Catastrophic loss of dopaminergic neurons is a hallmark of Parkinson’s disease. Despite the recent identification of genes associated with familial parkinsonism, the etiology of most Parkinson’s disease cases is not understood. Environmental toxins, such as the herbicide paraquat, appear to be risk factors, and it has been proposed that susceptibility is influenced by genetic background. The genetic model organism Drosophila is an advantageous system for the identification of genetic susceptibility factors. Genes that affect dopamine homeostasis are candidate susceptibility factors, because dopamine itself has been implicated in neuron damage. We find that paraquat can replicate a broad spectrum of parkinsonian behavioral symptoms in Drosophila that are associated with loss of specific subsets of dopaminergic neurons. In parallel with epidemiological studies that show an increased incidence of Parkinson’s disease in males, male Drosophila exhibit paraquat symptoms earlier than females. We then tested the hypothesis that variation in dopamine-regulating genes, including those that regulate tetrahydrobiopterin, a requisite cofactor in dopamine synthesis, can alter susceptibility to paraquat-induced oxidative damage. Drosophila mutant strains that have increased or decreased dopamine and tetrahydrobiopterin production exhibit variation in susceptibility to paraquat. Surprisingly, protection against the neurotoxicity of paraquat is conferred by mutations that elevate dopamine pathway function, whereas mutations that diminish dopamine pools increase susceptibility. We also find that loss-of-function mutations in a negative regulator of dopamine production, Catecholamines-up, delay the onset of neurological symptoms, dopaminergic neuron death, and morbidity during paraquat exposure but confer sensitivity to hydrogen peroxide.
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Introduction

Despite rapid progress recently in the identification of genes associated with familial Parkinson’s disease (PD), the cause of >90% of PD cases is unknown. The neuronal effects of chemical agents, most notably MPTP (1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine) (Langston et al., 1983) and pesticides such as rotenone and paraquat (1,1'-dimethyl-4,4'-bipyridinium), have implicated environmental toxins in the induction of sporadic PD (Betarbet et al., 2000; McCormack et al., 2002; Uversky, 2004). However, the identification of causative agents and events and the identification of individuals at risk remain major challenges in understanding and controlling this neurodegenerative disease.

It is likely that genes expressed in dopaminergic neurons, in addition to those associated with familial parkinsonism, have roles in determining susceptibility to environmental toxicants. Genes that regulate dopamine (DA) homeostasis are potential candidates. Dysregulation of DA, in particular its release into the cytoplasm of dopaminergic neurons, contributes to oxidative cascades that are the immediate cause of the demise of neurons (Stokes et al., 1999). Moreover, the elevation of DA synthesis in response to a variety of stressors (Kumer and Vrana, 1996; De Bellis et al., 1999) may place dopaminergic neurons at greater risk for oxidative damage (Kim et al., 2005). However, association studies of polymorphisms within these genes have not yielded clear-cut candidates (Tan et al., 2000; Warner and Schapira, 2003). Use of genetically tractable organisms to model gene–environment interactions has become an efficient means of identifying genetic risk factors (Bilen and Bonini, 2005; Cooper et al., 2006).

We tested the hypothesis that genetic variation in DA regulation is a PD susceptibility factor in Drosophila, using ingestion of paraquat to induce oxidative stress in strains bearing mutations in three genes. The gene pale (ple) encodes tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) (Neckameyer and White, 1993), which catalyzes the first and rate-limiting step in the DA biosynthesis pathway. Punch (Pu) encodes GTP cyclohydrolase I (GTPCH) (Mackay and O’Donnell, 1983), the rate-limiting enzyme in the synthesis of the TH-regulating cofactor tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4). The third
gene, Catecholamines-up (Catups), is a negative regulator of DA production in Drosophila, acting post-translationally on GTPCH and TH (Stathakis et al., 1999). The Catups protein is predicted to possess either six or seven transmembrane domains (Carbone et al., 2006) and to be a member of a large family that includes mammalian orthologues. Mutations in these genes are homozygous lethal, whereas heterozygotes are fully viable, fertile, and, with few exceptions, phenotypically normal. Therefore, these mutants are likely to model human populations in which cryptic variation in these genes is likely to exist.

Here we show that the herbicide paraquat induces parkinsonian symptoms in these Drosophila strains. We also demonstrate that Catups mutant strains with hyperactivated DA synthesis, which might be expected to place the organism at increased risk for oxidative stress, are instead better able to cope with the effects of paraquat exposure. In contrast, compromised DA synthesis enhances susceptibility to paraquat-induced oxidative stress.

Materials and Methods
Drosophila strains and culture maintenance. Two strains were used as controls, both wild type for the DA-regulating genes under examination in this study, an isogenized Canton S line and a white-eyed mutant, Df(1)w,y. The latter was routinely used for HPLC assays of adult head extracts to genetically remove pteridine eye pigments that generate a high Df(1)w,y in this study, an isogenized Canton S line and a white-eyed mutant, controls, both wild type for the DA-regulating genes under examination vector was injected into MA), and transgenic animals were isolated using established genetic methodology.

Paraquat, H2O2, DA, L-DOPA, and 3-iodotyrosine exposure. Two slightly different methods were used for exposure of flies to paraquat and hydrogen peroxide. Separated adult male and female flies, 24–48 h after eclosion, were fed on filter paper saturated with 20 mM paraquat for 12 and 24 h were compared with untreated adults (paraquat treated and untreated) were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 3.5 h and washed extensively in 1 × PBS and then in 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.2% bovine serum albumin, in PBS (PBT). Brains were then blocked in 5% normal goat serum in PBT overnight at 4°C, followed by overnight incubation with a 1:5000 dilution of rabbit anti-Drosophila TH antiserum. After additional washing, the brains were incubated at room temperature for 3 h in a 1:5000 dilution of Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG.

Confocal microscopy. Neurons were visualized in transgenic animals using the Gal4/UAS system to express green fluorescent protein (GFP) or immunostaining with anti-DA. Adults at 24–36 h posteclosion, expressing GFP under the control of TH-Gal4 (dopaminergic neuron expression), Chat-Gal4 (cholinergic neuron expression), or Elav-Gal4 (pan-neuronal expression), were fed 20 mM paraquat for various durations. Whole mounts of dissected brains were examined for dopaminergic neuron morphology and number using a Leica (Wetzlar, Germany) TCS SP2 AOBS confocal microscope.

HPLC analysis. Monoamines and pteridines were separated by HPLC analysis using a CoulArray HPLC system (model 5600A; ESA, Chelmsford, MA) and a Synergi 4 µm Hydro-RP column (4.6 × 150 mm; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA), according to the method of McClung and Hirsh (1999). Heads from 75 to 200 adults were extracted in 100–200 µL of 0.1 M perchloric acid; extracts were filtered through 0.2 µm filters. Ten microliters of each extract were injected for each sample. The mobile phase contained 75 mM sodium phosphate, pH 3.0, 1.4 mM octanesulfonic acid, 25 µM ethylene diamine tetracetic acid, 100 µM/L triethylamine, and 7% acetonitrile. Separations were performed with isocratic flow at 1 ml/min. Amines were detected with an ESA electrochemical analytical cell (model 5011; channel 1 at ~50 mV, channel 2 at 300 mV). Pteridines were detected with a linear model LC305 fluorescence detector at excitation wavelength 360 nm and emission wavelength 465 nm. Pool sizes were determined relative to freshly prepared standards (Sigma). The analysis was performed using ESA CoulArray software.

Statistical analysis. Analyses of all data were conducted using GraphPad (San Diego, CA) Prism, using two-tailed Student’s t test assuming equal variances, or using a one-way ANOVA followed by the Dunnett’s post test. Details of analyses are described in the figure legends.

Results
Paraquat-induced alteration in catalase activity
The cellular pathology of paraquat has been extensively investigated. It has been shown to undergo intracellular reduction to a free radical form, which then is reoxidized in the presence of oxygen to initiate an oxidative cascade that includes the generation of superoxide radicals that are converted by superoxide dismutase to hydrogen peroxide, which is then used as a substrate for catalase (for review, see Dinis-Oliveira et al., 2006). Elevation of catalase expression in neuronal cell culture is reported to be an early cellular response to the onset of paraquat-generated oxidative stress (Rohrdanz et al., 2001). We therefore used catalase as a temporal indicator of the onset of an oxidative response. Assays of catalase activity in the heads of 24 h posteclosion adults fed on 20 mM paraquat for 12 and 24 h were compared with untreated male and female controls. The treatment and control sets were
carefully age matched to account for the normal time-dependent reduction in catalase-specific activity that occurs during early posteclosion as the activity drops from a peak during pupation (Radyuk et al., 2000). The heads of control males had a catalase-specific activity of 3.49 ± 0.08 × 10^6 units per milligram of protein at the 12 h assay point and 3.07 ± 0.07 × 10^6 units per milligram of protein at 24 h. Similarly, the heads of control females had a catalase-specific activity of 3.55 ± 0.09 × 10^6 units per milligram of protein at 12 h and 3.02 ± 0.12 × 10^6 units per milligram of protein at 24 h. Extracts of body tissue (thorax and abdomen) had specific activities one order of magnitude higher than heads but also exhibited similar decreases in activity during the first 2 d after eclosion (p < 0.001, data not shown). Ingestion of paraquat resulted in highly significant elevations of catalase activity within 12 h in the heads of both males and females (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Relative to control activities, paraquat-fed males exhibited a 17.2% (p < 0.003) increase, and females had a 14.4% increase (p < 0.007). At 24 h of paraquat exposure, catalase activity in the paraquat-treated males was 14.7% higher than control male activities (p < 0.03), whereas female heads sustained an increase in 23.5% by 24 h of exposure (p < 0.005). Differences in catalase activity between males and females were slight and not significant at any time point in this study. These results indicate that cellular responses to paraquat ingestion occur in both heads and bodies within 12 h of initial exposure.

Paraquat exposure causes movement disorders in adult Drosophila

Paraquat exposure has been linked to increased PD risk in agricultural communities (cf. Liou et al., 1997) and induces movement disorders in some mammalian models (Brooks et al., 1999; Chanyachukul et al., 2004). However, in other mammalian studies in which paraquat-induced dopaminergic neurodegeneration is observed, neuronal loss has not been sufficiently extensive to emulate PD movement characteristics (McCormack et al., 2002; Di Monte, 2003). Therefore, we assessed the effects of paraquat on mobility of adult flies. After exposure of wild-type adults to 20 mM paraquat, the flies exhibited rapid onset of movement disorders, including resting tremors, bradykinesia, rotational behaviors, and postural instability (supplemental videos 1 and 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), which mirror parkinsonian symptoms. Furthermore, the flies frequently froze while attempting to climb vial walls and would often fall to the bottom of the vial. Males exhibited symptoms ~12 h earlier than females, but both males and females were strongly affected. These results were quantified using a standard climbing assay based on the negative geotaxis behavior of adult flies. When CO2-purged adult flies were fed 0.5 mM DA, 0.5 mM L-DOPA, or 10 mM 3-iodotyrosine for 72 h after which DA pools in heads were determined, at the time period in which effects on mobility and survival were determined in parallel experiments. Both L-DOPA and DA ingestion significantly elevate DA pools, whereas ingestion of the TH inhibitor 3-iodotyrosine significantly depleted DA pools. *p < 0.01, **p < 0.001, significant differences in the effects of paraquat on males and females. B, The pharmacological manipulation of DA pools. Adult flies were fed 0.5 mM DA, 0.5 mM L-DOPA, or 10 mM 3-iodotyrosine for 72 h after which DA pools in heads were determined, at the time period in which effects on mobility and survival were determined in parallel experiments. Both L-DOPA and DA ingestion significantly elevate DA pools, whereas ingestion of the TH inhibitor 3-iodotyrosine significantly depleted DA pools. *p < 0.01, **p < 0.001, significant differences. C, The rescue of mobility deficits by L-DOPA and DA. Adult males that were cofed L-DOPA (1 mM) or DA (1 mM) with paraquat (20 mM) were analyzed for mobility characteristics using the negative geotaxis assay. Cofeeding of paraquat with either DA or L-DOPA rescues paraquat-induced impairment of mobility. Cofeeding of paraquat with either DA or L-DOPA rescued paraquat-induced impairment of mobility. CO2-purged adult flies were fed DA (0.5 mM) or L-DOPA (0.5 mM) for 72 h before paraquat treatment to elevate DA levels, whereas the TH inhibitor 3-iodotyrosine was fed alone or with L-DOPA or DA at a concentration of 10 mM to deplete endogenous DA pools. The results show that pharmacological modulation of the DA pathway affected the life span, establishing that the effects of paraquat on life span are mediated through the dopaminergic pathway. Differences between male and female survival were tested for significance only in the paraquat-only sample; note that males are more sensitive to paraquat as measured by average survival duration. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, significant differences in the effects of paraquat on males and females. Each value is the average of 10–20 replications, and each replication was made up of 10 flies. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, significant difference between control and treated. Error bars indicate SEM. PQ, Paraquat; 3-IT, 3-iodotyrosine.

Figure 1. Effects of 20 mM paraquat on movement and life-span characteristics of wild-type Drosophila. A, Negative geotaxis assays. The effect of paraquat on the mobility of adult males (M) and females (F) was determined by scoring the number of flies able to climb 5 cm in 60 s, at 6, 12, 24, and 36 h after the initiation of ingestion of 20 mM paraquat. Ten flies were scored per replication, and the mean values represent the average of 15 independent replications. Loss of mobility in males was noted as early as 6 h after initiation of feeding, whereas effects on females were first noted by 12 h. Male flies were completely immobile by 36 h of paraquat exposure. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, significant differences between control and paraquat-fed flies; p < 0.001, significant differences in the effects of paraquat on males and females. B, The pharmacological manipulation of DA pools. Adult flies were fed 0.5 mM DA, 0.5 mM L-DOPA, or 10 mM 3-iodotyrosine for 72 h after which DA pools in heads were determined, at the time period in which effects on mobility and survival were determined in parallel experiments. Both L-DOPA and DA ingestion significantly elevate DA pools, whereas ingestion of the TH inhibitor 3-iodotyrosine significantly depleted DA pools. *p < 0.01, **p < 0.001, significant differences. C, The rescue of mobility deficits by L-DOPA and DA. Adult males that were cofed L-DOPA (1 mM) or DA (1 mM) with paraquat (20 mM) were analyzed for mobility characteristics using the negative geotaxis assay. Cofeeding of paraquat with either DA or L-DOPA rescues paraquat-induced impairment of mobility. Cofeeding of paraquat with either L-DOPA or DA rescued mobility to levels that are near control mobility. p < 0.001, differences between control and paraquat-fed flies. D, Effects of manipulation of DA pools on survival. Wild-type (Canton S) adults were fed DA (0.5 mM) or L-DOPA (0.5 mM) for 72 h before paraquat treatment to elevate DA levels, whereas the TH inhibitor 3-iodotyrosine was fed alone or with L-DOPA or DA at a concentration of 10 mM to deplete endogenous DA pools. The results show that pharmacological modulation of the DA pathway affected the life span, establishing that the effects of paraquat on life span are mediated through the dopaminergic pathway. Differences between male and female survival were tested for significance only in the paraquat-only sample; note that males are significantly more sensitive to paraquat as measured by average survival duration. *p < 0.001, significant differences in the effects of paraquat on males and females. Each value is the average of 10–20 replications, and each replication was made up of 10 flies. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, significant difference between control and treated. Error bars indicate SEM. PQ, Paraquat; 3-IT, 3-iodotyrosine.
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ther catecholamine could affect DA pools in heads and noted that both confer an approximate twofold increase in DA pools (Fig. 1B). Moreover, we detected no significant elevation in DA oxidation products in the HPLC chromatograms. Figure 1C demonstrates the effects of supplementation on males; females were similarly affected (data not shown). Paraquat treatment alone resulted in poor mobility. Both L-DOPA and DA rescued the locomotor deficit to 85–90% of control levels. We also determined the average time required for flies to climb 5 cm; samples fed paraquat only for 24 h required an average time of ~25–30 s to move this distance compared with 5–7 s for untreated controls. Ingestion of either L-DOPA or DA with paraquat rescued mobility to an average time of 10 s, near control mobility (data not shown). We conclude that paraquat ingestion induces a condition in this Drosophila strain that recapitulates the movement disorders of PD patients and the improvement of symptoms after L-DOPA therapy.

Paraquat-induced reduction in life span can be modified by manipulation of DA pools

Paraquat caused a concentration-dependent reduction in life span (supplemental Fig. 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Males exposed to 20 mM paraquat had an average life span of 2 d, whereas females survived 12–16 h longer (Fig. 1D) (p < 0.001). Decreasing the concentration of paraquat resulted in an extension of life span, but even at 100 μM, a dramatic reduction in survival was observed. We also noted that the movement disorders described above in 20 mM paraquat experiments preceded elevated mortality at all paraquat concentrations (data not shown).

We next investigated whether pharmacological manipulation of DA pools could alter the reduction in life span accompanying paraquat ingestion. DA depletion with 3-iodotyrosine caused wild-type flies to become more sensitive to paraquat, reducing male and female survival by ~12–16 h. In contrast, feeding either L-DOPA or DA to elevate the DA pools caused them to become significantly more tolerant to paraquat, increasing survival 12–24 h, on average (Fig. 1D). Both L-DOPA and DA remain able to enhance paraquat tolerance in the presence of 3-iodotyrosine, confirming that the inhibitor does not cause nonspecific effects on paraquat tolerance. These results suggest that the modification of life span, as well as the movement disorders, are specifically related to effects on DA pools.

Paraquat causes selective loss of DA neuron clusters

To determine whether the observed movement disorders and reduced life span were associated with loss of DA neurons, neuronal survival was monitored using GFP expression driven by the TH promoter in TH-Gal4;UAS-GFP transgenic adults (Friggi-Grelin et al., 2003). The neuronal clusters exhibiting GFP expression have been shown previously to be DA neurons by costaining with anti-TH antibody (Coulom and Birman, 2004). Figure 2A illustrates the positions of each dopaminergic cluster scored. The numbers of dopaminergic neurons were clearly reduced as a consequence of paraquat ingestion (Fig. 2B). However, not all DA neuron clusters were simultaneously affected or affected to the same extent during paraquat exposure; rather, each cluster displayed distinctive and highly reproducible variation in sensitivity. For example, after only 6 h of exposure to paraquat, the protocerebral anterolateral clusters in the anterior region (Figs. 2B, 3A–F) and the PPL1 cluster in the posterior region (Figs. 2B, 3G–I,K) of the brain exhibited a significant reduction in neuron number relative to control brains, whereas none of the other clusters scored were affected during this period. By 12 h, clusters PPM2 (Figs. 2B, 3G,H,J,L) and PPM3 (Fig. 2B) were also losing neurons, whereas PPM1 and PPL2 showed significant neuronal loss only at 20–24 h exposure (Fig. 2B), when general neuronal damage becomes apparent (data not shown). Changes in neuronal morphology occurred in a highly predictable sequence, with the first evidence of the effects of paraquat being the retraction of neuronal processes, accompanied by distinct blebbing along many of the processes. As processes retract, cell bodies shift in position, tending to aggregate together (Fig. 3, compare B, C, controls with E, F, paraquat treated). The cell bodies became rounded, subsequently fragment, and finally disappear. Examples of these changes are indicated by arrowheads; control neurons are indicated by arrows (Fig. 3). These results were confirmed in brains immunostained with anti-Drosophila TH antibody (supplemental Fig. 3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material); no significant differences were observed between GFP reporter and immunostained brains. The temporal progression of neural degeneration coincided quite closely with the timing of symptom onset and progression of movement deficits.

The specificity of paraquat-induced neurodegeneration was established in several ways. First, we examined the effects of paraquat on cholinergic neurons using the promoter of the choline acetyltransferase gene to drive GFP expression (Salvaterra and Kitamoto, 2001) (Fig. 4A–D). These neurons are organized in larger clusters than the dopaminergic neurons, and it was therefore difficult to obtain highly reproducible counts of cholinergic neurons. However, in most regions, the neurons were sufficiently distinct for an examination of neuronal morphology during paraquat exposure. We were unable to detect any neurons exhib-
iting process retraction, cell rounding, or areas of clear reduction in neuron numbers. We also examined brains expressing GFP driven by the pan-neuronal pro-
moter Elav to determine whether para-
quat was universally detrimental to CNS
neurons. Although the effect of paraquat
on DA neurons varies among clusters, we
observe an overall reduction in neuron
numbers on the order of 30–50% by 12 h of exposure. We
reasoned that if paraquat had a similar ef-
flect on all neurons, we would be able
to detect a 30–50% overall reduction in neu-
ron numbers. The general morphology of
the brain and neuron viability were unaf-
fected through the first 12 h of paraquat
ingestion (Fig. 4E,F); more generalized
neuronal death becomes apparent by 24 h
of exposure, when all dopaminergic clus-
ters become affected (data not shown).

We then examined the effect of overex-
pressing GTPCH via a UAS-GTPCH
transgene specifically in dopaminergic
cells using TH-Gal4. We reasoned that be-
cause GTPCH is rate limiting for the syn-
thesis of BH4 in Drosophila (Krishnaku-
mar et al., 2000) and the cofactor, in turn,
is limiting for DA synthesis, overexpres-
sion should elevate both cofactor and DA
levels. The pharmacological analyses de-
scribed above led to the prediction that
DA overexpression should lead to greater
resistance to the effects of paraquat if its
effects were initially limited to dopami-
nergic cells. As shown in Figure 5, these
expectations were met. Wild-type con-
trols, UAS-GTPCH transgenic flies in

Finally, we sought to determine
whether the neurodegenerative action of
paraquat was an example of a generalized
response to oxidative stressors or an indi-
cator of specific access of paraquat to do-
paminergic neurons. Wild-type adults
were fed 1% hydrogen peroxide for a pe-
riod of 60 h, at which time mortality had
reached at least 50%. Although the treat-
ment was lethal to these flies, at no
time did they exhibit neurological syn-
dromes. Moreover, examination of dopa-
minergic neuron numbers and mor-
phology (supplemental Fig. 4, available
at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental
material) revealed no loss of neurons
and complete absence of morphological
alterations.

Figure 3. Effect of paraquat on neuronal survival. A–F, The effect of 6 h of 20 mM paraquat ingestion on anterior DA cluster PAL
in w;TH-Gal4/UAS-GFP adult brain. Arrows highlight the asymmetrical shape of control neurons with extended processes, whereas
arrowheads designate neurons altered by exposure to paraquat. A, Control brain. B, C, Enlarged views of the PAL clusters (left and
right, respectively) of the dopaminergic neurons of the brain in A. D, Paraquat-treated brain. E, F, Enlarged views of paraquat-
exposed PAL clusters (left and right, respectively) in D, demonstrating rapid loss of cells and aggregation of remaining cells caused
by the retraction of neuronal processes, as indicated by the arrowheads. The left arrowhead in F shows neurons in the process of
fragmenting. G–L, Effect of 12 h exposure to 20 mM paraquat on posterior dopaminergic clusters in w;TH-Gal4/UAS-GFP adult
brain. G, H, Control (G) and paraquat-treated (H) brains. White boxes indicate DA neuron clusters PPL1 and PPM2, which are
enlarged in I–L. I, Control PPL1. J, Control PPM2. K, Paraquat-exposed PPL1. L, Paraquat-exposed PPM2. Both PPL1 and PPM2
clusters exhibit loss of cells and rounding of remaining neurons in paraquat-treated brains (arrowheads) compared with control
neurons (arrows). Scale bars: A, D, G, H, 100 μm; B, C, E, F, I–L, 50 μm.
These four experiments in combination lead to the conclusion that dopaminergic neurons are uniquely and specifically at risk during paraquat exposure.

**Effect of paraquat on the DA and BH₄ pathways**

The results described above closely link the deleterious effects of paraquat to dopaminergic function in *Drosophila*. This conclusion leads to the prediction that it should be possible to observe effects on the biosynthetic products and metabolites of the DA pathway in adult heads early in the period of paraquat exposure. Moreover, because BH₄ is required for and modulates DA synthesis, and BH₄ synthesis is coregulated with DA pathway activity (Levine et al., 1981; Sumi-Ichinose et al., 2005), parallel changes would be expected in the BH₄ biosynthesis pathway. We therefore examined the effect of paraquat ingestion on the pool sizes of intermediates and metabolites of the BH₄ and DA pathways. In both males and females, elevation of L-DOPA levels during the feeding period was noted (Fig. 6A). Because L-DOPA is the immediate product of TH catalysis, this result indicates a stimulation of TH activity. However, in males, significant loss of DA, produced by decarboxylation of L-DOPA, was observed by 6 h of paraquat ingestion, and by 12 h, DA pools in both males and females were diminished (Fig. 6B). On the other hand, DOPAC, which is virtually undetectable in adult *Drosophila* brains under normal conditions until 48 h after eclosion, was strongly elevated after paraquat exposure (Fig. 6C). Together with the observation of enhanced L-DOPA production, these results suggest that paraquat exposure not only stimulates the biosynthetic pathway but also leads to increased accessibility of DA to oxidation. Similarly, a concomitant and strong elevation in biopterin, the fully oxidized derivative of BH₄, suggests a compensatory increase in GTPCH activity, although BH₄ seems to be highly sensitive to the elevated oxidative conditions in neurons exposed to paraquat (Fig. 6D,E). It is interesting to note that females have a higher content of both L-DOPA and DA compared with males, coinciding with gender differences in paraquat susceptibility (Fig. 6A,B).

**Differential effects of paraquat on DA-regulatory mutants**

The strong effects of paraquat on the BH₄ and DA pathways and the consequences of pharmacological modulation of DA pools on paraquat-induced damage led to the hypothesis that mutations in genes that are part of the DA homeostatic network would affect tolerance to the herbicide. Mutations in three genes were examined: *ple*, which encodes TH, the rate-limiting enzyme in the DA biosynthesis pathway; *Pu*, which encodes GTPCH, the rate-limiting enzyme in the synthesis of BH₄; and *Catsup*, a negative regulator of DA synthesis, which causes overproduction of DA in loss-of-function mutants (Statthakis et al., 1999). Because mutations in these genes are homozygous lethal, all strains investigated were necessarily heterozygous with a wild-type allele of each gene.

We confirmed that alterations in the activities of TH and GTPCH resulted in concomitant changes in DA and BH₄ pools by HPLC analysis of extracts prepared from the heads of representative mutant strains (Fig. 7). Because TH requires BH₄ for activity, loss-of-function mutations in *Pu*, as the rate-limiting step in BH₄ synthesis, should affect both cofactor and DA pools. As expected, the mutant allele *Pu*₂²² caused loss of both BH₄ (Fig. 7A) and DA (Fig. 7B). The mutant allele *ple*₂ caused diminished DA pools (Fig. 7B) but no significant reduction in BH₄ (Fig. 7A). *Catsup* mutations resulted in strongly elevated BH₄ (Fig. 7A) and DA (Fig. 7B) levels.

Having established (1) that DA neurons are indeed at risk
during paraquat exposure of wild-type Drosophila, (2) that survival and movement deficits could be modified by DA and L-DOPA supplementation, and (3) that both the BH4 and DA pathways respond to paraquat, we next tested the hypothesis that variation in these genes, which are directly involved in DA homeostasis, could modify susceptibility to paraquat-induced oxidative stress. Heterozygous strains carrying mutant Catsup alleles exhibited strongly enhanced tolerance to 20 mM paraquat, surviving as much as 3 d longer than either wild-type strain (Fig. 7C). In contrast, ple2 and Pu222 heterozygotes exhibited reduced tolerance to paraquat, surviving for as little as 24 h. These results support the hypothesis that variation in DA-regulating genes can alter susceptibility to dopaminergic neuron-specific damage. In theory, differential sensitivity to paraquat in these mutants could be attributable either to alteration in DA pools per se or to the morphological or functional consequences of having altered DA production throughout development. Because it was possible to phenotype pharmacologically the modified stress tolerance of the mutants by feeding the inhibitor 3-iodotyrosine to adults when neural development is complete, we conclude that differential susceptibility of the heterozygous mutants to paraquat is directly attributable to alterations in the DA pool in the adult flies and does not result from modification of neural development.

We next compared the effects of 1% hydrogen peroxide on wild-type adults and Catsup and Pu mutants (Fig. 7D). Interestingly, Catsup flies were more sensitive than wild-type flies to hydrogen peroxide, whereas the survival of the Pu mutant strain was indistinguishable from wild-type survival. Thus, despite the absence of neurodegenerative effects of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) ingestion (supplemental Fig. 4, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), sensitivity to this oxidative compound is clearly affected by mutations in the Catsup gene. This result lessens the possibility that the high DA levels in Catsup mutant strains are affecting resistance to paraquat via enhanced cuticular cross-linking that might form a stronger barrier to oxidative compounds entering the body directly rather than through ingestion.

**Neuroprotective effects of Catsup mutations**

Several possible mechanisms could be responsible for the enhanced survival and later onset of mobility deficits in Catsup mutants. Because we observe increased catalase activity in paraquat-exposed, wild-type adults, one potential mechanism could be that Catsup mutations might have an additional phenotype, an elevation in the specific activity of catalase. Increased catalase activity would be expected to increase scavenging capacity. However, assays of catalase in the Catsup mutants. Because we observe increased catalase activity in paraquat-exposed, wild-type adults, one potential mechanism could be that Catsup mutations might have an additional phenotype, an elevation in the specific activity of catalase. Increased catalase activity would be expected to increase scavenging capacity. However, assays of catalase in the Catsup26 mutant and a wild-type control demonstrated that the specific activities of catalase in the mutant and control were indistinguishable (supplemental Fig. 5, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Another possible basis for the delayed onset of paraquat damage might be the elevated DA or BH4 pools resulting from loss of the negative regulatory function of Catsup. Increased pools in the brain could offset the effects of neuron loss, by maintaining the DA-dependent functions necessary for survival for longer periods than wild-type controls. Alternatively, the mutations could be preventing neuron loss. To address this question, we crossed the Catsup26 mutation into a TH-Gal4;UAS-GFP
We tested the effects of heterozygous mutations in DA-regulating genes and found variation in the sensitivity of mutants to paraquat affecting the timing of symptom onset and life span.

Higher DA production levels enhance tolerance, whereas DA deficits resulted in sensitivity, to paraquat. Pharmacological treatment with l-DOPA rescued mobility deficits, another parallel to human PD. Unlike human PD patients, however, Drosophila movement disorders also responded to DA. DA does not transist the blood–brain barrier of mammals, but apparently there is no comparable blockade of DA in flies because its ingestion resulted in elevated DA pools in adult heads that influence movement and survival. In contrast, depletion of DA with a TH inhibitor increased paraquat sensitivity. The ability to phenocopy mutant effects pharmacologically after cessation of development establishes that variation in paraquat susceptibility is not caused by developmental effects of the mutations. Last, we observed that mutations in a negative regulator of BH4 and DA synthesis, Catsup, which might act through extension of mobility function and therefore prolonged feeding capability, confer dominant neuro-protection during exposure to paraquat. The results reported here mirror those of McCormack et al. (2002) in their study of the effects of systemic paraquat exposure in mice. In addition to regionally specific loss of dopaminergic neurons in mice and in these Drosophila strains, we also observe a similar compensatory response in which DA biosynthesis is stimulated as neuronal loss is initiated. Behavioral alterations in these flies also parallel mouse PD models, particularly hypoactivity (Brooks et al., 1999).
and rotational behaviors (Chanyachukul et al., 2004). Motor deficits were also noted in Drosophila DJ-1 mutants after paraquat exposure (Park et al., 2005). We have extended the Drosophila model in that our procedures elicit a wide spectrum of PD behavioral characteristics.

It should be noted that in another report of paraquat effects in Drosophila hypersensitivity of DJ-1 mutants to oxidative stressors, no specific loss of dopaminergic neurons nor movement disorders were observed (Meulener et al., 2005). On the other hand, our results parallel those of Coulom and Birman (2004), who used rotenone as an oxidative stressor in another model. Furthermore, there is a striking similarity between our results and those of Menzies et al. (2005), who reported that DJ-1 mutants are sensitive to H2O2. It is not known whether the products of DJ-1 are overexpressed in dopaminergic neurons exhibit resistance to paraquat and protection of dopaminergic neurons in the adult brain, as we observe in loss-of-function Catsup mutants and transgenic adults overexpressing GTPCH in DA neurons. Interestingly, both DJ-1 and Catsup mutants are sensitive to H2O2. It is not known whether the products of Catsup and DJ-1 functionally interact; this question will be an interesting avenue for future studies.

The basis for the reported differences in the Drosophila models described above is unknown but is likely to reflect both genetic background and environmental differences. These insect systems, like mammalian models (Di Monte, 2003), demonstrate the variability in responses that has made investigating the etiology of sporadic PD a major challenge.

The parallel results for DJ-1 and Catsup mutants support our interpretation that the focal point of the paraquat response is neural and unrelated to other roles of DA such as cross-linking of cuticle, which potentially could affect the movement of paraquat into the body directly through contact rather than through the digestive tract. Because most of our assays have used entire heads rather than dissected brains, it is a formal possibility that variation in cuticular DA might affect our results, particularly in light of the results of Hardie and Hirsh (2006), who found a significant difference between the DA content of entire heads and dissected brains. We have, however, been unable to extract DA from dissected cuticle and underlyng hypoderm from flies 24–72 h posteclosion (the age we used in all experiments), by which time cuticular differentiation is essentially complete (our unpublished observations). The fact remains that we see dopaminergic neuron loss within 6 h of exposure to paraquat, and this neuronal toxicity precedes the onset of neurological symptoms.

Our finding that mutations and pharmacological treatments that lower DA pools increase sensitivity to paraquat, whereas those that elevate DA provide protection, is surprising in light of extensive research revealing that DA contributes to oxidative load (Stokes et al., 1999) and our corresponding observation that Catsup mutants increase sensitivity to H2O2. These results suggest a model in which paraquat, which is highly similar in structure to MPP⁺ (Dinis-Oliveira et al., 2006), has unique access to dopaminergic neurons that could be modified by competition with extracellular DA. The mechanism by which paraquat confers selective damage to dopaminergic neurons, however, is unresolved, and although evidence demonstrating the effects of DA reuptake inhibitors on paraquat toxicity supports specific access to DA neurons (Shimizu et al., 2003), definitive evidence is lacking. Our results suggest that this Drosophila model may be an ideal system in which to test such models.

The Catsup mutants also coordinately elevate BH4 pools. The tight integration of DA and BH4 responses in mutants and wild-type strains makes separation of roles difficult. However, BH4 has been implicated in many functions, in addition to DA production, which may also contribute to the enhancement of protection against paraquat in Catsup mutants. Elevation of intracellular BH4 via uptake of its precursor, sepiapterin, was reported to protect neurons in primary cultures of substantia nigra slices against the toxicity of MPP⁺ (Madsen et al., 2003) and in dopaminergic neuron cultures subjected to oxidative stress by depletition of glutathione (Nakamura et al., 2001). Moreover, BH4 serves as an antioxidant in preventing nitric oxide toxicity in endothelial cells (Shimizu et al., 1998). In such cases, BH4 is able to scavenge ROS induced by paraquat. In its capacity as a scavenger, it could prevent the oxidation of DA, which we monitor as extracellular DA. The mechanism by which paraquat confers selective damage to dopaminergic neurons, however, is unresolved, and although evidence demonstrating the effects of DA reuptake inhibitors on paraquat toxicity supports specific access to DA neurons (Shimizu et al., 2003), definitive evidence is lacking. Our results suggest that this Drosophila model may be an ideal system in which to test such models.

Figure 8. Dominant neuroprotection of Catsup mutations. A, Comparison of the numbers of dopaminergic neurons in Df(1)w;+/TH-Gal4;+ UAS-GFP and w;Catsup+/+TH-Gal4;+ UAS-GFP adult brains from flies fed on sucrose only. No significant differences between Catsup mutant and wild-type brains were found in the number of neurons in any dopaminergic neuron cluster. B, Comparison of the numbers of dopaminergic neurons in Df(1)w;+/TH-Gal4;+ UAS-GFP and w;Catsup+/+TH-Gal4;+ UAS-GFP adult brains from flies fed on 20 mM paraquat for 24 h. **p < 0.01, significant difference between wild-type and Catsup mutant neurons. For each set of samples, neurons from 15–20 brains were examined. Error bars indicate SEM. Wt, Wild-type.
hydroxylation can contribute to oxidative load. When TH is overexpressed, in the absence of coexpression of GTPCH, uncoupling is likely as the ratio of oxidative states of the cofactor shifts strongly to various oxidized states as TH protein levels increase. Moreover, the DA that is generated by TH overexpression may not be elevating concomitant release of the transmitter.

It should be noted that BH4 is neurotoxic in one rat model in which it was administered directly into the striatum, causing reduction in DA pools and loss of TH immunoreactivity (Kim et al., 2003). These results agree with cell-culture models from the same laboratory in which cytotoxicity induced by BH4 in the culture medium was dependent on the presence of intracellular DA (Choi et al., 2003). In both the cell-culture and rat models, toxicity is associated with high levels of extracellular BH4, which leads to compromised membranes; these conditions could reflect those of parkinsonism in later stages of the disease, in which oxidative damage to membranes could allow extracellular leakage of BH4.

We have reported here evidence that variation in genes, conserved in humans but not known to be associated with hereditary PD, can alter susceptibility to a known environmental PD risk factor. These data serve as a proof of principle demonstrating that the system we have developed can be used to efficiently test putative PD-susceptibility genes. We also note that it represents a system that should be well suited to the testing of potential neuroprotective therapeutics.
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