In France, young adults are legally freed from parental authority at the age of 18 years and are, thus, responsible for their own vaccine record. This young adult population is more frequently exposed to vaccine-preventable infectious diseases.

OBJECTIVE: To determine the factors associated with students’ knowledge of the interval between two antitetanus boosters and their report of having up-to-date vaccinations.

METHODS: In April 2009, a survey was conducted involving a random sample of students between 18 and 25 years of age eating lunch at university dining facilities in Paris and its suburbs (Île de France).

RESULTS: Among the 677 students approached, 583 agreed to participate. Only 207 (36%) of respondents knew the recommended dosing interval between two doses of tetanus vaccine booster (10 years). The majority of students (69%) reported having up-to-date vaccinations. Declaring having up-to-date vaccinations was significantly associated with having a general practitioner (OR 3.03 [95% CI 1.69 to 5.55]).

CONCLUSIONS: An overall lack of knowledge of vaccines was observed among this student population. Health care providers, such as GPs and university medical practice staff, who interact with these young individuals have an essential role to promote better vaccination coverage in this population.
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In France, young adults are legally freed from parental authority at the age of 18 years and are, thus, responsible for their own vaccine record. The final booster for diphtheria, tetanus and polio vaccine (dTP) should normally be administered between 16 and 18 years of age, and should be renewed 10 years later as a tetravalent combined vaccine (including the acellular pertussis adsorbed) (1). Within this age bracket (18 to 25 years), booster injections are recommended for measles, mumps, rubella, human papilloma virus (HPV), meningococcal C and hepatitis B virus (HBV). In France, only recommended vaccinations. Young adults have an essential role to promote better vaccination coverage in this population.

CONCLUSIONS: An overall lack of knowledge of vaccines was observed among this student population. Health care providers, such as GPs and university medical practice staff, who interact with these young individuals have an essential role to promote better vaccination coverage in this population.
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Les connaissances des étudiants de Paris, en France, et des régions avoisinantes au sujet des vaccins

INTRODUCTION: En France, les jeunes adultes sont légalement libérés de l’autorité parentale à 18 ans et deviennent donc responsables de leur dossier de vaccination. La population de jeunes adultes est davantage exposée aux maladies infectieuses évitables par la vaccination.

OBJECTIF: Déterminer les facteurs associés aux connaissances des étudiants sur l’intervalle entre les deux doses de rappel du vaccin contre le tétanos et sur leur déclaration d’avoir une couverture vaccinale.

MÉTHODOLOGIE: En avril 2009, un sondage a été mené auprès d’un échantillon aléatoire d’étudiants de 18 à 25 ans qui, le midi, mangent aux cafétérias universitaires de Paris et des banlieues (Île de France).

RÉSULTATS: Sur les 677 étudiants abordés, 583 ont accepté de participer. Seulement 207 des répondants (36 %) connaissaient l’intervalle recommandé entre deux doses de rappel du vaccin contre le tétanos (dix ans). La majorité des étudiants (69 %) déclaraient avoir une couverture vaccinale à jour. Cette déclaration s’associait de manière significative au fait d’avoir un praticien général (RC 3,03 95 % IC 1,69 à 5,55). Les étudiants du milieu de la santé étaient considérablement plus enclins à connaître l’intervalle de dix ans entre deux doses de rappel du vaccin antitétanique (RC 2,95 % IC 1,28 à 3,25). La plupart des étudiants niaient (n=519 [89 %]) avoir une couverture vaccinale à jour.

CONCLUSIONS: Les chercheurs ont constaté une ignorance globale des vaccins au sein de cette population de patients. Les dispensateurs de soins, tels que les praticiens généraux et le personnel médical en milieu universitaire, qui dialoguent avec ces jeunes, ont un rôle essentiel à jouer pour promouvoir une meilleure couverture vaccinale au sein de cette population.

This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (CC BY-NC) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits reuse, distribution and reproduction of the article, provided that the original work is properly cited and the reuse is restricted to noncommercial purposes. For commercial reuse, contact support@pulsus.com
Our primary objective was to determine the socioeconomic factors associated with students' knowledge of the timing between two antitetanus boosters and with the statement of 'having up-to-date vaccinations' in general. Our secondary objective was to assess students' knowledge of rubella, HBV and papillomavirus vaccines.

METHODS
In April 2009, a survey was conducted among a random sample of students between 18 and 25 years of age at university dining facilities in Paris and its suburbs (Ile de France). This region was composed of eight departments and inhabited by 10,952,011 people (18.2% of the French population) including 599,281 students (26.2% of French students) (20).

Data were collected using an anonymous questionnaire (Appendix 2) distributed by a trained researcher. Questionnaires consisted of 36 questions related to the social characteristics of the population (age, sex, university department and parental profession), knowledge and behaviour toward vaccination. Vaccination status was, thus, self-reported. Questionnaires were validated before use. Among the 52 university dining facilities of Ile de France, 20 were randomly chosen. Random sampling was computer generated. In each dining facility, at lunch time, a researcher distributed the questionnaire to every 10th student in the queue. Inclusion criteria were: age (18 to 23 years); status as a student; and a good understanding of the questions (evaluated by the researcher after the first five questions). In the absence of eligibility, the following 10th student was chosen. After having explained the terms and conditions of the study, students were considered to have consented to inclusion if they agreed to answer the questionnaire.

The two relevant variables analyzed were: statement of having 'up-to-date vaccines' and knowing the time interval between two boosters of tetanus vaccines. Four groups of variables were created: socioeconomic characteristics of the student; medical follow-up; attitude toward vaccines; and knowledge of vaccines. Logistical regression models were used to identify the items statistically associated with the two relevant variables. For each of the two relevant variables, the variables of the four groups were first tested using univariate analyses. Significant variables (with a threshold of P<0.1) were entered in a multivariate model. The final model was obtained at the end of a downward step-by-step selection (P<0.05). Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 software (SAS Institute Inc, USA).

The present non-nominate, observational study did not require the approval of an ethics committee, according to French regulations.

RESULTS
Demographics and medical follow-up (Table 1)
Among the 677 students who were approached, 583 agreed to participate (86% response rate); 319 were female (male/female ratio 0.83) and the mean (± SD) age was 21.6±1.8 years. Students belonged to the following university departments: natural sciences (26%); health (19%); and humanities and arts (17%). A total of 528 (91%) students stated they were registered with a general practitioner (GP) and 514 (88%) stated they consulted their GP at least once in the past 12 months.

Attitude and knowledge of students regarding vaccinations (Table 2)
Regarding the two relevant variables, 207 (36%) students knew the tetanus booster interval and 404 (69%) reported having ‘up-to-date vaccines’. Most students questioned (89%) believed that vaccines were very useful. Only 11% of the students were reluctant to be vaccinated. Fear of injections/needles and of side effects were the main reasons cited (35% and 32%, respectively). A total of 448 students (77%) reported “not taking the initiative to be vaccinated”. This initiative was taken mostly by the GP for 251 students (43%) and by parents for 172 students (30%). Finally, 210 (36%) discussed vaccination during medical consultation.

The compulsory vaccinations in France (diphtheria, tetanus and polio) were unknown to 330 (57%) students, while 207 (36%) knew the time interval recommended between two doses of tetanus vaccine booster. Three hundred fifty-eight (61%) students were unaware of the risks prevented by rubella vaccine, and 341 (58%) and 269 (46%) did not know the risks related to HBV and HPV infections, respectively.

DISCUSSION
Only 207 (36%) respondents among a random sample of students in Ile de France knew the correct decennial interval between two tetanus booster doses. Health care students were more likely to know this. Otherwise, the majority (69%) of students believed that their vaccinations were up to date. The lack of knowledge regarding the decennial interval between two tetanus booster doses observed among our young population may be a cause of poor vaccine coverage in the future. Having seen a GP and having talked about vaccination with him or her was significantly associated with the knowledge of immunization status. Few students (11%) reported a reluctance to be vaccinated and most respondents (89%) believed that vaccines were very useful. The principal reported deterrents to vaccination were the fear of injections and of side effects. In addition, students remained passive in the decision to vaccinate, with most (73%) leaving it to their GP or parents. Our results also highlighted a poor knowledge among students about the risks of vaccine-preventable diseases, which are concordant with results of a German study involving medical students (19). For example, regarding HBV, only 26 (10%) students correctly identified cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma as future complications of the infection in our study. Lack of knowledge about HBV-related risks has already been reported in teenagers, students and young adults (2,4,21,22). In a student population, Lohoues Kouacou et al. (23) found that the understanding of liver disease was one of the factors highly associated with HBV vaccine coverage. In addition, several studies reported a lack of knowledge among students and young adults regarding the risk for genital HPV infection (12,14,24-27). Our samples corroborated these results.

Moreover, we found few reluctant students, similar to the results of Beytout et al. (28). Therefore, in this student population with regular medical follow-up, vaccinations should be easily conducted. Health care providers, such as GPs and university medical practice staff, who interact with these young individuals had an essential role. They promoted preventive actions, such as vaccination, during consultations. Our work demonstrated the key role of GPs, who took the initiative in vaccinating more than two of five students. Similarly, Dempsey et al. (29) found that the dTP vaccine coverage rate was correlated to the time investment in vaccination made by GPs. This role would be pertinent given the 2010 measles outbreak among young adults in France (30). This epidemic was responsible for 23,000 cases including six fatalities, 19 neurological complications and >700 cases of pneumonia. Apart from children <4 years of age, those who were affected the most were those between 20 and 25 years of age, with an incidence of 2.5 per 100,000 population.

However, our study had some limitations. It was restricted to students who used university dining facilities in Paris and its suburbs (Ile de France). The distribution of questionnaires by researchers could have led to answer suggestibility. The replies were declarative, which
TABLE 1

Sociodemographic characteristics and medical follow-up of the participants (n=583)

| Sociodemographic characteristics |   |   |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Female sex                       | 319 (55) |   |
| Age, years, mean                 | 21.6 |   |
| University department            |   |   |
| Humanities and arts              | 101 (17) |   |
| Health                           | 112 (19) |   |
| Law                              | 117 (21) |   |
| Natural sciences                 | 149 (26) |   |
| Sports                           | 30 (5) |   |
| Technical                        | 74 (13) |   |
| Year post-high school diploma    |   |   |
| 1                                | 189 (32) |   |
| 2                                | 100 (17) |   |
| 3                                | 127 (22) |   |
| 4                                | 94 (16) |   |
| ≥5                               | 73 (13) |   |
| Students with French nationality | 527 (92) |   |
| Grant holders                    | 434 (74) |   |
| Paternal profession              |   |   |
| Farmer                           | 6 (1) |   |
| Craft worker, shopkeeper, and head of firm | 70 (12) |   |
| Manager and high intellectual professions | 252 (43) |   |
| Intermediate professions†        | 47 (8) |   |
| Employee‡                        | 71 (12) |   |
| Worker§                          | 38 (7) |   |
| Retired, unemployed              | 99 (17) |   |
| Maternal profession              |   |   |
| Farmer                           | 3 (0.5) |   |
| Craft worker, shopkeeper and head of firm | 36 (6) |   |
| Manager and high intellectual professions | 167 (29) |   |
| Intermediate professions†        | 88 (15) |   |
| Employee‡                        | 127 (22) |   |
| Worker§                          | 14 (2.5) |   |
| Retired, unemployed              | 148 (25) |   |
| Medical follow up                |   |   |
| Students having a general practitioner (GP) | 528 (91) |   |
| Students having a health record  | 548 (94) |   |
| Students who consulted a GP in the past 12 months | 514 (88) |   |

Data presented as n (%) unless otherwise indicated. *Administration, business or public service head manager, university professor, engineer, medical doctor, lawyer, journalist, artist, officer; †School teacher, nurse, clergyman, technician, foreman, administration, noncommissioned officer, business or public service intermediate supervisor; §Policeman, soldier, administration employee, secretary, clerk; Driver, transporter, agricultural worker, unqualified craft worker or industrial worker

TABLE 2

Attitude and knowledge of students regarding vaccines (n=583)

| Attitude toward vaccination | n (%) |
|----------------------------|-------|
| GP taking initiative for vaccination | 251 (43) |
| Parents taking initiative for vaccination | 172 (30) |
| Students requesting a vaccination when consulting GP | 135 (23) |
| University general practice physician suggesting vaccination | 25 (4) |
| Students talking about vaccination during consultation | 210 (36) |
| Students believing vaccines to be very useful | 519 (89) |
| Students unafraid of vaccination | 405 (69) |
| Students reluctant to be vaccinated | 66 (11) |
| Due to fear of injections/needles | 23 (35) |
| Due to fear of side effects | 20 (30) |
| Due to fearing of developing the disease | 7 (11) |
| Due to a rare disease | 3 (4) |
| Due to preference of natural medicine | 2 (3) |
| Does not know | 11 (17) |

Knowledge regarding vaccines

| Students knowing compulsory vaccines in France | 253 (43) |
| Students knowing the tetanus booster interval* | 207 (36) |
| Answers regarding the risks prevented by rubella vaccine | 225 (39) |
| Teratogenicity | 174 (77) |
| Sterility | 24 (11) |
| Rubella | 18 (8) |
| Other | 9 (4) |
| Answers regarding the risks prevented by HBV vaccine | 242 (42) |
| Hepatitis B | 143 (60) |
| Sexually transmitted infections | 43 (18) |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma | 18 (7) |
| Hepatic cirrhosis | 8 (3) |
| Other | 30 (12) |
| Answers regarding the risks prevented by HPV vaccine | 314 (54) |
| Cervical cancer | 226 (72) |
| Uterine cancer | 59 (19) |
| Unspecified cancer | 10 (3) |
| Other | 19 (6) |
| Students declaring a mass and individual vaccine protection | 524 (92) |
| Students reporting up to date vaccinations* | 404 (69) |
| Vaccine status lack of knowledge is due to lack of knowledge and, therefore, vaccine uptake, which should continue through to adulthood | 118 (66) |
| Vaccine status lack of knowledge is due to lack of time | 21 (12) |
| Vaccine status lack of knowledge is due to forgetfulness | 17 (9) |

*The two relevant variables. GP General practitioner; HBV Hepatitis B virus; HPV Human papilloma virus

CONCLUSION

We observed a lack of knowledge about the tetanus booster interval and the risks of vaccine-preventable diseases. We also found that declaring an up-to-date vaccination status was significantly associated with having a GP. Thus, it should be impressed on GPs to educate patients effectively on the importance of vaccination, especially students. These results also showed the importance of informing students on vaccine-preventable diseases to improve their knowledge and, therefore, vaccine uptake, which should continue through to adulthood. In addition, mass-media information campaigns using the Internet, television, radio, newspapers, movie theaters, street and public transportation poster signs would also play an essential role. Finally, educational lectures on vaccination and vaccine-preventable diseases in schools from kindergarten to grade 12 should be considered.

DISCLOSURES: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.
TABLE 3
Variables associated with the correct knowledge of the decennial tetanus booster in the 583 participants

| Variable | Decennial tetanus booster | P* | OR | 95% CI | P† |
|----------|---------------------------|----|----|-------|----|
| Sociodemographic characteristics | | | | | |
| Studying health care | <0.001 | 2 | 1.28–3.25 | 0.002 |
| French nationality | 0.061 | 0.11–3.25 | 0.002 |
| Male sex | 0.148 | 0.11–3.25 | 0.002 |
| Having at least one unemployed parent | 0.151 | 1.11–2.44 | 0.002 |
| >3 years post-high school diploma | 0.086 | 1.15–2.33 | 0.002 |
| Economical difficulties for vaccination | 0.217 | 1.15–2.33 | 0.002 |
| Having a grant | 0.033 | 0.4 | 0.14–0.65 | 0.002 |
| Medical follow-up | | | | | |
| Students having a general practitioner | <0.001 | 3 | 1.69–5.55 | 0.002 |
| Students who consulted a general practitioner in the past 12 months | <0.001 | 3 | 1.69–5.55 | 0.002 |
| Attitude toward vaccination | | | | | |
| Believing vaccination is useful | 0.174 | | | |
| Being reluctant to get vaccinated | <0.001 | 0.4 | 0.21–0.78 | 0.002 |
| Knowledge regarding vaccines | | | | | |
| Reporting up-to-date vaccinations | 0.003 | 1.6 | 1.19–2.04 | 0.002 |
| Knowing compulsory vaccines | <0.001 | 1.7 | 1.15–2.33 | 0.002 |
| Identifying diphtheria vaccine as a compulsory vaccine | 0.073 | | | |
| Identifying pertussis vaccine as a compulsory vaccine | 0.003 | 2.1 | 1.29–3.33 | 0.002 |
| Identifying varicella vaccine as a compulsory vaccine | 0.034 | 0.38 | 0.18–0.77 | 0.002 |

*Univariate analysis; †Multivariate analysis

TABLE 4
Factors associated with reporting “having up-to-date vaccinations” in the 583 participants

| Variable | Reporting having up-to-date vaccinations | P* | OR | 95% CI | P† |
|----------|------------------------------------------|----|----|-------|----|
| Sociodemographic characteristics | | | | | |
| Studying health care | 0.005 | 1.7 | 1.11–3.25 | 0.019 |
| French nationality | 0.015 | 2.2 | 0.94–3.44 | 0.076 |
| Male sex | 0.201 | 0.7 | 0.46–0.96 | 0.029 |
| Having at least one unemployed parent | 0.011 | 0.7 | 0.42–0.98 | 0.01 |
| >3 years post-high school diploma | 0.011 | 0.8 | 0.65–1.02 | 0.015 |
| Having a grant | 0.467 | | | |
| Medical follow up | | | | | |
| Students having a general practitioner | <0.001 | 3 | 1.69–5.55 | <0.001 |
| Students who consulted a general practitioner in the past 12 months | <0.001 | 1.9 | 1.04–3.57 | 0.004 |
| Attitude toward vaccination | | | | | |
| Believing vaccination is useful | 0.003 | 2.2 | 1.29–3.84 | 0.003 |
| Being reluctant to get vaccinated | 0.088 | | | |
| Knowledge regarding vaccines | | | | | |
| Knowing compulsory vaccines | 0.651 | | | |
| Identifying diphtheria vaccine as a compulsory vaccine | 0.098 | 1.6 | 1.06–2.50 | 0.027 |
| Identifying pertussis vaccine as a compulsory vaccine | 0.051 | | | |
| Identifying varicella vaccine as a compulsory vaccine | 0.948 | | | |

*Univariate analysis; †Multivariate analysis

APPENDIX 1
There are some data regarding children and the elderly but they do not correspond to our population.

In the French pediatric population:

- Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus vaccination: At two years of age, 98.7% had already completed the first three-shot series and 91.3% had received the booster dose (fourth shot) in 2011.
- Pertussis vaccination: At two years of age, 98.4% had already the first three-shot series and 90.5% had received the booster dose (fourth shot) in 2011.

- Hepatitis B virus vaccination: At two years of age, 74.2% already completed the three-shot series in 2011.
- Measles-mumps-rubella: At two years of age, 67.3% completed the two-shot series in 2011

In the geriatric population: dTP coverage is 44% after 65 years of age. There are no data concerning national vaccination coverage in French students. This is why we conducted our survey.
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### APPENDIX 2

| QUESTION | YES | NO | DO NOT KNOW |
|----------|-----|----|-------------|
| 15. Do you think your vaccinations are “up to date”? | | | |
| 16. Do you think you have been vaccinated since the age of 18-19 years? | | | |
| 17. Have you consulted a GP during the last twelve months? | | | |
| 18. During this consultation did you talk about vaccinations? | | | |
| 19. Who takes responsibility for your vaccinations? | | | |
| 20. Do you know there are free vaccination centers for routine vaccines (apart from the travel clinics)? | | | |
| 21. Do you have a general practitioner? | | | |
| 22. Would you like to fill a short questionnaire about vaccination? | | | |
| 23. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 24. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 25. Have you, in the past, given up vaccination for financial reasons? | | | |
| 26. Are you currently employed? | | | |
| 27. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 28. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 29. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 30. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 31. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 32. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 33. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 34. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 35. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 36. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 37. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 38. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 39. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 40. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 41. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 42. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 43. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 44. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 45. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 46. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 47. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 48. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 49. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 50. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 51. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 52. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 53. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 54. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 55. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 56. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 57. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 58. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 59. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 60. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 61. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 62. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 63. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 64. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 65. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 66. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 67. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 68. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 69. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 70. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 71. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 72. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 73. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 74. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 75. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 76. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 77. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 78. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 79. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 80. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 81. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 82. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 83. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 84. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 85. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 86. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 87. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 88. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 89. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 90. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 91. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 92. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 93. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 94. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 95. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 96. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 97. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 98. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 99. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |
| 100. Are you afraid of vaccinations? | | | |

Thank you for your participation.
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