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ABSTRACT

Context. The Galactic plane has been observed extensively by a large number of Galactic plane surveys from infrared to radio wavelengths at an angular resolution below 40′′. However, a 21 cm line and continuum survey with comparable spatial resolution is lacking.

Aims. The first half of THOR data (l = 14.0′′–37.9′′, and l = 47.1′′–51.2′′, |b| ≤ 1.25′′) has been published in our data release 1 paper. With this data release 2 paper, we publish all the remaining spectral line data and Stokes I continuum data with high angular resolution (10′′–40′′), including a new H I dataset for the whole THOR survey region (l = 14.0′′–67.4′′ and |b| ≤ 1.25′′). As we published the results of OH lines and continuum emission elsewhere, we concentrate on the H I analysis in this paper.

Methods. With the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA) in C-configuration, we observed a large portion of the first Galactic quadrant, achieving an angular resolution of ≤40′′. At L Band, the WIDAR correlator at the VLA was set to cover the 21 cm H I line, four OH transitions, a series of Hα radio recombination lines (RRLs; n = 151 to 186), and eight 128 MHz-wide continuum spectral windows, simultaneously.

Results. We publish all OH and RRL data from the C-configuration observations, and a new H I dataset combining VLA C+D+GBT (VLA D-configuration and GBT data are from the VLA Galactic Plane Survey) for the whole survey. The H I emission shows clear filamentary substructures at negative velocities with low velocity crowding. The emission at positive velocities is more smeared-out, likely due to higher spatial and velocity crowding of structures at the positive velocities. Compared to the spiral arm model of the Milky Way, the atomic gas follows the Sagittarius and Perseus Arm well, but with significant material in the inter-arm regions. With the C-configuration-only H I+continuum data, we produce an H I optical depth map of the THOR areal coverage from 228 absorption spectra with the nearest-neighbor method. With this τ map, we corrected the H I emission for optical depth, and the derived column density is 38% higher than the column density with optically thin assumption. The total H I mass with optical depth correction in the survey region is 4.7 × 10^8 M⊙, 31% more than the mass derived assuming the emission is optically thin. If we applied this 31% correction to the whole Milky Way, the total atomic gas mass would be 9.4–10.5 × 10^8 M⊙. Comparing the H I with existing CO data, we find a significant increase in the atomic-to-molecular gas ratio from the spiral arms to the inter-arm regions.

Conclusions. The high-sensitivity and resolution THOR H I dataset provides an important new window on the physical and kinematic properties of gas in the inner Galaxy. Although the optical depth we derive is a lower limit, our study shows that the optical depth correction is significant for H I column density and mass estimation. Together with the OH, RRL and continuum emission from the THOR survey, these new H I data provide the basis for high-angular-resolution studies of the interstellar medium in different phases.
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1. Introduction

The Galactic plane has been observed extensively over the past decades by different survey projects at multiple wavelengths in both continuum and spectral lines, from near infrared (e.g., UKIDSS), Lucas et al. 2008; Spitzer/GLIMPSE, Benjamin et al. 2003; Churchwell et al. 2009, Spitzer/MIPS, Carey et al. 2009, Herschel/HI-GAL, Molinari et al. 2010), to (sub)mm (e.g., ATLASGAL, BGPS, GRS, MALT90, MALT-45, FUGIN, MWISD, Schuller et al. 2009; Rosolowsky et al. 2010; Aguirre et al. 2011; Csengeri et al. 2014; Jackson et al. 2006; Foster et al. 2011; Jordan et al. 2015; Umemoto et al. 2017; Su et al. 2019), and radio wavelengths (e.g., Herschel Infrared GALactic plane survey, APEX Telescope Large Area Survey of the Galaxy, Bolocam Galactic Plane Survey, The Boston University-Five College Radio Astronomy Observatory Galactic Ring Survey, The Millimeter Astronomy Legacy Team Survey at 90 GHz, The Millimetre Astronomer’s Legacy Team – 45 GHz, FOREST unbiased Galactic plane imaging survey with the Nobeyama 45 m telescope, The Milky Way Imaging Scroll Painting.

* Table A.1 is also available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/634/A83
** All the data are available in fits format at the project website http://www.mpia.de/thor

1 UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey.
2 Galactic Legacy Infrared Midplane Survey Extraordinaire.
3 A 24 and 70 Micron Survey of the Inner Galactic Disk with MIPS.
We therefore initiated the H I, OH, recombination line survey of the Milky Way (THOR; Beuther et al. 2016). A large fraction of the Galactic plane in the first quadrant of the Milky Way \((l = 14.0° \pm 67.4°\) and \(|b| \leq 1.25°\)) was observed with the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA) in C-configuration. At L Band, the WIDAR correlator at the VLA was set to cover the 21 cm H I line, four OH transitions, a series of H$_2$O radio recombination lines (RRLs; \(n = 151–186\)), as well as eight 128 MHz wide continuum spectral windows (SPWs), simultaneously. With the C-configuration, we achieve an angular resolution of \(\lesssim 40''\), the highest angular resolution 21 cm H I line survey of the northern Galactic plane, VGPS (Stil et al. 2006), has a resolution of only 60'', which makes it difficult to compare with the aforementioned surveys to study the phase transitions of the ISM.

Assuming the 21 cm line is optically thin, the total H I mass of the Milky Way has been estimated to be \(7.2–8.0 \times 10^{21}\) M$_\odot$ (Kalberla & Kerp 2009; Nakanishi & Sofue 2016). Studies towards nearby gas at high latitudes show that optical depth can be negligible for H I mass estimation in such a context (e.g., Lee et al. 2015; Murray et al. 2018a), while on the other hand, studies of H I self absorption towards nearby galaxies (M 31, M 33 and LMC) revealed that H I mass can increase by 30–34% with optical depth correction (Braun et al. 2009; Braun 2012). A study toward mini starburst region W43 in our Milky Way revealed an even more extreme correction factor of 240% for H I mass estimation when applying optical depth correction. However, no systematic study has yet been done in the Galactic plane.

THOR provides the opportunity to study the distribution and spiral structures of the atomic gas in the northern Galactic plane with the H I emission data, to further investigate the optical depth, and calibrate the mass estimation of the atomic gas using absorption data. Furthermore, the atomic hydrogen gas, especially the cold neutral medium (CNM, \(T \sim 40–100\) K, McKee & Ostriker 1977; Wolfire et al. 1995) also traces the H I-to-H$_2$ transition. Combining the H I absorption lines with the simultaneously observed OH absorption lines from the THOR survey and complementary molecular gas information from such as CO observations allows us to study the transition phase between atomic gas and molecular gas (Rugel et al. 2018).

This paper presents the second data release of the THOR survey. We focus scientifically on the H I emission and absorption. The observation strategy and data reduction details are described in Sect. 2. The parameters of the data products, along with an overview of the H I results are presented in Sect. 3. The H I results are discussed in Sect. 4, and our conclusions are summarized in Sect. 5.

### 2. Observations and data reduction

We observed the first quadrant of the Galactic plane, covering \(l = 14.0° \pm 67.4°\) and \(|b| \leq 1.25°\) with the VLA in C-configuration in L band from 1 to 2 GHz. The observations were carried out in three phases, a pilot study \((l = 29.2° \pm 31.5°\)), phase 1 \((l = 14.0° \pm 29.2°\), \(31.5° \pm 37.9°\) and \(47.1° \pm 51.2°\)), and phase 2 \((l = 37.0° \pm 47.9°\) and \(51.1° \pm 64.7°\)), spanning over several semesters (from 2012 to 2014). The detailed observing strategy and data reduction are discussed and described in Beihar et al. (2016), and data release 1 in Beuther et al. (2016), which present only the pilot and phase 1 data. With the WIDAR correlator, we cover the H I 21 cm line, four OH lines (A doubling transitions of the OH ground state, the $^2$H$_2$O; $l = 3/2$ state, “main lines” at 1665 and 1667 MHz, “satellite lines” at 1612 and 1720 MHz), 19 Hz recombination lines, as well as eight continuum bands, for instance, SPWs. Each continuum SPW has a bandwidth of 128 MHz. Due to strong radio frequency interference (RFI) contaminations, two SPWs around 1.2 and 1.6 GHz were not usable and were discarded. The remaining six SPWs are centered at 1.06, 1.31, 1.44, 1.69, 1.82, and 1.95 GHz. For the fields at \(l = 23.1° \pm 24.3°\) and \(25.6° \pm 26.8°\), the SPW around 1.95 GHz is also severely affected by RFI and is therefore flagged.

---

$^{12}$ Multi-Array Galactic Plane Imaging Survey.
$^{13}$ The Co-Ordinated Radio “N” Infrared Survey for High-mass star formation.
$^{14}$ The Canadian Galactic Plane Survey
$^{15}$ The Southern Galactic Plane Survey.
$^{16}$ The VLA Galactic Plane Survey.
$^{17}$ The H$_2$O Southern Galactic Plane Survey.
$^{18}$ http://www.mpia.de/thor/Overview.html
Each pointing was observed three times to ensure a uniform u-coverage, and the total integration time is 5–6 min per pointing. A detailed description of the observational setup can be found in Beuther et al. (2016).

The full survey was calibrated and imaged with the Common Astronomy Software Applications (CASA)\(^{19}\) software package (McMullin et al. 2007). The modified VLA scripted pipeline\(^{20}\) (version 1.2.0 for the pilot study and phase 1, version 1.3.1 for phase 2) was used for the calibration. The absolute flux and bandpass were calibrated with the quasar 3C 286, J1822-0938 (for observing blocks with \(l < 39.1^\circ\)) and J1925+2106 (for the remaining fields) were used for the phase and gain calibration. Except for the RRLs, all data were inverted and cleaned with multiscale CLEAN in CASA to better recover the large scale structure (see also Beuther et al. 2016).

In most regions, the individual RRLs are too weak to be detected, and so cleaning the image is typically not useful. We therefore stacked the dirty images of all RRL spectral windows that are not affected by RFI with equal weights in the velocity. All RRL dirty images were produced with the same spectral resolution of 10 km s\(^{-1}\) and smoothed to a common angular resolution of 40\(^{\prime}\) before the stacking (see also Beuther et al. 2016).

For the continuum and RRL data, we employed the RFlag algorithm in CASA, which was first introduced to AIPS by E. Greisen in 2011 to minimize the effects from RFI in each visibility dataset before imaging. Some SPWs, such as the continuum SPW at 1.2 GHz and 1.6 GHz, and some RRLs, have so much RFI over the whole band that no usable data could be recovered by RFlag, and were therefore abandoned (see also Beuther et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2018).

\(^{19}\) \url{http://casa.nrao.edu}: version 4.1.0 for the pilot study and phase 1, version 4.1.2 for phase 2.

\(^{20}\) \url{https://science.nrao.edu/facilities/vla/data-processing/pipeline/scripted-pipeline}

\[\text{New H}_1 \text{ dataset.} \]For the H\(_1\) 21 cm line observations, we combined the THOR C-configuration data with the H\(_1\) Very Large Array Galactic Plane Survey (VGPS, Stil et al. 2006), which consists of VLA D-configuration data combined with single-dish observations from the GBT, to recover the large-scale structure. The H\(_1\) data from the pilot and phase 1 of the survey were published in data release 1 (Beuther et al. 2016), in which we combined the THOR C-configuration images directly with the published VGPS data using the task “feather” in CASA. This method does recover the large scale structure, but the quality of the images is not ideal. The images are quite pixelized and contain many sidelobe artifacts (see left panel in Fig. 1).

To improve the image quality, we chose a different method to combine the dataset. We first combined the C-configuration data in THOR with the D-configuration of VGPS in the visibility domain. We subtracted the continuum in the visibility datasets with UVCONTSUB in CASA, and used the multiscale CLEAN in CASA\(^{21}\) to image the continuum-subtracted C-configuration data together with D-configuration data. The images were afterward combined with the VGPS images (D+GBT) using the task, “feather”, in CASA (see also, Wang et al. 2020). Since the D-configuration observations of VGPS cover only \(l = 17.6^\circ -67^\circ\), the combined H\(_1\) data are restricted to \(l = 17.6^\circ -67^\circ\), which is slightly smaller than the sky coverage of the C-configuration-only H\(_1\) data \((l = 14.0^\circ -67.4^\circ)\). Compared to the H\(_1\) images from data release 1, the quality of the new images has significantly improved (Fig. 1). More details about the data products are given in Table 1.

\[\text{3. Results} \]

We describe the parameters of the data products, and present an overview of the H\(_1\) results in this section.

\(^{21}\) Version 5.1.1.
Continuum subtracted images at both the native and the CDS. We summarize the basic parameters of the data products in Table 1. Because of different requirements for calibrating and imaging the polarization data (see also Beuther et al. 2016), the data reduction of these data for the whole survey is still ongoing. The first results of the Faraday rotation study in Galactic longitude range 39°–52° are presented by Shanahan et al. (2019). More polarization data should be available at a later stage.

The noise of our data is dominated by the residual side lobes. Particularly in regions close to strong emission from the continuum and the masers, the noise can increase significantly. The noise properties of the continuum data and OH masers are studied in detail by Bihr et al. (2016), Beuther et al. (2016), Walsh et al. (2016), Wang et al. (2018), and Beuther et al. (2019). We list only the typical noise values in Table 1.

### 3.2. OH

Continuum subtracted images at both the native and the smoothed resolution (20′′) of the four OH lines are provided to the community. The correlator setup was slightly different between the pilot study, phase 1, and phase 2, which mainly affects the sky coverage of OH lines and RRLs and the native spectral resolution of spectral lines (see Beuther et al. 2016 for details). The OH line at 1667 MHz was only observed in the pilot study and phase 2 (Δv = 13.0′′–19.1′′, 11.6′′–18.7′′, 11.1′′–18.1′′, and 11.0′′–17.6′′, see also, Rugel et al. 2018 and Beuther et al. 2019).

Diverse physical processes are traced by OH masers, from expanding shells around evolved star to shocks produced by star-forming jets or SNRs (Elitzur 1992). Beuther et al. (2019) identified 1585 individual maser spots distributed over 807 maser sites in the THOR survey, among which ~50% of the maser sites are associated with evolved stars, ~20% are associated with star-forming regions, and ~3% are potentially associated with SNRs (see Beuther et al. 2019 for details).

### 3.3. RRLs

As mentioned in the previous section, to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N), we smoothed and stacked all available RRL images. The OH line at 1667 MHz is observed for Δv = 13.0′′–19.1′′, 11.6′′–18.7′′, 11.1′′–18.1′′, and 11.0′′–17.6′′. The OH line at 1667 MHz was only observed in the pilot study and phase 2 (Δv = 13.0′′–19.1′′, 11.6′′–18.7′′, 11.1′′–18.1′′, and 11.0′′–17.6′′, see also, Rugel et al. 2018 and Beuther et al. 2019).

http://www.mpia.de/thor

### Table 1. Properties of the data products in the THOR data release 2.

|   | Rest freq. (MHz) | Width (km s⁻¹) | Δv (km s⁻¹) | Beam native | Beam smoothed | Noise (mJy beam⁻¹) |
|---|-----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|
| H1 | 1420.406        | 277.5          | 1.5         | –           | 40′′          | 10               |
| H2+cont. | 1420.406        | 300            | 1.5         | 13.0′′–19.1′′ | 25′′          | 10               |
| OH1 | 1612.231        | 195            | 1.5         | 11.6′′–18.7′′ | 15′′          | 10               |
| OH2 | 1665.402        | 195            | 1.5         | 11.1′′–18.1′′ | 20′′          | 10               |
| OH3 | 1667.359        | 195            | 1.5         | 11.0′′–17.6′′ | 20′′          | 10               |
| OH4 | 1720.530        | 195            | 1.5         | 11.0′′–17.6′′ | 20′′          | 10               |
| RRL | –               | 210            | 10          | –           | 40′′          | 3.0              |
| cont1 | 1060            | –              | –           | 14.7′′–4.4′′ | 25′′          | 1.0              |
| cont2 | 1310            | –              | –           | 12.2′′–19.7′′ | 25′′          | 0.3              |
| cont3 | 1440            | –              | –           | 11.6′′–18.1′′ | 25′′          | 0.3              |
| cont4 | 1690            | –              | –           | 9.5′′–15.4′′  | 25′′          | 0.3              |
| cont5 | 1820            | –              | –           | 9.1′′–14.5′′  | 25′′          | 0.3              |
| cont6 | 1950            | –              | –           | 8.2′′–13.1′′  | 25′′          | 0.7              |
| cont3+VGPS | 1420           | –              | –           | –           | 25′′          | 6.5              |

Notes. (a) Typical noise of the data with the smoothed beam. Due to residual side lobes, the noise can be higher in areas round strong sources.

(b) Noise of the line data is measured in the line free channels.

(c) The OH line at 1667 MHz is observed for Δv = 29.2°–31.5°, 37.9°–47.9°, and 51.1°–67.0°.

Notes. (d) RRL images were produced by smoothing and stacking all available RRL images.

Notes. (e) Each continuum band has a bandwidth of 128 MHz.
regulated by feedback-driven and re-collapsing shells (see Rugel et al. 2019 for details).

Mostly due to sensitivity limitations, interferometric mapping surveys of RRL have been rare (e.g., Urquhart et al. 2004). The stacking method allows us to achieve higher sensitivities than is usually possible when only single lines are observed. THOR provides the community with a new set of RRL maps towards a large sample of H\(^\text{II}\) regions, which can be used for sample statistical studies.

3.4. Continuum

As presented in Wang et al. (2018), the THOR survey provides the continuum data (both at the native resolution and smoothed to a resolution of 25\(^\prime\)\), as well as a continuum source catalog, to the community. To recover the extended structure, we combined the C-configuration 1.4 GHz continuum data from THOR with the 1.4 GHz continuum data from the VGPS survey (D+Effelsberg) using the task, “feather” (Wang et al. 2018). The resulting images for the pilot region and phase 1 are very similar to the ones obtained using the VGPS continuum as an input model in the deconvolution of the THOR data. This combined dataset retains the high angular resolution of the THOR observations (25\(^\prime\)), and at the same time, it can recover the large-scale structure. Anderson et al. (2017) identified 76 new Galactic SNR candidates in the survey area with this dataset. Anderson et al. (2017) further showed that despite the different bandwidths between the VGPS continuum (~1 MHz) and the THOR continuum (~128 MHz), the flux retrieved from the combined data is consistent with the literature.

The continuum source catalog contains 10,387 objects that we extracted across our survey area. With the extracted peak intensities of the six usable SPWs between 1 and 2 GHz, we were able to determine a reliable spectral index (spectral index fitted with at least 4 SPWs) for 5657 objects. By cross-matching with different catalogs, we found radio counter parts for 840 H\(^\text{II}\) regions, 52 SNRs, 164 planetary nebulae, and 38 pulsars. A large percentage of the remaining sources in the catalog are likely to be extragalactic background sources, based on their spatial and spectral index distributions. A detailed presentation of the continuum catalog can be found in Bihr et al. (2016) and Wang et al. (2018).

3.5. H\(^\text{I}\)

For the H\(^\text{I}\) 21 cm line, in addition to the data cubes from C+D+GBT data, we also provide the C-configuration-only H\(^\text{I}\) datacubes with continuum at both the native resolution and the smoothed beam (25\(^\prime\)), which can be used to measure the H\(^\text{I}\) optical depth towards bright background continuum sources (Bihr et al. 2016).

A case study of H\(^\text{I}\) self-absorption towards a giant molecular filament (GMF) is presented by Wang et al. (2020). In the following sections, we focus scientifically on H\(^\text{I}\) emission and absorption.

3.5.1. H\(^\text{I}\) emission

Figure 2 shows the C+D+single-dish 1.4 GHz continuum map and the H\(^\text{I}\) integrated intensity map (\(v_{\text{LSR}} = -113 \sim 163\) km s\(^{-1}\)). While the combined H\(^\text{I}\) emission data illustrates that the atomic gas is confined near the Galactic mid-plane at lower longitudes (\(l < 42^\circ\)), at larger longitudes (\(l > 54^\circ\)) gas is more evenly distributed in latitudes. The H\(^\text{I}\) map in Fig. 2 also shows absorption patterns against some strong continuum sources, such as the star-forming complex W43 at \(l \sim 30.75^\circ\), and W49 at \(l \sim 43^\circ\).

Figure 3 depicts the channel maps by integrating the H\(^\text{I}\) emission over 15 km s\(^{-1}\) velocity bins. The neutral gas is clearly seen located at higher latitude in channels at \(v_{\text{LSR}} < -38\) km s\(^{-1}\). This is likely due to the H\(^\text{I}\) disk being strongly warped in the outer Milky Way (Burton & te Lintel Hekkert 1986; Diplas & Savage 1991; Nakanishi & Sofue 2003; Kalberla & Kerp 2009). Some cloud structures are more prominent in the channel maps, such as the filamentary structures in the channels at \(v_{\text{LSR}} < -38\) km s\(^{-1}\). While at negative velocities the filamentary structures can be seen clearly, the emission at positive velocities appears less structured. This observational phenomenon is likely due to higher spatial and velocity crowding of structures at positive velocities. The negative velocity channels are tracing the outer Galactic plane, and there is little line of sight confusion, while at positive velocities, due to near-far distance ambiguity, the emission from multiple spiral arms could be at the same velocity and result in structures at different distances being blended together.

The integrated intensity map (Fig. 2) also demonstrates that the angular size of the H\(^\text{I}\) emission along the latitude is smaller at lower longitudes than at larger longitudes. This is due to the fact that the tangent points are further away at lower longitudes. This is also seen in the channel map (Fig. 3) in the positive velocities, in which the tangent points are traced by the left end of the emission in each panel with positive velocities, and the emission structures appear to be smaller at lower longitudes due to them being further away (see also, Merrifield 1992).

By averaging the emission in the latitude axis, we constructed the longitude-velocity (\(l-v\)) diagram of the H\(^\text{I}\) emission (Fig. 4). The general shape of the \(l-v\) diagram agrees with one obtained with the VGPS data (Strasser et al. 2007), but the higher resolutions reveal much finer details, such as the vertical lanes at \(l \sim 31^\circ, 43^\circ,\) and \(-49^\circ\), which are caused by absorption against the background continuum emission from the star-forming regions W43, W49, and W51, respectively (see also Fig. 2). We also created the \(^{13}\text{CO}(1-0)\) \(l-v\) diagram with the same method and plotted it as contours in Fig. 4. The \(^{13}\text{CO}(1-0)\) data is taken from the Exeter FCRAO CO Galactic Plane Survey (Mottram & Brunt 2010), and Galactic Ring Survey (GRS, Jackson et al. 2006). We re-gridded the Exeter \(^{13}\text{CO}\) data to the same velocity resolution and coverage as the GRS, so there is no \(^{13}\text{CO}\) coverage at velocities where \(v_{\text{LSR}} < -5\) km s\(^{-1}\). Compared to the \(^{13}\text{CO}\) emission, the H\(^\text{I}\) emission also traces more extended and diffuse structures.

The H\(^\text{I}\) emission in general agrees well with the spiral arm models of Reid et al. (2016), except for the Outer Scutum-Centaurus (OSC) Arm. The OSC Arm is at a large Galactocentric distance and is outside of our survey area at longitudes larger than \(40^\circ\) due to the warping and flaring of the outer disk (Dame & Thaddeus 2011; Armentrout et al. 2017). Thus, we can only detect a small portion of the OSC Arm in the inner part of the Galactic plane that our survey covers, as illustrated in Fig. 4.

Another feature in the \(l-v\) diagram is a strong self-absorption pattern at \(-5\) km s\(^{-1}\) following the Aquila Rift (magenta box in Fig. 4). This absorption feature spans almost \(20^\circ\) in longitude (\(-17^\circ\) to \(-36^\circ\)). The \(^{13}\text{CO}\) emission, on the other hand, lies right inside the absorption feature in the \(l-v\) diagram. This large-scale absorption feature could be caused by the Riegel–Crutcher cloud, which centers at \(v_{\text{LSR}} \sim 5\) km s\(^{-1}\) and covers the longitude range \(l = 345^\circ\) to \(-25^\circ\), and the latitude range \(b \leq 6^\circ\).
Fig. 2. THOR+VGPS 1.4 GHz continuum map and H I integrated intensity map (−113 < \(v_{\text{LSR}}\) < 163 km s\(^{-1}\)). The continuum map has a beam size of 25″, and the beam size for the H I map is 40″. In all panels, the circles mark the continuum sources that we used to extract the H I absorption spectra and construct the optical depth map (see Sect. 3.5.2). The Galactic sources are marked with white and black circles, and the extragalactic sources are marked with red circles. A few well-known Galactic sources are also labeled in each panel (W43 at \(l \sim 31°\), W44 \(l \sim 35°\), W49 \(l \sim 43°\) and W51 \(l \sim 49°\)).
Fig. 3. H\textsubscript{I} channel maps produced by integrating the H\textsubscript{I} emission over indicated velocity ranges. Strong absorption towards W43 ($l \sim 31^\circ$), W44 ($l \sim 35^\circ$), W49 ($l \sim 43^\circ$) and W51 ($l \sim 49^\circ$) are clearly visible across several velocity channels.
Fig. 4. HI longitude-velocity ($l-v$) diagram constructed by averaging the emission in the latitude range $|b| \leq 1.25^\circ$. The black contours correspond to the $^{13}$CO(1–0) $l-v$ at 3, 8, 18, 28, and 38 times the rms noise of the $l-v$ diagram (rms = 0.1 K, $T_{\text{MB}}$). The $^{13}$CO(1–0) data do not cover the velocity range $v_{\text{LSR}} < -5$ km s$^{-1}$. The overlaid curves trace the Sagittarius, Scutum, Norma, Perseus, Outer, and Outer Scutum-Centaurus (OSC) arms, and smaller features (Local Spur, Aquila Spur, and Aquila Rift) taken from Reid et al. (2016). The near and far sides of the arms are plotted with dashed and solid lines, respectively. The magenta box marks the absorption feature could be caused by the Riegel-Crutcher cloud. The $^{13}$CO data are from the Exeter FCRAO CO Galactic Plane Survey (Mottram & Brunt 2010), and the GRS (Jackson et al. 2006).

Fig. 5. Ratio map $l-v$ diagram of HI over $^{13}$CO ($T_{\text{MB}}(\text{HI})/T_{\text{MB}}(^{13}\text{CO})$) averaged over galactic latitude. The $^{13}$CO(1–0) data do not cover the velocity range $v_{\text{LSR}} < -5$ km s$^{-1}$. The overlaid curves trace the Sagittarius, Scutum, Norma, Perseus, Outer, and Outer Scutum-Centaurus (OSC) arms, and smaller features (Local Spur, Aquila Spur, and Aquila Rift) taken from Reid et al. (2016). The near and far sides of the arms are plotted with dashed and solid lines, respectively.

After resampling both the HI and $^{13}$CO data to the same angular and spectral resolution (pixel size of 22", beam size of 46", and velocity resolution of 1.5 km s$^{-1}$), we constructed the $T_{\text{MB}}(\text{HI})/T_{\text{MB}}(^{13}\text{CO})$ ratio $l-v$ diagram by dividing the HI $l-v$ diagram by the $^{13}$CO $l-v$ diagram (Fig. 5). For the $^{13}$CO $l-v$ diagram, a $3\sigma$ value was used where the emission is below $3\sigma$ ($1\sigma = 0.04$ K in the $^{13}$CO $l-v$ diagram). As expected, Fig. 5 shows that the $T_{\text{MB}}(\text{HI})/T_{\text{MB}}(^{13}\text{CO})$ ratio is low where there is $^{13}$CO emission (see also, Sect. 4.3).

After removing the pixels with no HI emission ($T_{\text{MB}}(\text{HI}) < 5\sigma$, $1\sigma = 0.2$ K), the histogram of the $T_{\text{MB}}(\text{HI})/T_{\text{MB}}(^{13}\text{CO})$ ratio $l-v$ diagram in Fig. 6 reveals one strong peak at $\sim 100$, and

(Riegel & Jennings 1969; Riegel & Crutcher 1972; Crutcher & Riegel 1974).
a secondary peak at ~600. If we assume both H I and $^{13}$CO emissions are optically thin and uniform excitation temperature, the variations in the $T_B$(H I)/$T_B$(13CO) ratio also represent the variations in the atomic to molecular gas column density ratio. Figure 6 indicates that the atomic-to-molecular gas column density ratio can increase by a factor of six from inter-arm regions to spiral arms. Considering that we used 3σ value of the $^{13}$CO $l$−$v$ diagram for regions where there is no $^{13}$CO emission to construct the $T_B$(H I)/$T_B$(13CO) ratio $l$−$v$ diagram, this factor of six is a lower limit (see also Sect. 4.3).

3.5.2. H I optical depth

The THOR C-configuration-only H I data (Beuther et al. 2016), which have not been continuum-subtracted, are used to measure the H I optical depth towards bright background continuum sources. We extracted the H I spectra towards all continuum sources with an S/N larger than seven from Wang et al. (2018). Since the synthesized beam of the C-configuration-only H I data is 25′′, we extracted the average H I spectrum from a 28′′ × 28′′ (7 × 7 pixels) area centered on the position of the continuum source to increase the S/N. We used the software STATCONT (Sánchez-Monge et al. 2018) to estimate the continuum level $T_{cont}$ and the noise of the spectra. Some example spectra are shown in Fig. 7. With the absorption spectra, we can estimate the optical depth towards the continuum source following the method described in Bühr et al. (2015):

$$
\tau = -\ln\left(\frac{T_{\text{on, cont}} - T_{\text{off, cont}}}{T_{\text{cont}}}\right).
$$

(1)

where $T_{\text{on, cont}}$ is the brightness temperature of the absorption feature measured towards the continuum source, and $T_{\text{off, cont}}$ is the off-continuum-source brightness temperature. Since we use the THOR C-configuration data to calculate $\tau$, the smooth, large-scale structure is mostly filtered out (Beuther et al. 2016). Therefore, we can neglect the off emission $T_{\text{off, cont}}$, and simplify Eq. (1) to:

$$
\tau \text{ simplified} = -\ln\left(\frac{T_{\text{on, cont}}}{T_{\text{cont}}}\right).
$$

(2)

For channels with a $T_{\text{on, cont}}$ value less than three times the rms, we use the 3σ value to get a lower limit on $\tau$. The bottom panels in Fig. 7 show the $\tau$ spectra for the corresponding sources, and the calculated $\tau$ is always saturated in some channels. Compared to the VGPS VLA D-configuration absorption spectra and the $\tau$ spectra derived from them (resolution 60′′, Strasser et al. 2007), the THOR C-configuration absorption spectra are much more sensitive and therefore probing higher optical depth (Fig. 7).

In this study, since only $T_{\text{on, cont}} < (T_{\text{cont}} - 3\sigma)$ is considered to be real absorption, only sources with $T_{\text{cont}} > 6\sigma$ can have channels with real absorption and do not reach the lower limit of $\tau$. In total, 228 sources have a $T_{\text{cont}} > 6\sigma$ to make the $\tau$ map, among which ~60% are Galactic sources (Table A.1). We listed $T_{\text{cont}}$, $\sigma$ of $T_{\text{cont}}$, the lower limit of $\tau$, and the integrated $\tau$ including the physical nature of the 228 sources in Table A.1. We then grid the 228 $\tau$ measurements channel by channel for the whole survey using the nearest-neighbor method to create the $\tau$ data-cube. Since the Galactic sources do not trace any absorption from the gas located behind them, we replaced the optical depth values for these channels with the ones from the nearest extragalactic sources. The resulting integrated $\tau$ map is shown in Fig. 8.

The highest integrated $\tau$ we measured is at $l \sim 43^\circ$, at the location of the high-mass star-forming complex W49A. A very high integrated $\tau$ is measured towards the star-forming complex W43 ($l \sim 31^\circ$). Figure 8 shows that higher $\tau$ is measured in the inner longitude range, which is reasonable considering more material is packed along the line of sight due to velocity crowding in regions below $l \sim 43^\circ$. Since the used $\tau$ spectra are all saturated in some channels, this map represents a lower limit of the optical depth in the survey region.

3.5.3. H I column density and distribution

We estimated the column density of atomic hydrogen from the emission line data (C+D+GBT) using (e.g., Wilson et al. 2013):

$$
N_{\text{HI}} = 1.8224 \times 10^{18} \int T_S(v)\tau(v)\,dv.
$$

(3)

The optical depth corrected spin temperature is $T_S(v) = T_B(v)/(1 - e^{-\tau(v)})$, where $T_B$ is the brightness temperature of the H I emission. We used the $\tau$ data-cube (Sect. 3.5.2) to correct the spin temperature channel by channel and estimate the column density.

As shown in Figs. 2 and 3, H I absorption against strong continuum sources is clearly seen as negative features in the continuum subtracted emission map, such as toward W43 at $l \sim 31^\circ$. To derive the column density toward strong continuum sources, we determined a mean brightness temperature from a region of radius 10′ around the continuum source to derive $T_S$. Then, we extracted C-configuration-only H I+continuum spectra from these regions pixel-by-pixel to derive the optical depth (see Sect. 3.5.2). With the spin temperature and optical depth derived, we can estimate the column density towards continuum sources and add these to the optical depth corrected column density map. Figure 9 shows the histograms of the atomic hydrogen column density integrated between −113 and 163 km s$^{-1}$ of the survey area. The median value of the column density is $1.8 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, which is 38% higher than the value assuming optically thin emission.

To obtain the distribution of atomic gas in the Galactic plane, we estimated the kinematic distance of each channel and each pixel of the H I emission map with the Kinematic Distance

\[ \text{https://docs.scipy.org/doc/scipy/reference/generated/scipy.interpolate.griddata.html} \]
Fig. 7. H I absorption and optical depth (τ) spectra of THOR (thick black spectra) and VGPS (thin red spectra). The dashed lines mark the continuum level $I_{\text{cont}}$ in the top panels, and the lower limit of τ in the bottom panels. The shaded bands in the top panels mark the 1σ level of the noise.

Fig. 8. H I integrated optical depth (τ) map across the velocity range (−128.5 < $v_{\text{LSR}}$ < 170 km s$^{-1}$). The aspect ratio of the figure is modified for demonstration purpose.

Fig. 9. Histograms of the atomic hydrogen column density integrated between −113 and 163 km s$^{-1}$. The black (thin) histogram represents the column density derived assuming the emission is optically thin, and the red (thick) histogram represents the column density corrected from optical depth. The dashed vertical lines mark the median values of the two histograms, respectively.

Utilities$^{24}$ (Wenger et al. 2018). The “universal” rotation curve of Persic et al. (1996) including terms for an exponential disk and a halo, as well as the Galactic parameters from Reid et al. (2014) are used. See Table 5 in Reid et al. (2014) for detailed parameters.

To solve the kinematic distance ambiguity in the inner Galaxy (inside the solar orbit), we took the following approach.

We assume that the average vertical density profile of the atomic gas $n(z)$ in the inner Galaxy can be described by the sum of two Gaussians and an exponential function (Lockman 1984; Dickey & Lockman 1990):

$$n(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} n_i(0) \exp \left[-|z|/(2h_i^2)\right] + n_{3}(0) \exp \left[-|z|/h_3\right],$$

(4)

with $z$ describing the distance from the Galactic mid-plane. The coefficients from Dickey & Lockman (1990) are listed in Table 2. Since the volume density distribution of the atomic gas in the mid-plane is approximately axisymmetric with respect to the Galactic center (Kalberla & Dedes 2008), we can assume the volume density is the same at the same Galactocentric distance in the mid-plane. Furthermore, the $v_{\text{LSR}}$ distance profiles are symmetric with respect to the tangent point for the degeneracy part (see also, Anderson & Bania 2009), so each velocity bin traces the same line-of-sight distance at the near side as at the far side. Thus we assume the column density is also the same at the same Galactocentric distance at the near and far side in the Galactic mid-plane. Due to the kinematic distance ambiguity in the inner Galaxy, the column density we derived for each pixel at each velocity channel is a combined result from both the far and near side for each velocity channel.

With the kinematic distances determined for each channel, we applied a 5σ cut and converted the column density cube into a face-on mean surface density map, shown in Fig. 10. Comparing to the spiral arm model from Reid et al. (2016), some of
the atomic gas follows the spiral arms well, such as the Sagittarius and Perseus arms, but there is also much atomic gas in the inter-arm regions. Along the Sagittarius and Perseus arms, and in the very outer region beyond the Outer Arm in Fig. 10, the H II region distribution agrees with the atomic gas. However, the Outer Arm itself is not associated with much atomic gas in the face-on plot, although there is good agreement between the H I emission and the Outer Arm in the l−v diagram. This outer component of the atomic gas was also observed by Oort et al. (1958), Nakanishi & Sofue (2003), and Levine et al. (2006). Nakanishi & Sofue (2003) found this emission structure agrees spatially with the Outer Arm discovered by Weaver (1970). The

\[ \langle T_S \rangle = \frac{\int T_B(v) \, dv}{\int 1 - e^{-\tau(v)} \, dv}. \]

As we show in Fig. 11, the mean spin temperatures in the THOR survey area are between 50 and 300 K, with a median value of 143 K. We do not see any correlation between the \( T_S \) and longitudes. Combining survey data from VGPS (Stil et al. 2006), CGPS (Taylor et al. 2003), and SGPS (McClure-Griffiths et al. 2005), Dickey et al. (2009) studied the atomic gas in the outer disk of the Milky Way (outside the solar circle). They found the mean spin temperature to be \( \sim 250 \)–400 K and it stays nearly constant with the Galactocentric radius to \( \sim 25 \) kpc. Since the mean spin temperature \( \langle T_S \rangle \) reveals the fraction of CNM in the total atomic gas (see Eq. (8) in Dickey et al. 2000), the lower \( \langle T_S \rangle \) we obtained indicates we observe a higher fraction of CNM in our survey area. Since Dickey et al. (2009) only considered the outer Milky Way, the differences in the \( T_S \) may indicate a higher fraction of CNM in the inner Milky Way.

### Table 2. Coefficients of Eq. (4) taken from Dickey & Lockman (1990).

| i | \( n_i(0) \) (cm\(^{-3}\)) | \( h_i \) (pc) |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0.395 | 90 |
| 2 | 0.107 | 225 |
| 3 | 0.064 | 403 |

Fig. 10. Face-on view of H I surface mass distribution in the survey area overlaid with spiral arms from Reid et al. (2016), and H II regions from Anderson et al. (2014). The Galactic center is at [0, 0], and the Sun is at the top-left corner at a Galactocentric distance of 8.34 kpc (Reid et al. 2014). The gray lanes mark the Outer, Perseus, Sagittarius, and Scutum arms with widths from Reid et al. (2014). The Local Spur, Aquila Spur, and Norm Arm are marked with white lines. The long bar (Hammersley et al. 2000; Benjamin et al. 2005; Nishiyama et al. 2005; Benjamin 2008; Cabrera-Lavers et al. 2008) is marked with the shaded half-ellipse. The crosses mark the H II regions from Anderson et al. (2014) excluding the ones that fall into the tangent region (marked with two gray curves).

Perseus Arm and Outer Arm in Fig. 10 are found to be distinct structures in the atomic gas distribution with a void of H I emission and H II regions between the arms. The absolute value of the derived surface density represents a mean surface density along the direction, and is therefore lower than the results of Nakanishi & Sofue (2003, 2016), which derived a surface density integrated along \( z \).

We applied different Galactic models and rotation curves for the kinematic distance determination to create the face-on surface density maps shown in Fig. B.1. We find that the face-on density map depends only slightly on the assumed model for the kinematic distance. Compared to Fig. 10 (Galactic parameters from Reid et al. 2014, rotational curve from Persic et al. 1996), the assumption of a uniform rotational curve (Fig. B.1, right) only lowers the gas surface density close to the bar region. Similar changes occur when applying the IAU Solar parameters \((R_0 = 8.5 \text{ kpc}, \Theta_0 = 220 \text{ km s}^{-1})\) and the Brand & Blitz (1993) Galactic rotation model (Fig. B.1, left), together with slightly shifting the gas to higher distances.

3.5.4. Mean spin temperature of the atomic gas

With the H I emission, we can obtain the line-of-sight mean spin temperature or the density-weighted harmonic mean spin temperature using (Dickey et al. 2000):

\[
\langle T_S \rangle = \frac{\int T_B(v) \, dv}{\int 1 - e^{-\tau(v)} \, dv}. \tag{5}
\]

The dashed vertical lines mark the median values of 143 K.
4. Discussion

We discuss the results of H I overview presented in Sect. 3 in this section.

4.1. H I gas mass

With the method described in Sect. 3.5.3, we estimate the optical depth corrected total H I mass in our survey area to $4.7 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ (using emission above 5σ). Combining 12CO(1-0) and 13CO(1-0) from the GRS and the Exeter FCRAO CO Survey, Roman-Duval et al. (2016) estimated an H I mass in the inner Galaxy (inside the solar circle) of $5.5 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ (GRS: $18\degree \leq l \leq 55.7\degree$, $|b| \leq 1\degree$; Exeter: $55\degree \leq l \leq 100\degree$, $-1.4 \leq b \leq 1.9\degree$). Within the solar circle, we estimate the total H I mass to be $2.1 \times 10^8 M_\odot$, (18° $\leq l \leq 67\degree$, $|b| \leq 1.25\degree$). Although the GRS+Exeter survey covers a larger area than THOR, the area they covered inside the solar circle is only ~4% larger than THOR, so we can still compare these two masses. Therefore, in the inner Galaxy in this longitude range, the molecular component represents about 72% of the total gas. This fraction also agrees with the molecular fraction of 50–60% of total gas inside the solar circle estimated by Koda et al. (2016), Nakaniishi & Sofue (2016), and Miville-Deschênes et al. (2017). Considering the total H I mass we derived is a lower limit, this percentage is likely an upper limit.

If we assume the H I emission to be optically thin, the total H I mass within the whole survey area is estimated to be $3.6 \times 10^8 M_\odot$. Comparing this with our optical-depth corrected estimate of $4.7 \times 10^8 M_\odot$, we find that the mass increases by ~31% percent with the optical depth correction. Considering that all of the τ spectra saturate in some channels, this 31% is again a lower limit. We define the ratio between the mass after and before the optical depth correction as $R_{HI}$, so for the whole survey area $R_{HI} = 1.31$. Assuming optically thin emission, the total mass of the H I gas of the Milky Way within the Galactic radius of 30 kpc was estimated to be $7.2 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ (Kalberla & Kerp 2009; Nakaniishi & Sofue 2016). If we apply $R_{HI} = 1.31$ to the whole Milky Way, the total H I gas mass would be $9.4 - 10.5 \times 10^8 M_\odot$. We discuss the uncertainties of the total H I mass in Sect. 4.5.

As part of the pilot study of the THOR project, Bihr et al. (2015) estimated the mass of the atomic hydrogen gas in the W43 region after applying the correction for optical depth and absorption against the diffuse continuum emission and derived an $R_{HI} = 2.4$, which is higher than what we have derived for the entire survey. Considering W43 has the second largest integrated τ in the survey, it is reasonable that a larger than average $R_{HI}$ was measured here. The optical depth map (Fig. 8) also shows higher τ in the inner longitude region ($l \leq 44\degree$) than in the outer longitude region.

In contrast to this, Lee et al. (2015) found $R_{HI} \sim 1.1$ by applying the optical depth correction pixel-by-pixel towards the atomic gas around the Perseus molecular cloud. Combining the 21 cm emission maps from the Galactic Arcabio L-band Feed Array Survey (GALFA-HI Peek et al. 2011, 2018) and absorption spectra from 21-SPONGE (Murray et al. 2015, 2018b), Murray et al. (2018a) found $1.0 < R_{HI} < 1.3$ towards high latitude local ISM ($|b| > 15\degree$). Considering that we are observing with much higher angular resolution than GALFA, and multiple spiral arms along the line of sight are at the same velocity in the Galactic plane, it is reasonable that the $R_{HI}$ we derived is higher. On the other hand, studies toward nearby galaxies (M31, M33 and LMC) found $R_{HI} \sim 1.3 - 1.34$ (Braun et al. 2009; Braun 2012), which is in agreement with what we found.

4.2. H I gas distribution

Ever since van de Hulst et al. (1954) and Oort et al. (1958) discovered the spiral structure of the atomic hydrogen gas in the Milky Way, considerable effort has been devoted to investigating the gas distribution and spiral arms in the Galaxy (e.g., Kulkarni et al. 1982; Nakaniishi & Sofue 2003, 2016; Levine et al. 2006; Kalberla & Kerp 2009). The structure outside of the Outer Arm in Fig. 10 was also observed by Oort et al. (1958), Nakaniishi & Sofue (2003, 2016), Levine et al. (2006). Nakaniishi & Sofue (2003) fit this structure with the so-called Outer Arm with a pitch angle of ~70° in the polar coordinates (the x-axis is the azimuthal angle θ and the y-axis the Galactic radius R in log scale). They found that this agrees with the Outer Arm found by Weaver (1970). Also, one of the arms fit by Levine et al. (2006) goes through the northern part of this structure ($Y > 0$, $X > 10$ in Fig. 10). Levine et al. (2006) claimed that the spiral arm model derived from the H I regions (Morgan et al. 1953; Georgelin & Georgelin 1976; Wainscoat et al. 1992) could not fit this structure, although Fig. 10 shows that many H I regions are associated with this structure. The Outer Arm plotted in Fig. 10 is extrapolated from the pitch angle fitted to parallax sources at greater longitudes ($l > 70\degree$, Reid et al. 2016). Since the pitch angle can vary by azimuth angle (Honig & Reid 2015), the real Outer Arm in this region (17° $< l < 67\degree$) could have a different pitch angle and be at a larger Galactocentric radius. On the other hand, the noncircular motions in the outer H I disk (e.g., Kuijken & Tremaine 1994) could also affect the H I distribution we derived in this region.

Another feature in the inner part of Fig. 10 is that the region right below the end of the bar shows low surface density. Depending on the Galactic rotation model we used to determine the kinematic distances, there could even be a cavity in this region (all emission is below 5σ and masked out, Fig. B.1). The region is located where the Galactic long bar ends (Hammersley et al. 2000; Benjamin et al. 2005; Nishiyama et al. 2005; Benjamin 2008; Cabrera-Lavers et al. 2008). The long bar introduces strong non-circular motions in the sources, and so the axisymmetric rotation curve does not apply on and near the bar (Fux 1999; Rodriguez-Fernandez & Combes 2008; Reid et al. 2014). Thus, the kinematic distances derived for the gas and most H II regions in this region may have large errors. Therefore, the low-level emission may not be real. The same low-level distribution is also seen in the CO map by Roman-Duval et al. (2009, 2016), and in H II regions. Considering all these distributions are based on kinematic distance (except for the distance of less than 10% H II regions in this region are derived from parallax), we should consider the distribution of gas and H II regions inside Scutum Arm with caution.

4.3. Atomic to molecular gas ratio

Our optical depth correction discussed in the previous sections involves a lot of interpolation and averaging. We would like to avoid doing it when comparing the H I and 13CO maps, since interpolation and averaging brings large uncertainties to each particular region. In the following discussion, we compare the H I and 13CO emission directly.

As we demonstrate in Fig. 10, high column density gas is concentrated along and above the Sagittarius Arm in the inner Galaxy. This is also seen by Nakaniishi & Sofue (2016). On the other hand, molecular clouds traced by CO are mainly distributed around and inside the Scutum Arm (Roman-Duval et al. 2009; Nakaniishi & Sofue 2016). The molecular cloud fraction
(\(f_{\text{mol}}\)) map in Nakanishi & Sofue (2016) shows that \(f_{\text{mol}}\) is anti-correlated with Galactocentric distance. Compared to Fig. 10, inside the Sagittarius Arm, the molecular cloud fraction may be as high as \(f_{\text{mol}} > 0.6\), while in the outer regions, \(f_{\text{mol}}\) quickly drops to almost zero (see also, Miville-Deschênes et al. 2017). However, they did not discuss how this ratio varies between inter-arm regions and spiral arm regions.

As the \(l-v\) diagrams in Figs. 4 and 5 show that the majority of the molecular gas is tightly associated with the spiral arms, while the atomic gas on the other hand is more widely distributed with significant material in the inter-arm regions. The histogram of \(T_B(\text{H}I)/T_B(13\text{CO})\) ratio shows a bimodal distribution (Fig. 6). If we assume both \(^1\text{CO}\) and \(\text{H}1\) emissions are optically thin, the \(T_B(\text{H}I)/T_B(13\text{CO})\) ratio bimodal distribution could be proxy of the atomic-to-molecular gas ratio. Therefore, we can interpret from Fig. 6 that on average, the atomic-to-molecular gas ratio may increase by approximately a factor of six from spiral arms to inter-arm regions.

### 4.4. Uncertainties of the \(\text{H}1\) optical depth \(\tau\)

The uncertainties of the \(\text{H}1\) optical depth are determined mainly by two factors, the brightness of the continuum source and the rms noise of the absorption spectra. The ratio of these two is the S/N. As we mentioned in Sect. 3.5.2, we selected sources with an \(S/N > 6\) to ensure that the spectra have real absorption and the \(\tau\) spectra is not saturated in all channels. Thus, the S/N for the selected spectra ranges between six and 250, with a median value of 12, and the uncertainties associated with the rms noise are between 0.18 and 0.004 with a median value of 0.09, depending on the brightness of the continuum source.

Another source of uncertainty for the optical depth \(\tau\) is that the \(\tau\) spectra are all saturated in some channels, as shown in Fig. 7. To test the \(\tau\) limits, high sensitivity VLA follow-up observations were carried out towards three selected sources, G21.347–0.629, G29.956–0.018, and G31.388–0.384 (Rugel et al., in prep.). In the THOR survey, where each field was observed for five to six minutes in on-source time, the optical depths of these three sources all saturate at \(\tau < 2.7-3.1\). In the follow-up observations, each continuum source was observed for significantly longer, and the noise dropped by \(~50\%\). However, many channels in the \(\tau\) spectra still saturate at \(\tau > 3.5-3.9\) if we smooth the data to the same angular and spectral resolution as the THOR C-configuration data. Depending on the S/N of the continuum source, this \(~50\%\) drop in the noise could increase the lower limit of the optical depth to \(~1.2-2.6\) times the current value, and also increase the optical-depth corrected column density to \(~1.1-1.6\) times the current value (Fig. 12).

### 4.5. Uncertainties of the \(\text{H}1\) mass

The uncertainties of the mass estimation for atomic gas originate from several factors: the optical depth, the distance, absorption against the diffuse continuum emission, and the \(\text{H}1\) self absorption (HISA). As we discussed in the previous section, we could be underestimating the peak optical depth \(\tau_{\text{lim}}\) by \(~20\%\), but the impact on the integrated optical depth is not clear. If we assume the noise levels of the \(\tau\) spectra drop to 30\% of the values listed in Table. A.1, with the same 228 sources to correct the optical depth, the total \(\text{H}1\) mass increases by 9\%. However, as we mentioned in the previous section, many channels in the \(\tau\) spectra still saturate in the high sensitivity follow-up observations, and this 20\% is still a lower limit.

The second main factor of uncertainty is the distance. With different Galactic parameters, we get different kinematic distances, which result in different mass estimates. With the rotation curve of Persic et al. (1996), and the Galactic parameters from Reid et al. (2014), we derived the total mass \(4.7 \times 10^6 M_\odot\). With the same Galactic parameters from Reid et al. (2014) but assuming a uniform rotational curve, we would get the same mass. If we take the IAU Solar parameters (\(R_0 = 8.5\) kpc, \(\Theta_0 = 220\) km s\(^{-1}\)) and Galactic rotation model from Brand & Blitz (1993), the total mass increases by 13\%. We are also aware that assuming axisymmetry and using the average vertical density distribution \(n(z)\) of \(\text{H}1\) to solve the kinematic distance ambiguity of the column density distribution is not ideal, but this is the best we can do without detailed modeling of the Galactic disk. Furthermore, Wenger et al. (2018) compared the kinematic distances with the parallax distances of 75 Galactic high-mass star-forming regions, and they found out that the kinematic distances we used in this paper (derived with the rotation curve of Persic et al. (1996) and the Galactic parameters from Reid et al. (2014)) have a median offset of 0.43 kpc, with a standard deviation of 1.24 kpc from parallax distances.

Bihr et al. (2015) estimated the mass of the atomic hydrogen gas in W43 to be \(6.6-1.8 \times 10^6 M_\odot\) after applying the correction for optical depth and absorption against the diffuse continuum emission \((l = 29.0-31.5, |b| \leq 1^\circ, v_{\odot LSR} = 60-120\) km s\(^{-1}\)). By integrating across the same velocity range, we derived a mass of \(8.5 \times 10^6 M_\odot\) within the same area with our distance determination method. The mean distance at \(l = 30.25^\circ\) between 60 to 120 km s\(^{-1}\) from our method is 7.4 kpc, much larger than the distance of 5.5 kpc (Zhang et al. 2014) adopted by Bihr et al. (2015). Taking the same distance 5.5 kpc results in a mass of \(4.9 \times 10^6 M_\odot\). Further applying correction for the absorption against the diffuse continuum emission with the method described in Bihr et al. (2015) increases the mass by 19\% to \(5.7 \times 10^6 M_\odot\), which approximately agrees with what
Bihr et al. (2015) estimated, but is slightly smaller. Since Bihr et al. (2015) use one single strong continuum source to correct the optical depth for the whole W43 region, they may overcorrect for the outer region in W43. The 19% mass increase from applying a correction for the absorption against the diffuse continuum emission is an upper limit if we consider the whole survey area, since W43 is one of the most extreme star-forming complexes in the Milky Way and is considered to be a mini starburst region (Nguyen-Lu’o’ng et al. 2011, 2013; Beuther et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2014). Furthermore, to correct the diffuse continuum emission, we need information on its distance. It is reasonable to assume all diffuse continuum emission is in the background and correct it for a particular region, but we cannot apply this to the whole survey. Thus, we do not apply any correction for the diffuse continuum emission.

The last factor is HISA, which we did not consider for the mass estimation. However, HISA was studied towards a GMF (HINSA) show that the ratio between the column density with the THOR data by Wang et al. (2020), and they showed, a narrow self-absorption (HINSA) show that the ratio between the column density traced by HINSA to the H I column density is $\sim 10^{-3}$ to $2 \times 10^{-2}$ (Li & Goldsmith 2003; Goldsmith & Li 2005; Zuo et al. 2018).

As we discussed in Sect. 4.1, the total H I mass in this part of the Galactic plane is also comparable to the H I mass, the effect of HISA and HINSA to the H I mass is negligible. In summary, we could still be underestimating the H I mass by 20–40%, even with the current optical depth correction.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we describe the THOR data release 2, which includes all OH and RRL data, and an entire new H I dataset from the THOR survey. In addition, a detailed analysis of the H I data is presented. The main results can be summarized as follows:

1. While the H I channel map shows clear filamentary substructures at negative velocities, the emission at positive velocities is more smeared-out. This is likely due to higher spatial and velocity crowding of structures at positive velocities. Both the 1–6 diagram and the face-on view of the H I emission show that some of the atomic gas follows the spiral arms well, such as the Sagittarius and Perseus Arm, but there is also much gas in the inter-arm regions.

2. We produced a spectrally resolved H I τ map from 228 absorption spectra.

3. We corrected optical depth for the H I emission with the τ map. The atomic gas column density we derived with optical depth correction is 38% higher than the column density derived with optically thin assumption. We estimate the total H I mass in the survey region to be $4.7 \times 10^{5} M_{\odot}$, 31% higher than the mass derived with the optically thin assumption. If we apply this 31% correction to the whole Milky Way, the total atomic gas mass would be $9.4–10.5 \times 10^{5} M_{\odot}$.

4. Considering that all the τ spectra are saturated in some channels and we did not apply the correction for the diffuse continuum emission, we could be underestimating the mass by an additional 20–40%. Future higher sensitivity observations are needed to better constrain the optical depth.

5. We constructed a face-on view of the mean surface density of the atomic gas in the survey area.

6. We estimated the density-weighted harmonic mean spin temperature $\langle T_S \rangle$ integrated between $–113$ and $165 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with a median value of $\langle T_S \rangle \sim 143 \text{ K}$, about a factor of two lower than what was estimated in the outer disk of the Milky Way, which may indicate a higher fraction of CNM in the inner Milky Way.

7. The latitude averaged $T_{21}(\text{H I}/T_{21}(^{12}\text{CO})$ ratio distribution shows two peaks at $\sim 100$ and $\sim 600$, which may indicate that the atomic-to-molecular gas ratio can increase by a factor of six from spiral arms to inter-arm regions.

The H I, OH, RRL, and continuum data from the THOR survey together provide the community the basis for high-angular-resolution studies of the ISM in different phases.
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## Appendix A: Optical depth measurements towards the selected sources

### Table A.1. Optical depth measurements towards the selected continuum sources.

| Gal.ID          | $T_{\text{cont}}$ (K) | rms (K) | $\tau_{\text{limit}}$ | $\int \tau(v)dv$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $R_{\text{eff}}$ (1) | Note (2) |
|-----------------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| G14.490+0.021   | 117                    | 17      | 0.8                    | 30                            | 22                    | HII       |
| G15.035–0.677   | 4286                   | 17      | 4.4                    | 33                            | 289                   | HII       |
| G15.168+0.797   | 134                    | 13      | 1.2                    | 28                            | 23                    |           |
| G15.190–0.596   | 244                    | 20      | 1.4                    | 17                            | 111                   | HII       |
| G15.913+0.183   | 97                     | 16      | 0.7                    | 16                            | 74                    |           |
| G16.557+0.453   | 99                     | 13      | 0.9                    | 26                            | 19                    |           |
| G16.733–1.185   | 218                    | 23      | 1.1                    | 26                            | 21                    | Xray      |
| G16.784–1.058   | 162                    | 16      | 1.2                    | 23                            | 40                    |           |
| G16.945–0.074   | 114                    | 12      | 1.1                    | 59                            | 30                    | HII       |
| G17.910+0.372   | 244                    | 11      | 2.0                    | 53                            | 21                    | HII       |
| G18.092+1.167   | 145                    | 20      | 0.9                    | 15                            | 22                    |           |
| G18.106+0.186   | 179                    | 12      | 1.6                    | 65                            | 24                    |           |
| G18.148–0.283   | 462                    | 15      | 2.3                    | 40                            | 99                    | HII       |
| G18.270–0.289   | 110                    | 15      | 0.9                    | 16                            | 184                   | HII       |
| G18.303–0.390   | 542                    | 13      | 2.6                    | 43                            | 32                    | HII       |
| G18.696–0.401   | 97                     | 14      | 0.8                    | 40                            | 20                    |           |
| G18.755–0.497   | 83                     | 10      | 1.0                    | 54                            | 19                    |           |
| G18.761+0.287   | 106                    | 17      | 0.7                    | 26                            | 252                   | SNR_green |
| G18.886–0.508   | 120                    | 14      | 1.1                    | 26                            | 145                   | HII       |
| G19.075–0.287   | 281                    | 15      | 1.8                    | 45                            | 223                   | HII       |
| G19.432–0.824   | 79                     | 13      | 0.7                    | 25                            | 20                    |           |
| G19.492+0.135   | 223                    | 14      | 1.7                    | 76                            | 100                   | HII       |
| G19.610–0.235   | 889                    | 15      | 3.0                    | 80                            | 112                   | HII       |
| G19.621–0.701   | 70                     | 10      | 0.9                    | 25                            | 19                    |           |
| G19.679–0.131   | 153                    | 17      | 1.1                    | 55                            | 45                    | HII       |
| G20.080–0.136   | 145                    | 13      | 1.3                    | 67                            | 33                    | HII       |
| G20.252+0.991   | 119                    | 14      | 1.0                    | 24                            | 23                    |           |
| G20.594–0.130   | 109                    | 13      | 1.0                    | 66                            | 18                    |           |
| G20.750–0.090   | 89                     | 13      | 0.8                    | 35                            | 175                   | HII       |
| G20.923+0.213   | 89                     | 15      | 0.7                    | 21                            | 23                    | Xray      |
| G20.989+0.090   | 195                    | 11      | 1.8                    | 56                            | 62                    | HII       |
| G20.999–1.125   | 126                    | 19      | 0.8                    | 13                            | 26                    | PN        |
| G21.347–0.629   | 521                    | 12      | 2.7                    | 55                            | 23                    | Xray      |
| G21.503–0.884   | 1095                   | 14      | 3.2                    | 36                            | 58                    | SNR_green |
| G21.765–0.631   | 129                    | 12      | 1.3                    | 38                            | 313                   | SNR_green |
| G21.770+0.843   | 131                    | 14      | 1.1                    | 21                            | 21                    |           |
| G21.874+0.008   | 288                    | 13      | 2.0                    | 80                            | 31                    | HII       |
| G22.199–0.756   | 90                     | 13      | 0.8                    | 28                            | 25                    |           |
| G22.760–0.478   | 109                    | 14      | 1.0                    | 17                            | 100                   | HII       |
| G22.933–0.076   | 117                    | 19      | 0.7                    | 55                            | 28                    | jet;Xray  |
| G23.438–0.209   | 228                    | 13      | 1.7                    | 69                            | 166                   | HII       |
| G23.710+0.171   | 275                    | 13      | 1.9                    | 68                            | 59                    | HII       |
| G23.871–0.121   | 266                    | 11      | 2.1                    | 86                            | 51                    | HII       |
| G23.956+0.150   | 595                    | 16      | 2.5                    | 51                            | 56                    | HII       |
| G24.180+0.565   | 253                    | 17      | 1.6                    | 66                            | 25                    |           |
| G24.463+0.245   | 120                    | 14      | 1.1                    | 75                            | 166                   | HII       |
| G24.471+0.488   | 614                    | 16      | 2.6                    | 41                            | 90                    | HII       |
| G24.493–0.038   | 150                    | 19      | 1.0                    | 36                            | 76                    | HII       |
| G24.507–0.223   | 207                    | 18      | 1.4                    | 48                            | 120                   | HII       |
| G24.541+0.600   | 130                    | 13      | 1.2                    | 54                            | 19                    |           |
| G24.675–0.154   | 254                    | 20      | 1.5                    | 62                            | 71                    | HII       |
| G24.798+0.096   | 354                    | 17      | 1.9                    | 57                            | 152                   | HII       |

**Notes.** (1) Effective radius $R_{\text{eff}}$ was calculated from the area of the source assuming a circular shape. (2) The physical nature of the continuum sources are taken from Wang et al. (2018). “HII”: sources associated with H II regions from Anderson et al. (2014); “SNR_green”: sources associated with SNRs from Green (2014); “SNR_anderson”: sources associated with SNR candidates from Anderson et al. (2017); “PN:” sources classified as planetary nebula; “Xray”: sources associated with X-ray sources; “jets”: sources classified as extragalactic jets candidates. The sources without a note in this column are most likely to be extragalactic origin.
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| Gal.ID       | $T_{\text{cont}}$ (K) | rms (K) | $\tau_{\text{limit}}$ | $\int \tau(v)dv$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $R_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}''$ | Note (2) |
|-------------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| G25.237−0.150 | 240                    | 15      | 1.6                    | 91                            | 46                      | jet      |
| G25.266−0.161 | 325                    | 15      | 1.9                    | 99                            | 21                      | Xray     |
| G25.361−1.102 | 186                    | 22      | 1.0                    | 15                            | 23                      | HII      |
| G25.395+0.033 | 190                    | 18      | 1.2                    | 73                            | 48                      | HII      |
| G25.397−0.141 | 1035                   | 16      | 3.1                    | 70                            | 159                     | HII      |
| G25.520+0.216 | 138                    | 18      | 1.0                    | 41                            | 22                      | HII      |
| G25.604−0.038 | 206                    | 11      | 1.9                    | 103                           | 21                      |          |
| G25.692+0.031 | 114                    | 10      | 1.3                    | 64                            | 77                      | HII      |
| G26.090−0.058 | 122                    | 14      | 1.1                    | 63                            | 127                     | HII      |
| G26.544+0.414 | 345                    | 13      | 2.2                    | 50                            | 73                      | HII      |
| G26.690−0.212 | 93                     | 14      | 0.8                    | 37                            | 21                      | HII      |
| G26.687−1.028 | 123                    | 14      | 1.1                    | 14                            | 22                      | HII      |
| G27.299+0.145 | 239                    | 12      | 1.9                    | 81                            | 56                      | HII      |
| G27.365+0.014 | 110                    | 12      | 1.2                    | 31                            | 127                     | SNR_green |
| G27.380+1.167 | 131                    | 19      | 0.8                    | 10                            | 29                      | jet      |
| G27.494+0.190 | 186                    | 15      | 1.4                    | 60                            | 112                     | HII      |
| G27.920+0.977 | 364                    | 17      | 2.0                    | 23                            | 25                      | HII      |
| G28.287−0.364 | 194                    | 16      | 1.4                    | 25                            | 23                      | HII      |
| G28.305−0.387 | 151                    | 16      | 1.1                    | 24                            | 44                      | HII      |
| G28.608+0.018 | 127                    | 15      | 1.0                    | 50                            | 55                      | HII      |
| G28.610−0.142 | 131                    | 13      | 1.2                    | 56                            | 76                      | SNR_green |
| G28.652+0.027 | 125                    | 18      | 0.8                    | 35                            | 67                      | HII      |
| G28.672−0.108 | 134                    | 13      | 1.2                    | 53                            | 63                      | SNR_green |
| G28.807+0.175 | 246                    | 17      | 1.6                    | 41                            | 53                      | HII      |
| G29.089+0.511 | 199                    | 10      | 1.9                    | 61                            | 20                      |          |
| G29.689−0.242 | 607                    | 20      | 2.3                    | 52                            | 100                     | SNR_green |
| G29.935−0.053 | 544                    | 12      | 2.7                    | 70                            | 115                     | HII      |
| G29.956−0.018 | 876                    | 18      | 2.8                    | 69                            | 61                      | HII      |
| G30.234−0.138 | 282                    | 13      | 2.0                    | 95                            | 20                      | HII      |
| G30.534+0.021 | 278                    | 19      | 1.6                    | 80                            | 38                      | HII      |
| G30.687−0.260 | 196                    | 16      | 1.4                    | 58                            | 102                     |          |
| G30.699−0.630 | 148                    | 16      | 1.1                    | 59                            | 29                      | jet      |
| G30.720−0.083 | 192                    | 12      | 1.7                    | 62                            | 15                      | HII      |
| G30.782−0.027 | 1669                   | 27      | 3.0                    | 80                            | 226                     | HII      |
| G31.070+0.050 | 152                    | 13      | 1.3                    | 88                            | 38                      | HII      |
| G31.242−0.110 | 244                    | 18      | 1.5                    | 86                            | 31                      | HII      |
| G31.279+0.064 | 140                    | 17      | 1.0                    | 36                            | 25                      | HII      |
| G31.388−0.384 | 768                    | 11      | 3.1                    | 110                           | 21                      | HII      |
| G31.394−0.259 | 142                    | 17      | 1.0                    | 48                            | 56                      | HII      |
| G31.412+0.307 | 401                    | 24      | 1.7                    | 48                            | 27                      | HII      |
| G31.869+0.064 | 410                    | 19      | 1.9                    | 50                            | 193                     | SNR_green |
| G32.151+0.133 | 265                    | 17      | 1.6                    | 33                            | 35                      | HII      |
| G32.265+1.168 | 265                    | 29      | 1.1                    | 38                            | 23                      | HII      |
| G32.272−0.226 | 153                    | 15      | 1.2                    | 48                            | 28                      | HII      |
| G32.363+0.934 | 162                    | 16      | 1.3                    | 52                            | 30                      | jet      |
| G32.389−0.403 | 208                    | 13      | 1.7                    | 50                            | 20                      |          |
| G32.798+0.191 | 687                    | 15      | 2.7                    | 71                            | 40                      | HII      |
| G32.835−0.730 | 81                     | 13      | 0.7                    | 9                             | 21                      |          |
| G32.928+0.607 | 133                    | 11      | 1.4                    | 60                            | 29                      | HII      |
| G33.133−0.093 | 92                     | 12      | 0.9                    | 42                            | 28                      | HII      |
| G33.143−0.066 | 98                     | 12      | 1.0                    | 58                            | 22                      | jet      |
| G33.417−0.004 | 88                     | 13      | 0.8                    | 30                            | 55                      | HII      |
| G33.498+0.194 | 484                    | 9       | 2.8                    | 103                           | 20                      | Xray     |
| G33.810−0.189 | 107                    | 12      | 1.1                    | 53                            | 26                      | HII      |
| G33.915+0.110 | 350                    | 16      | 2.0                    | 62                            | 30                      | HII      |
| G34.133+0.471 | 260                    | 12      | 2.0                    | 61                            | 30                      | HII      |
| G34.256+0.146 | 1343                   | 17      | 3.2                    | 66                            | 83                      | HII      |
| G34.568−0.630 | 78                     | 11      | 0.8                    | 18                            | 153                     | SNR_green |
| G34.588−0.238 | 107                    | 13      | 1.0                    | 14                            | 127                     | SNR_green |
### Table A.1. continued.

| Gal.ID      | $T_{\text{cont}}$ (K) | $\sigma_{\text{rms}}$ (K) | $\tau_{\text{limit}}$ | $\int \tau(v) dv$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $R_{\text{eff}}$ (\text{"}) | Note $^{(2)}$ |
|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| G35.053–0.518 | 92                     | 14                          | 0.8                    | 26                               | 85                          | HII            |
| G35.139–0.762 | 105                    | 16                          | 0.8                    | 11                               | 30                          | HII            |
| G35.467+0.139 | 130                    | 16                          | 1.0                    | 37                               | 23                          | HII            |
| G35.574+0.068 | 193                    | 16                          | 1.4                    | 55                               | 27                          | HII            |
| G35.947+0.379 | 93                     | 11                          | 1.0                    | 50                               | 22                          |                |
| G36.056+0.357 | 246                    | 11                          | 2.0                    | 82                               | 26                          | jet            |
| G36.060+0.994 | 91                     | 14                          | 0.8                    | 25                               | 18                          |                |
| G36.516–0.970 | 95                     | 12                          | 1.0                    | 27                               | 20                          |                |
| G36.551+0.002 | 476                    | 10                          | 2.7                    | 94                               | 21                          | Xray           |
| G37.545–0.112 | 272                    | 14                          | 1.9                    | 84                               | 59                          | HII            |
| G37.570–0.107 | 92                     | 12                          | 1.0                    | 46                               | 45                          | HII            |
| G37.763–0.215 | 426                    | 13                          | 2.4                    | 85                               | 71                          | HII            |
| G37.868–0.601 | 118                    | 13                          | 1.1                    | 47                               | 19                          | HII            |
| G38.874–0.399 | 833                    | 12                          | 3.1                    | 103                              | 39                          |                |
| G38.549+0.163 | 67                     | 10                          | 0.8                    | 33                               | 25                          | HII            |
| G38.875+0.308 | 97                     | 12                          | 1.0                    | 52                               | 21                          |                |
| G38.932–1.126 | 79                     | 13                          | 0.7                    | 18                               | 21                          |                |
| G39.157+0.643 | 93                     | 11                          | 1.0                    | 46                               | 18                          |                |
| G39.187–0.303 | 108                    | 13                          | 1.0                    | 49                               | 171                         | SNR_green      |
| G39.251–0.066 | 272                    | 14                          | 1.9                    | 84                               | 75                          | HII            |
| G39.414–0.595 | 155                    | 12                          | 1.5                    | 69                               | 23                          |                |
| G39.565–0.040 | 258                    | 11                          | 2.1                    | 91                               | 19                          |                |
| G39.728–0.398 | 94                     | 12                          | 1.0                    | 41                               | 37                          | HII            |
| G39.883–0.346 | 106                    | 8                           | 1.5                    | 65                               | 20                          | HII            |
| G40.180–0.798 | 111                    | 9                           | 1.4                    | 52                               | 18                          |                |
| G40.733+0.192 | 106                    | 9                           | 1.3                    | 57                               | 20                          |                |
| G41.117–0.334 | 293                    | 12                          | 1.9                    | 38                               | 120                         | SNR_green      |
| G41.189+1.221 | 117                    | 18                          | 0.8                    | 27                               | 17                          |                |
| G41.513–0.141 | 107                    | 12                          | 1.1                    | 38                               | 53                          | HII            |
| G41.660+0.441 | 75                     | 12                          | 0.8                    | 28                               | 17                          |                |
| G41.741+0.097 | 137                    | 12                          | 1.4                    | 53                               | 21                          | HII            |
| G42.028–0.605 | 213                    | 10                          | 1.9                    | 61                               | 21                          |                |
| G42.050+0.853 | 97                     | 10                          | 1.2                    | 46                               | 20                          |                |
| G42.365+0.079 | 59                     | 9                            | 0.7                    | 33                               | 20                          |                |
| G42.434–0.260 | 131                    | 10                          | 1.4                    | 44                               | 65                          | HII            |
| G42.653+0.946 | 102                    | 12                          | 1.1                    | 36                               | 21                          |                |
| G42.895+0.573 | 307                    | 10                          | 2.3                    | 74                               | 26                          | Xray           |
| G43.171+0.007 | 2751                   | 17                          | 4.0                    | 115                              | 141                         | HII            |
| G43.177–0.519 | 111                    | 9                            | 1.4                    | 51                               | 38                          | HII            |
| G43.238–0.045 | 243                    | 14                          | 1.8                    | 83                               | 23                          |                |
| G43.257–0.161 | 779                    | 14                          | 2.9                    | 75                               | 142                         | SNR_green;HII  |
| G43.738–0.620 | 168                    | 10                          | 1.8                    | 54                               | 22                          |                |
| G43.890–0.783 | 152                    | 11                          | 1.5                    | 41                               | 40                          | HII            |
| G43.921–0.479 | 120                    | 14                          | 1.1                    | 45                               | 28                          |                |
| G44.006+0.959 | 69                     | 11                          | 0.8                    | 27                               | 18                          |                |
| G44.492+1.045 | 110                    | 13                          | 1.0                    | 32                               | 21                          |                |
| G44.649–0.795 | 92                     | 9                            | 1.2                    | 46                               | 25                          | jet            |
| G45.067+0.138 | 122                    | 11                          | 1.3                    | 32                               | 35                          | HII            |
| G45.122+0.132 | 738                    | 10                          | 3.2                    | 49                               | 60                          | HII            |
| G45.454+0.059 | 1172                   | 11                          | 3.6                    | 59                               | 133                         | HII            |
| G45.478+0.130 | 544                    | 12                          | 2.7                    | 55                               | 63                          | HII            |
| G45.519–0.870 | 117                    | 9                            | 1.5                    | 47                               | 22                          |                |
| G45.751+0.198 | 111                    | 9                            | 1.5                    | 52                               | 18                          |                |
| G45.823–0.284 | 103                    | 10                          | 1.2                    | 32                               | 48                          | HII            |
| G46.260–0.851 | 78                     | 9                            | 1.1                    | 37                               | 19                          |                |
| G48.241–0.968 | 272                    | 9                            | 2.3                    | 50                               | 19                          |                |
| G48.545–0.003 | 79                     | 10                          | 1.0                    | 37                               | 45                          | HII            |
| G48.610+0.027 | 126                    | 13                          | 1.1                    | 43                               | 97                          | HII            |
| G48.930–0.280 | 494                    | 17                          | 2.3                    | 39                               | 120                         | HII            |
Table A.1. continued.

| Gal.ID     | $T_{\text{cont}}$ (K) | $\text{rms}$ (K) | $\tau_{\text{limit}}$ | $\int \tau(v)dv$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $R_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$ | Note $^{(2)}$ |
|------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| G48.983–0.299 | 201 15 1.5 41 74 | HII |
| G49.079–0.374 | 350 17 1.9 41 87 | HII |
| G49.206–0.342 | 943 20 2.8 44 107 | HII |
| G49.210–0.963 | 376 14 2.2 44 30 | jet |
| G49.370–0.302 | 1428 26 2.9 54 144 | HII |
| G49.477–0.328 | 465 16 2.3 43 32 | HII |
| G49.488–0.380 | 3600 27 3.8 61 163 | HII |
| G49.553–0.330 | 74 11 0.8 23 32 | HII |
| G49.586–0.385 | 365 16 2.0 37 66 | HII |
| G49.594–0.449 | 89 15 0.7 13 50 | HII |
| G50.234+0.327 | 212 13 1.7 61 32 | jet |
| G50.285–0.392 | 100 12 1.0 38 31 | HII |
| G50.626–0.051 | 206 11 1.8 48 19 | HII |
| G50.948+0.847 | 114 12 1.1 46 21 | HII |
| G50.970+0.890 | 85 12 0.9 40 20 | HII |
| G51.364–0.014 | 107 10 1.2 29 104 | SNR_anderson |
| G52.099+1.042 | 206 12 1.7 34 26 | HII |
| G52.236+0.742 | 71 10 0.9 24 103 | HII |
| G52.753+0.334 | 168 11 1.6 46 27 | HII |
| G53.185+0.161 | 144 12 1.4 46 77 | HII |
| G54.078–0.813 | 87 9 1.2 37 22 | HII |
| G54.096–0.054 | 68 8 1.0 28 91 | HII |
| G54.102+0.100 | 89 8 1.3 48 18 | HII |
| G54.170–0.009 | 152 11 1.5 44 20 | HII |
| G56.023+0.519 | 68 8 1.1 29 18 | HII |
| G56.082+0.105 | 154 7 1.9 42 20 | Xray |
| G56.348+0.394 | 149 9 1.7 52 23 | HII |
| G56.616+0.170 | 56 8 0.8 23 19 | HII |
| G57.547–0.272 | 133 11 1.4 37 54 | HII |
| G57.701–0.456 | 69 6 1.3 41 17 | HII |
| G58.189+0.931 | 62 9 0.8 23 19 | HII |
| G58.966+0.220 | 195 9 2.0 62 33 | jet |
| G59.483–0.881 | 156 9 1.8 46 17 | HII |
| G59.672–0.206 | 51 7 0.8 27 17 | HII |
| G59.801+0.231 | 78 8 1.1 30 71 | HII |
| G60.804–0.632 | 74 10 0.9 25 44 | HII |
| G60.883–0.130 | 133 11 1.4 19 37 | HII |
| G61.050–0.880 | 79 8 1.3 31 21 | HII |
| G61.475+0.092 | 1442 13 3.6 34 73 | HII |
| G61.619+0.356 | 103 7 1.6 43 19 | HII |
| G62.078+0.609 | 173 8 2.0 48 20 | HII |
| G62.272+0.555 | 78 7 1.3 39 17 | HII |
| G62.366–0.956 | 570 13 2.7 36 35 | jet |
| G63.002+0.817 | 294 8 2.5 41 19 | HII |
| G63.169+0.457 | 106 8 1.4 27 123 | HII |
| G63.566+0.277 | 95 8 1.4 31 18 | HII |
| G64.019–0.846 | 113 9 1.4 19 18 | HII |
| G64.131–0.472 | 191 9 2.0 23 62 | HII |
| G64.382–0.757 | 114 8 1.6 25 18 | HII |
| G64.567+0.107 | 163 11 1.6 47 24 | jet |
| G64.854–0.814 | 73 10 0.9 16 21 | jet |
| G65.002–0.675 | 106 9 1.3 21 19 | jet |
| G65.307–0.214 | 327 9 2.5 38 19 | jet |
| G65.321+0.232 | 133 11 1.4 33 22 | jet |
| G65.594+0.911 | 113 9 1.4 33 19 | jet |
| G66.997–1.026 | 84 8 1.2 24 18 | jet |
| G67.051+0.942 | 85 9 1.1 49 18 | jet |
| G67.170+0.127 | 118 9 1.5 31 19 | jet |
Appendix B: Face-on surface density maps of the atomic gas

Fig. B.1. Face-on view of the H I surface mass distribution produced with different kinematic distance determination methods. *Left panel:* kinematic distances were determined with IAU Solar parameters ($R_0 = 8.5$ kpc, $\Theta_0 = 220$ km s$^{-1}$) and Galactic rotation model from Brand & Blitz (1993). *Right panel:* kinematic distances were determined with the Galactic parameters from Reid et al. (2014) with a uniform Galactic rotation curve. The rest of the symbols are the same as Fig. 10.