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Quantifying evolving toxicity in the TAML/peroxide mineralization of propranolol
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SUMMARY

Oxidative water purification of micropollutants (MPs) can proceed via toxic intermediates calling for procedures for connecting degrading chemical mixtures to evolving toxicity. Herein, we introduce a method for projecting evolving toxicity onto composite changing pollutant and intermediate concentrations illustrated through the TAML/H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} mineralization of the common drug and MP, propranolol. The approach consists of identifying the key intermediates along the decomposition pathway (UPLC/GCMS/NMR/UV-Vis), determining for each by simulation and experiment the rate constants for both catalytic and noncatalytic oxidations and converting the resulting predicted concentration versus time profiles to evolving composite toxicity exemplified using zebrafish lethality data. For propranolol, toxicity grows substantially from the outset, even after propranolol is undetectable, echoing that intermediate chemical and toxicity behaviors are key elements of the environmental safety of MP degradation processes. As TAML/H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} mimics mechanistically the main steps of peroxidase catalytic cycles, the findings may be relevant to propranolol degradation in environmental waters.

INTRODUCTION

Oxidation catalysis via monoxygenase and peroxidase enzymes provides Nature’s most commonly deployed and most potent tools for decomposing toxic organic compounds. Typically, those that react too slowly with these enzymes turn up as persistent pollutants in water. Since Rachel Carson’s 1962 *Silent Spring* (Carson, 2002), a key water purification field for sustainability has awaited the design of synthetic catalysts that can activate natural oxidants such as H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} to effectively destroy persistent organic pollutants in scalable ways, cleaning water the way that Nature does, only better. The multi-faceted challenges encompass the extremely difficult removal from water of traces of chemicals that produce adverse effects at low doses or concentrations (low ppt–low ppb) called micropollutants (MPs) (Schug et al., 2013). MPs have been identified as natural and artificial estrogens and other hormones, drugs, pesticides, dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), labile polymer monomers such as BPA, polymer additives such as BPA and phthalates, antimicrobials, fire retardants, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and many others. The need for viable biomimicking catalytic solutions that, under ambient conditions, can safely treat vast quantities of wastewaters contaminated by ultradilute (≤ 2 ppb) MPs demands that oxidation catalysts exhibit not only unprecedented technical and cost performances, but also high health, environmental, and fairness performances (Somasundar, 2020; Warner et al., 2019). As oxidative pollutant degradations can pass through potentially toxic intermediates that may be even more persistent and/or toxic than the starting MP before arriving at the safety of near-mineral to mineral endpoints, it is vital to understand the relative toxicities of all components in the degradation sequence and the composite evolving toxicity from the beginning to the end of a degradation process.

A conceivable solution for obtaining this information is described in this work by the example of a detailed TAML/peroxide degradation study of the widely used β-blocker drug, propranolol (1-isopropylamino-3-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)propan-2-ol, Figure 1). (Keelan, 1965; Prichard, 1964; Stapleton, 1997) Propranolol is a commonly found MP in water. In our execution of this study, propranolol is oxidized to near mineralization...
by TAML/H$_2$O$_2$. Six larger aromatic intermediates have been identified and characterized among which 1,4-naphthoquinone \( C \) (Scheme 2) could be isolated. Then, a computer simulation was developed with KinTek Explorer for the total 1c/H$_2$O$_2$ propranolol degradation. The simulation affords rate constants for degradation of each intermediate in the TAML-catalyzed reaction, \( k_{II,S} \), and also for uncatalyzed degradation steps, \( k_{2,S} \), which have been validated by direct kinetic studies of these intermediates via the kinetic methods described in a previous article (Somasundar et al., 2018). The experimental rate constants \( k_{II} \) (for the TAML-catalyzed reaction) and \( k_{2} \) (for uncatalyzed reactions) are shown to agree well with the simulated \( k_{II,S} \) and \( k_{2,S} \) values. Because of the competitive nature of the kinetics in degrading mixtures, this close match gives us confidence that the major aromatic intermediates had all been identified. Next, with the concentrations of all key components at any given time revealed, the evolution of acute toxicity toward zebrafish embryos has been estimated for the total 1c/H$_2$O$_2$ propranolol degradation from known toxicities for the individual species (one surrogate) in the degradation sequence.

Our oxidation system is composed of hydrogen peroxide as the primary oxidant and an iron(III)-TAML peroxide activator (Figure 1), that closely replicates key steps of the catalytic cycles of peroxidase and cytochrome P450 enzymes (Collins, 2002; Collins et al., 2010, Collins and Ryabov, 2017; Ryabov and Collins, 2009). In water, TAMLs catalyze the oxidation of a broad spectrum of molecules, including MPs (Kundu et al., 2013; Mills et al., 2015; Somasundar et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2016; Warner et al., 2020) according to Scheme 1. The mechanism in Scheme 1, when the oxidation is not complicated by extra phenomena (Somasundar et al., 2018), leads to the kinetic Equation 1. The rate constant \( k_{I} \) is usually negligible (Chahbane et al., 2007).

\[
\frac{d[S]}{dt} = \frac{k_{II}k_{I}[H_{2}O_{2}][S]}{k_{I} + k_{II}[H_{2}O_{2}] + k_{II}[S]} [Fe^{III}]
\]  

(Equation 1)

Recently, the catalytic cycle of the TAML/H$_2$O$_2$ propranolol oxidation was examined in considerable kinetic depth where the entire focus was on detecting and quantifying all interactions of propranolol with TAML species (Somasundar et al., 2018). The different focus of this contribution is on the identification of propranolol oxidation intermediates, their kinetic characterization, and the building and interrelating of the evolving mass and toxicity profiles in the total propranolol degradation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

UPLC and GC-MS identification of fragments of TAML-catalyzed oxidation of propranolol by H$_2$O$_2$

The 1c/H$_2$O$_2$ system is particularly efficient in degrading propranolol where a 1 \( \mu \)M loading of 1c with 5 mM H$_2$O$_2$ will digest all propranolol (50 \( \mu \)M) at pH 7 (30 min) and 9 (2 min), respectively, as confirmed by ultra-high pressure liquid chromatography (UPLC) with fluorescence detection (Somasundar et al., 2018). No intermediates were detectable with this technique. However, when the chromatograms were analyzed with photo-diode array detection (254 nm, Figure 2), several degradation products with retention times
exceeding that of propranolol (1.8 min) were observed. For all four TAML activators studied (1a, b, and c, and 2) identical intermediates are generated, the amounts of which at any given time vary as expected in proportion to the diverse catalytic activity of the various TAMLs toward both propranolol and its degradation products. Considerably less intermediate material was observed at 2 h with 1c, the most effective TAML used (Figure 2), and no intermediates were observed for the 1c/H2O2 process after 8 h.

The chromatograms also exhibit peaks with retention times <1.8 min (indicated by asterisks, Figure 2). Corresponding compounds eluted almost immediately suggesting that they are more polar than propranolol, perhaps smaller organic molecules, as products of the deep fragmentation of propranolol. The ionic chromatography data presented below support this hypothesis. Peaks 1–4 in Figure 2 arise from compounds less polar than propranolol, and their assignment became our premier characterization goal. Several approaches have been applied by other workers who have identified propranolol oxidative degradation products before our work with TAML/peroxide (Anquandah et al., 2013; Benner and Ternes, 2009; Ganiyu et al., 2017; Isarain-Chavez et al., 2011; Quintana et al., 2012; Santiago-Morales et al., 2013) this literature shows that different advanced oxidation processes can return different oxidation products. For example, Benner et al., determined that the primary ozonation product of propranolol transformation was a dialdehyde (m/z = 292) obtained from naphthalene ring opening (Benner and Ternes, 2009). This dialdehyde was further hydroxylated at the secondary amine N to produce an oxidation product with m/z = 308, which upon ozone attack loses a glyoxal moiety to provide another major transformation product with m/z = 282. Interestingly, similar major oxidation products were also observed for ferrate(VI) oxidation of propranolol by Anquandah et al., suggesting a similar mechanistic pathway to ozone (Anquandah et al., 2013). For electro-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton reactions, authors Isarain-Chavez et al., identified 1-naphthol, 1,4-naphthoquinone and phthalic acid as some of the major transformation products (Isarain-Chavez et al., 2011). The authors further observed the formation of acetic acid, oxalic acid, oxamic acid, and nitrates en route to propranolol mineralization. These published works with their respective transformation products have informed our deep dive into the temporal dynamics of the 1c/H2O2 oxidation of propranolol.

The changing areas of peaks 1–4 and the propranolol peak were monitored over 8 h and plotted versus time. Primary data that are consistent across all four TAMLs are illustrated for 1c (Figure S1) indicating that peak 4 appears first and its associated compound is then further oxidized to peaks 1–3 compounds. The maximum amount of the peak 4 compound is achieved at ca. 30 min, which then decreases with continued catalysis (Figure S1). Note that all peaks disappear after 8 h of 1c/H2O2 oxidative treatment.

Peak 4 is assigned to 1,4-naphthoquinone C, which was isolated using larger initial loadings of both propranolol and 1c, with addition of H2O2 in multiple aliquots over 24 h to minimize the catalase-like activity of 1c (Ghosh et al., 2008). The isolated and purified material was characterized by 1H NMR and mass spectrometry (Figure S2) and tied to peak 4 (Figure 2) by running the reaction at a low [H2O2] to achieve only peak 4, followed by UPLC analysis of this solution with standard 1,4-naphthoquinone spiking (spiking experiment) (Figure S3). Correspondingly, peak 1–3 compounds derive from 1,4-naphthoquinone; they were identified by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) or IC and confirmed by UPLC spiking experiments.

The gas chromatogram of the reaction products after solid-phase extraction into methanol contains two high-intensity peaks and several peaks of much lower intensity (Figure S4). The major two correspond to unreacted propranolol (16.57 min) and 2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxynaphthalene-1,4-dione (D, 12.92 min, Figure S5; confirmed by matching with published MS spectra, Nonoyama et al., 2001). As a product of 1,4-naphthoquinone oxidation, D could give rise to one of 1–3 peaks in Figure 2—spiking associated D with peak 2 (Figure S3). The nature of peak 3 was determined by GC-MS analysis (Figure S4) of the methanol extract. A small peak at 12.32 min corresponds to already identified 1,4-naphthoquinone C; its GC-MS spectrum was indistinguishable from that in Figure S2C. The 13.08-min peak originates from 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione E as confirmed by GC-MS with standard matching (Figure S6). Spiking (Figure S3) associated E with peak 3 (Figure 2). GC-MS also identified 1-naphthol A.
as a propranolol degradation product (12.75-min peak in Figure S4) by comparison with a spectrum of authentic 1-naphthol (Figure S7). Peak 1 in Figure 2 belongs to phthalic acid (F, spiking), a common product of oxidative degradation of propranolol identified by many workers (Anquandah et al., 2013; Isarain-Chavez et al., 2011).

Ionic chromatographic identification of fragments of TAML-catalyzed oxidation of propranolol by H₂O₂
Phthalic acid and small organic acids were also confirmed by ion chromatography (Figure 3). Acetate (10.8 min), oxamate (11.7 min), and phthalate (30.8 min) were reliably identified by running standards separately. Peak (**) (~36.9 min) was not identified. Phosphate (29 min) from the buffer and chloride (15.6 min) from the propranolol hydrochloride were also detected. Peaks marked with asterisks are due to contaminants in the original propranolol sample.

¹H NMR identification of acetone
TAML-catalyzed degradation of organic compounds by H₂O₂ often produces acetone as in the case of bisphenol A (Onundi et al., 2017). Acetone was detected as a propranolol degradation product by ¹H NMR in D₂O at 2.26 ppm (literature value of 2.22 ppm, Gottlieb et al., 1997) (Figure S8).

The mineralization of propranolol requires 43 equiv of H₂O₂ as noted in the first part of this work (Somasundar et al., 2018). Herein, we utilized 100 equiv of H₂O₂ (50 × 10⁻⁶ M propranolol, 5 × 10⁻³ M H₂O₂), more than enough to effect mineralization given that our catalytic processes do not waste peroxide. We tracked the progress of propranolol and its observable transformation products over time (Figures 4 and S1). Propranolol and all its degradation intermediates are undetectable after 8 h (Figure S1). However, by ion chromatography small organic acid fragments were observed: phthalic, acetic, and oxamic acids. Acetone was detected by ¹H NMR. These analyses following the fate of the carbon are more powerful than commonly used total organic carbon analyses. Based on the data presented, we can convincingly state that all propranolol was nearly mineralized and most of it was mineralized.

Building concentration versus time profiles for the “UPLC” products of 1c/H₂O₂ propranolol destruction
Scheme 2 describes what is clearly the major route in propranolol degradation by 1c/H₂O₂. Having identified peaks 1–4, the qualitative concentration profiles in Figure S1 were quantified using UPLC calibration curves for propranolol, C, D, and E (limit of detection [LOD] and limit of quantitation [LOQ] of calibration, Figures S1 and 4, see Scheme 2). This new profile describes the evolving mass balance of UPLC-
observable compounds during 1c/H₂O₂ degradation of propranolol according to Scheme 2. The total molar concentration of all compounds included in Figure 4 at 5 min is 2.84 × 10⁻⁵ M, which corresponds to 61% of the initial propranolol. Therefore, the UPLC quantitation of peaks 2–4 (Figure 2, tiny peak 1 could not be quantified because of crowding) is not providing a complete picture of the fate of the propranolol “naphthalene” unit. It is thus important to consider first other naphthalene-containing intermediates in an attempt to rationalize the fate of the unassigned 39 molar % of the naphthalene unit at 5 min. The intermediate 1-naphthol A was qualitatively detected by GC-MS. A was shown by kinetic analysis (see below and Table 1) to be degraded very quickly by 1c/H₂O₂. The simulation of the degradation process described below suggests that A is an important contributor to the missing naphthalene unit at 5 min. In the process simulation described below, A appears as an important actor until all the propranolol is gone, forming first from propranolol and then degrading quickly. 1,4-dihydroxynaphthalene B is a common intermediate separating 1-naphthol A and 1,4-naphthoquinone C (Cason, 2004; Takata et al., 1983). However, B was not observed. In separate experiments, B was found to be so oxidatively sensitive that its mere dissolution in methanol, water, or acetonitrile, without added oxidant or 1c, resulted in its conversion within seconds to C. The reaction is so fast that its rate could not be reliably measured by conventional techniques and spiking experiments were impossible to apply. Therefore, B is not a resident degradation product where toxicity needs to be considered, but instead a fast transforming intermediate to observable C. A good portion of the undetected total molar concentration is likely to be associated with the small * peaks of unknown origin in Figure 2.

**Kinetics of oxidation by H₂O₂ of products of propranolol degradation, uncatalyzed (C) and 1c-catalyzed (A, D, E)**

This section of the work was undertaken to quantitatively simulate the data in Figure 4 so that “theoretical” rate constants used in the simulations could be compared with those measured experimentally. As with propranolol, A, D, and E are predominantly oxidized by H₂O₂ catalytically, and the initial rates of disappearance of each were measured as a function of [H₂O₂]. The resulting hyperbolic dependences (Figure S9) were fitted to Equation 1, which is consistent with the mechanism in Scheme 1—the corresponding rate constants k₁ and k₉ are collected in Table 1.

The dual behavior of 1,4-naphthoquinone C is worth noting. It is presumably oxidized by H₂O₂ through two parallel pathways leading to two different products. Direct oxidation of C by H₂O₂ affords the epoxide D via a second-order pathway (Equation 2). Interestingly, whereas no E was detected in this uncatalyzed case, it was always detected in TAML/H₂O₂ propranolol oxidations. Therefore, it was assumed that E is produced from C catalytically according the rate law in Equation 1.
\[
\frac{d[D]}{dt} = k_2[H_2O_2][C]
\]

(Equation 2)

Computer simulation of the concentration versus time profiles for propranolol and its degradation fragments

The results presented above establish multiple key steps in the chain of events of the TAML/H_2O_2 degradation of propranolol. Scheme 2 illustrates only the transformations of the naphthalene unit of the drug after the aliphatic component has been liberated. It does not account for the fate of the aliphatic unit of propranolol. The compounds set in bold were quantified by UPLC. Armed with the Scheme 2 characterizations that are quantitative for C-E, qualitative for A and F, and postulated on reasonable mechanistic grounds for B, together with the Table 1 rate constants for 1c/H_2O_2 (k_0) and H_2O_2 degradations (k_2) as described above, it became feasible to simulate using KinTek Explorer the substantive naphthalene unit sub-story of the 1c-catalyzed oxidation of propranolol.

The TAML catalytic mechanism as in Scheme 1 was applied using the previously obtained rate constant k_0 (90 M^{-1} s^{-1}) measured with propranolol as the substrate, a known substrate-inhibited process (Somasundar et al., 2018). Experimentally, the k_0 values for each of the fragment oxidations is similar except for D (Table 1). The simulation (Figure 5) was then derived by inputting k_i and k_2 values for each step in the degradation process where these had been determined. The value of k_0 was not available for 1,4-naphthoquinone C and therefore an arbitrary value of k_0 was first input and then allowed to float freely. Preliminary efforts were made under the assumption that the catalytic activity of TAML catalyst 1c does not change through the entire time span of Figure 4. The k_0 and k_2 values for propranolol and A-E were each slightly adjusted manually to obtain the best visual match between the experimental (UPLC) and simulated concentration versus time profiles for propranolol, C, D, and E. Using this approach, an acceptable visual match of the experimental and simulated results could not be obtained. However, much better agreement (Figure 5) was reached when the known inactivation (k_i) of 1c (operational instability) (Chanda et al., 2006; DeNardo et al., 2016; Emelianenko et al., 2014) was taken into account, i.e., step (iii) was added to the mechanism in Scheme 1. After visual optimization with k_i floating, the simulated value k_i,S = 1.7 \times 10^{-3} s^{-1} was returned very close to the experimentally measured value of k_i = 1.1 \times 10^{-3} s^{-1} that had been obtained for 1c under the same conditions with Orange II as the substrate (DeNardo et al., 2016). The simulated lines in Figure 5
are calculated concentration versus time profiles using the catalytic rate constants $k_{II,S}$ or the values of $k_{2,S}$ when intermediates are directly oxidized by $H_2O_2$.

$$\text{Active catalyst } \rightarrow \text{Inactive catalyst } \rightarrow (k) \text{ (Equation 3)}$$

**Building calculated toxicity versus time profiles for propranolol and its degradation fragments**

At first glance, the concentration versus time profiles in Figure 5 might convey the impression that the research goal of rendering propranolol harmless has been successfully achieved. The MP is rapidly destroyed, and its aromatic fragments, generated quickly in lower concentrations, all have disappeared by 8 h (Figure S1). However, total victory cannot be claimed because any degradation fragment(s) might also be toxic, even more toxic than propranolol, even at these low concentrations, and can have passing adverse impacts on the aquatic environment. Therefore, concentration profiles such as in Figure 5 should be accompanied by corresponding toxicity profiles in which concentrations are replaced by corresponding toxicities of preferably all compounds before their complete breakdown.

However, for this approach to succeed, relevant toxicity data (Table 1) are required for at least a majority and preferably all the species in the decomposition profile (Figure 6). The toxicities of different chemicals to a single model species are different as are the toxicities of any single chemical to different models (EPA, n.d.). The toxicities further depend on the life stage of the model—embryo, adult, or other. Conditions of exposure are important because variables such as the solution pH affect toxicity (Bittner et al., 2018).

In 2013, a large team of endocrine disruption researchers and green chemists published their collective wisdom on which assays are suitable for detecting endocrine disruption (Schug et al., 2013). We have previously used the widely employed zebrafish model (embryo and adult) (McCollum et al., 2011) to assess the toxicity of TAML catalysts and processes (Onundi et al., 2017; Truong et al., 2013a, 2013b). No low-dose toxicity was observed for a member of the latest generation of TAMLs tested via the mouse uterotrophic assay (Warner et al., 2019). Zebrafish embryo developmental studies of seven TAML activators each at seven concentrations (80 nM–250 $\mu$M) showed that four TAMLs elicited no adverse effects; two showed several disruption endpoints at the two highest concentrations, 50 and 250 $\mu$M; and one killed all the fish at these two highest concentrations and disrupted multiple developmental endpoints at 10 $\mu$M—all seven catalysts produced no observable effects below 10 $\mu$M (Truong et al., 2013a). TAML/H$_2$O$_2$ eradicates adult fish feminization produced by the reproductive pill estrogen, ethinylestradiol, EE2 (Mills et al., 2015). Receptor activation (Ellis et al., 2009) and bacterial assays (Chen et al., 2012; Onundi et al., 2017) showed no low-dose adverse effects for the studied TAMLs. We plan to do many more EDC screening assays for any catalyst that might proceed to commercial development. Although the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) database is colossal and there are some publications for propranolol toxicity to zebrafish, a complete set of comparable zebrafish data (all embryo or all adult, same conditions) even for the simplest of all endpoints, lethality (LC$_{50}$), is not yet available. A full zebrafish toxicity analysis was outside the scope of this contribution to chemical methods development for assessing the sustainability critical environmental performance of TAML/H$_2$O$_2$ water purification (Warner et al., 2019), but the importance of expanding the study in this direction is explained below.
In the absence of ideal toxicity data, SciFinder and Google Scholar searches were performed to find the simplest 96-h LC 50 (lethal concentration, 50%) values for the compounds of Scheme 2 with partial success. LC50 values (Table 1) were found for propranolol (larvae) (Sun et al., 2014), and 1-naphthol A (embryo) (de Sousa Andrade, 2015). The toxicity of 1,4-dihydroxynaphthalene B was considered to be of low importance because its high reactivity means it can only be fleetingly present in very low concentrations at any time in the TAML/H2O2 operating system. No data are available for 1,4-naphthoquinone C, 2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-naphthalene-1,4-dione D, and 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione E. Therefore, the available ~76-h LC50 (embryo) for 2-methyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (menadione) (de Pinho, 2013) and 5-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (juglone) (de Pinho, 2013) were used as surrogates for C and E, respectively.

The Figure 6 toxicity versus time profiles were calculated using the concentration data in Figure 5 and the LC50 values in Table 1. Figure 5 molar concentrations were converted to mg L\(^{-1}\) and then divided by the appropriate LC50 values. The toxicities in Figure 6 are thus normalized values at given concentrations. Although the calculated toxicity profile is incomplete, because only four of the seven known participants in Scheme 2 were taken into account among which two required the use of data-available surrogates; its potential significance is obvious from Figure 6 and validates the conclusion that this technique can be used extensively and powerfully when coupled to simultaneous toxicity testing.

The concentration of a targeted MP, propranolol, could go down, whereas zebrafish toxicity could increase as a result of the individual toxicity contributions from the evolving concentration changes of degradation fragments such as C and E in the degradation mixture. Fortunately, the determined increase is collectively temporary because none of the above degradation intermediates of Scheme 2 are detectable after 8 h of 1c/H2O2/treatment (Figure S1). Compound 1c is typically the most effective of the TAML catalyst family. However, the currently most effective NewTAML is more aggressive and approximately 10-fold faster in degradation processes (Warner et al., 2019) such that times to MP near-mineralization are anticipated to shorten considerably as NewTAMLs take their preeminent places in TAML/H2O2 processes.

| Compound | \(k_1\) | \(10^{-2} \times k_0\) | \(10^{-2} \times k_{0,5}\) | \(k_2\) | \(k_{2,5}\) | LC50/mg L\(^{-1}\) |
|----------|--------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Propranolol* | 90 ± 10 | 146 ± 2 | 170 | | | 2.48 (Sun et al., 2014)\(^{e}\) |
| 1-Naphthol A | 112 ± 7 | 500 ± 200 | 930 | | | 7.4930 (de Sousa Andrade, 2015)\(^{f}\) |
| 1,4-Dihydroxynaphthalene B | | | | | 3.5 × 10\(^3\) | |
| 1,4-Naphthoquinone C\(^{b}\) | | | | | 35 | |
| 1,4-Naphthoquinone C | | (36 ± 2) × 10\(^{-3}\) | 34 × 10\(^{-3}\) | | 0.2686 (de Pinho, 2013)\(^{g}\) |
| 2,3-Dihydro-2,3-epoxy-naphthalene-1,4-dione D\(^{d}\) | 5.0 ± 0.7 | 0.40 ± 0.07 | 0.70 | | | |
| 2-Hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione E\(^{d}\) | 107 ± 2 | 200 ± 10 | 500 | | | 0.1079 (de Pinho, 2013)\(^{h}\) |

Table 1. Experimental and theoretical rate constants (both 1c-catalyzed and uncatalyzed H2O2 oxidations) and zebrafish (embryo or larvae) lethality data for propranolol and its intermediates

Experimentally measured 1c/H2O2 \((k_0, k_2)\) and theoretical \((k_{0,5})\) rate constants for propranolol, 1-Naphthol A, 2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-naphthalene-1,4-dione D, and 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione E; experimental \((k_2)\) and theoretical \((k_{2,5})\) second-order rate constants for H2O2 direct oxidation of 1,4-dihydroxynaphthalene B and 1,4-naphthoquinone C. All \(k\) values (M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) at pH 7, 25°C. See also Figures S9 and S10.

*Data are from Ref. (Somasundar et al., 2018).

\(^{e}\)E product.

\(^{d}\)Product via Equation 2.

\(^{f}\)Phthalic acid F product.

\(^{g}\)Zebrafish larvae 96-h LC50.

\(^{h}\)Zebrafish embryo 96 hpf (hours postfertilization) 96-h LC50.

\(^{i}\)Value for 2-methyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (menadione, Figure S10); zebrafish embryo 80 hpf (chronic exposure, chemical addition at 4 hpf) ~ 76-h LC50.

\(^{j}\)Value for 5-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (juglone, Figure S10); zebrafish embryo 80 hpf (chronic exposure, chemical addition at 4 hpf) ~ 76-h LC50.
It is also worth noting that the environmental situation is likely to be more complicated because profiles as in Figure 6 are made under the assumption that toxicity is proportional to the concentrations and toxicities of the individual components in a complex mixture. Summing toxicity contributions for each at any point in time during the degradation process may not accurately predict low-dose adverse effects, the nonlinear relationships between dose and response, which have been most vividly revealed for endocrine-disrupting chemicals (Vandenberg et al., 2012). This actuality will simply make the further development of the presented approach more informative and interesting.

**Real-world implications of the mass/toxicity profiling method**

The method introduced above by the example of 1c/H2O2 propranolol degradation provides a tool for assessing the presence and significance of toxic by-products in the degradation of MPs in water. As part of building a sustainable chemical enterprise, such degradation processes will need to be carried out on a planetary scale, and the more we understand how to assess the processes we might deploy for low-dose toxicity, the safer the future world will be. Coupling the calculated evolving toxicity profiles with the experimentally measured toxicities (full suite of assays that are sensitive to low-concentration adverse effects [locafs], Warner et al., 2019, and nonmonotonic dose/response toxicity), it should be possible to obtain a valid assessment of the dynamics of the impacts of TAML/H2O2 on water purification as the processes proceed. The method allows for examining (1) how and why the toxicity changes throughout a degradation process and (2) whether mixtures of toxic compounds contribute linearly or otherwise to the overall toxicity. The observation of no difference between the measured cumulative toxicity and the simulated toxicity would be evidence for the lack of synergistic effects, and the discovery of large differences would be evidence for non-linear mixture effects. As MPs are present in environmental waters at ppt-ppb concentrations, all the toxicity assays would need to be performed at similar concentrations and below to detect and quantify low-concentration adverse effects (locafs) caused by the MPs, their oxidation products, and the process medium.

**Mechanistic considerations**

As postulated by several groups (Anquandah et al., 2013; Ganiyu et al., 2017; Isarain-Chavez et al., 2011), a key initial step in degradation of propranolol is its conversion to 1-naphthol A as a result of a cleavage of either the O–Caryl or O–Calkyl bond. Note that the nature of this step has never been mechanistically discussed in any detail. Propranolol, as in this study, is crashed oxidatively, although formation of 1-naphthol A is a hydrolytic non-redox substitution reaction, in which hydroxide is a formal incoming group. TAMLs catalyze the reaction at pH 7 wherein the concentration of OH− is extremely low. Water as a nucleophile
is insufficiently reactive toward propranolol—otherwise the drug should be degraded in pure water alone. Our data reported here and previously (Somasundar et al., 2018) show (see also Scheme 1) that the rate of propranolol degradation is limited by its interaction with the oxidized TAML-(active catalyst), which is presumably involved in a one-electron transfer (Collins and Ryabov, 2017) opening a door for succeeding hydrolytic transformations. The naphthalene ring of propranolol is a plausible target for electron abstraction. If this is the case, electron transfer from propranolol at presumably TAML iron(V)oxo intermediate (Collins and Ryabov, 2017) should afford a radical-cation \( \alpha \) (Scheme 3), which might be more electrophilic than propranolol itself. Hypothetically, the radical-cation \( \alpha \) may be subject to ipso attack by \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) to afford \( \beta \). The tautomeric equilibrium should create a better leaving group \( \text{ROH} \) within \( \gamma \). 1-Naphthol \( A \) is finally produced after one electron transfer at \( \delta \); iron(III) in the resting state of TAML could be a plausible electron donor.

Formally, the mechanism in Scheme 3 is oxidatively assisted (ether) hydrolysis. Provided “ether” is ignored, the term is not entirely new and has previously been used in connection with hydrolysis of allylic iodides (Yamamoto et al., 1983). Applied to nucleophilic substitution in aromatic series, first Alder (1980) and later Eberson (1983) used alternative, \( \text{S}_{\text{ON}}\text{2} \) terminology (nucleophilic substitution catalyzed by an oxidant), which corresponds exactly to the events in Scheme 3. In all cases, the oxidant increases electrophilicity of the substrate due to abstraction of an electron such that subsequent nucleophilic attack becomes more feasible.

Conclusion

Detailed analytical and kinetic studies have made it possible to quantify the temporal behavior of many of the aromatic products during 1c/\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) degradation of propranolol at 25°C and neutral pH. The data confirm that the decomposition pathway shown in Scheme 2 is by far the dominant degradation route and that minerals and near-minerals are the final products. There is now such a wide range of TAML activities (DeNardo et al., 2016) that the rates of transitions from one degradation intermediate to another can be controlled by the choice of the TAML, facilitating the detection of even quite reactive intermediates. Catalyst 1c is about one-tenth as active as the most advanced NewTAML catalyst (Warner et al., 2019). Observing the NewTAML process intermediates would be all the more difficult because of the increased rates, which made 1c an ideal catalyst for this study. Accurate time-dependent mass and approximate time-dependent acute toxicity profiles have been constructed revealing that the substantial decrease in the concentration of 1c/\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)-targeted propranolol and its degradation products does not guarantee...
environmental safety until all of the aromatic degradation fragments have been destroyed. As TAML/H₂O₂ typically makes laboratory assessment of the course of MP degradations possible, this contribution establishes a method for developing complete information on the potential environmental effects of complete decontamination procedures whereby mixtures can also be studied. Because TAML/H₂O₂ represents an accelerated form of the very metabolism that nature uses as its principal organic contaminant decomposition machinery, what is found in the laboratory is likely to be of direct environmental relevance.

In its totality, the study emphasizes a new that to understand in depth the potential ecological impacts of the TAML/peroxide oxidative degradation of propranolol, it is insufficient to simply remove the starting drug. Instead, critical understanding for the safety of an oxidative water purification technology encompasses (1) identification of as many as possible of the MP degradation intermediates, (2) building the concentration (mass) versus time profiles for MP and intermediates through kinetics with experimental and theoretically simulated data, (3) building relevant toxicity profiles from the mass profiles to understand how toxicity changes in the course of the oxidative degradation process, and, although not covered herein, (4) an exploration looking for changed outcomes when mixtures of MPs are present. Of particular future real-world value, this information can be used to guide the setting of process conditions to ensure the elimination of all toxic components.

Limitations of the study
TAML/H₂O₂ provides a quick and easy MP decontamination technology for purifying water where significant toxicity studies point to the processes not introducing new forms of low-concentration toxicity. The systematic approach detailed in this work led us to the development of a simple method/tool to track the evolution of toxicity in the course of a multistep degradation of an MP in water. However, the toxicity model used is far from ideal. We outlined the applicability of the method utilizing zebrafish LC₅₀ results cobbled together from multiple publications as this was best way to get a set of data. This highlights a vast and well-known data gap for aquatic toxicity in general. The toxicity profile would be much more relevant if founded on developmental assays of a model such as zebrafish all performed in the same laboratory under environmental conditions. Such a study should include investigations of multigenerational developmental toxicity. It was outside the scope of this work to perform such a study, but now that the methodology is established, this is a fruitful direction for further work. Moreover, the method should be able to detect the presence or lack of synergistic effects in the temporal toxicity profiles of degrading mixtures of MPs.
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**Figure S1. Related to Figure 2.** (Top) UPLC chromatograms for various TAML/H$_2$O$_2$ treatments for propranolol after 8h of reaction. (Bottom) Peak areas at 254 nm, using photo-diode array detector, of propranolol and peaks 2–4 in Figure 1 for 1c-catalyzed degradation of propranolol by H$_2$O$_2$ after 8 h. Lines are for emphasis only. Calibration curves were developed for all compounds for PDA detection at 254 nm. Reaction conditions: [1c] = 1 × 10^{-6} M, [H$_2$O$_2$] = 5 × 10^{-3} M, [propranolol] = 50 × 10^{-6} M. 0.01 M phosphate buffer, pH 7, 25 °C. LOD and LOQ for UPLC quantifications:

| MP or transformation product                      | LOD (M)  | LOQ (M)  |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| propranolol                                      | 5.8 × 10^{-7} | 1.7 × 10^{-6} |
| 1,4-naphthoquinone C                             | 6.1 × 10^{-8} | 1.8 × 10^{-7} |
| 2,3-Dihydro-2,3-epoxy-naphthalene-1,4-dione D    | 5.1 × 10^{-7} | 1.5 × 10^{-6} |
| 2-Hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione E                 | 1.4 × 10^{-7} | 4.3 × 10^{-7} |
Figure S2. Related to Figure 2. (Top) $^1$H NMR spectra (in methanol-d4) of experimentally obtained (blue, top) and standard (red, bottom) 1,4-naphthoquinone. C. Mass spectra of 1,4-naphthoquinone C obtained from propranolol (Middle) and standard sample (Bottom) in positive ionization mode.
Figure S3. Related to Figure 2. UPLC chromatograms of propranolol oxidation by 1c/ H₂O₂ (PDA 254 nm) under different reaction conditions and upon spiking with different chemical standards. Reaction conditions: [1c] = 1 × 10⁻⁶ M, [H₂O₂] = 5 × 10⁻⁴ M (low) and 5 × 10⁻³ M (high), [propranolol] = 50 × 10⁻⁶ M. 0.01 M phosphate buffer, pH 7, 25 °C. [Stock solutions of Intermediate standards] = 5 × 10⁻⁴ M.
Figure S4. Related to Figure 2. Gas chromatogram of the products of 1c/H$_2$O$_2$ propranolol oxidation after solid phase extraction into methanol. Conditions: [propranolol] = 1.7 ×10$^{-3}$ M, [1c] = 1 ×10$^{-6}$ M, [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{total}$ = 76 ×10$^{-3}$ M, unbuffered HPLC water, 25 °C. Aliquots of H$_2$O$_2$ were added over 10 days. The aqueous mixture after 10 days was solid phase extracted into methanol. In this experiment a large amount of propranolol was decomposed with insufficient 1c to complete the degradation as a method for capturing sizeable quantities of degradation intermediates. Experimental Section (instrumentation) for GC conditions.
Figure S5. Related to Figure 2. EI-mass spectrum of the peak with GC retention time 12.92 min ascribed to 2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-naphthalene-1,4-dione D. See Figure S4 for reaction conditions and Experimental Section (instrumentation) for MS conditions.
Figure S6. Related to Figure 2. (Top) EI-mass spectrum of the peak with retention time 13.08 min ascribed to 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione E. (Bottom) The spectrum of 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione E standard. See Figure S4 for reaction conditions and Experimental Section (instrumentation) for MS conditions.
Figure S7. Related to Figure 2. (Top) EI-mass spectrum of the peak with retention time 12.75 min ascribed to 1-naphthol A. (Bottom) The spectrum of 1-naphthol A standard. See Figure S4 for reaction conditions and Experimental Section (instrumentation) for MS conditions.
Figure S8. Related to Figure 3. $^1$H NMR spectra (in D$_2$O) of propranolol before (red, top) and after 2 h of 1c/H$_2$O$_2$ oxidation (blue, bottom) Conditions: [1c] = 1 × 10$^{-6}$ M, [propranolol] = 1.3 × 10$^{-2}$ M, [H$_2$O$_2$] = 0.3 M, pH 7 (0.01 M phosphate in D$_2$O).
Figure S9. Related to Table 1. Initial rates versus $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ for 1c/H$_2$O$_2$ oxidative degradation of (i) 1-naphthol A, (ii) 2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-naphthalene-1,4-dione D, (iii) 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione E. (iv) Initial rates versus $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ for uncatalyzed oxidation by H$_2$O$_2$ of 1,4-naphthoquinone C. Insets to (i), (ii) and (iii) show the corresponding double inverse plots, $[\text{1c}]/($initial rate$)$ versus $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]^{-1}$.

General Reaction Conditions: pH 7 (0.01 M phosphate) and 25 °C.

Specific Conditions for (i): $[\text{1c}]$ 1×10$^{-7}$ M, $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ (1-30) × 10$^{-3}$ M, $[\text{A}]$ 1.2×10$^{-4}$ M

Specific Conditions for (ii): $[\text{1c}]$ 1×10$^{-6}$ M, $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ (0.25-20) × 10$^{-3}$ M, $[\text{D}]$ 1.3×10$^{-4}$ M

Specific Conditions for (iii): $[\text{1c}]$ 1×10$^{-7}$ M, $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ (2.5-30) × 10$^{-3}$ M, $[\text{E}]$ 2×10$^{-4}$ M

Specific Conditions for (iv): $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ (5-30) × 10$^{-3}$ M, $[\text{C}]$ 4.3×10$^{-4}$ M
Figure S10. Related to Table 1 and Figure 6. Structures of (left) 1,4-naphthoquinone C, 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione E, and (right) respective surrogates, 2-methyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (menadione), and 5-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione (juglone) used for the acute toxicities calculations in Figure 5.
Transparent Methods

Materials

TAML activator 1a was obtained from GreenOx Catalysts, Inc.; other activators were obtained from lab supplies. (Ellis et al., 2010; Ghosh, 2004; Popescu et al., 2008) Buffer solutions were made using KH$_2$PO$_4$ (Acros) or K$_2$HPO$_4$ (Merck) and the pH was adjusted with concentrated solutions of KOH or H$_3$PO$_4$. Hydrogen peroxide (30%) was purchased from Fischer. Catalase from bovine liver (lyophilized powder, 2000-5000 units mg$^{-1}$ of protein) was purchased from Sigma. (+)-Propranolol hydrochloride (>99%), sodium nitrite, sodium nitrate, sodium acetate, phthalic acid dipotassium salt, sodium pyruvate, sodium oxalate, sodium oxamate, maleic acid disodium salt, and sodium chloride were purchased from Sigma and used as received. Methanol and water (both HPLC grade) were obtained from Fischer and used for the experimental degradations and for liquid or ion chromatography without additional purification.

Instrumentation

UV-vis measurements were performed using an Agilent 8453 instrument with an attached temperature controller. The pH measurements were made using an Accumet Basic AB15 pH meter from Fischer Scientific. UPLC studies were performed with a Shimadzu LC system with LC 20AB pump, SIL 20A autosampler, CTO 20A column oven, and an RF 20A XS fluorescence detector. A Kinetex (Phenomenex) 5 μM EVO C18 100A column (4.6 × 50 mm) was used for all kinetic analyses. The LC method consisted of 1 mL min$^{-1}$ flow rate, 35% methanol in pH 3 phosphate buffer (0.01 M), 40 °C column temperature, and fluorescence detection with 230 nm excitation and 340 nm emission. The sample injection volume for the analyses was 10 μL and the data was automatically integrated and analyzed using Lab Solutions software for quantitation of the suite of compounds in the propranolol TAML/H$_2$O$_2$ degradation profile. Ion chromatography analyses were performed using the Thermoscientific Dionex Ion chromatography system (ICS) 5000+ with Dionex ICS-5000+ dual pump, Dionex ICS-5000+ eluent generator, Dionex ICS-5000+ detector/chromatography module housing a conductivity detector, and a Thermo Scientific Dionex AS-AP autosampler. A Dionex IonPac CG12 A 4 × 50 mm guard column and a Dionex AS11HC 4 × 250 mm column were used throughout. Analyses were performed under gradient conditions with concentration of KOH (mobile phase) being 1 (5 min), 15 (14 min), 30 (23 min) and 60 mM
(40 min). The IC method under gradient conditions comprised of 0.9 mL min\(^{-1}\) flow rate with an oven temperature of 30 °C and a 25 μL injection volume. The mobile phase was prepared with deionized water from a Barnstead Nanopure system. Data were analyzed using Chromeleon Chromatography Version 7 software. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analyses were performed with a Restek Rxi®-XLB (30 m, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μM) column using a Thermo Finnigan gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a Trace DSQ mass spectrometer, a programmable temperature vaporization (PTV) injector, and a COMBI PAL autosampler (LEAP technologies, CTC Analytics). Helium was used as a carrier gas in a constant flow mode of 1 mL min\(^{-1}\). Each GC-MS analysis took 22 min. The chromatographic oven temperature was 40 °C for the first 4 min and was increased to 300 °C thereafter at a speed of 20 °C min\(^{-1}\) till the 17th minute after which it was kept at 300 °C. An injection port temperature of 240 °C and a transfer line temperature of 300 °C were employed. The ion source was kept at 200 °C. The mass spectrometer was operated in the scan mode (scan range m/z 50-1000) and it was turned on after 4 min and ended at 22 min for each analysis. The electron ionization mode was applied (70 eV). All samples were analyzed in a positive ionization mode. The sample (10 μL) was injected in a split mode (split ratio 10). GC-MS data was processed with Xcalibur software. \(^1\)H NMR data were collected at 25 °C on a Bruker Avance III 500 MHz spectrometer and processed with Bruker TopSpin 3.5 software.

**Analyses for Propranolol Fragments**

The multistep TAML-catalyzed hydrogen peroxide oxidation of propranolol was quantified by UPLC. A reaction mixture was prepared by adding aliquots of propranolol (5 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) M), TAML catalyst (5 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) M) to 0.01 M phosphate buffer and equilibrated to 25 °C in a water bath. A reaction was initiated by adding an aliquot of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (1 M) to the reaction mixture. (Somassundar et al., 2018) At suitable time intervals, aliquots of the reaction mixture were analyzed by UPLC, IC, GC-MS, and \(^1\)H NMR. Intermediates and product ions were confirmed either by spiking standards to the reaction mixtures or by running a standard separately under similar conditions.

**Kinetics of Oxidation of Propranolol Fragments**

Kinetics studies of 1c-catalyzed oxidation of 2,3-dihydro-2,3-epoxy-naphthalene-1,4-dione (NO\(_2\)epo) and 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione (NO\(_2\)(OH)) were performed and followed by
UV/vis spectroscopy. Initial rates were determined by monitoring a decrease in absorbance at 275 (ε = 5840 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and 460 nm (ε = 3000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) for NO₂epo and NO₂(OH), respectively. The oxidation of 1-naphthol was followed by measuring absorbance accumulation at 520 nm (Das et al., 1998) where a 1-naphthol oxidation derivative gives a clearly separated absorbance for which the value of ε = 3000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ was experimentally determined—this compound is likely to be radical product of B, see below). The kinetics of the non-catalyzed oxidation of 1,4-naphthoquinone by H₂O₂ was monitored by a decrease in absorbance at 345 nm (ε = 3320 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, experimentally determined). Reaction mixtures for intermediate oxidations were prepared by adding suitable amounts of stock solutions of the subject naphthalene derivative, 1c (for catalyzed reactions) and phosphate buffer. Then, the reaction was initiated by adding H₂O₂. Runs were conducted at 25 °C in 0.01 M phosphate buffer. Stock solutions of 1c (5 × 10⁻³ M), naphthalene derivative standards (5 × 10⁻³ M), and H₂O₂ (1 M) were prepared in HPLC grade water. Solutions of H₂O₂ were standardized by UV spectroscopy at 230 nm in water (ε = 72.4 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹).(George, 1953) All the simulations were developed using the software KinTek Explorer ver. 6.(Johnson et al., 2009)

Isolation of 1,4-Naphthoquinone

Degradation of propranolol was conducted first by dissolving 100 mg (0.34 mmol) propranolol hydrochloride in HPLC grade water (200 mL) at high concentration. An appropriate volume of 1c stock solution was added to give a concentration of 1×10⁻⁶ M. Hydrogen peroxide solution was then added in multiple aliquots every ca. 24 h over 10 days (total amount added 15.2 mmol, 1.04 × mineralization requirement). 1,4-Naphthoquinone was then isolated by solid-phase extraction (SPE) of the aqueous degradation mixture into methanol (80 mL) and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The brownish-red residue was dissolved in a minimum amount of ethanol and loaded onto a basic silica flash column. The elution was performed using a gradient method starting with 60:40:1 EtOAc:petroleum ether:NEt₃ progressing incrementally to the 50:50:1 ethanol:EtOAc:NEt₃. Yellow fractions containing 1,4-naphthoquinone were eluted first, combined and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The yellow liquid obtained was further purified by flash column chromatography using a solvent gradient from 10:90 EtOAc:petroleum ether to 100% ethyl acetate. The solution of 1,4-naphthoquinone was dried in air and the product was dissolved in d₄-methanol and analyzed by ¹H NMR and GC-MS.
**Computer Simulations**

A computer simulation based on Kintek Explorer (Kintek simulates from the reaction scheme of an overall process with the rate constants of the individual steps as inputs) was then developed for the total 1c/H$_2$O$_2$ propranolol degradation by (i) creating reaction Scheme 2 from the experimentally uncovered stepwise oxidative process of the naphthalene unit of the drug, (ii) measuring the $k_{II}$ or $k_2$ independently by the initial rate method for 1c/H$_2$O$_2$ degradation of each observable intermediate, (iii) fitting the overall experimentally determined disappearance of propranolol and each intermediate based on the competition of all these compounds for the TAML active intermediate ($k_{II}$) or H$_2$O$_2$ ($k_2$), (iv) making slight adjustments in the $k_{II}$ and $k_2$ values of propranolol and all intermediates to obtain the best visual matches of the simulations with the experimentally determined loss of [propranolol] and each of the four trackable degradation intermediates and, (v) including in the simulations the experimentally known TAML inactivation rate constant $k_i$ without which, as is to be expected,(DeNardo et al., 2016) good agreement between the simulated and experimental decays could not be reached.
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