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Abstract Long-time equilibrium molecular dynamics simulations were performed to study the passage of a substrate, L-arabinose, through nanopores of orthorhombic hen egg white lysozyme crystals. Cross-linked protein crystals (CLPC), as novel biological nanoporous media, consist of an extensive regular matrix of chiral solvent-filled nanopores via which ions and solutes, e.g. sugars and amino acids, travel in and out. We studied the diffusive motion of arabinose inside protein channels. The computed diffusion coefficients within the crystal were orders of magnitudes lower relative to the diffusion coefficient of the solute in water. This study is valuable for understanding the nature of solute–protein interactions and transport phenomena in CLPCs and provides an understanding of biocatalytic and bioseparation processes using CLPC.
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Introduction

Protein crystals are conventionally thought as important elements in structure determination of biomolecules as well as in protein purification (Johnson and Philips 1965; Blundell et al. 2003; Haring and Schnirer 1991). They contain pores that range from approx. 0.3 nm to 10 nm and occupy 25–75% of the crystal volume (Bishop and Richards 1968). Their porosity is comparable to that of inorganic porous catalysts and sorbents, such as zeolites and silica-gel (Bishop and Richards 1968; Vilenchik et al. 1998; Margolin and Navia 2001). More robust cross-linked protein crystals (CLPC) have been applied successfully as extremely stable biocatalysts (Vilenchik et al. 1998) and as selective (chiral) separation media (Margolin and Navia 2001). The complex crystal structure of the protein contains many functional active sites. Moreover, it resists environmental degradation once it is cross-linked (Vilenchik et al. 1998; Margolin and Navia 2001).

Understanding the nature of transport of solutes in CLEC is relevant to many biotechnological processes (Margolin and Navia 2001; Margolin and Vilenchik 1996; Margolin et al. 2000; Vuolanto et al. 2002, 2004; Jokela et al. 2002). Properties of intracrystalline water molecules and ions, and their transport through the crystal, are essential to many of these applications. Apart from their practical use, protein crystals also provide a unique model to study transport phenomena in protein channels in cell membranes since the structure of water-filled pores in the crystals is determined to atomic resolution by X-ray diffraction (Morozova et al. 1996). There are effects of both the solute on protein and protein on
the solute (Nagendra et al. 1998). The latter effect is more pronounced in protein crystals, where proteins make up the lining of small pores and water and ions affect the passage of substances through such pores (Nagendra et al. 1998; Eisenberg and Kauzmann 1969). Several studies have focused on the experimental determination of the solute and water transport in protein crystals (Bishop and Richards 1968; Morozov et al. 1995; Velev et al. 2000; Cvetkovic et al. 2005a, b; O’Hara et al. 1995). Recent studies on diffusion in protein crystals still leave open questions concerning the mobility of solutes and protein–solute interactions near proteins that constitute the pore walls (Cvetkovic et al. 2005a, b). In addition to advanced experimental techniques, versatile computational tools are generally needed to correlate reactivity of the protein and transport of solute with nanoporosity of the enzyme crystals at atomistic level (Malek et al. 2004, 2005).

Molecular dynamics simulations with explicit representation of molecules and ions should, in principle, provide realistic information about the diffusive motion of water, individual solute molecules and ions at atomic resolution. These simulations, however, are only practical at longer time and length scales. Due to heterogeneity of the protein surface, it is necessary to treat transport of molecules at the protein–water interface as a local property (Bon et al. 1999). There have been a number of such studies to determine how the water molecules on the protein surface are perturbed from the bulk (Bizzari and Cannistraro 1996; Bizzari et al. 1996). To our knowledge, there have been no such studies on dynamical motion of small solute molecules in a protein crystal. The mobility of small dipolar molecules, such as sugars and amino acids, in the vicinity and far from the protein surface in a confined biological channel, exhibits different dynamical and structural behavior from that in a free protein in solution (Velev et al. 2000; Cvetkovic et al. 2005a, b; O’Hara et al. 1995; Malek et al. 2004, 2005).

In the crystalline form, proteins are in a highly ordered three-dimensional structure, where the protein molecules strongly bind to each other with specific intermolecular interactions. Protein crystals are particularly interesting materials for chiral separations (Margolin and Navia 2001; Margolin and Vilenchik 1996; Margolin et al. 2000; Vuolanto et al. 2002, 2004; Jokela et al. 2002). The crystal framework poses an asymmetric environment and is made of l-alkyl amino acids. Enzymes in crystals have also specific binding sites for the substrates and cofactors. In addition, protein crystals are nanoporous materials. Based on these properties, many CLPCs have recently been used in chiral separation of racemic mixtures (Vuolanto et al. 2004; Jokela et al. 2002). These novel insights are valuable for biotechnological applications to devise highly selective biocatalysts, biosensors and bioseparators.

Here, we use orthorhombic lysozyme as a simple model enzyme to study diffusion of a chiral substrate through pore network of the enzyme crystal. Its well-known and extremely stable molecular structure makes it a good choice for computational studies. Moreover, lysozyme belongs to a large class of enzymes known as glycosidases. These are extremely efficient glycosidic hydrolysis catalysts. Biocatalysis inside the protein lattice has advanced features of product separation and catalyst recovery. Once the catalytic reaction occurs in lysozyme crystals, understanding the transport of products (sugars) through the lattice becomes an important issue. In short, the simple and well-known structure of lysozyme as model protein, the catalytic activity of lysozyme for hydrolyzing the glycosidic bond, the practical potential of protein crystals as biochemical porous media for chiral separation and as a model for biological channels, in general, were our first motivations for choosing a simulation model based on transport of sugar substrate into orthorhombic lysozyme lattice. Here we provide, for the first time, long-time MD simulations of diffusion of l-arabinose in orthorhombic hen egg white lysozyme crystals. Fundamental questions are; do solute molecules move by translation and/or rotation? How solute–protein interactions influence transport of a chiral solute through the protein crystal? What is the detailed nature of the diffusive motion of solute molecules in a lysozyme crystal and what are the length scales and the time scales (dynamics) of all those events.

**Computational methodology**

We use MD simulations to examine diffusion of l-arabinose (Ara) in a fully hydrated orthorhombic
lysozyme crystal. Ara is an aldopentose (Fig. 1) that has been extensively used as a substrate to probe diffusion properties of protein channels (Nikaido and Rosenberg 1981). Lysozyme consists of 129 amino acids with 1001 non-hydrogen atoms. The crystal structures of lysozyme, entry 1AKI (Artymiuk et al. 1982), is taken from the Brookhaven Protein Data-base and used as a starting point. Hydrogen atoms attached to aliphatic carbon atoms are incorporated with the latter, but the remaining 342 hydrogen atoms are treated explicitly, leading to 1,343 (pseudo-) atoms in total. The simulations are done at pH 7. The amino acids Glu and Asp are taken to be deprotonated while Lys, Arg and His residues are protonated (Artymiuk et al. 1982; Stocker et al. 2000). This leads to +8 electron charges per protein molecule. Chloride ions are then added for electroneutrality. In an orthorhombic crystal, four protein molecules related by the crystallographic symmetry P212121 are placed in the unit cell with $a = 5.9062$ nm, $b = 6.8451$ nm, and $c = 3.0517$ nm. Repeating the unit cell along crystallographic axes generates the pore network (Fig. 2). Channels and cavities within the orthorhombic lysozyme lattices are determined by using a procedure explained elsewhere (Malek et al. 2004), based on HOLE and CHANNEL algorithms (Smart et al. 1996; Kisiljuk et al. 1994). The radius of a pore is determined at any given distance along the pore axis by calculating the maximum size for a spherical probe to still fit in the pore without overlap with the van der Waals radii of atoms in the pore wall (Kisiljuk et al. 1994).

Simulations are carried out using a fully atomistic $1 \times 1 \times 5$ lysozyme lattice ($a = 5.9062$ nm, $b = 6.8451$ nm, and $c = 15.2585$ nm). The single unit cell in Fig. 2b is repeated five times along the z-axis, so that a long pore is constructed. We start from an initial configuration by random placing arabinose inside the lysozyme channel. The system contains 20 lysozyme molecules, 21 arabinoses, 6,239 crystallographic and non-crystallographic water molecules and 160 chloride ions, leading to 46,031 atoms in total. After that the system was equilibrated for 500 ps using harmonic position restraints (1000 kJ mol$^{-1}$ nm$^{-2}$). Production runs were performed for another 26 ns, the last 25 ns of which was used for the analysis. Simulations are performed using GROMOS96 force field (van Gunsteren et al. 1990, 1996). In our force field, interactions between atoms are divided into non-bonded interactions, between any pair of atoms that are within a given cut-off radius, and bonded interactions between atoms connected by chemical bonds. In case of the non-bonded interactions (electrostatic and van der Waals), a partial charge and parameters for repulsion and attraction are assigned to each atom. The bonded interaction consists of bond, angle and dihedral terms. Bond and angle bending are given by simple harmonic potentials. The torsional rotational potential for the dihedral angle is a periodic function with a 3-fold barrier. The partial charges and interaction parameters for all species are taken from GROMOS96 database (van Gunsteren et al. 1990).

In our MD simulation, a cut-off of 1.4 nm is used for Van der Waals interactions, while a cut-off of 1 nm and PME with a grid spacing of 0.12 nm and fourth order interpolation are used for electrostatics interactions (Morozova et al. 1996). During the simulations, the potential energy and the total energy are monitored in order to check if the system is in equilibrium. MD simulations are performed in a canonical (NVT) ensemble at 300 K. The temperature (300 K) is controlled by the Berendsen weak-coupling algorithm, separately for protein, Ara and solvent plus ions with a time constant of 0.1 ps. During the simulations, polar hydrogen atoms are treated as dummy atoms with an increased mass of 4 Da. This allowed the integration time step to be 5 fs. Simulations are done with the GROMACS package (Lindahl et al. 2001; Berendsen et al. 1995; http://www.gromacs.org). Visualization is done by using the VMD v1.8.3 (Humphrey et al. 1996) commercial package.
Results and discussions

Figure 2a shows an instantaneous configuration of the atomic model of the orthorhombic lysozyme lattice, constructed of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ unit cells, whereas a single unit cell is framed in Fig. 2b. In each unit cell, there is a main pore lies along the $z$-axis and there are no remarkable pores in the other directions (Malek et al. 2004; Geremia et al. 2005; Falkner et al. 2005). Figure 3a visualizes part of the main pores along the $z$-axis. The average pore radius is about $0.88 \pm 0.02$ nm. Figure 3b shows the pore radius as a function of the pore axis. The profiles show that there are constricted zones inside each pore. The pore radius slowly decreases from over 0.95 nm to slightly less than 0.82 nm at its narrowest point. The charged residues Lys1, Lys13, Lys33, Lys96, Lys97, Lys116, Arg14, Arg21, Arg114, Asp87, and Asp119 belonging to the lysozyme molecules lie in the pore space. The motion of these residues may affect the pore shape and pore size during the transport of Ara. Our analysis shows that the effect of the protein fluctuations on pore shape is negligible, particularly for solutes of sizes much smaller than the pore diameter, such as Ara.

The averaged root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of C-$\alpha$ of each residue, calculated from the distance fluctuation matrix of a 25 ns trajectory in crystalline orthorhombic lysozyme is shown in Fig. 4a. The root mean square fluctuations from the X-ray structure are averaged over 20 proteins. The fluctuation results (Fig. 4a) are consistent with previous works on fluctuations and correlations in lysozyme crystals (Héry et al. 1997, 1998). The largest fluctuations are for the $\alpha$-helix loops. The $\beta$-strand residues show a low mobility with values on the order of 0.1 nm. $\beta$-Strands exhibit a high degree of rigidity with moderate correlations, while only parts of $\alpha$-helices are rigid or correlated (Héry et al. 1998). Although the protein atoms fluctuate slightly during the simulation, these are too small to cause significant changes in pore size or in diffusivity. Figure 4b shows that the RMSF patterns are similar for all twenty lysozyme molecules. The residues Gly117, Gly71 and Asp101 show fluctuations above 0.10 nm. Among these, Gly117 displays the maximum fluctuations ranging from 0.15 nm to 0.25 nm. Most of the charged residues accessible from the pore space show fluctuations around 0.05 nm. The latter confirms that the pore shape and pore size are not
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affected by protein fluctuations during 25 ns MD simulation.

In order to study the motion of solutes inside orthorhombic lysozyme crystals, we take into account that proteins affect the dynamics of solute molecules. MD simulations provide information to the dynamic picture of the solute motion in the vicinity of protein molecules. The self-diffusion coefficient $D$, which has been widely used in both spectroscopic experiments and MD simulations, is a suitable parameter characterizing the dynamical behavior of solute in the water filled protein channels in protein crystals. The diffusion coefficient $D$, is related to the slope of the mean square displacement (MSD) of water molecules by the Einstein relation, which in $d$ dimension is:

$$D = \lim_{\Delta t \to \infty} \frac{\langle |\Delta r|^2 \rangle_{\Delta t}}{2d \Delta t}$$

where $\langle |\Delta r|^2 \rangle_{\Delta t}$ is the MSD of solute molecules during the time $\Delta t$, averaged over the ensemble of
molecules in a $d$ dimensional space, from the moment $t_0$ that their motion is equilibrated in the pore space. Use of the Einstein equation for the determination of $D$ requires a linear dependence of the MSD with time. For the determination of the solute diffusivity, the MSD--$t$ linear relation is usually fulfilled on time scales longer than a few to hundred nanoseconds (Bizzari and Cannistraro 1996).

In order to study diffusion of a solute (Ara) inside pore space of an orthorhombic lysozyme crystal, we have performed extensive MD simulations of Ara transport in a fully hydrated lysozyme crystal. Protein atoms are subject to move according to the equation of motions. Ara molecules are randomly incorporated inside the main pore along $z$-axis (Fig. 3a). The dynamical motion of solute molecules was sampled each 10 ps during 25 ns simulation.

Figure 5a shows the displacement of nine Ara molecules along the $z$-axis as a function of time. The location of the solute molecules is sufficiently randomized during the simulation time, so that the diffusion properties do not depend on the arbitrary initial placement of the Ara molecules. The motion of Ara molecules displays many jumps, with little motion between jumps. A few Ara molecules travel within the pore space with displacement in the order of 5–10 nm, while a few others remain around the same axial ($z$) position in the pore region, moving rather in $xy$ plane. Overall, solute molecules move freely, some travel all the way within the pore network, a few remain in the pore and some go deep into the pore and return after some time. At some points along the trajectory, a group of two or three Ara molecules establish hydrogen bonding and perform a collective motion as a united body. The latter is particularly observed in longer simulation times thereby bringing an artefact in to the diffusion analysis of single Ara molecules. To calculate the self-diffusion ($D$) of solute molecules in the pore, the Einstein relationship (Eq. 1) is used.

Figure 4b shows Mean square displacement values (MSD) values versus time for Ara molecules diffusing in the pore network of lysozyme crystal. Only trajectories of individual Ara molecules are included in calculation of MSD and therefore the collective motion of solute molecules is not included in Fig. 5b. Overall, the log-log behavior of MSD vs. time (for 5 ns < $t$ < 20) is linear with a slope close to one. This shows that in fully hydrated pores of the lattice, the Einstein relation, Eq. 1, can describe the diffusion of Ara. The diffusion coefficient of Ara in pores along $z$-axis is calculated from the intercept of the log–log plot, that is $(8.51 \pm 0.064) \times 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Recently, we have performed combined dynamic Monte-Carlo and Brownian dynamic (MC–BD) simulations to study electrostatic and steric confinement effects on the mobility of spherical probes in orthorhombic and tetragonal lysosyme crystals (Malek et al. 2004). The diffusion coefficient of a probe of size comparable to that of the hydrated Ara $(0.86 \pm 0.01 \text{ nm})$, calculated by MC–BD simulations is $(8.85 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is in good agreement with the MD prediction. This indicates that size exclusion is the main reason for uncharged solute transport in orthorhombic lysozyme crystals. The diffusion of solutes within lysozyme crystals has also been investigated using different experimental techniques (Velev et al. 2000; Cvetkovic et al. 2005a, b; O’Hara et al. 1995). Velev
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**Fig. 5** (a) The displacement of nine arabinose molecules along the $z$-axis through the pore region of lysozyme crystal shown in Fig. 2a. Different colours represent different Ara molecules. (b) Mean square displacement (MSD) of Ara molecules versus time.
et al. (2000) studied the diffusion of surfactants in lysozyme crystals using fluorescent probes by means of quantitative fluorescence microscopy. The diffusion coefficients obtained range from 2 to $30 \times 10^{-14}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$.

By using 3-D laser scanning confocal microscopy visualizations, Cvetkovic et al. (2005a, b) investigated detailed diffusion coefficients of some solutes, fluorescein and rhodamine B, into tetragonal, orthorhombic, and triclinic lysozyme crystals. The results showed that the transport of solute molecules depends on the chemical nature of solutes (e.g., hydrophobic vs. hydrophilic), charge and size of solutes and pore network within protein crystal. The diffusion of fluorescein, with an average diameter of $0.69 \pm 0.02$ nm, in orthorhombic lysozyme crystals was highly anisotropic and the diffusion coefficient was calculated as approx. $7.0 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-13}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$.

The diffusion coefficient of Ara calculated from our MD simulation is in reasonable agreement to these experiments. The diffusion rate is 4–5 orders of magnitude slower than that in free water ($\sim 7.73 \times 10^{-10}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ at 298 K) (Nikaido and Rosenberg 1981; Mogi et al. 2007). The deviation is most likely as a result of single-point interactions between solute and side chains of the XI, which are usually characterized by hydrogen bindings and dipole-dipole interactions. Our preliminary analysis based on hydrogen bonds, number of contacts and minimum distance between Ara molecules and residues on the pore wall showed that the solute molecules spend considerable time in the vicinity of active site residues Lys96, Lys97, Lys116, Arg14, Arg21, and Asp87. This indicates that the orientation and translational-rotational motion of Ara play an important role in its transport through channels in orthorhombic lysozyme crystals.

These results suggest that in lysozyme lattice, and in contrast to the free lysozyme in solution, the interactions between dipolar solute and protein are mostly promoted by a large electric field on the residues far from the active site cleft (which are Asp52, Asp53, Glu35, and Asp99). Many of the latter charged amino acids that act as adsorption/desorption sites for the solute are, in fact, buried, while the residues Lys96, Lys97, Lys116, Arg14, Arg21, and Asp87 are more easily accessible. Considerable experimental and computational evidence supports several aspects of the mechanism that has been proposed for the catalytic activity of the lysozyme in solution (Dao-Pin et al. 1989; Bottoni et al. 2005). In a manner similar to that proposed for free lysozyme, our simulation results can be validated based on finding the most favorable interaction of the substrate molecules in the mixture with the catalytic active centers of the enzyme molecules in lattice. Such qualitative agreement with experimental data corroborates predictive capabilities of our model. Moreover, our study highlights the importance of the protein–solute interactions on the transport in protein crystals.

Despite its simplicity and obvious limitations, this computational study provides insight into the main features of solute transport in protein crystals. Our study allows relating transport properties of the nanopores in protein crystals to solvent and ion motion as well as to protein fluctuations. Although our findings are in good qualitative and quantitative agreement with existing experimental data, more experimental studies are still needed by which we can compare our data directly. These results in combination with experimental information provide vital insights for understanding biocatalytic and chiral separation processes in CLPC.

**Conclusion**

In the present study, we performed MD simulations to study the motion of an aldopentose molecule (L-arabinose) in nanopores of a fully hydrated orthorhombic lysozyme crystal. The electrostatic and steric interaction inside the crystal channel significantly influences the diffusion of solute. No clear adsorption site in the crystal was detected in the simulation, most likely due to the low solute concentration. However, our analysis show that the solute molecules spend considerable time in the vicinity of active site residues Lys96, Lys97, Lys116, Arg14, Arg21, and Asp87. According to our results, the average mobility of solute molecules in lysozyme crystal channels is reduced orders of magnitude compared to that in free water. This is why crystalline proteins are easily accessible to solute molecules that are smaller than the necks of the crystal channels. The results are of practical interest to evaluate the time necessary to impregnate the crystals with ligands, water and solutes and provide valuable insights of solute–protein interactions during solute transport in nanopores of protein crystals.
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