Implementation of an enhanced discharge teaching protocol to reduce 30-day hospital readmissions in adults diagnosed with Sickle Cell Disease
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ABSTRACT

Background and objectives: Sickle Cell Disease is a painful genetic disorder characterized by abnormal hemoglobin that affects 1-in-365 African American births. Individuals with Sickle Cell Disease often experience frequent hospitalizations, resulting in 30-day readmission rates 2.5 times higher than those without Sickle Cell Disease. Discharge education programs have shown to improve 30-day readmissions and improve health outcomes.

Methods: This advanced practice nurse-led initiative incorporated components of the Re-Engineered Discharge (Project RED) protocol, which included patient and medication education, specific discharge teaching, and post-discharge follow-up phone calls over a 12-week period. A pre/posttest design was used to evaluate 30-day readmission. Analyses included descriptive statistics and Fisher’s exact test.

Results: Of the (N = 10) participants, eight (80%) were female, with a mean age of 31.08 (± 4.33) and all were African Americans. Although not statistically significant, there was a 48% reduction in 30-day readmissions rates between the pre/post intervention periods.

Conclusions: The utilization of an enhanced discharge teaching protocol is a practical solution to increase patients’ readiness for discharge and to reduce 30-day readmission rates. Project RED discharge teaching protocol is an effective strategy for nurses to employ to improve patient outcomes and quality of care for persons with Sickle Cell Disease.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Sickle cell disease (SCD) is an inherited genetic disorder that occurs in one out of every 365 Black or African-descent American births and affects approximately 100,000 Americans in the United States.¹ ² SCD is diagnosed based on the appearance of abnormal hemoglobin S, which causes red blood cells to become stiff and abnormally shaped. This results in reduced oxygen-carrying capacity, slowed or stopped blood flow, all of which can lead to vaso-occlusive crises.¹ ³ Vaso-occlusive crises are the most prevalent manifestations of SCD and are precipitated by conditions such as dehydration, illness, stress, high altitudes, or weather temperature changes.² ³ The management and treatment of vaso-occlusive crises include frequent hospitalizations, which can result in
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The purpose of this quality improvement project was to evaluate the effect of a nurse-led evidence-based discharge teaching education program on 30-day readmissions in adults admitted for SCD. The objectives of this project were to implement a nurse-led discharge education program and evaluate 30-day readmission rates among patients admitted with SCD.

### 2. METHODS

#### 2.1 Design, setting, and sample

For this quality improvement project, an advanced practice nurse led the initiative to incorporate an evidence-based discharge process. The pre-post project sought to improve the discharge teaching process and reduce 30-day readmission rates for patients admitted with SCD. The project was implemented over a 12-week time period, from October 2019 to December 2019 at a 449-bed metropolitan community hospital located in the Mid-Atlantic region of the United States. Data collection was conducted on a 30-bed hematology/oncology unit with a population consisting predominantly of adults admitted with SCD or cancer diagnoses.
2.2 Theoretical framework
The translation framework that guided this quality improvement project was the Knowledge-to-Action Model (see Figure 1). The Knowledge-to-Action framework allowed us to focus on the relationships among the problem of high 30-day readmission rates in persons with SCD, the processes, the outcomes and sustaining the knowledge for long-term use. In the Knowledge-to-Action framework, knowledge is conceptualized as moving through a funnel until it is translated and used. Knowledge-to-Action framework is composed of two distinct, but related components: Knowledge Creation and Action Cycle. These two components ensured that there was a systematic process with feedback loops that we were able to utilize in implementing an enhanced discharge teaching protocol to reduce 30-day readmissions rates in persons with SCD.

In the knowledge creation phase, we identified gaps in the current discharge teaching for persons admitted with SCD and reviewed the literature, which supported the use of enhanced discharge teaching protocols as means of reducing 30-day readmission rates. The Re-Engineered Discharge (Project RED) protocol, an evidence-based intervention, has been shown to reduce 30-day readmission rates. After adapting the knowledge to our problem of high 30-day readmission rates in our patient population, it was important to assess the barriers. Some of the barriers identified as threats to the success of the project were: time constraints for nurses due to competing priorities of also caring for oncology patients, resistance to change, limited knowledge of using evidenced-based guidelines to manage persons with SCD, and high nurse/patient ratio.

The action cycle phase is comprised of several phases that are fundamental in translating knowledge into practice. The phases include identification of the problem, seeking out knowledge relevant to solving the problem, and adapting it to the local context. The next step involved assessing potential barriers that may limit utilizing the knowledge and then incorporating strategies to mitigate barriers and promote knowledge utilization.

The final steps included implementing the interventions, monitoring the outcomes and sustaining knowledge utilization. During project implementation, we incorporated components of Project RED to enhance the current discharge teaching for persons admitted with SCD. Specific interventions were implemented, such as teaching patients about their disease and medications, making outpatient follow-up appointments before discharge and 48 hours post-discharge follow-up phone calls. This quality improvement project implemented components of Project RED over 12 weeks. It then measured nurses’ adherence to using Project RED and 30-day readmission rates pre- and post-intervention.

Figure 1. Knowledge-to-action model
Adapted from The Knowledge to Action Framework. From Graham I, Logan J, Harrison M, Strauss S, Tetroe J, Caswell W, Robinson N: Lost in knowledge translation: time for a map? The Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions. 2006; 26: 13-24.
2.3 Intervention: Project RED protocol
The Project RED protocol is a nationally recognized evidence-based initiative shown to reduce 30-day readmission rates. Project RED has components that enhance the discharge process such as comprehensive discharge teaching, disease and medication management, patient education, and post-discharge follow-up. One of the guiding principles of Project RED is that all patients should leave the hospital with an easy-to-understand discharge plan. This is accomplished with the After-Hospital Care Plan, which encourages hospitalized persons to become more active participants in their care. In addition, the After-Hospital Care Plan ensures that patients are better able to take care of themselves when they go home. Project RED also uses discharge educators to provide post-discharge follow-up telephone calls to assess clinical status and to reinforce the plan of care. Project RED has been successful in improving patients’ communication and understanding of clinical outcomes, enhanced discharge preparation, and scheduling follow-up appointments. The success of Project RED led to a partnership between Boston University Medical Center, who are the developers, and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality to disseminate the program nationwide.

2.4 Nurse-led implementation
For this quality improvement project, nurses performed more thorough and detailed discharge teaching with the use of the components of Project RED. The components included the following: (1) educating person with SCD on management and strategies to prevent and reduce vaso-occlusive crises, (2) smoking cessation, (3) medication management, and (4) providing copies of educational material on SCD. The After-Hospital Care Plan and the Discharge Educator components of Project RED was incorporated into the unit-based discharge teaching to 10 patients over a 12-week time period. Nurses received training on the Project RED intervention. The After-Hospital Care Plan handout provided interventions specific to SCD, focused on medication management, dietary and exercise recommendations, smoking cessation recommendations and encouraging early post-discharge follow-up appointments (see Figure 2). A trained discharge nurse educator involved with the project made follow-up telephone call within 48 hours of each person being discharged. During the follow-up telephone call, ten questions to reinforce discharge instructions. The post-discharge follow-up checklist was adapted from the After Hospital Care Plan section of the Project RED protocol, which included inquiries regarding the receipt of SCD education, follow-up appointments, and medication reconciliation (see Figure 3). Nurses were provided with daily reinforcement and support using the enhanced discharge teaching protocol. All the trained nurses were expected to utilize the discharge teaching with all patients who received the education program.

2.5 Ethical considerations
This study was reviewed by the organization’s Institutional Review Board and was deemed as quality improvement. Informed consent was not required because the intervention was integrated into usual care for all patients admitted for vaso-occlusive crises related to SCD.

2.6 Measures
The outcome measure 30-day readmission rates. The effectiveness of the intervention was evaluated by comparing the 30-day readmission rates before and after implementing the enhanced discharge-teaching program.

2.7 Analysis
Descriptive statistics were used to summarize sociodemographic characteristics for individuals admitted with SCD. A Fisher’s Exact Test was used to compare 30-day readmission rates before and after the intervention. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 24.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Socio demographic characteristics
Of the (N = 10) participants, eight (80%) were female, with a mean age of 31.08 (± 4.33) and all were African Americans. The highest level of education completed among the sample was “some college”, while only two of the ten participants reported having full-time employment (see Table 1).

3.2 Thirty-day readmission rates
During the 12-week implementation period, there were two of 10 patients (20%) who received the Project RED education and, were readmitted within 30-days. Eight of the ten participants responded to the follow-up phone calls. Of the eight participants who responded to follow-up calls, one was readmitted within 30-day. The other participant who was readmitted within 30-days was between the two participants who did not receive the follow-up call. In the 2017, comparison groups using treatment as usual showed 65 of 170 patients (38.24) were readmitted. A percentage change calculator was used to compare readmission rates between the pre and post intervention periods. This represented a 48% reduction in readmission rates for patients receiving the discharge teaching protocol. Although there was a decrease in readmissions between both periods, a Fisher’s Exact test showed there was no significant difference in the proportion of persons readmitted (p = .329).
Figure 2. Managers’ and nurses’ competence assessments

| Attempt #1 | Date & Time | Reached | Yes | No |
|------------|-------------|---------|-----|----|
|            |             |         |     |    |
| Attempt #2 | Date & Time | Reached |     |    |
|            |             |         |     |    |

1. Patient received discharge plan with information and reasons for admission.
2. Patient received written information on discharge related to vaso-occlusive crisis SCD
3. Patient received information on early identification of triggers to reduce vaso-occlusive crises in SCD
4. Patient knew when to call the doctor
5. Patient had follow-up appointment scheduled for sickle cell disease management prior to discharge.
6. Patient received written information on medication(s) and understood the purpose of his/her medications.
7. Patient knew when to take medications
8. Patient told about test results
9. Patient at high risk of readmission has follow-up phone calls scheduled prior to discharge.
10. Was the patient admitted in the past 30 days?

Adapted from Project RED [9]

Figure 3. Post-Discharge Follow-up Phone Checklist

2.6 Measures
The outcome measure 30-day readmission rates. The effectiveness of the intervention was evaluated by comparing the 30-day readmission rates before and after implementing the enhanced discharge-teaching program.

2.7 Analysis
Descriptive statistics were used to summarize sociodemographic characteristics for individuals admitted with SCD. A Fisher’s Exact Test was used to compare 30-day readmission rates before and after the intervention. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS® software version 24. (21)
In previous studies, Project RED has been successful in reducing 30-day readmission rates by enhancing the discharge process not only for all-cause readmission but also for the three penalized Centers for Medicare and Medicaid conditions: congestive heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, and pneumonia.\[^{10,14,15}\] Additionally, Project RED has led to the following: endorsed readiness for discharge; reduced costs by $412 per person; branded the hospital as a high-quality facility; and improved persons and family satisfaction.\[^{15}\] These results indicate that improving the discharge process can ultimately increase patients’ health outcomes, reduce all-cause mortality, and reduce the financial burden for health care.

The role of the nurses in the collaboration with patients and caregivers has been found to have a positive correlation on patients and caregivers’ knowledge of their disease process, medications and compliance treatment plan and follow-up care.\[^{10}\] Nurses were able to incorporate the enhanced discharge teaching of Project RED in usual care. Similar to other studies, our study demonstrated that with the use of Project RED protocol, nurse were instrumental in reducing hospital utilization, improving patient preparation for discharge and increasing follow-up care after discharge.

### Limitations

The implementation of an enhanced discharge teaching protocol on a busy hematology unit presented some challenges and limitations. One major limitation was the small sample size of participants. This was primarily due to the inclusion criteria of using only participants admitted to one unit. Additionally, the small sample size was due to low-census in SCD-related hospital admissions over the 12-week period. Patients with SCD are more likely to experience vaso-occlusive crises [requiring hospitalization] in the colder, winter months.\[^{2}\] Future research is suggested to formally test the efficacy of an enhanced discharge teaching and support intervention. Despite these limitations, this quality improvement project demonstrated that nurses were instrumental in improving the discharge process and overall care of persons with SCD and that patients had fewer readmissions with the enhanced discharge teaching.

### 5. Conclusion

High readmission rates continue to be a significant challenge in the successful management of patients with chronic conditions, such as SCD. Improving the discharge process is an effective strategy that can reduce readmission rates and improve the overall care of persons with SCD. This quality improvement project implemented an enhanced discharge teaching protocol to reduce high 30-day readmission rates.
in persons with SCD. Components of Project RED such as disease and medication education, scheduling post-discharge appointments and post-discharge follow-up telephone calls were implemented to enhance the discharge process. These components are all nurse-driven interventions that have been shown to improve the discharge process. Tailoring the discharge process to the specific needs of persons with SCD can help better prepare them for discharge, improve health outcomes, and ultimately reduce hospitalizations and overall healthcare costs.
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