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MicroRNA Response and Toxicity of Potential Pathways in Human Colon Cancer Cells Exposed to Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles
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Abstract: Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO2-NPs) are widely used for biomedical and food applications, the toxicity of TiO2-NPs in vivo and in vitro has been elucidated, but the underlying cytotoxicity of TiO2-NPs against microRNA remains largely unknown. The purpose of this study was to analyze microRNA profiling induced by TiO2-NPs against NCM460 and HCT116 cell lines. Comparative analysis identified 34 and 24 microRNAs were significantly altered in the TiO2-NPs treated cells at concentrations of 3 µg/mL and 30 µg/mL, respectively. Functional classification demonstrated that a large proportion of genes involved in metabolism, human disease, and environmental information process were significantly upregulated by TiO2-NPs. Bioinformatics analysis suggested that microRNA 378 might be an early indicator of cellular response to exogenous stimuli with apoptotic signals. Furthermore, TiO2-NPs significantly altered the expression of microRNA 378b and 378g in HCT116 and NCM460 cell lines at different concentrations from 3 to 6 µg/mL. These concentrations elicit high-sensitivity of stimuli response in colon cancer cells when exposed to the slight doses of TiO2-NPs. Our study indicated that microRNAs 378b and 378g may play an important role in TiO2-NPs-mediated colonic cytotoxicity, which may provide a valuable insight into the molecular mechanisms of potential risks in colitis and colon cancer.
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1. Introduction

Nanomaterials have unique physical, chemical, electronic, optical, and catalytic properties, which are widely used in chemical industry, biomedicine, energy, environment, food production, aerospace, and other important fields [1–3]. The increasing use of nanomaterials will inevitably lead to an increase in the exposure of nanomaterials in the ground, resulting in negative effects in the application
process, and its potential impacts have gradually attracted people’s attention in human health and environmental complications [4–7]. In humans, drugs may induce toxicity leading to blood vessel division, causing contaminants or harmful microorganisms to enter into the human tissues or organs due to attachment to the nanomaterials, resulting in significant effects [4,6]. Over the past few decades, the field of nanotoxicity has significantly evolved through the booming of nanotechnology to address potential prolonged exposure of nanoparticles to the consumers through medical applications [5,6].

Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO$_2$-NPs) are widely used for biomedical applications, drug research and development design, food additives and packaging materials, and so forth [1,2,4,7,8]. Additionally, TiO$_2$-NPs can enter the human body and induce toxicity into different organs including, liver [7–9], kidney [10,11], lung [12], brain [7,9,13], heart [14], ovary and testis [15–17]. In relation to this, inflammation is one among of the most important toxic mechanisms following TiO$_2$-NPs exposure.

However, in toxicological researches, oral exposure of TiO$_2$-NPs to intestinal tract has not been well studied compared with skin contact or inhalation route due to lack of established global standards for toxicity levels [18].

Most of the TiO$_2$-NPs entering the body are metabolized and absorbed by the intestine and their adverse effects on gastrointestinal tract in humans and animals are rarely concerned. Colon cancer and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) are the most common occurring diseases worldwide which might be contributed by TiO$_2$-NPs exposure in the intestines. Therefore, there is an urgent need to confirm the safety of TiO$_2$-NPs in human intestines by considering an increasing number of TiO$_2$-NPs exposures.

In recent years, microRNAs have attracted extensive attention in toxicology. MicroRNAs have been shown to play an important role in gene regulation of vertebrate genome [19–21]. It is predicted that microRNAs can regulate the expression of one-third of human genes [21,22]. Contrary, it has been found that nanoparticles can significantly alter the expression of microRNAs in cells [23–25]. Hence, regulation of microRNAs as a key post-transcriptional regulator has attracted much attention in the molecular mechanism of nanoparticles toxicology [23,24]. Additionally, microRNAs are considered as a “buffer” for impedance gene expression variation [26] and they help to maintain the robustness of biological processes [21,26]. In the face of stimuli caused by environmental changes, the regulation of microRNAs can be used to maintain biological functions, such as reinforcement of transcriptional programs and attenuation of aberrant transcripts in living organisms.

MicroRNAs may play important regulatory roles in gene expression and protein synthesis in biological systems, while TiO$_2$-NPs are mainly digested and absorbed by the gastrointestinal tract. However, entrance of TiO$_2$-NPs into the cells may lead to changes in miRNAs regulation and possibly body damage, these changes are urgently needed to be confirmed. Additionally, studying the damage and mechanism of TiO$_2$-NPs on colon cells is of great significance in the prevention and treatment of colonic inflammation and colon cancer. Therefore, normal human colon cell lines (NCM460) and human colon cancer cells (HCT116) cell lines were used to investigate the effects of TiO$_2$-NPs on microRNAs expression and correlating the expression of microRNAs with target genes in colon cells.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. TiO$_2$ Nanoparticles Characterization

TiO$_2$-NPs of 25nm were applied in a concentration range of 3–60 µg/mL (Deke Daojin, Beijing, China). The morphology of nanoparticles was characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) in dry form at Xiamen University, and the crystallized form of nanoparticles was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (BRUKER AXS GMBH, Karlsruhe, Germany).

For the preparation of TiO$_2$-NPs exposure medium, powdered NPs were suspended in a serum-free 1640 basal medium solution, sonicated for 30 min before each use, and then added to the cell culture medium at test concentrations.
2.2. Cell Culture and Treatment

Human colon cancer cell lines (HCT116) and normal colon cell lines (NCM460) were provided by the College of Food Science and Engineering of the Central South University of Forestry and Technology, Changsha, China. The content of nano-TiO$_2$ in food is supposed to be 5–10 g/kg according to the National Standard of the People’s Republic of China Food Safety National Standard GB2760-2011 [27]. Fu et al. reported that Sprague Dawley rats were treated by intratracheal instillation with TiO$_2$-NPs at doses of 0.5, 4, and 32 mg/kg body weight, micro-TiO$_2$ with 32 mg/kg body weight and 0.9% NaCl, respectively [28]. In other related studies, it was found that TiO$_2$-NPs (5, 15, or 30 µg/mL) significantly inhibited the viability of rat Sertoli cells in a concentration-dependent manner [29]. Moreover, we found that 3 and 6 µg/mL TiO$_2$-NPs concentration can promote cell proliferation in our pre-experimental research. Based on the above considerations, we selected the concentration gradients as follows: 3, 6, 30, and 60 µg/mL. The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen Gibco, New York, USA) which contains fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin. The cells were maintained in petri dish 10 cm in diameter at 37 °C and 5% CO$_2$. The RPMI-1640 medium was replaced every second day, and cells were passaged when adherence rate was approximately 85% by washing with D-Hanks Buffered Saline Solution for three times, then with 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA Solution [30]. Microscopically, when cells became round and dispersed, new medium was added to terminate the activity of trypsin, and trypsin was removed by centrifugation. The cells were suspended in fresh medium and subcultured in HCT116 and NCM460 cell lines, TiO$_2$-NPs exposures were performed for 24 h. The two types of cell lines were exposed to 0, 3, 6, 15, 30, and 60 µg/mL TiO$_2$-NPs to screen for cell viability.

2.3. Cell Viability Assays

MTT cell proliferation and cytotoxicity assay kits (Sangon, Shanghai, China) were used to detect colon cell viability after exposure to different concentrations of TiO$_2$-NPs. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates at $1 \times 10^5$ cells/well. After 12 h, the adherent growth of cells was observed under a microscope about 60%. Then the cells were exposed to 100 µL of different concentrations of TiO$_2$-NPs for 24 h. The cells were washed with D-Hanks and cultured for 4 h at 37 °C with 10 µL MTT (5 mg/mL). The formazan crystals were solubilised in 100 µL formazan solubilization solution for 10 min and absorbance read at 570 nm (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA) [31]. The percentage of cell viability changes was calculated and compared with untreated cells.

2.4. Determination of Intracellular ROS Production

Intracellular ROS levels were measured using a fluorescent probe 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) [32]. The cells were inoculated in a 24-well plate with $1 \times 10^5$ cells/holes. After 12 h, the adherent growth of cells was observed under a microscope about 60%. The cells were exposed to 0, 3, 6, 15, 30, and 60 µg/mL TiO$_2$-NPs for 24 h, respectively. The cells were then washed three times with D-Hanks and placed in a fresh 1640 medium (without FBS) with 5 µM DCFH-DA for 20–30 min. Then, cells were washed with D-Hanks. Immediately, the fluorescence of samples was measured at 488 and 525 nm excitation and emission wavelengths in Spectra Max i3x (Molecular Devices, California, USA). Compared to the cells that were not incubated with TiO$_2$-NPs, the inducing rate of ROS formation was calculated to increase the fluorescence intensity after exposure to TiO$_2$-NPs.

2.5. Small RNA Sequencing

HCT116 colon cancer cell lines were treated in triplicate with 0, 3 and 30 µg/mL TiO$_2$-NPs for 24 h for microRNA changes. Then, cells were washed 2x with D-Hanks, and the total RNA was extracted by SanPrep Column RNA Extraction Kit (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China) by following the manufacturer’s protocol. The extracted RNA samples were then gel purified and 18–30 nt fragment
construction library was selected [33]. Two kinds of adapters were respectively ligated to the ends of the small RNA fragments, and the prepared RNA was amplified by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). The 100–120 bp range PCR product was separated by PAGE electrophoresis, and by-products such as primer dimer were effectively removed. The isolated PCR product was loaded onto the BGISEQ-500 platform for sequencing. Experimental testing and data processing were performed by the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI).

2.6. Prediction of microRNAs Target Genes

The data for microRNA profiling of TiO$_2$-NPs-3 µg/mL and 30 µg/mL treated HCT116 cells are reported in this paper. MicroRNAs target mRNA were predicted using RNAhybrid, miRanda, and TargetScan. The final results of the target genes were predicted in combination of the three databases.

2.7. Gene Ontology Enrichment Analysis

Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis finds all GO-terms that are significantly enriched in a list of differentially expressing small RNA’s (DESs) target genes, it also finds the genes that correspond to specific biological functions. To perform this analysis, BGI first maps all genes to GO-terms in the database, which calculates the gene numbers for every term. The hypergeometric test is then used to find significantly enriched GO-terms in the input gene list. This test is based on “GO-TermFinder”, using an algorithm developed by BGI to perform GO function analysis. The method used is described as follows:

$$P = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{M}{i} \frac{N - M}{n - i} \binom{n - i}{N} \binom{n}{n}$$

In this algorithm, N is the number of all genes with GO annotation; n is the number of DES of the target gene in N; M is the number of all genes annotated to a particular GO term; m is the number of DES target genes in M.

2.8. Pathway Analysis

Pathway-based analysis helps to further understand the biological functions of targeted genes that differentially express microRNAs. KEGG is used for pathway enrichment analysis. This analysis identifies significantly enriched metabolic pathways or signal transduction pathways in differential expressed microRNAs target genes when compared with the whole-genome background. The formula used is the same as that in GO analysis. However, N is the number of all genes with KEGG annotation; n is the number of DESs target genes in N; M is the number of all genes that are annotated to a specific pathway; m is the number of DESs target genes in M. The p-value is corrected by using the Bonferroni method, a corrected p-value < 0.05 is taken as a threshold. KEGG terms fulfilling this condition are defined as significantly enriched KEGG terms.

2.9. microRNA RT-qPCR

HCT116 cells and NCM460 cells were exposed to different concentrations of TiO$_2$-NPs (0, 3, 15, 30, and 60 µg/mL) for 24 h, and the total RNA was extracted by SanPrep Column RNA Extraction Kit (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China) by following the manufacturer’s protocol. microRNA RT-PCR was performed by the microRNA first-strand cDNA Synthesis (Stem-loop method) from Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 3 µg of total RNA was used to make the first-strand cDNA: 10 µL 2x microRNA L-RT solution mix, 1.5 µL microRNA L-RT enzyme mix, 1µL target gene’s stem-loop primer (10 µM), 1 µL U6 gene’s stem-loop primer (10 µM), 3 µg RNA sample and RNase free water to 20 µL. Gently mixed and centrifuged for 3–5 s. The reaction
mixture was warmed at 16 °C for 30 min, then heated at 37 °C for 30 min, and at 85 °C for 5 min to inactivate the enzyme and then stored at 4 °C.

Fluorescence quantitative PCR was performed by using the prepared first strand cDNAs, were 1 µL/sample was used for one PCR reaction (in a 96-well plate) for each microRNA (three replicate wells per sample). Each PCR reaction (20 µL) contained 10 µL of 2× microRNA qPCR master mix, 0.5 µL forward primer (10 µM), 0.5µL of reverse primer (10 µM), 1 µL RT product and RNase-free water to 20 µL. The qPCR was performed with the CFX96 Touch (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and the reaction was set as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 40 s followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 5 s, annealing at 62 °C for 20 s and extension at 70 °C for 10 s. The primer sequences used are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

| Gene Name | Loop-RT Primer Sequence |
|-----------|--------------------------|
| Homo U6   | GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACAAAATA |
| hsa-miR-378b | GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACTTCTGC |
| hsa-miR-378g | GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACCTTCTG |

| Primer Name | Sequence (5′–3′) |
|-------------|------------------|
| Homo U6 Forward primer | AGAGAAGATTAGCAGATGGCCTCCTG |
| hsa-miR-378b Forward primer | GCCGACGACTGGAATCTGAG |
| hsa-miR-378g Forward primer | CGCAGACTGGGCTTGGAGT |
| General Reverse primer | AGTCAGGGTCCAGGAT |

2.10. Statistical Analysis

Results of at least three independent experiments are presented as mean ± SD. The comparison between treated and control groups was carried out by ANOVA analysis using the SPSS 23.0 software package (SPSS Company, Chicago, IL, USA). * p < 0.05 and ** p < 0.01 were considered as significant levels for all the analyses performed.

3. Results

3.1. Nanomaterials Characterization

The XRD spectra show that the diffraction peaks of powdered TiO₂-NPs samples are consistent with those of standard anatase, and there are almost no impurity peaks, indicating that the samples have similar properties to anatase and have high purity (Figure 1a). The TEM spectra show that the TiO₂-NPs are well-dispersed, uniform size, and complete morphology, with an average particle size of about 25 nm (Figure 1b).

3.2. Cell Viability

The two types of cells were treated with TiO₂-NPs at doses ranging from 3 to 60 µg/mL, respectively. Cell viability was measured by MTT analysis after 24 h of treatment (Figure 2). At a concentration of 3–6 µg/mL, there was no significant change in the activity of the two colon cells. It is worth mentioning that the viability of HCT116 cells increased by about 8–10% (although there were no statistically significant differences, but there was an increasing trend). When the concentration of TiO₂-NPs reached 60 µg/mL, the viability of both cells decreased to about 80%. TiO₂-NPs showed slight toxicity to colon cells and inhibited cell viability. In the study of the effects of TiO₂-NPs on colorectal cancer cells and HUVEC cells, it was also found that TiO₂-NPs have different effects on the survival rates of these three cell lines [34]. A total of 100 and 400 µg/mL TiO₂-NPs significantly increased the survival and proliferation of HCT116 cells (62–68%), but not in HT29 cancer cell lines. At the same time, HT29 cells
exposed to varied concentrations between 50 and 400 μg/mL TiO2-NPs showed approximately 20–30% reduction in viability. The use of higher concentrations of nanoparticles may be related to the different properties of the material.

![XRD pattern of titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO2-NPs); TEM image of TiO2-NPs.](image1)

**Figure 1.** Characterization of nanomaterials. (a) XRD pattern of titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO2-NPs); (b) TEM image of TiO2-NPs.

![Cell viability (HCT116 and NCM460 cell lines).](image2)

**Figure 2.** Cytotoxicity of TiO2-NPs against HCT116 and NCM460 cell lines. The experiments were carried out in triplicate and data presented are in mean values. * indicates p < 0.05.

#### 3.3. Intracellular ROS Analysis

TiO2-NPs increased ROS production in two types of colon cell lines (Figure 3). The two cell lines showed similar results in the analysis of ROS production, and the ROS content of the cells showed a dose-dependent relationship with TiO2-NPs. When the concentration of TiO2-NPs was 3–6 μg/mL, there was no change in ROS content. When TiO2-NPs was 15 μg/mL, the ROS content increased, about 20–25%. As the concentration of nanoparticles increased, the ROS content in both types of cells significantly increased. At higher doses of 30 and 60 μg/mL, the increase in ROS was more pronounced, about 40% and 45%, respectively.
Figure 3. TiO2-NPs induces oxidative stress. The cells were treated with 3 to 60 μg/mL of titanium dioxide NPs within 24 h. The experiments were carried out in triplicate and data presented are in mean values, * indicates p < 0.05, ** indicates p < 0.01.

3.4. Effects of TiO2 NPs on the Expression of microRNAs

Except for Small RNA Sequencing experiments, the other experiments were tested in two cell lines. This is because, through cytotoxicity experiments, we found that 3 μg/mL TiO2-NPs may have a potential role in promoting cell viability, while 30 μg/mL TiO2 inhibits cell viability. However, this difference was not shown in the NCM460 cell line, indicating that low concentrations of TiO2-NPs may promote the growth and inflammation of HCT116 cells. We studied the microRNA changes in HCT116 cells after exposure to 3 and 30 μg/mL TiO2-NPs. Except for small RNA sequencing experiments, the other experiments were tested in two cell lines.

3.4.1. Small RNA Annotation

After filtering, clean tags were mapped to small RNA database. Table 3 lists separate mapping rate for each sample and Figure 4 shows the distribution of tags. Figure 4a, 4b and 4c show the distribution of unique expressed small RNAs among different categories in control, TiO2-NPs-3 μg/mL and 30 μg/mL treated HCT116 cell lines, respectively. The numbers of annotated total microRNAs were most in TiO2-NPs-30 μg/mL treated HCT116 cell lines, then in control HCT116 cell lines, and the least in 3 μg/mL treated HCT116 cell lines. However, there were no significant differences in the number of microRNAs labeled in the three sample groups.

| Sample Name     | Total Tag  | Mapped Tag | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| control         | 34,995,302 | 29,921,249 | 85.50          |
| TiO2-NPs-3 μg/mL| 34,231,512 | 28,095,346 | 82.07          |
| TiO2-NPs-30 μg/mL| 39,190,347 | 30,681,926 | 78.29          |

3.4.2. Analysis of Significant Differences in microRNAs

Compared to the global expression of control cells, 34 and 24 genes expression was significantly altered (p < 0.05) after 3 and 30 μg/mL of TiO2-NPs treated for 24 h, respectively. In 3 μg/mL TiO2-NPs treated cells, 27 genes were downregulated and 7 genes were upregulated, while 13 genes were downregulated and 11 genes were upregulated in 30 μg/mL TiO2-NPs treated cells (Figure 5). Table 4 shows some of the differentially expressed microRNAs.
Figure 4. Proportion of small RNAs among different categories. To make every unique small RNA mapped to only one annotation, we follow the following priority rule: microRNA > piRNA > snRNA > Rfam > other RNAs. (a) Pie diagram for annotation of small RNA in control HCT116 cell lines (total for each category); (b) Pie diagram for annotation of small RNA in TiO$_2$-NPs-3 μg/mL treated HCT116 cell lines (total for each category); (c) Pie diagram for annotation of small RNA in TiO$_2$-30 μg/mL treated HCT116 cell lines (total for each category).
3.4.3. GO Function Analysis

The target genes of all differential microRNAs were functionally enriched and classified by Gene Ontology, and the functional distribution characteristics of the differential genes were macroscopically

Table 4. List of total microRNAs significantly regulated by TiO$_2$-NPs. The fold change was the log2-Ratio value, cut-off at log2-Ratio $\geq 1$ or log2Ratio $\leq -1$.

| TiO$_2$-NPs-3μg/mL | Fold Change | TiO$_2$-NPs-30μg/mL | Fold Change |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| hsa-miR-378f        | 3.520946008 | hsa-miR-378e        | 4.722807531 |
| hsa-miR-103a-3p     | 2.953006765 | hsa-miR-199b-3p     | 3.924812504 |
| hsa-miR-27b-5p      | 2.214124805 | hsa-miR-4443        | 2.025909891 |
| hsa-miR-4443        | 2.201674124 | hsa-miR-101-3p      | 1.893084796 |
| hsa-miR-378g        | 2.175707743 | hsa-miR-1307-5p     | 1.620411337 |
| hsa-miR-374c-3p     | 1.415037499 | hsa-miR-378b        | 1.180827839 |
| hsa-miR-378b        | 1.61172941  | hsa-miR-629-5p      | 1.152003093 |
| hsa-miR-29b-3p      | 1.132807408 | hsa-miR-339-5p      | 1.025943267 |
| hsa-miR-148b-3p     | 0.807030096 | hsa-miR-378i        | 0.619925001 |
| hsa-miR-3074-5p     | 7.105035471 | hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.148259549 |
| hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.824842349 | hsa-miR-199a-3p     | 1.180827839 |
| hsa-miR-378f        | 3.520946008 | hsa-miR-199a-3p     | 1.180827839 |
| hsa-miR-199a-3p     | 1.463024664 | hsa-miR-193b-5p     | 1.180827839 |
| hsa-miR-378e        | 4.722807531 | hsa-miR-629-5p      | 1.152003093 |
| hsa-miR-378c-3p     | 1.415037499 | hsa-miR-339-3p      | 1.025943267 |
| hsa-miR-378d-5p     | 1.235501962 | hsa-miR-378i        | 0.619925001 |
| hsa-miR-378i        | 1.235501962 | hsa-miR-378i        | 0.619925001 |
| hsa-miR-378b        | 1.61172941  | hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.148259549 |
| hsa-miR-378c-3p     | 1.415037499 | hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.148259549 |
| hsa-miR-378d-5p     | 1.235501962 | hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.148259549 |
| hsa-miR-378e        | 4.722807531 | hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.148259549 |
| hsa-miR-378f        | 3.520946008 | hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.148259549 |
| hsa-miR-378c-3p     | 1.415037499 | hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.148259549 |
| hsa-miR-378d-5p     | 1.235501962 | hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.148259549 |
| hsa-miR-378e        | 4.722807531 | hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.148259549 |
| hsa-miR-378f        | 3.520946008 | hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.148259549 |
| hsa-miR-378c-3p     | 1.415037499 | hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.148259549 |
| hsa-miR-378d-5p     | 1.235501962 | hsa-miR-374b-5p     | 3.148259549 |

Figure 5. Graph of statistical numbers of differentially expressed microRNA. X-axis represents each pair of differential alignment schemes, and Y-axis represents the corresponding number of differential microRNAs. A vs B means sample B is the control and sample A is the case. If a gene is upregulated it means the expression of this gene is upregulated in sample A compared to sample B.
recognized. Using all the coding protein genes as background genes, the p-value was calculated using the hypergeometric distribution and $p < 0.05$ was set as the significance threshold value. A high-frequency annotation with statistical significance relative to the background was obtained, so that the distribution information of the gene collection on the GO category can be obtained. GO has three ontologies: molecular function, cellular component, and biological process. The GO function of DESs target genes is mainly concentrated in the biological process, and the GO enrichment results of the DESs target genes of the experimental groups of 3 and 30 $\mu$g/mL were basically the same (Figure 6).

Table 5. Altered canonical pathways by TiO2-NPs in HCT116 cells.

| TiO2-NPs-3 $\mu$g/mL | TiO2-NPs-30 $\mu$g/mL |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Thiamine metabolism   | Thiamine metabolism   |
| Purine metabolism     | Purine metabolism     |
| Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis-chondroitin sulfate/dermatan sulfate | Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis-heparan sulfate/heparin |
| Metabolic pathways    | Lysine degradation     |
| Retinol metabolism    | Human Diseases Cancers |
| Transcriptional misregulation in cancer | microRNAs in cancer |
| N-Glycan biosynthesis | Environmental Information Processing |
| Notch signaling pathway | ECM-receptor interaction |
| Hedgehog signaling pathway | mTOR signaling Pathway |
| Phosphatidylinositol signaling system | Cellular Processes |
| Gap junction | Endocytosis |

Figure 6. GO classification map of target genes of differential microRNAs. (a) 3 $\mu$g/mL group VS control group; (b) 30 $\mu$g/mL group VS control group. X-axis represents number of DEGs (the number is presented by its square root value), Y-axis represents GO terms. All GO terms are grouped into three ontologies: blue is for biological processes, brown is for cellular component, and orange is for molecular function.
3.4.4. KEGG Pathway Analysis

We looked for canonical pathways altered by TiO$_2$-NPs treatment (Table 5). Since HCT116 is a colon cancer cell line, pathways that occurred in other cell types were not included in this analysis. Under these criteria, there are significant changes in the canonical pathways in TiO$_2$-NPs treated HCT116 cells (Figure 7). In these pathways, the enriched pathway can be roughly categorized into 4 different groups. Metabolism (Thiamine metabolism, Purine metabolism, Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis-chondroitin sulfate/dermatan sulfate, Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis-heparan sulfate/heparin, Metabolic pathways, Lysine degradation, Retinol metabolism), Human Diseases Cancers (Transcriptional misregulation in cancer, microRNAs in cancer, N-Glycan biosynthesis), Environmental Information Processing (Notch signaling pathway, ECM-receptor interaction, Hedgehog signaling pathway, mTOR signaling Pathway, Phosphatidylinositol signaling system), Cellular Processes (Gap junction, Endocytosis, Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells), which may be regulated by corresponding microRNAs. microRNAs may play an important role in TiO$_2$-NPs-mediated colonic cytotoxicity.

According to Tables 6 and 7, it can be found that the target genes of microRNA 378b and 378g are involved in basic biological processes and functions such as cell metabolism, transcriptional regulation, protein modification, cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis. Among the more familiar target genes related to cell growth, apoptosis and metabolism are MAPK1, CASP5, BCL2L2, MAPK3, and so on. In related research, it was found that nano-TiO$_2$ significantly inhibited the viability of rat Sertoli cells in a concentration-dependent manner. Additionally, upregulated the expression of p-PKC and p-p38/MAPK in cells in a dose-dependent manner, thereby inducing cellular immune dysfunction, thereby triggering NF-κB activation and eventually inducing the expression of inflammatory factors in cells [35]. The MAPK pathway may be regulated by microRNA 378b and 378g to affect cell viability. The expression levels of miRNA 378b and 378g were verified in two colon cells by RT-PCR for their possible regulatory effects.

Table 5. Altered canonical pathways by TiO$_2$-NPs in HCT116 cells.

| TiO$_2$-NPs-3 μg/mL | TiO$_2$-NPs-30 μg/mL |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| Thiamine metabolism | Thiamine metabolism |
| Purine metabolism   | Purine metabolism   |
| Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis—chondroitin sulfate/dermatan sulfate | Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis—chondroitin sulfate/dermatan sulfate |
| Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis—heparan sulfate/heparin | Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis—heparan sulfate/heparin |
| Metabolic pathways  | Metabolic pathways  |
| Notch signaling pathway | Notch signaling pathway |
| ECM-receptor interaction | ECM-receptor interaction |
| Vibrio cholerae infection | Vibrio cholerae infection |
| Lysine degradation   | Lysine degradation   |
| Hedgehog signaling pathway | Hedgehog signaling pathway |
| Axon guidance        | Axon guidance        |
| cAMP pathway         | cAMP pathway         |
| Gap junction          | Gap junction          |
| Transcriptional misregulation in cancer | Transcriptional misregulation in cancer |
| Metabolic pathways   | Metabolic pathways   |
| Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis—chondroitin sulfate/dermatan sulfate | Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis—chondroitin sulfate/dermatan sulfate |
| Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis—heparan sulfate/heparin | Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis—heparan sulfate/heparin |
| Phosphatidylinositol signaling system | Phosphatidylinositol signaling system |
| Notch signaling pathway | Notch signaling pathway |
| Endocytosis          | Endocytosis          |
| Breast cancer        | Breast cancer        |
| Lysine degradation   | Lysine degradation   |
| N-Glycan biosynthesis | N-Glycan biosynthesis |
| Hippo signaling pathway—multiple species | Hippo signaling pathway—multiple species |
| Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells | Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells |
| cAMP pathway         | cAMP pathway         |
| MicroRNAs in cancer  | MicroRNAs in cancer  |
| Prion diseases       | Prion diseases       |
| mTOR signaling pathway | mTOR signaling pathway |
| MAPK/ERK pathway     | MAPK/ERK pathway     |
Figure 7. The KEGG pathway annotation classification chart of the differential microRNA target gene. 
(a) 3 μg/mL group VS control group; (b) 30 μg/mL group VS control group. The abscissa is the number of target genes that differ in small RNA, and the ordinate represents the secondary KEGG pathway. The secondary pathways belong to different primary pathways, respectively, and different levels represent the first-level communication categories.
Table 6. List of predicted microRNA 378b target gene.

| Target Gene | Gene Name | Cumulative Weighted Context++ Score |
|-------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| GOLT1A      | golgi transport 1A | -0.39                             |
| SBDS        | Shwachman–Bodian–Diamond syndrome | -0.47                             |
| EIF4G3      | eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4 gamma, 3 | -0.47                             |
| KIAA1522    | KIAA1522 | -0.46                             |
| VANGL1      | VANGL planar cell polarity protein 1 | -0.46                             |
| ZFP36L2     | ZFP36 ring finger protein-like 2 | -0.39                             |
| PTPTR       | protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type, T | -0.36                             |
| TOB2        | transducer of ERBB2, 2 | -0.34                             |
| ATG12       | autophagy related 12 | -0.32                             |
| MAPK1       | mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 | -0.31                             |
| DACT1       | dishevelled-binding antagonist of beta-catenin 1 | -0.31                             |
| KIF2A       | kinesin heavy chain member 2A | -0.31                             |
| VATE1       | vesicle amine transport 1-like | -0.29                             |
| RBMS1       | RNA binding motif, single-stranded interacting protein 1 | -0.29                             |
| SAMD1       | sterile alpha motif domain containing 1 | -0.27                             |
| SCAMP2      | secretory carrier membrane protein 2 | -0.27                             |
| RNF144B     | ring finger protein 144B | -0.26                             |
| PIWI2       | piwi-like RNA-mediated gene silencing 2 | -0.25                             |
| ZDHHC9      | zinc finger, DHHC-type containing 9 | -0.22                             |
| SUPF        | suppressor of fused homolog (Drosophila) | -0.22                             |
| GSTM4       | glutathione S-transferase mu 4 | -0.20                             |
| CSNK1G2     | casein kinase 1, gamma 2 | -0.20                             |
| UBQLN4      | ubiquilin 4 | -0.19                             |
| ALPK3       | alpha-kinase 3 | -0.18                             |
| CTDPI       | CTD (carboxy-terminal domain, RNA polymerase II, | -0.17                             |
| DYNClL2     | dynein, cytoplasmic 1, light intermediate chain 2 | -0.17                             |
| WDR37       | WD repeat domain 37 | -0.17                             |
| IGFI1       | insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor | -0.16                             |
| ZKSCAN1     | zinc finger with KRAB and SCAN domains 1 | -0.15                             |
| CADD4       | cell adhesion molecule 4 | -0.15                             |
| FCR1L       | Fc receptor-like B | -0.15                             |
| CUEDC1      | CUE domain containing 1 | -0.14                             |
| PHF15       | PHD finger protein 15 | -0.14                             |

Table 7. List of predicted microRNA 378g target gene.

| Target Gene | Gene Name | Cumulative Weighted Context++ Score |
|-------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| STARD9      | StAR-related lipid transfer (START) domain containing 9 | -1.01                             |
| AKAP13      | A kinase (PRKA) anchor protein 13 | -0.88                             |
| TMEM49      | transmembrane protein 91 | -0.88                             |
| ADM2        | adrenomedullin 2 | -0.88                             |
| HMHB1       | histocompatibility (minor) HB-1 | -0.84                             |
| MYL12B      | myosin, light chain 12B, regulatory | -0.79                             |
| GP9         | glycoprotein IX (platelet) | -0.75                             |
| NDUF41L2    | NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 1 alpha subcomplex, 4-like 2 | -0.78                             |
| GRAF1       | GRB2-related adaptor protein-like | -0.78                             |
| DAD1        | defender against cell death | -0.75                             |
| SLC39A4     | solute carrier family 39 (zinc transporter), member 4 | -0.75                             |
| G5S8        | growth arrest-specific 8 | -0.73                             |
| SCAMP5      | secretory carrier membrane protein 5 | -0.72                             |
| ERI3        | ERII exoribonuclease family member 3 | -0.72                             |
| CARD16      | caspase recruitment domain family, member 16 | -0.71                             |
| GLTPD1      | glycolipid transfer protein domain containing 1 | -0.69                             |
| IFI30       | Interferon, gamma-inducible protein 30 | -0.69                             |
| CASP5       | caspase 5, apoptosis-related cysteine peptidase | -0.68                             |
| ME3         | malic enzyme 3, NADP(+)-dependent, mitochondrial | -0.64                             |
| KCNN1       | potassium voltage-gated channel, Shab-related subfamily, member 1 | -0.64                             |
| PPT4R1L     | protein phosphatase 4, regulatory subunit 1-like | -0.64                             |
| BCIL2L2     | BCL2-like 2 | -0.56                             |
| NKA1N1      | Na+/K+ transporting ATPase interacting 1 | -0.55                             |
| MAP3K3      | mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 3 | -0.49                             |
| PRKACKG     | protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, catalytic, gamma | -0.47                             |
| BOC         | BOC cell adhesion-associated, oncogene regulated | -0.47                             |
| PRKACA      | protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, catalytic, alpha | -0.45                             |
| MAPK3       | mitogen-activated protein kinase 3 | -0.42                             |
| GRB2        | growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 | -0.40                             |
3.5. RT-qPCR

The expression levels showed a dose response for microRNA 378b (Figure 8a) and 378g (Figure 8b) from 3 to 30 µg/mL TiO$_2$-NPs treatments. And microRNA 378b were significantly altered. Overall, the data from qRT-PCR correlated well with the microRNA data and demonstrated the changes of these microRNAs at 3 and 30 µg/mL treated samples.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 8.** The expression patterns of microRNAs 378b and 378g at 3 and 60 µg/mL treatments in HCT116 and NCM460 cell lines. (a) Expression of microRNAs 378b; (b) Expression of microRNAs 378g. The experiments were carried out as in triplicate and data presented are in represent mean values. * indicates means $p < 0.05$, ** indicates means $p < 0.01$.

MicroRNAs were extracted from HCT116 and NCM460 cells treated with TiO$_2$-NPs and verified the expression changes of microRNA 378b and 378g by qRT-PCR. The results showed that the expression of miRNA 378b and miRNA 378g were consistent with the differential gene expression detected by HCT116 cell microRNA expression profiling, which proved the accuracy of microRNA expression profiling data. The expression of miRNA 378b was stable in NCM460 cells treated with TiO$_2$-NPs, and the expression of miRNA 378g was decreased when treated with 3-6 µg/mL TiO$_2$-NPs, and the expression increased with the increase of TiO$_2$-NPs concentration. When the concentration was increased to 30-60 µg/mL, the expression level returned to normal. The differential expression of miRNA 378b and 378g induced by TiO$_2$-NPs may be related to the cell line type. Our research group will conduct further studies to assess the regulatory effects of the differential expression of miRNA 378b and 378g in the two colon cell lines on related target genes.

4. Discussion

Toxicological effects of nanoparticles are to reveal the basic laws of interaction between nanoparticles and living systems, which ensure the steady development of nanotechnology itself [3,4]. The TiO$_2$-NPs can easily enter the cell through the cell membrane because of the ultra-fine nature [13,14]. However, due to its large-specific surface area and high-reactivity, it may interact with many organelles and biological macromolecules in the cell, which can cause the intracellular microenvironment and cell function changes, and even cause genetic mutations and gene-level damage [12–17]. But so far, the mechanism of nanoparticle-induced tissue and cell damage is unclear, and the molecular level changes involved in this process are still unclear. Nevertheless, most researches have been focusing on the toxicity mechanism, exposure effects, toxicity effects, migration and transformation pathways, and risk prediction of TiO$_2$-NPs [5,6,9,16,17].

Recently, studies on the interaction of biological macromolecules and molecular regulation mechanisms has just begun [5,17,19]. At present, studies on various cell lines have shown that TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (NPs) induced the inflammatory response and cytotoxicity [36,37]. However, little is known about the potential mechanism of microRNA response in colon cells caused by TiO$_2$-NPs.
exposure. In this study, HCT116 and NCM460 cell lines were selected to evaluate the colonic cytotoxicity of TiO$_2$-NPs. Similarly, cell viability testing is a vital step in toxicology testing which shows the response of cells to drugs and provides information about cell death and survival [5,13,14,17–19,36,37]. In our study, when the concentration of TiO$_2$-NPs reached 60 µg/mL, the viability of both cells decreased to about 80%. These results indicate that TiO$_2$-NPs are slight toxic to colon cells and inhibited cell viability.

The ROS mechanism is one of the most commonly accepted oxides for assessing the toxicity of nanomaterials [9,14]. Similarly, nanoparticles with active ingredients on the surface enhance their ability to produce ROS [5,6]. ROS mainly include superoxide ions, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radicals, etc. Trace reactive oxygen species play an important role in the regulation of certain physiological phenomena, while excess reactive oxygen species can cause oxidative damage to cellular macromolecules [37,38]. If exogenous substances cause overactive oxygen in the body, or reduce the amount of antioxidants, the structure and function of cells may be destroyed when the balance of ROS in the body is destroyed [38], and oxidative damage also causes apoptosis [38,39]. Our results show that the content of reactive oxygen species in HCT116 and NCM460 cells increased with the increase of TiO$_2$-NPs concentration under the treatment of less than 60 µg/mL. Moreover, the intracellular ROS content considerably increased compared to control group at the concentration of 30–60 µg/mL TiO$_2$-NPs and reached the highest at 60 µg/mL concentration. This indicates that the excessive accumulation of ROS induced by TiO$_2$-NPs may be an important cause of cytotoxicity.

Due to unique physical and chemical properties of nanomaterials, traditional toxicological detection methods are not often completely applicable. Especially under low-dose exposure of nanomaterials (such as environmental exposure conditions), there is no significant oxidative stress damage, some indirect toxic effects such as secondary toxic reactions and compensatory damage effects are often ignored [39]. In the maintenance and transmission of epigenetic information, RNA editing is an important carrier. RNA levels can change rapidly to alter the stress and metabolic state of cells under endogenous and exogenous stimuli [23,24,40–42]. Therefore, microRNA expression levels are considered as sensitive early indicators of the cell/body response to endogenous and exogenous stimuli [23,24,42].

At present, there are many unknowns about the changing rules and related mechanisms of the changes in microRNA levels caused by nanomaterial exposures [43,44]. There are many regulatory factors in the development and progression of cancer. However, in vivo studies have demonstrated that titanium dioxide facilitates growth of chemically induced colorectal tumors and induce transcriptomic changes suggestive of an impaired immune system and cancer development [35,36,43,44]. MicroRNA regulates cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis, such as cytokines, transcription factors, growth factors, pro-apoptotic and anti-apoptotic genes, by regulating the expression of signaling molecules, indicating that microRNAs are closely related to tumorigenesis development [40,41]. Deregulation of microRNA expression may be an important factor in tumorigenesis and cancer progression [42,45]. New researches suggest that certain expression changes in oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes may also lead to colon cancer [43,44,46]. Moreover, in vitro studies showed that TiO$_2$-NPs induced oxidative stress responses, DNA damage, and micronuclei formation, which showed that TiO$_2$-NPs induce gene expression changes related to signaling, the olfactory/GPCR receptor family, oxidative stress, inflammation, immune system, transport and cancer. The gene expression changes associated with the immune system and inflammation induced by TiO$_2$-NPs suggest the creation of a favorable environment for colon cancer development [37,43,44].

Furthermore, using nuclear factor (NF)-kappa B reporter gene assays, TiO$_2$-NPs-induced IL8 mRNA expression occurs in part through activation of NF-kappa B and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways in the human colon adenocarcinoma cell line Caco-2 [36] and those results demonstrate that TiO$_2$-NPs cause an activation of inflammatory pathways in the human colon adenocarcinoma cell line Caco-2. Nevertheless, studying changes in microRNA expression may help to more clearly understand the mechanisms of colon cancer development, progression, migration, and recurrence, as well as contribute to the early diagnosis and treatment of colon cancer. The detailed function of
microRNA in colon cancer development has not been clearly defined. However, it has been found that the target pathways of microRNAs in colon tissue include cAMP, Wnt, TGF-β, MAPK, and mTOR signaling pathways [47–49]. MicroRNAs may control genes in these pathways to regulate colon tissue development and pathology [50].

Moreover, function of some microRNAs has been extensively studied. Although the test dose of TiO$_2$-NPs was not significantly cytotoxic to HCT116 cells, the expression of microRNA changed significantly. The expression of microRNA changes was examined in GO function analysis and ingenuity pathway analysis to delineate associated canonical/signaling pathways. The purpose was to analyze microRNA profiling of NCM460 and HCT116 cells after exposure to TiO$_2$-NPs. Comparative analysis identified 34 and 24 microRNAs were significantly altered in the TiO$_2$-NPs treated cells at concentrations of 3 and 30 µg/mL, respectively. Additionally, functional classification demonstrated that a large proportion of genes involved in thiamine metabolism, purine metabolism, glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis—chondroitin sulfate/dermatan sulfate, metabolic pathways, notch signaling pathway, glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis—heparan sulfate/heparin, transcriptional misregulation in cancer, lysine degradation, ECM-receptor interaction, amoebiasis, cAMP pathway and mTOR signaling pathway were significantly upregulated by TiO$_2$-NPs.

In addition, bioinformatics analysis suggested that microRNA 378 may be an early indicator of cellular response to exogenous stimuli with apoptosis signals. Contrary, many genes are known to be regulated by microRNAs, and the expression of microRNAs is altered by nano-titanium dioxide treatment in these pathways. Hence, the microRNA 378 family that is involved in metabolism, transcriptional regulation, protein modification, cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis were altered by TiO$_2$-NPs treatments. However, studies have shown that microRNA 378 has biological functions that regulate a variety of tumor cells, including cell proliferation, migration and invasion, and drug resistance [51–54]. In gastric cancer, microRNA 378 affects cell proliferation and cell cycle by targeting CDK6 and VEGF genes [52]. Also, microRNA 378 inhibits the growth and proliferation of tumor cells in hepatocellular carcinoma [54]. MicroRNA 378 inhibits proliferation and migration of colon cancer cells by targeting SDAD1 [55]. Specifically, TiO$_2$-NPs altered the expression of certain microRNAs and also regulate relevant microRNAs to their target genes [56,57]. This suggests that nanoparticles may disrupt the expression of genes in cells, and as the regulatory systems, microRNAs may correct perturbations by enhancing transcriptional programs or attenuating aberrant transcripts.

5. Conclusions

MicroRNAs play a crucial role in our biological systems and this is the first study to investigate toxicological effects of TiO$_2$-NPs on the expression of microRNAs in colonic cell lines. MicroRNAs or genes altered by NPs may have adverse effects on the intestinal system by altering certain specific signaling pathways. Altogether, this study indicates that microRNAs may play an important role in TiO$_2$-NPs-mediated colonic cytotoxicity, which may provide a valuable insight into the molecular mechanisms of potential risks in colitis and colon cancer. It is, therefore, necessary to conduct further studies in understanding the molecular mechanisms induced by TiO$_2$-NPs in the regulation of specific microRNAs.
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