Inhibition of DNA Methylation Alters Chromatin Organization, Nuclear Positioning and Activity of 45S rDNA Loci in Cycling Cells of *Q. robur*
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Abstract

Around 2200 copies of genes encoding ribosomal RNA (rRNA) in pedunculate oak, *Quercus robur*, are organized into two rDNA loci, the major (NOR-1) and the minor (NOR-2) locus. We present the first cytogenetic evidence indicating that the NOR-1 represents the active nucleolar organizer responsible for rRNA synthesis, while the NOR-2 probably stays transcriptionally silent and does not participate in the formation of the nucleolus in *Q. robur*, which is a situation resembling the well-known phenomenon of nucleolar dominance. rDNA chromatin topology analyses in cycling root tip cells by light and electron microscopy revealed the minor locus to be highly condensed and located away from the nucleolus, while the major locus was consistently associated with the nucleolus and often exhibited different levels of condensation. In addition, silver precipitation was confined exclusively to the NOR-1 locus. Also, NOR-2 was highly methylated at cytosines and rDNA chromatin was marked with histone modifications characteristic for repressive state. After treatment of the root cells with the methylation inhibitor 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine, we observed an increase in the total level of rRNA transcripts and a decrease in DNA methylation level at the NOR-2 locus. Also, NOR-2 sites relocalized with respect to the nuclear periphery/nucleolus; however, the relocation did not affect the contribution of this locus to nucleolar formation, nor did it affect rDNA chromatin decondensation, strongly suggesting that NOR-2 has lost the function of rRNA synthesis and nucleolar organization.
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Introduction

In plants, NOR (nucleolar organizer region) locus is composed of multiple repeats of ribosomal (rRNA) genes that encode the 45S pre-ribosomal transcript, which is processed to generate mature 18S, 5.8S and 26–28S rRNA molecules. The redundancy of the information allows for a fraction of rRNA genes within a locus to be transcriptionally active while others stay silent, and the proportion of silent and active genes is determined by cellular needs for protein synthesis [1]. The current model of rDNA chromatin organization proposes that the subset of silent rRNA genes stays highly condensed (heterochromatinized), and the subset of rRNA genes that are actively transcribed are contained in a more decondensed rDNA chromatin domain [2,3]. Therefore, there is a clear association between the rDNA chromatin state, transcriptional activity of rRNA genes and the plasticity of rDNA chromatin, i.e. the possibility for silent rRNA genes to be reactivated by an epigenetic on/off switch [3,4].

Epigenetic mechanisms regulating the transcriptional state of rRNA genes associated with rDNA chromatin organization have been well studied in plant hybrid species exhibiting a phenomenon known as nucleolar dominance. In these hybrids, rDNA loci of only one parent are transcriptionally active while rDNA loci of the other parent stay silent [5,6]. Differential activity of rRNA genes in plant nucleolar dominance is regulated by chromatin-mediated changes, which involve specific histone modifications in partnership with DNA methylation [3,7]. In *Arabidopsis*, the “off” switch in rRNA gene regulation involves cytosine methylation, histone deacetylation, H3K9 dimethylation (H3K9me2) and chromatin condensation, while the “on” switch involves loss of cytosine methylation, histone H3 and H4 hyperacetylation, H3K4 trimethylation (H3K4me3) and chromatin decondensation [8]. Silent rRNA genes can be reactivated by the use of inhibitors of DNA methylases and histone deacetylases, further confirming the direct involvement of these epigenetic mechanisms in the transcriptional regulation of rRNA genes [7,9,10]. Both CpG methylation by MET1 (*Methyltransferase 1*) and siRNA-guided...
The acorns of *Q. robur*, *L. Fagaceae* used in this study were collected on location Jasterbarsko with the permission of Croatian Forest Research Institute by their employees and given to the authors to grow under laboratory conditions. The authors therefore required no special field permit for obtaining the samples. The species used in this study are not endangered or protected. Both oak acorns and seeds of triticale were germinated on moist cotton in Petri dishes at room temperature. For silver staining, *fluorescence in situ* hybridization (FISH) and immunodetection of 5-methylcytosine (5-mC), root tips were fixed in freshly prepared ethanol-acetic acid (3:1) overnight at 4°C, transferred to 70% ethanol and stored at −20°C until use. Prior to fixation, oak root tips were treated with 2 μM 8-hydroxyquinoline for 4 h at 18°C. Root tips of triticale were pre-treated with ice-cold water for 24 h and fixed in ethanol-acetic acid (3:1) overnight at 4°C, transferred to 70% ethanol and stored at −20°C until use. Root tips for immunofluorescence (IF) experiments were fixed in 2% (v/v) paraformaldehyde, 1% (v/v) PVP, 0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100 in 1×PBS for 20 min at room temperature and then washed extensively in 1×PBS (phosphate buffered saline).

### Slide preparation

Oak nuclei suspensions for silver staining, FISH and immunodetection of 5-mC were prepared by incubating root tips in the enzymatic mixture [8% (v/v) cellulase RS, 4% (v/v) hemicellulase, 4% (v/v) pectolyase Y-23 in 0.1 M citrate buffer pH 4.8] for 1.5–3 h at 37°C. Root tips were then macerated in a droplet of 60% acetic acid, the obtained macerate was transferred into a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and nuclei were collected by centrifugation at 800×g for 3 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was washed twice with freshly prepared fixative. Finally, nuclei were resuspended in an appropriate volume of the fixative and 2–3 μl of the suspension were dropped on clean slides and air-dried. For immunodetection of histones, meristems were digested with the enzymatic mixture [8% (v/v) cellulase RS, 4% (v/v) hemicellulase, 4% (v/v) pectolyase Y-23 in 0.1 M citrate buffer pH 4.8] for 90 min at 4°C, transferred to 70% ethanol and stored at −20°C. Root tips for immunofluorescence (IF) experiments were fixed in 2% (v/v) paraformaldehyde, 1% (v/v) PVP, 0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100 in 1×PBS for 20 min at ambient temperature and then washed extensively in 1×PBS (phosphate buffered saline).

### Preparation of ultra-thin sections for electron microscopy

Root tips were fixed in 1% (v/v) glutaraldehyde and 0.25% (v/v) saturated aqueous picric acid solution in phosphate buffer (0.05 M Na₂HPO₄, 0.05 M KH₂PO₄) for 1 h at room temperature. Material was then dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, embedded in LR White (medium) resin (London Resin Company LTD) and polymerized at 52°C overnight. Ultra-thin sections (0.1 μm) were cut with a glass knife on an ultra-microtome (Reichert OmU3). Sections were picked up on gold 100 mesh grids with a carbon-coated support Formvar film. Grids with sections were incubated overnight at 37°C prior to *in situ* hybridization (ISH).

---

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material**

The acorns of *Q. robur*, *L. Fagaceae* used in this study were collected on location Jasterbarsko with the permission of Croatian Forest Research Institute by their employees and given to the authors to grow under laboratory conditions. The authors therefore required no special field permit for obtaining the samples. The species used in this study are not endangered or protected. Both oak acorns and seeds of triticale were germinated on moist cotton in Petri dishes at room temperature. For silver staining, *fluorescence in situ* hybridization (FISH) and immunodetection of 5-methylcytosine (5-mC), root tips were fixed in freshly prepared ethanol-acetic acid (3:1) overnight at 4°C, transferred to 70% ethanol and stored at −20°C until use. Prior to fixation, oak root tips were treated with 2 μM 8-hydroxyquinoline for 4 h at 18°C. Root tips of triticale were pre-treated with ice-cold water for 24 h and fixed in ethanol-acetic acid (3:1) overnight at 4°C, transferred to 70% ethanol and stored at −20°C until use. Root tips for immunofluorescence (IF) experiments were fixed in 2% (v/v) paraformaldehyde, 1% (v/v) PVP, 0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100 in 1×PBS for 20 min at room temperature and then washed extensively in 1×PBS (phosphate buffered saline).

### Slide preparation

Oak nuclei suspensions for silver staining, FISH and immunodetection of 5-mC were prepared by incubating root tips in the enzymatic mixture [8% (v/v) cellulase RS, 4% (v/v) hemicellulase, 4% (v/v) pectolyase Y-23 in 0.1 M citrate buffer pH 4.8] for 1.5–3 h at 37°C. Triticale root tips were digested in the same enzymatic mixture for 30 min at 37°C. Root tips were then macerated in a droplet of 60% acetic acid, the obtained macerate was transferred into a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and nuclei were collected by centrifugation at 800×g for 3 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was washed twice with freshly prepared fixative. Finally, nuclei were resuspended in an appropriate volume of the fixative and 2–3 μl of the suspension were dropped on clean slides and air-dried. For immunodetection of histones, meristems were digested with the enzymatic mixture [8% (v/v) cellulase RS, 4% (v/v) hemicellulase, 4% (v/v) pectolyase Y-23 in 0.1 M citrate buffer pH 4.8] for 90 min at 4°C, transferred to 70% ethanol and stored at −20°C. Root tips for immunofluorescence (IF) experiments were fixed in 2% (v/v) paraformaldehyde, 1% (v/v) PVP, 0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100 in 1×PBS for 20 min at ambient temperature and then washed extensively in 1×PBS (phosphate buffered saline).

### Preparation of ultra-thin sections for electron microscopy

Root tips were fixed in 1% (v/v) glutaraldehyde and 0.25% (v/v) saturated aqueous picric acid solution in phosphate buffer (0.05 M Na₂HPO₄, 0.05 M KH₂PO₄) for 1 h at room temperature. Material was then dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, embedded in LR White (medium) resin (London Resin Company LTD) and polymerized at 52°C overnight. Ultra-thin sections (0.1 μm) were cut with a glass knife on an ultra-microtome (Reichert OmU3). Sections were picked up on gold 100 mesh grids with a carbon-coated support Formvar film. Grids with sections were incubated overnight at 37°C prior to *in situ* hybridization (ISH).
Silver staining

Cytogenetic slides for silver staining were prepared by dropping the chromosome suspension onto the ice-cold slides and air-dried. Then, the chromosome spreads were incubated in a silver nitrate solution consisting of 2 parts 50% AgNO₃ and 1 part 2% gelatin/1% formic acid solution under a plastic coverslip at room temperature for 15 to 30 min. After achieving appropriate coloration, the slides were washed extensively in distilled water and mounted as previously described.

Treatments with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine

5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine (Sigma) was dissolved in 10 mM PBS and stored at 4°C and −20°C until use. Acorns were germinated on moist cotton in Petri dishes in a humid place at room temperature. After 7 days of germination, root tips of the seedlings were immersed in a Petri dish containing 50 mL of 10 mM 5-aza-2’-dC and left to grow for 10 days at room temperature. Water solution containing 5-aza-2’-dC was replaced every 2 days keeping the concentration effective. Seedlings for the control experiment were grown in sterile water in the same environmental conditions.

Meristematic tissue was isolated from root tips and then fixed as previously described [35].

Fluorescence in situ hybridization

Slides were treated with RNase A solution (50 μg/mL in 2×SSC) for 1 h at 37°C, washed in 2×SSC and treated with Protease K (0.1 mg/mL) for 15 min. After washing in 2×SSC, the slides were dehydrated through ethanol series and air-dried. The hybridization mixture consisted of 50% (v/v) formamide, 10% (w/v) dextran sulphate, 10% SDS, 1.5–2 ng/μL Cy3 labelled 18S rDNA probe in 2×SSC. In case of triticale samples, an additional 1.5–2 ng/μL of wheat (Triticum aestivum) specific

Figure 1. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) on metaphase chromosomes of Q. robur using 18S and 5S rDNA probes. FITC green signals represent the 18S rDNA probe, and Fluoro-Red signals correspond to the 5S rDNA probe (not relevant in this study). A. NOR-1 locus (arrows) is located terminally on a submetacentric chromosome pair. NOR-2 (arrowheads) resides near centromere of a small metacentric chromosome. Observe the signal size and strength dimorphism of NOR-2 sites. The rDNA knob (localized FISH signal) is situated proximally on one site (A and D, yellow arrow), and the rest of rDNA chromatin is decondensed (punctuate FISH signal). On another site, rDNA knob is present both at the proximal and the terminal (satellite) part of the site (white arrows, A and D) and the dispersed rDNA fraction resides between the two (visible as a punctuate FISH signal corresponding to SC). B and E. Satellites (distal part of the NOR-1 site) are indicated with arrows in DAPI stained metaphases. C and F. Schematic representations of chromatin topology at the major rDNA locus corresponding to figures A and D. G and H. Graphical representations of measured NOR-1 and NOR-2 sizes (G, arbitrary OD units normalized to pixel area) and volume (H, arbitrary OD units normalized to voxel volume) demonstrate that the two loci can be unambiguously identified. Number of asterisks indicates p<0.0001; error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Scale bar is 5 μm.
probe was added to the hybridization mix. The plasmid containing the 2.4 kb fragment of 18S rRNA gene from *Cucurbita pepo* [36] was labelled with Cy3 using the Nick Translation Mix (Roche Applied Science) according to manufacturer’s protocol. The plasmid pTa794, containing a 410 bp *Bam*HI fragment of 5S rRNA gene and spacer region of wheat [37] was labelled with Fluoro-Red-dUTP (Amersham) by PCR and used as a 5S rDNA probe. A universal primer P26S (5′-GATCCACTGAGATT-CAGCCC-3′) and a primer specific for wheat IGS -TIGS (5′-TGAATCCACTTGCCTCAAATAGT-3′) - were used to amplify a 508 bp fragment of wheat intergenic spacer (IGS) and label it with digoxigenin. The PCR mixture consisted of 1×PCR buffer, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTP, 1 mM digoxigenin-dUTP, 0.2 mM of each primer and 1U of Taq Polymerase (Promega). The cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 5 min and 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 55°C, 1.5 min at 72°C with a final elongation step of 5 min at 72°C. The oak and triticale chromosomes together with the probes were denatured simultaneously for 5 min at 80°C or 2.5 min at 70°C, respectively, and were then left to hybridize overnight in a humid chamber at 37°C. After post-hybridization stringent washes, the slides were counterstained with 0.5 mg/mL DAPI for 8 min and mounted in antifade (DAKO Fluorescent Mounting Medium). In case of digoxigenin labelled rDNA probe, the slides were washed in 2×SSC, blocked for 30 min in 5% BSA (w/v) in 4×SSC/0.01% Tween (v/v) and incubated in 1:125 dilution of FITC-conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibody (Roche), washed in 4×SSC/0.01% Tween (v/v), counterstained and mounted as previously described.

**Immunofluorescence**
For immunodetection of 5-mC, the slides were denatured in 70% formamide in 2×SSC at 70°C for 2 min and dehydrated through an ice-cold ethanol series. The IF of 5-mC was done as described previously [38]. Briefly, after blocking, the slides were incubated in a 1:200 dilution of anti-5mC antibody (Abcam, ab 10805) for 1 h at 37°C, washed and incubated in a FITC-conjugated secondary antibody (Abcam, ab 6785) for 1 h at 37°C. Finally, the slides were washed, counterstained with DAPI and mounted in ProLong Gold Antifade (Invitrogen). In combined immunofluorescence and FISH (IFF) experiments, following

| Individual | A | B | F | T |
|------------|---|---|---|---|
| 1          | 75 | 3 | 0 | 78 |
| 2          | 80 | 0 | 24 | 104 |
| 3          | 101 | 2 | 5 | 108 |
| 4          | 109 | 1 | 3 | 113 |
| 5          | 83 | 1 | 2 | 86 |
| 6          | 136 | 0 | 3 | 139 |
| 7          | 102 | 0 | 3 | 105 |
| 8          | 106 | 0 | 7 | 113 |
| 9          | 78 | 0 | 2 | 80 |
| 10         | 50 | 2 | 11 | 63 |
| 11         | 66 | 4 | 11 | 81 |
| 12         | 54 | 0 | 6 | 60 |

A number of cells with a particular rDNA chromatin topology at the NOR-1 sites was observed. A - one NOR-1 site shows a terminal rDNA knob and a proximal dispersed rDNA fraction, corresponding to decondensed rDNA chromatin, while another NOR-1 site contains a rDNA knob at the proximal and the distal (satellite) end with dispersed rDNA chromatin spreading between the two; B - one NOR-1 site shows proximal rDNA knob and a terminal dispersed rDNA fraction, the other site shows only condensed rDNA chromatin at the satellite, while rDNA chromatin from the proximal part of the site is dispersed; F - fusion of the sites, i.e. very close positioning of proximal rDNA knobs in relation of each other; T - total number of analyzed cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103954.t001

**Table 1.** Different patterns of rDNA chromatin organization at NOR-1 sites.

**Figure 2.** Electron micrographs of in situ hybridization with biotin labeled 18S rDNA probe on sections through root tip meristematic tissue of *Q. robur*. Hybridization area was detected with DAB. Labeled condensed chromatin lies outside or close to the nucleolus (A and B, black arrows), protruding into the nucleolus (B, black arrow) and inside the nucleolus near the nucleolar border (C, black arrows). Diffuse hybridization signal that corresponds to completely decondensed DNA is seen inside the nucleolus (A, B, C, white arrows). Unlabeled chromatin corresponding to the NOR-bearing chromosome can be seen near the nucleolus (B, black arrowhead) and protruding into it (A, black arrowhead). Letter ‘n’ marks the nucleolus. Scale bar is 2.5 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103954.g002
incubation with the secondary antibody to detect 5mC, the slides were dehydrated through an ethanol series and treated with RNase A solution (50 μg/mL in 2×SSC) for 1 h at 37°C. After washing in 1×PBS, the slides were post-fixed in 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, washed in 1×PBS, dehydrated through an ethanol series, dried for 30 min at 60°C and rehydrated for 10 min in 1×PBS prior to FISH detection. For immunodetection of histone marks, a protocol of Vičič et al. [38] was followed. Briefly, after blocking, the slides were incubated with primary antibodies prepared in the following dilutions: 1:50 anti-H3K9ac (Abcam, ab 10812), 1:100 anti-H3K27me2 (Abcam, ab 24684), 1:200 anti-H3K9me1 (Abcam, ab 89906) and 1:200 anti-H3K4me3 (Abcam, ab 71998) for 1 h at 37°C. The slides were washed and incubated for 1 h at 37°C with 1:200 dilution of either Cy3- or FITC-conjugated secondary antibody (Abcam, ab 6939 and ab 6785). Finally, the slides were
washed, counterstained with DAPI and mounted in antifade (DAKO Fluorescent Mounting Medium). For the combination of histone immunodetection and FISH, IF was carried out first and the slides were post-fixed as described previously. The hybridization mixture consisted of 50% (v/v) formamide, 10% (w/v) dextran sulphate, 50 mM NaH2PO4 and 1.5–2.0 ng/mL Cy3 labelled 45SrDNA probe in 2×SSC. The chromosomes and the probe were denatured simultaneously at 80°C for 5 min and were left to hybridize overnight in a humid chamber at 37°C. After post-hybridization stringent washes, the slides were counterstained with 0.5 mg/mL DAPI for 8 min and mounted in antifade (DAKO Fluorescent Mounting Medium).

In situ hybridization on ultra-thin sections

Two different probes were used for ISH, both containing ribosomal genes of Cucurbita pepo. pRZ18 is a clone of the 2.4 kb HindIII fragment containing the whole 18S rRNA gene together with the ITS1 and 400 bp of the intergenic spacer. pRZ25 is a clone of the 2.9 kb HindIII fragment containing the 5.8S rRNA gene and ITS2, while the 25S rRNA gene lacks 200 bp of the 3’ end [36]. The probes were labelled with biotin (Boehringer Mannheim) by nick translation. The probe solution was prepared by mixing either 18S or 25S rDNA in 50% formamide, 10% dextran-sulphate, 0.1% SDS, 250 mg/mL salmon sperm and 2×SSC to a final probe concentration of 2–4 ng/mL.

Prior to hybridization, grids were treated with 100 μg/mL of RNase for 1 h at 37°C and with 5 μg/mL proteinase K (Sigma) for 10 min at 37°C, washed in 2×SSC supplemented with 50 mM MgCl2 and then re-fixed in freshly depolymerized 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde for 5 min, followed by rinsing in 2×SSC. For hybridization, grids with ultra-thin sections were put into a drop of the denatured probe (10 min incubation at 70°C), covered with a coverslip and then put again at 72°C for 10 min to denature DNA on ultra-thin sections. Grids were then transferred into a humid

Figure 5. Level of DNA methylation in NOR-1 and NOR-2 of Q.robur. A. Immunofluorescence using an antibody against 5-mC coupled with FISH using the 18S rDNA probe revealed the presence of 5-mC in both 45S rDNA loci. B. Co-localization analysis of 5-mC and FISH signals showed stronger co-localization in minor rDNA sites (NOR-2) when compared to major rDNA sites (NOR-1); N = 26. Number of asterisks indicates p<0.01; error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Letter “n” marks the nucleoli. Scale bar is 5 μm.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103954.g005

Figure 6. Nuclear repositioning of NOR-2 of Q.robur following inhibition of DNA methylation. A. After the treatment with 5-aza-2′-dC, NOR-2 sites (arrowheads) repositioned much closer to nucleoli, as confirmed by statistical analysis (B). Statistical analysis shows equal distance of the both NOR loci from the nuclear periphery (C). Number of asterisks indicates p<0.0001; error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Letter “n” marks the nucleoli. Scale bar is 5 μm.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103954.g006
chamber at 37°C to allow hybridization overnight. Post-hybridization stringent washes were performed as follows: 1 × 5 min in 2×SSC, 1 × 10 min in 50% formamide, and 2 × 5 min in 2×SSC at 40°C and then 2 × 5 min in 2×SSC and 1 × 5 min in PBS/Tween at room temperature. Grids were then treated with a BSA blocking solution for 5 min at room temperature and incubated in streptavidin/horseradish peroxidase (HRPO, DAKO) in PBS/Tween buffer (1:500) for 1 h at 37°C. After incubation, the grids were rinsed in PBS/Tween 3 × 5 min. The signal was visualized by diaminobenzidine (DAB) reduction; grids were incubated for 20 min at 4°C in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.05% (w/v) DAB and 0.015% (v/v) fresh hydrogen peroxide. Reaction was stopped by rinsing with water several times and air-drying. Ultra-thin sections on grids were contrasted with a 4% (w/v) aqueous solution of uranyl-acetate and examined at 60 kV using a Zeiss EM 10A electron microscope.

Evaluation of rRNA transcription level

To determine the expression levels of 18S rRNA genes and Actin 3 following treatment with 5-aza-2′-dC, RNA from the oak root tips was extracted according to Manickavelu [39] and purified by DNase I (Sigma). Reverse transcription reactions were performed using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, using the specific primer pair for reverse transcription of 18S rRNA (ITS1cr-GTTCTGCTGTGCAGGTTTCG; PIGS2cr-CTACCTGGTT-GATCCTGCCA) and the Oligo(dT)12–18 Primer (Invitrogen) for Actin 3. The obtained cDNA was further amplified by PCR using the same primer pair for amplification of 18S rRNA and Act3F (GATTTGGCACATCAGCTTCTACAATG) and Act3R (GTTCCACCTGAGCAGACAATG) for Actin 3 amplification. The cycling conditions were as follows: 94°C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 1 min at 55°C and 2 min at 72°C for amplification of 18S cDNA; and 94°C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 45 s at 94°C, 45 s at 58°C and 1.5 min at 72°C for amplification of Actin 3. After electrophoresis of PCR products in 1% agarose gel and staining with ethidium bromide, gel image was captured using the Kodak EDAS 290 system with an Uvitec UV transilluminator. Bands were quantitated using the ImageJ software. Background was removed by the “rolling ball” method, which was followed by densitometry using the gel analysis module. All bands (18S rRNA and Actin3) were from the same gel, so the comparison was done by estimating uncalibrated integrated optical density expressed in arbitrary units. Direct analysis of the bands using the “region of interest” measurement was conducted to double-check and confirm the results of the gel analysis module.

Image analysis and statistical analysis

For microscopy analysis, FISH images were captured using an epi-fluorescence microscope Axiosvert 200 M (Zeiss, Germany), while IF and IFF images were captured using a confocal microscope Leica Microsystems TCS SP2 AOBS (Heidelberg, Germany), both coupled with CCD cameras. Optical sections were acquired by confocal microscopy using integrated software. Images were processed and merged in ImageJ. Prior to co-localization analyses, images were deconvolved using 3D Parallel Iterative Deconvolution plug-in for ImageJ. Co-localization analyses were performed on 6-stack images by measuring the Pearson’s coefficient (PC) using an ImageJ-based plug-in JACoP [40]. Integrated fluorescence intensity analysis was performed using an ImageJ-based plug-in that added together all voxel intensity values for a selected nucleus and the obtained values were normalized to the volume of the nuclei determined by DAPI staining. Parameters for rDNA loci localization as well as nuclear and nucleolar volumes were obtained using ImageJ tools. Distance from nucleolus and periphery was normalized to nuclear radius. GraphPad Prism 5.0 software was used for statistical analyses. All analyses were carried out using the Mann-Whitney non-parametric t-test.

Confocal Z-stacks from the co-localization study were used for size comparison between the major (NOR-1) and the minor (NOR-2) rDNA locus. In total, 81 loci were analyzed (37 NOR-1
and 44 NOR-2). Images were analyzed using the ImageJ software [41]. Integrated density of each feature was measured across the Z-stack, with one measurement per optical section. No normalization was required, since all images had intensities running in the full 8-bit range (0 to 255), which was confirmed by the fact that no apparent variation in the overall intensity could be detected.

Figure 8. Histone modifications associated with NOR-1 and NOR-2 loci in root tip cycling cells of Q. robur. IFF detection of H3K9ac (A), H3K4me3 (B), H3K9me1 (C) and H3K27me2 (D) and graphs showing Pearson’s coefficient of co-localization of respective histone marks with NOR loci. Green signals correspond to immunofluorescence of histone antibodies and red signals represent 18S rDNA FISH signals. Number of asterisks indicates p<0.05; error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Letter “n” marks the nucleoli. Scale bar is 5 µm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103954.g008
between images. At each section, the integrated optical density (OD) was multiplied by the area represented by one pixel to arrive at intensity in arbitrary units normalized to magnification. The section with the largest OD value was identified and taken as the cross-sectional area of the feature. Integrated OD by volume for each feature was obtained by adding OD of all the corresponding optical cross-sections, and multiplying the obtained value by the voxel volume. In the same manner, we quantitated the integrated volume of the condensed and decondensed NOR-1 in the six nuclei where these features were completely represented and clearly visible. The percentage of the decondensed fraction was calculated as the ratio between the integrated OD of the decondensed chromatin to the sum of the integrated OD of the decondensed and the integrated OD of the condensed fraction of the same NOR-1 site.

NOR size data were processed using the R statistical software [42]. Central 95% of the size range for NOR-1 and NOR-2 (between the quantiles 2.5% and 97.5%) were determined using the nonparametric Harrell-Davis quantile estimator [43] implemented in the R package “Hmisc” [44]. The 90% confidence intervals for the quantiles were estimated by bootstrapping [45] implemented in the R package “boot” [46].

Results

NOR-1 and the NOR-2 can be separated by their size

Four FISH signals representing two 45S rDNA loci, referred to as the major (NOR-1) and the minor (NOR-2) locus, were identified in interphase nuclei of the common oak root tip cycling cells, consistent with our previous findings [35]. The NOR-1 locus is positioned terminally on the short arm of a subtelocentric chromosome pair, while the NOR-2 locus resides at a paracentromeric region of a medium-sized metacentric chromosome pair (Fig. 1A and D). Even though molecular distinction of the two loci is still not possible because of the high similarity of rRNA copies within both sites [47] and our unpublished data), the difference in size alone was sufficient for discrimination between NOR-1 and NOR-2 locus. Ranges for both cross-section and integrated volume were completely free of overlap between NOR-1 and NOR-2 making their identification unambiguous. Modeling the spread of NOR-1 and NOR-2 cross-section and volume data by calculating quantiles in a manner similar to calculation of reference intervals in clinical chemistry [48] demonstrated that it was highly unlikely to confuse the two sites.

The mean integrated OD by area (in arbitrary units, normalized by pixel area) of the largest cross-section of NOR-1 was 139.34, while it was 22.11 for NOR-2 and the difference in measured values was statistically significant (p<0.0001) (Fig. 1G). The observed OD by area range was 63.29 to 286.01 for NOR-1 and 7.95 to 41.67 for NOR-2. The central 95% (from 2.5% to 97.5%) of the OD by area range for NOR-1 was 68.76 (90% confidence interval: 63.37 to 76.05) to 263.35 (90% confidence interval: 218.19 to 283.20), while for NOR-2 it was 8.79 (90% confidence interval: 7.99 to 11.98) to 39.93 (90% confidence interval: 36.53 to 41.62). The mean integrated OD by volume (in arbitrary units, normalized by voxel volume) of NOR-1 was 150.91, while it was 18.59 for NOR-2 (Fig. 1H), the difference between the two being statistically significant (p<0.0001). The observed OD by volume range was 62.04 to 301.35 for NOR-1 and 4.53 to 39.42 for NOR-2. The central 95% (from 2.5% to 97.5%) of the OD by volume range for NOR-1 was 63.15 (90% confidence interval: 62.07 to 66.16) to 299.65 (90% confidence interval: 293.26 to 301.31), while for NOR-2 it was 5.66 (90% confidence interval: 4.57 to 7.58) to 38.10 (90% confidence interval: 32.65 to 39.37). Neither the observed range, nor the 90% confidence limits of the central 95% of the observed range (quantiles 2.5% to 97.5%) were overlapping, demonstrating good separation of NOR-1 and NOR-2 by size alone. Exact measurements are given in the supplementary Table S1 and examples of Z-stacks can be found in Fig. S2.

rDNA chromatin topology

While rDNA chromatin of the minor locus was always highly condensed (Fig. 1A, arrowheads), NOR-1 locus showed two types of rDNA chromatin organization – a highly condensed rDNA chromatin (rDNA knob, Fig. 1A and D, arrows; C and F, dashed area on chromosome drawings) and a more decondensed rDNA chromatin (Fig. 1A and D, FITC-green dispersed signal; C and F, dots on schematic presentation). This dispersed FISH signal was not homogenous but comprised smaller and larger dots interconnected with thin threads (Fig. 1A and D). The dispersed FISH signal was associated with the nucleolus/nucleoli (Fig. 1A, C, D and F) and probably contains transcriptionally active rRNA genes, so that rDNA chromatin stays less condensed even during (pro)maphase.

Two homologous NOR-1 sites showed dimorphism regarding chromatin topology and the type of chromatin organization was not fully random as metaphase NOR-1 sites showed the same dimorphism in majority of the cells within an individual (Table 1). Even though both sites contained both rDNA knob and dispersed rDNA fraction, their arrangement was different. At one site, the rDNA knob was present at the proximal end of the site (Fig. 1A and D, yellow arrows) and the rest of rDNA chromatin was dispersed (see also Fig. 1C and F), while on the other site the condensed chromatin resided on both the proximal and the distal end (i.e. satellite) of the locus and the dispersed rDNA fraction was spread between the two (Fig. 1A and D, white arrows, see also C and F).

We tried to determine relative abundance of each type of rDNA chromatin fraction - condensed (rDNA knob) and decondensed (dispersed FISH signal) - on confocal Z-stacks as described in the Materials and methods section. Of the six nuclei where the decondensation fraction could be measured, two nuclei showed one fully condensed NOR-1 site, while the second site was 75.3% and 52.7% decondensed. The sum of the decondensed and the condensed fraction closely matched the fully condensed NOR-1 site (within 25%). The other four measured nuclei had a single visible NOR-1 site, which was partially decondensed. The decondensed fraction was 61.9%, 45.3%, 62.7% and 57.7%. The measured decondensation corresponded to approximately half of an NOR-1 site. In cases when there was only a single NOR-1 site visible, it was unclear whether the decondensed material was a part of the visible NOR-1 site, or represented the other which could not be seen due to complete decondensation. Examples of Z-
Subnuclear distribution of rDNA chromatin

The sites of 18S rDNA probe hybridization were visualized on ultra-thin sections by electron-opaque deposits of reduced DAB. ISH signal corresponding to condensed rDNA chromatin (rDNA knob) was seen outside of the nucleolus (Fig. 2A, black arrow) and on the nucleoplasm-nucleolus boundary i.e. protruding into the nucleolus (Fig. 2B and C, black arrows). Beside the localized signal, diffuse signals were visible inside the nucleolus (Fig. 2A, B and C, white arrows) corresponding to the decondensed rDNA chromatin fraction. In some other sections, unlabeled chromatin protruded into the nucleolus (Fig. 2A, black arrowhead) and near that site, a diffuse signal could be seen (Fig. 2A, white arrows). Unlabeled chromatin was also noticed adjacent to nucleolus (Fig. 2B, black arrowhead), probably corresponding to the chromatin of a NOR-bearing chromosome.

Mostly a single nucleolus was seen in EM sections, perhaps because of the random sectioning of the tissue, while two nucleoli were seen only rarely. A smaller body of the same consistence, probably representing the nucleolar-associated body, appeared often near the nucleolus (Fig. 2A).

Positioning of NOR-1 and NOR-2 loci in the interphase nucleus of the common oak and triticale

We aimed to measure the distance between NOR-1/NOR-2 sites and the nucleolus/nuclear periphery after FISH with the 18S rDNA probe. After counter-staining of interphase chromatin with DAPI, the nucleolus appeared as a dark unstained region. The major 45S rDNA (NOR-1) sites (Fig. 3A, arrowheads) were almost always associated with the nucleolus whereas the minor 45S rDNA (NOR-2) sites (Fig. 3A, arrows) were found predominantly located at the nuclear periphery. This observation was further confirmed by statistical analysis of the measured distances from the nucleolus and the nuclear periphery (Fig. 3B and C). Both NOR-1 sites were found in close proximity to the nucleolus, whereas the minor sites...
were significantly further away from it and, correspondingly, closer to the nuclear periphery (Fig. 3C). Nucleoli constituted up to 18% of the nuclear volume and were placed centrally, on average one quarter of nuclear diameter away from the nuclear center.

To test whether the proximity of an rDNA locus to the nucleolus is indicative of its transcriptional activity, we performed an additional analysis in triticale, a hybrid between rye (Secale cereale) and wheat (Triticum aestivum), with clearly differentiated NOR activities. The expression of 45S rDNA locus originating from rye is suppressed, while the two wheat-derived 45S rDNA loci are expressed [9]. Rye- and wheat-derived sites were detected using a combination of FISH probes that allowed distinguishing the two (Fig. 4A). We found that transcriptionally silent rye-derived 45S rDNA sites were significantly further away from the nucleolus and correspondingly closer to the periphery when compared to the wheat counterparts (Fig. 4A and B). Based on these results we designed a graphical model depicting relative positions of each of the rDNA loci in nuclei of both species (Fig. 4D). If an analogy to the triticale hybrid system is considered, there is where a strong correlation between rRNA gene transcriptional activity and the locus-nucleolus association, then our model suggests that in oak only the major 45S rDNA sites are transcriptionally active.

Changes in NOR-1 and NOR-2 methylation status and nuclear positioning following treatment with 5-aza-2’-dC.

To gain further insight into the possible differential activity of the minor and the major rDNA loci in Q. robur, we performed a co-localization analysis following combined immunodetection of 5-mC and FISH with the 18S rDNA probe. Visual inspection revealed abundance of 5-mC within the minor NOR-2 sites, while NOR-1 sites were largely devoid of this modification (Fig. 5A). Co-localization analysis performed by estimating the Pearson’s coefficient further confirmed a high co-localization level between 5-mC and the minor 45S rDNA sites (Fig. 5B).

To ascertain whether the disruption of DNA methylation has an effect on the spatial organization of the oak 45S rDNA loci, we treated root tips with the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor 5-aza-2’-dC. The treatment resulted in a significant decrease in global 5-mC levels (Fig. S1B), which we further confirmed by integrated fluorescence intensity analyses (Fig. S1C). Additionally, the reduction of global DNA methylation levels coincided with reorganization of nuclear architecture. After the treatment, chromatin seemed less dense and the nucleolus occupied a larger volume of the nucleus -22% in treated versus 18% in untreated nuclei – or two nucleoli appeared instead of one (in 44% of nuclei analyzed). Interestingly, we could observe a clear re-localization of the NOR-2 sites towards the nuclear center and the nucleoli, however it appeared that they never associated with the nucleolus/nucleoli (Fig. 6A, arrowheads, and B). If the nucleoli had two nucleoli, each of the NOR-1 sites was associated with an individual nucleolus (Fig. 6A, arrows) indicating preserved dominant contribution of NOR-1 sites to the nucleolus formation.

The epigenetic treatment significantly decreased the DNA methylation level within the NOR-2 sites (Fig. 7A). Interestingly, when comparing the co-localization level of FISH signals of the major or the minor rDNA sites with 5-mC, we could not observe any difference between the loci (Fig. 7B). It was evident that the treatment did not affect the already low methylation levels of the major 45S rDNA sites (PC = 0.2; Figs. 5B and 7B), but rather induced a decrease in the 5-mC level within the minor 45S rDNA locus (from PC = 0.42 to PC = 0.23 before and after the treatment, respectively).

Change in NOR-1 and NOR-2 histone marks following inhibition of DNA methylation.

We performed multiple IFF experiments using antibodies against histone marks shown in Arabidopsis to be characteristic for open (H3K9ac, H3K4me3) and closed (H3K9me1, H3K27me2) conformation of rDNA chromatin [8], in order to reveal histone signatures of rDNA chromatin in oak cycling cells. For all histone marks 20-30 NOR-1 and NOR-2 sites were analyzed. A moderate association of both rDNA loci was found with the H3K9ac (NOR-1 = 0.317; NOR-2 = 0.263, Fig. 8A) and H3K27me3 (NOR-1 = 0.230; NOR-2 = 0.225; Fig. 8D) histone marks. The strongest association of oak 45S rDNA locus was found for H3K9me1 (NOR-1 = 0.563, NOR-2 = 0.519; Fig. 8C). Interestingly, in case of the NOR-1 locus, this histone mark was confined to the rDNA chromatin knob, whereas the dispersed rDNA chromatin visible within the nucleolus was clearly devoid of the H3K9me1 signal. Surprisingly, H3K4me3 was the only histone mark distinctly represented in oak rDNA loci and it showed a stronger association with the NOR-2 locus (NOR-1 = 0.066; NOR-2 = 0.292; p = 0.0147; Fig. 8B).

In order to see the effect of a change in global DNA methylation level on the distribution of the analyzed histone marks within the rDNA chromatin, we repeated the IFF analyses after treatment of oak seedlings with 5-aza-2’-dC (Fig. 9A – D). Again, 20-30 sites of NOR-1 and NOR-2 loci were analyzed for each histone mark. We found that two of the tested histone marks showed significant changes in their co-localization values. The treatment resulted in an increase of co-localization of H3K4me3 with the NOR-1 locus (control = 0.005; treatment = 0.279; p = 0.0041, Fig. 8B and 9B). It also caused a significant decrease of H3K9me1 co-localization in the NOR-2 locus compared to the NOR-1 locus (NOR-1 = 0.623; NOR-2 = 0.463; p = 0.0019, Fig. 9C) even though the individual PC values of NOR-1 and NOR-2 did not statistically differ from the control values. These results could indicate transcriptional reactivation of a previously inactive fraction of rRNA genes within both NOR loci. H3K9ac and H3K27me2 were not significantly altered following global DNA demethylation.

Transcriptional reactivation of rRNA genes after treatment with 5-aza-2’-dC.

A global decrease in DNA methylation following 5-aza-2’-dC treatment resulted in rDNA loci redistribution and an increase in nucleoli volume/number, suggesting a potential increase in rRNA gene activity. To address this question, we performed a RT-PCR analysis. In 3 independent experiments we found more than a twofold increase in 18S rRNA transcription following the treatment, confirming that the observed epigenetic changes go hand in hand with the changes in transcriptional activity (Fig. 10). However, there is still no valid method to distinguish transcription arising from a particular rDNA locus in oak cycling cells.

Silver staining.

Silver staining of oak chromosomes revealed a prominently stained nucleolus and two regions of silver precipitation with position and size corresponding to rDNA chromatin knobs of the NOR-1 sites. Therefore, silver staining enabled positive identification of NOR-1 sites positioned adjacent to the nucleolus (Fig. 11A arrows). Much stronger silver deposition was observed within the whole nucleolus, corresponding to the dispersed rDNA chromatin fraction of the NOR-1 locus containing actively transcribing rRNA genes (Fig. 11A). No other silver stained regions were visible on oak chromosomes suggesting that only the NOR-1 locus is involved in formation of the nucleolus and...
contributes to rRNA gene transcription. After treatment with 5-aza-2’-dC, no localized silver deposition corresponding to rDNA knob of the NOR-1 site was seen, suggesting decondensation of rDNA chromatin. Also, no additional silver stained sites were found, indicating that NOR-2 sites were not reactivated (Fig. 11B).

Discussion

NOR-1 and NOR-2 loci show different rDNA chromatin topology, distinct positions with respect to the nucleolus and different epigenetic signatures, suggesting their transcriptional status.

The aim of this study was to deduce the transcriptional status of the major and the minor rDNA locus (NOR-1 and NOR-2) in root tip cycling cells of pedunculate oak by: 1) analyzing the association between a NOR and the nucleolus; 2) observing the rDNA chromatin topology; 3) staining NORs with silver; 4) comparing the presence/absence of DNA methylation and histone modifications at rDNA loci and (5) comparing levels of rRNA transcripts before and after the treatment with DNA methylation inhibitor 5-aza-2’-dC. Our data suggest that only rRNA genes residing within the major rDNA locus (NOR-1) are transcriptionally active under normal physiological conditions and the minor locus (NOR-2) probably stays transcriptionally silent. This situation resembles a phenomenon known as nucleolar dominance, where epigenetic differences determine and maintain the dominant and repressed sets of rRNA genes in hybrid species [3,8,18,49]. The evidence supporting the silent status of the NOR-2 locus is several-fold; (1) the NOR-2 sites never associated with the nucleolus, but instead were situated close to the nuclear periphery; (2) the rDNA chromatin of the NOR-2 locus always appeared highly condensed and no dispersed rDNA signal (corresponding to more decondensed rDNA chromatin fraction) was visible even following the epigenetic treatment; (3) NOR-2 locus showed no silver precipitation and (4) the NOR-2 sites were enriched for repressive (5-mC, H3K9me1) and deprived of permissive (H3K9ac and H3K4me3) epigenetic marks. By contrast, both sites of the NOR-1 locus were consistently associated with a large single nucleolus (rarely each site formed its own nucleolus), they consisted of dispersed rDNA chromatin fraction with peri- and intra-nucleolar position (seen both by light and electron microscopy), they were stained with silver and contained hypomethylated DNA.

Localization of NORs, rDNA chromatin topology and transcriptional activity of 45S rRNA genes are in part regulated by cytosine methylation

Comparison of the triticate and Q. robur nuclei models, constructed on the basis of measured distances of NORs from the nucleolus/nuclear periphery, shows that the distance from the nucleolus can be considered as an indication of NOR activity in pedunculate oak. In triticate, we have found that only NORs of wheat origin associate with the nucleolus, indicating a strong correlation between a NOR locus position and its activity. Therefore, positioning of the oak’s NOR-1 locus close to the nucleolus would suggest that rRNA genes within this locus are transcriptionally active, while NOR-2 sites that are closer to the nuclear periphery can be considered transcriptionally silent. Treatment with the methyltransferase inhibitor 5-aza-2’-dC causes global hypomethylation and reactivation of silent NORs in triticate, A. thaliana and Brassica napus [5,7,9,50] indicating that DNA methylation is necessary for rRNA gene silencing. In oak root tip cycling cells, DNA hypomethylation caused by 5-aza-2’-dC treatment resulted in repositioning of NOR-2 sites closer to the nucleolus, an increase in nucleolar size and number and a twofold increase in 18S rRNA transcription. These results are in agreement with the results of Caperta and co-workers [19], who have demonstrated that 5-aza-2’-dC treatment causes reactivation of a silent NOR in rye, an increase in steady-state rRNA transcription accompanied with the enlargement of the nucleolus, decondensation of rDNA chromatin and relocation of NORs into the nucleolus.

rDNA chromatin within NOR-2 locus was highly methylated under normal physiological conditions of root tip cycling cells, and chromatin was marked with H3K9me and moderately with H3K27me2, both histone modifications characteristic for repressive rDNA chromatin. Treatment of the cells with 5-aza-2’-dC resulted in a decrease in cytosine methylation and H3K9me1 within NOR-2, both signs of a change from the repressive to the permissive chromatin state. Also, the treatment caused repositioning of NOR-2 locus much closer to the nucleolus. Nevertheless, we found no cytological evidence of NOR-2 transcriptional activity, i.e. no silver precipitation and/or rDNA decondensation. In fact, the presence/absence of an epigenetic modification itself in rDNA chromatin is not sufficient for determination of its transcriptional status, as it has been shown for Arabidopsis, a mutant for AtHDA6 (histone deacetylase gene), that hyperacetylation is not concomitant with increased rRNA transcription [51].

Our results suggest that the increase in total transcription levels of rRNA genes in Q. robur after the treatment with 5-aza-2’-dC is rather due to up-regulation of the active fraction of genes in the NOR-1 locus or possibly reactivation of the silent portion of that locus, than reactivation of the NOR-2 locus. Muir and co-workers suggested that only a single rDNA family of the three divergent rDNA families identified in Q. robur is functional [52]. They found that the rate of sequence change was significantly elevated in the two rDNA gene families which are not expressed compared to the functional gene family and concluded that these two families exist as pseudogenes. Based on the combined results of expression analysis, relative rate tests and mutation spectrum analyses they suggested that nucleolar dominance is not suppressing the expression of two of the three rDNA clades present in Q. robur genome, but rather that these sequences have lost their function. The results from this study also suggest that NOR-2 associated rRNA genes have lost their function. In fact, Muir et al. [52] have proposed a scenario where three highly divergent rDNA families were brought together in the genome of Q. robur through an ancient hybridization process, which prevented concerted evolution to homogenize these sequences [53]. In a situation where there is little or no genetic exchange, nucleolar dominance could assure the expression from only a single rDNA family (i.e. NOR-1 locus in Q. robur). Since nucleolar dominance could be maintained over generations [10] there is a possibility that silenced rDNA family (i.e. rRNA genes from the NOR-2 locus in Q. robur) could have evolved as a pseudogene.

Structural and functional domains within the major 45S rDNA locus (NOR-1)

Two different structural domains – a highly condensed (rDNA knob) and a dispersed heterogeneous rDNA chromatin fraction of peri- and intranuclear position - co-exist within the major rDNA locus (NOR-1). The same has been shown for the active NOR in diploid rye [17], for the dominant A. arenosa NOR in the hybrid A. suecica [18] and for the dominant NOR of wheat origin in a wheat-rye hybrid [20]. These previous observations have suggested that the condensed rDNA knob is a part of the locus containing a subset of inactive rRNA genes silenced by heterochromatiniza-
tion and that the dispersed rDNA chromatin fraction is a domain containing a subset of transcriptionally active rRNA genes.

According to the presence of epigenetic marks, silver staining and deposits of reduced DAB, it can be concluded that active rRNA copies reside within the dispersed rDNA chromatin fraction of the NOR-1 locus in root tip cycling cells of the common oak. Interestingly, even though the condensed rDNA knob of the NOR-1 locus was enriched with the repressive histone mark H3K9me1 and poor in the active histone mark H3K4me3, it showed a low level of 5-mC and a mild co-localization with the H3K9ac mark, both hallmarks of permisive chromatin. In addition, the rDNA knob showed mild silver precipitation. One plausible explanation for this would be that rRNA genes within the rDNA knob of NOR-1 locus stay hypomethylated to be accessible for rapid reactivation, which can be achieved by a change in histone modifications, mostly demethylation of Lys 9 of histone H3 and acetylation at the same position. Cytosine methylation is a more stable epigenetic modification than histone marks and gradually and slowly changes on a larger scale, i.e. along a longer chromosome region occupied by rRNA genes [54]. Another possibility would be that within the rDNA knob of NOR-1 locus, copies of silent and active rRNA genes are intermingled. Indeed, ChIP analysis has demonstrated that in the hybrid A. suecica, which shows nuclear dominance, the dominant A. arenosa rRNA genes associate with both active and silent histone marks. The same situation is found in non-hybrid A. thaliana in which a fraction of rRNA genes within the same locus associates with H3K4me3 and another fraction associates with H3K9ac2 [5].

The dispersed rDNA chromatin fraction of NOR-1 locus comprised smaller peri- and intra-nuclear rDNA chromatin knobs (FISH dots), as visible in LM, interconnected with thin threads of chromatin suggesting that both transcriptionally silent and active rRNA genes are possibly present in this fraction.ISH using 18S probes on ultra-thin sections of oak root tips revealed condensed sites on the nucleoplasm-nucleolar boundary that sometimes protruded into the nucleolar mass while decondensed rDNA chromatin was clearly spread in the volume of the nucleolus. It is possible that the condensed rDNA chromatin on the nucleoplasm-nucleolar boundary represents an intermediate heterochromatin state, which under appropriate conditions might become transcriptionally active [55–57]. Pontvianne et al. [11] have shown that localization of rRNA copies inside or outside of the nucleolus reflects transcriptional and epigenetic states that are changeable according to the needs of the cell and they further reasoned that the excess rRNA genes coalesce into dense heterochromatic structures at the external edge of the nucleolus but spool out into the nucleolus as more rRNA genes are needed. Conversely, excess rRNA genes would be reeled into the external reservoir such that rRNA gene partitioning between the nucleolus and nucleoplasm is the cytological manifestation of dynamic rRNA gene dosage control.

Study of chromatin topology of the NOR-1 locus in different cells of Q. robur root tip led to a conclusion that the active fraction of rRNA genes within the NOR-1 is not fully random, as the (pro)metaphase NOR sites always show the same condensation pattern at the same position of the NOR-1 sites. In addition, stable dimorphism was observed for this locus: while dispersed rDNA chromatin fraction was located at the terminal part of one site, at another site it connected the proximal rDNA knob with the condensed rDNA chromatin on the satellite, i.e. dispersed rDNA chromatin corresponded to SC. The mechanisms underlying this stable dimorphism of NOR-1 sites, reflecting the choice of rRNA copies to be transcribed in oak root tip cycling cells, remains unknown. We hypothesize that epigenetic mechanisms responsible for cell memory are involved.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Reduction of the global level of 5-mC in root tip cycling cells of Q. robur following treatment with 5-aza-2’-dC. Immunolocalization of 5-mC before (A) and following the epigenetic treatment (B). C. Integrated fluorescence intensity analysis confirmed reduction of global 5-mC levels following treatment. Number of asterisks indicate p<0.0001; error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Scale bar is 5 μm. (TIF)

Figure S2 Examples of full Z-stacks for measurement of NOR-1 and NOR-2 size. Size was measured only when features were fully included in the confocal image stack. Two nuclei are given (upper panel. lower panel). Green channel represents chromatin while the red channel corresponds to 18S rDNA signal. Upper and lower panel are at different scales. (TIF)

Table S1 Measured maximum cross-section (Area) and integrated volume (Volume) for NOR-1 and NOR-2 loci. All values are in arbitrary OD units normalized by pixel area or voxel volume to make them directly comparable across images. (XLSX)
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