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ABSTRACT

Large $N$ but non-planar limits of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory can be described using restricted Schur polynomials. Previous investigations demonstrate that the action of the one loop dilatation operator on restricted Schur operators, with classical dimension of order $N$ and belonging to the $su(2)$ sector, is largely determined by the $su(2)$ $\mathcal{R}$ symmetry algebra as well as structural features of perturbative field theory. Studies presented so far have used the form of $\mathcal{R}$ symmetry generators when acting on small perturbations of half-BPS operators. In this article, as a first step towards going beyond small perturbations of the half-BPS operators, we explain how the exact action of symmetry generators on restricted Schur polynomials can be determined.
1 Orientation

Studies of the anomalous dimensions of restricted Schur polynomials have demonstrated the emergence of giant graviton brane states from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The operators dual to giant graviton branes have a bare dimension that grows as $N$ as we take the large $N$ limit [7, 8, 9]. Summing only the planar diagrams does not capture the large $N$ limit of these correlators [7]. The matching of field theory operators with excited giant graviton branes reproduces a rather non-trivial constraint implied by the Gauss Law of the gauge theory on the worldvolume of a giant graviton [10]. An alternative approach, employing a collective coordinate description, has been developed in [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The two approaches are in good agreement with each other and with studies in the dual string theory.

Studies of this type are important as they extend our understanding of gauge / gravity duality into non-planar sectors of the field theory. In these limits one is forced to sum higher genus ribbon graphs, so that these are inherently non-perturbative string theory problems.
The results discussed above are limited to $1/2$-BPS and small deformations of $1/2$-BPS operators. For these operators one can employ what is known as the “distant corners approximation”, which supplies a dramatic simplification of the action of the symmetric group. One would like to extend these initial results to consider generic giant graviton branes and even operators with dimensions that grow faster than $N$. In this more general setting we can’t justify the distant corners approximation and new methods are needed.

A promising approach to this problem is to explore how much can be obtained by exploiting the known symmetries of the theory. Indeed, using an $SU(2)$ $R$-symmetry \cite{16} derived constraints that determine much of the structure of the higher loop contributions to the dilatation operator. The basic idea is to compute the $su(2)$ generators in the displaced corners approximation and then to write down the equations following from the requirement that these generators commute with the dilatation operator. In this way a set of recursion relations for the dilatation operator are obtained. By including additional knowledge - basically the requirement that the smallest possible anomalous dimension (for the BPS operators) is zero - the recursion relations have an essentially unique solution. If the symmetry generators are computed exactly, the equations following from the requirement that these generators commute with the dilatation operator would themselves be exact. This set of equations would provide a starting point for studies that go beyond small deformations of the $1/2$-BPS sector. Our main goal in this article is to compute the action of certain symmetry generators on restricted Schur polynomials, exactly.

In the next section of this article, we will derive exact expressions for the matrix elements of the generators we are interested in. Evaluating the formulas we obtain entails computing a trace over a product of projection operators. This trace is easily evaluated in the displaced corners approximation and we quote the generators in this case. The $su(2)$ generators in the displaced corners approximation were computed in \cite{16}. The results for the $su(3)$ generators are new. In section 3 we will tackle the computation of the exact $su(2)$ generators. We are able to obtain identities that relate the traces over products of different projection operators. With the help of these identities and a few well chosen initial traces, we are able to compute all such traces exactly. The exact results are a rather simple modification of the displaced corners’ generators. In section 4 we consider the $su(3)$ generators, which involves three complex matrices. A tensor product structure of the problem is made manifest. This then allows the computation of the exact $su(3)$ generators, using the methods that worked for $su(2)$. In section 5 we discuss our results. In particular, our analysis makes it clear that the computation of any $su(n)$ generators rotating any of the fields from which the restricted Schur operator is constructed, can be accomplished in exactly the same way. We also sketch a number of interesting directions for further study.
2 Generators in the distant corners approximation

\( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) super Yang-Mills theory includes 6 scalar fields \( \phi_i \), that are \( N \times N \) hermitian matrices transforming in the adjoint of the \( U(N) \) gauge group. The theory enjoys an \( SO(6) \) global symmetry which rotates the scalars in the vector representation. Form the complex combinations

\[
Z = \phi_1 + i\phi_2, \quad Y = \phi_3 + i\phi_4, \quad X = \phi_5 + i\phi_6.
\]  

(2.1)

The global symmetry we study in this article is the \( SU(3) \subset SO(6) \) group that rotates the above three complex fields. In this section we will argue that the computation of the action of the \( su(3) \) generators on restricted Schur polynomials constructed using the above three complex fields amounts to the computation of the trace of a certain product of projection operators. The relevant restricted Schur polynomials are given by

\[
\chi_{R,(r,s,t)\alpha\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(Z,Y,X) = \frac{1}{n!m!p!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+m+p}} \chi_{R,(r,s,t)\alpha\beta}(\sigma) \text{Tr}(\sigma Z^{\otimes n} \otimes Y^{\otimes m} \otimes X^{\otimes p}).
\]  

(2.2)

The labels of the above operator are Young diagrams \( R \vdash n + m + p \), \( r \vdash n \), \( s \vdash m \), \( t \vdash p \) and multiplicity labels \( \alpha \), \( \beta \). The definition is spelled out in complete detail in [17]. We will ultimately focus on the case that \( R \) has at most two rows or columns, which is dual to a system of two giant gravitons. This case is the simplest to consider, since the multiplicity labels \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) appearing above are not needed. To illustrate the argument, it is enough to focus on the \( su(2) \) generators, as we do in the next section. The results for the \( su(3) \) sector, which we give below, can be obtained in exactly the same way.

2.1 SU(2) Rotations of Restricted Schur Polynomials

We set \( p = 0 \) and consider operators constructed using \( n \) \( Z \) fields and \( m \) \( Y \) fields. The generators of the \( su(2) \) algebra are as follows

\[
J_+ = \text{Tr}\left( Y \frac{d}{dZ} \right), \quad J_- = \text{Tr}\left( Z \frac{d}{dY} \right), \quad J_3 = \text{Tr}\left( Y \frac{d}{dY} - Z \frac{d}{dZ} \right).
\]  

(2.3)

These generators close the usual algebra

\[
[J_+, J_-] = J_3, \quad [J_3, J_\pm] = \pm 2J_\pm.
\]  

(2.4)

We will make extensive use of the identity [18]

\[
\text{Tr}(\sigma Y^{\otimes m-1} \otimes Z^{\otimes n+1}) = \sum_{T,(t^+,u^-)\rho} \frac{d_T(n+1)!(m-1)!}{d_{t^+}d_{u^-}(n+m)!} \chi_{T,(t^+,u^-)\rho}(\sigma^{-1}) \chi_{T,(t^+,u^-)\rho}(Z,Y)
\]  

(2.5)
where \( t^+ \vdash n + 1, u^- \vdash m - 1 \) and \( T \vdash m + n \).

Consider first the action of \( J_- \)

\[
J_- \chi_{R,(r,s)\bar{\mu}}(Z,Y) = \text{Tr} \left( Z \frac{d}{dY} \right) \chi_{R,(r,s)\bar{\mu}}(Z,Y) \\
= \frac{m}{n!m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+m}} \text{Tr}_{(\sigma)} \left( \Gamma^R(\sigma) \right) \text{Tr}(\sigma Y \otimes^{m-1} Z \otimes^{n+1}) \\
= \sum_{(t^+,u^-)\bar{\nu}} \frac{n+1}{d_t d_u^-} \text{Tr}_R(P_{R,(r,s)\bar{\mu}} P_{R,(t^+,u^-)\bar{\nu}}) \chi_{R,(t^+,u^-)\bar{\nu}}(Z,Y). \tag{2.6}
\]

To move from the second last to the last line above, we have used the identity \((2.5)\) and we have performed the sum over \( \sigma \) using the fundamental orthogonality relation

\[
\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \Gamma^R(g)_{ab} \Gamma^S(g^{-1})_{cd} = \frac{|\mathcal{G}|}{d_R} \delta_{RS} \delta_{bc} \delta_{ad}, \tag{2.7}
\]

which holds for the matrix elements of the irreducible representations (irreps) of any group \( \mathcal{G} \). \( R \) and \( S \) label the irreps and \( d_R \) is the dimension of irrep \( R \). Our notation in \((2.6)\) is \( \bar{\nu} = (\nu_1, \nu_2) \) and \( \bar{\nu}^* = (\nu_2, \nu_1) \). In bra-ket notation we have

\[
\langle T, (t^+, u^-), \bar{\nu} | \text{Tr} \left( Z \frac{d}{dY} \right) | R, (r, s), \bar{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{RT} \frac{n+1}{d_t d_u^-} \text{Tr}_R(P_{R,(r,s)\bar{\mu}} P_{R,(t^+,u^-)\bar{\nu}}) \\
= \delta_{RT} \frac{\langle \chi^\dagger_{R,(t^+,u^-)\bar{\nu}}(Z,Y) \text{Tr} \left( Z \frac{d}{dY} \right) \chi_{R,(r,s)\bar{\mu}}(Z,Y) \rangle}{\langle \chi_{R,(t^+,u^-)\bar{\nu}}(Z,Y) \chi^\dagger_{R,(t^+,u^-)\bar{\nu}}(Z,Y) \rangle}. \tag{2.8}
\]

We want the action of the generators on normalized operators. Our operators obey

\[
\langle \chi_{R,(r,s)\bar{\mu}}(Z,Y) \chi^\dagger_{T,(t,u)\bar{\nu}}(Z,Y) \rangle = \delta_{RT} \delta_{rt} \delta_{su} \delta_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} \frac{f_R \text{hooks}_R}{\text{hooks}_s \text{hooks}_t}. \tag{2.9}
\]

By rescaling

\[
\chi_{R,(r,s)\bar{\mu}}(Z,Y) = \sqrt{\frac{f_R \text{hooks}_R}{\text{hooks}_s \text{hooks}_t}} O_{R,(r,s)\bar{\mu}}(Z,Y)
\]

we can get operators \( O_{R,(r,s)\bar{\mu}}(Z,Y) \) with two point function equal to 1

\[
\langle O_{R,(r,s)\bar{\mu}}(Z,Y) O^\dagger_{T,(t,u)\bar{\nu}}(Z,Y) \rangle = \delta_{RT} \delta_{rt} \delta_{su} \delta_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}.
\]

Acting on normalized operators we have

\[
J_-.O_{R,(r,s)\bar{\mu}}(Z,Y) = \sum_{T,(t^+,u^-)\bar{\nu}} \langle J_-\rangle_{T,(t^+,u^-)\bar{\nu},(r,s)\bar{\mu}} O_{T,(t^+,u^-)\bar{\nu}}(Z,Y) \tag{2.10}
\]

where

\[
\langle J_-\rangle_{T,(t^+,u^-)\bar{\nu},(r,s)\bar{\mu}} \equiv \langle O^\dagger_{T,(t^+,u^-)\bar{\nu}}(Z,Y) \text{Tr} \left( Z \frac{d}{dY} \right) O_{R,(r,s)\bar{\mu}}(Z,Y) \rangle.
\]
\[\sum_i \delta_{RT} \delta_{i',r} \sqrt{\text{hooks}_s \text{hooks}_s} \cdot \text{Tr}_R (P_{R,(r,s)} \mu P_{R,(t^+,u^-)} \rho^*). \quad (2.11)\]

The computation is now reduced to evaluating the trace \(\text{Tr}_R (P_{R,(r,s)} \mu P_{R,(t^+,u^-)} \rho^*).\) In the next section we will explain how to evaluate this trace in the displaced corners approximation, which is all that is needed to study small deformations of the \(1/2\)-BPS sector of the theory. Later we will explain how to evaluate the trace exactly.

### 2.2 Distant Corners Approximation

When the \(S_m \subset S_{m+n}\) subgroup acts, it swaps labels belonging to \(Y\) boxes in the Young-Yamanouchi pattern filling \(R\). If these boxes are always well separated the action of \(S_m\) is very simple: it acts as the identity if the permutation permutes boxes in the same row, and simply swaps the entries of the boxes if they belong to different rows. To ensure the validity of the approximation we must have \(m \ll n\). In this approximation, the labels \(s, \alpha, \beta\) of a restricted Schur polynomial \(\chi_{R,(r,s)\alpha\beta}(Z,Y)\) with a label \(R\) that has \(p\) rows or columns, can be traded for the labels of a state in an \(SU(p)\) representation. See [20, 4] for further details.

Since we are considering restricted Schur polynomials labeled by a Young diagram \(R\) with at most 2 rows, there is no multiplicity index and we can use the \(SU(2)\) state labels \(j, j^3\) as usual. Denote the length of row \(i\) in \(s\) (respectively \(R, r\)) by \(s_i\) (respectively \(R_i, r_i\)). The translation between the two labels is (see [4], especially Appendix E)

\[
\begin{align*}
s_1 &= \frac{m}{2} + j, & s_2 &= \frac{m}{2} - j, \\
R_1 &= r_1 + \frac{m}{2} + j_3, & R_2 &= r_2 + \frac{m}{2} - j_3. \quad (2.12)
\end{align*}
\]

The computation of the relevant traces now reduces to the computation of \(SU(2)\) Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. For detailed examples of the computations required see [20, 4] and especially [16] which computes precisely the traces that are used here. The result is

\[
\begin{align*}
J_- O^{(n,m)}(r_1, j, j^3) &= A_- O^{(n+1,m-1)}(r_1 + 1, j + \frac{1}{2}, j_3 - \frac{1}{2}) \\
&+ B_- O^{(n+1,m-1)}(r_1 + 1, j - \frac{1}{2}, j_3 - \frac{1}{2}) + C_- O^{(n+1,m-1)}(r_1, j + \frac{1}{2}, j_3 + \frac{1}{2}) \\
&+ D_- O^{(n+1,m-1)}(r_1, j - \frac{1}{2}, j_3 + \frac{1}{2}) \quad (2.13)
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
A_- &= \sqrt{r_1} \sqrt{\frac{m - 2j}{2} \frac{2j + 2}{2j + 1} \frac{j - j_3 + 1}{2j + 2}}, \\
B_- &= \sqrt{r_1} \sqrt{\frac{m + 2j}{2} \frac{2j + 2}{2j + 1} \frac{j + j_3}{2j}}. \quad (2.14, 2.15)
\end{align*}
\]
\[ C_- = \sqrt{r_2} \sqrt{\frac{m - 2j + 2j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{1}{2j + 2}, \quad (2.16) \]

\[ D_- = \sqrt{r_2} \sqrt{\frac{m + 2j + 2j - j_3}{2j + 1}} \frac{1}{2j}. \quad (2.17) \]

These generators do not close the correct \( su(2) \) algebra, although it is correct to the leading order in \( \frac{m}{n} \), as expected \[16\].

### 2.3 \( SU(3) \)

The non-trivial generators we want to study are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Tr} \left( Z \frac{d}{dY} \right) & \quad \text{Tr} \left( Z \frac{d}{dX} \right) \quad \text{Tr} \left( Y \frac{d}{dZ} \right) \quad \text{Tr} \left( Y \frac{d}{dX} \right) \quad \text{Tr} \left( X \frac{d}{dY} \right) \quad \text{Tr} \left( X \frac{d}{dZ} \right). \quad (2.18)
\end{align*}
\]

The computation is almost exactly the same as for the \( su(2) \) generators, so we will only point out what the differences are and quote the final results. First, the identity \[2.5\] must be upgraded. For the case of three complex matrices the statement of the completeness of the restricted Schur polynomials is \[19\]

\[
\text{Tr} (\sigma Z^{\otimes n} \otimes Y^{\otimes m} \otimes X^{\otimes p}) = \sum_{R,(r,s,t)\vec{\alpha}\vec{\beta}} \frac{d_R n! m! p!}{d_r d_s d_t (n + m + p)!} \chi_{R,(r,s,t)\vec{\alpha}\vec{\beta}}(\sigma^{-1}) \chi_{R,(r,s,t)\vec{\beta}\vec{\alpha}}(Z,Y,X). 
\]

Consider the generator

\[
\text{Tr} \left( X \frac{d}{dY} \right) \chi_{R,(r,s,t)\vec{\alpha}\vec{\beta}}(Z,Y,X) = \frac{m}{n! m! p!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+m+p}} \text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s,t)\vec{\alpha}\vec{\beta}} \Gamma^R(\sigma)) \times
\]

\[
\times \sum_{T,(t_1,t_2,t_3)\vec{\delta}\vec{\gamma}} \frac{d_T n!(m-1)!(p+1)!}{d_{t_1} d_{t_2} d_{t_3} (n + m + p)!} \chi_{T,(t_1,t_2,t_3)\vec{\delta}\vec{\gamma}}(\sigma^{-1}) \chi_{T,(t_1,t_2,t_3)\vec{\gamma}\vec{\delta}}(Z,Y,X). 
\]

\[
(2.20)
\]

In the above, \( T \vdash n + m + p, t_1 \vdash n, t_2 \vdash m - 1 \) and \( t_3 \vdash p + 1 \). Carrying out the sum over \( \sigma \) in \[2.20\] using the completeness relation, we find

\[
\text{Tr} \left( X \frac{d}{dY} \right) \chi_{R,(r_1,r_2,r_3)\vec{\alpha}\vec{\beta}}(Z,Y,X) = \frac{m}{n! m! p!} \sum_{(t_1,t_2,t_3)\vec{\delta}\vec{\gamma}} (m-1)! n!(p+1)! \frac{1}{d_{t_1} d_{t_2} d_{t_3}} \text{Tr} \left( P_{R,(r_1,r_2,r_3)\vec{\alpha}\vec{\beta}} P_{R,(t_1,t_2,t_3)\vec{\delta}\vec{\gamma}} \right) \chi_{R,(t_1,t_2,t_3)\vec{\gamma}\vec{\delta}}(Z,Y,X). 
\]

\[
(2.21)
\]

To evaluate this generator, we need to evaluate the trace

\[
\text{Tr} \left( P_{R,(r)\vec{\alpha}\vec{\beta}} P_{R,(t)\vec{\delta}\vec{\gamma}} \right). 
\]

\[
(2.22)
\]
Since the boxes associated to the Schur polynomial \( \chi_{R,(r,s,t)}(Z,Y,X) \) to SU(2) state labels is as follows
\[
\begin{align*}
t_1 &= \frac{p}{2} + k, \quad t_2 = \frac{p}{2} - k, \\
s_1 &= \frac{m}{2} + j, \quad s_2 = \frac{m}{2} - j, \\
R_1 &= r_1 + \frac{m+p}{2} + j_3 + k_3, \quad R_2 = r_2 + \frac{m+p}{2} - j_3 - k_3.
\end{align*}
\]

There is a point worth noting here: the above labels may appear to be over complete. Indeed, given \( n, m, p \) as well as \( r, k, j, k_3 + j_3 \) we can reconstruct the Young diagram labels \( R, r, s, t \). It seems that we need only the sum \( k_3 + j_3 \) and not the individual values \( j_3, k_3 \). The point is that, even when \( R \) has two rows, when we restrict \( S_{p+m+n} \) to \( S_p \times S_m \times S_n \) we do need a multiplicity label. Specifying \( k_3 \) and \( j_3 \) independently resolves the multiplicity. The simplest way to see this is to note that we can first restrict \( S_{p+m+n} \) to \( S_p \times S_m \times S_n \) without multiplicity, and then restrict \( S_{m+n} \) to \( S_m \times S_n \), again without multiplicity. The first restriction introduces \((k,k_3)\) and the second \((j,j_3)\).

In the distant corners approximation, the computation of the traces needed to compute the generators is again reduced to the computation of SU(2) Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. Since the boxes associated to the \( X \) fields (organized by Young diagram \( t \vdash p \)) are removed first, the generators \( \text{Tr}(Z \frac{d}{\partial Y}) \) and \( \text{Tr}(Y \frac{d}{\partial Y}) \) are unchanged from the formulas we obtained above. If we compute the action of \( \text{Tr}(X \frac{d}{\partial Y}) \), the action of any other generator can be computed by taking the hermitian conjugate or by using the \( su(3) \) algebra. Consequently, we only need (and quote) the action of \( \text{Tr}(X \frac{d}{\partial Y}) \). We find
\[
\text{Tr}(X \frac{d}{\partial Y}) O^{(n,m,p)}_{R,r,j,j_3,k,k_3}
\]
\[
= \frac{j + j_3 + k + k_3 + 1}{2j} \sqrt{\left( \frac{m}{2} + j + 1 \right) \left( \frac{p}{2} + k + 2 \right) \left( \frac{2k+1}{2k+2} \right)} O^{(n,m-1,p+1)}_{R,r,j,j_3,k-\frac{1}{2},k_3+\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
+ \frac{j + j_3 + k - k_3 + 1}{2j} \sqrt{\left( \frac{m}{2} + j + 1 \right) \left( \frac{p}{2} + k - 1 \right) \left( \frac{2k+1}{2k} \right)} O^{(n,m-1,p+1)}_{R,r,j-\frac{1}{2},j_3+\frac{1}{2},k+k_3+\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
+ \frac{j - j_3 + 1 + k + k_3 + 1}{2j+2} \sqrt{\left( \frac{m}{2} - j \right) \left( \frac{2j+2}{2j+1} \right) \left( \frac{p}{2} + k + 2 \right) \left( \frac{2k+1}{2k+2} \right)} O^{(n,m-1,p+1)}_{R,r,j,j_3-\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2},k_3+\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
+ \frac{j - j_3 + 1 + k - k_3 + 1}{2j} \sqrt{\left( \frac{m}{2} - j \right) \left( \frac{2j+2}{2j+1} \right) \left( \frac{p}{2} - k - 1 \right) \left( \frac{2k+1}{2k} \right)} O^{(n,m-1,p+1)}_{R,r,j,j_3-\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2},k_3+\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
+ \frac{j - j_3 + 1 + k - k_3 + 1}{2j} \sqrt{\left( \frac{m}{2} - j \right) \left( \frac{2j+2}{2j+1} \right) \left( \frac{p}{2} + k + 2 \right) \left( \frac{2k+1}{2k+2} \right)} O^{(n,m-1,p+1)}_{R,r,j,j_3-\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2},k_3+\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
+ \frac{j - j_3 + 1 + k + k_3 + 1}{2j+2} \sqrt{\left( \frac{m}{2} + j + 1 \right) \left( \frac{2j+2}{2j+1} \right) \left( \frac{p}{2} - k + 2 \right) \left( \frac{2k+1}{2k+2} \right)} O^{(n,m-1,p+1)}_{R,r,j,j_3-\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2},k_3+\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
+ \frac{j - j_3 + 1 + k + k_3 + 1}{2j+2} \sqrt{\left( \frac{m}{2} + j + 1 \right) \left( \frac{2j+2}{2j+1} \right) \left( \frac{p}{2} + k - 1 \right) \left( \frac{2k+1}{2k+2} \right)} O^{(n,m-1,p+1)}_{R,r,j,j_3-\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2},k_3+\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&+ \frac{j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 2} \frac{k - k_3 + 1}{2k + 1} \sqrt{\left( \frac{m}{2} - j \right)} \frac{2j + 2}{2j + 1} \sqrt{\left( \frac{p}{2} + k + 2 \right)} \frac{2k + 1}{2k + 2} O^{(n,m-1,p+1)}_{R,r,j+\frac{1}{2},j_3+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2},k_3-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+ \frac{j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 2} \frac{k + k_3}{2k + 1} \sqrt{\left( \frac{m}{2} - j \right)} \frac{2j + 2}{2j + 1} \sqrt{\left( \frac{p}{2} - k + 1 \right)} \frac{2k + 1}{2k + 2} O^{(n,m-1,p+1)}_{R,r,j+\frac{1}{2},j_3+\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2},k_3-\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{align*}
\] (2.24)

Once again, the $su(3)$ algebra is not obeyed exactly. It is obeyed to leading order in $\frac{m}{n}$ and $\frac{k}{n}$, which is exactly what we’d expect.

3 Analytic computation of exact $su(2)$ generators

In this section we will compute the matrix elements of $J_-$ exactly. The basic insight we exploit is that, in the case of two rows where we don’t need the multiplicity labels, the projector can be written as

\[
P_{R,(r,s)} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \chi_s(\sigma) \Gamma_R(\sigma). \tag{3.1}
\]

The above projector must be restricted to act on the space of states obtained by labeling the $m$ boxes that must be peeled off of $R$ to leave $r$. In the next four sections we will derive a collection of identities that, when taken together, allow us to compute the trace appearing in the $su(2)$ generators exactly. We are again focusing on operators labeled by Young diagrams with at most two rows or columns.

3.1 Restricted Character Formula

Our computation involves a restricted character that is easily evaluated using the methods developed in [18]. See also [21] for a closely related recent discussion. Introduce indices with the following ranges

\[
I, J = 1, 2, \ldots, m + n, \quad \alpha, \beta = m + 1, m + 2, \ldots, m + n, \quad a, b = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\]

We will compute the restricted character

\[
\chi_{R,(r,s)}(a, \alpha) = \text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s)}(a, \alpha)). \tag{3.2}
\]

Denote the row lengths of these Young diagrams by $R_1, R_2, r_1, r_2$ and $s_1, s_2$. By $\sum_{IJ}(I, J)$ we mean the sum of all distinct two cycles. For $S_n$ this sum runs over $n(n-1)/2$ terms. It is easy to establish the identity

\[
\sum_{a,\alpha}(a, \alpha) = \sum_{IJ}(I, J) - \sum_{ab}(a, b) - \sum_{\alpha\beta}(\alpha, \beta). \tag{3.3}
\]
Recall that the sum over all two cycles is a Casimir with eigenvalue equal to the number of row pairs minus the number of column pairs \[22\]. As a result, since our Young diagrams have two rows, it follows that

\[
\sum_{IJ} \text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s)}(I, J)) = \frac{R_1(R_1 - 1)}{2} + \frac{R_2(R_2 - 1)}{2} - R_2, \tag{3.4}
\]

\[
\sum_{\alpha\beta} \text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s)}(\alpha, \beta)) = \frac{r_1(r_1 - 1)}{2} + \frac{r_2(r_2 - 1)}{2} - r_2, \tag{3.5}
\]

\[
\sum_{ab} \text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s)}(a, b)) = \frac{s_1(s_1 - 1)}{2} + \frac{s_2(s_2 - 1)}{2} - s_2. \tag{3.6}
\]

Taking the trace of \(3.3\) it follows that

\[
\sum_{a,\alpha} \chi_{R,(r,s)}((a, \alpha)) = nm\chi_{R,(r,s)}((m, m + 1)) = 2j^2 - 2j(j + 1) + 2j_3(r_1 - r_2 + 1) + m(r_1 + r_2)d_r d_s \tag{3.7}
\]

which is the formula we were after.

### 3.2 A Simple Trace

The identities we derive in the next two subsections after this one give the answer for the trace over a sum of projectors. In this section we will compute a trace that is simple enough to evaluate exactly. This trace, together with the identities we obtain, allow us to determine all of the remaining traces.

We want to compute

\[
T = \text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s)}P_{R,(r^+,s^-)}) = \sum_i \langle R,(r,s); i| P_{R,(r^+,s^-)} | R,(r,s); i \rangle \tag{3.8}
\]

with \(R \vdash n + m, r \vdash n, r^+ \vdash n + 1, s \vdash m\) and \(s^- \vdash m - 1\). One way of embedding \(S_n\) irrep \(r\) within \(S_{n+m}\) irrep \(R\) is to remove boxes from \(R\) to obtain \(r\). Assume that we remove \(m_1\) boxes from the first row of \(R\) and \(m_2\) boxes from the second row of \(R\). The first basic trace we will compute assumes that \(r^+\) is given by adding one box to the second row of \(r\). The Young diagram labeling \(s\) is a single row of \(m\) boxes. The Young diagram labeling \(s^-\) is a single row of \(m - 1\) boxes. It is clear that the only states that participate on the right hand side of the above equation have box \(m\) (the last box of \(s\) which is the first box of \(r^+\)) in the second row of \(r^+\). Further, only the subspace of \(s\) corresponding to \(s^-\) contributes. Thus,
we need to do the sum over \( i \) making sure that we arrange two things: box \( m \) must sit in the second row and we must project to \( s^- \). Projecting to \( s^- \) is easy: we know how to construct a projector that will accomplish this. To fix box \( m \), note that the last box of \( s \) can be in the first row or the second row. By fixing the content of this box we can ensure its in the second row. This content is measured by the Jucys-Murphy element which lives in the \( S_m \) group algebra [22]. Using this element we can construct the operator

\[
\left[ \frac{r_1 - \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n}(m,i)}{r_1 - r_2 + 1} \right]
\]

(3.9)

which gives 1 when acting on states for which the last box of \( r^+ \) is in the second row and zero when the last box of \( r^+ \) is in the first row. Clearly then

\[
T = \sum_i \langle R, (r, s); i | \left[ \frac{r_1 - \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n}(m,i)}{r_1 - r_2 + 1} \right] \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m-1}} \chi_{s^-}(\sigma) \Gamma_R(\sigma) | R, (r, s); i \rangle
\]

\[
= \sum_i \langle R, (r, s); i | \left[ \frac{r_1 - \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n}(m,i)}{r_1 - r_2 + 1} \right] \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m-1}} \Gamma_R(\sigma) | R, (r, s); i \rangle
\]

\[
= \sum_i \langle R, (r, s); i | \left[ \frac{r_1 - \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n}(m,i)}{r_1 - r_2 + 1} \right] | R, (r, s); i \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{r_1 d_r d_s}{r_1 - r_2 + 1} - n \chi_{R,(r,s)}((m,m+1))
\]

\[
= \frac{m - 2j_3}{4m} \left[ 2 + \frac{m + 2j_3}{r_1 - r_2 + 1} \right] d_r d_s
\]

(3.10)

where to obtain the second line we use \( \chi_{s^-}(\sigma) = 1 \), to obtain the third line we use the fact that state \( | R, (r, s); i \rangle \) belongs to an irrep of \( S_n \times S_m \), to obtain the fourth line we use the fact that in irrep \( s \) we have \( \Gamma_s(\sigma) = 1 \) and to obtain the last line use the formula for the restricted character derived in the previous section.

The second basic trace that we will need arises when \( r^+ \) is given by adding one box to row 1 of \( r \). The second basic trace is easily computed, in exactly the same way, to be

\[
T = \left[ \frac{m + 2j_3}{2m} - \frac{(m^2 - 4(j_3)^2)}{4m(r_1 - r_2 + 1)} \right] d_r d_s.
\]

(3.11)

### 3.3 Identities for the trace of a sum of projectors

We will derive two identities in this section. To obtain the first identity, assume \( r^+ \) is given by adding a box to row 2 of \( r \). It is trivial to obtain the formula for \( r^+ \) given by adding a
box to row 1. The generic $s$ subduces two possible $s^-$: $s_1^-$ and $s_2^-$, where $s_1^-$ is obtained by dropping a box from row 1 of $s$ and $s_2^-$ is obtained by dropping a box from row 2 of $s$. It is now rather straightforward to compute (we use $s = s_1^- \oplus s_2^-$ below)

$$T = \sum_{K=1}^{2} \text{Tr} \left( P_{R,(r,s)} P_{R,(r+,s^-)} \right)$$

$$= \sum_i \langle R, (r,s); i \rangle \left[ \frac{r_1 - \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} (m,i)}{r_1 - r_2 + 1} \right] \times$$

$$\times \sum K \left[ \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m-1}} \chi_{s^- K}^R(\sigma) \Gamma_R(\sigma) \right] |R, (r,s); i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_i \langle R, (r,s); i \rangle \left[ \frac{r_1 - \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} (m,i)}{r_1 - r_2 + 1} \right] \times$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \chi_s(\sigma) \Gamma_R(\sigma) \right] |R, (r,s); i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_i \langle R, (r,s); i \rangle \left[ \frac{r_1 - \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} (m,i)}{r_1 - r_2 + 1} \right] |R, (r,s); i \rangle$$

$$= \left[ \frac{m - 2 j_3}{2m} + \frac{2 j (j+1) - 2 j_3^2 - m}{2m(r_1 - r_2 + 1)} \right] d_r d_s. \tag{3.12}$$

This is the first sum identity we wanted to prove.

For the second sum identity, we start by noting that the generic $s^- \vdash m - 1$ can be subduced, by two possible representations $s_1$ and $s_2$. If you drop a box from row 1 of $s_1$ you get $s^-$ and if you drop a box from row 2 of $s_2$ you get $s^-$. We want to compute

$$T = \sum_{J=1}^{2} \text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s)} P_{R,(r+,s^-)}). \tag{3.13}$$

We can easily extend the sum above to a sum over all the possible $s$: since the only irreps that can subduce $s^-$ are $s_1$ and $s_2$, the additional terms all vanish. Now,

$$\sum_s P_{R,(r,s)} \tag{3.14}$$

projects us from $R$ to $r$. Thus, the product $P_{R,(r,s)} P_{R,(r+,s^-)}$ projects us to $r \oplus \Box \oplus s^-$ and hence

$$T = \sum_{J=1}^{2} \text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s)} P_{R,(r+,s^-)}) = d_r d_s. \tag{3.15}$$

### 3.4 Example of how the trace identities are used

Using the two basic traces and the two basic sum identities, we can compute any trace we want. This is easily illustrated with an example. Imagine we remove 3 box es from row 1 and
2 boxes from row 2 of $R$ to get $r$. The possible projectors are

$$P_1 = P_{\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
13 & 14 & 15 & 16
\end{array}},$$

(3.16)

$$P_2 = P_{\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
13 & 14 & 15 & 16
\end{array}},$$

(3.17)

$$P_3 = P_{\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
13 & 14 & 15 & 16
\end{array}}.$$  

(3.18)

For the second set of projectors imagine that we remove 3 boxes from row 1 and 1 box from row 2 of $R$ to get $r^+$. The possible projectors are

$$P_A = P_{\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
13 & 14 & 15 & 16
\end{array}},$$

(3.19)

$$P_B = P_{\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
13 & 14 & 15 & 16
\end{array}}.$$  

(3.20)

We compute $\text{Tr}(P_1 P_A)$ using our basic trace. Given this, we compute $\text{Tr}(P_2 P_A)$ using our second sum identity. Given this, we compute $\text{Tr}(P_2 P_B)$ using our first sum identity. Given this, we compute $\text{Tr}(P_3 P_B)$ using our second sum identity. Since the trace of any other product of projectors vanishes, this is the complete set.

### 3.5 Exact results for the $su(2)$ generators

We will shift from the $R, (r, s)$ notation to the $r_1, j, j_3, n, m$ notation. The exact results for the traces we need are

$$\text{Tr} \left( P(r_1, j, j_3, n, m) P(r_1, j - \frac{1}{2}, j_3 + \frac{1}{2}, n + 1, m - 1) \right)$$

$$= \left[ j - j_3 + \frac{j^2 - j_3^2}{2j} \right] d_r d_s.$$  

(3.21)

$$\text{Tr} \left( P(r_1, j, j_3, n, m) P(r_1, j + \frac{1}{2}, j_3 + \frac{1}{2}, n + 1, m - 1) \right)$$

$$= \left[ j + j_3 + 1 \right] - \frac{(j + 1)^2 - j_3^2}{(2j + 2)(r_1 - r_2 + 1)} d_r d_s.$$  

(3.22)

$$\text{Tr} \left( P(r_1, j, j_3, n, m) P(r_1 + 1, j - \frac{1}{2}, j_3 - \frac{1}{2}, n + 1, m - 1) \right)$$

$$= \left[ j + j_3 \right] - \frac{j^2 - j_3^2}{2j} \left[ r_1 - r_2 + 1 \right] d_r d_s.$$  

(3.23)
The traces are all that is needed for the construction of the exact matrix elements of $J_-$.
These matrix elements are

$$J_-(n,m) = A_-(n,m) + B_-(n,m) + C_-(n,m) + D_-(n,m)$$

where

$$A_- = \sqrt{\frac{(r_1 + 2)(r_1 - r_2 + 1)}{r_1 - r_2 + 2}} \sqrt{\frac{m - 2j + 2}{2}} \frac{2j + 1}{2j + 1} \left[ \frac{j - j_3 + 1}{2j + 2} + \frac{(j + 1)^2 - j_3^2}{(2j + 2)(r_1 - r_2 + 1)} \right]$$

$$B_- = \sqrt{\frac{(r_1 + 2)(r_1 - r_2 + 1)}{r_1 - r_2 + 2}} \sqrt{\frac{m + 2j + 2}{2}} \frac{2j}{2j + 1} \left[ \frac{j + j_3}{2j} - \frac{j^2 - j_3^2}{2j(r_1 - r_2 + 1)} \right]$$

$$C_- = \sqrt{\frac{(r_2 + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 1)}{r_1 - r_2}} \sqrt{\frac{m - 2j + 2}{2}} \frac{2j + 1}{2j + 1} \left[ \frac{j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 2} - \frac{(j + 1)^2 - j_3^2}{(2j + 2)(r_1 - r_2 + 1)} \right]$$

$$D_- = \sqrt{\frac{(r_2 + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 1)}{r_1 - r_2}} \sqrt{\frac{m + 2j + 2}{2}} \frac{2j}{2j + 1} \left[ \frac{j - j_3}{2j} + \frac{j^2 - j_3^2}{2j(r_1 - r_2 + 1)} \right]$$

The action of $J_3$ is particularly simple

$$J_3 O(n,m)(r_1, j, j_3) = (m - n) O(n,m)(r_1, j, j_3).$$

To get the matrix elements of $J_+$ we can dagger the above expressions. In a bra-ket notation the relevant formula is

$$\langle n + 1, r^+, m - 1, j', j'_3 | J_+ | n, r, m, j, j_3 \rangle = \langle n, r, m, j, j_3 | J_- | n + 1, r^+, m - 1, j', j'_3, p + 1 \rangle.$$
4 Analytic Computation of $su(3)$ generators

In this section we compute the matrix elements of the $su(3)$ generators exactly. For the $su(2)$ algebra, we only needed to compute $J_-$. We obtain $J_+$ by taking the hermitian conjugate of $J_-$. The action of $J_3$ follows from the algebra. For the $su(3)$ algebra we again only need to compute a single generator. First, it follows that since the boxes associated to the $X$ fields (organized by Young diagram $t \vdash p$) are removed first, the generators $\text{Tr} (Z \frac{d}{dx})$ and $\text{Tr} (Y \frac{d}{dx})$ are unchanged from the formulas we obtained for $su(2)$. We will compute the action of $\text{Tr} (X \frac{d}{dx})$. The action of $\text{Tr} (Y \frac{d}{dx})$ follows from this by taking the hermitian conjugate and the action of any other generator can then be computed using the $su(3)$ algebra. Consequently, we only need the action of $\text{Tr} (X \frac{d}{dx})$.

The approach that worked for the $su(2)$ algebra can be used to compute the $su(3)$ generators. In particular, there are again identities we can derive for traces over sums of projectors, and specific matrix elements that we are able to compute exactly. These identities then completely determine the traces and hence the generator in general. In the end however, the final results have been verified by checking that the generators reduce to the displaced corners result, close the correct algebra and give the correct action for small values of $m$, $n$ and $p$ where the action can be computed explicitly.

In what follows we freely move between the $R, R/p, (r, s, t)$ and $R, r, j_3, k_3$ labeling of our operators. For concrete final results, to improve the clarity of our discussion, we will denote the number of $Z$s ($n$), the number of $Y$s ($m$) and the number of $X$s ($p$) using a superscript.

4.1 Tensor Product Structure of the Problem

The states of irrep $(r, s, t)$ of $S_n \times S_m \times S_p$ are obtained in the carrier space of irrep $R$ of $S_{n+m+p}$, by associating boxes inside $R$ with $r$ ($Z$ boxes), $s$ ($Y$ boxes) or $t$ ($X$ boxes). These three species of boxes are filled independently as follows: $X$ boxes are filled with the labels $1, 2, ..., p$, $Y$ boxes with $p+1, p+2, ..., p+m$ and $Z$ boxes with $p+m+1, p+m+2, ..., p+m+n$. Each species is filled independently of the others. It is clear that each vector belonging to this basis is the tensor product of a $Z$ vector, a $Y$ vector and an $X$ vector. The $Z$ boxes are already organized according to $r$. Thus, we can write our projector as

\[
P_{R,(r,s,t)} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \chi_s(\sigma) \Gamma_R(\sigma) \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\tau \in S_p} \chi_t(\tau) \Gamma_R(\tau)
\]

\[
\equiv 1^R_t \otimes P^R_s \otimes P^R_t
\]

where we have restricted this operator to the subspace spanned by states filled as we just described and $S_m$ acts on $p+1, p+2, ..., p+m$ while $S_p$ acts on $1, 2, ..., p$. The tensor product

\footnote{Including $R/p$ as a label is equivalent to specifying $j_3$ and $k_3$ separately. See the comment after (2.23).}
structure is also evident in this projector. To compute the trace
\[
\text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s,t)}^{n,m,p} P_{R,(r,s-\cdot t+)}^{n,m-1,p+1}) = \text{Tr}(1_R \otimes P_s^R \otimes P_t^R 1_\mathbb{R} \otimes P_s^R \otimes P_t^R)
\]  
we can decompose $P_s^R$ into a sum of 2 projectors onto $S_{m-1}$ irreps
\[
P_s^R = \alpha_1 P_{s_1'}^R + \alpha_2 P_{s_2'}^R
\]  
and $P_{t_2}^R$ into a sum of 2 projectors onto $S_p$ irreps
\[
P_{t_2}^R = \beta_1 P_{t_1'}^R + \beta_2 P_{t_2'}^R.
\]
Our notation uses $T'_i$ to denote the Young diagram obtained by dropping a box from row $i$ of $T$. Assuming, for example, that $s_1' = s^-$ and $t_2' = t$ we find
\[
\text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s,t)}^{n,m,0} P_{R,(r,s-\cdot t+)}^{n,m-1,1}) = d_r d_s d_t \alpha_1 \beta_2.
\]  
Clearly then, our traces are determined once we have understood how to decompose the projectors. The problems (4.3) and (4.4) are independent of each other. The problem of determining the decomposition (4.4), can be understood by studying the $S_{n+m+p} \rightarrow S_{n+m} \times S_p$ problem. This shows that the results of the decomposition (4.4) can be read straight from the $su(2)$ trace results of the previous section (formulas (3.21), (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24)), after replacing $r_1 - r_2 \rightarrow r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3$. Thus, we only need to study the decomposition (4.3).

### 4.2 Identities for the trace of a sum of projectors

Since the two decompositions (4.4) and (4.3) are independent of each other, when studying the problem (4.3) we can simplify things enormously by setting $p = 0$. Consequently, we need only study the problem of computing
\[
\text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s,t)}^{n,m,0} P_{R,(r,s-\cdot t+)}^{n,m-1,1})
\]
This collection of traces can again be computed by deriving two trace identities as we did for the $su(2)$ problem. The simplest identity, derived exactly as for the $su(2)$ case, is
\[
\sum_s \text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s,t)}^{n,m,0} P_{R,(r,s-\cdot t+)}^{n,m-1,1}) = d_r d_s d_t \alpha_1 \beta_2.
\]  
The second identity we need follows from considering
\[
\sum_{s^-} \text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s)}^{n,m,0} P_{R,(r,s^-\cdot t-)\square}^{n,m-1,1}) = \text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,s)}^{n,m,0} \hat{C})
\]
Assume for concreteness that $\square$ in $P_{R,(r,s^-\cdot t-)\square}^{n,m-1,1}$ sits in the first row of $R$. On the LHS of the above equation, since we sum over all $s^-$, the sum $\sum_{s^-} P_{R,(r,s^-\cdot t-)\square}^{n,m-1,1}$ projects the $Z$ boxes to $r$
and the first box (corresponding to □) to the right most box of the first row of $R$. Since $P_{R,r,s}^{n,m,0}$ already projects the $Z$ boxes to $r$, the net affect is that $\sum_{s^-} P_{R,r,s}^{n,m-1,1}$ projects □ to the right most box of the first row of $R$. Arguing exactly as we did for the $su(2)$ problem, we can accomplish this using a Jucys-Murphy element. Denote the number of boxes removed from row $i$ of $R$ to obtain $r$ by $m_i$. A simple argument now shows that we can write $\hat{C}$ as follows

$$\hat{C} = \sum_{i=2}^{m+n} (i, 1) - (r_2 + m_2 - 2)$$

With this form we have

$$\text{Tr}(P_{R,r,s}^{n,m,0} \hat{C}) = \frac{d_r \chi_s((m, m-1))(m-1) + n\chi_{R,(r,s)}(m, m+1) - (r_2 + m_2 - 2)d_r d_s}{r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1}$$

This is very similar to what we had before, and we see that the same restricted character appears. A new feature is the appearance of an $S_m$ character, which is easily evaluated using the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule\cite{23} (recall that $s = 2j$)

$$\chi_s((m, m-1)) = d_s \frac{2j + 2j^2 + m}{m(m-1)}$$

This is everything we need to evaluate (4.10). In the end we find

$$\sum_{s^-} \text{Tr}(P_{R,r,s}^{n,m,0} P_{R,r,s}^{n,m-1,1}) = d_r d_s \left[ \frac{m + 2j_3}{2m} + \frac{2j(j + 1) - 2j_3^2 - m}{2m(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1)} \right].$$

Remarkably, these are exactly the same identities we had from the $su(2)$ problem, except that $r_1 - r_2$ is replaced with $r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3$, which is very natural. There are only two non-zero terms on the LHS of the identities (4.10) and (4.12).

### 4.3 Simple traces

There are a number of traces that are simple enough that they can be computed immediately. The traces

$$\text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,j,k,k)}^{n,m,p} P_{R,(r,j-1,k+1,k+1)}^{n,m-1,p+1}) = d_r$$

$$\text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,j,-j,k,-k)}^{n,m,p} P_{R,(r,j-1,-j+1,k+1,-k-1)}^{n,m-1,p+1}) = d_r$$

follow because the subspaces we project to are the same for both projectors appearing in the trace. For the special case that $r_1 = r_2$, the $Y$ boxes are already organized in an irrep so that we reduce to the formulas we obtained for the $su(2)$ case. The traces we obtain in this way are as follows

$$\text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,j,k,k)}^{n,m,p} P_{R,(r,j-\frac{1}{2},j-\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})}^{n,m+1,p-1}) = d_r d_s d_t \left[ \frac{k - k_3}{2k} + \frac{k_3^2}{2k(2j + 1)} \right].$$
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\[
\text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,j,k),k}^{n,m,p} P_{R,(r,j,k),k}^{n,m+1,p-1}) = d_r d_s d_t \left[ \frac{k + k_3 + 1}{2k} - \frac{(k + 1)^2 - k_3^2}{2k(2j + 2)(2j + 1)} \right], \tag{4.16}
\]

\[
\text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,j,k,k),k}^{n,m,p} P_{R,(r,j,k,k),k}^{n,m+1,p-1}) = d_r d_s d_t \left[ \frac{k + k_3}{2k} - \frac{k^2 - k_3^2}{2k(2j + 1)} \right], \tag{4.17}
\]

\[
\text{Tr}(P_{R,(r,j,j,k),k}^{n,m,p} P_{R,(r,j,j,k),k}^{n,m+1,p-1}) = d_r d_s d_t \left[ \frac{k - k_3 + 1}{2k} + \frac{(k + 1)^2 - k_3^2}{2k(2j + 2)(2j + 1)} \right]. \tag{4.18}
\]

We now have enough to write the general results.

### 4.4 Exact Result for the \( su(3) \) Generators

The exact results for the traces we need are

\[
\text{Tr}(P_{r_1,j,j_3,k,k}^{n,m,p} P_{r_1,j,j_3+1,p-1}^{n,m+1,j_3-\frac{1}{2} k,j,k_3-\frac{1}{2}}) = d_r d_s d_t \left[ \frac{k + k_3 + 1}{2k} - \frac{k^2 - k_3^2}{2k(2j + 2)(2j + 1)} \right] \times \left[ \frac{j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 1} + \frac{(j + 1)^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1)} \right] \tag{4.19}
\]

\[
\text{Tr}(P_{r_1,j,j_3,k,k}^{n,m,p} P_{r_1,j,j_3+1,p-1}^{n,m+1,j_3+\frac{1}{2} k,j,k_3-\frac{1}{2}}) = d_r d_s d_t \left[ \frac{k + k_3}{2k} - \frac{k^2 - k_3^2}{2k(2j + 1)} \right] \times \left[ \frac{j - j_3}{2j + 1} - \frac{(j + 1)^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 2)} \right] \tag{4.20}
\]

\[
\text{Tr}(P_{r_1,j,j_3,k,k}^{n,m,p} P_{r_1,j,j_3+1,p-1}^{n,m+1,j_3,\frac{1}{2} k,j,k_3-\frac{1}{2}}) = d_r d_s d_t \left[ \frac{k - k_3 + 1}{2k} + \frac{(k + 1)^2 - k_3^2}{(2k + 2)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1)} \right] \times \left[ \frac{j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 1} + \frac{(j + 1)^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 2)} \right] \tag{4.21}
\]

\[
\text{Tr}(P_{r_1,j,j_3,k,k}^{n,m,p} P_{r_1,j,j_3+1,p-1}^{n,m+1,j_3+\frac{1}{2} k,j,k_3-\frac{1}{2}}) = d_r d_s d_t \left[ \frac{k - k_3}{2k} + \frac{k^2 - k_3^2}{2k(2j + 2)(2j + 1)} \right] \times \left[ \frac{j - j_3}{2j + 1} - \frac{(j + 1)^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 2)} \right] \tag{4.22}
\]

\[
\text{Tr}(P_{r_1,j,j_3,k,k}^{n,m,p} P_{r_1,j,j_3+1,p-1}^{n,m+1,j_3,\frac{1}{2} k,j,k_3+\frac{1}{2}}) = d_r d_s d_t \left[ \frac{k - k_3}{2k} + \frac{k^2 - k_3^2}{2k(2j + 2)(2j + 1)} \right] \times \left[ \frac{j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 1} + \frac{(j + 1)^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 2)} \right] \tag{4.23}
\]
Using these results we find that the exact matrix elements of $\text{Tr}$

\[
\text{Tr}(P_{r_1,j_3,k,k_3}^{n,m,p+1} P_{r_1,j_3,k,k_3}^{n,m,p-1}) = d_r d_s d_l \left[ \frac{k - k_3}{2k} \right] + \frac{k^2 - k_3^2}{2k(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1) + \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (j_3 - \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3)} \right] 
\]

(4.24)

\[
\text{Tr}(P_{r_1,j_3,k,k_3}^{n,m,p} P_{r_1,j_3,k,k_3}^{n,m,p+1}) = d_r d_s d_l \left[ \frac{k + k_3 + 1}{2k + 2} \right] - \frac{(k + 1)^2 - k_3^2}{(2k + 2)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1) + \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (j_3 - \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3)} \right] 
\]

(4.25)

and

\[
\text{Tr}(P_{r_1,j_3,k,k_3}^{n,m,p} P_{r_1,j_3,k,k_3}^{n,m,p-1}) = d_r d_s d_l \left[ \frac{k + k_3 + 1}{2k + 2} \right] - \frac{(k + 1)^2 - k_3^2}{(2k + 2)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1) + \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (j_3 - \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3)} \right] 
\]

(4.26)

Using these results we find that the exact matrix elements of $\text{Tr} \left( \frac{d}{dX} \right)$ are

\[
\text{Tr} \left( \frac{d}{dX} \right) O_{r_1,j_3,k,k_3}^{n,m,p} = \sum_{a,b,c,d=-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} C(a, b, c, d) O_{r_1,j_3,k,k_3}^{n,m,p-1} 
\]

(4.27)

where

\[
C(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{p + 2k + 2}{2k + 1}} \left[ \frac{k + k_3}{2k} - \frac{k^2 - k_3^2}{2k(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1)} \right] \times \frac{m + 2j + 4j + 1}{2} \left[ \frac{j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 1} + \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 2)} \right] 
\]

(4.28)

\[
C(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{p + 2k + 2}{2k + 1}} \left[ \frac{k + k_3}{2k} - \frac{k^2 - k_3^2}{2k(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1)} \right] \times \frac{m - 2j + 2j + 1}{2} \left[ \frac{j - j_3}{2j + 1} - \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 2)} \right] 
\]

(4.29)

\[
C(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{p - 2k}{2k + 1}} \left[ \frac{k - k_3 + 1}{2k + 2} + \frac{(k + 1)^2 - k_3^2}{2k + 2)}(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1) \right] \times \frac{m + 2j + 4j + 1}{2} \left[ \frac{j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 1} + \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 2)} \right] 
\]

(4.30)
plex adjoint scalar fields. A key ingredient has been appreciating that there is a natural

\[ C(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{p - 2k + 2}{2k + 1}} \left[ \frac{k - k_3 + 1}{2k + 2} + \frac{(k + 1)^2 - k_3^2}{(2k + 2)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1)} \right] \]

\[ \times \sqrt{\frac{m - 2j + 2j + 1}{2j}} \left[ \frac{j - j_3 + 1}{2j + 1} - \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1)} \right] \]  

(4.31)

\[ C(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{p + 2k + 2}{2k + 1}} \left[ \frac{k - k_3}{2k} + \frac{k^2 - k_3^2}{2k(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1)} \right] \]

\[ \times \sqrt{\frac{m + 2j + 4j + 1}{2j + 2}} \left[ \frac{j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 1} - \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3)} \right] \]  

(4.32)

\[ C(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{p + 2k + 2}{2k + 1}} \left[ \frac{k - k_3}{2k} + \frac{k^2 - k_3^2}{2k(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1)} \right] \]

\[ \times \sqrt{\frac{m - 2j + 2j + 1}{2j}} \left[ \frac{j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 1} - \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3)} \right] \]

(4.33)

\[ C(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{p - 2k + 2}{2k + 1}} \left[ \frac{k + k_3 + 1}{2k + 2} - \frac{(k + 1)^2 - k_3^2}{(2k + 2)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1)} \right] \]

\[ \times \sqrt{\frac{m + 2j + 4j + 1}{2j + 2}} \left[ \frac{j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 1} - \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3)} \right] \]  

(4.34)

and

\[ C(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{p - 2k + 2}{2k + 1}} \left[ \frac{k + k_3 + 1}{2k + 2} - \frac{(k + 1)^2 - k_3^2}{(2k + 2)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3 + 1)} \right] \]

\[ \times \sqrt{\frac{m - 2j + 2j + 1}{2j}} \left[ \frac{j + j_3 + 1}{2j + 1} + \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (j_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2}{(2j + 1)(r_1 - r_2 + 2j_3)} \right] \]  

(4.35)

The complete set of generators can now easily be obtained by hermitian conjugation and by using the \( su(3) \) algebra. It is straightforward to check the above traces numerically, to check that the generators reduce to the displaced corners generators in the correct limit, to explicitly check their action for small values of \( n, m, p \) and to verify that they close the correct algebra. This achieves the aim of this article.

5 Discussion

In this article we developed techniques that allow the computation of the exact structure of infinitesimal \( su(3) \) rotations of restricted Schur polynomials constructed using three complex adjoint scalar fields. A key ingredient has been appreciating that there is a natural
tensor product structure to the calculation which reduces the problem to determining the decomposition of a projection operator under a subgroup. This problem has been effectively handled using Jucys-Murphy elements and the same methods can be applied to determine the action of \( su(\cdot) \) global rotations acting on fermionic fields in restricted Schur operators constructed using both fermions and bosons\(^{19}\).

Our main motivation in this article has been to explore the action of the dilatation operator, along the lines suggested in \(^{16}\). Indeed, using the displaced corners approximation \(^{16}\) constructed the \( su(2) \) generators. The requirement that these generators commute with the dilatation operator gives a set of recursion relations that can be solved after some input from perturbative field theory is given. The results reproduce the known one and two loop results and provide definite predictions for the higher loop structure of the dilatation operator. These results are in perfect harmony with expectations following from \( su(2|2) \) symmetry \(^{24,25}\) supporting their validity. The displaced corners approximation necessarily takes the number of \( Y \) fields to be very much less than the number of \( Z \) field so that the analysis carried out in \(^{16}\) is necessarily restricted to small deformations of the half-BPS sector. The generators constructed in this paper are exact and thus the requirement that these generators commute with the dilatation operator will lead to a new set of recursion relations that are generally valid. A key insight of \(^{16}\) was that in the large \( N \) limit, in the displaced corners approximation, \( j_3 \) is conserved by the dilatation operator. This conservation law was further identified with the absence of open string splitting at large \( N \). To solve the new set of recursion relations, it will be necessary to construct a similar understanding of how the simplicity of large \( N \) manifests itself.

The tensor product structure we have uncovered in this article is also present in operators constructed using the Brauer algebra \(^{26,27,28}\). The lessons learned in this article should be valuable for the construction of the action of global symmetry generators on the Brauer basis too. The construction of the global symmetry generators when acting on the basis developed in \(^{29,30}\) is likely to be straightforward, given the fact that this basis is constructed so that it has good global quantum numbers. The construction of these generators may provide an approach to the study of the spectrum of the dilatation operator in these bases, an important problem that has not been explored much.
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