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ABSTRACT
This study was to determine the effect of Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR), and Non-Performing Loans (NPL) to the lending of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs). The object of this research is commercial banks listed on the IDX in the 2014-2017 period. This research was conducted on 124 public banks listed on the IDX at the vulnerable time 2014-2017 using a quantitative descriptive approach. Therefore the data analysis used is statistical analysis in the form of multiple linear regression tests. The results of this study indicate that partially and simultaneously the variable CAR, LDR and NPL have an effect on MSME lending to commercial banks listed on the IDX in the 2014-2017 period. This is evidenced from the results of the simultaneous test (F test) and the partial test results (t test) also show significant values of the three independent variables that support the hypothesis. Therefore the results of the test from this study state that there is a simultaneous influence between the CAR, LDR and NPL variables on MSME lending to commercial banks found on the IDX in the 2014-2017 period.
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INTRODUCTION
MSMEs (Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises) are business groups which often use their resources from the agriculture, plantation, livestock and trade sectors. This MSME is a business group that has advantages in terms of the absorption of its large workforce, so that it can help the equity process which is part of the country's economic development (Anggraini and Hakim, 2013).

According to Soedarto (2004) Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) has a positive and significant effect on bank credit, as well as research conducted by Budiawan (2008). The high CAR indicates the better the ability of the bank to bear the risk of any risky credit / productive assets. If the CAR value is high, the bank is able to finance operational activities and contribute significantly to profitability. A high CAR indicates that a bank has a large capital, the higher the CAR the greater the credit disbursed. Conversely, a low CAR indicates that banks do not have sufficient capital for lending. (Agus Murdiyanto, 2014)

Non-Performing Loans (NPL) is a ratio used to measure a bank's ability to cover the risk of failure to repay loans by debtors (Darmawan, 2004). NPL reflects credit risk, the higher the level of NPL, the greater the credit risk borne by the bank (Ali, 2004). As a result of the high NPL, banks must provide greater reserves, so that in the end the bank's capital is eroded. Even though the amount of capital greatly influences the amount of credit expansion. The amount of NPL is one of the causes of the difficulty of banks in lending (Sentausa, 2009). The high NPL can affect the bank's policy in channeling its loans, namely banks become more careful. Because banks that continue to provide credit when the NPL is high means that the bank includes risk taken. In line with the research conducted by Meydianawathi (2006) that NPL has a negative but significant effect on bank lending to the MSME sector in Indonesia where the high NPL of investment credit has caused the supply of credit to the MSME sector to be reduced. This result is not the same as the results of a study conducted by Francisca and Hasan Sakti Siregar (2008) which showed that NPLs cannot be used to predict credit volumes because the results of the partial tests show negative but not significant effects on the volume of loans offered. Another case with the testing of Warjiyo (2006) which states that the influence of a positive NPL indicates the absence of caution in the behavior of credit offers by banks. Juda Agung (2001) stated that the high losses due to NPLs caused banks to become risk averse so that credit growth was slow.

The differences in the results of the above research regarding the effect of NPL on the amount of working capital loans channeled also occur in the facts in the field. Bank Swadesi in 2005 and 2006 had NPL values of 2.08%
and 5.92%. While the amount of loans disbursed in 2005 and 2006 was 344.31 billion rupiahs and 350.7 billion rupiahs. What happened in 2005-2006 was the value of NPL increased by 184.62% and followed by an increase in the number of working capital loans of 1.86%. Which means that the NPL had a positive effect on the amount of credit.

LITERATURE, LOGICAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESIS

Jensen and Meckling (1976) describe agency relationships in agency theory that a company is a collection of contracts (nexus of contract) between owners of economic resources (principal) and the manager (agent) who manages the use and control of these resources. According to Meisser, et al., (2006: 7) this agency relationship results in two problems, namely: (a) the occurrence of asymmetric information (information asymmetry), where management generally has more information about actual financial position and operating position of the entity; and (b) the occurrence of conflict of interest due to unequal objectives, where management does not always act in accordance with the interests of the owner.

The essence of Agency Theory or agency theory is the right contract design to harmonize the interests of principals and agents in the event of a conflict interests (Scott, 1997). Based on this in lending where banks become agents in channeling loans and bank owners are principals sometimes have conflicts of interest in terms of credit allocation where owners have an interest in getting maximum return and management has other interests in allocating credit to improve performance so that securing its position and with existing data and information can be analyzed the influence of variables in lending in the MSME sector whether there is maximum credit distribution to the MSME sector in accordance with the interests of the owner, therefore it is necessary to design a contract that accommodates the interests of the owner and has been agreed with management.

LOAN AND CREDIT OF MSME

Human needs always increase, while capabilities and tools to fulfill them are limited. In order to fulfill these needs, one can be facilitated to fulfill it by way of being assisted from the aspect of capital in the form of credit.

CAPITAL ADEQUACY RATIO (CAR)

In conducting credit distribution, management should pay attention to regulations relating to the assessment of bank soundness (Pandia, 2014: 173). One of the assessments of bank soundness is CAR (Capital Adequacy Ratio). For banks, capital is an important factor for business development and maintaining the possibility of risk, one of the risks that may arise is the risk of bad credit. CAR shows the bank's capital adequacy in bearing the risks that might arise due to bank operational activities (Sari, 2013). Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) is a capital ratio that shows the ability of banks to provide funds for business development needs and accommodate the risk of loss of funds caused by bank operations (Ali, 2004). The higher the CAR, the greater the financial resources that can be used to anticipate the potential losses caused by lending. In other words the value of CAR will increase banking confidence in channeling credit. With CAR above 20%, banks can spur credit growth of up to 20-25 percent a year (Wibowo, 2009).

Logical Framework

MSME lending is expected to help capital problems experienced by MSMEs, MSMEs as a sustainable economic blood towards financial turmoil both domestically and internationally, there is a fluctuating development of lending to MSMEs, therefore researchers are looking for factors that influence lending to MSMEs in terms of credit offerings. From commercial banks, some of these factors are Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR), and Non-Performing Loans (NPL).
**Hypothesis**

H1 = CAR (Capital Adequacy Ratio) has an influence on distribution MSME loans at commercial banks in Indonesia.

H2 = LDR (Loan Deposit Ratio) has an influence on distribution MSME loans at commercial banks in Indonesia.

H3 = NPL (Non-Performing Loan) has an influence on distribution MSME loans at commercial banks in Indonesia.

H4 = CAR, LDR and NPL together have an influence on Distribution MSME loans at commercial banks in Indonesia.

**RESEARCH METHODS**

**Time and Place of Research**

The study was conducted in Indonesia with data obtained from the Financial Services Authority accessed from www.ojk.go.id, and from Bank Indonesia accessed from www.bi.go.id, financial report data for commercial banks registered with Indonesian securities from the period 2014 - 2017 accessed from March to June 2017.

**Research design**

According to Nur Indriantoro and Bambang Supomo (2002: 249), the definition of research design is as follows: "Research design is the main design of research that states the methods and procedures used by researchers in the selection, collection, and analysis of data."

In addition, this study also uses a comparative causal research design. Nazir stated comparative research is descriptive research that wants to find answers fundamentally about causation, by analyzing the factors that cause or the emergence of a particular phenomenon.

**Definition and Operationalization of Variables**

According to Sugiyono (2014: 59), the research variable is an attribute or value of a person, object or activity that has certain variables set by the researcher to be studied and drawn conclusions. In this study, the variable used is the number of working capital loans as the dependent variable (Y). Whereas used for independent variable is Capital Adequacy Ratio (X1), Loan to Deposit Ratio (X2), Non-Performing Loan (X3).

**Research Population and Samples**

Population is a generalization area consisting of subjects or objects that have certain qualities and characteristics set by researchers to be studied for later conclusions drawn (Sugiyono, 2010: 115). The population used in this study is the annual financial statements of commercial banks registered with the Bank. Indonesia (BI) and the Financial Services Authority (OJK).

Samples are part of the number and characteristics possessed by the population (Sugiyono, 2010: 115). The samples taken are the annual financial statements of Bank Indonesia and the Financial Services Authority for 4 periods, namely the period 2014 - 2017. The sampling technique used in this study was purposive sampling.
this type of sampling technique, the sample is chosen to represent the population, the sample chosen is according to the general rule that sampling is required at least 4 periods for each independent.

RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive statistics

Descriptive statistical analysis in this study will show the minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviations of each variable. The Minimum Value is the lowest value for each variable, while the maximum value is the highest value for each variable in the study. Mean value is the average value of each variable studied. Standard deviation is the distribution of data used in research that reflects the heterogeneous or homogeneous data that is fluctuating.

Table 4.1. Statistic descriptive

| Descriptive Statistics | Penyaluran Kredit |
|------------------------|-------------------|
|CAR                     |LDR               |NPL       |UMKM    |Valid N ( ) |
|N                      |124               |124       |124     |124        |
|Minimum                |.0802             |.5239     |.0000   |120.658.000|
|Maximum                |.2791             |1.4072    |.0545   |393.968.167|
|Mean                   |.193227           |.835848   |.014722 |22.770.445|
|Std. Deviation         |.1321773          |.1665095  |.0122382|59.606.290.059|

Classical Assumption Test Results

Normality test

this test aims to test in the regression model, the residual confounding variable has a normal distribution. How to detect residuals has a normal distribution or not, namely by Skewness and Kurtosis Test and graph analysis.

Based on table 4.2, Zskew and Zkurt values can be calculated as follows:

Result of Zskew = 0S / (√6 / N)

= 0.369 / (√6 / 124) = 1.677

Zkurt value = K / (√24 / N)

= -0.722 / (√24 / 124) = -1.64

Normality Test Value

| Descriptive            | Statistic | Std. Error |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|
|Unstandardized Residual|Mean       |.0015565    |
|                        |95% Confidence Interval for Lower Bound | .0002041 |
|                        |Mean       |.0015565    |
|                        |5% Trimmed Mean | .0010443 |
|                        |Median     |.0010443    |
|                        |Variance   |.000        |
|                        |Std. Deviation | .00875629 |
|                        |Minimum    |.01707      |
|                        |Maximum    |.02039      |
|                        |Range      |.03745      |
|                        |Interquartile Range | .01445 |
|                        |Skewness   |.369        |
|                        |Kurtosis   |-.722       |

Because the results of Zskew and Zkurt are within the range -1.96 to +1.96, the data is normally distributed. This normal data can also be seen in the normal plot graph in Figure 4.1. From the curve analysis it can be seen that the data spreads around the diagram and follows the regression model so that it can be concluded that the data processed is normally distributed data so that the normality test is fulfilled.
Figure 4.1. Normal the data plot is normally distributedSource: Data Results, 2017

Table 4.3. VIF Value for Testing Multicollinearity

| Model | Coefficientsa | Collinearity Statistics |
|-------|---------------|-------------------------|
|       | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1     | (Constant) | B | Std. Error | Beta | t | Sig. | Tolerance | VIF |
|       | CAR (X1) | .010 | .007 | .145 | 14.621 | .000 | .561 | 1.781 |
|       | LDR (X2) | .001 | .006 | .015 | .129 | .898 | .555 | 1.802 |
|       | NPL (X3) | .181 | .066 | .243 | 2.729 | .007 | .969 | 1.032 |

a. Dependent Variable: PKUMKM (Y)

Figure 4.2 shows that there is no heteroscedasticity because there is no clear pattern and the points spread above and below the number 0 on the Y axis. So that it can be said that heteroscedasticity tests are fulfilled.

Table 4.4. Durbin-Watson value for Autocorrelation test

| Model Summaryb |
|----------------|
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | Durbin-Watson |
| 1 | .273a | .075 | .052 | .0088651 | .563 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), NPL (X3), CAR (X1), LDR (X2)
b. Dependent Variable: PKUMKM (Y)
The existence of autocorrelation in linear regression (Ordinary Least Squares) causes sample variance cannot describe population variance, the resulting regression model cannot be used to predict the value of variables not independent of certain variable values, the regression coefficient obtained is less accurate. This autocorrelation problem often occurs in linear regression using time-series data. To overcome the problem of autocorrelation, the Cochrane-Orcutt method was transformed. After the autocorrelation coefficient is known, the next step is to transform. Then from the transformed data, repeat detection is performed to detect the presence or absence of autocorrelation. If there is still autocorrelation in the transformed data, the transformation will be repeated until there is no autocorrelation. After obtaining avoidable data and autocorrelation, the next step applies the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) method to determine the regression coefficients. From calculations using the Cochrane-Orcutt method using the SPSS program, the rho coefficient value \( p \) is obtained at 0.719 and the second step is 0.135, so the autocorrelation value becomes like table 4.5.

Table 4.5. The Durbin-Watson value after the Cochrane-Orcutt transformation is carried out

| Model Summary       |   |   |     | Durbin-Watson |
|---------------------|---|---|-----|---------------|
| Model               | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1                   | .263* | .069 | .045 | .00612 | 2.006 |
| a. Predictors: (Constant), NPL_X3, LDR_X2, CAR_X1 |
| b. Dependent Variable: PKUMKM_Y |

Hypothesis Test Results
Hypothesis testing is done by statistical models Multiple regression analysis is a statistical model by identifying and analyzing the relationships between dependent variables and independent variables.

Table 4.6. Table of Coefficients

| Coefficients* | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|-----|------------------------|
| Model         | B                          | Std. Error               | Beta | t   | Sig. | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 (Constant)  | .024                       | .001                      | 18.483 | .000 | .798 | 1.253     |
| CAR_X1        | .005                       | .007                      | .074 | .742 | .459 | .955      |
| LDR_X2        | .003                       | .005                      | .053 | .536 | .593 | .817      |
| NPL_X3        | .140                       | .047                      | .268 | 2.951 | .004 | .955      |
| a. Dependent Variable: PKUMKM_Y |

Table 4.7 Hypothesis test Variable X to Variabel Y

| Independent Variable | t calculation | t table | Ho |
|----------------------|---------------|---------|----|
| Capital Adequecy Ratio (X1) | 0.742 | 1.9799 | Accept |
| Loan Deposit Ratio (X2) | 0.536 | 1.9799 | Accept |
| Net Performing Loan (X3) | 2.951 | 1.9799 | Rejected |

Sumber : Data diolah

| ANOVA*          | Model Summary | df | Mean Square | F  | Sig. |
|-----------------|---------------|----|-------------|----|------|
| Regression      | .000          | 3  | .000        | 2.911 | .037b |
| Residual        | .004          | 118 | .000       |
| Total           | .005          | 121 |             |
| a. Dependent Variable: PKUMKM_Y |
| b. Predictors: (Constant), NPL_X3, LDR_X2, CAR_X1 |

Sumber: Data process
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Table 4.9. Determinasi analysis (R²)

| Model | R   | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | Durbin-Watson |
|-------|-----|----------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| 1     | .263* | .069     | .045              | .00612                    | 2.006         |

a. Predictors: (Constant), NPL_X3, LDR_X2, CAR_X1
b. Dependent Variable: PKUMKM_Y

Discussions

Effect of CAR on UMKM Loan Distribution (PKUMKM)
The t-test results show that the variable Capital Adequacy Ratio (X1) has a t-count value of 0.742 that is greater than -1.9799 and smaller than 1.9799 (-1.9799 <0.742 <1.9799). So that Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected, then Capital Adequacy Ratio does not significantly influence MSME Credit Distribution in banks in Indonesia.

The influence of LDR on MSME Credit Distribution (PKUMKM)
Variable Loan Deposit Ratio (X2) has a value of t equal to 0.536 which is greater than -1.9799 and smaller than 1.9799 (-1.9799 <0.536 <1.9799). So that Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected, then the Loan Deposit Ratio does not significantly influence MSME Credit Distribution in banks in Indonesia.

Influence of NPL on UMKM Loan Distribution (PKUMKM)
The Net Performing Loan variable (X3) has a t value of 2.951 which is greater than 1.9799 (2.951> 1.9799). So that Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted, then the Net Performing Loan has a significant effect on MSME Credit Distribution in banks in Indonesia.

Effect of CAR, LDR and NPL on MSME Credit Distribution (PKUMKM)
X variable (CAR, LDR and NPL) simultaneously has an F value of 2.911 with a significance value of 0.037 which is smaller than 0.05 (0.037> 0.05). So that Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted, then the variable X (CAR, LDR and NPL) together has a significant effect on MSME Credit Distribution in banks in Indonesia.

Conclusions and Suggestions

Conclusion
Based on research and discussion, the conclusions of this study are:
1. The results of the t test show that the Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) does not significantly influence the amount of MSME Credit Distribution in Indonesian banks listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2014-2017
2. The results of the t test show that the Loan Deposit Ratio (LDR) does not significantly influence the amount of MSME Loan Distribution in Indonesian banks listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2014 – 2017
3. The t-test results show that the Net Performing Loan (NPL) significantly influences the amount of MSME Loan Distribution in Indonesian banks listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2014 - 2017.
4. The F test results indicate that the Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), Loan Deposit Ratio (LDR) and Net Performing Loans (NPL) together have a significant effect on the number of MSME Loan Disbursements in Indonesian banks listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in the year 2014 - 2017.

In this paper, there are some limitations that limit this research, including:
1. Research is only carried out in the short year range, which is only 4 years from 2014 - 2017.
2. This research has not divided banks based on bank size or size, that is, banks have small assets and banks have large assets.
3. A fairly disturbing limitation is the lack of correlation between the variables studied and the small representation of the independent variables examined in influencing the dependent variable.

Suggestion
1. Increase the number of samples by increasing the number of years from each bank.
2. Dividing the banks studied based on the size or size of each bank so that banks with small assets are separate from banks that have large assets.
3. Adding an independent variable that will enlarge the relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable, so what variables will have the greatest influence.
4. For banking companies to pay attention to the level of CAR, LDR and NPL related to the distribution of credit funds to MSMEs.
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