Diagnosis of Meckel’s Diverticulum Using Colon Capsule Endoscopy for Small Bowel Investigation

We read with interest the case by Lee et al., describing congenital jejunal diverticular bleeding diagnosed with capsule endoscopy (CE) as a subepithelial tumor-like lesion in a young adult. There are few reports on the capsule endoscopic appearance of Meckel’s diverticulum (MD) as a tumor-like lesion. The diagnosis of MD still represents a challenge, even with advances in technology. The reported diagnostic yield of CE for MD ranges between 7.7% and 35.7%. This low incidence is due to several factors. The classic endoscopic image of uncomplicated MD is reported as a double lumen with a blind end. As the capsule passes through the intestine via peristalsis without insufflation, the typical image of uncomplicated MD is rarely identified. Complicated MD, revealed by intermittent bleeding episodes, is the consequence of ectopic gastric mucosa, trauma, or inversion-induced ischemia. CE might show ectopic gastric mucosa as an ulcer or a polypoid lesion inside a diverticulum. An inverted bleeding MD generates even greater uncertainty on CE, being confounded with a polyp or a bulging mass. In this context of a challenging diagnosis, can the accuracy of CE be improved to enable correct identification of MD?

We experienced a similar case in an 18-year-old patient who was referred for CE for obscure gastrointestinal bleeding (intermittent episodes of melena). The small bowel was investigated with a second generation PillCam colon CE. Compared to small bowel CE devices, the colon device has dual cameras, a better image acquisition rate (4–35 frames/second versus 2–6 frames/second), and larger angle of view (172° vs. 156°). “Manual” activation of second generation colon CE allows visualization of the entire gut in an adaptive frame rate mode. In our case, only one camera of the colon CE device revealed pathology: a circumferential polypoid mass, with small ulcerations on the superficial mucosa (Fig. 1). The patient was referred for surgery. MD was identified (Fig. 2) and removed with segmental ileal enterectomy. Histological analysis revealed ectopic gastric and pancreatic tissue in this small bowel diverticulum. At a six-month follow-up visit, the patient was in good clinical and biological condition.

These cases highlight the diagnostic challenge of MD, even with use of CE and enteroscopy, which enable direct investigation of small bowel mucosa. The inverted MD in our case had both ectopic gastric and pancreatic tissue and presented
with gastrointestinal bleeding. MD was only detected in one of two registrations on colon CE, and was misinterpreted as a tumor.

Appearance as a polyp or tumor on CE was previously reported as corresponding to intussusception or the presence of ectopic gastric mucosa. As MD can be associated with carcinoid in 2% of patients, the differential diagnosis proves even more difficult. The literature argues for a more significant role of enteroscopy in the correct diagnosis of MD. In a report by He et al., the overall diagnostic yield of double-balloon enteroscopy was 84.6%, i.e., significantly greater than that of CE at 7.7%. In a study by Hong et al., the mean diagnostic accuracy of CE was 35.7% (14.0%–64.4%), compared with 85% for balloon-assisted enteroscopy. This important difference in diagnostic yield between enteroscopy and CE might be reduced by using colon CE for small bowel, which enables two simultaneous registrations and avoids missed lesions. Our case argues for this approach as the lesion was only detected only by one registration of the colon capsule and missed by the other. Technical improvements in colon CE might facilitate diagnosis in clinically suspected MD.

In conclusion, these cases reflect the variability of MD appearance and clinical manifestations, resulting in a challenging diagnosis. The use of colon CE for small bowel investigation might be an alternative for MD diagnosis.
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