Capillarity driven spreading of circular drops of shear-thinning fluid
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Abstract

We investigate the spreading of thin, circular liquid drops of power-law rheology. We derive the equation of motion using the thin film approximation, construct source-type similarity solutions and compute the spreading rate, apparent contact angles and height profiles. In contrast with the spreading of Newtonian liquids, the contact line paradox does not arise for shear thinning fluids.

In this work we study the spreading of circular drops of power-law rheology fluids, also known as Ostwald-de Waele fluids [1]. The power-law rheology is one of the simplest generalizations of the Newtonian one, in which the effective viscosity is assumed to be a power law of the local rate of deformation \( \dot{\gamma} \) as \( \mu = m|\dot{\gamma}|^{1/\lambda - 1} \). The values of \( m \) and \( \lambda \) depend on the physical properties of the liquid. When \( \lambda > 1 \), the fluid is called shear-thinning and the viscosity tends to zero at high strain rates [1].

The problem of drop spreading has been intensively studied in the last decades (see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]). The motivation is that this class of flows plays a very important role in processes such as coating and painting. One reason for our study is that while the past work considers only Newtonian fluids, most of the fluids of technological interest are non-Newtonian.

There is also a theoretical motivation: one modelling difficulty for Newtonian fluids is the paradox of the contact line, that states that the dissipation of energy is unbounded near the advancing contact line of a Newtonian fluid. This paradox may be solved, for example, by introducing the effect of the intermolecular forces, or abandoning the no-slip boundary condition at the substrate. Here
we show the remarkable fact that for power-law fluids with \( \lambda > 1 \) the paradox does not arise. Moreover, there are solutions with moving interfaces, and their energy dissipation rate is bounded. The qualitative reason lies in the fact that the flow creates a layer adjacent to the substrate where the strain rate diverges, and thus, for \( \lambda > 1 \) the effective viscosity \( \eta \) tends to zero. In other words, the drop self-lubricates.

Spreadings of power law fluids were first studied experimentally in \[7\] and the one dimensional flow was analyzed in \[8, 9\]. Here we construct for the first time radially symmetric spreading solutions, which can be used directly to model droplet spreading.

In power-law fluids, the deviatoric stress tensor \( \tau_{ij} \) is related to the strain tensor according to the following constitutive relation \[1, 10\]:

\[
\tau_{ij} = m |\dot{\gamma}|^{1/\lambda - 1} \dot{\gamma}_{ij},
\]

where \( |\dot{\gamma}| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \) and \( \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \) is the strain tensor, given by

\[
\dot{\gamma}_{ij} = \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i}.
\]

In order to derive the equation of motion we use the lubrication approximation, which is widely applied in the case of Newtonian flows (for which \( \lambda = 1 \)) (see for instance \[6, 2\] and references therein). We assume that the film is much thinner than its horizontal dimension, that the motion is nearly horizontal and that the inertial effects are negligible so that the flow is governed by a balance between capillary and viscous forces. We use a cylindrical coordinate system \((r, \theta, z)\) and assume circular symmetry. In this system, the velocity of the fluid has components \((u(r, z, t), 0, v(r, z, t))\) and the substrate is at \(z = 0\). We neglect systematically the \(z\) component of the velocity when it is compared with the \(r\) component, \(|u| \gg |v|\). We also assume that the stresses are mainly due to high gradients of the horizontal velocity \(u\) in the \(z\) direction. Consistently, we assume that the components \(\dot{\gamma}_{rz}\) and \(\tau_{rz}\) are much larger than all its other respective components. Then, the \(r\)-component of the momentum equation is reduced to

\[
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \tau_{rz}}{\partial z} = 0
\]

where \(p\) is the pressure. Let \(z = h(r, t)\) be the fluid free surface. The conservation of mass can be written within the lubrication approximation as

\[
h_t + \frac{1}{r} (r U h)_r = 0,
\]

where \(U\) is the horizontal velocity averaged in the \(z\) coordinate. We assume that the drop size is much smaller than the capillary length. Then we can neglect
gravity and the pressure under the free surface may be approximated by

\[ p(r, t) = \gamma \kappa + p_0 \]  

(5)

where \( \gamma \) is the surface tension, \( \kappa \) is the mean curvature of the free surface and \( p_0 \) the atmospheric pressure. Under the lubrication approximation the curvature can be approximated by

\[ \kappa = -h_{rr} - \frac{h_r}{r}. \]  

(6)

One can integrate Eq. 3 with respect to \( z \) to obtain the shear stress

\[ \tau_{rz} = p_r (z - h), \]  

(7)

that satisfies the zero-tangential stress condition at the free surface \( z = h(r, t) \). By using the constitutive relation given by Eq. 1,

\[ \tau_{rz} = m |u_z|^\lambda - m^{1/\lambda - 1}u_z, \]  

(8)

and by substituting \( \tau_{rz} \) from Eq. 7 we can compute the \( z \) derivative of the horizontal velocity,

\[ u_z = -m^{-\lambda} |p_r|^\lambda - p_r (h - z)^\lambda. \]  

(9)

After integration and using the no-slip condition \( u(z = 0) = 0 \) one obtains

\[ u = m^{-\lambda} |p_r|^\lambda - p_r \left( \frac{(h - z)^{\lambda+1}}{\lambda + 1} - \frac{h^{\lambda+1}}{\lambda + 1} \right). \]  

(10)

Now, in order to apply the equation for the conservation of the mass Eq. 4 we need the averaged velocity \( U \),

\[ U = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h u \, dz = -m^{-\lambda} |p_r|^\lambda - p_r \frac{h^{\lambda+1}}{\lambda + 2}. \]  

(11)

Finally, using Eq. 4 we obtain the equation of motion

\[ h_t - \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{\lambda + 2} \left( \frac{\gamma}{m} \right)^\lambda (rh^{\lambda+2}|\kappa_r|^\lambda |\kappa_r|)_r = 0, \]  

(12)

which forms a system of partial differential equations with Eq 6.

Now we solve the problem in which a finite volume \( V \) of fluid is initially concentrated on a point in a planar surface. As there are no external length-scales in this problem, we shall look for similarity solutions of the first kind

\[ h(r, t) = \frac{B}{t^{\beta}} H \left( \frac{r}{At^\beta} \right) \]  

(13)

where \( A \) and \( B \) are constants and \( \beta \) is the similarity exponent. The argument of \( H \) is the similarity variable \( \eta = r/At^\beta \). If the function \( H(\eta) \) has a zero at \( \eta_f \) then the front of the spreading will be given by

\[ r_f(t) = A \eta_f t^\beta. \]  

(14)
Eq. 13 guarantees that the fluid volume $V$ is conserved, i.e. that

$$V = \int_0^{r(t)} 2\pi rh(r,t)dr = A^2BI = \text{const.}$$

where we define the shape factor,

$$I = \int_0^{\eta} 2\pi\eta H(\eta)d\eta.$$  

It is convenient to introduce the dimensionless curvature

$$K = -H'' - \frac{H'}{\eta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

such that, from Eq. 6, $\kappa(r,t) = K(\eta)V/(A^4t^{4\beta})$. By substituting Eq. 13 in Eq. 12 we find that the similarity exponent is given by

$$\beta = \frac{1}{7\lambda + 3}.$$  

By writing $A^{2\lambda+3} = \frac{7\lambda+2}{\lambda+2} (\gamma/m)^\lambda (V/I)^{2\lambda+1}$ and integrating once, we obtain the ODE

$$-\eta H = H^{\lambda+2} |K'|^{\lambda-1} K'$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

which has to be integrated with Eq. 15. It is clear that for $\eta \geq 0$ and $H \geq 0$, $K' \leq 0$ and then the equation can be written as

$$\eta H = H^{\lambda+2} (-K')^\lambda.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

The initial conditions are

$$H(0) = 1, \quad H'(0) = 0, \quad K(0) = \kappa_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The first condition represents the scaled height at the center of the drop, the second is the radial symmetry condition, and the third is the central value of the curvature, which is a free parameter $\kappa_0$ that has to be calculated.

Using Eq. 14 we obtain a simple formula to compute the radius of the drop as a function of the volume $V$, $\gamma$ and $m$:

$$r_f(t) = S_\lambda \left( V^{2\lambda+1} (\gamma/m)^\lambda t \right)^{1/(7\lambda+3)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where $S_\lambda = ((7\lambda+3)/(\lambda+2))^{1/(7\lambda+3)} \eta_f/I^{(2\lambda+1)/(7\lambda+3)}$ depends only on $\lambda$.

The apparent contact angle of the drop is defined as $\tan \theta(t) = -h_r(r_i,t)$, where $r_i$ is the point of maximum slope, $h_{rr}(r_i,t) = 0$. By simple substitution,

$$\tan \theta(t) = Q_\lambda V^{\lambda/(7\lambda+3)} (m/\gamma)^{3\lambda/(7\lambda+3)} t^{-3/(7\lambda+3)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

where $Q_\lambda = -H'(\eta_i)((\lambda + 2)/(7\lambda + 3))^{3/(7\lambda+3)} I^{-\lambda/(7\lambda+3)}$ and $\eta_i$ is the point where $H''(\eta_i) = 0$. 
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We solve Eqs. 15 and 17 subject to Eq. 18 numerically with a fourth order Runge-Kutta scheme, with a variable stepsize equal to $H/10000$. In Fig. 1 we show several height profiles for different values of $\kappa_0$ with $\lambda = 5/2$ fixed. For $\kappa_0 < 3.00428$ the solution is always positive: this solution does not represent a drop. For $\kappa_0 > 3.00428$, the drop profile tends to zero linearly at $\eta = \eta_f$. For a critical value of $\kappa_0 \approx 3.00428$ the profile tends to zero with zero contact angle. This case represents a drop of a liquid that wets the surface. A similar picture is obtained for all $\lambda > 1$. In general, there is a solution with zero contact angle for a critical value of $\kappa_0 = \kappa_\lambda$ and a continuum of other solutions with finite contact angle for $\kappa_0 > \kappa_\lambda$. In this sense, $\kappa_\lambda$ is an eigenvalue for zero contact angle drops.

From the numerical calculations we observe that the values of $S_\lambda$ are maxima for the solutions with zero contact angle. That means that for fixed $V$, $m$ and $\gamma$, the zero contact angle drops have maximum spreading speed (see Eq. 19).

In Fig. 2 we show the solution with zero contact angle for several values of $\lambda = 1.1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4$ and 5. The region where the slope tends to zero near the front is very small. There, the asymptotic expansion of the solution with zero contact angle is $H(\eta) =
\left(\frac{(2\lambda + 1)^3}{3\lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda + 2)}\right)^{\lambda/(2\lambda + 1)}\eta_f^{1/(2\lambda + 1)}(\eta_f - \eta)^{3\lambda/(2\lambda + 1)}$

+ lower order terms.

In Table 1 we give the values of $S_\lambda$ and $Q_\lambda$ as a function of $\lambda$. They may be useful for future experimental comparisons using Eq. 19 and Eq. 20.

Finally, within the lubrication approximation, the dissipation rate of energy is

$D = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda + 2}\gamma \left(\frac{\gamma}{m}\right)^{\lambda} \int_0^{r_f} r|k_r|^{\lambda+1} h^{\lambda+2} dr$

and for our self similar solution, it is proportional to

$2\pi \int_0^{\eta_f} \eta |k'|^{\lambda+1} H^{\lambda+2} d\eta.$

and then, using Eq. 21 it is easy to see that the local rate of dissipation of energy

| $\lambda$ | $\kappa_\lambda$ | $\eta_f$ | $I$ | $S_\lambda$ | $Q_\lambda$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.1 | 7.63400 | 0.73220 | 0.83124 | 0.86880 | 1.814294 |
| 1.5 | 4.08651 | 1.03906 | 1.61381 | 0.99651 | 1.137746 |
| 2.0 | 3.29562 | 1.19915 | 2.08030 | 1.05262 | 0.951946 |
| 2.5 | 3.00428 | 1.28882 | 2.34984 | 1.08074 | 0.878580 |
| 3.0 | 2.85609 | 1.34757 | 2.52782 | 1.09766 | 0.840258 |
| 4.0 | 2.71025 | 1.42076 | 2.74976 | 1.11683 | 0.802190 |
| 5.0 | 2.64043 | 1.46484 | 2.88307 | 1.12721 | 0.784121 |

Table 1: Constants defining the selfsimilar solutions.
converges near the front. As a consequence, in contrast with a newtonian fluid, the paradox of the contact line does nor arise for power law fluids with $\lambda > 1$.
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Fig 1- Solutions for $\lambda = 5/2$ and $\kappa = 4, 3.00428$ and 2 (from left to right).

Fig 2- Zero contact angle solutions for $\lambda = 1.1, 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0$ and 5.0 (from left to right).
