Leeches as the intermediate host for strigeid trematodes – genetic diversity and taxonomy of the genera Australapatemon Sudarikov, 1959 and Cotylurus Szidat, 1928

Ewa Pyrka
University of Wrocław

Gerard Kanarek (kanarek@miiz.waw.pl)
Museum and Institute of Zoology Polish Academy of Sciences
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7021-0511

Grzegorz Zaleśny
Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences

Joanna Hildebrand
University of Wrocław

Research

Keywords: Strigeidae, leeches, metacercariae, Australapatemon, Cotylurus

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-86226/v1

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License
Abstract

Background

Leeches (Hirudinida) play a significant role as intermediate hosts in the circulation of trematodes in the aquatic environment. However, the species richness, molecular diversity and phylogeny of larval stages of Strigeidae trematodes (tetracotyle) occurring in this group of aquatic invertebrates remain poorly understood. In the present work, on the basis of recently obtained sequences of several molecular markers we analysed several aspects of the ecology, taxonomy and phylogeny of the genera *Australapatemon* and *Cotylurus*, which utilise leeches as intermediate hosts.

Methods

From April 2017 to September 2018, 153 leeches were collected from several sampling stations in small rivers with slow-flowing waters and related drainage canals located in three regions of Poland. The distinctive forms of tetracotyle metacercariae collected from leeches supplemented with adult Strigeidae specimens sampled from a wide range of water birds were analysed using the 28S rDNA partial gene, ITS2 region and the COI fragment.

Results

Among investigated leeches, metacercariae of the tetracotyle type were detected in the parenchyma and musculature of 62 specimens (prevalence 40.5%) with a mean intensity reaching 19.9 ind. The taxonomic generic affiliation of metacercariae derived from leeches revealed the occurrence of two Strigeidae genera: *Australapatemon* Sudarikov, 1959 and *Cotylurus* Szidat, 1928. Phylogenetic reconstructions based on the partial 28S rRNA gene, ITS2 region and partial COI gene confirmed the separation of the *Australapatemon* and *Cotylurus* clades. Unfortunately, regarding currently available molecular data and our results, it is not possible to precisely define the taxonomic position of the recently sequenced tetracotyle of *Australapatemon*. On the other hand, on the basis of the obtained sequences, supplemented with previously published data, the metacercariae of *Cotylurus* detected in leeches were identified as two species: *C. strigeoides* Dubois, 1958 and *C. syrius* Dubois, 1934. This is the first record of *C. syrius* from the intermediate host.

Conclusions

The results suggest the separation of ecological niches and life cycles between *C. cornutus* (Rudolphi, 1808) and *C. strigeoides/C. syrius* with potential serious evolutionary consequences for a wide range of host–parasite relationships. Moreover, phylogenetic analyses corroborated the polyphyletic character of *C. syrius*, the unclear status of *C. cornutus* and the separate position of *Cotylurs raabei* Bezubik, 1958 within *Cotylurus*. The data demonstrate the inconsistent and confusing taxonomic status of the sequenced tetracotyle of *Australapatemon*, resulting, in our opinion, from the limited availability of fully reliable, comparative sequences of related taxa in GenBank.
Background

Leeches (Hirudinida) are an abundant and widely distributed group of aquatic invertebrates. Aside from their significance in freshwater ecosystems as prey and predators [1], leeches are the second intermediate hosts for some trematodes from the family Strigeidae Railliet, 1919 (genera: *Australapatemon* Sudarikov, 1959; *Cotylurus* Szidat, 1928) and *Cyathocotylidae* Mühling, 1898 (genus *Cyathocotyle* Mühling, 1898) [2, 3, 4, 5]. These genera use prosobranch or pulmonate snails as their first intermediate hosts. Cercariae that are released from snails into the aquatic environment infect and develop in leeches to the invasive stage. Metacercariae (tetracotyle type in strigeid trematodes and prohemistomulum type in cyathocotylid trematodes) that develop in leeches are transmitted to the avian definitive hosts (a wide range of Anseriformes, but also recorded in Charadriiformes and Rallidae) by ingestion [5]. Despite the recognized role of leeches in the transmission of some digenean parasites in aquatic ecosystems there is insufficient understanding of host–parasite relationships within this group of annelids, and only a few papers have analysed various taxonomic (e.g., [3, 4, 5, 6]) and ecological (e.g., [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]) aspects of the occurrence and diversity of digenean larval stages in leeches. Moreover, contemporary understanding of the diversity of Strigeidae trematodes has been significantly changed by the recently discovered high level of interspecific and intergeneric homogeneity of morphological features related to an unexpectedly high level of genetic diversity, revealed within the genera *Australapatemon* and *Cotylurus* [12, 13, 14, 15]. Regarding these facts, several various aspects of the ecology, taxonomy and phylogeny of the genera *Australapatemon* and *Cotylurus* require urgent, detailed studies. Both genera possess a long and confusing history within Strigeidae. The genus *Australapatemon* was erected by Sudarikov [16] on the basis of the variability of the life cycles and structure of the cercarial protonephridial system observed in several species located previously in the genus *Apatemon* Szidat, 1928. In view of these differences, Sudarikov [16] redefined the genus *Apatemon* as characterised by cercariae with 10 flame cells and metacercariae encysted in fishes and erected the new genus *Australapatemon*, characterised by cercariae with 14 flame cells/protonephridia and metacercariae encysted in leeches. Since then, the taxonomic status and validity of these genera have been questioned and changed several times: some authors (e.g., [17, 18]) reduced *Australapatemon* to the level of a subgenus within *Apatemon*, while Yamaguti [19] restored it to the full generic rank, as further confirmed by Niewiadomska [20]. As the morphological differences between *Apatemon* and *Australapatemon* in both metacercariae as well as adult specimens are limited or subtle, these taxa have often been incorrectly determined (for details see [6] and references therein), and thus a few attempts to use molecular markers in the identification, taxonomy and phylogeny of these genera have been made in recent years [21, 22, 6, 12, 14, 23]. Importantly, a detailed molecular analysis of cercariae and adult specimens of *Australapatemon* sampled across North America clearly indicates the existence of several distinct lineages within this taxon and indisputably points out the hidden species diversity [12, 14]. In Europe, Huguenin et al. [24] identified several lineages within *Australapatemon* cercariae using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, but the taxonomic position and molecular diversity of larval stages of *Australapatemon* collected from a wide range of intermediate hosts (snails and leeches) have not been the subject of extensive studies. The genetic variability and species richness within the genus *Australapatemon* from various geographical regions thus remain relatively unknown. The structure and true diversity within this genus are thus still far from being established and require further detailed studies.
Another genus within Strigeideae that utilises hirudineans as hosts of invasive stages is the genus *Cotylurus*. This genus was erected by Szidat in 1928 for strigeid parasites of birds, and is characterised by vitellaria limited to the hindbody and a well-developed genital bulb. The metacercariae of *Cotylurus* occur in a wide range of snails and leeches [5]. In 1958 Bezubik [25] described a new species, *Strigea raabei*, based on trematode specimens collected from the bursa Fabricii of the garganey *Spatula querquedula* and ferruginous duck *Aythya nyroca* from eastern Poland, characterised by the presence of vitellaria both in the hind- and forebody (typical feature of the genus *Strigea*) and possessing a well-developed genital bulb (typical of the genus *Cotylurus*). Regarding these combinations of morphological features as unique, Sudarikov [26] erected the new genus *Cotylurostrigea* and placed in it two species: *Cotylurostrigea raabei* and *Cotylurostrigea strigeoides* Dubois, 1958. However, Dubois [18] treated the genus *Cotylurostrigea* as a synonym of *Cotylurus*, while Yamaguti [19] gave *Cotylurostrigea* subgeneric status within the genus *Strigea*. Using the results of a cladistics analysis based on morphological and ecological features, Zazornova and Sysoev [27] recognized *Cotylurostrigea* as a synonym of *Cotylurus*, similar to Niewiadomska [20] in the most recent system of Strigeidae. In 1969, on the basis of differences in life cycles and cercariae morphology, Odening [28] erected two new subgenera within the genus *Cotylurus*: 1) *Ichtyocotylurus*, characterised by cercariae with two pairs of penetration glands located behind the ventral sucker, metacercariae in fish intermediate hosts, and fish-eating birds as final hosts; 2) *Cotylurus*, including species with cercariae characterised by two pairs of penetration glands located in front of the ventral sucker, metacercariae encysted in gastropods and leeches, and anseriform and charadriform birds as final hosts. Niewiadomska [29] regarded these taxa as valid and elevated both subgenera to the full generic rank, as confirmed in the most recent review [20]. However, species of *Cotylurus* show huge morphological variability, which led some authors to divide it into numerous subspecies with disputable validity [18], whereas others considered it as a polymorphic species with a wide host range and cosmopolitan distribution [30]. This led to basic problems with precise and adequate delimiting of particular species, which clearly indicates the need to use molecular techniques in studies on the taxonomy and phylogeny of *Cotylurus*. In recent years, Heneberg et al. [13] has combined a morphological and molecular analysis of central European Strigeidae from avian definitive hosts to investigate the taxonomic position of several *Cotylurus* species and surprisingly revealed a high level of molecular diversity within morphologically well-established species. Locke et al. [31] and Gordy and Hanington [14] also revealed the occurrence of several new species within this genus in Canada. These results clearly indicate the need for further, detailed morphological, molecular and phylogenetic studies.

Most of the recent molecular data concerning the taxonomy and structure of the genera *Australapatemon* and *Cotylurus* were based on free-living larval stages (cercariae), without a simultaneous analysis of the invasive stages in second intermediate hosts, or a comparative molecular and morphological analysis of adults. Moreover, the majority of contemporary studies were based on simple molecular markers enabling a fully reliable comparison of the results with other data [31, 14]. In the present paper, we describe the identity and molecular diversity of tetracotyle metacercariae detected in four taxa of freshwater leeches (*Erpobdella octoculata, Glossiphonia complanata, Haemopis sanguisuga* and *Theromyzon tessulatum*), collected from three distinct localities in southern and northern Poland and based on several molecular markers (28S and ITS2 rDNA, mitochondrial CO1). Our results were supplemented by data from the yet to
be sequenced *Cotylurus* species, collected from avian hosts from northern Poland. On this basis, we present and discuss new data concerning the diversity and structure of the genera *Cotylurus* and *Australapatemon*. The presented study is the first comprehensive attempt to fully understand the identity and diversity of strigeid metacerariae from leech intermediate hosts in Central Europe.

**Materials And Methods**

**Host sampling protocols and necropy procedures**

From April 2017 to September 2018, 153 leeches were collected from several sampling stations in small rivers with slow-flowing waters and related drainage canals located in three regions of Poland: Gdańsk Pomerania, Lower Silesia and Subcarpathia Province (Table 1, Fig. 1). Leeches were collected manually, using entomological nets and home-made aluminium traps with beef liver or chicken hearts as bait. Additionally, some specimens were sampled by hand from littoral stones and bottom detritus. The leeches were transferred to a plastic box with water and adequate ventilation, transported to the laboratory and stored in the fridge. Before necropy, leeches were identified to the species level based on morphological characteristics provided by Bielecki et al. [32]. Identification based on morphological features was confirmed by molecular results using the 28S rRNA gene as a marker. Specimens of four species: *Erpobdella octoculata* (L., 1758), *Glossiphonia complanata* (L., 1758), *Haemopis sanguisuga* (L., 1758) and *Theromyzon tessulatum* Müller, 1774 were identified and examined for the presence of metacercariae (Table 1). After anaesthesia and then euthanasia, leeches were opened longitudinally, and the intestines were separated from the skin and transferred to Petri dishes with physiological sodium chloride solution and examined under a stereomicroscope. The distinctive forms of an unnamed tetracotyle metacercariae (both in pre-encystment stage and enclosed in an egg-shaped cyst) were extracted alive from the body cavity and mesenteries using preparation needles, washed in physiological sodium chloride solution, counted and fixed in hot 70% ethanol and preserved in the same medium for further processing. Material for further molecular analysis was randomly selected from the tetracotyle, according to the observed intensity of invasion: every tenth or ftieth metacercariae (in the case of very low infection all metacercariae was sampled) from each infected host.

Several adult Strigeidae specimens collected from a wide range of water birds (mallard *Anas platyrhynchos*, gadwall *Anas strepera*, common pochard *Aythya ferina*, mute swan *Cygnus olor*, Eurasian coot *Fulica atra*) were used as reference material (Table S2). After isolation from the gastrointestinal tracts of the definitive avian hosts, the digeneas were rinsed in physiological salt solution, initially identified alive under the microscope and fixed in hot 70% ethanol for further morphological and molecular analyses. Next, the selected digeneans were stained with alcohol borax carmine, dehydrated, cleared, and mounted in Canada balsam. Voucher specimens are deposited in the Polish Collection of Parasitic Helminths, Museum of Natural History, Wrocław University, Poland.

The ecological terms used in this work are as defined by Bush et al. [33].

**Molecular analysis**
DNA was extracted from single, alcohol-fixed metacercariae and adult worms using a commercial kit, DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. PCR amplification of the nuclear large ribosomal subunit gene (28S) and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) as well as the mitochondrial gene encoding cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) was carried using KAPA2G Robust HotStart ReadyMix and primers selected based on the literature. A list of primers and the conditions of the PCR reaction are presented in Table S1.

The PCR results were visualized during electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel. The products were purified with an Exo-BAP Kit (EURx) or QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) when non-specific products were present. Purified products were sequenced directly in both directions using the PCR primers. Contiguous sequences were assembled using Geneious software (Geneious 9.1.8; https://www.geneious.com). The representative sequences were submitted to GenBank under accession numbers presented in Table S2. The alignments included newly obtained sequences and closely related representatives of Strigeidae currently available in GenBank (Table S3) and were prepared using ClustalW multiple alignment implemented in MegaX [34]. Sequences of the 28S rDNA partial gene, ITS2 region and the COI fragment were aligned in three independent datasets. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using Bayesian inference (BI) criteria as implemented in MrBayes ver. 3.2.7 software [35] and were run on the three datasets individually. The general time reversible model with estimates of invariant sites and gamma distributed among-site variation (GTR + I + G) was identified as the best-fitting nucleotide substitution model for 28S and COI, and the Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano substitution model with gamma distributed among-site variation (HKY + G) for ITS2, using jModelTest 2 software [36]. The consensus trees were visualized in FigTree ver. 1.4.4 software [37] and annotated in Corel®.

Additionally, we used GMYC analysis (the generalized mixed Yule coalescent model) as a tool for species delimitation [38, 39]. This method works for a single locus tree; we used the COI sequence to construct an ultrametric tree with BEAST v. 2.4.4 [40]. Prior to analysis the alignment was collapsed to unique haplotypes and the outgroup was removed. Thus, the GMYC analysis contained 33 haplotypes, the nucleotide substitution model was set to HKY + G and we used the coalescent model with constant population size (which is the most appropriate for modeling the relationships among individuals from the same species) with strict clock. GMYC analysis was done in R software (R v. 4.0.2) with the following packages: “ape”, “paran”, “rncl” and “splits”.

**Results**

**Parameters of infection**

Within the 153 investigated leeches, metacercariae of the tetracotyle type (both encysted and non-encysted) were detected in the parenchyma and musculature of 62 specimens (overall prevalence 40.5%) from two localities: Gdańsk Pomerania (51 infected among 66 investigated *H. sanguisuga*) and Lower Silesia (3 infected among 13 necropsied specimens of *Erpobdella octoculata* and 8 infected among 37 *H. sanguisuga*); none of the specimens of *G. complanata* and *T. tessulatum* were infected (Table 1). The highest prevalence of metacercariae was observed among leeches collected from Gdańsk Pomerania.
(Table 1). Leeches sampled in Subcarpatia Province were not infected. Regarding host species, the highest prevalence was observed in *H. sanguisuga* (59 infected, prevalence 50.9%).

The highest mean intensity was detected among leeches from Gdańsk Pomerania (23.1 ind.); a much lower mean prevalence was recorded in Lower Silesia (5.6 ind.). Regarding host species, the highest mean intensity was noted in *H. sanguisuga* (20.8 ind.) (Table 1).

**Molecular identification of detected metacercariae and phylogenetic analyses**

The taxonomic generic affiliation of encysted and non-encysted metacercariae derived from leeches was conducted based on BLAST comparison of sequences of 28S rDNA and resulted in confirmation of the occurrence of two Strigeidae genera: *Australapatemon* and *Cotylurus*.

Although comprehensive molecular studies of the structure of the Strigeidae are rather rare in the literature, a few taxonomic studies concerning the systematic position of several genera within Strigeidae have been published recently [6, 13]. Based on the results of these studies, and given the main aim of the current study (identification and molecular diversity of strigeid metacercariae in leeches from Central Europe), the structure of our datasets was determined, first of all, by the availability of sequences from previously published European isolates. Thus, the presented analyses are focused mainly on the identity, molecular diversity and phylogenetic relationships within two genera from which larval stages were detected in leeches: *Australapatemon* and *Cotylurus*. As the sequences of *Australapatemon* and *Cotylurus* published previously by Heneberg et al. [13] constituted a significant part of the comparative material necessary for establishing the taxonomic position of the recently collected and analysed materials, the alignments used for our phylogenetic analyses of the ITS region and COI gene were trimmed to the length used in the cited work [13], i.e. 270 bp for ITS2 and 295 bp for COI. However, full-length alignments were deposited in GenBank. The length of the 28S alignment was 980 bp and included almost all sequences of *Australapatemon* and *Cotylurus* available in GenBank except that of *C. gallinulae* (length 700 bp). Phylogenetic reconstructions of the partial 28S rRNA gene, ITS2 region and partial COI gene clearly confirmed the separation of the *Australapatemon* and *Cotylurus* clades.

**Australapatemon**

The genetic divergence between *Australapatemon* specimens obtained from leeches in the present work was considered negligible based on the 28S sequences and no intraspecific variability was detected within localities (Fig. 2). These sequences clustered in a well-supported clade with sequences obtained from trematodes identified as *Australapatemon burti*, isolates from an adult fluke from Mexico (MF398342) and cercaria from Canada (KY207625), larvae identified as *Australapatemon* sp. from Canada (MF124269, MF124270) and the adult form of *Au. niewiadomski* from New Zealand (KT334164, KT334165) (Fig. 2). The similarity of new sequences with the sequences mentioned above ranged from 99.9% (one nucleotide different) for *Au. burti* to 99.4% for *Au. niewiadomski* (six nucleotides different). The sequence of an isolate identified as *Australapatemon* sp. obtained from *Anas strepera* showed 100% homology with previously published sequences of *Au. burti* KY207625 and MF398342 (based on 1244 and 1207 bp respectively).
The DNA sequences of the ITS2 fragment from metacercariae sampled from leeches in the present study showed 100% identity among themselves and with sequences of \textit{Au. minor} from \textit{Anas platyrhynchos} from the Czech Republic (MF628095) and \textit{Au. burti} from cercariae from Slovakia (KU950451) and Canada (KY207626), as well as with an isolate from the USA described as \textit{Australapatemon} sp. (KY570947). These sequences formed one clade with trematodes identified as \textit{Au. mclaughlini} (one nucleotide different in comparison with isolates from leeches) and \textit{Au. burti} from Mexico (two nucleotides different) (Fig. 3).

Newly generated COI sequences from leeches formed a well-supported clade with \textit{Au. minor} (MF6280066) within the \textit{Australapatemon} branch (Fig. 4). Unfortunately, there are no available sequences of \textit{Au. burti} that could be added to this analysis, thus, we were unable to determine the final taxonomic affiliation of isolates from leeches, given that the analyses of the 28S and ITS datasets did not give conclusive results.

Our phylogenetic analyses carried out separately based on three genetic markers demonstrated with strong support the sister relationship among \textit{Australapatemon} and some species of \textit{Apatemon}: \textit{A. gracilis} and \textit{Apatemon} sp."jamiesoni" (Fig. 2). However, the taxonomic position of \textit{Ap. fuligulae} remains unclear: in phylogenetic reconstructions derived from the 28S and ITS2 datasets this species nested between \textit{Australapatemon} isolates, but according to the result of the COI analysis \textit{Ap. fuligulae} from Poland and the Czech Republic clustered with \textit{Apatemon} sp."jamiesoni", although without strong support. Simultaneously, sequences of \textit{Apatemon/Australapatemonfuhrmanni}, the generic affiliation of which was discussed by Heneberg et al. [13], were located inside the \textit{Australapatemon} clade generated based on ITS2 and COI dataset analyses (Fig. 3, 4).

\textbf{Cotylurus}

The newly generated sequences of 28S rDNA, the ITS2 region and COI mtDNA derived from metacercariae obtained from leeches fall in two well-supported lineages corresponding to \textit{Cotylurus strigeoides} Dubois, 1958 (isolate from \textit{Anas platyrhynchos}) and \textit{C. syrius} Dubois, 1934 (100% homology with isolates from \textit{Cygnus olor} from Poland and the Czech Republic; accession numbers MF628093, MF628099 for ITS2 and MF628057, MF628059 for COI). The intraspecific genetic divergence between sequences in clades grouping metacercariae and the adult form of \textit{C. strigeoides} ranged from 0% to 0.2% for the 28S dataset, from 0% to 1.5% for ITS2, and from 1% to 1.7% for the COI dataset.

The analysis of 28S rDNA and COI sequences showed the distinct position of \textit{C. raabei}, while other members of the genus \textit{Cotylurus} formed the clade within which \textit{C. strigeoides} appeared as a sister lineage to the group including \textit{C. comutus} (Rudolphi, 1808), \textit{C. syrius}, and recently obtained sequences of \textit{C. hebraicus} Dubois, 1934. Moreover, all three phylogenetic analyses corroborated the polyphyletic character of \textit{C. syrius} and also showed the unclear status of \textit{C. comutus} (Fig. 2–4). Two recently obtained isolates of adult trematodes from \textit{Cygnus olor} were located within two separate lineages of \textit{C. syrius}, but two isolates from metacercariae were located within only one of them.

The sequence of 28S rDNA from \textit{C. comutus} obtained in the present study (adult trematode from \textit{Anas platyrhynchos}) clustered together with isolates determined as \textit{C. comutus} derived from metacercariae from Norway (KY513180-KY513182) with similarity of 99.6–99.7%. However, due to the lack of comparative
sequence material for the ITS region and COI mtDNA and the ambiguous position of our *C. cornutus* isolate in the trees generated based on the above datasets (Fig. 3, 4), the taxonomic status of this species remains unclear.

**GMYC results**

Within the 33 haplotypes GMYC analysis revealed the presence of 13 species and generally confirmed of clades derived by Bayesian analysis (Fig. 5). It seems that all larval forms of strigeid flukes obtained from leeches could be matched to three species, i.e. *Australapatemon minor* and *Cotylurus strigeoides* and *C. syrius* (not shown in the tree as it had an identical haplotype to the GenBank sequence). However, in the case of the *C. strigeoides* and *C. syrius* clade, GMYC support reached 86% while for the *Au. minor* clade it was 51%.

**Discussion**

The results reveal several new and important insights into the diversity of strigeid trematodes occurring in freshwater leeches in Central Europe and clearly emphasize the importance of this group of aquatic invertebrates in the circulation of trematodes in the aquatic environment. The observed prevalence of tetracotyle metacercariae detected in leeches (40.5%) was relatively high compared to other studies from central and eastern Europe: during investigations of parasites of species-rich and diverse communities of leeches in the eutrophic Drużno Lake (northern Poland) Dobrowolski [3] detected larvae of strigeid and cyathocotylid trematodes among 20% of analysed leeches, while in lakes of Kazakhstan Zhatkanbaeva [41] and Zhatkanbaeva and Akhmetova [42] detected tetracotyle metacercariae in 19.4% of the investigated leeches. On the other hand, studies from eastern Europe revealed the prevalence of tetracotyle metacercariae at much higher levels: in the Volga estuary Sudarikov et al. [43] found metacercariae in 60% (75 of 125) of analysed leeches, and Rajshite [44] detected strigeid metacercariae in 53% of leeches from the Volga and Niemen estuaries.

Tetracotyle metacercariae usually classified as *Tetracotyle typica* de Fillippi, 1855 have been widely recorded from snails and leeches in Europe, but their real taxonomic status has remained unknown for many years. Meanwhile, the adult form of *T. typica* sampled from snails has been identified as *Cotylurus cornutus* (Rudolphi, 1808) (for details see Sudarkov [16] and references therein). Szidat [45] reared adult trematodes from metacercariae of *T. typica* from the gonads of two species of leech (*Erpobdella octoculata* and *Heamopis sanguisuga*) and also identified them as *C. cornutus*. These results prove the possibility of the occurrence of tetracotyles of *C. cornutus* in two, clearly distinct groups of intermediate host, i.e. snails and leeches. The identification of *T. typica* from leeches as *C. cornutus* confirmed the results of Timon-David [46] and Dobrowolski [3]. Using material collected from leeches, Szidat [45, 47] described for the first time another type of metacercariae, *Tetracotyle gracilis*, and identified the adult form of it as *Apatemon gracilis* (Rudolphi, 1819). During studies concerning the taxonomic composition of strigeid metacercariae from leeches of the Volga estuary Sudarikov et al. [43] confirmed the validity of *T. gracilis* as the adult form of *A. gracilis* but questioned the status of *T. typica* from leeches as *C. cornutus*. In their opinion, *T. typica* from leeches represents another species that is closely related to *C. cornutus*.
Sudarikov et al.’s conclusions [43] were further confirmed by Vojtek et al. [4], who also stated that tetracotyle larvae of *Cotylurus* from leeches probably belong to a closely related, but different species of *Cotylurus* than tetracotyle larvae from snails that are commonly accepted in the contemporary literature as *C. cornutus*. However, these hypotheses were never confirmed and the issue of the identity and taxonomic position of *Cotylurus* metacercariae occurring in leeches and snails remained unresolved for years. According to the literature, the occurrence of tetracotyles of four species of *Cotylurus* has been detected in leeches: *C. cornutus*, *C. hebraicus* Dubois, 1934 *C. strigeoides* Dubois, 1958 and *C. szidati* Zazornova, 1991 [48, 49, 50, 51, 5]. Among these, tetracotyles of two species (*C. cornutus*, *C. strigeoides*) were also recorded from snail intermediate hosts [48, 5]. However, their real taxonomic position remains doubtful because of the subtle morphological differences between the tetracotyle forms of *Cotylurus* [51]. Moreover, the taxonomic consequences of morphological variability observed among tetracotyles of *Cotylurus* have not been confirmed by molecular studies. For these reasons, recent knowledge concerning the morphology and taxonomic position of tetracotyles from snails and leeches should be considered as deeply insufficient and requires urgent verification using molecular studies based on well-determined reference materials from definitive hosts. Importantly, recent molecular studies have revealed unexpected high molecular diversity within *Cotylurus* [31, 14], including morphologically well-established species [13].

In the present study, metacercariae of *Cotylurus* detected in leeches were identified as two species, *C. strigeoides* and *C. syrius*, and this was confirmed by the parallel, comparative morphological and molecular analysis of adult specimens sampled from definitive avian hosts and GenBank sequences. Importantly, while leeches and snails are recognized as the second intermediate host for *C. strigeoides* [51, 5], the life cycle of *C. syrius* remains unknown. Our molecular identification of metacercariae detected in *H. sanguisuga* from Gdańsk Pomerania as *C. syrius* for the first time clearly indicates the route of transmission of this strigeid to the avian definitive hosts by leeches and emphasizes the importance of this invertebrate as a second intermediate host. Moreover, we consider the lack of tetracotyle forms of *Cotylurus cornutus* in leeches as really surprising. In Poland, this strigeid species is one of the most important elements of the trematode fauna of anseriform birds [52]. The prevalence of *C. cornutus* in the population of mallard *Anas platyrhynchos* from Gdańsk Pomerania is about 20% (G. Kanarek, unpublished data), which could reflect the common occurrence of its invasive stages in the aquatic environment in the study area. In this context, the absence of metacercariae of *C. cornutus* in the helminth fauna of leeches suggests that the invasive form of this trematode species does not use leeches as intermediate hosts. This observation, along with other recently obtained results (identification of *C. syrius* and *C. strigeoides* in the leech helminth fauna), supports the hypothesis previously formulated by Sudarikov et al. [43] and Vojtek et al. [4] that tetracotyles of *C. cornutus* occur only in snail intermediate hosts, while the tetracotyle form detected in leeches represents different species of *Cotylurus*. Moreover, the real species composition and molecular diversity within the genus *Cotylurus* that utilises various invertebrate hosts (snails and leeches) remains unknown. Additionally, the presented results clearly indicate the separation of ecological niches and life cycles between some species of *Cotylurus*, with potential serious evolutionary consequences for a wide range of host–parasite relationships.
The obtained results clearly confirm the validity of the genus *Cotylurus*. All markers placed analysed members of this genus in one, well-supported clade with high diversity within the clade. However, the observed phylogenetic relationships within *Cotylurus* varied in relation to the analysed loci, which in our opinion, reflects the limited availability of fully reliable comparative sequences from related taxa in GenBank. Despite this, the sequenced specimens of *C. strigeoides* (both adults and tetracotyle) formed a well-supported separate clade that was particularly well defined in the phylogeny based on 28S rDNA loci (Fig. 2). In the analysis based on the ITS2 marker, isolates of *C. strigeoides* created a sister branch to a single isolate of *C. gallinulae* (JX978441) sampled from Aythya ainputis in Mexico and provided by Hernández-Mena et al. [53] (Fig. 3). Heneberg et al. [13] suggested a high level of similarity between some lineages of *C. comutus* (isolates from Anas crecca from the Czech Republic) and sequences *C. gallinulae* (JX978441), but our phylogeny, based on several new isolates and supplemented by GenBank data, did not confirm these assumptions. The current phylogeny based on ITS2 sequences (270 bp length) revealed only 0.4% difference (one nucleotide) between *C. gallinulae* (JX978441) from Mexico and recently obtained sequences of *C. strigeoides* (both adults and metacercariae), which strongly suggests that they are conspecific. Moreover, Locke et al. [31] suggested the identity of CO1 sequences of *Cotylurus strigeoides* sampled from Aythya collaris in Canada (MH581280-2) and *C. gallinulae* (JX977781) provided by Hernández-Mena et al. [53]. Importantly, both JX978441 and recently sequenced adult specimens of *C. strigeoides* were sampled from anatid birds, which are recognized as the typical final hosts for this trematode species, while *C. gallinulae* is recognized as a typical parasite of Rallidae. According to Locke et al. [31], this suggests the possibility of incorrect determination of the material from Mexico. Another interesting question related to the position of *C. gallinulae* is the validity of recently sequenced specimens of *Cotylurus hebraicus*. This species was first described on the basis of trematodes collected from the Eurasian coot Fulica atra sampled in the territory of Syria and further placed as a subspecies within *Cotylurus gallinulae* as *Cotylurus gallinulae hebraicus* together with *C. gallinulae gallinulae* (Lutz, 1928) Dubois, 1937, *C. gallinulae* ban Yamaguti, 1939 and *C. gallinulae vitellosus* Lumsden et Zischke, 1963, which suggests their close affinity [18]. All these taxa are recognized as typical parasites of coots, moorhens and rails, but with different geographical distributions. Some authors [54, 55] recognized *C. hebraicus* as a valid taxon with species rank, and this was confirmed in a recently obtained phylogeny, but the real taxonomic position and validity of other subspecies remain to be established. In our opinion, given their morphological similarity and narrow range of hosts, subspecies within *C. gallinulae* should be treated as a synonym of *Cotylurus hebraicus*. Confirmation of this hypothesis requires a detailed analysis of strigeid trematodes collected from typical avian final hosts (Rallidae) from a wide geographic distribution and comparison with sequenced specimens of *C. hebraicus* and other *Cotylurus* taxa.

Our results reveal the unclear taxonomic position and composite structure of *C. syrius* and *C. comutus*, as reported previously by Heneberg et al. [13]. According to these authors, trematode specimens morphologically identified as *C. syrius* and sampled from the typical host, the mute swan Cygnus olor, represent two distinct molecular lineages: one recognized as the typical *C. syrius sensu stricto*, and the other, according to Heneberg et al. [13], as a *C. comutus*-like isolate due to its similarity to sequences obtained from adult specimens of *C. comutus* sampled from Eurasian teal Anas crecca. Recently obtained sequences of the CO1 and ITS2 loci obtained from metacercariae sampled from H. sanguisuga from
Gdańsk Pomerania and adult trematodes collected from a swan from Kraków are almost identical to sequences obtained by Heneberg et al. [13] from adult specimens of *C. syrius sensu stricto* (MF628093, MF628099 for ITS2; MF628057, MF628059 for CO1) (Fig. 3, 4). The identity of the abovementioned sequences was also confirmed using the 28S rDNA locus (Fig. 2). Additionally, sequences of the ITS2 fragment isolated from adult specimens of *C. syrius* sampled from *Cy. olor* from Lower Silesia were closely related to the sequence reported by Heneberg et al. [13] (MF628091) and described as *C. cornutus*-like isolates of *C. syrius* (Fig. 3). Phylogenetic reconstruction based on the CO1 locus and the GMYC analysis revealed a separate lineage clustering *C. cornutus*-like *C. syrius* with isolates of *C. cornutus* obtained from the typical definitive host, the mallard *Anas platyrhynchos* (Fig. 5). Sequences of the CO1 locus of *C. cornutus* obtained in the current study differed from sequences reported by Heneberg et al. [13] under the name *C. cornutus* (MF628064) and were almost identical to recently sequenced adult specimens and the tetracotyle of *C. strigeoides* (Fig. 4, 5). The obtained sequences supplemented with GenBank data clearly confirmed the existence of three distinct lineages within species morphologically identified as *C. syrius*: one lineage identified by Heneberg et al. [13] as *C. syrius sensu stricto*, recorded from the definitive host *Cy. olor* from the Czech Republic and Poland (Kraków) and in the tetracotyle from the leech *H. sanguisuga* from Gdańsk Pomerania, and two lineages identified by Heneberg et al. [13] as *C. cornutus*-like *C. syrius*. One of them grouped some specimens of *C. syrius* sampled from a typical definitive host, the swan *Cy. olor*, from the Czech Republic and Poland (Lower Silesia), and the second, collected from *Cy. olor* from the Czech Republic. The CO1 locus of the latter is almost identical to that of *C. cornutus* collected from the typical definitive host, the mallard *A. platyrhynchos* from Poland (Vistula Lagoon). In this context, swans in Central Europe can be parasitized by three (not two, as suggested previously by Heneberg et al. [13]), morphologically indistinguishable but molecularly different species of *Cotylurus*, classified as *C. cornutus* or *C. syrius*. In this regard, our results clearly reveal the urgent need to verify the validity and range of morphological criteria enabling the identification of adult specimens of some *Cotylurus* species.

Recently obtained data revealed the separate position of *Cotylurus raabei* within *Cotylurus*. For many years, this species was placed in the genus *Cotylurus* [18], *Cotylurostrigea* Sudarikov, 1961 [26, 54] or *Strigea* [25, 19]. Based on the results of morphological and cladistics analysis Zazornova and Sysoev [27] synonymised *Cotylurostrigea* with *Cotylurus* and placed *C. raabei* within the latter. In the phylogeny based on 28S rDNA and COI markers the position of this species is separate, forming a sister branch to the other *Cotylurus* species (Figs. 2 and 4), and *C. raabei* is clearly distinct, while in the phylogeny based on the ITS region this species is placed in a lineage between the recently sequenced *C. hebraicus* and isolates of cercarial *Cotylurus* sp. infecting *Biomphalaria straminea* in Brazil (MN179272 and MN179271) [15]. The ITS2 sequences (270 bp) from *C. raabei* differed by 4.8% from *C. hebraicus* and by 5.2% from *Cotylurus* sp. from Brazil (MN179272 and MN179271). Given the inconsistent results obtained from the analysis of different loci, the taxonomic status of *C. raabei* remains unexplained and awaits further studies.

Another problem that is rarely mentioned in the contemporary literature is the identification, genetic variability and taxonomic position of metacercariae of *Australapatemon* from leeches. Due to their morphological similarity the metacercariae and adults of *Australapatemon* have for many years been erroneously confused with *Apatemon* e.g. [6]. According to the literature, one of the features enabling fully
reliable differentiation between the genera *Australapatemon* and *Apatemon* (other than the structure of excretory systems in cercariae) is the host of the invasive stages (fish in *Apatemon*, leeches in *Australapatemon*). However, this is not true in all cases. Negm-Eldin and Davies [56] revealed that metacercariae of *Apatemon hypseleotris* from Australia can develop in both leeches and fish. Regarding fact, that structure of cercariae of *A. hypseleotris* are typical for genus *Australapatemon* (14 flame cells), the taxonomic position of this species should be elucidated in further analysis [6]. Another species of *Apatemon* with a doubtful taxonomic position and metacercariae in leeches is *Apatemon jamesi* [57]. On the other hand, all species of *Australapatemon* with described life cycles utilise leeches as the hosts of invasive stages [58, 59, 19, 60, 10, 61, 6]. Unfortunately, studies concerning the identification, taxonomic position and diversity of *Australapatemon* metacercariae occurring in leeches from various ecosystems are scarce.

Similar to the phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Cotylurus* discussed above, the phylogenetic relationships among *Australapatemon* varied with the loci analysed, which again, in our opinion, reflects the limited availability of fully reliable comparative sequences of related taxa in GenBank. Our data illustrate the inconsistent and confusing taxonomic status of sequenced tetracotyles of *Australapatemon*. Regarding the 28S rDNA fragment, the results revealed the greatest similarity to the sequences of *Au. burti* from Mexico (MF398342–99.9%, one nucleotide different), and *Au. niewiadomski* from New Zealand (KT334164, KT334165–99.4%, six nucleotides different) (Fig. 2). These results are in partial disagreement with sequences of ITS2 fragment recently sampled from metacercariae from leeches that showed 100% similarity with sequences described as *Au. minor* from *Anas platyrhynchos* from the Czech Republic (MF628095) and *Au. burti* from cercariae from Slovakia (KU950451) and the USA (KY570947). Moreover, to increase confusion, the sequences of ITS2 were also similar to those from a trematode identified as *Au. mclaughlini* (one nucleotide different compared to recently obtained isolates) and *Au. burti* from Mexico (two nucleotides different). The CO1 sequence analysis also gave inconclusive results: all sequences were placed in a separate clade, sister to *Au. minor* (MF628066) from *Anas platyrhynchos* from the Czech Republic (Fig. 4) and revealed high intraspecific diversity. Therefore, in the light of currently available molecular data in GenBank and our results, it is not possible to precisely define the taxonomic position of the recently sequenced tetracotyle of *Australapatemon*. The taxonomic position of the trematode identified as *Australapatemon* sp. collected from *Anas strepera* from Vistula Lagoon is also ambiguous: recently obtained sequences of 28S rDNA showed 100% homology with previously published sequences of *Au. burti* from Canada (KY207625) [12] and Mexico (MF398342) [62]. Regarding the ITS2 fragment, our isolates presented the highest similarity with sequences of *Australapatemon* sp. (MK168687 and MK168688) from France [24]. Based on the CO1 locus, an isolate of *Australapatemon* sp. from *Anas strepera* creates a separate branch to all other *Australapatemon* sequences available in GenBank, indicating its separate position (Fig. 4).

The phylogeny based on 28 rDNA and ITS2 strongly suggests unclear relationships between *Au. burti* and *Au. minor*. *Australapatemon burti* (Miller, 1923) was originally described from North America and often misreported as *Apatemon gracilis* [59, 63, 64, 65, 16], and has recently been widely recorded from the Holarctic (Central Europe) [66, 67, 22] and Neotropical regions [68, 69, 53, 6 and references therein], which
strongly suggests the cosmopolitan distribution of this species. *Au. minor* Yamaguti, 1933 is recognized as a typical parasite of Anseriformes in the Palearctic region [18, 70]. Therefore, the sympatric occurrence of these two species (*Au. burti* and *Au. minor*) in the territory of Central Europe would not be surprising. Moreover, the study area in Gdańsk Pomerania (near the Gulf of Gdańsk and Vistula Lagoon) is recognized as a well-known refuge of water and wetland birds. In addition to the rich and diversified fauna of breeding birds, these places are an important resting place for birds migrating mainly from the north and north-east [71], which creates the possibility of bringing some species of helminths by migrating birds from nesting grounds located e.g., on the Scandinavian Peninsula or Siberia. For this reason, helminth taxa unusual for this geographical region could appear, especially in avian definitive hosts during migration. Such conditions require extreme caution in the interpretation of molecular data. Unfortunately, while fragments of 28S rDNA clearly revealed the similarity of recently obtained isolates from tetracotyle and adult *Australapatemon* sp. with sequences of *A. burti*, sequences of ITS2 isolates from tetracotyle showed 100% homology with sequences described as *Au. minor* and *Au. burti*. The main underlying problem is that the only sequences of ITS2 and CO1 fragments of *Au. minor* available in GenBank and provided by Heneberg et al. [13] are rather short (270 bp for ITS2 and 295 bp for COI), which forced the shortening of our sequences and precluded the full comparison of these sequences with other data (based on full-length fragments). In phylogenetic analysis fragments of this limited length have limited significance and reduce the reliability of any conclusions.

Our results revealed the discursive and inconsistent status of the genera *Apatemon* and *Australapatemon*. In the phylogenies based on 28S rDNA and ITS2, all analysed *Australapatemon* sequences were placed in one, well-supported clade, separate from other Strigeidae genera (*Cotylurus* and *Apatemon*), with the exception of sequences of *Apatemon fuligulae*, which were located between *Australapatemon* (Fig. 2, 3). In the phylogeny based on COI fragments, our sequences of *Ap. fuligulae* were almost identical to sequences of *Ap. fuligulae* submitted by Heneberg et al. [13] (MF628055), which confirmed the valid determination of this species. Importantly, both isolates were placed in a branch with other *Apatemon* species. The different generic position of *Ap. fuligulae* obtained in phylogenies based on various other loci may indicate the inappropriate current status of this species. These suspicions were confirmed by the phylogeny based on 28S rDNA fragments: sequences of *Ap. fuligulae* were similar to Canadian sequences of *Australapatemon* sp. (MF124270, three nucleotides different) provided by Gordy et al. [12] and isolated from an adult trematode specimen collected from the northern pintail *Anas acuta* and cercariae emerging from *Stagnicola elodes*. On the other hand, Yamaguti [72] detected the metacercariae of *Ap. fuligulae* (*Tetracotyle fuligulae*) in the skin and musculature of fish (*Parasilurus asotus, Pseudobagrus aurantiacus*) from Lake Biwa. Therefore, the taxonomic position of *Ap. fuligulae* should be considered as doubtful. Establishing its position will require further studies concerning both the genetic variability within this species and a detailed analysis of the morphology of cercariae and adults and the life cycle of *Ap. fuligulae*.

In conclusion, in our opinion, the unclear and inconsistent status of *Apatemon* and *Australapatemon*, visible especially using the CO1 and ITS markers, results mainly from the invalid determination of sequenced adult and larval trematodes: from a morphological point of view, as mentioned in the
Introduction, the two genera are quite similar and often misidentified in the literature. Erroneous determination of sequenced adult and larval stages of both *Apatemon* and *Australapatemon* result in the erroneous description of the sequences deposited in GenBank, which increases the chaos in phylogenies based on them. Based on our own experience concerning the morphology of these genera and their ecology, as well as the literature, which show key differences between the life cycles of *Apatemon* and *Australapatemon*, we believe that these genera are valid and distinct, as confirmed by the 28S rDNA sequences. However, full confirmation of this assertion requires a meticulous review of all sequences of *Apatemon* and *Australapatemon* deposited in GenBank, which is not possible without detailed resolution of the life cycles of particular species within these genera.

**Conclusions**

Our study suggests that encysted and non-encysted tetracotyle metacercariae derived from leeches from Poland represents two separate strigeid genera: *Australapatemon* and *Cotylurus*. Obtained data demonstrate the inconsistent and confusing taxonomic status of tetracotyle from the genus *Australapatemon*, while the metacercariae of *Cotylurus* were identified as two species, *C. strigeoides* and *C. syrius*. Our ecological and molecular data suggest, that tetracotyle of *C. cornutus* occur only in snail intermediate hosts, while the tetracotyle form detected in leeches represents non-*C. cornutus* species. On this base, we suggest the separation of ecological niches and life cycles between *C. cornutus* and *C. strigeoides/*C. syrius* with potential evolutionary consequences for a wide range of host–parasite relationships.
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Tables

Table 1. Parasitological factors of leeches infection
| Localization          | Leech species          | No. of necropsied/infected leeches [ind.] | Tetracotyle prevalence [%] | Tetracotyle infection intensity (min-max; mean) [ind.] | Recorded Strigeidae genus (no. of infected leeches/no. of leeches with mixed invasions) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gdańsk Pomerania      | Haemopis sanguisuga    | 66 / 51                                   | 77.3                        | 1-235; 23.1                                            | Australapatemon sp. (51/5)*                                                         |
|                       |                        |                                          |                             |                                                        | Cotylurus sp. (5/5)*                                                                |
| Lower Silesia         | Erpobdella octoculata  | 13 / 3                                    | 23.1                        | 1-3; 2.0                                               | Australapatemon sp. (2)                                                             |
|                       |                        |                                          |                             |                                                        | Cotylurus sp. (1)                                                                  |
|                       | Haemopis sanguisuga    | 37 / 8                                    | 21.6                        | 1-15; 7.0                                              | Australapatemon sp. (8/2)*                                                          |
|                       |                        |                                          |                             |                                                        | Cotylurus sp. (2/2)*                                                               |
| **Total**             |                        | **50 / 11**                               | **22.0**                    | **1-15; 5.6**                                          | Australapatemon sp. (10/2)*                                                         |
|                       |                        |                                          |                             |                                                        | Cotylurus sp. (3/2)*                                                               |
| Subcarpathia province | Glossiphonia complanata| 8 / 0                                     | -                           | -                                                      | -                                                                                |
|                       | Haemopis sanguisuga    | 13 / 0                                    | -                           | -                                                      | -                                                                                |
|                       | Theromyzon tessulatum  | 16 / 0                                    | -                           | -                                                      | -                                                                                |
| **Total**             |                        | **47 / 0**                                | -                           | -                                                      | -                                                                                |
| **TOTAL**             | Erpobdella octoculata  | 13 / 3                                    | 23.1                        | 1-3; 2,0                                               | Australapatemon sp. (2)                                                             |
|                       |                        |                                          |                             |                                                        | Cotylurus sp. (1)                                                                  |
|                       | Glossiphonia complanata| 8 / 0                                     | -                           | -                                                      | -                                                                                |
|                       | Haemopis sanguisuga    | 116 / 59                                  | 50.9                        | 1-235; 20.8                                            | Australapatemon sp. (59/8)*                                                        |
|                       |                        |                                          |                             |                                                        | Cotylurus sp. (8/7)*                                                               |
|                       | Theromyzon tessulatum  | 16 / 0                                    | -                           | -                                                      | -                                                                                |
* mixed invasions of *Australapatemon* sp. and *Cotylurus* sp. were detected

**Figures**

![Figure 1](image-url)
Map of the sampling stations: 1 – Gdańsk Pomerania, 2 – Lower Silesia, 3 – Subcarpathia Province. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 2

The phylogenetic relationships of the Australapatemon and Cotylurus species. Analysis of the 28S rDNA marker based on Bayesian inference. Diamond symbol indicates posterior probability greater than 90%
Figure 3

The phylogenetic relationships of the Australapatemon and Cotylurus species. Analysis of the ITS-2 rDNA marker based on Bayesian inference. Diamond symbol indicates posterior probability greater than 90%
Figure 4

The phylogenetic relationships of the Australapatemon and Cotylurus species. Analysis of the COI mtDNA marker based on Bayesian inference. Diamond symbol indicates posterior probability greater than 90%
Figure 5

The generalized mixed Yule coalescent model (GMYC) analysis. Red lines indicate intra-specific variation, black lines show between species branches.
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