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Abstract—Interference channel, in which multiple user pairs communicate over shared resources, is a building block of communications networks. Here, the K-user interference channel (IC) aided by J instantaneous relays (IRs), i.e. relays without delay, is considered. For KICJR networks, where K>2 and J>1, the DoF performance and achievable schemes have not been investigated in literature. Here, we devise a novel achievable scheme, called restricted interference alignment (RIA), which restricts the received interference from each source at each destination in a limited sub-space, and then, aligns the restricted interferences. Furthermore, we develop an analytical tool for finding a tight upper bound on DoF of KICJR networks by analyzing the properness of the interference alignment equations. Moreover, we develop linear beamforming design for sources and relays based on the mean square error (MSE) minimization, as an achievable scheme. The performance evaluation results show that the achievable sum DoF by using the proposed RIA scheme and the MSE-based beamforming design match well with the derived upper bounds. Furthermore, the results confirm that the achieved sum DoF using the RIA scheme outperforms the existing achievable schemes. Motivated by these promising results, we further investigate impact of IRs in providing physical layer security, i.e. achieving secure DoF in interference networks. Specifically, we derive a lower bound on the achievable secure DoF by devising an achievable scheme, called transmission in the null space (TNS). This scheme makes the cross channels rank deficient and enables sources to select their transmit filters in the constructed null spaces. Performance evaluation shows that by leveraging IRs, the secure DoF performance of interference networks could be increased significantly. The proposed analytical framework in this work for rank deficiency-powered DoF analysis is expected to also attract attention from other research areas, e.g. beamforming design for millimeter wave communications.

Index Terms—Degrees of freedom, Secure degrees of Freedom, Interference alignment, Interference channel, Instantaneous relay, Physical layer security.

I. INTRODUCTION

INTERFERENCE, a consequence of the broadcast nature of wireless channels is a limiting factor for the capacity of wireless networks. The K-user interference channel (IC), in which K pairs communicate over a shared wireless medium, has profound attention for modeling communication in cellular and ad-hoc networks, e.g. the wireless backhauling of base stations (Fig. 1) [1], or BS-assisted device-to-device/vehicle-to-vehicle communications [2]. In this setting, source i transmits data for destination j, but this data is also received by other destinations, and hence, transmission from each user pair results in interference for other user pairs, as depicted in Fig. 2. In lack of information about other users of a K-user IC, interference-avoiding resource access schemes result

Fig. 1. An illustrative example of the K-user interference channel in wireless backhauling (K = 4). The red-colored lines represent wired connections.

in an achieved sum data rate equal to the case of one user pair communications. In other words, the pre-log factor of the sum data rate at high signal to noise ratio (SNR), also known as degrees of freedom (DoF)\(^1\), is 1. When global channel state information (CSI) is available at each transmitter, they can employ an advanced linear precoding technique, called interference alignment (IA), in order to align the interfering signals in time, frequency, or spatial domain [3, 4]. In K-user IC with generic channel coefficients, IA can allocate approximately one-half of the available dimensions to the desired signals (by using infinite time extensions) and the other one to the interfering signals, i.e. asymptotically K/2 degrees of freedom are achievable [5]. Then, all the interfering sources could be treated as a single interfering source, the degrees of freedom increase linearly with the number of users, and the interference channel becomes noise limited.

For interference channels with generic channel matrices and without time extensions, feasibility of the interference alignment has been investigated in [6]. By deriving the IA equation system and investigating its properness, the authors of [6] found upper bounds on the achievable DoF in the K-user interference channel with finite channel extensions. Later in [7], the general conditions which must be satisfied by any feasible DoF in the K-user interference channel with finite channel extensions were found. Using this condition, it

\(^1\)DoF of a network approximates the network capacity as \(C(SNR) = \Delta \log(SNR) + O(\log(SNR))\), where \(C\) and \(\Delta\) represent the capacity and sum DoF respectively. Then, it characterizes the network capacity as a function of interference in the high SNR regime [3].
was shown that $\frac{2K}{K+1}$ degrees of freedom are achievable in the $K$-user interference channel. Further analyses on the DoF performance of KIC with limited and delayed CSI have been presented in [8–11]. In lower SNR regimes, when the power of noise is not negligible, the achieved sum rate of an IA-based network could be much less than the theoretical maximum [12]. To address this issue, several solutions, including adaptive power allocation and opportunistic communication, have been introduced in literature [13, 14].

While IA can increase the achievable DoF of the KIC from 1 to $\frac{2K}{K+1}$ (without time extension), the system is still interference limited and by increasing the number of users $K$, the achievable DoF approaches 2. Then, many efforts have been carried out to further increase the achievable DoF, i.e. to let the DoF increase linearly with an increase in $K$. Motivated by the benefits of applying interference alignment for ICs, in [15, 16] interference alignment has been employed for achieving secure DoF$^2$ (SDoF) in a $K$-user IC. The authors of [16] have shown that for a $K$-user interference channel with confidential messages (KIC-CM), a network in which each undesired destination is a potential eavesdropper, $\frac{K-2}{K-1}$ SDoF are achievable by using secrecy and IA precoders. In [17], it has been shown that in general, relays cannot improve the achievable DoF [19]. However, in some special cases such as networks with cognitive relays, networks without power constraints at the relays, or networks with limited CSI available at transmitters, relaying can increase the achievable DoF [3]. In networks where relays cannot increase the DoF, relaying can simplify the achievable DoF scheme by enabling linear alignment schemes where nonlinear alignments are otherwise needed [3, 20].

Instantaneous memoryless relaying, in which the relay’s retransmitted signal depends on the presently received signals, has been introduced in [21]. In [22], practical motivations for instantaneous relay-aided IC have been presented, and it has been shown that a linear memoryless instantaneous relay (IR) can increase the achievable DoF of 2-user IC by 50%, i.e. 3M/2 DoF are achievable, where $M$ denotes the number of antennas at each node. These DoF are achieved using a new scheme called aligned interference neutralization (AIN). In the AIN scheme, source nodes select their transmit beamforming matrices to align some of the received signals at the relays to each other. Immediately, the relays scale the received signals and retransmit them such that these signals neutralize some of the interferences which are received directly from the sources. Let us denote by KIC/R the $K$-user IC aided by $J$ linear memoryless instantaneous relays. In [10, 23], the feasibility of interference alignment for 2IC1R with different numbers of antennas at sources, relay, and destinations has been investigated. The authors of [10] have shown that 3M/2 DoF are achievable in 2IC1R, where the number of relay’s antennas is less than $M$. DoF performance of 2-user interference channel aided by an instantaneous bidirectional relay has been investigated in [24]. In [25], performance of 3IC1R has been investigated.

### A. Motivation and Contribution

One sees while performance of KICJR has been investigated for up to $K \leq 3$ and $J \leq 1$, the DoF region in the general form, i.e. for any $K$ and $J$ values, has not been investigated before, and is the focus of the present work. Our main aim is shedding light into the bound up to which, adding an extra instantaneous relay can increase the achievable DoF of a $K$-user IC. As a potential application of this work, one may consider the future 5G wireless networks, in which an ultra-dense access point deployment is envisioned in hot spots [26]. In this case, our proposed scheme can leverage the dormant access points in the service area as helper nodes to increase DoF of communications at the cost of extra energy consumption in the radio access network. Our approaches for dealing with such a complex network consists in providing lower- and upper bounds on the achievable DoF by devising achievable schemes and investigating the properness of interference alignment equation system, respectively. Motivated by the promising results of adding IRs to interference networks, and due to lack of previous art on the potential impact of IRs in providing physical layer security, we further investigate the achievable secure DoF leveraging IRs. We distinguish our work from the state-of-the-art works on SDoF of interference channels by considering a strong security constraint, which requires neutralization of the confidential signals on the air before arriving at the unintended destinations, and hence, we don’t put any constraint on the computation capability of the eavesdroppers. Then, we further shed light into the achievable strongly secure DoF (SDoF) of KICJR-CM network. Towards this end, we derive a lower bound on the achievable SDoF by devising an achievable scheme called transmission in the null space of the channel (TNS). This scheme makes the cross channels, i.e. channels between unintended pairs, rank deficient leveraging instantaneous relays. Then, it is possible for sources to select their transmit filters in the null spaces of cross channels. We further provide upper bounds on the achievable SDoF by analyzing the necessary conditions for achieving rank deficiency in the cross channels. The performance evaluation results show that by leveraging instantaneous relaying, the SDoF performance of interference networks could be improved significantly.

The major contributions of this work are as follows.

1) **Lower bound on the DoF performance of KICJR network:** At first, we present the restricted interference alignment

---

[1] In this paper, we denote by SDoF the scenario in which the messages of source $i$ are only decodable at destination $i$. We also denote by strongly secure DoF (SDoF) the scenario in which destination $j$, for $j \neq i$, doesn’t receive any signal from source $i$.

[2] The $K$-user interference channel with $J$ instantaneous relays and confidential messages.
(RIA) scheme as an achievable scheme for interference channels aided by instantaneous relays. We further shed light into the DoF performance of the network by leveraging another achievable scheme, called MSE-based beamforming design.

2) Upper bound on the DoF performance of KICJR network: We derive upper bounds on the DoF performance of the KICJR by investigating the properness of the interference alignment equations. These bounds are validated by comparing against lower bounds from the RIA scheme and MSE-based beamforming.

3) Lower bound on the secure DoF performance of KICJR network: We present a SDOF achievable scheme for K-user interference channel aided by instantaneous relays, i.e. the TNS scheme, which outperforms the state-of-the-art SDOF achievable schemes.

4) Upper bound on the secure DoF performance of KICJR network: We derive upper bounds on the achievable SDOF by investigating the necessary conditions for making the cross channels rank deficient.

5) Novel analytical framework for rank deficiency analysis of matrices: We present a rigorous novel mathematical analysis on necessary conditions for rank deficiency of matrices. This analysis is expected to be of interest also for researchers in other research areas, e.g. beamforming design for millimeter wave communications.

B. Paper Organization

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, the system model and problem formulation are introduced. In Section III, lower bounds on the achievable DoF using two achievable schemes, i.e. the RIA and MSE-minimization schemes, are presented. In Section IV, upper bounds on the DoF performance of the KICJR are presented. In Section V, lower and upper bounds on the achievable secure DoF are presented. Performance evaluation results are presented in Section VI. The concluding Remarks are given in Section VII.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. System Model

The K-user interference channel aided by J linear memoryless instantaneous relays is considered in this work (Fig. 1). Denote the set of users by $\mathcal{K} = \{1, \ldots, K\}$. In this system, source $S_i, i \in \mathcal{K}$ sends its independent message to its paired destination $D_i$. The relays instantly amplify and forward the currently received symbols from the sources to the destinations\(^4\). All nodes in the system have been equipped with $M$ antennas. The channel coefficients between the $n$th source node and $m$th destination node, the $n$th source node and $j$th relay and the $j$th relay and $m$th destination node are denoted by $H_{mn}^{ds}$, $H_{jn}^{rs}$ and $H_{mj}^{dr}$, respectively. Furthermore, all elements of the channel matrices are drawn independently from a Gaussian distribution with unit variance and zero mean.

\(^4\)Practical motivations for instantaneous relays could be found in [27].
beamforming matrix \( R_k \) at destination \( k \), one can write the received data vector at the destination \( k \) as:

\[
\hat{x}_k = R_k y_k = \sum_{i=1}^{K} R_k \Phi_{ki} V_i x_i + R_k n_k^i.
\]

The DoF tuple \((\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_K)\) is feasible if the beamforming matrices at users and relays can be designed such that the following conditions are satisfied:

\[
\begin{align*}
R_k \Phi_{ki} V_i &= 0, \quad \forall k \neq i \\
\text{rank}(R_k \Phi_{kk} V_k) &= \delta_k, \quad \forall k.
\end{align*}
\]

This system of equations aims at aligning all the received interferences at destination \( k \) in an \( M - \delta_k \) dimensional subspace and tries to guarantee \( \delta_i \) interference-free dimensions for the desired data streams. Solvability of this interference alignment system of equations, as well as the achievable schemes, is investigated in this work.

III. LOWER BOUND ON THE ACHIEVABLE DOF: THE PROPOSED ACHIEVABLE SCHEMES

In order to shed light into the DoF region of KICJR networks, in the following, we present two achievable schemes. These achievable schemes provide lower bounds on the achievable DoF in a KICJR network.

A. The RIA scheme

Interference alignment has been shown to be a promising technique for interference management. In essence, this scheme limits the number of dimensions which are occupied by interfering streams [3]. By deploying instantaneous relays between sources and destinations in an interference channel, destination nodes receive multiple copies of the desired and the interfering signals. Then, one can design the beamforming matrices at the sources and the relays to cancel out as much interfering streams as possible. Here, we present an achievable scheme, called RIA, which benefits from instantaneous relays for decreasing the occupied dimensions by interfering streams at the destinations. In the following, the RIA scheme is presented in three steps: Restricting, Aligning, and Zero forcing.

1) First step: Restricting dimension of received interference from each source at each destination: Denote the DoF of user \( i \) by \( \delta_i \). The received interference from source \( i \) at destination \( k \) is expressed as \( \Phi_{ki} V_i \), in which the rank of \( V_i \) is \( \delta_i \) to guarantee \( \delta_i \) DoF for user \( i \). Then, the maximum number of dimensions which can be occupied by interfering streams at destination \( i \) is \( M - \delta_i \). In the first step of the RIA scheme, we decrease the dimension of the received interference from source \( i \) at destination \( k \) from \( \delta_i \) to \( M - \delta_k \), where \( i, k \in K, i \neq k \). To this end, we need \( [\delta_i + \delta_k - M]^+ \) alignments for interfering streams of source \( i \) at destination \( k \), such that these \( \delta_i \) streams span over \( M - \delta_k \) dimensions, where \( [x]^+ = \max(x, 0) \).

2) Second step: Aligning the restricted interferences at each destination: Up to now, the available space at destination \( k \) has been spanned by \( K - 1 \) interference subspaces, where each of them has \( M - \delta_k \) dimensions. As the maximum number of dimensions which can be spanned by interference subspaces at destination \( k \) is \( M - \delta_k \), these \( K - 1 \) interference subspaces must be aligned to each other. Denote the \((M - \delta_k)\)-dimensional subspace reserved for interference at destination \( k \) by \( \Psi_k \). Then, the following must hold:

\[
\text{span}(\Phi_{ki} V_i) \subseteq \Psi_k, \quad i \text{ and } k \in K, i \neq k.
\]

This alignment can be done by adapting the transmit beamforming matrices at the sources, i.e. \( V_i \), or by adapting the beamforming matrices at the relays which construct the equivalent channel, \( \Phi_{ki} \).

3) Third step: Zero forcing at destinations: Applying step 1 and 2, the dimension of the received interference at destination \( k \) will become at most \( M - \delta_k \). As each destination has been equipped with \( M \) antennas, one can use zero-forcing beamforming at destination \( k \) to extract \( \delta_k \) desired streams from the \( M - \delta_k \) interfering streams.

One observes that the RIA scheme aims at converting the relay-aided interference channel to a conventional interference channel with low-rank, i.e. rank deficient, cross channels. Using instantaneous relays, the RIA scheme at first decreases the rank of the cross channels as much as possible while keeping the rank of the direct channels unchanged. Then, it applies a conventional interference alignment scheme to the equivalent interference channel.

B. The MSE-minimization scheme

In this section, we investigate an iterative algorithm for designing beamforming matrices at source, relay, and destination nodes such that the maximum degrees of freedom can be achieved. In this algorithm, the sum MSE of users is considered as the objective function to be minimized.

1) MSE-based beamforming algorithm: Using the definitions in Section II, one can define the MSE at destination \( k \) as presented at the top of the next page. Also, as the messages from different users are independent from each other and from the noises, one can simplify the expression in (9) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{MSE}_k = &\text{tr}\left( R_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{K} \Phi_{ki} V_i V_i^H \Phi_{ki}^H \right) R_k^H + I \right. \\
&\left. - R_k \Phi_{ki} V_k - V_k^H \Phi_{kk}^H R_k^H + R_k \sigma^2 R_k^H \\
&+ R_k \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J} \mathbf{H}_{kj} \mathbf{W}_j \sigma_j^2 \sigma_j^2 \mathbf{W}_j^H \mathbf{H}_{kj}^H \right) R_k^H \right).
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
E\{x_i x_i^H\} = I, \quad E\{n_i^n n_i^{n^H}\} = \sigma^2, \quad E\{n_i^n (n_i^n)^H\} = \sigma_i^2.
\]

By minimizing the MSE, \( \forall k \), one may find the optimal set of beamforming matrices, but solving this problem is very hard, if not impossible. We use the sum MSE at the destinations as the objective function to be minimized and develop the optimization problem as follows:

\[
\min_{\{V_k\} \{W_j\} \{R_k\}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \text{MSE}_k,
\]

s.t.: \( \text{tr}(V_k V_k^H) \leq P_k \), \( \text{tr}(W_j W_j^H) \leq P_j \).
in which, $P_{k}^f$ and $P_{k}^j$ are the power constraint at source $k$ and relay $j$ respectively. One can see that sum-MSE minimization over each set of beamforming matrices at the sources, relays, and destinations is convex, but it is not jointly convex. Then, an iterative algorithm for beamforming design is developed to minimize the sum MSE of the users. The procedure of this algorithm is as follows: (i) choose two sets of beamforming matrices at the sources and the destinations (e.g. beamforming matrices at the sources and the destinations); (ii) derive the optimal filters for the third set (e.g. beamforming matrices at the relays); and (iii) update the two constant sets. Then, we repeat this algorithm for the other combinations of beamforming matrices. One can write the Lagrangian function for the optimization problem in (11) as follows:

$$L(V_k; R_k; W_j; \lambda_k; \gamma_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \text{MSE}_k + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k [tr(V_k^H V_k) - P_k^f] + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \gamma_j [tr(W_j^H W_j) - P_j^f],$$

where $\lambda_k$ and $\gamma_j$ are Lagrange multipliers for satisfying the power constraint at source $k$ and relay $j$. Using the K.K.T. conditions, the optimal transmit beamforming matrix (the other two set of beamforming matrices are treated as constants) is written as follows:

$$V_k = (\sum_{i=1}^{K} \Phi_k^H R_k^H R_k \Phi_k + \lambda_k I)^{-1} \Phi_k^H R_k^H.$$  (12)

By considering beamforming matrices at sources and relays as constants, one can optimize the beamforming at the destinations as

$$R_k = V_k^H \Phi_k^H \left( \sum_{i=1}^{K} \Phi_k V_i V_i^H \Phi_k^H + \sigma^2 I \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{J} H_{kj}^d W_j \sigma_j^2 W_j^H H_{kj}^{d^H}.$$  (13)

Finally the beamforming matrices at the relays are optimized as follows:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W_i} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{K} \left[ H_{ki}^{d^H} R_k^H R_k H_{ki}^d V_i V_i^H H_{ki}^{d^H} + H_{kj}^d R_k^H R_k H_{kj}^d \right] - H_{ki}^{d^H} R_k^H V_k H_k^H + H_{ki}^{d^H} R_k^H R_k H_{ki}^d W_i \sigma_j^2 \right) + \gamma_i W_i = 0.$$  (14)

In Table 1, the iterative algorithm for the MSE-based beamforming is presented.

| TABLE 1: Iterative algorithm for the MSE-based beamforming |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) Randomly initialize beamforming matrices at sources $\{V_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$ and relays $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^{J}$. |
| 2) Design receive beamforming matrices at destinations $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$ using (13), and update beamforming matrices at sources $\{V_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$ and relays $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^{J}$, using (12) and (14), respectively. |
| 3) Design transmit beamforming matrices at sources $\{V_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$ using (12), and update beamforming matrices at the relays $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^{J}$ and destinations $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$, using (14) and (13), respectively. |
| 4) Design beamforming matrices at relays $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^{J}$ using (14), and update beamforming matrices at sources $\{V_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$ and destinations $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$, using (12) and (13), respectively. |
| 5) Repeat steps 2-4 up to the convergence or predetermined number of iterations. |

2) Convergence of MSE-based beamforming design: The presented iterative algorithm is guaranteed to converge, because the iterative process between the beamforming matrices is a monotonically decreasing function of the sum-MSE. As the sum-MSE is lower bounded at least by zero, this iterative algorithm always converges. However, the convergence to the global minimum is not guaranteed because the sum-MSE objective function is jointly non-convex over the beamforming matrices at the sources, relays, and destinations. In numerical results, it is shown that the MSE-based beamforming provides a tight lower bound on the maximum achievable DoF in a KICJR network.

IV. UPPER BOUND ON THE ACHIEVABLE DOF: A RANK DEFICIENCY ANALYSIS

In this section, upper bounds on the maximum achievable DoF in the $K$-user interference channel aided by $J$ instantaneous relays are presented. These bounds are derived by investigating the solvability of the interference alignment system of equations in (5)-(6). In the sequel, we present the prerequisites that will be used in the subsequent sections.

**Lemma 1:** Denote by $\Phi_{ki}$ the equivalent channel between source $i$ and destination $k$, where $i, k \in K, i \neq k$. Then $r_{ki} \triangleq [\delta_i - M - \delta_k]^+$ singular values of $\Phi_{ki}$ are zero, where $\delta_i$ is the DoF for user $i$.

**Proof** For guaranteeing $\delta_k$ interference-free dimensions at destination $k$, the interference space from source $i$ at destination $k$, $\Phi_{ki} V_i$, must span up to $M - \delta_k$ dimensions. As the rank of the transmit beamforming matrix at source $i$ is $\delta_i$, the rank
of $\Phi_{ki}$ must not exceed $M - (\delta_k - (M - \delta_k)) = 2M - \delta_k - \delta_k$.
Then, $[\delta_k + (M - \delta_k)]^{+}$ singular values of the equivalent channel matrix between source $i$ and destination $k$ have to be zero.

Lemma 2: Denote by $C$ an $m \times n$ matrix where its elements are drawn randomly from a continuous probability distribution and its rank is $\min(m, n)$ with probability one. To reduce the rank of $C$ from $\min(m, n)$ to $d$, at least $(m - d)(n - d)$ elements of $C$ are to be designed.

Proof Denote by $c_i$ the $i$th row of $C$. Then one can derive the necessary conditions for $C$ to have rank $d$, as follows:

$$c_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} k_{i,j} c_{m-j+1}, \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, m - d\},$$  
(15)

in which $k_{i,j}$ is a free variable. Using Remark 1, the properness of the polynomial system of equations in (15) is necessary for the solvability of (15). As each of the $m - d$ equation sets in (15) has $n$ equations (because each row of $C$ consists of $n$ elements), the total number of equations is $n(m - d)$. Also, by counting the $k_{i,j}$'s in (15), one can see that the total number of free variables is $d(m - d)$. Then for solvability of (15), at least $(n - d)(m - d)$ elements of $C$ are subject to change for rank reduction.

A. Necessary conditions on a DoF tuple to be feasible

A system of polynomial equations which is improper (overdetermined) may have solutions if some equations are interconnected. In our system, the elements of channel matrices are drawn from a continuous probability distribution, and hence, such a situation rarely occurs. Then, we proceed our analysis on solvability of system equation in (5)-(6) for a given DoF tuple by assuming improperness implies infeasibility, and hence, investigate properness of the equation system. Later in Section VI, we will examine tightness of this assumption by comparing it against the lower-bound from the proposed achievable scheme. In the following, we develop the necessary conditions which must be satisfied by any feasible DoF tuple in a $KICIR$, by analyzing properness of the equation system in (5)-(6).

1) The first necessary condition: The first necessary condition comes from the limited number of dimensions at each user, as follows:

$$\delta_k \leq M \quad \text{for} \quad k \in K.$$
(16)

2) The second necessary condition: Using Lemma 1, all interferences at destination $k$ must be encapsulated in a subspace with $M - \delta_k$ dimensions. The total number of received signals at destination $k$ is $\Delta$, where $\Delta - \delta_k$ of them are interfering signals. The interfering streams can be shown in an $M$-by-$ \sum_{i \in K, i \neq k} \delta_k$ matrix as follows:

$$X_k = [\Phi_{k1} V_1, \ldots, \Phi_{k(k-1)} V_{k-1}, \Phi_{k(k+1)} V_{k+1}, \ldots, \Phi_{kk} V_K].$$

Then, the rank of $X_k$ must be less than or equal to $M - \delta_k$. Using Lemma 2, for decreasing the rank of $X_k$ to $M - \delta_k$, one needs at least

$$(\Delta - \delta_k - M + \delta_k)(M - M + \delta_k) = (\Delta - M)\delta_k$$

free variables. Then for all destinations together, $(\Delta - M)\Delta$ free variables are required. Now we count the number of free variables in the beamforming matrices at the sources and the relays, to check the properness of the rank reduction problem.

The transmit beamforming matrix at source $i$, $V_i$, is an $M \times \delta_i$ matrix and its rank is $\delta_i$. Then, $\delta_i^2$ elements of $V_i$ are used for satisfying the rank constraint, and hence, there are $\delta_i(M - \delta_i)$ free variables at $V_i$. Considering the transmit beamforming matrices at all sources, there are $\sum_{i=1}^{K} \delta_i(M - \delta_i)$ free variables at the sources. Also, there are at most $JM^2$ free variables at the relays. Then, the following condition must be held for properness of rank reduction in $X_k$:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \delta_k(M - \delta_k) + JM^2 \geq (\Delta - M)\Delta.$$

3) The third necessary condition: As mentioned in the above, the rank of $X_k$ must be less than or equal to $M - \delta_k$. Then the rank of $\Phi_{ki} V_i$ has to be less than or equal to $M - \delta_k$, where $i, k \in K, i \neq k$. Furthermore, as the transmit beamforming matrix at source $k$ is of full rank, the channel matrix $\Phi_{ki}$ must be rank deficient, and this can happen by using appropriate beamforming design at the relays. Using Lemma 2, for $\Phi_{ki}$ to have $r_{ki} = [\delta_k + (M - \delta_k)]^{+}$ zero singular values, we need at least $r_{ki}^2$ free variables at the relays. By considering all cross channels, $\Phi_{ki}, i \neq k$; one can write the third necessary condition on feasible DoF tuples as follows:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{K} r_{ki}^2 \leq JM^2.$$

4) The fourth necessary condition: Here, we present a strong necessary condition for the feasibility of a DoF tuple in the $KICIR$, and verify its necessity. In other words, this necessary condition does not come just from the properness analysis of the equation system.

Proposition 1: Denote by $A$ and $B$ two $M \times M$ full rank matrices. A necessary condition on the existence of matrix $W$ which satisfies

$$\text{rank}(A + W) = M - r_A$$
and
$$\text{rank}(B + W) = M - r_B,$$
(19)

is described as $r_A + r_B \leq M$.

Proof Consider the following system of equations:

$$A + W = F_1^T F_2$$
(20)
and
$$B + W = G_1^T G_2,$$
(21)

where $F_1$ and $F_2$ have $M - r_A$ rows and $M$ columns; $G_1$ and $G_2$ have $M - r_B$ rows and $M$ columns. The elements of these four matrices and $W$ are to be designed such that the equations in (50)-(51) could be satisfied. Also, the rank of $F_1$ and $G_1$ matrices must be $M - r_A$ and $M - r_B$, respectively for $i = 1, 2$. One can write the difference of (51) and (50) as follows:

$$A - B = F_1^T F_2 - G_1^T G_2.$$
(22)

As $A$ and $B$ are full rank matrices, their difference is full rank with probability one. Then, for consistency of (50)-(51), the right hand of (52) must be of full rank. But as the ranks of $F_1^T F_2$ and $G_1^T G_2$ are $M - r_A$ and $M - r_B$, respectively,
the rank of their sum is less than or equal to \( \min(2M - r_A - r_B, M) \). Hence, one can derive the necessary condition on the solvability of (50)-(51) as follows:

\[
2M - r_A - r_B \geq M \rightarrow r_A + r_B \leq M, \tag{23}
\]

and the proof is completed.

Now, in a KIC1R network, for the channel matrices \( \Phi_{ki} \) and \( \Phi_{ik} \) to have \( r_{ki} \) and \( r_{ik} \) zero singular values, the following equations should be held:

\[
\Phi_{ki} = H_{ki}^{dr} + H_{ki}^{ds}W_1H_{ki}^{*} = F^TG \tag{24}
\]

and

\[
\Phi_{ik} = H_{ik}^{dr} + H_{ik}^{ds}W_1H_{ik}^{*} = Y^TZ, \tag{25}
\]

in which \( W_1 \) is the beamforming matrix at the relay, and the channel matrices are \( M \times M \) dimensional. The matrices \( F \) and \( G \) have \( M \times M \) rows and \( M \times M \) columns, and the matrices \( Y \) and \( Z \) have \( M \times r_{ik} \) rows and \( M \times M \) columns, respectively. We design matrices \( W_1, F, G, Y, \) and \( Z \) such that the system of equations in (54)-(55) is satisfied, and the four latter matrices become full rank. As the elements of the channel matrices are drawn from continuous probability distributions, the channel matrices are full rank with probability one, and they are invertible. Then it is straightforward to rewrite (54)-(55) as follows:

\[
C + W_1 = Y_1^TY_2, D + W_1 = Z_1^TZ_2, \tag{26}
\]

in which

\[
Y_1^TY_2 = H_{k1}^{dr-1}F^TGH_{i1}^{*x-1}, \tag{27}
\]

\[
Z_1^TZ_2 = H_{ki}^{dr-1}Y^TZH_{ki}^{*x-1}, \tag{28}
\]

\[
C = H_{k1}^{dr-1}H_{ki}^{ds}H_{ki}^{*x-1}, D = H_{k1}^{dr-1}H_{ki}^{ds}H_{ki}^{*x-1}. \tag{29}
\]

Using the condition in (53) for solvability of (26), we conclude that \( r_{ki} + r_{ik} \leq M \) must hold, which means:

\[
r_{ki} + r_{ik} = 2(\delta_i + \delta_j - M) \leq M \rightarrow \delta_i + \delta_k \leq 1.5M \text{ for } i \neq k; i, k \in \mathcal{K} \tag{30}
\]

Now, we can summarize all investigated necessary conditions on feasible DoF tuples as follows:

**Proposition 2:** The \((\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_K)\) DoF tuple is feasible in the KIC/R if it satisfies the following conditions:

a: \( 2M\Delta + JM^2 \geq \Delta^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{K}\delta_k^2 \tag{31} \)

b: \( \sum_{k=1}^{K}\delta_k(M - \delta_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{K}\delta_k(M - \delta_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{K}\delta_k \Delta \tag{32} \)

c: \( \delta_k \leq M \), \( \forall i, k \in \mathcal{K} \) \( \tag{33} \)

d: \( \delta_i + \delta_k \leq \frac{3M}{2} \) \( \forall i, k; i \neq k \) if \( J = 1 \) \( \tag{34} \)

**B. Using upper bounds for DoF performance evaluation**

One can leverage the derived necessary conditions in (31)-(34) to analyze the DoF performance of different interference networks. In the following, we analyze the maximum achievable DoF in 2IC1R, KIC0R, and KICK(K-1)R networks.

**Proposition 3:** The maximum achievable degrees of freedom in a 2IC1R network is \( [1.5M] \).

**Proof** Based on the necessary condition in (34), the following bound is held in a 2IC1R network: \( \delta_1 + \delta_2 = \Delta \leq [1.5M] \). Also, in [22] it has been shown that \([1.5M]\) degrees of freedom are achievable in a 2IC1R network using the AIN scheme. Then, the maximum achievable DoF in this network is \([1.5M]\). \(\square\)

As the DoF performance of a \( K \)-user interference channel is known from [7], one can use the derived bounds for the KIC/R in (31)-(34) for the case in which \( J = 0 \), and compare the results for cross validation, as follows.

**Proposition 4:** For the \((\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_K)\) DoF tuple to be feasible in a KIC0R, the following conditions must be met:

a: \( \delta_k \leq M \) for \( k \in \{1, \ldots, K\} \), \( \tag{35} \)

b: \( \sum_{k=1}^{K}\delta_k(M - \delta_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{K}\delta_k(M - \delta_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{K}\delta_k \Delta \), \( \tag{36} \)

c: \( \delta_i + \delta_j \leq M \) \( \forall i, k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}; i \neq k \). \( \tag{37} \)

**Proof** Here, we prove that the results in (35)-(37) are consistent with the results of [7]. The condition in (35) comes from the limited number of antennas at each node, and is the same as (4) in [7]. The equation in (36) is the extension of (31) when there is no instantaneous relays, and counts the number of equations and unknowns as follows:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K}\delta_k(M - \delta_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{K}\delta_k(M - \delta_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{K}\delta_k \delta_i, \tag{38}
\]

By subtracting \( \sum_{k=1}^{K}\delta_k^2 \) from both sides of (38), we have:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K}\delta_k(M - \delta_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{K}\delta_k(M - \delta_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{K}\delta_k \delta_i, \tag{39}
\]

which is in accordance with (6) in [7]. Also, in lemma 1, it has been shown that the equivalent channel matrix \( \Phi_{ki} \) between source \( i \) and destination \( k \), must have \([\delta_i + \delta_k - M]^+\) zero singular values. The beamforming matrices at the relays make the cross channels rank deficient and provide zero singular values. In a KIC0R network, as there is no instantaneous relays, the number of zero singular values must be zero. Then, the following condition must be held: \( \delta_i + \delta_j \leq M \). This conditions is in accordance with (5) in [7], and the proof is completed. \(\square\)

In the following, we investigate the required number of instantaneous relays for achieving the full DoF of the \( K \)-user interference channel.

**Proposition 5:** Consider the \( K \)-user IC. Using \( K(K-1) \) instantaneous relays, \( KM \) degrees of freedom are achievable.

**Proof** As there are \( K \times (K-1) \) interfering channels in a \( K \)-user interference channel, the relays must provide \( M \) zero singular values at each cross channel matrix. The channel matrices are \( M \times M \) and the only rank zero \( M \times M \) matrix is the all-zero matrix. Then, \( K(K-1) \) linear system of equations must satisfied, where in each of them, there are \( M^2 \) zero forcing equations. The system of equations for removing the interfering channel between source \( i \) and destination \( k \) could be written as

\[
H_{ki}^{dr} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} H_{kj}^{dr}W_jH_{ji}^{*x} = [0]_{M \times M}, \tag{39}
\]
in which, \( f = K(K-1) \). Using the Kronecker product, one can rewrite (39) as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
H_{11i}^{xT} \otimes H_{k1i}^{df}, H_{2i1}^{xT} \otimes H_{k2i}^{df}, \ldots, H_{j1i}^{xT} \otimes H_{kji}^{df}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
w_1 \\
\vdots \\
w_f
\end{bmatrix} = \text{vec}(-H_{ki}^{ef}),
\]

where \( w_k = \text{vec}(W_k) \) and \( \text{vec}(A) \) is the column vector built from the columns of \( A \). Now, we can reshape the \( K \times (K-1) \) systems of equations, required for removing all the interfering channels, in a matrix form, and rewrite the overall problem as shown on top of the next page, in which \( h_{ki}^{xy} = \text{vec}(H_{ki}^{xy}) \). As the channel matrices are full rank with probability one, and the rank of the Kronecker product of two matrices is equal to the product of their ranks, the coefficient matrix in (44) is of full rank, and the system of equations in (44) has a unique solution. This unique solution gives the beamforming matrices at the relays for removing interfering channels between undesired users, and achieves \( KM \) degrees of freedom. 

In Section VI, we will investigate the DoF performance of the proposed RIA scheme, and will show that the achieved DoF performance by this scheme well matches the derived upper bounds in this section.

V. PHYSICAL LAYER SECURITY USING INSTANTANEOUS RELAYS

In this section, we investigate the impact of instantaneous relays in providing physical layer security, i.e., achieving secure DoF in interference networks. Towards this end, we investigate lower and upper bounds on the achievable SDoF in presence and lack of instantaneous relays, and compare them later in Section VI.

A. LOWER BOUND ON THE ACHIEVABLE SDOF: THE TNS SCHEME

Here, we investigate the proposed SDoF achieving scheme for the KICJR network. Based on the strong security constraint, described in the previous section, the transmitted signals to all unintended destinations should be removed on the air. This scheme, called TNS, is presented in the following two steps.

1) Step 1: Blocking one unintended destination by transmitting data in the artificial null space of the respective cross channel: In this step, we neutralize the interference from source \( i, \forall i \in K \), at one unintended destination, \( l \in K \), \( l \neq i \). Without loss of generality, let us assume \( l = i+1 \) for \( i \in K \setminus \{1\} \) and \( l = 1 \) for \( i = K \). We design \( \Delta_i \) elements of the transmit filters at relays such that the null space of \( \Phi_{ki} \) becomes \( \delta_i \) dimensional. In other words, we design the equivalent cross channel \( \Phi_{ki} \) such that it has \( r_{ki} \) rank deficiencies. This problem could be expressed as follows,

\[
H_{ki}^{sf} + \sum_{j=1}^{d} H_{kj}^{drj} W_{kj} H_{ji}^{xT} = Y_{ki}^{T} Z_{ki},
\]

where \( Y_{ki}, Z_{ki} \) have \( M - r_{ki} \) rows and \( M \) columns, and the equality is achieved by designing \( Y_{ki}, Z_{ki} \), and \( W_{kj} \) matrices. Then, the transmit filter at source \( i \) is designed to be in the null space of the respective cross channel, i.e. \( \Phi_{ji} \), \( \forall i \in K \). This guarantees that the confidential message of source \( i \) will not be received at destination \( l \), and hence, the strong notion of secrecy is satisfied [28]. Up to now, the interference from each source has been removed in one unintended destination. For the 2-user interference channel, the proposed achievable scheme is terminated in the step because there is only one potential eavesdropper in the network. For \( K \geq 3 \), we have the following step as well.

2) Step 2: blocking the \( K - 2 \) remaining unintended destinations by making the respective cross channels rank deficient: In this step, the interferences from source \( i, \forall i \in K \) at destination \( k, k \in K \setminus \{i, l\} \) are removed. As the transmit filter at source \( i \) has been already designed, we leverage the processing filters of relays to design the null space of cross channel \( \Phi_{ki}, k \in K, k \neq i, k \neq l \), according to the previously designed transmit filter of user \( i \), i.e. \( V_i \). Then, in destination \( k \), only signals from source \( k \) will arrive, and the confidential messages of other sources will be removed on the air, before arriving at the 4th destination.

This achievable scheme provides a lower bound on the achievable SDoF in K-user interference channels aided by instantaneous relays. In Section VI, we evaluate performance of this achievable scheme, and compare it against the upper bounds derived in the next section.

B. UPPER BOUND ON THE ACHIEVABLE SDOF: THE RANK DEFICIENCY ANALYSIS

In this subsection, we aim at investigating achievability of a SDoF tuple of type \( \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_K \), where \( \sum_i \delta_i = \Delta \). We further assume here that the 4th source, relay, and destination have been equipped with \( M_{a_i}, M_{e_i}, \) and \( M_{d_k} \) antennas, respectively. Finding bounds on the achievable \( \Delta \) in a KICJR network is equivalent to investigating solvability of the following equation system:

\[
\Phi_{ki} V_i = [0]_{M_{d_k} \times \delta_i}, \forall i, k \in K, i \neq k.
\]

A system of polynomial equations which is improper (overdetermined) may have solutions if some equations are interconnected. In our system, the elements of channel matrices are drawn from a continuous probability distribution, and hence, such a situation rarely occurs. Then, we proceed the analysis by assuming improperness implies infeasibility, and hence, investigate properness of the equation system. Later in Section VI, we will examine tightness of this assumption by comparing it against the lower-bound from the proposed achievable scheme.

1) Deriving necessary conditions for solvability of Eq. 2: The first necessary condition: Recall the security-preserving equation system for the \( K \)-user interference channel in (46). In order to check properness of this equation system, we count the number of equations and unknowns in this equation system. From the right-hand side of (46), one observes that \( M_{d_k} \times \delta_i \) equations should be satisfied. Also, there are \( M_{d_k}^2 \) free
variables at $j$th relay (i.e. all of its elements), and $\delta_i(M_{s_i} - \delta_i)$
free variables at the $i$th source$^7$. Then the necessary condition
on properness of (46) will be as:
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K} \delta_k(M_{s_k} - \delta_k) + \sum_{j=1}^{J} M_{2,j}^2 \geq \sum_{k=1,i=1,i\neq k}^{K} M_{d_k}\delta_i. \tag{47}
\]
In the first necessary condition, we counted the overall
numbers of constraints and free variables in the transmit filters
of sources and processing filters of relays in order to determine
the proneness of the equation system in (46). However, some
of the constraints could be only satisfied by leveraging free
variables at instantaneous relays. Then, summing up the free
variables at sources and relays could result in a loose upper
bound. The second and third necessary conditions, which are
presented in the sequel, focus on the required rank deficiency
constraint in equivalent channel spaces (i.e. $\Phi_{ki}, i \neq k$).
This constraint could be only satisfied by the free variables at
processing filters of relays, which are part of the equivalent
channel. Before going into the details of these necessary
conditions, we first extend lemma 1 to the asymmetric case in
which, source, relay, and destination nodes have been equipped
with different number of antennas.

**Lemma 3:** Denote by $\Phi_{ki}$ the equivalent channel between
source $i$ and destination $k$ in a KICJR, where $i, k \in K, i \neq k$.
In order to provide $\delta_i$ SDoF for user $i$, $\Phi_{ki}$ should have
$r_{ki} \triangleq [\delta_i - (M_{s_i} - M_{d_k})]^+ \Dagger$ rank deficiencies, where $[x]^+ = \max(x, 0)$.

**Proof** For guaranteeing $\delta_i$ SDoF in source $i$, the interferences
from source $i$ at destination $k$, $\Phi_{ki} V_i, \forall k \in K, i \neq k$, should be removed. As the rank of transmit filter at source $i$ is $\delta_i$, then
the null space of $\Phi_{ki}$ matrix should be at least $\delta_i$ dimensional.
As the null space of a randomly generated matrix is $[M_{s_i} - M_{d_k}]^+ \Dagger$-dimensional (with probability one), then $\Phi_{ki}$ should have
$r_{ki} = [\delta_i - (M_{s_i} - M_{d_k})]^+ \Dagger$ rank deficiencies.

The second necessary condition: Using lemma 1, the
processing filters of relays are to be designed such that the
equivalent channel matrices, i.e. $\Phi_{ki}, i, k \in K, i \neq k,$
have $r_{ki}$ rank deficiencies. Now, we count the number of
constraints and free variables in order to find the necessary
condition on the achievability of such rank deficiencies.
In order to count the number of constraints, we present the
following useful lemma. Using lemma 2, decreasing the rank of
$\Phi_{ki}$ to $r_{ki}$ requires $r_{ki}^2$ free variables in processing filters
of relays. As there are $M_{d_j}^2$ free variables in the $j$th relay, one
can drive the second condition on achievability of SDoF as
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1,i\neq k}^{J} (M_{d_k} - m + r_{ki})(M_{s_i} - m + r_{ki}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{J} M_{d_j}^2, \tag{48}
\]
$^7$The transmit filter of the $i$th source should have a rank of $\delta_i$, and hence, $\delta_i^2$ elements of transmit filter of source $i$ are not free variables.

where $m = \min(M_{s_i}, M_{d_k})$.

The third necessary condition: The third necessary condition
is presented only for the symmetric case, i.e. when all
nodes have been equipped with $M$ antennas. By applying
the lemma 3 to the KICJR with $M$ antennas at each node, one
can write the third necessary condition on the achievability of
SDoF as follows:
\[
\delta_i + \delta_k \leq M \quad \forall i, k ; \quad i \neq k. \tag{49}
\]
In the following, we aim at proving that (49) must be held
for any feasible SDoF, i.e. it is not just com from properness
analysis of (46). Towards this end, we first need some
preliminaries, as presented in the sequel.

**Proposition 6:** Denote by $A$ and $B$ two full-rank square
matrices with $M$ rows and columns. A necessary condition
for existence of a square matrix like $W$ with $M$ rows and
columns such that $A + W$ and $B + W$ have $r_A$ and $r_B$ rank
deficiencies, is $r_A + r_B \leq M$.

**Proof** consider the following equation system:
\[
A + W = F_1^T F_2, \tag{50}
B + W = G_1^T G_2, \tag{51}
\]
where $F_1$ and $F_2$ have $M - r_A$ rows and $M$ columns; $G_1$
and $G_2$ have $M - r_B$ rows and $M$ columns. The elements of
these four matrices and $W$ should be designed such that the
equations in (50)- (51) are satisfied. By subtracting (51) from
(50), one has
\[
A - B = F_1^T F_2 - G_1^T G_2. \tag{52}
\]
As $A$ and $B$ are full rank matrices, their subtraction will be of
full rank with probability one. Then for consistency of (50)-(51), the right-hand side of (52) should be a full rank matrix.
But as the ranks of $F_1^T F_2$ and $G_1^T G_2$ are $M - r_A$ and $M - r_B$, respectively, the rank of their sum will be less than equal to
$\min(2M - r_A - r_B, M)$. Hence, one can write the necessary
condition on solvability of (50)-(51) as follows,
\[
2M - r_A - r_B \geq M \rightarrow r_A + r_B \leq M, \tag{53}
\]
and the proof is completed.

Now, for $\Phi_{ki}$ and $\Phi_{ik}$ to have $r_{ki}$ and $r_{ik}$ rank deficiencies,
the following equations should be satisfied:
\[
\Phi_{ki} = H_{ki}^{s_i} + H_{ki}^{d_i} W_1 H_{ki}^{s_i} = F^T G, \tag{54}
\Phi_{ik} = H_{ik}^{s_i} + H_{ik}^{d_i} W_1 H_{ik}^{s_i} = Y^T Z, \tag{55}
\]
in which $W_1$ is the processing matrix at relay, and the channel
matrices have $M$ rows and columns. The $F$ and $G$ matrices
have $M - r_{ki}$ rows and $M$ columns, and $Y$ and $Z$ matrices
have $M - r_{ik}$ rows and $M$ columns. We need to design $W_1, F,$
$G, Y,$ and $Z$ matrices such that the equations in (54)-(55) are
satisfied. As the elements of channel matrices are drawn from a continuous probability distribution, these matrices are of full rank with probability one, and hence, they will be invertible. By multiplying the invert of channel matrices between relay and sources to the both sides of (54)-(55), one can rewrite them as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{k_1}^{dr} H_{k_1}^{rs} + W_1 &= H_{k_1}^{dr} F^T G H_{k_1}^{rs} - 1, \\
H_{r_1}^{dr} H_{k_1}^{rs} + W_1 &= H_{r_1}^{dr} Y^T Z H_{k_1}^{rs} - 1.
\end{align*}
\]

The matrices have \( M \) rows and columns, and they will be of full rank with probability one. Also, \( H_{k_1}^{dr} F^T G H_{k_1}^{rs} + 1 \) and \( H_{r_1}^{dr} Y^T Z H_{k_1}^{rs} - 1 \) matrices will have \( M - r_{ki} \) and \( M - r_{ik} \) ranks, respectively. Then, one can rewrite the (56)-(57) as follows:

\[
C + W_1 = Y^T_1 Y_2; \quad D + W_1 = Z^T_1 Z_2,
\]

in which,

\[
\begin{align*}
Y^T_1 Y_2 &= H_{k_1}^{dr} F^T G H_{k_1}^{rs} - 1, \\
Z^T_1 Z_2 &= H_{r_1}^{dr} Y^T Z H_{k_1}^{rs} - 1, \\
C &= H_{k_1}^{dr} H_{k_1}^{rs} - 1, \\
D &= H_{r_1}^{dr} H_{k_1}^{rs} - 1.
\end{align*}
\]

The dimensions of \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \) are the same as \( F \) and \( G \), and the dimensions of \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \) are the same as \( Y \) and \( Z \). For solvability of (58), one can leverage the results of proposition 6 and conclude that the \( r_{ki} + r_{ik} \leq M \) should be hold. We rewrite this necessary condition as follows

\[
r_{ki} + r_{ik} \leq M \rightarrow \delta_i + \delta_k \leq M \quad \forall i, k; \quad i \neq k,
\]

and the proof is completed.

The fourth necessary condition: Finally, the limited number of spatial dimensions at each node must be considered. Then, one can derive the fourth necessary condition on feasibility of \( \text{DoF} \) as follows:

\[
\delta_k \leq \min(M_{s_k}, M_{d_k}), \forall k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}.
\]

2) Utilizing the derived bounds for investigating \( \text{DoF} \) performance of wireless networks: The provided necessary conditions in this work could be used in evaluating \( \text{DoF} \) performance of different ICs. In the following, we present some results on the \( K \)-user IC and the wiretap channel aided by instantaneous relay(s).

Proposition 7: Consider the \( K \)-user interference channel, where each node is equipped with \( M \) antennas. For achieving \( KM \) \( \text{DoF} \), \( K(K-1) \) instantaneous relays are needed.

Proof In proposition 7, we have proved that using \( K(K-1) \) instantaneous relays the cross channels could be blocked, and hence, \( KM \) \( \text{DoF} \) could be achieved. This on the other hand means that the unintended receivers will not receive confidential messages, and hence, \( \text{DoF}=\text{DoF}=KM \).

Finally, we consider the point to point data transmission with one eavesdropper, and aided by one instantaneous relay, as depicted in Fig. 3. We further assume that each node has been equipped with \( M \) antennas. In the following, we show that using linear transmit/receive filters, \( M \) \( \text{DoF} \) for this system are achievable. Towards this end, we need to remove the transmitter-eavesdropper channel, and hence, we need to solve the following equation system as \( H_{k_1}^{dr} + W_1 H_{r_1}^{dr} = 0,M \times M \) where \( e \) denotes the eavesdropper. One can rearrange this equation as \( H_{k_1}^{dr} + W_1 H_{r_1}^{dr} \text{vec}(W_1) = -H_{r_1}^{dr} \). As the elements of channel matrix are drawn from a continues probability distribution, the channel matrix will be of full rank with probability one, and hence, the equation system has a unique solution. Then, by solving this equation system, we can derive the relay’s processing filter. One must note that full CSI at the relay and no CSI at the transmitter side are required.

VI. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

A. The DoF Performance

In this section, the lower bound on the DoF performance of the \( KICJR \) network using the RIA scheme, and the upper bound on the DoF performance using the analysis on the properness of the interference alignment equations are evaluated. For the benchmark, we also present simulation results from MSE-based beamforming design, and analytical results from analysis of the AIN scheme [22], the pure IA scheme [7], and the time division multiple access (TDMA) scheme (in a KIC0R setting). The simulator has been built in Matlab, and includes a fully-connected homogeneous \( K \)-user interference channel, where data transmissions experience Rayleigh fading and a distance-dependent path-loss, with a path-loss exponent of 2. In the legend of following figures, the suffix \( u \) denotes the upper bound on the maximum achievable DoF in the \( KICJR \), which have been derived from analysis of (31)-(34). For a 3IC1R network, one observes in Fig. 4 that the lower bounds from the MSE-based beamforming and the RIA scheme will match the derived DoF upper bounds. For a 3IC2R network, we observe that the lower bounds from the RIA scheme and

8The codes are available online in the supporting repository of the paper: www.github.com/AminAzari/DoF-Simulator.

9By the homogeneous model, we mean that the communications parameters like transmit power are the same across nodes, and hence, are canceled out in DoF performance analysis in high SNR regime.
the MSE performance of a 3ICJR network

the MSE performance of a 3ICJR network

bounds in Section V-B. Fig. 6 shows the upper and lower bounds on the sum SDoF performance for the 2-user interference channel by changing the number of antennas at each node. The 2ICJR-1 curves (for J=1,2) represent the upper bounds, and have been derived by applying the proposed achievable scheme to the system. The 2ICJR-1 curves (for J=1,2) represent the upper bounds, and have been derived by considering the necessary conditions in Section V-B. For the benchmark, the SDoF results of artificial noise alignment (ANA) [29] and interference alignment for secrecy (IAS) have been presented [16]. One observes that instantaneous relays can significantly increase the SDoF. Fig. 7 shows the upper bounds on SDoF performance of KICJR, for different numbers of instantaneous relays by changing the number of users, i.e. K. In this figure, the KICJR-labeled curves, for different values of J, have been generated using the necessary conditions derived in Section V-B. Also, the SDoF performance of the K-user interference channel, derived from [17], has also been depicted in this figure, denoted by IAS. One observes that using an appropriate number of instantaneous relays in the K-user interference channel, the full SDoF, i.e. KM, could be achieved. It is also interesting to see that for each J value, i.e. number of relays, there is a respective K-user IC in which, the highest sum SDoF is achieved. More investigation of the simulation results and analysis of the K which maximizes the achieved SDoF in an interference network are left for the future works.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

The DoF performance of the K-user interference channel with J instantaneous relays has been investigated in this work. Previously, it has been shown that one instantaneous relay can increase the DoF of a 2-user interference channel by fifty percent using the AIN scheme. In this work, restricted interference alignment for the KICJR network has been proposed. In this scheme, the interferences from each source are restricted at each destination, and the received restricted interferences at each destination are aligned. We have further presented upper bounds on the DoF performance of the KICJR network by

A cluster of sources/destinations which make a K-user interference channel.
Sum strongly secure DoF

Fig. 6. Upper and lower bounds on the SDoF performance of 2-user IC

Fig. 7. Upper bounds on the SDoF performance of K-user IC by using different numbers of instantaneous relays.

investigating properness of the interference alignment’s system of equations. Numerical and simulation results have confirmed the superior performance of the proposed RIA scheme in comparison with the state-of-the-art achievable schemes. We have further shown that the achieved DoF using RIA is close to the derived upper bounds from the properness of the interference alignment equations and the DoF performance of the MSE-based beamforming design. Furthermore, in order to enable physical layer security, we have presented an SDoF achieving scheme for K-user interference channel with confidential messages by leveraging instantaneous relaying for macking cross channels rank deficient. The achieved SDoF is secure against any cooperation among eavesdroppers. By investigating the necessary conditions for achieving the required rank deficiency in the cross channels, the SDoF performance of this K-user interference channel has been investigated. The performance evaluation results have confirmed that instantaneous relaying can significantly increase the SDoF performance of the system. The proposed analytical framework sheds light into the DoF region of the general KIC network aided by instantaneous relays, which is missing in literature, and could be of interest in other research areas, e.g. the rank deficiency results are of interest in beamforming design for millimeter wave communications.
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