Sarcopenia is associated with the Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index in elderly patients with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes mellitus
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ABSTRACT
Aims/Introduction: Diabetes and sarcopenia have a two-way relationship with each other with advanced age. Additionally, malnutrition is correlated with a higher risk of sarcopenia in elderly patients. This study evaluated the association between sarcopenia and geriatric nutritional risk index (GNRI) in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Materials and Methods: Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus aged ≥60 years were recruited from June 2018 to August 2020. This study analyzed 234 patients, who completed a physical performance test required for the diagnosis of sarcopenia. To investigate the effect of GNRI on sarcopenia, logistic regression analyses was used.

Results: Patients with sarcopenia were significantly older with a lower body mass index (BMI) and GNRI compared with normal patients. The GNRI showed a positive correlation with the skeletal muscle index (SMI) and handgrip strength (SMI: $R = 0.486$, $P < 0.001$ for male; $R = 0.589$, $P < 0.001$ for female, handgrip strength: $R = 0.470$, $P < 0.001$ for male, $R = 0.364$, $P < 0.001$ for female). In the multivariate logistic regression model, a higher GNRI was associated with a lower risk of sarcopenia in older men and women with diabetes (adjusted odds ratio [OR], 0.892; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.839–0.948 for male; adjusted OR, 0.928; 95% CI, 0.876–0.982 for female). One year of diabetes treatment improved the GNRI in the sarcopenia group with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Conclusions: A low GNRI was associated with an increased risk of sarcopenia in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Treatment with glucose-lowering drugs improved the GNRI in the sarcopenia group.

INTRODUCTION
Sarcopenia is a condition characterized by significant decreases in skeletal muscle mass and function with age. Sarcopenia is always considered to be multifactorial and associated with multiple chronic diseases. Sarcopenia accelerates the progress of metabolic diseases. Patients with diabetes lose muscle mass, muscular strength, and physical ability decreases, resulting in sarcopenia. A previous study reported that the prevalence of sarcopenia is significantly higher in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus than in the general group. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and sarcopenia are widespread conditions at advanced age with a bidirectional relationship.

A few studies have referred to the relation between malnutrition and the increased risk of sarcopenia in elderly adults. The Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index (GNRI), which is calculated using serum albumin levels and body mass index (BMI), is an objective and simple screening tool that has garnered considerable attention as a significant predictor of prognosis for patients with chronic disease. The GNRI is commonly used to evaluate elderly patients. Malnutrition is frequently found in elderly individuals, and the prevention of malnutrition is an important treatment for sarcopenic elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.
diabetes mellitus. However, it is not clear whether sarcopenia is associated with the nutritional status of elderly patients with a diabetic condition.

Our goal in this study was to assess the association between sarcopenia and GNRI in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study population
This retrospective analysis used data from elderly (≥60 years old) outpatients with type 2 diabetes mellitus visiting the Fujieda Municipal General Hospital (Shizuoka, Japan) from February 2018 to August 2020. This study analyzed 234 patients who finished a physical performance test required for the diagnosis of sarcopenia. Patients who had type 1 diabetes mellitus, chronic pancreatic disease, cirrhosis, dialysis, or known advanced cancer were excluded. During the first year after administration, attending physicians treated the patients according to the standards of medical care in type 2 diabetes, including a proper diet, educational admission, and medications. The study was approved by the medical ethics committee of the Fujieda Municipal General Hospital (R03-17).

Clinical data collection
The electronic medical record system of the patients was screened to assess diabetes-related factors. These factors include glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), body mass index (BMI), disease duration, degree of diabetic retinopathy progression, and medication history. In addition, routine blood tests were done, such as serum albumin level, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), and estimated glomerular filtration rate.

Assessment of sarcopenia
As an indicator of physical ability, two variables were used: the limb skeletal muscle mass and the handgrip strength. The skeletal muscle mass index was measured using a bioelectrical impedance analysis (InBody270; InBody Japan Inc, Tokyo, Japan). The skeletal muscle mass index (SMI) was measured by dividing the limb skeletal muscle mass (kg) by the square of the height (m²). If we had males with SMI <7.0 kg/m² or females with <5.7 kg/m², we considered them to have a low muscle mass. In contrast, we assessed the grip strength using a handgrip dynamometer (TKK5001; Takei Scientific Instruments, Tokyo, Japan). As a result, a handgrip strength of <28 kg for males and <18 kg for females were considered as signs of low muscle strength. The diagnosis of sarcopenia was confirmed according to the updated consensus on sarcopenia diagnosis and treatment issued by the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia in 2019.

Nutritional assessment using GNRI
To calculate GNRI, the equation: 14.89 × serum albumin (g/dL) + 41.7 × (body weight/ideal body weight) was used. The ideal body weight was calculated using height and BMI (22.0 kg/m²). However, we set the body weight/ideal body weight at 1 if the patient’s body weight exceeded their ideal body weight. The aim and strategy behind this step were defined in a previous study.

Statistical analysis
To present our results, we used mean ± standard deviation. However, to compare two or three groups, Fisher’s exact test for discrete variables was used and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables. Using Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test, the significance of differences in the continuous variables was assessed. Factor analysis was done to assess the factors related to sarcopenia using multivariate logistic regression analysis. However, this was done using potential factors according to the P < 0.20 results of the univariate logistic regression analysis. The results of the regression modeling are presented as the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). All statistical tests were two-way. Statistical analyses were performed using EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University), which is a graphical user interface for R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, ver. 3.4.1).

RESULTS

Patient characteristics
This study evaluated the baseline characteristics in 234 patients aged ≥60 years and over (Table 1). The overall prevalence of sarcopenia was 24.7%, with 22.3% for males and 28.4% for females. The mean age of the sarcopenia group was significantly higher than that of the normal group (P < 0.01). Patients with sarcopenia had a lower BMI and GNRI than those without sarcopenia. No difference was noted in the metabolic control or frequency of diabetes complications between the sarcopenia and normal groups. Moreover, 55.1% of the patients (129 patients) were being treated with glucose-lowering drugs – 13.2% were treated with insulin, 22.6% with biguanides, and 32.1% with DPP-4 inhibitors. There was no statistically significant difference in the therapeutic agents between the groups.

Correlations between GNRI and the components of sarcopenia
The GNRI showed a positive correlation with SMI and the handgrip strength for males (SMI: R = 0.486, P < 0.001; handgrip: R = 0.470, P < 0.001; Figure 1a,c). The GNRI showed a weak positive correlation with SMI and handgrip strength for females (SMI: R = 0.589, P < 0.001; R = 0.364, P < 0.001; Figure 1b,d).

Analysis results of multivariate logistic regression models for the risk factors of sarcopenia
The results of multivariate logistic regression model are shown in Table 2. In univariate analysis, age, diabetes duration, dyslipidemia, nephropathy, GNRI, and glinide use were significant factors for males, and age, diabetes duration, serum levels of LDL, GNRI, glinide, and glucosidase inhibitor use were...
significant factors for females. It was found that older age (OR: 1.110, 95% CI: 1.030–1.190 for males; OR: 1.100, 95% CI: 1.010–1.190 for females) was significantly correlated with a high risk of sarcopenia. Higher GNRI appeared to prevent sarcopenia with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Higher GNRI were comparable in the normal group.

Glucose-lowering drugs and sarcopenia in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus

The longitudinal changes in HbA1c and GNRI were studied. Table 3 shows the newly added drugs during the first year, and drugs used at the time of the first referral were excluded. For additional treatments, glinide was often added in the male sarcopenia group. Table 4 shows the subgroup analyses comparing the HbA1c value and GNRI assessed by sarcopenia. The mean HbA1c score in all groups decreased significantly after the administration of glucose-lowering drugs. The changes in GNRI after the administration of the glucose-lowering drugs were increased significantly in the sarcopenia group (from 96.7 ± 10.9 to 99.7 ± 8.2, P = 0.009), whereas the changes in GNRI were comparable in the normal group.

DISCUSSION

This study found that a low GNRI score in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus was a risk factor for sarcopenia.
In addition, one year of diabetes treatment improved GNRI in the sarcopenia group with type 2 diabetes mellitus. To the best of our knowledge, the association between GNRI and sarcopenia in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus has not yet been examined.

A previous study reported a correlation between malnutrition and decreased muscle strength in elderly subjects. Multiple factors can contribute to the development of sarcopenia, including aging, inactivity, malnutrition, and chronic disease. However, malnutrition is also one of them. Additionally, our result indicated the same relation between malnutrition due to low GNRI and sarcopenia. A high prevalence of sarcopenia has been observed in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus with poor nutritional status. Therefore, proper nutritional management plays an important role in reducing the risk of sarcopenia in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Evaluations by the Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition criteria, the European Society of Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism criteria, and a mini nutrition assessment have been reported as nutritional indicators of sarcopenia. A previous study showed that a higher BMI and skeletal muscle index decrease the probability of developing sarcopenia. Moreover, BMI is significantly lower in type 2 diabetes mellitus individuals with sarcopenia than in those without it. The Japan Diabetes
Table 2 | Logistic regression models for risk factors associated with sarcopenia

|                  | Odds ratio | 95%CI | P-value |
|------------------|------------|-------|---------|
| Male             |            |       |         |
| Age (years)      | 1.110      | 1.030–1.190 | 0.007    |
| Diabetes duration (years) | 1.020      | 0.974–1.070 | 0.401    |
| Dyslipidemia     | 0.902      | 0.304–2.670 | 0.852    |
| Nephropathy      | 1.190      | 0.646–2.190 | 0.578    |
| GNRI             | 0.892      | 0.839–0.948 | <0.001   |
| Glinide          | 2.210      | 0.437–11.20 | 0.337    |
| Female           |            |       |         |
| Age (years)      | 1.110      | 1.010–1.190 | 0.024    |
| Diabetes duration (years) | 1.040      | 0.965–1.120 | 0.323    |
| Hypertension     | 0.386      | 0.122–1.220 | 0.105    |
| LDL (mg/dL)      | 0.999      | 0.987–1.010 | 0.900    |
| GNRI             | 0.928      | 0.876–0.982 | 0.009    |
| Alfa-GI          | 1.570      | 0.082–29.60 | 0.765    |

GI, glucosidase inhibitor; GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index; LDL, low density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Table 3 | Additional glucose-lowering drugs at administration

| Drug                | All patients (n = 234) | Male (n = 139) | Female (n = 95) |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                     | Normal | Sarcopenia | P-value | Normal | Sarcopenia | P-value | Normal | Sarcopenia | P-value |
| Insulin (%)         | 22 (14.5) | 11 (22.0) | 0.269 | 17 (18.1) | 8 (30.8) | 0.188 | 5 (8.6) | 3 (12.5) | 0.687 |
| Biguanide (%)       | 32 (23.4) | 12 (27.3) | 0.667 | 15 (18.5) | 7 (28.0) | 0.397 | 17 (29.3) | 5 (26.3) | 1.000 |
| DPP-4 inhibitor (%) | 88 (69.8) | 25 (71.4) | 1.000 | 49 (67.1) | 10 (58.8) | 0.576 | 39 (73.6) | 15 (83.3) | 0.531 |
| SGLT2 inhibitor (%) | 14 (8.8) | 4 (7.3) | 1.000 | 8 (8.0) | 4 (14.3) | 0.294 | 6 (9.8) | 0 (0.0) | 0.171 |
| Alfa-GI (%)         | 3 (1.8) | 3 (6.0) | 0.143 | 2 (2.0) | 3 (11.1) | 0.066 | 1 (1.5) | 0 (0.0) | 1.000 |
| Glinide (%)         | 12 (7.1) | 8 (15.4) | 0.094 | 6 (5.8) | 6 (22.2) | 0.018 | 6 (9.1) | 2 (8.0) | 1.000 |
| GLP1 RA (%)         | 13 (7.7) | 7 (12.1) | 0.420 | 10 (9.4) | 5 (16.1) | 0.329 | 3 (6.2) | 2 (7.4) | 0.637 |

DPP4, dipeptidyl peptidase 4; GI, glucosidase inhibitor; GLP-1RA, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists; SGLT2, sodium–glucose cotransporter 2.

Table 4 | Changes in HbA1c and GNRI to the effect of additional glucose-lowering drug treatment

|                          | HbA1c (%)  | GNRI       |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|
|                          | Baseline | 12 months | P-value | Baseline | 12 months | P-value |
| All patients             |          |           |         |          |           |         |
| Normal                   | 10.0 ± 2.4 | 7.3 ± 1.1 | <0.001 | 106.6 ± 9.9 | 106.8 ± 9.8 | 0.699 |
| Sarcopenia               | 10.3 ± 2.5 | 7.5 ± 1.1 | <0.001 | 96.7 ± 10.9 | 99.7 ± 8.2 | 0.007 |
| Male                     |          |           |         |          |           |         |
| Normal                   | 10.1 ± 2.6 | 7.2 ± 1.1 | <0.001 | 105.6 ± 9.3 | 106.2 ± 9.3 | 0.349 |
| Sarcopenia               | 11.0 ± 2.9 | 7.6 ± 1.3 | <0.001 | 95.5 ± 11.0 | 99.1 ± 8.1 | 0.019 |
| Female                   |          |           |         |          |           |         |
| Normal                   | 9.7 ± 2.0 | 7.3 ± 1.0 | <0.001 | 107.8 ± 10.2 | 107.8 ± 10.5 | 0.888 |
| Sarcopenia               | 96 ± 18   | 7.3 ± 0.8 | <0.001 | 98.1 ± 10.9 | 100.6 ± 8.3 | 0.069 |

GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin.
patients with type 2 diabetes might prevent sarcopenia and lead to good physical performance.

A high glycemic level in elderly patients with diabetes mellitus was associated with low muscle mass and muscle quality. Likewise, poor glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes was reported to be a risk factor for sarcopenia. Glucose-lowering drugs for type 2 diabetes mellitus can have a beneficial effect on some factors possibly involved in sarcopenia. Our study showed that the effects of diabetes treatment after 12 months improved the nutritional status in elderly sarcopenic diabetic patients. Recently, a study reported that glucose-lowering interventions are effective against skeletal muscle mass and sarcopenia. Reports indicate that inflammatory markers, such as low serum albumin, are a risk for diabetes. In our study, the improvement of GNRI was attributed to improving glycemic control in patients with sarcopenia and diabetes, increased body weight, including skeletal muscle mass, and improving low-grade inflammation increased the serum albumin levels (Table S2). Nutritional status may be improved with a good management approach to sarcopenia and diabetes. As a result, that might participate in maintaining muscular function and increasing the serum albumin level. Sarcopenia in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus might require adequate glycemic control with hypoglycemic agents rather than an overly restricted dietary pattern.

This study has some limitations. First, our study was limited by its retrospective study design, and the sample size was modest. The study was conducted in a single institution, and determining general relationships was not always possible. Second, we did not have data on the patients’ walking speed, which might be involved in sarcopenia with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Third, our cohort of patients with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes may give different results from the general cohort of elderly type 2 diabetes patients. Because approximately half of the diabetic patients were untreated, it is considered that the therapeutic effect of the glucose-lowering drugs was remarkable. If the patients with type 2 diabetes had been better controlled, the association between sarcopenia and GNRI may also have been different. Fourth, glinide was frequently selected for the male sarcopenia group. The reason may be that glinide was selected because postprandial hyperglycemia is elevated in sarcopenic patients. We further examined changes in GNRI for each of the added drugs (Table S1); however, no significant difference was noted between the drugs. The small number of cases limits the interpretation of the subgroup analysis. Fifth, nutritional and inflammatory assessments with metrics other than the GNRI were not performed due to the limited information included in this study. Moreover, we did not consider physical exercise and food intake. Sixth, sarcopenia with reduced skeletal muscle mass is thought to be associated with the total body weight. GNRI is a weight-based measurement, and the association between GNRI and sarcopenia in this study may be due to body weight aspects. Further prospective trials are now warranted to examine the beneficial effects of an increase in GNRI for preventing sarcopenia in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

In conclusion, our study is the first to assess sarcopenia risk and GNRI. However, GNRI correlates positively with sarcopenia in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Additionally, the administration of the glucose-lowering drugs improves GNRI in sarcopenic patients and might decrease the risk of sarcopenia.
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