Cardiac troponin I R193H mutant interacts with HDAC1 to repress phosphodiesterase 4D expression in cardiomyocytes
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Abstract Cardiac Troponin I (cTnI) is a subunit of the thin filament involved in regulation of heart contraction. Mutated cTnI accounts for most genetic mutations associated with restrictive cardiomyopathy (RCM). We previously found phosphodiesterase 4D (PDE4D) decreased in RCM mice with cTnIR193H mutation and the mutant cTnI might be involved in PDE4D reduction. This study aims to elucidate a novel role of cTnIR193H mutant as a gene regulator. Overexpression of cTnIR193H mutant in cardiomyocytes showed decrease in PDE4D protein expression, while the enrichment of histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) was increased along with decreases in acetylated lysine 4 (acH3K4) and 9 (acH3K9) levels in the PDE4D promoter. HDAC1 overexpression could also downregulate PDE4D via reducing acH3K4 and acH3K9 levels. Co-IP assays showed that cTnIR193H mutant owed increased binding ability to HDAC1 compared with wild type cTnI. EGCG as a HDAC1 inhibitor could diminish the strength of cTnIR193H-HDAC1 interactions and alleviate the reduction in PDE4D expression. Together, our data indicated that cTnIR193H mutant could repress PDE4D expression in cardiomyocytes through HDAC1 associated histone deacetylation modification. Unlike the typical function of cTnI in cytoplasm, our study suggested a novel role of cTnI mutants in nuclei in regulating gene expression.
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Introduction

Restrictive cardiomyopathy (RCM) is characterized by ventricular stiffness that limits ventricular filling and leads to cardiac dysfunction. Not as common as hypertrophic or dilated cardiomyopathy, RCM occurs with an extremely poor prognosis, and the suffering patients in a large number die in their childhood. No effective treatment is available for RCM other than heart transplantation. Although most RCM cases are described as idiopathic, myofibril gene mutations are widely accepted to play principle roles. Mutated cTnl, a part of the troponin complex regulating cardiac muscle contraction, accounts for most genetic mutations pertaining to RCM. Lots of studies support that RCM is caused by cTnl mutations that increase Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensitivity and lead to cardiac dysfunction, and green tea extract flavonoid (−)-epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) has been proved to be capable of reducing sarcomeric Ca\textsuperscript{2+} hypersensitivity and restoring normal diastolic function. However, the genetic basis of RCM still remains largely unknown for the reason that mutations in cTnl genes can cause hypertrophic cardiomyopathy as well due to shared etiology of increased Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensitivity and hypercontractility, but the clinic feature of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is quite opposite to RCM. This phenotypic variation suggests the presence of major genetic modifiers or activation of multiple genetic pathways that additively contribute to the phenotypes. Emerging evidence has revealed that epigenetic regulation is related to the development of cardiomyopathies. There is a critical need to understand whether cTnl mutations, as RCM causal factors, contribute to any epigenetic effects on the etiology of RCM. We previously found PDE4D decreased in RCM mice with cTnIR193H mutations, and HDAC1 associated histone deacetylation modification might be involved in its regulation. However, the role of cTnIR193H in regulating PDE4D remains unclear.

In this study, we carried out the experiments in cultured primary neonatal cardiomyocytes overexpressing wild type cTnl, cTnIR193H mutant or HDAC1 to test the epigenetic role of cTnIR193H in repressing PDE4D expression. Transcriptional activities of wild type cTnl and cTnIR193H mutant were detected by luciferase assay in 293T cells expressing cTnl or cTnIR193H. The effects of wild type cTnl, cTnIR193H mutant and HDAC1 on PDE4D expression were detected by western blotting. The epigenetic relationship of cTnIR193H mutant and PDE4D expression was determined by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay. Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay could also explain the way how cTnIR193H mutant together with HDAC1 regulate PDE4D expression. We also investigated whether EGCG, an HDAC1 inhibitor, alleviates cTnIR193H induced PDE4D reduction and its underlying mechanisms. Our results demonstrated that cTnIR193H, as a myofibril protein, could act as a gene regulator in an epigenetic way. We hope our study could provide new directions to help understanding why mutations in a single gene can result in various cardiomyopathy phenotypes.

Materials and methods

Cell culturing, transfection and treatment

Culturing of primary neonatal cardiomyocytes from mice was performed as previously described. The cells were divided into control group, HDAC1 overexpression group, cTnl overexpression group, cTnIR193H group and cTnIR193H + EGCG group. Cardiomyocytes were transfected with empty vector or overexpression adenovirus. Cardiomyocytes in cTnIR193H + EGCG group were treated with EGCG (20 μM) right after transfection with cTnIR193H overexpression adenovirus. At 48 h after transfection or treatment, cells were harvested and collected for further analyses.

Luciferase reporter gene assay

Promoter regions (2000 bp) of mouse PDE4D were amplified via PCR from genomic DNA of C57BL/6 mouse and cloned into PGL3-basic. The TNNI3 expression vector was prepared by cloning wild-type mouse TNNI3 CDNA (661 bp) into a pcDNA3.1(−) vector. After gene G578 were interchanged with “A”, mutant vectors of pcDNA3.1(−)-cTnl and pcDNA3.1(−)-cTnIR193H were constructed. The 293T cell lines were seeded into a 24-well plate and cultured to reach 90–95% confluent. PGL3-PDE4D promoter fused firefly luciferase (300 ng per well), TK-renilla luciferase (2 ng per well) and pcDNA3.1(−)-cTnl (300 ng per well)/pcDNA3.1(−)-cTnIR193H/pcDNA3.1(−)-null were transfected using Lipofectamine™ 2000 Transfection Reagent (No.1769821; Invitrogen, USA). Luciferase activity was measured via Promega™ E1500 Luciferase Assay System, with firefly luciferase activity normalized to renilla activity.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Primary neonatal cardiomyocytes were seeded at a density of 1 × 10\textsuperscript{4} cells per ml into a 24-well culture plate with coverslips. After 48 h, cells were fixed in ice-cold 4% formaldehyde solution for 20 min prior to incubation for 15 min at room temperature with 0.05% Triton-X. Then the coverslips of each group cells were blocked with normal goat serum for 30 min. After three times washes, the coverslips of cells were blocked with normal goat serum for 30 min. After three times washes, the coverslips of cells were incubated overnight at 4 °C with mouse cTnl antibody (1: 300) and rabbit HDAC1 antibody (1: 200) for detecting cTnl and HDAC1 respectively. Cells were then incubated for 60 min at room temperature with appropriate secondary Cy-3-conjugated anti-mouse and anti-rabbit antibodies, followed by three times of washing. The cells were incubated with DAPI (5 μg/ml, 10236276001; Roche) for 15 min at room temperature for identifying cell nuclei. Coverslips were mounted on glass slides using DAPI Fluoromount-GTM (3630851; YEASEN, Shanghai) and examined with confocal microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Image analysis was performed using NIH ImageJ software.
Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted using an RNA Extraction kit (Bioteck, Beijing, China). Single strand cDNA was reversely transcribed from 500 to 1000 ng RNA by using oligo dT-Adaptor primers and AMV reverse transcriptase kit (TaKaRa, Otsu, Japan). cDNA was detected using quantitative real-time PCR assay with a SYBR Green RealMasterMix kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China). GAPDH was used as an endogenous ‘housekeeping’ gene to normalize RNA levels across samples. The primer sequences for genes of interest and controls were listed in supporting table.

Western blotting

Western blotting was performed as previously described. Proteins bound to the PDVF membrane were analyzed by western blotting using primary antibodies against PDE4d (Abcam, USA), GAPDH (Arigo, Taiwan), HDAC1 (CST, USA) and DYKDDDK Tag (GenScript, China). Band intensity was
analyzed and quantified using a G-BOX imaging system (Syngene, UK).

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay**

ChiP assay was conducted using a ChiP assay kit (ChiP Kit-one step, Abcam, USA). Protein-DNA complexes were incubated at room temperature for 3 h using a monoclonal antibody against acH3K4, acH3K9, HDAC1 or DYKDDDDK Tag. Mouse IgG was used as a negative control. Total column input also served as a positive control. Primers of the –1000bp upstream regions of PDE4D used for PCRs were listed in supporting table.

**Co-immunoprecipitation assay**

Co-IP kits (Touch and Release, Millipore, USA) were used to perform Co-IP assays to identify protein–protein interactions. Tests were conducted as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Each reaction contains 500 µg protein diluted in 500 µl IP-incubation buffer and mixed with 5 µg DYKDDDDK Tag antibody or IgG control antibody. The sample/antibody mixture was incubated in a spin column overnight at 4 °C on a rotator. Afterward, each immunoprecipitation supernatant was collected for western blotting, while potential Flag-binding proteins were pulled down in the spin column. Columns were washed with immunoprecipitation washing buffer three times, and then the denatured protein was eluted from the column. Protein solution (10 ul) was then used in western blotting.

**HDAC1 activity assay**

HDAC1 activity assay was performed using a HDAC1 Immunoprecipitation & Activity Assay Kit (Biovision). Proteins were extracted as per the manufacturer’s instructions and the protein concentration was determined using the Bradford Assay. A total of 100 µg protein for each immunoprecipitation was used with 6 µl HDAC1 antibody to Samples and 6 µl IgG to Control groups. The volume of the protein texture was added to 500 µl with PBS containing protease inhibitors, followed by incubation overnight at 4 °C on a rotary mixer. After incubation, 25 µl of the protein-A/G bead slurry was added to each tube and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C. The beads were collected by centrifugation at 14,000 g for 10 s at 4 °C. The beads were washed three times with 1 ml PBS, by centrifuging at 14,000 g for 10s and aspirating the supernatant in between washes. For each reaction, 168 µl Reaction Mix containing HDAC Assay Buffer and HDAC Substrate was prepared and added to each sample or control tube, followed by mixing and incubation at 37 °C for 2 h. The Positive Control, Developer and Standard Curve was prepared as per the manufacturer’s instructions. The Positive control, Control and sample tubes were centrifuged at 14,000 g for 2 min at room temperature. 100 µl of each reaction supernatant was transferred to individual wells in a white plate. The fluorescence was

![Figure 2](image_url)
read at Ex/Em = 380/500 nm, followed by plotting the AFC
Standard Curve. The Background Control reading was sub-
tracted from all Sample readings. The corrected sample
reading was applied to the AFC Standard Curve to get B
pmol of AFC in the sample wells. Sample HDAC
Activity = 2 × B/TS = pmol·min⁻¹·mg⁻¹ = mU, where:
B = AFC amount from the Standard Curve (pmol),
2 = sample dilution factor, T = reaction time (120 min),
and S = sample amount (0.1 mg).

Statistical analysis
All the data were analyzed using the SPSS 21.0 software
package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, U.S.A). All data were
expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and analyzed
by one-way ANOVA. P values < 0.05 was considered sta-
tistically significant.

Results

cTnIR193H down-regulates PDE4D
PDE4D was previously found decreased in cTnIR193H mice,
and HDAC1 might repress PDE4D expression by histone
deacetylation modification.18 In this study, we detected
PDE4D expression in primary neonatal cardiomyocytes
overexpressing cTnl and cTnIR193H respectively to identify
their functions on PDE4D regulation. Although cTnl could
promote PDE4D mRNA expression, it could not promote
PDE4D protein expression. cTnIR193H could reduce PDE4D
expression at both mRNA and protein levels. However, both
cTnl and cTnIR193H had no influences on the expression of
HDAC1, suggesting that the content of HDAC1 do not
contribute to cTnIR193H induced decrease in PDE4D
expression (Fig. 1).

Nuclear translocation and transcriptional activity of
cTnIR193H compared to cTnl
The content of transcription factors in nuclei determines
the ability of transcriptional activity. To understand the
molecular mechanisms for cTnIR193H to regulate PDE4D
expression, we first compared the nuclear translocation
ability of cTnl and cTnIR193H in primary neonatal car-
diomyocytes overexpressing cTnl and cTnIR193H respective-
ly. The ratio of nuclear protein to total protein was used
to reflect the ability of cTnl or cTnIR193H entering into the
nuclei. As shown in Fig. 2A, the ratio for cTnl (0.427) is
almost equal to the ratio for cTnIR193H (0.431), indicating

Figure 3  cTnIR193H enhances the enrichment of HDAC1 and reduces acH3K4 and acH3K9 levels in PDE4D promoter. (A) ChiP
assays for identifying the region of PDE4D promoter with highest enrichment of cTnl in the −1000bp upstream regions of PDE4D in
primary neonatal cardiomyocytes. (B) The enrichment of cTnl, cTnIR193H and HDAC1 in the promoter region of −47~−141bp in
primary neonatal cardiomyocytes with overexpression of cTnl or cTnIR193H. (C) The enrichment of acH3K4 and acH3K9 in the
promoter region of −47~−141bp in primary neonatal cardiomyocytes with overexpression of cTnl or cTnIR193H. The results are
expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was determined by ANOVA followed by LSD tests. *P < 0.05 relative to Flag-cTnl
group; n = 6 per group.
the same ability of entering the nuclei. This suggests that the ability of cTnIR193H nuclear translocation is not the key factor to downregulate PDE4D. Luciferase reporter gene assays were performed with 293T cells expressing cTnI or cTnIR193H to detect the transcriptional activity of cTnI or cTnIR193H to PDE4D. As shown in Fig. 2B, compared with the control group, the luciferase activity was elevated in the cells transfected with pcDNA3.1-cTnI plasmid. However, the luciferase activity presented with no significant change in cells with pcDNA3.1-cTnIR193H plasmid. cTnIR193H might contribute to repression of PDE4D via HDAC1

On transcriptional activity of cTnI, we then ask if cTnI and its mutant with different transcriptional activities could bind to PDE4D promoter like transcriptional factors and regulate PDE4D expression. We detected the enrichment level of cTnI among the −1000bp upstream regions of PDE4D in primary neonatal cardiomyocytes. As shown in Fig. 3A, there is sufficient cTnI in the nuclei highly enriched at −47~−141bp of PDE4D promoter. Then we compared cTnI and cTnIR193H enrichment in this region in primary neonatal cardiomyocytes with overexpression cTnI or cTnIR193H. Compared with IgG, we observed significant enrichment of both cTnI and cTnIR193H, but with no significant difference between them in enrichment (Fig. 3B), suggesting that binding level to PDE4D promoter was not a key factor for cTnIR193H to reduce PDE4D. Interestingly, we observed HDAC1 enrichment was enhanced and histone markers associated with transcription activation including acH3K4 and acH3K9 were repressed in cTnIR193H overexpression group compared with cTnI overexpression group (Fig. 3B and C). This suggests that cTnIR193H might downregulate PDE4D via HDAC1 induced deacetylation of H3K4 and H3K9 in PDE4D promoter region.

HDAC1 represses PDE4D expression via histone deacetylation

To directly verify the regulatory effects of HDAC1 on PDE4D, primary neonatal cardiomyocytes overexpressing HDAC1 were cultured in vitro. As shown in Fig. 4A, PDE4D expression was reduced in HDAC1 overexpression group compared with NC group. The enrichment of HDAC1 in the promoter region of PDE4D was increased, and acH3K4 and acH3K9 levels were decreased in HDAC1 overexpression group compared with NC group (Fig. 4B), indicating negative regulatory effect of HDAC1 on PDE4D expression and confirming that cTnIR193H could downregulate PDE4D via HDAC1 induced histone deacetylation modifications.
cTnIR193H mutant showed increased binding to HDAC1 compared with cTnI

To understand the molecular mechanism for cTnIR193H to enhance the enrichment of HDAC1, our strategy was to identify cTnIR193H-HDAC1 interacting strength, because we previously confirmed that HDAC1 is a cTnI interacting protein.\textsuperscript{18} cTnI and HDAC1 coexisting in nuclei of primary neonatal cardiomyocytes also indicated their potential interaction (Fig. 5A). Then we compared the interactions between HDAC1 and cTnI or cTnIR193H in primary neonatal cardiomyocytes overexpressing cTnI or cTnIR193H. As shown in Fig. 5B, both cTnI and cTnIR193H could interact with HDAC1, but the ratio of immunoprecipitated-HDAC1 to

\textbf{Figure 5}  
cTnIR193H mutant shows increased binding to HDAC1 compared with cTnI. (A) Immunofluorescence shows cTnI and HDAC1 coexist in the nuclei of primary neonatal cardiomyocytes. (B) Co-IP assays for detecting the interaction between HDAC1 and cTnI or cTnIR193H in Primary neonatal cardiomyocytes overexpressing cTnI or cTnIR193H. The ratio of immunoprecipitated-proteins reflecting the interaction strength shows with column graph. (C) Western blotting for detecting the free HDAC1 in the supernatant of the cells overexpressing cTnI or cTnIR193H. The results are expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was determined by ANOVA followed by LSD tests. *P < 0.05 as compared with each other; n = 6 per group.
cTnIR193H was higher than that of immunoprecipitated-HDAC1 to cTnI, indicating increased binding of cTnIR193H mutant to HDAC1. The content of HDAC1 that are not immunoprecipitated in the supernatant were decreased in cTnIR193H group compared with cTnI group, which could confirm the conclusion from the reverse side (Fig. 5C). These data could explain why cTnIR193H causes an increase in HDAC1 at the promoter region of PDE4D.

EGCG alleviates PDE4D low expression via inhibiting cTnIR193H-HDAC1 interactions

EGCG was proved to be HDAC1 inhibitor, we examined if EGCG treatment could alleviate the cTnIR193H-HDAC1-induced decrease of PDE4D. We again observed reduced PDE4D expression by cTnIR193H in primary neonatal cardiomyocytes with overexpression of cTnIR193H, while EGCG treatment significantly relieved the low expression of PDE4D induced by cTnIR193H (Fig. 6A). We then examined the enrichment level of cTnIR193H and HDAC1 in the PDE4D promoter. The results showed that EGCG treatment could reduce the enrichment of cTnIR193H and HDAC1 and the subsequent improvement of acH3K4 and acH3K9 were observed compared to cTnIR193H group (Fig. 6B). We still examined the interaction between cTnIR193H and HDAC1 with EGCG treatment. We observed the attenuation in strength of cTnIR193H-HDAC1 interaction after EGCG treatment (Fig. 7A and B). We also detect HDAC1 activity in these cells with or without EGCG treatment. However, no significant differences in HDAC1 activity were observed in NC, cTnIR193H and cTnIR193H + EGCG groups (Fig. 7C), suggesting that cTnIR193H do not affect HDAC1 activities to regulate PDE4D expression.

Discussion

Cardiomyopathies represent a heterogeneous group of diseases and its genetic basis remains extremely unknown because of the phenotypic variation caused by mutations in myofibril genes. In recent years, epigenetic regulation has been shown to be related to cardiomyopathies, including hypertrophic, dilated, and diabetic cardiomyopathies, for example, mutations in cTnT could cause dilated cardiomyopathy via epigenetic mechanisms that underlie the compromised β-adrenergic signaling.17 Like cTnT, cTnI is well known as a thin filament component binding to actin and tropomyosin and inhibiting the troponin complex to regulate muscle contraction.20 Although the nuclear translocation of cTnI or cTnI mutant was observed,21–25 their nuclear functional implication is not understood. We...
previously wonder that PDE4D reduction might be associated with HDAC1 in RCM mice with cTnI R193H mutations. In this study, we firstly verified this hypothesis via overexpressing cTnI R193H with or without EGCG treatment were used for these experiments. (A) CoIP assays for detecting the interaction between HDAC1 and cTnI R193H in the presence and absence of EGCG, and column graph for the ratio of immunoprecipitated-proteins reflecting the interaction strength. (B) Western blot assays for detecting the free HDAC1 in the supernatant. (C) HDAC1 activities. The results are expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was determined by ANOVA followed by LSD tests. *P < 0.05; n = 6 per group.

Figure 7  EGCG inhibits cTnI R193H-HDAC1 interactions but not HDAC1 activity. Primary neonatal cardiomyocytes overexpressing cTnI R193H with or without EGCG treatment were used for these experiments. (A) CoIP assays for detecting the interaction between HDAC1 and cTnI R193H in the presence and absence of EGCG, and column graph for the ratio of immunoprecipitated-proteins reflecting the interaction strength. (B) Western blot assays for detecting the free HDAC1 in the supernatant. (C) HDAC1 activities. The results are expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was determined by ANOVA followed by LSD tests. *P < 0.05; n = 6 per group.

the expression level of HDAC1 had no effect in this progress because cTnI R193H did not affect HDAC1 expression in this study. Since cTnI could enter cardiomyocyte nuclei, we wonder whether cTnI R193H mutant is more likely to enter the nuclei and affect PDE4D expression. However, our western blotting results showed the same nuclear localization of cTnI R193H mutant and wild type cTnI, suggesting the content of nuclear cTnI R193H mutant is not the key factor to negatively regulate PDE4D expression. Since we observed the transcriptional activity of cTnI, we wonder whether cTnI or cTnI R193H mutant affects PDE4D expression by binding to the promoter region of PDE4D. ChiP assays showed that both cTnI and cTnI R193H could bind to −47 to −141bp regions of PDE4D promoter but with no difference in their binding levels, suggesting that the binding level of cTnI R193H to PDE4D promoter was not the key factor to regulate PDE4D expression.
Histone acetylation is a dynamic process and is mediated by histone acetylase and HDACs. HDAC1 can regulate most of the observed changes in histone acetylation, especially the acH3K9.26–28 Our data demonstrated that the enrichment of HDAC1 in –47~–141bp regions of PDE4D promoter was increased in cardiomyocytes overexpressing cTnIR193H mutant, and the levels of acH3K4 and acH3K9, markers for gene activation, were decreased, suggesting cTnIR193H might down-regulate PDE4D via HDAC1 induced deacetylation of H3K4 and H3K9 in the PDE4D promoter regions. However, a question that still remains unclear is how cTnIR193H mutant could affect HDAC1 binding to PDE4D promoter regions. Considering the confirmed protein interaction of cTnI and HDAC1, we asked whether cTnIR193H mutant induces stronger interactions with HDAC1. Co-IP assays demonstrated that compared with cTnI, cTnIR193H is more likely to interact with HDAC1 with stronger interaction between cTnIR193H and HDAC1, which might enhance the enrichment of HDAC1 in the promoter regions of PDE4D leading to hypoacetylation of H3K4 and H3K9 and PDE4D reduction.

EGCG has been shown to have multiple effects on cardiovascular diseases.29,30 It also plays a considerable role in epigenetic regulation. EGCG can inhibit class I histone deacetylases to increase levels of acetylated histone and inhibit DNA methyltransferase.31 Furthermore, EGCG can inhibit HDAC1 expression and activities in aging heart,34 and improve cardiac diastolic dysfunction in transgenic mice.33

In this study, we select EGCG as an inhibitor of HDAC1 to detect whether EGCG reverses or alleviates cTnIR193H induced PDE4D reduction. Our data showed that EGCG treatment could alleviate the reduction of PDE4D induced by cTnIR193H mutant. Enrichment of HDAC1 in the PDE4D promoter regions was reduced and acH3K4 and acH3K9 levels were elevated after EGCG treatment. However, in our study, EGCG had no effects on HDAC1 expression and activity, indicating other mechanisms for EGCG to improve PDE4D expression exist. Finally, Co-IP assays showed the strength of interactions between cTnIR193H and HDAC1 was diminished after EGCG treatment, which could explain why EGCG improved the reduction of PDE4D caused by cTnIR193H. The mechanisms for EGCG to inhibit the interactions between cTnIR193H and HDAC1 still need to be studied.

**Conclusion**

Our present work revealed a novel role of cTnI and its mutant in epigenetic regulation. Our data demonstrated that cTnIR193H mutants can down-regulate PDE4D expression via protein interactions with HDAC1 and recruiting HDAC1 to the promoter regions of PDE4D and then reduce acetylation of H3K4 and H3K9. It is still unclear whether cTnI or HDAC1 could bind to the PDE4D promoter alone, or the binding to the promoter requires their own complex forms. Although PDE4D defects or inhibition could accelerate heart relaxation frequencies and exacerbate diastolic dysfunction,36 it should be pointed out that the exact roles of PDE4D in etiology of RCM remain unclear. However, our novel findings can provide us with new research directions to elucidate the pathogenesis of RCM or other inherited cardiomyopathies caused by cTnI mutations.
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