Superfluid Motion of Light
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Superfluidity, the ability of a fluid to move without dissipation, is one of the most spectacular manifestations of the quantum nature of matter. We explore here the possibility of superfluid motion of light. Controlling the speed of a light packet with respect to a defect, we demonstrate the presence of superfluidity and, above a critical velocity, its breakdown through the onset of a dissipative phase. We describe a possible experimental realization based on the transverse motion through an array of waveguides. These results open new perspectives in transport optimization.

PACS numbers: 42.65.Sf ; 47.37.+q ; 42.65.Tg

Next year will bring the opportunity to celebrate the 100\(^{th}\) anniversary of the discovery of superconductivity \cite{1}. This remarkable property is often related to a more fundamental phenomenon, the Bose Einstein condensation, where a single quantum state is occupied by a macroscopic number of particles. The bosons that condense may be coupled electrons that form Cooper pairs, as in superconducting metals \cite{2}, atoms \cite{3}, like in the original experiments in superfluid (SF) \(^4\)He \cite{4}, or molecules \cite{5}. They may also be formed of more complex particles, like fermionic atom pairs \cite{6}, or polaritons, a composite of a photon and an exciton \cite{7}. Superfluidity of polaritons in semiconductor cavities was explicitly tested recently \cite{8}.

There are different definitions of superfluidity, each one may emphasize a particular physical aspect. Here superfluidity means the existence of a finite critical velocity \(v_c > 0\) below which the motion of the fluid is dissipationless. A particularly simple way to experimentally implement this test is by moving through the fluid an obstacle, or localized external potential. When the potential is weak, it has been shown long ago \cite{9} that \(v_c = c_s\), where \(c_s\) is the speed of sound in the fluid \cite{10}. Above the critical velocity, superfluidity is broken and dissipative effects appear.

It is important to note that a finite critical velocity is directly related to the presence of interactions between the bosons. The interactions control the long wavelength structure of the dispersion relation of the fluid. In a mean field approximation, weakly interacting bosons may be modeled, with good accuracy, by the Gross-Pitaevsky equation. The latter corresponds to a Schrödinger equation with an additional nonlinear term that describes the interactions. In particular, this equation reproduces correctly the Bogoliubov dispersion relation mentioned above for the excitations of the interacting fluid. Interestingly, when the propagation of light is considered through a nonlinear medium of the Kerr-type uniform in one direction, in the paraxial approximation a similar equation is obtained for the slowly varying envelope of the optical field of a given wavenumber and frequency. The analogy between the Gross-Pitaevsky equation and the light propagation in nonlinear media has been exploited in the past to test basic quantum effects with optics, like Bloch oscillations \cite{11, 12} or Anderson localization \cite{13}. Because of the similarities of the two equations, and since the Gross-Pitaevsky equation predicts SF motion, it is natural to push further the analogies and consider the possibility to observe a new state of light, e.g. superfluidity in an optical nonlinear medium. Based on a self-defocusing refractive medium inside a Fabry-Pérot cavity, an optical analog of a SF has indeed been proposed \cite{14}. However, the results of the numerical simulations based on a transient regime were not conclusive. Moreover, no clear evidence of the existence of a SF critical velocity was provided. Therefore, the existence of photonic superfluidity in nonlinear media, as well as its experimental observation, remain open issues.

Our purpose here is (i) to provide clear evidence of SF motion of light in a nonlinear medium as well as of its breakdown and (ii) propose an experiment that allows the observation of these effects. For simplicity, we will focus on the propagation of light in an effective one-dimensional array of waveguides.

In these materials, light propagates in a medium where the refractive index has been spatially modulated. A typical setup consists of a periodic modulation of a two-dimensional layer, where an array of equally spaced identical waveguides is formed (see Fig.1). Outside each of the waveguides the optical field intensity decreases exponentially. When the distance is such that the overlap between the fields of neighboring waveguides is small, the optical tunnelling between adjacent guides may simply be modelled by a hopping term. Light propagates along the guides in the longitudinal direction and hops from one guide to the next in the transverse direction. Moreover, the width of each waveguide may be engineered in order to modify the energy of the local (quasi) bound state light mode, and Kerr materials may be used to include nonlinear effects. Under these conditions, the optical field amplitude \(A_k\) of light at the \(k\)\(^{th}\) lattice site (or waveguide) obeys the following discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation:

\[
\frac{dA_k}{dk} = \left( i k - \alpha - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) A_k + \alpha \sum_{m \neq k} C_{km} A_m,
\]

where \(\alpha\) is the waveguide loss rate, \(\beta\) is the coupling strength between adjacent waveguides, and \(C_{km}\) is the coupling coefficient between waveguides \(k\) and \(m\). The coefficients \(C_{km}\) are determined by the geometric parameters of the waveguide array and the optical properties of the material. The nonlinear term \(\alpha \sum_{m \neq k} C_{km} A_m\) represents the Kerr nonlinear effect, which gives rise to the propagation of light at the speed of light in the fluid, \(c_s\), in the absence of interactions. However, when the interactions are included, the nonlinear Schrödinger equation becomes:

\[
\frac{dA_k}{dk} = \left( i k - \alpha - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) A_k + \alpha \sum_{m \neq k} C_{km} A_m + \gamma \sum_{m \neq k} C_{km} |A_m|^2 A_k,
\]

where \(\gamma\) is the nonlinear coefficient, which is typically much smaller than \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\). The nonlinear term \(\sum_{m \neq k} C_{km} |A_m|^2 A_k\) describes the self-focusing or self-defocusing effect, depending on the sign of \(\gamma\). When \(\gamma > 0\), the medium is self-focusing, while when \(\gamma < 0\), the medium is self-defocusing.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the existence of superfluid motion of light in a nonlinear medium, and we have proposed an experimental realization based on the transverse motion through an array of waveguides. These results open new perspectives in transport optimization.
defines the center of the lattice. The advantages of such a set up are multiple. One can shine on the lattice a light packet whose center is located at an arbitrary distance $d$ from the bottom of the potential. As it propagates, the transverse direction, the packet will oscillate in the transverse direction with frequency $\omega$ [16]. One can show that for $\gamma > 0$ the frequency $\omega$ coincides with that of $\gamma = 0$ [17]. Moreover, the shape of the packet does not vary in time if initially it is given by the (translation) of the stationary ground state solution of Eq.(1) [18]. Thus, with a positive nonlinearity, such a light packet oscillates with a frozen shape, and its velocity at the bottom of the potential is $v = \omega \cdot d$. This allows to control the transverse speed of light.

We now include the defect at the center of the harmonic potential. A simple way to experimentally implement it is by a local variation of the on-site energy, $\epsilon_k = \epsilon_0 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 k^2 + U_0 \delta(k,0)$ (where $U_0$ represents the defect strength). The purpose now is to study, for different relative velocities $v$, the oscillations of the light packet in the presence of the defect. If the light is scattered by the defect, dissipative processes are induced that transform the coherent collective oscillation into disordered fluctuations of the light intensity. As a consequence a damping of the collective character of the oscillations is expected. On the contrary, if SF motion occurs, the light pulse is able to move through the defect without losing collectivity (e.g., without changing its global shape). It only creates a local intensity depletion around the defect [18]. By analogy with the dispersion relation of the corresponding (continuous) Gross-Pitaevsky equation, Eq.(1) predicts a SF motion for velocities below a critical threshold $v_c$ which, for weak perturbations, is of the order of $\sqrt{C/|A|^2}$, where $|A|^2$ is the light intensity at the center of the light pulse. For typical experimental set ups [19], this velocity is $v_c \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-2}$, which corresponds, in the original units, to the ratio of the transverse speed to the speed of light in the photonic structure.

Figure 2 shows, for different initial positions $d$, the first oscillations of the light packet. In the absence of an obstacle (Fig.2a), the packet oscillates freely with constant shape and amplitude. In presence of the defect and for small amplitudes (Fig.2b), no damping or dissipative process is observed (see right column of the Figure). The only manifestation of the presence of the defect on the light pulse is a local intensity depletion at the position of the defect, which is clearly visible in Fig.2, as a horizontal red line. The motion is qualitatively similar to the free oscillation of the light pulse, shown in Fig.2a, aside from a slight modification of the frequency, that can be explained theoretically [20]. Increasing the amplitude and thus the relative velocity with respect to the defect, there is a critical speed above which the shape of the light pulse is qualitatively modified as it propagates (Fig.2c). What is observed is, in particular, the emission of grey soliton-like perturbations (dark blue trajectory), which detach.
FIG. 2: (color online) Time evolution of a light pulse propagating through an array of waveguides modulated by a harmonic on-site energy, with parameters $C = 100$, $\omega = 0.1$, $\gamma = 80$, $N = 300$. Left column: intensity, normalized to the maximal one. Right column: amplitude of the oscillatory motion of the light packet barycenter (for the first ≈ 50 oscillations the amplitude is normalized to the initial value). Top panel (a): free motion. Following panels (b)-(d): oscillation in presence of an additional obstacle located at the center of the lattice. (b): SF motion. (c): speed slightly above the critical velocity. (d): speed well above the critical velocity.

from the defect and travel across the light packet with a non trivial dynamics. This dissipative process produces a damping of the oscillations. As the velocity is further increased (Fig 2(d)), the shape of the light pulse is subjected to massive deformations through phonon-like and solitonic emissions. The complex dynamics of the excitations signals the onset of a strong dissipative process that destroys the collectivity of the oscillations, thus leading to a strong damping.

A quantitative way to characterize the dissipative process observed in Fig 2 is to numerically evaluate the fluidity factor, defined as the ratio of the amplitude of the oscillation around some final time, to the initial amplitude, $\Sigma_k |A_k|^2 / \Sigma_k |A_k^0|^2$, where the $A_k^0$’s are the incident amplitudes of the field. This factor varies from 0 for a totally damped motion to 1 for an undamped one. We show in Fig 3 the computation of the fluidity factor for different velocities and different attractive ($U_0 < 0$) and repulsive ($U_0 > 0$) defect strengths. The final time corresponds to 50 free oscillation periods. The velocities are normalized to the perturbative critical velocity, defined as $c_s = \sqrt{2C/\mu}$, where $\mu$ is the chemical potential of the incident light packet, $\mu = \Sigma_k A_k^0 \{- C (A_k^{0} + A_k^{0}) + \gamma |A_k^{0}|^2 A_k^{0} + \frac{1}{2}(\omega k)^2 A_k^{0}\}$. At low velocities, the fluidity factor is equal to one and the light pulse presents a perfect transmission through the scattering potential. A local peak (resp. dip) is observed on the light intensity when the defect is attractive (resp. repulsive), but this does not affect qualitatively the dynamics of the oscillations. This demonstrates that the transverse motion of the light is superfluid for a well defined parameter range in transverse speed of light and defect strength.

As the velocity increases a sharp transition towards a phase of damped dynamics is observed. This border defines the critical velocity $v_c$. Above $v_c$, non local dissipative excitations are allowed, and superfluidity breaks down. As shown in Fig 3, $v_c$ coincides with the perturbative one, $c_s$, for weak defect strengths. However, as the strength increases, $v_c$ deviates from the perturbative estimate. A non-perturbative analysis is required to describe the threshold [18, 20]. That analysis also allows to explained the asymmetry observed in Fig 3 between positive and negative values of $U_0$. The critical velocity as a function of the strength of the defect, shown in Fig 3 is computed by solving the equation $U_0/\mu = K(v_c(U_0)/c_s)$ where $K(x) = 1/(2\sqrt{x}) (-8x^4 - 20x^2 + 1 + (1 + 8x^2)^{3/2})^{1/2}$.

The method used here to test superfluidity of light has a close counterpart in the physics of ultracold atoms. The influence of a local potential on the flow of a condensate [18, 21] or on the damping of dipole oscillations [20, 22, 23] become in recent years an important and accepted experimental and theoretical tool to analyze the dynamics of ultracold Bose-Einstein condensates, in particular as a test of superfluidity.

We have considered here nonlinear optics at a purely classical level. In the paraxial approximation, its formal description is identical to the Gross-Pitaevsky equation, which describes a mean-field dilute condensate of atoms. Many open issues, related to the underlying microscopic quantum theory of light and to its connections with the phenomenon of Bose-Einstein condensation, deserve further investigation [14]. In a wider context, the physics described here is similar to that observed in the wave resistance of a moving disturbance at the surface of a liquid [24], or to the Cherenkov radiation of a charged particle moving through a dielectric medium [25].

To conclude, we have shown that, in a typical experiment, for transverse speeds of the order of $10^{-2}$ the speed of light in a self-defocusing nonlinear medium the light motion becomes superfluid. In contrast, superfluid-
FIG. 3: (color online) Fluidity factor computed after a time $\tau = 50 \cdot 2\pi/\omega$, as a function of the normalized strength of the defect and transverse speed of the light pulse. Yellow regions correspond to SF motion, whereas damping is observed in the darker regions. The dashed line represents the analytical non-perturbative prediction of the critical velocity (see text). The full dots $(a) - (d)$ indicate the parameters used in Fig 2: $(a): U_0 = 0$, $v/c_s = 0.23$; $(b)$, $(c)$ and $(d): U_0/\mu = 0.48$ and $v/c_s = 0.23, 0.76$ and 1.05, respectively.

Perfluidity does not occur in a focusing ($\gamma < 0$) medium. The effect described is not inherent to discrete lattice structures, and is expected to occur in continuous media as well, provided the refraction index can be carefully designed. The main interest of the set up based on an array of waveguides is the ability to easily control the different parameters. Furthermore, in our effective one-dimensional geometry we have also identified the emission of solitonic and phonon-like excitations as the main mechanisms that contribute to the breakdown of the SF motion above the critical velocity. In two dimensions superfluidity is also expected to occur, with a transition to a dissipative flow related to the emission of optical vortices. We believe the SF motion described here is a general property, which may be observed for an arbitrary scattering potential (not limited to a localized defect). The propagation in the presence of, e.g., random fluctuations of the on-site energies or of the refraction index is of particular interest, since randomness is inherent to any fabrication process. In analogy with similar recent studies in the physics of ultracold atoms [23, 26], one may expect the existence of SF motion of light in presence of disorder.
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