Plasma – Assisted Growth of MnO₂ Nanostructures for Sensing Application
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Abstract.

The limited research based on the prepare of a MnO₂ gas sensor on silicon and the testing of its sensitivity to targeted gases such as the CO₂ gas adopted in this work has led us to prepare and prepare such important sensors in human daily life. Initially, three different co2 concentrations were selected: (1.49ppm, 5.8ppm, 21.8ppm) we found that the best allergic (S = 98.28) was from the focus share (21.8ppm). This focus was worked and we also studied the amount of allergic to different temperatures 50.10° and the response time and recovery time were set for both thermal degrees, the best sensitivity was (97.22) for the sensitivity of the gas manufactured from porous silicon at a temperature (100°) C) With a short response time of (10.21sec) and a shorter recovery time at (9.1sec) all this work after the thin membrane was deposited on a slice of porous silicon type n and performed visual tests represented by UV-vis that showed that emissions occurred in the region Ultraviolet close to the electromagnetic spectrum and the optical energy gap was identified using this technique was equivalent to 3.88eV. The photosynthesis technology showed a clear peak at 324nm wavelength. There was a significant convergence in the amount of the optical energy gap calculated by this technique of 3.73nm compared to the value of the optical power gap resulting from UV-vis, which was equal to 3.88eV. The results of the Raman spectroscopy test confirmed the acquisition of the thin four-angle MnO₂ membranes resulting from the vibration of one type of atoms, as the displacement of Raman appeared at the highest intensity corresponding to the wavenumber of these thin membranes 512cm⁻¹. The synthetic examinations represented by both the atomic force microscope for the study of the topography of the thin membrane recorded proved that the thin membrane is characterized by high roughness and granular vertical growth, and the square root of the square of the average roughness square has been calculated, granular volume rate 30.68nm, deviation 6.768nm, increase in surface area 4.446nm, surface thickness 46.78nm and this large surface roughness of the membrane surface has increased the sensitivity of the gas sensor. Then came the role of using FESEM technicians, the results of which came after the tests that the membrane is characterized by the dense random and compressed distribution of semi-spherical nanoparticles and a nanosize rate of about 33.58nm using ImageJ. Finally, the pattern of x-ray diffraction that the membrane formed with a quadruple-angle, monolithic and high-crystallization composition, the degree of crystallization was 70.25, and the granular size was found according to Shearer's image from the pattern data of the pattern of the dehydration has been calculated and is equivalent to 31.81nm.
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1. Introduction

Crystal structure Nanoparticles of any material acquire a very small size to be within the range of the nanoscale range. This small nanoscale imparts many properties and applications for that material and
makes it have unique properties that distinguish it from the bulk size of the material[1]. One of the most promising semiconducting metal oxides MnO2[2], MnO2 possesses properties in nanoscale extremely important and unique in many applications such as Treatment of hazardous waste, medical preparations, lithium batteries, treatment of pure water and wastewater, antibacterial, electrochemical capacitors, Solar cells, and gas sensors[3]–[6]. Manganese oxide (MnO2 can be prepared in multiform one dimensional (1D) nanoscale form include nanoparticles, nanowires, and nanotubes, Two dimensional (2D) include nanoparticles and nanoscale films, Three dimensional (3D) includes nanostructures [7]. Fig1, shows Crystal structures of MnO2 include λ - MnO2 (Layered or Byrnside), δ - MnO2 (Birnessite), γ - MnO2 (Nsutite), R - MnO2 (Ramsdellite), β - MnO2 (Pyrolusite) and α - MnO2 (Hollandite)[8],[9]. So we can be prepared it using manganese nitrate salts, potassium permanganate, and manganese acetate[10],[11]. A gas sensor is a device whose properties change such as electrical conductivity or electrical capacitance when exposed to a specific gas, this change in sensor properties, and a typical gas sensor consists of a sensitive sensor layer integrated with the transducing platform that is in direct contact with the flowing gas. The change in the physical or chemical properties occurs when the chemical reaction occurs between the gas molecules and the sensitive sensor layer, and that change in properties can be measured and analyzed through the transformer as an external electrical signal[12]. Temperatures effect of the mechanism metal oxide gas sensors range from (200 – 450 °C)[13]. Gas sensor characteristics depend on the average grain size and porous structure for example, the sensitivity increases when the nanoparticles have small average grain size, and this will decrease the temperature and save energy this can be achieved through the use of crystalline materials, and this is the goal of most researchers interested in gas sensors[14],[15]. In this study, Plasma - assisted in the preparation of MnO2 nanoparticles solution for MnO2 thin films deposition on Porous Silicon slide. The optical and structural examinations were performed using some of the techniques included UV-vis, Photoluminescence (PL), Raman Spectroscopy, XRD, AFM and FESEM. To study the structural and optical properties of thin film deposition, determine the emission spectra, calculate the optical energy gap of MnO2 films, describe the topography and morphology of the thin film surfaces using these techniques, and then use the thin film as an electrode in the gas detector system and measure the sensitivity.

2. Experiment Details

2.1. Synthesis of MnO2

The solution was prepared using manganese nitrate salts Mn(NO3)2 at room temperature 30°C and Molarity 0.4M by dissolving 1.0737g from the substance in (15ml) from distilled water using a magnetic stirrer for a period of 15min without heat, and during the dissolution process, drops of NaOH were added until the acidity of the solution reached PH = 10 by PH-meter, Plasma system was used to obtain the nanoparticles of the prepared solution for 15min, the color change was monitored until we reached the desired reddish-brown. MnO2 thin films deposited to five-layer on Porous Silicon slide by used spin
coating. Spin speed was 1500rpm and spin time 2 min selected. Dry the thin film by using a magnetic stirrer at a temperature of 70°C for 5min.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. UV–vis Spectrum

Perform this check using a UV-vis spectrum device produced by INOVI LAB and manufactured in the United Kingdom. Ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy obtains the absorption spectrum of compounds. In actuality this absorption of light energy or electromagnetic radiation. UV-vis scan results at range 200 – 700nm at temperature room 30°C and graph the relationship between \((\alpha h\nu)\) and \((\alpha h\nu)^2\), and optical gap of the drawing is equal to \(E_g = 3.88\text{eV}\) as shown as in fig.2. It is within the optical energy gap range of the semiconductors and that value is due to the high absorption peak that appeared at the wavelength 360nm, this range corresponds to the UV region and this absorption peak is largely.

The result was an amount in good agreement with the findings of the researcher JS Sherine[16], but the method of preparing the thin films differed from it.

![Optical band gap of MnO2 thin film](image)

Fig.2: Optical band gap of MnO2 thin film

3.2. Photoluminesces (PL) Spectrum

The Spectrometer Fluorescence System used a Fluoromate FS-2 Spectrometer. The light source used was 150W Continuous-wave Xenon - Arc Lamp and the wavelength of the light used for excitation and emission was within the range 190 - 900nm. Photoluminescence is the optical phenomenon that causes the emission of incandescent light when irradiating the materials. PL is applied to semiconductors for purpose of identifying the purity of the semiconductors. Photoluminescence is a method for sensing the electronic structure of materials by studying and analyzing the light radiation produced by fluorescent. Well used to optical band gap determination and Exposure to crystal defects optical energy gap determination [17]–[23]. Using a device FS-2 Spectrometer. The light source used was 150W continuous wave Xenon - Arc Lamp and the wavelength of light used for excitation and emission was within the range 190 - 900nm. The results showed the peak at the wavelength of 328nm in fig.3 indicating the emission of the luminescence spectra in green-blue violet at that wavelength corresponding to the near-ultraviolet spectral region. The waveform indicates that the crystal structure of the prepared thin film has a structural structure \(\beta\) - MnO2 or Pyrolusite.
The amount of the optical energy gap is equal to 3.59 eV, as shown in Fig. 4. Almost identical to the optical energy gap values obtained from UV-Vis Spec.

3.3. Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy was performed to study the patterns of low-frequency molecular vibrations and to reveal the crystal structure. In this analysis, the spectral system is used, in the operational mode CW, using a light source of wavelength 532 nm and electric power of 20 mW. Raman spectroscopy is a method of molecular vibrational spectroscopy that uses the principle of the interaction of a laser beam with matter to provide information on the vibrations that occur to the particles of a substance. In this analysis, the operational mode and the light source have a wavelength of 532 nm and electrical power. From Fig. 5 confirms that the Raman shift occurred at the wavenumbers 433, 512 and 619 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively. The highest intensity appeared at the peak of 512 cm\(^{-1}\), resulting from the symmetric stretching vibrations between one type of atoms, these symmetric stretching vibrations are a perpendicular expansion to the octahedral direction MnO\(_6\) within a quadrangular frame associated with the tunnel type (2*2) which represents the crystal structure MnO\(_2\). The lower intensity peak corresponding to the wavenumber 433 cm\(^{-1}\) and 619 cm\(^{-1}\) due to symmetric stretching vibrations between two different types of atoms O - M - O; this supports the formation of MnO\(_2\) Tetragonal.
Fig.5: Raman spectrum of thin-film prepared on a Porous silicon slide.

3.4. AFM and FESEM

The device used to perform the inspection is TT-2 AFM Workshop. This technique used to identify the topography of thin-film surfaces prepared on Porous Silicon slides with five layers of deposition. The device TT-2 AFM Workshop was used in this work. The scanning range of the images obtained from the atomic force microscopy came in dimensions 78nm*78nm. Fig.6 shows the surface topography by RMS roughness of 6.086nm and average grain size of 30.68nm. From the above we conclude that the surfaces of the films deposited on the porous silicon slide have higher roughness with long granules, meaning that the granular growth was vertical and since the increase in the surface roughness of the thin film leads to a decrease in the size of the granules, which in turn increases the sensitivity of the gas sensor. Therefore, these films can be used in multiple applications. The thinner thin film is coarser and less granular to be used as a gas sensor[24].

Fig.6: AFM image of MnO2 thin film sample.

Thin-film morphology or the method of arranging the nanoparticles plays a major role in increasing the electrochemical reaction. Thus, the sensitivity of the gas sensor increases by using it as an electrode[25]. FESEM tests were performed using the ZEISS SIGMA VP system. FESEM showed the morphology of the MnO2 thin film on the porous silicon chip in a high-magnification scan range ((100nm) (look fig.7).
The diameter of the nanoparticles (grain size) was calculated by using ImageJ software, and the diameter of the grains ranged between (20 - 35nm). The average diameter of the nanoparticles is found to be equal to (33.58nm), which corresponds to the resulting grain size for examining the atomic force microscopy (AFM) as shown in fig. 8 A). graph of the histogram distribution of sizes at scan range (100nm). B) Average diameter of nanoparticles.

3.5. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

X-ray diffraction (XRD) tests were performed using the Panalytical X’Pert Pro system shown in Fig. 9. According to the following parameters, Generator Settings 40 mA, 40 kV, Anode Material: Cu Wavelength X-Ray Source $\lambda = 0.15406\text{nm}$. This technique is used to identify the crystal structure of the prepared thin film. The diagnostic results of the XRD Diffraction technique of MnO$_2$ films prepared on a porous silicon chip showed that the crystal system for the thin film is of the tetragonal type. In general, the other crystalline parameters after matching the diffraction peaks that appeared in the X-ray diffraction data with the International Center for Diffraction Data (ICDD) diffraction peaks (00-044-0141) were according to the following specifications shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Crystaline parameters of MnO$_2$ thin films according to (ICDD = 00 - 044 - 0141)

| No. | Crystallographic Parameters | Value |
|-----|-----------------------------|-------|
| 1.  | name                        | Manganese Oxide |
| 2.  | Mineral name                | Birnessite, syn |
| 3.  | Crystal system              | Tetragonal   |
| 4.  | Space group                 | 14/m        |
| 5.  | Space group number          | 87          |
| 6.  | Empirical formula           | MnO$_2$     |
| 7.  | Chemical formula            | MnO$_2$     |
As the peaks were cleared at the sites and levels corresponding to them that represent Miller’s index as shown in the table 2:

Table 2: Positions of the peaks of the XRD pattern, and corresponding Miller levels,

| No. of Peak | Pos. (2θ°) | Miller level (h k l) |
|-------------|------------|---------------------|
| 1.          | 12.78139   | (1 1 0)             |
| 2.          | 18.11117   | (2 0 0)             |
| 3.          | 25.70346   | (2 2 0)             |
| 4.          | 28.84861   | (3 1 0)             |
| 5.          | 37.53423   | (2 1 1)             |
| 6.          | 39.01486   | (3 3 0)             |
| 7.          | 41.20515   | (4 2 0)             |
| 8.          | 41.96695   | (3 0 1)             |
| 9.          | 46.03551   | (3 2 1)             |
| 10.         | 47.38699   | (5 1 0)             |
| 11.         | 49.90946   | (4 1 1)             |
| 12.         | 52.86146   | (4 4 0)             |
| 13.         | 56.93023   | (4 3 1)             |
| 14.         | 60.25753   | (3 1 0)             |
| 15.         | 68.20662   | (2 0 2)             |
| 16.         | 69.71984   | (5 4 1)             |
| 17.         | 71.19279   | (2 2 2)             |
| 18.         | 72.71166   | (3 1 2)             |
| 19.         | 77.15767   | (4 0 2)             |
| 20.         | 78.58762   | (3 3 2)             |

X-ray diffraction pattern is well matched in location and intensity JCPDS # 44-0141 and the MnO₂ thin film has a tetragonal crystal system according to the fig.6 since the information in Table.2 for the positions of the diffraction peaks in addition to Miller indicators prove that the MnO₂ thin film is well crystallized and pure.
Fig. 9: X-ray diffraction of the MnO$_2$ thin film on a porous silicon slide.

The average grain size found by using X-ray diffraction data (XRD data) is equal to 31.85 nm as shown in table 2 by using Scherer's formula it’s given as [26]:

$$D = \frac{k \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$

Where:

- D: grain size in units (nm).
- k: Scherer's constant and its value (0.9).
- λ: the source X-ray wavelength and its value (0.15406 nm).
- β: FWHM in units (Radians).
- θ: the location of the peak appearing in the X-ray diffraction pattern in radians.

The average grain size as follows shown in table 3:

### Table 3: The crystalline grain size of MnO$_2$ from X-ray diffraction data (XRD data).

| No. of Peak | Pos. (20°) | FWHM (20°) | Grain Size D (nm) |
|-------------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| 1.          | 12.78139   | 0.2511     |                   |
| 2.          | 18.11117   | 0.25337    |                   |
| 3.          | 25.70346   | 0.25938    |                   |
| 4.          | 28.84861   | 0.24777    |                   |
| 5.          | 37.53423   | 0.25478    |                   |
| 6.          | 39.01486   | 0.24568    | 31.8357763        |
| 7.          | 41.20515   | 0.11993    |                   |
| 8.          | 41.96695   | 0.20503    |                   |
| 9.          | 46.03551   | 0.24153    |                   |
The crystallinity degree in Table 4 also calculated from the X-ray diffraction data using the relationship [27]:

\[
\text{Crystallinity} = \left( \frac{\text{Area of Crystalline Peaks}}{\text{Area of All Peaks}} \right) \times 100\% \quad \ldots \quad 2
\]

We can deduce from the AFM, FESEM, and XRD tests the convergence and consistency of the average grain size is very large. The degree of Crystallinity of the MnO₂ thin films deposited on the porous silicon slide calculated as shown in the table.3. Crystallization refers to the degree to which the structure is arranged and there are no crystalline defects, and there is virtually no overlap in solid matter. In crystals, atoms or molecules are arranged regularly and periodically. Crystallization has a significant impact on rigidity, intensity, transparency, and proliferation.

| No. of Peak | Area of Crystalline Each Peak | Sum. Area of Crystalline Peaks (counts × 2θ°) | Area of all Peaks (counts × 2θ°) | Crystallinity |
|-------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| 1           | 2571.51701                     | 44721.43386                                | 63659.06782                     | 70.2514746   |
| 2           | 2503.90485                     |                                             |                                |              |
| 3           | 2337.41299                     |                                             |                                |              |
| 4           | 2641.59959                     |                                             |                                |              |
| 5           | 2625.57137                     |                                             |                                |              |
| 6           | 2356.15651                     |                                             |                                |              |
| 7           | 951.51081                      |                                             |                                |              |
| 8           | 1939.8807                      |                                             |                                |              |
| 9           | 2261.03074                     |                                             |                                |              |
| 10          | 2208.82242                     |                                             |                                |              |
| 11          | 2573.79781                     |                                             |                                |              |
| 12          | 1803.66283                     |                                             |                                |              |
| 13          | 2192.26322                     |                                             |                                |              |
| 14          | 2426.41954                     |                                             |                                |              |
| 15          | 1806.07675                     |                                             |                                |              |
| 16          | 231.83695                      |                                             |                                |              |
| 17          | 2347.66412                     |                                             |                                |              |
3.6. Characters of Gas Sensor

Gas sensors manufactured from semiconductors were generally characterized by high sensitivity[28]. CO₂ gas, which is an oxidizing gas, was used[29] in studying the properties of the gas sensor manufactured from MnO₂ films and prepared on a porous silicon slide of three different concentrations (1.49, 5.8, and 21.8ppm). Of carbon dioxide (CO₂), and it was found that the best sensitivity was at a concentration of (21.8ppm) and a sensitivity of (S = 98.28), as shown in Fig.10. From the aforementioned figure, we deduce the change of the sensitivity and the response time when the target gas concentration changes from zero for a specific purpose. The sensitivity increased with the increase in the gas concentration due to the increase in the surface interactions of the membrane with the target gas, which reduces the resistance of the sensor and increases the conductivity.

![Fig.10: The sensitivity changes with the concentration of the best response to the gaseous sensor electrode manufactured on the porous silicon slide.](image)

After that, the target gas concentration was fixed at (21.8ppm), which obtained the best sensitivity and temperature change at 50 and 100°C. Properties of the gas sensor were studied, represented by the response time, recovery time, and the response time of the sensor to the target gas at that concentration and those temperature degrees of the porous silicon sensor as shown in Fig.11.
Fig. 11: The sensitivity change with temperature for the best response to the gaseous sensor electrode manufactured on the porous silicon slide.

At 50 °C the sensitivity was (S = 36.08) and (t_{rec} = 15.79, t_{rec} = 9.1 sec) to the gaseous sensor prepared on the porous silicon slide so at a temperature of 100 °C the amount of sensitivity is (S = 97.72) and t_{rec} = 10.21, t_{rec} = 9.1 sec as shown in Fig.12A and 12B respectively. Table 4 shows the sensitivity, response, and recovery times at a concentration of (21.8 ppm) and the temperatures at which the gaseous sensor properties of the porous silicon sensor.
Conclusion:

We were able to synthesize manganese dioxide nanoparticles of granular size within the nanoscale range of 30nm and prove that the manganese dioxide compound possesses a direct energy gap according to the UV-vis assays. The results of the PL assays showed clear peaks in the wavelength range 324nm, that the blue-green photoluminescence emission spectrum at those wavelengths corresponding to the near-ultraviolet spectral region, and this indicates that the crystal structure of MnO$_2$ is tetragonal. The amount of the energy gap for these membranes was calculated and depending on the wavelengths, and it was found that it is equal to 3.73eV. It was observed that the Raman shift appeared at the wavenumbers 433, 512, 619 cm$^{-1}$ respectively. The highest intensity was at the wavenumber 512cm$^{-1}$. The results of the AFM assays were with the following parameters Average Grain Size = 30nm, Roughness Average = 6.086nm, Surface Thickness = 46.78nm, Surface Area Increase = 4.446nm, and Skewness = 6.768nm. FESEM assay also revealed the morphology of the MnO$_2$ thin film, the compact and random dense distribution of the aspherical nanoparticles of different sizes without the appearance of other formations. XRD diagnostic results indicated that the crystalline membrane system is of the tetragonal type. Grain size 31.83nm was found based on XRD data using Scherer's formula and Crystallinity Degree = 70.25 was also calculated. the manufacture of a secondary sensor for the thin MnO$_2$ films for CO$_2$ gas at a concentration of 21.8ppm with high sensitivity and short response time At a temperature of 100°C and better than its sensitivity at low temperatures 50°C.
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