Influence of bronchoalveolar lavage on thoracic radiography in the horse
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Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and thoracic radiography are routinely performed diagnostic procedures. We hypothesized that BAL increases the interstitial opacity of caudoventral and caudodorsal thoracic radiographs. Fifty-three horses, including 8 clinic owned and 45 from a referral hospital population, were classified as healthy controls (n = 12), severe equine asthma (recurrent airway obstruction, n = 12) or mild-to-moderate equine asthma (inflammatory airway disease, n = 21) based on the results of a clinical scoring system. Eight were excluded due to different diagnoses and poor image quality. Four randomized thoracic radiographs of each horse were scored by two blinded observers, who were also asked to identify the image as obtained before or after a BAL procedure. In severe equine asthma, the chance (adjusted odds) of misinterpretation of the correct imaging time was approximately 5 times higher than in controls (odds ratio [OR] = 5.373, p = 0.028). The chance of misinterpretation was approximately 4 times lower in caudodorsal images than in caudoventral projections (OR = 0.241, p = 0.004). Identification of the correct imaging time was highly correlated with an increase in interstitial opacity (OR = 9.976, p < 0.0001). In conclusion, we recommend performing BAL after thoracic radiography to avoid possible misinterpretation.
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Introduction

Thoracic radiography in adult horses is particularly challenging because of the size of the equine chest. In addition, the muscles of the forelimb overlay the cranial thorax and increase the tissue depth in this area. For thoracic radiography, the thorax can be divided into three overlapping areas: craniodorsal, caudodorsal, cranioventral, and caudoventral [5,6]. For routine diagnostics of chronic respiratory disease, the caudodorsal and caudoventral view are sufficient in most cases, as the caudodorsal projection provides the largest unobstructed view of the lungs and the caudoventral projection allows evaluation of a small triangle of lung bounded by the caudal vena cava, caudal border of the heart, and cranioventral aspect of the diaphragm [18]. Nevertheless, if the diagnostic workup necessitates the exclusion of differentials, such as thoracic masses, the projections cranial to the heart may be beneficial to the clinician.

Indications for thoracic radiography include clinical signs like dyspnea, tachypnea, dysphagia, cough, nasal discharge, or exercise intolerance. Radiography is the diagnostic method of choice for evaluating the pulmonary parenchyma, especially in diseases affecting the deep lung and the mediastinum [12]. While a low correlation between the severity of exercise-induced pulmonary hemorrhage and its radiographic appearance has been reported, significant differences were found in horses with different stages of equine asthma [22,23]. In contrast to this, radiographs have been shown to be of no predictive value in mild-moderate asthma (formerly known as inflammatory airway disease, IAD) [17]. Regardless, radiographic observations must always be interpreted in the light of clinical findings and clinical pathology results.

Lower airway disease is common in adult horses. Dixon et al. [4] reported a greater than 50% prevalence of equine asthma in horses referred for respiratory problems. Nevertheless, other differentials need to be excluded from the diagnostic workup. In addition to a clinical examination, endoscopy, blood gas analysis, pulmonary function testing, bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid cytology, and radiographic examination of the thorax are often performed. In most cases, radiography serves to exclude differentials other than equine asthma and may also help to stage the disease as an increased interstitial pattern, as
well as bronchial radio-opacity and thickening, have been reported to be significantly correlated to disease severity and progression [23]. BAL fluid cytology is considered a reference test for diagnosing equine IAD [2,20]. Collection of BAL fluid can be performed either blindly or by using an endoscope [13,14]. To our knowledge, there are no previous reports on whether BAL, which is performed routinely in the workup of chronic respiratory disease, influences the interpretation of thoracic radiographs and, therefore, whether it should be performed after a radiographic examination.

We hypothesized that BAL may cause an interstitial pattern on thoracic radiographs, which may be misinterpreted as inflammatory or congestive edema formation or fibrosis. As a consequence, a standardized BAL procedure would increase the interstitial opacity in various chronic pneumopathies and healthy controls, which should allow observers to identify, whether the radiograph was taken before or after the BAL procedure.

Materials and Methods

Horses

Fifty-three horses, which had either no history of respiratory disease or were presented to the clinic for examination of the lower airways, were examined for this study. The horses were of mixed breed, age, and gender and had been dewormed on a regular basis. No corticosteroids, anti-histaminics, or bronchodilators were given to any of the horses for a minimum of two weeks before being examined. Horses without a history or clinical finding of respiratory disease were included as controls, and horses with a BAL in their diagnostic workup and that had been diagnosed with severe equine asthma (formerly known as recurrent airway obstruction, RAO) as defined by Robinson [20] or with mild-moderate equine asthma as defined by Couëtil et al. [2] were included as chronic pneumopathies.

The evaluation of horses affected by respiratory disease was not classified as an animal experiment by the State Office of Health and Social Affairs Berlin, and performance of similar evaluations of the control horses was approved (No. L0294/13). The owners gave permission to involve their horses in the study.

Pre-participation examination

All horses were examined by two clinicians experienced in the diagnostics of equine respiratory disease. The horses were assigned to three different groups according to their history of chronic respiratory disease and their respiratory tract clinical examination, arterial blood gas analysis, tracheal secretion endoscopic scoring, and BAL fluid cytological results. These results were incorporated into a validated and internationally accepted scoring system [8,19,20] shown in Table 1. Controls (Group 1) had no history or clinical signs of airway disease, Group 2 horses had severe equine asthma and Group 3 had mild-moderate equine asthma.

Table 1. Clinical scoring system, modified from Ohnesorge et al. [19]

| Parameter                  | Severity                                                                 | Score | Maximum points |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|
| Coughing                   | No cough after manual compression of larynx                              | 0     | 1              |
|                            | From history, spontaneously or induced                                  | 1     |                |
| Dyspnea at rest            | Moderately increased abdominal effort                                    | 1     | 3              |
|                            | Nostril flare                                                             | 3     |                |
|                            | Hypertrophy of abdominal muscles                                         | 3     |                |
| Percussion lung field      | > 1 hand increase                                                        | 1     | 2              |
|                            | > 2 hands increase                                                       | 2     |                |
| Lung auscultation          | Wheezes and crackles                                                     | 2     | 2              |
| Endoscopy                  | Significantly increased secretions with moderate viscosity               | 1     | 2              |
|                            | Highly increased secretions with high viscosity                          | 2     |                |
|                            | Marked thickening of tracheal bifurcation                                | 1     |                |
| BALF cytology              | Neutrophils < 8%                                                          | 0     | 3              |
|                            | Neutrophils 8–15%                                                        | 1     |                |
|                            | Neutrophils 15–25%                                                       | 2     |                |
|                            | Neutrophils > 25%                                                        | 3     |                |
| Blood gas analysis         | PAO₂–PaO₂: 0–7 mmHg                                                      | 0     | 2              |
|                            | PAO₂–PaO₂: 7–14 mmHg                                                    | 1     |                |
|                            | PAO₂–PaO₂: > 14 mmHg                                                    | 2     |                |

The highest score given in each subcategory was used as the maximum number of points for this subcategory; the maximum number of points of each subcategory were summed to determine the total score number. BALF, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid.
Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid cytology

Local anesthesia was performed by the application of 20 mL lidocaine (lidocain 2%; Bela-Pharm, Germany) prior to the procedure. Phosphate buffered saline (500 mL; Lonza, Belgium) at 37°C were infused through a 300 cm balloon catheter (Silicone Bronchoalveolar Lavage Catheter; Smiths Medical, USA) as described elsewhere [20]. The entire amount of re-aspirated fluid was documented and macroscopically evaluated for color, turbidity, and amounts of foam and mucus. For cytological examination, the samples were centrifuged at 250 × g for 10 min; then, a direct smear was taken and stained using the May-Grünwald Giemsa staining method. Five hundred cells of each smear underwent magnification (500× and 1,000×) examination to evaluate the relative percentages of macrophages, lymphocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, and mast cells.

Thoracic radiography

For radiographs of the thorax, a Gierth HF 400 ML generator (Gierth X-Ray International, Germany) and needle imaging plates (35 cm × 43 cm; CR MD4.0 General Cassette; Agfa Healthcare, Belgium) were used with a focus-film distance of 1.5 m. Caudodorsal and caudoventral images were obtained from all horses before and within 30 min after BAL and were developed with a developing device (Curvix 60; Agfa, Germany). Exposure factors were in the range of 102 to 117 kV and 25 to 32 mA for caudodorsal and caudoventral fields as described by Mair and Gibbs [15]. For individual horses, exposures were the same before and after BAL. Additionally, the images were adjusted to achieve the best comparable opacity of the ventral borders of the thoracic vertebrae between different horses before grading the pulmonary structures.

The radiographs were taken, under sedation administered for respiratory endoscopy, at the end of inspiration.

Interpretation of radiographs

Radiographs were scored by two independent clinicians; observer A was a boarded specialist in equine internal medicine and B a board-qualified specialist in large animal diagnostic imaging. The observers were blinded to the four randomized radiographs of each horse, so that horse history, ancillary test results, diagnosis, and timing of the radiographic examination (before or after BAL) were not available. The radiographs were classified as described by Tilley et al. [23]. Single parameters included interstitial opacity, bronchial opacity, tracheal thickening, bronchial thickening, and expansion of the ventral curvature of the lung field, as well, air bronchograms and peribronchial infiltrations were scored from 0 (unremarkable) to 3 (severe findings). Observers had the option to exclude a radiograph from scoring of a single parameter, if, in their opinion, the image was insufficient for evaluation.

Statistical analysis

After describing all variables by using standard statistical procedures, several analyses were performed. Numerical correlation between scores assigned by the two observers was assessed by using Spearman rank correlation coefficients. Score agreement was assessed by using Kendall’s tau-B (agreement measure corrected for ties in score values), and systematic shift in scores was assessed by calculating the difference in scores between the two observers (A – B) and applying a non-parametric one-sample test on the differences (Wilcoxon signed-rank test for difference in medians). The proportion of images considered of poor quality was compared between observers by using cross-classification and Chi-square/Fisher’s exact tests. After describing all parameters using standard measures of central tendency and variability, a multivariable analysis of variance (ANOVA) model was used to compare the median scores given by the two observers between disease, time, and projection categories. A multivariable mixed logistic regression model was used to assess the influence of a predefined set of factors on the chance of misclassification of the projection timing (dependent variable, correct time identified = 0, wrong time identified = 1). The individual horse was included in the model as a random effect to account for the hierarchical level of the data (multiple measurements within each horse). Independent factors assessed in the model were: (a) disease category (3 classes), (b) radiograph projection (caudoventral; caudodorsal), (c) amount of recovered BALF (≥ 200 mL; ≤ 200 mL), and (d) difference in before and after BAL interstitial pattern scores (0, < 0, > 0). All factors were first assessed individually and, then, analyzed together in the multivariable mixed model to obtain adjusted odds ratio (OR) values with 95% confidence intervals. Data were stored in MS Excel 2016 (Microsoft, USA) and analyzed in IBM SPSS (ver. 22; IBM, USA) and STATA (ver. 12; Stata, USA). The alpha level of significance (p) was set to 0.05.

Results

Based on the clinical examination, blood gas analysis, respiratory endoscopy, BAL cytology, and thoracic radiography results, 45 of initially 53 horses were included in the study as either healthy control (n = 12), severe equine asthma (n = 12), or mild-moderate equine asthma (n = 21) horses. Six horses were excluded, as they were diagnosed with different disease. The radiographs of two horses (No. 9 and 26) were excluded from the study due to poor image quality, which made it impossible to use the scoring system for these radiographs. The results of the clinical examinations are summarized in Table 2.

A high correlation was detected between observers A and B, as Spearman correlation and Kendall’s tau (corrected for ties) were above 0.7 for all parameters, although a significant systemic deviation was evident. Data for the inter-observer analysis are
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Table 2. Results of clinical examinations

| Variable                   | Control          | Severe equine asthma | Mild-moderate equine asthma |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Dyspnea                   | 0 ± 0            | 1.61 ± 1.0*          | 0.07 ± 0.27                 |
| Lung auscultation         | 0 ± 0            | 0.35 ± 0.78          | 0.22 ± 0.65                 |
| Endoscopy score           | 0 ± 0            | 1.78 ± 0.43*         | 1.14 ± 0.66*                |
| BALF cytology             | 0 ± 0            | 3 ± 0*               | 1.57 ± 1.02*                |
| BGA score                 | 0.27 ± 0.4       | 0.78 ± 0.73*         | 0.14 ± 0.36                 |
| Overall exam score        | 0.33 ± 0.46      | 8.12 ± 2.17*         | 3.36 ± 1.27*                |

Data are presented as mean ± SD. BALF, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; BGA, arterial blood gas analysis. *Significance at \( p < 0.05 \).

Table 3. Results of the inter-observer analysis

| Parameter                  | Spearman correlation | Kendall’s tau corrected for ties | Score difference* | Wilcoxon test (\( p \)) |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Interstitial opacity       | 0.745                | < 0.001                          | 0.720             | < 0.001                |
| Bronchial opacity         | 0.838                | < 0.001                          | 0.782             | < 0.001                |
| Tracheal thickening       | 0.772                | < 0.001                          | 0.722             | < 0.001                |
| Bronchial thickening      | 0.777                | < 0.001                          | 0.730             | < 0.001                |
| Ventral convexity         | 0.823                | < 0.001                          | 0.792             | < 0.001                |

*Observer A – observer B.

Table 4. Radiographic scores of different parameters

| Variable                  | Category     | Interstitial opacity | Bronchial opacity | Tracheal thickening | Bronchial thickening | Ventral convexity |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Group                      | Controls     | 1.191 ± 0.099        | 1.343 ± 0.140     | 0.750 ± 0.164       | 1.270 ± 0.125       | 0.456 ± 0.096     |
| IAD                        |              | 1.29 ± 0.101         | 1.62 ± 0.150      | 0.690 ± 0.131       | 1.500 ± 0.139       | 0.750 ± 0.120     |
| Projection                 | Caudoventral | 1.392 ± 0.059        | 1.273 ± 0.109     | 0.838 ± 0.077       | 1.175 ± 0.106       | 0.184 ± 0.054     |
| Caudodorsal                |              | 0.943 ± 0.070*       | 1.728 ± 0.099*    | NA                  | 1.673 ± 0.084*      | 1.113 ± 0.076*    |
| Time                       | Before BAL   | 1.152 ± 0.065        | 1.466 ± 0.105     | 0.833 ± 0.102       | 1.377 ± 0.097       | 0.614 ± 0.082     |
|                            | After BAL    | 1.186 ± 0.073        | 1.610 ± 0.108     | 0.842 ± 0.119       | 1.569 ± 0.097       | 0.656 ± 0.085     |

Data are presented as mean ± SD. Data of observers A and B included. RAO, recurrent airway obstruction (severe equine asthma); IAD, inflammatory airway disease (mild-moderate equine asthma). NA, not applicable; BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage. *Multivariable ANOVA result significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

The BAL fluid return volume ranged between 215 and 258 mL with no significant difference between diagnosis groups. Significant differences between caudoventral and caudodorsal projections were found in interstitial and bronchial opacity, as well as in bronchial thickening, while significant differences between groups were only detected in the expansion of the ventral curvature. Radiographic scores and the differences between categories of the three variables (a) disease group, (b) projection, and (c) time are shown in Table 4.

In addition, we assessed the question of which parameters were associated with the observers’ ability to classify the correct radiographic timing (taken before or after BAL) by using a multivariable logistic regression model. Our underlying hypothesis was that the BAL procedure would increase interstitial opacity. The ability of the observers to identify the time of the radiograph correctly was significantly influenced by diagnosis and projection. In radiographs of horses diagnosed with severe equine asthma, the chance (adjusted OR) of misinterpretation of the correct BAL timing was about 5 times higher than in healthy controls (OR = 5.373, \( p = 0.028 \)). No significant differences were detected for mild-moderate equine...
control horse.

caudodorsal projections taken before and after BAL in a healthy
factor of 10 (OR = 9.976, p = 0.004). The amount of re-aspirated fluid did not have
a significant influence (p = 0.205). The ability of observers to
correctly identify whether the radiograph was taken before or
after BAL, was highly correlated with an increase in interstitial
opacity, which increased the chance of correct identification by
a factor of 10 (OR = 9.976, p < 0.0001). Fig. 1 shows two
caudodorsal projections taken before and after BAL in a healthy
control horse.

Discussion

Our hypothesis that BAL increases interstitial opacity in
radiographs of the equine thorax was confirmed by the results of
our study, as this parameter was shown to increase the chance of
correctly determining whether the radiograph was taken before
or after BAL, by a factor of almost 10; however, this effect was
only true for the caudodorsal projection. The influence of BAL
on opacity was shown by the positive effect of projection timing
on the ability of observers to correctly identify the timing of the
radiograph, which was more than 4 times higher in caudodorsal
than caudoventral projections. It must be assumed that blind
placement of the balloon catheter also takes place in the
caudodorsal lung field comparable to the endoscope under
visual control, as several studies have shown no difference in
cytologic results obtained by either technique [20]; moreover, it
seems mechanically improbable that a balloon catheter would
leave the main bronchus to be redirected into a ventral bronchus
displayed on a caudoventral projection.

In the present study, the chance of misinterpretation of the
correct radiograph timing was about 5 times higher in severe
equine asthma horses than in the healthy controls, which may be
explained by the positive correlation coefficient for the
radiographic interstitial pattern in the course of asthma, as
described by Tilley et al. [23]. If the initial interstitial opacity is
higher in severe equine asthma horses than in healthy horses,
the influence of BAL may be harder to see. In contrast, a lower
grade of inflammation, as in mild-moderate equine asthma
horses, did not affect the ability of observers to identify the
timing of the radiograph correctly.

A problem in diagnosing interstitial radiographic patterns is
that as the quality of the image improves as the amount of
perceived interstitial lung pattern decreases [24]. This is
particularly true when using digital systems in which contrast
and brightness can be adjusted individually. Therefore, we
adjusted the obtained images to achieve comparable opacity of
the ventral borders of the thoracic vertebrae among the
observed horses and, then, graded the pulmonary structures.
Nevertheless, using a bony structure as a reference to evaluate
soft tissue is not ideal; an intrathoracic structure would be
preferable but, unfortunately, is not possible in lateral radiographs.
Moreover, in this study, computed tomography and magnetic
resonance images were not available.

In a previous study evaluating the correlation of interstitial
radiographic patterns and exercise-induced pulmonary
hemorrhage in racehorses, interstitial and bronchointerstitial
radiographic results were influenced by various factors, and a
negative correlation between interstitial opacity and perceived
diagnostic quality of the images was reported [24]. Interstitial
fluid accumulation produces a typical radiographic appearance,
as is often seen in patients suffering from acute respiratory
distress syndrome in concert with lung edema. Even in horses
free of respiratory disease, re-aspiration of the full amount of
fluid given during the BAL procedure is unlikely. The fluid
return volume in horses is negatively correlated with BAL,
neutrophil percentage, and severity of respiratory disease and it
is associated with bronchial collapse during re-aspiration of
fluid, a phenomenon reported in human medicine [10]. Asthmatic
patients with moderate to severe airway obstruction usually
have poor BAL fluid return [7,16]; therefore, a higher amount
of BAL fluid is likely to remain in the airways of more severely
affected horses, which has been reported in severe equine
asthma by several authors [3,9]. This fluid retention may affect
radiographic interpretation in such patients, but whether
increased interstitial opacity is caused by the primary disease or
the remaining fluid in the lung has not been elucidated.

Thorax radiography is routinely performed in equine medicine
to diagnose different forms of chronic pneumopathy or to rule
out substantial pathologies like abscesses or fluid accumulation
in the thoracic cavity [21]. Increased diffuse interstitial opacity
and bronchial pattern have been described in severe equine
asthma [1]; the latter being better described in smaller bronchi
than in trachea and main bronchi [11]. Several radiographic
parameters can be shown to correlate significantly with disease
severity [23]. In contrast, radiographs have been shown to have
no predictive value in mild-moderate equine asthma [17].
Overall, no significant differences were found in all parameters examined in our study, neither in severe nor mild-moderate equine asthma horses. Among the severe equine asthma horses, this could be explained by the relatively low mean clinical scores of the horses presented for participation in this study. Our results might have been different if more horses suffering from long-lasting severe equine asthma had been available.

With regard to the inter-observer agreement results, our analysis showed that the evaluation of thoracic radiographs was highly reliable, as shown by the high Spearman and Kendall’s tau correlations, in observers A and B, both of whom were experienced in the interpretation of diagnostic imaging of the equine chest.

The clinical relevance of this study lies in our recommendation to undertake BAL after radiographic examination of the thorax during a diagnostic workup of chronic respiratory disease, as the BAL procedure can affect radiographic interpretation, at least in early respiratory disease; that is, before the occurrence of interstitial fibrosis. As the interpretation of results and the classification of disease severity are usually the last steps in clinical routines and take place after all standard procedures, including BAL and thoracic radiography, have been completed, the severity of disease is unclear when radiographs are obtained. Thus, the possible influence on a diagnosis of undertaking BAL prior to radiography can be avoided.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by a research grant from the Freie Universitaet Berlin. We thank the owners of the horses for allowing their horses to participate in this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Bakos Z. Digital luminescence thoracic radiography in horses with recurrent airway obstruction. Vet Rec 2008, 162, 122-124.
2. Couëtil LL, Hoffman AM, Hodgson J, Buechner-Maxwell V, Viel L, Wood JL, Laviole JP. Inflammatory airway disease of horses. J Vet Intern Med 2007, 21, 356-361.
3. Derksen FJ, Scott JS, Miller DC, Slocombe RF, Robinson NE. Bronchoalveolar lavage in ponies with recurrent airway obstruction (heaves). Am Rev Respir Dis 1985, 132, 1066-1070.
4. Dixon PM, Railton DI, McGorum BC. Equine pulmonary disease: a case control study of 300 referred cases. Part 1: Examination techniques, diagnostic criteria and diagnoses. Equine Vet J 1995, 27, 416-421.
5. Farrow CS. Equine thoracic radiology. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1981, 179, 776-781.
6. Farrow CS. Radiography of the equine thorax: anatomy and technique. Vet Radiol 1981, 22, 62-68.
7. Finley TN, Swenson EW, Curran WS, Huber GL, Ladman AJ. Bronchopulmonary lavage in normal subjects and patients with obstructive lung disease. Ann Intern Med 1967, 66, 651-658.
8. Gehlen H, Oey L, Rohn K, Bilzer T, Stadler P. Pulmonary dysfunction and skeletal muscle changes in horses with RAO. J Vet Intern Med 2008, 22, 1014-1021.
9. Jean D, Vrins A, Beauchamp G, Laviole JP. Evaluation of variations in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid in horses with recurrent airway obstruction. Am J Vet Res 2011, 72, 838-842.
10. Koblinger K, Hecker K, Nicol J, Wasko A, Fernandez N, Léguelle R. Bronchial collapse during bronchoalveolar lavage in horses is an indicator of lung inflammation. Equine Vet J 2014, 46, 50-55.
11. Koch C, Straub R, Ramseyer A, Widmer A, Robinson NE, Gerber V. Endoscopic scoring of the tracheal septum in horses and its clinical relevance for the evaluation of lower airway health in horses. Equine Vet J 2007, 39, 107-112.
12. Lamb CR, O’Callaghan MW. Diagnostic imaging of equine pulmonary disease. Compend Contin Educ Pract Vet 1989, 11, 1110-1119.
13. Léguelle R. Recurrent airway obstruction--heaves. Vet Clin North Am Equine Pract 2003, 19, 63-86.
14. Léguelle R, Laviole JP. Effects of bronchoalveolar lavage procedure on lung function in horses with clinical exacerbation of recurrent airway obstruction. Am J Vet Res 2006, 67, 1929-1933.
15. Mair T, Gibbs C. Thoracic radiography in the horse. In Pract 1990, 12, 8-10.
16. Martin TR, Raghu G, Maundjer RJ, Springmeyer SC. The effects of chronic bronchitis and chronic air-flow obstruction on lung cell populations recovered by bronchoalveolar lavage. Am Rev Respir Dis 1985, 132, 254-260.
17. Mazan MR, Vin R, Hoffman AM. Radiographic scoring lacks predictive value in inflammatory airway disease. Equine Vet J 2005, 37, 541-545.
18. Nykamp SG. The equine thorax. In: Thrall DE (ed.). Textbook of Veterinary Diagnostic Radiology. 6th ed. pp. 632-648, Elsevier Saunders, St. Louis, 2013.
19. Ohnesorge B, Trötschel C, Deegen E. Diagnostic value of capnography in horses with RAO. In: Proceedings of 5th World Equine Veterinary Association (WEVA) Congress; pp. 65-69, 10-14 September, 1997, Padova, Italy.
20. Robinson NE. International workshop on equine chronic airway disease. Michigan State University 16-18 June 2000. Equine Vet J 2001, 33, 5-19.
21. Robinson NE. Pathogenesis and management of airway disease. In: Proceedings of the 43rd American Association of Equine Practitioner (AAEP) Annual Convention; pp. 106-115, 7-10 December 1997, Phoenix, USA.
22. Sweeney CR. Exercise-induced pulmonary hemorrhage. Vet Clin North Am Equine Pract 1991, 7, 93-104.
23. Tilley P, Sales Luis JP, Branco Ferreira M. Correlation and discriminant analysis between clinical, endoscopic, thoracic X-ray and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid cytology scores, for staging horses with recurrent airway obstruction (RAO). Res
Vet Sci 2012, 93, 1006-1014.

24. Wisner ER, O'Brien TR, Lakritz J, Pascoe JR, Wilson DW, Tyler WS. Radiographic and microscopic correlation of diffuse interstitial and bronchointerstitial pulmonary patterns in the caudodorsal lung of adult thoroughbred horses in race training. Equine Vet J 1993, 25, 293-298.