Complex postbreeding molt strategies in a songbird migrating along the East Asian Flyway, the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warbler Locustella certhiola
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Abstract
Molt strategies have received relatively little attention in current ornithology, and knowledge concerning the evolution, variability and extent of molt is sparse in many bird species. This is especially true for East Asian Locustella species where assumptions on molt patterns are based on incomplete information. We provide evidence indicating a complex postbreeding molt strategy and variable molt extent among the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warbler Locustella certhiola, based on data from six ringing sites situated along its flyway from the breeding grounds to the wintering areas. Detailed study revealed for the first time that in most individuals wing feather molt proceeds from the center both toward the body and the wing-tip, a molt pattern known as divergent molt (which is rare among Palearctic passerines). In the Russian Far East, where both breeding birds and passage migrants occur, a third of the adult birds were molting in late summer. In Central Siberia, at the northwestern limit of its distribution, adult individuals commenced their primary molt partly divergently and partly with unknown sequence. During migration in Mongolia, only descendantly (i.e., from the body toward the wing-tip) molting birds were observed, while further south in Korea, Hong Kong, and Thailand the proportion of potential eccentric and divergent feather renewal was not identifiable since the renewed feathers were already fully grown as expected. We found an increase in the mean number of molted primaries during the progress of the autumn migration. Moderate body mass levels and low-fat and muscle scores were observed in molting adult birds, without any remarkable increase in the later season. According to optimality models, we suggest that an extremely short season of high food abundance in tall grass habitats and a largely overland route allow autumn migration with low fuel loads combined with molt migration in at least a part of the population. This study highlights the importance of further studying molt
1 | INTRODUCTION

Annual cycles of birds comprise a series of energy- and time-demanding phenological processes (life-history stages; Wingfield, 2005): namely breeding, migration, and molt. Feathers deteriorate through time due to abrasion and exposure to sunlight and have to be renewed periodically. Molt, with its particular nutritional demands in terms of protein mobilization, is more flexible in timing than other annually repeated events (Jenni & Winkler, 2020; Lindström & Piersma, 1993; Newton, 2009, 2011).

Timing and pattern of molt in relation to migration varies, and flight feather replacement occurs either in breeding areas, wintering sites, or at stopover sites on migration. The annual schedule depends on species or individual migratory strategies (Carlisle et al., 2005; Ginn & Melville, 1983; Jenni & Winkler, 2020; Newton, 2009; Stresemann & Stresemann, 1966) and frequently differs also among different geographical populations of the same species (Hemborg et al., 2001; Remisiewicz, 2011).

In contrast to resident populations or birds that molt in winter quarters, migratory species that molt during late summer at high latitudes are less flexible regarding the timing of their molt and may undergo more rapid flight feather replacement before departure. But rapid molting results in openings in the wing and/or reduced feather quality, impeding flight efficiency, and increasing risk of predation (Butler, 2013; De La Hera et al., 2009; Hedenström & Sunada, 1999; Moller & Nielsen, 2018; Morrison et al., 2015; Rohwer et al., 2011; Serra et al., 2007; Swaddle & Winter, 1997; but see Bridge, 2011). Furthermore, molt-related feather gaps in the wing or tail may increase energetic costs and simultaneously impair accumulation of energy reserves for migration. Molt speed and the sequence of feather renewal may affect the size and shape of molt-related feather gaps with possible impacts on flight metabolism and performance during the molt process. Therefore, the timing of molt and extensive refueling rarely coincides (Barta et al., 2007). However, in some cases fuel deposition rate or body mass increase is little affected by molt (e.g., Holmgren et al., 1993).

Among almost all Western Palearctic passerines, the basic sequence of the complete primary molt is uniformly descendant, beginning with the innermost primary (P) P1, and the renewal of secondaries (S) begins ascendantly with S1 (Ginn & Melville, 1983; Jenni & Winkler, 2020). The few long-distance migrants that molt twice per year may replace their primaries in an ascending, descending, or eccentric sequence. The latter pattern of eccentric primary molt does not start with P1, but somewhere in the center of the primaries or in the outer primaries (Jenni & Winkler, 2020). In some passerines, the starting point of primary molt may be shifted from P1 to a middle primary (divergent sequence: renewal proceeding simultaneously both inwards and outwards) or to the outermost P9 (ascend- tant sequence; Kulaszewicz & Jakubas, 2015; Neto & Gosler, 2006; Stein, 2012; Steiner, 1970; Thomas, 1977). However, divergent molt is still exceptional among Western Palearctic passerines, and the occurrence of this molt sequence might be correlated with accelerated molt speed (Kiat, 2017).

The need for rapid molt might be highest for species breeding in highly seasonal habitats, with limited time on the breeding grounds. Strongest seasonal changes in climate are found around the Siberian “cold pole,” with temperature ranges of more than 100°C. One of the latest arriving species breeding in Siberia is the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warbler *Locustella certhiola*, which stays only around two months on the breeding grounds (Bozo et al., 2019; Kennerley & Pearson, 2010; Spletsov, 2018). Geolocator tracking revealed a very rapid migration in this species: A breeding bird from the Russian Far East covered a distance of almost 5,000 km in less than one month during autumn migration (Heim et al., 2020). These extreme time constraints might have led to the evolution of a peculiar molt strategy, but little information is available so far.

The Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers are known to undergo a complete prebreeding molt in which up to four primaries may be grown simultaneously during normal descendant molt in March–April, shortly before spring migration (Bub & Dorsch, 1995; Nisbet, 1967; Stresemann & Stresemann, 1972). On the other hand, Stresemann and Stresemann (1972) stated that adult Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers undergo a complete molt in autumn as well, and two individuals in active primary molt were found in November in Myanmar (Williamson, 1976). Kennerley and Pearson (2010) reported adult birds from Hong Kong in September which had three outer primaries presumably replaced before migration. Chernyshov (2017) reported an individual trapped on 25 August at Chany Lake in West Siberia with freshly molted primaries, tertials, rectrices, and body feathers. The westernmost breeding population may have the longest migration route of all populations and is supposed by Dementev and Gladkov (1954) to have a complete molt twice annually. In addition, Svensson (1992) mentioned also two birds from Turkestan in August undergoing an advanced active postbreeding molt and wondered whether adults “might undergo an extremely rapid complete (or nearly complete) molt in late summer-early autumn” (Svensson, 1992). Furthermore, four adults with initiated but arrested wing feather molt were caught during autumn migration in Beidaihe, China (Norevik et al., 2020). These observations, though based on few individuals, suggest the occurrence of two molt seasons in the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warbler, a (partial) postbreeding molt, and a complete prebreeding molt.
Here, we ask the question whether the extreme time constraints resulting from a very short stay on the breeding grounds and rapid long-distance migration have led to specific adaptations in the molt pattern of the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warbler. First, we analyze the extent and direction of primary molt and the frequency of observed molt patterns based on individuals caught at six sites situated along the migration route. Second, we analyze the correlation of post-breeding molt with body condition and fuel loads.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study sites

We compiled data on molt and body condition of Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers from a total of six sites situated along its migration route (Table 1): from a breeding site at the northwestern limit of its distribution (Mirnoye, Central Siberia), at breeding

| Study site | Central Siberia | Mongolia | Russian Far East | Korea | Hong Kong | Thailand |
|------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|-------|-----------|----------|
| Sampling period | July–August | August–September | August–September | August | September | August–December |
| Sampling years | 1990–2015 | 2018 | 2011–2017 | 2014–2016 | 2008–2018 | 1995–2014 |

**PP**

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| n individuals | 26 | 14 | 16 | 9 | 137 | 28 |
| % Of ind having all/some molted | 0%/100% | 0%/100% | 19%/100% | 0%/100% | 0%/75% |
| Mean number (range) of molted | 3.3 (1–7) | 6.0 (2–8) | 7.3 (2–9) | 7.1 (5–8) | 8.0 (0–9) | 5.0 (0–7) |

**SS**

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| n individuals | 19 | 16 | 7 | 22 |
| % Of ind having all/some molted | 0%/0% | 0%/62% | 0%/57% | 0%/14% |
| Mean number (range) of molted | 0.0 (0) | 0.8 (0–3) | 0.7 (0–2) |

**TT**

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| n individuals | 19 | 16 | 7 | 24 |
| % Of ind having all/some molted | 32%/47% | 56%/81% | 100%/100% | 63%/100% |
| Mean number (range) of molted | 2.4 (2–3) | 2.6 (0–3) | 3.0 (3) | 2.5 (1–3) |

**TF**

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| n individuals | 19 | 15 | 7 |
| % Of ind having all/some molted | 100%/100% | 87%/100% | 85%/85% |
| Mean number (range) of molted | 6.0 (6) | 4.1 (0–6) | 5.0 (0–6) |

**Fat**

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| n individuals | 6 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 183 | 24 |
| Mean fat score | 2.2 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 2.1 |

**Muscle score**

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| n individuals | 14 | 13 | 21 |
| Mean muscle score | 2.4 | 2.0 |

**Mass**

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| n individuals | 21 | 14 | 9 | 183 | 24 |
| Mean body mass in g | 15.4 | 15.3 | 14.7 | 16.0 | 13.7 | 16.0 |
| Range in g | 14.0–16.2 | 13.5–17.6 | 13.2–16.5 | 13.3–19.1 | 13.3–19.4 |

Note: Given is the mean number of molted feathers (range) and below the percentage of individuals having molted all/some of those feathers, if recorded.
and possible stopover sites in the Russian Far East (Muraviovka Park, Amur region) and Mongolia (Khurkh Bird Ringing Station) through stopover sites in South Korea (Heuksando Island) and Hong Kong (Mai Po) to a potential wintering site near Bangkok, Thailand (Figure 3). Birds were caught with mist-nets without using playback.

2.2 | Data collection and selection

We included only adult birds, as juveniles do not molt their flight feathers in autumn (Bub & Dorsch, 1995; Svensson, 1992). Aging in autumn was based on yellowish underpart color and dark spotting on the upper breast and lower throat in juveniles (Svensson, 1992). Body mass was taken to the nearest 0.1 g with an electronic scale. Visible subcutaneous fat load in the tracheal pit and on the abdomen was estimated on a nine-point scale (0–8) according to Kaiser (1995). The thickness of the breast muscles was scored on a four-digit scale (0–3) according to Bairlein (1995). Primaries (P1–P9) were numbered sequentially, descendantly, secondaries (S1–S6) and tertials (S7–S9) ascendantly.

Most detailed information on molt was collected at the study site in the Russian Far East. Here, the molt of remiges was recorded by attributing a score from zero (old feather) to five (completely grown new feather) to all flight feathers (Ginn & Melville, 1983). The total molt score (TMS) was determined for each bird by adding the molt scores of the individual nine long primaries and the six secondaries of the left wing, but excluding the very small outermost primary (P10), to give a maximum TMS of 45 for primaries and 30 for secondaries. When molting birds (i.e., birds in active wing feather molt) were re-captured, molt score was again calculated and used to estimate molt progress per day, calculated as the difference in TMS divided by the number of days between capture and recapture. Due to a lack of data on feather mass in this species, it was assumed that the primary molt score was linearly related to time (but see Newton, 2009; Underhill & Zucchini, 1988).

2.3 | Statistical analysis

We compared the percentage of individuals showing postbreeding primary molt and the number of molted primaries as well as the direction of molt. In a second step, we modeled the number of molted primaries as an index for molt progress using linear mixed-effect models in R package lme4 (Bates et al., 2014). Julian day and latitude were fitted as fixed independent effects. Study site was included as random effect. We built two sets of candidate models, one including all birds trapped during the autumn migration period (15 July–25 September, based on the bird tracked by Heim et al., 2020) and one including only individuals trapped at stopover sites (excluding the likely wintering site in Thailand). We graphically examined if model assumptions were met using residual plots and evaluated model fit with the conditional $R^2$ and marginal $R^2$ (Nakagawa & Schielzeth, 2013). Fixed effects were tested with a likelihood ratio test.

To test whether flight feather molt is connected to body condition, we examined molting and nonmolting birds caught between 27 July and 14 September (a time when both molting and nonmolting birds co-occur) at the study site in the Russian Far East. The relationship between molt and body mass was estimated by linear regression using log-body mass. The correlation of molt (yes/no) with fat and muscle score was estimated using a Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test as both fat and muscle score were measured at ordinal scales and were not normally distributed.

Data analyses were conducted using the software R (R Core Team, 2017). The additional packages xlsx (Dragulescu & Arendt, 2018), reshape2 (Wickham, 2007), and ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016) were needed for data import, aggregating data, and creating graphs, respectively.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Postbreeding molt patterns

In Central Siberia, nearly two thirds of adult individuals caught in July and August ($n = 26$) had recently commenced their primary molt with the starting point at the center of the feather tract; therefore, the molt patterns of these 16 birds (62%) were unidentifiable (Table 1). Feather renewal of 8 individuals (31%) followed a divergent sequence, while one bird probably showed a descendant molt pattern. The approximate molting trajectory was similar to that of birds from the Russian Far East, although molt started earlier in Central Siberia (Figure 2b).

In the Russian Far East, 65 out of 190 adult Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers caught between May and September showed signs of postbreeding molt (Table 2, Figure S1). Detailed descriptions of flight feather renewal of adult birds in the Russian Far East were available only for birds caught in 2017 ($n = 16$, Table 1). The first adult bird molting its primaries (P3–P6) was caught on 27 July 2017 (TMS not recorded). The earliest bird to have newly completed primary molt (TMS 43) was caught on 16 August, the latest bird with completed molt on 27 August.

The individual scores of each remex (wing feather) of molting adults in the Russian Far East were summed to determine the general sequence of molt in this population (Figure 1a). The postbreeding molt tended to be initiated in P5, nearly simultaneously followed by P6 and P4 (Figure 1b,c). Ten individuals (63%) underwent divergent molt. The median number of replaced primaries within this group was 7.3, with a range from four to eight (seven individuals). Three further birds (19%) were probably also replacing their wing feathers in a divergent way (e.g., P4 > P5 > P3 > P6 > P2 > P7 > P1 > P8 > P9), but since the inner primaries were nearly fully grown, the precise molt sequence in these individuals was unknown. One adult showed an eccentrically descendant molt pattern, that is, starting from the middle primaries and then outwards, while one individual had just started molt with P5 and P6 emerging from the sheath. Only 3 out
of 16 birds had replaced all primaries (Table 1), that is, were completing primary molt except for the two outermost primaries (still growing, each with score 4). P5 and P6 were invariably molted, whereas 81% of the birds retained an unmolted P1 and 38% retained P2, too (Figure 1c). The retained, unmolted primaries were generally located in the inner wing, regardless of whether the molt pattern was divergent or eccentrically descendant.

We found that 56% of the birds molted their tertials completely, most often following the sequence T7–T8–T9 (Table 1). Single secondaries were shed quite unsystematically and without any clear connection with the sequence and extent of primary molt. In 53% of the birds S6 was shed, descendantly followed by S5 (Figure 1c). Only three adults had completely replaced S1–S3. Tail feather molt occurred in 87% (Table 1). Only one individual renewed some primary coverts, which did not molt at the same time or in the same sequence as their corresponding primaries. Most individuals had nearly completed their body feather molt except for the dorsal tract, and a few birds underwent a complete molt of their whole body plumage.

Based on four recaptures of actively molting adults in the Russian Far East, the weighted arithmetic mean rate of primary molt was 1.26 molt scores per day (range 0.50–1.92), assuming continuous (uninterrupted) molt (Figure 2a). When the secondaries were included, the weighted arithmetic mean rate was 1.98 molt scores per day (range 0.50–2.83). Hence, the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers would need on average 36 days (range 23–90) to complete their postbreeding primary molt, or 38 days (range 26–150) if the secondaries were included. We estimated the start of molt for each of the four birds individually by using their individual molt speed.

### TABLE 2
Number of Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers molting and not molting flight feathers caught between 2011 and 2017 in the Russian Far East summarized by ten-day periods (decade)

| Decade | Molting | Not molting | % Molting |
|--------|---------|-------------|-----------|
| 1: 28 May–6 June | 0 (0) 23 (27) | 0 (0) |
| 2: 7 June–16 June | 0 (0) 16 (27) | 0 (0) |
| 3: 17 June–26 June | 0 (0) 19 (26) | 0 (0) |
| 4: 27 June–6 July | 0 (0) 14 (19) | 0 (0) |
| 5: 7 July–16 July | 0 (0) 8 (14) | 0 (0) |
| 6: 17 July–26 July | 0 (0) 9 (11) | 0 (0) |
| 7: 27 July–5 August | 13 (18) 14 (23) | 48 (44) |
| 8: 6 August–15 August | 15 (19) 5 (8) | 75 (70) |
| 9: 16 August–25 August | 24 (32) 7 (10) | 77 (76) |
| 10: 26 August–4 September | 9 (12) 9 (14) | 50 (46) |
| 11: 5 September–14 September | 3 (4) 1 (3) | 75 (57) |
| 12: 15 September–24 September | 1 (1) 0 (0) | 100 (100) |
| Sum | 65 (86) 125 (182) | 34 (32) |

Note: Numbers without brackets represent first handlings of birds, and numbers within brackets represent first traps and retraps within and between years. Total \( N_{\text{without retraps}} = 190; \) total \( N_{\text{with retraps}} = 268. \)
On average, postbreeding molt started on 21 July, if only primaries are considered, or on 15 July, if primaries and secondaries are considered.

In Mongolia, all birds \((n = 14)\) showed the normal descendant molt sequence of the primaries during August and September. 11 individuals \((79\%)\) had interrupted their molt with a median number of six replaced primaries \((\text{range four to eight})\), while three birds were still molting with two growing feathers in each case \((\text{Table 1})\).

In contrast to the above, 50% of the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers examined in Korea during August \((n = 9)\) had already replaced all their primaries, except for the innermost \((\text{on average two})\) remaining old feathers \((\text{Table 1})\). In addition to replacing all tertials, three out of nine individuals had also molted S6. However, the proportion of birds that might have molted primaries in an eccentrically descendant or divergent pattern prior to their arrival in Korea is unknown.

Lowest fat scores were found at the breeding site in Central Siberia, at the breeding and stopover site in the Russian Far East and at the potential wintering site in Thailand \((\text{Table 1})\). Muscle scores were available from three sites, with the highest mean scores found at the breeding site in Mongolia and moderate muscle scores found in molting birds in the Russian Far East and in birds in Thailand. Mean body masses found during migration in Korea and in Thailand were highest, while lowest body masses were found during stopover in Hong Kong. In the Russian Far East, body mass of molting \((\text{mean 14.3 g ± standard deviation 1.0 g, range 12.3–17.3 g, } n = 62)\) and nonmolting birds \((\text{mean 14.5 g ± standard deviation 1.2 g, range 12.1–18.5 g, } n = 36)\) did not differ significantly in the time window in which both were observed \((b = 0.02, t = 1.3, p = .19)\). Nor did fat
scores (median score: 2) of molting and nonmolting birds differ significantly ($W = 1.210, p = .57$), though muscle scores (median score: 2) of nonmolters were significantly higher ($W = 1.356.5, p = .01$; Figure S2 and Tables S1–S3).

**4 | DISCUSSION**

**4.1 | Postbreeding molt**

This is the first study providing direct evidence for a partial postbreeding molt of the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers. With 36 days, the duration of the primary molt (outermost primary excluded) of birds caught in the Russian Far East took place in significantly less time than the 50–60 days estimated by Nisbet (1967) for prebreeding molters in spring. The accelerated partial postbreeding molt might be caused by the late time of nesting, the long migration distance, and associated time constraints due to decreasing food availability in seasonal habitats on their temperate breeding grounds (Ginn & Melville, 1983; Newton, 2009). The shorter molt duration might also stem from differences in the extent of molt, as many individuals do not finish their primary molt on the breeding grounds, or from the low sample size. We suggest that besides a premigratory molt of local breeders prior to autumn migration, a percentage of the trapped individuals with signs of advanced primary molt exhibited a temporal overlap between commenced migratory movement and flight feather replacement or a suspended molt migration (Tonra & Reudink, 2018). A possible confirmation of these two potential molt strategies is provided by the comparison of primary molt dynamics of the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers in Central Siberia and the Russian Far East, each site using a constant-effort schedule. Only a few birds of the Central Siberian population molt on the place of breeding (Figure 2b), most of them depart around mid-August before or at the very beginning of molt. In the Russian Far East, many birds at terminal stages of primary renewal appear in the second half of August (Figure 2a). They are unlikely to have started molt at this site if their molt follows the progress of the four earlier reported retrapped individuals. Thus, these birds with terminating molt might belong to northern populations, such as from Central Siberia. Molt migration seems to be relatively common in Neotropical migrants (Leu & Thompson, 2002) and has been linked to aridity of the breeding grounds (Pageau et al., 2020).

Based on one geolocator-tracked individual that left its breeding site on 2 September (and arrived in its wintering area in Thailand already on 25 September; Heim et al., 2020), adult birds of the population in the Russian Far East likely depart in late August or the beginning of September. Only two of the 16 birds examined in detail in the Russian Far East were judged to have interrupted their wing
molt, arresting after growing P4–P9 and P3–P9, respectively. Thus, it cannot be ruled out that most individuals molt continuously during active migration, maybe encouraged by continuous suitable environmental conditions en route to their South-East Asian wintering areas (Heim et al., 2020; Yong et al., 2015). Similar observations of molt bridging autumn migration have been made in other songbirds (Elkins & Etheridge, 1977; Herremans, 1990; Kiat et al., 2018; Nisbet & Medway, 1971; Schaub & Jenni, 2000b).

Based on our data, the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warbler is the first eastern Palearctic passerine for which a divergent primary molt pattern is demonstrated (Figure 1b). Regular descendant sequence has been documented in this species too, although to a much smaller extent than divergent molt. Similar observations were made in Savi’s Warblers Locustella luscinoides in Europe (Thomas, 1977). The distribution curve of molted remiges (Figure 1c) was also similar to that of Savi’s Warblers showing diverging wing molt (Thomas, 1977). According to Kiat (2017), the benefit of a divergent molt strategy is that the wing gap comes about shortly after the start of the primary molt and is later split into two smaller gaps rather than one larger wing gap during the normal descendant molt sequence. This might allow divergent molters a higher molt speed and lower aerodynamic costs than in descendant molters (Kiat, 2017, 2018, but see Bridge, 2011).

Together with the tertials, S6 was usually molted (“abridged” molt, Norman, 1991) in the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers in the Russian Far East, as shown for adults of other long-distance migrants with a partial postbreeding molt (Jenni & Winkler, 2020; Svensson, 1992), followed by S5. This might indicate that secondary molt proceeds convergently, a frequent exception in partial secondary molt (Jenni & Winkler, 2020; Neto & Gosler, 2006). Retaining the central secondaries, usually the least abraded of remaining wing feathers (Norman, 1986), shortens molt duration and presumably allows resources to be diverted preferentially to primary feather synthesis. The centers of primary and tertial molt, arranged around P5/6 and T8, are thought to have an adaptive function (Mester & Prünte, 1982). In the folded wing of a primarily reed foraging species, such as the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warbler, they might serve as protective shields against forced abrasion and sun exposure for the outermost pointed primaries and the central secondaries, respectively. Simultaneously, P5 and its adjacent feathers are exposed to intense wear, quasi representatively for the important outer wing feathers regarding aerodynamic and flight efficiency (Lockwood et al., 1998).

Interestingly, the unmolted primaries of the majority of adult Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers in Central Siberia and the Russian Far East appeared in pristine condition. Rather than “exceptional strength” (cf. Svensson, 1992), this might more likely relate to the very recent prebreeding wing molt pre-dating the onset of spring migration in mid-May. It further highlights the open question of the reasons for the variation in postbreeding primary molt patterns of this species. The above-mentioned group of adult nonmolters could belong to a population from northern parts of the breeding range (L. c. rubescens) which might completely postpone their molt until arrival in wintering areas (Newton, 2009) or which have not yet started their flight feather renewal. However, based on our data from Central Siberia, at least some of the northernmost breeders start to molt on the breeding grounds. Little is known about population-specific nonbreeding areas, except for the tracked individual connecting the Russian Far East and Thailand (Figure 3). Our molt data support the finding that some birds at the Bangkok ringing site in Thailand could belong to the breeding population from the Russian Far East.

Our results indicate that intraspecific variation in molt sequence can neither be attributed to specific regions of the species’ vast breeding range, nor be attributed to a subspecies. Based on our data from the Russian Far East, plasticity in postbreeding primary molt sequence cannot be easily related to season either, contrary to observations in another species with eccentric molt (Neto & Gosler, 2006). It should be added that in spring, apart from four actively molting birds with irregularities in the molt sequence that cannot be allocated, 16 individuals caught during February–May 1995–2014 in Thailand followed the basic molt sequence of primaries (P. Round, unpublished data). These records indicate that partial postbreeding flight feather renewal in adult Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers in general represents a separate molt that is arrested both within primaries and secondaries, followed by a second complete prebreeding molt in the nonbreeding area, as assumed for other Locustella species as well (Jenni & Winkler, 2020; Pearson & Backhurst, 1983; Svensson, 1992).

Tail feathers in the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warbler are dropped simultaneously (Nisbet, 1967), as in other Acrocephalus and Locustella warblers (Kennerley & Pearson, 2010; Round & Rumsey, 2003). The specific tail and primary molt in the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warbler might be supported by its feeding mainly in reeds and dense scrub, without having to cross wide gaps and thus saving energetic costs connected to movement with temporary wing and tail feather deficits. This behavior is shared by other Locustella species (Kennerley & Pearson, 2010) and might explain why the occurrence of divergent molt seems to be more widespread in this group.

4.2 | Molt migration and body condition

New production of flight and body feathers requires protein synthesis, diverting energy (Murphy & King, 1992), which cannot be allocated to fuel reserves in preparation for migration. Therefore, average fuel deposition rates and fat scores should be lower in molting birds (Merila, 1997; Remisiewicz et al., 2018; Rubolini et al., 2002; Schaub & Jenni, 2000a). Contrarily, we found constantly moderate body mass levels and low fat scores at our study site in the Russian Far East, with no significant differences in fat scores between molting and nonmolting birds, nor any remarkable increase later in the season in either group. Although comparatively more nonmolting birds had a maximum muscle score, flight muscle scores on average remained moderate throughout the season in both groups, indicating a low protein catabolism within molting birds, presumably because the demand for protein was easily met by
5 | CONCLUSIONS

This is the first study combining data on molt from six different sites for a songbird species migrating along the East Asian Flyway. By investigating molt patterns of the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warbler, we have gained valuable insights into a central aspect of its migration strategy that has not been previously documented. Our results demonstrate that this species undertakes a rapid, postbreeding molt of flight feathers. Particularly interesting is the variation of primary molt patterns within and between different populations/subspecies and geographical regions, as has been revealed in this study. To our knowledge, this is the first time that the rare divergent molt pattern has been witnessed among Palearctic passerines in East Asia. At the same time, a considerable proportion of birds did not show signs of primary renewal. Plasticity of this kind could be highly advantageous to the Pallas’s Grasshopper Warblers in regulating the energetic costs of overlapping late breeding commitment and timing of molt and migration. Further detailed studies may shed light on the impacts on the individual molt strategies within a population and among individuals in response to variation in climate or habitats (Newton, 2011).
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