Development of EMab-51, a Sensitive and Specific Anti-Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Monoclonal Antibody in Flow Cytometry, Western Blot, and Immunohistochemistry
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The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a transmembrane receptor that is involved in cell growth and differentiation. EGFR is a member of the human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER) family of receptor tyrosine kinases. EGFR homodimers or heterodimers with other HER members, such as HER2 and HER3, activate downstream signaling cascades in many cancers. In this study, we developed novel anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) and characterized their efficacy in flow cytometry, Western blot, and immunohistochemical analyses. First, we expressed the full-length or ectodomain of EGFR in LN229 glioblastoma cells and then immunized mice with LN229/EGFR or ectodomain of EGFR, and performed the first screening using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. Subsequently, we selected mAbs according to their efficacy in flow cytometry (second screening), Western blot (third screening), and immunohistochemical (fourth screening) analyses. Among 100 mAbs, only one clone EMab-51 (IgG1, kappa) reacted with EGFR in Western blot analysis. Finally, immunohistochemical analyses with EMab-51 showed sensitive and specific reactions against oral cancer cells, warranting the use of EMab-51 to detect EGFR in pathological analyses of EGFR-expressing cancers.
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Introduction

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a transmembrane receptor that is involved in cell growth and differentiation. EGFR is a member of the human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER) family of receptor tyrosine kinases. EGFR homodimers or heterodimers with other HER members, such as HER2 and HER3, activate downstream signaling cascades and control many biological processes. These pathways are frequently dysregulated via overexpression of EGFR in many cancers, including brain tumors, head and neck cancers, lung cancers, breast cancers, pancreatic cancers, kidney cancers, prostate cancers, ovary cancers, bladder cancers, and colorectal cancers.

EGFR is the first receptor target against which monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), including cetuximab (a mouse-human chimeric mAb; IgG1) against colorectal cancers and head and neck cancers, panitumumab (a fully human mAb; IgG2) against colorectal cancers, and necitumumab (a fully human mAb; IgG1) against non-small cell lung cancers, have been developed for cancer treatment. Anti-EGFR mAbs possess several functional mechanisms, including antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC), complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC), blocking dimerization or ligand binding, and EGFR endocytosis.

We have produced antipodoplanin (PDPN) cancer-specific mAbs (CasMabs), clone LpMab-2(8,9) and LpMab-23(10,11) which specifically recognize cancer-type PDPN in tumor tissues. For this technology, it is critical that immunogens are produced using cancer cell lines, such as LN229 glioblastoma cells, which express cancer-specific glycan-attached membrane proteins. This method could be used to develop useful
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mAbs against multiple membrane proteins. In this study, we produced sensitive and specific mAbs against EGFR using this technology.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell lines**

LN229, A431, Chinese hamster ovary (CHO)-K1, HEK-293T, MCF-10A, Met-5A, and P3U1 cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). HSC-2 and HSC-3 were obtained from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources (JCRB) Cell Bank (Osaka, Japan). LN229/EGFR and CHO/EGFR were produced by transfecting pCAG/PA-EGFR-RAP-MAP into LN229 and CHO-K1 cells using Neon transfection system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA) and Lipofectamine LTX (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.,) respectively. A few days after transfection, PA tag-positive cells were sorted using a cell sorter (SH800; Sony Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

CHO-K1, CHO/EGFR, and P3U1 cell lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Kyoto, Japan), and LN229, LN229/EGFR, A431, HSC-2, HSC-3, HEK-293T, MCF-10A, and Met-5A cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.,). LN229 and A431 (2 × 10⁵ cells) were suspended in 100 µL of RPMI medium supplemented with 5% CO₂ and 95% air.

**Animals**

Female 4-week-old BALB/c mice were purchased from CLEA Japan (Tokyo, Japan). Animals were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions. The Animal Care and Use Committee of Tohoku University approved all the animal experiments described in this study.

**Hybridoma production**

The ectodomain of EGFR with N-terminal PA tag and C-terminal RAP tag (EGFR) was purified from supernatant of LN229/EGFR using anti-RAP tag as described previously. BALB/c mice were immunized using intraperitoneal (i.p.) injections of LN229/EGFR cells or 100 µg of EGFR together with Imject Alum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.,). After several additional immunizations, a booster injection of LN229/EGFR cells or 100 µg of EGFR was intraperitoneally administered 2 days before harvesting spleen. Spleen cells were then fused with P3U1 cells using PEG1500 (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) or GenOMONE-CP (Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha, Ltd., Osaka, Japan).

The resulting hybridomas were grown in RPMI medium supplemented with hypoxanthine, aminopterin, and thymidine selection medium supplement (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.,). Culture supernatants were screened using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with EGFR, and mAbs were selected using flow cytometry (second screening), Western blot (third screening), and immunohistochemical analyses (fourth screening). MAbs were purified from supernatants of hybridomas cultured in Hybridoma-SFM medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.,) using Protein G Sepharose 4 Fast Flow (GE Healthcare UK Ltd., Buckinghamshire, England).

**Flow cytometry**

Cells were harvested by brief exposure to 0.25% trypsin/1-mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.). After washing with 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA)/phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), the cells were treated with 1 µg/mL of anti-EGFR (clone EMab-51) for 30 minutes at 4°C and then with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA). Fluorescence data were collected using EC800 or SA3800 Cell Analyzers (Sony Corp.).

**Western blot analysis**

Cell lysates (10 µg) were boiled in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) sample buffer (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.) and proteins were then electrophoresed on 5%–20% polyacrylamide gels (Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd., Osaka, Japan) and were transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). After blocking with 4% skim milk (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.), membranes were incubated with 10 gg/mL of anti-EGFR (clone EMab-51) and 1 µg/mL of anti-β-actin (clone AC-15; Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO), then with peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:1000 diluted; Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA), and were finally developed using ImmunoStar LD (Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd.) using a Sayaca-Imager (DRC Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

**Determination of the binding affinity using flow cytometry**

LN229 and A431 (2 × 10⁵ cells) were suspended in 100 µL of serially diluted mAbs (6 ng/mL–50 µg/mL), and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:200; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.,) was then added. Fluorescence data were collected using a cell analyzer (EC800; Sony Corp.). The dissociation constants (KD) were calculated by fitting the binding isotherms using the built-in one-site binding models in GraphPad PRISM 6 (GraphPad software, Inc., La Jolla, CA).

**Immunohistochemical analyses**

Oral cancer tissues were purchased from US Biomax, Inc. (Rockville, MD). Histologic sections of 4-µm thickness were deparaffinized in xylene and then rehydrated and autoclaved in EnVision FLEX Target Retrieval Solution High pH (Agilent Technologies, Inc.,) for 20 minutes. Sections were then incubated with 5 µg/mL of EMAb-51 for 1 hour at room temperature and were then treated using an EnVision+ Kit (Agilent Technologies, Inc.,) for 30 minutes. Color was developed using 3,3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (Agilent Technologies, Inc.,) for 2 minutes, and sections were then counterstained with hematoxylin (Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd.). The intensity of staining was evaluated as −, +, 1+, 2+, 3+.

**Results**

**Production of anti-EGFR mAbs**

In this study, we immunized mice with LN229/EGFR or purified recombinant EGFRc from culture supernatants of LN229/EGFRc cells. A booster i.p. injection of LN229/EGFRc was also administered, and culture supernatants were then screened for binding to purified EGFRc.
using ELISA. As a second screening, we used flow cytometry to assess reactions with LN229 and LN229/EGFR cells. LN229 cells express endogenous EGFR\(^\text{15}\); therefore, a stronger reaction against LN229/EGFR was required.

A total of 38 clones were generated from immunizations with LN229/EGFR, and 62 were generated from immunizations with purified EGFRec. Of 100 mAbs, 94 clones reacted with A431 cells, which express endogenous EGFR\(^\text{16}\). Subsequently, mAbs were further selected according to their efficacy on Western blot analysis. These analyses identified only one clone EMab-51 (IgG\(_1\), kappa) from EGFRec immunizations that were useful for Western blot analysis.

**FIG. 1.** Characterization of EMab-51. (A) Western blot using EMab-51; cell lysates (10\(\mu\)g) were electrophoresed and proteins were transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. After blocking, membranes were incubated with 10\(\mu\)g/mL of EMab-51 or 1\(\mu\)g/mL of anti-\(\beta\)-actin (AC-15) and then incubated with peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG. (B, C) Flow cytometry with EMab-51; cells were treated with 1\(\mu\)g/mL of EMab-51 followed by Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG; black line, negative control.
Characterization of EMab-51

On Western blot analyses against LN229,(15) LN229/EGFR,(12) and A431 cells,(16) EMab-51 detected a strong signal in LN229/EGFR and A431 cells, and the weak signal in LN229, indicating that EMab-51 is very useful for Western blot analyses (Fig. 1A). Subsequent flow cytometry demonstrated that EMab-51 reacted with LN229/EGFR more strongly than with endogenous EGFR-expressing LN229 brain tumor cells (Fig. 1B). EMab-51 also reacted with CHO/EGFR and did not react with the parental cell CHO-K1, indicating that EMab-51 is specific for EGFR (Fig. 1B). EMab-51 also recognized endogenous EGFR in A431 epidermoid carcinoma cells,(16) HSC-2 and HSC-3 oral squamous cell carcinomas,(11) HEK-293T renal epithelial cells,(17) Met-5A mesothelial cells,(18) and MCF-10A breast epithelial cells.(19) (Fig. 1C).

We further determined binding affinity of EMab-51 for LN229 and A431 cells using flow cytometry (Fig. 2) and calculated $K_D$ values for EMab-51 of $1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ M against LN229 or $1.3 \times 10^{-8}$ M against A431, indicating that EMab-51 possesses moderate affinity for EGFR-expressing cell lines.

Immunohistochemical analysis against oral cancers

We investigated the immunohistochemical utility of EMab-51 in human oral cancers because high EGFR expression was observed in oral cancer cell lines, such as HSC-2 and HSC-3 (Fig. 1C). As depicted in Figure 3, EMab-51 stained the membranes of cancer cells of oral squamous cell carcinomas. EMab-51 stained 6 of 38 (15.8%) squamous cell carcinomas in oral cancer tissue array (Supplementary Table S1) and did not stain any other tumor types of oral cancers (Supplementary Table S2).

Discussion

Establishment of mAbs, which are useful for Western blot, flow cytometry, and immunohistochemical analyses, is often difficult because the design of immunogens and screening methods to develop specific mAbs is different between Western blot and immunohistochemical applications. Furthermore,
it is very difficult to produce sensitive and specific mAbs against endogenous proteins. Recently, we developed sensitive and specific antipodocalyxin (PODXL) mAbs using our original technology, and we demonstrated that clone PcMab-47 is useful for flow cytometry, Western blot, and immunohistochemical analyses among anti-PODXL mAbs, warranting consideration of PcMab-47 for investigations of PODXL expression and function in cancers and normal tissues.\(^{(20)}\)

We further used this technology to generate mAbs that bind to various novel epitopes of PDPN, including LpMab-3,\(^{(21)}\) LpMab-7,\(^{(22-24)}\) LpMab-10,\(^{(25)}\) LpMab-12,\(^{(26)}\) LpMab-13,\(^{(27)}\) LpMab-17,\(^{(28)}\) LpMab-19,\(^{(29)}\) and LpMab-21.\(^{(30,31)}\) Importantly, these mAbs are useful for Western blot, flow cytometry, and immunohistochemical analyses against PDPN. In this study, we immunized mice with LN229/EGFR or purified recombinant EGFRec from culture supernatants of LN229/EGFR rec cells to develop anti-EGFR mAbs, which are useful for Western blot, flow cytometry, and immunohistochemical analyses. Finally, we developed clone EMab-51.

Among 100 anti-EGFR mAbs, 90 clones (90%) were identified as belonging to the IgG1 subclass, 5 to the IgG2a subclass, 1 to the IgG2b subclass, and 4 to the IgM class. EMab-51 was also determined to be of the IgG1 subclass, precluding confirmation of ADCC or CDC using EMab-51. Thus, in future studies, we will convert the subclass of EMab-51 into mouse IgG2a or IgG2b subclasses or human IgG1 and assess their applications for measuring ADCC/CDC activities.\(^{(9,24,32)}\)

In this study, we used EnVision FLEX Target Retrieval Solution (high pH) for antigen retrieval.\(^{(33–35)}\) For immunohistochemical analysis, we typically used citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for antigen retrieval; however, citrate buffer did not improve the signal from EMab-51 use (data not shown).

In conclusion, 100 clones of anti-EGFR mAbs, EMab-51 was highly efficacious in Western blot analyses and produced strong staining in oral cancers. Hence, EMab-51 could be useful in all present experiments and will likely be an advantageous tool for the pathological identification of EGFR in many cancers.
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