A Case Series: Non-vascularized Autologous Fibular Graft in the Treatment of Major Segmental Bone Defect after Post-traumatic at Diaphyseal Femur
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: In the management of bony defects, autologous bone grafts can be used as the mechanical structure for reconstruction to restore aesthetics and function. Non-vascularized autologous fibular graft are often used to the treat large post traumatic defects caused by high-energy trauma with open fracture and nonunion cases for 1st and 2nd cases. Here we would like to present a 16-year-old woman and a 37-year-old man patients of major bone defect at the right femoral shaft region using 9 cm and 10 cm non-vascularized fibular autogenous grafts.

AIM: The purpose of this case report was to determine the effectiveness of non-vascularized autologous fibular graft for the major bone defect at the femoral shaft region for the reconstruction of post-traumatic case.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: We treat post-traumatic of the major bone defect at the femoral shaft region with 9 cm and 10 cm non-vascularized autologous fibular graft and fixed by a limited contact dynamic compression plate (LC-DCP) and femoral locking plate method by routine physiotherapy.

RESULTS: The patient has a good result with good functional outcome after open reduction internal fixation (ORIF) and using a non-vascularized autologous fibular graft for treating the major bone defect after post-traumatic femoral shaft fracture.

CONCLUSION: Complete and comprehensive ORIF with autogenous non-vascularized fibular graft, stabilized fracture by LC-DCP for 1st patient and femoral locking plate for 2nd patient allowing early rehabilitation and early mobilization resulting better outcome for the patient. This treatment can be accepted at the hospitals with limited facilities and health professionals to manage bone defects in open shaft femoral fractures to achieved union, preserved length and motion with no donor site morbidity, giving patients good functional outcome and radiologic result with less cost.

Introduction

Fractures resulting in segmental bone loss are very challenging for the orthopedic surgeon. These are commonly seen as the result of increasing civil strife and motor vehicular accidents. Non-vascularized fibula graft and cancellous bone grafting provide a reliable means of treating such conditions in developing countries. Orthopedic surgeons in developed countries have the option of choosing vascularized bone transfers, bone transport, allogenic bone grafts, bone graft substitutes, and several other means to treat such conditions, however, in developing countries where such facilities or expertise may not be readily available, the surgeon has to rely on other techniques of treatment. The aim of this case series is to determine the efficacy of the use of non-vascularized fibular grafts to re-establish bone continuity for the purpose of weight-bearing in lower limbs. This old-age technique first reported in 1911 [1], [2]. Fractures with segmental bone loss are more likely to be open and often occur because of high-energy trauma.
vascularized osteo-cutaneous fibular graft has been recommended as a useful treatment modality for the reconstruction of extensive tibial defects combined with soft tissue injury, non-vascularized bone graft is effective only for short bone defects (<5–6 cm) [8], [9], [10]. The purpose of our study is to describe our experience treating major bone defect using non-vascularized fibular autogenous grafts that were used for the reconstruction of posttraumatic in large bone defect.

Case Report

Case 1

A 16-year-old female patient was admitted to our emergency department after got a Traffic Accident using motorcycle. The trauma had broken the posterolateral aspect of his right thigh and shattered the femoral diaphysis. Bone splinters had lacerated the surrounding muscles and the skin on the anteromedial aspect of the thigh. This open fracture is classified as a Gustilo III A and involved one-third of the femoral shaft length (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Gap femoral shaft fracture 7 cm

Despite the effect of open wound that produced by a high-speed injury when it goes through tissues, there was no sign of vascular or neural injury, and peripheral pulses were palpable at inguinal artery, popliteal artery, dorsalis pedis artery, tibialis anterior artery, tibialis posterior artery, all fingers saturation are 98%. This patient with Transverse fracture with a combined bone, muscle, and skin defect about 15 × 8 cm with muscle-based.

Skin traction and debridement were chosen as a first-line treatment, the wound was largely exposed and debrided and the wound was left open, for the treatment of open wounds, to prevent further infection. After 2 weeks the wound was re-debrided and primarily closed.

One month after the initial injury the tissue had healed sufficiently to consider a reconstruction, there was no evidence of infection. Non-vascularized autologous fibular graft with the Internal fixation with limited contact dynamic compression plate (LC-DCP) method were considered. Immediate reconstruction with a massive bone autograft was preferred because it would allow rapid weight-bearing.

A 9 cm non-vascularized fibular graft (Figure 2) was fixed by an internal fixation LC-DCP (Figure 3). The length of the reconstruction was based on the opposite femur.

Figure 2: 9 cm non-vascularized fibular graft
The different tissue layers were closed over a suction drain.

After a short stay in the hospital, the patient recovered gradually from surgery, and physiotherapy was immediately started. He was discharged non-weight-bearing for 2 weeks postoperatively.

The first signs of bone healing were evident on radiographs at 8 weeks, 8 weeks after operation the results of the control femur x-ray if comparable 2 months ago, are currently installed plates and screws in one-third of proximal to one-third of the distal femur, the position of the bone fragments is smoothly performed using a bone graft begins to show periosteal reactions minimal appearance (Figure 4).

Final follow-up found in 32 weeks radiographs (Figure 5) showed consolidation and the bridging achieved of the femoral diaphyseal shaft with definite Fibula in bridging the Femoral defect, the patient has a good range of movement of the hip and knee (Table 1) and there is no leg length discrepancy nor atrophy (Table 2) (Figure 6).

In order to fasten the bone healing, physiotherapy was performed to increase mobilization and muscle strength.

The motor vehicle accident impacted on his thigh, causing a segmental fracture in his right middle femoral shaft.

There was no open wound or any neurovascular injury during inspection and the patient was scheduled for two operations in two different days. The right femur underwent open reduction and internal fixation using a plate and screw.

**Case 2**

A 37-year-old male patient was admitted to the emergency department due to a traffic accident while riding a motorcycle on may. A few days later the patient comes and complaint of pain in his right thigh, where implant failure of the femur was noted during X-ray, and reoperation was performed on occasions.

Another episode of pain was noted 4 days after the first reoperation of the femur, and then one year later his right femur (Figures 7 and 8).

On June 2019, perform removal of the implant and during the operation was discovered the fibrotic tissue and diaphyseal defect of femur 10 cm, majority

---

**Table 1: ROM after 32 weeks**

| Joint | Flexion (°) | Extension (°) | Abduction (°) | Adduction (°) | Internal Rotation (°) | External Rotation (°) |
|-------|-------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| HIP   | 140         | 10            | 40           | 30           | 55                   | 45                   |
| KNEE  | 140         | 0             | 80           | 50           | 10                   | 10                   |

**Table 2: Leg length measurement**

| Length Type | Right (cm) | Left (cm) |
|-------------|------------|-----------|
| True length | 77         | 77        |
| Apparent length | 83        | 83       |
| Anatomical length | 42        | 42       |
of defect arise from the lateral site and little part of the diaphysis in the medial site.

Figure 5: X-ray femoral AP/L and post open reduction internal fixation

Figure 6: Clinical examination in 32 weeks, there was no leg length discrepancy

Figure 7: X-ray femoral AP/L and post open reduction internal fixation

Figure 8: X-ray before operation with implant failure and nonunion

Figure 9: During operation show defect bone: (a) Remaining partial bone in posteromedial side by defect 3 cm (b) missing total bone in the shaft femur by defect 7 cm

Using non-vascularized autologous fibular graft, iliac graft, and synthetic bone graft for internal fixation with femoral locking plate method was considered. Immediate
reconstruction with a massive bone autograft was preferred because it would allow rapid weight-bearing. A 10 cm bone graft was fixed by an internal fixation femoral locking plate. The length of the reconstruction was based on the opposite femur (Figures 9 and 10).

Results

The patient has a good result with good functional outcome (Tables 3 and 4) after open reduction internal fixation and using a non-vascularized autologous fibular graft for treating the major bone defect after post-traumatic femoral shaft fracture (Figures 11-13).

Discussion

The prevalence of femoral shaft fractures is approximately 10 per 100,000 people with peak-age related incidence between the ages of 15–24 years [11]. The early reference stated that vascularized bone graft offer significant advantages over conventional treatment methods in selected patients with segmental bone defects greater than 6 cm [12]. For defects of >12 cm, vascularized grafts are superior to non-vascularized grafts as indicated by failure rates of 25% and 50%, respectively [13]. In our case, we are using cortical autologous bone graft because it would allow rapid weight-bearing and fasten the mobilization.

Figure 10: X-ray of the last non-vascularized autologous fibular graft with the internal fixation, bone callus formation is noted, and thinning of fracture gap

Figure 11: Leg length discrepancy was noted during clinical examination

Figure 12: Flexion and extension of the knee with a good results

Figure 13: Radiographic control post-operation

Another study also showed that vascularized cortical grafts healed more rapidly at the site of graft-host interface and their remodeling capability was similar to that of a normal bone. Unlike non-vascularized grafts, these grafts do not undergo resorption and revascularization and therefore they provide superior strength during the first 6 weeks but have potential non-union > 6 cm [14]. In our case, the bone defect >6 cm and we are using fibular graft and into the femoral medullary cavity and having a good outcome. Despite their initial strength, cortical grafts still must be supported by internal or external fixation to protect them from fracture while they hypertrophy in response to Wolff’s law and mechanical loading [15], so we
decided to using internal fixation to increase response to Wolff’s law, in the 24 months we found a bridging callus, it is same effective such as vascularized cortical grafts. Bone gaps could resulted from atrophic non-union. They are characterized by poor blood supply. Non-vascularized fibular bone graft might took longer time to achieve union, but if used in good vascular bed and soft tissue coverage, it could obtained a similar result to the vascularized fibular bone-graft [16].

Table 3: Leg length discrepancy measurement

| Leg length     | Right (cm) | Left (cm) |
|----------------|------------|-----------|
| True Length    | 96         | 96        |
| Apparent Length| 84         | 85        |
| Anatomical Length | 38       | 39        |

Table 4: Range of motion

| Right Knee | Flexion | Extension | Adduction | Abduction | Internal rotation | External rotation |
|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|------------------|
|            | 140°    | 0°        | 30°       | 45°       | 40°              | 45°              |

Conclusion

We followed the patient for 32 weeks and 80 weeks have a good outcome, the bony defects arising out of post-traumatic bone major defect can be successfully reconstructed with fibular graft-giving good functional outcome. However, these bony defects can be successfully managed with fibular reconstruction when they present early to the surgeon. Our overall experiences with non-vascularized fibular graft for reconstruction bony defects are encouraging; however, this method could be an alternative treatment for treating a post-traumatic bone major defect in adolescents if used in good vascular bed and soft tissue coverage in a hospital that has no subspecialty, we are aware this is a short-term study and would require further evaluation and more inputs.
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