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Abstract

The Kerr-Schild double copy relates exact solutions of gauge and gravity theories. In all previous examples, the gravity solution is associated with an abelian-like gauge theory object, which linearises the Yang-Mills equations. This appears to be at odds with the double copy for scattering amplitudes, in which the non-abelian nature of the gauge theory plays a crucial role. Furthermore, it is not yet clear whether or not global properties of classical fields - such as non-trivial topology - can be matched between gauge and gravity theories. In this paper, we clarify these issues by explicitly demonstrating how magnetic monopoles associated with arbitrary gauge groups can be double copied to the same solution (the pure NUT metric) in gravity. We further describe how to match up topological information on both sides of the double copy correspondence, independently of the nature of the gauge group. This information is neatly expressed in terms of Wilson line operators, and we argue through specific examples that they provide a useful bridge between the classical double copy and the BCJ double copy for scattering amplitudes.

1 Introduction

Since its inception just over a decade ago [1–3], the double copy has remained an intensively-studied relationship between gauge and gravity theories. Its first application was to scattering amplitudes, and there is by now a large amount of evidence for its validity at arbitrary loop orders [2, 4–43], either with or without supersymmetry. The double copy has also been extended to classical solutions [44–81], some of which are exact solutions of their respective field equations. There are ongoing hopes that this may provide new calculational tools for classical General Relativity, including for astrophysical applications such as gravitational wave detection. In parallel to this effort, however, it is worth examining the conceptual underpinnings of the double copy correspondence, and to try to ascertain the limits (if any) of its validity. If, for example, the double copy can be extended to arbitrary (non)-perturbative solutions in gauge and gravity theories, this clearly indicates a profound new correspondence between different quantum field theories, that may even...
suggest that our traditional approach to thinking about field theory needs revisiting. One way to probe this is to find explicit non-perturbative solutions in theories that enter the double copy correspondence, such as the biadjoint scalar theory considered in refs. \[82–85\].

There is, however, another approach to extending the conceptual framework of the double copy. This is to note that nearly all previous incarnations in either a classical or quantum context have involved local quantities. Scattering amplitudes, for example, have locality built in, even if this is not manifest. Furthermore, the classical double copy initiated in ref. \[44\] involves products of fields at the same spacetime point, and thus is again manifestly local. If it is true that there is a deep connection between gauge and gravity theories, it must be possible to match up *global* data - such as topological information - between them. This was examined recently in ref. \[86\] which considered the single copy of the Eguchi-Hanson instanton, alas without providing any conclusive evidence that topological information can be double-copied. Nevertheless, the idea clearly deserves further investigation, and is thus the main aim of this paper.

For scattering amplitudes and (non-linear) perturbative classical solutions, the non-abelian nature of the gauge theory plays a crucial role in the formulation of the double copy procedure. As is by now well-known, there exists a special class of gravity solutions - time-independent Kerr-Schild metrics - whose single copy gauge solution obeys the *linearised* Yang-Mills equations, and thus looks like an abelian gauge theory solution \[44\]. In what sense the gauge theory solution is genuinely non-abelian is then rather obscure. However, by analogy with amplitudes we must be able to find a construction such that exact classical solutions with different gauge groups map to the *same* gravity solution, as shown in figure 1. This follows from the fact that colour information is removed when performing the double copy, so that a given gravity solution does not care which gauge group one started with. Indeed, figure 1 has a precedent in the study of infrared singularities of amplitudes \[27\], and was also considered for solutions of SU(2) gauge theory in ref. \[85\] (see refs. \[35,36\] for yet more examples). However, this would appear to forbid the ability to map topological information between gauge and gravity solutions: topological invariants (e.g. characteristic

Figure 1: Conceptual structure of the double copy for exact solutions, in which (non-)abelian objects must double copy to the *same* gravity solution, regardless of the gauge group.
classes) of a gauge field usually rely crucially on which particular gauge group one considers, and this information is irrelevant after taking the double copy.

In this paper, we will see that it is indeed possible to relate exact solutions with non-trivial topology between gauge and gravity theories in a meaningful way. First, we will take a particular solution of pure gauge theory, namely a (singular) point-like magnetic monopole. For general gauge groups, this is known to have a non-trivial topology, where the latter may or may not be classified by a known topological invariant. However, it can always be characterised by a certain patching condition between gauge fields in different spatial regions. Furthermore, the non-abelian monopole can always be written in a gauge in which it takes the form of a trivially dressed abelian-like monopole solution, whose double copy is already known \cite{45} to be the Taub-NUT solution \cite{87,88}. There is an established patching condition for the Taub-NUT metric that characterises its non-trivial topology \cite{89}, and we will see that this precisely corresponds to the similar condition in gauge theory, independently of the nature of the gauge group. Thus, we provide an explicit example of an exact solution whose local and global properties obey the construction of figure 1 and which fully generalises the preliminary observations regarding SU(2) monopoles made recently in ref. \cite{85}. Furthermore, we will see that all of our patching conditions - in either gauge or gravity theories - can be expressed in terms of certain Wilson line operators. This will allow us to make contact between the study of magnetic monopoles in this paper, and previous results concerning the structure of scattering amplitudes in special kinematic limits \cite{27,29}.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we briefly review the Kerr-Schild double copy. In section 3, we examine (non-)abelian monopoles, and describe the characterisation of their non-trivial topology. In section 4, we study the topology of Taub-NUT spacetime, and relate this to the single copy gauge theory solutions considered in section 3. The relevance and implications of Wilson lines will be outlined in section 5. Finally, we discuss our results and conclude in section 6.

2 The Kerr-Schild double copy

The aim of the classical double copy is to associate a given non-abelian gauge theory solution with a gravitational counterpart, in a way that overlaps with the known BCJ double copy for scattering amplitudes where appropriate \cite{1,3}. For general solutions, this must be carried out order-by-order in the relevant coupling constants. However, a certain special family of exact gravity solutions is known, which all have well-defined gauge theory single copies. These are the Kerr-Schild metrics (see e.g. \cite{90} for a modern review), which can be defined as follows \cite{4}:

\begin{equation}
  g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu}, \quad h_{\mu\nu} = \phi k_\mu k_\nu.
\end{equation}

Here $h_{\mu\nu}$ is the graviton field representing the deviation from the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, and $\kappa = \sqrt{32\pi G_N}$, where $G_N$ is the Newton constant. The Kerr-Schild form corresponds to the graviton decomposing into a scalar field $\phi$ multiplying an outer product of a vector $k_\mu$ with itself, where the latter must satisfy null and geodesic properties:

\begin{equation}
  \eta_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu = g_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu = 0, \quad k \cdot \partial k^\mu = 0.
\end{equation}

\footnote{Note that we use the $(+, -, -, -)$ metric signature throughout.}
This ansatz turns out to linearise the Einstein equations, such that exact solutions can be more easily found. Each such solution is characterised by the explicit forms of \( \phi \) and \( k_\mu \), and ref. [44] proved that for every time-independent Kerr-Schild graviton, one may then construct a single copy gauge field
\[
A_\mu = (c^\alpha T^a) \phi k_\mu,
\]
which obeys the linearised Yang-Mills equations. Here \( c^\alpha \) is an arbitrary constant colour vector, and \( T^a \) is a generator of the gauge group. The extension to symmetries other than time translational invariance has been considered in ref. [91]. Clearly eq. (3) is not unique: for a particular gauge group, any choice of \( c^a \) will suffice. We may furthermore pick any gauge group. Indeed, it is instructive to write eq. (3) as
\[
A_\mu = (c^a T^a) A_\mu^{\text{abel}},
\]
where \( A_\mu^{\text{abel}} \) is the solution of a purely abelian gauge theory. If the latter has a known Kerr-Schild double copy, any solution of the form of eq. (4), in which the colour structure completely factorises, can also be easily double copied. One simply strips off the colour charge \( (c^a T^a) \), and double copies the gauge field as if it were abelian. Note that this provides an explicit realisation of figure 1, in which both abelian and non-abelian classical solutions are taken to map to the same gravity solution.

There is a growing list of specific cases of the Kerr-Schild double copy which have been examined in detail. Arguably the simplest is that of a point-like mass \( M \) in gravity giving rise to the Schwarzschild metric, for which the single copy is a point-like (electric) charge [44]. Particularly relevant for this paper is the Taub-NUT solution in gravity [87, 88], which was examined from a double copy point of view in ref. [45]. This has a Schwarzschild-like mass term, but in addition a so-called NUT charge \( N \), which gives rise to a rotational character in the gravitational field at infinity. In particular (Plebanski) coordinates, the relevant graviton field can be written in a so-called double Kerr-Schild form [92]:
\[
h_{\mu\nu} = M \phi k_\mu k_\nu + N \psi l_\mu l_\nu,
\]
where \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are distinct scalar fields, and \( k_\mu \), \( l_\mu \) different vectors that obey certain mutual orthogonality conditions. Such an ansatz will not linearise the Einstein equations in general, but happens to do so for Taub-NUT. One may then single copy the solution to create a gauge field
\[
A_\mu = (c^a T^a) \phi k_\mu + (\tilde{c}^a T^a) \psi l_\mu,
\]
where \( A_\mu^{\text{abel}} \) is an abelian gauge theory, and concluded that it was a dyon, possessing both electric and magnetic monopole charge. These are represented by the first and second terms in eq. (6) respectively, such that for the case of a pure NUT charge in the gravity theory, one may follow eq. (4) and write
\[
A_\mu = (c^a T^a) A_\mu^{\text{D}},
\]
where
\[
A_\mu^{\text{D}} = -\tilde{g} (0, 0, 0, \cos \theta - 1)
\]
\[{}^5\text{Going the other way, the double copy of a point charge may be more general than a pure gravity solution, as discussed in refs. [57, 60, 78].}\]
\[{}^6\text{Here, and for the rest of the paper, spherical coordinates are defined such that}
\]
\[A_\rho dx + A_\varphi dy + A_\phi dz = A_\rho dr + A_\varphi d\theta + A_\phi d\phi.
\]
is the well-known gauge potential for a Dirac magnetic monopole in the U(1) (abelian) gauge theory of electromagnetism. We have here expressed the result in spherical polar coordinates \((t, r, \theta, \varphi)\), and denoted the magnetic charge parameter that would arise in a purely abelian theory by \(\tilde{g}\). Reference \[45\] did not explicitly check that eq. (7) represents a genuine magnetic monopole solution in the case of a non-abelian gauge theory. We will see in the following section that this is indeed the case, such that \(\tilde{g}(\tilde{e}^a \mathbf{T}^a)\) is the appropriate non-abelian generalisation of the magnetic charge. In line with the above comments, the double copy of eq. (7) is straightforward, and always results in a pure NUT charge in gravity.

3 (Non)-abelian magnetic monopoles and their topology

In the previous section, we have seen that (non)-abelian magnetic monopoles with arbitrary gauge groups all map to a pure NUT charge in gravity. In this section, we discuss how to characterise the non-trivial topology of monopole solutions, in both abelian and non-abelian gauge theories.

3.1 Abelian case

The gauge potential for the Dirac monopole of eq. (8) has a singularity at \(\theta = \pi\), corresponding to the entire negative \(z\)-axis, which is usually referred to as the Dirac string. Whilst it is not possible to remove the physical singularity at \(r = 0\) (i.e. the location of the singular point-like monopole), the orientation of the string singularity can be modified by performing a gauge transformation. To construct a gauge field that is everywhere non-singular away from the origin, one must employ at least two coordinate patches, such that the gauge field is non-singular in each. Where these coordinate patches overlap the gauge fields defined in each can then be glued together.

This idea was first discussed by Wu and Yang, who gave an elegant fibre bundle interpretation \[93\]. To briefly summarise for the uninitiated: gauge fields can be defined as connections on principal fibre bundles, namely manifolds that look locally like a product space \(\mathcal{M} \times G\), where \(\mathcal{M}\) denotes Minkowski spacetime, and \(G\) the gauge group. One refers to \(\mathcal{M}\) as the base space and \(G\) as the fibre, where there is one fibre attached to each point in the base space. Whilst such a bundle may look locally like a product space, it might differ from this globally. Thus, for a given gauge group, there may be more than one fibre bundle with the same local structure. The classification of topologically non-trivial gauge fields is then entirely equivalent to the classification of fibre bundles.

The Dirac monopole is singular at the origin and thus the base space is Minkowski spacetime with the origin removed, \(\mathcal{M} \setminus \{0\}\). The spatial part of this manifold can be continuously deformed into the surface of a sphere at infinity. Adding the time direction, the base space is now topologically equivalent to \(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}\). The monopole field of eq. (8) is tangential to the surface of the sphere, and it is well known that it is impossible to define a vector field on \(S^2\) without it being ill-defined at one point. This itself explains the presence of the Dirac string, which may be taken to puncture the sphere at infinity at the singular point, as shown in figure 2.

We indeed then need at least two coordinate patches in order to define the gauge field throughout all space, which we can take to be the northern and southern hemispheres respectively. We are free to define separate gauge fields \(A^N_\mu\) and \(A^S_\mu\) in each patch, as shown in figure 2, provided that...
The Dirac monopole field of eq. (8) has a string-like singularity that cuts the sphere at infinity at the south pole; (b) Wu-Yang construction of a non-singular gauge field: one may take non-singular gauge fields in the northern and southern hemispheres, such that they are patched together by a gauge transformation on the equator $C$. They are related by a gauge transformation in the region of overlap, namely on the equator $C$. This is straightforward to achieve in practice. Firstly, the field of eq. (8) is already non-singular in the northern hemisphere, and so can be taken as $A^N_\mu$. One may then define the gauge field

$$A^S_\mu = -\tilde{g} (0, 0, 0, \cos \theta + 1). \quad (9)$$

This is related to $A^N_\mu$ by the gauge transformation

$$A^S_\mu = A^N_\mu - \frac{i}{g} S(\varphi) \partial_\mu S^{-1}(\varphi), \quad (10)$$

where $g$ is the coupling constant, and

$$S(\varphi) = e^{2i\tilde{g} \varphi} \quad (11)$$

is an element of the gauge group. The field of eq. (9) has a string-like singularity on the positive $z$ axis, and is thus non-singular throughout the southern hemisphere as required. Furthermore, the two fields can be matched at the equator, where they are equivalent up to the gauge transformation in eq. (10). This completes the construction of a single-valued, non-singular gauge field away from the origin.

The patching together of two distinct gauge fields constitutes a non-trivial topology, which turns out to be related to the magnetic charge. To see this, note that the magnetic flux is given by

$$\Phi_B = \int_S F_{\mu \nu} d\Sigma^{\mu \nu}, \quad (12)$$

where $d\Sigma^{\mu \nu}$ is the area element on the surface $S$ corresponding to the 2-sphere at infinity. Separating the surface integral into two separate contributions for the northern and southern hemispheres, one may apply Stokes’ theorem to rewrite eq. (12) as

$$\Phi_B = \int_C dx^\mu (A^N_\mu - A^S_\mu) = 4\pi \tilde{g}, \quad (13)$$
Figure 3: (a) A family of curves for fixed values of the polar angle $\theta$, where $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ correspond to the north and south poles respectively; (b) closed loop in the gauge group $G$ associated with the family of curves.

where we have used eqs. (10, 11). This justifies the statement that $\tilde{g}$ represents the amount of magnetic charge. Furthermore, the requirement that the gauge transformation of eq. (10) be single-valued (i.e. that $S(2\pi) = S(0)$ in eq. (11)) implies the famous Dirac quantisation condition

$$g\tilde{g} = \frac{n}{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which relates the electric and magnetic charge. The relation to the topology of the fibre bundle can be seen from the fact that there are discretely different $U(1)$ principle bundles, each of which is classified by the so-called first Chern number. The latter, up to a constant factor, is given precisely by eq. (12).

There is another way to derive the quantisation condition, which makes the relevant topological aspects clearer, and which will also pave the way for the non-abelian discussion in the following section. Consider the family of great circles $C(\theta)$ on the 2-sphere at infinity characterised by constant values of the polar angle $\theta$. One may associate each such curve with a group element as follows:

$$U(\theta) = \exp \left[ ig \int_{C(\theta)} dx^\mu A_\mu \right].$$

At $\theta = 0$, the curve $C(0)$ is an infinitesimally small loop around the north pole, and thus $U(0)$ corresponds to the identity element of the gauge group. As $\theta$ increases from 0 to $\pi$, the curves gradually sweep over the sphere as shown in figure 3(a), culminating in an infinitesimally small loop at the south pole, such that $U(\pi)$ also corresponds to the identity element. At $\theta = \pi/2$, the definition of the gauge field jumps from the northern field $A_\mu^N$ to the southern field $A_\mu^S$. We may depict this as shown in figure 3(b). The curve obtained in the gauge group as $\theta$ increases from zero proceeds clockwise from the lower point as shown, reaching point $A$ at $\theta = \pi/2$. Upon switching to the southern gauge field, there is a discontinuity and the curve continues from point $B$.

\footnote{In fact, any sphere surrounding the origin will do e.g. ref. \cite{93} uses a unit radius.}
However, eq. (15) represents the phase that a charged particle would experience upon traversing the curve \( C(\theta) \). For this to be single-valued on the equator, it follows that the points \( B \) and \( A \) should correspond to equivalent group elements (indicated by the dotted line). Thus, as \( \theta \) varies in the range \([0, \pi]\), a closed curve is swept out in the gauge group \( G \). The set of topologically non-trivial gauge fields is then in one-to-one correspondence with the set of topologically inequivalent closed loops in \( G \), namely the possible ways in which one can join the points \( A \) and \( B \) in figure 3(b). These closed loops are classified by the first homotopy group \( \pi_1(G) \), where for the present case one has

\[
\pi_1(U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}
\]

i.e. the set of integers under addition. This simple result follows from the fact that the group manifold of \( U(1) \) is a circle, such that any closed loop may wind around this circle an integer number of times. Put another way, the fact that the two points \( A \) and \( B \) in figure 3(b) correspond to equivalent group elements imposes - via eq. (15) - the condition

\[
g \oint_{C(\theta)} dx^\mu A^N_\mu = g \oint_{C(\theta)} dx^\mu A^S_\mu + 2\pi n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

which is precisely equivalent to eqs. (13, 14).

### 3.2 Non-abelian case

In this section, we review the properties of singular point-like non-abelian magnetic monopoles, where we will follow the presentation of ref. [94] closely. Our ultimate aim is to identify the relevant quantity that specifies their non-trivial topology, and to interpret this from the point of view of the double copy [8].

#### 3.2.1 Gauge field of a non-abelian monopole

Assuming a static field, we may choose a gauge such that \( A^a_0 = 0 \). The remaining components of the gauge field can then be expanded in inverse powers of the radial coordinate:

\[
A^a_i = \frac{a^a_i(\theta, \phi)}{r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}),
\]

where \( A^a_i \) and \( a^a_i \) belong to the Lie algebra of \( G \). This gives rise to a magnetic field

\[
B^a_i = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} F^a_{jk},
\]

where the field strength \( F_{\mu\nu} = F^a_{\mu\nu} T^a \) is defined by

\[
F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig[A_\mu, A_\nu].
\]

For a point-like monopole solution we require a magnetic field with \( r^{-2} \) dependence, and therefore the \( \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}) \) terms in the potential can be omitted. To avoid any issues arising from the unavoidable

---

[8] Most of the literature on non-abelian monopoles focuses on spontaneously broken theories with additional scalar fields, due to the non-singular nature of the solutions. For useful reviews of the singular case, see e.g. refs. [94,95].
singularity at the origin, we consider the field only for $r > r_0$, where $r_0$ is the small but non-vanishing radius of a sphere centred on the monopole. To simplify the analysis, we first choose a gauge in which $A_r = 0$. This can be done by finding a gauge transformation such that

$$A_r \rightarrow UA_r U^{-1} + U \partial_r U^{-1} = 0 .$$

(21)

A solution to this expression is

$$U^{-1}(r, \theta, \phi) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{g} \int_{r_0}^{r} dr' A_r(r', \theta, \phi) \right] ,$$

(22)

where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes path ordering. This describes an integration along radial lines, with the lower bound protecting the integral from the singularity at the origin. A similar procedure can be implemented for $A_\theta$ by integrating along lines of constant $r$ and $\phi$, resulting in a gauge in which $A_\theta = 0$. This leaves $A_\phi$ as the only non-zero component of the gauge field, the form of which may be determined from the equations of motion. The monopoles considered here are time-independent, so the $F_{0i}$ terms in the field strength of eq. (20) vanish. Furthermore, the assumptions made thus far imply that at large distances $A_\phi$ is independent of $r$. Hence, the only non-vanishing component of the field strength is

$$F_{\theta \phi} = \partial_\theta A_\phi .$$

(23)

The Yang-Mills field equations in spherical coordinates are

$$\partial_\mu \sqrt{\eta} F^{\mu \nu} - ig [A_\mu, \sqrt{\eta} F^{\mu \nu}] = 0 ,$$

(24)

where $\eta$ is the absolute value of the determinant of the Minkowski metric. The field equations give rise to two non-trivial equations of motion:

$$\partial_\theta \sqrt{\eta} F^{\theta \phi} = 0 ,$$

(25)

$$\partial_\phi \sqrt{\eta} F^{\phi \theta} - ig [A_\phi, \sqrt{\eta} F^{\phi \theta}] = 0 .$$

(26)

Equation (25) results in

$$\partial_\theta \left( \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\theta A_\phi \right) = 0 ,$$

(27)

which admits a general solution

$$A_\phi = M(\phi) + \frac{Q_M(\phi)}{4\pi} \cos \theta ,$$

(28)

where $M(\phi)$ and $Q_M(\phi)$ are matrices in the Lie algebra of $G$, and the factor of $1/4\pi$ has been included by convention. As in the abelian case, it is not possible for this potential to be well-defined for all $\theta$ and we will once again end up with a Dirac string. Choosing this to lie along the negative $z$-axis, we require that $A_\phi$ vanishes at $\theta = 0$ to avoid another singularity at the north pole. This necessitates that

$$M(\phi) = -\frac{Q_M(\phi)}{4\pi} .$$

(29)

Utilising eqs. (28) and (29) in the second equation of motion, eq. (26), yields

$$\partial_\phi Q_M(\phi) = 0 ,$$

(30)
and hence $Q_M$ is a constant matrix. The general solution for the gauge field is therefore

$$A_{\phi} = \frac{Q_M}{4\pi} (\cos \theta - 1). \quad (31)$$

The importance of this from a double copy perspective is that it is precisely of the form of eq. (7). Thus, faced with a point-like magnetic monopole in an arbitrary gauge group, one can always choose a gauge such that the double copy is straightforward, and will lead to a pure NUT charge in gravity.

### 3.2.2 Allowed magnetic charges

The gauge field of eq. (31) is defined with a Dirac string aligned along the negative $z$-axis. We may define this to be a “northern” gauge field $A^N_{\mu}$ by analogy with the abelian case, and define a second potential whose only non-zero component is

$$A^S_{\phi} = \frac{Q_M}{4\pi} (\cos \theta + 1), \quad (32)$$

for use in the southern hemisphere. These two gauge fields are related by a non-abelian gauge transformation

$$A^N_{\mu} = S(\varphi) A^S_{\mu} S^{-1}(\varphi) - \frac{i}{g} S(\varphi) \partial_{\mu} S^{-1}(\varphi), \quad (33)$$

where

$$S(\varphi) = \exp \left[ \frac{igQ_M\varphi}{2\pi} \right]. \quad (34)$$

Similarly to section 3.1, we may impose the single-valuedness condition,

$$S(0) = S(2\pi),$$

which leads to a generalised form of the Dirac quantisation condition:

$$e^{igQ_M} = I, \quad (35)$$

where $I$ denotes the identity element in $G$. To analyse this condition further, one may write the magnetic charge matrix as a linear combination of the generators $H_i$ of the Cartan subalgebra of $G$ $\text{[96]}$:

$$Q_M = 4\pi k \cdot H, \quad (36)$$

where $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ is known as a magnetic weight vector. Taking this form of the charge matrix in the generalised quantisation condition, eq. (35), results in

$$k \cdot w = \frac{n}{2g}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (37)$$

where $w$ is a weight vector of the representation in which the Cartan generators are expressed. Classifying the possible monopoles in an arbitrary gauge group $G$ hence reduces to determining which magnetic weight vectors correspond to physically distinct and stable monopoles. The magnetic weights are themselves roots of a Lie group $G^*$ that is dual to $G$. To see this, recall that roots $\alpha$ and weights $w$ must always satisfy $^9$

$$\frac{2w \cdot \alpha}{\alpha^2} = N, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (38)$$

$^9$For useful reviews of group theory relevant for the present context, see e.g. refs. $^[94,97]$. 
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Hence, a solution to eq. (37) is

\[ k = \sum_i n_i \alpha(i)^* = \sum_i n_i \frac{\alpha(i)}{\vert \alpha(i) \vert^2}, \]  

(39)

where \( n_i \) are integers and \( \alpha^* = \alpha/\vert \alpha \vert^2 \) are the roots of the dual group \( G^* \). We are left with two separate root lattices. The first is that of the gauge group \( G \), containing root vectors \( \alpha \) and weight vectors \( w \) which correspond to representations of the fields present in the theory. The second lattice corresponds to the dual group \( G^* \) and contains root vectors \( \alpha^* \) and weight vectors \( k \), which represent the possible magnetic charges. Specific examples of gauge groups \( G \) and their magnetic duals \( G^* \) were presented in ref. [96]. A particular broad family of cases is those for which \( G \) is simply connected, for which the magnetic group is given by

\[ G^* = G/K, \]  

(40)

where \( K \) is the centre of \( G \) i.e. the subgroup of \( G \), all of whose elements commute with all elements in \( G \). A particular example is that of \( G = SU(N) \), in which case one has \( K = \mathbb{Z}_N \), such that an element of \( K \) in the fundamental representation is given by

\[ K_n = \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi i n}{N} \right] I, \quad n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}, \]  

(41)

where \( I \) is the identity matrix.

Naïvely, one would expect an infinite number of possible magnetic charges, corresponding to arbitrary weight vectors in the dual root lattice. However, not all of these correspond to physically distinct or allowable magnetic monopoles. For example, one may show that magnetic charges associated with weights \( k \) and \( w_k'(k) \) are gauge-equivalent, where

\[ w_k'(k) = k - 2k \frac{k \cdot k'}{k' \cdot k'} \]  

(42)

corresponds to a Weyl reflection in the hyperplane perpendicular to a third weight \( k' \). After factoring out Weyl reflections, the allowed weights may still live in a number of different sublattices, each of which corresponds to an element of \( K \). However, it can be shown that monopoles with higher values of the magnetic charge are dynamically unstable, such that they always decay to configurations possessing the minimum value of magnetic weight in each sublattice [98]. Furthermore, the sublattice associated with the identity element of \( K \) contains the zero-charge gauge configuration, and thus also does not correspond to a stable monopole. One is left with \( \text{dim}(K) - 1 \) stable monopoles, each of which has only a single allowed value of magnetic charge. This has an elegant topological interpretation that is directly related to the abelian case, as we now describe.

### 3.2.3 Topology of the non-abelian monopole

In section 3.1, we reviewed the Wu-Yang fibre bundle interpretation of the Dirac monopole, in which two gauge fields are patched together, thus generating a gauge configuration with non-trivial topology. In this section, we describe the generalisation of this picture to the non-abelian

---

\(^{10}\)More generally, the gauge group \( G \) may be a subgroup of a simply connected Lie group \( \bar{G} \), in which case eq. (40) has a very similar form, but with \( K \) replaced by a discrete subgroup of the centre of \( G \).
case \[93\]. We are again considering singular monopoles, so that the origin of spacetime is removed, and spatial slices are then topologically equivalent to \(S^2\). This in turn necessitates at least two coordinate patches, which we may again choose to be the northern and southern hemispheres of figure 2(b). Similarly, we may also construct the family of curves of figure 3, each of which can be associated with an element of the gauge group,

\[
U(\theta) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ ig \oint_{C(\theta)} dx^\mu A_\mu \right],
\]

where \(\mathcal{P}\) denotes path ordering of the (non-commuting) gauge fields \(A_\mu\) along the contour. As \(\theta\) varies from 0 to \(\pi\), this traces out a curve in the (magnetic) gauge group \(G^*\), where the points \(\theta = 0, \pi\) both correspond to the identity element. At \(\theta = \pi/2\), the gauge field switches from its northern to southern form, leading once again to the situation shown in figure 3(b), where \(A\) and \(B\) must correspond to equivalent group elements. Denoting the group of such transformations between \(A\) and \(B\) by \(H\), one may write the general patching condition between the northern and southern gauge fields as

\[
\mathcal{P} \exp \left[ ig \oint_{C} dx^\mu A_\mu^N \right] = U_H \left\{ \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ ig \oint_{C} dx^\mu A_\mu^S \right] \right\},
\]

where \(U_H\) constitutes an element of \(H\), and \(C \equiv C(\pi/2)\) the equator of the sphere in figure 3(a). This is the general condition that encodes the non-trivial topology of the singular non-abelian monopole. As in the abelian case, this non-trivial topology is classified by the first homotopy group of the (magnetic) gauge group \(\pi_1(G^*)\), which follows directly from the fact that \(\pi_1(G^*)\) characterises the different ways in which one may join the points \(A\) and \(B\) in figure 3(b) to form a closed curve. As an example, we may take a magnetic group of the form of eq. (40), in which case \(B\) can be related to \(A\) by any element of \(K\) (the centre of \(G\)). The relevant first homotopy group is then

\[\pi_1(G/K) = K.\]

For \(SU(N)\), this is the group \(\mathbb{Z}_N\). The identity element corresponds to monopole configurations that are topologically equivalent to the vacuum, and hence unstable. This leaves \(N - 1\) stable monopoles, consistent with the discussion of the previous section.

Note that eq. (44) reduces to eq. (17) for an abelian magnetic group \(G^* = U(1)\). A general element of \(H\) in then given by

\[U_H = e^{2\pi in}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},\]

from which eq. (17) follows. This can in turn be related to eq. (12), which is proportional to the first Chern number that classifies the non-trivial topology of \(U(1)\) principal bundles. For other gauge groups, however, the patching condition will not be relatable to the same topological invariant. For example, if one replaces the gauge group \(U(1)\) with \(SU(N)\), the first Chern number vanishes (due to tracelessness of the generators), but one can instead classify the topology of solutions using what we may refer to as the Woodward classes of ref. \[99\], as we discuss in appendix A. The relevance for the double copy is as follows. Previous studies \[86\] have suggested that given characteristic classes in gauge theories may be related to similar quantities in gravity theories, under the double copy. This creates a puzzle, in that characteristic classes depend upon the gauge group, whereas colour
information is irrelevant for the gravity side of the double copy. Here we see that there is in fact no problem, as it is simply not true that the double copy should apply to individual characteristic classes. The appropriate quantity that classify the “double copiable” topology of the gauge theory solution is instead the patching condition of eq. (44), whose form is independent of the gauge group. We will see in the following section that this indeed has a gravitational counterpart.

4 Topology of the Taub-NUT solution

The Taub-NUT solution of refs. [87,88] is a widely studied exact solution of GR, whose gravitational field has a rotational character that does not die off at spatial infinity. As discussed in section 2 the general Taub-NUT solution has a Schwarzschild-like mass term $M$, and an additional NUT charge $N$, where it is the latter that gives rise to the rotational aspect of the field. Analogies between magnetic monopoles in non-abelian gauge theories and the Taub-NUT solution have been made many times before (see e.g. ref. [100] for a review). However, ref. [45] formalised this by pointing out that the classical double copy implies an exact relationship between dyons and Taub-NUT, which is true for all values of the radial coordinate $r$. The double copy is manifest in a particular coordinate system in (2,2) signature [92], such that the metric assumes the double Kerr-Schild form of eq. (5). However, once the double copy between solutions is known, we may make a coordinate transformation to more conventional coordinates. To this end, it is convenient to work with the Taub-NUT line element in spherical polar coordinates,

$$ds^2 = f(r) [dt + 2N(\cos \theta - 1)d\varphi]^2 - f^{-1}(r)dr^2 - (r^2 + N^2)d\Omega^2,$$

(46)

where

$$d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2$$

(47)

is the squared element of solid angle in four spacetime dimensions, and the additional quantities are given by

$$f(r) = \frac{(r - r_+)(r - r_-)}{r^2 + N^2}, \quad r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 + N^2}.$$

(48)

Here $M$ and $N$ are the Schwarzschild-like mass and NUT charge parameters of eq. (5) respectively. The case of a pure NUT charge is given by the limit $M \to 0$, in which case eq. (46) reduces to

$$ds^2 = \frac{r^2 - (\kappa N)^2}{r^2 + (\kappa N)^2} [dt + 2\kappa N(\cos \theta - 1)d\varphi]^2 - (r^2 + (\kappa N)^2) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{r^2 - (\kappa N)^2} + d\Omega^2 \right],$$

(49)

where we have rescaled $N \to \kappa N$ for later convenience. The metric has a coordinate singularity at $\theta = \pi$. This is the so-called Misner string [103], and is a direct analogue of the Dirac string for a magnetic monopole. The known solution to this problem is that one may use two different coordinate patches, obtained by splitting spatial slices into a northern and southern hemisphere, as in figure 2. In the northern hemisphere ($\theta \leq \pi/2$), the metric of eq. (49) is well-defined, and one regards $t$ as a “northern” time coordinate $t \equiv t_N$. In the southern hemisphere, one may transform to a “southern” time coordinate

$$t_N = t_S + 4\kappa N \varphi,$$

(50)

11The Taub-NUT solution has also been used to examine the double copy of the electromagnetic duality symmetry that operates in gauge theories [79,101,102].
such that the northern and southern line elements are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
    ds^2_{N,S} &= \frac{r^2 - (\kappa N)^2}{r^2 + (\kappa N)^2} \left[ dt_{N,S}^2 + 2\kappa N(\cos \theta \mp 1)d\varphi \right] - (r^2 + (\kappa N)^2) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{r^2 - (\kappa N)^2} + d\Omega^2 \right], \\
    \text{where the upper (lower) sign corresponds to the northern (southern) case respectively. One sees that the southern metric is singular at } \theta = 0, \text{ and thus that the Misner string has been moved into the northern hemisphere. Given that the azimuthal coordinate lies in the range } 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi, \text{ eq. (50) (which applies on the equator on which the two coordinate patches overlap) then implies that both } t_N \text{ and } t_S \text{ must be periodic with period}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
t_0 = 8\pi \kappa N_0, \quad (52)
$$

where $N_0$ is a basic unit of NUT charge. Indeed, it is known that this property is required for the spacetime to be fully spherically symmetric \[104,105\]. The full NUT charge appearing in eq. (51) is then given by

$$
N = nN_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (53)
$$

where the arbitrary integer $n$ corresponds to the fact that the transformation between $t_S$ and $t_N$ is defined only up to an integer multiple of the time period $t_0$ \[12\].

From the double copy perspective, it is desirable to obtain the above periodicity condition from a procedure that makes its relationship with the gauge theory manifest. We may carry this out as follows. First, a standard result in GR is that there is a time holonomy upon trying to synchronise clocks around a closed contour. That is, upon traversing a given closed loop $C$, the difference in time coordinate upon returning to the starting point satisfies \[106\]

$$
|\Delta t| = \kappa \oint_C h_{0i} dx^i, \quad (54)
$$

where $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ is a spatial index, and the lack of manifest Lorentz covariance on the right-hand side follows from the explicit choice of the physical time coordinate on the left-hand side. This takes a suggestive form if one considers asymptotically large distances $r \to \infty$, such that the metrics arising from eq. (51) take the form

$$
g_{\mu\nu}^{N,S} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu}^{N,S}, \quad (55)
$$

where the only non-zero components of the northern and southern gravitons are given by

$$
h_{0\varphi}^{N,S} = 2N(\cos \theta \mp 1), \quad h_{\varphi\varphi}^{N,S} = 4\kappa N^2(\cos \theta \mp 1)^2. \quad (56)
$$

Then the time holonomy of eq. (54) can be written purely in terms of the graviton field, as

$$
|\Delta t| = \kappa \oint_C h_{0i} dx^i. \quad (57)
$$

---

\[12\] From eq. (52), one may either take $N_0$ as a free parameter, in terms of which $t_0$ is fixed, or vice versa. This is analogous to the quantisation condition between the electric and magnetic charges in abelian gauge theory.
Let us now consider the family of curves $C(\theta)$ of figure 3(a), consisting of great circles on the 2-sphere at spatial infinity. One may associate each such curve with a value of the time holonomy in eq. (57), which from eqs. (56) yields

$$|\Delta t^{N,S}(\theta)| = \kappa \oint_C h^{N,S}_{0\phi} d\varphi = 2\pi \kappa h^{N,S}_{0\phi},$$

(58)

where we have used the fact that the components $\{h^{N,S}_{0\phi}\}$ are independent of $\varphi$. The time shifts of eq. (58) form a subgroup of the general group of diffeomorphisms that act in gravity. One may thus view eq. (58) as forming a map from the set of curves in figure 3(a) to the diffeomorphism group. At $\theta = 0$, the loop $C(0)$ is infinitely small, such that $\Delta t = 0$, which constitutes the identity element of the group. As $\theta$ increases, a path is traced out in the diffeomorphism group until the equator $\theta = \pi/2$ is reached. At this point, the graviton field jumps from its northern to its southern form. Finally, as $\theta \to \pi$, the curve $C(\theta)$ becomes infinitely small again, and we are back at the identity element. This is precisely the picture of figure 3(b), that we have previously used for elements of a (non-)abelian gauge group. In order for the gravitons to be patched on the equator i.e. to correspond to the same physical solution, the time holonomies arising from $h^N_{\mu\nu}$ and $h^S_{\mu\nu}$ must be physically equivalent. However, substituting the explicit results of eqs. (56) into eq. (58) yields

$$|\Delta t^{S}(\pi/2)| - |\Delta t^{N}(\pi/2)| = 8\pi \kappa N.$$  

(59)

The only way that the time holonomies can coincide is then to impose periodicity of $t^{N,S}$ with period $t_0$ as in eq. (52).

There is another way to reach the same conclusion, that makes contact with Dirac’s original argument for the quantisation of electric charge in an abelian gauge theory [107]. Reference [108] considered a gravitational analogue of the magnetic monopole, by considering the phase experienced by a non-relativistic test particle of mass $m$ that traverses a given loop $C$ in space, and finding (if $h_{00} = 0$) that it is given in the weak field limit by

$$\Phi = \exp \left[ i \kappa m \int_C dx^i h^{i}_{0i} \right],$$

(60)

which is directly related to eq. (57). For this phase to be well-defined on the equator of figure 3 where the two coordinate patches overlap, one must have that the difference in phases evaluated with the northern and southern graviton fields is a multiple of $2\pi$, such that

$$\kappa m \int_C dx^i \left[ h^N_{0i} - h^S_{0i} \right] = 2\pi n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$  

(61)

Substituting the results of eqs. (56) yields

$$m \kappa N = \frac{n}{4},$$

(62)

which says that the mass $m$ entering the phase of eq. (60) is quantised. Reference [108] was unsure about what to take for this mass. However, its interpretation becomes clear given the discussion above regarding the periodicity of the time coordinate. The mass $m$ refers to the energy of a static
wavefunction in the presence of the NUT charge. If the time coordinate is compact with period \( t_0 \), this implies that the mass \( m \) is quantised according to
\[
\Delta m = \frac{2\pi}{t_0}.
\]  
Equation (62) implies
\[
\Delta m = \frac{1}{4\kappa N_0},
\]  
so that combining this with eq. (63) yields eq. (52) as required.

The description of the quantisation condition in terms of the gravitational phase experience by a test particle allows one to write down a patching condition for Taub-NUT that is directly analogous to the gauge theory condition of eq. (44). First, note that the phase around a loop defines a map from the base spacetime to the group U(1), so that the curves of figure 3(a) lead to the description in figure 3(b), where the latter is now interpreted as a path in the group manifold of U(1). The requirement that the phases for the northern and southern fields are related by a multiple of \( 2\pi \)
can be written as
\[
\exp\left[i\kappa \oint_C dx^\mu h_{00}^N \right] = U_H \exp\left[i\kappa \oint_C dx^\mu h_{00}^S \right],
\]  
where we have used the fact that \( h_{00} = 0 \), and \( U_H \) is an element of the group of transformations that leaves the phase invariant, and which thus connects the points \( A \) and \( B \) in figure 3(b). A general such element is written in eq. (45), and this description makes clear that the topology of the Taub-NUT solution is similar to the case of an abelian gauge theory. That is, the non-trivial topology is classified by maps from the equator at infinity to U(1), namely by the first homotopy group of eq. (16). A similar conclusion was reached by ref. [89], which formally defined the NUT charge according to the integral
\[
N = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} \int_S \partial_i h_{0j} dx^i \wedge dx^j,
\]  
where \( S \) is the 2-sphere at spatial infinity. This can be verified by separating the field into northern and southern parts related by the time translations of eq. (50), before applying Stokes’ theorem and using the results of eqs. (56). The full NUT charge is given by an integer multiple of the basic unit \( N_0 \), according to eq. (53). Indeed, interpreting the integrand of eq. (66) as a field strength, one finds that \( N \) is proportional to the first Chern number, that specifies the non-trivial topology of a U(1) bundle [89]. The Chern number is integer-valued, and thus amounts to \( n \) in the above construction. Furthermore, the U(1) fibres in this case correspond to the group of time translations with a periodic time coordinate, rather than the group of phases experienced by particles moving along a path. However, the pictures are ultimately equivalent given that the phase experienced by a non-relativistic particle is governed directly by the Hamiltonian, which is conjugate to the time variable.

Above, we have seen that one may describe the pure NUT charge in gravity using one of two descriptions, both of which are equivalent. That is, one may divide space into two hemispheres and define the graviton field differently in each, such that the definitions are related by a diffeomorphism. In the weak field and non-relativistic limit, one may write a patching condition - eq. (65) -

\footnote{Our definition differs slightly to that of ref. [89] due to our explicit inclusion of factors of \( \kappa \).}
that is the precise analogue of its counterpart in (abelian) gauge theory, and which characterises the
non-trivial topology of the graviton field. The ingredients we have used to formulate the patching
condition of eq. (65) are not new. However, they have not previously been analysed from the point
of view of the double copy. The fact that eq. (65) is a precise counterpart of eq. (44) tells us that it
is indeed possible to map global information from gauge theory to gravity under the double copy,
such that the latter becomes more than merely a local statement. Furthermore, it should be no
surprise that the patching condition on the gravity side takes an abelian-like form, given that colour
structure is stripped off upon taking the double copy. The patching conditions of eqs. (44) and (65)
then form an explicit realisation of figure 1, applied to global properties of exact solutions.

It is possible to relate the gauge theory and gravity patching conditions to previous work in the
context of scattering amplitudes, which we shall do in the following section. Before moving on,
however, it is worth drawing attention to the recent study of ref. [109], which provides an alternative
way to describe the Taub-NUT solution by patching together graviton fields analogously to the Wu-
Yang construction [93]. Instead of relating the northern and southern fields by a diffeomorphism,
the authors instead use a BMS dual supertranslation [110,111], which is defined only at asymptotic
infinity, and thus not formally equivalent to a diffeomorphism. They then argue that a periodic time
coordinate is no longer needed, which avoids the well-known problem that the Taub-NUT metric
gives rise to pathological closed time-like curves. There are similarities between ref. [109] and the
analysis carried out here, most notably the use of a Wu-Yang-like formulation for the graviton
patching, and also the fact that our patching condition of eq. (65) is defined in the weak field limit,
and thus at asymptotic infinity. However, there is a crucial difference between our ethos and that of
ref. [109]: the double copy for the Taub-NUT solution applies to the entire classical solutions [45],
not just at asymptotic infinity. Furthermore, the fact that gauge transformations on the gauge
theory side of the correspondence should be associated with diffeomorphisms on the gravity side is
well-known in the double copy literature on both exact classical solutions and scattering amplitudes.
We have thus used the traditional formulation of Taub-NUT in this paper, but stress that the
relevance (or otherwise) of ref. [109] deserves further study. It is also worth emphasising that the
results of ref. [109] are useful for the study of Taub-NUT metrics, independently of the double copy.

5 Wilson lines and the double copy

In the previous sections, we have seen that the non-trivial topology of a (non)-abelian magnetic
monopole can be written in terms of a certain patching condition – eq. (44) – that involves the
gauge-covariant phase experienced by a particle moving around the equator of the 2-sphere at in-
finity. This corresponds to a so-called Wilson line operator, or a Wilson loop in this case due to
the closed nature of the contour. Such operators appear in many places in the study of quantum
field theory, arising whenever gauge-dependent information must be compared at different points
in spacetime. What is perhaps less well-known is that Wilson lines have also been defined in gravity:
see e.g. refs. [112–116] for (in some cases very) early works on this subject. In recent times,
gravitational Wilson lines have been used to try to set up common languages between non-abelian
gauge theories and gravity [28,30,117,118], which is particularly convenient from a double copy
point of view. In this section, we point out how the results of the previous section can be expressed
in terms of Wilson lines, and how this relates to other examples of the double copy in the literature.
Motivated by the gauge theory case, we define a gravitational Wilson line in terms of the phase experienced by a scalar test particle in a gravitational field. In the full covariant theory, this must be proportional to the proper length of a given path \( C \), so that we define

\[
\Phi_{\text{grav}}(C) = \exp \left[ im \int_C ds \left( g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \frac{dx^\nu}{ds} \right)^{1/2} \right] \tag{67}
\]

where \( x^\mu(s) \) is a parametrisation of the curve, and \( m \) the mass of the test particle. This expression simplifies in the weak field limit. Writing

\[
g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu},
\]

one may expand eq. (67) in \( \kappa \) to obtain

\[
\Phi_{\text{grav}}(C) = \exp \left[ \frac{i\kappa}{2} \int_C ds \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \frac{dx^\nu}{ds} h_{\mu\nu}(x) \right] , \tag{68}
\]

where we have ignored an overall normalisation constant that is independent of \( h_{\mu\nu} \) (and that will cancel in any normalised expectation value of Wilson line operators). We have also absorbed the mass \( m \) into the length parameter \( s \). Equation (68) is the Wilson line operator that was considered in e.g. refs. \[28,30,115,117\], where it was used to analyse properties of scattering amplitudes. Here we may make contact with eq. (60) by taking \( C \) to be the equator of the 2-sphere at infinity, and taking \( s = mt \), where \( t \) is the conventional time coordinate:

\[
\Phi_{\text{grav}}(C) = \exp \left[ \frac{ikm}{2} \oint_C dt \left( h_{00} + 2 \dot{x}^i h_{0i} + \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j h_{ij} \right) \right] , \tag{69}
\]

where the dot represents differentiation with respect to \( t \). For the Taub-NUT solution considered in the previous section, \( h_{00} = 0 \). Then, in the non-relativistic (small velocity) limit, eq. (69) reduces to eq. (60) as required.

There is a very well-defined sense in which the gravitational Wilson line operator of eq. (68) is a double copy of its gauge theory counterpart

\[
\Phi(C) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ ig \int_C ds \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} T^a A^a_\mu(x) \right] , \tag{70}
\]

where \( T^a \) is a generator of the gauge group in the appropriate representation (n.b. this is absorbed into the gauge field in eq. (44)), and \( s \) a parameter along the curve with the same mass dimension as in eq. (68). To go from eq. (70) to eq. (68), one must replace the coupling constant with its gravitational counterpart:

\[
g \rightarrow \frac{\kappa}{2} \tag{71}
\]

which is precisely the BCJ prescription for scattering amplitudes. Furthermore, one must also strip off the colour generator \( T^a \), and replace this with a second kinematic factor representing the tangent vector to the Wilson line contour:

\[
T^a \rightarrow \frac{dx^\nu}{ds} . \tag{72}
\]

\[\text{14} \] Although we considered massive particles above, eq. (68) can be generalised for massless particles. In that case, one must replace the exponent in eq. (67) with the action for a massless point particle, involving an einbein (see e.g. ref. \[119\]).
This mirrors the replacement of colour information by kinematics in the scattering amplitude double copy. Indeed, there are existing cases of the amplitude double copy that can be entirely expressed in terms of Wilson lines, such that the underlying mechanism of the double copy is precisely the replacements made above. This has not necessarily been realised in the existing literature, and so it is worthwhile to briefly review these examples.

5.1 The all-order structure of infrared singularities

If one dresses an \( n \)-point scattering amplitude with virtual gluon or graviton radiation, one encounters \textit{infrared (IR) divergences} associated with the exchanged gauge bosons becoming “soft” (i.e. having vanishing 4-momenta) \[^{15}\] These singularities have a universal form that factors off from the full scattering amplitude \( \mathcal{A} \), which has a simple physical interpretation: soft radiation has an infinite Compton wavelength, and thus cannot resolve the underlying interaction that produced the \( n \) hard particles. One may thus write (see e.g. ref. \[^{123}\] for a pedagogical review)

\[
\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{S}, \tag{73}
\]

where \( \mathcal{H} \) is a so-called \textit{hard function} that is completely finite, and \( \mathcal{S} \) a \textit{soft function} that collects all the soft singularities. The latter is known to have an exponential form, where calculating additional terms in the logarithm of the soft function amounts to summing up infrared singularities to all orders in perturbation theory. The structure of the soft function in QCD and QED is only partially known \[^{16}\] (see e.g. ref. \[^{124}\]), and that of gravity is known exactly. That is, it has now been well-established that the logarithm of the soft function in gravity terminates at first order in the gravitational coupling constant \[^{117,121}\].

Reference \[^{27}\] used the above properties to present all-loop order evidence for the BCJ double copy. That is, the authors showed that one may isolate IR singularities at any given order in perturbation theory in either QED or QCD, and double copy them to obtain the known IR singularities of gravity. The somewhat lengthy analysis used intricate Feynman-diagrammatic arguments, making clear how the BCJ duality between colour and kinematics could be satisfied at arbitrary loop orders, if terms outside of the soft limit could be neglected. Furthermore, the authors noted that the IR singularities of either QED or QCD both mapped to the same gravitational results, thus providing an explicit realisation of figure \[^{1}\].

Here, we wish to point out that the analysis of ref. \[^{27}\] would have been drastically simpler using Wilson lines. It is known in (non)-abelian gauge theories that the soft function can be expressed as a vacuum expectation value of Wilson line operators, whose contours correspond to the physical trajectories

\[
x^\mu_i = s p^\mu_i
\]

of the outgoing hard particles (see e.g. ref. \[^{124}\]):

\[
\mathcal{S} = \left\langle 0 \prod_i \Phi_i \right| 0 \right\rangle, \quad \Phi_i \equiv \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ ig T^a p^\mu_i \int_0^\infty ds A^a_\mu \right]. \tag{74}
\]

\[^{15}\]In (non)-abelian gauge theories, one also encounters singularities when radiation is collinear with the external particles in the interaction, although such singularities are absent in gravity \[^{117,120,122}\].

\[^{16}\]Interestingly, the soft function of QED is known exactly if there are no propagating fermions.
The physics of this result is that hard particles emitting soft radiation cannot recoil, and thus can only change by a phase. For this phase to have the right gauge covariance properties to form part of a scattering amplitude, it can only be a Wilson line. Armed with the gravitational Wilson line operator of eq. (68), we might write a similar definition in gravity [117]:

$$S_{\text{grav.}} = \langle 0 | \prod_i \Phi_{\text{grav.},i} | 0 \rangle, \quad \Phi_{\text{grav.},i} \equiv \exp \left[ \frac{i\kappa}{2} P_i^\mu P_i^\nu \int_0^{\infty} ds h_{\mu\nu} \right].$$  

(75)

The all-order double copy of IR singularities obtained using diagrammatic arguments then follows simply from the observations of eqs. (71, 72), namely that the Wilson line exponents themselves double copy in a precise way. Furthermore, the fact that both abelian and non-abelian results both reproduce the same gravity result is obvious; one strips off the colour generator $T^a$ in eq. (74), so that it does not matter if one starts with a QED or QCD Wilson line.

There is potentially a rather subtle flaw in the above argument, namely that for VEVs of Wilson lines to double copy in arbitrary circumstances, the propagator for the graviton must be written in a form which manifestly decouples left and right-indices. This is related to the fact [125] that the double copy of pure gauge theory is not pure gravity, but a theory containing a dilaton and axion (two-form) field. In any case, the axion and dilaton do not pose a problem: both are scalar degrees of freedom in four spacetime dimensions, and by standard power-counting arguments will not contribute to the structure of leading IR singularities at each order in perturbation theory [27]. Going beyond the leading soft approximation, one may indeed be sensitive to the additional matter content (see e.g. [64] for an interesting discussion of this point).

### 5.2 The Regge limit

Another kinematic limit of scattering amplitudes in which all-order information is obtainable is the high-energy or Regge limit of $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering, which can be expressed (for massless particles) as

$$s \gg -t, \quad s = (p_1 + p_2)^2, \quad t = (p_1 - p_3)^2,$$  

(76)

where we have labelled 4-momenta as in figure [4(a)], and the Mandelstam invariants $s$ and $t$ constitute the squared centre of mass energy and momentum transfer respectively. In the Regge limit of eq. (76), the particles suffer a very small deflection owing to the small momentum transfer. Thus, in position space, they follow approximately straight-line trajectories, such that the outgoing particles become approximately collinear with the incoming ones i.e.

$$p_3 \simeq p_1, \quad p_4 \simeq p_2.$$

The lack of recoil means that the particles can only change by a phase and, using similar arguments to the previous section, we arrive at the idea that $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering in the Regge limit can be described (in position space) by a vacuum expectation value of Wilson lines separated by a transverse displacement $z$, where the magnitude of the latter is the impact parameter, or distance of closest approach:

$$A_{s \gg |t|} \rightarrow \langle 0 \Phi(p_1, 0)\Phi(p_2, z) | 0 \rangle, \quad \Phi(p, z) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ ig s p^\mu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds A_\mu(sp + z) \right].$$  

(77)
This framework was first developed in the classic work of refs. \cite{126,127}, which showed that known properties of the Regge limit in QCD emerge from the Wilson line approach. Reference \cite{29} demonstrated that the same picture works for gravity if the QCD Wilson lines are replaced with equivalent ones based on eq. \cite{68}. The Wilson line language thus makes the calculations in QCD and gravity look essentially identical, although the physics in each theory turns out to be very different. Furthermore, the Wilson line double copy reproduces previous studies of the Regge limit based on diagrammatic arguments \cite{31–34}, where the results overlap.

Above, we have seen two examples in which the Wilson line double copy replacements of eqs. \cite{71,72} are directly related to the BCJ double copy for scattering amplitudes. The fact that Wilson lines also underly our identification of global topological information for the magnetic monopole and NUT charge suggests that they form a useful bridge, relating different manifestations of the double copy. This also begs the question of what else can be done with gravitational Wilson lines, an issue which certainly merits further study.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have examined the double copy for exact classical solutions, which is normally expressed as a local statement relating the graviton field to products of gauge fields. We have instead considered whether it is possible to map global properties of solutions between theories, using the magnetic monopole in gauge theory as a test case. A non-trivial global structure for monopole solutions is usually characterised by topological invariants that depend on the field strength. However, these invariants can be different for different gauge groups, which makes it difficult to see how to associate this information with a unique gravity counterpart.

To clarify these issues, we first reviewed how to express a general singular monopole solution for arbitrary gauge groups. By a suitable gauge choice, this can always be expressed as a constant colour matrix multiplying an abelian-like monopole field, so that the double copy to gravity is straightforward: one simply removes the colour matrix, and replaces the monopole with a pure NUT charge, as follows from ref. \cite{45}. This provides an explicit realisation of figure \cite{1} for exact classical solutions, and extends the recent SU(2) results of ref. \cite{85} to arbitrary gauge groups.
We then reviewed the Wu-Yang fibre bundle construction for magnetic monopoles \cite{93}, in which one defines separate gauge fields associated with the northern and southern spatial hemispheres. These are patched together on the equator, to create a global field that is free of string-like singularities, but topologically non-trivial. The topology is classified by the patching condition, which can always be expressed in terms of Wilson lines representing the phase experienced by a particle upon being taken around the equator (eq. (44)). Next, we showed that a similar construction can be applied in gravity (see also the recent ref. \cite{109}), using the known time translations that remove the Misner string singularity in each coordinate patch \cite{103}, and which result in a periodic time coordinate. This treats the NUT solution as a \( \text{U}(1) \) bundle, where one may take the fibres to correspond either to time translations (modulo the time period), or the group of phases experienced by a non-relativistic particle being taken around a loop. The latter description maps most cleanly to the Aharonov-Bohm effect in magnetism \cite{108}, and the resulting patching condition can then be expressed in terms of gravitational Wilson lines.

As we argued in section 5, the appearance of Wilson lines makes the double copy particularly natural, given that the relevant gauge theory and gravity operators are related by simple replacements of coupling constants, and colour information by kinematics. We also saw that previous double copy properties of scattering amplitudes had neat interpretations in terms of Wilson lines. One of these (the Regge limit) was already known \cite{29}, but the other (the double copy structure of IR singularities in fixed-angle scattering \cite{27}) has not been previously understood in this way in the literature. This strongly suggests that there are other uses of gravitational Wilson lines in a double copy context, and that they potentially have a key role to play in establishing a bridge, where appropriate, between the double copy literature on scattering amplitudes and that relating to classical solutions.

We hope that the results of this study provide useful food for thought in widening the remit of the double copy yet further, including non-perturbative and / or global aspects.
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A Topological classification of \( \text{SU}(N)/\mathbb{Z}_N \) bundles

In section 3.2 we reviewed how the non-trivial topology of a Dirac monopole can be described by the first Chern class. In the case of other gauge groups, it is not necessarily the case that a well-known invariant characterises the non-trivial topology obtained by patching together gauge fields in the northern and southern spatial hemispheres. However, for \( \text{SU}(N) \) groups there is indeed a known description, which we thought worth pointing out in this appendix. These results were first obtained by Woodward in ref. \cite{99}.
First we note, as discussed in section 3.2, that for a gauge group $G = \text{SU}(N)$, the magnetic solutions are associated with the dual group $G^* = \text{SU}(N)/\mathbb{Z}_N$, otherwise known as $\text{PU}(N)$. More formally, we can write the following short exact sequence relating the various groups appearing above:

$$\mathbb{Z}_N \xrightarrow{\text{center}} \text{SU}(N) \xrightarrow{\text{quotient}} \text{PU}(N) \cong \text{SU}(N)/\mathbb{Z}_N.$$  

(78)

Associated with this sequence is a corresponding exact sequence relating the following homotopy groups:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{Z}_N) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{SU}(N)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{PU}(N)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathbb{Z}_N) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{SU}(N)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{PU}(N))$$  

(79)

from which we obtain the isomorphism:

$$\pi_1(\text{PU}(N)) \cong \pi_0(\mathbb{Z}_N) = \mathbb{Z}_N.$$  

(80)

The first homotopy group on the left-hand side is what classifies $\text{PU}(N)$ bundles on $S^2$ base manifolds, as explained previously using figure 3. Such manifolds arise in our context due to the fact that all of the magnetic monopoles we consider are singular at the origin, and thus are defined on $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}$, which is homotopic to $S^2$. Each topologically distinct monopole solution corresponds to a distinct fibre bundle, and from eq. (80), we see that there are $N$ topologically different monopole solutions associated with magnetic group $\text{PU}(N)$, each corresponding to a different element of the first homotopy group. However, we can also classify the solutions using the dual description of cohomology classes, where eq. (79) implies the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(S^2, \text{SU}(N)) \rightarrow H^1(S^2, \text{PU}(N)) \rightarrow H^2(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_N) \rightarrow H^2(S^2, \text{SU}(N)) \rightarrow \cdots$$  

(81)

and hence the isomorphism of cohomology groups

$$H^1(S^2, \text{PU}(N)) \cong H^2(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_N) = \mathbb{Z}_N.$$  

(82)

We refer to $[\omega] \in H^2(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_N)$ as the 2nd Woodward class of the $\text{PU}(N)$-bundle, after ref. [99]. For $N = 2$ we have $\text{PU}(2)=\text{SO}(3)$ and the 2nd Woodward class reduces to the 2nd Stiefel-Whitney class. In other words, the Stiefel-Whitney class replaces the first Chern class as the relevant characteristic class for monopoles in $\text{SU}(2)$ gauge theory. For $\text{SU}(N)$ with $N > 2$, one must use the Woodward classes.
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