Pregnancy and Pregnancy Tumour – Is there any relation? A Report of two cases and its pathogenesis
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Abstract

Granuloma pyogenicum is the second most common oral lesion, which does not produce pus. This is a smooth, painless, non neoplastic and lobulated or cauliflower like growth of the connective tissue seen commonly on gingiva and in young females comparing to males. Pyogenic granuloma seems to contribute 19.76-25% of all reactive lesions. Pyogenic granuloma being benign in nature this may attain an alarming size causing pain and discomfort. Thus early intervention through reinforcement of adequate oral hygiene measures along with surgical excision down to the periosteum is necessary to minimize the recurrence.
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INTRODUCTION

Often soft tissue enlargements of the oral cavity throw a challenge to a dentist in concluding the diagnosis with its diversified etiopathogenesis. Aetiology of these enlargements could be of either inflammatory, developmental etc., among which, commonly seen is inflammatory hyperplastic growth.[1] Though, there is abundant literature available on this lesion, its occurrence during the pregnancy is still unclear. This article emphasizes mainly on the probable causes for its occurrence during pregnancy.

Pyogenic granuloma (PG) is a hyperactive benign inflammatory lesion and the third most common lesion in the oral cavity[2]. The term “pyogenic granuloma” is a misnomer because the lesion neither contains pus nor it is granulomatous.[3]

Zafarzadeh et al defined pyogenic granuloma as an inflammatory overgrowth of the oral mucosa caused by minor trauma or irritation.[4] Pyogenic granuloma is a painless, soft, sessile with deep red to reddish purple in colour. It has a wide array of appearances and has been referred to by a variety of names such as granuloma pediculatum benignum, benign vascular tumor, pregnancy tumor, vascular epulis, Crocker and Hartzell’s disease. Cawson et al named it as ‘granuloma telangiectactium’ due to its numerous blood vessels [5]. It is a smooth (or) lobulated mass usually pendunculated, although some are sessile. The surface characteristically shows ulcerated bands ranging from pink to red to purple, depending on age of the lesion and young granulomas are highly vascular. Older lesions tend to become more collagenized and pink. Though, the etiology of pyogenic granuloma is unknown, it usually arises in response to various stimuli such as traumatic injury, low-grade irritation, hormonal factors (or) certain kind of drugs (like cortisone, oral contraceptives, diabetic medication or even allogenic bone marrow transplantation). It may exhibit rapid growth which may create alarm for both patient and clinician, who fear that the lesion might be malignant. Usually this occurs as a single or Multiple/generalized lesion. Commonly seen on gingiva, (75%) and in females comparing to males especially during pregnancy. Hence, it is also called as “pregnancy tumor” or “pregnancy epulis” where, it is believed that female sex hormones play a major role in the pathogenesis of the same. Extra gingivally, it can occur on the lips, tongue, buccal mucosa, palate, and the like.[1] Pyogenic granuloma is also known as Satellitosis when reported on skin. However, there is sparse evidence to support satellitosis in the oral cavity.[6]

CASE REPORT 1

A female pregnant(2nd trimester) patient of age 19yrs visited to the clinic with a chief complaint of swelling in the lower left posterior region lasting for 2-3months which started as a small lesion and gradually increasing in size. On extra oral examination facial asymmetry was noticed. On intra oral examination lobulated swelling with slough of measuring about 2.5cm X 3cms size is seen is relation to 46 and 47( fig 1) with a pedunculated base extending to lingual surface of 46 and 47 with established signs of inflammation. On palpation swelling had a rough texture which is firm in consistency with spontaneous bleeding on probing. Lesion was painless and asymptomatic and patient complains of discomfort while chewing and closing the mouth. Interestingly, there were no signs of local etiological factors and mobility of teeth pertaining to the lesion site. With the above factors a provisional diagnosis was made as pyogenic granuloma.

Before proceeding for the surgery routine blood investigations revealed normal values. Complete oral prophylaxis was done. By considering the patient systemic status (i.e. pregnancy) patient was asked to rinse her mouth with diluted betadine and surgery was performed by using electrocautery (fig 2). The lesion was excised from its base including part of normal tissue by using electrosurgical wire tip and confirmed that there were no remnants of the lesion were left to prevent its recurrence (fig 3). After the excision periodontal pack (coepak) was given (fig 4). Antibiotics and analgesics were prescribed and the excised tissue (fig 5) was sent for hispathological examination (fig 6). Patient was recalled for the reassessment after 3 months and found that there was no recurrence.

CASE REPORT 2

A female pregnant(2nd trimester) patient of age 22 yrs had visited to the dental clinic with a complaint of swelling in the lower left posterior region lasting 1 month which started as a small
size and gradually increasing in size. On extra oral examination no facial asymmetry was noticed. On intra oral examination swelling of measuring about 1.5 cmx 2 cms size is present at 46 and 47 (fig 7) with a pedunculated base. On palpation swelling had a smooth texture which is firm in consistency and bleeds on probing. Lesion was painless and asymptomatic. There were no signs of local etiological factors pertaining to the lesion site. No mobility of teeth in relation to the lesion. Lesion was excised by using B.P blade no. 12 from its base (fig 8) and the tissue was sent for histopatholgical examination.

**DISCUSSION**

Pyogenic granuloma is a hyperplastic reaction of a connective tissue to inflammation. It was first reported in the English literature by Hullehen [7] and first described in man by two French surgeons, Poncet and Dor in 1897, named it as botryomycosis hominis [8]. Even though, it is not a true granuloma in actuality, it is a capillary hemangioma of lobular
The hormones such as progesterone may affect the appearance and signal transduction pathways (MAPK) are overexpressed in growth factors. The maximum size of the lesion has been shown in various studies. [10] These factors include progesterone and are overexpressed in growth factors. Some researchers suggested that the response to various factors may cause tissue reaction to local factors resulting in inflammation. Despite of all these, some authors have stated that this lesion appears equally in both males and females, and disagreed with hormonal influence during pregnancy. [11] However, its etio-pathogenesis is still debatable. Despite having different and various surgical techniques, apart from surgical excision, like cryosurgery, lasers (ND:YAG) and sclerotherapy by using injection of corticosteroid and sodium tetradeyl sulfate, surgical excision with a safety distance of 1 mm is the treatment of choice. [12] A successful treatment of choice and patients should be advised about the importance of oral hygiene maintenance. Further studies are required to conclude its relation with during pregnancy.

CONCLUSION

Pyogenic granuloma is a non-neoplastic inflammatory enlargement commonly seen in the oral cavity. Although, predilection of this lesion is more during pregnancy, causative factors are unclear. Proper diagnosis and treatment plan with effective education and motivation would prevent its recurrence.
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