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Abstract. In this paper we give sufficient conditions on $\alpha \geq 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ensuring that the space of test functions $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a core for the operator

$$L_0u = (1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta u + \frac{c}{|x|^2}u =: Lu + \frac{c}{|x|^2}u,$$

and $L_0$ with a suitable domain generates a quasi-contractive and positivity preserving $C_0$-semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p < \infty$. The proofs are based on some $L^p$-weighted Hardy’s inequality and perturbation techniques.

1. Introduction. Let us consider the elliptic operator

$$L = (1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta,$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$. In this paper we want to study the perturbation of $L$ with a singular potential. More precisely, we consider the operator

$$L_0 = L + \frac{c}{|x|^2}$$

and we look for optimal conditions on $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha$ ensuring that $L_0$ with a suitable domain generates a positivity preserving $C_0$-semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Let us recall first some known results for Schrödinger operators with inverse-square potentials.
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It is known, see [19, Theorem 2], that the realization $A_2$ of the Schrödinger operator $A = \Delta + c|x|^{-2}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is essentially selfadjoint on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ if and only if
\[
c \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} - 1 =: c_0,
\]
 cf. [4, Proposition VII.4.1] or [18, Theorem X.11], when $N \geq 5$.

The characterization of the existence of positive weak solutions to the parabolic problem associated with the operator $A_2$ was first discovered by Baras and Goldstein [2], where they proved that a positive weak solution exists if and only if $c \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$.

Using perturbation techniques it is proved in [15, Theorem 6.8] that $A_2$ is selfadjoint provided that $c < c_0$. These techniques were generalized to the $L^p$-setting, $1 < p < \infty$, and it is obtained that $A_p$, the realization of $A$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with domain $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ generates a contractive and positive $C_0$-semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a core for $A_p$, if $N > 2p$ and
\[
c < \frac{(p-1)(N-2p)N}{p^2} =: \beta_0,
\]
see [16, Theorem 3.11]. In the case where $N \leq 2p$, it is proved that $A_p$ with domain $D(A_p) = W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N); |x|^{-2}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$ is $m$-sectorial if $c < \beta_0$, see [16, Theorem 3.6].

If one replaces the Laplacian by the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator similar results were obtained recently in [3, 7].

In this paper we obtain similar results as in [16, Theorem 3.11] when replacing $\Delta$ by $L$. We discuss also the generation of a $C_0$-semigroup of the operator $(1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta - \eta |x|^{\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{|x|^2}$, where $\eta$ is a positive constant, $\alpha \geq 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$.

Now, let us recall some definitions. An operator $(A, D(A))$ on a Banach space $X$ is called accretive if $-A$ is dissipative. It is $m$-accretive if $A$ is accretive and $X = R(\lambda + A)$, the range of the operator $(\lambda + A)$. An accretive operator $(A, D(A))$ is called essentially $m$-accretive if its closure $\overline{A}$ is $m$-accretive.

Our approach relies on the following perturbation result due to N. Okazawa, see [16, Theorem 1.7].

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $A$ and $B$ be linear $m$-accretive operators in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with $p \in (1, +\infty)$. Let $D$ be a core of $A$. Assume that

(i) there are constants $\tilde{c}, \alpha \geq 0$ and $k_1 > 0$ such that for all $u \in D$ and $\varepsilon > 0$
\[
\Re\langle Au, B_x u \rangle |B_x u|^{p-2} \geq k_1 \|B_x u\|_p^2 - \tilde{c} \|u\|_p^2 - a \|B_x u\|_p \|u\|_p
\]
where $B_x$ denote the Yosida approximation of $B$;

(ii) $\Re\langle u, B_x u \rangle |B_x u|^{p-2} |B_x u|^{p-2} \geq 0$, for all $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$;

(iii) there is $k_2 > 0$ such that $A - k_2 B$ is accretive.

Set $k = \min\{k_1, k_2\}$. If $c > -k$ then $A + cB$ with domain $D(A + cB) = D(A)$ is $m$-accretive and any core of $A$ is also a core for $A + cB$. Furthermore, $A - kB$ is essentially $m$-accretive on $D(A)$.

In order to apply the above theorem, we need some preliminary results on the operator $L$ and some Hardy’s inequalities.

2. **Preliminary results.** Let us begin with the generation results for suitable realizations $L_p$ of the operator $L$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Such results have been proved in [6, 9, 11]. More specifically, the case $\alpha \leq 2$ has been investigated in [6] for $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and in [9] for $\alpha \leq 1$, where the authors proved the following result.
Theorem 2.1. If \( \alpha \in [0, 2] \) then, for any \( p \in (1, +\infty) \), the realization \( L_p \) of \( L \) with domain

\[
D_p = \{ u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : |x|\alpha D^2 u, |x|\alpha/2 \nabla u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \}
\]

generates a positive and strongly continuous analytic semigroup. Moreover \( C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a core for \( L_p \).

The case \( \alpha > 2 \) is more involved and is studied in [11], where the following facts are established.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that \( \alpha > 2 \).
1. If \( N = 1, 2 \), no realization of \( L \) in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \) generates a strongly continuous (resp. analytic) semigroup.
2. The same happens if \( N \geq 3 \) and \( p \leq N/(N - 2) \).
3. If \( N \geq 3 \), \( p > N/(N - 2) \) and \( 2 < \alpha \leq (p - 1)(N - 2) \), then the maximal realization \( L_p \) of the operator \( L \) in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with the maximal domain

\[
D_{\text{max}} = \{ u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : (1 + |x|\alpha) \Delta u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \}
\]

generates a positive \( C_0 \)-semigroup of contractions, which is also analytic if \( \alpha < (p - 1)(N - 2) \).
4. If \( N \geq 3 \), \( p > N/(N - 2) \) and \( 2 < \alpha < \frac{N(p - 1)}{p} \) the domain \( D_{\text{max}} \) coincides with the space

\[
\widehat{D}_p = \{ u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : |x|\alpha - 2 u, |x|\alpha |\nabla u|, |x|\alpha |D^2 u| \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \}.
\]

Moreover, \( C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a core for \( L \).

If we consider the operator \( \tilde{L} := L - \eta|x|^\beta \) with \( \eta > 0 \) and \( \beta > \alpha - 2 \) then we can drop the above conditions on \( p, \alpha \) and \( N \), as the following result shows, see [1], where the quasi-contractivity can be deduced from the proof of Theorem 4.5 in [1].

Theorem 2.3. Assume \( N \geq 3 \). If \( \alpha > 2 \) and \( \beta > \alpha - 2 \) then, for any \( p \in (1, \infty) \), the realization \( \tilde{L}_p \) of \( \tilde{L} \) with domain

\[
\widehat{D}_p = \{ u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : |x|\beta u, |x|\alpha |\nabla u|, |x|\alpha |D^2 u| \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \}
\]

generates a positive and strongly continuous quasi-contractive analytic semigroup. Moreover, \( C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a core for \( \tilde{L}_p \).

From now on we assume \( N \geq 3 \), \( \alpha \geq 0 \). We set

\[
\gamma_\alpha = \left( \frac{N + \alpha - 2}{p} \right)^2
\]

and recall the following Hardy’s inequality. For a proof we refer to [16, Lemma 2.2 & Lemma 2.3] for the case \( \alpha = 0 \) and [11, Appendix] for \( \alpha \geq 2 \). Here we give a simple proof based on the method of vector fields introduced by Mitidieri in [13], which holds for any \( \alpha \geq 0 \).

Lemma 2.4. For every \( u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with compact support, one has

\[
\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^2} |x|^\alpha dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^{p - 2} |x|^\alpha dx.
\]

The inequality holds true even if \( u \) is replaced by \( |u| \).
Proof. By density, it suffices to prove (2.2) for \( u \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^N) \). So, for every \( \lambda \geq 0 \), let us consider the vector field \( F(x) = \lambda \frac{x}{|x|^2} |x|^{\alpha}, x \neq 0 \), and set \( d\mu(x) = |x|^\alpha dx \). Integrating by parts and applying Hölder and Young’s inequalities we get

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p \text{div} F \, dx = \lambda(N - 2 + \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^2} \, d\mu
\]

\[
= -p\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-2} \text{Re}(u \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|^2} \, d\mu
\]

\[
\leq p\lambda \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^{p-2} \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^2} \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^{p-2} \, d\mu + \frac{\lambda^2 p^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^2} \, d\mu.
\]

In the computations above, we used the identity \( \nabla |u|^p = p|u|^{p-2} \nabla u \). Hence,

\[
\left[ \lambda(N - 2 + \alpha) - \frac{\lambda^2 p^2}{4} \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^2} |x|^{\alpha} \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^{p-2} |x|^{\alpha} \, dx.
\]

By taking the maximum over \( \lambda \) of the function \( \psi(\lambda) = \lambda(N - 2 + \alpha) - \lambda^2 p^2/4 \), we get (2.2).

We note here that the integration by parts is straightforward when \( p \geq 2 \). For \( 1 < p < 2 \), \( |u|^{p-2} \) becomes singular near the zeros of \( u \). Also in this case the integration by parts is allowed, see [10].

By using the identity \( \nabla |u|^p = p|u|^{p-2} \nabla |u| \) in the computations above, the statement holds with \( u \) replaced by \( |u| \).

\( \square \)

Remark 2.5. The constant \( \gamma_0 \) in (2.2) is optimal, as shown in [11, Appendix].

Remark 2.6. Hardy’s inequality (2.2) holds even if \( u \) is replaced by \( u_+ := \text{sup}(u, 0) \), since \( u_+ \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \), whenever \( u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) (cf. [8, Lemma 7.6]).

As a consequence of Lemma 2.4 we have the following results.

Proposition 2.7. Assume \( \alpha \leq (N - 2)(p - 1) \). Let \( V \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) \). If \( V(x) \leq \frac{c}{|x|^2}, x \neq 0 \), with \( c \leq (p - 1)\gamma_0 \), then \( L + V \) with domain \( C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is dissipative in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

Proof. Let \( u \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Take \( \delta > 0 \) if \( 1 < p < 2 \) and \( \delta = 0 \) if \( p \geq 2 \). Then we have

\[
\langle Lu, u(|u|^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \rangle = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \left( \pi(|u|^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right) (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx
\]

\[
- \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \pi(|u|^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u \cdot x |x|^{\alpha-2} \, dx
\]

\[
= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx
\]

\[
- (p-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (|u| \nabla u) \cdot (\nabla \pi u) (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx
\]

\[
- \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \pi(|u|^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u \cdot x |x|^{\alpha-2} \, dx.
\]
So, using the identities $|\nabla u|^2 \leq |\nabla u|^2$ and $|u||\nabla u| = \text{Re}(\overline{u} \nabla u)$, we obtain
\[
\text{Re}(Lu, u|u|^{p-2}) \leq -(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^{p-2}(1 + |x|^\alpha) dx
- \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-1} |x|^\alpha dx
\]
if $p \geq 2$. The case $1 < p < 2$ can be handled similarly. Thus, by H"{o}lder’s inequality we have
\[
\text{Re}((L + V)u, u|u|^{p-2}) \leq -(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^{p-2}(1 + |x|^\alpha) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V|u|^p dx
+ \alpha \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^{p-2}|x|^\alpha dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p |x|^\alpha dx \right)^\frac{1}{2}.
\]
Set
\[
I_\alpha^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^{p-2}|x|^\alpha dx, \quad J_\alpha^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p |x|^\alpha dx
I_0^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^{p-2} dx, \quad J_0^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p |x|^2 dx.
\]
Taking the assumption on $V$ into account we obtain
\[
\text{Re}((L + V)u, u|u|^{p-2}) \leq -(p-1)I_0^2 - (p-1)I_\alpha^2 + cJ_0^2 + \alpha I_\alpha J_\alpha.
\]
Since $c \leq (p-1)\gamma_0$ and Lemma 2.4 holds for $\alpha = 0$, we have that $-(p-1)I_0^2 + cJ_0^2 \leq 0$. Now, the inequality
\[
-(p-1)I_\alpha^2 + \alpha I_\alpha J_\alpha \leq 0
\]
holds true if
\[
-(p-1) + \alpha \gamma_0^{-1/2} \leq 0,
\]
thanks again to Lemma 2.4. The latter inequality is equivalent to $\alpha \leq (N-2)(p-1)$, which is the assumption. This ends the proof.

\textbf{Remark 2.8.} The assumption $\alpha \leq (N-2)(p-1)$ is optimal for the dissipativity of $L$, as proved in [11, Proposition 8.2].

Hence, in order to apply Theorem 1.1, we have established

\textbf{Corollary 2.9.} Assume $\alpha \leq (N-2)(p-1)$. Then, the operator $L + \frac{c}{|x|^2}$ with $c \leq (p-1)\gamma_0$ and domain $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dissipative in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Let us recall the definition of dispersivity of an operator. A (real) linear operator $A$ with domain $D(A)$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is called dispersive if
\[
\langle Au, u_p^{-1} \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in D(A).
\]
For more details on dispersive operators we refer to [14, C-II.1].

\textbf{Proposition 2.10.} Assume $\alpha \leq (N-2)(p-1)$. Then, the operator $L + \frac{c}{|x|^2}$ with $c \leq (p-1)\gamma_0$ and domain $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dispersive in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. 
Proof. Let \( u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) be real-valued and fix \( \delta > 0 \). Replacing \( u \) by \( u_+ \) in the proof of Proposition 2.7 and since \( u_+ \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \), we deduce that
\[
\langle Lu, u_+ (u_+^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \rangle = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_+^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_+^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx
- (p-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_+^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} u_+^2 \nabla u_+^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx
- \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_+ (u_+^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_+ \cdot x |x|^\alpha - 2 \, dx.
\]
Then,
\[
\langle Lu, u_+ (u_+^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \rangle \leq (1 - p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_+^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} u_+^2 \nabla u_+^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx
- \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_+ (u_+^2 + \delta)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_+ \cdot x |x|^\alpha - 2 \, dx,
\]
where here we take \( \delta = 0 \) if \( p \geq 2 \) and \( \delta > 0 \) if \( 1 < p < 2 \). Thus, letting \( \delta \to 0 \) if \( 1 < p < 2 \), and applying Hölder’s inequality we obtain
\[
\langle (L + \frac{c}{|x|^{2\gamma}}) u, u_+^{p-1} \rangle \leq (1 - p) I_{0,+}^2 + (1 - p) I_{\alpha,+}^2 + c J_{0,+} + \alpha J_{\alpha,+} + J_{\alpha,+},
\]
where
\[
I_{\alpha,+}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_+|^2 u_+^{p-2}|x|^\alpha \, dx, \quad J_{\alpha,+}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_+^p}{|x|^\alpha^2} \, dx.
\]
As in the proof of Proposition 2.7, the assertion follows now by Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.6.

The next proposition deals with the operator \( L - \eta |x|^\beta \).

**Proposition 2.11.** Let \( V \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) \) with \( V \leq \frac{c}{|x|^{2\gamma}} \), \( x \neq 0 \) and \( c \leq (p-1)\gamma_0 \). Set \( \tilde{L} = L - \eta |x|^\beta \).

(i) If \( \alpha \geq 2, \beta > \alpha - 2 \) and \( \eta > 0 \) then the operator \( \tilde{L} + V \) with domain \( C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is quasi-dissipative in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

(ii) If \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq (N-2)(p-1), \beta = \alpha - 2 \) then \( \tilde{L} + V \) with domain \( C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is dissipative in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \) if
\[
\eta + \frac{(N + \alpha - 2)^2}{pp'} - \frac{\alpha(N + \alpha - 2)}{p} \geq 0.
\]

Proof. (i) If \( \beta > \alpha - 2 \), applying (2.3) and Young’s inequality we obtain
\[
\|u\langle \tilde{L} + V \rangle u \|_{L^{p'}} \leq -(p-1) I_0^2 + \alpha J_0^2 + \varepsilon I_0^2
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{4\varepsilon} |x|^{\alpha-2} - \eta |x|^\beta \right) |u|^p \, dx
\leq -(p-1) I_0^2 + (p-1-\varepsilon) J_0^2 + c J_0^2 + M\|u\|_p^p
\]
for \( u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) and any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), where \( M \) is a positive constant such that \( \frac{\alpha^2}{4\varepsilon} |x|^{\alpha-2} - \eta |x|^\beta \leq M \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \), which holds since \( \beta > \alpha - 2 \geq 0 \). Choosing now \( \varepsilon \leq p-1 \)
and applying (2.2) we obtain
\[ \Re e((\bar{L} + V)u, u|u|^{p-2}) \leq M||u||_p^p \]
which means that \( \bar{L} + V \) with domain \( C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is quasi-dissipative in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

(ii) If \( \beta = \alpha - 2 \) then (2.3) gives
\[ \Re e((\bar{L} + V)u, u|u|^{p-2}) \leq -(p-1)I_0^2 - (p-1)I_0^2 + cJ_0^2 + \alpha I_{\alpha} - \eta J_{\alpha}^2. \]
If \( \eta \geq 0 \), then the conclusion easily follows as in the end of the proof of Proposition 2.7, under the assumption \( c \leq \gamma_0(p-1) \) and \( \alpha \leq (N-2)(p-1) \). If \( \eta < 0 \) then by Lemma 2.4 we have
\[ -(p-1)I_0^2 + \alpha I_{\alpha} - \eta J_{\alpha}^2 \leq \left( - (p-1) + \alpha \gamma_0^{-1/2} - \eta \gamma_0^{-1} \right) I_0^2. \]
The right hand side is nonpositive if
\[ \eta + \frac{(N+\alpha-2)^2}{pp^2} - \frac{\alpha(N+\alpha-2)}{p} \geq 0. \]
\[ \square \]

**Remark 2.12.** Condition (2.4) is sharp as proved in [12, Proposition 4.2].

3. **Main results.** In this section we state and prove the main results of this paper. In order to apply Theorem 1.1 to our situation we need the following lemma whose proof follows the same lines of [16, Lemma 3.4].

**Lemma 3.1.** Set \( V_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{|\varepsilon|^{p-1}} \), \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Assume \( \alpha \leq (N-2)(p-1) \). Then for every \( u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \)
\[ \Re e(-Lu, |V_\varepsilon u|^{p-2}V_\varepsilon u) \geq \beta_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p|u|^p \, dx + \beta_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p|u|^p|x|^{\alpha} \, dx, \]
(3.1)
where
\[ \beta_0 = \frac{N(p-1)(N-2p)}{p^2}, \quad \beta_\alpha = \frac{(Np-N-\alpha)(N+\alpha-2p)}{p^2}. \]
Moreover, if \( N > 2p \) then both \( \beta_0 \) and \( \beta_\alpha \) are positive.

**Proof.** Let \( u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) and set \( u_\delta = \left( (|R|u)|^2 + \delta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \), where \( R^p := V_\varepsilon^{p-1} \). In the computations below, we have to take \( \delta > 0 \) in the case \( 1 < p < 2 \), whereas we only take \( \delta = 0 \) to deal with the case \( p \geq 2 \). We have
\[ \langle -Lu, |V_\varepsilon u|^{p-2}V_\varepsilon u \rangle = - \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-2} R^{p-2} \bar{u} Lu \, dx. \]
Integrating by parts we have
\[ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-2} R^{p-2} \bar{u} Lu \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R^2 \bar{u} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (u_\delta^{p-2})(1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (R^2 \bar{u})(1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx \\
+ \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-2} R^2 |x|^\alpha x \cdot \nabla u \, dx. \]
(3.2)
Now, computing $\nabla (u_\delta^{p-2})$ and writing $R^2 \bar{u} \nabla u = R\bar{u} (\nabla (Ru) - u \nabla R)$ we have

$$\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R^2 \bar{u} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (u_\delta^{p-2})(1 + |x|^\alpha) dx &= \frac{p-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-4} R\bar{u} \nabla (R^2 |u|^2) \cdot \nabla (Ru)(1 + |x|^\alpha) dx \\
&- \frac{p-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-4} R |u|^2 \nabla (R^2 |u|^2) \cdot \nabla R (1 + |x|^\alpha) dx.
\end{align*}$$

Using also the identity

$$\nabla (R^2 \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla u = |\nabla (Ru)|^2 - u \nabla (R\bar{u}) \cdot \nabla R + R\bar{u} \nabla R \cdot \nabla u$$

Equation (3.2) yields

$$\begin{align*}
-I &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-2} R^2 \bar{u} L u \, dx = \frac{p-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-4} R\bar{u} \nabla (R^2 |u|^2) \cdot \nabla (Ru)(1 + |x|^\alpha) dx \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-2} |\nabla (Ru)|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx \\
&- \frac{p-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-4} R |u|^2 \nabla (R^2 |u|^2) \cdot \nabla R (1 + |x|^\alpha) dx \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-2} u \nabla (R\bar{u}) \cdot \nabla R (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-2} \nabla (R\bar{u}) \cdot \nabla R (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx \\
&+ \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-2} R^2 |x|^{\alpha-2} x \cdot \nabla u \, dx.
\end{align*}$$

Now, introduce the function $Q = R^p$. Writing $\nabla (R^2 |u|^2) = 2R|u|^2 \nabla R + 2|u|R^2 \nabla |u|$ we have

$$I = -(p-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-4} R^2 |u|^4 |\nabla R|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) dx$$

$$= - (p-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-4} |u|^3 R^3 \nabla R \cdot \nabla |u| (1 + |x|^\alpha) dx$$

$$= - \frac{p-2}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-4} R^{4-2p} |u|^4 |\nabla Q|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) dx$$

$$= - \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\delta^{p-4} |u|^3 R^{4-p} \nabla Q \cdot \nabla |u| (1 + |x|^\alpha) dx.$$
Moreover, 

$$J + K = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} \left( R \tilde{u} \nabla R \cdot \nabla u - u \nabla \nabla (R \tilde{u}) \cdot \nabla R \right) (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} |u|^2 |\nabla R|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$+ 2i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} \mathcal{I} m(\tilde{u} \nabla u) \cdot R \nabla R (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$= - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} |u|^2 R^{2-2p} |\nabla Q|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$+ 2i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} R^{2-p} \mathcal{I} m(\tilde{u} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla Q (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx.$$ 

Hence we have

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} R^2 \tilde{u} L u \, dx = (p - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-4} R |u| \nabla (R |u|) \cdot (R \tilde{u}) \nabla (R u) (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} |\nabla (R u)|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx + J_\delta$$

$$+ \frac{2i}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} R^{2-p} \mathcal{I} m(\tilde{u} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla Q (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$+ \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} R^2 |x|^\alpha (x \cdot \nabla u) \, dx,$$

where we have set

$$J_\delta = - \frac{p - 2}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-4} R^{4-2p} |u|^3 |\nabla Q|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$- \frac{p - 2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-4} |u|^3 R^{4-p} \nabla Q \cdot \nabla |u| (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$- \frac{1}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} |u|^2 R^{2-2p} |\nabla Q|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx.$$ 

Now, we take the real parts of both sides and apply the identity $\text{Re}(\phi \nabla \phi) = |\phi| \nabla |\phi|$ to obtain

$$- \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} R^2 \tilde{u} L u \, dx = (p - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-4} R^2 |u|^2 |\nabla (R |u|)|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} |\nabla (R u)|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx + J_\delta$$

$$+ \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} R^2 |x|^\alpha (x \cdot \nabla |u|) \, dx$$

$$= (p - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} |\nabla (R |u|)|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$- (p - 2) \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-4} |\nabla (R |u|)|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} |\nabla (R u)|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx + J_\delta$$

$$+ \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\delta}^{p-2} R^2 |x|^\alpha (x \cdot \nabla |u|) \, dx.$$
Since the inequality $|\nabla \phi| \geq |\nabla |\phi||$ holds and $\delta = 0$ if $p \geq 2$, $\delta > 0$ if $1 < p < 2$ we can estimate as follows

$$-\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{p-2}_\delta R^2 \bar{u} L u \, dx \geq (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{p-2} |\nabla (R|u|)|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx + J_\delta$$

$$+ \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{p-2} R^2 |u| |x|^{\alpha-2} x \cdot \nabla |u| \, dx$$

if $p \geq 2$ and

$$-\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{p-2}_\delta R^2 \bar{u} L u \, dx \geq (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{p-2} |\nabla (R|u|)|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx + J_\delta$$

$$+ \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{p-2} R^2 |u| |x|^{\alpha-2} x \cdot \nabla |u| \, dx$$

if $1 < p < 2$. Letting $\delta \to 0^+$, we are lead to

$$\Re (-Lu, |V\alpha u|^{p-2} V\alpha u) \geq (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (R|u|)^{p-2} |\nabla (R|u|)|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$- \frac{p-1}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R^{-p} |u|^{p} |\nabla Q|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$- \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-1} \nabla Q \cdot \nabla |u| (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$+ \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-1} R^p |x|^{\alpha-2} x \cdot \nabla |u| \, dx,$$

where we have used again the inequality $|\nabla \phi| \geq |\nabla |\phi||$ in the first integral of the right hand side of (3.3), since for $1 < p < 2$ we had $|\nabla R u|^2$ instead of $|\nabla (R|u|)|^2$.

Now, by the identity $p|u|^{p-1} \nabla |u| = \nabla |u|^p$, integrating by parts and recalling the definition of $R$ we infer

$$- \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-1} \nabla Q \cdot \nabla |u| (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$= \frac{p-2}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p} \Delta R^p (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx + \frac{\alpha(p-2)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p} \nabla R^p \cdot x |x|^{\alpha-2} \, dx$$

$$= - \frac{2N(p-1)(p-2)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^p Q^p |u|^{p} (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$+ \frac{4p(p-1)(p-2)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x^2 V^p Q^{p+1} |u|^{p} (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx$$

$$- \frac{2\alpha(p-1)(p-2)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^p Q^p |u|^p |x|^\alpha \, dx$$

and

$$\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-1} R^p |x|^{\alpha-2} x \cdot \nabla |u| \, dx$$

$$= - \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p} |x|^{\alpha-2} x \cdot \nabla R^p \, dx - \frac{\alpha(N+\alpha-2)}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R^p |x|^{\alpha-2} |u|^p \, dx$$

$$= \frac{2(p-1)\alpha}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^p Q^p |u|^{p} |x|^\alpha \, dx - \frac{\alpha(N+\alpha-2)}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{p-1} Q^{p-1} |u|^p |x|^\alpha \, dx.$$
By using such formulas in (3.3) we obtain

\[
\Re(\langle -Lu, |V_\varepsilon u|^{p-2} V_\varepsilon u \rangle) \geq (p - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (R|u|)^{p-2} |\nabla (R|u|)|^2 (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx \\
- \frac{4(p - 1)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 V_\varepsilon^{p+1}|u|^p (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx \\
- \frac{2N(p - 1)(p - 2)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx \\
+ \frac{4\alpha(p - 1)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p |x|^\alpha \, dx \\
- \frac{\alpha(N + \alpha - 2)}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^{p-1} |u|^p \, dx.
\]

Applying Lemma 2.4, and using that $|x|^2 V_\varepsilon \leq 1$ we are lead to

\[
\Re(\langle -Lu, |V_\varepsilon u|^{p-2} V_\varepsilon u \rangle) \geq (p - 1) \gamma_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{V_\varepsilon^{p-1} |u|^p}{|x|^2} \, dx \\
+ \frac{N + \alpha - 2}{p} \left( \frac{p - 1}{p} (N + \alpha - 2) - \alpha \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{V_\varepsilon^{p-1} |u|^p}{|x|^2} |x|^\alpha \, dx \\
- \frac{p - 1}{p^2} (4 + 2Np - 4N) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx \\
+ \frac{4\alpha(p - 1)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p |x|^\alpha \, dx.
\]

Since $\alpha \leq (N - 2)(p - 1)$ we have $\frac{p - 1}{p} (N + \alpha - 2) - \alpha \geq 0$ and then from the estimate $|x|^2 V_\varepsilon \leq 1$ it follows that

\[
\Re(\langle -Lu, |V_\varepsilon u|^{p-2} V_\varepsilon u \rangle) \geq (p - 1) \gamma_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p \, dx \\
+ \frac{N + \alpha - 2}{p} \left( \frac{p - 1}{p} (N + \alpha - 2) - \alpha \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p |x|^\alpha \, dx \\
- \frac{p - 1}{p^2} (4 + 2Np - 4N) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p (1 + |x|^\alpha) \, dx \\
+ \frac{4\alpha(p - 1)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p |x|^\alpha \, dx.
\]

Thus we have

\[
\Re(\langle -Lu, |V_\varepsilon u|^{p-2} V_\varepsilon u \rangle) \geq \beta_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p \, dx + \beta_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p |x|^\alpha \, dx,
\]

where

\[
\beta_0 = (p - 1)\gamma_0 - \frac{p - 1}{p^2} (4 + 2Np - 4N) = \frac{N(p - 1)(N - 2p)}{p^2}
\]

\[
\beta_\alpha = \frac{N + \alpha - 2}{p} \left( \frac{p - 1}{p} (N + \alpha - 2) - \alpha \right) - \frac{p - 1}{p^2} (4 + 2Np - 4N) + \frac{4\alpha(p - 1)}{p^2}
\]

\[
= \frac{(Np - N - \alpha)(N + \alpha - 2p)}{p^2}.
\]

So, if $N > 2p$ then $\beta_0 > 0$ and since $0 \leq \alpha \leq (N - 2)(p - 1) < N(p - 1)$ we deduce that $\beta_\alpha > 0$. \qed
Remark 3.2. We rewrite estimate (3.4) as follows
\[ \text{Re} (-Lu, |V_\varepsilon u|^p - 2V_\varepsilon u) \geq \beta_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (k_0 + k_1 V_\varepsilon |x|^2) V_\varepsilon^{p-1} |u|^p |x|^{\alpha-2} \, dx \]
where
\[ k_0 = \frac{N + \alpha - 2}{p} \left( \frac{p-1}{p} (N + \alpha - 2) - \alpha \right) \]
\[ k_1 = \frac{4\alpha(p-1)}{p^2} - \frac{p-1}{p^2} (4 + 2Np - 4N) \]
Notice that \( k_0 \geq 0 \) if \( \alpha \leq (N-2)(p-1) \) and that \( k_0 + k_1 = \beta_\alpha \). Now, \( k_0 + k_1 V_\varepsilon |x|^2 = f(|x|^2) \), where \( f(r) = \frac{\varepsilon_{k_0+(k_0+k_1)r}}{\varepsilon+r} \). Since \( \inf_{[0,\infty)} f = \min\{k_0, k_0 + k_1\} =: \mu \) we find
\[ \text{Re} (-Lu - \mu |x|^\alpha u, |V_\varepsilon u|^p - 2V_\varepsilon u) \geq \beta_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p \, dx. \]
The easiest case (see Lemma 3.1) is when \( \mu \geq 0 \).

Now, we prove a similar estimate for the operator \( \tilde{L} = L - \eta|x|^{\beta} \).

Lemma 3.3. Set \( V_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{|x|^2 + \varepsilon} \), \( \varepsilon > 0 \). If \( \beta > \alpha - 2 \geq 0 \) and \( \eta > 0 \) then for every \( u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \)
\[ \text{Re} (-\tilde{L} u - mu, |V_\varepsilon u|^p - 2V_\varepsilon u) \geq \beta_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p \, dx + \delta_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^{p-1} |u|^p |x|^\alpha \, dx, \]
where \( m = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{N + \alpha - 2}{p} \cdot \frac{(p-1)(N-2)-\alpha}{p} |x|^\alpha + \eta|x|^{\beta} \right) \), \( \beta_0 \) is given in Lemma 3.1 and
\[ \delta_\alpha = \frac{p-1}{p^2} (4\alpha - 4 - 2Np + 4N). \]

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. From Remark 3.2 and the inequality \( |x|^2 V_\varepsilon \leq 1 \) it follows that
\[ \text{Re} (-\tilde{L} u, |V_\varepsilon u|^p - 2V_\varepsilon u) \]
\[ \geq \beta_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^{p-1} |u|^p \left( \frac{N + \alpha - 2}{p} \cdot \frac{(p-1)(N-2)-\alpha}{p} |x|^\alpha + \eta|x|^{\beta} \right) \, dx \]
\[ + \left( \frac{4\alpha(p-1)}{p^2} - \frac{p-1}{p^2} (4 + 2Np - 4N) \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^{p-1} |u|^p |x|^\alpha \, dx \]
\[ \geq \beta_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^p |u|^p \, dx + m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^{p-1} |u|^p \, dx + \delta_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^{p-1} |u|^p |x|^\alpha \, dx. \]
Thus the proof of the lemma is concluded.

Applying Corollary 2.9, Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 1.1 we obtain the following generation results. We distinguish the two cases \( \alpha \leq 2 \) and \( \alpha > 2 \) since the hypotheses on the unperturbed operator \( L \) are different.

Theorem 3.4. Assume \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 2 \). Set \( k = \min\{\beta_0, (p-1)\gamma_0\} \). If \( 2p < N \) and \( \alpha \leq (N-2)(p-1) \) then, for every \( c < k \) the operator \( L + \frac{c}{|x|^2} \) endowed with the domain \( D_p \) defined in Theorem 2.1 generates a contractive positive \( C_0 \)-semigroup in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Moreover, \( C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a core for such an operator. Finally, the closure of \( \left( L + \frac{k}{|x|^2}, D_p \right) \) generates a contractive positive \( C_0 \)-semigroup in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \).
Theorem 3.5. Assume $\alpha > 2$. Set $k = \min\{\beta_0, (p-1)\gamma_0\}$. If $\frac{N-2}{p} < p < \frac{N}{2}$ and $\alpha < \frac{N(p-1)}{p}$, then for every $c < k$ the operator $L + \frac{k}{|x|^2}$ endowed with the domain $\overline{D}_p$ given in Theorem 2.2 generates a contractive positive $C_0$-semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a core for such an operator. Finally, the closure of $\left(L + \frac{1}{|x|^2}, \overline{D}_p \right)$ generates a contractive positive $C_0$-semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

The proofs of the two above theorems are identical. We limit ourselves in proving the latter.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. In order to apply Theorem 1.1, set $A = -L$, $D(A) = \overline{D}_p$, $D = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and let $B$ be the multiplicative operator by $\frac{1}{|x|^2}$ endowed with the maximal domain $D(|x|^{-2}) = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N); |x|^{-2}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$. We observe that the Yosida approximation $B_\varepsilon$ of $B$ is the multiplicative operator by $V_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{|x|^2+\varepsilon}$. Both $A$ and $B$ are $m$-accretive in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, Lemma 3.1 yields $(i)$ in Theorem 1.1 with $k_1 = \beta_0$, $\hat{c} = 0$ and $a = 0$. The second assumption $(ii)$ in Theorem 1.1 is obviously satisfied. The last one, $(iii)$, holds with $k_2 = (p-1)\gamma_0$ thanks to Corollary 2.9. Then, we infer that for every $c < k$, $-L - \frac{k}{|x|^2}$ with domain $\overline{D}_p$ is $m$-accretive in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a core for $-L - \frac{c}{|x|^2}$ by Theorem 2.2. Moreover, $-L - \frac{k}{|x|^2}$ is essentially $m$-accretive. By the Lumer Phillips Theorem (cf. [5, Chap. II, Theorem 3.15]) we obtain the generation result. Finally, the positivity of the semigroup is a consequence of Proposition 2.10. The dispersivity is equivalent to the positivity of the resolvent, which is equivalent to the positivity of the semigroup.

If $2p \geq N$, then $\beta_0 \leq 0$ and we cannot apply Theorem 1.1. However, if at least $\beta_\alpha \geq 0$, that is $2p - N \leq \alpha$, then we still have a generation result, relying on the following abstract theorem by Okazawa (see [16, Theorem 1.6]).

Theorem 3.6. Let $A$ and $B$ be linear $m$-accretive operators in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p < +\infty$. Let $D$ be a core of $A$. Assume that there are constants $\hat{c}, a, b \geq 0$ such that for all $u \in D$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\Re\{Au, \|B_\varepsilon u\|_p^2 - \|B_\varepsilon u\|_p^2 - \hat{c}\|u\|_p^2 - a\|B_\varepsilon u\|_p\|u\|_p, \|a\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2$$

where $B_\varepsilon := B(I + \varepsilon B)^{-1}$ denotes the Yosida approximation of $B$. If $\nu > b$ then $A + \nu B$ with domain $D(A) \cap D(B)$ is $m$-accretive and $D(A) \cap D(B)$ is core for $A$. Moreover, $A + \nu B$ is essentially $m$-accretive on $D(A) \cap D(B)$.

In our framework the above result leads to the following theorems. We recall that $D(|x|^{-2}) = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N); |x|^{-2}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$.

Theorem 3.7. Assume $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. If $2p \geq N$ and $2p - N \leq \alpha \leq (N-2)(p-1)$ then, for every $c < \beta_0$ the operator $L + \frac{c}{|x|^2}$ endowed with the domain $\overline{D}_p \cap D(|x|^{-2})$, where $D_p$ is defined in Theorem 2.1, generates a contractive analytic $C_0$-semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, the closure of $\left(L + \frac{\beta_0}{|x|^2}, \overline{D}_p \cap D(|x|^{-2}) \right)$ generates a contractive analytic $C_0$-semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Theorem 3.8. Assume $\alpha > 2$. If $2p \geq N$ and $2p - N \leq \alpha < \frac{N(p-1)}{p}$, then for every $c < \beta_0$ the operator $L + \frac{c}{|x|^2}$ endowed with the domain $\overline{D}_p \cap D(|x|^{-2})$, where $\overline{D}_p$ is given in Theorem 2.2, generates a contractive analytic $C_0$-semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. 

Moreover, the closure of \( \left( L + \frac{\beta_0}{|x|^2}, \hat{D}_p \cap D(|x|^{-2}) \right) \) generates a contractive analytic \( C_0 \)-semigroup in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

As before, we limit ourselves in proving the latter.

**Proof of Theorem 3.8.** In order to apply Theorem 3.6, set \( A = -L, D(A) = \hat{D}_p, D = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) and let \( B \) be the multiplicative operator by \( \frac{1}{|x|^2} \) endowed with the maximal domain \( D(|x|^{-2}) \) in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Both \( A \) and \( B \) are \( m \)–accrative in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Then, Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.6 (with \( b = -\beta_0, \tilde{c} = 0 \) and \( a = 0 \)) imply that \( \left( L + \frac{\beta_0}{|x|^2}, \hat{D}_p \cap D(|x|^{-2}) \right) \) is \( m \)–accrative in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \) for any \( c < \beta_0 \) and is essentially \( m \)–accrative if \( c = \beta_0 \). From the assumptions \( 2 < \alpha < \frac{N(p-1)}{p} \) it follows that \( p > N/(N - 2) \) and this yields \( \alpha < (N - 2)(p - 1) \). Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, \( L \) generates a positive \( C_0 \)-semigroup of contractions, which is also analytic. By inspecting the proof of [11, Theorem 8.1] it turns out that there exists \( \ell_\alpha > 0 \) such that

\[
|\text{Im}(Lu, |u|^{p-2}u)| \leq \ell_\alpha \left( -\text{Re}(Lu, |u|^{p-2}u) \right)
\]

for every \( u \in \hat{D}_p \) (the computations can be performed for \( u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) and then one gets the estimate for \( u \in \hat{D}_p \) using the fact that \( C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a core for \( L \)). Now, the previous estimate continues to hold for all \( u \in \hat{D}_p \cap D(|x|^{-2}) \) replacing \( L \) with \( L + \frac{\beta_0}{|x|^2}, \tilde{c} \leq \beta_0 \). This implies that \( e^{\pm i\theta} \left( L + \frac{\beta_0}{|x|^2} \right) \) is dissipative, where \( \cot \theta = \ell_\alpha \). By [5, Theorem 4.6, Chapter 2], it follows that \( L + \frac{\beta_0}{|x|^2} \) is sectorial and hence generates an analytic semigroup in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \). This ends the proof. \( \square \)

If we consider the operator \( \tilde{L} \) instead of \( L \) the above conditions on \( p \) can be simplified. So, by Theorem 2.3, Proposition 2.11 and Lemma 3.3, we can apply Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.6, respectively) since \( \delta_\alpha \geq 0 \) if and only if \( \alpha \geq 1 + \frac{N}{2}(p - 2) \).

**Theorem 3.9.** Assume \( \beta > \alpha - 2 > 0 \) and \( \eta > 0 \). Set \( k = \min\{\beta_0, (p - 1)\gamma_0\} \). If \( \alpha \geq 1 + \frac{N}{2}(p - 2) \) and \( N > 2p \) then for every \( c < \kappa \), the operator \( \tilde{L} + \frac{\beta_0}{|x|^2} \) endowed with the domain \( \hat{D}_p \) given in Theorem 2.3 generates a positive and quasi-contractive \( C_0 \)-semigroup in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Moreover, \( C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a core for such an operator. Finally, the closure of \( \left( \tilde{L} + \frac{\beta_0}{|x|^2}, \hat{D}_p \right) \) generates a positive and quasi-contractive \( C_0 \)-semigroup in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

**Theorem 3.10.** Assume \( \beta > \alpha - 2 > 0 \) and \( \eta > 0 \). If \( \alpha \geq 1 + \frac{N}{2}(p - 2) \) and \( N \leq 2p \) then for every \( c < \beta_0 \), the operator \( \tilde{L} + \frac{\beta_0}{|x|^2} \) endowed with the domain \( \hat{D}_p \cap D(|x|^{-2}) \) generates a quasi-contractive \( C_0 \)-semigroup in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Moreover, the closure of \( \left( \tilde{L} + \frac{\beta_0}{|x|^2}, \hat{D}_p \cap D(|x|^{-2}) \right) \) generates a quasi-contractive \( C_0 \)-semigroup in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

Let us end with the study of the optimality of the constant \( \beta_0 \) in (3.1).

**Proposition 3.11.** Assume that

\[
\text{Re}(-Lu, |Vu|^{p-2}Vu) \geq C\|Vu\|_p^p,
\]

for some \( C > 0 \), where \( V = \frac{1}{|x|^2} \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \). Then, \( C \leq \beta_0 \).
Proof. Take \( u(x) = v(r) \geq 0, r = |x| \). Then
\[
\mathcal{R}e\langle -Lu, |Vu|^{p-2}Vu \rangle = -\omega_N \int_0^{+\infty} (1 + r^\alpha) \left( v'' + \frac{N - 1}{r} v' \right) r^{-2(p-1)} v^{p-1} r^{N-1} dr
\]
= \( J \),
where \( \omega_N \) denotes the measure of the unit ball in \( \mathbb{R}^N \). Choose \( v(r) = r^\beta e^{-r/p} \), with \( \beta > \frac{2p-N}{p} \). Then
\[
J = -\omega_N \int_0^{+\infty} (1 + r^\alpha) \left( \beta (\beta + N - 2) r^\delta - 1 + \frac{1 - N - 2\beta}{p} r^\delta + \frac{1}{p^2} r^{\delta+1} \right) e^{-r} dr,
\]
where we have set \( \delta = \beta p + N - 2p \). Notice that \( \delta > 0 \) thanks to the choice of \( \beta \).
Using the properties of the Euler Gamma function, we have
\[
J = -\omega_N \left( \beta (\beta + N - 2) + \frac{1 - N - 2\beta}{p} \delta + \frac{1}{p^2} \delta(\delta+1) \right) \Gamma(\delta)
\]
- \( \omega_N \left( \beta (\beta + N - 2) + \frac{1 - N - 2\beta}{p} (\delta + \alpha) + \frac{1}{p^2} (\delta + \alpha)(\delta + \alpha + 1) \right) \Gamma(\delta + \alpha). \)

Now, observe that \( \|Vu\|_p^p = \omega_N \Gamma(\delta) \). Hence from (3.5) it follows that
\[
C \Gamma(\delta) \leq - \left( \beta (\beta + N - 2) + \frac{1 - N - 2\beta}{p} \delta + \frac{1}{p^2} \delta(\delta+1) \right) \Gamma(\delta)
\]
- \( \left( \beta (\beta + N - 2) + \frac{1 - N - 2\beta}{p} (\delta + \alpha) + \frac{1}{p^2} (\delta + \alpha)(\delta + \alpha + 1) \right) \Gamma(\delta + \alpha). \)

If \( \alpha = n \in \mathbb{N} \) then \( \Gamma(\delta + n) = (\delta + n - 1) \cdots \Gamma(\delta) \) and the previous estimate yields
\[
C \leq - \left( \beta (\beta + N - 2) + \frac{1 - N - 2\beta}{p} \delta + \frac{1}{p^2} \delta(\delta+1) \right)
\]
- \( \left( \beta (\beta + N - 2) + \frac{1 - N - 2\beta}{p} (\delta + \alpha) + \frac{1}{p^2} (\delta + \alpha)(\delta + \alpha + 1) \right) (\delta + n - 1) \cdots \delta. \)

Letting \( \delta \to 0^+ \) which corresponds to \( \beta \to \frac{2p-N}{p} \) eventually implies
\[
C \leq \frac{N(p-1)(N-2p)}{p^2}.
\]
Hence \( \beta_0 \) is the best constant for (3.5) to hold in the case \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \).

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to the referee for valuable comments, suggestions and substantial improvements to the paper.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Canale, A. Rhandi and C. Tacelli, Schrödinger type operators with unbounded diffusion and potential terms, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., to appear, Available on ArXiv (http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.0316v1).
[2] P. Baras and J. A. Goldstein, The heat equation with a singular potential, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 284 (1984), 121–139.
[3] T. Durante and A. Rhandi, On the essential self-adjointness of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators perturbed by inverse-square potentials, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Syst. S., 6 (2013), 649–655.
[4] D. E. Edmunds and W. E. Evans, Spectral Theory and Differential Operators, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987.
[5] K. J. Engel and R. Nagel, One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
[6] S. Fornaro and L. Lorenzi, Generation results for elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion coefficients in $L^p$- and $C_b$-spaces, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 18 (2007), 747–772.

[7] S. Fornaro and A. Rhandi, On the Ornstein Uhlenbeck operator perturbed by singular potentials in $L^p$-spaces, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 33 (2013), 5049–5058.

[8] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, 1983.

[9] L. Lorenzi and A. Rhandi, On Schrödinger type operators with unbounded coefficients: generation and heat kernel estimates, *J. Evol. Equ.*, 15 (2015), 53–88.

[10] G. Metafune and C. Spina, An integration by parts formula in Sobolev spaces, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 5 (2008), 357–369.

[11] G. Metafune and C. Spina, Elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion coefficients in $L^p$ spaces, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, XI (2012), 303–340.

[12] G. Metafune, N. Okazawa, M. Sobajima and C. Spina, Scale invariant elliptic operators with singular coefficients, *J. Evol. Equ.*, 16 (2016), 391–439.

[13] E. Mitidieri, A simple approach to Hardy inequalities, *Mat. Zametki*, 67 (2000), 563–572.

[14] R. Nagel (ed.), *One-Parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1184, Springer-Verlag, 1986.

[15] N. Okazawa, On the perturbation of linear operators in Banach and Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 34 (1982), 677–701.

[16] N. Okazawa, $L^p$-theory of Schrödinger operators with strongly singular potentials, *Japan. J. Math.*, 22 (1996), 199–239.

[17] E. M. Ouhabaz, *Analysis of Heat Equations on Domains*, London Math. Soc. Monographs 31, Princeton Univ. Press 2004.

[18] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.

[19] B. Simon, Essential self-adjointness of Schrödinger operators with singular potentials, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 52 (1973), 44–48.

Received March 2016; revised June 2016.

E-mail address: simona.fornaro@unipv.it
E-mail address: fgregorio@unisa.it
E-mail address: arhandi@unisa.it