INFLUENCE OF UNEVEN BLADE TIP CLEARANCES ON AEROOUSCOUSTIC CHARACTERISTICS OF CENTRIFUGAL COMPRESSORS
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ABSTRACT

The blade tip clearance is a crucial factor in the compressor performance and the aeroacoustics. There are usually main blades and splitter blades, two different types of blades in centrifugal compressors. Keeping almost the same work input, three different tip clearance configurations were designed in which the tip clearance gaps of main blades and splitter blades were uneven. Then experimental investigations were carried out to study the influence of uneven tip clearances on the aeroacoustic characteristics of centrifugal compressors. The results showed that larger main blade tip clearances or larger splitter blade tip clearances would induce rotating instabilities (RI) near flow instability boundary at low rotating speeds. However, the broadband noises below the blade passing frequency (BPF) in the case with uneven blade tip clearances were suppressed significantly which might be due to the incongruous interaction between the tip clearance leakage flows of main blades and splitter blades. In addition, the blade nonsynchronous vibrations (NSV) were observed in the analysis of the frequency spectrum of far-field noise in the case which had smaller main blade tip clearances and larger splitter blade tip clearances. Based on the jet core feedback theory, a physical explanation was given for NSV occurred in this case.

INTRODUCTION

The blade tip clearance is a crucial factor in the compressor performance (Wang et al., 2020) and the aeroacoustics (Galindo et al., 2015). Driven by the pressure difference between the pressure side and the suction side of the blade, tip clearance flows as jets traverse impeller passages and interact with main flows in passages, causing a series of unsteady vortices which would deteriorate the compressor performance and increase the aeroacoustic level.

To reveal the influence of tip clearance flow on the compressor performance, many researches have been carried out. Hah (Hah, 2017) found that in a large blade tip gap the tip clearance flow could traverse the blade passage and enter the adjacent blade tip gap forming a double tip leakage clearance flow to deteriorate the compressor performance. Cevik et al. (Cevik, Vo and Yu, 2016) developed a novel casing treatment to eliminate the double tip leakage clearance flow for reducing sensitivities of compressor performance to the tip clearance variation. Meanwhile, the tip clearance flow would cause noisy broadband aeroacoustics. At a large blade tip clearance, a flow phenomenon termed rotating instabilities (RI) has been observed in axial compressors and centrifugal compressors (Mailach, Lehmann and Vogeler, 2001; Pardowitz et al., 2014; He and Zheng, 2018). The representative frequency spectrum of RI is shown in Figure 1 (Young, Day and Pullan, 2012; Day, 2016). The experimental studies on axial compressors of Kameier and Neise (Kameier and Neise, 1997) showed that a narrow frequency band below the blade passing frequency (BPF), i.e. RI, significantly increased when the tip clearance was enlarged, and the RI noise could be eliminated effectively by inserting a turbulence generator into the tip clearance.
Another serious damage induced by tip clearance flows is the blade nonsynchronous vibrations (NSV) which may cause a premature blade failure. NSV is a fluid dynamic instability that could cause rotor blades vibrating in the first torsion mode (1T) or the second torsion mode (2T) or both. Figure 2 gives a representative example of NSV behavior on the compressor map (Kielb et al., 2003). Vo (Vo, 2010) thought it was the tip clearance backflows below the trailing edge tip induced by RI impinging on the adjacent blade tip that caused NSV. Further, Thomassin et al. (Thomassin, Vo and Mureithi, 2009, 2011) proposed a novel theory based on the acoustic feedback in the jet core to explain the physical mechanism of NSV, gave a prediction equation to determine the critical rotation speed in which the NSV might occur, and experimentally validated the theory. Following the research of Thomassin, Drolet et al. (Drolet, Vo and Mureithi, 2012) supplemented and developed the theory by conducting a series of computational simulations.

Figure 2 NSV Behavior on the Compressor Map (Kielb et al., 2003)

Researches on the influence of tip clearance variation on the acoustic noise of compressor have been widely carried out in previous literature, and an understanding has been obtained is that the acoustic noise increases with the increasing tip clearance and decreases with the decreasing tip clearance (Kameier and Neise, 1997). A distinguishing feature of centrifugal compressors is that there are usually two different types of blades, i.e. main blades and splitter blades. Considering the different blade profiles and flow functions of main blades and splitter blades, a question arises, are the roles of the blade tip clearance of main blades and splitter blades the same in the aeroacoustics?

Increasing/decreasing all blade tip clearances at the same time would change the compressor work inputs (Turunen-Saaresti and Jaatinen, 2013). It is unfair to compare the aeroacoustic characteristics between the compressors with different work inputs. In the present work, a comparative experiment was designed including three compressors with different tip clearance configurations. One compressor was acted as a datum with the uniform blade tip clearances, but in the other two compressors, the blade tip clearances of main blades and splitter blades were different for keeping the roughly equivalent work input to the datum. The detailed tip clearance configurations of these three compressors will be shown in the latter part.

CASE ILLUSTRATION

There are three cases with different tip clearance configurations in the present work. The datum, termed M0.5S0.5, is a centrifugal compressor with a vaneless diffuser that has 7 main blades and 7 splitter blades, and blade tip clearances of main blades and splitter blades both are 0.5mm. The detailed compressor parameters are shown in table 1. Different from the datum, the blade tip clearances of the main blades and the splitter blades are uneven in the other two cases. In one case, termed as M0.6S0.4, the blade tip clearances of the main blades are all 0.6 mm, while those of splitter blades are all 0.4 mm. In the other case, termed as M0.4S0.6, the blade tip clearances of main blades are all 0.4 mm, while those of splitter blades are all 0.6 mm. The blade tip clearance configurations of these three cases are shown in table 2.
### Table 1 Detailed Compressor Parameters

| Compressor parameter          | value |
|------------------------------|-------|
| Tip radius at impeller outlet | 45 mm |
| Tip radius at impeller inlet | 30 mm |
| Ratio of hub to shroud at impeller inlet | 0.33 |
| Number of main blades        | 7     |
| Number of splitter blades    | 7     |
| Diffuser width               | 5 mm  |
| Tip clearance of main blade (datum) | 0.5 mm |
| Tip clearance of splitter blade (datum) | 0.5 mm |

### Table 2 Tip Clearance Configurations

| Case                  | Blade tip clearances (mm) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|
|                       | Main blades | Splitter blades |
| M0.5S0.5 (datum)      | 0.5         | 0.5             |
| M0.6S0.4               | 0.6         | 0.4             |
| M0.4S0.6               | 0.4         | 0.6             |

**EXPERIMENT SETUP**

The experiments were conducted on a turbocharger test rig. The compressor was driven by a turbine whose power came from the hot gas generated in the combustor. The schematic diagram of the experiment rig is shown in Figure 3. A speed transducer of magnetic induction was fixed on the inducer casing, which did not need to chisel an installation hole in the casing and could avoid the flow disturbances caused by the installation of the transducer, to monitor impeller rotation speeds. The total pressure and the total temperature transducers were installed downstream compressor volute outlet for evaluating the compressor performance. Meanwhile, a mass flow transducer was installed in the downstream pipe. Two acoustic transducers, which were fixed on tripods and kept the same height with the compressor inlet pipe, were arranged in two different positions to monitor the far filed acoustic characteristics: the acoustic transducer 1# was located at the compressor inlet and kept a one-meter distance; the acoustic transducer 2# flanked the compressor and also kept a one-meter distance, detailed positions were shown in Figure 3. The sampling frequency of the acoustic transducer was $2.56 \times 10^4$ Hz, so the maximum frequency of the noise frequency spectrum could range up to $1.28 \times 10^4$ Hz according to the Nyquist theory, which met the requirements of present studies.

![Figure 3 Schematic Diagram of Experiment Rig](image)

The compressed air flowing into the combustor came from a screw compressor which was in another operation room neighboring to the test room. Both the outflow gas from the turbine and the outflow compressed air from the centrifugal compressor were discharged into the atmosphere outside of the test room. Only the compressor inlet of the whole experiment rig was open in the test room.

The compressor performances on three constant speed lines ($5 \times 10^4$ rpm, $6 \times 10^4$ rpm, and $7 \times 10^4$ rpm) were obtained by adjusting the fuel mass flow rate into the combustor and the electrical valve opening degree downstream the compressor, independently. Three representative operation points were selected to collect the far-field acoustics at each constant speed.
line for every case. The three representative operation points are near the blockage region, the highest-efficiency region, and the flow instability region, respectively. The mass flow rates of the three representative operation points of each case at the same rotation speed keep as the same as possible for good comparisons. The mass flow rates of representative operation points are shown in table 3.

| Rotation speed (rpm) | $\Phi_1$ (kg/s) | $\Phi_2$ (kg/s) | $\Phi_3$ (kg/s) |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $5 \times 10^4$      | 0.23            | 0.18            | 0.08            |
| $6 \times 10^4$      | 0.27            | 0.23            | 0.14            |
| $7 \times 10^4$      | 0.33            | 0.27            | 0.18            |

RESULT DISCUSSION

Compressor performance. The determination of the last stable flow operation point, that is the surge line, was made based on the human hearing by technicians. Although it is not very scientific, it could be accepted in present work in which the surge is not the focus.

The compressor total pressure ratio and total enthalpy ratio of the three cases were shown in Figure 4. The results showed that the work inputs of cases with uneven blade tip clearances were roughly equivalent to the datum as expected.

![Figure 4 Compressor Performance of Different Cases](image)

Rotating instability. RI is a special flow phenomenon related to the interaction between the main passage flow and the blade tip leakage flow. The blade height of the centrifugal compressor is usually small, which leads to a bigger ratio of blade tip clearance to blade height for the same tip clearance in comparison with the axial compressor, so RI does not only occur near flow instability regions but also in stable flow regions.

There are two important discrete noises that are very easy to be found in the frequency spectrum. One is the blade passing frequency of the compressor (BPF-C), of which the frequency is

$$f_{\text{BPF}-C} = \frac{N}{60} \times m_c$$

(1)

where $N$ is the impeller rotation speed (rpm), and $m_c$ is the blade number of main blades or splitter blades. $m_c = 7$ in present study. The other one is the blade passing frequency of the turbine (BPF-T), of which the frequency is

$$f_{\text{BPF}-T} = \frac{N}{60} \times m_T$$

(2)

where $m_T$ is the blade number of the turbine. $m_T = 10$ in present study.

Different from the datum M0.5S0.5, RIs, which could be deduced from the frequency spectrum characteristics as shown in Figure 1, become more obvious in the cases of M0.4S0.6 and M0.6S0.4 at $\Phi_1$ when the impeller rotation speed is $5 \times 10^4$ rpm and $6 \times 10^4$ rpm, as shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6. The RI hump of M0.6S0.4 is mainly induced by the increasing tip clearance of the main blade, of which the amplitudes are significantly higher than those in the other two cases. However, the formation reason of the RI hump of M0.4S0.6 is different from that of M0.6S0.4. There are no apparent variations in the amplitudes of frequencies corresponding to RI between M0.4S0.6 and M0.5S0.5. The emergence of the RI hump in M0.4S0.6 more relies on the decrease of amplitudes of broadband noises (BN) of which the frequencies are below RI. These broadband noises below RI, which are usually explained to be related to tip clearance leakage flows, are suppressed in the case M0.6S0.4 and M0.4S0.6 at the impeller rotation speed of $5 \times 10^4$ rpm and $6 \times 10^4$ rpm. Especially at $6 \times 10^4$ rpm, the suppression of BN is significantly obvious in M0.6S0.4 and M0.4S0.6 comparing with the datum M0.5S0.5, as shown in Figure 6. A reasonable deduction for this phenomenon is that the different blade tip clearance gaps
of main blades and splitter blades induce two different types of tip clearance leakage vortices with incongruous dynamic behaviors, which neutralize each other, so BNs are suppressed. Because the characteristics of blade tip clearance leakage vortices are also related to the impeller rotation speed except for the tip clearance size, the neutralizing effects between tip clearance leakage vortices of main blades and splitter blades would change with different rotation speeds.

In addition, a tonal noise of which the frequency is around 300 Hz, coming from experiment rig vibrating at rig natural frequency (RNF), can be seen in Figure 5. This tonal noise RNF could also be seen in other operation points and cases, and its frequency is always around 300 Hz, so it should be owed to the experiment rig vibrations rather than other air flows.

![Figure 5 Frequency Spectrum of Noise at Compressor Inlet @ 5×10⁴ rpm, Φ₃](image)

**Figure 5 Frequency Spectrum of Noise at Compressor Inlet @ 5×10⁴ rpm, Φ₃**

Different from those observed at 5×10⁴ rpm and 6×10⁴ rpm, there are no RI humps in frequency spectrums in Φ₃ at 7×10⁴ rpm, as shown in Figure 7. This is due to the flow instability characteristics of centrifugal compressors. When the impeller rotation speed is low, the flow breakdown of centrifugal compressors occurs in the inducer which usually behaves as the rotating stall or RI. However, at higher impeller rotation speeds, the rotating stall or RI occurs in the inducer first which does not lead the compressor to the flow breakdown yet. Then decreasing the mass flow rate further, the flow instabilities in the inducer disappear and shift to the diffuser, meanwhile, the flow breakdown occurs and it usually behaves as the surge. The results of Figure 7 show that the flow instabilities have shifted from the inducer to the diffuser at 7×10⁴ rpm. As to BN, similar to the situations at 5×10⁴ rpm and 6×10⁴ rpm, the BN of M0.4S06 is suppressed significantly in comparison with the case of M0.5S0.5. The suppression of BN is not apparent in M0.6S0.4, but the frequencies of BN peaks shift in comparison with the case of M0.5S0.5. The different characteristics of BN at 5×10⁴ rpm, 6×10⁴ rpm, and 7×10⁴ rpm further indicate that BN belongs to aeroacoustics and is related to the tip clearance leakage flow.

![Figure 6 Frequency Spectrum of Noise at Compressor Inlet @ 6×10⁴ rpm, Φ₃](image)

**Figure 6 Frequency Spectrum of Noise at Compressor Inlet @ 6×10⁴ rpm, Φ₃**
Nonsynchronous vibrations. NSVs have been observed in compressors by many researchers. It is caused by the rotor blade vibration at nonintegral multiples of shaft rotation frequencies, of which the excitation source comes from the blade tip clearance flow unsteadiness. Thomassin et al. explained the mechanisms of blade tip clearance flow triggering compressor NSV based on the jet core feedback theory, as shown in Figure 8.

The blade tip clearance leakage flow would produce some small vortical structures through the shear layer, of which the convection speed is around the half of blade tip velocity, \( \frac{U_{\text{tip}}}{2} \), then impinge on the adjacent blade tip causing blade vibrations. The blade vibrations will, in turn, produce acoustic feedback waves propagating back. The speed of acoustic feedback wave

\[
U_b = c - \frac{U_{\text{tip}}}{2}
\]  

(3)

where \( c \) is the sound speed.

When the acoustic feedback wave length \( \lambda_b \) meets the condition

\[
s = n\lambda_b/2
\]  

(4)

where \( s \) is the blade pitch, the tip clearance vortical structure formation would be locked onto the blade vibration.

Further, Thomassin et al. proposed a criterion for predicting the critical blade tip velocity (\( U_{\text{tip},c} \)) at which NSV may occur at the blade natural frequency (\( f_b \)).

\[
U_{\text{tip},c} = 2 \left( c - \frac{2sf_b}{n} \right)
\]  

(5)

where \( c \) is the sound speed, \( s \) is the blade pitch, and \( n \) is an integer for acoustic feedback wave number which is induced by the blade NSV.

The studies of Thomassin were on axial compressors, so the blade tip velocity \( U_{\text{tip}} \) and the blade pitch \( s \) are constant. However, the situation becomes complex in centrifugal compressors because \( U_{\text{tip}} \) and \( s \) vary with the streamwise.

At \( 7 \times 10^4 \) rpm \( \Phi_3 \) operation point, an interesting phenomenon worth being noted is that there appear two significant nonsynchronous noises in the case of M0.4S0.6, one frequency around 3550 Hz, the other around 6550 Hz, while these nonsynchronous noises are inconspicuous in other cases of M0.5S0.5 and M0.6S0.4, as shown in Figure 9.
Figure 9 Frequency Spectrum of Noise at Compressor Inlet @ $7 \times 10^4$ rpm, $\Phi_1$

According to equation (5), the relationship between the critical blade tip velocity ($U_{tip,c}$) and the blade tip velocity ($U_{tip}$) with the variation of blade tip radius for presently studied compressors is shown in Figure 10. There do exist intersections between critical blade tip velocity lines and blade tip velocity lines at $f_b = 3550$ Hz and 6550 Hz. These theoretical prediction results indicate that it is possible for this compressor that NVS occurs at frequencies of 3550 Hz and 6550 Hz, although the theoretical equation was proposed for axial compressor originally. 3550 Hz is possible to be the first torsion vibration mode of the impeller, and 6550 Hz be the second torsion vibration mode.

Figure 10 Relationship Between the Critical Blade Tip Velocity ($U_{tip,c}$) and the Blade Tip Velocity ($U_{tip}$) with the Variation of Blade Tip Radius

In the case of M0.4S0.6, smaller tip clearances of main blades mean more work inputs and stronger tip clearance leakage flows, meanwhile, the larger tip clearances of splitter blades give an unblocked flow channel for the tip clearance vortexes going through. This results in a combination of tip clearance vortexes of main blades and splitter blades, and a stronger jet flow impingement on the main blade tip. The schematic diagram of this process is shown in Figure 11. This causes the impeller to vibrate in both the first and the second torsional modes.
Figure 11 Schematic Diagram of Blade Tip Clearance Vortexes Impinging on the Blade Tip in the Case of M0.4S0.6

Except for the compressor inlet, the NSV (1T) and NSV (2T) can also be found in the frequency spectrum of noise collected by acoustic transducer 2# flanking the compressor, as shown in Figure 12.

Figure 12 Frequency Spectrum of Noise Flanking the Compressor @ $7 \times 10^4$ rpm, $\Phi_1$

More operation points at different impeller rotation speeds and mass flow rates are examined for NSV in the case of M0.4S0.6. It is found that there are another two operation points at which the NSVs exist. One is at $\Phi_2$ of $7 \times 10^4$ rpm, the other is at $\Phi_3$ of $6 \times 10^4$ rpm, as shown in Figure 13 and Figure 14. In these two operation points, the NSV only occurs at the first torsion vibration mode.

At $\Phi_2$ of $7 \times 10^4$ rpm, the frequency of NSV is almost the same, around 3550 Hz. In addition, the noise at the first (1st RF) and the second shaft rotation speed frequencies (2nd RF) could be also seen. However, at $\Phi_3$ of $6 \times 10^4$ rpm, the frequency of NSV shifts to 4580 Hz.

Figure 13 Frequency Spectrum of Noise at Compressor Inlet @ $7 \times 10^4$ rpm, $\Phi_2$

At a certain range of impeller rotation speeds, the frequency shift of NSV was also observed in the experiments by Kielb, in which the frequency of NSV shifted from 2600 Hz to 2661 Hz when the rotation speed decreased from 12880
rpm to 12700 rpm, as shown in Figure 15. The mechanism of NSV frequency shift is still unknown, which may rely on the computation of fluid dynamics to explore the detailed unsteady flow field.

![Figure 15 Frequency Shift of NSV in First-stage Rotor Blade of Compressor (Kielb et al., 2003)](image)

**CONCLUSION**

The blade tip clearance is a crucial factor in the compressor performance and the aeroacoustics. Keeping almost the same work inputs, three different tip clearance configurations with uneven tip clearance gaps of main blades and splitter blades were designed in the present work, and the influences of uneven blade tip clearances on aeroacoustic characteristics of centrifugal compressors were experimentally investigated. Several main conclusions are drawn as follows.

1. There exists a close relationship between the blade tip clearance flow and NSV. The larger tip clearances of splitter blades and the smaller tip clearances of main blades in M0.4S0.6 make a confluence of tip clearance vortexes coming from main blade tips and splitter blade tips. This forms a strong jet impinging on the main blade tip and induces significant NSVs, of which the main characteristics can be caught by analyzing the frequency spectrum of far-field noises.

2. Only increasing the tip clearance gaps of either main blades or splitter blades would induce the RI near flow instability regions at low impeller rotation speeds for centrifugal compressors. However, the uneven tip clearance configuration can significantly decrease the broadband noise below BPF, which may be owed to the neutralizing interaction between the tip clearance vortexes coming from main blade tips and splitter blade tips. It may be a new method to control the aeroacoustics of turbomachinery.

The unsteady simulations are going to be carried out to explore the detailed dynamic behaviors of tip clearance leakage flow in the next step, revealing the rule of interaction between the tip clearance vortexes coming from main blade tips and splitter blade tips.

**NOMENCLATURE**

- \( c \) = sound speed
- \( f_b \) = blade natural frequency
- \( f_{\text{BPF},C} \) = blade passing frequency of compressor
- \( f_{\text{BPF},T} \) = blade passing frequency of turbine
- \( m_C \) = blade number of compressor main blades
- \( m_T \) = blade number of turbine
- \( N \) = impeller rotation speed
- \( s \) = blade pitch
- \( U_b \) = speed of acoustic feedback wave
- \( U_{tip} \) = velocity of blade tip
- \( U_{\text{tip},c} \) = critical velocity of blade tip
- \( \lambda_b \) = acoustic feedback wave length
- \( \Phi \) = mass flow rate
Abbreviations

1T  =  first torsion vibration mode  
2T  =  second torsion vibration mode  
BN  =  broadband noise  
BPF  =  blade passing frequency  
BPF-C  =  blade passing frequency of compressor  
BPF-T  =  blade passing frequency of turbine  
NSV  =  nonsynchronous vibration  
RF  =  shaft rotation frequency  
RI  =  rotating instabilities  
RNF  =  rig natural frequency  
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