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Abstract: This paper takes a view of an input-output process to describe the relationship between customer purchase satisfaction and repeat purchase intention. The satisfaction (SF) is considered as a function which is evaluated by the discrepancy (d) between the ideal position (I) of the product attribute and the position (P) which customer perceived in regard to attribute. The repeat purchase intention is predict by the variable “black box” of transforming process from satisfaction (which is based on the system approach theory). Then a case study with the taboo marketing for hotel accommodation is demonstrated to make application of the input-output model. In the case, the variable of fear is considered as the transforming process and there are 300 samples is conduct in the experience design to refer the model. Half of sample is for parameter estimation and another is for model validation. The results show it is good fitness between predicting data and real data.
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1. Introduction

In the marketing or consumer behavior area, the casual relationship between customer's satisfaction and purchase are discussed in many academic studies. Customers' satisfaction is viewed as one of the crucial factors to predict repeat purchase. Previous research found that if customer feels more satisfaction will show less switch tendency then increase the chance of repeat purchase intention. But does the satisfaction exactly trigger the repeat purchase intention directly?

Some research finds that there are other moderator or mediator variables such as perceived value or psychological factors will accompany with the impact of satisfaction to repeat purchase intention. If the customer purchase behavior is considered as a process which includes some variables of input, mediate and finally output. Then, the customer psychological mechanism plays an important role on transforming the input of satisfaction to output of purchase intention. In this paper, the author takes a view of point on a macroscale to consider the framework based on the system approach of management to portray how the satisfaction can influence the repeat purchase intention.

For the research model construction, numerous researches use applied statistics and data mining to develop a numbers of models that attempt to either explain or predict the marketing problem especially in the topic of customer behavior. A rich literature on statistical models for customer-base analysis make use of data in a firm’s own transaction database to forecast customer behavior ([1, 2, 16]). In this paper, we use customer-base analysis of statistic model to uncover latent patterns of customer psychological mechanism and construct the relationships among satisfaction(input)- psychological mechanism (transformation)-repeat purchase intention (output) [16].

This paper is organized as following, first we will make the literature review and concept description. Secondly, the model of input and output will be demonstrated. The detail of every factor of this model will be portrayed. Thirdly, the empirical data is used to estimate the parameters and to make application. The model validation are shown in this section. Finally, the conclusions are made.
2. Literature Review and Model Construction

2.1. The System Approach Theory in Management

In the system approach theory in management, organizations are viewed as an open or closed system depending on which are affected by their environment or not. An open system is influenced by its environment consisting of three essential elements, input, throughput or transformation process and output. The organizations receive the sources such as raw material, human resources or capital, referred to as inputs. The inputs are transformed to employees' work activities or management activities. Then they yield the products or service as output.

Based on the system approach theory, we propose the customer’s behavior model through the relationship of the connection between satisfaction and repeat purchase intention as a series process of input-transformation-output (please see figure 1).

![Figure 1. The system approach process.](image)

2.2. Input: Customers' Satisfaction

The customer satisfaction as essential to gaining sustainable growth and competitive advantages ([7, 8, 22]). It has a direct impact on primary source of future revenue streams for most companies. The ideal point conceptualization as one aspect of customer satisfaction represents the optimal product performance a consumer ideally would hope for. It reflects what performance “can be.” It may be based on previous product experiences, learning from advertisements and word-of-mouth communication [7]. Ideal product performance is one of the approaches to conceptualizing a pre-experience comparison standard have been suggested in satisfaction literature. Thus, ideal point models enable managers to examine how close each product is to a consumer’s ideal point.

In the past fifty years, various ideal point models have been introduced into the psychology and marketing area. Such as Fornell et al. [10] use the psychological distance between product performance and customer ideal point to estimate customer overall satisfactions. And the measurement of ideal standard in the service quality research is considered as similar to evaluate SERVQUAL expectations (which is the service quality questionnaire and is often used in service industry) to the customer satisfaction or dissatisfaction ([17], [7]).

According to the literature review, deriving from the ideal point models of consumer preference and choice [7], customer satisfaction is an evaluation as a function of discrepancies from one’s ideal point.

Thus, based on the ideal point theory [9], we consider the satisfaction (SF) is a function which is evaluated by the discrepancy (d) between the ideal position (I) of the customer with regard attribute of the product and the position (P) which customer perceived in regard to attribute. It can be demonstrated as:

\[ D = |I - P| \] (1)

As the discrepancy with respect to the particular attribute increases, evaluation decreases monotonically.

The initial differences from one’s own position will be associated with a larger decrease in evaluation than additional differences.

![Figure 2. The relationship between satisfaction and discrepancy.](image)

Thus, we consider the function discrepancy (d) between the ideal position (I) of satisfaction SF(d) is an exponential distribution with its pdf as:

\[ SF(d) = \lambda \cdot \exp(-\lambda d) \] (2)

In which \( \lambda \) is a parameter which indicates the weight of the importance with attribute that customer perceived.

2.3. Transformation Process: Black Box

The mechanism of transformation process connects the input and output factors, sometimes can refer it as a “black box” which is an abstraction representing a class of concrete open system which can be viewed solely in terms of its stimuli inputs and output reactions [3]. A black box is based on the "explanatory principle", the hypothesis of a causal relation between the input and the output [12]. In philosophy and psychology, the school of behaviorism sees the human mind as a black box [11]. Thus, based on this view of point, we consider the other factors that will impact the satisfaction to purchase intention as the customers’ psychological process which is a black box(x). Thus, the satisfaction function is also included this transformation process as \( SF(d, x) \).
2.4. Customers Repeat Purchase Intention

Customers repeat purchase intention refers to the subjective probability that a customer will continue to purchase a product from the same brand or store [6]. It is also the degree to which customers are willing to purchase the same product or service and it is a simple, objective, and observable predictor of future buying behavior ([7, 17], [20]). Customer repeat-purchase intention is critical to store profitability.

Many studies find that customer satisfaction is positively related to repeat-purchase intention ([7, 3, 6]), and is a determinant of long-term repeat-purchase ([7, 19]). The higher cumulative satisfaction can lead to higher repeat-purchase intention and frequency ([7, 18, 19]). Thus, we demonstrate that repeat the purchase intention(RPI) is a function of satisfaction. Beside satisfaction, we base on the system approach theory to include the variable “black box(x)” of transforming process in predict the repeat purchase intention. Then repeat the purchase intention(RPI) is a function(h) of SF(d, x) as.

\[ RPI \sim h(SF(d, x)) \]  

3. A Cause Study: The Taboo Marketing for Hotel Accommodation

We demonstrate a case study to make application of our proposed model. The case is used by Huang [12] in which the topic of taboo marketing is studied by exploring the variance among customers’ satisfaction, fearing and repurchase intention in hotel accommodations. This case is used experience method to consider three death taboo scenarios: (1) customers staying at the hotel find information on the Internet about someone who has died at the hotel; (2) customers encounter strange phenomena after staying at the hotel; (3) customers both find such information on the Internet and encounter strange phenomena.

Thus the variable, “fear” is a customer feeling of psychological mechanism when measuring their satisfaction (input) to repeat purchase intention (output) of hotel accommodations. This variable is like a black box which transforms the input to output can cause some unknown impact. It means under this circumstance, customer satisfaction does not directly lead to repeat purchase intention when the level of fear feeling is unknown. The figure of input-transformation-output can be portray as.

\[ RPI \sim h(SF(d, f)) \]

3.1. The Sample and Analysis Process

There are 300 recruited participates for the experiment. In the first step, participates were told to imagine that they were visiting Bali for summer vacation and presented with a description of a resort hotel located near a beautiful beach with luxurious facilities, such as a spa. Next, they answered a hotel satisfaction questionnaire.

In the second step, 300 participants are distributed randomly into three different scenarios. These three scenarios represent a low, middle, and high death-taboo context, respectively. Finally, participants reported their level of fear and finally the repeat purchase intention with the hotel.

Satisfaction and fear

The results find that the relationship between satisfaction and fear is show in figure.

\[ SF(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left( \frac{(f - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \]

3.2. Transformation Process

In the system approach theory, we consider the transformation process as a black box. In this case, the fear
variable is taken as an example as the transformation between satisfaction and repeat purchase intention. Thus, deriving from (2), (4) and (5), we can obtain.

\[
SF(d, f) = \lambda \cdot \exp(-\lambda d) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma^2}} \exp \left( \frac{(f - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \right)
\]

(6)

In which satisfaction is a joint density with the random variable \(d\) and \(f\).

3.3. The Repeat Purchase Intention and Satisfaction

The analysis results from the experiment method, we can find the a linear relationship between repeat purchase intention and satisfaction. It shows in figure 3.

\[RPI = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 SF(d, f)\]

(7)

3.4. The Repeat Purchase Intention and Fear

Based on the results of analysis, we can find that the middle level of fear may case higher level of repeat purchase intention but the lower level of repeat purchase intention is happened in the higher or lower level of fear situation. It can show in figure 4.

\[RPI(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \tau^2}} \exp \left( \frac{(f - \omega)^2}{\tau^2} \right)\]

(8)

4. The Analysis and Results

We separate the 300 data into two parts. One is for parameters estimation of the proposed model. Another is for model validation. The process is as following: (1) We use 150 data to estimate the parameters of models. (2) Then, use the results to figure out the model. (3) To put another 150 data in the model to predict the dependent variable. (4) Finally, to calculate how close the prediction results and the real data.

4.1. The Parameters Estimation

We use maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) to estimate the parameters of equation (6), (7) and (8). The results are shown in table 1.

| \(\lambda\) | \(\mu\) | \(\sigma^2\) | \(\alpha_0\) | \(\alpha_1\) | \(\omega\) | \(\tau^2\) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3.7892 | 4.235 | 0.9987 | 3.616 | 0.009 | -0.343 | 1.298 |

4.2. The Model Validation

We calculate how close the prediction results and the real data. The root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) is used by computing the mean of total distance between the prediction data(R1) and the real data(R2). It can be demonstrate in

\[
\text{RMSD} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (R_{1j} - R_{2j})^2}
\]

The results shows RMSD=0.835 which is smaller than 1. Thus the fitness is acceptable.

5. The Conclusion

This research takes a new view of point to consider satisfaction and repeat purchase intention as an input-output process and use stochastic concepts to form the model to portray this process. The taboo marketing for hotel accommodation is used for case study in which the variable “fear” is consider as the black box variable of transformation process in input-output series. The experiment method is conduct to collect data for parameter estimation and model validation. The result shows acceptable fitness. Thus, in the future, the managers can use the view of point of input-output process to explore customers’ satisfaction and repeat purchase intention. In this process, the “black box” as the transformation is an opened setting which can provide the researches or managers to substitute the suitable variable into it.

For the future research, the black box is depended on the research topic and allows more than one variables. Thus the proposed model is flexible and can discuss extended relationships between satisfaction and repeat purchase intention. The researches can also consider other distribution for example log normal distribution to describe each variable.
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