated in a prospective study. The primary efficacy endpoint indicated that C1-INH concentrate given at a single dose of 20 U/kg induced a rapid onset of relief from the symptoms of the laryngeal attacks. The median time to onset of relief reported by the patients was 15 min, thereby substantially reducing the risk of asphyxiation. In retrospective reports of laryngeal attacks treated with the same C1-INH concentrate at fixed doses of up to 1,000 U, the average time to onset of symptom relief was 15 to 42 min [5, 16, 22]. Similarly, for laryngeal attacks treated with a different C1-INH concentrate at doses of 25 U/kg or up to 2,000 U, the time to onset of symptom relief ranged from 20 to 60 min [20, 23]. Untreated laryngeal attacks can progress for 8 to 12 h, after which the symptoms persist for 12 to 24 h and slowly subside thereafter, with a mean overall duration of 103 h having been reported for 342 untreated attacks [5, 24]. In the one controlled study in which laryngeal attacks were treated with placebo (four attacks in four patients), the mean time between treatment and onset of relief was 15 min [20]. The symptoms of laryngeal attacks treated with C1-INH concentrate in our study started to subside rapidly by 6 to 78 min after treatment and were relatively short in duration (complete resolution after a mean of 13.9 h after treatment [median of 8.3 h]). Thus, despite the unavoidable limitation of our study being uncontrolled, the comparison with these historical data for untreated or placebo-treated attacks nevertheless indicate the efficacy of C1-INH at the recommended dose of 20 U/kg in the treatment of laryngeal attacks.
edema attacks. There was no evidence in our study for rebound edema after treatment of laryngeal attacks with C1-INH concentrate, as indicated by no new attacks having occurred before complete resolution of the previous attack [15]. Compared to acute HAE attacks at other body locations, laryngeal attacks show the most rapid response to infusion of C1-INH concentrate [22], which was supported by our results for abdominal, facial, and peripheral attacks treated with the same weight-based dose of C1-INH concentrate in the I.M.P.A.C.T.2 study (median time to onset of symptom relief was 20 min for abdominal attacks, 28 min for facial attacks, and 31 min for peripheral attacks) (data on file).

Rare laryngeal attacks are experienced by approximately 50% of HAE patients at least once in their lifetime and represent a continuous threat to these patients because they mostly occur spontaneously and without warning [4, 5, 25]. An increased risk of developing laryngeal edema has been associated with the following factors: age between 11 and 45 years, general anesthesia with intubation, history of laryngeal edema, dental surgery, and facial edema. Although the first clinical symptoms of HAE are generally abdominal or facial attacks, and laryngeal attacks occur later in life [5], the first clinical symptom of HAE can neverthless be a life-threatening laryngeal attack, as demonstrated by the case of a 9-year-old boy who died from a laryngeal attack that was the first clinical sign of his known C1-INH deficiency [10]. The rapid onset of relief observed in our study, with all attacks being treated successfully, provides reassurance that the recommended dose of 20 U/kg for C1-INH concentrate is a reliable therapeutic option for the emergency treatment of laryngeal HAE attacks.

The time period available for the emergency treatment of laryngeal edema lies between the start of the attack and its maximum development. This period was an average of 8.3 h for untreated attacks in 61 HAE patients and was relatively constant in patients experiencing more than one laryngeal attack [5]. In our study, C1-INH concentrate was mostly administered during the critical time before maximum development of the laryngeal attack, with the infusion being given a median of 2.8 h after the estimated start of the attack. None of the patients with acute laryngeal attacks treated with C1-INH concentrate in our prospective study, or with the same C1-INH concentrate in the retrospective case-collection study of Bork et al. [5], required emergency procedures such as intubation or tracheotomy, and all patients survived the potentially life-threatening edema. In contrast, intubation or tracheotomy was necessary in 10% of the 61 patients who were not treated with C1-INH concentrate in the case-collection study and 1 of these patients died of asphyxiation [5]. Only 1 of the 99 patients experiencing laryngeal HAE attacks who were treated with a different C1-INH concentrate had to undergo tracheotomy despite C1-INH therapy [23].

The safety analyses in our study revealed no signals of concern, concurring with the excellent safety profile of C1-INH concentrate that has been reported in numerous studies [2, 15, 17, 22, 26, 27]. One concern with the use of plasma-derived products such as C1-INH concentrate is the potential risk for virus transmission to recipients. The manufacture of the highly purified C1-INH concentrate used in our study includes various measures to ensure effective virus and prion reduction, resulting in a high safety margin for this product [28, 29]. During our study, there was no evidence that the C1-INH treatment was associated with infections of human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis virus, or parvovirus B19, adding to the experience with more than 400,000 treatments to date with Berinert in patients experiencing acute HAE attacks during which no detectable viral transmission occurred.

Conclusions

Our results indicate that C1-INH concentrate at the recommended weight-based dose of 20 U/kg [15] is a highly effective and safe emergency treatment for potentially life-threatening laryngeal attacks in patients with HAE by providing rapid symptom relief in all treated patients. Persistence of efficacy with repeated administration was demonstrated because our study as a whole assessed the use of C1-INH concentrate in the treatment of successive HAE attacks (also for attacks at other body locations). Thus, our findings provide reassurance that C1-INH concentrate administered at a dose of 20 U/kg is a reliable, long-term option for the emergency treatment of laryngeal attacks, reducing the risk of asphyxiation should this rare type of HAE attack occur.
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