Probing solution structure of the pentameric ligand-gated ion channel GLIC by small-angle neutron scattering
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Pentameric ligand-gated ion channels undergo subtle conformational cycling to control electrochemical signal transduction in many kingdoms of life. Several crystal structures have now been reported in this family, but the functional relevance of such models remains unclear. Here, we used small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) to probe ambient solution-phase properties of the pH-gated bacterial ion channel GLIC under resting and activating conditions. Data collection was optimized by inline paused-flow size-exclusion chromatography, and exchanging into deuterated detergent to hide the micelle contribution. Resting-state GLIC was the best-fit crystal structure to SANS curves, with no evidence for divergent mechanisms. Moreover, enhanced-sampling molecular-dynamics simulations enabled differential modeling in resting versus activating conditions, with the latter corresponding to an intermediate ensemble of both the extracellular and transmembrane domains. This work demonstrates state-dependent changes in a pentameric ion channel by SANS, an increasingly accessible method for macromolecular characterization with the coming generation of neutron sources.
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Pentameric ligand-gated ion channels (pLGICs) mediate electrochemical signal transduction in most kingdoms of life. In metazoa, these receptors populate postsynaptic membranes, where they open upon binding neurotransmitters to control the firing of action potentials; accordingly, pLGICs are targets of anesthetic, anxiolytic, and other important classes of drugs (1). Several prokaryotic pLGICs have also been identified, possibly involved in chemotaxis or quorum sensing (2). Despite their physiological significance, our picture of the pLGIC gating landscape is still incomplete, and we only have limited knowledge, e.g., about the structural dynamics of conformations at room temperature or intermediate states, both of which are essential for understanding of fundamental channel biophysics as well as potential drug development.

The proton-gated cation channel GLIC, from the prokaryote Gloeobacter violaceus, has been a popular model system for structure–function studies in this superfamily (3); it accounts for 40% of all pLGIC structures in the Protein Data Bank (PDB). This channel is pH gated, and its structure has been solved at both high and low pH in apparent closed and open states, respectively (4–7). A static structural state is considered functionally open if the pore of the structure could conduct relevant ions; otherwise (4–7), a dramatic pore opening is indicated. Additional states include a desensitized state—and a nonconducting conformation of the pore could indicate either of these states. Additional states have been determined in the presence of engineered mutations or lipids, possibly representing gating intermediates (8–12). Each channel is composed of five identical subunits, each of which contains an extracellular domain (ECD) consisting of 10 β-strands, and a transmembrane domain (TMD) of four α-helices (Fig. 1A). Relative to the closed structure, apparent open structures of GLIC undergo contraction in the ECD toward the central conduction pathway, as well as outward tilting of the pore-lining helices (6) (Fig. 1B). Recent structures of eukaryotic homologs including nAChR, GluR, and 5-HT4A receptors indicate similar gating transitions, although the specific geometries and amino acid contacts are slightly different (13–17).

Although X-ray structures of GLIC offer models both of stable and intermediate conformations, their correspondence to specific functional states on the gating pathway is still a matter of discussion. Structures of other bacterial family members suggest there are also alternative conformations in the pLGIC landscape, including a more tightly closed form of the pore in numerous structures of the plant pathogen channel ELIC (19–21), and a dramatically expanded pore in the symbiote channel sTeLIC (22) (Fig. 1B). Both the ELIC and sTeLIC structures
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are contracted in the ECD compared to either closed or open GLIC, indicating further conformational diversity in this domain too. This could suggest some channels (e.g., GLIC) might be able to exist in conformations not yet observed experimentally, or that features such as crystal packing, experimental conditions, or the liquid nitrogen freezing might have biased the conformational distribution. Indeed, the vast majority of GLIC structures to date contain an activated ECD conformation; the few resting-state structures available were reported to notably lower resolution (6, 7). Biophysical techniques including electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy (11, 23) and atomic force microscopy (24) have suggested GLIC can sample additional states outside the crystalline context, emphasizing the importance of alternative structural approaches to model pLGIC conformational cycling in solution.

The solution structure of a protein can be probed using small-angle scattering, in which a sample’s distinctive scattering of X-rays or neutrons allows modeling of its population-average structure (Fig. 1C). Small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) was applied as early as 1979 to the muscle-type nicotinic acetylcholine receptor, a pLGIC extracted from native tissue of electric rays, yielding low-resolution predictions of molecular volume, radius of gyration, and overall size and shape (25). Thanks to the differential scattering of neutrons by isotopes of hydrogen, it is possible to hide the micellar environment of a membrane protein by matching the contrast of the detergent and the solvent. This has led to the development of match-out deuterated detergent (26) and nanodiscs (27), where the contrast is matched to pure heavy water (D₂O). This strategy has recently been applied to probe AMPA receptor, ABC transporter, ATPase, photosystem I, and translocon structures in solution (26, 28–30). However, given the relatively low resolution of SANS, the method has traditionally not been able to resolve minor structural changes between states due to, e.g., gating in ion channels in general and pLGICs in particular.

Another challenge is that membrane proteins can be highly prone to aggregation, which will lead to major distortion in the SANS spectrum, but this can be minimized with new methods that pass the sample directly to the neutron beam from a size-exclusion chromatography column (SEC-SANS) (31, 32) (Fig. 1C). This approach can be run continuously from column to measuring cell, or with pausing of the flow when the protein peak reaches the cell, enabling measurement at multiple detector distances in a single run. The continuous flow approach has been
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radius of gyration revealed similar molecular radius (38.4 ˚A at pH 7.5, and 38.3 ± 0.2 ˚A at pH 3.0) and weight estimates (194 kDa for both, with an expected error of 10% from the uncertainty in the protein concentration determination) (Fig. 24). These values are in line with what is expected from the crystal structures (Rg of 37.9 Å for the closed structure, and 37.4 Å for the open), and from the amino acid sequence of the construct (182.7 kDa). For conformations this similar, it is not realistic to discriminate states directly from the overall radius of gyration.

Results
Subtle Effects of Agonist (pH) on GLIC Scattering. To investigate the solution structure of GLIC under resting and activating conditions, we first collected paused-flow SANS measurements of purified GLIC at pH 7.5 (resting conditions) and 3.0 (activating conditions), respectively. Guinier analysis to determine the radius of gyration revealed similar molecular radius (38.4 ± 0.2 Å at pH 7.5, and 38.3 ± 0.2 Å at pH 3.0) and weight estimates (194 kDa for both, with an expected error of 10% from the uncertainty in the protein concentration determination) (Fig. 24). These values are in line with what is expected from the crystal structures (Rg of 37.9 Å for the closed structure, and 37.4 Å for the open), and from the amino acid sequence of the construct (182.7 kDa). For conformations this similar, it is not realistic to discriminate states directly from the overall radius of gyration.

However, the scattering curves exhibited slight differences at Q-values around 0.10–0.12 Å⁻¹—the region where differences are expected based on theoretical scattering curves calculated from crystal structures. A summary of the structural parameters calculated from the experimental curves is available in SI Appendix, Table S1.

Concerning GLIC measured at pH 7.5, the paused-flow SEC-SANS arrangement—which enabled measurement of the same fraction at two detector distances—was compared with the classical cuvette-SANS configuration, and the continuous-flow SEC-SANS arrangement. Coupling inline size exclusion immediately prior to scattering measurements (SEC-SANS) eliminated the accumulation of low-order aggregates evident in the cuvette measurement. This is obvious in the pairwise distance distribution where there was close agreement between both SEC-SANS datasets and the distribution expected from the closed atomic model, while the cuvette measurement displayed a low amount of interatomic distances up to 150 Å (Fig. 2B).

Solution Scattering Corresponds to Resting X-ray Structures. To assess how the shape of solution-phase GLIC matches putative three-dimensional (3D) structures, we first compared our SANS data to scattering curves calculated from atomic models derived from previously reported X-ray structures: GLIC crystallized at pH 7.0 (closed, PDB ID 4NPQ) and 4.0 (open, PDB ID 4HFI), ELIC in the absence of agonist (tightly closed pore, PDB ID 3RQU), and sTeLIC in the presence of agonist (wide pore, PDB ID 6FL9). For the latter two comparisons, to ensure that model fits represented differences in large-scale conformation rather than local sequence differences between channels, we constructed homology models of GLIC based on template structures of ELIC and sTeLIC, respectively. Fitting of these theoretical spectra to the experimental measurements showed that the closed-state GLIC structure exhibited the best fit to the scattering curves under both resting and activating conditions (χ² goodness of fit of 2.8 at pH 7.5 and 2.6 at pH 3.0) (Fig. 3). The second-best match was obtained with the open structure of
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MD Simulations Enable Modeling of pH Effects. Since comparisons to individual X-ray structures did not conclusively discriminate differences between resting and activating conditions in solution, we tested whether the data could be better described with sampling of more diverse regions of the conformational landscape. This can in principle be achieved with MD simulations; provided the simulations are started in many different regions of the conformational space they should provide an ensemble of conformations that approximate the flexibility and motions present during gating. To generate such an ensemble, we used coarse-grained elastic network extrapolation between the open and closed structures of GLIC, followed by reintroduction of atoms absent in the coarse-grained extrapolation (side chain reconstruction) and MD simulations from these seeds. After side chain reconstruction, energy minimization, and equilibration, the fits showed major improvements over experimental X-ray structures to a best χ² of 1.4 to the pH 7.5 data and 1.5 to the pH 3.0 data. This was improved just-so-slightly further after 200 ns of production simulation (best χ² of 1.3 to the pH 7.5 data and 1.4 to the pH 3.0 data) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). The best-fitting models had theoretical curves in close agreement with the scattering curve, including in the 0.10–0.12 Å⁻¹ region (Fig. 4A and B). A summary of the modeling using crystal structures and MD simulations is available in SI Appendix, Table S3.

Principal component analysis (PCA) can be used to identify which motions in a system explain most of the observed variance. Using the first two PCs defined by 46 experimental GLIC structures (34), models that best fit SANS data under resting conditions largely correspond to the lowest coordinates of both PCs, near the closed X-ray structures (Fig. 4C). In contrast, for the SANS data from activating conditions the region with the best-fitting models was shifted toward the open structure (Fig. 4D).

The first PC largely corresponded to the expansion of the ECD, while the second mainly captured the contraction of the upper part of the pore (Movies S1 and S2). By correlating the goodness of fit to each PC, it is possible to assess how these conformational features impact the fit. For the resting-state SANS data, the goodness of fit is clearly best (lowest) at the coordinates corresponding to the closed GLIC structure, and it increases almost linearly as the system undergoes the transformation toward the open state both for PC1 (Fig. 4E) and PC2 (Fig. 4G). In contrast, at activating conditions the best fit is achieved for ensemble simulation states from roughly halfway between closed and open GLIC states (Fig. 4F and H). Based on the motions the PCs correspond to, this indicates that under resting conditions the best fit is achieved with a fully expanded ECD and a contracted pore, while an intermediate expansion of the ECD yields the best fit to SANS data from activating conditions, with tolerance for a range of pore conformations (Fig. 5).

Discussion
By comparative fitting of SANS curves to crystal structures and enhanced-sampling MD ensembles, we have demonstrated
Fig. 4. Comparison of molecular simulation ensembles to experimental SANS data at pH 7.5 and 3.0. (A and B) Experimental SANS curves overlaid with theoretical scattering curves from models after 200 ns of MD simulations. Colors represent goodness of fit to the experimental curve. The magnified Inset corresponds to $Q \in [0.1, 0.2] \text{ Å}^{-1}$. (C and D) Conformations from simulation ensembles projected onto the two lowest principal components, PC1 and PC2, of the landscape constructed from 46 GLIC crystal structures (blue stars), with the main motion captured by each PC illustrated by the dark half of the cartoons on the axes. The energy minimized interpolated conformations used as simulation seeds are shown as black triangles. Colored data points represent conformations after 200 ns of MD simulations, with shade representing their $\chi^2$ goodness of fit to the pH 7.5 (C) and 3.0 (D) experimental SANS data. E and F project all simulation conformations on PC1 along the $x$ axis, while the $y$ axis shows $\chi^2$ for data at pH 7.5 (E) and pH 3.0 (F). Finally, G and H display the same data but instead projected onto PC2 at pH 7.5 (G) and pH 3.0 (H).

that it is possible to use SANS to detect quite subtle conformational changes in pentameric ligand-gated ion channels in solution phase at room temperature, and test structural hypotheses. Using crystal structures of GLIC and related pLGICs, we were able to rule out substantial contributions from putative alternative states containing a contracted ECD and either a wide or tightly closed pore, and we identify the closed GLIC X-ray conformation as the best single-structure representative...
Higher-resolution information related to functional state (\(Q > 0.1 \text{ Å}^{-1}\)) collection at two distances was necessary to obtain both low- and high-resolution scattering. Data analysis by allowing the exclusion of micelle parameters. In cuvette mode, GLIC showed evidence of aggregation even when exchanged by gel filtration on the same day (Fig. 2). Switching to the SEC-SANS configuration proved to be instrumental in reducing the contribution of apparent aggregates. A drawback of this mode is that continuous SEC-SANS requires the sample to be split over multiple runs in order to measure at multiple detector distances: For GLIC as for many macromolecules, collection at two distances was necessary to obtain both low-resolution information related to sample mass (\(QR_{g} \leq \sqrt{3}\)) and high-resolution information related to functional state (\(Q > 0.1 \text{ Å}^{-1}\)) (Fig. 24). Despite requiring some technical finesse, manual suspension of SEC flow and temporary relocation of the SANS detector during peak elution enabled measurement with minimal aggregates over an extended Q range for a single sample load (Fig. 2B); this “paused-flow” configuration will likely be applicable to other biological macromolecules. With the latest update to the D22 instrument at the Institut Laue–Langevin neutron source, the upgrade to two detector banks will allow measuring the Q-range from 0.003 to 0.7 Å\(^{-1}\) in a single run without manipulating the detector position during the run. Finally, to sample beyond the available crystal structures, coarse-grained interpolation followed by all-atom MD simulations proved highly efficient in modeling GLIC SANS curves (Fig. 4). Given the number of interpolation seeds used, even the modest simulations implemented here (200 ns each) could constitute a prohibitive computational cost (20-µs total simulation time) for similar future work. However, we noted a similar quality of fits for relaxed simulation seeds as for simulation endpoints (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), which suggests the production runs could possibly be much shorter in many cases.

SANS primarily differentiates structural models on the basis of large-scale conformational changes that affect, e.g., the peripheral radius. In GLIC, such transitions primarily involve the contraction or expansion of the ECD. Accordingly, the best-fitting crystal structure at both pH conditions was closed (resting state) GLIC, due to its more expanded ECD (Fig. 3). Indeed, in linear combinations, only a minority of the open GLIC structure was tolerated (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 and Table S2). Notably, lattice interactions in pGLIC crystals primarily involve the ECD periphery, as other regions are embedded in or proximal to the detergent micelle; it is possible that more contracted forms of the ECD might be preferentially stabilized by crystal contacts, while a slightly more expanded state predominates in solution. Still, the best-fit MD-simulation models under resting conditions corresponded to the closed crystal structure not only along PC1, which primarily represented ECD blooming, but also along PC2, representing upper-TMD contraction (Fig. 4). We found no evidence for states comparable to ELIC or sTeLIC in GLIC solution structures. Aside from a contracted ECD, ELIC- and sTeLIC-based models contained tightly closed or wide-open pores, respectively (Fig. 1), which did not appear to contribute based on the distribution of best-fit MD models along PC2; instead, resting conditions corresponded closely to the closed crystal structure, while activating conditions were best modeled by simulation ensemble members roughly halfway between open and closed X-ray structures. The locally closed state of GLIC (8) projects to intermediate values in the PCA (Fig. 4), a bit closer to the open crystal structure than the optimal region for fit to the activating condition SANS data. The locally closed structures have a contracted ECD like the open structure and a closed pore, but the average solution conformation at activating conditions appears to be somewhat closer to the closed crystal structure in both the ECD and TMD. Thus, our results emphasize expansion of the ECD relative to open GLIC crystal structures, but also highlight moderate pH-dependent changes in the TMD.

While the optimized models achieved a good fit at most Q values, there was for all models a systematic difference at low Q. As Q is related to real space distances d by \(d = 2\pi/Q\), the low Q region corresponds to the longest length scales in the system. In the Guinier region—where the distances probed exceed

Fig. 5. Conceptual models of GLIC conformational distributions. Under resting conditions, the average solution structure of GLIC is similar to the closed X-ray structure, while activating conditions appear to favor an intermediate ECD-expansion and a range of possible pore conformations, which could also correspond to a mix of multiple discrete conformations.
those within the protein—the errors were small so, even slight differences of the model in this region can be expected to have a large impact on the error-weighted residual. For the MD simulation models the deviation at the lowest Q values can in part be explained by a slight underestimation of the molecular weight as the models included only residues corresponding to those resolved in the crystal structures—they thus lacked a few terminal residues compared to the measured protein. In the Q range corresponding to the longest distances within the protein (∼110 Å, Q ≈ 0.06 Å⁻¹) the trend was for models with a larger radius of gyration to have a smaller residual, which is consistent with a more expanded conformation of the protein. The model optimization mainly impacted the region containing the main feature in the data (Q ∈ [0.10, 0.12] Å⁻¹), which corresponds to finding models where internal distances of ∼50–60 Å match those of the probed solution structure. At the largest Q values with experimental data of sufficient quality, corresponding to probed distances between ∼20 and 50 Å, there were minor differences between the models and experimental data, indicating that while the models may be good, they are not perfect at describing this distance range.

Fits to scattering curves under resting conditions (pH 7.5) contrasted with those under activating conditions (pH 3.0). For the latter, SANS was best described by conformations interposed between resting and open crystal structures. Because SANS measures an ensemble, an intermediate model may represent a distinct dominant state, or the average of a heterogeneous mixture. Indeed, several structural studies have supported the presence of multiple states in equilibrium at low pH. One GLIC variant was crystallized at pH 4 in two partially occupied forms, both with a contracted ECD, but with either a closed or open pore (6). Atomic force microscopy images have also revealed a mixture at low pH, including states that predominated under neutral resting conditions (24). We have also recently used cryo-electron microscopy of GLIC to reconstruct multiple particle classes at pH 3, including a dominant state with an expanded ECD and closed pore, and a minority class intermediate between resting and open crystal structures (7). Due in part to its low conductance in single-channel recordings (35), the open probability of GLIC has not been well established. However, there is precedence in this family for flickering between states even at high agonist concentrations (36, 37) and for open probabilities well below 100% at activating conditions (38–41). In recent computational work, we estimated the low-pH open probability of GLIC to 17% using Markov state modeling (42)—notably similar to the tolerated contribution (18%) of the open structure in our linear-combination fits (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 and Table S2). There are thus several indications the SANS curve under activating conditions might be due to an average of mixed states, mostly closed, but some similar to open crystal structures. Prolonged activation leads to desensitization in GLIC (43, 44), so pH 3 conditions should also be expected to produce a population of desensitized channels, distinct from both resting and open crystal structures. It has been proposed that desensitization mimics the lipid-modulated crystal structure of GLIC, with a contracted ECD and partially expanded pore (11), slightly different from the intermediate ECD contraction of the average pH 3 structure. Contribution from this or another desensitized form, either within or beyond regions sampled in our MD simulations, may prove a productive area of future investigation.

In conclusion, paused-flow SEC-SANS proved effective in determining distinct room temperature solution structures of a key model pentameric ligand-gated ion channel under resting versus activating conditions. These solution structures, deposited in the Small-Angle Scattering Biological Database (SASBDB) (45), should prove valuable as reference points for the average conformation of GLIC in solution, and as models that can be used in future studies, e.g., as launching points for MD simulations. Furthermore, our results from pH 3 represent an independent experimental technique pointing to a closed majority population under activating as well as resting conditions. Additionally, we have demonstrated a readily applicable enhanced sampling approach for generating physically plausible models, which can be used to fit solution scattering data. The resolution of this SANS approach is still limited by the signal-to-noise ratio at high Q in the experiment. However, this is expected to improve by an order-of-magnitude as next-generation neutron setups (e.g., the European Spallation Source) become available in coming years, which will enable lower sample volumes and correspondingly higher concentrations. This should make it a very powerful method for discerning even small conformational transitions of biological macromolecules under realistic solution-phase and room temperature conditions.

Materials and Methods

Protein Expression and Purification. GLIC was expressed and purified as previously described (5, 6). In short, a fusion protein of GLIC and maltose binding protein (MBP) in a pET-20b-derived vector was expressed in C43(DE3) Escherichia coli cells. Cells were inoculated 1:100 into 2xYT media with 100 μg/mL ampicillin, grown at 37 °C to a OD₆₀₀ of 0.7, at which time they were induced with 100 μM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside, and shaken overnight at 20 °C. Cells were harvested through centrifugation and lysed by sonication in buffer B (pH 7.4) supplemented with 1 mg/mL lysozyme, 20 μg/mL DNase I, 5 mM MgCl₂, and protease inhibitors. Membranes were harvested by ultracentrifugation and solubilized in 2% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside (DDM), following which the GLIC-MBP fusion protein was isolated through amylose affinity purification and size exclusion chromatography in buffer B (buffer A with 0.02% DDM). The fused MBP was cleaved from GLIC using thrombin, and an additional size exclusion chromatography yielded pure GLIC in a buffer B.

SANS. SANS experiments (47, 48) were performed at the D22 beamline of Institute Laue–Langevin, using three experimental setups: cuvette mode SANS, continuous-flow SEC-SANS, and paused-flow SEC-SANS (see SI Appendix, Tables S4 and S5 for detailed sample and collection parameters). The scattered intensity I was measured as a function of the momentum transfer Q—which is given by Q = (4π/λ)sin(θ/2), where 2θ is the scattering angle and λ is the wavelength.

Cuvette mode allowed the full amount of sample to be measured for both detector distances (2m/2.8m, and 11.2m/11.2m) required to achieve the full Q range; it did not, however, ensure sample monodispersity. To measure monodisperse samples, SEC-SANS (31, 32) was used, running a gel filtration with a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 column in-line with the SANS measurement. In the continuous-flow measurement, the sample was divided over two runs, one for each detector setting (2m/2.8m, and 11.2m/11.2m), and the flow speed decreased to 0.05 mL/min upon peak detection by UV-vis absorbance at 280 nm. In the paused-flow measurements, the full sample amount was loaded for a single run; the flow was slowed down to 0.01 mL/min upon peak detection and paused at the peak max to enable the second detector distance measurement (2.8m/2.8m during the run, and 8m/8m while paused). The gel filtration step was used to exchange the sample to D₂O buffers with match-out deuterated DDM (d-DDM) (26). For the cuvette-mode experiment, this was performed off-line to the SANS experiment and the exchanged sample loaded into 2-mm Hellma quartz cuvettes. All three experimental setups were used to measure GLIC at pH 7.5 (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl; pH 7.5, 0.5 mM d-DDM); GLIC at pH 3.0 (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM citrate-HCl; pH 3, 0.5 mM d-DDM) was measured using the paused-flow setup.

Data reduction and buffer subtraction were performed using GRASP, version 9.04 (49), utilizing either detector frames preceding the protein peak (standard SEC-SANS) or dedicated buffer measurements for the buffer background (paused-flow SEC-SANS and cuvette SANS); data were corrected for the empty cuvette and background, and scaled by their transmission and thickness. They were scaled to absolute intensity by direct flux measurement. Concentration normalization of the paused-flow SEC-SANS runs were performed by dividing the scattering intensity with the concentration calculated using the absorbance at 280 nm from the corecorded chromatograms.
and the extinction coefficient calculated from the amino acid sequence using ProtParam (50). In merging data from the two detector distances, data from the detector distance covering low Q (11.2 m for continuous-flow and cuvette-mode, 8 m for paused-flow) were used up to 0.070 Å−1 continuous-flow and cuvette-mode, and up to 0.095 Å−1 for paused-flow. Above this, data from 2 m (continuous-flow and cuvette-mode) and 2.8 m (paused-flow) were used. A small additional constant was subtracted as a final adjustment to the background.

Model Preparation. Models based on X-ray crystal structures were constructed using PDB entries 4NQP (GLIC, closed conformation) (6), 4HFI (GLIC, open conformation) (51), 3ROU (ELIC) (52), and 6FL9 (TeLoLIC) (22). Using MODELER (53), terminal residues to match the construct used in the SANS experiment were introduced to the models based on 4NQP and 4HFI, as were residues missing in the 4NPQ structure. For the models based on 3ROU and 6FL9, homology models of GLIC with these structures as templates were constructed using MODELLER. The N-terminal residues that were present in the construct but not in the crystal structures were visually inspected in the models, and a single conformation of these residues was chosen and introduced to all subunits in all models.

Models based on MD simulations were obtained from simulations started from seeds along an open-closed transition pathway generated from coarse-grained elastic network-driven Brownian dynamics (eBDIMS) (34, 54). Two transition pathways were constructed between X-ray structures 4NPO and 4HFI resulting in a total of 50 seeds (25 for each pathway using either 4HFI or 4NPQ as eBDIMS target). The atomistic detail was then reconstructed using MODELER (53) in two steps: first, side-chain conformations were transferred from 4HFI and energy minimized to fit the new conformation, followed by refinement of hydrogen bonds to ensure all remaining structure elements remained intact. Each initial conformation was then protonated both in the activating or resting conditions, resulting in a total of 100 initial conformations—50 at activating and another 50 at resting conditions. For activating conditions, a subset of acidic residues were protonated (E26, E35, E57, E82, D86, D88, E177, E243; H277 doubly protonated) to approximate the predicted pattern at pH 4.6, as previously described (55). Conformations were embedded in a 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-phosphatidylcholine bilayer, solubilized with TIP3P water and 0.1 M NaCl, energy minimized, and equilibrated for 76 ns with gradual release of the NaCl, energy minimized, and equilibrated for 76 ns with gradual release of the NaCl to the background. After 200 ns of simulation time were extracted and frames after 200 ns of simulation time were extracted and used as models to fit against the SANS data. Terminal residues present in the experimental construct but not in the crystal structures were omitted in the simulations and extracted models. Energy minimization, equilibration, and MD simulations were performed using GROMACS (56), versions 2018.4 and 2019.3.

Data Analysis. Guinier analysis was performed to calculate I(0) and radius of gyration (Rg). In the Q range from 0.100 to 0.046 Å−1 (approximately Qθ = 3/2 π In) it was plotted as a function of Q², to which a line was fitted. By applying the Guinier equation, the fitted line yielded (I0) from the intercept, and Rg from the slope. With I0 determined, the molecular weight was calculated using the following:

\[M_w = \frac{N_A \cdot I(0)}{c \cdot (\Delta p \cdot \rho_c)^2}\]  

where \(N_A\) is Avogadro's constant, \(c\) is the protein concentration, \(\Delta p\) is the excess scattering length density, and \(\rho_c\) is the partial specific volume. The excess scattering length density is given by \(\frac{1}{\rho_{protein}} - \frac{1}{\rho_{buffer}}\), which was calculated using the scattering length density for GLIC and for D2O (SI Appendix, Table S3). For GLIC, the hydrogen–deuterium exchange of labile hydrogens was taken into account by calculating the expected degree of exchange after 100 min (approximately the time in the paused-flow experiments from the start of a run until the peak max) at pH 7.5 and pH 3.0 using PSX (protein–solvent exchange) (57) and the model based on the closed GLIC structure. To account for GLIC being a transmembrane protein, which means that labile hydrogens in the transmembrane region are shielded to a larger extent than in a soluble protein, hydrogens within the transmembrane region as defined when submitting the model to the Positioning of Proteins in Membranes (PPM) webserver (58) were considered as H. The partial specific volume was estimated by dividing the volume of the closed pore model, calculated using \(1^V\) (59), by the molecular weight expected from the amino acid sequence. The pair distance distribution was calculated from experimental curves using BayesApp (60)—available at https://somo.chem.utk.edu/bayesapp—and for the closed GLIC model using CAPP (calculating pair distance distribution functions for proteins) (61). Theoretical curves and fits to the experimental curves were calculated using PEPsi-SANS (62). Linear combinations of theoretical curves (following the expression \(I_{combination} = k\cdotI_{model} + (1-k)\cdotI_{model}\), where \(I\) is intensity and \(k\) is the reaction rate constant of the first model) were tested using the curves calculated for the models of closed and open GLIC. The goodness of fit of the linear combinations to the experimental data were evaluated by calculating the reduced \(\chi^2\), approximating the degrees of freedom with the number of data points.

PCA was performed using MDAanalysis (63, 64), first constructing a PCA landscape using Cartesian coordinates from the set of GLIC structures used by Orellana et al. (34). The models from MD simulations were projected onto this landscape and the correlation between \(\chi^2\) and the first two PCs evaluated.

Graphs were plotted using MATLAB (65), and protein images were rendered using Vmd (66). A summary of software and equations used is available in SI Appendix, Table S6.

Data Availability. The raw experimental data have been deposited at https://doi.org/10.17665/OSF.IO/TDXZ4 for cuvette-SANS and continuous-flow SEC-SANS (47, 67), and at https://doi.org/10.5291/IILL-DATA.8-03-1002 for paused-flow SEC-SANS (48). Processed SANS data and representative models have been deposited in the Small-Angle Scattering Biological Data Bank (SASBDB), https://www.sasbdb.org/project/1317/ (paused-flow SEC-SANS at pH 7.5 [SASDL3], paused-flow SEC-SANS at pH 3 [SASDL4], continuous-flow SANS at pH 7.5 [SASDL3], and cuvette-SANS at pH 7.5 [SASDL3]) (68).
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