Abstract:- Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) is a term increasingly used in the last decade whose purpose is to provide us the information regarding the fitness of the structure and to understand their behavior properly. Safety is the most important factor which is needed to consider for any types of infrastructure. SHM is the most significant strategy for ensuring it. Bangladesh has lots of highway bridges since it is a riverine country but it is a matter of regret that SHM system has not implemented yet to any bridges properly. The recent cracks found in Bangabandhu Bridge and Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge made the engineers and authorities thinking about SHM system greatly. The main objective of this paper is to design structural health monitoring system for Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge.

Temperature in Bangladesh fluctuates very much and in order to determine thermal stress, ABAQUS finite element software has been used. Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge experienced a great problem regarding local scouring recently and the bridge was closed for one week leading to a great economic loss for the country. So, some threshold values of tiltmeters as warning for local scouring has been calculated finally.
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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Structural health monitoring has been becoming popular day by day in order to monitor civil engineering infrastructures and ensuring safety. Although Bangladesh is a riverine country and bridge plays an important role in national economy, structural health monitoring has not been implemented yet properly for any existing bridges. The present study aims to give some ideas relating temperature and local scour monitoring of Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge. The information of Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge is given below:

a. Location: 30 kilometers south-east from Dhaka, capital of Bangladesh
b. Type of superstructure: Pre-stressed concrete box girder
c. Total Span Length: 930 meters
d. No of span: 13

e. Date of opening: 1st February 1991

1.2 Objective of the present study

The objective of the present study is to monitor temperature variation through the pre-stressed concrete box girder of Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge. The threshold value of tiltmeter placed on top of pier and top of deck in order to monitor local scour monitoring around pier 8 has been studied using the technique implemented in US59 over Guadalupe River Bridge.

1.3 Methodology of the study

For studying the temperature variation, ABAQUS 6.13 was be used for investigating thermal stress. After getting these thermal stress, these values were checked against Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code (CHBDC). It has given the idea whether the induced thermal stress has been reached critical or not. Unfortunately, there is no temperature monitoring data available for Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge. The temperature monitoring data of Bangabandhu Bridge was used since this bridge is similar to Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge and it could be assumed that the temperature fluctuation would be same for these two bridges.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

It has been reported that over 135 bridges in USA partially or totally collapsed during the period of 1989-2000 (Wardhana et al. 2003). In 2001, the Entre-os-Rios bridge of Portugal collapsed resulting death of 59 people due to scour of a bridge masonry pier (Hansfard et al. 2007). It can be understood very clearly the importance of SHM system for bridges. Some of the bridges having SHM system is described below:

2.1 St. Marx Bridge

St. Marx Bridge is located in Vienna which is a Pre-stressed concrete box girder bridge. In the year of 1998, a structural health monitoring system in combination with a video control system have been installed to detect heavy vehicle load which may cause structural damage. Four accelerometers and one temperature sensor has been installed. Sensor details are shown in Table 1.
2.2 I-35W Saint Anthony Falls Bridge

I-35W Saint Anthony Falls Bridge is located in Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA. It is the replacement for the I-35W Mississippi River Bridge which collapsed in August 1, 2007. It is a Pre-stressed concrete box girder bridge having total length of 370.64 m (www.structurae.com). A large variety of sensors such as vibrating wire strain gauges, thermistors, linear potentiometers, accelerometers, concrete corrosion and humidity sensors, and SOFO long gauge fibre optic sensors has been used. The sensors are used in all spans but a denser distribution of sensors are used in southbound bridge (Inaudi et al. 2009). This bridge is considered as one of the smart bridges having SHM system applied so extensively. The types of sensors used in I-35W Saint Anthony Falls Bridge has been shown in Table 2.

Table 1. Sensor Details of St. Marx Bridge

| Types of sensors | Number | Location |
|------------------|--------|----------|
| Accelerometers   | 4 channels at 2 sensors per substructure | At the box girders of the spans 1 and 2 (at 0.6xL from the span beginning) |
| PT100            | 1 at substructure TW5 | At the box girder of the first span (at the beginning) |

Table 2. Types of sensors used in I-35W Saint Anthony Falls Bridge (Inaudi et al. 2009)

| Sensor Type                                | Purpose                      | Addressed risk/uncertainty                     |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Vibrate-wire strain gauges                 | Local static strain          | Concrete shrinkage and creep. Correspondence with FEM |
| local curvature                            | Temperature induced deformations | Temperature induced strain                     |
| Thermistors                                | Temperature gradient         | Temperature induced strain                     |
| Linear Potentiometers                      | Joint movements              | Stuck joints                                   |
| Accelerometers                            | Traffic induced vibrations   | Excessive vibrations                           |
| Modal Frequencies                          | Correspondence with FEM      | Dynamic amplification                          |
| Dynamic damping                            | Stuck joints                 | Anomalous global behavior                      |
| Corrosion Sensors                          | Corrosion resistivity        | Water exchange in concrete deck                |
| Corrosion current                          | Corrosion of concrete deck rebar | Detection of Cracks                           |
| Long-gauge fiber optic sensor              | Average strains              | Correspondence with FEM                        |
| Strain distribution                        | Temperature induced deformations with FEM |
| Average Curvature                          | Deformed Shape               | Loss of pre-stress, creep                      |
| Dynamic, strains, dynamic deformations, mode shapes | Anomalous global behavior |

2.3 Geumdang Bridge of South Korea

Geumdang Bridge is located in Icheon, South Korea consisting of two structural systems, the northern span consists of concrete deck supported by four pre-cast concrete I-beam sections and the southern span is a continuous concrete box girder supported by three concrete piers. A dense network of wireless sensors were installed with high sensitivity PCB Piezotronics 3801 accelerometer (sensitivity is 0.7 V/g) in order to measure vertical acceleration of the bridge (Swartz et al. 2007). The arrangement of the sensors is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. (a) Location of wireless sensors installed along the length of the Geumdang Bridge; (b) External view of the box girder bridge; (c) Cross-section of the box girder showing the location of accelerometer location; (d) Typical wireless sensor-accelerometer installation (Wang et al. 2007)
2.4 Jinghang Canal Bridge (JCB)

Jinghang Canal Bridge is located in China and it is a pre-stressed concrete single cell box girder bridge having main span of 150m. The items such as temperature, strain of reinforcement, deflections are used for long-term monitoring. To minimize the cost of the long-term monitoring system, vibrating strain gauges with built-in temperature couples were embedded in the bridge. The hydrostatic levelling system were used for long-term deflection monitoring and eight cross-sections were used such as sections Z2 and Z3, sections A1 to A4, sections B1 and B2. Each DT were installed on the inner surface of the web of the box girder. It is found that strain increment is insignificant at main span support indicating that concrete shrinkage or creep is not significant in that location. But at mid-span of main span the effect has been found significant. It has been found that the measured time dependent deflections are larger than the design values indicating that deflection at mid-span is one of the main problems of pre-stressed concrete bridge. The sensor layout for the monitoring in construction, completion test and service stages are shown in the Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Sensor layout of Jinghang Canal Bridge (Chen et al. 2014)](image)

2.5 Bangabandhu Bridge

Bangabandhu Bridge is located in Bangladesh which is a pre-stressed concrete box girder bridge. The total length is 4.8 km having main span 100m. In 2014, approximately 147 million USD has been spent to repair cracks on the deck surface. It has been reported that due to temperature variation of upper and bottom part, these cracks had been occurred (Amin et al.2014). To monitor temperature variation of upper and bottom part, temperature sensors had been used to get data periodically. The arrangement is shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Location of sensors to monitor temperature variation in box girder in Bangabandhu Bridge (Amin et al. 2015)](image)
Table 3. Sensors used in different notable pre-stressed concrete box girder bridges of the world (Chen et al. 2014)

| Bridge name                      | Strain | Deflection or rotation | Support displacement | Acceleration or velocity | Corrosion | Crack | Prestress loss | Traffic camera | Temperature | Weather station |
|----------------------------------|--------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Ferriby Road Bridge (UK)         | √      |                        |                      |                          |           |       |                |                |             |                 |
| PF-57 Bridge (France)            |        | √                      |                      |                          | √         |       |                |                |             |                 |
| Leziria Bridge (Portugal)        | √      | √                      | √                    |                          |           |       |                |                |             |                 |
| 401 Southbound Bridge (USA)      | √      | √                      | √                    |                          | √         |       |                |                |             |                 |
| California Pilot Bridge (USA)    | √      |                        |                      |                          | √         |       |                |                |             |                 |
| Utah Pilot Bridge (USA)          | √      | √                      |                      |                          | √         |       |                |                |             |                 |
| Kishwaukee Bridge (USA)          | √      |                        |                      |                          |           |       |                |                |             |                 |
| New 135W Bridge (USA)            | √      | √                      | √                    |                          | √         |       |                |                |             |                 |
| Kamikaze Viaduct (USA)           |        |                        |                      |                          |           |       |                |                |             |                 |
| A curved Bridge (USA)            |        | √                      |                      |                          |           |       |                |                |             |                 |
| West street On-ramp Bridge (USA) |        |                        |                      |                          |           |       |                |                |             |                 |
| North Halawa valley Viaduct (USA)| √      | √                      |                      |                          |           |       |                |                |             |                 |
| Zhaoqing Xiajiang Bridge (China) | √      |                        |                      |                          |           |       |                |                |             |                 |
| Chongqing Shibunpo Bridge (China)| √      | √                      |                      |                          |           |       |                |                |             |                 |
| Xushugou Bridge (China)          | √      | √                      |                      |                          |           |       |                |                |             |                 |
| Jiangin Yangize River Bridge (China) | √  | √                      |                      |                          | √         |       |                |                |             |                 |
| Anwen Bridge (China)             | √      |                        |                      |                          |           |       |                |                |             |                 |
| Monitored items in total          | 10     | 12                     | 4                    | 7                         | 1         | 3     | 2              | 2              | 13          | 1               |

METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

Temperature is a very important factor in case of Bangladesh bridges. Significant cracks were observed in several bridges of Bangladesh i.e. Bangabandhu Bridge (total length 5.63 Km) and Gabkhan bridge (total length 918m) due to temperature variation. Figure 4 shows the temperature variation of Bangabandhu Bridge which is a box girder type at winter and summer.

![Figure 4](image-url)
From the above figure, it is clear to us that a thermal stress reversal occurs at day and night. The intensity of stress reversal is more prominent during summer days when the deck suddenly cools down due to raining creating a temperature gradient about 15ºC. Moreover, hail storm occurs at the starting of summer season in Bangladesh which creates a big problem. During a hail storm, the deck even cools down more rapidly than the bottom part of it creating a worsen condition. It has been reported by Amin et al. (2015) that a hail storm can reduce the ambient temperature by about 12ºC creating a temperature gradient of around 25ºC. These temperature fluctuation can create a thermal stress leading cracks in the deck.

### 3.2 Temperature Monitoring Data

It has been reported earlier that there is no temperature monitoring data available for Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge. So, the temperature monitoring data of Bangabandhu Bridge would be used for thermal stress analysis because Bangabandhu Bridge is located only 120 Kilometers from Dhaka and it could be assumed that temperature fluctuation is same for both regions. Table 4 is showing maximum and minimum temperature distribution along the box girder taken from Figure 4 for Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge.

| CASE  | DATE & TIME                  | TOP SURFACE | BOTTOM SURFACE |
|-------|------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| CASE 1| 14.25 pm 4 April'2015 Summer | 44ºC        | 317 K          | 31ºC          | 304 K          |
| CASE 2| 21.34 pm 4 April'2015 Summer | 19ºC        | 292 K          | 33ºC          | 306 K          |
| CASE 3| 15.10 pm 23 December'2014 Winter | 33ºC         | 306 K          | 21ºC          | 294 K          |
| CASE 4| 7.51 am 24 December'2014 Winter | 13ºC     | 286 K          | 21ºC          | 294 K          |

In order to calculate thermal gradient, the maximum temperature and minimum temperature had been taken at a same time.

### 3.3 Description of the Model

The following information has been used for model:

- a. Span length = 40 m = 40 000 mm
- b. Depth of box girder = 2100 mm
- c. Thickness of slab = 225 mm
- d. Deck width = 9000 mm
- e. Modulus of elasticity = 30 000 MPa

Figure 5 and Figure 6 shows the cross-section and longitudinal section respectively.
3.4 Finite Element Modelling of the Problem

There are different finite element packages available but for thermal analysis, ABAQUS 6.13 software had been used. Solid deformable element and coupled temp - displacement procedure had been used for simulation. In order to reduce analysis time, a coarse mesh had been used. The 3-D mesh and boundary condition of the finite element problem is shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8 respectively.

![Figure 6. Longitudinal section of the model](image)

![Figure 7. 3-D mesh of the finite element model](image)

The boundary condition applied for the model has been shown below:

![Figure 8. Boundary condition for the finite element model](image)
3.5 **Important Informations for Local Scouring**

To find out threshold value for local scour monitoring, the pier 8 would be taken because it has been reported that maximum vibration of the bridge occurred near pier 8 during a periodic monitoring with vibration sensors (Amin et al. 2015). They also found maximum scouring depth of 7 m near pier 8 during periodic monitoring leading the improper function of the Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge.

3.6 **Monitoring Local Scour**

Scouring is an important criterion for structural health monitoring in bridges especially for the bridges of Bangladesh. An investigation has showed that about 7m scouring depth occurred in pier 8 of Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge causing an instable condition in the bridge (Amin et al 2015).

The SHM system applied on US59 over Guadalupe River Bridge in Texas, USA and San Antonio River Bridge, Texas, USA has been taken for monitoring scour depth in Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge. In US59 over Guadalupe River Bridge and San Antonio River Bridge, dual-axis tiltmeter was used on the second pier to measure tilt angle around flow direction axis and traffic direction axis. Two single axis tiltmeter were used on the deck to measure tilt angle around flow direction axis and traffic direction axis near pier 1 and 2 respectively. Two accelerometers were used on top of pier 1 and 2 but due to lots of power consumption, these were removed later.

Based on this, it has been decided to use one dual axis tiltmeter on the deck near pier 8 and another on pier 8 will be used and one accelerometer will be used on pier 8. The dual axis tiltmeter would be able to measure tilt angle around flow direction and traffic direction axis. The equations proposed by Brioud et al. 2011 will be used as reference threshold value to give a check and closure information to the concerned authority of the bridge when excessive scouring is expected to take place.

3.7 **Tiltmeter Threshold Value**

There are four bridge failure modes due to scour i.e. 1) big scour hole, 2) settlement and rotation of the pier, 3) loss of the deck and 4) loss of the pier. According to Texas A&M University, settlement and rotation of pier and big scour hole are two common results of scouring after studying 35 bridge failures.

These loss of pier and loss of deck actually occur due to two mechanisms: settlement of pier and rotation of pier. When the pier settles down too much, then the deck tilts which can be captured by tiltmeter placed on the deck. That’s why a dual gauge tiltmeter is placed on the deck near monitored pier of Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge. The deck loses its support and falls down due to excess settlement of pier. The deck may lose its support also if the pier rotates too much resulting loss of deck and loss of pier shown in the Figure 9 and Figure 10 respectively.
3.7.1 Tiltmeter Placed on Top of Pier

The dual gauge tiltmeter shown in Figure 11 placed on top of pier is capable to measure the rotation angle of pier around two direction of axis: flow direction axis and traffic direction axis. Based on the rotation angle, any possible scouring can be predicted which may cause danger.

![Figure 11. Tiltmeter threshold value calculation placed on top of pier 8 (Brioud et al 2011)](image)

3.7.2 Tiltmeter Placed On Deck

The dual gauge tiltmeter shown in Figure 12 placed on top of pier is capable to measure the rotation angle of pier around two direction of axis: flow direction axis and traffic direction axis. Based on the rotation angle, any possible scouring can be predicted which may cause danger.

![Figure 12. Tiltmeter threshold value calculation placed on deck near pier 8 (Brioud et al 2011)](image)

4 RESULTS

4.1 Thermal Stress from Finite Element Software

4.1.1 CASE 1

In case 1 (Figure 13), the top surface temperature was 317K and bottom surface temperature was 304K. Since the top surface temperature is higher than bottom surface, therefore the top surface would tend to expand subjected to tension and the bottom surface would tend to contract subjected to compression which is found from ABAQUS finite element analysis.

![Figure 13. Thermal stress found from case 1](image)
4.1.2 **CASE 2**

In case 2 (Figure 14), the top surface temperature was 292K and bottom surface temperature was 306K. Since the top surface temperature is lower than bottom surface, so the top surface would tend to contract subjected to compression and the bottom surface would tend to expand subjected to tension which is found from ABAQUS finite element analysis.

![Figure 14. Thermal stress found from case 2](image)

4.1.3 **CASE 3**

In case 3 (Figure 15), the top surface temperature was 306K and bottom surface temperature was 294K. Since the top surface temperature is higher than bottom surface, therefore the top surface would tend to expand subjected to tension and the bottom surface would tend to contract subjected to compression which is found from ABAQUS finite element analysis.

![Figure 15. Thermal Stress found from case 3](image)
4.1.4 CASE 4

In case 4 (Figure 16), the top surface temperature was 286K and bottom surface temperature was 294K. Since the top surface temperature is lower than bottom surface, so the top surface would tend to contract subjected to compression and the bottom surface would tend to expand subjected to tension which is found from ABAQUS finite element analysis.

4.2 Thermal Stress According To CHBDC (Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code)

In Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code (CHBDC), there are some specification for allowable thermal stress. The calculation for case 1 based on CHBDC is discussed below:

In CHBDC, it has been mentioned to increase the maximum temperature by adding additional temperature $\delta T$ where $\delta T = 6.25 \times 2.1 - 2.5 \times 10^3$ K

Here, $h = depth \ of \ girder = 2.1m$, in case of Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge

So, $\delta T = (6.25 \times 2.1) - 2.5 \times 10^{-3} = 10.625K = 10K$

So, maximum temperature at top surface $= 317 + 10 = 327K$

In CHBDC, it has been mentioned to decrease the minimum temperature by subtracting additional temperature $\delta T$, where $\delta T = 1.75 - 4.375 \times 2.1 = -7.4375 = -7K$

So, minimum temperature at bottom surface $= 304 - 7 = 297K$

Hence, thermal stress based on CHBDC $= (30000 \times 12E) \times -6 \times (327 - 297) = 10.8 \ MPa$

In this way, thermal stress had been calculated for other cases and compared with analytical simulation which is shown in the following Table 5.
| Case | CHBDC (MPa) | Analytical Simulation (MPa) |
|------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Case 1 | 10.8        | 4.235                       |
| Case 2 | 11.16       | 4.561                       |
| Case 3 | 10.44       | 3.909                       |
| Case 4 | 9.00        | 2.606                       |

### 4.3 Threshold Value for Local Scour Monitoring

#### 4.3.1 Tiltmeter Placed On Top of the Deck

From Figure 12, it can be said that

\[ \sin \Theta = \Theta = \frac{H}{S} \]

where, \( S = \) span of the bridge = 40 m, \( H = \) settlement of the pier = 0.6 m (Recommended)

\[ \Theta_{\text{check}} = \Theta_{\text{closure}} = \frac{H}{S} \]  
(Formulas proposed by Briaud et al.)

\[ \Theta_{\text{check}} = 0.1976^\circ \]
\[ \Theta_{\text{closure}} = 0.395^\circ \] for Japan Bangladesh Friendship bridge

#### 4.3.2 TILTMETER PLACED ON TOP OF THE PIER

From Figure 11, it can be said that

\[ \sin \Theta = \Theta = \frac{L}{D} \]  
where, \( L = \) Supporting width between deck and pier = 3.2 m, \( D = \) depth of pier = 40 m

\[ \Theta_{\text{check}} = \Theta_{\text{closure}} = \frac{L}{2D} \]  
considering F.S. = 4 and \( \Theta_{\text{closure}} = \frac{L}{2D} \) considering F.S. = 2 (Formulas proposed by Briaud et al.)[8]

\[ \Theta_{\text{check}} = 1.15^\circ \]
\[ \Theta_{\text{closure}} = 2.29^\circ \] for Japan Bangladesh Friendship bridge

### 5 CONCLUSION

#### 5.1 Findings

a. The thermal stress found for case 1 is 4.235 MPa
b. The thermal stress found for case 2 is 4.561 MPa
c. The thermal stress found for case 3 is 3.909 MPa
d. The thermal stress found for case 4 is 2.606 MPa
e. Using the formulas proposed by Briaud et al., it has been found that when the tilt angle is 0.1976°, it is needed to check local scouring near pier 8 and when the tilt angle is 0.395°, it warrants an emergency measures to check the scouring in order to prevent any accidents.
f. In case of tiltmeter placed on top of pier, it has been found that when the tilt angle is 1.15°, it is needed to check local scouring near pier 8 and when the tilt angle is 2.29°, it is needed to take an emergency measures.

#### 5.2 Scope for Future Investigation

a. The pot bearing condition has been used in case of boundary conditions during performing analytical simulation because the bearing system in Japan Bangladesh Friendship Bridge is pot bearing. Other bearing systems can also be studied.

b. Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code (CHBDC) has been used in order to check thermal stress. Other codes can also be checked.
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