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The dissemination of C10 cysteine protease genes in \textit{Bacteroides fragilis} by mobile genetic elements

Roibeard F Thornton$^{1,2}$, Todd F Kagawa$^{1,2}$, Paul W O'Toole$^3$ and Jakki C Cooney$^{*1,2}$

**Abstract**

**Background:** The C10 family of cysteine proteases includes enzymes that contribute to the virulence of bacterial pathogens, such as SpeB in \textit{Streptococcus pyogenes}. The presence of homologues of cysteine protease genes in human commensal organisms has not been examined. \textit{Bacteroides fragilis} is a member of the dominant \textit{Bacteroidetes} phylum of the human intestinal microbiota, and is a significant opportunistic pathogen.

**Results:** Four homologues of the streptococcal virulence factor SpeB were identified in the \textit{B. fragilis} genome. These four protease genes, two were directly contiguous to open reading frames predicted to encode staphostatin-like inhibitors, with which the protease genes were co-transcribed. Two of these protease genes are unique to \textit{B. fragilis} 638R and are associated with two large genomic insertions. Gene annotation indicated that one of these insertions was a conjugative Tn-like element and the other was a prophage-like element, which was shown to be capable of excision. Homologues of the \textit{B. fragilis} C10 protease genes were present in a panel of clinical isolates, and in DNA extracted from normal human faecal microbiota.

**Conclusions:** This study suggests a mechanism for the evolution and dissemination of an important class of protease in major members of the normal human microbiota.

**Background**

\textit{Bacteroides fragilis} is a Gram-negative member of the normal human gut microbiota. The \textit{Bacteroidetes} constitutes one of the major bacterial phyla in the healthy human gut [1]. However, \textit{B. fragilis} is also an important opportunistic pathogen, and it is the most frequently isolated anaerobic bacterium in clinical specimens, including abdominal abscesses and bloodstream infections [2]. Indeed, while \textit{B. fragilis} accounts for only 4 to 13% of the normal human fecal microbiota, it is responsible for 63 to 80% of \textit{Bacteroides} infections [3]. Only a few virulence factors have been described for \textit{B. fragilis}, with the best characterized being the polysaccharide (PS) capsule [4] and a secreted metalloprotease, fragilysin [5]. The capsule, which displays antigenic variation, promotes the formation of abscesses [4], and the reduction of pro-inflammatory responses to \textit{B. fragilis} [4,6]. The metalloprotease fragilysin, which has been linked to diarrheal disease [5], has activity against the zonula junctions between cells, and could disrupt tissue integrity [7]. \textit{B. fragilis} also encodes homologues of C10 proteases [8]. These are members of the CA clan of papain-like proteases. Other C10 proteases include the important virulence factors Streptococcal pyrogenic exotoxin B (SpeB) from \textit{Streptococcus pyogenes} and Interpain A from \textit{Prevotella intermedia}. SpeB cleaves a variety of host protein, including immunoglobulin, fibronectin and vitronectin; it also activates IL-1β and releases kinin from kininogen [9]. Interestingly, both SpeB and Interpain A target and inactivate complement factor C3 [10,11]. One further characterized C10 protease is the Periodontain from the oral pathogen \textit{Porphyromonas gingivalis}, which cleaves α1-proteinase inhibitor promoting degradation of connective tissue components [12].

For both SpeB and another well characterized family of cysteine proteases (C47 family) expressed in staphylococci (Staphopain), the protease genes are found juxtaposed to genes encoding specific protease inhibitors, Spi [13] (a propeptide analogue) and Staphostatin [14] (a lipocalin-like entity), respectively.

The genomes of \textit{Bacteroides} spp., including \textit{B. fragilis}, may include plasmids [15], and typically include multiple prophage remnants, pathogenicity islands and both con-
jugalative and non-conjugative transposons (CTn and Tn respectively) [16]. This would facilitate acquisition and dissemination of virulence markers. Indeed, the fragilysin is encoded on a pathogenicity island which has been shown to be mobile [17].

This study centers on the identification and characterization of genes encoding homologues of SpeB, their genetic linkage with putative inhibitors, and the association of these homologous genes with mobile genetic elements.

Results
The B. fragilis genome harbours four paralogous C10 protease genes

A phylogenetic study was undertaken to determine the relatedness of C10 proteases in other members of the Bacteroidetes phylum (Fig. 1). This identified eight-four C10 protease candidates, ranging in size from 269 to 1656 amino acids, in organisms that occupy both human and environmental niches. The larger of these proteins (>600 amino acid residues, average length 803 residues) group together along with SpeB and Interpain A. These larger proteins have additional C-terminal domains, the role of which is yet to be determined [12,18]. The Bfp proteases group with proteins <500 amino acid residues in length (average length 435 residues). Although acceptable bootstrap values were obtained for nodes separating deeper phylogenetic levels, the bootstrap values for the shallower divisions were low. This reflects the unstable phylogeny obtained. However, it is noteworthy that all of the candidate protease sequences had a variation on the two active site motifs indicated in Fig 2.

Of particular interest was the identification of SpeB homologues in B. fragilis. Analysis of the B. fragilis 638R ftp://ftp.sanger.ac.uk/pub/pathogens/bf/, YCH46 [19] and NCTC9343 [7] genome sequences identified genes encoding a paralogous family of C10 cysteine proteases named Bfp1 (BF638R0104, 45390), Bfp2 (BF638R1641, 56666), Bfp3 (BF638R3679, 47323), Bfp4 (BF638R0222, 48433) for B. fragilis protease, encoded by genes bfp1-4 respectively. The locus identifiers for the unpublished 48433) for B. fragilis (BF638R0105) and bfp4 (BF638R0222) (for Bacteroides fragilis) inhibitor). The encoded proteins showed no significant identity to the peptidases of any known protease, nor to Spi. Interestingly, they had identity to the C47 cysteine proteases inhibitors, the Staphostatins, ranging from 15.0-23.4% identity and 32.6-45.7% similarity (Table 3). This is in line with identity between Staphostatin A and Staphostatin B with 20.4% identity and 45.0% similarity. Despite low levels of sequence identity, analysis of the predicted secondary structure and the conservation and alignment of a critical glycine residue in these sequences (indicated in Fig. 3) when compared to Staphostatins, suggested that these bfi genes encode specific protease inhibitors.

To determine the likely cellular location of Bfp and Bfi proteins, the respective sequences were analyzed using LipPred [23], LipoP [24], SignalP [25] and PSORTb [26]. These analyses suggested that Bfi1A has a typical Sec pathway leader sequence and is likely to be exported to the periplasm. Bfi1B, Bfi4, Bfp1, Bfp2 and Bfp4 have predicted lipoprotein signal sequences and are likely to be tethered to the outer membrane [24,27]. Whilst Bfp3 has a lipoprotein leader sequence it is not clear which membrane it is likely to associate with. It should be noted that maturation of C10 zymogens would release the active protease from the anchoring acyl-lipid into the extracellular milieu.

B. fragilis C10 proteases genes, bfp1 and bfp4, are co-transcribed with those for predicted Staphostatin-like inhibitors

For both the streptococcal and staphylococcal systems, the proteases and adjacentely encoded inhibitors are co-transcribed [13,28]. To determine if this transcriptional coupling of protease and inhibitor genes was also present in B. fragilis, RNA was isolated from broth grown 638R cells, and analysed by reverse transcriptase PCR, using a series of specific primers for the protease and inhibitor
Figure 1 Phylogenetic tree of the C10 proteases available on the GenBank and NCBI databases. Cluster analysis was based upon the neighbour-joining method. Numbers at branch points are percentages of 1000 bootstrap re-samplings that support the topology of the tree. The tree was rooted using C47 family cysteine protease sequences (Staphopains). The locus tag identifiers and the organism name are given. SpeB and the Btp proteases are indicated by a red diamond.
genes (Table 4). Amplicons were detected for all C10 protease structural genes suggesting that all the proteases were transcribed in vitro (Fig. 4, Lanes 2, 6, 7 and 8 for bfp1, bfp2, bfp3 and bfp4 respectively). Amplification of a 1.9 Kb product (Fig. 4, Lane 5) using primers Bfi1A_F and Bfi1B_R supports the hypothesis that bfp1 is co-transcribed on a single mRNA with bfi1A and bfi1B. In addition, amplification of a 1.65 Kb product with primers Bfp4_F and Bfi4_R suggests that bfp4 is transcriptionally coupled to bfi4 (Fig. 4, Lane 9).

bfp3 and bfp4 are located on genome insertions
As mentioned above, two of the protease genes (bfp3 and bfp4) were identified only in strain 638R enabling a comparison with the two other sequenced strains of B. fragilis. Using the Artemis comparison tool [29], alignment of the bfp genes in clinical isolates and in the human gut microbiota.

Table 1: Occurrence of bfp genes in clinical isolates and in the human gut microbiota.

| Strain  | bfp1 | bfp2 | bfp3 | bfp4 | Bfgi2 | attB |
|---------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| 638R    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +     | +    |
| YCH46a  | +    | +    | -    | -    | -     | +    |
| NCTC9343b | +    | +    | -    | -    | -     | +    |
| NCTC9344 | +    | +    | +    | -    | +     | +    |
| NCTC10581 | +    | +    | -    | -    | -     | +    |
| NCTC10584 | -    | +    | -    | -    | -     | +    |
| NCTC11295 | -    | +    | -    | -    | -     | +    |
| NCTC11625 | -    | +    | -    | -    | -     | +    |
| TMD1    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +     | +    |
| TMD2    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +     | +    |
| TMD3    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +     | +    |

a. Based on analysis of genome sequence only, locus identifier BF0154 for bfp1, and BF1628 bfp2. All other strains confirmed by PCR.
b. Locus identifier BF0116 for bfp1 and BF1640 for bfp2.

TMD1-TMD3: total microbiota DNA, from faeces of 3 healthy adult subjects.
acterized as a CTn [33]. However, this region of ATCC 33277 did not encode a C10 protease.

The bfp3 gene was located on a 39 Kb insertion, called Bfgi2 in this study. Analysis of this region predicted functional modules, e.g. DNA metabolism, DNA packaging, prophage head, tail and lysis proteins, consistent with a bacteriophage genomic structure similar to the Siphoviridae family of bacteriophages (Fig. 5, panel B and Table 6). These phage are known to infect bacteria that reside in the gut, and are the most frequently identified phage infecting B. fragilis [34]. Similarly to other Siphoviridae, Bfgi2 inserts into the 3' end of the tRNAArg gene [31]. The attB site overlaps the tRNAArg gene, however integration of Bfgi2 regenerates a functional tRNAArg gene. Bfgi2 had homology only with a region of a genome for an unidentified Bacteroides sp. (Bacteroides sp. 3_2_5), which included a homologue of bfp3.

The regions flanking the C10 loci in a range of Bacteroides (B. thetaiotaomicron (AE015928), B. uniformis (AAYH0000000), B. ovatus (AAXF0000000), B. intestinalis (ABJL00000000), Parabacteroides distasonis (CP000140), Porphyromonas gingivalis (AP009380, AE015924) and Prevotella intermedia (ID: 246198) were examined for the presence of markers for mobile genetic elements (e.g. the Tra functional module, or phage structural modules for instance tail, and capsid). The GenBank accession code or JCVI taxon numbers are given in parenthesis. A cassette of Tra genes (A through O, locus tags PG1473-1486) was found 35.3 Kb away from prtT in Porphyromonas gingivalis strain W83 (locus tag 1427) and again in strain ATCC 33277 Tra I to Q were found (locus tags PGN_592 to PGN_599) 40.5 Kb away from PrtT (PGN_0561) in that strain. However, no complete CTn or phage could be found adjacent to these or any other C10 protease gene.

The Bfgi2 element harbouring the bfp3 gene is capable of excision

The putative att sequence for the integration of Bfgi2 was identified by analysis of the sequence at the boundaries of the inserted DNA in strain 638R compared with NCTC9343. A short 16 bp direct repeat sequence was identified flanking the Bfgi2 insertion (Fig. 6, panel A). PCR primers Bfgi2_attB_F and Bfgi2_attB_R (Table 4) were used in a PCR reaction to detect the excision of the Bfgi2 prophage from mitomycin C treated B. fragilis 638R cells. The resulting 595 bp PCR product is consistent with excision of Bfgi2 from the B. fragilis 638R genome (Fig. 6, panel B, Lane 2), and reconstruction of an intact tRNAArg gene (Fig. 6, panel C). Sequencing of this PCR product indicated the presence of a single copy of the 16 bp repeat region, the proposed attB site for Bfgi2 (Fig. 6, panel C).

The mitomycin C-treated cells were also analysed for the presence of the Bfgi2 circular intermediate. The primers Bfgi2_int_F and Bfgi2_int_R (Table 4) were designed directed outwards across the proposed attL and attR sites. Using these primers, amplification of product should only occur if a circularized form of Bfgi2 is present.

Table 2: Similarity/identity matrix for Bfp proteases and SpeB.

| C10 Protease | SpeB  | Bfp1  | Bfp2  | Bfp3  | Bfp4  |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| SpeB         | 19.2  | 22.6  | 16.7  | 21.9  |
| Bfp1         | 38.1  | 21    | 23.9  | 19.7  |
| Bfp2         | 36.0  | 37.6  | 20.2  | 22.5  |
| Bfp3         | 41.2  | 41.7  | 37.7  | 28.5  |
| Bfp4         | 38.2  | 42.1  | 41.0  | 46.1  |

*Numbers in italics are percentage similarity, numbers in bold type are percentage identities.

Table 3: Similarity/identity matrix for Bfi putative inhibitors, Staphostatins and Spi.

| Spi | ScpA | SspB | Bfi1A | Bfi1B | Bfi4 |
|-----|------|------|-------|-------|------|
| Spi | 16.4 | 11.9 | 11.1  | 17.2  | 14.3 |
| ScpB | 41.7 | 20.4 | 20.2  | 19.4  | 23.4 |
| SspC | 31.2 | 45.0 | 20.2  | 18.6  | 15.0 |
| Bfi1A | 26.7 | 38.8 | 45.7  | 20.3  | 20.4 |
| Bfi1B | 35.7 | 39.7 | 40.5  | 41.3  | 20.1 |
| Bfi4  | 31.2 | 39.1 | 32.6  | 38.4  | 39.9 |

*Numbers in italics are percentage similarity, numbers in bold type are percentage identities.

b ScpB and SspC are Staphostatin A and Staphostatin B respectively.
in the cell. The size (2.25 Kb) sequence of the resulting PCR product confirmed the presence of the circular intermediate (Fig. 6 panel B, Lane 3). Attempts to show plaque formation using NCTC9343 as an indicator strain did not produce any visible plaques. This could be due to the phenomenon of limited host range for the bacteriophage. However, given that Bfgi2 circular intermediate was detected it is tempting to speculate that it is, or is a derivative of an active phage and such phage could be transmitted to a non-lysogenized strain of B. fragilis, bringing with it a copy of a C10 protease.

C10 protease genes are present in clinical isolates of B. fragilis and in the healthy human faecal microbiota

In addition to the 3 genome strains, a panel of 5 clinical isolates of B. fragilis from several human infection sites (Table 7) were tested by allele-specific PCR for the C10 protease genes they harbour. The results indicated that this panel of strains have a complement of bfp genes more similar to NCTC9343 than to 638R (Table 1). The distribution of bfp genes in the clinical isolates is not identical, and none of the 5 isolates carried all four bfp genes. The bfp1-4 genes were detected in 3, 5, 1 and 0 clinical isolates respectively.

Table 4: Oligonucleotide primers used in this study.

| Primer   | Sequence                      | Commenta |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Bfp1_F   | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E, L     |
| Bfp1_R   | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATT  | E, L     |
| Bfp2_F   | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E        |
| Bfp2_R   | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E        |
| Bfp3_F   | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E        |
| Bfp3_R   | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E        |
| Bfp4_F   | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E, L     |
| Bfp4_R   | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E        |
| Bf11A_F  | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E        |
| Bf11A_R  | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E        |
| Bf12B_F  | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E        |
| Bf12B_R  | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E, L     |
| Bf14_F   | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E        |
| Bf14_R   | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | E, L     |
| Bfg12_int_F | CCTGATTTAGCTCTCTATCTTTTTTGTCC | I       |
| Bfg12_int_R | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | I       |
| Bfg12_attB_F | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | I       |
| Bfg12_attB_R | CAGCAGGATGGAAGAAGAAATCATTTATTTTATTAAT | I       |

*a Primer used in E = Expression studies, L = Linkage studies, I = Integration/Excision studies
respectively. The \textit{bfp4} gene was not be detected in any of these clinical strains, while \textit{bfp1} was not detected in two strains (NCTC 10584 and NCTC 11295). In contrast, \textit{bfp2} was encoded by all strains. In \textit{B. fragilis} strain YCH46, there is a CTnERL-type conjugative transposon 353 bp distance from the \textit{bfi1A-bfp1-bfi1B} gene cluster. However, this conjugative transposon is not present in either of the other two sequenced \textit{B. fragilis} genomes, 638R and NCTC 9343. The \textit{bfp3} gene was only detected in one clinical isolate (NCTC 9344), with a concomitant detection of the \textit{Bfgi2} insertion. In all cases a 595 bp fragment was successfully amplified using the primer pair

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4.png}
\caption{Analysis of expression and transcriptional coupling of \textit{bfp} genes in \textit{Bacteroides fragilis}. Horizontal open arrows represent the protease (white) and putative inhibitor (grey) genes. Small filled black arrows represent the positions of the oligonucleotide primers used in the reverse-transcription PCR analysis, the size of the expected amplicon is given in bp between the appropriate sets of primers. The resulting PCR fragments are presented in the right-hand panels, above which the size markers are indicated.}
\end{figure}
Table 5: Annotation of genes in the *B. fragilis* 638R Bfgi1 insertion.

| ORF | Protein Length | Putative function | % Id/Simab | Organismb | Accession no.c |
|-----|----------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| 1   | 411            | Integrase protein | 59/74 (411) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | AAS83518.1 |
| 2   | 119            | Hypothetical protein | 42/64 (114) | *B. thetaiotaomicron* | A077037.1 |
| 3   | 162            | Ctn042            | 37/59 (112) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | AAS83514.1 |
| 4   | 1828           | DNA Methylation (BmHα) | 57/71 (1339) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | AAS83508.1 |
| 5   | 143            | Hypothetical protein | 41/56 (121) | *B. thetaiotaomicron* | A077432.1 |
| 6   | 709            | Excisionase        | 57/72 (704) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | AAS83511.1 |
| 7   | 464            | Hypothetical protein | 41/57 (482) | *B. thetaiotaomicron* | A075210.1 |
| 8   | 260            | TetR/AcrR family  | 32/58 (204) | *B. thetaiotaomicron* | AA075614.1 |
| 9   | 161            | Hypothetical protein | 48/71 (108) | *P. gingivalis* W83 | AA075614.1 |
| 10  | 780            | Putative TonB OM Receptor | 63/78 (780) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | BAD47377.1 |
| 11  | 412            | Hypothetical protein | 56/73 (398) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | CAH06331.1 |
| 12  | 187            | Putative Ni-Co-Cd resistance protein | 29/42 (110) | *Syntrophus aciditrophicus* SB | ABC78121.1 |
| 13  | 604            | ABC Transporter   | 41/61 (570) | *B. thetaiotaomicron* | AA075616.1 |
| 14  | 593            | ABC Transporter   | 43/63 (591) | *B. thetaiotaomicron* | AA075615.1 |
| 15  | 172            | RteC              | 56/76 (80)  | *B. thetaiotaomicron* | AAA22922.1 |
| 16  | 129            | Peptidase S51     | 44/59 (100) | *Listeria monocytogenes* | AAT03167.1 |
| 17  | 114            | Hypothetical protein | 69/79 (73)  | *P. gingivalis* W83 | AAQ66123.1 |
| 18  | 138            | Hypothetical protein | 34/53 (135) | *B. thetaiotaomicron* | AA077558.1 |
| 19  | 431            | C10 protease      | 26/43 (454) | *B. thetaiotaomicron* | AA077558.1 |
| 20  | 112            | Hypothetical protein | 27/72 (80)  | *Polaribacter igrissii* | A4BZ61 |
| 21  | 512            | ECF type θ-factor | 31/50 (502) | *B. thetaiotaomicron* | AA07784.1 |
| 22  | 148            | Hypothetical protein | 43/58 (46)  | *Campylobacter upsaliensis* | EAL52724.1 |
| 23  | 671            | MobC              | 51/91 (660) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | AAS83500.1 |
| 24  | 408            | MobB              | 53/71 (348) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | AAS83499.1 |
| 25  | 137            | MobA              | 46/66 (136) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | AAS83498.1 |
| 26  | 260            | TraA              | 53/71 (246) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | AAG17826.1 |
| 27  | 142            | TraB              | 34/51 (133) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | BAD48110.1 |
| 28  | 135            | TraC              | 34/55 (63)  | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | AAS83495.1 |
| 29  | 271            | TraA              | 37/53 (251) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | BAD49765.1 |
| 30  | 196            | TraD              | 26/37 (182) | *B. thetaiotaomicron* | AA077408.1 |
| 31  | 123            | TraE              | 73/79 (78)  | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | BAD48110.1 |
| 32  | 126            | TraF              | 56/66 (87)  | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | AAS83492.1 |
| 33  | 828            | TraG              | 72/83 (829) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | BAD466872.1 |
| 34  | 209            | TraI              | 65/80 (209) | *B. fragilis* YCH46 | BAD46870.1 |
Bfgi2_attB_F and Bfgi2_attB_R (not shown), indicating the presence of a free integration site for Bfgi2 in all strains. It should be noted that for NCTC 9344 and 638R, there was a lower product yield and although not quantitative this is likely due to the integration of Bfgi2 in a sub-population of the cells.

Presence of bfp genes in the healthy human intestinal microbiota was investigated by PCR analysis performed on total DNA extracted from faeces from three adult subjects. The amplification of the appropriately sized DNA fragments indicated that all 4 bfp genes characterized in this study were present in all three subjects whose samples were tested (Table 1). Interestingly, this analysis also indicated the presence of an integrated Bfgi2 prophage in these faecal samples, as well as free attB sites.

Discussion
This study has established the presence of homologues of the streptococcal virulence factor SpeB in a significant gut microorganism, B. fragilis. The amplification of bfp1-4 specific sequences from mRNA samples supports the idea that these protease genes are expressed in vivo and in two cases the protease genes (bfp1 and bfp4) are coupled to genes encoding proteins resembling Staphostatins-like inhibitors. A role in protection of the bacterial cells from ectopic protease has been mooted for these inhibitors [35]. From sequence analysis, the Bacteroides inhibitors are likely to localize to the periplasm and cell membranes, which could be an additional mechanism to protect the bacterial cell from proteolytic damage, similar to roles suggested for Spi and the Staphostatins.

The presence of two Bfp protease genes on mobile genetic elements parallels some of the paradigms for the acquisition of virulence determinants by other microorganisms. For example the Panton-Valentine Leukocidin of Staphylococcus aureus [36], SpeC of S. pyogenes [37], diphtheria toxin of Corynebacterium diphtheria [38] and cholera toxin of Vibrio cholera [39] as well as the fragilysin of B. fragilis [40] are all encoded by mobile genetic elements. Although the latter case has yet to be conclusively established, the other examples cited, and many others in the literature, illustrate an augmentation of virulence in the recipient organism. Thus, the acquisition of additional copies of a protease with homology to SpeB by lateral gene transfer may increase the ability of B. fragilis to cause disease. However, establishing the mechanism of transfer of these protease genes and the role of the encoded proteases in B. fragilis opportunistic infections will require further studies.

Conclusion
The phylum Bacteroidetes constitutes a major proportion of the healthy human intestinal microbiota. Variations in the Bacteroidetes proportion are linked to disease, and
selected species are significant causes of human infectious disease. Alterations in the composition or function of the Bacteroidetes component of the intestinal microbiota might plausibly be involved in diseases involving immune dysregulation, including Inflammatory Bowel Disease, or Irritable Bowel Syndrome. Bacterial proteases are particularly relevant in this context, because they might be involved in the perturbed regulation of host matrix metalloproteases, which is a feature of IBD [41]. Thus the linkage of C10 proteases genes to mobile genetic elements in B. fragilis, and the demonstrated presence of these coding sequences in the healthy adult gut microbiota, is potentially significant. Experiments to investigate the expression and function of these genes in vivo are in progress.

Methods
Bacterial strains and culture conditions
Bacteroides fragilis strains used in this study are presented in Table 7. All strains were purchased from the United Kingdom National Culture Collection (UKNCC) except 638R which was a kind gift from Dr Sheila Patrick, Queen's University, Belfast. Both B. fragilis strains and B. thetaiotaomicron VPI-5482 [42] were grown in an anaerobic chamber at 37°C. Cultures were grown without shaking in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth supplemented with 50 μg/ml hemin and 0.5 μg/ml menadione. Media for plating was made from Brain Heart Infusion agar supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood, 50 μg/ml hemin and 0.5 μg/ml menadione.
Table 6: Annotation of genes in the \textit{B. fragilis} 638R Bfgi2 insertion.

| ORF | Protein Length | Putative function | % Id/Sima | Organism (Bacteriophage)b | Accession no.c |
|-----|----------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------------|
| 1   | 446            | Integrase         | 47/63 (436) | \textit{Bacteroides uniformis} | AAF74437.1    |
| 2   | 751            | Polysialic acid transport protein, KpsD | 72/84 (676) | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 | BAD48680.1    |
| 3   | 163            | Hypothetical protein | 37/49 (156) | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 | BAD49193.1    |
| 4   | 172            | N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidase | 60/75 (150) | \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron} | AA077433.1    |
| 5   | 151            | Holin             | 25/54 (99)  | \textit{B. subtilis} (phi-105) | NP_690778.1   |
| 6   | 1215           | Phage related protein, tail component | 26/49 (173) | \textit{Actinobacillus pleuropneumonia} | ZP_00134779.1 |
| 7   | 697            | Hypothetical protein | 21/40 (300) | \textit{Flavobacterium} (11b) | YP_112519.1   |
| 8   | 1034           | Tail tape measure protein | 31/50 (119) | \textit{Burkholderia cepacia} (BcepNazgul) | NP_918983.1   |
| 9   | 195            | Hypothetical protein | 32/54 (150) | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 | BAD49201.1    |
| 10  | 126            | Hypothetical protein | 29/52 (86)  | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 | BAD49202.1    |
| 11  | 425            | Phage major capsid | 32/50 (252) | Vibrio phage VP882 | AA538503.2    |
| 12  | 204            | Prohead protease   | 42/59 (157) | \textit{Lactobacillus casei} (A2) | CAD43895.1    |
| 13  | 450            | Phage portal protein | 34/52 (365) | \textit{Pseudomonas} (D3) | AAD38955.1    |
| 14  | 543            | Terminase (Large subunit) | 38/58 (493) | \textit{Streptococcus agalactiae} (Asa04) | ABA45667.1    |
| 15  | 145            | Terminase (Small subunit) | 26/43 (122) | \textit{Lactococcus lactis} (Bil309) | NP_076733.1    |
| 16  | 139            | Hypothetical protein | 28/59 (171) | \textit{Clostridium difficile} 630 | CAJ67750.1    |
| 17  | 104            | HNH Endonuclease   | 41/59 (74)  | \textit{Geobacillus} (GBSVI) | ABC61271.1    |
| 18  | 142            | Hypothetical protein | 98/100 (136) | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 | BAD49213.1    |
| 19  | 104            | Hypothetical protein | 97/100 (93)  | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 | BAD49214.1    |
| 20  | 320            | Hypothetical protein | 99/100 (294) | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 | BAD49215.1    |
| 21  | 113            | Hypothetical protein | 99/99 (109)  | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 | BAD49216.1    |
| 22  | 428            | Ctm003            | 39/53 (420) | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 | AAS83476.1    |
| 23  | 175            | Ctm002            | 35/48 (134) | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 | AAS83475.1    |
| 24  | 253            | Putative DNA Methylase | 100/100 (253) | \textit{Lactococcus lactis} (Tuc2009) | NP_108695.1    |
| 25  | 137            | Hypothetical protein | 88/88 (116)  | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 | BAD49220.1    |
| 26  | 124            | Hypothetical protein | 88/88 (116)  | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 | BAD49220.1    |
Bioinformatics and sequence analysis
Members of the C10 protease family in \textit{B. fragilis} were detected by BLAST analysis [43]. Sequences were aligned by CLUSTAL W [44] or T-Coffee [45]. Protein secondary structure was predicted using GorIV [46] and JPred [47]. Protein export signals were identified using the algorithms using LipPred [23], LipoP [48], SignalP [25] and PSORTb [26]. Phylogenetic and molecular evolutionary analyses were conducted using genetic-distance-based neighbour-joining algorithms [49] within MEGA Version 4.0 http://www.megasoftware.net/. Bootstrap analysis for 1000 replicates was performed to estimate the confidence of tree topology [50]. MegaBLAST [51] was used to search all NCBI genomes for Bfgi1 and Bfgi2.

Molecular techniques
Standard techniques were employed for molecular analysis [52]. \textit{Bacteroides} genomic DNA was prepared as described by [53]. Total microbial DNA was extracted from human faeces, collected under an ethically approved protocol, by a glass beads-Qiagen Stool kit method previously described [54]. PCR reactions were carried using 10-30 ng of genomic DNA from \textit{B. fragilis} and \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron} as template and using Phusion Polymerase (New England Biolabs). The primers Bfp3\_F and Bfgi2\_Int\_F (Table 4) were used for detecting the \textit{attP} sites for Bfgi2. Bfgi2\_attB\_F and Bfgi2\_attB\_R (Table 4) were used for determining the \textit{attB} attachment sites for Bfgi2 integration. The primers TraQ\_F and Int\_F were used in testing for the presence of the circular intermediate for Bfgi1. Primers to detect the circular intermediate for both Bfgi1 and Bfgi2 were designed, pointing outwards, flanking the ends of each predicted element. Primers to detect the \textit{attB} site in Bfgi2 were designed, pointing inwards, flanking the proposed excision point for the Bfgi2 prophage DNA.

Total RNA isolation for Reverse Transcription analysis
\textit{B. fragilis} 638R and \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron} VPI-5482 were cultured under anaerobic conditions until early logarithmic phase and the cultures were then immediately centrifuged for 15 minutes at 4000 × g. Total RNA extraction from \textit{B. fragilis} 638R and \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron} VPI-5482 was carried out using the FastRNA Pro Blue Kit according to manufacturer’s instructions (Q-Biogene, UK). Total RNA was subjected to DNase treatment using Turbo DNase (Ambion, UK) and stored at -80°C. RNA integrity was analyzed visually using denaturing 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis and quantified using a NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Reverse transcription PCR for C10 proteases was performed using the Superscript III One-step RT-PCR system (Invitrogen, USA). Primers used in RT-PCR reactions are documented in Table 4. Primers were added to a final concentration of 200 nM and 200 ng of total RNA added. As a control for DNA contamination, RT-PCR minus reactions was set up where the control reaction only received primers after the reverse transcriptase step. Aliquots (20 μl from 25 μl) of all samples were analyzed by standard agarose gel electrophoresis.

---

Table 6: Annotation of genes in the \textit{B. fragilis} 638R Bfgi2 insertion. (Continued)

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
|27 | 150 | NinG recombination protein | 98/98 (125) | A. \textit{actinomyces} commenita (AaPh23) bacteriophage bb bacteriophage |
|28 | 126 | Hypothetical protein | 93/94 (116) | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 |
|29 | 149 | DNA Topoisomerase I | 32/51 (82) | \textit{Pediooccus} \textit{pentosaceus} ATCC25745 |
|30 | 106 | Excisionase | 42/61 (52) | \textit{Colwellia} \textit{psychrerythraea} 34H |
|31 | 198 | Hypothetical protein | 66/74 (110) | \textit{B. fragilis} YCH46 |
|32 | 137 | Peptidase S24 | 29/50 (81) | \textit{Flavobacterium} \textit{johnsoniae} |
|33 | 121 | Hypothetical protein | 35/52 (120) | \textit{Pelobacter} \textit{carbinolicus} |
|34 | 431 | C10 protease | 28/45 (375) | \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron} |

---

\(^{a}\)Percentage identity/similarity, the number in parenthesis is the number of amino acids used in the calculations.  
\(^{b}\)The organism, with associated bacteriophage in parenthesis where applicable.  
\(^{c}\)Accession number of the highest scoring BLAST hit with an annotated function.
Figure 6 The prophage carrying bfp3 is capable of excision. Panel A. The Bfgi2 prophage (grey bar) is flanked by the B. fragilis 638R genome (black bar). The bfp3 gene (open white arrow), tRNAArg (white arrowhead) and genes flanking Bfgi2 (mid-grey) are shown. The attR and attL sequences (underlined) are shown in the expanded sequence. The locations of primers used in these studies are shown by small black arrows (see Table 4). Panel B. Agarose gel electrophoretic analysis of PCR reactions to test for excision of the prophage (Lane 2) and for the circular intermediate of the phage (Lane 3). Lane 1 contains DNA size markers. Panel C. Schematic representation of the 638R genome, after excision of the Bfgi2 element. Colour scheme is as for panel A. The regenerated attB site (underlined) is shown in the expanded sequence.

Table 7: Bacterial strains used in this study

| B. fragilis strain | Source of isolate                  | Reference |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| 638R              | Clinical isolate, human           | [57]      |
| YCH46a            | Bacteraemia, human                | [19]      |
| NCTC9343          | Appendice abscess, human          | [58]      |
| NCTC9344          | Septic operation wound, human     | [59]      |
| NCTC10581         | Empyema fluid, human              | [60]      |
| NCTC10584         | Pus, human                        | [58]      |
| NCTC11295         | Pus from fistula, human           | [61]      |
| NCTC11625         | Post-operative wound infection, human | [62] |

* Analysis of genome sequence only.
Induction of Bfg1 and Bfg2 excision from the B. fragilis 638R genome

*B. fragilis* 638R was grown overnight and then sub-cultured by a 1 in 50 dilution into fresh broth and grown until late log phase. The culture was then exposed to either Mitomycin C (0.2 µg/ml), Tetracycline (0.5 µg/ml) UV light (1 ml/cm²) then grown for a further 12 hours.
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