Cross-Priming of Cytotoxic T Cells Dictates Antigen Requisites for Modified Vaccinia Virus Ankara Vector Vaccines
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Recombinant vaccines based on modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA) have an excellent record concerning safety and immunogenicity and are currently being evaluated in numerous clinical studies for immunotherapy of infectious diseases and cancer. However, knowledge about the biological properties of target antigens to efficiently induce MVA vaccine-mediated immunity in vivo is sparse. Here, we examined distinct antigen presentation pathways and different antigen formulations contained in MVA vaccines for their capability to induce cytotoxic CD8+ T-cell (CTL) responses. Strikingly, we found that CTL responses against MVA-produced antigens were dominated by cross-priming in vivo, despite the ability of the virus to efficiently infect professional antigen-presenting cells such as dendritic cells. Moreover, stable mature protein was preferred to preprocessed antigen as the substrate for cross-priming. Our data are essential for improved MVA vaccine design, as they demonstrate the need for optimal adjustment of the target antigen properties to the intrinsic requirements of the delivering vector system.

Antigens derived from tumors, viral infections, or intracellular parasites can be recognized by cytotoxic T cells (CTL). The induction of strong CTL immunity directed against these antigens is the aim of vaccination and immunotherapy. While numerous clinical studies currently evaluate viral vectors as recombinant vaccines, very little is known about the antigen presentation pathways that are important to induce efficient T-cell immunity with these vectors. The initiation of adaptive immune responses requires antigen to be presented by professional antigen-presenting cells (pAPC), such as dendritic cells (DC) (19, 27, 50). Viral antigen presented by DC in a major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I-restricted manner can be derived from intracellular or extracellular sources, since DC can present peptides from proteins synthesized within the infected DC itself (direct presentation) or from acquired antigen produced by other infected donor cells (cross-presentation) (1, 6, 24). Metabolic stability has been discussed as a critical factor for the availability and access of antigen for the two antigen presentation pathways. Stable antigen is thought to be the substrate for efficient cross-priming, whereas the expression of peptides or rapidly degradable protein has been reported to enhance endogenous presentation and thereby direct priming (34, 47, 55, 59). Consequently, for efficient priming of CD8+ T cells (TCD8+), antigens require distinct features to be presented optimally by a particular presentation pathway. Therefore, it might be essential to define for each vector which antigen presentation pathways govern the induction of TCD8+ to enable the selection of efficient antigen formulations.

Here, we investigated this issue for vaccines based on the modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA). In principle, MVA bears characteristics that enable direct priming as well as cross-priming. MVA has the ability to infect and to efficiently produce viral and recombinant antigens in both pAPC and non-pAPC (25). Interestingly, MVA induces TCD8+, which recognize so-called late viral antigens that are not synthesized within infected DC due to an early block of the viral life cycle in these pAPC; thus, they appear to be cross-primed (10, 11, 14). We further chose MVA for this study as it is one of the viral vectors being extensively evaluated for vaccination and immunotherapy. Due to its excellent safety record and immunogenicity (22, 60), recombinant MVA vaccines are now widely used as vector vaccines in clinical studies (16, 17, 30, 32, 38). Furthermore, replication-deficient vaccinia viruses (VACV), like MVA, are considered the next-generation smallpox vaccines (for a review, see reference 15).

We observed that DC are indeed infected in vivo upon vaccination with MVA, and they are able to efficiently express recombinant and viral antigens and to present them to TCD8+. The present study, however, showed that the induction of CTL immunity with vaccines based on MVA strongly, if not exclusively, depends on cross-priming. Our data suggest that antigen produced by MVA as long-lived mature protein is the preferred substrate for efficient TCD8+ priming. These findings highlight the importance of adjusting antigen formulations to the applied vector system to achieve the induction of strong CTL immunity.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice and vaccinations. HLA-A*0201-transgenic HHD mice (A*0201 mice) (36) or C57BL/6 mice were derived from in-house breeding under specific-pathogen-free conditions by following institutional guidelines. Only female mice between 8 and 12 weeks of age were used. Where indicated, mice received 20 ng of synthetic phosphorothioated CpG1668 (TIB-Molbiol, Berlin, Germany) in 100 μl phosphate-buffered saline intravenously (i.v.) the day prior to vaccination for in vivo maturation of DC. Mice were vaccinated with 10^6 IU MVA. For vaccination with infected cells, 10^5 cells per mouse were infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10. Cells were labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate–anti-IFN-γ (XMG1.2) using the Cytofix/Cytoperm kit (Pharmingen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Data were acquired by FACS analysis on a FACSCanTo (Becton Dickinson) and were analyzed with FLOWJO (Tree Star) software.

Western blot analysis. NIH 3T3 fibroblasts were infected at an MOI of 10. Where indicated, lactacystin (10 μM) and MG-132 (20 μM) (both from Sigma) were added to inhibit proteasomal degradation. Cells were harvested in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.02% NaN₃, and 100 μg/ml phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride), dissolved on 8% sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane (0.45 μm; Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany). Tyrosinase was visualized with the MAB T311 (Novocastra, Newcastle, United Kingdom) and a horseradish peroxidase-labeled anti-mouse Ab (Dianova, Hamburg, Germany) using enhanced chemiluminescence (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) as described previously (13). Pulse-chase experiments and radioimmunoprecipitation. For each time point, 2 × 10^6 RMA cells were infected at a density of 10^6 cells/ml and an MOI of 10. Cells were incubated on ice for 20 min and then were shifted to 37°C and diluted with fresh medium to a concentration of 10^6 cells/ml. Where indicated, treatment with 20 μM lactacystin was started during the starvation time and was maintained throughout all subsequent incubations. After 4 h postinfection, cells were washed twice and starved for 20 min with methionine/cysteine-free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing ultraglutamine and pyruvate, each at 1%. Cells then were adjusted to a density of 10^6 cells per 100 μl starving medium and transferred into preheated Eppendorf tubes. 0.1% sodium deoxycholate was added to each 100 μl cell suspension, and cells were immediately incubated for 45 min at 37°C under continuous shaking. To stop the process, 800 μl ice-cold RPMI 1640 was added, and the cells were immediately washed. Cells were diluted to 3 × 10^6 cells/ml in RPMI 1640 and 10% FCS, transferred into a T5 culture flask (Nunc), and incubated at 37°C. At the indicated chase times, cells were resuspended and equal-sized aliquots of cells were taken, spun, lysed with Western blotting lysis buffer, and subsequently frozen on dry ice. After the last sample was taken, lysates were freeze-thawed twice and subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-tyrosinase MAb C-19 and protein G-Sepharose (both from Santa Cruz Biotechnology) in immunoprecipitation buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, and 1% Nonidet P-40 with complete protease inhibitors [Roche] and the proteasome inhibitor MG132 at 20 μM). Precipitates were boiled in reduced Laemmli buffer and separated by SDS–8% PAGE before the analysis of radioactivity on fixed and dried gels was visualized with a phosphorimager.

Chromeurant strain assays. Specific lytic by A*0201-restricted murine CTL reactive to human tyrosinase peptide 1-9 or 369-377 or against the VACV-specific peptide B22R(79-7) (54) was determined in a 6-h standard [51Cr] release assay as described previously (14). Briefly, HLA-A*0201-positive A375 or RMA-HHD cells were infected for 2 h at an MOI of 10, washed, labeled for 1 h at 37°C with 100 μCi Na^25CrO_4, and then washed four times. Labeled target cells were plated in U-bottomed 96-well plates at 1 × 10^4 cells/well and incubated with effector cells at various effector-to-target ratios. The specific 29Cr release was determined in supernatants, which were taken at different time points after coincubation for kinetic analysis.

Antigen presentation assays. RMA-HHD cells or freshly isolated DC were infected for 2 h at an MOI of 10 and then were washed. For ex vivo assays, purified splenic DC were isolated at the indicated times postvaccination. APC were cocultured at different ratios with antigen-specific CTL lines in the presence of 1 μg/ml brefeldin A (Sigma) for 5 h. Staining and analysis for intracellular IFN-γ production were carried out as described above. When DC were used as APC, CD11c and CD3 antibodies were included in the intracellular cytokine staining protocol. For the degranulation assay, fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated anti-CD107a/b (Pharmingen) and 1:1,000 monensin (e Biosciences) were added during the stimulation time, and CTL then were stained with surface markers. To detect Ki67/IFN-γ complexes, infected DC2.4 cells were stained with the 25-D1.16 antibody (39) and then were labeled with Alexa Fluor 633-conjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (Fab')_2 fragments (Molecular Probes).

Statistical analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism4 software. Results are expressed as means ± standard errors of the means. Differences between groups were analyzed for statistical significance using two-tailed Student’s t tests.
RESULTS

MVA efficiently infects murine DC and allows for antigen expression, processing, and presentation. We have shown previously that MVA has the ability to infect human DC and to express viral and recombinant antigens (25). To characterize infection of murine DC, we isolated in-vivo-matured splenic DC (mDC) and infected them with MVA expressing GFP (MVA-GFP). We found that MVA efficiently infected DC, leading to strong GFP expression (Fig. 1A). Infection of DC did not reduce the surface expression of MHC class I or II glycoproteins or that of costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86. Importantly, DC infected with MVA expressing tyrosinase (MVA-Tyr) were specifically recognized by tyrosinase-specific \( \text{TCD}^8 \)/H11001, confirming expression and processing of tyrosinase as well as peptide loading onto MHC class I molecules (Fig. 1B). Experiments using bone-marrow-derived murine DC yielded similar results (data not shown). Our findings closely reflect those for MVA infection of human DC (10, 25).

MVA expressing tyrosinase primes \( \text{TCD}^8 \) specific for recombinant and viral antigens. Several HLA-A*0201-restricted peptides derived from MVA proteins have been identified recently and allowed us to monitor and to compare priming of \( \text{TCD}^8 \) specific for viral vectors to that for recombinant antigens (14, 33, 37). Vaccination of HLA-A*0201-transgenic mice with MVA-Tyr induced robust \( \text{TCD}^8 \) responses against tyrosinase and several MVA-specific determinants (Fig. 1C). Tyrosinase-specific \( \text{TCD}^8 \) were reactive against the Tyr\(_{369}\) internal peptide, which is contained within the mature protein. Tyr\(_{369}\) is generated by proteasomal degradation and is loaded onto MHC class I molecules in a TAP-dependent manner (51, 58). Since the immunogenicity of target antigens expressed by VACV has been correlated with the expression of these antigens in DC (9), we hypothesized that increased proteasomal turnover should enhance peptide generation and MHC class I-restricted presentation and thus improve the induction of \( \text{TCD}^8 \) by MVA-infected DC (55). We therefore targeted tyrosinase for rapid proteasomal degradation by the expression of a tyrosinase gene stably fused to monomeric ubiquitin (Ub/Tyr) (see the supplemental material).

Ubiquitylation of tyrosinase leads to rapid proteasomal degradation. In Western blot analyses (Fig. 2A), ubiquitylated tyrosinase produced by MVA-Ub/Tyr was slightly larger in size than authentic tyrosinase expressed by MVA-Tyr, in line with the fusion to the 8-kDa ubiquitin. Notably, ubiquitylated tyrosinase expressed by MVA-Ub/Tyr was detectable only in the presence of specific proteasome inhibitors. Under these conditions, the total amount of accumulating protein was comparable between viruses. Without proteasome inhibition, the amount of tyrosinase in MVA-Ub/Tyr-infected cells was below the detection limit, indicating that ubiquitylation of tyrosinase resulted in rapid proteasomal degradation. Pulse-chase experiments conducted with a 45-min (Fig. 2B) or 10-min pulse
Ubiquitylation of tyrosinase was subject to rapid degradation with a half-life of less than 30 min, whereas authentic tyrosinase, which is known to have a half-life of more than 10 h (23), was stable over the entire observation period. These experiments confirmed that comparable amounts of tyrosinase protein were expressed by MVA-Tyr and MVA-Ub/Tyr.

Ubiquitylation of tyrosinase enhances MHC class I peptide loading of pAPC and non-pAPC. Next, we tested whether rapid proteasomal degradation of tyrosinase increased peptide generation and loading onto MHC class I molecules. In chromium release assays, expression of ubiquitylated tyrosinase resulted in significantly enhanced Tyr369-specific CTL recognition of infected target cells compared to that of MVA-Tyr-infected cells. MVA-Ub/Tyr-infected A375 cells were lysed at lower effector-to-target ratios and earlier during the viral infection (Fig. 2C). As a control, infected target cells assayed against VACV-specific T cells (B22R79) showed lysis levels that were comparable between the two viruses. These results indicated that rapid degradation of tyrosinase leads to enhanced peptide processing and presentation of peptide/MHC class I complexes. Similar results were obtained with A*0201-transfected mouse target cells (data not shown). Additionally, MVA-Ub/Tyr-infected pAPC consistently had a superior capacity to stimulate Tyr369-specific TCD8+ after coincubation with in-vitro-infected mDC (MOI = 10) at the indicated stimulator-to-effector ratios. All results are representative of at least three independent experiments. MFI, mean fluorescent intensity.

Rapid degradation of MVA-delivered antigen impairs T-cell priming. As infection of DC with MVA-Ub/Tyr resulted in enhanced presentation of Tyr369 peptides compared to that with MVA-Tyr-infected DC, we reasoned that vaccination with MVA-Ub/Tyr would enhance TCD8+ priming if direct priming

FIG. 2. Ubiquitylation of tyrosinase leads to rapid proteasomal degradation and enhanced MHC class I/peptide loading. (A) Western blot analysis of NIH cells infected with wild-type MVA (MVA-wt), MVA-Tyr, or MVA-Ub/Tyr in the presence (+) or absence (−) of specific proteasome inhibitors. At 0, 12, or 24 h postinfection, cell lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE. (B) Pulse-chase labeling of RMA cells infected with wild-type MVA, MVA-Tyr, or MVA-Ub/Tyr. After a brief pulse with 35S-labeled methionine, cells were further incubated. At the indicated time points after pulsing, immunoprecipitation was performed. (C) Cr3+ release assay of infected A375 target cells. Tyr369- or VACV-B22R79-specific lysis is shown after the indicated time points postinfection (left graph) or for different effector-to-target ratios (middle and right graphs). (D) FACS analysis of staining of degranulation marker CD107 (left graph) or IFN-γ production (middle and right graphs) of Tyr369-specific TCD8+ after coincubation with in-vitro-infected mDC (MOI = 10) at the indicated stimulator-to-effector ratios. All results are representative of at least three independent experiments. MFI, mean fluorescent intensity.
Notably, frequencies of TCD8 compared to that of mice that were vaccinated with MVA-Tyr reduced (by more than 80%) in mice that received MVA-Ub/Tyr (i.d.) (right). Tyr369-specific responses are indicated. No significant differences in the responses to vector-specific peptides were detected (data not shown). Data are representative of three independent experiments. ns, not significant.

**FIG. 3.** Rapid degradation of antigen impairs TCD8<sup>+</sup> priming. (A) A groups of A*0201 mice (n = 4) were vaccinated i.p. with MVA-Tyr or MVA-Ub/Tyr. Tyrosinase- and vector-specific T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup> responses on day 8 postvaccination are indicated as the percentage of T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup>-splenocytes producing IFN-γ in response to the indicated peptides. The same experiment was repeated with mice vaccinated by different routes: intramuscular (i.m.) (left), i.v. (middle), or intradermal (i.d.) (right). Tyr<sub>369</sub>-specific responses are indicated. No significant differences in the responses to vector-specific peptides were detected (data not shown). Data are representative of three independent experiments. ns, not significant.

by infected DC dominated the T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup> response. Surprisingly, the induction of tyrosinase-specific T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup> was dramatically reduced (by more than 80%) in mice that received MVA-Ub/Tyr compared to that of mice that were vaccinated with MVA-Tyr (Fig. 3A). Notably, frequencies of T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup> directed against determinants derived from viral proteins were comparable between the two groups, indicating that the differences observed for tyrosinase-specific T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup> were due merely to the altered metabolic stability of tyrosinase. Route-specific effects could be ruled out, since similar results were obtained with intramuscular, i.e., and intradermal administration of the vaccine (Fig. 3B). To exclude the possibility that DC were infected in vitro but not in vivo, we vaccinated mice i.v. with MVA-GFP, purified splenic DC 8 h postvaccination, and analyzed them for GFP expression. We found that 3 to 5% of the CD11c<sup>bright</sup> DC were infected (Fig. 4A). To test whether in-vivo-infected DC were able to stimulate T cells and if enhanced degradation of Ub/Tyr also would increase the presentation of tyrosinase peptides, we vaccinated mice with MVA-Tyr and MVA-Ub/Tyr and purified splenic DC 8 h postvaccination. DC from vaccinated mice were able to stimulate VACV-B22R<sub>79</sub> as well as Tyr<sub>369</sub>-specific T cells (Fig. 4B). DC isolated from groups of mice that received MVA-Ub/Tyr efficiently activated Tyr<sub>369</sub>-specific T cells, which confirmed the results obtained with in-vitro-infected cells (Fig. 2D). These experiments indicated that DC were indeed infected in vivo by vaccination with MVA and that the expression of ubiquitylated tyrosinase in these DC also enhanced direct presentation and the capacity to stimulate CTL ex vivo. However, this antigen presentation by infected DC did not correlate with the primary T-cell response. As there was no apparent inhibition of direct antigen presentation in vitro and in vivo, we further analyzed infected DC for the expression of costimulatory molecules. We failed to detect any down-regulation of costimulatory molecules after infecting DC in vitro (Fig. 1A); however, in-vivo-infected DC expressed smaller amounts of CD80 and CD86 than uninfected (GFP-negative) DC (Fig. 4C). Based on these observations, we speculated that the primary CTL response induced by MVA vaccination does not depend on antigen presentation by directly infected DC but rather is induced by DC that acquire antigen from other infected cells and cross-present it to naïve T cells.

**Cross-presentation of MVA-encoded antigen is sufficient to prime T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup>.** To test this hypothesis, we prevented direct presentation of viral and recombinant antigens. We vaccinated mice with TAP-deficient RMA-S-HHD cells that were infected with recombinant MVA. In these experiments, T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup> responses are induced by cross-presentation of antigen synthesized in the infected non-pAPC. We found that vaccination with MVA-infected cells yielded T-cell responses comparable to those induced by the live vaccines with regard to size and the immunodominance hierarchy of T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup> specific for viral and recombinant determinants (Fig. 5A). To exclude that residual infectivity was inoculated with these cells, we titrated aliquots of the vaccine preparations. The amount of transferred virus was reduced by more than 2 logs, which proved to be insufficient to prime detectable T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup> responses when given as a live vaccine (data not shown). Comparable results were obtained with analogous experiments using HLA-mismatched NIH 3T3 cells (Fig. 5B). Similarly to TAP-deficient or MHC-mismatched non-pAPC, vaccination with syngeneic DC induced a strong T-cell response against Tyr<sub>369</sub> only when DC were infected with MVA-Tyr expressing long-lived antigen. When DC were infected with MVA-Ub/Tyr, T-cell priming against Tyr<sub>369</sub> was markedly reduced (Fig. 5B), despite the strong direct T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup> stimulatory capacity of these DC (Fig. 2D and 4B). These experiments demonstrated that cross-presentation alone is sufficient to elicit a strong T-cell response to MVA vaccines. Importantly, cross-presentation of tyrosinase induced a strong T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup> response only when the MVA-infected cells expressed long-lived antigen and not ubiquitylated tyrosinase, indicating a reduced suitability of short-lived antigen for cross-presentation in the context of MVA vaccines. To test whether this was due specifically to the degradation of ubiquitylated tyrosinase, we vaccinated mice with RMA-S-HHD cells that were infected in the presence of the irreversible proteasome inhibitor lactacystin. As a consequence of the inhibited proteasomal degradation, infected cells accumulate similar amounts of tyrosinase whether they are infected with MVA-Tyr or MVA-Ub/Tyr (Fig. 2A) and therefore should be able to cross-prime comparable tyrosinase-specific T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup> responses. Indeed, infected RMA-S-HHD cells induced similar amounts of Tyr<sub>369</sub>-specific IFN-γ-producing T<sub>CD8</sub><sup>+</sup> when infected with MVA-Tyr or MVA-Ub/Tyr (Fig. 5C). Consistent with the ob-
servation that lactacystin also reduces protein synthesis (40; and data not shown) and rapidly induces apoptosis in RMA cells (45; and data not shown), the magnitude of the T-cell response was reduced compared to that of RMA-S cells that were infected with MVA without lactacystin treatment. We deduce from these experiments that efficient cross-priming requires the accumulation of antigens that can serve as proteasomal substrates.

In vivo maturation of DC abrogates $T_{CD8^+}$ priming with MVA vaccines. Exclusive cross-priming induced a $T_{CD8^+}$ response that was similar to that using MVA as a live vaccine, which should allow for both antigen-presenting pathways. We next interfered with the cross-presentation pathway and analyzed the MVA-induced T-cell response. We took advantage of CpG-induced in vivo maturation of DC recently described to down-regulate the uptake of exogenous antigen, an essential step for cross-presentation (57). In animals treated with CpG prior to infection, $T_{CD8^+}$ priming for recombinant and viral antigenic determinants was reduced by more than 90% compared to that of untreated MVA-infected mice (Fig. 6A). As a control, we assessed the capacity of antigen-presenting DC to prime $T_{CD8^+}$ responses in CpG-treated mice. In vivo, peptide-pulsed DC primed comparable amounts of antigen-specific $T_{CD8^+}$ in CpG-pretreated and untreated mice (Fig. 6B). This confirmed that the abrogation of T-cell priming was due specifically to the inhibition of cross-presentation and was not caused by a general impairment of T-cell induction through CpG treatment. Interestingly, vaccination with ex vivo-infected DC only induced a Tyr369-specific $T_{CD8^+}$ response in untreated mice, suggesting an impaired ability of infected DC to prime $T_{CD8^+}$ if cross-presentation was disabled (Fig. 6C). To exclude the possibility that CpG treatment could alter the capacity of DC to endogenously express, process, or present recombinant and viral antigens in vivo, we isolated splenic DC 6 to 8 h postimmunization and coincubated them with CTL lines reactive against viral and recombinant antigens. Independently, whether mice received CpG treatment 15 h before vaccination or not, splenic DC were fully capable of stimulating antigen-
pathway for TCD8 with MVA. Inhibiting pathway for the priming of TCD8 experiments, we conclude that cross-presentation is the dominant presentation, however, abrogated T-cell priming. From these results, we reasoned that cross-presentation is the dominating pathway for the priming of TCD8 T cells immunized with MVA.

The dominating pathway of antigen presentation dictates antigen requisites. Our findings suggest that the underlying pathway for TCD8+ priming has major consequences for antigen formulations contained in MVA-based vaccines (Fig. 5). To test this prediction, we explored whether mice vaccinated with MVA-Tyr were able to prime TCD8 responses to a second tyrosinase peptide (Tyr1-9) that, in contrast to Tyr369, is derived from the signal sequence and is presented independently of TAP (58). Peptides located in the signal sequence can be efficiently presented via the classical endogenous route but are not cross-presented (59). Consistently, target cells infected with MVA-Tyr also were recognized by Tyr1-9-specific CTL (Fig. 7A), confirming efficient endogenous processing and presentation of Tyr1-9. Mice vaccinated with MVA-Tyr mounted strong TCD8 responses to Tyr369 but failed to prime TCD8+ specific for Tyr1-9. Importantly, Tyr1-9-specific TCD8+ could be induced by peptide vaccination (Fig. 7B). We next investigated the efficiency of polytope or minigene formulations, which are commonly used in vector-based vaccines and are currently being evaluated in clinical studies. Again, our data predicted a reduced capacity of minigene constructs to prime TCD8+ compared to that of vaccines expressing the mature protein. We used a recombinant MVA expressing Tyr369 as part of a polytope (MVA-Mini-Tyr). MVA-Mini-Tyr-infected target cells presented the Tyr369 peptide (Fig. 8A), but vaccination with MVA-Mini-Tyr completely failed to prime Tyr369-specific TCD8+ (Fig. 8B). Additionally, we used a well-established model antigen and constructed MVA expressing full-length OVA (MVA-OVA) or the Ova257 peptide SIINFEKL as a minigene (MVA-SIINFEKL) (see the supplemental material). Surface staining of k/β2m-SIINFEKL complexes showed that direct presentation was enhanced when non-pAPC (data not shown) or pAPC were infected with MVA-SIINFEKL compared to direct presentation of cells infected with MVA-OVA (Fig. 8C). For vaccination of C57Bl/6 mice with the respective viruses, both vaccines induced a comparable vector-specific response (data not shown), but MVA expressing the long-lived antigen primed about four times more SIINFEKL-specific TCD8+ than MVA encoding the SIINFEKL minigene (Fig. 8D).

Taking the results together, our study demonstrates that the induction of CTL immunity with vaccines based on MVA strongly, if not exclusively, depends on cross-priming and therefore requires vaccines to be designed for the expression of long-lived antigens suitable for cross-presentation.

**DISCUSSION**

Recently, substantial progress has been made in the characterization of the antigen presentation pathways for MHC class I-restricted determinants. However, for many vectors it is still unknown which pathways contribute to the primary induction of TCD8+ responses. This is of particular interest, because the biological properties of an antigen allowing efficient direct presentation or cross-presentation seem to differ (8, 34, 47, 55, 59). As we have demonstrated, a short half-life of endogenous antigens delivered by a viral vector leads to efficient processing and direct presentation of antigenic determinants. On the other hand, rapid degradation of antigen limits the availability for the cross-presentation pathway, which efficiently exploits mature protein. We have shown that the infection with the viral vector MVA comprises central features that in principle would allow for direct TCD8+ priming. MVA-infected DC exhibited strong antigen expression and presentation in vitro and in vivo. A role for direct priming in MVA immunity therefore seemed to be likely. Strikingly, however, we found that TCD8+ priming is dominated by cross-presentation when MVA-based vaccines are used. This notion is supported by several lines of evidence. (i) Recombinant MVA expressing rapidly degradable protein enhanced direct presentation in vitro and in vivo but failed to prime strong CTL immunity. (ii) Cross-priming experiments using infected TAP-deficient or MHC class I-mismatched non-pAPC donor cells evoked similar TCD8+ responses regarding CTL frequencies and the immunodominance hierarchy when MVA was given as a live vaccine. (iii) Inhibition of cross-presentation by in vivo maturation of DC almost completely abrogated priming of MVA-specific TCD8+.
Importantly, systemic maturation of DC did not inhibit their capacity for endogenous expression, processing, or presentation of antigens or their ability to directly prime T<sub>CD8</sub> in vivo. (iv) In all the experiments performed, we never found a correlation between the ensuing T<sub>CD8</sub> response and the generation of antigenic peptides or direct presentation of these determinants by infected cells. The priming of T<sub>CD8</sub> instead required the expression of antigenic determinants as a substrate suitable for cross-presentation. Accordingly, we observed no T<sub>CD8</sub> priming against an epitope derived from a signal peptide (59). T<sub>CD8</sub> responses strongly correlated with the steady-state level of long-lived antigen, which we found to be the substrate for efficient cross-presentation when delivered by MVA. Importantly, our findings are not dependent on the route of vaccination and apply to different model antigens tested in different mouse strains.

Taking our results together, we conclude that the functionally relevant pathway to induce T<sub>CD8</sub> responses with MVA vaccines in vivo is cross-priming. Although we cannot exclude a minor role for direct priming, our data strongly argue that this potential contribution to T-cell priming is not relevant for vaccine design with this vector. Residual T<sub>CD8</sub> responses observed upon vaccination with MVA encoding rapidly degradable antigen or minigene constructs also could be explained by cross-presentation of peptides or polypeptides, which has been found to be quite inefficient (34, 47, 59). Therefore, our find-
ings possibly indicate a functionally exclusive role of cross-
presentation for the induction of primary TCD8 responses with
MVA vaccines. In the past, this has been postulated only for
those viruses that do not infect DC (49, 53) or that consider-
ably interfere with DC antigen presentation (61). To our
knowledge, the present study is the first to provide evidence
that cross-priming can dominate the induction of CTL to a
virus that efficiently infects DC and allows strong antigen pre-
sentation in these pAPC.

Our observations strongly support the hypothesis that the
transfer of substrates for the proteasome (34, 47, 59) rather
than postproteasomal products (7, 8, 46) enables efficient
TCD8 cross-priming. In accordance with studies conducted
with replication-competent VACV (34), we found that the
expression of rapidly degradable proteins abrogated T-cell
priming when infected cells were used as cross-priming vac-
cines. We additionally observed that T cells were efficiently
cross-primed against viral antigens. However, our experiments
revealed considerable differences between immunizations with
the attenuated MVA strain and immunizations with replica-
tion-competent VACV. Although cross-presentation of
VACV-derived antigens has been observed (5, 26, 46, 48),
several studies demonstrated a functional role of direct prim-
ing for the response to VACV infection (5, 35, 48). Consis-
tently, the delivery of destabilized antigens or minigenes by
immunization with VACV had no disadvantage or even en-
hanced T-cell priming (34, 55). When contained in MVA vac-
cines, by contrast, these antigen formulations failed to induce
strong CTL responses, and in this regard they resembled data
obtained with Semliki Forest virus, a vector that is unable to
infect DC, and therefore TCD8 are thought to be induced via
cross-priming (20).

Beyond replication resulting in sustained antigen expression
(versus abortive infection with a single round of antigen ex-
pression), there are other explanations that could account for
the dominating role of cross-presentation in MVA responses in
contrast to that seen with VACV infection. During its host
range adaptation, MVA lost multiple gene products, including
at least two viral proteins with proposed antiapoptotic func-
tions (3, 4, 12). Accordingly, a recent study showed that DC
undergo apoptosis earlier with MVA infection than with

![FIG. 7. Cross-priming of TCD8 dictates antigen requisites. (A) RMA-HHD cells were infected with MVA-Tyr or wild-type MVA (MVA-wt) and coincubated with Tyr1-9-reactive CTL. Specific activation of TCD8 was assessed by intracellular staining for IFN-γ production. (B) Groups of A*0201 mice (n = 4) were vaccinated with MVA-Tyr or with the Tyr1-9 peptide. Representative plots from intracellular IFN-γ staining on day 8 postvaccination are depicted. Data are representative of three independent experiments.](image)

![FIG. 8. Dominating pathway of antigen presentation can be tar-
going the antigen formulation. (A) RMA-HHD cells were infected with MVA expressing the tyrosinase peptide Tyr369 encoded in a mini-
gene (MVA-Mini-Tyr) or wild-type MVA (MVA-wt) and were coincubated with Tyr369-reactive CTL. Specific activation of TCD8 was assessed by intracellular staining for IFN-γ production. (B) Groups of A*0201 mice (n = 4) were vaccinated with MVA-Mini-Tyr or MVA expressing full-length tyrosinase (MVA-Tyr) and were analyzed on day 8 postvaccination for Tyr369-specific IFN-γ production. (C) DC2.4 cells were infected with wild-type MVA, MVA expressing full-length OVA, or the OVA peptide SIINFEKL as a minigene. At indicated times postinfection, cells were analyzed for the surface expression of kβ/ SIINFEKL complexes. Data are shown as mean fluorescence intensities (MFI). Note that wild-type MVA-infected cells define background staining. (D) Groups of C57BL/6 mice (n = 4) were vaccinated with MVA-OVA or MVA-SIINFEKL. TCD8 responses on day 8 postvaccination are indicated. All results are representative of three independent experiments.](image)
VACV infection and that MVA infection leads to an accelerated shutdown of host cell protein synthesis in DC (10). We found that MVA-infected DC are unable to mature even when treated with cytokines (25). In addition, VACV, including MVA, have been reported to impair the capacity of DC to migrate and to adequately respond to chemokines (21). We speculate that the inability of MVA-infected DC to prime T\(_{CD8^+}\) could result from an altered functional plasticity and possibly the incapacity to form immunological synapses. Interestingly, VACV infection has been found to affect cytoskeleton arrangement and cell contractility (43, 44, 56). However, we believe that the accelerated induction of apoptosis combined with the severe host cell protein synthesis shutdown could be sufficient to prevent several steps required for T-cell priming. Recent data from our laboratory indicate an in vivo half-life of MVA-infected DC of \(~9\) h (data not shown). As stable interactions between T cells and DC start to form after 8 h during priming (31), the rapid induction of apoptosis in MVA-infected DC per se could explain the observed inefficient direct priming.

Extending the above observations, our data suggest that MVA-infected DC used in transfer vaccination protocols (11) could function mainly as carriers for antigen to be cross-presented by endogenous pAPC (2, 41). Similar to vaccinations with the live vaccine, primary T-cell responses elicited by MVA-infected DC did not correlate with direct presentation of antigenic peptides but depended on the availability of mature protein within these pAPC. Since DC restrict VACV gene expression to the early viral life cycle (25), the amount of antigen available for cross-presentation may even be limited. Further evaluation is needed to determine whether other cell types that allow for extended target gene expression and that might be easier to obtain from patients could improve such vaccination protocols.

The insights gained here open the door for a variety of potential targets to improve vaccine efficacy by improving cross-presentation. In this respect, localizing antigen to a certain subcellular compartment, the coexpression of cytokines or molecules that enhance cross-presentation, or the use of adjuvants should be studied. Moreover, our data underscore the requirement for a more detailed understanding of how candidate vaccines for immunotherapy elicit T\(_{CD8^+}\) responses. As we demonstrate, it is essential to adjust the properties of target antigens to the delivering vector and the underlying pathway of antigen presentation. In the case of vectors that work via direct presentation, such as lentiviral vectors (18), targeting proteins for rapid degradation should enhance CTL immunity. On the other hand, our immunization experiments confirmed that the preferred substrate for cross-priming in vivo is stable mature protein. Therefore, the synthesis of large amounts of long-lived antigen needs to be optimized for vectors that rely on cross-priming, such as MVA. Since MVA-based vectors can easily accommodate large inserts, the expression of full-length antigens provides a strategy to optimally target the dominant antigen presentation pathway and to overcome the restriction to defined MHC haplotypes or immunodominant epitopes targeted by minigenes constructs. Furthermore, large vaccine inserts might also minimize microbial escape from CTL immunity by eliciting T-cell responses to multiple epitopes.

The vector-specific antigen requirements that we found here might help to explain the somewhat disappointing results of a recent series of clinical studies in which antigen-specific T-cell responses were not detectable ex vivo after primary vaccination with MVA-human immunodeficiency virus type 1 vaccines (16, 38). Similarly, only the protein portion, and not a multiepitope string, simultaneously produced by an MVA malaria vaccine proved to be immunogenic in another clinical trial (29), indicating that our findings also may apply to humans.

The present study suggests that the primary induction of CTL immunity with MVA vaccines above all depends on cross-presentation, which actually dictates the antigen formulation required to design potent vectors. As several clinical protocols already apply MVA as vector vaccines, it should be feasible to rapidly investigate these issues with humans and potentially enhance vaccine efficacy against infectious diseases and cancer.
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