Effects of Benzoic Acid and Thymol on Growth Performance and Gut Characteristics of Weaned Piglets
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ABSTRACT: A total of 144 weaned crossed pigs were used in a 42-d trial to explore the effects of different concentrations/combinations of benzoic acid and thymol on growth performance and gut characteristics in weaned pigs. Pigs were randomly allotted to 4 dietary treatments: i) control (C), basal diet, ii) C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol (BT1), iii) C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+200 mg/kg thymol (BT2) and, iv) C+2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol (BT3). Relative to the control, pigs fed diet BT3 had lower diarrhoea score during the overall period (p<0.10) and improved feed to gain ratio between days 1 to 14 (p<0.05), which was accompanied by improved apparent total tract digestibility of ether extract, Ca and crude ash (p<0.05), and larger lipase, lactase and sucrose activities in the jejunum (p<0.05) at d 14 and d 42. Similarly, relative to the control, pigs fed diet BT3 had higher counts for Lactobacillus spp in digesta of ileum at d 14 (p<0.05), and pigs fed diets BT1, BT2, or BT3 also had higher counts of Bacillus spp in digesta of caecum at d 14 (p<0.05), and lower concentration of ammonia nitrogen in digesta of caecum at d 14 and d 42 (p<0.05). Finally, pigs fed diet BT3 had higher concentration of butyric acid in digesta of caecum at d 42 (p<0.05), and a larger villus height:crypt depth ratio in jejunum and ileum at d 14 (p<0.05) than pigs fed the control diet. In conclusion, piglets fed diet supplementation with different concentrations/combinations of benzoic acid and thymol could improve feed efficiency and diarrhoea, and improve gut microfloral composition. The combination of 2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol produced better effects than other treatments in most measurements. (Key Words: Benzoic Acid, Thymol, Growth Performance, Microflora, Gut Histo-morphology, Piglets)

INTRODUCTION

Animal food safety problems resulting from feeding antibiotics to animals, such as antibiotic residues and declining immunity, become increasingly severe (Aarnink and Verstegen, 2007), leading to the development of alternatives to antibiotics (including benzoic acid and thymol) (Mroz, 2005; Janczyk et al., 2009).

Benzoic acid, as a kind of organic acid, was authorized to be used in growing pigs at the dose of 0.5% to 1.0% by European Union in 2003. Certain research has proposed that benzoic acid can improve the growth performance and nutrients digestibility, inhibit pathogenic microorganisms, and maintain intestinal microecological balance (Kluge et al., 2006; Guggenbuhl et al., 2007; Torrallardona et al., 2007). Thymol, a plant essential oil, has been used in feed and food additives (Juven et al., 1994; Luna et al., 2010; Trevisi et al., 2010) as it has broad-spectrum antimicrobial properties in vitro (Klein et al., 2013), and can improve antioxidant ability.

Besides, in our former research, dietary 0.5% benzoic acid supplementation was found to increase growth performance and digestibility in weaned piglets (Diao et al., 2013). In addition, previous studies have reported that the combination of plant essential oils with organic acid produced a synergistic effect on antibacterial activity (Vondruskova et al., 2010; Gianmenas et al., 2014). Therefore, substituting a portion of benzoic acid with thymol could save feeding costs. Thus, in regard to gut health, the mechanism of the effects of combining of benzoic acid and thymol need to be researched. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of different concentrations/combinations of benzoic acid and
thymol on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, digestive enzyme activity, digesta pH values, intestinal morphology, microbiota and microbial metabolites in weaned pigs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experimental protocols were approved by the Sichuan Agricultural University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Ya'an, China).

Benzoic acid (VevoVitall) and thymol were provided by DSM (China) Limited (476 Li Bing Road, Zhangjiang High Tech Park, Shanghai, Pudong New Area, China).

**Experimental design, animals, and housing**

A total of 144 healthy DLY (Yorkshire × Landrace) × Duroc weaned piglets (24 d of age) with an initial average body weight (BW) of (6.03±0.78) kg were allocated to 4 treatments with 6 replicates per treatment and 6 pigs per pen with randomized complete block design according to their initial BW and sex (3 gilts and 3 barrows/pen). Dietary treatment groups were: i) control (C), basal diet, ii) C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol (BT1), iii) C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+200 mg/kg thymol (BT2) and, iv) C+2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol (BT3). The whole trial was lasted for 42 days and was divided into two periods, days 1 to 14 and days 15 to 42. On days 39 to 42, the nutrient digestibility was measured using acid insoluble ash (AIA) as an endogenous indicator. The diets (barley-wheat-soybean-based diet) were manufactured in Sichuan Sanlian Feed Co., Ltd (Meishan, China). The diets were formulated to meet or exceed the NRC (1998) nutrient requirements for 5 to 10 kg and 10 to 20 kg piglets. Ingredients and compositions of the basic diets are presented in Table 1. Benzoic acid and thymol were included in the diet by substituting for the same amount of corn. No antibiotics were used in any diet.

| Ingredients                          | Days 1 to 14 | Days 15 to 42 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Barley                               | 31.00        | 31.50         |
| Wheat                                | 16.40        | 16.20         |
| Maize (CP 7.8%)                      | 14.23        | 18.36         |
| Extruded soybean meal (CP 35.5%)    | 25.20        | 23.40         |
| Whey powder (low protein)            | 6.00         | 4.00          |
| Fish meal (CP 60.2%)                 | 5.50         | 5.40          |
| L-Lys-HCl (78%)                      | 0.28         | 0.12          |
| DL-Met                               | 0.08         | 0.00          |
| Trp (98%)                            | 0.04         | 0.01          |
| Thr (98.5%)                          | 0.13         | 0.05          |
| Choline chloride                     | 0.10         | 0.10          |
| Dicalcium phosphate                  | 0.40         | 0.22          |
| NaCl                                 | 0.20         | 0.20          |
| Vitamin complex²                     | 0.04         | 0.04          |
| Mineral complex³                     | 0.40         | 0.40          |
| Total                                | 100.00       | 100.00        |

**Sample collections and preparation**

Experimental diets were sampled and stored at −20°C until analyzing dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), calcium (Ca), phosphorus (P), ether extract (EE) and crude ash. Faecal samples were collected from d 39 to d 42 during the trial to determine the apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of DM, CP, Ca, P, EE, and crude ash. After each collection, 10% hydrochloric acid was added for fixation of excreta nitrogen. Faeces from 4 days of each replicate were mixed thoroughly and dried at 60°C for 72 h, after which they were ground to pass through a 1-mm screen and stored at −20°C for analyzing key nutrient contents.

At the end of d 14 and d 42, following fasted overnight, one piglet with the average BW in each pen was chosen and

### Table 1. Ingredients and nutrient composition of basal diet during days 1 to 14 and days 15 to 42 (air dry basis) (%)\(^1\)

| Items                          | Days 1 to 14 | Days 15 to 42 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Crude protein, DE, digestible energy, ME, metabolizable energy. |
| Analyzed acid insoluble ash (AIA) content of experimental diets (days 15 to 42) were: 0.34%, 0.38%, 0.39%, 0.37% respectively. |
| 2 The premix provides following per kg diet: Vitamin A 6,000 IU, Vitamin D₃ 400 IU, Vitamin E 6.67 mg, Vitamin K₂ 2 mg, Vitamin B₁ 0.8 mg, Vitamin B₂ 6.4 mg, Vitamin B₃ 2.4 mg, Vitamin B₁₂ 12 μg, folic acid 0.2 mg, nicotinic acid 14 mg, D-pantothenic acid 10 mg; |
| 3 The premix provides following per kg diet: Fe (as ferrous sulfate) 120 mg, Cu (as copper sulfate) 6 mg, Mn 40 mg, Zn (as zinc sulfate) 100 mg, I 0.5 mg, Se 0.3 mg. |

### Notes:

1. CP, crude protein; DE, digestible energy; ME, metabolizable energy.

2. The premix provides following per kg diet: Vitamin A 6,000 IU, Vitamin D₃ 400 IU, Vitamin E 6.67 mg, Vitamin K₂ 2 mg, Vitamin B₁ 0.8 mg, Vitamin B₂ 6.4 mg, Vitamin B₃ 2.4 mg, Vitamin B₁₂ 12 μg, folic acid 0.2 mg, nicotinic acid 14 mg, D-pantothenic acid 10 mg;
bled. Blood samples were collected from the precaval vein into nonheparinized vacuum tubes and centrifuged (3,000xg) for 10 min at 4°C. After bleeding, the pigs were fed and killed, and the time interval between beginning of feeding and euthanasia was 3 h±20 min on the sampling day. Two mg/kg BW of chlorpromazine hydrochloride (Shanghai Harvest Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd Shanghai, China) were used for euthanasia through intravenously injecting. Then, the tissues of duodenum, jejunum and ileum were immediately isolated, washed and preserved in 10% formalin solution. This was followed by measuring the pH of the digesta in the duodenum, jejunum, ileum, caecum and colon. Additionally, digesta from jejunum, ileum and caecum were collected and stored at ~80°C for measuring digestive enzymes activities, microbial quantity and microbial metabolites.

**Growth performance**

Individual pig BW was recorded at d 0, d 14, and d 42 of the experimental period, and weighing was performed before pigs were fed. Feed consumption was recorded as the amount of feed offered daily minus the remaining quantity in the feeder next morning on a pen basis during the experiment. These values were used to calculate average daily gain, average daily feed intake, and ratio of feed to gain (F/G).

**Diarrhoea scores**

Pen diarrhoea scores were recorded every morning and evening for 42 days of the trial based on the following scoring system: 0 = no diarrhoea, 1 = diarrhoea less than 20% of pigs, 2 = diarrhoea in 20% to 40% of pigs, 3 = diarrhoea more than 40% of pigs. Pen diarrhoea scores were measured by the same person throughout the trial period, and the person was blinded to treatment groups. The final diarrhoea scores = the sum of pen diarrhoea scores recorded in the morning and evening in each treatment during 42 d/replicates.

**Serum physiochemical parameters**

Serum albumin, cholesterol, triglyceride, urea, globulin, Ca, P, total protein, thyroxine, triiodothyronine were determined using an automatic biochemistry analyzer (PUZS-300, Perlong, Beijing, China).

**Apparent total tract digestibility**

The ATTD was measured using AIA as indicator. The AIA in diets and faeces samples was determined by a method described by Chinese National Standard (GB/T 23742). All feed and faecal samples were analyzed for DM (method 930.15, AOAC, 1995), crude ash (method 942.05, AOAC, 1995), EE (method 945.16, AOAC, 1995), Ca (method 927.02, AOAC, 1995), P (method 995.11, AOAC, 1995), and CP (method 990.03, AOAC, 1995). The ATTD was calculated as \( (100 - A1F2/A2F1 \times 100) \), where \( A1 \) represents the AIA content of the diet; \( A2 \) represents the AIA content of faeces; \( F1 \) represents the nutrient content of the diet; \( F2 \) represents the nutrient content of faeces.

**Digestive enzyme activity and pH values of digesta**

Digestive enzyme activity in frozen jejunal digesta was determined by commercial kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). Briefly, the samples were homogenized after dilution with saline (1:9), followed by centrifuging at 2,000xg for 10 min, and the aliquots were stored at ~80°C for assay of enzyme activities. Then trypsin, lipase, amylase, lactase, sucrase and maltase activities were determined using commercial kits combined with UV-VIS Spectrophotometer (UV1100, MAPADA, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The pH values of digesta were measured with a pH meter (PHS-3C pH, Shanghai, China) on d 14 and d 42.

**Enumeration of Escherichia coli, Lactobacillus spp, Bifidobacterium spp, and total bacteria**

Bacterial DNA was isolated from the frozen ileal and caecal digesta samples using an EZNaTm stool DNA extraction kit (Omega BioTek, Doraville, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primers and probes (Table 2) for total bacteria, Escherichia coli, Lactobacillus spp, Bifidobacterium spp, and Bacillus spp were obtained from the published work of Fierer et al. (2005) and Qi et al. (2011), and commercially synthesized by Invitrogen (Shanghai, China). Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was conducted to quantify total bacteria, Escherichia coli, Lactobacillus spp, Bifidobacterium spp, and Bacillus spp with IQ5 real-time PCR detection System (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA, USA) as previously described by Qi et al. (2011).

**Short chain fatty acids**

Short chain fatty acids (SCFAs; acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid) were separated and quantified from the frozen caecal digesta samples in a gas chromatographic system (VARIAN CP-3800, Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA) as previously described by Chen et al. (2013).

**Ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N)**

The caecal digesta samples were centrifuged at 5,000xg for 15 min after adding 1:10 ammonia-free water and mixing on a vortex mixer. One mL supernatant was transferred to a sterile tube, then 49 mL ammonia-free water was added, followed by adding 1 mL potassium sodium tartrate solution and briefly mixed on a vortex mixer. Then, 1.5 mL Nessler’s reagent was added, mixed and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The absorbance was read at
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From 0 to 14, F/G was decreased in pigs fed BT3 diet compared to diet treatment C (Table 3; p<0.05), and no differences were observed in BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets for the F/G. There was a tendency to decreased F/G during the overall period (d 1 to 42) in pigs fed BT3 diet compared to diet treatment C (p<0.10), and no differences were observed in BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets for the F/G during the overall period. Pigs fed the BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets tended to have lower diarrhoea scores compared to diet treatment C, and pigs fed the BT3 diet tended to have lower diarrhoea scores compared to diet treatment BT1 and BT2, and there were no differences between diet treatment BT1 and BT2 for diarrhoea (p<0.10).

Table 3. Effects of benzoic acid and thymol on growth performance and diarrhoea in piglets

| Item               | Treatment\(^1\) | SEM p-values |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|
|                    | C   | BT1 | BT2 | BT3 |
| 1 to 14 d          |     |     |     |     |
| ADFI (g)           | 225 | 250 | 232 | 226 | 16.4 | 0.70 |
| ADG (g)            | 151 | 178 | 162 | 173 | 15.4 | 0.63 |
| F/G                | 1.51\(^a\) | 1.42\(^ab\) | 1.45\(^ab\) | 1.31\(^b\) | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| 15 to 42 d         |     |     |     |     |
| ADFI (g)           | 651 | 629 | 597 | 625 | 19.4 | 0.20 |
| ADG (g)            | 396 | 392 | 370 | 342 | 13.1 | 0.15 |
| F/G                | 1.64 | 1.6 | 1.61 | 1.58 | 0.03 | 0.47 |
| 1 to 42 d          |     |     |     |     |
| ADFI (g)           | 509 | 503 | 475 | 492 | 14.4 | 0.41 |
| ADG (g)            | 314 | 320 | 301 | 322 | 9.62 | 0.42 |
| F/G                | 1.62\(^a\) | 1.57\(^ab\) | 1.58\(^ab\) | 1.53\(^b\) | 0.02 | 0.09 |
| Diarrhoea score    | 30.0\(^a\) | 12.3\(^b\) | 17.2 | 7.67\(^c\) | 5.80 | 0.08 |

\(^1\) C, control, basal diet; BT1, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol; BT2, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+200 mg/kg thymol; BT3, C+2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol.

\(^{a,b}\) Within a row, means without a common superscript, differ (p<0.05 or p<0.10).

420 nm against ammonia-free water on a UV-VIS Spectrophotometer (UV1100, MAPADA, Shanghai, China), and NH\(_3\)-N concentrations were measured and calculated from absorbance of standards. The content of NH\(_3\)-N was calculated as (m×1,000/V), where m represents the NH\(_3\)-N content corrected by standard curve and V represents the volume of supernatant.

**Morphology**

The ring-shaped histological sections of duodenum, jejunum and ileum were excised, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin wax before 4 transverse sections (5 μm) were cut, and then installed on glass slides and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. The height of 10 well orientated villi and their adjoining crypts were measured with a light microscope using a calibrated eyepiece graticule and a computer-assisted, image-analysis system (Pluske et al., 1996).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed for all experimental data that were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) in the statistical program of SAS version 8.2 (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA) where pen was the statistical unit. When a significant p-value (p<0.05 or p<0.10) was observed in ANOVA, means of dietary treatments were compared using Duncan’s multiple comparison, whereas p<0.10 was considered a tendency. All data are presented as means with pooled standard error of the mean.

**RESULTS**
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On d 14, serum albumin, triglyceride, cholesterol, globulin, urea, total protein, Ca, triiodothyronine and thyroxine were not affected by dietary treatments (Table 4), and there was a tendency to increase P in pigs fed the BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets compared to diet treatment C, and no differences were observed in BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets for the content of serum P (p<0.10). On d 42, serum albumin, triglyceride, cholesterol, globulin, urea, total protein, P, triiodothyronine and thyroxine were not affected by dietary treatments, and the serum content of Ca in pigs fed the BT2 and BT3 diets was greater than that in pigs fed C diet, and there were no differences among BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets for the serum content of Ca (p<0.05).

Effects of benzoic acid and thymol on digestive enzyme activity in jejunum of piglets

The ATTD of EE (p<0.05) and CP (p<0.01) in pigs fed the BT2 and BT3 diets was greater than that in pigs fed the C diet, and there were no differences among BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets for the ATTD of EE and CP (Table 5). Pigs fed the BT3 diet had greater ATTD of Ca (p<0.05) and crude ash (p<0.05) compared with pigs fed the C diet, and no differences were observed in BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets for the ATTD of Ca and crude ash.

Effects of benzoic acid and thymol on digestive enzyme activity in jejunum of piglets

On d 14, pigs fed the BT3 diet had a greater activity of trypsin compared with pigs fed the C diet (Table 6; p<0.05), and pigs fed the BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets had greater activities of lipase, amylase, maltase and lactase compared

### Table 4. Effects of benzoic acid and thymol on serum physiochemical parameters in piglets

| Item               | Treatment | SEM | p-values |
|--------------------|-----------|-----|----------|
|                    | C         | BT1 | BT2      | BT3      |
| 14 d               |           |     |          |          |
| Albumin (g/L)      | 30.2      | 33.1| 32.2     | 33.1     | 1.07     | 0.22     |
| Cholesterol (mmol/mL) | 2.20   | 2.15| 2.13     | 1.88     | 0.11     | 0.19     |
| Triglyceride (mmol/mL) | 0.57  | 0.53| 0.56     | 0.56     | 0.07     | 0.97     |
| Urea (mg/dL)       | 3.16      | 3.04| 2.94     | 2.90     | 0.24     | 0.86     |
| Globulin (g/L)     | 20.5      | 22.6| 25.9     | 26.0     | 2.74     | 0.43     |
| P (mmol/mL)        | 2.74\textsuperscript{b} | 3.09\textsuperscript{a} | 3.04\textsuperscript{a} | 3.09\textsuperscript{a} | 0.10     | 0.08     |
| Ca (mmol/mL)       | 2.36      | 2.43| 2.40     | 2.48     | 0.06     | 0.61     |
| Total protein (g/L)| 50.7      | 55.7| 58.1     | 59.1     | 2.86     | 0.20     |
| Thymoxine (ng/mL)  | 63.5      | 64.8| 69.5     | 73.6     | 9.46     | 0.87     |
| Triiodothyronine (ng/mL) | 1.59  | 1.76| 1.71     | 1.98     | 0.21     | 0.61     |

| Item               | Treatment | SEM | p-values |
|--------------------|-----------|-----|----------|
| 42 d               |           |     |          |          |
| Albumin (g/L)      | 32.0      | 32.9| 33.9     | 34.1     | 1.05     | 0.48     |
| Cholesterol (mmol/mL) | 2.34   | 2.17| 2.22     | 2.13     | 0.17     | 0.82     |
| Triglyceride (mmol/mL) | 0.63  | 0.60| 0.59     | 0.43     | 0.06     | 0.10     |
| Urea (mg/dL)       | 3.80      | 3.03| 3.41     | 2.72     | 0.48     | 0.44     |
| Globulin (g/L)     | 25.3      | 26.4| 26.8     | 26.4     | 1.60     | 0.91     |
| P (mmol/mL)        | 3.41      | 3.56| 3.57     | 3.55     | 0.07     | 0.41     |
| Ca (mmol/mL)       | 2.27\textsuperscript{b} | 2.46\textsuperscript{a} | 2.49\textsuperscript{a} | 2.48\textsuperscript{a} | 0.05     | 0.03     |
| Total protein (g/L)| 28.8      | 29.9| 30.3     | 29.9     | 1.90     | 0.91     |
| Thymoxine (ng/mL)  | 80.3      | 79.9| 79.5     | 82.1     | 5.39     | 0.99     |
| Triiodothyronine (ng/mL) | 2.00  | 2.17| 2.14     | 2.34     | 0.12     | 0.30     |

SEM, standard error of the mean (n = 6, number of replicates).

\(1\) C, control, basal diet; BT1, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol; BT2, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+200 mg/kg thymol; BT3, C+2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol.

\(2\) Within a row, means without a common superscript differ (p<0.05 or p<0.10).

### Table 5. Effects of benzoic acid and thymol on nutrient digestibility in piglets (%)

| Item     | Treatment | SEM | p-values |
|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
|          | C         | BT1 | BT2      | BT3      |
| CP       | 82.3\textsuperscript{b} | 84.1\textsuperscript{a} | 84.2\textsuperscript{a} | 84.7\textsuperscript{a} | 0.63 | 0.07 |
| DM       | 86.3      | 86.2| 85.9     | 86.2     | 0.36 | 0.84 |
| EE       | 79.7\textsuperscript{b} | 80.8\textsuperscript{a} | 82.8\textsuperscript{a} | 82.8\textsuperscript{a} | 0.77 | 0.03 |
| Ca       | 59.7\textsuperscript{b} | 65.5\textsuperscript{a} | 65.1\textsuperscript{a} | 66.7\textsuperscript{a} | 1.61 | 0.03 |
| P        | 44.7      | 51.0| 50.5     | 51.4     | 2.18 | 0.14 |
| Ash      | 53.3\textsuperscript{b} | 54.7\textsuperscript{a} | 56.7\textsuperscript{a} | 57.3\textsuperscript{a} | 0.93 | 0.03 |

SEM, standard error of the mean (n = 6, number of replicates). C, control, basal diet; BT1, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol; BT2, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+200 mg/kg thymol; BT3, C+2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol.

Within a row, means without a common superscript differ (p<0.05 or p<0.10).
with pigs fed the C diet, and the activity of lactase in pigs fed the BT3 diet was greater than that in pigs fed the BT1 and BT2 diets, and the activity of lactase in pigs fed the BT1 diet was greater than that in pigs fed the BT2 diet (p < 0.05), and the activity of sucrase in pigs fed the BT3 diet was greater than that in pigs fed the C, BT1, and BT2 diets, and the activity of sucrase in pigs fed the BT1 and BT2 diets were greater than that in pigs fed the C diet (p < 0.05). On d 42, pigs fed the BT3 diet had greater activity of lipase compared with pigs fed the C diet (p < 0.05), and the activity of lactase in pigs fed the BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets was greater than that in pigs fed C diet, and the activity of lactase in pigs fed the BT3 diet was greater than that in pigs fed the BT1 and BT2 diets, and the activity of lactase in pigs fed the BT1 diet was greater than that in pigs fed the BT2 diet (p < 0.05), and pigs fed the BT3 diet had greater activity of sucrase compared with pigs fed the C, BT1, and BT2 diets (p < 0.05).

**Effects of benzoic acid and thymol on pH values of the digesta of piglets**

There were no differences in pH values of the digesta in jejenum, ileum and caecum on d 14 and in the duodenum, jejenum, ileum and caecum on d 42 among dietary treatments (Table 7). However, pigs fed the BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets tended to have lower pH values of the digesta in the colon on d 14 compared to diet treatment C, and there were no differences among diet treatment BT1, BT2, and BT3 for the pH values of the digesta in the colon on d 14 (p < 0.10), and pigs fed the BT3 diet tended to have lower pH values of the digesta in the colon on d 42 compared to diet treatment C, and no differences were observed in diet treatment BT1, BT2, and BT3 for the pH values of the digesta in the colon on d 42 (p < 0.10).

**Table 6. Effects of benzoic acid and thymol on digestive enzyme activity in jejenum of piglets**

| Item                | C    | BT1  | BT2  | BT3  | SEM | p-values |
|---------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|----------|
| 14 d                |      |      |      |      |     |          |
| Trypsin (U·10^3/mg pro) | 42.7b| 60.2ab| 54.4ab| 65.0a| 4.93| 0.03     |
| Amylase (U/mg pro)   | 293b | 375a | 358a | 377a | 13.87| <0.01    |
| Lipase (U·10^3/g pro) | 1.25b| 1.99a| 2.18a| 2.19a| 0.09 | <0.01    |
| Maltase (U·10^3/g pro) | 0.81b| 1.02a| 1.02a| 1.15a| 0.05 | <0.01    |
| Lactase (U/mg pro)   | 33.8b| 68.0a| 59.9a| 88.8a| 4.89 | <0.01    |
| Sucrase (U/mg pro)   | 82.8b| 99.4a| 90.7a| 177a | 10.8 | <0.01    |
| 42 d                |      |      |      |      |     |          |
| Trypsin (U·10^3/mg pro) | 39.2 | 47.0 | 46.1 | 48.2 | 4.82 | 0.57     |
| Amylase (U/mg pro)   | 301  | 369  | 346  | 373  | 28.0 | 0.29     |
| Lipase (U·10^3/g pro) | 2.16b| 2.56a| 2.64a| 2.76a| 0.14 | 0.04     |
| Maltase (U·10^3/g pro) | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.06 | 0.90     |
| Lactase (U/mg pro)   | 32.8b| 46.0a| 41.0a| 62.9a| 2.03 | <0.01    |
| Sucrase (U/mg pro)   | 110b | 147b | 126b | 233a | 18.3 | <0.01    |

SEM, standard error of the mean (n = 6, number of replicates).  
1 C, control, basal diet; BT1, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol; BT2, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+200 mg/kg thymol; BT3, C+2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol.  
2 Within a row, means without a common superscript differ (p < 0.05 or p < 0.10).

**Effects of benzoic acid and thymol on total bacteria, Eschericha coli, Lactobacillus spp, Bifidobacterium spp, and Bacillus spp. in ileal and caecal digesta of piglets**

The population of Lactobacillus spp in ileal digesta of pigs fed the BT3 diet was greater than that of pigs fed C diet on d 14, and no differences were observed in BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets for the population of Lactobacillus spp in ileal digesta (Table 7; p < 0.05). Pigs fed the BT1, BT2, and

**Table 7. Effects of benzoic acid and thymol on pH values of the digesta of piglets**

| Item    | C    | BT1  | BT2  | BT3  | SEM  | p-values |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|
| 14 d    |      |      |      |      |      |          |
| Jejunum | 6.23 | 6.00 | 6.23 | 6.12 | 0.30 | 0.93     |
| Ileum   | 6.93 | 6.74 | 6.69 | 6.50 | 0.14 | 0.24     |
| Caecum  | 5.98 | 5.91 | 5.80 | 5.56 | 0.16 | 0.32     |
| Colon   | 6.35a| 5.84b| 5.87b| 5.83b| 0.15 | 0.07     |
| 42 d    |      |      |      |      |      |          |
| Duodenum| 5.04 | 4.99 | 4.91 | 4.83 | 0.13 | 0.67     |
| Jejunum | 6.40 | 6.10 | 6.24 | 6.21 | 0.17 | 0.65     |
| Ileum   | 6.77 | 6.77 | 6.72 | 6.53 | 0.23 | 0.87     |
| Caecum  | 5.74 | 5.60 | 5.76 | 5.43 | 0.17 | 0.50     |
| Colon   | 6.85a| 6.72b| 6.66b| 6.35b| 0.12 | 0.07     |

SEM, standard error of the mean (n = 6, number of replicates).  
1 C, control, basal diet; BT1, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol; BT2, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+200 mg/kg thymol; BT3, C+2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol.  
2 Within a row, means without a common superscript differ (p < 0.05 or p < 0.10).
BT3 diet had a greater population of *Bacillus* spp in digesta of caecum compared to pigs fed the C diet on d 14, and there were no differences among BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets for the populations of *Bacillus* spp in digesta of caecum (p<0.05). The population of *Escherichia coli* in caecal digesta of pigs fed the BT2 diet and both in ileal and caecal digesta of pigs fed the BT1 diet was lower than that of pigs fed the C diet on d 42, and the population of *Escherichia coli* in caecal digesta of pigs fed the BT2 diet was lower than that of pigs fed the BT3 diet on d 42, and no differences were observed in the population of *Escherichia coli* in caecal digesta of pigs fed BT1 and BT3 diets (p<0.05). There was a tendency for the population of *Bifidobacterium* spp to increase in digesta of caecum of pigs fed the BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets on the d 14 compared to diet treatment C (p<0.10).

**Effects of benzoic acid and thymol on microbial metabolites in caecal digesta of piglets**

There was a tendency for the concentration of total volatile fatty acid to increase in caecal digesta of pigs fed the BT3 diet compared to diet treatment C, BT1, and BT2 on d 14, and there were no differences between BT1 and BT2 diets for the concentration of total volatile fatty acid in caecal digesta (Table 9; p<0.10), and the concentration of butyric acid in caecal digesta of pigs fed the BT3 diet was greater than that of pigs fed the C and BT2 diets on d 42, and no differences were observed between BT1 and BT3 diets for the concentration of butyric acid (p<0.05). The concentration of NH3-N in caecal digesta of pigs fed the C diet was higher than that of pigs fed the BT1, BT2, and BT3 diets on d 14 and d 42 (p<0.05).

**Effects of benzoic acid and thymol on morphology of the small intestine of piglets**

On d 14, the villous height to crypt depth ratio in jejunum and ileum for pigs fed BT3 diet and in ileum of pigs fed BT1 and BT2 diets was greater than those of pigs fed C diet, and the villous height to crypt depth ratio in ileum for pigs fed BT3 diet was greater than that of pigs fed BT1 and BT2 diets (Table 10; p<0.05), and there was a tendency to increase the villous height in jejunum and ileum of pigs fed the BT3 diet compared to diet treatment C and BT2, and no differences were observed in BT1 and BT2 BT3 diet compared to diet treatment C, BT1, and BT2

### Table 8. Effects of benzoic acid and thymol on ileal and caecal *E. coli*, lactobacilli, *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Bacillus* spp. of piglets, quantitative polymerase chain reaction results (log (copies/g))

| Item | Treatment | C | BT1 | BT2 | BT3 | SEM | p-values |
|------|-----------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| **14 d ileum** | | | | | | | |
| Total bacteria | | 9.41 | 9.66 | 9.41 | 9.86 | 0.22 | 0.44 |
| *Lactobacillus* spp | | 6.80<sup>b</sup> | 7.88<sup>ab</sup> | 7.54<sup>ab</sup> | 8.53<sup>a</sup> | 0.38 | 0.04 |
| *Bifidobacterium* spp | | 7.13 | 7.39 | 7.14 | 7.63 | 0.17 | 0.18 |
| *Bacillus* spp | | 8.82 | 9.26 | 8.99 | 9.41 | 0.22 | 0.26 |
| *Escherichia coli* | | 9.60 | 8.70 | 8.62 | 8.48 | 0.53 | 0.47 |
| **14 d caecum** | | | | | | | |
| Total bacteria | | 11.1 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 0.17 | 0.56 |
| *Lactobacillus* spp | | 8.47 | 8.75 | 8.63 | 8.74 | 0.18 | 0.67 |
| *Bifidobacterium* spp | | 6.97<sup>b</sup> | 7.44<sup>a</sup> | 7.88<sup>a</sup> | 7.30<sup>a</sup> | 0.22 | 0.06 |
| *Bacillus* spp | | 9.06<sup>b</sup> | 9.98<sup>a</sup> | 9.95<sup>a</sup> | 9.78<sup>a</sup> | 0.18 | <0.01 |
| *Escherichia coli* | | 9.05 | 9.07 | 9.30 | 8.94 | 0.45 | 0.95 |
| **42 d ileum** | | | | | | | |
| Total bacteria | | 9.55 | 9.81 | 9.60 | 9.88 | 0.22 | 0.66 |
| *Lactobacillus* spp | | 7.20 | 7.88 | 7.64 | 7.89 | 0.47 | 0.71 |
| *Bifidobacterium* spp | | 7.93 | 8.01 | 7.71 | 7.79 | 0.15 | 0.50 |
| *Bacillus* spp | | 8.93 | 9.43 | 9.07 | 9.52 | 0.25 | 0.31 |
| *Escherichia coli* | | 9.15<sup>a</sup> | 7.71<sup>b</sup> | 7.56<sup>b</sup> | 7.85<sup>ab</sup> | 0.34 | 0.02 |
| **42 d caecum** | | | | | | | |
| Total bacteria | | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 0.10 | 0.72 |
| *Lactobacillus* spp | | 8.57 | 8.92 | 8.78 | 8.53 | 0.14 | 0.22 |
| *Bifidobacterium* spp | | 7.93 | 8.11 | 7.61 | 7.50 | 0.27 | 0.39 |
| *Bacillus* spp | | 9.60 | 9.83 | 9.60 | 9.76 | 0.11 | 0.35 |
| *Escherichia coli* | | 8.56<sup>a</sup> | 7.77<sup>ab</sup> | 7.55<sup>b</sup> | 7.91<sup>a</sup> | 0.23 | 0.04 |

SEM, standard error of the mean (n = 6, number of replicates).

1. C, control, basal diet; BT1, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol; BT2, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+200 mg/kg thymol; BT3, C+2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol.

2. <sup>ab</sup>Within a row, means without a common superscript differ (p<0.05 or p<0.10).
Table 9. Effect of benzoic acid and thymol on caecal microbial metabolism products of piglets

| Item                        | Treatment | SEM | p-values |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----|----------|
|                             | C         | BT1| BT2      | BT3      |       |
| 14 d                        |           |    |          |          |       |
| Acetic acid (mg/g)          | 2.63      | 2.60| 2.66     | 2.90     | 0.16  | 0.56  |
| Propionic acid (mg/g)       | 1.22      | 1.29| 1.18     | 1.50     | 0.09  | 0.11  |
| Butyric acid (mg/g)         | 0.61      | 0.63| 0.61     | 0.74     | 0.14  | 0.90  |
| Total volatile fatty acid (mg/g) | 4.45<sup>b</sup>  | 4.53<sup>b</sup>  | 4.45<sup>b</sup>  | 5.15<sup>a</sup>  | 0.20  | 0.07  |
| NH<sub>3</sub>-N (mg/L)     | 43<sup>a</sup>  | 255<sup>b</sup>  | 292<sup>b</sup>  | 258<sup>b</sup>  | 30.1  | <0.01 |
| 42 d                        |           |    |          |          |       |
| Acetic acid (mg/g)          | 2.18      | 2.17| 2.34     | 2.76     | 0.24  | 0.32  |
| Propionic acid (mg/g)       | 1.12      | 1.25| 1.36     | 1.51     | 0.11  | 0.10  |
| Butyric acid (mg/g)         | 0.73<sup>b</sup>  | 0.99<sup>ab</sup>  | 0.75<sup>b</sup>  | 1.25<sup>a</sup>  | 0.13  | 0.04  |
| Total volatile fatty acid (mg/g) | 4.03  | 4.41| 4.45     | 5.52     | 0.40  | 0.10  |
| NH<sub>3</sub>-N (mg/L)     | 405<sup>a</sup>  | 242<sup>b</sup>  | 257<sup>b</sup>  | 210<sup>b</sup>  | 45.0  | 0.04  |

SEM, standard error of the mean (n = 6, number of replicates).

C, control, basal diet; BT1, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol; BT2, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+200 mg/kg thymol; BT3, C+2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol.

<sup>a</sup>b Within a row, means without a common superscript differ (p<0.05 or p<0.10).

Table 10. Effect of benzoic acid and thymol on morphology of the small intestine of piglets

| Item                        | Treatment | SEM | p-values |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----|----------|
|                             | C         | BT1| BT2      | BT3      |       |
| 14 d duodenum               |           |    |          |          |       |
| Villus height (μm)          | 416       | 461| 454      | 470      | 16.8  | 0.16  |
| Crypt depth (μm)            | 182       | 181| 163      | 174      | 11.1  | 0.60  |
| Villus height:crypt depth   | 2.32      | 2.64| 2.80     | 2.73     | 0.17  | 0.22  |
| 14 d jejunum                |           |    |          |          |       |
| Villus height (μm)          | 338<sup>b</sup>  | 349<sup>b</sup>  | 345<sup>b</sup>  | 404<sup>a</sup>  | 18.3  | 0.08  |
| Crypt depth (μm)            | 165       | 143| 141      | 159      | 9.61  | 0.27  |
| Villus height:crypt depth   | 2.10<sup>b</sup>  | 2.46<sup>ab</sup>  | 2.47<sup>ab</sup>  | 2.59<sup>a</sup>  | 0.12  | 0.04  |
| 14 d ileum                  |           |    |          |          |       |
| Villus height (μm)          | 288<sup>b</sup>  | 339<sup>ab</sup>  | 290<sup>b</sup>  | 373<sup>a</sup>  | 25.3  | 0.09  |
| Crypt depth (μm)            | 136       | 134| 121      | 137      | 8.32  | 0.51  |
| Villus height:crypt depth   | 2.12<sup>c</sup>  | 2.51<sup>b</sup>  | 2.40<sup>b</sup>  | 2.77<sup>a</sup>  | 0.11  | <0.01 |
| 42 d duodenum               |           |    |          |          |       |
| Villus height (μm)          | 479       | 489| 502      | 502      | 17.9  | 0.77  |
| Crypt depth (μm)            | 208       | 199| 204      | 201      | 7.16  | 0.83  |
| Villus height:crypt depth   | 2.31      | 2.47| 2.47     | 2.50     | 0.08  | 0.38  |
| 42 d jejunum                |           |    |          |          |       |
| Villus height (μm)          | 391       | 417| 398      | 416      | 18.6  | 0.70  |
| Crypt depth (μm)            | 167       | 164| 167      | 165      | 10.5  | 0.99  |
| Villus height:crypt depth   | 2.36      | 2.36| 2.40     | 2.55     | 0.11  | 0.49  |
| 42 d ileum                  |           |    |          |          |       |
| Villus height (μm)          | 345<sup>b</sup>  | 405<sup>a</sup>  | 380<sup>ab</sup>  | 397<sup>ab</sup>  | 15.6  | 0.07  |
| Crypt depth (μm)            | 147       | 158| 153      | 148      | 5.37  | 0.48  |
| Villus height:crypt depth   | 2.37      | 2.56| 2.50     | 2.69     | 0.11  | 0.24  |

SEM, standard error of the mean (n = 6, number of replicates).

<sup>1</sup> C, control, basal diet; BT1, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol; BT2, C+1,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+200 mg/kg thymol; BT3, C+2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol.

<sup>ab</sup> Within a row, means without a common superscript differ (p<0.05 or p<0.10).
DISCUSSION

Recent studies have shown that benzoic acid (≥5,000 mg/kg) or thymol have positive effects on growth performance and health via their antibacterial action, but the effects of the combination of relatively low dose of benzoic acid (<5,000 mg/kg) and thymol on performance and health of weaned pigs is unknown. (Kluge et al., 2006; Janczyk et al., 2009).

Young pigs often face a decrease in production at the time of weaning, which results from post-weaning diarrhoea syndrome. It may be caused by lower digestive ability and/or a large number of pathogenic microbes living in the gut (Vondruskova et al., 2010). In Europe, as alternatives to antibiotics, benzoic acid and thymol have been used as effective supplements to improve growth performance and decrease diarrhoea in piglets. Previous studies have shown that 5,000 mg/kg benzoic acid supplementation in diets improved the growth performance in weaned or nursery pigs (Halas et al., 2010a; Papatsiros et al., 2011; Diao et al., 2013), and dietary 10,000 mg/kg thymol supplementation could decrease F/G in weaned pigs (Trevisi et al., 2010). In this study, F/G was decreased in pigs fed 2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol diet from d 0 to 14, which may have been due to the better gut characteristics including preferable digestibility, superior micro-environment and better morphology.

Some studies have shown that dietary 5,000 mg/kg benzoic acid supplementation decreased the incidence of diarrhoea in weaned pigs, and essential oil (containing thymol)-supplemented diet (150 mg/kg) reduced diarrhoea scores (Papatsiros et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012). In this study, dietary 2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol supplementation tended to decrease diarrhoea scores during the overall period. This could be due to the fact that benzoic acid and thymol inhibit the pathogenic Escherichia coli strains and helps to establish a proper balance between beneficial and pathogenic microbes in the intestine (Kluge et al., 2006; Li et al., 2012).

Proper acidity in the gut of piglets is one of the most important elements for the digestive system, as pH can affect the intestinal digestive enzymes activities and microflora of digesta (Efrid et al., 1982). Halas et al. (2009) and Halas et al. (2010b) investigated the pH values of digesta in the digestive tract of weaned pigs and found that the results were similar and pH values were unaffected by the treatment of benzoic acid. However, Kluge et al. (2006) found that when compared with 5,000 mg/kg benzoic acid group, piglets supplemented with 10,000 mg/kg benzoic acid had significantly decreased pH values. There is currently no available information on the effect of thymol on pH values in the digesta of pigs, and thus there are no comparisons that could be made with other studies. Nevertheless, there have been some studies concerning plant essential oils which contained thymol. In an experiment with essential oil, no differences were observed among dietary treatments (Manzanilla et al., 2009). In our study, no differences were observed among dietary treatments in pH values of the digesta, but there was a tendency towards lower pH values of the digesta in colon when pigs were fed a diet supplemented with 2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol. This may be because of the fact that benzoic acid is a weak acid with high constant of dissociation and may have been present in the stomach and small intestine largely in a non-dissociated form, particularly when the additive dosage was low (Jensen, 2001). In addition, the lower NH3-N and greater SCFA concentrations observed in our study were likely to have indirect effect on pH values.

In our study, we also found that the ATTD of EE, Ca and crude ash were increased in pigs fed 2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol diet. Previous studies have shown that 5,000 mg/kg benzoic acid increased the digestibility of CP and EE (Guggenbuhl et al., 2007; Halas et al., 2009; Kluge et al., 2010), and 200 mg/kg plant essential oils (containing thymol) increased the ATTD of organic matter and starch (Manzanilla et al., 2009), which could be due to the higher digestive enzymes activities and better intestinal morphology. In addition, dietary benzoic acid and thymol supplementation increased the content of serum Ca, which was generally related to the improved ATTD of Ca in this study.

The digestive enzymes synthesized and secreted by the pancreas contribute to nutrients digestion only after they reach the digestive tract and are activated, therefore, measuring the digestive enzymes activities in intestinal digesta, especially in jejunum could be valuable. In our study, pigs fed a diet supplemented with 2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol had increased activity of trypsin, lipase, amylase, maltase, lactase, and sucrase in jejunum digesta. Previous studies have reported that benzoic acid or organic acid increased the digestive enzymes activities in jejunum digesta (Risley et al., 1991; Diao et al., 2013). In addition, Jang et al. (2004) concluded that when compared with positive control (antibiotics), 25 mg/kg plant essential oils+1,000 mg/kg lactic acid increased the activity of trypsin and amylase in digesta of broilers while 25 mg/kg plant essential oils or 1,000 mg/kg lactic acid had no effects on digestive enzymes activities, which means that synergistic effects may exist between plant essential oil and organic acid on digestive enzymes activities.

However, a previous study has found that the intestine microbes are associated with nutrient digestion and
absorption (Savage, 1986). Gut microbes are an integral part of gut health, and the increase of beneficial bacteria (Lactobacillus spp, Bifidobacterium spp, and Bacillus spp) and the decrease of harmful bacteria (pathogenic Escherichia coli) in the gut are associated with the intestinal morphology and diarrhea (Mikkelsen et al., 2003; Konstantinov et al., 2004; Vu Khac et al., 2006; Han et al., 2012). In our study, the population of Lactobacillus spp in pigs fed a diet supplemented with 2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol was greater than in pigs fed the C diet, while an increase of Bacillus spp and a decrease of Escherichia coli was found in all the additive diets. Previous studies have shown that diets supplemented with benzoic acid reduced the counts of pathogenic bacteria such as Escherichia coli and increased the counts of Lactobacillus spp in the gastrointestinal tract with a the lower pH in the gastric digesta (Richards et al., 2005; Kluge et al., 2006; Guggenbuhl et al., 2007). A similar result was observed in an experiment with thymol (Janczyk et al., 2009), which could be due thymol inhibiting bacteria according to its hydrophobicity, liposolubility and surface activity, causing protein denaturation of the pathogenic microbial cell wall and decomposing the lipids of cell membrane and mitochondria. This changes the permeability of K⁺ and H⁺ making the cell wall permeable and leads the leakage of cell contents, additionally, phenol can effectively impede the oxidation of mitochondrial respiratory process and thus cause a the loss of energy in pathogenic microbes (Juven et al., 1994). Besides, since a combination of plant essential oils with organic acid showed synergistic activity, the plant essential oils could damage cell wall of pathogens, so that organic acid enters the inner of bacteria and inhibit them more easily (Vondruskova et al., 2010). In addition, lipopolysaccharides in the outer membrane of bacteria are strongly anionic and are stabilized by Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺, and benzoic acid acts as chelating agent which can disrupt the outer membrane, resulting in increased sensitivity to other antimicrobial agents such as thymol (Zhou et al., 2007). Moreover, addition of an organic acid such as benzoic acid increases the concentration of H⁺, moves thymol in the molecular state and makes thymol freely permeable across the plasma membrane and allows thymol to exist in a form that can enter the bacterial cell and exert its antimicrobial activity (Zhou et al., 2007). Finally, Juven et al. (1994) concluded that when compared with pH values of 6.5, thymol had better effects at pH of 5.5 in inhibiting salmonella and staphylococcus aureus, due to less decomposition in lower pH values, leading higher hydrophobicity, and thus combining more protein hydrophobic areas. However, the pH values in our study were not altered except for a tendency towards lower values was found in the colon, so the specific mechanism need further research.

The change of gut microbial composition leads to the variation in microbial metabolites. The SCFAs are not only positive in providing energy for intestinal epithelial cells but also promote the formation of cells through stabilizing DNA and repairing damage, and thus improve intestinal morphology (Burns and Rozengurt, 1984). Furthermore, SCFAs can inhibit bacteria through increasing the acidity of intercellular substance in harmful bacteria, destroying the balance of osmotic pressure in harmful bacteria, and thus play a role in regulating microflora (Corrier et al., 1990). NH₃-N is a kind of alkaline nitrogenous substance which comes from undigested protein and is decomposed by bacteria and produce ammonia and amine in the gut, and the increase of ammonia nitrogen has an adverse effect on the development of intestinal mucosa (Dong et al., 1997). In our study, a greater concentration of butyric acid in pigs fed a diet supplemented with 2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol was observed and a lower concentration of NH₃-N was observed in caecal digesta, which is generally consistent with previous studies regarding benzoic acid or thymol in piglets (Cho et al., 2006; Manzanilla et al., 2006; Bührer et al., 2009). Gianluca et al. (2011) found a decrease of NH₃-N emission from pig slurry with the addition of benzoic acid to their diet, and Cho et al. (2006) reported that piglets fed a diet supplemented with 300 mg/kg plant essential oils had a decreased concentration of NH₃-N in their feces, which suggested dietary supplementation with benzoic acid and thymol could produce relatively lower microbial toxicity metabolites. Bührer et al. (2009) reported that the concentration of butyric acid increased in the caecum and colon with the addition of benzoic acid in the diet. Studies by Manzanilla et al. (2006) and Manzanilla et al. (2009) showed that the concentration of butyric acid in digesta of the cecum and colon increased when 200 mg/kg benzoic acid or 300 mg/kg plant essential oils was added. The positive influence of benzoic acid and thymol on butyric acid may be connected with the fermentation of amylose in wheat and barley, and the modification in bacterial populations contribute to the variation of SCFAs (Halas et al., 2010b). Thus, benzoic acid and thymol could improve gut health through regulating microbial metabolites.

The reduction of villus height may decrease the absorptive area of total luminal villus, which could result in inadequate digestive enzyme development and lower digestibility (Cera et al., 1988). Therefore, measurement of intestinal morphology was used to evaluate the digestive function of the intestine. In our study, we found that the villous height to crypt depth ratio in jejunum and ileum in pigs fed diet supplemented with 2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol was greater. Recent studies have shown that pigs fed a diet supplemented with benzoic acid
had higher villus height in the ileum, and dietary supplementation with thymol was found to increase the villus height to crypt depth ratio in jejunum (Manzanilla et al., 2009; Michiels, 2009; Halas et al., 2010a), which is consistent with the results of our study. Lupton and Jacobs (1987) showed that pH value affected cell growth, and cell division was improved with the increase of acidity. Other research found that the reduction of intestinal villus height and increase of crypt depth of piglets was related to the decrease of sucrase and lactase activities in the striated border (Hampson and Kidder, 1986), which suggested the combination of benzoic acid and thymol in this study can alleviate intestinal stress of piglets by lowering intestinal pH value, increasing the small intestine digestive enzyme activities and improving intestinal morphology after weaning.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, piglets fed diets supplemented with different concentrations/combinations of benzoic acid and thymol had improved growth performance. It appears that benzoic acid and thymol may promote nutrient digestion and absorption, reduce diarrhea and maintain a favourable gut micro-environment. The combination of 2,000 mg/kg benzoic acid+100 mg/kg thymol showed better effects than the other treatments in most measurements.
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