Receptor tyrosine kinase (c-Kit) inhibitors: a potential therapeutic target in cancer cells

Abstract: c-Kit, a receptor tyrosine kinase, is involved in intracellular signaling, and the mutated form of c-Kit plays a crucial role in occurrence of some cancers. The function of c-Kit has led to the concept that inhibiting c-Kit kinase activity can be a target for cancer therapy. The promising results of inhibition of c-Kit for treatment of cancers have been observed in some cancers such as gastrointestinal stromal tumor, acute myeloid leukemia, melanoma, and other tumors, and these results have encouraged attempts toward improvement of using c-Kit as a capable target for cancer therapy. This paper presents the findings of previous studies regarding c-Kit as a receptor tyrosine kinase and an oncogene, as well as its gene targets and signaling pathways in normal and cancer cells. The c-Kit gene location, protein structure, and the role of c-Kit in normal cell have been discussed. Comprehending the molecular mechanism underlying c-Kit-mediated tumorigenesis is consequently essential and may lead to the identification of future novel drug targets. The potential mechanisms by which c-Kit induces cellular transformation have been described. This study aims to elucidate the function of c-Kit for future cancer therapy. In addition, it has c-Kit inhibitor drug properties and their functions have been listed in tables and demonstrated in schematic pictures. This review also has collected previous studies that targeted c-Kit as a novel strategy for cancer therapy. This paper further emphasizes the advantages of this approach, as well as the limitations that must be addressed in the future. Finally, although c-Kit is an attractive target for cancer therapy, based on the outcomes of treatment of patients with c-Kit inhibitors, it is unlikely that Kit inhibitors alone can lead to cure. It seems that c-Kit mutations alone are not sufficient for tumorigenesis, but do play a crucial role in cancer occurrence.
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Introduction
c-Kit, a type III receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK), plays a crucial role in cancer occurrence.1 Currently, c-Kit is mainly considered a stem cell factor (SCF), which participates in vital functions of the human body, such as fertility, homeostasis, and melanogenesis; nevertheless, early studies on c-Kit introduced it as an oncogene.2,3 Deregulation of c-Kit, including overexpression and gain of function mutations, has been detected in several human cancers. Leukemia is the first cancer linked to c-Kit activating mutation.4 Subsequent studies reported that c-Kit activating mutation is found in almost all cases of systemic mastocytosis and other hematopoietic cancers; these findings support the hypothesis that the c-Kit target is possibly located in the stem cell compartment.5 c-Kit has been reported to be mostly correlated with gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST), with 80% of all GIST cases involving c-Kit mutations alone are not sufficient for tumorigenesis, but do play a crucial role in cancer occurrence.
reveals that targeting c-Kit as an oncogene by using kinase inhibitor drugs such as imatinib is a promising approach for cancer treatment. However, several issues have been raised regarding this approach. For instance, resistance to imatinib, a famous c-Kit inhibitor drug, has been observed in several cases and is attributed to changes in c-Kit mutations; moreover, c-Kit is expressed in normal tissues such as breast epithelial, vascular endothelial, sweat glands, and retinal astrocytes. In this regard, c-Kit mutations cannot be considered a risk factor for cancer occurrence. Therefore, targeting c-Kit for cancer treatment is only feasible in cases where c-Kit is the “driver” of the cancer.

Gene and protein structures of c-Kit

c-Kit, a protooncogene in a region on the long arm of chromosome 4 (4q11–4q13), encodes the SCF receptor (CD117). c-Kit is the cellular equivalent of the v-kit oncogene, a transforming feline retrovirus, and a 145 kDa transmembrane glycoprotein, which belongs to class III of the RTK family. This family is categorized into three domains: a hydrophobic transmembrane, an extracellular ligand-binding domain, and a cytoplasmic domain with tyrosine kinase activity.

Four c-Kit isomers caused by alternative RNA splicing have been found in humans. The presence of serine residues in the kinase insert region differentiates the two isoforms, though the function of a serine residue is still unknown. A stretch of four acids on the extracellular side also distinguishes the two other isoforms. At the molecular level, these isoforms differ in terms of ability to induce signal transduction and tumorigenic potential. The isoform without the tetrapeptide sequence is regarded as the strongest inducer and highest transformer.

Another c-Kit isoform has been detected in murine testis; this isoform is truncated resulting from the controlled promoter element within intron 16, which contains 12 amino acids and a carboxyterminal tail without kinase activity. This isoform has also been found to be expressed in human prostate cancers. By contrast, one study reported that this isoform is mouse specific and cannot be found in humans.

c-Kit in normal stem cells

c-Kit, an SCF receptor, plays an important role in stem cell maintenance and differentiation. c-Kit expression has been detected in various stem cells or cells with self-renewal potency and progenitor cells. Studies have also confirmed that c-Kit is expressed in different kinds of stem cells, especially hematopoietic cells. In several loss-of-function mutations of c-Kit, the mutated site has been linked to a wide range of defects, from minor defects in catalytic activity to critical flaws in the hematopoietic system in mice. c-Kit mutations have also been reported to significantly affect other systems such as the reproductive, pigmentation, and nervous system.

Hematopoietic stem cells divide asymmetrically and can self-renew or differentiate into all hematopoietic cell lineages, including myeloid (monocytes and macrophages, neutrophils, basophils, eosinophils, erythrocytes, megakaryocytes/platelets, and dendritic cells) and lymphoid (T-cells, B-cells, and NK-cells) lineages. During differentiation, stemness and self-renewal are lessened, whereas cell proliferation is maintained; this phenomenon leads to increased cell numbers. Arguably, c-Kit, as an SCF receptor, is expressed in original hematopoietic cells, such as stem and progenitor cells. However, c-Kit expression vanishes during differentiation. Less than 1% of peripheral blood cells express c-Kit, which indicates the minimal role of c-Kit in differentiated hematopoietic cells. Furthermore, c-Kit is highly expressed in mast cells; as such, completely differentiated cells depend on c-Kit signal transduction for their survival, function, and growth. Finally, among lymphoid lineages, c-Kit is involved in immune system maintenance in adult animals.

c-Kit affects germ cells in the fertility system, which are classified as progenitor cells. Previous studies showed that c-Kit mutations most likely and normally leads to the protection of germ cells from apoptosis and to the induction of cell migration and proliferation. The pathway necessary for apoptosis inhibition by c-Kit is the PI3 kinase/AKT pathway, which is essential in cellular processes such as protein synthesis, proliferation, and survival. Mutant mice overexpressing the c-Kit receptor cannot activate PI3 kinase, leading to infertility. c-Kit is also involved in germ cell biology, particularly during gametogenesis in male and female mice.

c-Kit exhibits other functions. Mutant mice underexpressing c-Kit present defects in pigmentation, which is related to the ability of SCF (a c-Kit cognate ligand) to induce proliferation and guide the migration of melanocytes from the neuronal crest to the dermis. Other studies revealed two tyrosine residues, namely, 568 and 570, which are necessary for normal pigmentation. The loss-of-function mutations of c-Kit have been detected in majority of humans with piebaldism syndrome, a rare autosomal dominant disorder of melanocyte development. This syndrome is characterized by hypopigmentation in the stomach, hair, and extremities.
as a result of melanocyte deficiency. Furthermore, c-Kit activity influences the digestive and nervous systems. c-kit is suggested to be involved in the interstitial cells of Cajal or (ICC) in sum. Loss of ICC is correlated with diseases such as slow transit constipation.57 Previous studies suggested the vital role of c-Kit in ICC development or function.58–60 In mice with c-Kit loss-of-function mutation, ICC is depleted.67 Several studies reported the role of c-Kit in the development and/or function of nervous system cells. c-Kit expression has also been detected in neuroproliferative cells in adult rat brains, in addition to neural cultures.68 Moreover c-Kit signaling is significant in migration of neural stem cells to injured areas of the brain.69 These studies suggest that c-Kit plays a crucial role in the stem and progenitor cells of different systems of the body and that loss-of-function mutations in c-Kit can lead to several defects.

### c-Kit and cancers

In this section, we discuss the previous findings of c-Kit deregulations in several types of cancer. As will be shown, there are different type of deregulation of c-Kit, and each of them can result in tumorogenesis. Deregulation of c-Kit can result in cancer in different ways. This deregulation could occur in different ways such as gain of function, loss of function, overexpression, and point mutations.16 The role of c-Kit deregulation in cancer was first identified as a retroviral oncogene using mice as a reference. The role of c-Kit in cancer has not been completely uncovered. c-Kit is a marker for human acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and normal hemopoietic progenitor cells.1 Studies demonstrated the crucial function of c-Kit and its ligand in hematopoiesis,63 fertility,64,65 and melanogenesis.66

In a number of cancers, c-Kit activation was detected through overexpression or mutations. Conversely, in other tumors, such as melanoma,67,68 thyroid carcinoma,69 and breast cancer,69 loss-of-function mutation of c-Kit was observed. Moreover, c-Kit gain-of-function mutation in metastatic melanoma induces apoptosis.70 By contrast, in uveal melanomas, c-Kit expression results in cell proliferation, for which treatment with kinase inhibitor drugs leads to apoptosis induction.71 The activating mutation of c-Kit, namely, L576P, has been reported in a small subset of highly metastatic melanomas.72 Thus, there are various c-Kit mutations involved in melanoma, so melanoma can be used as a model to clarify the complex roles of c-Kit in tumorigenesis.73 In certain cancer types, such as GIST, the main cause of molecular events in tumorigenesis is the activating mutations in c-Kit. In this case, targeting c-Kit with imatinib mesylate increases survival by approximately 70%–80% after 2 years compared with cancer treatment without c-Kit targeting drugs.74 In cancer cases where activating mutation in c-Kit is not the causative event, treatment targeting c-Kit results in poor treatment outcomes.

### c-Kit mutations

c-Kit mutations were first reported in human mast cell leukemia.4 These mutations have also been detected in GIST.12 Furthermore, c-Kit mutations have been found in most cases of systemic mastocytosis.5 The activation of c-Kit mutations is rarely detected in some cancer types. For instance, c-Kit activation mutations are uncommon in AML75 and rarely detected in other cancer types; for example, only 26% of germ cell cancers, or more specifically, testicular seminomas, have been associated with c-Kit mutations.8 These mutations have not been detected in urinary and ovarian cancers and only in 30% cases of urinal ovarian dysgerminoma.8 Moreover, a low frequency of activating c-Kit mutation and proliferation is found in melanoma.76

Different types of c-Kit mutations can cause tumors. The concurrent overexpression of c-Kit and its ligands occur in some types of tumors such as colorectal carcinoma, breast carcinoma, small-cell lung carcinoma, neuroblastoma, and gynecological tumor.77 Tumors resistant to chemotherapy, such as malignant mesothelioma, have been shown to be associated with simultaneous upregulated expression of c-Kit and its ligand.78

In several tumors, c-Kit overexpression is found without mutation and/or mutation in its ligand. In normal physiological circumstances, only a minority of hematopoietic cells express c-Kit. AML cells express c-Kit, which influences the malignant phenotype of this cancer.3,46 A previous study reported that c-Kit expression level is 7.4-fold higher in renal oncocyoma and chromophobe renal carcinoma than that in renal normal tissues.79 c-Kit overexpression has not been observed in other types of renal cancers.80

In cancers involving RTKs, gain-of-function mutations are the main events that lead to cancer progression.81 The first gain-of-function c-Kit mutation was identified in the human mast cell line HMC1. These mutations, known as D816V and V560G, are located in the juxtamembrane region and in the tyrosine kinase domain, respectively.4 Mutations in the juxtamembrane domain are disrupted by the interaction of this region with the kinase domain, which results in inhibited mutation. Furthermore, point mutations in this region can result in induced c-Kit dimerization.82 These events consequently induce the activation of kinase domains.
Another mutation hotspot is found in codon 816, located in the second part of the kinase domain, and leads to the activation of the domain. This mutation is considered as ligand-independent activation because of the switching of aspartic acid residues to asparagine, tyrosine, valine, or histidine residues. Nevertheless, other studies provide contradicting results, in which the kinase domain forms a dimer in the absence of SCF. Furthermore, studies showed that both mutants in the kinase domain (D814Y) and juxtamembrane domain (KΔ27) (in frame deletion at codons 547–555) lead to substrate alteration, which results in significant outcomes based on signaling pathway(s) activated by the mutant c-Kit.

A broad range of juxtamembrane domain mutations have been detected in GIST; these mutations include duplications, point mutations, deletions, or their combinations. Mutations in this region have also been detected in approximately 30% of AML, sinonasal lymphoma, and rare cases of mastocytosis.

A wide range of human cancers are caused by mutations in the c-Kit kinase domain; these cancers include different types of leukemia, such as acute myeloid, core-factor binding, and mast cell leukemia, testicular germ cell tumor; intracranial and ovarian dysgerminoma; mastocytosis; and papillary renal carcinomas.

### Hotspot region of Kit mutation

Numerous Kit mutation sites are found and vary in different cancer types, reflecting the effect of each mutation on downstream signaling pathways. Some “hotspots” in the Kit gene are regular in certain main domain structures. Mutations in domains, such as intracellular and extracellular juxtamembranes, located on exons 8, 9, and 11, as well as exon 17, which corresponds to the activation loop in the kinase domain, disrupt the autoinhibitory mechanisms of Kit.

The importance of these two domains is reflected in their critical role in the Kit structure and function. The first

| Cancer type              | Mutations | Location | Type of mutation                        | References |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------------------------|------------|
| AML                      | D419, V560, D816Y, D816F, D816H | Exons 8, 11 | Small deletion: substitution            | 4, 97      |
| AML-HMC1 cell line       | N822      | Exon 17  | Activating mutation                     | 98         |
| Germ cell tumor          | D816H, D816V, | Exons 17  | Small mutation: Asp816 substitution to histidine | 99         |
| GIST                     | CD117     | Exon 11  | Small deletion: substitution            | 100        |
| GIST                     | V559A, V559D, V557R | Exons 11  | Duplication 502–503 and various deletion between amino acids 551 and 576 | 101        |
| Melanoma                 | V560G, L576P, K642E | Exons 11  | Activation of receptor, Overexpression | 72, 102, 103 |
| Mastocytosis             | D816V     | Exon 8   | Small deletion: substitution            | 97         |
| Mastocytosis             | D820G     | Exon 17  | Activating mutation                     | 97         |
| Mastocytosis             | V560G     | Exon 11  | Activating mutation                     | 105        |
| Myeloproliferated disease| D52N, D816V | Exons 2, 17 | Point mutation, Gain of function        | 107–109, 110 |
| Renal cell carcinoma     | D816Y     | Exon 17  | Gain of function                        | 110        |
| Papillary renal carcinomas | D816    | Exon 8   | Small mutation: substitution            | 13, 111    |
| Sinonasal NK/T-cell lymphoma | V825A, D816N | Exons 17  | Unknown mutation, Activating loop, unknown mutation | 112        |

**Note:** Data from Selleckchem.com, [http://www.selleckchem.com/c-Kit.htm](http://www.selleckchem.com/c-Kit.htm).

**Abbreviations:** AML, acute myeloid leukemia; GIST, gastrointestinal stromal tumor.
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The group of extracellular juxtamembrane domains is responsible for the correct binding of the receptor monomer and the stabilization of dimers, which contain dimeric SCF. The mutations in this region, particularly in exons 8 and 9, are detected in AML and GIST, respectively. The most common mutations in c-Kit are summarized in Table 1 and are classified based on type of cancer, exon location, and the type of mutation.

Kit mutations occur within exon 11 in almost 65% of all GIST cases. This exon encodes a key autoregulatory domain of the RTK, which is the intracellular juxtamembrane domain, and stabilizes the inactive conformation of the kinase domain. In addition, mutations in other exons, such as exons 11 and 17, have been identified in GIST and hematological cancers, respectively.

The most detected c-Kit mutations that lead to melanoma are located within exons 11 and 13, namely, L576P and K642E, respectively. Mutations in exon 17, which encodes the activation loop of the kinase domain, result in hemopoietic malignancies in germ cell tumors. After kinase activation, conformational shifts occur in this region. The KIT cDNA structure in different cancers and their respective mutations is illustrated in Figure 1. c-Kit mutation based on their gene location, corresponding cancer, and drug sensitivity are listed in Table 2.

**c-Kit signal transduction**

C-Kit is involved in several signaling pathways, as discussed.

**PI3-kinase**

PI3-kinase is the most studied pathways involving c-Kit. In this pathway, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase phosphorylates the 3'-hydroxyl group of the inositol ring of lipids in the cell membrane. As such, the negative electric potential across the lipid increases, which results in the interaction of PIP3 in the cell membrane with proteins comprising pleckstrin homology (PH) domains. Consequently, the PH domain transduces proteins from the cytoplasm to the plasma, thus activating AKT. This activation is crucial for the apoptosis ability of SCF. Serine/threonine kinase AKT is a vital PH domain that contains proteins in c-Kit signaling.
| Location of mutation | Corresponding region on KIT gene | Corresponding cancer | Frequency of KIT mutations in cancer | Drug sensitivity | References |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Exon 13              | TK1 domain/ATP-binding pocket    | GIST                 | 85%                                 | Imatinib        | 119,120    |
| Exon 9               | Extracellular dimerization motif  | GIST                 | 85%                                 | Imatinib, sunitinib, sorafenib; some evidence | 119,120 |
| Exon 11              | Juxtamembrane domain             | GIST                 | 85%                                 | Imatinib, sunitinib, sorafenib | 119,120 |
| Exon 14              | TK1 domain/ATP-binding pocket    | GIST                 | 85%                                 | Imatinib        | 119,120    |
| Exon 17              | TK2 domain and activation loop   | AML                  | 8%                                  | Sunitinib       | 119,120,124 |
| Exon 17; KIT c.2446G>C (D816H) | Kinase domain                    | AML                  | 8%                                  | Response to KIT inhibitors: unknown at this time | 125–127 |
| Exon 17; KIT c.2446G>T (D816Y) | Kinase domain                    | AML                  | 8%                                  | Response to KIT inhibitors: unknown at this time | 125–127 |
| Exon 17; KIT c.2446_2447delGAinsAT (D816I) | Kinase domain                  | AML                  | 1% in exon 17; KIT c.2446G>C (D816H) | Response to KIT inhibitors: unknown at this time | 125–127 |
| Exon 17; KIT c.1669T>A (W557R) | Juxtamembrane domain            | Melanoma             | 2%–6% in all malignant melanomas    | To BRAF inhibitors: unknown | 12,76,104,128–130 |
| Exon 11; KIT c.1669T>C (W557R) | Juxtamembrane domain            | Melanoma             | 10%–20% in acral melanomas          | To MEK inhibitors: unknown | 130–132 |
| Exon 11; KIT c.1669T>C (V559A) | Juxtamembrane domain            | Melanoma             | 15%–20% in mucosal melanomas        | To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity | |
| Exon 11; KIT c.1669T>A (V559D) | Juxtamembrane domain            | Melanoma             | 2%–6% in all malignant melanomas    | To BRAF inhibitors: unknown | 11,82,130,133 |
| Exon 11; KIT c.1676T>C (V559A) | Juxtamembrane domain            | Melanoma             | 10%–20% in acral melanomas          | To MEK inhibitors: unknown | 130–134–136 |
| Exon 11; KIT c.1676T>A (V559D) | Juxtamembrane domain            | Melanoma             | 15%–20% in mucosal melanomas        | To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity | |
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| Exon 11: KIT          | Juxtamembrane domain | Melanoma         | 2%–6%: in all malignant melanomas 10%–20%: in acral melanomas 15%–20%: in mucosal melanomas |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| c.1727T>C (L576P)     |                      |                  | To BRAF inhibitors: unknown To MEK inhibitors: unknown To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity To BRAF inhibitors: unknown To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity To BRAF inhibitors: unknown To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity To BRAF inhibitors: unknown To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity |
| Exon 11: KIT          | Juxtamembrane domain | Melanoma         | 2%–6%: in all malignant melanomas 10%–20%: in acral melanomas 15%–20%: in mucosal melanomas |
| c.1924A>G (K642E)     |                      |                  | To BRAF inhibitors: unknown To MEK inhibitors: unknown To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity To BRAF inhibitors: unknown To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity To BRAF inhibitors: unknown To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity To BRAF inhibitors: unknown To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity |
| Exon 11: KIT          | Juxtamembrane domain | Melanoma         | 2%–6%: in all malignant melanomas 10%–20%: in acral melanomas 15%–20%: in mucosal melanomas |
| c.2446G>C (D816H)     |                      |                  | To BRAF inhibitors: unknown To MEK inhibitors: unknown To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity To BRAF inhibitors: unknown To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity To BRAF inhibitors: unknown To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity To BRAF inhibitors: unknown To KIT inhibitors: confers increased sensitivity |
| Exon 9: KIT c.1468G>A (E490K) | Extracellular domain | Melanoma         | 8.7% |
| Exon 11: KIT          | Juxtamembrane domain | Thymic carcinoma | 8.7% |
| c.1657T>A (Y553N)     |                      |                  | Response to imatinib, sunitinib, sorafenib, dasatinib (KIT inhibitor) May confer increased sensitivity |
| Exon 11: KIT          | Juxtamembrane domain | Thymic carcinoma | 8.7% |
| c.1669T>C (W557R)     |                      |                  | Response to imatinib: confers sensitivity Response to imatinib, sunitinib, sorafenib, dasatinib (KIT inhibitor) May confer increased sensitivity |
| Exon 11: KIT          | Juxtamembrane domain | Thymic carcinoma | 8.7% |
| c.1676T>C (V559A)     |                      |                  | Response to imatinib, sunitinib, sorafenib, dasatinib (KIT inhibitor) May confer increased sensitivity |
| Exon 11: KIT          | Juxtamembrane domain | Thymic carcinoma | 8.7% |
| c.1678_1680del (V560del) |                  |                  | Response to imatinib: confers increased sensitivity Response to imatinib, sunitinib, dasatinib: may confer increased sensitivity |
| Exon 11: KIT          | Juxtamembrane domain | Thymic carcinoma | 8.7% |
| c.1727T>C (L576P)     |                      |                  | Response to imatinib: confers increased sensitivity To sunitinib and dasatinib: may confer increased sensitivity |
| Exon 11: KIT          | Juxtamembrane domain | Thymic carcinoma | 8.7% |
| c.1730_1738del (P577_D579del) |                  |                  | Response to imatinib (Kit inhibitor): may confer increased sensitivity |
| Exon 14: KIT          | Kinase insertion domain | Thymic carcinoma | 8.7% |
| c.2089C>T (H697Y)     |                      |                  | Response to imatinib (Kit inhibitor): may confer sensitivity To sunitinib: may confer increased sensitivity |
| Exon 17: KIT          | Kinase domain        | Thymic carcinoma | 8.7% |
| c.2460T>A (D820E)     |                      |                  | Imatinib, dasatinib, and sunitinib: may confer decreased sensitivity Sorafenib: confers increased sensitivity |

Note: Adapted with permission from My Cancer Genome www.mycancergenome.org. Copyright 2016 by Vanderbilt University.

Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; GIST, gastrointestinal stromal tumor; TK1, tyrosine kinase 1; TK2, tyrosine kinase 2.
Recent studies revealed that SCF interacts with the transcription factor FOXO3a, which leads to survival through AKT-mediated phosphorylation; this factor belongs to the O subclass of the forkhead family of transcription factors and is characterized by a diverse forkhead DNA-binding domain. As a result, the expression of proto-apoptotic protein Bim decreases and Mek-dependent phosphorylation is downregulated. In addition, PI3-kinase plays an important role in SCF-induced proliferation and regulation of the actin cytoskeleton and cell migration. Earlier studies confirmed the necessity of PI3-kinase in hematopoietic cell growth and tumorogenicity, which involves the active form of c-Kit in the genome.

These studies focused on class I PI3-kinase, despite that class II PI3KC2β is significantly associated with c-Kit. Studies have also illustrated the interaction of C2 domains with phosphotyrosine residues, which can prove the probability of interaction between PI3K-C and c-Kit activation.

**Src family kinase**

The other signaling pathway that stimulates c-Kit activity is the Src family kinases (SFKs), which are cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases. This pathway is involved in several crucial biological functions such as survival, chemotaxis, and proliferation. Although studies prove that SFKs are activated by c-Kit, the function of this activation in the pathway remains unknown. In addition, the involvement of SFK in c-Kit internalization has been demonstrated at the cellular level.

The contribution of Lyn in c-Kit, STAT3, and JNK phosphorylation was determined using Lyn−/− bone marrow mast cells. PI3-kinase/AKT signaling is negatively regulated by Lyn, although the underlying mechanism remains unknown. SFK evidently plays a role in SCF-induced chemotaxis and proliferation of primary hematopoietic progenitor cells. In the study by Hong et al, it was demonstrated that SFK affects the downstream pathways of c-Kit, although other signaling molecules, such as APS, SHP1, and SHP2, also interact with the SFK-binding site.

Phenotypic analysis on mutant c-Kit mice with inability to interact with SFK showed that SFK plays a role(s) in c-Kit signaling in lymphocytes. In addition to lymphocyte defects, severe problems in pigmentation, splenomegaly, and mast cell development have been observed in double c-Kit567/569f mutations. Thus, PI3-kinase and SFK are two important signaling pathways in most tissues, and any defect in these pathways can interrupt their interaction with c-Kit could lead to severe defects.

**Ras-Erk pathway**

The next signaling pathway that stimulates the activity of c-Kit is the Ras-Erk pathway. The activation of MAP-kinases, namely, Erk1/2, plays a vital role in cell proliferation, differentiation, and survival. The main role of c-Kit in this signaling includes the recruitment of the guanine exchange factor Sos to its substrate, namely, the small GTPase Ras, which is located in the plasma membrane. By converting GTP to GDP, Sos provides the guanine nucleotide phosphate which binds to Ras, resulting in Ras activation. Active Ras consequently translocates Raf-1 to the plasma membrane. Mek, another kinase, and Erk are then activated. Finally, the activated Erk results in the alteration of protein activity and gene expression.

In terms of the relevance of c-Kit to this pathway, a special region is found in c-Kit, namely, tyrosine residues 703 and 936, which directly interacts with the stable complex of Sos with the adaptor protein Grb2. Altogether, c-Kit is considered a target for cancer therapy because it mediates the activation of Erk1/2. In addition, in HL60 cells, Erk activation upregulates survivin expression by SCF mediation, which leads to resistance toward apoptosis induced by radiation.

These signaling pathways are activated by Kit. Other important signaling pathways involving c-Kit include the JAK/STAT pathway and various signaling proteins, such as adaptor proteins (eg, Crk, Gab, APS, Gads, ShcA, Grb2, and Grb7), cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases, and protein tyrosine phosphatases. The role of c-Kit in the molecular function of the aforementioned signaling proteins is characterized to varied degrees. With respect to the diverse functions of c-Kit, large numbers of these proteins are affected in different ways. In addition to the direct or indirect effect of c-Kit on various signaling pathways or proteins, this kinase often functions in cooperation with other cytokines and growth factors.

**c-Kit: a potential target for cancer therapy**

Kinase superfamily proteins are considered a main target for molecular cancer therapy. Numerous studies have uncovered the molecular chronicles that occur during cancer development. The gist of most researchers is that kinases are an essential factor for cancer progression and are overexpressed by tumors. As such, kinases should be targeted as a new method for cancer treatment. Different approaches have been considered for this strategy. The first approach uses antibodies against kinase proteins. For instance, trastuzumab...
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**Figure 2** Signal transducer and activator of transcription tyrosine kinase domain c-Kit in normal cell. 
**Notes:** Ras/Erk pathway directs to activate of the proliferation genes. PI3K pathway involves in antiapoptosis gene activation which results in cell survival. JAK/STAT pathway is associated in cell proliferation.

**Figure 3** Signal transducer and activator of transcription tyrosine kinase domain c-Kit in cancer cell. 
**Notes:** The Ras-Erk pathway, PI3K/AKT pathway, and Src-signaling pathway have been demonstrated in this schematic picture. Although each of the signaling pathways goes through different ways and has different effects on cell function, the result of all of three pathways is inhibition of the cell apoptosis, resulting in tumorgenesis in different ways, such as inducing of cell proliferation, growth progression, or migration. Moreover, the mechanisms of c-Kit inhibitor drugs have been shown. Each group of c-Kit inhibitor drugs block different targets, which have been highlighted in red.
(Herceptin®; Genentech Inc, South San Francisco, CA, USA) targets the extracellular domain of HER2. Other antibodies, such as low-molecular-weight kinase inhibitors, eg, gefitinib (Iressa®; AstraZeneca, London, UK), aim at enzymatic activity. The first group can only affect proteins with an extracellular domain, whereas the second group can target both transmembrane and intracellular proteins. The first group of proteins is very specific, whereas the specificity of the second group of proteins may be limited. Most kinase inhibitors target and bind to the enzymatic domain and compete with ATP; however, the specificity of these inhibitors are conserved because of unique binding patterns.

The major concern in cancer management is the occurrence of resistance toward drugs. This resistance could be due to mutations in the target protein, which result in the reduction of the binding between the drug and the kinase. In addition, overexpression of transport proteins by cells may occur, which leads to decreased intracellular concentration of drugs. Additionally, some other oncogenes may substitute for or reimburse the inhibition of the drug target. For instance, for the drug used in chronic myelogenous leukemia (imatinib mesylate), drug resistance has been observed as a result of both the overexpression of Bcr-Abl and mutation in the kinase domain, which is specific for drug binding. c-Kit mutation based on their gene location, corresponding cancer, and drug sensitivity are listed in Table 2.

Low-molecular-weight inhibitors have been utilized for c-Kit targeting. For instance, imatinib mesylate is one of the c-Kit targeting drugs that inhibit both Abl and PDGFRs. List of the drugs that target c-Kit have been listed in Table 3, and are classified based on drug name, molecular formula, common and specific targets, structural formula, and general function. The list of c-Kit inhibitors with their complete list of their targets, besides c-Kit, with emphasis of their affectivity on c-Kit is listed in Table 4. In addition, c-Kit inhibitors classification based on their targets, chemical and structure formulae, and diseases they are tested on, have been listed in Table 5.

### Conclusion

Overall, particular mutations in c-Kit are accountable for cancer occurrence, such as GIST and SM (Systemic Mastocytosis) cases, as well as subsets of AML and melanoma. As the c-Kit mutations are the “drivers” in these cases, the use of kinase inhibitors, such as imatinib, could significantly improve cancer treatment. Nevertheless, the second mutation in c-Kit, which disturbs the binding region of kinase inhibitor,
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**Imatinib**
- ABL, KIT, PDGFR
- 4-{[4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl]-N-[4-methyl-3-
  [4-pyridin-3-yl]pyrimidin-2-yl]amino}phenyl
  benzamide
- Gleevec, STI-571
- \(C_{29}H_{31}N_7O\)
- Molecular weight: 493.60274
- Mast/stem cell growth factor receptor
  Involved in protein kinase activity

**Imetelstat**
- VEGFR, RET, c-KIT, PDGFR
- N-(3,3-Dimethylindolin-6-yl)-2-(pyridin-
  4-ylmethylamino)nicotinamide
- AMG-706, AMG 706, CHeBi:51098
- \(C_{22}H_{23}N_5O\)
- Molecular weight: 373.45092
- Not mentioned

**Midostaurin**
- KIT
- N-Benzoylstaurosporine, PKC 412, PKC-412, NSC-656576
- \(C_{35}H_{30}N_4O_4\)
- Molecular weight: 570.6371
- Not mentioned

**Pazopanib**
- VEGFR, PDGFR, PDGFRB, KIT
- 5-{[4-{(2,3-Dimethylindazol-6-yl)-methylamino}-
  pyrimidin-2-yl]amino}-2-methylbenzenesulfonamide
- BAY-43-9006, GW786034, GW 78603, Pazopanib
  [INN], DSSTox_CID_28659
- \(C_{21}H_{23}N_7O_2\)
- Molecular weight: 437.51802
- Mast/stem cell growth factor receptor
  Involved in protein kinase activity

**Sorafenib**
- PDGFR, PDGFRB, KDR, FLT3
- 4-{[4-Chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-
  carbamoylamino]phenoxyl}-N-
  methylpyridine-2-carboxamide
- BAY-43-9006, Nexavar
- \(C_{21}H_{16}ClF_3N_4O_3\)
- Molecular weight: 464.82495
- Mast/stem cell growth factor receptor
  Involved in protein kinase activity

**Sunitinib**
- PDGFR, PDGFRB, KDR, FLT3
- N-[2-(Diethylamino)ethyl]-5-[(Z)-{5-fluoro-2-
  oxo-1H-indol-3-ylidine}methyl]-2,4-dimethyl-
  1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide
- Sutent, 557795-19-4, SU-11248, sunitinib, sunitinib
  (INN), Su-011248, SU11248, SU 11248, CHEBI:38940
- \(C_{22}H_{27}FN_4O_2\)
- Molecular weight: 398.473783
- Mast/stem cell growth factor receptor
  Involved in protein kinase activity

**Note:** Data from Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer, [http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/summary/summary.cgi?cid=11667893](http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/summary/summary.cgi?cid=11667893)
## Table 4 List of c-Kit inhibitors

| Inhibitor name | c-Kit | Other targets |
|----------------|-------|---------------|
| Axitinib       | +     | PDGFR-β, VEGFR2/KDR, VEGFR1/FLT1, VEGFR3/FLT4 |
| Dowitinib (TKI-258) dilactic acid | +     | FLT3 |
| Dasatinib      | +     | Abl, SRC |
| Imatinib mesylate (STI571) | +     | Abl |
| Motesanib dihydrogen phosphate (AMG-706) | +     | VEGFR2/KDR, VEGFR1/FLT1, c-RET, VEGFR3/FLT4 |
| Pazopanib HCl (GW786034 HCl) | +     | VEGFR1/FLT1, VEGFR3/FLT4, VEGFR2/KDR |
| Sunitinib malate |        | PDGFR-β, VEGFR2/KDR |
| Masitinib (AB1010) | +     | PDGFR-α, PDGFR-β |
| Vatalanib (PTK787) dihydrochloride | +     | FLT1, VEGFR1, VEGFR2/KDR |
| Cabozantinib (XL184, BMS-907351) | +++   | FLT3, c-RET, FLT4/VEGFR3, Tie-2, Axl, VEGFR2/KDR, c-Met, FLT1/VEGFR1 |
| Tivozanib (AV-951) | +++   | VEGFR3/FLT4, VEGFR1/FLT1, VEGFR2/KDR, PDGFR-β |
| OSI-930        | +     | VEGFR2/KDR, C-Raf/Raf-1, CSF-1R |
| Amuvatinib (MP-470) |      | FLT3 |
| K875 I         | ++    | PDGFR-α, VEGFR2/KDR, FGFR-2 |
| Telatinib      | ++++  | VEGFR3/FLT4, VEGFR2/KDR, PDGFR-α |
| Pazopanib      | ++++  | VEGFR1/FLT1, FGFR-1, VEGFR2/KDR, PDGFR-β, VEGFR3/FLT4, PDGFR-α |
| Dowitinib (TKI-258, CHR-258) | +++  | FGFR-1, VEGFR1/FLT1, PDGFR-α, FLT3, VEGFR2/KDR, VEGFR3/FLT4, FGFR-3, PDGFR-β |
| Tyrphostin AG 1296 | +    | PDGFR-α, PDGFR-β |

**Notes:** “+” refers to an inhibitor that has a significant effect on the specific signaling target. If the IC$_{50}$ of the minor target of any inhibitor is 1,000 times greater than the IC$_{50}$ of the major target, its minor target will not be mentioned in any table. Adapted from Selleckchem.com, [http://www.selleckchem.com/c-Kit.htm](http://www.selleckchem.com/c-Kit.htm).

**Abbreviation:** IC$_{50}$ half-maximal inhibitory concentration.

## Table 5 c-Kit inhibitors classification based on their targets, chemical and structure formulae, and diseases they are tested on

| Name | Targets | IC$_{50}$ (nM) | Structure | Formula | Molecular weight (g/mol) | Chemical name | FDA-approved inhibitor | Clinical trial information testing on |
|------|---------|----------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Amuvatinib (MP-470) | c-Kit, PDGFRα, Flt3 | 10, 40, 81 | ![Structure](image1) | C$_{23}$H$_{24}$N$_6$O$_3$S | 447.51 | Not mentioned | Approved by the FDA for CML, GIST's and a number of other malignancies | Lymphoma, unspecified adult solid tumor, solid tumors, malignant disease, small-cell lung carcinoma |
| Axitinib | VEGFR1, VEGFR2, VEGFR3, PDGFRβ, c-Kit | 0.1, 0.2, 0.1–0.3, 1.6, 1.7 | ![Structure](image2) | C$_{22}$H$_{18}$N$_6$OS | 386.47 | | Approved by the FDA | Advanced renal cell carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, nonclear cell, temsirolimus-resistant renal cell carcinoma, pheochromocytoma, paraganglioma, advanced solid tumors |
Propanoic acid, C₂H₄O₂, Approved by the FDA for Abl, Src, c-Kit.

Approved by the FDA for renal cell carcinoma.

Notes: Data from Selleckchem.com, http://www.selleckchem.com/c-Kit.html.

Abbreviations: iC₅₀, half-maximal inhibitory concentration; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; CML, chronic myelogenous leukemia; GiST, gastrointestinal stromal tumor; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer.

The IC₅₀ is a measure of the effectiveness of a substance in inhibiting a specific biological or biochemical function.
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