Expression and Function Analysis of Mitotic Checkpoint Genes Identifies TTK as a Potential Therapeutic Target for Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Abstract

The mitotic spindle checkpoint (SAC) genes have been considered targets of anticancer therapies. Here, we sought to identify the attractive mitotic spindle checkpoint genes appropriate for human hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) therapies. Through expression profile analysis of 137 selected mitotic spindle checkpoint genes in the publicly available microarray datasets, we showed that 13 genes were dramatically up-regulated in HCC tissues compared to normal livers and adjacent non-tumor tissues. A role of the 13 genes in proliferation was evaluated by knocking them down via small interfering RNA (siRNA) in HCC cells. As a result, several mitotic spindle checkpoint genes were required for maintaining the proliferation of HCC cells, demonstrated by cell viability assay and soft agar colony formation assay. Then we established sorafenib-resistant sublines of HCC cell lines Huh7 and HepG2. Intriguingly, increased TTK expression was significantly associated with acquired sorafenib-resistance in Huh7, HepG2 cells. More importantly, TTK was observably up-regulated in 46 (86.8%) of 53 HCC specimens. A series of in vitro and in vivo functional experiment assays showed that TTK overexpression promoted cell proliferation, anchor-dependent colony formation and resistance to sorafenib of HCC cells; TTK knockdown restrained cell growth, soft agar colony formation and resistance to sorafenib of HCC cells. Collectively, TTK plays an important role in proliferation and sorafenib resistance and could act as a potential therapeutic target for human hepatocellular carcinoma.

Introduction

Human hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) has been considered a tumor highly insensitive to conventional chemotherapy [1]. In the past, there was no well-established effective adjuvant therapy but surgical or topical therapy [2]. However, targeted molecular therapies provide significant benefits in patients with HCC. Sorafenib ( Nexavar), an oral multikinase inhibitor with activity against Raf-1, B-Raf, VEGFR2, PDGFR and c-Kit receptors, has shown anti-tumor effects on HCC patients [3–5]. And sorafenib is the only clinically approved drug and considered the standard HCC treatment [6,7]. However, many patients may develop acquired resistance to sorafenib, so its clinical benefits remain modest. Therefore, it is urgent to identify therapeutic biomarkers to improve the treatment response in HCC.

The spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), also referred to as the mitotic checkpoint or M-phase checkpoint, controls cell cycle progression and is normally responsible for correct alignment of all chromosomes and proper attachment to the mitotic spindle [8,9]. Recently, more and more genes which play a role in spindle assembly checkpoint have been identified through a variety of experiment and computed approaches. These spindle assembly checkpoint genes were shown to be associated with chromosomal instability (CIN) and aneuploidy, the common abnormalities in human cancers. More importantly, altered expression or mutations of mitotic checkpoint genes have been detected in some cancers. For example, the expression of MAD2 gene decreases in breast carcinoma [10] and mutant alleles of BUB1 gene mutation occurs in colorectal carcinoma [11]. In addition, inhibition of the mitotic checkpoint is lethal to human cancer cells, and has therapeutic potential in cancer treatment [12,13].

The impairment of spindle assembly checkpoint frequently occurred in HCC with CIN [14]. However, recent researches on the whole genomes or exomes sequencing of HCC specimens show that somatic mutations in mitotic checkpoint genes were infrequent in hepatocellular carcinoma [15,16]. In this study, we supposed that mitotic spindle checkpoint genes are largely altered at the transcriptional level in human hepatocellular carcinoma. We comprehensively examined the expression profile of 137 selected genes known to be involved in various molecular mechanisms associated with mitotic spindle checkpoint, by means of large-scale analysis of gene expression from public HCC datasets, we showed that 13 genes were dramatically up-regulated in HCC tissues compared to normal livers and adjacent non-tumor tissues. A role of the 13 genes in proliferation was evaluated by knocking them down via small interfering RNA (siRNA) in HCC cells. As a result, several mitotic spindle checkpoint genes were required for maintaining the proliferation of HCC cells, demonstrated by cell viability assay and soft agar colony formation assay. Then we established sorafenib-resistant sublines of HCC cell lines Huh7 and HepG2. Intriguingly, increased TTK expression was significantly associated with acquired sorafenib-resistance in Huh7, HepG2 cells. More importantly, TTK was observably up-regulated in 46 (86.8%) of 53 HCC specimens. A series of in vitro and in vivo functional experiment assays showed that TTK overexpression promoted cell proliferation, anchor-dependent colony formation and resistance to sorafenib of HCC cells; TTK knockdown restrained cell growth, soft agar colony formation and resistance to sorafenib of HCC cells. Collectively, TTK plays an important role in proliferation and sorafenib resistance and could act as a potential therapeutic target for human hepatocellular carcinoma.
microarray datasets. Among 13 marked up-regulated genes in HCC patients, we demonstrated that TTK gene, encoding a dual specificity protein kinase essential for chromosome alignment at the centromere during mitosis and required for centrosome duplication, is a potential therapeutic target for HCC cells resistant to sorafenib.

**Materials and Methods**

**Reagents and Antibodies**

Sorafenib was purchased from Selleck chemicals and 5-Flourouracil (5-Fu), 2,4-dihydroxy-5-fluoropyrimidine was obtained from the Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co (St.Louis, MO, USA). For in vitro experiments, both drugs were dissolved in pure DMSO. Controls were treated with DMSO concentrations of the highest combination groups (maximum 0.3% DMSO). Antibodies for immunoblotting were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA).

**Tissue Specimens and Cell Lines**

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the affiliated Hangzhou Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject prior to the use of their tissue for scientific research. Tumor and non-tumorous liver tissues from surgical specimens were frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after surgical resection and stored in liquid nitrogen until use. Tumor samples were confirmed to be hepatocellular carcinoma. Huh7 [JCRB0403, Japan] and HepG2 (HB-8065, ATCC, VA) cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin in a 5% CO2-humidified chamber at 37°C.

**Establishment of Sorafenib-resistant HCC Cell Sublines**

The sorafenib-resistant Huh7 and HepG2 cell lines were established as previously described [17,18]. Briefly, when Huh7 and HepG2 cells were growing exponentially, they were exposed to sorafenib at escalating concentrations (0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10 and 15 μM). In the presence of different concentrations of sorafenib, the dead cells were washed out with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and the minority of treated cells gradually acquired the sorafenib-resistance. Then the resistant subclones were isolated by limiting dilution. The sorafenib-resistant subclones was established 5 months after the treatment was initiated. These cell sublines (Huh7R and HepG2R) were grown in the medium with 0.1 μM sorafenib for maintenance of the acquired sorafenib-resistant phenotype, and before Huh7R and HepG2R cell sublines were used to experimental test, they were subcultured at least 3 times in a sorafenib-free medium.

**In silico Gene Expression Analysis**

The 137 selected mitotic checkpoint genes were in Table S1, and microarray expression datasets from Gene Expression Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) were listed in Table S2 and analyzed using the Expression Profiler Software (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/expressionsprofiler). The log2-transformed expression values from various datasets were subject to median-normalization when multiple probes were converted to the same Entrez GeneID, signal intensities were averaged to obtain single values for each Entrez GeneID. The significance for microarrays analysis was performed using Student’s t test.

**Plasmids Construction**

The open reading frame (ORF) of TTK (NM_003318) was amplified by high-fidelity PCR from the cDNA pool of HCC patients and inserted into the FLAG-tagged pcDNA3.1 vector. For the construct of RNAi plasmid, a specific oligonucleotide fragment transcribing short hairpin RNA (shRNA) for TTK silence was subchonned into the pSUPER vector with neomycin resistant gene (OligoEngine). The oligonucleotides for TTK silence were synthesized as follows: forward, 5'-GCTTTCTTCGAGAAGAGTTTTTGGAAAAG-3', reverse, 5'-AGCTTTTCGACACCTGAAATAGCTTAT-3'. The pSUPER vector containing irrelevant nucleotide was used as negative control.

**Cell Viability and Cytotoxicity Assay**

HCC cells were seeded in 96-well flat-bottomed plates (4,000 per well) in DMEM with 10% FBS and incubated overnight at 37°C in the culture incubator. On the following day, the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing sorafenib or 5-FU. Treatment with sorafenib was done for 48 h at 1 μM and that with 5-FU was for 48 h at 4 mg/L. Cell viability or cytotoxicity was evaluated using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, add 10 μl of CCK-8 solution to each well, and then incubate for 2 h at 37°C. The cell viability was reflected by the absorbance value at 450 nm filter. All experiments were independently repeated 3 times.

**RNA Interference (RNAi)**

Small interference RNAs (siRNAs) targeting the 13 markedly up-regulated mitotic spindle checkpoint genes were chemically synthesized (GenePharma), and were dissolve overnight to 20 μM solution. 3 different siRNAs for each gene were pooled and these siRNAs were delivered into HCC cells with Lipofectamine (Invitrogen). The targeting sequences of these siRNAs were listed in Table S3.

**Reverse Transcription (RT) and PCR**

Total RNA was extracted by using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Extracted RNA was quantitated by NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Reverse transcription reaction was performed using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega). For the quantitative real-time PCR, the relative mRNA level of TTK was normalized to β-actin in each sample. All reactions were performed in triplicate using Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System and SYBR green dye (TaKaRa). For the semi-quantitative PCR, the amplified products were observed by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel and visualized after staining with ethidium bromide, where β-actin was used as loading control. The primers of TTK were shown in Table S3.

**Western Blot Assay**

Total protein samples were prepared in lysis buffer [25 mmol/L Tris (pH 6.8), 1% SDS, 3 mmol/L EDTA, protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma)] and subjected to gel electrophoresis using 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. The blot is incubated with blocking solution (5% nonfat milk and 0.1% Tween 20 in PBS) for 2 h at room temperature, and then with anti-TTK antibody by 1:100 dilutions at room temperature overnight, and then with secondary antibody for 40 minutes. The immunostaining signal of TTK protein was visualized with Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI-COR).
were counterstained with haematoxylin to show nuclei.

3,3'-antibody (Santa Cruz). The immuno-signals were exhibited by a buffer solution (PBS) and subsequently incubated with Ki-67 were blocked in 20% (v/v) normal horse serum in phosphate retrieval in 0.01 mmol/L sodium citrate buffer for 30 min. Slides in methanol. Tissue sections were subject to heat-induced antigen were blocked in 20% (v/v) normal horse serum in phosphate retrieval in 0.01 mmol/L sodium citrate buffer for 30 min. Slides in methanol. Tissue sections were subject to heat-induced antigen.

Immunohistochemistry Assay

Annexin V/PI apoptosis assay kit was used according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer (BD Biosciences Pharmingen). The HCC cells were harvested and washed twice with cold PBS, then resuspended in binding buffer at a concentration of 1×10⁶ cells/ml. Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated annexin antibody (5 μL) and proidium iodide (PI) solution (5 μL) were added into prepared cell suspension, and the mixtures were incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature in dark place. The cell suspension was analyzed using the flow cytometry.

Tumourigenicity Assay in Nude Mice

2×10⁶ tumor cells were injected subcutaneously into the flanks of 6-week-old male BALB/c nude mice. Animal experiment procedures were approved by the institutional animal ethic committee of Nanjing Medical University. Tumor dimensions were monitored twice a week by means of Vernier calipers and tumor volume was calculated using the formula: tumor volume = π/6 × (major axis)×(minor axis)². The tumor progression kinetics was estimated by tumor size and volume. Sorafenib was prepared fresh daily just before gavage, by dissolving in kinase genes, such as TTK, LIMK2, NEK2, BUB1, and other genes UBD, CENPF, C18orf24, STMN1, KNTC1, ECT2 (Table 1).

Next, the expression patterns of the 13 genes were further analyzed in a large number of HCC patients (184 cases) and their adjacent non-tumor tissues in 4 independent microarray datasets, GSE45114, GSE17856, GSE29721, and GSE22058. As expected, these genes were also significantly up-regulated in HCC patients compared with their adjacent non-tumorous livers (Figure 1C and Figure S1). In addition, we evaluated the somatic mutations of the 13 genes in HCC specimens by COSMIC (Catalogue of Somatic Mutations In Cancer) database. Surprisingly, somatic mutations of the 13 genes infrequently occurred, and only found in 0 to 6 cases in all 312 HCC patients analyzed (Table 1), indicating that they are primarily altered at the transcriptional levels in human HCCs.

Role of the 13 Mitotic Checkpoint Genes in HCC Cells

Targeting mitotic spindle checkpoint genes was considered a promising therapeutic strategy in many human cancers [22,23]. To investigate the role of these up-regulated mitotic checkpoint genes in HCC, we employed the RNA interference (RNAi) technique to evaluate the effect of the 13 genes on HCC cell proliferation. In order to ensure effective gene silence, 3 various siRNA duplexes targeting against each gene were pooled and delivered into HCC cell lines Huh7 and HepG2. We performed a quantitative RT-PCR to assess the RNAi knockdown efficiency mediated by these siRNAs against the 13 genes. The results showed that the mRNA levels of the 13 target genes were efficiently decreased to less than 60% by their relevant siRNAs in Huh7 and HepG2 cells (Figure S2A). Among the 13 marked up-regulated genes, 11 were with obvious inhibitory effects less than 75% cell viability relative to negative control siRNA (si-NC), as shown by cell viability assay in Huh7 and HepG2 (Figure S2B). To further confirm the inhibitory effects of the 11 genes on HCC cell proliferation, we performed soft agar colony formation assay by knocking them down by relevant siRNAs in Huh7 cells. Except for STMN1, KNTC1, these siRNAs against other 9 genes significantly inhibited anchor-independent colony formation of Huh7 cells (Figure S2C). These observations suggested that the 9 overexpressed mitotic checkpoint genes play an important role in HCC cell growth.

Student’s t test. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Expression Profiles of Mitotic Spindle Checkpoint Genes in Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma

First, we selected 137 known mitotic spindle checkpoint genes (Table S1) to examine their expression in 163 human HCC tumors relative to 47 normal livers in 3 expression datasets, GSE45114, GSE1898 and GSE4024. Entrez GeneIDs of the 137 genes were used to extract expression data from these microarray datasets. To determine the overexpressed genes in human HCC, the change fold and overexpression frequency of gene expression were calculated to identify markedly up-regulated genes in HCC tumors, compared with normal livers [21]. We defined the overexpression with no less than 2-fold level relative to normal livers and overexpression with no less than 30% of the patient population as the markedly overexpressed genes in HCCs (Figure 1A and B). As a result, 13 mitotic spindle checkpoint genes were considered as remarkable overexpression in human HCC. The 13 genes include cell cycle genes, such as CDC2, CCNB1, CCNA1, and kinase genes, such as TTK, LIMK2, NEK2, BUB1, and other genes UBD, CENPF, C18orf24, STMN1, KNTC1, ECT2 (Table 1).

Table 1
As known, sorafenib is the only clinically approved drug, but HCC patients always develop acquired resistance to sorafenib. To identify appropriate therapeutic targets for sorafenib-resistant HCC, we established two sorafenib-resistant cell sublines derived from two HCC cell lines Huh7 and HepG2 by long-term exposure to sorafenib at stepwise increasing doses for a long time. As shown by cell viability assay (Figure 2A), Huh7R and HepG2R cells were significantly more viable than their progenitor cells in the presence of sorafenib in a dose-dependent way, indicating that these cells were more resistant to the cytotoxic effect of sorafenib. Subsequently, we analyzed apoptotic cell population using flow cytometry while these HCC cells were treated with 10 μM sorafenib. The sorafenib-resistant sublines Huh7R and HepG2R cells significantly exhibited less apoptotic cell populations than Huh7 and HepG2 cells, demonstrated by flow cytometry analysis (Figure 2B). These results indicated that the Huh7R and HepG2R cells acquired resistance to sorafenib. To investigate the correlation between the 13 overexpressed mitotic checkpoint genes and acquired sorafenib-resistance in HCC, we evaluated their expression changes between progenitors and derivative sorafenib-resistant sublines by quantitative PCR. The analysis of mRNA transcription level showed that the expression of these genes presented diversified patterns, but only TTK expression significantly increased in the both of two sorafenib-resistant sublines relative to their sorafenib-naive cells (Figure 2C and Figure 1. Expression profiles of selected mitotic spindle checkpoint genes in HCC microarray datasets. Heat maps of relative fold change (A) and frequency of overexpression (B) of gene expression (rows) in three HCC microarray datasets (columns). Number of normal liver and HCC tumor samples per data set are shown below. (C) As the heat map shown, 13 mitotic spindle checkpoint genes differentially expressed between HCC and adjacent non-tumorous livers in 4 independent HCC microarray datasets. Missing data are denoted in gray. Transcriptional signal intensity is normalized in these datasets analyzed. The significance of differential expression between HCC and adjacent non-tumorous liver tissue was evaluated using a two-tailed t test, and P values are provided. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097739.g001.
Table 1. The 13 markedly overexpressed mitotic spindle checkpoint genes in HCC samples.

| Gene               | Description                                          | Chromosome Location | Mutated/Total Samples* | Expression as acquired sorafenib-resistance† |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                    |                                                      |                     |                        | Huh7R/Huh7 | Mutated/Total Samples* | Expression as acquired sorafenib-resistance† |
|                    |                                                      |                     |                        | fold ± s.d. | P-value               | fold ± s.d. | P-value               |
| UBD                | ubiquitin D                                         | 6p21.3              | 0/312                  | 1.00 ± 0.21 | 0.545                 | 0.99 ± 0.18 | 0.099                 |
| CENPF              | centromere protein F, 350/400 kDa                   | 1q41                | 6/312                  | 1.01 ± 0.20 | 0.278                 | 7.12 ± 2.05 | 0.007                 |
| CDC2               | cyclin-dependent kinase 1                           | 10q21.1             | 0/312                  | 1.00 ± 0.07 | 3.14E-04              | 0.72 ± 0.15 | 0.009                 |
| CCNB1              | cyclin B1                                           | 5q12                | 1/312                  | 1.00 ± 0.07 | 3.14E-04              | 0.72 ± 0.15 | 0.009                 |
| TTK                | TTK protein kinase                                  | 6q13-q21            | 0/312                  | 1.00 ± 0.21 | 0.545                 | 0.99 ± 0.18 | 0.099                 |
| CCNA2              | cyclin A2                                           | 4q27                | 2/312                  | 1.00 ± 0.21 | 0.545                 | 0.99 ± 0.18 | 0.099                 |
| C18orf24           | spindle and kinetochore associated complex subunit 1| 18q21.1             | 0/312                  | 1.00 ± 0.21 | 0.545                 | 0.99 ± 0.18 | 0.099                 |
| STMN1              | stathmin 1                                          | 1p36.11             | 1/312                  | 1.15 ± 0.25 | 0.985                 | 0.93 ± 0.14 | 0.051                 |
| KNTC1              | kinetochore associated 1                            | 12q24.31            | 6/312                  | 6.04 ± 0.68 | 2.25E-04              | 1.00 ± 0.18 | 0.576                 |
| LIMK2              | LIM domain kinase 2                                  | 22q12.2             | 0/312                  | 1.07 ± 0.11 | 0.427                 | 0.60 ± 0.08 | 4.13E-04              |
| ECT2               | epithelial cell transforming sequence 2 oncogene    | 3q26.1-q26.2        | 3/313                  | 1.26 ± 0.09 | 0.268                 | 0.88 ± 0.07 | 0.017                 |
| NEK2               | NIMA-related kinase 2                                | 1q32.2-q41          | 1/312                  | 0.36 ± 0.07 | 9.33E-05              | 1.47 ± 0.18 | 0.040                 |
| BUB1               | BUB1 mitotic checkpoint serine/threonine kinase     | 2q14                | 2/312                  | 2.45 ± 0.41 | 0.006                 | 1.25 ± 0.30 | 0.299                 |

*shown is mutated number of total HCC samples stored in COSMIC (Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer, http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cancergenome/projects/cosmic/), which collects somatic mutation information in human cancers.
†Gene expression difference between these HCC cells and their derivative sorafenib-resistant cell sublines was evaluated by realtime quantitative PCR, where β-actin gene was used as an endogenous control. Statistical significance of gene expression difference was calculated using Student’s t test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097739.t001
The data suggested that TTK play a role in the acquisition of resistance to sorafenib in HCC.

TTK was Frequently Up-regulated in HCC

To confirm the observation that TTK was obviously up-regulated in HCC samples of microarray datasets, the expression level of TTK was further evaluated in 53 paired human HCC specimens by real-time quantitative PCR. The resulting data showed that TTK mRNA expression was significantly increased in HCC as compared with adjacent non-cancerous livers (P<0.001, Table 1). Moreover, TTK was obviously up-regulated in 46 (86.8%) of 53 HCC specimens, whereas the PCR products of TTK transcript were rarely detected in adjacent non-cancerous livers, using a semi-quantitative PCR (Figure 3B). The up-regulation of TTK protein was confirmed in 16 paired HCC specimens with obvious up-regulated transcripts, as demonstrated by PCR assay (Figure 3C). However, the TTK upregulation was not statistically correlated with the gender, age, and tumor size (P>0.05, Table S4). To investigate the role of TTK upregulation in hepatocarcinogenesis, TTK expression signal was analyzed using the gene expression profiling of multi-stage samples from liver lesions, including 24 regenerative (cirrhotic) nodules (CN), 3 low-grade (LGDN), 12 high-grade dysplastic nodules (HGDN) and 10 early hepatocellular carcinomas (Early HCC) [24]. The data showed that TTK was significantly up-regulated in early HCC, compared with these CN, LGDN and HGDN liver lesion (Figure 2).

**Table 1.** The data suggested that TTK play a role in the acquisition of resistance to sorafenib in HCC.
TTK as a mitotic spindle checkpoint gene play an important role in early HCC. Moreover, TTK expression was observably increased when Huh7 and HepG2 acquired sorafenib-resistance, shown by western blotting assay (Figure 3D). This observation supports that TTK has a role in sorafenib-resistance of HCC cells.

TTK Overexpression Promotes Cell Proliferation and Resistance to Sorafenib in HCC

To evaluate whether the up-regulation of TTK could contribute to hepatocarcinogenesis, Huh7 and HepG2 cells were transfected with recombinant construct pcDNA3.1-TTK and empty vector as control. The enforced TTK overexpression, as demonstrated by a western blot assay, significantly promoted cell growth (Figure 4A) and anchor-dependent colony formation (Figure 4B), as compared with that of those transfected with empty vector in Huh7 and HepG2 cells, respectively. We further investigated the cytotoxic effects of sorafenib on cell viability and anchor-independent colony formation when TTK was overexpressed in Huh7 and HepG2 cells. The resulting data showed that cytotoxic effect of sorafenib at different concentrations (0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10 μM) on cell viability was obviously restrained by the enforced TTK overexpression, as compared with that of those transfected with empty vector in Huh7 and HepG2 cells, respectively (Figure 4C). Similarly, TTK overexpression significantly resisted against 1 μM sorafenib treatment to promote anchor-independent colony formation, as compared with empty vector in Huh7 and HepG2 cells (Figure 4D). Collectively, the data suggested that the up-regulation of TTK could contribute to promote cell proliferation of HCC cells in vitro.

We established TTK-expressing Huh7 cells through stable transfection of FLAG-tagged TTK. Among 9 selected cell colonies, 3 (C3, C5, C7) exhibited discrepant TTK expression, other with few or no TTK expression compared with empty vector, as demon-

Figure 3. Expression profile of TTK in HCC specimens and cell lines. (A) Real-time RT-PCR analysis of TTK was performed on 53 paired HCCs and adjacent non-tumor livers. The relative mRNA level of TTK was normalized based on that of β-actin, and presented by box-whisker Plot. The line within each box represents the median – ΔCt value; the upper and lower edges of each box represent the 75th and 25th percentile, respectively; the upper and lower bars indicate the highest and lowest values, respectively. ***, P<0.001. (B) Representative results of semi-quantitative RT-PCR of TTK from 16 pairs of HCC tumor (T) and corresponding non-tumor livers (N). (C) Western blot assay of 4 pairs of HCC specimens and adjacent non-tumor tissues with anti-TTK antibody, where β-actin was used as a loading control. (D) TTK protein expression was analyzed in Huh7, HepG2 cells and their sorafenib-resistant sublines by a western blotting assay.
TTK overexpression promotes cell proliferation and resistance to sorafenib in HCC cells. (A) Cell growth curves were described according to cell viability for five days (right panel), when recombinant pcDNA3.1-TTK and empty vector were transiently transfected into Huh7 and HepG2 cells respectively, confirmed by western blotting assay, where β-actin was used as an internal reference (left panel). (B) Representative dishes of anchor-dependent colony formation of Huh7 and HepG2 cells were shown, where these cells were transfected with recombinant pcDNA3.1-TTK and empty vector (left panel). The numbers of colonies were counted and statistically analyzed using a two-tailed t test (right panel). (C) The cytotoxic effects of sorafenib at different concentrations (0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10 μM) on cell viability was shown, where pcDNA3.1-TTK and empty vector were transfected into Huh7 (upper panel) and HepG2 (lower panel) cells, respectively. (D) As shown were the microscopic fields of anchorage-independent colony formation assay of Huh7 and HepG2 cells treated with 1 μM sorafenib, upon TTK overexpression (left panel). The numbers of colonies were counted and statistically analyzed using a two-tailed t test (right panel). *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097739.g004
effects of TTK on proliferation, another subcolony (C5) from TTK-transfected cells was used as a mock control. Then, $2 \times 10^6$ cells of the C7 subcolony were subcutaneously inoculated into the flanks of nude mice, whereas the same amount of C5 subcolony cells were inoculated into the opposite flank of the same mice ($n = 4$). The results showed that there were no significant differences of size and weight between two groups of xenograft tumors from C7 and C5 subcolony cells (Figure S4). Next, these cells of the 2 subcolony were inoculated into the flanks of nude mice ($n = 5$) in the same way, and these mice were administrated by sorafenib. Interestingly, we observed that these cells of C7 subcolony cells exhibited more enhanced tumorigenicity than those cells of C5 subcolony cells (Figure 5C). Moreover, the measurement data of size and weight of these xenograft tumors also showed that redundant TTK overexpression significantly promoted tumorigenesis upon sorafenib treatment (Figure 3D). To confirm molecularly tumorigenicity differences between two groups of subcolony cells with TTK-associated sorafenib-resistance, we evaluated TTK and Ki-67 (a well-known proliferation marker) in these xenograft tumors with sorafenib treatment using immunohistochemical staining, where the intensity and proportion of cells with staining-positive signals in xenograft tumors were generally scored to the scale of $3+$.

Significantly, these tumors formed from C7 subcolony cells exhibited more TTK-positive signals than those formed from C5 subcolony cells; meanwhile, Ki-67-positive signals in tumors of C7 cells were enhanced as compared with those in tumors of C5 subcolony cells (Figure 5E). Overall, these results implied that TTK overexpression indeed contributes to sorafenib resistance. These findings demonstrate that TTK plays a dual role in proliferation and sorafenib resistance during hepatocarcinogenesis.

TTK Knockdown Inhibits Cell Proliferation and Improves Anti-proliferative Effects of Sorafenib on HCC

To further confirm the roles of TTK in HCC, two efficient siRNAs (si1-TTK and si2-TTK) were used to silence endogenous TTK in sorafenib-resistant sublines of Huh7 and HepG2. The two siRNAs remarkably knocked the exogenous TTK down as compared with si-NC, demonstrated by western blotting assays in Huh7R and HepG2R cells (Figure 6A). We performed the cell growth curve and soft agar colony formation assay, upon TTK knockdown. The results showed that TTK knockdown inhibited cell growth curve of Huh7R and HepG2R cells (Figure 6A). Furthermore, TTK knockdown significantly suppressed anchor-independent colony formation of these sorafenib-resistant cells (Figure 6B). In addition, we employed the two siRNAs against TTK to confirm the more significant inhibition on cell viability of sorafenib-resistant cells than their progenitors (Figure 6C).

Afterwards, we investigated the cytotoxic effects of sorafenib on cell viability when TTK was silenced in Huh7R and HepG2R cells. As expected, TTK knockdown improved the cytotoxic effects of sorafenib on cell viability of Huh7R and HepG2R cells, respectively (Figure 6D). These results suggest that TTK knockdown has the suppressive effects on proliferation and sorafenib resistance of these HCC cell models in vitro.

To further investigate the role of TTK silence in vivo, we established offspring subclones of the Huh7R cell lines exhibiting stable knockdown of endogenous TTK by transfecting a pSUPER vector carrying the shRNA transformed by the sequence of si2-TTK above. Going through resistant selection, several offspring colonies (p3, p4, p8) with various TTK silence and control colonies (p1, p5)

Figure 5. Tumorigenicity assay in nude mice of HCC cell subcolonies with TTK overexpression upon sorafenib administration. (A) Western blot analysis showed expression profile of exogenous FLAG-tagged TTK in those Huh7 cell subcolonies. (B) The relative cell viability or cytotoxicity assays of those offspring subcolonies of Huh7 cells with different TTK expression levels were performed, upon vehicle control DMSO, sorafenib or 5-Fluouracil (5-FU) treatment, where the relative cell viability to DMSO control is shown in the histograms and statistically analyzed using a two-tailed t-test. *, $P<0.05$; **, $P<0.01$. (C) $2 \times 10^6$ offspring cells of C7 subcolony were subcutaneously inoculated into the flanks of nude mice, whereas the same amount of cells of C5 subcolony were inoculated into the opposite flank of the same mice ($n = 5$). These xenograft tumors were generally scored to the scale of 3+.

Tumor size was estimated by serial calibration, where mean tumor volume ($\pm$ sd) (left panel) and tumor weights were statistically analyzed using two-tailed t-test. **, $P<0.01$ (right panel). (E) Representative pictures showed that immunohistochemistry staining assays of TTK and Ki-67 on xenograft tumors removed from the sorafenib-treated mice. All sections were counterstained with haematoxylin.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097739.g005
demonstrated by western blotting assay (Figure 7A), were used to investigate the role of TTK knockdown in sorafenib resistance. First, we performed cell cytotoxicity assays when those subcolonies of Huh7R cells with varying TTK silence degrees were treated with 1 μM sorafenib or 4 mg/L 5-FU for 48 h, respectively. As expected, the relative cell viability was significantly inhibited by 5-FU in those subcolonies and without obvious differences among them. Although sorafenib treatment also could lead to significant inhibition on the relative cell viability of those subcolonies, we observed the significant difference between p4 and p8 subcolonies with different TTK silence (Figure 7B). This finding implied that TTK knockdown could increase the sensitivity to sorafenib in vitro.

To validate this implication, next we investigated in vivo tumorigenicity of these subcolonies of Huh7R cells. These cells of p8 subcolony with remarkable TTK silence were subcutaneously inoculated into the flanks of nude mice (n = 5), which were administrated by sorafenib. Intriguingly, the tumorigenicity of these cells of p8 subcolony reduced compared with those cells of p4 subcolony, upon sorafenib treatment (Figure 7C). Furthermore, the size and weight of these xenograft tumors also showed that TTK downregulation significantly suppressed tumorigenesis when sorafenib was administrated on mice with tumor burden (Figure 7D). Furthermore, we also examined TTK and Ki-67 in these sorafenib-treated xenograft tumors. The results showed these tumors formed from p8 subcolony cells had less staining signals of TTK than those formed from p4 subcolony cells, while Ki-67-positive signals in tumors of p8 cells were significantly reduced as compared with those in tumors of p4 subcolony cells (Figure 7E).

These data demonstrated that TTK knockdown could contribute to promote sensitivity to sorafenib, and TTK had the dual roles in proliferation and sorafenib resistance. In all, our findings suggest that TTK is a potential therapeutic target, especially for human hepatocellular carcinoma.
Figure 7. Tumorigenicity assay in nude mice of HCC subcolony cells with various TTK silence, upon sorafenib treatment. (A) Western blot analysis displayed expression profile of endogenous TTK in those Huh7R derivative subcolonies. (B) The relative cell viability or cytotoxicity assays of those Huh7R offspring cell subcolonies with varying TTK silence were performed, when these cells were treated with vehicle control DMSO, sorafenib or 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) respectively. The relative cell viability to DMSO is shown in the histograms and statistically analyzed using a two-tailed t-test. **, P<0.01. (C) 2×10⁶ cells of the two Huh7R offspring subcolonies (p8 and p4) were subcutaneously inoculated into the two flanks of nude mice, respectively (n=5). These xenograft tumors were removed from the mice and photograph. (D) Tumor size was estimated by serial calibration, where mean tumor volume (± sd) (left panel) and tumor weights were statistically analyzed using two-tailed t-test. **, P<0.01 (right panel). (E) Representative pictures showed that immunohistochemistry staining assays of TTK and Ki-67 on xenograft tumours removed from the sorafenib-treated mice. All sections were counterstained with haematoxylin.

Discussion

As known, inhibition of the mitotic checkpoint is fatal to human cancer cells, and represents an attractive anti-cancer strategy. In this study, we used the publicly available HCC microarray datasets to analyze the expression of 137 selected mitotic spindle checkpoint genes in a large number of samples of liver cancers. Upon the defined overexpressed fold and frequency, we identified the 13 markedly up-regulated genes in human HCC specimens as candidates for further investigations. Among these candidates shown in Table 1, cell cycle regulator genes CDC2, CCNB1, CCNA1, are known to be dysregulated or activated in HCC and play an important role in human hepatocarcinogenesis [25–29]. UBD, also named FAT10, belongs to the ubiquitin-like protein family (Ubls). UBD, found to be overexpressed in 90% of human HCC, has a critical role in regulating diverse aspects of the pathogenesis of HCC [30]. CENPF, encoding a protein that associates with the centromere-kinetochore complex, exhibited coincidently amplification and overexpression in HCC, CENPF plays a role as common cancer-driver gene in human cancer [31]. C18orf24, whose formal symbol is SKA1 (spindle and kinetochore associated complex subunit 1), played an necessary role in the regulation of HCC cell proliferation and apoptosis [32]. In all, these reports conform to our analysis results of microarray data.

We further investigated the role of these markedly up-regulated genes in cell proliferation and acquired sorafenib-resistance of HCC cell lines. Interestingly, many candidates including UBD, CENPF, CDC2, CCNB1, TTK, CCNA2, C18orf24, ECT2 and BUB1 were responsible for maintaining HCC cell proliferation, implying that these genes and protein products could be considered as potential therapeutic targets for HCC. In this study, we established two HCC cell sublines with sorafenib-resistance phenotype and evaluated the expression of these candidate genes in these sorafenib-naïve and sorafenib-resistant HCC cells. We found that TTK, which encodes a dual specificity protein kinase essential for chromosome alignment and centosome duplication [33], exhibited significantly increased expression in sorafenib-resistant HCC cells compared with their progenitor cells. TTK, also known as MPS1, redirected several key proteins to kinetochores to control mitotic spindle checkpoint [34,35]. It has been found aberrantly overexpressed or mutated in a wide range of human tumors, including glioblastoma [36], breast cancer [37,38], colorectal cancer [39]. Here, we found that TTK was up-regulated in 86.8% of HCC specimens. More significantly, TTK expression increased in the two sorafenib-resistant sublines relative to their parent cells and could be required for sustaining cell proliferation and acquired sorafenib-resistance. Further experiments in vivo and in vitro showed that TTK played the role in proliferation and sorafenib resistance at various stages during hepatocarcinogenesis. Evidences in tumorigenicity of HCC cells with stable TTK overexpression and knockdown indicated that TTK contribute to sorafenib resistance at later stage of hepatocarcinogenesis, which may explain that sorafenib was beneficial to most HCC patients and acquired resistance could only developed with prolong treatment.

Molecular mechanisms involving in resistance to sorafenib revealed by investigators included PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, JAK-STAT pathways, hypoxia-inducible pathways, epithelial-mesenchymal transition [17,40], a positive modulator GRP78 for sorafenib resistance acquisition in HCC [41], signaling pathways controlled by EGFR and HER-3 restrict sorafenib effects both in naïve and sorafenib-resistant HCC cells [42], etc. However, the...
mechanisms involving in TTK-associated resistance to sorafenib remained unclear. Nevertheless, this finding that Mps1/AKT and B-Raf/ERK signaling form an auto-regulatory negative feedback loop in melanoma cells prompts possible associations between TTK-mediated sorafenib resistance and PI3K/Akt signaling pathway [43]. However, possible molecular mechanism involving in the role of TTK during hepatocarcinogenesis is worth further investigations.
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Figure S1 Expression analysis of candidate mitotic checkpoint genes in 4 microarray datasets. The lines within each box represents the median normalized expression value; the upper and lower edges of each box represent the 75th and 25th percentile, respectively; the upper and lower bars indicate the highest and lowest values determined, respectively. Statistical analysis was performed by a two-tailed t-test. *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001.

Figure S2 Roles of these siRNAs against the markedly overexpressed mitotic checkpoint genes in HCC cells. (A) The efficiency of target gene knockdown by these siRNAs was assessed using real-time PCR in Huh7 (upper) and HepG2 (lower) cells. (B) The effect of these siRNAs against the markedly overexpressed mitotic checkpoint genes on cell viability of Huh7 cells. (C) As shown were representative presentations of anchor-independent colony formation assay of Huh7 cells cultured in medium containing soft agar (left), where the numbers of colonies containing 50 cells were counted and the significance were calculated by a two-tailed t test. *, P<0.05, si-NC was used as a negative control, and these histograms showed the mean values of three independent experiments ± standard deviation.

Figure S3 Scatter plots of TTK expression analysis in liver samples, including 24 cirrhotic nodules (CN), 3 low-grade (LGDN), 12 high-grade dysplastic nodules (HGN) and 10 early hepatocellular carcinomas (Early HCC). *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001.

Figure S4 Tumorigenicity assay of the 2 offspring subcolonies (C5, C7) of Huh7 cells with different TTK overexpression. (A) 2×10^6 cells of Huh7 offspring colony with strong TTK expression (C7) were subcutaneously inoculated into the flanks of nude mice, whereas the same amount of another sub colony (C5) with moderate TTK level were inoculated into the opposite flank of the same mice (n=5). These xenograft tumors were removed from the mice and photographed. (B) Tumor size was estimated by serial calibration, where mean tumor volume (± sd) (left panel) and tumor weights were statistically analyzed using two-tailed t-test, ns, not significant.

Figure S5 Tumorigenicity assay of the 2 offspring subcolonies (p4, p8) of Huh7R cells with various TTK knockdown. (A) 2×10^6 cells of Huh7R offspring p8 subcolony were subcutaneously inoculated into the flank of nude mice, whereas the same amount of p4 sub colony cells were inoculated into the opposite flank of the same mice (n=5). These xenograft tumors were removed from the mice and photographed. (B) Tumor size was estimated by serial calibration, where mean tumor volume (± sd) (left panel) and tumor weights were statistically analyzed using two-tailed t-test, ns, not significant.
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