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Abstract

Background: Acute myeloid leukemia (AML), an aggressive clonal disease, is genetically heterozygous. The prognostic role of expression of Breast Cancer Resistance Protein (BCRP) gene, which behaves as a multidrug transporter, in adult AML is ambiguous.

Objective: The objective is to assess the level of mRNA expression of BCRP gene in newly diagnosed cytogenetically normal adult Egyptian AML patients; and to clarify its potential influence and association between therapeutic responsiveness and disease free survival.

Methods: The BCRP gene expression was evaluated by quantifying its mRNA using real time RT-PCR in fifty newly diagnosed cytogenetically normal adult AML patients and 20 healthy normal controls. The expression was evaluated in relation to clinical and prognostic factors, response to treatment and the survival rate.

Results: BCRP mRNA was over expressed in adult AML patients compared to controls. This study showed a positive statistical correlation between BCRP gene expression and the percent of CD34 expression. Statistical analysis did not reveal any association between BCRP expression level and chemotherapeutic responsiveness or disease free survival rate.

Conclusion: The significance of BCRP gene expression and its function in AML is very complicated, therefore more standardized clinical studies are needed.
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Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML), clonal malignant aggressive hematological disease, caused by accumulating acquired genetic abnormalities in hematopoietic stem cells¹. It includes diverse categories according to molecular and other cytogenetic abnormalities². Several prognostic markers were described in AML, including genetic mutations, polymorphisms or over expression of specific genes³.

One of these prognostic factors is the multidrug resistance (MDR) phenotype confirmed by the presence of transmembrane transporter proteins in leukemic cells⁴. The Breast Cancer Resistance Protein (BCRP), synonymously known as ATP-binding-cassette protein, is coded by a gene located on chromosome 4q22, it encodes a protein composed of 655-amino acids, when over expressed it creates a drug resistance phenotype in tumor cell lines⁵. Such abnormal phenotype is due to the pump out of a large spectrum of chemicals, including chemotherapeutic drugs, outside the cells by the transport function of this protein. So its expression has a particular influence on cancer treatment⁶.
The overexpression of BCRP gene enables cancer cell lines to self-renew and differentiate, which are their main characteristic feature. These cells play a crucial role in MDR, where their BCRP overexpression enable them to resist chemotherapy and survive after being exposed to chemotherapeutics. It is possible that by inhibiting BCRP, the cancer cells could be targeted and eradicated.

A limited number of clinical prognostic studies discussed BCRP mRNA expression in AML, with different selection criteria and interpretation methodology. The aim of the current study is to assess the level of mRNA expression of BCRP gene by real time RT-PCR in 50 newly diagnosed cytogenetically normal adult Egyptian AML patients, trying to clarify its potential influence and its association between the therapeutic responsiveness and disease free survival.

Subjects and methods

Study population

The present study was applied on 50 newly diagnosed cytogenetically normal adult AML patients. They were 26 (52%) males and 24 (48%). The age of the included patients ranged from 18 to 71 years with a mean of 41.2 ± 15.9 years. Patients were selected over six months from National Cancer Institute, Cairo, Egypt. The Ethical Committee of National Cancer Institute approved the current research protocol. All procedures performed in the current study were in accordance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. Twenty age and sex-matched healthy unrelated blood donors participated in this study as a control group.

After collection of written informed consent from all participants, patients were subjected to full clinical assessment in addition to bone marrow examination. Immunohistochemistry staining and immuno-phenotyping was carried out to confirm the diagnosis and to classification of AML. Chromosomal karyotyping, molecular analysis with special emphasis on t (8;21), inversion of chromosome 16, Nucleophosmin (NPM) gene mutations and FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 -internal tandem duplication (FLT3-ITD) were done. Patients diagnosed as promyelocytic leukemia (M3), were not included in the study due to different therapeutic modalities and prognostic criteria. AML patients were followed up over the period of induction chemotherapy and for twelve months.

BCRP m-RNA expression:

From cases and control samples, cellular RNA was extracted, then the complementary DNA was synthesized using QIAamp RNA blood Mini Kit, supplied by Qiagen, and High capacity cDNA Archive kit, provided by Applied Bio systems, respectively, the manufacture instructions were followed for each kit. Every PCR amplification reaction had a total volume of 25 μL which included: 1 μL of the cDNA, 12.5 μLTaqMan universal PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Inc.), 1 μL of each forward and reverse primers of BCRP with the following sequences: 5'-CAGTACTTCAGCATTCCACGAT-3', and: 5'-GGCAGAAGTTTTGTCCCAAA-3'. In addition to 0.5μL of the probe of Taqman with the sequence of 5'-FAM-CATTATGCTGCAAAGCCGTAAATC-CA-TAMRA-3'. The Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GADPH) gene was selected to be the house-keeping gene. The PCR reaction was programmed for; 10 min heating at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of; 15 s heating at 95°C for denaturation and 1min at 60°C for annealing and elongation. Each PCR run included negative control tube without addition of any cDNA template. The “comparative threshold method” (2 -ΔΔCT) was used to calculate the relative expression level of BCRP gene.

Treatment protocol and response to therapy

The AML patients were treated in accordance to the protocol of the Department of Medical Oncology, Kasr Al-Ainy Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. Induction and consolidation were the two implemented phases of therapy. The remission was induced by 7-3 protocol, where fit patients (who were <60–65 years old, and selected fit patients up to age 75 years) received intensive therapy. Whereas less fit patients (who were70–75 years and older, or younger patients with significant co-morbidities) received lower intensive therapy. To achieve this induction-phase, a combination of cytarabine and anthracycline or anthracenedione was recommended (cytarabine 100–200 mg/m² continuous IV infusion for 7 days, and for 3 days idarubicin 12 mg/m²/day, or daunorubicin 60–90 mg/m²/day were added). All patients were assessed for risk of relapse. Specific drug protocol, for consolidation therapy, were recommended based on the risk of relapse of the patient, high-dose cytarabine 3 g/m² IV over 3 h every 12 h on days 1, 3 and 5 for 4 cycle.
After induction therapy, Complete remission (CR) was obtained when the patient had a cellular marrow with blast cells ≤5%, peripheral blood picture with a neutrophil count ≥1.5×10^9/ml, platelet count ≥100×10^9/ml, and with no verification of leukemia in other sites. The patient was stated to be primary resistant if having cellular marrow with >5% blasts or verification of leukemia in other sites.

**Data analysis**

Data arrangement and analysis were done using Statistical Analysis Systems, SAS vs8.02. The graphs were done using Harvard Graphics, vs4.

The data were summarized according to their type, where mean and standard deviations (SD) or median and range were used for numeric data, while percentages were used for categorical data. Mann-Witney test was performed to compare numeric variables. The Chi-square test was applied to compare groups regarding the categorical data, while for small sample size Fisher's exact test was used.

**Results**

The main laboratory data of AML patients were summarized in table 1. AML patients were categorized into two groups according to the relative expression of BCRP gene: 34 AML patients (68%) were considered as a high expressors, with relative expression level higher than that of the controls. Sixteen AML patients (32%) were considered as a low expressors, with relative expression level within the range of the normal controls. There was no statistical significant difference between the two patients'category regarding their clinical and laboratory data.

**Table (1): Main clinical and Hematological findings in AML patients**

| Parameter                     | Value                      |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Age (years) Median (range)    | 39(18-71)                  |
| Sex Male                      | 26/50 (52%)                |
| Sex Female                    | 24/50 (48%)                |
| Hepatomegaly                  | 12/50 (24%)                |
| Splenomegaly                  | 12/50 (24%)                |
| Lymphadenopathy               | 4/50 (8%)                  |
| PB TLC (×10^9/L) Median (range)| 23.6 (0.9-273.1)           |
| Hb (gm/dl) Median (range)      | 7.4 (3.4-12.4)             |
| Hb (gm/dl) Mean±SD            | 7.8 gm/dL ± 1.9            |
| Platelets (×10^9/L) Median (range) | 30.5 (6-241)             |
| Peripheral blood Blast (%) Median (range) | 60.0 (34-92)          |
| Percent of BM blasts Median (range) | 67.0 (21-95)             |
| FAB Classification            |                            |
| M0                            | 1/50 (2%)                  |
| M1                            | 13/50 (26%)                |
| M2                            | 23/50 (46%)                |
| M4                            | 13/50 (26%)                |
| Molecular genetics            |                            |
| t (8; 21)                     | 4/50 (8%)                  |
| inv (16)                      | 1/50 (2%)                  |
A significant statistical difference was detected between the BCRP mRNA expression level and the percentage of blast cells expressing CD34 (p= 0.016, r= 0.330) in AML patients. Otherwise there was no statistical significant correlation could be found between BCRP gene expression and other clinical nor laboratory data of AML patients including the distribution among different FAB subtypes. After induction chemotherapy, Complete remission was achieved by 24 / 50 (48%) of AML patients. Among these cases, 15/24(62.5%) were high expressors and 9/24(37.5%) were low expressors. Twenty-six patients (52%) failed to achieve CR (12 patients showed resistance to induction treatment and 14 patients died during the course of induction). No statistical significant difference could be detected between high and low expressors groups according to the response of induction chemotherapy (Table 2).

### Table (2): Correlation of response rate with ABCG2 gene expression in 50 AML cases

| Response to induction chemotherapy | High BCRP m-RNA expression | Low BCRP m-RNA expression | P-value* |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| CR** 24 AML Patients              | 15/24(62.5%)                | 9/24(37.5%)               | 0.547   |
| Failed to achieve CR(resistance and death) 26 AML Patients | 19/26(73.1%) | 7/26(26.9%) |

*P-values < 0.05 were considered significant.
** CR; complete remission.

Follow up of AML patients for twelve months revealed that 18/24 (75%) patients who achieved CR after induction chemotherapy had a disease free survival (DFS) during the follow up period. Ten of them were high BCRP expressors, while eight were low BCRP expressors. Six patients failed to achieve 6 months DFS, five of them were high BCRP expressors and one patient was low BCRP m-RNA expressor. There was no statistically significant difference noticed between high and low BCRP expressors regarding their DFS rate (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Kaplan Meier curve showing disease free survival in AML cases.](image)

**Discussion**

Several factors affect the prognosis of adult AML, such as the age of the patient, the strength of post remission therapy, beside the biologic characteristics of the disease. Karyotyping at the time of diagnosis, the existence of transmembrane transporter proteins which grant multi-
tidrug resistance. The over-expression or the mutations affecting particular genes are also included in the factors affecting the disease outcome.

The present work revealed that BCRP mRNA transcript was not overexpressed in the peripheral blood of normal healthy donors. Abbott et al. found low levels of BCRP mRNA expression in normal samples, whether peripheral blood or bone marrow, which reflected the restricted BCRP expression to the rare repopulating hematopoietic stem cells.

We chose the cutoff of expression level to be the highest reading of BCRP mRNA expression among the controls. Our results were in harmony with Abbott et al., who classified 40 AML studies samples according the BCRP expression level into 3 levels: low expression level (lower than that of normal PB and BM), intermediate level of expression (greater than normal PB and BM but lower or equal to MDR clone 3.3), and high expression level (greater than MDR clone 3.3). Nasilowska-Adamska et al. on the other hand, chose the median of BCRP mRNA expression level, in univariate analysis, as the cutoff for his results.

Of the 50 adult AML cases examined, 34 patients (68%) were high BCRP expressors. Similarly the majority of adult AML samples showed over expression of BCRP mRNA in comparison to normal controls in Abbott et al. study, but this was lower than MDR cell lines. This finding can be explained by either the homogenous expression of BCRP by all leukemic cells, or that BCRP expression could be heterogeneous and restricted to a subpopulation of leukemic cells. Flow cytometry study of BCRP protein expression levels in adult AML found that the later scenario was more likely.

In the current study, there was no statistically significant difference between AML patients with high BCRP expressors and low expressors regarding their clinical and laboratory data. Similarly, previous clinical studies on AML patients could not find an association between BCRP m-RNA expression and AML patient characteristics such as age, hemoglobin and total leucocytic count, nor among different FAB subtypes.

During the study of the possible association between BCRP expression and other prognostic markers, a significant correlation between the BCRP mRNA expression level and the percentage of CD34 expression on leukemic blasts was found. Otherwise there was no statistically significant correlation between BCRP gene expression and other clinical nor laboratory data of AML patients. This was in agreement with the results reported in van den Heuvel-Eibrink et al., study who found a significant positive association between CD34 expression and BCRP gene expression. Abbott et al. and Suvannasankha et al. could not find an association between and BCRP gene expression and other clinical nor other laboratory data, even the CD34 and or /CD33 expressing AML sub-population. In Nasilowska-Adamska et al. study the expression of BCRP mRNA in blast cells, which were positive for CD34, was of a marginal significance.

Controversy about the expression of BCRP mRNA in human pluripotent stem cells has been encountered, where Zeng et al. reported that these cells were less resistant to certain cytotoxic chemicals due to BCRP expression. However, with more sensitive techniques Sarkadi et al. suggested that BCRP expression was at a relatively high level in the human undifferentiated stem cells, which highlights its role in protecting this valuable stem cells from the damage caused by toxins, drugs or hypoxia.

The present work did not conceal a significant difference in BCRP mRNA expression as regard the early treatment response nor to the respect of DFS of the patients. Our results were consistent with Abbott et al., and Suvannasankha et al.. Furthermore, Uggl et al. could not find a difference between treatment AML responders and non-responders patients as regards BCRP expression, but in the group of responding patients, those with uppermost BCRP mRNA expression showed significantly shorter overall survival. On the contrary, the study of Nasilowska-Adamska et al. found that, in a univariate analysis, the BCRP expression was associated with higher early relapse rate and significantly influenced the disease free survival in AML. Also van den Heuvel-Eibrink et al. reported that BCRP expression was frequently up regulated in patients with relapsed AML. In addition, high BCRP expression level in AML patients has been associated with a relapsed or refractory state, lower complete response and shorter survival rates. Damiani et al. reported the same finding and stated that there was an up regulation in
BCRP protein at relapsed AML samples when compared to newly diagnosed ones and it can identify AML patients with poor outcome even after stem cell transplantation.

As the biology of BCRP gene expression and its role in AML is much more complicated than in cell line models, our study could not find a clear association between BCRP overexpression in adult AML cases and its possible contribution as a molecular predictor to the response of therapy. This could be attributed to the discordance between BCRP mRNA overexpression and subsequent translation into a functioning trans-membrane protein in a malignant and genetically complex disease such as AML. Furthermore underlying genetic polymorphisms of the BCRP gene, as a part of the genetic heterogeneity in AML, could affect the expression levels with subsequent influence on the disease progression. Together with heterogeneous functional proteins, interactions need to be clarified in AML. In order to better understand the clinical role of BCRP in AML, we recommend the estimation of the BCRP expression in a bigger cohort of AML patients, study genetic polymorphisms that could affect the expression levels, standardize several factors including patient selection, used chemotherapeutic drugs and development of consensus recommendations for BCRP detection. Moreover, longer follow up period will clarify the possible influence of BCRP expression on DFS and overall survival of the disease.
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