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Abstract: In this paper a new image steganographic technique has been proposed which is capable of hiding data and produces a stego image that is totally indistinguishable from the original image by the human eye. To estimate the contrast and smoothness of pixels we check the relation between neighboring pixels. Our method first rearranges the pixel in ascending manner, then takes the highest pixel value common with the other two pixels and then applies the pixel value differencing (PVD) method. To hide the secret data PVD technique is used in each pixel block. The two overlapping blocks are readjusted to attain the modified three-pixel components. Then calculate the new stego pixel block. In this way, take the middle and lowest pixel as the common pixel and apply the same procedure. In comparison, we get that if the highest value pixel value takes as a common one then the data hiding capacity is increased. The embedding capacity of the cover image is increased by using the pixel block overlapping mechanism. It has been tested on a set of images and also maintains the visual quality of the image.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In modern days, we need to protect our confidential data during transmission through a public channel. Generally, we process our secret data before transmission. It changes the context of the data into an unreadable form, but only the authorized person can retrieve the original data using reversible operation. In modern days different techniques are available to protect the data. Several cryptographic techniques are present to do this. To contrast this, steganography is to protect the secrecy of the data. Here, the cover media like audio, video, image are used as a carrier to embed the secret data. The cover media along with secret data is known as stego data. The aim of both cryptography and steganography is the same. Image data are frequently used in different applications.

II. RELATED WORK

Through the literature survey, we found a number of image-based steganographic schemes. The LSB (Least Significant Bit) is the widely used method for high data hiding capacity. The basic LSB method only considers three LSB bits replacements. In this method, after replacement, the stego image is visually good and also increase embedding capacity. By using the optimal pixel adjustment process the visual quality can be improved.

In Yang [7] scheme, the cover pixels are not directly modified. The secret message bits are toggled and the new toggled patterns are recorded for extracting the secret message. Later Chen [8] proposed a modified scheme, where modulus function is used with LSB substitution which improves the visual quality for the stego image. To minimize the distortion in the stego image the repetition of the secret message is considered.

Then, Xu, et al. [9] proposed a steganographic scheme with a fixed payload. Some researchers [10-12] designed edge-based steganographic schemes. In paper [11], the authors classified the pixels into two categories. Edge-pixels and non-edge pixels.

Islam et.al [12] proposes a method that increases the high visual quality of the stego image. The process enhances the security level. Wu & Tsai [13] introduces Pixel Value Differencing (PVD) method. Khodei & Faez [14] design a combination of LSB & PVD Method. It improves the embedding Capacity.

In the literature survey, several different PVD methods are found. A tri-way PVD approach with the steganalysis method is discussed by Lee et al. [3]. Tseng & Leng [15] used the perfect square number (PSN) which improves the traditional PVD technique. Here, the secret message merge with the PSNR. Liao et.al [16] developed a four-pixel differencing method. Swain [17] introduces 2X2 pixel non-overlapping PVD techniques.

In paper [18], where 3X3 non-overlapping block images are considered. To improve payload capacity a seven-directional PVD scheme [19] is considered. Using modulus function Zhao et. al. [20] proposed new PVD techniques. In paper [21], an adaptive approach falling-off boundary is discussed. In paper [22], the secret data bits are embedded in sequential order into another image pixel. In the paper [23] the LSB technique is used where the reference of the color plane is used to hide the secret bits.

In the proposed scheme, to form the overlapping pixel block, the neighboring pixel is grouped into two pairs, namely (p1, p2) and (p2, p3). For embedding the secret message bits, PVD is applied to each pair. The proposed readjustment process is applied on each pair to get the final modified stego pixel block, with p1, p2, and p3 components.

In the decoding process, PVD is applicable to extract the secret message is considered. To improve payload capacity a seven-directional PVD scheme [19] is considered. Using modulus function Zhao et. al. [20] proposed new PVD techniques. In paper [21], an adaptive approach falling-off boundary is discussed. In paper [22], the secret data bits are embedded in sequential order into another image pixel. In the paper [23] the LSB technique is used where the reference of the color plane is used to hide the secret bits.

The proposed scheme will improve the embedding capacity due to the consideration of overlapping block concepts. The paper is organized as follows: Section 3 presents the basic idea of the PVD method. The detail of the proposed scheme with mathematical explanation is described in section 4 and section 5 respectively. Finally, section 6 concludes the paper.
III. PIXEL VALUE DIFFERENCING (PVD) METHOD

In the pixel value differencing method [13] the cover image is generally a grey level image and a different size of secret message bits are used as secret data. Through a raster scan order, the cover image is divided into non-overlapping blocks with size 1X2. Consider Pᵢ and Pᵢ₊₁ are the two consecutive pixels on the ith block. The difference value, dᵢ, is calculated by dᵢ=|Pᵢ−Pᵢ₊₁|. We take the absolute value of dᵢ which represents the variation of each block. A lower value of dᵢ signifies the presence of a smooth area, and greater value is in the edge area. To maintain the intensity values of the grey scale image the values of dᵢ are in the range of [0, 255]. The boundary of range R is denoted by [lowerᵢ, upperᵢ]. The number of embedded secret bit sequences (t) in two consecutive pixels depends on the quantization range table and it is computed as t=(log₂(upperᵢ−lowerᵢ)+1). The obtained bit sequence is converted into decimal value, tᵢ. The new difference value (dᵢ′) is obtained by dᵢ′=tᵢ+lowerᵢ. The final pixel values are calculated using the following equation 1:

\[
(Pᵢ', Pᵢ₊₁') = \begin{cases} 
(Pᵢ + \frac{m}{2}, Pᵢ₊₁ - \frac{m}{2}), & \text{if } Pᵢ ≥ Pᵢ₊₁ \text{ and } dᵢ' > dᵢ \\
(Pᵢ - \frac{m}{2}, Pᵢ₊₁ + \frac{m}{2}), & \text{if } Pᵢ < Pᵢ₊₁ \text{ and } dᵢ' > dᵢ \\
(Pᵢ - \frac{m}{2}, Pᵢ₊₁ + \frac{m}{2}), & \text{if } Pᵢ ≥ Pᵢ₊₁ \text{ and } dᵢ' ≤ dᵢ \\
(Pᵢ + \frac{m}{2}, Pᵢ₊₁ - \frac{m}{2}), & \text{if } Pᵢ < Pᵢ₊₁ \text{ and } dᵢ' ≤ dᵢ
\end{cases}
\]

\[
(1)
\]

IV. PROPOSED METHOD

In the following proposed method, the data embedding is performed by traversing the image in a raster scan order and splitting the image into blocks of the size of consecutive three pixels. The embedding scheme is narrated in the following steps.

A. Data Embedding Method

Input: Secret Data Message, long bitstream.
Cover image: color image of size 512 X 512

Step 1: Read three consecutive pixels from the color cover image and arrange it in ascending order.

Step 2: Form two pairs of pixel blocks.

Step 3: Calculate the difference: dᵢ = |c − a|.

Step 4: Based on d find out the range R.

Step 5: Determine the capacity of the embedded bit.

Step 6: Take the secret bit of the same capacity and convert it into equivalent decimal.

Step 7: Determine d’ = d + decimal equivalent of secret bit.

Step 8: Determine the new stego pixel by applying the condition as used in the Wu & Tsai Method.

Step 9: Now apply the step 1 to step 8 for the pixel pair.

Step 10. Now consider we get new stego pixel pair:

Step 11. Perform readjustment process to form stego components based on the following sub steps:

\[
a_{final} = \left[\left(\frac{a'}{2}\right)\right] (2)
\]

\[
c_{final} = c' - \left(\frac{a'}{2}\right) (3)
\]

\[
b_{final} = b' - \left(\frac{a'}{2}\right) (4)
\]

Step 12. Final stego pixel block is:

All the above steps continue for the rest of the pixel of the image.

B. Data Extraction Method

The data extraction scheme is narrated in the following steps:

Input: stego image.
Step 1. Read three consecutive pixels from stego image and arrange it in ascending order.
Step 2: Get two pairs of the pixel blocks.

Step 3. Calculate the difference:

Step 4. Calculate the range:

\[
b = \begin{cases} 
\frac{d - lᵢ}{2}, & \text{if } d ≥ 0 \\
\frac{d - lᵢ}{2}, & \text{if } d < 0
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

Where, lᵢ is the lower range.

Step 5: Calculate Mᵢ = equivalent binary of b.

Step 6: Now get the secret message concatenate M₁ and M₂:

\[
M = M₁ || M₂.
\]

Now, the above embedding and extraction procedure is followed for the pixel block common with middle value and the lowest value, and compare.

V. Mathematical Explanation

A Data Embedding

Consider, Pixel pair block:

| a₀ | b₀ | c₀ |
|----|----|----|
| 100 | 200 | 150 |

And the secret message bit is 110001101010110. Arrange the block in ascending order. i.e., a₀= 200, b₀= 150, c₀=100.

Now consider the greatest pixel value as the common pixel. Here a value is 200. So, the pixel pair is:

| a₀ | b₀ |
|----|----|
| 100 | 200 |

Now, consider the first-pixel block:

| a₀ | b₀ | c₀ |
|----|----|----|
| 100 | 200 | 150 |

Here, D= |100-200| = 100 and range R is 64-128. So, capacity is lᵢ = 64(2⁵) = 6 bit.

The first 6 bit of image is 110001 ~ (49)₁₀. So, d’= 64+49=113.

Here d’>d, So, m=d’−d = 113-100=13, m= 13/2= 6.5.

Using PVD condition the pixel value now, 100-6=94, and 200+7= 207.
Now for the second-pixel block:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
200 \\
150
\end{array}
\]

D = |200 - 150| = 50, range R is 32-64. So, capacity is \( l_k = 32 \left(2^5\right) = 5 \text{ bit} \).

The next 5 bit of image is 10101 \sim (21)_{10}. So, \( d' = 32 + 21 = 53 \).

Here, \( d' > d \). So, \( m = d' - d = 53 - 50 = 3, m/2 = 3/2 = 1.5 \).

Using PVD condition the pixel value now, 200 + 2 = 202, and 150 - 1 = 149.

Now, \( a_{\text{final}} = \frac{(207 + 202)}{2} = 204.5 \sim 205 \).

Pixel readjustment:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
92 \\
205 \\
152
\end{array}
\]

B Data Extraction

Take the first pixel pair:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
92 \\
205
\end{array}
\]

d = |92 - 205| = 113. Range is 64 - 128. So, \( l_k = 64 \).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
B = (-113) - 64 = 49 \\
M_1 = (49)_{10} = (110001)_2
\end{array}
\]

Take the second-pixel pair:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
205 \\
152
\end{array}
\]

d = |205 - 152| = 53. The range is 32-64. So, \( l_k = 32 \).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
B = 53 - 32 = 21 \\
M_2 = (21)_{10} = (10101)_2
\end{array}
\]

Concatenate \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) as \( M = M_1 || M_2 = 11000110101 \).

Common pixel the lowest value C. pixel block is:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
100 \\
200 \\
150
\end{array}
\]

Then the generated stego pixel block is:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
97 \\
210 \\
150
\end{array}
\]

And secret data bit 1100011010.

Common pixel the lowest value b. Pixel block is:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
100 \\
150
\end{array}
\]

Then the generated stego pixel block is:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
94 \\
208 \\
150
\end{array}
\]

And secret data bit 1100011010.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To verify the minimum changes of the PVD method, the proposed method was compared with the methods of Wu & Tsai. Four images of size 512 \times 512 were tested: Lena, Baboon, Boat, and Pepper. Figure 1 shows the cover images and stego images generated by our method and no artifact can be distinguished by the human eye.

Table I shows the comparison of hiding capacity and visual quality of the images between Wu & Tsai method and the proposed method.

**TABLE I. CAPACITY (BYTES) AND VISUAL QUALITY (DB)**

| IMAGE   | Capacity | PSNR | Capacity | PSNR |
|---------|----------|------|----------|------|
| Wu & Tsai Method | 50.894 | 41.5 | 51.74 | 46.3 |
| Proposed Method   | 52.230 | 39.6 | 52.450 | 40.6 |
| Lena               | 50.657 | 41.5 | 50.815 | 42.8 |
| Baboon             | 57.028 | 37.0 | 57.077 | 38.4 |
| Sheep              | 52.230 | 39.6 | 52.450 | 40.6 |
| Pepper             | 50.657 | 41.5 | 50.815 | 42.8 |

Table II shows the histogram analysis of the sample images considered.

**TABLE II. HISTOGRAM ANALYSIS OF THE SAMPLE IMAGES**

| Image Name | Histogram Analysis |
|------------|--------------------|
| Lena       | ![Histogram for Lena](Image) |

Baboon

Sheep

Pepper
VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a secure steganographic method with a high capacity method is proposed in order to enhance the security of the stego images. Compared with the method of the Wu & Tsai method, our proposed method yields the least differences in the stego image and the cover image. Our proposed method guarantees secure communication with a high embedding capacity and good imperceptibility to the naked human eye. The experimental results support the contention that the proposed method shows the best similarities between the stego and cover image.
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