A simple one-step electrochemical deposition of bioinspired nanocomposite for the non-enzymatic detection of dopamine
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Abstract

A simple and cost-effective electrochemical synthesis of carbon-based nanomaterials for electrochemical biosensor is of great challenge these days. Our study describes a single-step electrochemical deposition strategy to prepare a nanocomposite of electrochemically reduced graphene oxide (ErGO), multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs), and polypyrrole (PPy) in an aqueous solution of pH 7.0 for dopamine (DA) detection. The ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposites show enhanced electrochemical performance due to the strong π–π* stacking interactions among ErGO, MWCNTs, and PPy. The efficient interaction of the nanocomposites is confirmed by evaluating its physical and electrochemical characteristics using field-emission scanning electron microscopy, Raman spectroscopy, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry, and amperometry. The deposited nanocomposites are highly stable on the substrates and possess high surface areas, which is vital to improve the sensitivity and selectivity for DA detection. The controlled deposition of the ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposites can provide enhanced electrochemical detection of DA. The sensor demonstrates a short time response within 2 s and is a highly sensitive approach for DA detection with a dynamic linear range of 25–1000 nM ($R^2 = 0.999$). The detection limit is estimated to be 2.3 nM, and the sensor sensitivity is calculated to be 8.96 μA μM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, with no distinct responses observed for other biological molecules.
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Introduction

The production of electrochemically fabricated integrated nanocomposites (containing carbon-based nanomaterials, metal nanoparticles, and conducting polymers) on the surface of a transducer using a binder-free process increases the transducer’s electrochemical stability and film-forming ability. A variety of conductive nanomaterials, in particular graphene, a two-dimensional nanostructured material, have attracted considerable attention because of their fascinating properties, such as large specific area, electrical conductivity, mechanical stiffness, and biocompatibility, making them potential candidates for biosensing devices (Geim and Novoselov 2007; Rabti et al. 2016; Sun et al. 2015). Nowadays, researchers focus on several procedures and approaches to produce graphene; in particular, electrochemical deposition has emerged as one of the most significant methods to fabricate graphene because it saves labor; is convenient, inexpensive, non-toxic, rapid, environmentally friendly, and safe; and does not require any additional processing steps.
require binders (Gao et al. 2010; Wei et al. 2015; Guo et al. 2009). However, fabricating graphene-based nanocomposites as biosensing platforms through a simple and convenient “single-step” electrochemical approach without using binders or any additional treatments and sophisticated procedures is still a challenge. This approach incorporates graphene oxide (GO), polypyrrole (PPy) and multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) as the starting materials to electrochemically prepare nanocomposites on a transducer surface. Most previous studies on this the preparation of graphene-based nanocomposite use a “multi-step” electrochemical deposition strategy. For instance, acupuncture needle surface electrodeposited graphene-gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) for dopamine (DA) detection (Tang et al. 2015). These processes of decoration and conjugation involve complicated modification steps and harsh preparation conditions. Therefore, there is a demand for a “single-step” electrochemical deposition process that is simple and cost-effective. Modifying an electrode using graphene, MWCNTs, and PPy to produce electrochemically integrated components can enhance its electrochemical properties (Li et al. 2014; Seenivasan et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2016). A previous report has demonstrated a multi-step procedure for electrochemically depositing a MWCNT/PPy composite onto a gold surface for DNA detection (Miodek et al. 2015). Si et al. developed a two-step electrochemical approach to fabricate DA biosensor based on an ErGO/PPy composite prepared in lithium perchlorate (LiClO₄) medium. This strategy revealed that the π–π* interaction between the ErGO/PPy composite and the DA molecules remarkably increases the electrode sensitivity (Si et al. 2011). To the best of our knowledge, a single-step electrochemical strategy to prepare the ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposite in a neutral solution for application in a DA biosensor has not been reported yet.

DA is a catecholamine neurotransmitter that plays an important role in the human central nervous system. Abnormal levels of DA are connected to several neurological disorders, e.g., schizophrenia, Parkinson’s disease, and Huntington’s disease (Schultz 1997; Ali et al. 2007). Until now, various analysis techniques have been established for DA sensing; in particular, electrochemical methods have attracted considerable attention owing to their simple operation, rapid response, low instrumental expense, and high sensitivity and selectivity (Keerthi et al. 2019) (Mercante et al. 2015). However, the selectivity of conventional electrodes for DA is not satisfactory because of the overlapping in the electrochemical potential window of DA with those of many other substances in the urine, blood, and the central nervous system (e.g., ascorbic acid (AA)). Enzymatic-based methods have attracted considerable attention due to their high sensitivity and comparative low cost. Despite these benefits, these methods are not widely used due to their low stability and complicated process of binding the enzyme to the electrode surface (Njagi et al. 2010). In order to avoid these complications, non-enzymatic electrode modification methods using carbon, metal, and polymer-based nanomaterials have received considerable attention due to the more robust and larger surface area to enhance selectivity, sensitivity, and stability of DA detection (Tan et al. 2015; Ma et al. 2020).

In this study, a new strategy for the fabrication of the nanocomposite-based biosensor for DA detection using a “single-step” electrochemical approach in an aqueous solution of pH 7.0 without any additional treatment has been proposed. This process is schematically presented in Scheme 1. We prepared the nanocomposite by drop-casting a homogeneous mixture of GO, MWCNTs, and PPy on a transducer surface, producing an ErGO/MWCNT/PPy nanocomposite. The ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposite was realized by the strong electrostatic force between the amino group of Py and the carboxylic group of GO and MWCNTs. Preparation of the nanocomposite in a neutral solution enabled cost-effective synthesis and high electrocatalytic activity.

**Experimental**

**Reagents and instruments**

Graphite, MWCNTs (outer diameter: 6–9 nm; diameter: 5.5 nm; length: 5 μm and > 95% purity), pyrrole monomer, dopamine hydrochloride, epinephrine (EP), nor-epinephrine (NEP), ascorbic acid (AA), uric acid (UA), Na₂HPO₄, NaH₂PO₄, K₃[Fe(CN)₆], and H₂SO₄ were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, USA. All chemicals were of analytical grade and used as received. All aqueous solutions were prepared using deionized water (Milli-Q water purifying system, 18 MΩ-cm).

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and chronoamperometry (CA) were performed using a potentiostat (CompactStat Ivium Technology, the Netherlands). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was recorded using an electrochemical analyzer (VersaSTAT, Princeton Applied Research, USA) in the frequency range of 100 to 0.1 Hz at a DC potential of 250 mV and AC potential of ± 5 mV. A three-electrode system was used with a bare glassy carbon electrode (GCE, 3 mm in diameter) as the working electrode, Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode, and a platinum wire as the auxiliary electrode. The nanocomposites were deposited on iridium tin oxide (ITO) substrates to study their surface characteristics by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) and Raman spectroscopy. SEM characterization was performed on a field-emission scanning electron microscope (Hitachi S-4200, Japan) operated at 15 kV and
150 W. Raman spectra were observed on a LabRAM HR Raman spectrometer (HORIBA Scientific, France).

**Electrochemical synthesis of the ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposite**

Graphene oxide was synthesized from graphite by modified Hummer’s method (Hummers and Offeman 1958). The pristine MWCNTs were treated by mixing in a solution containing HNO$_3$/H$_2$SO$_4$ (1:1, v/v) according to a procedure described previously (Woo et al. 2012). GO/MWCNTs/Py dispersion was prepared by mixing 10 mg of GO with 5 mg of MWCNTs dispersed in 14.85 mL of 1 M H$_2$SO$_4$, and then 0.15 mL of 0.15 M Py monomer was added to form a homogeneous brown dispersion. This dispersion was magnetically stirred for 30 min and then sonicated for 20 min under ambient conditions. After the dispersion was centrifuged for 10 min at 10000 rpm, the residue was washed with water three times to remove any loosely adsorbed carbon-containing impurities and Py monomer. The obtained solid was dispersed again into 15 mL distilled water to form a 1 mg mL$^{-1}$ suspension. The products of the GO/MWCNTs/Py dispersion were collected and stored at 4°C until further use. A bare GCE was rinsed with water and polished using 0.3μm alumina slurries. The polished GCE was sonicated in ethanol and water for 10 min each. Then, the sonicated GCE was rinsed with water and dried under ambient conditions. The GO/MWCNTs/Py dispersion (8 μL)-modified GCE was prepared and used for electrochemical deposition.

The CV of the GCE/GO/MWCNTs/Py composite was performed by cycling between −1.4 V and +0.8 V at a potential scan rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$ in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.0); 15 cycles were performed. Subsequently, the obtained GCE/ErGO/MWCNT/PPy nanocomposite biosensor was rinsed with water and dried in air.

**Results and discussion**

**Physicochemical characterization of transducer surface**

FE-SEM images of PPy (A), GO (B), ErGO (C), ErGO/PPy (D), ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy (E), and MWCNTs (F) are shown in Fig. 1. The pure PPy had a nanosphere-like structure with a diameter of around 200 nm (Jung et al. 2009). GO possessed a well aggregated, crumbled, and thick-layered structure. After electrochemical deposition of GO, it could be seen that the ErGO film was covered with single or ultrathin layers and showed a wrinkled sheet-like structure (Du et al. 2011). This structure could effectively improve the electrical conductivity and significantly increase the specific surface area, producing a good interface for the following modifications. The electrochemically deposited ErGO/PPy surface had a nanosphere-like morphology with several fine folds and ripple-like wrinkles (Bose et al. 2010) due to the electrostatic interaction between ErGO and PPy. The FE-SEM image of the electrochemically deposited ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposite showed a well-established interconnected structure of nanospheres, ultrathin layers, and nanowires. The integrated nanocomposite was effectively deposited on the substrate and grew more uniformly than PPy, GO, ErGO, ErGO/PPy, and MWCNTs. The resulting ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposite showed significant advantages for DA biosensing applications.

Raman spectroscopy was used to characterize the chemical and structural changes of (a) PPy, (b) GO, (c) ErGO, (d) ErGO/PPy, (e) ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy, and (f) MWCNTs (Fig. 2A). For PPy, the characteristic bands appeared at 1338 cm$^{-1}$ and 1574 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to the pyrrole-ring stretching and C=C bond stretching, respectively (Liu 2004). GO showed D and G bands at 1350 cm$^{-1}$ and 1585 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, corresponding to the characteristic bands observed previously (Gao et al. 2011). After the deposition of GO by potential
cycling, the formation of ErGO led to a significant increase in the intensity of the D and G bands. The characteristic D band was found at 1350 cm$^{-1}$ in both ErGO and ErGO/PPy, while the characteristic G band was located at 1585 cm$^{-1}$ in ErGO and shifted to 1590 cm$^{-1}$ in ErGO/PPy with the decrease in the intensity, which was attributed to the repaired defects in ErGO due to the elimination of oxygen-containing functional groups from GO (Bose et al. 2010). The Raman spectrum of the ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposite showed two characteristic bands at 1350 cm$^{-1}$ and 1585 cm$^{-1}$ with a significant increase in the intensity after it was incorporated in the MWCNT with the ErGO/PPy matrix, indicating a strong interaction between graphitic allotropes and PPy due to π–π$^*$ electron interaction between ErGO or MWCNTs and PPy (Elnaggar et al. 2017). The increase in the intensity of D and G bands of the MWCNTs after acid treatment when compared to those of the ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposite indicated the increased defect concentration of the MWCNTs (Vinayan et al. 2021).
et al. 2012). The Raman spectra revealed that the intensity ratios \( I_{D}/I_{G} \) of GO, ErGO, ErGO/PPy, ErGO/ MWCNTs/PPy, and MWCNTs were 0.81, 0.88, 0.58, 1.16, and 0.97, respectively. This result confirms the successful deposition of the ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposite on the GCE surface could serve as a favorable platform for electrochemical studies.

The ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposite was prepared from a homogeneous dispersion of GO, MWCNTs, and Py in an aqueous solution of pH 7.0 by a single-step electrodeposition approach. Figure 2b shows the CV curves of the GO/MWCNT/Py dispersion in the potential window of \(-1.4 \text{ V} \) to \(+0.8 \text{ V} \) vs. Ag/AgCl for 15 cycles at a scan rate of 50 mVs\(^{-1}\). The positively charged Py monomer was homogeneously adsorbed over the negatively charged GO interconnected with MWCNTs via electrostatic interactions between the amino group of Py and the oxygen functionalities on the GO surface (Si et al. 2011). The slight decrease in the characteristic peaks indicates the successful formation of nanocomposites over the GCE, with increasing the number of potential scanning cycles. The cathodic peak at \(-1.02 \text{ V} \) was attributed to the irreversible electrochemical reduction of GO and the redox peaks at 0.04 \text{ V} and \(-0.12 \text{ V} \) were ascribed to the growth of PPy on the GCE. As a system, the electrochemically deposited ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposite exhibited better cycling performance (2.3-fold) than ErGO/PPy (inset, Fig. 2B). This result showed that the integrated nanocomposite was highly stable on the electrode surface and the more active sites in MWCNTs were very helpful for the reduction of GO (Huang et al. 2014). The electrochemically deposited nanocomposite was successfully formed using a convenient and environment friendly process, and this nanocomposite has a great potential for use in electrochemical biosensor applications.

The electrochemical characteristics of the nanocomposite on the GCE were studied in a 5 mM K\(_3\)Fe(CN)\(_6\) solution containing 0.1 M KCl by the CV experiments. As shown in Fig. 3A, PPy (curve a) showed no redox peak currents and peak potential shifts; this is due the weak electrical conductivity caused by the insufficient polymerization of PPy in the aqueous solution (pH 7.0). Compared to PPy, the redox peak current of ErGO/PPy (curve b) increased significantly. After incorporating the MWCNTs with the GO and Py dispersion, the obtained mixture was deposited on the GCE to get GCE/ErGO/ MWCNTs/PPy (curve c) and the redox peak current increased remarkably because of the high conductivity and large surface area of MWCNTs, which greatly promoted electron transfer. Thus, the redox peak current of ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy (60.69 \text{ μA}) was 1.2 and 2.0 times greater than that of ErGO/PPy (49.71 \text{ μA}) and PPy (31.01 \text{ μA}), respectively, due to the high electron transfer efficiency as well as large effective surface area and enhanced electrical properties.

The EIS spectra were obtained to test the interface properties and confirm the stepwise changes on the GCE surface. Figure 3B shows the EIS spectra of pure PPy (curve a), ErGO/PPy (curve b), and ErGO/ MWCNT/PPy (curve c) in the frequency range from 100 KHz to 0.1 Hz in a 5 mM K\(_3\)Fe(CN)\(_6\) solution containing 0.1 M KCl. The semicircle diameter of the Nyquist plots represents the charge-transfer resistance \( R_{ct} \) of the redox probe at the electrode/electrolyte interface (Wang et al. 2014). When PPy was electrodeposited on the GCE, the semicircle diameter sharply increased because the low electrical conductivity of PPy in the aqueous solution led to insufficient polymerization of PPy. Compared with that of PPy, the electrochemical deposition of ErGO/PPy showed a decreased \( R_{ct} \) value, showing improved electrical conductivity. Electrochemical deposition of ErGO/MWCNT/PPy showed a straight line, suggesting a decrease in the \( R_{ct} \) value due to the more efficient use of active sites of the MWCNTs. From the Nyquist plots, the \( R_{ct} \) of the ErGO/MWCNT/PPy-modified electrode (31 Ω) was found to be smaller than those of ErGO/PPy (168 Ω) and PPy (443 Ω). These data

![Fig. 3 a CV and b EIS of a GCE-PPy, b GCE-ErGO/PPy, and c GCE-ErGO/MWCNT/PPy in 5 mM K\(_3\)Fe(CN)\(_6\) containing 0.1 M KCl. CV scan rate: 50 mVs\(^{-1}\); impedance frequency range: 100 KHz to 0.1 Hz](image-url)
clearly indicated that the ErGO/MWCNT/PPy nano-composite exhibited 5.5 and 14.3 times decreased $R_{ct}$ values than ErGO/PPy and PPy, respectively, which indicated the former’s enhanced electrical conductivity and potential for application as an ideal platform. This result was also related to the CV results.

The electrochemical properties of the ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy-modified electrode for DA current response were investigated in terms of applied potential, pH, and optimal temperatures. To study the dependence of DA detection on applied potential, CA measurements were performed to observe the current response at different potentials in the presence of 250 nM DA in the potential range 0.1–0.3 V (Fig. 4a). The current response increased with increasing potential and reached its maximum value at a potential of 0.25 V. On further increasing the potential to 0.3 V, the current response of DA decreased slightly. Therefore, 0.25 V was selected to be the optimal potential of the sensor.

The effect of pH on the electrochemical behavior of DA in the ErGO/MWCNT/PPy-modified electrode was also evaluated by CA analysis. As shown in Fig. 4b, the current response increased gradually with the addition of 250 nM DA for pH values of 5.0–9.0 and the highest current response was achieved at a pH 7.0. On further increasing the pH, the current response slightly decreased. Therefore, the pH value 7.0 was chosen as the optimal condition and used in subsequent electrochemical experiments.

The influence of temperature on the electrochemical behavior of DA in the ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy-modified electrode was investigated. As shown in Fig. 4c, the DA current response increased remarkably over the temperatures range 25–45 °C. It could be seen that the amperometric current response increased rapidly from 25 to 35 °C and then slightly decreased from 40 to 45 °C. Therefore, the optimal temperature was determined to be 35 °C and it was used for further electrochemical experiments for DA detection.

**Electrochemical evolution of nanocomposite for DA detection**

The electrocatalytic behavior of DA in different modified electrodes was tested by CV and the results are shown in Fig. 5A. It was observed that the current response of DA was insignificant in GCE-PPy (curve a) owing to its poor electrical conductivity. The current response of DA in ErGO/PPy (curve b) was higher than that in PPy, which suggested fast electron transfer to DA. Compared to PPy (2.17 μA) and ErGO/PPy (9.11 μA), ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy (66.36 μA) exhibited a significantly better current response (curve c) due to its higher electrocatalytic efficiency as well as the synergistic effect of ErGO, MWCNTs, and PPy (Ling et al. 2013). Using Randles-
Sevcik equation, the electrochemically active surface areas were also estimated (Eq. (1)).

\[ i_{pa} = 2.69 \times 10^{2} n^{3/2} A C D^{1/2} \nu^{1/2} \]  

(1)

where \( i_{pa} \) is the anodic peak current (A), \( n \) is the number of electrons (\( n = 2 \)), \( A \) is the electrochemically active surface area (cm\(^2\)), \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient (3.29 \( \times 10^{-6} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) and \( C \) is the concentration of DA (50 \( \times 10^{-6} \) M), and \( \nu \) is the scan rate (Vs\(^{-1}\)). According to the equation, the electrochemically active surface area of the GCE-PPy, GCE-ErGO/PPy, and GCE-ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy was estimated to be 0.142 cm\(^2\), 0.586 cm\(^2\), and 4.34 cm\(^2\), respectively. The GCE-ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy electrode possesses higher electroactive surface area which enhances the oxidation of DA. Further, the ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy-modified GCE showed (a) no distinct response in the absence of DA and (b) a well-defined response in the presence of DA (inset, Fig. 5A). It was clear that the electrochemical production of the ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposite surface was 7.3 and 30.6 times higher than that of the ErGO/PPy and PPy surfaces, respectively. Therefore, controlling the specific surface area and improving the electrical conductivity were effective ways to develop a platform for highly sensitive DA detection.

**Sensor performance and calibration for DA**

Electrochemical sensing of the PPy (a), ErGO/PPy (b), and ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy (c) was tested using amperometric measurements to investigate their DA detection ability. The sensing was performed by adding 250 nM DA in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0) at an applied potential of 0.25 V. As shown in Fig. 5B, the highest sensing response was observed for the ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy electrode, indicating that it had a stronger electrocatalytic effect toward DA than ErGO/PPy and PPy. According to the amperometric response, the sensitivity of PPy, ErGO/PPy, and ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy was calculated to be 7.56, 7.73, and 8.96 \( \mu \)A \( \mu \)M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), respectively. These values indicated that the single-step electrochemically deposited ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposites showed improved synergistic properties, which could enhance the electrocatalytic effect and provide a larger electroactive surface area to enhance the sensitivity for DA detection.

The calibration curves of the ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy nanocomposite sensor for DA detection were plotted. The Fig. 5C displays the amperometric response of the ErGO/MWCNT/PPy electrode in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0).
containing DA at an applied potential of 0.25 V. The amperometric signal rapidly changed due to changes in the DA concentration, and the steady state current was achieved within 2 s after the addition of DA. The nanocomposite sensor exhibited a short time response of 2 s, achieved within 2 s after the addition of DA. The nano-sensor retained over 94% of its initial value for 0.5 μM DA after 3 weeks, indicating the good stability of the sensors. The storage stability of the sensor was studied by amperometric analysis. To investigate the reproducibility of the ErGO/MWCNT/PPy electrode, 0.5 μM DA was added six times, and a relative standard deviation (RSD) of 5.43% was obtained. In addition, the storage stability of the sensor was studied by amperometric measurements. The current response of the sensor retained over 94% of its initial value for 0.5 μM DA after 3 weeks, indicating the good stability of the sensors. Thus, the ErGO/MWCNT/PPy-modified electrode showed favorable reproducibility and acceptable stability for DA detection.

Conclusions
This study presents a single-step and controllable approach to prepare ErGO/MWCNT/PPy nanocomposite from a homogeneous mixed solution using electrochemical deposition in an aqueous solution of pH 7.0, without harsh conditions; this nanocomposite can used as a biosensor for DA detection. Our strategy is innovative in that it uses an aqueous solution (pH 7.0), and it shows better electrochemical sensor applications that acidic solution-based methods. The electrochemically deposited nanocomposite shows significantly improved electrochemical performance by controlling its electroactive surface area, thus increasing the electron transfer rate and enhancing the electrode conductivity and sensor sensitivity. Further research could be required on the development of a biosensor for in vivo detection of DA in real samples.

Table 1: Comparison of the electrochemical performance of different electrode materials for DA detection

| Electrode materials | Analytical technique | Linear range (μM) | Detection limit (μM) | Sensitivity (μA μM⁻¹ cm⁻²) | Interferences | References |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------|
| GA-RGO/AuNPs       | DPV                  | 0.01-100.3       | 2.6                 | 3.58                        | UA, AA        | (Thirumalraj et al. 2017) |
| ErGO/PEDOT         | Amperometry          | 0.1-175          | 39                  | -                           | AA, UA        | (Wang et al. 2014)        |
| CB                  | SW                   | 0.1-20           | 60                  | 1.81                        | AA, UA        | (Jiang et al. 2016)       |
| ErGO/PPy           | DPV                  | 0.1-150          | 23                  | -                           | AA, UA        | (Si et al. 2011)          |
| GO/C60             | DPV                  | 0.02-735         | 8.0                 | 4.23                        | -             | (Thirumalraj et al. 2016) |
| S-Fe3O4            | Amperometry          | 0.2-107          | 31.25               | 0.67                        | AA, AA        | (Chen et al. 2016)        |
| PPy/Ag/PVP         | Amperometry          | 0.01-0.050       | 126                 | 7.25                        | AA, UA, FA    | (Vellaichamy et al. 2017) |
| Fe3O4/GNs/NF       | DPV                  | 0.020-130        | 7.0                 | -                           | GLU, AA, AA   | (Zhang et al. 2015)       |
| ErGO/MWCNTs/PPy    | Amperometry          | 0.025-1.0        | 2.3                 | 8.96                        | AA, UA, NEP, EP | This work |

Abbreviations
ErGO: Electrochemically reduced graphene oxide; MWCNTs: Multi-walled carbon nanotubes; PPy: Polypyrrole; DA: Dopamine; CV: Cyclic voltammetry; CA: Chronoamperometry; EIS: Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy; GCE: Glassy carbon electrode.
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