High-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) DNA sequences in metaplastic breast carcinomas of Mexican women
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Abstract

**Background:** Metaplastic carcinoma, an uncommon subtype of breast cancer, is part of the spectrum of basal-like, triple receptor-negative breast carcinomas. The present study examined 20 surgical specimens of metaplastic breast carcinomas, for the presence of high-risk Human papillomavirus (HPV), which is suspected to be a potential carcinogenic agent for breast carcinoma.

**Methods:** Mastectomy specimens from patients harboring metaplastic breast carcinoma, as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO), and who attended the Instituto Nacional de Cancerología in Mexico City, were retrieved from the files of the Department of Pathology accumulated during a 16-year period (1995–2008). Demographic and clinical information was obtained from patients’ medical records. DNA was extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumors and HPV type-specific amplification was performed by means of Polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Quantitative Real-time (RT) PCR was conducted in HPV positive cases. Statistically, the association of continuous or categorical variables with HPV status was tested by the Student t, the Chi square, or Fisher’s exact tests, as appropriate.

**Results:** High-risk HPV DNA was detected in eight (40%) of 20 metaplastic breast carcinomas: seven (87.5%) HPV-16 and one (12.5%) HPV-18. Mean age of patients with HPV-positive cases was 49 years (range 24–72 years), the same as for HPV-negative cases (range, 30–73 years). There were not striking differences between HPV + and HPV– metaplastic carcinomas regarding clinical findings. Nearly all cases were negative for estrogen, progesterone and Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), but positive for Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR).

**Conclusions:** High-risk HPV has been strongly associated with conventional breast carcinomas, although the subtle mechanism of neoplastic transformation is poorly understood. In Mexican patients, the prevalence of HPV infection among metaplastic breast carcinomas is higher than in non-metaplastic ones, as so the HPV viral loads; notwithstanding, HPV viral loads show wide variation and remain even lower than cervical and other non-cervical carcinomas, making it difficult to assume that HPV could play a key role in breast carcinogenesis. Further studies are warranted to elucidate the meaning of the presence of high-risk HPVDNA in breast carcinomas.
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Background

Metaplastic carcinoma is an uncommon subtype of breast cancer that encompasses two subgroups of malignant neoplasms: those with epithelial differentiation (i.e., squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma with spindle cell differentiation, and adenosquamous carcinoma), and those with benign or malignant mesenchymal components (i.e., carcinoma with chondroid and/or osseous metaplasia, and carcinosarcoma) [1]. According to the National Cancer Data Base [2] metaplastic breast carcinoma represents 0.24% of total breast carcinomas in the U.S. It has been widely recognized that metaplastic carcinomas display an aggressive biological behavior and entertain a worse prognosis, when they are compared with usual breast carcinomas, as evidenced by the high percentage of lymph node metastases at the time of diagnosis, high mortality rate due to disease persistence, high p53 and Ki-67 indexes, and low, if any, expression of hormonal receptors and c-erbB2 oncoprotein [3]. Metaplastic carcinomas are usually sporadic and some cases have been related with pre-existing benign fibrosclerotic-epithelial lesions [4]. Recently, it has been proposed that metaplastic breast carcinomas, together with salivary gland-like tumors and poorly differentiated ductal and medullary carcinomas, may actually represent the spectrum of basal-like breast carcinomas [5,6]. According to the transcriptional profiling-based new molecular classification of breast carcinomas, basal-like carcinomas are considered a subtype of triple receptor-negative cancers, the other subtype comprising the normal breast-like carcinoma [7]. Immunohistochemically, basal-like carcinomas are accurately classified by showing negativity for estrogen, progesterone and Human Epidermal growth factor receptors (Estrogen receptors [ER], Progesterone receptors [PgR], and Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 [HER2], respectively), as well as for expressing basal cytokeratin 5/6; this panel identifies basal-like breast cancers with 100% specificity and 76% sensitivity [8]. Despite the similarities regarding gene expression patterns and surrogate immunohistochemical profiling, basal-like carcinomas constitute a heterogeneous subgroup of breast carcinomas that warrants further revaluation [9]. Notwithstanding the molecular approach to breast carcinogenesis, the etiology of breast cancer remains poorly understood. Among etiologic factors, high-risk Human papillomavirus (HPV) has been strongly advocated as a potential carcinogenic agent since 1992 by Di Lonardo et al. [10], who reported the presence of HPV-16 Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) in nearly 30% of ductal breast carcinomas, by means of Polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Since then, HPV-status has been rarely studied in breast carcinomas other than ductal and lobular ones. In a series of 27 pure or metaplastic squamous cell carcinomas of the mammary gland, Grenier et al. [11] found HPV DNA in two of 14 (7.4%) metaplastic breast carcinomas. The aim of the present study was to look for high-risk HPV DNA sequences in a set of metaplastic breast carcinomas from Mexican patients attending the Instituto Nacional de Cancerología, in Mexico City.

Methods

This is an observational and descriptive study considered by the Mexican regulation in health research as a safely study that does not need informed consent [12]. The study was approved by the Committee on Ethics in Research, at the Instituto Nacional de Cancerología, México.

Study subjects

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded metaplastic breast carcinomas obtained from Mexican patients attending the Instituto Nacional de Cancerología, were retrieved from the files of the Department of Pathology accumulated during a 16-year period (1995–2008). Demographic and clinical information was obtained from patients’ medical records. Histologic classification was assessed as proposed by the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of breast tumors [1].

DNA extraction

Twenty-μm sections of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumors were dewaxed through incubation with N-octane and washings with 100% ethanol. This process was repeated twice, after which the pellet was dried. The deparaffinized sample was digested with 1 ml of lysis buffer (Tris-Cl 10 mM pH 8.0, EDTA 0.1M pH 8–0, SDS 0.5, Proteinase K 200 μg/ml, RNase A 20 μg/ml) at 55°C for 3 hr. DNA was extracted with phenol/chloroform precipitations as described by Sambrook et al. [13] To test DNA suitability for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification the DNA obtained was amplified for the β-globin gene (PC04/GH2O) under conditions described by Resnick et al. [14] Samples were latter submitted to HPV amplification with three sets of the following universal primers recognizing distinct size fragments of the LI gene: LI1C1/LI1C2, MY09/MY11, and GP5/GP6 [15-17]. HPV type-specific amplification was also performed with primers designed to amplify the E6 gene of HPV types 16 and –18 as described by Lizano et al. [18].

HPV PCR products were electrophoresed in a 1.2% agarose gels and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. HPV typing was performed through direct sequencing of PCR products by means of BigDyeTM Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems). The resulting sequences were analyzed in the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) data bank for comparison with known HPV sequences. HPV- 16 and-18 DNA amplification was conducted for each sample, using specific primers as previously described [17]. DNA extracted from Caski and HeLa HPV-containing cell lines were used as positive...
controls. The protocol used for DNA extraction does not separate episomal from chromosomal DNA. Usually, episomal DNA extraction requires another technique such as Hirt method that isolates low molecular weight DNA. [19].

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

As previously indicated, DNA was isolated just from neoplastic tissue. HPV status was not determined. To estimate the copy numbers of HPV-16 genomes in biopsy samples, the primers utilized to amplify the E6 oncogene fragment were the following: E6-HPV16-648-Reverse: GAACCGAACCCTTACTAT, and E6-HPV16- 419-Forward: GGACACAGTGGCCTTTTGACA. Real-time PCR assays were performed using SBYR GREEN (Applied Biosystems). PCR conditions were optimized to 300 nM E6-HPV16-648-Reverse primer and 300 nM E6-HPV16-419- Forward primer. PCR reactions were performed in a Rotor-Gene 6000 (Corbett Life Science) with the following PCR conditions: 95°C for 30 sec and 59°C for 1 min for 40 cycles. Quantification was performed using a standard curve from pBR322-HPV16 plasmid that contains the entire genome of HPV-16 with a dilution series from 1 × 10^3 to 1 × 10^9 copy number, employing the program created by Andrew Staroscik (2004) (http://cels. uir.edu/gsc/cndna.html). As positive control, the SiHa cell line containing 1–2 copies of HPV-16 per cell was used. To generate the standard curve GAPDH gene fragment cloned into pJET1.2/blunt plasmid was used, with 300 nM of each primer: GAPDH-Reverse: ATGGGTGGAATCATATTGG AAC, and GAPDH-Forward: GAAAGTGGAGTACACG GATT. PCR conditions were 95°C for 30 sec and 60°C for 1 min for 40 cycles. The amount of GAPDH DNA present in each sample was divided by the weight of one genome equivalent (6.6 pg per cell) and a factor of 2 (two copies of the GAPDH DNA/genome equivalent or cell) to obtain the number of genome equivalents per cell [20]. This sensitive method can detect ≤1 HPV-E6 copy per 10^4 cells.

**Immunohistochemistry for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), estrogen, progesterone, and Her-2/neu receptors**

Immunohistochemical studies were performed on 4 µm paraffin sections employing a Ventana automated immunostainer (Tucson, AZ, USA), according to the company’s protocol with minor modifications (Table 1). Estrogen (ER) and Progesterone (PgR) receptor status was recorded using the H-score continuous scale, according to the nuclear intensity index, as described elsewhere [21]. Her-2/neu overexpression was examined, utilizing the Hercep Test kit (Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Control cell lines provided by the manufacturer (Dako) were used as negative and positive controls.

**Statistical analysis**

After descriptive statistics, the association of continuous or categorical variables with HPV status was tested by the Student t test or the Chi square test, as appropriate. Two-tailed statistics were considered in all cases, and a probability value of 0.05 or lower was considered as significant. The SPSS version 20 software (IBM, Inc., Armonk, NY, USA, 2011) for MAC was employed for all computations.

**Results**

We examined 20 metaplastic breast carcinomas from Mexican female patients, during a 16-year period (1995–2008). Mean age of the patients was 49 years (range, 24–73 years). HPV DNA was detected in eight of 20 (40%) metaplastic breast carcinomas: HPV-16 in seven (87.5%) cases, and HPV-18 in one (12.5%) case of matrix-producing bone carcinoma. Distribution of pathological subtypes according to HPV status, is summarized in Table 2 (Figures 1 and 2). Mean age of HPV-positive cases was 49 years (range 24–72 years), with the same mean age for HPV-negative cases (range 30–73 years). All cases were negative for ER (Figure 3), and all but one HPV-negative carcinoma with squamous differentiation (H-score index: 30), were negative for PgR; 19 cases did not overexpress the HER2 receptor. Following the Hercep Test criteria, the immunoreactions were negative (0) in all but one HPV-positive spindle cell carcinoma, in which a score of 2+ (complete but moderate staining of >10% of tumor cells) was recorded; however, Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) for detecting the gene amplification was not performed. On the other hand, all cases were positive for EGFR 1 (EGFR, ErbB1, HER1) (Figure 4), and all but one carcinoma with chondroid metaplasia, for cytokeratins 5/6. Tumor size ranged from 2 × 2 cm–11.5 × 7cm (mean size 5.4 × 4.1 cm). On taking into account the longer measurement of the tumors, HPV- negative were larger than those HPV-positive metaplastic carcinomas (6.6 vs. 3.7 cm (p = 0.042). Regardless of its relationship with breast tumors, nine women had previous medical history of at least one cervical smear for screening purposes, as part of the National campaign against

### Table 1 Antibodies used in the present study

| Antibody* | Dilution | Clone | Source |
|-----------|----------|-------|--------|
| ER        | 1:20     | 1DS   | DakoCytomation |
| PgR       | 1:50     | 1294  | DakoCytomation |
| Her2/neu  | 1:10     | Herceptest | DakoCytomation |
| EGFR      | 1:5      | DAK-H1-1197 | DakoCytomation |

*ER, Estrogen receptors; PgR, Progesterone receptors; EGFR, Epidermal growth factor receptor.

---

**Note:**
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carcinoma of the cervix uteri; typical changes of HPV infection appeared in a case of HPV-positive adenosquamous carcinoma, and in one HPV-negative squamous cell/sarcomatoid carcinoma, whereas in the remaining seven cases, the result was reported according to the Bethesda System as negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy. Viral loads were constantly low, ranging from 0.02040313 (metaplastic carcinoma with chondroid differentiation) – 1.015210939 (metaplastic carcinoma with squamous differentiation) copies/cell (geometric mean, 0.20892 copies/cell), when compared with number of HPV copies/cell in the cell line SiHa (3.985 copies/cell) (Table 3) (Figure 5). Tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) status, age at menarche, menopausal status, relapse, and survival did not show statistically significant differences between HPV-positive and -negative metaplastic carcinomas (Table 4).

**Discussion**
In this study, high-risk HPV DNA was detected in eight of 20 (40%) metaplastic carcinomas of the mammary gland. HPV-16 was found in seven of these (87.5%), whereas HPV-18 was present in the remaining case (12.5%). Distribution of the HPV genotype is in accordance with previous Mexican studies in which HPV-16 was the commonest HPV detected among breast carcinoma [20,22]. According to surrogate immunohistochemical profile, nearly all cases fall into the category of “triple negative” tumors, which form part of the spectrum of basal-like breast carcinomas. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to search for high-risk HPV DNA in metaplastic carcinomas of the female mammary gland among Latin American women, and the second reported among other female populations worldwide. The range of association of HPV and conventional breast cancer has been reported as between 0 [23,24] and 86% [25]; such differences have been attributed to several factors including variations in the sensitivity of the PCR methods employed for detecting HPV DNA sequences, according to the quality of DNA and tissue preservation, variations in the prevalence rate of HPV infection among different countries and among different regions of the same
country, as well as differences in socioeconomic status among worldwide population. Interestingly, in this study the prevalence of HPV-positive metaplastic carcinomas were higher in comparison with previously reported in Mexican non-metaplastic carcinomas (40 vs. 10%) [20]. HPV viral loads have not been extensively studied in breast carcinoma; in previous studies [20,26], the estimated viral loads for HPV-16 in breast neoplastic and non-neoplastic adjacent tissues were low (<1 copy/cell), rendering it unlikely that even integrated HPV is involved in breast carcinogenesis. In esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, another malignant neoplasm probably associated with HPV infection, it is also unlikely that low viral loads have a leading role in the mechanism of carcinogenesis as in cervical cancer [27]. Notwithstanding the low viral loads found again in the present study, these were higher than in the non-metaplastic carcinomas reported previously [20,26]. It was also suggested that high-risk HPV DNA could be acquired and integrated into mammary cells, once breast neoplastic transformation takes place, probably during early events of neoplastic development (i.e. preclinical stage), thus modifying the course of breast carcinoma [20]. Interestingly, there are contradictory findings regarding the presence of HPV in non-malignant breast conditions: HPV traces have been found in two mammary fibroadenomas [28] and HPV-18, in three normal breast reduction specimens [29], whereas in other studies, HPV DNA has not been isolated from benign breast conditions or mammoplasty specimens [22,30]; its presence in the breast fluids has been a controversial issue, as well [31-33]. On the other hand, HPV DNA is also detected in normal mammary gland tissues adjacent to breast carcinomas [20,34]. In an experimental study, the cell’s invasive and metastatic abilities were induced by transfecting two non-invasive breast cancer cell lines (MCF7 and BT20) with E6/E7 of HPV-16, in comparison with the same non-transfected, non-invasive breast cancer cell lines [35]. Contrary to this finding but of great interest in this study, is the fact that HPV-positive were smaller than HPV-negative metaplastic breast carcinomas, a feature reported to be associated with a better prognosis in Australian women, mainly because these are early stage tumors [36]. Indeed, the smaller size of the HPV-positive metaplastic breast carcinomas comprises the only variable that reached statistical significance in this and in similar previous studies carried out in Mexican women [20,22], suggesting that HPV could modify, as previously stated, the course of metaplastic breast carcinoma and, as a paradoxical effect, by means of improving the clinical outcome. This paradoxical effect is also present in HPV-associated oropharyngeal [37] and lung carcinomas [38]. In the case of lung carcinomas, favorable prognosis of HPV-associated carcinomas has been attributed to high Langerhans-cell

Table 3 Human papillomavirus (HPV)-16 copy numbers in metaplastic breast carcinomas

| Case | Histology                        | Copies/Cell |
|------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| 1    | Carcinoma with chondroid differentiation | 0.132381228 |
| 2    | Carcinoma with chondroid differentiation | 0.266043488 |
| 3    | Adenosquamous carcinoma          | 0.439347287 |
| 4    | Carcinoma with squamous differentiation | 1.015210939 |
| 5    | Spindle cell carcinoma           | 0.842123643 |
| 6    | Carcinoma with chondroid differentiation | 0.020403130 |
| 7    | Adenosquamous carcinoma          | 0.064370642 |
|    | SiHa Control                     | 3.985001042 |

Figure 3 A case of a human papillomavirus (HPV)-16-positive, estrogen receptor (ER)-negative breast metaplastic carcinoma with chondroid differentiation, is illustrated (Case 1). Note the absence of brown colouration in the neoplastic cell nuclei, indicating the lack of ER immunoreactivity (anti-ER antibody, clone1D5). (Immunohistochemistry [IHC]; Original magnification 200x).

Figure 4 A case of human papillomavirus (HPV)-negative metaplastic breast carcinoma is shown. Brown colouration of neoplastic cell membranes denotes extensive Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) immunoreactivity (anti-EGFR antibody, clone DAK-H1-1197). (Immunohistochemistry [IHC]; Original magnification 200x).
infiltration [38]. The route of HPV infection of the breast tissue remains unsolved. Because the life cycle of HPV occurs in epithelial layers, bloodstream viremia is not an expected event. However, high-risk HPV DNA has been isolated in the peripheral circulating mononuclear cells from females harboring cervical cancer [39], from pediatric patients infected with Human immunodeficiency virus [40], and from healthy males [41], raising the possibility that HPV DNA could reach the transformed breast cells from a previous or transient cervical HPV infection, even in women with a subclinical infection, through the circulating blood. We previously suggested that the presence of HPV DNA sequences in breast tissues and breast carcinomas could be related with changes in the level of expression of integrins, particularly with that of the $\alpha_6$ integrin [20], but also of Heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPG) [42], which are putative candidates for HPV cell receptors [43].

On the other hand, it was also suggested that metaplastic carcinomas, together with less differentiated breast carcinomas, represent the progenitor/stem-cell end of the spectrum in which breast carcinomas could be arranged, according to different protein expression patterns and (cyto)genetic alteration patterns [44]. Within the MCF7 breast-cancer cell line, a stem cell-like subpopulation has been characterized by overexpression of the adhesion molecule $\alpha_6$ integrin [45]. Considering this, it is expected that carcinomas arising from progenitor/stem cells, are more likely to express higher levels of $\alpha_6$ integrin and HSPG, thus rendering a higher frequency of HPV-DNA among the neoplastic cells. Indeed, syndecan-1 and syndecan-4, two cell surface HSPG that have recently been characterized as markers of aggressiveness in breast carcinoma, are overexpressed in estrogen-negative and highly proliferative carcinomas of the mammary gland [41,46,47]. Metaplastic breast carcinomas are consistently negative for ER, PgR and Her-2/neu but positive for EGFR, as in nearly all of our cases. It is noteworthy that the majority of HPV-associated carcinomas reported in the literature are closely related with the “triple-negative” profile. In the study of Kroupis et al. [48] HPV-positive carcinomas tend to be poorly differentiated carcinomas (grade III), less ER-positive, and more proliferative carcinomas. Finally, metaplastic breast carcinoma is more common among African-American and Hispanic women in the U.S. [2] and

| Factor                  | HPV – (n = 12) | HPV + (n = 8) | p     |
|------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------|
| Age                    | 49 (14.5)     | 48.9 (14.3)  | 0.98  |
| Tumor size (longer)    | 6.6 (3.4)     | 3.7 (1.9)    | 0.042 |
| Tumor side             |               |              |       |
| Left                   | 7             | 2            | 0.14  |
| Right                  | 5             | 6            |       |
| Histological grade     |               |              |       |
| Moderate               | 0             | 2            | 0.15  |
| Poor                   | 12            | 6            |       |
| T classification        |               |              |       |
| T2                     | 3             | 1            | 0.25  |
| T3                     | 3             | 5            |       |
| T4                     | 6             | 2            |       |
| N classification        |               |              |       |
| N0                     | 7             | 3            | 0.65  |
| N1                     | 5             | 5            |       |
| TNM Stage               |               |              |       |
| II                     | 5             | 3            | 0.85  |
| III                    | 7             | 5            |       |
| Recurrence              |               |              |       |
| No                     | 8             | 4            | 0.65  |
| Yes                    | 4             | 4            |       |
| Overall survival        | NR            | 95.7         | 0.79  |

HPV: Human papillomavirus; – negative; + positive; p: Probability value. Overall survival is described in median values (months); NR: Median not reached.
the mean age among Hispanic women there is higher than in the Mexican patients of this study (61.2 vs. 49 years), a feature probably related with the exposure to an unfavorable and riskier environment at an earlier age, including HPV infection. Limitation of the study must be seen in the fact that the sample size is low, due to the rarity of metaplastic breast carcinoma, as in Mexico, worldwide. Because of the small number of cases in this study, levels of statistics significance should be interpreted cautiously.

Conclusions
High-risk HPV has been strongly associated with conventional breast carcinomas, although the subtle mechanism of neoplastic transformation is poorly understood. In Mexican patients, the prevalence of HPV infection among metaplastic breast carcinomas is higher than in non-metaplastic ones, as so the HPV viral loads; notwithstanding, HPV viral loads show wide variation and remain even lower than cervical and other non-cervical carcinomas, making it difficult to assume that HPV could play a key role in breast carcinogenesis. Further studies are warranted to elucidate the meaning of the presence of high-risk HPV DNA in breast carcinomas.
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