A model of anterior cruciate ligament injury in cynomolgus monkeys developed via arthroscopic surgery
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Abstract. The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is an important structure that maintains the stability of knee joints. Animal models of ACL injury are helpful to explore its underly- ing mechanisms, and strategies for prevention, treatment and rehabilitation. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to develop an efficient model of ACL injury in cynomolgus monkeys via arthroscopic techniques. In the present study, 18 cynomolgus monkeys were randomly divided into a model group (n=6), a sham operation group (n=6) and a blank control group (n=6). One-quarter of the ACL was removed under arthroscopy in the model group. In the sham operation group, only arthroscopic exploration was performed as a control. In the blank control group, monkeys were housed under the same conditions for the same length of time. Magnetic resonance imaging examination was performed pre- and post-operatively, as well as measurements of the circumference of the thigh and calf, and of the maximum flexion degree of the knee. Anterior drawer test, Lachman test and pivot-shift tests were also performed. The results revealed that the injured side of the knees in the model group became unstable, as determined from evaluation of the physical tests. In conclusion, based on these findings, the modeling method of ACL injury was effective, and may contribute to the associated research concerning ACL injury.

Introduction

The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is among the important structures that maintain the stability of knee joints (1,2). Partial ACL injury and complete ruptures of the ACL are associated with high energy injuries and sports trauma. ACL injury is commonly divided into three types: Mild, moderate and severe injury. According to the severity of the injury. In terms of the severity of injury, conservative treatment or ligament reconstruction can be recommended. Whichever treatment is selected, developing an animal model of ACL injury is one of the effective methods of studying the developmental mechanism, prevention, treatment and rehabilitation of ACL injury.

Severe injury or complete ruptures of the ACL have more impact on the stability of knee joints, and ligament reconstruction is often considered as a clinical treatment (3-8). Injury to one-quarter of the ACL is referred to as a mild injury. In cases of mild injury, if the other ligaments and muscles around the knee joint still maintain the stability of the joint, ligament reconstruction surgery is not necessary, and non-surgical treatment is usually applied. In this aspect, related research using animal models of partial ACL injury are helpful and necessary to improve the efficiency of non-surgical treatments and the recovery from ACL injury.

Many animal models of ACL injury have been reported, some of which have been widely used in related research (9-11). Generally speaking, there is still no suitable animal model that exactly replicates the human knee and ACL injury. On the one hand, it is not easy to develop an ACL injury model in small animals, such as rats, despite the decreased modeling time, as the small size of the knee joint increases the difficulty of surgery and nursing, leading to an increased risk of infection or even accidental death. On the other hand, for some models of large animals such as rabbits and dogs, it is easier to perform surgery due to the larger surgical visual field of the knee joint. However, a longer duration of modeling and rehabilitation are needed, which is costly in terms of experimental funding. In particular, previous studies have been reported that the stifle joints of sheep and the knees of pigs may be more similar to human knees than other animals (such as rats, rabbits or dogs) based on the size and anatomy of the knees (12,13). However, sheep or porcine models are different from humans in terms of their standing physics. By contrast, primates represent valuable...
models for human disease research, since they are phylogenetically close to humans, sharing more similarities in physiology, anatomy and genetics. From previous observations made during feeding of cynomolgus monkeys, it was noted that the monkeys had the ability to stand or walk on their hind limbs alone, and that the length of time spent in such a position was greater compared with the aforementioned animals, thereby showing more similarity with the human body in terms of the physics of standing or walking. These physiological characteristics allow the knee joints of primates to better mimic the human model. Moreover, the instability of the knee joint could be more obvious in the state of standing on hind limbs alone than on four limbs and may, therefore, be more similar to clinical manifestation of ACL injury in humans. Therefore, the ACL injury model of cynomolgus monkeys may be helpful in studying injury and reconstruction of the ACL. However, to date, few studies using an ACL injury in primates have been reported. On the basis of this, the current study mainly focused on modeling ACL injury in cynomolgus monkeys.

Furthermore, the previous methods of developing animal models of ACL injury have mainly involved open surgery, with the risk of blood loss and trauma. With injury to the soft tissues and anatomical structures around knee joint at the time of surgery, rather than injury to the ACL alone, these models are different from common spontaneous sports injury to the ACL in the human body. Besides, these methods may have negative effects on certain follow-up experiments involving structures around the knee joint. Considering aforementioned points, it is necessary to seek a modeling method to overcome these shortcomings. In this aspect, arthroscopic technique could be a better solution. Based on these considerations, we found that the size of the knee joint in monkeys was large enough to operate arthroscopic technique as well, in comparison with other animals.

In this study, we developed a useful alternative model of ACL injury in cynomolgus monkeys via arthroscopic techniques, which may provide a basis for research into ACL injury.

Materials and methods

Ethical statement. All procedures were approved by the Ethical Inspection Committee of Animal Experiments of Yunnan Yinmore Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (Yunnan, China; no. 2016001). Animal care was in accordance with the ‘Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals’ (Office of Science and Health Reports CPRR/NIH 1996).

Experimental animal and feeding. A total of 18 male cynomolgus monkeys (specific pathogen free), were purpose-bred and purchased from Yunnan Yinmore Biological Technology Co., Ltd., with accreditation of the animal research facilities by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC).

All monkeys were housed at the Laboratory Animals Breeding Center of Yunnan Yinmore Biological Technology Co., Ltd. In detail, the monkeys were housed in during sleeping, feeding and rest periods in several stable cages, each measuring 1.5 m (H) × 2 m (W) × 1.5 m (D). The housing conditions were a 12/12-h light/dark cycle, with a temperature of 22-24°C and relative humidity of 45-65%. The monkeys were fed daily with formula feeds. Water was available ad libitum via water bottles. Additionally, all animals were moved out of the cages to another spacious activity room, approximately measuring 4 m (H) × 12.5 m (W) × 6 m (D), equipped with resting shelves, a small rockery, wooden branches and a swing, for 6-8 h of free time per day. Additionally, videos and music were occasionally played to relax the monkeys as well. (Fig. 1A and B).

Animal modeling. The animals were randomly divided into three groups, including a model group (n=6), a sham operation group (n=6), and a blank control group (n=6). Based on previous experiments and related statistical estimations, the sample size of 6 per group can be statistically significant, especially for experiments on primates, such as cynomolgus monkeys. In the model group and the sham operation group, the unilateral side of injury was chosen at random for each animal.

Cynomolgus monkeys in the model group were subjected to unilateral knee surgery to induce ACL injury. The arthroscopic instruments (Smith & Nephew Endoscopy, Andover, MA, USA; 72200616) were prepared before surgery and the equipment was strictly sterilized by the operators. Then, the monkeys were anesthetized using Zoletil 50 (Virbac, Carros, France; 5 mg/kg, intramuscular) and were fixed in a supine position with the surgical area shaved. Marking of the incision and the application of an ipsilateral lower extremity proximal tourniquet was performed. After all the preparatory steps, anterior medial and anterior lateral approaches of the knee joint were built with 0.5-cm long incisions to explore the knee joint (Fig. 2A and B). Exploration indicated that the articular cartilage, ACL, posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) and meniscus were intact. Approximately, one-quarter of the ACL was transversely cut (Fig. 2C and D) under a clear arthroscopic field of vision. At the end of the surgery, the incision was closed with 3-0 absorbable sutures (Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Fort Worth, TX, USA). The operation finished. The modeling operation was completed by the same group of doctors. Soft padded bandages were placed and maintained on the operated limbs for 2 weeks. For the first 3 postoperative days, levo-floxacin hydrochloride and sodium chloride injection (Heng Ao, Shanghai, China; 8 mg/kg, one dose/12 h, intravenous) were used to prevent infection. The animals were monitored daily and tramadol hydrochloride for injections (QiMaiTe, Shijiazhuang, China; 2 mg/kg, one dose/day, intramuscular) were used to provide analgesia as needed.

In the sham operation group, all preoperative preparation and postoperative care was the same as the model group, while only arthroscopic exploration was performed without any interventions.

As a control, cynomolgus monkeys in the blank group underwent normal feeding without any interventions.

Model identification

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The MRI (Multiva 1.5T; Philips Healthcare, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) inspection of the knees of the monkeys in the three groups was performed by the same radiologist, under anesthesia, before surgery and at 2 weeks post-surgery.
Observation of animal physiology. Before surgery, measure-ment of the circumference of the thigh and calf, and of the maximum flexion degree of the knee, as well as Anterior drawer, Lachman and Pivot-shift tests, were performed on monkeys in the three groups under anesthesia, by the same orthopedist specializing in surgery and recovery of the knees. At 2 weeks post-surgery, when the incision had healed and tissue edema had disappeared, these measurements were performed again in the three groups.

Measurement of bilateral thigh and calf circumference. Thigh circumference was measured at 5 cm above the patella (Fig. 3A). Usually, the thigh circumference of humans is measured at the suprapatellar 10-15 cm point. Because the thigh segment of the cynomolgus monkey is shorter than that of humans, thigh circumference was tested at 5 cm above the patella on the monkeys. In addition, calf circumference was measured at approximately the widest position of the calf (Fig. 3B). The measurements were helpful to compare the degree of muscular atrophy before and after surgery.

Maximum flexion degree of the knee. Under anesthesia, the position of the knee joint was flexed maximally in the supine position, and the angle (Fig. 3C) was measured. The measurement was helpful to compare the motion of the knee joint before and after surgery.

Anterior drawer test. Under anesthesia, monkeys were fixed in a supine position, with the hips passively flexed to 45 degrees, the knee passively flexed to 90 degrees and the feet flat on the table. With the distal side of the leg fixed, the proximal side of the leg was pulled forward by the examiner using both hands, and the degree of movement of the tibia was scored as follows (Fig. 3D): (-), double tibial forward movement was equal; (1+), the ipsilateral tibial migration was greater than the healthy side and tibial forward movement was <5 mm; (2+), tibial forward movement was 5-10 mm; (3+), tibial forward movement was >10 mm. In the clinic, (-) indicates that the stability of the ipsilateral knee joint is normal. Meanwhile, other scores indicate that the ipsilateral knee joint is unstable, and higher scores indicate greater instability.

Lachman test. The distal side of the leg was held in one hand and the proximal side of the calf was held in the other hand under anesthesia in a supine position, so as to observe the movement by reverse force of both hands (Fig. 3E). The scoring system was the same as for the anterior drawer test.

Pivot-shift test. In a supine position, monkeys were anesthetized before inspection with a fully-extended knee joint. One hand of the examiner was placed on the outside of the knee, with the other holding the foot to make the calf rotate. The knee was gradually flexed from 0 degrees, and the tibial plateau began to gradually move forward towards subluxation when the knee was moved from the ‘lock’ position. When the knee was flexed...
to 20 degrees, instability appeared as a positive result (Fig. 3F). A positive result indicated instability of the knee joint.

At the end of above evaluation, all cynomolgus monkeys were fed continually as usual, in preparation for future studies into ACL injury and other related research.

Statistical methods. All data were statistically analyzed using SPSS 17.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) statistical software. All measurement data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. One way analysis of variance was used to compare differences among the three groups in preoperative or postoperative indexes. Comparisons were performed, by paired t-test and Levene's test, between the preoperative and postoperative indexes of the same group. The non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis H test and the χ² test were performed for comparison of ranked data among the three groups. The level of statistical significance was set at α=0.05.

Results

Animal status. At 2 weeks post-surgery, the incisions had healed without any infection or delayed healing in the monkeys of the model and sham operation groups. The operated limbs were able to be exercised gradually. At the same time, the monkeys in the blank control group remained healthy without any injury.

MRI. Before the operation, all animals were examined with MRI and no abnormalities were found. The MRI inspection of the knee joint in the model group showed that the ACL had some signs of injury, while the articular cartilage, PCL and meniscus were intact (Fig. 4A). In the sham operation group and the blank control group, MRI analysis indicated that the basic anatomical structures of the knee joint, including the articular cartilage, ACL, PCL and meniscus, were intact (Fig. 4B).

Observation of animal physiology. Before the operation, no significant differences were observed among the three groups regarding age, weight, head-sacrum length, circumference of the thigh and calf, maximum flexion degree of the knee, and anterior drawer test, Lachman test and pivot-shift test results (Table I).

There were no significant differences among the three groups on examination of the circumference of the thigh and calf, and the maximum flexion degree of the knee after surgery (Table I). By contrast, in the postoperative evaluations of the anterior drawer, Lachman test and pivot-shift test results, significant differences were noted among the three groups, while no significant differences were found between the sham operation and the blank control groups (Table II).

No significant differences were observed between the sham operation group and the blank control group regarding the physical evaluations performed before and after surgery (Table II).

In the model group, the differences in the anterior drawer test, Lachman test and pivot-shift test results were significant between the preoperative and postoperative evaluations (Table III). No significant differences were identified between the preoperative and postoperative evaluations of the
circumference of the thigh and calf, and the maximum flexion
degree of the knee.

**Discussion**

There is no definite classification for ACL injuries, Ihara and Kawano (14) classified MRI of the ACL in the
sagittal plan into 4 types according to the degree of injury: Type I, straight and continuous band; type II, curved and
continuous band; type III, displacement; and type IV, disrupted or horizontally oriented or unclear. American
Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) considered the
injured ligaments as ‘sprains’ and the ACL injuries are graded
on a severity scale: Grade 1 Sprains. The ligament is mildly
damaged, it has been slightly stretched, but is still able to help
keep the knee joint stable. Grade 2 Sprains. A Grade 2 Sprain
stretches the ligament to the point where it becomes loose, this
is often referred to as a partial tear of the ligament. Grade 3
Sprains. This type of sprain is most commonly referred to as
a complete tear of the ligament, the ligament has been split
into two pieces, and the knee joint is unstable. It is known
that the injured ACL has the potential for primary healing,
and several studies have reported a spontaneous healing of the
ACL after acute rupture (15-17). Also, according to AAOS,
nonsurgical management of isolated ACL tears is likely to be
successful or may be indicated in patients with partial tears
and no instability symptoms. Whereas, there is a limitation
for doctors to identify the mild injury, and it would result in
worse outcome if the patients missed the proper treatments.
Partial tears take up 10 to 35% of ACL lesions, which are more
or less serious lesions with a variable prognosis (18,19). The
treatment of choice for partial ACL tears remains ambiguous.
Traditionally, there are two treatments for partial tears:
surgical treatments which require sacrifice of the remnant
ACL fiber for proper graft placement, whereas nonsurgical
treatments are suitable for patients who do not participate in
strenuous sports activities (20,21). Besides, recently, selective
ACL augmentation has been considered as an alternative
choice of treatment (22,23), as it can preserve knee joint
proprioception (24). Whereas the biological and biomechanical
advantages of the augmentation have not been fully
investigated (25). Several comparative studies have compared
augmentation with classical ACL reconstruction and got
unlike outcomes (26-28). Thus, it is necessary to create models
for studying the mild injury. And one-quarter ACL injury is an
ideal tear type that not only represents for partial tear but also
minimizes the injuries to model animals.

In MRI analysis, the degree and range of injury of the ACL
may be displayed (29-31), although the MRI signal of the ACL
may be uneven, due to the occurrence of natural degeneration
of the ACL (32-34). As a result, it is easy to misdiagnose ACL
injury via MRI. Therefore, MRI can only be used as an objective
auxiliary examination of ACL injury and must be confirmed
with arthroscopy. In our study, the model was evaluated after
2 weeks, mainly because tissues around the knee joint that had
been injured in the operation would have recovered, and edema
would have dissipated. Comparisons between the functional
evaluations of the knee joint were performed among the three
groups, as well as preoperative and postoperative evaluation
in the model group alone. Statistical differences were found in
the evaluations of the anterior drawer test, Lachman test and
pivot-shift test (35-37), while no significant differences in the
maximum flexion degree of the knee, and the circumference
of the thigh and calf, were observed. The results indicated
that one-quarter ACL injury may cause instability of the knee
joint when ligaments and muscles around the knee joint do not
compensate to maintain the stability of the joint (38-41), which
reflected the main characteristics of the model. At the same
time, it is notable that short-term instability of knee will not
lead to atrophy of the muscles of the hind limb and decreased
flexion of the knee. Moreover, in the sham operation and the
blank control groups, no statistical differences were observed
regarding the physiological tests performed before and after
intervention, excluding the effects of objective conditions on
the development of model, making the results more convincing.

The cynomolgus monkey ACL injury model has certain
advantages (42). Firstly, compared with other experimental
animals, cynomolgus monkeys are more similar to human
beings in the anatomical structure of the knee joints, such as
the femoral condyle, tibial plateau, patella, patellar tendons,
ACL, PCL, and medial and lateral meniscus. Secondly, the
instability of the knee joint after ACL injury in monkeys
is more similar to the common manifestation of ACL
injury in humans, as a result of similar standing physics.

---

**Figure 4. Magnetic resonance imaging observation of the knee joints. (A) The normal ACL on T1W was continuous and uniform, and the tension was good. (B) Tension and continuity of the injured ACL on T1W were poor. ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; T1W, T1-weighted.**
For instance, the knee joints of the cynomolgus monkeys often bear vertical weight through standing on the hind limbs during daily activities. The length of time that the cynomolgus monkey spends standing vertically or squatting is more similar to humans, compared with other model animals, indicating similar biomechanical characteristics. Thirdly, the size of the articular cavity is acceptable for the arthroscopic lens (2.7 mm), allowing for modeling by arthroscopy in this study.

In addition, this method of modeling is a short-duration operation with minimal invasive surgical injury. Animals were operated on under strict sterile procedures, and surgery was performed by a qualified surgeon during the process of modeling. The lack of any infection indicated that primates, such as cynomolgus monkeys, may have a strong immune system, which is conducive to an enhanced success rate of modeling. Simultaneously, this reduces any interference of infection in the modeling process. The whole modeling

Table I. Baseline characteristics of cynomolgus monkeys prior to surgery.

| Parameter                        | Model group (n=6) | Sham operation group (n=6) | Blank control group (n=6) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Age (years)                      | 4.62±0.12         | 4.62±0.10                 | 4.62±0.13                |
| Weight (kg)                      | 6.55±0.21         | 6.52±0.18                 | 6.49±0.47                |
| Head-sacrum length (m)           | 0.42±0.19         | 0.43±0.22                 | 0.42±0.18                |
| Thigh circumference (cm)         | 16.85±0.31        | 16.82±0.16                 | 16.87±0.32               |
| Calf circumference (cm)          | 12.03±0.34        | 12.00±0.26                 | 12.03±0.41               |
| Maximum flexion degree of knee (˚) | 157.00±5.10     | 156.50±5.54                 | 156.50±3.02               |

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation.

Table II. Comparison between the characteristics prior to and following surgery in cynomolgus monkeys.

| Group                            | Time   | Thigh circumference (cm) | Calf circumference (cm) | Maximum flexion degree of knee (˚) |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Model group (n=6)                | Preoperation | 16.85±0.31     | 12.03±0.34               | 157.00±5.10                        |
|                                  | Postoperation | 16.78±0.27     | 12.30±0.34               | 156.00±1.46                        |
| Sham operation group (n=6)       | Preoperation | 16.82±0.16     | 12.00±0.26               | 156.50±5.54                        |
|                                  | Postoperation | 16.82±0.16     | 11.92±0.87               | 156.17±2.20                        |
| Blank control group (n=6)        | Preoperation | 16.87±0.32     | 12.03±0.41               | 156.50±3.02                        |
|                                  | Postoperation | 16.88±0.24     | 11.90±0.49               | 156.00±1.29                        |

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation.

Table III. Comparison of physical examinations prior to and following surgery in cynomolgus monkeys.

| Group                            | Time   | Anterior drawer test | Lachman test | Pivot-shift test |
|----------------------------------|--------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Model group (n=6)                | Preoperation | (-) (n=6)         | (-) (n=6)     | (-) (n=6)         |
|                                  | Postoperation | (2+) (n=5), (3+) (n=1) | (2+) (n=3), (3+) (n=3) | (2+) (n=5), (3+) (n=1) |
| Sham operation group (n=6)       | Preoperation | 0+(n=6)          | 0+(n=6)       | (+) (n=6)         |
|                                  | Postoperation | 0+(n=6)          | 0+(n=6)       | (-) (n=6)         |
| Blank control group (n=6)        | Preoperation | 0+(n=6)          | 0+(n=6)       | (-) (n=6)         |
|                                  | Postoperation | 0+(n=6)          | 0+(n=6)       | (-) (n=6)         |

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05 vs. model group (preoperation); †P<0.05 vs. sham operation group (postoperation); ‡P<0.05 vs. blank control group (postoperation).
process was conducive to the rapid development of a model with fast recovery and fewer adverse effects. Therefore, this model of ACL injury is more similar to human ACL injury, which may indicate its greater suitability, compared with other animals, for basic research and clinical applications.

Arthroscopic techniques have been successfully applied in many clinical disciplines (43,44). In terms of joint surgery, arthroscopy has been widely used in the shoulder, elbow, wrist, hip, knee, ankle and other joints (45-50), especially in applications in the knee joint, and the technology has progressed (51-57). As a result, arthroscopic techniques were selected to develop the present model, due to a series of advantages. Firstly, compared with other modeling methods of open surgery, the surgical trauma is limited and the surgical skin incision is only 0.5 cm in length, leading to rapid postoperative healing without other injuries or complications. This can effectively ensure the simplicity of the model and reduce confounds to the experimental results. Secondly, the small amount of intraoperative bleeding reduces the risk of postoperative complications, such as blood accumulation in the joint, infection, inflammation and tissue adhesion after surgery. Thirdly, arthroscopic techniques have the characteristic of enhanced visibility in the joint cavity, reducing damage to surrounding tissues and structures, so as to allow for quantification and precision regarding damage to the ACL. Finally, under arthroscopy, the tissue (joint fluid, ligaments, synovial membrane and cartilage) in the joint cavity can be analyzed via dynamic monitoring.

Despite the efficiency of the model obtained in this study, certain limitations remained. Primarily, all of the cynomolgus monkeys were male and there was, therefore, uncertainty about the additional effects of gender on the results. In addition, the model was evaluated at 2 weeks post-surgery, so there was a lack of long term follow-up regarding the effects of the arthroscopic surgery. The evaluation criteria of the animal model could be more in-depth. In addition, the study did not include pathological staining, immunohistochemistry or any other follow-up observation of the ACL, and microscopic changes in the ACL due to injury were not observed. All of these considerations remain to be addressed in future studies.

In conclusion, the cynomolgus monkey model of ACL injury, with the main feature of instability of the knee joint, provides an important tool for basic research into ACL. Using the arthroscopic technique, a method associated with little surgical trauma and a short surgical and recovery duration, the aim of quantitative and accurate ACL injury can be achieved. This model may be helpful for basic research in this field.
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