Design and Implementation of Solar OLED Lighting Driver Circuit with Frequency Modulation Control
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Abstract: This paper proposes a single-stage single-ended primary inductor converter (SEPIC) converter circuit, which is applied to the organic light-emitting diodes (OLED) driver circuit. The circuit proposed in this paper replaces the output Schottky diode from the original SEPIC with a power switch. Deadtime is added to prevent the on-state overlapping of two switches with zero voltage switching (ZVS), and the circuit operates in triangular current mode. The digital control methods are maximum power point tracking and frequency modulation using a battery to supply the converter and illuminate the OLED at night. Finally, a prototype is implemented to show the feasibility under the DC input voltage range of 10–40 V. The DC output is 12 V/1 A/12 W, and the conversion efficiency is up to 96.3%.
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1. Introduction

Light-emitting diode (LED) is currently used in saturation, i.e., in lighting and screen backlighting. Organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) were invented at about the same time as LEDs. The organic materials used to create OLEDs are expensive to manufacture, and OLED’s efficiency and yield are very low. LEDs are inefficient with high costs but are widely used. In recent years, progress in the cost and production of OLED materials has greatly improved efficiency and yield, and engineers are designing OLED power supply drivers to reduce their development. Then, OLEDs can be used with LED power supplies. The design architecture coexists, and the color rendering and color saturation of OLED are higher than those of LED. The OLED color wavelength is not affected by blue light, as shown in Figure 1 [1] and is more suitable for lighting, especially indoor lighting. Figure 2 shows the OLED structure; the upper and lower layers are the substrate, anode, hole injection layer (HIL), hole transport layer (HTL), emissive layer (EML), electron transport layer (ETL), electron injection layer (EIL), and cathode. The upper and lower substrates of OLED in the manufacturing process can be transparent materials, such as glass and plastic; thus, OLED can become a large flat surface, and the size can be determined freely. It appears as a surface light source that is thin and light, and the substrate material can be selected. The characteristics of flexibility and forgiveness are ideal for future needs [2,3].
At present, the power supply of OLED is generally driven by commercial power through AC–DC and DC-DC converters. The DC-driven OLED that comes out makes the OLED bright [3–5]. OLED is an emerging light source in the lighting field. It can be produced according to different needs [6]. It is one piece and does not occupy space. It can be miniaturized according to the design of the driving circuit [7–9]. The power supply is provided by solar energy, which is combined with an energy storage battery that provides energy during the day and night.

The solar energy supplies a range of voltages, and maximum power point tracking (MPPT) is also added to enable the load and battery to obtain the maximum power when the weather changes. The solar panel is connected to a SEPIC circuit [10–12] as a conversion circuit, and the output is connected to the OLED and the battery. The overall operation mode is divided into four methods, as follows: When the solar panel and battery energy are lower than a voltage level, the OLED cannot be bright; when the solar panel is lower than a voltage level, the battery is fully charged at this time, and the energy of the OLED is supplied by the battery; when the solar panel has a certain voltage, OLED energy is provided by solar panels; when the solar panel and battery have a certain voltage, the OLED energy is directly supplied by solar energy. This paper proposes the block diagram of the circuit system, shown in Figure 3.
2. Original Single-Ended Primary Inductor Converter (SEPIC) Converter

For a SEPIC circuit, the output voltage can be greater than, less than, or equal, to its input voltage. SEPIC is essentially a boost converter, followed by a reverse buck-boost converter. Thus, it is similar to the traditional buck-boost converter but has the advantage of a non-inverting output, that is, the output has the same voltage polarity as the input.

As shown in Figure 4, the basic circuit architecture of SEPIC is composed of the following: two inductors, namely, \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \); switch \( S_1 \) as MOSFET; Schottky diode \( D_1 \); AC coupling capacitor \( C_1 \); and output capacitor \( C_0 \). In steady-state continuous conduction operation mode analysis, the two actions are as follows: when the switch is conducting and when it is not conducting.

Figure 5 shows the original SEPIC converter circuit operation in continuous conduction mode (CCM). Mode 1, the current direction color is red, when switch \( S_1 \) is turned on, two loops are formed, namely, \( I_{L1} \) flowing through \( L_1 \), and \( I_{L2} \) flowing through \( C_1 \) and \( S_1 \). At this time, \( D_1 \) is not reversed, and the output capacitor \( C_0 \) discharges the load \( R_L \); Mode 2, the current direction color is blue, when the switch \( S_1 \) is not turned on, two loops are formed, which are as follows: the inductor \( L_1 \) flowing through the inductor \( L_1 \), capacitor \( C_1 \), diode \( D_1 \), the output capacitor \( C_0 \), and the load \( R_L \); and the inductor \( L_2 \) flowing through the two pole bodies \( D_1 \), the output capacitor \( C_0 \), and the load \( R_L \). At this time, \( D_1 \) is forward biased.
Figure 5. Operation in continuous conduction mode (CCM).

The SEPIC converter action waveform is shown in Figure 6.

3. TCM SEPIC Converter with Zero Voltage Switching

The original SEPIC converter in the continuous conduction mode of the main power switch is hard switching (hard switching). However, when the power switch is operated in the hard-cut state, the efficiency of the overall circuit decreases, the loss increases, the power density decreases, and the loss increases the switching frequency and input voltage to limit the maximum frequency operation. To avoid this situation, operating the power switch at zero voltage switching is necessary. When the collector source voltage ($V_{DS}$) drops to zero, before the switch is turned on, the gate source is set up to establish the voltage.

As shown in Figure 7, the output Schottky diode is changed to a power switch. The switch control method lets the main power switch turn on first, and then have a short dead time. The power switch near the output terminal is turned on. The circuit operation is shown in Figure 8, the inductor current drops to below zero, which is the negative level at which the main power switch is not conducting. Then, the $V_{DS}$ of the main power switch first drops to zero level. The main power switch is turned on; thus, the main power switch operates at zero voltage switching. The operation waveform is shown in Figure 9.
Figure 7. Schottky diode change to power switch.
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Figure 8. Circuit operation: (a) $S_1$ is on, $S_2$ is off; (b) $S_1$ is off, $S_2$ is on.
As shown in Figure 10, use the SIMetrix/SIMPLIS software (developed by Portland, SIMPLIS Technologies, Inc.) to run the purposed circuit. The simulation waveform can be verified by matching theory waveform, as shown in Figure 11, the main power switch operates at zero voltage switching (ZVS).

4. Digital Control Design

This paper proposes a single-stage SEPIC converter with ZVS to operate in TCM. The circuit control uses Texas Instruments digital development board for control. In this paper, a digital method was used for control. The input of the circuit, in this paper, is powered by analog solar energy; thus, it stores energy in the battery during the day and can also supply energy to the OLED of the load. It can be used if indoor lighting is needed during the day. To prevent the maximum power of the load from being used and to prevent the battery from being affected by natural environmental factors, such as...
the sun being temporarily shaded by clouds or weak sunlight, adding maximum power point tracking (MPPT) is necessary to maintain the maximum power supply of the load end and the battery.

Disturbance observation method (perturb-and-observe method, P&O) is the most commonly used approach for maximum power tracking. In this paper, this control method is also used. Disturbance observation method is used to periodically increase or decrease the size of the load to change the battery terminal voltage and output power. The voltage and power of the load change are compared to determine whether to increase or decrease them to reach the maximum power point. The disadvantage of this method is that when the maximum power point is reached, the disturbance will not stop because of it, but will shake around the maximum power point, thereby causing energy loss and reducing efficiency. As shown in Figure 12, the maximum power point is clear, and it will continue to increase or decrease and change the cycle of the switch, thereby, prompting the power to find the maximum point to achieve maximum power tracking.

\[ P(W) \]

\[ MPP (\frac{dP}{dD} = 0) \]

\[ dP/dD > 0 \]

\[ dP/dD < 0 \]

Duty Cycle

Figure 12. Perturb-and-observe method.

5. Circuit Design Simulation and Measured Results

In this paper, the circuit specifications are set first. Then, the component parameters are calculated according to the circuit calculation formula. Afterward, the SEPIC circuit simulation with zero voltage switching uses the SIMetrix/SIMPLIS software (developed by Portland, SIMPLIS Technologies, Inc.) for circuit simulation to simulate the feasibility of improving the proposed original circuit architecture.

The circuit specifications and component specifications of this paper are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

| Table 1. Circuit specifications. |
|---------------------------------|
| **Item** | **Value** |
| Input voltage | 10–40 V |
| Output voltage | 12 V/1 A |
| Switching frequency | 100 kHz |
| Frequency Conversion | 70–100 kHz |

| Table 2. Circuit component specification. |
|------------------------------------------|
| **Component** | **Specification** |
| MOSFET | IRF 2907Z \( (V_{DS} = 75 \text{ V}, R_{DS(on)} = 4.5 \text{ m}\Omega) \) |
| Current Sensor | HX-10P |
| Inductors | 18 \( \mu \text{H} \) |
| Gate driver | HCPL-3120 |
Figures 13–16 show the same switching frequency of 100 kHz and different input voltages of 10, 18, 30, and 40 V. The first waveform is the gate and drain sources of the main power switch from the simulation. The simulation shows the interval t₃–t₄ that the \( V_{DS1} \) of the first main power switch drops to zero, and \( V_{GS1} \) is turned on, with the action of zero-voltage switching. The second waveform is the current waveform of the two inductors, and the current waveforms of the two inductors are the same phase. Both inductor currents fall below the zero level to the negative region until the main power switch is turned on, and then rise. The third waveform is the output voltage. When the input voltage is different, and the main switch has zero voltage switching, the output can be stabilized at 12 V. It can be verified by simulation to match the theoretical waveform.

![Figure 13. \( V_{GS1}, V_{DS1}, I_{L1}, I_{L2}, V_0 \) of input 10 V.](image)

![Figure 14. \( V_{GS1}, V_{DS1}, I_{L1}, I_{L2}, V_0 \) of input 18 V.](image)

![Figure 15. \( V_{GS1}, V_{DS1}, I_{L1}, I_{L2}, V_0 \) of input 30 V.](image)

![Figure 16. \( V_{GS1}, V_{DS1}, I_{L1}, I_{L2}, V_0 \) of input 40 V.](image)
The SEPIC circuit with zero voltage switching, mentioned in this paper, uses a high-voltage DC power supply for input signal stability and low noise. The two driver ICs use a small power supply GPD3060D, and the load is simulated using a Chroma load machine. The output terminal is connected to the OLED, and a differential isolation carbon rod is used to side the waveform of the power switch. The current waveform uses a current probe that can measure 30 A.

Measurement of the SEPIC circuit with zero voltage switching is adopted. The switching signal uses a digital control method. The circuit uses two power switches. Thus, to prevent the two power switches from malfunctioning and causing component damage, a dead-time mechanism is added to the control aspect to ensure that the $V_{GS}$ of the two power switches are staggered when they are turned on and that the $V_{GS}$ of the second power switch is delayed for some time before turning on. The duty cycle of the two power switches is at a complementary mode. The measured waveforms are shown in Figures 17 and 18. The input voltages are 10 V and 40 V. The duty cycle of the two power switches is at a complementary mode. The switching frequency is 70 kHz; thus, it outputs two power switch waveforms and inductor current waveforms with a fixed 12 V current of 1 A. As shown in Figures 19–21, the input voltages are 10 V and 40 V, the duty cycle of the two power switches is at a complementary mode, and the switching frequency is 100 kHz. Thus, it outputs two power switches with a fixed 12 V current 1 A. The waveform and the inductor current waveform can be verified for consistency with the theoretical waveform by measuring the waveform at different input voltages and switching frequencies. The measured waveforms, simulated waveforms, and theoretical waveforms are consistent and verify feasibility.

From the measured data in Table 3 and calculated by the efficiency formula, the efficiency curve shown in Figure 22, the proposed converter efficiency curve is measured with different input voltages of 10 V, 18 V, 30 V, and 40 V, fixed voltage, and current of output. When the input is 18 V and the output is 12 V/1 A/12 W, the efficiency is up to 96.3%.
Figure 17. Waveforms of switch $V_{GS}$ and $V_{DS}$ with 10 V of input and 12 V/1 A of output (CH1, $V_{GS1}$; CH2, $V_{GS2}$; CH3, $V_{DS1}$; CH4, $V_{DS2}$).

Figure 18. Waveforms of switch $V_{GS}$, $V_{DS}$ and inductor current with input 10 V, output 12 V/1 A (CH1, $V_L$; CH2, $V_{GS1}$; CH3, $V_{DS1}$; CH4, $V_{DS2}$).
Figure 19. Waveforms of switch $V_{GS}$ and $V_{DS}$ with 10 V of input and 12 V/1 A of output (CH1, $V_{GS1}$; CH2, $V_{DS1}$; CH3, $V_{GS2}$; CH4, $V_{DS2}$).

Figure 20. Waveforms of switch $V_{GS}$, $V_{DS}$ and inductor current with 10 V of input and 12 V/1 A of output (CH1, $V_{GS1}$; CH2, $V_{DS1}$; CH3, $V_{GS2}$; CH4, $I_L$).
Figure 21. Waveforms of switch $V_{GS}$ and $V_{DS}$ with input of 40 V and output of 12 V/1 A. (CH1, $V_{GS1}$; CH2, $V_{DS1}$; CH3, $V_{GS2}$; CH4, $V_{DS2}$).

Table 3. Measured data of circuit.

| Vin (V) | Vout (V) | Efficiency (%) |
|---------|----------|----------------|
| 9.9972  | 1.3795   | 89.39%         |
| 18.003  | 0.711    | 96.31%         |
| 30.816  | 0.4483   | 89.20%         |
| 40.022  | 0.339    | 89.77%         |

Figure 22. Efficiency performance of the proposed converter.

6. Conclusions

This paper proposes a single stage SEPIC converter circuit, which is applied to the OLED driver circuit. The output Schottky diode from the original SEPIC is replaced by a MOSFET. Deadtime is added to prevent the on-state overlapping of two switches, with zero voltage switching. The circuit
operates in TCM. The digital control methods used are maximum power tracking and frequency modulation using a battery to supply the converter and illuminate the OLED at night. Finally, a prototype was implemented to show the feasibility under a DC input voltage range of 10–40 V. The DC output is 12 V/1 A/12 W with a conversion efficiency of up to 96.3%.
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