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Abstract

The precursors to larger, biologically relevant molecules are detected throughout interstellar space, but determining the presence and properties of these molecules during planet formation requires observations of protoplanetary disks at high angular resolution and sensitivity. Here, we present 0"/3 observations of HC$_3$N, CH$_3$CN, and c-C$_3$H$_2$ in five protoplanetary disks observed as part of the Molecules with ALMA at Planet-forming Scales (MAPS) Large Program. We robustly detect all molecules in four of the disks (GM Aur, AS 209, HD 163296, and MWC 480) with tentative detections of c-C$_3$H$_2$ and CH$_3$CN in IM Lup. We observe a range of morphologies—central peaks, single or double rings—with no clear correlation in morphology between molecule or disk. Emission is generally compact and on scales comparable with the millimeter dust continuum. We perform both disk-integrated and radially resolved rotational diagram analysis to derive column densities and rotational temperatures. The latter reveals 5–10 times more column density in the inner 50–100 au of the disks when compared with the disk-integrated analysis. We demonstrate that CH$_3$CN originates from lower relative heights in the disks when compared with HC$_3$N, in some cases directly tracing the disk midplane. Finally, we find good agreement between the ratio of small to large nitriles in the outer disks and comets. Our results indicate that the protoplanetary disks studied here are host to significant reservoirs of large organic molecules, and that this planet- and comet-building material can be chemically similar to that in our own solar system. This paper is part of the MAPS special issue of the Astrophysical Journal Supplement.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Protoplanetary disks (1300); Astrochemistry (75); Interstellar molecules (849); Planet formation (1241)

1. Introduction

Protoplanetary disks host the basic ingredients for planet formation. The abundance and spatial distribution of organic molecules are of particular importance because the most complex of these—the so-called complex organic molecules (COMs)—are the precursors of larger, prebiotic molecules (see, e.g., Herbst & van Dishoeck 2009). These molecules are the vital bridges between the relatively simple molecules that are abundant in circumstellar environments, e.g., CO, and those important for life, such as amino acids. We know that the surface of the young Earth was seeded with organic material via impacts from planetesimals (comets and asteroids) that formed within the disk around the young Sun (see Altwegg et al. 2019). However, it remains unclear whether or not a complex organic reservoir is present in all protoplanetary disks, thus determining their propensity for developing life-friendly environments.
The study of the chemical content of protoplanetary disks began during the advent of (sub)millimeter astronomy that enabled the detection of rotational transitions of small molecules such as CO, HCO$^+$, CN, CS, C$_2$H, HCN, HNC, and H$_2$CO (e.g., Dutrey et al. 1997; Kastner et al. 1997; van Zadelhoff et al. 2001; Aikawa et al. 2003; Thi et al. 2004; Öberg et al. 2010). In these pioneering studies, it was realized that the gas-phase abundances of these species in protoplanetary disks were orders of magnitude lower than those in nearby dark clouds. The prevailing explanation is that disks have a cold, dense midplane where most species are frozen out as ices on dust grain surfaces, and a surface layer where dissociation and ionization dominates. Gas-phase molecules are confined to a warm molecular layer between these two regions (Aikawa et al. 2002). Models suggest that larger molecules can be efficiently formed within the ices on dust grains (e.g., Walsh et al. 2014), and that processes such as nonthermal desorption are required to release these strongly bound molecules into the gas phase. Thus, the detection of emission from such species provides insight into the composition and distribution of the organic ice reservoir.

The younger phases ($\lesssim$1 Myr) of both low- and high-mass star formation host organic-rich reservoirs (for reviews, see Herbst & van Dishoeck 2009; Caselli & Ceccarelli 2012; Jorgensen et al. 2020), but it remains unclear what degree of this organic-rich material is inherited by the protoplanetary disk (e.g., Drozdovskaya et al. 2016, 2019; Bianchi et al. 2019). The unique chemical structure of protoplanetary disks and their small angular size presents challenges when attempting to detect rotational line emission from larger organic molecules. Because the bulk of the mass of protoplanetary disks has a temperature less than $\sim$100 K, most large organics are hosted on ice mantles, significantly reducing the gas-phase abundances. In addition, the larger the molecule, the more complex the spectrum, and the larger the partition function, leading to (in general) significantly weaker emission for individual transitions (Herbst & van Dishoeck 2009). Hence, it has only been very recently that organic molecules with more than four atoms have been successfully detected in protoplanetary disks, and this has been facilitated by the availability of very sensitive, high-angular-resolution observations.

Searches facilitated by interferometers have revealed the prevalence of larger hydrocarbons and complex nitriles in protoplanetary disks. Chapillon et al. (2012), Qi et al. (2013), and Òberg et al. (2015) report the first detections of gas-phase HC$_3$N (cyanoacetylene), c-C$_3$H$_2$ (cyclopropanylidene), and CH$_3$CN (methyl cyanide) in protoplanetary disks, respectively. Follow-up studies and surveys, facilitated by the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA), have confirmed the relative ubiquity of these molecules in several nearby well-studied protoplanetary disks (Bergin et al. 2016; Bergner et al. 2018; Kastner et al. 2018; Loomis et al. 2020; Facchini et al. 2021). Their prevalence appears to be connected to an enhanced ratio of elemental carbon relative to elemental oxygen in the disk atmosphere, caused by physical and chemical processing of oxygen-rich ices that effectively removes oxygen from the gas phase (e.g., Kama et al. 2016; Du et al. 2017). On the other hand, oxygen-bearing COMs have remained elusive (Carney et al. 2019). Until recently, CH$_3$OH and HCOOH had only been detected in the closest protoplanetary disk, TW Hya (Walsh et al. 2016; Favre et al. 2018). Further detections of CH$_3$OH are now beginning to emerge, but these have so far been confined to young, warm disks (van’t Hoff et al. 2018; Lee et al. 2019; Podio et al. 2020) or those with irradiated cavities (Booth et al. 2021).

Nonetheless, models have shown that a combination of both gas-phase chemistry and ice-mantle chemistry is needed to explain the abundances of both the complex nitriles and O-bearing organics detected thus far (Loomis et al. 2018a; Le Gal et al. 2019).

In this paper, we report high-angular-resolution (0″3) observations of multiple transitions of HC$_3$N, c-C$_3$H$_2$, and CH$_3$CN toward five nearby protoplanetary disks with ALMA (IM Lup, GM Aur, AS 209, HD 163296, and MWC 480). These data were collected as part of the Molecules with ALMA at Planet-forming Scales (MAPS) Large Program, which has the overarching aim of elucidating the chemistry of planet formation (see Òberg et al. 2021 for further details), and the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we outline our methods for detecting, imaging, and analyzing the line emission from each of the target disks. In Section 3, we report images of the line emission, present azimuthally averaged radial profiles of the emission, and use these data to determine both disk-averaged and radially resolved column densities and rotational temperatures (where data quality allows). We compare the obtained molecular distributions and rotational temperatures with those available in the literature. In Section 4, we discuss the trends present in our sample, and compare our retrieved parameters with available model results in order to constrain the chemical origin of these larger hydrocarbons and nitriles. We also compare the radial emission profiles of each molecule with the millimeter dust emission of each disk, and discuss implications of the dust sculpting on the resulting molecular distributions and emission patterns. Finally, we present a future outlook for studies of complex organic molecules in protoplanetary disks.

2. Methods

2.1. Overview of Observations

The data presented here were collected as part of the ALMA Large Program MAPS$^{25}$ (project ID 2018.1.01055.L, co-PIs, K.I. Òberg, Y. Aikawa, E. A. Bergin, V. V. Guzmán, and C. Walsh). Full details of the scientific scope and targets of the program are provided in Òberg et al. (2021). Hence, we provide only a brief overview here and limit our description to information pertinent to the scope of this work.

MAPS targeted five protoplanetary disks—IM Lup, GM Aur, AS 209, HD 163296, and MWC 480—using four spectral settings: two in Band 3 and two in Band 6. Together these settings covered 15 transitions of the large organic molecules HC$_3$N, CH$_3$CN, and c-C$_3$H$_2$ (see Figure 1). Observations using two antenna configurations (compact and extended) were used to recover both large- and small-scale emission from the disks. Standard calibration routines were initially performed by ALMA staff, supplemented by additional self-calibration to improve the signal-to-noise ratio (see Òberg et al. 2021 for full details).

2.2. Matched Filtering

Several of our target lines are predicted to be relatively weak; hence, we initially processed the measurement sets of the line-containing spectral windows using a matched filter as described in Loomis et al. (2018b) (see Figure 2). Several Keplerian filters were attempted with varying radial extents, from the full spatial extent of the $^{12}$CO emission, down to very compact filters matched to the emitting radius seen in test images of the target lines. We used the matched filtering response $\sigma_7$ to define two classes of line detection: tentative

$^{25}$ http://www.alma-maps.info
(where $3 < \sigma_f < 5$) and robust (where $\sigma_f > 5$). This ensured our criteria for detection were not influenced by our choice of imaging parameters. We further discuss the results of the matched filtering in Section 3.1.

### 2.3. Imaging

Imaging was performed using the tclean task available in CASA version 6 (McMullin et al. 2007). During cleaning, Keplerian masks were created based on the known geometry of the disks to select regions in position–position–velocity space with emission. The residual scaling technique of Jorsater & van Moorsel (1995) was applied to the final image cubes to mitigate artifacts introduced by the combination of data from multiple antenna configurations. Detailed explanations of these steps are provided in Czekala et al. (2021).

In this work, we make use of the fiducial MAPS imaging products. Given the aforementioned weak nature of many of our targeted lines, coupled with the fact that we compare observations across ALMA Bands 3 and 6, all data presented in this paper utilize images with circularized beams of size $\sim 0.3''$, obtained by applying a $uv$ taper during imaging (see Section 6.2 of Czekala et al. 2021). This was found to provide the optimal combination of angular resolution and sensitivity for our analysis. All image cubes were post-processed in a homogeneous manner through our dedicated pipeline to produce integrated intensity maps (zeroth moment; see Figure 1 and Appendix A) and azimuthally averaged radial emission profiles (see Section 3.3 and Law et al. 2021a, for further details).

### 2.4. Shifting and Stacking

In order to robustly recover emission in the image plane from the weakest transitions, we utilize the line shifting and stacking technique available within the GoFish package, making use of the integrated_spectrum() function (Teague 2019). This approach exploits the known geometry and velocity structure of the disk to deproject the rotation profile and combine Doppler shifted emission to a common centroid velocity reference frame. This results in a single disk-integrated...
HC3N

| Transition | Frequency (GHz) | $\sigma_f$ | $S_i \Delta \nu$ (mJy km s$^{-1}$) | $\sigma_f$ | $S_i \Delta \nu$ (mJy km s$^{-1}$) | $\sigma_f$ | $S_i \Delta \nu$ (mJy km s$^{-1}$) | $\sigma_f$ | $S_i \Delta \nu$ (mJy km s$^{-1}$) | $\sigma_f$ | $S_i \Delta \nu$ (mJy km s$^{-1}$) |
|------------|----------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| 11–10      | 100.0763920    | <3        | <11.5                            | 14.7      | 31.3 ± 5.5                       | 27.6      | 118.0 ± 6.6                     | 32.8      | 128.8 ± 5.6                     | 26.9      | 74.1 ± 5.8                       |
| 29–28      | 263.792080     | <3        | <4.3                             | 11.1      | 87.7 ± 2.3                       | 9.9       | 38.5 ± 2.3                      | 24.4      | 196.9 ± 3.0                     | 19.0      | 124.2 ± 3.1                     |
| CH3CN      |                |           |                                  |           |                                  |           |                                  |           |                                  |           |                                  |
| 6λ–5λ      | 110.330454     | <3        | <3.9                             | <3        | <2.9                             | <3        | <5.3                            | <3        | <2.7                            | <3        | <7.6                            |
| 6λ–5λ      | 110.349470     | <3        | <3.6                             | <3        | <2.6                             | <3        | <5.1                            | <3        | <2.5                            | <3        | <8.2                            |
| 6λ–5λ      | 110.364350     | <3        | <3.6                             | <3        | <2.7                             | <3        | <5.4                            | <3        | <2.7                            | <3        | <8.8                            |
| 6λ–5λ      | 110.374989     | <3        | <3.5                             | 3.1       | 1.1 ± 0.9                        | <3        | <5.7                            | <3        | <2.8                            | <3        | <9.2                            |
| 6λ–5λ      | 110.381372     | <3        | <3.6                             | 3.4       | 5.3 ± 1.0                        | 5.5       | 12.1 ± 1.8                      | 4.1       | 5.6 ± 0.9                       | 6.7       | 16.6 ± 2.8                       |
| 6λ–5λ      | 110.383500     | <3        | <3.6                             | 3.2       | 3.0 ± 0.9                        | 6.1       | 8.6 ± 1.7                       | 3.0       | 7.8 ± 0.9                       | 7.7       | 8.9 ± 2.7                       |
| 12λ–11λ    | 220.709017     | <3        | <3.7                             | 4.8       | 5.9 ± 0.3                        | <3        | <4.3                            | 3.2       | 8.2 ± 0.8                       | 9.1       | 22.8 ± 1.3                      |
| 12λ–11λ    | 220.730261     | <3        | <3.5                             | 7.9       | 9.3 ± 0.4                        | <3        | <4.1                            | 6.5       | 12.5 ± 0.8                      | 8.0       | 26.1 ± 1.3                      |
| 12λ–11λ    | 220.743011     | 3.7       | 12.1 ± 1.2                       | 12.6      | 12.8 ± 0.4                       | 7.4       | 15.3 ± 1.5                      | 8.2       | 22.8 ± 0.9                      | 14.1      | 31.4 ± 1.4                      |
| 12λ–11λ    | 220.747261     | <3        | <3.6                             | 10.9      | 14.2 ± 0.4                       | 9.2       | 24.3 ± 1.4                      | 7.9       | 22.3 ± 0.8                      | 16.4      | 44.3 ± 1.2                      |
| C2H2       |                |           |                                  |           |                                  |           |                                  |           |                                  |           |                                  |
| 707–6107   | 251.3143670    | 4.2       | 6.1 ± 1.4                        | 8.4       | 27.4 ± 1.6                       | 52.2      | 228.1 ± 5.8                     | 51.1      | 146.8 ± 2.8                     | 25.0      | 119.9 ± 3.2                     |
| 615–524    | 251.5087085    | <3        | <4.4                             | <3        | <4.7                             | 11.2      | 43.9 ± 2.4                      | 9.8       | 28.4 ± 1.9                      | 5.4       | 20.9 ± 2.7                      |
| 632–514    | 251.5273110    | <3        | <4.3                             | 7.2       | 11.5 ± 1.7                       | 31.9      | 133.3 ± 4.0                     | 28.4      | 91.4 ± 2.5                      | 14.8      | 72.2 ± 2.7                      |

Notes.

* CH3CN $K = 0$ and $K = 1$ are blended; fluxes are measured following the method outlined in Section 2.4.

* Includes blended para transition (717–6106) at the same frequency; see Section 3.4.

Table 1

Peak Matched Filter Response ($\sigma_f$) and Disk-integrated Fluxes ($S_i \Delta \nu$) for Each Transition and Disk

2.5. Rotational Diagrams

We exploit the fact that we have multiple transitions of each molecule to empirically extract both disk-integrated and radial-dependent column densities, $N_T$, and rotational temperatures, $T_{rot}$, wherever possible. Our methodology, which is similar to that presented in Loomis et al. (2018a), can be described as follows. Under the assumption of optically thin emission, the surface brightness of line emission $I_a$ is related to the column density of molecules in the upper level of each transition $N_a^{thin}$ via

$$I_a = \frac{A_{ul} N_a^{thin} h c}{4 \pi \Delta \nu},$$

in which $A_{ul}$ is the Einstein A coefficient and $\Delta \nu$ is the intrinsic line width (see, e.g., Goldsmith & Langer 1999). In the case of disk-averaged emission, $I_a = S_i / \Omega$, where $S_i$ is the flux density and $\Omega$ is the solid angle subtended by the emission, calculated from the radial extent of each line. Substituting for $I_a$ and inverting this relation gives

$$N_a^{thin} = \frac{4 \pi S_i \Delta \nu}{A_{ul} \Omega h c},$$

where $S_i \Delta \nu$ is the integrated flux density reported for each of the transitions in Table 1.

This can then be related to the total column density of the emission through the Boltzmann distribution,

$$N_g / g_u = N_T e^{-E_u / k_B T_{rot}} Q(T_{rot}),$$

where $g_u$ and $E_u$ are the degeneracy and energy of the upper level, respectively (see Appendix B), and $Q(T_{rot})$ is the partition function at the rotational temperature (linearly interpolated in log-space from calculated values tabulated in the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (CDMS); see Müller et al. 2005). Taking the logarithm of Equation (3) yields

$$\ln \frac{N_g / g_u}{N_T} = \ln N_T - \ln Q(T_{rot}) - \frac{E_u}{k_B T_{rot}},$$

which can form the basis of a linear least-squares regression that derives the rotational temperature, $T_{rot}$, and total column density, $N_T$, from the best-fitting slope and intercept, respectively.

In the case that $\tau$ is not negligible, an optical depth correction factor, $C_\tau$, must be applied such that the true level
populations become
\[ N_u = N_u^{\text{thin}} C_r, \tag{5} \]
where \( C_r = \tau/(1 - e^{-\tau}) \) and Equation (4) can then be expressed as
\[ \ln \frac{N_u^{\text{thin}}}{g_u} + \ln C_r = \ln N_T - \ln Q(T_{\text{rot}}) - \frac{E_u}{k_B T_{\text{rot}}}. \tag{6} \]

The optical depth of individual transitions can be related back to the upper state level populations via
\[ \tau_{\text{ul}} = \frac{A_{\text{ul}} e^{3}}{8 \pi \nu^{3} \Delta \nu} N_u (e^{h \nu/k_B T_{\text{rot}}} - 1), \tag{7} \]
which implies that \( C_r \) can be written as a function of \( N_u \) and substituted into Equation (6) in order to construct a likelihood function \( \mathcal{L}(\text{data}, N_T, T_{\text{rot}}) \) to be used for \( \chi^2 \) minimization. We use the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) code emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) to fit the observed data using this likelihood function, generating posterior probability distributions that describe the range of possible values of both \( N_T \) and \( T_{\text{rot}} \). Best-fitting values and their uncertainties are chosen from the median and 16th–84th percentile of these posterior distributions, respectively. We assume uniform priors spanning ranges of \( 10^8 < N_T < 10^{15} \) cm\(^{-2} \) and \( 1 < T_{\text{rot}} < 150 \) K, respectively, which encompasses the typical values expected for these molecules in disks (see, e.g., Bergner et al. 2018).

The intrinsic line width, \( \Delta \nu \), can be described as a combination of thermal and turbulent broadening such that
\[ \Delta \nu = 2 \sqrt{\ln 2} \sqrt{\frac{2 k_B T_{\text{ex}}}{m_X}} + \frac{i \nu_t}{m_H \mu}, \tag{8} \]
where \( m_X \) and \( m_H \) are the masses of the molecule and hydrogen, respectively, \( \mu = 2.37 \) is the assumed mean molecular weight, and \( \nu_t \sim 0.01 \) is the assumed contribution to the line width from turbulence (expected to be small in the line-emitting region of protoplanetary disks; see, e.g., Flaherty et al. 2015). Since \( \Delta \nu \) is a function of temperature, we iterate the fitting procedure assuming \( T_{\text{ex}} = T_{\text{rot}} \) until the resulting best-fitting values for column density and rotational temperature converge, which is usually achieved within \( \lesssim 5 \) iterations.

For the determination of radially resolved quantities, we perform the same procedure as for the disk-integrated analysis but where \( S_r, \Delta \nu \) is obtained from the radial profiles in annular bins of width \( 0^0.075 \) (one quarter of a beam) and \( \Omega \) is the solid angle of each annulus. In these cases, the MCMC fitting procedure is performed independently for each bin, and the results are combined to report values of \( N_T(r), T_{\text{rot}}(r) \), and \( \tau(r) \) for each molecule in each disk.

3. Results

Here, we present the results of the matched filter analysis and the imaging, including the generated zeroth-moment maps and azimuthally averaged radial profiles, along with disk-averaged and radially resolved rotational diagram analysis for each disk.

3.1. Matched Filter Detections

As explained in Section 2, all line-containing spectral windows were analyzed using a matched filter to confirm the detection of each of our targeted species and lines (see Loomis et al. 2018a, for full details). We used a Keplerian filter that was manually varied in radial extent to achieve the highest filter response \( \langle \sigma_f \rangle \) for each molecule. We found that a 200 au radius filter was optimal for HC\(_3\)N and c-C\(_2\)H\(_2\), whereas a more compact filter with a radius of 100 au provided the strongest filter response for CH\(_3\)CN, indicating the more compact nature of the emission from this species. The results of the matched filter are shown in Figure 2.

We strongly detect \( \langle \sigma_f > 10 \rangle \) both lines of HC\(_3\)N in four out of five of our sources, but it is not detected in IM Lup. We also strongly detect \( \langle \sigma_f > 10 \rangle \) the \( 707–616 \) and \( 625–514 \) transitions of c-C\(_2\)H\(_2\) in all disks except IM Lup (where there is only a tentative detection of the \( 707–616 \) transition). The \( 615–514 \) transition of c-C\(_2\)H\(_2\) is detected with \( \langle \sigma_f > 5 \rangle \) in three out of five sources (AS 209, HD163296, and MWC 480).

For CH\(_3\)CN, we targeted the \( K = 6–5 \) transition in Band 3 and the \( J = 12–11 \) transition in Band 6. In both cases, the \( K = 0 \) and \( K = 1 \) lines are blended. These transitions of the 12–11 line of CH\(_3\)CN are well detected in all sources except IM Lup, for which there is a tentative detection of the 12–11 transition only \( \langle \sigma_f > 3 \rangle \). Further, we detect emission also from the 12–11 transition in GM Aur, HD163296, and MWC 480. The emission from CH\(_3\)CN in Band 3 is significantly weaker. We detect the 6–5 and 6–5 transitions only in AS 209, HD163296, and MWC 480. There is a tentative detection \( \langle \sigma_f > 3 \rangle \) of these transitions as well as the 6–5 transition in GM Aur.

In summary, we have detected at least two transitions of each of our targeted species in all disks except IM Lup. We confirm the previously reported detections of CH\(_3\)CN and HC\(_3\)N in HD163296 and MWC 480 by Öberg et al. (2015) and Bergner et al. (2018), and report new detections of these species in GM Aur and AS 209, as well as a tentative detection of CH\(_3\)CN in IM Lup. Further, we report new detections of c-C\(_2\)H\(_2\) in three sources (GM Aur, AS 209, and MWC 480) and confirm the previous detection in HD163296 reported by Qi et al. (2013).

3.2. Integrated Intensity Maps

Figure 1 presents integrated intensity (zeroth moment) maps for the brightest transitions of HC\(_3\)N, CH\(_3\)CN, and c-C\(_2\)H\(_2\) in our target disks (the full gallery of all transitions in all disks is shown in Appendix A).

The c-C\(_2\)H\(_2\) emission has a clear ring-like morphology in AS 209, HD163296, and MWC 480 for both transitions, potentially indicative of an association with the outer dust rings apparent in the continuum images (see Figure 1). The zeroth-moment maps show that emission from this species is weaker in GM Aur and only very tentatively present in IM Lup (confirming the matched filter analysis; see Figure 2). HC\(_3\)N also presents a ring-like morphology in both the \( J = 11–10 \) and 29–28 transitions toward AS 209 and HD163296, while only the \( J = 11–10 \) transition appears ring-like toward MWC480 (with the \( J = 29–28 \) appearing centrally peaked). GM Aur initially appears to be an outlier with centrally peaked HC\(_3\)N emission in both transitions, but we note that a ring-like morphology is also observed toward this source when examining higher-resolution data \( (0^0.15; \text{see Law et al. 2021a}) \). In most cases, the \( J = 11–10 \) transition of HC\(_3\)N emission is similarly extended to that of c-C\(_2\)H\(_2\). However, the higher-energy \( J = 29–28 \) transition \( (E_u = 190 \text{ K}) \) appears more compact in all disks in which it is well detected. For CH\(_3\)CN,
lines in the $J = 6–5$ ladder are significantly weaker than those in the $J = 12–11$ ladder. In contrast to the emission morphology for c-C$_3$H$_2$ and HC$_3$N, CH$_3$CN appears to have a clear ring-like morphology only in AS 209, with a more centrally peaked morphology present in the other sources in which it is well detected (although we note the presence of minor dips of emission in the innermost regions of HD 163296 and MWC 480 on scales comparable to the beam).

### 3.3. Radial Profiles

Radial profiles are particularly powerful at revealing substructure not immediately apparent in the integrated intensity maps, and allow us to quantify the radial extent of the emission. Figure 4 shows the azimuthally averaged radial profiles of emission (see Law et al. 2021a for details on how these are generated) of all lines detected in four of our sources: AS 209, GM Aur, HD 163296, and MWC 480. IM Lup possessed numerous nondetections, and even those lines that were found were only detected at tentative significance, so we exclude this disk from the subsequent radial analysis.

Figure 4 confirms both the relatively compact nature of emission from this suite of large organic molecules as well as the ringed morphology present in many sources. HC$_3$N exhibits either a ringed morphology (in AS 209 and HD 163296) or a centrally compact morphology (GM Aur and MWC 480). For AS 209, the lower-energy transition ($J = 11–10$; $E_u = 28.8$ K) is stronger than the higher-energy transition ($J = 29–28$; $E_u = 190$ K), by up to a factor of $\sim 1.5$ at their peak positions. For all other sources, the converse is true, with the higher-energy transition between factors of $\sim 1.5–3$ stronger at the peak of emission. In all disks, the HC$_3$N emission extends out only to the outer edge of the millimeter dust disk. For AS 209,
the emission from the lower-energy transition peaks at \( \sim 50 \) au, which lies between the third and forth millimeter dust rings (Guzmán et al. 2018b). Similarly, both transitions of HC\(_3\)N in HD 163296 peak at \( \sim 40 \) au, which lies between the first and second millimeter dust rings in the high-resolution Band 6 continuum image (Isella et al. 2018). However, there is a large caveat with regard to this comparison, namely that the synthesized beam of the high-resolution continuum images is significantly smaller than that of the lines (\( 0.03 \) versus \( 0.3 \)).

The radial profiles for CH\(_3\)CN are either centrally peaked (GM Aur and HD 163296) or display a broad ring (AS 209 and MWC 480) where the latter peaks at radii between \( \sim 30-50 \) au depending on transition. In all disks, the emission extent is well within the millimeter dust. In all cases, the \( 12_0-11_0 \) and \( 12_1-11_1 \) transitions are the strongest (\( E_u = 68.9 \) K and \( 76.0 \) K, respectively). These two Band 6 transitions are considerably stronger than the equivalent Band 3 transitions (i.e., \( 6_0-5_0 \) and \( 6_1-5_1 \); \( E_u = 18.5 \) K and \( 25.7 \) K, respectively) for all disks by factors of \( 2-3 \).

Finally, the radial profiles for c-C\(_3\)H\(_2\) present a mostly ring-like morphology in all cases. This emission appears to be related to the location of millimeter dust gaps in AS 209, HD 163296, and MWC 480 (at 60 au, 50 au, and 80 au, respectively), in agreement with the analysis of the higher-resolution (\( 0''15 \)) imaging products (Law et al. 2021a). However, for AS 209 and HD 163296, the c-C\(_3\)H\(_2\) emission peaks outside of that for HC\(_3\)N, highlighting that any correlations between dust continuum and line emission can be different for different molecules. The c-C\(_3\)H\(_2\) emission is confined to within the outer edge of millimeter emission, except for GM Aur, where there is weak extended emission. In all cases, the \( 7_07-6_{16} \) transition is the stronger of the two, although this is mainly reflecting the difference in the Einstein A coefficients for the transitions (see Table 4), as these two transitions have very similar upper energy levels. In summary, there is not a one-to-one relation between line emission from a specific species and dust morphology, nor is there a straightforward correlation between the morphology of emission between different species, except that HC\(_3\)N and CH\(_3\)CN
appear broadly similar across each disk. There are several explanations for the presence of ringed emission in molecular lines in protoplanetary disks (now widely observed; see, e.g., Pegues et al. 2020; Garufi et al. 2021), including a drop in column density in the inner regions due to destructive chemical reactions, an increase in opacity in either dust or lines masking emission from deeper layers in the inner disk regions, or a change in excitation conditions (see, e.g., Facchini et al. 2018; van der Marel et al. 2018; Alarcón et al. 2020). The one constant across the large organic species and disks is that all transitions originate on scales either less than, or comparable to, the extent of the the millimeter dust continuum in each disk.

### 3.4. Column Densities and Rotational Temperatures

Here, we present the results of the calculations of both the disk-integrated and radially resolved column densities \(N_T\) and rotational temperatures \(T_{\text{rot}}\) using the methods outlined in Section 2.5. As noted in Table 1, our measurements of the \(^{13}\)C\(\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\) \(7_{07}–6_{16}\) line are blended with the corresponding para transition \(7_{17}–6_{06}\) at the same frequency (see Spezzano et al. 2012). For the purposes of the subsequent analysis, we correct the disk-integrated and radially resolved measurements of this line assuming equal contributions from each transition and an ortho-to-para ratio of 3, representative of measurements in other protoplanetary disks (see, e.g., Guzmán et al. 2018a; Terwisscha van Scheltinga et al. 2021; Cleeves et al. 2021).

#### 3.4.1. Disk-integrated Analysis

Figure 5 shows the results of the disk-integrated rotational diagram analysis for the four disks across which multiple transitions of HC\(\text{C}_3\)N, CH\(\text{C}_3\)N, and \(^{13}\)C\(\text{C}_3\)H\(_2\) are detected. For all analysis in which data from multiple observing epochs and bands are used, we assume an additional 10% error to account for uncertainties in flux calibration. All disk-integrated column densities and rotational temperatures are presented in Table 2.

We note that, for \(^{13}\)C\(\text{C}_3\)H\(_2\), both targeted transitions are very close in upper energy level. This significantly limits the lever arm over which to calculate a gradient and thus rotational temperature. We therefore limit the range of priors for \(^{13}\)C\(\text{C}_3\)H\(_2\) such that \(T_{\text{mid},0} < T_{\text{rot}} < T_{\text{atm},0}\), where \(T_{\text{mid},0}\) and \(T_{\text{atm},0}\) are the midplane and atmospheric temperature at a radial distance of 100 au for each disk, derived from fitting multiple CO isotopologues (see Appendix C, Table 5, and Law et al. 2021b).

Where detected, the disk-integrated column of HC\(\text{C}_3\)N ranges from \(1.9 \times 10^{13}\) cm\(^{-2}\) for GM Aur to \(7.8 \times 10^{13}\) cm\(^{-2}\) for MWC 480. The disk-integrated rotational temperatures are relatively constant across AS 209, HD 163296, and MWC 480 at \(\sim 30–37\) K, but somewhat higher in GM Aur at 55 K. It is important to note that the \(J=11–10\) transition in all disks appears to be close to optically thick with \(\tau = 0.4–2.8\), which may suggest there is a reservoir of HC\(\text{C}_3\)N in the disks not probed by our observations.

The disk-integrated column of CH\(\text{C}_3\)N presents a narrow range with all values lying within \(1.7–3.5 \times 10^{12}\) cm\(^{-2}\), though
a larger range in rotational temperature is seen for the CH$_3$CN emission across the disks in the sample with $T_{\text{rot}} \sim 25$–49 K. The calculated optical depths indicate that CH$_3$CN emission is optically thin in all disks (though our radially resolved analysis reveals CH$_3$CN may be optically thick across some limited regions of the disks; see Section 3.4.2).

For c-C$_3$H$_2$, there is around a factor of six spread in the disk-integrated column densities ranging from $1.1 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ in GM Aur to $7.0 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ in AS 209. We again note that the rotational temperatures are constrained based on the temperature structure of the disks rather than population diagrams. Based on these assumptions, it appears c-C$_3$H$_2$ is optically thin across all disks.

The nondetection of HC$_3$N and only tentative detections of a single CH$_3$CN and c-C$_3$H$_2$ transition toward IM Lup prevents any determination of well-constrained values for rotational temperature or column density for these molecules. However, by performing the disk-integrated analysis where nondetections provide upper limits, we are able to determine the corresponding upper limit to column density for these molecules in the IM Lup disk (see Table 2). The resulting HC$_3$N column density of $\lesssim 5.5 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ is a factor of 3–15 times lower than values found in the other disks. Similarly, the upper limit for the column of CH$_3$CN and c-C$_3$H$_2$ in IM Lup are factors of 3–5 and 2–8 times lower than for the other disks, respectively.

### 3.4.2. Radially Resolved Analysis

The disk-integrated analysis demonstrates interesting similarities and differences across the molecules and sources in our small sample. Since the emission is spatially resolved in most cases, this allows us to also conduct a radially resolved excitation analysis to examine radially dependent variations that cannot be probed by a disk integrated analysis. Figure 6 shows the results of this analysis presenting the radial-dependent rotational temperature, column density, and optical depth profiles for all molecules and sources in which emission is well detected.

For HC$_3$N, the radial column densities reveal some structure, with a plateau of higher column densities typically reached in the inner ($\lesssim 100$ au) region ($\sim 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$) declining monotonically with radius to $\sim 10^{13}$–$10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ beyond $\sim 50$–100 au. The rotational temperature is also relatively constant across all disks at $\sim 50$ K. There is an indication of an increase in rotational temperature within the inner 20–30 au of GM Aur and MWC 480, although derived quantities in these regions may be affected by issues such as beam smearing. In addition, the emission from the $J = 11$–10 transition of HC$_3$N appears to be optically thick throughout a significant radial region of each disk, sometimes as far out as 130 au. Hence, it is possible that there is a significant reservoir of HC$_3$N in these regions of these disks to which our observations are not sensitive, and so our radially resolved column densities are likely lower limits in these regions.

For CH$_3$CN, there are more differences seen between disks in the radially resolved column densities than for HC$_3$N. This is despite the disk-integrated analysis suggesting very similar disk-integrated columns across all four sources. In general, the radially resolved column densities are between a factor of 5–10 higher than those derived from the disk-integrated analysis. For GM Aur and MWC 480, the column density monotonically decreases with radius from $\sim 5 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ to a few $10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ at approximately 100 au. On the other hand, the column density of CH$_3$CN in AS 209 and HD 163296 has more of a broad ring-like structure. The rotational temperatures of CH$_3$CN appear to show a similar radial behavior across all sources, remaining relatively constant at $30$–$40$ K within $\sim 100$ au, which is similar to values derived from the disk-integrated analysis. There are hints of a rise to $\sim 80$ K in the outer regions of GM Aur and AS 209, but this may be due to a limited signal-to-noise ratio in these regions. There is also a significant rise in rotational temperature in the inner region of AS 209 to $\sim 80$ K, as expected based on the weak $K = 0$ and $K = 1$ lines across this region (although we note that the uncertainties here are large). Our analysis also reveals that the CH$_3$CN Band 3 lines, in particular the 6$_0$–5$_0$ and 6$_1$–5$_1$ transitions, approach optical depths of 1 or higher out to 100 au in three of the disks (AS 209, HD 163296, and MWC 480) and in the inner regions ($\lesssim 20$ au) of GM Aur. This indicates that, similarly to HC$_3$N, our observations may not be sensitive to the bulk of the CH$_3$CN emitting material.

For the radially resolved analysis of c-C$_3$H$_2$, we are again limited in our calculation of a rotational temperature by the small difference in upper energy level of the targeted transitions. We therefore fix the rotational temperature to the one-dimensional power-law profile, $T(r) = T_{100} \times (r/100 \text{ au})^{-q}$, fitted to the $^{13}$CJ = 2–1 brightness temperature for each disk (see Table 5 in Appendix C and Law et al. 2021b), that we extrapolate to all radii covered by our c-C$_3$H$_2$ emission. In all cases, the emission is optically thin, or moderately optically thick ($\tau \sim 0.6$ between 70–100 au in AS 209 and MWC 480). The column density for GM Aur is broadly constant at $\sim 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$, while AS 209, HD 163296, and MWC 480 show broad peaks at values of $\sim 5$–$8 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ and monotonic decreases with radius beyond $\sim 100$ au.

In summary, our radially resolved analysis shows much more variation across the sources than suggested by a simple disk-

### Table 2

| Molecule | HC$_3$N | CH$_3$CN | c-C$_3$H$_2$
|---|---|---|---|
| | $N_T$ (cm$^{-2}$) | $T_{\text{rot}}$ (K) | $\tau_{\text{max}}$ | $N_T$ (cm$^{-2}$) | $T_{\text{rot}}$ (K) | $\tau_{\text{max}}$ | $N_T$ (cm$^{-2}$) | $T_{\text{rot}}$ (K) | $\tau_{\text{max}}$
| IM Lup | $<5.5 \times 10^{14}$ | ... | ... | $<6.5 \times 10^{14}$ | ... | ... | $<7.3 \times 10^{14}$ | ... | ... |
| GM Aur | $1.9 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{13}$ | 54.4$^{\pm 58}$ | 0.40 | $2.1 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{13}$ | 40.8$^{\pm 32}$ | 0.04 | $1.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{12}$ | 20–48 | 0.04 |
| AS 209 | $2.9 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{13}$ | 31.1$^{\pm 12}$ | 1.85 | $1.7 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{13}$ | 24.8$^{\pm 23}$ | 0.11 | $7.0 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{12}$ | 25–37 | 0.24 |
| HD 163296 | $7.3 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{13}$ | 36.9$^{\pm 22}$ | 2.62 | $2.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{13}$ | 35.1$^{\pm 19}$ | 0.07 | $2.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{12}$ | 24–63 | 0.03 |
| MWC 480 | $7.8 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{13}$ | 37.1$^{\pm 29}$ | 2.83 | $3.5 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{13}$ | 48.6$^{\pm 46}$ | 0.06 | $5.7 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{12}$ | 27–69 | 0.14 |

**Note.** Uncertainties correspond to the 16th–84th percentile of the posterior probability distribution of the MCMC fitting procedure.
integrated analysis. Of particular note are the larger peak column densities extracted for CH$_3$CN and c-C$_3$H$_2$, and the high optical depth of the 11–10 and 6–5 transitions of HC$_3$N and CH$_3$CN, respectively, indicating that for these molecules the derived column densities in the inner disk may also be lower limits.

4. Discussion

The large range of information available from the multiple species and transitions targeted with MAPS enables us to probe further into the origin of the large organic molecules studied here.
4.1. Distribution and Abundance of the Large Organics

We can use the results of our radially resolved rotation diagram analysis to further examine the origin of the emission from the large organic molecules. Law et al. (2021b) have determined two-dimensional temperature structures, $T(r, z)$, for each of our target disks based on fitting brightness temperatures from the mostly optically thick $J = 2-1$ transitions of $^{12}$CO, $^{13}$CO, and C$^{18}$O isotopologues, based on the two-layer model of Dullemond et al. (2020). We can use this temperature structure to examine the spatial origin of the emission from these molecules in each of our disks. Under the assumption that the rotational temperature of each molecule is a measure of its true temperature (a sensible approximation given the high densities in protoplanetary disks), we can use the range of derived $T_{rot}$ for each molecule from Figure 6 to map the range of heights in the disk from which the emission would originate. We can determine the radial range of emission following the approach of Law et al. (2021a) by calculating the radius encompassing 90% of flux in the radial profile from each molecule. Here, we measure this using the $0''3$ data for consistency with our previous analysis, but these only show minor differences with the results of Law et al. (2021a).

Combining these radial ($r$) and height ($z$) bounds, we can determine the two-dimensional origin of the emission for each molecule, which is shown for each disk in Figure 7.

We note that the original $T(r, z)$ structure derived in Law et al. (2021b) is not a sensitive probe of the midplane temperature structure of the disks. This is because the CO emission from which these temperature structures are calculated originates from intermediate-to-high relative heights in the disks ($z/r \gtrsim 0.1$), and temperatures below 20 K were excluded from the fitting procedure. We have therefore renormalized the $T(r, z)$ structures such that the CO snowline (assumed to be 20 K) is reached at a midplane radius consistent with Zhang et al. (2021), whose thermochemical models reproduce both the SED and radial CO column density of each disk. We also note that we exclude the c-C$_3$H$_2$ emission from this comparison, since the rotational temperature we assume is not independent of the temperature structure derived from CO in each disk.

Our analysis of the origin of the line emission in $T(r, z)$ space reveals common trends across the four disks. In all cases, the HC$_3$N emission appears to originate from the highest temperature regime of the two molecules ($\sim 30$–80 K), and therefore at higher relative heights, generally in the range of $z/r = 0.1$–0.4. In contrast, the lower measured temperature of CH$_3$CN means it originates from lower relative heights, generally $z/r \lesssim 0.1$–0.2. The underlying differences in temperature structure between the warmer disks around Herbig stars (HD 163296 and MWC 480) and the cooler disks around T Tauri stars (GM Aur and AS 209) result in differences in the location of the emitting region of the molecules. In the cooler disks, the large organics primarily trace the warm molecular layer, and may only trace the disk midplane interior to 20 au. In the warmer disks, the large organics trace a wider radial range at the midplane interior to 60 au, and the warm molecular layer exterior to this. In particular, CH$_3$CN appears to originate almost exclusively from $z/r < 0.1$ in these warm disks. Nevertheless, the general morphology of the region of emission is similar for all disks—a region close to the midplane interior to the CO snowline, and an elevated warm molecular layer beyond it. Figure 4.1 also shows the extent of the 220 GHz dust continuum emission for each disk (see Sierra et al. 2021). This spans the full radial extent of the plots for each disk (with the exception of the inner $\sim 30$ au for GM Aur), demonstrating that
from direct thermal desorption (with the possible exception of the inner \(\sim 20\) au of GM Aur and MWC 480 for HC3N, although uncertainties are large in these regions). Therefore, these species must be released from ices by nonthermal desorption processes (such as those triggered by cosmic rays and/or X-rays), or be formed in the gas phase directly.

We can also compare the column density ratios of simple and complex molecules in each disk to understand the efficiency of conversion from small to large organic species. Figure 8 shows the radially resolved ratio of column densities for HC3N/HCN, CH3CN/HCN, and c-C3H2/C2H obtained from a comparison of our results with those of Guzmán et al. (2021). We indicate the regions across which our analysis of HC3N or CH3CN emission suggests \(\tau > 1\), and thus where the column density ratio may be a lower limit. We also note that the derivation of HCN and C3H column density is based on fitting the hyperfine transitions, and is not therefore influenced by the (large) optical depth of the main line component for these molecules. For the HC3N/HCN ratio, all disks exhibit lower values in their inner (\(\lesssim 50\) au) regions of approximately 20% or less. Beyond radii at which the optical depth drops below 1 in GM Aur and HD 163296, the measured ratios increase sharply (even given the increase in associated uncertainties). The CH3CN/HCN ratio broadly follows a similar pattern to that of the HC3N/HCN, namely lower values (\(\lesssim 5\%\)) in the inner (\(\lesssim 40–80\) au) region. The CH3CN/HCN ratio rises gradually in the outer regions of all disks, reaching values of 20–30% in the outer regions (\(>50\) au) in all disks, where optical depths are below one. It is interesting to note that the general form of both the HC3N/HCN and CH3CN/HCN ratios as a function of radius (e.g., the sharp and shallow rise, respectively) are in agreement with the forward modeling retrieval performed by (Bergner et al. 2018, see their Figure 9).

In contrast to the nitriles, the c-C3H2/C2H ratio is relatively flat across all radii in each of the disks at \(\sim 5\%\). Increases of this ratio to levels of 15–20% are seen in the outer (\(\gtrsim 100\) au) regions of AS 209 and MWC 480. It is highly likely that c-C3H2 is a species that is formed purely in the gas phase in the atmospheres of protoplanetary disks similar to what is found in the interstellar medium (e.g., Loison et al. 2017). However, given the denser conditions within protoplanetary disks, a mechanism is required to maintain a source of carbon (chains) in the gas phase to seed such a chemistry, and this could potentially come from the nonthermal desorption of icy hydrocarbon precursors such as CH2 and C3H2. Hence, this molecule is a counterexample to molecules such as CH3CN for which it is known that both gas-phase and ice-phase chemistry are needed to explain its origin in protoplanetary disks (e.g., Loomis et al. 2018a). The flat profile of c-C3H2/C2H within \(100\) au suggests that the gas-phase conversion of simple to complex hydrocarbons is relatively insensitive to radial location in these regions, and occurs at a similar rate in each disk in our sample.

Column density ratios of HC3N/HCN and CH3CN/HCN are have been calculated from remote observations of comets (while c-C3H2 has not been detected), allowing us to compare the relative chemical complexity of these disks with the organic material in the solar system. Biver & Bockelée-Morvan (2019) collate these values from numerous observations and find HC3N/HCN ranges from \(\sim 1–80\%\), and CH3CN/HCN ranges from \(\sim 3–45\%\), which we also show on Figure 8. The HC3N/HCN ratio (or lower limit) is consistent with cometary

---

**Table 3**

| Disk   | Gas Mass | Gas+Ice Mass w.r.t. H2O Ice |
|--------|----------|----------------------------|
|        | HC3N (10^12 g) | CH3CN (10^12 g) | c-C3H2 (10^12 g) | HC3N (% H2O) | CH3CN (% H2O) | c-C3H2 (% H2O) |
| IM Lup | <0.01    | <0.01          | <0.01            | <0.01         | <0.01         | <0.01          |
| GM Aur | 6.0      | 3.2           | 0.5              | 0.02          | 0.01          | <0.01          |
| AS 209 | 5.8      | 1.5           | 1.7              | 0.33          | 0.08          | 0.10           |
| HD 163296 | 6.0    | 2.8           | 1.4              | 0.01          | <0.01         | <0.01          |
| MWC 480 | 7.8      | 3.2           | 1.1              | 0.01          | <0.01         | <0.01          |

**Note**

* Assuming a 1000 to 1 ice-to-gas ratio and H2O abundances from Zhang et al. (2021).

---

26 Based on binding energies from KIDA: http://kida.obs.u-bordeaux1.fr.
measurements across all of the disks out to \( \sim 100 \) au, and higher beyond this. The CH\textsubscript{3}CN/HCN ratio is mostly consistent with cometary values, but the the inner regions of the AS 209, HD 163296, and MWC 480 disks are somewhat lower than the ratio measured in comets.

The expected formation zone of comets in the solar system is generally thought to be \( \lesssim 40 \) au (see Mumma & Charnley 2011). The large-to-small organic ratios on these scales are difficult to probe in our target disks, due to the spatial resolution of our data (\( \sim 30–50 \) au). However, the general picture that emerges from this comparison is that the outer (50–100 au) regions of all disks are consistent with the composition of cometary material. In particular, the warmer HD 163296 and MWC 480 disks would likely have comet formation zones at correspondingly larger radii, and so this can be reconciled with a “scaled-up” picture of the solar system. If comets were to form in the outer regions of these disks (\( \gtrsim 50–100 \) au), then they will attain a similar composition of nitriles to those observed in the solar system.

4.3. The (Lack of) Emission from IM Lup

An obvious outlier in our small sample is the IM Lup disk, which only exhibits tentative detections of CH\textsubscript{3}CN and c-C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{2} and no detection of HC\textsubscript{3}N. This is in contrast to firm detections of the smaller organic molecules discussed previously (Bergner et al. 2019; Guzmán et al. 2021), which demonstrate the precursors of the large organic molecules are at least present in this disk. IM Lup is the youngest star–disk system in our sample at 0.2–1.3 Myr old (Alcalá et al. 2017). If these large organic molecules are primarily formed \textit{in situ} within the disk, then IM Lup may have not had sufficient time to build up a detectable gas-phase reservoir. This would be in broad agreement with dark cloud chemical models that demonstrate timescales of \( 10^5–10^6 \) yr are required to reach peak abundances for these species (see, e.g., Agúndez & Wakelam 2013). Alternatively, if the large organic molecules are primarily inherited from the protostellar phase (see, e.g., Drozdovskaya et al. 2016, 2019; Bianchi et al. 2019; Booth et al. 2021), then a short prestellar collapse phase would result in a lower abundance of these species in the disk.

The physical conditions of the IM Lup disk might also explain its lack of complex molecular emission. This disk has been found to be massive, with total disk mass estimates on the order of \( 0.17 \, M_\odot \) (Cleeves et al. 2016). This density structure results in an optically thick region at Band 6 frequencies inside \( \sim 50 \) au, which may be responsible for suppression of emission from CO isotopologues. A similar suppression of emission from other molecules should also occur, and if the emission originates from closer to the midplane (compared to CO), then this suppression may extend across a larger radial region. This scenario of flux deficit may explain the relative weakness of the large organic emission in IM Lup when compared to the other disks in our sample, and is in agreement with detailed studies of line emission from massive disks (Evans et al. 2019). Disentangling the relative importance of each of these processes on the resultant line emission from large molecules in IM Lup will require a detailed disk-specific model.

4.4. Comparison to Similar Observational Studies

Bergner et al. (2018) observed MWC 480 with ALMA and performed a rotational diagram analysis of large organic molecular emission. For HC\textsubscript{3}N, they found a disk-integrated rotational temperature of \( 49 \pm 6 \) K, similar to our derived value of \( 37.1^{+4.5}_{-2.9} \) K. However, their column density of \( 5.8 \pm 2.8 \times 10^{12} \) cm\textsuperscript{-2} is \( \sim 13 \) times lower than the value we calculate here. This difference stems primarily from the differing spatial resolution of our data, with our 0\arcsec3 observations able to place tighter constraints on the radial extent of the HC\textsubscript{3}N emission for a disk-integrated analysis.
For CH$_3$CN, Bergner et al. (2018) find a disk-integrated column density of $1.8 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$, which is similar to our value of $3.5_{-0.5}^{+0.3} \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$, but a rotational temperature of 73 $\pm$ 23 K, somewhat higher than our 48.6$^{+5.7}_{-4.8}$ K. This could be reconciled if our observations are tracing different emitting layers; Bergner et al. (2018) observed transitions with $E_u$ $\sim$ 150–250 K, while our addition of the Band 3 data allows us access transitions down to $E_u$ = 20 K.

Loomis et al. (2018a) observed several transitions of CH$_3$CN toward the T Tauri star TW Hya, performing a rotational diagram analysis across transitions spanning $E_u$ $\sim$ 70–150 K. They derive a disk-integrated column density of $1.45 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ and rotational temperature of 32 $\pm$ 4 K. While the column density is comparable to our values across all disks, the rotational temperature is generally lower (with the exception of AS 209). This may be indicative of CH$_3$CN originating from a cooler region of the TW Hya disk compared to the objects studied here, or the result of a cooler disk more generally.

Qi et al. (2013) detected c-C$_3$H$_2$ toward HD 163926 using ALMA Science Verification data, finding a single-ring structure from $\sim$30–165 au. They derive a column density of $2.2 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ at 100 au, which is very similar to our disk-integrated value. Cleeves et al. (2021) also recently reported a multi-line analysis of c-C$_3$H$_2$ toward TW Hya, and through a forward modeling approach found a best-fit column density of $1–3 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ with disk-integrated rotational temperatures for theortho and para form of $55 \pm 13$ K and $43 \pm 14$ K, respectively. Such temperatures are comparable to our assumed values from $^{13}$CO $J$ = 2–1 between 50 and 100 au in three of our disks (with GM Aur being $\sim$10 K cooler), and the column density range is comparable to our disk-integrated values. However, our radially resolved column density values are a factor of $\sim$10–50 higher.

4.5. Comparison with Disk Chemical Models

Our observationally derived quantities can be compared to chemical models of protoplanetary disks. While disk-specific models encompassing the full chemistry required to explain the abundances of these molecules are not yet available, more general disk chemical models are still informative. Walsh et al. (2014) studied the composition of a representative disk around a T Tauri star with a large gas-grain complex chemical network that included both HC$_3$N and CH$_3$CN. Their spatial distribution of gaseous HC$_3$N and CH$_3$CN is characterized by an inner, warm component reaching down to the midplane along with a population of molecules found at higher relative heights ($z/r > 0.2$) for larger radii. While the scales differ, such a morphology is in broad agreement with our derived origin of the line emission in Figure 7, though dedicated radiative transfer modeling would be needed to determine those regions of the disk that contribute the most to the emergent flux for the transitions studied here.

We can also compare our derived molecular abundances to their model via our radially resolved $N_T$ profiles. Across our disk sample, peak $N_T$(HC$_3$N) are between $10^{13}–10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ within $\sim$100 au, but are likely lower limits due to the high optical depth discussed above. Such values are up to 500 times higher than the HC$_3$N column densities seen in the Walsh et al. (2014) model at similar radii, and even $\sim$100 times higher than those at 10 au (see their Figure 8 and Table 2). A less extreme picture emerges when comparing the $N_T$(CH$_3$CN), where our derived values are $\sim$5–10 times higher than those in the model between 10 and 100 au. Exploring the chemical structure as a function of height at a radius of 300 au, Walsh et al. (2014) also found that models including only gas-phase chemistry result in the largest fractional abundance of HC$_3$N achieved in the disk atmosphere, whereas models in which grain-surface (ice) chemistry was included achieved the largest fractional abundance of gas-phase CH$_3$CN. This demonstrates the importance of a complete treatment of both gas- and ice-phase reactions in such models.

More recently, Le Gal et al. (2019) investigated the effect of changes in C/O ratio in a protoplanetary disk on the resulting abundances of nitrile species including HC$_3$N and CH$_3$CN. Their peak column densities for both species (that require C/O = 1.0 and the inclusion of grain-surface formation routes) are approximately $10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$, still a factor of 10–100 smaller than the column densities we derive across our disk sample. Wakelam et al. (2019) recently explored the impact on vertically integrated column densities of molecules in protoplanetary disks excluding and including the effects of dust growth and settling on the disk structure and chemistry and also including ice chemistry. Of particular interest for our work is the sensitivity of the column density values and distribution of HC$_3$N. In their fiducial models (no grain growth nor settling), they achieve column densities $\gtrsim 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ only within the inner 20 au, with a typical column density of $\sim 10^{11}–10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ obtained in the outer disk, and increasing with radius. This is similar to the results of Walsh et al. (2014). However, in models where grain growth and settling are included, they obtain an increase on the order of 1 to 2 orders of magnitude in the column density of HC$_3$N in the outer disk. The model that obtains the highest peak column density is also a model that begins the chemistry with atomic initial abundances, rather than molecular, indicating also the importance of having free carbon available in the gas phase for the formation of carbon-rich molecules with gas-phase formation pathways such as HC$_3$N, despite the bulk gas possessing elemental ratio of C/O $< 1$. It is not specifically explained why dust growth and settling boosts the formation of HC$_3$N; however, it may be related to the decrease in altitude of the dust photosphere allowing greater penetration of bond-breaking radiation that then drives a rich gas-phase chemistry.

The broad picture that emerges from the above comparisons is that current static chemical models generally underpredict the abundance of HC$_3$N and CH$_3$CN by a significant margin. Only in the case of a gas-grain chemical model that also includes dust growth and settling does the column density, specifically of HC$_3$N, approach the values derived here (Wakelam et al. 2019). It is also noteworthy that the static models presented in Loomis et al. (2018a) are able to reproduce the radial column density of CH$_3$CN in TW Hya well, but only when both gas-phase and ice-phase chemistry are included, along with a high photodesorption rate.

Beyond an incomplete picture of chemical formation pathways, it is possible that the above disk chemical models are not complete in their description of physical processes that could cause a higher abundance of organic molecules. For example, the radial drift of large ($\gtrsim$ millimeter-sized) grains from the outer regions of the disk can alter the chemical composition of the inner regions, which may manifest in one of two different ways. If a molecule is formed primarily via grain-surface reactions, then the radial drift of grains will lead to an
enhancement of gas-phase abundance within the relevant ice line (see, e.g., Booth et al. 2017). However, if the organic molecule is produced more readily in the gas phase, then radial drift may still play a role. The redistribution of bulk carriers of major elements via radial drift will alter elemental ratios in the inner disk (for example, C/O; see, e.g., Piso et al. 2015) that can alter the formation efficiency of molecules such as HC₃N and CH₃CN (as demonstrated by Le Gal et al. 2019).

Quantifying the detailed effects of these dust transport processes on the distribution of large molecules would require coupled models that include radial drift of dust and gas-grain chemical kinetics. While such models employing small chemical networks are beginning to emerge (see, e.g., Booth & Ilee 2019), they have not yet been expanded to include the reactions required to track the chemical evolution of larger molecules.

In addition to the radial motions of solids, vertical motions of gas and dust could also act to alter the abundances of molecules in a disk. Semenov & Wiebe (2011) modeled the chemical evolution of a disk including turbulent transport of gas and dust in the form of turbulent diffusion. They find that the column densities of species we study here—HC₃N, CH₃CN, and c-C₃H₂—can be enhanced by up to factors of 10–20 in the case of a fast mixing scenario compared with a static disk. This enhancement occurs when grains with icy mantles are transported from the disk midplane to warmer vertical layers, causing heavy radical species in the ices to become more mobile. This leads to the production of more complex molecules on the grain surfaces, which are then released into the gas phase.

4.6. Future Outlook

Despite the impressive diagnostic power of the MAPS observations we present here, it is clear that we are operating at the limits of the data. For the weakest transitions (e.g., CH₃CN 6–5) we are primarily limited by sensitivity, and so future observational campaigns aiming to characterize larger molecules in disks should be designed with this in mind. We have shown that the combination of observations for transitions spanning a large range of upper state energy are particularly powerful, and so this should also be maximized. Further characterization of the gas substructure for the brighter transitions (e.g., c-C₃H₂ 7_{07}–6_{16}) would benefit from deeper, higher angular resolution studies, which may elucidate any (anti)correlations with the millimeter dust substructures that are so well characterized in these disks. Our findings also demonstrate that the current state of the art for protoplanetary disk chemical models may require extension if they are to explain the latest observations. Whether this extension requires the addition of further physical or chemical processes remains to be seen. Nevertheless, it is clear that high angular resolution and sensitivity observations are essential in order to further our understanding of the complex chemistry in disks.

5. Summary

In this work, we have analyzed observations of the large organic molecules HC₃N, CH₃CN, and c-C₃H₂ toward five protoplanetary disks as part of the ALMA MAPS Large Program. We summarize our findings below:

1. We robustly detect multiple transitions of HC₃N, CH₃CN, and c-C₃H₂ in AS 209, GM Aur, HD 163296, and MWC 480. For IM Lup, we only tentatively detect single transitions of c-C₃H₂ and CH₃CN.

2. There appears to be a weak relationship between millimeter dust morphology and the morphology of line emission from these molecules. There are no disk-wide trends between, for example, the depletion of millimeter dust and the depletion of any molecule in the gas (with the possible exception of c-C₃H₂ in AS 209, HD 163296, and MWC 480).

3. Disk-integrated column densities and temperatures are broadly consistent with previous observational studies, where available. However, the high angular resolution of our observations allows us to investigate radially resolved properties, revealing a significant increase in molecular column density for HC₃N and CH₃CN at small radii. Emission from these molecules appears to be optically thick within 50–100 au in all disks, suggesting that these higher column densities are likely lower limits.

4. The emission in all disks is compact (≤100 au) and close to the extent of the millimeter dust disk, suggesting that the ice reservoirs hosted on grains may play a role in the formation of these species. However, the derived rotational temperatures are below the expected sublimation temperatures for each of the molecules, ruling out thermal desorption as an origin.

5. Comparison with existing disk chemical models shows that static models cannot generally reproduce the high column densities we observe. In contrast, chemical models that include dynamic processes such as radial drift and vertical mixing could explain larger column densities that we observe in these disks.

6. We approximate the two-dimensional (r, z) locations where we expect the molecules to emit, finding that CH₃CN originates from close to the midplane in all disks (z/r ≤ 0.1), while HC₃N originates in higher layers (z/r ∼ 0.1–0.4). This is consistent with distributions predicted by disk chemical models. In addition, we find that emission occurs at higher z/r in the disks around T Tauri stars when compared with those around the Herbig stars.

7. We find good agreement between relative abundances of simple and complex nitrile species in the outer regions of each disk, and with remote observations of solar system comets. The conversion efficiency of small to large hydrocarbons appears to be low (5–10%) and generally insensitive to radial location within the disks.

We have demonstrated that four of the protoplanetary disks studied here—GM Aur, AS 209, HD 163296, and MWC 480—all contain significant reservoirs of the large organic molecules.
HC$_3$N, CH$_3$CN, and c-C$_3$H$_2$ on scales comparable with the extent of their millimeter dust disks. These dust disks all host rings and gaps, and HD 163296 and MWC 480 exhibit deviations from Keplerian velocities in their CO gas emission (Teague et al. 2021). In many cases, these phenomena can be readily explained by the presence of forming planets. Our analysis also shows that these molecules can emit from close to the disk midplane (particularly for the disks around the Herbig stars), where the majority of planet formation processes operate. Our results are therefore consistent with a picture in which the precursors to biologically relevant molecules are abundant in the raw material available for planet formation in protoplanetary disks, and that this material can have a composition similar to that within our own solar system.
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Appendix A

Gallery of Integrated Intensity (Zeroth Moment) Maps

Figures 9, 10, and 11 show the integrated intensity maps for all disks and transitions studied in this work.
Figure 9. Integrated intensity (zeroth moment) maps for transitions of $c$-C$_3$H$_2$.

Figure 10. Integrated intensity (zeroth moment) maps for transitions of HC$_3$N.
Appendix B
Molecular Data

Table 4 details the molecular spectroscopic data and constants that have been used throughout this work.

Figure 11. Integrated intensity (zeroth moment) maps for transitions of CH$_3$CN.
Appendix C

Disk Temperature Structures

Table 5 details the disk temperature structures that have been adopted during the rotational analysis of the \( c-C_3H_2 \) emission. For further details, see Law et al. (2021b).

| Transition | Quantum Numbers | Frequency (GHz) | \( E_u \) (K) | \( \log_{10}(A_u/s^{-1}) \) | \( g_u \) |
|------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------|
| HC3N 11–10 | \( J = 11–10 \) | 100.0763920 | 28.8 | −4.1096 | 23 |
| 29–28 | \( J = 29–28 \) | 263.7923080 | 189.9 | −2.8349 | 59 |
| CH3CN 65–55 | \( J = 65–55 \) | 110.3303454 | 197.1 | −4.4697 | 26 |
| 64–54 | \( J = 64–54 \) | 110.3494707 | 132.8 | −4.2098 | 26 |
| 63–53 | \( J = 63–53 \) | 110.3643540 | 82.8 | −4.0792 | 52 |
| 62–52 | \( J = 62–52 \) | 110.3749894 | 47.1 | −4.0054 | 26 |
| 61–51 | \( J = 61–51 \) | 110.3813723 | 25.7 | −3.9664 | 26 |
| 60–50 | \( J = 60–50 \) | 110.3835002 | 18.5 | −3.9542 | 26 |
| 707–616b | \( J = 707–616b \) | 251.3143670 | 50.7 | −3.0704 | 45 |
| 615–524 | \( J = 615–524 \) | 251.5087085 | 47.5 | −3.1706 | 13 |
| 625–514 | \( J = 625–514 \) | 251.5273110 | 47.5 | −3.1708 | 39 |
| \( c-C_3H_2 \) 707–616b | \( J = 707–616b \) | 251.3143670 | 50.7 | −3.0704 | 45 |

Notes

\( ^a \) https://cdms.astro.uni-koeln.de/cdms/portal/

\( ^b \) Includes blended para transition (717–606) at the same frequency; see Section 3.4.
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Disk Temperature Structures

Table 5 details the disk temperature structures that have been adopted during the rotational analysis of the \( c-C_3H_2 \) emission. For further details, see Law et al. (2021b).

| IM Lup | GM Aur | AS 209 | HD 163296 | MWC 480 |
|--------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|
| \( T(r) \) parameters (\(^{13}\)CO \( J = 2–1 \)):
| \( \bar{v}_{\text{fit, in}} \) (au) | 145 | 50 | 125 | 50 | 100 |
| \( \bar{v}_{\text{fit, out}} \) (au) | 339 | 314 | 163 | 356 | 388 |
| \( T_{\text{in}} \) (K) | \( 30 \pm 0.6 \) | \( 22 \pm 0.2 \) | \( 28 \pm 1.3 \) | \( 31 \pm 0.2 \) | \( 42 \pm 0.9 \) |
| \( q \) | \( 0.323 \pm 0.03 \) | \( 0.260 \pm 0.01 \) | \( 0.804 \pm 0.13 \) | \( 0.367 \pm 0.01 \) | \( 0.598 \pm 0.03 \) |
| \( T(r, z) \) parameters:
| \( T_{\text{in}, 0} \) (K) | \( 36^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \) | \( 48^{+0.3}_{-0.3} \) | \( 37^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \) | \( 63^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \) | \( 69^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \) |
| \( T_{\text{out}, 0} \) (K) | \( 25^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \) | \( 20^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \) | \( 25^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \) | \( 24^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \) | \( 27^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \) |
