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Research Progress in the Mechanism of Effect of PRP in Bone Deficiency Healing
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Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) therapy is a recently developed technique that uses a concentrated portion of autologous blood to try to improve and accelerate the healing of various tissues. There is a considerable interest in using these PRP products for the treatment used in bone deficiency healing. Because PRP products are safe and easy to prepare and administer, there has been increased attention toward using PRP in numerous clinical settings. The benefits of PRP therapy appear to be promising, and many investigators are exploring the ways in which this therapy can be used in the clinical setting. At present, the molecular mechanisms of bone defect repair studies have focused on three aspects of the inflammatory cytokines, growth factors and angiogenic factors. The role of PRP works mainly through these three aspects of bone repair. The purpose of this paper is to review the current evidence on the mechanism of the effect of PRP in bone deficiency healing.

1. Introduction

Bone defects are very challenging in the management of patients. They can result from a high-energy traumatic event, from large bone resection for different pathologies such as tumour or infection, or from the treatment of complex fractures [1]. Significant bone defects or nonunion fractures may usually require bone grafting in order to fill the defect, for bone grafts could fill spaces and provide support, and enhance the biological repair of the defect. Bone grafting is recommended as a common surgical procedure [2].

Autologous bone grafts are widely considered as a gold standard for a number of reasons, including osteogenic, osteoconductive, osteoinductive properties, and the lack of disease transmission or of immunogenicity [3]. They can be utilized to treat patients with nonunion, poor osteogenic potential, highly comminuted fractures and osteomyelitis. However, the use of autograft may be at risk of major drawbacks, such as limited availability and variable quality of the graft, hematoma, infection, increased operative time and bleeding, chronic donor site pain, and additional cost [4]. In addition, the amount of autograft is limited. To overcome these disadvantages, bone substitutes may be used instead [5]. Many recent studies have focused on the development of novel bone graft substitutes for the last decades [4, 6–8].

Platelets play an important role in the initial wound healing, and bleeding from the wound leads to rapid activation of platelets that release multiple growth factors and cytokines involved in healing [9]. Since the first demonstration of new bone formation with a combination of autogenous bone graft and PRP, this pioneering work, a large body of data obtained by preclinical animal studies has supported the utility of PRP in human clinical settings [10, 11]. PRP may provide optimistic prospects for bone graft procedures.

2. Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP)

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP), with a platelet concentration of at least 1000 000 platelets/L in 5 mL of plasma, containing a 3-to-5-fold increase in growth factor concentrations, is associated with the enhancement of healing [12]. Cellular events that follow tissue damage are controlled among others by platelets and the released growth factors. Platelets release a large variety of growth factors and cytokines after they adhere, aggregate, and form a fibrin mesh [13]. Furthermore, artificial recombinant growth factors often require further
Bone has a substantial capacity for repair and regeneration in response to injury occurs by surgery, various diseases, or trauma. Both processes involve a complex integration of cells, growth factors, and the extracellular matrix [15, 16]. PRP can potentially enhance healing by the delivery of various growth factors and cytokines from the α-granules contained in platelets [17]. The basic cytokines, which identified platelets, play important roles in cell proliferation, chemotaxis, cell differentiation, and angiogenesis. Bioactive factors are also contained in the dense granules in platelets. The dense granules contain serotonin, histamine, dopamine, calcium, and adenosine [18]. These nongrowth factors have fundamental effects on the biologic aspects of wound healing. At present, the molecular mechanisms of bone defect repair studies have focused on three aspects of the inflammatory cytokines, growth factors, and angiogenic factors. The role of PRP works through these three aspects of bone repair [19]. Growth factors and cytokines in PRP associated with different mechanisms are shown in Table 1.

### Table 1: Growth factors and cytokines in PRP in different mechanisms.

| Mechanisms                     | Growth factors and cytokines                                                                 | Function                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Proinflammatory cytokines     | IL1, IL6, and TNF-alpha [26, 27]                                                            | Important role in the early responses of bone repair                    |
| Growth factors                | Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) [36], transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta, platelet-derived epidermal growth factor (PDEGF), platelet-derived angiogenesis factor (PDAF) [37], insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1), and platelet factor 4 (PF-4) [38, 39], vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF), and endothelial growth factors (EGF) [40, 41] | Help the regeneration of tissues with low healing potential, potentially restoring biomechanical properties similar to normal bone |
| Angiogenesis factors          | Vascular growth factor (VGF), VEGE, platelet derived membrane microparticles (PMP), and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) [10] | Promote angiogenesis rapidly in the bone graft in the early stage       |
| Factors in other mechanisms of PRP | Serotonin, histamine, dopamine, calcium, and adenosine [18]                               | In the dense granules in platelets and have fundamental effects on the biologic aspects of wound healing |

3. Mechanisms of PRP on Repair of Bone Defects

Bone has a substantial capacity for repair and regeneration in response to injury occurs by surgery, various diseases, or trauma. Both processes involve a complex integration of cells, growth factors, and the extracellular matrix [15, 16]. The major proinflammatory cytokines that are responsible for early responses are IL1, IL6, and TNF-alpha [26, 27]. The expression of TNF-α and IL-1 in fractures follows a biphasic pattern, with a peak during the initiation of fracture repair, followed by a second peak at the transition from chondrogenesis to osteogenesis during endochondral maturation [28, 29]. A femoral fracture model using IL-6 knockout mice also demonstrated delayed callus remodeling and mineralization [30], and both TNF-α and IL-1β have been shown to recruit osteoblasts [31]. Furthermore, a study using human-fraught bone fragments and murine model identified the pivotal role of TNF-α in enhancing fracture healing and showed that PRP may suppress IL-1 release from activated macrophages [32].

3.2. PRP in the Role of Growth Factors Promotes Bone Repair. Autologous platelet preparations have demonstrated the potential to modify the natural healing pathway of bone in several ways. The action is related to the increased concentration of growth factors and bioactive proteins released by activated platelets, which seem able to help the regeneration of tissues that otherwise have low healing potential [33, 34], potentially restoring biomechanical properties similar to normal bone [35]. The application of PRP amplifies the surge of chemical mediators to the microenvironment of the injured area. The growth factors released from the platelets include platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) [36], transforming growth factor-(TGF-)β, platelet-derived epidermal growth factor (PDEGF), platelet-derived angiogenesis factor (PDAF) [37], insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1), and platelet factor 4 (PF-4) [36, 38, 39]. The best known growth factors include PDGF, TGF-β1, and PDGF, and IGF-1. Other growth factors present in platelet granules are the vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) and endothelial growth factors (EGF) [40, 41]. Each of these factors has its own role to play.

3.1. PRP in the Role of Inflammatory Cytokines Promotes Bone Repair. There is increasing evidence that inflammation plays a vital role in early fracture repair [20]. Consequently, platelets are stimulated to aggregate and secrete growth factors, cytokines, and hemostatic factors critical in the early stages of the intrinsic and extrinsic pathways of the clotting cascade. Inflammatory reactions involve a number of biochemical and cellular alterations, the extent of which correlates with the extent of the initial trauma [21, 22]. Histamine and serotonin are released by platelets and both function to increase capillary permeability, which allows inflammatory cells greater access to the wound site and activates macrophages [23, 24]. Adenosine receptor activation modulates inflammation during wound healing [25].
3.2.1. PDGF. PDGF could be found in platelets and especially in the alpha granules and could also be found in other cells, such as macrophages, endothelial cells, monocytes, and fibroblasts, as well as in bone matrix [42]. It has a heterodimeric structure, consisting of two different A and B chains. AA and BB homodimers are also found in platelets and demonstrate similar activity [43]. The reason for three distinct forms remains unclear, but differential binding by various receptor cells such as endothelium, fibroblasts, macrophages, and marrow stem cells has been suggested [44]. As a result of the presence of platelets in the blood clot, PDGF is the first growth factor in a wound, stimulating revascularization, collagen synthesis, and bone regeneration [45, 46]. PDGF’s role in the wound healing process consists in the stimulation of mitogenesis to increase the number of regenerative cells, angiogenesis stimulation to support the development of new vessels, and the activation of macrophages responsible for wound cleaning and being a secondary source of growth factors [47]. The PDGF acts through alpha receptors from cell membrane, releasing a cascade of intracellular reactions triggering expression of appropriate genes. Damage to PDGF receptors could lead to disturbances in facial and spinal bone embryogenesis. In addition, transforming growth factors is a name that refers to a group of compounds which also contains BMPs [48].

The recent studies mostly focused on the effect of PDGF on mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). Kreja et al. suggested that human nonresorbing osteoclasts could induce migration and osteogenic differentiation (OD) of MSCs, and effects on MSCs migration might be mainly due to PDGF-BB [49]. Ng et al. identified that activin-mediated TGF-beta signaling, PDGF signaling, and fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling as the key pathways involved in MSCs differentiation. Meanwhile, genes of the PDGF pathway are expressed strongly in undifferentiated MSCs. Fresh frozen pooled plasma (FFPP), which is rich in PDGF, has been used to replace serum for undifferentiated MSCs. Fresh frozen pooled plasma (FFPP), while, genes of the PDGF pathway are expressed strongly in the alpha granules and could also be found in other cells, such as macrophages, endothelial cells, monocytes, and fibroblasts, as well as in bone matrix [42].

3.2.2. Transforming Growth Factor Beta. Among TGFs found in PRP, TGF-β1 and β2 are basic growth factors and differentiation factors which are involved in connective tissue healing and bone regeneration. TGF-β could activate the Smad path (Smad2 and Smad3) through the Serine/threonine kinase receptors I and II [52]. TGF-β has been observed to promote extracellular matrix production [53], stimulate biosynthesis of type I collagen and fibronectin, and induce deposition of bone matrix [54]. Accordingly, TGF-β could not only initiate bone regeneration but also support long-term healing and bone regeneration, and also remodelling of the maturing bone transplant [55, 56]. However, the most important function of TGF-β1 and -β2 is chemotaxis and mitogenesis of preosteoblasts and the ability to stimulate collagen deposition during connective tissue healing and bone formation [57]. Moreover, this factor inhibits osteoclast formation and bone resorption, which contributes to the predominance of bone formation over bone resorption [58]. And TGF-β could start the signal path of osteoprogenitor cell synthesizing BMP, regulating the expression of growth factors in bone and cartilage tissue [59].

3.2.3. Insulin-Like Growth Factor 1. The third important protein appearing in platelet granules in the blood is the IGF-1. IGF-1 deposits in bone matrix, endotheliocyte, and chondrocyte, releases during bone regeneration process and is responsible for the bone formation-bone resorption interaction [60]. The presence of IGF-1 in platelets could influence osteoblasts and preosteoblasts, initiate osteogenesis, and inhibit the apoptosis of the bone cells and expression of the mesenchymal collagen enzyme, decreasing its degradation [61]. Meanwhile, IGF-1 could bind to a specific receptor on the cell membrane and stimulate cells which take part in osteogenesis. The study showed that application of IGF-1 to the surface of rat molars could promote cementogenesis, and in combination with PDGF, bone formation on implant surfaces could be increased [62]. In addition, the biological effect of IGF-1 could be regulated by IGF binding proteins (IGFBPs), and IGFBP could transport the IGF-1 and increase its half-life period [63].

3.3. The Effect of PRP in Angiogenesis Factors Promoting Bone Reparation. Osteogenesis needs sufficient blood supply, and in the last remodeling stage of endochondral ossification, specified matrix metalloproteinase could degrade cartilage and bone to cause vessel grow. There are two independent ways of angiogenesis: one depends on VEGF, and the other depends on angiogenin. VEGF mainly affects new-born vessels growing and specific mitogen of endothelial cell, while angiogenin mainly affects large vessels and collateral circulation forming.

It is a vital step to promote angiogenesis rapidly in the bone graft in the early stage and long-term process of ossification. Local application of vascular growth factor (VGF) is proved advantageous for local vessels growth, skeletogenous cell aggregation and ossification, and adipose stem cell (ASC) could have some effects in this process [64]. Holstein et al. showed that the angiogenesis was extremely active in the process of bone repair in a mouse cranial defects model [65]. Some other researchers found that angiogenesis factors could promote bone repair, inversely antiangiogenesis factors could suppress it.

The sufficient VGFs in PRP and the quick mobilization of growth factors could be in favour of the local vessel growth, especially in angiogenesis of no artificial bone graft of cells. Some factors are considered to be associated with increasing the vascularization potential of PRP, including the concentration of plasmase, activation of Ca²⁺, releasing of VEGF, formation of platelet, and only containing histomonocyte in leucocyte [66]. Kim et al. used PRP (which contains sufficient VGF, VEGF, PMP, and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and no peripheral blood heterophil granulocyte (PBPMNs)) and transplanted into defective skull of rats. They found that angiogenic factor-enriched PRP could lead to faster and more extensive new bone formation in the critical size bone defect, and rapid angiogenesis in the initial healing.
period by PRP could be supposed as a way to overcome short-
term effect of the rapid angiogenesis [10]. In addition, Annabi
et al. studied a platelet-derived bioactive lysophospholipid,
named SIP, and indicated a crucial role for SIP/EDG-1-
mediated angiogenic and survival events in the regulation
of microvascular network remodeling by MSC which might
provide a new molecular link between hemostasis and angio-
genesis processes [67].

Marrow-original mesenchyme stem cells play an impor-
tant role in vessel growth, especially in ischemia tissue and
tumor. It is known that VEGF can aggregate MSC to new
vessels and regulate MSCs differentiating to vessel cells.
Steffen Massberg showed that platelets could provide the
critical signal that recruits CD34+ bone marrow cells and c-
Kit+ Sca-1+Lin− bone marrow-derived progenitor cells to
sites of vascular injury. Correspondingly, specific inhibition
of platelet adhesion virtually abrogated the accumulation of
both CD34+ and c-Kit+ Sca-1+ Lin− bone marrow-derived
growth factors and bonegraft.

3.4. Other Mechanisms of PRP. Platelet resuspension solution
(PRS) is another product of platelet. Chevallier et al. found
that MSCs cultured in PRS both accelerated the expansion
rate over serial passages and spontaneously induces
osteoblastic gene expression such as alkaline phosphatase
(ALP), bone sialoprotein (BSP), osteopontin (Op), and bone
morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) in vitro, implying that
PRS could accelerate MSC proliferation and enhance MSCs
osteogenic differentiation [70]. Verrier et al. supplemented
PRS in the cultures of MSCs assessed the typical osteoblastic
markers at up to 28 days postconfluence, and showed an
increased expression of typical osteoblastic marker genes
such as collagen I alpha 1, bone sialoprotein II, BMP-2, and
MMP-13, as well as increased Ca²⁺ incorporation, suggesting
that the effect of PRS on human MSC could be at least
partially mediated by BMP-2 [71]. But there has not been
article reporting the interaction between PRP and BMP-2.

Meanwhile, Duan et al. found that PRP could stimulate
initial growth of MSCs in a COX2 partially dependent
manner, and this process could be depressed by Celebrex [72],
implying that the mechanism of PRP might be related with
a pathway of prostaglandin. But researches in this area are
rare, so concrete mechanism is unknown. In addition, the
contents of fibronectin, vitronectin, and fibrin in PRP are also
higher than normal tissue. The fibronectin and vitronectin are
important adhesion molecules in bone reparation, and fibrin
plays a frame-like role in cell transformation.

The effect of platelet to promote bone repair may stay
in early stage, because the life of platelet is only about 5–
7 days. After 10 minutes of thrombosis, platelet microne
begins releasing into the trauma circumstance, and full
releases in a hour almost [33]. Although protein releasing
could last an hour, the half life of GF and other cell factors
just last few minutes. In the following stage, macrophage,
aggregated by PDGF, might play a more important role.
After PRP being injected into the defective region of bone,
it could form a low oxygen acid die cavity which contains
sufficient platelet, karyocyte, leucocyte, and collagen fiber,
adjacent with the bone cell, osteoblast, and MSC. The oxygen
difference between die cavity and the surrounding tissue
could promote macrophage aggregating in trauma site.

4. The Application of PRP in Bone Healing

Studies of tissue engineering showed that composite tissue
engineering scaffolds with growth factors have good bone
inductivity and conductivity. Likewise, the application of PRP
composite artificial bone or bio-derived bone showed the
same conclusion. So far, a number of studies have focused on
how PRP affected bone healing with or without growth
factors and bone graft.

Studies showed that the use of PRP with bone graft
could significantly improve the quality of bone healing in
rabbit model [73, 74]. Hakimi et al. demonstrated that
PRP combined with autologous cancellous graft leads to a
significantly better bone regeneration compared to isolated
application of autologous cancellous graft in an in vivo critical
size defect on load-bearing long bones of minipigs [75].
Meanwhile, Yamada et al. demonstrated in a canine model
that the combination of mesenchymal stem cells with PRP
resulted in a higher maturation of bone [76]. Similarly, Han
et al. recently published an article based on the use of PRP as
an autologous source of growth factors that can enhance the
quality and quantity of osteogenesis [77]. The use of isolated
cells with a biocompatible matrix in combination with PRP
maximizes the effects of growth factors on these cells [12]. In
addition, Giovanini et al. evaluated the effect of platelet-rich
plasma (PRP) and autograft on the presence of type III and
type I collagens, as well as the presence of CD34+ progenitor
cells of the bone tissue in bone defect model on the calvarium
of 23 rabbits. They found that the use of PRP in this study
could hinder bone deposition, also enhanced type III to type
I collagen ratio and the chemotaxis of CD34+ progenitor cells
[78].

However, the studies on the effect of PRP on bone healing
were not all positive. Sanchez et al. applied PRP to clinical
nonunions and reported on their retrospective case after
failed surgical fixation 21 months previously [79]. Mixed
results were reported and therefore no definitive conclusions
could be drawn.

5. The Prospect of the Application of PRP in
Bone Healing

The repair of large segmental bone defects due to trauma,
inflammation, and tumor surgery remains a major clinical
problem. Animal models were developed to test bone repair
by tissue engineering approaches, mimicking real clinical
situations. Studies differed with regard to animals, treated
bone, chemistry, and structure of the scaffolds. As the
advantage of PRP as a matrix for cells is that PRP is autologous
and nontoxic, it is inherently safe, and any concerns of disease transmission such as HIV, hepatitis, or Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease or immunogenic reactions that exist with allograft or xenograft preparations are eliminated. However, the preparation of PRP involves isolation of the PRP, after which gel formation is accelerated using calcium chloride and bovine thrombin. The use of bovine thrombin has been reported to be associated with the development of antibodies to factors V and XI, resulting in the risk of life-threatening coagulopathies [80]. Despite some benefits demonstrated to date, it must be acknowledged that the uses of PRP in bone healing applications are still weakly supported. Inferences regarding the potential establishment of platelet therapy as a reliable, efficacious, and safe therapy in managing the bone wound will require the completion of high-quality clinical trials with long-term followup. In particular, the supply of oxygen and nutrients to the cells in the inner part of the implanted scaffolds remains a major concern, requiring additional investigations [8].
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