Identification and prevalence of *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* infection in *Haemaphysalis longicornis* ticks from Korea by PCR, sequencing and phylogenetic analysis based on 16S rRNA gene
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Genomic DNAs extracted from 1,288 *Haemaphysalis longicornis* ticks collected from grass vegetation and various animals from nine provinces of Korea were subjected to screening by genus-specific (*Ehrlichia* spp. or *Anaplasma* spp.) real-time TaqMan PCR and species-specific (*E. chaffeensis*) nested-PCR based on amplification of 16S rRNA gene fragments. In all, 611 (47.4%) ticks tested positive for genus-specific amplification of 116 bp fragment of 16S rRNA of *Ehrlichia* spp. or *Anaplasma* spp. Subsequently, 396 bp *E. chaffeensis*-specific fragment of 16S rRNA was amplified from 4.2% (26/611) tick samples. The comparison of the nucleotide sequence of 16S rRNA gene from one tick (EC-PGHL, GeneBank accession number AY35042) with the sequences of 20 *E. chaffeensis* strains available in the database showed that EC-PGHL was 100% identical or similar to the Arkansas (AF416764), the Sapulpa (U60476) and the 91HE17 (U23503) strains. The phylogenetic analysis also revealed that the *E. chaffeensis* EC-PGHL formed a single cluster with the above strains. This is the first study to report molecular detection and phylogenetic analysis of *E. chaffeensis* in *H. longicornis* ticks in Korea. The implicit significance of *E. chaffeensis* infection in *H. longicornis* ticks in Korea is discussed.
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Introduction

*Ehrlichia* species are strict intracellular gram-negative bacteria that parasitize monocytes, granulocytes or platelets and are responsible for various vector-borne diseases in animals as well as human in different parts of the world [8,9,24]. Human monocytic ehrlichiosis (HME) caused by *Ehrlichia chaffeensis*, is an emerging tick-borne infectious disease [12,22,23] generally characterized by clinical signs of fever (100%), rash (67%), myalgia (58%), vomiting, diarrhea, and headache (25%) [2,12,26]. Diagnosis of HME is still largely based on the combined evaluation of clinical signs, laboratory and epidemiological data. Since most physicians are unfamiliar with HME, this disease is often misdiagnosed and many cases develop into more serious conditions or become carriers following improper treatment with tetracyclines or doxycyclin [2,26]. Following the first report of HME in 1987 [20], the disease has been reported in more than 30 states in the USA [29], Europe [3,19,21,25], Africa [28], the Middle East [5,17], and Asia [6,7,15,16,27]. The recent emergence and increased recognition of diseases caused by tick transmitted *Ehrlichiae* has stimulated interest of researchers in the molecular biology of these obligate intracellular bacteria [4,11,13]. In 2002, we reported the serological evidence of *E. chaffeensis* infection in human patients in Korea [14]. In addition, in our earlier studies, *E. chaffeensis* was detected from *Ixodes persulcatus* tick [18]. Majority of *Haemaphysalis longicornis* ticks were also found infected with *Ehrlichia* spp. but the species-specific identification was not attempted [18]. Recently, we have detected *E. chaffeensis* infection in horse, cattle and cats in Korea [unpublished data]. *H. longicornis* is one of the predominant tick vector prevalent in Korea. Due to the increasing reports of prevalence of *E. chaffeensis* infection in ticks and human, the present study was aimed at investigating the epidemiology of *E. chaffeensis* infection in *H. longicornis* ticks collected from different geographic regions of Korea.

Material and Methods

Tick collection and DNA

In all, 1,288 *H. longicornis* ticks including nymph and larvae were collected by dragging a flannel cloth over grass
or by picking nymphs and adult ticks from cattle, horses, goats, dogs, cats, hedgehogs and wild rodents from 9 Korean provinces [18]. The ticks were identified and categorized with respect to developmental stages, and stored at –20°C in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes until required. The genomic DNA from these ticks was extracted as described previously [18].

**Amplification of the 16S rRNA gene of *Ehrlichia* spp. by real-time (TaqMan) PCR**

The oligonucleotide primers ESP-F (5'-agtcaagctcaagagcgagcgc-3') and ESP-R (5'-tcccttgagcttggtcgcgct-3) complementary to the conserved regions of the *Ehrlichia* spp. 16S rRNA gene were used [10]. For the second round nested-PCR, primers HE1 (5'-caattgcttataaccttttggttataaat-3') and HE3 (5'-tataggta ccgtcatattctccct-3') targeting *E. chaffeensis*-specific region of 16S rRNA gene were used [1]. The PCR mix for the first round PCR consisted of 2.5 µl of 10X PCR buffer, 2.5 µl of 25 mM MgCl₂, 1 µl of 2.5 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTPs), 2.5 U of Taq-polymerase (Promega, USA), 5 pmol of each primer, ECC and ECB (Genotech, Korea), and 200 ng of template DNA in a total volume of 25 µl. The PCR conditions included an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 60°C for 2 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min 30 sec, and one cycle of extension at 72°C for 7 min. For second round nested-PCR, 5 pmol of each primer, HE1 and HE3 (Genotech, Korea) and 5 µl of first PCR product as template DNA were included in the PCR mix described for first round PCR. The reaction conditions were as follows; three cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 55°C for 2 min, extension at 72°C for 1.5 min, followed by 37 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 55°C for 2 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min. PCR products were electrophoresed in a 1% (w/v) agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and analyzed using a still video documentation system (Gel Doc 2000; Bio- Rad, USA).

**Results**

Genomic DNAs extracted from 1,288 *H. longicornis* ticks collected from grass vegetation and various animals from nine provinces of Korea were subjected to screening by genus-specific (*Ehrlichia* spp. or *Anaplasma* spp.) and species-specific (*E. chaffeensis*) PCR based on amplification of 16S rRNA gene fragments. In all, 611 (47.4%) ticks tested positive for genus-specific amplification of 116 bp fragment of 16S rRNA of *Ehrlichia* spp. or *Anaplasma* spp. (Table 1). Of these more than 80% ticks collected from Gyeonggi province alone and at least one sample from each province were found PCR positive (Table 1). Subsequently, 396 bp *E. chaffeensis*-specific fragment of 16S rRNA was amplified from 4.2% (26/611) tick samples (Fig. 1). All the tick samples that tested positive to *E. chaffeensis* originated from Gyeonggi province (Table 1). The 396 bp PCR product

| Province/Place | Number of ticks | PCR positive |
|----------------|----------------|--------------|
|                | *Ehrlichia* and/or *Anaplasma* spp. | *E. chaffeensis* |
| Gangwon        | 10             | 1            | 0 |
| Gyeonggi**     | 896            | 489          | 26 |
| Chungbuk       | 40             | 10           | 0 |
| Chungnam       | 10             | 2            | 0 |
| Gyeongbuk      | 20             | 7            | 0 |
| Gyeongnam      | 25             | 20           | 0 |
| Jeonbuk        | 96             | 16           | 0 |
| Jeonnam        | 32             | 11           | 0 |
| Jeju           | 159            | 55           | 0 |

*Ticks were collected from grass vegetation, from cattle and horse ranches and from different animals such as cattle, horse, dogs and rodents (data not shown).
**Ticks were collected from rice fields and army training sites of Gyeonggi province.
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**Cloning and sequence analysis**

PCR amplicons were purified using a GFX PCR DNA Purification Kit (Amersham, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Purified amplicons were ligated into pGEM-T easy vector (Promega, USA) as per the instructions given by the manufacturer and transformed into TOP10F⁺ *E. coli* competent cells. The recombinant clones were verified by colony PCR amplification as described above and the recombinant plasmid DNA was purified using the Quantum Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Bio-Rad, USA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Sequencing was performed by dideoxy termination using an ABI PRISM 3700 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA). Sequence data was analyzed using Chromas software version. 1.51 (Technelysium, Australia). The homology searches were made at National Center for Bio-technology Information (NCBI, USA) BLAST network service. Nucleotide sequences were aligned and phylogenetic analysis was performed using the Multiple sequence alignment program, AlinX (Vector NTI Suite version. 5.2.1.3.; InforMax, USA).
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| Jeonbuk        | 96             | 16           | 0 |
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of *E. chaffeensis*-specific 16S rRNA gene obtained from one tick was sequenced and registered with the GenBank under the accession number AY35042 (strain EC-PGHL). The comparison of nucleotide sequence of strain EC-PGHL with the sequences of 20 representative *E. chaffeensis* strains available in the GenBank database showed that EC-PGHL was 100% identical or similar to the Arkansas (AF416764), the Sapulpa (U60476) and the 91HE17 (U23503) strains, all of these originate from the USA (Table 2). The phylogenetic analysis also revealed that the *E. chaffeensis* EC-PGHL formed a single cluster with the above strains (Fig. 2).

### Discussion

Recently, advances within molecular methods have made it possible to detect fastidious and hard-to-culture bacteria like *Ehrlichia* spp. without the need of isolation by conventional culture methods. PCR makes it possible to identify the presence of DNA of such fastidious bacteria even in culture-negative samples and directly from clinical samples collected from patients with suspected infection [14]. Competitive PCR is a standard method for this purpose as it allows the quantification of DNA and has been used successfully in a number of studies. However, this technique is labor intensive and requires that the results of multiple reactions be analyzed for each sample. In this study, we used a real-time TaqMan PCR assay as an initial screening procedure for the identification of *Ehrlichia* spp. or

---

**Table 2.** Homology comparison of the *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* 16S rRNA gene fragment (396 bp) sequences

| No. | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|     | 100| 100| 100| 98.5| 97.9| 97.7| 97.4| 97.2| 96.9| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.2| 96.2| 95.6| 94.1| 92.3| 92.1| 91.1|
|     | 200| 100| 100| 98.5| 97.9| 97.7| 97.4| 97.2| 96.9| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.2| 96.2| 95.6| 94.1| 92.3| 92.1| 91.1|
|     | 300| 100| 100| 98.5| 97.9| 97.7| 97.4| 97.2| 96.9| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.2| 96.2| 95.6| 94.1| 92.3| 92.1| 91.1|
|     | 400| 100| 100| 98.5| 97.9| 97.7| 97.4| 97.2| 96.9| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.7| 91.6| 91.6| 91.6| 91.6|
|     | 500| 100| 100| 98.5| 97.9| 97.7| 97.4| 97.2| 96.9| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.4| 96.7| 91.6| 91.6| 91.6| 91.6|

Percent identities between sequences of *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* 16S rRNA gene fragment is shown as the upper matrix. The lower matrix shows the number of nucleotide differences. 1, EC-PGHL Korea, AY35042; 2, *E. chaffeensis* Arkansas [USA], AF416764; 3, *E. chaffeensis* Sapulpa [USA], U60476; 4, *E. chaffeensis* 91HE17 [USA], U23503; 5, *Ehrlichia* sp. Tibet, AF414399; 6, *Ehrlichia* sp. EHI224, AF311968; 7, *Ehrlichia* sp. ERm58, AF311967; 8, *Ehrlichia* sp. HF565, AB024928; 9, *E. chaffeensis* HI-2000, AF260591; 10, *Ehrlichia* sp. Anan, AB028319; 11, *E. ovina*, AF318946; 12, *E. canis* isolate VDE, AF373613; 13, Cowdria ruminantium, U03776; 14, *E. ewingii* IHS91-2, AF093440; 15, *Ehrlichia* sp. Germishuys, U48085; 16, *E. ewingii* 95E9-7US, U96436; 17, Cowdria sp. South African canine, AF3325175; 18, *E. muir* U15527; 19, *A. phagocytophila*, AY055469; 20, *Ehrlichia* sp., AJ242785; 21, *Ehrlichia* like sp. Schotti variant, AF104680.
Anaplasma spp. DNA from tick samples. With this procedure, 611 (47.4%) out of 1,128 ticks collected from 9 provinces of Korea were identified as PCR positive. Most of the ticks (896/1,288) investigated in this study originated from the rice fields and army training sites of Gyeonggi province. Other ticks were collected from grass vegetation and cattle and horse ranches as well as from different animals such as cattle, horse, dogs and rodents (data not shown). At least one tick collected from each province was infected with Ehrlichia spp. and or Anaplasma spp. Subsequently, 611 samples that tested PCR positive in the initial screening with real-time TaqMan PCR were further subjected to species-specific detection of *E. chaffeensis* DNA by nested-PCR. Out of 611 *H. longicornis* ticks tested, 26 (4.3%) revealed PCR positive as evidenced by amplification of a unique 396 bp *E. chaffeensis*-specific PCR product. All (100%) the tick samples that tested PCR positive originated from Gyeonggi province. The higher PCR positive rates among ticks collected from Gyeonggi province may be due to the reason that maximum number of samples screened in this study originated from Gyeonggi province.

We have previously demonstrated the serological evidence of *E. chaffeensis* infection among Korean human patients [14] as well as in *I. persulcatus* ticks [18]. Although, the primary vector for *E. chaffeensis* is the lone star tick, *Amblyomma americanum*, but *A. testudinarium*, *Haemaphysalis yeni*, *H. Rava* and *Ixodes ricinus* have also been identified as reservoirs [1,10,12,14]. In this study we detected *E. chaffeensis* DNA in *H. longicornis* which is one of the predominant species of tick and often found in association with humans and animals in Korea [18]. The prevalence of *E. chaffeensis* infection in 4.3% ticks observed in this study indicate that *H. longicornis* may be predominant carrier of *E. chaffeensis* infection in Korea and warrants further studies to investigate its possible impact on human or animal health.

Due to the geographic location of Korea, we expected that the amplified 16S rRNA gene from the tick EC-PGHL would reveal higher degrees of sequence similarity to other Asian isolates. However, the 16S rRNA sequenced from Korean *E. chaffeensis* was 100% identical or similar when compared with 16S rRNA gene sequence of the Arkansas (AF416764), the Sapulpa (U60476) and the 91HE17 (U23503) strains of *E. chaffeensis*, all of these originate from the USA. We also performed phylogenetic analysis of EC-PGHL strain in order to determine the epidemiological origin. Phylogenetic analysis also revealed that the sequence of *E. chaffeensis* EC-PGHL clustered closely on the same branch with the USA isolates. These observations suggest the possibility that *E. chaffeensis* may have migrated between USA and Korea, though such conclusion requires more evidence. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study showing the genetic analysis of *E. chaffeensis* in *H. longicornis* ticks collected in Korea. Our findings suggests that *E. chaffeensis* may be widespread among *H. longicornis* ticks in Korea. More studies should be sought to determine its possible impact on human and animal health.
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