Protease-Activated Receptor 2 Promotes Pro-Atherogenic Effects through Transactivation of the VEGF Receptor 2 in Human Vascular Smooth Muscle Cells
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Background: Obesity is associated with impaired vascular function. In the cardiovascular system, protease-activated receptor 2 (PAR2) exerts multiple functions such as the control of the vascular tone. In pathological conditions, PAR2 is related to vascular inflammation. However, little is known about the impact of obesity on PAR2 in the vasculature. Therefore, we explored the role of PAR2 as a potential link between obesity and cardiovascular diseases.

Methods: C57BL/6 mice were fed with either a chow or a 60% high fat diet for 24 weeks prior to isolation of aortas. Furthermore, human coronary artery endothelial cells (HCAEC) and human coronary smooth muscle cells (HCSMC) were treated with conditioned medium obtained from in vitro differentiated primary human adipocytes. To investigate receptor interaction vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) was blocked by exposure to calcium dobesilate and a VEGFR2 neutralization antibody, before treatment with PAR2 activating peptide. Student’s t-test or one-way were used to determine statistical significance.

Results: Both, high fat diet and exposure to conditioned medium increased PAR2 expression in aortas and human vascular cells, respectively. In HCSMC, conditioned medium elicited proliferation as well as cyclooxygenase 2 induction, which was suppressed by the PAR2 antagonist GB83. Specific activation of PAR2 by the PAR2 activating peptide induced proliferation and cyclooxygenase 2 expression which were abolished by blocking the VEGFR2. Additionally, treatment of HCSMC with the PAR2 activating peptide triggered VEGFR2 phosphorylation.

Conclusion: Under obesogenic conditions, where circulating levels of pro-inflammatory adipokines are elevated, PAR2 arises as an important player linking obesity-related adipose tissue inflammation to atherogenesis. We show for the first time that the underlying mechanisms of these pro-atherogenic effects involve a potential transactivation of the VEGFR2 by PAR2.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity is associated with comorbidities such as type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases (CVD) (Despres, 2012). CVD are the main cause for deaths in diabetes mellitus (Morrish et al., 2001) and lead to a significant increase in obesity-associated mortality (Lloyd-Jones et al., 2010). The development of obesity is a consequence of imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure causing storage of excessive energy in the adipose tissue (AT) (Hill et al., 2012). Besides being a storage organ, it is well established that AT influences systemic metabolism as an endocrine organ secreting a variety of proteins referred to as adipokines (Trayhurn et al., 2011). During the progress of obesity AT undergoes modulation such as the enlargement of adipocytes, or a switch in the secretome toward a prominent release of pro-inflammatory adipokines (Ouchi et al., 2011). In turn, these adipokines are known as inflammatory factors promoting insulin resistance (Hotamisligil et al., 1995). Furthermore, it has been proposed that high levels of circulating pro-inflammatory adipokines found in diseases such as obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus have an impact on cardiovascular function (Greenberg and Obin, 2006). Thus, adipokines represent a molecular link between metabolic and cardiovascular diseases (Taubé et al., 2012). There is evidence that they can directly exert deleterious effects on both endothelial and smooth muscle cells resulting in damage of the vasculature (Karastergiou and Mohamed-Ali, 2010; Lamers et al., 2011; Schlich et al., 2013).

In 1994, protease-activated receptor 2 (PAR2), a seven transmembrane domain, G-protein-coupled receptor, was discovered by Nystedt et al. (1994) and has been found to be expressed in endothelial (Mirza et al., 1996) as well as in smooth muscle cells (Molino et al., 1998). While PAR1, PAR3, and PAR4 activation is driven by thrombin, PAR2 is activated by serine proteases such as tryptase, factor Xa, trypsin and the TF-FVIIa complex (Adams et al., 2011). The proteolytic cleavage of the extracellular N-terminal domain leads to the unmasking of a tethered ligand which then binds to a binding pocket in the
receptor (Dery et al., 1998). In addition, PAR2 activation can be triggered by synthetic peptides mimicking the sequence of the tethered ligand (Macfarlane et al., 2001). In the vascular wall, activation of PAR2 initiates multiple signaling pathways exerting distinct responses, among others the control of vascular tone, and coagulation (Sriwai et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2014). Moreover, PAR2 activation can be triggered by pro-inflammatory adipokines such as DPP4 (Wronkowitz et al., 2014). In vivo, PAR2 activation drives the development of hypertension (Emilsson et al., 1997; Cicala et al., 1999) and PAR2 expression is enhanced in aortas of diabetic mice and in human coronary atherosclerotic lesions (McGuire, 2004; Napoli et al., 2004). Furthermore, upregulation of PAR2 content also occurs in endothelial cells and coronary arteries after treatment with inflammatory stimuli such as interleukin (IL)-1α, IL-1β, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α (Nystedt et al., 1996; Hamilton et al., 2001; Ritchie et al., 2007). Overall, these studies suggest that PAR2 is an important player in both endothelial and smooth muscle cell function as well as in the overall control of vascular reactivity. However, the role of PAR2 in obesity-related vascular diseases remains unclear. Therefore, we addressed the importance of PAR2 in the vasculature under obesogenic conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal Model**

Animal care and use were approved by the local Animal Ethics Committee according to the principles outlined in the European Commission Council Directive (86/609/EEC). C57BL/6J mice were obtained from Jackson laboratories. Twelve week old mice were either fed a high fat diet (HFD, 60% kcal fat; Research Diets, Darmstadt, Germany) or standard chow diet over 24 weeks. Animals were housed in polyacrylamide cages under temperature control (22°C) and a 12 h light/dark cycle. Mice were weighed every 4 weeks. After 24 weeks on the respective diets mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Aortas were collected, cleaned of fat, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until further analysis.

**Preparation of Explants**

Visceral AT from chow and HFD animals were collected in cold PBS supplemented with an antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Connective tissue and vessels were removed and fat pads were cut into small pieces. After washing three times in PBS, liquid was removed by putting explants on a sterile mesh. Relatively fat pieces were weighted and 100 mg of AT were incubated in 1 ml of HCSMC starvation medium (fetal calf serum (FCS)-free DMEM low glucose (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 37°C and 5%CO₂ to generate conditioned medium (CM). After 24 h CM was collected and centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 10 min and stored at −20°C until further use.

**Isolation of Preadipocytes and Generation of Human Adipocyte CM**

Preadipocytes were isolated from human abdominal AT obtained from moderately overweight or obese subjects undergoing plastic surgery following the protocol previously described and being approved by the ethical committee of the Heinrich-Heine-University (Düsseldorf, Germany; Hauner et al., 1995).

Preadipocytes were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium (Gibco Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 33 μmol/l biotin (Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany), 17 μmol/l d-panthothenic-acid (Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany), 14 mmol/l NaHCO₃ (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), human insulin (100 nM, Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany), dexethasone (1 μM, Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany), and 10% FCS (Gibco Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and grown until 90% confluence. Then differentiation was induced by addition of 0.25 μM troglitazone (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) and 0.2 mM IBMX (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany). The switch to differentiation medium is indicated as day 0. After 7 days, medium was changed to maintenance medium (differentiation medium deprived of troglitazone and IBMX) until day 14. Medium was changed every 2–3 days. On day 14, cells were treated with either human coronary artery endothelial cell (HCAEC) starvation medium composed of endothelial cell basal medium MV and 5% FCS or HCSMC starvation medium (DMEM low glucose, 0% FCS) for 48 h. CM was collected, centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 10 min and stored at −20°C until further use.

**Cell Culture**

HCAEC obtained from three different donors were purchased from PromoCell (Heidelberg, Germany) and cultured in endothelial cell basal medium MV (PromoCell, Heidelberg, Germany) supplemented with 20% FCS, 1 μg/ml hydrocortisone, 0.004 ml/ml ECGS and 10 ng/ml EGF at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Cells between passages 4–7 were used for experiments. When 90% confluence was reached HCAEC were washed with PBS and treated with CM. HCSMC from four different donors were purchased from PromoCell (Heidelberg, Germany), tebu-bio (Offenbach, Germany), and Lonza (Basel, Switzerland) were seeded in HCSMC growth medium (DMEM low glucose, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 10% FCS and cultivated according to manufacturer’s protocol at 37°C and 5% CO₂. After reaching 90% confluence, cells were washed with PBS and serum starved for 24 h. HCSMC were then treated with CM or PAR2 activating peptide (PAR2-AP, SLIGKV-NH₂; 50 μM, Bachem, Bubendorf, Switzerland). All experiments were performed in cells at passage 3.

**Immunoblotting**

Cells were lysed in a lysis buffer composed of 50 mM HEPES (Promocell, Heidelberg, Germany), 1% TritonX100 (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany), complete protease inhibitor and...
PhosStop phosphatase inhibitor cocktail at pH 7.4 (Roche, Basel Switzerland). Protein lysates were diluted with Laemmli buffer and denatured for 5 min at 94°C. Five microgram protein were loaded on a 10% gel SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane during blotting process. Reagents for SDS-PAGE were supplied by Amersham Pharmacia Biotech (Braunschweig, Germany) and by Sigma-Aldrich (Munich, Germany). Blots were blocked in a Tris-buffered saline solution containing 0.1% Tween and 5% milk powder and incubated with antibodies against: PAR2 (SAM11: sc-13504, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany), COX2 (#4842), GAPDH (#2118), phospho-VEGFR2 (Tyr1059) (#3817), VEGFR2 (#2479), NF-κB p65 (#8242), and phospho-NF-κB p65 (Ser536) (#3033). Unless otherwise stated, all antibodies were purchased from Cell signaling Technology (Frankfurt, Germany). We used the corresponding secondary HRP-coupled antibodies against mouse and rabbit (Promega, Mannheim, Germany). After washing, blots were exposed to Immobilon HRP substrate (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and analyzed with a VersaDoc 4000 MP work station (BIO-RAD, Munich, Germany).

**qRT-PCR**
RNA isolation of human cells was performed in RLT lysis buffer working solution containing 1% β-mercaptoethanol according to manufacturer’s protocols. Murine aortas were lysed in 1 ml Tripure (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) by a tissue lyser II (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) for 5 min at 29 s⁻¹ for mRNA isolation. mRNA purification of cells and aortas was done with an RNeasy Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and content of mRNA was measured with a NanoDrop2000 (Thermo Scientific, Schwerte, Germany). All samples were reversely transcribed into 1 µg/µl of cDNA using an Omniscript RT Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) and mRNA levels were determined by StepOne Plus real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Primers from Qiagen were used: Hs_F2RL1_1_SG (QT02589377), Hs_ACTB_2_SG (QT01680476) Hs_PTGS2_SG (QF00461055), mM_F2RL1 (QT02255330), and Mm_Rn18S (QT02448075).

**Proliferation Assay**
Prior to determination of proliferation, HCSMC were starved for 24 h. Cells were pre-incubated with the specific PAR2 antagonist GB83 (10 µM, Axon Medchem, dissolved in DMSO), the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 neutralization antibody (VEGFR2-NA, R&D Systems Wiesbaden-Nordenstadt, Germany, MAB3572) or calcium dobesilate (Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany) for 1 h. Subsequently, cells were treated either with CM alone, CM in combination with GB83, the PAR2 activating peptide (PAR2-AP, SLIGKV-NH2; 50 µM, Bachem, Bubendorf, Switzerland), or PAR-AP in combination with the VEGFR2-NA or dobesilate for 24 h. Five percentage FCS was used as a positive control. All treatments contained 10% BrdU. Proliferation was assessed by measuring BrdU incorporation (Proliferation Assay, Roche, Mannheim, Germany) with a microplate reader (Infinite M200, Tecan GmbH, Männersdorf, Switzerland).

**Caspase 3/7 Activity Assay**
HCAEC were seeded in a 96-well plate and cultured for 24 h. Cells were pre-treated with GB83 for 1 h and treated with CM alone or in combination with GB83 (10 µM) for 18 h. H2O2 (200 µM, Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany) was used as a positive control. Caspase 3/7 activity was measured by a Caspase-Glo® 3/7 Assay (Promega, Mannheim, Germany) as described in the manufacturer’s protocol. Luminescence was measured in a microplate reader.

**VEGF Release**
In order to monitor vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) release from HCSMC, supernatants were collected, centrifuged, and stored at −80°C. Supernatants were analyzed with a VEGF ELISA Kit purchased from R&D Systems (Wiesbaden-Nordenstadt, Germany).

**Adipokine Array**
The secretion profile of murine adipose explants was analyzed by usage of a proteome profiler (R&D Systems, Wiesbaden-Nordenstadt, Germany) according to manufacturer’s protocol. Membranes were incubated with murine CM from chow and HFD-fed animals.

**Statistical Analysis**
Statistical analysis was performed using the GraphPad Prism software (La Jolla, CA, USA). Unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test or one-way ANOVA (Bonferroni or Dunnett’s) were used to determine statistical significance considering a p-value below 0.05 as statistically significant. Data are expressed as mean values ± SEM.

**RESULTS**

**PAR2 Expression Is Elevated by HFD in Murine Aortas and by Human Adipocyte-Derived Factors in HCAEC and HCSMC**
Animals under HFD gained more weight compared to animals given a chow diet (Figure 1A). To monitor the impact of obesity on PAR2 expression in the vasculature of these mice, we analyzed PAR2 levels in aortas carefully controlled to be free of adipose tissue. PAR2 mRNA expression in aortas from HFD-fed animals was significantly higher (ΔΔCt of 1.2 ± 0.1) than in chow diet-fed animals (ΔΔCt of 0.8 ± 0.1) (Figure 1B). Furthermore, PAR2 expression was positively correlated with the body weight of corresponding animals (Figure 1C). To determine whether the observed changes in aortic PAR2 expression were specifically related to AT, we analyzed the effect of CM from murine adipose tissue explants on HCSMC. Exposure of HCSMC to CM of animals under chow diet had no effect on PAR2 content while treatment with CM obtained from HFD-fed animals provoked a 2-fold increase (Figure 1D).

Certain cytokines, which are elevated in obesity such as TNF-α or IL-1α are able to induce PAR2 (Nystedt et al., 1996; Hamilton et al., 2001). In order to explore the impact of adipokines on PAR2 induction, we generated CM from differentiated primary human
adipocytes obtained from overweight or obese subjects (BMI 30.1 ± 1.9 kg/m²). Human vascular cells were exposed to adipocyte CM. In HCSMC, PAR2 mRNA was significantly elevated up to 1.5 ± 0.2 fold over control after 1 h CM treatment (Figure 2A). At protein level an increase in PAR2 expression occurred after 6 and 24 h (1.7 ± 0.2 fold over control, respectively; Figure 2B). Moreover, PAR2 expression was enhanced in HCSMC exposed to CM of obese subjects. While CM of subjects with a BMI of 25 kg/m² was only capable to induce PAR2 1.2 ± 0.2 fold compared to non-treated cells, CM of subjects showing a BMI of 37 kg/m² could induce PAR2 to a significantly higher extent (Figure 2C).

**CM Induced PAR2 Levels and Apoptosis in HCAEC**

In HCAEC, CM treatment resulted in a biphasic increase of PAR2 expression at mRNA level (Supplementary Figure 1A), whereas PAR2 was gradually enhanced at protein level with a peak of 2.1 ± 0.4 fold over control after 24 h (Supplementary Figure 1B). Since the proliferation of smooth muscle cells during vascular remodeling is accompanied by endothelial cell apoptosis (Langille, 1993), we investigated the impact of adipocyte CM on caspase3/7 activity in HCAEC. Apoptosis of HCAEC was increased by CM and effects of CM were prevented by the PAR2 antagonist GB83 (Supplementary Figure 1C). GB83 alone had no effect on either cell proliferation or apoptosis.

**Induction of Cyclooxygenase 2 Levels Occurs Via PAR2**

Since cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2) is a key mediator of inflammation and vascular dysfunction (Vane and Botting, 1998; Bagi et al., 2006), we investigated the potential regulation of COX2 by CM. We exposed HCSMC cells to CM for the indicated time points. CM treatment triggered an enhancement of COX2 expression at mRNA level with a peak at 1 h (2.4 ± 0.4 fold over control; Figure 4A) and a peak at protein levels at 24 h (2 ± 0.3 fold over control; Figure 4B). One hour pre-incubation of HCSMC with the specific PAR2 antagonist GB83 downregulated CM-induced COX2 expression to basal levels (Figure 4C). Additionally, we detected a rise in COX2 protein level after challenging cells with the PAR2-AP for 1 h (1.5 ± 0.2 fold over control) and 2 h (1.6 ± 0.1 fold over control; Figure 4D). As a marker of pro-inflammatory signaling, we examined phosphorylation of the transcription factor NF-κB. After 24 h CM-induced phosphorylation of NF-κB was prevented by GB83 (Figure 4E). Accordingly, phosphorylation of NF-κB in HCSMC was induced by the PAR2 agonist PAR2-AP (Figure 4F).

**PAR2 Activation Leads to Proliferation and COX2 Induction in a VEGFR2 Dependent-Manner**

As previously described by Schlich et al., VEGF which signals through the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) (Ferrara et al., 2003), is a main contributor to smooth muscle cell proliferation (Schlich et al., 2013). Here, we found increased VEGF levels in CM of adipose explants from mice upon HFD (Supplementary Figure 2A). In addition, VEGF release from human adipocytes correlated with BMI of adipose tissue explant donors (Supplementary Figure 2B). In order to explore if the VEGFR2 was involved in PAR2-mediated proliferation and COX2 induction, we blocked the VEGFR2 by usage of dobesilate and VEGFR2-NA. Indeed, pre-incubation with either the inhibitor dobesilate or a VEGFR2-NA for 1 h and subsequent treatment with PAR2-AP resulted in a reduction of proliferation back to basal levels (1.0 ± 0.1 fold and to 0.9 ± 0.1 fold compared to control levels, respectively).
FIGURE 2 | PAR2 induction by adipocyte-derived factors in HCSMC. (A, B) Time course of PAR2 mRNA and protein expression after CM treatment for indicated time points was assessed by qRT-PCR and western blot in HCSMC. Data were normalized to β-actin or GAPDH levels respectively; \( n = 4-6 \) (* \( p < 0.05 \) vs. time 0). (C) PAR2 expression in HCSMC after challenge to CM for 1 h and its relation to BMI of AT donors, \( n = 15 \) (* \( p < 0.05 \)). Data represent mean values ± SEM. Conditioned medium (CM), human coronary smooth muscle cell (HCSMC).

FIGURE 3 | PAR2 mediated proliferation in HCSMC. (A) Proliferation was assessed by BrdU incorporation after 1 h pre-incubation with GB83 (10 μM) and subsequent treatment with either CM alone or in combination with GB83 for 24 h in HCSMC. FCS (5%) was included as a positive control. (B) Correlation of PAR2 induction after 24 h and proliferation rate by CM; \( n = 8 \). (C) Effects of PAR2-AP (50 μM) on proliferation was analyzed after 24 h; \( n = 4-6 \). Data represent mean values ± SEM (* \( p < 0.05 \) vs. control). Conditioned medium (CM), fetal calf serum (FCS), human coronary smooth muscle cells (HCSMC), PAR2-activating peptide (PAR2-AP).
FIGURE 4 | CM induces COX2 expression in HCSMC via PAR2. COX2 expression was determined in HCSMC exposed to CM for the indicated time points and (A) mRNA and (B) protein levels were quantified, n = 4–6. (C) CM-induced COX2 expression after 24 h and pre-incubation with PAR2 antagonist GB83 (10 µM); n = 6. (D) Time course of COX2 induction by PAR2-AP (50 µM); n = 6. (E) CM-induced pNF-κB expression after 24 h and pre-incubation with PAR2 antagonist GB83, n = 4. (F) Time course of pNF-κB expression by PAR2-AP, n = 5. Data of mRNA target gene levels are normalized to β-actin. Protein expression of COX was normalized to GAPDH and pNF-κB was normalized to tNF-κB. Data are mean values ± SEM (*p < 0.05 vs. time 0 or control). Conditioned medium (CM), human coronary smooth muscle cells (HCSMC), PAR2-activating peptide (PAR2-AP).
VEGFR2 blockade alone had no effect on HCSMC proliferation (Figure 5A). Furthermore, PAR2-AP-mediated COX2 induction of 1.5 ± 0.1 fold over control was diminished to 1.1 ± 0.03 fold over control after VEGFR2-NA and to 1.1 ± 0.1 fold over control after dobesilate addition (Figure 5C). Next, we explored if PAR2 activation affected VEGFR2 phosphorylation. After 2 h we observed an enhanced receptor phosphorylation (Figure 5C), while total VEGFR2 content was unaltered (Data not shown). However, VEGFR2 activation did not occur due to increased VEGF levels since exposure of HCSMC to PAR2-AP did not alter VEGF release compared to control conditions (Figure 5D).

**DISCUSSION**

The development of obesity is associated with functional and structural changes in the AT (Ouchi et al., 2011). Particularly, during obesity, AT switches to a more pro-inflammatory secretome (Ouchi et al., 2011). These secreted factors, upregulated in obesity, reach the vascular wall through the circulation where they can exert deleterious effects (Goldstein and Scalii, 2007). As a consequence, induction of vascular inflammation, endothelial dysfunction and smooth muscle cell proliferation takes place. These processes are hallmarks of vascular diseases and have been linked to PAR2 (Hirano and Kanaide, 2003; Wronkowitz et al., 2014; Romacho et al., 2016). To our knowledge, there are scarce reports about a direct connection between obesity and PAR2 in the vasculature. In the present study we aimed to explore the effect of CM as a model of circulating adipokines under obesogenic conditions. We show that obesity caused PAR2 upregulation in murine aortas, and that CM of obese subjects triggered PAR2 induction in HCSMC. Furthermore, CM induced HCSMC proliferation, COX2 and pNF-κB in a PAR2-dependent manner. We demonstrated that PAR2 activation and subsequent effects involved a transactivation of the VEGFR2.

It has been reported that obesity upregulates PAR2 locally in human AT as well as in AT of rats fed a HFD (Lim et al., 2013). Adipokines released from AT are now acknowledged as mediators of inter-organ crosstalk between the AT and the vascular wall (Romacho et al., 2014). Indeed, pro-inflammatory adipokines, which are upregulated in obesity, such as IL-1α, IL-1β, and TNF-α have been described as potent PAR2 inducers in the vasculature (Nystedt et al., 1996; Hamilton et al., 2001; Ritchie et al., 2007). Moreover, in human aorta PAR2 expression is enhanced in atherosclerotic lesions (Napoli et al., 2004). Therefore, we aimed to explore if a low-grade chronic inflammatory condition such as obesity had an impact on PAR2 expression not only in AT itself (Lim et al., 2013), but also in the vascular wall. Interestingly, 24 weeks of HFD increased PAR2 mRNA expression in murine aortas. PAR2 expression in aortas was positively correlated with the body weight of mice. Furthermore, solely CM from animals undergoing HFD was able to induce PAR2 in HCSMC. These findings were further corroborated in human vascular cells, namely HCAEC and HCSMC, where human adipocyte-derived CM promoted PAR2 upregulation on mRNA and protein levels. PAR2 mRNA expression was positively correlated to the BMI of AT donors. Altogether these data suggest that an obesogenic environment provokes changes in the AT secretome leading to PAR2 upregulation in the vascular wall.

Vascular remodeling, characterized by proliferation and migration of smooth muscle cells as well as apoptosis of endothelial cells (Langille, 1993), is an early event in the development of atherosclerosis (Lerman et al., 1998). We recently demonstrated that adipocyte-derived CM can initiate HCSMC proliferation (Schlich et al., 2013). In addition, we proved the participation of PAR2 in adipokine-induced HCSMC proliferation (Wronkowitz et al., 2014). Our data suggest that CM-mediated HCSMC proliferation as well as CM-mediated HCAEC apoptosis is PAR2 dependent since it is abolished by the PAR2 specific antagonist GB83 (Adams et al., 2012). The specificity of this antagonist has been previously demonstrated elsewhere (Barry et al., 2010). Accordingly, PAR2-AP induced HCSMC proliferation thereby confirming the involvement of PAR2. This result is in agreement with previous studies where PAR2 agonist induced proliferation of smooth muscle (Bono et al., 1997). Considering that PAR2 activation has been shown to result in migration of smooth muscle cells (Pena et al., 2012), these findings point toward a considerable role of PAR2 in vascular alterations. Further observations showed a trend for correlation between CM-induced HCSMC proliferation and PAR2 expression in these cells. Therefore, we assume that PAR2 abundance, upregulated by several CM-derived factors such as Tnfα and IL-1β (Nystedt et al., 1996; Ritchie et al., 2007), might facilitate proliferation. However, the precise relevance of PAR2 induction in the context of vascular and metabolic diseases remains poorly understood and has to be further elucidated.

There is evidence for a pivotal role of PAR2 during atherosclerosis (Dery et al., 1998; Wronkowitz et al., 2014). It is known that PAR2 agonism can lead to NF-κB activation in human coronary smooth muscle cells (Bretschnieder et al., 1999; Wronkowitz et al., 2014). To further elucidate the role of PAR2 in this process, we explored the impact of PAR2 agonism both NFκB, as a master regulator of inflammatory gene expression (Macfarlane et al., 2001) and COX2, another key regulator of vascular inflammatory processes (Bagi et al., 2006). We found that CM activated NF-κB and induced COX2 via PAR2. Analogously, PAR2-AP significantly enhanced COX2 expression and phosphorylation of NF-κB in HCSMC. Consistently, the PAR2 antagonist GB83 prevented these deleterious effects highlighting the importance of this receptor during atherogenesis and inflammation-related diseases. Our results are in line with other studies which showed PAR2-AP-stimulated COX2 expression in HUVECs (Syeda et al., 2006) and activation of NF-κB in smooth muscle cells (Bretschnieder et al., 1999). We therefore assume a critical role of PAR2 in controlling COX2- and NF-κB-mediated inflammation. However, one limitation of our study is that we have not dissected the precise interaction between COX2 and NF-κB.

Since it is known that the COX2 promoter region contains putative NFκB binding sites in smooth muscle cells (Tazawa et al., 1994; Nie et al., 2003) we can speculate that PAR2 activation most likely leads to NFκB translocation to the nucleus resulting...
in COX2 expression. Nonetheless, COX2 products such as PGE$_2$ are able to increase the transcription of NFkB, thus positively enhancing the inflammatory signaling (Poligone and Baldwin, 2001). So far there are no data available if and how exactly PAR2-mediated signaling pathways involve both NFkB and COX2. In the light of our data we cannot specifically dissect whether NFkB leads to COX2 induction, or if COX2 induction leads to NF-kB activity.

In this study we have focused on the effects of CM on inflammation and proliferation as two key processes in atherogenesis, since the role of PAR2 in adipokine-induced impairment of vascular reactivity (Romacho et al., 2016) and the effect of CM on endothelial migration has already been proven (Hu et al., 2013). CM contains numerous molecules derived from adipocytes, which serve as potential ligands for PAR2, thereby contributing to promote PAR2-mediated effects, such as proliferation. We have previously demonstrated that the adipokine DPP4 is an activator of PAR2 existing in the CM and driving proliferation through ERK1/2 activation in a PAR2-dependent manner (Wronkowitz et al., 2014). However, our group showed that CM-mediated HCSMC proliferation is mainly due to VEGF abundance (Schlich et al., 2013), which is even higher during obesity (Silha et al., 2005; Loebig et al., 2010; Disanzo and You, 2014). Among the potential factors present in the CM promoting PAR2-mediated proliferation and inflammation we now identified VEGF as an adipokine upregulated in murine CM from AT explants upon HFD (Supplementary Figure 2A). Moreover, VEGF abundance is positively correlated to BMI of human adipocyte donors (Supplementary Figure 2B). VEGF signaling occurs via receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) particularly VEGF receptor 1 and 2 (VEGFR1 and VEGFR2), of whom the latter is known to be responsible for most of the VEGF-induced actions, including proliferation (Waltenberger et al., 1994; Ferrara et al., 2003; Shibuya and Claesson-Welsh, 2006). In the present study, we prove that PAR2 is involved in HCSMC proliferation. Therefore, we hypothesize that PAR2 and VEGFR2 interaction may lead to COX2 induction resulting in HCSMC proliferation. Nevertheless, there are several factors in the CM, which have to be identified in future studies, potentially leading to PAR2 activation, induction or both via distinct mechanisms such as binding to the binding pocket at the extracellular loop 2 (sDPP4) (Wronkowitz et al., 2014), the cleavage of the N-terminus and unmasking of the tethered ligand (trypsin, cathepsin S) or...
the disarming of the receptor (cathepsin G) (Kagota et al., 2016). Synthetic PAR2-AP activate PAR2 due to binding to the binding pocket located at the extracellular loop 2 (Adams et al., 2011) which might result in divergent effects compared with CM-mediated actions. Furthermore, we cannot discard the participation of other novel mediators of atherogenesis such as miRNAs (Blumensatt et al., 2014; Novak et al., 2015).

Receptor crosstalk between PARs, other GPCRs and RTKs such as the VEGF receptor has been observed in various cell types for example endothelial or smooth muscle cells (Gschwind et al., 2001; Chandrasekharan et al., 2010; Gieseler et al., 2013; Mazor et al., 2013). To determine if receptor interaction is required in PAR2-mediated proliferation and COX2 upregulation, we assessed the effect of the VEGFR2 inhibitor dobesilate (Angulo et al., 2011) and a VEGFR2-NA. Specific activation of PAR2 by addition of PAR2-AP induced proliferation and COX2 expression which were suppressed by both dobesilate and the VEGFR2-NA. Furthermore, activation of PAR2 resulted in phosphorylation of VEGFR2. Altogether, these results point toward a PAR2-induced transactivation of VEGFR2. Since both receptors are present on the surface of the same cell types, receptor interaction is plausible. Receptor crosstalk occurs via different components such as the release of agonists or transactivation due to intracellular signal transducers (Gieseler et al., 2013). To shed more light onto underlying mechanisms we analyzed whether transactivation of VEGFR2 was ligand dependent or not. The PAR2 agonist did not increase VEGF release from HCSMC indicating that VEGFR2 transactivation is VEGF independent. However, VEGF production in response to PAR2 activation has been described in other cell types (Liu and Mueller, 2006; Dutra-Oliveira et al., 2012; Rasmussen et al., 2012). Nonetheless, other intracellular molecules such as tyrosine kinase Src, ROS and protein tyrosine phosphatases have been discussed to be potential mediators of RTK and PAR interaction (Gieseler et al., 2013). In our case, preliminary data discard the participation of Src (data not shown). Data on receptor transactivation are based mainly on findings focusing on PAR1 and RTKs, others than VEGFR2, thereby demonstrating the novelty of our results. Since precise mechanisms whereby PAR2 promotes activation of VEGFR2 and subsequent proliferation as well as COX2 induction are not yet fully understood, only further investigations will shed light on the specific mechanisms mediating this novel PAR2-VEGFR2 transactivation.

CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated a pivotal role of PAR2 in obesity-related pro-atherogenic events. Adipocyte-derived CM from obese subjects triggered PAR2 induction in HCAEC and HCSMC. In turn, PAR2 upregulation might facilitate CM-induced proliferation and COX2 induction in HCSMC. We propose that during obesity upregulation and activation of PAR2 by elevated levels of pro-inflammatory adipokines in the circulation, results in NFkB activation, COX-2 induction and proliferation, key processes in the development of atherosclerosis (GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT). Importantly, these pro-atherogenic events involved transactivation of the VEGFR2 by PAR2. Therefore, we propose an essential function of PAR2 in vascular cells and present PAR2 as a potentially useful therapeutic target in the treatment of obesity-associated atherogenesis. Therefore, it is of great importance to investigate the exact mechanisms by which PAR2 transactivates the VEGFR2 and to identify certain PAR2 activators triggering the observed effects.
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