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ABSTRACT

In order to quantify the relationship between gas accretion and star formation, we analyse a sample of 29 nearby galaxies from the WHISP survey which contains galaxies with and without evidence for recent gas accretion. We compare combined radial profiles of far-UV (\textit{GALEX}) and IR 24 \(\mu\)m (\textit{Spitzer}) characterizing distributions of recent star formation with radial profiles of CO (IRAM, BIMA, or CARMA) and H\textsc{i} (WSRT) tracing molecular and atomic gas contents to examine star formation efficiencies in symmetric (quiescent), asymmetric (accreting), and interacting (tidally disturbed) galaxies. In addition, we investigate the relationship between star formation rate and H\textsc{i} in the outer discs for the three groups of galaxies. We confirm the general relationship between gas surface density and star formation surface density, but do not find a significant difference between the three groups of galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

It has long been suggested that gas accretion plays an important role in ongoing star formation (SF) in galaxies (e.g. Larson, Tinsley & Caldwell 1980; Sancisi et al. 2008; Sánchez Almeida et al. 2014). In addition, numerical simulations (e.g. Brooks et al. 2009; Dekel et al. 2009) predict a positive correlation between gas accretion and SF. The importance of gas accretion in galaxies has been demonstrated by many studies. For example, the gas consumption problem (Larson et al. 1980; Kennicutt 1983) can be cured by continuous accretion (Sancisi et al. 2008; Fraternali & Tomassetti 2012). Likewise, the constant star formation rate (SFR) in the Milky Way (e.g. Twarog 1980; Binney, Dohmen & Bertelli 2000) can be explained by gas accretion. The accreted gas originates from the intergalactic medium or is gas removed from galaxies by gravitational interactions with companion galaxies. The accreted gas could replenish atomic hydrogen consumed by SF. However, the correlation between gas accretion and SF is not straightforward (Sancisi et al. 2008).

Indications for gas accretion are considered to be the presence of extra-planar gas (Oosterloo, Fraternali & Sancisi 2007\textsuperscript{a}; Wakker et al. 2008), warped layers (Ostriker & Binney 1989), and lopsided discs (Bournaud et al. 2005; van Eymeren et al. 2011\textsuperscript{a}). Since H\textsc{i} observations provide possible evidence for gas accretion (e.g. van der Hulst & Sancisi 2004; Oosterloo et al. 2007\textsuperscript{b}), H\textsc{i} observations are expected to be a crucial key revealing the role of accretion. Asymmetric structure and kinematics in H\textsc{i} have been investigated by many authors (e.g. Swaters et al. 2002; Noordermeer et al. 2005; van Eymeren et al. 2011\textsuperscript{a}). Sancisi et al. (2008) found that about half of the galaxies in the Westerbork H\textsc{i} Survey of Irregular and SPIral galaxies (WHISP; Kamphuis, Sijbring & van Albada 1996; van der Hulst, van Albada & Sancisi 2001) show asymmetries in the distribution and/or kinematics of the H\textsc{i}.

The SF law (or Kennicutt–Schmidt law; Kennicutt 1998) has been investigated in numerous galaxies (e.g. Wong & Blitz 2002; Leroy et al. 2008; Bigiel et al. 2008). The power-law correlation between SFR and total gas (H\textsc{i} + H\textsc{2}) or molecular gas (H\textsc{2}) suggests that SF is strongly correlated with the gas. We quantify SF properties in 29 galaxies with resolved H\textsc{i}, CO, UV and IR data to investigate whether SF characteristics are the same everywhere or depend on interactions and accretion. We can measure the SFR accurately using resolved \textit{GALEX} and \textit{Spitzer} maps and directly show how much the measured SFR is related with the gas properties in different environment such as quiescent, accreting, and tidally disturbed galaxies. The \textit{GALEX} far-UV (FUV) and \textit{Spitzer} 24 \(\mu\)m data have proved to be good SFR indicators (Calzetti et al. 2007; Leroy et al. 2008) and the FUV emission has been detected even in several outer discs (Thilker et al. 2007) while the 24 \(\mu\)m emission is limited to the optical radius (\(r_{25}\)). Since H\textsc{i} emission is extended out to 2 \(\times\) \(r_{25}\) or more and the H\textsc{2} gas in the outer regions can be used as a proxy for the accreting gas, the comparison of FUV and H\textsc{i} in these regions will provide the most direct examination for the role of gas accretion in SF. In order to investigate whether gas accretion and interaction affect the SFR, we divide the galaxies into three groups: quiescent, accreting, and interacting. We consider kinematical and morphological asymmetries as an indication of accretion and the presence of nearby companions as an indication of interactions. The remaining galaxies are symmetric and isolated.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes our sample selection and the observations. Section 3 shows how the sample of...
2 SAMPLE AND OBSERVATIONAL DATA

2.1 Galaxy sample

Since spatially resolved data are crucial for comparing SF and gas properties, we have selected our sample based on availability of resolved data: H\textsc{i} from the WHISP survey (van der Hulst et al. 2001), CO from the IRAM HERACLES survey (Leroy et al. 2009), the IRAM NUGA survey (García-Burillo et al. 2003; Combes et al. 2009), the BIMA SONG survey (Helfer et al. 2003), and the CARMA STING survey (PI: Alberto Bolatto; Rahman et al. 2011; Wong et al. 2013). FUV from GALEX, and IR 24 μm from Spitzer. Additional selection criteria are good signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) in H\textsc{i} and CO and a galaxy inclination of 70° or less. This resulted in an initial sample of 16 galaxies. Later, we increased the sample with 13 more galaxies that satisfy all criteria except the availability of CO imaging. The final sample of galaxies is listed in Table 1. The galaxy class is determined from the H\textsc{i} kinematics as well as the H\textsc{i} morphology. More details are given in Section 3.

2.2 FUV and IR 24 μm

Most of the FUV emission is produced by the young O and B stars. The fraction absorbed by the dust surrounding the stars is re-emitted in the infrared, so the combination (FUV+24 μm) is a good method to estimate the total recent SF (Leroy et al. 2008).

We have used FUV maps from the GALEX archive. They were taken from the Nearby Galaxy Survey (Gil de Paz et al. 2007), the All-Sky Imaging Survey, and the Deep Imaging Survey. In the FUV images, foreground sources around galaxies are masked and the sky background mean, obtained from several regions far away from a galaxy, has been subtracted. The FUV maps have a spatial resolution of 4.3 arcsec and are in units of counts s\(^{-1}\) pixel\(^{-1}\), where 1 count s\(^{-1}\) (cps) is 108 μJy (equivalent to 1.4 × 10\(^{-15}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) Å\(^{-1}\)) according to the GALEX Observer’s Guide. These units have been converted to MJy sr\(^{-1}\) to match the Spitzer 24 μm data. For the Galactic extinction correction, we have adopted the extinction \(A_{\text{FUV}} = 8.24E(B - V)\) given by Wyder et al. (2007), where the \(E(B - V)\) values are obtained from the 3D code and the dust maps provided by Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998). We used Spitzer 24 μm maps from the SINGS survey (Kennicutt et al. 2003), Program ID 59 (PI: G. Rieke), Program ID 69 (PI: G. Fazio), Program ID 3124 (PI: D. Alexander), Program ID 3247 (PI: C. Struck), Program ID 30443 (PI: G. Rieke), Program ID 40204 (PI: R. Kennicutt), and Program ID 50639 (PI: C. Danforth). We downloaded the Basic Calibrated Data (BCD) from the Spitzer Heritage Archive and used MOPEX (Mosaicking and Point Source Extraction) for background matching and mosaicking the BCD images after removing instrumental artefacts using the IMAGE REDUCTION AND ANALYSIS FACILITY (IRAF) tasks IMSTAT and IMARITH. The resolution and units of the maps are 5.9 arcsec and MJy sr\(^{-1}\), respectively. In the case of galaxies with AGN (UGC 7030, 7166, and 7989), the central regions (~1 kpc) are blanked to reduce the contamination by AGN activity.

2.3 H\textsc{i} and CO

For the total gas properties, we use H\textsc{i} and CO data with the inclusion of helium (a factor of 1.36). The H\textsc{i} data are obtained from the WHISP archive (van der Hulst et al. 2001). There are three kinds of resolutions available in the archive: 12 arcsec × 12 arcsec/sin δ (full resolution), 30 arcsec × 30 arcsec and 60 arcsec × 60 arcsec [see Swaters et al. (2002) for details of the reduction process]. We have downloaded the highest resolution (12 arcsec × 12 arcsec/sin δ) images as this is closest to the resolutions of the FUV and IR 24 μm images used for determining the SFR. The downloaded images are masked maps in Westerbork Units (1 W.U. = 5 mJy Beam\(^{-1}\)) reduced by the WHISP pipeline. We converted them to the integrated intensity maps in units of Jy Beam\(^{-1}\) km s\(^{-1}\) by multiplying the velocity channel separation using the MIRIAD task MATHS.

In order to obtain CO data at sufficiently high resolution and sensitivity, we use CO integrated intensity maps from large single dish or interferometric studies: the IRAM HERACLES survey (Leroy et al. 2009), the IRAM NUGA survey (García-Burillo et al. 2003; Combes et al. 2009), the BIMA SONG survey (Helfer et al. 2003), and the CARMA STING survey (PI: Alberto Bolatto; Rahman et al. 2011; Wong et al. 2013). All the galaxies with CO data are indicated by their telescope in Table 1.

3 H\textsc{i} CLASSIFICATION

Asymmetries of galaxies in their morphology and kinematics have been studied for many decades (e.g. Baldwin, Lynden-Bell & Sancisi 1980; Richter & Sancisi 1994; van Eymeren et al. 2011a, b). In those studies, they found that typically about a half of the galaxies shows asymmetries, suggesting that asymmetric galaxies are very normal (e.g. Richter & Sancisi 1994; Sancisi et al. 2008). Although the origin of the asymmetries has not been established so far, some studies suggested that the asymmetric features might be caused by gas accretion (e.g. Bournaud et al. 2005; Mapelli, Moore & Bland-Hawthorn 2008; Sancisi et al. 2008) or interactions with companions (Jog 1997; Zaritsky & Rix 1997). Based on these studies, we assume that mild asymmetries represent gas accretion, and that strong asymmetries represent interactions. Note, however, that there are also internal mechanisms such as an asymmetric halo potential that can produce asymmetries, which we cannot distinguish easily at present. We also looked at the 3.6 μm IR distributions for asymmetries and found that in all but two cases both the H\textsc{i} and the IR distributions are asymmetric, the H\textsc{i} in general being more distorted. The two exceptions are UGC 7256 and UGC 7989, which are symmetric in the IR but not the H\textsc{i}.

We have examined the H\textsc{i} distributions (galaxy morphology), integrated H\textsc{i} profiles, position–velocity (p–v) diagrams, and velocity fields of the galaxies and divided our sample into three groups: symmetric, asymmetric, and interacting galaxies based on the criteria mentioned above. Examples of symmetric, asymmetric and interacting galaxies are presented in Fig. 1. In order to show the classification more distinctly in the figure, we used the smoothed version (30 arcsec) instead of the highest resolution images that we use for this study. During this classification, we found an intermediate class between symmetric and asymmetric features, so we classified the intermediate galaxies as A/S. Our classification is presented in Table 1. When comparing with the previous studies by van
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1 http://asd.gsfc.nasa.gov/archive/galex/Documents/ERO_data_description_2.htm
Eymeren et al. (2011a,b) for galaxies in common, our classification is generally consistent with their results.

4 RESULTS

In order to compare the SFR and the gas surface densities, we first brought all the images to the same resolution using the MIRIAD task CONVOL and then obtained radial profiles of FUV, IR 24 μm, CO, and H I using the Groningen Image Processing System (GIPSY; van der Hulst et al. 1992) task ELLINT. In the case of interacting galaxies, the galaxy merging with UGC 7651 and the tidal tail of UGC 4862 are masked before deriving surface densities. All the radial profiles are corrected to face-on by multiplying by cos i. The radial sampling is 10 arcsec or 20 arcsec depending on spatial resolution of the object.

4.1 Radial distributions

The task ELLINT averages the data along tilted rings, so it increases the S/N of the measurement. Fig. 2 shows the azimuthally averaged radial profiles of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$, $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$, $\Sigma_{\text{CO}}$, and $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$ for the sub-sample of 16 galaxies for which CO data are available. Fig. A1 shows the profiles of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ and $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$ for the remaining 13 galaxies in the sample that have no CO data. In order to derive the SFR surface density, we combine FUV and 24 μm radial profiles using the calibration given by Leroy et al. (2008), which is originally based on previous studies (Calzetti et al. 2007; Kennicutt et al. 2007; Salim et al. 2007):

$$\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}) = 0.081 I_{\text{FUV}} \text{ (MJy sr}^{-1}) + 0.0032 I_{24 \mu m} \text{ (MJy sr}^{-1}).$$

The gas profiles are converted to units of $M_{\odot}$ pc$^{-2}$ by adopting the standard CO-to-H$_2$ conversion factor (Strong & Mattok 1996; Dame, Hartmann & Thaddeus 2001) and assuming optically thin emission in H$_2$:

$$\Sigma_{\text{HI}}(M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-2}) = 3.2 I_{\text{HI}} \text{ (K km s}^{-1})$$

$$\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}(M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-2}) = 0.0146 I_{\text{HI}} \text{ (K km s}^{-1}).$$

The total gas mass is estimated via 1.36($\Sigma_{\text{HI}} + \Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$), where the factor of 1.36 is a correction for helium. All the radial profiles for $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$ and $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$ are shown in Fig. 2 (left-hand panels). When we use the HERACLES CO ($J = 2 \rightarrow 1$) data for $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$, we adopt a conversion factor of 0.7 (Leroy et al. 2012) for the line ratio CO(2–1)/CO(1–0). The IRAM PdBI CO data for UGC 3334 given by Combes et al. (2009) are not reliable beyond 22 arcsec in radius since the primary
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Figure 1. The H\textsubscript{i} integrated intensity (left-hand panels) and velocity field (right-hand panels) maps for the symmetric (top), asymmetric (middle), and interacting (bottom) galaxies. Left-hand panels: the contours are $0.18 \times 1.78$ mJy Beam\textsuperscript{-1} km s\textsuperscript{-1}, with $n=0, 1, 2, 3$ for UGC 5079 (NGC 2903), $0.18 \times 1.78$ mJy Beam\textsuperscript{-1} km s\textsuperscript{-1}, with $n=0, 1, 2, 3$ for UGC 7524 (NGC 4395), and $0.84 \times 1.78$ mJy Beam\textsuperscript{-1} km s\textsuperscript{-1}, with $n=0, 1, 2, 3$ for UGC 7651 (NGC 4490). The lowest contour is 10 per cent of the peak intensity. Right-hand panels: the contours are 450, 550, and 650 km s\textsuperscript{-1} for UGC 5079 (top), 268, 288, 318, 348, and 368 km s\textsuperscript{-1} for UGC 7524 (middle), and 515, 565, and 605 km s\textsuperscript{-1} for UGC 7651 (bottom).

beam of PdBI is 43 arcsec. Instead, for the outside regions beyond 22 arcsec, we have extracted data points from the FCRAO CO profile (Young et al. 1995) using the DEXTER tool. The two different data sets match each other well at the radius of 22 arcsec (see the UGC 3334 in the figure). Most galaxies show $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2} > \Sigma_{\text{HI}}$ in the central regions as expected, but few cases are different (e.g. UGC 1913, UGC 7278, and UGC 7651). The galaxies UGC 1913 (NGC 925) and 7278 (NGC 4214) are known as late-type galaxies with...
faint emission in CO (Leroy et al. 2009) and the galaxy UGC 7651 (NGC 4490) is an irregular galaxy interacting with NGC 4485.

4.2 Scaled radial profiles

Bigiel & Blitz (2012) found an exponential scaling relation of the total gas ($\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$) within a factor of two uncertainty from scaled radial profiles of $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ for 33 nearby spiral galaxies and the Milky Way. The average profile of the total gas versus normalized radius by $r_{25}$ is well constrained by the exponential fit. We plot all the scaled radial profiles of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$, $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$, $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$, and $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ for the symmetric (red), intermediate A/S (purple), asymmetric (blue), and interacting (light blue) galaxies in Fig. 3. From the figures, we noticed that there is no significant difference
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**Figure 2.** Left-hand panels: radial profiles of 0.081$I_{\text{FUV}}$ (blue), 0.0032$I_{24 \mu m}$ (red), and the combined $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ (black) given by equation (1). Right-hand panels: radial profiles of $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$ (red), $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$ (blue), and total gas $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ (black). The helium factor (1.36) is included in the total gas: 1.36($\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}+\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$).
between the different types in the scaled radial profiles. We fitted an exponential function to the average values (filled circles) in a bin excluding the central regions. The vertical error bars show the standard deviation of the mean. The $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ and $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$ profiles are well fitted by the exponential function, but their scatters are too large. On the other hand, the scatter of $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$ is relatively small, but it is less well described by the exponential function due to the roughly flat profile within the optical radius. Like the result of Bigiel & Blitz (2012), the exponential fit to the average values of $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ for our sample is tightly constrained within a factor of 2 uncertainty (bottom-right panel). In order to increase the sample size in the $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ profiles, the galaxies without CO data are included only for the outer regions (beyond $r_{25}$) where $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$ is dominant. In the bottom-right panel, our exponential fit (solid line) is compared...
with the universal gas profile (dashed line) given by Bigiel & Blitz (2012):

$$\frac{\Sigma_{\text{gas}}}{\Sigma_{\text{tr}}} = 2.1 \times e^{-1.65 \times r/r_{25}},$$

(4)

here $\Sigma_{\text{tr}}$ is the surface density at the transition radius where $\Sigma_{\text{H2}} = \Sigma_{\text{HI}}$ and we adopt 14 $M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$ from their study. Note that this value is not obtained from our sample and we only use it for equation (4) to compare with our scaling relation:

$$\Sigma_{\text{gas}} = 30.3 \times e^{-1.92 \times r/r_{25}},$$

(5)

In this equation, which is obtained from the fit to our sample, we do not use $\Sigma_{\text{tr}}$ to normalize $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ since it is not possible to determine the value for several galaxies that do not have CO data or the transition radius. We also fitted the exponential function
separately to only symmetric galaxies and asymmetric (including A/S) galaxies to examine differences in the scaling relation. The scalelengths are 0.56 and 0.52 for the symmetric and asymmetric galaxies, respectively; so not significantly different.

4.3 Star formation in the inner regions

Using the sub-sample with both CO and H\textsc{i} data (16 galaxies), we have examined the K–S law by plotting $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ against $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$ and $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ in the inner regions (within $r_{25}$) for the symmetric (red circles), A/S (purple octagons), asymmetric (blue squares), and interacting (light blue diamonds) galaxies in Fig. 4 (top panels) to investigate whether the correlation is stronger in the asymmetric galaxies (including intermediate galaxies), suggesting a connection between SF and gas accretion since we assume that asymmetric galaxies are subject to gas accretion. Note that the asymmetric group includes the intermediate (A/S) galaxies in this section since (1) there is only one galaxy (UGC 7989) in the asymmetric group with CO and (2) the asymmetric and A/S galaxies are not significantly different from each other in their kinematics. However, we indicated each
Figure 3. The scaled radial profiles of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ (top left), $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$ (top right), $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$ (bottom left), and $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ (bottom right) for the symmetric (red), A/S (purple), asymmetric (blue), and interacting (light blue) galaxies. The filled circles are the average values in each bin ($0.2 \times r_{25}$) and the solid line is the exponential fit to the points. The dashed line in the bottom-right panel is the fit given by Bigiel & Blitz (2012).

The scaled radial profiles of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$, $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$, $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$, and $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ are shown for different types of galaxies. The figure includes galaxies with different symbols to indicate their type. For the purposes of increasing the sample size and comparing with other observations, the authors included 12 THINGS (Walter et al. 2008) galaxies with CO data from Leroy et al. (2008). The THINGS sample consists of eight symmetric, three slightly asymmetric (A/S), and one interacting galaxy. They are plotted as open symbols (but the same symbol shape as the classes of our sample) in the figure. The THINGS galaxies show the same overall behaviour as the galaxies from the WHISP sample, and inclusion of these galaxies does not alter the lack of any significant difference between the galaxy classes. We used the ordinary least-squares (OLS) bisector (Isobe et al. 1990) to fit $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ versus $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$ and $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ in logarithmic space for each galaxy. The power-law index ($N$) shown in the figure is the average value of the all galaxies, while the indices $N_{\text{A/S}}$, $N_{\text{S}}$, and $N_{\text{Int}}$ represent the average values of the asymmetric (including A/S), interacting, and symmetric groups, respectively. Although the average indices are clearly different for the three groups and some symmetric galaxies seem to exhibit a weak correlation in the regions where $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ and $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ values are higher, we do not see a much tighter correlation among the groups. In the top-right panel of Fig. 4, the relation of SFR surface density versus total gas density is slightly steeper for the asymmetric galaxies as compared to the symmetric galaxies, though the difference is hardly significant. The individual plots of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ versus $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$, $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$, and $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ for each galaxy are presented in Fig. A2.

In the bottom panels of Fig. 4, we show the star formation efficiency (SFE) for molecular gas ($\text{SFE}_{\text{H}_2} = \Sigma_{\text{SFR}}/\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$) and total gas ($\text{SFE}_{\text{gas}} = \Sigma_{\text{SFR}}/\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$) as a function of radius normalized by...
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4.4 Star formation in the outer regions

SF in galaxies does not always stop at $r_{25}$ or at the edge of the molecular gas disc, as demonstrated by the extended galactic discs in UV (e.g. Thilker et al. 2005; Gil de Paz et al. 2005). Bigiel et al. (2010) found a strong correlation between H$_{\text{I}}$ and FUV in the outer discs of many nearby spiral and dwarf galaxies. Since we are interested in a correlation between gas accretion and SF, we also investigate a possible relationship between H$_{\text{I}}$ and FUV, especially in the outer regions where both H$_{\text{I}}$ and FUV extend to $2 \times r_{25}$ or more and where the effects of accretion may be most severe. Here, the outer regions are defined as $r > r_{25}$. Following the suggestion by Bigiel et al. (2010), we do not consider the internal extinction in the optical radius $r_{25}$. Like previous studies (e.g. Rownd & Young 1999; Leroy et al. 2008), the SFE$_{\text{H$_{2}$}}$ is roughly constant although the scatter is somewhat large. On the other hand, the SFE$_{\text{gas}}$ is decreasing with radius and the scatter is small except the inner region within $0.2 \times r_{25}$. The scatter in the total gas is reduced by a factor of 10, suggesting that the inclusion of H$_{\text{I}}$ in the SFE provides more meaningful results. Again, there is no significant difference among the groups.

**Fig. 4** Top panels: $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ versus $\Sigma_{\text{H$_{2}$}}$ (left) and $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ (right). The average K–S indices are presented as $N$ for all the galaxies, $N_{\text{inter}}$ for the asymmetric and A/S galaxies, $N_{\text{int}}$ for the interacting galaxies, and $N_{\text{sym}}$ for the symmetric galaxies. The different symbols indicate the different galaxy classes: light blue diamonds (interacting), blue squares (asymmetric), purple octagons (A/S), and red circles (symmetric) for the WHISP galaxies (filled symbols) and the THINGS galaxies (open symbols). Bottom panels: SFE of the molecular gas ($\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}/\Sigma_{\text{H$_{2}$}}$) and SFE of the total gas ($\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}/\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$) as a function of radius normalized by the optical radius $r_{25}$.

FUV since the extinction correction may cause large uncertainties at the outer regions where S/N is low and background sources may contaminate FUV emission. In addition, the extinction effect would not be significant in the outer regions. Bigiel et al. (2010) estimated the internal extinction using a typical value of H$_{\text{I}}$ column density at the outer discs; the correction factor is about 1.3.

Fig. 5 shows $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ versus $\Sigma_{\text{H$_{2}$}}$ in the outer regions and SFE$_{\text{H$_{2}$}}$ (= $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}/\Sigma_{\text{H$_{2}$}}$) versus radius in units of $r_{25}$ for symmetric (red circles), intermediate A/S (purple octagons), asymmetric (blue squares), and interacting (light blue diamonds) galaxies. For estimating $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ in the figure, we used the FUV term in equation (1) since the 24 $\mu$m emission is negligible and the $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ profile is dominated by FUV in the outer regions. Unlike for the inner regions, a tight correlation between $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ and $\Sigma_{\text{H$_{2}$}}$ has been found in the outer regions for all the groups, although the scatter increases towards lower $\Sigma_{\text{H$_{2}$}}$. We obtained the average K–S index of 1.21 using the OLS fit to $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ (from only FUV) versus $\Sigma_{\text{H$_{2}$}}$ in the outer regions.

The very discrepant galaxy in the figures is the interacting galaxy UGC 4862 which has two tidal tails (Torres-Flores et al. 2012). The most prominent tail in the outer regions is masked in H$_{\text{I}}$ and FUV images when driving radial profiles. The H$_{\text{I}}$ profile shows very low density in the outer regions, especially near the optical
radius, compared to other galaxies. The \( \text{H} \text{I} \) gas may be swept out towards the tail during interacting process, causing the low \( \Sigma_{\text{HI}} \) in the regions. On the other hand, the FUV profile shows much higher SFR near the radius of \( 2 \times r_{25} \) than that of other galaxies. The high SFR in the outer regions might be linked to an extended UV disc (Thilker et al. 2007) of this galaxy.

The right-hand panel in the figure shows the SFE\(_{\text{HI}}\) decreasing (roughly exponentially) with radius up to \( \sim 1.5 \times r_{25} \) and flattening (or roughly constant) beyond the radius. All galaxies in our sample appear to behave the same, so apparently the outer discs have very low SFE but also are extremely self-regulating, probably because the H\( \text{I} \) surface density is very close to the critical density. In the end, it tells us more about galaxies than about how they get their gas. The roughly constant SFE in the outer regions agrees well with Bigiel et al. (2010), reinforcing the notion that the outer discs of spiral and irregular galaxies behave in a similar way, with low but constant SF efficiencies. Why the outer discs behave this way is not yet very clear. Wong et al. (2016) building on the results of Meurer, Zheng & de Blok (2013) and Zheng et al. (2013) show that for a model galaxy with constant Q the SFE declines towards \( r_{25} \) and becomes approximately constant in the outer parts. As discussed by Bigiel et al. (2010), this suggests that in the outer parts the discs are overall Q-stable while locally a small fraction of the gas clouds become unstable and form stars. Several studies have shown that the radial gradient of metallicity abundance is flat in the outer discs (e.g. Bresolin et al. 2009; Goddard et al. 2011). This flat gradient may be related to the constant SFE\(_{\text{HI}}\) in the outer regions. Like in the inner parts, we do not find a significant difference between the three groups of galaxies.

4.5 Dependence on the total stellar mass

SF depends on the total stellar mass (e.g. Noeske et al. 2007; Davé 2008). For this reason, there may be differences between the galaxy classes in the SF law (SFL) and SFE for different stellar mass ranges. We therefore re-examine the SFL and SFE, dividing the galaxies into specific stellar mass groups. To investigate this, we first estimated the total stellar mass (\( M_* \)) using the Spitzer IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) maps following Eskew, Zaritsky & Meidt (2012):

\[
M_* = 10^{5.65} F_{3.6}^{2.85} F_{4.5}^{-1.85} \left( \frac{D}{0.05} \right)^2,
\]

where \( F_{3.6} \) and \( F_{4.5} \) are fluxes of 3.6 and 4.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) in units of Jy and \( D \) is the distance in units of Mpc. Bright foreground stars in the maps are blanked. We used the MIRIAD tasks CGCURS and HISTO to define regions of galaxies and to integrate the galaxies, respectively. The estimated stellar masses for our sample are presented in Table 1. Fig. 6 shows that the galaxies in our sample lie on the relation between SF and stellar mass. The total SFR values are estimated
(3) The examination for the K–S law in the inner regions exhibits no tighter correlation among the symmetric and asymmetric groups of galaxies and no clear sign for a relationship between gas accretion and SF. However, the power-law correlation of some symmetric galaxies appears somewhat weaker than that of the asymmetric and interacting galaxies, especially in the central regions where \( \Sigma_{\mathrm{SF}} \) and \( \Sigma_{\mathrm{gas}} \) are higher. The average indices for the molecular K–S law are 0.84 (asymmetric) and 0.88 (symmetric). The indices for the total gas are 2.93 (asymmetric) and 2.15 (symmetric). The SFE for the molecular gas is roughly constant with radius while the SFE for the total gas decreases with radius for both the symmetric and asymmetric galaxies.

(4) From the plot of \( \Sigma_{\mathrm{SF}} \) versus \( \Sigma_{\mathrm{H} \, \text{I}} \), the outer galaxies beyond \( r_{25} \), we noticed that there is a tight correlation between SFR and \( \mathrm{H} \, \text{I} \) in the outer discs unlike in the inner regions. The average K–S index for all the galaxies is 1.21. The SFE in the outer regions beyond \( r_{25} \) decreases (roughly exponentially) until \( 1.5 \times r_{25} \) and flattens beyond that radius. There is no significant difference between the galaxy groups for the SFL and SFE except that the isolated symmetric galaxies with small stellar mass (less than \( 10^{10} \, M_\odot \)) have somewhat higher SFE.

5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In order to find evidence for a positive correlation between gas accretion and SF, we investigated the K–S law in the inner and outer regions (separately) with symmetric, asymmetric, and interacting groups of galaxies and compared them to each other. In addition, we compared the scaled radial profiles of \( \Sigma_{\mathrm{SF}}, \Sigma_{\mathrm{H} \, \text{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathrm{H} \, \text{II}} \), and \( \Sigma_{\mathrm{gas}} \) for the groups to see if there is any difference between them.

(1) Among the sub-sample of 16 galaxies with both CO and \( \mathrm{H} \, \text{I} \) data, several galaxies show \( \Sigma_{\mathrm{H} \, \text{I}} \) lower than \( \Sigma_{\mathrm{H} \, \text{II}} \) in the central regions unlike the general trend of CO and \( \mathrm{H} \, \text{I} \) radial profiles demonstrating that the molecular gas density is higher than the \( \mathrm{H} \, \text{I} \) density near the centre.

(2) The scaled radial profiles of the total gas are well constrained by the exponential fit regardless of the galaxy types. There is no significant difference between symmetric and asymmetric galaxy groups and the scalelengths are 0.56 (symmetric) and 0.52 (asymmetric).
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APPENDIX A

Figure A1. SFR and H\textsubscript{i} surface densities as a function of radius for 13 galaxies that have no CO data.
Figure A1 – continued
Figure A2. SFR surface density as a function of H$_2$ (red), H$_\text{i}$ (blue), and total gas (green) surface densities for the sub-sample of 16 galaxies with CO data.
Figure A2 – continued
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