The Effect of Social Media/Smartphone Addiction and Sleep Quality on Academic Success in Medical Faculty Students

Tip Fakültesi Öğrencilerinde Sosyal Medya/Akıllı Telefon Bağımlılığı ve Uyku Kalitesinin Akademik Başarı üzerine Etkisi

Amaç: Çalışmamızın amacı klinik öncesi tip eğitimi alan öğrencilerde akıllı telefon / sosyal medya kullanımı ve uyku ile ilgili değişkenlerin akademik başarı üzerine etkisini değerlendirmektir.

Gereçler ve Yöntem: Çalışmamızda aynı kürduma klinik öncesi tip eğitimi alan 226 öğrenci dahil edilmiştir. Tüm katılımcılar Pittsburg Uyku Kalitesi İndeksi (PUKI), Sosyal Medya Bağımlılığı Ölçeği - Yetişkin Formu (SMBO-YF), Akıllı-telefon Bağımlılığı Ölçeği (ATBO) doldurmuştur.

Bulgular: Akıllı telefon bağımlılığı risk durumuna göre bağımlılık riski düşük olan grupun ortalaması 71.8±8 iken, bağımlılık riski orta olan grupun 68.4±6.77 ve yüksek olan grupun ise 67.4±9.75 idi. Akıllı telefon bağımlılığı açısından düşük riskli grubun ortalamasının yüksek riskli grupunun ortalamasından anlamlı bir şekilde yüksek idi. Akıllı telefon bağımlılığı açısından düşük riskli grubun sosyal medya bağımlılığı toplam skorları orta ve düşük riskli grupta anlamlı bir şekilde yüksekti. Olguşturan multivariat Hiyerarşik Regresyon Analizi modelinde cinsiyet, uyku etkinliği, ATBO ve SMBO-YF skorlarının not ortalamaları üzerinde etkili olduğu desteklenmiştir. Bilinci sosyal medya ve akıllı telefon kullanımları ilgili eğitimlerin uygulanması ve uyku alışkanlıklarının korunması tıp eğitiminde daha donanımlı hekimlerin yetiştirilebilmesine katkı sağlayabileceği potansiyele sahiptir.
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Abstract

Aim: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of smartphone / social media use and sleep related variables on academic achievement in pre-clinical medical students.

Materials and Methods: 226 students receiving pre-clinical medical education at the same institution were included in the study. All participants completed the Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), Social Media Addiction Scale - Adult Form (SMAS-AF), Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS). The participants were categorized as low / medium / high risk according to the scores of smartphone addiction scale.

Results: According to the risk of smartphone addiction, the mean score of Average Acamedic Marks (AAM) of the group with low dependency risk was 71.8 ± 8, the mean score of AAM of the group with the middle risk dependency risk was 68.4 ± 9.77, and the mean score of AAM of the group with the high dependency risk was 67.4 ± 9.75. The mean scores of AAM of the low-risk group in terms of smartphone addiction were significantly higher than the high-risk group. Social media addiction total scores of the high risk group in terms of smartphone addiction were significantly higher than the medium and low risk group. A multivariate hierarchical regression analysis model suggested that gender, sleep efficiency, SAS and SMASAF scores affected AAM.

Conclusion: Use of social media/smartphone by medical students can affect sleep habits and academic success during the period of pre-clinical education. Inclusion of training on conscious use of social media and smartphone emphasizing the importance of sleep habits in medical education have the potential to contribute to the development of better-equipped physicians.
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INTRODUCTION

Medical school education has a very broad curriculum even when solely the content of theoretical knowledge is evaluated. Therefore, due to the high stress factor in medical education, students have increased symptoms of depression and anxiety compared to their peers in the general population (1, 2) and students in other faculties (3, 4). In addition to psychiatric disorders such as depression, anxiety and sleep disorders, there are many physical, social, environmental, financial and other factors that can affect academic success in students.

With the development of technology in the past 20 years, internet, smartphone and social media usage have become an important part of daily life. The widespread use of smartphones with the ease of providing internet access at any time in many areas such as business, education, entertainment, communication, and trade has brought along concerns about the potential for problematic use and addiction in individuals (5). It has been found that individuals experience separation anxiety (6) and show symptoms similar to withdrawal symptoms (7) when they stay away from these technological tools. The American Psychiatric Association (APA) included substance use-related behavioral addictions in its diagnostic system in 2013 for the first time and identified Gambling Disorder as a behavioral addiction. However, it was emphasized that Internet Game Playing Disorder should be investigated for its potential as a behavioral addiction (5,8). Recent studies indicate that smartphone use and social media addiction are on the rise and cause many negative effects, both physically and spiritually (5, 9-16). In the literature, the role of factors such as poor sleep quality (17), excessive stress (18), social media addiction (19), internet addiction (20) and smartphone addiction (21) on academic achievement and academic success of students have been widely investigated. However, the findings cannot be replicated in every study, and contradictory findings have been reported.

Our aims in this study were the following: i. to investigate the use of smartphone and social media among medical school students, ii. an in-depth evaluation of the effects of smartphone use on academic success, and iii. to evaluate the factors related to addiction and sleep that can affect academic success in a holistic way. We think that the findings of our study can contribute to the improvement of academic success by determining factors that can negatively affect the education process in medical school students as well as other students of a similar age.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

The study sample was composed of 226 medical students. Thirteen participants were excluded from the study as they had a psychiatric disease or used psychotropic drugs. One-hundred and thirty six participants (63.8%) were female, and seventy seven (36.2%) were male. The age of the participants ranged from 18 to 25 years. The criteria for recruitment included age of 18 years and above and voluntary participation. The study protocol was approved by Research Institute’s committee on human research (IRB Date/Number: 06.06.2020/2020-2586).

Data Collection Tools

Personal Information Form

A personal information form was created to obtain demographic information about the participants. The form included questions about age, gender, education level and psychiatric diagnoses.

Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index (PSQI)

The PSQI was developed by Buysse et al. (1989) (22) and was adapted to Turkish by Ağargün et al. (1996) (23). PSQI is a 19-item self-report scale that evaluates sleep quality and sleep disorder in the past month. It consists of 24 questions; 19 questions are self-report questions while 5 questions are answered by a spouse or roommate. The scored 18 questions consist of 7 components that include Subjective Sleep Quality, Sleep Latency, Sleep Time, Conventional Sleep Efficacy, Sleep Disorder, Sleep Drug Use and Daytime Dysfunction. Each component is evaluated with a score of 0-3 points. The combined scores of the 7 components gives the total score of the scale. The total score ranges from 0-21. A total score greater than 5 indicates "poor sleep quality".

Social Media Addiction Scale - Adult Form (SMAS-AF)

This scale was developed by Şahin and Yağcı in 2017 (24). The SMDS-YF has a 5 Likert type structure consisting of 2 sub-dimensions (virtual tolerance and virtual communication) and 20 descriptions. The virtual tolerance sub-dimension consists of items 1-11, and virtual communication consists of items 12-20. Items 5 and 11 are reversed. The highest score that can be obtained from the scale is 100, and the lowest score is 20. A high score is evaluated as the perception of an individual as a “social media addict”.

Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS)
Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS) was developed by Kwon et al. and is based on Young’s scale of internet addiction and features of smartphones (25). In this scale, the options are between 1 (absolutely not) and 6 (definitely yes). High scores indicate a higher risk of smartphone addiction. The total score of the scale can range from 33 to 198. No breakpoint was reported on the original scale. The validity and reliability analysis of SAS provided a six-factor structure. The subscales were defined as daily life disorder, positive reinforcement, abstinence, relation to cyber space, overuse and tolerance. The Turkish validity and reliability study was performed by Demirci et al. in 2014 (26).

**Procedure**

A questionnaire was provided to the students within the scope of the “Fight Against Addiction” course in the framework of pre-clinical 2020 spring education program of the Psychiatry Department at our institution. The aim of the questionnaire was to raise awareness about social media use and smartphone addiction. The forms were evaluated retrospectively and the data were analyzed in June, 2020.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 16.0 software package for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analyses. Descriptive statistical methods (frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation) were used for evaluating the study data. The variables were examined to determine whether they were appropriate for normal distribution using visual (histogram and probability diagrams) and analytical (Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk) tests. The total scores of Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS) were divided into 3 categories as low, medium and high risk in terms of smartphone addiction by using visual binning. Chi-square and Fisher’s exact test were used to compare qualitative data. One-Way ANOVA was used to compare normally distributed numerical variables between independent groups. Relationship analysis between numerical variables were evaluated by Pearson correlation test. Finally, hierarchical multivariate linear regression analysis was used to examine the factors affecting the Average Academic Marks (AAM) of students. p<0.05 was accepted as the level for statistical significance.

**RESULTS**

The average age of the participants included in the study was 20.07 ± 1.25 years, and the AAM was 71.1 ± 8.85 (min-max:40-95). No significant difference was observed between participants in the comparison of age, gender and level of education of the parents of the participants to the risk of smartphone addiction. The comparison of the socio-demographic data of the participants according to the status of their smartphone addiction risk is shown in Table 1. When the AAM, sleep times, bedtime, PSQI total scores and social media addiction scores of the participants were compared according to the risk of smartphone addiction, a statistically significant difference was detected in the AAM and social media addiction scores between the low risk group and the high risk groups (p = 0.035 and p <0.001, respectively); however, there was no difference in the sleep-related data. Data on the comparison of the AAM, sleep times, bedtime, PUKI total scores and social media addiction scores between the groups are shown in Table 2.

When the factors associated with AAM were analyzed by Pearson correlation analysis, a statistically significant positive relationship was detected between AAM and sleep efficiency (r = 0.19, p <0.001) while a significant negative correlation

| Table 1. Comparison of Participants’ Sociodemographic Data According to the Risk Status of Smartphone Addiction |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | F/df | p |
| Age | 19.8±1.20 | 20.16±1.22 | 20.07±1.23 | 1.37 | 0.271 |
| Gender(%) | Male:23(41.8%) | Male:19(34.5%) | Male:23(43.4%) | 2 | 0.603 |
| Mother Education Level (%) | Primary:32(58.2%) | Primary:36(65.5%) | Primary:30(56.6%) | 2 | 0.055 |
| Father Education Level (%) | Primary:26(47.3%) | Primary:14(25.5%) | Primary:18(34.0%) | 2 | 0.912 |

Group 1:Low Risk of Smartphone Addiction Scale, Group2: Middle Risk of Smartphone Addiction Scale, Group3: Upper Risk of Smartphone Addiction Scale
One way Anova Test and Chi-Square test were performed.
was observed between AAM the total SAS score and hours spent in bed (r = -23, p <0.001; r = -27, p <0.05, respectively). A positive, statistically significant and strong correlation was found between the total SAS score and SMASAF score (r = 0.73, p <0.001). No significant relationship was detected between the PSQI scores and SAS total or any sub-dimension scores. A positive correlation was detected between AAM and SMASAF VT and total scores (r = 0.23, p <0.001; r = 0.17, p <0.05, respectively). The relationships between the variables used in the study are shown in Table 3.

A multivariate hierarchical regression analysis was used to examine the factors affecting the AAM of the participants included in our study. In this modeling, it was found that gender, sleep efficiency, SAS and SMASAF scores were effective on the AAM. Results of the hierarchical regression analysis on the AAM are shown in Table 4.

**DISCUSSION**

Our study was designed to examine the effects of social media and smartphone use / addiction and sleep-related factors (efficacy, duration of sleep, time spent in bed and quality of sleep) on academic achievement levels in students receiving pre-clinical medical education. Another aim of this study was to examine the relationship between smartphone / social media use and sleep related factors. The use of smartphones was found to negatively affect academic achievement levels in students receiving pre-clinical medical education. Another aim of this study was to examine the relationship between smartphone / social media use and sleep related factors. The use of smartphones was found to negatively affect academic achievement levels in students receiving pre-clinical medical education.

**Table 2.** Comparison of PSQI, Social Media Addiction and Average Academic Marks of Participants According to Smartphone Addiction Scale Risk Status

| Group 1     | Group 2     | Group 3     | f     | p     |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|
| **Average Academic Marks** | 71.8±8     | 68.4±8.77   | 67.4±9.75a | 3.417 | 0.035* |
| SMASAF-VT   | 23.21±7.05  | 30.16±5.22a | 29.4±8.1ab | 46.40 | <0.001 |
| SMASAF-VC   | 17.03±24.93 | 21.7±5.23a  | 21.3±6.4ab | 32.61 | <0.001 |
| SMASAF-TOT  | 40.4±11.17  | 51.7±9.2a   | 50.8±12.9ab| 56.71 | <0.001 |
| Sleep Duration | 55±6.88    | 55±6.88     | 53±6.85 | 0.011  | 0.989* |
| PSQI Total  | 55          | 55          | 52     | 0.483  | 0.818* |

*Group 1: Low Risk of Smartphone Addiction Scale, Group2: Middle Risk of Smartphone Addiction Scale, Group3: Upper Risk of Smartphone Addiction Scale, SMASAF: Social Media Addiction Scale - Adult Form, VT: Virtual Tolerance, VC: Virtual Communication, TOT: Total Score, PSQI: Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index.

One way Anova Test was performed. For One-Way Anova test, homogeneity was checked with Levene Statistic test and Tukey HSD test was applied if it showed homogeneous distribution according to homogeneity status

a p<0.05 (Compared with group 1)

b p<0.05 (Compared with group 2)

**Table 3.** Examining the Correlation between Quality of Sleep, Smartphone / Social Media Addiction and Academic Success.

| AAM     | Sleep Duration | Sleep Efficiency | PSQI-T DT | SAS-DT | SAS-COR | SAS-O | SAS-PS | SAS-TOT | SMASAF-VT | SMASAF-VC | SMASAF-TOT | Hours in Bed |
|---------|----------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| AAM     | 1              | -0.08            | 1         | -0.06  | -0.19** | -0.06| -0.03  | -0.03  | -0.14**  | -0.14*   | -0.03    | -0.02      |
| Sleep Duration | -.08          | 1               |           |         |         |       |         |         |           |           |           |             |
| Sleep Efficiency  | .19**         | .36**           | 1         |         |         |       |         |         |           |           |           |             |
| PSQI-T | -.06          | -.50**          | -.28**   | 1      |        |       |         |         |           |           |           |             |
| SAS-DT | -.19**        | -.07            | -.03     | .17*   | 1       |       |         |         |           |           |           |             |
| SAS-COR| -.14*         | .03             | -.09     | -.09   | .30**   | 1     |         |         |           |           |           |             |
| SAS-O  | -.14*         | -.01            | -.03     | .03    | .59**   | .51** | 1       |         |           |           |           |             |
| SAS-PS | -.03          | -.02            | .12      | .62**  | .23**   | .48** | 1       |         |           |           |           |             |
| SAS-TOT| -.23**        | -.05            | -.03     | .01    | .82**   | .60** | .81**   | .63**   | 1         |           |           |             |
| SMASAF-VT| .01           | -.08            | -.07     | .23**  | .67**   | .28** | .53**   | .53**   | .68**     | 1         |           |             |
| SMASAF-VC| -.14*        | -.06            | -.04     | .05    | .52**   | .41** | .52**   | .35**   | .60**     | .58**     | 1         |             |
| SMASAF-TOT| -.08         | -.08            | -.06     | .17*   | .68**   | .37** | .58**   | .50**   | .73**     | .91**     | .86**     | 1         |
| Hours in Bed| -.27**       | .64**           | -.45**   | -.21** | -.04    | .10  | .01    | .04     | .08      | -.02     | -.02     | -.03      |

*p<0.05, ** p<0.01

AAM: Average Academic Marks, PSQI-T: Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index Total, SAS: Smartphone Addiction Scale, DT: Disturbing daily life and Tolerance, COR: Cyberspace-Oriented Relationships, O: Overuse, PS: Physical Symptoms, SMASAF: Social Media Addiction Scale - Adult Form, VT: Virtual Tolerance, VC: Virtual Communication, TOT: Total Score.
Social Media/Smartphone Addiction and Academic Success

success in the medical students who participated in our study. However, social media use rather than smartphone use was found to be related to sleep quality. In addition, female gender, duration of sleep, and sleep effectiveness were seen to affect academic achievement in medical students. Regardless, some dimensions of smartphone use are likely to affect the academic success of students. Similarly, when social media addiction is considered in general and in its entirety, it was found to be effective on academic success. Social media usage is increasing rapidly with the development of technology. In light of the information reported by the World Internet Statistics Center, it is estimated that there are 3.36 trillion internet users in the world. Increasing social media and smartphone addiction among young people is also an important issue among medical school students. Abu-Snieneh et al. showed that in 2019, medical students who spent more time on social media platforms during the day on an average performed more poorly in their studies (27). Hashmi et al. reported that smartphone use was significantly associated with a lower grade point average in a cohort of 700 students at the King Edward Medical School (28). The findings of the current study confirm the available data in the published literature. In addition, corroborating our data, Al Suwayri et al. reported that the time spent on social media was associated with poor sleep quality (29). In the current study, social media addiction, especially the virtual tolerance subscale, was found to be negatively associated with academic success. In fact, it questions the use of the virtual tolerance sub-dimension as a means of escaping from the real world or redefining life. In this context, since people who use social media spend more time on it and are negatively affected by the lives of others in social media, their academic life may also be negatively affected. On the other hand, it may also mean people who see themselves as unhappy or unsuccessful in real life are more inclined to use social media.

Evaluation of published studies on sleep and academic success indicate a remarkable existence of contradictory results. While some studies have stated that there is no relationship between sleep duration, sleep patterns, sleep activity and academic success (30,31), other studies suggest that sleep disorders indeed affect academic success (32-35), similar to the observations of the current study. The negative effects of sleep-related components or on cognitive functions have long been known. Partial or total sleep deprivation have been shown to adversely affect a wide range of cognitive functions such as attention, processing speed, working memory, and short-term memory (36). Indeed, poor sleep quality and chronic sleep disorders were shown to be associated with poor concentration and attention, with attention being the most sensitive cognitive domain for experimental sleep restriction (36). That sleep disorders affect

### Table 4. Results of Hierarchical Regression Analysis Regarding The Average Academic Marks

|         | β    | t     | R²  | F    | F change |
|---------|------|-------|-----|------|----------|
| Step I  |      |       | .091| 4.901** | 4.901    |
| Gender  | .21  | 2.57* |     |      |          |
| Sleep Duration | -.16 | -1.768|     |      |          |
| Sleep Efficiency | .20  | 2.184*|     |      |          |
| Step II |      |       | .185| 6.518*** | 8.217*** |
| Gender  | .26  | 3.363*** |     |      |          |
| Sleep Duration | -.17 | -1.975*|     |      |          |
| Sleep Efficiency | .20  | 2.325*|     |      |          |
| SAS-DT  | -.25 | -3.189**|     |      |          |
| SAS-PA  | -.16 | -2.111*|     |      |          |
| Step III |      |       | .266| 7.362*** | 7.907*** |
| Gender  | .24  | 3.202** |     |      |          |
| Sleep Duration | -.16 | -1.931|     |      |          |
| Sleep Efficiency | .21  | 2.553*|     |      |          |
| SAS-DT  | -.43 | -4.135***|     |      |          |
| SAS-PA  | -.16 | -2.239*|     |      |          |
| SMASAF-VT | .41  | 3.895**|     |      |          |
| SMASAF-VC | -.19 | -2.095*|     |      |          |

Note. *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

SAS-DT: Smartphone Addiction Scale - Disturbing daily life and Tolerance, SAS-PA: Smartphone Addiction Scale - Positive Anticipation, SMASAF-VT: Social Media Addiction Scale - Adult Form - Virtual Tolerance, SMASAF-VC: Social Media Addiction Scale - Adult Form - Virtual Communication
academic success through cognitive functions related to learning is expected. In addition, it is reported that disorders in sleep quality is quite common among students who pursue higher education (37). The prevalence of sleep disorders among medical students and the negative impact of sleep on cognitive functions confirm the practical importance of the results of the current study. In the current study, contrary to our expectations, the correlation between smartphone use and sleep quality did not reach statistical significance. Previous studies indeed have shown that smartphone addiction is associated with poor sleep quality and sleep delay (21,38). In the current study, the scale evaluating the use of the smartphone determined the frequency and intensity of the smartphone use, but did not question the time period during which the usage was intensive. We think that intensive use of smart phones particularly in the evening and at night can affect sleep more negatively. Therefore, we believe that a more detailed assessment is needed.

Our research was carried out in the time period outside the examination period of the education program. Studies that directly target the exam period can help define the relationships more clearly. In this context, this situation may be a limitation of our study. In addition, the period of the day when using smart phones and social media intensifies was not questioned in our study. This is an important issue in illuminating the relationship between smartphone and social media usage and sleep quality. It may be another limitation of our study that this issue is not questioned in our research.

In conclusion, the results of our study support that use of social media / smart phones and sleep habits of medical students could affect their academic success in the pre-clinical education period. Training on the conscious use of social media and smartphones and an emphasis on the importance of good sleep habits in medical education have the potential to contribute to the development of better equipped physicians.
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