Simple ions control the elasticity of calcite gels via interparticle forces
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Abstract

Suspensions of calcite in water are employed in many industrial fields such as paper filling, pharmaceutics or heritage conservation. Whereas organics are generally used to tune the rheological properties of the paste, we also expect simple ions to be able to control the suspension rheology via the interparticle forces. We have thus investigated the impact of calcium, sodium and hydroxide ions on the elasticity of a colloidal gel of nanocalcite. We confront our macroscopic measurements to DLVO interaction potentials, based on chemical speciations and measurements of the zeta potential. Upon addition of calcium hydroxide, we observe a minimum in shear modulus, correlated to a maximum in the DLVO energy barrier, due to two competing effects: Calcium adsorption onto calcite surface rises the zeta potential, while increasing salt concentration induces stronger electrostatic screening. We also demonstrate that the addition of sodium hydroxide completely screens the surface charge and leads to a more rigid paste. A second important result is that carbonation of the calcite suspensions by the atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} leads to a convergent high elasticity of the colloidal gels, whatever their initial value, also well rationalized by DLVO theory and resulting from a decrease in zeta potential.
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1. Introduction

Calcium carbonate, including its most stable polymorph, calcite, is one of the most abundant mineral on Earth. It is found in limestone, chalk and marble, and has been used for thousands of years as construction material. As a major constituent of rock reservoirs, it is also of crucial importance for oil recovery or CO\textsubscript{2} sequestration [1]. Finally, ground or precipitated calcite particles are used in various industries (cement, paper, etc.) as fillers or raw materials [2].

Related to these applications, rock-fracture [3], oil dewetting [4] or flow of colloidal suspensions [5] are macroscopic phenomena largely influenced by mineral surfaces and their interactions through aqueous solutions. The solution physico-chemistry is influenced by the solubility product of the solid phases, including calcium carbonate, which in turn modify surface properties. For instance, calcium and carbonate sites present at the calcite surface get hydrated respectively by the OH\textsuperscript{−} and H\textsuperscript{+} ions of the solution. They also form complexes with, e.g. calcium and carbonate ions of the solution [6–8]. These so-called potential determining ions thereby modify the calcite surface charge and zeta potential [9].

To characterize surface forces between calcite planes immersed in aqueous solutions, measurements based on atomic force microscopy [5, 10–12] and surface force apparatus [13] have been undertaken in the recent years, but no consensus has emerged yet on the nature of dominant interactions between calcite surfaces. These interactions are for example not easily described by the classical Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek (DLVO) model [14]. In particular, nanometric-range repulsions have been evidenced [10, 11] and attributed to the so-called repulsive secondary hydration forces originating from the compression and dehydration of hydrated counterions in the vicinity of the solid surfaces [15]. However, experimentally, the reactivity-induced rough-
ening of the calcite surface can also lead to an apparent repulsion [13, 16] and discriminating between the two effects is challenging. Besides, additional non-DLVO attractive forces induced by ion-ion correlation are suspected to play a role in calcite surface interactions [12].

A different approach to investigate mineral interactions is to study the mechanical responses of mineral suspensions as a function of the physico-chemical conditions. The link between microscopic interactions and rheological properties of dense suspensions has indeed been investigated both theoretically [17–20] and experimentally [21–29]. In particular, previous works focused on the relation between the yield stress \( \sigma_y \) and the zeta potential \( \zeta \) [17–20, 25–29] in the limit of small electrostatic repulsion.

In this study, we investigate the interactions between calcium carbonate surfaces in aqueous solutions by performing macroscopic elasticity measurements of suspensions of calcite colloids, combined with zeta potential measurements and chemical speciation calculations. Due to the specific shape and size of our calcite colloids, the pure calcite paste behaves as a typical colloidal fractal gel [30], revealing attractive interactions between particles. By adding specific ions such as calcium, it is possible to increase efficiently the electrostatic repulsion, beyond the small repulsion regime. By varying the solution physico-chemistry of this reactive suspension (i.e. ionic strength and ions content), we go from strongly attractive to almost repulsive systems. Nevertheless, all suspensions have an elastic-like behavior, spanning two orders of magnitude in shear modulus. We show a direct correlation between the paste elastic modulus and the DLVO energy barrier, which is not only tuned by the zeta potential but also by the Debye length, hence the ionic strength which is here varied from 1 to 100 mM. We thereby obtain hints on the interaction forces at play at the microscopic scale between calcium carbonate particles in an aqueous environment.

More precisely, we have investigated how the addition of calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)\(_2\)) or sodium hydroxide (NaOH) to the calcite suspension modifies its mechanical properties. Calcium hydroxide has been chosen because calcium is a potential-determining ion of calcium carbonate [5, 31–34] inducing an increase of the positive zeta potential. On the contrary, sodium hydroxide lowers the \( \zeta \) potential. Regarding rheological properties, we have focused on elastic modulus measurements and followed continuously the evolution of the paste with time.

Our first main result is that carbonation of the paste by the atmospheric CO\(_2\) leads to a convergent high rigidity of the pastes, whatever their initial value. Our second main result is that the initial elasticity of the calcite suspension exhibits a non-monotonous behavior with the concentration of calcium hydroxide, due to a crossover between two competing effects: calcium adsorption raises the zeta potential while increasing salt concentration induces higher electrostatic screening. Oppositely, the addition of sodium hydroxide strongly decreases the electrostatic repulsion and increases the elastic modulus.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample preparation

We use Socal 31 calcite powder (from Solvay, now available from Imerys) with an average particle diameter of 70 nm, a density of 2710 kg/m\(^3\) and a specific surface area of 17 m\(^2\)/g. The calcite particles are faceted as shown on the TEM image (Fig. 1). To obtain a calcite suspension, the powder is homogeneously dispersed in various solutions using a vortex stirrer (Ultra Turrax TD300) at a mixing rate of 5800 rpm for 5 minutes. The initial calcite volume concentration is fixed to \( \phi = 10\%\). To investigate the effects of simple ionic additives, we disperse calcium hydroxide Ca(OH)\(_2\) (concentration \( c \) ranging from 3 to 50 mM) or sodium hydroxide NaOH (concentration 94 mM) in deionized water. Both chemicals are from Sigma Aldrich.

As we found that the addition of 3 mM of Ca(OH)\(_2\) to deionized water increases the pH of the initial solution up to 8, avoiding an initial calcite dissolution, 3 mM is the smallest studied concentration of Ca(OH)\(_2\). Moreover, we added this quantity of Ca(OH)\(_2\) to the one containing NaOH.

For all the samples, pH values are measured with a pH-meter (Mettler-Toledo or Hanna Edge), right after the beginning of the rheological test. The reproducibility range of the pH values is ±0.2.

2.2. Rheological measurements

In order to investigate the role of ionic additives on the shear elastic modulus of the calcite pastes, we use the following protocol. The measurements are performed with a stress-controlled rotational rheometer (Anton Paar MCR 301) in a plate-plate geometry at room temperature. The upper and lower plate diameters are 36 and 64 mm, respectively. The gap width is fixed at 1 mm and the plates are covered with sand paper (roughness 46 \( \mu m \)) in the aim to make slippage at the wall negligible [30]. The measurements consist in two steps. A
first pre-shear step consists in a 1 minute imposed shear rate of \( \dot{\gamma} = 10 \, \text{s}^{-1} \), in order to start from comparable initial conditions for each sample. We then apply a constant deformation of \( \gamma = 0.01 \% \) at frequency \( f = 1 \, \text{Hz} \) during 10 h, for which we measure the temporal evolution of the linear storage modulus \( G'(t) \) of the sample. The imposed deformation is small enough to remain in the linear regime [30]. In particular, we extract the initial value of the linear storage modulus \( G'(0) \). During all measurements, we maintain the sample in a moisture chamber. It prevents the calcite paste from drying but does not insulate it totally from the atmosphere.

### 2.3. DLVO calculation

To characterize the strength of inter-particle interactions, we use the classical Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek theory [14]. In this model, the interaction potential is the combination of two contributions: Van der Waals attraction and repulsion arising from the electrical double layer. As the studied particles have a nanometer-range size, they exhibit crystalline facets, as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, the interaction between particles is considered here to proceed between parallel planes.

In this geometry and in the Debye-Hückel approximation, the DLVO potential per unit area reads:

\[
W = -\frac{A}{12\pi x^3} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\lambda_D^2} \exp\left(-x/\lambda_D\right)
\]

with \( x \) the distance between surfaces, \( A \) the Hamaker constant, \( \varepsilon \) the water permittivity, \( \lambda_D \) the Debye length and \( \zeta \) the zeta potential. The Van der Waals attraction is proportional to the Hamaker constant \( A \), equal to \( 1.44 \cdot 10^{-20} \) for the calcite-water-calcite system [35] and is inversely proportional to the distance squared. The electrostatic repulsion has been calculated in the Debye-Hückel limit corresponding to small electrical potentials compared to \( k_B T/e = 25 \, \text{mV} \). In this limit, the repulsion varies as the square of the zeta potential \( \zeta \), its range being given by the Debye length \( \lambda_D \).

The competition between these two terms can lead to a non-monotonic potential profile as shown in Fig. 5. Attraction will be observed if the energy barrier is of the order or smaller than thermal agitation: \( W_{\text{max}} \lesssim k_B T/\alpha^2 \).

In order to calculate the repulsive term we need to know both \( \zeta \) and \( \lambda_D \). The zeta potential can be measured directly on the paste as detailed in the following section. The Debye length is given by:

\[
\lambda_D = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon k_B T}{2e^2 I}}
\]

where \( I \) is the ionic strength, defined as \( I = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i z_i^2 / 2 \) with \( c_i \) and \( z_i \) the concentration and valence of all species in solution. The ionic strength is calculated from the chemical speciation of calcite suspensions, as explained in Section 2.5.

### 2.4. \( \zeta \) potential measurements

Zeta potential measurements are carried out directly on the concentrated calcite suspensions at \( \phi = 10\% \) with an electroacoustic technique [36, 37] by using a ZetaProbe instrument (DT-310 Dispersion Technology). The ultrasound wave generated by the ZetaProbe dipped in the suspension creates a pressure gradient that, in turn, generates an electrical current (Colloidal Vibration Current, CVI) detected by the probe itself. The electrical signal is converted into electrophoretic mobility and then into zeta potential according to the Smoluchowski model. Input parameters are the density (0.997 g/cm³), viscosity (0.890 mPa s) and relative permittivity (78.85) of the liquid medium (water) and the density of calcium carbonate. The particle size was set below 300 nm. The measurements have been repeated three times for each sample. The dispersion between these measurements is lower than 1%. For the pure calcite, and the samples with concentrations of 30 and 50 mM of calcium hydroxide, two different samples were tested. The two measurements were reproducible with precision less than 1.5 mV.
2.5. Chemical speciation

In order to quantify the ionic strength, the last unknown value in the DLVO calculation, the full ionic composition of the suspending fluid is calculated with the speciation freeware Visual MINTEQ [38].

2.5.1. Calcite equilibrium reactions

The carbonate equilibria depend strongly on the pH and on the dissolved carbon dioxide. For pH above 10, dissolved CO$_2$ is mostly in the form of carbonate CO$_3^{2-}$ and for pH below 10 in the form of bicarbonate HCO$_3^-$. Moreover, calcite once dissolved in water produces several chemical species such as: H$_2$CO$_3$ (carbonic acid), HCO$_3^-$, CO$_3^{2-}$, Ca$^{2+}$, CaHCO$_3^-$, CaOH$^+$, Ca(OH)$_2$(aq) and CaCO$_3$(aq) involved in the following reactions [39]:

\[(a) \quad \text{CaCO}_3(s) \rightleftharpoons \text{CaCO}_3(aq), \quad K_a = 10^{-5.09} \]
\[(b) \quad \text{CaCO}_3(aq) \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-}, \quad K_b = 10^{-3.25} \]
\[(c) \quad \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{OH}^-, \quad K_c = 10^{-3.67} \]
\[(d) \quad \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{OH}^-, \quad K_d = 10^{-7.65} \]
\[(e) \quad \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \rightleftharpoons \text{CO}_2(g) + \text{H}_2\text{O}, \quad K_e = 10^{1.47} \]
\[(f) \quad \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{HCO}_3^- \rightleftharpoons \text{CaHCO}_3^-, \quad K_f = 10^{0.82} \]
\[(g) \quad \text{CaHCO}_3^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}^+ + \text{CaCO}_3(aq), \quad K_g = 10^{7.90} \]
\[(h) \quad \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{OH}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{CaOH}^+, \quad K_h = 10^{1.40} \]
\[(i) \quad \text{CaOH}^+ + \text{OH}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca(OH)}_2(aq), \quad K_i = 10^{1.37} \]
\[(j) \quad \text{Ca(OH)}_2(aq) \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca(OH)}_2(s), \quad K_j = 10^{2.45} \]

In particular, the calcite dissolution is described by reactions (a) and (b), the carbonate equilibria by reactions (c) to (e) and the calcium hydroxide dissolution by reactions (h) to (j).

Note that in our experiments we add calcium hydroxide up to 50 mM. The solubility limit of solid calcium hydroxide is around 20 mM [40]. Above this value, there initially remains some solid calcium hydroxide Ca(OH)$_2$(s), also called portlandite.

The above reactions can be recast to describe the carbonation of portlandite, i.e. its transformation into calcite

\[(k) \quad \text{Ca(OH)}_2(s) + \text{CO}_2(g) \rightleftharpoons \text{CaCO}_3(s) + \text{H}_2\text{O}, \quad K_k = 10^{13} \]

This implies that the transformation of solid portlandite to solid calcite is thus favored as long as the activity of CO$_2$ in the air $a_{CO_2}$ is larger than $K_k^{-1} = 10^{-13}$, which is the case for atmospheric carbon dioxide ($a_{CO_2} = 0.00038$).

Once portlandite is totally dissolved, further dissolution of CO$_2$ from the atmosphere leads to a pH decrease — reactions (c) to (e) — while the carbonate ions react with calcium to precipitate as calcite — reactions (a) and (b).

2.5.2. Procedure for chemical speciation

The chemical composition of our ionic solutions at equilibrium with calcite is calculated with the speciation software Visual MINTEQ [38] using the following procedure.

For all systems, calcite is imposed as an infinite solid phase. Calcium hydroxide is incorporated by one of the two following equivalent reactions. We can specify the corresponding concentrations of calcium and hydroxide ions, and add portlandite as possible solid phase to allow Ca(OH)$_2$ to precipitate. Equivalently, we can incorporate portlandite directly as finite solid phase.

Then CO$_2$ is inserted as CO$_3^{2-}$ component (input) in order to obtain the pH measured experimentally ranging from 9 to 13, signature of the degree of advancement of the carbonation reaction (k) in our sample. MINTEQ then calculates the ionic concentrations to satisfy the above chemical equilibria (a) to (j), water dissociation as well as charge balance. Imposing the CO$_2$ pressure at an intermediate value between 0 and the atmospheric pressure is also possible and yields equivalent results.

3. Results

3.1. Time variation of shear elasticity

Fig. 2 shows the temporal evolution of the elastic storage modulus $G'(\tau)$ for a sample containing $c = 50$ mM of calcium hydroxide. We observe that $G'$ increases with time by two orders of magnitude within a few hours. Given the physico-chemistry of aqueous solutions containing calcite, we suspect that this temporal evolution is the consequence of the dissolution of atmospheric CO$_2$ (reaction (e)), which modifies the solution physico-chemistry. To test this hypothesis, we performed the same experiment but surrounded the sheared sample with paraffin oil, as sketched in the inset of Fig. 2, in order to limit the contact with air. We indeed observe that the sample with paraffin oil exhibits a slower time evolution of the storage modulus, with a final value at least one order of magnitude lower than the one of the
Figure 2: Time evolution of the storage modulus of calcite suspension with 50 mM of Ca(OH)$_2$ for $\phi = 10\%$. In one case, paraffin oil is added around the plate-plate geometry to reduce the contact with the atmospheric CO$_2$ (see inset).

Figure 3: Time evolution of the storage modulus $G'$ of calcite suspensions of concentration $\phi = 10\%$, for increasing Ca(OH)$_2$ concentrations and a sample containing sodium hydroxide.

In contrast, $G'(0)$, the initial value of $G'(t)$, shows a large variability with the nature and concentration of added ions. More precisely, the initial elastic modulus decreases by two orders of magnitude as the calcium hydroxide concentration increases up to $c = 30$ mM, before increasing as $c$ goes from 30 to 50 mM. We thus observe a non-monotonic trend of $G'(0)$ with $c$.

To interpret these observations, we now study in more detail the chemical composition of the dispersing solutions.

### 3.2. Interparticle forces

#### 3.2.1. Chemical speciation

A complete chemical speciation is calculated for each sample with the software Visual MINTEQ using the protocol presented in Section 2.5. For various initial calcium hydroxide concentrations $c$, results of the most relevant parameters such as pH, calcium ion concentration [Ca$^{2+}$], ionic strength $I$ and Debye length $\lambda_D$ are collected in Table 1.

We report the values of pH and calcium ion concentration [Ca$^{2+}$] in the two extreme theoretical cases: a system without atmosphere and a system in equilibrium with the atmosphere (pCO$_2 = 0.00038$ atm). We also report the pH measured at the beginning of the rheological measurement, denoted pH$_{meas}$, and the corresponding calculated [Ca$^{2+}$], ionic strength $I$ and Debye length $\lambda_D$ (Eq. 2).

The measured pH is intermediate between the pH values reached in the two extreme cases, yet closer to the no atmosphere one, indicating that the exchange with CO$_2$ is limited during sample storage before the experiments. From the chemical speciations, we can also learn two important features. First, theoretical pH and [Ca$^{2+}$] of calcite paste without atmosphere are identical for $c = 30$ and 50 mM. This is because these concentrations are above the solubility limit of calcium hydroxide (20 mM) [40], and some solid portlandite remains in the system. Second, the systems in equilibrium with the atmosphere present the same chemistry independently of the initial calcium hydroxide $c$. This results from the dissolution of all introduced portlandite and carbonation into calcite (reaction $k$).

Finally, we show the absolute variations in calcite volume fraction $\Delta \phi_0$ at the beginning of the experiment and $\Delta \phi_f$ at long times. $\Delta \phi_0$ corresponds to the difference of the solid volume fraction $\phi$ between the initial experimental conditions and chemical equilibrium without atmosphere. $\Delta \phi_f$ is calculated as the difference between chemical equilibria with and without atmosphere.
The data in Table 1 illustrate the MINTEQ chemical speciation for a calcium carbonate sample and increasing initial Ca(OH)$_2$ concentration $c$. The pH and calcium ion concentration [Ca$^{2+}$] are reported for two extreme theoretical cases (system without atmosphere and in equilibrium with the atmosphere) and for our experimental conditions. $\Delta \phi_0$ (resp. $\Delta \phi_f$) is the variation in calcite volume fraction between the system at the initial experimental condition (resp. at equilibrium with atmosphere) and without atmosphere. $\Delta \phi < 0$ corresponds to dissolution and $\Delta \phi > 0$ to precipitation. The symbol pH$_{\text{meas}}$ (respectively pH) corresponds to the measured (respectively calculated) pH.

$\Delta \phi < 0$ (respectively $\Delta \phi > 0$) corresponds to a dissolution (resp. precipitation) of calcite. We find that calcite precipitation occurs in all samples, except for the pure calcite one, where limited dissolution initially takes place.

For the sample containing sodium hydroxide NaOH, the experimental chemical composition deduced from pH$_{\text{meas}} = 12.7$ is: [Ca$^{2+}$] = 1.8 $\cdot$ 10$^{-3}$ mM, $I = 90$ mM and $\lambda_D = 1$ nm.

### 3.2.2. Zeta potential measurements

In Fig. 4, zeta potential values are plotted as a function of the corresponding Ca$^{2+}$ concentration in the initial suspending solution. The calcium concentration is deduced from the speciation calculation knowing the experimental pH and increases with the initial calcium hydroxide concentration $c$.

We observe that the zeta potential, initially positive, increases, then saturates with the concentration of calcium ions. This confirms that calcium is a potential determining ion for calcite [31, 32], as it adsorbs preferentially on the calcite surface [5].

### 3.2.3. DLVO calculations

Once known the ionic strength, from the chemical speciation, and the zeta potential, we calculate the DLVO interaction potential between two infinite calcite planes. Fig. 5a shows the interaction potential per unit area as a function of the interparticle distance, calculated for various values of the initial calcium hydroxide concentration $c$.

For pure calcite the potential is weakly attractive with a barrier of the order of $k_BT/\alpha^2$. Increasing $c$, the repulsion barrier increases to around 22 $k_BT/\alpha^2$ for $c = 30$ mM, then drops down to 0.4 $k_BT/\alpha^2$ for $c = 50$ mM, exhibiting a non-monotonic behavior.

---

| $c$ (mM) | pH | [Ca$^{2+}$] (mM) | pH | [Ca$^{2+}$] (mM) | pH$_{\text{meas}}$ | I (mM) | $\lambda_D$ (nm) | $\Delta \phi_0$ (%) | $\Delta \phi_f$ (%) |
|----------|----|----------------|----|----------------|-----------------|--------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 0        | 9.9 | 0.12           | 8.2 | 0.51           | 8.9             | 0.24   | 0.73           | 11.1             | -0.01             |
| 3        | 11.6| 2.8            | 8.2 | 0.51           | 10.3            | 0.15   | 0.47           | 13.8             | 0.1               |
| 15       | 12.4| 11.8           | 8.2 | 0.51           | 11.0            | 0.55   | 1.7            | 7.3              | 0.5               |
| 30       | 12.4| 14.2           | 8.2 | 0.51           | 11.8            | 3.3    | 10.2           | 3.0              | 1.0               |
| 50       | 12.4| 14.2           | 8.2 | 0.51           | 12.4            | 13.0   | 43.2           | 1.4              | 1.1               |

Table 1: MINTEQ chemical speciation for $\phi = 10\%$ samples and increasing initial Ca(OH)$_2$ concentration $c$. Values of pH and calcium ion concentration [Ca$^{2+}$] are reported for the two extreme theoretical cases (system without atmosphere and in equilibrium with the atmosphere) and for our experimental conditions. $\Delta \phi_0$ (resp. $\Delta \phi_f$) is the variation in calcite volume fraction between the system at the initial experimental condition (resp. at equilibrium with atmosphere) and without atmosphere. $\Delta \phi < 0$ correspond to dissolution and $\Delta \phi > 0$ to precipitation. The symbol pH$_{\text{meas}}$ (respectively pH) corresponds to the measured (respectively calculated) pH.

Figure 4: Zeta potential $\zeta$ as a function of the initial concentration of calcium ions [Ca$^{2+}$] deduced from the speciation calculation (Table 1). The calcium content is modified by changing the initial Ca(OH)$_2$ concentration $c$. Horizontal error bars result from the experimental uncertainty in pH measurements. Vertical error bars shown for $c = 0, 30$ and 50 mM correspond to the reproducibility of $\zeta$ measurements. Inset: ionic strength $I$ as a function of [Ca$^{2+}$], calculated from chemical speciation.
Figure 5: (a) Plane-plane normalized DLVO interaction potentials as a function of the distance normalized by the particle size $a$. The various curves correspond to calcite suspensions containing an increasing initial concentration of calcium hydroxide $c$. In red, we highlight the maximum value of the interaction potential $W_{\text{max}}$ for $c = 30$ mM. (b) Interaction potentials for the three highest $c$. The colored regions illustrate the influence of the experimental uncertainty on pH measurements ($\pm 0.2$) on the ionic strength estimation.

In order to quantify the influence of the experimental pH$_{\text{meas}}$ uncertainties on the calculated ionic strength and on the energy barrier, we plot in Fig. 5b the interaction potential for the measured pH in its reproducibility range $\pm 0.2$, for the three highest concentrations $c$. We note that the impact of the pH value used for chemical speciation on the interaction potential, and consequently on the energy barrier, increases with calcium hydroxide concentration $c$. For $c = 15$ mM (resp. $c = 30$ mM), the energy barrier varies by a factor 12% (resp. 30%). In both cases, the potential remains repulsive. On the contrary, for $c = 50$ mM, the energy barrier varies from $W_{\text{max}} \approx 0.4 k_B T/a^2$ at pH = 12.4 to $W_{\text{max}} \approx 11 k_B T/a^2$ at pH = 12.2. For this case, a small variation of pH results in a complete change of the nature of the interaction, going from an attractive to a repulsive one.

Fig. 6 shows the results of the DLVO calculation of the interaction energy for pure calcite and calcite with sodium hydroxide. In the latter case, attraction is dominant and the energy profile coincides with pure Van der Waals attraction. The vanishing of the electrostatic repulsion results from the low zeta potential ($\zeta = -2.4$ mV), inducing a weak repulsion between the planes, and from the high ionic strength ($I = 90$ mM), which screens the surface charges and consequently reduces the range of the repulsion ($\lambda_D \approx 1$ nm).

Note that, for the calcium hydroxide-calcite system, the equilibrium pH cannot exceed 12.43, corresponding to the saturation in solid calcium hydroxide (portlandite). This fixes the lower bound on the interaction potential for $c = 50$ mM.


| c (mM) | [Ca\(^{2+}\)] (mM) | [CO\(_3^{2-}\)] (mM) | [HCO\(_3^-\)] (mM) |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 0      | 0.24                | 1.8 \cdot 10^{-2}  | 4.2 \cdot 10^{-3}  |
| 3      | 0.15                | 2.8 \cdot 10^{-2}  | 2.7 \cdot 10^{-2}  |
| 15     | 0.55                | 8.7 \cdot 10^{-3}  | 1.6 \cdot 10^{-3}  |
| 30     | 3.5                 | 2.3 \cdot 10^{-3}  | 5.8 \cdot 10^{-3}  |
| 50     | 13.0                | 1.1 \cdot 10^{-3}  | 5.5 \cdot 10^{-6}  |

Table 2: Concentrations of potential determining ions obtained by MINTEQ chemical speciation at the experimental conditions, with increasing Ca(OH)\(_2\) concentration c.

4. Discussion

4.1. Zeta potential

Calcium Ca\(^{2+}\) and carbonate CO\(_3^{2-}\) are known as potential determining ions of calcite [9]. Calcite surface sites are predominantly in their hydrated forms >CaOH\(^{-(1-x)}\) and >CO\(_3\)H\(^{+(1-x)}\) with \(x \approx 0.25\) [8, 41]. Potential determining ions form complexes with these surface sites, and thereby modify the overall surface charge and potential. At high calcium concentrations, its surface complexion is expected to be favored, leading to a zeta potential increase. On the contrary, increasing carbonate concentrations should lead to a decrease in zeta potential.

We observe in Fig. 4 that \(\zeta\) indeed increases with [Ca\(^{2+}\)], as more positively charged calcium ions adsorb on the surface. For [Ca\(^{2+}\)] > 3 mM, we observe that \(\zeta\) saturates. This behavior and the order of magnitude of the measured potentials are consistent with previous measurements on calcite particles [5].

We also observe that the zeta potential of pure calcite is slightly lower than the one measured with calcium hydroxide. This can be attributed to the role of the potential determining anions CO\(_3^{2-}\) and HCO\(_3^-\). The corresponding concentrations are presented in Table 2. For pure calcite, the main anion is HCO\(_3^-\), which complexes onto calcite (although more weakly than carbonate CO\(_3^{2-}\) [8, 41]) and thereby makes \(\zeta\) decrease. In all the solutions containing calcium hydroxide, the main anion is no more bicarbonate but hydroxide, which is not a potential determining ion, and therefore does not affect the \(\zeta\) potential.

Finally, in the sample with sodium hydroxide, the positive charge of Na\(^+\) ions (86 mM) is balanced with the negative ions: OH\(^-\) (52 mM), CO\(_3^{2-}\) (13 mM) and NaCO\(_3\) (8 mM). The negative potential determining ion CO\(_3^{2-}\) is much more concentrated than calcium (1.8 \cdot 10^{-3} mM). In the same time, sodium ions Na\(^+\) also (weakly) bind to negatively charged surface sites [8, 41]. This results in a slightly negative value of \(\zeta = -2.4 \text{ mV}\).

4.2. Validity of Poisson-Boltzmann approach

The elastic behavior of our calcite paste, observed at small volume fraction, down to 5% [30], suggests that attraction between calcite particles is significant and leads to the formation of a gel. The question we have to answer is the origin of the attraction. For chemically identical colloids, Van der Waals interaction induces attraction between colloids independently of the ion concentration, ion valence or particle surface charge [14]. For highly charged colloids or polyelectrolytes in presence of multivalent ions, correlations of ion fluctuations along the surfaces can lead to attraction [42-47]. As it derives from fluctuations, this attraction cannot be described by mean-field Poisson-Boltzmann theory. Moreover it is enhanced by ions valence and surface charge [44, 45]. The importance of these two quantities on the validity of the Poisson-Boltzmann theory has been quantitatively evaluated by Netz et al. using the non-dimensional parameter \(\Sigma\) defined by [48, 49]:

\[
\Sigma = 2\pi \varepsilon_0 \lambda B D
\]

where \(\varepsilon_0\) is the permittivity, \(\lambda B\) the Bjerrum length defined as \(\lambda B = e^2/(4\pi \varepsilon_0 k_B T)\) and \(\sigma_s\), the charge number per unit area (called charge density in the following). For \(\Sigma < 1\), ion-ion correlations are negligible and Poisson-Boltzmann theory is valid [49]. However, for \(\Sigma = 2\) or higher values, ion-ion correlations start to be important and it is necessary to go beyond the mean-field theory. In our calcite paste, the highest valence is \(z = 2\) and the Bjerrum length is \(\lambda_B = 0.7\) nm. The most delicate parameter to estimate is the charge density \(\sigma_s\) of the calcite particle. We estimate it from the zeta potential as:

\[
\sigma_s \approx \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon / (e \lambda_D)
\]

This relation between the potential and the charge density is obtained using Poisson-Boltzmann theory. For pure calcite paste, \(\varepsilon_0 \approx 10 \text{ mV}, \lambda_D = 10 \text{ nm}\), so that \(\sigma_s \approx 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ charges}/\text{nm}^2\) corresponding to one charge every 10 nm\(^2\). Note that this charge density is very small compared to systems such as ADN or virus polyelectrolytes [42, 43] (typically 1 charge every nm\(^2\)) where ion-ion correlations are the dominant phenomena at small distances. Using this value, we find that the non-dimensional parameter is \(\Sigma = 0.12\), i.e., one order of magnitude smaller than the limit \(\Sigma = 1\), underlying the relevance of the Poisson-Boltzmann the-
ory that we used in our study. However, estimating the surface charge density from the zeta potential is questionable due to ion condensation onto the surface [50]. This approach can indeed underestimate the real surface charge [47, 51, 52]. Combining experimental results and Monte-Carlo simulations, Labbez et al. [52] studied in detail the impact of ion condensation on the real charge density of calcium silicate hydrates and they showed that the surface charge can be underestimated at most by a factor 6. If we take this factor into account, we find a non-dimensional parameter Σ = 0.7 still smaller than 1. We therefore conclude that ion-ion correlations are not the relevant phenomena in our calcite paste and that van der Waals interactions are at the origin of the attraction between calcite particle. This contrasts with recent AFM measurements suggesting a significant role of ion-ion correlations on interaction forces between calcite surfaces [12].

4.3. Elastic modulus versus DLVO potential

4.3.1. Small repulsion regime

In Fig. 3, we observe that the initial storage modulus of the fresh paste $G'(0)$ shows a minimum for the sample containing $c = 30$ mM of Ca(OH)$_2$.

To interpret these data, we first turn to the celebrated models of Shih et al. [19] and Flatt & Bowen [20], both relating the interparticle force and suspension structure to the mechanical properties of the paste. These two models predict that the elastic modulus decreases linearly with $\zeta^2/\lambda_D$. In our experiments, both $\zeta$ and $\lambda_D$ change with the concentration $c$ of calcium hydroxide, and the combined changes of both factors could lead to the non-monotonicity of $G'(0)$ with $c$ seen in Fig. 3.

Fig. 7 shows the evolution of $G'(0)$ with $\zeta^2/\lambda_D$. We immediately see that these models are unable to explain the behavior of our paste. As shown in the inset of Fig. 7, only the first three points —calcite with NaOH, pure calcite, calcite with 3 mM Ca(OH)$_2$— decrease linearly with $\zeta^2/\lambda_D$. For higher values of $\zeta^2/\lambda_D$, $G'(0)$ shows a non-linear variation.

In fact, this linear relation is valid only for systems showing small interparticle repulsion and logically fails for strongly repulsive systems —$c = 15, 30$ and 50 mM— appealing for a more complete modelization of the relation between rheological parameters and interparticle forces [53].

4.3.2. Energy barrier

In order to go beyond the linear regime of small electrostatic repulsion, we use the DLVO computations developed in Section 3.2.3. These calculations make use of the zeta potential values of our suspensions, represented as a function of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ in Fig. 4, and of its ionic strength $I$ (inset), from which the Debye length is deduced. Both $\zeta$ and $I$ increase with the calcium ion concentration but their effect on the DLVO potential is opposite. The former enhances the electrostatic repulsion whereas the latter increases the electrostatic screening. The consequent DLVO potential results from a trade-off between both. To estimate the change of interaction nature with the calcium hydroxide concentration, we have computed the value of the DLVO potential maximum $W_{\text{max}}$ against $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$, as shown in Fig. 8b. Its evolution is strongly non linear, with a sharp maximum at $[\text{Ca}^{2+}] \approx 4$ mM. The initial elastic modulus of the paste in the same $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ range is presented in Fig. 8a. These data correspond to additional measurements at various $c$ in the range 0-50 mM.

The evolution of interaction potential and mechanical property are seen to be strongly correlated: The weaker the attraction, the softer the gel. The $G'(0)$ minimum and $W_{\text{max}}$ maximum match perfectly at $[\text{Ca}^{2+}] \approx 4$ mM. Below this value, the $\zeta$ potential dominates, and makes the repulsion increase with the calcium ion concentration. Above this threshold, the surface charge screening by the Debye layer preponderates and induces a decrease of the repulsion with the calcium ion concent-
Figure 8: (a) Initial storage modulus $G'(0)$ as a function of the initial calcium ion concentration $[Ca^{2+}]$. The different data points correspond to various $c$ shown by the colorscale. (b) $W_{\text{max}}/(k_B T/a^2)$ as a function of $[Ca^{2+}]$. The dots correspond to actual measurements of $\zeta$ while the continuous line is interpolated (see Fig. 4). Error bars show the influence of the measured pH uncertainty ($\pm 0.2$) on both $W_{\text{max}}/(k_B T/a^2)$ and $[Ca^{2+}]$. The dashed line is a guide for the eye.

This strong agreement between the evolution of the microscopic interactions and their macroscopic counterpart demonstrates that simple ions added to a calcite paste with a millimolar concentration affect substantially its inter-particle interaction, which modifies its initial rigidity by orders of magnitude.

4.3.3. Role of particle geometry

We have computed the DLVO potential between particles considering the interaction between plane surfaces. However, the nanometric grains have rhomboedral shapes, therefore they may also interact in edge-facet or vertex-facet configurations. Using Derjaguin approximation in cylinder-plane and sphere-plane geometries, we have computed the corresponding DLVO potentials. We find that, for the most repulsive system ($c = 30$ mM), the maximum repulsion, compared to plane-plane, is 10 times smaller for edge-plane, and 100 times smaller for vertex-plane. Therefore, while facets strongly repulse each other, particles can attach via their vertices and form a percolated network, i.e. a gel. As this attraction is very sensitive to the particle orientation, it could explain the very small value of critical strain that we have measured on calcite paste [30].

As shown in Table 1, the calcite grains experience growth or, in the case of the pure suspension, dissolution. Whereas these phenomena generally induce an increase of the material roughness [54], which in turn is seen to enhance the repulsion between calcite grains [13, 16], this is not the case here, all the investigated systems converging toward a similar modulus (cf. Fig. 3). We attribute this absence of effect to the fact that the size of our grains (70 nm) is below the longitudinal lengthscale of the growth-induced roughening ($\sim 1 \mu m$) [55].

4.4. Paste aging

4.4.1. Long-term equilibration

Whatever the initial Ca(OH)$_2$ concentration, the elastic modulus of all the pastes converges toward the value of the pure calcite paste in the long run. Therefore the uptake of atmospheric CO$_2$ by the suspension cancels progressively the effect of the dissolved portlandite.

This neutralization can be understood via the equilibrium equations introduced in Section 2.5. The CO$_2$ absorption induces pH decrease and calcite precipitation—reactions (a) to (e)—, which in turn leads to a $[Ca^{2+}]$ reduction.

The $[Ca^{2+}]$ decrease—as soon as its value goes below 4 mM—causes a stiffening of the paste, as illustrated in Fig. 8a. As detailed in the previous section, this gain in rigidity finds its origin in the fall of the interparticle repulsion, originating in the $\zeta$ potential reduction, consequence of the lower amount of adsorbed calcium.

In the same time, the calcite solid volume fraction increases due to precipitation. However, the observed evolution of $G'$ is not primarily due to this increase: For pure calcite, $G'$ increases by a factor 5, while for $c = 30$ mM, $G'$ increases by two orders of magnitude. For both samples, the variation in solid volume fraction are comparable ($\Delta\phi_f - \Delta\phi_0 \approx 1\%$).

The steady state values of $G'$ for all initial portlandite concentration are expected to be very close, comparable to the elastic modulus of a pure calcite paste at pH 8.2, in equilibrium with the atmosphere. A small dispersion is expected due to differences in final solid volume fraction [30].
4.4.2. Kinetics for carbonation

The timescale for the paste stiffening is ruled by the interplay of CO$_2$ diffusion in air, dissolution of portlandite, precipitation of calcite, and diffusion of ionic species in solution, all other phenomena being faster or absent in our conditions [56].

The geometry of the paste sample in the rheometer is a flat cylinder of height $h = 1$ mm and radius $R = 18$ mm, in contact with the atmosphere at the edges. Diffusion in air is very fast, of the order of $10^5$ m$^2$/s, so a steady diffusive profile of CO$_2$ sets in contact with the paste outer surface after $0.1$ s.

For $c \geq 20$ mM, portlandite dissolves. Assuming its specific area as $s = 20$ m$^2$/g, knowing the order of magnitude of its dissolution rate $k_1 = 20 \cdot 10^{-6}$ mol/m$^2$/s [57], we estimate its timescale of dissolution as $1/(k_1s) \approx 20$ s (with $M = 74$ g/mol its molar mass).

Estimating the maximum amount of available calcium as $c_{\text{max}} \approx 20$ mM, we evaluate the timescale of calcite precipitation from the order of magnitude of its precipitation rate $k_0 = 10^{-6}$ mol/m$^2$/s [58] and from its surface area per unit volume $S = 5 \cdot 10^3$ m$^2$/L as $c_{\text{max}}/(k_0S) \approx 4$ s.

Finally, ions and molecules diffuse in the whole cylinder on a timescale $R^2/D \sim 3 \cdot 10^5$ s, with $D = 10^{-9}$ m$^2$/s.

Based on these orders of magnitude, we can assume that the carbonation kinetics is limited by the diffusion of the species in the suspension. Indeed the observed timescale for the paste stiffening is of the order of $10^5$ s, similar to the timescale of the diffusion of molecules and ions in the cylinder.

5. Conclusion

We have shown in this study how calcium hydroxide modifies significantly the mechanical behavior of a calcite paste. Indeed, the initial elastic modulus of the suspension exhibits a minimum with the calcium ion concentration, resulting from the Ca(OH)$_2$ introduction, two orders of magnitude lower than the modulus of the pure calcite paste. This non-monotonic behaviour stems from the competition between two opposite effects of the dissolved hydrated lime, which we have evidenced by zeta potential measurements and speciation calculations. On the one hand, calcium ions complex at the calcite surface, increasing its surface charge and promoting thereby the inter-particle repulsion. On the other hand, the added calcium hydroxide increases the ionic strength, leading to an increased screening of the surface charge, inhibiting inter-particle repulsion. We have exemplified this competition by plotting the energy barrier of the interaction potential against [Ca$^{2+}$], which exhibits a maximum at the exact calcium ion concentration where the elastic modulus is minimum.

In the long-term, the absorption of atmospheric CO$_2$ induces a carbonation of the lime that transforms into calcite, so that all samples recover the mechanical properties of pure calcite in roughly 1 day.

We have also tested the influence of the addition of sodium hydroxide in the calcite suspension. NaOH has been seen to inhibit the inter-particle repulsion, by both reducing the absolute value of the zeta potential, and increasing the ionic strength, which leads to a more rigid paste compared to pure calcite.

Overall, we found a direct correlation between the elastic modulus of colloidal calcite pastes and the inter-particle energy barrier calculated using the classical DLVO potential. This result contrasts with recent local force characterizations highlighting the non-DLVO nature of interaction forces between calcite surface in aqueous solutions [10–13], attributed to secondary hydration forces, ion-ion correlations or surface roughness [16]. This discrepancy could originate in the smoothness of our calcite colloids at the nanometric scale, compared to micrometric or macroscopic calcite.

A practical consequence of our findings is that calcium hydroxide could be used as an admixture to get more workable suspensions of calcite colloids. The induced extra-fluidity spontaneously disappears by simple contact with air and the final mechanical properties are independent of the quantity of added lime. This tunability of the paste elasticity—two orders of magnitude in elastic modulus—is due to the nanometric scale of the particles and could allow the paste to be injected in porous minerals for consolidation, similarly to nanolime binders that have been recently developed for the restoration of limestone and lime-based historical heritage [59].
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