Formation and Repair of Mismatches Containing Ribonucleotides and Oxidized Bases at Repeated DNA Sequences*
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Background: Whether ribonucleotide and base excision repair (RER and BER) interfere during repair synthesis events is unknown.

Results: Complex mispairs containing ribonucleotides and oxidized bases are formed by polymerase β and processed by RER and BER enzymes.

Conclusion: Complex lesions modify the efficiency of DNA/RNA repair systems.

Significance: This work explains how complex mispairs can compromise BER and RER.

The cellular pool of ribonucleotide triphosphates (rNTPs) is higher than that of deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates. To ensure genome stability, DNA polymerases must discriminate against rNTPs and incorporated ribonucleotides must be removed by ribonucleotide excision repair (RER). We investigated DNA polymerase β (POL β) capacity to incorporate ribonucleotides into trinucleotide repeated DNA sequences and the efficiency of base excision repair (BER) and RER enzymes (OGG1, MUTYH, and RNase H2) when presented with an incorrect sugar and an oxidized base. POL β incorporated rAMP and rCMP opposite 7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine (8-oxodG) and extended both mispairs. In addition, POL β was able to insert and elongate an oxidized rGMP when paired with dA. We show that RNase H2 always preserves the capacity to remove a single ribonucleotide when paired to an oxidized base or to incise an oxidized ribonucleotide in a DNA duplex. In contrast, BER activity is affected by the presence of a ribonucleotide opposite an 8-oxodG. In particular, MUTYH activity on 8-oxodG:rA mispairs is fully inhibited, although its binding capacity is retained. This results in the reduction of RNase H2 incision capability of this substrate. Thus complex mispairs formed by an oxidized base and a ribonucleotide can compromise BER and RER in repeated sequences.

Reactive oxygen species, produced by numerous exogenous and endogenous factors, are a major source of DNA damage. Between 1000 and 7000 7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine (8-oxodG) lesions are generated in the DNA of a single cell each day (1). 8-OxodG can mispair with adenine during DNA replication to generate G:C to T:A transversion mutations (2). The precursors of DNA/RNA synthesis are also targets for damage during oxidative stress. DNA repair and nucleotide pool sanitation systems conserved from bacteria to mammalian cells counteract both types of damage (3). The cooperative action of the base excision repair (BER) DNA glycosylases OGG1 and MUTYH prevents 8-oxodG-induced mutagenesis. OGG1 removes 8-oxodG from 8-oxodG:dC pairs and MUTYH excises adenine mispaired with 8-oxodG (for reviews, see Refs. 4–6). The resulting abasic sites are repaired by the sequential action of apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP)-endonuclease-1 (APE1), DNA polymerase β (pol β), and DNA ligase. The oxidized purine nucleoside triphosphatase encoded by the MutT homolog MTH1 provides an additional level of protection by hydrolyzing 8-oxodGTP and 8-oxorGTP to the corresponding monophosphates to prevent their incorporation into DNA and RNA (7). Consistent with their protective role against mutation, inactivation of OGG1, MUTYH, or MTH1 is associated with a mutator phenotype (7–9).

BER factors can affect the length of trinucleotide repeat regions in several neurodegenerative diseases (10–12), suggesting that the intervention of DNA repair proteins might be deleterious. The relationship between oxidative stress and repeat expansion is not fully understood. Current models envisage strand interruptions introduced by BER enzymes, POL β-mediated insertion of a limited number of nucleotides, and “toxic oxidation cycles” leading to trinucleotide repeat expansion (13).

Recently genome instability due to erroneous incorporation of ribonucleotides by replicative DNA polymerases has been reported (for reviews, see Refs. 14 and 15). To prevent rNTP incorporation, the active sites of DNA polymerases operate selection via “steric gates” in which specific amino acid residues cause a steric clash with the C2‘ oxygen of an incoming rNTP (16). This mechanism is not completely effective, however, and replicative DNA polymerases incorporate numerous ribonucleotides (17).
Ribonucleotide excision repair (RER) is the process that excises DNA ribonucleotides (18, 19). In RER, RNase H2 nicks duplex DNA 5’ to a misincorporated ribonucleotide. DNA repair is completed via strand displacement by proliferating cell nuclear antigen/replicative DNA polymerases, release of the ribonucleotide-containing DNA strand by ExoI and FEN1 and DNA ligation. In the absence of RNase H2, removal of DNA ribonucleotides may also occur via a DNA topoisomerase I-dependent mechanism (20).

Erroneous ribonucleotide incorporation might also occur during repair synthesis, as DNA polymerases involved in DNA repair (POL β, POL λ, POL μ) can all utilize rNMPs (21–24). We investigated the ability of pol β to incorporate rNTPs opposite canonical and/or oxidized guanines in repeated DNA sequences. We also examined incorporation of 8-oxorGTP. We demonstrate that complex mispairs, formed by an oxidized base and a ribonucleotide, can compromise BER in repeated sequences. In addition MUTYH binding at rA:8-oxodG mispair can adversely affect the efficiency of RNase H2.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Materials**—8-OxodGTP was purchased from TriLink Biotechnologies (San Diego, CA), 8-oxorGTP from Jena Biosciences GmbH (Jena, Germany), and dNTP and rNTP from Sigma. Synthetic oligonucleotides, HPLC purified, were purchased from Thermo (ThermoFisher Scientific, Ulm, Germany). Oligomers containing a single internal modification (8-oxodG or ribonucleotide base) were 5’ end labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-FAM) or Texas Red dye. Oligomers were further purified by PAGE (20% polyacrylamide/bisacrylamide (37.5:1 ratio) (Bio-Rad) in 7 M urea). Samples were generally annealed in a 1:1 concentration ratio. Duplexes homogeneity was always checked on a 10% nondenaturing PAGE.

Human recombinant BER proteins OGG1, APE1, and POL β were obtained from Trevigen Inc. (Gaithersburg, MD) and RNase H2 from New England Biolabs (UK). Human recombinant MUTYH was obtained by expression and purification as fusion maltose-binding protein as previously described (25). The active fraction concentration was evaluated as described by Turco et al. (26).

**Primer Extension Experiments**—Incorporation of dAMP/rAMP and dCMP/rCMP by POL β were analyzed using a substrate obtained by annealing a 5’ end (6-FAM)-labeled primer (5’-CGA GTC ATC TAG CAT CCG TAC) to a template strand (5’-CTA CGA ATG AGT (CTG)g CGT TAG GGA TGC TAG ATG ACT CG) containing an 8-oxodG (G*) at the template position for the incoming nucleotide. Insertion of dAMP/rAMP and dCMP/rCMP were performed on substrates obtained by annealing a 5’ end (6-FAM)-labeled primers (5’-CGA GTC ATC TAG CAT CCG TAC) and (5’-CGA GTC ATC TAG CAT CCG TA) to a template strand (5’-CTA CGA ATG AGT (CTG)g TAC GGA TGC TAG ATG ACT CG) that contained, respectively, a T or a G at the templating position of the incoming nucleotide. Incorporation of 8-oxodGMP or 8-oxorGMP were analyzed by using a 5’ end (6-FAM)-labeled primer (5’-GCA ATG AAG TCT ACG TAG TGC) annealed to a template (5’-CGA GTC ATC TAG CAT CCG TA (CAG)20 TAC GTA GAC TTA CTC ATT GC). In a standard reaction, primer/templates (10 nm) were preincubated with POL β (0.1 unit) at 4 °C for 1 min in the assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 1% glycerol), then rNTP were added at increasing concentrations and the reaction allowed for 1 h at 37 °C. Elongation was performed by adding dNTP and the reaction allowed for an additional 30 min. Reactions were halted by addition of a loading buffer solution containing 95% formamide, 20 mM EDTA, 0.05% bromphenol blue and products denatured at 95 °C for 2 min. Reaction products were analyzed by electrophoresis in a denaturing 15% polyacrylamide gel at 500 V for 2–3 h at 4 °C. The insertion products were detected by fluorescence using by using a Typhoon scanner (Typhoon 9200 Gel Imager, GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden).

**Incorporation Kinetics Analysis**—The analysis of the band intensities was performed by ImageJ software (NIH Image software, rsb.info.nih.gov/ij) and the percentage of incorporated dNMP was plotted as a function of the added dNTPs. Data were fitted by Kaleidagraph software (Kaleidagraph, Synergy Corp.). To calculate the kinetics parameters for nucleotide incorporation \( V_{\text{max}} \) and \( K_{\text{m}} \) the values of the integrated gel band intensities were plotted as a function of the dNTP concentration and fitted to Equation 1,

\[
\frac{I_{n+1}}{I_n} = \frac{V_{\text{max}}[\text{dNTP}]}{K_{\text{m}} + [\text{dNTP}]}
\]

where \( n \) corresponds to the primer. All band intensities were normalized to the total band intensities to avoid effects due to loading differences. The selectivity index was calculated as Equation 2.

\[
\left( \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{K_{\text{m}}} \right)_{\text{control}} \div \left( \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{K_{\text{m}}} \right)_{\text{modified}}
\]

**DNA Glycosylases and RNase H2 Assays**—OGG1 substrates were obtained by annealing a 36-mer oligomer labeled at the 5’ end with Texas Red dye (5’ Texas red-CGA ATG AGT CTG* (CTG)g GAT GAC TGC) with a 5’ end 6-FAM-labeled 36-mer oligomer (5’-(6-FAM)-GCA GTC ATC (CAG)g rCAGACT CAT TCG) or (5’-(6-FAM)-GCA GTC ATC (CAG)g ACT CAT TCG) to produce duplexes containing a single 8-oxodGrC or 8-oxodG:dC mispair. MUTYH substrates were obtained by annealing the 5’ end Texas red-labeled oligomer (5’-Texas red-CGA ATG AGT CTG CTG CTG CTG GAT GAC TGC) with the oligomers 6-FAM-GCA GTC ATC CAG CrAG (CAG)g ACT CAT TCG) and 6-FAM-GCA GTC ATC CAG CrAG (CAG)g ACT CAT TCG), to produce duplexes containing a single 8-oxodGrA and a single 8-oxodG:dA mispair, respectively. In a standard OGG1 cleavage reaction, DNA substrate (10 nm) was incubated with the purified enzyme (0–20 nM active fraction) in 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mg/ml of BSA (Buffer A) at 37 °C for 30 min. Reactions were stopped by NaOH addition (100 mM final concentration) and heating at 90 °C for 2 min. After addition of gel loading solution (95% formamide, 10 mM EDTA, and 0.05% bromphenol blue) and heating at 95 °C for 2 min, reaction products were resolved on a 20% polyacrylamide gel made in
7 M urea, Tris borate buffer. Samples were run at 500 V for 2 h 30 min at 40 °C. Gel images were visualized by Typhoon scanner and data analysis was performed by using ImageJ and Kaleidagraph software as described before. MUTYH adenine removal capacity (both dA and rA) was analyzed by a similar procedure, but reaction buffer A was implemented with 80 mM NaCl (Buffer B). In addition, the incision at the abasic site, produced by the MUTYH reaction on DNA substrates, was performed by incubating the samples with APE1 enzyme (0.1 unit/reaction volume). For RNase H2 assays were allowed by incubating the DNA substrates labeled at the ribonucleotide strand (10 nM) with increasing concentrations of the purified enzyme at 37 °C for 1 h. Electrophoresis and data analysis were performed as described before. Enzymes and dNTP concentrations are reported in the figure legends. Reaction products were resolved by PAGE and data analysis was performed as described before.

**MUTYH Binding and RNase H2 Activity Inhibition**—Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to evaluate MUTYH binding capacity to an 8-oxodG:rA substrate. DNA substrate (30 nM) was incubated with increasing MUTYH concentrations at 4 °C for 1 h. Electrophoresis and data analysis were performed as described before. 8-oxorG-dA containing substrate were performed on duplexes built by incorporation of the 8-oxorGTP triphosphate and extension of the terminal mispair by POL β as described before. Duplexes were EtOH precipitated and resuspended in the RNase H2 or MUTYH buffer (10 μl) and incision reactions were allowed at 37 °C for 1 h. Enzymes and dNTP concentrations are reported in the figure legends. Reaction products were resolved by PAGE and data analysis was performed as described before.

**MUTYH Binding and RNase H2 Activity Inhibition**—Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to evaluate MUTYH binding capacity to an 8-oxodG:rA substrate. DNA substrate (30 nM) was incubated with increasing MUTYH concentrations at 4 °C for 1 h. DNA-protein complexes were analyzed by nondenaturing 8% polyacrylamide gel in Tris borate buffer, run at 350 V for 3 h at 4 °C. Electrophoresis and data analysis were performed as described before. Enzymes and dNTP concentrations are reported in the figure legends. Reaction products were resolved by PAGE and data analysis was performed as described before.

**MUTYH Binding and RNase H2 Activity Inhibition**—Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to evaluate MUTYH binding capacity to an 8-oxodG:rA substrate. DNA substrate (30 nM) was incubated with increasing MUTYH concentrations at 4 °C for 1 h. DNA-protein complexes were analyzed by nondenaturing 8% polyacrylamide gel in Tris borate buffer, run at 350 V for 3 h at 4 °C. Electrophoresis and data analysis were performed as described before. Enzymes and dNTP concentrations are reported in the figure legends. Reaction products were resolved by PAGE and data analysis was performed as described before.

**MUTYH Binding and RNase H2 Activity Inhibition**—Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to evaluate MUTYH binding capacity to an 8-oxodG:rA substrate. DNA substrate (30 nM) was incubated with increasing MUTYH concentrations at 4 °C for 1 h. DNA-protein complexes were analyzed by nondenaturing 8% polyacrylamide gel in Tris borate buffer, run at 350 V for 3 h at 4 °C. Electrophoresis and data analysis were performed as described before. Enzymes and dNTP concentrations are reported in the figure legends. Reaction products were resolved by PAGE and data analysis was performed as described before.

**MUTYH Binding and RNase H2 Activity Inhibition**—Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to evaluate MUTYH binding capacity to an 8-oxodG:rA substrate. DNA substrate (30 nM) was incubated with increasing MUTYH concentrations at 4 °C for 1 h. DNA-protein complexes were analyzed by nondenaturing 8% polyacrylamide gel in Tris borate buffer, run at 350 V for 3 h at 4 °C. Electrophoresis and data analysis were performed as described before. Enzymes and dNTP concentrations are reported in the figure legends. Reaction products were resolved by PAGE and data analysis was performed as described before.

**MUTYH Binding and RNase H2 Activity Inhibition**—Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to evaluate MUTYH binding capacity to an 8-oxodG:rA substrate. DNA substrate (30 nM) was incubated with increasing MUTYH concentrations at 4 °C for 1 h. DNA-protein complexes were analyzed by nondenaturing 8% polyacrylamide gel in Tris borate buffer, run at 350 V for 3 h at 4 °C. Electrophoresis and data analysis were performed as described before. Enzymes and dNTP concentrations are reported in the figure legends. Reaction products were resolved by PAGE and data analysis was performed as described before.

**MUTYH Binding and RNase H2 Activity Inhibition**—Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to evaluate MUTYH binding capacity to an 8-oxodG:rA substrate. DNA substrate (30 nM) was incubated with increasing MUTYH concentrations at 4 °C for 1 h. DNA-protein complexes were analyzed by nondenaturing 8% polyacrylamide gel in Tris borate buffer, run at 350 V for 3 h at 4 °C. Electrophoresis and data analysis were performed as described before. Enzymes and dNTP concentrations are reported in the figure legends. Reaction products were resolved by PAGE and data analysis was performed as described before.

**MUTYH Binding and RNase H2 Activity Inhibition**—Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to evaluate MUTYH binding capacity to an 8-oxodG:rA substrate. DNA substrate (30 nM) was incubated with increasing MUTYH concentrations at 4 °C for 1 h. DNA-protein complexes were analyzed by nondenaturing 8% polyacrylamide gel in Tris borate buffer, run at 350 V for 3 h at 4 °C. Electrophoresis and data analysis were performed as described before. Enzymes and dNTP concentrations are reported in the figure legends. Reaction products were resolved by PAGE and data analysis was performed as described before.

**MUTYH Binding and RNase H2 Activity Inhibition**—Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to evaluate MUTYH binding capacity to an 8-oxodG:rA substrate. DNA substrate (30 nM) was incubated with increasing MUTYH concentrations at 4 °C for 1 h. DNA-protein complexes were analyzed by nondenaturing 8% polyacrylamide gel in Tris borate buffer, run at 350 V for 3 h at 4 °C. Electrophoresis and data analysis were performed as described before. Enzymes and dNTP concentrations are reported in the figure legends. Reaction products were resolved by PAGE and data analysis was performed as described before.

**MUTYH Binding and RNase H2 Activity Inhibition**—Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to evaluate MUTYH binding capacity to an 8-oxodG:rA substrate. DNA substrate (30 nM) was incubated with increasing MUTYH concentrations at 4 °C for 1 h. DNA-protein complexes were analyzed by nondenaturing 8% polyacrylamide gel in Tris borate buffer, run at 350 V for 3 h at 4 °C. Electrophoresis and data analysis were performed as described before. Enzymes and dNTP concentrations are reported in the figure legends. Reaction products were resolved by PAGE and data analysis was performed as described before.

**MUTYH Binding and RNase H2 Activity Inhibition**—Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to evaluate MUTYH binding capacity to an 8-oxodG:rA substrate. DNA substrate (30 nM) was incubated with increasing MUTYH concentrations at 4 °C for 1 h. DNA-protein complexes were analyzed by nondenaturing 8% polyacrylamide gel in Tris borate buffer, run at 350 V for 3 h at 4 °C. Electrophoresis and data analysis were performed as described before. Enzymes and dNTP concentrations are reported in the figure legends. Reaction products were resolved by PAGE and data analysis was performed as described before.
labeled at the 5' end of the strand containing dA or rA opposite an 8-oxoG, were preincubated with MUTYH (10 nM) in 10 µl of buffer B, at 37 °C for time ranging from 0 up to 60 min. RNase H2 (1 unit) was added and MgCl₂ concentration adjusted at 2 mM final concentration before 60 min incubation at 37 °C. Reactions were stopped by addition of loading buffer solution and heating at 95 °C for 2 min. No hydrolysis was detected in the control sample in these conditions.

**Results**

**Ribonucleotide Incorporation and Extension by POL β at Repeated Sequences**—We examined the capacity of POL β to incorporate ribonucleotides into a 50-mer template comprising six CAG repeats flanked by 20 and 12 nucleotides at the 3' and 5' sides, respectively. Primers that terminated at different positions in the first triplet of the sequence were used to direct the incorporation of ribo- and deoxyadenine or cytosine monophosphates (Fig. 1, A–C). To investigate ribonucleotide incorporation opposite 8-oxoG, a template thymine in the first triplet was substituted by 8-oxoG (G* in Fig. 1C). POL β strongly discriminates against ribonucleotides and insertion of rAMP occurred >3 orders of magnitude less efficiently than dAMP opposite template dT (selectivity index of 2273)(Fig. 1, A and B). A similar selectivity was also observed for dCMP over rCMP opposite template dG (selectivity index of 2941) (Fig. 1, B and D). These data confirm previously reported findings for POL β.
POL\(\beta\) incorporated rAMP and rCMP opposite 8-oxodG at 1298- and 800-fold lower efficiency than dAMP and dCMP, respectively (Fig. 1, C and E). Finally the small difference measured in incorporating rCMP or rAMP opposite the oxidized purine (selectivity index of 1.5) indicates that POL\(\beta\) can equally use either ribonucleotides and is unable to discriminate well between them (Fig. 1, F and G). We then investigated whether POL\(\beta\) could extend a 3’ terminal rNMP:8-oxodG base pair. Addition of dNTPs resulted in extension from a terminal rAMP opposite either 8-oxodG or dT (Fig. 2, A and B, lanes 2). At least 50% of a terminal rAMP:8-oxodG or rAMP:dT was extended (lanes 3). Similarly, POL\(\beta\) efficiently extended rCMP:8-oxodG or dG pairs (compare lanes 2 and 3 in Fig. 2, C and D). No apparent difference was observed in the efficiency of extension of rCMP:dG and rCMP:8-oxodG pairs by POL\(\beta\) (Fig. 2, C and D, lanes 3). When dCTP was omitted from the dNTP mix, extension still occurred, albeit less efficiently. Multiple pause sites were identified along the DNA template in correspondence of the bases that followed the rC:dG mispairs (Fig. 2 D, lane 4).

These findings demonstrate that POL\(\beta\)-mediated ribonucleotide incorporation opposite a correct complementary base is less efficient than that of the corresponding deoxyribonucleotide. This effect is independent of the base to be inserted. They indicate further that the presence of a template 8-oxodG does not significantly affect the efficiency of ribonucleotide incorporation by POL\(\beta\). Thus the presence of the 2’ oxygen does not
change the dual nature of 8-oxodG coding and both rCMP and rAMP are incorporated opposite 8-oxodG (27). Although ribonucleotide incorporation is less efficient than that of dNMPs, Pol H9252 is able to elongate a terminal rNMP:dN or rNMP:8-oxodG. In this regard, our results are in agreement with previous reports that POL H9252 can extend ribonucleotide-containing primers (23) and insert multiple ribonucleotides when supplied with an excess of rCTP (21).

Processing of rC:8-OxodG Mispairs by OGG1 and RNase H2—

The ability of BER and RER to process base pairs comprising a ribonucleotide and 8-oxodG was investigated (Fig. 3). OGG1 digestion of a 36-bp duplex with the mispair located in the first of six CTG/GAC repeats generated the expected 11-mer cleavage product (indicated with an arrow in Fig. 3, B and D). Comparison of the incision efficiency indicated that the presence of a ribonucleotide opposite the oxidized purine (8-oxodG:rC) only slightly (3-fold) decreased 8-oxodG excision.

RNase H2 assays indicated that activity on a DNA duplex containing a single ribonucleotide was unaffected by the presence of the oxidized purine paired with rC (Fig. 3E). Representative gels showing the formation of the expected RNase H2 incision products (25-mer) on 36-bp duplexes containing either the dG:rC or 8-oxodG:rC mispairs (lanes 1 and 2) and 8-oxodG:rA mispairs (lanes 3 and 4) were incubated with purified MUTYH (20 nM, active fraction concentration) as described before.

Processing of rA:8-OxodG Mispairs by RNase H2 and MUTYH—

RNase H2 activity, expressed as the percentage of incision products, was plotted as a function of the RNase H2 amount in the reaction volume (full circle, rA:8-oxodG; empty circle, rA:dT; full diamond, dA:dT). E, MUTYH incision assay. DNA duplex substrate was a 36-bp DNA (10 nM), labeled at the 5′ end of the strand containing the rA or dA base. The substrates containing 8-oxodG:dA (lanes 1 and 2) and 8-oxodG:rA mispairs (lanes 3 and 4) were incubated with purified MUTYH (20 nM, active fraction concentration) as described before.

As expected no incision occurred on a DNA substrate containing paired dA:dT (Fig. 4D). As expected no incision occurred on a DNA substrate containing paired dA:dT (Fig. 4D).
A (Fig. 4E). MUTYH was fully active on the analogous dA:8-oxodG-containing duplex (Fig. 4E).

Although unable to remove the mispaired A from the rA:8-oxodG-containing duplex, EMSA revealed that MUTYH efficiently bound both rA:8-oxodG and dA:8-oxoG substrates (Fig. 5, A and B, lanes 2–4 and 6–8). Non-productive MUTYH binding to the rA:8-oxodG duplex affected the incision by RNase H2. RNase H2 activity was assayed following a 10- or 30-min preincubation with MUTYH. Without preincubation, more than 90% of the substrate was incised to generate the expected 75-mer fragment (Fig. 5C, lane 2). In contrast, only 50% of the substrate was incised following 10 or 30 min preincubation with purified MUTYH (Fig. 5C, lane 3). This inhibition did not further increase following a prolonged MUTYH preincubation (Fig. 5C, lane 4).

In summary, RNase H2 can efficiently remove a ribonucleotide paired to an oxidized guanine. However, catalytically ineffective MUTYH binding to this substrate interferes with RNase H2 processing.

**PO**L β-mediated **I**ncorporation of **O**xidized **R**ibonucleotides and **P**rocessing by **RN**ase H2 and **M**UTYH—Both dNTP and rNTP pools are susceptible to oxidation and might provide substrates for repair synthesis by POL β. We examined the ability of POL β to use oxidized ribonucleotides in primer extension reactions opposite correct or incorrect nucleotides (Fig. 6A). A 5′ end (6-FAM)-labeled primer was annealed to a normal template in which 20 CAG repeats were flanked by 20 bases long non-repetitive regions. POL β-mediated incorporation was analyzed in the presence of increasing amounts of 8-oxoGTP, 8-oxodGTP, and UTP. 8-OxorGMP incorporation opposite dA was 36-fold lower than that of 8-oxodGTP. This selectivity is of the same order as that of UTP incorporation (Fig. 6, B and C). In contrast, we were unable to detect any incorporation of 8-oxorGTP opposite dC (Fig. 6, B and C) in either the repeated sequence or an unrepeated random non-repetitive sequence (data not shown). We conclude that incorporation by POL β of an 8-oxo-rGTP derived from an oxidized pool will most likely give rise to 8-oxo-rG:dA mismatches, with formation of 8-oxo-rG:dC mispairs being strongly unfavored.

The presence of an 8-oxo-rG:dA mispair does not significantly impede extension by POL β. In the presence of four dNTPs, POL β efficiently extended a terminal 8-oxorGMP (Fig. 6D, lane 1). This preferential incorporation of dA opposite 8-oxorG by POL β follows the same rule observed for incorporation of an oxidized dGMP (27).

To investigate whether RNase H2 can process an 8-oxo-rG:dA mispair we constructed DNA duplexes by primer extension from a terminal 8-oxorGMP:dA mispair at position 25 (numbered from the 5′ end of the labeled DNA strand) (Fig. 6A). Under our experimental conditions, almost all substrate was extended to generate products of different lengths, all of which contained a single 8-oxorG (Fig. 6D, lanes 2 and 3). RNase H2 digestion of these purified products generated fragments of the expected size (24-mer) (Fig. 6D, compare lanes 3 and 4) indicating that RNase H2 is fully active on an 8-oxo-rG:dA mispair. As expected no RNase H2-mediated incision was observed on DNA substrates built in a similar way and containing no ribonucleotides (Fig. 6E). We conclude that, notwithstanding oxidation of the ribonucleotide, RNase H2 is active on an 8-oxo-rG:dA mispair.

The same strategy was used to examine whether MUTYH can incise a substrate containing an 8-oxo-rG:dA mismatch (Fig. 6F). In this case an unlabeled primer was annealed to a 5′ end (6-FAM) template and DNA duplexes (100-mer) were obtained by POL β-mediated primer extension reactions. Following incubation with purified human MUTYH the expected 75-mer incision products derived from the dA-containing strand were observed (Fig. 6F, lanes 2 and 3). Similar levels of MUTYH-mediated cleavage (25%) were observed with an analogously built duplex containing an 8-oxo-rG:dA mispair indicating that MUTYH is fully active on an 8-oxo-rG:dA mismatch (Fig. 6F, lanes 4 and 5). No cleavage products were observed in control samples (lane 1).

**Discussion**

Our findings demonstrate that POL β is able to incorporate ribonucleotides during repair synthesis at repeated sequences. As in random sequences (22, 23), ribonucleotide insertion is always less favored than deoxynucleotide incorporation. Changing the coding base from G to 8-oxodG had only a minor effect on the efficiency of ribonucleotide incorporation. The slight preference of POL β for rCTP over rATP insertion opposite 8-oxodG mirrors a similar small discrimination between dCTP and dATP (27). The major determinant of dual coding by a template 8-oxodG is its ability to assume both syn and anti conformations (27–29). Our data indicate that this property is not detectably affected by the presence of a C2′ oxygen and ribonucleotide insertion opposite a template 8-oxodG appears to follow the same rules as deoxyribonucleotide incorporation.
In incubation. In meaning of the dA:8-oxorG containing substrate. A primer/template, with the same sequence reported in E template dA indicates that the pairing of 8-oxodGTP in anti and syn-orientation permits a planar arrangement of the base pair that is comfortably accommodated in the active site (30). The 8-oxorG:dA pairing is stabilized through Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding and the correct geometry in the active site is assured by distortion in the active site of POL pombe Pol4 polymerase (33). In contrast to our results with Schizosaccharomyces pombe Pol4 polymerase (33). In contrast to our results with POL β, Pol4 showed better incorporation of 8-oxorGMP opposite dC difficult (30). Our findings suggest that additional factors might come into play in the attempted formation of 8-oxorGTP:dC mispair. We conclude that, during repair synthesis events, POL β might incorporate ribonucleotides opposite normal bases as well as oxidized guanines. Based on a rC7G7dT7 pool imbalance close to 100 (17, 31), it has been calculated that POL β inserts one rNMP for every 81 dNMPs (23). In non-dividing cells, however, the rNTP/dNTP ratio may be more than 10-fold higher (32). In particular the large rGTP/dGTP ratio (2000) measured in growth-arrested cells suggests that POL β utilization of rGTP and its oxidized form, under conditions of oxidative stress, is likely. Information on the use of oxidized rNTPs or insertion of rNTPs opposite 8-oxodG is limited to Schizosaccharomyces pombe POL4 polymerase (33). In contrast to our results with POL β, POl4 showed better incorporation of 8-oxorGMP opposite dC than opposite dA template. This reflects structural differences of the active sites of POL β and Pol4 polymerases, with the last one being able to use rNTPs and dNTPs with similar efficiency (34). Thus although both DNA polymerases belong to the same POL X family, results obtained with
Pol4 are not comparable with those obtained by other human repair polymerases.

We also showed that 8-oxodG:C/rA and 8-oxorG:dA will be easily elongated during DNA repair resulting in the formation of complex mispairs at repeated sequences. Our data show that RNase H2 does not discriminate between canonical and oxidized ribonucleotides and can remove ribonucleotides also when these are paired with 8-oxodG. These results are consistent with the findings that RNase H2 interacts only with the DNA backbone, with a conserved tyrosine contacting the 2’ OH group (35). Similarly no interactions occur with the base opposite the ribonucleotide (35).

In contrast to RNase H2, OGG1 and MUTYH interact extensively with both the DNA 8-oxodG and the complementary strand (36, 37). In particular, OGG1 contacts with the opposed cytosine (36) and its activity is strongly inhibited by the presence of bases different from the canonical C or by an abasic site opposite 8-oxodG (38). Correct versus incorrect base pair (dA:dT versus dA:8-oxodG) discrimination by MUTYH is mostly due to the interaction of the carboxyl-terminal MUTYH domain (37). Our data confirm that a modification in 2’, whereas not affecting MUTYH binding capacity, totally abrogates the catalytic activity. This has been already reported for a fluorine substitution at the same sugar position (39). This MUTYH nonproductive interaction with the rA:8-oxodG substrate can potentially interfere with removal of rA by RNase H2.

Our data imply that formation of complex lesions, due to incorporation of rNTPs during DNA repair at repeated sequences, might modify the efficiency of repair systems (Fig. 8). The independent action of RNase H2 and OGG1 at 8-oxodG:rC mispairs would restore normal base pairing (Fig. 8, top). The removal of 8-oxorG or dA from 8-oxorG:dA mispair by, respectively, RNase H2 and MUTYH (Fig. 8, bottom), constitute a second level of protection against the mutagenic effects of oxidized ribonucleotides. Because in these cases BER and RER are active on two different strands, depending on the enzymes concentration and on the timing of repair events, production of double strand breaks might be also envisaged. A more complex scenario is present when BER and RER enzymes are acting on the same strand and compete for the same substrate (Fig. 8, middle). In this case MUTYH binding to the 8-oxo-

---

**FIGURE 7.** Comparison of 8-oxodGTP and 8-oxorGTP paired with a template adenine in the POL β active site. Comparison of the crystal structure of an incoming 8-oxodGTP (syn conformation, top) and 8-oxorGTP (bottom) paired with adenine (top figure was modified from Batra et al. (29)). The bottom figure was modeled from the crystal structure Protein Data Bank 3MBY by adding the hydroxyl group in C2’. The interaction with Asn-279 (turquoise) is shown. ArgusLab 4.0.1 software (M. Thompson, ArgusLab 4.0.1, Planaria Software LLC, Seattle, WA) and Swiss-PdbViewer (EXPASY) were used for Protein Data Bank manipulation.

**FIGURE 8.** BER and RER activity on complex mispairs containing oxidized bases and ribonucleotides. When POL β incorporates rCMP opposite 8-oxodG (dG*), RNase H2 is going to efficiently remove rC from the resulting 8-oxodG:rC mispair, whereas OGG1 repair of dG* is slightly reduced. Should 8-oxodG:rA arise after rAMP incorporation, RER will process the rA containing strand, whereas MUTYH-mediated BER will be inhibited. Possible interference on RER activity might occur by concurrent recognition of the lesion. In the likelihood of limiting MTH1 hydrolytic activity, 8-oxorGTP (rG*TP) might be used by POL β to produce rG*:dA mispairs. These substrates will be efficiently processed by MUTYH and RNase H2. Simultaneous BER and RER activities might lead to the formation of double strand breaks (DSB) or intermediate repair products of unknown reparability.
odGrA mispair in a catalytically inert fashion might interfere with RNase H2-mediated repair activity.

Our data have important implications on expansion/contraction events triggered by DNA repair at repeated sequences in non-dividing cells. The formation of complex mispairs containing mono-ribonucleotides by long-patch BER will eventually lead to new repair cycles mediated by RNase H2 and/or MUYTH intervention. These would create new strand interruptions, possibly leading to hairpin formation in opposite strands, with strand realignments causing expansion of the repeated sequences. Whether processing of complex mispairs, by compromising either BER and/or RER, affects the stability of trinucleotide repeat regions remains to be investigated.
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