Superburst with Outburst from EXO 1745-248 in Terzan 5 with MAXI
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Abstract

MAXI/GSC detected a superburst from EXO 1745-248 in the globular cluster Terzan 5 on 2011 October 24. The GSC light curve shows an exponential decay with an e-folding time of 0.3 day. The spectra are consistent with the blackbody radiation, whose temperature is 2.2 keV and 1.2 keV at MJD 55858.56 and 55859.20, respectively. The fluence is 1.4 x 10^{42} erg in 2-20 keV assuming 8.7 kpc distance. The sphere radius of the blackbody and its luminosity are estimated to be 6.2 km and 1.1 x 10^{38} erg s^{-1}, respectively, from the spectral fitting at the flux peak. Those e-folding time, temperature, softening, fluence, and radius are typical of superbursts from the low-mass X-ray binaries. The superburst was followed by an outburst 28 hours after the superburst onset. The outburst lasted for 5 days and the fluence was 4.3 x 10^{42} erg. The instability of the accretion disk caused by the superburst would be an explanation for the outburst, whereas the mass accretion of the matter evaporated from surface of the companion star by the superburst would be another possibility.
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1. Introduction

A thermonuclear runaway on the surface of the neutron star is considered as an origin of an X-ray burst. It normally lasts for 10-100 s. X-ray bursts with extraordinary long durations were discovered from 4U 1735-44 (Cornelisse et al., 2000) and KS 1731-260 (Kuulkers et al., 2002), which lasted several thousand - tens of thousand s. It was named as a superburst. The long duration is considered to come from the deep ignition in the carbon layer. The fuel is mixture of carbon, hydrogen and helium (Strohmayer & Brown, 2002). It is a rare phenomenon since the recurrent time is longer than tens of years. The superbursts from six sources are summarized by Strohmayer & Bildsten (2006). The superburst was also discovered from a transient source 4U 1608-522 (Keek et al., 2008). Thus, it is considered to be a common phenomenon in X-ray bursters.

The RXTE/PCA bulge scan detected an increase of the flux from the globular cluster Terzan 5 on MJD 55860 (= 2011 October 26) (Altamirano et al., 2011a). They mentioned that this could be a new outburst, which is a brightening of a transient source lasting typically some weeks to months. The flux was 8 mCrab at MJD 55860.2 and increased to 83 and 90 mCrab at MJD 55860.96 and 55861.03, respectively, in 2-16 keV. (Altamirano et al., 2011b). Vovk et al. (2011) reported that INTEGRAL observed Terzan 5 region during MJD 55859.7-55859.9, which was just before RXTE/PCA observation, and obtained the 5 sigma upper limits of 6 mCrab in 3-10 keV and 11 mCrab in 20-40 keV. MAXI had detected a brightening of Terzan 5 on MJD 55858.53 (Mihara et al., 2011a), prior to the INTEGRAL observations.

The position of the source determined by Swift XRT is consistent with EXO 1745-248 (Altamirano et al., 2011b), rather than IGR J17480-2446 which had an outburst in 2010 October (Bordas et al., 2010; Chakraborty et al., 2011). This identification is confirmed by the following Chandra observation (Pooley et al., 2011) to be CXOGib J174805.2-244647 or CX3 in Heinke et al. (2006). EXO 1745-248 had an outburst in 2000 (Markwardt & Swank, 2000; Wijnands et al., 2005). The distance to Terzan 5 was estimated to be 8.7 kpc (Cohn et al., 2002). Mukherjee & Bhattacharyya (2011) found kilohertz quasi-periodic oscillations from this source at ~ 690 Hz. Although any orbital period of the system and the nature of the companion star are unclear, Heinke et al. (2003) suggested the possibility of an ultracompact binary system from the spectral analysis.

We report the results of MAXI/GSC observation of the brightening episode from MJD 55858 and the discovery of the superburst and the succeeding outburst. We employ the distance of 8.7 kpc to Terzan 5 in this paper.

2. Observations and Data Analysis

MAXI/GSC (Mihara et al., 2011b) observed Terzan 5 region with two GSC cameras (GSC-5 and GSC-B),
throughout this brightening episode. Since GSC-B was operated with a reduced high voltage, the data was not included in the preliminary analysis of ATel (Mihara et al., 2011a). In this paper, we use all the data from both cameras.

A GSC camera scans a source in every 92 minutes with a typical transit time of ~60 s (Sugizaki et al., 2011). Figure 1 shows the light curve of Terzan 5 with MAXI/GSC. The observed count-rates are converted to fluxes in units of photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ by assuming the Crab spectrum in each energy band. The 1 Crab is 1.87, 1.24 and 0.40 ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in 2-4, 4-10, 10-20 keV band, respectively.

### 2.1. Light curves and persistent emissions

The light curve shows two peaks. The initial peak was observed only by MAXI/GSC. The flux increased suddenly at MJD 55858.5589 from the nominal flux level of 0.02 ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ to 0.51 ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (4-10 keV). There was no flux variation during the scan (~60 s). The flux was nominal in the previous scan at MJD 55858.4949, which was 92 minutes before. The 4-10 keV light curve showed an exponential decay after it. The e-folding time was obtained to be 0.3 day by a fitting, which is typical for a superburst. The total fluence between 55859.5 and 55859.9 is 2.1 ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in 2-20 keV.

The total energy of 4.3 erg corresponds to the total energy of 1.4 erg in 2-20 keV. Assuming the spectrum of 2.55 keV disk blackbody, which was 92 minutes before. The 4-10 keV light curve showed an exponential decay after it. The e-folding time was obtained to be 0.3 day by a fitting, which is typical for a superburst. The total fluence between 55859.5 and 55859.9 is 2.1 ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in 2-20 keV.
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The light curve shows two peaks. The initial peak was observed only by MAXI/GSC. The flux increased suddenly at MJD 55858.5589 from the nominal flux level of 0.02 ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ to 0.51 ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (4-10 keV). There was no flux variation during the scan (~60 s). The flux was nominal in the previous scan at MJD 55858.4949, which was 92 minutes before. The 4-10 keV light curve showed an exponential decay after it. The e-folding time was obtained to be 0.3 day by a fitting, which is typical for a superburst. The total fluence between 55859.5 and 55859.9 is 2.1 ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in 2-20 keV.

### 2.2. Spectral analysis and hardness ratio

We performed time-resolved spectral analysis for the initial part. Both a blackbody model and a power-law model ($\Gamma \sim 1$) give an acceptable fit. Figure 2 shows the spectra of the first and the second scan with the best-fit blackbody model. The best-fit parameters ($kT_{\text{fit}}$ and $R_{\text{fit}}$) are summarized in table 1. The photo-electric absorption is not included in the model because expected Galactic column density towards Terzan 5, $N_H = 5-6 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, is negligible in fitting the GSC data. The obtained temperature and radius are typical for a superburst. The best-fit temperature decreased from 2.2 keV (MJD 55585.56) to 1.2 keV (MJD 55589.20), indicating a softening. The luminosity in the time interval A (superburst peak) was $1.1 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$ if the emission is isotropic. The temperature, softening, radius, fluence, and e-folding time are typical for a superburst. Thus we conclude this is a superburst from Terzan 5.

In order to investigate the spectral evolution of the superburst and the following outburst, we plotted color-color diagram (figure 3) and hardness-intensity diagram (figure 4). In figure 3, the two first points of the superburst part agrees with the blackbody model, while the rest points are above the line of blackbody model.

The curve of the soft color in figure 4 shows a decrease of the flux by an order of magnitude along the same blackbody radius for the superburst part (triangles). On the
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1. http://maxi.riken.jp/top/index.php?cid=36
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other hand, there is clockwise motion in the diagram for the outburst part (circles). The flux stays almost constant from the interval 2 to 4, while the hardness changes from 0.7 to 1.4. These distinctions suggest different emission mechanisms between the two parts. In fact Altamirano et al. (2011b) reported that the spectrum of the latter part is represented by a model consisting of diskbb of $kT_{\text{in}} = 2.55$ keV powerlaw of photon index = 2.25, Gaussian iron line (6.5 keV), and absorption (column density = $1.2 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, fixed), suggesting the emission of the outburst comes from the accretion disk rather than neutron star surface.

### 3. Discussion

#### 3.1. Disk instability caused by the superburst

How did the superburst trigger the outburst? Kuulkers et al. (2009) discussed a possibility that a normal X-ray burst induced the instability of the accretion disk and triggered outburst of Cen X-4. In the case of Cen X-4, they mentioned the duration of the irradiation of 10 minutes is not sufficient to modify the thermal structure of the disk. Using the disk viscosity parameter $\alpha$, the characteristic thermal time scale $t_{\text{th}}$ is

$$t_{\text{th}} = \alpha^{-1} \Omega^{-1}$$
\[ = \alpha^{-1}(GM_1/R_1^3)^{-1/2}, \]

where \( \Omega, G, \) and \( M_1 \) are the Keplerian angular velocity gravitational constant and the mass of the neutron star. It is \( \approx 4 \) min when the radius \( R = 10^9 \) cm, \( \alpha \sim 0.01, \) and \( M_1 = 1.4M_\odot \) are assumed.

In the case of the superburst, the duration is several hours and it is much longer than the thermal time scale, even at the outer boundary of the disk. Therefore, the disk-instability scenario is a possible model to explain the outburst.

### 3.2. Evaporation of the companion-star surface

Another explanation of the outburst is mass accretion of the matter evaporated from surface of the companion star by the superburst. We define \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) as the distance from the inner Lagrangian point \( L_1 \) to the neutron star and to the companion star, respectively. As typical to the low-mass X-ray binaries, the companion low-mass star is assumed to fill the Roche lobe. Therefore, the radius of the companion star is \( R_2 \approx d_2 \).

The total radiation energy of the superburst \( E \) heats up the companion star, whose solid angle from the neutron star is \( \Omega \), with the efficiency \( \eta \), which is defined as the efficiency of the irradiated energy to the kinetic energy of the escaping matter. Then, the matter on the surface with mass \( m \) obtains the kinetic energy and escapes from the surface with the velocity \( v \). The energy conservation gives

\[ v = \sqrt{2\eta E \Omega/(4\pi m)}. \]

The solid angle \( \Omega \) is written as

\[ \Omega = \frac{\pi R_2^2}{(d_1 + R_2)^2}. \]

The mass of the companion star is assumed to be those of the typical X-ray bursters, \( 0.04M_\odot \leq M_2 \leq 0.4M_\odot \) (Lewin et al., 1993). Since the mass-to-radius ratio is almost constant among main sequence stars, the radius of the companion star with the mass in this range becomes

\[ 0.04R_\odot \leq R_2 \leq 0.4R_\odot. \]

Then, the ratio \( R_2/d_1 \) is calculated to be \( 0.24 < R_2/d_1 < 0.59 \), assuming the mass of the neutron star \( M_1 \) of 1.4 \( M_\odot \). Thus \( \Omega \) is constrained to be

\[ 0.12 \lesssim \Omega \lesssim 0.44. \]

Supposing that the escaped matter from the companion star surface accretes onto the neutron star, the velocity of the evaporated matter should be faster than the escape velocity of the companion star to the Lagrangian point \( L_1 \), but should not exceed that of the binary system. The escape velocity of the companion star to infinity is written as \( \sqrt{2GM_2/R_2} \). Let us express the escape velocity to \( L_1 \) with a parameter \( \epsilon \), as \( \sqrt{2GM_2/R_2} \). Under the assumption of the constant mass-to-radius ratio, it is written as \( \sqrt{2GM_2/R_\odot} \). The escape velocity is expressed as \( \approx 6 \times 10^3 \epsilon^{1/2} \) cm s\(^{-1}\). When the companion star completely fills the Roche lobe, \( \epsilon \approx 0 \). When the escape velocity is equal to the thermal velocity of 3000 K atmosphere, which is typical of a low-mass star, \( \epsilon \approx 0.0001 \).

The escape velocity out of the system is estimated as \( \approx 2 \times 10^8 \) cm s\(^{-1}\) with the mass of 1.4 \( M_\odot \) and typical size of the low-mass X-ray binary 10\(^{10}\) cm. Therefore, the velocity of the evaporated matter must be

\[ 6 \times 10^7 \epsilon^{1/2} \leq v \leq 2 \times 10^8 \text{ cm s}^{-1}. \]

The total accreted mass in the outburst \( m \) can be calculated from the fluence of the outburst \((4.3 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg})\) and the energy release of accreting matter per unit mass, \( GM_1/R_1 \sim 2 \times 10^{20} \text{ erg g}^{-1} \), where \( R_1 \) is the radius of the neutron star. They lead to \( m = 2.2 \times 10^{22} \text{ g} \). The mass column density of the evaporated gas on the companion hemisphere surface becomes \( m/(2\pi R_2^2) = 4.5 \sim 450 \text{ g cm}^{-2} \) for \( R_2 = (0.04 \sim 0.4)R_\odot \). Substituting the accreted mass \( m \) and \( E_n = 1.2 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg} \) into equations (2) and (5), the condition to \( \eta \Omega \) is obtained as \( 3.9 \times 10^{-4} \lesssim \eta \Omega \lesssim 3.9 \times 10^{-3} \).

Considering equation (4), the constraint on \( \eta \) is obtained as \( 9 \times 10^{-4} \lesssim \eta \lesssim 0.03 \).

The course of surface-matter evaporation by X-ray irradiation contains many elementary processes such as Compton scattering by electrons (hydrogen plasma), photo-electric absorption by the metal elements, thermal conduction from electrons to protons, and escape probability of the proton from the reaction point to outer space through atmosphere whose density varies exponentially with height. Precise theoretical calculation would be necessary to evaluate \( \eta \), which is beyond the scope of this paper.

### 3.3. Feedback by the mass evaporation

The evaporation model contains a feedback problem. If the superburst X-ray induced the succeeding outburst, the succeeding outburst itself would also induce another outburst since the flux of the initial superburst and the secondary outburst is comparable. Then the outburst is supposed to repeat forever by this positive feedback. The fact is that the secondary outburst occurred only once and stopped.

The energy spectrum is similar in the superburst and the outburst and would not cause a difference. Both have the temperature of \( \approx 2 \text{ keV} \). In fact, the initial spike of the superburst was hard and had the only positively-detected data point in the 10-20 keV band as shown in figure 1. However, this spike contains only a small fraction of the fluence of the superburst.

A possible reason might be formation of the accretion disk. If there was no, or only a small, accretion disk when the superburst occurred, the superburst could irradiate the whole companion surface. However, once the accretion disk is formed by the mass transfer, a significant portion of the X-ray emission towards the companion star is shadowed by the disk. It reduces the evaporation of the companion surface and prevents the feedback.

Another possible reason might be the duration of superburst heating. The superburst lasted for 0.3 day while the accretion lasted for 5 days. The flux of the outburst was only 0.2 times of that of the superburst. There might be a threshold to induce effective mass evaporation and accretion between \( 1.4 \times 10^{32} \text{ erg}/0.3 \text{ day} = 5.4 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg} \).
enough (the interpretation above leads to the idea that a bright considered) outburst of LMXB induces the following mass efficiency $\eta_{\text{eff}}$.

If the system of EXO 1745-248 is typical for LMXBs, the interpretation above leads to the idea that a bright considered) outburst of LMXB induces the following mass efficiency $\eta_{\text{eff}}$.

The fluence of the superburst of $1.4 \times 10^{42}$ erg $\approx 4.3 \times 10^{22}$ erg $/5$ day = $1.0 \times 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The efficiency $\eta$ may depend on the flux.
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The recurrence time of the superburst can be estimated. Using the timescale $5.4 \times 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$ or more if the shadow is considered) outburst of LMXB induces the following mass accretion by the positive feedback. The outburst does not stop immediately ($< 1$ d), but lasts for a long period ($\geq 1$ week). It may be difficult to distinguish whether the continuing outburst is due to the positive feedback by the evaporation, or just due to the increased stellar outflow activity. However, this is at least consistent with the observational facts (e.g. review by in’t Zand et al., 2004b) that once the outbursts in LMXB start they last for a while (some weeks to months).

3.4. The recurrence time of the superburst

From the released energy by the superburst and the persistent flux, the recurrence time can be estimated. Using the fluence of the superburst of $1.4 \times 10^{42}$ erg and hydrogen burning energy of $6 \times 10^{41}$ erg g$^{-1}$, the mass of the hydrogen fuel is $2.3 \times 10^{23}$ g. Using the average flux of 16 mCrab ($2.7 \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1} = 1.4 \times 10^{16}$ g s$^{-1}$) for the persistent accretion, the recurrence time is calculated to be 190 days. Since the energy release of the carbon burning is $\sim 10^{42}$ erg g$^{-1}$ (Strohmayer & Brown, 2002), the recurrence time should be longer to be 1140 days in the carbon burning case.

4. Conclusion

MAXI/GSC detected a superburst from EXO 1745-248 in Terzan 5. The light curve obtained by MAXI shows an exponential decay with an e-folding time of 0.3 day. From the spectral analysis of each scan, we found a softening of the blackbody temperature, from $2.2 \times 10^{22}$ to $1 \times 10^{22}$ keV. Those e-folding time, temperature, softening, fluence, and radius are typical of superbursts from low-mass X-ray binaries.

An outburst followed the superburst 28 hours after the superburst onset. The outburst lasted for 5 days and the fluence was $4.3 \times 10^{42}$ erg. We showed that the timescale and the total energy of the outburst could be consistent with the assumption that the matter evaporated from surface of the companion star by the superburst accreted onto the neutron star, while there is a possibility that the instability of the accretion disk caused by the superburst is responsible for the outburst.

This research was partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Grant-in-Aid for Science Research 20244015.
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