Potassium Nitrte Alters Buffalograss Bur Permeability
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Abstract. Buffalograss is a warm-season, dioecious grass species yielding burs, which are routinely used for field plantings. The pistillate plants bear the burs containing 1–5 caryopses. Isolated caryopses readily germinate, but caryopses contained within burs exhibit strong dormancy, suggesting that burs inhibit germination. Priming burs with low concentrations of potassium nitrate (KNO₃) is used as an industry standard to improve germination. Seed dormancy and germination in many species are strongly influenced by endogenous hormone levels, principally abscisic acid (ABA) and gibberellic acid (GA). It is hypothesized that buffering seed dormancy might be induced or overcome by altering the ratio of ABA to GA. The objectives of this research were 1) to contrast the effects of priming with KNO₃ or water on bur germination, 2) to study how these treatments affected hormone profiles, specifically the ABA/GA ratios in the burs, and 3) to quantify treatment effects on the water permeability of the burs. Hormone profiles were analyzed following four postharvest seed-soaking treatments (24-hour 0.05 M KNO₃, 24-hour H₂O, 48-hour 0.05 M KNO₃, and 48-hour H₂O). Water infiltration tests on nonprimed, 24-hour H₂O-treated, and 24-hour 0.05 M KNO₃-treated seeds were also conducted. Inconclusive hormone profiling results did not support the hypothesis that KNO₃ postharvest treatment raises GA levels to encourage germination. Instead, our data support changes in seed morphology following KNO₃ postharvest seed treatments which alter water permeability of the seedcoat leading to increased germination.
dormancy observed in buffalograss (Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006), can be overcome by GA treatments, scarification, warm or cold stratification, postharvest ripening in cold storage, fire, heat, or smoke, in several species, although such treatments may not effectively overcome dormancy in all seeds. Salt marsh grass, Distichlis spicata, is similarly treated with nitrate to overcome hormonal control of seed dormancy (Amen et al., 1970). Also, for some species, initial promotion of germination rates induced by external treatments may decrease significantly under storage.

The plant hormones ABA and GA play significant and well-known roles in seed dormancy and germination processes (Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006; Hilhorst et al., 2010; Hoang et al., 2013; Rodriguez-Garcia, et al., 2009). Relatively high levels of ABA promote dormancy, and release from dormancy results in the decline of ABA levels and an increase in GA levels, suggesting the ABA/GA ratio influences seed germination (Bewley, 1997; Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006; Villiers and Wareing, 1964). In Arabidopsis, germination promoted by increasing GA content weakens the seed tissue around the radicle (Ibarra et al., 2016; Kucera et al., 2005). However, there is no information currently available on plant hormone status in buffalograss burs or caryopses.

Several factors likely contribute to low germination rates in buffalograss. Ahring and Todd (1977) extracted uncharacterized oils from buffalograss burs. Soaking deburred caryopses in the extracted oil-reduced germination by 47%, and furthermore, plant growth was reduced by dipping the root tips in the oils (Ahring and Todd, 1977). However, from these studies it is not clear if the oil is at high-enough concentrations within the bur coat to impede germination. Crocker (1916) suggested that seed structure could inhibit the exchange of oxygen and carbon dioxide or prevent water absorption into the seed, effectively slowing the germination process (Crocker, 1916). The objectives of our study were to compare the effectiveness of KNO₃ and water postharvest seed treatments for increased germination, to study how the soaking treatments affected hormone profiles, specifically the ABA/GA ratios in the burs, and to quantify treatment effects on water permeability of the bur. The intent was to gain a deeper physiological understanding of seed dormancy in this important amenity grass.

**Materials and Methods**

**Hormone profiling study.** Seed hormone concentration and germination percentage of two buffalograss accessions NE07-01 and Sundancer were measured in response to four different soaking treatments. Soaking treatments included 24 or 48 h in distilled H₂O, or 0.05 M KNO₃, and a nontreated control. Seeds were placed in cloth bags and immersed into the respective solutions using weights to ensure submersion of the seeds. After the duration of the soaking treatment was completed, excess solution was removed using a strainer, and the burs were cold-stratified by placing in a 5 °C refrigerator for 5 weeks (R. Fritz, personal communication). All treatments were replicated three times and the entire study was repeated twice at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln between the fall of 2014 and the spring of 2015. A subset of 10 g of seeds was removed from each treatment and used for hormone profiling, and another subset of 300 seeds was used for germination tests (100 seeds per replicate). For hormone profiling, the procedure was adapted from Luttgeharn et al. (2015). Seeds were immediately flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and placed in a −80 °C freezer in BLUE MAX™ 50-mL Polypropylene Conical Tubes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Seeds were fine milled using a 6870 Freezer Mill cryogenic grinder (Spx Sample Prep, Metuchen, NJ), and kept at −80 °C until use. A 50 mg aliquot of each sample was transferred to 2-mL screw-cap tubes while keeping tissue frozen, and 50 μL of internal standard solution containing 5 ng of each of the following deuterated standards: d6-salicic acid (SA), d5-zeatin, d6-ABA, d2-GA4, and d2-JA. Five hundred microliters of extraction solvent (2-propanol:water:concentrated HCl:2:1:0.002, by volume) was added to each tube. The tubes were placed on a shaker at a speed of 100 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C, following which, 1 mL dichloromethane was added to each sample and shaken for 30 min in a cold room at 4 °C. Samples were then placed into a refrigerated micro centrifuge at 4 °C and centrifuged at 13,000 g for 5 min, which formed two phases with plant debris in between. Using a Pasteur pipette, 900 μL of the solvent from the lower phase of each sample was transferred into a screw-cap vial. The solvent mixture was then concentrated with an evaporator using a stream of N₂ gas. Samples were stored at −20 °C until analyzed. Just before analysis, samples were redisolved in 100 μL methanol and 900 μL of buffer A (water + 0.1% formic acid and 0.3 mM ammonium formate pH 3.5). Samples were subsequently analyzed by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry as described elsewhere (Luttgeharn et al., 2015).

**Caryopses hormone profiling.** Caryopses used for hormone tests were separated from the burs using an electric coffee grinder (Krups GX4100 Coffee Grinder; Cookware Inc., Boston, MA), applying five 3-s pulses and subsequently using mill grain filters to isolate the caryopses (Riordan et al., 1997). Once separated, caryopses were imbibed in 0.05 M KNO₃ or water as a control for 24 h. The caryopses were immediately frozen at −80 °C until they were fine milled using a cryogenic grinder (6870 Freezer Mill; Spx Sample Prep), and processed for hormone profiling as described above (Luttgeharn et al., 2015).

**Germination tests.** Germination procedures were adapted from Krizek et al. (2000) with the following modifications (2000). Triplicate samples of 100 burs each were hand counted following soaking and chilling treatments. Seeds were patted dry and then dried for 48 h on paper towels in
a greenhouse with 20 °C night/27 °C day temperatures. Upon drying, burs were immediately planted into no. 801 nursery pots in Fafard® 3B Mix (Sun Gro Horticulture, Agawam, MA) (100 seeds per pot) in a greenhouse, and monitored weekly over 28 d. Supplemental lighting provided a 16-h daylength, and 20 °C night/27 °C day temperatures were maintained in the greenhouse (Krizek et al., 2000).

Germination percentage of caryopses from nontreated burs was also calculated for reference. Caryopses from NE07-01 seed and Sundancer seed were separated from the bur coat as described above. One hundred to 150 caryopses separated from 40 burs of each variety were placed on filter paper, dampened with water, and placed in a completely dark cabinet and monitored once a day for 7 d. Water was added as needed to keep the filter paper moist.

To explore secondary dormancy in buffalograss, seeds either treated with 0.05 M KNO₃ for 24 h or nontreated were subjected 45 °C in a dry oven for 21 d, subsequently burs were subjected to three treatments: no soak, a 24-h water soak, or a 48-h water soak. Burs were planted into a soil mix, and placed in a greenhouse to monitor germination for 21 d.

**Water infiltration tests on seed.** Water infiltration tests were conducted to further understand treatment effects on intact burs. Burs were treated for 24 h in water or 0.05 M KNO₃ solution, or were not treated. Following treatments, three replicate samples of 10 seeds from each treatment were analyzed for water infiltration. Seeds were laid on their side on double-sided tape to prevent seed movement, and a 10 µL drop of water was placed on each seed. Time was recorded from the placement of the initial drop of water onto the seed until the seed completely absorbed the water as adapted from the water drop penetration time test (Letey, 1969).

**Seed dissection.** NE07-01 seeds were soaked for 24 and 48 h in water and 0.05 M KNO₃ solution. After 24 and 48 h, 10 seeds from each treatment were removed and sliced to expose both sides of the caryopses evenly within the bur. Photographs of the spliced seeds were taken with an AxioCam ICc 1 camera on a Stemi DV4 Zeiss dissecting scope at 32× magnification (Zeiss USA, Thornwood, NY).

---

**Fig. 2.** Hormone profiles show the effects of (A) water- and KNO₃-soaking treatments on two different buffalograss cultivars NE07-01 and Sundancer and (B) the soaking treatment duration, 24 and 48 h, on abscisic acid concentrations (ng·g⁻¹ fresh weight). Different upper case letters indicate significant differences among treatments and treatment duration by Student’s t test at the 0.05 level of significance.

**Fig. 3.** Hormone profiles show the effects of the soaking treatments and duration treatments on gibberellic acid (GA₄) concentrations (ng·g⁻¹ fresh weight) in Sundancer and NE07-01 seed varieties. Different upper case letters indicate significant difference among treatments and treatment duration by Student’s t test at the 0.05 level of significance.
Statistical analyses. Experiments were completely randomized with three replicates. Significance of main effects and interactions were determined in JMP Version 12 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, 1989–2007) with the mixed procedure. Each run was treated as a random effect. Square root transformations were used as appropriate to satisfy the assumption of equal sample variance. Student’s protected least significant difference was used to separate means and values were considered significant if they had a $P$ value $< 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

Germination tests. A series of germination tests were conducted on KNO$_3$, water-treated, and nontreated seeds. Nontreated seed germination data were not included due to the extremely low germination (<10%) numbers that do not compare with the germination of seeds having undergone a water or nitrate soak. Germination tests showed no difference between treatments on Sundancer seed; however, there was an overall improvement trend in germination of KNO$_3$-treated NE07-01 seeds (Fig. 1). Germination was most consistent among replicates for NE07-01 seeds water treated for 24 h and conversely, most variable for replicates of 24-h water-treated Sundancer seeds. These inconsistencies in germination for different seed lots from the same original source could arise from a number of different factors. For example, it could be attributed to environmental conditions during seed maturation on the mother plant and inherited genetics resulting in variations in germination (Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006), and potentially through the induction of secondary dormancy (Banovetz and Scheiner, 1994). Although it may be possible to overcome primary dormancy through physical or chemical treatments, in some seeds, secondary dormancy can be overcome by repeated treatment of nitrate (Hilhorst, 1998). Overall, germination results indicated a strong influence of plant genetics on the response of seed to treatments. Although seeds of NE07-01 responded to KNO$_3$ treatments, Sundancer seeds did not, although Sundancer seeds appeared to possess greater germination as compared with the NE07-01 seeds (Fig. 1). The utility of these findings may result in considerable cost savings for producers and consumers if water treatments can be substituted for the standard 0.05 M KNO$_3$ treatments. At the physiological level, these data point to the genetic variability that exists within buffalograss accessions, strains and cultivars, and a greater need to decipher processes that control both primary and secondary dormancy in this species.

Secondary dormancy frequently occurs in seeds that have been primed and kept in storage for extended periods of time under inadequate light (Finkelstein et al., 2008; Ibarra et al., 2016). Although the physiological causes behind secondary dormancy are unclear, the net result is a significant loss in germination potential, even in ideal growing conditions (Hilhorst, 2007; Hilhorst et al., 2010; Hoang et al., 2013). For buffalograss NE07-01 seeds that were primed by a 24-h water soak, and subjected to a heat treatment, 35°C for 14 d, germination levels decreased from between 80% and 90% to between 20%
and 70%, suggesting the imposition of secondary dormancy by the heat treatment. When heat-treated seeds were reprimed by soaking for 48 h in water, seed germination increased to between 80% and 92%, essentially indistinguishable from germination rates observed after initial priming. These data support the occurrence of secondary dormancy in buffalograss seeds. They also indicate that a 48-h water treatment can effectively reverse loss of germination in buffalograss seed lots that previously underwent a priming process, but develop secondary dormancy under storage.

**Hormone studies.** Data indicated that ABA plays a crucial role in the induction and maintenance of seed dormancy when its levels are elevated, and that increased GA levels (and lower ABA levels) encourage seed germination (Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006; Hilhorst et al., 2010; Hoang et al., 2013; Rodriguez-Garcia et al., 2009). Data indicated that poor germination (<10% germination) of nontreated buffalograss seeds could be overcome by nitrate or water priming, and that secondary dormancy of primed seeds required a fresh priming treatment, suggestive of changes in hormone content that either prevented or permitted germination. On the basis of these observations, hormone profiles of buffalograss seed were conducted to access whether elevated levels of GA and decreased levels of ABA were present following the postharvest treatment.

Three independent hormone profiling experiments were conducted and the data were pooled before statistical analysis with a germination test treated as a random effect. Seed germination was almost doubled in NE07-01 seeds when the 24-h water treatments were compared with the 24-h nitrate treatments; however, no further improvements in germination were observed for either seed lots by increasing the soaking time from 24 to 48 h (Fig. 1). Regardless of soak time, ABA levels in Sundancer responded to KNO₃ but ABA levels in NE07-01 remained relatively unchanged. Regardless of cultivar, levels of ABA were significant for both treatment and duration (Fig. 2). These data suggest that seed

| Treatment | Water infiltration (s) |
|-----------|------------------------|
| Nontreated| 1,564 a²                |
| H₂O       | 900 b                  |
| KNO₃      | 731 c                  |

KNO₃ = potassium nitrate.

²Treatment of buffalograss seeds with water or KNO₃ for 24 h.

Different letters indicate significant difference among time by Student’s t test at the 0.05 level of significance.

---

Fig. 5. Sundancer buffalograss burs spliced in half following a (A) 24-h water soak, (B) 48-h water soak, (C) 24-h 0.05 M potassium nitrate (KNO₃) soak, and (D) 48-h 0.05 M KNO₃ soak indicating the water and KNO₃ treatments alter the bur coat to encourage seed germination. Arrows indicate evidence of increased hydration as a lustrous sheen on the cut caryopses and changes in the reflective properties of the endosperm, from white (A) as compared with regions of yellowish color (B–C).
ABA contents were not influencing germination rates, at least for these two divergent buffalograss seed lots, but that the 24-h KNO₃ treatments were influencing other aspects of the bur/caryopsis interactions.

In NE07-01 seed, GA levels were unaffected by soaking treatment; however, GA levels did increase over soaking duration (Fig. 3). Sundancer seed responded oppositely, showing no response to soaking duration, but showing decreased GA levels between water and KNO₃ treatments (Fig. 3). Data obtained for GA levels in primed seeds failed to show any significant interactions between GA content and germination levels as well (Figs. 1 and 3). Collectively it would appear that conditions in primed burs favor germination, and certainly observed levels of GA and ABA were not major determinants of this process.

In contrast to ABA and GA hormone profiles, SA, jasmonic acid (JA), and 12-oxo-phytodehydro (OPDA) contents were consistently elevated in treated seeds compared with nontreated seeds (Fig. 4). All three hormones were increased with duration of treatment, 24 h as compared with 48 h, and consistently 48-h treatment with KNO₃ significantly increased seed content of SA, JA, and OPDA in both seed sources (Fig. 4). However, germination levels of seeds treated with KNO₃ for 48 h did not reflect the increase in hormone concentration levels at the same treatment. In broomgrass, JA levels are known to inhibit germination, especially in the presence of ABA (Wilen et al., 1994). For the two sources of buffalograss seeds evaluated, the relationships between plant hormones, priming, and germination appear to be more complex. It is possible that the after-ripening process that occurs between harvest and treatment had already elicited physiological changes in burs and enclosed caryopses in buffalograss seeds especially in the levels of ABA and GA. Thus, priming did not dramatically affect levels of these two hormones, but functionally changed other properties of the bur and bur/caryopsis interface.

Caryopses hormone profiling. When initial hormone profiles were conducted, both whole burs and separated caryopses were tested to verify that hormones could be detected in the seed. Replicates of caryopses, like the whole burs, were treated with water and KNO₃. The caryopses showed very little difference in the GA levels between the water-treated and KNO₃-treated seed, whereas GA levels were magnified between seeds of the two treatments, showing that the bur coat is a major factor affecting buffalograss seed germination. ABA levels remained relatively unchanged between water and KNO₃-treated seed; however, levels were magnified in the caryopses from water-treated seed vs. KNO₃-treated seed. The fact that high germination was seen for caryopses obtained from treated burs would support a role for treatment, specifically KNO₃ in changing the properties of the bur. Overall, experiments did not reveal a significant difference in hormone profiling between intact burs and isolated caryopses.

Water infiltration tests on seed. Water infiltration tests were conducted on the untreated, water-treated, and KNO₃-treated seeds to explore potential changes in the properties of the bur. Differences were observed in the water infiltration rates among the three treatments. The time recorded for water absorption was significantly longer for nontreated seeds than water-treated seed, which was also significantly longer than the KNO₃-treated seed (Table 1). Results revealed that the germination mechanism in these buffalograss seeds was not a result of hormone levels and ratios as suggested by Villiers and Wareing (1964), but more dependent on the physiological properties of the bur. Alteration of these properties was most evident with KNO₃ treatments, although priming with water by itself was adequate to obtain consistent germination for some seed lots.

In addition, seeds were cut in half to observe any visible changes in the endosperm or embryos of the caryopses within the bur following 24 and 48 h water or 0.05 M KNO₃ treatments (Fig. 5). Endosperm tissues in seeds soaked in water for 24 h did not appear to be hydrated and had minimal other observable changes (Fig. 5A). However, 24- or 48-h KNO₃ treatments, and the 48-h water treatment appeared to have permitted the hydration of the caryopses and show some signs of initial breakdown of starch (yellowing of the endosperm) documenting germination progress in these caryopses. Figure 5 provides visible evidence that extended water (48 h) and KNO₃ treatments had altered the properties of the bur coat enabling gas and water exchange.

Conclusion

Results indicate that KNO₃ alters the bur coat in some capacity that encourages germination. It is a goal for future research to find the inhibitory compound(s) in burs that impact germination of buffalograss (and potentially other native grasses), and to discover the mechanism by which KNO₃ interacts with the bur coat.

Our study calls to question the necessity of the KNO₃ treatment typically used to break seed dormancy in buffalograss. Water, in many germination tests, produced similar germination levels, to that of KNO₃ as seen particularly in the Sundancer germination results. In work done by Leon Wenger in the 1940s, germination tests also yielded results that supported both water and KNO₃ as viable treatments (Wenger, 1941, 1943). The standard treatment for buffalograss today originated from Wenger’s work over seven decades ago. In that amount of time, new turf and forage-type buffalograss cultivars have been released without testing the treatment. Though long-term storage effects of the treatments warrant further research, it is important to recognize the changes to the seedcoat induced by the seed treatments. The need for future research on seed dormancy is not only important for buffalograss, but also for other ornamental and amenity grasses that thrive with little inputs in areas where the need for resource conservation exists.
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