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Abstract:
This paper examines the general satisfaction of University students in AkwaIbom State with the acoustic, daylight and air qualities of their lecture halls. Ambient noise levels of 65.85, 68.95 and 61.67 dB(A) were recorded around the surveyed schools’ environment with a sound level meter and were found to be below the recommended level of 70dB(A) which of course was an advantage to the comfort ability of the students while in their lecture halls. The ambient noise possesses no threat to the satisfaction of the students when considering acoustic parameters such as speech intelligibility, speech perception, speech quality and signal to noise ratio (SNR) hence the high rating of the lecture halls by the students. A total of 1200 (one thousand two hundred) questionnaires were equally distributed to both male and female students (respondents) in the three tertiary institutions under study, out of which 1002 (one thousand and two) representing 83.5% responses were received. Rank correlation of the responses showed 97.5% correlation between AkwaIbom State University and University of Uyo, 99.7% between AkwaIbom State University and Obong University and 98.4% correlation between Obong University and University of Uyo. Averagely a very high correlation of 98.5% obtained showed an agreed perception of these qualities among the students. Chi-square test upholds the hypothesis by 92.2% of the respondents that they are generally satisfied with their lecture halls as opposed to the alternative hypothesis by 7.8% of the respondents. Chi-square tabulated at 5% probability obtained from chi-square value under 2 (degree of freedom) was 5.99. Chi-square calculated which was 3.4707 is less than the tabulated (5.99) which affirms the assertion by the respondents that they are generally satisfied with their lecture halls. It is therefore concluded from this analysis that the lecture halls in the surveyed schools are of high quality when considering their environmental qualities (acoustic, daylight and air qualities) and the students are generally satisfied.
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1. Introduction
The challenge in studying environmental qualities such as acoustic, daylight and air qualities of lecture halls in schools may be affected by factors like building location, city or building design (Camara et al 2018). Until the nineteenth century, the acoustic design of halls and churches was largely an art practiced by architects and engineers. Lecture halls in Universities are occupied by students and lecturers for lectures but the acoustic design of are neglected thereby getting students distracted. The benefits of good architectural acoustics design to students include increase in productivity, improved health, good perception of surrounding activities in terms of their satisfaction and preference, good logical thinking, (better attention and alertness to surrounding activities and high motivation to work). Sound in lecture halls that gets into the ear of students are both direct and reflected sound, it has to be clear enough when listening to it (Tang S. K. et al, 2006).

The usefulness of light for clear vision cannot be over emphasised. Light from ordinary source like the sun or light bulbs radiate away from the source in all directions and the spreading or divergence of this ordinary light is what makes it so useful in lighting lecture halls in schools, homes and work places. A well illuminated lecture hall is very necessary for students to have a clear vision of their daily academic activities while in class and also for their comfort (Seeber, 1992). Lighting in an environment is one of the necessary and important elements which have direct impact on peoples’ performance, students are no exception. Apart from all indoor (artificial) light profits, natural light has more benefit on people’s health and wellbeing. Having apt windows in lecture halls are very important for having appropriate daylight for viewing. This connection from outside can provide significant physical and physiological benefits for users especially students (Sanaz, 2020).

Effective daylight is an important modern educational architecture. Research has shown that quality natural light can have a notable impact on students’ attainment. A study of over 21000 US students in 1999 showed that those with most daylight in their classrooms progressed 20% faster in Mathematic test and 26% faster in reading test than those with...
least. A further study of 71 elementary schools provided additional evidence that good lighting provided from both natural and artificial sources significantly influenced pupils’ reading vocabulary. (Lee, 2011)

Schools’ physical environment according to recent research can play a major role in academic performance of students and pupils. Poor physical environment due to leaky roofs, problems of heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC), insufficient cleaning and other maintenance issues can trigger a lot of health problems including asthma and allergies that can increase absenteeism thereby reducing academic performance. Improvement in school environmental quality can enhance academic performance as well as teacher and staff productivity (Khaleghi et al. 2011).

Learning in an air polluted environment can affect students’ performance. A study on the scholastic performance of students in standardized examination in Israel found that taking exams in a polluted day was associated with a 3.2% decline in students’ test score. Those students who sat exams highly polluted days had a lower chance of at university compared with their unaffected counterparts. Short-term exposure to as little as an additional 10 unit of PM$_{2.5}$ (air quality index) during the matriculation examination is associated with a 2.1% decline in monthly earnings in adulthood. (Lavy and Roth, 2014). It has also been found that air pollution has a negative impact on the cognitive ability including forgetfulness, inattentiveness and careless errors (Sunyer et al, 2017).

Study on the effect of steel mill opening and closing in Utah valley in US showed that an increase of 100 micrograms of PM$_{10}$ increased school absence by 40% (Currie et al, 2009).

2. Statement of the Problem

Environmental conditions of lecture halls have been seen to have significant influence on the academic performance of students and is one of the causes of decline performance of teachers or lecturers (Sant Anna et al, 2018). Poor environmental quality can reduce academic performance as well as teacher and staff productivity. Students may experience difficulties on learning and social interaction as well as having greater time lost to disruptive activities during lessons due to poor acoustics.

This work examines the general satisfaction of university students in AkwaIbom State with the environmental quality (acoustic, daylight and air qualities) of their lecture halls.

3. Methodology

Three tertiary institutions were selected for this study namely AkwaIbom state University owned by the State Government, University of Uyo owned by the Federal Government and Obong University, a private institution. They are all situated in AkwaIbom State, South-South Nigeria. The environmental qualities considered were acoustic, air, daylight and experience of potential problems from ambient noise levels from air, music, voices (outdoor noise), noise from highway traffic and ventilation systems on the satisfaction of the respondents with the acoustic environment of the lecture halls. The data collected were geared towards testing the relationship between perceived IEQ and the general satisfaction of the respondents.

3.1. About AkwaIbom State

AkwaIbom is a state in Nigeria. It is located in the coastal southern part of the country, lying between latitudes 4°32′N and 5°33′N, and longitudes 7°25′E and 8°25′E. The state is located in the South-South geopolitical zone, and is bordered on the east by Cross River State, on the west by Rivers State and Abia State, and on the south by the Atlantic Ocean and the southernmost tip of Cross River State.

It is one of Nigeria’s 36 states, with a population of over five million people in 2016. The state was created on September 23, 1987, and is currently the highest oil- and gas-producing state in the country. The state’s capital is Uyo, with over 500,000 inhabitants. The State for now has University of Uyo owned by the Federal Government, AkwaIbom State University owned by the State Government and three private universities namely Obong University, Ritman University and Top Faith University.

3.2. Data Collection

Questionnaire with well structured, simple and closed questions was developed for this study with the aim of collecting the respondents’ perception of indoor environment quality (IEQ) of the lecture halls and their general
satisfaction. The respondents were drawn from male and female students of these institutions and were believed to have been 18 years and above since this the official age that qualifies one to be admitted for studies in Nigerian Universities.

Sound level meter Bruel and kjaer (B&K) type 2203 and its associated octave band filter (B&K) type 2203 was used to measure the ambient noise levels so as to ascertain the relationship between objective assessment of the environment and the respondents’ subjective ratings. Measurements with the sound level meter were done with the meter set at A-weighted slow response and at a height of 1.5m above the ground being an average hearing position. Quantitative analysis of data using Chi-square was carried out to test the respondent’s assertion on the general satisfaction of their lecture halls.

\[
X^2_c = \sum \left( \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} \right)
\]

(1)

Where \( X^2_c \) = Calculated chi-square
\( O_i \) = Observed frequency
\( E_i \) = Expected frequency

\( x^2 = \alpha (r - 1)(c - 1) \)

(2)

Where \( X^2_t \) = Chi-square tabulated
\( r \) = Number of rows
\( c \) = Number of columns

4. Results
A total of 1200 (one thousand two hundred) questionnaires were equally distributed to both male and female students (respondents) in the three tertiary institutions under study, out of which 1002 (one thousand and two) responses were received as shown in table 1.0

| Institution                  | Code | Questionnaires Sent Out | Questionnaires Received | Percentage Response |
|------------------------------|------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| AkwaIbom State University    | X    | 400                     | 365                     | 91.3                |
| University of Uyo            | Y    | 400                     | 342                     | 85.5                |
| Obong University             | Z    | 400                     | 295                     | 73.8                |
| **Total**                    |      | **1200**                | **1002**                | **83.5**            |

Table 1: Rate of Response

| Institution | Average Ambient Noise Levels Db(A) |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| X           | 65.85                             |
| Y           | 68.05                             |
| Z           | 61.67                             |

Table 2: Average Ambient Noise Levels (dB (A))

| Institutions | Acoustic Quality Perceived Rating | Response per Institution \((n)\) | Weighting Rating \((nr)\) | Average per Institution \((nr/n)\) |
|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
|              | Very Good | Good | Fair | Poor | Very Poor |                      |                          |                          |
| X            | 102       | 162  | 96   | 5    | 0         | 365                   | 1456                     | 4.0                      |
| Y            | 98        | 153  | 84   | 7    | 0         | 342                   | 1368                     | 4.0                      |
| Z            | 84        | 137  | 71   | 3    | 0         | 295                   | 1187                     | 4.02                     |
| **Σr =1002** | **Σ(nr) = 4011** | **Σ(nr/n) = 12.2** |                          |                          |

Table 3: Overall Acoustic Quality Perception Rating of Lecture Halls
Table 4: Overall Daylight Perception Rating of Lecture Halls by the Respondents

| Institutions | Very good | Good | Fair | Poor | Very poor |
|--------------|-----------|------|------|------|-----------|
| X            | 205       | 104  | 56   | 0    | 0         |
| Y            | 209       | 127  | 5    | 1    | 0         |
| Z            | 191       | 101  | 3    | 0    | 0         |

\[ \Sigma r = 1002 \]

\[ \Sigma (nr) = 4547 \]

\[ \Sigma (nr/n) = 13.62 \]

Table 5: Overall Air Quality Perception Rating in Lecture Halls by the Respondents

| Institutions | Very good | Good | Fair | Poor | Very poor |
|--------------|-----------|------|------|------|-----------|
| X            | 195       | 151  | 17   | 2    | 0         |
| Y            | 138       | 201  | 3    | 0    | 0         |
| Z            | 201       | 87   | 5    | 2    | 0         |

\[ \Sigma r = 1002 \]

\[ \Sigma (nr) = 4512 \]

\[ \Sigma (nr/n) = 13.53 \]

Table 6: Correlation Analysis of the Perceived Quality Ratings Using Rank Correlation Coefficient

| Perceived Qualities | Average Rating Per Institution | D | D^2 | R | % r |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----|---|-----|
| Acoustic quality    | 4.0                            | 0 | 0   | 0.975 | 97.5 |
| Daylight quality    | 4.41                           | -0.19 | 0.036 |
| Air quality         | 4.48                           | 0.08  | 0.064 |

\[ \Sigma D^2 = 0.1 \]

Table 7: Overall Response on General Satisfaction with the Lecture Halls

| Response | X | Y | Z | Total |
|----------|---|---|---|-------|
| A YES    | 341| 304| 279| 924   |
| B NO     | 24 | 38 | 16 | 78    |
| Total    | 365| 342| 295| 1002  |
It is therefore concluded from this analysis that the lecture halls in the surveyed schools are of high quality when considering their acoustic, daylight and air qualities and the students are generally satisfied.

Chi-square tabulated at 5% probability obtained from chi-square value under 2 (degree of freedom) is 5.99. Chi-square calculated which was 3.4707 is less than the tabulated(5.99) which affirms the assertion by the respondents that they are generally satisfied with their lecture halls.

5. Discussion of Results
Table 1.0 shows that an average of 83.5% of the questionnaires shared to respondents were responded to. This is a very high response indicating that a greater number of the students in tertiary institutions in Akwa Ibom State have interest in research of this nature.

Ambient noise is the total noise associated with a given environment and usually comprises of sounds from many sources both far and near. Table 2.0 shows ambient noise levels of 65.85, 68.95 and 61.67 dB(A) in Akwa Ibom State University, University of Uyo and Obong University lecture room environments respectively. The recommended ambient noise level which should not be exceeded even during the noisiest hour of the day around schools etc is 70 dB(A) according to the noise level standard issued by the Federal Highway Administration Policy and Procedure Memorandum (PPM) 90-2. If higher than this may provide a masking effect on the sounds that the listener is trying to hear. The ambient noise around these study areas which are even below the recommended standard of 70 dB(A) therefore possess no threat to the satisfaction of the respondents when considering acoustic parameters such as speech intelligibility, speech perception, speech quality and signal to noise ratio (SNR). With these levels of ambient noise, the student will have no difficulty in learning and social interaction with their colleagues and lecturers. Neither will they have great time loss to disruptive activities during lectures. This agrees with the answers given by 92.2% of the respondents (Table 7.0) that they are generally satisfied with their lecture halls.

Tables 3, 4, 5 show a high rating of the lecture halls by the respondents when considering the acoustic, daylight and air qualities of these lecture halls. It was necessary to correlate the respondents’ responses on these ratings since they were from three different institutions situated at different environments and locations and the respondents acted independently. Rank correlation of the responses showed 97.5% correlation between Akwa Ibom State University and University of Uyo (Table 6.0 a), 99.7% between Akwa Ibom State University and Obong University (Table 6.0 b) and 98.4% correlation between Obong University and University of Uyo (Table 6.0 c). Averagely a very high correlation of 98.5% obtained showed an agreed perception among the respondents.

Chi-square test (Table 8.0) upholds the hypothesis by 92.2% of the respondents that they are generally satisfied with their lecture halls as opposed to the alternative hypothesis by 7.8% of the respondents. It is recommended that the physiological status of these smaller numbers of respondents be tested.

6. Conclusion
It is therefore concluded from this analysis that the lecture halls in the surveyed schools are of high quality when considering their acoustic, daylight and air qualities and the students are generally satisfied.
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Appendix

Questionnaire

Dear Respondent

This questionnaire is intended to be used to accumulate data for research on the environmental quality of lecture halls in our tertiary institutions. Please help us by supplying the information. It will be treated with the strictest confidence.

Researcher

Section A

1. Name of Institution: .................................................................
2. Address of Institution: ...........................................................
3. Date of Interview: ..............................................................
4. Time of Interview: ...............................................................
5. Gender: Male     Female  (tick one)

Section B

1. How do you perceive the acoustic quality of your lecture hall?
   A) Very Good      B) Good      C) Fair      D) Poor      E) Very Poor

2. How do you perceive the daylight quality of your lecture hall?
   A) Very Good      B) Good      C) Fair      D) Poor      E) Very Poor

3. How do you perceive the air quality of your lecture hall?
   A) Very Good      B) Good      C) Fair      D) Poor      E) Very Poor

4. Are you generally satisfied with your lecture halls when considering the qualities mentioned in questions 1, 2, and 3?
   A) YES      B) No  (tick one)

5. If no, state the reasons

........................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................