Biochemical and endocrine aspects of oxytocin production by the mammalian corpus luteum
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Abstract
A review of the current state of knowledge of oxytocin production by the preovulatory follicle and corpus luteum is presented. Corpora lutea of a number of mammalian species have been found to synthesize oxytocin. However, the synthesis and secretion of this nanopeptide by the corpus luteum of the ruminant has been most extensively studied because of the potential role of this peptide in facilitating luteal regression. While much information exists relative to various biochemical and endocrine factors that impact on oxytocin gene expression, this aspect about luteal synthesis of this peptide hormone remains enigmatic. Prostaglandin F-2α (PGF-2α) has been shown to be a primary endogenous hormone responsible for triggering luteal secretion of oxytocin. Details are provided regarding the PGF-2α-induced intracellular signal transduction pathway that ultimately results in exocytosis of luteal oxytocin. Evidence is also presented for potential autocrine/paracrine actions of oxytocin in regulating progesterone production by luteal and granulosa cells. Concluding remarks highlight aspects about luteal oxytocin production that require further research.

Ovarian Oxytocin
Ott and Scott [1] are believed to be the first investigators to unknowingly demonstrate that the corpus luteum is a rich source of oxytocin. These researchers reported that an aqueous extract of the corpus luteum when injected into a goat, stimulated immediate milk flow.

Forty-three years elapsed before Du Vigneaud et al. [2] reported the amino acid sequence of oxytocin and nearly another 30 years passed before Wathes and Swann [3] demonstrated by radioimmunoassay and chromatography that the ovine and human corpus luteum contained oxytocin. In subsequent years, presence of luteal oxytocin was reported for the cow [4], cynomolgus monkey [5], goat [6], baboon [7] and sow [8]. Although corpora lutea of the sow have been shown to contain oxytocin it is the uterus of this species that produces the majority of oxytocin of reproductive tract origin [9,10]. Similarly, in the rat [11] and apparently the mare [12] the uterus, and not the ovary, is the primary source of oxytocin.

Although oxytocin has been found to be synthesized by the corpus luteum of a number of mammalian species it is the presence of this nanopeptide in the corpora lutea of ruminants that has received considerable study. Focused interest on luteal oxytocin in these animals for the most part reflects research conducted to elucidate its role in processes of luteal regression. Therefore, the remaining aspects of this review on luteal oxytocin will encompass primarily research conducted on the ruminant.
To appreciate the unique facets of luteal oxytocin biosynthesis it is essential to recognize that initial expression of the oxytocin gene begins in the preovulatory follicle. Evidence for the existence of oxytocin in the preovulatory follicles of the cow and ewe was first reported by Wathes et al. [13,14]. Subsequently, Voss and Fortune [15] measured in vitro oxytocin production by granulosa cells isolated from bovine preovulatory follicles during the early, mid- and late follicular phase. Granulosa cells isolated from the late stage preovulatory follicle, approximately 20 h after the onset of estrus, were found to produce maximal quantities of oxytocin as compared to granulosa cells recovered during the early and mid-follicular phase. These authors suggested that exposure of the granulosa cells to the surges of luteinizing hormone (LH) and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) may have either directly or indirectly stimulated synthesis of oxytocin. And indeed, when granulosa cells of preovulatory follicles were exposed to LH or FSH in vitro, a marked increase in oxytocin secretion occurred during the culture period [15,16]. Similarly, incubation of granulosa cells isolated from an early preovulatory follicle with LH for 3 days induced transcription of the gene encoding oxytocin-neurophysin-I [17]. Based upon the results of these studies, one might conclude that cells of the developing corpus luteum would respond to enhanced systemic concentrations of LH with an increase in oxytocin production. However, as described below, this does not occur.

It should be noted that there is an apparent asynchrony that characterizes the relationship between concentrations of oxytocin mRNA and the nanopeptide in luteal cells whereas the accumulation of mRNA and synthesis of oxytocin in granulosa cells is positively correlated. In the bovine and ovine corpus luteum it is the large luteal cells, believed to be derived from granulosa cells [18] that contain the secretory granules of oxytocin [19,20]. In cows and ewes, the luteal concentration of oxytocin-neurophysin-I mRNA increases early after luteinization of granulosa cells to attain maximal levels by approximately day 3 of the estrous cycle, after which concentrations gradually decrease to low levels for the duration of the cycle [21,22]. Presence of an embryo does not appear to alter the steady decline in luteal concentration of oxytocin mRNA that characteristically occurs in the cow during the estrous cycle [23]. Luteal concentrations of oxytocin in cows and ewes are not highly correlated with the oxytocin mRNA, and actually do not reach maximal concentrations until near midcycle [24–26]. Thereafter, luteal oxytocin, like its mRNA, declines to lowest levels during proestrus of the ensuing cycle. The luteal tissue content of oxytocin is reduced markedly by the time of luteolysis [27]. The lag period that characterizes the difference in luteal concentrations of oxytocin mRNA and the peptide during the estrous cycle may be attributed to the slow increase in activity of peptidyl glycine α-amidating mono-oxygenase, the terminal enzyme in the pathway of oxytocin synthesis [28]. The increase in activity of this enzyme coincides with the gradual increase in luteal concentrations of oxytocin in the ewe, becoming maximal on day 8 of the estrous cycle. Ascorbic acid is a requisite cofactor of this enzyme but endogenous levels do not limit the activity of this enzyme. However, Luck and Jungclaus [29] showed that ascorbate could stimulate oxytocin secretion by bovine luteinized granulosa cells in vitro.

### Regulation of Oxytocin Gene Expression

One of the more perplexing aspects about luteal oxytocin pertains to identification of the transcription factors essential for gene expression. As described above, LH stimulates oxytocin gene transcription and mRNA translation in granulosa cells. Although small but not large bovine luteal cells are endowed with LH receptors it was anticipated that enhanced secretion of LH during the formative stages of luteal development might indirectly affect luteal concentrations of oxytocin. However, exposure of the developing bovine corpus luteum to periodic pulses of LH induced by administration of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) from days 4 to 6 of the estrous cycle attenuated luteal concentrations of oxytocin (E.M. Jaeger, unpublished data). Thus, it does not appear that the large cells of the developing corpus luteum are affected by LH in the same manner as the granulosa cell before becoming luteinized. Voss and Fortune [30] reported that progesterone stimulated oxytocin secretion by bovine granulosa cells during the late stages of a 5 day culture. Ovine corpora lutea have been shown to possess nuclear progesterone receptors [31]. Yet, administration of the progesterone antagonist mifepristone (RU 486) to ewes from days 2 through 5 of the cycle failed to affect luteal content of oxytocin [32]. Although it is possible that the dose of RU 486 administered (175 mg/day) was insufficient, these data were interpreted to suggest that endogenous progesterone does not act in an autocrine/paracrine manner to affect oxytocin production by the developing corpus luteum of the ewe.

Multiple factors appear to be required to promote or suppress expression of the oxytocin gene. An imperfect palindromic sequence with similarity to estrogen response elements has been identified in the 5' flanking region of the human and rat oxytocin gene [33,34]. The promoter region of the oxytocin gene in the cow also contains an imperfect response element for estrogen located proximal to the transcription start site [35]. Using heterologous transfection systems the rat and human but not the bovine oxytocin gene can be stimulated by estradiol [33,34]. Thus, response of the oxytocin gene to estrogen appears to be species specific. The promoter region of the human oxytocin gene contains four pentanucleotide
repeats that bind retinoic acid [36]. All four repeats are necessary for full retinoic acid responsiveness. The first two repeats most distal to the start site overlap the estrogen response element. In the absence of the two downstream repeats, binding of the retinoic acid receptor to the two upstream repeats results in a negative transcriptional effect and antagonizes the stimulatory effect of the estrogen receptor, most likely by competing for binding to the same site on the promoter. The promoter region of both the rat and human oxytocin gene contains response elements for thyroid hormone [37]. In the case of the promoter region for the rat oxytocin gene, the two thyroid hormone response elements appear to overlap with the estrogen response element and reside further upstream of the estrogen response element. Based upon the available data, it appears that the upstream nucleotide sequence that encompasses the estrogen response element in the promoter region of the rat and human oxytocin gene may actually constitute a composite hormone response element to which different classes of nuclear hormone receptors bind.

According to Wehrenberg et al. [38] the promoter region of the bovine oxytocin gene has been shown to contain response elements for the two orphan receptors, steroidogenic factor-1 (SF-1) and chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter transcription factor (COUP-TF). Presence of SF-1 correlates well with maximal in vivo expression of the oxytocin gene in the developing bovine corpus luteum, i.e., with the increasing quantity of oxytocin mRNA being present immediately after ovulation. In contrast, the increased presence of COUP-TF in the bovine corpus luteum at midcycle, and thereafter, correlates with the down-regulation of the oxytocin gene. Interaction of SF-1 and (or) COUP-TF with other regulatory proteins, or specific modification of these orphan receptors, is probably needed for the up- and down-regulation that characterizes oxytocin gene expression in the corpus luteum.

In cultures of bovine granulosa cells recovered from preovulatory follicles and luteal cells of the developing corpus luteum the addition of insulin or insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) to the medium stimulated an increase in oxytocin production [39,40]. The stimulatory effects of insulin and IGF-1 on oxytocin production by granulosa and luteal cells most likely reflect their transcriptional effects on expression of the oxytocin gene.

Overall, it is evident that a number of factors are capable of regulating oxytocin gene expression in various species. However, which specific factors interact to promote oxytocin gene expression in the corpus luteum remain elusive.

Induced Secretion of Luteal Oxytocin

Flint and Sheldrick [41] were the first to report that injection of ewes with prostaglandin F$_{2\alpha}$ (PGF$_{2\alpha}$) analogue (cloprostenol) caused an immediate increase in luteal oxytocin secretion. Similarly, injection of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ analogue into cows during the midluteal phase of the estrous cycle caused an immediate increase in luteal oxytocin secretion detectable in systemic blood within 5–15 min post-injection [42]. Almost concurrently, McCracken and Schramm [43] advanced the hypothesis that episodic secretions of luteal oxytocin and uterine PGF$_{2\alpha}$ are interrelated through a double positive feedback loop and thereby together promote regression of the corpus luteum. This proposed functional interrelationship between the ovary and uterus was supported by the observation of Flint and Sheldrick [44] and Walters et al. [45] who showed that onset of luteal regression in the ewe and cow is characterized by intermittent synchronous pulsatile secretion of oxytocin and PGF$_{2\alpha}$. Whether luteal oxytocin actually is necessary for promoting regression of the bovine corpus luteum is now controversial. In both the cow and ewe luteal concentrations of oxytocin at the end of a normal estrous cycle are less than during the midluteal phase of the cycle. Repeated infusions of norepinephrine into the abdominal aorta of heifers on days 15 and 16 of the estrous cycle caused diminished release of oxytocin in response to each succeeding infusion of the catecholamine [46]. The authors estimated that repeated infusions of norepinephrine on days 15 and 16 depleted luteal oxytocin by 74%. Because this depletion of oxytocin had no effect on duration of the estrous cycle the authors concluded that luteal oxytocin had no direct action in luteolysis in cattle. However, it should be noted that administration of 500 µg of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ analogue to heifers, with a corpus luteum presumably depleted of oxytocin, still caused a release of luteal oxytocin that attained a peak concentration of 50 pg/ml in systemic blood plasma. Some more recent conclusions that luteal oxytocin is nonessential in promoting onset of corpus luteum regression in the cow are based on the results of in vivo microdialysis experiments [47,48]. Whether results of this type of invasive experimental approach in assessing luteal function can be considered to actually represent the function of the corpus luteum is questionable. Further, it may be premature to conclude by failure to measure oxytocin in systemic blood or microdialysates that this nanopeptide plays no role in luteal regression in the cow. Negative results obtained by microdialysis experiments may reflect the intraluteal placement of the microdialysis tubing.

Although norepinephrine has been shown to induce the secretion of bovine luteal oxytocin under experimental conditions it is unknown to what extent the endogenous neurotransmitter is involved in regulating the secretion of this nanopeptide. Norepinephrine apparently binds to a
luteal β-adrenergic receptor to provoke release of oxytocin because response to the neurotransmitter is blocked by administration of propranolol but not phentolamine [49]. On the other hand, much is known about the mechanism of action of prostaglandin F$_{2\alpha}$. Receptors for PGF$_{2\alpha}$ are found predominantly on the large luteal cells [50]. Binding of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ to its receptor activates phospholipase C$_\beta$ (PLC) via coupling with a G$_q$ protein [51,52]. Activation of PLC is the initial step of the phosphoinositide cascade that generates the second messengers diacylglycerol (DAG) and Ca$^{2+}$ [53,54]. Both DAG and Ca$^{2+}$ are required for activation of the conventional class of protein kinase C isoforms (α, β1, βII, γ). In contrast, isoforms of the novel class of PKC (δ, ε, η, θ) lack the calcium binding domain found in the cPKCs, however, they are activated by phospholipids and DAG. Both these two classes of PKC isoforms can be activated by phorbol ester. The bovine corpus luteum contains predominantly PKCa and ε although isoforms βI and βII have been reported to be present [52,55]. The increase in intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ that occurs in response to PGF$_{2\alpha}$ is believed to promote translocation of at least some PKC isoforms to the plasma membrane from a cytoplasmic site. Orwig et al. [56] demonstrated that PGF$_{2\alpha}$-induced luteal secretion of oxytocin was correlated with an increase in plasma membrane PKC activity. In order for exocytosis of oxytocin to occur, the vesicle bearing the oxytocin granule must pass through a cytoskeletal cortex consisting of cross-linked monomeric actin filaments that lie in close apposition to the plasma membrane [57]. The actin filaments of the cortex are maintained in a particular geometrical configuration by actin binding proteins. A number of actin binding proteins have been identified but one in particular, a myristoylated alanine-rich C kinase substrate (MARCKS) protein, has been shown to be associated with PGF$_{2\alpha}$-induced secretion of oxytocin in the bovine corpus luteum [58]. As the name implies this protein is phosphorylated by PKC and luteal concentrations of MARCKS mRNA and protein appear to correspond with those of oxytocin throughout the estrous cycle [59]. In its unphosphorylated state, the protein is attached to the plasma membrane via the myristate moiety and its stability is maintained by electrostatic interaction with the membrane due to positively charged Lys/Arg residues found in its phosphorylation site domain [60]. In vivo exposure of the bovine corpus luteum to PGF$_{2\alpha}$ during the midluteal phase of the estrous cycle causes an immediate phosphorylation of MARCKS by activated PKC, with a resultant translocation of the phosphorylated MARCKS to the cytoplasm that is highly correlated with exocytosis of oxytocin [58].

Exposure of the mature corpus luteum to PGF$_{2\alpha}$ has been shown to cause activation of MAPKinase-kinase-kinase (Raf-1) and downstream components of the MAPKinase pathway including the transcription factor C-Jun [61,62]. The extent, if any, to which activation of this pathway is involved in the exocytosis of oxytocin is unknown.

**Autocrine/Paracrine Action of Ovarian Oxytocin**

Chandrasekher and Fortune [63] reported that oxytocin, in a dose-dependent manner, significantly increased progesterone production by cultured granulosa cells of bovine preovulatory follicles. Oxytocin was without effect on granulosa cell progesterone synthesis when cultures contained an oxytocin antagonist. Similarly, addition of graded doses of oxytocin to cultures of theca cells failed to affect steroidogenesis.

Although luteal oxytocin may indirectly promote luteolysis to establish the normal estrous cycle, at least in the ewe, the question remains as to the biological significance of the massive synthesis of the neuropeptide in the developing corpus luteum. Administration of various dosages of oxytocin to ewes for 3 to 4 day intervals throughout the estrous cycle failed to alter the duration of the cycle or affect luteal concentration of progesterone [64,65]. These data might be interpreted to suggest that oxytocin has no direct effect on the corpus luteum. However, research by Tan et al. [66,67] demonstrated that exposure of dispersed bovine and human luteal cells to low concentrations of oxytocin stimulated progesterone synthesis whereas higher concentrations of the nanopeptide were inhibitory. This research could not be confirmed by Richardson and Masson [68] using human luteal cells. On the other hand, using an in vitro microdialysis system Miyamoto and Schams [69] reported that oxytocin stimulated progesterone production by cells of the developing bovine corpus luteum (days 5 to 7 of the cycle) but was less effective on luteal cells collected later in the estrous cycle. Bovine luteal cells collected at various stages of the estrous cycle have been shown to possess oxytocin receptors [70]. Surprisingly, addition of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ but not PGF$_{2\beta}$ or estradiol to cultures of bovine luteal cells has been reported to cause upregulation of oxytocin receptors without changing the binding affinity [71].

In contrast to the stimulatory effect of oxytocin on bovine luteal cells, oxytocin in doses of 4 to 800 mU (1 mU = 2 ng) added to short term cultures of baboon luteal cells recovered during the early luteal phase of the cycle significantly suppressed progesterone production [7]. When added to cultures of baboon luteal cells recovered during the midluteal phase of the cycle, oxytocin had no effect on progesterone production. In vitro progesterone production by cells of the baboon corpus luteum recovered during the late luteal phase of the cycle was suppressed by high doses of oxytocin only. In conclusion it appears that available data on the intraluteal effect of oxytocin on progesterone synthesis are quite equivocal. Further research is
required to resolve the issue of whether or not oxytocin is essential for regulating steroidogenesis by the developing and mature corpus luteum.

**Concluding Remarks**

While much knowledge exists about the biochemical and endocrine factors involved in regulating luteal oxytocin synthesis it is obvious that much has yet to be learned. Of particular interest is elucidation of the key factor(s) that are absent or present to limit transcription of the oxytocin gene during the cycle. Research is also needed to more precisely define the intraovarian or intraluteal action of oxytocin. It is presumed that future research will contribute vital new information about luteal oxytocin synthesis/secretion and action in the various mammalian species.

**References**

1. Ott I and Scott JC: The galactagogue action of the thymus and corpus luteum, Proc Soc Exp Biol 1910, 8:49.
2. Du Vigneaud V, Ressler C, Swan JM, Roberts CW, Katsoyannis PG and Gordon S: The synthesis of an octapeptide amide with the hormonal activity of oxytocin. J Am Chem Soc 1953, 75:4679-4680.
3. Wathes DC and Swann RW: Is oxytocin an ovarian hormone? Nature 1982, 297:225-227.
4. Wathes DC, Swann RW, Birkett SD and Pickering BT: Characterization of oxytocin, vasopressin and neurophysin from the bovine corpus luteum. Endocrinology 1983, 113:693-698.
5. Khan-Dawood FS, Marut EL and Dawood MY: Oxytocin in the corpus luteum of Cynomolgus monkey (Macaca fascicularis). Endocrinology 1984, 115:570-574.
6. Honefeld AM: Evidence for the presence of oxytocin in the corpus luteum of the goat. Br J Pharmacol 1986, 87:673-676.
7. Khan-Dawood FS, Huang J-C and Dawood MY: Baboon corpus luteum oxytocin: an intragondal peptide modulator of luteal function. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1988, 158:882-891.
8. Jarry H, Eisphanier A, Kanngießer L, Dietrich M, Pitzel L, Holtz W and Wutske W: Release and effects of oxytocin on estradiol and progesterone secretion in porcine corpus luteum as measured by in vivo microdialysis system. Endocrinology 1990, 126:2350-2353.
9. Bouloutou ML, McGrath TJ, Goode JA, Broad KD and Gilbert CL: Changes in content of mRNA encoding oxytocin in the pig uterus during the oestrous cycle, pregnancy, at parturition and in lactational anoestrus. J Reprod Fertil 1996, 108:219-227.
10. Hu J, Ludwing TE, Salli U, Stormshak F and Miranda MA: Autocrine/paracrine action of oxytocin in pig endometrium. Biol Reprod 2001, 64:1682-1688.
11. Zingg HH, Rozen F, Chu K, Lareher A, Arslan A, Richard S and Lefebvre D: Oxytocin and oxytocin receptor gene expression in the uterus. Rec Prog Horm Res 1995, 50:235-273.
12. Behrendt-Adan CY, Adams MH, Simpson KS and McDowell KJ: Oxytocin-neurophysin I mRNA abundance in equine uterine endometrium. Dom Anim Endocrinol 1999, 16:183-192.
13. Wathes DC, Swann RW and Pickering BT: Variation in oxytocin, vasopressin and neurophysin concentrations in the bovine ovary during the oestrous cycle and pregnancy. J Reprod Fertil 1984, 71:551-557.
14. Wathes DC, Guldenaar SEF, Swann RW, Webb R, Porter DG and Pickering BT: A combined radioimmunoassay and immunocytochemical study of ovarian oxytocin production during the periovulatory period in the ewe. J Reprod Fertil 1986, 78:167-183.
15. Voss AK and Fortune JE: Oxytocin secretion by bovine granulosa cells: effects of stage of follicular development, gonadotropins, and coculture with theca interna. Endocrinology 1991, 128:1991-1999.
16. Smams D: Luteal peptides and intercellular communication. J Reprod Fertil Suppl 1987, 34:87-99.
17. Voss AK and Fortune JE: Oxytocin/neurophysin-I messenger ribonucleic acid in bovine granulosa cells increases after the luteinizing hormone (LH) surge and is stimulated by LH in vitro. Endocrinology 1992, 131:275-276.
18. Ailla HW and Hensel W: Origin of different cell types in the bovine corpus luteum as characterized by specific monoclonal antibodies. Biol Reprod 1984, 31:1015-1025.
19. Fields MJ and Fields PA: Luteal neurophysin in the nonpregnant cow and ewe: immunocytochemical localization in membrane-bound secretory granules of the large luteal cell. Endocrinology 1986, 118:1723-1725.
20. Theodosis DT, Wooding FBP, Sheldrick EL and Flint APF: Ultrastructural localization of oxytocin and neurophysin in the ovine corpus luteum. Cell Tissue Res 1986, 243:129-145.
21. Iweli R, Brackett K, Fields MJ and Richter D: Ovulation triggers oxytocin gene expression in the bovine ovary. FEBS Lett 1985, 190:263-267.
22. Jones DSC and Flint APF: Concentrations of oxytocin-neurophysin prohormone mRNA in corpora lutea of sheep during the oestrous cycle and in early pregnancy. J Endocrinol 1988, 117:409-414.
23. Nichols NE, Binta HP, Fields PA, Drost M, Campbell-Thompson M, Iweli R, Chang S-M, Johnson E and Fields MJ: Expression and localization of oxytocin and relaxin-like factor during the periimplantation period of bovine pregnancy. Biol Reprod Suppl 2003, 1:335.
24. Sheldrick EL and Flint APF: Luteal concentrations of oxytocin decline during early pregnancy in the ewe. J Reprod Fertil 1983, 68:477-480.
25. Abdelgadir SE, Swanson LV, Oldfield JE and Stormshak F: Prostaglandin F2α-induced release of oxytocin from bovine corpora lutea in vitro. Biol Reprod 1987, 37:530-535.
26. Parkinson TJ, Wathes DC, Jenner JJ and Lamming GE: Plasma and luteal concentrations of oxytocin in cyclic and early pregnant cattle. J Reprod Fertil 1992, 94:161-167.
27. Wathes DC, Swann RW and Pickering BT: Variation in oxytocin, vasopressin and neurophysin concentrations in the bovine ovary during the oestrous cycle and pregnancy. J Reprod Fertil 1984, 71:551-557.
28. Sheldrick EL and Flint APF: Post-translational processing of oxytocin-neurophysin prohormone in the ovine corpus luteum: activity of peptideglycine α-amidating mono-oxygenase and concentrations of its cofactor, ascorbic acid. J Endocrinol 1989, 122:313-322.
29. Luck MR and Jungclas B: Catecholamines and ascorbic acid as stimulators of bovine ovarian oxytocin secretion. J Endocrinol 1987, 114:423-430.
30. Voss AK and Fortune JE: Estradiol-17β has a biphasic effect on oxytocin secretion by bovine granulosa cells. Biol Reprod 1993, 48:1404-1409.
31. Smith GW, Gentry PC, Long DK, Bao B, Roberts RM and Smith MF: Expression of progesterone receptor mRNA within ovine post-surge follicles and corpora lutea. Biol Reprod Suppl 1995, 1:151.
32. Paslay EM, Jaeger JR, Salli U and Stormshak F: Ovarian function in ewes after treatment with milepristone early during the oestrous cycle. Reproduction 2003, 125:205-210.
33. Richard S and Zingg HH: The human oxytocin gene promoter is regulated by estrogens. J Biol Chem 1990, 265:6098-6103.
34. Adan RAH, Walther N, Cox JJ, Iweli R and Burbach JPH: Comparison of the estrogen responsiveness of the rat and bovine oxytocin gene promoters. Biochem Biophys Res Comm 1991, 175:117-122.
35. Walther N, Wehrenberg U, Brackmann B and Iweli R: Mapping of the bovine oxytocin gene control region: identification of binding sites for luteal nuclear proteins in the S′ non-coding region of the gene. J Neurosci 1991, 11:540-549.
36. Richard S and Zingg HH: Identification of a retinoic acid response element in the human oxytocin promoter. J Biol Chem 1991, 266:21428-21433.
37. Adan RAH, Cox JJ, vanKats JP and Burbach JPH: Thyroid hormone regulates the oxytocin gene. J Biol Chem 1992, 267:3771-3777.
38. Wehrenberg U, Iweli R, Janssen M, von Goedecke S and Walther N: Two orphan receptors binding to a common site are involved in the regulation of the oxytocin gene in the bovine ovary. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994, 91:1440-1444.
39. McArdle CA and Holtorf A-P: Oxytocin and progesterone release from bovine corpus luteal cells in culture: effects of insulin-like growth factor-I, insulin, and prostaglandins. Endocrinology 1994, 134:78-83.

40. Holtorf A-P, Furuya K, Irvell R and McArdle CA: Oxytocin production and oxytocin messenger ribonucleic acid levels in bovine granulosa cells are regulated by insulin and insulin-like growth factor-I: dependence on developmental status of the ovarian follicle. Endocrinology 1989, 125:126-1260.

41. Flint APF and Sheldrick EL: Ovarian secretion of oxytocin is stimulated by prostaglandin. Nature 1982, 297:587-588.

42. Schallenberger E, Schams D, Bullermann B and Walters DL: Pulsatile secretion of gonadotrophins, ovarian steroids and ovarian oxytocin during prostaglandin-induced regression of the corpus luteum in the cow. J Reprod Fertil 1984, 71:493-501.

43. McCracken JA and Schramm W: Prostaglandins and corpus luteum regression. In: A Handbook of Prostaglandins and Related Compounds. Edited by: PB Curtis-Prior. Churchill-Livingstone, Edinburgh: 1983:1-104.

44. Flint APF and Sheldrick EL: Evidence for a systemic role for ovarian oxytocin in luteal regression in sheep. J Reprod Fertil 1983, 67:215-222.

45. Walters DL, Schams D and Schallenberger E: Pulsatile secretion of gonadotrophins, ovarian steroids, and ovarian oxytocin during the luteal phase of the oestrous cycle in the cow. J Reprod Fertil 1984, 71:479-491.

46. Kotowicz J and Skarzynski D: Influence of oxytocin removal from the corpus luteum on secretory function and duration of the oestrous cycle in cattle. J Reprod Fertil 1993, 97:411-417.

47. Blair RM, Satsman R, Lion SS, Fortune JE and Hansel W: Roles of leukotrienes in bovine corpus luteum regression: an in vivo microdialysis study. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1997, 216:72-80.

48. Shaw DW and Britt JH: In vivo oxytocin release from microdialyzed bovine corpora lutea during spontaneous and prostaglandin-induced regression. Biol Reprod 2000, 62:726-730.

49. Skarzynski D and Kotowicz J: Mechanism of noradrenaline influence on the secretion of ovarian oxytocin and progesterone in conscious cattle. J Reprod Fertil 1993, 97:419-424.

50. Fitz TA, Mayan MH, Sawyer HR and Niswender GD: Characterization of two steroidogenic cell types in the ovine corpus luteum. Biol Reprod 1982, 27:703-711.

51. Simpson AV, Helegar JR, Brown KO and Stormweiss PC: Regulation of polyphosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C activity by purified Gq. Science 1991, 251:804-807.

52. Davis JS, May JV and Keel BA: Mechanisms of hormone and growth factor action in the bovine corpus luteum. Theriogenology 1996, 45:1351-1380.

53. Leung PC, Minegishi T, Ma F, Zhou R and Ho-Yuen B: Induction of polyphosphoinositide breakdown in rat corpus luteum by prostaglandin E2. Endocrinology 1986, 119:12-18.

54. Davis JS, Aila HW, West LA, Corradino RA and Hansel W: Acute effects of prostaglandin F2 alpha on inositol phospholipid hydrolysis in the large and small cells of the bovine corpus luteum. Mol Cell Endocrinol 1988, 58:43-50.

55. Orwig KE, Bertrand JE, Ou B-R, Forsberg NE and Stormshak F: Immunochemical characterization and cellular distribution of protein kinase C isozymes in the bovine corpus luteum. Comp Biochem Physiol 1994, 108B:53-57.

56. Orwig KE, Bertrand JE, Ou B-R, Forsberg NE and Stormshak F: Involvement of protein kinase-C, calpains, and calpastatin in prostaglandin F2 alpha-induced oxytocin secretion from the bovine corpus luteum. Endocrinology 1994, 134:78-83.

57. Alberts B, Bray D, Lewis J, Raff M, Roberts K and Watson JD: Molecular Biology of the Cell. 3rd edition. Garland Publishing Inc., New York: 1994;793-847.

58. Salli U, Supancic S and Stormshak F: Phosphorylation of myristoylated alanine-rich C kinase substrate (MARCKS) protein is associated with bovine luteal oxytocin exocytosis. Biol Reprod 2000, 63:12-20.

59. Filley S, Supancic S, Salli U, Orwig K and Stormshak F: Myristoylated alanine-rich C kinase substrate protein and mRNA in bovine corpus luteum during the estrous cycle. Endocrine 2000, 12:289-294.

60. McLaughlin S and Aderem A: The myristoylated-electrostatic switch: a modulator of reversible protein-membrane interactions. Trends Biochem Sci 1995, 20:272-276.