Evaluation of Prescription Pattern for Internal Medicines Outpatients using World Health Organization Indicators
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Abstract

Rational use of drugs plays an important role in efficacy and safety. World Health Organization (WHO) developed a set of indicators to assess medicines prescribing pattern. The aim of this study was to evaluate the rational use of drugs among internal medicines outpatients at a hospital in Papua, Indonesia, using WHO prescribing indicators. This study was a retrospective observational study conducted during January-March 2014. The data were obtained from patients medical prescriptions. From each prescriptions, data regarding the the total number of drugs, generic, antibiotics, parenteral, and essential drugs were extracted. A total of 2025 medical prescriptions were included in this study. We found out that the average number of drugs per prescription was 2.3. Majority of the prescribed drugs were generic (84.14%). The use of antibiotics, parenteral drugs, and essential drugs were 33.43%, 3.40%, and 60.13%, respectively. Polypharmacy was relatively low among the subjects. The medicines prescribing patterns among internal medicines outpatients were in accordance with WHO recommendation.
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Introduction

World Health Organization (WHO) defines rational drug utilization as the use of right medicine in an adequate dose for an adequate duration, with appropriate information and follow-up treatment, and at an affordable cost. Rational use of drug plays an important role in efficacy and safety of the drugs.¹ Irrational use of drugs includes over or under prescribing, polypharmacy, no indicated drug prescription, and inappropriate use of antibiotics. Inappropriate prescribing of antibiotics could lead to antibiotic resistance, prolonged the duration of the treatment, and increased cost. The prescription of generic and essential drugs is important to ensure that the medicine is accessible for the population.²³ This study was aimed to investigate prescribing pattern for general internal medicines outpatient in Indonesia. WHO prescribing indicators were chosen as the
assessment tools because it is cross-culturally valid. Its evaluation includes the assessment of total number of drugs, generic drugs, antibiotics, parenteral drugs, and essential drugs.\textsuperscript{4,5}

**Methods**

This study was a retrospective observational study conducted during January-March 2014 at internal medicines unit in a hospital in Papua, Indonesia. The data were obtained from outpatients medical prescriptions.

From each prescriptions, data regarding the total number of drugs, generic drugs, antibiotics, parenteral drugs, and essential drugs were extracted. Informed consent was not needed since retrospective study could not change the outcome of the therapy. The percentage of generic, antibiotics, parenteral, and essential drugs were calculated by comparing the number of these drugs to the total number of drugs.\textsuperscript{6}

**Results and Discussion**

The total number of prescriptions during study period were 2025 prescriptions with 4731 drugs. The average number of drug per prescription was 2.3. Majority (84.14\%) of the drugs in the prescriptions were generic. The use of antibiotics and essential drugs were 33.43\% and 60.13\%, respectively. Only 3.4\% drugs were parenteral.

The number of drugs are related with polypharmacy. Among study population, the prevalence of polypharmacy is relatively low. Polypharmacy is the use of ≥ 5 medications per prescription, which often includes unnecessary drugs. It can increase the risk of drugs interaction, low adherence to medication, and adverse drug reactions.\textsuperscript{7,8}

Indonesian regulation obligates the prescription of generic drugs to ensure accessibility of medication to all population. In this study, the majority of prescribed drugs were generic drugs. This finding was comparable with previous study showing the prescription of of generic drugs in the range of 14.58-100\%.\textsuperscript{9}

Antibiotics are used for the treatment of bacterial infections. Irrational use of antibiotics could lead to antibiotic resistance, ineffective therapy, and increased expenditure on healthcare. A study conducted in Turkey showed that the prescription of antibiotics in this region was in the range of 29.7-33.8\%.\textsuperscript{10,11} The most frequently used antibiotics in this study were cefixime, cephadroxil, and cotrimoxazole. The most common infections were upper respiratory track infection (URTI) and urinary tract infection (UTI).

| Table 1. Drug utilization data |
|-------------------------------|
| **Month** | **Number of Prescriptions** | **Drugs/Prescription** | **Generic** | **Antibiotic** | **Parenteral** | **Essential** |
| January | 637 | 1589 | 1374 | 226 | 35 | 951 |
| February | 747 | 1583 | 1323 | 260 | 24 | 968 |
| March | 641 | 1559 | 1284 | 191 | 10 | 926 |
| Total | 2025 | 4731 | 3981 | 677 | 69 | 2845 |
| Mean | - | 2.33 | - | - | - | - |
| Percentage | - | - | 84.14\% | 33.43\% | 3.40\% | 60.13\% |
Only 3.40% of drugs were parenteral. The reconstitution of the intravenous preparation should be performed in appropriate method to prevent contamination and ensure the right dose. Essential drugs are the list of drugs that satisfy the priority health care needs of the population and should be available in sufficient amount and affordable price at most health care facilities. The essential medicines list enables health authorities to optimize pharmaceutical resources.\textsuperscript{12,13}

**Conclusion**

The medicines prescribing patterns among internal medicines outpatients were in accordance with WHO recommendation.
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**Table 2. Antibiotic use**

| Antibiotic             | Total use | Percentage | Diagnosis               |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|
| Cefixime               | 327       | 49.92      | URTI, UTI               |
| Cephadroxil            | 175       | 26.71      | URTI                    |
| Cotrimoxazole          | 34        | 5.19       | Gastrointestinal Infection (GI) |
| Amoxicillin            | 27        | 4.12       | URTI                    |
| Ciprofloxacin          | 15        | 2.29       | Appendicitis, UTI       |
| Rifampicin             | 12        | 1.83       | Tuberculosis (TB)       |
| Isoniazid              | 12        | 1.83       | TB                      |
| Ceftriaxone            | 11        | 1.67       | URTI                    |
| Cefotaxime             | 9         | 1.37       | GI                      |
| Ethambutol             | 7         | 1.06       | TB                      |
| Pyrazinamide           | 7         | 1.06       | TB                      |
| Gentamicin             | 5         | 0.76       | Abscess                 |
| Doxycycline            | 4         | 0.61       | Malaria                 |
| Azithromicin           | 3         | 0.45       | Stomatitis              |
| Metronidazole          | 3         | 0.45       | Colitis                 |
| Erythromicine          | 2         | 0.30       | Pharyngitis             |
| Levofoxoxine           | 1         | 0.15       | Lymphadenitis           |
| Amoxicillin-clavulanat | 1         | 0.15       | Lymphadenitis           |
| **Total**              | **655**   | **100**    |                         |

**Conflict of Interest**

None declared.

**References**

1. Karimi A, Haerizadeh M, Soleymani F, Haerizadeh M, Taheri F. Evaluation of medicine prescription pattern using World Health Organization prescribing indicators in Iran: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of Research Pharmacy Practice*. 2014;3(2):39–45.

2. Kim HA, Shin JY, Kim MH, Park BJ. Prevalence and predictor of polypharmacy among Korean elderly. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(6):1-7.

3. Rambadhe S, Chakarborty A, Shrivastava A. A survey on polypharmacy and use of inappropriate medications. *Toxicology International*. 2012;19(1):68-73.

4. Ofori-Asenso RA. Closer look at the World Health Organization’s prescribing indicators. *Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapy*. 2016;7(1):51–54.
Ofori-Asenso R, Brhlikova P, Pollock AM. Prescribing indicators at primary health care centers within the WHO African region. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16:724

Maher RL, Hanlon JT, Hajjar ER. Clinical consequences of polypharmacy in elderly. *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety*. 2014;13(1):1-11.

Golchin N, Frank SH, Vince A, Isham L, Meropol SB. Polypharmacy in the elderly. *Journal of Research Pharmacy Practice*. 2015;4(2):85–88.

Morandi A, Vasilevskis E, Pandharipande PP, et al. Inappropriate medication prescriptions in elderly adults surviving an intensive care unit hospitalization. *Journal of American Geriatric Society*. 2013;61:1128–34.

Handayani RS, Supardi S, Raharni, Susyanti AL. The availability of generic and essential drugs in 10 cities in Indonesia. Report of National Health Research, Ministry of Health. 2009.

Mollahaliloglu S, Alkan A, Donertas B, Ozgulcu S, Akici A. Assessment of antibiotic prescribing at different hospitals and primary health care facilities. *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*. 2012;21(3):281-291.

Lee CR, Cho IH, Jeong BC, Lee SH. Strategies to minimize antibiotic resistance. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2013;10:4274-4305.

Bansal D, Purohit VK. Accessibility and use of essential medicines in health care: current progress and challenges in India. *Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapy*. 2013;4:13–18.

Kotwani A. Where are we now: assessing the price, availability and affordability of essential medicines in Delhi as India plans free medicine for all. *BMC Health Service Research*. 2013;13:285.