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ABSTRACT

Motivation/Background: Foreign exchange reserves (forex reserves) received large-scale interest among the developing economies because of rapid increase in globalization, the acceleration of capital flows and the integration of capital markets domestically and globally as well. The expansion in the volume of trade activities and capital inflows in the form of investments and external commercial borrowings (ECBs) has led to the emergence of importance of managing the foreign exchange reserves. Foreign exchange reserves (FER) are regulated and managed by the various countries’ central banks.

Method: The study is divided into four policy periods, namely, Liberalization, Globalization, World Recovery and Global financial crises. It will be using semi log growth equation model with dummy variables to find out the growth rates in foreign exchange reserves for different policy periods. Trends in foreign exchange reserves have been analysed for the period 1991-2017.

Results: The finding of the study presents that the level of foreign exchange reserves are statistically significant in all the policy periods except world recovery, and the growth rates are also significant in all the policy periods.

Conclusion: The current paper can be of great use for the policy makers as it will help them to analyse the trends in foreign exchange reserves in India not only during various policy periods but also in predicting the future trends of the foreign exchange reserves.

1. INTRODUCTION

Foreign exchange reserves (forex reserves) received large-scale interest among the developing economies because of rapid increase in globalization, the acceleration of capital flows and the integration of capital markets domestically and globally as well. This has also posed various challenges to emerging market economies. The debt crises in some developing economies in the nineties have posed several issues and dilemmas to the policy makers on foreign exchange reserves. The policy makers and academicians have started paying considerable attention towards foreign exchange reserves management.

The expansion in the volume of activities related to trade and inflow of capital in the form of investments and commercial borrowing has led to the emergence of importance of managing the foreign exchange reserves. Globally...
there is no unique definition of foreign exchange reserves because there have been a lot of divergence of views in terms of ownership of assets, liquidity aspects, coverage of items and need for a distinction between owned and non-owned reserves. However, for operational purposes, most countries in the world have adopted the definition suggested by International Monetary Fund (Balance of Payments Manual, and Guidelines on Foreign Exchange Reserve Management, 2001), which defines reserves as “external assets that are readily available to and controlled by monetary authorities for direct financing of external payments imbalances, for indirectly regulating the magnitudes of such imbalances through intervention in exchange markets to affect the currency exchange rate, and/or for other purposes.” Reserves are held in one or more currencies, mostly in dollar (US$) and to a less extent in European Union’s euro, the British pound sterling and the Japanese yen.

Foreign exchange reserves are classified into two inter-linked areas, namely, the theory of reserves, and the management of reserves. The theory of reserves encompasses issues relating to legal and institutional arrangements for holding reserve assets, definitional and conceptual aspects, and objectives for holding foreign reserve assets, exchange rate, and determining the appropriate level of foreign reserves. In essence, a theoretical framework for reserves provides the basis for holding forex reserves.

On the other hand, reserve management mainly involves the portfolio management of foreign reserves i.e., how best to deploy foreign reserve assets. The portfolio considerations take into account inter alia, liquidity, safety and yield on reserves as the major objectives of reserve management. Foreign exchange reserves are called reserve assets in the balance of payments and are recorded in the capital account. The reserves are regarded as assets under functional category. In terms of financial assets classification, the reserve assets are classified as gold bullion, unallocated gold accounts, currency, special drawing rights, reserve position in the IMF, interbank position, other deposits, other transferable deposits, debt securities, loans, equity (listed and unlisted), financial derivatives, such as forward contracts and options and investment fund shares. There is no corresponding item for reserve assets in liabilities of the international investment position. Usually, when the monetary authority of a country has some kind of liability, this will be included in other categories, such as other investments. In the Central Bank’s Balance Sheet, foreign exchange reserves are assets, along with domestic credit.

The standard approach of measuring foreign exchange reserves takes into account the unencumbered foreign reserve assets held by the respective monetary authorities, however, the foreign currency and securities held by the general public, corporate bodies and the banks are not accounted for in the definition of official holdings of reserves. In India, the Reserve Bank of India Act 1934 contains the provisions for the RBI to act as the custodian of foreign reserves and manage them with the defined objectives. The term ‘reserves’ refer to both foreign securities held by the Issue Department and foreign reserves held in the form gold assets in the Banking Department and domestic reserves in the form of bank reserves. It is the RBI, as custodian of forex reserves lays down the rules and norms regarding such reserves.

The traditional approaches to reserve determination are considered to be pure trade based models comprising mainly measures of import cover, opportunity cost and foreign exchange market intervention. The approach of India for reserve management, until the balance of payments crisis of 1991 was essentially based on this traditional approach, i.e., to maintain an adequate level of import cover defined in terms of number of months of imports equivalent to reserves. The approach to reserve management underwent a paradigm shift when other areas were also noticed and the need for short term debt discharging and medium term debt servicing was felt.

Unlike, the contemporary reserve demand models incorporate features like free capital mobility, inflation targeting, return-risk trade-off and complications arising from intermediate exchange rate regimes. Earlier studies on optimum reserves mainly identify three primary motives for accumulating reserves, namely, transaction motive, precautionary motive and speculative motive (Reddy, 2002). While transaction motive applies to reserve demand by the commercial banks, precautionary motive dominates the central bank reserve accumulation behaviour. Besides keeping reserves for meeting the balance of payments deficits, countries also keep certain amount of reserves for meeting unforeseen contingencies.

While considering the management of reserves, the costs and benefits associated with holding reserves should constantly be assessed. On the risks and costs side, maintaining high level of foreign reserves to tide over external shocks involves opportunity costs. It is basically the foregone investment because the resources have been used to purchase foreign exchange reserves instead of increasing domestic capital.

On the benefits side, during financial crises, it has been seen that maintaining and holding sufficient reserves and disclosing adequate information to markets helps a country to prevent itself from external crises, especially those stemming from the capital account, mainly financial institutional investments and external commercial
borrowings. The reserve management plays a central role because of its growing importance of reserves in crises prevention and as a buffer to manage exchange market pressures. Therefore, we can say that opportunity cost of holding reserves is the marginal productivity of domestic capital and the reserve management authority seeks to minimize this opportunity costs against the benefits that accrue from holding reserves.

Mohanty and Turner (2006) noted that effective sterilization can bring fine results to a country. Green and Toregerson (2007) proposed that sterilization basically neutralizes the inflationary monetary impact of reserve accumulation by offsetting the corresponding increase in money supply with a domestic money market operation, typically domestic debt issuance. However, Mohanty and Turner (2006) emphasized ineffective sterilization may obstruct the growth of the economy and may also bring macroeconomic instability. Sen (2005) noted that RBI intervention to keep the real effective exchange rate (REER) constant, especially in the initial stages of the inflows when it was viewed as temporary. The purchase of foreign exchange reserves raises the monetary base but RBI neutralizes (sterilizes) through a contractionary open market operations, i.e., via the sale of government bonds.

According to Sahu (2015), India maintained the import coverage ratio, which far exceeded the global benchmark of 3 months. The commonly accepted ratio for covering short term external debt is 1 and in India, it was found that this ratio has always been more than 1. India's forex reserves have always been sufficient during his period of study. India not only covered its 100% short term external debt, but also more than 50% of total external debt (TED) as against globally accepted norm of at least 40% coverage. Kapteyn (2001) noted that India maintains market determined exchange rate system and its ratio of foreign exchange reserves to broad money (M2) has always been more than 10 percent. Polterovich and Popov (2003) stated that the accumulation of foreign exchange reserves (FER) contributes to the economic growth of a developing country by increasing both the Investment/GDP ratio and capital productivity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The paper has used annual time series data for the period of 1991-2017 for foreign exchange reserves in India. Data in this study has been extensively used from secondary sources. All the figures that are used in this study are collected from the national and international publications. The time period for the study has been divided into four big policy periods or major changes in Indian economy namely, Liberalization, Globalization, World recovery and Global financial crises. This has been done to analyse and understand the impact of policy periods on the growth of foreign exchange reserves in India.

- Liberalization 1991-92 to 1994-95 - Policy period I
- Globalization 1995-96 to 2001-02 - Policy period II
- World recovery 2002-03 to 2007-08 - Policy period III
- Global financial crises 2008-09 to 2016-17 - Policy period IV

A regression and graphical analysis are undertaken to determine the growth rates of foreign exchange reserves during the period under consideration. Dummy variables have been incorporated in semi log regression model for finding the impact of policy periods on foreign exchange reserves in India. Dummy variable takes the value of 0 and 1.

Semi log Equation with Dummy Variables (Policy Period Analysis)

Following is the regression equation for policy period analysis in which dummies have been incorporated.

\[
\log(Y) = a_1 + b_1t + b_2D_1 + b_3D_3 + b_4D_4 + b_5D_5t + b_6D_6t + b_7D_7t + \mu_t
\]

\[\text{Differential Intercept} \quad \text{Differential Slope or Growth}\]

\[\log(Y)\text{- Natural log of dependent variable, foreign exchange reserves} \]
\[a_1\text{- intercept of policy period I}\]
b₁- slope or growth rate of policy period I
b₂- difference in intercept of policy period I and II
b₃- difference in intercept of policy period I and III
b₄- difference in intercept of policy period I and IV
b₅- difference in slope or growth rate of policy period I and II
b₆- difference in slope or growth rate of policy period I and III
b₇- difference in slope or growth rate of policy period I and IV
µₜ- error term

Incorporation of Dummies in Regression Equation

D₂- 0 for 1991-92 to 1994-95
     1 for 1995-96 to 2001-02
     0 for 2002-03 to 2007-08
     0 for 2008-09 to 2015-16
D₃- 0 for 1991-92 to 1994-95
     0 for 1995-96 to 2001-02
     1 for 2002-03 to 2007-08
     0 for 2008-09 to 2015-16
D₄- 0 for 1991-92 to 1994-95
     0 for 1995-96 to 2001-02
     0 for 2002-03 to 2007-08
     1 for 2008-09 to 2015-16

By using above regression equations for different policy periods, growth rates and intercepts can be computed. These are as follows:

For Intercept
Policy period I - a₁
Policy period II - a₁ + b₂
Policy period III - a₁ + b₃
Policy period IV - a₁+ b₄

For Slope
Policy period I - b₁
Policy period II - b₁ + b₅
Policy period III - b₁ + b₆
Policy period IV - b₁+ b₇

Hypotheses
There are hypotheses which have been tested at 5% level of significance and the null hypotheses are as follows:

H₁₀: There is no difference in the level of foreign exchange reserves between the period of liberalization and globalization
H₂₀: There is no difference in the level of foreign exchange reserves between the period of liberalization and world recovery
H₃₀: There is no difference in the level of foreign exchange reserves between the period of liberalization and global financial crises
H₄₀: There is no growth in the value of foreign exchange reserves during the period of liberalization
H₅₀: There is no growth in the value of foreign exchange reserves during the period of liberalization and globalization
H₆₀: There is no growth in the value of foreign exchange reserves during the period of liberalization and world recovery
H₇₀: There is no growth in the value of foreign exchange reserves during the period of liberalization and global financial crises
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3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The regression statistics, for the growth of different policy periods, explains significant or insignificant levels of different growth rates of foreign exchange reserves in India for different policy periods (Table 1 and Table 2).

| Table 1: Regression Statistics of Foreign exchange reserves in India |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Coefficients** | **Standard Error** | **t Stat** | **P-value** |
| Intercept | 8.303286254 | 0.12261127 | 67.72041619 | 4.02803E-24 |
| Time | 0.364631521 | 0.044771306 | 8.14312876 | 1.2838E-07 |
| D2 | 1.183317379 | 0.198427604 | 5.9637471579 | 9.70401E-06 |
| D2T | -0.26161416 | 0.048604638 | -5.38493634 | 3.40786E-05 |
| D3 | -0.32559312 | 0.370290805 | -0.879290332 | 0.390229351 |
| D3T | -0.11292595 | 0.050765889 | -2.224445528 | 0.038435206 |
| D4 | 3.642281105 | 0.278453833 | 13.08376999 | 5.96154E-11 |
| D4T | -0.3337646 | 0.046108056 | -7.23137726 | 7.1677E-07 |

| Table 2: Growth rate of Foreign exchange reserves for different policy periods |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Policy Period** | **Intercept** | **ACGR (%)** | **About Growth Rate** |
| Liberalization | 8.303286254 | 43.99833074 | Significant |
| Globalization | 9.48603633 | 10.85106592 | Significant |
| World recovery | 8.303286254 | 28.62172766 | Significant |
| Global Financial Crises | 11.94556736 | 3.13726701 | Significant |

The findings of the study clearly indicates that during the period of liberalization, the initial level of foreign exchange reserves of India was positive and it grew at 43.99%, which is statistically significant also, as P-value is less than 0.05 (5%). During the period of globalization, the level of foreign exchange reserves increased in comparison to liberalization, but the growth rate decreased drastically from 43.99% to 10.85%. This is a situation of “euphoria”. Both the level of foreign exchange reserves and the growth rate are statistically significant. This means that globalization had a significant impact on the foreign exchange reserves of India. As far as the period of world recovery is concerned, it is observed that the level of foreign exchange reserves has not changed as compared to liberalization, as the value of D3 is not statistically significant. But the growth rate has decreased to 28.62% as compared to liberalization, which is statistically significant also. This implies that world recovery period had a significant impact on the growth rate of foreign exchange reserves in India.

The period of global financial crises witnessed large amount of increase in the level of foreign exchange reserves in India in comparison to liberalization (intercept D4) and it is statistically significant also, but the growth rate has decreased drastically as compared to liberalization. This was mainly due to the outward flow of funds from India. India was less affected from the crises, as compared to other countries, due to increased level of foreign exchange reserves during the period of crises as compared to liberalization.

![Growth Curve of Foreign exchange reserves](image-url)
The growth curve clearly indicates that during the entire period of study (1991-2017), there was significant growth in the value of foreign exchange reserves in India. The figure 1 basically shows the upward trend in the differential growth of foreign exchange reserves between liberalization and other policy periods. During liberalization, there was growth in the value of foreign exchange reserves. As far as the globalization period is concerned, there was again significant growth in the value of foreign exchange reserves. This was mainly due to increasing FDI inflows into India. The value of foreign exchange reserves declined during the period 2007-2009, due to global financial crises as can be seen in the figure 1. One of the reasons identified for decline in foreign exchange reserves during the above said period was outflow of FDIs and FIIs from India. Still, India was less affected from the global financial crises and soon observed rise in the foreign exchange reserves. India witnessed an upward trend in foreign exchange reserves 2009 and thereafter.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The paper has analysed the impact of different policy periods on trends in foreign exchange reserves in India. The study has rejected six hypotheses out of a total of 7 hypotheses. The hypotheses H20, is not rejected, because its P-value is not coming out to be significant. The four policy periods, i.e., Liberalization, Globalization, World Recovery and Global Financial Crises are being considered for the analysis.

The above analysis conclude that the level of foreign exchange reserves are statistically significant in all the policy periods except world recovery, and the growth rates are also significant in all the policy periods. The current paper can be of great use for the policy makers as it will help them to analyse the trends in foreign exchange reserves in India not only during various policy periods but also in predicting the future trends of the foreign exchange reserves.

The present study has mainly concentrated on the trends in foreign exchange reserves during various policy periods. However, there are various factors like exports, FDIs, FIIs, ECBs, debt repayment etc. which also influence the level of foreign exchange reserves. Further researches can be undertaken in examining the impact of these factors influencing the level of foreign exchange reserves in India. Though the current paper has focussed only on one country (India) leaving the scope for further research by doing comparative analysis for other emerging economies.
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