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Abstract

Purpose The objective was to investigate if the gonadotropin receptor variants N680S (N: asparagine, S: serine, rs6166) in the follicle-stimulating hormone receptor (FSHR) and N312S (rs2293275) in the luteinizing hormone/human chorionic gonadotropin receptor (LHCGR) predicted cumulative live birth rate after in vitro fertilization (IVF).

Methods A total of 665 women were consecutively enrolled for IVF during the period 2007–2016. Inclusion criteria were < 40 years of age, body mass index < 30 kg/m 2, non-smoking, regular menstruation cycle of 21–35 days, and bilateral ovaries. A blood sample was drawn for endocrine hormonal analysis and for DNA extraction with subsequent genotyping of the FSHR N680S and LHCGR N312S polymorphisms. Statistical analyses were done on all completed IVF cycles.

Results Women homozygous for S in both receptors combined (4S) had significantly higher live birth rate compared to those with other receptor variants when combining the first three IVF cycles (OR = 2.00, 95% CI [1.02, 3.92], p = 0.043). Cumulatively higher chance of live birth rate, during all IVF cycles, was also evident (HR = 1.89, 95% CI [1.00, 3.57], p = 0.049).

Conclusions Gonadotropin receptor variants are promising candidates for the prediction of the possibility to have a baby to take home after IVF treatment.
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Introduction

The gonadotropins follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH) are both regulated by gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) and exert their actions via their specific membrane-bound receptors. The FSH receptor (FSHR) is expressed on ovarian granulosa cells and the LH/human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) receptor (LHCGR) on granulosa, theca, and luteal cells [1]. Both receptors belong to the G protein-coupled receptor family and signal through the classical Goα/βγ/γ′-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)/protein kinase A pathway [2–4], but also through other signaling pathways as for example the adaptor protein, phosphotyrosine interaction, PH domain, and leucine zipper containing 1 (APPL1)/inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate (IP3) signaling pathway [5]. In response to FSH, follicle recruitment takes place, whereas LH promotes the production of androgens, ovulation, and the maintenance of corpus luteum [6].

The FSHR gene is located on chromosome 2 and contains 10 exons. Exon 10 includes one common single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in amino acid position 680 (N680S, N: asparagine, S: serine; rs6166), and in Caucasian populations, approximately 20% are homozygous for S, whereas 30% are homozygous for N and 50% are heterozygous (N680S) [7, 8]. It has previously been shown that normally menstruating women have longer menstruation cycles if homozygous for S in FSHR N680S, which indicates a higher sensitivity threshold to FSH compared to women with the FSHR N680S genotype [9]. Higher basal FSH concentrations and lower estradiol production has in several clinical studies been associated with the FSHR S variant [9–13], and in previous studies on women undergoing in vitro fertilization (IVF), the FSHR N680S polymorphism has been described as a biomarker for decreased hormone sensitivity in controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) prior to IVF, where decreased hormone sensitivity was found for women homozygous for S compared to women with other genotypes [10, 14, 15]. However, conflicting results have been produced [16, 17], and therefore, attempts have been made to further characterize the group of women that possibly could benefit from different stimulation regimens in COH.

The LHCGR gene, consisting of 11 exons, is located close to the FSHR gene on chromosome 2. One of the most studied polymorphic sites of the LHCGR gene is the N312S variant (rs2293275) in exon 10, also in this case changing N to S. In Caucasian populations, approximately 18% are homozygous for N312, 49% are heterozygous (N312S), and 33% are homozygous for S312 [18]. The LHCGR N312S variant has not been explored to the same extent as the FSHR in the context of COH prior to IVF. However, it was recently shown that women homozygous for S in both the abovementioned gonadotropin receptor polymorphisms had a fourfold increased chance of achieving pregnancy after the first IVF cycle, compared to women with other genotypes [19]. The objective of the current study was therefore to investigate if genotype, besides the impact on clinical parameters in connection with IVF, also was linked to live birth rate when taking all IVF cycles ever carried out into account.

Materials and methods

Subjects

During the period 2010–2016, n = 455 consecutively enrolled non-smoking women undergoing IVF were included in the study. The women were younger than 40 years of age at inclusion and had regular menstruation cycles of 21–35 days and bilateral ovaries. Body mass index (BMI) was < 30 kg/m². The duration of infertility was at least 12 months, and couples underwent IVF with or without intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) per individually set guidelines. The cause of infertility could be any, providing that the women matched the abovementioned criteria. A venous blood sample was drawn for DNA extraction and subsequent genotyping of the FSHR and LHCGR polymorphisms.

An additional, independent cohort of 210 unselected women was included as a validation population, under the same conditions, during the period 2007–2015. The total study population thus comprised n = 665 women, and all women were of a Caucasian origin. The mean age of the women was 32.5 years (SD 3.8) on the day of inclusion (Table 1). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was approved by the regional ethical committee board in Lund, Sweden.

Patient treatment

First cycle

In the merged study cohort, 61% of the 665 women followed a standard long protocol, in which the GnRH agonist nafarelin (Synarel, Pfizer AB, Sollentuna, Sweden) was used in 40% of the women and the GnRH agonist buserelin (Suprefact, Sanofi AB, Stockholm, Sweden, and Suprecur, Sanofi AB) in the remaining 19 and 2%, respectively. The rest of the women followed a short protocol, in which the GnRH antagonist ganirelix (Orgalutran, Organon [Ireland] Ltd., Dublin, Ireland) was used. Two of the 665 women did not use a GnRH agonist or antagonist in the first IVF cycle, and data regarding GnRH agonist or antagonist was missing for one woman. The agonist treatment was initiated at day 21 (in a menstruation cycle of normal length) in the cycle directly prior to the cycle with hormonal stimulation, and the antagonist treatment was initiated at day 2 in the same cycle as the hormonal stimulation. During the first IVF cycle, ovarian stimulation was induced by individually set doses of stimulation.
## Table 1  Patient characteristics IVF cycle 1

|                | Age, mean (SD) | Follicle count, mean (SD)^a | Retrieved oocytes, mean (SD)^b | MII oocytes, mean (SD)^c | GQE, mean (SD)^d | Baseline AMH, mean (SD)^e | Baseline E2, mean (SD)^f | Baseline FSH, mean (SD)^g | Baseline LH, mean (SD)^h |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| **FSHR**       |                |                             |                                |                          |                  |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| NN             | 33.1 (3.8)     | 12.6 (7.2)                  | 11.0 (6.7)                     | 8.2 (4.5)                | 2.4 (2.1)        | 31.2 (25.2)              | 432.1 (342.0)            | 6.5 (2.4)                 | 10.2 (11.9)              |
| NS             | 32.2 (3.8)     | 12.1 (6.3)                  | 10.7 (6.1)                     | 7.1 (4.1)                | 2.1 (1.6)        | 29.5 (20.3)              | 406.5 (297.9)            | 6.3 (2.7)                 | 8.6 (9.4)                |
| SS             | 32.6 (3.7)     | 11.1 (5.8)                  | 10.0 (5.6)                     | 6.1 (3.0)                | 2.1 (1.6)        | 31.5 (24.4)              | 431.1 (404.6)            | 6.4 (2.9)                 | 10.4 (11.5)              |
| All            | 32.5 (3.8)     | 12.1 (6.5)                  | 10.7 (6.2)                     | 7.3 (4.1)                | 2.2 (1.8)        | 30.3 (22.4)              | 417.5 (329.4)            | 6.3 (2.7)                 | 9.3 (10.5)               |
| p              | 0.036          | 0.168                       | 0.373                          | 0.012                    | 0.264            | 0.711                    | 0.856                    | 0.231                    |
| p^*            | –              | 0.149                       | 0.347                          | 0.009                    | 0.216            | 0.511                    | 0.878                    | 0.232                    |
| p trend^#      | –              | –                           | –                              | –                        | –                | –                        | –                        | –                        |
| **LHCGR**      |                |                             |                                |                          |                  |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| NN             | 32.3 (3.7)     | 12.3 (6.4)                  | 11.1 (6.0)                     | 6.9 (3.5)                | 1.9 (1.3)        | 32.6 (23.8)              | 419.8 (325.6)            | 6.3 (2.3)                 | 8.6 (9.6)                |
| NS             | 32.4 (3.9)     | 11.7 (6.3)                  | 10.3 (6.2)                     | 7.1 (4.1)                | 2.1 (1.8)        | 29.5 (20.6)              | 395.0 (306.2)            | 6.5 (2.5)                 | 8.8 (9.3)                |
| SS             | 32.7 (3.8)     | 12.5 (6.9)                  | 10.9 (6.5)                     | 7.7 (4.4)                | 2.4 (2.0)        | 30.1 (23.8)              | 445.5 (358.6)            | 6.1 (3.0)                 | 10.4 (12.2)              |
| All            | 32.5 (3.8)     | 12.1 (6.5)                  | 10.7 (6.2)                     | 7.3 (4.1)                | 2.2 (1.8)        | 30.3 (22.4)              | 417.5 (329.4)            | 6.3 (2.7)                 | 9.3 (10.5)               |
| p              | 0.435          | 0.384                       | 0.439                          | 0.362                    | 0.050            | 0.599                    | 0.411                    | 0.287                    |
| p^*            | –              | 0.349                       | 0.419                          | 0.313                    | 0.043            | 0.590                    | 0.382                    | 0.286                    |
| P trend^#      | –              | –                           | –                              | –                        | –                | –                        | –                        | –                        |
| **FSHR/LHCGR** |                |                             |                                |                          |                  |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| 0 S            | 32.9 (4.1)     | 13.0 (7.1)                  | 10.8 (5.4)                     | 8.5 (3.6)                | 1.8 (1.0)        | 33.4 (23.9)              | 399.7 (331.7)            | 6.0 (2.6)                 | 6.1 (3.0)                |
| 1 S            | 32.5 (3.8)     | 12.1 (6.5)                  | 11.0 (6.4)                     | 7.4 (4.3)                | 2.0 (1.7)        | 29.5 (20.3)              | 445.4 (362.4)            | 6.5 (2.4)                 | 9.7 (11.3)               |
| 2 S            | 32.5 (3.9)     | 12.2 (6.7)                  | 10.7 (6.6)                     | 7.1 (4.2)                | 2.4 (2.0)        | 31.7 (24.9)              | 391.5 (277.2)            | 6.4 (2.1)                 | 9.3 (10.5)               |
| 3 S            | 32.5 (3.8)     | 11.8 (6.3)                  | 10.4 (5.9)                     | 7.1 (4.1)                | 2.1 (1.6)        | 27.8 (19.4)              | 411.0 (305.2)            | 6.4 (3.6)                 | 9.6 (9.9)                |
| 4 S            | 32.7 (3.8)     | 12.0 (6.3)                  | 10.3 (5.6)                     | 7.1 (3.5)                | 2.3 (1.7)        | 33.3 (24.7)              | 530.3 (546.8)            | 5.5 (1.7)                 | 9.8 (14.0)               |
| All            | 32.5 (3.8)     | 12.1 (6.5)                  | 10.7 (6.2)                     | 7.3 (4.1)                | 2.2 (1.8)        | 30.3 (22.4)              | 417.5 (329.4)            | 6.3 (2.7)                 | 9.3 (10.5)               |
| p              | 0.985          | 0.900                       | 0.931                          | 0.769                    | 0.288            | 0.528                    | 0.662                    | 0.626                    |
| p^*            | –              | 0.889                       | 0.931                          | 0.760                    | 0.287            | 0.594                    | 0.628                    | 0.664                    |

^a Data regarding follicle count was missing for 6 women. ^b Data regarding retrieved oocytes was missing for 6 women. ^c Data regarding MII oocytes was only present for those who underwent intracytoplasmic sperm injection (n = 317) and was hence missing for 348 women. ^d Data regarding GQE was missing for 101 women. ^e pmol/L. ^f Data regarding baseline AMH was missing for 241 women. ^g pmol/L. ^h Data regarding baseline E2 was missing for 210 women. ^i IU/L. ^j Data regarding baseline FSH was missing for 322 women. ^k IU/L. ^l Data regarding baseline LH was missing for 211 women. ^m Adjusted for age. AMH Anti-Müllerian hormone, E2 estradiol, FSH follicle-stimulating hormone, FSHR FSH receptor, GQE good quality embryos, IVF in vitro fertilization, LH luteinizing hormone, LHCGR LH/human chorionic gonadotropin receptor, MII metaphase II, N asparagine, S serine, SD standard deviation.
products, presented in Table 2, using either follitropin alpha (GONAL-f, Merck-Serono, Darmstadt, Germany), follitropin beta (Puregon, Organon [Ireland] Ltd.), urofollitropin (Fostimond, Institute Biochimique SA [IBSA] Farmaceutici Italia Srl, Lodi, Italy), metotropin (Menopur, Ferring Läkemedel AB, Malmö, Sweden), corifollitropin alpha (Elonva, Merck Sharp & Dohme [MSD] Sweden AB, Stockholm, Sweden), or estradiol (Progynon, Bayer AB, Solna, Sweden). The development of the ovarian follicles was monitored by vaginal ultrasound on days 6–8 of ovarian stimulation, and if needed, the hormonal dose was adjusted to generate at least three follicles. Independent of long or short stimulation protocol, when three or more mature follicles (≥ 18 mm) were confirmed by vaginal ultrasound, hCG was administered and oocyte retrieval was performed approximately 35 h later. Embryos were scored according to the guidelines by Gardner and Schoolcraft [20–22]. Pregnancy was confirmed by an hCG test 14 days after embryo transfer.

Cycles 2–7

Of the n = 665 women in the merged cohort, n = 426 women (64%) completed a second IVF cycle, and n = 261 (39%) continued to a third (Fig. 1). Of these, n = 85 (13%) sustained 4–7 cycles. Hormonal treatments are stated in Table 2.

Genotyping of FSHR N680S and LHCGR N312S

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral leukocytes using standard procedures. The polymorphism in amino acid position 680 of the FSHR gene was genotyped by allel-specific PCR using two amplification reactions for each subject, each containing one wild-type or one mutant-specific primer at concentrations of 0.12 μM as well as two flanking primers (forward and reverse, respectively) at concentrations of 0.3 μM (wild-type specific primer: 5′-GACA GTATGTAAGTGGAACCAT-3′, mutant-specific primer: 5′-GACAAGTATGTAAGTGGAACCAC-3′, forward flanking primer: 5′-TTCACTCCATCACTCTGT-3′, reverse flanking primer: 5′-TCCTGGCTCTGCTCCTTACA-3′; Invitrogen, Stockholm, Sweden). Amplifications were performed in a total volume of 50 μL, containing, in addition to the primers, 10 mM Tris-HCl (Saveen & Werner AB) pH 9.1, 45 mM KCl (ICN Biomedicals INC.), 0.01% Tween 20 (Scharlau Chemie S.A.), 1.5 mM MgCl2 (Sigma-Aldrich Sweden AB), 200 μM of each dNTP (dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP, Fermentas, Sankt Leon-Rot, Germany), 1 U Dynazyme™ II DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), and 200 ng template DNA. Amplifications were carried out for 26 cycles, each including denaturation for 1 min at 96 °C, primer annealing at 56 °C for 30 s and extension for 3 min at 72 °C. An initial hot start at 96 °C and a final extension for 5 min at 72 °C were also used. The results from the allele-specific PCR were confirmed by direct sequencing of three purified PCR samples of each genotype on an eight-capillary Applied Biosystems sequencing gear (Applied Biosystems, Stockholm, Sweden). The PCR samples were purified using a DNA purification kit (ExtractMe DNA clean-up kit, Biolab Innovative Research Technologies [Blirt] S.A., DNA-Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland). The polymorphism in amino acid position 312 of the LHCGR was analyzed by PCR and direct sequencing of the PCR product. The PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 50 μL containing 0.4 μM of the forward primer 5′-TGTTGACCATGTGACTAGGGA and 0.4 μM of the reverse primer 5′-ACTCTCTCTCGGAAGCAT (Invitrogen), 10 mM Tris-HCl (Saveen & Werner AB) pH 9.1, 45 mM KCl (ICN Biomedicals INC.), 0.01% w/v Tween 20 (Scharlau Chemie S.A.), 1.5 mM MgCl2 (Sigma-Aldrich Sweden AB), 200 μM of each dNTP (Fermentas), 1 U Dynazyme™ II DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), and 200 ng template DNA. The amplification program was initiated by a denaturation step at 96 °C for 10 min, followed by 37 amplification cycles, each consisting of denaturation at 96 °C for 1 min, annealing at 61 °C for 30 s and elongation at 72 °C for 3 min. A final elongation at 72 °C for 7 min was applied. The PCR product was purified using a DNA purification kit (ExtractMe DNA clean-up kit, Blirt S.A., DNA-Gdańsk) and directly sequenced on an eight-capillary Applied Biosystems sequencing gear (Applied Biosystems).

Statistical analysis

Allele frequencies of the two polymorphisms were analyzed in comparison to the control populations using a chi-squared test. The LHCGR N312S polymorphism was analyzed against a normal population of 3794 Caucasians [23], while the FSHR polymorphism was tested against a normal population of 1431 Caucasians [8]. When analyzing the differences in clinical parameters between genotypes, all groups were tested separately, i.e., homozygous N versus heterozygous versus homozygous S. Since heterodimerization between the receptors may occur [24, 25], a combination of the genotypes of both receptors was also used, i.e., NN/NN versus NS/NS versus SS/SS. Comparisons among clinical parameters and hormonal doses and agents given prior to IVF were carried out using a univariate analysis of variance and a chi-squared test. Comparisons of incidence of live births and genotype were done using a logistic regression analysis. The incidence of live births in relation to increasing number of S was calculated using a linear regression analysis, as was number of metaphase II (MII) oocytes in relation to FSHR genotype and number of good quality embryos in relation to LHCGR genotype. When analyzing the chance of live birth rate in seven IVF
|                      | First IVF cycle | Second IVF cycle | Third IVF cycle |
|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                      | First cohort    | Validation cohort| Merged cohort   |
| All treatments, n (%)| 455 (100)       | 204 (97)         | 659 (99)        |
| Mean total dose (SD) | 1655 (753)      | 2158 (895)       | 1815 (829)      |
| Follitropin alpha, n (%) | 322 (71) | 173 (82) | 497 (75) |
| Mean total dose (SD); IU | 1639 (698) | 2116 (890) | 1805 (797) |
| Follitropin beta, n (%) | 96 (21) | 23 (11) | 117 (18) |
| Mean total dose (SD), IU | 1653 (744) | 2002 (757) | 1733 (748) |
| Urofollitropin, n (%) | 20 (4) | – | 20 (3) |
| Mean total dose (SD), IU | 1774 (791) | – | 1774 (791) |
| Menotropin, n (%) | 11 (2) | 8 (4) | 19 (3) |
| Mean total dose (SD), IU | 2726 (1049) | 2981 (759) | 2834 (923) |
| Corifollitropin alpha, n (%) | 5 (1) | – | 5 (1) |
| Mean total dose (SD), μg | 150 (0) | – | 150 (0) |
| Estradiol, n (%) | 1 (0) | – | 1 (0) |
| Mean total dose (SD), pmol/L | 84 (0) | – | 84 (0) |

Data regarding stimulation product was missing for six women in the first IVF cycle. Data regarding total dose of stimulation product was missing for one woman and data regarding stimulation product was missing for four women in the second IVF cycle. Data regarding the total dose of stimulation product was missing for one woman in the third cycle. IVF in vitro fertilization, SD standard deviation.
cycles, a Cox regression analysis was used. Age (as a continuous variable) was considered as a confounding factor when analyzing the differences in clinical parameters between the groups.

Since the study was performed on candidate genes, no correction for mass significance was done [26].

Data were analyzed using SPSS software version 22, 24, and 25 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

There was no difference in age between genotype groups except for a marginal difference in age linked to the FSHR variants in IVF cycle 1 (Table 1). Follicle counts did not differ, neither regarding each receptor per se nor combined. A difference in number of MII oocytes was found among women with different variants of the FSHR N680S in cycle I (NN, 8.2 ± 4.5; NS, 7.1 ± 4.1; SS, 6.1 ± 3.0; unadjusted, p = 0.012; adjusted, p = 0.009), and there was also a trend with decreasing number of MII oocytes with decreasing number of S (unadjusted: B = −1.07, 95% CI for B [-1.77, −0.365], p trend = 0.003; adjusted: B = −1.11, 95% CI for B [-1.81, −0.401], p trend = 0.002). Data on MII oocytes was only present for those that underwent ICSI (n = 317) and was hence missing for 348 women. There was also a difference in good quality embryos retrieved from women after hormonal ovarian stimulation was evident regarding the LHCGR per se (NN, 1.9 ± 1.3; NS, 2.1 ± 1.8; SS, 2.4 ± 2.0; unadjusted, p = 0.050; adjusted, p = 0.043), and a trend with increasing number of good quality embryos and increasing number of S (unadjusted: B = 0.257, 95% CI for B [0.050, 0.469], p trend = 0.015; adjusted: B = 0.264, 95% CI for B [0.056, 0.471], p trend = 0.013) was also evident. The follicle, oocyte, and embryo counts did not differ with respect to the use of recombinant or urine-derived stimulation product (p = 0.886 for number of follicles; p = 0.243 for number of retrieved oocytes; p = 0.581 for number of MII oocytes; p = 0.251 for number of good quality embryos). No other differences regarding background characteristics were found between women from different genotype groups in the first IVF cycle, and in subsequent cycles, no differences were found regarding background characteristics among women from different genotype groups, except for a minimal difference in age linked with FSHR variant in cycle 2 (NN, 34.0 ± 3.7; NS, 32.9 ± 3.9; SS, 33.6 ± 3.3; p = 0.041). Eighty-seven percent of the embryos were transferred back to the woman on day 3 in IVF cycle 1, and 13% were transferred at day 5. In cycle 2, 86% of the embryos were transferred at day 3 and 16% were transferred at day 5, and in cycle, 3 83% of the embryos were transferred at day 3 and 17% were transferred at day 5.
Genotyping

Allele frequencies and genotype distributions were of expected proportions (Table 3), similar to previously reported study populations ($p = 0.776$ for FSHR and $p = 0.666$ for LHCGR) [8, 23]. The allele frequencies of both polymorphisms were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, $\chi^2 = 0.770$, $p > 0.05$, for FSHR N680S and $\chi^2 = 0.342$, $p > 0.05$, for LHCGR N312S.

Hormonal treatment

For the FSHR N680S and the LHCGR N312S variants, no differences between carriers of different genotypes in terms of total hormone dose were evident in the first or second IVF cycle (Table 4). In the third IVF cycle, the group of women that were homozygous for N in both receptors was treated with a lower total dose of hormone for ovarian stimulation ($p = 0.003$). There were, however, no differences between genotypes in relation to the use of hormonal agents (Table 5).

Pregnancy and live birth rate

In the present study, 240 of the 665 women (36%) achieved a pregnancy (defined by a positive hCG test) in the first IVF cycle, and 170 of these (71%) gave birth to a live-born baby (Fig. 1). In the second cycle, 126 of the 426 women (30%) achieved pregnancy and 90 women (71%) delivered a live-born baby. Seventy-six of the 261 women (29%) in the third cycle achieved pregnancy and 49 of those (64%) had a live-born baby. The cumulative pregnancy rate was 33% for all IVF cycles, and the cumulative live birth rate was 23%. In total, 70% of the women achieved a pregnancy after all IVF cycles, and 49% gave birth to a live-born baby. The live birth rates were not dependent on which protocol (agonist/antagonist) that was used (IVF cycle 1—unadjusted $p = 0.227$).

Table 3 Allele frequencies and genotype distributions for FSHR and LHCGR

| Allele frequencies, (%) | Genotype distribution, n (%) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                         | A  | G  | N/N | N/S | S/S |
| FSHR N680S              |    |    |     |     |     |
| First cohort            | 55 | 45 | 124 (27) | 257 (57) | 74 (16) |
| Validation cohort       | 58 | 42 | 73 (35) | 99 (47)  | 38 (18) |
| Merged cohort           | 56 | 44 | 197 (30) | 356 (54) | 112 (17) |
| LHCGR N312S             |    |    |     |     |     |
| First cohort            | 40 | 60 | 79 (17) | 90 (43)  | 88 (42) |
| Validation cohort       | 37 | 63 | 32 (15) | 90 (43)  | 88 (42) |
| Merged cohort           | 39 | 61 | 111 (17) | 299 (45) | 255 (38) |

A adenine, FSHR follicle-stimulating hormone receptor, G guanine, LHCGR luteinizing hormone/human chorionic gonadotropin receptor, N asparagine, S serine

Table 4 Total hormone doses according to genotype

| Total dose first cycle, mean (SD) | Total dose second cycle, mean (SD) | Total dose third cycle, mean (SD) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| p       | p*     | p**    | p       | p*     | p**    | p       | p*     | p**    |
| FSHR N680S                         |                                  |                                  |
| NN 1846 (752)                      | 0.166 0.327 –                   | 2211 (996) 0.928 0.711          | 2256 (1183) 0.536 0.261 –       |
| NS 1763 (808)                      |                                  | 2174 (1156)                      | 2412 (1206)                      |
| SS 1924 (1001)                     |                                  | 2155 (1010)                      | 2238 (944)                       |
| All 1815 (829)                     |                                  | 2181 (1083)                      | 2335 (1163)                      |
| LHCGR N312S                        |                                  |                                  |
| NN 1820 (955)                      | 0.885 0.520 –                   | 1999 (1036) 0.264 0.345          | 2022 (1119) 0.079 0.138 –       |
| NS 1830 (759)                      |                                  | 2218 (1034)                      | 2443 (1116)                      |
| SS 1795 (852)                      |                                  | 2228 (1166)                      | 2379 (1234)                      |
| All 1815 (829)                     |                                  | 2181 (1083)                      | 2335 (1163)                      |
| FSHR/LHCGR                         |                                  |                                  |
| 0 S 1724 (866)                     | 0.666 0.539 0.339               | 1862 (1039) 0.625 0.460 0.082   | 1562 (975) 0.049 0.034 0.003   |
| 1 S 1880 (828)                     |                                  | 2197 (927)                       | 2372 (1131)                      |
| 2 S 1798 (795)                     |                                  | 2227 (1143)                      | 2437 (1182)                      |
| 3 S 1783 (758)                     |                                  | 2163 (1106)                      | 2383 (1189)                      |
| 4 S 1929 (1266)                    |                                  | 2259 (1199)                      | 2005 (787)                       |
| All 1815 (829)                     |                                  | 2181 (1083)                      | 2335 (1163)                      |

*Data regarding the total dose of stimulation product was missing for one woman in the second IVF cycle. **Data regarding the total dose of stimulation product was missing for one woman in the third cycle. *Adjusted for age. 0S versus all. FSHR follicle-stimulating hormone receptor, LHCGR luteinizing hormone/human chorionic gonadotropin receptor, N asparagine, S serine, SD standard deviation
adjusted \( p = 0.832 \); IVF cycle 2—unadjusted: \( p = 0.631 \), adjusted \( p = 0.645 \); IVF cycle 3—unadjusted \( p = 0.265 \), adjusted \( p = 0.461 \); IVF cycle 4—unadjusted \( p = 0.607 \), adjusted \( p = 0.639 \); IVF cycle 5—unadjusted \( p = 0.250 \), adjusted \( p = 0.399 \); IVF cycle 6—unadjusted \( p = 0.516 \), adjusted \( p = 0.837 \); IVF cycle 7—unadjusted \( p = 0.667 \), not adjusted because of too few subjects).

When analyzing live birth rate in IVF cycles 1–3, an association with number of S in both gonadotropin receptors combined and live birth rate was found; women homozygous for S had the highest chance to deliver a live-born baby (0 S 43%, 1 S 45%, 2 S 47%, 3 S 44%, 4 S 62%; unadjusted: OR = 1.94, 95% CI [0.998, 3.77], \( p = 0.051 \); adjusted: OR = 2.00, 95% CI [1.02, 3.92], \( p = 0.043 \)). A higher chance of live birth rate for all IVF cycles was also evident for women homozygous for S in both receptors (unadjusted: HR = 1.83, 95% CI [0.970, 3.45], \( p = 0.062 \); adjusted: HR = 1.89, 95% CI [1.00, 3.57], \( p = 0.049 \); Fig. 2).

The \( FSHR \) per se was not associated with live birth rate, but in contrast, the \( LHCGR \) was. However, this was only noted in the first IVF cycle, in which a linear association with live birth rate with increasing number of S in the \( LHCGR \) N312S variant was evident (0 S 19%, 1 S 25%, 2 S 29%; unadjusted: OR = 0.045, 95% CI [0.001, 0.092], \( p \) trend = 0.057; adjusted: OR = 0.051, 95% CI [0.005, 0.097], \( p \) trend = 0.029).

### Discussion

The main finding of the present study was that in the first three IVF cycles, women homozygous for S in both \( FSHR \) N680S and \( LHCGR \) N312S had approximately 40% higher chance of having a baby compared to those with other genetic variants. In a cumulative analysis of all IVF cycles in this cohort, women with S in both receptors still had an advantage with respect to live birth rate, with overall almost doubled chance of giving birth to a live-born baby compared to women with N in the same positions.

The current results support the previous report on substantially higher pregnancy chance in homozygous S-carriers [19] and emphasize the importance of not only of the \( FSHR \), but also of the \( LHCGR \) in IVF outcomes. The \( LHCGR \) component seems to require a higher hormone dose during stimulation, but in return, more good quality embryos after ovarian stimulation are produced by women with S in the \( LHCGR \) N312S position.

The finding that the women homozygous for S in both receptors became pregnant and delivered babies at a higher rate than women with other receptor variants is not easy to explain. It is, however, a well-documented phenomenon that G protein-coupled receptors can form dimers, both homo- and heterodimers, and even oligomers, which in some cases show different functional properties compared to the individual G protein-coupled receptors [27]. It seems plausible that also the FSHR and LHCGR can occur as structural or functional dimers...
enabling crosstalk upon signaling. As an example, it has previously been shown that increased density of the LHCGR resulted in a diminution of FSH-stimulated cAMP production in granulosa cells [28], and recently, also cross-reduction of signaling and heterodimerization between the human FSHR and LHCGR was demonstrated [24, 25]. Overall, neither the FSHR nor the LHCGR per se was linked to higher birth rate, except for the LHCGR which was associated with increased birth rate with increasing number of S in the first IVF cycle. However, the relationship was stronger when combining the receptors, which indicates the importance of both receptors for live birth rate.

In transgenic mice, co-expressing two functionally defective LHCGRs, one being binding deficient and the other one signaling deficient, receptor signaling by functional complementation was established [29]. It has also been demonstrated that FSHR/LHCGR heterodimers could work in the same fashion [25]. Whether the subtle functional alterations induced by LHCGR and FSHR polymorphisms could synergize as heterodimers is currently not known, but reasonable to hypothesize. In such case, the homozygous S312 variant, residing in the extracellular domain of the LHCGR, would imply intensification in hormone binding properties, whereas the FSHR S680 variant, located in the intracellular domain of the receptor, would ensure enhanced signaling in response to high doses of hormone.

Di- or oligomerization could also be the underlying mode of action for ligand promiscuity, as was shown regarding the FSHR, which was sensitized by hCG due to the variants in the serpentine part of the receptor [30]. The hormonal dose is low under physiological conditions and ensures specificity for distinct receptors but is high during the first trimester of normal human pregnancy as well as in IVF trials, and may therefore be the cause of promiscuous receptor binding. Whether this is applicable also to stimulation with FSH is currently not known. In the present study, almost all women were stimulated with recombinant FSH. We can therefore not draw firm conclusions regarding promiscuous binding, different agents, or combinations of such. Further research regarding the benefits of different therapy modalities in combination with the SNP profile is therefore warranted.

The strength of the study was the large cohort of consecutively enrolled patients. These women were hence not selected for the study, but an ordinary cohort of women visiting a fertility clinic. The findings can therefore be generally applied. Moreover, the method for genotyping was very accurate. A limitation was the lack of initial treatment doses and information on fresh and frozen-thawed embryos.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, in this large cohort of consecutively enrolled women, those homozygous for S in both FSHR N680S and LHCGR N312S had almost doubled chance of giving birth to a live-born baby after IVF compared to women homozygous for N. If the gonadotropin receptor polymorphisms were recognized as biomarkers in IVF trials, not only more effective treatment strategies could be developed in order to achieve pregnancies, but genotype could also be used in prediction of the chance to have a baby to take home.
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