Percutaneous Repair of an Aortic Pseudoaneurysm Status Post-Surgical Repair of Stanford Type A Dissection

Brinder S Kanda, MD, FACC, FSCAI*, Nicholas E Hoyt†, John Haas, MD, Houman Tavaf-Motamen, MD, Ronald Morford, MD, Tina Wesley, BSN, Kenneth Saum, MD and Michael MacCormac, MD

Centra Health Heart and Vascular Center, USA

Abstract

A middle-aged Caucasian woman presented with an ascending aortic pseudoaneurysm (AAP) located at the suture site of her previous surgical dissection repair. The pseudoaneurysm was located along the anterior aspect of the ascending thoracic aorta, above the right coronary cusp. We demonstrate successful percutaneous repair of the pseudoaneurysm using a ventricular septal occluder (VSO) and discuss the significance of this procedure for future cardiovascular care.
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Introduction

A 56-year-old Caucasian woman with a history of active tobacco abuse, systemic lupus erythematosus, and hypothyroidism underwent emergent surgical repair of a Stanford type A aortic dissection with a 28 mm Gelweave graft. Her aortic valve was intact and did not require repair. She also underwent empiric aortocoronary bypass with saphenous vein grafting to the left anterior descending artery (LAD). Her recovery was slow, and her hospital course was prolonged, but she was eventually discharged home. One-month routine follow-up computer topography angiography (CTA) of her aorta demonstrated that a pseudoaneurysm had developed at the suture site along the anterior aspect of the ascending thoracic aorta, above the right coronary cusp (Figure 1A and Figure 1B). The patient was referred to the heart clinic for further management.

Differential diagnoses included a true aneurysm, pseudoaneurysm, and mycotic aneurysm. CTA was utilized to distinguish pseudoaneurysm from true aneurysm based on the visualization of disruption of the intimal and medial layers of the ascending aorta. Lack of infectious signs and symptoms, plus negative blood cultures, served to exclude a mycotic aneurysm. At this time, the patient reports nonspecific fatigue and atypical nonexertional chest pain symptoms.

Methods

The patient was evaluated by our Structural Heart Team, and her computed topography (CT) scan was reviewed at our Multidisciplinary Structural Conference. Her CT demonstrated a pseudoaneurysm located at the proximal suture site, along the anterior aspect of the ascending thoracic aorta, just above the right coronary cusp (Figure 1A and Figure 1B). The neck of the pseudoaneurysm was 9.5 mm (Figure 2A), the depth was 16.5 mm (Figure 2B), and the side-to-side diameter was 21.2 mm (Figure 2C). The distance of the right coronary artery was 0.5 cm from the pseudoaneurysm.
artery (RCA) ostium from the neck of the pseudoaneurysm sac was 7.5 mm.

Our team decided that the patient was not a good candidate for redo-sternotomy due to her significant frailty, and the prior difficult recovery from her initial operation. We proceeded with percutaneous repair, as the anatomical positioning seemed favorable. We elected to use a 12 mm Amplatzer muscular VSO (Abbott Laboratories), as this provided a safe landing zone above the RCA, based on three-dimensional CT reconstruction.

Figure 1: A) Solid green arrows: Proximal and distal suture lines of the Gelweave repair graft; Solid yellow arrow: Saphenous vein graft to the left anterior descending artery; Solid blue arrows: Right coronary artery; Solid red arrow: Location of the ascending aortic pseudoaneurysm at the suture line; B) Solid red arrow: Location of the ascending aortic pseudoaneurysm at the suture line.

After a rigorous Structural team review, we had an open discussion with the patient and her family. She understood that this was an off-label procedure and wished to proceed. We accessed the patient’s bilateral common femoral arteries using a modified Seldinger technique under fluoroscopic and ultrasound guidance. An 8-French sheath was placed on the right groin, and a 5-French sheath was placed on the left groin. A 9-French left femoral venous sheath was also placed using modified Seldinger technique in the anticipation of requiring intracardiac echocardiography. The patient was anticoagulated with systemic heparin. We kept the activated

Figure 2: A) Demonstrating the neck measurement of the pseudoaneurysm; B) Demonstrating the depth measurement of the pseudoaneurysm; C) Demonstrating the side-to-side (width) measurement of the pseudoaneurysm.
Results

The patient was seen in our office two weeks status post pseudoaneurysm repair. A limited echocardiogram showed the muscular VSD occluder to be in a good position. There was no compromise of the aortic valve or nearby structures. The patient denied any signs of hemolysis. She had no hematuria or difficulty urinating. Her chest pain had resolved. Physical exam findings were normal and showed a well healed midline scar.

The most recent chest CTA, three weeks status post procedure, demonstrated successful repair of the small AAP associated with the original surgery (Figure 5A, Figure 5B and Figure 5C). Persistent mild pericardial effusion was present as well as cardiomegaly.

Discussion

Aortic pseudoaneurysms occur when the intima and media of a vessel are disrupted. They are enclosed by adventitia and the surrounding structures of the mediastinum [1]. Without intervention, aortic pseudoaneurysms are usually problematic, as they are likely to expand in size, compressing and ultimately degrading surrounding structures while also acting as a source of persistent infection and systemic embolism [2].

Ascending aortic pseudoaneurysms develop from cannulation sites from aortic perfusion catheters, origin of saphenous vein grafts conduits, cardioplegia cannulation sites, and aortotomy sites from aortic valve replacement during pulmonary bypass. Ascending aortic pseudoaneurysms are difficult to treat, and

![Figure 3: A) Red arrows: Demonstrating intraoperative angiography of the AAP; B) Yellow arrow: Demonstrating well seated occluder device; Blue arrows: Patent RCA; C) Selective engagement of the RCA, while occluder is in place.](image-url)
Surgical techniques to repair ascending aortic pseudoaneurysms have shown higher rates of morbidity and mortality than an endovascular approach [3].

The incidence rates of ascending aortic pseudoaneurysms have been reported to be as low as 0.5%, but one surveillance imaging series found a higher incidence rate in patients following cardiac or aortic surgery [4]. A study of ascending aortic pseudoaneurysms between 1980 and 2014 discovered that most ascending aortic pseudoaneurysm cases were surgically treated with a Dacron graft, Hemashield graft, pericardial patch, interrupted stitches, or a hybrid procedure in combination with stent grafts. Endovascular approach was less common [5].

Surgical treatment of this condition carries significant morbidity and mortality. Due to variable location and different anatomical challenges, there is no standard percutaneous approach or dedicated device. Depending on the location, sometimes stent grafts can be utilized. Stent grafts are usually designed for the descending, distal arch and abdominal aortic pathology. The course of the ascending aorta and arch, along with the variability of the great vessels and coronary takeoffs, make this anatomy difficult to navigate [6]. Vascular plugs, atrial septal occluders, and ventricular septal occluders can be used, depending on the anatomical requirements.

Our case study demonstrates a percutaneous ap-
proach for successfully treating the AAP utilizing the VSD occluder device. Due to proximity of RCA, we were careful in our device choice. There is no “one size fits all” approach for treating this rare complication. In certain cases, redo sternotomy may still be required. A careful, comprehensive, and multidisciplinary team approach can benefit patients when planning the correction of an AAP.

Conclusion

Aortic pseudoaneurysms are a rare complication following aortic surgery. If left untreated, they can expand and compress other structures, rupture, or even become a source of infection. While surgical intervention to repair the AAP is most common, it carries a higher rate of mortality and morbidity than an endovascular approach. The complex anatomy of the ascending aorta and aortic arch make it difficult to apply endovascular grafting techniques, but our case study has demonstrated that it is still possible to utilize an endovascular approach with an Amplatzer muscular VSD occluder device. Correct placement was absolutely necessary as our patient’s pseudoaneurysm was located within 1 mm of the RCA. This location increased the risk of RCA dissection or occlusion. By using an endovascular approach, we reduced the patient’s likelihood of morbidity and mortality with a redo sternotomy.
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