The Relationship Between Anxiety, Depression and Treatment Adherence in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Systematic Review
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Background: Almost half of the people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) do not adhere to the prescribed treatments and report anxiety and depression as comorbidities, resulting in higher rates of exacerbations, hospitalizations, and worse clinical outcomes.

Objective: This systematic review provided a synthesis of studies about the relationships between anxiety, depression, and adherence in people affected by COPD.

Methods: English language publications were searched in the PUBMED, SCOPUS, PsycInfo, Web of Science, PsycArticles, and Cochrane Library databases from December 2020 to March 2021, following PRISMA guidelines. The reference lists of eligible studies and other relevant systematic reviews were also searched. Data extraction and critical appraisal were undertaken by two reviewers working independently.

Results: A total of 34 studies (23 quantitative and 2 qualitative studies, 9 reviews) were included. The relationship between depression and treatment adherence was significant and negative. Adherence to both rehabilitation, psychological, and antidepressant pharmacological treatments in depressed patients was linked to a decreased risk of hospitalization. Moreover, depressed patients compliant with an antidepressant were more likely to adhere to COPD maintenance inhalers. On the other hand, the associations between anxiety and adherence were poorly investigated and high heterogeneity characterized the studies, leading to a weak and variable relationship as well as too few interventions.

Conclusion: The systematic review highlights the variability in estimates of the relationship between depression, anxiety, and treatment adherence in COPD. It could be explained by methodological differences across the included studies. This suggests that standardization is critical to improving the precision of the estimates. Recommendations for future research include attention to causal inferences, an exploration of mechanisms to explain the relationships between both anxiety and depression and adherence in COPD, and a comprehensive, systematic approach.
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Introduction

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is an irreversible but treatable condition characterized mostly by dyspnoea, cough, and sputum production. Tobacco smoking is the main exposure but air pollution, genetic or abnormal lung development may contribute. It is the fourth cause of morbidity worldwide and it is expected to be soon the third leading cause of mortality.
Comorbidity with anxiety and depression is frequent and well known for quite some time, although often underrated due to the priority of symptoms management, especially when coexisting with physical illness. The Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) emphasizes the importance of anxiety and depression assessment as a part of the evaluation of COPD patients. Prevalence and incidences’ rates vary widely across studies, owing to differences in sampling methods and degrees of illness severity as well as in assessment instruments adopted. The reported prevalence of depression in COPD ranges from 10% to 42% in people with stable COPD and from 10% to 86% in those with an acute exacerbation. Prevalence rates for clinical anxiety in COPD range from 13% to 46% in outpatients and 10% to 55% among inpatients. According to Biswas et al., the prevalence of depression and anxiety varies from 12% to 57% in different studies from western countries. Existing evidence suggests that there is an increasing prevalence of anxiety disorders in COPD patients varying from 2% to 50%, and as many as 55% of these patients may suffer from psychiatric disorders. The most common depressive disorder in COPD is represented by major depressive disorder, followed by dysthymias (chronic depressive symptoms of mild severity), and minor depression. On the other hand, generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), phobias, and panic disorders mainly occur in the clinical picture of anxiety. It is also relevant to stress that depression and anxiety often occur simultaneously in patients with COPD, ranging from 26% to 43%. Depression and anxiety could also interact with other risk factors (like smoking) to produce stronger combined effects on mortality.

Anxiety and depressive symptomatology have an impact on COPD exacerbations and mortality. Anxiety is negatively associated with exercise performance while depression does not seem to be significant in predicting walk distance of COPD patients. Depression is associated with lower health-related quality of life and higher hospitalizations. Growing evidence suggests that the relationship between anxiety, depression, and COPD is bidirectional. Therefore, they adversely impact prognosis in COPD as well as COPD increases the risk of developing depression and/or anxiety. Disease symptoms are, in fact, important determinants of depression in COPD, and anxiety and depression worsen COPD outcomes, triggering a vicious circle. Nevertheless, the exact relationship between anxiety, depression, and COPD remains unclear. More longitudinal studies are required. For example, a longitudinal analysis identified depression as a risk factor for breathlessness, and not vice versa. Study followed 1580 COPD patients in a 3-years follow-up, finding that about one in four had persistent depressive symptoms and those experienced more exacerbations and loss in performance. Anxiety and depression are more closely related to Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) than to lung function parameters, even though they are strongly related to dyspnoea ratings both after exercise and during everyday activities. Health outcomes are worse in COPD people with mood disorders, although the exact mechanism for the association remains unclear.

Anxiety and depression can interfere with COPD management, creating a pathologic cycle of deteriorating health status, and lowering adherence to treatments and pulmonary rehabilitation. Being a treatable disease, patient self-management, adherence, and self-care behavior are important aspects of COPD treatment. Although anxiety, depression, and treatment adherence have been studied intensively in COPD, less is known about the association between these factors. According to study, for example, depressed COPD patients are 3 times more likely to be non-compliant with prescribed medication but also exercise, diet and health-related behavior, but few studies quantified the impact of anxiety or depression on adherence or vice versa.

The present systematic review provides an essential update of the expanding literature characterizing the relationship between anxiety, depression, and treatment adherence in patients with COPD. This paper also aims to integrate evidence to examine whether these highly comorbid conditions share underlying features and to critically appraise the effect of methodological inconsistencies and confounding factors. A more nuanced understanding of the pathophysiology of anxiety and depressive disorders and their relationship with adherence may inform future diagnosis and treatment options in COPD.

Methods
The protocol was registered with PROSPERO international prospective register of systematic reviews (# CRD42021238035).

Search Strategy, Eligibility Criteria, and Information Sources
Systematic searches were conducted from December 2020 to March 2021 following PRISMA guidelines (Appendix).
The PUBMED, SCOPUS, PsycInfo, Web Of Science, PsycArticles, and Cochrane Library databases were consulted to capture human studies from inception to 25th March 2021 that: specified a relationship between depression and/or anxiety and adherence/compliance in COPD patients: studies with populations with other illnesses beside COPD (eg, asthma) were included only if the results for the COPD group were highlighted and separated from other groups; considered the patient’s point of view, and their experience, not the physicians or caregiver’s.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria, as well as comprehensive search terms, are presented in Appendix A.

Study Selection

Titles, abstracts, and keywords were independently reviewed by two authors (EV; ST) excluding those that did not meet the eligibility criteria. A third author (FP) was consulted to resolve inconsistencies in screening decisions. Other articles were excluded because they were not available or not published. Full-text articles assessed for eligibility were read by the researchers and assessed following PICO criteria and inclusion/exclusion criteria.

Data Extraction and Analysis

Data were extracted by two independent researchers (EV; ST) and confirmed by a third one (FP). Information gathered from each study considered the following data: title, authors, publication year, country, journal, kind of study, demographic description of participants (gender, mean age, kind of illness, mean time from diagnosis), physiological parameters, family members, objectives of the study, outcomes, setting, follow-up, drop-out rate, inclusion and exclusion criteria, analysis, instruments, explored themes and findings. Data from the final data extraction forms were tabulated and are discussed in this review in a purely descriptive fashion. Due to the heterogeneity of the data and the diversity of the clinical trial designs, no additional sub-analyses or meta-analyses were planned or performed.

Quality Assessment of Studies

Methodological quality assessment of the included studies was completed with the Revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2)\(^\text{29}\) for RCTs and the NIH quality assessment tool (https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools) for the other designs. The Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) qualitative checklist (https://casp-uk.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/CASP-Qualitative-Checklist-2018.pdf) was used for the qualitative studies.

Results

Study Selection

The search strategy allowed to identify 1136 potentially relevant records 304 articles were retrieved from PubMed, 292 from Scopus, 178 from Web of Science, 38 from PsycInfo, 214 from PsycArticles, 103 from Cochrane Library, and 7 from additional records (Figure 1). After removing 670 duplicates, a total of 466 records’ titles and abstracts were screened and 115 were selected for full-text review. After removing the other 81 articles, 34 studies were included in this review. The most common reasons for exclusion were: no mention of anxiety and depression or adherence or no reference to the relationship between these variables.

Study Characteristics

The 34 included studies represent 15 different countries, including 16 from the USA, 3 from the UK, 3 from Italy, and 12 other countries (see Tables 1-3). Most of the studies were conducted in university settings or pulmonary rehabilitation centers (sometimes university-affiliated hospitals) and communities. Many studies, being retrospective, observational, cohort, etc., were conducted through databases or data collected in previous studies: Health Search Database, ADCARE study, Centers for Medicare, and Medicaid Services Chronic Condition Warehouse (CCW) data, CASCADE study, etc.

Twenty-three studies adopt a quantitative approach, 2 are qualitative and 9 are reviews. One of the 2 qualitative studies is an intervention description with a case example,\(^\text{30}\) the other is an observational study with a phenomenological approach.\(^\text{31}\) Five studies are Randomized Controlled Trials,\(^\text{32–36}\) two\(^\text{37,38}\) are retrospective cohort studies. Four\(^\text{39–42}\) are cohort studies with a longitudinal approach. Two\(^\text{43,44}\) are defined as “retrospective longitudinal cohort studies”. Seven\(^\text{25,45–50}\) are observational cross-sectional studies. One is a retrospective observational study.\(^\text{51}\) One is a retrospective cross-sectional study\(^\text{52}\) and one is defined as a “cross-sectional multicentre study”.\(^\text{53}\)

The 25 non-review studies represent a total of 153.105 adults with COPD. The mean age was between 60.4 and 75 years, with a range from 40 to 90 years. On average, females comprised from 7.9% to 76.5% of the study samples. In 15
of the 24 studies with sample description, females are more than males. Thirteen of 25 studies had a follow-up, mean follow-up time varied from 4 weeks to 24 months.

Sample sizes among studies ranged from 5 to 112 patients in the QL (qualitative) studies and from 39 to 74,863 patients in the QNT (quantitative) studies.
| References            | Country     | Kind of Study                | Sample Size | Gender/Mean Age | Primary Outcomes                                      | Follow Up | Drop Out | Analysis                                             | Intruments and Measurements                  |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Albrecht et al, 2017  | USA         | Retrospective cohort study   | 16,075      | F = 76.5%       | Adherence to inhaled COPD maintenance medications, depression | Minimum 12-month follow-up period | MISSING | Generalized linear models with a binomial distribution and a complementary log-log link | PDC, ICD-9-CM codes 296.2x, 296.3x and 311.xx |
| Heerema-Poelman et al, 2013 | The Netherlands | Longitudinal Cohort study   | 70          | M = 45.5%       | Adherence to the maintenance exercise program | After 6 and 12 months | 10 patients | Logistic regression analysis, Pearson correlations, The Hosmer and Lemeshow Test | ISWT, self-reported questionnaire on adherence, Illness Perception Questionnaire-Revised, St George's Respiratory Questionnaire, HADS |
| Zucchelli et al, 2020 | Italy       | Longitudinal cohort study    | 3177        | M = 69.1%       | Adherence to the triple inhaled therapy               | 1 year from the first day of triple therapy prescription | MISSING | Logistic regression model with backward stepwise procedure, sensitivity analysis | PDC, ICD-9-CM                                |
| Kokturk et al, 2018   | UAE         | Observational cross-sectional study | 405          | M = 81.5%       | Adherence, disease impact                             | No        | No       | Univariate and multivariate analysis, y2-test, Fisher's exact test, Kruskal-Wallis test,multiple logistic regression analysis | CAT, MRC dyspnea scale, EQ-5D-3L, HADS, MMAS-8 |
| Gauthier et al, 2015  | Canada      | Retrospective observational study | 115           | M = 45%         | Compliance (daily duration of oxygen)                 | No        | No       | Fisher exact test, univariate analyses and multivariate logistic regression model | CCI, concentrator                           |
| Albrecht et al, 2016  | USA         | Retrospective longitudinal cohort study | 31,033       | F = 64.8%       | Adherence to inhaled COPD maintenance medications, depression | 24 months | MISSING | chi-squared test, Student t test, generalized estimating equations with a binomial distribution and a logit link, multinomial regression model | PDC, COW depression algorithm (ICD-9-CM codes) |

(Continued)
| References         | Country | Kind of Study                   | Sample Size | Gender/Mean Age                  | Primary Outcomes                                                                 | Follow Up | Drop Out | Analysis                                                                 | Intruments and Measurements                                                                 |
|--------------------|---------|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Qian et al, 2014   | USA     | Retrospective cross-sectional    | 74,863      | F = 63.2% Mean age = 72.2±12.0; range from under 65 to 85 | COPD maintenance medications use and adherence                                | No        | No       | Univariable and bivariable analyses, Chi-square tests, t-tests, modified Poison regression, generalized estimating equations (GEE) | CCW depression algorithm (ICD-9-CM codes), PDC                                               |
| Chen et al, 2017   | USA     | Longitudinal cohort study       | 282         | M = 80% F = 20% Mean age = 67.7±8.6 at baseline, 68.6 ± 8.6 at t1, 69.6 ± 8.6 at t2 | Structural and functional social support, self-care behaviors, adherence to inhaler or nebulizer medications | After 12 and 24 months 77 patients | No       | Mixed-effects longitudinal unadjusted and adjusted models                | BODE index, CCI, HADS, MOSSS, SAM, self-report questionnaire                                |
| Pierobon et al, 2017 | Italy   | Multicenter observational cross-sectional study | 84         | M = 63 (75%) F = 21 (25%) Mean age = 70±7.0 | Pharmacological and non pharmacological adherence                              | No        | No       | Descriptive analysis, t-test, Mann–Whitney test, chi-square test, Fisher’s exact test, logistic regression model | Rehabilitation program with educational sessions, exercise training respiration against resistance, calisthenics, MMSE, HADS, GDS, BDI-II, MMAS, ASICOLD-R, MoCA |
| Busch et al, 2014  | USA     | Retrospective cohort study      | 111         | M = 56 (50.4%) F = 55 (49.6%) Mean age = 70(±10) for male completers, 70 (±14) for male non completers, 70 (±9) for female completers, 67 (±13) for female non completers | Predictors of completion of PR program                                         | No        | No       | Descriptive analysis; chi-square, Fisher’s exact test, one-way analysis of variance, Logistic regression models | CRQ;6MWT; CES-D                                                                                     |
| Jarab & Mukattash, 2019 | Jordan | Cross-sectional observational study | 133         | M = 40.6% F = 59.4% Mean age = 63(±15) | Medication non-adherence                                                    | No        | No       | Stepwise logistic regression analysis                                     | COPD knowledge questionnaire, 4-itemMMAS, SGRQ, HADS                                                                 |
| Doyle et al, 2013  | Australia | Cross-sectional, multicenter study | 105         | M = 43% F = 57% Mean age = 70.9(±8.3), range from 52 to 90 | Compliance with program                                                      | No        | No       | Descriptive analysis, Pearson correlation                                 | HADS, DSSI                                                                                      |
| Study | Country | Study Design | Sample Size | Gender Distribution | Mean Age (±SD) | COPD Action Plan Adherence | Analysis Method | Questionnaires/Tools |
|-------|---------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Choi et al, 2014 | South Korea | Cross-sectional descriptive study | 126 | M = 116 (92.1%), F = 10 (7.9%) | Mean age = 68.65 (± 8.62) | COPD action plan adherence | No | No | One-way analysis of variance, independent t-test, Pearson's correlation | Short COPD AP developed by Family Physician Airway Group of Canadian Thoracic Society, Renaissance II portable spirometer (MedTek), BCKQ, HADS, SGRQ. |
| Alexopoulos et al, 2013 | USA | Clinical Trial | 138 | M = 75 (43.3%), F = 98 (56.7%) | Mean age = 66.6 (±9.7) | Remission of depression and dyspnoea-related disability | At 52 weeks | 82 patients | Intent-to-treat analyses, Cox's proportional hazards survival analysis | PPSDQ-Modified, 17-item HRSD |
| Khour et al, 2012 | UK | Cross-sectional observational study | 173 | M = 44 (53.7%), F = 38 (46.3%) | Mean age = 65, range from 45 to 77 | Medication non-adherence | No | No | Chi-squared test or the Fisher exact test, Student's t-tests, Mann-Whitney U-tests; binary stepwise conditional logistic regression | MMAS, HADS, COPD knowledge questionnaire, HBM questionnaire, CSES |
| Bosley et al, 1996 | UK | Longitudinal Cohort study | 82 | M = 23 (59%), F = 38 (46.3%) | Mean age = 71.3 (±7.2) | St George's respiratory questionnaire (SGRQ) score | After 4 weeks | 11 patients | Pearson's correlation; Chi-squared test and independent t-tests; multiple regression analysis (forward stepwise method) | Number of doses taken/number of doses prescribed x 100, HADS, SGRQ |
| Dowson et al, 2004 | New Zealand | Observational cross-sectional study | 39 | M = 23 (59%), F = 38 (46.3%) | Mean age = 71.3 (±7.2) | COPD Self-Management Interview (COPD-SMI) scores | No | No | Student's t-test, Pearson coefficient, Mann-Whitney U and Spearman correlation | COPD-SMI, AIR, DSM-IV |
| Wei et al, 2018 | USA | Retrospective longitudinal cohort study | 25,458 | M = 72.3%, F = 9 (11.5%) | Mean age = 64.9 (±9.9) (data available at follow-up) | COPD maintenance use and adherence | Continuous follow-ups until death or 12/31/2012 | MISSING | Marginal structural models (MSMs), multivariate unweighted regression models | PDC, ICD-9-CM, CCI |
| Turan et al, 2014 | Turkey | Cross-sectional study | 78 | M = 53 (85.5%), F = 9 (14.5%) | Mean age = 64.9 (±9.9) | Medication use and adherence | 6 months | 16 patients | Chi-square test, independent sample t-tests | HADS, ASI, Short-Form 36-Item Questionnaire, National Guide of Turkish Thoracic Society for Asthma |

(Continued)
| References | Country | Kind of Study | Sample Size | Gender/Mean Age | Primary Outcomes | Follow Up | Drop Out | Analysis | Intruments and Measurements |
|------------|---------|---------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Alexopoulos et al, 2016 | USA | RCT | 101 | Female = 43 (68.3%) for the favorable course and 15 (62.5%) for unfavorable course Mean age = 75 for favorable course and 67.5 for unfavorable course | Depressive symptoms, pulmonary functional status, global disability, medical burden, anxiety | 10 weeks, 14 weeks, 26 weeks | 44 patients | Mixed-effects models, latent class growth modeling (LCGM), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) | 24-item HAM-D, SCID-R interview, PFSDQ-M, interviewer-administered scale assessing dyspnea during performance of ten activities, 12-item WHODAS-II, CCI, 7-item GAD Scale, NEO-PI, Liverpool Self-Efficacy Scale, PID-C and PSAscale |
| Alexopoulos et al, 2018 | USA | RCT | 101 | F = 35 (70%) in the stable trajectory, 22 (68.75%) in the improving trajectory Mean age = 72.06(±9.74) in the stable group, 71.56 (±8.39) in the improving group | Dyspnea-related disability, Global disability, Depressive symptoms, medical burden, anxiety, neuroticism, social support and self-efficacy | 10 weeks, 14 weeks, 26 weeks | 14% of PID-C group and 13.7% of PSA group died. 15.6% with improving trajectory and 12% with stable trajectory died | Mixed-effects models, latent class growth modeling (LCGM) | PFSDQ-M, WHODAS-II-12, HAM-D, CCI, GAD-7, NEO-PI, DSSI, Liverpool Self-Efficacy Scale, PID-C and PSAscale |
| Jackson et al, 2019 | USA | RCT | 101 | F = 11 (64.7%) in improving QoL trajectory and 47 (67.1%) in the stable QoL trajectory Mean age = 73.1(±8.7) in improving QoL trajectory and 71.8 (±9.4) in the stable QoL trajectory | depressive symptoms, pulmonary functional status, global disability, medical burden, anxiety | 10 weeks, 14 weeks, 26 weeks | 44 patients | Mixed-effects models, latent class growth modeling (LCGM), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) | WHOQOL-BREF, CCI, HAM-D, NEO-PI, PFSDQ-M, WHODAS-II-12, MMSE, Stroop Color and Word Test, Liverpool Self-Efficacy Scale, PID-C and PSAscale |
Alexopoulos et al. 2014

USA

RCT

138

F = 49 (69.0%) in Usual Care and 42 (62.7%) in Intervention

Mean age = 71.0 (±7.7) in UC and 70.9 (±8.5) in Intervention

Depressive symptoms, dyspnea-related disability

9 sessions over 28 weeks

18% of PID-C participants and 17% of UC participants died.

Other attrition was 25% in PID-C arm and 17% in the UC arm.

Intent-to-treat analyses, Cox's proportional hazards survival analysis, mixed-effects models, exploratory moderation analysis.

17-item HAM-D, Pulmonary Functional Status and Dyspnea Questionnaire – Modified, CRAFT, CCI, Stroop Color-Word Test, Dementia Rating Scale, NEO-PI, DSSI

Abbreviations: COPD, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; PR, Pulmonary Rehabilitation; USA, United States of America; UK, United Kingdom; UAE, United Arab Emirates; RCT, Randomized Control Trial; PDC, Proportion of Days Covered; CID, International Classification of Diseases; ESST, Incremental Shuttle Walk Test; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; CAT, COPD Assessment Test; MCR, Medical Research Council; EQ-5D-3L, EuroQol Five-Dimension questionnaire; MMAS, Morisky Medication Adherence Scale; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CCW, CMS Chronic Condition Warehouse; BODE, Body-Mass index, airflow Obstruction, Dyspnea and Exercise; MOSS, Medical Outcomes Social Support Scale; SAM, Stepwatch 3 Activity Monitor; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; ASiCOLD-R, Adherence Schedule in Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease – Revised; MoCa, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; CRQ, Chronic Respiratory Questionnaire; 6MWT, six-minute walk test; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; St George respiratory questionnaire; DSSI, Duke Social Support Index; BCKQ, Bristol COPD Knowledge Questionnaire; PFSQ, Pulmonary Functional Status and Dyspnea Questionnaire; HRSD, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; HBM, Health Belief Model; CSES, COPD Self-Efficacy Scale; COPD-SMI, COPD Self-Management Interview; AIP, Assessment of Illness perception; ASI, Anxiety Sensitivity Index; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; SCID, Structured Clinical Interview for DSM disorders; WHODAS- II, World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule II; GAD, Generalized Anxiety Disorder; NEO-PI, NEO Personality Inventory; PID-C, Personalized Intervention for Depression and COPD; PSA, Problem Solving Adherence; WHOQOL-BREF, World Health Organization Quality Of Life – Abbreviated; CRAFT, COPD Rehabilitation and Activity Follow-up Talley.

Table 2 Characteristics of the Qualitative Studies Included

| References | Country | Kind of Study | Sample Size | Gender/Mean Age | Primary Outcomes | Follow Up | Drop Out | Analysis | Intruments and Measurements |
|------------|---------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Sirey et al, 2007 | USA | Description of intervention + case example | 112 | MISSING (Case example is Female and 73) | Barriers to treatment adherence | Up to 6 months | No | Content analysis | Bungay personal communication, Link Stigma Coping Scale. |
| Torheim & Gjengedal, 2010 | Norway | Observational study with Phenomenological approach | 5 patients (+ 8 nurses) | | How do the patients feel when using the mask, and what do the nurses experience in caring for these patients? What could contribute to making the treatment less traumatic? | No | No | Thematic analysis | Interviews, focus group |

Abbreviation: USA, United States of America.
| References                        | Country | Kind of Review | Time Framework | Database | Search Strategies | Nr. Studies Included | Instruments of Included Studies                                                                 | Treatments of Included Studies                                                                 | Study Designs of Included Studies |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Yohannes et al, 2018              | USA     | Literature Review | Not specified  | Not specified | Not specified     | Not specified         | AIR Disease scale, CAF, PRIME-MD, PHQ, PHQ-2 and PHQ-9, GAD-7, HADS, GHQ-20, BAL, BDI          | CBT, Pulmonary rehabilitation, antidepressant treatment, antipsychotics, stress reduction therapy, self-management techniques | Not specified                   |
| Norwood & Balkissoon, 2005        | USA     | Review          | Not specified  | Not specified | Not specified     | 6                    | Not specified                                                     | Antidepressant treatment                                                    | Not specified                   |
| Alexopoulos & Latoussakis, 2004   | USA     | Literature Review | Not specified  | Not specified | Not specified     | Not specified         | CES-D, Zung Depression Scale, HADS, BDI, GDS                                                   | Antidepressant treatment, Oxygen, cognitive therapy, aerobic exercise, progressive muscle relaxation, biofeedback, meditation, stress management, psychoeducation | Not specified                   |
| Alexopoulos et al, 2008           | USA     | Literature Review | Not specified  | Not specified | Not specified     | Not specified         | Cognitive-behavioral therapy, cognitive therapy, behavioral therapy, reminiscence therapy, brief psychodynamic therapy, Problem solving therapy, multi-level intervention to increase treatment adherence, pharmacological treatment | Not specified                                                               | Not specified                   |
| Study                                                                 | Country | Study Type        | Population Characteristics                                                                 | Outcome Measures                                                                                       | Methods                                                                                           | Design                                                                                     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Yohannes & Alexopoulos, 2014                                          | UK      | Review            | Not specified                                                                                 | HRSD, ELDRS, GDS, GMS, MADRS, MRADL, BPQ, Short-Form 36-Item Questionnaire, CRQ, HADS, BDI, SGRQ, 6MWD, ZSDS, 12MWD, SSAI, CGI, PRAS, PFSI, SIP, MACL | 10 (only studies on pharmacological treatments are listed)                                       | Descriptive study, case report, RCT, Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, Single-blind, open study, Randomized, double-blind, crossover trial |
| Hogea et al, 2020                                                    | Romania | Literature Review | Not specified                                                                                 | Not specified                                                                                         | Not specified                                                                                     | LABA treatment, ICS treatment, PPI therapy, inhalers.                                      |
| Ranzini et al, 2020                                                   | Italy   | Short review      | Not specified, PubMed, Medline, Scopus, PsycINFO                                              | COPD, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, chronic diseases, MCI, Mild Cognitive Impairment, cognitive impairment, dementia, adherence, compliance, self-care, self-management, daily activities, psychological, psychosocial. | 9                                                                                                 | Not specified                                                                            |
|                                                                      |         |                   |                                                                                               | MMSE, Babcock story recall alternate form, MoCA, SMAS-30, pulmonary function testing, 4-meter gait speed test, PHQ2, CRQ, mMRC dyspnea scale, ADO index, MMAS-4, SGRQ, Behavioral risk factor Surveillance System, HADS-A, BDI-II, ASICOLD-R, BMI, MARS, validated standardize checklist about inhaler technique, S-TOFHLA |                                                                                                       |

(Continued)
| References | Country | Kind of Review | Time Framework | Database | Search Strategies | Nr. Studies Included | Instruments of Included Studies | Treatments of Included Studies | Study Designs of Included Studies |
|------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Zareifopoulos et al, 2019<sup>34</sup> | Greece | Narrative reviews | 2014–2019 | PubMed | the string (COPD OR COPD) AND (Depression OR Major Depressive Disorder OR Dysthymia OR MDD) to identify studies examining the association between COPD and depression. The string (COPD OR COPD) AND (Anxiety OR Generalized Anxiety Disorder OR Generalized Anxiety Disorder OR GAD) to identify studies examining the association between COPD and Anxiety. | 16 | clinical interview, PSS-H, EQ-SD-3L, HAMD, STAI, BDI, BAI, DSM-IV criteria, telephone interview, HADS, PHQ | Antidepressant, standard COPD treatment VS active treatment, COPD maintenance treatment, Psychological treatments, psychoteraphy, psychiatric treatment | cross-sectional studies, case-control studies, cohort studies |
| Bhattarai et al, 2020<sup>20</sup> | India | Systematic review | 2003–2019 | MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE | (medicat* OR drug*) N3 (“chronic obstructive pulmonary disease” OR “chronic obstructive airway disease” OR “emphysema” OR “chronic bronchitis” OR “COPD”) AND (adhere* OR compliance OR persist* OR nonadhere* OR noncompli* OR nonpersist* OR nonadhere* OR noncompli*) | 38 | Self report scales (MARS, MMAS-4/MMAS-8, MTA, TAI, Morisky Scale and other non-specified self report instruments) | LABA, LAMA, ICS and combinations | Cross-sectional studies and Cohort studies |

**Abbreviations:** COPD, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; MCI, Mild Cognitive Impairment; MDD, Major Depressive Disorder; GAD, Generalized Anxiety Disorder; USA, United States of America; UK, United Kingdom; CBT, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy; RCT, Randomized Control Trial; AIR, Anxiety Inventory for Respiratory ...; PRIME-MD, PRimary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders; CAF, COPD Anxiety questionnaire; PHQ, Patient Health Questionnaire; GHQ, General Health Questionnaire; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; BAI, Beck Anxiety Inventory; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; HRSD, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; ELDRS, Evans Liverpool Depression Rating Scale; GMS, Geriatric Mental State; MADRS, Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MRAADL, Manchester Respiratory Activities of Daily Living Questionnaire; BPQ, Breathing Problems Questionnaire; CQ, Chronic Respiratory Questionnaire; SGRQ, St George respiratory questionnaire; 6MWD, Six-Minute Walking Distance; 12MWD, Twelve-Minute Walking Distance; ZSDS, Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale; SCID-I, Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV; CGI, Clinical Global Impression; PRAS, Patient Rated Anxiety Scale; PFSI, Pulmonary Function Status Instrument; SJP, Sickness Impact Profile; MAACL, Mood Adjective Checklist; SRSS, Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor; TCA, Tricyclic Antidepressant; PDI-C, Personalized Intervention for Depression and COPD; LABA, Long-acting β-adrenoceptor agonists; ICS, Inhaled Corticosteroids; PPI, Proton Pump Inhibitors; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; SMAS, Self-Management Ability Scale; mMCR, modified Medical Research Council; ADO, Age Dyspnea Obstruction; MMAS, Morisky Medication Adherence Scale; ASCOLD-R, Adherence Schedule in Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease – Revised; BMI, Body Mass Index; MARS, Medication Adherence Reporting Scale; S-TOHFLA, Short Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults; PSS, Perceived Stress Scale; EQ-5D-3L, EuroQol Five-Dimension questionnaire; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; STAI, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder; MTA, Measure of Treatment Adherence; TAI, Test of Adherence to Inhale; LAMA, Long-Acting Muscarinic Antagonist.
Pathophysiology of Anxiety in COPD and Its Impact on Adherence

Seven studies reported frequencies of anxiety in their samples. The prevalence varies from a minimum of 23% to a maximum of 46%. Definition of anxiety is not consistent: many studies relied on cut-offs of instruments’ scores, though not always specified. For example, a score of 8 or above, or a score of 11 or above in HADS is considered a sign of anxiety. Only a study discerned between mild (23.8%), moderate (13.1%), and severe (3.6%) anxiety, rather than giving a sum. Other studies reported the mean score of HADS rather than the incidence. Another study operationalized anxiety in its disorder subtypes according to DSM-IV criteria, with a prevalence of 41% for panic attacks, 33% for current general anxiety disorder, and 31% for anxiety history.

The effect of anxiety on adherence is not clear. The impacts on adherence (or its absence) are rarely considered: for example, Kokturk et al measured both anxiety and depression with HADS but examined only the latter. Two studies showed inconsistent results: one found that adherence was negatively associated with anxiety, while the other found that anxiety was associated with an increased adherence. Six studies found no significant association between anxiety and treatment adherence. In another study, when considering a scenario of severe COPD exacerbation, patients shown lower action scores (compliance) if they suffered from panic attacks.

As to qualitative studies, Torheim and Gjengedal identified anxiety as potential comorbidity but did not report the incidence. Anxiety was not measured but identified through thematic analysis in patients’ interviews. The focus of this study is not proper adherence but what makes the bi-level positive airway pressure treatment less traumatic (and easier to comply with). Apparently, not knowing how to manage the treatment increased anxiety while regaining control and willpower reduced anxiety.

Only 2 reviews gave specific definition and manifestation examples of anxiety. Yohannes et al considered Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD), phobias, and panic attacks as “anxiety”. Zareifopoulos et al only intended GAD as “anxiety”. Prevalence ranges are different: approximately from 10% to 40%, from 10% to 15.8%, up to a maximum of 86%. Anxiety is often considered comorbidity or a complication of depression. Indeed, they appeared together in 15.6% or 26–43% of COPD patients. In the review of Yohannes et al, anxiety is considered a predictor of poor adherence. In other studies, it has been said that this effect can be due to anxiety lowering self-confidence and self-efficacy, or incrementing cognitive impairment in older patients. This may increase the risk of COPD exacerbation. These effects, however, were always considered in combination with depression, as anxiety rarely occurs alone.

Adherence and Anxiety: Measures and Tools

Many different tools have been used to measure and assess anxiety symptoms related to adherence in COPD, not all of which are validated for use in patients with the condition, or specific or focused enough to truly elicit the required information. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) is one of the most used to detect both anxiety and depression in the identified clinical studies. Nine studies used the HADS to detect Anxiety. Two studies also used the Anxiety Sensitivity Index (ASI). Two studies used the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item Scale (GAD-7). Another study rated anxiety with the sum of psychic and somatic anxiety scores of HAM-D. Some reviews reported other tools. Yohannes et al added the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), the Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders and Patient Health Questionnaire (PRIME-MD; PHQ), the General Health Questionnaire-version 20 (GHQ-20), the Anxiety Inventory for Respiratory Disease scale and the COPD Anxiety Questionnaire (CAF).

The Relationship Between Anxiety and Treatment Adherence in COPD: Impact of Interventions

No interventions aimed to impact anxiety and adherence in COPD patients were found. The relationship between...
interventions, reduction of anxiety, and impact on treatment adherence was not discussed.

Pathophysiology of Depression in COPD and Its Impact on Adherence

Twelve studies reported frequencies of depression in their samples. \(^{30,40,42,43,45,46,48–53}\) The prevalence varies from a minimum of 11%\(^{51}\) to a maximum of 54%.\(^{45}\) Depression is often identified through cut-offs of validated scales as HADS or HAM-D. Pierobon et al\(^{46}\) discerned between mild (16.9%), moderate (15.5%) and severe (14.3%) depression according to BDI-II/GDS cut-offs. In other studies, authors used ICD-9-CM codes for depression (excluding bipolar disorder, schizoaffective disorder, and dysthymic disorder) or algorithms based on said codes.\(^{37,40,43,44,52}\) Dowson et al\(^{49}\) used DSM-IV criteria. Gauthier et al\(^{51}\) simply noted the previous diagnosis. Other studies reported a mean score of HADS, CED-D, or HAM-D rather than the percentage of depressed patients.

Depression is associated with poorer compliance in most studies, only 3 found no relationship.\(^{42,51,53}\) When found, the association between depression and adherence is always negative. In 11 studies\(^{25,38–40,43,45–48,50,52}\) higher depression scores or having a diagnosis of depression led to lower adherence. In another study,\(^{49}\) depressed patients described themselves as less adherent in severe COPD exacerbation scenarios. According to Jarab and Mukattash,\(^{47}\) COPD patients were 4 times more likely to be nonadherent to medications if they reported having depression, in another study,\(^{25}\) depressed patients were even 8.9 times more likely to be classified as “nonadherent”. Busch et al\(^{38}\) also found out that gender could be a mediator: lower depression predicted higher completion rate or Pulmonary Rehabilitation only for women. Social support seemed to improve compliance in samples with a low HADS score,\(^{41}\) but it was not clear if a higher HADS score could decrease this positive effect. Adherence both to COPD and antidepressant pharmacological treatments in depressed patients seemed linked to a decreased risk of hospitalization.\(^{37}\) Moreover, depressed patients compliant with an antidepressant were more likely to adhere to COPD maintenance inhalers.\(^{44}\)

As to qualitative studies, Sirey et al\(^{30}\) declared that the rate of major depression in their sample of depressed COPD patients is 27%.

Only 2 reviews gave specific definition and manifestation examples of depression.\(^{23,54}\) Yohannes et al\(^{23}\) considered a major depressive disorder, dysthymia and minor depression as “depression”, Zareifopoulos et al\(^{54}\) only intended major depressive disorder as “depression”. Four studies provided an incidence of 20% or more for major depressive disorder in COPD patients.\(^{54,57,67,72}\) Prevalence can rise to 40% for generic depressive symptoms.\(^{67}\) Other studies provided ranges from 6% to 42% or 50%.\(^{55,73,74}\) All reviews identified depression as a barrier to pharmacological and non-pharmacological adherence. Depression can decrease motivation and increase hopelessness, or lower self-esteem, reducing commitment to oxygen masks due to its impact on their appearance.\(^{74}\) Depression can lower self-confidence and self-efficacy\(^{55}\) and contribute to the negative effect of cognitive impairment on compliance.\(^{56,73}\) The association seemed stronger if the patients have a history of depression and not a recent onset after COPD diagnosis.\(^{54}\) On the contrary, improving adherence may reduce depression decreasing dyspnoea-related problems.\(^{23}\)

Adherence and Depression: Measures and Tools

Many different tools have been used to assess depression symptoms related to adherence in COPD as well. The HADS\(^{58}\) is used in 8 studies to detect depression.\(^{25,39,41,45,47,48,50,53}\) Pierobon et al,\(^{46}\) which used the HADS to assess anxiety, assessed depression with the Beck Depression Inventory - second edition (BDI-II)\(^{62}\) and the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS).\(^{75}\) Study\(^{38}\) employed the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D).\(^{76}\) Another tool is the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD or HAM-D),\(^{61}\) in different versions.\(^{32–36}\) One of these studies\(^{34}\) also used the SCID-R Interview.\(^{77}\)

Reviews reported other tools in addition. Yohannes et al\(^{23}\) appointed PRIME-MD and PHQ,\(^{63}\) along with HADS and BDI. Alexopoulos and Latoussakis\(^{57}\) added the Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale (ZSDS)\(^{78}\) and specified that the HADS, though the most used scale, may tend to overdiagnose depression disorders in COPD patients. Another review\(^{67}\) added the Evans Liverpool Depression Rating Scale (ELDRS),\(^{79}\) the Geriatric Mental State (GMS) Examination,\(^{80}\) the Montgomery–Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS),\(^{81}\) and the MACL.\(^{58}\) Zareifopoulos et al\(^{54}\) added the EQ-5D-3L.\(^{70}\)
The Relationship Between Depression and Treatment Adherence in COPD: Impact of Interventions

Sirey et al.\textsuperscript{30} illustrated a multi-level intervention aimed to improve treatment adherence in COPD patients. The intervention, led by a care manager, included an interview before discharge in which barriers to treatments were identified, and follow-up sessions at home. Negative beliefs about depression and antidepressants and the perceived stigma toward depressed people or COPD patients hurt adherence: assessing these issues to create a personalized treatment led to better clinical outcomes.

This review includes a series of RCTs assessing the efficacy of adherence-based interventions in depressed COPD patients: PID-C (Personalized Intervention for Depression and COPD) and PSA (Problem Solving Adherence). The assumption was that depression, disability, and poor adherence interact in COPD patients; thus, an approach targeting these variables is needed.\textsuperscript{72} PIC-D identifies treatment barriers and helps patients to improve adherence -- as the intervention of Sirey et al.\textsuperscript{30} -- and, compared to Treatment As Usual (TAU), led to a significative greater reduction of depressive symptoms, and dyspnoea-related disability.\textsuperscript{32,33} The PSA program, including problem-solving skills to improve adherence, was designed to be more efficient than PIC-D. However, the hypothesis was not supported: PSA indeed improved depression, quality of life and dyspnoea-related disability, but not more than PID-C.\textsuperscript{34-36} This said, interventions assessing treatment adherence seemed to be effective in reducing depression and breaking the “vicious cycle”.

Measurements and Definition of Adherence

Adherence was assessed in many ways (Table 4). Five studies calculated compliance with Proportion of Days Covered.\textsuperscript{37,40,43,44,52} Other authors choose medical measurements like the concentrator’s counter clock to measure the daily duration of oxygen,\textsuperscript{51} the number of doses taken/the number of doses prescribed X 100\textsuperscript{42} or adherence to >80% of pharmacological dosage prescribed.\textsuperscript{33} To Busch et al.,\textsuperscript{38} “adherence” was the completion of at least 20 sessions of pulmonary rehabilitation. Other researchers\textsuperscript{53} asked coordinators to provide information on whether respondents dropped out of rehabilitation or not. The Morisky Medication Adherence Scale (MMAS),\textsuperscript{82} a validated scale for adherence, was employed in four studies\textsuperscript{25,45-47} and cited in two reviews.\textsuperscript{56,73} One of those\textsuperscript{46} also used the Adherence Schedule in Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease – Revised (ASiCOLD-R).\textsuperscript{53} Study\textsuperscript{48} employed a short COPD Action Plan developed by the Family Physician Airway Group of Canadian Thoracic Society.\textsuperscript{84} In other cases, self-reported questionnaires or interviews were developed for the intervention.\textsuperscript{34-36,39,41,49} Turan et al.\textsuperscript{50} scored the usage of bronchodilator according to the “National Guide of Turkish Thoracic Society for Asthma”.\textsuperscript{85} One study did not specify how adherence was measured.\textsuperscript{32} In qualitative studies,\textsuperscript{30,31} adherence was not objectively measured but assessed during interviews and extrapolated in thematic analysis. One review\textsuperscript{73} was focused on adherence and reported other scales: the Medication Adherence Report Scale (MARS),\textsuperscript{86} the Measure of Treatment Adherence (MTA),\textsuperscript{87} and the Test of Adherence to Inhaler (TAI).\textsuperscript{88} This review is also the only article reporting a definition of adherence, as “the extent to which the person’s behavior corresponds with the agreed recommendations from a health care provider”.\textsuperscript{73}

It must be noted that the included studies considered adherence concerning different kinds of treatments. Some studies\textsuperscript{25,37,40,43-45,47,50,52} and a review\textsuperscript{73} considered compliance to pharmacological prescriptions and inhaled therapy such as LABAs (Long-acting β adrenoceptor agonists), LAMAs (Long-acting muscarinic antagonist), and ICS (inhaled corticosteroid). Three studies considered Pulmonary Rehabilitation or maintenance exercise programs after PR.\textsuperscript{38,39,53} Other studies considered adherence to LTOT,\textsuperscript{51} BPAP mask treatment,\textsuperscript{31} or domiciliary nebulized therapy.\textsuperscript{42} Two studies considered both pharmacological and nonpharmacological prescriptions, like PR attendance, smoking, exercise, etc.\textsuperscript{41,46} In other research, adherence is operationalized as a generic sum of various medical and rehabilitation recommendations.\textsuperscript{30,48,49} In all the RCTs, the adherence enhanced by the PID-C or the PSA interventions is a generic “adherence” to physician recommendations.\textsuperscript{32-36}

Confounding Factors and Methodological Issues in Studies

A relevant methodological issue is the high risk of bias of some of the included studies, especially in qualitative studies and reviews. Seven studies had a low risk of bias, 18 studies had a medium risk of bias, 9 studies (7
of which are reviews) had a high risk of bias. In a qualitative study, the methodology and the research design were not clear, as recruitment criteria, and lacked a description of data analysis. Plus, findings were partially stated. Reviews were unfortunately hardly valuable too, being mostly narrative and literature reviews and not systematic. The reviews with the lowest risk of bias met 6/8 criteria of the quality assessment tool, the other 7 reviews had a high risk of bias, one of them met no criteria. Often, the focus question or aim was

Table 4 List of Included Studies That Discussed Different Kinds of Adherence

| Article | Adherence to |
|---------|--------------|
| Albrecht et al, 2017 | Antidepressants, COPD maintenance medications (corticosteroids, long-acting β-agonists, long-acting anticholinergics), healthcare utilization |
| Heerema-Poelman et al, 2013 | Maintenance exercise program after pulmonary rehabilitation |
| Zucchelli et al, 2020 | Triple inhaled therapy (with different devices) |
| Kokturk et al, 2018 | Oxygen, pharmacological treatment, inhalators |
| Gauthier et al, 2018 | LTOT |
| Albrecht et al, 2016 | COPD maintenance medication (inhaled corticosteroids, long-acting β-agonists, long-acting anticholinergics) |
| Sirey et al, 2009 | Generic psychiatric, medical and rehabilitation recommendations |
| Qian et al, 2014 | COPD maintenance medication (inhaled corticosteroids, long-acting β-agonists, ICS/LABA combinations, long-acting anticholinergics, methylxanthines) |
| Chen et al, 2017 | “self care behaviour” (pulmonary rehabilitation attendance, medication adherence, smoking cessation) |
| Pierobon et al, 2017 | Pharmacological adherence, nonpharmacological prescriptions (eating, smoking, exercise, LTOT) |
| Jarab & Mukattash, 2019 | COPD maintenance medication (short-acting β2-agonist, long-acting β-agonists, long-acting anticholinergics, oral steroids, antibiotics) |
| Torheim & Gjengedal, 2009 | BPAP mask treatment |
| Doyle et al, 2013 | Pulmonary rehabilitation |
| Choi et al, 2014 | Generic COPD Action Plan (including usage of meds or oxygen, avoiding triggers, contacting healthcare providers) |
| Alexopoulos et al, 2013 | Generic adherence to COPD meds and recommendations (enhanced by the PID-C intervention) |
| Kdhour et al, 2012 | Medications |
| Bosley et al, 1996 | Domiciliar nebulized therapy |
| Dowson et al, 2004 | Generic actions to self-management and maintenance |
| Wei et al, 2018 | Maintenance medications (oxygen, rescue/acute meds), antidepressants |
| Turan et al, 2014 | Bronchodilator therapy |
| Alexopoulos et al, 2016 | Generic adherence to physicians' recommendations (enhanced by the PID-C and the PSA interventions) |
| Alexopoulos et al, 2018 | Generic adherence to physicians' recommendations (enhanced by the PID-C and the PSA interventions) |
| Jackson et al, 2019 | Generic adherence to physicians' recommendations (enhanced by the PID-C and the PSA interventions) |
| Alexopoulos et al, 2014 | Generic adherence to COPD meds and recommendations (enhanced by the PID-C intervention) |
| Busch et al, 2014 | Pulmonary Rehabilitation |

Abbreviations: COPD, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; LTOT, long-Term Oxygen Therapy; ICS, Inhaled corticosteroids; LABA, Long-acting beta-agonists; PID-C, Personalized Intervention for Depression and COPD; PSA, Problem Solving Adherence.
formulated, but eligibility criteria, search strategy, time framework, and databases were missing. Only 3 reviews listed the articles included. Moreover, reviews were included if they had at least a paragraph focused on the link between depression/anxiety and compliance, but none of these studies were directly aimed to study this relationship. In observational and cohort studies, the risk of bias was mostly medium. Studies with the lowest risk of bias met 10/14 criteria of the NIH quality assessment tool. The study with the highest risk of bias met 4/14 criteria: the sample was not well described, the loss to follow-up was >20% and much information was missing, such as r- or p-value in statistical analysis. Other studies were overall well described, but with small samples and no sample size justification, or high attrition. In other cases, exposures were assessed just once, and some data were missing. The inconsistency between studies in depression and anxiety measurements and adherence definition and the variety of different treatments and interventions included could be a confounding factor for generalizability.

Among the five RCTs included in this review, three had a low risk of bias, and two showed some concerns. One was just a brief report of the experiment, and few details were available. Another had a small sample, with a huge drop-out before randomization, and the same therapist administered both experimental, and control interventions. In the remaining studies, the limitations like high attrition were discussed by researchers and possible solutions were provided (Appendix C).

Discussion
This review evaluates the state of the literature on the relationship between anxiety, depression, and compliance in COPD patients. To our knowledge, the existing literature lacks systematic reviews specifically focused on this topic. Mood disorders can lead to a vicious cycle, reducing self-confidence, increasing isolation and aggravating dyspnoea-related disability, and reducing adherence to pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments. The potential impact of psychological issues on adherence in COPD treatment (despite the discussed limitations) should be taken into consideration by physicians and properly evaluated when COPD is diagnosed, not only for their impact on adherence but also on mortality, disability, and quality of life. Findings regarding depression were clearer and more consistent, leading to the conclusion that this mood disorder (especially when severe) could probably have a negative influence on treatment adherence, direct or mediated. However, different measurements and definitions of depression and operationalization of adherence could have been confounding factors. Findings concerning anxiety, on the other hand, were less coherent. The literature lacks studies about the impact of anxiety on adherence and their relationships. This fact elicits a doubt on the methodological value of research instruments and designs. The number of studies with a high or medium risk of bias was surely a limitation, especially among reviews. These kinds of publications are useful to frame and understand a phenomenon, but they are not sufficient to systematically observe the state of literature about a topic. Moreover, included reviews had at least a paragraph focused on the link between depression/anxiety and compliance, but none of these studies were directly aimed to study this relationship. Another limitation was the discrepancy between the focus on anxiety and depression: many studies assessed the impact of depressive symptoms, but the anxiety was not highlighted in the same way. In many reviews and quantitative studies, anxiety was only mentioned or measured but not included in statistical models. No research question was aimed to evaluate the impact of anxiety alone, its effect was rather considered in combination with depression, and the lack of studies focused on this variable proves the need for further evaluations. “Adherence” was operationalized in different ways across studies, through validated scales, interviews with physicians or arbitrary cut-offs depending on treatments. This issue was addressed in one of the included reviews: the authors underlined the discrepancies in the definitions and measurement criteria of adherence, possibly leading to variances in non-adherence rates. Moreover, the treatment of reference could be pharmacological or non-pharmacological, LTOT, pulmonary rehabilitation, exercise programs, and so on. Future research should investigate if the inconsistency could be due to a different kinds of “adherence” or evaluate if the different ways to measure depression and anxiety have an impact on results. Moreover, the type of connection between these variables should be clearer: in some cases, researchers investigate a correlation, in other cases a regression model. Different research designs have different implications when evaluating the results. As to Randomized Controlled Trials, not every RCT assessed the direct impact of adherence improvement on depression, but they all pertained to the same set of experiments, carried out by the same research group, showing that adherence-based interventions helped COPD depressed patients in different ways, directly and indirectly. However, some studies are the same experiment,
thus the hypothesis should be investigated by further research, evaluate the specific efficacy of an adherence-based treatment on depression, and maybe on anxiety as well. At the review-level, we cannot ignore the possible influence of publication bias and selective reporting bias: undesirable, incoherent, or not significative results may be underrepresented in literature due to the selection carried out by researchers and journals. Eligible studies could be also partially retrieved, due to other uncontrollable biases. The involvement of three researchers in study evaluation and data extraction should have reduced the bias during the selection phase, however, the risk is not eliminated.

**Conclusions**

This systematic review suggests that the relationship between depression and adherence should be considered relevant during the implementation of a personalized treatment plan. On the other hand, the relationship between anxiety and adherence in COPD is still controversial. Moreover, there is a need for further exploration of this phenomenon with a comprehensive, systematic approach, considering if there is a difference between different instruments to measure anxiety and depression and different ways to operationalize adherence. The development of models comprehensive of both anxiety and depression concerning adherence with clinically useful levels of accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity has not yet been achieved.

Future research should evaluate the impact of depression and anxiety, taken both alone and together, finding the common features between these variables and how these features influence compliance, distinguishing between different types of treatment adherence.
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