Challenges in identifying antibiotic resistance targets for point-of-care diagnostics in general practice
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General practitioners stand at the front line of healthcare provision and have a pivotal role in the fight against increasing antibiotic resistance. In this respect, targeted antibiotic prescribing by general practitioners would help reduce the unnecessary use of antibiotics, leading to reduced treatment failures, fewer side-effects for patients and a reduction in the (global) spread of antibiotic resistances. Current ‘gold standard’ antibiotic resistance detection strategies tend to be slow, taking up to 48 h to obtain a result, although the implementation of point-of-care testing by general practitioners could help achieve the goal of targeted antibiotic prescribing practices. However, deciding on which antibiotic resistances to include in a point-of-care diagnostic is not a trivial task, as outlined in this publication.
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The continued spread of antibiotic resistance is a global problem with the WHO predicting 10 million global deaths due to antibiotic resistance by 2050 [1,2]. One way of helping defeat the emergence of antibiotic resistance is via the accurate prescribing of antibiotics only to those patients who are suffering from bacterial (instead of viral) infections. The problem of accurate antibiotic prescribing is especially relevant for general practitioners (GPs) [3,4]. GPs stand on the front line of patient care (primary care), most often being the first point of contact between medical specialists and the general public. However, the rapid differentiation between a bacterial and viral infection in primary care patients is especially difficult to achieve, since the patient’s history and physical examination have only a limited value in differentiating between a viral and a bacterial infection [5,6]. Further, if specimens are sent to a centralized diagnostic laboratory for traditional ‘gold standard’ testing, the results of pathogen isolation, identification and antibiotic resistance detection may take several days to arrive back at the GP practice. Taken together, this means that accurate, evidence-based diagnosis and targeted antibiotic prescribing by GPs are currently problematic. One possible approach to this problem is to implement so called point-of-care (POC) diagnostics at the GP practice and many different POC diagnostics are currently available, or are being developed, to detect infectious diseases [7]. These POC diagnostics can be generally divided into three ‘classes’: POC diagnostics that differentiate between bacterial and viral infections (without defining which pathogen is present), for example, diagnostics based on inflammatory biomarkers; POC diagnostics that detect and report a specific pathogen that may be present, but (in case of a bacterial infection) do not provide information on the presence of antibiotic resistances, for example, diagnostics based on nucleic acid amplification techniques; and POC diagnostics that detect and report a specific pathogen and the presence of antibiotic resistance, for example, diagnostics based on nucleic acid amplification techniques coupled to microarrays. The ability to accurately differentiate between a viral and bacterial infection may help enormously in reducing the amount of unnecessary antibiotics prescribed to patients suffering from viral infections. This may
Table 1. Potential problems and possible solutions to choosing antibiotic resistance targets for incorporation in point-of-care diagnostics for use by general practitioners.

| Current problem | Possible solution |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Large diversity in antibiotic resistance mechanisms in primary care | Development of novel POC diagnostics to detect (combinations of): hydrolyzing enzymes or genes; mutation events; downregulation of efflux pumps; increase or loss of bacterial porins; and metabolically inactive bacterial cells |
| Lack of knowledge on the incidence of antibiotic resistance genes | Continuous epidemiological analysis of the incidence of specific antibiotic resistance genes within primary care and the target market area. This goal could be achieved by ensuring ‘connectivity’ between (different) POC devices within a single GP practice to the local patient information system |
| Changing epidemiology of antibiotic resistance due to travel- and ethnic background-associated carriage | Acquire knowledge regarding the local incidence of antibiotic resistance and the potential effect of traveler-associated colonization/infection on the prescribing practices of local GPs |
| Confounding influence and impact of the human microbiota | Develop POC diagnostics that can distinguish between antibiotic resistances present in pathogens compared with nonpathogens in the human microbiota |
| Lack of knowledge of national antibiotic-prescribing guidelines | Take note of antibiotic-prescribing guidelines in different countries (may indicate current/future resistance trends). Be aware that these guidelines may change regularly |
| Molecular and phenotypic analysis possess their own particular advantages and disadvantages | Be aware of potential limitations associated with the molecular detection of antibiotic resistance genes. Gene promoter and coding region sequencing may provide some idea of the expression of specific antibiotic resistance genes, but mRNA or protein-based diagnostics may be required. Note that even mRNA and protein may not detect ‘dormant’ antibiotic resistance genes that have not yet been exposed to antibiotics |
| Will antibiotic resistance diagnostics actually alter GP/patient behavior? | The factors influencing the prescribing of antibiotics, e.g., time-to-result, costs, added value, etc., by GPs need to be better understood and factored into POC diagnostic development |

GP: General practitioner; POC: Point-of-care.
Diversity in antibiotic resistance mechanisms

The type of antibiotic resistance and antibiotic resistance mechanisms found in the primary care setting depends on several factors, including the availability and use of antibiotics by GPs and patients within a particular geographical location and community. Therefore, the types of resistances to be detected may be very diverse, including both intrinsic and acquired resistances. Intrinsic resistance is an inherent characteristic of microorganisms that makes them insensitive to particular antibiotics, with an example being the inherent resistance of Gram-negative microorganisms to the antibiotic vancomycin due to the inability of vancomycin to efficiently penetrate the Gram-negative bacterial outer membrane. Acquired antimicrobial resistance is, however, most relevant for antimicrobial prescribing in healthcare as they can generate resistance in previously nonintroninsically resistant (antibiotic susceptible) microorganisms. Further, this resistance can be readily transferred between different bacterial species (either in the presence or absence of antibiotics). Transferable antibiotic resistance genes may be present in different mobile genetic elements (MGE), carried for example in many different types of plasmids (via conjugation), or transferred by the uptake of naked DNA (transfection), or spread via bacteriophage-mediated transfer (transduction). Examples of antimicrobial resistance genes carried by MGE include genes coding for β-lactam-based antibiotic hydrolyzing proteins, such as extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL) and carbapenemases, for example, K. pneumoniae carbapenemase, Verona integron-encoded metallo-β-lactamase, etc. Further, for each gene there may be many different variants, for example, >100 TEM (isolated from patient Temoneira) and >100 sulphydryl variant (SHV) β-lactamase gene variants [10]. Antibiotic resistance may also originate via nontransferable genetic events, that is, genetic mutations. For example, mutations in the quinolone resistance-determining region of the gyrA (gyrA) gene may result in bacterial resistance to quinolone-based antibiotics such as ciprofloxacin and nalidixic acid. Genetic mutations may also be associated with the downregulation of porins or the upregulation of efflux pumps [11,12].

Further, many different resistance mechanisms may be present within a single isolate of a bacterium at the same time, resulting in multiresistant or even pan-resistant microorganisms [13,14]. With particular respect to general practice, and as an example, community respiratory tract infections in the Netherlands are generally treated using amoxicillin and as a second or third choice tetracyclines and macrolides, while urinary tract infections are generally treated using nitrofurantoin, phosphomycin, trimethoprim and ciprofloxacin. Just some of the antibiotic resistances associated with these combinations of antibiotics include hyper production of penicillinases, plasmidal resistances, point mutations, efflux pumps, etc. [15–17]. Such a diversity of antimicrobial resistance mechanisms means that it is unlikely (or feasible) to develop a POC diagnostic that can detect all of the different antibiotic resistances described in the scientific literature. Instead, a ‘targeted’ approach may be required, whereby diagnostics are targeted to the antimicrobial resistance actually circulating within a given geographical location or community. This solution, however, generates two problems: diagnostic innovators need to have access to up-to-date epidemiological data on antibiotic resistances for their target healthcare settings; and the existence of novel antimicrobial resistances, or the introduction of antimicrobial resistances from neighboring geographical locations or communities, may be missed. Further, if POC diagnostic innovators include many tens of antibiotic resistances in their detection panels, will GPs be knowledgeable enough about the significance of the different resistances for their patients? Will the relevant POC diagnostic therefore have to provide advice to the GP, dependent on the antibiotic-resistant results? Giving such advice could be seen as advising the GP how to treat the patient, rather than the GP making his own decision. This may have legal and ethical implications. Finally, as the number of POC diagnostic antibiotic resistance targets increases, so does the price of the diagnostic, which may negatively affect the value: cost ratio of the diagnostic. GPs may then perhaps ask themselves why they need to buy a diagnostic that detects many different antibiotic resistances that may not be relevant to their own geographical location or community.

Local incidence of antibiotic resistance genes

The incidence of antibiotic resistance genes may vary per GP geographic location and in time, making it difficult for innovators to predict future trends in antibiotic resistance profiles. For example, β-lactam resistance in Haemophilus influenzae (associated with respiratory tract infections in primary care) has previously been associated with the carriage and expression of the transferable rob-1 β-lactamase genes [18]. However, there appear to be differences in the carriage of rob-1 between Canada, USA, Mexico, Scotland and Turkey [19–21]. That said, the most likely antibiotic resistance phenotype associated with a specific disease in a particular geographical location or community may already be known by the GP via his own empirical experience of recent treatment successes and failures. This empirical knowledge (along with national guidelines) may mean that antibiotic resistance testing is seen as unnecessary by some GPs. Additionally, if a POC diagnostic is implemented, which determines bacterial
identification only, the GP may already be empirically aware of the most likely antibiotic resistances associated with that particular bacterial pathogen. For example, the bacterium *Moraxella catarrhalis* is associated with upper respiratory tract infections such as otitis media in children and lower respiratory tract infections such as exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in adults [22–24]. This bacterial pathogen is associated with the carriage of a single unique β-lactamase enzyme, which can be present in one of two slightly different forms (Bro-1 and Bro-2) [25]. Further, epidemiological studies have shown that penicillin resistance and the carriage of *bro* genes approach 90% in clinical isolates, meaning that a POC diagnostic that detects the *bro* gene may not actually be useful (or seen as financially viable) to GPs, especially when a broad-spectrum alternative such as erythromycin is available for prescription. Of course, erythromycin resistance in clinical isolates of *M. catarrhalis* is known, but the incidence of such resistance is relatively low in most regions of the world [26].

**Travel- & community-based antibiotic resistance**

Possibly influencing the range of antibiotic resistance genes seen by a GP within his/her practice is the fact that travelers (including holidaymakers, sales representatives, etc.), as well as patients undergoing surgery (including elective cosmetic surgery) may have recently visited countries with a high prevalence of antibiotic resistance, and subsequently become colonized with nonlocal antibiotic-resistant bacteria during their travels [27]. Examples of such nonlocal antibiotic resistances could include various ESBL [28] and New Delhi β-lactamase-1 (NDM-1) producing bacteria [29]. Additionally, communities containing relatively high levels of citizens from ethnic minority backgrounds may also show differences in the range of antibiotic resistances carried [30]. The question then for POC diagnostic innovators is ‘how relevant are travel- and ethnic background-related antibiotic resistance in patients attending GP practices in different geographical locations?’ Unfortunately, the specific collection of travel- and community-based antibiotic resistance data is likely to be too expensive for most POC diagnostic innovators.

Important also to remember, is that once GPs start using ‘stronger and more unusual’ antibiotics against pathogens that have become resistant to the ‘standard antibiotics’ prescribed within general practice, the cryptic (asymptomatic) carriage of more unusual ‘travel/ethnic background-associated’ antibiotic resistances within the community setting could become important. In this scenario, the vertical transmission of such genes to related/unrelated bacterial species could also impact on the risk of infection by pathogens already resistant to previously used antibiotics. This in turn, could mean that, by the time POC developers place a diagnostic on the healthcare market (approximately 10 years), the antibiotic resistance problem may have changed from their original data.

**The human microbiota**

The detection of an antibiotic resistance gene within a clinical sample does not automatically mean that the causative bacterial pathogen of an illness actually carries the resistance gene detected. This is especially true for transferable genes present on MGE, where identical antibiotic resistance genes can be widely spread, not only within bacterial pathogens, but also within the normal human microbiota of the patient [31]. If an antibiotic resistance gene is found to be present in the clinical sample, how can the clinician be sure that the antibiotic resistance gene is present within the bacterial pathogen actually causing the disease and not present in a ‘harmless’ member of the patient’s microbiota? [32–34]. Focusing on the detection of MGE-associated antibiotic resistance genes may partially get around the problem associated with the presence of antibiotic resistance genes within the human microbiota, as these are likely to be able to be transferred to pathogenic bacteria. Additionally, the GP may have to take note of any recent exposure of the patient to antibiotics, as antibiotic resistance generated by previous exposure to antibiotics could persist within the patient’s human microbiota for some time [35–39]. Note also that as more antibiotic resistance targets are included in a POC diagnostic, the chance of detecting antibiotic resistance genes in the normal human microbiota (including nonpathogenic microorganisms) of the patient will also increase, possibly yielding ‘false positive’ results – at least with respect to the antibiotic sensitivity of the actual pathogen [40].

**National guidelines & market perspectives**

Many countries produce national guidelines for the treatment of diseases often seen within primary care. Examples of such guidelines include the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE, UK) and The Nederlands Huisartsen Genootschap (NHG, The Netherlands) [41,42]. It is essential that POC diagnostic innovators who are thinking of developing antibiotic resistance diagnostics actually take note of these guidelines and develop tests for antimicrobial resistances that are based on the antibiotic-prescribing guidelines of the countries in which the
device is to be sold. However, for the POC diagnostic innovator, developing different antibiotic resistance testing capacities for specific countries may not make financial sense unless those countries have standardized primary care guidelines available and large populations with access to primary care. Additionally, national guidelines for antibiotic prescribing are regularly revised, which means that POC diagnostic innovators must consider if the time required to develop, market and generate profit from a new antibiotic resistance test will fit within the regular cycle of national guideline revision. Of course, the revision of national guidelines does not mean to say that the actual choice of antibiotics to be used will be changed, but the POC diagnostic innovator should take this into consideration when weighing up the time from POC development to marketing. Finally, if a GP knows that a revision of antibiotic-prescribing guidelines is due in a few months, he may be less inclined to buy an antibiotic resistance diagnostic that may be 'out of date' within a few months. One possible solution to this problem is for POC diagnostic innovators to be aware of the problem and develop diagnostics that are easily and quickly adaptable to the rapidly changing 'ecosystem' of (inter)national guidelines and to the emergence of new antibiotic resistances.

**Molecular versus phenotypic analysis**
Phenotypic analysis is a gold standard 'catch-all' technique that determines antibiotic resistance via the inability of a microorganism to replicate in the presence of a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of an antibiotic. However, current problems with phenotypic detection include the 'time to result', sensitivity and the general need for centralized laboratory testing compared with nucleic acid amplification techniques [43]. Additionally, the majority of currently available POC infectious disease diagnostics rely on nucleic acid-based amplification (usually involving polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or isothermal amplification techniques) [44]. The detection of MGE-associated antibiotic resistance genes by nucleic amplification techniques, using conserved regions of DNA as targets, is highly suited to the detection of many (transferable) antibiotic resistance genes. However, antibiotic resistance that occurs due to genetic mutations are less easily detected using standard nucleic acid amplification techniques. This is because these mutations mostly occur in genes normally present in the pathogenic bacterium, for example, ciprofloxacin resistance and the quinolone-resistance determining region, and the detection of the gene per se provides no information on actual phenotypic antibiotic resistance. However, POC diagnostics that detect mutations in combination with gene amplification, for example, gene amplification followed by microarray detection, or gene sequencing [45], may have a distinct advantage over gene amplification-only POC diagnostics with respect to mutation-associated antibiotic resistance. Essentially, gene amplification-only diagnostics could be perceived as not offering the GP enough clinical information (antibiotic resistance caused by mutations) on which to base an informed antibiotic-prescribing decision. This could seriously impact on the perceived value of a nucleic acid amplification-only diagnostic device to the GP.

**Will antibiotic resistance POC diagnostics actually alter GP/patient behavior?**
Finally, another unanswered question is whether the availability of POC antibiotic resistance data to GPs will actually alter their antibiotic-prescribing practices. GPs may prescribe antibiotics ‘just in case’ there is a chance of a bacterial infection (even though an available POC diagnostic has already shown the presence of a viral infection). The reasoning here is that an undetected bacterial superinfection may occur on top of the viral infection. Another potential unanswered question is ‘how will GPs behave in their antibiotic-prescribing practices when a POC diagnostic device determines the existence of both a viral and bacterial infection? A GP’s clinical decision-making will probably depend on the patient’s physical examination and the type of virus (influenza virus or coronavirus, for example) and the type of bacterium detected. Interestingly, however, the introduction of antibiotic resistance testing by GPs may help ‘persuade’ patients that a decision not to prescribe antibiotics is ‘rational’ and based on scientific testing [46].

**Conclusion and Future perspective**
Choosing useful antibiotic resistance gene targets for use in POC diagnostics by general practitioners is not an easy task. Currently, the choice is particularly limited by: the diversity of antibiotic resistance mechanisms present in general practice; a lack of epidemiological information on the origin and spread of antibiotic resistance mechanisms within particular geographical locations and within particular communities; and limitations on currently available POC diagnostic technologies to rapidly detect the many different types of antibiotic resistance mechanisms known. Lesser problems include: the inaccuracy of predicting future trends in antibiotic resistance; and a lack of knowledge of the impact of the human microbiota on antibiotic resistance carriage and antibiotic treatment failure. It should
be noted that, even if the above challenges are successfully overcome, the success or failure of the implementation of future POC antibiotic resistance diagnostics into general practice depends on many interacting factors. These factors include the behavioral decision-making process of individual general practitioners, time-to-result and the (perceived) added value of implementing POC antibiotic resistance diagnostics into the GP practice.

Executive summary

- General practitioners are the first line of defense against antibiotic resistance within communities.
- Better targeted antibiotic prescribing, for example, by the use of point-of-care (POC) diagnostics could potentially help reduce the amount of unnecessary antibiotics prescribed to patients.
- The choice of antibiotic resistance targets for POC diagnostic development is currently problematic.
- Antibiotic resistance mechanisms in general practice are diverse.
- Knowledge of the incidence of specific antibiotic resistance mechanisms is required in order to generate guidelines that indicate if a specific POC diagnostic is actually clinically relevant.
- Scientific information may be required regarding the specific incidence of antibiotic resistance between non-pathogens and pathogens.
- POC diagnostic innovators should take note of the antibiotic-prescribing guidelines of different countries (may indicate future resistance trends).
- The range and incidence of antibiotic resistance present in a community could be affected by travelers (holidaymakers and/or healthcare migrants, for example, patients who travel abroad for cosmetic surgery procedures) and by the ethnic background of the local population.
- Be aware of potential limitations associated with the molecular detection of antibiotic resistance genes by POC diagnostics.
- The development of a rapid phenotypic detection test would act as a ‘catch-all’ technique, providing a simple answer to the question of antibiotic resistance for most antibiotic resistance mechanisms.
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