A chiral thiourea and a phosphazene for fast and stereoselective organocatalytic ring-opening-polymerization of racemic lactide.

Control of stereoregularity is inherent to precision polymerization chemistry for the development of functional materials. A prototypical example of this strategy is the ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of racemic lactide (rac-LA), a bio-sourced monomer. Despite significant advances in organocatalysis, stereoselective ROP of rac-LA employing chiral organocatalysts remains unexplored. Here we tackle that challenge by resorting to the Takemoto’s catalyst, a chiral aminothiourea, in presence of a phosphazene base. This chiral binary organocatalytic system allows for fast, chemo- and stereoselective ROP of rac-LA at room temperature, yielding highly isotactic, semi-crystalline and metal-free polylactide, with a melting temperature as high as 187 °C.

Poly lactide (PLA) is a commercially available, bio-derived, fully biodegradable and recyclable thermoplastic.1-2 PLA is a very promising substitution of petroleum-based polymers in various applications, notably in the biomedical, pharmaceutical and packaging fields.3-5 Thermo-mechanical properties of PLA strongly depend however on its microstructure, i.e. its tacticity.6 While atactic PLA is amorphous showing a glass transition (Tg) around 50 °C, both heterotactic and isotactic PLAs exhibit semi-crystalline properties, with a melting temperature (Tm) in the 130-180 °C range and improved mechanical strength. Interestingly, L-PLA and D-PLA enantiomers can co-crystallize when blended in a 1:1 molar ratio, forming a stereo complex showing an even higher Tm, i.e. up to 230 °C.7 Control over the stereochemistry of PLA thus plays a crucial role, as it defines its crystallinity and biodegradability, and the final usage of PLA-based materials.8-9 The stereoselective ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of racemic lactide (rac-LA) is a typical example of stereocontrolled polymer synthesis. Two distinct mechanisms can take place in that case, namely, the enantiomorphic site control (ESC) mechanism and the chain-end control (CEC) mechanism.10-11 Stereoselective ROP of rac-LA has mainly been accomplished from organometallic complexes operating by coordination-insertion. Aluminum-based complexes featuring salen-type ligands, as originally reported by Spassky et al.12 and further by many other groups,13-18 are representative examples of metal-based catalysts. While excellent stereocntrol can be achieved, there are some limitations to overcome. For instance, metal-based catalyst storage is tedious, adding to the final cost of the resulting PLA. Finally, stereoselective ROP reactions sometimes require very low reaction temperatures. Consequently, there is still a need to develop catalytic systems that could simultaneously exhibit high activity, (stereo)selectivity under mild conditions, i.e. at room temperature or above, easy synthesis and facile handling. Organocatalysts have emerged as attractive alternatives of organometallic catalysts in ROP reactions.9 This is due to the high polymerization control they provide, some of them, e.g. N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) (1, 2; Figure 1)19, phosphazenes (3, 4)20-21, guanidines (5)22, thioureas (6)24,30,32 or amino acids (7)25 exhibiting high polymerization rates. To date, only a handful of studies of stereocontrolled ROPs of rac-LA, employing either chiral or achiral organo-based catalysts, have been reported (Figure 1).19-29 However, organocatalysts combining high stereoselectivity and catalytic activity are lacking. In this context, our group has recently reported that the commercial (R,R) and (S,S) enantiomers of chiral thiourea-amine Takemoto’s organocatalysts can promote rather high isoselectivity of the ROP of rac-LA, yielding isotactic enriched PLA.24 Related kinetic studies have evidenced the concomitant occurrence of both CEC and ESC mechanisms. Despite excellent control, ROP reactions have shown a rather poor catalytic activity. Recently, the Waymouth group and others have established that treatment of (thio)ureas with a strong base generates (thio)imidates anions, providing unprecedented activity for the ROP of cyclic esters, overcoming some of the limitations associated with the use of (thio)ureas.32-34 We envisioned that, if used in conjunction with a phosphazene organic base, Takemoto’s organocatalysts could combine both high polymerization activity and stereoselectivity in a cooperative manner (Figure 1). Here we report a unique chiral binary organocatalysis system consisting of the chiral Takemoto’s catalyst used in conjunction with a phosphazene base. This combination enables to achieve a remarkably high organocatalytic activity and stereoselectivity for the ROP of rac-LA.
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LA, yielding metal-free PLAs with a melting temperature as high as 187 °C, and a probability for forming meso dyads (P_m) of 0.96.
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Figure 1. Organocatalysts for stereoselective ROP of rac-LA.

Organic bases such as 1-tert-butyl-2,4,4,4-pentakis(dimethylamino)-2A(2A)-4A-4A-4A-catenadi(phosphazene) (P2-t-Bu) and tert-butylimino-tris(dimethylamino)phosphorane, N'-tert-butyl-N,N,N',N',N''-hexamethylphosphorimidic triamide (P1-t-Bu) were paired with the Takemoto’s chiral thiourea-based organocatalysts (TUCs). ROP reactions were carried out by adding the phosphazene base and the TUC in this order, followed by benzyl alcohol (BnOH) and rac-LA at room temperature, using either DCM, THF or toluene as solvent (ESI, Scheme S1). The ROP of rac-LA was first investigated in toluene using P2-t-Bu and P1-t-Bu alone, under the following conditions: [rac-LA]/[BnOH]/[Base]_0 = 200/1/1. P2-t-Bu and P1-t-Bu achieved 90% monomer conversion in 30 and 120 min, respectively, forming PLAs of narrow dispersities (Đ = 1.2 and 1.3; Table 1, runs 1 and 6). These PLA materials synthesized from phosphazene bases used alone were found to be atactic (ESI, Figures S1 and S8), indicating the absence of stereocontrol at room temperature during the ROP of rac-LA. To optimize catalytic performances and gain in stereoselectivity, chiral TUCs were associated to phosphazene bases, and their ratio was increased from 1/1 to 4/1 rel. to the phosphazene. When using P2-t-Bu paired with both of the (R, R) and the (S, S) TUCs, the ROP of rac-LA in toluene proceeded under homogeneous conditions at 25 °C. Monomer conversions reached 90% within 4 hours (Table 1, run 5). It is worth pointing out that polymerizations carried out in THF or in DCM produced atactic PLAs, under otherwise identical conditions to those used in toluene (ESI, Table S1, runs 1-2; Figures S2 and S9).

Homodecoupled 1H NMR spectroscopy of the resulting compounds revealed the formation of highly isotactic-enriched PLAs, with P_m values in the range 0.87 – 0.89 (Table 1, runs 3, 4 and 5). Interestingly, P1-t-Bu, a less hindered and weaker base with a pK_b value closer to that of the TUCs (pK_b = 15.7 and 13.7, respectively in DMSO)\textsuperscript{36-37} led to an extremely high stereoselectivity (P_m = 0.96; Table 1, run 10; Figure 2a). This result thus compares to values that can be achieved from some organometallic catalytic systems.\textsuperscript{38-39} Polymerization exhibited, however, a slightly lower activity, reaching 90% within 8 hours. In addition, P1-t-Bu showed stereoselectivity even at 45 and 80 °C in toluene. As expected though, stereocontrol was found to decrease upon increasing temperature, i.e. P_m = 0.84 and 0.75 respectively (Table S1, runs 3 and 4; ESI, Figure S4). On the other hand, increasing the ratio of TUC to the phosphazene base, from 0 to 4, improved the stereocontrol significantly, with an evolution from an entirely amorphous and atactic PLA in presence of P1-t-Bu alone, to a highly semi-crystalline PLA with a P_m of 0.96. Use of an excess of TUC did not show any effect on monomer conversion in the concentration range examined. This observation is in contrast to previous results by Kiesewetter et al. who reported that the catalytic activity of thioureas can be compromised by side self-associations at high concentrations.\textsuperscript{40} Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analyses confirmed the formation of semi-crystalline PLA materials synthesized from the duo of organic catalysts consisting of the chiral TUC and the phosphazene base, with a defined melting temperature (T_m) at ca. 160 – 187 °C. The extent of crystallinity could be appreciably enhanced upon annealing, with a T_m value of the PLA prepared from P1-t-Bu/TUC (1/4) (Table 1, run 10) being increased from 187 °C to 195 °C after annealing (Figure 2b).

Figure 2a. Stacked homodecoupling 1H NMR spectrum of the methine region of PLA produced using P2-t-Bu (Table 1, run 6) (black curve) and P1-t-Bu/TUC (Table 1, run 10) (red curve). Figure 2b. DSC thermograms (1st scan; 10 °C/min) of the initial (red curve) and annealed (black curve) PLA (Table 1, run 10).

Interactions involved between TUC, the phosphazene base and the BnOH alcohol initiator were probed by 1H NMR spectroscopy in toluene-d_8, from equimolar amounts of each component at room temperature. The 1H NMR spectra (ESI, Figure S5) showed that complete deprotonation of TUC by P2-t-Bu occurred, forming the corresponding phosphazenum thioimidate (P2-t-Bu/TUC ion pair), as evidenced by the disappearance of signals due to the N-H proton (δ = 2.7 and 3.9 ppm) and the appearance of a new broad peak (δ = 5.7 ppm), which can be assigned to the protonated amine of the resulting phosphazenum. In contrast, N-H proton of TUC only slightly shifted in presence of P1-t-Bu, suggesting in this case a hydrogen-bond interaction, rather than a complete deprotonation of TUC forming a phosphazenum thioimidate (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{41}
Adding BnOH onto the P$_2$-t-Bu/TUC ion yielded the corresponding phosphazcnium alkoxide (BnO$^-$) ion pair (red line, Figure 3). This was confirmed by a reduced deshielding effect of the methylene protons (A, Figure 3) in the BnOH/TUC/P$_2$-t-Bu (1/1/1) mixture ($\delta$ = 4.63 ppm), relatively to the BnOH/P$_2$-t-Bu (1/1) mixture ($\delta$ = 4.89 ppm). This unexpected downfield shift of benzylic protons might be explained by specific interactions developing between the alkoxide moiety and the phosphazcnium cation (see Scheme S2 in ESI). A weaker deshielding effect of methylene protons of BnOH was noted in the case of the BnOH/TUC/P$_2$-t-Bu (1/1/1) mixture, in comparison to free BnOH ($\delta$ = 4.43 vs. 4.26 ppm, purple line, Figure 3). In other words, P$_2$-t-Bu would favor stereocntrol via an associated mechanism involving hydrogen-bonding, as illustrated in Figure 3 (see also Figure 1). In contrast, the deprotonated form of TUC would operate in presence of the stronger phosphazcnium base, P$_2$-t-Bu (higher pK$_a$ value than P$_2$-t-Bu). These results do correlate with a lower reactivity observed with P$_2$-t-Bu/TUC.

Kinetic studies confirmed the controlled nature of the ROP of rac-LA by P$_2$-t-Bu/TUC. Polymer number average molar masses ($M_n$) were indeed found to increase linearly with the monomer conversions, and dispersities remained very low, consistently with a controlled ROP process and high chain-end fidelity (Figures 4a and ESI, S6). Moreover, no evidence of transesterification was noted in the resulting MALDI-Tof mass spectrum, i.e. no detection of a molar mass loss of 72 g mol$^{-1}$ was observed, highlighting the selectivity of the chiral binary organocatalytic system for the ROP of rac-LA (Figure 4b). The isotopic single distribution of peaks was consistent with the formation of an α-benzylxoy,ω-hydroxy PLA (cationized with sodium), with a peak-to-peak mass increment of 144 g mol$^{-1}$ corresponding to the molar mass of a LA monomer unit.

Further experiments involving P$_1$-t-Bu/(S, S) TUC allowed assessing the stereocntrol of this organocatalytic ROP process. The determination of the propagation rate constant, denoted as $k_{obs}$, indeed enables to estimate any preference of the catalyst for a given monomer (D-LA vs. L-LA). Monitoring the ROP of rac-LA revealed a first-order kinetic with two distinct slopes ($k_{obs}^1$ = 0.015, $k_{obs}^2$ = 0.0065; ESI, Figure S7). A decrease in rate could be noted after 200 minutes. This deceleration is ascribed to the preferential consumption of a given enantiomer by a given TUC. These results support that the chirality of the catalyst defines the stereochemistry of the subsequent monomer insertion during chain propagation.

### Table 1: ROP of rac-LA using the phosphazcnium/(S, S) Takemoto binary organocatalytic system.

| Run | Catalyst (C) | [M]/[Cl]/[I]$_D$ | Time (min) | Conv (%) | $M_n$ (kg/mol)$^a$ | $M_m$ (kg/mol)$^b$ | $D^c$ | $P_{2n}^d$ | $T_a$ (°C)$^e$ |
|-----|--------------|------------------|------------|----------|------------------|------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| 1   | P$_2$-t-Bu   | 200:1:1          | 30         | 92       | 26               | 17               | 1.3   | 0.72     | -           |
| 2   | P$_2$-t-Bu/TUC | 200:1:1:1        | 240        | ≥99      | 28               | 26               | 1.15  | 0.87     | 160         |
| 3   | P$_2$-t-Bu/TUC | 200:1:2:1        | 240        | ≥99      | 28               | 25               | 1.05  | 0.87     | 163         |
| 4   | P$_2$-t-Bu/TUC | 200:1:3:1        | 240        | ≥99      | 28               | 25               | 1.04  | 0.88     | 168         |
| 5   | P$_2$-t-Bu/TUC | 200:1:4:1        | 240        | ≥99      | 28               | 29               | 1.06  | 0.89     | 167         |
| 6   | P$_1$-t-Bu   | 200:1:1          | 120        | ≥99      | 28               | 23               | 1.3   | 0.57     | -           |
| 7   | P$_1$-t-Bu/TUC | 200:1:1:1        | 480        | 90       | 26               | 24               | 1.05  | 0.91     | 178         |
| 8   | P$_1$-t-Bu/TUC | 200:1:2:1        | 480        | 82       | 26               | 23               | 1.04  | 0.93     | 185         |
| 9   | P$_1$-t-Bu/TUC | 200:1:3:1        | 480        | 94       | 27               | 24               | 1.03  | 0.93     | 185         |
| 10  | P$_1$-t-Bu/TUC | 200:1:4:1        | 480        | 89       | 25               | 23               | 1.08  | 0.96     | 187         |

$^a$ Polymerizations were performed in dry toluene at 25 °C with [rac-LA]$_0$ = 0.08 M. $^b$ Monomer conversion determined by $^1$H NMR in CDCl$_3$ using integrals of the characteristic signals. $^c$ $M_n$ (144.13 g mol$^{-1}$) × ([LA]/[I])$_D$ × conversion + $M_{BnOH}$ (108.14 g mol$^{-1}$). $^d$ Determined by SEC in THF relative to PS standards using a correcting factor of 0.58$^{30,31}$. $^e$ Determined by homonuclear decoupled $^1$H NMR. $^f$ Determined by DSC.

![Figure 3: Stacked $^1$H-NMR experiments from equimolar amounts of BnOH, BnOH/P$_2$-t-Bu, BnOH/P$_1$-t-Bu/TUC and BnOH/P$_1$-t-Bu/TUC performed in dry toluene-$d_8$ at room temperature, (the signal around 2.5 ppm is due to methyl protons of the phosphazcnium base).](image)

![Figure 4: (a) SEC traces of PLA crude sample at different conversions (Table 1, run 5) and (b) Experimental MALDI Tof MS of PLA using P$_2$-t-Bu/TUC (1/4).](image)
suggesting that the enantiomorphous site control mechanism (ESC) is dominant during the ROP of rac-LA catalyzed by the combined use of the chiral TUC and the phosphazene base.

In summary, organocatalyst design, associating a chiral commercial aminothiourea and an organic phosphazene base, shows a synergetic and highly effective effect to carry out the ROP of rac-LA, with both a high catalytic activity (90% conversion within 3-8h) and a very high stereoselectivity ($P_m = 0.96, T_m = 187 °C$). A weaker phosphazene base (P$_2$-t-Bu) fosters stereoc control, likely via an associated mechanism involving hydrogen-bonding, whereas the stronger phosphazene base, P$_2$-t-Bu, provides faster polymerization but lesser stereoc control, via a phosphazenum thiioimidate ion pair generated in situ. Stereoc control is achieved by the ESC mechanism, providing metal-free and highly crystalline PLA materials in the 25-80 °C temperature range. This binary organocatalytic system combining a chiral aminothiourea and a strong organic base opens up new avenues for the development of stereocontrolled ROP in general.
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