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Investigations were carried out, on the effect of addition of kaolinite (2Al_2O_3·3SiO_2·2H_2O) and talc (Mg_3Si_2O_5(OH)_2) in terms of bulk density, XRD phases, microstructure, as well as thermal and mechanical properties of the aluminium titanate (AT) ceramics. AT ceramics with additives have shown enhanced sinterability at 1550°C, achieving close to 99% of TD (theoretical density) in comparison to 87% TD, exhibited with pure AT samples sintered at 1600°C, and found to be in agreement with the microstructural observations. XRD phase analysis of samples with maximum densities resulted in pure AT phase with a shift in unit cell parameters suggesting the formation of solid solutions. TG-DSC study indicated a clear shift in AT formation temperature with talc addition. Sintered specimens exhibited significant reduction in linear thermal expansion values by 63% (0.42 × 10^−6/C, (30–1000°C)) with talc addition. Thermal hysteresis of talc-doped AT specimens showed a substantial increase in hysteresis area corresponding to enhanced microcrack densities which in turn was responsible to maintain the low expansion values. Microstructural evaluation revealed a sizable decrease in crack lengths and 200% increase in flexural strength with talc addition. Results are encouraging providing a stable formulation with substantially enhanced thermomechanical properties.

1. Introduction

Aluminum Titanate (Al_2TiO_5, designated as AT) ceramics exhibit excellent thermal properties such as low thermal expansion coefficient in the range of 1.0–1.5 × 10^−6/C (RT-1000°C) in combination with low thermal conductivity (~1.5 Wm−1 K−1) and a high melting temperature of 1860°C [1–4]. This makes Al_2TiO_5, a material of choice for many refractory applications. Some of these applications include wall flow filters for diesel particulate emission control, exhaust port liners in automotive engines and thermal shock resistant refractory parts for nonferrous metallurgical industries [5–8]. One of the disadvantages of this ceramic material for practical applications is the low flexural strength due to the extensive microcracks generated while processing [3, 4, 9]. Crystal structure of aluminum titanate (β-Al_2TiO_5) is pseudo-brookite and is associated with the strong anisotropy in crystallographic axis while heating, which is responsible for microcracking on cooling [9, 10]. The microcracking phenomenon is closely related to the material microstructure. Below a critical grain size, the elastic energy of the system is insufficient for microcrack formation during cooling and thus the mechanical properties are considerably enhanced. Additionally, eutectoid decomposition to its parent oxides such as α-Al_2O_3 and TiO_2 between 750 to 1280°C is also an issue leading to thermal instability of the Al_2TiO_3 phase. This decomposition occurs when the adjacent Al^3+ (0.54 Å) and Ti^4+ (0.67 Å) octahedra collapse, because of the lattice site occupied by the Al^3+ ion is too large. The thermal energy available from this collapse allows Al^3+ to migrate from its position and causes structural dissolution to rutile (TiO_2) and corundum (α-Al_2O_3) [9–12].

It is also well known that, thermomechanical properties of microcracked ceramics is a function of dopants and many attempts to dope with various oxide compounds (such as MgO, ZrO_2, Fe_2O_3, SiO_2, ZrSiO_4) are made so far [13–19]. These oxides are reported to be effective in densification and improving the thermal stability. However, they need...
to be doped in high-volume fractions, deteriorating the thermal properties and long-term stability [15]. Doping with spodumene (LiAlSiO₄), mullite (3Al₂O₃·2SiO₂), cordierite (Mg₂Al₂Si₄O₁₂), and feldspar have also been attempted, but and no significant enhancement in thermomechanical properties were reported [19–25]. Studies have also attempted to dope with SiO₂ (9 wt%) and co-doping with MgO (10 wt%) and SiO₂ (8 wt%), which have reported significant enhancement in stability and mechanical properties. However, doping with SiO₂ resulted in unreacted residual TiO₂ phase and the formation of additional phases such as MgAl₂O₄ and Mg₆SiO₄ with co-doping [17].

In view of the above, the objective of this paper is to elucidate systematically the effect of additives such as kaolinite and talc into Al₂TiO₅ formulation with varying concentrations followed by the evaluation of thermomechanical properties. Accordingly, precursor oxide samples were compacted with and without additives and were subjected to sintering at varying temperatures to achieve close to theoretical density. Sintering behavior of AT was elucidated with dilatometric shrinkage curve and DSC studies. Sintered samples were systematically the effect of additives such as kaolinite and talc (Mg₁₂Si₄O₁₀(OH)₂) were depicted in Figure 3(a) for the sintering temperatures of 1500°C, 1525°C, and 1550°C followed by an expansion regime corresponding to formation of Al₂TiO₅ and is in good agreement with endothermic peak at 1375°C (Figure 3(b)). This expansion regime in

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterisation of Raw Materials. XRD patterns and SEM micrographs of the basic raw materials recorded for Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ used for the present investigations are shown in Figures 2(a) and 2(b). It is evident that the bulk density of Al₂O₃ formulation without additives has shown only a marginal increase from 84 to 85% of TD with increase of sintering temperature from 1500 to 1550°C. No significant increase in density is observed even at a sintering temperature of 1600°C. Composition, concentration, and sintering temperature are to found have a significant effect on the final density values. Concentrations of both the additives are fixed based on their silica content (Table 1) in such a way that the final formulation corresponds to a silica addition of 1.5, 3, and 4.5% (Table 2). Kaolinite (2Al₂O₃·3SiO₂·2H₂O) addition from 2.9 to 8.8% has resulted in the consistent increase in density from 90% to a maximum density of 99% of TD. Talc (Mg₃Si₄O₁₀(OH)₂) addition from 2.5 to 5% increases the density from 92 to 98.63%; however, unlike kaolinite, a higher concentration of talc (Mg₆Si₄O₁₀(OH)₂) has not shown any significant increase in density beyond 92%.

Densities of the samples sintered at different temperatures were evaluated by widely used Archimedes principle (ASTM 792) and phases were analysed by XRD (Bruker's D8 advanced XRD). The polished samples were thermally etched at a temperature, 50°C below the sintering temperature for microstructural observations using a scanning electron microscope (S-4300SE/N, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). The specimens were also subjected to dilatometric analysis using a push-rod-type dilatometer (Netzsch 402C, Germany) incorporating the sample holder correction to determine coefficient of linear expansion (CTE). Thermal hysteresis was recorded for the samples of C₀, C₃, and C₅ formulations with additives which have exhibited the highest densities. Sintered samples with the highest densities were also machined to the rectangular specimens of 45 mm × 4 mm × 3 mm for the determination of the flexural strength using 3-point bend test following ASTM C-1161-02C (Instron).

2. Experimental Procedure

Basic raw materials such as alumina (Al₂O₃, Baikowski, France) and titania (TiO₂, Qualigens) powders along with the additives kaolinite (2Al₂O₃·3SiO₂·2H₂O) and talc (Mg₆Si₄O₁₀(OH)₂) were used for the investigations. XRD phase analysis of the raw materials was carried out by Bruker's D8 advanced system and morphology and particle size analysis by scanning electron microscope (S-4300SE/N, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Physiochemical properties of the raw materials are depicted in Table 1. The concentration of silica (1.5, 3, and 4.5 wt%) in aluminum titanate and sample ID's (C₀, C₁, C₂, C₃, C₄, C₅, and C₆) were depicted in Table 2. The formulations were granulated with 2 wt% of poly vinyl alcohol as a binder and compacted into green compacts of 65 mm × 65 mm × 8 mm using a hydraulic press. The green density of the compacted samples was measured by the dimensional method and was found to be greater than 50% of the theoretical density (estimated by the rule of mixtures). In order to study, the extent of physiochemical changes occurring in green specimens over the processing temperature ranges, the specimens were subjected to the differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) analysis using TG-DSC analyzer (Netzsch, Germany) from room temperature to 1550°C. Basic formulation of Al₂TiO₅ (C₀) has been subjected to dilatometry (Netzsch 402C, Germany) and the shrinkage profile was recorded with respect to the temperature. Three sintering temperatures of 1500°C, 1525°C, and 1550°C were selected for the sintering of all formulations and selection of optimum sintering temperature.
Figure 1: (a) XRD pattern of Al₂O₃ powder, (b) morphology of Al₂O₃ powder, (c) XRD pattern TiO₂ powder, and (d) morphology of TiO₂ powder.

Table 1: Physiochemical properties of raw materials.

| Property                  | Alumina | Titania | Talc  | Kaolinite |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|-------|-----------|
| Chemical composition wt%  |         |         |       |           |
| SiO₂                      | 60.0    | 44.0    |       |           |
| Al₂O₃                     | 99.95   | 2.1     | 50.2  |           |
| TiO₂                      | 99.5    | 0.08    | 0.4   |           |
| MgO                       | 0.005   |         | 31.1  | <0.1      |
| Na₂O                      | <0.01   |         | 0.11  |           |
| K₂O                       | <0.01   |         | <0.01 |           |
| Physical properties       |         |         |       |           |
| Average particle size (D₅₀) | 200 nm  | 300 nm  | 21.62 μm | 2.86 μm  |
| Crystalline phase         | α and γ | Anatase | Talc  | Kaolinite |
facts offsets the densification of AT matrix by around 20%, resulting in poor sintered density of 87% even at a sintering temperature of 1600°C. Endothermic peak at 1386°C for C₃, indicate that there is no significant shift in Al₂TiO₅ phase formation compared to undoped (C₀) formulation (Figure 3(b)). Al₂TiO₅ phase formation temperature is shifted to a lower temperature range of 1274°C for C₅ compared to 1375°C observed with (C₀) un-doped formulation as is evident from the DSC peak (Figure 3(b)).

XRD pattern of the maximum dense sample (C₃) depicted in Figure 4 has not shown any additional peaks and all the peaks could be indexed with standard Al₂TiO₅ phase. However, a shift in unit cell constants is observed in comparison to the pure Al₂TiO₅ samples, especially in the case of “c” parameter [10, 17]. The cell parameters and volume of C₀ sample are \(a = 9.4315 \text{ Å}, b = 9.6385 \text{ Å}, c = 3.590 \text{ Å}, \) and 326.35 \( (\text{Å}^3) \), where as cell parameters and volume of sample C₃ are \(a = 9.4342 \text{ Å}, b = 9.6536 \text{ Å}, c = 3.5940 \text{ Å}, \) and 327.32 \( (\text{Å}^3) \). The change in unit cell parameter is probably due to silicon (Si^{4+}, ionic radius = 0.41 Å) replacing Al^{3+} in the lattice of Al₂TiO₅ matrix. This may result in the multivalent state for titanium that is, Ti³⁺/Ti⁴⁺, corresponding to a stoichiometry of ((Al^{3+},Ti^{3+})₂(Ti^{4+})₁(O²⁻))₅ which in turn enhances the sintering process. A closer look at the micrographs also reveals a change in the porous lamellar type of structure in to relatively dense faceted grains. Further, the microcracks are found visible and crack lengths are reduced to a greater extent in comparison to C₀ with no additives.

XRD pattern of C₅ sample with maximum density depicted in Figure 4 has shown ~96% of Al₂TiO₅ phase with minor quantities of Al₂O₃ after sintering at 1550°C. Further, the TiO₂ peaks are completely absent in the pattern. It is well known that 2MgO-2Al₂O₃-5SiO₂ transform to clinoenstatite (MgSiO₃) close to 1100°C and at high temperatures, it decomposes to MgO and SiO₂. In the case of C₅ formulation, Mg^{2+} (MgO: 1.5%) and Si^{4+} (SiO₂: 3%)
Table 3: Comparison of CTE values and hysteresis area.

| Sample Id | %TD  | %AT phase | CTE value (30–1000°C) | Hysteresis area (cm²) |
|-----------|------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| C₀        | 85.13| 92.04     | 1.09 × 10⁻⁶/°C        | 91                    |
| C₃        | 98.9 | 98        | 0.94 × 10⁻⁶/°C        | 68                    |
| C₅        | 98.63| 95.5      | 0.4 × 10⁻⁶/°C         | 95                    |

Table 4: Comparison of flexural strength and hardness.

| Sample | Flexural strength (MPa) | %increase in flexural strength | Hardness (Kg/mm²) | %increase in hardness |
|--------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| C₀     | 8.53                    | —                            | 150               | —                     |
| C₃     | 8.61                    | 0.94                         | 180               | 20%                   |
| C₅     | 25.89                   | 203                          | 200               | 33.33%                |

Figure 3: (a) Shrinkage curve of C₀ and (b) DSC curves C₀, C₃, and C₅.

Figure 4: XRD patterns of sintered specimens 1550°C.

ions undergo simultaneous lattice substitutions for Al³⁺ to stabilize Al₂TiO₅ stoichiometry. This leads to change in unit cell parameters due to addition of talc. The unit cell parameters and volume of sample C₀ are \( a = 9.4315\) Å, \( b = 9.6385\) Å, \( c = 3.590\) Å, and 326.35 (Å)³. Whereas in sample C₅ unit cell parameters and volume are \( a = 9.4651\) Å, \( b = 9.6715\) Å, \( c = 3.5981\) Å and 329.37 (Å)³, which displaces the interplanar spaces. The dilation along crystallographic c-axis in talc added samples, further expected to improve phase stability of AT [17]. A larger distortion from the C₀ composition can be attributed to the simultaneous substitution of Si⁴⁺ (ionic radius \( a = 0.41\) Å) and Mg²⁺ (ionic radius \( a = 0.65\) Å) replacing Al³⁺ in the lattice of Al₂TiO₅ matrix. Al₂TiO₅ formation process was led by nucleation and growth of grains and finally diffusion of reactant through the matrix and is controlled by the very slow reacting species. In addition to the multivalent state for titanium, that is, Ti³⁺/Ti⁴⁺ as a result of Si⁴⁺ substitution, the presence of Mg²⁺ ions...
that replaces $\text{Al}^{3+}$ may result in oxygen vacancy formation $((\text{Al}^{3+},\text{Ti}^{3+})_2(\text{Ti}^{4+})_1(\text{O}_{2-\delta}),$. These vacancies promote the diffusion in the solid state enhancing densification.

Figures 5(a)–5(c) showed the microstructures of $C_0$, $C_3$, and $C_5$ samples. From the microstructure of $C_3$ and $C_5$ samples, it is clear that they possess single AT phase, whereas in the case of $C_0$ some parent residual oxides still exist. Microstructural features do not show any significant variations in the grain size with both the additives ($C_3$, $C_5$). However, considerable reduction in intergranular pores is observed with the addition of talc ($C_5$). The presence of additives enhanced the grain growth in AT ceramics.

3.2.2. Thermal Expansion and Thermal Hysteresis. Dilatometric expansion curve and thermal hysteresis were recorded while heating and cooling of the $C_0$, $C_3$, and $C_5$ samples.
were shown in Figures 6 and 7, respectively. Table 3 lists the thermal expansion values of C₀, C₃, and C₅ formulations from 30–1000 °C. It is evident that, the formulation C₀ has a CTE value of 1.09 × 10⁻⁶/°C followed by C₃ with a marginally lower CTE value of 0.94 × 10⁻⁶/°C (13% less than C₀) and the lowest value of 0.42 × 10⁻⁶/°C (63% less than C₀) for C₅ formulation. Thermal expansion curves of the entire samples initially contract till 450°C. Thermal expansion curves of C₀ exhibit a dissimilar behavior in comparison with C₃ and C₅ formulation. C₀ sample exhibited a low expansion of −1.97 × 10⁻⁶/°C in comparison to C₃ and C₅ for which CTE values are −1.71 × 10⁻⁶/°C and −1.74 × 10⁻⁶/°C, respectively. All samples have shown an expansion behavior beyond 450°C and C₀ exhibited a significant slope change leading to the maximum expansion value. The temperature, beyond which the expansion becomes prominent, was regarded as the temperature at which healing of micro-cracks occurs that compensates the expansion effectively. Further, the curves corresponding to C₀ are tapered into a plateau beyond 900°C unlike other samples with a positive slope.

Cooling curves also behaved differently with all the three samples. C₀ formulation has shown a negative slope tapering into a plateau followed by the expansion. Initial contraction had α values of 6.08, 7.75, and 3.04 × 10⁻⁶/°C (temperature regime, 1000–450°C) and final expansion region had α values of −4.37, −7.75, and −2.3 × 10⁻⁶/°C (temperature regime, 450–100°C) for C₃, C₅, and C₀ respectively. Expansion below 450°C can be attributed to the reintroduction of micro-cracks healed while heating.

It is obvious that thermal properties of doped and undoped Al₂O₃ ceramics are mainly governed by the presence of micro-cracks and are affected by the crack closure or healing. Areas of the thermal hysteresis were recorded during heating up to 1000°C and cooling up to 100°C for formulations of C₅, C₃, and C₀ were 95, 68 and 91 cm², respectively. Unlike other samples, C₅ showed two closed loops where first loop is in the temperature regime of 1000 to 300°C, which had dL/L₀ value less than dL/L₀ value of sample while heating, as expected. However, the second loop formed at 300°C, exhibited a higher dL/L₀ value which is unusual. Probably this may be due to the transformation of microcracks into macro cracks due to high silica content (4.5%) leading to a relatively low hysteresis area of 68 cm² observed with C₅ sample in comparison to 91 cm² observed with C₀ sample having close CTE values [17]. C₅ sample exhibited hysteresis area of 95 cm², which correlates well with the low CTE value (0.42 × 10⁻⁶/°C) as a result of compensation of expansion values. Microcrack density (number of microcracks/unit area estimated using several SEM images) of C₅ sample was almost 3 times of that of the C₀ sample confirming the above observations.

3.2.3. Flexural Strength and Hardness Measurements. Typical load-displacement curves obtained from the specimens C₀, C₃ and C₅ which were subjected to 3-point bend loading and is shown in Figure 8. Though a minimum of 4-5 specimens were tested in each case, for the sake of clarity, only one load-displacement curve for each condition are shown. Flexural strength (σ_f) is calculated from the load displacement data as σ_f = 3P₀L/2bd², where P_max is the maximum load, L the span length, b the width, and d the thickness of the specimens. The flexural strength values are given in Table 4. C₃ with talc doping exhibited the peak flexural strength before fracture (average, 28 ± 3 MPa) which is ~200% higher than the corresponding flexural strength values (average 8 ± 4 MPa) of the C₃ and C₀ samples. It is evident from the data in Figure 8 that C₀ and C₅ materials show a similar unstable crack extension with load drop after attaining peak flexural stress. A close look at the load-displacement curves obtained from the flexural loading of the C₃ and C₀ samples (shown in Figure 8) has shown several load excursions while on attaining peak load depicting fracture over a wide range of strain followed by a gradual load drop. Poor strength in fracture behavior even with a high density (99% of TD) can be attributed to increase in crack length and more number of macrocracks (crack length up to 30 μm, Figure 5(b)). It is obvious that poor density (85%) and the high porosity are the factors that contribute in addition to the microcracks to the identical fracture behavior observed with C₀ compositions.

Unlike the other samples, C₃ composition exhibited initial rapid increase in the stress, reaching a peak value followed by the fracture. It is clear that, prior to the attainment of the peak flexural stresses, the material showed almost a linear increase in flexural stress with strain, indicating elastic deformation, before final failure. This can be attributed to the association of the existing large number of micro cracks (Figure 5(c)), microcracks are restricted within the grain with maximum crack length <10 μm) leading to the stable crack extension. Finally, the material fails with sudden load drop, which is an indication of rapid propagation of the macrocracks that resulted from coalescence of large number
of micro cracks under local tensile loading. Hardness of the specimens $C_3$ and $C_5$ has shown an enhancement 20% and 33.3% with respect to $C_0$. A relatively high hardness under identical densities could attribute to the marginal decrease in grain size observed with $C_5$ samples.

SEM fractographs obtained from the specimens $C_0$, $C_3$, and $C_5$ tested till the failure under flexural (3-point bend loading) testing are shown in Figures 9(a)–9(f). Though large number of fractographs at different magnifications is obtained in each of the specimen, for the sake of clarity, only one representative fractograph is depicted. These fractographs show an identical transgranular fracture. As discussed above, $C_5$ composition is associated with more microcracks and the crack path while propagation needs to relocate for further crack extension as it encounters more and more microcracks. Such process needs higher fracture energy as compared to the $C_0$ and $C_3$ where microcrack densities were low.

4. Conclusions

Addition of kaolinite ($2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot3\text{SiO}_2\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and talc ($\text{Mg}_6\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2$) in relatively low concentration of 8.8 wt% and 5 wt%, respectively, resulted in enhanced densification leading to a substantial increase in percentage theoretical density to 99% in comparison with pure $\text{Al}_2\text{TiO}_5$ with 87%
processed under identical conditions. Kaolinite and/or talc substitution results in multivalent titanium (Ti$^{2+}$/Ti$^{4+}$) and oxygen vacancies in Al$_2$TiO$_5$ formulations promoting the enhanced diffusion and densification. Microstructural evaluations revealed transformation of porous lamellar type of structure of pure Al$_2$TiO$_5$ into relatively dense faceted grains with kaolinite and talc confirming higher density values. XRD studies have shown an improvement in Al$_2$TiO$_5$ phase content from 92% to a maximum of 98% with the addition of kaolinite. The enhancement in phase content was moderate in case of talc with a maximum of 95.5%; however, DSC studies indicated a drop of ~85°C in phase formation temperature. Substantial improvement in thermomechanical properties was observed with talc addition in comparison to kaolinite. A decrease in thermal expansion value of Talc-doped AT by 63% and an enhancement of flexural strength value by 200% is demonstrated in the present study. These properties found to have a good correlation with the presence and the mode of microcracks as revealed by microstructure and thermal hysteresis. Talc-doped Al$_2$TiO$_5$ formulation presently developed with superior thermomechanical properties can be explored for various potential applications.

References

[1] M. Nagano, S. Nagashima, H. Maeda, and A. Kato, “Sintering behavior of Al$_2$TiO$_5$ base ceramics and their thermal properties,” Ceramics International, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 681–687, 1999.
[2] G. Bruno, A. M. Efremov, B. R. Wheaton, and J. E. Webb, "Microcrack orientation in porous aluminum titanate," Acta Materialia, vol. 58, no. 20, pp. 6649–6655, 2010.
[3] R. Naghizadeh, H. R. Rezaie, and F. Golestani-fard, “The influence of composition, cooling rate and atmosphere on the synthesis and thermal stability of aluminum titanate,” Materials Science and Engineering B, vol. 157, no. 1-3, pp. 20–25, 2009.
[4] K. Hamano, Y. Ohya, and Z. E. Nakagawa, “Crack propagation resistance of aluminum titanate ceramics,” International Journal of High Technology Ceramics, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 129–137, 1985.
[5] H. C. Kim, K. S. Lee, O. S. Kweon, C. G. Aneziris, and I. J. Kim, “Crack healing, reopening and thermal expansion behavior of Al$_2$TiO$_5$ ceramics at high temperature,” Journal of the European Ceramic Society, vol. 27, no. 2-3, pp. 1431–1434, 2007.
[6] Y. X. Huang and A. M. R. Senos, “Effect of the powder precursor characteristics in the reaction sintering of aluminum titanate,” Materials Research Bulletin, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 99–111, 2002.
[7] G. Bruno, A. Efremov, B. Wheaton, I. Bobrikov, V. G. Simkin, and S. Misture, "Micro- and macroscopic thermal expansion of stabilized aluminum titanate," Journal of the European Ceramic Society, vol. 30, no. 12, pp. 2555–2562, 2010.
[8] C. H. Chen and H. Awaji, “Temperature dependence of mechanical properties of aluminum titanate ceramics,” Journal of the European Ceramic Society, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 13–18, 2007.
[9] B. Morosin and R. W. Lynch, “Structure studies on Al$_2$TiO$_5$ at room temperature and at 600°C,” Acta Crystallographica B, vol. 28, pp. 1040–1046, 1972.
[10] R. D. Skala, D. Li, and I. M. Low, "Diffraction, structure and phase stability studies on aluminum titanate," Journal of the European Ceramic Society, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 67–75, 2009.
[11] A. Durán, H. Wohlf, and P. Pena, "Study of the behaviour of Al$_2$TiO$_5$ materials in reducing atmosphere by spectroscopic techniques," Journal of the European Ceramic Society, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 73–80, 1994.
[12] I. M. Low, Z. Oo, and B. H. O'Connor, "Effect of atmospheres on the thermal stability of aluminium titanate," Physica B, vol. 385-386, pp. 502–504, 2006.
[13] V. Buscaglia, P. Nanni, G. Bottalina, G. Aliprandi, and C. Carry, “Reaction sintering of aluminum titanate: i-effect of MgO addition,” Journal of the European Ceramic Society, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 411–417, 1994.
[14] A. Yoleva, S. Djambazo, D. Arseno, and V. Hristo, “Effect of SiO$_2$ addition on thermal hysteresis of aluminum titanate,” Journal of University of Chemical Technology and Metallurgy, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 269–274, 2010.
[15] H. A. J. Thomas, R. Stevens, and E. Gilbart, “Effect of zirconia additions on the reaction sintering of aluminum titanate,” Journal of Materials Science, vol. 26, no. 13, pp. 3613–3616, 1991.
[16] T. Korim, “Effect of Mg$^{2+}$- and Fe$^{3+}$-ions on formation mechanism of aluminum titanate,” Ceramics International, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1671–1675, 2009.
[17] J. Lan, C. Xiao-Yan, H. Guo-Ming, and M. Yu, “Effect of additives on properties of aluminum titanate ceramics,” Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 1574–1579, 2011.
[18] I. J. Kim, K. S. Lee, and G. Cao, “Low thermal expansion behavior of ZrTiO$_5$-Al$_2$TiO$_5$ ceramics having high thermal durability between 750 and 1400°C,” Key Engineering Materials, vol. 22, pp. 2627–2632, 2002.
[19] L. Giordano, M. Viviani, C. Bottino, M. T. Buscaglia, V. Buscaglia, and P. Nanni, “Microstructure and thermal expansion of Al$_2$TiO$_5$-MgTi$_2$O$_5$ solid solutions obtained by reaction sintering,” Journal of the European Ceramic Society, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 1811–1822, 2002.
[20] T. Shimada, M. Mizuno, K. Katou et al., “Aluminum titanate-tetragonal zirconia composite with low thermal expansion and high strength simultaneously,” Solid State Ionics, vol. 101–103, no. 1, pp. 1127–1133, 1997.
[21] P. Oikonomou, C. Dedeloudis, C. J. Stournaras, and C. Ftikos, “Stabilized tialite-mullite composites with low thermal expansion and tetragonal zirconia composite with low thermal expansion and high strength simultaneously,” Key Engineering Materials, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 1127–1133, 1997.
[22] A. Tsitsikou, “A comparison study of tialite ceramics doped with various materials and tialite-mullite composites: microstructural, thermal and mechanical properties,” Journal of the European Ceramic Society, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 335–348, 2005.
