Non-linear optical response in disordered 2D materials
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Abstract. Using KITE [1], a quantum transport software developed by ourselves, we explore the effect of disorder in the second-order conductivity, aiming to reproduce mesoscopic samples under more realistic models of disorder. This work will be concerned about our most recent results with KITE. We will showcase and examine how different models of disorder affect the same system, experimenting with Anderson disorder and vacancies in gapped Graphene.

1 Introduction

Two-dimensional materials are a topic of great interest in the realm of nonlinear optics because they possess some of the strongest nonlinear optical responses to light. The bulk of the theoretical work developed to understand the nonlinear optical response of these materials [2–5] is concerned with translational-invariant systems, where disorder may be added perturbatively. In our work, we extend the work of Passos et al [6, 7] by implementing the velocity gauge methodology within the Keldysh formalism [8]. We developed a general perturbation procedure to deal with non-interacting fermion systems at finite temperature coupled to a time-dependent external electric field. We express the formula for the n-th order optical conductivity in terms of a trace, reflecting the fact that translation invariance was not needed for its derivation.

These expressions gain particular utility when used in the real-space basis, for then disorder may be introduced non-perturbatively and studied in, for example, tight-binding models. This includes Anderson disorder and any kind of structural disorder such as adatoms, vacancies and defects. This generality also allows one to study the effect of a magnetic field (which, through a Peierls phase, breaks translation symmetry on its own) in disordered systems.

Spectral methods such as the Kernel Polynomial Method (KPM) [9] become a very powerful tool to evaluate these traces, which, coupled with these formulas, enable the computation of the second-order response of large (10⁶ atoms) disordered systems with a resolution of 10 meV [8]. These formulas, up to second order, are implemented in KITE, an open-source software tailored for scalable and efficient quantum transport simulations [1].

In the first part of this proceeding, we start by presenting the expressions obtained through the Keldysh framework [10]. Then, after a brief explanation on KPM we use
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this framework to explore different models of disorder in gapped Graphene (GG), one of the simplest models for which we can find a second-order response to an external electric field. Since we are only interested in the intrinsic nonlinear optical response of the material, we are ignoring possible excitonic effects. Although we present GG specifically, KITE is able to calculate the transport properties of a very broad range of materials.

2 Nonlinear optical conductivity with the Keldysh formalism

Using the Keldysh formalism [10], we were able to obtain closed-form expressions for the general n-th order optical conductivity [8]. We only show the formulas up to second-order because the higher-order ones are too computationally expensive to be of practical use. The formulas for the first and second order conductivities obtained are, respectively,

\[
\sigma^{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = \frac{ie^2}{\Omega \omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon f(\epsilon) \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{h}^{\alpha\beta} \delta (\epsilon - H_0) \right. \\
+ \frac{1}{\hbar} \hat{h}^{\alpha} g^R(\epsilon/\hbar + \omega) \hat{h}^{\beta} \delta (\epsilon - H_0) + \frac{1}{\hbar} \hat{h}^{\alpha} \delta (\epsilon - H_0) \hat{h}^{\beta} g^A(\epsilon/\hbar - \omega) \left. \right] 
\]

(1)

and

\[
\sigma^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{e^3}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon f(\epsilon) \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \delta (\epsilon - H) \right. \\
+ \frac{1}{\hbar} \hat{h}^{\alpha\beta} \delta (\epsilon - H) \hat{h}^{\gamma} g^A(\epsilon/\hbar - \omega_2) + \frac{1}{\hbar} \hat{h}^{\alpha\beta} g^R(\epsilon/\hbar + \omega_2) \hat{h}^{\gamma} \delta (\epsilon - H) \\
+ \frac{1}{2\hbar} \hat{h}^{\alpha} g^R(\epsilon/\hbar + \omega_1 + \omega_2) \hat{h}^{\beta\gamma} \delta (\epsilon - H) + \frac{1}{2\hbar} \hat{h}^{\alpha} \delta (\epsilon - H) \hat{h}^{\beta\gamma} g^A(\epsilon/\hbar - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \\
+ \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \hat{h}^{\alpha} g^R(\epsilon/\hbar + \omega_1 + \omega_2) \hat{h}^{\beta} g^R(\epsilon/\hbar + \omega_2) \hat{h}^{\gamma} \delta (\epsilon - H) \\
+ \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \hat{h}^{\alpha} g^R(\epsilon/\hbar + \omega_1) \hat{h}^{\beta} \delta (\epsilon - H) \hat{h}^{\gamma} g^A(\epsilon/\hbar - \omega_2) \\
+ \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \hat{h}^{\alpha} \delta (\epsilon - H) \hat{h}^{\beta} g^A(\epsilon/\hbar - \omega_1) \hat{h}^{\gamma} g^A(\epsilon/\hbar - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \left. \right] 
\]

(2)

where \( \hat{h}^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n} = \frac{1}{(i\hbar)^n} [\hat{r}^{\alpha_1}, \ldots [\hat{r}^{\alpha_n}, H_0]] \), \( \hat{r} \) is the position operator and \( g^{A,R} \) are the advanced and retarded Green’s functions, respectively. If the system presents translation invariance and a k-space basis is used, we recover the known formulas for the nonlinear optical conductivity derived through the density matrix formalism or Kubo’s formalism [6, 7]. A phenomenological broadening parameter \( 0^+ \to \gamma \) is added by hand in the Green’s functions to mimic the effect of inelastic scattering. In some cases, for pure systems, the conductivity may be infinite, which stems from the fact that there is no dissipation mechanism. Real systems exhibit some disorder, and to reflect this, the abovementioned phenomenological parameter \( \gamma \) is added. Ideally, one should use real disorder, but this would break translation invariance. This will be the topic for the next sections.

Symmetry may cause some of these terms to be zero. In fact, due to centrosymmetry, Graphene displays no second-order response. GG does not have this symmetry
Kubo’s formalism \[6, 7\]. A phenomenological broadening parameter the nonlinear optical conductivity derived through the density matrix formalism or where translation invariance and a the advanced and retarded Green’s functions, respectively. If the system presents reflect this, the abovementioned phenomenological parameter cases, for pure systems, the conductivity may be infinite, which stems from the fact by hand in the Green’s functions to mimic the effect of inelastic scattering. In some of practical use. The formulas for the first and second order conductivities obtained Using the Keldysh formalism \[10\], we were able to obtain closed-form expressions for of materials.

Specifically, KITE is able to calculate the transport properties of a very broad range of the material, we are ignoring possible excitonic effects. Although we present GG electric field. Since we are only interested in the intrinsic nonlinear optical response of the simplest models for which we can find a second-order response to an external Symmetry may cause some of these terms to be zero. In fact, due to centrosymmetry in the 2D lattice described by the Hamiltonian, some of the terms in Eq. 1 are added and therefore has a second-order response. The photogalvanic effect in GG [11] is expected to diverge in the limit \(\gamma \to 0^+\) as discussed in the previous paragraph. However, GG still has \(C_3\) rotational symmetry and three reflection axis, and these are in the origin of the cancellation of the terms in eq. 2 responsible for making the conductivity diverge.

To check this, we used the \(k\)-space basis to perform the calculations for two different values of \(\gamma\) in GG and in another model with less symmetry, which we denote by ’displaced Gapped Graphene’ (dGG). This somewhat artificial model is the same as GG, but sublattice B is displaced by \(-\delta_2/2\) with respect to sublattice A (see fig. 1), while maintaining the same hopping integrals between neighbours. Therefore, the velocity operator is modified but the Hamiltonian is not. In fig. 2, we see that \(\gamma\) controls the sharpness of the curves in GG, but has otherwise no qualitative effect on the conductivity. In dGG, for the \(xx\) conductivity tensor, the symmetry responsible for making the conductivity diverge is not present, and a clear dependence on \(\gamma\) exists in the imaginary part of the conductivity. For most practical applications, however, this is not problematic since only the real part of the conductivity is relevant.

Eq. 2 is of most value for systems without translation invariance, a topic which is tackled in the following sections.
3 The Kernel Polynomial Method

In order to compute the traces in eqs. 1 and 2 we resort to a Stochastic Trace Evaluation (STE) and the Kernel Polynomial Method (KPM)\cite{9}. Let $|\xi\rangle = \sum_i \xi_i |R_i\rangle$ be a vector with random numbers $\xi_i$, taken from a distribution $X$, assigned to each lattice site $R_i$ and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denote the average with respect to that distribution. Then, imposing $\langle \xi_i^* \xi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ on the distribution, we get $\langle \langle \xi | A | \xi \rangle \rangle = \text{Tr} (A)$. Due to the self-averaging properties of this procedure, usually only one random vector is needed. The functions of the Hamiltonian appearing in eqs. 1 and 2 have no immediate representation in a real-space basis, so they are first expanded in terms of Chebyshev polynomials of the unperturbed Hamiltonian $f(H_0) = \sum_n a_n T_n (H_0)$. Then, the resulting objects to compute are of the form $\langle \xi | T_n (H_0) h^\alpha T_m (H_0) h^\beta | \xi \rangle$. This operation scales linearly with the system size and that is one of the great advantages of KPM. These objects are then multiplied by the respective Chebyshev coefficients of the corresponding expanded functions and summed over the indices. The functions we are interested in expanding are the Dirac delta and the Green’s functions, both singular functions which display Gibbs oscillations. To deal with this problem, the function is convoluted with a kernel, which changes the coefficients $a_n$ and removes the singularities. Another way to do this is by adding a finite imaginary part to the Green’s function $i0^+ \rightarrow i\gamma$, which plays a similar role to the phenomenological scattering parameter. In this case, however, this factor is chosen to be sufficiently small so as to not affect the final results but sufficiently large to obtain a well-converged Green’s function. This is the approach we use.

4 Results with disorder

In this section, we explore the effect of different disorder types in GG in the second-order conductivity of this material. Excitonic effects are explicitly ignored since we are only interested in studying the non-interacting part of the conductivity of this material at this point. For this purpose, we use a tight-binding model for GG with only nearest-neighbor hoppings and a gap similar to that of hexagonal Boron-Nitride ($\Delta = 7.8$ eV). The disorder models hereby used are meant to illustrate the capabilities of our method.

4.1 Anderson disorder

The first model of disorder is the simplest - Anderson disorder. Each lattice site is allowed to have a random local energy taken from a uniform distribution in the range $[-W/2, W/2]$. $W$ is thus the width of the distribution and controls the disorder strength. This changes the Hamiltonian operator, but not any of the $h^{\alpha_1 \cdots}$. It has the general effect of randomly shifting the energy levels around, broadening the sharp features of the conductivity. This property can be clearly seen in the first example (see fig. 3), where we study the effect of varying strengths of disorder in the photogalvanic effect \cite{11} for GG. A direct consequence of this broadening is the emergence of an optical response in regions where it used to be zero.

4.2 Vacancies

As our second example of disorder, we employ vacancies, a more realistic model that attempts to reproduce natural defects in the lattice. At random with a probability $c$,
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\[ \text{number of Chebyshev polynomials: } M = 512. \text{ The dashed lines represent the imaginary part of the conductivity.}
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**Figure 4.** Second-harmonic generation in gapped Graphene for a varying concentration of vacancies and $\gamma = 2.3\text{meV}$. The blue (red) curves represent the real (imaginary) part of the conductivity. The darker curves have a higher concentration of vacancies. All the parameters are the same as in Figure 3.
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