Introduction

Sweet potatoes are nutritionally rich crops and are a superior source of many food products which have the potential to prevent many diseases (Suda et al. 2003). Sweet potatoes are starchy sweet taste root vegetables which are adaptable to grow in diverse environmental conditions (Ravindran et al. 1995). They are rich in carbohydrates, vitamin A and have a high energy content. Sweet potatoes are mostly orange in color and this orange flesh provides vitamins A and C (Bengtsson et al. 2010). Some varieties of sweet potatoes have anti-carcinogenic properties and are also known to be one of the crops which assures food security, health promotion and disease prevention (Teow et al. 2007). Healthy and nutritious snack products can be produced from sweet potatoes using a simple deep-fat frying process.

Deep-fat fried vegetables and fruit chips (containing 35-45% oil content) are covering a wide range in the food market across the World due to their convenience and availability (Maity et al. 2012; Pedreschi and Moyano 2005). However, consumers today are always seeking healthy snacks with low fat and attractive color, texture and taste because the excessive consumption of such high-oil fried products can cause obesity and other diseases such as cardiovascular disease (Akinpelu et al. 2014; Pedreschi and Moyano 2005). Much research has been conducted to reduce the fat content in deep-fat fried products by different approaches such as altering the frying temperature, modifying process conditions, the pretreatments like blanching, dipping in sugar solutions, using edible coatings, adding hydrocolloids and pre-drying (Bravo et al. 2011; Pedreschi and Moyano 2005). It was observed that pre-drying, baking and microwave treatment of the slices before deep-fat frying were helpful to significantly reduce the amount of oil content in the chips (Krokida et al. 2001). Pre-drying of the potato slices before frying improved the final product quality with low fat and it was observed that the pre-drying of French fries helped to absorb low fat content during frying and enhanced color and texture of the chips (Tajner-Czopek
et al. 2008). But the determination of the optimum pre-
drying conditions is necessary to produce low fat quality
sweet potato chips. The deep-fat frying process of chips
can be considered as a two-way diffusion process (Mitra
et al. 2007). In this process, the food materials undergo
physicochemical changes that affect their structure,
texture (i.e. hardness and crispness), color, flavor,
moisture content, oil content and the quality attributes
of chips during frying can provide critical information to
produce desired quality sweet potato chips (Taiwo and
Baik 2007). A high-heat transfer rate from cooking oil to
the slices during the frying process helps for rapid frying
and develops flavor and desired sensorial properties of
chips. The high frying temperature and high convective
heat transfer coefficient of frying oil are vital factors to
generate a high-heat transfer rate (Pedreschi and Moyano
2005). Frying temperature and frying time showed a
significant effect on the color and texture of fried green
banana chips (Mitra et al. 2007). The texture of chips (i.e.
hardness and crispness) is an important factor for the
desired quality of chips and the desired texture of chips
depends on the optimum frying temperature and frying
time because a very high temperature and long frying
time cause surface darkening and may cause adverse
reactions before complete frying. Also, the continuous use
of oil at a high temperature deteriorates frying oil through
oxidation, hydrolysis and polymerization which can lead
to poor quality chips with off-flavor (Akinpelu et al. 2014).
It is a challenge to set optimum frying conditions such as
frying temperature, frying time and pre-drying time to
produce organoleptically acceptable quality attributed
nutritious sweet potato chips.

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) combining
with Central Composite Rotatable Design (CCRD) is
a commonly used method to determine the optimum
processing independent variables on dependent or
response variables (Akinpelu et al. 2014; Esan et al.
2015). This optimization process combines mathematics
and statistics to determine a relationship between
independent variables and response variables for arriving
at an optimum processing condition. The RSM with
the CCRD process determines the effects of processing
variables on response variables and obtains the optimum
processing conditions based on desired responses (Meda
et al. 2016). The objective of this research was to optimize
pre-drying time, frying temperature and frying time of
sweet potato chips on the physicochemical properties
(moisture content, oil content, water activity, hardness,
crispness, L value and total color difference) of final sweet
potato chip products.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Sweet potato tubers (*Ipomoea batatas*), grown in California
(USA) and harvested between August and September,
were purchased from a local Walmart. The canola oil and
low-density polyethylene (LDPE) bags for initial packing
of chips before analyzing the physicochemical properties
of sweet potato chips were purchased from a local market
(Menomonie, WI, USA). The sweet potatoes were washed,
manually peeled with a hand peeler and cut into 2.5 mm
thick slices using an electric slicing machine. Hexane was
purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA).

2.2 Pre-treatments of the sweet potato
slices

 Blanching of the sweet potato slices was conducted as
per the method described by Pedreschi and Moyano
(2005). The slices were submerged into water at 85°C for
3.5 min and the blanched slices were washed with cold
water immediately after each treatment. The excess water
from the surface of the slices was removed by gently
blotting with absorbent paper prior to pre-drying. The
blanched sweet potato slices were then pre-dried using a
conventional hot air-drying method to observe the effect
of pre-drying on the oil content of fried sweet potato chips.
The sweet potato slices were arranged on a tray in a single
layer and then dried in a convection oven (Fisher Scientific
Company, Ottawa, Canada) at 60°C. Different pre-drying
times were set as per CCRD as shown in Table 1.

2.3 Sweet potato chips processing

The steps used to prepare the sweet potato chips are
shown in Figure 1. The pre-dried sweet potato slices were
deep-fried in canola oil with a slice to oil ratio of 1:10
(w/v) at varying frying temperatures and frying times as
per CCRD as shown in Table 1. After frying, the fried sweet
potato slices were removed from the oil and placed on a
wire screen to drain the surface oil and cooled to room
temperature. The sweet potato chips were then packed in
low-density polyethylene (LDPE) bags to store for quality
characterization.
Sweet Potatoes
Washing and peeling
Cut into slices of 2.5 mm thickness
Blanching (at 85°C for 3.5 min)
Pre-drying at 60°C as per CCRD shown in Table 1
Frying as per CCRD shown in Table 1
Packaging with LDPE before analysis

Quality characterization:
Moisture content, water activity, oil content, color, hardness and crispness

Figure 1: A flow chart of processing of sweet potato chips

Table 1: Experimental design (CCRD) of sweet potato chips processing conditions and the experimental results of moisture content, water activity, oil content, L value, total color difference, hardness and crispness of different fried sweet potato chips

| Frying conditions | Pre-Drying Time (min), DT | Frying Temp (°C), Ft | Frying Time (min), FT | Moisture Content (%) | Water Activity | Oil Content (%) | L-value | Total Color Difference | Hardness (N) | Crispness |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| X1                | X2                        | X3                   |                      |                      |                     |                |                   |         |                        |             |           |
| 1                 | 20 (-1)                   | 130 (-1)             | 3 (-1)               | 42.07±0.00           | 0.93±0.01           | 12.57±3.44     | 45.87±1.57     | 16.28±1.41 | 0.14±0.02              | 1±0.44      |           |
| 2                 | 20 (-1)                   | 160 (1)              | 3 (-1)               | 49.96±0.07           | 0.96±0.00           | 12.47±4.01     | 41.86±2.50     | 20.09±3.08 | 38.01±4.68              | 4±0.488     |           |
| 3                 | 20 (-1)                   | 130 (-1)             | 7 (1)                | 22.90±0.00           | 0.62±0.01           | 14.13±0.74     | 42.35±0.09     | 19.99±0.12 | 4.36±1.43               | 1±0.50      |           |
| 4                 | 20 (-1)                   | 160 (1)              | 7 (1)                | 13.97±0.12           | 0.24±0.01           | 24.32±0.88     | 23.08±2.35     | 51.51±2.56 | 12.35±0.61              | 10±1.21     |           |
| 5                 | 40 (1)                    | 130 (-1)             | 3 (-1)               | 15.77±0.02           | 0.56±0.01           | 26.95±0.28     | 48.10±0.76     | 14.69±0.54 | 27.76±0.90              | 5±0.89      |           |
| 6                 | 40 (1)                    | 160 (1)              | 3 (-1)               | 24.54±0.03           | 0.76±0.00           | 23.35±5.94     | 46.88±2.48     | 15.44±2.57 | 28.55±1.36              | 4±0.43      |           |
| 7                 | 40 (1)                    | 130 (-1)             | 7 (1)                | 16.09±0.00           | 0.37±0.01           | 19.77±2.37     | 44.58±1.57     | 16.83±1.51 | 56.89±3.68              | 5±0.62      |           |
| 8                 | 40 (1)                    | 160 (1)              | 7 (1)                | 17.24±0.02           | 0.38±0.02           | 28.35±2.69     | 44.78±4.15     | 18.09±3.64 | 48.89±1.76              | 4±1.07      |           |
| 9                 | 30 (0)                    | 145 (0)              | 5 (0)                | 12.67±0.03           | 0.34±0.03           | 16.75±1.11     | 49.68±4.13     | 15.87±2.43 | 45.67±2.21              | 10±1.16     |           |
| 10                | 30 (0)                    | 145 (0)              | 5 (0)                | 10.35±0.02           | 0.40±0.14           | 23.95±3.66     | 35.35±5.41     | 32.39±6.34 | 53.45±1.76              | 10±0.40     |           |
| 11                | 30 (0)                    | 145 (0)              | 5 (0)                | 12.10±0.01           | 0.34±0.02           | 17.60±2.03     | 38.63±9.53     | 24.88±9.92 | 50.99±3.46              | 10±1.09     |           |
| 12                | 30 (0)                    | 145 (0)              | 5 (0)                | 12.35±0.01           | 0.30±0.01           | 21.25±2.48     | 42.93±6.42     | 21.39±4.61 | 40.55±2.09              | 10±1.18     |           |
| 13                | 30 (0)                    | 145 (0)              | 5 (0)                | 10.89±0.02           | 0.24±0.01           | 16.75±0.89     | 42.56±5.43     | 21.72±5.27 | 53.89±1.23              | 9±0.40      |           |
| 14                | 30 (0)                    | 145 (0)              | 5 (0)                | 12.32±0.02           | 0.31±0.01           | 17.60±1.44     | 42.67±4.47     | 21.79±4.61 | 51.23±1.56              | 10±1.06     |           |
| 15                | 13 (-1.68)                | 145 (0)              | 5 (0)                | 28.59±0.08           | 0.83±0.01           | 14.45±0.79     | 43.60±5.50     | 19.05±5.27 | 1.23±0.02               | 1±0.00      |           |
| 16                | 47 (1.68)                 | 145 (0)              | 5 (0)                | 13.32±0.04           | 0.53±0.01           | 25.15±3.54     | 51.99±2.34     | 13.80±0.85 | 43.22±0.89              | 4±0.81      |           |
| 17                | 30 (0)                    | 120 (-1.68)          | 5 (0)                | 55.59±0.01           | 0.93±0.01           | 13.35±1.57     | 48.71±0.26     | 13.87±0.11 | 2.35±0.04               | 2±0.4       |           |
| 18                | 30 (0)                    | 170 (1.68)           | 5 (0)                | 12.84±0.03           | 0.39±0.02           | 36.05±4.32     | 51.27±2.99     | 12.64±1.50 | 46.78±2.23              | 9±1.21      |           |
| 19                | 30 (0)                    | 145 (0)              | 2 (-1.68)            | 25.38±0.00           | 0.82±0.00           | 3.45±0.68      | 47.68±0.38     | 13.82±0.36 | 27.89±1.85              | 2±0.44      |           |
| 20                | 30 (0)                    | 145 (0)              | 8 (1.68)             | 14.66±0.00           | 0.33±0.00           | 16.50±1.64     | 41.81±2.81     | 20.18±2.88 | 5.54±0.12               | 8±0.98      |           |

\[ X_1 = (DT - 30)/10, \ X_2 = (Ft - 145)/15, \ X_3 = (FT-5)/2 \]
2.4 Quality characterization of sweet potato chips

2.4.1 Determination of moisture content of sweet potato chips

The moisture content of the sweet potato chip samples was determined using the oven drying method (Horwitz and Latimer 2005) with a little modification of drying temperature and time. Five grams of sweet potato chips were dried at 140°C for 2 hours to a constant weight of chips. The moisture content of the chips was calculated from the weight difference between the initial and dried sweet potato chip samples and was expressed as percentage of the initial weight using the following equation. All samples were performed in duplicates.

\[
\text{Moisture content (%) } = \frac{\text{Initial weight of chips} - \text{Weight of dried chips}}{\text{Initial weight of chips}} \times 100
\]

2.4.2 Determination of water activity of sweet potato chips

The water activity of fried sweet potato chips was measured using an Aqualab Water Activity Meter 4TE (Corona, CA). The fried sweet potato chip sample sample was placed in a disposable cup. The cup with samples was placed in the water activity meter and the sample chamber lid of the water activity meter was sealed over the sample. The sample was left in the water activity meter until a constant reading was obtained. The mean water activity of the samples was calculated with triplicate measurements.

2.4.3 Determination of oil content of sweet potato chips

The oil content of sweet potato chips was determined based on the concept of hexane solubility solvent extraction principle (Kemper 2013: Ch. 4). In this process, three grams of ground chip samples was taken into a test tube and hexane (30 mL) was added to the test tube. The test tubes with a mixture of hexane and chip samples were placed in a water bath at 60°C for 3 hours with continuous gentle shaking. After extraction, the extracted samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 15000 rpm (L-60 Ultracentrifuge, Beckman Coulter, Inc., Chaska, USA). The supernatants (oil and hexane mixture) were poured into petri-dishes of a known weight and dried in an oven at 80°C until complete removal of hexane. The weight of the petri-dishes with extracted oil was measured and the weight of extracted oil content was calculated by the difference between the weight of petri-dishes with extracted oil and petri-dishes. The oil content of sweet potato chip samples was expressed as a percentage and was calculated using the following equation. All samples were conducted in duplicates.

\[
\text{Oil content of sweet potato chips (%) } = \frac{\text{Weight of hexane extracted oil}}{\text{Weight of chips}} \times 100
\]

2.4.4 Determination of color of sweet potato chips

The color parameters were measured using a Hunter Lab Color Flex-EZ Colorimeter (Hunter Associates Laboratory Inc., Reston, Virginia, U.S.A.). The Hunter Lab Colorimeter was used to determine the “L” value (lightness/darkness), “a” value (redness/greenness) and “b” value (yellowness/blueness) of fresh and fried sweet potato samples (Meda et al. 2016). The color measurement of the samples was performed six times and the mean value was calculated. The total color difference (ΔE) of the chips samples was calculated according to the following formula (Shyu and Hwang 2011):

\[
\text{Total color difference, } \Delta E = \sqrt{(L - L_o)^2 + (a - a_o)^2 + (b - b_o)^2}
\]

Where, \( L_o, a_o \) and \( b_o \) are color values of fresh sweet potato slices while \( L, a \) and \( b \) are values of fried sweet potato chips.

2.4.5 Determination of hardness and crispness of sweet potato chips

The hardness (N) and the crispness of the sweet potato chips were determined using an Instron Machine (Instron Corporation, Norwood, USA). A sweet potato chip sample was placed on the lower ram and the upper ram with a 35 mm diameter stainless steel probe attached with a 500 N load cell was compressed the chip sample to break 80% strain of a sample. A strain level of 80% provided complete information on the behavior of the sample without excessive densification because the total strain during the chewing process in the mouth was reported to be about 70% (Dogan and Kokini 2007). Before starting the compression test, the anvil height of the probe was adjusted to 3-5 mm depending on the height of the tested samples. The pre-test speed (2 mm/s), test speed (1 mm/s) and post-test speed (2 mm/s) were set to perform the compression test. A force-deformation curve was generated using the Bluehill 3 software to determine the textural properties of sweet potato chips. The maximum force during the compression to 80% strain was calculated.
as the hardness of the chips and the number of peaks was calculated as the crispness of the sweet potato chips. The number of peaks from the force-deformation curve indicated the cell wall-fracture of the sample, which correlated to the crispness (Dogan and Kokini 2007; Peleg 2015). Ten replications were conducted for all textural analysis and the mean value for hardness and crispness of the sweet potato chips was reported.

2.5 Statistical analysis and modeling

2.5.1 Experimental Design

Central Composite Rotatable Design (CCRD) was used to study the effect of sweet potato chips processing variables (pre-drying time, frying temperature and frying time) on the response variables (moisture content, water activity, oil content, L value, total color difference, hardness and crispness) of the fried sweet potato chips. The three independent variables were pre-drying time (13-47 min, X1), frying temperature (120-170°C, X2) and frying time (2-8 min, X3). The five levels determined were -1.68, -1, 0, +1 and +1.68 as shown in Table 1. The experimental design consisted of 20 experimental points (n = 2k 2 + 2k m, where, n = total experimental points, input variables, k = 3 and center point, m = 6 replications), which included 8 factorials points, 6 axial points and six replicated center points (Mason et al. 2003) as shown in Table 1.

2.5.2 Modeling and process optimization

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was used to determine the correlation between sweet potato chips processing independent variables (pre-drying time, frying temperature and frying time) and response variables (moisture content, water activity, oil content, L value, total color difference, hardness and crispness) and to optimize the independent variables on response variables (physicochemical properties of sweet potato chips). Data analysis was carried out assuming a second order polynomial equation for each of the response variables as a function of independent variables of pre-drying time (X1), frying temperature (X2) and frying time (X3). The second order polynomial model to fit the coded variables is as follow:

\[ Y = B_0 + B_{11}X_1 + B_{22}X_2 + B_{33}X_3 + B_{12}X_1X_2 + B_{13}X_1X_3 + B_{23}X_2X_3 + B_{11}X_1^2 + B_{22}X_2^2 + B_{33}X_3^2 \]

Where, \( Y \) was the experimental response variable, \( B_0, B_{11}, B_{22}, B_{33}, B_{12}, B_{13}, B_{23} \) were constants and regression coefficients of the model, and \( X_1, X_2, \) and \( X_3 \) were the independent variables.

The Design-Expert software (version 11) was used to solve the second order polynomial equation to develop regression models, response surface models and numerical and graphical optimization of processing variables. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was conducted and the model was justified with F value > Fcrit (F value at critical point), (Prob > F) < 0.05, adequate precision and R². The three-dimensional response surface model was generated by presenting the response as a function of two factors keeping the third constant at the center point. Numerical optimization was conducted on the basis of a desirability function and a trial and error method was used to determine the numerical optimum using Design-Expert software and the optimum regions were visualized by graphical optimization with the Design-Expert Software.

Ethical approval: The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Experimental data and regression modeling

After deep-fat frying, the sweet potato chips were characterized by determining the physicochemical properties and the results are summarized in Table 1. Different sweet potato chip samples were prepared as per CCRD and are shown in Figure 2. The response surface methodology combined with CCRD was used to observe the effect of the pre-drying time and frying variables (frying temperature and frying time) and to optimize the sweet potato chips processing conditions on the physicochemical properties of fried chips as response variables (moisture content, water activity, oil content, L value, total color difference, hardness, and crispiness).

The overall observations of results presented in Table 1 revealed that the increase in pre-drying time, frying temperature and frying time increased the oil-content, hardness, crispness, L value and total color difference of the sweet potato chips, but decreased the moisture content and water activity of the sweet potato chips. Figure 2 showed that sweet potato slices fried at a high temperature (160°C) and a long time (7 min) were the darkest in color among the samples tested. This may be because sugar content of the sweet potato slices was burned quickly with the high temperature and longer time. The results shown in Figure 2 and Table 1 indicated...
that the pre-drying time (20-30 min), frying temperature (150-160°C) and frying time (5-6 min) improved the overall quality of sweet potato chips.

The regression model for each response variable was developed by solving the second order polynomial equation based on experimental data. The independent variables were coded, and the regression models were developed for response variables (physicochemical properties of sweet potato chips) as shown in Table 2: ANOVA was conducted using Design-Expert Software to confirm the acceptability of the developed regression models for moisture content, water activity, oil content, L value, total color difference, hardness and crispness of sweet potato chips. In order to remove the insignificant terms of the second order polynomial equation the backward elimination algorithm was used. The results obtained from ANOVA for the physicochemical properties of sweet potato chips are shown in Table 3. The p-value for all the response variables was <0.05, which showed that there was a significant effect of the individual term on the response variables (Mason et al., 2003). The F-value was greater than the critical F value (F-crit) except for the total color difference. However, the F value of total color difference (3.05) was very close to critical F value (3.18). The higher F values indicated that the models for all properties of sweet potato chips were significant (Mason et al. 2003; Meda et al. 2016). The adequate precision for all the response variables was greater than 4. The adequate precision value being greater than 4 implies a good model discrimination (Meda et al. 2016). The R square, known as the coefficient of determination, measures the percentage of variability of the response variables. The R square values lie between 0 and 1; values closer to 1 explain that the linear regression model fits the data better (Mason et al. 2003). Table 3 shows that the R² values lie between 0.73 and 0.94 depending on the response variables, which means 73% to 94% of the variability of the response variables is explained by this model. It can be concluded from ANOVA analysis (Table 3) that the polynomial regression models were in good agreement with experimental data for all the properties tested for sweet potato chips.

3.2 Response surface modeling of processing variables of sweet potato chips

The 3-dimensional graphs shown in Figure 3 demonstrate the clear relationship between the dependent and independent variables for the sweet potato chips processing. Response surface graphs help with
Results shown in Figure 3 showed that the pre-drying time was linearly related to oil content, L value and total color difference, and was inversely related to moisture content and water activity of sweet potato chips. Pre-drying helped to reduce the moisture content and water activity and increase the lightness and total color difference. As pre-drying increased empty spaces in the sweet potato chips the oil was diffused into the sweet potato slices easily during the frying process. Thus, the increased (up to 40 min) pre-drying time increased oil content of the fried chips. This relationship between pre-drying and oil content of sweet potato chips showed the opposite of our initial hypothesis. Pedreschi and Moyano (2005) observed that the pre-drying reduced the oil content of potato chips when potato slices were dried to a moisture content of 40%. This high reduction of moisture content by drying before frying may cause some cell wall damage, which may reduce the oil absorption understanding the direction of change made in response variables with the change of independent variables. The response surface models were generated by observing the response variables (physicochemical properties of sweet potato chips) as a function of two independent variables by keeping the third independent variable constant at the center point. By this process, the effects of frying time and pre-drying time on response variables were studied by keeping the frying temperature constant. Similarly, the frying temperature and pre-drying time were also kept constant, respectively, and responses were generated by varying the other two independent variables. The response surface modeling graphs for moisture content, L-value, oil content and crispness of sweet potato chips were shown in Figure 3. The effect of independent variables on water activity was like moisture content. Similarly, the trend of the L value was like total color difference and hardness was like crispness. Responses for water activity, total color difference and hardness of sweet potato chips were not included in Figure 3.

| Table 2: Predictive regression models for moisture content, water activity, oil content, L value, total color difference, hardness and crispness of sweet potato chips |
|---|---|
| **Response Variable** | **Predictive Regression Models** |
| Moisture Content (%) | $11.80 - 5.93X_1 - 9.82X_2 - 0.69X_3 + 6.02X_1X_2 + 1.37X_1X_3 - 3.05X_2X_3 + 3.13X_1^2 + 7.82X_2^2 + 2.77X_3^2$ |
| Water Activity | $0.32 - 0.08X_1 - 0.18X_2 - 0.69X_3 + 0.05X_1X_2 + 0.07X_1X_3 - 0.075X_2X_3 + 0.11X_1 + 0.108X_2 + 0.07X_3$ |
| Oil Content (%) | $17.13 + 4.14X_1 + 2.67X_2 + 3.74X_3 - 0.619X_1X_2 - 3.05X_1X_3 + 2.83X_2X_3 - 0.729X_1 - 2.58X_2 + 2.96X_3$ |
| L value | $41.97 + 2.50X_1 + 0.76X_2 - 1.74X_3 + 2.08X_1X_2 + 2.78X_1X_3 - 1.73X_2X_3 + 2.06X_1 + 2.83X_2 + 0.9812X_3$ |
| Total color difference | $23.01 - 1.56X_1 - 0.366X_2 + 1.89X_3 - 3.79X_1X_2 - 4.16X_1X_3 + 3.53X_2X_3 - 2.33X_1 - 3.45X_2 - 2.12X_3$ |
| Hardness (N) | $40.05 + 13.02X_1 + 8.30X_2 - 0.6994X_3 - 6.663X_1X_2 + 8.86X_1X_3 - 4.83X_2X_3 - 7.96X_1 - 7.13X_2 - 9.90X_3$ |
| Crispness | $8.84 + 0.3694X_1 + 1.45X_2 + 1.32X_3 - 2.0X_1X_2 - 0.5X_1X_3 + 1.0X_2X_3 - 2.25X_1 - 1.19X_2 - 1.37X_3$ |

| Table 3: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the regression models of moisture content, water activity, oil content, L value, total color difference, hardness and crispness of sweet potato chips |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Model source** | **Sum of square** | **DF** | **Mean square** | **F-value** | **F-crit value** | **P value** |
| Moisture content | 3176.45 | 9 | 397.06 | 22.62 | 3.18 | 0.0001 |
| Water activity | 1.13 | 9 | 0.125 | 14.28 | 3.18 | 0.0001 |
| Oil content | 891.07 | 9 | 99.01 | 8.77 | 3.18 | 0.0011 |
| L-value | 559.78 | 9 | 62.00 | 4.27 | 3.18 | 0.0167 |
| Total color difference | 856.00 | 9 | 95.11 | 3.05 | 3.18 | 0.0488 |
| Hardness | 6999.05 | 9 | 777.67 | 8.15 | 3.18 | 0.0015 |
| Crispness | 199.56 | 9 | 22.17 | 5.63 | 3.18 | 0.0062 |
Figure 3: Effect of pre-drying time, frying temperature and frying time on moisture content, oil content, L-value and crispness of sweet potato chips.
during frying. In this study, the pre-drying time was 13-47 min and the moisture reductions of the sweet potato slices were up to 10%. This low moisture reduction during pre-drying might not have caused any cell wall damage and enhanced the oil adsorption. A mixed effect of pre-drying on oil uptake by deep-fat fried snacks was observed by several research groups (Tajner-Czopek et al. 2008), which supported our findings. Pre-drying showed quadratic effects on hardness and crispness. This effect indicated that pre-drying up to 35 min before frying improved the hardness and crispness of sweet potato chips.

Frying temperature showed a very significant effect on the sweet potato quality as shown in Figure 3. Moisture content, water activity and L value of sweet potato chips decreased with frying temperature and oil content, total color difference of sweet potato chips increased with the frying temperature. High frying temperature had a quadratic effect on the hardness and crispness of the sweet potato chips. The increased frying temperature increased the heat transfer rates from the hot oil to sweet potato slices and thereby increased the two-way diffusion rates. This phenomenon caused the decreased moisture content, water activity and L value and increased total color difference and oil content of sweet potato chips with the frying temperature. Similar results were found in potato chips (Krokida et al. 2001; Pedreschi and Moyano 2005; Tajner-Czopek et al. 2008), banana/plantain chips (Akinpelu et al. 2014; Mitra et al. 2007), carrot chips (Shyu and Hwang 2011) and sweet potato chips (Taiwo and Baik 2007). Frying temperature had quadratic effects on hardness and crispness. The maximum hardness and crispness of chips were found when the frying temperature was increased to 150°C. After further increasing the frying temperature, hardness and crispness of sweet potato chips were decreased. This might have happened because of increased brittleness in sweet potato chips by burning of the sugar content of slices with increased temperature.

Frying time of sweet potato chips showed significant effects on the physicochemical properties of fried sweet potato chips as shown in Figure 3. Moisture content, water activity and L value of sweet potato chips decreased linearly with frying time. Oil content and total color difference increased with frying time. Frying time showed quadratic effects on hardness and crispness of sweet potato chips. The effects of frying time on the quality of sweet potato chips were in agreement with other researchers (Mitra et al. 2007; Pedreschi and Moyano 2005).

### 3.3 Sweet potato chips processing variables optimization

#### 3.3.1 Numerical optimization using a desirability function

The Design Expert software (version 11) was used to determine a desirability value as the function of all response variables (moisture content, water activity, oil content, L value, total color difference, hardness and crispness of sweet potato chips). The desirability function was determined by combining multiple responses converted to a single response using a mathematical technique (Meda et al. 2016). The desirability function is calculated as a geometric mean with a scale 0-1. A desirability function heading toward 1 is expected. The individual desirable level can be viewed as a target, maximum, minimum or range depending on the objectives of the study. The optimization goals of our study were to minimize oil content, moisture content, water activity and total color difference and maximize hardness and crispness of the sweet potato chips. The desirable level for the L-value of sweet potato chips was set as target range of 42-48. The optimum conditions were determined by conducting several trials. The final optimum condition was obtained when the independent variables pre-drying was minimized, and frying temperature and frying time were maximized considering economical and industrial aspects. Comparing all trial tests, the chosen trial (based on a desirability value) solutions are shown in Table 4. It can be concluded from Table 4 that the solution number 1 (desirability 0.78) gave optimum conditions to develop desirable quality sweet potato chips. The optimum results shown in Table 4 indicated that the overall desirability (0.78) was the highest when the independent variables were set for a pre-drying time 26.62 min, frying temperature 160°C and frying time 5.40 min. The response variables of the sweet potato chips at optimum conditions were: moisture content 9.39%, water activity 0.23, oil content 18.09%, L value 42.0, total color difference 25.74, hardness 45.02 (N) and crispness 9.83.
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#### 3.3.2 Graphical optimization using a method of overlaying of contours of response variables

The graphical optimization was carried out based on the optimum solution of Table 4. The solutions obtained from the Table 4 can be visualized graphically to determine the optimum regions where all desired conditions are met. All contour plots of all response variables (moisture...
content, water activity, oil content, L-value, total color difference, hardness, and crispness of sweet potato chips), as a function of two sweet potato chips processing (independent) variables, keeping the third processing variable constant at the center point were overlaid altogether and the optimum regions (shaded areas in the contour overlay plots) were visualized as shown in Figure 4. The optimum regions of sweet potato chips processing variables obtained from the overlay contour plots (Figure 4) were: pre-drying (25-30 min), frying temperature (155-160°C) and frying time (5-6 min).

4 Conclusions

Physicochemical properties (moisture content, water activity, oil content, L-value, total color difference, hardness and crispness) of sweet potato chips were affected by pre-drying, frying temperature and frying time. Oil-content of the chips was increased, and moisture content of chips was decreased with an increase in pre-drying time, frying temperature and frying time. Increase in pre-drying time reduced the final moisture content of chips. Color, hardness and crispness of the sweet potato chips were improved when fried around the center point. The RSM and CCRD were effective to develop regression models that effectively predicted response variables as the function of independent variables. The desirability function method for the sweet potato chips processing optimization was found to be effective to determine an optimum region of processing (independent) variables. Pre-drying (25-30 min), frying temperature (155-160°C) and frying time (5-6 min) showed effective processing conditions for the desired quality of sweet potato chips. The optimum results can be used to set processing conditions within the experimental range for the commercial production of sweet potato chips.
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Table 4: Results of numerical optimization using desirability function of sweet potato chips

| Parameter          | Goal          | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | Lower Weight | Upper Weight | Importance |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Pre-drying         | Minimize      | 20          | 40          | 1            | 1            | 3          |
| Frying temperature | Maximize      | 130         | 160         | 1            | 1            | 3          |
| Frying time        | Maximize      | 3           | 7           | 1            | 1            | 3          |
| Moisture content   | Minimize      | 10.34       | 55.59       | 1            | 1            | 3          |
| Water activity     | Minimize      | 0.23        | 0.96        | 1            | 1            | 3          |
| Oil content        | Minimize      | 3.45        | 36.45       | 1            | 1            | 3          |
| L value            | In range      | 42          | 48          | 1            | 1            | 3          |
| Total color difference | Minimize    | 12.44       | 46.77       | 1            | 1            | 3          |
| Hardness           | Maximize      | 0.14        | 56.89       | 1            | 1            | 3          |
| Crispness          | Maximize      | 1           | 10          | 1            | 1            | 3          |

Solutions

| Number | Pre-drying (min) | Frying Temp. (°C) | Frying Time (min) | Moisture content (%) | Water activity | Oil content | L value | Total Color difference | Hardness (N) | Crispness | Desirability |
|--------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|---------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1      | 26.62            | 160               | 5.40              | 9.39                 | 0.23          | 18.09       | 42.00   | 25.74                  | 45.02         | 9.83     | 0.779        |
| 2      | 26.54            | 160               | 5.37              | 9.45                 | 0.24          | 17.95       | 42.00   | 25.70                  | 45.09         | 9.80     | 0.779        |
| 3      | 26.53            | 160               | 5.35              | 9.48                 | 0.24          | 17.85       | 42.03   | 25.63                  | 45.22         | 9.78     | 0.778        |
| 4      | 26.82            | 160               | 5.37              | 9.39                 | 0.23          | 18.03       | 42.17   | 25.47                  | 45.45         | 9.80     | 0.778        |
| 5      | 26.92            | 160               | 5.36              | 9.40                 | 0.23          | 18.00       | 42.25   | 25.35                  | 45.65         | 9.78     | 0.778        |
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Figure 4: Graphical optimization (yellow shaded area) of pre-drying time (min), frying temperature (°C) and frying time (min) for the moisture content, water activity, oil content, color (L value and total color difference), hardness and crispness of the sweet potato chips.