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Abstract

Introduction

Preliminary data in human suggest that both Intracardiac echocardiography (ICE) and Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) can be used for real-time information on the left atrial (LA) wall thickness and on the acute tissue changes produced by energy delivery. This pilot study was conducted to compare ICE and IVUS for real-time LA wall imaging and assessment of acute tissue changes produced by radiofrequency (RF), cryo and laser catheter ablation.

Methods

Patients scheduled for RF, cryoballoon or laser balloon Pulmonary Vein Isolation (PVI) catheter ablation were enrolled. Each pulmonary vein (PV) was imaged immediately before and after ablation with either ICE or IVUS. The performance of ICE and IVUS for imaging were compared. Pre- and post-ablation measurements (lumen and vessel diameters, areas and sphericity indexes, wall thickness and muscular sleeve thickness) were taken at the level of each PV ostium.

Results

A total of 48 PVs in 12 patients were imaged before and after ablation. Compared to IVUS, ICE showed higher imaging quality and inter-observer reproducibility of the PV measurements obtained. Acute wall thickening suggestive of oedema was observed after RF treatment (p = 0.003) and laser treatment (p = 0.003) but not after cryoablation (p = 0.69).

Conclusions

Our pilot study suggests that ICE is preferable to IVUS for LA wall thickness imaging at the LA-PV junctions during ablation. Ablation causes acute wall thickening when using RF or laser energy, but not cryoenergy delivery. Larger studies are needed to confirm these preliminary findings.

Introduction

One of the major limitations of percutaneous catheter ablation is the inability to image the cardiac tissue thus providing real-time information on wall thickness and on acute tissue changes produced by energy delivery during ablation. Due to the unpredictable variability of the wall thickness in the areas targeted for ablation, choosing appropriate ablation settings for creation of effective lesions is often challenging. Insufficient ablation will translate into non-transmural and non-durable lesions, while excessive ablation could lead to steam pops, cardiac perforation and damage to surrounding anatomical structures (e.g. the esophagus). Moreover, very little is known about acute tissue changes produced by catheter ablation and the role they might play in lesion failure. Acute development of tissue oedema has been reported when using radiofrequency (RF) energy for ablation and it has been advocated as a
possible mechanism accounting for lesion failure\textsuperscript{6,7}. The presence and degree of acute tissue oedema when using cryoenergy or laser energy for ablation is not known.

Ultrasound imaging has previously been used in animal studies for assessment of atrial wall thickness and lesion formation during catheter ablation\textsuperscript{8-10}. Preliminary data in human also suggest that ultrasound imaging modalities such as intracardiac echocardiography (ICE) and intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) can be used for left atrial (LA) wall thickness measurements and detection of acute changes produced by ablation\textsuperscript{11-13}.

This pilot study was conducted to compare ICE and IVUS for real-time LA wall imaging and assessment of acute tissue changes produced by different ablation energies during pulmonary vein isolation (PVI).

**Methods**

**Study design**

The INSIDE PVs study (INtra-procedural ultraSound Imaging for DEtermination of atrial wall thickness and acute tissue changes after isolation of the Pulmonary Veins with radiofrequency, cryoballoon or laserballoon energy) was a single-center prospective pilot study. The trial was approved by the Local Ethics Committee, complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was registered on www.clinicaltrials.gov (Identifier NCT03372798).

Patients scheduled for RF, cryoballoon or laserballoon PVI catheter ablation for symptomatic, drug-refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (AF) were eligible for the trial. All AF ablations were performed in a standard fashion, as described in the supplementary section.

**Protocol for ICE/IVUS imaging of the PVs**

As part of the trial, ultrasound imaging of the junctions between LA and pulmonary veins (PVs) was performed with either ICE or IVUS as per operator's discretion.

For ICE imaging, a 9F catheter with a 9 MHz rotational transducer providing a maximal radial depth of 50 mm (Ultra ICE, Boston Scientific) was used. For IVUS imaging, a 20 MHz digital probe with maximum ultrasonic detection depth of 24 mm (Visions PV .018, Volcano, San Diego, CA) was chosen and used mounted on a guidewire (0.014-in percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty guidewire) as per manufacture instructions.

The ultrasound-imaging probe was introduced into the LA via the trans-septal access and advanced under fluoroscopic guidance distally into each pulmonary vein (PV) with the aid of a long steerable sheath. Images were recorded during slow manual pullback of the probe from each vein into the LA body. Each PV was imaged twice, before and after ablation.

**Offline ICE/IVUS quantitative imaging analysis**
Images were stored and analyzed offline by two independent operators, blinded to clinical and procedural data, at the OxACCT CoreLab (Oxford Academic Cardiovascular CT CoreLab) using QIVUS software (Medis Medical, Leiden, The Netherlands). Images analysis was performed as previously outlined\textsuperscript{14,15}.

Lumen and vessel diameters, areas and sphericity indexes and wall thickness were measured at the level of the PV ostium as indicated in Figure 1. The PV ostium was identified as point of maximal inflection between LA and PV wall, as previously described\textsuperscript{16}.

Comparisons between corresponding PV measurements obtained from ICE and IVUS frames and between pre- and post-ablation measurements were made. Post-ablation morphological changes of the PV wall such as dissection-like changes were recorded.

**Assessment of performance of ICE and IVUS**

The time required for imaging and any procedural complications associated with imaging were recorded as indicators of trackability of ICE and IVUS catheters.

The imaging quality of each PV cross-section was defined as good, satisfactory, sub-optimal and poor: good quality if the vessel contour was visible in all 4 quadrants; satisfactory quality if the vessel contour was visible in 3 quadrants; sub-optimal quality if the vessel contour was visible in 2 non-consecutive quadrants; poor quality if not possible to define the vessel contour as visible only in one quadrant or in two consecutive quadrants (Figure 2). Poor quality images were discarded and not considered for quantitative analyses.

The inter-observer agreement for quantitative data was also assessed for both ICE and IVUS by calculating intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) values for different PV measurements.

**Follow-up data**

Although the study was not designed to assess and compare clinical outcomes, long-term data were obtained from standard clinical follow-up appointments. The need of redo catheter ablation due to recurrence of atrial tachyarrhythmias and which PVs were found to be reconnected at the redo procedure were reported.

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables were expressed as absolute number and percentage (%). Continuous variables were expressed as mean and (±) standard deviation (SD) or as median accompanied by interquartile range (IQR), as appropriate after checking for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk’s test. Categorical variables were compared with the use of the Pearson’s Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. Continuous variables were compared with the use of one-way ANOVA test or Kruskal-Wallis test, as appropriate according to data distribution.
A two-sided P value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. Data were analysed with the use of SPSS software version 27 (IBM Statistics, Chicago, Illinois).

Results

Study population

Twelve patients undergoing PVI catheter ablation for paroxysmal AF were enrolled. The patients’ baseline characteristics are presented in Table A of the Supplementary Section.

Pulmonary vein isolation was performed with RF in 5 patients (20 PVs), with cryoballoon in 4 patients (16 PVs) and with laserballoon in 3 patients (12 PVs). All 48 PVs were isolated. A total of 28 PVs in 7 patients were imaged before and after PVI with ICE, while 20 veins in 5 patients were imaged with IVUS.

Performance of ICE/IVUS for PV imaging

No significant differences in terms of trackability were observed between ICE and IVUS catheters (additional procedural time required for imaging: ICE = 24.8 ± 3.4 min, IVUS = 25 ± 3.5 min, p = 0.95; no procedural complications).

As shown in Table 1, ICE produced higher imaging quality than IVUS ($\chi^2 = 35,415; p < 0.001$).

Compared to IVUS, ICE also performed better in terms of inter-observer reproducibility of PV measurements, as showed in Table B of the supplementary section. Although both techniques showed excellent ICC values for PV lumen measurements, IVUS showed only moderate ICC values for PV vessel and wall thickness measurements, as compared to good and excellent ICC values exhibited by ICE for corresponding measurements.

ICE/IVUS images analysis

Out of the 48 PV cross-sections, 3 were discarded because of poor quality and 45 (28 ICE and 17 IVUS frames) were considered adequate for quantitative analysis.

Compared to the IVUS cross-sections, the ICE ones showed larger lumen and vessel diameters and areas, larger wall thicknesses and significantly lower lumen and vessel sphericity indexes, indicative of more oval/elliptical shape of both lumen and vessel contours (Table 2). Of note, median pre- and post-ablation wall thickness index percentage (WTI%) and muscular sleeve thicknesses did not differ between ICE and IVUS-imaged PVs.

As detailed in Table 3, statistically significant increases of mean wall thickness, wall thickness index (WTI) and WTI%, suggestive of acute wall thickening, were observed after ablation in both ICE and IVUS cross-sections. A significant reduction of the thickness of the muscular sleeve was also observed after ablation (ICE cross-sections: median (IQR) percentage reduction = 8.2 (0-45.9)%; IVUS cross-sections:
median (IQR) percentage reduction = 31.68 (14.54-81.64)%, with complete disappearance of the muscular sleeve after ablation in 6 PVs out of 45.

When grouping by ablation technology used for PVI (RF, cryo or laser), the increase in WTI% was observed only after RF treatment (p = 0.003) and laser treatment (p = 0.003), whilst no significant changes in wall thickness were observed after cryoablation (p = 0.69) (Figure 3, Table 4).

A single case of vessel dissection was documented after ablation (right inferior pulmonary vein after cryoballoon ablation) (Figure 4).

Follow-up data

Patients were followed up for 26.5 ± 4.1 months. Six patients (50%) required a redo procedure due to recurrence of atrial tachyarrhythmias 3 months or later after the AF ablation. Of them, 67% (4 patients) had reconnected PVs, for a total of 7 reconnected PVs out of the 24 checked during the redo procedures.

Reconnected and isolated PVs at the second ablation procedure showed similar degrees of wall thickness increase [median (IQR) WTI% increase: reconnected PVs = 5.71 (0.31-12.04)%; isolated PVs: = 4.51 (0.94-12.07)%; p = 0.89] and muscular sleeve thickness reduction after the first ablation procedure [median (IQR) percentage reduction: reconnected PVs = 8.72 (0.3-44.5)%; isolated PVs = 24.53 (0.5-41.18)%; p = 0.58].

Discussion

Our pilot study was conducted to compare ICE and IVUS for real-time LA wall imaging and for detection of acute tissue changes produced by different ablation energies during PVI catheter ablation. Although both ICE and IVUS probes image in the axial plan, providing cross-sectional images of the vessel (or chamber of interest) and surrounding tissues, their transducers use different ranges of ultrasound frequencies. The catheter designs are also different: the IVUS catheter has a smaller size (6F) and uses a mono-rail system, with the distal portion of the catheter advanced over a guidewire for better support and stability, while the ICE catheter has a bigger size (9F) and no central lumen. We chose to compare a 9MHz ICE catheter with a 20MHz IVUS probe to investigate which ultrasound frequency would give the best compromise between contrast resolution and image penetration for PVs imaging.

Performance of ICE and IVUS

In our study, ICE performed better than IVUS with regards to quality of imaging provided and to inter-observer reproducibility of measurements obtained. These findings are likely due to the lower ultrasound frequency used by ICE, which was advantageous in terms of acoustic penetration without a significant loss in spatial resolution. When using ICE, the outer vessel circumference was well-defined in most or all image quadrants, as well as inner structures such as lumen circumference and wall.
We observed no significant differences between the two technologies in terms of trackability: similar additional procedural times were needed for ICE and for IVUS imaging and no procedural complications occurred as result of imaging.

Both lumen and vessel diameters and areas were consistently larger in the ICE-imaged PVs compared to the IVUS-imaged PVs. Moreover, although both imaging techniques showed an elliptical shape of the PV cross-sections, in keeping with previous CT and MRI studies, lumen and vessel sphericity indexes were lower in the ICE-imaged PVs, which is indicative of a more elliptical shape of the PV cross-sections. Our PV measurements determined by IVUS are in line with previously reported PV measurements, obtained from both IVUS images and histological sections. Taken together, these data might suggest that ICE overestimated the PVs sizes due to non-coaxial cross-sectioning, as indicated by the lower sphericity index when compared to the IVUS images. ICE catheters are not advanced or pulled back over a wire and this different design might have reduced the chance of a coaxial position of the probe within the PV lumen. Despite different lumen and vessel diameters and absolute areas, pre- and post-ablation WTI% were comparable between PV cross-sections imaged either ICE or IVUS, thus suggesting that both imaging modalities can provide similar accuracy in depicting acute changes in tissue thickness after ablation.

**Acute changes produced by the different ablation energies**

In our study, the LA wall thickness was found to increase similarly at the level the PV ostia following ablation when using RF or laser-balloon energy, while no increase in wall thickness was observed when using the cryoballoon. While acute development of tissue oedema is well known after RF, limited data are available regarding acute tissue changes after laser energy delivery. Apart from the lack of direct contact of the energy source with the tissue (the optical fibre delivering arc of laser energy is in a balloon), laser energy as with RF produces tissue damage through heating and is delivered in a point-by-point fashion. Thus, it is not surprising that the two energy modalities might share similar mechanisms of tissue injury, including acute wall thickness increase from oedema. In the study by Mangrum et al, a significantly more pronounced wall thickening was observed after RF ablation than after laser ablation, however a lower RF power was used.

In another IVUS study, tissue oedema was reported in 90% of the PVs after cryoablation (and similar number of freezes per vein). Of note, in this study dissection-like changes were also observed, together with oedema, in most of the PVs, while in our study dissection-like changes were observed only in one vein after cryoballoon ablation and, interestingly, this occurred in the context of acute wall tissue thickening. It could be hypothesized that in these veins the oedema was due to the mechanical injury associated with dissection, rather than being a direct consequence of cryoenergy delivery. In the sequential process of tissue injury produced by cryoenergy, tissue oedema is thought to occur only at a late stage, once the tissue has thawed, following freezing, and has become hyperaemic, and to gradually progress over subsequent hours. Concordantly, early PV imaging in our study showed no acute wall thickening suggestive of development of oedema.
The different morphological changes produced by the different ablation energies could suggest different mechanisms of lesion failure. Recent data suggest that the adjustment of the ablation settings based on baseline LA wall thickness can improve the procedure outcome and reduce the risk of collateral injury. A further adjustment based on the acute wall thickening produced by energy delivery for ablation could also be beneficial when using RF or laser energy and could potentially highlight gaps between lesions.

Apart from acute wall thickening, we observed a reduction of the thickness of the PV muscular sleeve after PVI catheter ablation. Myocardial sleeves are known to extend from the left atrium into the PVs walls and to be a source of focal activity triggering AF. The thickness reduction after ablation could indicate damage, translating to elimination of the PV potentials and acute electrical isolation of the vein and may also explain why it is often impossible to get local capture during pacing to demonstrate exit-block. Whether durable PV isolation correlates with a certain degree of wall thickness reduction or complete disappearance of the muscular sleeve after catheter ablation is unclear. We did not observe a correlation between degree of wall thickness increase or muscular sleeve thickness reduction after the first catheter ablation procedure and evidence of PV reconnection at the second catheter ablation procedure, however only a small number of PVs were checked with a second ablation procedure in our study.

**Limitations**

There are some limitations in our work that must be acknowledged. First, results need to be interpreted as hypothesis-generating and in light of the small and heterogeneous sample size, due to the use of different imaging modalities and different ablation modalities.

No direct comparisons between ICE and IVUS were made by imaging the same PVs with both modalities. No other imaging modality or histopathology were available as reference for the PVs measurements obtained with ICE and IVUS to ascertain which of the two imaging modalities gave more accurate measurements. However, this did not preclude confirming the feasibility of both ICE and IVUS for LA wall imaging, since comparable wall thickness measurements were obtained and similar acute changes in wall thickness were detected with both imaging modalities.

Pullback was manual rather than automatic. This precluded the precise comparison of distal cross-sections before and after ablation.

Imaging during energy delivery was not attempted as the same trans-septal access was used for either ablation catheter or imaging catheter. However, simultaneous imaging might not have been possible due to spatial interference, especially when using cryo or laser balloon catheters, and/or due to artifacts created by irrigation of the RF catheter.

**Conclusions**

In our pilot study, ICE performed better than IVUS with regards to imaging quality and inter-observer reproducibility of measurements obtained. Acute wall thickening was observed at the PV ostia after RF
and laser energy delivery and not after cryoenergy delivery. Larger studies are needed to confirm these preliminary findings.

**Abbreviations**

AF = atrial fibrillation; ICE = Intracardiac echocardiography; IQR = Interquartile range; IVUS = Intravascular ultrasound; LA = Left atrial; PV = Pulmonary vein; PVI = Pulmonary vein isolation; PVs = Pulmonary veins; RF = Radiofrequency; SD = Standard deviation; WTI = Wall thickness index; WTI% = Wall thickness index percentage.
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Tables

Table 1. Imaging quality: comparison between ICE and IVUS.

| Image Quality, number of PV runs (%) | ICE          | IVUS         |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Good                                 | 24 (86%)     | 0 (0%)       |
| Satisfactory                         | 4 (14%)      | 13 (65%)     |
| Sub-optimal                          | 0 (0%)       | 4 (20%)      |
| Poor                                 | 0 (0%)       | 3 (15%)      |
| Total                                | 28           | 20           |

Table 2. Comparison between corresponding PV measurements obtained with ICE and IVUS.
|                                | ICE imaging       | IVUS imaging      | p         |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| **PRE-ABLATION**               |                   |                   |           |
| Mean lumen diameter (mm), mean ± SD | 18.57 ± 3.77     | 10.96 ± 2.24     | < 0.0001  |
| Maximum lumen diameter (mm), mean ± SD | 22.23 ± 4.85     | 12.2 ± 2.76      | < 0.0001  |
| Minimum lumen diameter (mm), mean ± SD | 15.69 ± 3.58     | 9.89 ± 2.09      | < 0.0001  |
| Lumen sphericity index, mean ± SD | 0.72 ± 0.14       | 0.82 ± 0.11      | 0.012     |
| Lumen area (mm²), mean ± SD    | 281.46 ± 115.06   | 98.05 ± 36.74    | < 0.0001  |
| Mean vessel diameter (mm), mean ± SD | 21.21 ± 3.72     | 12.58 ± 2.5      | < 0.0001  |
| Maximum vessel diameter (mm), mean ± SD | 24.57 ± 4.78     | 13.61 ± 2.90     | < 0.0001  |
| Minimum vessel diameter (mm), mean ± SD | 18.36 ± 3.50     | 11.69 ± 2.42     | < 0.0001  |
| Vessel sphericity index, mean ± SD | 0.76 ± 0.13       | 0.87 ± 0.09      | 0.005     |
| Vessel area (mm²), mean ± SD   | 363.84 ± 130.33   | 129.02 ± 47.34   | < 0.0001  |
| Muscular sleeve thickness (mm), median (IQR) | 0.55 (0.34-0.70) | 0.35 (0.11-0.60) | 0.12      |
| Mean wall thickness (mm), median (IQR) | 1.18 (0.95-1.52) | 0.78 (0.67-0.84) | < 0.0001  |
| Maximum wall thickness (mm), median (IQR) | 2.02 (1.62-3.03) | 1.36 (1.09-1.52) | < 0.0001  |
| Minimum wall thickness (mm), median (IQR) | 0.41 (0.25-0.63) | 0.26 (0.22-0.32) | 0.04      |
| WTI (mm²), median (IQR)        | 73.39 (55.79-109.5) | 29.67 (24.4-33.9) | < 0.0001  |
| WTI% (%), median (IQR)         | 22.18 (16.4-29.1) | 23.15 (20.8-27.8) | 0.51      |
| **POST-ABLATION**              |                   |                   |           |
| Mean lumen diameter (mm), mean ± SD | 18.3 ± 3.97      | 10.93 ± 2.16     | < 0.0001  |
| Maximum lumen diameter (mm), mean ± SD | 22.45 ± 4.82     | 12.29 ± 2.41     | < 0.0001  |
| Minimum lumen diameter (mm), mean ± SD | 14.75 ± 4.27     | 9.85 ± 2.21      | < 0.0001  |
| SD | Lumen sphericity index, mean ± SD | 0.66 ± 0.13 | 0.81 ± 0.12 | 0.004 |
|    | Lumen area (mm²), mean ± SD      | 275.48 ± 118.24 | 97.36 ± 34.64 | < 0.0001 |
|    | Mean vessel diameter (mm), mean ± SD | 21.54 ± 3.94 | 12.95 ± 2.39 | < 0.0001 |
|    | Maximum vessel diameter (mm), mean ± SD | 25.18 ± 5.1 | 14.06 ± 2.72 | < 0.0001 |
|    | Minimum vessel diameter (mm), mean ± SD | 18.36 ± 3.53 | 11.99 ± 2.37 | < 0.0001 |
|    | Vessel sphericity index, mean ± SD | 0.74 ± 0.13 | 0.86 ± 0.1 | 0.003 |
|    | Vessel area (mm²), mean ± SD      | 374.56 ± 139.38 | 136.00 ± 46.33 | < 0.0001 |
|    | Muscular sleeve thickness (mm), median (IQR) | 0.37 (0.33-0.51) | 0.22 (0.01-0.46) | 0.39 |
|    | Mean wall thickness (mm), median (IQR) | 1.52 (1.20-1.90) | 0.93 (0.76-1.11) | < 0.0001 |
|    | Maximum wall thickness (mm), median (IQR) | 2.52 (1.99-3.64) | 1.58 (1.23-2.0) | < 0.0001 |
|    | Minimum wall thickness (mm), median (IQR) | 0.51 (0.29-0.80) | 0.40 (0.28-0.56) | 0.276 |
|    | WTI (mm²), median (IQR)           | 94.38 (71.0-132.6) | 39.12 (25.9-44.8) | < 0.0001 |
|    | WTI% (%), median (IQR)            | 27.01 (20.6-34.4) | 27.09 (22.8-32.3) | 0.85 |

**Legend:** IQR= interquartile range; SD= standard deviation; WTI= wall thickness index; WTI% = wall thickness index %.

**Table 3.** Comparison between pre and post ablation PV measurements in the ICE- and in the IVUS-imaged PVs.
|                                   | ICE imaged PVs                  | IVUS imaged PVs                  | p     | Pre-ablation | Post-ablation | p     |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------|---------------|-------|
| Mean lumen diameter (mm),         | 18.57 ± 3.77                    | 18.3 ± 3.97                      | 0.557 | 10.96 ± 2.24 | 10.93 ± 2.16 | 0.893 |
| mean ± SD                         |                                 |                                  |       |              |               |       |
| Lumen area (mm²),                 | 281.46 ± 115.06                 | 275.48 ± 118.24                 | 0.673 | 98.05 ± 36.74| 97.36 ± 34.64| 0.834 |
| mean ± SD                         |                                 |                                  |       |              |               |       |
| Mean vessel diameter (mm),        | 21.21 ± 3.72                    | 21.54 ± 3.94                    | 0.461 | 12.58 ± 2.5  | 12.95 ± 2.39 | 0.106 |
| mean ± SD                         |                                 |                                  |       |              |               |       |
| Vessel area (mm²),                | 363.84 ± 130.33                 | 374.56 ± 139.38                 | 0.523 | 129.02 ± 47.34| 136.00 ± 46.33| 0.126 |
| mean ± SD                         |                                 |                                  |       |              |               |       |
| Muscular sleeve thickness (mm),   | 0.55 (0.34-0.70)                | 0.37 (0.33-0.51)                | 0.001 | 0.35 (0.11-0.60)| 0.22 (0.01-0.46)|       |
| median (IQR)                      |                                 |                                  |       |              |               |       |
| Mean wall thickness (mm),         | 1.19 (0.95-1.52)                | 1.52 (1.21-1.9)                 | 0.008 | 0.78 (0.67-0.84)| 0.93 (0.76-1.11)| 0.016 |
| median (IQR)                      |                                 |                                  |       |              |               |       |
| WTI (mm²),                        | 73.39 (55.79-109.5)             | 94.38 (71.0-132.6)              | 0.006 | 29.67 (24.4-33.9)| 39.12 (25.9-44.8)| 0.006 |
| median (IQR)                      |                                 |                                  |       |              |               |       |
| WTI% (%)                          | 22.18 (16.4-29.1)               | 27.01 (20.6-34.4)               | 0.012 | 23.15 (20.8-27.8)| 27.09 (22.8-32.3)| 0.0025|
| median (IQR)                      |                                 |                                  |       |              |               |       |

**Legend:** IQR= interquartile range; SD= standard deviation; WTI= wall thickness index; WTI%= wall thickness index %.

**Table 5. Acute changes produced by catheter ablation: comparison among different PVI ablation energies.**
|                              | RF (18 PVs)                  | Cryo (15 PVs)                | Laser (12 PVs)               |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| **Mean application time per vein** | 13.1 ± 2.1 min               | 1.9 ± 0.6 freezes (360 ± 95.5 sec) | 19.5 ± 1.5 min               |
| **WTI% (%), median (IQR)**    |                              |                              |                              |
| **Pre-ablation**             | 21.21 (15.48 - 23.68)        | 25.06 (22.30 - 31.57)        | 25.30 (19.62 - 29.93)        |
| **Post-ablation**            | 25.83 (19.71 - 31.39)        | 24.33 (20.45 – 32.02)        | 31.97 (26.92 – 36.98)        |
| **p**                        | 0.003                        | 0.69                         | 0.003                        |
| **Muscular sleeve thickness (mm), median (IQR)** |                              |                              |                              |
| **Pre-ablation**             | 0.36 (0.20-0.64)             | 0.35 (0.01-0.56)             | 0.65 (0.45-1.32)             |
| **Post-ablation**            | 0.24 (0.02-0.44)             | 0.25 (0.0-0.52)              | 0.39 (0.0-0.55)              |
| **p**                        | 0.037                        | 0.005                        | 0.008                        |

**Legend:** IQR = interquartile range; RF = radiofrequency energy; WTI% = Wall Thickness Index %.

**Figures**
Figure 1

Analyses conducted on PV ostia frames: wall structures identified and measurements calculated (in italic).

**Lumen**: endothelial border. It corresponds to the leading edge of the inner echogenic layer (1).

- *Lumen diameter (mean, maximum, minimum)*
- *Lumen area*
- *Lumen sphericity index = \( \frac{\text{Minimum lumen diameter}}{\text{Maximum lumen diameter}} \)*

**Vessel**: external elastic lamina. It corresponds to the leading edge of the middle hypoechoogenic layer (2).

- *Vessel diameter (mean, maximum, minimum)*
- *Vessel area*
- *Vessel sphericity index = \( \frac{\text{Minimum vessel diameter}}{\text{Max vessel diameter}} \)*

**3 = Adventitia**. Outer echodense layer, corresponding to fibro-fatty adventitial tissue.

**2 = Muscular sleeve**. Middle hypoechoic layer corresponding to sleeves of LA myocardium surrounding the external aspect of the media.

- *Muscular sleeve thickness*

**1 = Wall thickness**. Inner echogenic layer representing endothelium and media.

- *Wall thickness (mean, max, min)*
- *Wall thickness index (mm2) = Vessel area – Lumen area*
- *Wall thickness % index = \( \frac{(Vessel \text{ area} - \text{Lumen area})}{Vessel \text{ area}} \times 100 \)*
Figure 2

Grading of ICE/IVUS imaging quality, based on sharpness of the vessel contour in the 4 image quadrants. From left to right: examples of good quality, satisfactory quality and sub-optimal quality PV cross-sections.
Figure 3

Wall thickness index % (WTI%) before and after ablation: comparison among different ablation energies for Pulmonary Vein Isolation (PVI).

Figure 4
ICE image of ostium of same right inferior pulmonary vein, before (left panel) and after cryoablation (right panel). The white arrow indicates acute tissue thickening suggestive of oedema, while the white asterisk indicates a dissection flap.

**Supplementary Files**

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- Supplementarysection.docx