Recurrent extensive plunging ranula: A rare case
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Abstract:
Plunging ranula (PR) is a cystic mass presenting on the lateral side of the neck with or without a history of the usual presentation of an intraoral lesion. “PR” is recognized as an uncommon lesion that has been found predominantly in the third decade of life mostly in males. However, the exact prevalence of “PR” is not yet known. We report a rare case of an extensive PR that reached the parapharyngeal space in a 17-year-old Saudi female. The diagnosis was made when she presented to the ENT clinic with swellings in the right submandibular and right floor of the mouth. The diagnosis of “PR” with the absence of an oral lesion is very challenging and requires a detailed history, clinical examination, and radiological imaging. Different modalities of treatment have been discussed. However, the excision of ranula and the sublingual gland is the most effective way of management.
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Introduction
Plunging ranula (PR) is a spread of pseudocyst “Ranula”[1] to the submental or submandibular area.[2] Patients with “PR” present with lateral neck swelling in the submandibular triangle.[3] Most PRs are translucent with visible components, whereas all simple ranulas have them.[1]

The reported prevalence of “PR” showed a male predominance with a ratio of 1:0.74[1] as well as a predominance in the third decade of life.[4,5] Even though the prevalence of “PR” is believed to be lower than the simple type, the exact number has not yet been identified.[5]

Case Report
A 17-year-old Saudi female presented to an ENT outpatient clinic for an evaluation of a right submandibular swelling and a swelling of the right floor of the mouth.

History from the patient revealed that she had had recurrent swelling on the right side of the floor of the mouth in the last 6 months which had continuously changed in size. There was no history of dysphagia, odynophagia, fever, night sweating, weight loss, or respiratory distress symptoms.

On examination, a right cystic neck swelling, with a size of 5 cm × 4 cm × 7 cm, was found. The oral component showed thin translucence with slight turbid cystic fluid.

Computed tomography (CT) scan showed right extensive cystic lesion reaching parapharyngeal space, right floor of the mouth, right submandibular, and right sublingual spaces. The cystic lesion was anterior to the sternocleidomastoid muscle with no evidence of septation or enhancement [Figures 1 and 2].

The swelling was treated with repeated evacuations in outside hospitals, after which she underwent right cystic and submandibular gland excision. However,
2 months after the surgery, the cystic lesion recurred in
the right sublingual, submandibular space, and the right
floor of the mouth with a great deal of serous yellowish
discharge. CT scan showed right submandibular cystic
lesion extending to the floor of the mouth with no
evidence of septation or enhancement to suggest an
infected cyst.

The patient then underwent an excision of this cystic
lesion together with the right sublingual gland by the
cervical and floor of the mouth approach. Since March
2017 there was a complete resolution thereafter with
no recurrence [Figures 3 and 4]. Written consent was
obtained from the patient for this case to be published.

Discussion

A ranula is a mucus retention cyst that is formed in
the sublingual space when one of the small ducts of
the sublingual gland is obstructed. With time, further
secretions accumulate in the sublingual space to give
rise to an extension along that space anteriorly and
posteriorly. This occurs if the posterior extension extends
beyond mylohyoid muscle.[6] A ranula extension to the
submental or submandibular area is called PR.[2]

A swelling ranging from 4 cm to 10 cm in size on the
lateral side of the neck, precisely in the submandibular
triangle, is the classic presentation of PR. The swelling
is painless and fluctuant and progressively enlarges in
size but does not usually change with swallowing or
eating.[3] However, in advanced cases of PR, patients
may present with airway obstruction or dysphagia.[4] In
addition, it can spread to parapharyngeal space as far
as the base of the skull superiorly, to the supraclavicular
area inferiorly, to the retropharyngeal space posteriorly,
or it may spread anteriorly to cross the midline.[3]

PR is diagnosed by means of a comprehensive
history, clinical presentation, and imaging studies.
Ultrasonographic studies show a cystic lesion (ovoid
or lobulated) in the submandibular triangle with a
mylohyoid defect observed in up to 90% of the cases.
CT shows a unilocular cystic lesion with thin or
imperceptible walls and homogeneous intracystic low
attenuation similar to other simple fluid-containing
structures. Magnetic resonance imaging shows a
thin-walled cystic structure with low-to-intermediate

---

Figure 1: Computed tomography scan axial view showed right submandibular
cystic lesion extended to the floor of the mouth with no evidence of septation or
enhancement.

Figure 2: Computed tomography scan coronal view showed the extension of
plunging ranula to the right parapharyngeal space.

Figure 3: Computed tomography scan axial view showed a complete resolution
after the last operation with no recurrence till date.

Figure 4: Computed tomography scan coronal view showed a complete resolution
after the last operation with no recurrence till date.
signal on T1-weighted sequences and high signal on T2-weighted images. In addition to the imaging studies, the use of fine-needle aspiration cytology would show yellow aspirate, positive amylase, and mucin. There are no epithelial/glandular elements, cholesterol crystals, and keratin in this condition.\[3\]

Clinical diagnosis of ranula may be difficult in the absence of oral swelling; thus, PR should be used in differential diagnoses in many cases. These cases include thyroglossal duct cyst, intramuscular hemangioma, lipomas, cystic/neoplastic thyroid disease, branchial cyst, submandibular sialadenitis, laryngocele, dermoid cyst, lymphatic or vascular malformations, and infectious cervical lymphadenopathy such as tuberculosis, Epstein–Barr virus, cervical thymic cysts, dermoid cysts, cystic hygroma, and benign teratoma.\[3,7\] Therefore, imaging studies or fine-needle aspiration may be required.\[3,8\]

Since the spontaneous resolution of PR is rare, intervention is necessary.\[8\] Several approaches are used in the treatment of PR with different rates of success, including sclerotherapy, marsupialization, ranula excision, sublingual gland excision, or sublingual gland with ranula excision.\[3,9\] In addition, cryotherapy after marsupialization has been proposed.\[10\] The most appropriate treatment procedure for children remains uncertain.\[8\]

Treatment with sclerotherapy can be considered as the primary treatment modality in the pediatric age group. The efficacy of sclerotherapy depends on many factors including a number of injections, interval between injections, and the initial size of the lesion. Moreover, this modality may be complicated by transient fever and painful cyst as a result of the inflammatory reaction.\[3\]

On the other hand, ranula excision alone has a high recurrence rate of up to 36.7%. Consequently, it is best to avoid this modality,\[3\] which may also have numerous complications with such high risks as postoperative infections with 20% risk and 40% risk of tongue hyperesthesia.\[9\]

Excision of ranula and the sublingual gland which is the source of both intraoral and PR has 0% recurrence rate. This means that this modality of treatment is the most effective technique.\[3\]

Conclusion
The diagnosis of PR is very challenging in the absence of an oral lesion. As a result, a comprehensive history, clinical presentation, and radiological findings are the main diagnostic tools. Several modalities of treatment can be used with different recurrence rates. However, sublingual gland and ranula excision are considered the main modality of treatment as it has the lowest recurrence rate and low postoperative complications.

Declaration of patient consent
The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form the patient has given her consent for her images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patient understand that her name and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal her identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship
Nil.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

References
1. Kalra V, Mirza K, Malhotra A. Plunging ranula. J Radiol Case Rep 2011;5:18-24.
2. Arunachalam P, Priyadharshini N. Recurrent plunging ranula. J Indian Assoc Pediatr Surg 2010;15:36-8.
3. Zhi K, Gao L, Ren W. What is new in management of pediatric ranula? Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2014;22:525-9.
4. Gupta A, Karjodkar FR. Plunging ranula: A case report. ISRN Dent 2011;2011:806928.
5. Morton RP, Ahmad Z, Jain P. Plunging ranula: Congenital or acquired? Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2010;142:104-7.
6. El Beltagi AH, Al Far EA, Al Sahmmary N. Horseshoe shaped, anterior crossing ranula, a case report. Eur J Radiol Extra 2007;64:95-8.
7. Mahadevan M, Vasan N. Management of pediatric plunging ranula. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2006;70:1049-54.
8. Carlini V, Calcutera V, Pasqua N, Guazzotti M, Fussillo M, Pelizzo G, et al. Plunging ranula in children: Case report and literature review. Pediatr Rep 2016;8:6576.
9. Baumash HD. A case against sublingual gland removal as primary treatment of ranulas. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007;65:117-21.
10. Yang Y, Hong K. Surgical results of the intraoral approach for plunging ranula. Acta Otolaryngol 2014;134:201-5.