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ABSTRACT

Objective. To assess coverage and inequalities in maternal and child health interventions among Haitians, Haitian migrants in the Dominican Republic and Dominicans.

Methods. Cross-sectional study using data from nationally representative surveys carried out in Haiti in 2012 and in the Dominican Republic in 2014. Nine indicators were compared: demand for family planning satisfied with modern methods, antenatal care, delivery care (skilled birth attendance), child vaccination (BCG, measles and DPT3), child case management (oral rehydration salts for diarrhea and careseeking for suspected pneumonia), and the composite coverage index. Wealth was measured through an asset-based index, divided into tertiles, and place of residence (urban or rural) was established according to the country definition.

Results. Haitians showed the lowest coverage for demand for family planning satisfied with modern methods (44.2%), antenatal care (65.3%), skilled birth attendance (39.5%) and careseeking for suspected pneumonia (37.9%), and the highest for oral rehydration salts for diarrhea (52.9%), whereas Haitian migrants had the lowest coverage in DPT3 (44.1%) and oral rehydration salts for diarrhea (38%) and the highest in careseeking for suspected pneumonia (80.7%). Dominicans presented the highest coverage for most indicators, except oral rehydration salts for diarrhea and careseeking for suspected pneumonia. The composite coverage index was 79.2% for Dominicans, 69.0% for Haitian migrants, and 52.6% for Haitians. Socioeconomic inequalities generally had pro-rich and pro-urban pattern in all analyzed groups.

Conclusion. Haitian migrants presented higher coverage than Haitians, but lower than Dominicans. Both countries should plan actions and policies to increase coverage and address inequalities of maternal health interventions.
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Migration is a universal phenomenon that implies movement from one geographical area to another, and is regarded as one of the four mechanisms of biological evolution (1). In 2015, nearly 250 million people worldwide migrated, almost half of whom were women (2,3). In Latin America and the Caribbean, just under 25 million people migrated to the United States and 4.6 million to Europe in 2015. In addition to such well-described interregional migration, the migration flow from Haiti to the Dominican Republic represents one of the most prominent intraregional migration routes in the Caribbean (2). The United Nations reported that the number of Haitians who migrated to the Dominican Republic in 2015 was 329,281 and this number was 57% more than what was reported in 1990 (4).
The reasons for such migration include political instability, persistently precarious economic situation and frequent natural disasters in Haiti (5). According to the first survey of immigrants in the Dominican Republic (ENI-2012), 5.4% of the total population of the country were migrants. From these, 87.3% were born in Haiti, revealing the predominance of Haitian migrants in the country (6).

Haitian women have always been present in immigration flows to their neighboring country (6). Initially, they went as companions to the male workforce (7). Yet, many of them have limited access to sexual and reproductive health services in the Dominican Republic, as they may not have the necessary documentation or have their rights denied (8). This situation may negatively affect the physical and emotional health of immigrant women and their children, placing them in a greater position of vulnerability.

Goal 10.7 of the Sustainable Development Goals stresses the facilitation of “orderly, safe, and responsible migration and mobility of people, including through implementation of planned and well-managed migration policies” (https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/). Despite the continuing movement of migrants from Haiti to the Dominican Republic, little is known about how migrant status influences their coverage with reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health (RMNCH) interventions. The aim of this study was to assess coverage and inequalities in maternal health (RMNCH) interventions. The purpose of coverage among under-five children was analyzed.

Materials and Methods

This is a cross-sectional study, using data from nationally representative surveys carried out in Haiti in 2012 (Demographic and Health Surveys, DHS) and in the Dominican Republic in 2014 (Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys, MICS, http://mics.unicef.org/). Both surveys collected standardized information regarding household, individual and community characteristics, allowing comparison between the countries (9). Information collected from women aged 15 to 49 years and their under-five children was analyzed.

Coverage indicators

Nine maternal and child health intervention indicators were analyzed. These indicators followed the Countdown to 2030 (http://countdown2030.org) definitions and are: 1) Demand for family planning satisfied with modern methods (DFPSm): proportion of women aged 15 to 49 years currently married or in union in need of contraception that are using (or whose partner is using) a modern contraception method; 2) Four or more antenatal care visits (ANC4): proportion of women aged 15 to 49 years who gave birth in the three (DHS) or two (MICS) preceding years of the surveys that have at least four antenatal care visits; 3) Skilled birth attendance (SBA): proportion of women aged 15 to 49 years who gave birth in the three (DHS) or two (MICS) preceding years of the surveys whose delivery was assisted by a skilled attendant; 4) BCG immunization (BCG): proportion of live children between 12-23 months of age who received BCG (Bacillus Calmette-Guerin) immunization; 5) DPT3 immunization (DPT3): proportion of children between 12-23 months of age who received three doses of DPT (diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus) immunization; 6) Measles immunization (MSL) proportion of children between 12-23 months of age who received measles immunization (monovalent or not); 7) Care seeking for suspected pneumonia (CAREP): proportion of live under-five children with suspected pneumonia in the last two weeks that sought treatment from an appropriate health facility or provider; 8) Oral rehydration salts for diarrhea (ORS): proportion of live under-five children with diarrhea in the last two weeks that received oral rehydration therapy (packets of oral rehydration salts, recommended home solution, or increased fluids); and 9) Composite coverage index (CCI): weighted average of the eight indicators mentioned above, related to the continuum of care. The CCI was initially proposed by Boerma et al. in 2008 (10) and updated by the Countdown to 2030 (11) as follows:

\[
CCI = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{DFPSm + ANC4 + SBA}{BCG + 2 \times DPT3 + MSL} + \frac{ORS + CAREP}{2} \right)
\]

All indicators used in the present analyses have been standardized at the International Center for Equity in Health (ICEH; www.equidade.org), allowing for comparisons between the two surveys. The standardization procedures ensure that indicator definitions, their numerators and denominators are consistent across surveys.

Migratory status

Migratory status was defined according to the primary language spoken by the woman or the household head. Women and children were classified into three groups: 1) Haitians: those who were included in the Haiti DHS survey; 2) Dominicans: those who were included in the Dominican Republic MICS survey and indicated Spanish as their primary language; and 3) Haitian migrants: those who were included in the Dominican Republic MICS survey and had créole as their primary language, given that this is the main language spoken by migrants and one of the official languages in Haiti.

Stratifiers

Two additional variables were included in the analyses: place of residence and the wealth index. Place of residence was divided into urban and rural, according to each country’s definitions. The wealth index is based on each household’s assets. It is calculated through a principal component analysis and differences among assets in urban and rural residence are considered in its score. Details on the wealth index calculation can be found elsewhere (12). Due to sample size limitations, the score was divided into tertiles, where the first tertile (T1) represents the one third poorest group in the sample and the third tertile (T3) represents the one third wealthiest. Wealth indices were calculated separately for each of the two surveys.

Data analysis

Socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of the two countries were obtained from the World Bank database.
(https://data.worldbank.org) and the Index Mundi (https://www.indexmundi.com), both searched in January 2020, in order to provide a brief description of these countries.

Coverage of the nine indicators studied and their respective 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were estimated for each of the three groups of migratory status taking into account the sample design. The analyses were also stratified by wealth index tertiles and place of residence, within the migratory groups.

The slope index of inequality (SII), a complex measure of absolute inequality, was calculated for each indicator based on logistic regression. The SII represents the absolute difference in percentage points (pp) based on the predicted values for a given indicator, between upper and lower extremes of the socioeconomic spectrum. The SII may range from -100 to 100 pp. A value of zero indicates that there is no inequality; positive values indicate that the coverage is higher among the wealthiest individuals, while the opposite is true for negative values. All analyses were carried out using Stata version 15.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, United States).

### Ethical aspects

Ethical approval for each survey was obtained by the responsible agencies. The data used in the analyses are anonymized and publicly available, by the MICS and DHS teams.

### Results

Table 1 shows the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of Haiti and the Dominican Republic. The geographic area of the latter is almost double that of Haiti, but with a smaller demographic density. The liquid migration rate, which is calculated subtracting the number of people emigrating from those that immigrated, is lower in Haiti (-6.9 per thousand inhabitants), than in the Dominican Republic (-1.9 per thousand inhabitants), indicating that more Haitians emigrate than people immigrate to the country. Overall, demographic (life expectancy and mortality rates) and socioeconomic (GDP per capita and literacy) indicators are better in the Dominican Republic than in Haiti.
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**Figure 1**: The coverage of RMNCH interventions for Haitians, Haitian migrants and Dominicans. Dominican women and children presented the highest coverage of the three groups for most indicators, ranging from 49.0% for oral rehydration salts for diarrhea to 98.6% for skilled birth attendant, with almost none overlap between the 95% confidence interval. Dominicans had lower coverage of careseeking for suspected pneumonia than Haitian migrants (71.8% and 80.7%, respectively). Haitians presented the lowest coverage of demand for family planning satisfied, four or more antenatal care visits, skilled birth attendant, BCG immunization and caresseeking for suspected pneumonia than the other groups, but the highest coverage of oral rehydration salts for diarrhea. Vaccination coverage (measles-containing vaccine and three doses of DPT) and oral rehydration salts for diarrhea was lowest among Haitian migrants. The summary index of RMNCH interventions, composite coverage index, was 79.2% for Dominicans, 69.0% for Haitian migrants, and 52.6% for Haitians.
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**Figure 2**: The coverage of RMNCH indicators by wealth tertiles for each group. In general, women and children belonging to the wealthiest tertile presented higher coverage when compared to those belonging to the poorest tertile. Positive SII values indicate pro-rich coverage patterns. For six of the nine indicators, Haitians presented the highest SII, whereas Dominicans presented the lowest SII for five of the indicators. The highest pro-rich inequality was observed for skilled birth attendant among Haitians (SII = 74.2 pp). Sample sizes and 95% confidence intervals for these measures are presented in Table 2.

The coverage of RMNCH indicators according to migratory status and place of residence, and the absolute urban-rural difference in percentage points are shown in Figure 3. In general, inequalities have a pro-urban pattern. Haitians presented the largest pro-urban inequalities for four or more antenatal care visits and skilled birth attendant coverages (13.6 pp and 35.0 pp, respectively), whereas Haitian migrants showed the largest pro-urban inequalities for measles and DPT3 vaccinations (18.9 pp and 11.0 pp, respectively). Urban-rural inequalities of the composite coverage index were higher for Haitians and Haitian migrants (9.0 pp and 5.3 pp, respectively) than for Dominicans (-0.4 pp). Table 2 presents the sample sizes and 95% confidence intervals by urban or rural residence.

### DISCUSSION

The results indicate that, in general, coverage among Haitian migrant women and children in the Dominican Republic is higher than among Haitians who reside in their home country. Yet, despite residing in the same country, Haitian migrants are still behind Dominicans in terms of coverage. Inequalities were found among Haitians and Haitian migrants, but the former presented wider disparities for most indicators.

---

**TABLE 1. Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of Haiti and Dominican Republic**

|                      | Haiti       | Dominican Republic |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Total area (km²)     | 27 560      | 48 310             |
| Rural                | 26 163 (94.9%) | 42 784 (88.6%)    |
| Total population     | 9 801 664  | 10 349 740        |
| Population density   | 353.2       | 212.7              |
| (inhabitants/km²)    |             |                    |
| Demographic growth   | 0.9         | 1.3                |
| (mean annual percentage growth) | | |
| Life expectancy      | 62.5        | 77.8               |
| Birth rate (per 1 000) | 23.9   | 19.0              |
| General mortality rate (per 1 000) | 8.1 | 4.5 |
| Liquid migration rate (immigration − emigration, per 1 000) | -6.9 | -1.9 |
| Infant mortality rate (per 1 000) | 52.4 | 19.6 |
| Maternal mortality rate (per 100 000) | 359 | 92 |
| Literacy rate        | 60.7        | 91.8               |
| Per capita gross domestic product (GDP) (US$, adjusted for power purchasing parity) | 1 300 | 9 700 |
| Gross domestic product (GDP), growth rate (annual percentage change, adjusted by monetary inflation) | 2.8 | 2.0 |

Note: data were obtained using World Bank estimate (https://data.worldbank.org) and IndexMundi (https://www.indexmundi.com), accessed in January, 2020, with the last estimate available since 2015.
In 2001, the Dominican Republic government approved a structural reform for the health system (Law 87-01), which included a package of benefits for the entire population in order to achieve universal and equitable coverage reflecting an inclusive health model. The health reform established that the poor would be subsidized through per capita payments from general taxes (13). This may partially explain the higher RMNCH coverage observed in the Dominican Republic, both for native and immigrant women and children.

In contrast, only half of the Haitian population has regular access to health care, mainly due to widespread poverty, a shortage of health care professionals in the country (14), chronic underinvestment in the health infrastructure and political instability (5).

To compound the pre-existing crisis, the major 2010 earthquake compromised access to basic services in Haiti, such as health care, education, and drinking water, as well as employment and other income opportunities (15). After a natural disaster, disruptions in health services can occur and negatively affect routine services providing RMNCH interventions, by a shift in medical priorities towards emergency care (5). After the earthquake, foreign humanitarian aid increased sharply, including substantial donations of financial resources and medical supplies by international institutions. Tohme et al. found that Haiti significantly improved its vaccination services and vaccine-preventable diseases surveillance during the period between 2010 and 2016 (16). This may explain the higher coverage of three doses of DPT and measles-containing vaccines among Haitian children comparing Haitian migrants. Vaccination is a relatively straightforward intervention to offer at the community level, not requiring complex infrastructure such as other interventions like institutional delivery.

In general, the literature has shown that migrant women have worse health coverage than residents of the receiving country (17-19). Possible reasons include language barriers (especially upon arrival), discrimination, lack of documentation, transportation costs, and distance between place of residence and health services, among others (20-24). For example, the possession of personal documents is essential for everyone, even more so for immigrants. In the absence of these documents, immigrants may face restrictions on access to medical care, education and labor mobility in the country (6, 8, 22). Those factors may lead individuals to seek emergency services instead of primary-care-based preventive health services, as has been observed in other migratory contexts (25).

Acculturation is an important social construct that elucidates the determinants and consequences of health disparities in minority populations (26) because it is a process through which immigrants internalize the culture by adopting the norms, values, and practices of their new place of residence (23). Therefore, the fact that RMNCH coverage in Haitian migrants is lower than among Dominicans but higher than among Haitians, could be partially due to some level of acculturation among migrants and better quality of health care provided to migrants than what is available in Haiti. Unfortunately, it was not possible to better explore the level of acculturation in our study due to lack.

---

**FIGURE 1. Coverage of RMNCH indicators among Haitians, Haitian migrants and Dominicans**
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DFPSm, demand for family planning satisfied with modern methods; ANC4, at least 4 antenatal care visits; SBA, skilled birth attendance; BCG, BCG immunization; MSL, measles immunization; DPT3, three doses of DPT immunization; ORS, oral rehydration salts for diarrhea; CAREP, careseeking for suspected pneumonia; CCI, composite coverage index.
of information on the time elapsed between immigration to the Dominican Republic and the time the survey was conducted.

Two coverage indicators deserve special attention, oral rehydration salts for diarrhea and pneumonia careseeking. Access to safe water can be jeopardized by natural disasters, with increased risk of diarrheal disease outbreaks (27). After the 2010 earthquake there was a cholera outbreak, centered in rural areas of Haiti. The response to the epidemic included community-based distribution of oral rehydration salts and water-purification systems, and education on hygiene practices, among other interventions. One of the five basic messages spread in the media at this time was to give oral rehydration salts to anyone with diarrhea (14, 28, 29), in addition to widespread provision of oral rehydration salts packages by the government and voluntary organizations. This may explain why oral rehydration salts use was higher in Haiti than in the Dominican Republic, where the epidemic was less severe among natives and immigrants (14).

Pneumonia careseeking was the only indicator for which Haitian migrants presented the highest coverage. However, this result must be interpreted carefully, since this specific indicator had the smallest sample size (N=67), being the only one with fewer than 100 women or children in the denominator. The 95% confidence interval for this estimate ranged from 68.4% to 88.9%.

Both place of residence and wealth may play an important role in coverage of maternal and child health interventions. Inequalities in RMNCH coverage usually show pro-rich and pro-urban patterns (30). Evidence indicates that preexisting socioeconomic inequalities are often exacerbated by disasters (5), which may explain why disparities were so wide in Haiti. Rural residence affects access to adequate health facilities due to geographic and economic barriers (31,32). In this study, women and children living in urban areas presented the higher coverage than those from rural areas, in the three groups studied. The urban-rural gap was narrower among Dominicans, likely reflecting the existence of a more structured and decentralized health system in the Dominican Republic (13), with fewer barriers to access for Dominicans as well as for Haitian migrants, than is the case of Haitians living in Haiti (33).

The disaggregated analyses by both migration status and wealth allowed the assessment of intersectionality. These results suggest that wealth-related inequalities are much wider in Haiti than among either Dominicans or migrants in the Dominican Republic.
TABLE 2. Sample sizes and 95% confidence interval for each of the indicators, according to migratory status, by wealth tertiles and area of residence.

| Indicators                                        | Groups                  | Wealth tertiles | Place of residence |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|                                                   |                         | Poorest         | Middle             | Wealthiest        | Urban | Rural |
| Demand for family planning satisfied (modern methods) | Haitians                | N               | 1974               | 2075             | 1521  | 2248  | 3323  |
|                                                   | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 771                | 102              | 19    | 402   | 491   |
|                                                   | Dominicans              | N               | 5290              | 4304             | 3607  | 8463  | 4738  |
|                                                   | Estimate 95% CI         | 38.3; 35.2      | 43.2; 50.2        | 40.8; 47.2       | 41.3  | 47.3  | 41.3  | 47.2  |
|                                                    | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 78.6; 86.9        | 84.0; 97.3       | 77.4  | 99.6  | 82.3  | 90.5  | 76.6  | 88.9  |
| 4+ antenatal care visits                         | Haitians                | N               | 83.2; 86.2        | 84.8; 87.8       | 85.6  | 88.7  | 84.7  | 86.7  | 85.9  | 88.8  |
|                                                   | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 598               | 70               | 15    | 322   | 361   |
|                                                   | Dominicans              | N               | 3033              | 2154             | 1628  | 4538  | 2277  |
|                                                   | Estimate 95% CI         | 90.3; 93.6      | 92.3; 95.2        | 93.3; 96.4       | 92.4  | 94.6  | 91.7  | 94.8  |
| Skilled birth attendant                          | Haitians                | N               | 1937              | 1669             | 934   | 1539  | 3001  |
|                                                   | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 11.5; 16.4        | 37.4; 44.8       | 67.6  | 78.1  | 57.1  | 66.2  | 23.2  | 30.6  |
|                                                   | Dominicans              | N               | 598               | 70               | 15    | 322   | 361   |
|                                                   | Estimate 95% CI         | 95.4; 98.3      | 95.8; 99.9        | 97.3; 99.7       | 97.0  | 99.1  | 93.8  | 98.2  |
| Children                                          | Haitians                | N               | 567               | 518              | 285   | 471   | 899   |
| BCG vaccine                                       | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 296               | 43               | 8     | 171   | 176   |
|                                                   | Dominicans              | N               | 1454              | 1077             | 837   | 2246  | 1132  |
|                                                   | Estimate 95% CI         | 83.3; 92.2      | 74.1; 97.5        | 41.5; 98.1       | 82.8  | 94.3  | 80.6  | 92.4  |
|                                                   | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 598               | 70               | 15    | 322   | 361   |
|                                                   | Dominicans              | N               | 3033              | 2154             | 1628  | 4538  | 2277  |
|                                                   | Estimate 95% CI         | 95.4; 98.3      | 95.8; 99.9        | 97.3; 99.7       | 97.0  | 99.1  | 93.8  | 98.2  |
|                                                   | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 1937              | 1669             | 934   | 1539  | 3001  |
|                                                   | Dominicans              | N               | 1454              | 1077             | 837   | 2246  | 1132  |
|                                                   | Estimate 95% CI         | 82.8; 94.3      | 80.6; 92.4        | 86.7; 99.6       | 85.6  | 90.0  | 76.6  | 88.9  |
|                                                   | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 598               | 70               | 15    | 322   | 361   |
|                                                   | Dominicans              | N               | 3033              | 2154             | 1628  | 4538  | 2277  |
|                                                   | Estimate 95% CI         | 95.4; 98.3      | 95.8; 99.9        | 97.3; 99.7       | 97.0  | 99.1  | 93.8  | 98.2  |
|                                                   | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 567               | 518              | 285   | 471   | 899   |
|                                                   | Dominicans              | N               | 289               | 43               | 8     | 169   | 171   |
|                                                   | Estimate 95% CI         | 70.2; 81.6      | 79.4; 88.0        | 85.0; 92.8       | 83.5  | 90.8  | 75.3  | 83.9  |
|                                                   | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 567               | 518              | 285   | 471   | 899   |
|                                                   | Dominicans              | N               | 289               | 43               | 8     | 169   | 171   |
|                                                   | Estimate 95% CI         | 38.7; 54.5      | 47.1; 59.0        | 51.4; 65.3       | 50.2  | 62.2  | 46.2  | 55.6  |
|                                                   | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 276               | 37               | 6     | 156   | 163   |
|                                                   | Dominicans              | N               | 1500              | 969              | 609   | 2063  | 1015  |
|                                                   | Estimate 95% CI         | 31.2; 47.6      | 20.0; 56.8        | 4.9; 61.8        | 28.2  | 46.8  | 28.3  | 52.2  |
|                                                   | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 433               | 373              | 202   | 332   | 676   |
|                                                   | Dominicans              | N               | 433               | 373              | 202   | 332   | 676   |
|                                                   | Estimate 95% CI         | 40.4; 47.6      | 45.9; 57.7        | 47.7; 59.0       | 47.2  | 54.4  | 38.5  | 47.5  |
| Surfing for pneumonia                             | Haitians                | N               | 53                | 14               | **    | 34    | 33    |
|                                                   | Haitian Immigrants      | N               | 20.4; 32.0        | 34.4; 46.9       | 42.9  | 56.5  | 38.7  | 50.2  | 29.1  | 39.8  |
|                                                   | Dominicans              | N               | 61.1; 87.4        | 64.0; 97.1       | **    | 71.2  | 94.2  | 48.4  | 84.0  |
|                                                   | Estimate 95% CI         | 65.8; 77.1      | 62.0; 74.2        | 65.5; 85.5       | 66.3  | 75.7  | 66.8  | 79.9  |

(Continued)
TABLE 2. Sample sizes and 95% confidence interval for each of the indicators, according to migratory status, by wealth tertiles and area of residence. (Cont.)

| Indicators | Groups | Wealth tertiles | Place of residence |
|------------|--------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Composite coverage index | Haitians | N | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| | Estimate 95% CI | 40.9; 47.0 | 52.0; 56.4 | 59.9; 63.6 | 56.2; 60.3 | 46.9; 51.8 |
| | Haitian Immigrants | N | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| | Estimate 95% CI | 65.2; 70.3 | 69.2; 78.8 | ** | 68.7; 73.3 | 62.3; 69.2 |
| | Dominicans | N | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| | Estimate 95% CI | 76.0; 78.4 | 78.5; 80.7 | 80.2; 82.7 | 78.2; 80.0 | 78.1; 80.9 |

BGC, Bacillus Calmette-Guérin; DPT, diphteria, tetanus and pertussis; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

* Coverage is 100%.
** Data not available.
*** As composite coverage index is a weighted measure calculated at the group level, N is not calculated.

FIGURE 3. Coverage for RMNCH indicators according to migratory status and place of residence

Republic. The safety nets described above, which are present in the Dominican Republic, along improvements in other social determinants, may explain why socioeconomic disparities are less marked than in Haiti.

This study has some limitations beyond those already mentioned. Firstly, language spoken by the woman or the head of the household was used as a proxy of migratory status. Thus, it was not possible to differentiate acculturated immigrants, who possibly reported Spanish as their primary language, rather than Creole, from newly acculturated immigrants, considering that there was no information available about the time spent in the receiving country. Yet, the use of language as
a proxy of migration status is a reliable alternative for population-based surveys (6). The small sample size in some groups is also a limitation. Although the sample size of Haitian migrant women and children was around 1,000 individuals, analyses using double stratification by wealth and migration have led to small sample sizes, particularly for indicators related to disease management which are only calculated for children with a recent illness (30).

This study also has strengths. There are few studies of the health effects of migration in low and middle-income countries, as few surveys collect such information (34). The two comparable surveys over a two-year period allowed a comparison of women and children in their countries of origin and of destination. Also, the range of indicators included in the analyses provide a broad and robust overview of RMNCH coverage (35). Another strength is that the presentation of results respond to Sustainable Development Goal 17.18 which requires disaggregation of the health and related indicators according to several dimensions of inequality, including migratory status, wealth and place of residence (https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/).

**CONCLUSION**

The health of the mothers and children are affected by the migratory status of women and children, with Haitian migrants in the Dominican Republic showing better indicators than Haitians who remained in their home country. These results will hopefully contribute to raise awareness among policymakers in the Caribbean region, and particularly on the two neighboring countries, of the importance of documenting the health of migrants, and of stratified analyses to guide the reduction of health inequalities, leaving no one behind.
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Desigualdades en salud maternoinfantil entre los migrantes: el caso de Haití y la República Dominicana

RESUMEN

Objetivo. Evaluar la cobertura y las desigualdades en las intervenciones de salud maternoinfantil entre haitianos, migrantes haitianos en la República Dominicana y dominicanos.

Métodos. Estudio transversal con datos de encuestas representativas a nivel nacional realizadas en Haití en 2012 y en la República Dominicana en 2014. Se compararon nueve indicadores: demanda de planificación familiar satisfecha con métodos modernos, atención prenatal, atención del parto (por personal de salud calificado), vacunación infantil (BCG, sarampión y DPT3), gestación de casos de enfermedad en la infancia (administración de sales de rehidratación oral para la diarrea y búsqueda de atención sanitaria ante la sospecha de neumonía), e índice de cobertura compuesto. La riqueza se midió mediante un índice basado en los activos, dividido en terciles, y el lugar de residencia (urbano o rural) se determinó según la definición del país.

Resultados. La población haitiana mostró la menor cobertura respecto de la demanda de planificación familiar satisfecha con métodos modernos (44,2%), atención prenatal (65,3%), asistencia calificada en el parto (39,5%) y búsqueda de atención sanitaria ante la sospecha de neumonía (37,9%), y la mayor respecto de la administración de sales de rehidratación oral para la diarrea (52,9%); los migrantes haitianos presentaron la menor cobertura en DPT3 (44,1%) y la administración de sales de rehidratación oral para la diarrea (38%) y la mayor en la búsqueda de atención sanitaria ante la sospecha de neumonía (80,7%). La población dominicana presentó la cobertura más alta en la mayoría de los indicadores, excepto en la administración de sales de rehidratación oral y en la búsqueda de atención sanitaria ante la sospecha de neumonía. El índice de cobertura compuesto fue de 79,2% para los dominicanos, 69,0% para los migrantes haitianos y 52,6% para los haitianos. Las desigualdades socioeconómicas generalmente tenían un patrón prorrico y prourbano en todos los grupos analizados.

Conclusión. Los migrantes haitianos en la República Dominicana presentaron una mayor cobertura que la población haitiana residente en Haití, pero menor que la población dominicana. Ambos países deberían planificar acciones y políticas para aumentar la cobertura y abordar las desigualdades existentes en las intervenciones de salud materna.

Palabras clave Migración humana; salud materna; salud del niño; disparidades en atención de salud; Haití; República Dominicana.