Research for reducing the Minimum Miscible Pressure of crude oil and carbon dioxide by injecting citric acid isobutyl ester
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Abstract. Carbon dioxide miscible flooding has become one of the important technologies for improving oil recovery. The Minimum Miscible Pressure (MMP) is the key parameter to realize miscible flooding. As the MMP in the research area is higher than the formation fracture pressure, miscible flooding cannot be formed. To address this problem, it is necessary to find a way to reduce the MMP. Citric acid isobutyl ester is chosen to reduce the MMP of carbon dioxide and crude oil in this research. The effect of citric acid isobutyl ester on reducing the MMP was measured by the method of long-slim-tube displacement experiment. The experiment results show that the MMP is 29.6 MPa and can be obviously reduced by injecting the slug of citric acid isobutyl ester. The MMP could decrease gradually with constantly adding the injected slug of citric acid isobutyl ester, but the decrease becomes smaller and smaller. The optimum injected slug size of the chemical reagent is 0.003 PV. Under the condition of the slug size, the MMP is reduced to 23.5 MPa and the reduction is 6.1 MPa.

1 Introduction

There are lots of successful cases by injecting carbon dioxide (CO2) to improve oil recovery in America, Russia, Canada, China, and so on. The carbon dioxide injection technology has become an Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) technology that is widely applied in the world [1–5]. It is more suitable for most low permeability oil fields to be developed by gas injection [6–9]. Carbon dioxide injection has the advantages of wide application, low cost, significantly improved oil recovery [10–12]. At the same time, carbon dioxide flooding can solve the problem of carbon dioxide sequestration to a certain extent, reduce greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere, and alleviate the pressure of the environmental pollution [13–18]. So under the background of energy shortage, energy conservation and emission reduction, carbon dioxide flooding has a broad application prospect [19–23]. Indoor experiment and field test show that the effect of carbon dioxide miscible flooding to improve oil recovery is better from light to intermediate viscosity crude oils than that of immiscible flooding [24–28]. However, miscible flooding cannot be fully realized in some oil field blocks if the Minimum Miscible Pressure (MMP) is higher than the reservoir pressure [29, 30]. To address this problem, it is necessary to find a way to reduce the MMP.

The following are the main methods to reduce the MMP of CO2 flooding at home and abroad [31, 32].

Low-molecular-weight non-ionic surfactant method: Guo et al. selected CAE and CAF as non-ionic surfactants to reduce the MMP, and investigated the oil solubility, solubility in supercritical CO2 and viscosity reduction of the two reagents. The results show that such surfactants can reduce the MMP [33].

Miscible solvent method: In this method, the miscible solvent is injected into the ground and diffused in the formation to dissolve with the crude oil to form a miscible displacement zone; the injected CO2 gas comes into contact with the miscible zone to achieve miscibility at low pressure, so as to improve the oil recovery [34, 35]. Zhang et al. carried out a large number of experiments on single-component methanol, ethanol, n-hexane, n-octane, and multi-component petroleum ether, light oil and other miscible solvents [34]. Peng et al. injected a certain ratio of liquefied gas into CO2 to study its influence on the miscible pressure of CO2 flooding [35].

CO2-philic non-ionic surfactant method: Such surfactants proposed in domestic and foreign literature are mainly siloxanes and fluorides [36–38]. Due to the high solubility in CO2, the fluorode surfactant has good affinity with CO2. For example, the Perfluoroalkylpolyether (PEPE) surfactant [39, 40], which can reduce the surface tension between CO2 and water to a very low level, is the most effective CO2-philic surfactant at present. Hoefling and other scholars discovered
that PEPE has better solubility in supercritical CO2 [41]. De Simone and Keiper found that polyacrylic acid 1, 1-dihydro-Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) has strong CO2-philec performance, then synthesized the block polymer surfactant of PFOA and Polystyrene (PS), and developed the supercritical CO2 cleaning technology [42].

Supercritical CO2 microemulsion method: In this method, the MMP is reduced by using the surfactant dissolved in supercritical CO2 to form nanoscale aggregates [43, 44]. Both the supercritical and microemulsion technologies are needed for the supercritical CO2 microemulsion technology to reduce the miscible pressure of CO2 flooding. Dong and other scholars obtained the supercritical CO2 microemulsion using the Di-(2-ethylhexyl) sodium sulfosuccinate (AOT) as surfactant, ethanol as auxiliary, and the MMP between supercritical CO2 microemulsion and alkane was investigated, including alkane carbon number, temperature, surfactant addition and other factors [44]. Dong et al. studied the MMP between supercritical CO2 microemulsion and Daqing heavy oil [45].

According to the carbon dioxide pilot test results of the research area, the gas injection can realize stable injection with low pressure, which solves the problems of water flooding difficulty of the ultra-low permeability reservoir. Producing wells got the apparent effect and higher production levels in the case of gas injection. However, the overall oil production speed is slow. According to the result of long-slim-tube displacement experiment, the MMP of the research area is 29.6 MPa that is higher than the reservoir pressure, so that the research area cannot form the miscible flooding as a whole [46, 47]. Therefore, the research for reducing the MMP of carbon dioxide miscible flooding can carve out a new way for comprehensive realization of miscible flooding to significantly improve oil recovery in research area [48]. Citric acid isobutyl ester is chosen to reduce the MMP of carbon dioxide and crude oil of research area in this research [49].

2 Determination of the MMP

2.1 Experimental material for determining the MMP

The crude oil used in the experiment is the simulated crude oil prepared by the surface oil and natural gas in the research area according to the formation conditions and fluid characteristics. The experiment temperature is 108.5 °C. The viscosity of crude oil is 1.88 mPa s at 108.5 °C and 23.8 MPa that are the temperature and the pressure of the formation. The molecular weight of C7+ in crude oil composition is 347.29 g/mol, and the density of C7+ is 0.8971 g/cm³. The purity of carbon dioxide gas is 99.9%, and the properties are exactly the same as that of carbon dioxide injected in the research area.

2.2 Experimental apparatus for determining the MMP

The experimental apparatuses mainly include: the piston container of carbon dioxide, the piston container of simulated crude oil, the piston container of formation water, slim tube filled with quartz sand particles, liquid flowmeter, gas flowmeter, back pressure control valve. The sketch of experimental apparatus and flow chart are shown in Figure 1. The photos of long-slim-tube displacement experimental apparatus are shown in Figure 2.

The injection pump is ISCO full automatic pump, which is manufactured by Teledyne ISCO Corporation of American. The working pressure range is 0–70 MPa and the accuracy is 0.01 mL. The working pressure range of back pressure control valve is −70 MPa. The highest temperature of constant temperature box is 200 °C. The accuracy of gas flowmeter is 1 mL. The long slim tube is a one-dimensional artificial porous medium spiral stainless steel coil tightly filled with approximately 200 mesh of pure quartz sand. The experimental parameters are shown in Table 1.

2.3 Preparation of the formation oil sample

Indoor experiment formation oil is prepared with ground oil and natural gas. The process is to put a certain amount of ground oil into the high pressure physical instrument PVT barrel, seal it and heat it up to the formation temperature, then put the gas into the PVT barrel at the saturation pressure, stir and increase pressure to the formation pressure, measure the saturation pressure of crude oil and the dissolved gas-oil ratio. If the saturation pressure and the actual formation oil saturation pressure are not equal, we should adjust the amount of dissolved gas in the PVT barrel until the saturation pressure and gas-oil ratio measured are equal to that of the formation oil.

2.4 The experimental process of determining the MMP

The methods of predicting the MMP generally include laboratory experiment and theoretical calculation [50–54]. Laboratory experiment methods include long-slim-tube displacement experiment method, interfacial tension method, Rising Bubble Apparatus (RBA) method and vapor density method. Theoretical calculation methods include empirical formula method, equation of state method [55, 56] and simulation calculation method. Among all the prediction methods, the long-slim-tube displacement experiment method has been widely used and recognized by researchers and
scholars. The long-slim-tube displacement experiment is repeatable. Compared with other methods, this method is more in line with the characteristics of oil and gas displacement process in the porous medium of crude oil reservoir. Moreover, the influence of unfavorable factors such as heterogeneity, mobility ratio, viscous fingering and gravity separation of lithology can be eliminated to the greatest extent \[57–59\]. Therefore, the long-slim-tube displacement experiment method was used to determine the MMP in the research area.

(1) Experiment preparation

Temperature setting: Turn on the power switch of the constant temperature box and heat up. When the temperature is close to the formation temperature, start the constant temperature controller. After 3 h, the temperature can be completely maintained at the predetermined value.

Tube cleaning: Saturate the slim tube model adequately with petroleum ether, and use high pressure air to blow dry the petroleum ether.

Import carbon dioxide: Open the pump and push the piston back to the top of the piston container to vent the gas from the container; inject the displacing gas carbon dioxide into the piston container and close the valve.

The long slim tube should be vacuumized. Then saturate the formation water into the sand filling slim tube and calculate the porosity.

Clean the formation water in the sand filling slim tube with toluene. Dry it in a thermostat to evaporate the toluene. Saturate the simulated oil into the sand filling slim tube. Inject the simulated oil into the slim tube model with the ISCO constant-pressure and constant-speed pump. Stop the injection when the 1.5 PV simulated oil is injected. The injection and output of simulated oil are calculated. The amount of saturated oil injected into the tube is determined according to the volume difference. Then close the process and prepare for a displacement experiment.

(2) Start the ISCO constant-pressure and constant-speed pump to increase the pressure of gas in the piston container, and make it 1–3 MPa lower than the displacement pressure.

(3) Raise the back pressure to the predetermined displacement pressure through a manual pump.

(4) Open the pump to inject carbon dioxide under constant pressure, open the slim tube outlet valve, and adjust the gas pressure to make it equal to or slightly higher than the displacement pressure.

(5) In the process of displacement, determine oil production, gas volume and pump reading regularly as required, and check oil sample saturation. When the cumulative volume of the gas drive is greater than 1.2 PV, the displacement is stopped and the recovery under this pressure is calculated.

(6) At the end of the displacement process, the cleaning process is started, and the petroleum ether is directly injected into the slim tube model, and the appropriate back pressure is maintained. The flow rate of petroleum ether in the slim tube is not too fast, so as not to affect the cleaning effect. Close the outlet for 1–2 h, and make the petroleum ether and residual oil fully contact each other under high pressure. Dissolve the residual oil in the petroleum ether, and release it from the outlet. Repeatedly, the mixture of petroleum ether and residual oil is discharged. When the mixture and the component of pure petroleum ether are basically the same, the residual oil in the model is determined to be completely removed and fully saturated with petroleum ether. Then, high-pressure air is injected from the inlet, and the remaining petroleum ether in the slim tube is blown into the oil-gas separator, and the pipeline is blown dry for the next experiment.
(7) Follow the above steps for displacement at the next pressure point.

In general, the MMP can be analyzed by measuring the recovery at more than 5 pressure points. The experimental back pressures in experiment are 20 MPa, 25 MPa, 30 MPa, 35 MPa, 40 MPa and 45 MPa. Then the MMP is calculated according to the relationship between the oil recovery and the experimental displacement pressure.

2.5 Experimental result

According to the experimental results, the recovery of carbon dioxide flooding under different experimental pressures can be obtained (Tab. 2).

The generally accepted criterion for miscible flooding is that the oil recovery is greater than 90% when 1.2 PV is injected, and the displacement efficiency does not increase significantly with the increase of displacement pressure [60–63]. In Table 2, the recovery corresponding to the experimental pressure at 20 MPa and 25 MPa is lower than 90%, so the carbon dioxide flooding under these pressures is in the immiscible flooding stage, and the recovery corresponding to the experimental pressure above 30 MPa is higher than 90%, so the carbon dioxide flooding with the pressure above 30 MPa can form miscible flooding. According to the experimental results in Table 2, the relation curve between the oil recovery and the experimental displacement pressure when 1.2 PV carbon dioxide is injected is plotted (Fig. 3). It can be seen from the figure that the MMP of the reservoir crude oil and the carbon dioxide system in the study area predicted by the long-slim-tube displacement experiment method is 29.6 MPa.

### Table 2. The long-slim-tube displacement experiment results of crude oil for injecting CO₂.

| Experimental temperature (°C) | Experimental pressure (MPa) | Recovery at 1.2 PV (%) | Miscible evaluation |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 108.5                         | 20                         | 69.90                  | Immiscible          |
| 108.5                         | 25                         | 80.35                  | Immiscible          |
| 108.5                         | 30                         | 90.15                  | Miscible            |
| 108.5                         | 35                         | 90.50                  | Miscible            |
| 108.5                         | 40                         | 91.22                  | Miscible            |
| 108.5                         | 45                         | 91.90                  | Miscible            |

3 Injection slug size optimization of citric acid isobutyl ester

3.1 Experimental material and experimental apparatus

**Experimental material**: The crude oil used in the experiment is the simulated crude oil prepared by the surface oil and natural gas in the research area according to the formation conditions and fluid characteristics. The experiment temperature is 108.5 °C. The purity of carbon dioxide gas is 99.9%, and the property of carbon dioxide gas injected into the research area is exactly the same. Citric acid isobutyl ester is prepared from Section 3.2.

**Experimental apparatus**: The apparatus for this experiment is the same as that for measuring the MMP of crude oil and carbon dioxide by the long-slim-tube method, as shown in Figure 1.

3.2 Preparation of citric acid isobutyl ester

Citric acid isobutyl ester is oil-soluble surfactant, which is insoluble in water, but can be mutually soluble with mineral oil, castor oil, alcohol and other organic solvents. It is non-toxic, tasteless, low volatile, heat and light resistant, bacteria-resistant, non-bacterial, non-irritating, flame retardant and degradable. As environmentally friendly reagent, the oil-soluble surfactant can not only dissolve in crude oil to reduce viscosity, but also dissolve in carbon dioxide to reduce the interfacial tension between crude oil and carbon dioxide, thus reducing the MMP between crude oil and carbon dioxide. The chemical reagent is prepared by the reaction of citric acid and corresponding alcohols under the condition of catalyst. The following is the synthesis process of citric acid isobutyl ester.

3.2.1 Experimental material and apparatus of preparing citric acid isobutyl ester

Main experimental materials: citric acid, isobutyl alcohol, p-toluene sulfonic acid, anhydrous sodium sulfate, sodium bicarbonate, sodium chloride, and so on.

Main experimental apparatuses: round-bottom flask, upper water separator, reflux condensing tube and separating funnel, and so on.
3.2.2 Experimental process of preparing citric acid isobutyl ester

1. **Adding chemicals**: Add excessive citric acid and isobutyl alcohol to the round-bottom flask.
2. **Adding catalyst**: Heat the reaction mixture to 95 °C while stirring, add p-toluene sulfonic acid into the mixture after citric acid is dissolved.
3. **Heating**: Heat to 110 °C. The water produced is discharged through the manifold. The reaction begins when water is discharged from the condensing tube. The reaction ends when the condensing tube stops discharging water. P-toluene sulfonic acid as a catalyst can be used for a long time. The chemical reaction equation of citric acid and isobutyl alcohol is shown in Figure 4.
4. **Filtration**: After the reaction is cooled, filter out the catalyst, which can be washed and dried for reuse.
5. **Filter out citric acid**: Add saturated sodium bicarbonate solution and saturated sodium chloride solution. The mixture is separated with the separatory funnel when the temperature reduces to 25 °C.
6. **Water removal**: The organic layer is filtered with anhydrous sodium sulfate.
7. **Distillation**: Heat to 131.2 °C. After isobutyl alcohol is evaporated, we get citric acid isobutyl ester. The citric acid isobutyl ester obtained after distillation is shown in Figure 5.

3.3 Experimental process of optimizing injection slug size

For the procedure of this experiment, refer to that of measuring the MMP of crude oil and carbon dioxide with the long-slim-tube method. The difference is that citric acid isobutyl ester is injected before injecting CO₂ for displacement.

3.4 The results after the injection of citric acid isobutyl ester slug

1. The experimental results of the MMP when the injected citric acid isobutyl ester slug size is 0.001 PV are shown in Figure 6.
2. The experimental results of the MMP when the injected isobutyl citrate slug size is 0.002 PV are shown in Figure 7.
3. The experimental results of the MMP when the injected isobutyl citrate slug size is 0.003 PV are shown in Figure 8.
4. The experimental results of the MMP when the injected isobutyl citrate slug size is 0.004 PV are shown in Figure 9.
As seen from Figures 6 to 9, when citric acid isobutyl ester with slug sizes of 0.001 PV, 0.002 PV, 0.003 PV and 0.004 PV is injected, the MMPs of crude oil and carbon dioxide measured are 27.9 MPa, 25.5 MPa, 23.5 MPa and 23.2 MPa. From this, we can obtain the relationship between the slug size of citric acid isobutyl ester and the MMP (Tab. 3 and Fig. 10).

As seen from Figure 10, the MMP of crude oil and carbon dioxide measured gradually decreases with the increase of the injected slug of citric acid isobutyl ester, but the decrease becomes smaller and smaller. The optimal injected slug size of citric acid isobutyl ester is 0.003 PV. In this case, the MMP measured after the addition of citric acid isobutyl ester slug is 23.5 MPa, which decreases by 6.1 MPa compared with 29.6 MPa when the slug is not injected. The injection of citric acid isobutyl ester slug can significantly reduce the MMP.

4 Conclusion

The minimum miscibility pressure of crude oil and carbon dioxide system in the research area determined by the long-slim-tube displacement experiment is 29.6 MPa. The MMP measured schemes for injection of citric acid isobutyl ester with different slug sizes are established and completed. The experimental results show that with the increase of the injected slug size of citric acid isobutyl ester, the measured MMP gradually decreases, but the decrease becomes smaller and smaller. The optimal size of the injected slug is 0.003 PV. Under the condition of the slug size, the MMP measured after the addition of citric acid isobutyl ester slug is 23.5 MPa, which decreases by 6.1 MPa compared with 29.6 MPa when the slug is not injected. The injection of citric acid isobutyl ester slug can significantly reduce the MMP.
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