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Afflicted neurons in various neurodegenerative diseases generally display diverse and complex pathological features before catastrophic occurrence of massive neuronal loss at the late stages of the diseases. This complex nature of neuronal pathophysiology inevitably implicates systemwide changes in basic cellular activities such as transcriptional controls and signal cascades, and so on, as a cause. Recently, as one of these systemwide cellular changes associated with neurodegenerative diseases, epigenetic changes caused by protein toxicity have begun to be highlighted. Notably, recent advances in related techniques including next-generation sequencing (NGS) and mass spectrometry enable us to monitor changes in the post-translational modifications (PTMs) of histone proteins and to link these changes in histone PTMs to the specific transcriptional changes. Indeed, epigenetic alterations and consequent changes in neuronal transcriptome are now begun to be extensively studied in neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer's disease (AD). In this review, we will discuss details of our current understandings on epigenetic changes associated with two representative neurodegenerative diseases [AD and polyglutamine (polyQ) diseases] and further discuss possible future development of pharmaceutical treatment of the diseases through modulating these epigenetic changes.

INTRODUCTION

Neurodegenerative diseases refer to a range of neuronal disabilities accompanied by massive neuronal loss at the late stages of the diseases (Vila and Przedborski, 2003). These neurodegenerative diseases include Alzheimer’s disease (AD), Parkinson’s disease (PD), Huntington’s disease (HD), and Lou Gehrig’s disease/Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (Forman et al., 2004). These diseases are often accompanied by accumulation of toxic disease proteins (e.g. amyloid beta in AD and huntingtin in HD) either inside or outside of neurons (Forman et al., 2004). Generally, afflicted neurons by toxic disease proteins in neurodegenerative diseases display a wide spectrum of neuronal phenotypes such as dendrite pathology (Kweon et al., 2016), mitochondrial alterations (Schon and Przedborski, 2011), and defective axonal transport (Fischer et al., 2004; Gunawardena and Goldstein, 2001; Gunawardena et al., 2003; Li et al., 2001; Stokin et al., 2005; Wirths et al., 2006), and so on. The complex nature of neuronal pathophysiology inevitably implicates systemwide changes in basic cellular activities as a cause. One of such systemwide cellular changes associated with many neurodegenerative diseases is thought to be epigenetic changes. In the following sections, we will review what we know about epigenetic changes associated with two representative neurodegenerative diseases, AD and polyQ diseases, and discuss possible pharmaceutical interventions.

Along with the rapid increase of extended life-expectancy, one of the most common forms of dementia, AD is considered as a serious world-wide issue. Only a very limited number of AD patients are familial cases, and the majority of the AD patients are sporadic cases. Unlike AD patients of familial cases involving mutations in amyloid precursor protein (APP), presenilin 1 (PS1), presenilin 2 (PS2), apolipoprotein E (APOE), or their associated genes, it has been suggested that various environmental factors are most likely causes of sporadic AD patients. In general, both of these AD cases share many characteristics: elevated levels of AD-associated amyloid-beta 42 (Aβ42) peptides, accumulation of extracellular amyloid plaques, hyper-phosphorylated tau, and formation of intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs), serious memory disturbances, and eventual neuronal cell death. Until now, many studies focusing on amyloid plaque and NFT accumulation have been conducted to understand the enigmatic nature of the disease and to develop corresponding therapeutic strategies. However, most of these therapeutic approaches were not effective enough in the clinical trials (Mangialasche et al., 2010), and formation of amyloid plaques and NFTs does not always necessarily correlate with memory disturbance (Snowdon et al., 1997). Therefore, the need for new, novel therapeutic strategies is highly demanded.

PolyQ diseases are inherited neurodegenerative diseases that are caused by expansion of glutamine (Q) repeats in the disease-related proteins. There are nine polyQ diseases including HD and several types of ataxias. Upon Q expansion, mutated disease proteins prefer forming protein oligomers/aggregates together with their target proteins in the afflicted neurons and thus sequester/trap these target proteins. It is well known that pathogenic polyQ proteins interact with CBP (Fig. 1) that belongs to a histone acetyltransferase (HAT) family in the nucleus of...
Fig. 1. Decreased levels of histone-acetylation and perturbed regulation of gene-expression resulted by sequestration of CBP in insoluble polyQ protein oligomers/aggregates.
of histone modifications in neurodegenerative diseases.

**TRANSCRIPTIONAL DYSREGULATION IN NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES**

Transcriptional dysregulation has been often associated with neurodegenerative diseases. It has been conceived that transcriptional dysregulation may be, to a certain extent, caused by epigenetic changes in neurodegenerative diseases. The best example of transcriptional dysregulation as a main pathogenic mechanism is HD. One of the critical mechanisms underlying HD pathogenesis may be transcriptional dysregulation before the onset of massive neuronal cell death mainly observed at the late stages of the diseases (Cha, 2007; Sugars and Rubinsteins, 2003). Supporting this notion, recent studies have successfully demonstrated important contribution of epigenetic mechanisms to this transcriptional dysregulation in HD. According to previous studies, abnormal interactions between pathogenic huntingtin (htt) proteins and several transcription factors, such as nuclear co-repressor (NCoR) (Boutell et al., 1999), RE1-silencing transcription factor/neuron-restrictive silencer factor (REST/NRSF) (Zuccato et al., 2003), and p53 (Steffan et al., 2000), can be one of the key contributing factors for HD pathogenesis. In addition to HD, there is an AD hypothesis based on transcriptional dysregulation (Robakis, 2003). In line with this, several transcription factors such as Sp1 (Citron et al., 2008) and Egr-1 (Hendrickx et al., 2014; Koldamova et al., 2014) have been implicated to APP-related AD pathogenesis. Moreover, microarray analyses revealed changes in gene expression profiling in AD (Blaclock et al., 2004; Ho et al., 2001). The transcriptional dysregulation is also reported in other types of neurodegenerative diseases. For example, a recent study proposed that DJ-1 associated with PD can regulate the FOXO transcription factor pathway (Hwang et al., 2013).

**CHANGES IN DNA METHYLATION IN NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES**

Then, which type of epigenetic changes is more responsible for abovementioned transcriptional dysregulation and various neuronal phenotypes in neurodegenerative diseases? Furthermore, is there any common mechanism for this? The answers for these questions remain unclear, and we surmise that the underlying molecular mechanisms might be much complicated. Firstly, as one of representative epigenetic mechanisms, changes in DNA methylation have recently been implicated in AD and HD. Serious alterations in DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation levels [5-methylcytosine (5mC) and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmC), respectively] (Bradley-Whitman and Lovell, 2013; Chouillas et al., 2013; Condiffe et al., 2014; Coppieters et al., 2014) have been reported by many studies on AD. In addition to AD, Fraenkel’s group revealed hypomethylation of DNA associated with CpG-poor regions due to toxic polyQ proteins in the HD cell culture model (Ng et al., 2013). Additionally, it was shown that mutated htt proteins resulted in decreased level of 7-methyl guanine (7-MG) (Thomas et al., 2013). These findings suggest a possibility that changes in DNA methylation by toxic htt proteins may be linked to dysregulated gene expression in HD. A recent study suggested a direct interaction between pathogenic htt proteins and MeCP2 that belongs to methyl-binding domain-containing proteins. Through this binding, pathogenic htt was shown to bind directly to methylated DNA regions (Jaenisch and Bird, 2003). This suggests an additional pathogenic mechanism involving MeCP2 that can contribute to transcriptional dysregulation in HD.

**CHANGES IN HISTONE MODIFICATIONS IN NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES**

In addition to these changes in DNA methylation, alterations in the protein level of histones and their modification patterns have been suggested to be involved in AD pathogenesis (Bahan-Javan et al., 2014). In this line, the western blotting results of Perry’s group showed significantly upregulated levels of non-nuclear form of histone H1 in the AD-affected brain regions (Bolton et al., 1999). Moreover, aberrant localization of phosphorylated histone H3 in cytoplasm and their hyper-phosphorylation of H3 were reported in AD hippocampal neurons (Ogawa et al., 2003). Additionally, Anrando’s group showed significantly (almost 50%) decreased levels of hippocampal acetylated histone 4 (H4) in APP/PS1 mice after fear conditioning training (Francis et al., 2009). Notably, a possible involvement of confound regulations of HDACs, whose levels are intimately associated with histone modification levels, in AD pathogenesis has also been implicated by various studies. According to the previous study done in 2012 by Tsai’s group, the levels of HDAC2 were significantly increased in the brains of AD patients, and reduced levels of HDAC2 proteins reinstated memory impairment and perturbed synaptic plasticity in amyloid-based AD mouse model (Gräff et al., 2012). In addition to HDAC2, substantially increased levels of HDAC6 were observed in AD brains (Ding et al., 2008). Supporting this notion, another study done by Fischer’s group revealed that reduction of HDAC6 levels reversed memory deficits presented in the APP/PS1 mouse model (Govindarajan et al., 2013). On the contrary, it appears not all HDACs work in the same manner since another study showed that reduction of HDAC5 (Agis-Balboa et al., 2013) levels in APP/PS1 mouse models even worsened the already defective memory phenotypes. However, the roles of other HDAC proteins, such as HDAC1 and HDAC3, in AD pathology are still vague, and more studies are needed. Although the roles of HATs and HDACs on chromatin plasticity and gene-expression have been continuously studied, only several genes were examined and implicated in AD via chromatin-immunoprecipitation (ChIP) technique. Therefore, alterations in chromatin state and their associated mechanistic details are very limited and mostly still remained to be elucidated. In effort to resolve this issue and perform genome-wide analysis, researchers have recently started to apply ChIP-sequencing technique in their studies. In 2014, Feany's group performed large-scale analyses and reported heterochromatin loss in the brains of AD patients that lead to an overall increase in transcription of genes that were normally silenced in normal individuals (Frost et al., 2014). Additionally, Tsai and Kellis’s group attempted to profile transcriptional and chromatin state dynamics and found downregulation of synaptic plasticity genes and upregulation of immune response genes (Gjoneska et al., 2015). Taken together, histone alterations associated with AD are summarized in Fig. 2.

In addition, several studies have reported that pathogenic htt caused a global reduction of histone acetylation (Chiu et al., 2011; Ferrante et al., 2003; Gardian et al., 2005; Giralt et al., 2012; Igarashi et al., 2003; Jiang et al., 2006; Lim et al., 2011; Stack et al., 2007). On the other hand, other groups did not observe the equivalent phenomenon even using the same model systems (Hockly et al., 2003; Kleiartyska et al., 2010; Oliveira et al., 2006; Sadri-Vakili et al., 2007). Thus, the reduction of histone acetylation by pathogenic htt still remains contro-
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Fig. 2. Possible involvements of HATs and HDACs in controlling gene-expression of genes associated with memory function and synaptic plasticity in AD.

versial. Nonetheless, the involvement of CBP in HD pathogenesis is strongly supported by accumulated evidences (Fig. 1). Amongst various HATs, it seems likely that CBP plays a crucial role in HD pathogenesis. Although the sequestration of CBP in intracellular htt inclusions has been well reported (McCormick et al., 2000), one of HATs that shares prominent similarity to CBP, p300, may not be affected by pathogenic htt (Cong et al., 2005). Moreover, overexpression of p300 failed to ameliorate the toxicity caused by pathogenic htt proteins unlike in the case of CBP (Nucifora et al., 2001). In addition to HAT, a number of studies using genetic approach have assessed the role of HDACs in HD models. In a C. elegans HD model, htt toxicity was substantially suppressed when the levels of HDAC3 ortholog was decreased (Bates et al., 2006). Also in a Drosophila HD model, decreasing levels of specific HDACs such as Rpd3 and Sir2 was shown to repress htt toxicity in the afflicted neurons (Pallos et al., 2008). However, Bates group reported that in the R6/2 mouse model of HD, genetic knock-down of HDAC3 (Mourne et al., 2012), HDAC6 (Bobrowska et al., 2011), or Sirt2 (Bobrowska et al., 2012) failed to suppress the pathological phenotypes. Therefore, additional studies in mouse models with various genetic backgrounds should be done in order to gain much clearer understanding on the role of epigenetic controls in disease pathogenesis.

LIMITATIONS, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE DIRECTION OF USING EPIGENETIC DRUGS FOR NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES

The idea of using epigenetic drugs to ameliorate neurodegenerative diseases has been already tested in various model animal studies. Previous studies have shown that the memory defects shown in various AD models were significantly reversed with the use of various histone-deacetyltransferase (HDAC) inhibitors: sodium butyrate, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA, Vorinostat), trichostatin A (TSA), or valproate (VPA) (Fischer et al., 2007; Francis et al., 2009; Kilgore et al., 2010). Besides restoration of memory function and synaptic plasticity, oral administration of HDAC inhibitor MS-275 (Entinostat) substantially decreased neuroinflammation and amyloid plaque accumulation observed in APP/PS1 AD models (Zhang and Schluessener, 2013). Surprisingly, sodium butyrate treatment was effective even when it was administrated at the late stage of the disease progression, after the onset of neuronal cell death (Govindarajan et al., 2011). In addition, a number of studies have shown a similar therapeutic role of HDAC inhibitors in PD and HD models (Coppedé, 2010; Sadri-Vakili and Cha, 2006).

The methylation of DNA and histone proteins requires S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) as a methyl donor, and the level of SAM seems to be reduced in AD patients (Coppedé, 2010). Several studies in mice showed that the deficiency of vitamin B, which is required for synthesis of SAM, led to hypomethylation of PSEN1 promoters, and subsequent deposition of Aβ (Coppedé, 2010). In line with these results, there are some evidences suggesting that vitamin B supplement can reduce the cognitive defects in various AD model mice and patients, although further investigation is still required (Coppedé, 2010). One of the most critical points about using these HDAC inhibitors is that multiple HDAC proteins (among four classes of 11 HDAC proteins) are affected at the same time. Due to this simultaneous influence on a broad spectrum of HDAC proteins, it is not clear which one of them is primarily responsible and most appropriate therapeutic target for AD-induced memory perturbation or HD-induced locomotive defects in minimizing potential side effects. Despite their effectiveness, it is yet uncertain that these epigenetic-based therapeutic approaches, including HDAC inhibitors, can change or modify disease pathology itself. Also, it remains unclear whether the changes in histone-acetylation in AD and HD are crucial cause of disease pathogenesis or just mere consequence of diseases itself.

CONCLUSION

In this article, we reviewed pathological implication of epigenetic...
changes associated with two representative neurodegenerative diseases and discussed possible application of pharmaceutical modulation of these epigenetic changes as a disease treatment. Toxic disease proteins associated with AD and polyQ diseases can modify PTMs of histones and subsequent transcriptional control of many genes, which may ultimately lead to diverse and complex neuronal phenotypes. As reviewed here, with the help of recent advances in the related techniques such as NGS and mass spectrometry, we are now able to recognize some of key mediators and roughly figure out the working mechanisms of these epigenetic changes. However, epigenetic dysregulation is not only specifically limited to two neurodegenerative diseases discussed above, AD and HD, but also prevalently reported in other neurodegenerative diseases including PD and ALS. With tremendous efforts of the researchers in this field assisted by great advances in technology, it is expected that the exact nature of epigenetic changes in these neurodegenerative diseases will be unveiled in the near future, which then will practically contribute to the development of effective treatment of the diseases.
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