**Successful Recovery from COVID-19-associated Acute Respiratory Failure with Polymyxin B-immobilized Fiber Column-direct Hemoperfusion**
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Abstract:
An 83-year-old man was hospitalized for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) after a 10-day history of a persistent fever. Chest computed tomography showed extensive non-segmental ground glass opacity. Despite the initiation of lopinavir and ritonavir, respiratory failure progressed. Two days of polymyxin B-immobilized fiber column-direct hemoperfusion (PMX-DHP) with adjunctive corticosteroid prevented his respiratory condition from worsening. For rapidly progressive COVID-19 cases, the early use of PMX-DHP may avoid the need for mechanical ventilation by suppressing local inflammation of the lung.
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Introduction

In December 2019, a local outbreak of pneumonia caused by a novel coronavirus, namely severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), occurred in Wuhan, China. This outbreak then spread all over the world, with more than 5,118,000 confirmed cases and 333,000 deaths as of May 22, 2020 \(^1\). Since there is no proven effective therapy for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), the illness caused by SARS-CoV-2, many patients have received off-label or compassionate-use therapies, including antimicrobial agents, anti-inflammatory therapies, and convalescent plasma. Polymyxin B-immobilized fiber column-direct hemoperfusion (PMX-DHP) is a blood purification therapy that is used for the treatment of septic shock. However, whether or not the anti-inflammatory mechanism of PMX-DHP is effective against COVID-19 is unclear.

We herein report a case in which PMX-DHP effectively contributed to avoiding the use of mechanical ventilation.

Case Report

One of the passengers on a cruise ship, an 83-year-old Asian man with hypertension, hyperuricemia, and hypothyroidism, developed a fever in January that persisted for 10 days. The result of a real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test for SARS-CoV-2 was positive.

On admission, his temperature was 37.4°C, oxygen saturation (SpO\(_2\)) was 98% on room air, and his respiratory rate was 14 breaths/min. Bilateral patchy shadows were visible on chest radiography. On day 5 of admission, his respiratory condition worsened to SpO\(_2\) 80%, and 2 L/min oxygen was
started. Extensive non-segmental ground glass opacity was observed on chest computed tomography (Fig. 1). On the following day, he was transferred to our hospital, and oral daily administration of lopinavir 800 mg/ritonavir 200 mg (Kaletra; AbbVie, North Chicago, USA) was started. He had a persistent fever with a temperature above 38°C, and the fraction of inspiratory oxygen (FiO₂) had to be increased from 0.28 to 0.52 to maintain SpO₂ 90% 1.5 days after the transfer. He became tachypneic with a respiratory rate of 27 breaths/min, his partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO₂)/FiO₂ ratio decreased to 163 mmHg, and lung shadows deteriorated rapidly within three days (Fig. 2). To halt his respiratory failure and inhibit systemic and local pulmonary inflammation, he was treated with PMX-DHP for three hours a day on days 8 and 9 of admission (Fig. 3).

Immediately after completing two days of PMX-DHP, his temperature decreased, his serum C-reactive protein (CRP) level fell, and his respiratory failure did not progress further. As adjunctive therapy, he received intravenous hydrocortisone 200 mg, followed by continuous administration at 10 mg/hour for 4 days. His temperature remained normal, and his respiratory condition gradually improved until he no longer required oxygen therapy. Chest X-ray showed improvement in the infiltrative shadows on day 12 (Fig. 2). Cytokine assays showed a decrease in interleukin (IL)-6 from 124 pg/mL on day 6 to 18 pg/mL on day 15. Real-

---

**Figure 1.** Chest computed tomography shows extensive non-segmental ground glass opacity on day 6 of hospitalization.

**Figure 2.** (A) Chest X-ray shows bilateral infiltrative shadows on day 5 of hospitalization. (B) Both lung shadows are increased by day 8. (C) After two sessions of PMX-DHP, the chest X-ray shows fewer infiltrative shadows on day 12.
time RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 showed a marked decrease in the viral load in sputum from 22,780.0 copies/μL on day 6 to 68.8 copies/μL on day 13 (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

PMX-DHP is a blood purification therapy that is used for the treatment of septic shock. Its clinical effects are reported to include adsorbing endotoxins, stabilizing hemodynamics, and improving oxygenation (2).

The efficacy of PMX-DHP for improving oxygenation and thus increasing the survival rate in cases of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)/diffuse alveolar damage (DAD) has also been investigated. Although its mechanism of action is not yet fully understood, reports on the reduction of inflammatory cytokines and high mobility group box 1 (HMGB-1) (3), attenuation of oxidative stress (4), and adsorption removal of activated neutrophils migrating to the lungs (5) have been increasing in number.

In fact, a case has been reported in which PMX-DHP for severe viral pneumonia due to the influenza A (H1N1) pdm 09 virus (the characteristic histology of which is known to be DAD) inhibited inflammatory reactions via a decrease in inflammatory cytokines and HMGB-1 and improved oxygenation, which helped save a patient’s life (6). In addition to viral pneumonia, PMX-DHP has also been shown to be effective for treating critical conditions with a poor survival due to DAD, such as severe ARDS accompanying non-human immunodeficiency virus pneumocystis pneumonia (7), serious drug-induced lung injury (8), and acute exacerbation of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (9). The lung histopathology in one patient who died from ARDS due to COVID-19 was also DAD (10). Therefore, PMX-DHP was used to treat acute respiratory failure caused by SARS-CoV-2 in the present case, even though the patient did not have septic shock.

An investigation of patients who required admission to an intensive-care unit with serious forms of COVID-19 showed that the mortality rate was 81.0% among patients who required endotracheal intubation (11). The present patient was in his 80s, had pre-existing conditions, and could therefore be considered to belong to a cohort with a poor prognosis. During the initial treatment period when he was receiving only anti-viral medication and supportive care, a high fever persisted, and lung opacities spread rapidly, while at the same time his oxygen requirement increased rapidly. This drastically increased the risk of needing mechanical ventilation. PMX-DHP was used with the aim of removing inflammatory cytokines and halting the spread of acute lung injury showing the histological lesions of DAD. In fact, IL-6 and CRP decreased concurrently, and mechanical ventilation was not required. This is an important case in which endotracheal intubation was avoided, and the patient’s life was saved. Although systemic steroids were administered for four days, the viral load did not increase.
Regarding the treatment of COVID-19, early introduction of anti-inflammatory therapy is important in preparation for rapid progressive respiratory failure. Although few hospitals can provide PMX-DHP and cover its cost, it may be an important strategy as a multi-faceted, multi-layered, anti-inflammatory treatment regimen to avoid intubation in high-risk patients.
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