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INTRODUCTION

Glycine receptors (GlyRs) are mainly known by their function in spinal circuits. They are widely expressed in the spinal cord where they contribute to synaptic transmission (Collin et al., 1998; Moss and Smart, 2001; Scan et al., 2010), and play an important role in motor control (Rees et al., 2003), and pain perception (Harvey et al., 2004; Zoli, 2005; Lynch and Callister, 2006). They appear early during spinal cord development and their subunit composition is developmentally regulated (Watanabe and Akagi, 1995). Interestingly, it has been shown that GlyRs influence spinal development by affecting interneuron differentiation (McDearmid et al., 2006) and synaptogenesis (Scan et al., 2010). Although the occurrence of functional GlyRs in the adult brain was already described two decades ago (Matsuo et al., 1991b; Chattipakorn and McMahon, 2002), little is known regarding their function in supratentorial regions. It is believed that GlyRs mainly mediate extra-synaptic tonic inhibition in the hippocampus (Song et al., 2006; Keck et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008; Xu and Gong, 2010; Areira et al., 2011). Moreover, synaptic GlyRs have also been identified in the brain cortex (Waser and Fedorovich, 2010), excitatory, and inhibitory hippocampal neurons (Brackmann et al., 2004; Danglot et al., 2004; Kubota et al., 2010; Xu and Gong, 2010), the cerebellum (Pedroarena and Kalmbacher, 2008), and thalamus (Ghavanini et al., 2005) and brainstem nuclei (Turecek and Russell, 2001). In addition, disruption of GlyRs activity contributes to brain pathologies. Alternative splicing variants have been detected in patients suffering from temporal lobe epilepsy (Eichler et al., 2009). Moreover, mutations in genes encoding either the GlyR alpha 2 subunit (Petit et al., 2011) or the aminomethyltransferase enzyme (AMT), which is an important factor involved in the degradation of glycine (Yu et al., 2013), have been found in patients affected by autism.

Glycine receptors (GlyRs) are ligand-gated chloride ion channels that mediate fast inhibitory neurotransmission in the spinal cord and the brainstem. There, they are mainly involved in motor control and pain perception in the adult. However, these receptors are also expressed in upper regions of the central nervous system, where they participate in different processes including synaptic neurotransmission. Moreover, GlyRs are present since early stages of brain development and might influence this process. Here, we discuss the current state of the art regarding GlyRs during embryonic and postnatal brain development in light of recent findings about the cellular and molecular mechanisms that control brain development.

GlyRs are trans-membrane protein complexes formed by the assembling of five subunits arranged symmetrically around a central pore. Five types of GlyR subunits, four alpha and one beta, have been described so far (Matzenbach et al., 1994; Lynch, 2009). Moreover, alternative splicing can generate additional variants for some alpha subunits (Kushe et al., 1991; Matsuo et al., 1991a; Nikolic et al., 1998; Legendre et al., 2009). Alpha subunits can form homo- or heteromeric receptors in combination with the beta subunit (Pribilla et al., 1992; Oertel et al., 2007). The alpha one/beta combination displays the fastest kinetic and has been associated with mature synapses mediating fast inhibitory neurotransmission, mainly in the spinal cord and in the brainstem. In addition, the beta subunit is the only one able to interact with gephyrin and, hence, provides an anchoring mechanism necessary for synaptic localization and function (Kirsch et al., 1995). Complementarily, alpha two containing receptors, which display slower kinetics characterized by a slower desensitization, are abundant during development and have been found in extra-synaptic locations. Besides glycine, GlyRs can also be activated by other ligands, such as taurine and alanine (Schmieden et al., 1992; Kibb et al., 2008). Each of these molecules can bind to the extracellular domain and promote the opening of the central pore that allows the selective flux of chloride and bicarbonate ions. In the same way as for type A gamma-aminobutyric acid receptors (GABAaR), GlyR-mediated anion flux is solely dependent on the electrochemical gradient established across the cell membrane for these ions.

During development, chloride gradients evolve in function of the expression of chloride transporters. In neurons, the potassium-chloride cotransporter 2 (KCC2) actively reduces the intracellular concentration of chloride, transforming the opening of a chloride channel into a hyperpolarizing stimulus (Lee et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2005). Conversely, the sodium-potassium-chloride co-transporter (NKCC1) exerts the opposite effect and thus increases...
the intracellular concentration of chloride transforming the ion channel-mediated chloride flux into a depolarizing signal. In consequence, GlyR activation during embryonic and early postnatal development most likely induces a depolarization of the cell membrane (Flint et al., 1998; Kihl et al., 2002, 2008) which in turn may activate calcium influx (Platel et al., 2005; Young-Pearse et al., 2005). Compared to glutamate-mediated depolarization, chloride efflux is thought to be less harmful to the cell since there is no risk to induce excitotoxicity. This is a remarkable feature shared with GABAA-Rs-mediated signaling during development and has been associated with developmental functions.

Here, we review the accumulating literature regarding the role of GlyRs during embryonic and postnatal brain development. We discuss this literature in the context of recent findings about the cellular and molecular events that govern brain development and we provide insight into the processes in which these receptors may be involved.

**GLYCINE RECEPTORS DURING EMBRYONIC BRAIN DEVELOPMENT**

Brain development is a long process that starts early during embryogenesis and takes years to be completed in humans. The initial steps involve precise coordination of cell proliferation, differentiation, and cell migration. Tight control of these processes is achieved by integration of the intrinsic genetic program with the extracellular signals present in the biochemical environment (Pla et al., 2006; Cavenza-Brown and Grover, 2011). Interestingly, neurotransmitters and their receptors are part of this biochemical environment and contribute to early steps of neurogenesis (Nguyen et al., 2001; Soria and Valdeolmillos, 2002; Honig et al., 2007). GlyR expression in the CNS was first studied using radioactive labeled strychnine; however, this approach only restricted and only layers I and II were labeled. Interestingly, at E19 the expression pattern became more ventricular zone (VZ) seemed to have functional GlyRs (Flint et al., 1998). These results were confirmed by immunohistochemical analyses, which showed intense labeling in the IZ and CP, but not in the VZ. Single cell resolution was achieved in the IZ where GlyR expression was localized in the soma and apical leading process (Flint et al., 1998). Complementarily, in vitro experiments, carried out at E17 in rats, demonstrated that newborn projection neurons already express GlyRs, along with GABAARs and voltage-gated ion channels at this age, by the end of their radial migration (Norton et al., 2004). Calcium measurements have provided further evidence about the presence and function of GlyRs in the embryonic cortex. Consistent with a depolarizing effect, it was shown that glycine application triggers a massive calcium influx in the upper-layer pyramidal neurons at E17. This effect was blocked by strychnine and totally absent in the GlyR-knockout (KO) animals (Young-Pearse et al., 2006). More interestingly, the same effect was recorded very early during cortex development, at E13 (Platel et al., 2005). At this age, GlyRs were shown to have a unique role in preplate (PP) neurons, which are depolarized in response to GlyR activation. This depolarization activates voltage-sensitive sodium channels that subsequently activate sodium-sensitive calcium transporters. This complex channel association leads to the rise in intracellular calcium and promotes vesicular release of glutamate (Platel et al., 2005). Importantly, this role was exclusively mediated by GlyRs and did not involve the activation of co-expressed GABAARs. Moreover, released glutamate amplified GlyR-mediated signaling, and triggered calcium influx in the VZ as well (Platel et al., 2005). Complementarily, it has recently been shown that differentiated human midbrain-derived cells express functional GlyRs that respond to glycine with low affinity, whose activation can trigger calcium influxes (Wagner et al., 2012). These cells were obtained from 10 to 16 weeks human fetuses and kept in culture for 1–3 weeks. After that period, they expressed GlyRs, but they had limited impact on neurogenic capability and cell differentiation towards dopaminergic neurons (Wagner et al., 2012). Thus, the impact of GlyR activation on cell differentiation remains poorly understood.

**GLYCINE RECEPTORS AND CELL MIGRATION IN THE DEVELOPING BRAIN**

Recently, we have gained a better understanding of the mechanisms that control this process and the role of extracellular factors involved. Interestingly, calcium-dependent processes seem to be fundamental for transducing molecular signalings associated
with activation of neurotransmitter receptors. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that the secondary activation of voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCCs) is necessary to mediate GABA actions on cell migration (Bortzong and Polleux, 2009) and proliferation (LoTurco et al., 1995; Owens et al., 1996). Along this line, a short report has suggested that GlyR could have an influence in radial migration during late embryonic development (Niemeyer et al., 2011). Application of glycine was shown to impede radial migration in agreement with the effect caused by the activation of type C GABA receptors (GABA\(_B\)Rs; Denter et al., 2010), but opposed to the effects of GABA acting at GABA\(_A\)Rs activation that rather promotes radial migration (Manent et al., 2005). As an explanation to the opposite effects exerted by the activation of GABA receptors it has been proposed that GABA\(_B\)Rs could exert their effect by an ion-independent process (Denter et al., 2010). This could be a likely hypothesis to explain migration arrest caused by GlyRs activation. Moreover, GlyRs could also act using non-autonomous mechanisms. As it has been presented, GlyRs are distributed throughout the whole cortex at this age and they may contribute to different processes to indirectly control cell migration. Nevertheless, the role of GlyRs in controlling radial cell migration remains to be demonstrated in vivo. On the other hand, the role of GlyRs in controlling interneurons migration has recently become clearer. Specifically, it has been demonstrated that GlyR activation can trigger a series of intracellular events in cortical interneurons that leads to the modulation of interneuron migration during embryonic development (Avila et al., 2013).

Molecular events triggered by GlyR activation include the secondary activation of VGCC that leads to the influx of calcium and to the modulation of spontaneous oscillations. Calcium influx, mediated by the activation of L-type channels, indirectly leads to the modulation of myosin activity and to changes in actomyosin contractions at the rear of the migratory neurons. This further modifies interneuron nucleokinesis and affects interneuron migration speed (Avila et al., 2013). These findings provide evidence for a role of GlyRs in interneuron development and link the effect of a neurotransmitter receptor to the remodeling of the cytoskeleton.

Strikingly, it has been reported that GlyR alpha 2 KO animals (GlyR\(_{α2−/−}\)) do not display any evident morphological disruption of the cortex at postnatal day 0 (P0; Young-Pearse et al., 2006). Moreover, in these animals, Notch1, Id2, Big2, TUG1, and GABA\(_B\)R subunit 6 expression appeared to be completely normal around birth, suggesting that GlyR alpha 2 subunit was not required for the acquisition of the main morphological and biochemical features of the cortex (Young-Pearse et al., 2006). The absence of morphological defects was suspected to arise from compensatory up-regulation of other GlyR or GABA\(_A\)R subunits in GlyR\(_{α2−/−}\) animals. In this regard, it is interesting to note that GlyR\(_{α2−/−}\) animals displayed glycine elicited responses at P7 (Young-Pearse et al., 2006), suggesting that other GABA subunits could still be necessary for postnatal development. A possible candidate is the GlyR alpha 3 gene, which has been found selectively expressed in layer 2/3 of the adult cortex (Sorensen et al., 2013). Recently, re-analysis of a new GlyR\(_{α2−/−}\) mouse line has revealed a marked dysfunction in interneuron development. GlyR alpha2 deficient mice were found to have fewer cortical interneurons that migrated at a lower speed while navigating the sub-ventricular zone (SVZ) at the E15 (Avila et al., 2013). This defect was possibly unnoticed, most probably due to the methodology applied in the early studies. Interneurons are about 15% of the total number of neurons in the cortex (Sahara et al., 2012) and even a marked reduction in their number is likely to be unnoticed using classical histological methods. Nevertheless, cortical interneurons play an active role controlling cortical excitability (Seybold et al., 2012) and have been proposed to be fundamental in starting immature circuits (Ben-Ari et al., 2004). Moreover, their dysfunction has been associated with the development of different brain pathologies (Cobos et al., 2005; Gogolla et al., 2009; Chattopadhyaya and Cristo, 2012; Marin, 2012).

Apart from its involvement in the control of cell migration, GABA has been involved in the control of cell proliferation as well (Represa and Ben-Ari, 2003). In this regard, actions of glycine or taurine are much less explored, but it has been shown that certain brain neuronal progenitors also express GlyRs (Nguyen et al., 2002). Thus, glycine or taurine could also contribute to the neurogenesis process in the brain. Indeed, knockdown of GlyRs in the spinal cord induced an increase in the number of mitotic cells (McDearmid et al., 2006) arguing in favor of a role of glycine in cell cycle regulation.

**GLYCINE RECEPTORS DURING POSTNATAL BRAIN DEVELOPMENT**

After birth, cell proliferation is restricted to small areas in the brain while intense neuronal migration stops to allow final cell positioning, morphological differentiation, and synaptogenesis. At this age, GlyR alpha 2 and beta transcripts are abundant in the cortex and other brain structures (Malosio et al., 1991b; Sake et al., 2004). However, there is a dynamic change in levels of expression of these mRNAs during the first two postnatal weeks of development. At the first postnatal day (P0), the alpha 2 and beta transcripts can be detected throughout the whole cortex, the thalamus, and the hippocampus (Kuhs et al., 1991; Malosio et al., 1991b). Complementary studies have found a homogeneous expression of alpha 2 and beta subunits in CP and Cajal–Retzius cells at this age (Sake et al., 2004). Later during development, at P5, alpha 2 subunits have been detected specifically in layers I/II and IV while the beta mRNA probe displayed a preferential labeling of layers I/II and VI in the cortex. Moreover, the evidence suggests that this pattern keeps on changing during the next 10 days and by P15 it reaches what resembles the adult distribution. At this age, while the alpha 2 transcripts display strong labeling in layer VI, the beta transcripts are detected in all the layers of the cortex, the hippocampus and the cerebellum (Malosio et al., 1991b). In addition, the transcripts for the alpha 1 and alpha 3 subunits are also detected in the brain after the second postnatal week of development (Malosio et al., 1991b; Sorensen et al., 2013). With respect to the drastic decrease of GlyR alpha 2 subunit in the brain it has been suggested that alpha 2 subunit containing receptors could gradually be replaced by alpha 1beta heteromers, similarly to what happens in the spinal cord. However, recent analyses, at the protein level have shown that the increase in alpha 1 subunit is so small that it could hardly replace the expression of the alpha 2 subunit, which seems to minimally decrease after birth (Jonsson et al., 2002).
Activation of GlyRs in the postnatal brain can cause different consequences depending on the region and cell type. Functional GlyRs are found in various regions of the brain, including the hippocampus (Chattipakorn and McMahon, 2002), thalamus, and cerebellum.

**CORTICAL GLYCINE RECEPTORS**

Several electrophysiological experiments have explored the functional and pharmacological properties of GlyRs in the postnatal cortex (Flint et al., 1998; Künz et al., 2012). Specifically, by using patch clamp experiments, it has been shown that both Cajal–Retzius cells and CP neurons express functional GlyRs. Interestingly, GlyR-elicited currents were three times larger in Cajal–Retzius cells compared to CP neurons at the same age (Okabe et al., 2004). Despite the evident difference, there were no other pharmacological or molecular differences in terms of GlyR response or subunit composition, which suggested that GlyRs in both cell types may consist of alpha 2/beta heteromeric receptors at least during the first postnatal days (Okabe et al., 2004).

Functional GlyRs are also found in other regions of the brain. HIPPOCAMPAL GLYCINE RECEPTORS have been shown for CP and Cajal–Retzius cells (Kilb et al., 2008). Activation of GlyRs in the postnatal brain can cause different biological effects depending on the cell type. During early postnatal ages, application of glycine to CP neurons in voltage-clamp mode induces a sustained current along with intense postsynaptic current events (Flint et al., 1998). This neurotransmitter release facilitation seems to persist in the developing visual cortex even after the third postnatal week (Künz et al., 2012). In contrast to these studies, the application of glycine to Cajal–Retzius cells induces a shunting inhibition of evoked action potentials, hence blocking synaptic transmission (Kilb et al., 2002). A key factor during the establishment of the first synapses in the cortex is the arrival of extra cortical inputs carried by thalamo-cortical axons. These axons primarily innervate SP neurons, which subsequently transfer the information to the rest of the layers in the cortex. It has been shown that GlyRs present in those cells display properties similar to those of receptors present in the CP, and upon activation they depolarize the cells lowering the threshold for the generation of action potentials (Kilb et al., 2008). An interesting possibility that arises from this study is that the depolarization induced by GlyRs activation can subsequently modulate neuronal activity in downstream cortical networks (Dupont et al., 2006) and influence their development.

**HIPPOCAMPAL GLYCINE RECEPTORS**

Functional GlyRs are also found in other regions of the brain. In the developing hippocampus, GlyRs have been reported to be composed by alpha 2/beta heteromeric and alpha 2 homomeric receptors (Thio et al., 2003). Interestingly, they have been found on pyramidal neurons from the regions CA1 and CA3 (Ito and Cherubini, 1991; Keck et al., 2008) and also on mossy fibers boutons (Kubota et al., 2010). Physiological consequences of GlyRs activation have been demonstrated to be developmentally regulated and to contribute sequentially to depolarization and hyperpolarization in the CA3 region (Ito and Cherubini, 1991). This depolarization effect converts into hyperpolarizing around P7 and occurred at the same time of the shift in the action of GABA in agreement with the similar chloride conductance characteristic of GABA and glycine ionotropic receptors (Ito and Cherubini, 1991). Despite of that, depolarizing actions of glycine through the activation of GlyRs can be found later in the CA1 region of the hippocampus during and after the third postnatal week (Song et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2011). In fact, the postnatal inhibitory shift depends on the region under investigation (see below). The consequences of the early depolarization exerted by GlyRs are not clear, but they include modulation of receptor trafficking at the synapse (Chen et al., 2011) and facilitation of neurotransmitter release. Moreover, extrasynaptic GlyRs contribute to membrane depolarization, tonic inhibition, and long-term depression in the CA1 region (Song et al., 2006; Keck et al., 2008; Xu and Gong, 2010; Chen et al., 2011). Furthermore, GlyRs have been found on mossy fiber boutons (Kubota et al., 2010) and hilar synaptic terminals, where they enhance excitatory activity (Lee et al., 2009).

**CEREBELLAR GLYCINE RECEPTORS**

In the cerebellum, GlyRs have been found functionally expressed on Golgi (Diedouonne, 1995), granular (Kaneda et al., 1995), and Purkinje cells during the first two postnatal weeks (Kawa, 2003a). Additionally, they have also been found in the deep cerebellar nuclei, where they contribute to synaptic (Pedroarena and Kamphausen, 2008) and extra synaptic (Kawa, 2003b) transmission. Interestingly, pharmacological experiments suggest the involvement of alpha 2 homomeric receptors in cerebellar synapses at P7–P10 (Pedroarena and Kamphausen, 2008). This is particularly interesting since it is assumed that synaptic GlyRs are heteromeric receptors (Kirsch et al., 1995). As it has been described in other brain structures, GlyR activation increases the frequency of excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic currents facilitating neurotransmitter release in the cerebellum (Kawa, 2003a). These effects were developmentally regulated and peaked at P5 for EPSCs and at P9 for IPSCs (Kawa, 2003a). Moreover, these effects were sensitive to TTX, suggesting the involvement of actions potentials and upstream activation of granular cells. This is supported by a previous study that has documented the presence of GlyRs in extra synaptic locations on granular cells (Kaneda et al., 1995). Synaptic GlyRs have also been found on Golgi cells early during development (Diedouonne, 1995). However, their glycinergic inputs and the consequences of GlyR activation for the cerebellar network are currently unknown.

**THALAMIC GLYCINE RECEPTORS**

In the thalamus, despite the clear labeling of this structure by GlyR probes (Kuhse et al., 1991; Malosio et al., 1991b), their physiological function has only recently been studied. Remarkably, GlyRs have been found to be involved in more than half of the inhibitory synapses recorded in the ventrobasal thalamic nuclei. This function was observed at P13 in the rat brain and, although there is no certainty regarding any developmental function, it highlights the contribution of GlyRs to thalamic inhibition during development (Ghavamzadeh et al., 2005).

**NETWORK FORMATION AND GLYCINE RECEPTORS**

The development of the spinal cord and brainstem provides an intriguing example that involves GlyRs in circuit development.
There, synaptic transmission changes from being predominantly GABAergic to glycineergic (Kotak et al., 1998; Gao et al., 2001; Rahman et al., 2013). This change consists of a presynaptic modification (Nabekura et al., 2004; Muller et al., 2006), but it also includes the replacement of alpha 2 with alpha 1 containing GlyRs. Transient expression of GABAergic neurotransmission has been extensively studied in the spinal cord (Gao et al., 2001) and it raises the question whether this is a more general phenomenon that can also occur in the brain. Indeed, GlyRs have been found co-localizing with GABAergic terminals in the hippocampus (Brandt et al., 2004; Dansilot et al., 2004) and in the primate cerebellum (Crook et al., 2006). Moreover, functional mixed synapses have been recorded in this brain region (Dumoulin et al., 2001; Dugue et al., 2005). Thus, since mixed synapses may be found during the transition between GABAergic to glycineergic transmission, future research aimed to understand the development of glycineergic synapses should clarify if this transition also occurs in the brain. Nevertheless, mixed synapses may contribute to the development and plasticity of the brain by affecting the functioning of specific circuits as it has been shown in the cerebellum (Dumoulin et al., 2001; Dugue et al., 2005). It is believed that GABAergic activity in mixed developing glutamatergic terminals promotes the development of excitatory connections (Gutierrez et al., 2003). Consequently, the presence of mixed synapses during development could be an effective mean to support the development of fast glycineergic neurotransmission. This could be particularly relevant if the developmental functions of GABA are exclusive and cannot be taken over by the activation of GlyRs. This is likely to be the case since it has been shown that GABAergic neurotransmission does not compensate for impaired glycineergic signaling at synaptic sites (Muller et al., 2008). On the other hand, GABAergic transmission can modify the function of glycine and GlyRs at mixed synaptic sites and provide specialized mechanisms to control excitability. In line with this, it has been shown that GABA can modulate GlyRs activation in the developing brain cortex (Bresstead et al., 2004). This modulation was only in one direction and while glycine-evoked currents were inhibited by GABA, GABA-evoked currents were only marginally affected by glycine. Similarly, cross inhibition of GABA and GlyRs have also been observed in the hippocampus, but occurring through a different mechanism involving the activation of both receptors (Li and Xu, 2002). Additionally, direct action of GABA on GlyRs should increase the complexity of glycineergic synapses in the brain (Lu et al., 2008; Singer, 2008).

The transition from slow GABAergic events to faster inhibitory glycineergic activity highlights the fact that network development may need transient, but sustained excitation. In addition, this can be enhanced by the slow decay time associated to certain GABAergic receptors (Dunning et al., 1999) and to alpha 2 homomeric GlyRs, present in the immature brain. It is believed that the slow decay of GABA receptors may contribute initially to achieve a long depolarization and to trigger cytoplasmic calcium levels that in turn may promote dendrite and spine development (Marty et al., 1996; Konur and Ghosh, 2005) as well as synaptic stabilization and refinement (van den Pol, 2004). Indeed, it has been demonstrated that GABAergic depolarization precedes glutamatergic activity and promotes the establishment of synaptic circuits in some regions of the brain (Ben-Ari, 2002; Hennou et al., 2002; Goolain and Ben-Ari, 2003; Ben-Ari et al., 2004). However, this is not a general rule as the opposite have been found in some regions of the cortex (Agnon and O’Dowd, 1992). Nevertheless, by homology to GABA Receptors, GlyRs may contribute to network formation in the regions where sustained depolarization is needed.

Finally, considering the important role of interneurons in the establishment of the first brain circuits (Cossart, 2011; Sauer and Bartos, 2011) and the recently described role of GlyRs in controlling interneuron development (Avila et al., 2013), it is tempting to speculate that GlyRs could indirectly modify early patterns of activity and have long lasting consequences in brain activity by affecting the number or positioning of cortical interneurons. GlyR knockout animals will potentially be very useful to understand the consequences of the involvement of GlyRs in circuit formation.

DEPOLARIZING TO HYPERPOLARIZING SHIFT IN GlyRs-MEDIATED ACTIONS

Shift from depolarizing to hyperpolarizing action of glycine and GABA seems to be a general phenomenon and it has been shown to occur earlier in caudal than in more rostral parts of the CNS (Ehrlich et al., 1999; Ben-Ari et al., 2007). Indeed, this shift occurs around birth in the spinal cord (Wu et al., 1992), after P3 in the brainstem (Singer et al., 1998), after P7 in the cerebellum (Brickley et al., 1996), around P7–P12 in the CA3 region of the hippocampus (Ben-Ari et al., 1989; Ito and Cherubini, 1991; Tyzio et al., 2007) and around P16 in the cerebral cortex (Owens et al., 1996; for review see Ben-Ari et al., 2007). Moreover, depolarizing actions of glycine compared to GABA have been shown to occur at the same time in the spinal cord (Wu et al., 1992) brainstem (Backus et al., 1998; Singer et al., 1998), and the CA3 (Ito and Cherubini, 1991) and CA1 region of the hippocampus (Verheugt et al., 1999; Song et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2011). Thus, overall GlyRs seem to be part of the general developmental program of the brain complementing the role of GABA and providing flexibility and specialized mechanisms to control excitation since the early stages of brain development.

GLYCINE RECEPTORS ENDOGENOUS AGONISTS DURING DEVELOPMENT

Endogenous activation of GlyRs in the developing cortex occurs through non-synaptic release of neurotransmitters and involves paracrine/autocrine mechanisms (Le-Corronc et al., 2011). Similar mechanisms operate for GABA and glutamate release contributing to analogous developmental functions (Manent et al., 2005; Manent and Represa, 2007). Similarities and differences between various neurotransmitter systems have been extensively covered by Le-Corronc et al. (2011). Until now, most of the developmental effects associated with glycine were only studied in the spinal cord, but as it has been discussed, glycine and GABA can serve to analogous functions in the developing brain. However, the effect of glycine has its own characteristics and it seems to be complementary to the effect exerted by the activation of GABA receptors. Differences can be found in the control of interneuron migration. There, glycine directly controls interneuron motility (Avila et al., 2013) while GABA receptors exclusively affect interneuron pausing time (Bortone and Polleux, 2009). Moreover, during radial migration,
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sion to regulate the level of glycine in combination with GlyT1 (Lal et al., 2012) does not seem to occur in the developing brain.

In contrast, later during postnatal development glycine level is exclusively controlled by GlyT1 independently of GlyT2 in the cortex (Gomeza et al., 2003; Kunz et al., 2012). Similar findings are observed in the hippocampus where the prominent function of GlyT1 found at P2 (Eulenburg et al., 2010) is also present in the adult formation (Martins et al., 2004; Yee et al., 2006). Nevertheless, GlyT2 is expressed by hippocampal interneurons and may contribute to additional functions (Danglot et al., 2004; Song et al., 2006).

During postnatal development, high performance liquid chromatography measurements have described another shift in the concentration of inhibitory neurotransmitters. According to these measurements, after a peak around birth, the levels of taurine remain high and rather constant during the first postnatal week. Then, taurine concentration decreases, but at P15 it still remains two and five times higher than GABA and glycine ones, respectively (Sturman, 1988; Benitez-Diaz et al., 2003). Functional experiments carried out at P6 demonstrate that taurine mainly activates glycine and not GABA receptors in the CP (Yoshida et al., 2004). The twofold increase in the level of glycine during embryogenesis reaches a peak around birth and gradually decreases during the first 2 weeks of postnatal development to about 60% of its original peak value. Interestingly, at this age certain com-

ponents of the glycine cleavage system (GCS) are highly expressed in the cortex, the cerebellum, and the hippocampus (Schoone et al., 2004; Le-Gorrec et al., 2011). This suggests that GABA and GlyRs could have an important role during a period of intense synaptogenesis. In addition, the fact that taurine levels remain above GABA and glycine even after the first 2 weeks of postna-
tal development, when GlyRs are activated almost exclusively by glycine (Yoshida et al., 2004), suggests that taurine could serve other functions independently of the sole activation of glycine or GABA receptors in the postnatal brain. Indeed, taurine is known to contribute to many different cellular functions in various physiological contexts, often by an unknown molecular mecha-
nism (Ripps and Shen, 2012). Relevant targets for taurine during postnatal development may include KCC2, which is inhibited by taurine, preventing its earlier activation and the anticipated inversion of the chloride gradient (Inoue et al., 2012). Thus, once again, this evidence suggest that both neurotransmitters, glycine and taurine, could act as ligands of GlyRs depending on the time and place of occurrence during brain development. Further-
more, they could have differential effects at prenatal and postnatal ages.

**PATHOPHYSIOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES OF GlyRs ACTIVATION DURING DEVELOPMENT**

While the identity of the endogenous GlyRs ligands remains unclear, both glycine and taurine seem to have an important role during brain development. The concentration of glycine is influenced by the GCS that catalyzes the degradation of glycine and provides the developing brain with other metabo-
lites, such as 5,10-methylene tetrahydrofolate, which is essential
for DNA synthesis. Failure in GCS activity leads to serious malformations, such as agenesis of the corpus callosum, gyral malformation and cerebellar hypoplasia. Interestingly, mutations of the AMT gene, encoding an enzyme essential for the degradation of glycine, have been found in two autistic patients (Yu et al., 2013). This finding is particularly interesting because it links a developmentally associated pathology with the levels of glycine. Complementarily, deprivation of taurine during pregnancy leads to abnormal cortical development in kittens (Sturman, 1988, 1991). Other aspects regarding the physiological role of glycine and taurine have recently been reviewed by Le-Corronc et al. (2011).

Although the role of GlyRs activation during early stages of brain development is yet unclear, there is increasing evidence to hypothesize that GlyRs could be involved in a multitude of cellular processes during development. Depending on that, there are multiple neurodevelopmental associated pathologies in which this receptor could play a role. Mutations of GlyRs are mostly present in the adult GlyR alpha 1 gene, which is almost completely absent during development. However, it has recently been found that a single mutation in the GlyR alpha 2 gene may lead to autism (Piton et al., 2011). This is a missense mutation where an arginine residue is replaced by a leucine (R350L) in the intracellular loop. Although this mutation was found in a female patient, where there are two copies of the gene, one on each X chromosome, the change on the receptor might have a consequence over particular aspects of her disorder. In the case of autism and schizophrenia, another disorder with a developmental component, it has been suggested that the interaction between multiple gene variants rather than one individual mutation is the most likely scenario that originates the disease. Moreover, it has recently been found that GlyR alpha 2 gene is enriched in serotonergic neurons, which are known to be involved in autism and related disorders (Dougherty et al., 2013). Thus, GlyR alpha 2 gene has been proposed as a strong candidate for further screening in autistic patients (Piton et al., 2011). Along this line, recent findings that describe a role of GlyRs in controlling interneurons migration during embryonic brain development offer a frame to investigate GlyRs on interneuron related disorders (Avila et al., 2013). GABAergic transmission and the appropriate development of cortical interneurons contribute to the right functioning of cortical circuits (Seybold et al., 2012), and defects on these processes are part of the pathophysiology of schizophrenia, autism, and epilepsy (Cobos et al., 2005; Gogolla et al., 2009; Chattopadhyaya and Cristo, 2012; Marin, 2012). It is possible therefore that GlyRs can play a role in these disorders. A more in depth analysis of GlyR alpha 2 KO animals is necessary to address this possibility. In addition, the affinity of GlyRs for glycine or taurine can be markedly increased by alternative splicing or RNA editing in temporal lobe epilepsy (Eichler et al., 2008; Legendre et al., 2009). This is believed to be dependent on the time course of the disease and to affect its evolution. Thus, future research should clarify the role of these variants during development and in neurodevelopmental disorders.

**FIGURE 1 | Glycine receptors and brain development.** Glycine receptors control different processes during pre and postnatal development of the brain. During embryonic development, studies suggest that GlyRs are involved in neurotransmitter release and the control of cell migration. Migrating interneurons are schematized in green while radially migrating cells are shown in orange. Postnatal functions depend on the depolarizing or hyperpolarizing action downstream GlyRs activation. Initially, GlyRs mediate excitation and promote neurotransmitter release and synaptic communication. Later, GlyRs act as inhibitory and take part in inhibitory synapses. E, embryonic day; P, postnatal day; CR, Cajal–Retzius cells; PP, pre-plate; CP, cortical plate; SVZ, sub-ventricular zone; VZ, ventricular zone; IZ, intermediate zone; GlyRs, glycine receptors; V, voltage; I, current; IPSCs, inhibitory postsynaptic currents.
CONCLUSIONS
Glycine receptors can be found prominently expressed in the brain and especially during early stages of development. Their expression has been reported since early embryonic stages where they may contribute to different processes (Figure 1). Remarkably, recent studies have presented conclusive evidence supporting a role in the control of cell migration. This role seems to be complementary to the activation of GABAA receptors that have long been known to contribute in this process. After birth, GlyRs can be found in different structures of the brain displaying a regional differential expression. Although their role in these structures remains unclear, evidence suggest that they may be part of the developmental process in the cortex, hippocampus, cerebellum, and the thalamus. Nevertheless, there is sufficient evidence to consider GlyRs as an important factor controlling neurotransmitter release during postnatal brain development. Consequently, GlyRs may influence the generation of early patterns of activity and the structure of brain circuits. Pathological consequences derived from the malfunction of GlyRs during development are yet to be clarified, but these channels are promising candidates for future research that might offer explanations to rare or complex syndromes that undermine human brain function since early stages of development.
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