Production of $\omega\pi^0$ pair in electron-positron annihilation
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The process of electron-positron annihilation into a pair of $\pi^0$ and $\omega$ mesons is considered in the framework of the SU(2)×SU(2) Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model. Contributions of intermediate photons, $\rho(770)$ and $\rho'(1450)$ vector mesons are taken into account. It is shown that the bulk of the cross section at energies below 2 GeV is provided by the process with intermediate $\rho'(1450)$ state. The contribution due to single photon and $\rho(770)$ exchange is in agreement with the vector meson dominance model. Numerical results are compared with experimental data.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Studies of the process of associated production of $\pi^0$ and $\omega$ mesons at colliding electron-positron beams provide interesting information about meson interactions at low energies. Moreover this channel is one of the contributions to the total cross section of $e^+e^-$ annihilation into hadrons, which is required for a precise determination of the hadronic vacuum polarization.

The annihilation into the $\omega\pi^0$ pair at energies below 2 GeV was studied experimentally at DM2 [1], ND [2], SND [3], and CMD-2 [4]. The same interactions can be also found in the tau lepton decay $\tau \to \pi\omega\nu_\tau$ studied at CLEO II [5].

For theoretical description of the process under consideration the vector-dominance-like models were used, see e.g. Ref. [4]. To fit the experimental data a set of additional parameters describing contributions of amplitudes with virtual $\rho'(770)$, $\rho'(1450)$ and $\rho''(1700)$ mesons was introduced. The energy dependence of these parameters was neglected. Earlier the process of $\rho' \to \omega\pi$ decay was considered within a relativistically generalized quark model in Ref. [6] and in a non-relativistic quark model [7]. In Ref. [8] the reaction $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^0$ was considered in the vicinity of $\phi$ meson mass region, where the KLOE experimental data is available [9]. In this paper we will not work specially at this resonance, so that the region from the threshold up to about 2 GeV c.m.s. energy will be considered without taking into account the $\phi$ meson contribution. Recently in Ref. [10] the process was considered in frames of a non-relativistic quark model. It is argued there that the process at energies below 2 GeV is dominated by the two-step process in which the primary quark-antiquark pair forms a $\rho$ meson in the ground or excited state and then the vector meson decays into $\omega$ and $\pi$. It is important to note that the studies in papers [1][3][10] concluded that the contribution of the $\rho''(1700)$ to the process is small. Following the results these works we will neglect the contribution of the amplitude with intermediate $\rho''(1700)$ meson. Meanwhile in Ref. [11] it is claimed that for a simultaneous description of a series of different annihilation and decay processes all three rho meson states should be taken into account.

In the present paper for the description of the process $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^0$ we will use the version of the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model, which allows us to describe both the ground and the first radial-excited meson states [12–16]. Note that for the description of the amplitudes with virtual photon and the ground $\rho(770)$ state one can use the standard NJL model [17–24]. It worth to note that for the case of the ground meson states both versions of the NJL model lead to the same results, see e.g. Refs. [14][25]. In our model it is possible to describe as the transition amplitudes $\gamma^* \to \rho, \rho'$ as well as the vertexes $\gamma^*, \rho, \rho' \to \pi^0\omega$ without introduction of any additional arbitrary parameters. Moreover, the description of the vertexes using quark triangle diagram of the anomaly type allows us to get the energy dependence of them.

II. PROCESS AMPLITUDES

For the description of the first two diagrams, see Figs. [11] and [2] we need the part of the standard NJL Lagrangian which describes interactions of photons, pions and vector $\rho$ and $\omega$ mesons with quarks, see Refs. [14][21][22]. It has
homogeneity of the approach. And the numerical result for the convergent integral do not change considerably if the cut off would be removed. The imaginary part is neglected by taking the principal value of the integral.

The second contribution $T_2$ contains three factors. The first one is the transition of photon into $\rho$ meson which is described in Ref. [17]:

$$\frac{e}{g_\rho} \left( g^{\mu\nu} q^2 - q^\mu q^\nu \right).$$

Note that contrary to the case of the triangle diagram, the quark loop describing the $\gamma - \rho$ transition contains a logarithmic divergence. The standard NJL methods were applied for its regularization using the cut off value. The second factor is the $\rho$ meson propagator,

$$\frac{ig^{\mu\nu}}{q^2 - M_\rho^2 + iM_\rho \Gamma_\rho},$$

where the neutral $\rho$-meson mass $M_\rho = 775$ MeV and width $\Gamma_\rho = 146$ MeV [29]. Note that the non-diagonal terms in the numerator of the vector particle propagator were dropped because of the gradient invariance of the triangle diagram. The third factor is the same triangle diagram as in the first amplitude $T_1$.

A more complicated situation appear for the third contributions $T_3$, see Fig. 3 because we deal here with radially excited $\rho'$ meson. Instead of the Lagrangian [11] we use here an extended version of the NJL Lagrangian which allows us to describe both ground and radial-excited meson states [13, 14, 23].

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}_2 = \bar{q}(k') \left\{ i\hat{\partial} - m + eQ\hat{A} + A_\tau \tau^3 g_5 \pi^0 + A_\omega \hat{\omega}(p) \right\} q(k), \quad p = k - k',$$

$$A_\pi = g_{\pi_1} \frac{\sin(\alpha + \alpha_0)}{\sin(2\alpha_0)} + g_{\pi_2} f(k^{1/2}) \frac{\sin(\alpha - \alpha_0)}{\sin(2\alpha_0)},$$

$$A_\omega = g_{\rho_1} \frac{\sin(\beta + \beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)} + g_{\rho_2} f(k^{1/2}) \frac{\sin(\beta - \beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)},$$

$$A_{\rho'} = g_{\rho_1} \frac{\cos(\beta + \beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)} + g_{\rho_2} f(k^{1/2}) \frac{\cos(\beta - \beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}.$$
attempt to receive qualitative results working at energies up to 2 GeV.

Coulomb constants \( g_{\pi} \) and \( g_{\rho} \) coincide with \( g_{\pi} \) and \( g_{\rho} \) constants introduced above in the standard NJL version. The other coupling constants are defined via one-loop integrals:

\[
g_{\pi} = \left[ 4 f_{\pi}^2 \right]^{-1/2}, \quad g_{\rho} = \left[ \frac{2}{3} f_{\rho}^2 \right]^{-1/2} = \sqrt{6} g_{\pi}, \tag{7}
\]

where

\[
I_m^n = -i N_c \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \left( \frac{f(k^2)}{m^2 - k^2} \right)^m, \quad n, m = 1, 2.
\]

The angles \( \alpha_0 = 59.06^\circ \), \( \alpha = 59.38^\circ \), \( \beta_0 = 61.53^\circ \) and \( \beta = 76.78^\circ \) were defined in Ref. \[14\] to describe mixing of the ground and excited meson states. This contribution \( T_3 \) again consists of 3 parts. The \( \gamma - \rho \) transition coincides with the standard \( \gamma - \rho \) one can be expressed via the \( \gamma - \rho \) transition \[3\] with the additional factor \[13\] \[14\]

\[
\Gamma = \frac{I_2}{\sqrt{I_2 I_2'}} \approx 0.47. \tag{8}
\]

So the \( \gamma - \rho' \) transition takes the form

\[
\frac{e}{g_{\rho}} \left( g^{\alpha' \alpha''} q^2 - q^2 q^{\alpha''} \right) \left\{ \frac{\sin(\beta + \beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)} + \frac{\sin(\beta - \beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)} \right\},
\]

We take the \( \rho' \) propagator is taken in the Breit-Wigner form

\[
g^{\alpha' \alpha''} = \frac{q^{\alpha' \alpha''}}{q^2 - M_{\rho'}^2 + i \sqrt{q^2} \Gamma_{\rho'}(q^2)}, \tag{9}
\]

where the running \( \rho' \) width reads

\[
\Gamma_{\rho'}(q^2) = \Gamma(\rho' \to 2\pi) + \Gamma(\rho' \to \omega\pi^0) + (\Gamma_{\rho'}(M_{\rho'} - \sqrt{s}) - M_{\rho' + \pi}) \Theta(\sqrt{s} - M_{\rho' + \pi})
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{p_{\rho_1}(s)}{p_{\rho_1}(M_{\rho'})} \right), \tag{10}
\]

where \( p_{\rho_1}(s) \) is the momentum of \( a_1 \) meson in the decay \( \rho' \to a_1\pi \). We assume that below the threshold of the reaction \( \rho' \to a_1\pi \) the main contribution the the width is given by the two channels \( \rho' \to 2\pi \rho' \to \omega\pi^0 \). Above the peak \( \sqrt{s} \geq M_{\rho'} \), where many other channels are opened, we use the complete width \( \Gamma_{\rho'} = 340 \) MeV (we take the value at the lower PDG \[29\] boundary). The transition to the complete width is approximately described by linear switching on of the contribution due to the decay \( \rho' \to a_1\pi \) being one of the most probable channels. The values \( \Gamma(\rho' \to 2\pi) = 22 \) MeV and \( \Gamma(\rho' \to \omega\pi^0) = 75 \) MeV were calculated in \[14\] in agreement with the experimental data \[30\]. Since we are working close to the \( \omega\pi \) threshold, taking it into account in the running width is important. Running of the \( \rho \) meson width is less important numerically, since the \( \rho \) meson contribution is relatively small.

### III. Numerical Results and Discussion

Now we can estimate the contributions of the considered amplitudes into the total process cross section. The details of phase volume calculations and evaluation of the cross section can be found in Ref. \[27\]. For our case it takes the form

\[
\sigma(s) = \frac{3\alpha_s^2}{32\pi^3 s^3} \lambda^{3/2}(s, M_{\rho}, M_{\pi}) \frac{g_{\rho}^2}{f_{\pi}^2} J(3) \times Br(\omega \to \pi^0\gamma), \tag{11}
\]

\[
\lambda(s, M_{\rho}, M_{\pi}) = (s - M_{\omega}^2 - M_{\rho}^2)^2 - 4M_{\omega}^2M_{\rho}^2,
\]

where

\[
J(3) = \left( 1 - \frac{q^2}{q^2 - M_{\rho}^2 + i M_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho'}} \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\rho'}}
\]

\[
\times \left( q^2 - M_{\rho}^2 + i \sqrt{q^2} \Gamma_{\rho'}(q^2) \right), \tag{12}
\]

and

\[
I_{s3}^3 \left( \frac{m^2}{s} \right) = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \Theta(\Lambda^2 - |k^2 - q|^2) \frac{1}{i \pi^2 (k^2 - m^2 + i0)}
\]

\[
\times \left( \left| (q - k)^2 - m^2 ight| \right), \tag{13}
\]

In the first line of Eq. \[12\] we have the sum of the photon and rho meson exchange contributions. Their sum takes the form that coincides with the one received in the vector meson dominance model, see e.g. \[4\]. In fact, the standard NJL model contains the vector dominance model \[17\], \[31\], \[32\].

Note that keeping the cut-off for the convergent integral in \( I_{s3}^3 \) entering \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) in Eq. \[4\] is not necessary, but it does not affect much the numerical result. Expression for the integral \( I_{s3}^3 \) has a rather cumbersome form and contains a combination of terms with different powers of the form factor (up to the third power). It is constructed according to the Feynman rules coming from the Lagrangian \[4\]. For calculation of the relevant quark loop integrals we use the method described in Ref. \[25\]. It is worth to note that in our calculations the signs of \( I_{s3}^3 \) and \( I_{s3}^3 \) appeared to be opposite in accordance with the fit to experimental data performed in \[4\].

The coupling constants \( g_{\rho} = 6 \) and \( f_{\pi} = 93 \) MeV entering in Eq. \[11\] are universal input parameters for the NJL model. In Ref. \[4\] another value for this constant was used: \( f_{\rho} \approx 5 \) received from the decay width \( \Gamma(\rho \to \pi^+\pi^-) \). Another difference is coming from the value for the coupling constant in the vertex \( \rho\omega\pi \). In our model it is \( g_{\rho\omega\pi} = 3 g_{\rho}^2 / (8\pi^2 f_{\pi}) \approx 14.7 \) GeV\(^{-1}\), while in Ref. \[3\] the value \( g_{\rho\omega\pi} \approx 17 \) GeV\(^{-1}\) taken as a fitting parameter.
Fig. 4 shows the experimental data [1, 2, 3] and the corresponding theoretical prediction (the solid line) received within the applied here NJL phenomenological model. The dash-dotted line shows the sum of the photon and rho-meson exchange contributions. The short-dash-dotted corresponds to the pure ρ′ meson exchange. The photon and ρ meson exchange is important for the threshold region, while the ρ′ contribution dominates in the region $\sqrt{s} \sim M_{\rho'}$. Note that the NJL model is adjusted for applications at low energies up to about 2 GeV. In this energy range, the model gives a qualitative description of meson properties and interactions. The advantage is that the set of parameters is limited and fixed. Note that to describe the given process we did not introduce any new parameter in the model. Presumably, adding of the $\rho''$ (1700) meson contribution might improve the agreement with the experimental data above the peak, but for the time being the NJL model is not suited to include the second radial excitations of mesons with large masses. A more accurate description of the threshold behavior requires going beyond the Hartree-Fock approximation that was used here. Indeed, meson-meson final state interactions can play an important role in the threshold domain.

The same approach was successfully applied in papers [14, 16] for description of mass spectra and strong decays with participation of excited mesons. In the present work we continue the work started in Refs. [25, 33] devoted to description of radiative decays with participation of radially excited mesons and pass to description of annihilation processes studied at modern $e^+e^-$ colliders. Similar mechanism appears in the processes of $e^+e^-$ annihilation into e.g. $\pi^0\gamma$, $\pi^+\gamma$, and $\pi^\pm\pi^\mp$ which will be considered elsewhere.
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