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Abstract

AIM
To describe contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) features and evaluate differential diagnosis value of CEUS and conventional ultrasound for patients with benign and malignant gallbladder lesions.

METHODS
This study included 105 gallbladder lesions. Before surgical resection and pathological examination, conventional ultrasound and CEUS were performed to examine for lesions. Then, all the lesions were diagnosed as (1) benign, (2) probably benign, (3) probably malignant or (4) malignant using both conventional ultrasound and CEUS. The CEUS features of these gallbladder lesions were analyzed and diagnostic efficiency between conventional ultrasound and CEUS was compared.

RESULTS
There were total 17 cases of gallbladder cancer and 88 cases of benign lesion. Some gallbladder lesions had typical characteristics on CEUS (e.g., gallbladder adenomyomatosis had typical characteristics of small nonenhanced areas on CEUS). The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy of CEUS were 94.1%, 95.5%, 80.0%, 98.8%...
With the advent of ultrasound contrast agents, contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) is playing a more and more important role clinically. However, the value of CEUS in gallbladder lesions has not been widely accepted yet. In this study, we evaluated the diagnostic value of CEUS and conventional ultrasound for patients with benign and malignant gallbladder lesions. Our results showed that CEUS may provide more useful information and improve diagnosis efficiency for the diagnosis of gallbladder lesions than conventional ultrasound.
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Core tip: With the advent of ultrasound contrast agents, contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) is playing a more and more important role clinically. However, the value of CEUS in gallbladder lesions has not been widely accepted yet. In this study, we evaluated the diagnostic value of CEUS and conventional ultrasound for patients with benign and malignant gallbladder lesions. Our results showed that CEUS may provide more useful information and improve diagnosis efficiency for the diagnosis of gallbladder lesions than conventional ultrasound.

INTRODUCTION

Conventional ultrasound is the primary and most important imaging modality for gallbladder diseases. The excellent image contrast between anechoic bile and gallbladder wall or gallbladder diseases, and the increasingly improved ultrasound spatial resolution ensure conventional ultrasound to have a high detection rate of gallbladder diseases[1]. With the advantages of real-time imaging, safety with no radiation, great cost effectiveness and great spatial resolution, conventional ultrasound makes itself more suitable than computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for the detection of gallbladder diseases[2].

Despite the above-mentioned advantages of conventional ultrasound, the sensitivity and accuracy are not satisfactory, especially when stones or some other gallbladder lesions fill the gallbladder lumen[3,4]. With no information of microvascularity, it is very hard to differentiate some benign diseases, such as benign gallbladder wall thickening or motionless sludge, from malignant ones using conventional ultrasound. The application of microbubbles could help in the differential diagnosis by providing useful perfusion information in the lesions[5].

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) has been widely used in liver disease, with an excellent diagnostic efficiency comparable to contrast-enhanced CT[6,8]. The value of CEUS in other organs, such as kidney, breast, etc., has also been well established and identified[9,10]. Although the value in gallbladder has not been recognized and accepted by the European Federation of Societies for Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology[11], there have been some studies which have shown the usefulness of CEUS in the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant gallbladder lesions[5,12].

In this study, we described CEUS features and evaluated differential diagnosis value of CEUS and conventional ultrasound for patients with benign and malignant gallbladder lesions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

The Ethics Committee of our hospital approved this study. Before the sonographic examination, we obtained all patients’ written informed consent. The features of gallbladder lesions in CEUS were analyzed and described retrospectively. The study and comparison of the diagnostic efficiency between CEUS and conventional ultrasound was designed prospectively.

Patients

Between December 2012 and October 2016, 136 gallbladder lesions in 133 patients were imaged using both conventional ultrasound and CEUS in our hospital. Of these, 31 lesions were excluded from this study because the patients did not undergo cholecystectomy and were without pathological diagnosis. Therefore, 105 gallbladder lesions in 103 patients (47 males and 56 females; mean age ± standard deviation, 42.5 ± 10.6 years) were included in this study.

Conventional ultrasound and CEUS

All the conventional ultrasound and CEUS examinations were performed by an ultrasound physician with thirteen years’ experience in conventional ultrasound and five years’ experience in CEUS. An Acuson S2000 diagnostic ultrasound system or an Acuson Sequoia 512 diagnostic ultrasound system (Siemens Medical Solutions, Mountain View, CA, United States) equipped with a transabdominal curvilinear transducer running on Cadence™ Contrast pulse sequence (CPS) software were used for all the ultrasound examinations. All the patients fasted at least for 8 h before the examinations.

Conventional ultrasound examinations were first performed to detect the gallbladder lesions.
The lesion’s size, location, shape, stalk, boundary, echogenicity and wall destruction were analyzed and recorded. Then, Doppler vascularity was observed using color Doppler ultrasound. A diagnosis of benign, probably benign, probably malignant or malignant was made according to conventional ultrasound features, by two radiologists with at least ten years’ experience in both conventional ultrasound and CEUS. If they concluded different diagnosis, a third radiologist (with twenty-five years’ experience in conventional ultrasound and twelve years’ experience in CEUS) discussed together with them and decided on a final diagnosis.

For CEUS examinations, the same ultrasound machines were used. SonoVue (Bracco, Italy), the only microbubbles permitted for clinical use in China, was used in this study and was prepared following the appropriate guidelines before examinations. Every patient was instructed to take gentle and steady breaths to minimize the influence by respiratory movement. When the target lesion was shown clearly using conventional ultrasound, the CPS mode (MI: 0.21) was activated. A dose of 1.6 mL of SonoVue was administrated through the antecubital vein as a bolus immediately followed by 5 mL 0.9% saline solution. A stopwatch was started at the same time. The image was observed and recorded for 2 min and then the whole gallbladder and the liver were scanned to find other lesions and liver infiltration. After that, CEUS features of the lesion were analyzed and a diagnosis of benign, probably benign, probably malignant or malignant was made according to CEUS features by the above-mentioned radiologists.

After the resection of gallbladder lesions and the final pathological diagnosis was made, CEUS images were reviewed and the features of each kind of gallbladder lesions in CEUS were analyzed and summarized.

Table 1  Diagnostic results of conventional ultrasound n (%)  

|          | Definite | Probably | Probably | Definitely |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Benign, n = 88 | 61 (69.3) | 18 (20.5) | 8 (9.1) | 1 (1.1) |
| Malignant, n = 17 | 0 (0) | 3 (17.6) | 5 (29.4) | 9 (52.9) |

Figure 1  Gallbladder sludge in a 54-year-old female patient. A: B-mode sonography showed a hypoechoic, well-defined mass in the gallbladder, with an intact gallbladder wall; B: Color Doppler ultrasound showed no color Doppler signal in the lesion. According to A and B, a diagnosis of probably benign was made; C: CEUS showed complete nonenhancement on arterial phase; D: CEUS showed complete nonenhancement on venous phase. According to C and D, a diagnosis of benign gallbladder sludge was made. CEUS: Contrast-enhanced ultrasound.

The lesion’s size, location, shape, stalk, boundary, echogenicity and wall destruction were analyzed and recorded. Then, Doppler vascularity was observed using color Doppler ultrasound. A diagnosis of benign, probably benign, probably malignant or malignant was made according to conventional ultrasound features, by two radiologists with at least ten years’ experience in both conventional ultrasound and CEUS. If they concluded different diagnosis, a third radiologist (with twenty-five years’ experience in conventional ultrasound and twelve years’ experience in CEUS) discussed together with them and decided on a final diagnosis.

For CEUS examinations, the same ultrasound machines were used. SonoVue (Bracco, Italy), the only microbubbles permitted for clinical use in China, was used in this study and was prepared following the appropriate guidelines before examinations. Every patient was instructed to take gentle and steady breaths to minimize the influence by respiratory movement. When the target lesion was shown clearly using conventional ultrasound, the CPS mode (MI: 0.21) was activated. A dose of 1.6 mL of SonoVue was administrated through the antecubital vein as a bolus immediately followed by 5 mL 0.9% saline solution. A stopwatch was started at the same time. The image was observed and recorded for 2 min and then the whole gallbladder and the liver were scanned to find other lesions and liver infiltration. After that, CEUS features of the lesion were analyzed and a diagnosis of benign, probably benign, probably malignant or malignant was made according to CEUS features by the above-mentioned radiologists.

After the resection of gallbladder lesions and the final pathological diagnosis was made, CEUS images were reviewed and the features of each kind of gallbladder lesions in CEUS were analyzed and summarized.

Statistical analysis

SPSS version 13.0 software (IBM Corporation, Chicago, IL, United States) was used for statistical analysis. P < 0.05 was considered a statistically significant difference. The diagnostic efficiency of conventional ultrasound and CEUS was assessed in terms of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy and was compared using chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test.

RESULTS

Pathological results

There were 17 malignant and 88 benign gallbladder lesions in total in this study according to the histo-
The gallbladder wall was intact and the surrounding tissue was normal, with no invasion (Figure 3).

The appearances of gallbladder cancer on CEUS were various. It could be a mass in gallbladder which was heterogeneously hyperenhanced on arterial phase and washed out quickly (Figure 4). Or, the irregular thickness of gallbladder, which was also heterogeneously hyperenhanced on arterial phase and washed out quickly, could be a sign of malignancy. In some cases, the intact gallbladder wall was destroyed or the surrounding liver tissue was invaded.

Besides providing microvascular information, CEUS makes the contour of a lesion much clearer and the evaluation of a lesion's shape, size and boundary much more accurate.

Diagnostic efficiency of conventional ultrasound

The diagnostic results of conventional ultrasound are shown in Table 1. There were 3 malignant lesions pathological diagnosis after cholecystectomy, including 17 cases of gallbladder cancer, 11 case of gallbladder sludge, 28 cases of gallbladder adenomyomatosis, 36 cases of gallbladder polyps and 13 cases of gallbladder adenoma.

Sonographic features on CEUS

All the cases of gallbladder sludge were shown as completely nonenhanced on CEUS, and the diagnostic accuracy was 100% (Figure 1).

Gallbladder adenomyomatosis was mostly shown as heterogeneously enhanced, with some small nonenhanced areas (represented as Rokitansky-Aschoff sinuses) on both arterial phase and venous phase (Figure 2). Some of them were together with echogenic foci and tail sign.

Gallbladder polyps and gallbladder adenoma were mostly shown as homogeneously hyperenhanced on arterial phase and isoenhanced on venous phase. The

| Table 2  Diagnostic efficiency of conventional ultrasound and contrast-enhanced ultrasound between benign and malignant gallbladder lesions | n (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Features of lesions                             | Sensitivity | Specificity | Positive predictive value | Negative predictive value | Accuracy |
| Conventional ultrasound                         | 82.4     | 89.8       | 60.9                   | 96.3                    | 88.6     |
| Contrast-enhanced ultrasound                    | 94.1     | 95.5       | 80.0                   | 98.8                    | 95.2     |
| P value                                          | 0.301    | 0.124      | 0.152                  | 0.297                   | 0.064    |

Figure 2  Gallbladder adenomyomatosis in a 62-year-old male patient. A: B-mode sonography showed a heterogeneously hypoechoic lesion on the gallbladder wall, with an intact gallbladder wall; B: Color Doppler ultrasound showed no color Doppler signal in the lesion. According to A and B, a diagnosis of probably benign was made. C: CEUS showed heterogeneously enhanced, with some small nonenhanced areas, on arterial phase; D: CEUS showed heterogeneously enhanced, with some small nonenhanced areas, on venous phase. According to C and D, a diagnosis of benign gallbladder adenomyomatosis was made. CEUS: Contrast-enhanced ultrasound.
misdiagnosed as probably benign and 5 diagnosed as probably malignant. There were 8 benign lesions (2 cases of sludge, 3 cases of adenomyomatosis, 2 cases of polyps and 1 case of gallbladder adenoma) misdiagnosed as probably malignant, and one benign lesion misdiagnosed as definitely malignant (1 case of adenoma). A total of 18 benign lesions (3 cases of sludge, 5 cases of adenomyomatosis, 5 cases of polyps and 5 cases of gallbladder adenoma) were diagnosed as probably benign.

The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy of conventional ultrasound were shown in Table 2. The diagnostic efficiencies of CEUS were all significantly higher than those of conventional ultrasound, though the differences were not statistically significant.

DISCUSSION
In this study, we compared the value of CEUS in the differential diagnosis of benign and malignant gallbladder lesions with conventional ultrasound. Our results showed that the diagnostic efficiencies of CEUS were much higher than those of conventional ultrasound, though the differences were not statistically significant. With all the advantages and information of conventional ultrasound, CEUS provides more information about the important microvascularity in lesions. Also, with the application of microbubbles, the contour, the boundary and the shape of a lesion, the

| Table 3  The Diagnostic results of contrast-enhanced ultrasound (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                  | Benign |                | Malignant |                |
|                  | Definitely | Probably | Definitely |                |
| Benign, n = 88   | 78 (88.6) | 6 (6.8)      | 4 (4.5)   | 0 (0)          |
| Malignant, n = 17| 0 (0)    | 1 (5.9)      | 6 (35.3)  | 10 (58.8)      |

Figure 3  Gallbladder polyps in a 38-year-old male patient. A: B-mode sonography showed a homogeneously isoechoic lesion in the gallbladder, with an intact gallbladder wall; B: Color Doppler ultrasound showed no color Doppler signal in the lesion. According to A and B, a diagnosis of probably benign was made. C: CEUS showed a homogeneous and a little hyperenhanced lesion in the gallbladder on arterial phase; D: CEUS showed the enhancement of the lesion is similar to the surrounding gallbladder wall on venous phase. According to C and D, a diagnosis of benign lesion was made. CEUS: Contrast-enhanced ultrasound.
intactness of gallbladder wall and the invasion of the surrounding tissue could be revealed more clearly. So, the diagnostic efficiencies were highly improved, though the differences between the diagnostic efficiencies were not statistically significant.

Although the clinical significance of gallbladder sludge has not been confirmed yet, the accurate diagnosis is still of importance to avoid unnecessary examination and treatment. Gallbladder sludge is usually shown on ultrasound as movable, echogenic matter, which could be easily diagnosed. However, sometimes gallbladder sludge could be shown as an intraluminal mass and imitates tumors such as gallbladder cancer or adenoma. Then, the differential diagnosis is very difficult using conventional ultrasound. CEUS is very useful at such a time. As sludge has no blood supply inside it, it shows a complete nonenhancement on both arterial phase and venous phase. The diagnostic accuracy was 100% in our study, and the result was similar with some previous studies.

Gallbladder adenomyomatosis is a noninfectious and nontumorous disease of gallbladder which is usually found accidentally, with no malignant potential and which needs no specific treatment. It has some typical characteristics on CEUS, too. With the small nonenhanced areas on arterial phase and venous phase (represented as Rokitansky-Aschoff sinuses), together with echogenic foci and tail sign or not, the correct diagnosis would be easily made. The study by Tang et al. showed that small anechoic spaces or intramural echogenic foci were 100% detected using CEUS, which made the diagnostic accuracy much higher than conventional ultrasound. In this study, besides one case with no small anechoic spaces that was misdiagnosed as probably malignant, the rest of the cases were all diagnosed correctly as gallbladder adenomyomatosis.

The differential diagnosis among gallbladder polyps, gallbladder adenoma and gallbladder cancer was not easy on CEUS. However, some studies showed that some CEUS features were useful and significant for differentiating malignancy from benignity. The study of Xu et al. showed that focal gallbladder wall thickening, inner layer discontinuity and outer layer discontinuity were associated with gallbladder malignancy. Branched or linear intralesional vessels, tortuous-type tumor vessel, enhanced heterogeneously in the artery phase and washed out quickly in the late phase were usually considered as signs for malignancy. On the contrary, gallbladder polyps or gallbladder adenoma was usually enhanced homogenously and the microbubbles inside the lesions washed out together with normal gallbladder wall. Recently, the study of the differential diagnosis of localized gallbladder lesions using contrast-enhanced harmonic endoscopic ultrasonography also confirmed the value of CEUS for the evaluation and differentiation of localized gallbladder lesions. Although CEUS provides the microvascular information, conventional ultrasound is still very important and is the foundation of CEUS. The size, shape and boundary

![Figure 4: Gallbladder cancer in a 46-year-old male patient. A: B-mode sonography showed a heterogeneously hypoechoic mass in the gallbladder, and the posterior wall of the gallbladder was not very clear; B: Color Doppler ultrasound showed no color Doppler signal in the lesion. According to A and B, a diagnosis of probably malignant was made. C: CEUS showed a heterogeneously hyperenhanced mass with tortuous-type tumor vessel on arterial phase and the boundary of the mass was not clear; D: CEUS showed the enhancement of the lesion is much lower than that of the surrounding gallbladder wall on venous phase. According to C and D, a diagnosis of malignant mass was made. CEUS: Contrast-enhanced ultrasound.](image-url)
of a lesion, the intactness of gallbladder wall and the invasion of surrounding tissue are very important for the differential diagnosis. Besides providing microvascular information, CEUS makes the contour of a lesion much clearer and the evaluation of a lesion’s shape, size and boundary much more accurate. That is an important reason for the improvement of CEUS diagnostic efficiency, compared with conventional ultrasound.

Our study has some limitations. First, the sample was not large enough, especially for the malignant lesions. The pathological types of the lesions were not enough in number, either. For example, all the gallbladder adenomyomatosis in our study were of localized type, and no segmental or diffuse types were included. And, there were only a few early-stage cancers in this study, making it hard to compare the difference between benign lesions and early-stage cancers on CEUS. Second, the CEUS features were not analyzed using quantitative analysis software, but by naked eyes. No quantitative parameters were acquired and analyzed. Furthermore, the interobserver agreement in CEUS and conventional ultrasound was not compared in this study.

In conclusion, gallbladder sludge and gallbladder adenomyomatosis had special features on CEUS and the diagnostic accuracy was very high. CEUS helped the differential diagnosis among gallbladder polyps, gallbladder adenoma and gallbladder cancer. The diagnostic efficiency of CEUS was highly improved compared to conventional ultrasound.

**Research conclusions**

CEUS helped in the differential diagnosis between different kinds of gallbladder lesions. The diagnostic efficiency of CEUS was highly improved compared with conventional ultrasound. According to our results, for a gallbladder lesion, when a definite diagnosis could not be made using conventional ultrasound, CEUS examination could be used as a further diagnostic method.

**Research perspectives**

In this study, we demonstrated the value of CEUS for gallbladder lesions. Prospective study with large numbers of patients and different kinds of gallbladder lesions will be needed to confirm the results. The application of endoscopic CEUS may provide more useful information for differentiating between benign and malignant gallbladder lesions.
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