Efficacy of Trastuzumab in Routine Clinical Practice and After Progression for Metastatic Breast Cancer Patients: The Observational Hermine Study
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ABSTRACT

Background. The Hermine study observed the use of trastuzumab for metastatic breast cancer (MBC) in routine practice, including patients who received trastuzumab treatment beyond progression (TBP).

Patients and Methods. The study observed 623 patients for ≥2 years. Treatment was given according to oncologists’ normal clinical practices. Endpoints included duration of treatment, efficacy, and cardiac safety. The TBP subanalysis compared overall survival (OS) in 177 patients who received first-line trastuzumab and either continued trastuzumab for ≥30 days following progression or stopped at or before progression.

Results. The median treatment duration was 13.3 months. In the first-, second-, and third-line or beyond treatment groups, the median time to progression (TTP) were 10.3 months, 9.0 months, and 6.3 months, and the median OS times were 30.3 months, 27.1 months, and 23.2 months, respectively. Heart failure
was observed in 2.6% of patients, although no cardiac-associated deaths occurred. In the TBP subanalysis, the median OS duration from treatment initiation and time of disease progression were longer in patients who continued receiving trastuzumab TBP (>27.8 months and 21.3 months, respectively) than in those who stopped (16.8 months and 4.6 months, respectively). However, the groups were not completely comparable, because patients who continued trastuzumab TBP had better prognoses at treatment initiation. The median TTP was longer in patients who continued trastuzumab TBP (10.2 months) than in those who stopped (7.1 months).

Conclusion. The Hermine findings confirm that the pivotal trials of first-line trastuzumab treatment in MBC patients are applicable in clinical practice. The subanalysis suggests that trastuzumab TBP offers a survival benefit to MBC patients treated with first-line trastuzumab. The Oncologist 2010;15:799–809

INTRODUCTION

The survival benefit from trastuzumab (Herceptin®; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland), a recombinant monoclonal antibody, has been well established in clinical trials of patients with early breast cancer and metastatic breast cancer (MBC) that overexpress human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER)-2 [1–5]. Trastuzumab-based treatment is the standard of care for HER-2+ MBC patients [6], but MBC remains essentially incurable and patients ultimately experience progressive disease [1].

The Hermine study, an observational study conducted in France, was designed to evaluate outcomes in patients with MBC who received trastuzumab treatment in routine clinical practice. With >600 patients, the Hermine study is one of the largest studies of trastuzumab in MBC. Because trastuzumab has also been associated with cardiac events, particularly in patients with prior exposure to anthracyclines [7, 8], the Hermine study also aimed to examine the cardiac safety of trastuzumab in patients treated in routine practice.

This paper reports data collected for the overall study population, as well as according to the initial line of trastuzumab treatment. A subanalysis focused on patients who continued to receive trastuzumab after progression, presenting an opportunity to evaluate the efficacy of trastuzumab treatment beyond progression (TBP) in the largest patient series to date (n = 177).

METHODS

Study Design and Patients

The Hermine trial was a French multicenter, pharmacoepidemiologic, retrospective and prospective, observational cohort study that was managed by an independent scientific committee that included three breast cancer specialists, a pathologist, and an epidemiologist. In accordance with French legislation regarding noninterventional studies, the study protocol was validated by the Comité Consultatif sur le Traitement de l’Information en Matière de Recherche dans le Domaine de la Santé and approved by the Commission Nationale de l’Informatique et des Libertés, which guarantees patient confidentiality.

Participating oncologists were drawn from universities, hospitals, and cancer treatment centers across France. In the absence of a national breast cancer oncologist database, the names of oncology specialists were obtained from a database managed by the sponsor (Roche SAS, Neuilly-sur-Seine, France). This database included 250 breast cancer specialists already prescribing trastuzumab. Specialists from the database were selected at random and invited to retrospectively include all women aged ≥18 years who had first received trastuzumab for MBC between January 1 and December 31, 2002; patients were identified via pharmacy records. All patients were informed of the study objectives. Once in the study, patients continued to be treated and assessed in accordance with their oncologists’ normal clinical practices, with trastuzumab administered as an i.v. infusion either weekly or 3-weekly.

One-year follow-up data were collected retrospectively between November 2003 and April 2004. Final data (minimum patient follow-up ≥2 years) were collected prospectively in March 2005. All data were collected from patient files and reviewed by the independent scientific committee; any questions arising from this review were sent to the appropriate physicians for clarification.

A subanalysis of the Hermine study examined outcomes in patients with MBC treated initially with trastuzumab as first-line therapy who subsequently experienced disease progression and either continued trastuzumab TBP (for ≥30 days) or discontinued trastuzumab within 30 days of progression.

Study Endpoints

The primary endpoint was treatment duration with trastuzumab (defined as the time between first and last infusion, including beyond disease progression). Secondary endpoints included: combination therapies with trastuzumab; frequency of, and reasons for, stopping trastuzumab; time to progression (TTP); sites of disease progression; and overall survival (OS). Cardiac safety was also a secondary
endpoint and included available left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) assessments and documented cardiac events. An LVEF $\geq 50\%$ was considered normal.

The TBP subanalysis endpoints included duration of trastuzumab treatment, TTP from initiation of treatment, and OS from initiation of trastuzumab and from date of first progression.

**Statistical Methods**

In order to assess trastuzumab treatment duration to an accuracy of 15 days (using a two-sided 95% confidence interval [CI]), it was calculated that 454 patients would be required. This was based on the assumption of a mean treatment duration of 240 days, with a standard deviation of 163 days, as seen in a previous trial [5]. Allowing for 10% incomplete data, 500 patients were required. Each oncologist was expected to include at least five patients; it was assumed that 10% of oncologists would not complete the study, so 110 specialists were invited to enroll patients.

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS, version 8.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Time-to-event data (treatment duration, TTP, OS) were analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier method both for the overall population and according to the initial line of trastuzumab treatment (first, second, and third or beyond). TTP was defined as time from initiation of trastuzumab to progression or disease-related death, and the OS time was defined as the time from initiation of treatment to death resulting from any cause. For patients without progression or disease-related death at the end of the follow-up, data were recorded on the date of the last known follow-up visit. The impact of prognostic factors—including patient demographics, tumor characteristics (hormone-receptor status, Scarff-Bloom-Richardson histologic grade), MBC history (number of metastatic sites, site localization, and disease-free interval, defined as time from breast cancer diagnosis to appearance of metastatic disease), mode of trastuzumab administration (monotherapy versus combination therapy), and previous treatment lines for metastatic disease on TTP and OS—was analyzed in an exploratory analysis using a Cox regression model. Identified factors are presented with a hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI. All analyses were performed using a two-sided significance level of 5%.

The TBP subanalysis compared duration of trastuzumab treatment, TTP from initiation of treatment, and OS using a log-rank test. An exploratory analysis was performed, as in the whole-population analysis, to identify potential independent prognostic factors for OS.

The analysis of cardiac safety, evaluated by LVEF assessments, was descriptive only.
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time of trastuzumab treatment initiation were rare in both
groups. Patients receiving trastuzumab TBP had a longer
median follow-up (27.8 months) than those for whom treat-
ment was stopped at progression (16.9 months) (Table 2).

Exposure to Trastuzumab
Most patients (89%) received once-weekly trastuzumab
(Table 2). Trastuzumab was given as part of a combination
regimen in 94% of patients, generally with chemotherapy
(Table 3). Trastuzumab was combined with hormonal ther-
apy (with or without chemotherapy) in 22% of patients
(14.5%, 26.1%, and 23.5% of patients on first-, second-, and third-line treatment, respectively).

Overall, the median treatment duration with trastuzumab
was 13.3 months (range, 0–37.7 months). The median treat-
ment durations in patients who initially received trastuzumab
first line, second line, and third line or beyond were 16.1
months, 15.8 months, and 8.9 months, respectively.

Trastuzumab was temporarily discontinued on 411 oc-
casions in 284 (46.1%) of the 616 patients for whom these
data were recorded, for a median duration of 25 days (range,
8–644 days). After a median follow-up of 22.9 months
(range, 0.1–37.7 months), 478 patients had definitively
stopped trastuzumab, a rate of 75% at 2 years. Reasons for
stopping trastuzumab within 1 year of initiation (n = 369) in-
cluded clinical progression (n = 212), physician decision (n =
70), cardiac event (n = 37), and/or patient request (n = 13).

Efficacy

TTP
During follow-up, 545 progression events were recorded.
Documented sites of first progression after initiation of tras-
tuzumab in these patients were liver (33%), central nervous
system (CNS; 28%), bone (25%), lung (17%), local-reg-
ional (9%), and/or pleura (6%).

The median TTP was 8.6 months (95% CI, 7.6–9.3
months) (Fig. 2A) for the overall population and 10.3
months, 9.0 months, and 6.3 months, respectively, in the
subgroups initially treated with trastuzumab first line, sec-
ond line, and third line or beyond.

OS
There were 336 deaths, with a median OS time of 25.9
months (95% CI, 23.4–28.9 months) (Fig. 2B). The 2-year
OS estimates varied with line of initial trastuzumab treat-
ment: 58%, 55%, and 48% for first, second, and third line or
beyond, respectively. The corresponding median OS times
were 30.3 months, 27.1 months, and 23.2 months, respec-
tively.

In an exploratory analysis, significant independent pre-
dictors of OS were: number of metastatic sites at treatment
initiation (three or more: HR, 1.49; 95% CI, 1.16–1.91),
mode of trastuzumab administration (combination: HR,
0.34; 95% CI, 0.23–0.51), CNS metastases at trastuzumab
initiation (HR, 2.94; 95% CI, 2.02–4.28), visceral non-
CNS metastases at trastuzumab initiation (presence of lung/
liver metastases: HR, 1.64; 95% CI, 1.26–2.13), disease-
free interval from breast cancer diagnosis to appearance of
metastasis (>24 months: HR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.48–0.74), and
number of previous treatment lines for MBC (more
than one: HR, 1.15; 95% CI, 1.01–1.31).

TBP Subanalysis
The first-line median TTP was longer for patients treated
with trastuzumab after progression (10.2 months; 95% CI,
9.1–11.5 months) than for patients whose treatment was withdrawn at progression (7.1 months; 95% CI, 6.1, 7.9 months) (log-rank test \(p < .0215\)). Site of first progression in patients treated with first-line trastuzumab included the liver (34%), CNS (34%), bone (24%), and lungs (17%).

When analyzed from the initiation of trastuzumab, the OS time was significantly longer in patients who continued to receive trastuzumab treatment after progression than in patients whose treatment was withdrawn at progression (\(p < .001\)) (Fig. 3A), with a median OS time for patients who continued trastuzumab not reached after 27.8 months’ follow-up and a median OS time of 16.8 months for patients who stopped trastuzumab at progression. Likewise, patients who continued trastuzumab after progression had a significantly longer median OS time from date of first progression (21.3 months) than patients whose trastuzumab treatment was stopped at progression (4.6 months) (\(p < .0001\)) (Fig. 3B).

Exploratory subgroup analyses showed that continuing trastuzumab TBP was an independent prognostic factor for OS after first-line trastuzumab in MBC (Fig. 4).

### Cardiac Safety

During the first year of follow-up, 468 patients (75%) underwent at least one LVEF assessment. Of these, 23% had an abnormal LVEF, with 4% having nLVEF <40%. At 1–2 years of follow-up, 192 patients (31%) had an LVEF assessment. The median LVEF in those patients was 62% (range, 26%–82%), with 12% having an abnormal value.

Fifty-four patients (9%) experienced at least one symptomatic cardiac adverse event (range, 1–3) during follow-up, 39 (6%) during trastuzumab treatment. Of these patients, 49 had previously received treatment with anthra-

### Table 1. Baseline patient and disease characteristics of the whole Hermine cohort

| Characteristic                                      | Total (\(n = 623\)) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Median (range) age, yrs (\(n = 623\))               | 54 (25–84)           |
| HER-2 status (\(n = 599\))                         |                      |
| IHC 3+, \(n (%)\)                                 | 558 (93)             |
| IHC 2+, \(n (%)\)                                 | 26 (4)               |
| IHC 0–1+, \(n (%)\)                               | 6 (1)                |
| IHC not classified, \(n (%)\)                      | 9 (2)                |
| FISH+, \(n\)                                       | 12                   |
| FISH−, \(n\)                                       | 3                    |
| Hormone-receptor status, crossed results (\(n = 561\), \(n (%)\)) |        |
| ER+PgR+                                            | 186 (33)             |
| ER+PgR−                                            | 90 (16)              |
| ER−PgR+                                            | 34 (6)               |
| ER−PgR−                                            | 251 (45)             |
| Histologic type of primary tumor (\(n = 617\), \(n (%)\)) |    |
| Ductal carcinoma                                   | 559 (90.6)           |
| Lobular carcinoma                                   | 30 (4.9)             |
| Ductal and lobular (mixed) carcinoma                | 19 (3.1)             |
| Other                                               | 9 (1.2)              |
| Histologic grade: Scarff-Bloom-Richardson (\(n = 561\), \(n (%)\)) |   |
| 1                                                   | 25 (5)               |
| 2                                                   | 208 (37)             |
| 3                                                   | 316 (56)             |
| Not classified                                       | 12 (2)               |
| Type of previous treatment (\(n = 623\), \(n (%)\)) |                      |
| Surgery                                             | 550 (88)             |
| Radiotherapy                                         | 506 (82)             |
| Hormonal therapy                                    | 361 (58)             |
| Chemotherapy                                        | 560 (90)             |
| Median (range) disease-free interval, mos           | 25 (0–411)           |
| Metastatic sites before trastuzumab initiation (\(n = 621\), \(n (range)\)) |   |
| \(<3\), \(n (%)\)                                   | 459 (74)             |
| \(\geq 3\), \(n (%)\)                              | 162 (26)             |
| Disease sites location, \(n (%)\)                  |                      |
| Visceral\(^b\)                                      | 441 (71)             |
| Liver                                               | 324 (52)             |
| Bone                                                | 268 (43)             |
| Lung                                                | 186 (30)             |
| Skin                                                | 108 (17)             |
| Pleura                                              | 65 (11)              |
| CNS                                                 | 40 (6)               |

(continued)

### Table 1. (Continued)

| Characteristic                                      | Total (\(n = 623\)) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Cardiac status, \(n\) (\%)                          |                      |
| History of cardiac disorders                        | 141 (23)             |
| Hypertension                                        | 103 (17)             |
| Ischemic heart disease                              | 8 (2)                |
| Heart failure                                       | 9 (2)                |
| Coronary artery disease                             | 4 (1)                |

\(^a\)HER-2 status assessed by both IHC and FISH in six cases.  
\(^b\)Liver and/or lung and/or CNS.

Abbreviations: CNS, central nervous system; ER, estrogen receptor; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; HER-2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; IHC, immunohistochemistry; PgR, progesterone receptor.
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cyclines, 17 had a history of cardiac problems, and 12 had cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, tobacco use, family history, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia). Of the 65 recorded events, the most common were heart failure (n = 16) and tachycardia/other arrhythmia (n = 11). New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification of heart failure was recorded for seven patients: I, n = 2; II, n = 3; and III, n = 2. Overall, 45 of the symptomatic events required medical treatment and 16 required hospitalization. The cardiac events resolved in 26 cases, improved in 21 cases, and were unchanged/outcome unrecorded in the remaining 18 cases. No cardiac-related deaths occurred.

### TBP Subanalysis

Prior to starting trastuzumab treatment, LVEF measurements were collected in 96 patients (54%) in this subgroup, with a median value of 65% (range, 23%–81%). Six patients (7%) had an LVEF <50% and, of these, five had an LVEF <40%.

During the first year of follow-up, LVEF measurements were collected from 136 patients in this subgroup. LVEF was <50% in 12.7% of patients receiving trastuzumab after disease progression and in 24.4% of those who stopped trastuzumab after disease progression. The corresponding incidences of LVEF decline to <40% were 2.6% and 7.3%.

### Table 2. Characteristics of patients at initiation of trastuzumab treatment

| Characteristic                              | First-line trastuzumab | Second-line trastuzumab | Third-line or beyond trastuzumab | First-line trastuzumab with disease progression |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Patients, n**                            | 221                    | 138                     | 243                             | Total                                          |
| Mean age, yrs                              | 54                     | 56                      | 56                              | First-line trastuzumab stopped >30 days beyond progression |
| HER-2 status, n (%)                        | 199 (96)               | 122 (92)                | 218 (91)                        | Trastuzumab stopped at or prior to progression |
| IHC 3+                                     |                       |                         |                                 |                                               |
| Hormone-receptor status, n (%)             |                        |                         |                                 |                                               |
| ER and/or PgR positive                     | 84 (41)                | 61 (49)                 | 154 (71)                        |                                               |
| Histology: Scarff-Bloom-Richardson grade, n (%) |                       |                         |                                 |                                               |
| 2                                          | 61 (31)                | 44 (35)                 | 95 (43)                         |                                               |
| 3                                          | 129 (65)               | 73 (58)                 | 108 (49)                        |                                               |
| Mean (range) disease-free interval, yrs    | 2.7 (0–16)             | 2.4 (0–24)              |                                 |                                               |
| Type of previous treatment, n (%)          |                        |                         |                                 |                                               |
| Surgery                                    | 198 (90)               | 122 (88)                | 212 (87)                        |                                               |
| Adjuvant hormonal therapy                  | 70 (32)                | 48 (35)                 | 79 (33)                         |                                               |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy                      | 135 (61)               | 82 (59)                 | 106 (44)                        |                                               |
| Anthracyclines                             | 160 (86)               | 116 (91)                | 226 (95)                        |                                               |
| Disease sites before trastuzumab initiation |                        |                         |                                 |                                               |
| Median (range) sites, n                    | 2 (1–5)                | 2 (1–5)                 | 2 (1–8)                         |                                               |
| Location, n (%)                            | 146 (66)               | 102 (75)                | 179 (74)                        |                                               |
| Viscerala                                  | 13 (6)                 | 8 (6)                   | 18 (7)                          |                                                |
| CNS                                        | 146 (66)               | 102 (75)                | 179 (74)                        | 27.8 (3.9–37.7)                                |
| Median (range) duration of follow-up, months | 24.4 (0.1–37.7)        | NA                      | 27.8 (3.9–37.7)                 | 16.9 (0.1–35.1)                                |

*aDefined as time from breast cancer diagnosis to metastatic disease.

*bLiver and/or lung and/or CNS.

Abbreviations: CNS, central nervous system; ER, estrogen receptor; HER-2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; IHC, immunohistochemistry; NA, not available; PgR, progesterone receptor.
developed congestive heart failure.

The 2-year follow-up of patients who received first-line trastuzumab in routine practice allowed investigation of the benefit of continuing trastuzumab beyond disease progression. Those who continued with trastuzumab TBP had a significantly longer median OS time from the date of that progression than those who stopped trastuzumab at progression (21.3 months versus 4.6 months; \( p < .001 \)). A significant difference in terms of OS was also apparent from the date of initiation of trastuzumab therapy. Despite the imbalance in prognostic factors between the two groups, an exploratory subgroup analysis identified continuing trastuzumab TBP as an independent prognostic survival factor after first-line trastuzumab treatment for MBC, suggesting that continuing trastuzumab TBP provides significant clinical benefit.

OS benefits were also associated with continuing trastuzumab TBP in Hermine patients who had initially received trastuzumab as second-line therapy for MBC (\( n = 121 \)) [10].

The findings of the TBP subanalysis reflect those of previous smaller studies and are supported by studies in HER-2\(^+\) human xenograft models [11]. Numerous comparative and noncomparative studies have reported tumor response rates of 11%–50% to a second trastuzumab regimen, indicating that HER-2\(^+\) breast cancer is not refractory to further trastuzumab therapy after first progression [12–18]. In retrospective case analyses, a median TTP after a second trastuzumab-based regimen of 5–8 months has been reported [12–15, 19, 20]. In one case series [17], the OS time from the date of diagnosis of MBC was 62.4 months in patients who received at least two trastuzumab-based regimens (\( n = 23 \)), compared with 38.5 months in those who received one regimen only (\( n = 113 \)) (\( p = .01 \)). In contrast, no difference in OS (calculated from the date of trastuzumab initiation) was documented in an Italian case series in which 40 patients had received trastuzumab TBP and 71 patients had stopped trastuzumab at progression (median, 30.1 months and 30.2 months, respectively) [15]. However, in our study, an imbalance was reported in the chemotherapy/hormonal therapy regimens between the two treatment groups.

Results from a phase III randomized study (German Breast Group [GBG]-26) comparing trastuzumab and capecitabine with capecitabine alone in 156 patients who had progressed during trastuzumab therapy also support our findings that trastuzumab is effective when continued as TBP [21]. Treatment with trastuzumab plus capecitabine doubled the overall response rate (48.1% versus 27.0%) and TTP (8.2 months versus 5.6 months; \( p = .034 \)) compared

### Table 3. Trastuzumab treatment of the whole Hermine cohort

| Trastuzumab schedule | Median (range) loading dose, mg/kg | Administration frequency \((n = 612), n (%)\) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                      | 4 (1.4–9)                         | Once weekly 547 (89)                        |
|                      |                                   | 3-weekly 57 (9)                             |
|                      |                                   | Other 8 (2)                                 |
| Trastuzumab regimen \((n = 623), n (%)\) | Monotherapy 37 (6) | Combination therapy 586 (94) |
| Trastuzumab combination therapy \((n = 623)\) | Paclitaxel 377 (61) | Docetaxel 154 (25) |
|                      | Vinorelbine 215 (35)               | Capecitabine 55 (9)                        |
|                      | Platinum salts 44 (7)             | Anthracyclines 12 (2)                      |
|                      | With hormonal therapy 136 (22) | Aromatase inhibitors 125 (20) |
|                      | 12 (2)                            | Tamoxifen 10 (2)                           |

\*Patients could have received more than drug in combination with trastuzumab during the minimum follow-up of 2 years.

An absolute decline in LVEF \( \geq 15\% \) was recorded in 20.5% and 21.7% of patients, respectively.

One patient from each of the two treatment groups developed congestive heart failure.

### DISCUSSION

The Hermine study was designed to evaluate use, efficacy, and safety outcomes with trastuzumab under noncontrolled, “real life” conditions with an unselected population of patients with HER-2\(^+\) MBC. In this pharmacoepidemiologic cohort of 623 patients initially treated with trastuzumab first line, second line, and third line or beyond, the median TTP was 8.6 months and median OS duration was 25.9 months. Results for TTP and OS seem to show clinical benefit irrespective of initial line of treatment, although the greatest benefits occurred in patients treated first line.

In those patients treated first line for MBC, the TTP was 10.3 months and the OS time was 30.3 months, outcomes that are at least comparable with those in the pivotal trials of first-line trastuzumab plus a taxane for HER-2\(^+\) MBC (TTP, 7.1 months and 11.7 months, respectively; OS, 25.0 months and 31.2 months, respectively) [4, 9]. Thus, the clinical benefits achieved with first-line trastuzumab for HER-2\(^+\) MBC in the controlled trial setting appear to be achieved in daily clinical practice.

An exploratory subgroup analysis identified continuing trastuzumab TBP as an independent prognostic survival factor after first-line trastuzumab treatment for MBC, suggesting that continuing trastuzumab TBP provides significant clinical benefit.
with that seen with capecitabine alone, without any unexpected toxicity [21].

At the time of the Hermine study, there were no ongoing trials of lapatinib in France. However, second-line treatment with other HER-2–targeting therapies for advanced breast cancer has now been investigated in patients who progressed on first-line trastuzumab. In a phase III, randomized, open-label study, patients with HER-2+ locally advanced breast cancer or MBC, previously treated with an anthracycline, a taxane, and trastuzumab in the metastatic
setting, received lapatinib plus capecitabine or capecitabine alone [22]. The primary endpoint of TTP was shorter with both lapatinib plus capecitabine (6.2 months) and capecitabine alone (4.3 months) than was observed in the Hermine study (10.2 months for patients receiving trastuzumab after progression and 7.1 months for patients who stopped trastuzumab at progression), but a cross-study comparison is not appropriate because of methodologic differences. The availability of lapatinib raises questions about the most suitable agent to maintain HER-2 suppression following disease progression, and this should be investigated in a randomized study. Preclinical evidence demonstrates that trastuzumab retains antitumor activity beyond progression as a result of continued suppression of HER-2 and activation of the immune system by antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity [23–25]. In addition, recent clinical trials have also demonstrated the benefit of continuing trastuzumab beyond disease progression [21, 26].

Differing protocols with regard to the monitoring, recording, and analysis of cardiac events make cross-study comparisons of cardiac safety problematic. However, the incidence of heart failure (2.6%) for patients enrolled in the Hermine study was similar to that in the pivotal first-line MBC M77001 trial evaluating trastuzumab plus docetaxel, which carefully screened patients for cardiac dysfunction prior to enrollment [4]. The incidence of symptomatic cardiac events in the Hermine study was also much lower than in the H0648g trial [5], despite 84% of patients having previously received anthracyclines. Furthermore, the majority of patients with symptomatic cardiac events subsequently recovered or improved. In another retrospective analysis, of patients who received trastuzumab for HER-2+ MBC (n = 173; median treatment duration, 21.3 months; range, 11.6–77.6 months), NYHA cardiac dysfunction (class I–IV) was reported in 31% of patients, compared with only 1.1% of patients in the Hermine study (class I–III; no class IV NYHA cardiac failure was reported) [27]. This difference may be a result of standardized cardiac monitoring, because the study was conducted at only one center, and emphasizes the importance of careful cardiac assessment. LVEF assessments confirmed that trastuzumab was also well tolerated when given beyond progression.

HER-2 overexpression is a recognized risk factor for CNS metastases [28]; however, patients with HER-2+ disease with CNS metastases treated with trastuzumab have a better survival outcome than patients with HER-2+ disease and CNS metastases [29, 30]. Emerging evidence suggests that patients with CNS metastases should continue to receive trastuzumab along with established therapies for the CNS metastases [31].

Pharmacoepidemiologic studies such as the Hermine study have limitations and inherent biases, which were identified before the start of the study. The physician-selection and patient-inclusion criteria were chosen to minimize selection bias. After completion, the study design was rechecked to ensure the study was adequately controlled. Random selection of physicians from a comprehensive database of breast cancer specialists ensured that the oncologists participating in the study were representative of the database and the French oncologist population as a whole, in both geographic distribution and type of establishment. The selection criteria for patients also ensured that the Hermine study accurately reflected the true clinical use of trastuzumab in a heterogeneous population of breast cancer patients across France. Furthermore, patients were selected for inclusion after the date of first treatment. Patients were identified according to pharmacy records rather than by physician recommendation; 93% of eligible patients were actually registered in the study.

In the subanalysis of patients from the Hermine cohort who progressed after receiving first-line trastuzumab, there were some imbalances in terms of disease characteristics between patients who continued trastuzumab TBP and those who did not. Those who continued trastuzumab TBP had a better prognosis at treatment initiation, with the patients having lower Scarff-Bloom-Richardson grading, a longer disease-free interval, fewer visceral metastases, and more adjuvant hormonal therapy. This imbalance in prognosis between the groups is reflected in both the median TTP (10.2 months versus 7.1 months) and the median duration of treatment prior to disease progression (10.1 months versus 6.1 months). The TTP results in the Hermine study suggest that the patients who continued trastuzumab TBP may have belonged to a population with a more favorable prognosis than those who stopped trastuzumab at progression. However, it should be noted that the randomized phase III study GBG-26 showed similar TTP results for continued trastuzumab TBP [21].

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this large, observational study, the median trastuzumab treatment duration was 13.3 months. Trastuzumab combined with various chemotherapy and/or hormonal therapy options for MBC was associated with a TTP approaching 9 months and an OS time > 2 years, despite > 60% of the cohort receiving trastuzumab initially as second-line therapy or beyond.

The subanalysis of patients who progressed after first-line trastuzumab suggests that continuing trastuzumab TBP offers clinical benefit to patients with HER-2+ MBC treated initially with first-line trastuzumab. When calculated from the date of treatment initiation, the median OS...
time had not been reached after a follow-up of 27.8 months in patients who continued trastuzumab beyond first progression, compared with a median OS time of 16.8 months in patients who stopped trastuzumab at disease progression. A significantly longer median OS time (21.3 months) was also reached in those patients, compared with patients who stopped trastuzumab at disease progression (4.6 months), when assessed from the date of first progression.
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