Irrigation and Nitrogen Management of Artichoke: Yield, Head Quality, and Phenolic Content
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Abstract. Globe artichoke [Cynara cardunculus L. var. scolymus (L.) Fiori] has been recently introduced as a specialty crop in southwest Texas. Marketable yield, yield components, quality, and phenolic compounds of artichoke heads were investigated in response to three irrigation [50%, 75%, and 100% crop evapotranspiration (ETc)] regimes and four nitrogen (0 to 10, 60, 120, and 180 kg ha⁻¹) rates under subsurface drip irrigation. Field experiments were conducted over three seasons (2005–2006, 2006–2007, and 2007–2008) at Uvalde, TX. Irrigation was more effective than nitrogen (N) rates to optimize crop yield and head quality. Marketable yields significantly increased at 100% ETc compared with 75% and 50% ETc, whereas a 20% to 35% yield reduction occurred at 50% ETc across seasons. This yield reduction was associated with a decrease in both number of marketable heads and head weight and with reductions in plant physiological responses as measured in the last season. The lack of yield responses to N rates was in part the result of high pre-plant soil NO₃-N and NH₄-N levels. Total phenolics and chlorogenic acid of artichoke heads increased as the harvesting season progressed and were highest at 50% ETc during mid- and late harvests in one season. Based on these results, we estimate that under these environmental conditions, ~700 mm (for a bare soil system) of water inputs and 120 kg ha⁻¹ or less of N (rate depending on soil available N) appear sufficient to obtain high marketable yields, superior size, and nutritional head quality of artichokes.

Globe artichoke [Cynara cardunculus L. var. scolymus (L.) Fiori] is a thistle-like perennial plant that belongs to the Asteraceae family. In commercial production, plants are usually grown from 1 to 4 years and occasionally up to 10 years (Ryder et al., 1983; Schrader and Mayberry, 1997; Tesi et al., 2004). The main marketable part of artichoke is the immature flower buds or capitulum, known as the head. The artichoke plant has a deep taproot, up to 120 cm, and the canopy can grow 60 to 120 cm high with a rosette of large leaves and blanched flowering stems (Sims et al., 1977). The plants require a low temperature followed by long days for head formation and stem elongation (Basnizky, 1985).

In the United States, fresh artichoke is known as a specialty crop, which is sold at a retail price of $1.00 per head and up to $3.50 for large head sizes. California supplies over 90% of the total U.S. market, and the production value of artichoke in California is higher than that of any common vegetable crop grown in Texas (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2004). Therefore, if commercial artichoke production is successfully established in Texas or regions with similar ecological conditions, this alternative crop could provide new market opportunities for regional agricultural economies.

There is a gap of knowledge on irrigation and N management for artichoke production in the southern regions of the United States. Most research comes from Italy and Spain, the two leading producer countries in the world. Artichoke appears to have a high water requirement compared with other vegetable crops, in part as a result of the large foliage biomass and longer production cycle, up to 10 months when grown as annuals. A lysimeter experiment in Italy found that the maximum evapotranspiration (ET) of a seed-propagated artichoke cv. Imperial Star in Spain reported no yield differences between 100% and 125% of ETc, which was equivalent to 547 mm and 726 mm of total water applied (Pomares et al., 2004). Comparing irrigation methods for artichoke in Tunisia, water use efficiency was higher with drip (24.2 kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹) than furrow irrigation (18.6 kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹), which corresponded with a higher number of heads, seven and five heads per plant for the drip and furrow irrigation, respectively (Mansour et al., 2005).

Selecting an optimum N rate for artichoke crop management appears to be more complex than irrigation rate. A compositional N analysis of the foliage biomass (leaf + stem + heads) of artichoke showed that 400 kg ha⁻¹ of soil N was absorbed by the vegetatively propagated ‘Blanca de Tudela’ (Rincón et al., 2007). Similarly, high N uptake, ranging from 388 to 625 kg ha⁻¹, was measured in seed-grown artichoke (Pomares et al., 2004). In California, artichoke growers usually apply 112 to 224 kg ha⁻¹ N (Schrader and Mayberry, 1997) and 150 to 280 kg ha⁻¹ N is applied in southeastern France (Ryder et al., 1983). Another study in Italy showed that when N was applied at 200 kg ha⁻¹, artichoke and head number were higher than nonfertilized controls (Paradiso et al., 2007). In contrast, a study in Spain reported minor yield differences when N was applied between 0 and 300 kg ha⁻¹ under furrow irrigation and between 0 and 270 kg ha⁻¹ under drip irrigation for ‘Imperial Star’ and cv. Num7144 (Pomares et al., 2004).

Artichoke contains high amounts of phenolic compounds, which have beneficial health effects resulting from their antioxidant capacity (Alamanni and Cossu, 2003; Curadi et al., 2005; Miccãédi et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2003). A study conducted to investigate antioxidant sources in the American diet revealed that the antioxidant content of artichoke ranked fourth out of more than 1000 food products and was the highest over several selected vegetable crops (Halvorsen et al., 2006).

Two main phenolic compounds in artichoke are chlorogenic acid (5-O-caffeoylquinic acid) and cyanarin (1,5-di-Ocaffeoylquinic acid) (Schütz et al., 2004). Although many phenolic compounds have a strong antioxidative capacity when compared with vitamin C, vitamin E, and β-carotene (Vinson et al., 1995), the content of phenolics and their activity in artichoke may vary among plant parts and cultivars (Curadi et al., 2005; Romani et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2003) as well as head maturity, storage, and processing (Gil-Izquierdo et al., 2001; Halvorsen et al., 2006; Llorach et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2003). The impact of irrigation and N application rates on phenolic content in artichoke heads is also unknown.

The aim of this three-season study was to determine crop yield, quality, and nutritional components of fresh artichoke heads in response to differential irrigation regimes and N fertilizer rates. Selecting improved management practices for artichoke is a prerequisite to introduce this new crop into commercial production in southwest Texas and other semiarid regions of the United States.
Materials and Methods

2005–2006 and 2006–2007 seasons (biennial system). A 2-year field experiment was conducted at the Texas A&M AgriLife Research and Extension Center at Uvalde, TX (long. 29°13' N, lat. 99°45' W; elevation 283 m). Winter (November to February) temperatures for 2005–2006, 2006–2007, and 2007–2008 seasons were generally similar with average daily temperatures in the range of 13.4 to 14.3 °C. The main difference among seasons was in 2005–2006, when the average temperature of January was significantly higher (14.3 °C) than the other seasons (Fig. 1). Pre-plant soil physical and chemical properties (0- to 13-cm depth where we applied the N fertilizer) are summarized in Table 1. Two-month-old artichoke ‘Imperial Star’ (Condor Seed Production, Inc., Yuma, AZ) seedlings previously grown in a greenhouse in 128-cell polystyrene flats were transplanted to field beds (≈2.03 m between rows, ≈0.76 m within row, equivalent to a plant population of 6465 plants/ha) on 16 Dec. 2005. After the 2005–2006 season harvest ended, plants were cut back to ground level on 19 July 2006 and new off-shoots were allowed to regrow for the 2006–2007 season production. Main plots were allocated to three irrigation regimes: 50%, 75%, and 100% ETc rates. The values obtained for ETc were based on climatic parameters that are incorporated in the calculation of the reference evapotranspiration (ET0), which was updated daily from the lysimeter facility located at the experimental site and as described in Ko et al. (2009). The ET0 values were adjusted by these phenological crop coefficients (Kc), values as Kc ini = 0.5, Kc mid = 1.0, Kc end = 0.95 [Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 1998] based on crop canopy characteristics with slight modifications. Irrigation was supplied using a subsurface drip system with drip tape placed in the middle of the bed, 15 cm below the soil surface, with emitters spaced every 30 cm and a flow rate of 340 L h⁻¹ per 100 m of bed at 69 kPa (T-Tape 500 U.S. Model; T-systems International, San Diego, CA). Differential irrigation started after transplants were fully established on 31 Jan. 2006 (2005–2006 season) and after plants reached uniform growth on 17 Oct. 2006 (2006–2007 season). Total water inputs (irrigation + rainfall) for each irrigation regime during the experiment are described in Table 2. Subplots within main plots were allocated to four N fertilizer rates: 0, 60, 120, and 180 kg ha⁻¹ applied as a granular ammonium nitrate (NH4NO3) by sidedressing in two equal split doses, the first at stand establishment (20 Jan. 2006 for the 2005–2006 season and 9 Oct. 2006 for the 2006–2007 season) and the second at bud initiation (24 Mar. 2006 for the 2005–2006 season and 1 Feb. for the 2006–2007 season). A follow-up experiment was conducted in the last season, the average winter temperature (14.3 °C) was similar to the other previous two seasons (Figs. 1 and 2). Although freezing temperatures (more than 5 h of continuous 0 °C or less) on 16, 23, 24, and 25 Dec. 2007 and 3 and 8 Jan. 2008 caused leaf injury, drying mostly older but not younger leaves, all plants recovered soon after the freezes were over. Three differential irrigation rates were applied as previously described (50%, 75%, and 100% ETc). Because black plastic mulch was used, evaporation from bare soil was deducted from the FAO’s Kc (estimated Kc bare = 0.2) and calculated in the water application under the mulch throughout the season. Therefore, the adjusted Kc values were Kc ini = 0.3, Kc mid = 0.8, and Kc end = 0.95 with modifications based on canopy size. Irrigation water was supplied using a drip tape placed at 15 cm below the surface with emitters spaced every 30 cm and a flow rate of 170 L h⁻¹ per 100-m bed at 69 kPa (T-Tape 500 U.S. Model; T-systems International). Differential irrigation started after stand establishment on 28 Nov. 2007. Water inputs (irrigation + rainfall) during the experiment are described in Table 2. Four differential N rates: 10, 60, 120, and 180 kg ha⁻¹ as liquid UAN were applied by fertigation (Fertijet; Netafim Irrigation Inc., Fresno, CA). A starter fertilizer of 10 kg ha⁻¹ of N (UAN, 32%) was applied to all plots on 14 Nov. 2007 to enhance stand establishment. The rest of the N (0, 50, 110, and 170 kg ha⁻¹) was applied in four equal split doses on 28 Nov. and 17 Dec. in 2007 and 3 and 8 Jan. in 2008. Cultural practices. In addition to N applications, 56 kg ha⁻¹ of phosphorus and 60 kg ha⁻¹ of potassium were applied each season as a water-soluble granule nutrient mixture (Gainer...

Fig. 1. Time course of average temperatures (solid lines), rainfall (bars) and specific agronomic practices (arrows) during the 2005–2006 and 2006–2007 seasons (biennial system); Uvalde, TX.
Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of pre-plant soils for 2005–2007 seasons and 2007–2008 season, Uvalde, TX.

| Properties         | 2005       | 2007       |
|--------------------|------------|------------|
| Soil Texture       | Clay loam  | Clay loam  |
| Sand               | %          | %          |
| Silt               | %          | %          |
| Clay               | %          | %          |
| pH                 |            |            |
| EC                 | dS m⁻¹     |            |
| Organic carbon     | %          |            |
| Organic matter     | %          |            |
| Total nitrogen     | g kg⁻¹     |            |
| NH₄⁻N             | mg kg⁻¹    | 3.7        |
| NO₃⁻N             | mg kg⁻¹    | 14,320.7   |
| P                  | mg kg⁻¹    | 64.6       |
| K                  | mg kg⁻¹    | 717.0      |
| Ca                 | mg kg⁻¹    | 143.9      |
| Mg                 | mg kg⁻¹    | 143.9      |
| S                  | mg kg⁻¹    | 40.4       |
| Na                 | mg kg⁻¹    | 143.9      |
| Fe                 | mg kg⁻¹    | 2.7        |
| Zn                 | mg kg⁻¹    | 1.1        |
| Mn                 | mg kg⁻¹    | 9.7        |
| Cu                 | mg kg⁻¹    | 0.5        |

Soil samples (0- to 13-cm depth) were collected before planting on 12 Dec. 2005 for the 2005–2006 and 2006–2007 seasons and on 23 Oct. 2007 for the 2007–2008 season.

Table 2. Frequency (no.) and amount (mm) of irrigation applied or rainfall received during the 2005–2006, 2006–2007, and 2007–2008 seasons; Uvalde, TX.

| Irrigation | June-Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Total applied |
|------------|-----------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|---------------|
| 50% ETc    |           |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |               |
| 75% ETc    |           |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |               |
| 100% ETc   |           |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |               |
| Rainfall   |           |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |               |

2005–2006 season

| Irrigation | June-Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Total applied |
|------------|-----------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|---------------|

2006–2007 season

| Irrigation | June-Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Total applied |
|------------|-----------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|---------------|

2007–2008 season

| Irrigation | June-Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Total applied |
|------------|-----------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|---------------|

Values include 12 mm of pre-planting irrigation (four times).
Values include 69 mm of pre-awakening irrigation (seven times).
Values include 112 mm of pre-planting irrigation (four times).

ETc = crop evapotranspiration.

Fig. 2. Time course of temperature (solid lines), rainfall (bars) and specific agronomic practices (arrows) during the 2007–2008 season (annual system); Uvalde, TX.
and 28 Apr. 2008 for the 2007–2008 season. Marketable yield (kg ha⁻¹), head weight (g), and head number per plant (no./plant) were recorded. Water use efficiency (WUE) was calculated as kilograms of heads per water input (kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹).

**Head size distribution.** Artichoke head size (considered a quality component) was determined during harvesting. Harvest periods were separated as early and late. Early harvests were done on 13, 20, and 26 Apr. and 3 May 2006 for the first two seasons; 2, 12, 19, and 23 Mar. 2007 for the 2006–2007 season; and 20, 24, and 28 Mar. and 1, 4, and 7 Apr. for the 2007–2008 season. Late harvests were done on 8, 12, 18, and 25 May for the 2005–2006 season; 3, 10, 16, and 24 Apr. 2007 for the 2006–2007 season; and 11, 14, 18, 21, 25, and 28 Apr. 2008 for the 2007–2008 season. Head size was classified into marketable heads by diameter; small (less than 7 cm), medium (7 to 9 cm), large (9 to 11 cm), or jumbo (greater than 11 cm). Unmarketable heads were those with tip burn and open bracts.

**Soil nitrogen.** Soil residual N was measured to determine soil N availability. Soil sampling (0–3 cm depth) was performed on 27 July 2006 for the 2005–2006 season, on 25 June 2007 for the 2006–2007 season, and 12 May 2008 for the 2007–2008 season. Nitrate-N (NO₃-N, mg kg⁻¹) was extracted from soils using a 1 N KCl solution. Nitrate was determined by reduction of nitrate (NO₃-N to NO₂-N) with a cadmium column followed by spectrophotometric measurement. Ammonium-N (NH₄-N) was extracted from soils using a 1 N KCl solution. Ammonium-N was determined spectrophotometrically at 420 nm wavelength. Soil N analysis was conducted at the Texas A&M Soil, Water and Forage Testing Laboratory in College Station, TX.

**Sample preparation for phenolic compounds.** Total phenolics, chlorogenic acid, and cynarin content of artichoke heads were determined during harvest at three times (separated as early, mid, and late). Early harvests were done on 20 Apr. 2006 for the 2005–2006 season and 23 Mar. 2007 for the 2006–2007 season, but not in the 2007–2008 season. Mid-harvests were done on 3 May 2006 for the 2005–2006 season, 3 Apr. 2007 for the 2006–2007 season, and 1 Apr. 2008 for the 2007–2008 season. Before analysis, outer bracts were removed keeping the stems (5 cm length), chopped, dried in an oven at 70 °C until constant weight, and ground to make powder samples, which were kept in sealed plastic bags until analysis. All analyses (methods described subsequently) were conducted at the Vegetable and Fruit Improvement Center (VFIC), College Station, TX.

**Colorimetric analysis of total phenolics.** Total phenolics content was measured using the Folin-Ciocalteu assay (Singleton and Rossi, 1965). A 2-g dried sample of artichoke head was mixed with 30 mL 80% ethanol and shaken for 24 h and the ethanol extract was used for total phenolic measurement. Extracts of 50 μL were mixed well with 9 mL of nano pure water in a 15-mL test tube. A 0.5-mL Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was added, mixed well, and left to settle for 5 min. A 1.5-mL aliquot of Na₂CO₃ (20 g/100 mL) was added, mixed well again, and kept for 2 h at room temperature. Total phenolics content was determined by a spectrophotometer (Spectronic 601; Milton Roy USA, Ithaca, NY) with absorbance at 760 nm. The content was standardized against chlorogenic acid with a linearity ranging from 15.6 mg L⁻¹ to 2030 mg L⁻¹. The final values was converted to milligrams per gram dry weight (mg g⁻¹ DW).

**High-performance liquid chromatography analysis of chlorogenic acid and cynarin.** The ethanol extract used in the total phenolic analysis was used for chlorogenic acid and cynarin analysis using a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system. Standard commercial compounds for cyanin (identified as 1,3-di-O-cafeoylquinic acid; Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) and chlorogenic acid (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) were used. A major cynarin isomer, 1,5-di-O-cafeoylquinic acid, was purified from the concentrated ethanol extract by using a preparative column (EconoSil C-18, 10 µm; Alltech, Deerfield, IL) with 4 mL min⁻¹ methanol gradient from 20% to 90% in 30 min. A 150-µL concentrated extract was injected and the matching fraction of the peak was collected. The concentration of the fraction was calculated by measuring absorbance at 326 nm (E 1%, 1 cm = 616; Merck Index Eleventh Edition, Rahway, NJ). The same fraction was transferred into the HPLC and the peak area was obtained and used as an external standard of 5-O-cafeoylquinic acid, which was confirmed as chlorogenic acid by a matching spectrum max at 326 nm using a diode array detector. The commercial cyanarin and chlorogenic acid were also run by HPLC and calibrated as external standards. The purity of the purified standard was similar to or better than the commercial ones. The HPLC column used for this analysis was a Waters Spherisorb ODS-2, 5 µm (4.6 x 250 mm), Milford, MA. The solvent was programmed with 10% to 50% acetonitrile containing 0.1% TFA in 20 min. The flow was 1 mL min⁻¹, and after a 5-µL sample was injected, the detection was made at 326 nm. Cyanarin content was determined by the isomer 1,5-di-O-cafeoylquinic acid, which is a major cyanin isomer in artichoke heads (Schütz et al., 2004).

**Statistical analysis.** The experiments over the two seasons were conducted using a split plot design with four replications; each plot consisted of 13 plants with a total area of 20 m². Irrigation regime was assigned to the main plot and N rates to the subplots. For the 2007–2008 season, the experiment was conducted using a randomized complete block design with four replications of seven plants each and a total plot area of 12.8 m². Plant physiological measurements were taken over time with replicated measurements (twice, total eight plants). All data were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance using SPSS (Version 14.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Differences among irrigation and N rate were determined using least significant difference at P ≤ 0.05. Trend analysis for yield in response to N rates was obtained using polynomial contrasts.

**Results**

**Precipitation and irrigation.** Total rainfall during the crop cycle was 68 mm in 2005–2006, 288 mm in 2006–2007, and 38 mm in 2007–2008, respectively. Therefore, the first and third seasons were considered dry, whereas the sampling year 2006 was considered as normal. Large amounts of rainfall in 2006–2007 were partly due to better precipitation in Jan., Mar., and Apr. 2006 as compared with the other two seasons (Table 2).

Total irrigation applied during the crop cycles for the 100% ETc was 716 mm in 2005–2006, 809 mm in 2006–2007, and 317 mm in 2007–2008 (black plastic mulch applied). Frequent irrigations occurred during harvest in 2005–2006 and 2007–2008 but not in the 2006–2007 season as a result of the large number of precipitation events during the harvesting period. Overall, under plastic mulch, the amount of irrigation applied in 2007–2008 was 56% and 61% less than 2005–2006 and 2006–2007 seasons, respectively (Table 2).

**Yield and yield components.** There were not significant irrigation and nitrogen interactions for yield, yield components, or WUE. In the 2005–2007 seasons, marketable yields significantly increased at 100% ETc (13,153 and 15,696 kg ha⁻¹) as compared with deficit irrigation at 50% ETc (Table 3). Nitrogen did not significantly affect yield in both seasons, although there was a slight linear (P = 0.108) yield increase in the 2006–2007 season. The highest numerical (but not statistically at P ≤ 0.05) yields were achieved in the second season with 100% ETc and 120 kg ha⁻¹ N (17,626 kg ha⁻¹) (data not shown). Higher irrigation rates significantly increased head number in both seasons and head weight in the 2005–2006 season (Table 3).

WUE was unaffected by either irrigation or N rates. The higher values of WUE in the 2005–2006 compared with the 2006–2007 season were because less water inputs were applied as a result of a shorter crop cycle, 6 months in the 2005–2006 compared with 9 months in the 2006–2007 season (Fig. 1; Table 3).

In the 2007–2008 season, marketable yield significantly increased at 100% ETc (16,962 kg ha⁻¹), whereas a 30% yield reduction was measured at 50% ETc (Table 3). Similarly,
In the 2007–2008 season, the proportion of marketable heads was clearly affected by irrigation rates. In the early harvest, jumbo heads accounted for 27% and large heads for 73% at 100% ETC as compared with 15% jumbo and 85% large at 50% ETC (Fig. 3). A similar trend of head size distribution was measured in the late season, which had a higher proportion of large heads (48%) at 100% ETC compared with deficit irrigation at 50% ETC (57%). Overall, N did not significantly affect marketable or unmarketable head sizes.

**Soil nitrogen.** Soil N content at 0- to 13-cm depth was evaluated at the end of each crop cycle for 2005–2006, 2006–2007, and 2007–2008 seasons. Pre-plant soil NH₄-N and NO₃-N were 3.7 and 77.4 mg kg⁻¹ and 68.2 and 7.0 mg kg⁻¹ in 2005–2006 and 2007–2008 seasons, respectively (Table 1). Irrigation did not affect soil NH₄-N and NO₃-N when measured after the 2005–2006 season harvest. Both NH₄-N and NO₃-N were significantly affected by N rates (Table 4). In the 2007–2008 season, the partitioning of the significant irrigation and N interaction for NO₃-N (Table 4), indicated that the highest concentration of soil NO₃-N also resulted from the combination of 180 kg ha⁻¹ N and 100% ETC (Fig. 4), although a differential fertilization method was adopted in the 2007–2008 season (fertigation with UAN) compared with 2005–2006 season (sidressing with NH₄NO₃).

**Phenolic contents.** In the 2005–2006 season, NO₃-N present in the soil resulted with a combination of 180 kg ha⁻¹ N and higher irrigation rates both at 75% and 100% ETC (Fig. 4). In the 2006–2007 season, there were not significant differences among both irrigation and N treatments (Table 4). In the 2007–2008 season, the partitioning of the significant irrigation and N interaction for NO₃-N (Table 4), indicated that the highest concentration of soil NO₃-N also resulted from the combination of 180 kg ha⁻¹ N and 100% ETC (Fig. 4), although a differential fertilization method was adopted in the 2007–2008 season (fertigation with UAN) compared with 2005–2006 season (sidressing with NH₄NO₃).

### Table 3. Marketable yield, head number, head weight, and water, and use efficiency (WUE) of artichoke ‘Imperial Star’ in response to irrigation and nitrogen rate, 2005–2008 seasons.

| Treatment | 2005–2006 season | 2006–2007 season | 2007–2008 season |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|           | Yield (kg ha⁻¹) | Head No. Wt (g) | WUE (kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹) | Yield (kg ha⁻¹) | Head No. Wt (g) | WUE (kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹) | Yield (kg ha⁻¹) | Head No. Wt (g) | WUE (kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹) |
| Irrigation (I) |                 |                  |                   |                 |                  |                   |                 |                  |                   |
| 50% ETC   | 8,473 c        | 8.3 c            | 170.9 b           | 18.0           | 12,572 b        | 10.2 b            | 236.9 15.6        | 11,696 c        | 8.9 b            | 280.8 c           | 10.2 b            | 236.9 15.6        |
| 75% ETC   | 11,571 b       | 11.1 b           | 187.2 a           | 19.3           | 14,311 b        | 11.6 b            | 240.2 15.5        | 15,704 b        | 11.7 a           | 301.3 b           | 11.6 b            | 240.2 15.5        |
| 100% ETC  | 13,153 a       | 12.3 a           | 188.2 a           | 18.2           | 15,696 a        | 12.9 a            | 246.4 15.1        | 16,962 a        | 12.3 a           | 312.4 a           | 12.9 a            | 246.4 15.1        |
| Nitrogen (N) |               |                  |                   |                 |                  |                   |                 |                  |                   |
| 0–10 kg ha⁻¹ | 11,305         | 10.8             | 181.1 b           | 19.0           | 12,669 a        | 10.6 b            | 227.7 13.7        | 14,258 a        | 10.7             | 293.1 a           | 10.6 b            | 227.7 13.7        |
| 60 kg ha⁻¹ | 11,063         | 10.5             | 184.9             | 18.4           | 13,655 a        | 10.9 b            | 246.8 14.9        | 15,096 a        | 11.3 b           | 302.2 a           | 10.9 b            | 246.8 14.9        |
| 180 kg ha⁻¹ | 10,730         | 10.3             | 180.6 18.0        | 18.6           | 14,728 a        | 12.0 b            | 244.8 17.1        | 15,731 a        | 10.7             | 294.0 a           | 12.0 b            | 244.8 17.1        |
|           |                 |                  |                   |                 |                  |                   |                 |                  |                   |

*Mean within columns followed by different letter are significantly different (least significant difference, P ≤ 0.05)*

Non-significant irrigation and nitrogen interactions for yield components.

Non-significant linear (P = 0.218, 0.108, and 0.131 for 2005–2006, 2006–2007, and 2007–2008 seasons, respectively) and quadratic trends (P = 0.740, 0.336, and 0.722 for 2005–2006, 2006–2007, and 2007–2008 seasons, respectively) for yield in response to N rates. ETC, crop evapotranspiration.

---

![Fig. 3. Marketable head size distribution by diameter [small (less than 7 cm), medium (7 to 9 cm), large (9 to 11 cm), or jumbo (greater than 11 cm)] and unmarketable heads (tip burn and open bracts) of artichoke ‘Imperial Star’ in response to harvest time (early, late, and total) and irrigation rates in the 2005–2006 season (left), the 2006–2007 season (middle), and the 2007–2008 season (right).](image-url)
had minimal effects on chlorogenic acid and cyanarin content at each harvest time.

Plant physiological responses. Net photosynthetic rate was significantly reduced by deficit irrigation at 50% ETC as compared with 75% and 100% ETC. This effect was more evident as the season progressed from 21 Mar. to 2 May 2008 [149 to 191 d after transplanting (DAT)]. Nitrogen rates did not affect A\textsubscript{CO2} except 191 DAT. At that time, plants receiving 180 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} N showed higher A\textsubscript{CO2} than at lower N rates (Fig. 7).

Deficit irrigation (50% ETC) significantly reduced transpiration compared with higher irrigation rates, and the difference was more pronounced later in development. However, transpiration was similar among N rates, except 191 DAT, the time at which transpiration was also highest with 180 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} N (Fig. 7). Similarly, gs was clearly lower with deficit irrigation (50% ETC) compared with 75% and 100% ETC throughout the growing season.

Nitrogen fertilizer rates did not affect gs except 191 DAT (Fig. 7).

Discussion

To introduce artichoke cultural practices into commercial production in water-limited regions of the southern United States, it is important to characterize the impact of irrigation and N inputs. In this field study, yield, yield components, and the nutritional quality of artichoke in response to three irrigation regimes and four N fertilizer rates were examined over three seasons, 2005–2006, 2006–2007, and 2007–2008.

During the first two seasons, the average winter temperatures were 14.0 °C for the 2005–2006 season and 13.4 °C for the 2006–2007 season, which were within the range (7 to 29 °C) for optimum growth (Schrader and Mayberry, 1997).

 Marketable yields increased at 100% ETC, whereas a 35% to 20% of yield reductions occurred at 50% ETC in the 2005–2006 and the 2006–2007 seasons, respectively (Table 3). Consistent with the positive effect on total yield, the number and weight of heads also increased with higher irrigation rates. The yield reduction with the 75% ETC as compared with 100% ETC was 12% and 20% in the 2005–2006 and 2006–2007 season, respectively. These results agree with those of Saleh (2003) and Garnica et al. (2004), who reported that deficit irrigation decreased both head number and weight. Others studies also showed a decrease in head number by deficit irrigation (Macua et al., 2005; Pomares et al., 2004). Conversely, increased N fertilizer did not show any yield improvement in any of the three seasons. Elia and Conversa (2007) reviewed the effect of N rates on artichoke earliness, yield, and yield components; they highlighted that these responses did not follow a linear trend of N rates, indicating that responses were dependant on soil fertility, cultivar, cultural practices, and climatic conditions. Studies showed significant impacts on earliness of cv. Orlando with a N

![Fig. 4. Effects of irrigation and nitrogen rates on soil NO\textsubscript{3}-N content after harvest for artichoke ‘Imperial Star’ in the 2005–2006 season (left) and the 2007–2008 season (right). Vertical bars indicate mean ± se.](image-url)
rate of 200 kg·ha⁻¹ (Foti et al., 2005), improved yield of cvs. Blanca de Tudela and Blanca de España with 200 to 300 kg·ha⁻¹ N (Ierna et al., 2006; Pomares et al., 1993), or increased head number and weight of vegetative grown cv. Castellamare with 200 kg·ha⁻¹ N (Paradiso et al., 2007). However, at least three other studies did not show any effect of N on yield when applied in the range of 0 to 270 kg·ha⁻¹ on cvs. Imperial Star and Nun 7144 (Pomares et al., 2004), 0 to 400 kg·ha⁻¹ on ‘Orlando’ (Foti et al., 2005), and 100 to 215 kg·ha⁻¹ on romanesco-type cultivars (Saccardo et al., 2005). Our results indicate that acceptable head yields of ‘Imperial Star’ can be obtained
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**Fig. 5.** Total phenolic content in artichoke head ‘Imperial Star’ in response to irrigation (left) and nitrogen (right) rates during harvest (early, mid, and late) in the 2005–2006 season (top) and the 2006–2007 (bottom). Vertical bars indicate mean ± se.
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**Fig. 6.** Chlorogenic acid (left) and cynarin content (right) in artichoke head ‘Imperial Star’ in response to irrigation rate and harvest time (early, mid, and late) for the 2005–2006 season. Vertical bars indicate mean ± se.
with 120 kg ha\(^{-1}\) N or less based on yield trends obtained from three production cycles under southwest Texas climatic conditions (Table 3).

Head size was less variable in the 2006–2007 (the majority were medium) as compared with the 2005–2006 season (Fig. 3). This difference in head size distribution between two seasons can be explained by the age of the crop, plant size, and the establishment methods used such as transplants in the 2005–2006 season versus offshoots in the 2006–2007 season. For transplants, a large main or crown head was developed from the main stem followed by seven to 11 medium to small heads produced from lateral branches, whereas for offshoots in the second season (three to five offshoots coming from the main crown), two to four medium heads and small axillary heads per offshoot were produced after the completion of the large main head.

Unmarketable heads or culls (open bracts and tip burn) increased as harvest season progressed in both seasons (Fig. 3). A significantly
higher proportion of heads with tip burn or atrophy was observed with deficit irrigation or with higher N rates (120 to 180 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) (data not shown). Several environmental conditions can lead to this physiological head disorder. These include lack of soil phosphorus (P), a high potassium/P ratio, high salinity, and/or Ca deficiency caused by excess transpiration under high temperatures (Elia and Conversa, 2007; Francois et al., 1991). In addition, our results suggest that plants under either drought stress alone or in combination with high N rates may also induce tip burn, especially in late harvests.

The larger values of WUE for the 2005–2006 versus the 2006–2007 season (Table 3) can be attributed to the higher amounts of rainfall, longer crop cycle, and thus higher irrigation frequency in the 2006–2007 season (28 July 2006 to 24 Apr. 2007) as compared with the shorter 2005–2006 season (15 Dec. 2005 to 25 May 2006) (Fig. 1; Table 2). Considering water savings, an annual system would be more efficient than the biennial system, although a relatively higher yield may be expected from the second crop season because of a longer harvesting period (2 Mar. to 24 Apr. 2007 versus 12 Apr. to 25 May 2006) and overall longer crop cycle (Fig. 1). However, weed and pest control management increases in the second year (Maurmicale and Ierna, 1995).

Considering soil N, a relatively high concentration of available N (NO\(_3\)–N) at the 0- to 13-cm depth was present in the field (77.4 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) before planting in 2005 (Table 1). Irrigation rates did not affect NO\(_3\)-N content in all seasons as well as N treatments, except the 2005–2006 season, when NO\(_3\)-N content at 0- to 13-cm depth progressively increased with the rise of N applications. The interaction was significant during 2005–2006 and 2007–2008 seasons (Table 4; Fig. 4). The high level of NO\(_3\)-N content evaluated at the end of 2005–2006 season at 0- to 13-cm depth could prove that irrigation treatments were not able to dissolve all N from the soil surface and to promote N moving to the deep soil. Note that in this crop cycle, the total rainfall was very low (Fig. 3; Table 2), whereas in the 2006–2007 season, the abundant rainfall, 288 mm, took part in N transport to the deep soil as very low N content was found at 0- to 13-cm depth. Although this may be beneficial for certain shallow-rooted vegetables such as onion (Halvorson et al., 2008), our results indicated that artichoke plants were not responsive to high NO\(_3\)-N levels at the soil surface. Presumably, active nutrient uptake may be greater at deeper soil layers, where the majority of large roots (taproot and lateral roots) may be present. In fact, the rationale of very low fertilizer N use of field-grown tomato in California was explained by the poor root system in Norich soil surfaces (Jackson and Bloom, 1990). Further investigations on root growth dynamics under various establishment systems are required.

Phenolic content in artichoke heads varies with cultivar, growing season, head maturity, storage, and processing (Curadi et al., 2005; Di Venere et al., 2004; Gill-Izquierdo et al., 2001; Lelievre et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2003) in this study, the nutritional quality (phenolic content) of artichoke heads was clearly affected by the harvest time. The increase in total phenolic and chlorogenic acid content (2005–2006 season) by deficit irrigation was more pronounced as the harvesting season progressed (Figs. 5 and 6). Wang et al. (2003) also reported higher amounts of phenolics in artichoke mature heads of ‘Imperial Star’ and ‘Green Globe’ harvested in October compared with those in September. Phenolic content in artichoke heads also may increase with plant maturity, as shown in other vegetables (Deepa et al., 2007; Pandjaitan et al., 2005). Indeed, it has been proposed that plant environmental stresses such as cold, heat, water deficit, and/or flooding exert a considerable influence on the levels of plant secondary metabolites such as polyphenolics and phenylpropanoids (Dixon and Paiva, 1995; Kirakossyan et al., 2004; Oh and Rajashekar, 2009). Therefore, the increase in phenolic content of artichoke heads by deficit irrigation may be a plant defense response against drought stress as shown in other vegetables (English-Loeb et al., 1997). High precipitation during 2006–2007 may have reduced the influence of deficit irrigation (Figs. 1; Table 2). Nevertheless, total phenolics were similar except at the late harvest (Fig. 5). Although there are findings indicating that higher N application rates inversely affected phenolic content in artichoke leaves (Eich et al., 2005), our study showed a decline of total phenolics only at 180 kg ha\(^{-1}\) N for the late harvests (Fig. 5).

 Marketable yields in the 2007–2008 season were significantly increased at 100% E\(_T\)C, whereas 7% and 30% yield reductions occurred at 75% and 50% E\(_T\)C, respectively (Table 3). As we observed in the 2005–2006 season, total yield at 100% E\(_T\)C was consistent with the increase on both number and weight of heads. The proportion for marketable heads for 2007–2008 season was similar to the 2005–2006 season but showed a higher proportion of larger and heavier heads at 100% E\(_T\)C. Although this study was not designed to compare a mulching system (bare soil versus plasticulture) with a bare soil system as measured in the 2005–2006 season, our results indicated that in the plasticulture system (bare soil versus plasticulture), certain effects of N rates on these physiological responses during late development (Fig. 7).

In conclusion, irrigation was more effective than N management to optimize artichoke yield. The yield reduction by deficit irrigation was associated with a decrease in both head number and weight. We estimate that ≈700 mm (for a bare soil system) and ≈350 mm (plasticulture system) of water input, and 120 kg ha\(^{-1}\) or less of N appears sufficient to obtain high marketable yields under our environmental conditions. However, growers need to control soil available N before planting so that rates can be adjusted accordingly. In terms of nutritional quality (phenolic content), harvest time or deficit irrigation appears to have the greatest effect, followed by deficit irrigation as measured in one season. Conversely, using this strategy to enhance phenolic content on artichoke heads will significantly reduce marketable yields and head size.
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