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The interaction of muscle and tendon is of major importance for movement performance and a balanced development of muscle strength and tendon stiffness could protect athletes from overuse injury. However, muscle and tendon do not necessarily adapt in a uniform manner during a training process. The development of a diagnostic routine to assess both the strength capacity of muscle and the mechanical properties of tendons would enable the detection of muscle-tendon imbalances, indicate if the training should target muscle strength or tendon stiffness development and allow for the precise prescription of training loads to optimize tendon adaptation. This perspective article discusses a framework of individualized muscle-tendon assessment and training and outlines a methodological approach for the patellar tendon.
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INTRODUCTION

It has been long recognized that the functional properties of muscles are a crucial determinant of movement performance in both every day and athletic activities (Masterson, 1976; Delecluse, 1997). Therefore, their assessment, especially in terms of muscle strength, is now a standard diagnostic component when monitoring for example performance in sports (Smith et al., 2002; McMaster et al., 2014) or the recovery process in rehabilitation (Osternig, 1986). In comparison, we just recently began to understand how tendons influence muscle-tendon unit (MTU) functioning and performance (Kawakami and Fukunaga, 2006; Roberts, 2016). In the practical field of sports and rehabilitation, the assessment of tendon properties is until now mostly confined to medical imaging in the context of injuries (Robinson, 2009). In this article, we want to make an argument that a differentiated diagnostic of muscle functional and tendon mechanical properties could be a promising approach to individualize training loads. The approach would allow to specifically target muscle or tendon adaptation and facilitate a balanced development of the contractile and series elastic elements of the MTU. Developing effective strategies how to manipulate the interaction of muscle and tendon could make an important contribution for the development of physical performance as well as the prevention and rehabilitation of injuries.

Owing to systematic research endeavors of this century, it is now clearly established that human tendons can adapt to mechanical loading across the lifespan (Waugh et al., 2014; Bohm et al., 2015; McCrum et al., 2018). However, there is also evidence that the functional properties of muscles and the mechanical properties of tendons do not necessarily change in a similar manner during a training process (Mersmann et al., 2017a). For example, tendons do not adapt as quickly to
mechanical loading as muscles due to a lower rate of tissue renewal (Heinemeier et al., 2013). Further, not all types of loading that increase muscle strength are effective in stimulating an increase of tendon stiffness, which is the resilience of the tendon according to its forceelongation relationship. For example, plyometric training and fatiguing training with moderate loads show clear effects on muscle strength and hypertrophy (Sáez-Sáez de Villarreal et al., 2010; Schoenfeld, 2013), yet lower, less consistent or even no effects on the stiffness of the tendon (Arampatzis et al., 2007a; Burgess et al., 2007; Kubo et al., 2007; Bohm et al., 2014). If an increase in the muscle’s capacity to generate force is not accompanied by an adequate increase in tendon stiffness, the tendon is subjected to higher levels of strain during a muscle contraction at a given relative intensity. As the ultimate strain of tendons is remarkably constant (LaCroix et al., 2013), an increase of tendon operating strain during muscle contraction implies an increase of the mechanical demand placed upon the tendon.

An imbalanced development of muscle and tendon has implications for (a) movement performance, (b) the risk of injury and (c) the prescription of training loads. Though movement performance is certainly a complex interplay of musculoskeletal (Cormie et al., 2011; Suchomel et al., 2016), neural (Yarrow et al., 2009) and psychological factors (Raglin, 2001), the interaction of muscle and tendon is an integral part with regard to how we produce forces to move. Although on an individual level there is little information concerning muscle-tendon imbalances and specific competitive performance, there are reports that for optimal muscle interaction, muscle strength and tendon stiffness need to be well matched (Lichtwark and Wilson, 2007; Orselli et al., 2017) and controlled via a finely tuned neural drive to the muscle (Sawicki et al., 2015). An imbalance in muscle and tendon adaptation might impair this interplay, which would reduce the efficiency of the musculoskeletal energy exchange. Moreover, an increase in operating strain reduces the tendon safety factor (ratio of operating strain to ultimate strain) and may increase the risk of injury. The initial strain induced in a tendon at a given load determines the time to rupture during both static and cyclic loading (Wren et al., 2003). That is why strain-induced tissue damage is considered one of the major mechanical risk factors for the development of tendinopathy (Fredberg and Stengaard-Pedersen, 2008; Lavagnino et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013). Finally, potential imbalances in muscle and tendon adaptation imply that the prescription of training loads for the tendon is not precise when it is based on the strength capacity of the muscle (e.g., setting the training intensity to a percentage of the one-repetition- or isometric maximum). An effective training stimulus for the tendon is expected at contraction-induced tendon strains of 4.5 to 6.5% (Arampatzis et al., 2007a, 2010; Bohm et al., 2014), which does not correspond to the same intensity of muscle contraction for each individual. Therefore, a differentiated diagnostic of muscle and tendon properties would open up opportunities to optimize loading during training and, thus, facilitate adaptation for the improvement of physical performance or the prevention and rehabilitation of overuse injuries.

FRAMEWORK OF THE INDIVIDUALIZED MUSCLE-TENDON ASSESSMENT AND TRAINING

Tendons, as mostly collagenous structures, are not able to contribute to the active force generation of the muscle-tendon unit. However, due to their compliance, they can significantly affect muscle force production and, thus, are an important component of the human musculoskeletal system for effective locomotion (Roberts, 1997; Lai et al., 2014). Several studies in the last 10–15 years provided important information regarding the Achilles tendon and aponeurosis deformation during different tasks as for example for walking (Lichtwark et al., 2007; Lai et al., 2015), running (Lichtwark et al., 2007; Albracht and Arampatzis, 2013; Lai et al., 2018) and jumping (Kurokawa et al., 2003; Lichtwark and Wilson, 2005; Ishikawa and Komi, 2008). The reported maximum strains of the Achilles tendon during these activities were calculated from muscle fascicle behaviour and range between 4.3% during walking (Lichtwark et al., 2007) up to 9.0% strain in fast running (Lai et al., 2018). Furthermore, current studies investigating the function of the knee extensor MTU evidenced significant deformation of the quadriceps and patellar tendon during jumping (Nikolaidou et al., 2017), landing (Hollville et al., 2019), walking and running (Bohm et al., 2018). These findings demonstrate that a certain deformation of tendons is required during daily life and sport activities for an effective locomotion. This tendon deformation is important because it affects both the force-length-velocity and power-velocity potential of the muscle (Nikolaidou et al., 2017; Bohm et al., 2018, 2019) as well as strain energy storage and return within the MTU (Lichtwark and Wilson, 2005; Ishikawa and Komi, 2008; Lai et al., 2014). Consequently, the muscle has to be strong enough to appropriately deform the tendon and to use tendon elasticity for an efficient muscle-tendon interaction during movement. However, both too high and too low levels of habitual deformation may be associated with impairments of tendon structure. Though the exact ultimate strain of human tendons cannot be determined in vivo, it is clear that excessive tendon deformations increase the mechanical demand for the tendon, since in vitro data shows that ultimate tendon strain is remarkably constant (LaCroix et al., 2013). Therefore, high operating to ultimate strain ratios increase the risk of tissue failure (Wren et al., 2003). Wang et al. (2013) demonstrated that cyclic strains of 9.0% act degenerative on the tendon structure and weaken its structural integrity. However, the study also provided evidence that also too low deformations (< 3.0% strain) may induce catabolic signaling and matrix deterioration.

In a series of systematic intervention studies, we modulated tendon strain magnitude (3% and 4.5–6.5%), frequency (0.17 and 0.5 Hz), strain rate (modulated via time to peak force of ~130 and ~380 ms) and duration (1 s, 3 s and 12 s) while controlling for overall loading volume. We found that cyclic loading of the tendon with strain values between 4.5 and 6.5% and a duration of...
3 s per repetition (applied with the low frequency and strain rate) was the most effective mechanical stimulus for the improvement of human tendon mechanical properties in vivo (Arampatzis et al., 2007a, 2010; Bohm et al., 2014). Tendon exercise loading with strain values of ~3.0% on the other hand did not improve tendon mechanical properties (Arampatzis et al., 2007a, 2010). In accordance with our findings, also other authors conclude from their recent experimental results (Wang et al., 2013) or literature review (Pizzolato et al., 2018; Docking and Cook, 2019) that there is an optimal range of tendon strain during exercise for triggering tendon adaptation and promoting its mechanical and morphological properties. The deformation of the tendon during exercise can be regulated by the muscle force generation and strains of 4.5 to 6.5% are usually achieved at about 90% of a voluntary maximum isometric contraction (MVC; Arampatzis et al., 2007a, 2010; Bohm et al., 2014). However, on the individual basis, this might not necessarily be the case.

The maximum muscle strength and tendon stiffness are the two parameters that regulate maximum strain of the tendon during muscle contractions. An imbalance between muscle strength and tendon stiffness can result in either too low or too high tendon strain during maximum contractions with potential negative consequences for both performance capabilities and tendon health (Mersmann et al., 2017a, 2019). In general, there is a strong association between muscle strength and tendon stiffness, at least in triceps surae and quadriceps MTUs. This has been reported for children (Waugh et al., 2012; Pentidis et al., 2019), adolescents (Charcharis et al., 2019; Mersmann et al., 2019), young (Arampatzis et al., 2007b; Epro et al., 2019) and old adults (Stenroth et al., 2012; Epro et al., 2017). Figure 1 shows the correlation of plantar flexor muscle strength with Achilles tendon stiffness and quadriceps muscle strength with patellar tendon stiffness in 172 and 215 athletes, respectively. The significant association between muscle strength and tendon stiffness in both MTUs support the idea that, in general, muscle strength and tendon stiffness show a coordinated adaptation and that individuals with higher muscle strength also have stiffer tendons. However, a significant relationship between muscle strength and tendon stiffness does not give evidence to a balanced adaptation within the MTU, because a high or low relationship does not provide any information concerning the margin of tolerated mechanical tendon loading during MVCs. There is experimental evidence of imbalances between muscle strength and tendon stiffness in competitive athletes from child- to adulthood due to different alterations of muscle and tendon properties, resulting in remarkably high or low tendon strain values (Mersmann et al., 2016; Charcharis et al., 2019; Pentidis et al., 2019). Those imbalances indicate the relevance of an individualized training control and regulation. If the maximum tendon strain during an MVC is too high (>9.0%), tendon stiffness seems too low compared to the strength capacity of the associated muscle and we would recommend a training that focuses on tendon adaptation (i.e., loading that causes 4.5 to 6.5% tendon strain in five sets of four repetitions with a loading-unloading duration of 3 s each and an inter-set rest of 2 min according to our recommendations; Mersmann et al., 2017a). If, on the other hand, the maximum strain is quite low (<4.5%), muscle strength seems too low compared to the stiffness of its tendon and a training that focused on muscle growth is indicated. Such scenarios can occur on an individual basis in athletes and need an individualized training regulation within the MTU. The strain levels suggested are not to be understood as cut-off criteria for injury prediction or fixed thresholds yet as transition bands into high or low levels of maximum strain. This information then can be used to individualize training, aiming to counteract muscle-tendon imbalances. In the authors’ view and considering the experimental data reviewed here, it is likely beneficial for performance capacity and injury risk if tendon stiffness is geared to muscle strength.
In our opinion, the assessment of the appropriate relationship between maximum muscle strength and tendon stiffness, using the maximum tendon strain during an MVC as diagnostic marker, is important for the training process. Imbalances between muscle strength and tendon stiffness can be identified in an early stage and customized decisions can be made for the training regulation of the individual athlete. Figures 2A,B shows the maximum strain values of the Achilles and patellar tendon of a high number of athletes during an MVC. It is visible that there are athletes who show either markedly high or low strain values and, thus, we would suggest personalized justification with focusing on muscle strength or tendon stiffness training, respectively. In athletes with maximum tendon strain higher than 11.0% the specific training to increase tendon stiffness seems crucial, while in others with strain values of 9.0 to 10.0% a slight correction in training content might suffice. There are also athletes that show maximum strain values <4.5%, which suggests that a customized training for muscle hypertrophy to increase muscle strength might be beneficial.

The relationship between muscle strength and tendon stiffness is further important for the definition of the optimal exercise intensity for tendon adaptation. It is well accepted that both muscle hypertrophy as well as muscle strength can be improved using low intensity exercise (e.g., 30% of one-repetition maximum) with high number of repetitions until fatigue (Mitchell et al., 2012). However, low intensity exercise does usually not initiate sufficient tendon strain to initiate adaptive changes of tendon properties (Bohm et al., 2015; Wiesinger et al., 2015). As mentioned above, the effective mechanical loading for tendon adaptation should cause tendon strains between 4.5 and 6.5%, which corresponds in average to a tendon loading of 90% MVC (Arampatzis et al., 2007a, 2010; Bohm et al., 2014). The individual and different relationship between muscle strength and tendon stiffness in athletes implies, however, that there can be substantial variations in terms of the percentage of the MVC at which the target levels of tendon strain for training are reached (Figure 2C). Therefore, the individual assessment of the MVC-strain relationship of the tendon is relevant for the definition of the optimal loading intensity, since it allows to individually fit the target strain (4.5–6.5%) to the MVC for a personalized tendon training.

It has to be mentioned that the origin of tendon pathology is multifactorial and currently there is not a clear factor or concert of factors that explain or precisely predict the occurrence of tendinopathy (Magnusson et al., 2010; Cook et al., 2016). The prevention of imbalances within the muscle-tendon unit might, however, reduce the risk of overload and, thus, a key risk factor of tendinopathy, while other risk factors related to genetics, age or recovery time and others certainly still contribute to tendon collagen turnover, pathology and function. Our proposed approach is intended to be used in the practical field to detect at an early stage if a tendon is in an unfavorable loading environment due to muscle-tendon imbalances. It is then possible to prescribe individualized training recommendations aiming to promote an efficient energy exchange between muscle and tendon and to counteract the potential development of overuse. Several additional methodologies including ultrasound...
After a standardized warm-up and a series of at least 5
points of a competitive season and observed only marginal
changes in the relative contribution of the antagonist to
the resultant joint moment are to be expected (Carolan and
Magnusson et al., 2018). The displacement of the tendon insertion is currently tracked using self-developed manual tracking interfaces (e.g., Image J®) or (semi-)professional video analysis software (e.g., Tracker®). Fully automated tracking might in near future replace these time-consuming procedures and enhance the objectivity of the analysis. To achieve a high reliability of the elongation measurement, three to five trials should be recorded and averaged (Schulze et al., 2012). The slope of a linear regression of the external force (or moment) and tendon elongation data between 50% and 100% of the exerted maximum force would be calculated as representative of tendon stiffness.

Due to its crucial importance for the estimation of injury risk, efficient muscle-tendon interaction and, thus, exercise prescription, an even more simplified assessment of tendon properties could be confined to tendon strain as outcome parameter. In such an approach, it would not be necessary to track the tendon insertion points over the full course of the contraction, yet only at rest and the plateau of the isometric maximum. In that case, the synchronization of ultrasound and force or moment data could be spared as well and tendon rest length and maximum elongation could theoretically be measured using the built-in software of the ultrasound device. Certainly, a validation and assessment of the reliability of the proposed procedures would be necessary and details still needs to be established how the approach can be most sensibly applied in different sports and environments in the future.
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