Abstract – Adequate plant nutrition is essential to attain higher yields. The objective was to determine potential-nutrient response curves and sufficiency ranges using the boundary line approach and balance indices of Kenworthy for interpreting the nutritional status of ‘Grand Nain’ banana cultivated in two environments. The study was carried out using a database containing leaf nutrient concentrations and yields of bananas cultivated on two areas located in Missão Velha-CE, and Ponto Novo-BA, Brazil. Plots with high-yielding plants, which were those with yields above average plus 0.5 standard deviation, were used as reference population. The database was subdivided into two sets. One of them contained 46 leaf tissue samples and reference population with yield greater than 58.84 Mg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$, in Missão Velha-CE. The second data set contained 19 samples and reference population with yield greater than 76.12 Mg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ in Ponto Novo-BA. Potential response curves were fitted to the relationship between relative yield and leaf element concentrations and balance indices of Kenworthy. Models expressed high predictive power. Sufficiency ranges for macro- and micronutrient concentrations and balance indices of Kenworthy were established. The ranges allow an improved nutritional status assessment of irrigated ‘Grand Nain’ bananas.

Index terms: Musa spp. AAA, boundary line, diagnostic methods, nutritional status.

Curvas de resposta potencial e faixas de suficiência de nutrientes para bananeira ‘Grande Naine’ em dois ambientes

Resumo - A nutrição adequada das plantas é essencial para obter maiores rendimentos. O objetivo foi determinar curvas de resposta potencial de nutrientes e intervalos de suficiência utilizando a abordagem da linha de frente e índices de equilíbrio de Kenworthy para interpretar o estado nutricional da bananeira ‘Grande-Naine’ cultivada em dois ambientes. O estudo foi realizado em um banco de dados contendo as concentrações foliares de nutrientes e as produtividades das bananeiras cultivadas em duas áreas de produção localizadas em Missão Velha-CE, e Ponto Novo-BA, Brasil. Parcelas com plantas de alto rendimento, correspondentes às produtividades acima da média +/- 0.5 desvio padrão, foram utilizadas como população de referência. O banco de dados foi subdividido em dois conjuntos. Um deles continha 46 amostras de tecido foliar e população de referência com produtividade acima da média. O segundo conjunto de dados continha 19 amostras e população de referência com produtividade superior a 76,12 Mg ha$^{-1}$ ano$^{-1}$ em Ponto Novo-BA. As curvas de resposta potencial foram ajustadas entre o rendimento relativo e os componentes de elementos na folha, e com os índices de equilíbrio de Kenworthy. Os modelos expressaram alta aptidão preditiva. Foram estabelecidas faixas de suficiência para concentrações de macro e micronutrientes, e índices de equilíbrio de Kenworthy. Os intervalos permitem uma avaliação eficiente do estado nutricional de bananeiras ‘Grande Naine’ irrigadas.

Termos para indexação: Musa spp. AAA, linha de frente, métodos de diagnóstico, estado nutricional.
**Introduction**

Assessing the nutritional status of plants is required when increasing crop production while lessening environmental degradation (ALI, 2018). Sufficiency ranges have been used as a traditional tool in plant nutritional diagnosis; however, the method is statistic based and thus prone to interpreting mistakes due to variable element concentrations in leaves. This approach has been further criticized for not taking into consideration interactions between nutrients (BARKER; PILBEAM, 2007; MARSCHNER, 2012). Nonetheless, Quaggio and Raij (1997) reported sufficiency ranges for Cavendish bananas grown in the state of São Paulo, and Pauletti and Motta (2017) for in the state of Paraná, in Brazil.

Nutrient standards are conventionally established in calibration tests in which genetic and environmental factors and interactions between nutrients are controlled (WADT et al., 1998). As element concentrations in plant tissues are influenced by several environmental and crop-related factors, wide-ranging sufficiency ranges established under varying environmental conditions may lead to inaccurate diagnoses. Ieshiulho et al. (2019) pointed out that methods that determine region-specific nutrient reference values may provide more accurate results; therefore, establishing region- and cultivar-specific sufficiency ranges can be significantly more advantageous.

The boundary line approach is an alternative to calibration tests as it uses nutritional data from commercial crop fields. This approach consists of plotting leaf nutrient concentrations against yield observations, so that the optimum leaf concentration for a given nutrient or nutrient ratios is determined based on data points on the edge of the scatter plot (boundary line). Moreover, it allows the estimation of the maximum attainable yield (ALMEIDA et al., 2016; ALI, 2018).

Boundary-line sufficiency ranges have been reported for several crops including forage cactus (BLANCO-MACÍAS et al., 2010), wild blueberry (LAFOND, 2009), papaya (MAIA; MORAIS, 2016), sugarcane (MCCRAYET al., 2010), rubber tree (NJUKENG et al. 2013), pitaya (ALMEIDA et al., 2016), and eucalyptus (LIMA NETO et al., 2020); however, studies on bananas grown under different conditions are in demand.

The objective of this study was to establish potential response curves and sufficiency ranges by employing the boundary line approach and balance indices of Kenworthy to interpret the nutritional status of ‘Grand Nain’ bananas cultivated in two production conditions.

**Material and methods**

The data used in this study were from two farms belonging to the company Sitio Barreiras. The first farm is located in the municipality of Missão Velha, state of Ceará (CE), Brazil (7°35’9”S, 39°21’1”W, and altitude of 442 m). The climate of the region is Aw – tropical savanna climate with dry winters and rainy summers (Köppen-Geiger). Mean annual rainfall and temperature are 942 mm and 25.8°C, respectively. The soil at the farm was, predominately, a Latossolo Vermelho-Amarelo (SANTOS et al., 2018), which corresponds to Oxisol (SOIL SURVEY STAFF, 2014). On this farm, the company has 11 plots, each measuring 3.26 ha, where fertigated ‘Grand Nain’ bananas are cultivated.

The second farm is located in the municipality of Ponto Novo, state of Bahia (BA), Brazil, (10°51’46”S, 40°08’01”W, and altitude of 342 m). The climate is also Aw, according to Köppen-Geiger classification. Mean annual rainfall and temperature are 697 mm and 24.1°C, respectively. The predominant soil has been classified as a Latossolo Amarelo (SANTOS et al., 2018), which corresponds to Oxisol (SOIL SURVEY STAFF, 2014). On this farm, the company has 17 plots, each measuring 4.53 ha in average, where fertigated ‘Grand Nain’ bananas are cultivated.

Chemical properties of the soils and meteorological data from both sites are in Table 1 and 2, respectively. Leaf tissue analysis results and yields recorded in a database were used in this study.

### Table 1. Chemical properties of the soils from ‘Grand Nain’ fields in Missão Velha, CE, and Ponto Novo, BA, Brazil, 0.00-0.20 m and 0.20-0.40 m.

| Area     | Layers (m) | pH | OM g dm⁻³ | P mg dm⁻³ | K⁺ mmol dm⁻³ | Ca⁺⁺ mol dm⁻³ | Mg⁺⁺ mol dm⁻³ | CEC | V % | P-Rem mg L⁻¹ |
|----------|------------|----|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-----|-----|-------------|
| Missão   | 0.00 – 0.20| 7.4| 32.0      | 140.0     | 9.3          | 113.0         | 27.0          | 156.0| 95.0| 54.1        |
| Velha    | 0.20 – 0.40| 7.9| 18.0      | 79.0      | 4.9          | 91.0          | 21.0          | 124.0| 94.0| 47.9        |
| Ponto    | 0.00 – 0.20| 6.5| 18.0      | 81.0      | 3.8          | 27.0          | 10.0          | 52.0 | 80.0| 44.7        |
| Novo     | 0.20 – 0.40| 6.1| 12.0      | 28.0      | 2.4          | 14.0          | 5.0           | 32.0 | 62.0| 43.5        |

pH in water in a 1:2.5 ratio; OM: soil organic matter content obtained by organic carbon × 1.724 (Walkley-Black); P and K⁺: Mehlich-1 extraction; Ca⁺⁺ and Mg⁺⁺ = KCl 1 mol L⁻¹; CEC: cation exchange capacity at pH 7; V: base saturation; P-rem: P-remaining.

Source: elaborate by authors chemical properties of the soils Database of Farms located in Missão Velha, CE, and Ponto Novo, BA.
Table 2. Meteorological data recorded on the farms located in Missão Velha, CE, and Ponto Novo, BA, Brazil, in 2016.

| Month   | Mean temperature Missão Velha-CE | Max. temperature Missão Velha-CE | Min. temperature Missão Velha-CE | Rainfall Missão Velha-CE | RH Missão Velha-CE | VPD Missão Velha-CE | Max. wind speed Missão Velha-CE |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| January | 26.91                           | 31.96                           | 21.86                           | 231.10                  | 74.22             | 0.76              | 1.60                |
| February| 26.95                           | 33.17                           | 20.73                           | 60.90                   | 77.61             | 0.67              | 1.60                |
| March   | 27.79                           | 33.38                           | 22.21                           | 198.50                  | 78.13             | 0.68              | 1.54                |
| April   | 27.05                           | 32.84                           | 21.26                           | 33.50                   | 74.05             | 0.78              | 3.09                |
| May     | 27.14                           | 33.40                           | 20.88                           | 30.00                   | 50.60             | 0.81              | 1.01                |
| June    | 26.23                           | 32.64                           | 19.82                           | 17.60                   | 44.00             | 1.05              | 7.72                |
| July    | 26.39                           | 33.22                           | 19.57                           | 0.00                    | 45.92             | 1.46              | 3.09                |
| August  | 27.00                           | 34.68                           | 19.32                           | 0.00                    | 45.92             | 1.67              | 5.14                |
| September| 28.29                          | 35.58                           | 21.01                           | 3.10                    | 45.66             | 1.76              | 4.63                |
| October | 29.26                           | 36.72                           | 21.81                           | 0.00                    | 44.07             | 1.93              | 3.60                |
| November| 29.67                           | 36.32                           | 23.03                           | 0.00                    | 43.41             | 1.97              | 3.09                |
| December| 29.04                           | 35.61                           | 22.47                           | 69.10                   | 52.98             | 1.58              | 3.09                |

| Month   | Mean temperature Ponto Novo-BA | Max. temperature Ponto Novo-BA | Min. temperature Ponto Novo-BA | Rainfall Ponto Novo-BA | RH Ponto Novo-BA | VPD Ponto Novo-BA | Max. wind speed Ponto Novo-BA |
|---------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| January | 25.19                           | 29.84                           | 22.37                           | 190.83                | 82.92            | 0.48             | 5.18                 |
| February| 25.83                           | 31.86                           | 21.08                           | 20.80                 | 74.06            | 0.75             | 5.98                 |
| March   | 26.90                           | 32.95                           | 21.85                           | 0.00                  | 69.02            | 0.94             | 6.58                 |
| April   | 26.51                           | 32.63                           | 21.37                           | 14.45                 | 64.73            | 1.05             | 5.58                 |
| May     | 24.48                           | 29.58                           | 20.45                           | 49.25                 | 76.05            | 0.64             | 6.21                 |
| June    | 23.12                           | 27.93                           | 19.47                           | 31.55                 | 78.08            | 0.54             | 5.68                 |
| July    | 22.60                           | 28.29                           | 18.16                           | 8.85                  | 75.48            | 0.60             | 6.11                 |
| August  | 23.33                           | 29.25                           | 18.57                           | 11.75                 | 71.71            | 0.72             | 6.50                 |
| September| 24.48                          | 30.63                           | 19.66                           | 1.80                  | 69.65            | 0.82             | 6.75                 |
| October | 25.99                           | 32.57                           | 20.86                           | 5.95                  | 66.99            | 0.98             | 7.10                 |
| November| 24.53                           | 25.23                           | 23.82                           | 184.00                | 70.79            | 0.74             | 1.34                 |
| December| 25.20                           | 25.98                           | 24.42                           | 44.20                 | 69.49            | 0.80             | 1.32                 |

RH: relative humidity; VPD: vapor pressure deficit. Source: made by authors from meteorological data recorded by automatic weather stations installed at farms located in Missão Velha-CE, and Ponto Novo-BA, Brazil.

Leaf tissue sampling followed recommendations of Martin-Prével (1987), modified by Rodrigues et al. (2010). The mid-portion of the third leaf lamina, counting from the plant apex, was collected when the inflorescence had two or three open clusters of male flowers. Samples were processed and stored as leaf macro- (N, P, K, Ca, Mg and S) and micronutrient (B, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn) concentrations (BATAGLIA et al., 1983).

Yields were estimated as Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\) by weighing all bunches in the plot. Leaf analyses were carried out once a semester, with samples collected in summer and in winter.

The database was divided into two site-specific databases. One of them was from the farm located in Missão Velha, CE. It contained tissue analysis results from samples collected twice a year, and annual yields recorded between 2010 and 2017 from ‘Grand Nain’ banana (AAA) plantations. The initial sample containing 150 recordings, mean±standard deviation of 52.35±12.98 t ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\), was subdivided into low- and high-yielding populations. The reference population was that with yield greater than mean+0.5 standard deviation, which corresponded to 58.84 t ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\) for a sample size of 46.

The other database was from the farm located in Ponto Novo, BA, and contained tissue analysis results from samples collected twice a year and annual yields recorded between 2014 and 2016. The initial sample consisting of 65 recordings, mean±standard deviation of 65.15±21.94 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\), was also subdivided into low- and high-yielding populations. The reference population was that with yield greater than mean+0.5 standard deviation, which corresponded to 76.12 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\), for a sample size of 19.

Nutrient sufficiency ranges were determined by an equation adjusted as a function of the relationship between relative yields (RY) on the y-axis and leaf nutrient concentrations on the x-axis, forming a scatter plot. Data points located on the edge of the scatter plot were selected using the computer program “Boundary Fit” as used Almeida et al. (2016).
After identifying the points representing the high-yielding plots located on the edge of the scatter data (boundary line), corresponding regression models were created using the application Curve Expert Basic 1.4. Relative yield (%) was the dependent variable while leaf nutrient concentration, the independent variable.

With the boundary line, sufficiency ranges of each nutrient were determined based on the following interpretative categories: deficient (RY < 70%), tendency towards sufficient (70 ≤ RY < 90%), sufficient (90 ≤ RY < 100%), high (100 > RY ≥ 90%, right to the maximum), tendency towards excessive (90 ≤ RY < 70%, right to the maximum), and excessive (RY < 70%, right to the maximum). These ranges are proposed because the original method was established for apple trees.

### Table 3. Norms used to determine balance indices of Kenworthy for ‘Grand Nain’ bananas grown in Missão Velha-CE, and Ponto Novo-BA, Brazil.

|                | Missão Velha - Ceará | Ponto Novo - Bahia |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Norm           | Ȳ        | S      | CV (%) | Ȳ        | S      | CV (%) |
| N              | 21.7667 | 1.8413 | 8.46   | N        | 22.0211 | 1.8504 | 8.40   |
| P              | 1.6368  | 0.2274 | 13.89  | P        | 1.5632  | 0.1116 | 7.14   |
| K              | 36.1928 | 6.3267 | 17.48  | K        | 32.6421 | 7.7467 | 23.73  |
| Ca             | 7.8817  | 1.9026 | 24.14  | Ca       | 6.9737  | 0.8621 | 12.36  |
| Mg             | 2.5923  | 0.3945 | 15.22  | Mg       | 2.8526  | 0.1264 | 4.43   |
| S              | 1.5441  | 0.2229 | 14.44  | S        | 1.4947  | 0.1177 | 7.88   |
| B              | 9.6391  | 3.0533 | 31.68  | B        | 13.4053 | 2.1441 | 15.99  |
| Cu             | 5.9109  | 2.6298 | 44.49  | Cu       | 6.4947  | 0.5921 | 9.12   |
| Fe             | 68.7087 | 10.9113| 15.88  | Fe       | 59.0211 | 9.1099 | 15.43  |
| Mn             | 168.8761| 121.8828| 72.17  | Mn       | 73.5474 | 16.8846| 22.96  |
| Zn             | 15.7891 | 2.8883 | 18.29  | Zn       | 15.3895 | 1.3316 | 8.65   |

Norm = reference population concentrations (≥ mean + 0.5 standard deviation), N, P, K, Ca, Mg and S contents are expressed as g kg⁻¹, and Cu, Fe, Zn, Mn and B, as mg kg⁻¹; Ȳ = mean nutrient content in leaf sample; s = standard deviation; CV = coefficient of variation.

The following equations were used to calculate balance indices:

\[ P = \frac{(100y_i)}{\bar{Y}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (Eq.A.1)

\[ I = \frac{CV(y_i - \bar{Y})}{\bar{Y}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (Eq.A.2)

\[ BIKW = P - 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (Eq.A.3)

where, \( P \) = ratio of sample nutrient concentration (yi) to standard concentration (Ȳ) (%); \( I \) = influence of variation (%); \( CV \) = coefficient of variation (%); \( BIKW \) = balance index of Kenworthy.

BIKM method establishes the upper and lower limits of each sufficiency range. The upper limit of the sufficient range is the concentration that corresponds to 100%.

Sufficiency ranges of each nutrient were determined taking into account limits proposed by Kenworthy (1961): deficient (BIKW < 50%); tendency towards sufficient (50 ≤ BIKW < 83%); sufficient (83 ≤ BIKW < 100%); high (100 ≤ BIKW < 117%); tendency towards excessive (117 ≤ BIKW < 150%); and excessive (BIKW ≥ 150%).

After obtaining the balance indices of each nutrient based on site-specific norms, these indices were plotted against relative yields. The boundary line approach was then used as previously described; therefore, new concentration ranges of each nutrient were generated based on the following interpreting categories: deficient (RY < 70%), tendency towards sufficient (70 ≤ RY < 90%), sufficient (90 ≤ RY < 100%), high (100 > RY ≥ 90%, right to the maximum), tendency towards excessive (90 ≤ RY < 70%, right to the maximum), and excessive (RY < 70%, right to the maximum).
Results and Discussion

Using yields observations and leaf nutrient concentrations of ‘Grand Nain’ bananas grown in the states of Ceará and Bahia, boundary lines (Figures 1 and 2) were fitted to the relationship between relative yields and balance indices of Kenworthy (BIKW). Regression equations were adjusted to represent the boundary lines. The models were quadratic, significant, and with high values of $R^2$ (0.83 to 0.97) for all nutrients. Table 4 shows the values that limit each nutrient’s sufficiency range calculated by the regression equations.

Figure 1. Boundary line fitted to the relationship between relative yield (%) and Balance Indices of Kenworthy (BIKW) for N (A), P (B), K (C), Ca (D), Mg (E), S (F), B (G), Cu (H), Fe (I), Mn (J) and Zn (K) in ‘Grand Nain’ banana, Missão Velha-CE, Brazil. **Significant at p ≤ 0.01 by t test; the multipliers 1.095; 0.995; etc. found in the equations correspond to an adjustment factor for the equation to assume the value of 100% Relative Yield.
Figure 2. Boundary line fitted to the relationship between relative yield (%) and Balance Indices of Kenworthy (BIKW) for N (A), P (B), K (C), Ca (D), Mg (E), S (F), B (G), Cu (H), Fe (I), Mn (J) and Zn (K) in 'Grand Nain' banana, Ponto Novo-BA, Brazil. **Significant at p ≤ 0.01 by t test; the multipliers 0.983; 1.1867; etc. found in the equations correspond to an adjustment factor for the equation to assume the value of 100% Relative Yield.
With the boundary line approach, significant quadratic models with high $R^2$ (0.78 to 0.99) were fitted to the relationship between relative yield and leaf nutrient concentrations of ‘Grand Nain’ banana cultivated in Ceará and Bahia (Figures 3 and 4, respectively). Table 5 shows sufficiency ranges determined based on the concentration ranges proposed in this study.

**Figure 3.** Boundary line fitted to the relationship between relative yield (%) and leaf concentrations of N (A), P (B), K (C), Ca (D), Mg (E), S (F), B (G), Cu (H), Fe (I), Mn (J) and Zn (K) in ‘Grand Nain’ banana, Missão Velha-CE, Brazil. ***Significant at p ≤ 0.01 by t test; the multipliers 1.0877, 1.1502; etc. found in the equations correspond to an adjustment factor for the equation to assume the value of 100% Relative Yield.**
Figure 4. Boundary line fitted to the relationship between relative yield (%) and leaf concentrations of N (A), P (B), K (C), Ca (D), Mg (E), S (F), B (G), Cu (H), Fe (I), Mn (J) and Zn (K) in 'Grand Nain' banana, Ponto Novo-BA, Brazil. **Significant at p ≤ 0.01 by t test; the multipliers 0.984; 1.185; etc. found in the equations correspond to an adjustment factor for the equation to assume the value of 100% Relative Yield.
Table 4. Proposed boundary-line concentration ranges for interpreting balance indices of Kenworthy (%) for ‘Grand Nain’ bananas grown in Missão Velha-CE, and Ponto Novo-BA, Brazil.

| Nutrient | Deficient | Tendency towards sufficient | Sufficient | High | Tendency towards excessive | Excessive |
|----------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------|------|---------------------------|-----------|
|          | (<70%)    | (70-90%)                    | (90-100%)  | (100-90%) | (90-70%)                  | (<70%)    |
| Missão Velha - CE |
| N        | <87      | 87 - 93                     | 93 - 101   | 101 - 110 | 110 - 117                 | ≥117      |
| P        | <77      | 77 - 88                     | 88 - 101   | 101 - 115 | 115 - 125                 | ≥125      |
| K        | <77      | 77 - 87                     | 87 - 101   | 101 - 116 | 116 - 126                 | ≥126      |
| Ca       | <69      | 69 - 88                     | 88 - 115   | 115 - 143 | 143 - 163                 | ≥163      |
| Mg       | <75      | 75 - 88                     | 88 - 106   | 106 - 125 | 125 - 138                 | ≥138      |
| S        | <68      | 68 - 81                     | 81 - 99    | 99 - 118  | 118 - 131                 | ≥131      |
| B        | <62      | 62 - 82                     | 82 - 108   | 108 - 135 | 135 - 155                 | ≥155      |
| Cu       | <73      | 73 - 85                     | 85 - 101   | 101 - 117 | 117 - 129                 | ≥129      |
| Fe       | <76      | 76 - 88                     | 88 - 104   | 104 - 120 | 120 - 132                 | ≥132      |
| Mn       | <67      | 67 - 82                     | 82 - 103   | 103 - 124 | 124 - 140                 | ≥140      |
| Zn       | <71      | 71 - 86                     | 86 - 105   | 105 - 125 | 125 - 140                 | ≥140      |
| Ponto Novo - BA |
| N        | <84      | 84 - 90                     | 90 - 99    | 99 – 108  | 108 - 115                 | ≥115      |
| P        | <84      | 84 - 89                     | 89 - 97    | 97 – 105  | 105 - 111                 | >111      |
| K        | <82      | 82 - 95                     | 95 - 111   | 111 - 128 | 128 - 141                 | ≥141      |
| Ca       | <71      | 71 - 83                     | 83 - 99    | 99 – 115  | 115 - 127                 | ≥127      |
| Mg       | <85      | 85 - 94                     | 94 - 106   | 106 - 108 | 108 - 127                 | ≥127      |
| S        | <89      | 89 - 96                     | 96 - 105   | 105 - 115 | 115 - 122                 | ≥122      |
| B        | <69      | 69 - 81                     | 81 - 96    | 96 – 112  | 112 - 124                 | ≥124      |
| Cu       | <83      | 83 - 92                     | 92 - 104   | 104 - 117 | 117 - 126                 | ≥126      |
| Fe       | <76      | 76 - 86                     | 86 - 100   | 100 - 114 | 114 - 124                 | ≥124      |
| Mn       | <43      | 43 - 61                     | 61 - 86    | 86 – 111  | 111 - 130                 | ≥130      |
| Zn       | <80      | 80 - 88                     | 88 – 97    | 97 – 108  | 108 - 115                 | ≥115      |
Based on Kenworthy’s norms and concentration ranges determined in this study using the boundary line approach, sufficiency ranges for assessing the nutritional status of ‘Grand Nain’ bananas cultivated in Ceará and Bahia were established (Table 6). Using Kenworthy’s norms, sufficiency ranges were determined based on interpretive ranges proposed by Kenworthy (1961) (Table 7).
Table 6. Sufficiency ranges determined using boundary-line balance indices of Kenworthy for ‘Grand Nain’ bananas grown in Missão Velha-CE, and Ponto Novo-BA, Brazil.

| Nutrient | Missão Velha - CE | Ponto Novo - BA |
|----------|------------------|-----------------|
|          | Categories (Reference value – PNRC, Potential Nutrient-Response Curve) | |
|          | Deficient | Tendency towards sufficient | Sufficient | High | Tendency towards excessive | Excessive |
|          | (< 70%) | (70-90%) | (90-100%) | (100-90%) | (90-70%) | (<70%) |

### Missão Velha - CE

| Nutrient | Deficient | Tendency towards sufficient | Sufficient | High | Tendency towards excessive | Excessive |
|----------|-----------|----------------------------|------------|------|---------------------------|-----------|
| N        | <18.7     | 18.7 - 20.1                | 20.1 - 22.0| 22.0 - 24.1 | 24.1 - 25.8 | ≥25.8     |
| P        | <1.2      | 1.2 - 1.4                  | 1.4 - 1.7  | 1.7 - 1.9 | 1.9 - 2.1 | ≥2.1      |
| K        | <26.1     | 26.1 - 30.5                | 30.5 - 36.6| 36.6 - 43.2 | 43.2 - 47.6 | ≥47.6     |
| Ca       | <4.7      | 4.7 - 6.6                  | 6.6 - 9.4  | 9.4 - 12.3 | 12.3 - 14.4 | ≥14.4     |
| Mg       | <1.8      | 1.8 - 2.2                  | 2.2 - 2.8  | 2.8 - 3.4 | 3.4 - 3.8 | ≥3.8      |
| S        | <1.0      | 1.0 - 1.2                  | 1.2 - 1.5  | 1.5 - 1.9 | 1.9 - 2.1 | ≥2.1      |

### Ponto Novo - BA

| Nutrient | Deficient | Tendency towards sufficient | Sufficient | High | Tendency towards excessive | Excessive |
|----------|-----------|----------------------------|------------|------|---------------------------|-----------|
| N        | <18.2     | 18.2 - 19.6                | 19.6 - 21.8| 21.8 - 23.9 | 23.9 - 25.6 | ≥25.6     |
| P        | <1.3      | 1.3 - 1.4                  | 1.4 - 1.5  | 1.5 - 1.6 | 1.6 - 1.7 | ≥1.7      |
| K        | <24.9     | 24.9 - 30.5                | 30.5 - 37.3| 37.3 - 44.6 | 44.6 - 50.2 | ≥50.2     |
| Ca       | <4.7      | 4.7 - 5.6                  | 5.6 - 6.9  | 6.9 - 8.2 | 8.2 - 9.1 | ≥9.1      |
| Mg       | <2.4      | 2.4 - 2.7                  | 2.7 - 3.0  | 3.0 - 3.1 | 3.1 - 3.7 | ≥3.7      |
| S        | <1.3      | 1.3 - 1.4                  | 1.4 - 1.6  | 1.6 - 1.7 | 1.7 - 1.9 | ≥1.9      |

---

Notes:
- **B**: Basic Nutrient
- **Cu**: Copper
- **Fe**: Iron
- **Mn**: Manganese
- **Zn**: Zinc

---
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Table 7. Leaf nutrient sufficiency ranges based on limits calculated by the original method of Kenworthy (1961) for ‘Grand Nain’ bananas cultivated in Missão Velha-CE, and Ponto Novo-BA, Brazil.

| Nutrient | Deficient (< 50%) | Tendency towards sufficient (50-83%) | Sufficient (83-100%) | High (100-117%) | Tendency towards excessive (117-150%) | Excessive (≥150%) |
|----------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|
| N        | <9.9              | 9.9 - 17.7                           | 17.7 - 21.8          | 21.8 - 25.8     | 25.8 - 33.7                            | ≥33.7            |
| P        | <0.7              | 0.7 - 1.3                           | 1.3 - 1.6            | 1.6 - 2.0       | 2.0 - 2.6                               | ≥2.6             |
| K        | <14.3             | 14.3 - 28.7                         | 28.7 - 36.2          | 36.2 - 43.7     | 43.7 - 58.1                            | ≥58.1            |
| Ca       | <2.7              | 2.7 - 6.1                           | 6.1 - 7.9            | 7.9 - 9.7       | 9.7 - 13.1                              | ≥13.1            |
| Mg       | <1.1              | 1.1 - 2.1                           | 2.1 - 2.6            | 2.6 - 3.1       | 3.1 - 4.1                               | ≥4.1             |
| S        | <0.6              | 0.6 - 1.2                           | 1.2 - 1.5            | 1.5 - 1.9       | 1.9 - 2.5                               | ≥2.5             |
| B        | <2.6              | 2.6 - 7.2                           | 7.2 - 9.6            | 9.6 - 12.0      | 12.0 - 16.7                             | ≥16.7            |
| Cu       | <0.6              | 0.6 - 4.1                           | 4.1 - 5.9            | 5.9 - 7.7       | 7.7 - 11.2                              | ≥11.2            |
| Fe       | <27.9             | 27.9 - 54.8                         | 54.8 - 68.7          | 68.7 - 82.6     | 82.6 - 109.5                            | ≥109.5           |
| Mn       | 65.7              | 65.7 - 168.9                       | 168.9 - 272.0        | 272.0 - 472.3   | 472.3                                   |                  |
| Zn       | <6.1              | 6.1 - 12.5                         | 12.5 - 15.8          | 15.8 - 19.1     | 19.1 - 25.5                             | ≥25.5            |

| Nutrient | Deficient (< 10.0) | Tendency towards sufficient (10.0 - 17.9) | Sufficient (17.9 - 22.0) | High (22.0 - 26.1) | Tendency towards excessive (26.1 - 34.0) | Excessive (≥34.0) |
|----------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------|
| N        | <10.0              | 10.0 - 17.9                             | 17.9 - 22.0              | 22.0 - 26.1       | 26.1 - 34.0                               | ≥34.0            |
| P        | <0.7               | 0.7 - 1.3                               | 1.3 - 1.6                | 1.6 - 1.9         | 1.9 - 2.4                                 | ≥2.4             |
| K        | <11.2              | 11.2 - 25.4                            | 25.4 - 32.6              | 32.6 - 39.9       | 39.9 - 54.0                               | ≥54.0            |
| Ca       | <3.0               | 3.0 - 5.6                               | 5.6 - 7.0                | 7.0 - 8.3         | 8.3 - 11.0                                | ≥11.0            |
| Mg       | <1.4               | 1.4 - 2.4                               | 2.4 - 2.9                | 2.9 - 3.4         | 3.4 - 4.4                                 | ≥4.4             |
| S        | <0.7               | 0.7 - 1.2                               | 1.2 - 1.5                | 1.5 - 1.8         | 1.8 - 2.3                                 | ≥2.3             |
| B        | <5.4               | 5.4 - 10.7                              | 10.7 - 13.4              | 13.4 - 16.1       | 16.1 - 21.4                               | ≥21.4            |
| Cu       | <2.9               | 2.9 - 5.3                               | 5.3 - 6.5                | 6.5 - 7.7         | 7.7 - 10.1                                | ≥10.1            |
| Fe       | <24.1              | 24.1 - 47.2                            | 47.2 - 59.0              | 59.0 - 70.9       | 70.9 - 93.9                               | ≥93.9            |
| Mn       | <25.8              | 25.8 - 57.3                            | 57.3 - 73.5              | 73.5 - 89.8       | 89.8 - 121.3                              | ≥121.3           |
| Zn       | <7.0               | 7.0 - 12.5                              | 12.5 - 15.4              | 15.4 - 18.3       | 18.3 - 23.8                               | ≥23.8            |

Regardless of the nutrient, interpretive concentration ranges established using the original method of Kenworthy (1961) do not differ from one another because this method is based on the assumption that each nutrient limits the crop yield to the same extent; nonetheless, quadratic models (Figure 1 and 2) fitted to the relationship between relative yield and balance indices of Kenworthy determined sufficiency ranges with different limits for each nutrient, which contradicts the Kenworthy’s approach. Differences across sufficiency ranges are due to how much a nutrient limits the yield. This yield-limiting effect is linked to how limiting the nutrient is when its concentration is lowest. This indicates that interpreting indices of Kenworthy while overlooking the differences in sufficiency ranges inherent to the crop or nutrient is not the best approach. Apparently, sufficiency ranges originally proposed by Kenworthy for apple trees had only followed a statistical criterion that took into account a 20% variability within mean leaf nutrient levels from a reference population; as a result, the farther the variability from 20%, the more misleading interpretations. Misleading interpretations are more likely to occur (DONATO et al., 2010) due to the complexity of micronutrient dynamics in the soil-plant system (ABREU et al., 2007).
With the exception of N, lower limits of sufficient concentration ranges for ‘Grand Nain’ banana cultivated in Ceará (Table 4) were close to 83%, the value proposed by Kenworthy (1961). Upper limits were also consistently close to the upper limit of 100% determined by the original method of Kenworthy, with the exception of Ca (115%). Lower limits of sufficient ranges for ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Bahia differed from that reported by Kenworthy (1961) for most nutrients including N (90%), K (95%), S (96%), Mg (94%), Cu (92%) and Mn (61%). The upper limits, however, of most nutrients were close to 100%, with the exception of K (111%) and Mn (86%).

Sufficient nitrogen concentrations established using the boundary line approach for ‘Grand Nain’ cultivated in Ceará ranged from 20.2 to 22.3 g kg⁻¹ (Table 5), whose limits are similar to the modified method of Kenworthy, 20.1 to 22.0 g kg⁻¹ (Table 6), but different from the original method of Kenworthy, 17.9 to 21.8 g kg⁻¹ (Table 7). In Bahia, the sufficient N range for ‘Grand Nain’ was 19.7-21.8 g kg⁻¹ (Table 5), similar to values determined by the modified method of Kenworthy, 19.6-21.8 g kg⁻¹ (Table 6), but different from the original method, 17.9-22.0 g kg⁻¹ (Table 7).

Nitrogen sufficiency ranges proposed herein differ from that (27.0-36.0 g kg⁻¹) proposed by Quaggio and Raij (1997) and is close to the lower limit of the range (17.0-36.0 g kg⁻¹) proposed by Pauletti and Motta (2017). The authors proposed the range for bananas of the Cavendish subgroup, to which ‘Grand Nain’ also belongs, cultivated in the Brazilian state of São Paulo and Paraná, respectively.

Investigations carried out in Brazil showed that bananas grown on sandy soils with low organic matter content respond well to N fertilizer application, especially in the first cycle (SILVA et al., 2012). However, when grown on soil with medium-to-high organic matter content (>16 g kg⁻¹), bananas might not respond to nitrogen fertilization. Yields may even decrease as N becomes excessive due to increased plant residues mineralization rate and organic matter content. This is further enhanced by high temperature and high soil moisture due to irrigation (SILVA et al., 2012; SILVA et al., 2013; PULITO et al., 2015).

Crop residue returning to the soil after harvest is an important source of nutrients in banana plantations since about 100 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ of nitrogen return to the soil through biogeochemical cycling (HOFFMANN et al., 2010). Higher N availability in soils with medium-to-high organic matter content, and the consequent reduction in crop yield possibly due to excessive N, may have contributed to the lower sufficient N concentration range in comparison with the range reported by Quaggio and Raij (1997).

Sufficient phosphorus concentration range established using the boundary line approach for ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Ceará was 1.5-1.7 g kg⁻¹ (Table 5), which are similar to those determined by both modified and original methods of Kenworthy, 1.4-1.7 g kg⁻¹ (Table 6) and 1.3-1.6 g kg⁻¹ (Table 7), respectively. In Bahia, the sufficient range derived from the boundary line for ‘Grand Nain’ ranged from 1.4 to 1.5 g kg⁻¹ (Table 5), whose limits are equal to limits established by the modified method of Kenworthy (Table 6) and similar to the original method of Kenworthy, 1.3-1.6 g kg⁻¹ (Table 7). Upper limits reported herein, both in Ceará and Bahia, are consistent with lower limits established by Quaggio and Raij (1997), 1.8-2.7 g kg⁻¹, and Pauletti and Motta (2017), 1.6-3.2 g kg⁻¹.

Phosphorus is the least accumulated macronutrient in ‘Grand Nain’ banana (HOFFMANN et al., 2010). Despite higher P availability (Table 1), there was no increase in P leaf concentration as reported by Quaggio and Raij (1997). Higher P concentration might reduce yield due to imbalances with other nutrients, particularly Zn. Multivariate compositional nutrient diagnosis (CND) indices developed by Raghupathi et al. (2002) were instrumental in diagnosing P and Zn imbalances caused by changes in N and K concentrations in Robusta (AAA) and Ney Poovan (AB) cultivars of banana. Although P is more available in the soil, P leaf concentration remains virtually unchanged (DAMATTO JUNIOR et al., 2011), even among cultivars of different subgroups (BORGES et al., 2006).

Sufficient potassium concentrations derived from boundary line approach for ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Ceará ranged from 27.2 to 36.8 g kg⁻¹ (Table 5), which is wider than those established by modified 30.5-36.6 g kg⁻¹ (Table 6), and original method of Kenworthy, 28.7 to 36.2 g kg⁻¹ (Table 7). Sufficient K concentrations determined using the boundary line approach for ‘Grand Nain’ in Bahia ranged from 24.1 to 33.2 g kg⁻¹ (Table 5). This range is different from the one calculated with the modified method of Kenworthy, 30.5-37.3 g kg⁻¹ (Table 6) and similar to the original method of Kenworthy, 25.4-32.6 g kg⁻¹ (Table 7). Upper limits of these ranges are close to lower limits reported by Quaggio and Raij (1997), 35.0-54.0 g kg⁻¹, and Pauletti and Motta (2017), 24.0-56.0 g kg⁻¹.

Potassium is the most absorbed nutrient by bananas and hence demanded in large amounts (HOFFMANN et al., 2010). Higher K availability in the soil in association with high K₂O fertilization rates leads to higher leaf K concentration; however, increased leaf K concentration does not necessarily increase crop yield, which may be either luxury or excessive consumption; instead, crop yield might reduce due to excessive K and/or to imbalances with other nutrients, especially Mg. Balanced K fertilization reflects in leaf K concentrations as well as Ca and Mg concentrations, with which K greatly interacts (SILVA; CARVALHO, 2004).
The lower sufficient range proposed by this study is consistent with the decrease in $K_2O$ rates that have been recommended over the years. For example, the recommendation in 1999 for northern Minas Gerais $K_2O$ rates that ranged from 800 to 1,600 kg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ decreased to ranges of 675 to 1,050 kg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ (SILVA, 2015).

Decreased $K_2O$ fertilizer rates and the consequent decreased K sufficient range in bananas are supported by: biochemical cycling, inside the plant, from older to younger tissues; from plant pseudostems, left standing after the harvest, to suckers linked to it; and/or biogeochemically, when the pseudostem is cut down and left on the soil to decompose right after harvesting. Potassium cycling is particularly important from the second cycle on by providing the soil with 70% (SOTO BALLESTERO, 2008) to 80% (HOFPPMANN et al., 2010) of the potassium taken up by ‘Grand Nain’ bananas.

Sufficient calcium concentrations established using the boundary line approach for ‘Grand Nain’ cultivated in Ceará ranged from 4.8 to 6.9 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 5), different from the modified and original method of Kenworthy, 6.6-9.4 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 6) and 6.1-7.9 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 7), respectively. In Bahia, the same sufficient range (5.6-6.9 g kg$^{-1}$) was established using the boundary line approach (Table 5) and the modified method of Kenworthy (Table 6). The original method of Kenworthy determined a slightly different range, 5.6-7.0 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 7). The ranges, regardless of the site, were narrower than Ca sufficient range established by Quaggio and Raij (1997), 3.0-12.0 g kg$^{-1}$, and Pauletti and Motta (2017), 5.0-12.0 g kg$^{-1}$.

Sufficient magnesium concentrations established using the boundary line approach for ‘Grand Nain’ cultivated in Ceará ranged from 2.0 to 2.6 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 5); similar ranges were established by the modified and original methods of Kenworthy, 2.2-2.8 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 6) and 2.1-2.6 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 7), respectively. Equal sufficient ranges were established using the boundary line approach and modified method of Kenworthy for ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Bahia, 2.7-3.0 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 5 and 6). The original method of Kenworthy generated a similar range, 2.4-2.9 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 7). These ranges differ from that proposed by Quaggio and Raij (1997), 3.0-6.0 g kg$^{-1}$, whose lower limit is similar to the upper limits of the ranges reported herein, regardless of the site, however, the lower limit is close to the range proposed by Pauletti and Motta (2017), 2.2-4.0 g kg$^{-1}$.

The lower Mg concentration ranges might be due to higher K fertilizer rates, decreasing Mg uptake from the soil (SILVA; CARVALHO, 2004). One must be careful when diagnosing the nutritional status of bananas; the crop is a monocot and has low root cation exchange capacity, thus absorbing monovalent rather than divalent ions. This can accentuate Mg and Ca deficiencies in bananas. A balanced supply of K, Ca and Mg is essential in alleviating plant stress (MARSCHNER, 2012), which is particularly important for crops grown in the semiarid.

Sufficient sulfur concentrations generated using the boundary line approach for ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Ceará ranged from 1.2 to 1.4 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 5). Similar limits were established by both modified and original methods of Kenworthy: 1.2-1.5 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 6 and 7). For ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Bahia, sufficient ranges generated using the boundary line approach and modified method of Kenworthy had the same limits, 1.4-1.6 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 5 and 6). The range established by the original method was different, 1.2-1.5 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 7); nonetheless, every S range differs from the range reported by Quaggio and Raij (1997), 1.6-3.0 g kg$^{-1}$, and by Pauletti and Motta (2017), 1.5-2.4 g kg$^{-1}$.

Although macronutrient concentration ranges were different from one another in cultivars of the same subgroup, Raghupathi et al. (2002) compared, through discriminant analysis, two quite distinct banana cultivars, Robusta (AAA) and Ney Poovan (AB), and reported differences in nutrient concentration range only for K and Ca. The authors used multivariate compositional nutrient diagnosis (CND), which shows that the method plays a role in determining the extent to which the sufficiency ranges differ from one another.

Sufficient boron concentrations derived from boundary line approach ranged from 5.9 to 9.2 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Table 5); somewhat similar to ranges established by the modified and original methods of Kenworthy: 7.1-10.8 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Table 6) and 7.2-9.6 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Table 7), respectively. In Bahia, the sufficient concentrations estimated from boundary line approach ranged from 6.0 to 9.8 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Table 5); different from ranges established by the modified and original methods of Kenworthy: 10.4-12.8 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Table 6) and 10.7-13.4 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Table 7), respectively. Upper concentration limits of ranges derived from boundary line for ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Ceará are close to the lower limit established by Quaggio and Raij (1997): 10.0-25.0 mg kg$^{-1}$, while its lower limit is similar to those established by the modified and original method of Kenworthy in Bahia. A broader range, but with lower limits similar to that of the present work is reported in Pauletti and Motta (2017), 9.0-75.0 g kg$^{-1}$.

Sufficient copper concentrations derived from boundary line for ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Ceará ranged from 3.8 to 6.5 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Table 5); these limits are close to those determined by the modified and original methods of Kenworthy: 4.3-6.0 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Table 6) and 4.1-5.9 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Table 7), respectively. Sufficient ranges determined by boundary line and modified method of Kenworthy for ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Bahia ranged from 5.9 to 6.8 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Table 5 and 6); this range slightly differs from that determined by the original method of Kenworthy: 5.3-6.5 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Table 7). Upper limits of the ranges reported herein are close to the lower limit of the range in Quaggio and Raij (1997), 6.0-30.0 mg kg$^{-1}$, same range reported by Pauletti and Motta (2017).
Potential nutrient-response curves and sufficiency ranges of ‘Grand Nain’ banana cultivated in two environment

Sufficient iron concentration range derived from boundary line for ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Ceará was 49.8-70.2 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 5); the upper limit was very close to the limits determined by the modified and original methods of Kenworthy: 58.9-72.0 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 6) and 54.8-68.7 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 7), respectively, however, the lower limit was slightly lower. For ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Bahia, sufficiency range derived from boundary line ranged from 51.5 to 62.6 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 5), which is close to ranges established by the modified and original method of Kenworthy: 49.3-59.0 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 6) and 47.2-59.0 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 7), respectively. The ranges reported herein are much lower than the range proposed by Quaggio and Raij (1997), 80.0-360.0 mg kg⁻¹, however, with the lower limits close to that proposed by Pauletti and Motta (2017), 45-360 g kg⁻¹.

Sufficient manganese concentration ranges for ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Ceará were inconsistent, regardless of the method used. 43.2-79.4 mg kg⁻¹ is the range derived from the boundary line (Table 5); 59.6-187.1 mg kg⁻¹ is the range estimated by the modified method of Kenworthy; and 65.7-168.9 mg kg⁻¹, by the original method of Kenworthy (Table 7). In Bahia, however, the boundary line-derived sufficient range was 35.5-59.9 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 5), and was similar to that determined by the modified method of Kenworthy: 36.3-60.2 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 6); and different from the original method of Kenworthy: 57.3-73.5 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 7). These ranges were much lower and narrower than the range reported by Quaggio and Raij (1997), 200-2000 mg kg⁻¹. This broader range might be because the authors had not used a correction factor (k) to narrow the range (ALVES et al., 2019) for highly fluctuating nutrients, such as micronutrients with CV higher than 20%. However, the ranges are closer to the reported by Pauletti and Motta (2017), 80-180 g kg⁻¹.

Sufficient zinc concentrations estimated by the boundary line approach for ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Ceará ranged from 13.6 to 17.8 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 5). Similar results were found by the modified and original method of Kenworthy, 13.1-16.8 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 6) and 12.5-15.8 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 7), respectively. For ‘Grand Nain’ grown in Bahia, the sufficient range determined from boundary line ranged from 13.3 to 15.0 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 5). Similar limits were determined by the modified and original methods of Kenworthy: 13.4-14.9 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 6) and 12.25-15.4 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 7), respectively. These ranges are lower and narrower than that found by Quaggio and Raij (1997), 20.0-50.0 mg kg⁻¹, however, with the lower limits close to that proposed by Pauletti and Motta (2017), 12.0-50.0 g kg⁻¹.

Sufficient leaf concentration ranges for micronutrients are inconsistent with those reported in the literature. This may be explained by the fluctuating nature of micronutrients in the soil, which is affected by pH, organic matter content, clay content, soil source rock, and, specifically for Fe and Mn, by the reduction potential affecting these elements’ availability under anoxia. These factors can interfere with micronutrient uptake by bananas, affecting how much of the element is found in leaf tissues (ABREU et al., 2007).

Sufficient concentration ranges determined by the boundary line approach for N, P, Ca and S are consistent from one site to the other. However, sufficient K concentration range determined in bananas grown in Ceará (27.2-36.8 g kg⁻¹) is broader than the range determined in Bahia (24.1-33.2 g kg⁻¹) probably because the soil K level in Ceará is about 2.5 times higher than in Bahia (Table 1).

Sufficient Mg concentration range determined for Ceará (2.0-2.6 g kg⁻¹) is lower than the range established for Bahia (2.7-3.0 g kg⁻¹) in spite of the higher soil Mg level in Ceará (27 mmol dm⁻³) than in Bahia (10 mmol dm⁻³) (Table 1). Competitive inhibition might be the reason for that because the leaf K/Mg ratio was 4.3:1 in Ceará and 3.5:1 in Bahia; therefore, in Ceará, K uptake increased to the detriment of Mg uptake. Adequate K/Mg ratio at flowering stage ranges from 2.5:1 to 3.5:1. Ratios equal to or higher than 4.0:1 are considered too high (SILVA, 2015), since it indicates Mg deficiency due to excessive K. This might be associated with frequent K fertilization, seeing that K/Mg ratios in the two soils are 0.38:1 and 0.34:1 in Ceará and Bahia, respectively, which are within the ideal range 0.2-0.5 (SILVA, 2015). Likewise, the higher soil Ca level in Ceará raises Ca/Mg ratio to 4.2:1, ratio above the optimum range, 1.5-3.0 (SILVA, 2015); as a result, Mg uptake might be hampered and leaf Mg concentration decreased. In Bahia, however, Ca/Mg ratio, 2.7:1, is within the optimum range.

Banana has low root cation exchange capacity, so monovalent cations are more readily taken up. Thus, conditions under which stomata closure is more likely to occur, such as high temperatures and low relative humidity (Table 2), affect Mg⁺⁺ uptake as well as other highly mobile elements that are taken up from the soil by plants through mass flow, i.e., the water potential difference between the soil and roots as a result of plant transpiration. Magnesium deficiency impairs banana growth and yield, so this might partially be the reason of the lower average yield (52.35 Mg ha⁻¹) recorded in Ceará compared to the average recorded in Bahia (65.15 Mg ha⁻¹). Moreover, banana yield reductions can be influenced by non-nutritional factors. In this study, high temperature stood out for having a negative effect on Rubisco activity and on membrane permeability, thereby decreasing carboxylation and photosynthesis rates (ARANTES et al., 2018).
Proposed micronutrient ranges are not consistent between sites owing to micronutrients’ highly fluctuating leaf concentrations, which are influenced by soil pH, organic matter content, clay content, and reduction potential. These interfere with nutrient uptake; hence, different sufficiency ranges.

Sufficient concentration ranges established using the boundary line approach and modified method of Kenworthy were very alike for most nutrients, and, in some instances, they were different from the original method of Kenworthy; therefore, it is necessary to determine reference values when establishing sufficiency ranges by the method of Kenworthy, so that plant nutrient status is more accurately assessed.

Sufficient concentration ranges proposed in this paper are different from those reported by Quaggio and Raij (1997) for bananas belonging to the Cavendish subgroup, the same subgroup to which ‘Grand Nain’ belongs, and grown in the state of São Paulo. These differences indicate an overall decrease in sufficiency ranges. Analogously, Urano et al. (2007) and Deus et al. (2018) reported narrower normal ranges than those found in the literature; Accordingly, these region-specific methods should be considered more accurate by decreasing soil and climate variation effects, i.e., in accordance with the farm’s production capacity. This prevents misleading extrapolations and mistakes when transferring knowledge; simply put, different environments, different managements (RESENDE et al., 2017). Additionally, when estimating ranges for highly fluctuating nutrients by the sufficiency range approach, one must consider a correction factor (k), which is often not employed by authors.

Conclusions

Potential response curves were determined as to leaf macro- and micronutrient concentrations, balance indices of Kenworthy, with high predictive power, for irrigated ‘Grand Nain’ bananas.

Sufficiency ranges were established for macro and micronutrient concentrations, balance indices of Kenworthy. These ranges allow improved nutrient status assessment of irrigated ‘Grand Nain’ bananas.
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