Transdermal Fentanyl Patches Versus Patient-Controlled Intravenous Morphine Analgesia for Postoperative Pain Management
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Background: Acute and severe pain is common in patients postoperatively and should be correctly managed. In the past years studies on preparing better postoperative pain control have resulted in development of postoperative pain management guidelines. Perhaps, one of the major improvements in managing postoperative pain is the development of the patient-controlled analgesia systems (PCA), especially through intravenous (IV), extradural and transdermal routes, which has resulted in marked improvements in acute postoperative pain management. Physicians administrate potent opioids for moderate to severe post-surgical pains. Morphine is the most commonly IV-PCA administered analgesic. The fentanyl iontophoretic transdermal system (fentanyl ITS) is also another efficient option for pain management.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to compare the analgesic effects of these two routine postoperative pain control systems.

Patients and Methods: We enrolled 281 patients (224 males, 57 females) in this blind randomized controlled clinical trial, who had undergone an orthopedic surgery, with the mean age of 33.91 ± 14.45 years. Patients were randomly divided into two groups; in group A patients received IV-morphine PCA pump and in group B fentanyl transdermal patches were attached on patients’ arms. The severity of the pain was registered according to Visual Analog Scale in specially designed forms by pain-trained nurses in two steps; first after the surgery and next before the beginning of analgesic effects. After 24 hours, the pain score was assessed again.

Results: No significant difference was observed in mean pain intensity score at the first patient assessment. Mean pain intensity scores were also similar in both groups at the last measured time point (P > 0.05). Differential pain intensity scores, showing the impacts of analgesic system on the pain experience of the patients was also similar between fentanyl patches (6.48 ± 2.20) and morphine PCA (6.40 ± 1.80), (P > 0.05). Mean patient satisfactory score (scale: 0-100) was also similar in both groups (P > 0.05). The percentage of patients, whose differential pain intensity scores at 24 hours reached our pain management goal was similar between fentanyl and morphine groups (P > 0.05). The percentage of patients with at least one adverse event was significantly higher in fentanyl group (P < 0.05). The most frequent adverse events were nausea, vomiting and itching. In none of the groups no patient experienced serious adverse events related to the studied medications.

Conclusions: Although both pain killing therapeutic regimens are safe and effective for postoperative pain management, regarding the easy usage of the patches, lower risk of abuse and cost-effectiveness in the Iranian market, it is recommended for use in Iranian hospitals and trauma centers and in countries with similar socioeconomic situations.
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1. Background

Pain is a mysterious sense in human beings and one of the commonest reasons for visiting a doctor (1). It could completely disturb life quality of the patients. Therefore the pain should be controlled, especially in chronic or severe forms. Under-treatment or mistreatment of the pain is common and make patients visit different doctors seeking for relief (2, 3). Pain is responsible for billions hours work loss, dollars for medical costs and social and family problems (2, 4, 5). On the other hand, nowadays analgesic abuse is one of the medical and social challenges. Therefore, choosing and prescribing the best analgesic choice for every patient is important. The primary analgesic administration route for general use is oral, in most of the international pain guidelines (6), but it mainly depends on the patient situation, condition of analgesic abuse and severity of pain. For preparing better postoperative pain control, many studies conducted in the past years have resulted in development of post-op pain management guidelines (7-9). Most of the analgesic regimens are intermittent, but
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pain is constant in post-surgical patients. Therefore it may lead to inadequate pain control (10, 11) or analgesic overdose. Perhaps, one of the major improvements in postoperative pain management is patient-controlled analgesia systems (PCA), (12) especially in IV, extradural and transdermal routes. This has resulted in marked improvements in acute postoperative pain management (13,14). Physicians widely administrate potent opioids for moderate to severe post-surgical pain (15). Beside morphine, which is known as the most frequent IV administrated analgesic for PCA, other opioids including fentanyl, are also used (16). Fentanyl is a low molecular weight synthetic opioid, with high potency analgesic effect in intravenous route injection. According to IV doses, fentanyl is considered to have 50 to 100 times the potency of morphine (17). Due to the small molecule structure and high lipid solubility, fentanyl could be a good choice for transdermal use (18).

The fentanyl iontophoretic transdermal system (fentanyl ITS) is a self-programmed easy-applicable needle-free analgesic delivery way, designed for chronic and acute pain management, which could be helpful for postoperative adult patients. Although these patches provide the drug via the skin at a constant rate, the pharmacokinetic profile resembles IV fentanyl (18). Therefore it is reasonable to compare the analgesic efficacy and side effects of these two routine postoperative pain control systems.

2. Objectives

The aim of this study is to compare the analgesic effects of these two routine postoperative pain control systems.

3. Patients and Methods

We enrolled 281 patients (224 males, 57 females) in this blind randomized controlled clinical trial, with the mean age of 35.01 ± 14.49 years, after filling the inclusion criteria. They were fully informed and signed the ethical committee consent forms. Double blinding the study for the patients and all the staff involved was impossible, but our sampling, statistical analysis and medical history taking were performed by trained nurses who were completely blinded to therapeutic groups. All patients had undergone an orthopedic surgery in Shahid Kamyab Trauma Center and University Hospital, January 2011 to January 2012. Patients were randomly divided into two groups, for postoperative pain control.

In group A patients received IV-morphine PCA pump. Each pump were filled by 20 mg morphine, diluted in 100 mL normal saline and set on 1 mg/h infusion rate. One bolus dose could be added by the patient if he/she felt undesirable pain. In group B fentanyl transdermal patches with total dose of 25 μg were used. Regarding the delayed action of the patches, they were attached upon the patients arm 18 h before the operation (Figure 1).

The severity of the pain was registered, according to Visual Analogue Scale in especially designed forms, by pain-trained nurses in two steps; first after the surgery and then before the beginning of analgesic effects. No other analgesic drug was used during this time. Therefore, 24 hours after the surgery pain was assessed again. According to the surgery type, patients were enrolled in three categories: small surgeries on the fingers, and skin grafts were considered as the minor surgeries, moderate surgeries involved fractures of the forearm and foreleg and injury debridement and major surgeries involved pelvic surgeries (DHS, interlock), surgeries on femur, cervical and lumbar vertebrae, shoulder, amputations and prosthesis. The statistical analysis was done with SPSS

---

**Figure 1.** Study Protocol
software version 16.0, t-student and k-square test. Our profession staffs in vital statistics analysis were completely blinded to the therapeutic groups.

4. Results

A total of 281 patients were enrolled into the study (fentanyl ITS group, n = 138, morphine PCIA group, n = 143). The mean age of the patients was 35.93 ± 15.73 years in fentanyl group and 34.13 ± 13.18 years in morphine PCIA patients (P = 0.296). No significant difference was observed between the two groups regarding patient characteristics (Table 1). The distribution of types of the surgery was similar between groups (five patients in minor, 77 in moderate and 61 in major surgeries in morphine PCA group vs. eight in minor, 61 in moderate, and 69 in major surgeries in fentanyl TCI, P = 0.229) (Table 1). No patient, neither in the fentanyl ITS group, nor in the morphine PCIA group received a spinal anesthetic or any extra analgesic with his/her defined protocol.

Mean ± SD of patient satisfactory score (scale: 0–100), at the last assessment after the first 24 hours were similar in both groups (76.95 ± 1.78 for fentanyl ITS and 73.57 ± 18.49 for morphine PCIA, P = 0.147) (Table 2). The percentages of patients who reported satisfactory ratings of good or excellent, after the first 24 hours were 36.2% and 45.7%, respectively for the fentanyl ITS group vs. 42% and 42% for the morphine PCIA group. The difference was not significant (P = 0.746). There was no significant difference in mean pain intensity score, observed at the first patient assessment (8.56 ± 1.43 in morphine vs. 8.36 ± 1.50, P = 0.252). Mean pain intensity scores were also similar in both groups at the last measured time point (2.15 ± 1.55 in morphine vs. 1.87 ± 1.69 in fentanyl, P = 0.153). Differential pain intensity scores which show the impact of analgesic system on the pain experience of the patients, was also similar between patients with fentanyl patches (6.48 ± 2.20) and morphine PCIA (6.40 ± 1.80) patients (P= 0.717).

### Table 1. Patients Characteristics a

|                  | Morphine | Fentanyl | Total | P value |
|------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| Age, y           | 34.13 ± 13.18 | 35.93 ± 15.73 | 35.01 ± 14.49 | 0.296   |
| Median           | 30       | 32       | 32.00 |         |
| Range            | 16-69    | 14-80    | 14-80 |         |
| Other internal diseases | 29 (20.3) | 40 (29.0) | 69 (24.6) | 0.090   |
| Multiple trauma  | 34 (23.8) | 27 (19.6) | 61 (21.7) | 0.392   |
| Surgery type     |          |          |       |         |
| Minor            | 5 (3.5)  | 8 (5.8)  | 13 (4.6) |         |
| Moderate         | 77 (53.8)| 61 (44.2)| 138 (49.1)|        |
| Major            | 61 (42.7)| 69 (50.0)| 117    |         |
| Addiction        |          |          |       | 0.102   |
| Addicted         | 42 (29.4)| 54 (39.1)| 96 (34.2)|         |
| Non addicted     | 101 (70.6)| 84 (60.9)| 185 (66.2)|         |
| Cigarette smoking|          |          |       | 0.496   |
| Smoker           | 74 (51.7)| 77 (55.8)| 151 (53.7)|         |
| Non smoker       | 69 (48.3)| 61 (44.2)| 130 (46.3)|         |
| Analgesic use    |          |          |       | 0.326   |
| Addicted         | 37 (25.9)| 43 (31.2)| 80 (28.5)|         |
| Non addicted     | 104 (74.1)| 116 (68.8)| 220 (71.5)|         |

a Data are presented in No. (%).

### Table 2. Patient Satisfactory and Pain Scores Between Therapeutic Groups a, b

|                  | Morphine PCIA | Fentanyl ITS | P value |
|------------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Patient satisfactory score | 73.57 ± 18.48 | 76.96 ± 20.61 | 0.147   |
| 1st pain intensity score    | 8.56 ± 1.43   | 8.36 ± 1.50  | 0.252   |
| 2nd pain intensity score    | 2.15 ± 1.55   | 1.87 ± 1.69  | 0.153   |
| Differential pain intensity scores | 6.40 ± 1.80 | 6.48 ± 2.20 | 0.717   |

a Data are presented as Mean ± SD.

b Abbreviations: ITS, iontophoretic transdermal; PCIA, system patient-controlled analgesia systems.
The percentage of patients whose differential pain intensity score reached our pain management goal, in 24 hours, was similar between fentanyl and morphine groups (71.7 vs. 74.8%; \( P = 0.559 \)). The incidence of common adverse events was comparable between the two groups. The percentage of patients having at least one adverse event was significantly higher in fentanyl group (2.9 vs. 18.1%, respectively; \( P = 0.00 \)). The most frequent adverse events were nausea and vomiting (0.152% of fentanyl ITS patients and 0.007% of morphine PCIA patients) and itching (0.028% of fentanyl ITS patients and 0.021% of morphine PCIA patients). No patient experienced serious adverse events (SAEs), related to the studied medications like ileus, somnolence, hernia or hypoventilation. Respiratory function was the primary safety measurement. No patient, neither in the fentanyl ITS group, nor in the morphine PCIA group experienced clinically relevant respiratory depression (bradypnea < 8 bpm and excessive sedation) (Table 3).

5. Discussion

As judged by patient satisfactory scores, there was no statistically significant difference between two therapeutic regimens. The other efficacy variable, pain intensity score, confirmed the primary satisfactory data. In recent two decades, there have been many reports about safety and efficacy of PCA in post-surgery setting with potent opioids, especially with morphine or fentanyl (12-23).

Our study supports the results of previous trials that demonstrated fentanyl ITS to be effective on postoperative pain (12, 24, 25), at least as much as standard morphine PCA regimen in patients who are not drug-dependent (26-28). Both approaches seem to be safe and highly effective. Although, different withdrawal rates were assessed for inadequate analgesia in Viscusi et al. study, as more patients in the fentanyl ITS group compared with the morphine IV PCA group (29), we had no patient who discontinue the study because of uncontrolled pain. Although there were some patients exiting the study due to the staff technical faults.

The inherent safety of PCIA is that the dosing frequency is controlled by the patient as needed for pain relief, reducing the possibility of overdose, as pain requirements are met. A meta-analysis of 15 randomized controlled studies showed that patients using PCA postoperatively, obtained significantly better pain relief than those using intramuscular analgesia, without increasing the adverse effects (30). This safety is maybe more prominent in fentanyl ITS. Panchal et al. believed that systemic related events, especially those related to needle injection like infiltration at the catheter site, pain at injection site and incorrect dosing or programming, occur more frequently in the morphine group. Also, less analgesic gap and interruption are reported in management of postoperative pain with fentanyl ITS vs. morphine pumps (31). Moreover, morphine and its metabolites can accumulate in patients with renal failure and be potentially toxic. Morphine also stimulates histamine release, increasing the risk of hypotension (32), but there was not any evidence on histamine release in as a result of fentanyl use (33, 34), which makes it a proper option for pain management in patients with renal failure (35).

On the other hand, the most prevalent complications like itching, erythema, edema and discoloration, reported in literature about the fentanyl ITS group are related to the method of pain control (25). Panchal et al. in their study reported more device failures with fentanyl ITS (31). Another important point about analgesic prescription for postoperative pain management is patient and personnel cooperation and easy care factors. In Grond et al. (25) study was reported a significantly (\( P = 0.001 \)) more favorable ease of care score, rated by the patients. Nurses and physiotherapists also reported care giving, significantly easier, less bothersome and more time-consuming in fentanyl ITS, compared to morphine PCIA (25) and although, the level of satisfaction between groups was the same (\( P = 0.804 \)), nurses and physiotherapists reported higher (more favorable) mean (SEM) satisfaction ratings in the fentanyl ITS group.

To sum up, although both anti-pain therapeutic regimens are safe and effective for postoperative pain management, regarding the easy usage of the fentanyl patches, lower risk of abuse and cost-effectiveness in the Iranian market, its use is recommended in Iranian hospitals and trauma centers and countries with similar socio-economic situations.

Acknowledgements

There is no acknowledgment.

Authors’ Contribution

All authors have been contributed equally in writing and editing the manuscript.

Financial Disclosure

There is no financial disclosure.
**Funding/Support**

Vice chancellor of research, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences Mashhad, IR Iran.

**References**

1. Allan L, Hays H, Jensen NH, de Waroux BL, Bolt M, Donald R, et al. Randomised crossover trial of transdermal fentanyl and sustained-release oral morphine for treating chronic non-cancer pain. *BMJ.* 2001;322(7295):354-8.

2. Ashburn MA, Staats PS. Management of chronic pain. *Lancet.* 1993;341(8857):865-9.

3. Skaer TI. Transdermal opioids for cancer pain. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2006;4:24.

4. Mystakidou K, Tsilika E, Parpa E, Katsouda E, Galanos A, Vlahos L. Assessment of anxiety and depression in advanced cancer patients and their relationship with quality of life. *Qual Life Res.* 2003;12(4):333-41.

5. Bucher JA, Trottie GB, Moore M. Family reports of cancer pain, pain relief, and prescription access. *Cancer Pract.* 1999;7(2):71-7.

6. *Cancer pain relief. Geneva: World health organization;* 1986.

7. American Pain Society. *Principles of analgesic Use in the Treatment of Acute Pain and Cancer Pain.* 4th ed. Glenview, IL: APS; 2003.

8. Acute pain management - scientific evidence. 2nd ed. Australia: Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists and Faculty of Pain Medicine; 2005.

9. Ready LB, Edwards WT, editors. International Association of the Study of Pain, Task Force on *Acute Pain. Management of Acute Pain: a Practical Guide.* Seattle, WA: IASP Press; 1992.

10. Filos KS, Lehmann KA. Current concepts and practice in post-operative pain management: need for a change? *Eur Surg Res.* 1999;31(2):97-107.

11. Lewis KS, Whipple JK, Michael KA, Quebbeman EJ. Effect of analgesic treatment on the physiological consequences of acute pain. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care.* 1994;11(2):159-54.

12. Chelly JE, Grass J, Houseman TW, Minkowitz H, Pue A. The safety and efficacy of a fentanyl patient-controlled transdermal system for acute postoperative analgesia: a multicenter, placebo-controlled trial. *Anesth Analg.* 2004;99(2):347-35.

13. Macintyre PE. Safety and efficacy of patient-controlled analgesia. *Br J Anaesth.* 2001;87(1):36-46.

14. Wheatley RG, Schug SA, Watson D. Safety and efficacy of patient-controlled epidural analgesia. *Br J Anaesth.* 2001;87(1):47-61.

15. Cherry NI. Opioid analgesics: comparative features and prescribing guidelines. *Drugs.* 1996;51(5):71-3.

16. Etches RC. Patient-controlled analgesia. *Surg Clin North Am.* 1999;79(2):297-312.

17. Mather LE. Clinical pharmacokinetics of fentanyl and its newer derivatives. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 1983;8(5):442-46.

18. Sathyam G, Jaskowiak J, Evashenk M, Gupta S. Characterisation of the pharmacokinetics of the fentanyl HCl patient-controlled transdermal system (PCTS): effect of current magnitude and multiple-day dosing and comparison with IV fentanyl administration. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2005;44(Suppl K):1-5.

19. Lehmann KA. Patient-controlled analgesia: An efficient therapeutic tool in the postoperative setting. *Eur Surg Res.* 1999;31(2):312-21.

20. Welch EA. On-demand analgesia: A double-blind comparison of on-demand intravenous fentanyl with regular intramuscular morphine. *Anesthesia.* 1981;36(1):39-25.

21. Ginsberg B, Gil KM, Muir M, Sullivan F, Williams DA, Glass PS. The influence of lockout intervals and drug selection on patient-controlled analgesia following gynecological surgery. *Pain.* 1995;62(1):95-100.

22. Rowbotham DJ, Wylde R, Nimmo WS. A disposable device for patient-controlled analgesia with fentanyl. *Anesthesia.* 1989;44(1):922-4.

23. American Pain Society. *Principles of analgesic use in the treatment of acute pain and cancer pain. USA: American Pain Society;* 1999.

24. Viscusi ER, Reynolds L, Tait S, Meison T, Atkinson LE. An iontophoretic fentanyl patient-activated analgesic delivery system for postoperative pain: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Anesth Analg.* 2006;102(1):888-94.

25. Grond S, Hall J, Spacek A, Hoppenbrouwers M, Richarz U, Bonet F. Iontophoretic transdermal system using fentanyl compared with patient-controlled intravenous analgesia using morphine for postoperative pain management. *Br J Anaesth.* 2007;98(5):386-15.

26. Viscusi ER, Siccardi M, Damaraju CV, Huffman DJ, Kershaw P. The safety and efficacy of fentanyl iontophoretic transdermal system compared with morphine intravenous patient-controlled analgesia for postoperative pain management: an analysis of pooled data from three randomized, active-controlled clinical studies. *Anesth Analg.* 2007;105(5):1428-36.

27. Hartrick CT, Bourne MH, Gargiulo K, Damaraju CV, Vallow S, Huffman DJ. Fentanyl iontophoretic transdermal system for acute pain management after orthopedic surgery: a comparative study with morphine intravenous patient-controlled analgesia. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2006;31(6):546-54.

28. Minkowitz HS, Rathnell JP, Vallow S, Gargiulo K, Damaraju CV, Huffman DJ. Efficacy and safety of the fentanyl iontophoretic transdermal system (ITS) and intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (IV PCA) with morphine for pain management following abdominal or pelvic surgery. *Pain Med.* 2007;8(8):657-68.

29. Viscusi ER, Reynolds L, Chung F, Atkinson LE, Khanna S. Patient-controlled transdermal fentanyl hydrochloride vs intravenous morphine pump for postoperative pain: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2004;291(11):1333-41.

30. Ballantyne JC, Carr DB, Chalmers TC, Dear KB, Angelillo IF, Mosteller F. Postoperative patient-controlled analgesia: meta-analyses of initial randomized control trials. *J Clin Anesth.* 1993;5(3):182-93.

31. Panchal SJ, Damaraju CV, Nelson WW, Huffman DJ, Schein JR. System-related events and analgesic gaps during postoperative pain management with the fentanyl iontophoretic transdermal system and morphine intravenous patient-controlled analgesia. *Anesth Analg.* 2007;105(5):1437-41.

32. Barke KE, Hough LB. Simultaneous measurement of opiate-induced histamine release in the periaductual gray and opiate antinociception: an in vivo microdialysis study. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 1993;264(2):394-42.

33. Rosow CE, Moss J, Philbin DM, Savarese JJ. Histamine release during morphine and fentanyl anesthesia. *Anesthesiology.* 1982;56(2):93-6.

34. Austrup ML, Kangen G. Analgesic agents for the postoperative period. *Opioids. Surg Clin North Am.* 1999;29(2):251-73.

35. Murphy TF. Acute pain management pharmacology for the patient with concurrent renal or hepatic disease. *Anasthesi Intensive Care.* 2005;3(3):311-22.