Various morphologies of low dimensional ZnO nanostructures, including spheres, rods, sheets, and wires, were successfully synthesized using a simple and facile hydrothermal method assisted with different surfactants. Zinc acetate dihydrate was chosen as the precursors of ZnO nanostructures. We found that polyethylene glycol (PEG), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), glycine, and ethylene glycol (EG) play critical roles in the morphologies and microstructures of the synthesized nanostructures, and a series of possible growth processes were discussed in detail. Gas sensors were fabricated using screen-printing technology, and their sensing properties towards acetylene gas (C$_2$H$_2$), one of the most important arc discharge characteristic gases dissolved in oil-filled power equipments, were systematically measured. The ZnO nanowires based sensor exhibits excellent C$_2$H$_2$ sensing behaviors than those of ZnO nanosheets, nanorods, and nanospheres, indicating a feasible way to develop high-performance C$_2$H$_2$ gas sensor for practical application.

1. Introduction

Acetylene gas (C$_2$H$_2$) is one of the most important arc discharge characteristic gases dissolved in oil-filled power equipments, such as power transformer, circuit breaker, and high-voltage bushing. Online monitoring of dissolved C$_2$H$_2$ gas plays a quite significant role in condition assessment and fault diagnosis of these power equipments [1–4]. According to the comparison and analysis of the detection data value of C$_2$H$_2$ gas, we can timely and effectively obtain the running status of the equipments [5–8].

Recently, interest in C$_2$H$_2$ gas detection has been extremely simulated and great attention has been made to this field [9–15]. Many of sensing technologies, including metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) [10], infrared [11], Raman [12] or photoacoustic [13, 14] spectroscopy, and carbon nanotube [15] have been employed for C$_2$H$_2$ detection. Owing to the remarkable advantages of simple fabrication process, rapid response and recovery time, low maintenance cost, and long service life, metal oxide semiconductors such as ZnO [16], SnO$_2$ [17, 18], WO$_3$ [19], TiO$_2$ [20] have been proved to be promising sensing materials and widely used for gas detection [21, 40].

However, there still exist some limitations needed to be further improved, such as high operating temperature and low C$_2$H$_2$ sensing response, due to the extremely low C$_2$H$_2$ concentration (less than or equal to 5 μL/L) dissolved in transformer, breaker, and bushing oil [22, 23]. Therefore, developing high-performance C$_2$H$_2$ gas sensor with high accuracy and low detection limit is currently the subject of intensive research. In recent years, low dimensional ZnO nanostructures, such as nanospheres [24], nanorods [25], nanoflowers [26], nanobelts [27], nanowires [28], nanotubes [29], nanoflakes [30], and nanosheets [31], have been successfully prepared and widely reported. When compared to traditional bulk ZnO material, low dimensional ZnO nanostructures would show much more excellent catalytic [32, 33] and sensing performance [34, 35] due to high specific...
and its sensing mechanism was proposed. For instance, Chen and coworkers [30] synthesized the unique porous ZnO polygonal nanoflakes using microwave hydrothermal method and reported their improving NO₂ gas sensing properties. Jang et al. [36] prepared low dimensional ZnO nanosheets with a high population of polar Zn (0001) faces through a soft-solution process, which demonstrated excellent photocatalytic activity for H₂O₂ generation.

To the best of our knowledge, reports on the synthesis of variety low dimensional ZnO nanostructures using hydrothermal method only by changing the active agent have been rare, and a systematically comparative study on their sensing properties towards C₂H₂ gas is a vacancy. Thus, in this study different morphologies of low dimensional ZnO nanostructures, including spheres, rods, sheets, and wires, were successfully synthesized via hydrothermal method assisted with various surfactants. X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) and field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) were employed to characterize the prepared samples. A possible growth mechanism was discussed in detail. Finally, C₂H₂ gas sensing properties were systematically measured and its sensing mechanism was proposed.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation and Characterization of ZnO Nanostructures. Four morphologies of low dimensional ZnO nanostructures, including spheres, rods, sheets, and wires, were synthesized by the hydrothermal method assisted with different surfactants. All the raw chemicals were analytical-grade reagents purchased from Chongqing Chuandong Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. and were directly used as received without any further purification. The detailed synthesis processes were represented as follows.

In a typical hydrothermal synthesis process of ZnO nanospheres, 1.0 mmol zinc acetate dihydrate (Zn(CH₃COO)₂·2H₂O), 2 mmol ammonium carbonate ((NH₄)₂CO₃), and 0.1 g of citric acid were first mixed together and completely dissolved into 40 mL mixture of absolute ethanol and distilled water (1/1, V/V) in a 100 mL capacity beaker, followed by mild magnetic stirring for 30 min. Then the mixed solution was translated into a 100 mL of Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave, sealed and heated at 140 °C for 10 h in an electric furnace. When the hydrothermal reaction was completed, the autoclave was cooled to room temperature naturally. The prepared products were harvested by centrifugation, washing away the unwanted ions with distilled water and ethanol four times, respectively, and finally drying at 80 °C in air for 12 h.

ZnO nanorods, nanosheets, and nanowires were obtained in a similar synthesis process of the as-synthesized ZnO nanospheres, except different surfactants which were employed to replace citric acid. In our study, 0.2 g of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, K30) and 10 mL of polyethylene glycol (PEG, MW = 6000) were, respectively, added to the precursors to prepare ZnO nanorods and nanowires. Glycine (0.1 g) and 5 mL of EG were employed to prepare ZnO nanosheets.

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were performed on a Rigaku D/Max-1200X diffractometry (Tokyo, Japan) with Cu Kα radiation (40 kV, 200 mA and λ = 1.5418 Å), and a scanning rate 0.02 °s⁻¹ from 20° to 80°. The morphologies and microstructures of the as-prepared nanostructures were characterized with a Nova 400 Nano field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA). The specific surface area and pore size of the prepared nanostructures were conducted with a surface area and porosimetry analyzer (V-Sorb 2800, Beijing Jinaipu General Instrument Co., Ltd., Beijing, China).

2.2. Fabrication and Measurement of Sensors. To investigate the sensing performances of the as-prepared ZnO nanostructures, gas sensors were fabricated with screen-printing technique [24, 34]. ZnO nanostructures were, respectively, further ground into fine powder and mixed with distilled water in a weight ratio of 8:2 to form a paste. The paste was subsequently screen-printed onto a planar ceramic substrate to form a sensing film and its thickness is about 50 μm. Figure 1 displays the schematic representation of the planar ceramic substrate, which was purchased from Beijing Elite Tech Co., Ltd., (Beijing, China). As shown in Figure 1 five pairs of Ag-Pd interdigital electrodes were prepainted on the planar ceramic substrate with a width of 0.2 mm. The length, width, and thickness of the planar ceramic substrate were 13.4, 7, and 1 mm, respectively [34]. The prepared gas sensing film was then dried in air to remove the unwanted impurities. Meanwhile, a 0.1 g of an ethyl cellulose solution in ethylester acetate was coated on the surface of the sensitive film as a protective layer to improve the sensor antipollution. Finally, the sensor was obtained after aging at 60 °C for 48 h.

The gas sensing properties of the sensors towards C₂H₂ gas were performed on a CGS-1TP (Chemical Gas Sensor-1 Temperature Pressure) intelligent gas sensing analysis system (Beijing Elite Tech Co., Ltd.) [34, 37, 38]. This multifunctional system shown in Figure 2 was mainly composed of the heating system, circulating cooling system, vacuum system, probe adjustment system, gas distribution system, measurement and data acquisition system, and measurement control software. The heating system and circulating cooling
The system could offer an external temperature control from room temperature to 500°C with an adjustment precision of 1°C. Figure 2 shows a top-view photograph of the operating platform, where the planar sensor was laid on the temperature control and two adjustable probes were pressed on the sensor electrodes to collect electrical signals. All sensors were preheated at different operating temperatures for about 30 min, and when the resistance value was stable certain concentration of C$_2$H$_2$ gas was injected into the test chamber (18 L in volume) by a microinjector through a rubber plug. The targeted gas was mixed with air in the test chamber by two fans. After its resistance value reached a new constant value, the test chamber was opened to recover the sensor in air. The whole experimental process was performed in a super-clean room with the constant humidity (25% relative humidity) and temperature (20°C), recorded automatically by the analysis system. The sensor resistance and sensitivity were also collected and analyzed by the system in real time.

The response value ($S$) of the sensor was designated as $S = R_a/R_g$ [37], where $R_a$ was the resistance value of prepared sensor in air (base resistance) and $R_g$ was that in a mixture of C$_2$H$_2$ gas and air. The time taken by the sensor to reach 90% of the total resistance change was defined as the response time when the target gas was introduced to the sensor or the recovery time when the ambience was replaced by air [38]. All measurements were repeated several times to ensure the repeatability and stability of the sensor.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Structural Characterization

To determine the crystalline phase and chemical composition of the prepared products, we first conducted the X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analyses. Figure 3 shows the typical XRD patterns of the synthesized ZnO nanospheres, nanorods, nanosheets, and nanowires. It can be clearly seen from Figure 3 that the prominent peaks of (100), (002), (101), (102), and (110), and other smaller diffraction peaks, well correspond to the standard spectrum of wurtzite hexagonal ZnO structure (JCPDS card no. 36-1451, $a = b = 3.249$ Å and $c = 5.206$ Å). No diffraction peaks from any impurities were observed, revealing a high purity of the ZnO nanostructures under current synthetic conditions.

The morphologies and microstructures of the prepared samples were further investigated by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM). Figures 4(a)–4(d) demonstrate the typical FESEM images of the synthesized ZnO nanospheres, nanorods, nanosheets, and nanowires, respectively. Figure 4(a) shows an overview FESEM image of the sphere-like ZnO nanostructures, where one can clearly see that the ZnO nanospheres are highly uniform in size and...
shape with an average diameter of 400 to 500 nm. Figure 4(b) displays a FESEM image of closely packed ZnO nanorods in rectangular geometrical shapes. The lengths and diameters of ZnO nanorods are calculated to be about 500–800 nm and 200–300 nm, respectively. Figure 4(c) shows a typical FESEM image of ZnO nanosheets, which are nearly in irregular polygon shapes. It can be obviously seen in Figure 4(c) that the surface of nanosheets was rather smooth, and many nanosheets were distributed layer by layer in random orientations. The average thickness of these chaotic nanosheets was measured to be about 120–150 nm. Figure 4(d) reveals the as-prepared ZnO nanowires, where a wide range of ZnO nanowires were joined together and formed a net-like structure. The diameter of individual wire ranges from 200 to 350 nm, and its length ranges from hundreds of nanometers to tens of micrometers. The average diameter of these nanowires is around 250 nm. These results demonstrate that variety morphologies of low dimensional ZnO nanostructures has been successfully prepared via a simple hydrothermal method with different surfactants.

3.2. Growth Mechanism. Surfactant plays an important role in the final morphology of our products. In order to reveal how the surfactants affect the morphologies of the synthesized ZnO nanostructures, a series of possible growth processes were discussed as follows. Zn(OH)_4^{2-} have been reported as a precursor for hydrothermal synthesis of ZnO nanostructures from aqueous solution [21,39]. The chemical reactions of forming Zn(OH)_4^{2-} and ZnO nanostructures in the reaction system could be represented by the following chemical reactions [40]:

\[
\text{Zn}^{2+} + 4\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Zn(OH)}_4^{2-} \]

\[
\text{Zn(OH)}_4^{2-} \rightarrow \text{ZnO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{OH}^- \quad (1)
\]

The growth process can be divided into the nucleation stage and the self-assembly stage, respectively, closely related to the reaction solvents and the surface-active agents. At first numerous Zn(CH_3COOH)_2 and (NH_4)_2CO_3 were hydrolyzed in the reaction solution, and abundant component Zn(OH)_4^{2-} ions appeared. And a large number of tiny ZnO nanoparticles spontaneously formed from the dehydration of Zn(OH)_4^{2-} ions. With the reaction time increasing, many tiny ZnO crystal nanoparticles gathered together and developed into larger crystals. Thus, without any surfactant tiny ZnO particles assembled into spherical ZnO nanostructures as shown in Figure 4(a). As we know PVP is a nonionic surfactant, and an easily polarized functional group “-C=O” is universally present in its repeated unit [34]. The “O” atom in the “-C=O” functional group of PVP has a negative charge and the “Zn” in the precursor particles is positively charged, so an intense attraction occurs between “O” atom of PVP and “Zn” atom of ZnO, which played a critical role in controlling the morphology of ZnO nanorods [41]. With the reaction time increasing, a closely packed ZnO nanorod appeared.

When certain amount of glycine and EG were added to the reaction solvent, ZnO nanosheets were obtained as seen in Figure 4(c). It could be considered that glycine agglomerated numerous tiny ZnO nanoparticles to form smooth plates in horizontal direction, and EG acted as a template which limited the growth of tiny ZnO nanoparticles along vertical direction. Therefore, ZnO nanosheets were synthesized by current hydrothermal method assisted with surfactant glycine and EG assisted. As a kind of low level organic polymer with liquid state, PEG is usually used as a significant surface-active agent to synthesis nanomaterials. When PEG was added to the precursor reaction solvent, the net-like ZnO nanowires were obtained as displayed in Figure 4(d). As we know PEG has a long-chain structure and numerous hydrophilic “-O-” and “-CH₂-CH₂-” groups exist along its long chains [28,42]. In the reaction process, tiny Zn(OH)_4^{2-} and ZnO nanoparticles embedded into PEG long-chain substrate and easily grew along the long chain of PEG. So under this hydrothermal treatment, individual ZnO nanowire was synthesized along the PEG long chain and a great amount of nanowires clustered chaotically into a novel three-dimensional net-like ZnO nanowires.

3.3. Gas Sensing Properties. Gas sensors were fabricated by screen-printing technique based on the prepared ZnO nanospheres, nanorods, nanosheets, and nanowires, respectively [25]. C₂H₂ sensing properties of these fabricated sensors were systematically measured to gain insight into how the various morphologies of ZnO impact C₂H₂ sensing properties.

Figure 5 presents the gas responses of the prepared sensors to 20 μL/L of C₂H₂ gas as a function of operating temperature ranging from 400 to 730 K. For each response curve of the four sensors, a similar changing trend was tested. The C₂H₂ response curve increases rapidly in various degrees and reaches its maximum value and then decreases rapidly with further increasing temperature. As shown in Figure 5, the optimum operating temperatures of the prepared ZnO nanowires and nanosheets were tested to be about 550 K, while it was suggested to be about 580 K for ZnO nanospheres and nanorods, attributed to the sensor which exhibits the maximum response at this temperature. And the corresponding C₂H₂ responses are 24.07 and 14.14 for ZnO nanowires and nanosheets, much higher than nanospheres (11.34) and nanorods (9.53). These results imply that the synthesized ZnO nanowires and nanosheets demonstrate not only lower operating temperature but also higher response than nanospheres and nanorods.

To further understand the difference of ZnO nanowires and nanosheets sensing properties, ZnO nanowires and nanosheets based sensors were exposed to different concentrations of C₂H₂ gas at 550 K. As seen in Figure 6 for both sensors the response curve increases rapidly in linearity with C₂H₂ concentration below 50 μL/L, exhibits a quasi-linearity from 50 to 200 μL/L, and finally reaches saturation at nearly about 1000 μL/L. Although similar C₂H₂ response curve was displayed in Figure 6 for ZnO nanosheets, while much weaker than that of nanowires, the insert one shows C₂H₂ sensing response in low concentration from 1 to 20 μL/L. Such a high linear dependence implies that our prepared sensors
can be employed to onsite detect $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ gas in oil-filled power equipments.

Figure 7 presents a typical response-recovery curve of ZnO nanowires and nanosheets based sensors exposed to 20 $\mu\text{L/L}$ of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ atmosphere optimized at 550 K. As seen in Figure 7 the response increases sharply when gas was injected in (gas in) and returns to its original state when the test chamber was opened to recover (gas out). In light of the response-recovery time defined in Section 2.2, the response-recovery time of ZnO nanowires and nanosheets was calculated to be about 6–12 and 12–18, respectively. Such rapid response-recovery property meets the basic demands for the engineering application of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ detection.

The sensing measurements of ZnO nanowires to 20, 50, and 100 $\mu\text{L/L}$ of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ and ZnO nanosheets to 20 $\mu\text{L/L}$ of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ were repeated every 10 days in two months to conduct the long-time stability. As shown in Figure 8 the ZnO nanowires and nanosheets exhibit nearly constant sensing behaviors to $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ gas during the long experimental cycles, confirming the excellent stability of our sensors.

$\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ sensing process can be understood as follows and the detailed gas-sensing reaction process was represented in Figure 9. It is known to all that the ZnO is a typical n-type semiconducting material and its gas sensing properties are predominantly controlled by the change in surface resistance. Due to the nonstoichiometry of the prepared ZnO nanostructures, many oxygen vacancies extensively exist in their crystal lattices [21]. The oxygen vacancy in ZnO acts as an electron donor to provide electrons to conduction band. When the ZnO nanostructures were surrounded by air, free oxygen molecules would be absorbed on the sensing surface. Adsorption oxygen molecules would capture free electrons from ZnO conduction band to generate various kinds of chemical adsorbed oxygen species, including $\text{O}_2^-$, $\text{O}^2-$, and $\text{O}^-$ [40]. Consequently, depletion layers are formed in the surface area of ZnO nanostructures, decreasing the number of change carrier and electron mobility in ZnO conduction band, and resulting in a higher electrical resistance. When ZnO nanostructures were exposed to certain concentration of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$, an oxidation-reduction reaction happened between the chemical adsorbed oxygen and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ gas. In this reaction the preadsorbed free electrons are released to ZnO conduction band, decreasing the height of barrier in the depletion region and increasing its carrier concentration in

**Figure 4:** FESEM images of the prepared ZnO nanostructures: (a) sphere, (b) rod, (c) sheet, and (d) wire.
According to gas sensing results of our products tested above, it can be concluded that low dimensional ZnO nanowires sensor exhibits excellent \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \) sensing properties than those of nanowires, nanorods, and nanospheres. A nitrogen adsorption and desorption measurement was employed to test the texture properties. Based on the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method [24] the calculated BET surface area and pore structure parameters are demonstrated in Table 1. With such higher specific surface area and pore diameter, the net-like ZnO nanowires would offer much more adsorption positions for gas sensing reaction and easily enable gas molecule to adsorb on the surface [21, 40]. Simultaneously, the one-dimensional structure of nanowire can facilitate fast mass transfer of the free electrons along the one-dimensional wire direction [43, 44]. Therefore, the ZnO nanowires sensor shows lower optimum operating temperature, higher \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \) sensing response together with rapid response-recovery characteristic, and good long-term stability than the other three ZnO nanostructures.

**4. Conclusion**

A simple and facile hydrothermal method was employed to synthesize various low dimensional ZnO nanospheres, nanorods, nanosheets, and nanowire only by changing the surfactants. A possible growth mechanism was discussed and we found that PEG, PVP, glycine, and EG play significant roles in the morphology control of ZnO nanowires, nanorods, and nanosheets, respectively. Gas sensors were fabricated with screen-printing technology and \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \) sensing properties were systematically measured. The nanowires and nanosheets sensors demonstrate a lower optimum operating
temperature of 550 K than nanospheres and nanorods. And the corresponding response values (20 $\mu$L/L C$_2$H$_2$ gas) are measured to be about 24.07, 14.14, 11.34, and 9.53, respectively. Moreover, the ZnO nanowires sensor exhibits a high linear dependence in the measurement scope and its detection limit is low up to 1 $\mu$L/L. These results indicate that the prepared nanowires exhibit excellent gas sensing properties than those of nanosheets, nanorods, and nanospheres, providing us a feasible way to develop high-performance C$_2$H$_2$ gas sensor by tailoring the surface morphology and structure of the nanostructures.
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