The simultaneous expression of both ephrin B3 receptor and E-cadherin in Barrett's adenocarcinoma is associated with favorable clinical staging
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Abstract

Background: In intestinal epithelium, tyrosine kinase receptor Ephrin B3 (Eph B3) maintains the architecture of the crypt-villus axis by repulsive interaction with its ligand ephrin-B1. While loss of Eph B3 is linked to colorectal cancer initiation, overexpression of Eph B3 in cancer cell lines inhibits growth and induces functional changes with decreased mesenchymal and increased epithelial markers. In order to study this tumor suppressor activity of Eph B3 in esophageal adenocarcinoma we analyzed the simultaneous expression of Eph B3 and E-cadherin in both the healthy esophagus and in Barrett's carcinoma.

Methods: Simultaneous expression of Eph B3 and E-cadherin was investigated in samples from 141 patients with Barrett's carcinoma and from 20 healthy esophagi using immunohistology and quantitative PCR. Results from healthy squamous epithelium, Barrett's metaplasia and staging-specific esophageal adenocarcinoma were correlated.

Results: A significantly reduced E-cadherin mRNA expression could be detected in adenocarcinoma compared to dysplasia. The immunohistological activity of E-cadherin and Eph B3 was reduced in adenocarcinoma compared to dysplasia or healthy esophageal mucosa. The intracellular E-cadherin distribution changed significantly from the cytoplasm to the membrane, when the Eph receptor was simultaneously expressed. Simultaneous expression of E-cadherin and Eph B3 showed a significant inverse correlation to tumor stage.

Conclusions: We present novel evidence of the tumor suppressor activity of Eph B3 in esophageal adenocarcinoma possibly due to the impact on redistribution of cellular E-cadherin to the membrane. Our results suggest that this effect might play a role in the dysplasia-adenocarcinoma sequence, the infiltrative growth pattern and the development of lymph node metastases.
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Background

Esophageal adenocarcinoma is a very aggressive cancer with a dismal five-year overall survival rate of only 20% [1-3]. These poor survival rates are due to the advanced stage of esophageal cancer at the time of diagnosis [3-5]. Even patients with early disease (for example, with a T1b-category) have lymph node metastases in up to 20% of the cases. This is known to be a strong prognostic factor for long term survival [4]. E-cadherin and Ephrin B3 receptor (Eph B3) are both transmembrane proteins that play key roles in tumorigenesis and infiltrative growth pattern with lymph node or distant metastases.

Primarily, E-cadherin and Eph B3 have been described for cell sorting, navigation and migration in embryology [6-9]. In the nervous system and the gastrointestinal tract, Ephrin receptor tyrosine kinases and their ligands, the ephrins, conduct axon guidance, development and cell intermingling [10].

In tumorigenesis of, for example, breast cancer, colorectal cancer and gastric cancer the important consequences of...
Eph/ephrin signaling and their interaction with E-cadherin are invasiveness, vasculature, and metastatic potential [10-15]. Two groups demonstrated an interaction of E-cadherin and Eph B3 in vitro in a colorectal cell line (HT-29) and a mouse model [16]. Analysis of E-cadherin and Eph B3 indicate that their coexpression suppresses cancer progression by cell-cell contacts and compartmentalization of the tumor cells. Therefore, the metastatic potential is reduced by the interaction of Eph receptors (Eph), the ephrin ligands (EFN) of the microenvironment and E-cadherin forming desmosomes for the compartmentalization of the tumor [17]. E-cadherin repression has been reported to be a late event in the sequence Barrett's metaplasia – dysplasia – invasive carcinoma [18-20]. A correlation with cancer cell migration and an invasive growth pattern of Barrett's carcinoma is not yet established.

In order to investigate the possible impact of E-cadherin and Eph B3 on carcinogenesis and metastases, the expression pattern of E-cadherin and Eph B3 in patients with esophageal adenocarcinoma was analyzed with immunohistology and PCR. The results were correlated with the postoperative histopathological staging and the patients' clinical data.

**Methods**

**Patient population and study design**

From February 2004 to January 2008, 141 patients with esophageal adenocarcinoma underwent surgical resection in the university hospitals of Duesseldorf (n = 61), Cologne (n = 33) and Munich (n = 47).

All patients had tumors located in the distal third of the esophagus within areas of specialized intestinal-type columnar epithelium (Barrett’s esophagus). Preoperative diagnostic work-up included gastroscopy, endosonography, biopsy of the primary tumor, computed tomography scan, and a risk assessment concerning the operability of the patient.

On primary staging 62% of the patients had an infiltration of all esophageal wall layers (uT3-category). Seventy-seven percent of all patients presented with enlarged and suspicious locoregional lymph nodes.

All 141 patients underwent an abdominothoracic resection. A D2 lymph node dissection was routinely done. In the chest, the lymphadenectomy included the periesophageal and infracarinal nodes. In selected patients, a lymph node dissection extending to the apex of the right chest was done. This was the case when suspicious nodes were observed in the apex during inspection. The tumors were staged according to the guidelines of the International Union against Cancer 1997.

Two biopsies of each tumor and adjacent Barrett’s mucosa were used for further examinations. All samples were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were reviewed by an experienced pathologist. Tumor samples were estimated to contain at least 70% tumor cells with an average of 86%.

For the correlation of Eph and E-cadherin and their impact on local invasiveness and lymph node or distant metastases the samples from Munich were used for immunohistochemistry (IHC) against both transmembrane proteins and Ki-67. The results were correlated with the histopathologic work-up of the surgical specimen, with staging and grading and with long-term survival. The samples from Duesseldorf and Cologne were used for RNA isolation and reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and for IHC against E-cadherin. Additional samples from healthy squamous esophageal epithelium from 20 patients with an unremarkable gastroscopy, performed for unspecific abdominal pain, were used for IHC against E-cadherin and Eph B3 for comparison. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board. Informed consent was obtained from each patient.

**Immunohistochemistry**

IHC was performed with the streptavidin-biotin system on all 141 patients and 20 control samples of healthy squamous epithelium. Snap-frozen sections were sliced at 5 μm thickness onto positively charged slides. The sections were incubated in 3% H2O2 and then blocked for unspecified binding in 1% goat serum. Then, sections were incubated in the primary monoclonal antibody overnight at 4°C (Eph B3 antibody, diluted 1:100, H2049-M01, Abnova Taiwan, Taipei; Ki-67 Mib-1 antibody, diluted 1:100, Dako, CA Carpinteria; E-cadherin, monoclonal antibody HECD-1, diluted 1:300, Takara Biomedicals, Otsu, Japan).

The IHC reactions were developed with an avidin-biotin immunoperoxidase technique (ABC method). All antibody reactions were performed in a moist chamber. Finally, the sections were immersed in hematoxylin solution for one minute. As positive controls we used sections from a healthy esophagus that was also snap frozen and treated in the same manner. Negative control reactions were carried out with an equivalently diluted mouse immunoglobulin without specific bindings and the same class of secondary antibodies.

The Ki-67 proliferation fraction represents the percentage of positively staining nuclei in each analyzed field by a minimum of 500 cells counted. For the evaluation of E-cadherin staining, more than 90%, between 10% and 90% and weak or negative staining of cells were classified as uniformly positive (2+), reduced (1+), and negative (0), respectively. The main localization of staining (cytoplasmatic versus membranous) was noted. The evaluation of Eph B3 staining was performed in the same way.

**RNA extraction and quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase PCR**

Frozen tumor samples were cut in 20 μm thick sections. RNA was extracted from 10 sections by using the TRIzol...
reagent (Invitrogen Carlsbad, California, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA concentration was verified spectrophotometrically (BioPhotomere, Eppendorf, Germany) by using the OD$_{260}$ method. RT was performed in a volume of 20 μl by using random hexamere primer, 2 μg RNA, and transcriptor reverse transcriptase in 5x RT buffer (Roche Basel, Switzerland). PCR with cDNA was performed by using primers and probes for E-cadherin (MWG-Biotech, Ebersberg, Germany). To normalize the E-cadherin expression, we used glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as the internal reference gene. For the PCR, 11.25 μl (1 ng/ μl) cDNA template (or water as negative control) was mixed with 12.5 μl IQ Supermix mastermix (Bio-Rad) Munich, Bavaria, Germany and 1.25 μl primer-mix (10 μM each primer, 4 μM probe) and 76 μl H$_2$O. All samples were run in duplicate. Quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase-PCR (qPCR) was carried out using the DyadDisciple Chromo 4 (Bio-Rad) with the following conditions: 95°C for 10 minutes followed by 40 cycles each comprising denaturation for 15 seconds at 95°C, annealing and extension for 1 minute at 60°C. Gene expression was quantified by determining ΔCt values. The ΔCt value for E-cadherin is the difference between the Ct for E-cadherin and for GAPDH as the internal reference control gene. High ΔCt values are correlated with low levels of gene expression, whereas low ΔCt values are correlated with high levels of gene expression. Since the amplification efficiencies of both genes were close to 100% (data not shown), ΔCt values essentially correspond to a log-2 scale. The difference in expression between sample groups was calculated using the $2^{-ΔΔCt}$ method.

**Statistical analysis**

Comparison of numerical data was done with the Student’s t test. Comparison of IHC results and the histologic results was done with the Fischer’s exact test and, when appropriate, with the $^2$ test. The significance of differences between sample groups was calculated using the Mann–Whitney U test for two independent groups. We used log-rank test for the univariate survival analyses. The primary endpoint was survival, as measured from first operation time to last follow-up or death. Data for patients who were still alive at the end of the study were censored. The threshold of statistical significance was set at 0.05. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS 15 for windows (SPSS, Chicago).

**Results**

**Patient’s demographical data**

Demographic information of the study population is summarized in Table 1. The mean age of our patients was 63.2 years ± 10.6. One hundred sixteen patients were men, 25 were women. The final histologic work-up of the surgical specimens is presented in Table 1. In most cases all wall layers were involved (T$_3$-category) and positive lymph nodes could be found (61.7%). In 11 patients initially unknown distant metastases could histologically be proven intraoperatively. Five liver metastases, three pulmonary metastases and three infiltrated paraaortic lymph nodes, counting as distant metastases, were detected. All metastases were completely removed. Median survival was 27 months in all patients. Lymph node involvement was a strong predictive factor in our patients. In patients without lymph node metastases median survival rose to 74 months ($P = 0.01$) (Figure 1).

**Immunohistochemical analysis of E-cadherin, Eph B3 and ki-67**

First the E-cadherin immunostaining was performed in the normal squamous epithelium of the esophagus. In almost 100% of all investigated cases a strong staining of the cell membrane could be detected. This strong membranous staining was categorized as 2+. The E-cadherin staining in the 141 tumor samples was only positive in 56% of cases. An equally strong membranous staining (2+) could

---

**Table 1** Demographic data and correlation of tumor characteristics in patients with positive versus negative E-cadherin immunohistology expression

| Patients | E-cadherin IHC expression | P-value |
|----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Gender (M/F) | | |
| 116/25 | 63/16 | 53/9 | 0.5 |
| Patient median age (y) (range) | | |
| 64 (36–84) | 63 (36–82) | 64 (39–84) | 0.276 |
| Depth of invasion: | | |
| pT0 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| pT1 | 16 | 12 | 4 |
| pT2 | 58 | 29 | 29 |
| pT3 | 62 | 34 | 28 |
| Lymph node metastasis: | | |
| pN0 | 54 | 35 | 19 |
| pN1 | 87 | 44 | 43 |
| Metastases: | | |
| M0 | 130 | 74 | 56 |
| M1 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Grading: | | |
| G1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| G2 | 38 | 25 | 13 |
| G3 | 84 | 43 | 41 |
| G4 | 17 | 9 | 8 |

F female, IHC immunohistology, M male, y year.
only be found in 21% of the cases. There was no significant correlation comparing the strength of the E-cadherin immunohistology with the histopathological staging, especially the lymph node involvement (Tables 1 and 2).

Interestingly, the intracellular distribution of E-cadherin changed between normal mucosa compared to tumor samples. In tumor samples the membrane-bound E-cadherin strongly decreased, while cytoplasmatic E-cadherin showed an increasing immunohistologic reaction at the same time (Figures 2 and 3). A strong membranous immunohistological reaction could be found in less than 10% of cases.

The Eph B3 IHC showed a significant correlation with the E-cadherin distribution in tumor cells. In patients with a coexpression in both IHC, the E-cadherin showed a strong membranous accentuation without a cytoplasmatic reaction \( (P = 0.003) \) (Figure 2).

The E-cadherin/Eph B3 immunhistology coexpression was compared to the pathological staging of the postoperative specimen. Even moderate \( (1+) \) immunohistological coexpression was significantly correlated with depth of invasion and lymph node involvement (Table 3). The lymph node coefficient (= lymph node metastases/number of removed lymph nodes), which reflects a significant calculated prognostic factor for long-term survival of patients with esophageal adenocarcinoma, also significantly depends on the coexpression of E-cadherin/Eph B3 \( (P = 0.017) \) (Table 3).

The Ki-67 IHC had a significantly higher activity in tumor samples compared to the Barrett’s epithelium \( (P = 0.001) \). In the dysplastic epithelium 23.7 ± 9% of cells were positive, whereas we observed a significantly higher Ki-67 proliferation fraction with 36.7 ± 12% positive cells in the tumor samples. The Ki-67 activity did not affect the histopathological staging or grading.

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis

In order to validate our immunohistological findings on a RNA basis and in order to analyze the E-cadherin mRNA expression in Barrett’s metaplasia and adjacent carcinoma, we performed a qPCR and correlated the results with the histopathological data calculated using the \( 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct} \) method. A significant decrease of E-cadherin mRNA expression could be detected when comparing the metaplasia and the neighboring adenocarcinoma \( (P = 0.02) \) (Figure 3). Corresponding to the immunohistological results, differences of the E-cadherin mRNA expression rate in the tumor in correlation with the histopathological work-up (depth of invasion, lymph node status, distant metastases, grading) or clinical course (disease free survival) could not be found.

The E-cadherin mRNA expression rate was not correlated with the age or sex of the patients.

Discussion

The only generally accepted marker for increased risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma is the presence of high-grade dysplasia \( \text{(HGD)} \) [21]. Once a carcinoma has developed, lymph node metastasis can occur in the very early stages [4]. According to the literature, lymph node metastases can be found in approximately 25% of patients with a T1-category. Lymph node metastases are a strong predictor for a dismal long-term survival, which is reflected in our survival analysis with a five-year survival rate of patients with involved lymph nodes of <30% compared to patients without lymph node metastasis of >50% \( (P = 0.01) \). In order to characterize specific molecular changes between Barrett’s epithelium and esophageal adenocarcinoma and the changes in higher tumor stages with lymph node involvement, we analyzed E-cadherin and Eph B3 in 141 patients with esophageal adenocarcinoma.

E-cadherin is a calcium dependent transmembrane adhesion protein [22]. During embryonic development, E-cadherin is expressed in all cells, but it disappears in embryonic mesoderm cells [23]. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal
transformation is a process by which polarized epithelial cells convert to non-polarized and motile cells to allow histogenesis, organogenesis and morphogenesis in embryonic development [24]. Epithelial tumors implement a similar process known as epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), during dedifferentiation, invasion and metastasis in the process of tumor progression [25,26]. Lack of E-cadherin-mediated adhesion in human tumors correlates with loss of epithelial morphology and acquisition of mesenchymal invasive characteristics. E-cadherin is believed to be the master programmer of EMT, because signaling pathways contributing to EMT converge on regulation of this molecule [27]. In particular, E-cadherin has been implicated in the malignant progression of Barrett’s metaplasia to

---

**Figure 2** Panel A: Anti-Eph B3 immunohistology (a) and anti-E-cadherin immunohistology (b) in a patient with a poorly differentiated esophageal adenocarcinoma. Eph B3 immunohistology is negative. The E-cadherin immunohistology shows a faint cytoplasmatic reaction. 40-fold magnification. Panel B: Anti-Eph B3 immunohistology (a) and anti-E-cadherin immunohistology (b) in a patient with a moderately differentiated esophageal adenocarcinoma. The Eph B3 shows a strong positivity. The E-cadherin shows a moderate (1+) reaction of the tumor cell membrane. 40-fold magnification. Eph B3, ephrin B3.

---

**Figure 3** Real-time PCR analyses of Barrett metaplasias (mucosa) and the adjacent Barrett carcinomas (tumor). Ct values were determined from duplicate reactions. ΔCt were obtained by subtracting the mean Ct value for GAPDH from the mean Ct value from E-cadherin. A lower ΔCt value indicates a higher gene expression. The horizontal bars indicate the mean values. The E-cadherin expression level was significantly different between the two groups (P=0.02, Mann_Whitney U Test). GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.
Table 3 Demographic data and correlation of tumor characteristics in patients with positive versus negative simultaneous Eph B3 and E-cadherin immunohistology expression

| E-cadherin/Eph B3 - Coexpression | P-value |
|---------------------------------|---------|
| positive (n = 16)               | negative (n = 31) |
| Gender (M/F) 15/ 1 24/ 7 | 0.234 |
| Patient median age (y) (range) | 56 (41–73) 63 (44–84) | 0.069 |
| Depth of invasion:              | 0.023 |
| pT0                             | 4 1 |
| pT1                             | 5 5 |
| pT2                             | 3 5 |
| pT3                             | 4 20 |
| Lymph node metastasis:          | 0.001 |
| pN0                             | 16 9 |
| pN1                             | 0 22 |
| Lymph node coefficient:         | 0.017 |
| >0.1                            | 1 10 |
| <0.1                            | 15 21 |
| Resection status:               | 0.472 |
| R0                              | 16 26 |
| R1                              | 0 5 |
| Grading:                        | 0.182 |
| G1                              | 2 0 |
| G2                              | 4 9 |
| G3                              | 10 22 |

Eph B3 ephrin B3, F female, M male, y years.

In regard to the literature concerning the interaction between Eph B3 and E-cadherin, both proteins together have a significant tumor suppressor function. Comparable to the tumorigenesis of colon cancer we could show an altered Eph B3 and E-cadherin IHC activity of esophageal carcinoma compared to normal mucosa and a reduced E-cadherin mRNA expression rate in esophageal carcinoma compared to normal mucosa. In the dysplasia-carcinoma sequence Eph B3 activity is reduced and E-cadherin is dissolved in the cytoplasm. Lack of E-cadherin-mediated adhesion correlates with the loss of epithelial morphology and the acquisition of mesenchymal characteristics. In our patients with esophageal cancer we could find a significant morphological step towards colon cancer, whereas Eph B-mediated compartmentalization could be demonstrated to be a mechanism suppressing colorectal cancer progression [16,17,33]. These findings suggest that Eph B3 functions as a tumor suppressor. Analogous to the findings in colon cancer, in our patients Eph B3/E-cadherin coexpression is significantly correlated with a favorable tumor stage. Although E-cadherin mRNA expression and IHC positivity did not show a significant difference with regard to tumor stage, coexpression of both proteins was significantly and inversely correlated with depth of invasion, lymph node involvement and lymph node coefficient (= number of involved lymph nodes/number of removed lymph nodes).

Recently in vitro analysis indicated that Eph B activation triggered redistribution of E-cadherin from the cytoplasm to the basolateral membrane without altering protein levels in a colon cancer cell line. Consequently, Eph B signaling couples cell contraction with cell-to-cell adhesion by promoting the recruitment of E-cadherin in colon cancer [17]. This mechanism may be equally present in esophageal cancer. In our study, simultaneous expression of E-cadherin and Eph B3 was accompanied by an intracellular E-cadherin distribution comparable to that in healthy esophageal mucosal cells. A strong membranous accentuated immunohistologic reaction was seen in 10% of all patients, while we detected a fairly strong cytoplasmatic and a faint membranous staining in carcinoma cells without Eph B3 expression.

Taking the in vitro analysis by Cortina into account, the above observations suggest that Eph B signaling seems to restrict the capacity of malignant cells for infiltrative growth by enforcing E-cadherin adhesion. In an Apc Min/+ mouse model the EphB mediated compartmentalization was demonstrated to be a mechanism suppressing cancer progression [17]. In a clinical study Eph B3 expression was significantly reduced in advanced Dukes’ stage tumor specimens [16]. In vitro examinations of a colon cancer cell line (HT-29) demonstrated that Eph B3/EFN interaction potentiated junctional adhesion molecules ZO-1, E-cadherin and plakoglobin, which are representatives of tight junctions and desmosomes, respectively [16].

adenocarcinoma [19,28-30]. In our patient group we show by quantitative PCR a significant reduction of E-cadherin expression in adenocarcinoma compared to Barrett’s metaplasia. This difference in mRNA expression could be clearly reconfirmed on the protein level with IHC in our patients. Corresponding to the international literature, a correlation could not be found between the E-cadherin PCR and the strength of IHC reactivity and the staging of the carcinoma [19,20]. In order to further elucidate the tumor progression Eph B3 was analyzed in our patients. In the mammalian gut, Eph B3 is expressed in cells at the bottom of the intestinal crypts near stem cell niches [31,32]. Physiologically, Eph B3 is responsible for constructing and maintaining the architecture of the crypt-villus axis in the intestinal epithelium by repulsive interaction with its ligand (EFN B1) [31]. A characteristic of the Eph B/EFN B signaling system is the ability to elicit bi-directional signaling that leads to the restriction of cell migration and cell intermingling across segmental boundaries [32]. Pathologically, loss of the Eph B3 allele induces the development of colon adenomas as the first
inverse correlation between a persisting simultaneous expression of Eph B3 and E-cadherin and depth of invasion and lymph node metastasis as the strongest predictive factors for long-term survival. Corresponding to colon cancer we assume from our findings an impact of Eph B3 on E-cadherin and reinforcement of the cell-cell-junctions in esophageal cancer.

Conclusions

We demonstrated an association of the Eph B3/E-cadherin coexpression with the metaplasia adenocarcinoma sequence in Barrett’s carcinoma for the first time on protein and RNA bases. Migration and metastatic potential also seems to be influenced by the interaction of these proteins. The rare persisting simultaneous expression of E-cadherin and Eph B3 in esophageal adenocarcinoma is intimately associated with an early favorable tumor stage. The simultaneous expression of E-cadherin and Eph B3 has the potential to serve as a new biological marker for the characterization of the individual tumor biology with regard to local invasion and lymph node involvement. The direct interaction in terms of EMT and metastatic potential has to be further investigated in an animal model.
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