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Abstract

We present two novel and explicit parametrizations of Cholesky factor of a nonsingular correlation matrix. One that uses semi-partial correlation coefficients, and a second that utilizes differences between the successive ratios of two determinants. To each, we offer a useful application.

1. Cholesky decomposition - Introduction

For a positive-definite symmetric matrix Cholesky decomposition provides a unique representation in the form of \( LL^T \), with a lower triangular matrix \( L \) and the upper triangular \( L^T \). Offered by a convenient \( O(n^3) \) algorithm, Cholesky decomposition is favored by many for expressing the covariance matrix (Pourahmadi 2011). The matrix \( L \) itself can be used to transform independent normal variables into dependent multinormal (Moonan 1957) which is particularly useful for Monte Carlo simulations.

Explicit forms of \( L \) are known for limited correlation structures such as the equicorrelated (Tong 1990, pp. 104), tridiagonal (Miwa et al. 2003), and the multinomial (Tanabe & Sagae 1992). The general correlated case is typically computed by using spherical parametrizations (Pinheiro & Bates 1996, Rapisarda et al. 2007, Rebonato & Jackel 2007, Mittelbach et al. 2012), a multiplicative ensemble of trigonometric functions of the angles between pairs of vectors. Others may use Cholesky matrix (Cooke et al. 2011, pp. 49) that utilizes the multiplication of partial correlations.

In this paper, we will present two explicit parametrizations of Cholesky factor for a positive-definite correlation matrix. Both parametrizations offer a preferable, simpler alternatives to the multiplicative forms of spherical parametrization and partial correlations. In Section 2 we show that the nonzero elements of Cholesky factor are the semi-partial correlation coefficients.
Where $R_{i-1}^{-1}$ is the inverse of the correlation matrix $R_i=(\rho_{kj})_{k,j=1}^{i-1}$, 
$\rho_{ij}^*=(\rho_{1j}, \rho_{2j}, \ldots, \rho_{i-1,j})$ and $\rho_{i}^* = \rho_{1i}^*$. The order of the $\rho_{j(1,\ldots,i-1)}$S is determined by Cholesky factorization, and the notations are borrowed from Huber’s trivariate discussion of semi-partial correlation in regression (Huber 1981).

In Section 3 we uncover that the squares, $\rho_{ij(1,\ldots,i-1)}^2$, are equivalent to the differences between two successive ratios of determinants, and we use this equivalence to construct the second parametrization for $L$. In Section 3.1 we extend the representation of $L$ to the structure of a covariance matrix, and in Section 3.2 we study two inequality conditions that are essential for the positive-definiteness of $LL^T$. We conclude this paper by offering two possible applications, one for each of the suggested forms. In Section 4 we present a simple $t$-test that employs the semi-partial correlation structure for testing the dependence of a single variable upon a set of multivariate normals. In Section 5 we utilize the second parametrization to design a simple algorithm for the generation of random positive-definite correlation matrices. We end the paper with the simple case of generalization of random AR(1) correlation in Section 5.1.

2. The first parametrization for Cholesky factor

Let $R_n=(\rho_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be a positive-definite correlation matrix, for which each sub-matrix $R_k=(\rho_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k$ is positive-definite. Let also $L=(l_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be Cholesky factor of $R$, $|R|$ be the determinant of $R$, $R^{-1}$ its inverse, and $\rho_{ij}^*=(\rho_{1j}, \rho_{2j}, \ldots, \rho_{i-1,j})$ for $j \geq i$, so $\rho_{i}^* = \rho_{1i}^*$. To simplify writing also set $R_{ij}^{-1} ≡ 1$. The first representation of $L$ will use the semi-partial correlations

$$l_{ji} = \rho_{ji(1,\ldots,i-1)} = \frac{\rho_{ij} - \rho_{1j}^* R_{ij}^{-1} \rho_{1i}^T}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{1j}^* R_{ij}^{-1} \rho_{1i}^T}}, \quad i \leq j,$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \rho_{12} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}^2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \rho_{13} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{13}^2} \rho_{12} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{23}^2} & \sqrt{1 - \rho_{31}^2} R_{1}^{-1} \rho_{13}^T & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \rho_{1n} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{1n}^2} \rho_{12} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{2n}^2} \cdots \rho_{2n} \rho_{1n} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{n1}^2} R_{n}^{-1} \rho_{1n}^T & \cdots & \sqrt{1 - \rho_{n1}^2} R_{n}^{-1} \rho_{1n}^T \end{pmatrix}$$ (1)
For $i=j$, we have $\rho_{ii(1,\ldots,i-1)} = \sqrt{1 - \rho_i \rho_i^{-1} \rho_i^T}$ and $1 - \rho_i \rho_i^{-1} \rho_i^T > 0$ for positive-definite $R_i$. Some may recognize $1 - \rho_i \rho_i^{-1} \rho_i^T$ as the schur-complement of the matrix $R_{i-1}$ inside $R_i$ from the formula for computing the determinant of $R_i$, using the block matrix $R_{i-1}$ (Harville 1997, pp. 188).

$$|R_i| = |R_{i-1}| \rho_i^{-1} \rho_i^T = |R_{i-1}|(1 - \rho_i \rho_i^{-1} \rho_i^T).$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

To show that $R_n = LL^T$ we introduce Theorem 1.

**Theorem 1**

For $i \geq 1$ and $n \geq j \geq i + 1$,

$$\rho_j^{i+1} R_i^{-1} \rho_i^{i+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \rho_{k(i(1,\ldots,k-1)} \rho_{kj(1,\ldots,k-1)}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

By the virtue that Cholesky factor of a positive-definite matrix has a unique representation, Theorem 1 will serve as a general proof for the form (1). Some may recognize the Eq. (3) in Theorem 1 as the inner-product used for the familiar algorithm of Cholesky Decomposition (Harville 1997, pp. 235):

$$l_{ii} = \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} l_{ik}^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad l_{ij} = \left(\rho_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} l_{jk} l_{ik} \right) / l_{ii}.$$

Surprisingly, the equality in Theorem 1 seems to be unknown or neglected. The proof for Theorem 1 will be given in Appendix A, and will be heavily based on the recursive arguments of the Lemma 2:

**Lemma 2**

For $i \geq 1$ and $n \geq j \geq i + 1$,

$$\rho_j^{i+1} R_i^{-1} \rho_i^{i+1} = \rho_j^{i+1} R_i^{-1} \left(\rho_i^{i+1}\right)^T + \left(\rho_{i(i+1)} - \rho_i^{i+1} R_i^{-1} \rho_i^T\right) \left(\rho_{ij} - \rho_i^{i+1} R_i^{-1} \rho_i^T\right) / \left(\rho_i R_i^{-1} \rho_i^T\right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

The proof for Lemma 2 will be given in Appendix B.

**Remark.** Lemma 2 is useful to illustrate the recursive nature of the computation of the part $\rho_j^{i+1} R_i^{-1} \rho_i^{i+1}$. As an exercise, we suggest to verify that $\rho_j^{i+1} R_i^{-1} \rho_i^{i+1} = \rho_{ij} \rho_{12}$, and then to compute $\rho_j^{i+1} R_2^{-1} \rho_3^T$ by using Eq. (4). The result should be equal to $\rho_j^{i+1} R_2^{-1} \rho_3^T = \rho_{13} \rho_{1j} + \rho_{23} \rho_{2j(1)}$ as claimed by Theorem 1.
3. The second parametrization for Cholesky factor

The second parametrization for \( L \) will follow directly from (1) by applying Lemma 3 that claims an equivalence between semi-partial correlation coefficients to the difference between two successive schur-complements.

**Lemma 3**

Let us use the above notations and the positive-definiteness assumptions as before, and define

\[ R_{i}^{s_j} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{i-1} & \left( \rho_{i}^{s_j} \right)^T \\ \rho_{i}^{s_j} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Then for \( j \geq i + 1 \geq 3 \) the difference between two successive ratios of determinants (or schur-complements) is

\[
|R_{i}^{s_j}|/|R_{i-1}| - |R_{i+1}^{s_j}|/|R_{i}| = \left( \rho_{ij} - \rho_{i}^{s_j} R_{i-1}^{-1} \rho_{i}^{s_j} \right)^2 |R_{i-1}|/|R_{i}|. \tag{5}
\]

The proof for Lemma 3 will be found in Appendix B. Setting \( s_{ij} \equiv \text{sign}(\rho_{ij}(1, \ldots, i-1)) \), it is possible to write Cholesky factor (1) by the equivalent form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\frac{1}{s_{12}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_{12}}{1}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\frac{1}{s_{13}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_{13}}{1}} & \frac{1}{s_{23}} \sqrt{\frac{R_{23}}{|R_{2}|}} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{s_{1n}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_{1n}}{1}} & \frac{1}{s_{2n}} \sqrt{\frac{R_{2n}}{|R_{2}|}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{s_{3n}} \sqrt{\frac{R_{3n}}{|R_{3}|}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{s_{nn}} \sqrt{\frac{R_{nn}}{|R_{n-1}|}}
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{6}
\]

3.1. The extension to nonsingular covariance matrix

The Cholesky factor (6) can be easily extended to the general structure of nonsingular covariance when we replace each ratio \( \sigma_{ij}^{s_j} \left| R_{ij}^{s_j} \right|/\left| R_{i-1} \right| \) by its equivalent \( \left| \sum_{i=j}^{n} s_{ij} \right|/\left| \sum_{i=j}^{n} \right| \). We summarize this result into Theorem 4.

**Theorem 4**—Let \( \Sigma_{n} \) be a nonsingular covariance matrix with entries \( \sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \rho_{ij} \). Let

\[
\sigma_{i}^{s_j} \equiv (\sigma_{1j}, \sigma_{2j}, \ldots, \sigma_{i-1,j}) \quad \text{set} \quad \left| \sum_{i=j}^{n} s_{ij} \right| \equiv \sigma_{j}^{s_j} \left| \Sigma_{0} \right| \equiv 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=j}^{n} s_{ij} \equiv \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{s_j} \frac{\sigma_{i}^{T}}{\sigma_{i}^{s_j}} \right).
\]

Then, Cholesky factor \( L = (l_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n} \) for \( \Sigma_{n} \) is given by \( l_{ij} = 0 \) (for \( j < i \)), and by

\[
l_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\text{sign}(\rho_{ij}(1, \ldots, i-1)) \sqrt{\left| \sum_{i=j}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{s_j} \right|/\left| \sum_{i=j}^{n} \right| - \left| \sum_{i=j+1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{s_j} \right|/\left| \sum_{i=j}^{n} \right|} & \text{if } j > i \\
\sqrt{\left| \sum_{i=j}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{s_j} \right|/\left| \sum_{i=j}^{n} \right|} & \text{if } i = j
\end{cases}
\]
Remark. Mathematically, Theorem 4 might as well describe Cholesky factor for arbitrary nonsingular symmetric matrix, when allowing the entries of $L$ to be complex numbers.

3.2. Two order conditions on the magnitudes of sub-determinants essential to a well defined $L$

We start with the well known order relation between the magnitudes of successive determinants (Yule 1907, Stuart at al. 2010, pp. 525)

$$1 \geq |R_2| \geq |R_3| \geq \ldots \geq |R_{n-1}| \geq |R_n| > 0. \quad (7)$$

One may alternatively see the order (7) as a direct result of Eq. (2) when adding equal signs to account for $(\rho_i = 0)$’s. To the order (7) we will add a second order that arises from the positivity of the right-hand side of the Eq. (5) and seems to be rather new. For $j = 2, 3, \ldots, n$,

$$1 \geq |R_2^{xy_j}| \geq |R_3^{xy_j}|/|R_2| \geq \ldots \geq |R_{j-1}^{xy_j}|/|R_{j-2}| \geq |R_j|/|R_{j-1}| > 0. \quad (8)$$

It is possible to view the order relations (7) and (8) as posing necessary and sufficient conditions for the correlation matrix $R = LL^T$ to be positive-definite. Since both order relations follow from the positive-definiteness property of $R$, and on the other hand, any failure to satisfy any of the determinant ordering in (7) or (8) will lead to ill defined $L$. In Section 5 we shall show how to use the conditions (7) and (8) to generate positive-definite random correlation structures.

4. Application I - A simple $t$-test for linear dependence

As a first application we will establish a procedure for testing the linear dependence of a single variable upon other variables by employing the first parametrization of Cholesky factor. Let $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_p)$ be a matrix of $N$ samples from a $p$-variate random variable that is multinormally distributed. Assume that $N > p$ and let $\hat{R} = (r_{ij})_{i,j=1}^p$ be the estimated correlation sample matrix and $\{r_{ij(k,1,\ldots,i-1)}\}_{i \neq j}$ be the nonzero elements of Cholesky factor for $R$. Suppose that, for some $k < p$, we wish to test the linear dependence of $x_p$ upon $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k$. Consider the test

$$H_{0k}: \rho_{1p} = \rho_{2p} = \cdots = \rho_{kp(1,2,\ldots,k-1)} = 0$$

against the alternative

$$H_{1k}: \rho_{ip(1,2,\ldots,i-1)} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i < k.$$ 

Under $H_{0k}$ the estimator $r_{kp(1,2,\ldots,k-1)}$ forms a simple $t$ statistic (Morrison 2004).
The hypothesis $H_{0k}$ can be rejected, at level $\alpha (0 < \alpha < 1)$, if $|T_{kp,N}| > t_{\alpha/2,N-k}$. One may further establish a sequential testing procedure that searches for the largest $k$ for which $H_{0k}$ can be rejected.

**Remark.** We leave it to the reader to verify that the null hypotheses $H_{0k}$ is equivalent to $\rho_{1p} = \rho_{2p} = \cdots = \rho_{kp} = 0$.

## 5. Application II - Generating realistic random correlations

The problem of generating random correlation structures is well discussed at the literature (Marsaglia & Olkin 1984, Joe 2006, Mittelbach et al. 2012). However, in practice, many of the suggested procedures are not so easy to apply (Holmes 1991), and when applied, some typically fail to provide a sufficient number of realistic correlation matrices (Böhm & Hornik 2014). More recent algorithms for the generation of random correlations either utilize a beta distribution (Joe 2006, Lewandowski et al. 2009), or employ uniform angular values (Rapisarda et al. 2007, Rebonato & Jackel 2007, Mittelbach et al. 2012). The algorithm we will suggest in this section will be considerably simple. It will be based on uniform values that are assigned to reflect the ratios $\{R_i^{ij}/|R_{i-1}|\}_{i \leq j}$ which constitute the parametrization (6). The order of the values of $\{R_i^{ij}/|R_{i-1}|\}_{i \leq j}$ will be chosen to preserve the ordering in (7) and (8), to ensure the positive-definiteness of $LL^T$. We will start by choosing $n-1$ random uniform values in $(0, 1]$, that will be further assigned by their size to reflect the determinants $\{|R_j|\}_{j=2}^n$ as directed by (7). The diagonal of $L$ will be constructed from the ratios $\{|R_j|/|R_{j-1}|\}_{j=1}^n$. Then, for each row of $L$, $j$, an additional set of $j-2$ random uniform values will be chosen to serve as the ratios $\{R_i^{ij}/|R_{i-1}|\}_{i=2}^{j-1}$, organized to keep the order as in (8). The signs $s_{ij}$ will be chosen to be $(-1)^{\text{Bernoulli}(0.5)}$ and the matrix $L$ will be computed according to (6).

**Algorithm for generating realistic random correlation matrix $LL^T$**

**Step 1. Diagonals:** Choose $n-1$ random uniform values from the interval $(0, 1]$. Order them in decreasing order, $U_{(2)} \geq U_{(3)} \geq \cdots \geq U_{(n)}$, and set $l_{11} = 1$, $l_{22} = \sqrt{U_{(2)}}$, and $l_{jj} = \sqrt{U_{(j)}/U_{(j-1)}}$ for $j = 3, \ldots, n$.

**Step 2. Rows:** Repeat this step for each $j = 2, 3, \ldots, n-1$. Set $U_{(1)}^{(j)} \equiv 1$ and $U_{(j)}^{(j)} \equiv l_{jj}^2$.

For $j \geq 3$, choose $j-2$ more (additional) random uniform values $\{U_t^{(j)}\}_{i=2}^{j-1}$ inside $
\[ \begin{bmatrix} l_{ij}^2 \end{bmatrix} \text{and sort them in decreasing order } U_{(2)}^{(j)} \geq \cdots \geq U_{(j-1)}^{(j)}. \text{ Compute} \]

\[ l_{ji} = \sqrt{U_{(i)}^{(j)} - U_{(i+1)}^{(j)}} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, j-1; \]

Step 3. **Signs:** Choose \( n(n-1)/2 \) Bernoulli(0.5) values \( B_{ij}'s \) for \( j > i \), and multiply each \( l_{ji} \) by \( s_{ij} = (-1)^{B_{ij}} \).

Step 4. Compute \( \mathbf{R} \) by \( \mathbf{LL}^T \) to obtain the actual correlation structure.

### 5.1. Generating random AR(1) structures

We end this paper by revealing the simple form of Cholesky factor for the AR(1) structure. The AR(1) correlation matrix is defined by \( \rho_{ij} = \rho^{|i-j|} \), and it is possible to verify that \( |\mathbf{R}_n| = (1 - \rho^2)^{n-1} \), \( \rho_{i}^* = \rho^{i-1} \rho_i \), and

\[
\rho_{ij} - \rho_i^* \mathbf{R}_{i-1}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_i^T = \rho^{j-i} \left( 1 - \rho_i \right) \mathbf{R}_{i-1}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_i^T = \rho^{j-i} |\mathbf{R}_i|/|\mathbf{R}_{i-1}|.
\]

Cholesky factor for the AR(1) structure enjoys the simple form:

\[
l_{ji} = \begin{cases} \rho^{j-1}/\sqrt{1-\rho^2} & j \geq i \geq 2 \\
\rho^{j-1} & j = i = 1 \end{cases}
\]

Hence, for any choice of \( \rho, |\rho| < 1 \), it is possible to transform the standard normals \( (X_i)_{i=1}^n \) into the autocorrelated normals

\[
Y_i = \rho^{j-1} X_1 + \sqrt{1-\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{i} \rho^{j-k} X_k, i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]
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### Appendix A. The proof for Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. By mathematical induction. For \( i = 1 \), \( \rho_{1}^* \mathbf{R}_1^{-1} \mathbf{R}_1^T = \rho_{11}^* \rho_{12} \) and for \( i = 2 \), we can use Eq. (4) in Lemma 2 to get \( \rho_{2}^* \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \mathbf{R}_2^T = \rho_{1j}^* \rho_{12} + \rho_{2j}^* \rho_{23} \). Next, suppose that \( i \geq 2 \) and assume that Eq. (3) holds for \( i \), that is,
\[ \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \rho_{k,i+1}(1,\ldots,k-1) \cdot \rho_{k,j}(1,\ldots,k-1), \quad (A.1) \]

and consider the follow-up component, \( \rho_{i+2}^{(i+2)T} \), for the case of \( i = 1 \). By Eq. (4) in Lemma 2,

\[ \rho_{i+2}^{(i+2)T} \rho_{i+2} = \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \right)^T + \rho_{i+1,i+2} - \rho_{i+1}^{(i+2)T} \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \cdot \frac{\rho_{i+1,j} - \rho_{i+2}^{(i+1)T} \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T}}{1 - \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T}} \quad (A.2) \]

Since the component \( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \right)^T \) has the same form as \( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \right)^T \) with \( \rho_{i+1,i+2} = (\rho_{1,i+2}, \rho_{2,i+2}, \ldots, \rho_{i+1,i+2}) \) replacing \( \rho_{i+1} = (\rho_{1,i+1}, \rho_{2,i+1}, \rho_{i+1}) \), it is possible to rewrite \( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \right)^T \) in a similar manner as was used for \( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \right)^T \) in Eq. (A.1)

\[ \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \right)^T = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \rho_{k,i+2}(1,\ldots,k-1) \cdot \rho_{k,j}(1,\ldots,k-1). \quad (A.3) \]

Combining Eq. (A.2) with Eq. (A.3) gives the desired Eq. (3), for \( i = 1 \):

\[ \rho_{i+2}^{(i+2)T} = \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \right)^T + \rho_{i+1,i+2}(1,\ldots,i) \cdot \rho_{i+1,j}(1,\ldots,i) = \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} \rho_{k,i+2}(1,\ldots,k-1) \cdot \rho_{k,j}(1,\ldots,k-1) \]

**Appendix B. The proof for the two Lemmas**

Lemma 2 is for the case \( l = i + 1 \) of the more general recursive equation:

\[ \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \right)^T = \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \right)^T + \frac{\rho_{i+1,i+2} - \rho_{i+1}^{(i+2)T} \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T}}{1 - \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T}} \left( \rho_{i+1,j} - \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \right), \quad (B.1) \]

Lemma 3 is, first, by Eq. (2)

\[ \left| R_{i+1}^{Tj} \right| ||R_{i-1}|| - \left| R_{i+1}^{Tj} \right| ||R_{i}|| = 1 - \rho_{i+1,i+2}^{(i+1)T} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \right)^T - 1 + \rho_{i+1,i+2}^{(i+1)T} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{(i+1)T} \right)^T, \]

and second, by applying Eq. (B.1) for \( l = j \),
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Proof of Equation (B.1). By mathematical induction. For $i = 1$, we have
\[ \rho_{1}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}} = \rho_{1}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}}, \]
and for $i = 2$,
\[ \rho_{2}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}} = \rho_{1}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}} + (\rho_{2}^{\scriptscriptstyle{1j}} - \rho_{2}^{\scriptscriptstyle{1i}})(1 - \rho_{2}^{\scriptscriptstyle{12}}). \]
The general case of $j \geq i + 1$ follows by representing $R_{i}^{-1}$ according to the Banachiewicz inversion formula (Piziak & Odell 2007, pp. 26), where
\[ c_{i} \equiv 1 - \rho_{i}R_{i-1}^{-1}R_{i}^{T}, \]
\[ R_{i}^{-1} = \frac{1}{c_{i}} \begin{pmatrix} c_{i}R_{i-1}^{-1} + R_{i-1}^{-1}\rho_{i}R_{i-1}^{-1} - R_{i-1}^{-1} & -1 \\ -\rho_{i}R_{i-1}^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (B.2) \]
Finally, Eq. (B.1) follows from writing $\rho_{i+1}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}} R_{i}^{-1} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}} \right)^{T}$ and (B.2)
\[ \rho_{i+1}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}} R_{i}^{-1} \left( \rho_{i+1}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}} \right)^{T} = \left( \rho_{i}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}}, \rho_{ij} \right) R_{i}^{-1} \left( \rho_{i}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}}, \rho_{il} \right)^{T} - \rho_{i}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}} R_{i-1}^{-1} \left( \rho_{il}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}} \right)^{T} + \left( \rho_{ij} - \rho_{i}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}} R_{i-1}^{-1} \rho_{il}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ij}} \right) / c_{i}. \]
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