Instability of chromosome number and DNA methylation variation induced by hybridization and amphidiploid formation between *Raphanus sativus* L. and *Brassica alboblabra* Bailey
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Distant hybridization can result genome duplication and allopolyploid formation which may play a significant role in the origin and evolution of many plant species. It is unclear how the two or more divergent genomes coordinate in one nucleus with a single parental cytoplasm within allopolyploids. We used cytological and molecular methods to investigate the genetic and epigenetic instabilities associated with the process of distant hybridization and allopolyploid formation, measuring changes in chromosome number and DNA methylation across multiple generations.

**Results:** F1 plants from intergeneric hybridization between *Raphanus sativus* L. (2n = 18, RR) and *Brassica alboblabra* Bailey (2n = 18, CC) were obtained by hand crosses and subsequent embryo rescue. Random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers were used to identify the F1 hybrid plants. The RAPD data indicated that the hybrids produced specific bands similar to those of parents and new bands that were not present in either parent. Chromosome number variation of somatic cells from allotetraploids in the F2 to F10 generations showed that intensive genetic changes occurred in the early generations of distant hybridization, leading to the formation of mixopolyploids with different chromosome numbers. DNA methylation variation was revealed using MSAP (methylation-sensitive amplification polymorphism), which showed that cytosine methylation patterns changed markedly in the process of hybridization and amphidiploid formation. Differences in cytosine methylation levels demonstrated an epigenetic instability of the allopolyploid of *Raphanobrassica* between the genetically stable and unstable generations.

**Conclusions:** Our results showed that chromosome instability occurred in the early generations of allopolyploidy and then the plants were reverted to largely euploidy in later generations. During this process, DNA methylation changed markedly. These results suggest that, epigenetic mechanisms play an important role in intergeneric distant hybridization, probably by maintaining a genetic balance through the modification of existing genetic materials.

**Background**

Hybridization between distant genera is a driver of genome evolution and new species formation. Distant hybridization generates novel variation by causing genetic recombination [1]. Allopolyploids resulting from genome doubling during hybridization events are widespread, and include 50%-70% of angiosperms (including crops such as wheat, rapeseed, tobacco and cotton) [2]. Even though allopolyploidy is recognized as important to plant evolution [3], the processes by which it occurs are not fully understood. Coevolution and successful coexistence of genomes from diverse sources within one nuclear and only one parental cytoplasm requires coordinated regulation and successful genomic evolution [4-6]. Evidence from rapeseed [7,8], wheat [9-13], and *Arabidopsis*
[14,15] demonstrated that allopolyploids can have rapid and extensive genomic variations, phenotypic changes, and genetic instabilities. All these genetic and epigenetic changes were inheritable; however, they did not obey the Mendelian laws of heredity [16].

Mixoploids are chimeras with different ploidies or different numbers of chromosomes existing in the same plant tissue, and include euploidy (chromosome number of offspring is the summation of two parents), hypoploidy (chromosome number of offspring is less than the summation of two parents) and hypoploidy (chromosome number of offspring is more than the summation of two parents) [17]. Hybrids of *Brassica orychoptagus* exhibit mixoploid traits [18,19].

Epigenetic changes are heritable changes in phenotype or gene expression caused by mechanisms other than changes in the underlying DNA sequence that can be perpetuated for multiple generations [20,21]. Examples of epigenetic changes include gene silencing, DNA methylation, nucleolar dominance, dormant transposon activation, and genome imprinting [22]. DNA methylation changes are detected from many neo-allopolyploids, indicating the possible role of epigenetic mechanisms in DNA methylation. Cytosine methylation changes can be detected using methylation-sensitive amplification polymorphism (MSAP), an AFLP method that uses a pair of isoschizomers, *MspI* and *HpaII* [23] that recognize the same restriction site (CCGG) but have different sensitivity to certain methylation states of cytosines. *HpaII* will not cut if either of the cytosines is fully (double-strand) methylated, whereas, *MspI* will not cut if the external cytosine is fully- or hemi-(single-strand) methylated [24]. Thus, for a given DNA sample, the full methylation of the internal cytosine, or hemi-methylation of the external cytosine at the assayed CCGG sites, can be unequivocally distinguished [23,25] using these two restriction enzymes. However, it should be noted that the methylation percentages calculated by MSAP are lower than the total absolute values at the CCGG sites [23,25,26], since *HpaII* and *MspI* cannot distinguish several other states of the CCGG sites, including unmethylated CCGG, fully methylated on both sites of cytosine (mCmCGG), or hemi-methylated on the internal site of cytosine (CmCGG). Nevertheless, the MSAP technique is reliable and efficient [27,28].

A distant hybrid amphidiploid, *Raphanobrassica* (2n = 2x = 36, RRCC), was synthesized by crossing two diploid species, *Raphanus sativus* L. (2n = 2x = 18, RR) and *Brassica alboglabra* Bailey (2n = 2x = 18, CC). Meanwhile, characterization of its fertility and crossability with *Raphanus sativus* and five *Brassica* species were investigated [29]. In the present paper, we used RAPD analyses to identify the genetic basis of the hybrid recovery by embryo rescue and investigated the genetic instability and epigenetic changes in this allopolyploid. Using the standard cytological chromosome squash method using ovary somatic cells, we surveyed the chromosome numbers from F₄ to F₁₀ of the allopolyploid. We also examined changes in DNA methylation of F₁ hybrids, and the parents as well as F₄ and F₁₀ hybrids on the genome scale using MSAP. We discuss the relevance of these results to the relationship between genetic stability and epigenetic changes.

**Results**

**F₁ hybrid obtained through embryo rescue showed intermediate phenotype of the two parents**

The cross between *R. sativus* cv. HQ-04 and *B. alboglabra* was made with HQ-04 as the female parent. Subsequent denudation and embryo rescue, eight F₁ hybrids were obtained (Figure 1). The leaf morphology of the F₁ hybrids was obviously different from that of the two parental species, indicating that these F₁ plants are hybrids. The leaf margin of the F₁ hybrid was lobed, with less delamination. However, leaves of female parents (*Raphanus*) and male parents (*Brassica*) were divided and indivisus, respectively (Figure 1).

**Identification of F₁ plants with RAPD certified the genetic basis of the hybrids**

Hybrids were identified using eleven 10 bp RAPD primers (sequences provided in Additional file 1) and a total of 58 bands were amplified. Six (10.3%) of the identified bands were common to both parents and 23 bands (39.7%) were only detected in the female parent (*Raphanus*). Twenty-seven bands (46.6%) were only detected in the male parent (*Brassica*). Two bands (3.44%) were found specific to the F₁ hybrid. These results demonstrated that the F₁ hybrids resulted from a hybridization between *R. sativus* and *B. alboglabra*. Figure 2 shows two examples of the RAPD amplification results with primers S301 and S2129, respectively.

**Chromosome number variation of somatic cells from genetically stable F₄ to unstable F₁₀ revealed the mixopolyploidy characteristic of *Raphanobrassica* in early generations**

Cytogenic cell spreads were used to compare chromosome numbers in somatic cells from ovaries to investigate the genetic instability of the artificial polyploidy. The chromosome spreading experiments were performed on six tetraploid plants in the F₄ through F₁₀ generations (Table 1). The F₄ *Raphanobrassica* plants were identified as mixoploid, with chromosome numbers varying from 27 to 38 (Figure 3A-L). In all cells investigated, 50.5% were euploid (2n = 36).

In the F₅ generation, chromosome numbers ranged from 20 to 38, with most cells having 36 or 38 chromosomes.
(27.1% and 20.7% of total cells respectively), with 37, 34, 35, and 32 chromosomes being the next most frequent (Table 1). Chromosome numbers in the F6 generation varied from 30 to 38 and the frequency of cells with 38 chromosomes was 5.3%. The somatic chromosome numbers in F7 and F8 varied from 32 to 38, and 75.5% and 81.2% of the cells were euploid (2n = 36), respectively.

In the F10 generation, 119 (97.5%) cells were euploid with 36 chromosomes (Figure 3M); the three exceptional cells had 35 chromosomes.

**Extensive alteration in DNA cytosine methylation pattern was associated with formation of intergeneric hybrids**

In this study, MSAP was used to detect methylation changes in a site-specific manner. Eight EcoRI and eight HpaII/MspI primer pairs were tested (64 in total, see Additional file 2) and twelve primer combinations were selected, based on clear banding patterns and complete reproducibility between two independent DNA extractions from a single donor plant. The 12 pairs of EcoRI + HpaII/MspI selective primer combinations (Additional

---

*Figure 1 Parents and F1 plants after embryo rescue.* The Erlenmeyer flask contains an F1 seedling 15 d after embryo rescue. Plants show the *Raphanus* and *Brassica* parents as well as an F1 plant 45 d after embryo rescue or sowing.

*Figure 2 Results of RAPD using primers S301 and S2129.* DNA marker (M) and RAPD results from *R. sativus* L. genomic DNA (lane 1 with arrow showing the specific bands of *R. sativus* L); *B. alboglabra* Bailey genomic DNA (lane 2 with arrow showing the specific bands of *B. alboglabra* Bailey); F1 genomic DNA (replicated in lanes 3, 4, and 5 with arrow showing the specific bands of the parents in lane 3).
file 3) amplified by MSAP resulted in 281 clear and reproducible bands for the two parents and F1 hybrids (Figure 4a, Table 2).

DNA methylation sites in F1 hybrids result in patterns observed to be inherited from one or both parent(s) or induced by intergeneric hybridization, including hyper- or hypo-methylation. The MSAP results showed that methylation sites of the parents were transmitted to F1 hybrid mainly in a Mendelian way, about 83.27% (2.49% from both parents, type A; 80.78% from only one parent, type B). Meanwhile, 16.72% were variant bands (7.47% were hypermethylation, type C; 9.25% were hypomethylation, type D).

The small number of methylation sites that were similar to both the parents was probably due to the fact that the methylation states in the parents of different genera were not the same. The bands coming from only one parent, i.e. type B, comprised a much larger percentage. Type C (hypermethylation) patterns were slightly less than type D (hypomethylation), indicating that the level of F1 methylation may be lower than that of the parents.

### Difference in cytosine methylation levels showed epigenetic instability of allopolyploid of Raphanobrassica between genetically stable and unstable generations

From the cytogenetic analysis above, we concluded that the genetic instability grew from F4 to F10, with most somatic cells having 36 chromosomes. The F4 generation was typically unstable while the F10 generation was more stable. Since epigenetic mechanisms may play an important role in the correlation of nuclear-cytoplasm system in allopolyploids, we examined DNA methylation changes between F4 and F10.

With 12 pairs of EcoRI+HpaII/MspI selective primer combinations (Additional file 3), 414 and 432 clear and reproducible bands for the two generations were amplified by MSAP (Table 3).

Between the F4 and F10 generations, the total methylation levels (calculated by adding up the various patterns) increased from 29.17% to 31.64%. This includes 9.03% (F4) and 11.59% (F10) hemi-methylation of external C, 20.14% (F4) and 20.05% (F10) full-methylation of the internal C (Figure 4b, c, Table 3).

Based on the MSAP patterns, various bands representing non-methylation, hemi-methylation of external C, full methylation of internal C, and full methylation of external C or both Cs (see Introduction for the rationale of band scoring) were tabulated (Table 4). Fourteen types of amplified bands were obtained after comparing DNA methylation states of F4 and F10 (Table 4). These bands were divided into two groups: group I showed monomorphic sites, which had no difference in F4 and F10, while group II showed polymorphic sites, which had a difference between the two generations. There were two types of bands in group I: IA was full-methylation of the internal C (HpaII do not cut/MspI cut, H/M = -/+), and IB was hemi-methylation of the external C (HpaII cut/MspI do not cut, H/M = +/-). The total number of these bands was 102 (Table 4), indicating that 58.29% (102/175) of DNA sequences had no changes in methylation between the F4 and F10 generations. These results indicated that most methylation types could be stably transmitted from one generation to the next. Group II was divided into 12 types, suggesting that there were some DNA methylation changes between the two generations (Table 4). Some bands were only detected in F4, while others were only detected in F10 (Figure 4b, c). A total of 71 bands like these could be divided into 5 sections (Table 4, IIA, IIB, IIC, IID, IIE). Among these, IIA and IIB were the most

| Gen. Plant No. | 38a | 37 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | <27 | total |
|---------------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|-------|
| F4 6 | 26b | 10 | 113 | 19 | 37 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 224 |
| F5 27 | 11.6 | 4.5 | 50.5 | 8.5 | 16.5 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100 |
| F6 27 | 229 | 151 | 300 | 95 | 128 | 32 | 67 | 7 | 18 | 7 | 20 | 7 | 48 | 1109 |
| F7 32 | 20.7 | 13.6 | 27.1 | 8.6 | 11.5 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 100 |
| F8 30 | 46 | 120 | 365 | 235 | 82 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 872 |
| F9 29 | 5.3 | 13.8 | 41.9 | 27 | 9.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| F10 22 | 100 | 105 | 1371 | 124 | 102 | 27 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2081 |
| 38a | 10 | 113 | 19 | 37 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 224 |
| Gen. Plant No. | 37 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | <27 | total |
| 38a | 4.5 | 50.5 | 8.5 | 16.5 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100 |
| 37 | 229 | 151 | 300 | 95 | 128 | 32 | 67 | 7 | 18 | 7 | 20 | 7 | 48 | 1109 |
| 36 | 20.7 | 13.6 | 27.1 | 8.6 | 11.5 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 100 |
| 35 | 46 | 120 | 365 | 235 | 82 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 872 |
| 34 | 5.3 | 13.8 | 41.9 | 27 | 9.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 33 | 100 | 105 | 1371 | 124 | 102 | 27 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2081 |
| 32 | 48 | 5 | 75.5 | 6 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 31 | 67 | 111 | 1846 | 96 | 148 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2274 |
| 30 | 29 | 4.9 | 81.2 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 97.5 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |

Cyto genetic cell spreading results of somatic cells from ovaries with different chromosome numbers. a) somatic chromosome number; b) cell number; c) frequency (%).
abundant, with 27 and 18 bands, respectively. Section IIA showed no bands in both or one of HpaII/MspI digested lanes in F4, while it had bands in F10. These patterns (IIA-1, - - + +, IIA-2, - + + +, IIA-3, + - + +) indicated full hypomethylation in F10, present for 15.43% of the total. In contrast, Section IIB had bands in both or one of the HpaII/MspI digested lanes in the F4, and no bands were produced in F10. These patterns (IIB-1, + + - -, IIB-2, + - - -, IIB-3, - + - -) indicated a full methylation of external C or both Cs in the F10, present in 10.29% of the total. Sections C, D, and E showed hypo- and hypermethylation states in F10 compared to the F4, that had 6.29%, 5.71%, and 4.00%, respectively.

Discussion

Distant hybridization occurs under both natural and artificial conditions. After genome duplication, allopolyploids can be obtained, and these polyploids play a significant role in the origin and evolution of many plant species. In crop improvement, allopolyploids are also valuable as bridge materials for breeding. During the course of hybridization, it is difficult to get F1 plants due to sterility. The F1 hybrid could be the real hybrid containing whole or partial genetic material from both of the parents; the false hybrid resulting from female parthenogenesis or self-crossing; or an introgression where most of the male parent DNA was digested by the female nuclease and a few segments combined with the genome of the female parent.

Allopolyploidy has been intensively studied in naturally evolved allopolyploids of wheat, cotton, rapeseed, Arabidopsis, and tomato. Genetic changes are best evaluated by comparing the parental lines and their progeny; however, it is difficult to ascertain the parents in naturally evolved allopolyploids since genetic changes have occurred in subsequent generations.
Artificial allopolyploids have defined parents and genetic lineages, making them suitable for elucidating changes that occur during the formation of allopolyploids.

The hybridization between *Raphanus* and *Brassica* was first reported by Sageret in 1862 [30]. About 100 years later, Karpechenko identified an F1 hybrid between *Raphanus* and *Brassica* [31,32]. To transfer the nucleic genes of *Brassica tournefortii* (TT) into *B. carinata* (BBCC), Mukhopadhyay et al. produced a bridge species (TCBB) by protoplast fusion between the F1 hybrid (TC) of *B. tournefortii* × *B. oleracea* and *B. nigra* (BB) and RAPD primers were used to show that all the hybrids had specific bands from the genomes of the parents. These results indicated that the T, B, and C genomes may coexist in a hybrid state. RFLP molecular markers confirmed that these hybrids contained chloroplast and mitochondrion genomes of *Brassica tournefortii* and *B. nigra* [33]. Chrungu et al. (1999) synthesized allotetraploids of *B. maurorum*-*B. napus*, *B. maurorum*-*B. carinata*, and *B. maurorum*-*B. nigra* through interspecific hybridization and genomic doubling and proved the reality of the hybrids through RAPD and RFLP [34]. In the present study, we synthesized F1 hybrids through sexual hybridization followed by embryo rescue and identified its origin with RAPD. The results showed that F1 hybrids lost some of the specific or common bands of the parents. The probable reason was that hybridization resulted in a genomic recombination and changed the primer binding sites of some segments, leading to the appearance or disappearance of some DNA bands.

Our results showed that, DNA methylation patterns differed substantially between the parents and F1 hybrids, indicating its possible key role in transgenerational stability. During the process of hybrid formation, DNA methylation patterns may adjust to some extent to coordinate the interactions among nuclear genes or those between nuclear genes and cytoplasmic genes. Both hypomethylation and hypermethylation events may affect gene expression patterns. In our work, hypomethylation was a more frequent than hypermethylation.

Polyploidy has played a fundamental role in the evolution of higher plants. Plant breeders have been routinely producing allopolyploids with interesting agronomic
traits to be used for breeding programs. However, the poor genetic stability of allopolyploids in early generations is a challenge for plant breeding programs.

Intergeneric hybridization between a long genetic distance may cause mixoploidy, leading to genetic instability. Hybrids between *Orychophragmus violaceus* (2n = 24) and cultivated *Brassica* species, including tetraploids (B. carinata and B. juncea) and diploids (B. campestris and B. nigra), led to mixoploids [18,19]. For example, the hybrid with B. campestris (2n = 20, AA) was mixoploid (2n = 23-42), and cells with 2n = 34 were most frequent. Partial separation of parental genomes during mitosis, leading to the addition of *O. violaceus* chromosomes to the *B. campestris* complement, was proposed to explain the findings in the mitotic and meiotic cells of the hybrid and its progeny. In crosses with B. nigra (2n = 16, BB), a small fraction consisted of mixoploids (2n = 16-18), predominantly with 2n = 16 cells, and three plants, each with a specific morphology, were mixoploids consisting of cells with varying ranges of chromosome numbers (2n = 17-26, 11-17 and 14-17). The origin of these different types of plants was inferred to be the result of complete and partial separation of DNA methylation patterns in F1 hybrid and parents.

**Table 2** Methylation pattern in F1 hybrid and parents

| type | male Hpa | female Hpa | F1 Hpa | band percentage |
|------|----------|------------|--------|-----------------|
| A    | 0 1 0 1 0 | 1 1 0 1 1 | 1 1 0 1 0 | 2 49% |
| B    | 1 1 1 1 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 0 | 1 25 |
| C    | 1 1 1 1 0 | 1 1 1 1 0 | 1 1 1 1 0 | 1 4 |
| D    | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 4 |
| total| 281 |

DNA methylation patterns in F1 hybrid and parents. “0” stands for the absence of a band, and “1” stands for the presence of a band.

**Table 3** Levels of cytosine methylation at the CCGG sites of F4 and F10 of allopolyploid of Raphanobrassica

| Generations | Total sites | Non-methylated sites (number and frequency) | Methylated sites (number and frequency) |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|             |             | Methylation sites of the external Cs        | total hemi-methylation of the external Cs | full-methylation of the external Cs |
| F4          | 432         | 306 (70.83%)                                | 126 (29.17%)                           | 87 (20.14%)                         | 39 (9.03%) |
| F10         | 414         | 283 (68.36%)                                | 131 (31.64%)                           | 83 (20.05%)                         | 48 (11.59%) |

Methylated and non-methylated sites (number and frequency in the F4 and F10 generations) were calculated according to the clear and reproducible bands of MSAP.

Intergeneric hybridization between a long genetic distance may cause mixoploidy, leading to genetic instability. Hybrids between *Orychophragmus violaceus* (2n = 24) and cultivated *Brassica* species, including tetraploids (B. carinata and B. juncea) and diploids (B. campestris and B. nigra), led to mixoploids [18,19]. For example, the hybrid with B. campestris (2n = 20, AA) was mixoploid (2n = 23-42), and cells with 2n = 34 were most frequent. Partial separation of parental genomes during mitosis, leading to the addition of *O. violaceus* chromosomes to the *B. campestris* complement, was proposed to explain the findings in the mitotic and meiotic cells of the hybrid and its progeny. In crosses with B. nigra (2n = 16, BB), a small fraction consisted of mixoploids (2n = 16-18), predominantly with 2n = 16 cells, and three plants, each with a specific morphology, were mixoploids consisting of cells with varying ranges of chromosome numbers (2n = 17-26, 11-17 and 14-17). The origin of these different types of plants was inferred to be the result of complete and partial separation of DNA methylation number of sites and ratio of different patterns. “+” stands for the absence of a band, and “-” stands for the presence of a band. H and M are the combinations of enzymes of EcoRI/HpaII and EcoRI/MspI, respectively.
parental genomes and the loss of *O. violaceus* chromosomes.

In the present study, our intergeneric hybrid of *R. sativus* L. and *B. alboglabra* Bailey was a mixoploid (2n = 23-42) in the early generations (F4-F8). After several generations, mixoploids gradually turned to euploids through formation of neo-chromosomes or chromosome elimination. The possible cytological mechanisms pertaining to these hybrid generations and the genetic motifs from unstable to stable generations are unknown.

We suggest that the stability of the F10 generation is a result of epigenesist. Epigenetic changes were mainly materialized by covalent modifications of DNA methylation and protein modifications (methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation). In plants, newly acquired epigenetic states of transcriptional gene activity can be readily transmitted to the progeny through meiosis. Epigenetic reconfiguration after hybridization between diploid members of the same species may be an important mechanism for reconciling two non-identical genomes in the same nucleus as allopolyploid formation occurs [5,35,36].

Our results show that methylation patterns and methylation states changed in the intergeneric hybrid both in the process of F1 hybrid formation and through the formation of generations of genetically unstable and stable progenies. DNA methylation levels of F4 and F10 (29.17% and 31.64%) did not show a great difference, indicating the similar status of DNA methylation between stable and unstable generations. These levels were similar to that of *Arabidopsis* seedlings (35%-43%) [37], but these were much higher than that of rice leaves (16.30%) [38]. These differences in DNA methylation among different species may be caused by detection methods (primer numbers, amplification conditions, time of electrophoresis, and staining methods), material differences (seed, seedling, mature leaves), or genetic control. For DNA methylation patterns, hemi-methylation levels of the external cytosine at CCGG sites of F4 and F10 are 20.14% and 20.05%, respectively, while full methylation of the internal cytosine at CCGG sites of F4 and F10 were 9.03% and 11.59%, respectively. These results showed that hemi-methylation was the main DNA methylation pattern in both F4 and F10 generations. The full-methylation level of the F10 was higher than that of the F4, indicating that methylation changes from an unstable to a stable generation happened mainly on full-methylation sites. The relationship between the changes in genetics and epigenetics remains elusive. We suggest that the inheritance of allopolyploids seems to be governed by multiple mechanisms, including both genetic and epigenetic mechanisms. The contribution of these different mechanisms to inheritance is largely unknown, as many of these interact with each other.

**Conclusions**

Wide hybridization followed by genome doubling is a prominent model of speciation in higher plants. Nevertheless, little is known regarding the early events of coordination required to ensure compatibility for the coexistence of two or more divergent genomes derived from different species in a single nucleus with one parental cytoplasm. Here we presented evidence of genetic and epigenetic variation resulting from hybrid formation. Although a deeper insight into these changes is still needed, epigenetic, especially DNA methylation patterns and levels, is undoubtedly one of the important factors in controlling allopolyploid formation and development. One can expect that the multiple, comparative analyses of biological events during the formation of hybrids will ultimately facilitate an inference of the rules and principles that control the fate of duplicated genes and will lead to an enhanced appreciation of the effects of distant hybridization on the formation and the natural evolution of new species.

**Methods**

**Plant materials**

Selfed generations of *Raphanus sativus* cv. HQ-04 (a vegetable radish landrace in Wuhan) and *Brassica alboglabra* were used to synthesize amphidiploid *Raphanobrassica*. The seeds were preserved at the National Rapeseed Engineering Center, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China.

**Field hybridization**

Planting was carried out in the field of the National Rapeseed Engineering Center, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China. The cross between *R. sativus* cv. HQ-04 and *B. alboglabra* was made with HQ-04 as the female parent. For production of F1 hybrid, the female parents were denudated buds, castrated, and bagged. Male parents were also bagged 1 d before flowering. After flowering, pollinations were performed, followed by bagging and labelling with tags to record date and hybrid combination.

After embryo rescue (see below), F1 plants were treated with 0.3% aqueous colchicine to double the chromosome number. After two generations of self-pollination, six partially fertile putative amphidiploid plants (2n = 36) with intermediate morphological characters were selected from 41 F4 plants. Seeds harvested from these plants after self-pollination were planted and 385 F3 plants were generated. They were permitted to pollinate
each other within a mosquito nylon net. Six F₂ plants with higher fertility were selected. Using similar procedures, selections were done until the F₁₀ generation.

Embryo rescue
At 5, 9, and 13 d after fertilization, ovaries were cut, surface-sterilized with 75% alcohol for 0.5 min, immersed in 0.1% mercuric chloride for 12 min, and washed with distilled water three times. Inoculation was done using the medium MS+0.2 mg/L 6-BA, 25-27°C, and daylight of 16 h with 800 1ux. After 20 d, young embryos were separated and transferred to MS medium until the seedlings grew.

Genomic DNA isolation and RAPD
Seeds of R. sativus, B. alboglabra, F₄ and F₁₀ were planted in a Sanyo growth cabinet (Osaka, Japan) after surface sterilization with 75% alcohol as described above. Genomic DNA was isolated from expanded leaves in parental, F₁, and subsequent generations of plants using a modified CTAB method [39] and a phenol purification. Quality and quantity of DNA were inspected by both gel electrophoresis and spectrometric assays. One hundred random primers with 10 bp were selected for PCR amplification of genomic DNA from the parents and F₁ hybrid. The thermal cycles started with 94°C for 5 min; then 40 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 37°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min, ending with 72°C for 7 min. The amplification products were separated on 1.5% agarose gel with 0.5 μg/ml ethidium bromide in 0.5×TBE buffer at 100 V for 3.5-4 h and photographed under ultraviolet light.

Cytological analysis
Ovaries collected from each plant were used for cytological study following the procedure of Li et al. [18] with modifications. Briefly, materials were dissected under a stereomicroscope and treated with 0.002 mol/L 8-hydroxyquinoline for 3 h in total darkness at room temperature, followed by fixative Carnoy’s fixation solution (ethanol: acetic acid = 3: 1, v/v) for at least 1 h at room temperature. The materials were macerated in 1 mol/L hydrochloric acid at 60°C for 10 min. After washing three-five times to eliminate residual hydrochloric acid and staining with carbol fuschin for 1 min, the materials were squashed for observation in 45% acetic acid. More than 30 chromosome micrographs were observed under an Olympus BX61 microscope and recorded with spot pursuit slider digital camera (USA). A total of 224 somatic cells were observed from ovaries of six plants in F₄ generation and chromosomes of 1,109 somatic cells from ovaries of 27 plants in the F₄ were investigated. Chromosomes of 872 somatic cells from ovaries of 27 plants in F₆ and 122 cells from ovaries in 22 plants of the F₁₀ were observed.

MSAP analysis
MSAP, a technique based on AFLP, was used to detect methylation changes on specific sites [22]. A pair of isoschizomers, HpaII/MspI, was used instead of Msel in AFLP. HpaII and MspI form a pair of isoschizomers that recognize the same restriction site (5’-CCGG) but have different sensitivity to methylation of the cytosines. The MSAP protocol used in this study was essentially as reported previously [23]. The restriction enzymes EcoRI, HpaII, and MspI were purchased from the New England Biolabs Inc. (Beverly, Mass.). In brief, one pair of pre-selective primers and 16 pairs of selective primers were used for amplifications. A silver-stained sequencing gel was used to resolve and visualize the amplification products. Only clear and completely reproducible bands that appeared in two independent PCR amplifications (starting from the digestion-ligation step, i.e., the first step of MSAP) were scored. The scored MSAP bands represent three major cytosine methylation states: (1) hemi-methylation of the external C, which are bands present in HpaII but absent from the corresponding MspI-digest, i.e., pattern H/M = +/-; (2) full methylation of the internal C, which are bands absent from HpaII but present in the corresponding MspI-digest, i.e., pattern H/M = -/-; and; (3) full methylation of the external C or both Cs, which are bands absent from both HpaII- and MspI-digest but present in the alternative tissue of the same genotype, i.e., pattern H/M = +/- in tissue1 versus H/M = ++/+ in tissue2, and vice versa.
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