Baseline dietary patterns of children enrolled in an urban family weight management study: associations with demographic characteristics
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Abstract

**Objective:** To identify dietary patterns (DP) of children enrolled in the Family Weight Management Study (FWMS) and to examine relationship between the identified DP with demographics.

**Design:** We performed a cross-sectional analysis of baseline data from 332 children (BMI ≥85th percentile for age and sex and 7–12 years old) who were enrolled in the FWMS. The Block Kids Food Frequency Questionnaire was used to assess dietary intake. Principal component analysis was conducted to identify DPs.

**Setting:** Participants were recruited from Jacobi Medical Center, Bronx, NY from July 2009 – December 2011.

**Results:** The mean age of the children was 9.3 (±1.7 SD) years; about half were female and 75% self-identified as Hispanic/Latino. The majority of parents/guardians were born outside of the mainland USA and half had less than a high school education. We identified a “pizza-pasta” DP (high loadings of pizza, pasta, red meat, chicken, fries, sweets, processed meat, and sweet breads)
and a “snacks-dessert” DP (high loadings of snacks, desserts, soft drinks, and bread and refined grains) but no healthy patterns with high loadings of fruit, vegetables, nuts, and dairy products. The “pizza-pasta” pattern was associated with parents/guardian being born in the mainland USA and having a higher educational level (p < 0.05) whereas the “snack-dessert” pattern was not significantly associated with any of the demographic variables.

**Conclusion:** Our findings suggest that poor DP is common among second-generation immigrant Hispanic/Latino children who are obese/overweight. Future research needs to address how parental education and acculturation status are related to DP to inform future directions for preventing childhood obesity.
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**Introduction**

Traditional approaches to nutritional epidemiology have contributed to an understanding of the cause and prevention of individual nutrient deficiency without explicitly linking findings to chronic diseases, such as cancer and cardiovascular disease (Hu 2002; Kant 2004). More recently, nutritional epidemiology has begun to focus on dietary pattern analysis which describes the overall diet, represents a broader picture of food and nutrient intake, and predicts health outcomes more precisely than assessing individual foods or nutrients (Hu 2002; Slattery 2008; Ocke 2013). Dietary pattern represents complex sets of highly correlated dietary exposures, and detects joint effects of foods by considering the entire eating pattern (Jaconson and Stanton 1986; Jacques and Tucker 2001). Dietary patterns can be influenced by acculturation, adherence to traditional eating patterns, and the heterogeneity of populations with diverse socioeconomic, cultural and ethnic backgrounds (Satia et al. 2001; Arredondo et al. 2006; Nettleton et al. 2008; Davis et al. 2013).

Emerging evidence indicates that obesity is common among children in the USA and the prevalence of childhood obesity and overweight has increased since 1980 (Skinner and Skelton 2014; Ogden et al. 2016). The prevalence of obesity in children 6 to 11 years of age was 11.5% from 1988 to 1994, but it has increased to 17.5% in the time period from 2011 to 2014 in this age group (Ogden et al. 2016). Childhood obesity has been defined as a body mass index (BMI) ≥95th percentile for children of the same age and sex, and overweight as BMI ≥85th percentile for children of the same age and sex (Barlow et al. 2007; Ogden and Flegal 2010; Ogden et al. 2016). Data from New York City (NYC) public schools indicate that half of NYC children are overweight for their age and sex, with the highest prevalence among Hispanic students (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2011).

Observational studies suggest that dietary patterns with high energy-dense, high in fat, and low in fiber foods increase the risk of obesity and overweight in childhood and later in life (Han et al. 2010; Ambrosini et al. 2012; Ambrosini 2014; Gurnani et al. 2015). Identifying and understanding dietary patterns in the obese and overweight pediatric population and their associations with demographic characteristics can be helpful in developing effective...
preventive strategies for a target population. Although dietary pattern analysis has become a popular alternative method to traditional methods, few studies have been conducted in the U.S. pediatric population (Ritchie et al. 2007; Li and Wang 2008; Lioret et al. 2008; Cutler et al. 2009, 2012; Cribb et al. 2013; Ambrosini 2014; Shang et al. 2014). None of the existing studies conducted in the USA (Ritchie et al. 2007; Li and Wang 2008; Cutler et al. 2009, 2012; Shang et al. 2014) focused on dietary patterns in obese and overweight children. The present study used baseline data from the Family Weight Management Study to identify dietary patterns in obese and overweight children from the Bronx, New York, and to examine the associations of dietary patterns with demographic characteristics.

Methods

This study involved secondary analysis of baseline data from a randomized-controlled trial (Family Weight Management Study (FWMS) registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov, NCT00851201). The trial was conducted at the Jacobi Medical Center in Bronx, NY. The Jacobi facility provides health services to an underserved community where 31.5% of residents live in poverty (United States Census Bureau 2016).

Eligibility criteria for the current study and the FWMS included children 7 to 12 years of age with a BMI ≥85th percentile who enrolled to receive primary care in the North Bronx Health Network. Exclusion criteria included chronic illness such as diabetes and major physical, cognitive, or emotional impairment that would affect ability or safety in following the study protocol, treatment with medications to affect body weight, and enrollment in weight management programs. Enrollment occurred from January 2009 to December 2011.

Of the 360 children who participated in the FWMS, 332 participants completed a food intake assessment questionnaire. Thus, the current dietary analysis is based on the completed data from these 332 children.

A trained research staff interviewed children (with assistance from parents or guardians as needed) using the Block Kids Food Frequency Questionnaire (BKFFQ) (Block et al. 2000; Cullen et al. 2008) to assess their dietary intake. The BKFFQ consists of 77 food and beverage items. Each item includes questions about the frequency of consumption during the past seven days with six choices, ranging from “never eaten” to “eaten every day,” and portion size in one day. The validity and the reliability of the BKFFQ were previously evaluated on children and adolescents age 10–17 years old (Cullen et al. 2008).

Standing height and weight of children were measured using a standardized procedure (Family Weight Management Study Writing Group 2008), and the BMI was calculated and converted to age- and sex-standardized percentiles based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 2000 growth charts. Children were classified as being overweight if their BMI was ≥the 85th percentile and <95th percentile for age and sex (Kuczmarski et al. 2002). A BMI ≥than the 95th percentile for age and sex was categorized as obese (Kuczmarski et al. 2002). Standing height and weight of the parent who was interviewed was measured by a trained research staff member using a standard procedure (Family Weight Management Study Writing Group 2008). Parents were categorized based on their
BMI levels as being: underweight (BMI < 18 kg/m²), normal weight (BMI ≥18 and BMI < 25 kg/m²), overweight (BMI ≥25 and BMI < 30 kg/m²), and obese (BMI ≥30.0 kg/m²) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2017).

An interviewer-administered questionnaire was used to collect demographic information from each child and his/her family. Due to a large proportion of Hispanics (74.1%) in the study population, race and ethnicity were collapsed into a single variable (Hispanic/Latino, Non-Hispanic). Black Hispanic Latino participants were included in the Latino category. African-American, Asian, Caucasian, Native Hawaiian, and American-Indian participants were included in the Non-Hispanic category. Parent measures included education of the mother, household income, country of birth (of the parent who was interviewed), and years living in the USA. We included education of the mother since there is evidence showing that maternal education is closely related to the child’s nutritional status, and the impact of the maternal education on child health and nutrition is larger than that of paternal education (Reed et al. 1996; Alderman and Headey 2017). Household yearly income was categorized into three categories: less than or equal to 9,999, USD between 10,000 USD to 19,999, USD and more than 20,000 USD per year. Child measures included age and gender.

Household food security was assessed by parents’ self-response to six questions from the Short Form of the Household Food Security Scales, which is a validated and reliable instrument (Blumberg et al. 1999; Gulliford et al. 2004; United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service 2012). The scale for the household food security measure was calculated as the sum of affirmative responses to the six questions; thus, the total scores ranged from 0 to 6 (United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service 2012). The food security status of households with a score of 0 was considered as secure, and a household with a score equal to or greater than 1 was considered as food insecure.

**Statistical analysis**

We recoded portion size and frequency responses for each food item on the BKFFQ into frequency-per-day indices by multiplying consumption frequency per day by serving size. Seventy-seven food items in the BKFFQ were grouped into 26 food categories based on nutrient profiles or culinary usage (Fung et al. 2001; Nettleton et al. 2006) (appendix 1). The BKFFQ included multiple food groups that were significantly different from existing food categories (e.g., pizza, soups, and multiple cultural foods). We created new categories to represent these items.

We used Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to identify dietary patterns (Hu 2002; Ocke 2013). The 26 investigator-defined food categories were entered into the PCA analysis. The factors were rotated by varimax (orthogonal) transformation to maintain uncorrelated factors and interpretability. To determine the number of factors and item-selection in each factor, we used the criteria of an eigenvalue greater than 1, factor loadings above 0.30, Cronbach’s alpha greater than 0.70, and face validity. PCA-defined factor scores were calculated for each participant by summing intake of food categories weighted by factor loading. Each individual received a factor score for each PCA-defined dietary pattern. The factor scores calculated for each dietary pattern represent the level of adherence to that specific dietary pattern.
pattern, with a higher factor score representing a higher consumption of foods represented by that dietary pattern.

To facilitate comparison of each dietary pattern, we categorized participants to tertiles of “high,” “medium,” or “low” scores, representing “high, medium, or low” intake of the foods in that dietary pattern.

We examined multi-co-linearity among demographic variables using cross-tabulation or Pearson correlation, and considered a Pearson correlation coefficient equal to or higher than 0.65 as the cut-off point for co-linearity. Parent’s country of birth, years of living in the USA, and race/ethnicity were co-linear; thus, we only included parental country of birth in the model. Since Puerto Rico is a territory and not in the mainland USA, we categorized Puerto Rico born parents as an individual category. Mother’s education and household income were correlated; thus, we included only education of the mother in the multivariate model. Demographic variables included in each multivariate model were child age, child BMI, gender, and parent’s country of birth, mother’s educational level, parent BMI, and household food security index.

Univariate analysis of variance was performed to compare mean differences between dietary pattern scores and BMI Z-scores. Univariate analysis of variance was also used to compare the mean difference between nutrient intake of participants and the PCA-defined dietary pattern tertiles (“low intake,” “medium intake,” and “high intake”).

We combined the second and third tertiles (“medium intake” and “high intake”) of each dietary pattern in order to generate a binary variable in which the first tertile (“low intake”) denoted the reference group. Logistic regression analysis was conducted to determine the association between demographic variables and dietary tertiles (“low intake” versus “medium intake or high intake”) of each PCA-defined dietary pattern. We reported odds-ratios and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals for each association. A multivariable logistic regression analysis was conducted to assess the demographic variables associated with each PCA-defined dietary pattern. All models were adjusted for BMI Z-score.

**Results**

A total of 332 children with BMI ≥85 percentile were enrolled (Mean age: 9.3 ± 1.7 years, Male: 46.7%, Hispanic/Latino: 74.1%, Obese: 76%, Overweight: 23.6%).

Two major patterns were identified by PCA, that explained 16.12% and 7.33% of the variation of food intakes, respectively. Dietary patterns were named according to the food categories that were highly loaded for each dietary pattern. PCA defined pattern 1, “pizza and pasta,” was characterized by high loadings of pizza, pasta, red meat, chicken, fries, sweets, processed meat, and sweet breads (descending order). PCA defined pattern 2, “snacks and dessert,” was characterized by high loadings of snacks, desserts, soft drinks, and bread and refined grains (Table 1). The mean scores of both PCA identified dietary patterns were higher in the “high intake” participants (P < 0.01, Table 2).
The mean nutrient intakes by PCA-defined pattern scores are shown in Table 3. Children in the “high intake” of the “pizza and pasta” pattern consumed significantly more calories, fat, saturated fat, monounsaturated fat, polyunsaturated fat, cholesterol, carbohydrate, sugar, fiber, protein, and dairy products than children in the “low intake” of the “pizza and pasta” pattern ($P < 0.01$). Similarly, in the “snacks and dessert” pattern, calories, total fat, saturated fat, monounsaturated fat, polyunsaturated fat, cholesterol, protein, sugar, fiber, and dairy mean intake was significantly higher in the “high intake” category ($P < 0.01$ for all). Although a child in the “high intake” category of the “snacks and dessert” pattern consumed more protein than a child in the “low intake” category, the percentage of calories from protein was less in a child in the “high intake” category than in a child in “low intake” category (15.15 vs. 13.45, $P < 0.01$). The intakes of vegetables and fruits were not significantly different between participants in the “low intake” and “high intake” categories in either dietary pattern.

**Pizza and pasta pattern**

There was a significant association between parents’ place of birth and “pizza and pasta” pattern. Children with higher intake (second and third tertiles) of the “pizza and pasta” pattern were more likely to have parents/guardians born in the mainland USA (OR = 3.79, 95% CI: 1.66–8.26). Children with a parent/guardian born in Mexico or Dominican Republic were less likely to consume foods from “pizza and pasta” pattern compared to children with parents born in the mainland USA (OR = 0.30, 95% CI: 0.16–0.76, and OR = 0.20, 95% CI: 0.07–0.52, respectively). There was a significant association between maternal education and “pizza and pasta” dietary pattern. Children, whose mothers had high school or post-secondary education, were more likely to consume foods from the “pizza and pasta” dietary pattern compared to children whose mothers had less than a high school education (OR = 1.81, 95% CI: 1.03–3.22; OR = 2.39, 95% CI: 1.08–2.29, respectively) (Table 4).

**Snacks and dessert pattern**

Children in the higher intake category of the “snacks and dessert” pattern were more likely to have a parent born in the mainland USA or a parent/guardian that lived in the USA for more than 10 years (OR = 2.16, 95% CI: 1.02–4.55). Children with a parent/guardian born in Mexico were less likely to consume foods from “snack and dessert” dietary patterns compared to children with parents/guardians born in mainland USA (OR = 0.47, 95% CI: 0.23–0.64) (Table 5).

We included gender, child age, parent’s/guardian’s country of birth, mother education, parent BMI, child BMI, and household food security in the multivariate logistic regression analysis (Table 6). The “pizza and pasta” pattern was significantly associated with child age, parents’ country of birth, and mother’s education. Children aged 10–12 were less likely to consume foods from the “pizza and pasta” pattern than children 7–9 year-old (OR = 0.44, 95% CI: 0.23–0.84). Children with parents born in Mexico or the Dominican Republic were less likely to consume from the “pizza and pasta” pattern as compared to children with the parents born in the mainland USA (OR = 0.18, 95% CI: 0.07–0.52; OR = 0.13, 95% CI: 0.39–0.43, respectively). Children whose mothers had postsecondary education were more
Discussion

In our study of overweight or obese children from Bronx with predominantly Hispanic and low-income backgrounds, we identified two major dietary patterns. The “pizza and pasta” pattern was loaded with energy-dense, mostly processed, convenience foods such as fries, processed meats, fried chicken, and red meats. The “snacks and dessert” pattern was high in sugar and/or fat, salty snacks, sweets, and soft drinks, and sugary beverages. The analysis of demographic factors and dietary patterns indicated that the parents’ place of birth and maternal education were associated with the “pizza and pasta” pattern.

Comparison of dietary patterns across studies is complicated by differences in dietary assessment methods, definitions, and distribution of the food groups, the number of food groups identified for analysis, the number of patterns retained for analysis, and statistical techniques used for dietary pattern analysis. However, we found some commonalities and differences in our PCA-identified dietary patterns and other studies that examined dietary patterns in children and adolescents (Northstone and Emmett 2005; Ritchie et al. 2007; Cutler et al. 2009). The earlier published studies (Aranceta et al. 2003; Northstone and Emmett 2005; Lioret et al. 2008; Ambrosini et al. 2009; Shang et al. 2014; Pinho et al. 2014) identified at least one healthy dietary pattern characterized by high loadings of fruit, vegetables, nuts, and dairy products. We did not observe a comparable pattern indicating a healthy diet in our study population. Dietary patterns similar to our “pizza and pasta” pattern and/or “snacks and dessert” pattern have been identified in other pediatric and adolescent populations (Aranceta et al. 2003; Northstone and Emmett 2005; Lioret et al. 2008). Our “snacks and dessert” dietary pattern was similar to the “snacky” pattern reported by Aranceta et al. (Aranceta et al. 2003) and “sweet/salty snack” reported by Cutler et al. (Cutler et al. 2009) which were characterized by high loadings of confectionery, sweets, salted snacks, and soft drinks. Other studies have reported dietary patterns comparable to our “pizza and pasta” pattern, or the combination of the “pizza and pasta” and “snacks and dessert” patterns. For instance, a study from the USA (Ritchie et al. 2007) identified a “fast food” pattern with high loading of burgers, fried potatoes, processed meat sandwiches, chips, legumes, and baked desserts. A Canadian study (Shang et al. 2014) identified a “fast food” pattern with high loadings of sugary beverages, fried food, convenience foods such as processed meat, and salty snacks. An Australian study (Ambrosini et al. 2009) characterized a “Western food” pattern containing convenience foods, soft drinks, confectionery, French fries, refined grains, and processed meats. A study from the UK (Northstone and Emmett 2005) described a “junk food” pattern that consisted of high-in-fat, processed foods with sugary and/or salty snacks. Four of the above-mentioned studies (Northstone and Emmett 2005; Lioret et al. 2008; Ambrosini et al. 2009; Shang et al. 2014) assessed the association of the identified dietary patterns and BMI status. These studies reported higher consumption of foods with a high loading of processed foods, fast foods, snacks, and sugary beverages in overweight children. The Canadian (Shang et al. 2014) and Brazilian (Pinho et al. 2014) studies also reported that overweight and obese children were less likely to consume foods from the “healthy” dietary pattern.
The logistic regression analysis confirmed significant association between parents’ place of birth and the “pizza and pasta” pattern in our study population. Children with a parent born in the mainland USA were more likely to have higher scores on the “pizza and pasta” and “snacks and dessert” patterns. Wiley et al. (Wiley et al. 2014) suggested that immigrant children with more acculturated families or neighborhoods consumed significantly more Westernized diet. They found that children of mothers with greater acculturation to the USA culture tended to fall into higher BMI percentiles and consume more processed, energy-dense, snacky foods. Among immigrants of Mexican descent, it has also been shown that a greater degree of acculturation is associated with lower intakes of healthy traditional Mexican foods that include vegetables, fruits, and whole grains (Wiley et al. 2014). American acculturation in children of Mexican immigrants is found to be associated with a higher consumption of refined grains, food with added sugars, sugar-sweetened beverages, and nutrient-poor, energy-dense foods (Akresh 2007; Ayala et al. 2008; Batis et al. 2011). Results of a study by Montez and Eschbach (Montez and Eschbach 2008) reported that mainland USA-born women were significantly more likely to consume processed meats and French fries, and were less likely to consume fruits, vegetables, and whole grains than Mexican-born women (Montez and Eschbach 2008). We identified a significant association between higher maternal education and increased intake of the “pizza and pasta” dietary pattern. A previously published study by Northstone et al. (Northstone and Emmett 2005) reported an inverse association between maternal education and a “junk-type” food dietary pattern characterized by high loading of convenience and high fat foods. However, we observed a positive but not significant association between increased intake of the “snack and dessert” dietary pattern and higher maternal education. This may be explained by the mostly low income, and immigrant population of Bronx, NY, where our study took place.

We observed an inverse but not significant association between lower household income and higher intake of the “pizza and pasta” dietary pattern. A similar trend with significant associations have been reported in previously published studies (Aranceta et al. 2003; Ambrosini et al. 2009).

Our study suggests that the dietary intake of children with parents born in the mainland USA differs from children with an immigrant parent or guardian. Level of acculturation and the dietary patterns may be associated and different between born in the mainland USA and foreign-born parents (Wiley et al. 2014). This highlights the important role that the family plays in children’s acculturative and dietary behavior, and aligns well with findings of other studies about acculturation and diet (Arredondo et al. 2006; Northstone et al. 2014; Conlon et al. 2015). Parents who immigrated to the mainland USA may bring their original dietary culture to their new environment and may continue to reinforce their original dietary cultures in the family (Zane and Mark 2003) for some time, while second generation ethnic minorities (the USA-born ethnic minorities) might adopt a more Americanized dietary culture. Thus, the parental acculturation process might play an important role in shaping the dietary intake and choices of families.

We did not identify major dietary patterns with high loadings of healthy foods in our population. The possible explanation for this discrepancy is that all children in our study were obese and overweight, while other studies recruited participants that represented the
general pediatric or adolescent populations, (Aranceta et al. 2003; Northstone and Emmett 2005; Lioret et al. 2008; Ambrosini et al. 2009; Shang et al. 2014; Pinho et al. 2014) with the exception of the Canadian study (Shang et al. 2014) which recruited children (8–10 years old) with at least one parent who was obese (BMI ≥ 30).

We observed a negative but not significant association between lower family income and increased intake of the “pizza and pasta” dietary pattern. The lack of a significant association in our study could be due to the predominantly low income and economic status of families from Bronx, that are known to have the highest poverty rate in New York City, with 38% of the residents being below the federal poverty level (Rodriguez 2017).

A dietary pattern with high fat/sugar and low fiber foods has been associated with childhood obesity (Johnson et al. 2008). Childhood obesity is considered to be a risk factor for chronic diseases including high blood pressure, hyperlipidemia, insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes and fatty liver disorder (Hampl and Campbell 2015).

Our study focuses on the dietary patterns of children who are obese and overweight and live in the Bronx, NY, one of the poorest and most underserved communities in the USA. This study may provide important enabling information to conceptualize targeted guidelines and effective preventive strategies for this population. We present a case for tailoring custom dietary advice for first-generation immigrant parents to promote healthy cultural foods. This may encourage the second generation to adopt healthier dietary patterns. Dietary counseling should focus on promoting healthier main dishes and reducing the consumption of unhealthy snacks and desserts.

Our findings should be interpreted in the context of the following limitations. There were inherent biases with the use of Food Frequency Questionnaires (FFQs) to assess dietary intake. The research staff interviewed children with assistance from parents or guardians which could have influenced children to provide socially desirable responses. Although visual representations of serving sizes, on plates or in bowls, were used during the interview sessions to aid portion size estimations, the FFQs are still subject to recall bias and individual measurement error (Cullen et al. 2008). However, the FFQ remains one of the most practical dietary assessment methods in epidemiological and nutritional studies (Cullen et al. 2008; Shim et al. 2014).

We should also consider limitations of the cross-sectional study design when interpreting our results. This study cannot provide information about the temporal relationship between dietary patterns and obesity among children (Börnhorst et al. 2013; Bel-Serrat et al. 2016).

The higher proportion of children who were obese versus overweight limited our ability to compare the dietary patterns in these two groups.

Few studies have used dietary pattern analysis in pediatric populations (Aranceta et al. 2003; Ritchie et al. 2007; Lioret et al. 2008; Cutler et al. 2009; Pala et al. 2013; Turyashemererwa et al. 2013; Shang et al. 2014; Pinho et al. 2014; Northstone et al. 2014; Kehoe et al. 2014; Tavares et al. 2014). Our literature search yielded no study examining the dietary pattern analysis of overweight or obese children. Employing PCA, we successfully identified two
distinct “unhealthy” dietary patterns while failing to identify a “healthier” pattern among our study population. That is, children in our study who are overweight or obese, often consume nutrient-poor and energy-dense foods while intake of fruits, vegetables, and dairy products (which constitute a “healthy” dietary pattern) is not a prominent pattern in this population (United States Department of Agriculture 2018).

Our study highlights potential targets for interventions that may aim to improve dietary intake of high-risk communities, particularly obese and overweight children. Promoting fruit and vegetable intake among children in low-income, urban communities may be prioritized for preventing obesity and overweight. The poor dietary intake among children is a public health concern given the high prevalence of obesity and other health-related outcomes associated with nutrient-poor diet.

Conclusions

Our examination of the dietary patterns was obtained from predominately Hispanic/Latino children, who are overweight or obese in one of the poorest communities in the USA (United States Census Bureau 2016). Our findings suggest that dietary patterns may reflect cultural background, and wide-ranging personal and socio-cultural influences. The dietary intake in children with parents born in the mainland USA was different from children with parents born elsewhere. This finding highlights the role of family in shaping dietary behavior of the future generations. Study findings can help facilitate developing culturally sensitive and demographically tailored approaches to improve eating behavior in pediatric populations with diverse ethnic backgrounds.
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Appendix 1.: Food frequency questionnaire items by food group used in the principal component analysis from the family weight management study data.

| Food Groups     | Items included in group                                                                 |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fruit           | Bananas, apples or pears, oranges or tangerines, strawberries or other berries, apple sauce, fruit cocktail or pineapple slices, any other fruit like grapes, peaches, watermelon, cantaloupe, fruit roll-ups |
| Real fruit juice| Real orange juice, any other real fruit juices such as apple juice or grape juice       |

Child Adolesc Obes. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 October 27.
| Food Groups                  | Items included in group                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Vegetables                  | Salad with lettuce, green salad, green beans, string beans or peas, collards, mustard  |
|                             | greens or spinach, broccoli, carrots, carrot sticks or cooked carrots, cooked green    |
|                             | pepper, chile rellenos, green chili stew, any other vegetables like squash, cauliflower, |
|                             | asparagus, eggplant, nopales                                                            |
| Potato and starchy vegetables| Sweet potatoes, sweet potato or pumpkin pie, other starchy vegetables like yucca,      |
|                             | yautia, plantain, corn or corn-on-the-cob, any kind of potatoes like mashed, baked or   |
|                             | boiled                                                                                  |
| Processed meat              | Bacon or sausage, hot dogs or corn dogs, lunch meats, ham, Lunch-ables                   |
| Poultry                     | Fried chicken, including nuggets, other chicken, roasted, stewed                        |
| Red meat and eggs           | Hamburger or cheeseburger, roast beef or steak, pork chops, cooked ham, Hot Pockets,    |
|                             | meat ball subs or Sloppy Joes, eggs or breakfast sandwiches                             |
| Fish                        | Any fish, fish sticks, shrimp, tuna                                                     |
| Legumes                     | Pinto beans, chili with beans, refried beans, beans in enchiladas                       |
| Nuts and seeds              | Sunflower seeds, peanuts or other nuts, peanut butter sandwiches                       |
| Whole grain bread, rice and pasta | Whole wheat bread or rolls, multigrain bread, corn tortillas, whole wheat Tortillas |
| Refined bread and rice      | White bread or rolls, white rice, rice including fried rice, Spanish rice, rice with   |
|                             | beans, bagels, flour tortillas, biscuits                                               |
| Cereals                     | Cooked cereals like oatmeal or grits, cold cereals like corn                           |
| Pasta                       | Macaroni and cheese, spaghetti, pasta, other noodles                                   |
| Sweet breads and bars       | Pancakes, waffles, pop tarts, other sweet muffins, French toast Granola bars and        |
|                             | breakfast bars                                                                         |
| Pizza                       | Pizza or pizza pockets                                                                  |
| Dairy and cheese            | Reduced fat 2% milk, low-fat 1% milk, non-fat skim milk, low-fat yogurt, Lactaid milk,   |
|                             | milk added to cereals, whole milk and whole milk yoghurt, cheese and cheese in         |
|                             | sandwiches or quesadillas                                                               |
| Chocolate milk              | Chocolate milk, hot chocolate or other flavored milks                                   |
| Fries                       | French fries, tater tots, hash browns or home fries                                    |
| Desserts                    | Puddings, ice cream, frozen yogurt, cookies, doughnuts, cake, pies                      |
| Sweets                      | Candies, chocolate candy like candy bars, other candy, jams and honey                  |
| Snacks                      | Crackers, snack chips like potato chips, tortilla chips, Doritos, popcorn, Bugles,      |
|                             | Cheetos                                                                                 |
| Fats and oils               | Mayonaise or sandwich spread, margarine or butter, salad dressing                       |
| Soft drinks and Sugary      | Sodas like coke, Dr. Pepper, 7 Up, Sprite, Sunkist, orange, Slurpees, snow cones,      |
| drinks                      | popsicles, Hawaiian punch, Kool-Aid, Hi-C, Tang, Mr. Juicy                             |
| Soups                       | Vegetable soup, vegetable beef soup, tomato soup, chicken noodles, menudo and posole   |
| Cultural foods              | Tacos, burritos, tamales, Frito pie, tamale pie, enchiladas, chalupas or nachos         |
|                             | with cheese, avocado or guacamole                                                     |
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Table 1.
Factor loadings and summary statistics describing two dietary patterns derived from a principal components analysis of 26 dietary food categories in a sample of overweight and obese children (n 332).

| Food category          | Factor 1 | Factor 2 |
|------------------------|----------|----------|
| Pizza                  | 0.68     | 0.09     |
| Pasta                  | 0.66     | 0.02     |
| Red meat               | 0.61     | 0.27     |
| Chicken                | 0.53     | 0.13     |
| Fries                  | 0.52     | 0.19     |
| Sweets                 | 0.33     | 0.20     |
| Snacks                 | 0.02     | 0.83     |
| Desserts               | 0.25     | 0.80     |
| Soft drinks            | 0.27     | 0.64     |
| Cereals                | 0.19     | 0.04     |
| Dairy                  | −0.07    | 0.15     |
| Fruits                 | 0.181    | −0.10    |
| Legumes                | −0.01    | −0.14    |
| Processed meats        | 0.33     | 0.09     |
| Bread and refined grains| 0.27   | 0.31     |
| Starchy vegetables     | 0.19     | 0.13     |
| Vegetables             | −0.15    | −0.03    |
| Nuts                   | 0.13     | −0.05    |
| Fish                   | 0.13     | −0.08    |
| Fat                    | −0.04    | 0.16     |
| Soups                  | 0.05     | 0.11     |
| Real fruit juice       | −0.04    | 0.11     |
| Sweet breads           | 0.34     | 0.04     |
| Cultural foods         | 0.22     | 0.10     |
| Whole grains           | −0.10    | −0.11    |
| Chocolate milk         | −0.01    | −0.01    |

**Summary Statistics**

|                          | Factor 1 | Factor 2 |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| Cronbach’s alpha         | 0.72     | 0.71     |
| Eigenvalue               | 4.19     | 1.91     |
| Proportion of variance (%)| 16.12    | 7.33     |
Table 2.
Comparison of mean scores\(^a\) by intake categories\(^b\) for two dietary patterns: “pizza and pasta” and “snacks and dessert” in a sample of obese and overweight children (\(n = 332\)).

|                      | Dietary pattern 1: ‘pizza and pasta’\(^c\) | Dietary pattern 2: ‘snacks and dessert’\(^d\) |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                      | Low intake \((n = 110)\)                   | High intake \((n = 111)\)                    | Low intake \((n = 110)\)                   | High intake \((n = 111)\)                    |
| **Dietary pattern scales** | **Mean (SD)**                             | **Mean (SD)**                             | **Mean (SD)**                             | **Mean (SD)**                             |
| Dietary pattern scales | 0.36 (0.16)                                | 0.90 (0.17)\(^e\)                          | 2.44 (1.55)\(^e\)                          | 0.40 (0.22)                                | 1.18 (0.24)\(^e\)                          | 3.71 (0.33)\(^e\)                          |
| BMI \(Z\)-score      | 1.98 (0.42)                                | 1.93 (0.42)                                | 2.04 (0.37)                                | 2.00 (0.42)                                | 1.92 (0.39)                                | 2.03 (0.40)                                |

SD, standard deviation.
BMI, Body Mass Index

\(^a\) The “medium intake” category (second tertile) and “high intake” (third tertile) category were individually compared with the “low intake” (first tertile) category.

\(^b\) Intake categories are based on tertiles of each dietary pattern score: “low intake” category represents the first tertile of the dietary pattern scores, “medium intake” category represents the second tertile of the dietary pattern scores, and “high intake” category represents the third tertile of the dietary pattern scores.

\(^c\) “pizza and pasta” was characterized by high loadings of pizza, pasta, red meat, chicken, fries, sweets, processed meats, and sweet breads.

\(^d\) “snacks and dessert” was characterized by high loadings of snacks, desserts, soft drinks, and bread and refined grains.

\(^e\) \(P\) value < 0.01.
Table 3.

Univariate analysis\(^a\) of average daily intake of nutrients, fruits, vegetables, and dairy products by dietary pattern scales\(^b\) in a sample of overweight and obese children (n 332).

| Nutrients                        | Dietary pattern 1: “pizza and pasta”\(^c\) | Dietary pattern 2: “snacks and dessert”\(^d\) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                                  | Low intake (n 110) | Medium intake (n 111) | High intake (n 111) | Low intake (n 110) | Medium intake (n 111) | High intake (n 111) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Calories (kcal/d)                | 796.53 (301.87)   | 1056.36 (367.99)**  | 1842.39 (908.74)** | 796.49 (319.47)   | 1108.76 (400.86)**  | 1790.03 (931.23)** |
| Total fat (g)                    | 26.69 (12.15)     | 36.27 (13.36)**     | 67.35 (34.78)**   | 37.19 (12.95)     | 41.08 (16.72)**     | 63.80 (36.10)**    |
| Fat (% of calories)              | 27.99 (6.10)      | 33.30 (5.55)        | 40.89 (4.90)**    | 33.73 (5.37)      | 29.62 (5.31)        | 31.19 (5.31)       |
| Total saturated fat (g)          | 8.37 (3.99)       | 12.27 (4.31)**      | 20.71 (5.12)**    | 8.99 (4.08)       | 12.75 (5.37)**      | 21.08 (11.62)**    |
| Monounsaturated fat intake (g)   | 9.58 (4.38)       | 13.40 (5.03)**      | 25.83 (14.02)**   | 9.89 (4.79)       | 14.51 (6.27)**      | 24.41 (14.62)**    |
| Polyunsaturated fat intake (g)   | 6.38 (3.80)       | 7.90 (3.79)*        | 13.81 (7.92)**    | 6.22 (3.85)       | 8.71 (4.90)**       | 13.16 (7.67)**     |
| Dietary cholesterol (mg)         | 87.29 (47.97)     | 127.90 (56.39)**    | 231.11 (113.71)** | 96.91 (52.35)     | 144.71 (79.87)**    | 204.78 (120.35)**  |
| Total carbohydrate (g)           | 117.48 (46.89)    | 149.57 (60.32)**    | 250.35 (135.31)** | 112.47 (46.59)    | 153.29 (56.97)*     | 251.59 (134.59)**  |
| Carbohydrate (% of calories)     | 58.93 (8.18)      | 55.98 (6.77)*       | 53.59 (6.83)**    | 56.74 (8.46)      | 55.43 (6.90)        | 56.32 (7.36)       |
| Total dietary sugar (g/d)        | 57.37 (26.97)     | 70.94 (34.91)*      | 123.60 (81.20)**  | 52.80 (23.55)     | 71.88 (32.56)**     | 127.19 (79.89)**   |
| Fiber (g/d)                      | 9.88 (5.12)       | 11.36 (6.11)        | 15.50 (8.16)**    | 10.17 (5.58)      | 11.24 (5.64)        | 15.34 (8.32)**     |
| Total protein intake (g)         | 27.13 (10.96)     | 38.52 (14.18)**     | 65.67 (28.90)**   | 30.34 (13.58)     | 41.01 (17.05)**     | 60.01 (31.88)**    |
| Protein (% of calorie)           | 13.79 (3.01)      | 14.74 (2.53)**      | 14.75 (2.52)**    | 15.15 (3.12)      | 14.70 (2.32)        | 13.45 (2.42)**     |
| Fruit (serving/d)                | 1.34 (0.98)       | 1.42 (1.18)         | 1.65 (1.21)       | 1.42 (1.02)       | 1.39 (1.10)         | 1.61 (1.25)        |
| Vegetables (serving/d)           | 1.45 (1.24)       | 1.36 (1.22)         | 1.68 (1.68)       | 1.46 (1.18)       | 1.22 (1.17)         | 1.82 (1.72)**      |
| Dairy (serving/d)                | 0.81 (0.65)       | 0.98 (0.68)         | 1.26 (0.87)**     | 0.84 (0.67)       | 1.00 (0.72)         | 1.21 (0.85)        |

\(^a\)We used univariate analysis of variance. The “medium intake” category (second tertile) and “high intake” (third tertile) category were individually compared with the “low intake” (first tertile) category.

\(^b\)Intake categories are based on tertiles of each dietary pattern scores: “low intake” category represents the first tertile of the dietary pattern scores, “medium intake” category represents the second tertile of the dietary pattern scores, and “high intake” category represents the third tertile of the dietary pattern scores.

\(^c\)“pizza and pasta” dietary pattern was characterized by high loadings of pizza, pasta, red meat, chicken, fries, sweets, processed meat, and sweet breads.

\(^d\)“snacks and dessert” dietary pattern was characterized by high loadings of snacks, desserts, soft drinks, and bread and refined grains.

*The mean differences are significant at 0.05 level.

**The mean differences are significant at 0.001 level.
Table 4.
Association of demographic characteristics with “pizza and pasta” dietary pattern scales\(^a\) in a sample of obese and overweight children (\(n = 332\)).

| Demographic variable         | Characteristic       | Low intake | Medium & high intake | OR (95% CI) | p value |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|
| Child age, n (%)             | 7–9 years           | 51 (46.36) | 127 (57.21)          | Reference   | 0.06    |
|                              | 10–12 years         | 59 (53.64) | 95 (42.79)           | 0.65 (0.41–1.02) |         |
| Gender, n (%)                | Male                | 47 (43.93) | 107 (49.76)          | Reference   | 0.32    |
|                              | Female              | 56 (56.07) | 108 (53.54)          | 0.79 (0.50–1.26) |         |
| Race/ethnicity, n (%)        | Hispanic            | 80 (72.73) | 166 (74.73)          | Reference   | 0.68    |
|                              | Non-Hispanic**      | 30 (27.20) | 56 (25.23)           | 0.91 (0.54–1.51) |         |
| Child BMI category, n (%)    | Overweight; 85th–95th % | 27 (24.55) | 52 (23.42)           | Reference   | 0.82    |
|                              | Obese ≥95th %       | 83 (75.45) | 170 (76.58)          | 1.06 (0.62–1.81) |         |
| Parent weight category (BMI; kg/m\(^2\), n (%)) | Normal weight ≤25 | 8 (7.27) | 19 (8.60)           | Reference   | 0.74    |
|                              | Overweight (25–29.9) | 30 (27.27) | 66 (30.13)          | 0.93 (0.56–2.35) |         |
|                              | Obese ≥30           | 72 (65.45) | 134 (61.81)          | 0.78 (0.33–1.89) |         |
| Parent place of birth, n (%) | Mainland United States\(^b\) | 10 (10.75) | 53 (26.50)          | Reference   | 0.025   |
|                              | Puerto Rico         | 4 (4.30) | 14 (7.00)            | 0.49 (0.16–0.66) |         |
|                              | Dominican Republic  | 17 (18.28) | 18 (9.00)           | 0.20 (0.07–0.52) |         |
|                              | Jamaica              | 7 (7.53) | 15 (7.50)            | 0.40 (0.13–1.20) |         |
|                              | Mexico               | 38 (40.86) | 70 (35.00)          | 0.30 (0.16–0.76) |         |
|                              | Other\(^c\)         | 17 (18.28) | 30 (15.00)          | 0.31 (0.41–0.82) |         |
| Parent years living in the Mainland United States\(^b\) | 0–10 | 30 (32.26) | 42 (21.00)          | Reference   | 0.017   |
|                              | 11–15               | 18 (19.35) | 37 (18.50)          | 1.47 (0.70–3.05) |         |
|                              | >15                 | 35 (37.63) | 68 (34.00)          | 1.39 (0.74–2.58) |         |
| Mother Education\(^***\)    | Less than high School | 37 (44.05) | 52 (28.72)          | Reference   | 0.04    |
|                              | High school or GED  | 36 (42.86) | 92 (50.83)          | 1.81 (1.03–3.22) |         |
|                              | Postsecondary education\(^d\) | 11 (13.10) | 37 (20.44)          | 2.39 (1.08–2.29) |         |
| Household income, n (%)      | ≤$9,999             | 33 (30.00) | 95 (42.79)          | Reference   | 0.13    |
|                              | $10,000–$19,999     | 28 (25.45) | 49 (22.07)          | 0.61 (0.33–1.12) |         |
|                              | ≥$20,000            | 25 (22.73) | 45 (20.27)          | 0.62 (0.33–1.73) |         |
|                              | Do not know         | 24 (21.82) | 33 (14.86)          | 0.48 (0.25–0.92) |         |
| Household food security, n (%) | Secure             | 37 (38.95) | 76 (37.62)          | Reference   | 0.82    |
|                              | Not secure           | 58 (61.05) | 128 (62.38)         | 0.94 (0.57–1.56) |         |

OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, GED: General Education Diploma, BMI: Body Mass Index

“pizza and pasta” was characterized by high loadings of pizza, pasta, red meat, chicken, fries, sweets, processed meat, and sweet breads.

Each category was compared to the other categories for each variable separately.

\(^a\) Intake categories are based on tertiles of each dietary pattern score: “low intake” category represents the first tertile of the dietary pattern scores, “medium intake” category represents the second tertile of the dietary pattern scores, and “high intake” category represents the third tertile of the dietary pattern scores.
Does not include Puerto Rico.

Includes other Caribbean countries, Africa, Central America, South America, Europe, and South Asia.

Postsecondary education includes college degree, some college but not receiving diploma, technical school, associate’s degree, bachelor’s degree, or professional school.

Logistic regression analysis were run to assess crude odds ratio between each dietary pattern scores (dichotomized as 1 = “low intake” (first tertile) as a reference group; 2 = medium and “high intake” (second tertile and third tertile) as a comparison group, and each demographic factor.

Non-Hispanic includes black (20%), white (4%), Asian and others (2%).

Total number of mothers: 265

There were no underweight parents in the study population.
Table 5.
The association of demographic factors with “snack and dessert” pattern scores in a sample of obese and overweight children (n = 332).

| Demographic Variable                  | Characteristic          | Low intake | Medium and high intake | OR (95% CI)   | p-value |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Child age, n (%)                     | 7–9 years               | 61 (55.45) | 117 (52.70)            | Reference     | 0.63    |
|                                       | 10–12 years             | 49 (44.55) | 105 (47.30)            | 1.12 (0.71–1.12) |         |
| Gender, n (%)                        | Male                    | 46 (42.99) | 108 (50.23)            | Reference     | 0.89    |
|                                       | Female                  | 61 (57.01) | 107 (49.76)            | 0.75 (0.47–1.19) |         |
| Race/ethnicity, n (%)                | Hispanic                | 82 (74.55) | 160 (72.39)            | Reference     | 0.89    |
|                                       | Non-Hispanic            | 28 (25.45) | 61 (27.61)             | 1.04 (0.61–1.74) |         |
| Child BMI category, n (%)            | 85th–95th % (overweight)| 28 (25.45) | 51 (22.97)             | Reference     | 0.62    |
|                                       | ≥ 95th % (obese)        | 82 (74.55) | 171 (77.03)            | 1.14 (0.67–1.95) |         |
| Parent weight category (BMI; kg/m²), n (%) | Normal weight ≤ 25 | 9 (8.18)   | 18 (8.21)              | Reference     | 0.18    |
|                                       | Overweight (25–29.9)    | 25 (22.73) | 71 (32.42)             | 1.42 (0.56–3.75) |         |
|                                       | Obese ≥ 30              | 76 (69.09) | 130 (59.36)            | 0.86 (0.37–2.00) |         |
| Parent place of birth, n (%)         | Mainland United States | 15 (15.62) | 48 (24.36)             | Reference     | 0.36    |
|                                       | Puerto Rico             | 7 (7.29)   | 11 (5.58)              | 0.49 (0.16–1.50) |         |
|                                       | Dominican Republic      | 10 (10.42) | 25 (12.69)             | 0.78 (0.31–1.99) |         |
|                                       | Jamaica                 | 7 (7.29)   | 15 (7.61)              | 0.67 (0.23–1.95) |         |
|                                       | Mexico                  | 43 (44.79) | 65 (32.99)             | 0.47 (0.23–0.64) |         |
|                                       | Other                   | 14 (14.58) | 33 (16.75)             | 0.74 (0.31–1.73) |         |
| Parent years living in the mainland United States n (%)   | 0–10                    | 29 (30.21) | 43 (21.82)             | Reference     | 0.25    |
|                                       | 11–15                   | 18 (18.75) | 37 (18.78)             | 1.39 (0.66–2.88) |         |
|                                       | > 15                    | 34 (35.42) | 69 (35.02)             | 1.36 (0.73–2.56) |         |
| Mother Education **                  | Less than high School   | 28 (34.10) | 61 (33.33)             | Reference     | 0.22    |
|                                       | High school or GED      | 44 (53.70) | 84 (45.90)             | 0.87 (0.49–1.56) |         |
|                                       | Postsecondary education | 10 (12.20) | 38 (20.85)             | 1.74 (0.76–3.99) |         |
| Household income, n (%)              | ≤ $9,999                | 44 (40.00) | 84 (37.83)             | Reference     | 0.81    |
|                                       | $10,000–$19,999         | 22 (20.00) | 55 (24.77)             | 1.31 (0.71–2.42) |         |
|                                       | ≥ $20,000               | 20 (18.18) | 50 (22.52)             | 1.31 (0.70–2.70) |         |
|                                       | Do not know             | 24 (21.82) | 33 (14.86)             | 0.72 (0.38–1.37) |         |
| Household food security, n (%)       | Secure                  | 36 (37.11) | 77 (38.50)             | Reference     | 0.81    |
|                                       | Not secure              | 61 (62.89) | 123 (61.50)            | 1.06 (0.64–1.75) |         |

OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, GED: General Education Diploma, BMI: Body Mass Index Each category was compared to the other categories for each variable separately.

*a Intake categories are based on tertiles of each dietary pattern score: “low intake” category represents the first tertile of the dietary pattern scores, “medium intake” category represents the second tertile of the dietary pattern scores, and “high intake” category represents the third tertile of the dietary pattern scores “snacks and dessert”, was characterized by high loadings of snacks, desserts, soft drinks, and bread and refined grains.

*b Non-Hispanic includes black (20%), white (4%), Asian and others (2%).
Does not include Puerto Rico.

Includes other Caribbean countries, Africa, Central America, South America, Europe, and South Asia.

Postsecondary education includes college degree, some college but not receiving diploma, technical school, associate’s degree, bachelor’s degree or professional school.

★ p-value test the significance of the variable

Logistic regression analysis were run to assess crude odds ratio between each dietary pattern scores (dichotomized as 1 = “low intake” (first tertile) as a reference group; 2 = medium and “high intake” (second tertile and third tertile) as a comparison group, and each demographic factor.

** Total number of mothers: 265
Table 6.

Multivariate logistic regression model with reference group as the “low intake” (first tertile) category of each dietary pattern in a sample of overweight and obese children ($n = 224$ (of 332, after removing rows with missing value)).

|                          | Dietary pattern 1: 'pizza and pasta' | Dietary pattern 2: 'snacks and dessert' |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                          | Adjusted OR  | 95% CI | p value | Adjusted OR  | 95% CI | p value |
| Gender                   | Reference    | 0.28   |        | Reference    | 0.48   |        |
| Male                     |             |        |        |             |        |        |
| Female                   | 0.70        | 0.36–1.34 | 0.80   | 0.44–1.49 |        |        |
| Child age                | Reference    | 0.009  |        | Reference    | 0.52   |        |
| (7–9 years)              |             |        |        |             |        |        |
| (10–12 years)            | 0.44        | 0.23–0.84 | 0.82   | 0.46–1.55 |        |        |
| Mother education         | Reference    | 0.042  |        | Reference    | 0.33   |        |
| Less than high school    |             |        |        |             |        |        |
| High school or GED*      | 1.78        | 0.91–3.52 | 0.95   | 0.49–1.84 |        |        |
| Postsecondary education  | 3.18        | 1.23–8.16 | 1.77   | 0.72–4.33 |        |        |
| Parent place of birth    | Reference    | 0.017  |        | Reference    | 0.72   |        |
| Mainland United States   |             |        |        |             |        |        |
| Puerto Rico              | 0.39        | 0.08–1.76 | 0.51   | 0.13–1.92 |        |        |
| Dominican Republic       | 0.13        | 0.39–0.43 | 0.70   | 0.23–2.02 |        |        |
| Jamaica                  | 0.24        | 0.06–0.93 | 0.60   | 0.18–2.00 |        |        |
| Mexico                   | 0.18        | 0.07–0.52 | 0.51   | 0.22–1.19 |        |        |
| Others                   | 0.30        | 0.09–0.97 | 0.75   | 0.26–2.08 |        |        |
| Parent BMI               | Reference    | 0.33   |        | Reference    | 0.11   |        |
| BMI < 25 kg/m² (normal weight) |         |        |        |             |        |        |
| BMI > 25 and BMI < 29.9 kg/m² (overweight) | 2.05  | 0.66–6.39 | 3.01 | 1.01–8.99 |        |        |
| BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²           | 1.42        | 0.49–4.15 | 1.75   | 0.63–4.82 |        |        |
| Household Security Index | Reference    | 0.60   |        | Reference    | 0.89   |        |
| Food secure              |             |        |        |             |        |        |
| Food insecure            | 0.83        | 0.43–1.60 | 1.04   | 0.56–1.90 |        |        |
| Child BMI Z-score        | 0.63        | 0.27–1.41 | 0.26   | 0.86        | 0.40–1.87 | 0.74  |
GED: General Education Diploma, OR: Odds ratio, BMI: Body Mass Index

Multivariate logistic regression models were run to assess associations between dietary pattern scores (dichotomized as 1 = “low intake” (first tertile) as a reference; 2 = medium and “high intake” (second tertile and third tertile) and all demographic variables. All demographic variables in the table were entered into the model.

Pizza and pasta pattern, was characterized by high loadings of pizza, pasta, red meat, chicken, fries, sweets, processed meat, and sweet breads.

Snacks and dessert pattern, was characterized by high loadings of snacks, desserts, soft drinks, and bread and refined grains.

Postsecondary education includes college degree, some college but not receiving diploma, technical school, associate’s degree, bachelor’s degree or professional school

Limited to the 50 states and does not include Puerto Rico

Includes other Caribbean, Africa, Central America, South America, Europe and South Asia