NONLOCAL EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS WITH INDEFINITE WEIGHT

SAID TAARABTI

Abstract. In the present paper, we consider a class of eigenvalue problems driven by a nonlocal integro-differential operator \( \mathcal{L}_K^{p(x)} \) with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Under certain assumptions on \( p \) and \( q \), we establish that any \( \lambda > 0 \) sufficiently small is an eigenvalue of the nonhomogeneous nonlocal problem \( \mathcal{P}_\lambda \).

1. Introduction

Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \), \( N \geq 2 \), be a bounded regular open domain and consider the following problem involving the Fractional \( p(x, y) \)-Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary condition:

\[
\mathcal{P}_\lambda \left\{ \begin{array} {c} \mathcal{L}_K^{p(x)} u + |u|^{p(x)-2} u = \lambda V(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{array} \right. \tag{1.1}
\]

where \( \lambda > 0 \) is a real number, \( K \) is a suitable kernel, \( \tilde{p} = p(x, y), V : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \) is an indefinite weight function, \( p : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to (1, +\infty) \) is a continuous function satisfying the following assumptions:

\[
1 < p^- = \min_{(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega} p(x, y) \leq p(x, y) \leq p^+ = \max_{(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega} p(x, y) < +\infty \tag{1.2}
\]

\( p \) is symmetric, that is, \( p(x, y) = p(y, x) \ \forall (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega \) \tag{1.3}

and \( q : \Omega \to (1, +\infty) \) is a bounded continuous function such that

\[
1 < q^- = \min_{x \in \Omega} q(x) \leq q^+ = \max_{x \in \Omega} q(x) < p^- \ \forall x \in \Omega. \tag{1.4}
\]

Recently, a great deal of attention has been focused on studying problems involving integro-differential operators of nonlocal fractional type. In [25], Wenjing Chen and Shengbing Deng studied the following fractional elliptic problem

\[
\begin{cases} 
-\mathcal{L}_K u = \lambda u^q + u^p, & u > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \\
 u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, 
\end{cases} \tag{1.5}
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}_K \) is an integro-differential operators of nonlocal fractional type defined as follows:

\[
\mathcal{L}_K(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u(x + y) + u(x - y) - 2u(x))K(y)dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.6}
\]

they showed the multiplicity of solutions to equations driven by a nonlocal integro-differential operator \( \mathcal{L}_K \) with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.
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R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci studied the following problem

\[
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^s u - \lambda u = |u|^{2^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega
\end{cases}
\]

(1.7)

where \((-\Delta)^s\) is the fractional Laplace operator, \(s \in (0, 1)\), \(\Omega\) is an open bounded set of \(\mathbb{R}^n\), \(n > 2s\), with Lipschitz boundary, \(\lambda > 0\) is a real parameter and \(2^* = 2n/(n - 2s)\) is a fractional critical Sobolev exponent. In [29], they proved that there exists \(\lambda_s > 0\) such that for any \(\lambda > \lambda_s\) different from the eigenvalues of \((-\Delta)^s\) problem (1.7) admits a weak solution \(u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\), which is not identically zero, and such that \(u = 0\) a.e. in \(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega\).

After that, the same authors, in [30], studied the problem in a general framework; indeed they considered the following equation

\[
\begin{cases}
\mathcal{L}_K u + \lambda u + |u|^{2^*-2}u + f(x, u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega
\end{cases}
\]

where \(\mathcal{L}_K\) is a general non-local integro-differential operator of order \(s\) and \(f\) is a lower order perturbation of the critical power \(|u|^{2^*-2}u\). In this setting they proved an existence result through variational techniques.

In the [15], the authors investigated the following Brézis-Nirenberg type problem:

\[
\begin{cases}
\mathcal{L}_K u = \mu |u|^{2^*-2}u + \lambda g(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega
\end{cases}
\]

(1.8)

they proved the existence of one weak solution of (1.8) through direct minimization of the energy in a small ball of a certain fractional Sobolev space.

Also, in [23], Nguyen Thanh Chung considered the following problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\mathcal{L}_{p(x,y)} u + |u|^{q(x)-2}u = \lambda V(x)|u|^{r(x)-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}
\]

(1.9)

where \(\mathcal{L}_{p(x,y)}\) is the fractional \(p(x, y)\)-Laplace operator given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{p(x,y)} u = (-\Delta_{p(x)})^s (u) = p.v. \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2}(u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp(x,y)}} dy, \quad s \in (0, 1),
\]

(1.10)

where \(p.v.\) is a commonly used abbreviation in the principal value sense. He established some results on the existence of a continuous family of eigenvalues using variational techniques and Ekeland's variational principle.

Note that the operator \((-\Delta_{p(x)})^s\) is the fractional version of well known \(p(x)\)-Laplacian operator \(\Delta_{p(x)} u(x) = \text{div}(|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2}u(x))\). On the other hand, we remark that in the constant exponent case it is known as the fractional \(p\)-Laplacian operator \((-\Delta_p)^s\).

This nonlocal nonlinear operator is consistent, up to some normalization constant depending upon \(N\) and \(s\), with the linear fractional Laplacian \((-\Delta)^s\) in the case \(p = 2\). The interest for this last operator and more generally pseudo-differential operators has constantly increased over the last few years, although such operators have been a classical topic of functional analysis since long ago. Nonlocal operators such as \((-\Delta)^s\) and its generalisation \(\mathcal{L}_K\) like in problems (1.8) (for more details see [26, 27, 28]) naturally arise in continuum mechanics, phase transition phenomena, population dynamics and game theory, as they are the typical outcome of stochastical stabilization of Lévy processes, see e.g. [6, 21, 22].

The interest in studying non-local integro-differential was stimulated by their applications. Indeed, they have impressive applications in different fields, as the thin obstacle...
problem, optimization, finance, stratified materials, anomalous diffusion, crystal dislocation, deblurring and denoising of images, and so on. For further details we refer to [7, 8, 9, 10, 20, 24] and the references therein.

Now, we introduce the nonlocal integro-differential operator of elliptic type $\mathcal{L}^{p(x)}_K$ which generalizes $(-\Delta_p)^s$, for any fixed $s \in (0, 1)$, as follows:

$$
\mathcal{L}^{p(x)}_K u = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y) - 2}(u(x) - u(y))K(x, y)dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N
$$

$$
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y) - 2}(u(x) - u(y))K(x, y)dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
$$

where $p : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to (1, +\infty)$ is a continuous bounded function satisfying (1.2), (1.3) and

$$
p((x, y) - (z, z)) = p(x, y) \quad \forall (x, y), (z, z) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.11)
$$

The kernel $K : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to (0, +\infty)$ is a measurable function with the following properties:

$$
K(x, y) = K(y, x) \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.12)
$$

and $\exists k_0 > 0$ such that

$$
K(x, y) \geq k_0|x-y|^{-(N+sp(x,y))} \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \text{ with } x \neq y \quad (1.13)
$$

$$
gK \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N), \quad \text{where } g(x, y) = \min \left\{ 1, |x-y|^{p(x,y)} \right\}. \quad (1.14)
$$

A typical example for $K$ is given by the singular kernel $K(x, y) = |x-y|^{-(N+sp(x,y))}$, in this case $\mathcal{L}^{p(x)}_K = (-\Delta_p)^s$.

We will introduce the functional space which was introduced in [2] by Benkirane et al., we give the general fractional Sobolev space with variable exponent as follows

$$
X = W^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable, such that } u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \text{ with } \int_Q |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}K(x, y)dxdy < +\infty, \quad \text{for some } \lambda > 0 \right\},
$$

where $\Omega$ be an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $Q$ defined by

$$
Q := \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \setminus (C\Omega \times C\Omega), \quad \text{with } C\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega.
$$

Note that, the space $W^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space (see [2]) and endowed with the norm

$$
||u||_{W^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega)} = ||u||_{K,p(x,y)} = ||u||_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} + [u]_{K,p(x,y)}, \quad (1.15)
$$

where,

$$
[u]_{K,p(x,y)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{\lambda^{p(x,y)}}K(x, y)dxdy \leq 1 \right\}.
$$

The space $(X, || \cdot ||_X)$ is separable and uniformly convex reflexive, see [2].

In this paper, we are inspired by the results on the $p(x)$-Laplacian problems with weight introduced in [1, 5, 18] and some results on the theory of fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponent due to Kaufmann et al. [17] and Bahrourni et al. [4].

The aim of this paper is to investigate problem (1.1) by adapting the variational techniques. We will study a class of eigenvalue problems with indefinite weight for fractional $p(x,y)$-Laplacian equations and we establish that any $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small is an eigenvalue of the above nonhomogeneous nonlocal problem. The proof relies on some variational arguments based on Ekeland’s variational principle.

The main result of the present paper reads as follows:
Theorem 1.1. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and let $s \in (0,1)$. Suppose that

1. $p : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (1, +\infty)$ be a continuous variable exponent with $sp(x,y) < N$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying (1.2) and (1.3).
2. $q : \Omega \rightarrow (1, +\infty)$ be a continuous bounded variable exponent satisfy (1.4).
3. $K : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N(0, +\infty)$ is a measurable function satisfying (1.12), (1.13) and (1.14).
4. $V \in L^{q(s)}(\Omega)$ and there exists a measurable set $\Omega_0 \subset \subset \Omega$ of positive measure such that $V(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega_0$, where $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
5. $1 < q(x) < p^- \leq p^+ < \frac{N}{r} < \sigma(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

Then there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ is an eigenvalue of problem $(P_K)$.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 states some preliminary properties to establish our results presented in Section 3. In section 3, we establish and prove our main theorem.

2. Preliminaries and technical lemmas

In this section, we recall some definitions and some properties about generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$ and fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponent, which we will use later (For more details see [2, 13, 14, 19]).

Define the generalized Lebesgue space by:

$$L^{r(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable and} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \right\}$$

where

$$r \in C_+ (\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad C_+ (\Omega) = \{ r \in C(\Omega) : r(x) > 1, \forall x \in \Omega \}.$$ 

Denote $r^+ = \max_{x \in \Omega} r(x)$ and $r^- = \min_{x \in \Omega} r(x)$, such that

$$1 < r^- \leq r(x) \leq r^+ < +\infty.$$ 

The space $L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$ endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$|u|_{r(x)} = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 ; \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\mu} \right|^{r(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

and the space $\left( L^{r(x)}(\Omega), |\cdot|_{r(x)} \right)$ is a Banach.

Proposition 2.1. ([14]) The space $\left( L^{r(x)}(\Omega), |\cdot|_{r(x)} \right)$ is separable, uniformly convex, reflexive and its conjugate space is $L^{\hat{r}(x)}(\Omega)$ where $\hat{r}(x)$ is the conjugate function of $r(x)$ i.e.

$$\frac{1}{r(x)} + \frac{1}{\hat{r}(x)} = 1, \forall x \in \Omega.$$ 

For all $u \in L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{\hat{r}(x)}(\Omega)$ the Hölder’s type inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} uv dx \leq \left( \frac{1}{r^-} + \frac{1}{\hat{r}^-} \right) |u|_{r(x)} |v|_{\hat{r}(x)}$$

holds true.

Moreover, if $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in C_+ (\Omega)$ and $\frac{1}{r_1(x)} + \frac{1}{r_2(x)} + \frac{1}{r_3(x)} = 1$, then for any $u \in L^{r_1(x)}(\Omega)$, $v \in L^{r_2(x)}(\Omega)$ and $w \in L^{r_3(x)}(\Omega)$ the following inequality holds (see [14], proposition 2.5):

$$\int_{\Omega} |uvw| dx \leq \left( \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{1}{r_3} \right) |u|_{r_1(x)} |v|_{r_2(x)} |w|_{r_3(x)}.$$  (2.17)
Furthermore, if we define the mapping \( \rho : L^r(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) by
\[
\rho_{r(x)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{r(x)} dx
\]
we have the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.2.** ([14])
\[
|u|_{r(x)} < 1 (= 1, > 1) \Leftrightarrow \rho_{r(x)}(u) < 1 (= 1, > 1)
\]
\[
|u|_{r(x)} > 1 \Rightarrow |u|^{r(x)}_{r(x)} \leq \rho(u)_{r(x)} \leq |u|^{r(x)}_{r(x)}
\]
\[
|u|_{r(x)} < 1 \Rightarrow |u|^{r(x)}_{r(x)} \leq \rho_{r(x)}(u) \leq |u|^{r(x)}_{r(x)}
\]
\[
|u|_{r(x)} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho_{r(x)}(u) \rightarrow 0
\]

We recall the following proposition, which will be needed later:

**Proposition 2.3.** ([12]) Let \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) be measurable functions such that \( r_1 \in L^\infty(\Omega) \) and \( 1 < r_1(x)r_2(x) \leq \infty \), for a.e. \( x \in \Omega \). Let \( u \in L^{r_2(x)}(\Omega), u \neq 0 \). Then
\[
|u|_{r_1(x)r_2(x)} \leq 1 \Rightarrow |u|^{r_1(x)}_{r_1(x)r_2(x)} \leq |u|^{r_1(x)}_{r_1(x)r_2(x)}
\]
\[
|u|_{r_1(x)r_2(x)} \geq 1 \Rightarrow |u|^{r_1(x)}_{r_1(x)r_2(x)} \leq |u|^{r_1(x)}_{r_1(x)r_2(x)}
\]

**Theorem 2.4.** ([2]) Let \( \Omega \) be a smooth bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) and let \( s \in (0,1) \). Let \( p : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (1, +\infty) \) be a continuous variable exponent with \( sp(x,y) < N \) for all \( (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \). Let (1.2) and (1.3) be satisfied and \( q : \Omega \rightarrow (1, +\infty) \) be a continuous bounded variable exponent such that,
\[
1 < r(x) < \frac{Np(x)}{N - p(x)}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega.
\]

Suppose that \( K : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (0, +\infty) \) is a measurable function satisfying (1.12), (1.13) and (1.14). Then

(i) There exists a positive constant \( C = C(N, p, q, s, \Omega) > 0 \), such that for any \( u \in W^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega) \), we have
\[
\|u\|_{q(x)} \leq C\|u\|_{s,p(x,y)} \leq C \max \left\{ 1, \tilde{k}_0 \right\} \|u\|_{K,p(x,y)}
\]
where \( \tilde{k}_0 = \tilde{k}_0(\Omega, p^-, p^+) \) is a positive constant. That is, the space \( W^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega) \)

continuously embedded in \( L^p(x) \). Moreover, this embedding is compact.

(ii) There exists a positive constant \( C_0 = C_0 \left( N, p, s, \tilde{k}_0, \Omega \right) > 0 \), such that
\[
|u|_{K,p(x,y)} \leq \|u\|_{K,p(x,y)} \leq C_0 |u|_{K,p(x,y)}.
\]

For any \( u \in W^{K,p(x,y)} \), we define the modular \( \rho_{K,p(\ldots)}(\ldots) \) by
\[
\rho_{K,p(\ldots)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)} K(x,y) dy dx + \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx
\]
and it is convex on \( W^{K,p(x,y)} \). The norme associated with \( \rho_{K,p(\ldots)} \) is given by
\[
\|u\|_{\rho_{K,p(\ldots)}} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_{K,p(\ldots)} \left( \frac{u}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.
\]

**Remark 2.5.** \( \rho_{K,p(\ldots)} \) also check the results of Proposition 2.2.

Using the same argument as in ([11], Theorem 2.17), we prove that \( \|\cdot\|_{\rho_{K,p(\ldots)}} \) is a norm on \( W^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega) \), which is equivalent to the norm \( \|\cdot\|_{K,p(\ldots)} \).

We also define the closed linear subspace of \( W^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega) \) by
\[
X_0 = W_0^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in W^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega) : u(x) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \right\}.
\]
Remark 2.6. (i) The assertion (i) in Theorem 2.1 implies that $W_0^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{-K,p(x,y)}(\Omega)$ are continuously embedded in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, where $1 < q(x) < p^*_x(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

(ii) As a consequence of Theorem 2.4. $1 - (ii)$, $[K_p(x,y)]$ is an equivalent norm of $\|u\|_{K_p(x,y)}$ on $W_0^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega)$.

(iii) $(\rho_{K_p(x,y)})$ is a separable, reflexive, and uniformly convex Banach space (see [2], Lemma 3.5).

On the other hand, for any $u \in W_0^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega)$, we define the functional

$$\rho_{K_p(x,y)}^o(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}K(x,y)dxdy.$$ 

$\rho_{K_p(x,y)}^o$ is a convex modular on $W_0^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega)$. The norm associated with $\rho_{K_p(x,y)}^o$ is given by

$$\|u\|_{\rho_{K_p(x,y)}^o} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_{K_p(x,y)}^o \left( \frac{u}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\} = \|u\|_{X_0}.$$ 

Remark 2.7. $\rho_{K_p(x,y)}^o$ also check the results of Proposition 2.2.

We recall also the following properties:

Lemma 2.8. ([2]) Let $p : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (1, +\infty)$ be a continuous variable exponent and $K : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a measurable function satisfy (1.12) and (1.14). Then For any $u \in W_0^{K,p(x,y)}$, we have

(i) $1 \leq [u]_{K_p(x,y)} \Rightarrow [u]_{K_p(x,y)}^p \leq \rho_{K_p(x,y)}^o(u) \leq [u]_{K_p(x,y)}^{p^*}$

(ii) $[u]_{K_p(x,y)} \leq 1 \Rightarrow [u]_{K_p(x,y)}^{p^*} \leq \rho_{K_p(x,y)}^o(u) \leq [u]_{K_p(x,y)}^{p^*}$

Lemma 2.9. ([2]) Let (1.2), (1.3) and (1.11) be satisfied. Then the space $C_0^\infty (\mathbb{R}^N)$ of smooth functions with compact support is dense in $W_0^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega)$.

Let (1.2) and (1.3), be satisfied and let $K : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a measurable function satisfy (1.12), (1.13) and (1.14). Then

$$L_{K}^{p(x)} : X_0 \rightarrow X_0^*$$

$$u \mapsto L_{K}^{p(x)}(u) : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\varphi \mapsto \langle L_{K}^{p(x)}(u), \varphi \rangle$$

such that

$$\langle L_{K}^{p(x)}(u), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2}(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))K(x,y)dxdy.$$ 

Where $X_0^* = \left( W_0^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega) \right)^*$ is the the dual of $X_0 = W_0^{K,p(x,y)}(\Omega)$.

In the following Lemma, we introduce fundamental properties of the operator $L_{K}^{p(x)}$.

Lemma 2.10. ([2]) Suppose that (1.2) and (1.3) be satisfied and let $K : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a measurable function which satisfies (1.12), (1.13) and (1.14). Then, The following assertions hold:

(i) $L_{K}^{p(x)}$ is well defined and bounded.

(ii) $L_{K}^{p(x)}$ is a strictly monotone operator.
We use the same arguments as in ([3, 23]), we show the following lemma: for any \( \lambda > 0 \), measurable function satisfying (1.13) and (1.14). Then:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{bounded variable exponent satisfy (1.4). Suppose that } K \in \mathbb{R}_+^N \text{ which satisfies (3.18), i.e. } K \text{ is the corresponding eigenfunction to } \lambda. \\
&\text{Let us consider the functional } I_\lambda : X_0 \to \mathbb{R} \text{ associated with problem } (\mathcal{P}_K) \text{ by: } \\
&I_\lambda(u) = J(u) + \Phi(u) - \lambda \Psi(u) \\
&\text{where } J(u) = \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2}(u(x) - u(y)) \varphi(x) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y, \quad \Phi(u) = \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d}x, \quad \Psi(u) = \int_\Omega q(x) |u(x)|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d}x \\
&\text{and } \\
&\text{for any } \lambda > 0. \\
&\text{We use the same arguments as in ([3, 23]), we show the following lemma:} \\
&\text{Lemma 3.2. Let } \Omega \text{ be a smooth bounded domain in } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and let } s \in (0, 1), \text{ Let } p : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to (1, +\infty) \text{ be a continuous variable exponent with } sp(x, y) < N \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N. \text{ Let (1.2) and (1.3) be satisfied. Let } q : \Omega \to (1, +\infty) \text{ be a continuous bounded variable exponent satisfy (1.4). Suppose that } K : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to (0, +\infty) \text{ is a measurable function satisfying (1.13) and (1.14). Then:} \\
&(1) I_\lambda \text{ is well defined.} \\
&(2) I_\lambda \in \left( W^0_{K} q(x) \right)_{\Omega}, \mathbb{R} \text{ and for all } u, \varphi \in W^0_{K,q(x)}(\Omega), \text{ its Gâteaux derivative is given by:} \\
&(I_\lambda(u), \varphi) = \int_\Omega |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2}(u(x) - u(y)) \varphi(x) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\
&+ \int_\Omega |u(x)|^{p(x)} \varphi(x) \mathrm{d}x - \lambda \int_\Omega V(x)|u(x)|^{q(x)-2}u(x) \varphi(x) \mathrm{d}x. \\
&\text{The following result shows that the functional } I_\lambda \text{ satisfies the first geometrical condition of the mountain pass theorem.} \]
Lemma 3.3. Let be $\Omega$ a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and let $s \in (0,1)$. Let $p : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to (1, +\infty)$ be a continuous variable exponent with $sp(x,y) < N$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Let (1.2) and (1.3) be satisfied. Let $q : \Omega \to (1, +\infty)$ be a continuous bounded variable exponent satisfy (1.4). Suppose that $K : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to (0, +\infty)$ is a measurable function satisfying (1.12), (1.13) and (1.14). Then, there exist $\lambda^* > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, there exist $R, a > 0$ such that $I_{\lambda}(u) > a > 0$ for any $u \in X_0$ with $\|u\|_{X_0} = R$.

Proof of Lemma 3.3: Using the same argument as in ([23], see Theorem 3.2). Indeed, since $\alpha(x) = \frac{\sigma(x)q(x)}{\sigma(x) - 1} < p^+_s(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$, the embedding $X_0 \to L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuous, there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{\alpha(x)} \leq c_1 \|u\|_{X_0}, \forall u \in X_0.$$  

From (3.20), for any $u \in X_0$ with $\|u\| = R$ small enough, we have

$$I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^1 |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)} K(x, y) dx dy + \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^1 |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx - \frac{\lambda}{q^-} \int_\Omega V(x) |u(x)|^{\eta(x)} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \left[ \int_0^1 |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)} K(x, y) dx dy + \int_\Omega |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx \right] - \frac{\lambda}{q^-} \int_\Omega V(x) |u(x)|^{\eta(x)} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \|u\|_{X_0}^{p^+_s} - \frac{2\lambda}{q^-} c_1^\sigma |V|_{\sigma(x)} \|u\|_{X_0}^{q^-}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p^+} R^{p^+_s} - \frac{2\lambda}{q^-} c_1^\sigma |V|_{\sigma(x)} R^{q^-}$$

$$\geq R^{q^-} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} R^{p^+_s - q^-} - \frac{2\lambda}{q^-} c_1^\sigma |V|_{\sigma(x)} \right).$$

Defining

$$\lambda^* = \frac{R^{q^-} - q^-}{2p^+_s - 2c_1 |V|_{\sigma(x)}},$$

we can conclude that for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ and any $u \in X_0$ with $\|u\|_{X_0} = R$, there exists $a = \frac{R^{p^+_s - q^-}}{2p^+_s - 2c_1 |V|_{\sigma(x)}} > 0$ such that

$$I_{\lambda} \geq a > 0,$$

this completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

The following result shows that the functional $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the second geometrical condition of mountain pass theorem.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and let $s \in (0,1)$. Let $p : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to (1, +\infty)$ be a continuous variable exponent with $sp(x,y) < N$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let (1.2) and (1.3) be satisfied. Let $q : \Omega \to (1, +\infty)$ be a continuous bounded variable exponent satisfy (1.4). Suppose that $K : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to (0, +\infty)$ is a measurable function satisfying (1.12), (1.13) and (1.14). Then, there exists $\varphi \in X_0$ such that $\varphi \geq 0, \varphi \neq 0$ and $I_{\lambda}(t \varphi) < 0$ for any $t$ small enough.

Proof of Lemma 3.4: Using the same argument as in ([23], see Theorem 3.3). Assumption (1.14) implies that $q^- < p^-$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $q^- + \varepsilon \leq p^-$ since $q \in C(\Omega)$, then we can find an open set $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ such that

$$|q(x) - q^-| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in \Omega_0.$$
On the other hand, since combining (3.22) and (3.23), we get
\[ q(x) \leq q^- + \varepsilon \leq p^- \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \]
Let \( \varphi \in C^\infty_0(\Omega) \) be such that \( \Omega_0 \subset \text{supp}(\varphi) \), \( \varphi(x) = 1 \) for all \( x \in \Omega_0 \), and \( 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1 \) in \( \Omega \). Then for any \( t \in (0, 1) \) we have
\[
I_\lambda(t\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x,y)} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|^{p(x,y)} K(x,y)dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \frac{p(x)}{p(x)} |\varphi(x)|^{p(x)}dx
- \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{q(x)}{q(x)} V(x)|\varphi(x)|^{q(x)}dx
\leq \frac{t^p}{p} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|^{p(x,y)} K(x,y) dx dy + \int_{\Omega} |\varphi(x)|^{p(x)}dx \right]
- \lambda \int_{\Omega_0} \frac{q(x)}{q(x)} V(x)|\varphi(x)|^{q(x)}dx
\leq \frac{t^p}{p} \rho_{K,p}(\varphi) - \frac{\lambda}{q^+} t^{q^+} \int_{\Omega_0} V(x)|\varphi(x)|^{q(x)}dx
\leq t^{q^- + \varepsilon} \left[ \frac{\rho_{K,p}(\varphi)}{p} t^{q^- - \varepsilon} - \frac{\lambda}{q^+} \int_{\Omega_0} V(x)|\varphi(x)|^{q(x)}dx \right].
\]
Therefore
\[
I_\lambda(t\varphi) < 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad t < \xi^{\frac{1}{q^- - q^- - \varepsilon}},
\]
where
\[
0 < \xi < \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\lambda}{q^+} \int_{\Omega_0} V(x)|\varphi(x)|^{q(x)}dx \rho_{K,p}(\varphi) \right\}.
\]
Finally, we point out that \( \rho_{p(\varphi)}(\varphi) > 0 \) (this fact implies that \( \varphi \neq 0 \)). Indeed, since \( \Omega_0 \subset \text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \Omega \), and \( 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1 \) in \( \Omega \), so we get
\[
0 < \int_{\Omega_0} |\varphi(x)|^{q(x)}dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\varphi(x)|^{q(x)}dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\varphi(x)|^{q^-}dx. \tag{3.22}
\]
On the other hand, since \( 1 < q^- < p_0^*(x) \) for all \( x \in \bar{\Omega} \), then \( X_0 \) is continuously embedded in \( L^{q^-}(\Omega) \), so there exists \( c_2 > 0 \) such that
\[
\|\varphi\|_{L^{q^-}(\Omega)} \leq c_2 \|\varphi\|_{X_0}. \tag{3.23}
\]
Combining (3.22) and (3.23), we get
\[
0 < \frac{1}{c_2} \|\varphi\|_{L^{q^-}(\Omega)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{X_0}.
\]
Using the last relation and Proposition 2.2, we deduce that
\[
\rho_{p(\varphi)}(\varphi) > 0,
\]
and the conclusion is completed.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1:**
Let \( \lambda^* \) be defined as in (3.21) and let \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda^*) \). By Lemma 3.3, it follows that
\[
\inf_{\partial B_R(0)} I_\lambda > 0, \tag{3.24}
\]
where \( \partial B_R(0) = \{ u \in \partial B_R(0) : \|u\|_{X_0} = R \} \) and \( \partial B_R(0) \) is the ball centered at the origin and of radius \( R \) in \( X_0 \).
On the other hand, by Lemma 3.4, there exists \( \varphi \in X_0 \) such that \( I_\lambda(t\varphi) < 0 \) for any \( t \).
small enough.
Moreover, for all \( u \in B_R(0) \), we have
\[
I_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{p^*} \|u\|_{X_0}^{p^*} - \frac{\lambda}{r} c_1^+ |V|_{\sigma(x)} \|u\|_{X_0}^-.
\] (3.25)

Then we have
\[
-\infty < \bar{c} = \inf_{u \in \overline{B}_R(0)} I_\lambda(u) < 0.
\] (3.26)

Combining (3.22) and (3.24), then we can assume that
\[
0 < \varepsilon < \inf_{\partial B_R(0)} I_\lambda - \inf_{\overline{B}_R(0)} I_\lambda.
\]

Then, by applying Ekeland’s variational principle (16) to the functional \( I_\lambda : \overline{B}_R(0) \to \mathbb{R} \), there exists \( u_\varepsilon \in \overline{B}_R(0) \) such that
\[
\begin{cases}
I_\lambda(u_\varepsilon) < \inf_{u \in \overline{B}_R(0)} I_\lambda(u) + \varepsilon \\
I_\lambda(u_\varepsilon) < I_\lambda(u) + \varepsilon \|u - u_\varepsilon\|_{X_0}, \forall u \neq u_\varepsilon.
\end{cases}
\] (3.27)

So,
\[
I_\lambda(u_\varepsilon) < \inf_{u \in \partial B_R(0)} I_\lambda(u).
\]

It follows that \( u_\varepsilon \in B_R(0) \).

Now, we consider
\[
I_\lambda^\varepsilon : \overline{B}_R(0) \to \mathbb{R} \quad u \mapsto I_\lambda(u) + \varepsilon \|u - u_\varepsilon\|_{X_0}.
\]

By (3.23), we get
\[
I_\lambda^\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = I_\lambda(u) < I_\lambda^\varepsilon(u), \forall u \neq u_\varepsilon.
\]

Thus \( u_\varepsilon \) is a minimum point of \( I_\lambda^\varepsilon \) on \( \overline{B}_R(0) \). It follows that for any \( t > 0 \) small enough and \( v \in B_R(0) \)
\[
\frac{I_\lambda^\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon + tv) - I_\lambda^\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)}{t} \geq 0.
\]

By this fact, we claim that
\[
\frac{I_\lambda(u_\varepsilon + tv) - I_\lambda(u_\varepsilon)}{t} + \varepsilon \|v\|_{X_0} \geq 0.
\]

When \( t \) tends to \( 0^+ \), we get
\[
\langle I_\lambda'(u_\varepsilon), v \rangle + \varepsilon \|v\|_{X_0} \geq 0.
\]

This implies that
\[
\|I_\lambda(u_\varepsilon)\|_{X_0} \leq \varepsilon.
\] (3.28)

From (3.28), we deduce that there exists a sequence \( (w_n) \subset B_R(0) \) such that
\[
I_\lambda(w_n) \to \bar{c} \quad \text{and} \quad I_\lambda'(w_n) \to 0.
\] (3.29)

By the relations (3.25) and (3.29), we have that \( (w_n) \) is bounded in \( X_0 \). Thus there exists \( w \in X_0 \) such that \( w_n \to w \) in \( X_0 \).

By (1.4), we have that \( q(x) < p^*_s(x) \) for all \( x \in \Omega \), so by Theorem 2.4 and Remark 2.6 we deduce that \( X_0 \) is compactly embedded in \( L^{q(x)}(\Omega) \), then
\[
w_n \to w \quad \text{in} \quad L^{q(x)}(\Omega).
\] (3.30)
and
\[
\left| \int_{\Omega} V(x)|w_n|^{q(x)-2}w_n(w_n - w)\,dx \right| \leq 3|V|_{\sigma(x)} \left| w_n \right|^{q(x)-2} \left| w_n \right|_{\frac{\sigma(x)}{|q(x)-1|}} \left| w_n - w \right|_{\beta(x)}
\]
\[
\leq 3|V|_{\sigma(x)} \left( 1 + \left| w_n \right|^{q(x)} - 1 \right) \left| w_n - w \right|_{\beta(x)}
\]
\[
\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,
\]
(3.31)

where \( \beta(x) = \frac{\sigma(x)q(x)}{(\sigma(x) - q(x))^+} \).

By the same argument, we have
\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \left| w_n \right|_{\beta(x)-2} \left| w_n - w \right| \,dx = 0.
\]
(3.32)

According to (3.29), we conclude that
\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} <I_\lambda'(w_n), w_n> = 0.
\]

Namely,
\[
\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left| w_n(x) - w_n(y) \right|_{p(x,y)-2} \left| (w_n(x) - w_n(y)) \right| \left( (w_n(x) - w(x)) - (w_n(y) - w(y)) \right)
\]
\[
\times K(x, y)\,dxdy + \int_{\Omega} \left| w_n \right|_{\beta(x)-2} \left| w_n - w \right| \,dx
\]
\[
- \lambda \int_{\Omega} V(x) \left| w_n \right|_{q(x)-2} \left| w_n - w \right| \,dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.
\]

And so,
\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left| w_n(x) - w_n(y) \right|_{p(x,y)-2} \left| (w_n(x) - w_n(y)) \right| \left( (w_n(x) - w_n(y)) \right)
\]
\[
- \left( w_n(x) - w_n(y) \right) \times K(x, y)\,dxdy = 0.
\]

Consequently, using Lemma 2.10 (ii), and the fact that \( w_n \rightarrow w \) in \( X_0 \), we get
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\lim \sup_n <L(w_n), w_n - w> \leq 0 \\
w_n \rightarrow w \quad \text{in} \quad X_0 \\
L \quad \text{is a mapping of type} \quad (S_+)
\end{array} \right. \Rightarrow w_n \rightarrow w \quad \text{in} \quad X_0.
\]

From the relation (3.29), we deduce that
\[
I_\lambda(w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I_\lambda(w_n) = \bar{c} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad I_\lambda'(w) = 0.
\]

We conclude that \( w \) is a nontrivial critical point of \( I_\lambda \). Then \( w \) is a nontrivial weak solution for problem \((P_K)\).

Therefore, for any \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda^*) \) is an eigenvalue of problem \((P_K)\).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.
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