Crystal Structures and Small-angle X-ray Scattering Analysis of UDP-galactopyranose Mutase from the Pathogenic Fungus Aspergillus fumigatus
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Background: UDP-galactopyranose mutase (UGM) catalyzes a step in galactofuranose biosynthesis in pathogens and is a promising drug design target.

Results: The first crystal structures and SAXS analysis of UGM from the pathogenic fungus Aspergillus fumigatus are reported.

Conclusion: The unique quaternary structure enables profound conformational changes to occur upon substrate binding. The structures support the covalent mechanism.

Significance: The structures should aid inhibitor design.

UDP-galactopyranose mutase (UGM) is a flavoenzyme that catalyzes the conversion of UDP-galactopyranose to UDP-galactofuranose, which is a central reaction in galactofuranose biosynthesis. Galactofuranose has never been found in humans but is an essential building block of the cell wall and extracellular matrix of many bacteria, fungi, and protozoa. The importance of UGM for the viability of many pathogens and its absence in humans make UGM a potential drug target. Here we report the first crystal structures and small-angle x-ray scattering data for UGM from the fungus Aspergillus fumigatus, the causative agent of aspergillosis. The structures reveal that Aspergillus UGM has several extra secondary and tertiary structural elements that are not found in bacterial UGMs yet are important for substrate recognition and oligomerization. Small-angle x-ray scattering data show that Aspergillus UGM forms a tetramer in solution, which is unprecedented for UGMs. The binding of UDP or the substrate induces profound conformational changes in the enzyme. Two loops on opposite sides of the active site move toward each other by over 10 Å to cover the substrate and create a closed active site. The degree of substrate-induced conformational change exceeds that of bacterial UGMs and is a direct consequence of the unique quaternary structure of Aspergillus UGM. Galactopyranose binds at the re face of the FAD isoalloxazine with the anomic carbon atom poised for nucleophilic attack by the FAD N5 atom. The structural data provide new insight into substrate recognition and the catalytic mechanism and thus will aid inhibitor design.

UDP-galactopyranose mutase (UGM) is a unique flavoenzyme that catalyzes the reversible conversion of UDP-galactopyranose (UDP-Galp) to UDP-galactofuranose (UDP-Galf) (Fig. 1). Unlike flavin-dependent oxidoreductases, the redox state of the flavin in UGM is unchanged upon product formation (1). Although it is known that the FAD must be reduced for maximal catalytic activity, the precise role that the flavin plays in catalysis remains controversial.

UGM is also an attractive target for drug design. The carbohydrate moiety of the product of the reaction, galactofuranose (Galf), is the five-membered ring form of the common monosaccharide galactose. Galf has never been found in mammals and higher plants but is an essential building block of the cell wall and extracellular matrix of many bacteria, fungi, and protozoa (2). The UGM reaction is central to Galf biosynthesis. Gene deletion studies have shown that UGM is essential for mycobacterial growth (3) and contributes to the virulence of the pathogenic fungus Aspergillus fumigatus (4) and the protozoan parasite Leishmania major (5). In summary, the importance of UGM for the viability of many pathogens and its absence in humans make UGM a potential drug target.

This study focuses on the UGM from A. fumigatus. Aspergillus spp. are ubiquitous fungi that cause diseases ranging from allergic reactions and lung infections to sepsis and death (6). Acquired by inhalation of airborne spores, Aspergillus infections (mainly A. fumigatus) can lead to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, particularly in immunocompromised persons (6–8). Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis is also an emerging serious infection in patients with chronic obstructive pulmo-
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nary disease (9). The overall case fatality rate associated with invasive aspergillosis is 58% (10). Also, bronchial colonization by *A. fumigatus* in people with asthma or cystic fibrosis causes allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, a hypersensitivity lung disease that can lead to airway destruction and widening and scarring of the lung, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality (11). The ubiquity of the fungus, the increasing occurrence of *Aspergillus* infections in humans, and the high mortality rate associated with invasive pulmonary aspergillosis demonstrate the need for new anti-*Aspergillus* drugs.

Inhibition of *A. fumigatus* UGM (AFUGM) is a potential strategy for the development of new drugs to treat patients with aspergillosis. In *Aspergillus*, Galp is present in the galactomannan fraction of the cell wall, N- and O-glycans of secreted glycoproteins, glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored lipophosphogalactomannan, and sphingolipids (12). It is estimated that Galp constitutes 5% of the dry weight of *A. fumigatus* (12). Deletion of the UGM gene in *A. fumigatus* results in a thinner cell wall and increased susceptibility to drugs (4). Furthermore, the mutated strain exhibits attenuated virulence in a low-dose mouse model of invasive aspergillosis (4). In another study, deletion of the UGM gene resulted in a reduced growth phenotype, but virulence as measured in a higher dose mouse model was unimpaired (13). The different outcomes of the two deletion studies may reflect the different doses used, with the low-dose model generally considered to mimic more closely the *in vivo* situation (2). Because of the importance of UGM for the fitness of *A. fumigatus*, UGM is a promising target for the development of new drugs for adjunctive treatment for aspergillosis (2, 4).

Here we report four crystal structures of AFUGM along with an analysis of the oligomeric state and quaternary structure in solution using small-angle x-ray scattering (SAXS). The data reveal interesting differences from bacterial UGMs, including additional secondary structure elements, unique mode of oligomerization, and profound conformational changes induced by substrate binding. The structures should aid inhibitor design efforts.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

**Crystallization**—Structure determination was problematic because of translational pseudosymmetry in crystals of native and mutant AFUGMs, as described in detail in the supplemental data. This difficulty was circumvented by using the double mutant enzyme K344A/K345A for structure determination. The kinetic constants of K344A/K345A are virtually identical to those of the native enzyme (supplemental Table S1), and the structures show that residues 344 and 345 are on the surface of the enzyme, far from the active site and oligomerization interfaces.

AFUGM mutant K344A/K345A (referred to hereafter as AFUGM) was expressed and purified as described for the native enzyme (14). Se-Met AFUGM was produced using the metabolic inhibition method (15).

Crystals were grown in sitting drops at room temperature using drops formed by mixing 1.5 μl of the protein stock solution with an equal volume of the reservoir. The protein stock solution consisted of 8 mg/ml protein in 25 mM HEPES, 125 mM NaCl, 5 mM L-cysteine, and 0.5 mM Tris(hydroxypropyl)phosphine at pH 7.5. The optimal reservoir contained 1.5 M ammonium sulfate and 0.1 M sodium acetate, pH 4.5. The crystals appeared as large, yellow hexagonal bipyramids. The crystals were cryoprotected by exchanging the mother liquor with 1.8 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M sodium acetate, pH 4.5, and 25% glycerol. The space group is P6_322 with unit cell dimensions of a = 218 Å and c = 320 Å. Although a conventional estimate of the solvent content using the method of Matthews (16) yields the expectation of eight molecules in the asymmetric unit, there are, in fact, only four molecules present. The solvent content and V_M are thus 75% and 4.9 Å³/Da.

**Crystal Soaking**—Crystals of reduced AFUGM with and without bound active site ligands were prepared by soaking the aforementioned crystals. Crystals of ligand-free reduced AFUGM were prepared by soaking crystals in the cryobuffer supplemented with 80 mM dithionite. At this concentration, the enzyme is fully reduced in solution (14). Indeed, the yellow color of the crystals was bleached upon soaking, indicating that the FAD is reduced. When the transformation was complete (2–3 min), the crystals were plunged into liquid nitrogen to trap the reduced state. Crystals of the reduced enzyme complexed with UDP were prepared by soaking with the cryobuffer supplemented with 80 mM dithionite and 40 mM UDP. The soaking time was ~30 min. Crystals of the reduced enzyme complexed with UDP-Galp were prepared similarly using 80 mM dithionite and 100 mM UDP-Galp. As with the ligand-free enzyme, the crystals of the ligand complexes were colorless when frozen.

**X-ray Diffraction Data Collection, Phasing, and Refinement**—Crystals were analyzed at beamlines 19ID and 24-ID-C of the Advanced Photon Source and beamline 8.2.2 of the Advanced Light Source. The 19ID data sets were processed with HKL3000 (17). The other data sets were integrated with XDS and scaled with SCALA (18) via CCP4i (19).

The first structure of AFUGM was determined using single-wavelength anomalous diffraction phasing based on data collected at 19ID from Se-Met AFUGM crystals (Table 1). Several single-wavelength anomalous diffraction data sets were collected at the wavelength corresponding to the experimentally measured peak of f. The phasing potential of each data set was assessed with the HKL2MAP interface (20) to the SHELX/D/E programs (21, 22). A 2.65 Å resolution data set with good anomalous signal was identified, from which a 56-atom selenium constellation was deduced. This constellation was input to PHENIX AutoSol (23) for single-wavelength anomalous diffraction phasing, density modification, and automated building. After density modification, the figure of merit improved from 0.42 to 0.77 for all reflections to 2.65 Å resolution. The
model from automated building consisted of 1956 residues, 1778 of which were assigned to sequence. This model was adjusted and extended manually in COOT (24), aided by the density-modified single-wavelength anomalous diffraction map, and subsequently refined against a 2.35 Å resolution native data set using PHENIX (25). Several additional rounds of density-modified single-wavelength anomalous diffraction were performed. The resulting structure provided the starting coordinates for the refinements of the other structures reported here. Data collection and refinement statistics and Protein Data Bank (PDB) deposition codes are listed in Table 1.

Small-angle X-ray Scattering—SAXS experiments were performed at the SIBYLS beamline (12.3.1) of the Advanced Light Source (26). Prior to analysis, a sample of AfUGM at 9.4 mg/ml was dialyzed into a buffer of 20 mM HEPES, 45 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM Tris(hydroxymethyl)phosphine at pH 7.5. Scattering intensities (I) were measured at three nominal protein concentrations to ensure concentration-independent scattering. Exposures of 0.5, 1.0, and 5.0 s were used to check for radiation damage. The scattering curves collected from the protein sample were corrected for background scattering using intensity data collected from the dialysis buffer. A composite scattering curve was generated with PRIMUS (27) by scaling and merging the background-corrected high q region data from the 0.5-s exposure with the low q region data from the 0.5-s exposure. The pair distribution function was calculated with GNOM (28). GASBOR (29) was used to calculate shape reconstructions, and DAMAVER (30) was used to average and filter the resulting dummy atom models. The Situs module pdb2vol was used to convert the averaged, filtered models into volumetric maps (31). SUPCOMB was used to superimpose the crystallographic tetramer onto the dummy atom model (32).

Kinetics—The mutase activity was tested with UDP-Galf as the substrate following procedures previously described (14). The enzyme concentration was determined using the flavin extinction coefficient at 450 nm of 10.6 mM cm−1 (14).

Structure Analysis—SSM (33) was used for structure superposition. The PDBePISA server was used to analyze protein interfaces in crystal lattices (34). COOT and PyMOL (35) were used to analyze noncovalent interactions.

RESULTS

Overall Fold and Flavin Binding Site—The AfUGM protomer has a mixed α/β-fold (Fig. 2) and exhibits the same three-domain architecture that was first identified in the structure of Escherichia coli UGM (36). Domain 1 is the largest of the three domains. It is a tripartite unit consisting of residues 3–90, 205–291, and 421–507 and includes a Rossmann fold core that binds the FAD. Domain 2 is a bundle of α-helices (residues 105–204). This domain mediates one of the major interfaces of...
the tetramer. Domain 3 (residues 91–104, 292–420) is situated between the other two domains and features a twisted, seven-stranded β-sheet. This domain participates in substrate binding. The FAD isoalloxazine binds in a crevice between domains 1 and 3.

AfUGM is about 100 residues longer than bacterial UGMs, and the extra residues form several structural elements that appear to be important for catalysis and oligomerization (Fig. 2A, red sections). The first of these extra elements is a four-stranded β-sheet in domain 1 formed by residues 6–8 and 243–262. This β-sheet is located near the adenine of the FAD. Domain 2 has an extra helix (residues 188–197) not found in bacterial UGMs. This helix is situated between two mobile flaps that close and open in response to substrate binding and product release, respectively (Fig. 2A). Also, the second helix of the domain (residues 115–134) is about seven residues longer in AfUGM and rotated by about 90° from the corresponding helix in bacterial UGMs. The 115–134 and 188–197 helices form a four-helix bundle in one of the dimer interfaces of the UGM tetramer (see below). Domain 3 of AfUGM has two large inserts when compared with bacterial UGMs. The first is a long loop formed by residues 335–366. The second consists of residues 378–418, which fold into an α-helix followed by a β-strand. Because of the latter secondary structure element, the sheet of domain 3 has seven strands rather than six as in bacterial UGMs. Finally, AfUGM has ~30 extra residues at the C terminus. These residues form a U-shaped substructure that packs against domain 1 and features two α-helices. This extra structural element is involved in oligomerization (see below).

**Quaternary Structure**—SAXS was used to obtain solution structural information for AfUGM. A composite scattering curve is shown in Fig. 3A. The associated Guinier plot exhibits good linearity ($R^2 = 0.9996$) and suggests a radius of gyration of 47.3 Å (Fig. 3A, inset). Calculations of the pair distribution function yield a $R_g$ of 47.6 Å and maximum particle dimension of 140 Å (Fig. 3B). For reference, the $R_g$ of the crystallographic protomer is only 24 Å. These results suggest that AfUGM self-associates in solution.

The SAXS data were further analyzed to determine the oligomeric state and quaternary structure. The experimental scattering profile was compared with theoretical profiles calculated from models of AfUGM oligomers. These models were obtained by analyzing the protein interfaces in the crystal lattice to identify potentially stable assemblies. The calculations revealed an octamer with $R_g$ of 52 Å, a tetramer exhibiting point group 222 symmetry and having an $R_g$ of 47.6 Å, and two symmetric dimers with $R_g$ values of 34 Å (OP dimer) and 43 Å (OQ dimer). The $R_g$ data suggest that AfUGM forms a tetramer. Indeed, only the profile calculated from the tetramer shows good agreement with the experimental curve (Fig. 3C). Note in particular that the agreement is exceptional for the low q region ($q < 0.1$, Fig. 3C, inset). These results are consistent with size exclusion chromatography data, which suggested that AfUGM forms a tetramer in solution (14). Finally, shape reconstruction calculations performed with GASBOR assuming a 222 tetramer yield an envelope that is in good agreement with the crystallographic tetramer (Fig. 4). It is concluded that the tetramer identified in the crystal lattice represents the solution structure of AfUGM.

The AfUGM tetramer is a dimer of dimers with point group 222 symmetry (Fig. 4).
described with three mutually orthogonal 2-fold axes, denoted P, Q, and R, that intersect at the center of the tetramer. The P-, Q-, and R-axes relate protomer O to protomers P, Q, and R, respectively. The axes define three potential dimer interfaces corresponding to protomer O contacting the other three protomers. Only the OP and OQ interfaces are significant.

The OP interface buries 5000 Å² of area and is primarily hydrophilic, featuring 10 intersubunit hydrogen bonds. The interface is noncontiguous. The major part of the interface consists of the C-terminal residues 468–502 of two P-related chains interacting across the P-axis at the distal ends of the tetramer. Two such interfaces are located at opposite ends of the tetramer (Fig. 4). The minor part of the OP interface is located at the intersection of the two-fold axes and involves just the guanidinium of Arg-133 forming a hydrogen bond with the carbonyl of Val-134 of the P-related chain (Fig. 4A, boxed inset). Thus, there are four of these intersubunit hydrogen bonds located in the center of the tetramer.
The OQ interface is located in the middle of the tetramer and buries 4600 Å² of contiguous surface area. Two helices (residues 115–134 and 188–197) from domain 2 of Q-related chains interact to form a four-helix bundle oriented parallel to the Q-axis (Fig. 4). Note that these two helices are unique to AfUGM (Fig. 2A). The tetramer has two of these bundles. The four-helix bundle has a hydrophobic interior featuring Val, Leu, and Ile side chains.

Active Site of Sulfate Complex—The crystals used for structure determination were grown in 1.5 M ammonium sulfate, and as crystallized, two sulfate ions are bound in the active site (supplemental Fig. S1A). The ions bind on the re side of the isoalloxazine. One of the ions binds next to the center ring of the isoalloxazine, which is the binding site for the Galp moiety of the substrate. His-63, an absolutely conserved residue in UGMs, is likewise located on the re face and interacts with both sulfate ions. The location of the conserved histidine at the re face is unprecedented and likely a crystallization artifact.

The isoalloxazine is planar, which is consistent with the FAD being oxidized. It is noted that the crystals are yellow, which is also indicative of the oxidized state.

Histidine Loop of Reduced AfUGM—The structure of the reduced enzyme (AfUGM₄) was determined from a crystal that was soaked in 80 mM sodium dithionite. The crystal changed from yellow to colorless, which indicated that the FAD was reduced.

Soaking with dithionite causes significant changes in the active site, which occur in all four chains. Electron density features for the sulfate ions are absent, and the conformation of the histidine loop is dramatically different (supplemental Fig. S1B). In particular, His-63 has moved to the si face of the FAD, where it stacks in parallel with the middle ring of the isoalloxazine and forms a hydrogen bond with the FAD 2'–OH. Furthermore, the carbonyl of Gly-62 forms a hydrogen bond with the FAD N5. This conformational change in the protein is accompanied by a 3 Å shift in the FMN part of the FAD. This shift brings the N1 atom of the FAD within hydrogen-bonding distance of the backbone N-H group of Gln-458, which is consistent with the FAD adopting the anionic hydroquinone state.

The conformation of the histidine loop in AfUGM₄ is very similar to those of bacterial UGMs. In particular, in all other structures, the conserved histidine is located at the si face as in AfUGM₄. Furthermore, in all other UGMs, the carbonyl of the residue preceding the conserved His accepts a hydrogen bond from the N5 of reduced FAD. The carbonyl-N5 interaction is important for stabilizing the reduced state of FAD, a necessity for activity. As mentioned, Gly-62 forms this critical hydrogen bond in AfUGM₄. It is concluded that the dithionite-reduced AfUGM structure represents the active, substrate-free form of the enzyme.

FAD Conformation and Binding Site—The FAD of AfUGM₄ binds primarily to domain 1 (Fig. 2A). The conformation of the FAD is nearly identical to those of bacterial UGMs, and the flavin-protein interactions are highly conserved (Fig. 5). In particular, there are several interactions with the protein backbone (Fig. 5B); these are conserved, as one would expect. Asp-38 forms two hydrogen bonds with the ribose. This interaction
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appears to be universal in UGM. Some of the side chain interactions with the FAD in AfUGM are found in other bacterial UGMs. For example, Ser-461 and Thr-18, which interact with the ribityl 3′-OH and pyrophosphate, respectively, are analogous to Thr-355 and Ser-14 of Klebsiella pneumoniae UGM (KpUGM).

The FAD of AfUGMr exhibits a butterfly-like distortion of the isoalloxazine in which the pyrimidine ring bends 7° out of the plane such that the si face is concave (Fig. 5C). The direction of the bending is the same as that of Deinococcus radiodurans UGM (DrUGM) (37) and opposite to that of KpUGM (38). The angle is close to that of DrUGM and about half that of KpUGM (~13°).

Structure of AfUGMr Complexed with UDP—The structure of AfUGMr complexed with UDP was determined from a crystal that had been soaked in sodium dithionite and UDP. Electron density maps clearly indicated that UDP is bound in two of the four active sites of the tetramer (Fig. 6). The occupancy of UDP is estimated to be 0.9, which indicates essentially full occupancy and thus tight binding.

The binding of UDP induces profound conformational changes in the enzyme (Fig. 7). The largest of these changes involves residues 179–187 and 203–209. In the absence of UDP, these loops reside on the periphery of the enzyme, and thus, the active site is open. Upon binding, the loops move toward each other like the flaps of a box top to create a closed active site. The conformational changes are substantial. For example, the middle residues of the two loops, Val-183 and Pro-206, move by 11 and 13 Å, respectively, upon ligand binding. One consequence of the flaps folding inward is that the side chain of Phe-66 swings into the active site to avoid a clash with Pro-206 (Fig. 7). As shown below, Phe-66 contacts the Galp moiety of the substrate.

The closing of the flaps is accompanied by smaller conformational changes in residues 104–107 and 146–161 that fine-tune the uridine binding pocket. Tyr-104 and Phe-158 move apart from each other by 3 Å to create space for the incoming uracil. Phe-106 and Gln-107 shift by 1.0 and 2.0 Å, respectively, to form three hydrogen bonds with the base (interactions are shown in Fig. 6). Finally, movements of ~1.0 Å bring Asn-163, Trp-167, Tyr-317, Arg-327, and Tyr-453 into contact distance of the ribose and pyrophosphate (Fig. 6).

The dramatic closing of the flaps also results in the formation of new protein-protein interactions that stabilize the closed active site conformation (Fig. 7). In particular, Glu-181 and Arg-182 of the 180s flap interact with His-68 and Asn-457, respectively, in the closed state, whereas in the open state, the interacting partners are separated by 17–19 Å. Another interaction that stabilizes the closed state involves Arg-91, whose side chain moves to make four hydrogen bonds with the 200s flap.

Structure of AfUGMr Complexed with UDP-Galp—The structure of AfUGMr complexed with the substrate UDP-Galp was determined from a crystal that was soaked in sodium dithionite and UDP-Galp. As with the UDP complex, electron density maps indicated binding in two of the four active sites (Fig. 8A). The occupancy of UDP-Galp is estimated to be 0.8. Electron density is weak for the O5 atom of the Galp moiety, suggesting the possibility of conformational disorder in this part of the ring. We note that conformational disorder of the Galp moiety has been observed previously (PDB code 3INT). As seen with UDP, the substrate induces closure of both flaps.

The conformation and interactions of the UDP moiety of the substrate are essentially identical to those of the AfUGMr-UDP complex, so we will focus on the Galp moiety. Galp binds at the re face of the FAD isoalloxazine with the anomic carbon atom (C1) poised 3.4 Å from the FAD N5 atom (Fig. 8A, red dashes). The hydroxyl groups of Galp make direct hydrogen bonds with Arg-182, Asn-207, and Asn-457 (Fig. 8B). Water-mediated interactions are formed with Thr-329, Tyr-334, and Arg-447. Also, the O4 hydroxyl forms a hydrogen bond with the FAD O4 carbonyl. In addition to these electrostatic interactions, nonpolar contacts are formed with Phe-66 and Trp-315. These steric interactions presumably help enforce specificity for Galp and orient the carbohydrate for catalysis.
Comparison of UDP-Galp Interactions in AfUGM and Bacterial UGMs—The structures of the UDP-Galp complexes of AfUGM, KpUGM, and DrUGM were compared to identify similarities and differences in substrate recognition (supplemental Fig. S2). In all three structures, the substrate adopts an extended conformation, the O4 hydroxyl of Galp forms a hydrogen bond to the FAD O4, and the anomeric carbon atom is near the FAD N5. Several conserved residues participate in substrate recognition, including Arg-182, Arg-327, Trp-167, Tyr-419, and Tyr-453 (AfUGM numbering).

Differences between the two classes of UGM are also evident (supplemental Fig. S2). In particular, the UMP moiety of...
AfUGM is displaced by 3–5 Å when compared with the bacterial enzymes. The uracil ring is shifted by ∼4 Å and rotated by almost 90°. This difference allows the uracil to form two hydrogen bonds with Gln-107, a residue without a counterpart in bacterial UGMs. Displacement of the UMP also reflects a different arrangement of Tyr residues that interact with the pyrophosphate (Tyr-317 in AfUGM, Tyr-185 in KpUGM, Tyr-209 in DrUGM). Finally, the O6 hydroxyl of Galp in AfUGM is rotated by 110° from that in the bacterial enzymes. This difference is due to the potential for steric clash with Trp-315.

**DISCUSSION**

The core structure of UGM appears to be conserved across the bacterial and eukaryotic domains of life despite low sequence identity (∼16%). The root mean square deviation between AfUGM and bacterial UGMs is 2.4–2.7 Å over 279–322 residues. Also, the majority of the FAD-protein interactions are conserved.

Curiously, protoporphyrinogen oxidase from *Myxococcus xanthus* (PPOX) (39), rather than another UGM, is the closest structural homolog of AfUGM in the PDB (supplemental Fig. S3). PPOX has FAD binding, membrane binding, and substrate binding domains, which correspond to UGM domains 1, 2, and 3, respectively. PPOX (PDB code 2IVD) and AfUGM superimpose with a root mean square deviation of 2.5 Å covering 370 residues, despite sharing only 15% sequence identity. Furthermore, PPOX has some of the extra structural features of AfUGM that are not found in bacterial UGMs, including the inserted β-sheet in domain 1 and the extra helix and strand of domain 3. The significance of the structural homology to PPOX is not obvious.

Neither oligomeric state nor quaternary structure is conserved in UGM. Dimers are common for bacterial UGMs, whereas AfUGM forms a tetramer in solution. UGM from *E. coli* was shown to be dimeric in solution based on dynamic light scattering (40), and the crystal structure revealed a semi-circular dimer that likely represents the dimer in solution (36). This dimer is also found in the crystal lattices of KpUGM and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* UGM (41). DrUGM appears to have a different quaternary structure, although this has not been confirmed with solution studies. Analysis of the interfaces in the primitive orthorhombic lattice of DrUGM suggests that the enzyme forms a decamer in solution (37). The decamer is a pentamer of dimers in which the propagated dimer is unlike the semicircular dimer of the other bacterial UGMs. The DrUGM dimer is formed by interactions involving domains 1 and 3. The constituent dimers of the AFUGM tetramer are unlike any of the dimers of bacterial UGMs.

Unique structural features of AfUGM preclude the assembly of the dimers formed by bacterial UGMs. For example, if the classic UGM semicircular dimer is constructed from AfUGM protomers, several clashes across the dimer interface are evident. These clashes involve the 200s flap and the extra helix of domain 2, both of which are unique to AfUGM. Likewise, a hypothetical dimer built like DrUGM exhibits clashes in the four-stranded sheet in domain 1 and the long inserted loop in domain 3. Thus, the protomer structure of AfUGM is incompatible with the quaternary structures of bacterial UGMs.

The observation of a tetramer raises the possibility of cooperativity between the subunits. Interestingly, previous studies have shown that 50% of the bound flavin remains reduced under normal laboratory conditions (absence of reducing agent), which suggests nonequivalence of the subunits (14). One possibility is that electrostatic repulsion between reduced flavins in the tetramer could contribute to this phenomenon. FADs related by the P-axis are 53 Å apart, whereas those related by the Q- and R-axes are separated by 75 and 79 Å, respectively. If electrostatic repulsion is important over the ∼50 Å scale, these distances suggest that protomers related by the R-axis, i.e. those along the diagonal of the tetramer, are equivalent. Interestingly, crystal soaking populated only the diagonal protomers. Future studies of cooperativity in AfUGM seem warranted.

The quaternary structure of AFUGM enables conformational changes that distinguish AFUGM from bacterial UGMs. AfUGM has two flaps that move substantially upon substrate binding and product release. Bacterial UGMs have just one mobile loop that enters the active site upon substrate binding (Fig. 2B, mobile loop) (37, 38). This loop is analogous to the 180s flap of AFUGM. The region of bacterial UGMs corresponding to the 200s flap also participates in substrate binding (Fig. 2B, static loop). In particular, Tyr-185 and Phe-186 of KpUGM (Tyr-209 and Phe-210 of DrUGM) contact the pyrophosphate and Galp moiety of UDP-Galp, respectively. However, these residues are static because the loop is in a protein-protein interface, and a neighboring protein molecule of the oligomer restricts the motion of the loop, effectively locking it in the closed conformation. In contrast, the 200s flap of AFUGM resides on the surface of the tetramer (Fig. 4A) and is able to adopt open and closed conformations. Thus, tetramerization of AFUGM allows an additional degree of conformational freedom.

It is notable that very few of the flap residues directly interact with the substrate. (Exceptions are Arg-182 and Asn-207.) This observation suggests that the purpose of flap closure is not only to assemble the constellation of residues needed for substrate recognition but also to create a protected environment for catalysis. The latter role is consistent with mechanisms in which UDP is displaced during the catalytic cycle (1) because closure of the flaps presumably prevents the severed UDP from migrating out of the active site.

Finally, the AFUGM structures provide additional insight into the catalytic mechanism of UGM. Two prevailing mechanisms have been proposed based on studies of the bacterial enzymes (1). One mechanism begins with the flavin functioning as a nucleophile that attacks the anomeric carbon of galactose to form a covalent intermediate and displace UDP (42) (supplemental Fig. S4). The other mechanism involves single electron transfer from the reduced flavin to an oxocarbenium sugar intermediate followed by the formation of a flavin-sugar adduct (43, 44). Which of these mechanisms, if either, applies to eukaryotic UGMs is unknown. However, the Galp moiety in the AFUGM–UDP-Galp complex is poised for nucleophilic attack by the FAD N5 at the anomeric carbon atom (Fig. 8A). Also, the direction of the bend of the FAD isoalloxazine in AFUGM is consistent with formation of a covalent intermediate (41). For bacterial UGMs, crystal structures, reductive trapping experiments, and studies with modified flavins support the covalent...
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mechanism (37, 38, 44). Thus, it seems likely that bacterial and eukaryotic UGMs share a common catalytic mechanism.
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Crystallization—Crystallization screens of AFUGM and Se-Met AFUGM were performed at 21°C and 4°C using the sitting-drop and hanging-drop methods of vapor diffusion, as well as microbatch. These screens were performed using ligand-free protein and protein that had been incubated with UDP-glucose, UMP, or UDP.

Initial structure determination efforts were hampered by translational pseudosymmetry (TPS). Crystal screening and optimization yielded two crystal forms that exhibit TPS. One of these forms appeared as hexagonal blocks in ammonium sulfate and diffracted to 3.4 Å resolution. The optimal reservoir contained 1.6 M ammonium sulfate, 5 % PEG 400, and HEPES buffer at pH 7.5 The apparent Laue symmetry is 6/mmm with unit cell lengths of a = 202 Å, c = 355 Å. The native Patterson map calculated using phenix.xtriage (15) exhibited a peak at (u = 0, v = 0, w = 0.49) with a height of 48 % relative to the origin peak, which suggests strong TPS. This crystal form was also obtained under low ionic strength conditions, such as 13% polyethylene glycol (PEG) 8000 and HEPES buffer at pH 8.0. Curiously, the external morphology of the PEG form was thin blades instead of hexagonal blocks. Nevertheless, the lattice and TPS were identical to that of the ammonium sulfate form. Various PEG solutions also yielded an orthorhombic crystal form, which diffracted to 3.2 Å. The apparent Laue symmetry is mmmm with a = 111 Å, b = 207 Å, and c = 234 Å. The native Patterson map exhibited a strong (22 - 45 %) off-origin peak near (0, 0.25, 0.5), indicating TPS. Se-Met SAD phasing was attempted with these crystal forms, but the maps were not interpretable.

Additive screening was used to identify new crystal forms. The most promising result was obtained using ammonium sulfate as the precipitant and isopropanol as the additive. The inclusion of 5 % isopropanol changed the morphology from hexagonal block to hexagonal bipyramid. The apparent Laue symmetry is 6/mmm with cell dimensions of a = 217 Å, c = 325 Å. Note that these dimensions are about 8 % different from those obtained in the absence of isopropanol. Moreover, the native Patterson map did not indicate TPS. However, these crystals diffracted to only about 5.5 Å resolution. Structure determination via Se-Met SAD phasing failed, due presumably to poor data quality.

Several site-directed mutants of AFUGM were screened to identify crystal forms that are free of pathologies and diffract to higher resolution. The mutations were designed from a homology model of AFUGM calculated using Phyre (16). The mutant enzymes that were subjected to crystal screening included the predicted active site mutants W167A, R211A, R327K, and W204A, as well the predicted surface double mutants K231A/K233A, K239A/K241A, K344A/K345A, and K363A/E364A. Most of the aforementioned undesirable crystal forms were also observed with the mutant enzymes. However, a new hexagonal crystal form was discovered with K344A/K345A, and this crystal form was used for structure determination as described in the main text.
|                | $k_{cat}$ s$^{-1}$ | $K_M$ µM | $k_{cat}/K_M$ µM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ |
|----------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Native         | 60 ± 3            | 70 ± 10 | 0.84 ± 0.13                   |
| K344A/K345A    | 55 ± 2            | 70 ± 9  | 0.75 ± 0.07                   |
Fig. S1. Stereographic views of histidine loop in the (A) sulfate complex and (B) AfUGM₄. In both panels, the cage represents a simulated annealing $\sigma_A$-weighted $F_o - F_c$ omit map contoured at 3.0 $\sigma$. 
Fig. S2. UDP-Galp recognition by eukaryotic and bacterial UGMs (stereographic view). The structures of AfUGM (white), KpUGM (cyan, 3INT), and DrUGM (yellow, 3HDY) complexed with UDP-Galp are shown. Selected residues of AfUGM are noted.
**Fig. S3.** Protoporphyrinogen oxidase from *Myxococcus xanthus* (PDB code 2IVD). The FAD-binding (blue), membrane-binding (yellow), and substrate-binding (green) domains correspond to UGM domains 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Red indicates elements that are common to AfUGM and PPOX but not found in bacterial UGMs.
Fig. S4. Proposed chemical mechanism in which the FAD acts as a nucleophile forming an iminium ion prior to the interconversion between pyranose and furanose forms.