Corrosion and mechanical behavior evaluation of in-situ synthesized Cu-TiB₂ nanocomposite
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A B S T R A C T

In this paper, the synthesis of the copper matrix nanocomposite and the effect of adding TiB₂ nanoparticles on the copper matrix have been investigated. Three different amounts of TiB₂ nanoparticles 5, 10, and 15 wt% were added and sintering was carried out at 900 °C for 4 hours under argon atmosphere. The phase formation of achieved nanocomposites was studied by X-ray diffractometer and the morphology of the synthesized samples was studied by field emission scanning electron microscopy and atomic force microscopy. The polarization and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) applying 3.5 wt% NaCl solution at room temperature was carried out to evaluate the corrosion behavior of synthesized samples. Results show that adding the TiB₂ nanoparticles decreases the corrosion resistance by forming galvanic couples, but the effect of the amounts of porosities have on the corrosion resistance is higher. It is revealed that the variation of the surface roughness is in direct relation to the value of polarization current density.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Synsint Research Group.

1. Introduction

Applications of copper-based materials are commonplace due to their excellent properties such as electrical and thermal conductivity, easy fabrication, and being cost-effective, which make them suitable for a wide range of applications, for instance, spot welding electrodes. Some structural drawbacks such as low hardness, low tensile strength, and poor wear resistance are assigned as the limitations of copper-based materials. In addition, the mechanical strength of copper-based materials can be affected and lost in some harsh environments [1]. Age hardening and incorporation of a hard second phase are two common ways to add to these limitations. The first method, age hardening, is related to the addition of zirconium or chromium to copper and the precipitation of a hard second phase. Nevertheless, the lack of strength above 500 °C was observed due to the structural instability, which resulted from the coarsening of the precipitated phase [2, 3]. However, incorporation of the second phase to copper as the reinforcement led to increased temperature strength, hardness, and wear resistance. The reinforcement elements such as oxides, borides, and carbides are utilized to reduce the extent of wear deformation in the subsurface regions [4-6].

Copper-based metal matrix composites (Cu-MMCs) investigations have continuously been done to achieve enhanced physical and mechanical properties for industrial applications such as contact supports and fractional brake parts, electronic contact devices, high-temperature performance switches, and brake discs [7, 8]. Some different synthesis methods for instance infiltration [9], hot isostatic pressing (HIP) [10], powder metallurgy (PM) [2,11], self-propagating high-temperature synthesis (SHS) [12], and spark plasma [13] as well as stir casting was reported for obtaining copper-based MMCs. In the
stir casting method, reinforcements are added to the metal matrix in the molten state. Powder metallurgy, as a conventional method with a high production rate, is based on sintering the sample after metal powder pressing to demanded shape [13]. Good binding at the interface is mentioned as the conventional problem for ceramic reinforced metal matrix composites, which is associated with the low dissolvability of ceramics in most metals [14]. The in-situ synthesis method is preferred to the others due to the homogenous reinforcement, excellent surface bonding, and pure inter-phase [7].

Aside from the various synthesis methods, proper reinforcement plays a critical role in fabricating the composite with desired properties. The effect of different reinforcement elements such as Al₂O₃ [7], TiO₂, ZrO₂ [15], and TaC [16] on the MMCs, especially copper-based ones, have been studied widely. Investigating the additional amount of B₄C revealed improvements in both wear resistance and hardness [17]. On the other hand, Moustafa et al. [18] found that the Cu-MMC with graphite reinforcement possesses a lower frictional coefficient and wear rate instead of reducing hardness. On the other hand, some studies showed the significant enhancement of mechanical properties of copper composite by adding different carbon sources such as graphene, graphite and CNTs [19-23]. Meanwhile, higher modulus and stable thermodynamic properties of TiB₂ make it an appropriate reinforcement for high mechanical strength purposes. Therefore, in our previous work [24], which aimed at the formation of TiB₂, produced nanocomposite by SHS method in a ternary system of Cu-Ti-B was studied, but due to the thermodynamical and kinetic conditions, no TiB₂ phase was formed. So, to investigate the effect of TiB₂, in this paper, the in-situ method was carried out to fabricate the composites with various amounts of TiB₂ to investigate the effect of the reinforcement on corrosion resistance.

2. Experiments

2.1. Raw materials and synthesis method

Pure copper powders (99 % purity, with a mean particle size of 50 µm, Merck) and TiB₂ powders (99 % purity, with a mean particle size of 60 µm, Merck) have been used as raw materials. For the synthesis of nanocomposites, different weight percent of nanoparticles as reinforcements were prepared, which were 5, 10, and 15 %wt TiB₂, respectively, as is shown in Table 1. The powders were mixed mechanically by ball mill with an initial ball-to-powder weight ratio (BPR) =10:1 at 300rpm for 7 hours under argon atmosphere. Then, the cold press was carried out under 20 MPa pressure to obtain disc shape samples with a diameter of 20 mm and a height of 10 mm. Sintering was carried out at a tube furnace (Tube Furnace-Nardin) under an Ar atmosphere at 900 °C for 4 hours with a heating rate of 5 °C/min. In the end, all the specimens were cooled in the furnace down to the ambient temperature.

2.2. Characterizations

Vickers microhardness tests were carried out to evaluate the surface microhardness of achieved synthesized nanocomposites. Surface morphology of samples had been studied by using Field Emission-Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM-Vega Tescan) with EDS (Energy Disperse X-ray Spectroscopy) and also Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM), and microstructure, elemental, and topographical analysis, were carried out respectively. The corrosion resistance of the sample was analyzed by electrochemical polarization test (iVium), which was done in 150 ml 3.5 % NaCl contained standard three-electrode configuration corrosion cell at the rate of 1 mV.s⁻¹ at room temperature. Meanwhile, Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) was implemented at 10⁻³ to 90 kHz frequency range with 3 mV amplitude in a Solartron 1470 battery to evaluate the corrosion behavior of products.

3. Results and discussion

Mechanical properties of samples were evaluated through the Vickers microhardness tests and the measured values are presented in Fig. 1. It was observed that as expected, adding the TiB₂ nanoparticles increase the microhardness. The surface microhardness of the copper sample, without any added reinforcement, equals 50 HV. Therefore, it is shown that the addition of reinforcements has an almost 100 % efficiency in increasing the surface hardness, and the maximum value was obtained in the sample 85CT, with 15 wt% of TiB₂, which was 104.8 HV. Another reason for the remarkable increase is the proper dispersion of the TiB₂ nanoparticles in this sample. MAP analysis images, provided in Fig. 2, confirm this acclaim and dispersion of these reinforcements in the copper matrix and shows the homogenous and uniform distribution of TiB₂ nanoparticles. The marked areas by a circle in the images of Fig. 2, exhibit the presence of TiB₂ nanoparticles. It is worth

| Composition | TiB₂ content, wt% |
|-------------|------------------|
| 95CT        | 5                |
| 90CT        | 10               |
| 85CT        | 15               |
Fig. 2. MAP analysis of the achieved samples and presence of TiB$_2$ nanoparticles.

Fig. 3. FE-SEM images of morphology of the synthesized samples.
mentioning that the maximum obtained surface hardness is much lower than the surface hardness of SHS-produced copper-based nanocomposite in our previous study [24], equaling 544 HV. The formation of multiple phases including TiO and Cu$_3$Ti had more effect than TiB$_2$ nanoparticles. Also, this indicates that the formation of solid solutions has a more significant effect on the microhardness values [25].

The morphology of the achieved nanocomposites was studied by fieldmission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) and images are presented in Fig. 3. The dispersion of TiB$_2$ nanoparticles in the copper matrix is obvious. It is shown the smooth surface was obtained in all samples and the smoothest surface was obtained in sample 95CT where the minimum amount of reinforcement was added to the copper matrix. What is more, it is apparent that the formation of the voids and porosities has occurred within the sintering process of the samples at 900 °C, which are shown by circles in Fig. 3. For studying the surface topography and surface roughness variation, atomic force microscopy (AFM) analysis was carried out. The 3D plot of the surface morphologies is presented in Fig. 4. It is shown that the topography of the surface of the synthesized samples was changed by increasing the amount of the added reinforcements, and it was changed from round peaks topography to the smooth little sharp peaks.

The polarization curves of the synthesized samples are presented in Fig. 5. To calculate the corrosion parameters, the Stern-Geary equation was used which is presented in Eq. 1, where the $R_p$ is the corrosion resistance and $i_{corr}$ is the corrosion density, and calculated results are provided in Table 2. By comparing the results obtained from the curves, it is apparent that sample 95CT has the lowest $i_{corr}$, which equals -3.149 A/cm$^2$ and the highest corrosion resistance, which equals -0.275 V in comparison with others, which might be due to the presence of the lower amount of the reinforcement TiB$_2$ nanoparticles in this samples. In other words, by increasing the amount of TiB$_2$ nanoparticles, the polarization curves are shifted to the right side, to the lower value of corrosion potential and higher amount of corrosion density. These nanoparticles lead to some discontinuities on the surface of the sample and the local galvanic couple is activated within the corrosion mechanism.

\[
i_{corr} = \frac{b_a \times b_c}{2.303 \times R_p \times (b_a + b_c)}
\]  

Table 2. Electrochemical parameters obtained from polarization curves.

| Samples | Log I (A/cm$^2$) | $E_{corr}$ (V) |
|---------|-----------------|---------------|
| 95CT    | -3.149          | -0.275        |
| 90CT    | -2.680          | -0.182        |
| 85CT    | -3.057          | -0.169        |

Table 3. EIS results of samples.

| Samples | $R_1$ (Ω) | $R_2$ (Ω) | CPE-T (F/Hz) | CPE-P (F/Hz) |
|---------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| 95CT    | 7.456     | 14.6      | 0.0086       | 0.33         |
| 90CT    | 8.053     | 7.193     | 0.0098       | 0.73         |
| 85CT    | 13.1      | 15.13     | 0.0091       | 0.54         |

Where the $b_a$ and $b_c$ are the Tafel slopes of an anodic and cathodic branch of polarization curve respectively. $R_p$ is the corrosion resistance and $i_{corr}$ is the corrosion current density in A/cm$^2$. Also, 2.303 is the conversion coefficient of Ln to log.

To get further information on the electrochemical behavior of synthesized samples, electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) was performed in the 3.5 wt% solutions at room temperature. The fitted Nyquist curves are presented in Fig. 5 which illustrates a complete semicircle curve. Also, the equivalent circuit which is used to fit data is demonstrated in Fig. 6, and the electrical parameters corresponded to the corrosion parameters are presented In Table 3. The circuit consists of a solution resistance ($R_1$), and $R_2$ refers to corrosion resistance. Constant phase element (CPE) is related to the porosities capacitors and is a constant phase element characterizing the electrical properties of the porosities. All Nyquist curves show a capacitive loop (one time constant) which is related to the charge transfer at the interface and surface porosities. It is well-known that a higher diameter indicates a higher corrosion resistance. It is shown that sample 85CT has higher corrosion resistance. The calculated values for CPE-P indicate that porosities are acting like infinitive porosity and drop in IR [13]. It
could be declared that the corrosion resistance can be attributed to the surface parameters achieved by the AFM test and it has a direct relation to the measured surface roughness. The achieved surface roughness for samples 95CT, 90CT, and 85CT equals 15.06, 16.26, and 7.69 nm respectively. These outcomes could reveal that the surface morphology conditions including the surface roughness and the number of porosities have a more considerable effect than the formation of galvanic coupling on the corrosion behavior.

4. Conclusions

By adding TiB2 nanoparticles the copper matrix nanocomposite was synthesized by in-situ method and sintering at 900 °C within 4 hours. The maximum surface microhardness was obtained at the sample with 15 wt% of reinforcement. Although more galvanic couples were formed in the sample with 15 wt% of TiB2 nanoparticles, the effect of the surface roughness and the amount of the porosities are higher than these galvanic couples. Therefore, the best corrosion resistance was achieved in the sample with the maximum amount of reinforcement and it has a direct relation to the measured surface roughness. The surface morphology condition, including surface roughness and amounts of porosities, has a more significant effect on the corrosion behavior than the formation of galvanic coupling.
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