OPTIMIZATION OF ADDITIONAL COMPOSITION VARIATIONS ZnO NANOPARTICLES ON THE CHARACTERISTICS OF POOR HYDROXYPATITE AS BONE FILLER
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Abstract. Research on the synthesis and characterization of porous hydroxyapatite with the addition of ZnO nanoparticles has been carried out through a combination of foam immersion and injection methods. This research was conducted to optimize the previous research by increasing the variation of ZnO composition and adding the injection method to the research process. The materials used in this research include hydroxyapatite nanoparticles, ZnO nanoparticles, Aquades, PVA and polyurethane foam. Manufacturing is done by immersing polyurethane foam into a slurry. Slurry is a mixture of PVA and hydroxyapatite solutions with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles (8 wt%, 10 wt%, 12 wt%, and 14 wt%). Then inject the remaining slurry into the foam. After that the sample was dried and heated at a temperature of 650ºC to remove foam and PVA, then the sample was sintered at a temperature of 1200ºC for 3 hours. Based on SEM analysis, porosity test, and compressive strength test, the best results were shown by sample IV because it had a pore diameter of 142.9 – 371.4 m with a porosity of 69.983%, a compressive strength value of 1.8653 MPa and non-toxic. The best results have not met the standard for bone filler application. In further research, improvements need to be made by using other additives such as ZrO2, so that it can improve the mechanical properties of porous hydroxyapatite to meet standard bone filler applications. Keywords: Porous hydroxyapatite, ZnO nanoparticles, PVA, Polyurethane foam, Bone filler.

INTRODUCTION

Bone filler demands will increase every year. This is caused by diseases that affect bone damage such as bone cancer (osteosarcoma). Bone cancer (osteosarcoma) is caused by bone malfunctioning cells or uncontrolled cell growth. Based on data published by the American Cancer Society (2014), cases of osteosarcoma mostly occur in children and young adults between the ages of 10 to 30 years. Handling cases of osteosarcoma can now be done by giving chemotherapy and surgery (Kawiyana, 2009). Surgical treatment is done by cutting the part of the bone affected by cancer and then connecting it to the bone from the donor. The limited number of organ donors at this time, resulted in the treatment of bone cancer (osteosarcoma) is done with a surgical process, then performed a transplant with a new biomaterial whose structure and function is similar to bone.

Biomaterials are defined as materials used to replace body parts or functions in a safe, economic and physiologically acceptable value (Park et al, 2007). Biomaterials are widely used for bone substitution due to bone tissue damage. The requirements that must be met by biomaterials for bone substitution are biocompatibility, bioactivity, osteoconductive, non-toxic, non-corrosive, proper design and can integrate quickly. One of the biomaterials that can be applied to fill damaged bone cavities is bone filler. This bone filler will disappear when the growth of new bone cells has occurred (Nurmanta, 2013). Characteristics of bone filler that need to be considered as a bone filling material include porosity, pore diameter size, and mechanical properties in the form of compressive strength. The porosity of bone filler required by bone is ± 70% (Keaveny, 2004). The most suitable pore size for bone cell growth is in the range of 100-400 m (Swain, 2009).

A better candidate of bone filler is the one that has a structure and composition similar to natural bone. Hydroxyapatite – ZnO nanoparticle composite can be used as a bone filler material. This is because hydroxyapatite
has a structure and composition similar to bone, but has low mechanics properties. The improvement of the mechanical properties can be done by adding ZnO nanoparticles as bone strengthening additives. ZnO nanoparticles are modern ceramics that are bioactive and can help the cell growth process. The compressive strength of the bone will increase with the addition of ZnO and the porosity decreases with the addition of ZnO (Syamsuddin, 2010).

In Yunita's research (2014), porous hydroxyapatite was made by adding ZnO nanoparticles through polymer foam immersion and then followed by a sintering process. From this study, the resulting porous hydroxyapatite with good porosity and pore size values, but the resulting compressive strength value is not suitable and is still far from the compressive strength value required by bone. The compressive strength value of bone filler required for bone is 7.5 - 41 MPa (Ylinen, 2006).

Based on this background, in this research optimization was carried out by adding ZnO nanoparticle additives at a certain concentration and by adding an injection method to make the foam immersion method more effective. The above efforts were made to improve the quality of the resulting bone filler and to improve the densification process along the grain boundaries so as to improve the mechanical properties of the bone filler. Thus, the resulting bone filler is expected to meet medical application standards. The parameters for porous hydroxyapatite such as pore size, porosity, compressive strength, bonding groups, and toxic effects in this study can be observed through several tests, namely SEM – EDX test, porosity test, compressive strength test, FTIR test, and MTT assay test.

EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

This research started from the stage of making hydroxyapatite slurry with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles of 8 wt%, 10 wt%, 12 wt%, and 14 wt%. Hydroxyapatite slurry is a mixture of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles, ZnO nanoparticles, PVA, and Aquades. Then proceed with the process of immersion and injection of polyurethane foam measuring 1 cm x 1 cm x 1 cm with a hydroxyapatite slurry. After that the sample was dried and heated at a temperature of 80ºC and 650ºC to remove foam and PVA, then the sample was sintered at a temperature of 1200ºC for 3 hours.

Furthermore, the resulting porous hydroxyapatite will be characterized to determine the characteristics of porous hydroxyapatite which will later be applied as bone filler. The characterization includes SEM – EDX test, porosity test, compressive strength test, FTIR test, and MTT assay test. The SEM - EDX test was carried out to determine the microstructure and the value of Ca:P in the sample, while the porosity test was carried out to determine the amount of porosity in the sample. The compressive strength test was carried out to determine the ability of the sample to withstand the load and the FT-IR test was carried out to determine the functional group of a sample. The MTT assay test was used to determine the toxic nature of the sample.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of the synthesis of hydroxyapatite with the addition of ZnO nanoparticles in this study are shown in Figure 1.

FIGURE 1. Porous hydroxyapatite sample with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles of 8 wt%, 10 wt%, 12 wt%, and 14 wt%

From the four samples produced, then carried out several characterization tests including SEM-EDX test, porosity test, compressive strength test, FTIR test and MTT assay test.
FTIR Result

The FTIR test was carried out to identify the functional groups present in the sample. The main purpose of this FTIR test is to prove that the PVA and Polyurethane foam functional groups have disappeared due to the sintering process. The results of the FTIR test of porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

**FIGURE 2.** FTIR test results of porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles of 8 wt% and 10wt%.

**FIGURE 3.** FTIR test results of porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles of 12 wt% and 14 wt%.

The graph of the FTIR test results in the Figure above can be analyzed by comparing the absorption bands formed in the infrared spectrum using the spectrum of a known comparison compound (reference). The results and wavenumber analysis of porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles are presented in Table 1 below.

**TABLE 1.** The results of the wave number on the FTIR test of porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of certain ZnO nanoparticles.

| Wavenumbers (cm⁻¹) | Functional groups And Vibration Type |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Sampel I  | Sampel II  | Sampel III  | Sampel IV  |                       |
| 472.58      | 470.65     | 428.21      | 472.58     | PO43- simetri bending                  |
| 570.95      | 570.95     | 570.95      | 570.95     | PO43- asimetri bending                |
| 601.81      | 601.81     | 601.81      | 601.81     | PO43- asimetri bending                |
| 636.53      | 632.67     | 632.67      | 632.67     | OH- ion stretching                    |
| 962.51      | 962.51     | 962.51      | 960.58     | PO43- simetri stretching              |
| 1049.31     | 1051.24    | 1049.31     | 1051.24    | PO43- asimetri stretching             |
| 1091.75     | 1091.75    | 1091.75     | 1091.75    | PO43- asimetri stretching             |
| 1458.23     | 1458.23    | 1462.09     | 1419.66    | CO32- asimetri stretching             |
| 3568.43     | 3568.43    | 3572.29     | 3570.36    | OH- ion stretching                    |
Based on the FTIR spectrum analysis described in Table 1 above, the four samples only contained a phosphate group ($\text{PO}_4^{3-}$), a carbonate group ($\text{CO}_3^{2-}$) and an OH group only. In the FTIR spectrum analysis, no new groups were found, this indicates that mixing hydroxyapatite with ZnO nanoparticles is only physical mixing, so there is no chemical bond between hydroxyapatite and ZnO nanoparticles. This also proves that the presence of the PVA functional group and polyurethane foam has been lost due to the heating and sintering process. If the sample still contains PVA and polyurethane, then the FTIR spectrum will show peaks of the PVA and polyurethane groups listed in Table 2 and Table 3.

**TABLE 2. Wave Number of PVA (polyvinyl alcohol) Functional Groups**

| Functional group | Wave number (cm$^{-1}$) |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| C-C              | 1450 – 1600             |
| C-H              | 2800 – 3000             |
| O-H              | 3000 – 3700             |

**TABLE 3. Wave Number of Polyurethane Foam Functional Groups**

| Functional group | Wave number (cm$^{-1}$) |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| O-H              | 3000 – 3700             |
| C-H              | 2800 – 3000             |
| Ester            | 1735 – 1750             |
|                  | 1300 – 1420             |
| C-C              | 1450 – 1600             |
| C-O              | 900 – 1300              |

**SEM-EDX Result**

The SEM test was conducted to determine the surface structure and pore diameter of each sample, while the EDX test was conducted to determine the elements or minerals contained in the porous hydroxyapatite sample. The results of the SEM test in the form of a porous hydroxyapatite microstructure are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5.

**FIGURE 4.** SEM test results of porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles of 8 wt% and 10 wt%.

**FIGURE 5.** SEM test results of porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles of 12 wt% and 14 wt%.
Figure 4 and Figure 5 above show that the addition of ZnO nanoparticles affects the pore size of the porous hydroxyapatite sample. The effect of the addition of ZnO nanoparticles on the pore diameter size of hydroxyapatite samples can be shown in Table 4.

### TABLE 4. Results of pore diameter measurements of porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of certain ZnO nanoparticles.

| Parameter | Additional ZnONPs |
|-----------|-------------------|
|           | Sampel I 8 wt%    | Sampel II 10 wt% | Sampel III 12 wt% | Sampel IV 14 wt% |
| Pore diameter | 105.8 – 457.1 μm | 114.3 – 428.6 μm | 128.6 – 385.7 μm | 142.9 – 371.4 μm |

Table 4 shows that the size of the pore diameter in the porous hydroxyapatite samples decreased according to the increase in the number of additions of ZnO nanoparticles. This happens because ZnO nanoparticles can increase the densification process along the grain boundary reinforcement and can fill the empty space in the sample, so that the more ZnO nanoparticles are added, the pore size in the sample decreases. The results of this SEM test showed that the pore diameter sizes for samples III and IV had met the effective bone filler pore diameter size for bone growth, which was 100–400 μm. The best pore diameter results were obtained by sample IV with a size of 142.9 – 371.4 μm. These results are in accordance with the parameters of the pore diameter of bone filler which is effective for bone cell growth.

The results of the EDX test can be shown as Figure 6 and Figure 7 below.

### FIGURE 6. EDX test results from porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles of 8 wt% and 10 wt%.

### FIGURE 7. EDX test results from porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles of 12 wt% and 14 wt%.

The results of the EDX test were used to determine the ratio of Ca/P in porous hydroxyapatite samples. The analysis of the Ca/P ratio of hydroxyapatite samples for variations in the composition of the addition of ZnO nanoparticles additives can be presented in Table 5.

### TABLE 5. Ca/P ratio results from porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles 8 wt%, 10 wt%, 12 wt%, and 14 wt%.

| Parameter | Additional ZnONPs |
|-----------|-------------------|
|           | Sampel I 8 wt%    | Sampel II 10 wt% | Sampel III 12 wt% | Sampel IV 14 wt% |
| Rasio Ca/P | 1.28              | 1.31              | 1.65              | 1.63              |

Analysis of the results of the Ca/P ratio in the EDX test for the four samples had a Ca/P value of less than 1.67. This is due to the presence of Zn2+ cations which partially substitute Ca2+ cations in hydroxyapatite (Ca_{10}(PO_{4})_{6}(OH)_{2}). This is in accordance with research conducted by Gross et al (2013), that 10% mol of Zn...
(Zinc) can only substitute 3% mol of Ca (calcium). The addition of ZnO to pure hydroxyapatite causes the reaction described in the following equation.

$$\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2 + x \text{ZnO} \rightarrow \text{Ca}_{10-x}\text{Zn}_x(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2 + y \text{H}_2$$

The addition of Zinc Oxide to pure hydroxyapatite will produce an alloy of Zn-HA and H$_2$O. Meanwhile, H$_2$O is lost due to the sintering process in the process of making porous hydroxyapatite. This replacement of calcium by Zn resulted in the value of the Ca/P ratio being lower than the Ca/P ratio of pure hydroxyapatite. This is because the formation of Ca(Zn) has decreased the number of calcium atoms. While the Zn atom is not able to replace the Ca (calcium) atom completely (Miyaji et al., 2005).

**Porosity Test**

Porosity testing is carried out to calculate the percentage of the volume of free space contained in the sample. The results of the porosity testing of porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles 8 wt%, 10 wt%, 12 wt%, and 14 wt% are shown in Table 6 below.

| Parameter | Additional ZnONPs |
|-----------|------------------|
|           | Sampel I 8 wt% | Sampel II 10 wt% | Sampel III 12 wt% | Sampel IV 14 wt% |
| Porositas (%) | 73.548 | 73.397 | 71.169 | 69.983 |

Based on Table 6 above, different porous hydroxyapatite porosity results were obtained along with the addition of different ZnO nanoparticles. The effect of the addition of ZnO nanoparticles on the porosity of porous hydroxyapatite samples is shown in Figure 8.

**TABLE 6.** The results of porosity testing of porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles 8 wt%, 10 wt%, 12 wt%, and 14 wt%.

**FIGURE 8.** Graph of the relationship between the addition of ZnO nanoparticles with the porosity of the porous hydroxyapatite sample.

Based on Figure 8 above, it was found that the porosity value of the sample decreased with the addition of ZnO nanoparticles. This is because the addition of ZnO concentration can increase the densification process along the grain boundary strengthening so as to reduce the porosity value (Syamsuddin, 2010). This decrease in the porosity value causes an increase in the pore density and mechanical properties of the sample. The pore size can be controlled by the polyurethane foam framework. The heating process at 650°C and the sintering process at 1200°C resulted in the loss of PVA and polyurethane foam. On the other hand, the foam cavity left by the polyurethane will be occupied by ZnO nanoparticles which can increase the densification process along the grain boundary reinforcement.

According to Keaveny (2004), porous hydroxyapatite to be applied as a bone filler to the spongious femur requires a porosity of ±70%. In this study, the porosity values of the four samples were 73.548%, 73.397%, 71.169%, and 69.983% for samples I, II, III, and IV. From these results it is known that the porosity value for all samples is in accordance with the existing literature, but the best porosity value is owned by sample IV, which is
69.983%. This shows that the porosity in sample IV meets the effective porosity standard of ±70, so it can be applied as bone filler.

**Compressive Strength Test**

The compressive strength test is carried out to find out how strong the sample is to withstand a load. This test is also a benchmark for materials that can be used as bone fillers, if the material is too brittle, it will not be strong enough to support the body's weight. The results of the compressive strength test of porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles 8 wt%, 10 wt%, 12 wt%, and 14 wt% can be seen in Table 7 below.

**TABLE 7.** The results of the compressive strength test of porous hydroxyapatite with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles 8 wt%, 10 wt%, 12 wt%, and 14 wt%.

| Parameter | Additional ZnONPs |
|-----------|-------------------|
|           | Sampel I 8 wt%    | Sampel II 10 wt% | Sampel III 12 wt% | Sampel IV 14 wt% |
| Compressive Strength (MPa) | 1.6033 | 1.6808 | 1.7713 | 1.8653 |

Based on the results obtained in Table 7, the compressive strength values are different for the four samples. From the table above, a graph of the relationship between variations in the composition of the addition of ZnO nanoparticles on the mechanical properties of compressive strength of porous hydroxyapatite samples is obtained as shown in Figure 9 below.

**FIGURE 9.** Graph of the relationship between variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles with the compressive strength of porous hydroxyapatite samples.

Based on the graph in Figure 9, it is found that the addition of ZnO nanoparticles in the manufacture of hydroxyapatite samples can increase the compressive strength of the sample. This is because ZnO is a biodegradable material for the body and has good mechanical properties. The combination of ZnO as an additive with hydroxyapatite using the sintering method is a way to improve the mechanical properties of the sample. The compressive strength increases with the addition of ZnO concentration (Syamsuddin, 2010).

According to Ylinen (2006), spongy bone has a compressive strength value of 7.5 – 41 MPa. In this study, sample IV, hydroxyapatite with the addition of ZnO nanoparticles of 14 wt% had the best compression test results, namely the compressive strength value of 1.8653 MPa. This compressive strength value is much greater than the previous study by Yunita (2014) which used the immersion method with the composition of the addition of ZnO nanoparticles of 7 wt% which was 0.319 MPa. The addition of ZnO additives is useful for increasing densification along grain boundary reinforcement and the use of nano-sized powders for a better densification contribution (Swain, 2009). This is evident from the increasing percentage of ZnO nanoparticles added, the more compressing the empty spaces of hydroxyapatite, so that the addition of ZnO nanoparticles to porous hydroxyapatite can improve the mechanical quality of bone filler. In addition, the combination of immersion and injection methods can increase the value of the resulting compressive strength. The injection method is used to streamline the diffusion of the hydroxyapatite slurry solution to more easily enter the pores of the polyurethane foam. In this process an external force is applied in the form of injection injection pressure to enter the hydroxyapatite slurry solution. This is done so that the hydroxyapatite slurry is more easily interstitial into the pores of the polyurethane foam.
In this study, the compressive strength values for the four porous hydroxyapatite samples with the addition of ZnO nanoparticles did not meet the standard compressive strength values required by bone. This is because the pores are located irregularly, not homogeneously and not interconnected with each other (not sticky), so that it becomes a factor that weakens the strength.

The presence of porosity can also affect the value of compressive strength in the sample. The higher the level of porosity of the bioceramic, the lower the value of the compressive strength of a sample, in this case the bone filler will be more brittle (Kalita, 2006). The effect of the magnitude of the porosity on the compressive strength of the sample is shown by the graph in Figure 10.

FIGURE 10. Graph of the relationship between the effect of the magnitude of the value of porosity (%) with the value of compressive strength (MPa) of porous hydroxyapatite samples.

MTT Assay Test

The MTT assay test was conducted to prove that the porous hydroxyapatite sample was non-toxic so that it could be applied as a bone filler. The results of the MTT assay in the form of percentage of living cells from porous hydroxyapatite samples with variations in the addition of ZnO nanoparticles 8 wt%, 10 wt%, 12 wt%, and 14 wt% are shown in Table 12 below.

| Parameter | Additional ZnONPs |
|-----------|------------------|
| % Sel hidup (OD) | Sampel I 8 wt% | Sampel II 10 wt% | Sampel III 12 wt% | Sampel IV 14 wt% |
| 99.97 % | 64.36 % | 79.57 % | 65.50 % |

Based on Table 12 above, the results of the calculation of the optical density value of each sample tested using the MTT assay were obtained. Optical density is a material for absorbing light. The value of optical density (OD) is equivalent to the number of living cells. The higher the OD value, the more viable cells. An OD value of more than 60% indicates that the sample is non-toxic. Based on the results of the MTT assay as shown in Table 12 above, it was found that the four porous hydroxyapatite samples were non-toxic. This is evidenced by the OD value of more than 60%.

The four porous hydroxyapatite samples were not toxic because during the sintering process, PVA and polyurethane were removed so that only hydroxyapatite and ZnO remained. This is also supported by testing FTIR for the four samples that do not contain functional groups belonging to PVA and Polyurethane foam. The FTIR test results prove that PVA and Polyurethane foam have been successfully removed by heating at 650º C and sintering at 1200º C for 3 hours.

CONCLUSION

The more ZnO nanoparticles are added, the pore size and porosity of the sample will decrease, while the compressive strength of the sample will increase. Sample IV with a pore diameter of 142.9 – 371.4 m, a porosity value of 69.983%, a compressive strength value of 1.8653 MPa and non-toxic has the potential to be applied as bone filler because these results meet the effective pore diameter size for bone cells growth (100-400 m) and the effective porosity value is ±70%.
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