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Abstract

Context: Nowadays, it is argued that educators can no longer rely on traditional teaching methods and more effective learner–centered education techniques are required. This study sought to assess retention of knowledge after ACLS workshop, comparing those who had used an educational electronic package as an adjunct to the lecture method, and with those who had not.

Methods: There were 48 residents of anesthesiology were assigned to intervention and control group at the beginning of their residency in 2009 and 2010. In the intervention group, all subjects were asked to review the content of an educational electronic package for 3 weeks before the ACLS workshop. The ACLS workshop was held as the previous conventional method. The acquisition of ACLS knowledge was assessed by a questionnaire before and immediately after the workshop and the retention of knowledge by the same questionnaire 6, and 12-months later. The differences between mean scores were determined with independent t-test.

Results: The mean score in the intervention group was 14.21±1.97 vs. 10.25±2.55 in the control group after 6 months (P <0.001). The results showed a difference between the 2 groups. It was only significant with respect to test score of 6-months after the workshop. A year later both groups almost reached the same point.

Conclusion: This study showed the educational electronic package as an adjunct to the lecture method can be used as an aid to recall and a reinforcement technique to significantly enhance residents’ retention of the ACLS course for a short period of time. However, more researches are needed.

Keywords: Education, Teaching materials, Retention, CPR

Introduction

Since the establishment of clinical skills lab center in Tehran University of Medical Sciences, regular and ongoing CPR training workshops have been held for different classes of learners, including interns and residents in different professional disciplines. Although the impact of these training courses on the survival rate of patients and hospital discharge rates is unknown, Advanced Cardiac Life Support (ACLS) training is considered an important part of CPR training (Dane, 2000; Camp, 1997). A large number of literatures in recent years have assessed the efficacy of resuscitation training and pointed out that skills and knowledge declined over time (Cooper, 1997; Sanders, 1994). In other words, ACLS scores significantly decreased in the first year after certification, so more frequent refresher training is needed (Pottle, 2000). Thereby, the American Heart Association (AHA) recommends that those whose daily work requires knowledge and skills in ACLS should not only be trained in ACLS, but also be given a refresher course at least every 2 year (Hazinski, 2005).
However, poor knowledge and skill retention following CPR training for medical staff has been documented over the past 25 years (Hamilton, 2000; Hammond, 2000). Many factors such as: inadequate training and practice in hospital wards, inefficient education, inappropriate or insufficient content of CPR workshop, time interval between instruction and practice in real situation, lack of hospital supervision on CPR steps, lack of adequate feedback and ineffective educators have been proposed to have negative effect in this field (Kidd, 2007; Broomfield, 1996).

On the other hand, factors like ongoing CPR training, simple education, training using multimedia devices and feedback provided by educators could have positive effects on knowledge and skill retention (Osteen, 1996; Stross, 1983).

It is important to determine the retention time of knowledge after CPR training in order to design ACLS training programs and refresher courses especially for medical staffs employed in critical care areas (Young, 2000). Furthermore, it is apparent that an appropriate approach to ACLS training necessarily needs methods which can improve learning and recalling in clinical situations (Kidd, 2007).

Method of resuscitation training in clinical skill lab center of medical school in Tehran University of Medical Sciences is lecture based along with showing educational film and then practicing on mannequins. This method of training and great volume of theoretical content presented during lecture may cause participants to get tired. Finally, it may lead to less efficient training (Kaye, 1998; Semeraroa, 2006).

Nowadays, it is argued that educators can no longer rely on traditional teaching methods and more effective learner–centered education techniques are required (Billi, 1993; Kimaz, 2006). For instance, some studies have revealed that multimedia interactive (computer-aided learning) can meet many of the important conditions for adult learners, like flexibility in time and location (Christenson, 1998; Batcheller, 2000). Therefore, currently, in many centers different teaching methods are used to enhance efficacy of the programs of CPR training (Reder, 2006; Brannon, 2009; Smith, 2008). One method is using the educational package in electronic form. We aimed to assess the effect of an educational electronic package as an adjunct to the lecture method on knowledge acquisition and retention of ACLS training. In this study, we chose anaesthesiology residents because they are engaged directly in the cardiopulmonary resuscitation in hospitals affiliated to Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

Methods

This is a quasi-experimental study which was carried out at the clinical skills lab center of Tehran University of Medical Sciences. Participants were first year anaesthesiology residents in 2009 and 2010. After explaining the research goals to them, all participants signed a written consent form.

All residents were assigned to intervention and control group at the beginning of their residency. In order to control confounding factors, we just entered anaesthesiology residents. Because of the limitation in number of new residents in each year, our sample size in each group was 24 according to samples available. All subjects in the intervention group were given an educational electronic package and were asked to review the contents of this Compact Disk (CD) for 3 weeks before ACLS training workshop.

This electronic package consisted of the theoretical materials, the algorithms and educational films related to resuscitation which was provided in a CD. In fact it was the same material teachers provided in their lectures. The ACLS training workshop was held as previous conventional setting, including lecture and educational films in small groups of 5 residents for both groups (intervention and control group).

Theoretical materials were based on a quick review, asking and answering questions, and the troubleshooting method. The course contents were based on the AHA latest strategies.

The acquisition of ACLS knowledge was assessed by a questionnaire completed by participants before and immediately after the workshop and the knowledge retention was assessed by the same questionnaire completed by the subjects in 6-months, and 12-months later. The first test served as a pre-test to assess baseline knowledge. Residents were not informed about the time of tests and they were taken without prior announcement. The questionnaire included 20 MCQ (Multiple Choice Questions) which were designed according to the AHA algorithms and translated into farsi and then content validity was assessed by taking experts opinion (anaesthesiologist, cardiologist, and emergency medicine). Reliability of the tool was checked using the test-retest and K- coefficient method.
The difference between the scores of before and immediately after the workshop was considered as the knowledge acquisition and the difference between the scores of immediately after the workshop, while 6 or 12 months laters was considered as a marker of knowledge retention.

Data were expressed as simple count or mean [±SD]. Statistical analysis of the patient’s data from the two study groups was conducted using the independent t-test. Statistical calculations were performed using SPSS version 17.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

The questionnaires were completed by 48 anaesthesiology residents in skills lab center of Tehran University of Medical Sciences. There were 24 residents in the intervention group with the mean age of 35.04 ± 4.32 years and 24 in the control group with the mean age of 35.25 ± 4.51 years. Both groups were females 66.7% and 33.3% were males. The mean score in the intervention group before the workshop was 9.92 ± 2.33 vs. 9.42 ± 3.06 in the control group it was (P=0.528). The mean score in the intervention group after the workshop was 16.21 ± 2.44 vs. 15.38±1.43 in the control group (P=0.157). Also after 6 months, the mean score in the intervention group was 14.21 ± 1.97 vs. 10.25 ± 2.55 in control group (P<0.001). And the final test mean score (12-months) in intervention group was 11.75 ± 1.82 vs. 11.63 ± 2.33 in the control group (P=0.837). As shown in Figure 1, the difference between 2 groups was only significant with respect to test score of 6-months after the workshop. After one year, both group almost reached the same point.

Table 1 shows inter-group differences in mean scores. As seen in this table, significant differences were found in the mean scores of the pre and post-workshop test, post-workshop test in compared to 6-months test later, post-workshop test in compared to test of 1 year later, 6-months test in compared to test of 1 year later in the intervention group, the same results were obtained in the control group and the mean score of 6-months test in compared to test of 1 year later was insignificant.

Figure 1: Comparison between mean scores of two groups.
### Table 1: Inter-group differences in mean scores

|                          | Pre-Workshop and Post-Workshop | Post-Workshop and 6 Months Later | Post-Workshop and 1 Year Later | Six-Months and One Year Later |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Intervention Group       | Mean difference: -6.292 P value = .000 CI: -7.366 to 5.217 | Mean difference: 2.000 P value = .000 CI: 1.329 to 2.671 | Mean difference: 4.458 P value = .000 CI: 3.502 to 5.415 | Mean difference: 2.458 P value = .000 CI: 1.587 to 3.330 |
| Control Group            | Mean difference: -5.985 P value = .000 CI: -7.375 to -4.541 | Mean difference: 5.125 P value = .000 CI: 3.961 to 6.289 | Mean difference: 3.750 P value = .000 CI: 2.535 to 4.965 | Mean difference: -1.375 *P value = .099 CI: -3.031 to .281 |

*P>0.05 was statistically non-significant

### Discussion

In recent years, a variety of educational techniques have been utilized to promote knowledge retention of ACLS (Hagyard, 2007; Filgueiras, 2006). This study sought to assess acquisition and retention of knowledge after ACLS workshop, comparing those who had used an educational electronic package with those who had not. In respect to knowledge acquisition, there was significant difference between pre and post workshop scores in both groups; showing that the workshop was successful in rising the level of ACLS knowledge among trainees. In regard to knowledge retention, there was significant mean difference between post-workshop test and sixth months test score in each group (P<0.001). In other words, a decrease in score of sixth month test was observed in both groups compared to post-workshop test. Indeed retention of knowledge began to decline over a 6-months period of time in each group.

In this study, we also observed significant mean differences of score between post-workshop test and 12 months test in each group (P<0.001). It appears that a decrease in score has been continued with time in both groups. Our findings are consistent with results of previous studies. Osteen et al. (1996) observed that ACLS scores significantly decreased among 40 registered nurses employed in the critical care wards during their first year after training. Noteworthy in this study, even in the group of conducting mock mega code scenarios, ACLS scores decreased after 6 months (Osteen, 1996). Smith et al. (2008) evaluated staff's retention of ACLS and BLS knowledge and skills by written and performance tests (initial, post-training, and final testing). It was found that only 30% and 14% of participants passed ACLS tests taken at 3 and 12 months consequently (Smith, 2008). Settles et al. (2011) compared two methods of teaching ACLS (traditional classroom instruction and classroom instruction plus practice with patient simulators). Their findings showed no significant differences in ACLS knowledge immediately after instruction or at 3 to 9 months post-training (Settles, 2011). The main finding of the present study was that knowledge score significantly remained higher in the intervention group in 6 months after the workshop comparing it to the control group. It seems that, to some extent, the educational electronic package could be able to enhance knowledge retention, but no longer than 6 months in the period of one year and after that there were no significant differences between the 2 groups.

The results also showed that while a significant decrease in knowledge score occurred in 12 months compared to 6 months in the intervention group (P<0.001), this decrease was not statistically significant at the same time in the control group (P=0.099). This finding again highlights that the educational electronic package can have positive effect on knowledge retention of ACLS and increase it for a short period of time.

Hence, this research suggests that ACLS training with using this electronic package should be carried out at least every 6 months to prevent deterioration of the knowledge (Stempien, 2009).

In the same line with our findings, AHA guideline recommends that those whose daily work requires knowledge and skills in ACLS such as anesthesiologists, should not only be trained in ACLS but also be given an updating training regularly (Kidd, 2007; Stempien, 2009). In this regards, Kaye et al. (1998) assessed a newly designed refresher course and showed that knowledge and skill improved immediately after refresher course and 2 to 4 months later but no longer than that (Kaye, 1998).
In the published literature, we found many studies which have assessed the effect of different types of computer-based training on knowledge retention of ACLS compared to conventional methods (Christenson, 1998; Batcheller, 2000; Lee, 2010). For instance, Cheristenson et al. (1998) compared two methods of ACLS training (multimedia based method vs. standard method) and showed the similarity in the performance of the two study groups to some extent that the difference was not large enough to distinguish one method of education as superior (Christenson, 1998). Moule et al. evaluated a BLS CD-ROM for a BLS test which was found to be adaptable and positively evaluated by most learners. The main drawback of this method was the inability of learners to interact with others which led to the fact that computer-based learning is a poor substitute for lecture based CPR training (Moule, 2001). However, we did not replace the CD-ROM with the ACLS lecture in our study; but used it as an adjunct to our current ACLS training program. Another study demonstrated that computer assisted training besides lecture based teaching could be more effective on knowledge and skill retention of ACLS (Fabius, 1994).

Also it should be mentioned that, the newest curriculum guidelines of the AHA for ACLS education have been adjusted based on self-directed, case-based learning strategies. Educational electronic package besides lecture-based method, can be considered as an aided recall and reinforcing technique in order to facilitate learning and remembering knowledge in a more extended time (Darr, 2000). Moreover, the educational electronic package was a self-study one. It appeared to be an effective tool which provide availability to learners at any time (Hamilton, 2005). Stross (1983) carried out a study on 132 physicians who completed an ACLS course. These physicians were randomly allocated into a control group or one of two groups which receiving mailed periodic reprints (group1) or quarterly patient management problems (group2). In fact these interventions provided reinforcement of previously mastered knowledge and skills. All physicians were retested for knowledge and skills after one year. There were just significant differences in knowledge retention among groups. The knowledge retention in the control group was 52%, while group1, 75% and group 2 averaged 82% (Stross, 1983). As mentioned earlier, reinforcing techniques need to be considered in order to boost knowledge retention specially at regular intervals (Darr, 2000).

In conclusion, our results showed that an educational electronic package can be used as an adjunct to the current method for ACLS training to anesthesiology residents and can significantly enhance their knowledge retention for a six months period. Reinforcement courses seem to be necessary after six month of a CPR education program. However additional research with a larger sample size and also in other groups of medical students are needed to confirm its effectiveness.
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