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Olfactory inputs modulate respiration-related rhythmic activity in the prefrontal cortex and freezing behavior
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Respiration and airflow through the nasal cavity are known to be correlated with rhythmic neural activity in the central nervous system. Here we show in rodents that during conditioned fear-induced freezing behavior, mice breathe at a steady rate (~4 Hz), which is correlated with a predominant 4-Hz oscillation in the prelimbic prefrontal cortex (plPFC), a structure critical for expression of conditioned fear behaviors. We demonstrate anatomical and functional connections between the olfactory pathway and plPFC via circuit tracing and optogenetics. Disruption of olfactory inputs significantly reduces the 4-Hz oscillation in the plPFC, but leads to prolonged freezing periods. Our results indicate that olfactory inputs can modulate rhythmic activity in plPFC and freezing behavior.
I
n addition to autonomic effects and modulation of brain activity via brainstem breathing centers, changes in respiration impact neural network oscillations via the olfactory system. With each breathing cycle, nasal airflow entrains the neural activity in the olfactory bulb (OB) and its downstream olfactory cortices, presumably by activating intrinsically mechanism-sensitive olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) in the nasal epithelium. When OSNs are functionally compromised or airflow is diverted away from the nose, these respiration-related activities are diminished. Recent studies have led to discoveries of nasal airflow entrained rhythmic neural activity in brain areas beyond the olfactory pathway. The rodent barrel cortex, prefrontal cortex, and hippocampus exhibit oscillations that are phase-locked with breathing and disrupted when peripheral olfactory signals are removed. Oscillations in these regions, representing spatially local activity, are also modulated by the respiration-related rhythm. One implication of these results is that aside from its role in physiological homeostasis, the breathing rhythm could also help to coordinate neural activity across multiple brain regions.

Low frequency oscillations can serve as a mechanism for long-range communication via synchronization of cortical and subcortical brain regions that are recruited during different behavioral states. This mechanism is utilized during fear discrimination and fear expression when the prefrontal cortex, basolateral amygdala, and hippocampus dynamically interact to mediate appropriate behavior. Specifically, expression of conditioned fear memories is associated with synchronous 4-Hz oscillations in the prefrontal-amygdala circuit that orchestrate neural spiking and predict the onset and offset of freezing periods.

Given that the olfactory system is closely linked with limbic brain regions mediating emotion and memory, we investigate the role of respiratory/olfactory rhythms in fear circuitry. Specifically, we focus on the prelimbic prefrontal cortex (pPFC), as a critical region for expression of fear and anxiety. We combine electrophysiology, optogenetics, circuit tracing, pharmacology, and mouse behavior and find that when mice freeze during retrieval of conditioned fear their respiratory rates become steady and center around 4 Hz. This breathing rate is represented in synchronized oscillations in the local field potentials (LFPs) recorded from both the OB and pPFC. Olfactory inputs can reach the pPFC via direct projections from the OB. These findings reveal that the rhythmic activity in the pPFC is coupled to respiration during conditioned fear-induced freezing behavior.

**Correlation between OB and pPFC activity during freezing.** Recent studies on conditioned fear circuitry reveal a predominant 4-Hz oscillation in the pPFC, a structure essential for expression of the freezing behavior. Since this frequency is similar to the observed breathing rate during freezing, we tested whether these two rhythms are correlated. We repeated the auditory conditioned fear experiments in freely behaving mice with electrodes implanted in both the OB and pPFC. During freezing, oscillations in the simultaneously recorded OB and pPFC LFPs were dominated by a low frequency component at ~4 Hz and strongly coupled (Fig. 2a, b). The phase coherence was greatest in the 2–6 Hz range (peak at ~4 Hz) and the peak coherence increased significantly from non-freezing to freezing periods (Fig. 2c). Similarly, the cross-correlation between the OB and pPFC LFPs increased during freezing (Fig. 2d). Circular distribution analysis of the OB and pPFC phase differences revealed a 13.5° phase difference during freezing (Fig. 2e), suggesting that the pPFC oscillations were not a result of volume conduction from the OB. These findings reveal that the rhythmic activity in the pPFC is coupled to respiration during conditioned fear-induced freezing behavior.

**Contribution of olfactory inputs to pPFC rhythmic activity.** The respiration-locked pPFC rhythm during freezing can potentially arise from several mechanisms, which are not mutually exclusive. It may be intrinsically generated in the PFC, influenced by the respiration centers in the brainstem, and/or modulated by respiration-entrained olfactory inputs. Here, we focused on the potential contribution of nasal airflow to the pPFC rhythm during freezing. We used a within-subjects, unilateral nasal occlusion approach to block nasal airflow from one side since the ascending olfactory pathway is predominantly ipsilateral. Seven mice were implanted with bilateral electrodes in the OB and pPFC and then underwent permanent unilateral nasal occlusion before subsequent fear conditioning. Occlusion blocked airflow, which was evident by decreased respiration-related oscillations in the OB LFPs on the occluded side during the anesthetized state (Fig. 3a, b). Unilateral nasal occlusion did not influence freezing behavior presumably due to the intact olfactory inputs from the open side. However, we observed a significant reduction in the coherence and cross-correlation between OB and pPFC activity on the occluded side as compared to the open side (Fig. 3c–e) supporting a functional role of nasal airflow in modulating the pPFC rhythm in the conditioned fear.
behavior. This approach might under estimate the contribution of the olfactory inputs due to potentially incomplete naris closure, residual respiration-related inputs to the OB in the occluded side via the contralateral AON or other brain regions, and reciprocal inputs between the open and occluded-side plPFC.

Connectivity between the olfactory pathway and plPFC. The plPFC integrates information from diverse brain regions involved in a variety of cognitive functions and behaviors\(^\text{11}\). We next investigated how respiration-locked olfactory inputs reach the plPFC using both retrograde and anterograde circuit tracing approaches. The retrograde canine adenovirus type 2 (CAV2)-Cre virus (13 × 10\(^12\) GC/ml, 0.5 µl) was focally injected into one side of the plPFC in the Rosa26-floxed-ttdTomato reporter mice (n = 3) and labeling patterns were examined four weeks later. Among the OB and olfactory cortices, which receive direct OB projections, we observed ttdTomato\(^\text{+}\) cell bodies primarily in the ipsilateral AON and TT (Fig. 4b), consistent with previous reports\(^\text{12, 32, 33}\). In a complementary experiment, we injected Cre-dependent channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2)-EYFP virus into the AON of Vglut1-Cre mice (n = 3) to label glutamatergic pyramidal neurons in the AON. Four weeks later, labeled axonal fibers were found in both the prelimbic (PL) and infralimbic (IL) prefrontal cortex on the ipsilateral side of the injection (Fig. 4b). Notably, the AON/TT is a main target of the OB tufted cells\(^\text{34}\), which receive direct synaptic inputs from OSNs in the nose and display a strong respiration-entrained rhythm\(^\text{11}\). To demonstrate that this anatomical pathway is functional, we optogenetically activated OSNs expressing ChR2 under the control of olfactory marker protein (OMP) gene at 13 Hz, a frequency distinct from respiration. As expected, activation of OSNs entrained LFP responses in both the OB and plPFC (Fig. 4c, d). These data provide support for a previously underappreciated neural connection that links the olfactory system with the plPFC.

Loss of olfactory inputs leads to prolonged freezing. To investigate the consequences of disrupting olfactory inputs on the fear circuit and behavior, we first used methimazole to lesion the
Fig. 2 During freezing, the neural activity in the OB and pIPFC is dominated by highly correlated ~4-Hz oscillations. a Example OB and pIPFC LFPs during a freezing epoch. Filtered signals (2–6 Hz) are overlaid on raw traces (gray). Nissl-stained coronal brain sections show electrode sites. Scale bars, 0.5 mm top and 1.0 mm bottom. b Time-frequency coherogram of OB and pIPFC LFPs during a portion of a retrieval session in which freezing was observed (marked in blue). High phase coherence emerges during periods of freezing. c Spectral coherence between simultaneously recorded OB and pIPFC LFPs during non-freezing (from pre-tone baseline, black) and freezing (blue) periods (mean ± SEM, n = 8 mice). Inset, averaged peak coherence for non-freezing and freezing periods (0.69 ± 0.06 to 0.88 ± 0.03, n = 8, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test, p = 0.016). d All animals tested showed an increase in the maximum OB-pIPFC cross-correlation value from non-freezing to freezing periods (0.34 ± 0.04 to 0.75 ± 0.07, n = 8, paired two-tailed Student’s t test t(7) = 5.75, p < 0.001). The LFPs were filtered at 2–6 Hz. e Circular distribution of phase differences between OB and pIPFC 2–6 Hz signals during freezing. The mean direction of the distribution is 13.5° (red line, with lower 95% confidence limit = 13.0 and upper 95% confidence limit = 14.0, p < 0.001 in one-sample test for mean angle equal to 0°). The phase difference distribution is also visualized as a radial histogram (30 bins) surrounding the polar plot.

nasal epithelium for reasons detailed in the Discussion. Four days following intraperitoneal injection of 75 mg/kg methimazole, OSNs were essentially absent from the OE (Fig. 5a). Tissue sections were double stained by antibodies against OMP (labeling mature olfactory sensory neurons, red) and SUS-4 (labeling supporting cells and Bowman’s gland and duct cells, green). Elimination of OSNs significantly reduced the coupling between the OB LFPs and respiration in both anesthetized and awake mice, but did not completely remove it (Fig. 5b,c). Note that the peak coherence between respiratory and OB signals always occurred at the dominant breathing frequency, but for shuffled data the peak could occur randomly in the full frequency range (between 1–12 Hz). The data were collected from a total of 17 animals and each condition was tested in a subset of animals (control awake n = 7, methimazole awake n = 5, control anesthetized n = 5 and methimazole anesthetized n = 5). Residual coupling, more evident in the awake state, may be due to respiration-related inputs from other brain regions to the OB or potential regrowth of sensory inputs, which would be minimal at this time point\(^5\). Note that methimazole treatment did not change the breathing rates during normal behaviors (Fig. 5d; F (1,3) = 0.6, p = 0.495 for pre vs post condition in two-way ANOVA with repeated measures).

A separate group of methimazole treated mice (n = 9) underwent the same auditory fear conditioning and retrieval paradigm four days post injection. We found that removing peripheral olfactory inputs reduced the 4-Hz oscillation in the pIPFC, but did not completely eliminate it. The coherence between OB and pIPFC LFPs was generally low and did not significantly increase during freezing (Fig. 5e, f), but a peak at the respiration rate (~4 Hz) was still evident (Fig. 5f). However, the peak coherence during freezing was significantly reduced compared to control animals (methimazole treated 0.59 ± 0.04, n = 9 vs control 0.88 ± 0.03, n = 8; U = 1.5, p = 0.0002 in two-tailed Mann–Whitney test; cf Fig. 5f to Fig. 2c). Consistently, the cross-correlation between OB and pIPFC activity in methimazole treated mice did not increase during freezing (cf. Fig. 5g to Fig. 2d) and circular distribution analysis failed to reveal a dominant phase difference as observed in control animals (cf. Fig. 5h to Fig. 2e).

Behaviorally, methimazole treated mice still showed freezing behavior during the retrieval trials (Fig. 6a, b), indicating that olfactory inputs are not required for acquisition or expression of conditioned fear behavior. Remarkably, these animals had significantly longer freezing periods than control as well as unilaterally naris-occluded animals over the course of the retrieval session (Fig. 6b, c). This was not due to non-specific decreases in locomotion after methimazole treatment since the pre-tone baseline freezing time was not significantly different among the three groups (Fig. 6a). Thus, this result suggests that lacking olfactory inputs increases freezing time during retrieval.

Since methimazole treatment may have other non-olfactory off-target effects, we used another method to further confirm that disruption of olfactory signals could prolong freezing periods. We bilaterally inactivated the OBs of cannulated mice with
tetrodotoxin (TTX, 0.5 µl, 60 µM) immediately before the fear retrieval test. Infusion of TTX caused a dramatic loss of neural activity in the OBs (Fig. 6d) and significantly longer freezing periods as compared with saline infused controls (Fig. 6f), consistent with methimazole treatment. Interestingly, acute inactivation of the OB activity also increased the baseline freezing time (Fig. 6e), suggesting that disruption of the OB may have more severe effects than disruption of the OE. The latter treatment spares intrinsic oscillations and centrifugal inputs and leaves residual respiration-entrained activity in the OB (Fig. 5c). Curiously, TTX infused mice did not change the total distance traveled during the pre-tone period (Fig. 6h), albeit with more freezing time (Fig. 6e), suggesting that they moved at a faster speed. This is consistent with previous reports that bullectomized rodents show altered exploratory behavior with hyperactivity.

**Discussion**

It has been reported that during conditioned fear-induced freezing behavior, the pIPFC exhibits a strong ~4-Hz oscillation, which coincides with freezing epochs. Here we reveal that this oscillation is correlated with the respiration rhythm and OB activity (Figs. 1, 2). Furthermore, unilateral nasir closure or pharmacological ablation of the OE significantly reduces this oscillation, suggesting that olfactory inputs contribute to the pIPFC activity (Figs. 3 and 5). The mechanosensitive OSNs in the nose, presumably activated by rhythmic nasal airflow, entrain high-amplitude OB oscillations with respiration (Fig. 1). Notably, there are two distinct efferent pathways arising from the OB mitral and tufted cells, respectively. The AON/TT is a major target of tufted cells, which may be primed to carry airflow information, since they respond early in the inhalation phase and have a lower threshold for spike response to olfactory nerve input compared to mitral cells. Our retrograde and anterograde tracing experiments confirm the OB→AON/TT→pIPFC pathway. Consistent with this anatomical connection, optogenetic activation of OSNs entrains the pIPFC activity (Fig. 4). It remains possible that alternative pathways carrying olfactory information also contribute to the OSN–related pIPFC activity.

The strong correlation between respiration and pIPFC rhythmic activity during freezing behavior has important implications on the significance of this 4-Hz oscillation. One possibility is that respiration-related OB inputs modulate rhythmic activity of multiple sites including pIPFC and amygdala in the fear circuit and may facilitate or synchronize network oscillations for behavioral output, as previously proposed by others. It is worth noting that the 4-Hz oscillation in the pIPFC may not solely rely on olfactory inputs as unilateral naris closure or ablation of the OE reduces the power at this frequency, but does not completely eliminate it. The remaining 4-Hz oscillation in the pIPFC could be attributed to the residual respiration rhythm in the OB, intrinsically generated, and/or originated from other brain regions. Our study also raises another

**Fig. 3** Respiration-entrained olfactory signals contribute to the 4-Hz rhythm in the pIPFC. a Unilateral naris occlusion impairs ascending signals from the olfactory system. Occlusion could be confirmed by the reduction of respiration-entrained oscillations in the OB, especially in the anesthetized state. Filtered signals (2–6 Hz) are overlaid on raw traces (gray), which also applies to (c). b Power spectral density of OB LFPs from open and occluded sides under anesthesia. Inset, averaged peak power of the OBs from open vs occluded sides (21987 ± 4716 vs 4993 ± 1452, n = 7, paired two-tailed Student’s t test (6) = 3.662, p = 0.011). Note that the breathing rate was at ~2 Hz under anesthesia. c Bilateral OB and pIPFC LFP recordings from a naris-occluded mouse during freezing in the fear retrieval session. d Spectral coherence between simultaneously recorded OB and pIPFC LFPs during freezing periods on the open vs occluded sides (mean ± SEM, n = 7 mice). Inset, averaged peak coherence between the OB and pIPFC LFPs for open and closed sides (0.80 ± 0.06 to 0.58 ± 0.07, n = 7, paired two-tailed Student’s t test (6) = 3.211, p = 0.018). The average peak coherence between pIPFC and shuffled OB signals from the occluded side is 0.42, indicated by the thick dashed line (the two thin dashed lines indicate the standard errors). e The occluded side shows a decrease in the OB-pIPFC cross-correlation compared to the open side during freezing (0.69 ± 0.08 open vs 0.40 ± 0.08 occluded, n = 7, paired two-tailed Student’s t test (6) = 3.353, p = 0.015)
possibility that the 4-Hz oscillation may be an epiphenomenon of the respiratory pattern during the freezing behavior. In fact, the power of the 4-Hz oscillation in the pIPFC is not positively correlated with freezing duration: disruption of peripheral olfactory inputs reduces the power of the 4-Hz oscillations in the pIPFC (Fig. 5), but increases freezing time (Fig. 6). Further studies that manipulate specific pathways in different behavioral contexts are required to distinguish these possibilities and establish the functional significance of respiration-related neural rhythms.

In this study, we acutely removed bilateral olfactory signals by ablating the nasal epithelium or reversibly inactivating the OB instead of permanent or chronic disruption for several reasons. Primarily, permanent or chronic removal of olfactory inputs has profound effects on emotion and behavior. In rodents, surgical removal of the OBs leads to anxiety and depression-like behaviors that can be reversed by antidepressant treatment. Likewise, genetically modified mouse lines with functional ablation of the main olfactory system display increased anxiety-like behaviors. In addition, inducible knockout of key olfactory signaling molecules in OSNs is likely compromised by the ongoing neurogenesis in the OE. Ablation of the OE via methimazole treatment is complete and does not change breathing rhythms or the baseline freezing time, but it increases the total freezing time during conditioned fear retrieval trials (Figs. 5, 6).

Our study demonstrates that mice show a distinct breathing pattern during conditioned fear-induced freezing behavior (Fig. 1). This finding is consistent with the tight emotion–respiration link observed across species including rodents and humans. In addition to autonomic and emotional regulation of breathing rhythms, humans can change respiration patterns in a voluntary and conscious manner as practiced in yoga and meditation. Either involuntary or voluntary changes in nasal breathing can potentially modulate brain activity through the neural circuits between olfactory and emotional centers including the pIPFC studied here (Fig. 6i). Indeed, nasal (but not oral) breathing is effective in entraining the neural activity in the limbic

![Fig. 4 Optogenetic stimulation of olfactory sensory neurons in the nose entrains rhythmic activity in the OB and pIPFC.](image-url)
system of human brain, even though humans breathe at a much slower rate (0.1–0.3 Hz) than rodents (2–12 Hz). It would be interesting to further dissect out the neural mechanisms underlying the mental and behavioral benefits of self-regulated respiration practices.

**Methods**

**Animals.** Wild-type C57BL6J mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. OMP-ChR2 (OMP-Cre/WT, Rosa26ChR2f/f) mice were obtained by crossing OMP-Cre mice (the coding region and part of the 3′ UTR of OMP was replaced by that of Cre; JAX Stock No: 006668) and Rosa26-CAG-LSL-ChR2(H134R)-EYFP-WPRE mice (JAX Stock No: 024109 or Ai32 line)50. RosatdTomato/f mice (Rosa26-CAG-
Fig. 6 Disruption of olfactory inputs prolongs freezing periods. a Baseline (pre-tone) freezing time is not significantly different among control (n = 8), unilateral nasir occlusion (n = 7) and methimazole treated (n = 9) groups (one-way ANOVA F(2,21) = 1.281, p = 0.299). b Freezing time during the retrieval trial is significantly longer in methimazole treated mice compared to control and naris-occluded animals (one-way ANOVA F(2,21) = 15.61, Tukey post hoc, control vs methimazole p < 0.001, naris occluded vs methimazole p < 0.001). c Cumulative frequency distributions show methimazole treated animals have an increased frequency of longer freezing periods compared to control or naris-occluded mice (p < 0.001, two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test). d Example traces of OB LFPs, 2-6 Hz filtered traces are overlaid on raw traces (gray) before (pre TTX) and 30 min after intrabulbar injection of TTX (post TTX). e Baseline (pre-tone) freezing time is significantly longer in TTX infused mice (53.71 ± 13.13 s, n = 7) compared to saline infused mice (n = 7) (12.43 ± 2.27 s, n = 7); unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test t(12) = 3.099, p = 0.009). f Freezing time is significantly longer in TTX infused mice (410.60 ± 71.39 s) compared to saline infused mice (186.60 ± 45.59 s; unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test t(12) = 2.645, p = 0.021). g Cumulative frequency distributions show TTX infused animals have an increased frequency of longer freezing periods compared to saline infused mice (p = 0.01 in two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test). h Total distance traveled prior to the tone onset is not different in saline (6.40 ± 1.18 m) and TTX infused mice (6.16 ± 1.51 m; unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test t(12) = 0.127, p = 0.901). i Entrained olfactory inputs modulate the rhythmic activity of the conditioned fear circuit. Solid and dotted lines denote direct and indirect connections, respectively. Note that many connections are reciprocal, which are not shown for simplicity.

Surgical implantation. Mice were exposed to isoflurane at 3% (vol/vol) for anesthetic induction. They were then secured in a stereotaxic system (Model 940, David Kopf Instruments) and iso flurane levels were reduced to 1.5% for the remainder of the surgery. Body temperature was maintained at 37 °C with a temperature control system (TC-1000, CWE). Areas for electrode implantation were measured from bregma using a stereotaxic arm and standard coordinates52. Craniotomies were made over the OB (+4.3 mm AP, +1.0 mm ML, 1.5 mm DV) and pLPC (1.8–2.0 mm AP, +0.3 mm ML, 1.4 mm DV). To monitor respiratory behavior, some animals also had a hole drilled at the anterior nasal bone for thermistor implantation53 (36 ga, PFA insulated, Omega Engineering). Thermocouples were implanted in the nasal cavity contralateral to electrode implants. For optogenetic experiments a fiber optic cannula (400 µm core, 1 mm fiber length, Thor Labs) was implanted in this hole in the nasal cavity. Electrodes consisted of pairs of PFA-coated tungsten wires (50 µm bare diameter, AM-systems) separated by 0.5–1.0 mm in the dorsal-ventral plane. Electrode impedance was measured using an IMP-2AMC (BAK Electronics) and ranged from 50–180 kΩ. Wires were attached to an 18 pin interface board (EIB). Electrodes were referenced to a low impedance stainless-steel wire implanted in the cerebellum and a stainless-steel ground electrode was connected to a cerebellar skull screw. Implants (electrode and thermocouples) were secured using Kwik-Sil adhesive (World Precision Instruments, WPI) and dental cement. In a subset of animals electrodes were bilaterally implanted and one naris was closed by touching a small vessel cauterizer (Fine Science Tools) to the external naris for ~5 s. The occluded side (left vs right) was counterbalanced across animals. Naris occlusion was confirmed by visual examination and by loss of nasal airflow entrained OB LFPs especially under anesthesia (Fig. 3a). After surgery mice were allowed to recover for 7 days. Another subset of mice received a single intraperiradinal injection of methimazole (Sigma, 75 mg/kg in sterile saline) four days prior to fear conditioning and/or recordings.

For pharmacology experiments, wild-type mice had 26 gauge guide cannulae (WPI) implanted bilaterally in their OBs. After a one week recovery these mice were fear conditioned and tested the following day with infusion. TTX (60 µM, Abcam, n = 7) or sterile saline (n = 7) were injected via a 5 µl Hamilton syringe at a rate of 0.1 µl per min using an automated micro-pump. Following injection of 0.5 µl TTX or 0.5 µl saline per bulb, mice were left in their home cage for 40 min before
being transferred to a novel environment for the fear retrieval test (see below). Additional mice (not included in the behavioral group) were implanted with bipolar electrodes in the OB that extended 1 mm past the end of the guide cannula to acquire electrophysiological recordings before and after TTX infusion (Fig. 6d).

**Neurotachy.** For retrograde tracer experiments *Bosva* and *Tamata* mice were injected with CAV2-Cre virus at a concentration of 13 × 10^12 GCF/ml. Unilateral viral injections were made with a 33 gauge needle (Hamilton) and an Ultra Micro Pump (WMJ) mounted to a stereotaxic arm. The needle was lowered to the pPFC (+1.8–2.0 mm AP, +0.3 mm ML, 1.4 mm ventral to the cortical surface). For anterograde tracing experiments *Vglut1-Cre* mice were unilaterally injected with 0.5–0.8 µl Cre-dependent ChR2-EYFP virus (AAV1.EfA1-DIO-3CHR2hH134R-eYFP.WPRE.AGHV from Penn Vector Core). The microinjection needle was angled to reach the AON by passing through the OB and reaching the coordinates +3.0 mm AP, +0.9 mm ML, 3.5 mm DV. Virus was infused at a rate of 50 nl/min. Following infusion, the needle was allowed to settle for 15 min to allow viral diffusion before needle retraction. Four weeks following viral injection the mice were transcardially perfused with PBS and 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and brains were dissected. After 4 h fixation at 4°C, 75 µm coronal vibratome sections were cut, mounted, and visualized under a fluorescence microscope (Leica DM5000 B). Outlines of brain regions (Fig. 4a) were determined using matching coronal sections from the Allen Mouse Brain Reference Atlas56.

**Optogenetic stimulation.** OMP-ChR2 mice with optic fibers implanted in their nasal cavities were stimulated in their home cage using a 473 nm laser (SLOX, Lasermic). A fiber was coupled to an anti-reflection rotary joint pair (Thor Labs). Laser light power was adjusted to achieve an intensity of −15 mW at the fiber tip (continuous output) and the laser was controlled via delivery of 0–5 V TTL pulses generated by an arbitrary waveform generator (Agilent, 33201 A).

**Fear conditioning.** Fear conditioning was performed in a Med Associates modular test chamber by pairing a 5 kHz pure tone (10 s) with an aversive stimulus (1 s foot shock, 0.5 mA). CS–US pairings; inter-trial intervals, 120–180 s with the onset of the US coinciding with the offset of the CS). After 24 h, the conditioned mice were submitted to a 15 min testing session (fear retrieval) in a novel chamber (712 x 11 1/2 x 5 1/2). The mice were connected to the recording apparatus and left in their home cage for 1 h prior to being moved to the novel context and the initiation of a testing session. Freezing behavior was scored manually by visual inspection of recorded videos and animals were considered to be freezing if no movement was detected for 1 s by an experimenter blind to condition. In cases where drugs or saline were used prior to fear retrieval, distance traveled in the first two minutes of the retrieval test (prior to the first tone onset) was determined using behavioral tracking software (ANY-maze behavioral tracking software).

**Data acquisition.** Electrophysiological recordings were made by connecting EIB interface boards to an Inland RHD2000 amplifier board. LFP signals from each electrode were amplified, filtered between 0.1 Hz to 9 kHz, digitized at 25 kHz, and stored on a PC for offline analysis. At the conclusion of the experiment, animals were deeply anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine (200 mg/20 mg/kg body weight). In some cases, recordings were taken from anesthetized animals prior to electrolytic lesioning (−1 mA for 20 s) of recording sites. Under anesthesia, direct measurements from the Allen Mouse Brain Reference Atlas56. tions from the Allen Mouse Brain Reference Atlas56.
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