Developing higher-throughput zebrafish screens for in-vivo CNS drug discovery
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The high prevalence of brain disorders and the lack of their efficient treatments necessitate improved in-vivo pre-clinical models and tests. The zebrafish (Danio rerio), a vertebrate species with high genetic and physiological homology to humans, is an excellent organism for innovative central nervous system (CNS) drug discovery and small molecule screening. Here, we outline new strategies for developing higher-throughput zebrafish screens to test neuroactive drugs and predict their pharmacological mechanisms. With the growing application of automated 3D phenotyping, machine learning algorithms, movement pattern and behavior recognition, and multi-animal video-tracking, zebrafish screens are expected to markedly improve CNS drug discovery.
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**ZEBAFISH SCREENS FOR CNS DRUG DISCOVERY**

Brain disorders are complex multifaceted illnesses with poorly understood causes and frequently ineffective therapies (Duman et al., 1994; Nestler, 2013). Despite the growing public health impact of these disorders (Mitchell, 2011), the central nervous system (CNS) drugs have not improved in decades (WHO, 2008; Griebel and Holmes, 2013), necessitating novel pre-clinical in-vivo models for drug discovery (Markou et al., 2009; Stewart and Kalueff, 2013, 2014).

Research in this field is determined by the complex nature of CNS syndromes, the importance of targeting their biological mechanisms, and the need in high-throughput screens (HTS) for drug targets and potential therapies (Kokel and Peterson, 2008; Rihel et al., 2010; Laggner et al., 2012; Stewart and Kalueff, 2014). With the recent progress of medicinal chemistry, mathematical modeling and bioinformatics, drug discovery has started to embrace systematic, large-scale screening approaches (Bruni et al., 2014). As a vertebrate species with high genetic and physiological homology to humans, the zebrafish (Danio rerio) is rapidly emerging as an excellent model to address these needs (Kalueff et al., 2014a,b; Stewart et al., 2014a).

Importantly, using zebrafish as a first-choice vertebrate species for screening has several advantages. First, it helps narrow down the list of potential “hits” for their subsequent validation in more complex and expensive rodent tests. Second, it helps assess drug responses following various genetic manipulations, the toolbox for which is becoming increasingly diverse and efficient in zebrafish (Bernier et al., 2014; Kalueff et al., 2014b; Stewart et al., 2014a). Finally, larval and adult zebrafish screens are also useful for dissecting the drugs’ psychopharmacological profiles (e.g., using multiple receptor agonists and antagonists prior to a more targeted rodent testing)—an approach that focuses on “core,” evolutionarily conserved (and, thus, translationally more relevant) molecular pathways shared by zebrafish and humans (Kalueff et al., 2014a,b; Stewart et al., 2014a).

Complementing larval zebrafish HTS, extensively used for modeling brain disorders and testing new compounds, adult zebrafish in-vivo testing is often performed as low-to-moderate neurophenotypic screens (Gerlai et al., 2000; Baraban et al., 2005; Kily et al., 2008; Maximino et al., 2010, 2011, 2013, 2014b; Mathur and Guo, 2011; Mathur et al., 2011a,b; Norton et al., 2011; Pan et al., 2011; Roseberg et al., 2011; Griffiths et al., 2012; Lange et al., 2012a,b; Parker et al., 2013; Ziv et al., 2013; Stewart et al., 2014b; Li et al., 2015).

Current in-vivo zebrafish HTS typically utilize extensive or intensive approaches to generate “big data” for translational neuroscience research (Figure 1). For instance, applying a barcoding strategy (Glossary), extensive analyses of several basic locomotor and sleep/wake parameters in larval zebrafish have successfully identified neuroactive drugs from a large library of screened compounds (Kokel and Peterson, 2008, 2011; Kokel et al., 2010; Rihel et al., 2010; Laggner et al., 2012; Jin et al., 2013). Recent intensive studies analyzing 20–30 three-dimensional (3D) behavioral endpoints in adult zebrafish (Glossary, Supplementary Table 1S online), have detected potential commonalities and differences in profiles of several tested neuroactive drugs (Egan et al., 2009; Grossman et al., 2010; Wong et al., 2010; Cachat et al., 2011, 2013).
FIGURE 1 | The use of video-tracking tools to assess neural phenotypes in zebrafish. (A) Shows video-tracking of an individual zebrafish (left) or a zebrafish group (shoal, right); side view vide-recording in the novel tank test. Tracking individual fish with one camera in 2D, or with two cameras in 3D, can generate up to 50–60 individual endpoints (see Supplementary Table 1S online for examples) which can be sensitive to neuroactive properties of the drugs. Tracking selected endpoints in zebrafish shoals, such as assessing the average inter-fish distance and velocity, is also possible in zebrafish models (Green et al., 2012) (although more sophisticated computer tools and optimized animal tagging methods are needed to monitor each individual fish within the group). (B) Illustrates the potential of 3D behavioral video-tracking in zebrafish to predict drug pharmacology (also see Soleymani et al., 2014). In this example, top swimming combined with elevated angular velocity in zebrafish treated with a hallucinogenic drug phencyclidine (PCP, inset) shows a striking difference from control fish, supporting the value of various computer-based neural phenotypes for predicting the pharmacological profile of different CNS-active compounds. (C) Shows examples of representative 3D phenotypes for control fish and animals acutely exposed to several CNS drugs. LSD, Lysergic acid diethylamide (images: courtesy of Noldus IT, Netherlands, in collaboration with the Kaludef Laboratory, Stewart et al., 2014a). Note distinct patterns of locomotion evoked by drugs from different pharmacological classes (also see Cachat et al., 2011, 2013; Soleymani et al., 2014 for discussion).
Figures 1, 2 further illustrate how a comprehensive evaluation of individual compounds can foster objective, computer-based prediction of the drugs’ pharmacology. For example, phencyclidine (PCP) is a hallucinogenic glutamatergic antagonist that acutely evokes characteristic “top circling” behavior in zebrafish (Figures 1, 2). Assessed by elevated angular velocity and rotation index, this response is similar for anti-glutamatergic hallucinogens, but not other classes of hallucinogenic agents (Kyzar et al., 2012; Neelkantan et al., 2013; Stewart et al., 2013). Analyzing such 3D profiles, it is therefore possible to generate decision trees for predicting the pharmacological profile of different groups of neuroactive compounds, based on zebrafish swimming patterns and their geometry (Figure 2) (Cachat et al., 2010, 2011; Soleymani et al., 2014). Likewise, acute nicotine evokes characteristic top swimming along perimeter of the tank, strikingly differing from top circling (typical for many anti-glutamatergic drugs) or top surfacing without peripheral swimming (typical for serotonergic agents; Figure 1C) (Kyzar et al., 2012; Neelkantan et al., 2013; Stewart et al., 2013). Importantly, such accumulation of large libraries of drugs’ behavioral signatures in zebrafish can parallel the application of machine learning algorithms, leading to further refinement and optimization of the prediction of drugs’ pharmacological profiles (Soleymani et al., 2014) (Figure 2C).

DEVELOPING HIGHER-THROUGHPUT ZEBRAFISH SCREENS

The choice between extensive and intensive analyses in zebrafish models no longer poses a critical dilemma for researchers, because modern information technology tools and the low cost of zebrafish (vs. rodent) assays markedly facilitate the collection and computer processing of data (Figures 2D,E). Here, we argue that the two strategies can now be co-applied in zebrafish screening studies, resulting in “higher-throughput” screens capable of generating “super-big data” (Figures 2D,E, Glossary). Enhancing the efficiency of zebrafish-based models for innovative CNS drug discovery, this strategy combines the advantages of the extensive approach (utilizing large numbers of drugs and animals tested) with the benefits of using extensive studies (focusing on a large number of phenotypes that help generate mechanistic insights; Figures 2D,E).

In addition to zebrafish’s utility for HTS per se, this species also enjoys the advantage of having a sophisticated molecular genetic toolset developed for it. For example, traditional N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea (ENU)-induced (Mullins et al., 1994) or viral vector-mediated (Amsterdam et al., 1999) mutagenesis and gene silencing using morpholinos complement other genetic tools recently developed for zebrafish, such as “gene-breaking transposon” (GBT) (Petzold et al., 2009) screens, “clustered regularly interspersed short palindromic repeats” (CRISPR) (Hwang et al., 2013), zinc finger nucleases (Doyon et al., 2008), “transcription activator-like effector nuclease” (TALEN) (Zu et al., 2013) and “targeting induced local lesions in genomes” (TILLING) (Moen et al., 2008). As these genetic tools may manipulate a wide range of zebrafish genes, the ability to apply informatics-driven methods to systematically collect, store and analyze zebrafish pharmacogenetics data further empowers CNS drug discovery. For example, Figure 2E shows how increasing the “dimensionality” of traditional phenotypic screens by including an additional (genetic) dimension becomes a useful strategy of research in this field. Thus, systematic accumulation of pharmacogenetic evidence (with comparative analyses of drug response in wildtype vs. mutant fish) into large online data libraries becomes key for biomolecular data validation and generating novel mechanistic insights into drugs’ action (Figure 2E) as part of higher-throughput screening using zebrafish.

INCREASINGLY SOPHISTICATED BEHAVIORAL SCREENING TOOLS

The higher-throughput screening approach in today’s drug discovery also becomes possible due to a combination of rapidly increasing computer processing power with sensitive video-recording tools that generate automated phenotypic data with high spatial and temporal resolution (Figure 2E) (Cachat et al., 2011; Branson, 2014). In addition to testing individual fish with multiple individual endpoints to generate super-big data, simultaneous video-tracking of multiple fish swimming in groups (shoals) (Green et al., 2012; Branson, 2014; Kalueff et al., 2014b) is another strategy to achieve this goal. Moreover, recent advances in automated behavioral recognition (Glossary) further facilitate efficient in-vivo drug screens using zebrafish. For example, monitoring several zebrafish body points (e.g., nose, mid-body and tail) enables both automated quantification of fish locomotion and interpretation of complex zebrafish behaviors (e.g., chasing, biting, social approach or reduced sociability Kalueff et al., 2013) that parallel human phenotypes (see Stewart et al., 2014a for details).

Finally, as behavioral analyses become more efficient, stimuli that induce fish responses also become better standardized in zebrafish models. For example, currently available automated drug and/or food delivery tools improve zebrafish studies of drugs that affect reward mechanisms. Likewise, zebrafish operant behavior can be examined by using changes in zebrafish body position (e.g., swimming to a specific location) to deliver behavioral stimuli, such as punishment or reward. Exposing fish to predator or conspecific images on a computer screen (Luca and Gerlai, 2012), as well as using a computer-animated robotic “fish” (Butail et al., 2013; Cianca et al., 2013), represent other excellent examples of improved controllability of stimulus presentation in zebrafish models. Because stimulus delivery, behavioral quantification and phenotype recognition/interpretation are now increasingly computerized, zebrafish-based in-vivo screening in general is becoming more automated and higher-throughput.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Fully automated robot-based screening platforms for larval and, eventually, adult zebrafish will soon become routine in zebrafish neurophenotyping studies. The HTS systems developed specifically for CNS drugs that affect complex behaviors will increase both the “width” and the “depth” of such screens (Figures 2D,E). Coupled with HTS, the ever increasing sophistication of genetic and behavior-recognition tools transform zebrafish models into higher-throughput in-vivo assays, allowing comprehensive coverage of the biological mechanisms of complex brain disorders, and leading to innovative CNS drug discovery.
FIGURE 2 | Example of potential decision trees (A,B) that can be used by automated in-vivo drug-screening platforms in adult zebrafish to predict the drugs’ pharmacological profiles (see Figures 1B,C for examples of 3D traces). (C) Illustrates the general strategy of drug screening based on machine learning algorithms and 3D trace analyses. Summary of different strategies that can be used to generate high-density biological “big data” from zebrafish in-vivo screens. (D) Illustrated the extensive approach, testing a large number of drugs (D) in multiple animals (N) but recording few endpoints/phenotypes (P). This approach is markedly facilitated by using phenotypic barcoding approaches (Glossary). In contrast, the intensive approach screens few drugs, uses few animals but records many endpoints. (Continued)
FIGURE 2 | Continued
The higher-throughput strategy, based on screening many compounds with multiple endpoints in a large number of animals, is empowered by locomotor pattern and behavioral recognition (Glossary) as well as automated stimuli delivery and experimental manipulations. (E) Shows the value of increased drug data “dimensionality” by including pharmacogenetic results (from wild type vs. mutant zebrafish) for providing important mechanistic insights into the drugs action. For example, a hypothetical antagonism of a drug A at a receptor R can be confirmed by screening the reference compound B (with known anti-R activity) and by mutating zebrafish gene R to abolish A/B-like activity in the mutants. Applying bioinformatics-based approaches and combining both lines of such evidence will facilitate the discovery of anti-R compounds (based on A-like pharmacology in zebrafish), followed by subsequent target validation in rodents and clinical studies.
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Glossary

**Barcoding** is a phenotyping approach that expresses complex behavioral changes by splitting them into simple behavioral “barcodes” (Kokel et al., 2010, 2012), to classify psychotropic drugs and determine their mechanisms of action. This approach streamlines and facilitates the analysis of zebrafish phenotypes by providing a concise quantitative and visualized summary of the observed behaviors (Figures 2D,E) (Kokel et al., 2010, 2012). Typically applied to larval fish, this approach has recently been applied to adult zebrafish (Maximino et al., 2014a).

**Behavioral recognition** complements traditional behavioral quantification methods (e.g., Supplementary Table 1S online) and analyzes the location and temporal dynamics of multiple body parts, in order to interpret complex behaviors. For instance, moving fast nose-to-tail with another fish represents chasing, fast moving head toward another fish is attack, whereas slow moving head to head of another fish can be interpreted as social investigation (Kalueff et al., 2013). Behavioral recognition is markedly enhanced by using computer-based automated video-tracking combined with analyses of multiple body parts (Stewart et al., 2014a).

“**Big data**” represents collected data sets which are difficult to process using traditional data processing approaches due to their size/density (e.g., currently, big data involves millions of terabites of information). In zebrafish screens, big data can be generated using sophisticated automated computer-based video-tracking tools, recording spatial coordinates of zebrafish and their alterations over time.

**Extensive strategy** in zebrafish drug discovery (Figures 2D,E) employs multiple zebrafish (large animal numbers, N) to rapidly screen many CNS drugs (large D’s) and identify novel promising compounds, based on alteration in selected well-validated individual phenotypes (small P’s).

**Higher-throughput screening** in zebrafish neurophenomics combines principles of intensive and extensive screening high-throughput approaches to generate a higher-density data (“super-big data,” Figure 2D). Specifically, the extensive nature of conventional zebrafish HTS is utilized while further assessing in-depth multiple novel endpoints and motor patterning. In general, the higher-throughput strategy “digs deeper” as it uncovers rich phenotypical information hidden in datasets, while still maintaining the rapid and expansive output of HTS.

**Three-dimensional (3D) phenotyping** is an approach that is based on recording zebrafish locomotor responses, such as 3D swim traces in XYZ coordinates, by several cameras (e.g., two angled cameras as in Figure 1B) (Cachat et al., 2011), enabling in-depth characterization of phenotypic patterns after acute or chronic drug treatment.

**Video-tracking** is a method of behavioral registration in a single or group of zebrafish (Figure 1A), using computer-generated 2D (e.g., top- or side-view recording) or 3D phenotyping analyses. Importantly, video-tracking technology is highly automated, and offers the ability to measure a wide array of endpoints not quantifiable through manual observation (Cachat et al., 2011; Branson, 2014).