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Determination of high-temperature and low-humidity treatment time for larch boxed-heart timber
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Abstract

The larch boxed-heart timbers with a cross section of 100 × 100 mm were dried after steamed at 100 °C dry-bulb temperature and 0 °C wet-bulb depression for 5 h, and then dried at 120 °C dry-bulb temperature and 30 °C wet-bulb depression for 8, 12 and 16 h, respectively, in order to determine optimal treatment time for the timber. This study indicated that the pretreatment of 12 and 16 h prevented both the surface and internal check of the timber. However, the pretreatment delayed drying time by at least 19%.
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Introduction

High-temperature and low-humidity (HT–LH) treatment prior to kiln drying has shown promising results in controlling the surface check of boxed-heart timber because a large drying set was formed at the surface layer of the timber [1–4]. But this pretreatment usually incurred internal checking. Large internal checks are undesirable for the strength properties of timber. Ido et al. [5] reported that internal checks had little effect on bending strength, but had a significant effect on shear strength.

In order to prevent or largely reduce surface and internal check of boxed-heart timber, some researches on the optimal conditions of HT–LH pretreatment have been conducted. Hermawan et al. [6] found that the surface checks tended to decrease while the internal checks of sugi boxed-heart timbers tended to increase as pretreatment temperature and time increased. The causes for trend above can be believed as following. As pretreatment temperature and/or time increase, drying set is also increased [7, 8]. Although drying set can reduce or prevent surface checking, when it is increased to some degree, it will increase internal tensile stresses during the last stage of drying and can incur internal checking. Therefore, it is critical to seek out optimal temperature and time of HT–LH treatment for specified size and species of boxed-heart timbers in order to prevent both surface and internal check. Katagiri et al. [3] effectively controlled the surface checks on sugi boxed-heart square timbers by employing the pretreatment of 120 °C dry-bulb temperature and 30 °C wet-bulb depression for 12 h, but did not report the result of the internal checks. Hermawan et al. reported that pretreatment at a temperature of 135 °C for 10 h or 150 °C for 7 h was effective in preventing surface checks of sugi boxed-heart square timbers, however, the internal checks were observed in most specimens. According to the study of Kuroda [9], HT–LH treating time was 10 h at minimum to prevent surface checks, and 30–40 h at maximum to control internal checks of the sugi boxed-heart timbers with a cross section of 132 × 132 mm, and the initial MC (moisture content) of 80–100% under the condition of 120 °C dry-bulb temperature and 30 °C wet-bulb depression. Although Kuroda gave the range of HT–LH treating time to prevent both the surface checks and internal checks of sugi boxed-heart timbers, he did not provide optimal HT–LH treating time. A similar study was reported by Hokkaido...
Forest Experimental Station [10]. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine proper pretreatment time for the larch boxed-heart timbers with a cross section of 100 × 100 mm in order to prevent both the surface and internal check.

Materials and procedures

80 pieces of boxed-heart square timbers with the dimension of 100 × 100 × 1700 mm were sawn from green Japanese larch (Larix kaempferi G.) logs with a length of 1700 mm and an average diameter of 15 cm. And then 20-mm-thick cross sections were cut at length position about 300 mm from each end of the timbers to measure the green MC of each timber. The ends of the specimens with the dimension of 100 × 100 × 1000 mm were coated with waterproof paint. The average green MC of specimens was 38.10% while the average final MC of specimens was 12.95%. Next, total 60 pieces of specimens, 20 pieces for each HT–LH treatment, were steamed at 100 °C dry-bulb temperature and 0 °C wet-bulb depression for 5 h. And then they were dried at 120 °C dry-bulb temperature and 30 °C wet-bulb depression for 8 h, 12 h and 16 h in a forced-air drier (SKD-90HPT, Shinshiba, Asahikawa Japan), respectively. Control (untreated) specimens were stacked in the same drier and dried together with HT–LH pretreated specimens using the drying schedule (Table 1). 8 pieces of the specimens with high initial MC, 2 pieces of the specimens from each HT–LH treatment and control, were pulled out of the drier and weighed at intervals of 24 h to measure MCs during drying.

After the end of drying, final MCs, the checks of specimens were measured. The widths and lengths of all surface checks were measured using a digital caliper, and the total surface check area of each specimen was calculated. After determining the surface checks, each specimen was cut in the middle by cross-sectional cutting and the total number of internal checks was counted and their lengths were measured using the caliper.

Results and discussion

Drying time

The MCs corresponding to minus 24 h in Fig. 1 were those of the specimens before HT–LH pretreatment after presteaming. The final MC of specimens was 12.36% of control specimens, 12.38% of the specimens pretreated for 8 h, 13.01% of the specimens pretreated for 12 h and 14.06% of the specimens pretreated for 16 h. The drying time of specimens was 17 days (408 h) for control specimens, 21 days (504 h) for the specimens pretreated for 8 h and over 21 days for the specimens pretreated for 12 and 16 h (Fig. 1), which indicates that the drying time of the pretreated timbers is delayed by at least 19% compared to that of control specimens. This trend can be attributed to slower drying rate of HT–LH-treated specimens. The observation above was in agreement with the finding of Hermawan et al. [6].

![Fig. 1 Drying curves of control and pretreated specimens](image)

| Drying stage | Moisture content range (%) | Dry-bulb temperature (°C) | Wet-bulb depressions (°C) | Treatment time (h) |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Presteaming   | 100                         | 0                         | 0                         | 5                 |
| HT–LH         | 120                         | 30                        | 8,12,16                   |
| 1             | Above 40                    | 45                        | 3                         | 48                |
| 2             | 40–30                       | 45                        | 4                         | 72                |
| 3             | 30–25                       | 50                        | 6                         | 96                |
| 4             | 25–20                       | 55                        | 8                         | 48                |
| 5             | 20–15                       | 60                        | 8                         | 72                |
| 6             | Below 15                    | 60                        | 10                        | 48                |
| 7             | 65                          | 14                        | 72                        |
| 8             | 65                          | 14                        | 48                        |
Drying rate
The F-test of drying rate indicated that the difference in average drying rate between HT–LH-treated specimens (pretreated for 8 h, for 12 h, and for 16 h) and control specimens was significant during whole drying period \((P<0.0001)\). As seen in Fig. 2, the average drying rate of pretreated specimens was slower than that of control specimens during whole drying period, and tended to decrease as pretreating time increased. This tendency could be attributed to the changed microfibrils and components in the surface layers of the pretreated specimens during presteaming and pretreating. This is a subject to be further studied in the near future.

Surface check
Most of the specimens pretreated for 12 and 16 h were free surface checks in spite of the some slight surface checks which occurred before drying because of low initial MC (Table 2). The surface checks on HT–LH-treated specimens were much less than those on control specimens, and tended to decrease as HT–LH treating time increased (Table 2). This trend can be attributed to the large drying set formed inside the surface layers of HT–LH-treated timbers, which relaxes large tensile stresses. Thus, the drying set prevented or reduced the surface checks. Moreover, as the treating time of HT–LH treatment increased, the tensile creep also became larger, which enhanced an ability of preventing or reducing surface checks.

Internal check
The internal checks inside HT–LH-treated specimens were much less than that inside control specimens. For pretreated specimens, only two internal checks were found for the specimens pretreated for 8 h, and no internal checks were observed for the specimens pretreated for 12 and 16 h (Table 2). Although the drying set, produced by HT–LH treatment, can control surface checks, large drying set is undesirable because it can induce internal checking. In this experiment, the proper HT–LH treating time was 12–16 h for small larch boxed-heart square timbers sawn from the log with a diameter of 15 cm.

Conclusions
The larch boxed-heart square timbers with a cross section of 100 \(\times\) 100 mm were kiln dried after steamed at 100 °C dry-bulb temperature and 0 °C wet-bulb depression for 5 h, and then pretreated at 120 °C dry-bulb temperature and 30 °C wet-bulb depression for 8 h, 12 h and 16 h, respectively, to develop optimal pretreatment time for small larch boxed-heart square timbers. The results of this study were as following:

1. HT–LH pretreatment at 120 °C dry-bulb temperature and 30 °C wet-bulb depression for 12 and 16 h prevented both the surface and internal checks of the larch boxed-heart square timbers with a cross section of 100 \(\times\) 100 mm, and with relative low initial moisture content.

Table 2 Checks of larch timbers after drying

| Treatment | Surface check | | Internal check | |
|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
|           | Area (mm²)    | Standard deviation | Sum total | Area (mm²) | Standard deviation | Sum total |
| Control   | 26.30         | 24.46      | 17          | 10.00      | 2.32          | 6          |
| 8 h       | 0.08          | 0.07       | 5           | 3.00       | 0.76          | 2          |
| 12 h      | 0.06          | 0.04       | 3           | 0.00       | 0.00          | 0          |
| 16 h      | 0.02          | 0.00       | 1           | 0.00       | 0.00          | 0          |
2. HT–LH pretreatment delayed the drying time by at least 19% because of slower drying rate of the pre-treated timbers.
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