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Abstract.

We present in this paper the Banach space representation for the set of random finite-dimensional vectors with exponential decreasing tails of distributions.

We show that there are at least three types of these multidimensional Banach spaces, i.e. which can completely describe the random vectors with exponential decreasing tails of distributions: exponential Orlicz spaces, Young spaces and Grand Lebesgue spaces.

We discuss in the last section the possible applications of obtained results.
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1 Introduction. Notations. Statement of problem. Previous results.

Let $(\Omega, F, P)$ be a probability space, $\Omega = \{\omega\}$.

Denote by $\epsilon = \vec{\epsilon} = \{\epsilon(1), \epsilon(2), \ldots, \epsilon(d)\}$ the non-random $d$-dimensional numerical vector, $d = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, whose components take the values $\pm 1$ only.

Set $\vec{1} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in R^d_+$ and as usually $\vec{0} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0) \in R^d$. 

Denote by \( \Theta = \Theta(d) = \{ \vec{\epsilon} \} \) collection of all such a vectors. Note that \( \text{card } \Theta = 2^d \) and \( \vec{1} \in \Theta \).

Another denotations. The inequality \( a = \{ a(i) \} = \vec{a} > \vec{b} = b = \{ b(i) \}, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, d \) for two \( d \) dimensional numerical vectors imply the coordinate-wise comparison: \( \forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, d \Rightarrow a(i) > b(i) \).

For \( \vec{\epsilon} \in \Theta(d) \) and vector \( \vec{x} \) we introduce the coordinatewise product as a \( d \) dimensional vector of the form \( \vec{\epsilon} \otimes \vec{x} \) defined as
\[
\{ \epsilon(1) x(1), \epsilon(2) x(2), \ldots, \epsilon(d) x(d) \}.
\]

**Definition 1.1.**

Let \( \xi = \vec{\xi} = (\xi(1), \xi(2), \ldots, \xi(d)) \) be a centered (mean zero) random vector such that each its component \( \xi(j) \) satisfies the famous Kramer’s condition. The natural function \( \phi_{\xi} = \phi_{\xi}(\vec{\lambda}), \ \vec{\lambda} = (\lambda(1), \lambda(2), \ldots, \lambda(d)) \in \mathbb{R}^d \) for the random vector \( \xi \) is defined as follows:
\[
e^{\phi_{\xi}(\vec{\lambda})} \overset{def}{=} \max_{\vec{\epsilon}} \mathbb{E} e^{\sum_{j=1}^d \epsilon(j) \lambda(j) \xi(j)} =
\]
\[
\max_{\vec{\epsilon}} \mathbb{E} \exp\{\epsilon(1)\lambda(1)\xi(1) + \epsilon(2)\lambda(2)\xi(2) + \ldots + \epsilon(d)\lambda(d)\xi(d)\}, \quad (1.1)
\]
where " \( \max \) " is calculated over all the combinations of signs \( \epsilon(j) = \pm 1 \), on the other words, \( \vec{\epsilon} \in \Theta \).

This concept is slightly different from the classical notion of the Moment Generating Function, see [6]. Indeed, the last variable is calculated alike in (1.1), but without the operation \( \max_{\vec{x}} \) etc.

The complete description of such a natural \( \phi_{\xi}(\cdot) \) multivariate functions, based on the Bernstein’s theorem, represented in the preprint [18].

**Definition 1.2.**

The tail function for the random vector \( \vec{\xi}, \vec{x} = (x(1), x(2), \ldots, x(d)) \), where all the coordinates \( x(j) \) of the deterministic vector \( \vec{x} \) are non-negative, is defined as follows:
\[
U(\vec{\xi}, \vec{x}) \overset{def}{=} \max_{\vec{\epsilon}} P(\cap_{j=1}^d \{ \epsilon(j) \xi(j) > x(j) \}) =
\]
\[
\max_{\vec{\epsilon}} P(\epsilon(1) \xi(1) > x(1), \epsilon(2) \xi(2) > x(2), \ldots, \epsilon(d) \xi(d) > x(d)), \quad (1.2)
\]
where as before " \( \max \) " is calculated over all the combinations of signs \( \epsilon(j) = \pm 1 \).

We illustrate this notion at first in the case \( d = 1 \):
\[
U(\xi, x) = \max(P(\xi > x), \ P(\xi < -x)), \ x > 0.
\]

Assume now \( d = 2 \). Let \( \vec{\xi} = (\xi(1), \xi(2)) \) be a two-dimensional random vector and let \( x, y \) be non-negative numbers. Then
\[ U((\xi(1), \xi(2)), (x, y)) = \max\{ P(\xi(1) > x, \xi(2) > y), P(\xi(1) > x, \xi(2) < -y), P(\xi(1) < -x, \xi(2) > y), P(\xi(1) < -x, \xi(2) < -y) \}. \]

**Definition 1.3.**

Let \( h = h(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) be some non-negative real valued function, which is finite at last on some non-empty neighborhood of origin. We denote as ordinary

\[ \text{supp} \ h = \{ x, \ h(x) < \infty \}. \]

The Young-Fenchel, or Legendre transform \( h^*(y), y \in \mathbb{R}^d \) is defined likewise the one-dimensional case

\[ h^*(y) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{x \in \text{supp} h} ((x, y) - h(x)), \quad (1.3) \]

Herewith \( (x, y) \) denotes the inner (scalar) product of the vectors \( x, y \):

\[ (x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} x(j)y(j); \quad |x| := \sqrt{(x,x)}. \]

Obviously, if the set \( \text{supp} h \) is central symmetric, then the function \( h^*(y) \) is even. We recall here the famous theorem of Fenchel-Moraux: if the function \( h = h(x) \) is convex and continuous, then \( h^{**}(y) = h(y) \).

Note in addition that if the function \( h = h(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) is radial (spherical) function: \( h(x) = z(|x|) \), then its Young-Fenchel transform is also radial, as well.

**Definition 1.4.**

Recall, see [25], [26], [15], that the function \( x \to g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d, g(x) \in \mathbb{R}^1_+ \) is named multivariate Young, or Young-Orlicz function, if it is even, convex, non-negative, twice continuous differentiable, finite on the whole space \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and such that

\[ g(x) = 0 \iff x = 0, \]

and

\[ \det \frac{\partial^2 g(\mathbf{0})}{\partial x^2} > 0. \quad (1.4) \]

We explain in detail:

\[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = \left\{ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x(j)} \right\} = \text{grad} \ g, \quad \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} = \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x(k) \partial x(j)} \right\}, \ j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, d. \quad (1.5) \]
We assume in addition finally that
\[
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \min_{1,2,\ldots,d} |\partial g(x)/\partial x(j)| = \infty.
\] (1.6)

We will denote the set of all such functions by \( Y = Y(R^d) \) and denote also by \( D \) introduced before matrix
\[
D = D_g := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 g(0)}{\partial x(k) \partial x(l)} \right\}.
\]

Evidently, the matrix \( D = D_g \) is non-negative definite, write \( D = D_g \geq 0 \).

Here and in what follows the relation \( A \geq B \) or equally \( B \leq A \) between two square matrixes \( A \) and \( B \) of the same size \( n \times n \) implies as ordinary
\[
\forall \lambda \in R^d \Rightarrow (A\lambda, \lambda) \geq (B\lambda, \lambda).
\]

Let us now recall the definition and some properties of the Banach spaces consisting on the random vectors with exponential decreasing tails of distribution. The detail explanations and proofs reader may be find in the article [18].

**Definition 1.5.**

Let the Young function \( \phi(\cdot) \) be from the set \( Y = Y(R^d) : \text{supp} \phi = R^d \).

We will say by definition likewise the one-dimensional case, see [14], [16], chapter 1, that the centered random vector (r.v) \( \xi = \xi(\omega) = \vec{\xi} = \{\xi(1), \xi(2), \ldots, \xi(d)\} \) with values in the space \( R^d \) belongs to the space \( B(\phi_{\xi}) \), or equally Young space, write \( \vec{\xi} \in B(\phi) \), if there exists certain non-negative constant \( \tau \geq 0 \) such that
\[
\forall \lambda \in R^d \Rightarrow \max_{\xi \in \Theta} \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \epsilon(j)\lambda(j)\xi(j) \right) \leq \exp[\phi(\lambda \cdot \tau)].
\] (1.7)

The minimal value \( \tau \) satisfying (1.7) for all the values \( \lambda \in R^d \), is named by definition as a \( B(\phi) \) norm of the vector \( \xi \), write
\[
||\xi||_{B(\phi)} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \inf \left\{ \tau, \tau > 0 : \forall \lambda : \lambda \in R^d \Rightarrow \max_{\xi \in \Theta} \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \epsilon(j)\lambda(j)\xi(j) \right) \leq \exp(\phi(\lambda \cdot \tau)) \right\}.
\] (1.8)

Emerging in the relations (1.7)-(1.8) the Young-Orlicz function \( \phi = \phi(\lambda), \in R^d \) is said to be generating function for the correspondent space \( B(\phi) \).

For example, the generating function \( \phi(\lambda) = \phi_{\xi}(\lambda) \) for these spaces may be picked by the following so-called natural way:
\[
\exp[\phi_\xi(\lambda)] \overset{\text{def}}{=} \max_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{E} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} e(j)\lambda(j)\xi(j) \right),
\]

(1.9)

if of course the random vector $\xi$ is centered and has an exponential tail of distribution. This imply that the natural function $\phi_\xi(\lambda)$ is finite at last on some non-trivial central symmetry neighborhood of origin, or equivalently the mean zero random vector $\xi$ satisfies the multivariate Kramer’s condition.

Obviously, for the natural function $\phi_\xi(\lambda)$

\[ ||\xi||_{B(\phi)} = 1. \]

It is easily to see that this choice of the generating function $\phi_\xi$ is optimal, but in the practical using often this function can not be calculated in explicit view, but there is a possibility to estimate its.

Note that the expression for the norm $||\xi||_{B(\phi)}$ dependent aside from the function $\phi$ only on the distribution Law($\xi$). Thus, this norm and correspondent space $B(\phi)$ are rearrangement invariant (symmetrical) in the terminology of the classical book [1], see chapters 1,2.

It is proved in particular in the report [18] that:

**THE SPACE $B(\phi)$ WITH RESPECT TO THE NORM $|| \cdot ||_{B(\phi)}$ AND ORDINARY ALGEBRAIC OPERATIONS IS A REARRANGEMENT INVARIANT VECTOR BANACH SPACE.**

Moreover, the proposition $\tilde{\xi} \in B(\phi)$ may be completely adequate characterized through the tail behavior $U(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{x})$ as $|x| \to \infty$ for the random vector $\xi$.

We intend to significantly weaken the conditions in [18] for tail estimates as well as for moment estimates for random variables from these spaces.

**Remark 1.1.** In the article of Buldygin V.V. and Kozachenko Yu. V. [3] was considered a particular case when $\phi(\lambda) = \phi^{(B)}(\lambda) = 0.5(B\lambda, \lambda)$, where $B$ is non-degenerate positive definite symmetrical matrix, as a direct generalization of the one-dimensional one notion, belonging to J.P.Kahane [13].

The correspondent random vector $\tilde{\xi}$ was named in [3] as a subgaussian r.v. relative the matrix $B$:

\[ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \mathbf{E} e^{(\lambda, \xi)} \leq e^{0.5(B\lambda, \lambda)} ||\xi||^2. \]

We will write in this case $\xi \in \text{Sub}(B)$ or more precisely Law $\xi \in \text{Sub}(B)$.

**Remark 1.2.** Suppose the r.v. $\xi$ belongs to the space $B(\phi)$; then evidently $\mathbf{E} \tilde{\xi} = 0$.

Suppose in addition that it has there the unit norm, then
\[ \text{Var}(\xi) \leq D \; \text{if and only if} \; D \geq \text{Var}(\xi). \]

It is interesting to note that there exist many (mean zero) random vectors \( \eta = \vec{\eta} \) for which
\[ E e^{(\lambda, \eta)} \leq e^{0.5 \cdot \text{Var}(\eta, \lambda)}, \; \lambda \in R^d, \]
see e.g. [4], [5], chapters 1,2; [16], p.53. V.V.Buldygin and Yu.V.Kozatchenko in [4] named these vectors \textit{strictly subgaussian}; notation \( \xi \in \text{SSub} \) or equally \( \text{Law}(\xi) \in \text{SSub} \).

V.V.Buldygin and Yu.V.Kozatchenko find also some applications of these notions.

2 Main result: exponential tails behavior.

We study in this section the connections between exponential decreasing tails behavior for random vectors and its norm in the introduced above spaces.

A. Upper estimate.

Statement of problem: let the \( B(\phi) \) — norm of the non-zero random vector \( \xi \in R^d \) be a given; we can suppose without loss of generality \( ||\xi||B(\phi) = 1 \). We want to get the sharp (if possible) upper bound for the tail function \( U(\xi, x) \).
Conversely: let for the centered random vector \( \xi = \vec{\xi} \) the its tail function \( U(\xi, x) \) (or its upper estimate) be given; it is required to estimate its norm \( ||\xi||B(\phi) \) in this space.

In particular, it is required to establish the one-to-one relation between behavior of the tail function \( U(\xi, x) \) as \( \min_j x(j) \to \infty \) and belonging of the centered random vector \( \xi \) to the space \( B(\phi) \).

Note at first that when we derive the upper bounds for tail function \( U(\xi, x) \) through the \( ||\xi||B(\phi) \), we do not need impose strong condition on the function \( \phi = \phi(\lambda) \). Namely, let \( \phi = \phi(\lambda), \; \lambda \in V \subset R^d \) be arbitrary non-negative real valued function, which is finite at last on some non-empty neighborhood \( V \) of origin.

Suppose for given centered \( d \) — dimensional random vector \( \xi = \vec{\xi} \)
\[ E e^{(\lambda, \xi)} \leq e^{\phi(\lambda)}, \; \lambda \in V. \quad (2.1) \]

On the other words, \( ||\xi||B(\phi) \leq 1 \), despite the function \( \phi(\cdot) \) is not supposed in general case as Young-Orlicz function. Indeed,
\[ E \exp(\lambda, \xi) \leq \exp(\phi(\lambda)), \; \lambda \in V. \quad (2.1a) \]

One can to extend formally this function on the whole space \( R^n \):
\( \phi(\lambda) := \infty, \ \lambda \notin V. \)

**Proposition 2.1.** Assume the (centered) random vector \( \xi \) satisfies the condition (2.1), or equally (2.2). We state that for all the non-negative vector \( x = \vec{x} \) there holds

\[
U(\vec{\xi}, \vec{x}) \leq \exp\left(-\phi^*(\vec{x})\right) - \quad (2.2)
\]

the multidimensional generalization of Chernov’s inequality.

**Proof.** Let for definiteness \( \vec{x} > 0 \); the case when \( \exists k \Rightarrow x(k) \leq 0 \) may be considered analogously.

We use the ordinary Tchebychev-Markov inequality

\[
U(\vec{\xi}, \vec{x}) \leq \frac{e^{\phi(\lambda)}}{e^{(\lambda, x)}} = e^{-(\lambda, x) - \phi(\lambda)}, \ \lambda > 0. \quad (2.3)
\]

Since the last inequality (2.3) is true for arbitrary non-negative vector \( \lambda \in V, \)

\[
U(\vec{\xi}, \vec{x}) \leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} e^{(\lambda, x) - \phi(\lambda)} \leq \exp\left\{-\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left[ (\lambda, x) - \phi(\lambda) \right]\right\} = \exp \left( -\phi^*(\vec{x}) \right). \quad (2.4)
\]

**B. Lower estimate.**

This case is more complicated and it requires more restrictions. Let us introduce the following conditions on the Young-Orlicz functions.

**Definition 2.1.** We will say that the *Young-Orlicz function* \( \phi = \phi(x), \in \mathbb{R}^d \) satisfies a condition \( K(\gamma) \), write: \( \phi(\cdot) \in K(\gamma) \), iff there exists a positive number \( \gamma \in (0, 1) \) such that the following integral is finite:

\[
I_\gamma(\phi) \overset{def}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \exp \left\{ \phi^*(\gamma \cdot x) - \phi^*(x) \right\} \ dx < \infty. \quad (2.5)
\]

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that the Young-Orlicz function \( \phi(\cdot) \) satisfies the condition (2.5): \( \phi(\cdot) \in K(\gamma) \). Suppose also the mean zero random vector \( \xi = \vec{\xi} \) satisfies the condition (2.2) for all the non-negative deterministic vector \( \vec{x} \) : \( \forall j = 1, 2, \ldots, d \Rightarrow x(j) > 0 \)

\[
U(\vec{\xi}, \vec{x}) \leq \exp \left( -\phi^*(\vec{x}) \right). \quad (2.6)
\]

We propose that r.v. \( \vec{\xi} \) belongs to the space \( B(\phi) : \exists C = C(\phi) \in (0, \infty), \)

\[
Ee^{(\lambda, \xi)} \leq e^{\phi(C \cdot \lambda)}, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.7)
\]
Proof is not likewise to the one-dimensional case, see [14], [16], p. 19-40.

Note first of all that the estimate (2.7) is obviously satisfied for the values \( \lambda = \vec{\lambda} \) with appropriate positive constant \( C = C(\phi) \), for the values \( \vec{\lambda} \) belonging to a Euclidean unit ball of the space \( \mathbb{R}^d : |\lambda| \leq 1 \), since the r.v. \( \xi \) is centered and has a very light (exponential decreasing) tail of distribution. It remains to consider further only the case when \( |\lambda| \geq 1 \).

Let for definiteness \( \vec{\lambda} \gg \vec{1} \). We have using integration by parts

\[
E e^{(\lambda, \xi)} \leq 1 + \prod_{k=1}^{d} |\lambda_k| \cdot \int_{R^d_+} e^{(\lambda, x) - \phi^*(x)} \ dx \overset{def}{=} 1 + \prod_{k=1}^{d} |\lambda_k| \cdot J_{\phi}(\lambda).
\]

It is sufficient to investigate the main part of the last integral, namely

\[
J_{\phi}(\lambda) := \int_{R^d_+} e^{(\lambda, x) - \phi^*(x)} \ dx. \tag{2.8}
\]

The saddle-point method tell us that as \( |\lambda| \to \infty \)

\[
\log J_{\phi}(\lambda) \sim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} [(\lambda, x) - \phi^*(x)] = \phi^{**}(\lambda) = \phi(\lambda),
\]

by virtue of theorem Fenchel-Moraux. Therefore

\[
J_{\phi}(\lambda) \leq \exp(\phi(C \cdot \lambda)), \ |\lambda| \geq 1.
\]

To be more precisely, we attract the consequence from the direct definition of Young-Fenchel (Legendre) transform

\[
(\lambda, x) \leq \phi^*(x) + \phi(\lambda),
\]

the so-called Young inequality.

Let \( \gamma = \text{const} \in (0, 1) \). We can write

\[
(\lambda, x) \leq \phi^*(\gamma x) + \phi(\lambda/\gamma).
\]

We have after substituting into (2.8)

\[
J_{\phi}(\lambda) \leq \exp(\phi(\lambda \gamma)) \cdot \int_{R^d_+} \exp(\phi^*(\gamma x) - \phi(x)) \ dx =
\]

\[
I_{\gamma}(\phi) \cdot \exp(\phi(\gamma \lambda)).
\]

Thus,

\[
E e^{(\lambda, \xi)} \leq 1 + \prod_{k=1}^{d} |\lambda_k| \cdot I_{\gamma}(\phi) \cdot \exp(\phi(\lambda \gamma)) \leq \exp(\phi(C \gamma \lambda)),
\]

as long as \( \vec{\lambda} \gg \vec{1} \).

Another details are simple and may be omitted.

As a slight consequence:
Corollary 2.1. Suppose as above that the function $\phi(\cdot)$ satisfies the conditions of theorem 2.1. We assert: the centered non-zero random vector $\xi$ belongs to the space $B(\phi)$:

$$\exists C_1 \in (0, \infty), \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \text{E}e^{\langle \lambda, \xi \rangle} \leq e^{\phi(C_1 \cdot \lambda)}, ~ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

if and only if

$$\exists C_2 \in (0, \infty), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ \Rightarrow U(\vec{\xi}, \vec{x}) \leq \exp \left( -\phi^*(\vec{x}/C_2) \right).$$

More precisely, the following implication holds: there is certain finite positive constant $C_3 = C_3(\phi)$ such that for arbitrary non-zero centered random vector $\xi$:

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \text{E}e^{\langle \lambda, \xi \rangle} \leq e^{\phi(||\xi|| \cdot \lambda)}$$

iff

$$\exists C_3(\phi) \in (0, \infty) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ \Rightarrow U(\vec{\xi}, \vec{x}) \leq \exp \left( -\phi^*(\vec{x}/C_3 ||\xi||) \right).$$

(2.9)

Corollary 2.2. Assume the non-zero centered random vector $\xi = \{\xi(1), \xi(2), \ldots, \xi(d)\}$ belongs to the space $B(\phi)$:

$$\text{E}e^{\langle \lambda, \xi \rangle} \leq e^{\phi(||\xi|| \cdot \lambda)}, \phi \in Y(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and let $y$ be arbitrary positive non-random number. Then $\forall y > 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\text{P} \left( \min_{j=1,2,\ldots,n} |\xi(j)| > y \right) \leq 2^d \cdot \exp \left( -\phi^*(y/||\xi||, y/||\xi||, \ldots, y/||\xi||) \right).$$

(2.10)

The last estimate plays a very important role in the theory of discontinuous random fields, in particular, in the theory of Central Limit Theorem in the space of Prokhorov-Skorokhod, see [10], [18] - [20].

Example 2.1. Let as before $\phi(\lambda) = \phi^{(B)}(\lambda) = 0.5(B\lambda, \lambda)$, where $B$ is non-degenerate positive definite symmetrical matrix, in particular det $B > 0$. It follows from theorem 2.1 that the (centered) random vector $\xi$ is subgaussian relative the matrix $B$:

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \text{E}e^{\langle \lambda, \xi \rangle} \leq e^{0.5(B\lambda, \lambda)||\xi||^2}.$$ 

iff for some finite positive constant $K = K(B, d)$ and for any non-random positive vector $x = \vec{x}$

$$U(\xi, x) \leq e^{-0.5 \left( (B^{-1}x, x)/(K||\xi||^2) \right)}.$$  

(2.12)

Remark 2.1. It is no hard to verify that the condition (2.5) follows immediately from the restriction (1.6).
Remark 2.2. The belonging of the random vector \( \xi = \xi \) to the Banach space \( B(\phi) \) may be characterized as follows. Define the following exponential Young-Orlicz function

\[
N_\phi(u) = e^{\phi^*(u)} - 1,
\]

and introduce the Orlicz’s space \( L_N = L_{N_\phi} \) over our source probability space \((\Omega, F, P)\) with correspondent \( N \) – function \( N_\phi(u) \). It is proved in [18] that

\[
\xi \in L_{N_\phi} \iff \exists C \in (0, \infty), \ U(\xi, \xi) \leq \exp (-\phi^*(\xi/C)).
\]

The one-dimensional case is provided in [14].

Remark 2.3. The exponential exactness of the estimates (2.6) and (2.7) take place still in the one-dimensional case, see [14], [16], chapter 1.

Remark 2.4. The conditions of theorem 2.1 are satisfied if for example the generating function \( \phi(\lambda) \) is twice continuous differentiable regular varying function as infinity on the Euclidean norm \( |\lambda| \), i.e. is radial, or spherical function, with degree greater than one:

\[
\phi(\lambda) = |\lambda|^{\beta} M(|\lambda|), \ |\lambda| \geq 1; \ \beta = \text{const} > 1,
\]

where \( M(z) \) is slowly varying as infinity twice continuous differentiable function:

\[
\forall t > 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{M(tz)}{M(z)} = 1.
\]

If in particular \( \phi(\lambda) = |\lambda|^{\beta/\beta}, \ |\lambda| \geq 1, \beta = \text{const} > 1 \), then

\[
\phi^*(x) = \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta} |x|^\beta/(\beta - 1), \ |x| \geq C(\beta).
\]

Of course, the classical Euclidean norm \( |\lambda| \) in (2.15) may be replaced on the other complete norm on the space \( \mathbb{R}^d \).

3 Relation with moments.

We intend in this section to simplify the known results and proofs for the moment estimates for the one-dimensional r.v., see [14], [16], p. 50-53, and extend obtained result on the multivariate case.

We will use the following elementary inequality

\[
x^r \leq \left( \frac{r}{\lambda e} \right)^r \cdot e^{\lambda x}, \ r, \lambda, x > 0,
\]

and hence
\[ |x|^r \leq \left( \frac{r}{\lambda e} \right)^r \cosh(\lambda x), \quad r, \lambda > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}. \]  
(3.0a)

As a consequence: let \( \xi \) be non-zero one-dimensional mean zero random variable belonging to the space \( B(\phi) \). Then

\[ E|\xi|^r \leq 2 \left( \frac{r}{\lambda e} \right)^r e^{\phi(\lambda ||\xi||)}, \ \lambda > 0. \]  
(3.1)

Authors of the article [14], see also [16], chapter 1, section 1.5 have long chosen in the inequality (3.1) the value

\[ \lambda = \lambda_0 := \phi^{-1}(r/||\xi||). \]

We intend here to choose the value \( \lambda \) for reasons of optimality. We hope that this method is more simple and allows easy multivariate generalization.

In detail, introduce the function

\[ \Phi(\mu) := \phi^*(e^\mu), \ \mu \in \mathbb{R}. \]  
(3.2)

Suppose temporarily for simplicity in (3.1) \( ||\xi|| = ||\xi|| B(\phi) = 1 \). One can rewrite (3.1) as follows.

\[ E|\xi|^r \leq 2 r^r e^{-r} e^{-r \ln \lambda + \phi(\lambda)} = 2 r^r e^{-r \mu + \phi(e^\mu)} = 2 r^r e^{-r(r\mu - \Phi(\mu))}, \]  
(3.3)

and we deduce after minimization over \( \mu \) (or equally over \( \lambda \))

\[ E|\xi|^r \leq 2 r^r e^{-r} e^{-\Phi^*_r(r)}. \]

So, we proved in fact the following statement.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( \phi(\cdot) \) be arbitrary non-negative continuous function and let the centered numerical r.v. \( \xi \) be such that \( \xi \in B(\phi) \) or equally

\[ U(\xi, x) \leq \exp(-\phi^*(x)), x \geq 0. \]

Then

\[ ||\xi||^r \leq 2^{1/r} r e^{-1} e^{-\Phi^*_r(r)/r} ||\xi|| B(\phi), \ r > 0. \]  
(3.4)

The inverse conclusion as well as the multivariate generalization contains in the preprint [18]. But we will demonstrate further a more effective approach.

Let again (temporarily) \( d = 1 \) and suppose \( \xi \in B(\phi), ||\xi|| := ||\xi|| B(\phi) \in (0, \infty) \). Then

\[ E|\xi|^p \leq 2p \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} e^{-\phi^*(x/||\xi||)} \, dx = 2 ||\xi||^p \int_{-\infty}^\infty \exp \left( p z - \phi^*(e^z) \right) \, dz = \]
\[ 2 \|\xi\|^p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(pz - \Phi(z)) \, dz. \] (3.5)

We deduce using the saddle-point method:

\[ E|\xi|^p \leq 2 \|\xi\|^p \exp \left( \sup_p ((C(\phi) \cdot p) z - \Phi(z)) \right) = 2 \|\xi\|^p \exp (\Phi^*(C(\phi) p)), \ p \geq 1, \]

or equally

\[ |\xi|_p \leq 2^{1/p} \|\xi\| \exp (\Phi^*(C(\phi) p)/p) \leq \|\xi\| \exp (\Phi^*(C(1) p)/p), \ p \geq 1. \] (3.6)

The rigorous proof will be carried out later, in the multidimensional case.

Conversely, let the inequality (3.6) be given. We can suppose for simplicity

\[ |\xi|_p \leq \exp (\Phi^*(p)/p), \ p \geq 1. \] (3.7)

Then

\[ E|\xi|^p \leq \exp (\Phi^*(p)). \]

Tchebychev’s inequality gives us

\[ P(|\xi| > u) \leq \frac{\exp (\Phi^*(p))}{u^p} = \exp (-p \ln u - \Phi^*(p)), \ u \geq 2, \]

therefore

\[ P(|\xi| > u) \leq \exp \left( -\sup_p (p \ln u - \Phi^*(p)) \right) = \exp (-\Phi^*(\ln u)) = \exp (-\phi^*(u)) \]

by virtue of theorem Fenchel-Moraux.

**Example 3.1.** Suppose that the function \( \phi(\cdot) \) is such that for some constant \( p > 1 \)

\[ \phi(\lambda) = \phi_p(\lambda) \leq C_1 |\lambda|^p, \ |\lambda| > 1. \]

Let also the centered non-zero random variable \( \xi \) belongs to the space \( G_{\psi_p} \). Then

\[ |\xi|_r \leq C_2(p) r^{1/q} \|\xi\| B(\phi_r), \ r \geq 1, \ q := p/(p - 1), \]

and the inverse conclusion is also true: if \( E\xi = 0 \) and if for some positive finite constant \( K \)
∀r ≥ 1 ⇒ |ξ|_r ≤ K r^{1/q},

then ξ(·) ∈ B(φ_p) and wherein ||ξ||B(φ_p) ≤ C_3 K.

**Example 3.2.** Suppose now that the function φ(·) is such that

$$
\phi(\lambda) = \phi^{(K)}(\lambda) \leq \frac{C_4}{K - |\lambda|^q}, \quad |\lambda| < K.
$$

Let also the centered non-zero random variable ξ belongs to this space Gψ^{(K)}. Then

$$
|\xi|_r \leq C_5 K r^q ||\xi||B(\phi^{(K)}),
$$

and likewise the inverse conclusion is also true: if Eξ = 0 and for some finite positive constant K

$$
\forall r ≥ 1 ⇒ |\xi|_r ≤ K r,
$$

then ξ(·) ∈ B(φ^{(K)}) and herewith ||ξ||B(φ^{(K)}) ≤ C_6 K.

We need getting to the presentation of the multidimensional case to extend our notations and restrictions. In what follows in this section the variables λ, r, x, ξ are as before vectors from the space R^d, d = 2, 3, ..., and besides.

r = r = \{r(1), r(2), ..., r(d)\}, r(j) ≥ 1.

Vector notations:

$$
|r| = |\vec{r}| = \sum_j r(j), \quad |\xi| = |\vec{\xi}| = \{|\xi(1)|, |\xi(2)|, ..., |\xi(d)|\} ∈ R^d_+,
$$

$$
\vec{x} ≥ 0 ⇔ \forall j \quad x(j) ≥ 0;
$$

$$
x^r = \vec{x}^r = \prod_{j=1}^d x(j)^{r(j)}, \quad \vec{x} ≥ 0,
$$

$$
\ln \vec{\lambda} = \{\ln \lambda(1), \ln \lambda(2), ..., \ln \lambda(d)\}, \quad \vec{\lambda} > 0,
$$

$$
e^{\vec{\mu}} = \{e^{\mu(1)}, e^{\mu(2)}, ..., e^{\mu(d)}\},
$$

$$
\Phi(\mu) = \Phi(\vec{\mu}) = \phi^*(e^{\vec{\mu}}),
$$

$$
\frac{r}{\lambda \cdot e} = \frac{\vec{r}}{\vec{\lambda} \cdot e} = \prod_{j=1}^d \left( \frac{r(j)}{e \lambda(j)} \right) = e^{-|\vec{r}|} \prod_{j=1}^d \left( \frac{r(j)}{\lambda(j)} \right),
$$

$$
|\xi|_r = |\vec{\xi}|_r = \left( E|\vec{\xi}|^r \right)^{1/|r|}.
$$

We will use again the following elementary inequality
\[ x^r \leq \left( \frac{r}{\lambda e} \right)^r \cdot e^{(\lambda, x)} , \ r, \lambda, \ x > 0. \] (3.13)

As a consequence: let \( \xi \) be non-zero \( d \)-dimensional mean zero random vector belonging to the space \( B(\phi) \). Then

\[ \mathbb{E}|\xi|^r \leq 2^d \left( \frac{r}{\lambda e} \right)^r e^{\phi(\lambda||\xi||)} = 2^d e^{-|r|} r^r \lambda^{-r} e^{\phi(\lambda||\xi||)} , \ \lambda > 0. \] (3.14)

We find likewise to the one-dimensional case:

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( \phi(\cdot) \) be arbitrary non-negative continuous function and let the centered numerical r.v. \( \xi \) be such that \( \xi \in B(\phi) : 0 < ||\xi|| = ||\xi||B(\phi) < \infty \). Then

\[ |\vec{\xi}|_r \leq e^{-1} \cdot 2^{d/|r|} \cdot \prod_j r(j)^{r(j)/|r|} \cdot e^{-\Phi^*(r)/|r|} \cdot ||\xi||B(\phi), \ r = \vec{r} > 0. \] (3.15)

Note that in general case the expression \( |\xi|_r \) does not represent the norm relative the random vector \( \vec{\xi} \). But if we denote

\[ \psi_\Phi(\vec{r}) := e^{-1} \cdot 2^{d/|r|} \cdot \prod_j r(j)^{r(j)/|r|} \cdot e^{-\Phi^*(r)/|r|} \]

and define

\[ ||\xi||_{G\psi_\Phi} := \sup_{\vec{r} \geq 1} \frac{|\vec{\xi}|_{\vec{r}}}{\psi_\Phi(\vec{r})} , \]

we obtain some generalization of the known one-dimensional Grand Lebesgue Space (GLS) norm, see [14], [7]-[9], [11]-[12], [16], chapter 1.

These multivariate generalization of a form \( ||\xi||_{G\psi_\Phi} \) based in turn on the theory of the so-called mixed (anisotropic) Lebesgue-Riesz spaces [2], chapters 1,2; appears at first perhaps in the authors preprints [21]-[22].

The statement of proposition 3.3 may be rewritten as follows.

\[ ||\xi||_{G\psi_\Phi} \leq ||\xi||B(\phi). \] (3.15a)

Let us state the inverse up to multiplicative constant inequality.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose \( \phi(\cdot) \) is Young-Orlicz function. Let \( \mathbb{E}\xi = 0 \) and let \( K = ||\xi||_{G\psi_\Phi} < \infty \). Suppose also that there is a constant \( \gamma \in (0,1) \) such that the following integral converges:

\[ L := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\Phi(\gamma z) - \Phi(z)} \ dz < \infty. \] (3.16)

Then \( \xi \in B(\phi) \) and moreover both the norms \( ||\xi||B(\phi) \) and \( ||\xi||_{G\psi_\Phi} \) are equivalent:
\[\|\xi\|G\psi \leq \|\xi\|B(\phi) \leq C_4(\phi) \|\xi\|G\psi, \ C_4(\phi) \in (0, \infty). \quad (3.17)\]

The proof of this theorem is at the same as one in the theorem 2.1. Let at first 
\[0 < \|\xi\|G\psi < \infty; \text{ we can agree without loss of generality} \]
\[|\xi|^p = E|\xi|^p \leq \exp (\Phi^*(\bar{p})). \]

We apply again the Tchebychev-Markov inequality:
\[U(\tilde{\xi}, \bar{x}) \leq \exp \left\{ - \left( \sum_j p_j \ln x(j) - \Phi^*(\bar{p}) \right) \right\}, \quad \bar{x} \geq 2 \bar{1}, \]
or after the minimization over \(\bar{p}\)
\[U(\tilde{\xi}, \bar{x}) \leq \exp \left\{ - \sup_{\bar{p}} \left( \sum_j p(j) \ln x(j) - \Phi^*(\bar{p}) \right) \right\} = \exp \left\{ - \Phi^*(\ln \bar{x}) \right\} = \exp \left\{ - \phi^*(\bar{x}) \right\} \]
again by virtue of theorem Fenchel-Moraux.

The case \(\exists k \Rightarrow x(k) < 2\) may be easily reduced to the considered here.

**Remark 3.1.** Note that we do not use in this direction the condition (3.16).

Let us prove the inverse conclusion. Suppose the mean zero random vector \(\xi = \tilde{\xi}\) is such that \(\|\xi\| = \|\xi\|B(\phi) = 1\). We intend to estimate the (power) moment \(|\xi|^p = E|\tilde{\xi}|^p = E|\xi|^p\). We deduce using the tail estimate (Theorem 2.1) and after integration by parts
\[E|\xi|^p \leq 2^d \prod_j p_j \cdot \int_{R^d} \prod_j x(j)^{p(j)-1} e^{-\phi^*(x)} \ dx = \]
\[2^d \int_{R^d} e^{(p,z)-\phi^*(exp z)} \ dz = 2^d \int_{R^d} e^{(p,z)-\Phi(z)} \ dz \overset{def}{=} 2^d Q(p). \quad (3.18)\]

Let \(\gamma = \text{const} \in (0, 1)\). We can write acting as before, in the second section,
\[(p, z) \leq \Phi(\gamma z) + \Phi^*(p/\gamma). \]

We have after substituting into (3.18)
\[Q(p) \leq \exp (\Phi^*(p/\gamma)) \cdot \int_{R^d} \exp (\Phi(\gamma x) - \Phi(x)) \ dx = \]
\[L \cdot \exp (\Phi^*(p/\gamma)). \quad (3.19)\]
This completes the proof of theorem 3.1.

To summarize. For arbitrary centered \(d\)-dimensional random vector \(\tilde{\xi}\) under formulated above, in theorems 2.1 and 3.1 conditions the following predicated are equivalent:
A. $\tilde{\xi} \in L_{N_{\phi}}$. \hfill (3.20a)

B. $\exists C \in (0, \infty), U(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{x}) \leq \exp(-\phi^*(\tilde{x}/C))$. \hfill (3.20b)

C. $\tilde{\xi} \in G_{\psi \Phi}$. \hfill (3.20c)

D. $\tilde{\xi} \in B(\phi)$. \hfill (3.20d)

Herewith all the Banach norms $||\xi||_{B(\phi)}$, $||\xi||_{L_{N_{\phi}}}$ and $||\xi||_{G_{\psi \Phi}}$ are linear equivalent.

4 Concluding remarks.

A. To mention the possible application of obtained results. At first: a non-asymptotical exponential bounds for the normed sums of random vectors, in the spirit of the classical article of Yu.V.Prokhorov [24], see [18]. Also one can derive an asymptotical and non-asymptotical analysis of discontinuous random fields, to generalize the main result of [19].

Another applications-an investigation of the Central Limit Theorem for discontinuous random fields, [20], with further applications in the non-parametrical statistics as well as in the Monte-Carlo method [10].

B. It may be studied easily the case when the our considered generating Young-Orlicz function $\phi = \phi(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in R^d$ is finite only in some convex non-trivial neighborhood $V$ of origin, likewise the one-dimensional case, see e.g. [14], [16], chapter 1.

C. The another (asymptotical) approach for research of the non-linear functionals $F(\xi) = F(\xi(\cdot))$ of the random fields may be found in the famous survey of V.I.Piterbarg and V.R.Fatalov [23]. This approach based on the investigation of the asymptotical behavior as $\lambda \to 0^+$ for the Laplace transform from the considered functional

$$\hat{L}_F(\lambda) := E e^{-\lambda F(\xi)}.$$
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