Abstract: Currently, the Brown-Schlesinger process is still regarded as the most common and mature method for the commercial production of sodium borohydride (NaBH₄). However, the metallic sodium, currently produced from the electrolysis of molten NaCl that is mass-produced by evaporation of seawater or brine, is probably the most costly raw material. Recently, several reports have demonstrated the feasibility of utilizing green electricity such as offshore wind power to produce metallic sodium through electrolysis of seawater. Based on this concept, we have made improvements and modified our previously proposed life cycle of sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) and ammonia borane (NH₃BH₃), in order to further reduce costs in the conventional Brown-Schlesinger process. In summary, the revision in the concept combining the regeneration of the spent borohydrides and the used catalysts with the green electricity is reflected in (1) that metallic sodium could be produced from NaCl of high purity obtained from the conversion of the byproduct in the synthesis of NH₃BH₃ to devoid the complicated purification procedures if produced from seawater; and (2) that the recycling and the regeneration processes of the spent NaBH₄ and NH₃BH₃ as well as the used catalysts could be simultaneously carried out and combined with the proposed life cycle of borohydrides.
Keywords: Brown-Schlesinger process; sodium borohydride (NaBH₄); ammonia borane (NH₃BH₃); hydrogen storage; sodium hydride (NaH); used catalysts; green electricity

1. Introduction

With a rapid increase in population worldwide, demand for energy, mostly from combustion of fossil fuels, is raised dramatically. Consequently, the atmospheric concentrations of several greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrous oxide (N₂O), and methane (CH₄) have increased by around 40% since the large-scale industrialization began in the early 19th century, leading to global warming and severe climate change. In addition, soot and fine particulate matters associated with the combustion of fossil fuels have been released into the atmosphere every year and have thus threatened the lives of many humans. Furthermore, the ecological balance on earth will also be destroyed if such problems are not resolved as early as possible. Therefore, the research and development of sustainable energy have been extensively carried out worldwide.

Hydrogen energy plays quite an important role in terms of sustainable energy. For example, the hydrogen gases can react with oxygen to generate electric power in fuel cells. Alternatively, the fuel cell can directly convert chemical energy into electricity, accompanied with the generation of water and heat. Among various types of fuel cells, the proton-exchange-membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) possesses some attractive advantages, for instance, lower operating temperature, more compact, lower weight, sustained operation at a higher current density, longer stack life, quicker start-up, suitability to discontinuous operation, and potential for a lower operation cost and volume [1]. However, for the longer operation duration of PEMFC, a stable supply of ultrapure hydrogen is necessary. Therefore, the development of an applicable hydrogen storage and supply technology attracts enormous attention.

No viable hydrogen storage method has been commercialized so far owing to many limitations such as the size, weight and cost. To overcome the barriers mentioned above, the hydrogen supplied to the PEMFC would be preferentially produced on site and on demand. In addition to the hydrogen production techniques, other topics such as an efficient distribution and storage of the hydrogen should be taken into account as well in order to realize the hydrogen economy. For example, the hydrogen storage and supply is a critical issue in the automobile on-board system, on which a higher volumetric and gravimetric energy density but the smaller size and the less weight of the container for the hydrogen storage are desired.

Among various H₂ storage methods, chemical hydride fuel systems, composed of lighter elements than metal hydrides, exhibit the higher gravimetric hydrogen density. Release of hydrogen from chemical hydrides can be mostly divided into two ways, inclusive of pyrolysis reaction and hydrolysis method. The representative reactions of the hydrolysis reaction are shown in the Equations (1) and (2).

\[
MH_y + y H_2O \rightarrow M(OH)_y + y H_2
\]  
where \( M \) is a metal and \( y \) is the valence of metal [2].

\[
MXH_y + 4 H_2O \rightarrow 4 H_2 + MOH + H_3XO_3
\]  
where \( M \) is an alkali metal (Group IA), and \( X \) for a trivalent element from Group IIIA [2].
Chemical hydrides such as LiBH₄, NaBH₄, KBH₄, LiH, NaH, and MgH₂ can react with water at an ambient condition with/without the presence of suitable catalysts, leading to the generation of hydrogen of high purity with no CO evolved, which can be directly fed into the fuel cell system without any prior purification required. In contrast, the hydrogen gas produced from the steam reforming methods contains inevitably a significant level of CO and has to undergo the purification measure before being consumed in the PEMFCs. Notably, the metal borohydrides are considered the better candidates for the hydrogen storage system because of their high storage capacity [3]. Moreover, among different metal borohydrides, NaBH₄ is regarded as one having a higher potential to meet the goals declared by the US DOE because of its higher hydrogen content (i.e., 10.8 wt%) through the hydrolysis reaction as follows [4]:

\[ \text{NaBH}_4 + 2H_2O \xrightarrow{\text{catalyst}} \text{NaBO}_2 + 4H_2 + 217kJ \]  

(3)

Nevertheless, with relentless effort numerous works have been conducted in developing suitable catalysts to promote the H₂ generation from aqueous NaBH₄ systems. Still, existence of the intrinsic defects in the aqueous NaBH₄ systems, such as poor aqueous solubility of spent borohydride—metaborate—restricts their progress in practical applications. For example, instead of the ideal condition presented in Equation (3), the poorer aqueous solubility of the sodium metaborate, produced along with the evolution of the hydrogen gas from the hydrolysis of the NaBH₄ shown in Equation (3), often results in solid precipitates on catalysts, blocking the active catalytic sites for the subsequent hydrolysis reaction of NaBH₄ to produce hydrogen. Furthermore, not all hydrogen atoms in water molecules involved in the hydrolysis of NaBH₄ are transformed into the produced hydrogen gas. Instead, many of them are rather taken up by the metaborate molecules to form various types of hydrates, as shown in Equation (4) [5], and, hence, the gravimetric storage density of the hydrogen in such a chemical hydride fuel system is decreased.

\[ \text{NaBH}_4 + (2 + x)H_2O \xrightarrow{\text{catalyst}} \text{NaBO}_2 \cdot xH_2O + 4H_2 \]  

(4)

As a result, the hydrogen storage capacity in an aqueous NaBH₄ system would generally decrease from theoretically predicted 10.8 wt% to 7.5 wt%, when the aqueous solubility of NaBH₄, i.e., 55 g NaBH₄ per 100 g H₂O or equivalently 35.48 wt% at 25 °C, is considered. Furthermore, if taking the aqueous solubility of NaBO₂, i.e., 28 g NaBO₂ per 100 g H₂O at 25 °C, into account, NaBO₂ becomes a limiting reagent in the hydrolysis reaction of NaBH₄ for hydrogen generation. Consequently, the initial NaBH₄ concentration in the hydrogen generation system should not exceed 16 g NaBH₄ per 100 g H₂O at 25 °C in order to maintain a liquid state during the whole course of hydrogen production. Otherwise, the excess NaBO₂ produced would precipitate out on the catalyst surface and, hence, could seriously reduce the performance of hydrogen generation by deactivating the active sites of catalysts [5]. Accordingly, the hydrogen storage capacity in an aqueous NaBH₄ system would further be decreased down to 2.9 wt% or even lower if any hydrated NaBO₂ is present. This is also one of the major reasons that the no-go decision of using NaBH₄ aqueous system for the onboard hydrogen storage was made by the US DOE in 2007, according to the test reports from Millennium Cell, ANL and TIAX laboratory [6]. The other reason attributable to the No-Go decision is the high-energy penalty and cost of regenerating sodium metaborate (NaBO₂) back to NaBH₄ fuel [6]. That is, the hydrogen cost and energy efficiency are of significant concern and need to be overcome to witness the realization of the chemical hydride fuel system.

In order to reduce the cost of the hydrogen evolved from the NaBH₄ fuel systems, a concept of utilizing water, instead of borohydrides, as a limiting agent in hydrogen production was recently
proposed [7,8]. In brief, the presence of excess water has increased the total mass of the system and, thus, has reduced the total gravimetric and volumetric capacities of the hydrogen stored in such NaBH4 fuel systems. That is, the hydrogen production from such a NaBH4 hydrogen storage system mainly takes place in the solid phase or in the liquid phase in close proximity to the solid-liquid boundary. Therefore, the gravimetric storage capacity of hydrogen in the NaBH4/H2O system could be effectively enhanced. Liu et al. [7] and Gislon et al. [8] both pointed out that the effective H2 storage capacities as high as 6.7 wt% and 6.5 wt%, respectively, could be achieved in such a solid NaBH4 hydrogen storage system. Moreover, the gravimetric hydrogen storage capacity was further improved to ca. 7.3 wt% from the solid-state NaBH4/Ru-based catalyst composites prepared from a high-energy ball-milling process [9]. This also implies that a superior H2 storage capacity from the solid NaBH4 hydrogen storage systems could possibly reach the set 2010 target of US DOE at 6 wt% for on-board system.

In addition to the on-board applications, NaBH4 has been attempted to be applied to portable devices or the maintenance-free stationary systems, for instance, to be used in a cell phone charger, and to feed the fuel cells in the off-grid remote warning system because of its long shelf life. As aforementioned, besides the issue of the hydrogen storage capacity, the cost of NaBH4 and the difficulty in recycling the spent-NaBH4, i.e., NaBO2, back to the borohydride fuel are the main causes leading to the No-Go recommendation to the NaBH4-based hydrogen storage system for the vehicle on-board applications [6]. That is, the production cost of NaBH4 is still posting a hurdle to its practical applications. In the subsequent sections of this report, the life cycle of the NaBH4 is discussed in order to shed light on the strategy in alleviation of the production cost and recycling of the NaBH4.

In order to increase the hydrogen storage capacity, NH3BH3 (commonly denoted as AB) has become the center of recent relevant studies [10–13], especially after the US DOE’s No-Go recommendation to NaBH4 for on-board automotive hydrogen storage [5,6]. NH3BH3 (borazane) contains intrinsically 19.6 wt% hydrogen. Notably, the hydrogen storage capacity of NH3BH3 is generally accepted to possibly reach more than 9 wt%. More importantly, NH3BH3 and its spent products after hydrogen released via the hydrolysis reaction is rarely toxic, stable and easily handled at ambient conditions [10–13].

NH3BH3 is generally known to possess many advantages: (1) its long-term storage stability, for example, more than 80 days stable in aqueous solution under an argon atmosphere [14], and (2) the smallest volume occupied for hydrogen supply, e.g., 13.32 mL for NH3BH3 in relative to 17.38 mL for NaBH4 and 51.11 mL for compressed hydrogen at 70 MPa and 288 K to supply one mole of hydrogen. Explicitly, this makes NH3BH3 suitable as a hydrogen storage medium for on-board vehicle applications. In addition, hydrogen in great purity can be obtained from the hydrolysis reaction of NH3BH3 in presence of particular catalysts, including noble metals [15,16], transition metals [14,17], even acids and carbon dioxide [10]. Alternatively, hydrogen can be liberated through the pyrolysis of NH3BH3 between 137 and 400 °C as well [14,18].

2. Life Cycle of Sodium Borohydride (NaBH4) and Ammonia Borane (NH3BH3)

The prevailing production method of NaBH4 in industrial scale is the Brown-Schlesinger process [4], which generally consists of seven steps [19] that are schematically shown in Figure 1 and described briefly as follows:
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Step 1. Hydrogen produced from steam reforming of methane.
Step 2. Metallic sodium obtained through the electrolysis of sodium chloride.
Step 3. Boric acid converted from borax.
Step 4. Trimethyl borate synthesized from esterification of boric acid in methanol.
Step 5. Sodium hydride produced from metallic sodium reacting with hydrogen.
Step 6. Synthesis of NaBH₄ via the reaction of trimethyl borate with sodium hydride.
Step 7. Methanol recycled from the hydrolysis of sodium methoxide.

**Figure 1.** The Brown-Schlesinger process for the synthesis of NaBH₄ [19].

The large-scale synthesis of NH₃BH₃ was first reported by Shore and Böddeker in 1964 [20]. In brief, NH₃BH₃ was obtained by extraction with ether from the solid mixture resulted from diborane dispersed in tetrahydrofuran (THF) passed with ammonia at −78 °C, close to the melting point of ammonia at −77.73 °C [20].

\[
\text{THF} \cdot \text{BH}_3 + \text{NH}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{THF} \cdot -78^\circ \text{C}} \text{NH}_3\text{BH}_3 + \text{THF}
\]  

(5)

Recently, a simplified process close to the ambient condition is devised to synthesize NH₃BH₃ from NaBH₄ and ammonia sulfate in tetrahydrofuran at 40 °C shown as Equation (6), in contrast to −78 °C for the Shore-Böddeker process [20].

\[
2\text{NaBH}_4 + (\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4 \xrightarrow{\text{THF} \cdot 40^\circ \text{C}} 2\text{NH}_3\text{BH}_3 + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 + 2\text{H}_2
\]  

(6)

Nonetheless, the NH₃BH₃ is still too expensive for practical applications. For example, according to the information supplied from Sigma-Aldrich, the current price tag for the NH₃BH₃ in technical grade with a purity of 90% is US$ 158.50 per 10 g, in contrast to US$ 201 per 500 g for NaBH₄ with a purity >96%. Moreover, in view of NH₃BH₃ as a sustainable supply of hydrogen, it is desirable to regenerate the spent product of NH₃BH₃ after hydrogen production.

Previously, boric acid (H₃BO₃) was found to be one major product in the hydrolysate of NH₃BH₃ [21]. Boric acid is likely resulted from the quick acidification of sodium metaborate (NaBO₂)
in the presence of H$_2$SO$_4$ or in acidic condition [21]. Notably, boric acid is one of the reactants used in the production of trimethyl borate (B(OCH$_3$)$_3$), a precursor of the NaBH$_4$ through the Brown-Schlesinger process [22]. Subsequently, NaBH$_4$ could be fabricated by reacting trimethyl borate with sodium hydride (NaH) at 220–250 °C in oil bath with the Brown-Schlesinger process [4]. Thus, the total life cycle between NH$_3$BH$_3$ (NH$_3$BH$_3$) and NaBH$_4$ for hydrogen generation could be sketched (Figure 2), which illustrates the possible pathways in hydrogen production from these chemical hydrides. Furthermore, with the information shown in Figure 2, the possible regeneration scheme of these spent chemical hydrides harvested from those after hydrogen evolution can be explored and investigated to shed a light to the realization of hydrogen economy by reducing the production cost of the NH$_3$BH$_3$. That is to say, once the cost of NaBH$_4$ could be reduced, less expensive NH$_3$BH$_3$ could be manufactured.

![Figure 2. The proposed total life cycle of borohydrides for hydrogen generation [22].](image)

Among various production steps in the Brown-Schlesinger process, the one consuming the most energy is undoubtedly the electrolysis of NaCl to produce metallic sodium. The NaCl come from evaporation of seawater in the saltern, where the offshore wind farm is closely located. Moreover, metallic sodium is so active that occupies high cost during transportation with great care. Therefore, the *in-house* production of metallic sodium and sodium hydride will benefit the reduction in the production cost of NaBH$_4$ as well as NH$_3$BH$_3$.

In this report, we will provide several possible solutions for the reduction in the production cost and the hazard and risk in the Brown-Schlesinger process either by the combination of green energy (*i.e.*, offshore wind power) and the electrolysis from seawater for the localized production of H$_2$ and NaH. The revised life cycle of NaBH$_4$-NH$_3$BH$_3$ will be presented accordingly in Figure 3 and discussed in the following section.

### 3. Modified Life Cycle of Sodium Borohydrides (NaBH$_4$) and Ammonia Borane (NH$_3$BH$_3$)

As mentioned above, the practice to fabricate metallic sodium from the electrolysis reaction of NaCl takes up the highest cost in the commercial Brown-Schlesinger process. Within this step, the use of the extensive amount of electricity in the electrolysis is the main factor attributable to the large production
cost. Hence, it is possible to reduce such a production cost with green electricity generated from an offshore wind farm [23]. Briefly, 1.8 GWh is in need when performing the electrolysis of the seawater of 100,000 ton/day (assuming the electrolysis efficiency near 42%). Taking the average resale price of the electricity around US$0.12/kWh into account, US$2.16 million could be saved when processing the seawater of 100,000 tons to produce 1000 tons of sodium metal everyday by using the offshore wind power electricity. In addition, the hydrogen generated during the electrolysis of the NaCl solution could be further conducted to react with the obtained metallic sodium metal to produce NaH on-site. Sodium hydride is regarded as a more stable product than the metallic sodium and is more advantageous for a safer and less costly transportation.

Based on the idea using the green electricity generated from the offshore wind power as a feasibly economic measure to reduce the production cost of sodium metal and sodium hydride, we have modified the total life cycle of NH$_3$BH$_3$ and NaBH$_4$ previously published in the open literature [21] (Figure 3). Also, the strategy for the regeneration of the used Co catalyst is duly proposed and integrated into the revised life cycle (Figure 3).

**Figure 3.** The modified total life cycle of borohydrides for hydrogen generation.

In the synthesis of NH$_3$BH$_3$, NaBH$_4$ first reacts with (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ in THF at 40 °C to give NH$_3$BH$_3$ with hydrogen gas and Na$_2$SO$_4$ as the byproducts, as depicted in Equation (6). The produced hydrogen gas has to be collected and can be applied to the production process of sodium hydride (Na+$\frac{1}{2}$H$_2$→NaH). On the other hand, Na$_2$SO$_4$ solution could contact with BaCl$_2$ to have BaSO$_4$
precipitate out of the aqueous reagent system, which is presumably dominated by NaCl. The NaCl solution with a high purity can be directly electrolyzed with the green electricity generated from the offshore wind power electricity. The other byproduct, BaSO₄, is known to possess a high commercial value and can serve as an oil-well drilling fluid, a white pigment, a paper brightener, a plastics filler, a contrast agent, etc. These valuable byproducts shall be able to offset the high production cost of NaBH₄ and a NH₃BH₃.

4. Recycle and Regeneration of Used Cobalt Catalysts

The used catalysts are recycled and regenerated not only for the sake of the economics consideration but also the sustainability. Previously, the magnetic cobalt catalysts loaded on the resin beads (Co/IR-120) have been successfully synthesized and applied to catalyze the hydrolysis of NaBH₄ in alkaline solution for hydrogen evolution [24]. Not only a high production rate of hydrogen, but also the easiness in the recovery of the used Co/IR-120 from reacting system with permanent magnet was achieved [24]. The surface chemistry of the prepared Co/IR-120 catalysts, made from the reduction of chelated cobalt ions on polymer resin by NaBH₄, was found mainly as cobalt oxides (Co₃O₄ and CoO), but not cobalt borides (Co₂B), according to the XPS analyses [24]. With this information, a recycling process of the spent Co/IR-120 catalysts was devised for the simultaneous recycling and regeneration process to the spent-NaBH₄ and the used catalysts (Figure 4).

![Figure 4. The combined concept of the regeneration processes of the spent-NaBH₄ and the spent catalyst.](image)

In general, NaBO₂ is one major component of the spent-NaBH₄ and has a relatively lower solubility, i.e., 28 g in 100 mL H₂O at 25 °C, than NaBH₄. Thus, NaBO₂ will precipitate on the surface of catalysts after the long-term hydrolysis reaction of NaBH₄ in concentrated solutions, leading to the deactivation of the catalyst. Notably, CoO could dissolve easily in H₂SO₄ solution (Equation (7)). However, the solubility of Co₃O₄ was much lower than that of CoO because Co₃O₄ is more stable than CoO [25]. Fortunately, this problem can be resolved with the assistance of sulfite (SO₃²⁻) that will facilitate the conversion of Co³⁺ to Co²⁺. The reduction-dissolution of Co₃O₄ can be presented as Equation (8), accordingly.
\[
\text{CoO} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow \text{CoSO}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (7)
\]

\[
\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4 + 3\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_3 \rightarrow 3\text{CoSO}_4 + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (8)
\]

Consequently, the used Co/IR-120 catalyst after the hydrolysis reaction of NaBH\textsubscript{4} could be recycled conveniently with magnets and, then, immersed in the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}/Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{3} solution at an appropriate concentration and temperature (i.e., 70 °C for 3 h), shown in Figure 4. During the immersion procedure, Co\textsuperscript{2+} ion will be leached out from the surface of Co/IR-120 catalyst, while H\textsubscript{3}BO\textsubscript{3} can be simultaneously obtained from NaBO\textsubscript{2} precipitated on catalyst with acids (Equation (9)).

\[
2\text{NaBO}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \xrightarrow{70^\circ C/3h} 2\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (9)
\]

The boric acid could be easily separated from the reacting mixture by crystallization at a lower temperature. For example, being cooled to 5 °C, needle-like H\textsubscript{3}BO\textsubscript{3} crystal appears and precipitates out from the coexisting Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4(aq)} \[22\]. Thus, boric acid could be conveniently collected through filtration. The boric acid will be, subsequently, esterified with excess methanol to yield trimethyl borate \[22\], which can be further converted to NaBH\textsubscript{4} with NaH, according to the commercial Brown-Schlesinger process. Besides, the fresh Co\textsuperscript{2+} ions can be chelated with the benzenesulfonyl groups on the IR-120 ion exchange resin beads again to generate the fresh Co/IR-120 catalysts to complete the regeneration process of the used catalyst.

The rest left in the solution after filtering-out H\textsubscript{3}BO\textsubscript{3} crystals is dominantly the Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}. BaCl\textsubscript{2} can be introduced to precipitate out BaSO\textsubscript{4} and to leave NaCl in the solution phase (Figure 3). Finally, Na metal and hydrogen gas can be obtained from the electrolysis of the NaCl solution with the green electricity, Namely, the introduction of the green electricity to the recycling and regeneration processes of the spent borohydrides and NH\textsubscript{3}BH\textsubscript{3} as well as the used catalysts could increase the sustainability of the chemical hydride H\textsubscript{2}-fuel system and, therefore, realize the hydrogen economy.

5. Conclusions

In this report, we have proposed our modified life cycle of NaBH\textsubscript{4} and NH\textsubscript{3}BH\textsubscript{3}, based on the concept of combining the use of green energy, such as green electricity generated from an offshore wind farm, instead of the electricity currently and majorly generated from the fossil fuels, to produce sodium hydride and, subsequently, to manufacture NaBH\textsubscript{4} and NH\textsubscript{3}BH\textsubscript{3}. Consequently, the production costs of metallic sodium and sodium hydride are always the most expensive in the commercial Brown-Schlesinger process for the production of NaBH\textsubscript{4}, and could be saved with green electricity generated \textit{in situ}. The NH\textsubscript{3}BH\textsubscript{3} can be further synthesized from NaBH\textsubscript{4} recycled from the spent chemical hydrides. The spent Co catalysts could be recycled and regenerated with the use of sulfuric acid and sodium sulfite. That is, the recycling and the regeneration processes of the spent NaBH\textsubscript{4} and NH\textsubscript{3}BH\textsubscript{3} as well as the used catalysts could be simultaneously carried out and combined with the proposed life cycle of borohydrides. Thus, the total efficiency, both in energy and materials, of the used catalysts and the spent chemical hydrides could be greatly improved. Notably and alternatively, the main differences and advances of the life cycle proposed in this study from that published in the literature is on the use of green energy and electricity, instead of that supplied from a fossil-fuel power station, and that the regeneration of the spent chemical hydrides and the used catalysts could be performed in one cycle with a possibly higher efficiency.
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