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New record of *Centronycteris centralis* Thomas, 1912 (Chiroptera: Emballonuridae) from the Chocó Biogeographic Region, with an updated distribution map
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ABSTRACT
Emballonurid bats of the genus *Centronycteris* are rare and poorly represented in scientific collections and in the literature. We report the second record of Thomas’s Shaggy bat *Centronycteris centralis* Thomas, 1912 for the Choco biogeographic region of Colombia and first one for the department of Chocó. This new record fills a large distributional gap of this species in western Colombia and backs the importance of the newly created AICOM Pacurita Chocó-Central where this specimen was collected.

INTRODUCTION
Bats of the genus *Centronycteris* Gray, 1838 are among the rarest Neotropical Emballonuridae (Simmons & Handley 1998) and can be distinguished from other emballonurids by their long and soft dorsal pelage which is raw umber to tawny; the venter is paler; uropatagium and fur between the eyes are reddish; wing sacs are absent; plagiopatagium is attached to the metatarsals near the base of the toes. Craniodentally it can also be distinguished from other members of the family: skull presents a flat dorsal profile; postorbital processes are relatively short; sagittal crest is well-developed; basisphenoid pits are large and subdivided by a median septum; and first upper premolars are tricuspidate (Hood & Gardner 2008). Two species are currently recognized in the genus, *C. centralis* Thomas, 1912 is known to occur from southeastern Mexico (Isthmus of Tehuantepec) southeastward through Panama into South America where it occurs in western Colombia, Ecuador, and eastern Peru; whereas, *C. maximiliani* (Fischer 1829) is known to occur in northeastern Peru, southwestern Colombia, southern Venezuela, the Guianas, northern and eastern Brazil (Simmons & Handley 1998, Hood & Gardner 2008). Although the distribution ranges of both species combined encompass a wide distribution area, they are rare and known from only a few specimens in natural history collections (Arita 1993, Simmons & Handley 1998, Hood & Gardner 2008). Before the review of the genus by Simmons & Handley (1998), *C. centralis* was regarded as a subspecies of *C. maximiliani*, a decision proposed by Sanborn (1936, 1937). However, Simmons & Handley (1998) more recently found several characteristics in the skull and dentition that supported the recognition of both *centralis* and *maximiliani* as valid species.

Morphologically, *Centronycteris centralis* can be distinguished from *C. maximiliani* by the combination of the following characteristics: rostrum lacking a dorsolateral swelling at the base of the postorbital process (dorsolateral swelling present in *maximiliani*); nasals not constricted anteriorly, extending beyond the anterior borders of orbit (nasals strongly constricted anteriorly between medially expanded maxillae and terminate at level of anterior borders of orbits in *maximiliani*); basiphenoid pits not divided into anterior and posterior sections and not protruding into mesopterygoid fossa (basiphenoid pits weakly divided into anterior and posterior sections with anterior section extending forward between pterygoid processes in *maximiliani*); postero-lateral margins of palate with an indentation extending anterior to posteromedial border of palate (posterolateral margins of palate smoothly curved in *maximiliani*); mandible gracile, depth of ramus at second premolar/m1 juncture approximately equal to two-thirds of the height of the second lower premolar (mandible...
robust, depth of ramus at second premolar/m\(^1\) junction approximately equal to height of the second lower premolar in \textit{maximiliani}; gap present between m\(^1\) and anterior border of ascending ramus of coronoid process, ascending ramus rises at steep angle from body of mandible (gap absent, ascending ramus of coronoid process rises in gentle curve from immediately behind m\(^3\) in \textit{maximiliani}); and maximum crown length of the first upper premolar greater than one-fourth that of the second upper premolar (first upper premolar small, maximum crown length less than one-fourth that the second upper premolar in \textit{maximiliani}) (Simmons & Handley 1998, Hood & Gardner 2008).

In Colombia, \textit{Centronycteris centralis} is known from several localities, but only one in the Pacific region (Valle del Cauca - Río Anchicayá); (Simmons & Handley 1998, Castaño & Corrales 2007, Rodríguez-Posada 2016). Herein we report the second locality of \textit{Centronycteris centralis} for the Pacific region of Colombia and the first for the department of Chocó, while providing an updated distribution map for the species.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The samplings were performed at the Municipality of Quibdo, Department of Chocó (Colombia) during the project “Diversity of insectivorous bats in the rainforest of Chocó Biogeographic”. We used mist-nets of 6 and 12 meters at different forest heights with a total sampling effort of 160,928 hour.meter.net. The habitat at the site of capture is predominately a primary tropical rainforest without anthropic intervention, characterized by the following species: \textit{Brosimum utile}, \textit{Calophyllum auratum}, \textit{Cecropia insignis}, \textit{Cecropia virgusa}, \textit{Chrysochlamys floribunda}, \textit{Chrysochlamys dependens}, \textit{Couma macracarpa}, \textit{Eschweilera sclerophylla}, \textit{Oenocarpus bataua}, \textit{Pentaclethra macroloba}, \textit{Phragmotheca siderosa}, \textit{Protium operculatum}, \textit{Pterocarpus officinalis}, \textit{Vochysia ferruginea}, and several species of \textit{Inga}, \textit{Jacaranda}, \textit{Pseudolmedia}, and \textit{Vismia} (Quinto-Mosquera et al. 2016). Representatives of each captured bat species were sacrificed following the guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research (Sikes & the Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists 2016). We identified the specimens with the help of the following literature: Simmons & Handley (1998), Hood & Gardner (2008) and Reid (2009).

**RESULTS**

During the entire duration of the project, 41 insectivorous bats were collected. Amongst them, an adult male specimen of \textit{Centronycteris centralis} was collected on May 12, 2010 one meter from the ground in one of our sampling sites named Pacurita (5\(^\circ\)40'04"N, 76\(^\circ\)34'12"W; 113 m). On the night of the capture the temperature was 26.6\(^\circ\)C and the relative humidity 99%. The specimen was prepared as a study skin accompanied with a clean skull and was deposited in the Colección Mastozoológica del Chocó, Universidad Tecnológica del Chocó (CMCH) under catalogue number CMCH 987 (Fig. 1). Other bat species collected at the same locality were: \textit{Saccopteryx bilineata} (Temminck, 1838); \textit{Artibeus lituratus} (Olfers, 1818); \textit{Artibeus

![Fig. 1 - Dorsal view of the skull (A), left lateral view of the mandible (B), and dorsal view of the study skin of Centronycteris centralis (CMCH 987; adult male). Scale bar = 5 mm.](image)

![Fig. 2 - Geographic distribution of Centronycteris centralis showing all known collecting localities. The type locality is represented by a star and the new record from the Chocó by a triangle. Names and geographic coordinates of numbered localities are provided in the Supplementary Material.](image)
Table 1 - External and craniodental measurements of *Centronycteris centralis*. *Measurements from Simmons & Handley (1998). Measurements from Simmons & Handley (1998), Hice & Solari (2002), Woodman (2003), Castaño & Corrales (2007) and Rodríguez-Posada (2016).*

| Measurement                        | Males* | CMCH987♂  | Females* |
|------------------------------------|--------|------------|----------|
| Weight (g)                         | 4.0–5.0| 4.5        | 5.0–7.0  |
| Tail length (mm)                   | 60.0–78.0| 73.0 | 66.0–93.0|
| Hindfoot length (mm)               | 7.0–8.5| 5.8        | 7.0–9.0  |
| Ear length (mm)                    | 15.0–18.0| 13.2 | 11.0–21.0|
| Forearm length (mm)                | 42.0–49.0| 41.4 | 42.9–51.0|
| Basisphenoid pit length (mm)       | 1.8–2.0| 2.0        | 1.8–2.2  |
| Interorbital length (mm)           | 2.9–3.4| 3.7        | 2.9–3.5  |
| Zygomatic breadth (mm)             | 6.3–6.8| 5.8        | 6.0–7.1  |
| Mastoid breadth (mm)               | 7.4–7.8| 7.3        | 7.3–8.0  |
| Maxillary tooththrow length (mm)   | 5.7–6.6| 6.1        | 5.7–7.1  |
| Breadth across molars (mm)         | 6.5–7.6| 6.7        | 6.5–7.5  |
| Lower molar row length (mm)        | 3.8–4.3| 3.9        | 3.6–4.4  |

*rosenbergi* (Thomas, 1897); *Artibeus phaeotis* (Miller, 1902); *Carollia brevicauda* (Schinz, 1821); *Carollia castanea* Allen, 1890; *Carollia perspicillata* (Linnaeus, 1758); *Choeroniscus periosus* Handley 1966; *Lonchophylla robusta* Miller, 1912; *Rhinophylla alethina* Handley, 1966; *Vampyressa thyone* Thomas, 1909; and *Vampyriscus nymphaea* (Thomas, 1909).

Our specimen (CMCH 987) despite being slightly damaged, with parts of the left zygomatic arch and coronoid process broken, exhibits all the diagnostic characteristics of the species. The external and craniodental measurements of our specimen fall within the range of size variation previously documented for the species (Table 1). The localities where *C. centralis* has been recorded throughout its distribution are listed in the Appendix and mapped in Fig. 2.

**DISCUSSION**

Despite their wide distributional range, both species of *Centronycteris* are known only by a few records (Simmons & Handley 1998, Rodríguez-Posada 2016). Because of this, all aspects of their natural history are also poorly known. In Colombia, *C. centralis* has been reported for the Pacific and Caribbean regions, as well as in valleys between the Central and Oriental Cordilleras (Fig. 2). Several records of the species have been reported for the Pacific region in Ecuador, but only one has been reported for this region in Colombia (Supplementary Material and Fig. 2). Our record fills a disjunct distribution in the Pacific region between the Valle del Cauca (Fig. 2 [29]) and the Darién (Fig. 2 [19]) records. The closest previous report to our record (Fig. 2 [25]) is the record from El Carmen de Viboral in the department of Antioquia (Fig. 2 [22]; 155 km). The other record from the Pacific region of Colombia, Río Anchicayá in the department of Valle del Cauca, is 231 km away from our Chocó record (Fig. 2).

*Centronycteris centralis* currently presents a disjunct distribution that may suggest the lack of gene flow between the populations from Central America and western and northern Colombia with the ones occurring east of the Andes in Ecuador and Peru (Fig. 2). This pattern raises the possibility that these two groups could be different species or that more effort is needed to record this species east of the Andes in Colombia. In general, due to the lack of knowledge and the low number of captures, there is a need to evaluate the systematics of the genus using molecular data.

The low representativeness of bats of this genus in natural history collections is due to the difficulty of capturing them by traditional methods (e.g., ground level mist nets). This is due to their ability to detect mist nets and due to their foraging behavior. These bats forage mostly in the canopy well above the placement of the mist nets. This highlights the need for the inclusion of acoustic methods to detect the presence of these bats.

Although being known by few records across its distribution, IUCN categorized *C. centralis* as Least Concern (LC) (Arroyo-Cabrales et al. 2015). Our record from the Chocó was collected within the newly created “A-CO-001 Pacurita Chocó-Central” an AICOM’s (Área de Importancia para la Conservación de Murciélagos) with an area of 143,889 km². Forty percent of the known bat diversity of the department of Chocó, two endemic species (*Rhinophylla alethina* and *Choeroniscus periosus*) of the Choco biogeographic region, and the uncommon *Lionycteris spurrelli* have been recorded in this AICOM.
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