Synergistic effect of TEMPO-coated TiO₂ nanorods for PDT applications in MCF-7 cell line model
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A B S T R A C T

This study focuses on the synthesis, characterization, and assessment of the synergistic effect of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-N-oxyl (TEMPO)-coated titanium dioxide nanorods (TiO₂ NRs) for photodynamic therapy (PDT). Firstly, TiO₂ NRs were synthesized by the sol–gel technique. Then, TEMPO was grafted on TiO₂ NRs with the aid of oxoammonium salts. Next, the final product was characterized by applying manifold characterization techniques. X-ray diffraction was used to perform crystallographic analysis; transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to conduct morphological analysis; Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and Raman spectra were recorded to perform molecular fingerprint analysis. Furthermore, experimental and empirical modeling was performed to confirm the suitability of as-prepared samples for PDT applications using (MCF-7 cell line) Human Breast Cancer cell line. Our results revealed that bare TiO₂ NRs did not exhibit a significant response for therapeutic applications compared to TEMPO-conjugated TiO₂ NRs in the dark; however, they exhibited a prominent response for the PDT application under UV-A light. Therefore, it is concluded that TEMPO-coated TiO₂ NRs shows the synergistic response for therapeutic approach under UV-A light irradiation. In addition, TEMPO capped TiO₂ nanorods not only overcome the multidrug resistance (MDR) hindrance but also exhibit excellent response for cancer cell (MCF-7 cells) treatment only under UV light irradiation via PDT. It is expected that the proposed TiO₂ NRs + TEMPO nanocomposite, which is suitable for PDT treatment, may be essential for photodynamic therapy.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Cancer is abnormal proliferation in the cells of the body, which cannot be easily restricted (Mokwena et al., 2018). Cancers primarily originate from DNA variation, which affects the fabrication of normal cells. Photodynamic therapy is a treatment for cancerous cells that uses photosensitizer (PS) drugs activated by laser light. In the past decades, many researchers have tried to treat cancer using PDT (Mokwena et al., 2018; Vrouenraets et al., 2003; Kashtan et al., 1991). PDT is a less invasive method, which utilizes a very short minimum time span; PDT shows good results (Kwitkowska et al., 2018).

Owing to the progress of nanotechnology, there is a need to explore new possible interactions between nanotechnology tools and biological tissues or cells for curing and early detection of various human diseases. Numerous attempts have been made to study the role of different TiO₂ nanostructures as anti-cancer and image contrast agents (Yuan and Song, 2018; Mendez and Daniele, 2011). However, there is still a gap in improving their properties for PDT application (Zeng et al., 2013). Furthermore, in recent years, metal-free organic nitroxide radicals-modified nanomaterials (Au, Fe₂O₃) have been successfully applied as anti-cancer agents for PDT and as
T1 contrast agents to study the redox condition in cancerous tumors (Xia et al., 2018; Shen et al., 2019). TEMPO is a metal-free organic compound, which has promising applications in medicine (Isogai et al., 2011). Similarly, hybrid nanocomposites of TiO₂ nanostructures and polymers can be utilized in biomedical fields (especially in PDT) owing to their unique physical and chemical properties.

In this study, we explored a water-soluble hybrid TEMPO-grafted TiO₂ NR nanocomposite for application in PDT. The main purpose of this study was the successful synthesis of TEMPO-labelled TiO₂ NRs to improve the efficacy of diagnosis and treatment of cancer cells using PDT. Firstly, the successful growth of TiO₂ NRs and TEMPO-coated TiO₂ NRs was achieved (see Fig. 1). Secondly, the grown product was confirmed and their toxicity and phototoxic compatibility of the proposed nanocomposite was investigated in an MCF-7 cell line for PDT towards its capability in a human breast cancer cells. Our results showed that TEMPO-capped TiO₂ had higher efficacy towards cancer cells. In this article the author experimental strategy was how TEMPO coated TiO₂ nanorods are favorable for PDT treatment after overcoming multidrug resistance hinderance towards breast cancer model. In addition, how PDT results were obtained in Tempo coated TiO₂ nanordos localized into MCF-7 cells in the dark and under irradiation of UV-A light.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Synthesis of titanium dioxide nanorods (TiO₂ NRs)

Initially, a solution containing 15 mL of ethanol and 5 mL of distilled water was prepared; then, 3 mL of titanium isopropoxide [Ti(OC₂H₅)₄] was added drop wise. The Ti(OC₂H₅)₄:C₂H₅OH:H₂O molar ratio was set at 1:1. Another solution, containing 1.7 g of oxalic acid in distilled water, was separately prepared (Nian and Teng, 2006). Then, both solutions were mixed under continuous stirring by keeping the pH of the solution at approximately 6. Next, the solution was slowly heated to 75°C until gel was formed. Then, gel was dehydrated at 125°C for 2.5 h. To obtain desired TiO₂ NRs, the end product was sintered at 450°C for 4 h (Koo et al., 2006).

2.2. Preparation of TEMPO-coated TiO₂ nanorods

TEMPO-functionalized TiO₂ NRs were synthesized during oxaammonium salts according to previously reported literature (Nieto-López et al., 2013). Herein, to functionalize TiO₂ NRs using oxaammonium salts, first, a 100-mL solution of CH₃Cl₂ with 4.25 g of triethylamine (Et₃N) was added into a glass reactor equipped with a condenser, magnetic stirrer, and N₂ gas supply. Then, 2 g of TiO₂ NRs was added and vigorously stirred for 15 min. Second, a solution of 10 g of Br-TEMPO in 80 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added drop wise. The reaction was completed in few minutes. However, to confirm successful functionalization, the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h under nitrogen environment, Finally, TEMPO-functionalized TiO₂ NRs were vacuum-filtered and dried in a vacuum oven overnight at room temperature.

2.3. Cell culturing

Breast Cancer Cells (MCF-7 Cells) were cultured and maintained at 37°C in minimum essential media (10) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mL of glutamine, nonessential amino acids, and Hank’s salt. In addition, the MCF-7 cells were sub-cultured two or three times per week. Then, the cells were harvested by 0.25% trypsin once they reached the confluence of 75–85% (Iqbal et al., 2019a, 2019b).

2.4. Cell labeling and PDT treatment

Breast Cancer Cells (MCF-7 Cells) line was sub-cultured in a 96-well plate and was labelled with various concentrations of TiO₂ NRs and Tempo coated TiO₂ nanorods (i.e., 0–80 μg/mL) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h with 10% FBS and 5% CO₂, as described (Fakhar-e-Alam et al., 2011). The 96-well plates were arranged in 10 columns; each column consisted of 8 wells, 4 wells in each column were labelled with TiO₂ nanorods and remaining 4 wells of column were exposed with TEMPO coated with TiO₂ nanorods. Absorbance of both samples (TiO₂ nanorods, TEMPO Capped with TiO₂ nanorods) were tested via microplate reader (Fakhar-e-Alam et al., 2011). First 10 columns were labeled with varying concentrations of a TiO₂ nanorod dispersion solution ranging from 0 μg/mL to 80 μg/ml pure and coated with TEMPO, and the last two columns were kept as the standard. The abovementioned cell line was treated with TiO₂ NRs with and without the presence of laser light (=UV-A light), UV lamp having option of UV-A, UV-B and UV-C light wavelength were applied for PDT results and their cellular viability was determined after 24 h of incubation (Fakhar-e-Alam et al., 2014). Author selected the UV-A light having low dose of 20 J/cm² due to match able absorbance spectrum of TiO₂.

**Experimental Model:**

![Experimental Model](image-url)

**Fig. 1.** Schematic diagram of the experimental model of TEMPO capping of TiO₂ NRs.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization

After the successful synthesis of TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs, their crystal structure was confirmed by X-ray diffraction (XRD). The XRD instrument (Panalytical X’ Pert-Pro) was operated at 30-mA current and 40-kV voltage. The scanning range was adjusted from 0\textdegree{} to 90\textdegree{} (2\theta) with the step size of 0.025\textdegree{}. Structural analysis was performed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (Spectrum 2, Perkin Elmer) was used to analyze the chemical composition and bonding of synthesized powder. Raman spectroscopy was used to identify vibrational modes of molecules; the frequency range was 3600–100 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, and the resolution was 0.3 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. Moreover, absorption spectra of the samples were recorded by a UV–vis spectrophotometer (Model NO. AE-S70-2U, Single beam spectrophotometer with highly stable Optics 4 cell holder, 190–1100 nm, bandwidth 2 nm which is our region of interest) in the wavelength range of 200–700 nm but our region of interest 200–350 nm.

3.1.1. XRD analysis and UV–Visible spectroscopy

The XRD pattern of TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs demonstrated the diffraction peaks of anatase and rutile phases at 27.5, 54, 36, and 42\textdegree{}, as shown in Fig. 2. It is observed that after the growth of TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs, the anatase phase peak intensities were higher than those of the rutile phase, which indicated that during growth, anatase phase was dominant rather than rutile phase. All peaks were in good agreement with the standard spectrum (JCPDS no.: 88-1175 and 84-1286) and previous published data (Nian and Teng, 2006). The average crystallite size of nanoparticles was estimated by Scherer’s formula:

\[
D_c = \frac{0.89\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}
\]

The crystallite size of synthesized rods was 36.13 \pm 16.06 for plane (101) and 55.12 \pm 25.30 for plane (211). It was observed that the crystallite size increased when the diffraction peak intensities decreased.

The UV measurements of synthesized samples, shown in Fig. 2 (b), were studied to inspect the absorption behavior. It is observed that the spectrum of TiO\textsubscript{2} has a very sharp edge from 300 nm to 400 nm, which indicates that TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs absorb light primarily in the ultraviolet region (Rao et al., 2014). The spectrum of TEMPO has an edge between 250 nm and 300 nm. Furthermore, light absorption decreases for TEMPO-capped TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs (TiO\textsubscript{2} + TEMPO).

3.1.2. TEM measurements

The morphological and structural analysis of bare TiO\textsubscript{2} nanorods and TEMPO-coated TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs was performed using TEM. The samples were prepared by making a uniform dispersion of TEMPO-coated TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs in isopropyl alcohol and putting it onto a TEM grid coated with a thin film of amorphous carbon (Miao et al., 2004). Fig. 3 (a) shows the TEM image of bare TiO\textsubscript{2} nanorods, which reveals that nanorods have an average length of approximately 350 nm and a diameter of approximately 20 nm. Fig. 3 (b)–(d) showed the images of TEMPO-coated TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs at different magnifications. It is observed that after TEMPO capping, agglomeration occurs between nanorods. This may be due to the organic nature of TEMPO. Fig. 3(d) clearly shows that during the growth of nanorods, the shape of nanorods at the end is nearly round.

3.1.3. FTIR analysis

The bonding nature of individual TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs, TEMPO, and TEMPO-capped TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs was confirmed by FTIR spectra, as shown in Fig. 4 (a)–(c). Fig. 4(a) shows that a strong absorption peak of the stretching mode of TiO\textsubscript{2} is at approximately 1162 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, while the peak shifts to 1639 cm\textsuperscript{-1} in the presence of other functional group, H—O—H, owing to physically absorbed moisture on the sample. Moreover, the presence of the O—H stretching mode is also observed at 3400 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. Fig. 4(b) shows the spectra of individual TEMPO. The two shoulder peaks at 973 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 1467 cm\textsuperscript{-1} are due to the presence of C—N bonds in TEMPO. However, the peaks attributed to the presence of CH\textsubscript{3} absorption shifted from 2929 cm\textsuperscript{-1} to 2931 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. Fig. 4(c) shows TEMPO-capped TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs and all major stretching peaks related to TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs and TEMPO, are described in the abovementioned discussion of individual sam-

Fig. 2. (a) XRD pattern of TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs and (b) UV–vis spectra of TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs, TEMPO, and TEMPO + TiO\textsubscript{2} NRs.
Consequently, FTIR spectra confirms the results in previous reports on TEMPO-capped TiO$_2$ NRs (Nieto-López et al., 2013).

3.1.4. Raman measurements

Using Raman spectroscopy, we can identify vibration modes of molecular bonds in synthesized samples. This technique provides accurate measurement of the percentage of exposed facets from the perspective of molecular bonding with fewer measurement errors. Therefore, Raman spectroscopy allows us to quantitatively measure the percentage of facets in TiO$_2$, TEMPO, and TEMPO-coated TiO$_2$, as shown in Fig. 5. Fig. 5(a) shows that the major phase of TiO$_2$ NRs is anatase, which is consistent with the XRD data. Fig. 5(b) shows the different stretching vibration modes of molecular bonds such as C–C, C–H, and alkyl chain in pristine TEMPO. Fig. 5(c) shows the combined spectra of TEMPO and TiO$_2$ NRs with stronger bands of TiO$_2$ and weaker shoulders at approximately 1439 cm$^{-1}$ and 2916 cm$^{-1}$ (attributed to TEMPO) (Zhang et al., 2012).

3.1.5. MTT Assay/Analysis:

The cytotoxic effects of TEMPO, TiO$_2$ NRs, and TEMPO with TiO$_2$ NRs were investigated in MCF-7 (breast cancer) cells. Fig. 6(a) and (b) clearly show that the mentioned form of working solution does not have considerable toxicity difference in the abovementioned breast cancer cell model when they are exposed to TEMPO, TiO$_2$ NRs, and TEMPO-coated TiO$_2$ NRs. Loss in MCF-7 cell viability (but not significant) was recorded after the exposure of MCF-7 cells to 0–500 μg/mL of TEMPO, TiO$_2$ NRs, and TEMPO-labeled TiO$_2$ NRs. Only 20% loss in MCF-7 cells was observed when the chosen working solution dose of TEMPO-coated TiO$_2$ NRs was used. The same experiment was conducted to evaluate the anticancer activity of nanoparticles towards Hela and MCF-7 cell models (Fakhar-e-Alam et al., 2012b; ul Rehman et al., 2017; ul Rehman et al., 2020). In addition, the empirical modeling results match the experimentally recorded results, which is in good agreement with previously published data.

Fig. 6(b) shows the mathematical model, which uses two exponential functions. The values of respective unknown variables are extracted using the least squares error method. The results are shown in Table 1.

\[ M_i = A_i e^{a_i t} + B_i e^{b_i t} \]  

where \( i = 1, 2, 3; M_i \) represents the model; \( A_i, a_i, B_i, \) and \( b_i \) are unknown constants to be evaluated using the least squares error method.

3.1.6. PDT treatment of MCF-7 cells

Fig. 7(a) and (b) show three sample of TiO$_2$ + TEMPO at three different exposures of light. The first sample was evaluated in the dark; the analysis showed that cell viability was not affected; thus, in the dark, cell lining was not ruptured. The second sample was exposed to UV light; then, the viability of cell was decreased but not considerably. The last sample was exposed to PDT for 10 min and showed the maximum damage of cancer cell lining. This means that PDT absorption considerably affected cell lining. These results closely supports the previous published results (Bavanilatha et al., 2019). Similar data were also obtained for in vitro and in vivo studies (Fakhar-e-Alam et al., 2012a). Specifically, significant loss in cell viability has been recorded and reported. Fig. 7(c) and (d) show the results for four samples of

![Fig. 3. TEM images of bare TiO$_2$ NRs and TEMPO-capped TiO$_2$ NRs at different magnifications.](image-url)
TiO$_2$ + TEMPO-treated cells that were treated for different periods of time and exposed to UV light. The first sample contained cells that were treated in the dark; there was either no effect or low effect on cancer lining. The second sample contained cells that were exposed to UV light for 5 min; for this sample, cell viability decreased but the effect was not significant. For the third sample, exposure time was increased to 10 min, and the effect increased as in the fourth sample. These results showed that with an increase in the UV exposure time, the cell viability decreased. The results of this research are in good agreement with the previous published data (Lu et al., 2015). Toxicity occurs because there is an excess of low density lipoprotein (LPL) receptors in liver cancer tissue, which attracts more antioxidant agents occupied by TEMPO-treated TiO$_2$ NRs. This interaction produces many chemical reactions, which lead to the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and loss of mitochondrial membrane and initiates the carcinogenic killing mechanism. However, in the absence of suitable light, there is less damage owing to the presence of normal quantity of low density lipoprotein (LDL), high density lipoprotein (HDL), and very high density lipoprotein (VHDL) receptors.

Moreover, it was determined that cell viability loss for MCF-7 cells was approximately 80% when using an effective UV-A light dose of 10 J/cm$^2$; the calculated loss for the dark portion of TEMPO with TiO$_2$ NRs was only approximately 20%. This result implies that TEMPO with TiO$_2$ under UV-A illumination is useful for breast cancer treatment applications which is in good agreement with previously published data (Rehana et al., 2017).

Similarly, the data analysis shown in Fig. 7(b) and (d) was performed in the same way using Eq. (1) with different coefficients. It is important to note that the modeled curve matched well the presented experimental data.

3.1.7. Comparison graph

Fig. 8(a) and (b) shows six 96-well plates containing MCF-7 cells. The cells were cultured and assigned to five groups with different chemical ligands/concentration to control MCF-7: TEMPO toxicity assessment towards MCF-7 cells, TiO$_2$ NRs toxicity assessment towards MCF-7 cells, TEMPO with TiO$_2$ toxicity assessment towards MCF-7 cells, only UV light toxicity assessment towards MCF-7 cells, and PDT treatment (labeling of TEMPO + TiO$_2$ with MCF-7 cells under suitable UV light exposure) of MCF-7 cells. The most significant results for breast cancer treatment analysis in terms of PDT treatment were recorded (approximately 80% cell viability loss for PDT treatment of MCF-7 cells). The results were tested three times for every group in the experimental arrangement. Fig. 8(a) and (b) shows surface fitting for the data. The following polynomial is selected as candidate function to model the data.

$$\% \text{Cell viability} = p_00 + p_{10}x + p_{01}y + p_{20}x^2 + p_{11}xy + p_{02}y^2 + p_{30}x^3 + p_{21}xy^2 + p_{12}yx^2 + p_{03}y^3$$

Percent cell viability is a 3D function that depends on $x$ (i.e., different models) and $y$ (i.e., different concentration levels). The vertical axis in the graph shows % cell viability. The unknown
coefficients are extracted through the surface fitting technique and are listed in Table 2. It is noted that the control model has less variation in % cell viability with an increase in concentration (mg/mL). TEMPO has a slightly higher variation in % cell viability with an increase in concentration (µg/mL) compared to the control model. TiO2 NRs has a slightly higher variation in % cell viability with an increase in concentration (µg/mL).
increase in concentration (µg/mL) compared to TEMPO. Similarly, TEMPO + TiO$_2$ NRs shows higher variation. Finally, PDT shows the highest variation in % cell viability with an increase in concentration (µg/mL) compared to any other model.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we successfully synthesized a TEMPO-coated TiO$_2$ nanorods, which may be used as an anticancer agent for PDT treatment. By manifold characterization techniques, it was confirmed that TEMPO coated TiO$_2$ nanorods were successfully grown and their morphology, crystallography and molecular fingerprint were confirmed by applying TEM, XRD and FTIR respectively. The PDT results for the proposed TiO$_2$ NRs + TEMPO nanocomposite showed an approximately 80% and 20% cell viability loss for MCF-7 cells under UV-A (340 nm of light wavelength) with a light dose of 20 J/cm$^2$ and in the dark, respectively. Consequently, it is anticipated that the TEMPO-coated TiO$_2$ NRs synthesis approach under illumination of suitable dose of UV-A light is suitable for the clinical trials for the simultaneous PDT-based cancer cell treatment with high accuracy and sensitivity. It is concluded that TEMPO capped TiO$_2$ nanorods under exposure of UV-A light towards breast cancer model indicate the synergist response of photodynamic effect, which is quite satisfactory for demonstrating the comprehensive treatment plan for breast cancer patients.
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