Implementation of Low Voltage Sub-Bandgap Voltage Reference in 90nm CMOS
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Abstract—The paper introduces a new low voltage design Sub-bandgap reference circuit consists of the MOSFETs and BJT subthreshold. The proposed sub-BGR circuit shall be implemented in a standard CMOS technology of 90 nm. The results achieved low power consumption in sub-BGR circuit and operated from 0.7v to 2v supply voltage. The simulation was carried out at voltage variations and temperature variation at range of -20°C–140°C on the cadence virtuoso using spectre simulator.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The increase in portable device usage has boosted to emphasis on minimizing power, speed and area. With the advancement of the semiconductor manufacturing technology the feature size of the devices had been reduced to nanometers. More devices are integrated on the same area of the chip, thus significantly improving system performance. The CMOS subthreshold voltage references (VRs) were operated with low supply voltage and consumed low power [1]. The VRs can be categorized as two types: one type relating to the bandgap voltage of Silicon or a fraction of it (i.e., subbandgap) with BJTs and resistors, The other was related to other factors such as MOS transistor threshold voltages and MOS transistor subthreshold parameters (For resistors with or without [1]–[4]. Although several low-power VRs were developed in [1]–[20], the other output voltage either increases exponentially or decreases linearly with temperature. The most popular on-chip integration solution is the Bandgap Voltage Reference (BGR), which can be used in standard CMOS technology that exploits parasitic vertical BJTs. Conventional BGRs produce an independent reference for low temperature, approximately 1.25 V and thus require a higher supply voltage that may not satisfy the low–voltage requirements for low–power applications. However, many approaches have been introduced using the BGR concept to maintain sub–1V Operation [3]. Bandgap references (BGRs) are widely used for generating a temperature-insensitive reference voltage determined by the bandgap of silicon[5]–[6]. The BGR typically uses PN diodes to produce both proportional-to-absolute (PTAT) and complementary-to-absolute (CTAT) temperature. The circuits given in [6] and [7] The switched-capacitor voltage divider and charge pump were used to achieve a complementary-to absolute-temperature division (CTAT) voltage (VBE) and low voltage power supply, but the temperature coefficient (TC) is as high as 75 ppm/°C and the temperature range is limited to be only 0°C–80°C [7]. CMOS VRs [8]–[12] has several issues remaining, such as leakage current consumption, low reference voltage of 257.5mv and wide area. In this work, we propose a CMOS subthreshold voltage reference (VR) implemented in a circuit. The reference voltage is biased with the temperature-independent, increasing performance and accuracy of circuit with very low sensitivity to variations in process, supply voltage. For the rest of the paper, Section II present the materials and methods of the voltage reference. The measurement results of a prototype design in a standard 0.90-µm CMOS process are introduced and analyzed in Section III.

II. PROPOSED VOLTAGE REFERENCE

Fig. 1 shows the proposed sub-BGR circuit using a single parasitic vertical PNP BJT, i.e., Q0 to generate CTAT Voltage and poly-resistance R2 for PTAT voltage generation. The circuit process can be described as the summation of two currents (one PTAT and the other CTAT). BJT ’s base emitter forward voltage indicates a negative TC, for a bipolar system collector current IC and saturation current IS depends on temperature as shown below

\[ I_C = I_s \exp\left(\frac{V_{BE}}{V_T}\right) \]  

(1)

\[ I_S = bT^{(4+\nu)} \exp\left(\frac{E_s}{KT}\right) \]  

(2)

The current \( I_R \) flowing through the resistor \( R_2 \) is given by

\[ I_R = \frac{V_{BE}}{R_2} \]  

(3)

where VBE is the emitter-base voltage of Q0 as shown in equation (3), the voltage VBE should be decreased and R2 increased in order to reduce the current IR and thus the power consumption. Although, improving R2 will eventually increase the chip area consumption. So the best way to do that is to...
minimize the $V_{BE}$ value. Decreasing the $Q_0$ current often reduces its base-emitter voltage. Reducing $V_{BE}$ however, also where $V_{BE}$ is the emitter-base voltage of $Q_0$, as shown in equation (3), the voltage $V_{BE}$ should be decreased and $R_2$ increased in order to reduce the current $I_B$ and thus the power consumption. Although, improving $R_2$ will eventually increase the chip area consumption. So the best way to do that is to minimize the $V_{BE}$ value. Decreasing the $Q_0$ current often reduces its base-emitter voltage. Reducing $V_{BE}$ however, also reduces the negative TC or increases the absolute value of $V_{BE}$ TC since $V_{BE}$ derivative analysis is given as

$$\frac{\partial V_{BE}}{\partial T} = \frac{V_T}{T} \ln \left( \frac{I_C}{I_S} - (4 + m) \right) \frac{V_T}{T} - \frac{E_g}{q} V_T$$

(4)

$$\frac{\partial V_{BE}}{\partial T} = \frac{V_BE - (4 + m)V_T - E_g / q}{T}$$

(5)

where $m$ is the nonidentical factor of transistor, $V_T$ is the thermal voltage, $E_g$ is the bandgap energy of silicon, and $q$ the elementary charge. The current through $Q_0$ should not be too small so as not to increase the required positive TC of the proportional-to-absolute-temperature (PTAT) voltage. To optimize the power and area, the current $I_B$ through $R_2$ is chosen to be three times of the current through $Q_0$. The combination of the PMOS with a P+ poly resistor $R_2$ produces a PTAT current flow. Reference voltage with nominally zero temperature coefficient is obtained with negative and positive TC voltage. The circuit is designed using 90nm CMOS technology.

![Fig. 1. Schematic of the proposed sub-BGR](image)

The operational amplifier OPA shown in Fig. 2 enforces that nodes to have equal potential. The OPA uses the folded cascode structure to improve the loop gain and Power. The offset voltage of the OPA can be minimized by using large-size input transistors and coupling capacitor. The transistors $PM_4-PM_1$ are current mirrors and the size of $PM_3$ is approximately two times of $PM_2$ similarly $PM_1-PM_5$. The transistor $NM_3$ work as diode connected NMOS, So the current through $NM_2$ is the same as that of $R_2$.

The PTAT voltage generator consists of transistors $NM_4$-$NM_5$ all of which work in the subthreshold region. The subthreshold drain current $I_D$ of a MOSFET is an exponential function of its gate source voltage $V_{GS}$ and drain source voltage $V_{DS}$.

For $V_{DS} \geq 0.1 \text{ V}$, drain current $I_D$ is almost independent of $V_{DS}$ and is approximately given by

$$I_D = K \mu C_{ox} (\eta - 1) V_T^2 \exp \left( \frac{V_{GS} - V_{TH}}{\eta V_T} \right)$$

(6)

$$\Delta V_{GS} = V_{GS5} - V_{GS4} = \eta V_T \ln \left( \frac{nK_4}{K_5} \right)$$

(7)

where $K$ is the aspect ratio (W/L) of the transistor, $\mu$ is the carrier mobility, $C_{ox}$ is the gate-oxide capacitance, $V_T$ is the thermal voltage, $V_{TH}$ is the threshold voltage of the MOSFET, and $\eta$ is the subthreshold slope factor. The drain source voltage $V_{DS}$ of transistors $NM_2-NM_7$ are designed to be larger than 0.1 V. The threshold voltages of $NM_4$ and $NM_5$ are the same, and the drain current of $NM_5$ is two times that of $NM_4$, so the drain-source voltage of $NM_5$ can be derived from (6) is given by

$$V_{DS5} = \Delta V_{GS} = V_{GS5} - V_{GS4} = \eta V_T \ln \left( \frac{nK_4}{K_5} \right)$$

(8)

In a similar way, the voltage $V_{DS7}$ is given by

$$V_{DS7} = \Delta V_{GS} = V_{GS7} - V_{GS6} = \eta V_T \ln \left( \frac{nK_6}{K_7} \right)$$

(9)

![Fig. 2. Schematic of the OPA used in the Sub-BGR circuit.](image)

![Fig. 3. Practically measured $V_{out}$ with respect to change in supply voltage.](image)
Fig 3. Shows the simulated output voltage determined against 0v to 2v. Fig. 3. Simulated output voltage measured was also shown by temperature variation -20°C–140°C. The generated $V_{ref}$ of the proposed sub-BGR is 248 mv and also associated in the PTAT term with the process parameter $\eta$ in the PTAT term, which is not constant in actual system and depends on the capacitance of the gate-oxide and depletion layer. Still the variance is not too large. The TC of $V_{ref}$ is also related to the process parameter and the effect of parameter $\eta$ on $V_{ref}$ and TC of $V_{ref}$ are similar, so output $V_{ref}$ variation is very small. Therefore, the output voltage $V_{ref}$ is given by

$$V_{ref} = V_{GS} + \frac{V_x}{R_2} V_{BE} + V_{of}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

$$V_{ref} = nV_T \ln\left(\frac{nK_6K_5}{K_3K_7}\right) + \frac{V_{BE}}{R_2gm_1} + V_{of}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

The derivative of $V_{ref}$ over temperature is given by

$$\frac{\delta V_{ref}}{\delta T} = \eta \frac{k}{q} \ln\left(\frac{nK_6K_5}{K_3K_7}\right) + \frac{K_N}{R_2gm_{11}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

where $K_N$ is the negative TC of $V_{BE}$. A zero TC can be obtained since the two terms of (12) can cancel each other with proper design, and hence $V_{ref}$ would be stable across a temperature variation range. The absolute value of the negative TC is reduced by $1/gm_{12}$ times compared with the traditional current-mode bandgap voltage reference. So the PTAT compensation factor of $(K_4K_5K_6K_7)$ can also be reduced, which helps reduce the power and area of the PTAT circuit.

III. RESULT

The proposed sub-BGR schematic is developed using cadence virtuoso tool in a standard 90-nm CMOS. Simulated output using Spectre simulator as shown in figures. Fig 4. shows the measured output voltage $V_{ref}$ versus temperature variance range. Fig 5. shows the histogram simulation results of $V_{ref}$ and TC. The average $V_{ref}$ and standard deviation are 248.352 mv and 4.967 nV respectively, leading to a variation coefficient i.e minimum. Fig 6 and 7 show The spectral power of the sub-BGR proposed in Fig 6 shows Power versus voltage range from 0v to 2v and Power variation is nearly constant from 0.7v –2v. Fig 7. show the average power consumption and standard deviation are 457pw and 231pw respectively, with range of temperature -20°C–140°C.
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