Prevalence of Osteoporosis in Female Patients with Advanced Knee Osteoarthritis Undergoing Total Knee Arthroplasty
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INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is a common cause of pain and disability in the elderly (1). In the progressively aging population, the number of patients with advanced knee OA undergoing total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is increasing (2, 3). A female gender is another critical risk factor of advanced and symptomatic knee OA (4, 5). These two risk factors of advanced knee OA, aging and female gender, are also known to be major risk factors of osteoporosis, which is another issue of major concern (6, 7).

Nonetheless, orthopedic surgeons, particularly those caring for patients with advanced knee OA, have shown relatively little interest in the management of osteoporosis (8-10). This may be due to the traditionally held belief that patients with advanced knee OA are less likely to develop osteoporosis. Several previous studies have reported the existence of an inverse relationship between osteoporosis and OA, particularly in the hip and knee (11-14). Furthermore, a higher body mass index has been reported to increase the risks of the development and progression of OA of the knee (15, 16), but to decrease the risk of osteoporosis (17, 18).

However, in our experience, a considerable proportion of elderly female patients with advanced knee OA undergoing TKA also have osteoporosis. These anecdotal observations seemingly contradict the previously held inverse relationship between knee OA and osteoporosis. However, this inverse relationship had been demonstrated by the studies using community-based populations with various stages of OA (11-14); and it is still unclear whether this relationship would also be found in patients with advanced knee OA undergoing TKA. If our observation is the case, more functional deterioration in the knee OA patients might be related to lower bone mineral density (BMD) (19, 20). However, little information is currently available regarding this speculation.

The first aim of this study was to demonstrate bone mineral density (BMD) conditions in elderly female patients with knee osteoarthritis (OA) undergoing total knee arthroplasty (TKA). In addition, we sought to determine whether their BMD conditions differ from those of community-based females without knee OA. Finally, we sought to determine whether clinical statuses are related to BMD in the knee OA patients. BMD conditions in 347 female patients undergoing TKA and 273 community-based females were evaluated. Additionally, comparative analyses of BMD between age and body mass index-matched knee OA groups (n = 212) and the control groups (n = 212) were performed. In the pre-matched knee OA group, regression analyses were performed to determine whether preoperative clinical statuses were related to BMD. Considerable prevalence of coexistent osteoporosis (31%) was found in the pre-matched knee OA patients undergoing TKA. We found no significant differences of the BMD T-scores and the prevalence of osteoporosis between the age and body mass index-matched knee OA and control groups. In the pre-matched knee OA patients, poorer preoperative clinical scores were related to poorer BMD T-scores in the proximal femur and/or lumbar spine. Our study suggests that more attention should be paid to identify and treat osteoporosis in elderly female patients with advanced knee OA undergoing TKA.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and subjects
For this study, we defined a major study group (knee OA group) which involves elderly female patients with advanced knee OA undergoing TKA. A comparative control group involves community-based elderly female subjects without advanced knee OA. To control the major confounders of BMD and prevalence of osteoporosis between the above two groups, two cohorts were matched for age and body mass index (Fig. 1).

To determine sample sizes, a priori power analysis was performed for the Student’s t-test at an alpha level of 0.05 using an inter-group difference in mean BMD T-score of 0.5, which is 20% of the BMD T-score cut-off (-2.5) for defining osteoporosis. Based on the information obtained from our patient database, a minimum of 64 subjects per study group were required to detect clinically meaningful differences with a power of 80%. Nevertheless, as one of the major aims of this study was to report prevalence of osteoporosis in the knee OA patients, we were encouraged to enroll more patients than the calculated sample size.

To recruit subjects for knee OA groups, we reviewed a prospectively collected database and dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans of 522 consecutive female patients (801 knees) who underwent primary TKA by a single surgeon between 2005 and 2007. During the database review, we excluded patients with the following conditions; 1) an age of < 65 yr (n = 127), 2) a diagnosis other than primary OA (n = 21), 3) condition capable of affecting BMD scores, such as, hypercortisolism, hyperthyroidism, primary hyperparathyroidism, a history of cerebrovascular infarct, malignancy, heavy smoking and alcoholism (n = 13), 4) a history of hip surgery and/or spine surgery prior to the assessment of BMD (n = 14). After the implementing exclusions, 347 female patients with advanced knee OA remained before matching for age and body mass index (Fig. 1).

For this study, we defined a major study group (knee OA group) and 212 subjects in the age and body mass index-matched control group) remained for this study. All 347 patients had radiographic knee OA of Kellgren-Lawrence grade 3 or 4, predominantly grade 4 (89%, n = 307).

To recruit subjects for the control groups, we reviewed the database of a population-based prospective cohort study on health, aging, and common geriatric diseases in elderly Koreans (Korean Longitudinal Study on Health and Aging [KLoSHA]) (21). Candidates were randomly selected using resident registration numbers. Finally, 1,000 elderly subjects aged of ≥ 65 yr were enrolled in the baseline KLoSHA cohort. All assessments of subjects were performed at our hospital from September 2005 through October 2006. Of the 1,000 participants, we used a database of all female participants, 561 subjects, for the current study. For the 561 female participants initially identified, an orthopedic knee specialist assessed radiographs including standing anteroposterior, standing 45° flexion posteroanterior, and Merchant patellofemoral views of the knee. Based on these radiographic assessments, we excluded 275 subjects with radiographic OA of higher than Kellgren-Lawrence grade 2 in any compartment of the knee. In addition, we excluded 13 subjects for the following reasons: 1) a condition that had the potential to affect BMD score (n = 8), 2) a history of hip or spine surgery (n = 5). Consequently, 273 controls (the control group) remained before matching for age or body mass index (Fig. 1).

Then, we matched these two cohorts (advanced knee OA patients and control subjects without OA in knee radiography) for age and body mass index using the propensity score matching method with the assistance of a statistician. The propensity score matching is a method of adjusting for observed characteristics can lead to selection bias or confounding. The propensity score is usually estimated using a logistic regression model, and the scores range from 0 to 1. Any two subjects with the same propensity score can have different values for specific covariates, but overall, covariates entered in the propensity score model will tend to be balanced for study and control subjects with similar propensity score (22, 23). In this study, age and body mass index of the study and control cohorts were entered in the propensity score model. After matching for age and body mass index, 424 subjects (212 subjects in the age and body mass index-matched OA group and 212 subjects in the age and body mass index-matched control group) remained for this study.

Evaluation of BMD
All study subjects were evaluated for BMDs using a DXA scan (Lunar, GE, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Patients in the OA group underwent DXA scanning at 2-4 weeks before TKA, and subjects in the control group underwent scanning when they visited our institute to complete evaluations for KLoSHA. BMDs were measured in lumbar vertebrae (L1-L4) and in the proximal femur (neck, trochanter, ward, and total) in all. Based on these values, BMD T-scores were calculated based on standard deviations...

Fig. 1. Study subjects enrollment flowchart. OA, osteoarthritis; TKA, total knee arthroplasty; K/L, Kellgren-Lawrence; BMI, body mass index.
(SDs) of reference BMD values for the young Korean female population (age 20–40 yr) (24).

The BMD T-scores of study subjects were interpreted according to the guidelines issued by the International Society for Clinical Densitometry (ISCD) (25). When interpreting BMD values of the proximal femur, values of the ward and trochanter were not used to determine the presence of osteoporosis. On the other hand, lumbar BMDs were calculated by averaging the BMDs of L1 to L4. However, if an abnormal vertebral condition, such as, a compression fracture, was found on lumbar spine radiographs, and/or if the BMD T-scores of adjacent vertebrae differed by more than 1.0, the BMD T-scores of affected vertebrae were not used to determine the presence of osteoporosis. In addition, when a subject had three or more vertebrae affected by these abnormal conditions, lumbar BMD T-scores was not used to determine the presence of osteoporosis. After applying these criteria, the lumbar BMD T-scores of 49 cases and 23 cases were not used for data analyses in the knee OA and the control groups, respectively before matching process. Because of the exclusion, the lumbar BMD T-scores of 15 cases were not used for data analyses in both of these groups for the age and body mass index-matched comparisons. However, the BMD T-scores of the proximal femur of the entire subjects in the knee OA group and the control group were able to be used in the analyses.

Evaluation of preoperative symptom severity and functional deterioration in the OA group

The clinical information of patients with advanced knee OA undergoing TKA prospectively collected using pre-designed datasheets and archived in our database by an independent investigator. Preoperative American Knee Society (AKS) knee and function scores (26), Western Ontario McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) scores (27), and Short Form-36 (SF-36) scores (28) were used as proxies of preoperative symptom severity and functional deterioration. The evaluated preoperative clinical scores are presented in Table 1.

### Table 1. Summary of the preoperative WOMAC, SF-36 and AKS scores of the knee OA group warranting TKA

| Parameters                  | Mean (Standard deviation) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| WOMAC score (points)        |                           |
| Pain (20)                   | 11.2 (4.1)                |
| Function (68)               | 40.4 (12.5)               |
| Stiffness (8)               | 4.8 (2.0)                 |
| SF-36 score (points)        |                           |
| Physical component summary  | 28.6 (6.9)                |
| Mental component summary    | 41.0 (10.9)               |
| AKS score (points)          |                           |
| Knee (100)                  | 43.6 (10.3)               |
| Function (100)              | 55.3 (16.1)               |

WOMAC, Western Ontario McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index; SF-36, Short Form-36; AKS, American Knee Society; OA, Osteoarthritis; TKA, Total Knee Arthroplasty.

Statistical analysis

The BMD T-scores and the BMD categories of the pre-matched knee OA group and the control group were computed and documented. Then, comparative analyses of proximal femur and lumbar BMD T-scores and of BMD categories based on BMD T-scores (normal BMD, osteopenia, and osteoporosis) between the pre-matched knee OA groups and the control groups were carried out using the Student’s t-test and the chi-square test, respectively. In the analyses of BMD categories, we separately compared the BMD categories of the proximal femur and the lumbar spine based on the BMD T-scores of the corresponding area. We then compared the final BMD category of the subjects, which was defined as a lowest BMD T-score among the proximal femur and the lumbar spine T-scores.

To compare the BMD conditions between the knee OA and the control groups after adjusting for the age and body mass index, comparative analyses of proximal femur and lumbar BMD T-scores between the age and the body mass index-matched knee OA and the control groups were carried out using the Student’s t-test. Then, BMD categories between the two groups were compared using the chi-square test.

For the 347 patients in the pre-matched knee OA group, the relationships between the preoperative clinical scores (AKA, WOMAC and SF-36 scores) and the two BMD T-scores (lowest T-scores between the neck and total scores of the proximal femur and the average T-scores of the lumbar spine) were investigated using linear regression analyses. In the linear regression analyses, we entered age and body mass index as baseline covariates. In addition, as all clinical scores except SF-36 mental component summary were significantly correlated with each other, we performed multiple linear regression analyses for each clinical score separately. Regression analyses results were summarized using regression coefficients (unstandardized coefficients) and 95% confidence intervals for the coefficients.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS® for Windows® (Version 17.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P values of < 0.05 were considered significant.

Ethics statement

Approval for this study was granted by the institutional review board of Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (B-0912/090-103). Informed consent was waived by the board.

RESULTS

We found considerable prevalence of coexistent osteoporosis (108 patients in 347 patients, 31%) in the pre-matched knee OA patients undergoing TKA. However, this prevalence was significantly lower than that of the pre-matched control group (31% vs. 42% respectively, P = 0.001) (Table 2). In addition, the pre-matched knee OA group had better proximal femur and lum-
null
have presented evidence of an inverse relationship between osteoporosis and OA (11-14). However, little information is available on whether patients with advanced knee OA would be far less likely to develop osteoporosis by the inverse relationship. As the patients with advanced knee OA have elevated risks of incident vertebral and nonvertebral (including hip) fractures (29, 30), precise information on the nature of BMD in this patient group would be valuable to those involved in patient care and to those interested in social health care burden imposed by advanced knee OA (6, 7).

In the present study, the prevalence of osteoporosis in the pre-matched knee OA group was considerable, but lower than in that of the pre-matched control group. However, subjects in pre-matched knee OA group were younger and also had significantly higher body mass index, which have been reported to have a protective against osteoporosis (17, 18). To elucidate the isolated effect of advanced knee OA on BMD T-scores, the same comparisons were made after matching for both age and body mass index. We found that BMD T-scores in the age and body mass index-matched OA group were not significantly different from those in the age and body mass index-matched control group. In addition, the prevalence of osteoporosis between these two groups was also similar. This finding suggests that even though knee OA was known to have protective effect against osteoporosis in the study based on community-based population (11-14), severe stage of knee OA per se would lose the protective effect for some reason.

The results of regression analyses found that poorer preoperative functional statuses determined by AKS, WOMAC, and SF-36 scores were related to poorer BMD T-scores, even after adjusting for the effects of major confounders, namely, age and body mass index. These findings lead us to speculate that the protective effect of a greater body mass index against osteoporosis is diluted by functional deterioration in patients with advanced knee OA. Several previous studies have also reported the presence of a positive correlation between BMD and physical exercise (19, 20). We also found that the relationship was more prominent in BMD T-score of the proximal femur than that of the lumbar spine (Table 6). The reason of this finding is unclear. One possible explanation might be that, compared to the BMD of the lumbar spine, the BMD of the proximal femur would be more susceptible to the functional deterioration caused by advanced knee OA, such as difficulty in walking, stair climbing, and so on. However, this speculation should be confirmed by further study. Moreover, as this study is a cross-sectional study, we were not able to draw a conclusion for a causal relationship between the functional status and the BMD condition.

Several limitations of the present study should be noted. First, although the calculated sample size using a priori power analysis was a minimum of 64 subjects per study group for this study, we recruited more subjects than the sample size to provide more reliable information on the prevalence of osteoporosis in the knee OA patients. When a sample size is too large, there would be a risk that very small difference of the results between the groups could be interpreted as clinically meaningful difference. Nevertheless, even with large sample size, the BMD values and prevalence of osteoporosis between the age and body mass index-matched knee OA and control groups were not statistically different in this study. Thus, large sample size would not make a problem for interpretation of our results. Second, our study was conducted in Korean women aged > 65 yr, and thus, our results may not be applicable to other populations, such as, men, younger subjects, and subjects with different ethnicities. Third, this study focused on advanced OA of the knee, and thus, our conclusions concerning the relationship between BMD and OA cannot be applied to other joints or to those with earlier stage knee OA. Fourth, as mentioned previously, even though we found relationship between poorer clinical scores and poorer BMD T-scores in our knee OA group, this result cannot be used to determine a causal relationship because of cross-sectional nature of our study.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that a considerable proportion of elderly female patients with advanced knee OA have osteoporosis and its prevalence is similar with the community based control group when matched age and body mass index. This finding indicates that advanced knee OA per se does not have a marked protective effect against osteoporosis. In addition, this study found that more functional deteriorations would be related to poorer BMD in the patients with advanced knee OA. Based on our findings, we propose that more attention should be paid to identification and treatment of osteoporosis in elderly female patients with advanced knee OA undergoing TKA.

### Table 6. The results of regression analyses to find relationships between the clinical status and the bone mineral density (BMD) T-scores in the pre-matched knee OA group

| Variables | Proximal femur | Lumbar spine |
|-----------|----------------|--------------|
|           | B 95% CI for B | B 95% CI for B |
| WOMAC score* |                 |              |
| Pain      | -0.038, -0.062, -0.014 | -0.070, -0.109, -0.032 |
| Function  | 0.015, -0.023, -0.007 | -0.018, -0.030, -0.005 |
| Stiffness | -0.052, -0.101, -0.004 | -0.065, -0.143, 0.013 |
| SF-36 score |                 |              |
| PCS       | 0.022, 0.008, 0.036 | 0.014, -0.009, 0.036 |
| MCS       | 0.007, -0.002, 0.016 | -0.003, -0.017, 0.011 |
| AKS score |                 |              |
| Knee      | 0.012, 0.002, 0.021 | -0.002, -0.016, 0.013 |
| Function  | 0.018, 0.012, 0.024 | 0.016, 0.007, 0.026 |

*Higher WOMAC scores represent poorer outcome. OA, Osteoarthritis; B, Regression coefficient; CI, Confidence Interval; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index; SF-36, Short Form-36; PCS, Physical Component Summary; MCS, Mental Component Summary; AKS, American Knee Society.

Statistical analyses were performed using multiple regression analyses with control of age and body mass index. Results with statistical significance (P value < 0.05) are in bold font. *Higher WOMAC scores represent poorer outcome. OA, Osteoarthritis; B, Regression coefficient; CI, Confidence Interval; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index; SF-36, Short Form-36; PCS, Physical Component Summary; MCS, Mental Component Summary; AKS, American Knee Society.
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