Catastrophic and impoverishing health expenditures and it's affecting factors among health staffs in Iran: A case study in Tehran
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Abstract

Background: Despite a lot of studies carried out on catastrophic and impoverishing health expenditures, exposure to these expenditures have not been studied among health staffs and their families yet. So that our study has analyzed exposure to CHE (Catastrophic Health Expenditures) and factors affecting them among the health staffs affiliated to army medical universities in Tehran.

Methods: This study was a descriptive-analytical and cross-sectional study implemented in 2016. Among all health staffs of a university of medical sciences, the full details of 240 households (838 individuals) were collected by using a stratified random sampling method. The data gathering and analyzing process have been done based on WHO standard guideline. Finally, the odds ratio of CHE determinants is reported using logistic regression by backward elimination method and chi-square test.

Results: The results of this study showed that 7.5% (CI: 7.3-7.7) of health staff households (54 individuals) are faced with CHE. The odds of exposure to CHE for households with 3 members and less, households with lower education level and households with two or more outpatient visits were significantly more than others (p<0.05). Households who have used dental services during the past year were 8.77 times (p<0.001) more at risk of CHE. Also, households with 3 members and less, households with lower education level and households with two or more outpatient visits were 8.59, 7.96, and 3.39 times more at risk of such payments, respectively.

Conclusion: CHE is a common financing dilemma even among health staffs. Families who have more referring to health centers and less education level and also dental service users are more at risk of exposure. Health policy-makers should pay more attention to such vulnerable and high-risk groups. Finally, our study results recommended the increase of dental insurance coverage as an effective strategy to reduce exposure to CHE.
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Introduction

Although the objective of many countries is to design health financing system in a way to protect their people against Health Care Expenditure (HCE), but in developing countries, only a few fraction of people are maintained against the financial risks of illness. This issue is due to a set of reasons, the most important of which is the lack of
go vernments’ commitment, lack of financial resources to cover the entire population and the lack of ability to manage health insurance funds (1). Despite many scientific studies conducted about the health system functions and health financing in the past decade, the policymakers’ understanding of a health system with desirable features protecting households against CHE is still low, so such studies are still required in this area especially in developing countries (2).

Today, the lack of financial protection against illness is known as health systems problem or challenges. The clearest sign of this disease is that households suffer not only from the illness but also from the financial burden and the poverty arising from the illness (1). In the health economics approach, the CHE is that the health services expenditures have exceeded a certain threshold of household’s ability to pay (3). As suggested by Xu et al. if the household’s HCE is equal to and greater than 40% of their capacity to pay, they are considered as catastrophic. In this definition, the household’s residual income after meeting basic needs is known as household’s capacity to pay (2).

According to the WHO’s report in 2008, about 100 million people have gone under the poverty line due to HCE all over the world (4). Moreover, the WHO report in 2006 showed that more than 50% of health expenditures in Iran were financed through out-of-pocket payment (4, 5). However, Iran’s upstream documents- including the Islamic Republic of Iran’s Fifth Development Plan and the Health Map of the Islamic Republic of Iran- emphasize on decreasing out-of-pocket health expenditures and improving equity in financing of the health system.

Despite the many studies conducted on CHE in general population, the exposure to CHE has not been studied out-of-pocket health expenditures and improving equity in the performance of health systems was used. This questionnaire is a valid, reliable, and comparative instrument for calculating CHE based on the WHO standard method (4, 5), first the capacity to pay devoted to health expenditures.

In this study, the WHO questionnaire titled “World Health Survey” (6) which was designed in 2003 to assess the performance of health systems was used. This questionnaire is a valid, reliable, and comparative instrument developed by the World Health Organization for countries in order to monitor health system performance (6). Thus, for calculating CHE based on the WHO standard method -also applied in previous studies (7), first the capacity to pay was specified for each household, and then the proportion of health expenditure to paying capacity was calculated for them. Finally, according to the WHO standard definition, households who spent more or equal 40% capacity to pay for health expenditures were placed in the groups faced CHE (8).

Moreover, the WHO standard procedures were treated to identify households who have gone below the poverty line due to paying health expenditures. so that expenses the households have been driven below the poverty line due to health costs when the difference between the out-of-pocket cost and the total household’s spending be less than household’s subsistence expenses (8). In other words, we subtracted the out of the pocket health costs from the total cost of households, then if the result is lower than the household’s living expenses, indicates that the household has been driven out below the poverty line. Finally, the collected data were analyzed through descriptive statistics and chi-square test using SPSS20 software. Moreover, logistic regression model was used to analyse the odds ratios and determinants of exposure to CHE.

### Results

Sixty-seven percent of surveyed households had incomes above 30 million Riyals per year. About 94% of the heads of households were male, and the rest were female. Also, 87% of households’ heads had academic degrees. Mean Health expenditure of households and Mean total expenditure of households were 19904167±7129054 and 2611958±3891482, respectively. The socio-economic characteristics of surveyed households are presented in Table 1.

Moreover, the results showed that 7.5% of the surveyed households (18 households) had spent more than 40% of their ability to pay on health expenditures and were exposed to CHE. Furthermore, 11.3% of the households (27 households) had spent between 30 to 40% of their capacity to pay on medical expenses and were on the verge of facing CHE. Table 2 shows the proportion of the capacity to pay devoted to health expenditures.

The results of estimation logistic regression model are presented in Table 3. Based on the results of logistic regression, the odds ratio of exposing to CHE for some households were significantly more than others (p=0.05). Households who have using dental services during the past year were 8.77 times more at risk of CHE. Also, households with 3 members and less, households with lower education level and households with two or more outpatient visits were 8.59, 7.96 and 3.39 times more at risk of such payments respectively. No significant relationship was observed among other socio-economic characteristics (e.g., type of insurance, age, sex, etc.) and the odds of exposure to CHE. (goodness of fit measures; Hosmer and Lemeshow Test: Chi-square=3.217, p=0.864).

Moreover, the results also showed that 1.67% of all surveyed households (four families) were driven below the poverty line due to payment for medical expenditures. The relation between impoverishment health expenditures and CHE are presented in Table 4. The results of Table 4 showed that there is a significant statistical relationship between exposure to CHE and the poverty due to health care payments (p<0.001) so that all households who driven below the poverty line were among households facing CHE.
Discussion

The results show that exposure to catastrophic health costs in the studied households is higher than the national average and, of course, lower than studies conducted at the local level, thus increasing the coverage of insurance services and the quality of services covered to prevent the referral of the household to the private sector could be effective. According to our study results, 7.5% of health staff households have exposure to CHE. Also, 1.67% of them were driven below the poverty line due to payment for medical expenditures. Although these ratios are lower than the reported ratios in general population, still it's worrying. According to Kavoosi et al. study (7) carried out with a similar questionnaire in general households, exposure to CHE reported as 11.8% in 2007. Also, Khammarinia et al. study (9) reported that 7.1% of households have gone below the poverty line due to paying health expenditures in Shiraz province in 2012.

Moreover, the ratio of exposure to CHE in our study is higher than the reported ratios by studies which have used household budget data. The root cause of this difference is due to differences in measurement tool. Using proprietary tools developed specifically to verify the CHE can create different results compared to using tools designed for general-purposes (such as measuring the households’ income-expenditure). In a study in Georgia in 2009, one of the causes of increasing the percentage of households facing CHE between 1999 (2.8%) to 2007 (11.7%) were attributed to the differences in data collection tool in two years (10).

Table 1. General socio-economic characteristics of surveyed households

| Variables                         | Number | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Income status                     |        |            |
| > 30 million Rials                | 79     | 33%        |
| ≤ 30 million Rials                | 161    | 67%        |
| Age of household heads            |        |            |
| > 40 years                        | 82     | 34%        |
| ≤ 40 years                        | 158    | 66%        |
| Household size                    |        |            |
| 1-3 members                       | 127    | 53%        |
| 4 members or more                 | 113    | 47%        |
| Gender of household head          |        |            |
| Male                              | 226    | 94.2%      |
| Female                            | 14     | 5.8%       |
| Educational level of household head|       |            |
| Not having university degrees      | 31     | 12.9%      |
| Having university degrees          | 209    | 87.1%      |
| Employment status of household head|       |            |
| Private section                   | 35     | 14.6%      |
| Public section                    | 205    | 85.4%      |
| Insurance status of household head|        |            |
| Health insurance organization      | 130    | 54.2%      |
| Social security Organization      | 110    | 45.8%      |
| Inpatient service usage           |        |            |
| Yes                               | 44     | 18.3%      |
| No                                | 196    | 81.7%      |
| Outpatient service usage          |        |            |
| Yes                               | 139    | 57.9%      |
| No                                | 101    | 42.1%      |
| Having under 5 years or over 65 in the family | 46 | 19.2% |
| Dentistry usage                   |        |            |
| Yes                               | 42     | 17.5%      |
| No                                | 198    | 82.5%      |

Table 2. Quintiles of capacity to pay allocated to health expenditures by Households

| Capacity to pay allocated to health expenditures | Number | Percentage |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Quintile 1 <10% capacity to pay                   | 103    | 42.9%      |
| Quintile 2 10-19% capacity to pay                 | 46     | 19.2%      |
| Quintile 3 20-29% capacity to pay                 | 46     | 19.25%     |
| Quintile 4 30-39% capacity to pay                 | 27     | 11.3%      |
| Quintile 5 ≥ 40% capacity to pay                  | 18     | 7.5%       |

Table 3. Results of logistic regression model for odds ratios

| Variables                        | OR    | SE  | p    |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----|------|
| Constant                         | 0.003 | 0.985 | <0.001 |
| Educational level of household head |        |     |      |
| Under university                 | 7.95  | 6.2 | 0.008 |
| University                       | Base  | -   | -    |
| Outpatient service usage         |       |     |      |
| Not have                         | Base  | -   | 0.049 |
| 1 time                           | 1.48  | 1.12 | 0.559 |
| 2 times ≥                       | 4.83  | 3.39 | 0.025 |
| Dentistry usage                  |       |     |      |
| Not have                         | Base  | -   | -    |
| Have                             | Base  | -   | <0.001 |
| Household size                   |       |     |      |
| 1-3 members                      | 6.46  | 8.59 | 0.004 |
| 4 members or more                | Base  | -   | -    |

Table 4. Relationship between exposure to CHE and poverty

| Poverty line | Exposure to catastrophic health expenditures | p    |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|------|
| Yes          | 14 (5.9%)                                     | 222(94.1%) | <0.001 |
| Under poverty line | 4(100%)                        | 0 (0%)  |
| Total        | 18(7.5%)                                      | 222(92.5%) |
Although in our study, the proprietary tool designed by WHO for this purpose was used, but there are some studies conducted using national household budget data. The percentage of households facing CHE is underestimated in such studies. For example, Mehrara et al. study (11) showed that 6% of people had spent 30 - 40% of their capacity to pay for health expenditures in 2007. This rate is reported 2.79% by Yousefi et al. study in 2011 (12). On the other hand, Yusufi et al. study showed that 3.38% of households have suffered from the CHE and approximately 1.5% of them have fallen below the poverty line due to paying health expenditures in 2011. Razavi et al. study (13) showed that the proportion of households exposed to CHE was increased from 1.97% in 1997 to 2.32% in 2007, which has had an increasing trend over time. One of the most important weaknesses of using household’s budget to measure exposure to CHE is underestimation.

Based on our study results, nuclear families with more referring to health centers and less education level and also the dental services users are more likely to expose with CHE. Similarly, Zhang Hu et al. study (14) in elderly households in Chinese National Health Organization showed that the main factors associated with exposure to CHE are including household size, annual family income, having people over 65 years of age and presence of chronic illness. Also, according to Yang Jiang et al. study (15) in 2013, most of the inequalities observed in CHE were due to household size and economic status.

In addition, the lack of Health Insurance and coverage of elderly members were stated to be one of the important factors affecting CHE. Also, Loganathan et al. (16) in a study conducted on the CHE and poverty in Kuala Lumpur concluded that the lowest quintile of income compared to the highest quintile were more likely to expose CHE. In addition, Boeing et al. (17) studied the effect of socio-economical inequalities on CHE in Brazil and found that the CHE is mainly common among the poorest households and those headed by less educated persons.

Steven and Rima (18) in a study on the CHE and it’s effective factors in the poor and populous areas of Kenya found that the number of elderly people working in a family and registered as members of a Social Security Network reduce the risk of exposure to catastrophic expenditures. Using public or private hospital cares increase the risk of exposure to catastrophic expenditures. Also, Lee et al. (19) found in their study that households who have a hospitalized member, elderly member, and members with a chronic disease or those living in rural areas are more exposed to CHE.

However, similar to previous studies, the present study has limitations that we would like to note them as: Despite the technique (WHO approach) applied in this study to measure catastrophic expenditures which is one of the most common methods in calculating catastrophic expenditures throughout different countries, it should be noted that some limitation in data gathering may occur. The recall periods and the framing of the expenditure questions can affect estimations. Households may refuse giving accurate answers to the entire question or may re-fuse participating in the study.

Study Strengths was: Investigating the CHE among health staff and their families would let the audiences to have a better judgment about the current situation in Iran. Also, the current study used a regression-based analysis to identify the main determinants of the catastrophic health expenditure among health staffs in Iran.

Conclusion
The results show that CHE is a common dilemma even among health staffs. Although health staffs exposure to CHE is lower than the general population, still it’s worrying. Nuclear families by more referring to health centers and fewer education levels and also dental services users are more at risk of CHE. Health policy-makers should pay more attention to such at-risk groups. Increasing coverage of outpatient services and especially the development of dental health insurance is recommended as effective strategies in order to reduce exposure to CHE.
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