Comprehensive Molecular Characterization of the Mitochondrial Genome of the Takin Lungworm *Varestrongylus eleguneniensis* (Strongylida: Protostrongylidae)

Yue Xie 1,*,†, Yijun Chen 1,†, Lidan Wang 1, Zhao Wang 1, Pengchen Zhu 1, Zun Hu 1,2, Xiaobin Gu 1, Ran He 1, Jing Xu 1, Bo Jing 1, Xuerong Peng 3, Guangyou Yang 1 and Xuan Zhou 1,*

1 Department of Parasitology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu 611130, China
2 Department of Food Technology and Science, College of Food Science, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, China
3 Department of Chemistry, College of Life and Basic Science, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu 611130, China
* Correspondence: xyue@sicau.edu.cn (Y.X.); zx0427@stu.sicau.edu.cn (X.Z.)
† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: The takin lungworm *Varestrongylus eleguneniensis* (Strongylida: Protostrongylidae) causes lethal bronchopneumonia and represents severe threats to captive and wild populations. However, until now there has been very limited information available concerning the molecular epidemiology and evolutionary biology of *V. eleguneniensis*. Mitochondrial genomes (mtDNAs) can provide resources for investigations in these areas and, therefore, can assist with the surveillance and control of this lungworm. Herein, the complete mtDNA of *V. eleguneniensis* was sequenced and characterized with Illumina pipeline analyses. This circular genome (13,625 bp) encoded twelve protein-coding genes (PCGs), two rRNAs, and twenty-two tRNAs, with notable levels of AT and GC skews. Comparative genomics revealed a purifying selection among PCGs, with *cox1* and *nad6* having the lowest and the highest evolutionary rate, respectively. Genome-wide phylogenies showed a close relationship between *V. eleguneniensis* and *Protostrongylus rufescens* in Strongylida. Single gene (PCGs or rRNAs)-based phylogenies indicated that *cox1* and *nad5* genes shared the same family-level topology with that inferred from genomic datasets, suggesting that both genes could be suitable genetic markers for evolutionary and phylogenetic studies of Strongylida species. This was the first mtDNA of any member of the genus *Varestrongylus*, and its comprehensive molecular characterization represents a new resource for systematic, population genetic and evolutionary biological studies of *Varestrongylus* lungworms in wildlife.
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1. Introduction

The takin (*Budorcas taxicolor*) is considered endangered by the International Union for Conservation of Nature Criteria (IUCN) and endemic to high elevation regions ranging from the Eastern Himalayas to South-Central China [1]. The nematode *Varestrongylus eleguneniensis* (Strongylida: Protostrongylidae) is one of the commonest endoparasites found in the lung of the takin and represents a significant threat to captive and wild populations [2]. Like other lungworms, *V. eleguneniensis* adults parasitize in the alveoli and bronchus of the takin, where they mate and reproduce first-stage larvae (L1)-containing eggs. Then, the L1 larvae hatch, travel up the bronchus to the oral cavity, enter the intestinal tract and are finally shed into feces. The terrestrial snails ingest L1s and become their intermediate hosts, where the L1s further develop into infective third-stage larvae (L3s). Takins, as the definitive host, infected *V. eleguneniensis* by digestion of L3-containing snails.
when grazing [3]. Clinically, *V. eleguneniensis* infection causes pulmonary complications including lung collapse and bronchopneumonia, and in severe cases host death, especially when co-infections with dictyocaulidosis [4].

Current diagnosis of *V. eleguneniensis* infections typically relies on morphological examination [5–7], and key morphological features of *V. eleguneniensis* adults include the fine cuticle markings with one blunt and rounded tip and four papillae around the buccal foramen. However, the morphology is often unrecognized, even by experienced parasitologists, and mistaken identification, particularly of the eggs and larvae, is not uncommon [8]. Additionally, the diagnosis becomes more challenging when identification and differentiation of eggs or larvae are carried out within an environment cross-contaminated by other lungworm eggs and larvae, including morphologically similar *Dictyocaulus* spp. [9,10]. Therefore, obtaining a more efficient and reliable method to detect *V. eleguneniensis* eggs or larvae has become crucial for clinical diagnosis, epidemiological survey, and laboratory examination, and achieving this goal is foreseeable only through the application of molecular methodologies.

Recent methods using ribosomal or mitochondrial DNA have proven valuable complementary tools to overcome this problem and are widely utilized for species identification of many Strongylida species, including lungworms [11,12]. For example, Verocai et al. studied the prevalence of lungworms from muskoxen and caribous and molecularly identified *V. eleguneniensis* as a widespread, multi-host species of wild North American ungulates based on sequencing and genetically analyzing the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS-2) [13]. Considering that the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) could be more suitable than the ribosomal sequences for species identification owing to its maternal inheritance, high mutation, and lack of recombination [14], Li and colleagues determined the mitochondrial *cox1* gene of Tibetan pig lungworms and verified that all lungworms were *Metastrongylus pudendotectus* [15]. Furthermore, Jabbar et al. applied the mitochondrial *cox1*, *cytb*, and *rrnS* genes-based multiplex PCR to monitor the prevalence of *Dictyoculus* lungworms and demonstrated the presence of *Dictyoculus cervi* infection in the Polish moose population [16]. However, compared to single mitochondrial gene loci, the complete mtDNA data can provide the genome-level genetic information and, therefore, would yield novel insights into evolutionary and phylogenetic-based analyses of lungworms.

Until now, there has been no information available on the mtDNAs of any *Varestrongylus* species. In this study, we sequenced, *de novo* assembled and characterized the complete mtDNA of *V. eleguneniensis*, the first member of the genus *Varestrongylus*, using Illumina technology. Then, the structure and organization of *V. eleguneniensis* mtDNA were compared with those of other Strongylida species to explore the conservation and variability of the whole genome at the nucleotide- and amino acid-levels. Following comparative genomics, the phylogenetic relationships of *V. eleguneniensis* in the family Protostrongylidae and of the family Protostrongylidae within the order Strongylida were also studied by reconstruction of phylogenetic trees (maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood (ML), and Bayesian inference (BI)) using either single or concatenated datasets of twelve PCGs and two rRNAs.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Genome Feature of the Takin Lungworm

The circular mtDNA of *V. eleguneniensis* was 13,625 bp in length, comparable to other sequenced Strongylida species (ranging from 13,310 to 15,221 bp) [17–20]. The entire genome encoded 36 genes, including 12 PCGs (atp6, cox1-3, cytb, nad1-6, and nad4L), two mitochondrial rRNA genes (small (*rrnS*) and large (*rrnL*) subunits) and 22 tRNA genes (Figure 1). All these genes were present on the same strand and transcribed in the same direction (5′ to 3′). The gene order of the mtDNA of *V. eleguneniensis* followed the gene arrangement (GA3) [21–23], similar to that of the other Strongylida members. Moreover, there were two non-coding regions, namely NCR1 and NCR2, presented in this circular genome. NCR1 was 192 bp in length and located between the *nad5* and *nad6* genes, flanking
at the 5′-end by the tRNA-Ala gene and at the 3′-end by the tRNA-Pro. Because of its high AT content (81.3%), this region was also known as AT-region. NCR2 was 57 bp in length and located between nad4L and rrnS, flanking at the 5′-end by the tRNA-Trp gene and at the 3′-end by the tRNA-Glu. In addition, five overlapping regions, ranging from 1 bp to 21bp, were located between cyt b and tRNA-Leu (UAG) (1 bp), cox2 and tRNA-His (2 bp), tRNA-His and rrnL (1 bp), tRNA-Glu and rrnS (21 bp), and tRNA-Ser (UGA) and tRNA-Asn (1 bp), respectively. 140 nucleotides were observed to be scattered in 11 intergenic spacers, ranging from 1 to 36 bp in length.

Figure 1. The circular map of *V. eleguneniensis* mtDNA. Genes are represented by different colored blocks. PCGs are denoted in blue, rRNAs in orange, tRNAs in green and non-coding regions in white. Gene abbreviations: atp6, ATP synthase subunits 6; cox1-3, cytochrome oxidase subunits 1-3; cyt b, cytochrome b; nad1-6/nad4L, NADH dehydrogenase subunits 1-6/4 L; rrnL, large rRNA subunit; rrnS, small rRNA subunits; NCR1, AT-rich region; NCR2, the non-coding region. The tRNAs are indicated with their one-letter corresponding amino acids. Line drawings of *V. eleguneniensis* is adapted with permission from Ref. [13]. 2014, Guilherme G. Veroci.
and third positions of codons with AT and GC skews being $-0.408$ to $-0.099$ and $0.363$ to $0.603$, $-0.421$ to $-0.122$ and $0.260$ to $0.548$, and $-0.459$ to $-0.148$ and $0.273$ to $0.696$, respectively.

Strongylida species were shown in Figure 2. It was obvious that the nucleotide compositions of mtDNAs of these species consistently exhibited an extreme skew away from A and C in favor of T and G, with $-0.48$ to $-0.145$ of AT skew and $0.348$ to $0.608$ of GC skew. Specifically, the respective AT and GC skews were $-0.198$ to $-0.043$ and $0.368$ to $0.577$ in the rRNAs, $-0.259$ to $-0.039$ and $0.328$ to $0.522$ in the tRNAs, and $-0.480$ to $-0.004$ and $0.337$ to $0.608$ in the concatenated 12 PCGs. Notably, among the PCGs, the TG bias was also significant at the first, second, and third positions of codons with AT and GC skews being $-0.408$ to $-0.099$ and $0.363$ to $0.603$, $-0.421$ to $-0.122$ and $0.260$ to $0.548$, and $-0.459$ to $-0.148$ and $0.273$ to $0.696$, respectively.

Interestingly, the genome-wide AT bias was also reflected in the relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) and codon usage patterns of PCGs. As shown in Figure 3, among 12 PCGs, the most frequently used codon was UUA (RSCU = 3.25; N = 243), followed by AGU (RSCU = 2.61; N = 99), GAU (RSCU = 2.29; N = 50), and GUU (RSCU = 2.55, N = 213). Correspondingly, the most frequently used amino acids were Phe (UUC & UUU; N = 566), Leu (UUG & UUA; N = 401), Val (GUG & GUA & GUC & GUU; N = 334) and Ile (AUA & AUC & AUU; N = 293). Further, a similar nucleotide bias was also observed in the choices of start and stop codons. Six of twelve PCGs were inferred to start with codon TTR (TTG was used for cyt b, cox3, nad1, nad4, and nad6, and TTA was used for nad3) and the other six PCGs were initiated by the codon ATW as start codon (ATT was used for cyt b, cox3, nad1, nad4, and atp6, ATA was used for cyt b and nad2). In addition, six PCGs were terminated by an incomplete stop codon, and the incomplete stop codons were used for cyt b, nad5, nad1, and nad4L (T-), for cyt b and atp6 (TA-) (Table 1). The incomplete stop codons may be converted into complete ones by post-transcriptional polyadenylation during mRNA maturation [27,28].

Figure 2. The GC and AT skews for mtDNAs of 21 different regions (entire mtDNA, 12PCGs, rRNAs, tRNAs, the 1st site in codon, the 2nd site in codon, the 3rd site in codon, rrnL, rrnS, and each single PCG) within the 43 Strongylida mtDNAs. Different species are indicated by different colored lines.
Figure 3. Relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) and codon numbers in *V. eleguneniensis* mtDNA. Codon families are provided on the X-axis, and the RSCU of each codon is represented by different color bars (left axis scale). The codon numbers are indicated by the green line graph (right axis scale).

Table 1. Structures and organizations of *V. eleguneniensis* mtDNA.

| Gene    | Location | Length | Start Codon | Stop Codon | Anticodon |
|---------|----------|--------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| cytP    | 1–1110   | 1110   | TTG         | TAA        | UAG       |
| tRNA-Leu (UAG) | 1110–1167 | 58     | TTG         | T          | UGU       |
| cox3    | 1168–1933| 766    |             |            | CAU       |
| tRNA-Thr | 1934–1991| 58     |             |            | UGU       |
| nad4    | 1996–3225| 1230   | TTG         | TAG        |           |
| cox1    | 3250–4865| 1616   | ATA         | TA         |           |
| tRNA-Cys | 4866–4921| 56     |             |            | GCA       |
| cox2    | 5100–5792| 693    | ATT         | TAG        |           |
| tRNA-His | 5791–5846| 56     |             |            | GUG       |
| rrrL    | 5846–6800| 955    |             |            |           |
| nad3    | 6801–7136| 336    | TTA         | TAA        |           |
| nad5    | 7143–8724| 1582   | ATT         | T          |           |
| tRNA-Ala | 8725–8779| 55     |             |            | UGC       |
| tRNA-Pro | 8972–9025| 54     |             |            | UGC       |
| tRNA-Val | 9027–9090| 64     |             |            | UAC       |
| nad6    | 9094–9516| 423    | TTG         | TAA        |           |
| nad4L   | 9517–9748| 232    | ATT         | T          |           |
| tRNA-Trp | 9749–9805| 57     |             |            | UCA       |
| tRNA-Glu | 9863–9920| 58     |             |            | UUC       |
| rrrS    | 9900–10,621| 722 |             |            |           |
| tRNA-Ser (UGA) | 10,622–10,678| 57 |             |            | UGA       |
| tRNA-Asn | 10,678–10,740| 63 |             |            | GUU       |
| tRNA-Tyr | 10,749–10,804| 56 |             |            | GUA       |
| nad1    | 10,805–11,687| 884 | TTG         | T          |           |
| atp6    | 11,691–12,289| 599 | ATT         | TA         |           |
| tRNA-Lys | 12,301–12,364| 64 |             |            | UUU       |
| tRNA-Leu (UAA) | 12,401–12,455| 55 |             |            | UAA       |
| tRNA-Ser (UCU) | 12,456–12,507| 52 |             |            | UCU       |
| nad2    | 12,508–13,359| 852 | ATA         | TAA        |           |
| tRNA-Ile | 13,386–13,439| 54 |             |            | GAA       |
| tRNA-Arg | 13,440–13,492| 53 |             |            | ACG       |
| tRNA-Gln | 13,493–13,549| 57 |             |            | UUG       |
| tRNA-Phe | 13,568–13,625| 58 |             |            | GAA       |
2.3. tRNAs and rRNAs

Twenty-two tRNAs ranged from 52 to 64 bp in length, with a total length of 1263 bp in the mtDNA of *V. eleguneniensis*. Similar to other chromadorean nematodes sequenced so far [19,20,29], except for two tRNA-Ser, twenty tRNAs had a typical secondary structure with 4-bp DHU arms and 3–11-bp DHU loops, and its variable TψC arm and loop were replaced by a 6–12-bp TV loop (Figure 4). For these two tRNA-Ser, both were equipped with 4-bp TψC arms and 4–7-bp loops instead of the DHU arm and loop. Within two rRNAs, the *rrnL* gene was present between tRNA-His and *nad3*, and the *rrnS* was placed between tRNA-Glu and tRNA-Ser (UGA). The lengths of *rrnL* and *rrnS* were 995 bp and 722 bp, which were within the length ranges of other rRNAs published in Strongylida species with 946–983 bp for *rrnL* and 684–724 bp for *rrnS*, respectively.

![Secondary structures of 22 tRNAs in *V. eleguneniensis* mtDNA.](image)

**Figure 4.** Secondary structures of 22 tRNAs in *V. eleguneniensis* mtDNA. All tRNAs are differed with their corresponding amino acid abbreviations. Each base is represented by a different colored circle, yellow for adenine (A), orange for uracil (U), green for guanine (G), and blue for cytosine (C). Normal base pairings are illustrated by lines (-), whereas non-standard base pairs are illustrated by dots (·). Anticodons are marked by black curved lines (‿).

2.4. Comparison with Other Strongylida Genomes

Pairwise comparisons of the 12 PCGs of mtDNAs between *V. eleguneniensis* and other Strongylida species were shown in Figure 5 and Table S2. It was clear that the lengths of 12 PCGs in *V. eleguneniensis* were similar to those of most other Strongylida nematodes. Specifically, the *atp6* gene among the PCGs was the most conserved with
2.4. Comparison with Other Strongylida Genomes
Pairwise comparisons of the 12 PCGs of mtDNAs between \textit{V. eleguneniensis} and other Strongylida species. It appeared that \textit{V. eleguneniensis} shared relatively higher levels of the nucleotide and amino acid identities (in decreasing order) with \textit{Protostrongylus rufescens} (78.7% and 75.6%), \textit{Angiostrongylus cantonensis} (78.3% and 76.1%), \textit{Aelurostrongylus abstrusus} (77.5% and 73.5%), \textit{Ancylostoma tubaeforme} (77.5% and 71.1%), and \textit{Ancylostoma caninum} (77.4% and 71.0%). For each PCG, \textit{cox1} and \textit{cox3} were the most conserved genes because their high nucleotide (81.1%–86.9% for \textit{cox1} and 79.6%–84.1% for \textit{cox3}) and amino acid (88.4%–93.7% for \textit{cox1} and 80.0%–86.3% for \textit{cox3}) identities, whereas \textit{nad2} and \textit{nad6} were the most variable genes because their low nucleotide (64.4%–72.4% for \textit{nad2} and 62.0%–74.4% for \textit{nad6}) and amino acid (44.1%–61.3% for \textit{nad2} and 48.9%–69.5% for \textit{nad6}) identities.

![Figure 5. Identity comparison of PCGs for 28 related Strongylida species with \textit{V. eleguneniensis}. Different species are indicated by different colored broken lines. The heat maps in green and orange represent the average values of sequence identities of amino acid and nucleotide datasets, respectively.](image-url)

To further explore the diversities within and between PCGs, the sliding window analysis was employed using the nucleotide alignments of \textit{V. eleguneniensis} and other Strongylida species. As shown in Figure 6A, the values of the nucleotide diversity \( \Pi \) of PCGs ranged from 0.123 to 0.321 among Strongylida species included here. Combined with the calculation of the number of variable positions per unit length of the gene, the \textit{nad6} was determined as the most variable gene (\( \Pi = 0.2838 \)), followed by \textit{nad2} (\( \Pi = 0.2800 \)), \textit{nad5} (\( \Pi = 0.2473 \)), and \textit{nad3} (\( \Pi = 0.2311 \)). However, the \textit{cox1} was determined as the most conserved gene with 0.1561 of \( \Pi \). This finding was consistent with the result of the identity analysis, which further demonstrates the accuracy of data from this study.

In parallel, \( K_a \), \( K_s \), and the \( K_a/K_s \) ratios were also calculated for all PCGs among the aforementioned Strongylida nematodes in order to estimate their evolutionary rates [30]. As shown in Figure 6B, the \textit{nad6} among the 12 PCGs showed the maximum ratio of \( K_a/K_s \) (0.566), followed by \textit{nad2} (0.509), \textit{nad5} (0.425), \textit{nad4} (0.356), and \textit{atp6} (0.375). Nevertheless, these ratios all were less than 1. In general, the ratio of \( K_a/K_s \) is often regarded as a measure of selective pressure of PCGs and indicates neutral mutation when \( K_a/K_s = 1 \), negative or purifying selection when \( K_a/K_s < 1 \) and positive or diversifying selection when \( K_a/K_s > 1 \) [31,32]. Therefore, it was reasonable that these PCGs in Strongylida were all subjected to a purifying selection during their evolutions.
Figure 6. Evolutionary diversity analyses of the mtDNA among 40 Strongylida species including *V. eleguneniensis*. (A), Sliding window analyses of 12 PCGs. The black line shows the value of nucleotide diversity (Pi) in a sliding window analysis (200-bp sliding window with 25-bp step size); the Pi values of each gene are shown under the gene name and reflected by the heat maps on the background; (B), The evolutionary rates of 12 PCGs in Strongylida mtDNAs. The Ka, Ks, and Ka/Ks are calculated for each PCG.

2.5. Phylogenetic Analysis

The available mtDNA of *V. eleguneniensis* provided us an opportunity to study the evolutionary relationships of *V. eleguneniensis* in the family Protostrongylidae and of the family Protostrongylidae within the order Strongylida. As shown in Figure 7A,B, it was clear that three identical trees (MP/ML/BI) inferred from either the concatenated nucleotide or amino acid datasets consistently revealed that compared to other Strongylida species, *V. eleguneniensis* shared the closest relationship with *P. rufescens*, with high statistical support (all values ≥ 95 or = 1.00), consistent with previously proposed molecular phylogeny based on the ITS-2 [13]. Further, *V. eleguneniensis* and *P. rufescens* under the family Protostrongylidae clustered with species of the families Angiostrongylidae and Metastrongylidae, and together formed one branch and exhibited a paraphyletic relationship with species of the families Trichostrongylidae, Haemonchidae, Strongylidae, Chabertiidae, Ancylostomatidae, and Molineidae, supporting recent mtDNA-based phylogenetic conclusion [33–36].

In addition, single gene-based phylogenetic analyses were used to scoop out the optimal genetic marker candidates among the PCGs and rRNAs for phylogeny and species identification. As shown in Figure 7C, although most of PCGs and rRNAs showed different phylogenetic topologies, the positions of the family Protostrongylidae, Metastrongylidae, and Angiostrongylidae were steady in the *cox1*, *cytb*, *nad1*, *nad3*, *nad5*, and *nad6*-based phylogenetic analyses. Notably, the *cox1* and *nad5* genes shared the same phylogenetic topology as that of the genome-based phylogenetic analysis, suggesting that both genes might be the most appropriate genetic markers and, therefore, could be used instead of mtDNA for evolutionary and phylogenetic studies of this parasite and other related species in Strongylida. Certainly, the marker validity of the *cox1* and *nad5* remains further validated when more additional Strongylida mtDNAs become available, especially those from the genus *Varestrongylus*, although the *cox1* has been widely used as a DNA barcode for species identification and differentiation in parasitic nematodes [37,38].
validated when more additional Strongylida mtDNAs become available, especially those from the genus *Varestrongylus*, although the *cox1* has been widely used as a DNA barcode for species identification and differentiation in parasitic nematodes [37,38].

Figure 7. Phylogenies were inferred using three methods (MP, ML, and BI) based on mtDNA datasets containing 12 PCGs and two rRNAs. *Dictyocaulus eckerti* and *Dictyocaulus viviparus* are used as the outgroups. Different colored regions represent different families. (A), Phylogenetic tree based on the concatenated nucleotide sequences of the 12 PCGs; (B), Phylogenetic tree based on the concatenated amino acid sequences of the 12 PCGs; (C), Phylogenetic trees based on nucleotide sequences of 14 individual genes (12 PCGs and two rRNAs). The phylogenetic trees consistent with the trees in (A, B) are marked with red line boxes. The species sequenced in this study is shown in red fonts. The numbers along the branches indicate bootstrap values/posterior probabilities resulting from different analyses in the order MP/ML/BI.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Parasite Sampling

From September to October 2020, there were three dead wild *B. taxicolor* adults found in Tangjiahe National Nature Reserve, Sichuan, China (Figure 8); one of them was then
brought to the Veterinary Medical Teaching Hospital, Sichuan Agricultural University (Ya’an, China), for postmortem examination. These takins died with the same clinical manifestations, including dyspnea, coughing and wheezing. After a standard necropsy under the Scientific Procedures Premises License for the College of Veterinary Medicine, Sichuan Agricultural University (SYXK 2014-187), diseased lungs were dissected, and about 56 nematode specimens were collected from the respiratory tracts of lungs. Through washing in physiological saline, all worms were preliminarily identified as V. eleguneniensis according to morphological keys [3]. Then, two specimens were further chosen for molecular identification by PCR amplifying the ITS-2 region, followed by sequence comparison with the previously reported corresponding sequence for V. eleguneniensis (GenBank accession number: JX115006) [13]. A result of 99.8% sequence identity of the ITS-2 between both worms and V. eleguneniensis confirmed their species identity.

![Map of sampling plots](image_url)

**Figure 8.** Sampling plots of takin lungworms collected from Tangjiahe National Nature Reserve, China. The red and blue dots on the map are the location of the Tangjiahe National Nature Reserve and the sampling points, respectively.

### 3.2. V. eleguneniensis mtDNA Sequencing and Annotation

To decode the mtDNA of V. eleguneniensis, the total genomic DNA was extracted from pooled lungworm specimens (n = 20) using the Genomic DNA Extraction Kit ver. 3.0 (TaKaRa Biotech, Dalian, China). After DNA yield and integrity evaluation, a 0.25-μg aliquot of genomic DNA was fragmented, end-paired, and ligated to adaptor. The ligated fragments were isolated on agarose gels and purified by PCR amplification to produce the sequencing library. A 350-bp paired-end (PE) library was constructed and sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq TM 4000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The raw reads were quality-trimmed by the following criteria: filtered reads with adapters, filtered reads with N bases ≥15 bp, and filtered reads with low-quality bases (≤3). In total, 2.5 Gb of clean data (250 bp each, paired-end reads) were obtained and assembled using MITObim v1.9 software [39] with default parameters, followed by manual inspection for searching the overlapping regions to circularize the complete mtDNA. This mtDNA was further verified by PCR amplifications using nine overlapping segments (ranging in length from 1.42 kb to 2.33 kb), which were designed on the basis of the alignments of the relatively conserved regions of the available
Strongylida mtDNAs. The corresponding primer sequences are shown in Table S3. PCR reactions were achieved using a 50 µL reaction volume containing 3 µL genomic DNA (≥15 ng), 25 µL 2 × HiFi TransTaq PCR SuperMix, 1 µL sense primer (10 pmol), 1 µL anti-sense primer (10 pmol), and 20 µL nuclease-free water. PCR conditions were 5 min denaturation at 95 °C, followed by 30 cycles of 45 s at 95 °C, 40 s at 45~55 °C, and 1~3 min at 68 °C according to the Tm value and the product length, with a final extension at 68 °C for 10 min. After agarose gel detections, all target amplicons were sequenced either directly or following sub-cloning into the pMD19-T vector (TaKaRa, Biotech, Dalian, China). Each amplicon was sequenced three times to ensure maximum accuracy. This consensus circular genome was drawn with MacVector ver. 9.5 and annotated using MITOS and online BLAST tools available on the NCBI website [39]. The boundaries of PCGs and rRNA genes were verified by alignment with homologous genes of other related nematodes using DNA MAN ver. 8.0 (Lynnon Biosoft, Vaudreuil-Dorion, QC, Canada). In addition to MITOS, open Reading Frame (ORF) Finder and Primer software were also used to define the amino acid sequences of PCGs and to find the start and stop codons using the invertebrate mitochondrial genetic code [40]. tRNA genes were identified using ARWEN and tRNAscan-SE [41,42], and their secondary structures were predicted and manually plotted using the software Adobe Illustrator CS5 (www.adobe.com/products/illustrator.html (accessed on 17 June 2022)). The complete sequence of V. eleguneniensis mtDNA was deposited in GenBank under accession number: OP464906.

3.3. Sequence Analysis

Nucleotide content and codon usage of V. eleguneniensis mtDNA were measured using Geneious and PhyloSuite [43,44]. The nucleotide skewness of V. eleguneniensis and their comparisons with 42 other nematodes in the order Strongylida (Table 2) were performed using the formulas [26,34–36,45–57]: AT skew = (A − T)/(A + T) and GC skew = (G − C)/(G + C). Sequence lengths and nucleotide/amino acid identities of 12 PCGs among Strongylida species were determined using DNAStar [58]. Based on the multi-alignment of 12 PCGs for 43 Strongylida mtDNAs (including V. eleguneniensis), the sliding window analysis was used to compute the nucleotide diversity π (π) using 200-bp window and 25-bp steps in DnaSP ver.5.10 [59]. The π value was plotted against the midpoint position of each window. Additionally, the evolutionary rate and the ratio of the nonsynonymous substitutions (Ka) and synonymous substitutions (Ks) of each PCG were also calculated using KaKs_Calculator [60].

Table 2. Summary mtDNA information included in this study.

| Family          | Species               | Host   | Country                   | GenBank Nos. | References     |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Ancylostomatidae| Ancylostoma caninum   | Dog    | South Africa              | NC_012309    | [45]           |
| Ancylostomatidae| Ancylostoma ceylanicum| Hamster| Solomon Islands            | AP017674     | Unpublished    |
| Ancylostomatidae| Ancylostoma duodenale | Human  | China                     | NC_003415    | [46]           |
| Ancylostomatidae| Ancylostoma tubaeforme| Cat    | China                     | NC_034289    | [47]           |
| Angiostrongylidae| Aelurostrongylus abstrus | Cat    | Australia                 | NC_019571    | [33]           |
| Angiostrongylidae| Angiostrongylus cantonensis| Rat    | China                     | AP017672     | Unpublished    |
| Angiostrongylidae| Angiostrongylus costaricensis| Rat    | Japan                     | AP017675     | Unpublished    |
| Angiostrongylidae| Angiostrongylus vasorum | Dog    | China                     | NC_018602    | [48]           |
| Chabertiidae    | Chabertia erschoi     | Yak    | China                     | NC_023782    | [49]           |
| Chabertiidae    | Chabertia ovina       | Sheep  | Australia                 | QJ888721     | [34]           |
| Chabertiidae    | Hypodontus macroi     | Kangaroo| Australia                 | KF361317     | [50]           |
Table 2. Cont.

| Family            | Species                               | Host      | Country | GenBank Nos. | References |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------|------------|
| Chabertiidae      | Macroplica ocydromi                   | Wallaby   | Australia | KF361320     | [50]       |
| Chabertiidae      | Oesophagostomum asperum               | Goat      | China    | KC715826     | [51]       |
| Chabertiidae      | Oesophagostomum columbiae             | Sheep     | China    | KC715827     | [51]       |
| Chabertiidae      | Oesophagostomum quadrispinulatum      | Pig       | China    | FM161883     | [52]       |
| Chabertiidae      | Oesophagostomum dentatum              | Pig       | China    | FM161882     | [52]       |
| Cooperiidae       | Cooperia oncophora                    | Cow       | Netherlands | AY265417   |           |
| Dictyocaulidae    | Dictyocaulus eckerti                  | Cattle    | Sweden   | NC_019809    | [53]       |
| Dictyocaulidae    | Dictyocaulus viviparus                | Cattle    | Sweden   | NC_019810    | [53]       |
| Filarioidea       | Parafilaroides normani                | Fur seal  | Australia | KJ801815    | [55]       |
| Haemonchidae      | Haemonchus placei                     | Sheep     | Japan    | AP017687     | Unpublished |
| Haemonchidae      | Mecistocirrus digitatus               | Sheep     | Australia | GQ888720    | [34]       |
| Haemonchidae      | Haemonchus contortus                  | Sheep     | Australia | EU346694    | [35]       |
| Metastrongylidae  | Metastrongylus pudendotectus          | Pig       | Estonia  | NC_013813    | [34]       |
| Metastrongylidae  | Metastrongylus salmi                  | Pig       | Estonia  | GQ888715     | [34]       |
| Molineidae        | Nematodirus oiratianus                | Goat      | China    | KF573750     | [56]       |
| Molineidae        | Nematodirus spathiger                 | Sheep     | China    | KF573749     | [56]       |
| Protostrongylidae | Protostrongylus rufescens             | Sheep     | Australia | KF481953    | [36]       |
| Strongylida       | Coronoclycus labiatus                 | Horse     | China    | MH551242     | Unpublished |
| Strongylida       | Cyathostoma pateratum                | Horse     | China    | NC_038070    | Unpublished |
| Strongylida       | Cylicoclycus ashworthii              | Horse     | China    | NC_046711    | [57]       |
| Strongylida       | Cylicoclycus insignis                 | Horse     | Australia | NC_013808   | [34]       |
| Strongylida       | Cylicoclycus nassatus                | Horse     | Australia | NC_032299   | Unpublished |
| Strongylida       | Cylicodontophorus bicorronatus        | Horse     | China    | MH551241     | Unpublished |
| Strongylida       | Poteriostomum imparidensatum          | Horse     | China    | NC_035005    | Unpublished |
| Strongylida       | Strongylus equinus                   | Horse     | China    | KM605251     | Unpublished |
| Strongylida       | Strongylus vulgaris                  | Horse     | Australia | GQ888717    | [34]       |
| Strongylida       | Triodontophorus brevicauda           | Horse     | China    | NC_026729    | Unpublished |
| Strongylida       | Triodontophorus nipponicus           | Horse     | China    | NC_031517    | Unpublished |
| Strongylida       | Triodontophorus serratus             | Horse     | China    | NC_031516    | Unpublished |
| Strongylida       | Cylcophthalmus goldi                 | Horse     | Japan    | AP017681     | Unpublished |
| Synagamidae       | Synagamus trachea                    | Magpie    | Australia | NC_013821   | [34]       |
| Trichostrongylida | Trichostrongylus axei                 | Sheep     | Australia | NC_013824   | [34]       |
| Trichostrongylida | Trichostrongylus vitrinus             | Sheep     | Australia | NC_013807   | [34]       |

3.4. Phylogenetic Analysis

To determine the classification positions of *V. eleguneniensis* in the family Protostrongylidae and of the family Protostrongylidae within the order Strongylida, the mtDNAs of twenty-nine selected Strongylida species were retrieved from GenBank, and their concatenated amino acid sequences of twelve PCGs and the nucleotide sequence of each PCG/rRNA were separately aligned using Clustal X ver. 2.0 for phylogenetic analyses. *Dictyocaulus eckerti* (GenBank accession no. NC_019809) and *Dictyocaulus viviparus* (GenBank accession no. NC_019810) were treated as the outgroups and included in each analysis. Phylogenetic analyses were performed with MP, ML, and BI. For the MP analysis, either the
concatenated 12 PCGs dataset or multi-alignments of the nucleotide sequence for each PCG or rRNA were analyzed using equally weighted parsimony in PAUP* [61] and heuristic searches with a tree-bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch-swapping. 1000 replicates of Wagner trees were selected and five trees per replication were saved, followed by the harvest of the optimal topology using the Kishino–Hasegawa method. Bootstrap resampling with 1000 replications was calculated for each nodal support. For ML analyses, the optimal evolutionary model was selected with the “Auto” option on W-IQ-TREE web server (http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at (accessed on 20 June 2022)) and “TIM+F+I+G4” model was chosen for both matrices according to Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). ML trees were constructed using an ultrafast bootstrap approximation approach with 100,000 replications. Within the BI trees, the optimal evolutionary model CAT+GTR+G was selected for mtDNA datasets using ModelFinder [62]. The BI computations were achieved using MrBayes 3.2.7 [63], with four independent Markov chains, running for 100,000 (concatenated dataset of 12 PCGs) and 10,000,000 (single PCG or rRNA dataset) metropolises coupled with Monte Carlo generations, sampling a tree every 100 and 10,000 generations. When the average standard deviation (SD) of the split frequencies was reduced to below 0.01, the first 25% trees were discarded as “burn-in” and the remaining were used to compute Bayesian posterior probabilities. The evolutionary distance was estimated using the MrBayes order (aamodelpr = mixed) with default parameters. A consensus tree was obtained and visualized using TreeviewX [64].

4. Conclusions

In this study, V. eleguneniensis mtDNA represented the first genome sequenced in the members of the genus Varestrongylus, and its comparison with the other Strongylida species revealed that cox1 and nad6 were the most and least conserved genes, respectively, across the order. Genome-wide phylogenies refined the phylogenetic relationship of V. eleguneniensis in the family Protostrongylidae of Strongylida and showed a close relationship between V. eleguneniensis and P. rufescens. Further single gene-based phylogenies suggested that the cox1 and nad5 genes could be suitable genetic markers for phylogeny and species identification in Strongylida because their topologies are the same as that of the whole genome. The results should contribute to a better understanding of systematics and evolutionary biology of Varestrongylus lungworms and, therefore, can assist in their prevention and control by providing resources of molecular markers for molecular diagnostic, epidemiology and population genetic studies.
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