Coupling Coordination Assessment on Sponge City Construction and Its Spatial Pattern in Henan Province, China
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Abstract: Coordinating the “green” and “gray” infrastructure construction and the socioeconomic development is essential to sponge city construction. Most previous research has investigated the structural and non-structural approach for urban water management, such as operational practice, engineered measures, technical solutions, or planning management. However, there is a shortage of strategic management approaches to identify pilot sponge cities, which is essential to cities in developing countries under huge financial pressures. Hence, this paper proposed a coupling coordination evaluation index system to assess the coordination degree between economic development and infrastructure construction in Henan Province in central China. Then, the paper analyzed the differences of the coordination level and its spatial statistical pattern of the coupled and coordinated development of sponge city construction in Henan Province. The results show that: (1) from the perspective of comprehensive level, the problems of inadequate and unbalanced development of infrastructure construction and economic development level are prominent; (2) from the perspective of coordinated development level, the level of coupling and coordination development in Henan Province increased during the sample period, but the level of coupling and coordination development in each region was small; (3) from the perspective of relative development, Zhengzhou City is lagging behind in infrastructure, indicating that economic growth is faster than infrastructure construction, and other regions are lagging economic development, indicating that infrastructure construction is faster than economic growth; and (4) from the spatial statistical analysis, there is spatial positive correlation, that is, the area with high coupling degree of infrastructure construction and economic development level tends to be significantly concentrated in space. Studies have shown that Henan Province should focus on strengthening the construction of “green” infrastructure and increasing the infiltration of the underlying surface to counter the precipitation in urban areas in extreme climates.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, the acceleration of urbanization in China has caused to the change of underlying surface. Due to the increasement of extreme climate and the backwardness of urban rainwater management system, the increasing of surface runoff is the inevitable consequence of frequent urban flooding. The water problem has become a common urban problem in China, posing a serious threat to the safety of life and property of urban residents, and has resulted in a huge impact on the environment
and social economy [1]. For instance, on 21 July 2012, a serious waterlogging disaster occurred in Beijing, causing 79 deaths and 11.64 billion CNY in economic losses [2]. The 2015 Environmental Status Report conducted water quality assessments of groundwater monitoring sites in 202 cities. Among them, 9.1% had good water quality, and 61.3% had poor water quality [3]. Other studies have also shown that life-saving threats and economic losses caused by urban floods are on the rise [4]. In order to solve the urban water disaster and water environment problems, in 2013, China’s central urbanization work conference officially proposed the construction of the sponge city [2].

Sponge city means that the city can be like a “sponge”. It has good “elasticity” in adapting to environmental changes and coping with natural disasters. When it rains, it absorbs water, stores water, seeps water, and cleans water. When necessary, it releases stored water [5]. In addition to improving the absorption and storage of rainwater, the sponge city combines “green” infrastructure to enhance ecological functions, enhance urban aesthetic value, and create additional comfort spaces [6]. In addition, the construction of China’s sponge city also draws on the experience of some developed countries in urban rainwater drainage facilities, such as the United States’ Low Impact Development (LID) [7]; the UK’s Sustainable Urban Drainage System (SuDs) [8]; Australia’s Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) [9]; and New Zealand’s Low Impact Urban Development Design (LiDUD) [10]. Chinese scholars have made a lot of progress in the exploration of sponge city construction, combined with local favorable conditions to shape the experience of surrounding landscape system and rainwater system management, and extract the surrounding landscape (river and lake) from the macro level by using modern technology (Geographic Information System, Remote Sensing, etc.). The system, from the microscopic level to the landscape design (green roof, etc.), combined with water landscape reconstruction, can achieve the sustainable development of human-water relationship [2,11–14].

However, in the face of unprecedented climate change and urbanization, it is more important to strengthen the elasticity of urban infrastructure [15–17]. But most of the research is limited to describing the flood recovery capacity of urban drainage systems [18,19]. Changes in climatic conditions, such as increased precipitation intensity, changes in precipitation patterns, and more extreme weather events, have caused urban drainage systems to be frequently hit by heavy rains [20,21]; urbanization has increased the concentration of population and economic activity, plus the burden of existing urban social development systems on sewage, urban runoff and water pollutant types [22]. A sustainable urban infrastructure system should be effective and adaptable in an uncertain future, which will contribute to the flexibility of green and grey infrastructure in the future, and to carry out sustainable development assessments of urban drainage systems [23]. Numerous studies have evaluated the role of different types of green infrastructure in stormwater management and carbon emission control and compared the performance of grey infrastructure, which is considered to be mitigating and adapting to climate change and urbanization. Interferences contribute to the effectiveness of sustainable development [23–25], but grey infrastructure is also a necessary condition for dealing with extreme rains [26]. The mutual development and application of grey infrastructure and green infrastructure has been used in many countries to mitigate urban floods [27,28]. Green infrastructure is an important measure in many common stormwater management strategies, such as low-impact development, best management practices, and water-sensitive urban design [29]. The study of China’s sponge cities also evaluated the effects of green infrastructure [30] and evaluated the performance of low-impact development practices as a means of reducing water flooding in urban small watersheds [31]. However, the investment and income mechanism of Sponge City is currently in the exploration stage [32], and the proportion of grey infrastructure and green infrastructure investment is also rarely considered. Therefore, this requires urban managers to plan the rainwater management infrastructure from an economic perspective and an adaptation path [33].

Sponge city construction, as a comprehensive ecological project, should be evaluated from the aspects of cost, social, and economic benefits, hydrology, and water quality. Lack of adequate financial support and effective market incentives is one of the main obstacles to sustainable storm water management [34]. To solve this problem, the United States introduced the Stormwater Utility Fees
(SUF) in the 1970s, as user fees; SUF has increasingly been used by local governments as an alternative source of income for implementing sustainable rainwater projects [35]. In China, some researchers are also exploring revenue sources for sustainable stormwater management, and the research results show that 76% of the respondents agreed to pay for life-cycle maintenance of sponge city facilities, and the median amount of willingness to pay was 16.57 CNY (2.53 USD) per month [36]. In addition, under the guidance of ecological civilization construction, the green infrastructure in China’s sponge city construction should be incorporated into the ecosystem service value, consider cities as a coupled nature-human system, for such a system, different urban ecosystem structures, such as lakes, wetlands, rivers, and parks, are an integral part, providing important services for the landscape, including water conservation and runoff regulation, and also helping urban residents to support social welfare [37]. The recycling of rainwater for the gray infrastructure of sponge city construction provides services for the community and green infrastructure. On the one hand, it promotes the efficiency of recycled water use in the community. On the other hand, it supports the water demand for green infrastructure.

However, these studies are still obviously insufficient for the construction of sponge cities. Chinese scholars rarely conduct research on economic development level and infrastructure, and the construction of sponge city is a comprehensive process, establishing a perfect “gray” infrastructure and “green” infrastructure system, actively promoting the fact that the pilot work of sponge city construction is the key to solving the problem of domestic embarrassment. To this end, this paper attempts to analyze the coupling and coordination degree of infrastructure and economic development in 18 prefecture-level cities in Henan Province, as well as provide a new research idea for the construction of the sponge city and a theoretical basis for the future development of the sponge city.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

Henan Province is located in the transition zone between central and eastern China, the southern region and the northern region. It has a large span from north to south. From the west to the east, the Yellow River runs through. There are many rivers in Henan Province, which provide a surrounding landscape (rivers and lakes) for the construction of the sponge city. Wetland and green space provide good carriers for the construction of sponge city. Henan Province has diverse terrain, most of which is dominated by mountains and plains. The “green” infrastructure construction in different regions is also very different. Most of Henan’s climate is dominated by temperate monsoon climate, and some regions are transition from subtropical monsoon climate to temperate monsoon climate. In the zone, affected by the monsoon climate, the impact of the formation of the mountain microclimate is more obvious, and the differences between the regions are greater. Therefore, the construction of sponge cities in different regions varies from region to region; Henan Province has more latitudes, and most of the region belongs to the “northern region (dividing line between subtropical and temperate monsoons climate, northern region belongs to the temperate monsoon climate)”; however, Xinyang and Nanyang cities in the southern part of Henan province have subtropical climates, because they are near the Qinling Mountains-Huaihe River line (the boundary between temperate and subtropical monsoon climates). The difference between the south and the north of the south is obvious. The problems faced by various regions in Henan Province are similar to those faced by China at this stage of development. They are called “the epitome” of China (Figure 1); the construction of sponge cities in Henan Province can be studied. The commonality of the sponge city provides practical experience for the construction of China’s sponge city. The research area is the smallest scale of 18 prefecture-level cities in Henan Province. The differences in climate, precipitation, and urban development between the regions are more obvious, but precipitation is mainly concentrated in the summer and autumn, more often with short-term heavy rain, annual average the temperature is about 16 degrees Celsius, and the annual precipitation is about 650 mm (Figure 2). In addition, most of the terrain in the southeast of Henan province is plain, with monsoon climate in summer and short-term precipitation, the precipitation
is relatively large, and the terrain is low and flat, with slow surface runoff and infiltration, where it is easy to cause waterlogging. Due to the influence of climate and topography, the precipitation in the study area decreases from southeast to northwest. However, in recent years, due to unreasonable development and utilization, as well as the impact of extreme climate, some rivers and lakes are seriously deficient in water. In the summer and autumn, the urban drainage capacity is insufficient, and the urban shackles occur, which also hinders the development of the city to a certain extent.
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**Figure 1.** Research area.

2.2. **Data Source**

The construction of the sponge city not only requires the support of science and technology to change the landscape of the underlying surface but also requires a large amount of funds to build a “gray” infrastructure system and a “green” infrastructure system. The use of “green” and “gray” infrastructure can enhance urban resilience, and “green” infrastructure can provide greater adaptability and resistance to the unpredictability of future climate forecasts. In the face of unsustainable urban drainage practices, a good strategy is to integrate green and gray infrastructure into a cyclic utilization control system, which will bring more advantages and reduce problems, while improving existing elasticity of urban drainage systems [23]. In view of this, this study selected the road area, drainage pipe length, road length, sewage treatment rate, and number of bridges in the “gray” infrastructure system; the green area in the “green” infrastructure system, the per capita park green area, the park area; and the main indicators, such as water reuse rate and green coverage area, economic development level of GDP, fixed investment in garden green space, fixed drainage investment, urban population,
and urbanization rate, to be analyzed (Table 1). The missing data of some indicators were simulated by linear interpolation. In this paper, the missing indicators are the fixed investment in Kaifeng garden green space and drainage in 2016, as well as the fixed investment in Luohe garden green space and Xinxiang Drainage in 2014. In this paper, linear interpolation is used, that is, a function method that is used to calculate an unknown quantity between two endpoints of a line, because the interpolation accuracy on nodes can be guaranteed to be higher, and it is more convenient than other interpolation methods, such as parabolic interpolation. The data mainly came from China Urban Construction Statistical Yearbook 2012–2016 and Henan Statistical Yearbook 2013–2017.

Figure 2. Annual average temperature and annual precipitation in the study area.

Table 1. Coupling coordination evaluation index system for sponge city construction.

| Target Layer                                      | System Layer                                      | Indicator Layer                                      | Index   |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Coupling Coordination Evaluation Index of Sponge City Construction in Research Area (A) | “gray” infrastructure construction (B₁)           | Road area (10,000 square meters) X₁                | Negative|
|                                                  |                                                  | Drainage pipe length (km) X₂                        | Positive|
|                                                  |                                                  | Road length (km) X₄                                 | Negative|
|                                                  |                                                  | Sewage treatment rate (%) X₅                         | Positive|
|                                                  |                                                  | Number of bridges (seat) X₈                          | Positive|
|                                                  | “Green” infrastructure construction (B₂)          | Green area (hectare) X₃                              | Positive|
|                                                  |                                                  | Per capita park green area (m²) X₆                  | Positive|
|                                                  |                                                  | Park area (hectare) X₇                               | Positive|
|                                                  |                                                  | Green coverage area (hectare) X₁₀                   | Positive|
|                                                  |                                                  | GDP(Billion) X₁₁                                    | Positive|
|                                                  |                                                  | Garden green land fixed investment (ten thousand yuan) X₁₂ | Positive|
|                                                  |                                                  | Drainage fixed investment (ten thousand yuan) X₁₃    | Positive|
|                                                  |                                                  | Urban population (10,000 people) X₁₄                | Positive|
|                                                  |                                                  | Built-up area (square kilometers) X₁₅               | Negative|
|                                                  |                                                  | Urbanization rate (%) X₁₆                            | Positive|
|                                                  |                                                  | The actual investment in the construction of municipal public facilities is in place (10,000 yuan) X₁₇ | Positive|
2.3. Coupling Coordination Mechanism

Coupling refers to the phenomenon that two or more systems interact and influence each other, while coupling degree is used to describe the degree of mutual influence of multiple systems. In general, the degree and quality of coupling action, using coordination to judge. Coordination is a benign embodiment based on coupling effect, and the coordination degree is used to measure the coordination degree of multiple systems in the coupling process. Both have a connection already and have distinction. The degree of coupling reflects the degree of system interaction, and the degree of coordination reflects the degree of coupling coordination [38].

The construction of sponge city is a composite system composed of green infrastructure, gray infrastructure, and economic development level, which interact and form a symbiotic coupling relationship. In China, with ecological civilization, green infrastructure is the leading driving force for the construction and development of sponge cities, and this driving force can improve the material structure of the underlying surface and increase the infiltration of rainwater, which, to a certain extent, is conducive to dealing with urban waterlogging caused by extreme climate. In addition, the construction of green infrastructure can provide ecosystem services value to surrounding communities. The construction of grey infrastructure restricts the improvement of the underlying surface but promotes the management of rainwater, domestic water, and sewage, which is conducive to the economical utilization of water resources and provides water demand for green infrastructure. The level of economic development is the material guarantee for the construction of green infrastructure and gray infrastructure, and it can provide financial support for the construction of green infrastructure and gray infrastructure through the investment effect (PPP, Public-Private Partnership model or willingness to pay) and the value of ecosystem services. Therefore, the three form a multiple correlation interaction coupling effect, which has both positive and negative effects. The sustainable development of sponge city can be realized only when the three cooperate and coordinate with each other.

Green Infrastructure construction in a sponge city references LID (low-impact development), an ecologically-based planning and engineering design approach to managing stormwater runoff and stormwater treatment technologies. In the practice of SuDS (Sensitive urban drainage system design) in Western Europe, SuDS sustainable stormwater management measures mitigate and adapt to climate change through carbon sequestration and urban cooling, with multiple ecological and environmental benefits, based on such a concept, as much as possible to restore the natural and pre-development drainage system. The construction of grey infrastructure in a sponge city is mainly used for sewage treatment and watercourse pipe network construction. In stormwater management, management systems link non-structural approaches to structural deployment for pollution prevention and drainage. Basically, similar to Best Management Practices (BMPs) in the United States and Canada. WSUD (Water-Sensitive Urban Design) is mainly to protect and strengthen the natural water system of urban development, better integrate rainwater into the landscape, minimize impermeable water surface, reduce the peak flow brought by urban development, and protect water quality, reduce the development costs of drainage infrastructure, while adding value at the same time, and all urban water systems should be better integrated in urban design [29]. And sponge city is a systematic framework with methods to improve urban water problems. Therefore, based on the coupling coordination mechanism, this paper provides a basis for decision-making and management of sponge city construction.

2.4. Evaluation Index System

The sponge city construction evaluation is a diagnostic analysis of the drainage capacity of an area and the environmental impact of the underlying surface. The sponge city construction evaluation is based on the construction of the infrastructure system and economic development level model. Among them, gray infrastructure is a traditional municipal infrastructure dominated by single-function municipal engineering, consisting of roads, bridges, pipelines, and other networks that ensure the proper functioning of the industrial economy [39]. However, the construction of road infrastructure
changes the underlying surface structure, reduces the infiltration amount of surface runoff, and is also the infrastructure that has a great impact on urban residents’ travel. In general, drainage pipeline network is under road infrastructure. In the construction of sponge cities, gray infrastructure facilities provide municipal entities and residents with municipal infrastructure services, such as flood protection, stormwater drainage, and wastewater treatment [39]. The “gray” infrastructure system reflects the traditional drainage system and the foundation of a good operation of the city. Green infrastructure refers to a green space network consisting of natural areas and other interconnected open spaces, including natural areas, public protected areas, and productive land with conservation values; it also represents a protected open system network that protects the value of natural resources and maintains the survival functions of humans, animals, and plants [40,41]. The development of green infrastructure is the result of joint promotion of parks, park systems, open spaces, greenways, ecological networks, biological corridors, and storm-water management [39]. The “green” infrastructure system reflects the sustainable development model of improving the underlying surface and is the basis of a good ecological environment of the city. The level of economic development reflects a city’s strong support for the construction of sponge cities. Based on these evaluation methods and models, combined with the individual indicators that can reflect the construction of sponge cities in Henan Province, the index system for sponge city construction was initially determined (Table 1).

2.5. Research Methods

2.5.1. Determination of Entropy Weight

Entropy was first introduced into the information theory by Shannon. It has been widely used in engineering, social, and economic fields. It hypothesizes that the quantity or quality of information is an important factor in determining the reliability and accuracy of decision-making [42]. Entropy is often used to measure the amount of useful information provided by the dataset itself and is therefore considered to be a suitable indicator for use in various evaluation cases. Weights can be determined based on the data itself, thereby reducing decision bias and increasing the objectivity of the decision process [43]. The entropy weight method is also to calculate the comprehensive index by the size of the selected index information. The index weight is determined by the judgment matrix composed of the evaluation indicators. Since the evaluation system has positive and negative indicators, the sample matrix needs to be dimensionless [44]. The main indicators selected in this paper are studied through positive and negative indicators.

(1) Data standardization processing

Assume that there are n research objects (mainly cities) in the study area, including m evaluation indicators, and the definition P is the original data matrix, expressed as:

\[ P_{nm} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} & \cdots & \cdots & P_{1m} \\
          \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
          \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots \\
          \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots \\
          \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots \\
          P_{n1} & P_{n2} & P_{n3} & \cdots & \cdots & P_{nm} \end{bmatrix} \]

(1)

Among them, \( P_{nm} \) is expressed as the m item of the n city (m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, · · · · · · ). Because the dimension of each index coefficient is not uniform, the index coefficient is standardized by the method of extreme difference. The main indicators selected in this paper are studied by the forward index and the reverse index. When the positive index is larger than the index value, the better the index. The normalization method is: \[ Y_{nm} = (X_{nm} - \min x_m) / (\max x_m - \min x_m) ; \]
the inverse index, that is, shows that, the smaller the index value, the better the index, and the normalization method is: $Y_{nm} = (\max x_m - X_{nm})/(\max x_m - \min x_m)$. In the normalized method formula, $X_{nm}$ is expressed as a specific value, $\min x_m$ is represented as the minimum value of the $m$ index, and $\max x_m$ is expressed as the maximum value of the $m$ index. The value is between $Y_{nm} \in [0, 1]$ after normalization [45,46].

(2) Determination of indicator weight

In information theory, the larger the entropy value, the smaller the difference between the values of the evaluation indicators, and the smaller the weight of the index; the smaller the entropy value is, and vice versa [46], to calculate the information entropy of each index, assuming that $E_m$ represents the $m$. The information entropy under the indicator is calculated as:

$$E_m = -k \sum_{n=1}^{h} f_{nm} \ln f_{nm}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $k = 1/\ln h$, $f_{nm} = Y_{nm}/\sum_{n=1}^{h} y_{nm}$, if $f_{nm} = 0$, then define

$$\lim_{f_{nm} \to 0} f_{nm} \ln f_{nm} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Among them, the $m$ indicator of the $n$ city of $Y_{nm}$ is a specific value.

According to the calculation formula of information entropy, the information entropy $E_1, E_2, \ldots , E_m$ of each index is calculated. The weight of each index is calculated by information entropy. $W_m$ is the entropy weight of the $m$ evaluation index, and then the weight of the index is calculated. The method is [45,46]:

$$W_m = \frac{1 - E_m}{\sum_{n=1}^{h} E_m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $W_m \in [0, 1]$, $\sum_{n=1}^{h} W_m = 1$.

2.5.2. Coupling Coordinated Development Model

(1) Comprehensive evaluation model

The comprehensive evaluation model is used to measure the level of infrastructure and economic development. The calculation method is:

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (W_m \times Y_k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Among them, $S$ represents the comprehensive index of infrastructure construction or economic development; $W_m$ represents the weight of each index within the system; $Y_k$ represents the evaluation value of each indicator.

(2) Coupling degree model

Coupling refers to the phenomenon in which two or more systems or forms of motion interact and interact with each other through some means. This paper establishes a coupling model of infrastructure and economic development. The calculation method is:

$$C = \left( \frac{(S_1 \times S_2)}{\left(\frac{S_1 + S_2}{2}\right)^2} \right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
Among them, \( C \) is the coupling degree between infrastructure construction and economic development, and the value range is \([0, 1]\). The larger \( C \), the stronger the interaction between infrastructure construction and economic development; \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are infrastructure construction and economy, respectively. The comprehensive index of development, \( k \) as the adjustment factor, in practice, should make \( k \geq 2 \); this paper takes \( k = 2 \).

(3) Coupling coordination degree model

The coupling degree model can only indicate the existence of interaction between systems and cannot reflect the level of coupling coordination between systems. Therefore, this paper further constructs a coupling model of infrastructure construction and economic development.

The calculation method is:

\[
\begin{align*}
D &= \sqrt{C \times T} \\
T &= \alpha \times S_1 + \beta \times S_2
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

where \( D \) is the coupling coordination degree; \( T \) is the inter-system comprehensive coordination index; \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are undetermined coefficients, and \( \alpha + \beta = 1 \). This paper assumes that infrastructure construction and economic development interact, so take \( \alpha = \beta = 0.5 \).

Based on the relevant classification criteria proposed in the existing research [47,48], the median segmentation method is used to divide the \( D \) value into four stages. The classification criteria are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Coordination level of coupling coordination.

| Coupling Coordination | Coordination Level          | \( S_1 > S_2 \)                     | \( S_2 > S_1 \)                     |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| \( 0 < D \leq 0.3 \)  | Low coupling coordination phase | Economic development lags behind   | Infrastructure lag                 |
| \( 0.3 < D \leq 0.5 \) | Moderate coupling coordination phase | Economic development lags behind   | Infrastructure lag                 |
| \( 0.5 < D \leq 0.8 \) | Highly coupled coordination phase | Economic development lags behind   | Infrastructure lag                 |
| \( 0.8 < D \leq 1 \)  | Extreme coupling coordination phase | Economic development lags behind   | Infrastructure lag                 |

2.5.3. Spatial Statistical Methods

This paper introduces Moran’s I to analyze the imbalance and spatial autocorrelation of the coupling and development of infrastructure construction and economic development between adjacent regions. The calculation formula of Moran’s I is as follows:

\[
I = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij}(Y_i - \overline{Y})(Y_j - \overline{Y})}{S^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij}}
\]

(8)

\[
I_i = \frac{(Y_i - \overline{Y})^2}{S^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij}(Y_j - \overline{Y})
\]

(9)

where \( I \) represents the overall degree of correlation between regions, \( S^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \overline{Y})^2 \); \( \overline{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \); \( Y_i \) represents the degree of coupling coordination of the i region; \( n \) is the number of regions, and \( W_{ij} \) represents the element of the spatial weight matrix \( W \). \( I_i \) indicates the degree of correlation between the coordination degree of the i region and the surrounding area, and the local spatial features are displayed by using the Moran scattergram.
3. Results

3.1. Analysis of Coordination Degree between Regions

According to the coupling evaluation model of infrastructure construction and economic development, the comprehensive index of infrastructure construction (S1) and the comprehensive index of economic development (S2), and the coupling coordination degree (D) of the two are calculated. This paper will be in the process of empirical analysis. Infrastructure construction and economic development are regarded as two subsystems of equal importance. Therefore, the undetermined coefficients of the two are all 0.5, that is, $\alpha = \beta = 0.5$. Therefore, the comprehensive harmonic index of the two is $T = 0.5 \times S_1 + 0.5 \times S_2$, combined with the coupling coordination degree model for calculation; the obtained empirical results are shown in Table 3.

**Table 3.** Calculation results of the coupling degree of regional infrastructure construction and economic development.

| Region         | Coupling Coordination Degree in 2013 | Coupling Coordination Degree in 2017 |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                | S1        | S2        | D          | Coordination Level | S1        | S2        | D          | Coordination Level |
| Zhengzhou City | 0.62336   | 0.825219  | 0.84689    | Extreme coordinated | 0.66976   | 0.816205  | 0.859872   | Highly coordinated |
| Kaifeng City   | 0.322134  | 0.273311  | 0.54472    | Highly coordinated | 0.372635  | 0.27094   | 0.563689   | Highly coordinated |
| Luoyang City   | 0.465014  | 0.334487  | 0.628002   | Highly coordinated | 0.502356  | 0.337384  | 0.641612   | Highly coordinated |
| Pingdingshan City | 0.41014  | 0.332901  | 0.60782    | Highly coordinated | 0.434414  | 0.291147  | 0.596354   | Highly coordinated |
| Anyang City    | 0.435428  | 0.270151  | 0.585337   | Highly coordinated | 0.43343   | 0.2893    | 0.595068   | Highly coordinated |
| Hebi City      | 0.404502  | 0.262699  | 0.570946   | Highly coordinated | 0.436042  | 0.287656  | 0.595114   | Highly coordinated |
| Xinxiang City  | 0.40586   | 0.270464  | 0.575601   | Highly coordinated | 0.35694   | 0.278649  | 0.561582   | Highly coordinated |
| Jiaozuo City   | 0.43791   | 0.30888   | 0.606448   | Highly coordinated | 0.50011   | 0.310613  | 0.627769   | Highly coordinated |
| Puyang City    | 0.42929   | 0.246459  | 0.568535   | Highly coordinated | 0.455427  | 0.239409  | 0.574632   | Highly coordinated |
| Xuchang City   | 0.445089  | 0.269307  | 0.588401   | Highly coordinated | 0.460815  | 0.336353  | 0.627452   | Highly coordinated |
| Luoye City     | 0.447802  | 0.25596   | 0.581783   | Highly coordinated | 0.465954  | 0.288366  | 0.605441   | Highly coordinated |
| Sanmenxia City | 0.45144   | 0.271231  | 0.591444   | Highly coordinated | 0.439332  | 0.28157   | 0.593055   | Highly coordinated |
| Nanyang City   | 0.600006  | 0.329184  | 0.666651   | Highly coordinated | 0.429884  | 0.345018  | 0.620581   | Highly coordinated |
| Shangqiu City  | 0.32222   | 0.279107  | 0.547622   | Highly coordinated | 0.36093   | 0.328569  | 0.586693   | Highly coordinated |
| Xinyang City   | 0.467129  | 0.324367  | 0.623905   | Highly coordinated | 0.381195  | 0.262689  | 0.564449   | Highly coordinated |
| Zhoukou City   | 0.344228  | 0.225794  | 0.528007   | Highly coordinated | 0.392165  | 0.222019  | 0.543206   | Highly coordinated |
| Zhumadian City | 0.384815  | 0.299556  | 0.582683   | Highly coordinated | 0.482803  | 0.315774  | 0.624866   | Highly coordinated |
| Jiyuan City    | 0.436586  | 0.338317  | 0.619938   | Highly coordinated | 0.462085  | 0.294883  | 0.607565   | Highly coordinated |

Comparing the coupling and coordination of infrastructure and economic development in each city in 2013 and 2017, we can find that the average system coupling coordination degree of 18 cities in Henan Province in 2016 is 0.6105, which is slightly higher than the average of 0.6036 in 2013. The infrastructure construction of each city and overall average level of economic development level coupling coordination is in the low and medium coupling coordination stage. Among them, the coordination degree of Zhengzhou City, Luoyang City, Jiaozuo City, Xuchang City, Nanyang City, and Zhumadian City is higher than the average level of Henan Province. The coupling coordination degree of most regions is rising continuously. Among them, the coupling coordination degree of Pingdingshan City, Nanyang City, and Jiyuan City shows a downward trend.
In view of the different development speeds of different regions, there are obvious differences in the coupling and coordination degree between infrastructure construction and economic development in various regions. Figure 3 shows that the overall evolution of regional infrastructure construction and economic development level coordination can be divided into two types in 2013–2017: the first category is the area where the coupling coordination degree is between 0.8 and 1, namely Zhengzhou City. Zhengzhou City has been at a relatively high level of coupling and coordination in 2013–2017, indicating that the infrastructure construction and economic development level are at an effective coupling development stage. In recent years, Zhengzhou Zheng Dong New Area has been built and developed according to the concepts and ideas of sustainable “ecological city”, “metabolic city”, and “sponge city”. Among them, the completed water area covers 18 square kilometers, and the green area covers 39 square kilometers, and the green coverage rate in the urban core area is nearly 50%. Drawing on the experience of modern urban construction in the west, the sustainable water cycle is realized through tracking and integrating low impact development (LID) and rainwater utilization. According to the climate characteristics of the Zhengzhou Zheng Dong New Area, the PP module storage device is used to collect and purify rainwater for green irrigation, creating a sustainable green landscape. The construction of Zhengzhou Zheng Dong New Area is inseparable from the strong economic support. The second category is the area where the coupling coordination degree is between 0.5 and 0.8. Although these areas are in a highly coupled and coordinated development stage, the infrastructure construction and economic development level are relatively insufficient, and the infrastructure construction and economic development level are relatively weak. The two have not yet formed a benign interactive coupling development model, and there is still much room for improvement in the coordinated and coordinated development. Government departments in various regions should formulate corresponding infrastructure construction and economic development level strategies according to local actual conditions, as well as upgrade infrastructure and economic development as soon as possible.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** Evolution of the coupling degree of regional infrastructure construction and economic development level.

### 3.2. Evolution of Spatial Pattern of Coordinated Development

#### 3.2.1. Evolution of Regional Differences

In order to better analyze the spatial pattern and dynamic evolution of the coupling and coordinated development of inter-regional infrastructure construction and economic development level, this paper uses 2014 and 2017 as time nodes, combined with Table 3, through ArcGIS 10.2 software, respectively, for an inter-regional 2014, 2017 spatial visualization of the system coupling coordination level for the year (Figure 4).
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Figure 4. Spatial pattern evolution of the coupling degree of infrastructure construction and economic development in 18 cities in Henan Province.

Combined with Table 3 and Figure 4, it can be seen that the spatial pattern of infrastructure construction and economic development level is obviously different. The coupling and coordination distribution of Henan Province is basically consistent with the spatial location of economic development, and the degree of system coupling coordination is relatively high in regions with relatively developed economy in areas with low levels of economic development. During the study period, the coordination degree of Zhengzhou City, Nanyang City, Luoyang City, and Xuchang City has been maintained at a high level, while the coupling coordination degree of Hebi City, Zhumadian City, Zhoukou City, and Shangqiu City is in a rising trend state. The degree of economic development of the region is closely related. With the development of the economy, the investment in infrastructure construction is also increasing; the coupling coordination degree of Puyang City, Xinxiang City, Kaifeng City, and Pingdingshan City is declining, which is related to the development of the region and policy. Overall, the infrastructure construction and economic development level of Henan Province presents a spatial pattern of “high west and low east”. However, the classification shows that the coupling degree between infrastructure construction and economic development level in Henan Province has not changed, but each prefecture-level city fluctuates in the grade interval.

3.2.2. Statistical Analysis of Local Space

Through the global Moran’s I statistic, it can be seen that the coupling and coordinated development of infrastructure construction and economic development level in Henan Province has significant spatial agglomeration, as well as the correlation and concentration between regions, the spatial correlation with the surrounding areas, the degree of spatial difference. The distribution of the spatial pattern, on whether there is heterogeneity, in this paper, is analyzed by Moran scatter plot (Figure 5).

It can be observed from Figure 5 that the level of coupling and coordination between infrastructure construction and economic development level in most regions in the past five years is in a stable upward and downward fluctuation state. Compared with 2014, the first quadrant of 2017 Moran’s I is reduced, and the fourth quadrant is increased. And the Moran’s I index is greater than 0, indicating that there is spatial positive correlation, that is, the area where the coupling degree of infrastructure construction and economic development level is higher (or lower) tends to be significantly concentrated in space, and the correlation is stronger; if Moran’s I = 0, it means that the space is not correlated, and the distribution is in a random state. Table 4 reports the relative development degree and relative development type. The results show that Henan Province is generally synchronous development, Zhengzhou City is lagging behind infrastructure, indicating that economic growth is faster than infrastructure construction; other regions are economic development. The lag type indicates that the economic growth is slower than the infrastructure construction, and the construction of infrastructure is more advanced than consumption. Therefore, to promote economic growth, it is necessary to
increase investment in land resource conservation and intensiveness in order to realize infrastructure construction and economy. Coordinated development levels and simultaneous development are important ways to achieve coordinated and coordinated development.

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** Moran scatter plot of the coupling degree of infrastructure construction and economic development level in Henan Province. (a): Moran’s I index in 2014. (b) Moran’s I index in 2017.

**Table 4.** Types of relative development of infrastructure construction and economic development level in 2013–2017.

| Region        | Infrastructure Construction Index | Economic Development Index | Relative Development | Coupling Coordination | Relative Development Type |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Zhengzhou City| 0.633578115                       | 0.774055169                | 0.822082425          | 0.8364244             | Infrastructure lag        |
| Kaifeng City  | 0.396918457                       | 0.279733272                | 1.42961227           | 0.5761015             | Lag in economic development |
| Luoyang City  | 0.483916433                       | 0.333859849                | 1.449215794          | 0.6338987             | Lag in economic development |
| Pingdingshan City | 0.430533325                  | 0.313778336                | 1.383605691          | 0.6057436             | Lag in economic development |
| Anyang City   | 0.441702908                       | 0.291403433                | 1.517672072          | 0.598873              | Lag in economic development |
| Hebi City     | 0.429776229                       | 0.282747714                | 1.518187027          | 0.5899238             | Lag in economic development |
| Xinxian City  | 0.399777152                       | 0.293814553                | 1.365102599          | 0.5850529             | Lag in economic development |
| Jiaozuo City  | 0.474856257                       | 0.34826711                 | 1.396870733          | 0.6358666             | Lag in economic development |
| Puyang City   | 0.456965847                       | 0.25831484                 | 1.774071396          | 0.585622              | Lag in economic development |
| Xuchang City  | 0.450395427                       | 0.291419111                | 1.557051753          | 0.6014466             | Lag in economic development |
| Luoye City    | 0.466232093                       | 0.284559279                | 1.641295553          | 0.603342              | Lag in economic development |
| Semenxi City  | 0.42761795                        | 0.292404637                | 1.466660645          | 0.5935525             | Lag in economic development |
| Anyang City   | 0.530638566                       | 0.366931643                | 1.461614198          | 0.6622117             | Lag in economic development |
| Shangqiu City | 0.329256604                       | 0.296774658                | 1.109013939          | 0.5584797             | Lag in economic development |
| Xinyang City  | 0.43525355                        | 0.292813501                | 1.493643174          | 0.5966539             | Lag in economic development |
| Zhoudou City  | 0.405418306                       | 0.243993113                | 1.673831676          | 0.5598729             | Lag in economic development |
| Zhumadian City| 0.419954597                       | 0.280990821                | 1.501264572          | 0.5854403             | Lag in economic development |
| Jiuyuan City  | 0.450706644                       | 0.324022045                | 1.396122648          | 0.6179675             | Lag in economic development |
4. Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the coupling coordination degree model, relative development degree model, and spatial statistical analysis, this research work studied the difference of horizontal and spatial statistical analysis of the coupled and coordinated development of sponge city construction in Henan Province. The results show that: (1) From the perspective of comprehensive level, the problems of inadequate and unbalanced development of infrastructure construction and economic development level are prominent. (2) From the perspective of coordinated development level, the level of coupling and coordination development in Henan Province increased during the sample period, but the level of coupling and coordination development in each region was small. (3) From the perspective of relative development, Zhengzhou City is lagging behind in infrastructure, indicating that economic growth is faster than infrastructure construction; other regions are lagging economic development, indicating that economic growth is faster than infrastructure construction. Slowly, (4) from the spatial statistical analysis, the Moran’s I index is greater than 0, indicating that there is spatial positive correlation, that is, the area with high coupling degree of infrastructure construction and economic development level tends to be significantly concentrated in space.

According to the above conclusions, due to the different natural foundations, economic reserves, location advantages, historical background, social influence, and policy conditions of various regions, the coordinated development of sponge city construction in Henan Province requires differentiated regional infrastructure construction and economy development policy. In areas with better economic development, it is necessary to increase the proportion of investment in “green” infrastructure and “gray” infrastructure, as well as appropriately increase the proportion of investment in “green” infrastructure; in areas with insufficient economic development level, it is necessary to adapt to local conditions. In the built-up area, the proportion of “green” infrastructure and “gray” infrastructure will be created to reduce the frequent flooding in the city and to continuously improve the renewal and construction of the drainage system. At the same time, sponge buildings and residential areas should be promoted, and measures, such as roof greening, rainwater storage, collection and utilization, and micro-topography, should be taken according to local conditions to improve the rainwater storage and retention capacity of buildings and residential areas. Rainwater collection and recycling, on the one hand, can provide water for the vegetation of green infrastructure, and, on the other hand, they can provide the use of reclaimed water for the community. The construction of green infrastructure in sponge cities increases the vegetation cover and water area of cities, effectively weakens the urban heat island effect, and thus affects the precipitation process. Therefore, the construction of green infrastructure in sponge cities can conserve water resources, regulate runoff, purify water quality, save water resources, improve the carrying capacity of regional water resources, and enhance the capacity of natural water storage and drainage. Sustainable sponge city construction needs to coordinate the coordination and development of green infrastructure, grey infrastructure, and economic development.
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