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The specific optical nonlinearities inherent in aggregates of metal nanoparticles under pico- and nanosecond pulsed laser irradiation are studied in nanoparticle aggregates formed in silver hydrosols. The results of experimental studies of the correlation between the degree of aggregation of silver hydrosols and their nonlinear refraction index \( n_2 \) at the wavelengths 0.532 and 1.064 \( \mu \)m are discussed. The experiments revealed that \( n_2 \) changes its sign at 1.064 \( \mu \)m as the degree of the hydrosol aggregation grows. The role of various processes occurring in resonant domains of aggregates and the kinetics of these processes under laser irradiation resulting in dynamic variation of the polarizability of aggregates are analyzed. The areas under study included the kinetics of particles displacement considering dissipative forces, heating of the particles and of the surrounding medium depending on the wavelength, intensity and duration of laser pulses. A theory of interaction of laser radiation with an elementary type domain - two bound silver nanoparticles - was developed to describe the kinetics of resonant domains photomodification in aggregates. This theory takes into account thermal, elastic, electrostatic and light induced effects. The experimental results on laser photomodification of silver particle aggregates are discussed in the context of our model. These results include photochromic and nonlinear optical effects, in particular, the nonlinear refraction and nonlinear absorption.

PACS numbers: 42.65.-k, 78.67.Bf

I. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear optical media consisting of aggregates of metal nanoparticles have attracted significant attention over the past decade due to their unique properties and high application potential (see, in particular, \([1,2,3,4]\)).

Primary examples of such media are sols containing fractal aggregates of colloid silver nanospheres and random metal-dielectric nanocomposites \([5,6,7,8]\). Resonant excitation of surface plasmons in such systems results in a giant enhancement of local electromagnetic fields \([9]\). This enhancement was observed in the spectral range where the linear absorption spectra of aggregates were inhomogeneously broadened while the quality factor of the surface plasmon modes was found to increase with the wavelength.

New physical models proposed in 1980-90’s (see, e.g., \([5,6,7,8]\)), predicting various nontrivial optical properties of these materials gave an impetus to further investigations. Already the early experiments with silver hydrosols containing fractal aggregates proved that these materials feature unique nonlinear optical properties \([9]\) (also see the review in \([1,2,3]\)).

It was found \([9]\) that the intensity of the four-wave degenerate mixing is enhanced by five to six orders of magnitude in aggregated silver hydrosols as compared to nonaggregated hydrosols. Other nonlinear optical effects experimentally discovered in aggregates of silver and gold nanoparticles include nonlinear optical activity (nonlinear gyrotropy) \([10]\), spontaneous rotation of the polarization ellipse \([10]\), nonlinear absorption and refraction \([10,11,12,13,14]\), the reverse Faraday effect \([10,11,12,13,14]\), optical Kerr effect \([12,15]\), harmonic generation \([10]\), enhancement of nonlinear responses of organic molecules adsorbed on nanoparticle surfaces \([17]\) and photochrome reactions that mediate the effect of optical memory \([18,19,20,21,22,23]\).

A considerable wealth of knowledge about nonlinear optical effects in random heterogeneous nanostructures has been accumulated by now. However, there is still a lack of a comprehensive understanding of the origin and the mechanisms of various nonlinear optical effects, especially for fast nonlinearities with the characteristic times of the order of \(10^{-12}\) s. It should be noted that nonlinearities with the times from \(10^{-14}\) s to \(10^{-12}\) s due to optical excitation of electrons in individual nanoparticles were discussed in Refs.\([24,25]\). As for the mechanisms of slow nonlinearity (with the characteristic times of the order of \(10^{-7}\) s), these are usually associated with thermal expansion and electrostriction and are outside the scope of our discussion.

One of the sources of fast nonlinearity is the effect of spectrum- and polarization-selective transparency in aggregated colloidal solutions induced by strong laser pulses. This effect was discovered in Ref.\([18]\) and studied in detail in Refs.\([9,19,20,22]\). It happens when the laser frequency falls within the spectral range of resonant surface plasmon excitation of aggregates and the laser power exceeds a certain threshold. The effect is attributed to the local photomodification of aggregates and has the characteris-
tic times from 60 ps to 150 ps, depending on the irradiation intensity. Photomodification of the nanoaggregate structure takes place at laser intensities going above a certain threshold. However, the photomodification is confined to relatively small resonance domains and does not affect the large scale geometry of the aggregate.

The optical memory effect is associated with laser photomodification of nanoaggregates. It is believed that the structure of resonant domains of aggregates is subject to selective changes during this process. The changes take place both in the size, shape and state of the resonant particles (their fusion or evaporation) as well as in their relative arrangements. Thus, for example, in Ref. it is suggested that we deal here with dynamic plasmon resonances of silver nanoparticles whose frequency changes under the applied pulsed radiation. According to , this is caused by evaporation of particles in the laser pulsed field and the formation of a rapidly expanding plasma inside which the electron concentration is decreased. A possibility of particles displacement in aggregates is shown under the action of light-induced dipole (generally - multipole) forces. The collapsed adsorption layer of particles during photo- and thermoemission of electrons from the metal core was shown to result in the same effect as in photostimulated aggregation of metal sols.

In order to analyze the regularities revealed in nonlinear refraction and nonlinear absorption of metal hydrosols, one should consider in detail the whole set of processes of interaction of aggregate particles with the external radiation, including the processes occurring at the interface. These regularities include, in particular, the change of the nonlinear refraction sign with the growing degree of aggregation of silver hydrosol at 1.064 μm. However, at present the physics of photomodification of fractal nanoparticle aggregates with plasmon absorption has not been studied in enough detail yet and a theory that could be used to describe the kinetics of photomodification and to determine the role of the processes underlying this effect is not available either. Such a theory would help to unravel some of the perplexing issues concerning the nature of photomodification and the nonlinear optical phenomena observed in sols with fractal aggregates under applied laser fields.

The aim of this paper is to study the mechanisms of fast (with times of order 10−8 − 10−12 s) nonlinear-optical responses of nanoparticle aggregates in metal sols (above all, in the sols with a liquid surrounding medium) induced by the optical Kerr effect which can help to explain the features of nonlinear optical processes in metal sols.

II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Silver hydrosols are the most suitable model medium for studying optical phenomena in metal colloids. Their optical properties in the visible range are determined by an isolated surface plasmon resonance lying outside the interband absorption range. Coagulation of particles in the process of their Brownian motion leads to formation of colloid structures with a fractal distribution of particles (see Refs. ).

The growth of fractal aggregates results in a strong inhomogeneous broadening of the plasmon absorption spectrum of hydrosols due to a strong electrodynamic interaction between the particles. Quite a number of experimental and theoretical papers have proven by now the existence of a correlation between the degree of aggregation of colloids and their optical properties.

The simplest preparation technique of a standard Ag hydrosol uses collargol (a silver antiseptic), containing disperse silver (the methods of preparation of sols are described, in particular, in ). The sizes of silver nanoaggregates in this type of sols are within the range 100-1000 nm, the average size of silver particles being 4 to 20 nm and a volume fraction of silver being of the order of (1 ÷ 5) · 10−6.

Particles of this type of hydrosol feature a water soluble polymeric adsorption layers (adlayer) which prevents their fast coagulation and direct contact of particles in aggregates, as well as enhances the sedimentation stability.

In the used type of hydrosol the adlayers consisted of casein, the adlayer thickness being 10-20% of the particle radius. In the absence of an adlayer, a hydrosol with the given concentration of the disperse phase would aggregate within less than 10−2 s followed by fast sedimentation. The thickness of the adlayer particles appears to be several times smaller in aggregates than in isolated particles. In the case of collargol, the thickness of the adlayer was reduced to accelerate the aggregation process by adding NaOH (0.1 mol/l) into the solution, which leads to dehydration of the casein shell and reduces its volume.

The degree of aggregation (A) was monitored by the absorption spectra of hydrosols. Measuring of the absorption spectrum broadening to determine the degree of aggregation was suggested, in particular, in (where A is the normalized broadening of the spectrum in the range 0 ≤ A ≤ 1). Actually, the proposed parameter, , is defined as the difference between specific integrals over the functions describing one of the spectral curves at the stage of aggregation (2 − Δ in Fig. [1]) and the original Curve 1 in the wavelength range from approximately λ1 > 440 nm (the first point of intersection of the curves) to the long-wavelength point of intersection λ2 (A ∝ λ1 E0bs(λ)dλ − ∫λ2λ1 E0bs(λ)dλ), E0bs(λ) is the spectral form-factor (contour) of the i-th absorption band. In particular, the A value corresponding to Curve 3 in Fig. [1] is equal to 0.8. It was shown that the parameter A correlates with the rate of coagulation, i.e. A is proportional to N0(t)−1 (where N0(t) is the full number of particles in the system including many-particle ones) over the time of double reduction of the initial (N) con-
FIG. 1: Typical absorption spectra of collargol-based silver hydrosols for various degrees of aggregation $A$: Curve 1 is a nonaggregated sol, Curves 2-4 show the hydrosol at different stages of aggregation. Curve 4 corresponds to the developed stage of hydrosol aggregation. The absorption spectra of silver hydrosols differ from each other at various stages of aggregation, in particular: 1 - $A=0$ (isolated particles), 2 - $A=0.2$, 3 - $A=0.8$ (intermediate stages of aggregation), 3 - $A=1$ (all particles are incorporated in fractal aggregates).

Concentration $N_0(t) = N/2$.

In most cases, single beam longitudinal scanning (along the designated Z axis) is a convenient method to study nonlinear optical properties of materials. The advantage of this method is in its simplicity and high sensitivity of measuring nonlinear refraction and absorption indices. We used a Q-switched Nd : YAG laser in our experiments. The behaviour of the nonlinear refractive index $n_2$ was studied at the laser wavelength $\lambda=1.064 \mu$m ($W=12 \text{mJ}$, $\tau=15 \text{ ns}$).

The experimental setup was built using the arrangement with a limiting aperture. A 1 mm diameter aperture, which transmitted ~1% of laser radiation, was placed at a distance of 100 cm from the focal area. Behind the aperture, a photodiode was placed whose output signal was measured. To avoid the influence of instability of the laser output on the measurement result, the signal detected with the photodiode was normalized to the indications from another diode placed in front of the focusing lens. The laser radiation was focused with an 18-cm focal length lens.

A 2-mm thick quartz cell containing silver hydrosol travelled along the optical axis $Z$ driven by a micropositioner, passing the areas in front and behind the focus through the lens. The focal spot size at the beam waist was 100 $\mu$m and the highest radiation intensity achieved was $8 \times 10^9$ W/cm$^2$. The arrangement with a limiting aperture enabled us to measure both the sign and the absolute value of the nonlinear refractive index $n_2$ of the medium under study.

Fig. 2 shows typical dependencies $T(Z)$ of the Ag hydrosol cell transmission on the cell position with respect to the laser radiation focus. These dependencies were obtained for the initial (Curve 1: $A=0$, the intensity in the focal area is $I \approx 10^8$ W/cm$^2$) and the final ($A=1$, $I \approx 10^8$ W/cm$^2$) stages of aggregation. It has been found that the sign of $n_2$ changes with the increasing $A$. Similar results were obtained in aggregated silver hydrosols when irradiated by single picosecond pulses $\tau=35-50 \text{ ps}$.

Nonlinear optical parameters of the medium were determined from optical transmission using the $Z$-scan equation:

$$\Delta T_{p-v} = 0.404(1 - S)^{0.25} | 2\pi \Delta n_0 [1 - \exp(-\alpha L)]/(\lambda \alpha) |,$$

where $\Delta T_{p-v}$ is the normalized difference between the maximum and minimum transmission in the dependence $T(Z)$, $\Delta n_0$ is the nonlinear addition to the refractive index ($\Delta n$) at the focus ($Z = 0$), $S$ is the geometrical transmission of the aperture (a fraction of radiation in a diverging Gaussian beam incident on the photodetector.)
through the aperture in the absence of nonlinear effects), \( \lambda \) is the radiation wavelength; \( L \) is the sample length, \( \alpha \) is the linear absorption coefficient.

For cubic nonlinearity, the refraction index \( n \) is related to \( n_2 \) through the known equation \(^{36,37} \): \( n = n_0 + 0.5n_2|E|^2 = n_0 + \Delta n \), where \( n_0 \) is linear refractive index, \( E \) is the amplitude of field intensity. The nonlinear refractive index of silver hydrosol calculated from Equation 1

An important experimental result obtained in this paper, which should be discussed separately, is the change of the sign of \( n_2 \) with increasing \( A \) (Fig. 3). In fact, the initial ability of the medium for self-focusing transforms into its ability for self-defocusing with the increasing \( A \). Explanation of this effect gets our primary attention in this paper because it gives us an instrument to estimate the accuracy of our model and whether this model can be used for explanation of other nonlinear phenomena. The first attempt to explain the effect was made in, some of the important questions however (see below) were left unanswered. In our paper as well as in of the important questions however (see below) were left

The nonlinear refractive index of a medium is related to the third-order nonlinear susceptibility as \( n_2 \propto n_0^2R_e[\chi^{(3)}] \). The Kerr nonlinear susceptibility (its real part) \( R_e[\chi^{(3)}] \) of a multicomponent system for the process \( \omega_0 = \omega_2 - \omega \) in the case of a simplest two-level system away from resonances can be described as (see, for example, (see, for example, \(^{37} \))

\[
R_e[\chi^{(3)}(\omega,-\omega,\omega)] \propto \sum N_i (d_{12})_i^4[\omega_{12}] - \omega^-3, \tag{2}
\]

where \((d_{12})_i\) is the matrix element of the electric dipole moment at the frequency \((\omega_{12})_i\), \( N_i \) is the concentration of particles of the \( i \)th component of the medium (summation is performed over all components). One can see from this expression that the sign of \( n_2 \) is determined by the sign of the resonance detuning. According to experimental data the nonlinear refractive index of water is close to zero. Nevertheless it should be noted that nonlinear dispersion properties of water can change under the influence of nanoparticles.

In our paper as well as in \(^{15} \) we assume that nonlinear refraction in Ag hydrosols is caused by the optical Kerr effect at the resonances existing in the medium, because, as follows from our estimates, the contribution from thermal expansion of the medium is negligible in the case of nanosecond pulses used in the experiments.

The nonlinear refractive index of a medium is related to the third-order nonlinear susceptibility as \( n_2 \propto n_0^2R_e[\chi^{(3)}] \). The Kerr nonlinear susceptibility (its real part) \( R_e[\chi^{(3)}] \) of a multicomponent system for the process \( \omega_0 = \omega_2 - \omega \) in the case of a simplest two-level system away from resonances can be described as (see, for example, (see, for example, \(^{37} \))

\[
R_e[\chi^{(3)}(\omega,-\omega,\omega)] \propto \sum N_i (d_{12})_i^4[\omega_{12}] - \omega^-3, \tag{2}
\]

where \((d_{12})_i\) is the matrix element of the electric dipole moment at the frequency \((\omega_{12})_i\), \( N_i \) is the concentration of particles of the \( i \)th component of the medium (summation is performed over all components). One can see from this expression that the sign of \( n_2 \) is determined by the sign of the resonance detuning. According to experimental data the nonlinear refractive index of water is close to zero. Nevertheless it should be noted that nonlinear dispersion properties of water can change under the influence of nanoparticles.

In our paper as well as in \(^{15} \) we assume that nonlinear refraction in Ag hydrosols is caused by the optical Kerr effect at the resonances existing in the medium, because, as follows from our estimates, the contribution from thermal expansion of the medium in the case of nanosecond pulses used in experiments is negligible.

The fact of changing of \( n_2 \) for hydrosols upon their aggregation is known; however, the models describing this effect do not predict the sign change (at least, upon irradiation at 1.064 \( \mu m \)). Therefore, this effect should be additionally analyzed.

According to experimental data nonlinear refractive index of a water at 1.064 \( \mu m \) is close to zero. Nevertheless we note that nonlinear dispersion properties of water can change under the influence of nanoparticles.

The primary idea of this paper is based on the assumption that the local structure of nanoaggregates in the resonant domain area changes under the applied laser field due to the changes in the distances between adjacent particles. We shall call this change as "photomodification" in our further discussion. The change of the local structure causes changes in electrodynamic interparticle interactions, which affects the plasmon absorption spectra to result in the change of the denominator sign in Expression 2. Such local reconstruction can be associated with the shift of particles both under the influence of light induced multipole interaction and due to the collapse of adlayers of the particles, as shown in, and it is believed to be one of the reasons for photostimulated aggregation of metal sols. What would be the consequences of irradiation of nanoaggregates by high intensity laser light?

### A. Optical Kerr effect in the case when photomodification of aggregates is negligible

Employing Expression 2 for qualitative description, one can see that the sign of \( n_2 \) depends on the resonant detuning sign. If the condition \( \omega < \omega_{12} \) is fulfilled, self-focusing is observed in a one-component medium, while for \( \omega > \omega_{12} \) self-defocusing is observed. If upon summation over components of the medium the partial contribution of some of the components dominates, the sign of \( n_2 \) will also depend on \((d_{12})_i\) and the concentration of the \( i \)th component. It is quite possible that the dominating role of one of the resonances in the alteration of nonlinear dispersion properties of the medium and interference of the Kerr nonlinear polarizations at certain resonances are the factors resulting in a positive or negative nonlinear refraction. In our case, silver nanoparticles and water were the main components of the medium.

Consider contribution from each of the main components of the Ag hydrosol into \( R_e[\chi^{(3)}] \) at the laser wavelength 1.064 \( \mu m \) taking into account the resonances typical for such kind of media. In the case of silver particles, a surface plasmon with the absorption band in the range \( \lambda_{pl}=0.4-0.42 \mu m \) and the interband absorption band lying in the range \( \lambda < 0.35 \mu m \) play the main role in the formation of a nonlinear response. The frequencies of both these bands are higher than the laser frequency \( (\omega) \) hence their contribution to nonlinear refraction will be positive. The inhomogeneous broadening of the surface plasmon spectrum along with the effective red shift of the absorption band (Fig. 2) during aggregation of silver particles results in the increase of the positive contribution to nonlinear refraction.

However just the aggregation of Ag particles on its own should not result in the change of the nonlinear refraction sign because even in the case of maximum spectral broadening and partial absorption in the region \( \omega < \omega_{12} \), the weight contribution to \( \chi^{(3)} \) of the resonances located
in the region $\chi^{(3)}$ prevails.

The Kerr susceptibility $\Re[\chi^{(3)}]$ of water at $\lambda = 1.064 \mu m$ is associated with the influence of the electron-vibronic band $\lambda < 0.19 \mu m$ having the integrated $(d_{12})_{e-v}$, $10^{-18}$ esu and of the vibronic band having the fundamental transition at $(\lambda \approx 2.9 \mu m)$ and its first overtone at $(\lambda \approx 1.45 \mu m)$.\textsuperscript{38,39}

The contributions from these bands to $\chi^{(3)}$ have opposite signs, and the net nonlinear refraction will be determined by the $(d_{12})_{e-v}$ to $(d_{12})_{v}$ ratio. Our estimates show that the contribution from the short-wavelength transitions dominates, and nonlinear refraction of water at $\lambda = 1.064 \mu m$ is positive, which agrees with the experimental data.\textsuperscript{40,41}

This is due to $(d_{12})_{e-v}[|\Delta \omega_{e-v}|^{-3}$ somewhat higher than $(d_{12})_{v}[|\Delta \omega_{v}|^{-3}$ $(\Delta \omega_{e-v}$ and $\Delta \omega_{v}$ are the detunings of the respective resonances from $\omega$).

That is, the contribution from the both medium components to $\Re[\chi^{(3)}]$ is positive and should increase during aggregation of particles. This however contradicts the experimental data and requires the model to be adjusted.

The thermal mechanism underlying vibrational excitation of water molecules, which are in contact with strongly heated silver particles or with the resultant plasma, is another probable cause of changes occurring in the hydrosol nonlinear dispersive properties. This leads to the population of high vibrational states of water molecules ($v \gg 1$), the electric dipole moment between which, as known, increases as $(d_{12})_{v} \propto \sqrt{|v|}$ (where $v$ is the number of the vibrational level). A similar mechanism of self-focusing due to vibrational rotational, and electronic excitation of molecules and atoms was discussed in Ref.\textsuperscript{42}.

The estimated minimal value of unperturbed value $(d_{12})_{pl}$ based on spectroscopic data for $Ag$ sol with the particle size $\sim 10$ nm is $2.5 \times 10^{-16}$ esu. To estimate $(d_{12})_{v}$ for the vibrational transition of water ($v=0-1$) nearest to $\omega_1$ one can use the values of the optical constants of water at this frequency\textsuperscript{43,44} and the Lorenz-Lorentz formula. The calculation yields $(d_{12})_{v} \sim 10^{-20}$ esu.

With the above values of $(d_{12})_{pl}$ and $(d_{12})_{v}$ and the ratio $|\Delta \omega_{pl}/\Delta \omega_{v}| \approx 10^4$, and for the volume fraction of silver ($N_{Ag} \leq 10^{-6}$), the ratio of nonlinear polarizations at the transitions in silver and in water for nonaggregated sols,

$$P^{(3)}_{Ag} : P^{(3)}_{H_2O} \propto |N_{Ag}(d_{12})_{pl}[|\Delta \omega_{pl}|^{-3}] : ((d_{12})_{v}[|\Delta \omega_{v}|^{-3}]$$

will be equal to $10^7$.

The experimental data show that the value of $\chi^{(3)}$ for hydrosol is several orders of magnitude higher as compared to pure water, although it is underestimated taking into account the large value of $(d_{12})_{pl}$. This suggests that silver plays an important interceding role in the enhancement of optical nonlinearity of hydrosol.

Consider some examples describing the conditions for manifestation of this mechanism. The dissociation energy of a water molecule is close to the energy of the upper vibrational state and is $8.4 \times 10^{-20}$ J (see Ref.\textsuperscript{44}), which corresponds to the temperature $6 \times 10^4$ K. The energy $\sim 10^{-10}$ J absorbed by a particle is sufficient for thermal dissociation of $10^8$ molecules, which occupy the volume $3 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^3$ and can be arranged around the particle in a spherical layer of thickness $R=10^{-5}$ cm.

The time during which all the molecules in the layer will be dissociated is $t = R/V_s \sim 10^{-10}$ s, where $V_s$ is the speed of sound in water.

This means that the volume occupied by thermally excited water molecules is at least $10^9$ times larger than the volume of solver particles, although the amount $N_t$ of excited water molecules does not exceed $10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$.

Assuming that $(d_{12})_{v}$ increases by at least one order of magnitude, and taking into account the ration $|\Delta \omega_{e-v}|^3/|\Delta \omega_{v}|^3 \approx 10^6$ and the above range of values of $N_t$, the contribution of vibrational transitions of water into the Kerr susceptibility $\chi^{(3)}$ can become equal to the contribution of the vibronic band, substantially changing thereby Ratio \textsuperscript{3}.

B. Contribution of thermal expansion of medium

Laser photomodification of nanoaggregates as one of the factors accountable for the sign change of $n_2$ in nanosecond pulses should be analyzed further from the point of view of kinetics of this process when the experiment is carried out with picosecond pulses. Will the resonant domain have time to change its structure (at moderated intensities) or to evaporate (at high intensities) within the pulse duration $\tau = 30$ ps?

To answer this question, we will use the time dependencies $(t_p)$ describing the development of photomodification in such $Ag$ hydrosols.\textsuperscript{44} It follows from\textsuperscript{43} that $t_p$ depends on the pulse energy density and decreases from 150 to 50 ps upon the fourfold increase of the energy density. Obviously, at higher energy densities, the time $t_p$ can further decrease, and the particles can evaporate during one pulse, i.e. the role of this process in the Kerr nonlinearity seems to be realistic from the point of view of kinetics for picosecond pulses.

Note, that the use of picosecond pulses in Z-scan technique led to similar: the change of a sign $n_2$ with the subsequent increase of its absolute value in the process of aggregation of sols.\textsuperscript{15}

This lead us to important conclusion about the negligible contribution of the thermal component of nonlinearity caused by heating of the medium under applied radiation and a subsequent expansion of the heated region. Such heating reduces the medium density and hence decreases its refractive index as is the case with self-defocusing. The negligible contribution of the thermal mechanism to self-defocusing is confirmed by an insignificant expansion of the heated region even compared to the focal waist size during irradiation by a nanosecond pulse. Indeed, the size of the thermal lens being formed is $R_0 = V_s \times \tau$, and for $\tau = 15$ ns pulses it does not exceed $\sim 10^{-3} \text{ cm}$.\textsuperscript{45}
For 30 ps pulses \( r_0 \) will be only \( \sim 10^{-6} d_b \), where \( d_b \) is the beam diameter. This is an order of magnitude smaller than the experimental size of the focal waist and at least two orders of magnitude smaller than the beam diameter outside the focus (1 cm away from the focus) where self-defocusing is maximal (see Fig. [2]).

When analyzing the conditions for manifestation of nonlinear refraction, one should take also into account the scattering of laser radiation by metal hydrosols related to the formation of vapor bubbles around nanoparticles absorbing radiation\(^{[23]}\) (the time of their formation and growth was \( 10^{-8} \) s (see Ref.\(^{[23]}\)).

However, when a cell with hydrosol is located in front of the focus this effect will only prevent the Kerr self-defocusing. This means that the Kerr nonlinearity on dynamic plasmon resonances of silver and vibrational transitions in water makes a much greater contribution than under real experimental conditions.

In estimating dynamic frequency shifts one should also allow for the shift of \( \omega_{pl} \) due to the change in the permittivity \( (\varepsilon_m) \) of the environment when a vapor cavity is formed around the particle, because \( \omega_{pl} \sim 1/\sqrt{1 + 2 \varepsilon_m} \) Ref.\(^{[19]}\). This a correction does not exceed \((\omega_{pl})_{\text{vapor}}/(\omega_{pl})_{\text{water}} \leq 1.12\).

Note that the above analysis did not take into account changes in the local structure of the nanoparticle aggregates themselves under modifying laser field. These can be done by modeling the processes and their kinetics occurring in resonant domains of large aggregates under the action of electromagnetic radiation. The following section is devoted to the formulation of such a model.

### III. Dynamic local restructuring of fractal nanoparticle aggregates as a dominant source of optical nonlinearity and photochrome effects

#### A. External field model of resonant nanoaggregate domain

Consider a model of interaction of a simplest aggregate - a bisphere consisting of two spherical nanoparticles of equal size, with the external radiation. Besides, the bisphere (dimer) or its modification - trimer (consisting of three particles), can be treated as a component of a more complex aggregate in which it plays the role of a resonant domain. Such domains that are resonant to the given wavelength are randomly located at different sites of the large aggregate. Of course, such a domain is not electrodynamic isolated in a fractal aggregate, but the knowledge of its basic properties and their change under the influence of surrounding particles (eigen frequencies, relaxation constants, etc.) would help to predict the response of the fractal aggregate to the resonant laser radiation. To build such model, let us considered basic types of interactions in a bisphere (interaction of particles with each other and with the external optical radiation).

#### 1. Interaction with radiation

We will limit our consideration to the discussion of the interaction of optical radiation with the elementary model of a resonant bisphere consisting of two identical spherical silver nanoparticles (Fig. [1]). The dipole moment of such a bisphere \( \mathbf{P} \), generated by field \( \mathbf{E} \), is \( \mathbf{P} = \alpha_{d} \mathbf{E} \), the polarisability of bisphere is \( \alpha_{d} \) is represented by the sum of responses of single oscillators:

\[
\alpha_{d}(\omega) = \sum_{j} \frac{A_j}{\omega_{0j}^2 - \omega^2 + i\omega \Gamma_j}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., \tag{4}
\]

where \( \omega_{0j} \) is resonant frequency of \( j \)th oscillator, \( \Gamma_j \) is the relaxation constant, \( A_j \) is coefficient. And \( \omega_{0j}, \Gamma_j, A_j \) are the functions of a particle radius \( (r_0) \) and distances between surfaces of the particles included in bisphere \((h)\).

An absorption spectrum in the longitudinal direction of polarization has been calculated for \( Ag \) bisphere particles with \( r_0 = 10 \) nm using the multipole approach\(^{[24]}\) (with the account of up to the 32th order multipoles).

The spectrum has been calculated for different values of the interparticle gap, \( h \), and for the medium refractive index in the wavelength region under study being \( n=1.4 \) (Fig. [3]). The optical constants for silver were taken from\(^{[25]}\) and adjusted for the finite size-effect in small metallic spheres\(^{[16,48]}\).

As seen in the Fig. [4] the plasmon absorption spectrum \((\lambda > 400 \text{ nm})\) is represented by oscillating function. To find the analytical form of this function, which is necessary in further calculations, it is convenient to
use the approximated expressions and to describe spectral curve as the sum of quazi-lorentzian contours of two single-resonant oscillators. The approximated analytical expressions for resonant frequencies of the long-wave and the short-wave oscillators (neglecting low-amplitude maxima in the wing of the bisphere absorption spectrum) are

\[ \omega_{01} = \Omega_{01} \cdot 10^{15}s^{-1}, \Omega_{01} = 4.93 \exp \left( - \frac{0.252}{0.32 + h} \right), \quad (5) \]

\[ \omega_{02} = \Omega_{02} \cdot 10^{15}s^{-1}, \Omega_{02} = 4.93 \exp \left( - \frac{0.345}{0.72 + h} \right) + 0.8, \quad (6) \]

where \( h \) is interparticle gap (in nm).

As particles move apart (\( h \to \infty \)) \( \omega_{01} \) approaches the plasmon resonance frequency \( \omega_{\text{pl}} \) of an isolated particle (\( \lambda \sim 0.39(\mu\text{m}) \)) whereas once \( \omega_{02} \) reaches \( \omega_{\text{pl}} \) it stops changing and approximation by Expression (5) is no longer valid. However considering that the bispere interaction with the long-wavelength radiation (\( \lambda > 0.6(\mu\text{m}) \)) is more important in our model, the absorption band with \( \omega_{02} \) in this case can be ignored.

The values of the amplitude factors \( A_1, A_2 \) in (4) were approximated by expressions:

\[ A_1 = \frac{10^4c}{4\pi\varepsilon_m} V \Gamma_1 \sigma'_1, \quad A_2 = \frac{10^4c}{4\pi\varepsilon_m} V \Gamma_2 \sigma'_2, \quad (7) \]

\[ \sigma'_1 = \frac{1.28 \cdot 10^2}{1.93 + (\Omega_{01} - 4.96)^2}(cm^{-1}), \quad \sigma'_2 = 280(cm^{-1}), \quad (8) \]

where \( \sigma'_1 \) and \( \sigma'_2 \) are absorption cross-sections of radiation in the unit volume of a particle at exact resonance (see Fig. 4). \( V \) is a particle volume, \( \varepsilon_m \) is the relative dielectric permittivity of medium (in the case of hydrosols it is a water), \( c \) is the speed of light in vacuum.

Relaxation constants \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) are determined by the expression:

\[ \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_0 = \frac{V_F}{\rho}, \quad (9) \]

where \( \Gamma_0 = 3 \cdot 10^{13}s^{-1} \) is the relaxation constant of free electrons in a metal bulk (in our case \( Ag \)), \( V_F \) is the Fermi velocity of electrons, \( \rho \) is a particle radius.

Knowing the bispere polarisability \( \alpha_d \) we can determine the absorption cross-section for laser radiation at the frequency:

\[ \sigma_d = 4\pi \frac{\omega}{c} \varepsilon_{\text{m}}^{-1/2} Im[\alpha_d(\omega)]. \quad (10) \]

Hence, the power absorbed by the bispere is, where is the intensity of laser radiation. Besides, the particles get polarized in the laser field and the light-induced dipoles interact with each other. Hence, the power absorbed by the bispere is \( W_d = \sigma_d I \), where \( I \) is the intensity of laser radiation. Besides that in the field of laser radiation particles are polarized and light-induced dipoles interact with each other. The above mentioned parameters are sufficient for calculation of the real part of the bispere polarisability.

In the case when the angle between the field intensity vector \( E \) and the bispere axis (\( \theta \)) is 0 or \( \pi \) (see Fig. 3), the interaction energy and the force \( F_{em} \) between particles are defined by the expression:

\[ U_{em} = -\frac{2\pi I}{c} \cdot \text{Re} \{\alpha_d(\omega, h) - 2\alpha_0(\omega)\}, \quad F_{em} = -\frac{dU_{em}}{dh}, \quad (11) \]

where \( \alpha_0(\omega) \) is the polarisability of an isolated particle (the second term in the expression for \( U_{em} \), does not dependent on \( h \), and it describes the energy of individual particles polarized in the field). Note, that under such field orientation (at \( \theta = 0 \)) the moment of force acting on a bispere is zero. Thus, the accuracy of our calculations and that of the calculations in\textsuperscript{10} is defined by the adequacy of the Claro model\textsuperscript{29,30,31}.

Dispersion of the surrounding medium in the spectral range of interest (at \( \lambda = 540 \) and 1080 nm) was taken into account when calculating polarisability of the \( Ag \) bisphere (for water the difference in dielectric permeability at these wavelengths is negligible and does not fall outside the limits \( 10^{-2} \)).

Thus, the action of laser radiation is actually reduced to two important factors: the heating of particles and the appearance of the interaction force of induced dipoles (in general case multipoles) \( F_{em} \), that directly influences the movement of particles in a bisphere. There are also other approaches to the optical binding forces calculation operating between nanoparticles in optical fields (see, e.g.\textsuperscript{32,49}).

2. Estimation of a radiation pressure

Estimate also the role of the radiation pressure force \( (F_{pr}) \). It is important to note, that this force acts orthogonally to the bisper axes, therefore it doesn’t change a relative nanoparticles position. For the same reason it does not have effect on the bisphere optical properties.

We estimate \( F_{pr} \) using the expression:

\[ F_p = P_r S, \quad (12) \]

where \( S = \pi r_0^2 \) is the radiated particle surface, \( P_r = 2I/c \) is the radiation pressure at full reflection of the radiation. Acceleration gained by a bispere is \( a = F_p/2m = 4\pi^2r_0^2I/[2c(4/3)\pi r_0^3\rho] \). \( \rho \) is the particle density. Taking \( I = 10^8 \text{ W/cm}^2, r_0 = 10^{-8} \text{ m, } \rho \approx 10^4 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) (for \( Ag \)) we obtain \( a = 5 \times 10^7 \text{ m/s}^2 \). Accordingly, for the nanosecond pulse \( \tau = 10^{-8} \text{ s} \) at the maximum experimental intensity this will lead to a shift of the bisphere
by \( l = a r^2/2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{m} = 2.5 \text{ nm} \). For \( \tau = 3 \times 10^{-11} \text{s} \) we have \( l = 2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m} \). If the bisphere is the part of an aggregate, the shifts will be much less.

3. **Elastic interaction**

To prevent fast aggregation of particles in hydrosols, a water-soluble polymer is often added to the initial solution before a disperse phase starts developing. In this case, as has been mentioned in the previous section, each new particle appears to be surrounded by an adsorption layer (a polymeric shell), having the thickness about \( h_0 = 2 \pm 2 \text{ nm} \) and more (Fig. 5). This shell reduces the Van-der-Waals attraction when particles collide, preventing coagulation (sticking) of the particles. The gap between adjacent particles in the aggregate is about \( 1 \pm 5 \text{ nm} \) for radius \( \sim 10 \text{ nm} \). At such distances, the Van-der-Waals attraction between particles \( (F_v) \) is already high enough for the particles to form aggregates. The key role in compensation of this attraction is played by the elasticity force \( (F_e) \) arising from deformation of polymeric shells of adjacent particles. To describe this force, we will use the results obtained for the problem of deformation of two balls (Fig. 5), which is also known as the Hertz deformation/tangent problem.\(^6\)

The potential energy of such an elastic interaction in the case of identical spheres of radius \( r_0 \) can be described by the expression\(^6\)

\[
U_e = \frac{4}{15} \left( h_0 - h \right)^{5/2} \left( \frac{r_0 + h_0/2}{2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E_c}{1 - \sigma_e^2} \right),
\]

where \( E_c \) is the effective elasticity module of polymeric adlayer, \( \sigma_e \) is the Poisson coefficient (the mean values \( \approx 0.15 \)). Since \( \sigma_e \) is very small, it will be neglected in our further calculations. Unlike the classical Hertz problem, where deformation of two contacting spheres is considered, in our case the spheres contain a metal kernel inside, which at least at the initial stage of deformation does not affect the process of deformation of the external surface. When using alternative expressions for the energy of elastic (steric) interactions of polymeric adlayers of particles (see, for example\(^22\)) it is not possible to avoid an arbitrary choice of adjusting parameters for specific types of polymeric molecules. A detailed comparative analysis of elastic (steric) interaction potentials of particles, obtained in terms of different models, will be reported elsewhere. Consider now the process of coagulation of two identical particles (before the forces become balanced) under the action of the Van-der-Waals force \( F_v \), the elasticity force \( F_e = -dU_e/dh \) and the viscous friction force \( F_f \). Fig. 6 illustrates the total interaction energy of particles \( U(h) = U_v + U_e \) (\( U_v \) is the Van-der-Waals energy) as a function of the distance between their surfaces \( h \).

The particles approach each other driven by the force \( F_v \). Before their polymeric adlayers come into contact this movement is counteracted by the viscous friction force \( F_f \) only and once they come into contact the elasticity force \( F_e \) adds in as well. As a result of the particles approach a stable position of the particles in a bisphere with the gap \( h \) is achieved when the secondary potential well in \( U(h) = U_v + U_e \) (Fig. 6) satisfies the following conditions:

\[
U_{\text{max}} - U_1 > 0, \quad U_1 = W_0 - A_f, \quad U_{\text{max}} - U_{\text{min}} \geq \frac{3}{2} kT,
\]

(14)

where \( W_0 \) is an initial kinetic energy of particle \( (W_0 \approx 0) \), \( A_f \) is the work of the viscous friction force in the way from the capture of particle in the potential wall before a collision with a barrier separating the secondary potential wall from the main potential minimum.

In Fig. 7 the dependence \( E_c(h_0) \), satisfying to conditions (14) is shown. The dependence was derived from the solution of the problem on particle movement in a potential field, taking into account the friction force and selecting appropriate values of the elasticity module. In the range \( 3 \text{ nm} \geq h_0 \geq 0.8 \text{ nm} \) for the particles with \( r_0 = 10 \text{nm} \) it is well approximated by the function

\[
E_c(h_0) = 1.67 \cdot 10^8 \cdot \exp \left( 3.09 (h_0/2 - 1.62)^2 \right).
\]

(15)

Here \( h_0 \) is measured in nm, and \( E_c \) is done in N/m².
For the purpose of our study we will take the advantage of the data available on exactly the kind of silver sols with a casein adlayer which were used in the experiments. It should be noted, that the elasticity module values in the contact area chosen in [15] for the material of the particles are 4-5 orders of magnitude higher compared to those for a free casein gel in Ref.[22]. This is explained by the fact that it is impossible, using in the calculations the reported values of $E_c$, to account for the existence of nanoparticles aggregates with the distances between their surfaces $h \simeq 0.5 \div 2$ nm for which the Van-der-Waals forces would counterbalance the elasticity force.

Large values of the adlayer elasticity module can be explained by the following factors. Adlayers of contacting particles in aggregates already are in a deformed state near the contact surface. Besides, the adlayer is attracted to the particle surface due to electrostatic, Van-der-Waals, ion-dipole and other types of interactions. This all means that the adlayer is in a compressed state, which increases its density in comparison with a free gel. Moreover, the gel structure changes as well due to interaction with the surface because the energy of binding of the particle surface with polymeric molecules turns out to be larger than the binding energy between the molecules (the latter accounts for the elasticity of a free gel). The thinner the polymeric adlayer, the more it is compressed and the greater is the contribution of interaction with the surface, which should result in an increased module of elasticity. The bonds in a polymeric gel grid are destroyed during heating of particles and adlayers induced by the applied laser field, which decreases the elasticity module of the gel. This, in turn, alters the conditions of the balance of forces for bisphere particles since the elasticity force decreases. The latter leads to degradation of the secondary potential well and the particles start approaching to come into almost a full contact, i.e. to get into the main potential minimum. Thus the temperature dependence of the elasticity module is an important consideration determining the accuracy of our model. For example, the elasticity module $E_c$ changes from $1.3 \cdot 10^4$ N/m$^2$ to $3 \cdot 10^5$ N/m$^2$ when the temperature is raised by $30 K$. In our case, however, the experiments did not reveal this kind of strong dependence: the structure of silver aggregates did not show any noticeable changes when heated up to $370 K$, which is supported by the data on the absorption spectra. This means that the elastic characteristics of polymeric adlayers of particles in aggregates are subject to a very little change, which can be attributed to their strong initial compression and higher binding energy with the particle surface.

The rate of heating is another important factor affecting the elasticity module of a polymer in the process of heating. As is known from Ref.[22], destruction of a polymeric grid occurs within terminal time $\tau_d$. So, if it does not take place within the time equal to the laser pulse duration there will be no changes in the elasticity module. According to the theory[22], an average waiting time for local energy fluctuation $E_f$ (in a single atom or a small group of atoms bound into a grid point) depending on the temperature is found as

$$\tau_f \sim \tau_0 \cdot \exp(E_f/kT),$$

where $\tau_0 \approx 10^{-12} \div 10^{-13}s$. The bond with the potential barrier $U_f$ will be destroyed when $E_f \geq U_f$.

The average waiting time for this to happen is

$$\tau_b \sim \tau_0 \cdot \exp(U_f/kT),$$

which is the time required for a polymer to relax into a new stable state (with a smaller number of bonds between the molecules) corresponding to the changed temperature.

Proceeding from the fact that fractal aggregates remain stable when heated up to $100^\circ C$ ($\sim 370 K$), we estimate $U_f$. The values of $U_f$ obtained for various relaxation times at $\sim 370 K$ and $\tau_0 = 10^{-12} s$ are summarized in the Table 1.

Assuming the average value $U_f = 1.1 eV$ (which, incidentally, is in a good agreement with a similar value for polymeric media), we find the critical temperatures ($T_{cr}$) below which the times $\tau_f = 10^{-8}$ and $10^{-11} s$ are not long enough for relaxation to occur. We obtain $\tau_b = 10^{-8} s - T_{cr} = 1385 K; \tau_b = 10^{-11} s - T_{cr} = 4070 K$. Theoretically, once these temperatures are reached, the polymer elasticity module should drop dramatically. In our opinion, the change of $E_c$ with temperature can be assessed with more accuracy in terms of the fluctuation frequency $\nu_r$:

$$\nu_r = \tau_b^{-1} = \tau_0^{-1} \exp(-U_f/kT).$$
In this case it is reasonable to draw an analogy with the chemical reaction at the rate \( \nu_r \). Then the change of \( E_c \) can be expressed as

\[
\frac{dE_c}{dt} = -\nu_r E_c. \tag{19}
\]

It is easy to check, that for a short time \( \Delta t < \nu_r^{-1} \) a relative change satisfies the equation:

\[
\frac{\Delta E_c}{E_c} \approx \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_0} \exp(-U_f/kT). \tag{20}
\]

If \( \Delta t \cdot \tau_0^{-1} \cdot \exp[-U_f/kT] \ll 1 \), then \( \Delta E_c \ll E_c \), i.e. there is virtually no change. For this purpose the condition \( T < U_f/\ln(\Delta t/\tau_0) \) should be satisfied which corresponds to the definition \( T_{cr} \).

Note that the properties of a polymer can be strongly modified by other factors as well. For example, at the particle surface temperature \( T \gtrsim 600 \text{ K} \), explosive vaporization takes place in the adjacent layer of overheated water with a resultant dehydration of the polymeric layer.

It is therefore necessary to take into consideration the whole variety of factors affecting the elastic interaction of particles in a bisphere. Besides, the repulsive interactions between particles are also caused by the interaction of electric double layers (EDL) consisting of electrolyte ions which are adsorbed by particles along with polymeric molecules.

4. Electrostatic interaction

Electrostatic interactions of spherical nanoparticles in a bisphere are generally described in terms of the Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek (DLVO) theory (see for example\textsuperscript{55}). According to this theory, each particle in electrolite solution is surrounded by an electric double layer consisting of electrolyte ions. Electrostatic interaction is virtually absent when the distance between the surfaces of two particles exceeds the total thickness of these two layers (2\( \lambda_0 \)), and at shorter distances these layers overlap (Fig. 8). Due to a partial displacement of electric charges from the external part of EDL (a counterion layer), there is an electrostatic repulsion of the internal, dense parts of the EDL particles (layers of potential-determining ions). Since concentration of electrolyte ions can be quite high (up to \( 10^{-3} \pm 10^{-1} \text{ mol/l} \)) in polymer-containing silver hydroxids, the particle surface adsorbs polymers as well as ions which form EDL. The thickness of the dense part of EDL is defined by the ions concentration and depending on this concentration it can either exceed the thickness of the polymeric part of the adlayer or be localized inside that part. The latter corresponds to our experimental conditions (\( \lambda_0 \ll 1 \text{ nm} \)): electrostatic repulsion takes place only in case of appreciable deformation of polymeric shells when the interparticle gap reaches the total thickness of the dense parts of EDL of neighbouring particles.

The potential energy of Coulomb interaction \( U_q(h) \) of overlapping EDLs of spherical particles of radius \( r_0 \) is defined as (see, for example\textsuperscript{51,56,57,58,59})

\[
U_q(h) = 2\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon r_0 \varphi_0^2 \ln \left[ 1 + \exp(-h/\lambda_0) \right], \tag{21}
\]

where \( \varepsilon_0 \) is dielectric constant, \( \varepsilon \) is a relative dielectric permittivity of the surrounding medium, \( \lambda_0 \) is the Debye-H"uckel radius, \( \varphi_0 \) is the potential at the border of the Helmholtz layer (the layer thickness doesn’t exceed the size of hydrated counterions constituting the layer). The latter is the parameter describing the EDL on a particle surface.

Unlike the Youkawa potential (see, for example\textsuperscript{60}), Expression (21) is more convenient for estimation of \( U_q(h) \) as it contains the parameter \( \varphi_0 \). The potential \( \varphi_0 \) for a low electrolyte concentration is close to be equal to electrokinetic (\( \zeta \)) potential defined experimentally by means of electrophoresis\textsuperscript{56,59} (the potential at the glide plane of a nanoparticle moving in an applied electric field). A further advantage of using this expression is that it does not require data on the effective screened charge of particles in the layer of potential-determining ions.

For estimation of the EDL parameters, described in
the Stern theory (see for example\textsuperscript{56}), consider its structure. In Fig.\textsuperscript{5} characteristic EDL borders with a potential of the Helmholtz layer $q_\delta$ and $\lambda_0$ (the characteristic extension of the diffuse part of a counterions layer) are shown (at the distance where the potential of the layer of potential-determining ions located on a particle surface falls by $e$ times). In order to estimate the EDL parameters described in the terms of the Stern theory (see for example\textsuperscript{56}), consider the structure of EDL (Fig.\textsuperscript{5}). Typical EDL boundaries with the Helmholtz layer potential $q_\delta$ and the typical size of the diffuse part of a counterions layer $\lambda_0$ are shown in Fig.\textsuperscript{5b}.

In the case of spherical particle $q_\delta$ can be found as\textsuperscript{56}:

$$q_\delta = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_0} + \frac{q_c}{4\pi\varepsilon_0(r_0 + \delta)},$$

(22)

where $q_0$ is the total charge of potential-determining ions adsorbed on the particle surface, $q_c$ is the charge of counterions in the Helmholtz layer.

According to the Stern theory, it’s determined as\textsuperscript{56}:

$$q_c = \frac{q_\infty}{1 + x^{-1} \exp(A_1/T)}, \quad A_1 = \frac{F \cdot z \cdot q_\delta + \Phi}{R}.$$  

(23)

Here $q_\infty$ is the charge limit for counterions in the Helmholtz layer $q_\delta \ll q_0$, $x$ is the dimensionless parameter equals to the mole fraction of counterions in the solution, $F$ is the Faraday constant, $z$ is the counterion charge ($z=1$), $\Phi$ is the energy of specific adsorption, $R$ is the absolute gas constant.

Taking into account that $\delta \ll r_0$ (the last is of the order of the counterions sizes) and assuming $q_\infty \approx q_0$, we obtain from (22) and (23):

$$\varphi_\delta = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_0} \cdot \frac{\exp[(F \cdot z \cdot \varphi_\delta + \Phi)/RT]}{x + \exp(F \cdot z \cdot \varphi_\delta + \Phi)/RT}.$$  

(24)

Values $q_0$ and $\Phi$ can be derived from the results of hydroxide (NaOH) concentration ($c_m$) and $T = 300$ K the following values were obtained: $\zeta = -0.064$ V at $c_m \approx 10^{-3}$ mol/l and $\zeta = -0.034$ V at $c_m \approx 10^{-2}$ mol/l. Bearing in mind that $\zeta$-potential at low values of $c_m$ is equal to $\varphi_\delta$\textsuperscript{56,59}, we can use these values to estimate $q_0$ and $\Phi$. Using (24), we find $\Phi = -2.02 \cdot 10^4$ J/mol, $q_0 = 9.8 \cdot 10^{-18}$ C, which agrees with other estimates of the charge of a particle\textsuperscript{3,33}.

Moreover, an estimate for $\lambda_0$ at $c_m \approx 10^{-1}$ mol/l and $T = 300$ K is available\textsuperscript{3,33}, which is $\lambda_0 \approx 10^{-9}$ m. Since $\lambda_0 \propto \sqrt{T}$, we obtain the expression for $U_q(h)$ with quite specific values $\varphi_\delta(T)$ and $\lambda_0(T)$ at $c_m = 10^{-1}$ mol/l:

$$\varphi_\delta \approx -0.11[1 + 6\exp(-1.16 \cdot 10^4 \varphi_\delta/T)]^{-1}(V), \quad \lambda_0 \approx 1.7510 \cdot 10^{-2} \sqrt{T}/c_m(\text{nm}).$$  

(25)

In particular, at $T = 300$ K we obtain $\varphi_\delta \approx -0.0108$ V for $c_m = 0.1$ mol/l, corresponding to the experimental conditions in the used silver sols.

Expression (25) is valid for a steady EDL. In the process of photomodification the particles and surrounding medium get heated under the action of laser radiation. The EDL parameters change with increasing the temperature. A question arises whether EDL will have enough time to achieve a steady state during a heating?

Let us estimate the time of achieving a balance of charges in the layer of $\lambda_0$ thickness: $\tau(\lambda_0) \approx \lambda_0/\nu_1$. $\nu_1$ is an average velocity of counterions in the changing electrostatic field of a particle ($q_0$ is assumed to be independent of $T$). In turn

$$\nu_1 \approx B_1|E|, \quad E \ll E_\delta = \frac{(q_0 - q_c)}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_0 T_0^2},$$  

(26)

where $B_1$ is the counterions mobility in the medium, $E$ is an intensity of electric field generated by the Helmholtz layer of ions in the diffuse part of EDL, $E_\delta$ is the electric field intensity at the external boundary of EDL.

Taking into account (24), we have an estimate for $E_\delta$

$$|E_\delta| \approx \frac{\varphi_\delta}{r_0}, \quad \tau_\lambda \gtrsim \frac{\lambda_0 r_0}{B_1|\varphi_\delta|}.$$  

(27)

At $r_0 = 10^{-8}$ m, $\varphi_\delta \approx 0.01$ V and $\lambda_0 \approx 10^{-9}$ m (for $c_m = 0.1$ mol/l) we obtain $|E_\delta| \approx 10^6$ V $\tau_\lambda \gtrsim 2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ s for a mobility of counterions in water $B_1 \approx 4 \cdot 10^{-8}$ m/(V/s).

At the same time laser pulses used in experiments have durations $\approx 10^{-8}$ and $10^{-11}$ s.

Thus, the structure of EDL changes very little under applied laser field when the temperature of the surrounding medium changes. Therefore in our further discussion the properties of EDL particles will be assumed to remain unaffected by laser radiation. Moreover, we should also bear in mind that the presence of a polymer in the adlayer can severely decrease the mobility of counterions. Hence the time required for EDL restructuring will increase.

5. The Van-der-Waals interaction

Dispersive forces of the Van-der-Waals attraction are one of the key factors determining movement of particles in a bisphere during laser irradiation. We will employ the Hamaker and de Boer theory (see, for example\textsuperscript{57,58}) to describe these forces. According to the theory, the interaction energy of two spherical particles with the radius $r_0$ is described by the following expression:

$$U_v = -A_H \left[\frac{2r_0^2}{r_{12}^2} - \frac{2r_0^2}{r_{12}^2} + \ln \left(1 - \frac{4r_0^2}{r_{12}^2}\right)\right],$$  

(28)

where $r_{12} = 2r_0 + h$ is the distance between the centers of particles, $A_H \approx 50kT_0$ is the Hamaker constant for metal hydrosol\textsuperscript{24}. In the calculations, a limitation is made
on the value here \( T_0 = 300 \text{ K} \), \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant. In calculations the limitation is made on the value \( (T_2^2 - 4r_0^2)/4r_0^2 > 0.0001 \). Thus, the Van-der-Waals force acting on each particles is equal to \( F_v = -dU_r/dh \).

6. The force of viscous friction

When particles move in a bisphere they experience counteraction from the medium (in hydrosols - from water) - the force of viscous friction. This force is estimated by the Stocks formula:

\[
F_f = 6\pi \eta r_0 V_p.
\]  

(29)

where \( \eta \) is the viscosity of a medium, \( V_p \) is velocity of particles in a bisphere relative to its center of mass.

7. Heating of particles with consideration of heat emission into surrounding medium

The primary effect of absorption of laser radiation by metal particles of a biosphere is an increased internal energy of the electron gas. Energy transfer from electrons to the metal crystal lattice occurs rather slowly because of the big difference in the mass of electrons and ions. Equilibrium times in the electronic \( \tau_e \) and ionic \( \tau_i \) subsystems are much shorter than the equilibrium time between electrons and the lattice. So it is possible to introduce two subsystems: electronic and ionic. For \( \tau_e \) and \( \tau_i \) we obtain the following estimates:

\[
\tau_e \sim (\sigma_q \cdot v_e \cdot N)^{-1}; \quad \tau_i \sim (\sigma_q \cdot v_i \cdot N)^{-1},
\]

(30)

where \( \sigma_q \) is cross-section of Coulomb collisions, \( v_e, v_i \) are the average electron and ion velocities, respectively, \( N \) is the concentration of particles.

With the typical values of electron (ion) concentration in a particle being \( N \sim 10^{28} \text{ m}^{-3} \), for \( kT \sim 1 \text{ eV} \) we have \( \sigma_q \sim 10^{-17} \text{ m}^2 \), \( v_e = 6 \cdot 10^5 \text{ m/s} \), \( v_i \sim v_e \sqrt{m_e/m_i} \). Using (30), we find

\[
\tau_e \sim 10^{-17} \text{ s}; \quad \tau_i \sim 10^{-14} \div 10^{-15} \text{ s}.
\]

That is equilibrium times are much shorter in comparison with pulse duration and typical heating times \( \tau_h \sim 10^{-12} \text{ s} \) (under our conditions), so it is possible to introduce temperatures and \( T_e \) for electronic and ionic \( T_i \) subsystems, respectively. Under these conditions we can write down the following equations for \( T_e \) and \( T_i \):

\[
C_e \frac{dT_e}{dt} = -g(T_e - T_i) + \frac{\sigma_q I}{v_d},
\]

\[
C_i \frac{dT_i}{dt} = g(T_e - T_i) - q_l,
\]

(31)

where \( C_e, C_i \) are electronic and lattice thermal capacities, respectively, \( g \) is the rate of energy exchange between subsystems, \( v_d \) is the bisphere volume. These values are taken from33, where

\[
C_e = 68 \cdot T_e \cdot \text{J m}^{-3} \text{K}^{-1}, \quad C_i = 2.5 \cdot 10^6 \text{ J m}^{-3} \text{K}^{-1}; \quad g = 4 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ J m}^{-3} \text{K}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}.
\]

The term \( \sigma I/v_d \) account for energy gain by the electronic subsystem (to unit volume) due to absorption of laser radiation with intensity \( I \). \( q_l \) is heat loss from a particle into the environment.

Definition of \( q_l \) is rather difficult problem for which a non-stationary problem of heat conductivity has to be solved first with consideration for the phase transition "liquid-vapour"53,64. This essentially complicates understanding of the particles kinetics in a bisphere under laser radiation heating. Therefore we will use the following simple model. The heat loss per unit volume is described as

\[
q_l = \frac{j \cdot S}{v_d} = \frac{\alpha \cdot \text{grad}(T_i) \cdot S}{v_d},
\]

(32)

where \( S \) is the surface of a particle, \( j \) is the heat flux density, \( \alpha \) is the heat conductivity factor of water.

Let \( L \) is the boundary of heated area in surrounding liquid (a water) (Fig. 9).

The speed of movement of this border \( V_h \sim \sqrt{a_m/t} \), \( a_m = a_m/c_m \rho_m \) is a thermal diffusivity coefficient of water, \( c_m, \rho_m \) is a specific thermal capacity and density, respectively.

Then the border \( r \) of a heated area is defined by the equation

\[
\frac{dr}{dt} = \sqrt{\frac{a_m}{r}}, \quad r = r_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{a_m \cdot t}.
\]

(33)
Now we can estimate \( \text{grad}(T) \):
\[
\text{grad}(T) \approx \frac{T_1 - T_0}{r - r_0} = 2 \sqrt{\frac{c_{m0} \rho_m}{\alpha_m} (T_1 - T_0)} \tag{34}
\]
where \( T_0 \) is the temperature of water near the border of heated area (\( T_0 = 300 \) K).

Using (32) we find \( q_i \):
\[
q_i = \frac{6}{r_0} (\alpha_m c_{m0} \rho_m)^{1/2} r^{1/2} (T_1 - T_0). \tag{35}
\]

In the model under discussion the parameters of a medium are assumed to be invariable, although they depend on the medium temperature, and also change during the phase transition "liquid-steam". However, as follows from (32), phase transition can occur at \( T \approx 600 \) K. That means that the liquid although overheated maintains its aggregative state.

8. Kinetic equations for a bisphere in the process of photomodification

As follows from the above discussion, the change of the bisphere characteristics under the action of optical radiation, which we will call "photomodification", depends on many factors such as the laser pulse energy and duration, the radiation frequency and also the initial state of the bisphere (the interparticle distance). The shape of the potential energy surface shown in Fig. 10 (for the laser radiation intensity \( I = 10^7 \) W/cm\(^2\) and the initial distance between particle surfaces \( h_1 = 2 \) nm) can give an idea of the movement of particles in a bisphere.

The potential surface in absence of radiation is defined by the Van-der-Waals, elastic and electrostatic interactions. In Fig. 10a, the surface with \( \omega \leq 3.6 \cdot 10^{15} \) s\(^{-1}\) describes a surface in absence of radiation (Curve 1 in Fig. 10b) where the potential wall is observed. The fold in the field \( \omega > 3.8 \cdot 10^{15} \) s\(^{-1}\) is the result of the light-induced particle interactions. These interactions, as is shown in Fig. 10b, can lead to a shift of the potential well (Curves 2,3) or to its disappearance. That is, the particles which were in the stable position \( h_1 \) start moving to a new stable position under the action of radiation. Their resonant frequency will be shifted as well both to the low-frequency range (Curves 2, 4 in Fig. 10b) and to the high-frequency range (Curve 3).

The situation, in actual fact, is more complicated. The particles and the polymeric layer are heated up by laser radiation to result in the change of the module of elasticity and of the shape of the potential surface. The potential surface shown in Fig. 10 corresponds to the beginning of influence of laser radiation and it can describe the movement of particles at the initial stage. In order to describe the bisphere behaviour (the interparticle distance, resonant frequencies, temperature), it is necessary to solve the system of kinetics equations in which the factors analyzed in the previous sections are taken into account. This system can be written down as follows:

\[
\frac{dh}{dt} = 2V_p, \quad \rho V \frac{dV}{dt} = F_p - F_f
\]
\[
\frac{dE}{dt} = -\nu_r E_u
\]
\[
C_e \frac{dT}{dt} = -g(T_e - T) + \frac{W_e}{2}\nu
\]
\[
\frac{dQ}{dt} = gV(T_e - T) + q_i V
\]
\[
F_p = -\frac{dV_p}{dh}
\]
\[
U = U_v + U_{em} + U_e + U_q
\]
\[
T_i = \frac{Q_i}{C_i V} H(Q_1 - Q_i) + \frac{Q_i + Q_2}{C_i V} H(Q_1 - Q_2) + T_L H(Q_1 - Q_1),
\]
\[
Q_1 = \frac{1}{2}C_i VT_L, \quad Q_2 = Q_1 + L_m \cdot V. \tag{36}
\]

Here \((1/2)V\) is a particle volume, \(Q_i\) is a thermal energy of a particle, \(T_L\) is the melting temperature of silver, \(L_m\) is the melting heat per Ag unit volume, \(H(x)\) is the Heaviside function.

Here we assume, that the thermal capacity \(C_i\) does not depend on temperature.

IV. RESULTS

In this section only typical examples of solution of Equation system (36) will be given. A detailed analysis of these solutions for various individual parameters of the system, and for their arbitrary combinations will be presented elsewhere.

The equations (36) were solved numerically for various initial interparticle distances in bisphere, as well as for various intensities and frequencies of laser radiation. Fig. 11, 12 show the calculated behaviour of the interparticle distance \( h \), ionic lattice temperatures \( T_i \) and electron \( T_e \) for the initial value \( h_1 = 2 \) nm, the laser radiation frequency \( \omega_i = \omega_{01} \) (\( \omega_{01} \) is and the initial resonant frequency of the bisphere) and the pulse energy \( E = 10 \) mJ (the rectangular pulse). Note that the results in Fig. 11 were obtained for the pulse duration \( \tau_1 = 10 \) ns (and intensity \( I = 10^6 \) W/cm\(^2\)) and the results in Fig. 12 were obtained for \( \tau_2 = 30 \) ps (\( I = 3.3 \cdot 10^8 \) W/cm\(^2\)).

As can be seen from Fig. 11 the particles of bisphere and surrounded medium are quickly heating up, and as a result of it the elasticity module of a polymeric adlayer decreases that leads to the collapse of bisphere (\( h \to 0 \)) under the action of the Van-der-Waals forces (to approaching of particles to minimal interparticle distance).
The frequency $\omega_1$ ($\omega_1 = \omega_{01}(t), \omega_2 = \omega_{02}(t)$ for $t > 0$) decreases with the interparticle distance $h$. Radiation with the frequency $\omega_l$ falls out of resonance, the radiation absorption drops and the bisphere cools down. By the moment of collapse ($t_c$) the lattice temperature $T_i$ becomes less than temperatures of melting $T_{i_m}$ of the particle’s material.

That is, the resonant frequencies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ decrease as a result of such influence. In this case, resonant domains in a large aggregate are subjected to restructuring, which shows itself as photomodification of the aggregate. As has been mentioned before, the major contribution to photomodification is made by changes in the local structure of aggregates, or, more precisely, by changes in the structure of resonant domains. In the approximation of domains by bispheres, the key role is played by the heating of bispheres and by the Van-der-Waals forces. The effect of light-induced forces $F_{em} = -\partial U_{em}/\partial h$ on bisphere particles at the given radiation intensities and mean distances ($h \leq 2 \text{ nm}$) is negligible. Note also that at the given intensities the electron temperature (Fig. 11b) is just above the temperature of the lattice.

An entirely different picture is observed in the case of a picosecond pulse (Fig. 12) with $\tau_i = 30 \text{ ps}$. As can be seen from Fig. 12, the interparticle distance $h$ starts growing as soon as the picosecond pulse is applied and it keeps growing after the pulse is over. This is associated with the light-induced multipole forces $F_{em}$ increasing with the radiation intensity and at $\omega_l = \omega_1$ their effect is to make the particles go apart ($\partial U/\partial h > 0$ as shown in Fig. 10b, Curve 3. The particles continue moving away from each other for a while after the action of the pulse is over gradually slowing down due to friction and the Van-der-Waals force and eventually they start collapsing.

Another distinctive feature of the process is a higher temperature of the ion subsystem which is still heated by electrons after the pulse due to the considerably higher electron temperature. As can be seen from Figs. 12b and 12 unlike nanosecond pulses, the picosecond pulse dura-
FIG. 12: The kinetics of $h, T_i, T_e$ under applied picosecond laser pulse ($I = 3.3 \cdot 10^8$ W/cm$^2$, $\tau = 3 \cdot 10^{-11}$ s) in a bisphere with initial interparticle distance $h_1 = 2$ nm.

...tion is not long enough for temperatures of electrons and ions to become balanced. On top of that, high temperatures at picosecond pulses are explained by the fact that the $h$ distance changes very little within the pulse duration time and $\omega_l \approx \omega_1$, which is different from nanosecond pulses where the bisphere collapses and falls out of resonance with the laser radiation in the middle of the pulse duration.

In addition we studied the dependence of the photomodification kinetics of bisphere on the frequency of laser radiation. The values of maximal temperature $T_{\text{max}}$, as well as the temperature ($T_c$) and interparticle distance $h_c$ at the end of a pulse $\tau = 10$ ns and $I = 10^6$ W/cm$^2$ for different initial interparticle distances are shown in Fig. 13, 14. We also studied dependence of the bisphere photomodification kinetics on the laser radiation frequency. Figures 13, 14 show the maximum temperature $T_{\text{max}}$, the collapse temperature $T_c$ and the interparticle distances $h_c$ at the end of the pulse $\tau = 10$ ns and $I = 10^6$ W/cm$^2$ calculated for different initial interparticle distances.

As one can see from the figures, with the laser radiation frequency $\omega_l$ coming close to the resonant frequency of the bisphere, the temperature of particles is growing and the distance between the particles $h$ is reducing and the dependence $h_c(\omega_l)$ exhibits a dip. This dip however is insignificant at large initial distances $h_1$ (Fig. 13) and it is virtually symmetrical relative to $\omega_{01}$. Such an insignificant change in $h_c$ is due to the Van-der-Waals...
force being relatively weak at large $h_1$. The insignificant change of $\omega_1$ does not lead to a shift of therefore heating of the bisphere continues throughout the pulse duration. The temperature maximum is achieved at the end of the pulse ($T_{\text{max}} = T_c$).

Quite a different situation develops in the case of small initial distances (Fig. 14). In this case the Van-der-Waals force is stronger compared to the first case and the bisphere, when heated to high enough temperatures, collapses within the pulse duration. The resonant frequency $\omega_1$ is shifted and heating of particles ceases. Thus, the maximum temperature is achieved before the collapse, after which the bisphere starts cooling down ($T_{\text{max}} > T_c$).

Another distinctive feature is the asymmetry of the temperature curves $T_{\text{max}}(\omega_1)$ and $T_c(\omega_1)$ relative to $T_c(\omega_1)$, and also a noticeable shift of the dip in the dependence $h_c(\omega_1)$. To explain this asymmetry, let us look at Fig. 15 showing the bisphere absorption spectra before and after collapse and the laser radiation spectrum.

For a negative initial shift $\Delta \omega = \omega_1 - \omega_{01} < 0$, the distance $h$ is getting shorter under heating and the resonant frequency $\omega_{01} (\omega_{01} \rightarrow \omega_1)$ reduces either. As a result, the $(-\Delta \omega)$ shift as well as the absorption grow and the heating increases. That is, there is a positive feedback between the radiation and the bisphere. However if the initial $\Delta \omega$, the shift of under heating leads to a lower absorption (a negative feedback) and hence to a slower rate of collapse.

So in the case of negative initial resonance detunings, $\Delta \omega < 0$, particles are heated to higher temperatures as compared to positive detunings, which accounts for the asymmetry of the temperature curves and the shift of the dip in the absorption spectrum during laser modification of large fractal aggregates. The change of $h_1$ as well as of $\Delta \omega$ is negligible for larger initial distances $h$ (Fig. 15) due the Van-der-Waals forces being small. This explains why photomodification depends so little on the sign of the shift.

V. CONCLUSION

As can be seen from the above discussion, the interaction of radiation with an elementary nanoparticle aggregate (a bisphere) involves a variety of processes. A detailed analysis of each of them is an independent, separate task. It is obvious that a comprehensive analysis of these problems is only possible on the basis of an interdisciplinary approach. Despite certain restrictions inherent in our model, it enabled us to establish a number of fundamental features of the photomodification process in a bisphere as well in a fractal aggregate through the change of its resonant domain structure. In particular, the results obtained prove that photomodification of fractal aggregates due to the radiation interaction with the lowest-frequency resonances of the long-wavelength band of the plasmon absorption of an aggregate (corresponding to small initial interparticle gaps) is caused by shortening of the interparticle distance and by the associated shift of resonances to the long-wavelength range. In the case of large initial values of interparticle gaps (thick adlayers) and radiation interaction with mainly high-frequency resonances of the long-wavelength absorption range of a fractal aggregate (being closer to $\omega_{pl}$ of a single particle), relative shifts of particles in a domain are insignificant even at high temperatures. Therefore the primary change in the domain has to be caused by the change in the size of particles (evaporation) down to their disappearance, and possibly by formation of plasma. As follows from the above reasoning, the nature of the photomodification energy threshold both for a bisphere and for nanoparticle aggregates in various spectral ranges can be different: at small interparticle gaps it is the shift of particles whereas at bigger interparticle gaps it is the change of the sizes of particles and their disappearance. Another important feature established in our studies at small initial values of interparticle gaps is the asymmetry of the spectral range of bisphere collapse with respect to the resonant frequency of the bisphere (the radiation frequency causing the collapse). As follows from Fig. 14 the bisphere collapse is mainly observed when radiation is absorbed in the long-wavelength range of the bisphere absorption curve (Curve 1 in Fig. 15). If a fractal aggregate is treated as a set of resonant domains (in the elementary case - bispheres) with various eigen frequencies, then collapse of bispheres will predominantly be observed for bispheres with frequencies exceeding $\omega_1$. In that case a dip will appear in the inhomogeneously broadened absorption spectrum of the fractal aggregate due to the laser-induced photomodification and the center of the dip will be somewhat shifted to the short-wavelength range.

FIG. 15: Changes in a plasmon absorption spectrum of bisphere when particles collapse: Curves correspond to: 1 — the spectral band with resonant frequency $\omega_{01}$ before collapse, 2 — the spectral band with resonant frequency $\omega_1$ after collapse, Curve 3 — short-wavelength resonant band ($\omega_2$) after collapse (before collapse $\omega_2$ almost coincides with $\omega_{pl}$), Curve 4 — the laser radiation spectrum with a frequency $\omega_l$. 
This result of the present study is of a primary importance, because the revealed asymmetry of the dip position, developing within the laser pulse duration, can be one of the main factors accounting for the negative nonlinear refraction of silver hydrosols observed in particular, at the applied radiation wavelength $\lambda_1 = 1.064 \mu m$. A detailed analysis of this result for nonlinear refraction and nonlinear absorption will be made elsewhere. However it is obvious that such spectral changes become one of causes responsible for nonlinear absorption.

In the case of a short picosecond laser pulse, the approach of particles turns out to be much smaller, or absent at all (for example, at a low intensity which is close to the threshold values). Thus, our analysis shows that under conditions similar to the experimental ones the optical characteristics of the resonant domain of a fractal aggregate are dynamically changing within laser pulse duration, which generates nonlinearity of the optical response of the system and is manifested in various nonlinear-optical processes in pulsed laser fields described in the Introduction.

Our model, although a simplified one, enabled us to study the role of major factors and to estimate the necessity of taking them into account. For example, with the particles reaching high temperatures even at moderate intensities one has to take into consideration their evaporation. High temperatures of electrons make it obvious that their thermoemission should also be taken into account. As for the polymeric adlayer properties, the results obtained make it necessary to allow for the adlayer heterogeneity (the elasticity module of the adlayer results obtained make it necessary to allow for the adlayer heterogeneity (the elasticity module of the adlayer.
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