The plant immune system is composed of two classes of receptors—the membrane-anchored pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and the intracellular nucleotide-binding and leucine-rich repeat receptors (NLRs; Jones and Dangl, 2006; Dangl et al., 2013). The PRR family consists of receptor kinases (RKs) and receptor-like proteins (RLPs) acting in the first tier of the plant immune system. PRRs contain a variable ectodomain that usually function to recognize either conserved microbial signatures known as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) or damage indicators known as danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). PRR activation induces immune responses known as PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) including expression of immune-related genes (Fig. 1) to ward off microbes (Macho and Zipfel, 2014). Many pathogenic microbes, however, can successfully deliver effector proteins into the plant cell to dampen PTI signaling by manipulating host targets. Plants hence have evolved NLRs as intracellular immune receptors to mediate the second level of surveillance (Fig. 1) through specific recognition of pathogen effectors (Chisholm et al., 2006). Upon perception of effectors, NLRs coordinate a rapid and robust immune signaling response termed effector-triggered immunity, which often leads to hypersensitive response (HR, local cell death at the infection site) and limitation of pathogenic microbes (Fig. 1; Jones et al., 2016).

In the last two decades, tremendous advances have been made in functional and mechanistic dissection of plant PRRs and NLRs. There are many excellent reviews on these exciting achievements, mainly from the point view of genetics and physiology (Cui et al., 2015; Boutrot and Zipfel, 2017; Tang et al., 2017; Kourelis and van der Hoorn, 2018; Wan et al., 2019b; Zhang et al., 2017b). In this review, we highlight some of recent structural studies of PRRs and NLRs and discuss how they provided insights into their acting mechanisms.

**STRUCTURAL MECHANISMS OF RECOGNITION, ACTIVATION, AND REGULATION OF PLANT PRRS**

RK-PRRs contain a variable N-terminal extracellular domain (ECD), a transmembrane segment (TM), and a conserved cytoplasmic kinase domain (KD); whereas RLP-PRRs lack an obvious intracellular domain that is typically short (~24 amino acids). Based on their ECDs,
RK-PRRs can be categorized into several groups (Fig. 2A; Böhm et al., 2014; Macho and Zipfel, 2014; Zipfel, 2014). The largest one is leucine-rich repeat (LRR)-RKs and the well-known examples are FLAGELLIN-SENSITIVE2 (FLS2; Gómez-Gómez and Boller, 2000) and EF-TU RECEPTOR (Zipfel et al., 2006), sensing the PAMPs of peptide epitopes of flagellin and elongation factor, respectively. Other examples from this group include PEP RECEPTORS (PEPRs) and RLK7, which perceive the DAMPs of PLANT ELICITOR PEPTIDES (Yamaguchi et al., 2006, 2010) and PAMP-INDUCED SECRETED PEPTIDES (Hou et al., 2014), respectively. The Lys-motif (LysM) RK-PRRs such as CHITIN ELICITOR RECEPTOR KINASE1 (CERK1; Miya et al., 2007; Wan et al., 2008) and LYSIN MOTIF RECEPTOR KINASES (Cao et al., 2014) are the receptors of the polysaccharide PAMPs like chitin. WALL-ASSOCIATED KINASE1 (CaM2; Kaku et al., 2006) and LYSIN MOTIF DOMAIN-OLIGOSACCHARIDE ELICITOR BINDING PROTEIN (OsRLPs, including the receptors of chitin, CHITIN OLGOSACCHARIDE ELICITOR BINDING PROTEIN (CEBiP, Kaku et al., 2006) and LYSIN MOTIF DOMAIN-CONTAINING GPI-ANCHORED PROTEIN2 (LYM2; Faulkner et al., 2013). Other members from this group are DOES NOT RESPOND TO NUCLEOTIDES1 (Choi et al., 2014) and LIP-OOLIGOSACCHARIDE-SPECIFIC REDUCED ELICITATION (Kutschera et al., 2019), which recognize the extracellular ATP DAMP and the bacterial medium-chain 3-hydroxy fatty acids PAMP, respectively. Compared to RK-PRRs, fewer subgroups of RLP-PRRs have been characterized. One is the LRR-RLPs that usually sense PAMPs (Fig. 2A, lower). Another one is the LysM-RLPs, including the receptors of chitin, CHITIN OLGOSACCHARIDE ELICITOR BINDING PROTEIN (CEBiP, Kaku et al., 2006) and LYSIN MOTIF DOMAIN-CONTAINING GPI-ANCHORED PROTEIN2 (LYM2; Faulkner et al., 2013). Other members from this group are LYM1 and LYM3, and LYSIN MOTIF-CONTAINING PROTEIN4 and LYSIN MOTIF-CONTAINING PROTEIN6. The former two are receptors of peptidoglycan (PGN; Willmann et al., 2011), while the latter two function to sense both PGN and chitin (Liu et al., 2012a).

Ligand sensing by ECDs activates KDS for immune signaling. Because of the lack of KDs, RLP-PRRs generally function together with RKs. PAMP perception by single RK-PRRs such as Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) AtCERK1 has been reported (Miya et al., 2007; Wan et al., 2008), but many RK-PRRs require a coreceptor for signaling. Several recent studies (Jailalais et al., 2011; Smakowska-Luzan et al., 2018; Xi et al., 2019) suggested that the size of ECD is crucial for ligand perception by LRR-RKs and could be used to predict whether they function as receptors or coreceptors. For instance, when the LRR-RKs containing large size of ECDs act as the ligand-binding receptors, another small LRR-RK is preferred for coreceptor, but not itself. The LRR-RK SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS RECEPTOR KINASE3 (SERK3, also called BRII-ASSOCIATED KINASE1 [BAK1]) and its orthologs are commonly shared coreceptors by LRR-RKs involved in diverse signaling pathways including immunity (Ma et al., 2016). Additionally, BAK1/SERKs together with the LRR-RK SUPPRESSOR OF BIR-1 (SOBIR1) also function as coreceptors of multiple LRR-RLPs (Liebrand et al., 2014). Given their critical role in plant immunity, BAK1/SERKs are subjected to negative regulation by pathogen effectors (Ma et al., 2016) and by host components like the LRR-RK BAK1-INTERACTING RECEPTOR KINASEs (BIRs; Gao et al., 2009; Halter et al., 2014) in Arabidopsis. More recently, the Arabidopsis maitake-like RK FERONIA (FER) was shown to act as a scaffold for regulation of assembly of PRR-containing complexes (Shen et al., 2017; Stegmann et al., 2017).

PAMP-induced Homodimerization of PRRs for Activation

Chitin, a polymeric N-acetyl-glucosamine (NAG), is a well-characterized fungal PAMP. Different mechanisms are employed by Arabidopsis and rice (Oryza sativa) for perception of the PAMP. The LysM-RKs AtCERK1 (Miya et al., 2007; Wan et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2012b) and LYSIN MOTIF RECEPTOR KINASES (Cao et al., 2014) are direct receptors of chitin in Arabidopsis. In contrast, direct chitin recognition in rice is through the LysM-RLP OsCEBiP to activate OsCERK1 (Kaku et al., 2006; Shimizu et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2016a). The crystal structures of AtCERK1ECD and OsCEBiPECD in complex with a chito-pentamer and a chito-tetramer, respectively, revealed a conserved chitin recognition mechanism (Fig. 3, A and B; Liu et al., 2016a, 2012b). The structures of AtCERK1ECD and OsCEBiPECD are conserved in their LysMs, but only LysM2 was found to bind chitin. In the structures, chito-oligomers anchor to a shallow groove created by two loops of LysM2. Recognition of the chitin oligomers by AtCERK1 and OsCEBiP is mainly through a conserved set of residues of LysM2 that interacts with three NAG units.

Interaction of the N-acetyl groups of chitin with AtCERK1 and OsCEBiP can allow the two proteins to distinguish the PAMP from β-1,3-glucan (Glc; Liu et al., 2016a, 2012b). However, in addition to chitin, PGN (Willmann et al., 2011) and nonbranched Glc (Melida et al., 2018) also trigger AtCERK1-mediated immune responses in Arabidopsis. PGN perception by AtCERK1 is through the LysM-RLPs LYM1 and LYM3, although how AtCERK1 is activated remains elusive. Modeling studies suggested that β-1,3-Glcβ also binds to LysM2 of AtCERK1 but with a different orientation from the chitopentamer or the chitotramer (Melida et al., 2018). AtCERK1 was suggested to recognize chitosan, a partially deacetylated chitin, to elicit immune responses in Arabidopsis (Cabrera et al., 2010; Petutschnig et al., 2010), which is further confirmed by a more recent study (Gubaeva et al., 2018).

Biochemical and functional data support chitin-induced AtCERK1 homodimerization for activation (Liu et al., 2012b). A longer chitin chain was proposed to act as a cross linker along which two AtCERK1 molecules bind for dimerization, known as the cross-linking model. A later modeling study of OsCEBiP...
dimerization suggested that hexachitin mediates homodimerization of OsCEBiP, with each LysM2 binding three NAGs in a “sliding mode” (Liu et al., 2016a), further supporting the cross-linking model. Two alternative models were recently suggested on chitin-induced OsCEBiP/AtCERK1 dimerization. One is called the sandwich-like model, where two CEBiP molecules simultaneously bind to one chitin chain from opposite sides (Hayafune et al., 2014). Analyses of chitin/chitosan oligosaccharides with varying degrees of polymerization or acetylation led to the slipped sandwich model (Gubaeva et al., 2018), in which two AtCERK1 molecules form an off-set chitin-binding groove for chitin or chitosan binding. This new model is a combination of the above models and provides an explanation for inhibition of chito-octamer-induced immunity by a chitosan octamer consisting of alternating GlcN and GlcNAc (Hayafune et al., 2014). Regardless of the mechanisms involved, chitin-induced homodimerization is required for AtCERK1 and OsCEBiP activation.

**PAMPs and DAMPs Act as Molecular Glue To Induce Heterodimerization of PRRs with Their Co-receptors**

The LRR-RK PRR FLS2 perceives flagellin by recognizing its highly conserved N-terminal epitope, a 22-residue peptide called flg22. BAK1 as a coreceptor is required for FLS2-mediated immune signaling (Chinchilla et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2013). The crystal structure of the ecto-LRR domain of FLS2 (FLS2^{LRR}) in complex with flg22 and BAK1^{LRR} revealed that flg22 adopts an elongated conformation interacting with the inner surface of FLS2^{LRR} (Sun et al., 2013; Fig. 3C). Flg22 binding creates a novel surface on FLS2^{LRR} for interaction with BAK1^{LRR}. The C-terminal side of flg22 is sandwiched between FLS2^{LRR} and BAK1^{LRR}, indicating that flg22 acts as molecular glue to connect FLS2 with BAK1. In addition to the flg22-mediated interaction, BAK1^{LRR} also anchors to the C-terminal portion of FLS2^{LRR}. Both flg22-mediated and direct FLS2^{LRR}–BAK1^{LRR} contacts are important to form the FLS2–flg22–BAK2 complex.

The Arabidopsis PLANT ELICITOR PEPTIDES are classic DAMPs recognized by the LRR-RKs PEPR1 and PEPR2 (Yamaguchi et al., 2006, 2010). The crystal structure of PEPR1^{LRR} bound by AtPep1 showed that their recognition mechanism is remarkably conserved with that for FLS2^{LRR} recognition of flg22 (Tang et al., 2015; Fig. 3, C and D), although flg22 and AtPep1 are sequence-unrelated. Similarly, AtPep1 induced a heterodimeric PEPR1^{LRR}–BAK1^{LRR} complex. Modeling and binding studies indicated that the C-terminal side of AtPep1 is required for PEPR1^{LRR} interaction with BAK1^{LRR}, supporting AtPep1 as molecular glue to induce PEPR1^{LRR}–BAK1^{LRR} heterodimerization. Later biochemical and structural studies showed that many plant growth-promoting peptides such as CLAVATA3/ENDOSPERM SURROUNDING REGION-RELATED41 (Zhang et al., 2016), INFLORESCENCE DEFICIENT IN ABCISSION (Santiago et al., 2016),
Sequestering of BAK/SERKs by BIRs Negatively Regulates Plant Immunity

BIR1 was initially identified as a BAK1-interacting protein (Gao et al., 2009). Loss of BIR1 led to SOBIR1-dependent autoimmunity and cell death. There are four BIR members (BIR1, BIR2, BIR3, and BIR4) in Arabidopsis, and all of them interacted with BAK1 when expressed in Nicotiana benthamiana (Halter et al., 2014). Recent structural and biochemical studies (Ma et al., 2017; Hohmann et al., 2018) underlined that the ectodomains of BAK1 and BIR1-4 are sufficient for their interaction. BIR1LRR–BAK1LRR interaction is mediated by packing of one lateral side of BIR1LRR against the C-terminal inner surface and the C-terminal capping domain of BAK1LRR (Fig. 4A). The BAK1-interacting residues are highly conserved among BIR1–BIR4, suggesting a conserved mechanism of BIR–BAK1 interaction as further confirmed by the structure of BIR3LRR–SERK1LRR (Fig. 4A; Hohmann et al., 2018). Importantly, structural comparison showed that the BIR1-contacting surface of BAK1LRR or the BIR3-contacting surface of SERK1LRR is also involved in interaction with other LRR-RKs such as FLS2 (Fig. 4B), suggesting that a BIR and these LRR-RKs may compete for interaction with BAK1/SERKs. Indeed, the FLS2LRR–flg22 complex efficiently outcompeted BIR1LRR for BAK1LRR binding (Ma et al., 2017). A similar observation was made for BRI1LRR–brassinolide (BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE1 [BRI1]) with BIR2LRR and BAK1LRR (Hohmann et al., 2018). These data support the idea that a BIR can negatively regulate BAK1/SERK signaling by sequestering them from their paired RKs, as suggested by Halter et al. (2014). A similar mechanism is applied to negative regulation of BR (brassinosteroid) signaling by BIR3 (Imkampe et al., 2017).

Loss of BIR1 promotes BAK1–SOBIR1 interaction (Liu et al., 2016c), suggesting that BIR1 and SOBIR1 may interact with BAK1 in a competitive manner. This mechanism is consistent with the observation that overexpression of full-length or the ECD-TM of BAK1 in plants generated SOBIR1-dependent autoimmunity (Domínguez-Ferreras et al., 2015). On the other hand, overexpression of ECD-TM of BAK1 can interfere with immune signaling mediated by BAK1 and SOBIR1. Consistently, plants overexpressing the ECD-TM of BAK1 developed better than those overexpressing full-length BAK1 (Domínguez-Ferreras et al., 2015). Although both BIR1 and SOBIR1 interact with BAK1, the BIR1-binding region of BAK1 is less likely to completely overlap with the SOBIR1-interacting domain of BAK1, as transgenic plants expressing a BAK1 mutant protein with compromised binding to BIR1 were constitutively autoimmune.
active in inducing immune responses (Ma et al., 2017). It currently remains unknown what signals relieve BIR1-inhibited SOBIR1 signaling when needed. Given the fact that cell death in bir-1 occurs even under sterile conditions (Gao et al., 2009), such signals, if present, appear to be endogenous.

Despite their conserved biochemical activities, BIR1–BIR4 have diversified functions. BIR1 is important to inhibit immunity mediated by BAK1 and SOBIR1 (Gao et al., 2009), whereas BIR2 and BIR3 have critical roles in negative regulation of PTI (Halter et al., 2014) and negative regulation of BR signaling (Imkampe et al., 2017; Hohmann et al., 2018), respectively. One possibility to reconcile the conserved biochemical activities of BIRs with their signaling specificity may be that they exist in distinct pools that are accessible to different RK-signaling complexes. It is of interest to note that a recent study using live-cell imaging showed that FLS2 and BRIL localize to distinct plasma membrane (PM) nanodomains (Bücherl et al., 2017). But whether this is the case with BIRs remains undetermined.

GPI-Anchored Proteins as Coreceptors of RKs to Regulate Plant Immunity

FER belongs to the *Catharanthus roseus* RLK1-like subfamily with 17 members in Arabidopsis (Franck et al., 2018) and plays pleiotropic roles in plant growth, development, and immunity. The endogenous Cys-rich peptides RAPID ALKALINIZATION FACTOR (RALFs; Pearce et al., 2001; Escobar-Restrepo et al., 2007; Haruta et al., 2014; Ge et al., 2017; Stegmann et al., 2017) and the glycosyl-phosphatidyl-inositol (GPI)-anchored proteins (GAPs) LORELEI and its homologs LLG1, LLG2, and LLG3 (Capron et al., 2008; Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016b; Shen et al., 2017) are essential for FER-mediated signaling. FER negatively regulates PTI via recognition of RALF23 (Stegmann et al., 2017). The recently solved crystal structure of the RALF23–LLG2–FER ECD complex revealed that RALF23 directly binds to LLG2 (Xiao et al., 2019; Fig. 5). A highly conserved N-terminal region is sufficient for RALF23 recognition by LLG1 and LLG2. Consistently, RALFs containing this region interact with LLG1, LLG2, and LLG3 and induce binding of the three LLG proteins to FER ECD in vitro. Recognition of diverse RALFs via LLGs is consistent with the multitasking FER. Biochemical and functional data showed that recognition of RALF23 by LLG1 results in recruitment of FER through formation of a composite LLG1–RALF23 interface. Structural comparison between apo-LLG1 and the RALF23–LLG2–FER ECD complex suggests that RALF23 binding induces no conformational change in LLG2 (Fig. 5). These and functional data established LLG1 as a coreceptor of FER to modulate plant immunity. Two more recent studies showed that LLG2 and LLG3 also function as coreceptors of the FER orthologs ANXUR/BUPS to regulate pollen tube growth and development (Feng et al., 2019; Ge et al., 2019) in response to RALF4 and RALF9. The emerging data suggest that LLGs function as coreceptors of different members of the CrRLK1-like subfamily for regulation of diverse signaling pathways.

Around 250 GAPs are encoded in the genome of Arabidopsis (Zhou, 2019). The data discussed above suggest that other GAPs might also function as coreceptors to indirectly transmit signals from the PM by working in concert with RKs. OsCEBiP was initially thought to be an RLP, but was recently determined to be a GAP (Gong et al., 2017). Like RALF23 with LLG1 and FER, chitin binding induces OsCEBiP...
interaction with the RK OsCERK1 for defense signaling. The LysM-containing proteins LYM1 and LYM2 from Medicago truncatula were also shown to be GAPs (Fliegmann et al., 2011). Unlike OsCEBiP and BAK1/SERKs that act as coreceptors through homotypic interactions with other RKs, however, LLGs form ligand-induced complexes with the phylogenetically unrelated FER family members. Thus, the RALF-induced LLG-FER/ANXUR/BUPS complexes represent a novel type of ones for perception of plant peptides. The GAP GFRα in animals recognizes the glial-cell-line–derived neurotrophic factors and is consequently recruited to the receptor Tyr kinase RET (Paratcha and Ledda, 2008), forming complexes similar to those induced by RALFs.

In addition to LLGs, LRR-extensin (LRX) proteins also recognize RALF peptides. RALF4, RALF9–LRX1, and LRX2–LRX5 interaction was initially shown to be important for pollen tube growth (Mecchia et al., 2017). A recent structural study revealed the interaction mechanism between RALF and LRX (Moussu et al., 2019). Additionally, LRX3–LRX5 were also shown to associate with RALF22 and RALF23, and FER, to modulate plant salt tolerance in Arabidopsis (Zhao et al., 2018). More recently, Herger et al. (2019) indicated that FER, RALF1, and LRX1–LRX5 function

**Figure 4.** Sequestering of the coreceptor of PRRs by BIRs. A. Crystal structures of the BIR1^{LRR}-BAK1^{LRR} complex (left) and the BIR3^{LRR}-SERK1^{LRR} complex (middle, PDB: 6FG8), and the structural alignment of these two complexes (right). Residues mediating detailed interactions between BIR1^{LRR}-BAK1^{LRR} and BIR3^{LRR}-SERK1^{LRR} are shown in stick. B. Structural alignment between FLS2^{LRR}-flg22-BAK1^{LRR} complex and BIR1^{LRR}-BAK1^{LRR}. BAK1^{LRR} was used as the template for the alignment.

**Figure 5.** Structure of the RLF23–LLG2–FER^{CD} complex. Left: Overall structure of the RLF23–LLG2–FER^{CD} complex (PDB: 6A5E) shown in cartoon. The color codes are indicated. N, N terminus; C, C terminus. Right: Structural superposition of the RLF23–LLG2–FER^{CD} complex and apo-LLG1 (PDB: 6A5D). The five α-helices of LLG1 and LLG2 are indicated.
together to coordinate plant growth. In future it will be worth testing whether the LRX proteins act as coreceptors of FER and its orthologs.

PLANT NLRs: INNATE IMMUNE RECEPTORS WITH HIGH SPECIFICITY IN PATHOGEN RECOGNITION

Conserved in animals and plants, NLRs have a modular domain architecture comprising a variable N-terminal domain, a conserved central nucleotide binding and oligomerization domain (NOD), and a C-terminal LRR domain (Maekawa et al., 2011b; Duxbury et al., 2016). A similar domain structure is present in the apoptotic protein APOPTOTIC PEPTIDASE ACTIVATING FACTOR1 (Apaf-1). The NOD module can be further divided into NB domain (NBD), helical domain1 (HD1), and winged helical domain (WHD; Fig. 2B). Both NLRs and Apaf-1 belong to the signal transduction ATPase with a numerous domain family (Lukasik and Takken, 2009). Depending on their N-terminal domains, plant NLRs can be broadly classified into coiled-coil (CC) and Toll/IL1 receptor/resistance proteins (TIR) NLRs (Fig. 2B). Among the CC-NLRs, one basal clade is distinguished by having CC domains resembling the resistance to powdery mildew8 protein, referred to as CCe-NLR (Collier et al., 2011). Despite their conserved domain structure, plant NLRs display highly diverse modes for perception of pathogen effectors. The most straightforward way for NLRs to detect pathogen effectors is through direct association. However, many NLRs indirectly recognize effectors by sensing effector-modified host components (guard model; Khan et al., 2016). Effector recognition in some cases requires two genetically linked NLRs (called paired NLRs) with one functioning as the sensor and the other as the executor (Fig. 2B; Césari et al., 2014a). A sensor NLR often contains an integrated domain responsible for effector binding as supported by structural and biochemical studies (Maqbool et al., 2015; Ortiz et al., 2017). Well-characterized paired NLRs include the CC-NLR pairs R-GENE ANALOG5 (RGA5)/RGA4 (Césari et al., 2013, 2014b) and Pik locus1/Pik locus2 (Zhai et al., 2011; Kanzaki et al., 2012) from rice and the Arabidopsis TIR-NLR pair RESISTANCE TO RALSTONIA SOLANACEARUM1 (RRS1)/RESISTANCE-RELATED KINASE1 (RKS1) pseudokinase to mediate immunity induced by the Xanthomonas campestris pathovar campestris effector AvrRAC (Wang et al., 2015). AvrRAC uridylylates the receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase PBS1-LIKE PROTEIN2 (PBL2), allowing the modified PBL2 (PBL2UMP) to be recognized by RKS1 in the preformed ZAR1-RKS1 complex. Recent cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structures of ZAR1 in resting, primed, and activated states revealed the mechanisms of autoinhibition, effector recognition, nucleotide exchange, and activation of the NLR (Wang et al., 2019a, 2019b).

As found in the inactive NLRs NRC1 (Steele et al., 2019), NLR FAMILY CARD DOMAIN CONTAINING4 (NLRC4; Hu et al., 2013) and the NLR-like Apaf1 (Riedl et al., 2005; Reubold et al., 2011), an ADP molecule, binds to a conserved pocket of inactive ZAR1 (Figs. 6A and 7). Multiple interdomain interactions within ZAR1 further stabilize the autoinhibited conformation (Wang et al., 2019b). One lateral surface of ZAR1LRR mediates specific ZAR1 interaction with RKS1, whereas PBL2UMP contacts exclusively RKS1 largely via the uridylylated moieties of PBL2UMP. PBL2UMP binding stabilizes the activation segment of RKS1 that is unstructured in the inactive ZAR1–RKS1 complex, and induces ZAR1NBD rotation ~60° outwards (Fig. 6A). Structural comparison further showed the PBL2UMP-stabilized activation region of RKS1 clashes with the inactive ZAR1NBD. These structural observations indicate that PBL2UMP binding allosterically induces conformational changes in ZAR1NBD to release ADP from the NLR (Fig. 6A).

The monomeric ZAR1–RKS1–PBL2UMP in the absence of (d)ATP is reminiscent of the monomeric Apaf1–cytochrome c complex (Zhou et al., 2015). Like Apaf1 assembly into the apoptosome (Zhou et al., 2015), (d)ATP induces formation of an oligomeric ZAR1–RKS1–PBL2UMP complex termed ZAR1 resistosome (Wang et al., 2019a). Cryo-EM analysis revealed a wheel-like pentamer of the ZAR1 resistosome, comparable to the structures of the Apaf1 apoptosome (Zhou et al., 2015) and the NLR4 inflammasome (Hu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Formation of the ZAR1 resistosome is mediated by ZAR1 but not by RKS1 and PBL2UMP. dATP binding induces structural reorganization between ZAR1HDD and ZAR1WH DD (Fig. 6B), as demonstrated in Apaf1–ZAR1 (Zhou et al., 2015) and NLR4 (Hu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Structural alignment revealed fold switching of ZARIcC after activation. Interestingly, the very N-terminal α-helix (α1) largely
buried in the inactive ZAR1 becomes completely exposed after ZAR1 activation, forming a funnel-shaped structure in the ZAR1 resistosome (Fig. 6B). These results support stepwise activation of the ZAR1 resistosome, first primed by AvrAC and then fully activated by (d)ATP (Wang et al., 2019a).

ZAR1\textsuperscript{CC} is sufficient to induce HR cell death when expressed in N. benthamiana (Baudin et al., 2017). The oligomerized ZAR1\textsuperscript{CCs}, however, are deeply buried in the ZAR1 resistosome except the funnel-shaped structure, suggesting that $\alpha$1 is important for ZAR1 function. Indeed, N-terminal deletion mutants of ZAR1 lost AvrAC-induced HR cell death in protoplasts and resistance to X. campestris (Wang et al., 2019a). Remarkably, simultaneous mutation of Glu-11 and Glu-18 from the inner surface of the funnel-shaped structure substantially compromised the AvrAC-induced activities of ZAR1. Fractionation and mutagenesis assays showed that ZAR1 became PM-associated upon activation. These results suggest that the ZAR1 resistosome may directly function as a channel or a pore to mediate HR cell death and immune responses. Alternatively, it is also possible that recruitment to the membrane could bring ZAR1 into proximity with other yet-unidentified signaling proteins for further induction of cell death and resistance.

**Autoinhibition and Ligand Sensing of NLRs**

Although animal and plant NLRs are believed to have evolved independently (Jones et al., 2016), arrangement of NBD, HD1, and WHD is highly conserved in the inactive ZAR1, NRC1, NLRC4, and Apaf-1 (Fig. 7). Similar domain positioning is also found in the prototype NLR PH0952 from the hyperthermophilic euryarchaeota Pyrococcus horikoshii (Lisa et al., 2019). The C-terminal domains of ZAR1, NLRC4, Apaf-1, and PH0952 function to sequester these NLRs in a monomeric state, although they are differently positioned in the structures (Reubold et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2013; Lisa et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019b). These structural observations suggest a conserved autoinhibition mechanism of NLRs.

The C-terminal LRR domain is widely hypothesized to act as the ligand sensor of an NLR. Indeed, some plant NLRs including RECOGNITION OF PEROXOSPORA PARASITICA1 (Krasileva et al., 2010)
from Arabidopsis and MILDEW-A (MLA; Lu et al., 2016) from barley (Hordeum vulgare) have been mapped to recognize their ligands through the variable C-terminal LRR region. While ZAR1LRR does not directly contact PBL2UMP, recognition of the AvrAC-modified PBL2 is through the LRR-bound RKS1. Thus, ZAR1 LRR is the structural determinant for specific recognition of AvrAC. The integrated domains from several sensor NLRs are responsible for effector binding (Le Roux et al., 2015; Maqbool et al., 2015; Sarris et al., 2015; Ortiz et al., 2017). Additionally, the CC and TIR domains can also act as a sensor of effectors. For example, the TIR-only protein RESPONSE TO THE BACTERIAL TYPE III EFFECTOR PROTEIN HOPBA1 may act as a receptor of the effector protein HopB1 (Nishimura et al., 2017). Regardless of the recognition mechanisms, effector binding would function to trigger conformational changes in an NLR, promoting exchange of ADP with ATP/dATP to induce structural remodeling for full activation.

**Activation and Oligomerization of NLRs**

The conserved positioning of NBDs, HD1s, and WHDs in the inactive (Fig. 7) and active states of ZAR1, NLRC4, and Apaf-1 (Fig. 8) further solidifies the notion that structural remodeling generally accompanies NLR activation. The underlying mechanisms, however, can vary among different types of plant NLRs. Singleton NLRs could follow the mechanism demonstrated in ZAR1 (Wang et al., 2019a) and Apaf-1 (Zhou et al., 2015) for structural reorganization and activation. The NAIP–NLRC4 inflammasomes’ NEURONAL APOPTOSIS INHIBITOR PROTEIN (NAIP; Hu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015) appears to be an attractive model for activation of paired NLRs. This model, however, needs formation of a substoichiometric complex between the sensor and the executor, which share a common promoter in an NLR pair. Furthermore, unlike the ligand-induced NAIP–NLRC4 complexes, constitutive heteromeric complexes have been shown for several paired NLRs (Césari et al., 2014b; Le Roux et al., 2015;
A more recent study showed that knockout of sensor NLRs from several NLR pairs in rice produced HR-like phenotypes (Wang et al., 2019c), supporting an inhibitory role of the sensors in activating the paired NLRs and agreeing with the model on activation of the paired NLRs RRS1/RPS4 (Le Roux et al., 2015; Sarris et al., 2015) and RGA5/RGA4 (Césari et al., 2014b).

Less is known about how helper NLRs are activated. Signaling mediated by TIR-NLRs requires ENHANCED DISEASE SUSCEPTIBILITY1 (EDS1)/PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT4 or EDS1/SENESCENCE-ASSOCIATED GENE101 (Wiermer et al., 2005) and the helper NLRs NRG1s and/or ADR1/ADR1-Ls (Peart et al., 2005; Roberts et al., 2013; Qi et al., 2018; Castel et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019). Two recent studies (Horsefield et al., 2019; Wan et al., 2019a) showed that TIR-NLRs possess NADase activity. Thus, one plausible model on activation of helper NLRs might be that they sense a signaling molecule(s) generated by TIR-NLRs probably through EDS1. Identification of the putative signaling molecule(s) would be a key to understanding how NRG1s and/or ADR1/ADR1-Ls are activated. However, ADR1/ADR1-Ls are also required for some CC-NLRs (Bonardi et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2013), raising the question of whether these helper NLRs NRG1s and/or ADR1/ADR1-Ls (Peart et al., 2005; Roberts et al., 2013; Qi et al., 2018; Castel et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019). Two recent studies (Horsefield et al., 2019; Wan et al., 2019a) showed that TIR-NLRs possess NADase activity. Thus, one plausible model on activation of helper NLRs might be that they sense a signaling molecule(s) generated by TIR-NLRs probably through EDS1. Identification of the putative signaling molecule(s) would be a key to understanding how NRG1s and/or ADR1/ADR1-Ls are activated. However, ADR1/ADR1-Ls are also required for some CC-NLRs (Bonardi et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2013), raising the question of whether these helper NLRs NRG1s and/or ADR1/ADR1-Ls (Peart et al., 2005; Roberts et al., 2013; Qi et al., 2018; Castel et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019). Two recent studies (Horsefield et al., 2019; Wan et al., 2019a) showed that TIR-NLRs possess NADase activity. Thus, one plausible model on activation of helper NLRs might be that they sense a signaling molecule(s) generated by TIR-NLRs probably through EDS1. Identification of the putative signaling molecule(s) would be a key to understanding how NRG1s and/or ADR1/ADR1-Ls are activated. However, ADR1/ADR1-Ls are also required for some CC-NLRs (Bonardi et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2013), raising the question of whether these helper NLRs NRG1s and/or ADR1/ADR1-Ls (Peart et al., 2005; Roberts et al., 2013; Qi et al., 2018; Castel et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019). Two recent studies (Horsefield et al., 2019; Wan et al., 2019a) showed that TIR-NLRs possess NADase activity. Thus, one plausible model on activation of helper NLRs might be that they sense a signaling molecule(s) generated by TIR-NLRs probably through EDS1. Identification of the putative signaling molecule(s) would be a key to understanding how NRG1s and/or ADR1/ADR1-Ls are activated. However, ADR1/ADR1-Ls are also required for some CC-NLRs (Bonardi et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2013), raising the question of whether these helper NLRs NRG1s and/or ADR1/ADR1-Ls (Peart et al., 2005; Roberts et al., 2013; Qi et al., 2018; Castel et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019). Two recent studies (Horsefield et al., 2019; Wan et al., 2019a) showed that TIR-NLRs possess NADase activity. Thus, one plausible model on activation of helper NLRs might be that they sense a signaling molecule(s) generated by TIR-NLRs probably through EDS1. Identification of the putative signaling molecule(s) would be a key to understanding how NRG1s and/or ADR1/ADR1-Ls are activated. However, ADR1/ADR1-Ls are also required for some CC-NLRs (Bonardi et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2013), raising the question of whether these helper
Many NLRs are predicted to have the catalytic elements of an ATPase. Indeed, NLR proteins including M and L6 (Williams et al., 2011; Bernoux et al., 2016) from plants, and NLRC4 (Hu et al., 2013) from animals, exhibit ATP-hydrolyzing activity. ATP hydrolysis may function to switch the (d)ATP-bound active state back to the ADP-bound inactive state. However, whether the proteins tested for ATP hydrolysis were in active states was not reported. Notably, the catalytic pocket of NLRs is formed by an individual monomer and is not, as in the case for the canonical ATPASES ASSOCIATED WITH DIVERSE CELLULAR ACTIVITIES, a composite pocket formed by two neighboring monomers in the oligomer (Erzberger and Berger, 2006). One study appeared to argue against the model above by showing that only inactive Apaf-1 displayed low ATPase activity but not Apaf-1 from the apoptosome (Reubold et al., 2009). This agrees with the idea that activation of Apaf-1 apoptosome represents the point-of-no-return of programmed cell death pathways (Riedl and Salvesen, 2007).

Altered Subcellular Localization of ZAR1 upon Activation

In parallel to MIXED LINEAGE KINASE DOMAIN-LIKE PROTEIN (MLKL) oligomerization and translocation to the PM after activation (Cai et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014), ZAR1 activation induced by AvrAC results in relocalization of the NLR from the cytosol to the PM to mediate cell death. Strong evidence for the altered localization of ZAR1 comes from the E11A/E18A mutation, which did not affect assembly of the ZAR1 resistosome but nearly abolished AvrAC-induced cell death in protoplasts. Because of the loss of cell death activity, the PM-association of the ZAR1 mutant was easily detected (Wang et al., 2019a). Identification of similar mutations in other CC-NLRs is possible, because a more recent study (Adachi et al., 2019) showed that the very N-terminal fragments of many singleton and helper CC-NLRs are also functionally important when tested in tobacco. Such mutations would be valuable in investigating cellular localization of NLRs, particularly because NLRs have been shown to function in different compartments including nucleus, endoplasmic reticulum, and Golgi apparatus (Cui et al., 2015). Additionally, because these mutations can arrest an activated form of NLRs, they might also be used to identify components regulating NLR complexes. Mutations of the conserved catalytically and functionally important glutamic residue in TIR-NLRs can serve similar purposes.
Pore-Forming Activity of ZAR1CC

Structural and biochemical data suggest that the funnel-shaped structure in the ZAR1 resistosome may function as a channel or pore in the PM. As noted in Burdett et al. (2019), the funnel-shaped structure bears striking similarity to the pore-forming protein MITOCHONDRIAL CALCIUM UNIPORTER from Caenorhabditis elegans (Oxenoid et al., 2016) and the calcium channel Orai from fruit fly (Drosophila melanogaster; Hou et al., 2012). Although many more investigations are needed to test this model, the pore-forming activity of a CC domain was demonstrated in other proteins. For example, the HeLo domain of fungal Het-S (a prion protein encoded by het-s locus of the nine het-loci), which is a four-helix bundle like a canonical CC domain, forms pores in the PM after activation to mediate cell death (Seuring et al., 2012). Induced pore formation by the N-terminal CC domain of MLKL in animals was also demonstrated (Huang et al., 2017). The very N-terminal a1 helix forming the funnel-shaped structure in the ZAR1 resistosome is conserved in many distantly related CC-NLRs (Adachi et al., 2019). Assays performed in N. benthamiana showed that the N-terminal fragments are functionally exchangeable among several CC-NLRs. Notably, when fused with Yellow Fluorescent Protein at the C terminus, the N-terminal 29 amino acids of NRC4 were sufficient to induce cell death. But whether the N-terminal fragment of NRC4 associates with PM and the NLR forms a ZAR1 resistosome-like structure remains unknown.

Formation of the funnel-like structure is remarkably similar to that of the hemolytic actinoporin fragaceatoxin (FraC; Tanaka et al., 2015), although ZAR1CC and FraC share little structural similarity. Interestingly, fold switching occurs to both ZAR1CC and FraC during assembly of the funnel-like structures. This is also true with the pore-forming protein Het-S (Daskalov et al., 2015). Fold plasticity of the CC domain appears to also exist in other CC-NLRs. The CC domains of the barley NLR Sr33 and wheat NLR MLA10 display different fold topologies when their structures were determined by nuclear magnetic resonance (Casey et al., 2016) and crystallography (Maekawa et al., 2011a; Casey et al., 2016), despite their highly conserved sequences.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Despite the progress in structural studies of PRRs and NLRs, many open questions remain concerning these two families of proteins (see Outstanding Questions). Obtaining structures of full-length signaling-competent PRR complexes is one challenge for full understanding of how PAMPs/DAMPs activate them. Clustering of receptor Tyr kinases is important for their activation in animals (Kotani et al., 2008) and is now beginning to be appreciated as an important facet of RK activation in plants (Somssich et al., 2015; Bücherl et al., 2017). Structural and biochemical investigations will allow us to understand how this mechanism operates in PRR activation. Although several structures of LRR- and LysM-type PRRs have been solved, structural mechanisms of ligand recognition and activation of several types of PRRs remain to be elucidated. Similarly, how ligand recognition by LRR-RLPs, including those as resistance proteins such as the Cladosporium fulvum proteins (Postma et al., 2016; Wan et al., 2019b) activate their coreceptors BAK1/SERKS and/or SOBIR1, is still poorly understood. The fact that BAK1/SERKS function as coreceptors of many LRR-RKs, including PRRs, raises the question of how the loose specificity is achieved. It should be noted that the coreceptor RKs typically have diverse functions and can mediate different signaling than the ligand-binding ones. Assignment of the nonligand binding functions of these RKs would be a direction in the future studies.

Currently it remains unknown whether the ZAR1 resistosome functions as an executor or a trigger of immune responses. Many investigations will be required to test the model on the ZAR1 resistosome as a channel or a pore. Although oligomerization can be ingrained into the model of NLR activation, direct evidence for this from TIR-NLRs is still lacking. Whether other plant NLRs can form resistosome-like structures

OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS

- How does ligand binding activate RK-PRR kinase activity? Can higher order of RK -PRR complexes be formed for this?
- How do RLP-PRRs recognize their ligands and consequently activate their co-receptors BAK1 and SOBIR1?
- How do the FER-containing complexes regulate plant immunity?
- Does the ZAR1 resistosome function as a channel? Does it have any specificity? Is it a trigger or an executor of ETI?
- Do other CC-NLRs form structures like that of the ZAR1 resistosome? Do they follow a similar mechanism to ZAR1 for action?
- Does oligomerization require TIR-NLR NADase activity? And why? How is the NADase activity of TIR-NLRs related to activation of helper NLRs?
- How are paired NLRs activated? Do they form oligomeric structures?
- How are NRCs are activated? Do they form effector-induced complexes with sensor NLRs for activation?
is another open question. Structural information of an active TIR-NLR is of particular interest, because it will not just help address this question but also may explain whether and why oligomerization is required for its potential NADase activity. Reconstitution of active complexes containing the helper NLRs NRG1s and ADRI/ADRI-Ls may critically depend on the molecule(s) produced by TIR-NLR as NADases or probably even other enzymes. Thus, identification of such a molecule(s) represents one major challenge to dissect the activation mechanisms of helper NLRS. In addition to effector sensing and negative regulation of immune response, RRS1 also contributes to RPS4-mediated signaling (Narusaka et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2018). How effector binding relieves the negative regulation by RRS1, and how RRS1 contributes to the activation of RPS4, remains elusive. Addressing these questions would provide a model on how other paired NLRS are activated. Emerging evidence suggested that NRCs may follow a similar mechanism to ZAR1 for signaling (Adachi et al., 2019). But how NRCs are activated remains enigmatic. The ZAR1 resistosome is just the tip of the NLR iceberg. With the evergrowing advance in cryo-EM, structural biology will reveal many more exciting mechanisms of NLR action.
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