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Traditional oleochemistry industry chemically processes animal fats and plant oils to produce detergents, lubricants, biodiesel, plastics, coatings, and other products. Biotechnology offers an alternative process, where the same oleochemicals can be produced from abundant biomass feedstocks using microbial catalysis. This review summarizes the recent advances in the engineering of microbial metabolism for production of fatty acid-derived products. We highlight the efforts in engineering the central carbon metabolism, redox metabolism, controlling the chain length of the products, and obtaining metabolites with different functionalities. The prospects of commercializing microbial oleochemicals are also discussed.
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Introduction
Oleochemicals are a large group of fatty-acid derived compounds with an unprecedented application range: biodiesel, detergents, soaps, personal care products, industrial lubricants, plastic enhancers, bioplastics, emulsifiers, coatings, food and feed additives, and others [1]. Oleochemicals have traditionally been derived from vegetable oils and animal fats via chemical or enzymatic processes [2,3]. However, the limited availability, sustainability, and high cost of feedstocks limit the growth of this sector [4]. The long-term solution for this problem is sought in the expansion of feedstock range to more abundant lignocellulosic biomass [5] and its conversion via chemical and microbial.

Using a microbial chassis in comparison to the traditional conversion of plant oils and animal fats presents a number of advantages. Firstly, feedstock availability is expanded from edible plant oils and animal fats to abundant first-generation and second-generation biomass feedstocks. Secondly, the feedstock-product dependence is eliminated as the desired oleochemicals can be obtained directly using an engineered cell factory from any feedstock, that is, a feedstock can be chosen based on the market price and availability. Finally, complex oleochemicals that cannot be obtained from natural sources because of low abundance can be produced by introducing novel synthetic biochemical pathways into platform chassis.

Microbial chassis must be extensively engineered in order to produce oleochemicals at high titer, rate, and yield for commercial exploitation [6]. The supply of metabolic precursors, acyl-CoAs, and redox co-factor NADPH need to be boosted (Figure 1). The chain length of the products needs to be controlled to obtain the required properties. One must also implement heterologous enzymes that will functionalize fatty acyl-CoAs into final products: hydroxylated and desaturated fatty acids, fatty alcohols, hydrocarbons, waxes, lactones, and others.

This review highlights the recent advances in the engineering of microbial metabolism towards the optimized production of natural and synthetic fatty-acid derived products. The microbial hosts covered in this review include common industrial workhorses: the bacterium Escherichia coli, the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and the oleaginous yeast Yarrowia lipolytica.

Engineering the central carbon metabolism
A common starting point for engineering microbial hosts is increasing the supply of fatty acid metabolic precursors: acetyl-CoA, malonyl-CoA, and fatty acyl-CoAs. In E. coli, it is effective to limit the fermentative pathways towards lactate, acetate, succinate, and ethanol, which consume acetyl-CoA. To circumvent the negative effects of these deletions on the cellular metabolism, these pathways can be first downregulated in the production phase, for example, Wu et al. applied CRISPR-based interference for repression of fermentative pathways and achieved 36% increase of the medium-chain fatty acid (MCFA) titer [7] (Table 1).
### Table 1

**Metabolic engineering strategies for fatty acid-derived metabolites.**

| Goals and genetic modifications | Achievements | Host | Ref. |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------|------|
| **Improving acyl-CoA supply** | 1.36x C6-C10 FFA titer | Ec | [7] |
| Inducible [adhE, | 3.71x intracellular AccoA level | Ec | [7] |
| [pta, | 2x FFA | | |
| [poxB, | 1.6–1.8x FAEE titer | Sc | [9] |
| [idhA, | 1.67x FFA titer | Sc | [11] |
| [frdA] | 1.18x FFA titer | Sc | [10] |
| **ACCL route** | 1.57x lipid titer | Yi | [12**] |
| [Mm], [RM], [MDH3**] | 1.47x lipid titer | Yi | [12**] |
| [CTP1] | 1.75x lipid titer | Yi | [12**] |
| **PDH-bypass** | 1.62x lipid titer | Yi | [12**] |
| [ScPCDC1 | [EcAldH] | | |
| **Pyruvate-formate lyase route** | 4x ACC transcript level | Sc | [13] |
| (EcPfaA | 1.2–1.3x FAEE titer | Sc | [9] |
| [EcPfIB] | 3x lipid yield, 84.7% TM | Yi | [14*] |
| **Chain-length control** | 1.1 g/L C6–C10 FFA (SF) | Ec | [29] |
| RBO (RtBktB | 3.8 g/L C6–C10 FFA (SF) | Ec | [7] |
| [fadB | 12 mg/L C6–C10 FFA (SF) | Sc | [30] |
| [egyTer | [cytoETR1 | CaBdhB | | |
| [ydi1] | [EcEutE] | | |
| FAS1 replacement (EcFAS | ~54 mg/L C14 (SF) | Sc | [27] |
| [lsfas2 | 380 mg/L C14 (SF) | Yi | [12**] |
| MPT swapping with Tes | 118 mg/L C6–C8 FFA (SF) | Sc | [23] |
| FAS mutagenesis | 1.4 mg/L C6–C8 FFA, 0.3 mg/L C10–C12 FFA (SF) | Sc | [22**] |
| Chimeric RifFAS-AdTes | | | |
| (cRFAS-AbTes) | | | |
| **Product diversification** | 2.15 g/L fatty acids (FB) | Yi | [12**] |
| Fatty alcohols (MmCarB | 1.31 g/L alkanes (FB) | Ec | [46*] |
| BsStp | 3.7 mg/L (FB) | Ec | [47] |
| [EcAhC] | 136 mg/L (SF) | Yi | [12**] |
| FAEEs (ER-localized AbAfl) | 1.3 g/L (D12) | Rt | [51] |
| Linoleic acid (Fad12) | 71.6 mg/L (SF) | Yi | [35] |
| GLA ([Madi]) | | | |
| EPA ([heterologous-C16-C18, | >25% DCW | Yi | [36*][37] |
| d12, d9, d8, d5, d17] | | | |
| VLC-WE ([Δlo3 | 15 mg/L VLC-WE (SF) | Sc | [48] |
| [Δlo2 | [MagFAR | [ScW | [ACC1* | |
| [Δccc1] | | | |
| CBL lipid (cocoa GPAT, | Titer not mentioned | Sc | [38] |
| LPAT, and DGAT) | | | |
| HFA (ΔfadD, ACC | 58.7 mg/L HFA (SF) | Ec | [40] |
| [ΔtesB | [BmCYP102A] | | |
| FAS1 ketones (RtShFShMks2 | 10 µg/gDCW (SF) | Sc | [22*] |
| [ShMks1] | | | |
| Diacids (RBO, | 0.5 g/L C6–C10 diacids (SF) | Ec | [29] |
| [PpAlkBGT | [AcChnD | [AcChnE] | | |
| Docosanol (Δlo3 | [Δlo1,2 | [ACC1* | [AfAR | [MvFAS] |
| [Δccc1] | | [12**] | | |
| **Compartamentalization** | 83.5 mg/L (SF) | Sc | [24] |
| Per-AbAtA or ER-AbAtA | 15x- (ER) to 19x- (Per) FAEE titer | Yi | [12**] |
| ER-AbFAR and ER-PmADO | 5.3x alkane titer | Yi | [12**] |
| Per-MqFAR | 2.2x fatty alcohol titer | Yi | [12**] |
| Per-MmCarPAR, Per-SeADO, and Per-SeFd/FNDR | 1.9x alkane titer | Sc | [31*] |
| **Redox and cofactor engineering** | 99 g/L lipid titer (FB, 98% TM) | Yi | [19**] |
| Increasing compatible Fd/FNR for ADO | 1.7x alkane titer | Ec | [46*] |
| JcOleT cofactor supply ([HEM3 | 1.2x alkene titer | Sc | [47] |

The listed examples report the highest titer for corresponding products within the reviewed publications. **Symbols and prefixes** — ‘*’: over-expression; ‘†’: downregulation; ‘¶’: deletion; cyto: cytosol-localized; ‘**’: truncated version. **General abbreviations** — CRISPRi: CRISPR-based interference; SF: shake-flask; FB: fed-batch bioreactor; DCW: dry-cell weight. **Species abbreviations** — Ab: Acinetobacter baylyi; Ac: Acinetobacter sp.; An: Aspergillus nidulans; Bs: Bacillus subtilis; Ca: Clostridium acetobutylicum; Ec: E. coli; Eg: Euglena gracilis; Fc: Fusarium verticillioides; Je: Jeotgalicoccus sp.; Maq: Marinobacter aquaeolei; Mc: Mucor cincellaides; Mmm: Mycobacterium marinum; Mm: Mus musculus; Mr: Mycobacterium vaccae; Pm: Prochlorococcus marinus; Po: Pseudomonas putida; Rc: Ruminococcus communis; Rt: R. toruloides; Sc: Simnochroococcus elongatus; Sh: Solanum habrochaites; Yi: Y. lipolytica. **Gene/Enzyme abbreviations** — ACC: acetyl-CoA carboxylase; ADO: fatty-aldehyde deformingly oxidase; AHR: aldehyde reductase; CAR: carboxylic acid reductase; C16/C18E: elongating conversion palmitate (C16) to stearate (C18); D5, d8, d9, d12, d17: Δ5-desaturase, Δ8-desaturase, Δ9-desaturase, Δ12-desaturase, Δ17-desaturase, respectively; DGAT: diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase; FAR: fatty-CoA- or fatty-acetyl-CoA reductase; FAS: fatty-acid synthase; Fd/FNR: ferredoxin and ferredoxin/NADPH reductase; G3P: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HTD: β-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase; K: β-lipoxygenase, LPAT: lysophosphatidic acid transferase; MAE: maic enzyme, StPh: phosphopantetheinyl transferase; TWR: trans-β-enooyl-CoA reductase; Thioesterase; WS: wax-ester synthase. **Product abbreviations** — FAEE: fatty-acid ethyl esters; FFA: free fatty acids; HFA: hydroxylated fatty-acids; PK: phosphoketolase; VLC-WE: very-long-chain wax ester.

* Unless indicated by a two-letter prefix of the species’ name, the genes are native versions.

b Except for GLA and EPA, only examples using minimal medium were considered.
The yeast *S. cerevisiae* does not naturally produce cytosolic acetyl-CoA at high levels and, to overcome this limitation, strategies circumventing the native pyruvate dehydrogenase reaction have been developed previously [8,9]. Recent studies reported the implementation of cytosolic acetyl-CoA generation via heterologous ATP:citrate lyase (ACL). Zhou et al. [10] optimized a synthetic chimeric citrate lyase pathway by combining the expression of ATP:citrate lyase from *Mus musculus*, malic enzyme from *Rhodosporidium toruloides*, and overexpression of native mitochondrial citrate transporter and malate dehydrogenase, thus obtaining a 20% increase in the free fatty acid titer in *S. cerevisiae*. A strain that was additionally engineered for decreased degradation and activation of fatty acids and overexpression of acetyl-CoA carboxylase and fatty acid synthase, produced 1 g/L and 10.4 g/L of free fatty acids in correspondingly shake flask and fed-batch cultivations, the highest titers reported to date. A similar strategy, though using the ATP:citrate lyase from *Y. lipolytica*, was applied by Ghosh et al. [11] and resulted in a small improvement of the free fatty acid titer by 5%. The authors further carried out 13C metabolic flux analysis and identified that a large flux of acetyl-CoA was channeled into malate via malate synthase (Mls1p) and that additional carbon was lost to glycerol via glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gpd1p). Downregulation of *MLS1* and deletion of *GPD1* in addition to *ACL* overexpression, resulted in a 70% improvement over the reference strain, achieving ~0.8 g/L of free fatty acids in shake flasks.

The oleaginous yeast *Y. lipolytica* has a natural capacity of high-level production of cytosolic acetyl-CoA, particularly under nitrogen limitation when storage lipids are accumulated. To enable enhanced biosynthesis of oleochemicals already in the growth phase and to shorten the fermentation time, Xu et al. overexpressed several alternative routes towards acetyl-CoA formation [12]. As expected, overexpression of native *ACL* resulted in only a minor increase in lipid titer, but most of other strategies led to a significant improvement. Particularly, overexpression of carnitine acyltransferase for enhanced export of mitochondrial acetyl-CoA into the cytosol led to a 75% improvement of the lipid titer. Moreover, the engineered strain began accumulating lipids already in the exponential growth phase before nitrogen became limiting. A final lipids titer of 66.4 g/L, with an overall yield of 0.229 g/g glucose was achieved in a fed-batch cultivation.

Another important control point to increase the supply of malonyl-CoA is acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), which is allosterically inhibited by C16-C20 saturated acyl-CoAs. This inhibition can be alleviated by deletion of fatty acid-CoA ligases (e.g., FAA1 and FAA4 in *S. cerevisiae*), which activate free fatty acids to acyl-CoAs [13]. Such a strategy is however not viable for production of acyl-CoA-derived metabolites and here an alternative approach was used by Qiao et al. [14]. They overexpressed stearoyl-CoA desaturase in lipid-producing *Y. lipolytica* to decrease the concentration of stearoyl-CoA, the main inhibitor of ACC. This resulted in a 3-fold increase of the lipid yield, reaching 84.7% of the theoretical maximum without growth impairment [14]. Furthermore, through comparative genomic and transcriptomic analysis of lipid-overproducing strains, Liu et al. [15] identified a mutant Mga2p regulator, which leads to increased unsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis and lipid accumulation. Yet another approach is to apply dynamic regulatory modules to balance the precursor and product biosynthesis and avoid inhibition. A 2.1-fold improvement of the free fatty acid titer was obtained in *E. coli* with a regulatory circuit responding to malonyl-CoA concentration by upregulating either synthesis or consumption [16]. Shin et al. bypassed the ACC pathway by expressing methylmalonyl-CoA carboxyltransferase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase, thus increasing the FFA titer about two-fold [17].

### Engineering the redox metabolism

Fatty acid biosynthesis demands NADPH as a reducing co-factor, for example, 14 NADPH molecules are needed to produce one molecule of palmitoyl-CoA from acetyl-CoA. In *Y. lipolytica*, 13C metabolic flux analysis identified the oxidative pentose phosphate pathway as the primary source of NADPH for lipid biosynthesis [18]. However, generation of 2 NADPH through the pentose phosphate pathway costs one carbon, affecting the maximum theoretical yield. To improve the stoichiometry, Qiao et al. [19] tested several alternative strategies for NADPH generation in *Y. lipolytica*. The best-performing strain featured expression of NADP+ -dependent glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase from *Clostridium acetobutylicum* and of malic enzyme from *Mucor circinelloides*. The strain produced 99 g/L lipids with 1.2 g/L/h productivity, which to date, is the best production performance of a lipid-accumulating microbial strain.

Combining increased NADPH production with upregulation of oxidative stress defense pathways, improved the lipid yield by 82% and increased productivity by 5-fold. This strategy also changed the cellular morphology from pseudohyphae to single cells, which is beneficial for a large-scale fermentation process [20].

### Controlling the chain length of products

Chain length is important for product properties, for example, gasoline-like and diesel-like biofuels require short/medium-chain fatty acids (S/MCFAs), waxes require very long chain (VLC) fatty acids and alcohols. Some specialty products such as lactone fragrances and polyunsaturated fatty acids require a precise chain length. Several methods to control the chain length of fatty acid products have been reported, including
engineering of fatty acid synthases, reverse beta-oxidation, and compartmentalization.

**Type I fatty acid synthases**

Fungal type I fatty acid synthases (FAS I) are large multimodular proteins, releasing primarily palmitoyl-CoA. Due to the compact structure of fungal FAS I, the shorter acyl-CoA intermediates remain trapped in the enzyme complex and are not accessible for the cytosolic thioesterase. Various heterologous thioesterases have been overexpressed in *Y. lipolytica* and *S. cerevisiae*, and some smaller-sized thioesterases had an influence on the fatty acyl-CoA profiles [21,22]. To obtain a better effect, Xu et al. replaced the malonyl/palmityl transferase domain (MPT) in FAS I of *Y. lipolytica* with heterologous thioesterases [22**]. The resulting hybrid FAS I resulted in a three-fold increase of C14 acid, up to 29% of the total free fatty acids. Another approach was undertaken by Gajewski et al. [23]. They introduced mutations in the active sites of the β-ketoacyl synthase (KS), acetyl-CoA:ACP transacyltransferase (AT), and malonyl/palmityl transferase (MPT) domains. The resulting mutated variants of FAS I produced up to ~0.5 g/L of extracellular short/medium-chain fatty acids (S/MCFA), predominantly hexanoate and octanoate, which do not occur in the wild type. Zhu et al. also created chimeras of fungal FAS I and thioesterases [22**]. *S. cerevisiae* strains expressing a chimera of FAS I of *R. toruloides* and a thioesterase of *Acinetobacter baylyi* produced up to 1.7 mg/L of short/medium chain fatty acids. This strategy was found superior to the expression of thioesterase in free form or as a C-terminal fusion to FAS I [22**]. The type I FAS from mycobacteria produces fatty acids of C16–C26 in length and has been used for the production of very long chain fatty acids in *S. cerevisiae* [24].

**Type II fatty acid synthases**

Bacteria possess a type II fatty acid synthase (FAS II), which is a protein complex assembled of multiple subunits. Through the expression of heterologous chain-specific thioesterases, the release of acyl-CoAs with a specific chain length can be achieved. This has been demonstrated in several studies. Jawed et al. identified a thioesterase from *Bryantella formategens* that enabled highly-specific butyric acid production using the native FAS in *E. coli* [25]. To enable the specific production of C7/9 alkanes, Sheppard et al. tested and optimized a thioesterase from *Cuphea hookeriana* and successfully produced octanoate and decanoate in *E. coli* without detecting other free fatty acids [26].

The success with manipulating the chain-length specificity of type II FAS in bacteria inspired the replacement of yeast FAS I with bacterial FAS II. In the study by Fernandez-Moya et al. [27], nine genes encoding enzymes in *E. coli* type II FAS were expressed in *S. cerevisiae*. The genes could partially compensate for the knock-out of the native FAS2, albeit the growth was impaired. To alter the fatty acid chain-length profile, a thioesterase from *Ricinus communis* was used instead of tesA. This shifted chain-length profile of intracellular fatty acids from C18 to C14 fatty acids.

**Reverse beta-oxidation**

For each elongation cycle, which extends the acyl-CoA chain by two carbons, one malonyl-CoA (C3) is required and a carbon dioxide molecule is released. The biosynthesis of malonyl-CoA from acetyl-CoA requires an ATP and is hence energetically costly. As a more energy-efficient route than FAS [28], a reverse β-oxidation (RBO) pathway that uses acetyl-CoA instead of malonyl-CoA has been employed. Here, the initiation module, thiolase, and the last step, thioesterase, can be varied to control the chain length. Clomburg et al. [29] produced C6–C10 fatty acids by replacing native thiolase and thioesterase with the variants from *Ralstonia eutropha* [29]. Sheppard et al. successfully designed an *E. coli* strain that specifically produced pentane via reverse β-oxidation [26]. A reverse β-oxidation pathway has also been implemented in *S. cerevisiae* [30].

**Compartmentalization**

Xu et al. [12**] showed that the fatty acid ethyl ester (FAEE) titer increased 10–15-fold when the esterifying enzyme was targeted to either the endoplasmic reticulum or the peroxisomes although a certain extent of product degradation was observed under peroxisomal localization. Localization of the alkane synthesis pathway into endoplasmic reticulum [12**] or peroxisomes [12**,31] also improved the alkane titer, partly because fatty aldehyde intermediates were isolated from the competing fatty alcohol biosynthesis [31*]. Sheng et al. [32] employed peroxisomal targeting of FAR to increase the production of medium chain-length fatty alcohols due to the higher abundance of acyl-CoA precursors in peroxisomes [32].

**Functionalizing fatty acid intermediates into products**

**Lipids and specialty fatty acids**

Oleaginous organisms can accumulate lipids at over 20% of the dry biomass and this capacity can be further increased by metabolic engineering. Oleaginous yeast *Y. lipolytica* was engineered by total to produce 85 g/L lipids with a productivity of 0.73 g/L/h. The relatively simple strategy comprised a careful selection of the parental strain, overexpression of heterologous diacylglycerol acyltransferases type 1 and 2, and deletion of the native lipase regulator *TGL3* [33].

The cells can also be engineered to change the profile of the acyl chains in lipids, for example, to produce lipids that contain hydroxylated or desaturated fatty acids. *Y. lipolytica* has been engineered to accumulate 12-hydroxy-9-cis-octadecenoic (ricinoleic) acid to 43% of total lipids.
with a cellular content of 60 mg/g DW [34]. Ricinoleic acid is otherwise obtained from castor seeds, which presents a safety problem due to the ricin toxin. Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) are attractive products due to their health and physiological benefits. Sun et al. engineered Y. lipolytica to accumulate up to 71.6 mg/L of γ-linolenic acid (an omega-6 acid) in lipids [35]. DuPont developed Y. lipolytica strains, capable of producing eicosapentaenoic acid (an omega-3 acid) at >25% DCW [36,37]. Manipulation of the TAG synthesis pathway to produce TAGs with specific combinations of acyl chains enabled the realization of cocoa-butter-like lipid accumulation in yeast [38,39].

Hydroxy fatty acids can also be produced in free form, for example, up to 548 mg/L of mixed hydroxylated acids were produced in E. coli engineered for improved fatty acid production and expressing the fatty acid hydroxylase (CYP102A1) from Bacillus megaterium [40].

**Fatty alcohols**

Fatty alcohols can be generated from fatty acids, fatty acyl-ACPs or from acyl-CoAs by fatty acid reductases, which carry out the reduction either to aldehydes or all the way to alcohols. From fatty aldehydes, fatty alcohols can be produced relying solely on the endogenous activity of aldehyde reductase [31*,32,41–43] although overexpressing either native [10*] or heterologous aldehyde reductases [12**] has also been shown to enhance fatty alcohol production. Deletion of acyl-CoA synthases [10*,44], deletion of acyl-ACP thioesterases [43], and overexpression of acyl-CoA synthases [12**] were reported to improve fatty alcohol production when carboxylic acid reductase (CAR), acyl-ACP-specific fatty acyl reductase (FAR), and acyl-CoA-specific FAR were employed, respectively. Deletion of aldehyde reductase, catalyzing re-oxidation of fatty aldehydes into fatty acids, was shown to increase the production of fatty alcohols [31*].

**Hydrocarbons**

By combining fatty acyl reductases with aldehyde decarbonylases, alkanes can be obtained [45]. Cao et al. produced 1.3 g/L of alkanes in an engineered E. coli [46*]. In S. cerevisiae, peroxisomal localization of alkane biosynthesis improved the alkanes titer ca. 7-fold,
resulting in 3.5 mg/L alkanes [31*]. In *Y. lipolytica*, a 5-fold improvement was observed and the titer of alkanes was at 16.8 mg/L [12**]. A proof-of-concept production of alkenes at 3.7 mg/L, mainly C17 and C19, was also demonstrated in *S. cerevisiae* with a heterologous cytochrome P450 fatty acid decarboxylase [47].

**Waxes**

Microbial synthesis of very-long-chain fatty acids (VCFA) and their derivatives, with applications ranging from food to cosmetics [24], has been demonstrated in *S. cerevisiae* when using either endogenous long-chain fatty acid elongases or mycobacterial type I FAS [24,48]. Expression of FAR capable of using VLCFA led to the production of docosanol [24] and jojoba oil-like waxesters [48] (Figure 1).

**Perspectives**

Oleochemicals produced by microbial fermentation have a prospect of contributing to the growth of the €18-billion global oleochemical market, and on a long run, they may also substitute many of the petrochemicals and fossil transportation fuels with a trillion-€-market. However, with the current favorable policies for the petrochemical industry, the fermentation-based low-value oleochemicals would result in low profit margins even if the technology for their production were mature. From the technological maturity standpoint, the *Y. lipolytica*-based single-cell oil process developed by the Stephanopoulos group features the best production metrics, with a titer of 100 g/L and a nearly theoretical yield [19**]. Additionally, engineered utilization of unnatural mineral sources may allow fermentation at non-sterile conditions [49]. Conversion of *Y. lipolytica* lipids with a high content of oleic acid into biodiesel will, however, require hydrogenation. Other microbial processes for the production of low-value oleochemicals, such as hydrocarbons, fatty alcohols, and esters, are still very far from commercialization point (Figure 2).

The commercial efforts in the next 5–10 years will likely focus on high-value specialty oleochemicals. Single cell oils enriched with polyunsaturated fatty acids (γ-linolenic, eicosapentaenoic, arachidonic, and docosahexaenoic acids) have been produced commercially since 90s using natural oleaginous algae and fungi [50], and recently also by engineered *Y. lipolytica* [36*] and this market is expected to grow further. Examples of other attractive

---

**Figure 2**

Reported titers of fatty acid-derived products from microbial synthesis. FFAs: free fatty acids, References are shown in brackets. *EPA titer is approximated by assuming a cell dry-weight of 50 g/L.
high-value oleochemicals are specialty hydroxylated fatty acids for food and feed applications, waxes for cosmetics, lactone fragrances, and others.

Improving the performance metrics of the strains remains a major hurdle for the emergence of the new processes and continuous research on metabolic engineering strategies for improved oleochemical production is a pre-request for success.
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