Identity of the subalpine–subarctic corticioid fungus *Megalocystidium leucoxanthum* (Russulales, Basidiomycota) and six related species
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**Background and aims** – To date, *Megalocystidium leucoxanthum*, a corticioid fungus originally described from the Italian Alps, was considered as a widely distributed species inhabiting numerous angiosperm hosts in the northern hemisphere. Its specimens collected in different geographic areas and from various host species revealed a high morphological variability and thus obfuscated differences from the closely related *M. luridum*. The objective of this study was to re-establish *M. leucoxanthum* based on newly collected and sequenced specimens and clarify the identity of morphologically deviating collections previously ascribed to this species.

**Material and methods** – In total, 87 specimens of *Megalocystidium* spp. (including two historical types) were studied by morphological methods. Their phylogenetic relations were investigated based on DNA sequences (nrITS, nrLSU, and *tef1*) of 29 specimens.

**Key results** – Based on morphological, ecological and DNA data, we showed *M. leucoxanthum* sensu typi is a rare species restricted to *Alnus alnobetula* in subalpine and subarctic zones. Consequently, records from other hosts (mostly representatives of *Salicaceae*) belong to three other species, *M. olens*, *M. perticatum*, and *M. salicis*, described as new to science. The fourth newly introduced species, *M. pellitum*, occurs on the same host tree as *M. leucoxanthum* but it can be separated from the latter due to distinctive morphological traits and DNA sequences. Additionally, *Aleurodiscus diffissus* is combined in *Megalocystidium* and the identity of *M. luridum* is clarified.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Megalocystidium* Jülich is a genus of corticioid fungi typified with *Corticium leucoxanthum* Bres. Initially introduced for three species, *Megalocystidium* currently embraces ten species (Jülich 1978; Ginns & Freeman 1994). As redefined in phylogenetic studies, it belongs to the family Stereaceae of the Russulales and encompasses crust-like fungi with clamped hyphae, long and deeply rooted gloeocystidia, as well as narrowly ellipsoid or cylindrical, smooth, strongly amyloid basidiospores (Larsson et al. 2004; Larsson 2007). The type species was described from the Italian Alps as growing on twigs of *Alnus alnobetula* subsp. *alnobetula* (= *Alnus viridis*) (Bresadola 1898).

Two European representatives of *Megalocystidium*, *M. leucoxanthum* (Bres.) Jülich, and *M. luridum* (Bres.) Jülich have been described in the literature as morphologically differentiated mainly due to the basidiospore length (above
10 μm and under 10 μm, respectively) and host preferences (*Alnus* or *Salix* for *M. leucoxanthum* versus mainly *Fagus* for *M. luridum*) (Bourdot & Galzin 1927; Eriksson & Ryvarden 1975; Bernichia & Gorjón 2010). However, identity of North European specimens collected mostly from wood of *Salicaceae* and having basidiospores of an intermediate size has been interpreted in two different ways. Litschauer (in herb.) was apt to associate *Corticium leucoxanthum* (as *Gloeocystidiellum leucoxanthum* (Bres.) Höhn. & Litsch.) with specimens found on *A. alnobetula* in the subalpine zone of Europe. In turn, he labelled the problematic North European material as *Gloeocystidiellum luridum* (Bres.) Höhn. & Litsch. (see Eriksson & Ryvarden 1975). Litschauer’s viewpoint was accepted by Eriksson (1958). On the other hand, Parmasto (1968) and Eriksson & Ryvarden (1975) assigned the North European collections to *Gloeocystidiellum leucoxanthum* (Bres.) Donk (= *M. leucoxanthum*) although they stressed morphological variability of the latter species. No attempts to verify these hypotheses with the use of phylogenetic methods have so far been performed.

In the present paper, we revise the taxonomy of the *M. leucoxanthum–M. luridum* complex in temperate-boreal Eurasia based on morphological, ecological/geographic, and DNA data. Additionally, we provide new evidence on the taxonomic position of *Aleurodiscus diffissus* (Sacc.) Burt.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Morphological study**

Type material and specimens from herbaria H, S, O, GB, LE, PRM, TAAM, and OULU were studied. Herbarium acronyms are given according to Thiers (continuously updated). All measurements were made from microscopic slides mounted in Cotton Blue and Melzer’s reagent (IKI), using phase contrast and oil immersion lens (Leitz Diaplan microscope, 1250× amplification). The following abbreviations are used in morphological descriptions: L – mean basidiospore length in the specimen measured, W – mean basidiospore width in the specimen measured, Q – mean length/width ratio in the specimen measured, n – number of measurements per specimen measured.

**DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing**

DNA extractions were performed from small fragments of herbarium specimens using the NucleoSpin Plant II Kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH and Co. KG, Düren, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The following primers were used for both amplification and sequencing: the primers ITS1F and ITS4B (Gardes & Bruns 1993) for the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region, primers EF1-983F and EF1-1567R (Rehner & Buckley 2005) for a part of the tef1 region, and primers LROR-LR5 (White et al. 1990; Vilgalys & Hester 1990) for D1-D3 domains of the nrLSU region. Purification of the PCR products was done with the GeneJET PCR Purification Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Lithuania). Sequencing was performed with an ABI model 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). The raw data were edited and assembled in MEGA v.7 (Kumar et al. 2016).

**Phylogenetic analyses**

For this study, 30 nrITS, six nrLSU, and 24 tef1 sequences were generated. Additionally, four nrITS (containing ITS1, 5.8S, and ITS2 regions) and three nrLSU sequences of *Megalocystidium* spp. were retrieved from GenBank (table 1). We constructed four datasets: nrITS + nrLSU, nrITS, nrITS + tef1, and tef1 datasets. Sequences were aligned with the MAFFT v.7 web tool (http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server) using the Q-INS-i option and adjusted manually.

Phylogenetic reconstructions were performed with Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) analyses. Before the analyses, the best-fit substitution model for each alignment was estimated based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) using the FindModel web server (http://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/findmodel/findmodel.html). The GTR model was chosen for all datasets. Maximum likelihood analysis was run on RAxML servers, v.0.9.0 (Kozlov et al. 2019) with 1000 rapid bootstrap replicates. Bayesian analyses was performed with MrBayes v.3.2.5 software (Ronquist et al. 2012), for two independent runs, each with 5 million generations, under described model, and four chains with sampling every 100 generations. To check for convergence of the MCMC analyses and to get estimates of the posterior distribution of parameter values, Tracer v.1.6 was used (Rambaut et al. 2014). We accepted the result where the ESS (Effective Sample Size) was 9782 for nrITS dataset, 11435 for nrITS + nrLSU dataset, 11303 for nrITS + tef1 dataset, and 14736 for tef1 dataset, and the PSRF (Potential Scale Reduction Factor) was close to 1.

Newly generated sequences have been deposited in GenBank with corresponding accession numbers (table 1). Sequenced specimens are marked in the Taxonomic Treatment by an asterisk.

**RESULTS**

**Phylogeny**

Four datasets were prepared and analyzed for the present study: (1) nrITS + nrLSU dataset for the family Stereaceae (Russulales), (2) nrITS only dataset, (3) tef1 only dataset, and (4) combined nrITS + tef1 dataset for defining species limits in the *Megalocystidium leucoxanthum* complex.

(1) nrITS + nrLSU dataset for ten genera accepted in Stereaceae (as outlined by Larsson et al. 2004 and Larsson 2007). The final alignment contained 1696 characters (including gaps). The overall topologies of the ML and BI trees were identical and recovered *Aleurodiscus diffissus* (Sacc.) Burt as a member of a strongly supported *Megalocystidium* clade (BS = 99, PP = 1) (fig. 1). The latter species was first introduced as *Peniophora diffissa* (Saccardo 1889) and then moved to *Aleurodiscus* due to the presence of acanthophyses, sterile hymenial cells with characteristic thorn-like outgrowths. However, this feature alone is not enough for justifying generic limits in Stereaceae because acanthophyses have been detected in almost all other genera of this family. Otherwise, *A. diffissus* is microscopically highly similar to *M. leucoxanthum* and *M. luridum*, and therefore we combine it in *Megalocystidium*. Pl. Ecol. Evol. 154 (2), 2021
### Table 1 – Sequences of *Megalocystidium* spp. used in the present study.

| Species       | Specimen (herbarium) / culture | Origin (ISO 3166 code) | Host                          | GenBank numbers | Source          |
|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| *M. diffissum*| Spirin 4244 (H)                | RU-KHA                 | *Rhododendron dauricum*       | MT477147        | this study      |
| *M. diffissum*| Spirin 5603 (H)                | RU-KHA                 | *R. dauricum*                 | – MT359925     | this study      |
| *M. leucoxanthum*| Boidin 1088 / CBS 269.54 | FR                     | *Alnus alnobetula* subsp. *alnobetula* | MH868866       | this study      |
| *M. leucoxanthum*| Kotiranta 13164 (H)          | IT                     | *A. alnobetula* subsp. *alnobetula* | – MT359928     | this study      |
| *M. leucoxanthum*| Spirin 7601 (H)               | RU-KHA                 | *A. alnobetula* subsp. *fruticosa* | – MT359923      | this study      |
| *M. leucoxanthum*| Kotiranta 26429a (H)          | RU-KRA                 | *Alnus hirsuta*               | – MT359931      | this study      |
| *M. leucoxanthum*| Spirin 13963 (H)              | SI                     | *A. alnobetula* subsp. *alnobetula* | – MW433691      | this study      |
| *M. luridum*  | Boidin 771 / CBS 270.54       | FR                     | –                             | MHH868867       | Vu et al. (2019) |
| *M. luridum*  | Marstad 16/15 (O)             | NO                     | hardwood                      | MT477150        | this study      |
| *M. luridum*  | Marstad 71/14 (O)             | NO                     | hardwood                      | MT477149        | this study      |
| *M. luridum*  | Stalpers 161 / CBS 106.71     | DE                     | *Quercus sp.*                 | – MH860024      | Vu et al. (2019) |
| *M. olens*    | Stalpers 1155 / CBS 454.86    | BE                     | *Alnus / Corylus*             | MH873677        | this study      |
| *M. olens*    | Miettinen 14695.4 (H)         | FI                     | *Salix caprea*                | – MT675934      | this study      |
| *M. olens*    | Spirin 12456 (O)              | NO                     | *Populus tremula*             | MT477152        | this study      |
| *M. olens*    | Spirin 4701 (H)               | RU-NIZ                 | *S. caprea*                   | – MT359921      | this study      |
| *M. olens*    | Spirin 10652 (H)              | RU-NIZ                 | *S. caprea*                   | – MT359933      | this study      |
| *M. olens*    | Spirin 11299 (H)              | RU-NIZ                 | *Betula pendula*              | – MT675936      | this study      |
| *M. olens*    | Spirin 11976 (H)              | RU-NIZ                 | *Acer platanoides*            | – MT359935      | this study      |
| *M. olens*    | Volobuev s.n. (LE286917)      | RU-ORL                 | *A. platanoïdes*              | – MT359930      | this study      |
| *M. pellitum* | Kotiranta 26203 (H)           | RU-KRA                 | *A. hirsuta*                  | – MT359927      | this study      |
| *M. pellitum* | Spirin 7574 (H)               | RU-KHA                 | *A. alnobetula* subsp. *fruticosa* | – MT359926      | this study      |
| *M. perticatum*| Kotiranta 26667 (H)           | RU-TY                  | *Salix sp.*                   | – MT359924      | this study      |
| *M. perticatum*| Spirin 5474 (H)               | RU-KHA                 | *Salix schwerinii*            | – MT359920      | this study      |
| *M. perticatum*| Spirin 11880 (H)              | RU-LEN                 | *S. caprea*                   | – MT359934      | this study      |
| *M. salicis*  | Kaja 1/03 (OULU)              | FI                     | *Salix sp.*                   | – MT675935      | this study      |
| *M. salicis*  | Gabrielsen 10.VIII.2018 (O)   | NO                     | *Betula tortuosa*             | MT477148        | this study      |
| *M. salicis*  | Ryvarden 50314 (O)            | NO                     | *Salix nigricans*             | – MT444030      | this study      |
| *M. salicis*  | Spirin 12098 (O)              | NO                     | *Salix myrsinifolia*          | – MT359929      | this study      |
| *M. salicis*  | Spirin 10093 (H)              | RU-LEN                 | *P. tremula*                  | – MT359932      | this study      |
| *M. salicis*  | Spirin 11883 (H)              | RU-LEN                 | *S. caprea*                   | – MT359938      | this study      |
| *M. salicis*  | Spirin 11933 (H)              | RU-LEN                 | *S. caprea*                   | – MT359937      | this study      |
| *M. salicis*  | Spirin 6512 (H)               | RU-PRI                 | *Salix cardiophylla*          | – MT359922      | this study      |
| *M. salicis*  | Westerberg 6.XI.2017 (GB)     | SE                     | *Salix sp.*                   | MT477151        | this study      |
**Figure 1** – Combined phylogenetic nrITS + nrLSU topology from Bayesian Inference showing main lineages within Stereaceae. GenBank accession numbers (or collection numbers of newly sequenced specimens) are given for all sequences. Support values (PP/BS) are given above the branches. Scale bar shows expected changes per site.

**Figure 2** – Phylogenetic nrITS topology from Bayesian Inference showing main lineages within the *Megalocystidium* clade. Collection numbers of newly sequenced specimens are given for all sequences. Support values (PP/BS) are given above the branches. Scale bar shows expected changes per site.
Figure 3 – Phylogenetic tef1 topology from Bayesian Inference showing main lineages within the Megalocystidium clade. Collection numbers of newly sequenced specimens are given for all sequences. Support values (PP/BS) are given above the branches. Scale bar shows expected changes per site.

Figure 4 – Combined phylogenetic nrITS + tef1 topology from Bayesian Inference showing main lineages within the Megalocystidium clade. Collection numbers of newly sequenced specimens are given for all sequences. Support values (PP/BS) are given above the branches. Scale bar shows expected changes per site.
(2) ITS only dataset for *Megalocystidium* spp. The final alignment contained 776 characters (including gaps). The overall topologies of the ML and BI trees clearly divided all included specimens in two strongly supported groups, i.e. *Megalocystidium diffissum* clade and *M. leucoxanthum–luridum* clade. Within the latter one, two strongly supported lineages corresponding to *M. leucoxanthum* s.s. (i.e. containing *Alnus*-dwelling specimens from subalpine or subarctic areas) and *M. luridum* were detected (fig. 2). However, the rest of ITS sequences derived mainly from specimens collected from *Salix* spp. do not group into well-supported clades. Morphological and genetic variability of this lineage prompted us to use one more marker (tefl).

(3) tefl only dataset for *Megalocystidium* spp. The final alignment contained 595 characters (including gaps). The overall topologies of the ML and BI trees were identical. They split the aforementioned *M. leucoxanthum–luridum* clade into six strongly supported lineages that we taxonomically interpret as separate species (fig. 3). This interpretation is supported by morphological (both macroscopic and anatomical), ecological (host specificity) and, to some degree, geographic data as discussed below. Four of these species (*M. olens*, *M. pellitum*, *M. perticatum* and *M. salicis*) are described as new to science.

(4) combined nrITS + tefl dataset for *Megalocystidium* spp. The final alignment contained 1371 characters (including gaps). The overall topologies of the ML and BI trees were identical and they support our conclusions based on tefl only dataset (fig. 4).

**Morphology, ecology, and geography**

In the *M. leucoxanthum–luridum* complex, only one species, *M. luridum*, can without doubt be identified based on the single character, i.e. short basidiospores. Recognizing the other five species requires exact information about host tree species and meticulous morphological study. Two species presented below, *M. leucoxanthum* s.s. and *M. pellitum*, occur exclusively on wood of *A. alnobetula* in mountains and subarctic areas of Eurasia and subarctic zone of North-East Asia and North America – only exceptions in our material are one record for each species from *A. hirsuta* in Siberia. They possess rather thick basidiocarps with a well-developed subiculum, and long basidiospores when compared with other species in the complex. The three remaining species prefer to grow on wood of *Salicaceae*. Of them, *M. olens* produces insignificant, soft basidiocarps with a few gloeocystidia in the hymenial layer, and it certainly has a southern distribution (supplementary file 1). In turn, *M. perticatum* and *M. salicis* occur mainly in areas with cooler climate; they have more prominent and compact fructifications with easily detectable gloeocystidia, and they can be separated due to different basidiospore length.

**TAXONOMIC TREATMENT**

*Megalocystidium diffissum* (Sacc.) K.H.Lars. & Spirin, **comb. nov.** (figs 5–7) – *Pieniophora diffissa* Sacc., *Bulletin de la Société Royale de Botanique de Belgique* 28: 79. 1889 (Saccardo 1889: 79) – Type: Table 4, figure 2 in *Bulletin de la Société Royale de Botanique de Belgique* 28, 1889; **designated here** (MBT395620).

**MB838476**

*Aleurodiscus sajanensis* Murashk. ex Pilát (Pilát 1931: 328) – Type: RUSSIA • ‘Sayany’; *Rhododendron dauricum*; 11 Jul. 1927; Murashkinsky; holotype: PRM[PRM 650773].

**Description** – Basidiocarps perennial, resupinate, pulvinate-frutulate, gregarious, 1.5–4 mm in diam., 0.2–0.5 mm thick, tough. Margin abruptly delimited from the substrate, elevated, fuscous to black. Hymenial surface first cream-coloured or beige, later ochraceous to brownish, in senescent basidiocarps dark brown, in young basidiocarps more or less even, in older ones irregularly cracking and tuberculate. Hyphal structure monomitic; hyphae clamped, thin- to moderately thick-walled, 3.5–5 μm in diam., slightly dextrinoid. Gloeocystidia clavate to moniform, thin- to distinctly thick-walled, 56–95 × 6–9.5 μm. Acanthophyses numerous, clavate, subulate to bottle-shaped, in upper part with abundant acute protuberances, thin- to moderately thick-walled, 43–68 × 6–10.5 μm (n = 10/1), slightly dextrinoid. Basidia clavate, 75–100 × 7–10 μm. Basidiocarps hyaline, thin-walled, cylindrical, (12.3–)12.8–18.2(–18.4) × (4.5–)4.7–7.0(–7.3) μm (n = 90/3), L = 14.60–15.06, W = 5.56–5.68, Q = 2.64–2.68.

**Distribution** – Asia (Siberia, Russian Far East) (supplementary file 1).

**Habitat and ecology** – Dead, corticated, usually still attached branches of *Rhododendron dauricum*.

**Additional material examined** – RUSSIA – Altai Republic • Shebalino Dist., Ust-Sema; 13 Aug. 1985; Alanko 52462; H. – Khabarovsk Region • Khabarovsk Dist., Malyi Kukachen; 18–19 Aug. 2012; Spirin 5368, 5377, 5417; H • Khabarovsk Dist., Levyi Ulun; 22–25 Aug. 2012; Spirin 5493, 5603*, 5612; H • Khabarovsk Dist., Ulun; 26 Aug. 2012; Spirin 5677; H • Komsomolsk Dist., Boktor; 18 Aug. 2013; Spirin 6136; H • Solnechnyi Dist., Suluk-Makit; 19–21 Aug. 2011; Spirin 4220, 4244*; H • Solnechnyi Dist., Sonakh; 15 Aug. 2014; Spirin 7300; H • Ulchsky Dist., Sofiisk; 7 Aug. 1982; Parmasto; H • ex TAAM 104672. – Primorie Region • Krasnoarmeiskii Dist., Valinku; 26 Aug. 2013; Spirin 6390; H. All records on *Rhododendron dauricum*.

**Notes** – Saccardo (1889) described this species as *Pieniophora diffissa* based on a single specimen collected by Nikolai Martiyanov in Siberia, seemingly in the present-day Krasnoyarsk Region. The collection was evidently sterile but its identity is doubtless due to the peculiar basidiocarp shape, presence of acanthophyses, and the specific host (*Rhododendron dauricum*). We could not trace any authentic material of *P. diffissa* in public herbaria, and therefore we select Saccardo’s illustration as a lectotype of this species. The holotype of *Aleurodiscus sajanensis* (Pilát 1931) was collected in the same geographic area as *P. diffissa* and agrees perfectly with the protologue of the latter species and our newly collected specimens.

*Megalocystidium diffissum* is one of the most common species inhabiting dead but still attached branches of *Rhododendron dauricum* in the mountain regions of East Asia. Its basidiocarps die soon after branches are detached.
Figure 5 – Basidiocarps of Megalocystidium spp. A. Megalocystidium diffissum (with Hymenochaete sphaericola) (Spirin 5493). B. Megalocystidium leucoxanthum (Spirin 12637). C. Megalocystidium olens (Spirin 12976), continuous basidiocarp. D. Megalocystidium olens (Spirin 12976), frustulate basidiocarps. E. Megalocystidium pellitum (holotype). F. Megalocystidium perticatum (holotype). G. Megalocystidium salicis (Spirin 11933), mature basidiocarp. H. Megalocystidium salicis (Spirin 12098), senescent basidiocarp with strongly tuberculate hymenial surface.
It usually grows together with Hymenochema sphaerica. Lloyd, another primary decomposer of the Rhododendron wood. No verified records of M. diffusum exist from other hosts, and the identity of the North American collections so labelled deserves a closer study.

**Megalocystidium leucoxanthum** (Bres.) Jülich (Jülich 1978: 140) (figs 5–7) – Type: ITALY – Trentino – Alto Adige • Pejo; ‘Alnus viridis’ [=Alnus alnobetula subsp. alnobetula]; Aug. 1892; Bresadola s.n.; lectotype: S [S F367903], designated here; isolecotype: GB (MBT395621).

**Description** – Basidiocarps annual or persistent, resupinate, first orbicular or frustulate, then fusing and producing crustaceous fructifications, 0.5–10 cm in widest dimension, 0.3–1 mm thick, leathery. Margin abruptly delimited from the substrate, adnate, 0.5–1 mm wide, first white, in older basidiocarps concolorous with the hymenial surface. Subiculum white, leathery, 0.1–0.2 mm thick. Hymenial surface cream-coloured to beige or ochraceous-brownish, often distinctly tuberculate, irregularly cracking with age. Smell weak, anise-like, or absent. Hyphal structure monomitic; hyphae clamped, 4–5(–5.5) μm in diam., thin-to moderately thick-walled in subhymenium, thick-walled (wall up to 2 μm thick) in subiculum. Glowoecystidia usually moniliform, rarely clavate, thin- to moderately thick-walled, 57–112 × 7–14.5(–18) μm. Hyphidia simple or bi- to rarely clavate, sometimes moniliform, thin- to slightly thick-walled throughout. No specific smell. Hyphal structure monomitic; hyphae clamped, 2–3.5 μm in diam., thin- to moderately thick-walled in subhymenium, thick-walled (wall up to 2 μm thick) in subiculum. Glowoecystidia usually moniliform, rarely clavate, thin- to clearly thick-walled, 60–120 × 7–8.5 μm. Hyphidia simple or bi- or trifurcate, rare, embedded in or slightly projecting above the hymenial layer, 4–5.5 μm in diam. Basidia clavate, 45–68 × 8–11 μm. Basidiospores hyaline, thin-walled, cylindrical to narrowly ellipsoid, (12.8–)13.1–18.1(–18.2) × (4.7–)4.9–7.2(–8.0) μm (n = 120/4), L = 14.54–16.81, W = 5.66–6.51, Q = 2.47–2.61.

**Distribution** – Europe (Austria, France, Italy, Liechtenstein, Switzerland, Sweden, and the Netherlands) (supplementary file 1).

**Habitat and ecology** – Dead, corticated, usually still attached branches of Alnus alnobetula (once found on Alnus hirsuta but this record comes from an area where A. alnobetula is present, too). We interpret this finding as an accidental host change. Macroscopically, M. leucoxanthum is indistinguishable from M. pelletum but the latter species can be easily recognized due to clearly larger basidia and wider basidiospores. Microscopically, M. leucoxanthum is almost identical to M. perticatum. However, M. perticatum produces thinner basidiocarps with an indistinct subicular layer and it occurs on Salix spp.

**Notes** – According to our results, M. leucoxanthum is a species inhabiting dead, often still attached branches of A. alnobetula in subalpine and subarctic zones. It was found once on A. hirsuta but this record comes from an area where A. alnobetula is present. We interpret this finding as an accidental host change. Macroscopically, M. leucoxanthum is indistinguishable from M. pelletum but the latter species can be easily recognized due to clearly larger basidia and wider basidiospores. Microscopically, M. leucoxanthum is almost identical to M. perticatum. However, M. perticatum produces thinner basidiocarps with an indistinct subicular layer and it occurs on Salix spp.

Eriksson (in Eriksson & Ryvarden 1975) studied and depicted original material from the Bresadola collection at S but did not give any details on the specimen. From a fragment preserved in GB we know that the specimen Eriksson studied and called type specimen is the one we here select as lectotype. Ginns & Freeman (1994) referred to another specimen from herb S as the type but a specimen with the label information they reported (“Italy: Alpes, viii.1894, G. Bresadola s.n.”) does not exist in S, neither in FH where an isotype should be stored according to them. It is not possible to decide what material Ginns & Freeman studied and we therefore regard their selected type as lost.

**Megalocystidium luridum** (Bres.) Jülich (Jülich 1978: 140) – Type: ITALY – Tuscany • Florence; hardwood; 1892; Martelli s.n.; lectotype: S [S F119263], designated by Burt (1926: 272).

**Description** – Basidiocarps annual or persistent, resupinate, crustaceous, up to 10 cm in widest dimension, 0.1–0.5 mm thick, compact. Margin abruptly delimited from the substrate, adnate, up to 0.5 mm wide, first white, in older basidiocarps concolorous with hymenial surface. Hymenial surface first cream-coloured to beige, smooth, then pale ochraceous to light brownish, tuberculate, sometimes irregularly cracking. No specific smell. Hyphal structure monomitic; hyphae clamped, 2–3.5 μm in diam., thin- to moderately thick-walled throughout. Glowoecystidia usually gradually tapering, rarely clavate, sometimes moniliform, thin- to slightly thick-walled, 57–112 × 7–14.5(–18) μm. Hyphidia simple or bi- or trifurcate, rare, embedded in or slightly projecting above hymenial layer, 1.5–2 μm in diam. Basidia clavate, 35–57 ×
Figure 6 – Hymenial cells (acanthophyses, gloeocystidia, and basidia) of *Megalocystidium* spp. A. *Megalocystidium diffissum* (Spirin 5603). B. *Megalocystidium salicis* (holotype). C. *Megalocystidium perticatum* (holotype). D. *Megalocystidium pellitum* (holotype). E. *Megalocystidium olens* (holotype). F. *Megalocystidium leucoxanthum* (Spirin 7601). Scale bar = 10 μm.
Megalocystidium olens Spirin & Volobuev, sp. nov. (figs 5–7) – Type: RUSSIA – Nizhny Novgorod Region • Lukoyanov Dist., Razino; 54.9105°N, 44.2896°E; Betula pendula (fallen corticated branch); 31 Jul. 2017; Spirin 11299*; holotype: H[H7009380].

MB83471

Diagnosis – Differs from *M. leucoxanthum* in having small-sized, soft basidiocarps, rare gloecocystidia and on average shorter basidiospores 12–16 × 4.5–7.5 μm.

Description – Basidiocarps annual or persistent, resinuate, first orbicular or frustulate, gregarious, a few mm in diam., then fusing to a continuous basidiocarp, membranous, a few cm in widest dimension, 0.3–1 mm thick, soft. Margin adnate, up to 0.5 mm wide, first white, arachnoid, in older basidiocarps compact and more or less concolorous with hymenial surface. Hymenial surface first cream-coloured to beige, smooth, then ochraceous to light reddish-brownish, smooth or indistinctly tuberculate, sometimes irregularly cracking. A distinct anise-like smell always present. Hyphal structure monomitic, clamps present; subicural hyphae loosely interwoven, with thickened walls, 3–5 μm in diam., subhymenial hyphae thin- or only slightly thick-walled, rather loosely arranged and well visible, 2.5–4.5 μm in diam. Gloecocystidia rather rare, normally embedded in the hymenial layer and thus poorly visible, gradually tapering or clavate, some moniliform, occasionally pleural, thin- to slightly thick-walled, 44–119 × (6.5–)7.0–13.5(–14) μm. Hyphidia simple or bi- to trifurcate, rare, embedded in the hymenial layer, 2–4 μm in diam. Basidia clavate, 35–79 × 7.5–11 μm. Basidiospores hyaline, thin-walled, cylindrical, (11.1–)11.8–15.8(–15.9) × (4.2–)4.4–7.7(–8.0) μm (n = 120/4), L = 12.81–14.59, W = 5.32–6.48, Q = 2.11–2.64.

Distribution – Europe (Belgium, Finland, Norway, Russia) (supplementary file 1).

Habitat and ecology – Dead, still attached or just fallen branches of various deciduous trees in temperate–hemiboreal forests.

Etymology – *olens* (Latin, adj.) – smelling, in reference to a distinct anise-like odour of basidiocarps.

Additional material examined – FINLAND – Uusimaa • Helsinki, Veräjämäki; Salix caprea; 5 Sep. 2011; Miettinen 14695.4*; H.

NORWAY – Akershus • Asker, Esvika; Populus tremula; 28 Sep. 2018; Spirin 12456*; O.

RUSSIA – Nizhny Novgorod Region • Lukoyanov Dist., Razino; Acer platanoides; 22 Jul. 2018; Spirin 11976*; H. • Lukoyanov Dist., Sanki; S. caprea; 14 Jul. 2012; Spirin 4701*; H. – Oryol Reg. • Novoderevenkovsky Dist., Mokhovoe; A. platanoides; 24 Jul. 2011; Volobuev s.n.; LE[LE286917]* – Znamenskoe Dist., Elkena; Corylus avellana; 13 Jul. 2011; Volobuev s.n.; LE[LE298532].

Notes – *Megalocystidium olens* produces small-sized, orbicular, gregarious basidiocarps later fusing but rarely exceeding one cm in widest dimension. Insignificant, soft, pale-coloured fructifications with a strong pleasant smell allow an identification already in the field. The species often occurs on thin, corticated, still attached branches of various deciduous trees (*Acer, Betula, Corylus, Populus, Salix*), and it seems to be restricted to nemoral and hemiboreal forests of Europe.

Megalocystidium pellitum Spirin & Kotiranta, sp. nov. (figs 5–7) – Type: RUSSIA – Khabarovsk Region • Verkhnebureinskii Dist., Dubilkan Nat. Res.; 50.5173°N, 133.2551°E; Alnus alnobetula subsp. fruticosa (dead still attached branch); 19 Aug. 2014; Spirin 7574*; holotype: H[H7009381]; isotype: LE.

MB83473

Diagnosis – Differs from *M. leucoxanthum* in having longer and wider basidiocarps 12–21 × 8–12 μm.

Description – Basidiocarps persistent, resinuate, crustaceous, 5–40 mm in diam., 0.5–1 mm thick, leathery. Margin abruptly delimited from the substrate, adnate, 0.5–1 mm wide, first white, in older basidiocarps brownish to almost black. Subiculum white, leathery, 0.1–0.3 mm thick. Hymenial surface cream-coloured to beige or pale ochraceous, smooth or tuberculate, irregularly cracking with age. Young basidiocarps with faint pleasant smell, disappearing in herbarium specimens. Hyphal structure monomitic; hyphae clamped, 4–5(–5.5) μm in diam., thinly to moderately thick-walled in subhymenium, thick-walled (wall up to 2 μm thick) in subiculum. Gloecocystidia usually moniliform, rarely clavate, thin- to clearly thick-walled, 60–120 × 7–8.5 μm. Hyphidia simple or bi- to trifurcate, rare, embedded in or slightly projecting above hymenial layer, 4–5.5 μm in diam. Basidia clavate, 59–104 × 11–16.5 μm. Basidiospores hyaline, thin-walled, ellipsoid to cylindrical, (10.1–)12.3–20.8(–22.9) × (7.7–)8.0–11.8(–12.2) μm (n = 120/4), L = 14.82–18.59, W = 8.78–10.28, Q = 1.58–1.83.

Distribution – Asia (Siberia, Russian Far East), North America (Canada) (supplementary file 1).
Habitat and ecology – Dead, corticated, usually still attached branches of *A. alnobetula* (once found on *A. hirsuta*) in subalpine or subarctic communities.

Etymology – *pellitus* (Latin, adj.) – leathery; referring to the basidiocarp consistency.

Additional material examined – CANADA – Quebec • Nunavik, Poste-de-la-Baleine; *A. alnobetula* subsp. *crispa*; 23 Jul. 1982; Niemelä 2607; H.

RUSSIA – Khabarovsk Region • Khabarovsk Dist., Levyi Ulun; *A. alnobetula* subsp. *fruticosa*; 23 Aug. 2012; Spirin 5545, 5552; H. – Krasnoyarsk Region • Evenk Autonomous Dist., Podkamennaya Tunguska; *A. hirsuta*; 7 Aug. 2013; Kotiranta 26023*; H.

Notes – *Megalocystidium pellitum* is macroscopically identical to *M. leucoxanthum* and has the same host preferences (growing on *A. alnobetula* and exceptionally also on *A. hirsuta*). However, it is a much rarer species distributed in Asia and North America. Microscopically, *M. pellitum* can be easily identified due to the large basidia and basidiospores.

*Megalocystidium perticatum* Spirin & Volobuev, sp. nov. (figs 5–7) – Type: RUSSIA – Leningrad Region • Boksitogorsk Dist., Goryun; 59.251°N, 34.933°E; *Salix caprea* (dead corticated stem); 9 May 2018; Spirin 11880*; holotype: H[H7009383]; isotype: LE.

MB838474

Diagnosis – Differs from *M. leucoxanthum* in having smooth, thin, tough basidiocarps and different host preferences (occurring on *Salicaceae*).

Description – Basidiocarps persistent, resupinate, crustaceous, a few cm in widest dimension, 0.1–0.3 mm thick, tough. Margin adnate, up to 0.5 mm wide, first whitish, pruinose, in older basidiocarps compact and more or less concolorous with hymenial surface. Hymenial surface first cream-coloured to beige, then pale ochraceous, smooth or indistinctly tuberculate, sometimes irregularly cracking. Smell anise-like, often faint, or absent. Hyphal structure monomitic, clamps present; subicular hyphae tightly interwoven or subparallel, with thickened walls, 3–5 μm in diam., subhymenial hyphae thin- or slightly thick-walled,

---

**Figure 7** – Basidiospores of *Megalocystidium* spp. A. *Megalocystidium diffissum* (Spirin 4244). B. *Megalocystidium leucoxanthum* (Spirin 7601). C. *Megalocystidium olenis* (holotype). D. *Megalocystidium pellitum* (holotype). E. *Megalocystidium perticatum* (holotype). F. *Megalocystidium salicis* (holotype). Scale bar = 10 μm.
rather tightly arranged, 2.5–4 μm in diam. Gloeocystidia abundant, 
edded in or slightly projecting above 
hymenial layer, moniliform, with 2–3 clear constrictions at 
the apical part, more rarely tapering-fusiform, predominantly 
thin-walled, 65–150 × (7.0–)7.5–12(–14) μm. Hyphidia 
simple or rarely bi- to trifurcate, rare, embedded in 
hymenial layer, 2–3 μm in diam. Basidia clavate, 55–75 × 7–12 μm. 
Basidiocarps hyaline, thin-walled, cylindrical, occasionally 
fusiform, (11.0–)11.2–19.2(–19.8) × (4.2–)4.3–7.6(–7.8) μm 
(n = 150/5), L = 13.72–15.70, W = 5.38–6.31, Q = 2.37–2.83.

**Distribution** – Europe (Finland, Russia), Asia (Siberia, 
Russian Far East) (supplementary file 1).

**Habitat and ecology** – Dead, corticated stems or still 
attached branches of *Salix* spp. (once found on *P. tremula*) in 
hemiboreal – boreal forests.

**Etymology** – *perticatum* (Latin, adj.) – growing on sticks.

**Additional material examined** – FINLAND – Kainuu 
• Suomussalmi, Juntusranta; *P. tremula*; 11 Nov. 1996; 
Möttönen s.n.; [H][H605539].

RUSSIA – Khabarovsk Dist. • Khabarovsk Dist., Levyi 
Ulun; *Salix schwerinii*; 21 Aug. 2012; Spirin 5474*; H. – 
Leningrad Region • Boksisogorski Dist., Radogosch; *Salix 
cinerea*; 20 Aug. 2018; Spirin 12198; H. – Tuva • Erzin; 
*Salix* sp.; 14 Aug. 2014; Kotiranta 26667*; H.

**Notes** – *Megalocystidium perticatum* is morphologically 
most similar to *M. salicis*, and it differs from the latter species 
mainly by thinner basidiocarps with smooth hymenial surface 
and longer basidiospores. However, senescent individuals of 
*M. salicis* may produce basidiocarps with an average length 
almost approaching the lowermost limit of the average 
length in *M. perticatum*. In these critical cases, attention 
should be paid to macroscopic traits. The old basidiocarps of 
*M. salicis*, with basidiocarps longer than average, are 
1–2 mm thick, clearly tuberulate, strongly rimose, and with 
reddish or reddish-brownish colours. In turn, *M. perticatum* 
(even when old) has clearly thinner and paler, almost smooth 
reddish or reddish-brownish colours. In turn, 
*M. perticatum* has clearly thinner and paler, almost smooth 
reddish or reddish-brownish colours. In turn, 
*M. perticatum* has clearly thinner and paler, almost smooth 
reddish or reddish-brownish colours. In turn, 
*M. perticatum* has clearly thinner and paler, almost smooth 
reddish or reddish-brownish colours. In turn,
P. tremula; 30 May 2000; Spirin s.n.; LE[LE213678] • Vetluga Dist., Vetluga; Salix sp.; 19 Aug. 1999; Spirin s.n.; LE[LE222984]. – Primoriec Region • Krasnoarmeiskii Dist., Valinku; Salix cardiophylla; 29 Aug. 2013; Spirin 6512*; H. – Sverdlovsk Region • Olenii Ruchii Nat. Park, Bazhukovo; P. tremula; 20 Aug. 2002; Kotiranta 19739; H.

SWEDEN – Norrbotten • Luleå, Karlshäll; Salix sp.; 6 Nov. 2017; Westerberg s.n.; GB.

Notes – Megalocystidium salicis is one of three representatives of the genus occurring on wood of Salicaceae in temperate – boreal Eurasia (with a few records on host species from other families). Of them, M. olens has much softer and smaller basidiocarps than M. salicis, and it bears rare and often poorly differentiated gloeocystidia. Differences of M. salicis from M. perticatum are listed under the latter species. Conferticium ravum (Burt) Ginns & G.W.Freeman (= Gloeocystidium karstenii Donk) (Russulales) is macroscopically almost identical to M. salicis and it occurs mainly on dead branches of Populus and Salix. However, it can be easily separated from M. salicis by its clampsless hyphae and much smaller, ornamented basidiospores (see Eriksson & Ryvarden 1975, under G. karstenii).

CONCLUSION

In the present paper, we re-described M. leucoxanthum as a species restricted to a particular host tree, A. alnobetula, and introduced six other taxa, four of them as new to science. These results were based on newly collected material from Eurasia studied by morphological and molecular methods. Using tef1 region was crucial for the species delimitation in this group, and ecological and geographic data provided additional arguments for our species-level taxonomic conclusions. At the generic level, Megalocystidium was emended to encompass one species with acanthophyses, M. diffissum.

However, the species diversity in M. leucoxanthum complex would be higher if the North American specimens are taken into account. We tentatively named a few Canadian collections available to us as belonging either to M. leucoxanthum s.s. or to M. pellitum. These specimens show no essential morphological differences from the Eurasian material so labelled but unfortunately our attempts to sequence them failed. Ginns & Lefebvre (1993) and Ginns & Freeman (1994) reported M. leucoxanthum from many geographic regions of North America. In these publications, the host list included not only various deciduous trees but also conifers (Picea engelmannii). This is a clear indication that the M. leucoxanthum complex in North America requires a closer look.

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE

Supplementary file 1 – Geographic distribution of Megalocystidium spp.
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