Three *Babesia* species in *Ixodes ricinus* ticks from migratory birds in Sweden
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Migratory birds can cross geographical and environmental barriers and are thereby able to facilitate transmission of tick-borne pathogens both as carriers of infected ticks and as reservoirs of pathogenic microorganisms. *Ixodes ricinus* is one of the most abundant tick species in the Northern Hemisphere and a main vector of several *Babesia* species, some which pose a potential threat to human and animal health. At present only two cases of overt babesiosis in humans have so far been reported in Sweden. To better understand the potential role of birds as disseminators of zoonotic *Babesia* protozoan parasites, we investigated the presence of *Babesia* species in ticks removed from migratory birds.

**Methods:** Ticks were collected from birds captured at Ottenby Bird Observatory, south-eastern Sweden, from March to November 2009. Ticks were molecularly identified to species, and morphologically to developmental stage, and the presence of *Babesia* protozoan parasites was determined by real-time PCR.

**Results:** In total, 4601 migratory birds of 65 species were examined for tick infestation. Ticks removed from these birds have previously been investigated for the presence of *Borrelia* bacteria and the tick-borne encephalitis virus. In the present study, a total of 1102 ticks were available for molecular analysis of *Babesia* protozoan parasites. We found that 2.4% of the ticks examined, all *I. ricinus*, were positive for mammal-associated *Babesia* species. Out of all *Babesia*-positive samples, *Babesia venatorum* was the most prevalent (58%) species, followed by *Babesia microti* (38%) and *Babesia capreoli* (4.0%). *B. venatorum* and *B. capreoli* were detected in *I. ricinus* larvae, whereas *B. microti* was only present in *I. ricinus* nymphs. This supports the view that the two first-mentioned species are vertically (transovarially) transmitted in the tick population, in contrast to *B. microti*. The largest number of *Babesia*-infected ticks was removed from the common redstart (*Phoenicurus phoenicurus*) and European robin (*Erithacus rubecula*).

**Conclusions:** This study reveals that *Babesia* protozoan parasites are present in ticks infesting migratory birds in south-eastern Sweden, which could potentially lead to the dissemination of these tick-borne microorganisms into new areas, thus posing a threat to humans and other mammals.
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Europe, where a large part of the avifauna migrate, either to milder regions in western Europe or the Mediterranean region, or long distances to sub-Saharan Africa or even Asia. This means that birds returning in spring could carry ticks from regions that better sustain year-round transmission of tick-borne pathogens, and possibly contribute to reseeding foci of infections in temperate and boreal areas. Indeed, several studies in the Scandinavian countries have shown the presence of ticks and tick-borne infections in returning migratory birds, especially well-studied pathogens such as *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato (s.l.) [2], *Rickettsia* spp. [3], and tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) virus [4]. However, the potential for less-studied, rarer, or unknown pathogens in bird-borne ticks to be detected in birds has come into focus recently, for instance with the bacterium *Neoehrlichia mikurensis*, which once identified has been shown to be fairly abundant in ticks [5]. Another pathogen of concern is *Babesia* spp., a protozoan parasite causing babesiosis—an emerging tick-borne human disease in the Holarctic region.

More than 100 species of *Babesia* have been described. The majority have been recorded in mammals, and 16 species have so far been described from avian hosts [6, 7]. In Europe, the roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) is considered the main vertebrate host of both *Babesia capreoli* and *Babesia venatorum* [8, 9], while *Babesia divergens* is prevalent in cattle in southern Sweden [10, 11]. Serological studies indicate that another species of *Babesia*—*Babesia motasi*—may be common in sheep herds in south-eastern Sweden [12]. Several of the mammal-associated species, such as *B. divergens*, *Babesia duncani*, *B. venatorum*, and *Babesia microti*, are zoonotic pathogens and of increasing medical importance, causing from asymptomatic infections to mild or serious, sometimes even fatal, human disease, particularly in immunocompromised persons [13–18]. In Europe, most of the severe cases of human babesiosis have involved infections of *B. divergens* [14, 15, 18–20]. However, the relatively recent discovery of the closely related *B. venatorum* [21, 22] may suggest that some of the earlier cases diagnosed as due to *B. divergens* may in fact have been caused by *B. venatorum*. During the last decades, the recorded incidence of human babesiosis due to *B. microti*, which is present in a reservoir of small mammals in the Holarctic region, has increased considerably in the north-eastern United States [23]. A few cases of human disease caused by *B. microti* or *B. microti*-like species have recently also been diagnosed in Europe and Asia [19, 24].

In nature, most mammalian *Babesia* spp. are transmitted by ixodid ticks [7, 25]. However, there is circumstantial evidence suggesting that some of the avian *Babesia* spp. may be vectored by soft ticks [7]. In North America, blood transfusion is recognized as an increasing and serious mode of accidental transmission of *B. microti* [26]. Human *B. microti* infection by blood transfusion has also been documented in Europe [24].

In Sweden, *Ixodes ricinus* is the most abundant tick species infesting humans [27], and also the most abundant tick species detected on passerine birds during migration in southern Sweden [2]. Three species of potentially zoonotic *Babesia* species—*B. divergens*, *B. microti*, and *B. venatorum*—have been recorded at prevalence rates of 0.2%, 3.2%, and 1.0%, respectively, in questing *I. ricinus* ticks in southern Sweden [28]. In a study that examined 2038 *I. ricinus* ticks which had been removed from humans in Sweden and on the Åland Islands, Finland, *B. capreoli, B. microti,* and *B. venatorum* were recorded in 0.25%, 1.60%, and 1.30% of the ticks, respectively [29].

The aim of this study is to better understand the potential role of migratory birds as disseminators of *Babesia* protozoan parasites and to determine the prevalence of *Babesia* spp. in ticks infesting birds during their spring and autumn migrations in south-eastern Sweden. Part of this study, related to data on the prevalence of *Borrelia* spp. and the TBE virus in ticks removed from migratory birds during 2009, has been reported elsewhere [30].

**Methods**

**Sampling, analyses, and processing of ticks**

Full details of the sampling site, procedure for bird captures and bird classification, determination of developmental stage and species of collected ticks, and total nucleic acid extraction from ticks and cDNA synthesis are available in the previous report [30].

In short, ticks were collected from birds captured during the periods 15 March–15 June and 15 July–15 November 2009 at the Ottenby Bird Observatory, which is located on the southern point of the island of Öland in south-eastern Sweden (56° 12’ N, 16° 24’ E). Trapped birds were identified to species level and classified into residents, short-distance migrants, partial migrants, and long-distance migrants. Any collected tick was photographed and morphologically identified to stage of development (larva, nymph, or adult), and sex of adults. Each tick was individually homogenized using a TissueLyser II (Qiagen) followed by extraction, purification, and isolation of total nucleic acids using MagAttract® Viral RNA M48 kit in a BioRobot M48 workstation (Qiagen). The total nucleic acids were reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the Illustra™ Ready-to-Go RT-PCR Beads kit (GE Healthcare, Amersham Place, UK), which served as template in all the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays. To identify the genus and species of the ticks, each specimen was analysed by a PCR method targeting the tick mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene followed by DNA sequencing, as previously described [30].
Sampling of birds was approved by the Swedish Board of Agriculture, delegated through the Animal Research Ethics Committee in Linköping (decision 43–09).

**Detection and determination of *Babesia* species**

Detection of *Babesia* spp. was done using a SYBR green real-time PCR assay, as previously described [10]. Primers BJ1 (5′-GTC TTG TAA TTG GAA TGA TGG-3′) and BN2 (5′-TAG TTT ATG GTT AGG ACT ACG-3′) were designed to target the *Babesia 18S* rRNA gene to amplify a 411–452-bp long amplicon depending on the species of *Babesia* [31].

A 20-µl reaction consisted of 10 µl SYBR™ Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Stockholm, Sweden), 0.4 µl of each primer (10 µM; Invitrogen), 6.2 µl RNase-free water, and 3 µl cDNA template. The PCR template of 3 µl consisted of a pool of cDNA from three tick specimens per reaction. A positive PCR control, consisting of 3 µl *B. microti* DNA (10 ng/µl) extracted from an *I. ricinus* tick collected in Slovakia, was included in each run. The *B. microti* DNA was kindly provided by Dr Bronislava Víchová (Institute of Parasitology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Slovakia) through Dr Martin Andersson (Centre for Ecology and Evolution in Microbial Model Systems, Linnaeus University, Kalmar, Sweden). As a negative control in the PCR assay, RNase-free water was used as template. When *Babesia*-positive pools were detected, the samples were re-analysed individually.

The PCR reactions were performed on a C1000™ Thermal Cycler, CFX96™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) using an activation step at 94 °C for 10 min, and 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 2 min, and finally one cycle of 72 °C for 5 min. Immediately after completion of PCR, melting curve analyses were performed by heating to 95 °C for 15 s, followed by cooling to 60 °C for 1 min, and subsequent heating to 95 °C at 0.8 °C min⁻¹ with continuous fluorescence recording.

To determine the species of *Babesia* in the PCR-positive samples, nucleotide sequencing of the PCR-products was performed by Macrogen Inc. (Amsterdam, The Netherlands). All sequences obtained were confirmed by sequencing both strands. The obtained chromatograms were initially edited and analysed using BioEdit Software v7.0 (Tom Hall, Ibis Therapeutics, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and the sequences were examined using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST). Sequences obtained have been deposited in GenBank with accession numbers ranging from MW554592 to MW554617.

Species determination of *B. microti* and *B. venatorum* is possible by sequencing the amplicon from the real-time PCR assay. *B. capreoli* is highly similar to *B. divergens*, and the two species differ only at three nucleotide positions at the 18S rRNA gene, specifically on positions 631, 663, and 1637 (99.83% nucleotide similarity) [32]. The two first positions are included in the DNA fragment amplified by the primers used in this study. Additional file 1 shows all the aligned *Babesia* nucleotide sequences.

**Statistical analyses**

Data were presented as percentages for categorical variables. The categorical variables were analysed using the chi-square test, but when the expected frequency was <5 in at least one of the cells of the contingency table, Fisher’s exact test was used. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 8.0.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). *P* values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Ticks collected from birds and ticks available for PCR analyses**

A total of 4601 bird individuals (4788 bird captures) of 65 species were examined for ticks at least once during the study period at the Ottenby Bird Observatory. A total of 749 bird individuals (759 bird captures) of 35 species were infested with a total of 1339 ticks (Table 1). These results were previously reported in the study of Wilhelmsson et al. [30].

In this study, 1102 ticks (i.e., cDNA samples) were available for analysis. Of these, 543 were larvae, 552 nymphs and two ticks were adult females. Five ticks could not be determined to developmental stage due to lack of photos. The ticks available for analysis were molecularly identified to: *I. ricinus* (*n* = 1051; 514 larvae, 532 nymphs, 5 specimens of unknown developmental stage), *I. frontalis* (*n* = 24; 8 larvae, 15 nymphs, 1 adult female), *Haemaphysalis punctata* (*n* = 12; 12 larvae), and *Hyalomma marginatum* (*n* = 4; 1 larva, 2 nymphs, 1 adult female). The remaining 11 ticks could not be molecularly identified to species due to unreadable sequences despite several sequencing attempts. Instead, these 11 ticks were only determined to genus level, *Ixodes* (8 larvae, 3 nymphs), based on the photos.

**Prevalence of *Babesia* species in ticks removed from birds**

Of the ticks analysed, 2.4% (26/1,102) were positive for *Babesia* spp. All *Babesia*-positive ticks belonged to *I. ricinus* (26/1,051). Of these, 2.0% of the *I. ricinus* larvae were *Babesia*-positive in the PCR-assay (10/514) and 3.0% of the *I. ricinus* nymphs were *Babesia*-positive (16/532). All five *I. ricinus* ticks that could not be determined to developmental stage were *Babesia*-negative. No significant difference in *Babesia* prevalence between larvae and nymphs was detected.
| Bird species                        | Migratory category | No. of bird captures | No. of infested birds (%) | No. of ticks | Mean no. ticks per infested bird ± SE | Median no. ticks per infested bird (IQR) | Tick species and developmental stage |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Acipiter nisus                     | PM                 | 5                    | 1 (20)                    | 1            | –                                    | –                                        | 1 N                               |
| Acrocephalus polusiris             | LM                 | 21                   | 3 (14)                    | 4            | 1.3±0.33                             | 1 (1.00–2.00)                            | 4 N                               |
| Acrocephalus scirpaceus            | LM                 | 11                   | 1 (9.0)                   | 1            | –                                    | –                                        | 1 N                               |
| Anthus trivialis                  | LM                 | 39                   | 26 (67)                   | 93           | 3.6±0.73                             | 2 (1.00–4.25)                            | 4L 52L 33 N 4                    |
| Carduelis cannabina               | SM                 | 15                   | 1 (6.7)                   | 1            | –                                    | –                                        | 1N                               |
| Carduelis flammee                 | SM                 | 36                   | 2 (5.6)                   | 7            | 3.5±1.50                             | 3.5                                      | 7 N                               |
| Certhia familiaris                | PM                 | 26                   | 2 (7.7)                   | 4            | 2.0±0.00                             | 2                                        | 2L 2L 2                          |
| Cyanistes caeruleus               | PM                 | 58                   | 4 (6.9)                   | 7            | 1.6±0.75                             | 1 (1.00–3.25)                            | 1 N 4L 2                          |
| Emberiza schoeniclus              | SM                 | 28                   | 2 (7.1)                   | 3            | 1.5±0.50                             | 1.5                                      | 2 N 1 N 1                        |
| Emberiza rubecula                 | SM                 | 1551                 | 368 (24)                  | 619          | 1.7±0.09                             | 1 (1.00–2.00)                            | 38L, 13 N 38L 194 N 3L 11 N 1A 1L 75 |
| Fringilla coelebs                 | SM                 | 37                   | 6 (16)                    | 8            | 1.3±0.21                             | 1 (1.00–2.00)                            | 4L 2N 1A 1                         |
| Fringilla montifringilla          | SM                 | 8                    | 1 (13)                    | 1            | –                                    | –                                        | 1L 1N 1                           |
| Hippolais icterineria             | LM                 | 50                   | 2 (4.0)                   | 2            | 1.0±0.00                             | 2                                        | 1L 1N 3 N                        |
| Lanius collurio                   | LM                 | 43                   | 3 (7.0)                   | 3            | 1.0±0.00                             | 1 (1.00–1.00)                            | 3 N                               |
| Luscinia luscinia                 | LM                 | 18                   | 10 (56)                   | 29           | 2.9±0.78                             | 2 (1.75–3.25)                           | 20L 9 N                          |
| Luscinia svecica                  | LM                 | 28                   | 2 (7.1)                   | 2            | 1.0±0.00                             | 1                                        | 1 N 1 N                          |
| Motacilla alba                    | LM                 | 23                   | 1 (4.3)                   | 3            | –                                    | –                                        | 2L 1L 1N 1L                       |
| Oenanthe oenanthe                 | LM                 | 6                    | 1 (17)                    | 1            | –                                    | –                                        | – 11 L 1                          |
| Parus major                       | PM                 | 44                   | 3 (6.8)                   | 8            | 2.7±1.67                             | 1 (1.00–6.00)                            | 2 N 6 N                          |
| Passer domesticus                 | R                   | 16                   | 1 (6.3)                   | 1            | –                                    | –                                        | 1 N                               |
| Phoenicurus ochruros              | LM                 | 10                   | 2 (20)                    | 2            | 1.0±0.00                             | 1                                        | 2 N                               |
| Phoenicurus phoenicurus           | LM                 | 134                  | 40 (30)                   | 64           | 1.6±0.22                             | 1 (1.00–2.00)                            | 29L 32 N 3                          |
| Phylloscopus collybita            | LM                 | 79                   | 3 (3.8)                   | 3            | 1.0±0.00                             | 1 (1.00–1.00)                            | 2 N 1 N 3                         |
| Phylloscopus trochilus             | LM                 | 810                  | 38 (4.7)                  | 57           | 1.5±0.14                             | 1 (1.00–2.00)                            | 1L 1N 19L 30 N 1L 2 N 3 N 3L 19 N 2 N 3  |
| Prunella modularis                | SM                 | 44                   | 16 (36)                   | 24           | 1.5±0.14                             | 1 (1.00–1.00)                            | 2 N 3L 19 N 2 N 1L 1 N 3 N 3L 19 N 2 N 3  |
| Regulus regulus                   | PM                 | 319                  | 9 (2.8)                   | 9            | 1.0±0.00                             | 1 (1.00–1.00)                            | 2L 7 N 3                          |
| Sturnus vulgaris                  | SM                 | 14                   | 3 (21)                    | 3            | 1.0±0.00                             | 1 (1.00–1.00)                            | 2 N 1 L 1 N 3                     |
| Sylvia atricapilla                | LM                 | 58                   | 4 (6.9)                   | 4            | 1.0±0.00                             | 1 (1.00–1.00)                            | 2L 1N 1 N 1 L 1                     |
| Sylvia communis                   | LM                 | 123                  | 27 (22)                   | 49           | 1.8±0.37                             | 1 (1.00–2.00)                            | 23L 17 N 4L 5                       |
| Sylvia curruca                    | LM                 | 270                  | 10 (3.7)                  | 11           | 1.1±0.10                             | 1 (1.00–1.00)                            | 7L 2 N 2 N 2                       |
| Troglydytes troglodytes            | PM                 | 254                  | 72 (28)                   | 120          | 1.7±0.20                             | 1 (1.00–2.00)                            | 13L 2 N 59L 31 N 1U 1L 13           |
Table 1 (continued)

| Bird species          | Migratory category | No. of bird captures | No. of infested birds (%) | No. of ticks | Mean no. ticks per infested bird ±SE | Median no. ticks per infested bird (IQR) | Tick species and developmental stage |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Turdus iliacus        | SM                 | 29                   | 9 (31)                    | 23           | 2.6 ± 0.69                           | 2 (1.00–4.50)                            | I. r, I. f, H. p, H. m, ND            |
| Turdus merula         | PM                 | 147                  | 66 (45)                   | 149          | 2.3 ± 0.25                           | 1 (1.00–3.00)                            | 6N, 8L, 108N, 1U, 1L, 2N, 23          |
| Turdus philomelos     | SM                 | 60                   | 9 (15)                    | 22           | 2.4 ± 0.58                           | 2 (1.00–3.50)                            | 2N, 2L, 17N, 1N                       |
| Unknown               |                    | 1                    | 1 (100)                   |              |                                      |                                          | 1N                                  |
| Acrocephalus arundinaceus | LM              | 1                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Acrocephalus schoenobaenus | LM           | 23                   | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Anthus pratensis      | SM                 | 5                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Carduelis carduelis   | SM                 | 15                   | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Carduelis chloris     | SM                 | 16                   | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Carduelis spinus      | SM                 | 9                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Carpodacus erythrinus | LM                 | 2                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Coccothraustes coccothraustes | PM      | 1                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Corvus monedula       | R                  | 1                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Delichon urbica       | LM                 | 43                   | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Dendrocopos major     | PM                 | 1                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Emberiza citrinella   | SM                 | 23                   | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Ficedula albicollis   | LM                 | 2                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Ficedula hypoleuca    | LM                 | 31                   | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Ficedula parva        | LM                 | 8                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Hirundo rustica       | LM                 | 25                   | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Jynx torquilla        | LM                 | 1                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Locustella naevia     | LM                 | 1                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Motacilla flava       | LM                 | 2                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Muscicapa striata     | LM                 | 55                   | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Passer montanus       | R                  | 37                   | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Phylloscopus borealis | LM                 | 1                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Phylloscopus sibilatrix | LM            | 19                   | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Picus viridis         | R                  | 1                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Pyrrhula pyrrhula     | PM                 | 2                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Saxicola rubetra      | LM                 | 1                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
| Serinus serinus       | SM                 | 2                    | 0                          |              |                                      |                                          |                                    |
Table 1 (continued)

| Bird species     | Migratory category | No. of bird captures | No. of infested birds (%) | No. of ticks | Mean no. ticks per infested bird $\pm SE$ | Median no. ticks per infested bird (IQR) | Tick species and developmental stage |
|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Sylvia borin     | LM                 | 42                   | 0                         | 0            |                                          |                                        | Ixodes spp. I. r I. f H. p Hy. m ND |
| Sylvia nisoria   | LM                 | 1                    | 0                         | 0            |                                          |                                        |                                    |
| Turdus pilaris   | SM                 | 1                    | 0                         | 0            |                                          |                                        |                                    |
| Turdus viscivorus| SM                 | 1                    | 0                         | 0            |                                          |                                        |                                    |
| Total number of specimens |         | 4788                 | 749                       | 1339         | –                                        |                                        | 56L 27 N 515L 551 N 5U 8L 15 N 1A 12L 1L 2 N 1A 145 |

a LM long-distance migrants (in many cases wintering in Africa), SM short-distance migrants where the majority of individuals winter in Europe, PM partial migrants where individuals either migrate short distances within Europe or remain resident, R residents
b Probably Lanius collurio
c L larva, N nymph, A adult female, U unknown developmental stage due to missing photos, I. r. Ixodes ricinus, I. f. I. frontalis, H. p., Haemaphysalis punctate, Hy. m., Hyalomma marginatum, ND not determined to genus, species, or developmental stage due to missing photos of ticks and/or loss of sample
d SE standard error, IQR interquartile range
Three species of *Babesia* were identified: *B. venatorum* (*n* = 15), *B. microti* (*n* = 10), and *B. capreoli* (*n* = 1). *B. venatorum* was detected in 9 larvae and 6 nymphs, *B. microti* in 10 nymphs, and *B. capreoli* in 1 larva (Table 2).

Of all samples that were determined to *Babesia* species level (*n* = 26; Table 2), 17 were detected in ticks captured in spring (15 March–15 June), and 9 were detected in ticks in late summer–autumn (15 July–15 November). No significant difference was detected between the proportions of *Babesia*-positive ticks collected in spring (17/495) and *Babesia*-positive ticks collected in late summer–autumn (9/556).

**Bird species with ticks positive for *Babesia* species**

*Babesia*-positive *I. ricinus* ticks were removed from eight bird species in spring or in late summer–autumn (Table 3): the common redstart (*Phoenicurus phoenicurus*, *n* = 7), European robin (*Erithacus rubecula*, *n* = 7), common blackbird (*Turdus merula*, *n* = 5), Eurasian wren (*Troglodytes troglodytes*, *n* = 2), tree pipit (*Anthus trivialis*, *n* = 2), common starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*, *n* = 1), common whitethroat (*Sylvia communis*, *n* = 1), and lesser whitethroat (*Sylvia curruca*, *n* = 1).

**Discussion**

So far, only a few studies have investigated tick-borne pathogens in ticks, which are blood-feeding on migratory birds in northern Europe, and the current study adds new information to this subject. Our study is the first one to report the presence of *Babesia* species in ticks collected from birds in Sweden.

The prevalence of *Babesia* spp. detected in this study (2.4%) is on a similar level as what has been reported in ticks collected from birds in other countries in northern Europe, i.e., Norway, northern Germany, and north-western Russia (1.0–4.7%) [33–35]. In those studies, the *Babesia* species were identified as *B. venatorum*, *B. microti*, and *B. divergens*. In our study, *B. venatorum* was the most prevalent species and was detected in nymphs as well as in larvae of *I. ricinus*. Both *B. venatorum* [8] and *B. divergens* [36] are known to be transovarially transmitted. Thus, their presence in tick larvae should not be taken as an indication that the pathogen was derived from feeding upon the avian hosts. To elucidate that, one would need to investigate the presence of *Babesia* spp. in blood taken directly from the birds. Our data suggest that *B. capreoli* is also transovarially transmitted in *I. ricinus* ticks, since it was present in a larval tick. This was expected, given the close phylogenetic relationship between *B. divergens* and *B. capreoli* and since it is a trait regarded as common to all members of the genus *Babesia* sensu stricto [19].

*B. microti*, on the other hand, is not considered to be vertically transmitted [19], and was only found in nymphs. In contrast to our results, Franke et al. [37] and Hildebrandt et al. [34] recorded *B. microti* in *I. ricinus* larvae removed from several passerine bird species in Germany. We are not aware of any other reports where tick larvae removed from birds have been shown to harbour *B. microti*. On the contrary, the different taxa included in the *B. microti* complex are generally considered to have different species of small mammals, specifically rodents and shrews, as their main vertebrate reservoirs [13, 19, 38–43]. It might be possible that the *B. microti* infections recorded by Franke et al. [37] and Hildebrandt et al. [34] in larvae of *I. ricinus* were acquired by co-feeding transmission from nymphal or adult ticks that had previously fed on *B. microti*-infected mammals. However, experimental evidence from North America supports the notion that birds can act as reservoirs of *B. microti*: Hersh and colleagues tested 10 North American mammal species and four bird species for reservoir competency, i.e., capacity to infect the main vector in North America, *Ixodes scapularis*, with *B. microti*. They found reservoir competence levels of >17% in white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*), racoon (*Procyon lotor*), short-tailed shrew (*Blarina brevicauda*), and eastern chipmunk (*Tamias striatus*), and <6% but >0% in all other species, including all four bird species tested including three species belonging to the Turdidae family [44]. There is significant genetic heterogeneity among strains of *B. microti* [41–43, 45]. Therefore, further genetic investigations are necessary as well as studies to explore whether bird species even in Europe are systemically infected, competent reservoirs (transmission hosts) for any of these taxa of “*B. microti*”.

The tick species *H. punctata* and *H. marginatum* have been reported to harbour different *Babesia* species, where *B. motasi*, *B. bovis*, *B. bigemina*, *B. caballi* and *B. major* have been detected in *H. punctata*, and *B. microti* has been detected in *H. marginatum* [46–49]. A serological survey of sheep indicated that *B. motasi* may be present on the island of Gotland, south-eastern Sweden, where it is presumably vectored by *H. punctata* [12]. To our knowledge, neither *H. punctata* nor *H. marginatum* ticks removed from birds have been investigated previously for the presence of *Babesia* species. However, in the present study, only *I. ricinus* ticks were positive for *Babesia*. This is not surprising, given the overall low prevalence of *Babesia* spp. detected in the *I. ricinus* ticks, and the small number of specimens of the other tick species analysed.

In this study, the *Babesia*-positive ticks were found on eight common passerine bird species. Given the location, all these individuals are considered to be on active migration. Four of the species—European robin (*Erithacus rubecula*), common starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*),
common blackbird (Turdus merula), and Eurasian wren (Troglodytes troglodytes)—are common and widespread short-distance migrant (or partial migrant) species that occur in a range of habitats, including in the vicinity of humans, in gardens, forests, and pastures. The other four species are obligatory long-distance migrants wintering in sub-Saharan Africa, predominantly West Africa, and include tree pipit (Anthus trivialis), common redstart (Phoenicurus phoenicurus), common whitethroat (Sylvia communis), and lesser whitethroat (Sylvia curruca), and although common, they are less associated with human settlements [50]. Interestingly, 17 of the bird individuals infested by Babesia-positive ticks were captured during their northward spring migration, suggesting a potential role of these birds as disseminators of Babesia protozoan parasites into Sweden. Although the prevalence of Babesia spp. was low, the sheer number of birds involved in migration would imply a significant number of introductions of infected ticks on an annual basis. Quantifying this number would require larger sample sizes and a wider sampling of host species. However, the presence of infected ticks in common passerine species that occur in habitats also frequented by humans and domesticated animals suggests that the risk of encountering potentially Babesia-infective ticks exists. However, the risk to humans resulting from these introductions remains speculative, especially in relation to the enzootic occurrence of these pathogens.

Two of the three Babesia species, i.e., B. venatorum and B. microti, detected in this study are previously known to cause human disease. In Europe, the most common cause of clinical human babesiosis is B. divergens, which typically is diagnosed in immunocompromised individuals and often gives rise to a severe illness [16, 51]. Human disease caused by B. divergens has also been reported in immunocompetent patients [52]. A few cases of B. microti and B. venatorum infection have also been reported in Europe [21, 22, 24, 53]. In contrast to B. divergens, B. venatorum, and B. microti, B. capreoli is considered not to be human-pathogenic [32].

In southern Sweden, a prevalence of 2.5% for B. microti and/or B. divergens antibodies among healthy individuals was recorded, and an even higher seroprevalence (16.3%) among seropositive Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato patients was detected [54]. Despite the high seroprevalence, only two cases of human babesiosis...
have so far been reported in Sweden [18, 53]. Thus, the risk of developing severe babesiosis after an *I. ricinus* tick bite among healthy individuals in Sweden appears to be low [29]. However, as pointed out previously by others [19, 25, 54], we cannot exclude that this potentially severe infection is underdiagnosed.

**Conclusions**

This is the first study showing the presence of *B. venatorum*, *B. microti*, and *B. capreoli* in ticks removed from birds in Sweden. The study also reveals that zoonotic *Babesia* species are present in ticks infesting migratory birds in south-eastern Sweden, which could lead to dissemination of these tick-borne microorganisms into new areas.
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