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Abstract

Arising with increasing security issues in highly aggregated tourist crowds (HATCs), widespread attention has been dedicated to security status. Assessing and forecasting the security status of HATCs in various situations related to tourist destinations is an important strategy of security management. Thus, this study constructed a system dynamic flow diagram for the security evaluation of HATCs. The relevant data were collected on perceptions of crowded tourists through questionnaires at Tianyou Peak during China’s National Day (Golden Week Holiday). Additionally, efforts were made to conduct online surveys at Shanghai Disney Park and Shilin Night Market in Taipei, since crowding always occurs in these two areas. Empirical results based on Vensim software suggest that HATC status is the result of the coupling of various influencing factors and the result of the benign coupling of the three subsystems: multi-source pressure, state variation, and management response. HATC security presents a changing trend of “increase-decrease-recovery”. Differences exist in the changes of HATC security status in different spaces and at different time nodes. The findings also indicated that HATCs that appear in the daytime are more stable than HATCs that appear at special time nodes. This study highlighted that the security management of HATCs should focus on systematization, differentiation, and precision management.

1. Introduction

Modern society is becoming more crowded, and the incidents caused by it are increasing [1–3]. Due to the negative impacts on security, satisfaction, and loyalty, crowding has received growing research attention [4–7]. With economic development, the number of tourists has grown rapidly, leading to complex crowding issues [6,8]. Especially in China, due to the popularity of tourism activities and the concentration of tourist time [9], a large number of tourists easily gather at the entrances/exits of scenic areas, transfer stations in scenic areas, popular scenic spots, ticket windows, and tourist centers, forming highly aggregated tourist crowds (HATCs). Zeitz et al. [10] argued that the terms “crowd” and “mass gathering” usually are used
interchangeably in previous studies, which were defined as an organized event occurring in a defined space with many people attending [11]. Concerning the definition of tourists, they are net consumers of economic resources within the regions visited [12]. Combining the definition of crowd and tourists, we argue that the HATC is a unique and dense crowd of more than 50 tourists in a special space with a density higher than 2 people/m² [13–15]. HATCs have become a special tourist group for tourism research [16,17].

HATCs and overcrowding issues can induce various negative effects, such as water pollution [18], environmental destruction [19], and service facility destruction [20]. Crowded and dense environments can cause confusion and frustration [4]. Furthermore, overcrowding can even lead to conflicts in society [21] and threaten tourists’ safety [8]. Hence, HATCs have become an important part of destination security management [22] and have presented enormous challenges for emergency preparedness [23]. Concerning crowd management, it is effective to introduce management interventions before congestion [24]. Management interventions can become a prerequisite for a clear understanding of what security status HATCs have. As such, HATC security becomes a consideration for destination development [25]. Due to the spatial attributes of crowd management, previous research has focused on crowds in different environments, such as mountains [26], beaches [27,28], underwater environments [29], urban cities [7,16,17,30], heritage sites [31,32], national parks [21,33–35], theme parks [36], festival sites [37], and cruise ships [4,38]. However, previous research on crowd management was criticized as being limited to a single environment and lacking mutual comparison. Efforts to compare with different situations can contribute to early warning and security management, which is essential because risks appear in various situations and should be treated differently.

To bridge the research gaps, we explored the security assessment and forecasting of HATCs in different regions and provided references for the security management of HATCs. Specifically, this paper endeavors to address three issues. First, we assess and predict the security status of HATCs. Yin et al. [39] qualitatively proposed the occurrence mechanism and coping paths of incidents of HATCs while failed to examine, evaluate, and forecast the safety status of HATCs in different situations. The HATC, as a form of the crowd, is a living system [3,40]. Hence, it is necessary to quantitatively assess and forecast HATC security status for management interventions. Second, by comparing the changes of HATC security in different environments, this study provides rich strategies for security management. Scholars suggest that comparing the security status of different scenarios is critical to HATC management [39]. Therefore, this paper compared and analyzed HATC security in three different places including a mountain, theme park, and night market, which helps to effectively manage HATCs in different situations. Third, we figured out the time nodes for management interventions according to the assessment and simulation results of HATC security status. Early management interventions can affect the tourists’ experience, while late management interventions may be unable to effectively manage HATCs. This research effectively presents the appropriate management intervention time.

The significance of this research can make the following contributions to the existing literature. First, this study employed the system dynamics method to dynamically assess and simulate HATC security status, with an emphasis on the objective evaluation of HATCs rather than subjective crowding perception. Additionally, this study quantitatively confirmed the occurrence mechanism and coping paths of incidents of HATCs proposed previously, which is the further verification for existing research. Second, this paper evaluated and simulated the security status in different situations, broadening our understanding of HATCs, and overcoming the limitations of focusing on one certain environment. Due to the spatial attributes of crowd management, it is important to explore the changes and differences in HATC security. Theoretically, by focusing on the objective security evaluation and simulation of HATCs and comparing the security status in different situations, we can enrich the security knowledge on
HATCs. Practically, it is beneficial for crowd management to assess the security status and forecast changes in HATC security status. Specifically, we revealed the time for adopting management interventions by indicating the early warning times for HATCs in different places, which is very useful for managing the HATCs. Discovering the time node for adopting management interventions can contribute to risk management.

The rest of the paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 displays the relevant literature review. Section 3 presents the research design including the introduction of the method, study sites, and data collection. Section 4 introduces the assessment and simulation results of HATCs in different environments. Section 5 illustrates the conclusions, discussion, implications, and limitations of this research.

2. Literature review

2.1 Tourist crowding

As tourism activities and the number of tourists increase, crowding, as a general phenomenon, has become increasingly frequent. As a result of physical and perceptual impacts on the tourism industry [35], tourist crowding has received widespread concern because it can negatively affect destinations. Previous research on tourist crowding mainly has focused on three issues.

First, scholars noted that the perception of crowding varies in different situations [41,42]. From the time and space factor aspect, tourists would feel higher crowding in hot and dry weather rather than in cool weather [41]. Tourists with higher accessibility to tourism resources would have lower crowding perceptions [42]. Additionally, tourists’ perceived crowding can be affected by environmental factors [43,44]. Heywood and Murdock [43] found that when a large number of tourists stay in a small-size tourism attraction, they would have stronger crowding perceptions. Tourists’ improper behaviors can lead to tourists’ crowding perceptions as well. For instance, uncivilized behaviors including littering and environmental pollution may make people feel more crowded [44].

Second, scholars have noticed the negative effects of tourist crowding [45,46]. For example, previous research mentioned that the more tourists there are in an area, the lower the acceptability levels of tourists [47]. Besides, crowding has a negative impact on the residential quality, visitor experience [7], perceived luxury brand value [4], and satisfaction [26,31].

Third, a number of studies presented that crowding is affected by multiple factors, such as individual preferences [30], tourists’ socio-demographic characteristics, socio-behavioral factors [48], nationality [32], tourism motivation [9], age, and gender [26]. Perceived crowding is a subjective evaluation of the surroundings [49] and is therefore affected by the number or density of visitors [29]. Additionally, queuing and waiting for mass gatherings have a significant negative effect on perceived crowding [26]. Furthermore, overcrowding may reduce the safety of tourists [50] and cause numerous problems in tourism security [8].

2.2 Tourist security

In view of the negative impacts of tourist crowding, tourist security has become an increasing concern to the tourism industry [51,52]. Coupled with the importance of tourism prosperity [53,54], numerous studies have been conducted on risk, safety, and security issues for different types of tourists, such as adventure travelers [35], self-driving tourists [56], international tourists [57,58], international women travelers [59], domestic tourists [60], self-guided tourists [61], backpackers [62,63], and senior tourists [64]. With the normalization and popularization of tourism activities, HATCs appear more and more frequently in destinations, especially in the most populous country in the world—China. Due to a large number of tourists and the
high density of this special tourist group, it is difficult to manage effectively. Nevertheless, tourist security has not received the attention it deserves [3,25,65].

2.3 HATC security

To date, the research on HATC security is still in the initial stage. Previous studies are relatively few and focus on the following two aspects. On the one hand, many studies pay attention to the factors that affect HATC security. HATC is a complex dynamic system, including the three subsystems: the pressure subsystem, state subsystem, and response subsystem [15]. HATC security is affected by multiple factors such as people, facilities, environment, and management [13]. HATC density is an essential factor, and the higher the density, the higher the probability of an incident [25,66]. When HATC density is higher than 5 people/m², the crowd will be stagnant and incidents will be prone to occur [67]. On the other hand, existing research reveals safety management strategies of HATCs, particularly on congestion mitigation [68,69], dynamic adjustment of traveling routes [70], and evacuation strategies [71–73]. Evaluating the HATC security status is a prerequisite for risk management. Researchers utilize system dynamics to assess and simulate HATC security status only in one specific situation [3]. It is worth noting that the security management of HATCs should focus on spatial attributes. By evaluating the security status in different environments, destination management can make plans according to different situations to overcome the issues caused by HATCs.

3. Research design

3.1 Method

System dynamics. The dense crowd is a complex dynamic system [74]. Previous research suggests that a crowd accident was induced by the collapse of the dynamic system [25]. HATCs are a special dense crowd that can be regarded as a dynamic system [3]. The occurrence of HATC incidents is the result of multiple-factor interactions [75]. Hence, it is necessary to clarify the interactions of factors influencing HATC security before evaluation and simulation. Forrester (1961) [77] proposed system dynamics to analyze enterprise problems such as production management and inventory management. Based on the relationship between systems and internal mechanisms, system dynamics can assess complex systems and behaviors through the establishment and manipulation of models [76–78]. The system needs to have factors that determine the function of a system [77]. According to interactions of factors, we can determine the causal relationships. By dynamically simulating changes in the system, we can evaluate the security [76,78–81] and provide early security warning [82]. As such, this study employed system dynamics to simulate the HATC security level.

Coupling analysis. Interactions of systems can form a coupling, and the coupling degree refers to the extent of these interactions [83,84]. We can use the coupling to understand systems coordination because coupling indicates the process of a system moving from a chaotic one to a calm one [83,84]. If the systems interact with each other well, then the coupling coordination degree has a good status. Improper management of a HATC may create an unsafe environment for tourists [39]. Namely, when the coupling coordination degree of this system is poor, the system is in a dangerous environment [3]. We use the coupling coordination degree to judge the security level of the system. According to the multiple system coupling coordination principles, this research devised a multiple system coupling coordination function based on the system coupling degree model. The coordination coupling degree is examined as follows.

\[
D = (C \times T)^{1/2}, T = a_1 \times t_1 + a_2 \times t_2 \ldots a_m \times t_m
\]
t_1, t_2, and t_m refer to each system of the coordinated coupling model. a_1, a_2, and a_m are the weights of each system. D is the coordination coupling degree. C is the coupling degree acquired by formula (2). Additionally, m represents the number of systems and equals 3 in current research.

\[
C = m\left[\left(t_1 \times t_2 \times t_3 \times \cdots \times t_m\right) / \left(\prod_{i \neq j = 1, 2, \ldots, m} (t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_m)\right)^{1/m}\right]
\]  

(2)

This research utilized system dynamics and coupled analysis to evaluate and simulate the HATC security level (Table 1). According to Wang et al (2019) [98]'s classification criteria for coupling coordination types, this research classified security and warning levels as follows: we divided interval value (0, 0.4) into highly dangerous (HD), interval value (0.4, 0.7) into moderately dangerous (MD), interval value (0.7, 0.9) into low dangerous (LD), and interval value (>0.9) into slightly dangerous (SD).

### 3.2 The security assessment model of HATCs

Security exists in HATC status. Weak system status in a crowd may cause incidents. Therefore, the status level of a system infers the security level of a system to a certain extent. Since HATC status was affected by subsystem interactions, this paper assessed the level of interaction effects to estimate the HATC security level. Based on the previous findings [3,15,39,75], 23 interacting factors influence HATC security. This paper employed system dynamics to analyze interaction relations of these 23 factors. Additionally, this research added auxiliary variable factors to clearly explain HATC security and constructed a system dynamics model to examine HATC security (see Fig 1).

### 3.3 Study site

Yin et al. [3] analyzed 264 cases on HATC incidents and found that HATCs can easily appear in mountainous areas, theme parks, and traditional cultural streets. According to the previous results [3], this paper selected three typical environments, namely Tianyou Peak scenic area, Shanghai Disneyland Park, and Shilin Night Market in Taipei, to investigate HATC security status.

**Mountain: Tianyou Peak scenic area, Wuyi Mountain.** Wuyi Mountain is a famous mountain destination in China, receiving more than 2 million tourists every year, and Tianyou Peak is an important part of Wuyi Mountain and the main place for tourists. According to the maximum daily and temporary carrying capacity of 5A Scenic Spots announced by the China National Tourism Administration in July 2015, the maximum carrying capacity of the main

| HATC Security | Security Level | Early Warning Level |
|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
| [0, 0.1]-S10  | Highly Dangerous (HD) | Serious Warning: Red Warning (R) |
| (0.1, 0.2]-S9 |               |                     |
| (0.2, 0.3]-S8 |               |                     |
| (0.3, 0.4]-S7 |               |                     |
| (0.4, 0.5]-S6 | Moderately Dangerous (MD) | Moderate Warning: Orange Warning (O) |
| (0.5, 0.6]-S5 |               |                     |
| (0.6, 0.7]-S4 | Low Dangerous (LD) | Slight Warning: Yellow Warning (Y) |
| (0.7, 0.8]-S3 |               |                     |
| (0.8, 0.9]-S2 | Slightly Dangerous (SD) | Maintain the Status (M) |
| (0.9, 1]-S1  |               |                     |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240547.t001
A scenic spot in Wuyi Mountain is 35,000 people and the temporary carrying capacity in Tianyou Peak is 15,000 people. In the past five years, Wuyi Mountain has received more than 180,000 tourists on China’s National Day. It also received more than 22,000 tourists every day. Hence, HATCs are easy to appear in Tianyou Peak during China’s National Day. As such, this study used Tianyou Peak as the research object to understand HATCs in a mountainous area.

**Theme Park: Shanghai Disneyland Park.** As the first Disneyland Park in mainland China, Shanghai Disneyland attracted 4 million visitors in four months after its opening in June 2016. As of October 31, 2017, the number of visitors to this theme park has exceeded 27 million. In the two years since its opening, Shanghai Disneyland has served more than 34 million visitors with 46,575 average daily visitors. HATCs are often formed in Shanghai Disneyland. Scholars mentioned that crowding is especially common during peak periods around the most popular rides in Shanghai Disneyland [85]. Therefore, this study selected Shanghai Disneyland Park to investigate HATC security status.

**Traditional Cultural Street: Shilin Night Market in Taipei.** As a specifically Taiwanese cultural and nightlife phenomenon and one of the three most popular attractions in Taiwan [86], Shilin Night Market attracts lots of tourists [87]. In Shilin Night Market, crowded roads, blocked traffic, extremely high crowd density, and many tourists appear frequently. Due to a large number of tourists who choose to go to Shilin Night Market, HATCs are easily formed, along with the factors related to night environments mentioned above, and local night market restrictions. However, due to the complex nighttime environment, such as traffic and crowd movement, Shilin Night Market poses a high level of security issues for HATCs. Therefore, this article regards Shilin Night Market as a research site for HATCs.

### 3.4 Questionnaire design and data collection

Based on 23 interacting factors influencing HATC security, this study designed a questionnaire with 34 items (Appendix A). The periods when HATCs appeared are different, so we investigated three study sites at different periods. According to the number of tourists appearing at Tianyou Peak during China’s National Day in the past and related crowding reports,
HATCs that appeared in this time period are more representative. This paper conducted a field questionnaire survey at Tianyou Peak during China’s National Day in 2017 (To be noticed, according to judgment of the on-site investigation and related statistics, there are HATCs in Tianyou Peak scenic area, during the National Day golden week (7 days) in 2017, Tianyou Peak scenic area of Wuyi Mountain received 228,100 tourists, an average of 28,513 tourists per day, which exceeded the instantaneous capacity of Tianyou Peak scenic area, which are 15,000 people. Therefore, we argued that there are HATCs in Tianyou Peak scenic area). As mentioned previously, HATCs often occur in the Shanghai Disneyland Park and the Shilin Night Market. Therefore, this study does not strictly limit the specific time nodes and methods for the investigation in the two situations. Shanghai Disneyland Park attracts a large number of visitors during the day, while Shilin Night Market has many visitors at night. In terms of the time when HATCs appeared, this study conducted a survey of HATCs in Shanghai Disneyland during the day and a survey in Shilin Night Market at night. We collected data using online questionnaires in these two places. A total of 300 questionnaires were distributed and 281 were recovered, with a recovery rate of 93.67%; 266 questionnaires are valid, with an effectiveness rate of 88.67% at Tianyou Peak. We received 256 valid questionnaires from Shanghai Disneyland and 269 valid questionnaires from Shilin Night Market. The raw data obtained from questionnaires were used for the security assessment of the initial period of HATCs and was used as the initial value for the security simulation. It should be noted that the factors and variables (Table 2) involved in the system dynamics model (Fig 1) are assessed by tourists in study sites according to their experiences. Thus, the initial values of the variables shown in Table 2 are assigned as the average of all the interviewers’ assessments.

### 4. Assessment and simulation of HATC security

#### 4.1 Assessment process

The initial value of the variables. We determined the variables of the system dynamics model for assessing HATC security based on data obtained from the questionnaires. It should be noted that some variables need to be calculated by observed variables, so the weight of each observed variable needs to be determined. This paper employed the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP method) and the Entropy Method to analyze and calculate the weight of variable parts in the assessment model regarding the average weight of the AHP Method and the

| Variables | Items | Weight | Weight | Variables | Items | Weight |
|-----------|-------|--------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|
|           |       | AHP    | Entropy| Average   | AHP    | Entropy| Average |
| Pressure produced by tourists | Q2 | 0.3750 | 0.1400 | 0.258 | Management response of organizer | Q25 | 0.4831 | 0.2275 | 0.355 |
|           | Q3 | 0.3750 | 0.3235 | 0.349 | Q26 | 0.0931 | 0.2607 | 0.177 |
|           | Q4 | 0.1250 | 0.1953 | 0.160 | Q27 | 0.2119 | 0.2427 | 0.227 |
|           | Q5 | 0.1250 | 0.3411 | 0.233 | Q28 | 0.2119 | 0.2691 | 0.241 |
| Environmental pressure | Q8 | 0.2000 | 0.2532 | 0.227 | The response of emergency plan | Q29 | 0.5 | 0.5042 | 0.502 |
|           | Q9 | 0.6000 | 0.2959 | 0.448 | Q30 | 0.5 | 0.4959 | 0.498 |
|           | Q10 | 0.2000 | 0.4509 | 0.325 | Joint response | Q32 | 0.4286 | 0.3015 | 0.365 |
| The state of service function | Q15 | 0.1667 | 0.4886 | 0.328 | Q33 | 0.1429 | 0.3119 | 0.227 |
|           | Q17 | 0.8333 | 0.5114 | 0.672 | Q34 | 0.4286 | 0.3866 | 0.408 |
| The physical state of tourists | Q20 | 0.2000 | 0.3019 | 0.251 | Q21 | 0.6000 | 0.3616 | 0.481 |
|           | Q22 | 0.2000 | 0.3365 | 0.268 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240547.t002
Entropy Method for the final weights. Analytic Hierarchy Process [88] is developed to resolve the complex problems, which may consist of multiple-criteria, multiple-levels, complex structure. A criteria weighing method (AHP) calculates the weight by a pairwise comparison using a nine-point scale [88,89]. Various studies employed the AHP method to calculate the weight of factors [90,91]. Additionally, the Entropy Method is an effective method to accurately weigh the relative importance of the identified criteria [92,93]. The base of the Entropy Method is the volume of information used to calculate the index’s weight [94], which is widely applied to evaluate the weight [95,96].

The AHP analysis was completed by three professors who were respectively familiar with the Tianyou scenic area, Shanghai Disneyland Park, and Shilin Night Market. The weights of variables were shown in Table 2. Besides, the initial value of other variables was directly assigned.

Some variables, such as pressure increments, and pressure decrements, cannot be directly calculated from observed variables. These variables need to be calculated by other variables of the assessment model. This study employed the AHP method to calculate them by the weight of the influencing factors. The weights of these variables are shown in the Table 3.

### Table 3. The weight relations among variables and their assessment model influencing factors.

| Variables                      | Influencing factors          | Weight   | Variables                      | Influencing factors          | Weight   |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| The state of order of the tourist crowd | The state of the behavior of tourists | 0.8333   | Management response of organizers | Management response          | 0.2500   |
|                                | The state of service function | 0.1667   | Management program response    | Management program response  | 0.7500   |
| Pressure                       | The pressure produced by tourists | 0.5538   | The state of the behavior of tourists | Multi-source pressure       | 0.1031   |
|                                | Service pressure             | 0.1259   | The psychological state of tourists | The psychological state of   | 0.5258   |
|                                | The pressure produced by tourist gathering | 0.0727   | tourists | Tourists Complaint            | 0.1297   |
|                                | Environmental pressure       | 0.2477   | The state of service function  | Management response         | 0.2414   |
| Joint response                 | State mutation               | 0.8750   | Management response for tourists | State mutation               | 0.3333   |
|                                | Management program response  | 0.1250   | Management program response    | Management program response  | 0.6667   |
| The psychological state of tourists | The state of service function | 0.6667   | The pressure produced by tourist gathering | Environmental pressure     | 0.5      |
|                                | Multi-source pressure        | 0.3333   | The pressure produced by tourists | The pressure produced by   | 0.5      |
|                                |                             |          |                                | tourists                   |          |
| Service pressure               | The pressure produced by tourists | 0.2583   | Complaint of tourists          | Multi-source pressure       | 0.1047   |
|                                | The pressure produced by tourist gathering | 0.1047   | The psychological state of tourists | The psychological state of   | 0.2583   |
|                                | Environmental pressure       | 0.6370   | tourists | The physical state of tourists | 0.6370   |
|                                |                             |          | (check state or status)        |                                |          |
| Status Mutation                | The state of order of tourist crowd | 0.3005   | Management                     | Joint response              | 0.1193   |
|                                | The state of service function | 0.0448   |                                | Management response for     | 0.3204   |
|                                | The psychological status of tourists | 0.1105   | tourists |                         | 0.0614   |
|                                | The state of the behavior of tourists | 0.3272   | Management response of         | The response of an emergency| 0.2943   |
|                                | Tourists Complaint           | 0.0845   | organizers | plan                     | 0.1063   |
|                                | The physical state of tourists | 0.1327   |                                | Management response in      | 0.0983   |
|                                |                             |          |                                | advance        |          |
| The pressure produced by tourists | (number of tourists/the area of the space) * Coefficient of conversion of pressure produced by tourists | 0.5936   | Management response of         | State mutation              | 0.2500   |
|                                | Environment pressure         | 0.2493   | organizers |                                 | Management program response | 0.7500   |
|                                | Catalysis special time node  | 0.1571   |                                 |                                |          |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240547.t003
The functional relationship between the various factors of the assessment model. Based on previous studies [97–99], this research employed weights as coefficients to construct the relationship between variables. Certain variables in the assessment model and their influence coefficients need to be assigned and determined. Since the behavior pattern of the HATC dynamic model mainly depends on the structure of the system, its sensitivity to constants is weak, and it can directly assign values with constants. To explore the effects of various influencing factors on HATC security status, this study set the same value for assignment for the three study sites. We set the normal rate of outflow as 60 people/minute, and according to the emergency evacuation speed, 70 people/minute [68]. For the convenience of calculation, this paper unified the normal flow rate of the three study sites to 60 people/minute. The pressure conversion coefficient of the crowd was set as 100 because the huge pressure generated by the interaction between bodies in a crowd may cause an iron fence to bend or overturn a brick wall in the tourist areas. Moreover, in the stampede incident, huge pressure is the main factor that kills members of the crowd. Based on this, the pressure produced by HATCs is enormous. Therefore, the coefficient is set as 100. At the same time, the “management-pressure reduction rate” was set as 5 because management brings an expansion effect. After adopting the “management response” strategy, it takes time to make the pressure gradually lower. Hence, this study employed the DELAY function to explain the “pressure reduction” variable. When calculating the “outflow volume” of tourists in study sites, the “passenger flow warning degree” is expressed by the STEP function. When the number of visitors reaches a certain value, the management will take early warning measures for tourists. Meanwhile, when measuring “increase in management response”, the DELAY function is also adopted because management measures, such as joint response and response to tourists, require a certain period to produce good results.

Additionally, the physical status of tourists and the status of service functions are only affected by the single factor of multi-source pressure. The response of the emergency plan is affected by the factor of state mutation. This study took Shanghai Disneyland Park as an example. By plotting a scatter diagram, we found there to be the multi-source pressure-state mutation, multi-source pressure being the physical status of tourists, and status mutation, so the response of the emergency plan response is not a simple linear relationship. The WITH LOOKUP function is the most efficient and convenient way to express nonlinear functional relationships. Therefore, this paper utilized “WITH LOOKUP” to explain the relationship. The specific functional relations are shown in the equation and description of (11), (14), and (23) in Table 4.

According to the weights and relationships of various factors, this paper proposed a functional relationship between the various factors of the assessment model as shown in Table 4:

4.2 Condition assumption of simulation

(1) This paper sets the initial time of simulation as 1 and the end time as 4; the simulation unit is “hour”, and the simulation duration is 3 hours. In this study, it is assumed that when the time point is 1, HATCs are in the initial formation stage. Time from 1 to 4 is the stage of HATC development and change.

(2) This study set the simulation step size as 0.0625 hours, 3.75 minutes.

(3) The value of the area of the space was assigned as 3000 square meters. Due to the different areas of the three cases, tourists can visit spaces differently. Hence, it is difficult to obtain the space area of the three study sites.
Table 4. Functional relationship between the various factors.

| No. | Variables                                           | Equation and description |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) | Coefficient of conversion of pressure produced by tourists | 100 Units: square/people |
| (2) | The state of order of the tourist crowd (Orderliness of the crowd) | 0.833\* the state of the behavior of tourists + 0.167\* the state of service function + initial value |
| (3) | The decrement of pressure | DELAY11 (Management response of organizer \* the decreasing rate of “management-pressure”, delay time, 0) |
| (4) | The increment of pressure | 0.5538\* Pressure produced by tourists + 0.1259\* Manage program response + 0.0727\* Pressure produced by tourist gathering + 0.2477\* Environmental pressure |
| (5) | Joint response | 0.875\* State mutation + 0.125\* Manage program response + initial value |
| (6) | Multi-source pressure | INTEG (The increment of pressure—The decrement of pressure, initial value) |
| (7) | The pressure produced by tourists | 0.5936\* (Number of tourists/the area of the space)\* Coefficient of conversion of pressure produced by tourists + 0.2493\* Environmental pressure +0.1571\* Catalysis special time node + initial value |
| (8) | Management response for tourists | 0.3333\* State mutation + 0.6667\* Manage program response + initial value |
| (9) | Early warning for tourists | assignment according to the questionnaire data |
| (10) | The area of space | 3000 square, which was assigned by estimating |
| (11) | The response of emergency plan | WITH LOOKUP (State mutation, (State mutation, the response of the emergency plan)) |
| (12) | Management response in advance | assignment according to the questionnaire data |
| (13) | The attraction of travel resources | assignment according to the questionnaire data |
| (14) | The state of service function | WITH LOOKUP (Multi-source pressure, (Multi-source pressure, the state of service function)) |
| (15) | Service pressure | 0.2583\* Pressure produced by tourists + 0.1047\* Pressure produced by tourist gathering + 0.637\* Environmental pressure + initial value |
| (16) | Normal outflow rate | 60 assigned according to the Fact Units: people/minute |
| (17) | Inflow | Attraction of travel resources \* Time 60 Units: people |
| (18) | Outflow | Normal outflow rate \* Time 60+ STEP (Early warning for tourists \* Time 60, Time) Units: people |
| (19) | The psychological state of tourists | 0.6667\* the state of service function + 0.3333\* Multi-source pressure + initial value |
| (20) | Number of tourists | INTEG (Inflow—Outflow, 10000) 10000 referred to the initial value |
| (21) | The pressure produced by tourist gathering | 0.5\* Environmental pressure + 0.5\* Pressure produced by tourists + initial value |
| (22) | Tourists complaint | 0.1047\* Multi-source pressure + 0.2583\* the physical state of tourists + 0.637\* the physical state of tourists + initial value |
| (23) | The physical state of tourists | WITH LOOKUP (Multi-source pressure, (Multi-source pressure, the physical state of tourists)) |
| (24) | Environmental pressure | assignment according to the questionnaire data |
| (25) | Catalysis special time node | 1 referred to HATCs appeared at a special time node |
| (26) | State mutation | INTEG (State mutation + the increment of state mutation, initial value) |
| (27) | The increment of state mutation | 0.3005\* the state of order of tourist crowd + 0.0448\* the state of service function + 0.3272\* the state of the behavior of tourists + 0.0845\* Complaint of tourists + 0.1327\* the physical state of tourists + initial value |

(Continued)
The initial number of visitors is set as 10,000. Due to HATCs gathered in the study site, the density of tourists was more than 3 people/m², and the area of the space was set as 3,000 square meters, so the initial number of tourists was assigned as 10,000.

The inflow rate and outflow rate of tourists in each case were determined by combining questionnaire research and the actual situation of the study sites.

In the case of the continued growth of tourists, the simulation duration was assigned as 3 hours. Within the simulation duration, the number of tourists can continue to increase and no large-scale groups of tourists leave. However, the site management may adopt the early-warning measures and release the early-warning information for tourists. This situation was applied to Tianyou Peak and Shanghai Disneyland Park.

In the case of the “growth-slowing-dissipation” of tourist groups, the number of tourists grows, slows down, and leaves within 3 hours of the simulation. As the number of visitors increases, we still assumed that the increased number of visitors will not reach the maximum capacity of the study sites. According to the characteristics of our study sites, the “growth-slowdown-dissipation” behavior pattern of tourist groups applied to Shilin Night Market.

4.3 Simulation model test

Model testing helps to find the existing problems in the model and improve the accuracy and effectiveness of the model. We employed the Units Check on the Vensim Software to test the security evaluation and simulation model of HATCs. The basic principle of unit testing is to check whether the units on the left and right sides of the functional equation relationship between variables are consistent, thus correcting the functional relationship between variables. The Check Model function is to check whether there is a principle error in the functional relationship between variables in the model and whether there are missing variables and other

| No. | Variables | Equation and description |
|-----|-----------|--------------------------|
| (28) | The decreasing rate of “management-pressure” | 5, assigned by this paper |
| (29) | Management response of organizer | 0.25 * State mutation + 0.75 * Manage program response + initial value |
| (30) | Management response of organizer | INTEG (The increment of management response + Management response of organizer, initial value) |
| (31) | The increment of management response | DELAY11 ((0.1193 * Joint response + 0.3204 * Management response for tourists + 0.0614 * the response of the emergency plan + 0.2943 * Management response of organizer + 0.1063 * Manage program response + 0.0983 * Management response in advance), delay time, 0) |
| (32) | Manage program response | 0.25 * State mutation + 0.75 * the response of the emergency plan |
| (33) | System Composite Index | 1/3 * (Multi-source pressure + State mutation + Management response of organizer) |
| (34) | System coupling | (Multi-source pressure * State mutation * Management response of organizer)/(Multi-source pressure + State mutation + Management response of organizer) ^ 1/2 * (Multi-source pressure + Multi-source pressure + State mutation + Management response of organizer) ^ 1/2 * (Multi-source pressure + State mutation) ^ 1/2 |
| (35) | The degree of system coupling | 3 * System coupling (C) ^ 1/3 |
| (36) | The safety of the system | (System Composite Index * the degree of system coupling) ^ 1/2 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240547.t004
phenomena. Model-checking ensures that no variables, and the relationships between variables, are missing. After running the check function by the Vensim software, the software showed the Units check and models check in the “OK” status, and the software showed no problem with the evaluation and simulation model. Based on these, this study carried out the simulation according to the relevant data and assumptions.

4.4 Result of assessment and simulation

The simulations were conducted to assess changes in the HATC security degree at three study sites. The HATC security changes in different places are shown in Fig 2.

At three research sites, HATC security showed a trend of “increase-decrease-recovery”. The HATC first develops with a benign status, and then temporary disturbances develop, and eventually return to a benign status. In the early status of HATC formation, the security management of research sites has been established. With the growth of crowds, management functions are gradually implemented, and the HATC status is moving toward a benign direction. However, with the surge in the number of tourists, the pressure continues to increase, making the original management practices unable to manage crowds comprehensively. Additionally, the lag in management also leads to disturbances in HATCs, which decreases the trend in its security. However, as organizers become aware of the increase in crowds and pressure, they accordingly strengthen management to improve the HATC status.

Overall, Shanghai Disneyland Park had the highest security, and the changing trend is the most stable, which indicated that HATC security status in Shanghai Disneyland Park is relatively stable. Additionally, the management is effective for the security management of HATCs. However, Tianyou Peak had the greatest variations of HATC security, which indicated that the security situation was the most unstable. To some extent, the results indicated HATC instability and danger appearing at special time nodes. However, the security of the HATC in Shilin Night Market is relatively low when the tourists leave. In the long run, Shilin Night Market had the lowest HATC security compared with the rest sites. Thus, it is necessary to focus on security management when tourists leave.

Fig 2. The security changes of HATCs in different places.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240547.g002
From the perspectives of the changes in HATC security at Shanghai Disneyland Park and Tianyou Peak, the security at Shanghai Disneyland Park is higher than that of Tianyou Peak most of the time. The findings suggest that under similar conditions, HATCs that appeared in the daytime are more stable than HATCs that appeared at special time nodes. Through the comparison of the changes in HATC security at Shanghai Disneyland Park and Shilin Night Market, the security in Shanghai Disneyland Park is higher than that in Shilin Night Market most of the time. The results indicate that under similar conditions, HATCs that appeared in the daytime were more stable than those that appeared at night.

According to the HATC security status at different time nodes, this study proposed the time for issuing early warning information for tourists at corresponding time nodes (Table 5). The security levels of HATCs at different time nodes in different places are different, and the corresponding early warning levels are different.

5. Conclusion and implications

5.1 Conclusions and discussion

This study focused on the issues of security assessment and simulation of HATCs. Based on factors affecting HATC security, this paper constructed a security evaluation model of HATCs. In order to obtain the initial value of factors, the surveys were conducted at Tianyou Peak, Shanghai Disneyland Park, and Shilin Night Market in Taipei. Combined with the coupling analysis and system dynamics, this paper evaluated and simulated HATC security in different environments. The findings were shown as follows.

HATC security status is the outcome of factor interactions, that is, HATC security is the result of the coupling of various factors, mainly the result of the coupling response of the three subsystems: multi-source pressure, state variation, and management response. If the three subsystems are in a benign coupling status, HATCs will be in a safe status and vice versa. This finding empirically proves the viewpoints of previous research [39,75] that the benign operation of the three subsystems is an important determinant for the formation of the security status. Therefore, strengthening the management intervention on subsystems is critical to ensure that HATCs remain safe.

HATC security presents a changing trend of “increase-decrease-recovery”, which is in line with previous research [25]. The evaluation and simulation of HATC security show the changing trend of “growth-reduction-recovery”, which indicates that HATCs are initially in benign development, then become turbulent, and eventually return to the direction of benign development. With the increasing number of tourists, destination management should strengthen crowd management accordingly. At this time, the number and density of tourists are within the range of destination management, so it presents the evidence of HATC status growth. However, Johansson et al. [66] argued that the higher the density, the higher the probability of an incident. Even with different HATCs, the density of tourists’ increases sharply with the increase in the number of tourists, which may exceed the scope of security management by showing a reduction in HATC status. Finally, as tourists gradually leave the destination, the

| Study sites                  | Security levels (Early warning level)                      |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Tianyou Peak                 | Moderately dangerous (Orange warning) [1h-1.125h]  ,  [1.3125h-4h]  ,  [1.1875h-1.25h] |
| Shanghai Disneyland Park     | Low dangerous (Yellow warning) [1.375h-1.4375h]         |
| Shilin Night Market          | [1h-1.125h]  ,  [1.3125h-4h]  ,  [1.25h, 1.375h]         |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240547.t005
number and density of tourists return to a controllable range by showing the recovery of HATC status. The results suggest that the security status is relatively complex and there is a need to invest in corresponding security management.

Heywood and Murdock [43] explained that when a large number of tourists stay in a small-size tourism attraction, they would have a stronger crowding perception. It was suggested that different environments generate different HATCs, leading to different crowding issues. Also, Griffit and Veitch [41] found that tourists would feel more crowded in hot and dry weather rather than in cool weather, showing that different time nodes generate different crowding perceptions. Indeed, we found that differences exist in the changes in HATC security status in different places and time nodes. The simulation results show that under similar conditions, HATCs appearing in the daytime are more stable than HATCs appearing at special time nodes.

5.2 Implications

**Comprehensive consideration and system management.** HATC security is affected by the coupling of multiple factors, and its security is a complex system issue. Hence, it is necessary to strengthen systematic thinking to promote the security management of HATCs. On the one hand, organizers can use systemic thinking to combine the factors and interactions that affect HATC security. It is necessary to develop a systematic risk prevention and control system for HATCs. On the other hand, it is important to consider emergency resources and develop a systematic emergency plan for HATCs. The plan can be dynamically adjusted to form a scientific, practical, and mature emergency plan system.

**Dynamic management based on changes.** HATC security status is constantly changing and presents the characteristics of "increase-decrease-recovery". Therefore, the practice of HATC security management should be based on changes in security status. The first is to strengthen pre-security management. Before forming HATCs, organizers should do the corresponding prevention work in advance. Once HATCs are formed, organizers’ management plan can be seamlessly connected. Second, we should maintain good HATC security management. After forming HATCs, security will gradually decline as the number of tourists increases. Organizers should keep abreast of changes in security status and timely strengthen the security management to keep highly aggregated tourists in good operating conditions. Finally, when highly aggregated tourists leave, their security status will also change, and organizers should conduct post-security management. Management can focus on the change in crowd status to form a target security management strategy.

**Accurate positioning and difference management.** There are differences in security status in different places. At different time nodes, differences exist in the changes in HATC security status. The security status is affected by the location, congregation type, and other factors. Organizers should practically determine the location attributes, time nodes, and space characteristics. It is necessary to implement differentiated management to achieve effective security control of highly aggregated tourists.

5.3 Limitations and future research

This study constructed a system dynamics model and combined surveys to evaluate the HATC security status and access changes in the security status of the highly aggregated tourist group. Specifically, we dynamically assessed changes in the security status of this special crowd, which is of great significance for deepening security management. However, this research has certain limitations.
The data are mainly obtained through perceptions of tourists instead of multiple channels. Future research can combine the hot spot map, monitoring, and location map service to obtain multi-source data. Data can be collected on subjective perceptions and the actual development situation of tourists to accurately assess HATC security status. It is recommended to combine the different characteristics of a different time, space, and different groups to propose target management plans.

In addition, this study focused on evaluating the safety status of HATCs in different spaces and proposing different time nodes for early warning strategies with the help of forecasting the security status. It is important to effectively manage HATCs to explore the changes in the safety status of HATCs. Therefore, future research may simulate the changes in the safety status of HATCs according to different input parameter values and different management interventions.

This study did not obtain relatively large objective data on HATC security, which makes it hard to validate the sensitivity of the model extensively. In future research, we may use big data and VR technology to test the accuracy of the model to enhance the robustness test of the system.

Appendix A. The Questionnaire on HATC Security

Info: We used a questionnaire to collect the perceptions of tourists in HATCs to empirically test these factors got from previous study (Yin et al., 2019) with the exploratory factor analysis (EFA). This paper formed the questionnaire with the Likert scale (1 means strongly disagree and 5 means strongly agree) according to 34 factors. The questionnaire was shown as following:

Part I: Perceptions of pressure factors

1. The site you visited today was attractive to you.
2. Currently, tourist numbers in the scenic area are very large.
3. There are tourists' groups with a high concentration in the scenic area.
4. The scenic area is crowded.
5. A group of people remained too long in the scenic area.
6. Presently, effective warning measures for tourists have been taken in the scenic area.
7. Situations such as tourist gathering and congestion are easy to appear.
8. Tourists move sluggishly through areas with poor roads in the scenic area.
9. The current weather conditions may cause inconvenience to the tour.
10. Overall, the tour environment is poor, causing inconvenience to the tour.
11. Currently, the scenic area faces a lot of pressure on the service.

Part II: Perceptions of state factors

1. Under the current situation, it is easy for tourists to encounter unsafe behavior such as conflict, beating, fighting, and so on.
2. When the tourist flow is large, tourists tend to line up.
3. Currently, highly aggregated tourist crowds are in good order.

4. Currently, the service provided by the scenic area is not timely with lags behind the phenomenon.

5. Currently, there is poor service in the scenic area.

6. Under the current situation, facilities, and equipment prone to overload, resulting in failure.

7. Under the current situation, you will be negative, such as appearing irritability, dissatisfaction, disappointment, excitement, resentment, fear, and so on.

8. Under the current situation, you will claim the scenic area responsible for these factors.

9. Under the current situation, it is easy to be injured.

10. Under the current situation, it is easy to be uncomfortable.

11. Under the current situation, it easily leads to physical illness for tourists.

**Part III: Perceptions of response management factors**

1. Currently, effective measures have been taken for tourist management.

2. Psychological response measures have been taken to appease tourists’ mood.

3. Measures have been taken to strengthen the management response for tourists, such as increasing management staff.

4. The number of site managers to effectively manage tourist groups is enough.

5. Under the current situation, target management measures have been taken to deal with the highly aggregated tourist crowds.

6. There is an effective management program for highly aggregated tourist crowds.

7. Once security issues happen in the scenic area, an orderly response is formed.

8. Once security issues happen in the scenic area, quick and effective actions are taken.

9. Measures have been taken to respond to highly aggregated tourist crowds, such as extending service time.

10. Scenic spots are managed jointly with other organizations (such as the police), for common management of highly aggregated tourist crowds.

11. Once security issues happen in the scenic area, scenic spots can coordinate the rescue efforts.

12. Once security issues happen in the scenic area, external rescue forces can quickly reach the area.

**Supporting information**

S1 File. The questionnaire data at three study sites.
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