Effects of hydrogen peroxide priming on yield, photosynthetic capacity and chlorophyll fluorescence of waterlogged summer maize
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Extreme rainfall events during the summer maize growth and development periods, which have induced losses in summer maize production. There was a completely randomized block experiment being designed with four treatments: waterlogging for 6 days at the V3 stage (C-W), H2O2-priming + non-waterlogging (H-CK), H2O2-priming + waterlogging for 6 days at the V3 stage (H-W) and control (C-CK). This study investigated the effects of H2O2 priming on yield and photosynthetic parameters of (Zea mays. L) summer maize hybrid DengHai605 (DH605) by measuring the leaf area index (LAI), soil and plant analyzer development (SPAD) value, stomatal morphology, gas exchange parameters, and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters. The results showed that the net photosynthetic rate (Pn) was decreased after waterlogging through the stomatal limitation of CO2 supply and reduction of PSII photochemical efficiency, which led to the decrease in dry matter accumulation and grain yield. H2O2 priming increased the number of opening stomas, the stomatal length, and width, thus increasing Ci by 12.1%, which enhanced the Pn by 37.5%. Additionally, H2O2 priming could improve the energy of dark reaction carbohydrates by increasing the light energy absorption and utilization, alleviating the function of PSII reaction centers, protecting the PSII receptor and donor side, and the electron transport chain. The $E_o$, $P_o$, $R_o$, and $Y_o$ of H-W were increased by 89.9%, 16.2%, 55.4%, and 63.9% respectively, and the $D_o$ was decreased by 23.5%, compared with C-W. Therefore, H2O2 priming significantly enhanced the PSII photochemical efficiency, and increased the CO2 supply in dark reactions to promote carbon assimilation, alleviating the waterlogging-induced damage to maize plant growth and grain yield.
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1 Introduction

In recent years, due to anthropogenic contributions including industrialization and urbanization, the environmental conditions have deteriorated, causing waterlogging disasters to occur frequently all over the world (Pan, 2022; Voesenek and Bailey-Serres, 2015). Waterlogging, as one of the main abiotic stresses for the normal growth and development of summer maize, seriously harmed crop production in nearly 16% of the world’s agricultural production areas (Seng et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2020). In the Huang huai hai region, the seedling stage (especially in the V3 stage) of summer maize coincided with the period of frequent rainfall (Yu, 2015). The Huang huai hai Plain was the main summer maize producing area in China, whose planting area and yield accounted for more than 1/3 of all maize planting areas in China (Gao, 2018), and 70% of annual precipitation was concentrated during the growing period of summer maize. Such a large amount of precipitation would lead to frequent rainfall-induced waterlogging, which could seriously affect the yield and quality of summer maize (Ren et al., 2015). It is thus desirable to explore effective management to mitigate the waterlogging damages to maize production.

When water content exceeded 80% of the maximum field water capacity, plant growth and development of summer maize were significantly inhibited, and the greatest impact occurred at the V3 stage (Ren et al., 2017). From the point of view of phenotypic characteristics: The leaves turn yellow and lose their green colour, which decreased the leaf area index and SPAD values, and reduced the production capacity by optical contraction (Ren et al., 2015). From the physiological point of view: Waterlogging stress resulted in stomatal closure, thus blocking the CO₂ uptake, which significantly reduced the photosynthetic rate of summer maize, and inhibited the ability of photosynthetic assimilation ability (Ren et al., 2018). With the prolonged waterlogging duration, the PSII system of summer maize was damaged, photosynthetic electron transport was blocked, and carbon assimilation was inhibited (Hu et al., 2020; Ren et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2021). So, when the plants encountered waterlogging stress, the stomatal performance and the PSII photo-inhibition of leaves were limited, which further reduced production capacity and reduced the grain yield finally.

Chemical priming could improve the plasticity to abiotic stresses (Kaori et al., 2020). Plant priming was related to stress “memory” which as a potential way of improving cross-tolerance (When the plants were exposed to a mild stress, the subsequence severe stresses were improved) (Munné-Bosch and Alegre, 2013). H₂O₂, as one of the chemical priming agents, played an important role in the stress signal transduction pathway that was essential for crop growth and adaptation to adversity (Gao et al., 2016; Hossain et al., 2015). H₂O₂ played a wide role in plants responding to abiotic stresses by regulating a multitude of physiological processes such as acquiring resistance, antioxidant defense, photosynthesis, and stomatal opening (Petrov and Breusegem, 2012; Gdsa et al., 2020). Previous studies have demonstrated that pretreatments with 70 mM H₂O₂ favored the increase in net physiological rate, PSII efficiency, plant biomass, and antioxidant activity of leaves in soybean (Glycine max [L.] Merr.) (Andrade et al., 2018). It has been well summarized in several reviews that H₂O₂ priming could enhance plant tolerance to abiotic stress (Perez and Brown, 2014; Ayano et al., 2015; Kaori et al., 2020). Recent publications have reported that exogenous treatment of H₂O₂ could preserve plants from low temperature (Wahid et al., 2007), drought (Zhang et al., 2022), salt (Gondim et al., 2009), waterlogging (Andrade et al., 2018), and other stresses. Nonetheless, the use of H₂O₂ as a chemical priming agent has been rarely studied under waterlogging stress, especially in H₂O₂ alleviating the effects of waterlogging stress on photosynthetic characteristics (Perez & Brown, 2014; Ayano et al., 2015). As one of the most basic physiological activities of plants, photosynthesis which provided material and energy for plant life activities was also one of the important indicators of the ability of plants to withstand adversity and stress. The photosynthesis in the leaves decreased under waterlogging pressure, which would reduce crop yield and quality (Ashraf and Harris, 2013; Tian et al., 2019). This study was to investigate the effects of H₂O₂ priming on the yield and photosynthetic characteristics of summer maize subjected to waterlogging stress, thus providing theoretical and technical support for the chemical regulation of summer maize under waterlogging stress.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental materials and design

This experiment was conducted in 2020 and 2021 to explore the effects of H₂O₂ priming on waterlogged summer maize at the Shandong Agricultural University experimental farm (36.10°N, 117.09°E). Summer maize hybrid Denghai605 (DH605) was used as experimental materials. DH605 was approved by the approval committee of China in 2010 which was suitable for planting with in Shandong province and widely grown in China (Hu et al., 2020). Seed soaking for 12 h with 0.1% H₂O₂ [relevant literature showed that 0.1% H₂O₂ significantly promoted the germination of maize seeds (Zhang, 1996)]. Summer maize was sown on June 9 in 2020 and 2021 with the density of 67500 plants ha⁻¹, and waterlogging at the V3 stage for 6 days (Extreme rainfall events were frequent in the Huang huai hai region from June to July which was the V3 stage of summer maize (Supplementary Figure 1). At the same time, the plant growth and development of summer maize were significantly inhibited...
after waterlogging, and the greatest impact occurred at the V3 stage (Ren et al., 2017)). For details, see (Table 1). N, P, and K fertilizers were applied as base fertilizers: 210 kg ha\(^{-1}\) N (urea, 46% N), 52.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) P\(_2\)O\(_5\) (calcium superphosphate, 17% P\(_2\)O\(_5\)), and 67.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) K\(_2\)O (muriate of potash, 60% K\(_2\)O).

Management of other diseases, insects, grasses, and pests by reference to high-yield fields. The details were shown in (Hu et al., 2021). Complete randomized block design. Plot planting test was used in this experiment. A square plot was formed by 4 pieces of PVC board with 4 m × 4 m to form a 16 m\(^2\) reservoir. Each piece of PVC plate was a length 4 m and width 2.3 m, which was used to bury 2.0 m below the underground and 0.3m above ground to facilitate the formation of a 2-3 cm water layer. The climatic conditions of the maize growing season in 2020 and 2021 are shown in (Supplementary Figure 1). The total annual precipitations were 805.8 and 939.1mm in 2020 and 2021, and mean temperatures were 25.1 and 25.3°C during the summer maize growth cycles in the two years.

### 2.2 Leaf area index

After 6 days of continuous waterlogging, six representative plant samples were signed from each plot (H-CK, H-W, C-CK, C-W) to measure and calculate leaf area. LAI was calculated as follows (Ren et al., 2016).

\[
\text{LAI} = \frac{\text{leaf area per plant} \times \text{plant number per plot}}{\text{plot area}}
\]

### 2.3 Soil and plant analyzer development value

After 6 days of continuous waterlogging, a chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502, Soil-plant Analysis Development Section, MinoltaMinolta-CameraCo., Osaka, Japan) was used to measure 12 times of each plot to obtain the leaf SPAD value.

### 2.4 Dry matter accumulation

After 6 days of continuous waterlogging, three typical plant samples were selected from each plot. The samples were placed in the oven at 105°C in a force-draft oven for 30 min, and then dried to constant weight at 80°C and weighed separately. Refer to the specific method as follows (Ren et al., 2017).

### 2.5 Stomatal phenotypic characteristics of leaves

After 6 days of continuous waterlogging, the stomatal samples were collected during 9:00-11:00 am. First of all, we collected stomatal imprints from the middle section of the new fully expanded leaf using colorless transparent nail polish. Three leaves were selected for each plot and two replicates per leaf. After drying, the leaf was cut with scissors and then pasted with colorless transparent tape on the leaf with nail polish to be tested on the slide (Zheng et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2021). The imprints were observed and photographed in the laboratory with a microscope (Biological microscope, Nikon of Japan, Ni-u). A total of 30 photos (3 × 2 × 5) were taken from 5 randomly selected fields of vision at 200 × for stomatal density (SD, number of pores per unit area) and proportion of open stomata (POS), and 30 photos were taken at 400 × for open stomatal length (SL), width (SW), perimeter (SP) and area (SA) measurement and recording (Li et al., 2018).

### 2.6 Leaf gas exchange parameters

After 6 days of continuous waterlogging, the leaf gas exchange parameters: the net photosynthetic rate (Pn), stomatal conductance (Gs), intercellular CO\(_2\) concentration (Ci), and transpiration rate (Tr) of ear leaves were measured between 10:00-12:00 am under saturating irradiance using a portable infrared gas analyzer (CIRAS-3, PP System, Hanstaech, U.K) and then calculated the pore limit value (Ls). Leaves with consistent growth and good light exposure under different treatments were selected. Five leaves were measured randomly for each treatment. Measurement conditions were kept consistent: LED light source and photosynthetically available radiation (PAR) of 1600 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). CO\(_2\) concentration was maintained at a constant level of 360 \(\mu\)mol mol\(^{-1}\) using a CO\(_2\) injector with a high-pressure liquid CO\(_2\) cartridge.

\[
Ls = \frac{Ca - Ci}{Ca}
\]

in this formula, Ci was intercellular CO\(_2\) concentration and Ca was environmental CO\(_2\) concentration (Berry, 1982; Seng et al., 2012).

### Table 1 Waterlogging treatments for summer maize in the field from 2020 to 2021.

| Abbreviation | Treatment                                      |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| C-CK         | Non-waterlogging treatment                    |
| C-W          | Waterlogging at V3 stage                      |
| H-CK         | H\(_2\)O priming – Non-waterlogging treatment |
| H-W          | H\(_2\)O priming - Waterlogging at V3 stage   |
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2.7 Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters

After 6 days of continuous waterlogging, photo-induced transients’ photoinduced transients (Supplementary Table 1) of Fo, Fm, Fv/Fm, Wk, Vj, TRo/CSm, ETo/CSm, DIo/CSm, ABS/CSm, ΨO, ΨDo, ΨEo, ΨPo, P1ABS of the five typical leaves each plot was measured by M-PEA (Multi-Function Plant Efficiency Analyser, Hansatech Instruments Limited, U.K). See (Strasser, 2004) for the specific meanings of the parameters. The M-PEA data version was 1.10, the measurement duration was 10.0s, the number of data points was 145, and the red-light intensity was 3000 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. The determination time was consistent with that of photosynthetic parameters. The leaves should be dark-treated with clamps for 20 min before determination. Representative plants with good growth should be selected, with 5 plants in each treatment.

2.8 Grain yield

At R6, 30 ears harvested continuously selected from three rows were used to determine yield and ear traits. Grain yield was calculated as follows (Ren et al., 2016).

Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) = Harvest ear (ears ha⁻¹)×Number of kernel per ear×1,000-grain weight (g/1000 grains)/10⁶×(1-moisture content%)/(1-14%)

2.9 Statistical analysis

Microsoft Excel 2016 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) was used for data processing and analysis. IBM SPSS Statistics 18.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for data analysis. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and LSD (Least significant difference) tests was used for comparisons analysis. The coefficient of pearson was used for the analysis of correlation. The significant differences are at P<0.05. The data were plotted using Origin 2021 (OriginLab Corporation, MA, USA) and Sigmaplot 12.5 (Systat Software, Inc., Richmond, CA, USA) software.

3 Results

3.1 Effects of H₂O₂ priming on summer maize yield under waterlogging stress at the V3 stage

3.1.1 Yield

The summer maize yield was significantly reduced after waterlogging, but H₂O₂ priming could increase the yield of waterlogged summer maize (Table 2). In 2020, the grain yield of summer maize in C-W and H-W decreased by 24.3% and 12.4% compared with C-CK (Control treatment) respectively, C-W was 15.7% lower than H-W. The two-year results were basically consistent. The increase in grain number per ear was responsible for the significantly greater yields obtained from H₂O₂ priming waterlogged plants (Table 2). In 2020, the grain number per ear of H-W was 12.4% higher than C-W, C-W and H-W decreased by 16.5% and 6.3% compared with C-CK. The two-year results were basically consistent.

3.1.2 Dry matter weight

Waterlogging reduced the dry matter accumulation of summer maize, while H₂O₂ priming could significantly alleviate waterlogging damages on the weight of dry matter. In 2020, compared with C-CK, waterlogging significantly decreased dry matter weight by respectively 28.7% and 23.9% on C-W and H-W respectively, and H-W was 6.7% higher than C-W. The two-year results were basically consistent (Figure 1).

3.2 Stomatal features of maize leaves

Stomatal density, stomatal length-width ratio, and stomatal shape index of summer maize increased significantly after waterlogging, while the proportion of opening stomas, stomatal length, and width was significantly decreased. H₂O₂

| Year | Treatment | Ear number (ears ha⁻¹) | Grains per ear | 1000-kernel weight (g) | Yield (kg ha⁻¹) |
|------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 2020 | C-CK      | 63125                  | 562a          | 402a                   | 14269a         |
|      | C-W       | 62500                  | 469c          | 369b                   | 10805c         |
|      | H-CK      | 62500                  | 582a          | 406a                   | 14752a         |
|      | H-W       | 64375                  | 527b          | 372b                   | 12505b         |
| 2021 | C-CK      | 67500                  | 527a          | 363a                   | 12914b         |
|      | C-W       | 63125                  | 437c          | 321c                   | 8861d          |
|      | H-CK      | 67500                  | 535a          | 364a                   | 13142a         |
|      | H-W       | 65625                  | 466b          | 349b                   | 10682c         |

Values Followed by a different small letter within a column are significantly different at the 0.05 probability level. Differences between treatments were calculated within the hybrids. The same as below.
priming alleviated the effect on the stomatal performance of waterlogged summer maize (Table 3). In 2020, the stomatal density (SD) of C-W was increased by 44.3% compared with C-CK, H-W decreased by 11.0% compared with C-CK, and H-W were decreased by 36.4% compared with C-W, but there was no significant difference between H-CK and C-CK. The stomatal length-width ratio (SL/SW) of H-W were decreased by 22.9% compared with C-W. The stomatal opening ratio (POS) of H-W was increased by 137.1% compared with the C-W. The two-year results were basically consistent. Additionally, the stomatal arrangement pattern was irregular, and stomatal density and the number of opening stomas were harmed after waterlogging stress at the V3 stage. H2O2 priming could significantly alleviate waterlogging damages on the stomatal arrangement pattern, density, and size of summer maize. It could be seen that the stomatal size and the degree of the stomatal opening of C-W were significantly smaller, and the open stomas were slender and flat, than those of C-CK. After priming with H2O2, the size and the degree of stomatal opening were significantly increased (Figure 2). The two-year results were basically consistent.

### 3.3 Photosynthetic characteristics

#### 3.3.1 Leaf area index

The leaf area index (LAI) was decreased significantly after waterlogging, but H2O2 priming could alleviate the negative effects on LAI after waterlogging. In 2020, the LAI of H-W was increased by 9.4% compared with C-W, the C-W and H-W was decreased by 48.6% and 43.7% than C-CK. The two-year results were basically consistent (Figures 3A, B).

#### 3.3.2 Soil and plant analyzer development value

Soil and Plant Analyzer Development (SPAD) value was decreased significantly after waterlogging, but H2O2 priming could significantly alleviate the effects of waterlogging on SPAD. In 2020, pretreatment with H2O2 significantly increased the SPAD (around 8.0%) compared with C-W. The two-year results were basically consistent (Figures 3C, D).

#### 3.3.3 Leaf gas exchange parameters

Leaf Pn, Gs, Gi, and Tr were significantly decreased after waterlogging. In 2020, a reduction in Pn (around 51.1%), Gs

---

TABLE 3 Effects of H2O2 priming on leaf stomatal morphology of summer maize under waterlogging stress at the seedling stage.

| Year | Treatment | SD (No mm⁻²) | SL (μm) | SW (μm) | SL/SW | SP (μm) | SA (μm) | POS (%) |
|------|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|-------|---------|---------|---------|
| 2020 | C-CK      | 28.75b       | 37.22b  | 22.74bc | 1.65c | 99.87c  | 692.40c | 86.03a  |
|      | C-W       | 41.50a       | 31.21c  | 20.58c  | 1.53c | 85.24d  | 510.17d | 32.24c  |
|      | H-CK      | 28.20b       | 49.46a  | 23.81ab | 2.08a | 127.27a | 968.64a | 86.54a  |
|      | H-W       | 26.40b       | 48.37a  | 25.69a  | 1.88b | 118.12c | 892.98b | 76.43b  |
| 2021 | C-CK      | 23.20b       | 37.33bc | 25.59ab | 1.48b | 105.86bc| 812.32b | 91.63a  |
|      | C-W       | 34.20a       | 34.25c  | 23.71c  | 1.46b | 101.67c | 678.32c | 39.64a  |
|      | H-CK      | 26.40b       | 45.72a  | 26.17ab | 1.75a | 117.95a | 934.54a | 93.93a  |
|      | H-W       | 32.40a       | 39.70b  | 26.45a  | 1.50b | 108.74b | 825.34b | 74.35b  |
(around 69.5%), Ci (around 14.8%), and Tr (around 46.3%) was observed in C-W treatment compared with C-CK. Pretreatment with H$_2$O$_2$ increased the Pn (around 37.5%), Gs (around 80.4%), Ci (around 12.1%), and Tr (around 46.3%) of summer maize compared with C-W. The two-year results were basically consistent (Figure 4). The stomatal limitation (Ls) of summer maize increased significantly after waterlogging stress, and H$_2$O$_2$ priming could significantly reduce the Ls of waterlogged maize. In 2020, the stomatal limitation of H-W decreased by 15.7% compared with C-W. The two-year results were basically consistent (Figure 4).

**FIGURE 2**
Effects of H$_2$O$_2$ priming on stomatal morphological characteristics of summer maize under waterlogging stress at the seedling stage.

**FIGURE 3**
Leaf area index and Soil and plant analyzer development (SPAD) value. (A, B) Leaf area index in 2020 and 2021; (C, D) Soil and plant analyzer development (SPAD) value in 2020 and 2021.
FIGURE 4
Effects of H$_2$O$_2$ priming on gas exchange parameters of summer maize under waterlogging stress at the seedling stage.
3.4 Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters

3.4.1 Changes in PSII donor/acceptor sides

The shape of the Vt curve was obviously changed after waterlogging stress. As was shown in (Figure 5), the K and J step were significantly increased after waterlogging. The Wk of H-W was decreased by 14.6% compared with that of C-W. The Vj of H-W was 25.7% lower than that of C-W. The two-year results were basically consistent (Figure 5).

3.4.2 PSII biophysical parameters derived by the JIP-test equations

3.4.2.1 Phenomenological energy fluxes (per exciting cross-section - CS)

Under waterlogging stress, electron transport flux per excited CS (ETo/CSo) in maize leaves was significantly decreased, but the heat dissipation per unit area (Dlo/CSo) was significantly increased. H2O2 priming significantly increased ETo/CSo and decreased Dlo/CSo (Figure 6A). In 2020, ETo/CSo of H-W was increased by 55.3% compared with that of C-W. Dlo/CSo of H-W was decreased by 37.6% compared with that of C-W. The two-year results were basically consistent (Figure 6A). There was a decrease in trapping flux per excited CS (TrO/CSm) (blue arrow), electron transport flux per excited CS (ETo/CSm) (purple arrow), absorption flux per excited CS (ABS/CSm) (yellow arrow), but an increase in dissipated energy flux per excited CS (Dlo/CSm) (red arrow) in waterlogged maize (Figures 6A, B). In 2020, ETo/CSm of H-W was increased 102.7% compared with that of C-W; Dlo/CSm of H-W was decreased 18.7% compared with that of C-W; ETo/RC of H-W was increased by 41.0% compared with that of C-W; Dlo/RC of H-W was decreased by 43.1% compared with that of C-W (Figures 6A, C). The two-year results were basically consistent (Figures 6A, C).

3.4.2.2 Specific energy fluxes (per QA, reducing PSII reaction center – RC)

Waterlogging stress significantly reduced electron transport flux per RC (ETo/RC) and increased dissipated energy flux per RC (Dlo/RC) in maize seedling leaves, while H2O2 priming significantly increased ETo/RC and decreased Dlo/RC (Figures 6A, C). In 2020, RC/CSm of C-W and H-W were decreased by 42.6% and 15.6% respectively, and H-W was increased by 47.0% compared with that of C-W; ETo/RC of H-W was increased by 41.0% compared with that of C-W; Dlo/RC of H-W was decreased by 43.1% compared with that of C-W (Figures 6A, C). The two-year results were basically consistent (Figures 6A, C).

3.4.2.3 Quantum yields and efficiencies/probabilities

The probability (at t = 0) that a trapped exciton moves an electron into the electron transport chain beyond QA (Y), the quantum yield of electron transport (jEo), and the maximum quantum yield of primary photochemistry (jPo) were decreased significantly after waterlogging, while H2O2 priming significantly increased Y, jEo, jPo and significantly decreased jDo (Figure 6A). In 2020, jEo of H-W was increased by 89.9% compared with that of C-W; jPo of H-W was increased by 16.2% compared with that of C-W; jDo of H-W was decreased by 23.5% compared with that of C-W (Figure 6A). In 2020, PIABS of H-W was increased by 352.8% compared with that of C-W (Figure 6A).

3.5 Correlation analysis

Correlation analysis showed that the net photosynthetic rate of summer maize was related to stomatal factors and non-stomatal factors. Pn was positively correlated with POS, Gs, and Ci. From the perspective of chlorophyll fluorescence, Pn was significantly positively correlated with jPo, jEo, jRo, and PIABS, but negatively correlated with jDo (Figure 7). The decrease in grain yield of waterlogged summer maize was significantly correlated with the decreases of 1000-grain weight.
FIGURE 6
PSII biophysical parameters derived by the JIP-test equations. (A) Changes of JIP parameter radar images in 2020 and 2021. Note: The values were calculated relative to the C-CK, taken as 1, and the fluorescence parameters of other treatments were transformed into the percentage of C-CK fluorescence parameters. (B) Energy pipeline models of phenomenological fluxes (per cross-section, CSm) in 2020. The decrease in absorption (yellow), trapping (cyan), and electron transport (purple), can be seen as changes in the width of each arrow (red arrow = scale). The active reaction center is represented by a white circle, and the inactive reaction center is represented by a black circle. (C) Specific energy flux (membrane model) in 2020.
and grain number per spike. From the perspective of stomata, grain number per ear, 1000-grain weight, and yield were significantly negatively correlated with stomatal density (SD), but positively correlated with the proportion of open stomata (POS). Grain number per ear, 1000-grain weight, and yield was significantly positively correlated with Gs, Ci, Tr and Pn. From the perspective of chlorophyll fluorescence, grain number per ear, 1000-grain weight, and yield were significantly positively correlated with $j_{Po}$, $j_{Eo}$, $j_{Ro}$, and PIABS, but significantly negatively correlated with $\varphi Do$ (Figure 7).

4 Discussion

4.1 The mitigation effect of H$_2$O$_2$ priming on photosynthetic characteristics of waterlogged summer maize at the seedling stage

4.1.1 H$_2$O$_2$ priming improved the stomatal performance improving photosynthetic characteristics

The leaves photosynthetic characteristics are closely related to the leaf structures. The stomatal conductance and photosynthetic rate were affected by the stomata, one of the important leaf structures. The stomatal conductance was positively correlated with stomatal size and negatively correlated with stomatal density (Wu et al., 2014; Haworth et al., 2015). To better adapt to waterlogging stress, stomata would become smaller in size and larger in number which might be related to the decrease of leaf area (Xu, 1997; Yu et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2010; Jurczyk et al., 2016; Ploschuk et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2019). In this study, the stomatal length, width, and the proportion of open stomata of waterlogged summer maize leaves were markedly decreased. Additionally, the stomas of plant leaves altered from regular arrangement to random distribution in waterlogged plants, thereby enhancing the CO$_2$ diffusion distance from stomas to photosynthetic parts. Accordingly, Gs and Ci of C-W were considerably decreased, while Ls were significantly increased, demonstrating that the decrease of Pn was predominantly caused by stomatal limitation factors. These results suggested that waterlogging had a grave effect on stomach traits of plants which blocked the uptake of CO$_2$, and here impeding the photosynthesis, and reducing the dry matter accumulation eventually. It has been demonstrated that H$_2$O$_2$ priming was conducive to regulate stomatal characteristics, thus improving the gas exchange characteristics of leaves under waterlogging conditions (Castro-Duque et al., 2020). This study showed that the stomatal density of leaves reduced considerably and the stomatal size increased significantly in H$_2$O$_2$ priming/waterlogging-stress plants. Additionally, the proportion of opening stomata was significantly increased, and the stomata were arranged in H-W, thus enhancing the uptake of CO$_2$. As a result, the Gs, Ci were increased by H$_2$O$_2$ priming, leading to a higher photosynthetic rate (Figure 8).

FIGURE 7
Correlation analysis of photosynthetic characteristics of soaking seeds with H$_2$O$_2$ under waterlogging stress. GPE: Grains per ear; 1000-KW: 1000-kernel weight; DMW: Dry matter weight; SD: Stomatal density; POS: Proportion of open stomata; Ci: Intercellular CO$_2$ concentration; Gs: Stomatal conductance; Pn: The net photosynthetic rate; Tr: Transpiration rate; Ls: Calculate the pore limit value; $j_{Do}$: Quantum yield of electron transport (at t = 0); $j_{Po}$: The maximum quantum yield of primary photochemistry (at t = 0); $j_{Eo}$: Quantum yield of electron transport (at t = 0); $j_{Ro}$: Quantum yield for reduction of end electron acceptors at the PSI acceptor side (REI (at t = 0); PIABS: The performance index for energy conservation from exciton to the reduction of intersystem electron acceptors.
4.1.2 H$_2$O$_2$ priming improved the light capture and conversion improving photosynthetic

Chlorophyll fluorescence induction kinetics is a sensitive probe to study the absorption, transformation, transmission, and distribution of sunlight energy in plants. The changes in chlorophyll fluorescence parameters can effectively reflect the characteristics of light reaction in photosynthesis (Strasserf and Srivastava, 1994; Yang et al., 2004; Kalaji et al., 2014; Li et al., 2020). PSII reaction centers were damaged and the number of active reaction centers was decreased after waterlogging (Li et al., 2005). The PSII reaction center converted the captured light energy into excitation energy. Most of the excitation energy was used to promote carbon assimilation, and the excess excitation energy was lost in the form of heat dissipation (Zuo et al., 2019). The number of RC/CSm was decreased after waterlogging, which damaged the PSII reaction centers and the antenna pigment structure. At the same time, Ro/CSm and ETo/CSm were decreased, but the DIo/CSm was increased, thus, the utilization rate of light energy per cross area, the share of energy devoted to electron transport were reduced, and heat dissipation was increased (Li et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016). A similar conclusion could be drawn from quantum yields. Thus, waterlogging stress by decreasing the utilization of light energy and increased heat dissipation to limit the PSII photosynthetic electron transport chain. The level of electron transport inhibition at the donor or acceptor was closely related to PSII apparent activation energies (Chen et al., 2013; Sunil et al., 2020; Xing et al., 2021). This research showed that waterlogging stress significantly enhanced the K-step of summer maize, implying that the oxygen release complex (OEC) was damaged, the existence time of strong oxidant P680$^+$ was prolonged, and the D$_1$ protein in the reaction center of PSII was destroyed by oxidation, so as to damage the photosynthetic organs and decrease the photosynthetic activity. Additionally, the J-point was also significantly raised by waterlogging stress, indicating that the electron transfer from QA to Q$_b$ was also significantly impeded, and reduced QA was accumulated, resulting in the singlet oxygen 1O$_2$ formation and biofilm lipid peroxidation being increased.

Studies have shown that exogenous H$_2$O$_2$ could be used as a signal molecule to alleviate the effects of waterlogging on plants by regulating PSII efficiency and protecting chloroplasts (Castro-Duque et al., 2020; Hasanuzzaman et al., 2020). H$_2$O$_2$ priming significantly increased the RC/CSm, indicating that H$_2$O$_2$ priming protected the PSII reaction center. At the same time, H$_2$O$_2$ priming enhanced the electron transfer at the PSII reaction center and the donor/acceptor side of maize, decreasing the waterlogging induced raise of K and J steps, which effectively promoted the photosynthetic electron transport chain, reduced the oxidant P680$^+$ and 1O$_2$, protected the photosystem from oxidative damages and reduced the damage of membrane lipid peroxidation. Additionally, H$_2$O$_2$ priming significantly reduced DIo/CSm, indicating that the absorption and transfer of PSII light energy were improved. Accordingly, H$_2$O$_2$ priming increased the PI$_{ABS}$, and the photochemical properties were improved, which alleviated the electron transport coupled photosynthetic phosphorylation process, and boosted the Calvin cycle. In summary, H$_2$O$_2$ priming effectively improved the capture, conversion, and transmission of light energy, protecting plants from photoinhibition and enhancing the carbon assimilation processes (Figure 8).
4.2 Yield

The decrease in grain yield was significantly associated with the decrease in 1000-grain weight and grain number per ear (Ren et al., 2016). The accumulation of photosynthetic substances depends on the strength of photosynthesis, and the formation of yield was determined by the accumulation and transport of photosynthetic substances (Ren et al., 2015). The results of this study showed that waterlogging stress could significantly reduce the yield of summer maize, and its 1000-grain weight and grain number per ear were significantly affected, with grain number per ear being the most affected. Dry matter accumulation was blocked after waterlogging, resulting in insufficient assimilation for grain development, thus leading to a reduction in grain yield (Ren et al., 2014). In summary, this study showed that waterlogging stress significantly reduced photosynthetic rate through damaging stomatal performance, PSII photoinhibition, and the light capture and conversion, and the LAI and SPAD were decreased, resulting in a blockage in dry matter accumulation, and the yield was decreased finally. However, H$_2$O$_2$ priming could significantly mitigate the waterlogging damages on stomatal characteristic and photosystems, protecting chloroplasts and other photosynthetic organs from oxidative damage to increase the photosynthetic rate, and increase the biomass accumulation rate and grain yield of waterlogged summer maize (Figures 8, 9).

5 Conclusions

Waterlogging stress inhibited the light and dark response of the leaves. Waterlogging decreased Pn through stomatal limitation of CO$_2$ supply and reduction of PSII photochemical efficiency by limiting the donor and acceptor side of PSII and the activity of the reaction center, which would lead to the decrease

![Diagram](image_url)

*FIGURE 9* The mechanism of H$_2$O$_2$ priming could enhance the photosynthetic characteristics of summer maize. Note: Red circles indicated H$_2$O$_2$ priming versus flooded maize leaves, and red arrows indicated that H$_2$O$_2$ priming alleviates flooding stress.
of dry matter weight and grain yield. H₂O₂ priming could alleviate the negative effects of on waterlogged summer maize by increasing the CO₂ supply for carbon fixation, protecting PSII reaction centers, and enhancing electron transport to promote energy supply for carbon fixation. As a result, the photosynthetic capacity of waterlogged summer maize was significantly increased by H₂O₂ priming, dry matter weight and grain yield were increased eventually. In summary, H₂O₂ priming could modulate the waterlogging stress tolerance of summer maize: insights from photosynthetic.
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