NOTCH2 Is Neither Rearranged nor Mutated in t(1;19) Positive Oligodendrogiomas
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Abstract

The combined deletion of 1p and 19q chromosomal arms is frequent in oligodendroglial tumors (OD) and has recently been shown to be mediated by an unbalanced t(1;19) translocation. Recent studies of 1p/19q co-deleted OD suggest that the NOTCH2 gene is implicated in oligodendrocyte differentiation and may be involved in this rearrangement. The objective of the present study was to analyze the NOTCH2 locus either as a chromosomal translocation locus that may be altered by the 1p/19q recurrent rearrangement or as a gene that may be inactivated by a two hit process. We performed an array-CGH analysis of 15 ODs presenting 1p/19q co-deletion using a high-density oligonucleotide microarray spanning 1p and 19q pericentromeric regions with 377 bp average probe spacing. We showed that the 1p deletion extends to the centromere of chromosome 1 and includes the entire NOTCH2 gene. No internal rearrangement of this gene was observed. This strongly suggests that the t(1;19) translocation does not lead to an abnormal NOTCH2 structure. The analysis of the entire NOTCH2 coding sequence was performed in four cases and did not reveal any mutation therefore indicating that NOTCH2 does not harbor genetic characteristics of a tumor suppressor gene. Finally, the detailed analysis of chromosome 19 pericentromeric region led to the identification of two breakpoint clusters at 19p12 and 19q11–12. Interestingly, these two regions share a large stretch of homology. Together with previous observations of similarities between chromosome 1 and 19 alphoid sequences, this suggests that the t(1;19) translocation arises from complex intra and interchromosomal rearrangements. This is the first comprehensive deletion mapping by high density oligo-array of the 1p/19q co-deletion in oligodendroglia tumours using a methodological approach superior to others previously applied. As such this paper provides clear evidence that the NOTCH2 gene is not physically rearranged by t(1;19) translocation of oligodendroglia tumours.

Introduction

Concurrent deletion of chromosomal arms 1p and 19q is the most common genetic alteration in oligodendroglial tumors [1–6]. Indeed, it is detected in 50% to 80% of oligodendrogial tumors including oligodendrogliomas (ODs) and oligoastrocytomas [7–9]. In contrast 1p/19q codeletion is uncommon in diffuse astrocytomas [3]. Combined 1p/19q allelic loss is observed in both grade II and grade III ODs. In patients affected with oligodendroglioma this genotype is associated with increased progression-free and overall survival as well as a better responsiveness to durable response to chemotherapy [4,10,11,12]. We have previously constructed a 1 Mb resolution BAC array containing 3342 genomic clones covering the human genome and applied it to profile DNA copy number alterations of an extended series of 112 gliomas, including 49 ODs [13]. In the course of this study, we observed the presence of a consistent chromosome 1 breakpoint in the vicinity of the centromere in tumors presenting 1p/19q allelic loss. Based on this observation, we hypothesized that the break itself rather than the deletion might play a role in tumor development, supporting therefore the presence of a t(1;19) translocation. This hypothesis was further reinforced by studies of Jenkins et al. [14] and Griffin et al. [15] showing that an unbalanced t(1;19)(q10;p10) translocation accounts for the 1p/19q pattern and that this combined co-deletion results from the loss of one of the two translocation derivatives. Moreover, we and others [13,16] found that 1p juxta-centromeric deletion breakpoints map within the region encoding the NOTCH2 gene which is implicated in oligodendrocyte differentiation [17] and brain tumor oncogenesis [18].

NOTCH2 constitutes a very attractive candidate to be specifically rearranged by the t(1;19) translocation. Rearrangement could lead to the formation of a fusion gene or to truncation of the gene. To more thoroughly investigate the NOTCH2 region we constructed a high density, locus-specific oligonucleotide array-CGH covering the entire 1p pericentromeric region and applied it to profile 11 ODs with 1p/19q co-deletion. The chromosome 19 pericentromeric region was also investigated using the same approach. Finally, in order to further evaluate a potential tumor...
suppressor genetic model we searched for point mutations of the *NOTCH2* gene in four of our OD cases.

**Results**

*NOTCH2* as a candidate target of the pericentromeric t(1;19) translocation in oligodendrogliomas

Figure 1 displays chromosome 1 BAC-array results for two ODs DNAs, representative of the series of 49 ODs previously described [13]. In all cases, decreased tumor/normal fluorescence ratios, indicative of a deletion in the tumor DNA, were detected starting from BAC RP11-323K8 to the telomere of 1p (Figure 1). Conversely, normal fluorescence ratios were observed from BAC RP11-114O18 to the telomere of 1q. These results strongly suggested that a recurrent chromosome breakpoint, lying between BAC RP11-323K8 and BAC RP11-114O18 mapping at physical position 120,191,966–120,379,651 on chromosome 1, was a characteristic feature of ODs with 1p/19q co-deletion. Intriguingly, this region is included within *NOTCH2*. Given that the 1p/19q co-deletion pattern has been recently linked to a t(1;19) chromosome translocation, this suggested that the chromosome 1 breakpoint may lie within the *NOTCH2* gene. However, this putative breakpoint coincides with a transition from a single copy region (BAC RP11-323K8) to a segmental duplication (BAC RP11-114O18). Indeed, the sequence of this last BAC is duplicated at 1q21.1 (Figure 1B) which encodes a truncated copy of *NOTCH2*, *NOTCH* homolog 2 N-terminal like (*N2N*). Unlike common repeats (e.g. Alu or long interspersed nuclear elements [LINEs]), the duplicated sequences in BAC clones resist complete blocking by human Cot-1 DNA, specifically when duplications are large and highly identical [19]. Therefore we could not conclude from these experiments whether the chromosome 1 breakpoint was localized within the *NOTCH2* gene or whether the apparent position of this break was falsely attributed to the presence of a segmental duplication.

Exclusion of *NOTCH2* as candidate target of t(1p;19q) translocation–high density loci-specific oligonucleotide array

To investigate in depth the putative breakpoint regions we constructed a high density, oligonucleotide probes-based array (Agilent Technologies) providing high resolution over the

---

**Figure 1. Detection of chromosome 1p recurrent deletions in oligodendrogliomas using 1 Mb BAC array-CGH.** The vertical line denotes the centromere of chromosome (centr). The X-axis displays the physical position on chromosome 1 in megabases. A position landmark is indicated every 50 BACs. The Y-axis denotes the average normalized fluorescence ratio (ratio of means for the intensities between test/tumor and reference/normal DNA). (A) Array-CGH profiles illustrating 1p deletion in two selected oligodendrogliomas (OD16 and OD839) presenting 1p/19q co-deletion pattern. The box centers on the centromere and pericentromeric region shown in figure 1B. (B) Detailed view of 181 Kb recurrent deletion breakpoint segment. The blue diamonds highlight the supplementary clones in the improved version of a 1 Mb BAC array used in this analysis. Black arrows above the genes show the orientation of their transcription. The position of the distal end of each BAC is indicated. The lower part of the figure shows an enlargement of the box with indication of BAC clones (open bars), the *NOTCH2* gene (black bar) and segmental duplications (Dupl, orange bar).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004107.g001
pericentromeric regions of chromosome arms 1p and 19q. This loci-specific array was applied to profile OD DNAs derived from 15 patients. Each tumor DNA was paired with corresponding peripheral blood-derived DNA of the patient and with normal male DNA in individual experiments (used as references).

The 1.8 Mb synthesized 1p pericentromeric-specific region consisted of 4262 measurement points of varying repeat/duplication content including 478 probes covering the NOTCH2 gene. As shown in Figure 2A the general distribution of aberrations defined by this high-resolution array supported the existence of 1p deletion in all studied cases. This figure displays output from the analysis of a representative case, illustrating a contiguous 165 kb region, telomeric to NOTCH2 gene, (chromosome 1p position 119,457,515–119,622,059) covered by 500 probes. The probes fall into two major groups (Figure 2A, 2B). The first group mainly includes single copy probes, as assessed by a single hit through whole-genome BLAT analysis and indicated by red diamonds on the figure, which display average log2 fluorescence ratios close to −1 corresponding to a deletion profile. The second group exhibits normal (close to 0) log2 fluorescence ratios and mostly contains probes with multiple BLAT hits. As shown in figure 2B, the NOTCH2 region can be divided in two segments. The 3’ part contains numerous unique copy probes and presents a clear deleted profile whereas the 5’ duplicated end shows normal log2 ratios. These oligonucleotide array results are in perfect agreements with the BAC array data described above showing convergence of the apparent distal breakpoint of the deletion with the starting point of NOTCH2 intrachromosomal duplication. In order to define reliable copy number changes we selected the probes for which the BLAT search displayed only a single chromosomal position within human genome with 100% sequence identity (probes indicated by red diamonds in Figure 2A).

As a result, a group of 851 unique probes on chromosome 1, including 128 data points covering the NOTCH2 gene, was extracted and is visualized on the graph (Figure 2C). Strikingly, 16 unique data points located centromeric of the NOTCH2 gene enclosed by the chromosomal position 120,874,063–121,032,434 clearly indicated consistently decreased fluorescence ratios (Figure 2C, 2D) in all studied cases. To confirm that the deletion extended to the centromere, we further performed LOH-associated SNP loci analysis on five selected 1p/19q oligodendrogloma cases using a panel of 39 single copy SNP (single-nucleotide polymorphisms) markers encompassing the NOTCH2 locus. This analysis showed that informative SNPs, being proximal, within or distal to NOTCH2 exhibited loss-of-heterozygosity profiles. Figures 2E and 2F show representative results for SNPs intragenic (Ea and Eb) or proximal to NOTCH2 part (Ec to Fg). All above outlined results, therefore, confirmed the presence of continuous 1p deletions extending all the way to the centromere and consequently ruled out the chromosome 1 translocation breakpoint being localized within the NOTCH2 gene.

Mutation analysis of the NOTCH2 gene sequence

An hypothesis which may account for the localization of the t(1;19) translocation breakpoint proximal to NOTCH2 may rely on a two-hit inactivation process with one allele of NOTCH2 being inactivated by the deletion and the remaining one by point mutation. To test this hypothesis, we screened for somatic mutations the complete coding sequence of NOTCH2 gene in four tumor and paired peripheral blood derived DNAs. The 34 exon sequences of this gene transcript span 9371 bp and encode a protein of 2553 amino acids. Sequence analyses of these genomic DNAs revealed no heterozygous mutation in either of the NOTCH2 exons (data not shown).

Two clusters of translocation breakpoints on chromosome 19

As outlined above, the hemizygous deletion of the 19q arm (Figure 3A) strongly associates with 1p single copy loss, both events being mediated by a t(1;19) translocation with juxta-centromeric breakpoints. Therefore, we applied a chromosome 19 pericentromeric high density genomic microarray to precisely detect putative breakpoint sites. This array resolves the pericentromeric region of 1.4 Mb (positions on chromosome 19 23,940,099–24,422,759 and 32,426,023–33,387,097; build 36.1) into 3417 distinct measurement points with an average probe spacing of 379 bp. This 19q specific array proved to be a sensitive assay for detecting deletion of the 19q.

In the course of these analyses three types of profile were retrieved. The first type consisted of a complete deletion of the 19q analyzed region extending down to chromosome 19p pericentromeric region. It was observed in 5/15 cases (Figure 3B). The second type, observed in 6/15 cases, was characterized by a transition from normal to deleted ratios within the analyzed 19q region (Figure 3C). The third class of profile, detected in 4 cases, revealed the coexistence of 19q loss with different patterns of gains/amplifications (Figure 3D). The positions of the breakpoints were slightly different from one case to the other (Figure 3E, 3F, for breakpoint positions information, see supplementary Table S1) and defined one major breakpoint cluster in 19p and another one on 19q. The 19p and 19q regions were determined to be 5 kb and 157 kb in size, respectively. The two cluster regions are shown on figure 3E and 3F.

Using publicly available databases we reviewed the genes present in these regions and observed that only in the 19q region are there annotated gene sequences; namely the non-RefSeq transcripts AK075337, AK094188, AK055559, BC024732 and DQ586608. Both regions are also known to be encompassed by putative copy number polymorphism variation (CNV) (Figure 3E, 3F); however we did not find variation of these fragments in any of 15-germline DNA samples.

Discussion

Our study follows up previous works that identified the existence of a nearly consistent deletion hotspot within pericentromeric region of 1p in ODs with 1p/19q allelic loss [13]. Interestingly, recent observations by Griffin et al. [15] and Jenkins et al. [14] also support the presence of a 1;19 translocation accompanied by a loss of one of the two translocation derivatives. The fact that NOTCH2 is located near the chromosome 1 centromere made analysis of this interval a considerable challenge. Pericentromeres are among the most complex regions within human genome and contain several inter- and intra-chromosomal duplications and repeat sequences which increase in number when approaching the centromere [20–22]. The high density oligonucleotide array-CGH we applied is superior to previous methodological approaches used in the analysis of 1p/19q co-deleted OD [2,10,23,24] with the major advantage being the high resolution of analysis due to the large number of independent measurement points completely covering NOTCH2 gene and extending to the most centromeric point on the human reference sequence. We found that deletion on chromosomal arm 1p is in fact continuous and that its endpoint apparently lies within the centromere. Moreover, an additional detailed mutation analysis of all coding NOTCH2 exons in four 1p/19q co-deleted ODs presenting LOH showed no evidence of somatic NOTCH2 mutations. This indicates that the deletion of one allele of NOTCH2 is not associated with mutation of the other allele. Although haploinsufficiency and/or further genetic and epigenetic changes remain to be tested this indicates that NOTCH2 does not present genetic characteristic of a tumor suppressor gene.
Figure 2. Deletion extends centromeric to NOTCH2 as revealed by the high density oligonucleotide array. The X-axis displays the oligonucleotide probe order according to their physical position on chromosome 1 in megabases. A position landmark is indicated every 100 probes. The Y-axis denotes the average normalized fluorescence ratios on a log2 scale. Black diamonds represent the normalized log2 ratio from hybridization of patient versus reference (normal male) peripheral blood-derived DNAs, used as control experiment. The blue and pink diamonds illustrate normalized log2 ratios of the patient tumor DNA to the reference or to the matched peripheral blood-derived DNAs, respectively. The red diamonds above the graphs indicate the probes for which BLAT search displayed only a single chromosomal position within human genome with 100% sequence identity. (A) log2 ratio plot of a representative oligodendroglioma DNA (OD1786) displaying 500 oligonucleotide probes (119,457,515–119,619,961) telomeric to NOTCH2 gene. (B) Array-CGH profile of OD1786 displaying probes encompassing the NOTCH2 gene. The positions of the 34 exons (black horizontal bar) of NOTCH2 and the segmental duplication (Dupl; orange horizontal bar) are highlighted above the array-CGH profile. Probes located within segmental duplication loci displayed quasi-normal fluorescence ratio, consistent with the duplication of this region to 1q21.1. (C) A pool of 851 single hit probes, including 128 data points covering NOTCH2 gene is visualized. The majority of the probes displayed an average fluorescence ratio close to −1 (haploid level), consistent with the presence of a deletion. The position of NOTCH2 gene is indicated by a black horizontal bar. The black box (D) highlights deletion of loci centromeric to NOTCH2. (D) Enlarged, detailed view of the normalized fluorescence log2 ratio for 16 unique loci enclosed by the chromosomal position 120,874,063–121,032,434, centromeric to NOTCH2. The X-axis displays every unique probe according to its physical position on chromosome 1. The vertical arrows indicate the position of the selected LOH (loss of heterozygosity)-associated SNP (single nucleotide polymorphism) loci analyzed. (E) LOH at loci distal to NOTCH2. Sequencing data comparing SNPs constitutional (B) and tumor DNA (T) from the same patient. In (a) and (b) examples of SNPs (rs1929329 and rs2453042, respectively) located within segment of NOTCH2 gene. (F) Detection of LOH within five SNP loci (c–g) (rs2319971, rs10093156, rs12743661 rs28785495 and rs28793055, respectively) located centromeric to NOTCH2 gene.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004107.g002

On chromosome 19 the high-resolution array-CGH analysis identified pericentricromeric breakpoints in most cases. In silico study of 19q contrary to 19p pericentricromeric region revealed the presence of highly repetitive architecture with multiple various classes of low copy repeat sequences such as Alpha, human satellite IV (HSAT4) and human endogenous retrovirus (HERVK) repeats at breakpoint sites (not shown). BLAT analysis of human sequence assembly showed intra- and interchromosomal sequence similarity of this locus. In particular it highlighted a high sequence homology between the two chromosome 19 cluster regions over a more than 50 kb stretch. We may therefore speculate that chromosome 19 pericentricromeric loci comprise specific recombination-prone genomic structures leading to chromosomal instability by recombination-driven rearrangements. Importantly, strong DNA sequence homology of chromosome 19 to chromosome 1 centromeric and pericentricromeric regions with several common alpha-satellite sequences was described [21,25]. This suggests that the specific architecture of these segments might be more prone to chromosomal rearrangements, thus mediating chromosome breakage and generating the aforementioned 1p/19q translocation. Alphoid repeats have already been documented in some derivatives of the recurrent translocations suggesting genomic instability as a cause of arm-to-arm translocations in several types of tumors [26–28]. We cannot exclude other mechanisms that may be responsible for the profiles revealed by the array-CGH analysis of these 1p/19q co-deleted cases. Indeed, copy number polymorphisms encompassing the chromosome 19 breakpoint regions suggesting that some alternative structure of these regions may favor 1p/19q recurrent rearrangement in OD cases. It has been shown, for example, that complex repeat structures with functionally relevant polymorphism not only presents functional relevance to promoter activity but also demonstrate genetic instability in colorectal tumors of the mutator phenotype [29]. However, the peripheral blood versus reference DNA CGH experiments that were conducted as control experiments in our work did not identify obvious copy number variations. Finally, cancer-specific 1p/19q translocation might be facilitated by general genomic instability associated with alterations of the pericentricromeric chromatin structure by epigenetic perturbation. Evidence suggests that the hypometylation process induces pericentricromeric heterochromatin decondensation and contributes to the instability of the satellite DNA sequences. This may permit recombination and formation of unstable translocations. Such decondensation has been described in glioblastoma as well as in other type of tumors such as facial anomalies syndrome, multiple myeloma, hepatocellular carcinomas, breast and Wilms tumors [30–36].

The data presented here revealed that chromosome 1 and 19 status in the tumors displaying t(1;19) recurrent translocations is more complex than previously thought. In summary, we exclude NOTCH2 gene as a target of apparent translocation breakpoint and suggest involvement of the centromere and centromere/pericentromere sequences of chromosomes 1 and 19, respectively, in the process of translocation. We also reveal novel pericentricromeric breakpoint sites on 19p and 19q arms.

Materials and Methods

Clinical material

The current study consisted of oligodendroglioma tumor samples treated at the Pitié-Salpêtrière hospital, Paris, France and previously shown to harbor 1p/19q co-deletion [13]. Tumor samples were snap-frozen and stored at −80°C immediately after surgical resection. High molecular weight DNA was isolated from both tumor and peripheral blood using a standard phenol-chloroform procedure. All patients gave written informed consent, as requested by French law, allowing molecular, genetic and translational research studies on cancer tissue samples. The analysis was performed on anonymized data.

1 Mb genomic BAC ARRAY-CGH platform

A full-coverage genomic BAC aCGH with an average resolution of 1 Mb, previously described [11], was used for DNA copy number analysis. In particular, it contains a set of 402 measurement points localized on 1p, as well as 41 chromosome loci confined to chromosome 19. In order to increase the resolution of analysis an additional twenty-five BAC clones in the vicinity of the chromosome 1 centromere were further selected for inclusion on the initial 1 Mb array. The procedures for DNAs extraction, hybridization and washing have been described previously [13]. Arrays were scanned using a 4000B scan (Axon, Union city, USA). Image analysis was performed with GenePix 3.1 software (Axon, Union city, USA) and ratios of Cy5/Cy3 signals were determined.

High density oligonucleotide array-CGH platform

The Agilent eArray platform was used to design oligonucleotide array-CGH (8×15 K, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) providing high resolution over the pericentricromeric regions on chromosome 1p 119,360,001–121,186,599, 1q 141,476,958–
Figure 3. Array-CGH profiles of the representative cases containing deletions affecting chromosome 19. (A) Detection of deletion of the long arm of chromosome 19 using 1 Mb BAC array-CGH. The general outline of this figure follows Figure 1. The blue diamonds highlight the supplementary clones in the improved version of a 1 Mb BAC array used in this analysis. (B and C) Array-CGH profiles of two representative tumors disclosing the presence of continuous 19q deletion extending to: 19p (3B, OD1778) and 19q pericentromeric regions (3C, OD758). The positions of translocation breakpoints are highlighted by black boxes (E and F). The general outline of the figures follows Figure 2. (D) An example of oligodendroglioma case (OD1216) disclosing a gain which precedes 19q allelic loss. The positions of the breaks are highlighted by the black boxes (E and F).
Loss of heterozygosity analysis

To evaluate allelic loss, a panel of 39 and 42 SNPs (single-nucleotide polymorphisms) on 1p and 1q arm, respectively, were studied. The locations and sequences from the LOH-associated SNP loci were retrieved from the database at the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/). Primers flanking these loci were designed using Agilent Technologies (8×15 K CGH oligonucleotide array-CGH array analyses). Briefly, 500 ng of genomic DNA was digested with AluI and Rsal enzymes followed by column purification (Microcon YM-30, Millipore, Valencia, CA, USA). Digested tumor and reference DNAs were labeled with Cy3-dUTP and Cy5-dUTP, respectively (Genomic DNA labeling Kit Plus, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA), pooled and hybridized as instructed in the manufacturer's protocol. Agilent scanner was used to obtain ratios of Cy5/Cy3 signals from the targets localized on the array. Normalization (dye bias and background correction) and analysis of microarray data was performed using Agilent Feature Extraction (version 9.5.3 Agilent Technologies) and CGH Analytics software's (version 3.4.40, Agilent Technologies), respectively.

Mutation analysis of the NOTCH2 gene

All 34 NOTCH2 encoding exons (Ensembl: ENSCAFG00000010476) of four selected oligodendroglioma tumor and paired peripheral blood derived DNAs were analyzed for somatic mutations by PCR amplification followed by direct genomic sequencing of both DNA strands (for additional information, see supplementary data, Table S2).
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