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Abstract

The present investigation entitled “Studies on the changes in physico-chemical properties during storage and economics of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*) Ready-To-Serve (RTS) per bottle” was carried out at Faculty of Agricultural Science, Madhav University, Pindwara, Rajasthan, India and the Post-Harvest laboratory, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agriculture University, Junagadh (Gujarat) during the year 2018-19. The Main objectives of the present investigation date palm RTS to evaluate the sensory parameters and their stability at room temperature, storage and the economics of various treatments for date palm RTS beverages was also worked out. Among the treatments two pulp concentration 20% & 25% pulp and 3 levels of TSS i.e. (16%, 17% and 18% of RTS) were used for preparation of the RTS. The % TSS values recorded for date palm RTS increases with the increase in sugar content and storage period the highest value of % TSS was registered with treatment 6th (25% pulp + 18% TSS + 0.3% acidity of RTS). The higher value of % acidity was recorded with the treatment 6th (25% pulp + 18% TSS of RTS) (0.91%) at final stage of observation. At initial stage the maximum (393.91) TSS/Acid ratio was recorded with the (60) TSS/Acid ratio was recorded with the treatment 3rd and 6th (20% pulp + 18% TSS of RTS and 25% pulp + 18% TSS of RTS respectively). The value of pH at initial stage was recorded minimum in the treatment 2nd (6.82) and the maximum value was recorded in treatment 5th (6.84). Economics of the treatment was calculated the minimum cost i.e. Rupees 24.7 per bottle was recorded with the treatment 1st. Whereas, the maximum cost was recorded with the treatment 6th.
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1. Introduction

1.1 Date palm and date fruit

Date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*) is an important fruit which belongs to Areccaceae (Palme) family. It is monocotyledonous plant, dioecious in nature and acquires more than 30 ft height. Date is originated from Iraq. Date fruit can be preserved, stored, and carried easily over long distances, especially in dry and arid climates. It is a particularly important product in arid and semi-arid regions of the world.

1.2 Date Palm area and production in India

Date production is a world agricultural industry producing about 5.4 million metric tonnes of fruit. The cultivation of date palm is wide spread in the Arabic countries, Africa and Israel. In India, Date is grown commercially in Gujarat Kutch district costal belt from Anjar to Mandavi and Ganganagar, Bikaner, Jodhpur, Jaisalmer districts of Rajasthan.

1.3 Nutritional value of dates

Carbohydrates are the major chemical constituents of dates, including mainly reducing sugars such as glucose and fructose, and also non-reducing sugars such as sucrose, and small amounts of polysaccharides such as cellulose and starch. Date Palm Fruit Contains Water 5 to 20 (%), Suger 44 to 88 (%), Protein 1 to 7(%), Fat 0.1 to 0.5(%), Pectin 1 to 4(%), crude fiber 3 to 18(%) and Polyphenol 3 (%).
1.4 Date fruit development stages
There are five stages of development: Hebabouk (Loss of unfertilized carpels), kirmi (Hard, mature and green fruit), doka (khalal) (Hard, yellow/pink/red, TSS 30-45%, moisture 50-65%), rutab (Softening starts, moisture 30-45%, weight loss) and, pind (Full ripe).

1.5 Date fruit value added products
Many products of Dates including RTS (ready to serve), chuharrah, chutney, date syrup, jam, alcohol, animal feed, date powder, different types of bread, marmalade, sweet candy, chocolate, date paste, and others, can be obtained from different processing products. However in recent time table dates demand is decreasing and usage of processed product of dates has also increased mostly in urban area. To utilize the fresh fruit is required.

2. Materials and Methods
The Experiment was carried out in Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agricultural Science, Madhav Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat. Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agricultural Science, Madhav Agricultural Collage of Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat.

3. Experimental Details
Processed product: Ready To Serve (RTS) of date palm.

Table 1: Effect of storage period and blend ratio on the pH of date palm RTS

| Treatments Pulp (%) + Acidity (TSS of RTS (%)) | 0 days | 15 days | 30 days | 45 days | 60 days | 75 days | 90 days | MEAN of Treatments |
|----------------------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| T1 (20+ 0.3+16)                              | 6.83   | 6.75    | 5.55    | 5.00    | 4.68    | 4.52    | 4.52    | 5.40              |
| T2 (20+0.3+17)                               | 6.82   | 6.76    | 6.54    | 5.30    | 4.59    | 4.56    | 4.56    | 5.59              |
| T3 (20+0.3+18)                               | 6.83   | 6.76    | 6.53    | 5.25    | 4.80    | 4.74    | 4.74    | 5.66              |
| T4 (25+0.3+16)                               | 6.83   | 6.77    | 6.54    | 5.30    | 5.0     | 4.75    | 4.75    | 5.70              |
| T5 (25+0.3+17)                               | 6.84   | 6.26    | 5.53    | 5.21    | 5.0     | 4.74    | 4.74    | 5.47              |
| T6 (25+0.3+18)                               | 6.73   | 6.77    | 6.54    | 5.23    | 4.85    | 4.66    | 4.66    | 5.63              |
| MEAN                                         | 6.81   | 6.67    | 6.20    | 5.21    | 4.82    | 4.66    | 4.66    | 5.63              |
| S. Em ±                                      | 1.65   | 1.61    | 1.51    | 1.26    | 1.17    | 1.13    | 1.13    | 1.13              |
| CD                                          | 2.21   | 2.15    | 2.02    | 1.68    | 1.56    | 1.51    | 1.51    | 1.51              |

4.2 Effect of storage period and blend ratio on the Acidity of date palm RTS
The acidity of the fruits or processed product is very important as regard the quality is concerned. Because the TSS, acid blend in fruits is the major factor responsible for the taste. The data recorded on per cent acidity of date palm RTS as affected by the treatments were recorded from 0 to 90 days of storage at 15 days interval as presented in Table 2.

The data given in Table 2 show that the per cent acidity of date palm RTS increased continuously and significant difference in all the treatment with the progress in storage period up to 90 days. At 0 days of storage the maximum per cent acidity in date palm RTS was recorded 0.3 per cent of RTS. However, similar trend in increase of acidity content was recorded in all the storage period at 15 days interval up to 90 days i.e. termination of the experimentation.

Table 2: Effect of storage period and blend ratio on the Acidity of date palm RTS

| Treatments Pulp (%) + Acidity (TSS of RTS (%)) | 0 days | 15 days | 30 days | 45 days | 60 days | 75 days | 90 days | Mean of Treatments |
|----------------------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| T1 (20+0.3+16)                               | 0.3    | 0.40    | 0.50    | 0.51    | 0.51    | 0.64    | 0.74    | 0.44              |
| T2 (20+0.3+17)                               | 0.3    | 0.50    | 0.60    | 0.60    | 0.64    | 0.76    | 0.84    | 0.52              |
| T3 (20+0.3+18)                               | 0.3    | 0.45    | 0.5     | 0.44    | 0.75    | 0.89    | 0.90    | 0.60              |
| T4 (25+0.3+16)                               | 0.3    | 0.48    | 0.5     | 0.51    | 0.70    | 0.76    | 0.89    | 0.59              |
| T5 (25+0.3+17)                               | 0.3    | 0.35    | 0.5     | 0.58    | 0.67    | 0.76    | 0.80    | 0.63              |
| T6 (25+0.3+18)                               | 0.3    | 0.42    | 0.56    | 0.64    | 0.70    | 0.89    | 0.91    | 0.63              |
| MEAN                                         | 0.3    | 0.43    | 0.52    | 0.54    | 0.66    | 0.78    | 0.84    | 0.63              |
| S. Em ±                                      | 0.07   | 0.10    | 0.12    | 0.13    | 0.16    | 0.19    | 0.20    |                  |
| CD                                          | 0.09   | 0.13    | 0.16    | 0.17    | 0.21    | 0.25    | 0.26    |                  |
4.3 Effect of storage period and blend ratio on the TSS of date palm RTS

The data on Total Soluble Solids content of date palm RTS as influenced by various treatments at different stages of storage period at 15 days interval are presented in Table 3. A perusal of the data given in Table 3 revealed that an appreciable increase in total soluble solids per cent was observed with the increase in storage period up to 90 days of storage. This change in total soluble solids content was observed in almost all the treatments.

| Treatments Pulp (%) + Acidity (%) + TSS of RTS (%) | 0 days | 15 days | 30 days | 45 days | 60 days | 75 days | 90 days | Mean of Treatments |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| T1 (20+ 0.3+ 16)                                  | 16     | 16.30   | 16.45   | 17.3    | 18.5    | 18.5    | 18.5    | 17.36             |
| T2 (20+ 0.3 +17)                                  | 17     | 17.8    | 17.9    | 18.2    | 18.45   | 18.53   | 18.7    | 18.08             |
| T3 (20+ 0.3 +18)                                  | 18     | 18.5    | 18.6    | 19.2    | 19.2    | 19.3    | 19.3    | 18.87             |
| T4 (25+ 0.3+ 16)                                  | 16     | 17.2    | 17.2    | 17.5    | 17.6    | 17.6    | 17.6    | 17.25             |
| T5 (25+ 0.3+17)                                   | 17     | 17.62   | 17.85   | 17.9    | 18.22   | 18.4    | 18.5    | 17.92             |
| T6 (25+ 0.3+18)                                   | 18     | 19.4    | 19.4    | 19.7    | 20.7    | 20.15   | 19.7    | 19.47             |
| MEAN                                            | 17     | 17.82   | 17.9    | 18.46   | 18.48   | 18.63   | 18.82   |                   |
| S.Em ±                                          | 4.14   | 4.33    | 4.36    | 4.49    | 4.52    | 4.53    | 4.58    |                   |
| CD                                              | 5.54   | 5.80    | 5.84    | 6.01    | 6.05    | 6.06    | 6.13    |                   |

4.4 Effect of storage period and blend ratio on the TSS/acid of date palm RTS

The blend of sugars with acid evaluates the taste of any preserved fruit product. Under this study also the observations were recorded on the effect of storage period and blend ratio on the TSS/acid ratio of RTS and presented in Table 4.

Data pertaining to TSS/acid ratio as influenced by various treatments clearly showed that with the advancement of storage period, TSS/acid ratio decreased. Higher TSS/acid ratio was noticed with the treatment 1st i.e. 20 per cent pulp + 16 per cent TSS of RTS after 90 days of storage. Whereas, at 0 days the value was (53.3) with this recipe. However, reduction in TSS/acid ratio was observed continuously in decreasing trend at every stage of observation at 15 days interval during storage.

| Treatments Pulp (%) + Acidity (%) + TSS of RTS (%) | 0 days | 15 days | 30 days | 45 days | 60 days | 75 days | 90 days | Mean of Treatments |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| T1 (20+ 0.3+ 16)                                  | 53.3   | 40.75   | 32.9    | 36.6    | 35      | 28.12   | 25      | 35.95             |
| T2 (20+ 0.3 +17)                                  | 56.6   | 35.6    | 29.83   | 30.3    | 28.82   | 24.38   | 22.26   | 32.54             |
| T3 (20+ 0.3 +18)                                  | 60     | 41.11   | 37.2    | 43.63   | 25.6    | 21.68   | 21.44   | 35.80             |
| T4 (25+ 0.3+ 16)                                  | 53.3   | 35.83   | 34.4    | 34.31   | 25      | 23.15   | 20      | 32.28             |
| T5 (25+ 0.3+17)                                   | 56.6   | 50.34   | 35.7    | 30.86   | 27.19   | 24.21   | 23.12   | 35.43             |
| T6 (25+ 0.3+18)                                   | 60     | 46.19   | 34.64   | 30.78   | 28.14   | 22.47   | 22.38   | 34.94             |
| MEAN                                            | 56.6   | 41.63   | 34.11   | 34.41   | 28.29   | 24      | 22.36   |                   |
| S.Em ±                                          | 13.79  | 10.21   | 8.31    | 8.45    | 6.59    | 5.86    | 5.45    |                   |
| CD                                              | 18.47  | 13.67   | 11.13   | 11.31   | 8.82    | 7.84    | 7.30    |                   |

4.5 Economics of the treatments

The economics of various treatments was worked out as higher money values and lesser cost of production or desirable traits for getting higher net returns. The data presenting to economics of different treatments influenced by various recipes are presented in Table 5. A perusal of a data presented in Table 5 showed that the various treatments for the preparation of date palm RTS influenced the production cost in both the pulp concentration.

| Treatments Pulp (%) + Acidity (%) + TSS of RTS (%) | Coast of fruit pulp (Rs.) | Coast of Sugar (Rs.) | Processing coast (Rs.) | Total Coast (Rs.) | Assumed Gross return (Rs.) | Net return (Rs.) | B:C Ratio |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| T1 (20+ 0.3+ 16)                                  | 10.4                      | 4.3                 | 10                    | 24.7             | 50                         | 25.3            | 1.02:1    |
| T2 (20+ 0.3 +17)                                  | 10.4                      | 5.1                 | 10                    | 25.5             | 50                         | 24.5            | 0.96:1    |
| T3 (20+ 0.3 +18)                                  | 10.4                      | 5.3                 | 10                    | 25.7             | 50                         | 24.3            | 0.94:1    |
| T4 (25+ 0.3+ 16)                                  | 13                       | 4.3                 | 10                    | 27.3             | 50                         | 22.7            | 0.83:1    |
| T5 (25+ 0.3+17)                                   | 13                       | 5.1                 | 10                    | 28.1             | 50                         | 21.9            | 0.77:1    |
| T6 (25+ 0.3+18)                                   | 13                       | 5.3                 | 10                    | 28.3             | 50                         | 21.7            | 0.76:1    |
4.6 pH

The pH value of a product plays an important role in the preservation of pulp. Lowering of pH value is the result of increased acidity. The low pH inhibits the activity of microorganism specially the Bacteria. The data presented in Table revealed that an overall pH value during the study period less was than 7.0 i.e., acidic. However, the pH value recorded at initial period of storage (0 days) was higher in all the recipes and the maximum (6.84) was recorded with RTS of 5th treatment (25 per cent pulp + 17 per cent TSS + 0.3 per cent acidity of the RTS). It also revealed that pH value increased with the increase in ratio of pulp and also with the higher concentration of sugar. Moreover, the pH value also reduced as the storage period increased in all the recipes. It was higher with 4.74 treatment 4th (25 per cent pulp + 16 per cent TSS + 0.3 per cent acidity of the RTS) and lower with 4.52 treatment 1st (20 per cent pulp + 16 per cent TSS + 0.3 per cent acidity of the RTS). These results supported by the results obtained by Kalra and Revanthi (1983) [1] reported that slightly decreased in pH during 60 days storage of guava pulp. Sethi and Jindal (1997) [3] reported that the acidity increased with corresponding decreases in pH. This might be due to the formation of organic acid by ascobic acid degradation. Shriwastava (1998) [4] noticed that the pH decreased with increase storage period.

4.7 Acidity

The result recorded for the % acidity value, revealed that the order of % acidity increased in accordance with the increasing storage period gradually up to 90 days of storage. Similar trend was observed with the increasing pulp with the RTS. Higher, values were recorded for % acidity with 25% pulp content as compared to 20% pulp content RTS. The quantity of sugar added to RTS also affected the % acidity and the highest (% acidity) (0.91) was recorded with the treatment 6th (25 per cent + 18 per cent TSS + 0.3 per cent acidity of the RTS). The increase in acidity in RTS during 90 days of storage might be due to formation of organic acid by ascobic acid degradation as well as progressive decrease in pectin content. Lowest value was observed 0.74% with treatment 1st (20 percent pulp + 16 per cent TSS + 0.3 per cent acidity of the RTS). With bael-guava blends beverage. These findings are in conformation with the findings of Choudhary, et al., (2006) [8] who observe that there was gradual increase in acidity value with an increase in the storage period in guava RTS. Mishra et al., (2013) [13] indicated that there was an increases in acidity per cent with the increase storage period in bael candy. Similar results were reported by Punam, et al., (2009) [11] who observe that the increase acidity per cent with increase storage period in the bael-mango blended RTS.

4.8 TSS (%) 

The result obtained from the present investigation revealed that the higher concentration of date palm pulp increased the TSS per cent of date palm RTS and this effect was observed up to 90 days of storage. Similar, effect of sugar contents with various treatment (1 to 6) with RTS also persisted for 90 days of storage. However, the increasing trend in TSS content was recorded in all the recipes up to 90 days of storage. The value of TSS was higher 20 with treatment 6th (25 percent pulp + 18 per cent TSS + 0.3 per cent acidity of the RTS). The lowest value was recorded with treatment 1st (20 per cent pulp + 16 per cent TSS + 0.3 per cent acidity of the RTS). It clearly indicates that lower the sugar and pulp content lower is the TSS and higher the sugar and pulp content higher is the TSS. This also indicates that the TSS value increases with increase in storage period. Similar results were reported by Baramanray, et al., (1995) [2] and Deka et al., (2005) [6] also reported that the TSS of guava nectar and TSS of mango-pineapple spiced beverages increased during storage period. Similar results were reported by Jakhar & Pathak (2012) [12] in blended RTS of ber and jamun.

4.9 TSS/Acid Ratio

The result presented in Table indicated that TSS/Acid ratio was influenced by various treatments and it was noticed that reduction in TSS/Acid ratio was continuous at every stage of observation up to 90 days storage and this reduction was highly significant. Further, it was observed that the TSS/Acid ratio was also influence by the various recipes used for the preparation of the RTS. The maximum value (25) was registered with the recipe 1st (20% pulp + 16% TSS of RTS) and it was because of the increasing quantity of sugar in all the treatments. This might be due to increased quantity of sugar which is directly correlated with the TSS/Acid ratio of date palm.

RTS as influenced by quantity of sugar added. These findings are in conformation as reported by Singh, et al., (2005) [7] who reported that there was decrease in quality character of mango + bael beverage with the advance storage period but it remained above the acceptable rating even after 6 months of storage. Similar findings have been reported by other workers Pandey (2004) [5] and Sharma, et al., (2008) [9].

4.10 Economics

The data presented in Table regarding economics of various treatments influenced by blend ratio indicate that the gross return was common for the product i.e. date palm RTS beverages and assumed value of Rupees 50 per bottle was estimated with all the treatments. However, clear difference in production cost was recorded with the different treatments and this difference was due to the quantity of pulp and sugar added with different recipes. The maximum production cost i.e. Rupees 28.3 was recorded with the treatment 6th whereas, the minimum cost was observed with treatment 1st. As regard the B:C ratio is concerned the maximum B:C ratio was recorded with the treatment 1st and the minimum B:C ratio (0.76:1) was observed with the treatment 6th. Similarly, Baghel (2008) [10] also reported good B:C ratio for mixed fruit jelly.

5. Summary and Conclusion

The pH value for date palm RTS was also influenced by the various treatment, pulp and TSS content. However, the value of pH at initial stage was the minimum in the treatment 2nd (6.82) and was maximum in treatment 5th (6.84). It was significantly decreased with the increasing storage period.

The higher value of acidity (%) was recorded with the treatment 6th (25% pulp + 18% TSS of RTS) (0.91%) at final stage of observation. The acidity of RTS increased in all the treatments and it was increased with the increase in storage period. TSS also affected the % acidity and it was noted higher with the increase in TSS.

The % TSS values for date palm RTS increased with the increase in storage period the highest value of % TSS was recorded with treatment 6th (25% pulp + 18% TSS + 0.3% acidity of RTS) followed by treatment 3rd (20% pulp + 18% TSS + 0.3% of RTS) indicating that the TSS value also affect by pulp ratio.
The TSS/Acid ratio of mango RTS was also influenced by various recipes. It was decreased with the increasing storage period. At initial stage the maximum (60) TSS/Acid ratio was recorded with the treatment 3rd and 6th (20% pulp + 18% TSS of RTS and 25% pulp + 18% TSS of RTS respectively). Whereas, minimum (53.30) TSS/Acid ratio was recorded with the treatment 1st and 4th (20% pulp + 16% TSS of RTS and 25% pulp + 16% TSS of RTS respectively). The RTS prepared with treatment 3rd (20% pulp + 18% TSS of RTS) recorded higher values of TSS/Acid ratio at each stage of observation during storage period up to 45 days. After 45 days TSS/Acid ratio recorded higher for treatment 1st (20% pulp + 16% TSS).

The economics of the treatments was calculated for 200 ml of RTS (per bottle). The minimum cost i.e. Rupees 24.7 per bottle was recorded with the treatment 1st, whereas, the maximum cost was recorded with the treatment 6th i.e. Rupees 28.3 per bottle. The cost difference was due to the cost of different fruits pulp ratio and the quantity of sugar added. The maximum (1.02:1) B:C ratio was observed in the treatment 1st whereas, the minimum (0.76:1) B:C ratio was recorded with the treatment 6th. However, the B:C ratio of all the treatment indicates that the product is marketable which confirms the commercial utility of the treatment.

On the basis of present investigation it is concluded that the quality and the overall acceptability was better with 20% pulp + 16% TSS + 0.3% acidity of RTS with the cultivar Barhi. It is also concluded from the studies that the date palm RTS prepared from this low cost technology can be stored for longer period with better acceptability, good quality, and marketability without any microbial growth at room temperature.
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