You can use it there too (2): Deployment of the Bridge occlusion balloon during transvenous lead extraction via a persistent left superior vena cava
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Introduction
Superior vena cava (SVC) injuries/tears are the most lethal and feared complication of transvenous lead extractions. Although these injuries are rare (0.46% of all lead extractions performed), they account for the majority of mortalities.

The Bridge Occlusion Balloon® (Philips-Spectranetics, Colorado Springs, CO) was developed and approved by the FDA as a rescue tool in the event of an SVC tear. It is a compliant balloon designed to temporarily occlude the site of injury, which in turn allows more time for surgical intervention. Since the inception of the Bridge balloon, there has been mounting evidence of its benefit in reducing mortality in the event of an SVC tear. The Bridge balloon was developed and tested and has been used to help manage right-sided SVC tears.

A persistent left-sided superior vena cava (PLSVC) is the most common anomaly of systemic venous drainage (present in 0.5% of the general population and about 10% of those with congenital heart disease). Cardiac device implantation in patients with PLSVC can be challenging and potentially carries a higher risk of complications. It is critical for cardiac electrophysiologists to be familiar with such an uncommon anomaly when it comes to cardiac device management, and lead extraction is not an exception. There are case reports of performing transvenous lead extraction safely in patients with PLSVC. We present here the first report of test deploying the Bridge balloon in the left SVC safely during transvenous lead extraction involving a dual-coil implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) lead implanted via a PLSVC.

Case report
The patient is a 74-year-old woman with a history of non-ischemic cardiomyopathy (left ventricular ejection fraction 35%) who underwent a single-chamber dual-coil ICD (Medtronic 6942) implant for primary prevention 22 years prior. She was transferred to our institution for transvenous lead extraction, after being diagnosed with endocarditis. A pre-procedure chest radiograph demonstrated that her ICD lead was implanted via a PLSVC. A super-stiff guidewire was advanced via the right femoral vein into the right atrium. It was easily passed into the right-sided SVC (Figure 1, left panel). Utilizing a multipurpose guide catheter, the ostium of the coronary sinus was easily cannulated (Figure 1, right panel). The wire was advanced into the PLSVC, adjacent to the SVC coil of the ICD lead and up into the right atrium.
jugular vein. The Bridge balloon was successfully deployed and inflated to ensure it could occlude the PLSVC in the unlikely event of a tear (Figure 2). The balloon was deployed safely, the volume for proper inflation noted, and the position on the catheter marked. The balloon was then deflated and withdrawn to the IVC. The guidewire was left in the PLSVC.

The procedure was carried out as previously reported. Briefly, the procedure was performed under invasive blood pressure monitoring, general anesthesia, and transesophageal echocardiogram monitoring. The device pocket was opened, the generator was disconnected from the ICD lead, the anchor was removed, and the lead was mobilized to the venous entry site. The active-fixation mechanism was retracted and the lead was cut. A locking stylet (EZ®; Philips, Amsterdam, Netherlands) was inserted distally and a #5 silk tied to the outer insulation. The dual-coil defibrillator lead showed lead-to-vessel binding and required a 16F laser sheath (GlideLite®; Philips, Amsterdam, Netherlands) for extraction (Figure 3, left panel). Complete procedural success was achieved (Figure 3, right panel).

Discussion
We report a case of transvenous lead extraction in a patient with a dual-coil ICD implanted via a PLSVC. There have been many reports of using the Bridge balloon in the right SVC in cases of tears/injury. We previously published using the Bridge balloon in a case of left subclavian injury. To our knowledge, this is the first report demonstrating the feasibility of deploying and inflating the Bridge balloon in a PLSVC.

PLSVC is an uncommon thoracic venous anomaly that implanting electrophysiologists may encounter. Unfortunately, just like leads implanted via a right-sided SVC, leads implanted via a PLSVC may require extraction. This case demonstrates that the Bridge occlusion balloon can be safely deployed in the PLSVC. It has become standard practice to place a guidewire via the femoral vein through the SVC to the right internal jugular vein prior to extraction to serve as a guide should the Bridge balloon be required. In some cases, the balloon is staged on the wire. This report demonstrates that the same practice can be employed for extraction from the PLSVC.

Conclusion
We describe the first report of safely deploying the Bridge Occlusion Balloon to successfully occlude a PLSVC prior to dual-coil ICD lead extraction. This remains a critical tool
in our armamentarium to safely perform transvenous lead extraction procedures.
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