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Abstract

**Background**

Malaria in pregnancy remains a major public health problem especially in sub-Saharan Africa. In malaria endemic areas, majority of pregnant women may remain asymptomatic but still associated with complications on the mother and her foetus. They also serve as reservoirs and act as transmitters of infection. Despite these effects, the prevalence of asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infections among pregnant women attending antenatal care has not been yet studied at the study area. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infections among pregnant women attending antenatal care at Fendeka town health facilities.

**Methods**

Health facility based cross-sectional study was conducted from February to March 2019. A total of 331 participants were enrolled by using convenient sampling technique. Socio-demographic and associated factors were collected by a face to face interview. All the 331 samples were tested using rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) and microscopy. However, only 83 dried blood spot (DBS) samples out of 331 participants, were collected by using systematic random sampling technique for molecular analysis. Data was analysed using SPSS version 20. Descriptive statistics were used to determine the prevalence of asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infections. Univariate logistic regression was employed to assess factors associated with asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infection. Variables with P-value < 0.25 in the univariate logistic regression were selected for multivariate logistic regression analysis model. Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were calculated and P-values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.
Results
Overall, 37 (11.2%) asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infections were detected using: RDTs, microscopy and real-time PCR altogether. The asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infection prevalence was 17 (5.1%), 30 (9.1%) and 15(18.1%) using RDTs, microscopy and real-time PCR, respectively. Asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infections were more likely to occur in primigravida (AOR: 4.51, 95% CI: 1.27–16.03), secundigravida (AOR: 3.87, 95% CI: 1.16–12.93), rural inhabitants (AOR: 4.51, 95% CI: 1.72–11.84) and in participants who did not use indoor residual spray (IRS) for the last one year (AOR: 3.13, 95% CI: 1.47–6.66).

Conclusions
The prevalence of asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infection was 11.2%. Pregnant women who reside in the rural area, primigravidae, secugravidae and those who did not utilize indoor residual spray for the last one year were at high risk of infection. Therefore, routine laboratory diagnosis of asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infection among pregnant women should be adopted as a part of the antenatal care.

Background
Malaria is a serious public health problem in tropical and subtropical regions of the world and caused by five *Plasmodium* species [1, 2]. Of these species; *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* pose the greatest threat in the world. The disease is transmitted to people mainly via the bites of infected female *Anopheles* mosquitoes [2]. The Ethiopian Ministry of Health (MoH) reported that 68% of the country’s land mass is favourable for malaria transmission [3]. Sixty percent and 40% of the malaria cases are caused by *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax*, respectively [4]. On the contrary, the dominance shifting from *P. falciparum* to *P. vivax* in highland areas was reported by Gemeda et al [5].

Globally, 125 million pregnant women are at risk of getting malaria every year. Of these, most cases and deaths are in Sub-Saharan Africa [1]. In Ethiopia, according to the president’s malaria initiative (PMI) report from 2008–2009, pregnant women accounted for 1.7% of all reported outpatients and 1.7% of inpatient malaria deaths [6].

In stable malaria transmission areas, most infections with *Plasmodium* species in pregnant women remain asymptomatic [7]. Asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infection (API) is detection of *Plasmodium* species in the blood without any clinical symptoms of malaria. However, there is no standard definition for asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infection [2, 8]. In addition, according to different scholar’s report, most asymptomatic infections are caused by *P. falciparum* and only a few reports are available for other *Plasmodium* species in stable malaria transmission areas [9].

Asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infection during pregnancy causes placental infection and anaemia [10,11]. Placental infection is caused by sequestration of infected red blood cells (RBCs) in the maternal intervillous spaces of the placenta [12]; and associated with placental inflammation and fibrosis [10,13]. Placental infection also induces a local inflammation and a massive infiltration of immune cells like macrophages, monocytes and lymphocytes and is often described as ‘inflammatory placental malaria’ [14,15]. This situation disturbs nutrient and air exchanges between the mother and the foetus. This resulted in increased fetal mortality, prematurity, low birth weight, abortion, stillbirths and fetal anaemia [16,17].
Ethiopia has done lots of efforts to improve health status of pregnant women. According to the unpublished data from the Jawi district health office, the antenatal care coverage of the study area was greater than 85%. One of the efforts that has been done at the study area is to prevent pregnant women from infectious diseases like malaria.

Ethiopia has launched malaria elimination program by 2030 and doing lots of efforts. To achieve this goal, currently the country used three major malaria prevention methods: early diagnosis and prompt treatment, vector control measures like use of indoor residual spraying (IRS) and insecticide treated bed nets (ITNs) methods and adoption of surveillance [3]. However, this program has been hampered by asymptomatic cases acting as reservoirs of infection and source of transmission. As a result, asymptomatic infections should be diagnosed and treated as early as possible. Even if, asymptomatic infection during pregnancy causes various effects on the mother and the foetus, they have been missed up by the conventional malaria diagnostic tests because of low parasite density [18]. Moreover, asymptomatic cases, have low health seeking behaviour due to absence of developing disease and hence not treated. As a result, it causes various effects on the mother and foetus like abortion, intrauterine growth retardation, stillbirths, low birth weight, fetal and maternal anaemia and increased maternal and neonatal mortality caused by malaria and malaria related complications [16,17]. Furthermore, asymptomatic pregnant women can act as reservoirs of Plasmodium species and transmit the disease to the population [19]. These conditions impaired the control and elimination programs of malaria by the government.

Since asymptomatic Plasmodium species infection is common in stable malaria transmission area, this hypothesis holds true at Jawi district. In addition, there is no data that showed the magnitude and associated factors for asymptomatic Plasmodium species infection among pregnant women at the study area. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of asymptomatic Plasmodium species infection and associated factors among pregnant women attending antenatal care at Fendeka town health facilities, Jawi district north west Ethiopia.

**Methods and materials**

**Study design, period and area**

Health facility based cross-sectional study was conducted from February to March 2019 at Fendeka town Jawi district northwest Ethiopia (Fig 1). Jawi district is one of the districts in Amhara region. The mean temperature varies between 16.68 °c-37.6 °c and the altitude ranges from 648-1300m above sea level. The number of malaria reported cases in 2018/19 were 24,434 (Jawi district office malaria cases report). Moreover, Tana Beles integrated sugar development project is found at the study area and there is year-round transmission of malaria. According to 2007 national central statistical census report, the total population of the district was 79,090; of whom 41,407 were men and 37,683 women [20]. The district has long summer rain fall (June-September) and a winter dry season (October-May) with mean annual rain fall of 1569.4mm.

**Dependent and independent variables**

The dependent variable was asymptomatic Plasmodium species infections and the independent variables were: age, education level, occupation, marital status, family size, residence (rural/urban), Gravidity (Primigravidae, secugravidae, multigravidae), gestational age (first trimester, second trimester, third trimester), malaria prevention strategies (ITN ownership and utilization, IRS usage).
Operational definitions

Asymptomatic infection. The detection of *Plasmodium* species in the absence of any clinical symptoms of malaria (usually fever within the past two weeks).

Multigravida. A woman who is pregnant for the third time and above.

Sample size determination and sampling techniques

Sample size was calculated using single population proportion formula; using 9.4% prevalence of asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infection [21]; and margin error of 3%. Since the total number of pregnant women at the study area were less than 10,000 or total number is 5028, correction formula was used and a total of 331 pregnant women were participated in the study. Then, using proportionate allocation, 166 and 165 participants were involved from Jawi hospital and health centre, respectively. Finally, convenient sampling technique was used at both health facilities to obtain the required sample size. Eighty-three dried blood spot (DBS) samples were also collected at both health facilities using systematic random sampling technique.
Data collection methods and recruitment of pregnant women

**Socio-demographic characteristics and associated factors.** Usually, pregnant women attend their follow up at the antenatal care clinic (ANC) to check whether the presence or absence of problems and/or anomalies related to pregnancy. As they reached at the ANC, the midwife gave their identity card and examined the status of their pregnancy. After checking their status and if they were normal, the pregnant women were recruited regardless of their appointment period. Then, the midwife requested their willingness to participate in the study. On volunteered pregnant women, socio-demographic characteristics like sex, residence, age, educational level, occupation, marital status, family size, and associated factors like gravidity, gestational age, ownership and utilization of ITNs and use of IRS, previous use of antimalarials during pregnancy and clinical questions like fever, shivering and sweating were collected via a face to face interview by the trained midwives.

**Blood sample collection.** Blood sample was collected from participants pricking at their ring finger for RDTs, thick and thin blood films and DBS using Whatman filter paper. For RDTs, 5μl of blood was added using micropipette to the sample well and 2 drops (60μl) of buffer solution to the buffer well according to the manufacturer’s instruction. For thick and thin blood films preparation, 6 μl and 2 μl blood were used using an automatic pipette and smeared on a slide. In addition, each of the thick and thin films was dried overnight, and the thin films were fixed by dipping in absolute methanol for 10–20 seconds. Then, slides were stained with 3% Giemsa for 30–45 minutes [22]. For DBS, drops of blood were spotted on Whatman filter paper (DBS card) and allow them to dry. After the DBS cards were dried, they were packaged in to each sealed plastic bags with desiccant, it was stored at -20 0c in the lab. Later, it was transferred to Amhara Public Health Institute for molecular analysis using real-time PCR.

**Laboratory diagnosis.** Parasite detection by CareStart™ Malaria Pf / Pv (HRP2/pLDH) Ag Combo RDTs was done based on manufacturer instructions. Five microliters of capillary blood were added using micropipette to the sample well and 2 drops (60μl) of buffer solution to the buffer well and then after waiting for 20 minutes, the results were reported based on the manufacturers instruction [23].

Thin and thick stained smears were examined under light microscope (Olympus CX-21) with 100x magnification for detection and identification of Plasmodium parasites by experienced laboratory professionals working at Jaw health centre and hospital. Thick blood films were considered positive when sexual and asexual forms were detected and considered as negative after observing 200 high power fields without detecting any parasite.

Finally, for molecular analysis, 3-mm punches of the DBS were punched out and placed in to 1.5-ml micro-centrifuge tubes. The punches inside the tube were treated with ATL buffer, proteinase K, AI buffer and 96% ethanol, mixing thoroughly by vortexing, brief centrifuge and incubation with consecutive additions. The mixtures were transferred into QIAamp Mini spin column and with subsequent addition of wash buffer AW1 and AW2, DNA was extracted. The DNA was eluted in 100μl of elution buffer, aliquotted and stored at -20˚C until running PCR assay [24]. The PCR assay was run using Agilent Technologies strata gene Mx3005p PCR machine.

*Photo -induced electron transfer PCR (PET-PCR) Primer sequence.*

Original Genus 18sFor, 5′-GGC CTA ACA TGG CTA TGA CG-3′.

Original Genus FAM 18sRev, 5′-agg cgc ata ggc cct ggC TGC CTT CCT TAG ATG TGG TAG CT-3′.

Falciparum For, 5′-ACC CCT CGC CTG GTG TTT TT-3′.

Falciparum Rev, HEX-5′-agg cgg ata ccg cct ggT CGG GCC CCA AAA ATA GGA A-3′.
P. vivax For, 5’-GTA GCC TAA GAA GGC CGT GT-3’.
P. vivax Rev, HEX-5’-agg cgc ata gcg cct ggC CTG GGG GAT GAA TAT CTC TAC AGC ACT GT-3’.

Amplification of Plasmodium Genus and P. falciparum or P. vivax was performed in a 20μl reaction containing 2X TaqMan Environmental Master Mix buffer, forward and reverse primers for the Genus and P. falciparum multiplex assays and P. vivax singleplex assay, and 5μl of DNA samples. Samples were run in duplicate on manually loaded 96-well PCR plates. The reactions performed under the following cycling conditions: initial hot-start at 95°C for 15 minutes, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 20 seconds and annealing at 60°C for 40 seconds for Genus and P. falciparum multiplex assays.

For P. vivax single plex assay; initial hot-start at 95°C for 15 minutes, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 20 seconds and annealing at 60°C for 40 seconds and then at 72°C for 30 seconds. The correct fluorescence channel was selected for each fluorescently labelled primer set and the cycle threshold (CT) values recorded at the end of annealing step. Any sample with a CT value of 40.5 or below was considered positive [24]. The Genus and P. falciparum multiplex assays were performed for all samples. P. vivax single-plex assay was performed for Genus positive and P. falciparum negative samples.

Data quality control and management
Before the data collection, training was given to the data collectors by the principal investigator how to collect the sample and fill the questionnaire. Socio-demographic characteristics and associated factors were collected by midwives.; while experienced laboratory professionals were involved for RDTs, thin and thick blood film preparation, DBS sample collection and Plasmodium species identification. Moreover, the same microscope mark (CX21) was used to minimize the possible instrumental error. The questionnaire were prepared in clear and understandable way. The clarity, understandability, and flow of each question were assessed properly. Data were collected under intensive supervision by the principal investigator (PI). Finally, the discordant results were rechecked by the PI along with other experienced laboratory professionals who did not participate in the initial identification and preparation process.

Ethical consideration
Ethical approval was obtained from the ethical review board of college of medicine and health sciences, Bahir Dar University. Then, permission letters were also obtained from Amhara public health institute, Zonal health department, district health office and from both health facility managers. After explaining the purpose of the study clearly, written informed consent was obtained from each pregnant woman. The data and sample collected from each study participant were used only for this study and confidentiality was always maintained. Finally, positive results were communicated to the attending midwives and received anti-malaria treatment.

Data analysis
Data was analysed using SPSS version 20 statistical package. Descriptive statistics was used to assess the prevalence of asymptomatic Plasmodium species infection among pregnant women. Univariate logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the association between dependent and independent variables. To filter out the confounding effects, variables with P-value < 0.25 in the univariate logistic regression model were further analysed in multivariate logistic regression analysis. Odds ratios at 95% confidence intervals were calculated and variables with P-value < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.
Results

Socio demographic characteristics and associated factors of the pregnant women

A total of 331 pregnant women were participated and of these 208 (62.8%) were rural dwellers. Most of the participants 111 (33.5%) age were between 21–25 years with the median age of 25 years and standard deviation of (SD ± 5.35). Majority 203 (61.3%) of study participants could not read and write and farmers accounted for 174 (52.6%). Most 313 (94.6%) of pregnant women were married and 164 (49.5%) of them had 3–6 family sizes. Among the participants, 154 (46.5%) were multigravida and 151 (45.6%) were at their third trimester. Two hundred fifteen (65%) of the participants possessed ITNs; among these 154 (71.4%) had 1 ITNs at their home; and 197 (91.6%) of them had used by hanging it on their beds. Forty-seven (23.9%) of the participants used ITNs only in rainy season. Among the participants, 253 (76.4%) of them used IRS for the last one year (Table 1).

Prevalence of asymptomatic Plasmodium species infection among pregnant women

The prevalence of asymptomatic Plasmodium species infections was 17 (5.1%), 30 (9.1%) and 15 (18.1%) using RDTs, microscopy and real-time PCR, respectively. Of the 15 samples detected by PCR, 8 (53.4%), 5 (33.3%) and 2 (13.3%) were P. falciparum, P. vivax and mixed infections, respectively (Table 2). This Table depicted that for the detection of asymptomatic Plasmodium species infection, PCR was better as compared to RDTs and microscopy.

Factors associated with asymptomatic Plasmodium species infection

Residence, age, gravidity and IRS usage for the last one year had P-value < 0.25 by univariate logistic regression analysis. Moreover, residence, gravidity and IRS usage for the last one year depicted statistically significant association at P-value < 0.05 (Table 3).

In multivariate logistic regression analysis; residence, gravidity and IRS usage for the last one year had a statistically significant association with asymptomatic Plasmodium species infection at P-value < 0.05. This analysis also showed that the odds of being asymptomatic Plasmodium species infection was 4.51 times higher among rural dwellers pregnant women. The odds of being asymptomatic infection with Plasmodium species was 4.51 times higher among primigravida and 3.87 times higher among seugravidae as compared to multigravida. It was found that the odds of being asymptomatic infection with Plasmodium species was 3.13 times more likely in pregnant women whose houses did not spray IRS as compared to who have been sprayed IRS for the last one year (Table 4).

Discussion

Asymptomatic Plasmodium species infection could be an obstacle in malaria elimination program due to the low health seeking behaviours of infected individuals and absence of standardized diagnostic tests. As a result, they become reservoirs for sustainable malaria transmission [9]. Especially, during pregnancy API causes many problems in pregnant women and the developing foetus and new-borns.

Rapid diagnostic tests detected and identified 5.1% of the APIs. This result is in line with study done in Nigeria 4.8% [25]. But, it is lower than reports from Burkina Faso 30% [12], Congo 27.4% [26], Nigeria 13.1% [27] and south Ethiopia 9.7% [21]. These differences might be due to data collection period [12, 21], and other studies were community based [21].
Microscopy detected and identified 9.1% of asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infections among pregnant women. This result is consistent with studies done in south Ethiopia.

### Table 1. Socio demographic characteristics and associated factors of the pregnant women attending antenatal care at Fendeka town health facilities from February - March 2019, (N = 331).

| Variables               | N (%) | *Pos* N (%) | COR (95%CI) | P-value |
|-------------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Residence               |       |             |             |         |
| Rural                   | 208 (62.8) | 31 (14.9)  | 3.42 (1.38–8.44) | 0.008** |
| Urban                   | 123 (37.2) | 6 (4.9)    | 1           |         |
| Age Groups              |       |             |             |         |
| ≤ 20                    | 77 (23.3)  | 15 (19.5)  | 2.60 (0.81–8.38) | 0.109** |
| 21–25                   | 111 (33.5) | 9 (8.1)    | 0.95 (0.28–3.25) | 0.933   |
| 26–30                   | 96 (29)   | 9 (9.4)    | 1.11 (0.32–3.82) | 0.866   |
| ≥ 31                    | 47 (14.2)  | 4 (8.5)    | 1           |         |
| Education level         |       |             |             |         |
| Unable to read and write| 203 (61.3) | 23 (11.3)  | 1.41 (0.17–11.40) | 0.750   |
| Able to read and write  | 47 (14.2)  | 5 (10.6)   | 1.31 (0.14–12.39) | 0.814   |
| Grades 1–6              | 23 (6.9)   | 2 (8.7)    | 1.05 (0.09–12.88) | 0.971   |
| Grades 7–10             | 35 (10.6)  | 5 (14.3)   | 1.83 (0.19–17.49) | 0.598   |
| Grades 11–12            | 11 (3.3)   | 1 (9.1)    | 1.10 (0.06–20.01) | 0.949   |
| Diploma and above       | 12 (3.6)   | 1 (8.3)    | 1           |         |
| Occupation              |       |             |             |         |
| Farmer                  | 174 (52.6) | 24 (13.8)  | 1.28 (0.36–4.58) | 0.704   |
| GVT employee            | 15 (4.5)   | 1 (6.7)    | 0.57 (0.05–6.04) | 0.642   |
| Student                 | 6 (1.8)    | 1 (16.7)   | 1.60 (0.14–18.72) | 0.708   |
| House wife              | 109 (32.9) | 8 (7.3)    | 0.63 (0.16–2.57)  | 0.523   |
| Self/private employee   | 27 (8.2)   | 3 (11.1)   | 1           |         |
| Marital status          |       |             |             |         |
| Married                 | 313 (94.6) | 34 (10.9)  | 2.05 (0.22–18.89) | 0.526   |
| Single                  | 5 (1.5)    | 1 (20)     | 2.05 (0.22–18.89) | 0.526   |
| Divorced                | 8 (0.3)    | 1 (12.5)   | 1.17 (0.14–9.82)  | 0.883   |
| Widowed                 | 5 (1.5)    | 1 (20)     | 2.05 (0.22–18.89) | 0.526   |
| Family size             |       |             |             |         |
| ≤ 2                     | 132 (39.9) | 19 (14.4)  | 2.77 (0.61–12.53) | 0.185** |
| 3–6                     | 164 (49.5) | 16 (9.8)   | 1.78 (0.39–8.14)  | 0.455   |
| 7–10                    | 35 (10.6)  | 2 (5.7)    | 1           |         |
| Gravidity               |       |             |             |         |
| Primigravidae           | 112 (33.8) | 18 (16.1)  | 2.76 (1.22–6.23)  | 0.015** |
| Secugravidae            | 65 (19.6)  | 9 (13.8)   | 2.31 (0.89–6.00)  | 0.084** |
| Multigravida            | 154 (46.5) | 10 (6.5)   | 1           |         |
| Gestational age         |       |             |             |         |
| 1st trimester           | 49 (14.8)  | 5 (10.2)   | 0.74 (0.26–2.10)  | 0.577   |
| 2nd trimester           | 131(39.6)  | 12 (9.2)   | 0.66 (0.31–1.41)  | 0.283   |
| 3rd trimester           | 151(45.6)  | 20 (13.2)  | 1           |         |
| ITNs possession         |       |             |             |         |
| Yes                     | 215 (65)   | 24 (11.2)  | 1           |         |
| No                      | 116 (35)   | 13 (11.2)  | 1.00 (0.49–2.06)  | 0.990   |
| ITNs number             |       |             |             |         |
| 1                       | 154 (71.6) | 20 (13)    | 1.19 (0.14–10.06) | 0.870   |
| 2                       | 52 (24.3)  | 3 (5.8)    | 0.49 (0.05–5.31)  | 0.557   |
| 3                       | 9 (4.2)    | 1 (11.1)   | 1           |         |
| Using ITNs by hanging on bed |       |             |             |         |
| Yes                     | 197 (91.6) | 21 (10.7)  | 1           |         |
| No                      | 18 (8.4)   | 3 (16.7)   | 1.68 (0.45–6.27)  | 0.443   |
| Reason not used ITNs    |       |             |             |         |
| Generates heat          | 11 (61.1)  | 2 (18.2)   | 1.33 (0.10–18.19) | 0.829   |
| Looks like suffocated   | 7 (38.9)   | 1 (14.3)   | 1           |         |
| IRS use last one year   |       |             |             |         |
| Yes                     | 253 (76.4) | 21 (8.3)   | 1           |         |
| No                      | 78 (23.6)  | 16 (20.5)  | 2.85 (1.40–5.79)  | 0.004** |

GVT: Government N: Number of participants,
*Pos = Positive with RDTs only or microscopy only or PCR only or all the three tests or RDTs and microscopy only.

[https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231477.t001](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231477.t001)
9.1% [21], Congo 7% [28] and Nigeria 9.2% [27]. However, this is lower than studies done in Nigeria 58.4% [29], and other reports from Nigeria 48% and 22.7% [30, 31], Burkina Faso 24% [12] and Congo 21.6% [26]. In contrast, this microscopy result is higher than other study done in Nigeria 3.1% [25]. These discrepancies might be due to data collection period and seasonality [12, 29, 31], very low land area (230 meter above sea level) in our study area [29].

Real-time PCR detected and identified 18.1% of the asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infection. It is consistent with the study done in Congo 19% [28]. On the other hand, this is lower than study done in Congo 29.5% [26]. These variations might be due to partial immunity within the community.

Sixty-two percent, 33% and 5% of the *Plasmodium* species were identified as *P. falciparum*, *P. vivax* and mixed infections, respectively. This result is in line with MoH report that states 60% and 40% of the *Plasmodium* species in Ethiopia are *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax*, respectively [4]; and with the study done in south Ethiopia [21].

Factors associated with APIs depicted that residence, gravidity and IRS use for the last one year were statistically significant with APIs. Rural dwellers were 4.51 times more likely exposed to *Plasmodium* species infection compared to urban dwellers. This could be explained by the fact that *Plasmodium* species infection is usually higher in the rural environment where *Anopheles mosquito* breeding and malaria transmission is more intense [32, 33].

Women in their first pregnancy were 4.51 times and second pregnancies were 3.87 times more likely developed asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infection than multigravida. This finding agrees with the studies done in south Ethiopia [21], Nigeria [29, 31] and Congo [26]. In addition, this association agrees with findings of similar studies from sub-Saharan African countries where the prevalence of asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infection was significantly higher in primigravida than multigravida [34,35].

Pregnant women whose house did not spray IRS for the last one year were 3.13 times more likely develop APIs as compared to those pregnant women whose house sprayed IRS. This result is inconsistent with study finding in south Ethiopia that IRS usage was inversely associated with prevalence of APIs [21]. This difference might be due to improper use of IRS in south Ethiopia [21].

### Limitation of the study

The limitation of this study was unable to diagnose all participants by real-time PCR due to limited resources and the data were collected during the dry season which do not address the wet season. Moreover, we did not take sample from placenta.

---

**Table 2. Prevalence of *Plasmodium* species infection among pregnant women attending antenatal care at Fendeka town health facilities from February to March, 2019, (N = 331; for PCR, N = 83).**

| *Plasmodium* Species | Diagnostic Methods |
|----------------------|--------------------|
|                      | RDTs N = 331       | Microscopy N = 331 | PCR N = 83 |
| *Pf*                 | 11                 | 17                 | 8         |
| *Pv*                 | 5                  | 11                 | 5         |
| Mixed                | 1                  | 2                  | 2         |
| Total N (%)          | 17 (5.1)           | 30 (9.1)           | 15 (18.1) |

*Pf: Plasmodium falciparum*  
*Pv: Plasmodium vivax*  
*Mixed: Pf and Pv.*

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231477.t002
Table 3. Univariate analysis of associated factors for asymptomatic *Plasmodium* species infection among pregnant women attending antenatal care at Fendeka town health facilities from February - March 2019, (N = 331).

| Variables                   | N (%)  | Pos N (%) | COR (95%CI)       | P-value |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|-------------------|---------|
| Residence                   |        |           |                   |         |
| Rural                       | 208 (62.8) | 31 (14.9) | 3.42 (1.38–8.44) | 0.008** |
| Urban                       | 123 (37.2) | 6 (4.9)   | 1                 |         |
| Age groups                  |        |           |                   |         |
| ≤ 20                        | 77 (23.3) | 15 (19.5) | 2.60 (0.81–8.38) | 0.109** |
| 21–25                       | 111 (33.5) | 9 (8.1)   | 0.95 (0.28–3.25) | 0.933   |
| 26–30                       | 96 (29)   | 9 (9.4)   | 1.11 (0.32–3.82) | 0.866   |
| ≥ 31                        | 47 (14.2) | 4 (8.5)   | 1                 |         |
| Education level             |        |           |                   |         |
| Unable to read and write    | 20 (6.1) | 23 (11.3) | 1.41 (0.17–11.40) | 0.750   |
| Able to read and write      | 47 (14.2) | 5 (10.6) | 1.31 (0.14–12.39) | 0.814   |
| Grades 1–6                  | 23 (6.9) | 2 (8.7)   | 1.05 (0.09–12.88) | 0.971   |
| Grades 7–10                 | 35 (10.6) | 5 (14.3) | 1.83 (0.19–17.49) | 0.598   |
| Grades 11–12                | 11 (3.3) | 1 (9.1)   | 1.10 (0.06–20.01) | 0.949   |
| Diploma and above           | 12 (3.6) | 3 (11.3)  | 1                 |         |
| Occupation                  |        |           |                   |         |
| Farmer                      | 174 (52.6) | 24 (13.8) | 1.28 (0.36–4.58) | 0.704   |
| GVT employee                | 15 (4.5) | 1 (6.7)   | 0.57 (0.05–6.04) | 0.642   |
| Student                     | 6 (1.8) | 1 (16.7)  | 1.60 (0.14–18.72) | 0.708   |
| House wife                  | 109 (32.9) | 8 (7.3) | 0.63 (0.16–2.57) | 0.523   |
| Self/private employee       | 27 (8.2) | 2 (8.7)   | 1                 |         |
| Marital status              |        |           |                   |         |
| Married                     | 313 (94.6) | 34 (10.9) | 2.05 (0.22–18.89) | 0.526   |
| Single                      | 5 (1.5) | 1 (20)    | 2.57 (0.61–12.53) | 0.185** |
| Divorced                    | 8 (2.4) | 1 (12.5)  | 1.17 (0.14–9.82) | 0.883   |
| Widowed                     | 5 (1.5) | 1 (20)    | 2.05 (0.22–18.89) | 0.526   |
| Family size                 |        |           |                   |         |
| ≤ 2                         | 132 (39.9) | 19 (14.4) | 2.77 (0.61–12.53) | 0.185** |
| 3–6                         | 164 (49.5) | 16 (9.8) | 1.78 (0.39–8.14) | 0.455   |
| 7–10                        | 35 (10.6) | 2 (5.7) | 1                 |         |
| Gravidity                   |        |           |                   |         |
| Primigravidae               | 112 (33.8) | 18 (16.1) | 2.76 (1.22–6.23) | 0.015** |
| Secugravidae                | 65 (19.6) | 9 (13.8) | 2.31 (0.89–6.00) | 0.084** |
| Multigravida                | 154 (46.5) | 10 (6.5) | 1                 |         |
| Gestational age             |        |           |                   |         |
| 1st trimester               | 49 (14.8) | 5 (10.2) | 0.74 (0.26–2.10) | 0.577   |
| 2nd trimester               | 131(39.6) | 12 (9.2) | 0.66 (0.31–1.41) | 0.283   |
| 3rd trimester               | 151(45.6) | 20 (13.2) | 1                 |         |
| ITNs possession             |        |           |                   |         |
| Yes                         | 215 (65) | 24 (11.2) | 1                 |         |
| No                          | 116 (35) | 13 (11.2) | 1.00 (0.49–2.06) | 0.990   |
| ITNs number                 |        |           |                   |         |
| 1                           | 154 (71.6) | 20 (13) | 1.19 (0.14–10.06) | 0.870   |
| 2                           | 52 (24.3) | 3 (5.8) | 1.19 (0.14–10.06) | 0.870   |
| 3                           | 9 (4.2) | 1 (11.1) | 1                 |         |
| Using ITNs by hanging on bed|        |           |                   |         |
| Yes                         | 197 (91.6) | 21 (10.7) | 1                 |         |
| No                          | 18 (8.4) | 3 (16.7) | 1.68 (0.45–6.27) | 0.443   |
| Reason not used ITNs        |        |           |                   |         |
| Generates heat              | 11 (61.1) | 2 (18.2) | 1.33 (0.10–18.19) | 0.829   |
| Looks like suffocated       | 7 (38.9) | 1 (14.3) | 1                 |         |
| IRS use last one year       |        |           |                   |         |
| Yes                         | 253 (76.4) | 21 (8.3) | 1                 |         |
| No                          | 78 (23.6) | 16 (20.5) | 2.85 (1.40–5.79) | 0.004** |

* Significant at p-value < 0.05.
** Significant at p-value < 0.25

N = Number of participants, Pos = positive, Neg = negative, COR = crude odd ratio, AOR = adjusted odd ratio, CI = confidence interval.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231477.t003
Table 4. Multivariate analysis of associated factors for asymptomatic *Plasmodium* infection among pregnant woman attending antenatal care at Fendeka town health facilities from February - March 2019, (N = 331).

| Variables                  | N (%) | Pos N (%) | AOR (95% CI) | P-value   |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Residence                  |       |           |              |           |
| Rural                      | 208   | 31 (14.9) | 4.51 (1.72–11.84) | 0.002*    |
| Urban                      | 123   | 6 (4.9)   | 1            |           |
| Age groups                 |       |           |              |           |
| ≤ 20                       | 77    | 15 (19.5) | 0.65 (0.12–3.47) | 0.611     |
| 21–25                      | 111   | 9 (8.1)   | 0.41 (0.09–1.96) | 0.264     |
| 26–30                      | 96    | 9 (9.4)   | 0.83 (0.21–3.28) | 0.790     |
| ≥ 31                       | 47    | 4 (8.5)   | 1            |           |
| Education level            |       |           |              |           |
| Unable to read and write   | 203   | 23 (11.3) | -            | -         |
| Able to read and write     | 47    | 5 (10.6)  | -            | -         |
| Grades 1–6                 | 23    | 2 (8.7)   | -            |           |
| Grades 7–10                | 35    | 5 (14.3)  | -            |           |
| Grades 11–12               | 11    | 1 (9.1)   | -            |           |
| Diploma and above          | 12    | 1 (8.3)   | -            |           |
| Occupation                 |       |           |              |           |
| Farmer                     | 174   | 24 (13.8) | -            | -         |
| GVT employee               | 15    | 1 (6.7)   | -            |           |
| Student                    | 6     | 1 (16.7)  | -            |           |
| House wife                 | 109   | 8 (7.3)   | -            |           |
| Self/private employee      | 27    | 3 (11.1)  | -            |           |
| Marital status             |       |           |              |           |
| Married                    | 313   | 34 (10.9) | -            | -         |
| Single                     | 5     | 1 (20)    | -            | -         |
| Divorced                   | 8     | 1 (12.5)  | -            | -         |
| Widowed                    | 5     | 1 (20)    | -            | -         |
| Family size                |       |           |              |           |
| ≤ 2                        | 132   | 19 (14.4) | -            | -         |
| 3–6                        | 164   | 16 (9.8)  | -            | -         |
| 7–10                       | 35    | 2 (5.7)   | -            |           |
| Gravidity                  |       |           |              |           |
| Primigravidae              | 112   | 18 (16.1) | 4.51 (1.27–16.03) | 0.020*    |
| Secugravidae               | 65    | 9 (13.8)  | 3.87 (1.16–12.93) | 0.028*    |
| Multigravidae              | 154   | 10 (6.5)  | 1            | -         |
| Gestational age            |       |           |              |           |
| 1st trimester              | 49    | 5 (10.2)  | -            | -         |
| 2nd trimester              | 131   | 12 (9.2)  | -            | -         |
| 3rd trimester              | 151   | 20 (13.2) | -            | -         |
| ITNs possession            |       |           |              |           |
| Yes                        | 215   | 24 (11.2) | -            | -         |
| No                         | 116   | 13 (11.2) | -            | -         |
| ITNs number                |       |           |              |           |
| 1                          | 154   | 20 (13)   | -            | -         |
| 2                          | 52    | 3 (5.8)   | -            | -         |
| 3                          | 9     | 1 (11.1)  | -            | -         |
| Using ITNs by hanging on bed|       |           |              |           |
| Yes                        | 197   | 21 (10.7) | -            | -         |
| No                         | 18    | 3 (16.7)  | -            | -         |
| Reason not used ITNs       |       |           |              |           |
| Generates heat             | 11    | 2 (18.2)  | -            | -         |
| Looks like suffocated      | 7     | 1 (14.3)  | -            | -         |
| IRS use last one year      |       |           |              |           |
| Yes                        | 253   | 21 (8.3)  | 1            | -         |
| No                         | 78    | 16 (20.5) | 3.13 (1.47–6.66) | 0.003*    |

* Significant at p-value < 0.05.
** Significant at p-value < 0.25 N = Number of participants, Pos = positive, Neg = negative, COR = crude odd ratio, AOR = adjusted odd ratio, CI = confidence interval.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231477.t004
Conclusions
This study showed significant number of asymptomatic Plasmodium species infections among pregnant women. Pregnant women who reside in the rural area, primigravida, secugravida and those did not use IRS for the last one year were at higher risk of API infection.
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