Prospective Study

Clinical correlations of infliximab trough levels and antibodies to infliximab in South Korean patients with Crohn’s disease

Eun Hye Oh, Dae-Hyun Ko, Hyungil Seo, Kiju Chang, Gwang-Un Kim, Eun Mi Song, Myeongsook Seo, Ho-Su Lee, Sung Wook Hwang, Dong-Hoon Yang, Byong Duk Ye, Jeong-Sik Byeon, Seung-Jae Myung, Suk-Kyun Yang, Sang Hyoung Park

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors have no conflict of interest related to the manuscript.

Data sharing statement: There is no additional data available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Correspondence to: Sang Hyoung Park, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Gastroenterology, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Asan Medical Center, 88, Olympic-ro 43-gil, Songpa-gu, Seoul 05505, South Korea. umdalpin@hanmail.net
Telephone: +82-2-30105768
Fax: +82-2-4760824

Received: September 23, 2016
Peer-review started: September 26, 2016
First decision: December 2, 2016
Revised: December 15, 2016
Accepted: January 17, 2017
Article in press: January 17, 2017
Published online: February 28, 2017

Abstract

AIM
To investigate the clinical implications of infliximab
trough levels (IFX-TLs) and antibodies to infliximab (ATI) levels in Crohn’s disease (CD) patients in Asian countries.

METHODS
IFX-TL and ATI level were measured using prospectively collected samples obtained with informed consent from CD patients being treated at Asan Medical Center, South Korea. We analyzed the correlations between IFX-TLs/ATI levels and the clinical activity of CD (quiescent vs active disease) based on the CD activity index, C-reactive protein level, and physician’s judgment of patients’ clinical status at enrollment. The impact of concomitant immunomodulators was also investigated.

RESULTS
This study enrolled 138 patients with CD (84 with quiescent and 54 with active disease). In patients with quiescent and active diseases, the median IFX-TLs were 1.423 µg/mL and 0.163 µg/mL, respectively (P < 0.001) and the median ATI levels were 8.064 AU/mL and 11.209 AU/mL, respectively (P < 0.001). In the ATI-negative and -positive groups, the median IFX-TLs were 1.415 µg/mL and 0.141 µg/mL, respectively (P < 0.001). In patients with and without concomitant immunomodulator use, there were no differences in IFX-TLs (0.632 µg/mL and 1.150 µg/mL, respectively; P = 0.274) or ATI levels (8.655 AU/mL and 9.017 AU/mL, respectively; P = 0.083).

CONCLUSION
IFX-TL/ATI levels were well correlated with the clinical activity in South Korean CD patients. Our findings support the usefulness of IFX-TLs/ATI levels in treating CD patients receiving IFX in clinical practice.
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Core tip: This study aimed to clarify the clinical implications of infliximab trough levels (IFX-TLs) and antibodies to infliximab (ATI) levels. They were measured using prospectively collected samples in 138 Crohn’s disease (CD) patients being treated at Asan Medical Center, South Korea. Correlations between IFX-TLs/ATIs and the clinical activity (P < 0.001) were verified in the study. Our findings support the usefulness of IFX-TLs/ATI levels in treating CD patients receiving IFX in clinical practices.

INTRODUCTION
Crohn’s disease (CD) is a chronic systemic inflammatory disease that mainly affects the gastrointestinal tract[1]. It is relatively prevalent in developed countries in North America and Europe, affecting up to 0.5% of the general population[2]. However, its prevalence has doubled over the past decade in countries in East Asia[3,4].

The introduction of biologic agents blocking tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α) has greatly modified the treatment strategies for CD and the effects of these agents on remission induction and maintenance has been clearly shown[5]. However, the human body can develop antibodies against infliximab (IFX), the first and most widely used biologic agent for CD treatment. Antibodies to infliximab (ATIs) are thought to be associated with an infusion reaction and reduce the effect of the drug by decreasing its serum level[6,7]. For these reasons, monitoring of the IFX trough levels (IFX-TLs) and ATI levels has been recommended by some experts[8]. However, there are few data clearly defining the relationship among IFX-TLs, ATI levels, and the clinical activity, especially in Asian countries[9].

Besides, the clinical implications and applications of the results in daily clinical practice are still a matter of debate, although the value of the measurement of IFX-TLs/ATI levels for therapy adjustment is undisputable because of practical issues such as cost, the lack of a universally valid assay, and the absence of a cutoff level clearly related to clinical outcomes[10].

In this study, therefore, we analyzed the correlation between IFX-TLs/ATI levels and the clinical activity in South Korean patients with CD using a prospectively collected samples to evaluate the usefulness of therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) in clinical practice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population
Between March and May 2015, we enrolled 138 CD patients, aged 17–50 years, receiving IFX as maintenance therapy at the Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) center of Asan Medical Center, a tertiary university hospital in Seoul, South Korea. They gave informed consent prior to being enrolled in the study. All patients unwilling to provide consent were excluded from the study. Patients aged less than 17 years, diagnosed with ulcerative colitis or any other IBD, and on biologic agents other than IFX were also excluded. IFX was administered at an 8-wk interval, mostly at a dose of 5 mg/kg body weight as maintenance therapy[11]. Out of 138 patients, 27 (19.57%) were receiving a double-dose of IFX (10 mg/kg) at the time of enrollment because of a lack of response to the usual maintenance dose. Interval shortening for dose intensification is not reimbursed in South Korea.

Data collection
During the study period, serum samples were...
obtained from every patient a few hours before IFX administration. The samples were then stored at -20 °C until analysis. IFX-TLs and ATI levels were measured with commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (IDKmonitor® - K9655, K9650; Immunodiagnostik AG, Bensheim, Germany).

**Measurement of IFX-TLs**

Standards, controls, and samples were diluted 200 times and pipetted into wells in duplicate. They were incubated with shaking for 1 h and then for an additional 1 h with conjugate solution at room temperature. After washes, they were mixed with TMB (tetramethylbenzidine) substrate solution and incubated in the dark. Finally, STOP solution was added and the results were checked with an ELISA reader at 450 nm.

**Measurement of ATI levels**

Controls (negative, positive, and cutoff controls) and samples were mixed with buffers and pretreated with gentle shaking at room temperature for 30 min to dissociate ATIs from IFX. After being washed and pipetted into wells in duplicate, they were incubated overnight at 4 °C. Then, the samples were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with diluted conjugate solution and for an additional 10-20 min with TMB substrate solution in the dark. Finally, STOP solution was added and the results were checked with an ELISA reader at 450 nm. The result was considered negative if the optical density (OD) of the sample was lower than that of the cutoff control. If the OD was higher than that of the cutoff control, the result was considered positive. The ATI level of the cutoff control was set to 10 AU/mL and the ATI level of the sample = mean of the sample ODs/mean of the cutoff control ODs × 10 AU/mL.

Assessment of clinical activity was based on the CD activity index (CDAI), serum C-reactive protein (CRP) level, and physician’s judgment of the patients’ clinical status at the time of enrollment[12]. If a patient’s CDAI score was below 150, the serum CRP was within the normal range (< 0.6 mg/dL), and the physician considered that the effect of IFX had lasted for 8 wk at the time of enrollment, the patient was categorized into the quiescent group. However, if a patient’s CDAI score was above 150, the serum CRP was above the upper normal limit (≥ 0.6 mg/dL), or the physician considered that the effect of IFX lasted less than 8 wk, the patient was categorized into the active group. In addition, we also investigated if concomitant immunomodulators, such as azathioprine/mercaptopurine or methotrexate, were administered at the time of enrollment.

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Asan Medical Center (IRB No. 2015-0173).

**Statistical analysis**

Demographics and baseline characteristics were summarized using descriptive statistics. Patients were categorized into groups by their ATI status and the clinical activity. Differences between the groups were compared using the Student t test. Correlations among IFX-TLs/ATI levels and the clinical activity were analyzed with logistic regression analysis. The diagnostic power of IFX-TLs and the clinical activity was determined by identifying the point closest to the 1.0 angle. Data were evaluated with SPSS® statistics version 23.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Patient characteristics**

The baseline characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1. Of the 138 patients with CD, 90 (65.2%) were male; the median age at diagnosis and first infusion of IFX were 21 years [interquartile range (IQR) 19-27 years] and 27 years (IQR 22-33 years), respectively. The average duration from diagnosis to first IFX administration was 52 mo (IQR 13-91 mo) and the median follow-up duration with IFX was 47 mo (IQR 30-73 mo) (Table 1).

**Categorization of the patients into groups**

Out of the 138 patients, 84 (60.9%) were categorized into the quiescent group and 54 (39.1%) were categorized into the active group based on our assessment of clinical activity (Figure 1). The median IFX-TL of all patients was 0.941 µg/mL (IQR 0.189-2.143 µg/mL). The cutoff value for identifying quiescent disease by ROC analysis was 0.68 µg/mL (AUC 0.90, 95%CI: 0.84-0.95, sensitivity 83%, specificity 84%). The median ATI level of all patients was 8.846 AU/mL (IQR 7.719-16.727 AU/mL). Finally, 91 patients (65.9%; median 7.619 AU/mL; IQR 6.857-8.834) were categorized as ATI negative and 47 patients (34.1%; median 47.381; IQR 15.381-146.630) were categorized as ATI positive (Figure 1).

Among all patients, 65 (47.1%) were taking concomitant immunomodulators (64 patients with azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine and 1 patient with methotrexate) at the time of the study. By clinical activity, 31 out of 84 patients in the quiescent group (36.9%) and 34 out of 54 patients in the active group (63.0%) were taking concomitant immunomodulators. In addition, 44 out of 91 patients in the ATI-negative group (48.4%) and 21 out of 47 patients in the ATI-positive group (44.7%) were taking concomitant immunomodulators.
Of these 138 subjects, 84 (60.9%) had quiescent disease and 54 (39.1%) had active disease. The overall median infliximab trough level (IFX-TL) value was 0.941 μg/mL. In total, 91 patients were antibodies to infliximab (ATI) negative (65.9%) and 47 patients were ATI positive (34.1%), with an overall median ATI value of 8.846 (IQR 7.719-16.727) AU/mL.

**Table 1** Demographics and baseline characteristics of the 138 South Korean patients in this study with Crohn’s disease who received infliximab treatment

| Variables                               | Value                     |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Male/female                             | 90/48 (65.2/34.8)         |
| Median age at diagnosis (IQR) (yr)      | 21 (19-27)                |
| Median age at first infusion (IQR) (yr) | 27 (22-33)                |
| Median duration of disease prior to first IFX (IQR) (mo) | 52 (13-91) |
| Indication for IFX treatment            |                           |
| Luminal                                 | 122 (88.4)                |
| Perianal fistulating                     | 16 (11.6)                 |
| Median follow-up of IFX treatment (IQR) (mo) | 47 (30-73) |
| Disease location at diagnosis           |                           |
| L1 (ileum)                              | 19 (13.8)                 |
| L2 (colon)                              | 9 (6.5)                   |
| L3 (ileocolon)                          | 108 (78.3)                |
| Not documented                          | 2 (1.4)                   |
| Disease behavior at diagnosis           |                           |
| B1 (non-structuring non-penetrating)    | 115 (83.3)                |
| B2 (stricturing)                        | 7 (5.1)                   |
| B3 (penetrating)                        | 16 (11.6)                 |
| Perianal fistula at diagnosis           |                           |
| Active                                  | 36 (26.1)                 |
| Previous                                | 26 (18.8)                 |
| Smoking status at diagnosis             |                           |
| Current smoker                          | 26 (18.8)                 |
| Ex-smoker                               | 9 (6.6)                   |
| Never smoker                            | 103 (74.6)                |
| Previous major abdominal surgery prior to first IFX | 44 (31.9) |
| Concomitant immunomodulators            |                           |
| None                                    | 73 (52.9)                 |
| Azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine            | 64 (46.4)                 |
| Methotrexate                            | 1 (0.7)                   |

IQR: Interquartile range; IFX: Infliximab.

**Comparison of differences by group**

In patients with quiescent and active disease, the median IFX-TLs were 1.423 μg/mL (IQR 0.877-2.483) and 0.163 μg/mL (IQR 0.002-0.636), respectively (P < 0.001), and the difference between the median values was 1.260 μg/mL. The median ATI levels were 8.064 AU/mL (IQR 6.929-9.908) and 11.209 AU/mL (IQR 8.008-118.835) in patients with quiescent and active disease, respectively (P < 0.001), and the difference between the median values was 3.145 AU/mL (Figure 2). In the ATI-negative and -positive groups, the median IFX-TLs were 1.415 μg/mL (IQR 0.570-2.495) and 0.141 μg/mL (IQR 0.002-0.869), respectively (P < 0.001), and the difference between the median values was 1.274 μg/mL (Figure 3). In patients with and without concomitant immunomodulator use, there were no differences in IFX-TLs (0.632 μg/mL and 1.150 μg/mL, respectively; P = 0.274) or ATI levels (8.655 AU/mL and 9.017 AU/mL, respectively; P = 0.083) (Figure 4).

**Correlation analysis of variables**

After excluding 5 patients without the tendencies of the other patients (3 active patients with high IFX-TLs and low ATI levels and 2 quiescent patients with low IFX-TLs and high ATI levels), we used logistic regression analysis to analyze IFX-TLs and ATI levels as independent factors affecting the clinical activity of CD. The analysis found an explanation power of 54% and 37.4% and overall predictability of 82% and 75.9% for IFX-TL and ATI, respectively (Table 2). By analyzing these 2 factors (IFX-TLs and ATI levels) together, 69 out of 82 patients in the quiescent group (84.1%) and 38 out of 51 patients in the active group (74.5%) were correctly predicted, with an overall predictability of 80.5%. The odds ratios of IFX-TLs and ATI levels were 0.150 (95%CI: 0.065-0.349, P < 0.001) and 1.028 (95%CI: 1.003-1.053, P = 0.014), respectively (Table 3). Therefore, these factors were verified to be significantly associated with the clinical activity of CD.

**DISCUSSION**

The most important finding of our current study was that the IFX-TL/ATI levels were well correlated with the clinical activity of CD in South Korean patients. Definite inverse correlations between IFX-TLs and the clinical activity (P < 0.001) and between IFX-TLs and ATI levels (P < 0.001) were verified in this analysis. Additionally, we found a correlation between ATI levels
and the clinical activity \((P < 0.001)\). To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to evaluate and define the correlations between IFX-TLs/ATI levels and the clinical activity in South Korean CD patients. In addition, the number of study subjects (138 patients) was larger than that of previous Asian studies (less than 100 patients). Thus far, only a few Asian studies have evaluated the usefulness of IFX drug monitoring in IBD patients\([9,13,14]\). In 2012, a study from Japan evaluated the clinical utility of a novel methodology to measure serum ATI levels in 58 patients with CD. This study found that patients positive for ATIs had significantly lower serum trough levels of infliximab \((P < 0.01)\) and significantly higher clinical activity scores \((P < 0.001)\) than patients negative for ATI\([9]\). Another Japanese study revealed a correlation between the clinical efficacy of IFX and serum IFX-TLs in 57 patients with CD \((P < 0.001)\)\([14]\).

In recent decades, anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) agents have been introduced and become widely used in the management of CD. This change in the treatment paradigm for CD may alter the natural history of CD. Several studies have reported that the prognosis of CD, such as the hospitalization or surgery rate, improved after the introduction of anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) therapy\([15]\). In Asian countries, the incidence of CD has rapidly increased and anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) agents have been used increasingly earlier and more frequently in recent decades\([4,16]\). However, despite the clinical efficacy of this treatment, up to 40% of patients do not respond to induction therapy with anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) agents\([17-19]\). Additionally, about 20% of initial responders may lose responsiveness to anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) therapy each year\([5]\). Because of this phenomenon, the importance of TDM, such as that of drug and anti-drug antibody levels, has been highlighted recently for the establishment of appropriate strategies in clinical practice. This TDM-based approach has been especially emphasized in maintenance therapy, in cases of a loss of response, persistent elevation of CRP, and persistent mucosal lesions\([20-25]\). In addition, this kind of strategy provides significant cost savings compared with conventional IFX dose intensification in CD patients with a loss of response\([26]\). However, in the TAXIT trial, which has taken a more proactive approach than before, with TDM applied to patients still responding to maintenance therapy, a TDM-based dose adjustment was not superior to dose adjustment based on symptoms alone\([27]\). In other words, we should selectively adjust TDM-based personalized treatment strategies to improve the outcomes of IBD patients in daily clinical practice. Additionally, the major limitation of previous studies regarding ATI was an inability to identify whether or not ATIs were neutralizing the drug, because the presence of ATI in serum does not
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![Figure 2](image2.png) **Figure 2** Comparisons of the infliximab trough levels and antibody to infliximab levels between patients with quiescent or active disease. The median infliximab trough levels (IFX-TLs) were 1.423 µg/mL (IQR 0.877-2.483) and 0.163 µg/mL (IQR 0.002-0.869), respectively \((P < 0.001)\) (A) and the median antibodies to infliximab (ATIs) levels were 8.064 AU/mL (IQR 6.929-9.908) and 11.209 AU/mL (IQR 8.008-118.835), respectively \((P < 0.001)\) (B) in patients with quiescent and active disease.

![Figure 3](image3.png) **Figure 3** Comparison of the infliximab trough levels between patients with/without antibody to infliximab. In the ATI-negative and -positive groups, the median infliximab trough levels (IFX-TLs) were 1.415 µg/mL (IQR 0.570-2.495) and 0.141 µg/mL (IQR 0.002-0.869), respectively \((P < 0.001)\).

**Table 3** Factors affecting the clinical activity of Crohn’s disease: Results of logistic regression analysis of the 2 factors together

|               | IFX-TL (µg/mL) | ATI levels (AU/mL) |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Overall predictability (%) | 80.5 | 84.1 |
| Quiescent group (%) | 74.5 | 74.5 |
| Active group (%) | 74.5 | 74.5 |
| P value | \(< 0.001\) | \(< 0.001\) |
| Odds ratio (95%CI) | 0.150 (0.006-0.349) | 1.028 (1.003-1.053) |

IFX-TL: Infliximab trough level; ATI: Antibody to infliximab.
Among our study patients, we observed 5 patients not following the tendencies of the other patients (3 active patients with high IFX-TLs and low ATI levels and 2 quiescent patients with low IFX-TLs and high ATI levels). For the former 3 patients, there are 2 possible interpretations of this situation: (1) high inflammatory burden of the disease; or (2) factors other than TNF-α that play a major pathologic role in these patients\(^\text{[33]}\). In this situation, we should consider dose intensification or switching to another class of drugs such as anti-integrins. For the latter 2 patients, their clinical activity is low despite low IFX-TLs and high ATI levels. In other words, their clinical activity is controlled regardless of anti-TNF-α therapy. In this situation, we should consider stopping the anti-TNF-α therapy if long-term deep remission is achieved\(^\text{[33]}\).

The major potential limitation of this study was the use of time-consuming and difficult-to-apply ELISA-based commercial kits to obtain IFX-TL/ATI values. The usual turnaround time of ELISA-based kits for IFX-TLs/ATI levels is at least 8 h, which would delay the target dosage adjustment of the subsequent infusion. However, recent efforts to replace commonly used ELISA-based kits with a rapid, user-friendly, point-of-care IFX assay would make immediate target dosage adjustment possible in the near future\(^\text{[34]}\). Another limitation of our current study was the lack of analysis of mucosal healing. In our institution, we routinely check CDAI and perform blood tests, including CRP, in CD patients on IFX maintenance therapy during follow-up. Therefore, we used the CDAI, CRP and the physician’s judgement of the duration of the IFX effect to assess the clinical activity of CD in this study. Likewise, previous studies used various outcomes to evaluate the usefulness of IFX-TLs/ATI levels, including clinician’s assessment, patient-reported outcomes such as CDAI or the Harvey-Bradshaw index, or surrogate markers such as CRP\(^\text{[12]}\). A recent study from Japan evaluating the relationship between serum IFX-TLs and disease activities in 45 patients with CD showed that endoscopic activity negatively correlated with serum IFX-TLs and that mucosal healing requires a higher IFX trough level than that required to achieve the normalization of other clinical markers such as albumin and CRP\(^\text{[13]}\).

In conclusion, IFX-TL/ATI levels are well correlated...
with the clinical activity of South Korean CD patients. Our findings confirm the usefulness of IFX-TLs/ATI levels in treating CD patients receiving IFX in clinical practice. Therefore, we can use IFX-TLs/ATI levels in making decisions in patients with loss of response to IFX therapy. Further larger prospective studies are warranted to establish guidelines and to reveal the ability of concomitant immunomodulators to decrease the formation of ATIs.

COMMENTS

Background

The prevalence of Crohn’s disease (CD) is rapidly increasing in Asian countries and the use of infliximab (IFX) is also increasing because the drug is proved to be effective in controlling disease activity. However, about 20% of initial responders to induction therapy with IFX suffer from loss of responsiveness (LOR) to the drug and antibodies to IFX (ATI) are thought to be a cause for the problem. As limited data are available in Asian patients with CD, this study tried to verify the correlations between IFX trough levels (IFX-TLs)/ATI levels and the clinical activity of CD.

Research frontiers

LOR to IFX has become an important issue in treating CD patients and alternative treatment strategies for patients suffering LOR should be raised. Furthermore, there is no clear indication to discontinue IFX maintenance therapy after clinical remission is retained for a long time. Along with clarifying the correlations between IFX-TLs/ATI levels and the clinical activity of CD, this study suggested the ways to making decisions using IFX-TLs and ATI levels in those patients.

Innovations and breakthroughs

This was the first study analyzing the relationship between IFX-TLs/ATI levels and the clinical activity of CD in South Korea. Furthermore, our findings support the usefulness of IFX-TLs/ATI levels in treating CD patients receiving IFX in clinical practice.

Applications

Measuring IFX-TLs and ATI levels could be used in making decisions in patients suffering LOR. If high IFX-TL and low ATI level were shown in the patients, we should consider dose intensification or switching to another class of drugs such as anti-integrins. On the other hand, it can be used in to decide discontinuation of IFX maintenance therapy in patients with quiescent disease. If low IFX-TL and high ATI level were shown in the patients, we should consider stopping the anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α therapy if long-term deep remission is achieved.

Terminology

Infliximab: A drug that works as monoclonal antibody blocking action of TNF-α which is known to be playing a main role in pathogenesis of CD. Trough level: The lowest level of a drug. If a drug is administered periodically, the trough level which is known to be playing a main role in pathogenesis of CD. Trough level: The lowest level of a drug. If a drug is administered periodically, the trough level should be measured just before the administration of the next doses.

Peer-review

This was the first study analyzing and revealing definite correlations among IFX-TLs/ATI levels and clinical activity in CD patients in South Korea. Clinical implications and applications to practices are suggested well.
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