LIMIT-PERIODIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS ON $\mathbb{Z}^d$:
UNIFORM LOCALIZATION

DAVID DAMANIK AND ZHENG GAN

Abstract. We exhibit $d$-dimensional limit-periodic Schrödinger operators that are uniformly localized in the strongest sense possible. That is, for each of these operators, there is a uniform exponential decay rate such that every element of the hull of the corresponding Schrödinger operator has a complete set of eigenvectors that decay exponentially off their centers of localization at least as fast as prescribed by the uniform decay rate. Consequently, these operators exhibit uniform dynamical localization.

1. Introduction

Localization is a topic that has been explored in the context of Schrödinger operators to a great extent. In [4], we investigated uniform localization in one-dimensional limit-periodic Schrödinger operators. We refer the reader to that paper for a discussion of history and context. When presenting the results from [4], we were asked by a number of people whether there are analogous results in higher dimensions. The purpose of this paper is to give an affirmative answer. That is, we generalize the results from [4] and exhibit the phenomenon of uniform localization in multi-dimensional limit-periodic Schrödinger operators.

Before introducing the relevant Schrödinger operators, we give some preliminary definitions first that will be necessary to describe the resulting hulls.

Definition 1.1. (a) We say that $\Omega$ is a Cantor group if it is an infinite, totally disconnected, metrizable, compact Abelian group. We fix a metric $\text{dist}$ on $\Omega$ that is compatible with the topology.

(b) Consider a Cantor group $\Omega$ and a $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action by translations, $\{T^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$. That is, there are $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d \in \Omega$ such that for $\omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$T^n \omega = \omega + \sum_{j=1}^{d} n_j \alpha_j,$$

where we write the group operation as $\cdot$.\footnote{While $+$ is a natural way to denote the group operation in the abstract setting, for the concrete groups that arise as hulls of limit-periodic elements of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, this is ambiguous. Thus, in the concrete setting, we will prefer to use $\cdot$ to denote the group operation.} We say that the action is minimal if all orbits are dense, that is, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, we have $\{T^n \omega : n \in \mathbb{Z}^d\} = \Omega$.
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Given a Cantor group $\Omega$ that admits a minimal $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action $T$ by translations, we consider Schrödinger operators $H_\omega$ acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ as

$$ (H_\omega u)(n) = \sum_{|l|=1} u(n + l) + V_\omega(n)u(n), $$

where

$$ V_\omega(n) = f(T^n\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}^d $$

with $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$.

**Definition 1.2.** We say that a family $\{u_n\} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is uniformly localized if there exist constants $r > 0$, called the decay rate, and $C < \infty$ such that for every element $u_n$ of the family, one can find $m_n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, called the center of localization, so that $|u_n(k)| \leq Ce^{-r|k-m_n|}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We say that the operator $H_\omega$ has ULE if it has a complete set of uniformly localized eigenfunctions.

The notion of uniformly localized eigenfunctions and related ones were introduced by del Rio et al. in their comprehensive study of the question “What is localization?” [5, 6]. As explained there, ULE implies uniform dynamical localization, that is, if $H_\omega$ has ULE, then

$$ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \langle \delta_n, e^{-itH_\omega}\delta_m \rangle \right| \leq C_\omega e^{-r_\omega|n-m|} $$

with suitable constants $C_\omega, r_\omega \in (0, \infty)$. While both properties are desirable, they are extremely rare.

The occurrence of pure point spectrum for the operators $\{H_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is called phase stable if it holds for every $\omega \in \Omega$. It is an unusual phenomenon since most known models are not phase stable. It is known that uniform localization of eigenfunctions (ULE) has a close connection with phase stability of pure point spectrum. Indeed, it was shown in [6, Theorem C.1] that if a $\mu$-ergodic family $\{H_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ of Schrödinger operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with continuous sampling function has ULE for $\omega$ in a set of positive $\mu$-measure, then $H_\omega$ has pure point spectrum for every $\omega \in \text{supp}(\mu)$, where $\text{supp}(\mu)$ denotes the topological support of $\mu$, that is, the complement of the largest open set $S \subset \Omega$ for which $\mu(S) = 0$. Jitomirskaya pointed out in [8] that this result can be strengthened for a minimal $T$ in the sense that if there exists some $\omega_0$ such that $H_{\omega_0}$ has ULE, then $H_\omega$ has pure point spectrum for every $\omega \in \text{supp}(\mu)$.

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.3.** There exists a Cantor group $\Omega$ that admits a minimal $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action $T$ by translations, and an $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ such that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ the Schrödinger operator with potential $f(T^n\omega)$ has ULE with $\omega$-independent constants. In particular, we have uniform dynamical localization (4) for every $\omega$ with $\omega$-independent constants as well.

The proof is constructive and produces a family of pairs $(\Omega, f)$ for which the statement holds. The precise description of this family requires the material we will present in Section 2 below.

As in [4], we will still heavily use Pöschel’s results from [9] (which will be recalled in Section 4) to obtain the above theorem. The key step in proving Theorem 1.3 is

---

2Recall that a set of vectors is called complete if their span (i.e., the set of finite linear combinations of vectors from this set) is dense.
to construct a distal limit-periodic potential in our framework, which will be done in Section 3. The proof of Theorem 1.3 will be presented in Section 5.

2. The Connection Between Limit-Periodicity and Cantor Groups

In this section we establish a connection between the hulls of limit-periodic elements of \(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)\) and Cantor groups which admit a minimal \(\mathbb{Z}^d\) action by translations. For \(d = 1\), this was worked out in detail in [1, Section 2]; here we present its generalization to the general case. While this connection is probably well known to experts, its presentation is still worthwhile since it is fundamental for this paper and all its predecessors [1, 2, 3, 4].

**Definition 2.1.** Let \(d \in \mathbb{Z}_+\). The group \(\mathbb{Z}^d\) acts on \(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)\) as \((S_m V)(n) = V(n - m)\) for \(n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^d\) and \(V \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)\). The set \(\text{orb}(V) = \{S_m V : m \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}\) is called the orbit of \(V\) and the closure of its orbit is called its hull, that is, \(\text{hull}(V) = \text{orb}(V)\). An element \(V\) of \(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)\) is called periodic if its orbit is finite. It is called limit-periodic if it belongs to the closure of the set of periodic elements of \(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)\).

\(V\) is periodic in the sense of Definition 2.1 if and only if it is periodic in each direction, that is, there are \(p_1, \ldots, p_d \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) such that for all \(n = (n_1, \ldots, n_d)\), \(k = (k_1, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d\), we have \(V(n_1 + k_1 p_1, \ldots, n_d + k_d p_d) = V(n_1, \ldots, n_d)\). We will call \(p = (p_1, \ldots, p_d) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^d\) a periodicity vector of \(V\).

The following proposition describes how limit-periodic elements of \(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)\) may be generated.

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose \(\Omega\) is a Cantor group that admits a minimal \(\mathbb{Z}^d\) action by translations, \(\{T^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}\). Then, for every \(f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})\) and every \(\omega \in \Omega\), the element \(V_\omega\) of \(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)\) defined by \(V_\omega(n) = f(T^n \omega)\) is limit-periodic. Moreover, for each \(\omega \in \Omega\), we have \(\text{hull}(V_\omega) = \{V_\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}\).

We first prove the following simple lemma:

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that \(\{T^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}\) is an action by translations as in (1) on the compact Abelian group \(\Omega\). Then, for each \(j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\), there is a sequence \(\{n^{(j)}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \mathbb{Z}_+\) such that \(\lim_{k \to \infty} n^{(j)}_k \alpha_j = \omega_e\), the identity element of \(\Omega\).

**Proof.** Let us fix \(j\) and explain how to find \(\{n^{(j)}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}\). Since \(\Omega\) is compact, there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers \(m_k \to \infty\) such that \(m_k \alpha_j\) converges to some \(\omega \in \Omega\) as \(k \to \infty\). For each \(k\), choose \(\tilde{m}_k \in \{m_{k+\ell} : \ell \geq 1\}\) such that \(n^{(j)}_k := \tilde{m}_k - m_k \geq k\). Then, \(n^{(j)}_k \alpha_j \to \infty\) as \(k \to \infty\) and \(\lim_{k \to \infty} n^{(j)}_k \alpha_j = \omega - \omega = \omega_e\), as desired. \(\square\)

**Proof of Proposition 2.2.** For a given \(\varepsilon > 0\), we may choose a compact open neighborhood \(U\) of the identity \(\omega_e \in \Omega\) that is small enough so that \(|f(\omega + \omega_U) - f(\omega)| < \varepsilon\) for every \(\omega_U \in U\) and every \(\omega \in \Omega\).

Since \(U\) is compact and open, we can choose \(\delta > 0\) such that \(\text{dist}(\omega_U, \omega_U[U]) > \delta\) for every \(\omega_U \in U\) and every \(\omega_U[U] \in \Omega \setminus U\).

Lemma 2.3 shows that we can choose \(p_1, \ldots, p_d \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) such that \(d(\omega_e, p_j \alpha_j) < \delta\) for \(j = 1, \ldots, d\). By the defining property of \(\delta\), it follows that the closure of

\[
\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{d} n_j p_j \alpha_j : n = (n_1, \ldots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\}
\]
is a compact subgroup of $\Omega$ that is contained in $U$. Its index is bounded by $\prod p_j$.

Now, given $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, we consider the element $V_\omega$ of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ defined by $V_\omega(n) = f(T^n \omega)$. With the arbitrary choice of $\varepsilon > 0$ above and the resulting $U$ and $\delta > 0$, we consider the following element $V^p_\omega$ of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, $V^p_\omega(n) = f(T^n \omega)$, where $n = (n_1, \ldots, n_d)$ is defined by $n_j \equiv n_j \mod p_j$. Thus, $V^p_\omega$ is periodic. We have

$$\|V_\omega - V^p_\omega\|_\infty = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |V_\omega(n) - V^p_\omega(n)|$$
$$= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(T^n \omega) - f(T^n \tilde{n}_\omega)|$$
$$= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(T^n \tilde{n}_\omega + (T^n \omega - T^n \tilde{n}_\omega)) - f(T^n \omega)|$$
$$< \varepsilon.$$

The first three steps follow by simple rewriting, and the final step follows from the choice of $U$ and the fact that, by construction, $T^n \omega - T^n \tilde{n}_\omega$ belongs to $U$. This shows that $V_\omega$ is limit-periodic since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary and $V^p_\omega$ is periodic.

The statement $\text{hull}(V_\omega) = \{V_\omega : \tilde{\omega} \in \Omega\}$ follows since both sides are compact and contain $\text{orb}(V_\omega)$ as a dense subset (for the right-hand side, this is a consequence of the minimality of the action). This completes the proof of the proposition.

Thus, we have seen that a Cantor group that admits a minimal $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action by translations and a continuous sampling function give rise to limit-periodic elements of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. Let us now turn to the converse. That is, given a limit-periodic element of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, we want to show that it arises in this way.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $V \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is limit-periodic. Then, $\text{hull}(V)$ is compact and it has a unique topological group structure so that $V$ is the identity element and $\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \text{hull}(V)$, $m \mapsto S_m V$ is a homomorphism. Moreover, the group structure is Abelian and there exist arbitrarily small compact open neighborhoods of $V$ in $\text{hull}(V)$ that are finite index subgroups.

Proof. Since $V$ is limit-periodic, we can find for each $\varepsilon > 0$, a periodic $V_\varepsilon$ with $\|V - V_\varepsilon\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. Since $\text{orb}(V_\varepsilon)$ is finite, it follows that $\text{orb}(V)$ is contained in the $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of a finite set. That is, $\text{orb}(V)$ is totally bounded and hence its closure $\text{hull}(V)$ is compact.

Obviously, there is a unique group structure on $\text{orb}(V)$ such that $\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \text{orb}(V)$, $m \mapsto S_m V$ is a homomorphism. Our goal is to show that it extends uniquely to a group structure on $\text{hull}(V)$. It suffices to show uniform continuity of the group structure on $\text{orb}(V)$. This will then also show that the resulting extension of the group structure to $\text{hull}(V)$ is Abelian. We have

$$\|S_{m_1 + k_1} V - S_{m_2 + k_2} V\|_\infty = \|S_{m_1 - m_2} V - S_{k_2 - k_1} V\|_\infty$$
$$\leq \|S_{m_1 - m_2} V - V\|_\infty + \|V - S_{k_2 - k_1} V\|_\infty$$
$$= \|S_{m_1} V - S_{m_2} V\|_\infty + \|S_{k_1} V - S_{k_2} V\|_\infty.$$

Here, the first and the third step follow since translations are isometries and the second step follows from the triangle inequality. Put differently, if $a, b, c, d \in \text{orb}(V)$ and we denote the group operation by $\cdot$, then $\|a \cdot b - c \cdot d\|_\infty \leq \|a - c\|_\infty + \|b - d\|_\infty$, which shows the desired uniform continuity.
To prove the last statement about finite index subgroups in small neighborhoods of the identity, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose a periodic $V_p \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with $\|V - V_p\|_\infty < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Also, there are $p_1, \ldots, p_d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for all $n = (n_1, \ldots, n_d), k = (k_1, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we have $V_p(n_1 + k_1, \ldots, n_d + k_d) = V_p(n_1, \ldots, n_d)$. In other words, $V_p$ is invariant under $S_n$ for every $m \in \{p_1 \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times (p_d \mathbb{Z})\}$. Clearly, the closure of $\{S_m V : m \in \{p_1 \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times (p_d \mathbb{Z})\}\}$, which we denote by hull$_p(V)$, is a compact subgroup of hull$(V)$ of index at most $\prod p_j$. Since hull$(V)$ is the union of finitely many disjoint translates of hull$_p(V)$, it follows that hull$_p(V)$ is also open. By the invariance property of $V_p$, hull$_p(V)$ is contained in the $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$-ball around $V_p$, and hence it is contained in the $\varepsilon$-ball around $V$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \hfill $\Box$

**Proposition 2.5.** Suppose $V \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is limit-periodic, but not periodic. Then, there exists a Cantor group $\Omega$ and a minimal $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action by translations, $\{T^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$, such that $V(n) = f(T^n \omega_e)$ with the identity element $\omega_e$ of $\Omega$ and a suitable function $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$.

**Proof.** We may set $\Omega = \text{hull}(V)$, which is a Cantor group by Lemma 2.4. The identity element $\omega_e$ is simply $V$ itself. The $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action is given by $T^n = S_n$, with the translations $S_n$ introduced above. Note that this action is indeed an action by translations in the sense of Definition 1.1, simply choose $\alpha_j = T^{(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)}(V)$ with the $j$-th component being 1.

Let us show that this action is minimal. It suffices to show that for $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\text{dist}(T^n \omega_1, \omega_2) = \|T^n \omega_1 - \omega_2\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. Since $\Omega = \text{hull}(V)$, we can choose $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\|\omega_1 - T^{n_1} V\|_\infty < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, j = 1, 2$. Now set $n := n_2 - n_1$. Putting everything together and using that $T$ is an isometry, we find

\[
\|T^n \omega_1 - \omega_2\|_\infty \leq \|T^n \omega_1 - T^{n + n_1} V\|_\infty + \|T^{n + n_1} V - \omega_2\|_\infty
= \|\omega_1 - T^{n_1} V\|_\infty + \|T^{n_2} V - \omega_2\|_\infty < \varepsilon.
\]

Finally, the continuous function $f$ is simply the evaluation at zero, that is, $f(\omega) = \omega(0)$ (recall that each $\omega$ is a function on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and may therefore be evaluated at 0 in $\mathbb{Z}^d$). Then, we clearly have the desired formula $V(n) = f(T^n \omega_e)$.

**Definition 2.6.** $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ is called periodic (with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action $T$) if for some $p \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^d$, we have $f(T^p \omega) = f(\omega)$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

**Proposition 2.7.** Suppose $\Omega$ is a Cantor group that admits a minimal $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action by translations, $\{T^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$. Then, the set of periodic elements is dense in $C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$.

**Proof.** Given $\tilde{f} \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have to find a periodic $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ with $\|f - \tilde{f}\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. Since $\tilde{f}$ is uniformly continuous, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\text{dist}(\omega_1, \omega_2) < \delta$ implies $|\tilde{f}(\omega_1) - \tilde{f}(\omega_2)| < \varepsilon$.

By Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, we can choose a compact subgroup $\Omega_0$ of $\Omega$ that has finite index and that is contained in the $\delta$-neighborhood of the identity element. Denote the Haar measure on $\Omega_0$ by $\mu_0$ and define

\[
f(\omega) = \int_{\Omega_0} \tilde{f}(\omega + \omega_0) \, d\mu_0(\omega_0).
\]
Proposition 2.8. Suppose we are given a Cantor group $\Omega$ with a minimal $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action $T$ by translations. Then, for any $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, $\text{hull}(F(\omega_e))$ is a quotient group of $\Omega$ (here, $F(\omega_e) = (f(T^n\omega_e))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$).

Proof. Define $\phi$ by $\phi : \Omega \to \text{hull}(F(\omega_e)), \phi(\omega) = F(\omega)$. It is not hard to see that the group structure of $\text{hull}(F(\omega_e))$ is like $F(\omega_1) \cdot F(\omega_2) = F(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$, since $F(T^n\omega_e) = S_n(F(\omega_e))$. It follows that $\phi$ is a group homomorphism. By Proposition 2.2, $\phi$ is surjective. The continuity of $\phi$ follows from the compactness of $\Omega$ and the continuity of $f$. So we have $\text{hull}(F(\omega_e)) \cong \Omega/\ker(\phi)$, implying the lemma.

Proposition 2.9. There exists some $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\text{hull}(F(\omega_e)) \cong \Omega$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that there exists some $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\ker(\phi) = \{\omega_e\}$. Define the function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f(\omega) = \text{dist}(\omega_e, \omega)$. Clearly, $f$ is continuous, so there is an associated $F$ (defined as in Proposition 2.8) : $\Omega \to \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ such that $\text{hull}(F(\omega_e))$ is a quotient group of $\Omega$. Consider $\phi : \Omega \to \text{hull}(F(\omega_e)), \phi(\omega) = F(\omega)$. If $F(\omega) = F(\omega_e)$, then $f(\omega) = f(\omega_e)$, that is, $\text{dist}(\omega_e, \omega) = \text{dist}(\omega_e, \omega_e) = 0$, implying $\omega = \omega_e$ and $\ker(\phi) = \{\omega_e\}$.

3. Construction of Distal Limit-Periodic Elements in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$

Definition 3.1. A function $Q(x) : [0, \infty) \to [1, \infty)$ is called an approximation function if both
\[ q(t) = t^{-4} \sup_{x \geq 0} Q(x)e^{-tx} \]
and
\[ h(t) = \inf_{\kappa_t} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} q(t_i)^{2^{-i-1}} \]
are finite for every $t > 0$. In (5), $\kappa_t$ denotes the set of all sequences $t \geq t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \cdots \geq 0$ with $\sum t_i \leq t$.

Definition 3.2. A sequence $V \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is called distal if for some approximation function $Q$, we have
\[ \inf_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |V_i - V_{i+k}| \geq Q(|k|)^{-1} \]
for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$.

Proposition 3.3. If $V \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is distal, then every $\tilde{V} \in \text{hull}(V)$ is also distal.

Proof. This follows readily from the definition.

We will construct a distal sequence in our framework, which is a key step to get our main result.

Lemma 3.4. There exist a Cantor group $\Omega$ that admits a minimal $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action $T$ by translations and an $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ such that $(f(T^n\omega_e))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a distal sequence.
Proof. Choose a collection $\Gamma = \{(n^{(1)}_v, n^{(2)}_v, \ldots, n^{(d)}_v) : v \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ for which we have 
$$ \left( n^{(i)}_v \right)^2 \leq n^{(i)}_{v+1} \leq \left( n^{(i)}_v \right)^{2^m}, \quad n^{(i)}_v | n^{(i)}_{v+1} \quad \text{and} \quad n^{(i)}_v \leq C n^{(j)}_v $$
for some positive integers $m$ and $C$, every $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ and every $v \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Define 
$$ a^{(i)}_v(p) = q, \quad p = q \mod n^{(i)}_v, $$
and then define a $d$-dimensional limit-periodic potential as 
$$ V(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a^{(i)}_v(t_i) \left( \frac{n^{(1)}_{v-1}}{n^{(1)}_v} \right)^2 \left( \frac{n^{(2)}_{v-1}}{n^{(2)}_v} \right)^2 \cdots \left( \frac{n^{(d)}_{v-1}}{n^{(d)}_v} \right)^2, $$

where $t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $n^{(i)}_1 = 1, 1 \leq i \leq d$. We will show that $V$ is distal.

Write $V_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{v=1}^{k} a^{(i)}_v(t_i) \left( \frac{n^{(1)}_{v-1}}{n^{(1)}_v} \right)^2 \left( \frac{n^{(2)}_{v-1}}{n^{(2)}_v} \right)^2 \cdots \left( \frac{n^{(d)}_{v-1}}{n^{(d)}_v} \right)^2.$ Clearly, $V_k$ is periodic and $\lim_{k \to \infty} V_k = V$ uniformly. Given $t \neq h \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we assume that $|t - h| = |t_1 - h_1| \leq |t_i - h_i| \leq |t_1 - h_1|$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Fix $k$ so that $n^{(i)}_{k-1} \leq |t - h| < n^{(i)}_k.$

If $k \geq 2$, we have
$$ |V_k(t) - V_k(h)| \geq \frac{1}{\left( n^{(1)}_{k-1} \right)^2 \left( n^{(2)}_k \right)^2 \cdots \left( n^{(d)}_{k-1} \right)^2 \left( n^{(d)}_k \right)^2}. $$

We also have
$$ |(V(t) - V_k(t)) - (V(h) - V_k(h))| $$
$$ \leq d n^{(1)}_k \sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n^{(1)}_{v-1}}{n^{(1)}_v} \right)^2 \left( \frac{n^{(2)}_{v-1}}{n^{(2)}_v} \right)^2 \cdots \left( \frac{n^{(d)}_{v-1}}{n^{(d)}_v} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\left( n^{(1)}_{k+1} \right)^2 \left( n^{(2)}_k \right)^2 \cdots \left( n^{(d)}_{k+1} \right)^2 \left( n^{(d)}_k \right)^2} $$
$$ \leq \frac{2 d n^{(1)}_k}{\left( n^{(1)}_{k+1} \right)^2 \left( n^{(2)}_k \right)^2 \cdots \left( n^{(d)}_{k+1} \right)^2 \left( n^{(d)}_k \right)^2} \leq \frac{1}{2 \left( n^{(1)}_{k+1} \right)^2 \left( n^{(2)}_k \right)^2 \cdots \left( n^{(d)}_{k+1} \right)^2 \left( n^{(d)}_k \right)^2}. $$
So we have

\[
|V(t) - V(h)| = |V_k(t) - V_k(h) + (V(t) - V_k(t)) - (V(h) - V_k(h))| \\
\geq \frac{1}{\left( n_{k-1}^{(1)} \right)^2 n_k^{(1)} \left( n_{k-1}^{(2)} \right)^2 n_k^{(2)} \cdots \left( n_{k-1}^{(d)} \right)^2 n_k^{(d)}} \\
- \frac{1}{2 \left( n_{k-1}^{(1)} \right)^2 n_k^{(1)} \left( n_{k-1}^{(2)} \right)^2 n_k^{(2)} \cdots \left( n_{k-1}^{(d)} \right)^2 n_k^{(d)}} \\
= \frac{1}{2 \left( n_{k-1}^{(1)} \right)^{2+2m} n_k^{(1)} \left( n_{k-1}^{(2)} \right)^{2+2m} n_k^{(2)} \cdots \left( n_{k-1}^{(d)} \right)^{2+2m} n_k^{(d)}} \\
\geq \frac{1}{2 \prod_{j=2}^d \left( C^{2+2m} \left( n_{k-1}^{(1)} \right)^{2d+2dm} \right)} \\
\geq \frac{1}{2C^{2d+2dm} |t - h|^{2d+2dm}}.
\]

If \( k = 1 \), we have

\[
|V_1(t) - V_1(h)| \geq \frac{1}{\left( n_0^{(1)} \right)^2 n_1^{(1)} \left( n_0^{(2)} \right)^2 n_1^{(2)} \cdots \left( n_0^{(d)} \right)^2 n_1^{(d)}}.
\]

We also have

\[
|(V(t) - V_1(t)) - (V(h) - V_1(h))| \\
= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\nu=2}^\infty \frac{a^{(i)}_t(t_i) - a^{(i)}_t(h_i)}{\left( n_{\nu-1}^{(1)} \right)^2 n_\nu^{(1)} \left( n_{\nu-1}^{(2)} \right)^2 n_\nu^{(2)} \cdots \left( n_{\nu-1}^{(d)} \right)^2 n_\nu^{(d)}} \\
\leq \frac{2n_1}{\left( n_1^{(1)} \right)^2 n_2^{(1)} \left( n_1^{(2)} \right)^2 n_2^{(2)} \cdots \left( n_1^{(d)} \right)^2 n_2^{(d)}}.
\]
constant sequence 1 is supposed to belong to \( \mathcal{M} \), operations of pointwise addition and multiplication of sequences. In particular, the

which implies that

\[ V = (V(t) - V(h)) \]

Thus, we have

\[
|V(t) - V(h)| \geq \frac{1}{2 \prod_{j=2}^{d} (C (n_1^{(1)})^d)}\cdot
\]

Thus, we have

\[
|V(t) - V(h)| \geq \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2^{2d+2dm} (n_1^{(1)})^{2d+2dm}}, & 0 < |t - h| < n_1^{(1)}; \\
\frac{1}{2^{2d+2dm} (|t - h|^{2d+2dm})}, & |t - h| \geq n_1^{(1)}. 
\end{cases}
\]

Similarly, if \(|t - h| = |t_i - h_i|, 1 \leq i \leq d\), we have

\[
|V(t) - V(h)| \geq \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2^{2d+2dm} (n_1^{(1)})^{2d+2dm}}, & 0 < |t - h| < n_1^{(1)}; \\
\frac{1}{2^{2d+2dm} (|t - h|^{2d+2dm})}, & |t - h| \geq n_1^{(1)}. 
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( M = \max\{n_1^{(i)} : 1 \leq i \leq d\} \) and

\[ Q(x) = \begin{cases} 
2^{2d+2dm} M^{2d+2dm}, & 0 \leq x < M; \\
2^{2d+2dm} x^{2d+2dm}, & x \geq M.
\end{cases} \]

\( Q(x) \) is an approximation function (please refer to [4, Remark 4.5]). We have that

\[
|V(t) - V(h)| \geq \frac{1}{Q(|t - h|)}, t \neq h,
\]

which implies that \( V \) is distal.

Let \( \Omega = \text{hull}(V) \). By Proposition 2.5, there exists \( f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \) such that

\[ f(T^n \omega_c) = V(n) \]

which concludes the proof.

4. Pöschel’s Results

In this section we rewrite some of Pöschel’s results from [9], tailored to our purpose.

Let \( \mathcal{M} \) a Banach algebra of real \( d \)-dimensional sequences \( V = (V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \) with the operations of pointwise addition and multiplication of sequences. In particular, the constant sequence 1 is supposed to belong to \( \mathcal{M} \) and have norm one. Moreover, \( \mathcal{M} \)
is required to be invariant under translation: if \( V \in \mathfrak{M} \), then \( \| S_k V \|_\mathfrak{M} = \| V \|_\mathfrak{M} \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), where as before \( S_k V = V_{-k} \).

We denote by \( M \) the space of all matrices \( A = (V_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \) satisfying \( A_k = (V_{i,i+k}) \in \mathfrak{M}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), that is, \( A_k \) is the \( k \)-th diagonal of \( A \) and it is required to belong to \( \mathfrak{M} \). In \( M \), we define a Banach space
\[
M^s = \{ A \in M : \| A \|_s < \infty \}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq \infty,
\]
where
\[
\| A \|_s = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \| A_k \|_\mathfrak{M} e^{|k|s}.
\]
Obviously,
\[
M^s \subset M^t, \quad \| \cdot \|_s \geq \| \cdot \|_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq \infty.
\]
In particular, \( M^\infty \) is the space of all diagonal matrices in \( M \).

**Theorem 4.1** (Theorem A, [9]). Let \( D \) be a diagonal matrix whose diagonal \( V \) is a distal sequence for \( \mathfrak{M} \). Let \( 0 < s \leq \infty \) and \( 0 < \sigma \leq \min\{1, \tfrac{s}{2}\} \). If \( P \in M^s \) and \( \| P \|_s \leq \delta \cdot h(\tfrac{s}{2})^{-1} \), where \( \delta > 0 \) depends on the dimension \( d \) only, then there exists another diagonal matrix \( \tilde{D} \) and an invertible matrix \( Q \) such that
\[
Q^{-1}(\tilde{D} + P)Q = D.
\]
In fact, \( Q, Q^{-1} \in M^{s-\sigma} \) and \( \tilde{Q} - Q \in M^\infty \) with
\[
\| Q - I \|_{s-\sigma}, \| V^{-1} - I \|_{s-\sigma} \leq C \cdot \| P \|_s,
\]
\[
\| \tilde{D} - D + [P] \|_\infty \leq C^2 \cdot \| P \|_s^2,
\]
where \( C = \delta^{-1} \cdot h(\tfrac{s}{2}) \), and \([\cdot]\) denotes the canonical projection \( M^s \to M^\infty \). If \( P \) is Hermitian, then \( Q \) can be chosen to be unitary on \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \). Note that \( h \) is the function (5) associated with \( V \).

An important consequence of the preceding theorem for discrete Schrödinger operators is the following.

**Theorem 4.2** (Corollary A, [9]). Let \( V \) be a distal sequence for some translation invariant Banach algebra \( \mathfrak{M} \) of \( d \)-dimensional real sequences. Then for \( 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 \), with \( \varepsilon_0 > 0 \) sufficiently small, there exists a sequence \( \tilde{V} \) with \( \tilde{V} - V = \mathfrak{M} \),
\[
\| \tilde{V} - V \|_{\mathfrak{M}} \leq \varepsilon \varepsilon_0^2,
\]
such that the discrete Schrödinger operator
\[
(\tilde{H}u)_i = \varepsilon \sum_{|l| = 1} u_{i+l} + \tilde{V}_i u_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}^d
\]
has eigenvalues \( \{ V_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}^d \} \) and a complete set of corresponding exponentially localized eigenvectors with decay rate \( 1 + \log \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon} \).

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We are now proving our main result, Theorem 1.3. By Lemma 3.4, there exist a Cantor group \( \Omega \) that admits a minimal \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) action \( T \) by translations and an \( f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( (f(T^n \omega))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \) is a distal sequence. Clearly, \( C(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \) will induce a class of limit-periodic potentials. We denote it by \( \mathfrak{C} \), and one can check that this class is a translation invariant Banach algebra with the \( \ell^\infty \)-norm. By Theorem 4.2,
there exists a sufficiently small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, there is a sequence $\tilde{V} \in C$ with $\|\tilde{V} - V\|_\infty \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$ so that the discrete Schrödinger operator

$$(Hu)_n = \sum_{|m-n|_1=1} u_m + \frac{\tilde{V}_n}{\varepsilon} u_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

has eigenvalues $\{\frac{\lambda_n}{\varepsilon}, n \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ and a total set of corresponding exponentially localized eigenvectors with decay rate $r = 1 + \log \frac{M}{2\pi}$. There exists a sampling function $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f(T^n \omega) = \frac{\tilde{V}_n}{\varepsilon}$ since $\tilde{V} \in C$.

More explicitly, for the Schrödinger operator $H$ associated with the potential $f(T^n \omega)$, denote its matrix representation with respect to the standard orthonormal basis of $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$, by the same symbol. Pöschel’s theorem also implies that there exists a unitary $Q : l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \to l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ (with corresponding matrix denoted by the same symbol) such that

$$(6) \quad H \cdot Q = Q \cdot D,$$

where $D = (D_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a diagonal matrix with the diagonal $\{\frac{\lambda_n}{\varepsilon}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$.

Write $Q_n = (Q_{i,i+n})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ as the $n$-th diagonal of $Q$ (note that $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$). By Theorem 4.1 we have that $Q \in M^r$, where $r > 0$ and $M^r$ is a space of matrices associated with the Banach algebra $C$. (Note that $Q \in M^r$ follows from [9, Proof of Corollary A],) Since $Q \in M^r$, we have $\|Q\|_r = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|Q_n\|_{\infty} e^{r|n|} < C$ where $C$ is a constant. So $\|Q_n\|_{\infty} < Ce^{-r|n|}, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let $Q^{(n)} = (Q_{i,n})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ be the $n$-th column of $Q$, that is, $Q^{(n)}$ is an eigenfunction of $H$ with respect to the eigenvalue $\lambda_n$. Since $Q_{k,n} = Q^{(n)}(k) = Q_{k+k+n}(n-k)$, $Q^{(n)}(k)$ is also an entry in $Q_{n-k}$, and so $|Q^{(n)}(k)| < Ce^{-r|n-k|}$. $C$ and $r$ are independent of $n$, so the corresponding Schrödinger operator $H$ has ULE. This property is strong enough to imply that the pure point spectrum of $H$ is independent of $\omega$ [8], that is, it is phase stable. In order to see this more explicitly, we will prove it in our framework, and furthermore show that for other $\omega$’s, the associated Schrödinger operator still has ULE with the same constants $C$ and $r$. Note that the latter property does not follow from Jitomirskaya’s result in [8].

**Lemma 5.1.** Suppose we are given matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$, one of which has only finitely many non-zero diagonals. Then, we have for the $k$-th diagonal of $Z = AB$,

$$Z_k = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} A_l \cdot T^l(B_{k-l}),$$

where $\cdot$ is the pointwise multiplication (i.e., $A_l \cdot T^l(B_{k-l})$ is still a sequence) and $T$ is the translation defined by $T^l(B_{k-l})(n) = B_{k-l}(n + l)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

**Proof.** Since for $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we have

$$z_{n,n+k} = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_{n,l} b_{n+l,k}$$

$$= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_{n,n+l} b_{n+l,n+k}$$

$$= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_{n,n+l} b_{n+l,n+l+k},$$

the lemma follows. \qed
Given an \( \omega \in \Omega \), we have that \( \{ \tilde{f}(T^n \omega) \}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \text{hull}((\tilde{f}(T^n \omega_t))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}) \). If \( \omega \) is in the orbit of \( \omega_t \), that is, \( \omega = T^t \omega_t \) for some \( t \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), ULE with the same constants and eigenvalues follows from unitary operator equivalence directly. However, we write this out in detail so that we see clearly what happens in the case where \( \omega \) can only be approximated by elements of the form \( T^t \omega_t \).

By the previous lemma, (6) is equivalent to the following form:

\[
\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \quad \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} H_l \cdot T^l(Q_{k-1}) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} Q_l \cdot T^l(D_{k-1}).
\]

If the potential is replaced by \( \tilde{f}(T^{n+t} \omega_e) \), the corresponding matrix \( \tilde{H} \) has \( \tilde{H}_j(n) = H_j(n + t) = T^t(H_j)(n) \). We have

\[
\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \quad \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} T^l(H_l) \cdot T^{t+l}(Q_{k-1}) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} T^l(Q_l) \cdot T^{t+l}(D_{k-1}).
\]

We let \( \tilde{Q}_k(n) = Q_k(n + t) \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) and \( \tilde{D}_0(n) = D_0(n + t) \). Reversing the steps above, this means that

\[
\tilde{H} \cdot \tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q} \cdot \tilde{D}.
\]

We can conclude that \( \tilde{H} \) has the pure point spectrum \( \{ \frac{T^{n+t}}{t} : n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \} = \{ \frac{n}{t} : n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \} \). Moreover, \( \tilde{Q} \) is the eigenfunction matrix of \( \tilde{H} \), and for any \( n, k \in \mathbb{Z} \),

\[
|\tilde{Q}_k(n)| = |Q_k(n + t)| \leq C e^{-r|k|}.
\]

So for the eigenfunction \( \tilde{Q}^{(k)} \) of \( \tilde{H} \), we still have

\[
|\tilde{Q}^{(k)}(n)| < C e^{-r|k-n|},
\]

and hence ULE with the same constants follows.

If \( \lim_{m \to \infty} T^{t_m} \omega_e = \omega \), that is, \( \tilde{f}(T^n \omega) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \tilde{f}(T^{n+t_m} \omega_e) \), then for \( \tilde{f}(T^{n+t_m} \omega_e) \), we have already seen that

\[
(7) \quad \tilde{H}^{(m)} \cdot \tilde{Q}^{(m)} = \tilde{Q}^{(m)} \cdot \tilde{D}^{(m)},
\]

where the notation \( \langle m \rangle \) means that the matrices are corresponding to \( \tilde{f}(T^{n+t_m} \omega_e) \).

Let \( \tilde{Q}_k^{(m)} \) be the \( k \)-th diagonal of \( \tilde{Q}^{(m)} \). Clearly, \( \tilde{Q}_k^{(m)}(n) = Q_k(n + t_m) \). There exists some \( \tilde{f}_k \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \tilde{Q}_k^{(m)}(n) = Q_k(n + t_m) = \tilde{f}_k(T^{n+t_m} \omega_e) \) since \( Q_k \in C \).

So \( \lim_{m \to \infty} Q_k(n) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \tilde{f}_k(T^{n+t_m} \omega_e) = \tilde{f}_k(T^n \omega) \), and we denote \( \tilde{f}_k(T^n \omega) \) by \( \tilde{Q}_k^{(\infty)}(n) \). Similarly, \( \lim_{m \to \infty} \tilde{D}^{(m)} \) exists and \( \tilde{D}_0^{(\infty)}(n) = f(T^n \omega) \), where \( \tilde{D}_0^{(\infty)} \) is the 0-th diagonal of \( \tilde{D}^{(\infty)} \). Thus, as we let \( m \to \infty \), (7) takes the following form:

\[
(8) \quad \tilde{H}^{(\infty)} \cdot \tilde{Q}^{(\infty)} = \tilde{Q}^{(\infty)} \cdot \tilde{D}^{(\infty)},
\]

where \( \tilde{H}^{(\infty)} \) is (the matrix representation of) the Schrödinger operator with the potential \( \tilde{f}(T^n \omega) \). Equation (8) implies that \( \tilde{H}^{(\infty)} \) has the pure point spectrum \( \{ \frac{n}{t} : n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \} \), and its eigenfunctions are uniformly localized since \( |\tilde{Q}_k^{(\infty)}(k)(n)| < C e^{-r|k-n|} \) for any \( k, n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), where \( (\tilde{Q}_k^{(\infty)})^{(k)} \) is the \( k \)-th column of \( \tilde{Q}^{(\infty)} \). This finishes the proof.
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