Potential Benefits of *Annona muricata* in Combating Cancer: A Review
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**Abstract**

The incidence of cancer is increasing each year, which generates concerns regarding the efficacy of the current treatment options. This has caused patients to seek alternatives to complement or to replace surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy. *Annona muricata* and other plants have been shown to have promising compounds that can be utilised in the treatment of cancer. Native to the tropical and subtropical parts of the world, *A. muricata* plant extracts contain compounds that are particularly effective against cancer cells. In light of increasing concerns regarding the limitations of cancer treatment in hospitals, this review attempts to highlight the benefits of *A. muricata* and its potential to be integrated as one of the treatment options against cancer.
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**Introduction**

Cancer, including breast cancer, has become a major health concern worldwide. It is estimated by the World Health Organization (WHO) that in the period between 2005 and 2015, 84 million deaths would be attributed to cancer (1). In the United States, an estimate shows that approximately 600,000 deaths will occur among Americans in the year 2016 due to cancer, with the most common including the lung, prostate and colorectum in men and the breast, bronchus and colorectum in women (2).

In Malaysia, a similar trend was also observed. The National Cancer Registry reported that breast cancer (18%) is the most frequent cancer among the Malaysian population, followed by colorectal cancer (12.3%) and lung cancer (10.2%) (3). Based on the latest press statement by the Director General of Health Malaysia, of all deaths in hospitals linked to the Ministry of Health Malaysia, cancer has contributed to 13.56% of these deaths (4). The press statement also reported that in 2016, the trend in terms of most common cancers remained consistent, as reported by Zainal Ariffin dan Nor Saleha (3). The five most common cancers in the Malaysian male population are colorectum, lung, nasopharynx, lymphoma and prostate cancers, whereas in Malaysian female, the most common cancers are breast, colorectum, cervix uteri, ovary and lung cancers.

Although major breakthroughs have been achieved in the field of surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy, many still express concerns regarding these methods of treatment due to their limitations and, most noticeably, their side effects. Conventional 3D radiation therapy has been shown to be effective in the treatment of head and neck cancers, but the side effects that follow often cause discomfort and reductions in the quality of life among patients. Such side effects include xerostomia, which results in...
regarding the potential use of traditional medications as a means to treat illnesses, including cancer. In this review, we explore some of the benefits of complementary and alternative medicines (CAMs), particularly *Annona muricata*, as potential treatments agent against breast cancer and other forms of cancer.

**Complementary and Alternative Medicine**

Complementary and alternative medicine is defined as means of treatment that are outside the scope of modern medicinal treatment. These treatments may be used as therapeutic and preventative agents to assist in combating physical or mental illnesses. They have been widely used for generations to treat illnesses prior to the arrival of modern medicine. Since the establishment of modern medicine, CAM has been used in conjunction with current treatment methods in certain countries to help and assist patient recovery (13). These types of treatments include natural products supplements, such as fish oil; body practices, such as yoga, Tai Chi or Qigong; and mind relaxation techniques, such as meditation and hypnotherapy (14).

In recent years, CAM has become more popular among the public, especially among cancer patients. A study by Corner (15) showed that out of 304 patients within Southampton and South-west Hampshire, a total of 81% patients used CAM, prior to or within 6 months of cancer diagnosis (15). The widespread use of CAM was also reported in national surveys conducted in countries such as England (16), Japan (17), United States (18), Germany (19) and Australia (20).

In addition to benefits in terms of improving the health of users, the ingredients used in CAM that are usually extracted from resources such as plants and animals are assumed to be safe for consumption. Another major attraction of CAM is that the source materials are cheap and readily available or easily planted for personal use. More importantly, the invasive procedures and adverse effects associated with medical treatments such as radiotherapy and chemotherapy often lead many to seek CAM as their main source of treatment.

In Malaysia, CAMs are also sought due to their benefits in improving health. More importantly, these CAMs have been used and practiced traditionally for centuries among Malaysians. A nationwide study conducted to

weight loss, and prolonged infections within the oral cavity and dental cavities (5). Although the development of intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) to replace conventional radiation therapy allows the parotid glands to be spared (6) and results in an overall increase in the quality of life of patients (7), exposure to high doses of IMRT radiation reportedly causes dysphagia in patients (8).

Apart from the obvious toxicity induced by chemotherapy, another side effect that might appear following radiotherapy is hypothyroidism. In the case of head and neck cancer radiotherapy, it is estimated that 20%–25% of patients are diagnosed with hypothyroidism following 10 years of radiation therapy. Chemotherapy is also considered to be hazardous when administered to older patients. A study conducted by the Southwest Oncology Group on the induction of neuropathy in patients aged 65 years old and above showed an increase in the incidence of neuropathy in patients due to the toxicity of the taxane-based chemotherapy agent. The incidence of neuropathy was also shown to be particularly higher in patients diagnosed with diabetes (9). Cisplatin is another example of a chemotherapy agent that is associated with negative side effects in patients diagnosed with malignancies. Although cisplatin treatment against testicular cancer was shown to be effective in increasing the 5-year survival rate to higher than 95% (10), it was also associated with negative secondary side effects, such as heart problems, toxicity in the nervous system, lung toxicity and the development of secondary malignancies in patients (11). Furthermore, cisplatin was also shown to be responsible in the generation of mutated red blood cells, and it was further suggested that such observation might be because of stem cells mutagenesis (12).

These issues, among others, have created a significant distrust towards cancer management procedures in hospitals and have caused patients to seek treatment elsewhere, especially from traditional medications, whether as a complementary treatment alongside conventional medications or as a way to improve their health without the treatments offered by hospitals. Although these traditional medications pose many issues regarding toxicity and efficacy due to the lack of high-quality studies, these remedies should not be completely left out as potential treatments. Based on cell cultures and animal studies, multiple bodies of evidence have been put forward in the scientific community.
Annona muricata and cancer treatment

The seed is extracted and used to fight against worms, while the fruits are used traditionally to cure arthritis and fever. Both the seeds and fruits are also used to treat parasitic infections. The leaves are used as a traditional medication to fight against collapses (36), hypoglycaemia, inflammation and as a relief medication against spasms (28). The leaf of the plant has been nicknamed “the cancer killer” and, as the name suggests, is also used in traditional medicine in the treatment of cancer (27, 37).

The plant has been used widely as a source of chemically active metabolites due to their various curative properties and is thus considered as a good candidate to be used as a complementary medicine. A phytochemical composition analysis of A. muricata by Gavamukulya (31) indicated that extracts from the plant contain a high concentration of secondary class metabolite compounds, such as alkaloids, saponins, terpenoids, flavonoids, coumarins and other lactones, anthroquinones, tannins, cardiac glucosides, phenols and phytosterols (38). These compounds are called Annonaceous acetogenins (AGEs), which were shown to induce cell cytotoxicity by inhibiting the mitochondrial complex I (39). Other studies have also suggested the presence of compounds such as megastigmanes (40), cyclopeptides and essential oils (41, 42), as well as essential minerals, such as K, Ca, Na, Cu, Fe and Mg (43).

A study by George also showed great potential for the use of A. muricata extracts in medicine. The study showed that the n-butanolic leaf extract of A. muricata might have potential utility for the development of therapeutically active compounds that could serve as precursors and/or chemical templates for the design of effective, more potent drugs in its class (44).

Annonaceous Acetogenins

Within the 212 compounds that were isolated from different parts of the plant, acetogenins (AGEs) were reported to be the major phytochemicals, followed by alkaloids and phenols (45). These secondary metabolites were first isolated from multiple parts of plants belonging to the Annonaceae family in 1982 by Tempesta, and acetogenins were further determined to have toxicity towards the P-388 lymphocytic leukaemia in mice (46). Since then, more than 120 AGEs have been identified from ethanol, methanol or organic-based extracts from different parts of the plant, ranging from
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**Figure 1.** Benefits of A. muricata extracts in the treatment of illnesses (1)
the leaves and the seeds (47) to even the fruit’s outer skin.

AGEs were later determined to be metabolites that can be distinguished by the presence of a methyl-substituted α,β-unsaturated γ-lactone (48). Since their discovery, more than 500 AGEs have been discovered from different parts of the plant (33). These metabolites were later classified into several groups on the basis of the availability of tetrahydrofuran (THF) and hydroxyl groups, as well as on factors such as the terminal γ-lactone ring and the characteristics aliphatic chain substituents (49). On the basis of these characteristics, AGEs can be divided into 10 different types, which include 1) linear AGEs (AGEs without the THF rings), 2) epoxy-AGEs (without THF rings), 3) AGEs with mono-THF α,α'-dihydroxylated γ-lactone, 4) AGEs with a mono-THF α-hydroxylated γ-lactone, 5) AGEs with mono-THF and several lactone moieties, 6) AGEs with a neighbouring bis-THF α,α'-dihydroxylated γ-lactone, 7) AGEs with a neighbouring bis-THF α-hydroxylated γ-lactone, 8) AGEs with a non-adjacent bis-THF γ-lactone, 9) AGEs with a saturated lactone bis-THF and 10) miscellaneous AGEs (50).

Multiple forms of AGEs have been studied for their mechanisms that can be used against particular targets, such as insects and tumour cells. Acetogenins have been shown to be very effective against insects and can be used as insecticides and insect repellent. Multiple parts of the plant, including the roots, leaves, unripe fruits and seeds, have been shown to have insecticide and insect repellent properties (51), (52), (53). Against the larva of the moth *Plutella xylostella*, a pest of cabbage, 5 mg/mL ethanolic extracts of *A. muricata* leaves were proven to be effective in killing 100% of the larvae tested. Further tests using lower concentrations of the ethanolic extracts even showed an ability to significantly reduce the survival of the larvae population (54). Crude extracts from the plant were also shown to be effective against *Aedes aegypti*, in combination with silver nanoparticles, which makes *A. muricata* leaf extracts also showed toxicity towards cancer cell lines MCF-7, MDA-MB-231 and 4 T1, but less toxicity towards the normal breast cell line HELF (59). Similarly, a study using *A. muricata* leaf extracts also showed toxicity towards cancer cell lines HCT-8 and HT29 cell lines, with negative toxicity towards the normal human cell line HELF (59). Interestingly, AGE toxicity was observed in the cancer cells, with a minimal negative impact on the normal cells. Studies using AA mimetics have suggested that acetogenin analogues synthesised in vitro have shown toxicity towards the HCT-8 and HT29 cell lines, with negative toxicity towards the normal human cell line HELF (59). Similarly, a study using *A. muricata* leaf extracts also showed toxicity towards cancer cell lines MCF-7, MDA-MB-231 and 4 T1, but less toxicity towards the normal breast cell, MCF10A (60), which shows that theseAGEs specifically target cancer cells, not normal cells. These observations thus suggest the potential use of AGEs as treatment options against cancer.

**A. muricata and Its Biological Properties against Cancer**

A dysfunctional apoptotic pathway is one of the main contributors to carcinogenesis. The inability of cells to execute apoptosis to remove cancer cells was observed in multiple cancer types, including breast, pancreatic, ovarian and colorectal cancers (61–65). This occurrence creates imbalances between cell proliferation and cell death and can be caused by disruptions in the normal functions of the apoptotic pathway. Similar to other types of cancer, failure to carry out apoptosis in breast cancer cells might be attributed to the disruptions within the apoptotic pathway. Defects within the intrinsic pathway, for example, have been associated with the progression of breast cancer. Defects in the regulation of cytochrome release (66), apoptosome formation (67) and caspase activation (68) have all been shown to be present within breast cancer cells.

The capability of inducing cancer cell cytotoxicity has been one of the main reasons
behind the increased interest among scientists in the benefits of CAM, especially plant-based CAMs. *A. muricata* leaves, among other CAMs, are good candidates to treat cancer caused by viruses. Extracts from *A. muricata* leaves were shown to have the capacity to induce apoptosis in Hela cells, suggesting that the extracts have the potential to be used as a treatment against virus-induced cancer cells (69). Such a potential was also evident in the prevention of skin papillomagenesis in laboratory mice. In this study, ethanolic extracts of *A. muricata* were shown to inhibit tumour growth in a two-stage skin papillomagenesis model, as evidenced by the presence of only slight hyperplasia in mice groups treated with *A. muricata* extracts in comparison to an untreated mice group (70).

**Breast Cancer**

In terms of specific effects against breast cancer, several studies have indicated the potential use of this plant in potential therapeutic treatments in patients. Extracts from *A. muricata* were shown to inhibit the proliferation of breast cancer cells by inducing cytotoxic activity in lung cancer cell lines (71). Gomes further reported that *A. muricata* extracts have the most cytotoxic effect when compared to extracts from *Lantana camara*, *Handroanthus impetiginosus* and *Mentzella aspera*. A separate study by Rachman et al. showed that the ethanol extracts of leaves of the soursop plant extracted into ethanol induce cytotoxic activity within the breast cancer cell line MCF-7 (72). Another study by Gavamukulya also showed that a similar ethanol extract of the soursop plant leaves was found to be highly cytotoxic in vitro against the two human breast cancer cell lines MDA and SKBR3 (31).

**Liver Cancer**

The cytotoxic effect of the plant’s extracts were also proposed by multiple in vitro studies using cultured liver cancer cells, suggesting that they can potentially be used as a treatment option against liver cancer. The growth and viability of the liver cancer cell HepG2 was shown to be inhibited following incubation with an ethanol extract of *A. muricata*. The cytotoxic effect observed in the HepG2 cell line was suggested to be a result of induction of the apoptosis pathway through the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (73). In a separate study using a similar cell line, Liu (74) also demonstrated the capability of *A. muricata* extracts to induce apoptosis. The study showed that the treatment of HepG2 resulted in the up-regulation of heat shock protein 70 (HSP70), glucose-regulated protein 94 (GRP94) and protein disulphide isomerase 5 (PDI-related protein 5). A bioinformatic analysis of the up-regulation of these proteins suggested that the treatment of HepG2 cells with *A. muricata* extracts can trigger the apoptotic pathway by means of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress (74).

**Prostate Cancer**

Graviola leaf extract (GLE), flavonoid-enriched extract and acetogenin-enriched extract (AEF) administered in vivo and in vitro were also shown to negatively affect the proliferation of prostate cancer. A study conducted by Yang (75) suggested that GLE, FEF and AEF all showed the capacity to down-regulate prostate cancer, with GLE being the most efficient at doing so. This study not only showed the efficacy of *A. muricata* extracts at inhibiting prostate cancer but also the importance of using whole-leaf extracts to achieve the highest inhibitor efficacy in combating cancer (75).

**Pancreatic Cancer**

*A. muricata*-induced cytotoxicity in cancer cells has also encouraged scientists to further examine the molecular pathways that lead to such observations. According to a study conducted by Torres et al. (76), the activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK) and the phosphatidylinositol 3’kinases (PI3K/Akt) pathways play a crucial role in the proliferation and survival of pancreatic cancer cell, and the inhibition of these pathways leads to the inhibition of pancreatic cell growth. A similar study also revealed that the treatment of pancreatic cancer cells with *A. muricata* extracts resulted in a decrease in the activation of both ERK and Akt pathways in pancreatic cancer cells. Thus, the inhibition of these pathways is in agreement with the decreased viability of pancreatic cancer cells treated with the plant extract (76). Besides that, *A. muricata* was also shown to inhibit metastasis. A study performed on pancreatic cancer cells by Torres et al. (76) showed that the migratory capacity of pancreatic cancer cells was reduced after treatment with a graviola extract, as evaluated by a transwell assay, suggesting that the natural extract reduces the motility of pancreatic cancer cells. The motility and migration of cancer cells is associated with the arrangements of the cortical actin and microtubules network. Additionally, cellular ATP depletion has been associated with
a reorganisation of the actin cytoskeleton and a suspension of the dynamics of microtubules, which is known to induce mitotic arrest. Thus, graviola extracts cause a disruption of the cortical actin network that can inhibit the motility of cancer cells (76).

**Lung Cancer**

Moghadamtousi et al. showed that *A. muricata* induces apoptosis in lung cancer cells (77). This finding was confirmed by high-content screening (HCS) multiple cytotoxicity analyses that examined the characteristics of apoptosis before and after treatment with *A. muricata* extracts, including nuclear condensation, mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP), cytochrome c leakage and perturbation in membrane symmetry. The analyses showed that A549 cells treated with *A. muricata* extracts experience inhibition in growth capability as well as up-regulation of the apoptosis pathway.

**Colon Cancer**

Cancer is a disease caused by cell cycle dysfunction. The ability to block the cell cycle progression in cancer cells can effectively elevate the anti-cancer potential of natural products (78). *A. muricata* extracts, for example, were shown to have the potential to induce G1 cell cycle arrest (27). The study also showed that treatments of HCT116 and HT-29 cells resulted in the up-regulation of the apoptotic pathway, as suggested by an increase in the production of ROS, an increase in detectable cytochrome c, and an increase in initiator and executioner caspases in both of the tested cell lines. Furthermore, an increase in the levels of Bax protein was also observed by flow cytometry, which further suggested the activation of the apoptotic pathway (27).

**A. muricata Extracts in Conjunction with Conventional Treatment**

Despite the various benefits associated with the use of *A. muricata* extracts in inhibiting cancer cell lines, their usage alongside chemotherapy and radiation therapy has not been explored in such experiments and is in need of immediate attention. However, one cell culture-based study revealed a promising outlook on the potential use of these plant extracts alongside current radiotherapy and chemotherapy methods. The study, conducted at Sebelas Maret University in Indonesia, revealed a synergistic interaction between *A. muricata* Linn leaves extracts with doxorubicin in reducing the development of Hela cells. In the study, 38.5 µg/mL polyketide derivatives isolated from the plant were shown to have a synergistic effect with every concentration of doxorubicin used during the treatment of Hela cells (79).

**Conclusion**

Currently, many people are searching and trying alternate treatment methods for cancer, which is mainly attributed to the limitations of the current treatment methods for combating cancer. Treatments using *A. muricata* might offer an alternative choice besides chemotherapy and radiotherapy, especially for terminally ill patients. The benefits of utilising *A. muricata* extracts, as discussed above, suggest that these plant-based CAMs are potential treatment options against cancer. However, it is important to acknowledge that factors such as lifestyle and ignorance towards the symptoms of cancer are still major contributors to the increase in the incidence of cancer. A change to a better and healthier lifestyle and a better understanding and recognition of the signs and symptoms of cancer are needed to increase the chances of fighting cancer successfully. Further improvements to current treatment methods need to continue to allow the development of more efficient and less expensive treatment procedures against cancer.

Malaysia is famous for its ethnic diversity and thus is rich in traditional medicine practices. CAMs, especially those associated with plants, have been widely used by various ethnicities for generations and should be used as a stepping stone to developing new ways to treat illnesses. In addition, research to integrate CAMs, such as *A. muricata*, into mainstream patient management procedures is important.
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