Abstract. Angiogenesis is the formation of new vessels starting from pre-existing vasculature. Tumour environment is characterized by ‘aberrant angiogenesis’, whose main features are tortuous and permeable blood vessels, heterogeneous both in their structure and in efficiency of perfusion and very different from normal vessels. Therapeutic strategies targeting the three pathways chiefly involved in tumour angiogenesis, VEGF, Notch and Ang signalling, have been identified to block the vascular supply to the tumour. However, phenomena of toxicity, development of primary and secondary resistance and hypoxia significantly blunted the effects of anti-angiogenic drugs in several tumour types. Thus, different strategies aimed to overcome these problems are imperative. The focus of the present review was some principal ‘alternative’ approaches to classic antiangiogenic therapies, including the cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) blockade, the use of oligonucleotide complementary to the miRNA to compete with the mRNA target (antimiRs) and the inhibition of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). The role of blood soluble VEGFA as a predictive biomarker during antiangiogenic therapy in gastric, ovarian and colorectal cancer was also examined.

1. Introduction

The blood vessels supplying tumours are particularly permeable, tortuous and greatly different from those composing the normal vasculature. These features, including the heterogeneity in their morphological structure and the efficiency of tissue perfusion, determine what is currently known as ‘aberrant angiogenesis’, which characterizes the tumour environment (1). Primary solid tumours originate close to pre-existing tissue vasculature, initially growing along such tissue blood vessels (vessel co-option), and this phenomenon is particularly important for the metastatic potential which frequently occurs in highly vascularized tissues (2).

Folkman first suggested the importance of establishing anti-angiogenic therapies within the clinical context aimed to investigate drugs and anti-cancer therapies. The angiogenic sprouting from the surrounding vasculature induced by the growing cancer is essential, in order to provide the oxygen and nutrient supply for the tumour to grow beyond 2-3 mm (3) (Fig. 1). In addition, the newborn vasculature activates angiocrine signallings through the secretion of growth factors, which stimulate the growth of adjacent tumour cells. This mechanism has highlighted new potential therapeutic targets (4).

Tumour angiogenesis involves an intricate molecular cross-talk between the tumour and the surrounding cells, such as endothelial cells (EC), pericytes (PC), fibroblasts, smooth muscle cells, and tumour-associated macrophages (5). It has been shown that both tumour suppressor genes and oncogene mutations lead to the switching into the angiogenic feature tumour, with a consequent endogenous imbalance between pro-angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors in favour of angiogenesis (6-8).

2. Current status and therapeutic targets: VEGF, Notch and Angiopoietin signalling

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) family. VEGF family and their cognate receptors are the leading molecular
players in tumour angiogenesis. The family comprises VEGFA, VEGFB, VEGFC, VEGFD, and placental growth factor (PIGF) (Fig. 2). The principal mediators of tumour angiogenesis, both of which are VEGFA isoforms, are the soluble VEGF121, and VEGF165, which are also secreted, although a significant fraction remains bound to the cell surface and the matrix extracellular heparan sulphate. The main signalling tyrosine kinase receptor (TKR) is VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2; FLK, KDR in humans). Two other VEGF-TKRs include VEGFR1 (FLT1) and VEGFR3 (FLT3). VEGFR1 functions as a traditional TKR or a ‘decoy’ receptor. Its affinity for the growth factor is high, whereas kinase activity is weak, preventing VEGF from binding to VEGFR2. VEGFR3 is mostly involved in lymphangiogenesis and has a minor role in vascular angiogenesis (9). The PIGF and VEGFB (VEGF-related molecules) bind selectively to VEGFR1, while VEGFA binds VEGFR1 and VEGFR2. VEGFC and VEGFD bind to VEGFR3, and, following a proteolytic processing, activate VEGFR2 after binding (10). VEGF isoforms and PIGF also bind to the non-TK co-receptors neuropilin NR1 (11) and NR2. NR1, in turn, increases the binding affinity to VEGFR2. It has been reported that VEGF and PIGF could have direct effects on NR1, independently from the VEGF receptor (12). The VEGFR1 may also regulate the expression of a variety of genes in the endothelium, including matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) and growth factors, such as hepatocyte growth factor and connective tissue growth factor, which are known to play important

Figure 1. Sprouting angiogenesis in tumour growth. Cancer cells satisfy their increasing need for oxygen and nutrients releasing angiogenic factors that attract inflammatory and endothelial cells and promote their proliferation and sprouting. The new blood flow sustains tumour growth and metabolism.

Figure 2. Antiangiogenic therapy targets involving VEGF, Notch and Ang pathways. Angiogenesis and vascular permeability are regulated by VEGF through the activation of two receptors, VEGFR1 and VEGFR2. VEGFB and PIGF selectively switch on VEGFR1. Bevacizumab and ranibizumab bind VEGFA, as well as aflibercept, which also binds VEGFB and PIGF, thus inhibiting VEGFA-induced signalling. Small molecules multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor, such as sunitinib and sorafenib, are able to inhibit the VEGFR2 pathways. Furthermore, ramucirumab blocks the receptor directly. In addition, the angiogenic stimulus can be regulated by Notch-receptors and TIE2-receptors. Other approaches involve the use of monoclonal antibodies directed to Notch and TIE2 receptors. Additionally, VEGFC and VEGFD activate VEGFR3, stimulating lymphangiogenesis. VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
roles in tissue regeneration and homeostasis (13). EC, monocyes, macrophages and, in some cases, tumour cells express VEGFR1, which can mediate tumour proliferation in response to VEGF or PlGF (14). The VEGFR1 mediates signals towards the angiogenic process (through VEGF and PlGF binding), the fatty acid uptake (via VEGFB) and immune cell recruitment after VEGF binding. As mentioned, lymphatic endothelium highly expresses VEGFR3 and the activation of this TKR by VEGFC and VEGFD stimulates the process of lymphangiogenesis. VEGFR2 is primarily expressed in EC, and the signalling of VEGF through this receptor is the major driver of angiogenesis. Evidence suggested that VEGFC and VEGFD are also involved in VEGFR2-mediated angiogenesis (15,16).

The most critical driver of tumour angiogenesis is the activation of VEGFR2 by VEGF (17). The molecular mechanisms that regulate and result from this event have been reported in a number of reviews. The VEGF knockout/knockdown in tumour cells significantly prevents their ability to grow and spread (18,19).

Once activated, the VEGFR2 switches on the canonical TKRs signalling pathways (20,21). The Y1504 and Y1509 auto-phosphorylation VEGFR2 kinase domain is one of the earliest events following the binding of growth factors and is crucial for downstream kinase activations and subsequent phosphorylation events on the VEGFR2. As a result of this, a number of VEGFR2 kinase activity small molecule inhibitors have been developed as effective drugs to treat various cancer types.

Other tyrosine residues on VEGFR2 outside the kinase domain are phosphorylated in response to VEGF binding, of which Y951, Y1175 and Y1214 are the most important. All of these mediate endothelial migration, as well as Y951- and Y1175-mediating endothelial permeability and proliferation, respectively. The establishment of these intracellular complexes on VEGFR2 culminates in activation of traditional pathways such as PI3K, PKC and RAS/RAF/ERK/MAPK.

VEGFC and VEGFD bind to and signal through VEGFR2, and their single knockout phenotypes suggest that they play an important role in lymphangiogenesis (22,23). The knockout of VEGFC and VEGFD had no effects on blood vessel development (24). This fact demonstrated that VEGFA is able to promote angiogenesis through VEGFR2 during development. The VEGFA gene is under the direct control of hypoxia inducible transcription factors 1A and 2A (HIF1A and HIF2A) (25,26). This assumption provided the basis for understanding the interplay between tumour growth, angiogenesis and metabolism (5).

The canonical pathway for a therapeutic intervention in cancer involves VEGF/VEGFR2 signalling inhibition, leading to the development of a generation of neutralizing antibodies to VEGF. The humanized anti-VEGF mAb bevacizumab (avastin) binds to and neutralizes all human VEGFA isoforms and their proteolytic fragments specifically (27). At present, bevacizumab has been approved for metastatic colorectal cancer (in combination with chemotherapy) (28), metastatic non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer (29), glioblastoma (30), metastatic renal cell carcinoma (in combination with IFNα) (31), as well as for platinum-resistant ovarian cancer (32) and metastatic cervical cancer (33). The VEGF-trap aflibercept, is a recombinant decoy receptor fusion protein formulated by the fusion of the VEGFR1-domain 2 and the VEGFR2-domain 3 with the Fc portion of human IgG1 which binds the different isoforms of VEGFA, VEGFB and PlGF (34,35). Aflibercept showed a higher affinity for VEGF compared to VEGFR2 or bevacizumab (36) and, in combination with chemotherapy, it has been approved for the second-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer (37). In the therapeutic intervention in cancer, small molecules, which selectively inhibit the intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity of the catalytic binding site on the VEGFR2 intracellular domain, were introduced (TKIs), such as sunitinib (competitive inhibitor), sorafenib (allosteric inhibitor), vandetanib (covalent inhibitor), currently known as ‘first generation’ of anti-angiogenic TKIs. In addition to the VEGFRs, they inhibit a wide range of kinase targets such as B-Raf, c-kit, PDGFRs, FLT3, CSF1R, RET (38). The ‘second generation’ of TKIs (cediranib, tivozanib, azitinib, pazopanib) have improved the selectivity and the efficiency for VEGFRs (39), and have been approved by the FDA in solid tumours such as gastrointestinal stromal tumours (40), hepatocellular carcinoma (41), metastatic renal cell carcinoma (42), advanced medullary thyroid cancer (43), pancreatic tumours (44), and advanced soft tissue sarcoma (45). Ramucirumab (IMC-1121B) is a fully-human IgG1 mAb that binds to the ligand-binding site of VEGFR2, thus preventing its activation. Following extensive clinical testing programmes (46-51), ramucirumab received approval for its use in metastatic gastric/gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (monotherapy and in combination with chemotherapy), in metastatic colorectal cancer and in metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (in combination with chemotherapy) (52).

**Ligands of Notch receptors.** The Notch receptor-ligand system is a pivotal path mediating tumour angiogenesis. In mammals, this signalling pathway involves four Notch receptors (Notch1, 2, 3, 4) and five Notch ligands [δ-like ligands (Dll1, Dll3, Dll4) and Jagged 1, 2] (Fig. 2) (53). EC express all ligands, except Dll3 (expressed mainly from tumour cells but not in normal adult tissues) and Notch1, 2 and 4 receptors (54). Notch3 is expressed in a wide variety of tissues during development, but in adult tissues it is mainly expressed in the smooth vascular cells, Notch signalling is vital for endothelial sprouting and the formation of tip and stalk EC. Following VEGF stimulation, tip EC begins to upregulate Dll4, which then binds to the Notch receptor on adjacent EC. This event causes VEGFR1 and 2 downregulation and formation of the peculiar stalk cell phenotype (55). The vessels of human tumours and tumour xenografts overexpress Dll4, suggesting a therapeutic target for anti-angiogenic strategies (56,57).

Dll4 blockade by using monoclonal antibodies is anti-angiogenic, and has previously shown anti-tumour effects in six tumour models (58). As a result of two different studies, the inhibition of Notch-Dll4 protein interaction was carried out by the use of a soluble Dll4 ECD fused to an Fc tag (Dll4-ECD-Fc), and this approach caused coincident effects on tumour angiogenesis with the antibody blocking strategy (59,60). By using the whole of the Notch1 ECD fused to an Fc tag (Notch1 decoy), soluble versions of the Notch1 receptor have been developed, with significant anti-angiogenic effects in mouse tumour xenografts (61). Recently, Notch decoy molecules containing domains for the binding to Dll1/Dll4,
Jagendorf or both have been created and are in the early-phase of clinical trials (62). The inhibitors of γ-secretase targeting Notch activation lead to gastrointestinal side effects, and for this reason their use is restricted (63).

Angiopoietins/TIE axis. Among the molecules and their respective activated pathways that contribute to tumour angiogenesis the four members of the angiopoietin family (Ang), which bind to the tyrosine kinase receptors TIE1 and TIE2 (64), must be included (Fig. 2). Both Ang1 and Ang2 activate the EC membrane receptor tyrosine kinase TIE2. Ang1 mediates vessel development and maturation, and probably is involved in the stabilization and protection of the existing vasculature (65). During development, Ang2 is mostly present in the tissues that require a vascular remodelling. It is highly expressed in cancer: an altered ratio Ang2/Ang1 in favour of the latter markedly increases the angiogenic process (66). It has been suggested that Ang2 is associated with the predisposition of the endothelium towards the angiogenic status necessary for the angiogenic switch on and vascular destabilization (67). In order to formulate antiangiogenic drugs, agents targeting the Ang2-TIE2 axis have been taken into consideration.

The antibodies against Ang2 developed by Medimmune (MEDI3617) (68) and Regeneron (REGN910) (69) inhibit the growth of xenograft tumours in both cases and effects were enhanced when coupled to the VEGF blockade. The two agents are currently undergoing phase I clinical trials. In addition, the double specific antibody against Ang2 and VEGF caused a complete tumour regression in a wide range of tumour xenograft models, showing anti-metastatic and anti-angiogenic properties (70). The other approach towards the inhibition of Ang-TIE2 interaction is the use of ‘peptibody’ such as trebananib. It is a peptide-Fc fusion that comprises two peptides blocking Ang2 and Ang1 from interacting with TIE2 receptor, and inhibits rat corneal vascularisation and colorectal xenograft tumour growth (71), although it showed disappointing results in clinical trial phase III for ovarian cancer, as recently reported (72). A specific A TIE2-ECD-Fc ligand trap such as the specific and high cognate Ang2 inhibitor was developed (73).

3. Alternative approaches towards new antitumour vascularization therapies

Despite the efficacy of ‘classic’ antiangiogenic therapies in association with chemotherapy for the treatment of different types of cancer including renal, colorectal, lung and ovarian cancer, the restoration of normal blood vessels is temporally and spatially limited. TKIs bind other off-target kinases (74). Furthermore, VEGF inhibitors often fail to give enduring clinical responses, because they completely fail to respond (intrinsic resistance, a pre-existing condition defined by the absence of any beneficial effect of an anti-angiogenic therapy) or they initially respond and then continue growing while still receiving treatment (acquired resistance, caused by mutational alteration of the gene encoding a drug target or by alterations in drug uptake and efflux) (75). Finally, long-term antiangiogenic therapy may lead to tumour hypoxia (76). Thus, alternative approaches may be useful in the combat against tumours. Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) blockade. It is well established that there is a correlation between PLA2-COX expression and tumor cell proliferation as well as tumour proliferation and invasion (77-79). EC migration depends on PGE2 receptors (80), as their function is associated with VEGF secretion (81). In vitro studies demonstrated that glioma and retinoblastoma induced in EC an increase in inducible COX-2 protein expression, and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) release (82,83) (Fig. 3). Clinical data indicate that antiangiogenic drugs induce tumour hypoxia, representing a high cause of stroma-mediated resistance in antiangiogenic therapies (84). This is the reason for which hypoxia-induced targets can be considered useful in surmounting antiangiogenic drug resistance. Upregulation of COX-2 during hypoxia induces angiogenesis via a distinct VEGF pathway and there is evidence that different COX-2 inhibitors are able to reduce tumourigenesis and tumour progression (85-87). For all these reasons, COX-2 inhibitors may improve the efficacy of antiangiogenic therapies by targeting an angiogenic pathway different from VEGF inhibitors.

In vitro and in vivo preclinical studies on mice showed that the upregulation of COX-2 and the consequent high amount of PGE2 are related to tumour hypoxia and occur at standard levels of antiangiogenic drugs in breast cancer. The association of COX-2 inhibitor acetysalicylic acid (ASA) and antiangiogenic drugs CDI01 (anti-VEGFR2 mouse antibody) and sunitinib (VEGFR2 inhibitor) exerted additive anticancer effects, which occurs even at a lower than standard dose of antiangiogenic drugs alone, and additive antiangiogenic effects. ASA (but not sunitinib) was able to reduce the levels of proangiogenic cytokines IL-6 and HGF inside the tumour. Finally, the concomitant treatment with ASA and sunitinib blocked the infiltration of tumours with CAFs by interfering with AKT signalling (88).

The association of COX-2 inhibitors and antiangiogenic drugs may be an interesting strategy to improve the efficacy of antiangiogenic therapies in breast cancer and, possibly in other cancer types.

Targeting miRNAs. It is well known that miRNAs, small non-coding RNA that can suppress mRNA translation, are able to govern gene expression. Angiogenic processes and responses are finely regulated by miRNAs (examples of proangiogenic miRNAs: miR-126, let-7i, miR-27b; examples of antiangiogenic miRNAs: miR-20b, miR-21, miR-15a), and can be considered auspicious targets for potential therapeutics (Fig. 3) (89). Given that miRNAs bind to their target mRNAs, the usage of antimiRs could represent a way to target and inactivate pathological miRNAs (90).

In vitro studies on the use of antimiRs in cultured cells have been successful, so the goal of the research aimed at their development as a pharmacological target for in vivo utility was to study different strategies for the delivery of miRNA therapeutics.

These strategies include: i) antagonirs: oligonucleotides able to silence endogenous miRNAs, conjugated to cholesterol to facilitate cellular uptake; ii) locked nucleic acid- (LNA-) antiimirs: oligonucleotides with the ribose moiety of an LNA modified to improve specificity and stability and iii) miR-mask: modified 2′-O-methyl oligonucleotide complementary to the miRNA binding sites on the target mRNA (91-93).
miRNAs can act as positive or negative modulators and bind to a plethora of different targets, thus the same miRNA can cause the opposite biological effect depending on the context. For this reason, it is crucial to select miRNAs able to bind to targets with the same required effect.

Components of miR-17-92 cluster are upregulated in solid tumours, such as non-small cell lung and colorectal cancer, and participate both in EC-mediated angiogenic and onco- genic functions (94,95). So, in this case, targeting miR-17-92 cluster components is a good strategy for both antiangiogenic and antitumour therapy.

Since miR-126 is EC-specific, where it is requested for vascular integrity and angiogenesis (96,97), it is a potential target for efficient antimiR therapy in situations of aberrant vascularization, including cancer and retinopathy.

However, there is no evidence in the introduction of miR-126 in non-ECs, and this emphasizes the importance of cell/tissue-specific miRNA targeting. To pursue this goal, an encouraging approach for miRNAs is the use of antibodies that can be internalized after their binding to cell-specific membrane receptors (98).

Thus, modification of miRNAs to pharmacological scopes is currently in the early stages, but constitutes an attractive strategy against the progression of tumour angiogenesis for future investigation.

**Targeting matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs).** The novel sprout of proliferating ECs during the angiogenesis process needs to break the extracellular matrix (ECM) to create a vessel network. Following their growth, ECs release proteases to proteolyze the ECM, mainly the MMPs, which are key enzymes playing a pivotal role in the breakdown of the ECM and tumour angiogenesis (Fig. 3) (99). Thus, a potential anticancer and antiangiogenic therapeutic solution is represented by their targeting. There are different strategies to inhibit these enzymes, including the use of compounds (e.g., antibodies, peptidomimetics, small molecules) able to compete with, sequester or inhibit MMP expression (100). However, to the best of our knowledge, MMP inhibitors are unsuccessful in clinical trials.

4. **VEGFA as a soluble biomarker in antiangiogenic therapy**

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) has defined a biomarker as ‘a characteristic objectively measured and evaluated as an indicator of normal biologic processes, pathogenic processes, or pharmacologic responses to a therapeutic intervention’ (101). There are prognostic biomarkers, useful to estimate the total disease outcome, independently of therapy, and predictive biomarkers, that provide information on the response of a specific therapy (102,103).

The present review assessed the role of circulating VEGFA as a blood soluble predictive biomarker and its significance during antiangiogenic therapy in gastric, ovarian and colorectal cancer.

Biomarkers originating from tissues are ideal, but biopsies are difficult and invasive. The assessment of angiogenic parameters in blood by using classic immunogenic assays may
be an interesting, non-invasive and cost-effective method of monitoring the effects of antiangiogenic therapies, which can be repeated over the course of treatment.

**Gastric cancer.** The correlation between VEGFA levels in the bloodstream and the response to VEGF inhibitor therapy in patients with gastric cancer showed complex and inconclusive results overall. In patients with extensive-stage gastric cancer from non-Asian regions during treatment with bevacizumab and chemotherapy, the levels of VEGFA correlated with bevacizumab effects in terms of survival. Therefore, changes in blood levels of VEGFA due to VEGF inhibition may be beneficial for patients (104). Conversely, patients from Asian regions tend to have lower baseline blood VEGFA levels and those with higher VEGFA level did not respond to VEGF inhibitor therapy (105). Additional trials including more patients are therefore required to clarify this ethnic-based discrepancy in VEGFA bloodstream levels.

**Ovarian cancer.** VEGFA levels in plasma or serum are higher in ovarian cancer with respect to benign ovarian neoplasms and correlates with advanced tumour stages and poor survival outcomes (106-108). Another study involving patients with ovarian cancer treated with bevacizumab showed that high baseline blood VEGFA levels were associated with a reduction of survival and an increased risk of death (109). However, the data remain to be confirmed in large-scale studies.

**Colorectal cancer.** The role of blood VEGFA levels as predictive biomarkers was evaluated in a few studies involving the efficacy of the antiangiogenic drug cediranib in metastatic colorectal cancer. High baseline VEGFA levels correlate with worsening progression-free and overall survival (110). However, these data have yet to be homogeneously confirmed and large-scale studies are needed. Thus, this information globally underlines the need for more biomarkers, which possibly also include molecular and clinical factors.

## 5. Future directions

Although anti-VEGF and conventional anti-angiogenic drugs are actually fundamental in anticancer therapies, many issues remain, including the limitations of drug resistance, the improvement of therapy efficacy, the development of strategies to reduce the mechanisms of resistance and toxicity, and the identification of new alternative antiangiogenic drugs. With regard to the latter concept, newly conceived nanosystems have been recently designed and developed as alternative and innovative strategies for the treatment of cancers by using anti-angiogenic pharmacological intervention (111). At present, new findings have been attributed to nanoparticle applications potential approaches in anti-angiogenic and anti-metastasis research (112). Nanoparticles may be designed in order to carry out radioactive tracers, for gene and drug transporters (113,114), showing notable advantages in releasing control, targeting and biosafety (115,116). Several studies in *in vitro* and *in vivo* models have provided supporting evidence that silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have both anticancer and anti-angiogenic properties (117). The AgNPs inhibit the VEGF-induced angiogenesis by blocking the formation of new microvessels through PI3K/AKT pathway inactivation (118). It has been demonstrated that the anionic clays layered double hydroxides (LDHs), a promising carrier for drug delivery according to their low cytotoxicity and high biocompatibility (119); their functionalized form with etoposide has showed anti-angiogenic activity in *in vitro*, *ex-vivo* and *in vivo* experimental models, eliciting depression of the PI3K-AKT and FAK-paxillin signaling pathways (120). Modified solid lipid nanoparticles loaded with paclitaxel were able to markedly reduce the tube formation *in vitro* and angiogenesis *in vivo* in glioma models (121), while, in a choioallantoic membranes model system, pachymic acid modified multi-walled nanotubes caused a significant inhibition of angiogenesis and tube formation (122). Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) as a drug-delivery system exhibit biocompatibility, low cytotoxicity (123), and the ability of delivering several molecules, including small drugs, proteins, DNA or RNA (124). AuNPs carry recombinant human endostatin have induced *in vivo* a transient tumour vascular normalization with the consequent enhancing the efficacy of anti-cancer molecules (125).

However, results from anti-angiogenic monotherapeutic clinical trials showed failures in significant responses or in improving overall survival (126). It has been observed that the canonical anti-angiogenic agents may enhance tumour invasiveness and metastasis in preclinical models (127). For these reasons, investigators created the new concept of 'tumour vascular normalization', according to which the anti-angiogenesis therapies markedly cause the chemo- and radioresistance of tumours, following the reduced flow of blood and the consequent oxygen supply, which, in turn, increase intratumour hypoxia (128). It has been suggested that the application of moderate doses of anti-angiogenic drugs may normalize aberrant tumour microvessels, thus improving blood perfusion and antitumour therapy (129). Aberrant tumour vessels are fenestrated with poor pericyte coverage, and this fact does not allow the chemotherapeutic molecule to reach the targeted tumour site. For this reason, it has been suggested that the association of an antitumour with an anti-angiogenic drug in a certain window of time may restore the imbalance between pro- and anti-angiogenic factors, leading to the normalization of blood vessels: this fact could allow the chemotherapeutic drug to reach the tumour (130).

## 6. Conclusions

The first generations of anti-angiogenic drugs, which have ameliorated progression-free survival and, in some cases, the survival for several tumour types, have been validated. On the other hand, these drugs have not been generally reconsidered in terms of new combinations, re-treatment strategies, and new timing administration. Thus, new comprehensive strategies using anti-angiogenic agents for the treatment of cancer are required. The validation of robust biomarkers able to screen the patients responsive to the treatments to better organize the clinical trials involving anti-angiogenic therapies is imperative.
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