Effect of different contraction methods on pelvic floor muscle contraction in middle-aged women
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Objective: Pelvic floor muscles (PFMs) form the base of the abdomino-pelvic cavity and also the PFMs function is important for urinary continence. PFMs training (PFMT) is considered to be the first method for PFM dysfunction. This study demonstrated correct PFMs contraction among commonly used different contraction methods for PFMT.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Methods: In this study, nineteen middle-aged (40-70 years) women participated. To evaluate PFM function, ultrasonography was used to measure the distance of the bladder base movement. The distance of the PFM movements were calculated at rest and during the other contractions. The following four different contraction methods were performed randomly: (1) PFM contraction, (2) abdominal drawing-in maneuver (ADIM), (3) anal contraction, and (4) hip adductor muscle contraction. The participants held the contraction for 3 seconds for a total of 3 times with a 30 seconds rest period between each trial. The mean of three measurements in each position were obtained and compared with that in the resting position.

Results: The bladder base movement values were significantly greater when comparing PFM with ADIM and hip adductor contractions (p<0.05). The bladder base movement values were significantly greater when comparing ADIM and anal contractions with hip adductor contractions (p<0.05).

Conclusions: The results of this study suggest that performing PFM contractions is the best method among the common methods for PFMT. Performing PFM contractions was more effective than the other contraction methods.
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Introduction

Pelvic floor muscles (PFMs) form the base of the abdomino-pelvic cavity and provide mechanical support to the abdomino-pelvic viscera [1]. The important role of the PFMs is maintaining intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) and urinary continence during increased IAP [2,3]. Consequently, the PFMs function is important for urinary continence [2].

The treatments of urinary incontinence (UI) are surgery, medicine, magnetic treatment, and PFMs training (PFMT). Among these treatments, PFMT is considered as the first treatment of choice. Voluntary exercise for the PFMs was developed by Kegel [4], and then variations in the voluntary exercise program were used by physiotherapists. Various clinical training methods have been used in PFMT. The correct PFMs contraction must be an inward lift, not depression [5]. The most common training methods for PFMs are PFMs contraction, abdominal drawing-in maneuver (ADIM), anal contraction, and hip adductors muscle contraction [6]. Correct PFMs contraction should be performed in separation without abdominal or hip muscle activity [7]. Hip adductors and gluteus muscles contraction during the PFMT is considered incorrect [8]. However, no evidence has been found to support the effectiveness of these commonly used training methods facilitating correct PFMs contraction.

In advance research, ultrasonography has been used to as-
sess PFM function. Transabdominal ultrasonography (TAUS) is a common ultrasonographic method. TAUS has been found to be safe, non-invasive, and cost effective. TAUS measurements are quick and easy to apply, and patients are comfortable and do not need to undress [5].

To our knowledge, no study has compared PFM function between the different PFM methods (PFMs contraction, ADIM, anal contraction, and hip adductors muscle contraction) by using TAUS. The purpose of this study was to demonstrate correct PFM contraction among the commonly used contraction methods for PFM by using TAUS.

Methods

Subjects

A cross sectional study design was used to compare PFM function between difference positions. In this study, 19 middle-aged women participated. The participants were aged between 40 and 70 years. The inclusion criteria were as follows: women who previously had a vaginal birth but not in the last 12 months and women who are able to follow verbal and written instructions in the Korean language. The exclusion criteria were as follow: women with a history of spinal or abdominal surgery, women with urinary tract or vaginal infections, and women with a known neurological disease. All of the participants provided written consent to participate. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Daejeon University of Korea. The characteristics of all the participants are shown in Table 1.

Research tools and data collecting process

For diagnostic imaging of PFM, a 3.5 Hz curved linear array ultrasonographic transducer was used. According to the bladder filling protocol, the participants consumed 600-750 ml of water in 1 hour before the measurement. The measurement was performed in a crook-lying supine position. The lumbar spine was neutrally positioned, and the hips and knees were flexed to 60°, with one pillow beneath the head. The ultrasonographic transducer was placed transversely across the midline of the abdomen, immediately superior to the symphysis-pubis region. The angle of the transducer was moved toward the postero-inferior direction of the bladder until it is approximately 60° from the vertical [9-12]. The distance of the PFM movement was calculated at rest and during the other contractions. First, the marker ‘X’ was placed on the bladder base at rest. Then, the participants performed PFM contraction during the other PFM contractions. The image was captured at the highest maximum displacement and again marked with a ‘X’ [9] (Figure 1). The difference between the resting position and the other task position’s at the end of the bladder base was measured by using the on-screen calipers [12]. Resting position was used as a baseline measure for calculating the difference in PFM contraction. In this study, assessment was conducted based on the participants’ performance in the following resting position and four different contraction methods: (1) PFM contraction, (2) ADIM, (3) anal contraction, and (4) hip adductor muscle contraction. These four contraction methods were performed randomly. Voluntary PFM contractions were drawn in and lifted [6,9]. Through the ADIM, the lower abdominal wall was quietly drawn in toward the direction of the spine [6]. Anal contraction was performed by lifting and squeezing the anus. The last position was hip adductor muscle contraction, where the participant held a

Table 1. General characteristics of the subjects (N=19)

| Variable               | Mean (SD) |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Age (yr)               | 59.05 (4.01) |
| Weight (kg)            | 59.58 (5.92)  |
| Height (cm)            | 155.00 (5.13)  |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)| 24.82 (2.40)  |

Figure 1. The transabdominal ultrasound images of bladder base. (A) Resting position. (B) Pelvic floor contraction.
Table 2. Comparison of the bladder base’s movement at the different verbal instruction (N=19)

| Variable                  | PFMC     | ADIM     | Anus     | Hip adductor | F       |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|---------|
| TAUS measurement (cm)     | 1.12 (1.25) | 0.70 (1.06) | 1.04 (1.57) | 0.25 (0.82) | 10.627* |

Values are presented as mean (SD).
PFMC: pelvic floor muscle contraction, ADIM: abdominal drawing-in maneuver, TAUS: transabdominal ultrasonography.

*p<0.05; *Significant difference in comparison with PFMC; *Significant difference in comparison with ADIM; *Significant difference in comparison with anus.

Figure 2. Characteristics of the subjects comparison of the bladder base’s movement at the different contraction methods. TAUS: transabdominal ultrasonography, PFMC: pelvic floor muscle contraction, ADIM: abdominal drawing-in maneuver. *p<0.05.

ball between the sides of both knees in a crook-lying position. All contraction positions were performed while breathing normally [6]. The participants practiced each position prior to the data collection procedure. The mean of three measurements in each position that was measured by one investigator was used for the statistical analysis in this study. The participants held the contraction for no more than 3 seconds with 30 seconds rest time between each trial [9].

Data and statistical analysis

The collected data were statistically processed by using the PASW ver. 18.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). The general characteristics of the subjects were identified by using descriptive statistics. A repeated-measures analysis of variance was performed by using the mean ultrasonographic measurement for each method. The level of significance for all the analyses was set at p<0.05.

Results

Table 2 and Figure 2 present the bladder base movement values in the 4 different contraction tasks in difference with those in the resting position. In all the contraction tasks, the bladder base movement values increased from the values obtained at the resting position. Significant differences between the contraction tasks were found for movement values (p<0.05), also significant interaction were observed in contraction tasks. Significant differences in the movement values were observed between PFMs contraction and ADIM, PFMs contraction and hip adductor contraction, ADIM and hip adductor contraction, then anal contraction and hip adductor contraction.

Discussion

Recently, PFMT has been used as the first treatment of choice for women with UI [4,13]. The aim of the PFMT is to improve the strength and endurance of the PFMs [14]. This study was designed to identify which among the commonly used contraction methods for PFMT by using TAUS induced good PFMs contraction.

Among the many different contraction methods used in PFMs contraction methods, the most commonly used contraction methods was chosen in this study. Except for hip adductor muscle contraction, the other contraction methods were more effective. These results are in agreement with those of the studies by Bø and Stien [15]. The gluteal and hip adductor muscles are important in daily activities, walking, and so on. In addition, these muscles facilitate the function of intrapelvic muscles such as PFMs [15]. ADIM is commonly used to activate deep abdominal muscles such as the transverses abdominus (TrA) [16]. PFMs is co-activated with deep abdominal muscles [17]. Urquhart et al. [18] found that PFMs contraction was activated 224% by the TrA. Similarly, Sapsford et al. [7] reported that the PFMs was activated during contraction of the abdominal muscles. Anal contraction is focused on anal muscle contraction [19]. The anal muscle consists of urethral support with PFMs. It maintains continence and pelvic support [20].
This study used TAUS for assessment of PFM function. TAUS was used by physical therapists to assess PFM function during movement of the bladder base that consequentially result in PFM contraction [1,5,21]. TAUS has many advantages such as its safety, and non-invasiveness. In addition, this assessment technique is quick and easy to apply. These advantages are important in children, adolescents, men, and some ethnic groups, who may not benefit from assessment with digital palpation and transperineal ultrasound [9]. Thompson et al. [5] found a significant correlation between TAUS and transperineal ultrasonography, and manual muscle testing for PFM contraction. Several studies have assessed PFMs function in women by using TAUS and transperineal ultrasonography [12,22,23].

Our study has some limitations that should be improved in future studies. The small sample size may be a major limiting factor in generalizing the findings of this study. In addition, this study included healthy middle-aged women between 40 and 70 years old. The study results are difficult to generalize to the whole population with and without UI. The long-term effects of PFMT on the 4 different contraction tasks were not addressed because this study was completed during the initial effects. Therefore, future studies with larger study samples and longer intervention period are required to identify the clinical benefits of each method in the PFMT.

In conclusion, our study suggests that among the 4 different methods is the best method for facilitating PFM contraction. Correct PFMs contraction is difficult to perform; therefore, correct contraction method to facilitate PFMs contraction is needed. The PFMs contraction method was more effective than the other commonly used contraction methods. This factor is suggested to increase the strength and endurance of the PFMs during rehabilitation. Further studies are required to develop management programs to facilitate correct PFMs contraction, and to investigate their effects.
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