Cholinergic and serotonergic modulation of resting state functional brain connectivity in Alzheimer's disease
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ABSTRACT

Disruption of cholinergic and serotonergic neurotransmitter systems is associated with cognitive, emotional and behavioural symptoms of Alzheimer's disease (AD). To investigate the responsiveness of these systems in AD we measured the effects of a single-dose of the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor citalopram and acetylcholinesterase inhibitor galantamine in 12 patients with AD and 12 age-matched controls on functional brain connectivity with resting state functional magnetic resonance imaging. In this randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled crossover study, functional magnetic resonance images were repeatedly obtained before and after dosing, resulting in a dataset of 432 scans. Connectivity maps of ten functional networks were extracted using a dual regression method and drug vs. placebo effects were compared between groups with a multivariate analysis with signals coming from cerebrospinal fluid and white matter as covariates at the subject level, and baseline and heart rate measurements as confound regressors in the higher-level analysis (at \( p < 0.05 \), corrected). A galantamine induced difference between groups was observed for the cerebellar network. Connectivity within the cerebellar network and between this network and the thalamus decreased after galantamine vs. placebo in AD patients, but not in controls. For citalopram, voxelwise network connectivity did not show significant group/treatment interaction effects. However, we found default mode network connectivity with the precuneus and posterior cingulate cortex to be increased in AD patients, which could not be detected within the control group. Further, in contrast to the AD patients, control subjects showed a consistent reduction in mean connectivity with all networks after administration of citalopram. Since AD has previously been characterized by reduced connectivity between the default mode network and the precuneus and posterior cingulate cortex, the effects of citalopram on the default mode network suggest a restoring potential of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in AD. The results of this study also confirm a change in cerebellar connections in AD, which is possibly related to cholinergic decline.

1. Introduction

In Alzheimer's disease (AD), destruction of neural tissue leads to loss of cholinergic nuclei in the basal forebrain and depleted cholinergic innervation towards the cerebral cortex, thalamus and hippocampus (Mesulam and Geula, 1988; Muir, 1997; Schliebs and Arendt, 2011). Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEIs) prevent the breakdown of acetylcholine and are often used as drug treatment to improve the cognitive symptoms of AD (Pepeu and Giovannini, 2009; Soreq and Seidman, 2001). In addition, reduced 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT; serotonin) activity plays a role in the cognitive deterioration (Claesyn et al., 2015; Geldenhuys and Van der Schyf, 2011), as well as in behavioural and mood changes that frequently accompany AD (Meltzer et al., 1998; Owby et al., 2006). The cholinergic and serotonergic systems act in concert with each other with regard to functions like learning and memory (McEntee and Crook, 1991; Richter-Levin and Segal, 1993; Riekkinen et al., 1994), further suggesting the involvement of both systems in AD.
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Single-dose administration of compounds that inhibit or excite synaptic activity can alter brain connectivity during rest, reflecting the responsiveness of neurotransmitter networks and related functions (Khalili-Mahani et al., 2015; Kleinloog et al., 2015; Niesters et al., 2014). This pharmacological ‘challenge’ technique is aimed at discovering the underlying neurobiological mechanisms behind drug action and neurotransmitter-related disease. The approach seems especially relevant for measuring deviant functional processes in AD, which is conceived as a disorder of both large-scale network disconnections (Delbeuck et al., 2003; Seeley et al., 2009) and decrements in neurotransmission.

Cholinergic network responses that have been studied so far substantiate the assumption that acetylcholine is involved in memory, learning and visual perception (Kang et al., 2014; Soreq and Seidman, 2001). A cholinergic challenge caused increased connectivity in healthy young subjects with regions that are implicated in visual processing, memory and attention (Klaassens et al., 2017a). Effects of AChEIs on connectivity in AD patients have only been examined after long-term cholinergic treatment, and show enhanced connectivity of the default mode network (DMN) and the interrelated hippocampus (Blauzil et al., 2016; Goveas et al., 2011; Griffanti et al., 2016; Li et al., 2012; Solé-Padullés et al., 2013; Zaïdel et al., 2012). Despite the likelihood of disrupted serotonin transmission, serotonergic modulation of brain connectivity has not yet been studied in AD. Acute or short-term treatment with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) elicits reduced connectivity of the DMN and several other cortical and subcortical areas in healthy subjects (Klaassens et al., 2015; McCabe and Mishor, 2011; McCabe et al., 2011; Schaefer et al., 2014; Van de Ven et al., 2013; Van Wingen et al., 2014) and patients with a major depressive disorder (Li et al., 2013).

In this randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover study, we used resting state functional magnetic resonance imaging (RS-fMRI) to visualize cholinergic and serotonergic neurotransmitter networks in AD patients and age-matched controls. We hypothesized that single-dose AChEIs and SSRI administration changes the functional integrity of neural networks differently in AD patients compared to controls, and that the altered connections would mostly apply to regions that are susceptible for AD related connectivity change such as the hippocampus, thalamus, precuneus and cingulate cortex (Hafkemeijer et al., 2012; Sheline and Raichle, 2013). The outcomes of this study will provide fundamental knowledge on biochemical pathology in dementia, which might eventually benefit drug development and efficacy in neurodegenerative diseases.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Subjects

We included 12 patients with mild AD and 12 gender- and age-matched controls. The clinical diagnosis of probable AD was established according to the revised criteria of the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRDA) (McKhann et al., 2011). Following these criteria, AD was diagnosed based on the presence of cognitive and behavioural symptoms as determined by objective cognitive assessment and history-taking, that interfere with functioning during work and usual activities, represent a decline from previous levels, are not due to delirium or other psychiatric disorders, and concern two of the five specified domains (memory impairment, executive dysfunction, impaired visuospatial abilities, impaired language functions and changes in personality and behaviour). In addition, symptoms had an insidious onset, worsened over time and initial cognitive deficits included amnestic or non-amnestic decline. Supporting evidence of temporal lobe or parietal cortex atrophy was provided by computed tomography (CT) or structural MRI scans. All AD patients participating in this study were recently diagnosed and had mild to moderate cognitive deficits. To ensure the exclusion of severe AD cases, patients with a Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) score (Folstein et al., 1975) below 18 were not included in the study. Furthermore, they were assessed by a physician (i.e. neurologist, geriatrician) as mentally capable of understanding the implications of study participation. The age-matched subjects who served as controls had an MMSE score between 28 and 30 (see Table 1 for demographics). All subjects underwent a thorough medical screening at the Centre for Human Drug Research (CHDR) to investigate whether they met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. They had a normal history of physical health and were able to refrain from using nicotine and caffeine during study days. Exclusion criteria included positive drug or alcohol screen on study days, regular excessive consumption of alcohol (>4 units/day), caffeine (>6 units/day) or cigarettes (>5 cigarettes/day), use of concomitant medication 2 weeks prior to study participation and involvement in an investigational drug trial 3 months prior to administration. The study was approved by the medical ethics committee of the Leiden University Medical Centre (LUMC). Written informed consent was obtained from each subject prior to study participation.

2.2. Experimental design

This was a single centre, randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled crossover study with citalopram 30 mg and galantamine 8 mg (Klaassens et al., 2018). Each subject received citalopram, galantamine and placebo on 3 different days, with a washout period between study days of at least 7 days. Citalopram has an average time point of maximum concentration (Tmax) of 2–4 h, with a half-life (T½) of 36 h. For galantamine, Tmax = 1–2 h and T½ = 7–8 h. To correct for the different pharmacokinetic (PK) profiles, citalopram 20 mg was administered at T = 0 h, followed by a second dose of 10 mg at T = 1 h (if the first dose was tolerated). Galantamine was given as a single 8 mg dose at T = 2 h. Blinding was maintained by concomitant administration of double-dummy placebo at all three time points. All subjects received an unblinded dose of granisetron 2 mg at T = −0.5 h, to prevent the most common drug-induced adverse effects of nausea and vomiting.

Six RS-fMRI scans were acquired during each study day, two at baseline and four after administering citalopram, galantamine or placebo (at T = 2.5, 3.5, 4.5 and 6 h) (Fig. 1). Each scan was followed by performance of computerized cognitive tasks (taken twice at baseline) on the NeuroCart® test battery, for quantifying pharmacological effects on the CNS (Dumont et al., 2005; Gijsman et al., 2002; Liem-Moolenaar et al., 2011). Our sample size was based on previous studies (Khalili-Mahani et al., 2012, 2015; Kleinloog et al., 2015; Klumpers et al., 2012; Niesters et al., 2012) that showed significant pharmacological effects in repeated measures designs with 12 subjects. By including multiple measurements during the Tmax interval, this repeated measures profile increases the statistical power of the analysis and allows for identification of time related effects, associated with changing serum concentrations. On each study day, nine blood samples were taken during the course of the day to define the PK profile of citalopram, citalopram’s active metabolite desmethylcitalopram and galantamine (Jacobs et al., 2010; Umemaki et al., 2009). Concentrations of cortisol and prolactin, which reflect central neuroendocrine effects of SSRIs and AChEIs (Cozanitis et al., 1986; Sagud et al., 2019), were measured at four time points during the study day.

| Table 1 Demographics of mild AD patients and controls. |
| --- |
| N | 12 | 12 |
| Age (Mean ± SD) | 74.0 ± 5.2 | 73.1 ± 5.2 |
| Age range | 65–81 | 64–79 |
| Male/female | 6/6 | 6/6 |
| MMSE (Mean ± SD) | 22.3 ± 2.5 | 29.3 ± 0.9 |
| MMSE range | 19–28 | 28–30 |
| BMI (kg/m²) range | 22–30 | 22–31 |

Abbreviations: AD = Alzheimer’s disease; SD = standard deviation; MMSE = Mini Mental State Examination; BMI = body mass index.
et al., 2002; von Bardeleben et al., 1989), were investigated as well to indicate neuropharmacological effects in both groups.

### 2.3. Outcome measures

#### 2.3.1. Pharmacokinetics

PK parameters for citalopram, galantamine and citalopram’s active metabolite desmethylcitalopram were calculated using a non-compartmental analysis to validate the choice of time points of pharmacodynamic endpoints (RS-fMRI, NeuroCart®, neuroendocrine measures). Blood samples were collected in 4 mL EDTA plasma tubes at baseline and 1, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4.5 and 6 h post dosing, centrifuged (2000 g for 10 min) and stored at −40°C until analysis with liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

#### 2.3.2. Neuroendocrine variables

Blood samples were obtained to determine cortisol and prolactin concentrations. Serum samples were taken in a 3.5 mL gel tube at baseline (twice) and 1, 2, 2.5, 3.5, 4.5 and 6 h post dosing, centrifuged (2000 g for 10 min) and stored at −40°C until analysis. Serum concentrations were quantitatively determined with electrochemiluminescence immunoassay.

#### 2.3.3. NeuroCart® test battery

Each RS-fMRI scan was followed by functional CNS measures in a separate room using the computerized NeuroCart® test battery measuring alertness, mood and calmness (Visual Analogue Scales (VAS) Bond & Lader), nausea (VAS Nausea), vigilance and visual motor performance (Adaptive Tracking task), reaction time (Simple Reaction Time task), attention, short-term memory, psychomotor speed, task switching and inhibition (Symbol Digit Substitution Test and Stroop task), working memory (N-back task) and memory imprinting and retrieval (Visual Verbal Learning Test) (Bond and Lader, 1974; Borland and Nicholson, 1984; Laeng et al., 2005; Lezak, 2004; Lim et al., 2008; Norris, 1971; Rogers et al., 2004; Stroop, 1935; Wechsler, 1981). The Visual Verbal Learning Test was only performed once during each day (at 3 and 4 h post dosing) as the test itself consists of different trials (imprinting and retrieval). Duration of each series of NeuroCart® brain function tests was approximately 20 min. To minimize learning effects, training for the NeuroCart® tasks occurred during the screening visit within 3 weeks prior to the first study day.

#### 2.3.4. Imaging

Scanning was performed at the LUMC on a Philips 3.0 T Achieva MRI scanner (Philips Medical System, Best, The Netherlands) using a 32-channel head coil. All subjects were asked to close their eyes while staying awake prior to each RS-fMRI session at baseline and after drug or placebo administration on all three study days. T1-weighted anatomical images were only acquired at baseline for registration purposes as described in section 2.4.3.1. To facilitate registration to the anatomical image, each RS-fMRI scan was followed by a high-resolution T2*-weighted echo-planar scan.

RS-fMRI data were obtained with T2*-weighted echo-planar imaging (EPI) with the following scan parameters: 220 whole brain volumes, repetition time (TR) = 2180 ms; echo time (TE) = 30 ms; flip angle = 85°; field-of-view (FOV) = 220 × 220 × 130 mm; in-plane voxel resolution = 3.44 × 3.44 mm, slice thickness = 3.44 mm, including 10% interslice gap; acquisition time 8 min. For 3D T1-weighted MRI the following parameters were used: TR = 9.1 ms; TE = 4.6 ms; flip angle = 8°; FOV = 224 × 177 × 168 mm; in-plane voxel resolution = 1.17 × 1.17 mm; slice thickness = 1.2 mm; acquisition time 5 min. Parameters of high-resolution T2*-weighted EPI scans were set to: TR = 2200 ms; TE = 30 ms; flip angle = 80°; FOV = 220 × 220 × 168 mm; in-plane voxel resolution = 1.96 × 1.96 mm; slice thickness = 2.0 mm; acquisition time 30 s.

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

##### 2.4.1. Pharmacokinetics

Maximum plasma concentrations (Cmax) and time of Cmax (Tmax) were obtained directly from the plasma concentration data. The area under the plasma concentration vs. time curve was calculated from time zero to the time of the last quantifiable measured plasma concentration (AUC0-last). To investigate differences between groups, PK parameters were analysed using a mixed effects model with group as fixed effect (SAS for Windows V9.4; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

##### 2.4.2. Neuroendocrine variables and NeuroCart® test battery

Treatment (drug vs. placebo) x group (AD patients vs. controls) interaction effects on cortisol and prolactin concentrations and Neurocart® measures were investigated using a mixed effects model with treatment, time, group, visit, treatment by time, treatment by group and treatment by group by time as fixed effects, subject, subject by treatment and subject by time as random effects and the average of the period baseline (pre-dose) values as covariate (SAS for Windows V9.4; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The neuroendocrine data and data of the Simple Reaction Time task were not normally distributed and therefore log-transformed before analysis and back transformed after analysis. The data of the Visual Verbal Learning test were analysed using a mixed effects model with treatment, group, visit and treatment by group as fixed effects and subject as random effect.

##### 2.4.3. Imaging

All fMRI analyses were performed using the Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Brain (FMRI) Software Library (FSL, Oxford, United Kingdom) version 5.0.7 (Jenkinson et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2004; Woolrich et al., 2009).

#### 2.4.3.1. Data preprocessing

Each individual functional EPI image was inspected, brain-extracted and corrected for geometrical displacements due to head movement with linear (affine) image registration (Jenkinson et al., 2002; Smith, 2002). Head movement was also inspected by calculating the mean framewise displacement of each RS-fMRI image (see Supplementary Table 1) (Power et al., 2012), which were all below half a voxel’s width. Images were spatially smoothed with a 6 mm full-width half-maximum Gaussian kernel. Registration parameters for non-smoothed data were estimated to transform fMRI scans into standard

---

**Fig. 1. Schematic overview of a study day.** Each subject received citalopram, galantamine and placebo on three different days. At baseline, two RS-fMRI scan were acquired, followed by the NeuroCart® CNS test battery. After drug administration, four more RS-fMRI scans were acquired at time points T = 2.5, 3.5, 4.5 and 6 h post dosing, each time followed by the NeuroCart® test battery. During the day, nine blood samples were taken to measure the concentrations of citalopram, desmethylcitalopram, galantamine, cortisol and prolactin. On each study day there were three moments of administration. The second administration only took place when subjects tolerated the first dose well (did not vomit or feel too nauseous).
space and co-registered with the brain extracted high resolution T2*-weighted EPI scans (with 6 degrees of freedom) and T1-weighted images (using the Boundary-Based-Registration method) (Greve and Fischl, 2009). The T1-weighted scans were non-linearly registered to the MNI 152 standard space (the Montreal Neurological Institute, Montreal, QC, Canada) using FMRIB’s Nonlinear Image Registration Tool. Registration parameters were estimated on non-smoothed data to transform fMRI scans into standard space after Automatic Removal Of Motion Artifacts based on Independent Component Analysis (ICA-AROMA v9.3-beta). ICA-AROMA attempts to identify and remove motion related noise components by investigating its temporal and spatial properties. As recommended, high pass temporal filtering (with a high pass filter of 150 s) was applied after denoising the fMRI data with ICA-AROMA (Prüm et al., 2015a, 2015b).

2.4.3.2. Estimation of network connectivity. RS-fMRI networks were extracted from each individual denoised RS-fMRI dataset (24 subjects x 3 days x 6 scans = 432 datasets) with a dual regression analysis (Beckmann et al., 2009; Filippini et al., 2009) based on 10 predefined standard network templates (Klaassens et al., 2015, 2017a). These standard templates have been identified using a data-driven approach (Smith et al., 2009) and comprise the following networks: three visual networks (consisting of medial, occipital pole, and lateral visual areas), default mode network, cerebellar network, sensorimotor network, auditory network, executive control network and left and right frontoparietal networks. Time series of white matter, measured from the centre of the corpus callosum, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), measured from the centre of the lateral ventricles, were added as confound regressors in this analysis to account for non-neuronal signal fluctuations (Birn, 2012).

With the dual regression method, spatial maps representing voxel-to-network connectivity were estimated for each dataset separately in two stages and used for higher level analysis. First, the weighted network maps were used in a spatial regression into each dataset. This stage generated 12 time series per dataset that describe the average temporal course of signal fluctuations of the 10 networks plus 2 confound regressors (CSF and white matter). Next, these time series were entered in a temporal regression into the same dataset, resulting in a spatial map per network per dataset with regression coefficients referring to the weight of each voxel being associated with the characteristic signal change of a specific network. The higher the value of the coefficient, the stronger the connectivity of this voxel with a given network.

For an overall impression of connectivity alterations during study days, mean z-values of these regression coefficients within networks were calculated for each group and study day separately. By comparing the average of the four post measurements with the average of the two baseline measurements it was semi-quantitatively inspected how the average connectivity within each network changed (increased vs. decreased) during study days. Fisher’s exact test was applied to investigate differences between groups in the number of networks with a specific direction of this global connectivity change.

2.4.3.3. Higher level analysis. Local group × treatment interaction effects of citalopram and galantamine were investigated with non-parametric combination (NPC) as provided by FSL’s Permutation Analysis for Linear Models tool (PALM v99A-alpha) (Pesarin, 1990; Winkler et al., 2014, 2016b) and as previously used to investigate differences in pharmacological effects between young and older adults (Klaassens et al., 2018). NPC is a multivariate method that offers the possibility to combine data of separate, possibly non-independent tests, such as our multiple time points, and investigate the presence of joint effects across time points, in a test that has fewer assumptions and is more powerful than repeated-measurements ANOVA or multivariate ANOVA (MANOVA).

First, tests were performed for each time point using 1000 synchronized permutations, followed by the fit of a generalized Pareto distribution to the tail of the approximation distribution, thus refining the p-values at the tail further than otherwise possible with a small number of permutations (Winkler et al., 2016a). More specifically, to investigate group × treatment interaction effects on voxelwise functional connectivity with each of the 10 functional networks, four two-sample t-tests (AD patients: drug - placebo vs. controls: drug - placebo) were performed for all post-dose time points (T = 2.5, 3.5, 4.5 and 6 h), with average heart rate (beats/m) per RS-fMRI scan as confound regressor (Khalili-Mahani et al., 2013). The average of the two baseline RS-fMRI scans was used as covariate as well, by adding the coefficient spatial map as a voxel-dependent regressor in the model. This will control for the confounding influence of possibly systematic individual differences and group differences at baseline level as recently analysed and described in Klaassens et al. (2017b). The same method was applied for additional investigation of treatment effects (drug vs. placebo) on the DMN within the group of AD patients and within the control group as was previously done for a group of young adults (Klaassens et al., 2017a). To that end, four one-sample t-tests (drug vs. placebo) were performed for all post-dose time points (T = 2.5, 3.5, 4.5 and 6 h), with average heart rate (beats/m) per RS-fMRI scan as confound regressor.

Second, to analyse effects across time, the tests for the four time points were combined non-parametrically via NPC using Fisher’s combining function (Fisher, 1932) and the same set of synchronized permutations as mentioned above. A liberal mask was used to investigate voxels within the MNI template, excluding voxels belonging to CSF. Threshold-free cluster enhancement was applied to the tests at each time point and after the combination, and the resulting voxelwise statistical maps were corrected for the familywise error rate using the distribution of the maximum statistic (Smith and Nichols, 2009; Winkler et al., 2014). Voxels were considered significant at p < 0.05, corrected.

3. Results

3.1. Pharmacokinetics

PK parameters (Tmax, Cmax and AUC0–τ) in AD patients and controls are summarized in Table 2. There were no PK differences between AD patients and controls. Fig. 2 shows the individual and median citalopram and galantamine PK time profiles.

3.2. Neuroendocrine variables and NeuroCart test battery

There were no significant group × treatment interaction effects of citalopram and galantamine on cortisol and prolactin. See Supplementary Fig. 1 for cortisol and prolactin levels in AD patients and controls. For an overview of all NeuroCart results, we refer the reader to Supplementary Table 2. No significant group × treatment interaction effects were observed for citalopram or galantamine.

3.3. Imaging

3.3.1. Global connectivity changes

Calculations of the pre and post treatment average connectivity (mean z-values) per network, group and treatment are summarized in Table 3. Delta scores show that on placebo days connectivity reduced from pre to post measurement for 6 of the 10 networks in patients with AD and for 4 of the 10 networks in controls. Fisher’s exact test did not lead to a significant difference in prevalence in number of networks that showed a decrease in average connectivity (6/10 vs. 4/10).

Table 3 also presents the pre-post changes in global connectivity during treatment days. The diurnal patterns of network alterations after galantamine administration were similar between groups as well. The prevalence in number of networks that showed a decrease in connectivity in controls (3/10) vs. patients with AD (7/10) did not lead to a significant difference.

In contrast to placebo and galantamine study days, group differences
were observed during citalopram occasions. After citalopram administration, reduced connectivity was consistently observed for all 10 networks in controls, but only in 4 out of 10 networks in patients with AD. A prevalence of 10/10 vs. 4/10 networks that showed a decrease in connectivity was tested significant (p < 0.05).

3.3.2. Local differences in drug effects between AD patients and controls

A significant group × treatment interaction effect of galantamine was found for connectivity within the cerebellar network (see Table 4 for specifications and extent of significant effects). In AD patients, galantamine induced a decrease in connectivity of the cerebellar network with the cerebellum, thalamus and brain stem (interaction and main effects of galantamine). In controls, galantamine did not induce connectivity of the cerebellar network with the cerebellum, thalamus and brain stem (interaction and main effects of galantamine). In controls, galantamine did not induce connectivity of the cerebellar network with the cerebellum, thalamus and brain stem (interaction and main effects of galantamine).

We investigated functional network alterations after a serotonergic and cholinergic challenge to gain insight into disruptions of neurotransmitter pathways in AD. Comparing AD patients with age-matched controls, we found a significant group × treatment interaction effect after administration of the AChEI galantamine on cerebellar network connectivity. Galantamine induced a local decrease in cerebellar connectivity in AD patients, but not in controls. The SSRI citalopram did not alter regional connectivity differently between groups. However, after citalopram intake, the observed overall effect of lowered connectivity among all networks in controls was absent in AD. In addition, although there was no local interaction effect, a citalopram intensified DMN-precuneus/PCC connection was only observed in the AD group. To guarantee appropriate comparison between groups, PK properties and neuroendocrine effects of both compounds were investigated as well, and reassuring of equal absorption rates and hormone fluctuations (Seifritz et al., 1996), that might otherwise have led to spurious group × treatment interactions.

4.1. Galantamine effects

This study is the first to investigate single-dose galantamine effects on resting state functional connectivity in AD, providing novel information on acute cholinergic alterations of related neural circuits that might underlie the cognitive improvements during chronic treatment. Acute AChEI administration usually does not lead to cognitive enhancement in healthy subjects or AD (Lanctot et al., 2003; Repantis et al., 2010). Correspondingly, we did not find convincing effects of galantamine on any NeuroCart® task. This might be the consequence of our small sample size, which is an obvious restriction of the study. However, despite this limitation, galantamine did result in a diminished cerebellar network response in AD patients compared to controls, suggesting that RS-fMRI could be a sensitive method for measuring acute pharmacological effects. Most studies in the literature describe enhanced resting state connectivity after AChEI intake in AD patients (Blautzik et al., 2016; Goveas et al., 2011; Griffanti et al., 2016; Li et al., 2012; Solé-Padullés et al., 2013; Zaidel et al., 2012). Contrary to our single-dose administration these studies all pertain to long-term cholinergic treatment. It is possible that neuroplasticity and modulation of cholinergic pathways over a longer period of AChEI treatment result in opposite effects. Most studies in the literature describe enhanced resting state connectivity after AChEI intake in AD patients (Blautzik et al., 2016; Goveas et al., 2011; Griffanti et al., 2016; Li et al., 2012; Solé-Padullés et al., 2013; Zaidel et al., 2012).
### 4.2. Galantamine and the cerebellar network

The reduction of cerebellar-thalamic connectivity in patients with AD was partly due to an increase in cerebellar connectivity after placebo as opposed to a decrease after galantamine. This observation underlines the importance of implementing a placebo-controlled design to investigate drug effects in comparison to diurnal fluctuations that are observed on placebo days and, as is the case for the cerebellar network, might show opposite patterns. Similarly, we found the average cerebellar network connectivity to decrease after placebo and to increase after galantamine administration. The average change in global cerebellar network connectivity during placebo days in the control group also indicates a normotensioning effect of galantamine in AD patients, since the mean connectivity after galantamine in patients (mean \( z = 2.85 \)) equals the mean connectivity after placebo days in controls (mean \( z = 2.87 \)) instead of after placebo in patients with AD (mean \( z = 3.94 \)).

It is increasingly recognized that the cerebellum is involved in cognitive and affective processes that are affected in neurodegenerative diseases (Colloby et al., 2014; Samson and Claassen, 2017; Thomann et al., 2008). Certain parts of the cerebellum have extensive fibre connections with specific cerebral areas (Buckner et al., 2011; Glickstein and Doron, 2008) and previous studies have demonstrated robust structural cerebellar-cortical atrophy connections (Guo et al., 2016) and lower functional connectivity within a network consisting of the basal ganglia and cerebellum (Binnwijdend et al., 2012) in dementia. It has also been suggested that the cerebellum contributes to the DMN, salience and executive control networks, indicating that cortico-cerebellar pathways are involved in executive and salience functioning, episodic memory and self-reflection (Habas et al., 2009), and might therefore play a role in symptoms as seen in AD.

The results of our study might relate to an association between cholinergic pathways and cerebellar connections in AD. Despite a lack of dense cholinergic innervation of the mammalian cerebellum, acetylcholine seems to excite the cerebellum's muscarinic Purkinje cells and mossy fibres that are rich in choline acetyltransferase (Jaarsma et al., 1996, 1997; Kwong et al., 2000; McCance and Phillips, 1968; Mount et al., 1994). The observed depletion of dendritic Purkinje neurons in AD (Mavroudis et al., 2010) possibly accounts for altered cholinergic projections after galantamine as shown in our study, which is also supported by delayed loss of Purkinje cells after AChEI treatment (Mount et al., 1994; Seo et al., 2014). Apart from cortical cholinergic input originating in the nucleus basalis of Meynert, a prominent cholinergic cell group in the brain stem projects towards the thalamus (Heckers et al., 1992; McCance et al., 1968). The thalamus receives input from cerebellar nuclei, which in turn sends signals to all association areas of the cerebrum, including the prefrontal cortex (Palesi et al., 2015). In line with these pathways the observed decreased functional connections between the cerebellum, thalamus and brain stem in our mild AD group might represent diminished cholinergic trajectories in AD, which may be related to neuronal loss (Guo et al., 2016). However, caution is needed in this interpretation, since the exact relation between connectivity change and neurobiological effects has to be determined with more certainty.

### 4.3. Citalopram effects on cognitive functions

Citalopram did not affect any behavioural or cognitive state as measured with the NeuroCart® battery differently between both groups. Again, this might be due to our relatively small sample size. Moreover, and most importantly, SSRIs are known to produce very limited behavioural and cognitive change in wake resting conditions after single-dose administration (Dumont et al., 2005), despite immediate neural effects. We included the NeuroCart® tests as outcome measures to investigate the sensitivity of RS-fMRI to pharmacological challenges as a method to examine the role of neurotransmitter systems in AD and to better understand the neural bases of drug effectiveness. SSRIs are traditionally not used as medication for cognitive symptoms, but have been proposed...
Combining AChEI treatment with an SSRI seems to improve the effect of SSRI administration on these aspects in AD patients are scarce (Schmitt et al., 2006). The included participants, are an integral part of the disease (Leonpacher et al., 2016; Nyth and Gottfries, 1990; Porsteinsson et al., 2014). The included participants, motivated to comply with our intensive study program, were perhaps not representative of patients with AD and additional neuropsychiatric involvement, lowering the chance on a differentiated responsiveness of their serotonergic systems. Potentially, 5-HT hypofunction is also involved in cognitive disturbances of AD, although studies on the effect of SSRI administration on these aspects in AD patients are scarce (Schmitt et al., 2006). Combining AChEI treatment with an SSRI seems to improve global cognitive functioning in AD compared to AChEI treatment alone (Mowla, 2009), indicating a beneficial interaction between cholinergic and serotonergic stimulation, which is in line with observations on the receptor level (Buhot et al., 2000). Although single-dose administration of SSRIs has a limited measurable impact on healthy subjects in resting conditions, it has been well established that SSRIs cause changes in emotional bias in both healthy volunteers (Harmer et al., 2003) and depressed patients (Harmer et al., 2009b). According to this hypothesis, the therapeutic activity of CNS-active drugs is not only dependent on its neuropharmacological mechanism, but also on its interaction with (abnormal) neuronal processes. However, there is much less evidence that similar interactions play a role in other situations, like delayed effects of acute cholinergic treatment on dementia. Studies of the acute effects of a CNS-active drug on network connectivity in unchallenged conditions can provide a basis for a better understanding of its long-term therapeutic effects. At any rate, our findings confirm the limited cognitive effects of single-dose SSRI administration (Dumont et al., 2005; Van Laar et al., 1995). A slight worsening of performance on two subtests of the N-back in the control group was most likely due to chance. It may also be a reflection of a non-linear dose-response, as small immediate memory improvements are most consistently observed in a low (therapeutic) dose range of SSRIs (Dumont et al., 2005).

4.4. Connectivity change after citalopram

We did not find any citalopram induced network differences between patients with AD and controls. However, since single-dose serotonergic stimulation in non-AD subjects mainly shows effects on DMN connectivity, and DMN coherence is most often found to be altered in AD, we examined drug effects on DMN connectivity within each group separately. An increase in DMN-precentral/PCC connectivity after citalopram was found in the AD group, which could not be detected within the control group. We also observed a significant difference between AD patients and controls in the number of networks that showed a decrease vs. increase in connectivity after citalopram. The control group showed a reduction in connectivity after citalopram compared to baseline for all 10 networks, whereas this was only the case for 4 networks in the AD group. It is remarkable that we found this global connectivity to be enhanced after serotonergic stimulation in AD because previous studies almost uniformly show diminished network coherence after SSRI administration in healthy (Klaassens et al., 2015, 2017a; McCabe and Mishor, 2011; McCabe et al., 2011; Schaefer et al., 2014; Van Wingen et al., 2014) and depressed subjects (Li et al., 2013).
Notably, depression is mainly characterized by increased connectivity (Sundermann et al., 2014), which may explain a lowering in connectivity after SSRI intake as antidepressant effect. AD however, is defined by decreased DMN-precuneus/PCC connectivity (Binnewijzend et al., 2012; Damoiseaux et al., 2012; Sheline et al., 2010; Tahmasian et al., 2015). The precuneus and PCC, both part of the DMN, are specifically implicated in symptomatology of AD such as impaired episodic memory retrieval, self-consciousness and visual-spatial imagery (Cavanna and Trimble, 2006; Karas et al., 2007; Rombouts et al., 2005; Sperling et al., 2010; Zhang and Li, 2012) and opposite findings after pharmacological enhancement in this study might indicate beneficial neurochemical effects in AD. Our observations are concordant with the effects of memantine, an N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist, which is used to treat moderate and severe cases of AD. Similar to our results, memantine has been shown to strengthen connectivity of the DMN with the precuneus in AD, which was interpreted as representing regularization of glutamatic levels that, in effect, leads to increased brain metabolic activity (Lorenzi et al., 2011). Although evidence on the efficacy of SSRIs as a new disease-modifying treatment for dementia by arresting the inhibitor trazodone hydrochloride has recently been discovered as a common component associated with cholinergic decline. A challenge for the future is to unravel how the acute response to these compounds develops over a longer treatment period and if this response could be predictive for treatment efficacy in AD.
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