Molecular Polar Surface Area, Total Solvent Accessible Surface Area (SASA), Heat of Formation, and Gamma-Ray Attenuation Properties of Some Flavonoids
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The chemical and physical characteristics of several flavonoid compounds such as geraniol, thymoquinone, betaine, apigenin, N-acetylcysteine, catechin, L-carnosine, epigallocatechin, and saponarin were examined in this work. Numerous molecular properties of all flavonoid compounds used in this study were calculated using the Calculate Molecular Properties module of Accelrys Discovery Studio v20.1.0.19295.0. These properties included molecular polar surface area, total solvent accessible surface area, and heat of formation. We used the MCNPX general-purpose Monte Carlo code in combination with the Phy-X PSD software to determine gamma-ray interaction parameters such as attenuation coefficients, effective atomic numbers, and buildup factors. The findings indicate that the flavonoids’ elemental compositions have a direct effect on their chemical and physical properties. Additionally, a synergistic interaction of chemical and physical behaviors has been observed. Among the flavonoids studied, saponarin was shown to have the highest polar surface area and solvent accessible surface area, as well as the highest stability. Additionally, saponarin had the strongest gamma-ray attenuation characteristics across a broad photon energy range. It may be inferred that saponarin’s elemental structure enables a synergistic relationship between its chemical and physical characteristics. The findings of this study may contribute to the evaluation of saponarin’s hypoglycemic, antibacterial, and hepatoprotective effects.
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INTRODUCTION

Free radicals contain one or more un-shared electrons in their outer orbits [1, 2]. They exist as both organic and inorganic molecules. Free radicals react with unsaturated fatty acids, DNA molecules, and sulphhydril bonds in protein molecules and damage cells and tissues [2, 3]. The active property of radicals is related to the diffusion distance. Since hydroxyl radical is extremely active, it reacts immediately where it is formed without the need for diffusion farther from the cell part where it occurs. Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), on the other hand, diffuses easily from mitochondrial membranes, peroxisomal membranes, and plasma membranes and can show its toxic effect in cell sections farther from the point where it is released [3]. Every cell that makes up our body has a defense mechanism against radicals, which we call a radical scavenging enzyme system consisting of enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), and glutathione reductase (GSSGR). In addition, there is an auxiliary defense mechanism consisting of antioxidant vitamins A, E, C, and lipoic acid [4–6].

Flavonoids are polyphenolic compounds and are structures of plant origin. Four thousand different flavonoids have been described so far. Flavonoids are grouped as flavonols, flavones, flavanones, anthocyanidins, and isoflavonoids [5, 6]. Flavonoids have various biological effects in the cell system and have been reported to have anti-antineoplastic, anti-mutagenic, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and antiplatelet activities [5]. Flavonoids can inhibit reactive oxygen species (ROS) damage. They can be used as a direct defender against free radicals [7–10]. Many in vitro and in vivo studies have reported that flavonoids and phenolic compounds exert antioxidant effects [5, 10]. Normal and man-made ionizing radiation occurs in our world. Exposure to elevated doses of radiation, such as in radiation treatment for cancer, can lead to brain injury and cognitive decline. Study results indicate that chronic exposure to low doses of ionizing [e.g., repetitive x-rays and computed tomography (CT) scans] and non-ionizing radiation (e.g., mobile devices) can trigger severe brain neuropathological changes. As a consequence, living biological tissues may be affected by exposure to radiation [11–15]. Flavonoids are beneficial as antioxidants, are

### Table 1

| Code | Materials     | Molecular formula | H  | C  | N  | O  | S  | Density (g/cm³) |
|------|---------------|-------------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----------------|
| S1   | Geraniol      | C₁₀H₁₈O           | 0.117618 | 0.778659 | —  | 0.103722 | —  | 0.867           |
| S2   | Betaine       | C₅H₁₁NO₂          | 0.094644 | 0.512643 | 0.119665 | 0.273149 | —  | 1.000           |
| S3   | Thymoquinone  | C₅H₁₂O₂          | 0.073660 | 0.731488 | —  | 0.194872 | —  | 1.065           |
| S4   | L- Camosine   | C₆H₁₂N₂O₃        | 0.062374 | 0.477817 | 0.247649 | 0.212161 | —  | 1.376           |
| S5   | N-Acetylcysteine | C₄H₂NO₅S     | 0.049717 | 0.307027 | 0.086360 | 0.256939 | 0.197707 | 1.480 |
| S6   | Apigenin      | C₁₅H₁₀O₅          | 0.037298 | 0.666882 | —  | 0.396021 | —  | 1.548           |
| S7   | Gallocatechin | C₁₅H₁₄O₆         | 0.046013 | 0.620675 | —  | 0.330711 | —  | 1.593           |
| S8   | Epigallocatechin | C₁₅H₁₄O₇     | 0.046074 | 0.588252 | —  | 0.365674 | —  | 1.696           |
| S9   | Saponarin     | C₂₇H₃₀O₁₅       | 0.050861 | 0.545471 | —  | 0.403668 | —  | 1.800           |

**FIGURE 1** | MCNPX setup. (I) 3-D view of gamma-ray transmission setup obtained from MCNPX Visual Editor. (II) 2-D view of gamma-ray transmission setup with dimensional information obtained from MCNPX Visual Editor.
anti-inflammatory, and are radioprotective. Flavonoids and metabolites will diffuse through the capillary endothelium, the basement membrane, and the glial membrane, which will pass the blood–brain barrier. Preliminary analyses have shown that epigallocatechin (EGC) and the metabolites such as Flavin can cross the blood–brain barrier and lead to neurogenesis [16–20].

The review of the literature revealed that several researchers have conducted promising studies on the radioprotective
properties of flavonoids [21]. However, no research has been published on the chemical and gamma-ray attenuation characteristics of the flavonoid samples such as geraniol, betaine, thymoquinone l-carnosine, N-acetylcysteine, apigenin, catechin, epigallocatechin, and saponarin [22–35]. As a result, we used advanced Monte Carlo simulation techniques and mathematical methods to evaluate the crucial molecular characteristics and gamma-ray attenuation capabilities of the

FIGURE 3 | Variation of mass attenuation coefficient ($\mu_m$) against photon energy for all studied materials.

FIGURE 4 | Variation of half value layer ($T_{1/2}$) against photon energy for all studied materials.
abovementioned flavonoids. Additionally, we expected a synergistic impact of structural modifications on the chemical and physical properties of the flavonoids investigated. The results from this study may assist future research in areas such as the attenuation characteristics of next-generation biomaterials, pharmacology, food science, and food production. Additionally, the results would be applicable to investigations involving radiotherapy and radiology, as well as antioxidant consumption undertaken before and after radiation treatment applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Monte Carlo Simulations
This study utilized the MCNPX [36] general-purpose Monte Carlo code for gamma-ray transmission simulations of geraniol, thymoquinone, betaine, apigenin, N-acetylcysteine, catechin, L-carnosine, epigallocatechin, and saponarin samples. Accordingly, mass attenuation coefficients (MAC) of the aforementioned samples were determined at 0.015–10 MeV photon energy range. At first, INPUT files, cell cards, and surface cards were prepared using elemental mass fractions (%wt.) and material densities (see Table 1). The ideal states of these materials were specified during the definition of the investigated flavonoid samples. The term “ideal” refers to a reduction material that is totally composed of the molecular structure of the relevant sample and has no material deficiencies. Of course, providing this condition for experimental investigations is quite challenging. To begin, we defined the simulation equipment’s CELL structures by specifying their covering surfaces and densities. Additionally, the CELL card component has been developed for each glass sample based on their elemental compositions, which are specified in detail in the material IDs (Mn) section, taking their elemental mass fractions into consideration. Following that, the geometrical alignments of the glass attenuator material’s surfaces were input, as well as the geometrical structures of the surfaces, which may be flat, spherical, or cone shaped. We included radioisotope energies (from 0.015 MeV to 15 MeV, respectively) to the DATA card area, as well as the source geometry as point isotropic. The total geometry of the well-developed simulation system is shown in Figure 1. Furthermore, we added a vital specification to the DATA card, which is expressed as TALLY MESH, for the data collection method. The modeled gamma-ray transmission setup’s outcome function was created in this study using the MCNPX’s F4 TALLY MESH. The F4 tally is used to determine the average flow through a location. The flavonoid samples were determined considering their material properties. In addition, a widely established variance reduction strategy, called tracking-optimization, was also employed. To preserve simulation performance, neutron and electron tracking is disabled and photon tracking is enabled in parameter specification (i.e., imp: p). It is worth mentioning that all the simulation studies were performed using Lenovo® ThinkStation-P620/30E0008QUS Workstation-1X AMD-Ryzen, Threadripper PRO Hexadeca-core (16 Core) 3955 W × 3.90 GHz - 32 GB DDR4 SDRAM RAM.

Calculation of Molecular Properties
Theoretical calculations were carried out for the compounds using density functional theory (DFT), which provides reasonably high accuracy at an adequate computational cost. First, optimization of compounds in the gas phase was performed using B3LYP/6–31+G (d). The B3LYP hybrid density functional is a common general-purpose method used for ground-state
geometry optimization. After the optimization process, compounds were then subjected to compute a number of molecular properties. Various molecular properties, including molecular polar surface area, total solvent accessible surface area (SASA), and heat of formation of all flavonoid compounds used in this study, were calculated using the Calculate Molecular Properties module of Accelrys Discovery Studio (DS) v20.1.0.19295.0. Next, VAMP tool was employed to calculate...
the heat of formation for all compounds at the AM1/RHF level [37]. The VAMP tool utilized the single optimized, lowest energy conformation of each compound to compute molecular properties. VAMP is a semi-empirical molecular orbital method, which uses Slater-type atomic orbitals by evaluating the two-electron integrals through a multipole approximation. This method determines a molecular wave function according to the LCAO (Linear Combination of Atomic Orbital) that can then be used to derive various molecular properties.

Flavonoids are neither massive material structures nor physically thick materials in determining their gamma-ray attenuation properties experimentally. This is because experimental gamma-ray studies require the least material thickness to attenuate the incident gamma-rays. In our study, we modeled those flavonoids considering their elemental mass fractions as well as material densities. In this case, it is physically impossible to use them as a solid attenuator material. Therefore, we performed our simulation studies on a micro-scale by establishing a pure utopic attenuator composed of each flavonoid, respectively. Molecule structures of the investigated flavonoids are also presented in Figure 2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Radiation Attenuation Properties
Researchers are particularly interested in the gamma-ray attenuation characteristics of chemicals and pharmaceuticals to better understand their interactions with ionizing radiation [38–42]. In this study, gamma-ray attenuation properties of studied flavonoids were also calculated in addition to chemical properties. First, mass attenuation coefficients of investigated flavonoid samples were determined via MCNPX general-purpose Monte Carlo code. Figure 1 depicts the two-dimensional and
three-dimensional simulation configurations employed, as well as the distances and lengths used for gamma-ray transmission investigations, as acquired using the MCNPX Visual Editor (visedX22S). As seen, a point isotropic gamma-ray source has been embedded in a lead (Pb) block. Primary gamma-rays have been targeted onto an attenuator sample using a collimator. A collimator comparable to that used on the front side of the attenuator was also constructed to avoid backscattered radiation. Finally, near the collimator’s end, a detection field employing F4 Tally Mesh (average photon flux at a point or cell) was created to record the intensity of secondary gamma-rays. All equipment was positioned on the z axis in the simulation setup. The variation of mass attenuation coefficients as a function of incident photon energy is represented in Figure 3. Clearly, all the investigated flavonoid samples have a similar attenuation behavior in different energy regions. The highest attenuation can be seen for N-acetylcysteine due to the existence of S element in the chemical composition of this sample (see Table 1). This interesting observation supports the fundamental concepts of gamma interactions with matter [43, 44].

Figure 4 describes the attenuation properties of the investigated flavonoid samples in terms of half value layer (T1/2) as a function of energy up to 15 MeV. The lowest T1/2 and then the highest attenuation occurred at the low energy level (15 keV) with values of 0.926, 0.631, 0.667, 0.456, 0.409, 0.386, 0.351, and 0.321 cm for geraniol, betaine, thymoquinone, L-carnosine, N-acetylcysteine, apigenin, catechin, epigallocatechin, and saponarin, respectively. Such observation can be explained according to the photoelectric effect that dominates all the attenuation processes at the low energy levels. Figure 5 describes the attenuation properties of the investigated flavonoid samples in terms of mean free path (λ) as a function of energy up to 15 MeV. The highest λ and then the lowest attenuation occurred at the high energy level (15 MeV) with...
values of 63.563, 54.535, 52.372, 40.216, 34.980, 32.807, and 30.716 cm for geraniol, betaine, thymoquinone, L-carnosine, N-acetylcysteine, apigenin, catechin, epigallocatechin, and saponarin, respectively. Such observation can be explained according to the pair production (electron and positron production) that dominates all the attenuation processes at the high energy levels [45, 46].

Figure 6 describes the attenuation properties of the investigated flavonoid samples in terms of 10th value layer (TVL) as a function of energy up to 15 MeV. The behavior of TVL curve is similar to that of $T_{1/2}$ and $\lambda$ at the whole considered energy level [47, 48]. This supports the general variations of the transmission factors with the photon energy, such that all the transmission factors (TVL, $T_{1/2}$, and $\lambda$) have minimum values at low energies and then they increase as the photon energy increases, reaching their maximum values at the high energy level [49]. Figure 7 describes the attenuation properties of the investigated flavonoid samples in terms of effective atomic number ($Z_{\text{eff}}$) as a function of energy up to 15 MeV. Unlike the transmission factors, the highest $Z_{\text{eff}}$ values indicate that the highest attenuation occurred in the investigated flavonoid samples [50–52]. Therefore, the highest $Z_{\text{eff}}$ and then the highest attenuation occurred at the high energy level (15 keV) with values of 4.99, 5.83, 5.71, 6.13, 11.75, 6.38, 6.34, 6.45, and

FIGURE 10 | Variation of exposure buildup factor (EBF) against studied materials compositions.

FIGURE 11 | Variation of energy absorption buildup factor (EABF) against studied materials compositions.

FIGURE 12 | Variation of energy absorption buildup factor (EABF) and exposure buildup factor (EBF) against effective atomic number ($Z_{\text{eff}}$) for all studied materials.

FIGURE 13 | Effective removal cross-sections for fast neutrons ($\Sigma_R$) for all studied materials.
6.48 for geraniol, betaine, thymoquinone, l-carnosine, N-acetylcysteine, apigenin, catechin, epigallocatechin, and saponarin, respectively. In radiation studies, the photon attenuation can be fully understood by determining two basic terms: attenuation factors (e.g., LAC, MAC, and TVL) and the buildup factors. In the previous section, we discuss in detail all the concepts related to the attenuation factors of the investigated flavonoid samples. Now, we shall throw light on the buildup factors of the investigated flavonoid samples.

The buildup factors, namely, exposure buildup factor (EBF) and energy absorption buildup factor (EABF), were evaluated in the present research via the G-P fitting method based on Phy-X software. The fitting parameters and the required data for the calculations of EBF and EABF are summarized in Supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Table S2, Supplementary Table S3, Supplementary Table S4, Supplementary Table S5, Supplementary Table S6, Supplementary Table S7, Supplementary Table S8, and Supplementary Table S9 for the investigated samples of geraniol, betaine, thymoquinone, l-carnosine, N-acetylcysteine, apigenin, catechin, epigallocatechin, and saponarin, respectively.

Figure 8 and Figure 9 depict the variation of EBF and EABF against photon energy for all studied flavonoid samples. Obviously, both EBF and EABF have a similar behavior (according to the dominant processes) against photon energy for various energy levels. Such that the highest buildup factors were observed in the middle energy levels, where the Compton scattering is the dominant process, while the lowest buildup factors can be seen in the low and high energy levels where the photon absorption mechanism is a dominating process [53–56]. Figure 10 and Figure 11 demonstrate the variation of EBF and EABF against all studied flavonoid samples for photon energy of 0.4 MeV at various penetration depths (10, 20, 30, and 40 mfp). These figures show the extraordinary behavior of the buildup factors for the present flavonoid sample of N-acetylcysteine due to the existence of S element in the chemical composition of this sample. Such interesting observation supports the fundamental concepts of gamma interactions with matter. Figure 12 shows a comparison between EBF and EABF and their variations with the effective atomic number and energy of 1 MeV and depth of 5 mfp. Clearly, EBF values are bigger than EABF values for all the effective atomic number less than 4.5 for all the investigated samples. However, there is a swift decrease for the effective atomic number higher than 4.5, for example, the present flavonoid sample of N-acetylcysteine. Finally, we shall briefly discuss the neutron attenuation features of the investigated flavonoid samples in terms of removal cross-section for fast neutron. Figure 13 describes the neutron attenuation properties of the investigated flavonoid samples in terms of the removal cross section for fast neutron. One can see that the fast neutrons interact with the present sample with the cross-section values of 0.099, 0.099, 0.094, 0.111, 0.103, 0.105, 0.117, 0.122, and 0.133 cm$^{-1}$ for geraniol, betaine, thymoquinone, l-carnosine, N-acetylcysteine, apigenin, catechin, epigallocatechin, and saponarin, respectively. Therefore, the saponarin sample possesses the highest neutron cross-section among all the present samples.
**Molecular Properties**

Molecular properties, including polar surface area, SASA, and heat of formation, were determined and compared with each other for all nine flavonoid compounds used in this study, as depicted in Figure 14. The molecular polar surface area is defined as the sum of the molecular surface overall polar atoms, including nitrogen and oxygen atoms with their attached hydrogen atoms. Evaluation of polar surface area of flavonoids clearly indicated that saponarin flavonoid has the largest polar surface area as compared to any other flavonoid used in this study with values of 20.23, 34.14, 40.12, 86.99, 105.19, 110.38, 121.1, 130.61, and 256.28 Å² for geraniol, thymoquinone, betaine, apigenin, N-acetylcysteine, catechin, l-carnosine, epigallocatechin, and saponarin, respectively, as shown in Figure 14A. This greater polar surface area of saponarin can be attributed to the presence of a higher number of oxygen atoms present in the chemical structure of saponarin compared to other flavonoids. At the same time, geraniol exhibited the least polar surface owing to the presence of only one polar atom in its chemical composition. Similarly, SASA of saponarin was also the largest with a more rigid structure due to the presence of a relatively higher number of aromatic rings than other flavonoids, as displayed in Figure 14B. The heat of formation for flavonoids was also compared, indicating that saponarin was the most stable one with a more negative heat of formation value of −565.71 kcal/mol (Figure 14C). All these above-mentioned molecular properties clearly indicate that saponarin has the largest polar surface area and SASA, with more stability than other flavonoids.

**CONCLUSION**

This study aimed to investigate a potential behavioral relation between chemical and physical properties of different types of flavonoids in terms of extending the information for the scientific community. Accordingly, geraniol, thymoquinone, betaine, apigenin, N-acetylcysteine, catechin, l-carnosine, epigallocatechin, and saponarin were extensively analyzed in terms of chemical and physical attitudes. The results showed that elemental compositions of the flavonoids have a direct impact on chemical and physical behaviors. Moreover, a synergistic effect was also reported between chemical and physical behaviors. Among the investigated flavonoids, saponarin was reported with the largest polar surface area and SASA, with more stability than other flavonoids. In addition, saponarin has the highest gamma-ray attenuation properties in large-scale photon energy. It can be concluded that the elemental structure of saponarin can provide a synergistic link between the chemical and physical properties. Considering the importance of such materials for the pharmaceutical and food industry, it can be suggested that the results obtained from this study can be useful for the research and development activities of the industries in advanced applications. Therefore, the attributable properties of saporanin such as chemical composition, number of certain elements in its structure, and material density should always be considered.
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