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Abstract: The tuning of weighting factor has been considered as the most challenging task in the implementation of multi-objective model predictive control (MPC) techniques. Thus, this paper proposes an artificial intelligence (AI)-based weighting factor autotuning in the design of a finite control set MPC (FCS-MPC) applied to a grid-tied seven-level packed U-cell (PUC7) multilevel inverter (MLI). The studied topology is capable of producing a seven-level output voltage waveform and inject sinusoidal current to the grid with high power quality while using a reduced number of components. The proposed cost function optimization algorithm ensures auto-adjustment of the weighting factor to guarantee low injected grid current total harmonic distortion (THD) at different power ratings while balancing the capacitor voltage. The optimal weighting factor value is selected at each sampling time to guarantee a stable operation of the PUC inverter with high power quality. The weighting factor selection is performed using an artificial neural network (ANN) based on the measured injected grid current. Simulation and experimental results are presented to show the high performance of the proposed strategy in handling multi-objective control problems.
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1. Introduction

Multilevel inverters (MLIs) have been widely used in interruptible power supplies, renewable energy integration, and motor drive applications due to their high power quality, reduced switching losses, higher number of levels (better voltage waveform), and possible operation in high power applications [1,2].

Various MLI topologies have been reported in the literature for different applications [1,3–8]. Recently, the packed U-cell (PUC) inverter has been considered as one of the most interesting single DC source MLI topologies due to its high reliability (reduced number of active and passive elements), high power quality, and large multilevel voltage synthesis versatility [9–11]. However, the effective operation of the PUC inverter depends mainly on the appropriate selection of the switching patterns to guarantee high tracking accuracy of the state variables and minimization of the switching losses.
When a proportional–integral (PI) controller is used, the inclusion of the system nonlinearities becomes a major problem. Model predictive control (MPC) has been considered as a promising alternative to standard controllers, especially in the presence of system nonlinearities [12,13]. Thus, MPC is one of the most interesting control methods for MLIs as it combines the discrete characteristic of the controller in a simple way with the discrete characteristic of the converter [14–17]. In [18–21], a finite control set MPC (FCS-MPC) was proposed as an optimized control solution to achieve good dynamic performance using an optimized cost function. However, one of the greatest technical challenges in the design of the MPC algorithm is the determination of appropriate weighting factors to obtain the desired control objectives.

The best selection of the cost function is a challenging task, where a bad design could lead to system instability. In this context, trial and error techniques have been mostly used for selection of the most suitable weighting factor that can lead to the aimed control performance [19]. However, these techniques are time-consuming and noneffective when applied at different operational conditions in which the selected weighting factor could be optimized locally. In order to decrease the computation time, a branch-and-bound method was investigated in [22], where the weighting factor is selected empirically. The study in [23] proposed a recursive multicriteria optimization algorithm for the tuning of the weighting factors. The authors in [24] proposed a weighting factor optimization technique based on estimation of the absolute error of the state variable tracking. In [25], an adaptation strategy of online weighting factors was presented using an analytical variant. However, the proposed method has no constraint on the average switching frequency, which may be unrealistic due to the long calculation time.

Recently, several research works based on artificial intelligence (AI) have been proposed to overcome this problem, including artificial neural network (ANN), genetic algorithms (GA), particle swarm optimization (PSO), and fuzzy logic (FL) [26–34]. The authors in [29] used an ANN to determine automatically the weighting factor in the FCS-MPC proposed for a regulated uninterruptable power supply (UPS) converter to guarantee minimization of the total harmonic distortion (THD) and the switching frequency. The authors in [30] used multi-objective evolutionary algorithms (MOEA). In [31], a multi-objective genetic algorithm (MOGA) was proposed to design parameters and optimize two weighting factors within a FCS-MPC with a bypass active power filter. To adjust the distributed MPC weighting factors controlling the power system, the authors used PSO in [32]. To choose the right control action at each stage of the MPC algorithm, the authors in [33] included the GA. In [34], the MOGA was used to obtain a set of appropriate weighting factors to be used in induction motor-based MPC. The authors focused mainly on the simultaneous tuning of many weighting factors, where the proposed control took into account the inverter average switching frequency, the torque, and the flux of the induction motor.

In the present work, a new technique for selecting the optimized weighting factor value in the FCS-MPC strategy is presented and applied for a grid-connected seven-level PUC (PUC7) MLI. The main contribution of this work is the selection of the best weighting factor based on an ANN technique to ensure stable and robust operation of the studied PUC7 MLI under different operating conditions while reducing the THD of the grid current and the capacitor voltage error.

The remainder of this work is structured as follows. Section 2 explains the modeling and operational principle of the studied PUC7 inverter and the proposed control approach. In Section 3, the proposed ANN-based algorithm for autotuning of the weighting factor is detailed. In Section 4, the simulation results are provided and discussed. Section 5 presents the experimental results and discusses validation of the proposed control technique, while some conclusions are drawn in Section 6.
2. Theoretical Study

2.1. PUC7 Topology and Modelling

The PUC7 topology was initially proposed in [35]. Higher power quality can be achieved while employing a smaller number of active and passive components compared to other MLI topologies, which reduces the cost, volume, and the switching losses. The PUC7 topology is composed of six switches, one DC source, and one capacitor (Figure 1). If the capacitor voltage is controlled at 1/3 of the DC link voltage, seven voltage levels could be generated at the output terminals according to eight different switching states [18] (Table 1). It is worth noting that the switches $S_1$, $S_2$, and $S_3$ are operating in a complementary manner with $S_1'$, $S_2'$, and $S_3'$ [10,35].

![Figure 1. Grid-connected packed U-cell (PUC) inverter.](image)

Let $s_i \in \{0,1\} (i=1,2,3)$ illustrate the control actions expressed by

$$s_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } S_i \text{ is ON} \\ 0, & \text{if } S_i \text{ is OFF} \end{cases}$$

(1)

Using the Kirchhoff’s laws, the mathematical model is obtained as follows:

$$C \frac{dV_2(t)}{dt} = (s_3 - s_2)i_g(t)$$

(2)

$$L_f \frac{di_g(t)}{dt} = (s_1 - s_2)V_1(t) + (s_2 - s_3)V_2(t) - V_{\text{grid}}(t)$$

(3)

where

- $C$: cell capacitors,
- $L_f$: filtering inductor,
- $V_1$: DC source voltage,
- $V_2$: capacitor voltage,
- $V_{\text{grid}}$: grid voltage,
- $i_g$: injected grid current, and
- $s_1, s_2, s_3$: switching states.
The capacitor voltage $V_2$ and the injected grid current $i_g$ are the variables to be controlled in order to guarantee a stable operation of the PUC7 inverter [18].

**Table 1.** Switching states.

| State | $V_{out}$ | $S_1$ | $S_2$ | $S_3$ |
|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1     | 0         | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| 2     | $-V_2$   | 0     | 0     | 1     |
| 3     | $V_2-V_1$| 0     | 1     | 0     |
| 4     | $-V_1$   | 0     | 1     | 1     |
| 5     | $V_1$    | 1     | 0     | 0     |
| 6     | $V_1-V_2$| 1     | 0     | 1     |
| 7     | $V_2$    | 1     | 1     | 0     |
| 8     | 0         | 1     | 1     | 1     |

2.2. FCS-MPC for PUC7 Inverter

The application of FCS-MPC for power converters has gained extensive attention in the research society [14,15,18,19,22]. Indeed, it is a powerful control technique that can handle system nonlinearities and easily operate in multivariable case [14,24]. FCS-MPC considers the discrete characteristic of the power converter in order to design a discrete-time model that can be used to predict the behavior of the power converter, which allows applying the most suitable control vector in each sampling period [17].

In this work, the dynamics of the variables shown in Equations (2) and (3) are approximated during a sampling time $T_s$ by

$$x(k+1) = x(k) + \frac{dx(t)}{dt} \cdot T_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Hence, the discrete-time model that allows the prediction of the controlled variables at the next $(k+1)$ step is obtained by

$$V_2(k+1) = V_2(k) + \frac{T_s}{C} (s_3 - s_2) i_g(k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$i_g(k+1) = i_g(k) + \frac{T_s}{L_f} [(s_1 - s_2) V_1(k) + (s_2 - s_3) V_2(k) - V_{grid}(k)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Moreover, in order to ensure high tracking capabilities, the errors on the capacitor voltage and the injected grid current are divided by the maximum variations $\Delta V_{2\text{max}}$ and $\Delta i_{g\text{max}}$, respectively (variable normalization) [18]. The designed cost function is computed for the eight switching states, and the pattern that minimizes the cost function it is used at the next sampling time as illustrated in Figure 2. The proposed cost function is defined as follows:

$$c_f = \frac{|i_g^* - i_g(k+1)|}{\Delta i_{g\text{max}}} + \lambda \frac{|V_2^* - V_2(k+1)|}{\Delta V_{2\text{max}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where

$$\Delta V_{2\text{max}} = \frac{2i_g}{C} T_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$\Delta i_{g\text{max}} = \frac{2V_1}{L_f} T_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

It is worth noting that the weighting factor $\lambda$ has a crucial effect in the design of the FCS-MPC as it ensures proper balancing among the variable tracking. The adjustment of the weighting factor can reduce the computing time and response delay, which can lead to better results. The nonlinear behavior of the studied system makes the selection of a fixed weighting factor more challenging, especially under variable conditions and under different constraints. Thus, autotuning of the weighting factor is an important task in designing the MPC scheme, as will be discussed in the next section.
ANN was used in this study for online autotuning to solve the challenge of optimal selection of weighting factor in the FCS-MPC algorithm. The approach allows the best value of the weighting factor to be chosen for each current rate, leading to good tracking quality system robustness to parameter variation [36].

The first step was to build a simulation model of the FCS-MPC for grid-connected PUC7 inverter in the MATLAB/Simulink (2018b, MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) environment and then test the system under different operating conditions to check its performance. After that, a MATLAB algorithm was coded to enable collection of data from various tests (different operating conditions). In the current case study, the simulation was run with a set of weighting factors (from 0.001 to 0.5 at a 0.001 step size), while the injected grid current was changed from 3 to 6 A. The THD of the grid current ($THD_{ig}$) and the mean absolute error voltage regulation loop ($e_v$) were recorded in each simulation scenario.

The next step was to use the collected data to train the ANN to be able to predict inverter behavior for any current rate at different values of the weighting factor. Bayesian regularized algorithm was selected to train the network due to its robustness. The algorithm is based on a mathematical process that transforms a nonlinear regression into a statistical problem, which does not need lengthy cross-validation. It is able to reduce the mean squared errors and build an accurate model [37]. The advantage of Bayesian regularized ANN is that the models are solid, and the validation process in standard regression methods, such as backpropagation steps, is unnecessary. The trained neural network was able to provide the possible $THD_{ig}$ and $e_v$ for a given weighting factor and injected grid current. This work was focused on the minimization of these two performance criteria ($e_v$ and $THD_{ig}$) due to their high effect on the overall system performance.

The authors in [29] proposed a similar solution with a focus on the minimization of the average switching frequency in addition to the enhancement of the quality of injected grid current of a two-level inverter. However, the regulation of the DC link voltage in the standard three-phase two-level inverter is much less complex compared to the PUC topology, which was the focus of this study. Moreover, another algorithm was developed in this study to select the most appropriate weighting factor at each sampling time using the outputs of the ANN and the function given in Equation (10). The proposed
The proposed approach provides better accuracy and robustness because it considers the injected grid current as input during the training of ANN, which is different to that proposed in [29].

\[ f_a = \alpha \times THD_{ig} + (1 - \alpha)e_v \]  

(10)

The function \( f_a \) allows a proper balance between the \( THD_{ig} \) and \( e_v \), which facilitates optimization of the control process based on the system requirements. In the studied system, the \( THD_{ig} \) minimization was the most significant criteria to optimize in order to meet international standards (e.g., IEEE 519-1992). Therefore, when tuning the weighting factor, a high priority was given to the \( THD_{ig} \) (90%), and less priority was given to the capacitor voltage regulation. In the last stage, the devolved neural network was implemented to predict the next inverter state while providing the required information in order to determine the optimal weighting factor. The weighting factor that would reduce the function given by Equation (10) was selected (\( e_v \) and \( THD_{ig} \) were within acceptable ranges). The obtained value of \( \alpha \), which fit the lowest value of function \( f_a \), was selected and substituted in the cost function. The different steps of the proposed autotuning weighting factor process are summarized in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. General scheme describing the principle of autotuning using artificial neural network (ANN).](image)

### 4. Simulation Results

A comprehensive simulation study was conducted using the parameters listed in Table 2. The performance of the grid-tied PUC7 inverter with FCS-MPC was evaluated under different operating conditions. An algorithm was established to run the system with different injected grid current with peak values of 3, 4, 5, and 6 A, along with different weighting factor values (0 to 0.5 at 0.01 step size). The obtained \( THD_{ig} \) as well as the mean absolute error \( e_v \) value between the desired voltage and the measured voltage across the capacitor versus the variation of the weighting factor are shown simultaneously in Figure 4.

| Parameters                  | Values     |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| Inductance of the filter \( L_f \) | 5 mH       |
| Capacitor C                 | 100 µF     |
| Frequency \( f \)           | 50 Hz      |
| Sampling frequency \( F_s \) | 50 KHz     |
| DC source voltage \( V_1 \) | 150 V      |
| Grid voltage \( V_{grid} \) (peak) | 120 V    |
For different grid current values, it can be clearly seen from Figure 4 that the $THD_{ig}$ was small for low values of the weighting factor and vice versa. In contrast, the absolute error of the capacitor voltage $e_o$ showed high values for low values of weighting factor, then decreased when the weighting factor was increased. The collected data were used to train the used ANN in order to emulate the behavior of the whole system and to select the most appropriate weighting factor in each operating condition.

The current study was mainly focused on the minimization of the $THD_{ig}$, which is the most significant power quality criteria. Figure 5 presents the $THD_{ig}$ variation at the fixed weighting factor selected in [18] and the proposed ANN-based dynamic weighting factor. It is obvious that the proposed weighting factor provided better THD variation in all the presented ranges of injected grid current. It should be mentioned that the peaks, which occurred during the transition periods of current change, were due to the fact that the calculation of the THD was affected by the numerical process calculation; however, physically, there was no such effect, as can be clearly seen during the dynamic transient of the injected grid current in Figure 6. Furthermore, it can be said that there was an important improvement in the quality of the injected grid current when the proposed algorithm was used. In addition, it is evident that the $THD_{ig}$ in different current values met the international standard requirements (IEEE 519-1992).

Figure 4. THD of the grid current ($THD_{ig}$) and the mean absolute error voltage regulation loop ($e_o$) versus the weighting factor.

Figure 5. Total harmonic distortion of the injected grid current for fixed and variable weighting factor.
Figure 6. Injected grid current when varying the reference.

Figure 6 shows the obtained injected grid current and its reference. The zoom taken within this figure highlights the good quality of the injected current for different values of the current. It can be noticed that pursuing of the reference current was ensured in a smooth manner during the dynamic transients. Figure 7 shows the weighting factor evolution under different current values. Figure 8 shows the output voltage of the inverter along with the grid voltage.

Figure 7. Dynamics of the weighting factor according to the reference current value.

Figure 8. Output voltage of the inverter and the grid voltage.
Figure 9 presents the voltage across the capacitor, where the capacitor voltage was maintained at its reference value, which was 50 V. The minor ripples in this voltage did not have any impact on the dynamic behavior of the inverter, which demonstrates the validity and efficacy of the proposed control technique.

![Figure 9. Voltage across the capacitor.](image)

5. Experimental Results

The experimental performance validation was performed on a grid-tied PUC7 inverter using a dSPACE control platform (dS1103, dSPACE GmbH, Paderborn, Germany) (Figure 10). The experimental system parameters are listed in Table 2. The FLUKE 435 Series II power quality and energy analyzer was used for the THD measurement.

![Figure 10. Experimental setup.](image)

The experimental tests were conducted under the same simulation operating conditions. Figures 11 and 12 represent the experimental results showing the grid injected current at fixed weighting factor (equal to 0.2) and dynamic weighting factor, respectively. It can be seen that the weighting factor was adjusted dynamically according to the current variation, i.e., 0.11, 0.13, 0.14, and 0.16, for the peak values of 3, 4, 5, and 6 A, respectively. The voltage across the capacitor perfectly tracked the reference value of 50 V in both cases (constant and dynamic). On the other side, the injected grid
current adequately tracked its sinusoidal reference. In order to identify the best tuning scenario (fixed or dynamic weighting factor), the THD of the injected grid current was measured at different current ranges using the power analyzer. The measured THDs for both weighting factor cases are presented in Figures 13 and 14. Figure 15 shows a comparison between the measured THD values at dynamic weighting factors (in red) and fixed weighting factor (in blue). From this figure, it is worth noting that the proposed dynamic tuning algorithm showed a clear superiority in minimizing the grid current THD compared to the fixed tuning technique. Figure 16 shows the grid voltage, the injected grid current, the voltage across the capacitor, and the output voltage of the studied single-phase seven-level PUC inverter. The presented results were measured under a current peak value of 6 A, and the tuned weighting factor value was obtained using the dynamic weighting factor algorithm. It can be seen that the injected grid current followed its sinusoidal reference with high power quality. The voltage across the capacitor was well controlled around the reference value of 50 V with very low ripples, and the output voltage showed the expected seven levels.
Figure 13. The THD$_g$ at fixed weighting factor and for different current ranges (a): $i_g = 3A$, (b): $i_g = 4A$, (c): $i_g = 5A$, (d): $i_g = 6A$.

Figure 14. The THD$_g$ under dynamic weighting factor and for different current ranges: (a) $i_g = 3A$, (b) $i_g = 4A$, (c): $i_g = 5A$, (d): $i_g = 6A$. 
Figure 15. The THD of the injected grid current in case of dynamic and fixed weighting factors under different current peak values.

Figure 16. Seven-level PUC (PUC7) waveforms under dynamic weighting factor.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, a real-time ANN-based weighting factor autotuning algorithm is proposed for the design of FCS-MPC of a grid-connected PUC7 inverter. The proposed solution shows high capability in selecting the most appropriate weighting factor for different grid current values while balancing the capacitor voltage around the desired value with low voltage ripples. The presented simulation and experimental results have shown that the proposed algorithm leads to a higher power quality compared to the standard FCS-MPC due to its higher capability in minimizing the grid current THD at different current ratings.
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