Study on Technological Parameters of Pilot Production of Ethanol
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Abstract: Cassava and corn are the two main ingredients in the process of producing fuel ethanol. This paper is mainly based on experiments. In this experiment, the traditional ethanol fermentation with cassava and corn as raw materials was compared with the industrial ethanol fermentation with the same raw material, and the technological parameters of ethanol fermentation in traditional ethanol fermentation and industrial ethanol fermentation were compared and studied. The traditional double enzyme method was used for ethanol fermentation. Liquefaction temperature (70 plus or minus 1)°C, Saccharification temperature (60 plus or minus 1)°C, Fermentation temperature (30 plus or minus 1)°C. Experimental results show that: The average alcoholic production rate of corn was 36.64% and the average alcoholic production rate of cassava was 42.46% in the traditional ethanol fermentation, the average alcoholic production rate of corn was 38.22% and the average alcoholic production rate of cassava was 44.76% in the industrial ethanol fermentation. The industrial ethanol fermentation experiment is better than the traditional ethanol fermentation by comparison, because the former has better sealed anaerobic environment and greater capacity. It is suitable for large-scale production parameter study, in order to obtain higher utilization rate of raw materials, it shows a higher rate of alcohol production.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, countries all over the world are carrying out continuous research on the utilization of renewable energy. Biomass energy, one of the renewable energy sources, is favored for its advantages of recycling and cleaning. Among them, biomass liquid fuel is a new energy source which all countries are developing vigorously [1]. The heavy use of coal, oil and natural gas not only causes environmental pollution, it also consumes a lot of primary energy, finding an alternative source of clean energy is especially important. Ethanol is produced by fermentation of biomass, after dehydration, purification and denaturation, fuel ethanol can be added into gasoline as an additive.

Fully burning gasoline can also greatly reduce the emission of air pollutants. According to present situation, the two synthetic methods widely used in ethanol production in the world include ethylene water synthetic and biological fermentation. Due to the problems of crude oil resources and the rise of ethylene prices in recent years, ethylene hydration was replaced by biological fermentation [2]. Most bioethanol is produced by enzymatic desaccharification and fermentation of agricultural products or agricultural and forest wastes such as sugarcane, corn, potato and plant straw [3]. The basic principle of microorganism fermentation to produce ethanol based on corn and cassava is to use the abundant starch contained in corn and cassava, the liquefied enzyme and saccharification enzyme play an important role in traditional double enzymes fermentation methods, the starch is decomposed and converted into fermentable sugars that can be used by yeast, then add yeast. It's metabolized by yeast. The yeast is under anaerobic conditions, microbes convert glucose into pyruvate through the glycolysis process (also known as the EM pathway), pyruvate decarboxylated further to form acetaldehyde, the process by which acetaldehyde is eventually reduced to ethanol. The main microorganism used in ethanol production in industry is yeast, some other bacteria, for
example, Zymomonas Mobilis can also carry out ethanol fermentation [4-6].

Yeasts belong to facultative anaerobic bacteria. In the process of fermentation of ethanol, yeasts first undergo aerobic propagation, then it goes into anaerobic breathing, anaerobic metabolic fermentation was performed, a series of biochemical reactions occur in this process [7]. In terms of production technology, fermented mash liquid obtained from simple pretreatment of raw materials, starch and dextrin contained in fermented mash liquid are saccharized by saccharifying enzyme. It is used to hydrolyze starch into sugars, and protein in fermented mash liquid is under the action of protease, the hydrolysis reaction including peptone, peptides and various amino acids, they all exist as small molecules, these hydrolytic products, some are absorbed by yeast cells to form thalli, the other part of the fermentation produces alcohol and carbon dioxide, it also can produce the by-product alcohol oil, glycerol and so on [8-10].

Nowadays, From the overall level of ethanol production in China, there is still a big gap between China and other advanced countries in terms of equipment for large-scale ethanol production and key fermentation technology. Although the research and development applied foreign corresponding production procedures, there is a gap in production technology, it caused a series of problems such as high energy consumption, high cost and high probability of fermentation failure [11]. Therefore, the relevant technologies in China need to be improved. Furthermore, industrial development also faces many problems in domestic, such as the development of non-grain raw materials, research on new production technology, development of new production equipment [12]. Although our country’s production equipment is far from a few developed countries complete and advanced, it is good for gelatinization, liquefaction and saccharification.

2. Traditional Experiment Small Ethanol Fermentation

2.1. Materials and Experimental Instruments

2.1.1. Materials

Raw material: SF-130 stainless steel high-speed universal crusher production line is adopted to screen corn and cassava powder, crushing fineness through 10-120 mesh, the mixture is crushed and sifted again [13].

Enzyme material: The food additive medium temperature α- amylase: the optimum temperature is 60°C to 70°C, the pH range is 5.2-6.2. Medium temperature saccharifying enzyme: the optimum temperature is 58°C-60°C [14], the pH range is 5.2-6.2.

2.1.2. Experimental Instruments

Stainless steel sink, 10L glass fermentation tank, FA224 electronic balance, pH meter, saccharimeter, shaker, ZX-WK3 high precision temperature controller, the heating rods used for heating, etc.

2.2. Experimental Operation

2.2.1. Soak Raw Material

Weigh 2 kg of raw material to 10L glass fermentation tank according to 30% dry matter concentration, add water which is four times the volume of the raw material. The total system is 10 kilograms. Mix well and let stand for 6 to 7 hours, the starch molecules of the raw materials are fully absorbed and expanded, it is good for gelatinization, liquefaction and saccharification.

2.2.2. Activation of Yeast

According to 0.05% of the raw material, angle wine's highly active dry yeast was taken into the conical bottle, then add some distilled water, 160r/min in the shaker, 35 degrees Celsius for 30 minutes.

2.2.3. Mixing Materials and Liquefying

Place the fermentation tank in the sink, use the heating rod to heat up the water bath and cook until it is gelatinized, cooled to below 70 °C. Subsequently, the medium temperature α- amylase was added according to 0.01-0.02% of the raw material, stir in water bath to liquefy for 15 minutes.

2.2.4. Mixing Materials and Saccharifying

When the liquefaction is complete, up to 90 °C, stir for 30 minutes, and then stop heating, cool the tank to 60 degrees Celsius, medium - temperature saccharification enzyme was added as raw material 0.01-0.02%, stir in the water bath and saccharify for 15 minutes.

2.2.5. Fermentation

After saccharification, cooling to below 40 degrees Celsius rapidly, add yeast activator, enable ZX-WK3 high precision temperature controller, let stand for constant temperature 30°C fermentation for 120 hours.

2.3. Experimental Data Recording

| Groups | raw material (g) | liquifying enzyme (g) | Saccharifying enzyme (g) | Yeast (g) | Degree of sugar (%) | degree of liquor before fermentation (%) | liquor yield after fermentation (%) |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1      | 2143.24         | 4.05                 | 4.36                    | 11.10     | 6                   | -                                        | 37.58                            |
| 2      | 2206.39         | 4.10                 | 4.41                    | 10.85     | 5                   | -                                        | 33.79                            |
| 3      | 2173.91         | 4.11                 | 4.17                    | 12.74     | 7                   | 1                                        | 40.36                            |
| 4      | 2232.37         | 4.23                 | 4.03                    | 11.87     | 5                   | -                                        | 34.81                            |
| average| 2188.98         | 4.12                 | 4.24                    | 11.64     | 5.75                | 0.25                                     | 36.64                            |
Table 2. Process parameters of cassava ethanol production by traditional experiment.

| Groups | raw material (g) | liqifying enzyme (g) | Saccharifying enzyme (g) | Yeast (g) | Degree of sugar before fermentation (%) | Degree of liquor before fermentation (%) | Liquor yield after fermentation (%) |
|--------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1      | 2412.51        | 4.31                | 4.43                     | 12.84    | 8                                      | 1                                       | 42.01                               |
| 2      | 2063.15        | 4.13                | 4.08                     | 13.12    | 11                                     | 1                                       | 45.97                               |
| 3      | 2269.84        | 4.29                | 4.29                     | 12.88    | 12                                     | 2                                       | 41.10                               |
| 4      | 2112.53        | 4.21                | 4.17                     | 11.96    | 10                                     | 2                                       | 40.76                               |
| average| 2214.51        | 4.24                | 4.24                     | 12.70    | 10.25                                  | 1.50                                    | 42.46                               |

3. The Pilot-scale Equipment Ethanol Production

3.1. Materials and Experiment Equipment

3.1.1. Materials

The same experimental materials were used for small ethanol fermentation in the traditional laboratory, enable SF-130 stainless steel high-speed universal crusher production line is adopted to screen corn and cassava powder, crushing fineness through 10-120 mesh, the mixture is crushed and sifted again.

3.1.2. Experimental Facilities

The complete set of equipment for pilot anaerobic reaction is adopted.

(I) Equipment technical parameters.

Table 3. Technical parameters of pilot process.

| Item                      | Mixing tank | liquefied tank | Saccharifying tank | fermentation tank | vaporized tank |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Volume (L)                | 60          | 60             | 50                 | 50                | 50             |
| Heating area (M2)         | 0.45        | 0.8            | 0.38               | 0.38              | 0.38           |
| vacuum degree (MPa)       | -0.06       |                |                    |                   |                |
| Working Pressure (MPa)    | <= 0.01     |                |                    |                   |                |
| Power of stirring (KW)    | 0.55        | 0.55           | 0.37               | 0.55              | 0.37           |
| Revolving speed (r/min)   | 20          | 36             | 65                 | 65                | 36             |

(II) Introduction to main equipment structure.

1. Mixing tank and liquefied tank.

The mixing tank and the liquefied tank are composed of the tank cover, the tank body, the agitator paddle, the flushing port, the breathing port, the feeding port, the discharge valve and the glass observation port. The tank body is polished internally, and the flushing port is connected to the tap water pipe. The breathing port is equipped with manual valve, which does not contact with the bottom, the tank is equipped with a mixing device, and the top is equipped with a speed reducer to drive the stirring paddle, which can be mounted, dismantled and cleaned. The bottom of the tank is equipped with the upper tensioning manual valve, which can meet no dead angle in the tank, convenient for discharging and cleaning. The temperature meter is set at 1/2 of the tank body, and the temperature sensor is connected to it to display the temperature. The upper part of each tank is equipped with a pressure reading meter to accurately display the pressure value inside the tank.

2. Saccharifying tank.

The structure of saccharifying tank is the same as that of mixing tank and liquefaction tank. However, the liquid tank has an additional pressure limit automatic spring valve compared with the mixing tank, when it is start to sterilize ensure the safety control in high temperature and high pressure.

3. Fermentation tank.

The structure of fermentation tank is the same as that of mixing tank and liquefaction tank. However, its breathing port extends directly to the bottom of the tank and comes into direct contact with the material and liquid in the tank.

4. Vaporized tank and fractionating tower.

The structure of evaporation tank is the same as that of mixing tank and liquefaction tank. However, the evaporator has no breathing port and is connected to the fractionating tower by one more through pipe, it used to heat the mixture of ethanol and water in gaseous state after heating up to the fractionator.

The JH-150 distillation column was used, recovery capacity: 5-10kg/h, Processing capacity: 10-20kg/h, concentration: 90-95%. The bottom of the column consists of a cylindrical double tower body, a thermometer and a fractionation temperature control knob. The hollow part of the double tower is connected with a mixture of ethanol and water volatile from the evaporating tank, tap water is available in the interlayer, and the thermometer shows the top fractionation temperature, the fractionation temperature control knob controls the fractionation temperature by regulating the flow of water through the interlayer.

3.2. Design of Experiment

3.2.1. Preparation Before Starting

1. Clean all parts in contact with materials.
2. Check all water valves, oil valves and circuit switches carefully.
3. Check that all sturdy bolts are loose and that all valves are flexible and in the necessary opening and closing state.
3.2.2. Mixture Stage
The raw materials that have been crushed and sifted are mixed into the mixing tank at a ratio of 1:4 water to water ratio of the material, and the mixture is heated to 60 degrees Celsius at the same time.

3.2.3. Liquefaction Stage
Transfer the material that has been grouted to the liquefaction tank through the vacuum pump. At the same time, it will rapidly heat up to 95 degrees Celsius and keep warm for 1 hour, keep stirring continuously, and try not to stick the material to the wall of the tank. After liquefaction, it will naturally cool down to 50-60 degrees centigrade, and then add saccharifying enzyme, keep the saccharification for 30 minutes and measure the saccharification degree [15].

3.3. Process Parameters

| Groups | raw material (g) | liquefying enzyme (g) | Saccharifying enzyme (g) | Yeast (g) | Degree of sugar | Liquor of precision before fermentation | liquor yield (%) |
|--------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1      | 8776.90        | 17.14                 | 16.63                    | 44.42     | 9              | 1                                      | 38.61           |
| 2      | 4501.65        | 8.68                  | 8.43                     | 26.83     | 8              | -                                      | 37.83           |

| Groups | raw material (g) | liquefying enzyme (g) | Saccharifying enzyme (g) | Yeast (g) | Degree of sugar | Liquor of precision before fermentation | liquor yield (%) |
|--------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1      | 8836.24        | 16.77                 | 18.07                    | 47.11     | 14             | 2                                      | 40.56           |
| 2      | 4375.14        | 8.34                  | 8.81                     | 18.04     | 13             | 2                                      | 48.96           |

4. Results and Discussion
According to the experiment measured data:

| Parameter | traditional experiment | pilot production |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------|
| corn      | Sugar degree           | 5.75             | 8.5              |
|           | Liquor degree          | 0.25             | 0.5              |
|           | Liquor yield           | 36.64            | 38.22            |
| cassava   | Sugar degree           | 8.75             | 13.5             |
|           | Liquor degree          | 1.5              | 2                |
|           | Liquor yield           | 42.46            | 44.76            |

We can get the information from table 6:
The average saccharification of traditional ethanol fermentation with corn as raw material was 5.75 and the yield of liquor was 36.64%, the average saccharification of traditional ethanol fermentation with cassava as raw material was 8.75 and the liquor yield was 42.46%, the average saccharification of ethanol produced by industrial experiment with corn as raw material was 8.50 and the liquor yield was 38.22%, the average saccharification of ethanol produced by industrial experiment with cassava as raw material was 15 and the liquor yield was 44.76%.

4.1. Comparison of Sugar Values
Saccharinity is the concentration unit of solids in the sugar solution, which is an important parameter to reflect the degree of saccharification in the production and fermentation of ethanol.

1. Horizontal comparison of different materials, the average saccharification degree of corn after saccharification was 5.75 and that of cassava after saccharification was 8.75 in the traditional ethanol fermentation, the average saccharification degree of corn after saccharification was 8.5 and that of cassava after saccharification was 15 in the industrial ethanol fermentation. It is observed that, the average sugar of corn was lower than that of cassava in both the traditional ethanol fermentation and the industrial ethanol fermentation, indicating that the degree of saccharification of corn was lower in the process.

2. Vertical comparison with different scale experiment, the average saccharinity of the traditional experiment corn was 5.75, that of the industrial experiment corn was 8.5, and the saccharinity of the experimental corn increased by 47.83 percent year on year. The average sugar of cassava was 10.25 in the traditional experiment, the average sugar of cassava was 13.50 in the industrial experiment, the sugar content increased by 31.71 percent year on year. The results indicate that the medium - test raw materials have good liquefaction and saccharification, which may be related to the fermentation device. In small fermentation, the 10L glass tank water bath was used to stir the saccharification by...
hand. The uneven stirring led to the uneven saccharification and limited degree of saccharification.

4.2. Comparison of Liquor Yield Values

1. Horizontal comparison of different materials. The average liquor yield of corn was 36.64% and that of cassava was 42.46% in the traditional experiment, the cassava was 5.82% higher than corn by comparison. The average yield of corn was 38.22% and that of cassava was 44.76% in the industrial experiment, the cassava is 6.54 percent higher than corn by comparison. It can be seen that cassava produces more ethanol than corn. Analysis of the reasons, the characteristics of cassava starch has the following several aspects: high amylopectin content, the degree of polymerization of molecules, cassava starch and fiber adhesion strong, gelatinization temperature in 51 ~ 65 °C, the gelatinization transparency is good, the mucus is long, the paste has high heat sticking degree but weak coagulability, and the starch has less non-starch impurities (such as ash, protein and grease) [16]. According to the fermentation principle of bioethanol production, higher liquor yield has more fermentable sugar, so cassava starch content is higher, and the starch in it is easier to liquefied and saccharified, so it is easier to be metabolized and used by yeast.

2. Vertical comparison with different scale experiment. The average liquor yield of corn was 36.64% in the traditional ethanol fermentation and 38.22% in the industrial ethanol fermentation, it was up 4.31 per cent year on year. The average liquor yield of cassava was 42.46% in the traditional ethanol fermentation and 44.76% in the industrial ethanol fermentation, it was up 5.42 percent year on year. The industrial ethanol fermentation of the same raw material is superior to the traditional ethanol fermentation. The reasons may be as follows: In the manual operation of ethanol production, more contact with raw materials will result in greater loss of raw materials and the actual error is large.

In the production of ethanol, it is necessary to have a moderate amount of air. Therefore, it is necessary to have a moderate amount of air surplus. The air stock of the 10L glass fermentation tank used in the traditional laboratory for small-scale ethanol fermentation is limited, while the steel fermentation tank used in the production of ethanol by the pilot equipment is equipped with a vent hole, and the air stock is large.

Degree of fermentation with yeast in contact with the raw material have difference, the traditional laboratory small ethanol fermentation by way of 10L fermentation tank fermentation glass belongs to static fermentation, yeast distribution uniformity is limited, lead to inadequate contact with raw materials, low utilization rate of raw materials. The test equipment for production of ethanol by fermentation tank of the upper rotating device, fermentation methods belong to the static fermentation, makes the yeast evenly dispersed in the raw material, fully contact with the raw material, the maximum use of raw materials, for anaerobic respiration. Operating outside contact with the raw material is different, the traditional laboratory small ethanol fermentation, the raw material contact with the outside world more, could lead to more bacterial pollution. In the Fermentation system, yeast as the advantage bacterium group, too much miscellaneous bacterium, inhibits the yeast anaerobic respiration, directly affect the utilization rate of raw materials, severe cases can cause the failure of the experiment. The test equipment for production of ethanol, the less raw material contact with the outside world, greatly reduced the possibility of bacterial contamination, and test equipment for production of ethanol, in the saccharifying tank for high temperature and high pressure disinfection sterilization, it can reduce bacterial doping and guarantee the yeast that can make full use of raw materials, it is significantly reduced in the experiment the possibility of failure.

5. Conclusions

With the continuous development of modern biological technology and engineering technology, ethanol will continue to be innovative and improve production technology, raw materials and the kinds of biological species can be used in the production of ethanol will become increasingly diversified. In the past to food crops to corn as the main raw material to produce ethanol, the production cost is relatively high, and don't suit the basic national conditions of our country people much less. At present, in the process of producing ethanol with sugar raw materials and starch as raw materials at home and abroad, the cost of producing raw materials accounts for more than 50% of the total production cost. Therefore, one option to reduce the production cost of ethanol is to develop cheap raw materials resources and reasonable renewable energy [18]. Wood is rich in cellulose, which can also be used for the production of ethanol, but its structural composition is relatively complex, and it must be hydrolyzed and saccharized before it can be used by yeast to achieve the production of ethanol. However, the lignin contained in wood will hinder the hydrolysis of cellulose by cellulose. Therefore, before enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose, the necessary pretreatment is needed to increase the contact between cellulase and cellulose [19]. Enzymatic hydrolysis with simple equipment, mild reaction conditions, high rate of raw materials for saccharification and by-products and less polluting the environment, it got more widely application. Additionally, there are many fungi (white-rot fungus, brown rot fungi and soft rot bacteria, etc.) have the ability to decompose lignin in the future, it also can be used in the pretreatment of lignocellulose materials [20]. As the price of oil rises, the demand for alcohol will also increase. At the same time, the development of fermented alcohol not only cassava raw
materials, but also cassava residue, it is a by-product of a large number of flour mills with a relatively low cost. Therefore, cassava fermented alcohol should be feasible [21]. Except for fuel ethanol, it also can be used as a kind of chemical raw materials and intermediate products are widely used in pharmaceutical, chemical, food and military and other industries, such as used in the production demand of ethylene and polyethylene, polyvinyl chloride, ethyl acetate and other organic chemical products, the by-product of CO2 can be used in the production of new, safe, biodegradable plastics [22]. We can improve the rate of wine in order to make full use of biomass energy by adjusting the process parameters and optimization of technological process at the same time, the goal is achieve saving energy, economic and environmental protection. In this paper, the aim is to verify and explore the different process parameters with the experiment. Based on the data and method, this study will be used to prepare for the next work, the results of the study for further research of ethanol fermentation process in the future, and it is of great significance to explore new technology.
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