Left circumflex artery injury following surgical mitral valve replacement: a case report

Prakriti Gaba 1, Tsuyoshi Kaneko 2, Ajar Kochar 1, Jonathan Sung 1, Patrick T. O’Gara 1, and Deepak L. Bhatt 1*

1Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 75 Francis St, Boston, MA 02115, USA; and 2Division of Cardiac Surgery, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 75 Francis St, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Received 1 June 2021; first decision 13 July 2021; accepted 1 November 2021; online publish-ahead-of-print 23 November 2021

Background

Mitral valve (MV) repair or replacement surgery is indicated for a variety of conditions. Although uncommon, damage to the left circumflex (LCx) coronary artery, which courses in close proximity to the MV annulus, is a devastating complication.

Case summary

This report describes the case of a 63-year-old woman following re-operative MV replacement. Shortly after being transferred to the surgical intensive care unit after MV replacement, her EKG was notable for persistent inferolateral ST-segment elevations and reciprocal ST-segment depressions. Emergency transthoracic echocardiogram revealed a reduced left ventricular ejection fraction of 35–40% and mid to distal lateral wall motion hypokinesis. She was emergently taken to the cardiac catheterization laboratory where coronary angiography demonstrated complete occlusion of her mid LCx artery. She underwent urgent percutaneous coronary intervention of the lesion and was started on dual antiplatelet treatment, anticoagulation for comorbid atrial fibrillation, as well as guideline directed medical therapy with improvement in her EKG changes and cardiac function.

Conclusion

Prompt diagnosis and recognition of LCx injury is crucial. Management involves immediate percutaneous recanalization or surgical coronary bypass grafting.
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Learning points

• Left circumflex (LCx) artery flow may be compromised due to persistent mechanical kinking of the vessel from an abutting suture during and after surgical mitral valve (MV) surgery.
• Patients with pre-existing MV replacements undergoing reoperation are particularly susceptible to these complications.
• Early diagnostic and management approaches can mitigate long-term adverse sequelae, such as left ventricular remodelling, heart failure, and arrhythmias in patients with LCx artery injury.
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**Introduction**

Injury to the left circumflex (LCx) artery occurs rarely during mitral valve (MV) surgery.¹⁻³ It was first described by Danielson et al. in 1967 and has been subsequently confirmed in a variety of case reports. There are multiple mechanisms by which damage to the LCx artery may occur. These include direct injury to the artery with an encircling suture, kinking of the vessel during valve or ring implantation given the anatomic proximity of the annulus of the valve to the circumflex artery, and compression from an over-sized prosthesis.² Other mechanisms include embolism of air or particulate matter and coronary vasospasm.³,⁴

**Timeline**

A 63-year-old woman was transferred to the surgical intensive care unit (ICU) for routine monitoring and postoperative care following re-operative MV replacement (MVR, 25 mm On-X mechanical valve), left atrial maze, and appendage closure via a right minithoracotomy. She was afebrile and normotensive with a heart rate of 58 beats per minute and room air oxygen saturation of 97%. Her jugular venous pressure was 12 cm of H₂O. Breath sounds were grossly clear anteriorly. A mechanical S₁ was audible without an appreciable murmur. Electrocardiogram (ECG) revealed sinus bradycardia with inferolateral ST-segment elevations (Figure 1) and reciprocal ST-segment depressions in leads aVL, V2, and V3.

Her medical history was notable for primary mitral regurgitation due to a congenital cleft of the A2 scallop for which she underwent MV repair in 2002 with implantation of a Cosgrove-Edwards ring. She subsequently developed post-repair mitral stenosis and AF, leading to multiple cardioversion procedures and catheter ablation in 2014. Re-operative MVR was undertaken in 2021. As part of the surgery, the anterior leaflet of the MV was removed as was the previously placed mitral ring. A 23 mm Onyx valve was sutured into place without obvious complications.

The differential diagnosis for the inferolateral injury current included intra-procedural LCx coronary artery compromise, air or particulate matter embolism, or coronary artery vasospasm. The repolarization changes persisted on repeat ECG approximately 1 h later. Emergency bedside transthoracic echocardiogram revealed a reduced left ventricular ejection fraction of 35–40% and mid to distal lateral wall motion hypokinesis, significantly more marked than the mild lateral wall hypokinesis noted on intraoperative transoesophageal echocardiography on separation from cardiopulmonary bypass. A rapid heart team discussion was held between the cardiothoracic surgery and interventional cardiology teams. Together, they felt coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) would provide the swiftest restoration of myocardial blood flow and would prevent repeat sternotomy, as a result of which the cardiac catheterization laboratory was activated for emergency angiography.

Coronary angiography demonstrated complete occlusion of her mid LCx artery (Video 1). This finding was new compared with her preoperative catheterization a month prior (Video 2). There was concern for intraoperative injury to the LCx artery. Percutaneous
reperfusion was undertaken. The initial attempt to cross the lesion was unsuccessful with a work-horse wire (Sion Blue) (Video 3); a subsequent antegrade wire escalation strategy with a Pilot 50 wire supported by a Turnpike LP micro-catheter was successful in navigating the lesion. Balloon angioplasty of the region of interest was performed with a variety of semicompliant, non-compliant, and scoring balloons with recoil and without re-establishment of distal flow (Supplementary material online, Video S1). Intravascular coronary ultrasound (IVUS) was performed and demonstrated significant narrowing of the mid LCx artery (Supplementary material online, Video S2). Potential causes for the lack of antegrade flow included large thrombus burden (not appreciated on IVUS), no-reflow phenomenon (unlikely as good distal flow from micro-catheter injection was seen), severe coronary vasospasm, or persistent mechanical kinking of the vessel related to MVR. Intracoronary nitroglycerine, nitroprusside, and epinephrine were trialed to ensure there was no
component of coronary spasm. Coronary stenting was felt indicated to provide sufficient radial strength in the LCx to maintain vessel patency. A 2.5 × 38 mm Synergy drug-eluting stent was deployed and post-dilated with both 2.5 mm and 3.0 mm non-compliant balloons with restoration of TIMI III flow of both the LCx and the branching large obtuse marginal vessels (Supplementary material online, Videos S3 and S4).

The patient was started on triple anti-thrombotic therapy with aspirin, clopidogrel, and warfarin. Her troponin T level peaked at 6706 nanograms/litre. She was continued on triple therapy for 2 weeks after which aspirin was discontinued.

**Discussion**

In our patient, the aetiology of LCx injury was felt to be due to kinking of the LCx (Figure 2A), given the rapid recoil after balloon inflation, the findings on IVUS, and the ultimate successful deployment of a stent. Full stent deployment would likely not have been possible in the case of complete suture-related occlusion. Patients with codominant or left dominant coronary circulations are particularly susceptible to LCx arterial damage due to the even closer proximity of the artery to the MV annulus. In a study by Virmani et al., the average distance between LCx artery and the annulus was 4.1 mm in those with left dominance, 5.5 mm in those with codominance, and 8.4 mm in those with right dominance. Subsequent studies have confirmed these findings with some describing distances as close as 1 mm in patients with left or co-dominant anatomy. In addition, patients whom have had prior MV annuloplasty (as was the case in our patient) have a higher rate of complications at time of re-operative MVR due to excess commissure resection.

Early diagnosis is crucial to mitigate the longer-term adverse sequelae of LCx occlusion. Intraoperative TEE is recommended during MV surgery and allows the surgical team to assess wall motion quickly and prior to chest closure. Recent innovations with three-dimensional TTE may allow operators to accurately measure horizontal and vertical distances of the LCx from the MV annulus, as well as detect any obstruction to flow within the artery with colour Doppler. Postoperative ECGs are a routine feature of postsurgical management. Lastly, the findings noted on preoperative cardiac computed tomography, if performed, can sometimes include recognition

![Video 3 Unsuccessful initial attempt to cross the lesion with a work-horse wire (Sion Blue).](https://academic.oup.com/ehjcr/article/5/12/ytab464/6433682)
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**Figure 2** (A) Coronary angiogram of the left circumflex artery abutting the mitral valve annulus with evidence of iatrogenic arterial kinking after contrast injection. (B) Coronary angiogram of the left circumflex artery abutting the mitral valve annulus with re-establishment of TIMI III flow after percutaneous coronary intervention.
of the course of the LCx artery and coronary sinus relative to the MV annulus. These data may inform the technical approach to commis-
sural resection and device implantation.

Management involves urgent re-establishment of coronary flow. When LCx compromise is detected intraoperatively and prior to chest closure, surgical correction is the preferred approach. However, when the complication is detected after chest closure and transfer to the ICU, both PCI, which was successfully performed in our patient’s case, and coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) can be utilized to restore myocardial perfusion. PCI is preferred in cases of coronary arterial kinking given the faster nature of the procedure and avoidance of repeat sternotomy. However, when the vessel is obstructed by an encircling suture, CABG or repositioning of the prosthesis are reasonable alternatives.

Repeat ECG after PCI demonstrated inferolateral Q waves. A TTE performed 2 days later showed a left ventricular ejection fraction of 40% with a persistent inferolateral wall motion abnormality. The patient was started on guideline directed medical therapy and ultimately discharged after achieving a therapeutic INR and confirming the absence of bleeding.

Conclusions

Injury to the LCx artery is a rare but potentially devastating complication of MV surgery and can occur by a variety of mechanisms, including direct injury from an encircling suture, kinking, or compression from an oversized prosthetic valve. Prompt diagnosis with a high index of suspicion is crucial to mitigate long-term adverse sequelae. Management involves immediate recanalization with PCI, CABG, and/or repositioning of the prosthesis.
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Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at European Heart Journal - Case Reports online.

Slide sets: A fully edited slide set detailing this case and suitable for local presentation is available online as Supplementary data.
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