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A B S T R A C T

The aim of this study is to isolate and identify the various organisms from cases of infective keratitis obtained from the department of ophthalmology in our college. Ninety six numbers of cases were included in this study, in the duration period of 18 months i.e. from January 2015 to June 2016. The corneal scrapings were processed by bacterial and fungal culture methods and microscopic examination by Gram’s stain and KOH mount. Bacterial isolates were identified by standard biochemical methods. Our study showed higher prevalence of fungal keratitis i.e. 37 (56.9%) in comparison to bacterial keratitis 28 (43.1%).
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Introduction

The cornea is the anterior part of the eye covering the pupil. It is the most efficient and first refracting surface of the eye. The healthy cornea is deprived of both vascular and lymphatic channels. Infective keratitis is a common and dreadful ocular infection of the cornea by infective organisms like bacteria, fungi, virus or parasite (O’Brien, 1997). Its main clinical presentation is corneal ulcer that is defined as a loss of corneal epithelium with infiltration and suppuration of underlying stroma accompanied with signs of inflammation with or without hypopyon.

In 1869 “James Wardop” had introduced the term keratitis in his essay on morbid anatomy of human eye (James). According to world health organization (WHO), it has been said that in today’s world the second most common cause of vision loss and blindness is corneal disease, next only to cataract.

In India, corneal blindness accounts around 6.8 million people suffering with vision less than 20/200 in at least one eye and of these, almost a million have bilateral corneal blindness (Shrinivasan, 2007). In year 2006-2007 national survey was conducted by the government of India who found that in our country 0.90% of total blindness is as a result of corneal lesion. It is also expected that by 2020 this number of corneal blind people in India will escalate to 10.6 million (Lim, 1997). All over the world, bacterial keratitis is the preeminent cause of keratitis followed by fungal keratitis but vice versa occurs in case
of India and other tropical countries. Moreover, in developing countries bacteria has been replaced by fungus as the paramount cause of infectious keratitis (Sabysachisengupta et al., 2011).

There are large regional differences in the relative prevalence of each of the causative organism determined by geographical distribution, climate and socioeconomic factors. In tropical countries like India due to large agrarian population and environmental factors, fungal corneal infection is the main intruder of the cornea (Verenkar et al., 1998) and is often associated with accidental trauma with vegetative matter.

In temperate climate of UK the most common causes are acanthamoeba, fungus and microsporidium whereas in Northern part of United State of America, the incidence of mycotic keratitis is very low (Parisa et al., 2013). In sub tropical countries of the third world, more than 50% of keratitis falls under fungal keratitis.

In 1879 Professor Theodor Leber from Germany first time described fungal keratitis (Nitin Goel Insan et al., 2013). Since then, there is a drastic rise in the number of fungal keratitis cases. As many as 70 species of fungi can cause Keratomycosis, which is divided into three categories.

**Causative fungal organisms**

**Filamentous fungi**

Pigmented also known as dematiaceous, which clinically or on culture media produce characteristic black/brown pigment.

*Cladosporium species, Botryodiplodia species, Curvularia species, Bipolaris species, Exserohilum species, Alternaria species.* Non pigmented also known as monilaceous which do not produce such pigments. *Fusarium, Aspergillus, Mucor species, Acremonium species, Pseudoallescheria boydii, Rhizopus species and Penicillium species.*

**Yeast like**

*Candida albicans and Non Candida albicans.*

**Dimorphic fungi**

*Blastomyces and Cryptococcus etc.*

**Causative bacterial organism**

*Staphylococcus aureus, Coagulase negative Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Corynebacterium diphtheria, Pseudomonas, Enterobacter, Hemophilus influenza, Moraxella, N. gonorrhoea*

Viral causes of keratitis are *Herpes simplex, Herpes zoster* and other *Adenovirus* infection etc., out of these most common is *Herpes simplex* which will often lead to blindness.

Initially bacterial keratitis was of two types non purulent and purulent. Example of non purulent corneal ulcers is diplobacilli and example of purulent corneal ulcers is *Pneumococci, Staphylococci, Streptococci* etc.

The first purulent corneal ulcer was reported by Gasparrini in 1893 in his paper published “Significance of the *Pneumococcus* in ophthalmology in which he had cultivated the *Pneumococcus* from several cases of hypopyon keratitis. In the earliest research no bacteria were isolated, as highly susceptible *Pneumococci* can only be demonstrated under selective conditions.

**Materials and Methods**

Corneal scrapings from 96 patients with the
clinical diagnosis of corneal ulcer with or without hypopyon attending ophthalmology department of NIMS Hospital from January 2015 to June 2016 were included in the study.

**History:** Proper history was taken like age, sex, occupation, H/O trauma, surgery, antibiotic intake, steroid intake. Consent was taken for corneal scrapping.

**Sample collection:** Corneal scrapping was taken in ophthalmology department under local anesthesia i.e. 4% paracaine eye drops without preservative.

Corneal scrapping is done from the leading edge and the base of the ulcer by using kimura spatula or 15 no sterile Bard Parker Surgical Blade with the help of slit lamp under aseptic conditions

One sample is collected which is divided into four. Two samples were used for microscopy i.e. KOH and Gram’s and the other two were used for fungal (SDA) and bacterial culture (Blood Agar).

**Culture:** Inoculated SDA, Blood agar and slides were labeled and transported to microbiology laboratory, where SDA and Blood agar was incubated at 25°C and 37°C respectively in the incubator.

**Biochemical test:** Various types of test were performed for identification of organism causing keratitis. Catalase followed by coagulase test was done to identify Gram positive cocci. Oxidase test, indole test, methyl red, Voges-Proskauer, urease production test, citrate utilization test, triple sugar iron test were done to identify Gram negative bacilli.

**LPCB mount:** Lactose phenol cotton blue mount was prepared from growth on SDA for morphological identification of organism causing keratitis.

**Results and Discussion**

96 patients were enrolled in 18 months of duration from January 2015 to June 2016. These patients presented in ophthalmology OPD of National Institute of Medical Science and Research, NIMS University, Jaipur with complaints of painful diminution of vision.

Out of 96 patients, 67 were male and 29 were females with a ratio of male to female with corneal ulceration 2.3:1.

Patient’s age group ranged from 5 years to 80 years. 2 patients belonged to group < 10 years, 2 were under age group of 11-20 years, 10 under the age of 21-30 years of age group, 22 patients were falling under age group of 31-40 years, 28 were in 41-50 years, 17 fell in 51-60 years, 10 fell between 61-70 years and 5 fell in >70 years (Figure 1).

Table 1 shows that most common predisposing factor for bacterial keratitis was trauma with foreign body i.e. 6 followed by 5 post ocular surgery, 4 trauma with plant and H/O long term antibiotics intake, 3 H/O contact lens wear, 2 H/O conjunctivitis and Diabetes Mellitus and 1 H/O long term steroids intake and dacrocystitis. Whereas for fungal keratitis most common predisposing factor was trauma with plant 25, followed by trauma with foreign body 11 and H/O of diabetes mellitus.

Figure 2 shows that out of 96 samples 65 i.e. 68% were culture positive where as 31 (32%) were negative on culture

Out of these 65 culture positive cases 28 (43.1%) were positive for bacterial culture and remaining 37 (56.9%) were positive for fungal culture (Table 2).

In Gram’s staining of 96 cases of corneal ulcer, 17 were showing bacteria whereas 28
were grown on culture. As per this study culture is the best method for isolation of both bacterial as well as fungal isolation (Table 3).

Table 4 showed that out of 96 samples 37 were positive on fungal culture, 11 were positive on Gram staining and 16 were showing hyphae on KOH mount.

Total 28 bacteria and 37 fungi were isolated by culture. Out of these 28 isolated bacteria the most common was *Staphylococcus aureus* i.e. 57.3% (16) followed by 32.2%(9) *Pseudomonas spp.*, 7% (2) coagulase negative *Staphylococcus* and 3.5% (1) were *Klebsiella spp.* (Table 5).

Out of 37 isolated fungi most common was *Aspergillus spp.* 62.2% (23), followed by 24.3% (9) *Fusarium spp.*, 10.8% (4) *Curvularia spp.* and 2.7% (1) was *Candida albicans* (Figure 3).

Though the problem of blindness is universal but the degree is much more in India i.e. 1/4th of the world’s total blind population. Worldwide corneal infections are the ruling cause of ocular morbidity and blindness. In India also major cause of blindness is corneal ulcers. According to WHO, corneal blindness is responsible for 0.90% of overall blindness in India. Corneal blindness is the major consequences of infectious keratitis followed by corneal dystrophy. Microorganisms including bacteria, fungi, viruses and parasites are the major cause of infectious keratitis.

**Table 1** Predisposing factors for different organisms

| Predisposition Factor       | Bacteria | Fungal | None |
|----------------------------|----------|--------|------|
| Trauma with plant          | 4        | 25     | 12   |
| Trauma with foreign body   | 6        | 11     | 16   |
| H/o Diabetes mellitus      | 2        | 1      | 0    |
| H/O Contact lens wear      | 3        | 0      | 0    |
| H/O Steroids intake        | 1        | 0      | 1    |
| H/O Antibiotic intake      | 4        | 0      | 0    |
| Post ocular surgery        | 5        | 0      | 0    |
| Conjuctivitis              | 2        | 0      | 0    |
| Dacrocytis                 | 1        | 0      | 0    |
| None                       | 0        | 0      | 2    |
| TOTAL                      | 28       | 37     | 31   |

**Table 2** Distribution of cases by causative organism

| Organism | Number | Percentage(%) |
|----------|--------|---------------|
| Bacteria | 28     | 43.1          |
| Fungus   | 37     | 56.9          |
| Total    | 65     | 100           |
Table.3 Detection of positive bacterial cases by different method

| Diagnostic method | Positive | Negative | Total |
|-------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| Gram stain        | 17       | 79       | 96    |
| Culture           | 28       | 68       | 96    |

Table.4 Detection of positive fungal cases by different method

| Diagnostic method | Positive | Negative | Total |
|-------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| KOH               | 16       | 80       | 96    |
| Gram              | 11       | 85       | 96    |
| Culture           | 37       | 59       | 96    |

Table.5 Distribution of cases by different type of bacteria

| Type of Bacteria               | Number | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| *Staphylococcus aureus*        | 16     | 57.3           |
| Coagulase Negative Staphylococcus | 02     | 7.0            |
| *Pseudomonas spp.*             | 09     | 32.2           |
| *Klebsiella spp.*              | 01     | 3.5            |
| **TOTAL**                      | 28     | **100**        |

Clinical picture showing keratitis Corneal ulcer under slit lamp examination
C pattern Streaking on blood agar C pattern streaking on SDA showing *Aspergillus*

LPCB showing *Fusarium* spp. SDA showing growth of *Fusarium* spp.
**Figure 1** Distribution of patients according to age
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**Figure 2** Distribution of cases on the basis of culture positive

![Distribution of cases on the basis of culture positive](image2)
In our study out of 96 cases, 65 (68%) cases were culture positive out of which 37 (38.7%) were positive for fungal culture and 28 (29.3%) were positive for bacterial culture. Similar fungal predominance was shown by Sirisha et al., (2015) in 2015 who concluded that fungal corneal ulcer (49%) is more common than bacterial corneal ulcer (21%). Suryawanshi Gaurav et al., (2013) in Maharashtra found that out of 62 cases of corneal ulcer 22 (35.48%) were having fungi as causative agent, 20 (32.25%) were having bacteria as causative agent and 11 (17.74%) were having mixed growth.

The most common bacteria isolated in our study was *Staphylococcus aureus* i.e. 16 (57.3%) followed by 9 (32.2%) *Pseudomonas spp.*, 2 (7%) CONS and 1 (3.5%) *Klebsiella spp.* Our study is in accordance with the studies done by Suryawanshi Gaurav et al., (2013) who isolated 12 (38.7%) *Staphylococcus aureus* as most common pathogenic bacteria followed by 8 (25.8%) *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, 6 (19.35%) *Pseudomonas spp*. Filamentous fungi are the major fungal pathogens in fungal corneal ulcer. Yeast like fungi have low preponderance in fungal corneal ulcer. In our study out of all 37 cultivated fungi, 36 were filamentous fungi and only 1 was yeast like fungi. Most common isolated fungi was hyaline fungi (n=32) including *Aspergillus spp.* (62.2%) and *Fusarium spp.* (24.3%). They were followed by phaeoid fungi. *Aspergillus spp.* was most predominant in fungal corneal ulcer as shown by other studies in the Indian subcontinent 61.53% in Manglore by Sangeev et al., (2012), 41.18% in Chandigarh by Jagdish Chander et al., (2008), 48.48% in Maharashtra by Suryawanshi Gaurav et al., (2013).

Unlike our study *Fusarium spp.* have been reported as the major causative agent of fungal corneal ulcer in Vishakapatnum by Sirisha et al., (2015) who reported that out of 52 fungal corneal ulcer patients, 19 (36.54%) were positive for *Fusarium spp*. Amrutha Kumari et al., (2014) in Karnataka also stated *Fusarium* (61.91%) as the most common fungi isolated from corneal ulcer.

As per our study fungi is more predominant causative agent of keratitis than bacteria. Hence it should be kept in mind before
treating the patient that to find an appropriate causative organism we should always go for KOH mount, Gram stain and culture so that an appropriate treatment can be prescribed, as it is a preventable cause of blindness affecting large number of people worldwide. Our one step towards the identification can prevent someone from becoming disabled.
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