Association between metabolic phenotype and urinary albumin-creatinine ratio in Chinese community adults: A cross-sectional study
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\textbf{Abstract}

\textbf{Background:} Urinary albumin-creatinine ratio (UACR) is a sensitive marker of kidney injury. This study analyzed the prevalence of different metabolic phenotypes and investigated their relationship with UACR in Chinese community adults.

\textbf{Methods:} This study involved 33,303 participants over 40 years old from seven centers across China. They were stratified into six groups according to their body mass index (BMI) and metabolic status: metabolically healthy normal weight (MHNW), metabolically healthy overweight (MHOW), metabolically healthy obesity (MHO), metabolically unhealthy normal weight (MUNW), metabolically unhealthy overweight (MUOW), and metabolically unhealthy obesity (MUO). Increased albuminuria was defined as a UACR \( \geq 30 \text{ mg/g} \).

\textbf{Results:} The percentages of MHNW, MHOW, MHO, MUNW, MUOW, and MUO were 27.6\%, 15.9\%, 4.1\%, 19.8\%, 22.5\%, and 9.6\%, respectively. Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that the MHO group (odds ratio [OR] 1.205; 95\% CI, 1.081-1.343), MUNW group (OR 1.232; 95\% CI, 1.021-1.486), MUOW group (OR 1.447; 95\% CI, 1.303-1.607), and MUO group (OR 1.912; 95\% CI, 1.680-2.176) were at higher risk of increased albuminuria compared to the MHNW group. Subgroup analysis indicated that the risk of increased albuminuria was further elevated among regular smokers in men aged 40 to 55 years old with abdominal obesity.

\textbf{Conclusions:} Among Chinese community adults, increased albuminuria was associated with increased BMI whether metabolism was normal or not, and those with abnormal metabolism were at greater risk of increased albuminuria than those with normal metabolism. These findings suggest that overweight or obesity or metabolic abnormalities are risk factors for chronic kidney disease.
1 | INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a global public health challenge. It will eventually develop into uremia with the progression of proteinuria, with a poor prognosis and a heavy economic burden of relying solely on replacement therapy to extend life. In 2017, the global prevalence of CKD was estimated at 9.1%, ranking as the 12th leading cause of death. CKD has an insidious onset, and therefore early diagnosis and treatment are critical to prevent renal insufficiency and delay the progression of uremia. The urinary albumin-creatinine ratio (UACR) is currently used in clinical screening and has the advantage of being convenient and accurate. UACR is a sensitive marker of early renal injury and an accurate predictor of cardiovascular events. Therefore, routine screening of UACR is necessary to identify high-risk individuals in clinical practice.

With rapid economic development and lifestyle changes, the prevalence of overweight and obesity has increased significantly worldwide. Given this growing trend, it is expected that up to 57.8% of the population will be overweight or obese by 2030. The results of a large European population survey showed that obesity is one of the strongest risk factors for the new onset of CKD. Metabolic health is also receiving increasing attention. Metabolic health refers to the absence of any of the following: hyperglycemia, hypertension, dyslipidemia, high-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) level, or abdominal obesity. Metabolic abnormalities are associated with CKD, and the risk of developing CKD increases with the number of metabolically abnormal components. However, not all obese subjects develop metabolic abnormalities. The prognostic value of the metabolically healthy obesity (MHO) group is a controversial topic; some studies report that MHO is associated with lower mortality but with a higher risk of developing CKD. It is necessary to note that many cohort studies have shown that metabolic phenotypes change over time, so it is important to determine whether each phenotype contributes to health and leads people to change to a beneficial phenotype.

To our knowledge, there are very few studies on the association of increased proteinuria with different metabolic phenotypes. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to explore the prevalence of different metabolic types, to determine whether there are differences in the increase of albuminuria in different metabolic phenotypes, and to provide recommendations for the early prevention of CKD.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Participants and study design

Study participants were from the REACTION (Risk Evaluation of Cancers inChinese Diabetic Individuals study), which recruited 47,808 participants over 40 years old in 2012 from seven geographically dispersed regional centers in China (Dalian, Guangzhou, Lanzhou, Luzhou, Shanghai, Zhengzhou, and Wuhan). Participants who were diagnosed with primary kidney disease, those with cancer history, cardiovascular disease (CVD) history, diabetes history, a body mass index (BMI) < 18.5, those who previously used lipid-lowering drugs, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) drugs, or angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) drugs, or those with missing important data were excluded from this study. Finally, 33,303 participants were included (Figure 1). This study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Rui-Jin Hospital affiliated with the School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before the study.
2.2 | Data collection

Basic information about the participants was obtained by trained investigators using a standardized questionnaire. This included demographic characteristics (gender, age), history of underlying diseases (diabetes, hypertension, CVD), medication history (hypoglycemic drugs, antihypertensive drugs, etc.), and lifestyle habits such as smoking (never smoked, occasional smoking [less than one cigarette per day or less than seven cigarettes per week], and regular smoking [at least one cigarette per day]) and drinking (never drank, occasional drinking [less than once a week], and regular drinking [at least once a week for more than 6 months]. Standardized measurements of height, weight, waist circumference (WC), and blood pressure were taken. Participants were asked to remove shoes, hats, and clothing and to rest in a seated position for 5 min before blood pressure measurement, and three measurements were taken using a mercury sphygmomanometer and averaged. WC was defined as the circumference of the abdomen at the midpoint of the line connecting the lower edge of the rib cage and the iliac crest.

2.3 | Biochemical evaluation

Participants underwent an oral glucose tolerance test after fasting (≥10 h) followed by early morning fasting blood sample collection. Morning urine samples were collected to determine urinary albumin concentration and creatinine by chemiluminescence immunoassay. UACR concentration was calculated as urinary albumin (mg)/urinary creatinine (g). The coefficient of variation is the ratio of SD to mean. The biochemical indicators tested included aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), serum creatinine (SCr), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), glutamyl transferase (GGT), fasting blood glucose (FBG), 2-hour postprandial blood glucose (PBG), rapid insulin assay (0 min, 120 min), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), HDL-C, total cholesterol (TC), and triglycerides (TG). Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was estimated from a simplified equation developed from data from the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) study as follows: eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²) = 186 × [SCr (mg/dl)/88.4]−1.154 × (age)−0.203 × (0.742 if female) × 1.233; insulin resistance (HOMA-IR [homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance]) was assessed using a steady-state model calculated as fasting plasma insulin (U/L) × FBG (mmol/L)/22.5.

2.4 | Definition of variables

BMI was defined by weight (kg) divided by height squared (m) and was classified as underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5 ≤ BMI < 24), overweight (24 ≤ BMI < 28), and obese (BMI ≥ 28) according to the criteria of the Working Group on Obesity in China (WGOC). Underweight participants were removed from the analysis. Metabolically healthy was defined as free of metabolic syndrome (MS) components according to the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III criteria, and WC was not used as a criterion due to covariance with BMI. We assessed abdominal obesity based on waist-hip ratio (WHR). Abdominal obesity is defined as WHR > 0.9 for men and WHR > 0.85 cm for women. Participants were stratified into metabolically healthy normal weight (MHNW), metabolically healthy overweight (MHOW), MHO, metabolically unhealthy normal weight (MUNW), metabolically unhealthy overweight (MUOW), and metabolically unhealthy obesity (MUO) groups according to BMI and metabolic status (Table 1). Increased albuminuria was defined as UACR ≥ 30 mg/g according to the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD guidelines, suggesting renal damage. UACR was divided into the following two groups: normal albuminuria (UACR < 30 mg/g) and increased albuminuria (UACR ≥ 30 mg/g).

2.5 | Statistical methods

Categorical variables were expressed as percentages (%), continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD or median (interquartile range, IQR), and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine whether the variables were normally distributed. Differences between
Criteria of metabolic phenotype

| BMI                | Metabolic risk factors | Metabolic phenotype |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 18.5 ≤ BMI < 24    | 0                      | MHNW                |
| 24 ≤ BMI < 28      | 0                      | MHOW                |
| BMI ≥ 28           | ≥1                     | MHO                 |
| 18.5 ≤ BMI < 24    | ≥1                     | MUNW                |
| 24 ≤ BMI < 28      | ≥1                     | MUOW                |
| BMI ≥ 28           | ≥1                     | MUO                 |

Notes: Metabolic risk factors include (1) hyperglycemia (FPG ≥110 mg/dL or use of hypoglycemic drugs), (2) hypertension (SBP ≥130 mmHg or DBP ≥85 mmHg or use of antihypertensive drugs), (3) dyslipidemia (TG ≥150 mg/dL or use of lipid-lowering drugs), and (4) HDL-C level ≥40 mg/dL (males) or ≥50 mg/dL (females).

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MHNW, metabolically healthy normal weight; MHO, metabolically healthy obesity; MHOW, metabolically healthy overweight; MUNW, metabolically unhealthy normal weight; MUO, metabolically unhealthy obesity; MUOW, metabolically unhealthy overweight; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TG, triglycerides.

groups of continuous variables were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Kruskal-Wallis test, and comparisons of categorical variables were performed by the chi-square test. Pearson correlation analysis was used for normal data, and the Spearman rank test was used to assess the correlation for nonparametric data. Logistic regression analysis was used to estimate odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI to explore the association of different phenotypes with increased albuminuria in different populations.

The correlation between different metabolic phenotypes and increased albuminuria was also evaluated in the MHO group (OR 1.205; 95% CI, 1.081–1.343; \( p < 0.001 \)) compared with the MHNW group in the metabolically normal population, suggesting that MHO is not a benign state. In the population with metabolic abnormalities, the OR for the occurrence of elevated UACR increased with increasing BMI (MUNW group [OR 1.232; 95% CI, 1.021–1.486; \( p < 0.001 \]), MUOW group [OR 1.447; 95% CI, 1.303–1.607; \( p < 0.001 \]), MUO group [OR 1.912; 95% CI, 1.680–2.176; \( p < 0.001 \)].

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Clinical characteristics of participants

Ultimately, we included 33,303 participants (9554 men and 23,749 women). Table 2 shows the clinical and biochemical characteristics of the six groups of participants. The proportions of MHNW, MHOW, MHO, MUNW, MUOW, and MUO participants were 27.6% (7218/2695), 15.9% (4096/2586), 4.1% (1087/2159), 19.8% (4449/22152), 22.5% (4743/2764), and 19.6% (2156/1062). Among men, the MUOW population had the largest share and the MHO population the smallest. Among women, the MHNW population had the largest share and the MHO population the smallest. Metabolic indicators such as FBG, FBG, LDL, TG, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), HbA1c, and HOMA-IR were increased in the other groups compared to the MHNW group. Among all participants, the median of UACR was 9.43, and the coefficient of variation was 14.069%. There was a significant difference in the prevalence of increased albuminuria between these six groups, with only 9.6% of subjects in the MHNW group having increased albuminuria compared to 11.4% in the MHO group, 12.6% in the MUOW group, 14.1% in the MUOW group, and 16.9% in the MUO, which was twice as high as in the MHNW group.

3.2 | Logistic regression analysis of the correlation between different metabolic phenotypes and elevated UACR

The OR of increased albuminuria in the remaining groups were estimated using the MHNW group as the reference group (Table 3), and the OR of all groups except the MHOW group were statistically significant in all four models and were greater than 1, suggesting an elevated risk of increased albuminuria. In model 4, after adjusting for possible potential confounders, the risk of occurrence of increased albuminuria was also elevated in the MHO group (OR 1.205; 95% CI, 1.081–1.343; \( p = .029 \)) compared with the MHNW group in the metabolically normal population, suggesting that MHO is not a benign state. In the population with metabolic abnormalities, the OR for the occurrence of elevated UACR increased with increasing BMI (MUNW group [OR 1.232; 95% CI, 1.021–1.486; \( p < 0.001 \]), MUOW group [OR 1.447; 95% CI, 1.303–1.607; \( p < 0.001 \]), MUO group [OR 1.912; 95% CI, 1.680–2.176; \( p < 0.001 \)].

3.3 | Correlation of different metabolic phenotypes with elevated UACR in subgroups

The correlation between different metabolic phenotypes and increased albuminuria in different populations was further explored, stratified by age (\( P_{\text{interaction}} < .001 \)), sex (\( P_{\text{interaction}} < .001 \)), abdominal obesity (\( P_{\text{interaction}} < .001 \)), and alcohol consumption habits (\( P_{\text{interaction}} < .001 \)).
| Variables | Metaboically healthy | Metaboically unhealthy |
|-----------|----------------------|------------------------|
|           | Normal weight (n = 9213) | Overweight (n = 5328) | Obesity (n = 1382) | Normal weight (n = 6601) | Overweight (n = 7507) | Obesity (n = 3218) | P value |
| Women, n (%) | 7218 (78.3%) | 4096 (76.1%) | 1087 (78.7%) | 4449 (67.4%) | 4743 (63.2%) | 2156 (67.0%) | <0.001 |
| Men, n (%) | 1995 (21.7%) | 1286 (23.9%) | 295 (21.3%) | 2152 (32.6%) | 276 (36.8%) | 1062 (33.0%) | <0.001 |
| Age, years | 54.9 (8.41) | 55.2 (10.8) | 56.1 (12.2) | 58.1 (11.8) | 58.3 (10.5) | 59.1 (11.3) | <0.001 |
| BMI, kg/m^2 | 21.7 (1.4) | 25.5 (1.8) | 29.5 (2.5) | 22.3 (2.1) | 25.8 (1.9) | 29.6 (2.5) | <0.001 |
| eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m^2 | 117.9 (25.3) | 120.7 (26.2) | 121.8 (27.8) | 112.5 (24.7) | 111.8 (24.4) | 113.1 (26.0) | <0.001 |
| FBG, mmol/L | 5.2 (0.6) | 5.4 (0.6) | 5.5 (0.7) | 5.6 (0.9) | 5.7 (1.1) | 5.9 (1.2) | <0.001 |
| PBG, mmol/L | 6.4 (2.1) | 7.2 (2.4) | 7.3 (2.7) | 7.3 (3.1) | 7.8 (3.6) | 8.3 (4.1) | <0.001 |
| HDL-C, mmol/L | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.4) | <0.001 |
| LDL-C, mmol/L | 2.7 (0.9) | 2.8 (1.2) | 2.8 (1.1) | 3.0 (1.2) | 3.1 (1.2) | 3.2 (1.1) | <0.001 |
| TC, mmol/L | 4.7 (1.1) | 4.7 (1.1) | 4.6 (1.4) | 5.3 (1.4) | 5.3 (1.2) | 5.3 (1.4) | <0.001 |
| TG, mmol/L | 1.1 (0.7) | 1.3 (0.6) | 1.3 (0.6) | 1.5 (1.1) | 1.8 (1.2) | 1.9 (1.2) | <0.001 |
| SBP, mmHg | 116 (13) | 120.7 (14.3) | 124 (16.3) | 136.3 (20.0) | 139.6 (20.3) | 142.6 (22.7) | <0.001 |
| DBP, mmHg | 70.7 (8.1) | 73.7 (11) | 76.0 (11.7) | 79.6 (13.3) | 82.0 (13.7) | 83.6 (13.0) | <0.001 |
| HbAlc, % | 5.7 (0.5) | 5.8 (0.6) | 5.9 (0.6) | 5.9 (0.6) | 6.0 (0.7) | 6.1 (0.7) | <0.001 |
| SCr, mg/dl | 64.7 (12.0) | 64.7 (12.6) | 64.2 (13) | 66.2 (13.2) | 67.1 (13.7) | 66.8 (15.7) | <0.001 |
| WC, cm | 78.0 (10.0) | 87.0 (9.0) | 96.0 (10) | 81.0 (9.7) | 90.0 (9.0) | 98.0 (10.0) | <0.001 |
| WHR, % | 0.85 (0.09) | 0.88 (0.08) | 0.90 (0.08) | 0.89 (0.09) | 0.91 (0.08) | 0.92 (0.07) | <0.001 |
| HOMA-IR | 1.4 (0.8) | 1.8 (1.1) | 2.4 (1.5) | 1.8 (1.1) | 2.2 (1.4) | 2.9 (2.0) | <0.001 |
| AST, U/L | 19.0 (7.0) | 19.0 (7.0) | 19.0 (8.0) | 21.0 (7.0) | 21.0 (8.0) | 22.0 (10.0) | <0.001 |
| ALT, U/L | 13.0 (8.0) | 14 (10.0) | 16.0 (12.0) | 15.0 (9.0) | 17.0 (11.0) | 19.0 (14.0) | <0.001 |
| GGT, U/L | 16.0 (10.0) | 18.0 (13.0) | 20.0 (15.0) | 21.0 (15.0) | 25.0 (21.0) | 28.0 (24.0) | <0.001 |
| UACR | 9.0 (11.0) | 9.1 (10.9) | 9.2 (12.4) | 9.8 (13.6) | 9.9 (13.9) | 10.6 (15.9) | <0.001 |

Note: Data were medians (IQR) for skewed variables or numbers (proportions) for categorical variables. 
Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FBG, fasting blood glucose; GGT, glutamyl transferase; HbAlc, glycosylated hemoglobin; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; IQR, interquartile range; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PBG, 2-hour postprandial blood glucose; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SCr, serum creatinine; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; UACR, urinary albumin-creatinine ratio; WC, waist circumference; WHR, waist-hip ratio.
for .001), and smoking status ($P_{\text{interaction}}$ for .001). The OR of the MHO group (Figure 2), MUNW group (Figure 3), MUOW group (Figure 4), and MUO group (Figure 5) were statistically significant. Stratifying the population by sex, the risk of increased albuminuria was greater in men than in women in the MUOW group (OR 1.488; 95% CI, 1.189–1.861; $p < 0.001$) and the MUO group (OR 1.945; 95% CI, 1.485–2.546; $p < 0.001$), using the MHNW group as the reference group. Stratifying the population by age showed the highest OR in the MUO group in all three age strata and the biggest risk of increased albuminuria in the MUO group among the three phenotypes of metabolic abnormalities (OR 2.275; 95% CI, 1.832–2.825; $p < 0.001$) in the 40–55 years group. In the smoking habit subgroup, the risk of increased albuminuria was elevated in the regular smokers of the

### Table 3: Risk of elevated albuminuria in relation to different metabolic phenotypes among all participants

| Metabolic Phenotype | Model 1 OR (95% CI) | Model 2 OR (95% CI) | Model 3 OR (95% CI) | Model 4 OR (95% CI) |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| MHNW                | Reference           | Reference           | Reference           | Reference           |
| MHOW                | 0.920 (0.819–1.034) | 0.937 (0.831–1.056) | 0.930 (0.824–1.048) | 0.913 (0.809–1.030) |
| MHO                 | 1.208 (1.099–1.446) | 1.292 (1.162–1.436) | 1.284 (1.067–1.422) | 1.205 (1.081–1.343) |
| MUNW                | 1.363 (1.232–1.506) | 1.305 (1.083–1.572) | 1.289 (1.155–1.553) | 1.232 (1.021–1.486) |
| MUOW                | 1.544 (1.404–1.698) | 1.612 (1.457–1.783) | 1.596 (1.442–1.766) | 1.447 (1.303–1.607) |
| MUO                 | 1.917 (1.708–2.151) | 2.254 (1.991–2.552) | 2.204 (1.945–2.497) | 1.912 (1.680–2.176) |

Notes: Model 1-unadjusted; model 2-adjusted for age, center, sex; model 3-further adjusted for education status, smoking habits, drinking habits; model 4-further adjusted for eGFR, LDL-C, AST, GGT, HbA1c.

Abbreviations: AST, aspartate aminotransferase; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GGT, glutamyl transferase; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MHNW, metabolically healthy normal weight; MHO, metabolically healthy obesity; MHNW, metabolically healthy overweight; MUNW, metabolically unhealthy normal weight; MUO, metabolically unhealthy obesity; MUOW, metabolically unhealthy overweight; OR, odds ratio.

*p < 0.05. **p < 0.01. ***p < 0.001.
MUOW group (OR 1.453; 95% CI, 1.043–2.023; p = 0.027) and MUO group (OR 2.053; 95% CI, 1.372–3.073; p < 0.001) compared to the nonsmoking population. Furthermore, we found that the incidence of proteinuria was highest in those with abdominal obesity, especially in the MUO group (OR 1.453, 95% CI, 1.043–2.023, p = 0.027). It is suggested that the risk of increased albuminuria is elevated among regular smokers in men aged 40–55 years in the population with abdominal obesity.

4 | DISCUSSION

The main finding of this study was that the proportion of MHNW, MHOW, MHO, MUNW, MUOW, and MUO subjects in the Chinese community in the elderly population was 27.6%, 15.9%, 4.1%, 19.8%, 22.5%, and 9.6%, respectively, while the increased albuminuria in MHNW, MHOW, MHO, MUNW, MUOW, and MUO subjects was 8.6%, 8.9%, 11.4%, 12.6%, 14.1% and 16.9%, respectively. The risk of increased albuminuria was higher in MHO subjects than in MHNW subjects, and the risk of increased albuminuria was highest in MUO subjects; the risk of increased albuminuria elevates with increasing BMI.

A cross-sectional study of 9579 Chinese middle-aged and older adults found that low-grade albuminuria was significantly associated with an increased incidence of MS and its components. The metabolic phenotype used in our study considered metabolic risk factors and BMI, which has been used to assess the risk of various outcomes such as CVD, CKD, etc. The prognostic value of MHO is a controversial topic, and it has been shown that compared to the MHNW group, the MHO group does not have an increased risk of cardiometabolic disorders and mortality, but in a large cohort study of Korean adults, the MHOW or MHO groups were associated with an increased risk of CKD compared to the MHNW group. Several cohort studies reported that the MHO group was not exempt from the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus events and CVD, suggesting that MHO is not a harmless condition. A meta-analysis by Alizadeh et al analyzed nine prospective cohort studies comparing metabolic phenotype and risk of CKD. They found that the MHO group and MUNW group have an elevated risk of CKD development and a combined relative risk (RR) of 1.55 and 1.58, respectively. This is consistent with our findings of an elevated risk of increased albuminuria of 1.198 and 1.272 for the MHO phenotype and MUNW phenotype, respectively, suggesting that obesity is a risk factor for CKD regardless of the presence of metabolic abnormalities. Metabolic health does not fully protect the obese population.

It is also important to note that metabolic phenotypes are dynamic, with a high risk of transitioning from metabolically healthy phenotypes to metabolically unhealthy ones over time, as Soriguer et al found that 30%–40% of patients with MHO transition to the MUO status after 6 years of follow-up. Therefore, appropriate interventions to improve MHO status and prevent its conversion to MUO may help to reduce the incidence of CKD.

Obesity is considered to be one of the strongest but most modifiable risk factors for renal insufficiency, and the association between obesity and increased albuminuria may be mediated by multiple biological mechanisms. First, obesity itself has independent deleterious effects on
renal hemodynamics, including hyperfiltration, increased glomerular capillary wall tension, and podocyte stress; and hyperfiltration is an independent predictor of albuminuria development. Second, adipose tissue, as an active endocrine organ, secretes adipose tissue-derived adipokines and cytokines, such as leptin, lipocalin, tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin-6, and fibrinogen activator inhibitor-1, involved in the pathogenesis of CKD. Adipokines reach the kidney and exert local effects on thylakoid cells, podocytes, and tubular cells, promoting hyperfiltration of the glomerulus and albuminuria. Finally, albuminuria may also result from mechanisms such as systemic chronic low-grade inflammation, increased insulin resistance, inappropriate activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, and increased oxidative stress.

Metabolic abnormalities are also closely associated with increased albuminuria, with elevated blood pressure and increased insulin resistance being directly related to endothelial dysfunction and renal hemodynamic instability, leading to podocyte damage, which again leads to albuminuria. Obesity and hypertension can impair autoregulation of renal afferent small arteries, decrease podocyte density, and synergistically promote the development of albuminuria. In addition, an abnormal lipid profile can accelerate atherosclerosis through renal vascularity and fat deposition in the renal tubules, leading to endothelial cell inflammation and renal tubular interstitial injury. Hyperglycemia leads to multiple metabolic disorders through activation of protein kinase C, resulting in renal dysfunction and glomerular hyperfiltration.

We chose to analyze the population stratified by age, sex, abdominal obesity, and smoking habits. First of all, age is a known independent risk factor for renal function impairment. Then, the protective effect of estrogen in women and/or the damaging effect of testosterone, combined with unhealthy lifestyles in men, may lead to a more rapid decline in renal function in men than in women. Finally, smoking is a well-known risk factor for CKD. Our results suggest that men in the MUO group aged 40–55 years who are regular smokers and abdominally obese may have a higher risk of increased albuminuria. To reduce the risk of CKD, they should consider quitting smoking, losing weight, and making lifestyle changes, such as eating a balanced diet and exercising more.

The strength of this study is that it is the first study to analyze the relationship between different metabolic phenotypes and increased albuminuria in a large cross-sectional sample; however, there are some limitations to consider in this study. First, the population of this study included only Chinese people aged 40 years or older, and the BMI grouping used in the study was based on the Working Group on Obesity in China (WGOC) obesity diagnostic criteria of the Chinese population study, so our findings may not apply to individuals of different ages or races. Second, due to the cross-sectional nature of this study, the causal relationship between increased albuminuria and different metabolic phenotypes has not been established and needs to be further demonstrated in prospective studies. Third, we only tested serum albumin concentration in one urine sample. Multiple or 24-h urine samples will provide more accurate and stable urinary albumin excretion data. Finally, we did not perform obesity typing, and it has been reported that the main reason for the different metabolic statuses of individuals with the same BMI is the different fat distribution patterns, with excess visceral fat being more detrimental to metabolic health than excess subcutaneous fat, which needs to be further explored.

5 CONCLUSION

Our study shows that there is a significant correlation between metabolic phenotype and increased albuminuria. In a community-based Chinese elderly population, increased albuminuria is associated with increased BMI regardless of normal metabolism, and those with metabolic abnormalities are at greater risk of increased albuminuria than those with normal metabolism. The risk of increased albuminuria was further elevated among regular smokers among men aged 40–55 years with abdominal obesity. Since people who are overweight or obese have a higher risk of CKD, we recommend that they have their UACR checked regularly and develop an appropriate fat loss program to reduce their risk.
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