Application of a Superconducting Fault Current Limiter to Enhance the Low-Voltage Ride-Through Capability of Wind Turbine Generators
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Abstract: The penetration of wind turbine generators onto the grid has grown worldwide at unprecedented rates in recent years. This raises the concern that the tripping of wind turbine generators could potentially cause system collapses. To alleviate these concerns, wind turbine generators need to maintain connection with the grid when a grid fault occurs. This has provoked many countries to adopt low-voltage ride-through (LVRT) for wind turbine generators. The LVRT is the capability of wind turbine generators to maintain connectivity during certain periods of voltage sag. The wind turbine generators should be connected to the grid to support fault recovery. Also, wind turbine generators must provide reactive power according to the grid voltage sag. Therefore, much research has been focused on enhancing LVRT capability. To enhance LVRT capability, this paper proposes the application of a superconducting fault current limiter (SFCL) in the system. The fault current was suppressed and the voltage sag was improved through the application of the SFCL. By improving the voltage sag, the wind turbine generator and the grid were able to maintain a connection. However, suppression of the fault current can cause a problem in the overcurrent relay (OCR) trip time delay. The trip time delay was solved by OCR resetting. Through a power system computer-aided design/electromagnetic transients including DC (PSCAD/EMTDC), the enhancement of LVRT capability and improvement of the trip delay was confirmed.

Keywords: low-voltage ride-through (LVRT); offshore wind farm; superconducting fault current limiter (SFCL); overcurrent relay (OCR); trip time delay

1. Introduction

Over the past few years, wind power, as a source of renewable energy, has grown rapidly in many countries [1]. The significant increase in the capacity of wind farm has led to concerns about grid stability. Until now, the traditional wind turbine generators connected to the grid were usually disconnected when grid faults occurred. In practice, the tripping of wind turbine generators caused a power outage in Western Europe due to 4892 MW losses [2]. This case shows that when wind turbine generators are tripped, the system can collapse. Therefore, there is a grid code to alleviate concerns about these problems.

One of the important issues in the grid codes is the low-voltage ride-through (LVRT). The LVRT is the capability to maintain the connection between the wind farm and the grid for short periods of the time. Figure 1 shows the LVRT requirement in Korea. The x-axis is time and the y-axis is the highest value of three-phase line-to-line grid voltage at the point of common coupling (PCC). In case of the fault corresponding to area A, the wind turbine generators must maintain the connection with the grid. In case of the fault corresponding to area B, the wind turbine generators and the grid can
be disconnected. However, the wind turbine generators and the grid must resynchronize within two seconds after fault removal. Also, the wind turbine generators must supply active power to the grid at 10% of the rated capacity per second. In the event of the fault corresponding to area C, the wind turbine generators and the grid are disconnected [3–5].

![Figure 1. Low-voltage ride-through (LVRT) requirement.](image)

The wind turbine generators should support the grid by providing reactive power during a grid fault. Figure 2 shows the reactive power requirement according to the grid voltage sag. Reactive power is not required in the dead band considered normal operation. When the grid voltage is out of the normal range, the wind turbine generators must supply reactive power to the grid according to the voltage sag rate [3–5].

![Figure 2. Reactive current support requirement.](image)

The purpose of enhancing LVRT capability is to maintain the connection between the wind turbine generator and the grid for recovery of the fault voltage. Through connection maintenance, system
operators and customers can improve the system’s stability and power generation companies can increase their profits by increasing the power generation volume. For the above reasons, much research has been carried out to enhance LVRT capability. As shown in Figure 3, in terms of enhancing LVRT capability, there are two methods: external devices and modified controls [6,7].

The energy storage system (ESS) can be connected to the dc-link of a wind generator, as shown in Figure 4a. When a grid fault occurs, the ESS can absorb the surplus energy from the dc-link and prevent overvoltage. After fault clearance, the stored energy is injected into the grid [8–10]. The breaking chopper (BC) is composed of high-power resistors with a series switch, as shown in Figure 4b. In the event of a grid fault, overvoltage is prevented by eliminating excess power by unbalance. The BC has the advantage of being a low-cost and simple control structure. However, since it can not contribute to reactive power injection into the grid, it is used in combination with other controls [11,12]. The flexible AC transmission system (FACTS) supplies the reactive power to compensate for the voltage sag [13,14]. The pitch angle control (PAC) controls the pitch angle of the blades to limit the output by reducing the captured power. The wind turbine generator can perform power smoothing through the PAC. However, the PAC has a slow response due to mechanical characteristics [15,16]. The back-to-back (BTB) converter control maintains the DC voltage and implements maximum power point tracking (MPPT). However, when a grid fault occurs, the converter may be out of the control [12,17,18].

The conventional methods mentioned in Figure 3 have disadvantages such as high cost and vulnerability to faults. In addition, the BC and the FACTS should be combined with other methods. In particular, conventional methods focus on protecting the wind turbine generator, maintaining the DC voltage, and supplying reactive power [6,7]. Since the disconnection of a large-capacity wind farm causes system collapse, it is necessary to maintain the connection between the wind farm and the grid through the improvement of the voltage sag [2–5]. Therefore, this paper proposes the application of superconducting fault current limiters (SFCLs) to the grid to maintain the connection between grid and wind turbine generator. By applying an SFCL to the outgoing point of the feeder, it is possible to obtain not only the suppression of the fault current but also the improvement of the voltage sag. Improved voltage sag using an SFCL can enhance the LVRT capability. The wind farm and grid can maintain connection through enhancing LVRT capability. To confirm the effect of the suggested method, a power system computer-aided design/electromagnetic transients including DC (PSCAD/EMTDC) simulation was conducted. Section 1 describes previous research and the need for this paper. Section 2 describes the system modeling for the simulation. Section 3 verifies the proposed method through simulation. Section 4 is the conclusion of this paper.

![Figure 3. Conventional method for enhancing LVRT capability.](image)
Figure 4. Example for enhancing LVRT capability. (a) Energy storage system (ESS) connected to wind turbine generator; (b) Breaking chopper (BC) connected to wind turbine generator.

2. Simulation Modeling

The modeling involved connecting an offshore wind farm and grid as shown in Figure 5. The SFCL was installed at the outgoing point of the feeder. The system and wind power generator parameters are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The fault scenarios used in the simulation is shown in Table 3.

Figure 5. Configuration of offshore wind farm and grid connection with a superconducting fault current limiter (SFCL).
Table 1. Parameters for the system.

| Classification          | Parameter                        |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Line Impedance          | 3.86 + j7.46 [%/km] (positive impedance) |
| (ACSR 160 mm²)          | 10.8 + j23.6 [%/km] (zero impedance) |
| Line Length             | Line_{11}, Line_{21} = 4 [km], Line_{12}, Line_{22} = 3 [km], Line_{13}, Line_{23} = 3 [km] |
| Load Capacity           | Line_{12}, Line_{22} = 4 [MVA], Line_{13}, Line_{23} = 3 [MVA], Line_{14}, Line_{24} = 3 [MVA], p.f. = 0.95 lag |

Table 2. Parameters for a PMSG-type wind turbine generator.

| Classification          | Parameter                        |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Rated capacity          | 3.0 [MW]                         |
| Generator type          | PMSG                             |
| Stator resistor         | 0.005 [p.u.]                     |
| Stator leakage reactance| 0.154 [p.u.]                     |
| Rated voltage (L-G)     | 0.398 [kV]                       |
| Rated current           | 2.51 [kA]                        |

Table 3. The fault scenarios.

| Classification          | Parameter                        |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Location                | Feeder₁                          |
| Type                    | 3-phase short-circuit fault       |
| Fault time              | at 2 s                           |

2.1. Superconducting Fault Current Limiters

Section 2.1 describes the modeling of the SFCL. There are various types of SFCL, such as the magnetic shielding type, bridge type, saturable core type, and trigger current level type. Among them, the trigger type is advantageous as the burden on the high-temperature superconducting (HTSC) can be reduced and the configuration is simple. Therefore, the trigger type is the most practical and economical. All recent trials in field tests in the last five years have been performed using the trigger type. Furthermore, all SFCLs operated in 2017 are of the trigger type. This implies that the trigger type has more technological advantages than the other SFCL types [19]. The trigger-type superconducting fault current limiter (T-SFCL) used in this paper was based on experiments in the Korean distribution system.

Figure 6 shows the T-SFCL. The T-SFCL consists of the HTSC (high-temperature superconducting), the current limiting reactor (CLR), and the switch (SW). In the normal state, the resistance of the HTSC is zero, so there is no effect on the system. If the fault current exceeds the threshold value, the HTSC has resistance due to quenching phenomenon [20–22]. Figure 7 show the operating sequence of the T-SFCL. Table 4 shows the parameters of the SFCL.

![Figure 6. Configuration of the trigger-type SFCL.](image-url)
**Figure 7.** Operating sequence of the trigger-type superconducting fault current limiter (T-SFCL).

**Table 4.** Parameters of the T-SFCL.

| Parameter | \(Z_n\) | \(T_F\) | \(a_1\) | \(a_2\) | \(b_1\) | \(b_2\) |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Value     | variable | 0.01    | -80     | -160    | \(R_n\) | \(\frac{R_n}{2}\) |

The impedance \(Z(t)\) of the HTSC according to time \(t\) is Equation (1). The \(Z_n\) is impedance being saturated with normal temperature. The \(T_F\) represents a time constant. The \(t_0, t_1,\) and \(t_2\) represent quench starting time, first recovery starting time, and secondary recovery starting time, respectively. The \(a_1, a_2, b_1,\) and \(b_2\) represent the coefficients of experimental result of the recovery characteristics of the SFCL [20–22].

\[
Z(t) = \begin{cases} 
0 & (t > t_0) \\
Z_n[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{t - t_0}{T_F}\right)]^2 & (t_0 \leq t < t_1) \\
 a_1(t - t_1) + b_1 & (t_1 \leq t < t_2) \\
 a_2(t - t_2) + b_2 & (t_2 \leq t) 
\end{cases} 
\]  

When the SFCL is applied to the grid, it has the following advantages:

- In the normal state, the SFCL has no resistance. Therefore, it does not affect the grid and there is no line loss.
- When the fault current exceeds the threshold, the SFCL has impedance. Therefore, it is possible to suppress the fault current before reaching the peak. Thus, the upgrading cost of the circuit breaker can be reduced.
- In the event of a grid fault, the impedance increases due to the quenching phenomenon. Therefore, the voltage sag is improved.
- When the fault current is below the threshold, the SFCL is recovered to the normal state.
- The SFCL quenching phenomenon depends on the threshold. Therefore, even if the fault current magnitude increases due to grid configuration changes, the SFCL does not need to be replaced.

To improve the voltage sag, the SFCL was applied to the outgoing point of the feeder as shown in Figure 5. Equation (2) shows the PCC voltage when the SFCL was installed on the outgoing point of
the feeder. When a grid fault occurs, the voltage sag is improved because the impedance was increased by the SFCL [21,22].

\[ V_{PCC} = \frac{Z_{Line} + Z_{SFCL}}{Z_{Source} + Z_{Transformer} + Z_{Line} + Z_{SFCL}} \cdot V_{source} \]  

(2)

2.2. Overcurrent Relay

Korea's overcurrent relays (OCRs) are generally inverse time characteristics about the magnitude of the fault current and the trip time. Equations (3) and (4) show the trip signal time and M index. \( A, B, K, \) and \( p \) are the constants. \( TD \) and \( I_{pickup} \) are the time lever and current tap. Table 5 shows the parameters of the OCR.

\[ T_{trip} = TD \cdot \left( \frac{A}{M_F} + B \right) + K \]  

(3)

\[ M = \frac{I_f}{I_{pickup}} \]  

(4)

Table 5. The parameters of the overcurrent relay (OCR).

| Item | \( A \) | \( B \) | \( K \) | \( p \) | \( I_{pickup} \) | \( TD \) |
|------|--------|--------|--------|------|----------------|------|
| Parameter | 39.85 | 1.084 | 0 | 1.95 | 1.0 [kA] / 0.7 [kA] | 0.13 |

As mentioned in Section 2.1, the SFCL suppresses the fault current according to the quenching phenomenon. Therefore, the trip time delay problem can occur due to the inverse time characteristics of the OCR. In Section 3, the problem of trip time delay was confirmed and resolved by resetting the OCR [23,24].

3. Simulation Results

3.1. Case without SFCL

In Section 3, the effect of installing the SFCL to enhance the LVRT capability is confirmed through PSCAD/EMTDC simulation. Figure 8 show the PCC voltage without an SFCL. In the event of a fault, the PCC voltage sags to 0.7 p.u. At 2.37 s, corresponding to the LVRT B area, the PCC voltage sags to 0.68 p.u. due to disconnection of the offshore wind farm from the grid. At 2.8 s, the PCC voltage returns to the normal state by clearing the fault feeder.

Figure 9 shows the active power and reactive power output of the offshore wind farm. In the event of a grid fault, the reactive power output increases to recovery the grid voltage. The reactive power output must meet certain conditions, as shown in Figure 2. Depending on the capacity of the converter, the active power output decreases as the reactive power output increases. At 2.37 s, the offshore wind farm and the grid are disconnected because the wind farm corresponds to the LVRT B area. The disconnection of the grid and the offshore wind farm causes problems such as a decrease in the power generation volume and a decrease in stability.

Figure 10 shows the feeder current and trip signal of the OCR. When a fault occurs in the grid, the fault current increases to 23.5 kA. The faulted feeder was cleared due to the trip signal at 2.8 s.

At 2.77 s, the wind farm was disconnected by a fault corresponding to the LVRT B area. At 2.8 s, the faulted feeder was cleared and PCC voltage returned to a normal state. The disconnection of the wind farm and the grid means a decrease in system stability and economic loss. Therefore, Section 3.2 analyzes a method to enhance the LVRT capability through the application of the SFCL.
Figure 8. Point of common coupling (PCC) voltage without an SFCL.

Figure 9. Offshore wind farm output without an SFCL.

Figure 10. Feeder current ($i_{11}$) and trip signal of OCR ($OCR_1$) without an SFCL.
3.2. Case with an SFCL

In Section 3.2, an SFCL was applied to improve the PCC voltage sag. The improvement of the PCC voltage sag means enhancing LVRT capability. Figure 11 shows the PCC voltage with an SFCL. In the event of a grid fault, the PCC voltage sags to 0.86 p.u. Compared to the case without an SFCL, the connection time of the offshore wind farm and the grid has been increased. However, at 3 s, the offshore wind farm and the grid were eventually disconnected.

![Figure 11. PCC voltage with an SFCL.](image)

Figure 11 shows the offshore wind farm output with an SFCL. The generation volume of the offshore wind farm was increased due to the increased connection time compared to the case without an SFCL. Moreover, the wind turbine generators contribute to the recovery of the grid voltage, thereby improving the stability of the system. However, due to the clearing of the faulted feeder being delayed, the wind farm was eventually disconnected at 2.95 s.

![Figure 12. Offshore wind farm output with an SFCL.](image)

Figure 12 shows the offshore wind farm output with an SFCL. The generation volume of the offshore wind farm was increased due to the increased connection time compared to the case without an SFCL. Moreover, the wind turbine generators contribute to the recovery of the grid voltage, thereby improving the stability of the system. However, due to the clearing of the faulted feeder being delayed, the wind farm was eventually disconnected at 2.95 s.

![Figure 13. Fault current of the feeder and trip signal with the SFCL.](image)

Figure 13 shows the fault current of the feeder and trip signal with the SFCL. When the grid fault occurs, the fault current was reduced by 17.2 kA compared to the case without an SFCL. However, the trip signal was delayed due to the decrease in the fault current. Therefore, the trip time delay should be improved through OCR resetting.
3.3. When the OCR Is Reset, Case with an SFCL

As noted in Section 3.2, the application of an SFCL improves the connection time and voltage sag. In addition, the fault current was suppressed through the SFCL. However, the trip time was delayed due to the suppression of the fault current. As a result, fault feeder clearance was delayed and the offshore wind farm and grid were eventually disconnected. In Section 3.3, OCR resetting was analyzed to solve the trip time delay.

The method of resetting the OCR is to change the tap. The method of changing the tap maintains the existing trip signal time by suppressing the changing of the M index. Figure 14 shows the operation time–current graph of the OCR according to the changing of the tap. When the SFCL was applied, the trip time of the OCR increased to about 0.2 s in the conventional tap 1 kA curve. Thus, the tap of the OCR was changed from a 1 kA curve to a 0.7 kA curve to maintain the existing trip time.

Figure 14. Operation time characteristics of the OCR according to the tap.

Figure 15 shows the PCC voltage when the OCR is reset. In the event of a grid fault, the PCC voltage sags to 0.86 p.u., as in the case of SFCL application. The removal time of the faulted feeder was similar to before the SFCL application.
Figure 15. PCC voltage when the OCR is reset.

Figure 16 shows the output of the offshore wind farm when the OCR is reset. At 2.8 s, the output of offshore wind farm returns to the normal state at 2.8 s by improving the trip time delay. The system stability and economy has been improved through maintaining the connection between the offshore wind farm and the grid.

Figure 16. Offshore wind farm output when the OCR is reset.

Figure 17 shows the fault current of the feeder and the trip signal when the OCR was reset. The fault current was suppressed and the trip time delay was improved.
3.4. Discussion

This paper proposed the application of an SFCL to enhance LVRT capability. In order to enhance LVRT capability, the voltage sag was improved to maintain the connection between the wind farm and the grid. As a result, the LVRT area was changed and the connection between the offshore wind farm and the grid was maintained. However, the trip time was delayed because of the decrease in the fault current. The tap of the OCR was changed to solve the trip time delay problem. Table 6 shows the PCC voltage, trip time per CB, and peak current according to the particular case simulated.

Table 6. Simulation result by case.

| Case           | PCC Voltage Drop | Trip Time (CB_{grid}) | Trip Time (CB_1) | Fault Current | LVRT |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------|------|
| Without SFCL   | 0.7 p.u.         | 2.37 s                | 2.8 s            | 23.5 kA       | B area |
| With SFCL      | 0.86 p.u.        | 3 s                   | 3.06 s           | 17.2 kA       | B area |
| Resetting OCR  | 0.86 p.u.        | -                     | 2.8 s            | 17.2 kA       | A area |

4. Conclusions

As the capacity of the wind farms increases, the LVRT becomes one of the most important codes. Therefore, much research has been done to enhance LVRT capability. However, the conventional method has focused on protecting the wind generators, has to be combined with other methods, and has the disadvantage of a high cost. This paper proposed the application of an SFCL to maintain the connection between wind farm and grid by enhancing LVRT capability. The impedance was increased through the installation of the SFCL on the feeder of the grid so that the voltage sag was improved. When the voltage sag was improved, the LVRT area was changed, so the wind farm and the grid maintained their connection. The proposed method has the following advantages:

- The voltage sag is improved through the application of the SFCL. This maintains the connection between the wind farm and the grid through enhancing LVRT capability.
- System stability is improved because the wind farm contributes to the recovery of the voltage.
- The generation volume is increased because the connection between wind farm and grid was maintained.
- The fault current peak is suppressed by applying the SFCL.

The suppression of the fault current can cause a trip time delay problem; however, this paper confirmed that the problem can be solved through the resetting of the OCR.
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