ABSTRACT: **Objective:** To analyze trend estimates on the prevalence of risk and protective behaviors for chronic noncommunicable diseases in adolescents, according to data from the National School Health Survey in 2009, 2012 and 2015. **Methods:** Data from the three cross-sectional studies in Brazilian capitals and the Federal District were used. In total, 173,310 adolescents enrolled in the ninth grade of elementary school were interviewed, with average age of 14 years. The prevalence of indicators of protective (consumption of beans and fruit; physical education classes at school; practice of physical activity for 60 minutes or more) and risk factors (consumption of candies and soft drinks; use of cigarettes and alcohol in the last 30 days; drug testing) were estimated through linear regression. **Results:** There was a significant increase (p < 0.05) in the prevalence of fruit consumption and in the reduction of bean, soft drinks and candies consumption, as well as the consumption of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes. However, an increase in the prevalence of illicit drug experimentation was observed. **Discussion:** Despite the tendency to reduce risk factors, prevalences are high when compared with other sociocultural realities. **Conclusion:** The school is an important area of access to the adolescent public, and it is necessary to encourage school health promotion programs to reduce health risk behaviors, as well as to stimulate protective ones. **Keywords:** Risk factors. Chronic disease. Adolescent. Public health.
INTRODUCTION

Non-communicable chronic diseases (NCDs) are the main cause of illness and death in Brazil and in the world. The main NCD groups are the ones of the circulatory system, chronic respiratory diseases, musculoskeletal diseases, neoplasias and diabetes. There is abundant evidence that integrated health promotion actions, acting on their risk factors (tobacco, alcohol, inadequate diet and physical inactivity), can reduce the burden of these diseases. Thus, measures to promote health in the early stages of the life of children and adolescents can impact on reducing morbidity and mortality and promote the improvement of the quality of life.

Young people aged 10 to 24 years represent 27% of the world’s population. Their health has been largely neglected in global public health, once that this age group is often seen as healthy. However, the initiation or consolidation of risk habits for NCDs emerge at this stage, and opportunities for disease and injury prevention in this age group are not fully explored. The results of the global disease burden study suggest that investments in adolescent health and care would impact on less diseases, deaths and disabilities in the future.

Most of the risk factors for NCDs begin in adolescence and can influence health in the short and long term, and the school environment is an important territory for health promotion, since there is a concentration of this public in these spaces. In Brazil, in 2016, about 20.3 million adolescents attended school. Thus, in order to monitor the health of schoolchildren, the National School Health Survey (PeNSE) was implemented in 2009, which takes place every three years and is in its third edition.
Most studies on health risk behavior trends among adolescents are in high-income countries\textsuperscript{7,8}, with few being found in Latin America\textsuperscript{9}. The objective of this study was to analyze trend estimates on the prevalence of risk and protective behaviors for NCD in adolescents.

**METHODS**

**STUDY POPULATION, SAMPLING AND DATA COLLECTION**

This is a time series study of the main risk and protection factors for NCDs among Brazilian schoolchildren, for Brazilian capitals and the Federal District, using data from the three editions of PeNSE.

The PeNSE was a study carried out with students of the ninth grade of public and private schools in the Brazilian capitals and in the Federal District for the years 2009, 2012 and 2015. The first edition was representative only of the Brazilian capitals, the second being expanded for Brazil and regions. In the 2015 sample, the results for the ninth grade were also representative for states and for the Federal District. In addition, a national sub-sample was included in 2015 to represent schoolchildren according to age (13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 years), allowing a comparison with more than 100 countries in the world that make up the Global School-Based Student Health Survey (GSHS)\textsuperscript{10}. This study used data referring to the sample of ninth grade elementary students residing in Brazilian capitals, which allowed for the comparison of the results of the three editions.

Sample calculation, in all editions, considered the proportion estimate of 50% for the indicators of interest and probability of error type I or $\alpha$ of 0.05. The sampling plan was carried out in conglomerates with stages and selection with proportional probabilities\textsuperscript{11-13}.

All students in the ninth year of elementary education, from public and private schools, present on the day of the interview were invited to participate in the research. Data collection occurred in the classroom. Students answered an individual questionnaire, using electronic equipment: a personal digital assistant (PDA) in 2009 and a smartphone in the following issues. The questionnaire was filled out by the adolescents, but under the supervision of properly trained field researchers of the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE)\textsuperscript{11-13}.

The three editions of the survey were approved by the National Commission for Research Ethics (CONEP), under No. 11.537/2009, 16.805/2012 and 1.006.467/2015. All students who participated in the interviews agreed to the informed consent. The details of the research methodology can be accessed in other publications\textsuperscript{11-13}.

**Study variables**

The indicators studied and the respective questions were:

1. food consumption:
• regular bean consumption: percentage of schoolchildren who reported having consumed beans in at least five of the seven days prior to data collection. The indicator was obtained by the question: in how many of the last seven days did you eat beans?
• regular consumption of fruits: percentage of schoolchildren who reported having consumed fruit in at least five of the seven days prior to data collection. The indicator was obtained by the question: in how many of the last seven days did you eat fresh fruit or fruit salad?
• regular consumption of sweets: percentage of schoolchildren who reported having consumed sweets (candies, chocolate, chewing gum, or lollipops) on at least five of the seven days prior to data collection. The indicator was obtained by the question: in how many of the last seven days did you eat treats (candies, chocolates, chewing gum, or lollipops)?
• regular consumption of soft drinks: percentage of schoolchildren who reported consuming soft drinks on at least five of the seven days prior to data collection. The indicator was obtained by the question: in how many of the last seven days did you drink soda?

2. Use of psychoactive substances:
• Current use of cigarette in the last 30 days: percentage of schoolchildren who reported having smoked at least once in the last 30 days prior to data collection, regardless of frequency and intensity. The indicator was obtained by the question: in how many of the last 30 days did you smoke cigarettes?
• Alcohol consumption: percentage of schoolchildren who reported having consumed alcohol at least once in the last 30 days prior to data collection, regardless of frequency or intensity. The indicator was obtained by the question: in how many of the last 30 days did you have at least one glass or a dose of alcohol (one dose is equivalent to a can of beer, a glass of wine, or a dose of cachaça or whiskey etc.)?
• Illicit drug experimentation in life: percentage of schoolchildren who reported having ever used illicit drugs. The indicator was obtained by the question: have you ever used any drugs, such as marijuana, cocaine, crack, glue, lollipop, lança-perfume, ecstasy, oxy etc.?

3. Physical activities:
• Physical education classes at school: percentage of schoolchildren who attended two or more physical education classes at school in the last seven days prior to the survey. The indicator was obtained by the question: in how many of the last seven days did you have physical education classes in school?
• Overall estimated physical activity: percentage of schoolchildren who have engaged in physical activity for at least 60 minutes (1 hour) on five or more days in the week, in the last seven days prior to the survey. The indicator was obtained by the question: in how many of the last seven days did you take on a physical activity for at least 60 minutes (1 hour)? (Add up all the time spent on any kind of physical activity).
DATA ANALYSIS

Initially, general characteristics of the students were described for each year of the research. The prevalence and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) of the indicators related to the risk and protection factors studied were estimated and stratified by gender and type of school and were included in the bivariate analysis with Pearson’s \(\chi^2\) test for the years 2009, 2012 and 2015.

Then, age-adjusted modeling was performed using linear regression to verify trend estimates for each variable. A p < 0.05 was considered a significant trend. The linear coefficient of the regression line determined the mean frequency variation over the period studied. Because of the change in the composition of the questions, the globally estimated physical activity indicator was presented only for the years 2012 and 2015. The analysis of the data was developed using Stata software version 12.0, using weights to correct the drawing effect of the study sample.

RESULTS

SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

In the three editions of the PeNSE, 173,310 students from the ninth year of public and private schools in the Brazilian capitals and the Federal District were interviewed, 60,973 in 2009, 61,145 in 2012 and 51,192 in 2015. Of the total, 51.4% are female, and the majority were in the 14-year-old age group, with similar percentages in all three editions of the survey. For the most part, adolescents attended public schools (75.5%), and this percentage decreased over the years (79.2% in 2009, 74.5% in 2012 and 72.9% in 2015) (data not presented in table).

FOOD CONSUMPTION

For healthy food markers, the regular consumption of beans decreased from 62.6% in 2009 to 56.3% in 2015 (mean annual variation: -1.10%), and the regular consumption of fruit had a slight increase from 31.5% in 2009 to 32.8% in 2015 (mean annual variation: 0.20%). A positive factor was a significant decrease in the proportion of schoolchildren who ate unhealthy foods. Regular consumption of candies went from 50.9% in 2009 to 41.8% in 2015 (mean annual variation: -1.50%). On the other hand, regular consumption of soft drinks increased from 37.2% in 2009 to 28.8% in 2015 (mean annual variation: -1.40).

These trends were also observed in relation to gender and type of school, except for the tendency of fruit consumption among girls and among private school students, which remained stable (Table 1). In almost all capitals, there was a tendency to reduce
Table 1. Risk and protection factors for noncommunicable diseases in schoolchildren of the ninth grade of primary education in Brazilian capitals, according to gender and school administrative dependences. National School Health Survey 2009, 2012 and 2015.

|                                    | Gender   | 2009   | 2012   | 2015   | Mean variation* |
|------------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|
|                                    |          | % (95%CI) | % (95%CI) | % (95%CI) |                 |
| **Food consumption**               |          |        |        |        |                 |
|Beans consumption                   | Total    | 62.6 (61.4 – 63.7) | 60.0 (59.4 – 60.7) | 56.3 (55.5 – 57.0) | -1.10**          |
|≥ five days a week                  | M        | 68.3 (67.2 – 69.3) | 65.9 (65.0 – 66.8) | 61.2 (60.2 – 62.2) | -1.20**          |
|                                    | F        | 57.4 (56.0 – 58.8) | 54.3 (53.3 – 55.2) | 51.5 (50.5 – 52.5) | -1.00**          |
|Fruit consumption                   | Total    | 31.5 (30.8 – 32.2) | 29.8 (29.1 – 30.4) | 32.8 (32.1 – 33.5) | +0.20**          |
|≥ Five days a week                  | M        | 31.4 (30.5 – 32.4) | 29.9 (28.9 – 30.8) | 33.3 (32.3 – 34.4) | +0.30**          |
|                                    | F        | 31.6 (30.6 – 32.6) | 29.7 (28.8 – 30.6) | 32.3 (31.4 – 33.3) | +0.10            |
|Candy consumption                   | Total    | 50.9 (50.0 – 51.7) | 42.6 (41.9 – 43.3) | 41.8 (41.0 – 42.5) | -1.50**          |
|≥ Five days a week                  | M        | 42.6 (41.5 – 43.7) | 36.2 (35.2 – 37.2) | 36.0 (34.9 – 37.0) | -1.10**          |
|                                    | F        | 58.3 (57.3 – 59.4) | 48.8 (47.8 – 49.8) | 47.4 (46.3 – 48.4) | -1.90**          |
|Soft drink consumption              | Total    | 37.2 (36.3 – 38.2) | 35.4 (34.8 – 36.1) | 28.8 (28.2 – 29.5) | -1.40**          |
|≥ Five days a week                  | M        | 37.9 (36.6 – 39.2) | 36.5 (35.4 – 37.5) | 30.5 (29.5 – 31.5) | -1.20**          |
|                                    | F        | 36.6 (35.5 – 37.8) | 34.5 (33.5 – 35.4) | 27.2 (26.3 – 28.2) | -1.60**          |
|**Psychoactive substances**         |          |        |        |        |                 |
|Current smoking                     | Total    | 6.3 (5.9 – 6.8) | 6.1 (5.7 – 6.5) | 5.4 (5.0 – 5.7) | -0.20**          |
|                                    | M        | 6.4 (5.9 – 6.9) | 6.1 (5.6 – 6.6) | 5.4 (4.9 – 5.9) | -0.10**          |
|                                    | F        | 6.3 (5.7 – 6.9) | 6.1 (5.6 – 6.6) | 5.3 (4.9 – 5.8) | -0.20**          |
|Current alcohol consumption         | Total    | 27.3 (26.5 – 28.2) | 26.8 (26.2 – 27.5) | 23.2 (22.6 – 23.8) | -0.70**          |
|                                    | M        | 26.5 (25.4 – 27.6) | 25.4 (24.5 – 26.3) | 21.7 (20.9 – 22.7) | -0.80**          |
|                                    | F        | 28.1 (27.1 – 29.2) | 28.2 (27.3 – 29.1) | 24.6 (23.7 – 25.5) | -0.60**          |
|Experimentation of other drugs      | Total    | 8.7 (8.2 – 9.2) | 9.9 (9.2 – 10.0) | 10.4 (9.9 – 10.9) | +0.30**          |
|Marijuana, cocaine, crack, glue, lollipop, lança-perfume, ecstasy, oxy etc. | M | 10.6 (9.9 – 11.4) | 10.3 (9.7 – 10.9) | 10.9 (10.2 – 11.5) | +0.10**          |
|                                     | F       | 6.9 (6.4 – 7.5) | 9.0 (8.4 – 9.6) | 9.9 (9.3 – 10.6) | +0.50**          |
|**Physical activity**               |          |        |        |        |                 |
|Physical education classes in school in the last 7 days | Total    | 49.3 (46.8 – 51.7) | 49.3 (48.7 – 50.0) | 50.7 (50.0 – 51.4) | +0.30          |
|≥ Two classes a week                | M        | 50.7 (48.2 – 53.3) | 51.0 (50.0 – 52.0) | 50.9 (49.9 – 52.0) | +0.00          |
|                                    | F        | 47.8 (45.3 – 50.4) | 47.7 (46.7 – 48.6) | 50.5 (49.5 – 51.5) | +0.50          |
|Globally estimated physical activity | Total    | NA | 21.0 (20.3 – 21.7) | 20.7 (20.1 – 21.3) | -0.10          |
|≥ 300 minutes                       | M        | NA | 29.2 (28.3 – 30.1) | 28.3 (27.3 – 29.2) | -0.30          |
|                                    | F        | NA | 13.2 (12.5 – 13.8) | 13.5 (12.8 – 14.2) | +0.10          |
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the regular consumption of beans, sweets and soft drinks (Table 2). In Macapá, Manaus, Florianópolis and São Luís, the frequency of bean consumption on five or more days of the week is lower than in other capitals. Regarding the consumption of soft drinks, Vitória and Porto Velho stood out with great reduction in the period.
Table 2. Trend of risk and protection factors for chronic noncommunicable diseases in adolescents in Brazilian capitals. National School Health Survey in 2009, 2012 and 2015.

|            | Food consumption: protection factors | Food consumption: risk factors |
|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|            | Beans consumption$^*$ | Fruit consumption$^*$ | Candy consumption$^*$ | Soft drink consumption$^*$ |
| Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* |

**North**

| City          | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Porto Velho   | -1.1**          | +0.8**          | -1.2**          | -2.6**          |
| Rio Branco    | -1.2**          | +0.6**          | -1.2**          | -1.8**          |
| Manaus        | +0.4            | +0.4            | -0.9**          | -1.1**          |
| Boa Vista     | -0.8**          | +0.5            | -1.0**          | -0.8**          |
| Belém         | +0.4            | +0.8**          | -1.9**          | -1.3**          |
| Macapá        | +0.1            | +0.7**          | -1.2**          | -1.1**          |
| Palmas        | -1.2**          | +1.7**          | -1.0**          | -1.1**          |

**Northeast**

| City          | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| São Luís      | +0.0            | +1.3**          | -0.7**          | -0.3            |
| Teresina      | -1.2**          | +1.7**          | -1.1**          | -1.0**          |
| Fortaleza     | -1.9**          | +0.3            | -1.8**          | -0.8**          |
| Natal         | -0.8**          | -0.1            | -1.6**          | -1.3**          |
| João Pessoa   | -1.0**          | +0.7**          | -1.6**          | -1.1**          |
| Recife        | -1.0**          | +0.3            | -2.4**          | -1.4**          |
| Maceió        | -1.4**          | +0.1            | -1.1**          | -0.5            |
| Aracaju       | -0.6**          | +0.7**          | -1.0**          | -1.4**          |
| Salvador      | -1.0**          | +1.0**          | -1.6**          | -1.8**          |

**Southeast**

| City          | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Belo Horizonte| -1.1**          | +0.3            | -2.1**          | -1.3**          |
| Vitória       | -1.6**          | +0.0            | +2.1**          | -3.2**          |
| Rio de Janeiro| -1.2**          | -0.9**          | -1.5**          | -1.8**          |
| São Paulo     | -1.0**          | +0.0            | -1.5**          | -1.2**          |

**South**

| City          | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Curitiba      | -1.6**          | +0.5            | -2.1**          | -2.1**          |
| Florianópolis | -0.2            | +0.3            | -1.2**          | -1.1**          |
| Porto Alegre  | -0.7            | +0.1            | -1.6**          | -0.9**          |

**Midwest**

| City          | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Campo Grande  | -1.6**          | +0.9**          | -1.4**          | -1.5**          |
| Cuiabá        | -1.2**          | +0.7**          | -1.4**          | -1.0**          |
| Goiânia       | -1.7**          | +0.4            | -2.0**          | -1.1**          |
| Distrito Federal | -1.1**    | +0.7**          | -1.4**          | -1.0**          |
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Table 2. Continuation.

|                     | Use of substances | Physical activities |       |       |       |       |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                     | Current smoking   | Current alcohol     | Experimentation | Physical | Global |
|                     | Mean variation*   | Mean variation*     | of illicit drugs | education | physical |
|                     |                   |                     |               | at school | activity |
| North               |                   |                     | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | |
| Porto Velho         | +0.0              | -0.4                | +0.7**         | +2.0**     | -0.4    | |
| Rio Branco          | +0.3              | -0.3                | +0.6**         | -1.7**     | -0.1    | |
| Manaus              | +0.3              | +0.3                | +0.6**         | +0.2       | +0.8    | |
| Boa Vista           | +0.4**            | +0.1                | +0.6**         | -2.6**     | -0.1    | |
| Belém               | +0.0              | -0.8**              | +0.1           | +0.1       | -1.4**  | |
| Macapá              | +0.2              | -0.3                | +0.4**         | +0.6**     | -0.8    | |
| Palmas              | +0.2              | -0.4                | +0.3           | -0.2       | -0.3    | |
| Northeast           |                   |                     | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | |
| São Luís            | +0.0              | -0.3                | +0.1           | +1.1       | -0.6    | |
| Teresina            | -0.2              | -0.5**              | -0.3           | +0.0       | -0.3    | |
| Fortaleza           | +0.1              | -0.2                | +0.3           | -0.7       | +0.8    | |
| Natal               | -0.2**            | -1.1**              | -0.9**         | -1.2**     | -0.7    | |
| João Pessoa         | -0.2              | -0.9**              | -0.2           | -0.2       | -0.3    | |
| Recife              | -0.6**            | -1.1**              | -0.5**         | -0.7       | +0.5    | |
| Macaé               | +0.3**            | -0.3                | +0.4**         | +1.0       | +0.2    | |
| Aracaju             | -0.2              | -0.8**              | -0.2           | -2.1**     | -0.9**  | |
| Salvador            | -0.2              | -1.6**              | +0.0           | +0.9       | +0.3    | |
| Southeast           |                   |                     | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | |
| Belo Horizonte      | -0.5**            | -1.3**              | -0.2           | +1.6**     | +0.2    | |
| Vitória             | +0.0              | -1.1**              | +0.2           | +0.9**     | -0.3    | |
| Rio de Janeiro      | +0.0              | -0.6**              | +0.3**         | -0.8       | +0.6    | |
| São Paulo           | -0.3**            | -0.4                | +0.2           | +0.2       | +0.7    | |
| South               |                   |                     | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | |
| Curitiba            | -0.7**            | -1.8**              | +0.0           | +0.0       | -0.6    | |
| Florianópolis       | +0.1              | -0.9**              | +1.0**         | -1.0**     | -1.4**  | |
| Porto Alegre        | +0.1              | -0.5                | +1.1**         | -1.4       | -1.3**  | |
| Midwest             |                   |                     | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | Mean variation* | |
| Campo Grande        | -0.1              | -1.3**              | +0.9**         | -0.4       | -0.1    | |
| Cuiabá              | +0.1              | -0.5                | +0.1           | +0.8       | +0.2    | |
| Goiânia             | +0.2              | -0.6**              | +0.7**         | +0.7**     | -0.7    | |
| Distrito Federal    | -0.2              | -0.5**              | +1.2**         | +1.9       | +0.0    | |

*Consumption in five or more days a week; **two or more classes a week; *mean variation in percentage points, adjusted by age; +: positive trend; -: negative trend; **statistically significant variations (p < 0.05).
PSYCHOACTIVE SUBSTANCES

Regarding the use of psychoactive substances, there was a drop in the prevalence of current cigarette use, from 6.3% in 2009 to 5.4% in 2015 (mean annual variation: -0.20%), and alcohol consumption from 27.3% in 2009 to 23.2% in 2015 (mean annual variation: -0.70%), for both genders. The smoking trend among public school students and in most Brazilian capitals remained stable. With regard to alcohol consumption, there was a reduction in most Brazilian capitals, except for Manaus and Boa Vista (Tables 1 and 2).

As for experimentation on illicit drugs, there was an increase in prevalence from 8.7% in 2009 to 10.9% in 2015 (mean annual variation: +0.30%). This tendency of increase was observed among girls (mean annual variation: +0.50%) and public school students (mean annual variation: +0.40%) (Table 1). As for the capitals, there should be noted an increase in illicit drug experimentation in the capitals of the North, South and Midwest regions (Table 2).

PHYSICAL ACTIVITIES

The trend of the frequency indicators of two or more physical education classes in school and overall physical activity for the total number of students and both genders was observed. Regarding the type of school, there was an increase in the percentage of public school students who reported attending two or more physical education classes in school (mean annual change: +0.70%). However, for private school students, there was a reduction both for physical education classes and for overall estimated physical activity (mean annual variation of -0.50% and -0.70%, respectively) (Table 1). In relation to the capitals, most of them remained stable in these indicators (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

When comparing the three editions of PeNSE in the Brazilian capitals, there was a consistent reduction in the consumption of unhealthy foods, such as soft drinks and candy. With regard to healthy foods, there was a reduction in the regular consumption of beans and a slight increase in the regular intake of fruit. There was a decrease in the consumption of alcohol and cigarettes, but there was an expressive increase in the experimentation of illicit drugs and in the stability of the percentage of students who practice physical activities.

The consumption of food markers on five or more days of the week was high for both candies (half of the students) and soft drinks (one third of them). Regular consumption of these foods is a warning sign, since sugary treats and drinks increase the risk of overweight and NCDs. In Brazil, girls eat more sweets than boys, a pattern that has also been described in surveys among adults in the country.
There was a reduction in the regular consumption of beans among the students in the studied period. Beans are considered a healthy food, rich in fiber and protein and, with rice, plays an important role in the prevention of obesity\textsuperscript{16}. Its consumption, although high in the country, shows a decrease, according to population studies conducted in adults since 1974\textsuperscript{17}. There were important regional differences in bean consumption, which was lower in the North and high in the Southeast states, similar to the one described in the System of Vigilance of Risk Factors and Protection for Chronic Diseases by Telephone Inquiry (Sistema de Vigilância de Fatores de Risco e Proteção para Doenças Crônicas por Inquérito Telefônico – Vigiteil) for the adult population\textsuperscript{16}. These factors can be attributed to cultural aspects and differences in regional eating habits. In addition, they suggest that the observed changes among adults are reflected among adolescents.

There is also much evidence of the benefit of fruit and vegetable consumption in protecting against cardiovascular disease, cancer, among others. In the United States, an increase in the prevalence of fruit and vegetable consumption was observed between 2002 and 2010; the same happened in Brazil for adults. However, this consumption was low among adolescents, a result that is not different from other studies, such as the Health Behavior in School-aged Children (HSBC), in Europe, which showed 36% fruit consumption at 13 years of age and 31% at 15\textsuperscript{3}.

In relation to psychoactive substances, there was a reduction in alcohol and cigarette consumption and an increase in the use of illicit drugs. It should be noted, however, that the use of other tobacco products is growing, especially narghile, which should be closely monitored\textsuperscript{13}. Tobacco is one of the most important risk factors for the onset of most NCDs, and its use in adolescence is associated with a greater chance of using other psychoactive substances, such as alcohol and illicit drugs\textsuperscript{18}. Studies show that the greatest chance of tobacco experimentation occurs in adolescence\textsuperscript{19}. Therefore, preventing and delaying the initiation of this habit is a great challenge for public health, making it a priority to develop policies for this age group\textsuperscript{20}.

Brazil has always been a global reference in the fight against tobacco\textsuperscript{21}. Public policies to regulate and prohibit tobacco advertising, to expand warning spaces and to create tobacco-free environments, including the prohibition of narghile, established in 2014\textsuperscript{22}, are co-responsible for the low prevalence rates in this age group. However, the growth in consumption of other tobacco products, identified by PeNSE 2015, should be monitored, in order to adjust prevention actions and stop this trend.

Alcohol consumption is an important risk factor for accidents, violence, depression, anxiety, bullying, as well as being a predictor of risk behavior, such as illicit drug use and unprotected sex. Their ingestion in adolescence may still predispose to use in adulthood\textsuperscript{8}. In addition, there are behavioral and social factors associated with alcohol among adolescents, such as, for instance, lower academic performance\textsuperscript{23}. In Brazil, results from a cohort conducted in Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, showed that in a two-year period, the chance of increased alcohol consumption was higher among adolescents living in more socially vulnerable areas and among those whose parents consumed alcohol\textsuperscript{24}.
The use of alcohol in the country is very popular, being stimulated by the media\textsuperscript{25} and advertisements, becoming something common both among adults and adolescents\textsuperscript{26,27}. The PeNSE identified that the states and capitals of the South Region have higher prevalences\textsuperscript{13}. The same has already been indicated in previous researches and is attributed to cultural issues, such as the influence of European colonization\textsuperscript{27,28}.

There has been an increase in drug experimentation in the country, which points out the need for preventive measures with regard to this public. An alert should also be given to much higher prevalences in the Federal District (17.8%), in the capitals of the South Region and in Goiânia, Campo Grande, Boa Vista and Porto Velho. Such data reinforce the importance of local drug prevention policies and the strengthening of family ties. Previous PeNSE studies suggest that the family consists of an important locus of prevention of psychoactive substance use. Family supervision is a protective factor for the use of tobacco, alcohol and illicit drugs\textsuperscript{26}.

The practice of physical activity is a significant factor in the protection against NCD and it influences physical and bone development and socialization in adolescents, increasing the chance of them becoming more active adults\textsuperscript{29,10}. Hallal et al. state that only 20% of 13- to 15-year-olds practice recommended levels of 1 hour/day of physical activity, or 300 minutes per week\textsuperscript{11}. The low percentage of sufficiently active individuals in this age group has been attributed to causes such as more time in front of the TV, in the internet, in social media, in videogames, as well as less options for active leisure, lack of adequate spaces to carry out activities in urban areas, low urban mobility, urban violence growth, little incentive from school to practice physical activities, among others\textsuperscript{30,32}.

Only half of the students in all three surveys reported two or more physical education classes per week at school. This percentage remained stable in all PeNSE editions for boys and increased among girls. Promoting physical activity at school is an important action and results in more active students\textsuperscript{13}.

In Brazil, the Strategic Action Plan for Coping with NCDs in Brazil 2011-2022 stands out as the NCD policy of choice\textsuperscript{34}. Goals were defined for the control and prevention of the main risk factors for all age groups, including school children. The Health in School Program, created in 2008, is inserted in this context and seeks to encourage programs to increase physical activity, healthy eating and coping with tobacco and drugs in the school context.

Among the limits of the present investigation, this study is representative of ninth grade students of the Brazilian capitals and might not represent the entire adolescent population, especially those who are out of school. Young people in school may not be representative of all adolescents in a country, just as the prevalence and distribution of health risk behaviors may be different between the two groups. This problem is minimized in Brazil by the wide coverage of the education system, since access to the school is of 98.2% for the population aged 6 to 14 and of 84.2% for the 15-19 age group, regardless of the monthly income\textsuperscript{35}. In addition, the time trend represented here refers to changes in population over time, not to the individual, since cross-sectional studies use a representative sample of the population of each year of the survey.
The PeNSE constitutes the most extensive research among students developed in the country, the result of a relevant partnership with IBGE, which makes it possible to support public policies involving the adolescent age group.

CONCLUSION

Data from the three editions of the PeNSE analyzed here indicated a significant reduction in the prevalence of regular consumption of beans, sweets and soft drinks, and the use of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes, as well as an increase in illicit drug experimentation. The indicators related to physical activity presented stability. Despite the fall in the prevalence of indicators related to risk factors such as alcohol and cigarette smoking, the numbers found are high considering the population examined.

This study contributes, mainly, to the themes that should be prioritized about the health of Brazilian schoolchildren. It is necessary to encourage school health promotion programs to reduce such risk behaviors and encourage the adoption of protective health behaviors.
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