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Abstract

Negative and positive emotions are known to shape decision-making towards more or less impulsive responses respectively. Decision-making and emotion processing are underpinned by shared brain regions including the ventromedio-prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and the amygdala. How these processes interact at the behavioural and brain levels is still unclear.

We used a lesion model to address this question. Study participants included individuals diagnosed with behavioural-variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD, n=18), who typically present deficits in decision-making/emotion processing and atrophy of the vmPFC, individuals with Alzheimer’s disease (AD, n=12) who present with atrophy in limbic...
structures, and age-matched healthy controls (CTRL, n=15). Prior to each choice on the delay discounting task participants were cued with a positive, negative or neutral picture and asked to vividly imagine witnessing the event. As hypothesised, our findings showed that, bvFTD patients were more impulsive than AD and CTRL and did not show any emotion-related modulation of delay discounting rate. In contrast, AD patients showed increased impulsivity when primed by negative emotion. This increased impulsivity was associated with reduced integrity of bilateral amygdala in AD but not in bvFTD. Altogether, our results indicate that decision-making and emotion interact at the level of the amygdala supporting findings from animal studies.
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**Introduction**

Emotions play an important part to many of our decisions (Bechara *et al.*, 2000; Clore and Huntsinger, 2007). Choosing to save for our children’s education rather than buying our dream car not only involves options with different reward magnitude and delays but also options with distinctive affective content. How emotions interact with decision-making processes, however, is still largely unresolved.

The well-established delay discounting task measures the ability to forgo immediate small rewards in favour of larger longer-term rewards (Green and Myerson, 2004). Choosing larger-later rewards over smaller-sooner rewards (e.g., $70 in 40 days over $50 now) is associated with enhanced performance across the lifespan including better academic performance, social relationships and more adaptive social functioning (Hirsch *et al.*, 2008; Shamosh and Gray, 2008). In contrast, the tendency to choose the smaller-sooner rewards over larger-later rewards has been associated with impulsivity-related behaviours and pathological conditions including drug dependence (Bickel and Marsch, 2001), gambling (Reynolds, 2006) or eating disorders (Kekic *et al.*, 2016). Neuroimaging studies investigating delay discounting consistently point to a core network including the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), amygdala, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and striatum (McClure *et al.*, 2004; Kable and Glimcher, 2007; McClure *et al.*, 2007; Ballard and Knutson, 2009; Peters and Buchel, 2009). Studies, however, report inconsistent findings, possibly due to focused region-of-interest analyses (Kable and Levy, 2015) or healthy populations where no structural abnormalities are reported (Bjork *et al.*, 2009; Bernhardt *et al.*, 2014; Tscherneegg *et al.*, 2015). Greater delay discounting has been associated with reduced grey matter intensity in striatum (Dombrovski *et al.*, 2012; Cho *et al.*, 2013), vmPFC (Bernhardt *et al.*, 2014; Pehlivanova *et al.*, 2018), lateral prefrontal cortex (Bjork *et al.*, 2009), superior frontal gyrus (Schwartz *et al.*, 2010), ACC (Bernhardt *et al.*, 2014), hippocampus (Lebreton *et al.*, 2013),
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insula (Turel et al., 2018) as well as temporal pole and temporoparietal junction (Pehlivanova et al., 2018). Conversely, greater delay discounting has also been associated with increased grey matter intensity of the striatum (Schwartz et al., 2010; Tschernegg et al., 2015), vmPFC and ACC (Cho et al., 2013) and prefrontal cortex (Wang et al., 2016). Lesion studies have shown that that vmPFC lesion increases delay discounting (i.e., impulsivity), compared with healthy controls or with individuals with lesions in other brain regions (Sellitto et al., 2011; Peters and D’Esposito, 2016). In rodents, lesions of the basolateral amygdala (BLA) (Winstanley et al., 2004; Floresco and Ghods-Sharifi, 2007; Ghods-Sharifi et al., 2009) or disconnection between the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and the BLA (Churchwell et al., 2009) increases delay discounting similar to lesions to the OFC (Mobini et al., 2002). To our knowledge, no studies have investigated the effect of amygdala damage on delay discounting in humans. Evidence shows that patients with focal amygdala have reduced loss aversion (De Martino et al., 2010) and lower scores on tasks of decision-making under risk (Bechara et al., 1999; Bar-On et al., 2003; Hanten et al., 2006; Brand et al., 2007; Weller et al., 2007) or under ambiguity conditions (Brand et al., 2007).

Relevant to this study, some key regions underlying decision-making – vmPFC and amygdala – are known to play a central role in emotion processing (Hommer et al., 2003; Lindquist et al., 2012; Herman et al., 2018; Kelley et al., 2018) and are extensively connected (Haber and Knutson, 2010; Schardt et al., 2010; Patin and Hurlemann, 2011; Plichta and Scheres, 2014). While the vmPFC appears to respond to both negative and positive stimuli (Winecoff et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2020), the amygdala is traditionally known from animal and human lesion studies as the hub for processing negative emotions (LeDoux, 1998; Adolphs et al., 2005).

Human neuroimaging studies also support the view for a central role of the amygdala in processing negative emotions (Davis and Whalen, 2001), although amygdala activation during positive emotion processing has been reported as well (Garavan et al., 2001; Hamann
and Mao, 2002). Because of their mutual connections, it is not surprising that contextual information such as emotions shift choices on the delay discounting task toward being more patient or impulsive (Lempert and Phelps, 2016).

The majority of studies show that short (1.5 seconds, Guan et al., 2015) or long (15 seconds, Augustine and Larsen, 2011) exposure to negative emotional pictures increase the propensity to choose smaller-sooner over larger-later rewards whereas exposure to positive pictures shift decisions towards choosing larger-later rewards (Guan et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2019). Similar findings were also reported in studies using emotional episodic future thinking as the emotional cue (Liu et al., 2013; Lin and Epstein, 2014; Zhang et al., 2018). Some studies find opposite findings, with effects specific to particular conditions, namely reports of increased delay discounting following positive emotion but only in extraverted individuals (Hirsh et al., 2010) and decreased delay discounting following fearful faces (Luo et al., 2014). Arousing pictures, regardless of emotion, also tend to increase delay discounting (Wilson and Daly, 2004; Sohn et al., 2015).

This study aimed to identify the relations between decision-making and emotion processing and their biological mechanisms, using a lesion model. Inclusion of patients with behavioural-variant frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer’s disease, presenting with atrophy in the key brain regions of the reward and emotion network (vmPFC, limbic lobe) will clarify the role of emotion on delay discounting and the contribution of each brain region in delay discounting. Behavioural-variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD) is a neurodegenerative condition characterized by marked changes to personality and interpersonal conduct (Piguet et al., 2017) as evidenced by their increase in “impulsive, rash or careless actions” (Rascovsky et al., 2011). Patients with bvFTD also show disruption in emotional processing (Lavenu et al., 1999; Keane et al., 2002; Fernandez-Duque and Black, 2005; Kipps et al., 2009; Kumfor et al., 2013a, 2014a). Atrophy is typically reported in
emotion-specific brain regions namely in vmPFC and insula (Seeley et al., 2008), which extends into subcortical regions with disease progression (Landin-Romero et al., 2017). Given their behavioural deficits – decision-making and emotion processing – and atrophy of the vmPFC, we would anticipate a correlation between reduced grey matter intensity in the vmPFC and increased delay discounting, regardless of emotion.

The predominant clinical feature of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) in contrast is an impairment in episodic memory (McKhann et al., 2011), mainly attributed to atrophy of structures of the medio-temporal limbic system such as hippocampus and amygdala (Scheltens et al., 1992; McKhann et al., 2011; Poulin et al., 2011) and progressing to parietal, posterior cingulate and frontal cortices with disease (Nestor et al., 2003; Dickerson et al., 2009; Landin-Romero et al., 2017). Early in the disease process, interpersonal behaviour and emotion processing are relatively preserved in AD, although some facets of emotion processing and behaviour are impaired (Cummings, 1997; Hoefer et al., 2008) and worsen with disease progression (Bidzan et al., 2012; Kumfor et al., 2014b; Bertoux et al., 2015a). AD patients, although overall capable of recognizing emotions, can be severely impaired in retrieving emotions relevant to autobiographical memories for example (Irish et al., 2011; Kumfor et al., 2013a).

While emotion processing deficit is considered a core feature of bvFTD (Rascovsky et al., 2011), emotion processing – to some extent – remains comparatively preserved in AD (Lavenu et al., 1999). Despite relatively preserved decision-making and emotion processing compared to bvFTD, we would anticipate emotion to interact with delay discounting performance in AD. We would also expect reduced amygdalar grey matter integrity to increase delay discounting and weaken the interactions between emotions and decision-making.

Few studies have investigated delay discounting in bvFTD and AD. Increased delay discounting has been reported in bvFTD compared to AD (Lebreton et al., 2013; Bertoux et
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al., 2015b) and in healthy controls (Beagle et al., 2020), while Chiong et al. (2016) reported similar performance between bvFTD, AD and controls. AD patients show a trend for increased delay discounting compared to healthy controls (Lebreton et al., 2013; Bertoux et al., 2015b; Beagle et al., 2020). Brain-behaviour associations with delay discounting performance in bvFTD and AD are less clear as most studies only included behavioural data (Bertoux et al., 2015b), only reported patterns of brain atrophy (Lebreton et al., 2013) or investigated brain-behaviour correlations across etiologies (Lansdall et al., 2017; Beagle et al., 2020). The only study investigating brain-behaviour correlations in bvFTD and AD (Chiong et al., 2016) failed to find significant correlations between brain atrophy and delay discounting probably because of the lack of between-group behavioural differences. Only one study investigated or reported brain-behaviour correlations in bvFTD and AD in decision-making tasks other than the delay discounting task (Kloeters et al., 2013). Using the Iowa Gambling Task, this study found that decision-making deficits were attributed to frontal atrophy in bvFTD, but to temporal/parietal atrophy in AD.

To identify the influence of emotion on delay discounting, we presented individuals diagnosed with bvFTD or AD, and healthy controls emotional or neutral pictures before each choice on a delay discounting task. Given their divergent patterns of brain atrophy and clinical features, we predicted that bvFTD would exhibit greater impulsivity overall compared with the other two groups, and that AD would be more impulsive overall than controls. In addition, we hypothesized that due to their deficits in emotion processing, bvFTD would not show any emotion induced modulation of delay discounting. In contrast, we expected AD to show a similar emotion-induced modulation of delay discounting than controls, namely increased delay discounting, that is impulsivity, for negative emotions and decreased delay discounting for positive emotions. At the anatomical level, we expected the decision-making deficits to relate to distinct neural structures (Kloeters et al., 2013). Based
on lesion studies (Sellitto et al., 2011; Peters and D’Esposito, 2016), we predicted that increased delay discounting in the bvFTD group would correlate with decreased grey matter intensity in the vmPFC, regardless of emotional valence. In the AD group, given the limited vmPFC atrophy, we anticipated that atrophy of the amygdala and other limbic structures would be related to increased delay discounting as demonstrated in animal studies (Winstanley et al., 2004; Floresco and Ghods-Sharifi, 2007; Ghods-Sharifi et al., 2009). In addition, because of its central role in processing negative emotion, we also hypothesized that reduced grey matter intensity in the amygdala in AD would counteract the expected increased delay discounting in the negative condition.

Methods

Participants

Twenty-two patients diagnosed with bvFTD, 15 patients with AD and 15 education- and age-matched healthy controls were recruited from FRONTIER, the frontotemporal dementia research clinic in Sydney, Australia. Calculation of sample size was based on an a priori power analysis using G*Power (Faul et al., 2007). For an alpha level of 0.05, an anticipated effect size of 0.06 (medium) and a power of 0.80, the estimated total sample is 36 participants (12 in each group). All patients underwent a comprehensive neurological examination, a neuropsychological assessment, and a structural brain MRI. Diagnosis was established according to relevant clinical diagnostic criteria at the time of testing for probable or possible bvFTD (Rascovsky et al., 2011) and AD (McKhann et al., 2011). Diagnosis was established by multidisciplinary agreement based on cognitive, clinical and imaging data. Exclusion criteria for patients and controls included: presence of a primary psychiatric disorder, presence of other dementia or neurological disorders, and/or history of alcohol or substance abuse. All healthy controls underwent the comprehensive neuropsychological assessment and
the brain MRI and were required to score >88/100 on the ACE-III to ensure they did not have any significant cognitive impairments. All participants or their Person Responsible provided informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The South Eastern Sydney Local Health District and the University of New South Wales ethics committees approved the study.

Neuropsychological assessment

The ACE-III was used to assess general cognition (Hsieh et al., 2013; So et al., 2018). Disease severity was assessed with the Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration-Modified Clinical Dementia Rating Scale Sums of Boxes (CDR-FTLD SoB) (Knopman et al., 2008) and disease duration was measured in years from the first onset of symptoms.

Delay discounting task

The ability to delay gratification was assessed with the Monetary Choice Questionnaire (MCQ, Kirby et al., 1999). The MCQ comprises 27 dichotomous choices asking participants to choose between a smaller, immediate monetary reward or a larger, delayed monetary reward (e.g., “Would you prefer $15 today or $35 in 13 days?”). Estimates of delay discounting were calculated for all reward magnitudes as well as for each different reward magnitude, categorised as low- ($25–35), medium- ($50–60), and high-magnitude ($75–85) trials. Indifference points were calculated with the classically used hyperbolic discounting equation: $V=A/(1+kD)$ (Mazur, 1987) where $V$ represents the present value of the delayed reward $A$ at delay $D$ and $k$ is a free parameter that determines the discount rate. Larger values for $k$ indicate a preference for smaller immediate reward. Because of skewness, $k$ values were log-transformed ($\log{k}$) (Gray et al., 2016). Although the monetary rewards were hypothetical,
real and hypothetical rewards lead to similar patterns of discounting (Johnson and Bickel, 2002; Madden et al., 2003). Prior to each choice, an emotional picture (Positive, POS; Negative, NEG; or Neutral, NEU) was presented for 5 seconds and participants were instructed to vividly imagine that they were witnessing the event/content depicted in it (Figure 1).

To control that they understood the task correctly, participants completed a training session consisting of three trials, during which they were asked on one random trial to indicate (i) which choice would pay sooner and (ii) which choice would pay greater. Only participants completing the training session and answering correctly the control questions were retained for the analyses.

---------- Figure 1 ----------

Participants completed three blocks (POS, NEG or NEU) of the delay discounting task in a randomized order. Each trial began with a fixation cross presented on a 21.5 inch monitor for 500 ms, a picture displayed for 5000 ms and a screen containing both choices displayed until participants responded. An inter-stimulus interval (ISI) of 1000-2000 ms preceded the following trial. Participants indicated their choices by pressing the left or right arrow of a keyboard, according to the choice displayed on the left or the right of the screen. Each block lasted approximately 5 minutes. The three blocks were separated by a 5-minute break during which participants completed various questionnaires. Stimulus delivery and subjects’ responses for both tasks were controlled using E-prime 2.0 software (Psychology Software Tools, Pennsylvania, USA).

The pictures were realistic, high-quality photographs chosen from the Nencki Affective Picture System (NAPS, Marchewka et al., 2014). Pictures were selected on the basis of their original valence rating (1 = very negative, 5 = neutral, 9 = very positive) and ultimately
designated as (mean ± standard deviation) positive (7.9 ± 0.2), negative (2.5 ± 0.3) or neutral (5.1 ± 0.2; F(2,80) = 2628.93, p < 0.01). Arousal ratings also differed between positive (4.1 ± 0.1), negative (6.7 ± 0.5) and neutral pictures (4.8 ± 0.4; F(2,80) = 105.97, p < 0.01). Stimuli were matched with respect to their luminance (F(2,80) = 0.63, p = 0.53), contrast (F(2,80) = 2.01, p = 0.14) and entropy (F(2,80) = 2.02, p = 0.14).

Questionnaires

Between each delay discounting block, participants completed the present and future sections of the Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory (ZTPI) which comprises 37 items ranging from 1 (very untrue) to 5 (very true) and grouped into present-hedonistic, present-fatalistic and future dimensions (Zimbardo and Boyd, 2015).

At the end of the experimental session, participants rated valence and arousal for a subset of pictures (n = 15) of each emotion category using the Self-Assessment Manikin (Lang et al., 1997) and a scale from 1 to 9 (valence: 1 = very negative to 9 = very positive; arousal: 1 = relaxed to 9 = aroused). The picture remained on the screen until the response was recorded.

Statistical analyses

Data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics, 24.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA). Normally distributed variables, as determined with Shapiro–Wilk tests, were compared across groups using mixed or one-way ANOVAs followed by Sidak post hoc tests. Variables not normally distributed across our sample were analysed by Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA followed by Mann-Whitney U tests. Categorical measures (e.g., sex) were analysed by Chi-square tests. Effect sizes are reported using the partial eta-square (η²).
We investigated delay discounting (logk) with a 3 x 3 mixed ANOVA with within factor of Emotion (POS, NEG or NEU) and between factor of Group (bvFTD, AD, CTRL). Significant interactions were followed by simple effects at each combination of levels of the other factors and followed by Sidak post hoc tests. Additionally, we investigated effects of Emotion for each reward magnitude separately using the same statistical analysis.

Correlations between the significant delay discounting conditions (Pos, Neg, Neu) in bvFTD and AD and respective valence/arousal ratings (Pos, Neg, Neu) were analysed using Spearman rank coefficient. Only correlations surviving Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons were kept.

**Neuroimaging analyses**

**MRI acquisition**

Participants underwent whole-brain structural MRI on a GE Discovery MR750 3T scanner equipped with an 8-channel head coil. High resolution 3D BRAVO T1-weighted images were acquired using the following parameters: imaging matrix of $256 \times 256 \times 200$, 1mm isotropic voxel resolution, echo time = 2.5ms, repetition time = 6.7 ms, inversion time = 900ms, flip angle = 8°.

**Data pre-processing**

Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) was conducted using SPM12 (Welcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK), in Matlab R2018a (Mathworks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA). First, T1-weighted images were segmented into six tissue probability maps in the native space. Both the original T1-weighted and the segmented maps were screened during
an image quality control. Two participants (1 bvFTD and 1 AD) were removed for the subsequent pre-processing steps and statistical analyses due to motion during the acquisition or segmentation failure. A DARTEL template was computed using all the grey and white matter probability maps which satisfied our criteria for quality control. Last, grey matter probability maps were spatially normalized to the Montreal National Institute (MNI) space according to the transformation parameters from the corresponding DARTEL template. Images were modulated and smoothed with a Gaussian filter of full width at half maximum of 8 mm.

**Voxel-based morphometry analyses**

Patterns of grey matter intensity decrease were explored using a whole-brain general linear model comprising bvFTD, AD and CTRL groups as well as age and total intracranial volume (to account for individual differences in head size) as regressors of non-interest. The total intracranial volume was assessed in the patient’s space prior to spatial normalization by summing thresholded grey matter, white matter and corticospinal fluid probability maps (threshold = 0.2) and counting non-zero voxels. Differences in grey matter intensities between groups (bvFTD vs control; AD vs control) were assessed using t-tests.

Next, correlations between delay discounting and grey matter intensity were investigated. Scores for each delay discounting condition (POS, NEG or NEU) were entered simultaneously into the design matrix. Age and total intracranial volume were included as regressors of non-interest. Correlations were first investigated between delay discounting and grey matter intensity combining all participants (bvFTD, AD, CTRL). Then, the same analyses described above were conducted to investigate correlations in each patient group combined with controls in order to identify the neural correlates of delay discounting distinct
to each patient group. Inclusion of controls has been shown to increase statistical power to
detect brain–behaviour relationships across the entire brain (e.g., Kumfor et al., 2013b).
Voxel-wise statistical analyses are reported using a cluster size of at least 50 voxels, at
statistical threshold of $p < 0.001$, uncorrected for multiple comparison. This approach
minimizes Type I error while balancing the risk of Type II error (Lieberman and
Cunningham, 2009). Significant results were overlaid on the Montreal Neurological Institute
(MNI) standard brain using MRIcron (https://www.nitrc.org/projects/mricron).

Results

Demographic and neuropsychological profiles

Twenty-two individuals diagnosed with bvFTD, 15 with Alzheimer’s disease and 15 older
healthy controls were recruited for this study. Seven participants (4 bvFTD, 3 AD), however,
failed the delay discounting task training session and their data were therefore removed from
the analyses. As such, the final samples included 18 bvFTD, 12 AD and 15 CTRL
participants. As reported in Table 1, groups were well matched on age ($p = 0.636$). Although
groups are statistically matched on sex ($p = 0.080$) and education level ($p = 0.066$), the
bvFTD group is marginally composed of more men of lower education than the control or
AD groups. Patient groups did not differ on disease duration ($p = 0.261$) or disease severity
(CDR-FTLD Sob, $p = 0.989$) either. AD were however significantly more impaired on
general cognition than bvFTD (ACE-III, $p < 0.001$). Both patient groups had significantly
greater disease severity ($p < 0.001$) and impaired general cognition ($p < 0.001$) than controls.
Excluded participants tended to be more impaired on the ACE-III than their respective
samples (bvFTD included: 82.0 ± 9.9, bvFTD excluded: 73.2 ± 14.6, p = 0.15; AD included: 65.9 ± 12.6, AD excluded: 53.6 ± 6.8, p = 0.06).

----------- Table 1 and Figure 2 -----------

Delay discounting results

Performance on the delay discounting task is illustrated in Figure 2A (and Supplementary Figure 2 which displays individual delay discounting rate for each condition). The ANOVA on delay discounting rate (log k) revealed a significant main effect of Emotion (F(2,84) = 6.316, p = 0.003, ηp² = 0.131), Group (F(2,42) = 7.504, p = 0.002, ηp² = 0.263) and an Emotion x Group interaction (F(4,84) = 3.669, p = 0.008, ηp² = 0.149). Simple effects tests for each level of factor Group showed a main effect of Emotion for AD (F(2,22) = 5.717, p = 0.010, ηp² = 0.342), but not for bvFTD (F(2,34) = 0.306, p = 0.739, ηp² = 0.018) or CTRL (F(2,28) = 2.116, p = 0.139, ηp² = 0.131). AD showed increased delay discounting (i.e. impulsivity) in the NEG compared to POS (p = 0.003) and NEU conditions (p = 0.036). Simple effects tests for each level of factor Emotion showed main effects of Group for all 3 emotions (all p < 0.01).

Patients with bvFTD patients were significantly more impulsive than CTRL on all emotion conditions (all p < 0.002), and significantly more impulsive than AD on POS (p = 0.007) and NEU conditions (p = 0.033). AD were significantly more impulsive than CTRL on the NEG condition only (p = 0.024).

Figure 2B displays delay discounting rates for each reward magnitude. For low-magnitude rewards, significant main effects of Emotion (F(2,84) = 3.389, p = 0.038, ηp² = 0.075), and Group (F(2,42) = 5.133, p = 0.010, ηp² = 0.196) were present but no Emotion x Group interaction (F(4,84) = 1.358, p = 0.256, ηp² = 0.061). In other words, participants were more impulsive in the NEG than POS (p = 0.011) or NEU (p = 0.030) conditions and the bvFTD
group was more impulsive than the CTRL group ($p = 0.003$). Focused analyses on the control group showed that the CTRL were more impulsive in the NEG compared to POS condition ($p = 0.010$).

For medium-magnitude rewards, a significant main effect of Group was observed ($F_{(2,42)} = 8.359, p = 0.001, \eta^2_p = 0.285$) but not of Emotion ($F_{(2,84)} = 2.232, p = 0.114, \eta^2_p = 0.050$) or Emotion x Reward interaction ($F_{(4,84)} = 2.118, p = 0.086, \eta^2_p = 0.092$). The bvFTD group was more impulsive than AD ($p = 0.022$) and CTRL ($p < 0.001$).

For high-magnitude rewards, significant main effects of Emotion ($F_{(2,84)} = 5.142, p = 0.008, \eta^2_p = 0.109$), Group ($F_{(2,42)} = 7.061, p = 0.002, \eta^2_p = 0.252$), as well as an Emotion x Group interaction ($F_{(4,84)} = 3.694, p = 0.008, \eta^2_p = 0.150$) were present. AD were more impulsive in the NEG compared to POS ($p < 0.001$) and NEU ($p = 0.014$) conditions. The bvFTD group was more impulsive than CTRL in all conditions ($p < 0.05$) and than AD in POS ($p = 0.036$) and NEU ($p = 0.031$) conditions.

Regarding difference between reward magnitudes, main effects were present in the CTRL ($F_{(2,28)} = 6.228, p = 0.006, \eta^2_p = 0.308$), but not in bvFTD ($F_{(2,34)} = 1.589, p = 0.219, \eta^2_p = 0.085$) or AD groups ($F_{(2,22)} = 0.332, p = 0.721, \eta^2_p = 0.029$). CTRL were more impulsive on low-magnitude trials than medium- ($p = 0.011$) or high-magnitude trials ($p = 0.016$).

**Questionnaires**

Regarding valence ratings, a significant main effect of Emotion ($F_{(2,84)} = 419.367, p < 0.001, \eta^2_p = 0.909$) was observed but not of Group ($F_{(2,42)} = 0.598, p = 0.555, \eta^2_p = 0.028$) or an Emotion x Group interaction ($F_{(4,84)} = 1.324, p = 0.268, \eta^2_p = 0.059$). Valence ratings differed significantly between positive, negative and neutral pictures for all groups ($p < 0.001$; Figure 2 C,D).
Regarding arousal ratings, significant main effects of Emotion ($F_{(2,84)} = 26.278, p < 0.001, \eta_p^2 = 0.385$) and Group ($F_{(2,42)} = 3.892, p = 0.028, \eta_p^2 = 0.156$) were observed but no interaction ($F_{(4,84)} = 1.753, p = 0.146, \eta_p^2 = 0.077$). Negative pictures were judged as more arousing compared to positive and neutral pictures (all $p < 0.001$) and patients with bvFTD judged pictures as less arousing/more relaxing than CTRL ($p = 0.028$).

On the ZTPI, no significant between-group differences were found (all $p$ values $> 0.192$) (Present hedonistic: bvFTD = 3.4 ± 0.3, AD = 3.2 ± 0.6, CTRL = 3.5 ± 0.3; Present fatalistic: bvFTD = 2.7 ± 0.7, AD = 2.9 ± 0.5, CTRL = 2.4 ± 0.5; Future: bvFTD = 3.4 ± 0.4, AD = 3.7 ± 0.2, CTRL = 3.5 ± 0.4).

**Correlations**

Correlations between delay discounting and judgement of valence and arousal were apparent only in AD, where decreased delay discounting in the positive condition correlated with increased judgement of positive valence ($r_{(10)} = -0.802, p = 0.002$; Figure 2 E).

**Neuroimaging results**

**Patterns of atrophy**

Patterns of atrophy in the clinical groups were typical of these diseases (Nestor et al., 2003; Seeley et al., 2008; Landin-Romero et al., 2017) (Supplementary Figure 1; Supplementary Table 1). Compared with CTRL, bvFTD showed decreased grey matter intensity in the medial prefrontal cortex, frontal and temporal gyri, anterior cingulate cortex, as well as subcortical regions including the hippocampus and striatum. In contrast, AD showed a
significant bilateral decrease of grey matter intensity in the medial temporal lobe, including the hippocampus and amygdala, as well as in the precuneus and the insula.

**Neural correlates of delay discounting**

Correlations between POS, NEG and NEU delay discounting and grey matter intensity revealed that, irrespective of diagnosis, increased delay discounting in the NEG condition was associated with reduced grey matter integrity in the amygdala (p < 0.001, cluster FWE-corrected) and occipital gyrus bilaterally (p < 0.001, uncorrected; Figure 3; Table 2). In contrast, no specific patterns of association emerged for the positive and neutral conditions.

Further analyses on each patient group combined with controls showed distinct patterns of grey matter intensity in bvFTD and AD correlating with POS, NEG or NEU delay discounting (Figure 4; Table 3). Increased delay discounting in the NEG condition in AD was associated with reduced grey matter intensity in bilateral amygdala, vmPFC, ACC and hippocampus. No such associations were observed in the bvFTD group. Marginal frontal and temporal areas were associated with positive and neutral delay discounting respectively in AD and bvFTD.

**Discussion**

This study revealed different patterns of modulation of emotion on decision-making in the two most common younger-onset dementia syndromes, AD and bvFTD, which were
associated with specific neural changes. Supporting our hypotheses, bvFTD patients showed greater delay discounting compared to AD and controls, but no modulation according to emotion. In contrast, AD patients showed increased delay discounting in the negative condition which was associated with greater bilateral amygdala atrophy. No specific pattern of brain atrophy was observed in bvFTD.

The increased impulsivity observed in bvFTD aligns with previous studies reporting impulsive decision-making in this population (Strenziok et al., 2011; Gleichgerrcht et al., 2012; Bertoux et al., 2013; Kloeters et al., 2013; Lebreton et al., 2013; Bertoux et al., 2015b; Lansdall et al., 2017; Beagle et al., 2020). One recent report, however, failed to show any deficits on the delay discounting task compared with AD and controls (Chiong et al., 2016). The authors argued that this was due to the very early disease stage of their patients. Our findings challenge this interpretation as we find evidence of decision-making deficits on the delay discounting task in patients with a similar disease severity (mean MMSE = 26, converted from ACE-III score, Matias-Guiu et al., 2018).

As anticipated, compared to AD, bvFTD patients failed to show the negative emotion-induced modulation of delay discounting, a finding compatible with a primary deficit in emotion processing in bvFTD. Patients with bvFTD indeed show deficits in recognizing negative emotions (Lough et al., 2006; Goodkind et al., 2015) and emotional expression in faces and voices (Keane et al., 2002; Lavenu and Pasquier, 2005) and emotional blunting (Mendez et al., 2006). Grossmann et al. (2010) showed that bvFTD patients were also less sensitive to negatively valence contextual features when making social decisions: negatively biased scenarios were judged as less negative than controls in bvFTD, whereas positively biased scenarios were rated equally in bvFTD and controls. Alternatively these findings could be due a failure in decoding the physiological arousal signals in response to negative
emotional stimuli. Indeed, previous studies have reported reduced physiological responses (e.g., skin conductance) in response to emotional videos (Kumfor et al., 2019), unpleasant odours (Perry et al., 2017) or pain (Fletcher et al., 2015). bvFTD indeed judged pictures as less arousing than AD and controls, whereas valence ratings were similar across groups. This indicates that the emotional impairment in bvFTD results from a reduced arousal triggered by the pictures, rather than a primary deficit in recognizing their emotional content. The specificity of the effect to the negative condition in AD could indeed follow from an effect of arousal on delay discounting rather than an effect of negative emotion per se. Studies have indeed shown that arousing pictures, regardless of emotion, increased delay discounting compared to neutral pictures (Ariely and Loewenstein, 2006; Sohn et al., 2015). Future studies using objectives measures of arousal (e.g., skin conductance) are needed to clarify this point.

Across groups, increased delay discounting for the negative (but not the positive or neutral) condition was associated with reduced grey matter integrity in bilateral amygdala and occipital gyri. Group specific analyses indicated that this association was mediated primarily by the AD group which showed reduced grey matter integrity in bilateral amygdala, vmPFC and parahippocampal gyri that correlated with increased delay discounting in the negative condition. These findings indicate that the amygdala is involved in delay discounting, especially within an emotionally negative context. Our findings demonstrate for the first time in humans that amygdala damage increases delay discounting, mirroring animal studies where excitotoxic lesions of the BLA increased delay discounting (Winstanley et al., 2004; Floresco and Ghods-Sharifi, 2007; Ghods-Sharifi et al., 2009). Impact of amygdalar damage on various decision-making tasks has been reported before (Bechara et al., 1999; Bar-On et al., 2003; Hanten et al., 2006; Brand et al., 2007; Weller et al., 2007; De Martino et al., 2010), but never on delay discounting to date.
The direction of the correlation between amygdala integrity and delay discounting was not anticipated given the known role of the amygdala in processing negative emotion. This finding adds to the structural neuroimaging controversy in the field of delay discounting as to whether delay discounting is correlated with increased or decreased grey matter intensity (Cho et al., 2013; Tschernegg et al., 2015; Pehlivanova et al., 2018). Importantly, although central to negative emotion processing, the amygdala is not the only brain region supporting negative emotion processing. Indeed, lesion studies have shown that the amygdala is necessary but not sufficient to process negative emotions as, apart from fear, amygdala damage does not preclude from triggering and feeling other negative emotions (Anderson and Phelps, 2002; Feinstein et al., 2011). One candidate region is the vmPFC, which regulates emotion through top-down inhibition of the amygdala (Andrewes and Jenkins, 2019). Deficient inhibitory control of the vmPFC on the amygdala has been shown to lead to hyper-emotional reactivity and pathologically elevated levels of negative affect (Quirk and Gehlert, 2003; Milad et al., 2006; Rauch et al., 2006; Motzkin et al., 2015). In situations where the affective/emotional signals are absent (i.e., delay discounting with no emotional component or neutral emotion), the amygdala would be less involved, possibly favouring the vmPFC recruitment (Sellitto et al., 2011; Peters and D'Esposito, 2016). This interpretation is consistent with our lack of amygdala involvement in the neutral delay discounting condition. Our study suggests that the amygdala is involved in delay discounting rather than purely in processing emotions, in line with animal studies (Winstanley et al., 2004; Floresco and Ghods-Sharifi, 2007; Ghods-Sharifi et al., 2009).

The association that we found between increased delay discounting in the negative condition and reduced grey matter intensity in the occipital cortex further demonstrates the involvement of broad network during emotion processing and delay discounting task. Indeed, fMRI and lesion studies have shown that emotional stimuli, particularly arousing, negative, stimuli,
recruit not only the amygdala but also the visual cortices (Vuilleumier et al., 2004; Sabatinelli et al., 2009; Motzkin et al., 2015). Similarly, involvement of the occipital cortex, on delay discounting tasks has been attributed to visual attention (Luo et al., 2009) or vividness of imagined event in episodic delay discounting tasks (Hu et al., 2016) (Luo et al., 2009; Olson et al., 2009).

Some limitations should be acknowledged. Our sample of bvFTD patients was heterogenous in terms of disease severity, disease duration and atrophy pattern compared to AD which may have precluded other correlations with other brain regions (e.g., vmPFC) to emerge in this group. It should be noted however that absence of correlation in the bvFTD group alone does not indicate that both dementia groups statistically differed. Future studies using larger and more homogeneous groups are needed to resolve these concerns. Importantly, whereas the role of the vmPFC in delay discounting has been clearly demonstrated from lesion studies (Sellitto et al., 2011; Peters and D'Esposito, 2016) and brain stimulation studies (Manuel et al., 2019) evidence from bvFTD is less convincing (Chiong et al., 2016) even in very impaired and homogeneous bvFTD samples. Nevertheless, this limitation does not detract from our main message demonstrating the role of the amygdala in emotional delay discounting.

The absence of the predicted pattern of increased delay discounting in the negative condition in healthy controls when all reward magnitudes were grouped was unexpected. It is likely that this lack of emotion-induced modulation of delay discounting follows from overall reduced variability and impulsivity in our healthy control group which prevented emotion-related modulations to clearly emerge. Emotion-induced modulation of delay discounting may thus be apparent only under high impulsivity conditions. Supporting this interpretation, our findings show that older controls did exhibit the negative emotion-induced increase in delay discounting but only under the condition of highest impulsivity (i.e., low-magnitude
trials). Effects of emotion on delay discounting have been typically reported in young healthy adults (Hirsh et al., 2010; Augustine and Larsen, 2011; Benoit et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2013; Lin and Epstein, 2014; Luo et al., 2014; Guan et al., 2015; Sohn et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018). Findings on age-related differences on delay discounting have been mixed. Several studies have reported young individuals to be more impulsive on delay discounting tasks compared to older adults (Green et al., 1999; Whelan and McHugh, 2009; Jimura et al., 2011; Lockenhoff et al., 2011; Eppinger et al., 2012). Other studies, however, have shown no age-related differences (Samanez-Larkin et al., 2011; Roalf et al., 2012; Rieger and Mata, 2015; Seaman et al., 2016) or even increased delay discounting with age (Read and Read, 2004). In sum, although reduced compared to what we could have expected in young individuals, the control group did show emotion-induced modulation of delay discounting. The bvFTD group in contrast showed no emotion-induced modulation of delay discounting for any reward magnitude, further supporting their deficit in emotion processing.

Altogether, this study demonstrates the close connections between emotion processing and decision-making and the conditions under which these vary, in this instance dementia. Our findings have relevance for policymakers when developing health warning messages that aim to dissuade risky behaviours (Nan and Qin, 2019) or for improving many of negative health behaviours associated with increased delay discounting in clinical populations. A recent study showed promising findings demonstrating that computerized working memory training decreases the rate of delay discounting in older controls (Felton et al., 2019). Improvements in emotion recognition have been reported after computerized emotion recognition training in schizophrenia (Russell et al., 2006) and Huntington’s disease (Kempnich et al., 2017) suggesting an avenue for emotion recognition training as a mean of reducing impulsivity. These clinical interventions based on costs/benefits and emotion detection are however more likely to work in AD than in bvFTD.
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Figure legends:

Figure 1: Experimental design. The delay discounting task consisted of three blocks containing either positive (POS), negative (NEG) or neutral (NEU) pictures and presented in randomized order. Participants were first instructed to vividly imagine witnessing the picture and then asked to make a choice on the delay discounting task.
Figure 2: Behavioural results and correlations. A. Average delay discounting rates ($k$, log transformed) for each Emotion condition (Positive, Negative, Neutral) and Group (behavioural-variant frontotemporal dementia, bvFTD; Alzheimer’s disease, AD; controls, CTRL). B. Delay-discounting rate for low-, medium- and high-magnitude rewards. C, D. Judgement of valence and arousal for each Emotion condition and Group. E. Correlation between delay discounting in the Positive condition and positive valence ratings in the AD group. Graph lines and bars show means and standard error of the mean. * indicates significant post-hoc differences ($p < 0.05$, Sidak corrected for multiple comparisons) for bvFTD < AD, CTRL (*1) and bvFTD = AD < CTRL (*2). Coloured * indicates effects of Emotion in each Group.
Figure 3: Voxel-based morphometry analyses showing regions negatively correlated with delay discounting in the Negative condition irrespective of diagnosis. No clusters survived in the Positive or Neutral conditions (p < 0.001 uncorrected for multiple comparisons). Age and total intracranial volume included as a covariate in all VBM analyses. Clusters are overlaid on the standard MNI brain. The left side of the image is the left side of the brain.
**Figure 4:** Voxel-based morphometry analyses showing regions negatively correlated with delay discounting in AD and bvFTD in the Positive, Negative and Neutral conditions (p < 0.001 uncorrected for multiple comparisons). Age and total intracranial volume included as a covariate in all VBM analyses. Clusters are overlaid on the standard MNI brain. The left side of the image is the left side of the brain.
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### Tables and table legends:

**Table 1**: Demographic and clinical information

|                | bvFTD (n = 18) | AD (n = 12) | CTRL (n = 15) | p       | Posthoc             |
|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------------|
| Sex (M:F)      | 13:5           | 7:5         | 5:10          | 0.080   |                     |
| Age            | 63.4 ± 9.8     | 65.5 ± 7.3  | 65.7 ± 4.7    | 0.636   |                     |
| Education (yrs)| 11.7 ± 3.0     | 13.6 ± 3.6  | 13.9 ± 2.7    | 0.066*  |                     |
| Disease duration (yrs) | 8.3 ± 5.9 | 5.1 ± 3.1  | -             | 0.261†   | Patients < Controls; AD < bvFTD |
| ACE-III (/100) | 82.0 ± 9.9     | 65.9 ± 12.6 | 94.8 ± 3.0    | < 0.001 | Patients < Controls |
| CDR-FTLD SoB   | 5.3 ± 4.6      | 5.0 ± 3.5   | 0.2 ± 0.2     | < 0.001 | Patients < Controls |

Demographic and clinical information for behavioural-variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD), Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and controls (CTRL). Values are mean ± standard deviation. *χ²* test, †Mann-Whitney, #Kruskal-Wallis test. ACE-III = Addenbrooke’s Cognitive Examination—Third edition; CDR-FTLD SoB = Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration-Modified Clinical Dementia Rating Scale Sums of Boxes (CDR-FTLD SoB).
Missing Scores: Education (2 AD); Disease duration (4 AD); ACE-III (1 AD), CDR-FTLD SoB (1 AD, 3 CTRL).

Table 2: Clusters associated with greater delay discounting in the Positive, Negative and Neutral conditions across all three groups (bvFTD, AD, CTRL).
## Table 3: Clusters associated with greater delay discounting in the Positive, Negative and Neutral conditions for AD and bvFTD groups separately.

| Regions                                      | Laterality | MNI   | Voxels |
|----------------------------------------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Delay discounting: Positive condition        |            |       |        |
| No significant cluster identified           |            |       |        |
| Delayed discounting: Negative condition      |            |       |        |
| Parahippocampal gyrus, amygdala, hippocampus | L          | -31   | -3     | -17    | 3495  |
| Parahippocampal gyrus, amygdala, hippocampus | R          | 31    | -2     | -17    | 2639  |
| Middle occipital gyrus                       | R          | 38    | -80    | 16     | 833   |
| Middle occipital gyrus                       | L          | -23   | -93    | 21     | 462   |
| Superior occipital cortex                    | R          | 21    | -94    | 23     | 448   |
| Precuneus                                    | R          | 40    | -78    | 38     | 276   |
| Middle frontal gyrus                        | L          | -28   | 40     | 40     | 198   |
| Superior temporal lobe                       | L          | -63   | -9     | 3      | 191   |
| Inferior frontal gyrus                      | R          | 51    | 20     | 20     | 141   |
| Insula                                       | L          | -41   | -7     | -6     | 117   |
| Delayed discounting: Neutral condition       |            |       |        |
| No significant cluster identified           |            |       |        |

Emotion and decision-making interactions
Emotion and decision-making interactions

| Regions                                           | Laterality | MNI   | Voxels |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------|-------|--------|
|                                                   |            | x     | y     | z     |        |
| AD                                                |            |       |       |       |        |
| Positive delay discounting                        |            |       |       |       |        |
| Inferior frontal gyrus                           | L          | -53   | 4     | 23    | 382    |
| Negative delay discounting                        |            |       |       |       |        |
| Orbitofrontal frontal cortex                     | L/R        | -35   | 37    | -6    | 7861   |
| Anterior cingulate cortex                         | L          | -6    | 51    | 6     | 2035   |
| Parahippocampal gyrus, hippocampus                | L          | -28   | -24   | -32   | 1809   |
| Parahippocampal gyrus, hippocampus                | R          | 25    | -22   | -29   | 1443   |
| Amygdala                                          | L          | -24   | -14   | -7    | 1225   |
| Middle and superior frontal gyrus                 | R          | 26    | 21    | 53    | 1074   |
| Middle frontal gyrus                              | R          | 27    | 55    | 23    | 942    |
| Inferior, middle and superior occipital gyrus     | L          | -20   | -93   | 21    | 746    |
| Supramarginal gyrus                               | L          | -44   | -39   | 32    | 803    |
| Superior occipital cortex                         | R          | 21    | -95   | 23    | 657    |
| Inferior frontal gyrus                            | L          | -40   | 17    | 16    | 463    |
| Middle and superior frontal gyrus                 | L          | -14   | 3     | 58    | 396    |
| Inferior frontal gyrus                            | R          | 47    | 13    | 25    | 379    |
| Amygdala                                          | R          | 31    | -1    | -20   | 326    |
| Inferior temporal gyrus                           | R          | 48    | -18   | -39   | 261    |
| Neutral delay discounting                         |            |       |       |       |        |
| Superior temporal gyrus                           | L          | -66   | -47   | 17    | 75     |
| bvFTD                                             |            |       |       |       |        |
| Positive delay discounting                        |            |       |       |       |        |
| Superior temporal gyrus                           | R          | 62    | -6    | 8     | 135    |
| Inferior frontal gyrus                            | L          | -48   | 29    | -13   | 87     |
| Negative delay discounting                        |            |       |       |       |        |
| Lingual gyrus                                     | L          | -9    | -64   | -4    | 247    |
| Inferior parietal lobule                          | L          | -61   | -41   | 43    | 148    |
| Supramarginal gyrus                               | L          | -59   | -55   | 36    | 103    |
| Neutral delay discounting                         |            |       |       |       |        |
| Rolandic operculum                                | R          | 15    | -70   | -5    | 186    |