Effects of Fatty Acid Amide Hydrolase Inhibitors Acute Administration on the Positive and Cognitive Symptoms of Schizophrenia in Mice
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Abstract
The connection between the endocannabinoid system (ECS) and schizophrenia is supported by a large body of research. The ECS is composed of two types cannabinoid (CB: CB1 and CB2) receptors and their endogenous ligands, endocannabinoids. The best-known endocannabinoids, anandamide (AEA) and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), are intracellularly degraded by fatty acid hydrolase (FAAH) and monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL), respectively. Thus, the function of ECS might be modulated in a direct way, through CB receptor ligands or indirectly by FAAH and MAGL inhibitors. We evaluated that the direct influence of ECS, using FAAH (URB 597) and MAGL (JZL 184) inhibitors, on the schizophrenia-like effects in mice. The behavioral schizophrenia-like symptoms were obtained in animals by using N-methyl D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonists, MK-801. An acute administration of MK-801 (0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg) induced psychotic symptoms in rodents, manifested as the increase in locomotor activity, measured in actimeters, as well as the memory impairment, assessed in the passive avoidance (PA) task. We revealed that an acute administration of URB 597, at the dose of 0.3 mg/kg, attenuated MK-801 (0.6 mg/kg)-induced memory impairment. In turn, an acute administration of URB 597 at a higher dose (1 mg/kg) potentiated MK-801 (0.3 mg/kg)-induced memory impairment. Similarly, an acute administration of JZL 184 (20 and 40 mg/kg) intensified amnestic effect of MK-801 (0.3 mg/kg). Moreover, an acute injection of JZL 184 (1 mg/kg) potentiated hyperlocomotion is provoked by MK-801 (0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg) administration. The present findings clearly indicate that ECS, through an indirect manner, modulates a variety of schizophrenia-like responses in mice.
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Introduction
Schizophrenia is a chronic psychiatric disorder with heterogeneous background and is expressed as a combination of diverse symptoms. The signs of schizophrenia are formally divided into three distinct symptom clusters: positive, negative, and cognitive [1]. Positive symptoms (psychotic ones) refer to hallucinations, delusions, and disorganization. The negative symptoms are characterized by social withdrawal and anhedonia. The cognitive symptoms include deficits in semantic and explicit memory and deficits in attention and working memory [2, 3].

Schizophrenia is a widely prevalent psychiatric disorder whose etiology and management has been still in large part unknown. Pharmacological studies of antipsychotic drugs have fueled hypothesis focused on neurotransmitter mechanisms which underlying pathophysiology of schizophrenia. They include alterations in dopamine (DA), glutamate (Glu), acetylcholine (ACh), serotonin (5-HT), and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) neurotransmission [4, 5].

The most known hypotheses of schizophrenia are based on glutamatergic neurotransmission dysfunction. Numerous evidence from clinical pharmacology, physiology, and brain imaging have recommended that altered glutamatergic functions might lead to clinical features typical for schizophrenia, especially positive and cognitive symptoms [6]. Glu is present throughout the entire nervous system and is the main excitatory neurotransmitter in mammals [5]. The potential relevance of Glu in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia was...
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The function of ECS might be modulated in a direct way, by ligands of CB (CB1 or/and CB2) receptors, or indirectly by FAAH or MAGL inhibitors [15]. Following that, this plasticity of ECS signaling opened the way to the development of drugs that counteract the action of endocannabinoids, by inhibiting their inactivation or their binding to the receptor, respectively. However, their effectiveness remains still controversial.

Despite a growing consensus that the cannabinoids can modulate schizophrenia-like symptoms [16, 17], as well as that there are some findings of indirect modulation in endocannabinoids levels gain with FAAH or MAGL inhibitors on memory or locomotion in rodents [18–22], there is a distinct lack of evidence regarding to the influence of these inhibitors on the specific schizophrenia-like responses in animals. Therefore, using an animal model of schizophrenia (based on glutamate hypothesis of schizophrenia), in the current study, we sought to investigate this issue by examining how indirect alteration of endocannabinoids level affect the behavioral responses connected with hypofunction of glutamate neurotransmission. We used chemical compounds which are selective enzymes’ inhibitors, such as URB 597 which is specific for FAAH, and JZL 284, an inhibitor for MAGL. To trigger a Glu dysfunction, we employed MK-801, a NMDA receptor antagonist, which is a commonly accepted model of schizophrenia, and provokes a wide range of schizophrenia-like symptoms in rodents (e.g., learning and memory deficits or hyperlocomotion).

The findings of these experiments will enlarge the knowledge concerning the indirect involvement of ECS in the schizophrenia-like responses in mice, including cognitive disorders and hyperlocomotion.

Materials and Methods

Animals

The experiments were carried out on naive male Swiss mice (Farm of Laboratory Animals, Warszawa, Poland) weighing 20–30 g. The animals were maintained under standard laboratory conditions (12-h light/dark cycle, room temperature at 21±1 °C) with free access to tap water and laboratory feeding (Agropol, Motycz, Poland) in their home cages, and adapted to the laboratory conditions for at least 1 week. Each experimental group consisted of 8–12 animals. All behavioral experiments were performed between 8:00 and 15:00, and were conducted according to the National Institute of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and to the European Community Council Directive for the Care and Use of laboratory animals of 22 September 2010 (2010/63/EU), and approved by the local ethics committee.

Drugs

The compounds which were tested:

- URB 597 (0.1, 0.3, 1 mg/kg) (Tocris, USA)—FAAH inhibitor
- JZL 184 (1, 4, 8, 20, 40 mg/kg) (Tocris, USA)—MAGL inhibitor
- MK-801 (0.3, 0.6 mg/kg) (Tocris, USA)—NMDA receptor antagonist

All compounds were suspended in a 1% solution of Tween 80 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) in saline solution (0.9% NaCl) and administered intraperitoneally (ip) at a volume of 10 ml/kg. Fresh drug solutions were prepared on each day of experimentation. Control groups received injections of saline...
with Tween 80 (vehicle) at the same volume and by the same route of administration.

Experimental doses of drugs used and procedures were selected on the basis of literature data [23–28] and our previous experiments [16, 17, 29].

Experimental Procedures

We used a pharmacological animal model of schizophrenia, i.e., an administration of a NMDA receptor antagonist, MK-801. The used procedure is commonly accepted [23, 27] and confirmed in our previous experiments [16, 17, 29]. The experimental procedure is based on the amnestic and psychotic properties of MK-801. An acute administration of MK-801 induced in mice schizophrenia-like symptoms, manifested as the increase in locomotor activity (correlation with the positive symptoms of schizophrenia in humans), and cognitive disturbances (correlation with the cognitive symptoms of schizophrenia in humans).

In our previously published experiments, we confirmed that an acute injection of MK-801 at the doses of 0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg diminished the short term as well as long-term acquisition, consolidation/retention, and/or retrieval of memory and learning in the PA task [29]. In other experiments, we also confirmed that an acute administration of MK-801 at the doses of 0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg significantly increased the locomotor activity of mice [16, 17]. Therefore, based on the results obtained from our cited experiments, these two doses of MK-801 (0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg) were then chosen for the provoked cognitive and positive symptoms typical for schizophrenia in mice.

In the presented experiments, we evaluated for the first time the influence of an acute administration of URB 597 and JZL 184 on the above described schizophrenia-like amnestic and psychotic effects in mice, provoked by MK-801. Memory-related responses in mice were measured in the PA task; locomotor activity was measured in actimeters.

Memory-Related Responses

The apparatus of the PA consisted of a two-compartment acrylic box with a lighted compartment (10 × 13 × 15 cm) and darkened compartment (25 × 20 × 15 cm). The light chamber was illuminated by a fluorescent light (8 W) and was connected to the dark chamber which was equipped with an electric grid floor. The entrance of animals to the dark box was punished by an electric foot shock (0.2 mA for 2 s).

On the first day of training (pre-test), mice were placed individually into the light compartment and allowed to explore the light box. After 30 s, the guillotine door was raised to allow the mice to enter the dark compartment. When the mice entered the dark compartment, the guillotine door was closed and an electric foot shock (0.2 mA) of 2 s duration was delivered immediately to the animal via grid floor. The latency time for entering the dark compartment was recorded (TL1). The mouse which did not enter spontaneously into the dark box within 300 s was excluded from further tests. Twenty-four hours later, in the subsequent trial (retention), the same mice were again placed individually in the light compartment of the PA apparatus. After a 30-s adaptation period in the light (safe) chamber, the door between the compartments was raised and the time taken to re-enter the dark compartment was recorded (TL2). No foot shock was applied in this trial. Basically, in this kind of procedure, when the mouse did not enter spontaneously into the dark box within 300 s, the test was stopped [25, 29].

Locomotion

Locomotion of mice was recorded individually in round actimeter cages (Multiserv, Lublin, Poland; 32 cm in diameter, two light beams) kept in a sound-attenuated experimental room. Two photocell beams, located across the axis, automatically measured animal’s movements. The horizontal locomotor activity, i.e., the number of photocell beam breaks, was automatically measured with a 20-min interval for 200 min [28, 30].

Treatment

For Memory-Related Responses

First, we estimated the influence of URB 597 (0.1, 0.3, and 1 mg/kg) and JZL 184 (4, 8, 20, 40 mg/kg) on the acquisition of long-term memory in mice using the PA test. All tested compounds or vehicle, for the control group, were administered 30 min before the first trial and mice were re-tested after 24 h (Table 1).

Next, based on this pilot experiment, we have chosen the non-effective doses of URB 597 and JZL 184 for the next experiment with MK-801.

We evaluated the influence of these compounds on the memory-related disorders induced by MK-801 (0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg) in the PA task. Non-effective doses of URB 597 (0.3 and 1 mg/kg), JZL 184 (20 and 40 mg/kg), or vehicle were administered acutely 15 min before an acute injection of MK-801 (0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg) in the PA task. Non-effective doses of URB 597 and JZL 184 for the next experiment with MK-801.

For Psychotic-Like Symptoms

Similarly, as in the case of memory-related effects, first we estimated the influence of an acute administration of URB 597 (0.1, 0.3, and 1 mg/kg), JZL 184 (1, 4, 8, 20, 40 mg/kg), or vehicle for the control group on the locomotion of mice in the
actimeters. Horizontal locomotor activity was measured immediately after injection of tested compounds (Table 3).

In the next stage, we assessed the impact of an acute administration of a non-effective dose of URB 597 (1 mg/kg) or JZL 184 (1 mg/kg) on the hyperlocomotion of mice provoked by an acute MK-801 (0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg). For this purpose, URB 597, JZL 184, or vehicle was administered 15 min before the injection of MK-801 or vehicle. The mice were then tested in actimeters immediately after the last injection (Table 4).

In the presented experiments, we used independent groups of mice for each kind of behavioral experiments (a separate group of mice for the assessment of memory-related effects and a separate group of mice for the assessment of locomotor activity) for each drug and dose.

**Statistical Analysis**

The statistical analysis were performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or two-way ANOVA—for the factors of pretreatment (URB 597 or JZL 184), treatment (MK-801), and pretreatment/treatment interactions for the memory-related responses or for the factors of time, drugs, and time/drugs interactions for the psychotic-like symptoms.

Post hoc comparison of means was carried out with the Tukey’s test (for one-way and two-way ANOVA) for multiple comparisons, when appropriate. The data were considered statistically significant at a confidence limit of \( p < 0.05 \). ANOVA analysis with Tukey’s test was performed using the GraphPad Prism version 5.00 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA, www.graphpad.com.

For the memory-related responses, the changes in PA performance were expressed as the difference between retention and training latencies and were taken as a latency index (LI). LI was calculated for each animal and reports as the ratio:

\[
LI = \frac{TL2 - TL1}{TL1}
\]

For the psychotic-like symptoms, the horizontal locomotor activity, i.e., the number of photocell beam breaks, was measured.

**Results**

**Memory-Related Responses**

First, we evaluated the influence of an acute administration of FAAH and MAGL inhibitors on the long-term memory acquisition, and then we assessed the impact of these inhibitors on the memory impairment provoked by an acute injection of MK-801.

**The Influence of an Acute Injection of URB 597 on the Acquisition of Long-term Memory in Mice in the PA Test**

One-way ANOVA revealed that administration of acute ip doses of URB 597 (0.1, 0.3, and 1 mg/kg) had a statistically significant effect on LI values for long-term memory acquisition \( F(3,33) = 6.508; \ p = 0.0016 \). Indeed, the post hoc Tukey’s test confirmed that the treatment with URB 597 (0.1 mg/kg) significantly increased LI values in mice compared to those in the vehicle-treated control group \( p < 0.01 \).

**Table 1** The scheme of fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors (JZL 184 and URB 597) or vehicle administration during the assessment of long-term memory acquisition in the PA test in mice

| Acquisition of memory | PA test                  | Drug administration  | Interval | TL1 | Interval | TL2 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------|-----|----------|-----|
|                       | Long-term memory         | URB 597 (0.1, 0.3, and 1 mg/kg) or vehicle | 30 min   | +   | 24 h     | +   |
|                       | JZL 184 (4, 8, 20, and 40 mg/kg) or vehicle | 30 min   | +   | 24 h     | +   |

**Table 2** The scheme of fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors (JZL 184 and URB 597) and MK-801 co-administration during the assessment of long-term memory acquisition in the PA test in mice

| Acquisition of memory | PA test                  | Drug administration  | Interval | Drug administration  | Interval | TL1 | Interval | TL2 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|-----|----------|-----|
|                       | Long-term memory         | URB 595 (0.3 or 1 mg/kg) or vehicle | 15 min   | MK-801 (0.3 or 0.6 mg/kg) or vehicle | 15 min   | +   | 24 h     | +   |
|                       |                          | JZL 184 (20 or 40 mg/kg) or vehicle | 15 min   | MK-801 (0.3 or 0.6 mg/kg) or vehicle | 15 min   | +   | 24 h     | +   |
The Influence of an Acute Injection of JZL 184 on the Acquisition of Long-term Memory in Mice in the PA Test

One-way ANOVA revealed that administration of acute ip doses of JZL 184 (4, 8, 20, 40 mg/kg) had a statistically significant effect on LI values for long-term memory acquisition \( F(4,40) = 4.251; p = 0.0064 \). Indeed, the post hoc Tukey’s test confirmed that the treatment with JZL 184 (4 mg/kg) significantly increased LI values in mice compared to those in the vehicle-treated control group \((p < 0.01)\) (Fig. 2), indicating that JZL 184, at this used dose, improved long-term acquisition of memory and learning processes in PA test in mice.

Based on the results obtained from these pilot experiments, the non-effective doses of URB 597 (0.3 and 1 mg/kg) and JZL 184 (20 and 40 mg/kg) were then chosen for the next behavioral experiments evaluating the influence of these FAAH and MAGL inhibitors on the memory impairment, provoked by an acute injection of MK-801 (0.3 or 0.6 mg/kg), using the PA test in mice.

The Influence of the Administration of URB 597 on the Memory Impairment Provoked by an Acute Administration of MK-801 in the PA Test in Mice

For long-term memory acquisition, two-way ANOVA analyses revealed that there was statistically significant effect caused by URB 597 (0.3 or 1 mg/kg) pretreatment \( F(2,70) = 5.200; p = 0.0078 \) as well as by MK-801 (0.3 or 0.6 mg/kg) treatment \( F(2,70) = 11.81; p < 0.0001 \), but there was no statistically significant effect caused by interactions \( F(4,70) = 1.321; p = 0.2708 \). The post hoc Tukey’s test confirmed that MK-801 at the dose of 0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg significantly decreased LI values in mice in the PA test in comparison to the vehicle/vehicle-treated mice, pointing to the amnestic effect of this drug \((p < 0.01)\). Moreover, an acute injection of URB 597 (0.3 mg/kg) attenuated the amnestic effect of MK-801 (0.6 mg/kg) \((p < 0.05)\); in turn, an acute injection of URB 597 in higher dose (1 mg/kg) potentiated the amnestic effect of MK-801 (0.3 mg/kg) \((p < 0.05; Tukey’s test)\) (Fig. 3).

The Influence of the Administration of JZL 184 on the Memory Impairment Provoked by an Acute Administration of MK-801 in the PA Test in Mice

For long-term memory acquisition, two-way ANOVA analyses revealed that there was no statistically significant effect

---

**Table 3** The scheme of fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors (JZL 184 and URB 597) or vehicle administration during the assessment of locomotor activity of mice

| Locomotor activity | Actimeters |
|--------------------|------------|
| Drug administration | Interval | The number of photocell beam breaks (0–200 min) |
| URB 597 (0.1, 0.3, and 1 mg/kg) or vehicle | Immediately | + |
| JZL 184 (1, 4, 8, 20, and 40 mg/kg) or vehicle | Immediately | + |

**Table 4** The scheme of fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors (JZL 184 and URB 597) and MK-801 co-administration during the assessment of locomotor activity of mice

| Locomotor activity | Actimeters |
|--------------------|------------|
| Drug administration | Interval | Drug administration | Interval | The number of photocell beam breaks (0–200 min) |
| URB 595 (0.3 or 1 mg/kg) or vehicle | 15 min | MK-801 (0.3 or 0.6 mg/kg) or vehicle | Immediately | + |
| JZL 184 (20 or 40 mg/kg) or vehicle | 15 min | MK-801 (0.3 or 0.6 mg/kg) or vehicle | Immediately | + |
caused by JZL 184 (20 or 40 mg/kg) pretreatment \[ F(2,75) = 1.025; p = 0.3637 \], but there was a statistically significant effect caused by MK-801 (0.3 or 0.6 mg/kg) treatment \[ F(2,75) = 73.79; p < 0.0001 \], as well as by interactions \[ F(4,75) = 2.811; p = 0.0313 \]. The post hoc Tukey’s test confirmed that MK-801 at the dose of 0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg significantly decreased LI values in mice in comparison to the vehicle/vehicle-treated mice, pointing to the amnestic effect of this drug \( p < 0.01 \). In turn, an acute injection of JZL 184 (20 and 40 mg/kg) potentiated the amnestic effect of MK-801 (0.3 mg/kg) \( p < 0.05 \); Tukey’s test (Fig. 4).

Locomotor Activity

First, we evaluated the influence of an acute administration of FAAH and MAGL inhibitors on the locomotion of mice.

The Influence of an Acute Injection of URB 597 on the Locomotor Activity in Mice

Two-way ANOVA analyses revealed that there was statistically significant effect caused by time \[ F(10,231) = 23.51; p < 0.0001 \] and URB 597 (0.1, 0.3, and 1 mg/kg) treatment \[ F(3,231) = 13.94; p < 0.0001 \], but there was no statistically significant effect caused by interactions between time and URB 597 treatment \[ F(30,231) = 0.7358; p = 0.8415 \].

The Tukey’s test revealed that an acute injection of URB 597 at the dose of 0.1 mg/kg significantly decreased locomotion in mice between 140 and 200 min of experiments in comparison to the vehicle-treated control group (for 140 and 160 min of experiments \( p < 0.05 \); for 160–200 min; \( p < 0.01 \)).

Similarly, the Tukey’s test revealed that an acute injection of URB 597 at the dose of 0.3 mg/kg significantly decreased the locomotor activity of mice between 180 and 200 min of the experiment as compared with the vehicle-treated control group \( p < 0.05 \).
The Influence of an Acute Administration of JZL 184 on the Locomotor Activity in Mice

Two-way ANOVA analyses revealed that there was statistically significant effect of time \([F(10,242) = 22.96; p < 0.0001]\) and JZL 184 (1, 4, 8, 20, 40 mg/kg) treatment \([F(5,330) = 51.47; p < 0.0001]\), as well as of interactions between time and JZL 184 treatment \([F(50,330) = 2.272; p < 0.0001]\). The Tukey’s test confirmed that an acute injection of JZL 184 at the range of doses used (4–40 mg/kg) significantly decreased locomotion in mice between 80 and 200 min of experiments in comparison to the vehicle-treated control group.

- For dose of 4 mg/kg: 140 min of experiments \((p < 0.05)\); 160–200 min of experiments \(p < 0.001\).
- For dose of 8 mg/kg: 140 min of experiments \((p < 0.05)\); 120 min of experiments \((p < 0.01)\); 140–200 min of experiments \((p < 0.001)\).
- For dose of 20 mg/kg: 80 min of experiments \((p < 0.05)\); 100 min of experiments \((p < 0.01)\); 120–200 min of experiments \((p < 0.001)\).

JZL 184 at the dose of 1 mg/kg had no influence on the locomotor activity of mice in comparison to the vehicle-treated control group (Fig. 6).

In the next stage of experiments, we assessed the impact of tested inhibitors on the hyperlocomotion induced by MK-801. We used a combination of non-effective doses of URB 597 (1 mg/kg) or JZL 184 (1 mg/kg) with an effective dose of MK-801 (0.3 or 0.6 mg/kg).

The Influence of an Acute Administration of URB 597 on the Hyperactivity of Mice Provoked by an Acute Administration of MK-801

Two-way ANOVA analyses revealed that there was statistically significant effect caused by time \([F(10,242) = 37.82; p < 0.0001]\), drugs (MK-801 (0.3 mg/kg), and/or URB 597 (1 mg/kg) treatment \([F(3,242) = 129.1; p < 0.0001]\), as well as by interactions between time and drugs treatment \([F(30,242) = 2.602; p < 0.0001]\). The post hoc Tukey’s test confirmed that an acute injection of MK-801 at the dose of 0.3 mg/kg significantly increased locomotor activity of mice between 60 and 200 min of experiment as compared with the vehicle/vehicle-injected control group (for 60 min of experiments, \(p < 0.01\); for 80–200 min of experiments, \(p < 0.001\)). URB 597 (1 mg/kg) had no influence on MK-801 (0.3 mg/kg)-induced hyperactivity (Fig. 7a).

Similarly, two-way ANOVA analyses revealed that there was statistically significant effect of time \([F(10,242) = 65.63; p < 0.0001]\), drugs (MK-801 (0.6 mg/kg), and/or URB 597 (1 mg/kg) treatment \([F(3,242) = 176.5; p < 0.0001]\), as well as of interactions between time and drugs treatment \([F(30,242) = 6.386; p < 0.0001]\). The post hoc Tukey’s test confirmed that MK-801 at the dose of 0.6 mg/kg significantly increased locomotor activity of mice in actimeters between 60 and 200 min of experiments (for 60 min of experiments, \(p < 0.05\); for 80–200 min, \(p < 0.001\)), in comparison to the vehicle/vehicle-treated mice. URB 597 (1 mg/kg) had no influence on MK-801 (0.6 mg/kg)-induced hyperactivity (Fig. 7b).
The post hoc Tukey’s test confirmed that an acute injection of MK-801 at the dose of 0.3 mg/kg significantly increased locomotor activity of mice between 60 and 200 min of experiment in comparison to the vehicle/vehicle-treated mice (for 60 min of experiments, \( p < 0.05 \); for 80–200 min, \( p < 0.001 \)). Moreover, the post hoc test confirmed that this hyperactivity provoked by MK-801 (0.3 mg/kg) was attenuated by JZL 184 (1 mg/kg) between 180 min (\( p < 0.05 \)) and 200 min (\( p < 0.01 \)) of experiments vs. vehicle/MK-801 (0.3 mg/kg)-treated mice) (Fig. 8a).

Similarly, two-way ANOVA analyses revealed that there was statistically significant effect of time \( [F(10,242) = 64.20; p < 0.0001] \), drugs (MK-801(0.6 mg/kg), and/or JZL 184 (1 mg/kg) treatment \( [F(3,242) = 256.8; p < 0.0001] \), as well as of interactions between time and drugs treatment \( [F(30,242) = 8.034; p < 0.0001] \). The post hoc Tukey’s test confirmed that an acute injection of MK-801 at the dose of 0.6 mg/kg significantly increased locomotor activity of mice between 60 and 200 min of experiment in comparison to the vehicle/vehicle-treated mice (for 60 min of experiments, \( p < 0.05 \); for 80–200 min, \( p < 0.001 \)). Moreover, the post hoc test confirmed that this hyperactivity provoked by MK-801 (0.6 mg/kg) was attenuated by JZL 184 (1 mg/kg) between 180 min (\( p < 0.05 \)) and 200 min (\( p < 0.01 \)) of experiments vs. vehicle/MK-801 (0.6 mg/kg)-treated mice) (Fig. 8b).
The ECS is a key modulator of several physiological functions, including emotional as well as memory and learning processes [32–34]. Several lines of experimental and clinical reports also revealed a clear relationship between CB receptor ligands and schizophrenia-like responses [16, 17, 35]. For example, CB1 receptor agonists induce memory-related disorders [16, 29, 36], whereas antagonists of these receptors facilitate memory and learning processes [16, 29, 37–39]. Moreover, CB1 receptor agonists might provoke psychosis-like symptoms, in turn, CB1 receptor antagonists show

**Discussion**

The ECS is a key modulator of several physiological functions, including emotional as well as memory and learning processes [32–34]. Several lines of experimental and clinical reports also revealed a clear relationship between CB receptor ligands and schizophrenia-like responses [16, 17, 35]. For example, CB1 receptor agonists induce memory-related disorders [16, 29, 36], whereas antagonists of these receptors facilitate memory and learning processes [16, 29, 37–39]. Moreover, CB1 receptor agonists might provoke psychosis-like symptoms, in turn, CB1 receptor antagonists show
Antipsychotic properties assessed in animal models of schizophrenia [40–42]. Similarly, there is evidence that the CB2 receptors are also involved in the psychosis-like effects [17, 43–45].

Naturally, the third component of the ECS system, i.e., endocannabinoids and enzymes responsible for the metabolism of endocannabinoids (FAAH and MAGL), is also important in the context of schizophrenia-like effects [15, 22].

Assuming that the role of the hydrolase inhibitors in the schizophrenia-like responses has not been fully elucidated yet, the purpose of the experiments was to explore the role of the ECS through inhibition of enzymes degrading endocannabinoids in the brain, in the various symptoms of schizophrenia. Among all modulators of enzyme metabolizing endocannabinoids, in the present experiments, we used two compounds: URB 597 and JZL 184. The first

Fig. 8 Effects of an acute administration of non-effective dose of JZL 184 (JZL) on the hyperlocomotion induced by MK-801 (MK) administration. JZL (1 mg/kg; ip) or vehicle (VEH) was injected 15 min before MK (0.3 mg/kg; ip) (a) or MK (0.6 mg/kg; ip) (b); n = 6–7; the means ± SEM; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001 for VEH + MK (0.3) vs. VEH + VEH; ^p < 0.05 for JZL (1) + MK (0.3) vs. VEH + MK (0.3); **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001 for VEH + MK (0.6) vs. VEH + VEH; &&p < 0.05; ##p < 0.01 for JZL (1) + MK (0.6) vs. VEH + MK (0.6); Tukey’s test.
one exhibits the characteristics of FAAH inhibitor, which is the main factor of AEA degradation [46], while the second compound acts by carboxylation of nucleophilic groups and leads to MAGL blockade, which can increase the concentration of 2-AG [47]. For the first time to our knowledge, we assessed the influence of both inhibitors on the positive and cognitive schizophrenia-like symptoms in mice. We determined the involvement of URB 597 and JZL 184 on the MK-801-induced hyperlocomotor activity or memory impairment in mice, which correlates with psychotic and cognitive symptoms of schizophrenia in humans, respectively. Assessment of cognitive processes was carried out using the PA test; positive symptoms of schizophrenia measured as hyperlocomotion were assessed in actimeters.

In the first step of our experiments, we revealed that both an acute administration of URB 597 (0.1 mg/kg) as well as JZL 184 (4 mg/kg) improved memory and learning processes in the PA test in mice. Moreover, an acute injection of both inhibitors, e.g., URB 597 (0.1 and 0.3 mg/kg) or JZL 184 (4–40 mg/kg) induced dose-dependently hypolocomotion in mice assessed in actimeters. The next set of our experiments indicated that an acute administration of URB 597 (0.3 mg/kg) attenuated MK-801 (0.6 mg/kg)-induced memory impairment. In turn, an acute administration of this inhibitor at a higher dose (1 mg/kg) potentiated MK-801 (0.3 mg/kg)-induced memory impairment. Similarly, the second tested inhibitor, JZL 184 (at two doses used, 20 and 40 mg/kg) intensified and potentiated this MK-801 (0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg)-provoked amnestic effects. Moreover, we revealed that an acute administration of URB 597 (1 mg/kg) had no statistically significant influence on the mice hyperactivity after MK-801 administration (0.3 as well as 0.6 mg/kg), whereas an acute injection of JZL 184 (1 mg/kg) before MK-801 (0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg) intensified MK-801-provoked hyperlocomotion in mice.

Recent available studies reported that FAAH or MAGL inhibitors increase endogenous levels of the CB receptor agonist, AEA and 2-AG, and might represent a promising tool for treating a wide range of disorders with minimal risk of adverse cannabis-like side effects. Some FAAH inhibitors, including URB 694, PF-04457845, and AM 3506 [48], have shown moderate to strong reinforcing effects. However, another FAAH inhibitor, URB 597, does not produce classical THC-like effects such as catalepsy, hyperthermia, and hyperphagia [49]. This compound also shows no signs of abuse potential in animal models of cannabis abuse [50], but in turn, has been shown to display activity in a rodent model of inflammatory, neuropathic pain as well as anxiety and depression, and has been found to enhance non-opioid stress-induced analgesia [51, 52].

It has been also demonstrated that direct (CB1 agonist) and indirect (FAAH and MAGL inhibitor) activation can impair cognitive performance of animals in a variety of memory assays [53, 54]. Controversially, some studies report memory-enhancing effects of inhibitors mentioned above. Ratano with co-workers [55, 56] showed that enhancing AEA levels facilitates memory consolidation for aversive events through a concurrent activation of both CB1 and CB2 receptors.

However, the influence on the memory by indirect activation of the CB1 receptor (via FAAH and MAGL inhibition) often depends on dose, experimental procedure, or other environmental factors. In the context of our experiments, an interest seems to be a data concerning the influence of FAAH or MAGL inhibition on the memory and learning processes. Ratano et al. [56], already cited, showed that JZL 184 enhanced memory consolidation. These effects are also in line with previous evidence showing that pharmacological or genetic inactivation of 2-AG metabolism improved memory performances in the variety of the animal model of memory, like the Morris water maze paradigm and novel object recognition test [57]. Moreover, it has been reported that both direct activation of CB receptors or increased AEA signaling, through inhibition of its metabolizing enzyme (FAAH), enhances consolidation and reconsolidation of aversive memories [58].

Although URB 597 as a FAAH inhibitor has been studied most intensively [59–61], its precise influence on memory or other schizophrenia-like effects has not been fully characterized. Some available reports concerning this subject seem also controversial. Inhibition or genetic deletion of FAAH, which substantially increases endogenous levels of AEA, has been found to enhance rather than impair memory in rodents trained with procedures involving aversively motivated behavior (i.e., water Morris maze test) [54, 62, 63], or passive avoidance with a context associated with foot shock [64–66], in accordance with our results. In turn, other memory-related studies have mostly shown impairment rather enhancement after treatment with a FAAH inhibitor (URB 597) [24, 67]. It appears that aversively motivated learning is most sensitive to be enhanced by FAAH manipulations, possibly due to the effects of FAAH inhibition on anxiety-related responses [68] or coping behavior [69]. Moreover, URB 597 (0.3 and 1 mg/kg) treatment could alleviate the negative influence of WIN 55,212-2, a partial CB1 receptor agonist, on cognition and memory. These results indicate a potential of URB 597 to protect against memory deficits induced by cannabinoids [64].

As we mentioned, the indirect modulating effect of URB 597 occurs through a concurrent activation of both CB1 and CB2 receptors, and is associated with an increase in AEA concentration due to the inhibition of FAAH-induced hydrolysis. AEA, mainly present in the central nervous system, has a significant influence on the control of physiological activities, including those concerning cognitive abilities [70]. It has been proved that in addition to the anxiolytic effect observed, URB 597, by regulating AEA concentration, has a significant impact on the consolidation of memory processes [24]. This is extremely important in the context of research on cognitive symptoms.
representative for schizophrenia. An additional confirmation of the association of the pharmacological activity of URB 597 with this disease has been provided by studies which show a beneficial effect of URB 597 administration to rats with negative symptoms induced by chronic PCP exposure [71]. However, the experiment described in the abovementioned paper shows that the therapeutic effect only occurs in animals with already induced symptoms. In case of animals not exposed to substances provoking symptoms correlating with schizophrenia, repeated administration of URB 597 causes the memory deficits which was related to ECS system disorder. Nevertheless, it proves a significant difference between ECS dysfunctions and the etiology of the disease [71].

In our experiments, we showed that a single injection of URB 597, at the ineffective dose of 0.3 mg/kg, significantly affected the MK-801 (0.6 mg/kg)-induced amnesic effect in the PA test in mice, amplifying this effect in comparison to the control group. This effect correlates with results showing that the administration of URB 597 results in the induction of memory acquisition disorders, as a result of indirect regulation of the concentration of AEA, which has a high affinity for CB receptors. As described in our previous articles [16, 17], CB1 receptor agonists induce symptoms typical for schizophrenia, positive and cognitive ones. Their influence on disorders related to cognition has been repeatedly described, both for natural and synthetic cannabinoids. In this case, it should be noted that AEA is the main CB1 receptor agonist, whose activation causes a decrease in cAMP, leading to the closure of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels. The following inhibitory effect on membrane-depolarization-induced activity leads to blockade of neurotransmitter release [72]. A research which proves that the administration of CB1 receptor antagonist, such as AM-251, reverses the MK-801-induced hyperlocomotion could be a possible confirmation of this theory [16].

Given all these data cited, it appears that the simultaneous administration of URB 597 and MK-801 is an example of synergism. CB1 receptor agonists, including AEA, whose concentration has been increased by the administration of URB 597, through the mechanism described earlier, blocks the release of Glu, which is the main neurotransmitter of the glutamatergic system. This may trigger an additional reduction in the NMDA activity, also blocked by its antagonist like MK-801. This type of dual mechanism of pharmacodynamics may cause that an administration of URB 597 may intensify the amnestic symptoms induced by MK-801.

Thus, based on our experiments, we can also speculate that the contribution of the FAAH-endocannabinoid system may depend on the level of stress associated with environmental conditions. Observed influence of URB 597 indicates that FAAH inhibitors can vary considerably in their effect profiles and should be evaluated individually for specific therapeutic and adverse effects. In the future, our results may also lead to a better understanding of the brain endocannabinoids-related mechanisms underlying schizophrenia.

The usefulness of FAAH inhibitors remains uncertain; thus, recent studies have also proposed that MAGL inhibitors may be novel modulators for symptoms of schizophrenia. The currently most potent and the most selective of the known MAGL inhibitors, JZL 184, was created as a modification of the previously known molecule called JZL 175. Due to changes in the chemical structure, it shows the ability of almost complete blockade of the enzyme responsible for the degradation of 2-AG, which is an endogenous agonist of the CB1 receptors. This results in an eightfold increase in 2-AG concentration without affecting the AEA level. Not without significance is the fact that there is no affinity for the CB1 receptor, which is an additional advantage when conducting experiments aimed at testing endocannabinoids [47]. What is of interest, JZL 184 has been shown to produce a long-lasting elevation of 2-AG, as well as cannabinoid-like behavioral responses in mice or in rats [47, 73, 74].

It should be pointed out that an administration of the MAGL inhibitor, JZL 184, dose-dependently decreases somatic and aversive sings of nicotine withdrawal. Furthermore, these protective effects of JZL 184 were blocked by rimonabant, a CB1 receptor antagonist, suggesting a CB1-mediated mechanism [75]. Concerning the cognitive processes, JZL 184 did not affect memory in an object recognition procedure [24]; thus, both JZL 184 and a dual FAAH-MAGL inhibitor, JZL 185, have impaired memory in a repeated acquisition water maze procedure in mice [54]. It has been also found that JZL 184 (0.5–1 mg/kg) reduces traumatic memory recall in an animal model of post-traumatic stress disorder when administered 1 h before extinction sessions [66]. Our findings demonstrated that pharmacological inhibition of MAGL through JZL 184 increased latency index in the PA test at testing at the dose 4 mg/kg, whereas the higher doses (8–40 mg) did not exert any effect. Similarly, we have observed the memory improvement after injection of URB 597 at the dose of 0.1 mg/kg but not after the higher doses (0.3 and 1 mg/kg). On the other hand, other studies have demonstrated that enhanced levels of 2-AG impair spatial memory retrieval [66] and impaired extinction of fear memory [76]. These controversial effects are very common in memory research especially for cannabinoid compounds, as already stated.

Our experiments also revealed that JZL 184 induced hypolocomotion, dose-dependently. In agreement with these studies, it has been found, in rats and in mice, that JZL 184 produces a significant inhibition of motor activity at the dose of 30 mg/kg, and a more importantly, it elevates 2-AG at the highest doses (15 and 30 mg/kg) [47]. On the other hand, injection of JZL 184 at its highest dose (30 mg/kg) produces a significant suppression of locomotor activity that was not reversed by either SR 141716A or AM 251, CB receptor
antagonists, even though both compounds were given at doses known to produce behavioral effects in rats [73]. In addition, there are no correlations between locomotor activity and 2-AG levels in all brain areas examined. These observations confirm the previous study carried out by Long et al. in mice showing that JZL 184 induces 2-AG elevation and hypomotility [47].

The pharmacological characteristics of JZL 184 result from the indirect modulation of CB1 receptor activity [77]. This action has a significant effect on the number of neurotransmitters released, including those directly related to the alleged etiology of schizophrenia. Particularly, noteworthy are articles investigating the effect of JZL 184 on the hippocampus functions. A significant correlation was observed between 2-AG activity and synaptic dysfunctions leading to cognitive impairment, including processes related to memory acquisition caused by the modulation of releasing the relays in the GABA-ergic and glutamatergic systems, triggered by the activation of CB1 receptors, specifically by 2-AG. As we previously mentioned, receptor stimulation leads to the inhibition of a neurotransmission release, which results from blocking Ca$^{2+}$ channels while opening K$^+$ channels [78].

Accordingly, it’s commonly accepted that JZL 184 via blocking MAGL activity, contributes to the inhibition of the metabolism of 2-AG. Thus, the concentration of 2-AG in the central nervous system is significantly higher than that of AEA. 2-AG, being one of the main factors that induce presynaptic inhibition of neurotransmitter release due to membrane depolarization, is also largely associated with the functionality of other structures, as is the case of AEA. However, the difference resulting from the nature of both ligands (AEA, as a partial agonist and 2-AG as a full agonist of CB1 receptors) seems not to be without significance [79, 80].

Particularly, noteworthy is the literature data connecting the level of 2-AG with the inhibition of the activity of the GABA-ergic system, which is the main inhibitory pathway in the central nervous system. Although drugs that increase GABA activity induce amnesia, in contrast to results obtained in the experiments, this structure is directly related to the NMDA receptor activity, considered to be the main central nervous system activating receptor [81]. The symptoms are induced only after the combined administration of ligands, along with MK-801. This proves that the endocannabinoid itself, including 2-AG, is not able to induce symptoms associated with schizophrenia, but only to intensify them by affecting the dysfunctional glutamatergic system. The confirmation of this theory is a result of our previous experiments using the CB2 receptor ligands (e.g., JWH 133 and AM 630) which potentiated the MK-801-induced hyperactivity [17].

Our results allow evaluating the possible relationship between ECS and positive or cognitive symptoms of schizophrenia, focusing on the indirect modulation of ECS functioning, using FAAH or MAGL inhibitors. Based on the results from our experiments, we can confirm that the ECS may be a key element involved in the development of schizophrenia. In clinical studies, this assumption was supported by several observations showing that cannabis use is associated with an increased risk of developing schizophrenia or that schizophrenia-like symptoms may develop in non-schizophrenic cannabis users [82, 83]. In addition, schizophrenic patients showed both positive and negative symptoms and cognitive deficits after administration of delta-9-THC [15, 84].

Conclusion

Our research confirms that there is a close correlation between the activity of the ECS and the occurrence of schizophrenia symptoms. Administration of inhibitors in the presence of schizophrenia-related factors, e.g., dys-function of the activity of the GABA system intensifies the cognitive and positive symptoms of schizophrenia in mice. These findings might have a high diagnostic aspect in the future studies.

On the other hand, it can be speculated that the research concerning FAAH or MAGL inhibition may open a new approach for developing medications that act indirectly by enhancing the actions of endogenous lipid amide mediators. It is worth mentioning that FAAH and MAGL inhibition might be related to a wide spectrum of therapeutic actions in cognitive-related disorders. However, further studies are necessary to identify the clear mechanisms underlying the action of selective inhibitors that can be used as pharmacological tools to manipulate AEA and 2-AG signaling independently and to study their possible interactions.
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