1,4-Benzenedisulfonic acid (H$_2$BDS) as terephthalic acid analogue for the preparation of coordination polymers: the examples of M(BDS)(NMP)$_3$ (M = Mn, Fe, Co; NMP = N-methylpyrrolidone)†
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The solvothermal reactions of 1,4-benzenedisulfonic acid, H$_2$BDS, with suitable salts of manganese, iron, and cobalt led to the new disulfonates M(BDS)(NMP)$_3$ (M = Mn, Fe, Co), when N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP) was used as the solvent. The isotypic compounds crystallize with triclinic symmetry (P$ar{1}$, Z = 2; M = Mn: a = 916.84(3) pm, b = 965.57(3) pm, c = 1437.87(5) pm, α = 95.297(2)$^\circ$, β = 97.834(2)$^\circ$, γ = 92.576(2)$^\circ$. R$_1/wR_2(I_0 > 2I_0) = 0.0300/0.0701; M = Fe: a = 911.98(5) pm, b = 960.75(5) pm, c = 1432.41(8) pm, α = 94.951(2)$^\circ$, β = 98.050(3)$^\circ$, γ = 92.029(2)$^\circ$. R$_1/wR_2(I_0 > 2I_0) = 0.0238/0.0689; M = Co: a = 911.3(1) pm, b = 959.5(1) pm, c = 1428.6(2) pm, α = 94.791(5)$^\circ$, β = 98.229(5)$^\circ$, γ = 91.873(6)$^\circ$. R$_1/wR_2(I_0 > 2I_0) = 0.0264/0.0684). In the crystal structure, the M$^{2+}$ ions (Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$) are in octahedral oxygen coordination of three monodentate disulfonate groups and three NMP molecules. The disulfonate groups link the metal ions into infinite layers. Thermoanalytical investigations showed that the desolvation of the compounds occurred in a temperature range between 50 °C and 330 °C. The solvent free sulfonates showed remarkable high stabilities up to nearly 500 °C. The thermal behaviour was investigated by DSC/TG measurements and X-ray powder diffraction.

Introduction

Compounds that are composed of metallic nodes and organic linkers were synthesized in a great variety throughout the years. Depending on the dimensionality of the linkage and the porosity of the compounds, they are referred to as coordination polymers (CPs) and metal organic frameworks (MOFs). The interest in coordination polymers and MOFs has increased in recent times because such compounds may have interesting chemical and physical properties. To date, CPs and MOFs are mostly constructed using carboxylates as linkers, because the related polycarboxylic acids are commercially available and cheap. Even if this is certainly advantageous, carboxylates do have significant disadvantages. One is the restricted thermal stability, due to easy decarboxylation upon heating. For example, copper(II) terephthalate decomposes already between 160 °C and 230 °C, which is a severe problem if applications like gas storage are envisaged that usually cause the significant heating of the host lattice due to physi- and chemi-sorption. In contrast, the sulfo analog copper(II)-1,4-benzenedisulfonate decomposes only at the remarkably high temperature of 400 °C. However, despite such outstanding properties, polysulfonates have not been investigated to a significant extent up to now. This has to do with the limited availability of the respective polysulfonic acids, which are commercially available only for a few examples. Even reliable syntheses protocols for these acids are lacking. In a systematic approach, we develop suitable synthetic routes for polysulfonic acids and to characterize the structural chemistry and the properties of polysulfonates. In the course of these investigations, we reported a large number of polysulfonic acids and polysulfonate based coordination polymers. Most of these compounds have been obtained for metals such as copper, zinc, and selected rare earth elements. Recently, we started to investigate other transition metals, and we reported some manganese polysulfonates based on 1,2,4,5-benzenetetrasulfonic acid and 1,4-benzenedisulfonic acid. The latter is the sulfo analogue of terephthalic acid, which is frequently used for the synthesis of carboxylate based coordination polymers. In this study, we present new coordination polymers based on 1,4-benzenedisulfonic acid (H$_2$BDS), namely, the transition metal compounds M(BDS)(NMP)$_3$ (M = Mn, Fe, Co), which were obtained in solvothermal reactions in N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP).
Experimental section

Materials and instrumentation

Mn(CH$_3$COO)$_3$·2H$_2$O, FeCl$_3$·4H$_2$O, Co(CH$_3$COO)$_2$ and N-methylpyrrolidone were purchased from commercial sources and used as received. 1,4-Benzenedisulfonic acid was synthesized as reported previously.\textsuperscript{10}

IR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer equipped with a “GoldenGate” diamond-ATR unit. DSC/TG measurements were performed with the help of a thermal analyzer (TGA/DSC 1 STAR\textsuperscript{e} System, METTLER-TOLEDO). For that purpose, about five mg of each substance were filled into a corundum crucible and heated with a constant rate of 10 K min\textsuperscript{-1}. The thermal decompositions were monitored from 25 °C to 1050 °C in a flow of dry oxygen. The measurements were carried out under oxygen flow in order to avoid carbon forming at the end of the decomposition, which would falsify the calculated values of the degradation products. Characteristic points, like onset and end temperatures, of the thermal effects were taken from the DSC curve following common procedures using the software delivered with the analyser (Mettler-Toledo STAR\textsuperscript{e} V9.3).\textsuperscript{13}

Syntheses

Manganese(II)(1,4-benzenedisulfonate)tris(N-methylpyrrolidone) Mn(BDS)(NMP)$_3$. A mixture of 25 mg of Mn(CH$_3$COO)$_3$·2H$_2$O (0.093 mmol), 20 mg of H$_2$BDS·2H$_2$O (0.073 mmol), and 3 ml of NMP was filled into a glass ampoule. The ampoule was torch-sealed under vacuum, placed in a resistance furnace and heated up to 110 °C for 6 h. After 36 h, the furnace was slowly cooled to room temperature for 200 h. In the organic solvent, Mn(II)-acetate disproportionates to Mn$^{4+}$ (MnO$_2$) and Mn$^{2+}$. Furthermore, Mn$^{2+}$ reacts with H$_2$BDS. The product was obtained as transparent colorless single crystals, which were separated from the supernatant by decantation.

IR(ATR): 2962 (w), 2880 (w), 1669 (m), 1647 (s), 1520 (m), 1483 (m), 1450 (m), 1430 (m), 1417 (m), 1401 (m), 1306 (m), 1237 (s), 1187 (s), 1137 (s), 1129 (s), 1106 (m), 1076 (m), 1047 (s), 1009 (s), 934 (m), 850 (m), 833 (m), 723 (m), 663 (s), 578 (s), 563 (s), 553 (m), 523 (m) cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

Iron(II)(1,4-benzenedisulfonate)tris(N-methylpyrrolidone) Fe(BDS)(NMP)$_3$. A mixture of 19 mg of FeCl$_3$·4H$_2$O (0.096 mmol), 20 mg of H$_2$BDS·2H$_2$O (0.073 mmol) and 3 ml of NMP was filled into a glass ampoule. The torch-sealed ampoule was placed in a resistance furnace and heated up to 120 °C for 6 h. After 36 h, the furnace was slowly cooled to room temperature within 120 h. The product was obtained as transparent colorless single crystals, which were separated from the supernatant by decantation.

IR(ATR): 2970 (w), 2938 (w), 2883 (w), 1643 (s), 1517 (m), 1483 (w), 1449 (w), 1426 (w), 1410 (m), 1392 (w), 1307 (m), 1258 (w), 1213 (s), 1174 (s), 1105 (m), 1036 (s), 1001 (s), 835 (m), 660 (m), 617 (m), 578 (s), 546 (s) cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

Cobalt(II)(1,4-benzenedisulfonate)tris(N-methylpyrrolidone) Co(BDS)(NMP)$_3$. A mixture of 39 mg of Co(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·0.220 mmol, 20 mg of H$_2$BDS·2H$_2$O (0.073 mmol) and 3 ml of NMP was filled

Table 1 Crystallographic data of M(BDS)(NMP)$_3$ (M = Mn, Fe, Co)

| Chemical formula | Mn(BDS)(NMP)$_3$ | Fe(BDS)(NMP)$_3$ | Co(BDS)(NMP)$_3$ |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Chemical formula weight | 588.55 g mol\textsuperscript{-1} | 595.46 g mol\textsuperscript{-1} | 592.54 g mol\textsuperscript{-1} |
| Lattice parameters | a = 916.98(3) pm, b = 960.75(3) pm, c = 1437.87(5) pm | a = 911.98(5) pm, b = 943.70(5) pm, c = 1432.41(8) pm | a = 943.70(5) pm, b = 959.51(1) pm, c = 1428.62(2) pm |
| Density (calculated) (g cm\textsuperscript{-3}) | 1.56 | 1.58 | 1.60 |
| Cell volume (Å\textsuperscript{3}) | 1233.51(7) | 1236.6(1) | 1230.7(3) |
| Space group | Triclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic |
| Measuring device | Bruker APEX II | Bruker APEX II | Bruker APEX II |
| Radiation | Mo-K\textsubscript{α} (graphite) | Mo-K\textsubscript{α} (graphite) | Mo-K\textsubscript{α} (graphite) |
| Temperature (K) | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Index range | −15 ≤ h ≤ 15, −16 ≤ k ≤ 16, −23 ≤ l ≤ 23 | −16 ≤ h ≤ 16, −17 ≤ k ≤ 17, −26 ≤ l ≤ 26 | −16 ≤ h ≤ 16, −17 ≤ k ≤ 17, −20 ≤ l ≤ 25 |
| Absorption correction | Numerical | Numerical | Numerical |
| µ (cm\textsuperscript{-1}) | 7.49 | 8.36 | 9.24 |
| Measured reflections | 67956 | 90529 | 63891 |
| Unique reflections | 12143 | 13103 | 12950 |
| R$_{int}$ | 0.0425; 0.0445 | 0.0306; 0.0179 | 0.0301; 0.0245 |
| Structure determination | SHELSX97 and SHELXL97 | SHELSX97 and SHELXL97 | SHELSX97 and SHELXL97 |
| Scattering factors | Intern. tables, vol. C | Intern. tables, vol. C | Intern. tables, vol. C |
| GOF | 0.936 | 1.069 | 1.024 |
| R$_1$; wR$_2$ (all data) | 0.0300; 0.0701 | 0.0238; 0.0689 | 0.0263; 0.0684 |
| Max./min. electron density | 1.095/−0.743 e Å\textsuperscript{-3} | 1.661/−0.737 e Å\textsuperscript{-3} | 1.032/−0.430 e Å\textsuperscript{-3} |
Table 2  Selected distances (pm) and angles (deg) for the disulfonates M(BDS)(NMP)₃ (M = Mn, Fe, Co)

|       | M     | Mn  | Fe  | Co  |
|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| [MO₆] | M–O₁  | 220.78(7) | 216.49(5) | 212.75(6) |
|       | M–O₂  | 212.57(7) | 206.33(5) | 204.53(6) |
|       | M–O₃  | 216.56(7) | 209.27(5) | 207.90(6) |
|       | M–O₁₁ | 216.45(7) | 213.96(5) | 210.13(6) |
|       | M–O₁₂ | 218.83(7) | 214.65(5) | 210.23(6) |
|       | M–O₁₄ | 216.53(7) | 213.07(5) | 209.44(6) |
| O₁–M–O₂ | 90.79(3) | 89.66(2) | 89.95(2) |
| O₁–M–O₃ | 94.87(3) | 93.58(2) | 94.27(2) |
| O₁–M–O₁₁ | 175.70(3) | 175.36(2) | 176.05(2) |
| O₁–M–O₁₂ | 84.72(3) | 85.59(2) | 86.42(2) |
| O₁–M–O₁₄ | 89.83(3) | 90.68(2) | 90.36(2) |
| O₂–M–O₃ | 173.39(3) | 173.60(2) | 173.87(2) |
| O₂–M–O₁₁ | 85.08(3) | 85.71(2) | 86.09(2) |
| O₂–M–O₁₂ | 90.52(3) | 89.26(2) | 89.83(3) |
| O₂–M–O₁₄ | 92.80(3) | 93.58(2) | 92.88(3) |
| O₃–M–O₁₁ | 89.32(3) | 89.27(2) | 89.67(2) |
| O₃–M–O₁₂ | 86.61(3) | 87.19(2) | 85.99(3) |
| O₃–M–O₁₄ | 90.62(3) | 90.32(2) | 91.54(3) |
| O₄–M–O₁₁ | 96.50(3) | 94.61(2) | 93.54(2) |
| O₄–M–O₁₂ | 90.18(3) | 89.33(2) | 89.86(2) |
| O₄–M–O₁₄ | 173.63(3) | 175.30(2) | 175.78(2) |

IR (ATR): 2972 (w), 2934 (w), 2885 (w), 1642 (s), 1515 (m), 1492 (m), 1448 (m), 1427 (m), 1410 (m), 1393 (m), 1306 (m), 1260 (w), 1214 (s), 1174 (s), 1106 (m), 1036 (s), 1002 (s), 929 (w), 835 (m), 730 (m), 659 (s), 618 (m), 579 (m), 549 (m), 542 (m) cm⁻¹.

Results and discussion
Crystal structures
The three disulfonates M(BDS)(NMP)₃ (M = Mn, Fe, Co) are isotypic with each other and crystallize with triclinic symmetry (space group P₁). In accordance with the decreasing ionic radii, the unit cell volume shrinks in the order Mn > Fe > Co (Table 1). The crystal structures show one crystallographically independent M²⁺ ion, which is in octahedral coordination of six oxygen atoms. The oxygen atoms belong to three benzene disulfonate anions and three NMP molecules (Fig. 1). NMP was used as the solvent because it is aprotic and can solvate the acid and metal salts well. The distances M–O between the metal atom and the oxygen atoms of the sulfonate groups range from 216.45(7) to 218.83(7) pm for M = Mn, 213.07(5) to 214.65(5) pm for M = Fe, and 209.44(6) to 210.23(6) pm for M = Co. The distances M–O₃ between the metal atoms and the oxygen...
atoms of the solvent molecules are significantly enlarged for oxygen atoms that are situated in trans position to a sulfonate ligand. They show values of 220.78(7) pm (M = Mn), 216.49(5) pm (M = Fe), and 212.75(6) pm (M = Co), which are about 5–10 pm longer than the distances observed for the remaining two NMP molecules (Table 2).

The three M^{2+} coordinated benzenedisulfonate anions belong to two crystallographically different species, BDS1 and BDS2. Both anions bear inversion symmetry because the centroids of the anion benzene rings are situated onto the Wyckoff positions 1e (1/2, 1/2, 0) and 1g (0, 1/2, 1/2) of the triclinic unit cell. Both disulfonate anions behave differently with respect to their cation linkage. The anion BDS1 connects four M^{2+} ions with each other (Fig. 2). This is achieved by a bidentate bridging coordination mode of the two symmetry equivalent [SO_3] groups of the anions and the linkage leads to chains running along the crystallographic [100] direction. These chains are further connected by the second type of anions, BDS2, which show each of the [SO_3] groups in monodentate coordination to the M^{2+} ions. In this way, layers are formed according to \( \kappa^2[Mn(BDS1)_{1/2}(BDS2)_{1/2}(NMP)_{1/1}] \) that expand in the (010) plane (Fig. 3). The layers \( \kappa^2[Mn(BDS1)_{1/2}(BDS2)_{1/2}(NMP)_{1/1}] \) are stacked along the [010] direction (Fig. 4). Only weak interactions between the layers can be assumed because the NMP molecules are not capable of forming hydrogen bonds with non-coordinating oxygen atoms of the anions. This also explains the high cleavability of the crystals. It is interesting to compare the structural features of the compounds M(BDS)(NMP)\(_3\) (M = Mn, Fe, Co) with the recently reported manganese disulfonate Mn(BDS)(NMP)\(_2\). The latter also shows a layer structure but due to the lower solvent content, all the disulfonate anions act as bidentate bridging ligands according to \( \kappa^2[Mn(BDS)_{2/4}(NMP)_{2/1}] \). Following this line, the further reduction of solvent molecules should lead to three-dimensional networks according to \( \kappa^2[Mn(BDS1)_{3/6}(BDS2)_{2/4}(NMP)_{1/1}] \) for Mn(BDS)(NMP) and \( \kappa^2[Mn(BDS)_{6/6}] \) for the solvent-free compound. In turn, a higher content of solvent molecules could lead to chain type structures for the composition Mn(BDS)(NMP)\(_4\) and to monomeric compounds.
for Mn(BDS)(NMP)$_3$ and Mn(BDS)(NMP)$_6$. Even if this has not been proved up to now, there are comparable compounds that foster this assumption: the zinc compound Zn(BDSF$_4$)(DMF)$_4$ bearing the tetrafluoro derivative of BDS and dimethylformamide as solvent exhibits exactly the expected chain structure. Moreover, we could characterize Fe(BDS)(H$_2$O)$_3$(NMP)$_2$ as a hydrolyzation product of Fe(BDS)(NMP)$_3$, which can be seen as an example for M(BDS)(L)$_5$ type compounds when L is a neutral ligand. This compound indeed shows a molecular structure with monodentate sulfonate anions. Finally, the copper sulfonate [Cu(H$_2$O)$_6$](BDS) is a nice example for a solvent-rich disulfonate showing the exclusive solvent coordination of the cation. Solvent poor species are especially highly esteemed targets of our ongoing research.

Thermal analysis

All the disulfonates have been investigated by means of thermal analyses. The measurements have been performed under flowing oxygen. This makes sure that there is no carbon left in the decomposition residues, which is often observed as amorphous decomposition product causing high backgrounds in the diffraction patterns. For Mn(BDS)(NMP)$_3$, the desolvation is a two-step process between 150 and 215 $^\circ$C (Fig. 5). According to the

Fig. 4 Crystal structure of the disulfonates M(BDS)(NMP)$_3$ (M = Mn, Fe, Co) viewed along [100]. The layers $\cdots$[Mn(BDS)$_{1/4}$(BDS)$_{1/2}$(NMP)$_{3/4}$] are stacked along the [010] direction. The NMP molecules are drawn in a wire model for clarity.

Fig. 5 Thermal decomposition of Mn(BDS)(NMP)$_3$. The DSC/TG diagram (middle) shows that the compound is desolvated upon heating. The solvent-free compound decomposes, yielding a mixture of manganese sulfates and oxides (X-ray powder pattern shown left), and finally a mixture of Mn$_2$O$_3$ and Mn$_3$O$_4$ (X-ray powder pattern shown right). The DSC/TG measurements were performed under oxygen flow.
observed mass loss of 49% (calcd 51% for three molecules of NMP), the desolvation is completed above that temperature. Unfortunately, the solvent-free disulfonates are X-ray amorphous, and therefore no structural information can be gained by diffraction methods. The solvent-free disulfonate [Mn(BDS)] is remarkably stable and decomposes only above a temperature of 500 °C ($T_{\text{max}} = 530 \, ^\circ\text{C}$). The decomposition is exothermic and occurs in the temperature range from 520 °C to 545 °C. According to X-ray powder investigations, the decomposition product at this stage is a mixture of MnSO$_4$, Mn$_2$O$_3$ and Mn$_3$O$_4$ (Fig. 5). The observed reflections also suggest the presence of some amounts of Mn(HSO$_4$)$_2$·(H$_2$O), which is probably caused by the reaction of the sample with moisture during the X-ray measurement. Finally, between 837 °C and 862 °C, the oxides Mn$_3$O$_4$ and Mn$_2$O$_3$ are obtained in a ratio of 16:9 according to the observed mass loss of 13%. The loss of solvent molecules is also the first decomposition step for Fe(BDS)(NMP)$_3$. Moreover, the desolvation is a two-step process, and it is completed at about 330 °C (Fig. 6). The correlated mass loss is 50% at that temperature, in line with the calculated value (51%). However, compared to the manganese compound, the plateau in the TG curve is not very significant and a creeping mass loss is observed. The decomposition of the intermediate [Fe(BDS)] occurs in the temperature range between 432 and 444 °C, i.e., at significantly lower temperature than observed for [Mn(BDS)], and no sulfate intermediate could be detected. Instead, corundum type Fe$_2$O$_3$ is directly formed, as indicated by X-ray powder diffraction (mass loss: 12%, calcd 14%) (Fig. 6). For Co(BDS)(NMP)$_3$, the two-step desolvation is completed at 180 °C (Fig. 7), and the desolvated compound starts to decompose at 520 °C. This decomposition leads to an intermediate consisting of CoSO$_4$ and Co$_3$O$_4$. Small amounts of Co(SO$_4$)(H$_2$O)$_2$ are due to reaction of the sample with moisture during the X-ray measurement. At a temperature above 813 °C ($T_{\text{max}}$), Co$_3$O$_4$ is the only decomposition product. Co$_3$O$_4$ melts.

---

**Fig. 6** Thermal decomposition of Fe(BDS)(NMP)$_3$. The DSC/TG diagram (left) shows that after desolvation there is no significant plateau. After decomposition of the desolvated sulfonates, the oxide Fe$_2$O$_3$ is obtained (X-ray powder pattern shown right). The DSC/TG measurements were performed under oxygen flow.

---

**Fig. 7** Thermal decomposition of Co(BDS)(NMP)$_3$. The DSC/TG diagram (upper part, left) shows that the compound decomposes after desolvation in three steps. Firstly, Co$_3$O$_4$ is formed along with some amounts of CoSO$_4$ (lower part, left). In a further step, the latter is transformed to Co$_3$O$_4$ (upper part, right), which finally starts to decompose to CoO (lower part, right).
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Conclusion

In the present study, we have shown that 1,4-benzenedisulfonic
cid (H₂BDS), a sulfo analogue of terephthalic acid, is a suitable
candidate for the preparation of two-dimensional coordination
polymers of manganese, iron, and cobalt. The disulfonates
-crystallize as solvates M[BDS]·(NMP)₃ (M = Mn, Fe and Co)
when N-methyl-pyrrolidone is used as the solvent. The BDS⁻⁻
ligands act either as tetradentate or bidentate bridging ligands.
The most important characteristic of the compounds is that the
solvent molecules can be easily removed by heating. The desol-
avated compounds are remarkably stable for the metals manganese
and cobalt even up to 530 °C. This is clearly above the values that
have been reported for comparable terephthalate compounds. Our
future work aims at the comprehensive exploration of the struc-
tural chemistry of polysulfonates and their properties.
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