Methods: Coherence tomography optic nerve volume scans of normal eyes. To evaluate the relationship between age, race, and sex.

Purpose: To compare with whites. It is similar in both sexes.

Results: The population was 57% female and 69% white with a mean age of 58.4 ± 15.3 years. The mean MDB thickness in the normal population was 278.4 ± 47.5 µm. For this normal population, MDB thickness decreased by 0.84 µm annually ($P < 0.001$). African Americans had thinner MDBs compared with whites ($P = 0.003$). Males and females had similar MDB thickness values ($P = 0.349$).

Conclusion: Neuroretinal rim MDB thickness measurements decreased significantly with age. African Americans had thinner MDB neuroretinal rims than whites.
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Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) has become an integral part of the clinical evaluation for glaucoma because it can objectively measure the neuroretinal rim, the ganglion cell region, and the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL), all of which are known to decrease with glaucoma. As optical coherence tomography (OCT) imaging can show structural changes years before functional visual field (VF) loss, structural tests remain a cornerstone in the evaluation of glaucoma patients. However, clinicians who use neuroretinal rim structural tests need to be aware of how much nerve tissue loss is expected for normal aging and how much racial variation exists in SD-OCT parameters to distinguish normal aging changes and racial variation from glaucomatous changes.

Most SD-OCT studies that look at the effect of age, race, and sex on structural parameters have focused on RNFL thickness. These studies have found that increasing age is associated with RNFL thickness decreases between 0.18 and 0.44 µm annually in normal adult patients.
Multiple studies reported that sex is not significantly correlated with RNFL thickness, whereas 1 reported that women had thicker RNFL than men. Two studies reported that whites had thinner RNFL thickness measurements than other racial groups. However, RNFL thickness measurements through SD-OCT have a high rate of imaging artifacts, and up to 46.3% of RNFL thickness scans have artifacts, which can be caused by decentration errors, posterior vitreous detachments, and epiretinal membranes.

In contrast, SD-OCT studies which look at the effect of age, race, and sex on the neuroretinal rim are few and are limited to 2 studies which used a low-density scan protocol and evaluated the Bruch membrane opening minimum rim width (BMO-MRW) parameter, which has not been consistently shown to be diagnostically better than the RNFL thickness parameter. To our knowledge, there are no SD-OCT studies which use a high-density scan protocol to evaluate the effects of age, race, and sex on the neuroretinal rim. Therefore, this current study utilizes the minimum distance band (MDB) neuroretinal parameter, which is derived from high-density optic nerve scans and which has been shown to be diagnostically better than the RNFL thickness parameter. The reproducibility of MDB thickness is high, with an inter-test variability of 0.84%. Like other 3-dimensional (3D) neuroretinal rim parameters, the MDB thickness uses SD-OCT imaging to determine the borders of the neuroretinal rim. These other 3D parameters include the minimum circumpapillary band, which defines the neuroretinal rim as the distance between the internal limiting membrane (ILM) and the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), and the BMO-MRW, which defines the neuroretinal rim as the distance between the ILM and the BMO.

In contrast to the macular region where only 50% of retinal ganglion cells (RGC) reside, 100% of RGC axons must pass through the neuroretinal rim and they account for almost all the tissue in this MDB region (ie, 94% nerve axons and 5% astrocytes). As the MDB measures the minimum space

![FIGURE 1. The neuroretinal rim minimum distance band (MDB) high-density raster scan protocol compared with the Bruch membrane opening-minimum rim width (BMO-MRW) radial scan protocol. A, MDB thickness scan of a glaucomatous eye not included in this study. Each of the horizontal green lines represents 1 of the 193 raster scans (top left), which are then used to reconstruct the neuroretinal rim through 100 points (red dots, top center), defined as the termination of the retinal pigment epithelium/Bruch membrane (RPE/BM) complex. The last image (top right) shows 1 of the 193 B-scans, with the termination of the retinal pigment epithelium/Bruch membrane (RPE/BM) complex delineated in red, and the internal limiting membrane (ILM) in green. The shortest distance between the ILM and the RPE/BM complex is represented by the yellow arrow, although the final average MDB thickness is calculated from a 100-point 3-dimensional reconstruction of the ILM and RPE/BM complex terminations. B, BMO-MRW scan of a healthy eye. Each green line represents 1 of the 24 radial scans used to reconstruct the disc margin at 48 points (red dots, bottom left), which is defined as the border of the BMO. A cross-section at one of those points is shown (bottom right), with the ILM marked by a red line, and the BMO by a red dot, while a blue arrow delineates the BMO-MRW.](https://www.glaucomajournal.com)
through which this trajectory of nerve axons must travel to reach the brain, the MDB is a good surrogate measurement of neuroretinal rim tissue and affords a good model to assess to effects of age, race, and sex on optic nerve tissue.

To the best of our knowledge, the effects of age, race, and sex on the high-density neuroretinal MDB parameter have not yet been reported. The aim of this study was to determine the relationships between these variables and MDB thickness in a multiethnic population using 3D high-density volume scans acquired by the Spectralis SD-OCT machine (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany).

METHODS

Participants and Associated Testing

All subjects were recruited from the Glaucoma Service at the Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary between April 2009 and January 2016, though all were deemed to have no glaucomatous damage. This prospective study protocol was approved by the Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary Institutional Review Board, and informed consent and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) forms were signed by all study participants. This study is a cross-sectional sampling of this prospective study. All subjects underwent a complete eye examination by a glaucoma specialist (T.C.C.), which included history, best-corrected visual acuity testing, Goldmann applanation tonometry, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, gonioscopy, ultrasonic pachymetry (PachPen; Accutome Ultrasound Inc., Malvern, PA), dilated ophthalmoscopy, stereo disc photography (Visucam Pro NM; Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc.), as well as RNFL thickness scans (HRA/Spectralis software version 5.4.8.0; Heidelberg Engineering GmbH).

Patients were only included if their VF tests were reliable: <3% fixation losses, <20% false positives, and <20% false negatives; if their RNFL scans had a clear fundus image with good optic disc and scan circle visibility before and during image acquisition, RNFL visible and without interruptions, and a continuous scan pattern without missing or blank areas. All included study patients had normal eyes except for mild cataracts, had best-corrected visual acuities of 20/40 or better, had spherical equivalent refractions within ± 5.0 D, had intraocular pressures <21 mm Hg and had normal VF testing with normal Glaucoma Hemifield Tests. Normal VF tests did not have a cluster of 3 >5 dB abnormal spots on the same side of the horizontal meridian and did not have a cluster of >5 and >10 dB abnormal spots on the same side of the horizontal meridian on the pattern SD map. Although all patients had normal optic nerves, these normal patients were divided into 2 subgroups based on their cup to disc ratios (CDR), which were determined by subjective assessments by a glaucoma specialist (T.C.C.): Subgroup A with normal nerves and subgroup B with normal disc variations (ie, physiologic cupping).

Physiologic cupping was defined as having CDR >0.4 for whites and Asians and >0.6 for African Americans and Hispanics, with normal VF test results and intraocular pressure <21 mm Hg. Patients were excluded if they had a CDR asymmetry >0.2, or if scans had a manufacturer signal strength ≤15 dB. When 2 eyes of the same patient were eligible for the study, 1 eye was randomly selected by the investigator using a random number generator.

Spectralis SD-OCT Optic Nerve Volume Scan and MDB Calculations

SD-OCT optic nerve volume scans were performed after pupillary dilation using the Spectralis OCT machine, which relies on an 870-nm superluminescent diode source. The Spectralis OCT’s automatic real-time function and eye-tracking system were used to increase image quality. The high-density 3D optic nerve head (ONH) volume scan protocol consists of 193 B-scans in a raster pattern over an area 20 degrees by 20 degrees (~6 mm×6 mm, depending on the patient’s refraction) centered on the ONH. To analyze this volumetric dataset, custom-designed software was written, using C++ with OpenCV, ITK, and VTK libraries (E.T.). The algorithm automatically segments B-scans to reconstruct the ILM and RPE/BM in 3D. Segmentation errors were identified manually and automatically interpolated by the software. The neuroretinal rim was determined from the termination of the RPE/BM complex at 100 circumferential points, and the MDB thickness was calculated by measuring the closest distances from these points to the ILM (Fig. 1). All calculations were performed in real space, though the images in Figure 1 are stretched by a factor of 3 for display purposes. Two adjacent points on the neuroretinal rim and their closest points on the ILM formed pairs of triangles. The MDB area was calculated by measuring the area of the triangle pairs around the rim. Global, quadrant, and octant averages of the thickness and area measurements were determined via the arithmetic mean. Further details of this MDB program have been described in previous studies.

Calculation of Percentage of MDB Thinning Per Year

We calculated the percentage of nerve tissue loss per year for MDB thickness to better compare our results to those of other neuroretinal rim or RNFL thickness parameters, whose normal thickness values may have different magnitudes. The annual percentage of MDB loss was defined as annual MDB thinning (ie, numerator) divided by normal mean MDB thickness measurements (ie, denominator).

Statistical Analysis

A χ² test was used to test whether the race and sex distributions of the 2 subgroups were different. Simple linear regression analysis was performed to determine the association between age and MDB thickness. A t test was used to determine the effect of sex on MDB thickness, and to compare the MDB thickness of the normal subgroup A with that of subgroup B with normal disc variations. An F test was performed to determine whether the MDB thickness was different among different races. A Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate correction was performed to account for multiple testing of the univariate analyses among all subgroups A and B participants. Furthermore, a multivariate linear regression analysis of MDB thickness was performed, adjusting for age, race, and sex. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Results are shown as the mean ± SD unless otherwise stated. Finally, an analysis of variance F test was used to determine whether age-related MDB thickness changes were different across the races included in this study.

RESULTS

Subject Characteristics

The study included 256 normal patients: 132 patients in subgroup A with normal discs and 124 patients in subgroup B with normal disc variations (ie, physiologic cupping). The mean
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age for all 256 patients was 58.4 ± 15.3 years (Table 1). The study population was predominantly female (57%) and white (69%) and included 134 right eyes and 122 left eyes (Table 1).

MDB Thickness Measurements

The mean MDB thickness was 278.4 ± 47.5 µm for all subjects (Table 2). Most normal eyes did not follow the ISNT rule, which states that the inferior rim is the thickest, followed by the superior rim, the nasal rim, and then the temporal rim as the thinnest.35 In the overall normal group of 256 patients, only 71 (28%) of 256 eyes obeyed the ISNT rule. Of the normal subgroups, the ISNT rule was only valid for 40 (30%) of 132 eyes of normal subgroup A and 31 (25%) of 124 eyes of subgroup B with normal disc variations. In contrast, the mean MDB thickness values, averaged as an entire group, did follow the ISNT rule (Table 2). Global MDB was thicker in subgroup A with normal discs (302.5 ± 42.2 µm) compared with subgroup B (252.7 ± 38.4 µm) and across all quadrants and sectors (P < 0.001, Table 3).

Association of Age and MDB Thickness Measurements

MDB thickness decreased significantly with age for the overall normal population (P = 0.003), at a rate of 0.71 ± 0.19 µm per year (Table 4). The inferior, superior, and nasal quadrants displayed similar results (P < 0.011), whereas temporal MDB thickness did not show a significant correlation with age (P = 0.077, Table 4). Figure 2 shows the decline of MDB thickness with increasing age in the overall normal study population. Results were similar in normal subgroup A with normal discs, whereas subgroup B with normal disc variations did not show a significant decline with age (P = 0.955, Table 4).

Association of Sex and MDB Thickness Measurements

There was no difference in MDB thickness between males and females in the overall study population or in either subgroup, globally or in any quadrant or sector (P > 0.162, Table 5).

### Table 1. Demographics of Normal Study Population (N = 256)

| demographic | Overall Normal Study Group | Subgroup A: Normal Discs | Subgroup B: Normal Disc Variations | P* |
|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| Age (mean ± SD) (y) | 58.4 ± 15.3 | 56.8 ± 16.3 | 60.0 ± 14.0 | 0.093 |
| Sex | | | | 0.346 |
| Female | 145 (57) | 79 (60) | 66 (53) | |
| Male | 111 (43) | 53 (40) | 58 (47) | |
| Race | | | | 0.004* |
| White | 177 (69) | 86 (65) | 91 (73) | 0.197 |
| African American | 31 (12) | 21 (16) | 10 (8) | 0.083 |
| Asian | 28 (11) | 11 (8) | 17 (14) | 0.239 |
| Hispanic | 17 (7) | 14 (11) | 3 (2) | 0.017* |
| Other | 3 (1) | 0 | 3 (2) | NA |
| Refractive error (mean ± SD) (D) | -0.66 ± 1.91 | -0.40 ± 1.79 | -0.94 ± 2.01 | 0.025* |
| Overall | 256 | 132 | 124 | |

*P is the P-value of testing that the proportion of subjects from each demographic is the same in subgroups A and B, measured with a x² test for sex and race, and the P-value of testing that the means of age and refractive error among subgroups A and B are equal using a t test.

**Table 2. Neuroretinal Rim MDB Thickness and Area in the Normal Study Population (n = 256) by Quadrant and Sector**

| | MDB Thickness (µm) | MDB Area (mm²) |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Global          | 278.4 ± 47.5 (272.6-284.2) | 1.824 ± 0.409 (1.774-1.874) |
| Inferior quadrant | 312.8 ± 60.6 (305.4-320.2) | 0.526 ± 0.142 (0.509-0.543) |
| Superior quadrant | 297.8 ± 62.4 (290.2-305.9) | 0.503 ± 0.153 (0.487-0.524) |
| Nasal quadrant | 281.5 ± 56.9 (274.6-288.5) | 0.461 ± 0.144 (0.444-0.479) |
| Temporal quadrant | 222.4 ± 47.6 (216.6-228.2) | 0.332 ± 0.105 (0.319-0.345) |
| Inferior nasal sector | 325.8 ± 63.3 (318.0-333.5) | 0.278 ± 0.080 (0.268-0.288) |
| Inferotemporal sector | 299.8 ± 68.9 (291.3-308.2) | 0.248 ± 0.086 (0.238-0.259) |
| Superior nasal sector | 304.4 ± 66.7 (296.2-312.5) | 0.254 ± 0.090 (0.243-0.265) |
| Superotemporal sector | 298.0 ± 63.9 (290.2-305.9) | 0.252 ± 0.086 (0.241-0.262) |

CI indicates confidence interval; MDB, minimum distance band.
**TABLE 3. Neuroretinal Rim MDB in the Normal Subgroup A (Normal Discs, n = 132) and Normal Subgroup B (Normal Disc Variations, n = 124), by Quadrant and Sector**

|MDB Thickness [Mean ± SD (95% CI)] (µm) |
|----------------------------------------|
|**Subgroup A:** Normal Discs (n = 132) | **Subgroup B:** Normal Disc Variations (n = 124) | **P*** |
| Global | 302.5 ± 42.2 (295.2-309.7) | 252.7 ± 38.4 (246.0-259.5) | <0.001* |
| Inferior quadrant | 337.2 ± 57.3 (327.4-346.9) | 286.9 ± 53.0 (277.5-296.2) | <0.001* |
| Superior quadrant | 328.7 ± 54.0 (319.5-337.9) | 265.0 ± 53.6 (255.5-274.4) | <0.001* |
| Nasal quadrant | 304.6 ± 53.2 (295.5-313.7) | 257.0 ± 50.2 (248.1-265.8) | <0.001* |
| Temporal quadrant | 240.5 ± 44.8 (232.9-248.2) | 203.1 ± 42.8 (195.5-210.6) | <0.001* |
| Inferior nasal sector | 348.5 ± 62.4 (337.9-359.2) | 301.6 ± 54.9 (291.9-311.2) | <0.001* |
| Inferotemporal sector | 325.4 ± 61.6 (314.9-335.9) | 272.4 ± 65.9 (260.8-284.0) | <0.001* |
| Superior nasal sector | 336.2 ± 59.0 (326.1-346.3) | 270.5 ± 57.1 (260.4-280.5) | <0.001* |
| Superotemporal sector | 328.2 ± 52.9 (319.2-337.2) | 265.9 ± 59.0 (255.5-276.3) | <0.001* |

*P is the chance that the MDB thickness is equal in subgroups A and B. A false discovery rate correction was applied to all calculations. CI indicates confidence interval; MDB, minimum distance band.

*P < 0.05, statistically significant.

**Association of Race and MDB Thickness Measurements**

Mean MDB thickness was highest among Hispanics (286.2 ± 57.7 µm), followed by whites (283.7 ± 44.0 µm), Asians (269.5 ± 40.1 µm), and African Americans (258.6 ± 57.5 µm) (P = 0.011, Table 6). African Americans had the thinnest global, temporal, and inferior nasal MDB, whereas Asians had the thinnest nasal MDB, and Hispanics had the thickest MDB in all 4 regions. P-values for testing of mean equality of MDB measurements across races were P = 0.011, 0.008, 0.026, 0.006 for global, temporal, inferior nasal, and nasal sectors. MDB thickness in other quadrants and sectors was similar between all 4 races (P > 0.051, Table 6).

**Changes in MDB Thickness Adjusted for Age, Race, and Sex**

A multivariate analysis was performed on MDB thickness in the overall study group (n = 256), adjusted for age, race using whites as a reference group, and sex using females as a reference group. The MDB thickness decreased globally (0.84 ± 0.19 µm/year, P < 0.001), and across all quadrants and sectors with age (P < 0.014, Table 7) at a higher rate after adjusting for race and sex. African Americans had thinner MDB than whites globally (P < 0.003) and across the inferior, nasal, and temporal quadrants, and the inferonasal and superior temporal sectors (P < 0.003, 0.028, 0.010, <0.001, 0.013, and 0.043, respectively), whereas the superior quadrant, superonasal and inferotemporal sectors were similar among African Americans and whites (P = 0.095, 0.434, and 0.111, respectively). Asians also had significantly thinner MDB compared with whites in the global, nasal, and inferonasal measurements (P < 0.031, <0.001, and 0.032, respectively). Hispanics had similar MDB thickness to whites globally (P = 0.826) and across all quadrants and sectors (P > 0.225). After adjusting for age and race, males and females had similar MDB thickness globally and in all quadrants and sectors (P > 0.050) except the superotemporal sector, where males had thinner MDB (P = 0.045). Age-related MDB thinning was not significantly different across all races (P > 0.235).

**MDB Area Measurements**

The mean MDB area in the study population was 1.824 ± 0.409 mm² and overall average group values for the

**TABLE 4. Effect of Age on Neuroretinal Rim MDB Thickness in the Normal Study Population (n = 256), the Normal Subgroup A (n = 132), and the Normal Subgroup B With Normal Disc Variations (n = 124), by Quadrant and Sector**

| Overall Normal Study Group (n = 256) | Subgroup A: Normal Discs (n = 132) | Subgroup B: Normal Disc Variations (n = 124) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| MDB Thinning Per Year (Mean ± SD (µm)) | **P*** | MDB Thinning Per Year (Mean ± SD (µm)) | **P*** | MDB Thinning Per Year (Mean ± SD (µm)) | **P*** |
| Global | 0.71 ± 0.19 | 0.003* | 0.81 ± 0.22 | 0.003* | 0.14 | 0.955 |
| Inferior quadrant | 0.81 ± 0.24 | 0.006* | 1.04 ± 0.30 | 0.003* | 0.06 | 0.955 |
| Superior quadrant | 0.75 ± 0.25 | 0.011* | 0.80 ± 0.28 | 0.021* | 0.15 | 0.955 |
| Nasal quadrant | 0.87 ± 0.23 | 0.003* | 1.02 ± 0.27 | 0.003* | 0.25 | 0.955 |
| Temporal quadrant | 0.41 ± 0.19 | 0.077 | 0.39 ± 0.24 | 0.266 | 0.12 | 0.955 |
| Inferior nasal sector | 0.74 ± 0.26 | 0.011* | 0.93 ± 0.33 | 0.021* | 0.09 | 0.955 |
| Inferotemporal sector | 0.87 ± 0.28 | 0.003* | 1.15 ± 0.32 | 0.027* | 0.03 | 0.955 |
| Superior nasal sector | 0.97 ± 0.27 | 0.008* | 1.13 ± 0.30 | 0.025* | 0.21 | 0.955 |
| Superotemporal sector | 0.52 ± 0.26 | 0.088 | 0.51 ± 0.28 | 0.211 | 0.02 | 0.955 |

Calculations were performed using a univariate analysis model.

*P is the chance that the change due to age is not statistically different from a slope of 0, indicating no change due to age. A false discovery rate correction was applied to all calculations.

MDB indicates minimum distance band.

*P < 0.05, statistically significant.
MDB area followed the ISNT rule (Table 2). In the normal subgroup A, the mean MDB area was 1.975 ± 0.410 mm². The superior and inferior quadrants were similar in size (0.564 ± 0.148 and 0.562 ± 0.137 mm², respectively), followed by the nasal (0.493 ± 0.144 mm²) and temporal quadrants (0.357 ± 0.116 mm²). In subgroup B with normal disc variations, the global MDB area was 1.664 ± 0.342 mm². The average subgroup quadrant values followed the ISNT rule (0.487 ± 0.137, 0.443 ± 0.132, 0.428 ± 0.137, and 0.305 ± 0.084 mm², respectively).

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, this is the first study that describes the relationship of age, race, and sex with the 3D SD-OCT MDB neuroretinal rim parameter. On average, global MDB thickness decreases 0.84 ± 0.19 µm per year (Table 7), with similar rates between men and women (P > 0.162, Table 5). In terms of ethnic differences, African Americans had significantly thinner MDB values compared with whites (258.6 ± 57.5 vs. 283.7 ± 44.0 µm, P = 0.003). Although differences were not significant, Hispanics had larger global MDB thickness values (286.2 ± 57.7 µm) and Asians had thinner MDB values (269.5 ± 40.1) compared with whites (Table 6). Since neuroretinal rim thickness measurements such as the MDB thickness and BMO-MRW may be considered diagnostically superior to area measurements, such as MDB area or BMO area, this paper’s discussion focuses on the MDB thickness.30,33,36

Like RNFL thickness measurements, MDB neuroretinal rim thickness also decreases with age (Tables 4, 7, 8). Age-related RNFL thinning has been reported to be 0.18 to 0.44 µm per year as measured by SD-OCT.10,12,17-20,37 As RNFL and MDB measure different anatomic regions and therefore have different normal mean values, comparing rates of percentage decline instead of absolute value decline would make a comparison of these 2 parameters easier. Therefore, past cross-sectional studies have reported that annual RNFL thinning ranges between 0.15% by Alasil and colleagues to 0.38% by Celebi and colleagues.12,17-20,37 Vianna et al11 reported a decline of 0.46%/year in 37 normal adults over the course of a 4-year (range: 2 to 6 y) longitudinal study. To compare MDB thickness to RNFL thickness and BMO-MRW, we converted the annual decline measured in microns into a proportion and presented it in Table 8. The MDB thickness decreased by an average annual rate of 0.25% in our 256 normal study subjects, indicating that our results on the MDB age-related thinning are in line with the existing literature on RNFL age-related thinning (Table 8).

Rates for age-related decline of the BMO-MRW neuroretinal rim parameter have been reported at 1.34 to 1.92 µm per year, similar to the MDB thinning of 0.84 ± 0.19 µm per year reported in this study (Table 7).11,12,19 When using the same methodology as the current study to calculate rates of percentage decline, BMO-MRW studies reported a decline of 0.40% to 0.63%/year, which is higher but similar to the 0.25% decline reported in this study for global MDB thickness (Table 8).11,12,19 In a confocal scanning laser tomography (CSLT) study, Enders et al38 more recently reported a decline of 0.80 µm per year (or 0.34%/year) in adults with a large ONH, defined as having an area ≥ 2.45 mm². This rate of 0.34% per year by CSLT is similar to the rate of 0.30% per year by SD-OCT in this study (Tables 7, 8). One reason for the slight difference between percentage decline for the BMO-MRW parameter (0.40%/year to 0.63%/year)11,12,19 and the MDB thickness parameter (0.30%/year, Tables 7, 8) may be that the BMO-MRW and MDB thickness measurements are procured differently. For example, the BMO-MRW low-density scan protocol consists of 24 radial scans, with 25 averages each, whereas the MDB parameter is derived from a high-density 3D volume scan with 193 raster lines, with 3 averages each.29,29 The definition of the disc border also differs between the BMO-MRW and the MDB thickness parameter, because the BMO is used for the BMO-MRW parameter and the termination of the RPE/BM complex is used for the MDB parameter. These data acquisition differences may have accounted for the slight difference between BMO-MRW and MDB rates of age-related decline. Nevertheless, this study and the past literature overall seems to suggest that neuroretinal rim parameters by CSLT and SD-OCT appear to have similar percentage rates of decline.

Rates of age-related neuroretinal thinning as measured by SD-OCT in this study are similar to those reported in past

**TABLE 5.** Effect of Sex on Neuroretinal Rim MDB Thickness in the Normal Study Population (n = 256), by Quadrant and Sector

| Quadrant                  | Females Mean ± SD (µm) | Males Mean ± SD (µm) | P*  |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----|
| Global                    | 279.5 ± 48.2           | 276.9 ± 46.7         | 0.790 |
| Inferior quadrant         | 313.9 ± 63.6           | 311.3 ± 56.7         | 0.819 |
| Superior quadrant         | 302.1 ± 62.5           | 292.2 ± 62.2         | 0.299 |
| Nasal quadrant            | 281.0 ± 59.0           | 282.2 ± 54.3         | 0.897 |
| Temporal quadrant         | 222.2 ± 46.0           | 222.6 ± 49.9         | 0.946 |
| Inferior nasal sector     | 326.7 ± 64.2           | 324.6 ± 62.3         | 0.856 |
| Inferotemporal sector     | 301.4 ± 74.4           | 297.7 ± 61.6         | 0.790 |
| Superior nasal sector     | 308.9 ± 66.1           | 298.4 ± 67.2         | 0.299 |
| Superotemporal sector     | 303.8 ± 63.0           | 290.6 ± 64.7         | 0.162 |

*P* is the chance that the difference between mean MDB measurements of males and females is not statistically different from a slope of 0, indicating no difference. A false discovery rate correction was applied to all calculations.

MDB indicates minimum distance band.

*P* < 0.05, statistically significant.
The annual rates of thinning measured in this study indicate a mean loss of around 4000 to 5400 nerve fibers (0.32% to 0.54%) per year. The estimated mean nerve fiber count is around 0.97 to 1.24 million fibers, with a mean loss of around 4000 to 5400 fibers (0.32% to 0.54%) per year. The annual rates of thinning measured in this study, 0.253% for MDB thickness and 0.279% for MDB area, are similar to those reported in histologic studies, which have found a significant age-related decline in the number of RGC axons. The estimated mean nerve fiber count is around 0.97 to 1.24 million fibers, with a mean loss of around 4000 to 5400 fibers (0.32% to 0.54%) per year. The annual rates of thinning measured in this study, 0.253% for MDB thickness and 0.279% for MDB area, are similar to those reported in histologic studies, which have found a significant age-related decline in the number of RGC axons. The subtle differences between the predicted decay and the observed decay may be due to the presence of nonaxonal tissue or even blood vessel artifacts which may influence MDB calculations. Although future studies are needed to verify this hypothesis, MDB thickness measurements may better reflect nerve tissue loss compared with RNFL thickness measurements, because the MDB thickness measurements have a higher component of nerve to non-neuronal tissue. For example, primate histology studies indicate the MDB may be comprised of up to 94% nerve axons and only 5% astrocytes, whereas the RNFL is composed of at least 18% glial cells, including Muller cells and astrocytes. In addition, SD-OCT studies suggest that the RNFL thickness measurements may be comprised of almost 48.8% to 65.1% of non-neuronal tissue (ie, glial cells and blood vessels). Even though SD-OCT RNFL thickness studies have well-substantiated a “floor effect” ranging from 49.2 to 64.7 μm due to glial cells and blood vessels, future studies of MDB thickness are needed to verify that the “floor effect” for MDB measurements are indeed lower than that for RNFL thickness measurements. These future studies would further substantiate whether OCT is an accurate form of in vivo histology or not.

### Table 6. Effect of Race on Neuroretinal Rim MDB Thickness in the Normal Study Population (n = 256), by Quadrant and Sector

| No. of Subjects | White (n = 177) | African American (n = 31) | Asian (n = 28) | Hispanic (n = 17) | P* |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------------|----|
| Global          | 256.5 ± 57.5    | 269.5 ± 40.1             | 286.2 ± 57.7  | 0.011*           |
| Inferior quadrant | 293.7 ± 67.4 | 304.8 ± 50.3             | 320.2 ± 77.3  | 0.144            |
| Superior quadrant | 283.5 ± 75.1 | 295.1 ± 60.0             | 316.2 ± 69.9  | 0.144            |
| Nasal quadrant  | 264.9 ± 62.2    | 258.9 ± 58.0             | 280.0 ± 60.1  | 0.006*           |
| Temporal quadrant | 193.4 ± 52.9 | 220.9 ± 48.4             | 229.0 ± 52.3  | 0.008*           |
| Inferior nasal sector | 304.3 ± 68.8 | 312.2 ± 49.2             | 330.6 ± 90.2  | 0.026*           |
| Superior nasal sector | 282.8 ± 74.2 | 309.3 ± 68.0             | 309.3 ± 68.0  | 0.615            |
| Global          | 300.3 ± 59.2    | 295.5 ± 60.6             | 318.0 ± 68.0  | 0.051            |

Results expressed as mean ± SD.

Calculations performed using univariate analysis model. P is the chance that the MDB thickness is the same across all races, measured with F test using analysis of variance. A false discovery rate correction was applied to all calculations.

MDB indicates minimum distance band.

*P < 0.05, statistically significant.

### Table 7. Effect of Age on Neuroretinal Rim MDB Thickness Adjusted for Race and Sex in the Overall Study Population (n = 256), With Annual Rate of MDB Decline in the Normal Study Population (n = 256), by Quadrant and Sector

| MDB Thinning Per Year (Mean ± SD) (µm) | P* | MDB Thinning Per Year (%) |
|---------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Global                                | 0.84 ± 0.19 | <0.001* | 0.301 |
| Inferior quadrant                     | 0.94 ± 0.25 | <0.001* | 0.300 |
| Superior quadrant                     | 0.88 ± 0.26 | 0.001*  | 0.291 |
| Nasal quadrant                        | 1.07 ± 0.22 | <0.001* | 0.381 |
| Temporal quadrant                     | 0.48 ± 0.19 | 0.014*  | 0.216 |
| Inferior nasal sector                 | 0.92 ± 0.26 | <0.001* | 0.282 |
| Inferotemporal sector                 | 0.96 ± 0.29 | 0.001*  | 0.319 |
| Superior nasal sector                 | 1.11 ± 0.27 | <0.001* | 0.359 |
| Superotemporal sector                 | 0.67 ± 0.26 | 0.012*  | 0.221 |

Calculations were performed using a multivariate analysis model, with MDB thickness as the dependent variable, and age, race, and sex as the independent variables. P is the chance that the change due to age is not statistically different from a slope of 0, indicating no change due to age. A false discovery rate correction was applied to all calculations.

MDB thinning ratio is calculated by dividing the annual rate of MDB thinning (column 1) by the mean MDB thickness in the overall population (Table 2).

MDB indicates minimum distance band.

*P < 0.05, statistically significant.
for sex and race, the temporal quadrant declined significantly with age ($P = 0.014$), but it had the slowest rate of age-related decline when analyzed for the entire study population compared with other quadrants (ie, 0.48 μm compared with 0.88 to 1.07 μm yearly, or 0.18% compared with 0.25% to 0.31% yearly, Table 7). This is similar to results in the BMO-MRW, where all quadrants declined with age and the temporal quadrant showed the slowest rate of decline. One possible explanation for the slower rate of age-related decline for the temporal quadrant is that it contains the papillomacular bundle, which is composed of thinner axons. Thus, assuming an equal loss in the number of axons across all quadrants, the temporal region of the ONH.

In line with previous studies on RNFL thickness, our study showed that sex did not affect MDB thickness measurements ($P = 0.790$, Table 5). Like our current study, the literature is also conflicting on the effect of sex on OCT measurements. Tun et al reported a significant relationship between BMO-MRW and sex in a normal Chinese population, with females having thicker measurements.

Table 6 shows that MDB thickness was different among races only in the global, nasal, temporal, and inferior nasal measurements ($P < 0.027$). However, no significant difference in age-related MDB thinning was detected across races ($P > 0.235$), which may be due to small sample size. A multivariate analysis adjusting for age and sex also showed that African Americans generally have thinner MDB thickness measurements compared with whites globally and across all but the superior quadrant ($P < 0.029$). This is consistent with past studies that have noted thinner temporal RNFL thickness values in African Americans. However, Knight et al reported, compared with whites, African Americans had thicker mean and quadrant RNFL measurements in all but the temporal quadrant, whereas other studies have reported no significant differences in mean global RNFL thickness between African Americans and whites. Rhodes and colleagues found no significant difference in BMO-MRW thickness between subjects of European descent (ED) and those of African descent (AD), but reported that the RNFL was thinner in AD subjects in the temporal and superior temporal regions and thicker in the nasal, inferotemporal, inferonasal, and superior nasal regions. In a longitudinal study of BMO-MRW and RNFL thickness among AD and ED subjects, Bowd et al found no difference in baseline BMO-MRW, annual BMO-MRW thinning, RNFL thickness, or RNFL thinning in healthy subjects among the 2 groups, although they did note a faster rate of BMO-MRW thinning in AD “glaucoma suspects,” compared with their ED counterparts. Some studies have also found no significant difference in rim area among subjects of different races.

Although our study found that MDB thickness values in Hispanics were similar to those of whites ($P > 0.225$), it is difficult to say if these results are generalizable, because there were only 17 Hispanic subjects in this study, which
makes it difficult to avoid type II errors. Our study also found that Asians had thinner MDB compared with whites globally, nasally, and inferonasally ($P < 0.033$). Studies on the difference in RNFL thickness between Asians and whites were conflicted.\textsuperscript{20,30} Girkin et al\textsuperscript{11} studied RNFL thickness among 2 Asian ethnicities, Indians and Japanese, and found no difference between mean global RNFL thickness of Japanese and Indian subjects compared with those of ED or between Japanese and Indian subjects. However, the study found that subjects of Indian descent had thicker RNFL than Europeans across all quadrants, whereas subjects of Japanese descent had thicker nasal RNFL than those of ED, but were similar in all other quadrants.\textsuperscript{39} Another study, by Knight et al,\textsuperscript{45} noted that Asians had a thicker RNFL than Europeans across all quadrants except the nasal quadrant, which was similar in thickness among both groups. One possible explanation for the existence of racial differences in our study is that Hispanics, Asians, and African Americans are believed to have a larger optic disc size compared with whites.\textsuperscript{54} The MDB, which measures neuroretinal rim tissue, may be more affected by disc morphology than the RNFL, leading to differences that are not observed in the RNFL studies. Girkin et al\textsuperscript{55} also hypothesized that the lack of a significant effect of race on the diagnostic performance of SD-OCT may be due to individual differences among subjects of the same race, which may exceed the difference between multiple races. Another possible explanation may be the small sample size of nonwhites in our study (Table 1), which makes finding statistically significant differences more difficult. A post hoc power analysis revealed that our study does have sufficient power to test whether MDB thickness differences exist among all groups in the global, nasal, temporal, and inferonasal regions (power $>90\%$). However, when comparing the 2 largest groups, African Americans and whites, we only had $74\%$ power to detect differences in global thickness, and $97\%$ power to detect differences in the temporal region, with all other regions falling $<65\%$ power. It is important to note that the post hoc power analysis utilized the mean MDB thickness values in the observed sample (Table 6) as the true value during the calculation, which explains why the power is highest in the regions with the largest difference between African Americans and whites.

Our study has several limitations. As with any cross-sectional study which attempts to evaluate the longitudinal effects of aging, our study results may not accurately reflect the real effects of aging, which is best evaluated in a longitudinal study. Nevertheless, this cross-sectional study still provides useful information, because a longitudinal study over many decades is not possible as SD-OCT has only been commercially available for the past decade or so. Future studies are needed with larger sample sizes of all racial subgroups, to better assess if racial differences exist among whites and other groups. No statistically significant difference in age-related decline was detected among the 2 largest groups, African Americans and whites ($P = 0.162$, Table 5). African Americans and Asians had thinner MDB neuroretinal rim thicknesses compared with whites ($P = 0.003$ and 0.031, respectively), whereas MDB thickness measurements for Hispanics were statistically similar to those of whites ($P = 0.826$). We believe that the results of this study can better inform clinicians on how to account for the effect of normal aging when analyzing MDB thickness measurements over the years.
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