The association between physical activity and body fat percentage with adjustment for body mass index among middle-aged adults: China health and nutrition survey in 2015
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Abstract

Background: The inverse association between physical activity and body fat percentage (%) varies among different populations. We aim to examine whether the significant association between them was uniform across the subpopulations after taking into account body mass index (BMI).

Methods: Our study relied on data from China Health and Nutrition Surveys in 2015, including 5763 participants aged 40–64 years from 15 regions. Physical activity was calculated as metabolic equivalent task hours per day (MET·h/d). Body fat% was measured by bioelectrical impedance analysis. Body mass index < 24 kg/m^2 was defined as normal weight and BMI ≥ 24 kg/m^2 was overweight/obese. The effects of physical activity on body fat% were estimated using the Kruskal-Wallis test among sex, age, BMI groups, education, income, region and urbanization. Quantile regression analyses were utilized to describe the relationship between physical activity and body fat% distribution.

Results: Older adults, overweight/obese, higher education, higher income, residents of central China and those living in areas of higher urbanization had the lower physical activity. Participants who engaged in the highest level of physical activity had 2.0 and 1.5% lower body fat% than the lowest level of physical activity group (23.4, 34.8%) for men and women, respectively. Participants who engaged in the highest level of physical activity had 2.0 and 1.5% lower body fat% than the lowest level of physical activity group (23.4, 34.8%) for men and women, respectively. There were 10.4 and 8.8% of normal weight males and females called normal weight obese. Overall, 1 h extra 4.5 MET·h/d was significantly associated with 0.079 and 0.110% less total body fat% at the 75th and 90th percentiles in normal weight males, with 0.071% less at the 25th percentiles in overweight/obese males, with 0.046–0.098% less at the 25th to 90th percentiles in normal weight females, and with 0.035–0.037% less from the 50th to 90th percentiles in overweight/obese females. The inverse association between physical activity and total body fat% was stronger in normal weight obese participants than other subgroups.

(Continued on next page)
Background
With the unprecedented economic and social development in China, a host of lifestyle factors have changed daily life dramatically. The prevalence of overweight status increased from 22.8% in 2002 to 30.1% in 2012, with obese increasing from 7.1% in 2002 to 11.9% in 2012 [1, 2]. People who are overweight or obese often face social prejudice, elevated risk of various life threatening diseases including cardiovascular diseases (CVD), diabetes and even cancer, and increased mortality [3–5]. Body mass index (BMI) is regularly used as a robust measure of normal weight, overweight and obese due to its simplicity and the modest correlation with adiposity [6], and its use has been widely recommended in China [7]. However, it is a weak measure of individual fat mass, and healthy persons with high muscle mass can be misclassified as overweight or even obese. Several studies have reported that high body fat percentage (BF%) is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular diseases, coronary events [8–11], and all-cause mortality [12–14]. Physical activity (PA) has been shown to reduce the risk of many of the chronic unhealthy conditions associated with high body fat [15]. However, worldwide around 28% of adults aged 18 years old and above do not meet the WHO-recommended minimum level of PA (150 min per week in moderately to vigorous leisure-time PA) in 2016 [16]. Some evidence suggests a connection between PA and BF%, but previous studies have reported inconsistent results in different age groups. Due to the slowing of metabolism associated with aging [17], an inverse relationship has been observed between PA and BF% in middle-aged adults [18, 19]. However, other studies have observed null relationships among young adults whose average age was 21 years old, possibly due to the weight increasing with fat-free mass rather than the reduction of fat mass [20, 21]. In addition, previous studies used multiple linear regression or categorical logistic regression analyses which can ignore effects limited to a subset of a population and require careful adjustment for non-normal data such as BF% distributions in a population. Based on the inconsistent results from several studies, we propose that quantile regression (QR) is more suitable for analyzing the association between PA and BF%, and that to our knowledge we are the first to do. Therefore, the aims of this study are to (1) quantify the distribution of PA in a large cohort of middle-aged participants of 15 regions in China; (2) describe the distribution of total and trunk BF% at different levels of PA; (3) examine whether the significant association between PA and BF% is uniform across subpopulations after taking BMI into account.

Methods
Study design
We leveraged the 2015 wave of the China Health and Nutrition Surveys (CHNS), a large prospective household-based study designed to longitudinally measure how sociological, economic and demographic factors change health and nutritional status across the life span of the Chinese population. The CHNS selected individuals of various ages in ten rounds of surveys from 1989 to 2015, who lived in twelve diverse provinces including Shandong, Liaoning, Heilongjiang, Jiangsu, Hunan, Hubei, Zhejiang, Yunnan, Shanxi and Guangxi and three autonomous cities including Beijing, Shanghai and Chongqing (in 2011 and 2015). A multi-stage, stratified, random cluster sampling design was used to ensure a balanced representation of urban, suburban and rural areas. Data was derived from the detailed interviewer-administered questionnaires delivered by trained and certified health workers. We had the strict quality control to ensure the data reality, including unified training, the same brand measuring instruments and instruments models etc.

Study population
For this study we analyzed the 2015 CHNS, which was the first wave to collect body composition data. We limited the sample to participants aged between 40 and 64 years old with complete data on total PA and BF%. Participants with implausible BF% values (< 5%, > 70%, n = 75) were excluded from our study. We excluded individuals who were disabled or women who were pregnant or breastfeeding during the survey year (n = 74) and subjects who had received diagnoses of hypertension, diabetes, myocardial infarction, stroke, cancer, fracture and asthma (n = 1551). Individuals with implausible energy intakes values (< 800 kcal/day or > 6000 kcal/day for men, < 600 kcal/day or > 4000 kcal/day for women, n = 236) [22–24] and BMI (< 10 kg/m², > 60 kg/m², n = 5)
were excluded. Our final analysis sample included 5763 total participants, of which 2540 were men and 3223 were women.

**Anthropometrics**

Trained health workers measured weight, height, and BF% (including the total BF%, trunk BF%, and arm and leg BF%). Using a body composition analyzer (BC601, TANITI) weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg with the participant standing without shoes and wearing light clothing. Height was measured without shoes to the nearest 0.1 cm using SECA 206 wall-mounted metal tapes. Percentages of body fat were calculated using the bioimpedance method utilizing a proprietary algorithm that requires age, sex, height, and PA level inputs by technicians. This method is being used regularly and has previously been validated in other studies [25, 26]. We recorded the total BF% (ratio of total body fat mass and total body mass) and trunk BF% (ratio of trunk fat mass and trunk mass) separately. As fat distribution is different between men and women, we calculated the ratio of trunk and total BF% to observe the sex disparity. According to a modified BMI for China, we defined overweight and obese based on BMI (weight divided by height in meters squared) cutoff points of 24 and 28 kg/m

**Total PA and sedentary behavior assessment**

We relied on the standard PA questionnaire, which has been used in our cohort study for nearly 30 years [27], to calculate the average metabolic equivalents of task (MET) hours per day. We defined MET hours as the ratio of a person’s working metabolic rate relative to their resting (basal) metabolic rate. Physical activity was then divided into four domains: travel, occupational, leisure and domestic (e.g., driving, farming, playing basketball, food preparation). For each participant, the average MET-hours per week estimates include both the time spent in each activity and the average intensity of each activity (or sub-activity). We applied the appropriate estimated MET intensity values using the Compendium of Physical Activities based on the lowest level of detail available for each time use survey [28, 29], and aggregated the various subdomain activities to get each individual’s MET-hours per week in each domain. Because there was no internationally recommended standard categorization for PA, we chose to categorize the total MET-hours per day into Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4 from lowest to highest PA. Details on calculating of how these values have been described in previous literatures [27, 30, 31].

Sedentary behaviors were calculated as the average hours per day (hour/day) spent in various non-occupational recreational activities, including watching TV or movies/videos, reading/writing, playing board games, and using a computer. Time spent engaged in these kinds of activities were summed to obtain total time expenditure on sedentary behaviors, which was not included in the PA calculation.

**Assessment of covariates**

Standard questionnaires were used by trained interviewers to collect sociodemographic characteristics, annual per household income, smoking, alcohol consumption, community information (urbanization index) and dietary intake. We categorized the educational level into low, medium and high for primary school education or less, middle school education and high school education and above. Marital status was grouped into two categories (married and single). Participants reported their gross annual per capita household income according to household size, which was inflated to 2015 values and categorized into tertiles. Smoking status was classified as current smoker and not currently smoking. Alcohol consumption refers to whether or not participants have consumed alcohol in the past year.

Energy intake per day and percentage of energy from fat were used as continuous variables, which were collected over a three-day period using a weighing method of condiments in combination with the China Food Composition Table, a validated index of Chinese specific foods and their nutritional contents [22].

Region was grouped as Northern China (Heilongjiang, Liaoning and Beijing), Central China (Shandong, Jiangsu, Shanghai, Henan and Shanxi) and Southern China (Hubei, Chongqing, Zhejiang, Guizhou, Hunan, Guangxi and Yunnan) based on climate and dietary habit differences. Community urbanization index was calculated based on 12 multidimensional components at the community level reflecting population density, economic activity, traditional markets, modern markets, transportation infrastructure, sanitation, communications, housing, education, diversity, health infrastructure and social services [31, 32], and was categorized into tertiles (high, middle and low). Others details were presented in previous analyses of the CHNS [31].

**Statistical analysis**

We calculated descriptive statistics for the individual demographic variables, which were stratified by sex. Continuous variables were expressed as median, the 25th and 75th percentiles. Categorical variables were expressed as percentage. Body fat percentage was described as kernel density diagram by sex and BMI groups. Median (25th, 75th). Physical activity was described by sex and sociodemographic variables and Kruskal-Wallis tests were used for sociodemographic
variables. Box plots were used to express the BF% by PA levels, and the difference between each two groups was examined by Kruskal-Wallis tests.

We tested for the interaction because we found that the relationship between PA and BF% might be different for men/women and normal/overweight/obese at the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles (p < 0.0001). So we used separate sex-BMI-stratified QR analyses to assess associations of the total PA, at total body and trunk BF% percentiles. Moderate intensity exercise was defined as 3.0–6.0 MET [33]. We used 4.5 MET·h/d, such as half step jogging [34], to represent the average and tested the association as an additional hour of moderate PA with lower BF%. Compared with the traditional linear regression based on means, QR allows us to evaluate the relationship between PA and BF% at several cut points, which does not require any assumption about the distribution of the regression residuals, and is not influenced by skewness in the distribution of the dependent variable. This method provided greater statistical efficiency when outliers are present and is robust to varying effects of covariates at different percentiles of the response variable [35–37].

We constructed three different models. Model 1 controlled for the total PA only. Model 2 expanded the equation to individual-level variables, such as sedentary activity time, age, educational level, marital status, household income level, energy intake, energy percentage from fat, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption status and region. Model 3 expanded the equation further by adding urbanization index level. In all models, the BF% included the total body and the trunk. We considered the statistically significant coefficients by p < 0.05. SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., USA) was used for all analyses.

Results

Demographic characteristics of the study samples

Table 1 displays participant characteristics. Of the 5763 participants (mean age: 52.0 years old) included in the analyses, 1074 (18.6%) lived in northern China and 1892 (32.8%) in central China. In addition, 2802 (48%) were overweight/obese.

The BF% of samples

Figure 1 shows the smoothed distribution curves of the total BF% and trunk BF% among men and women aged 40–64 years in 2015. Individuals were divided into normal weight group, overweight group and obese group according to BMI. For both males and females, the three curves overlapped with each other, and the overweight curve was roughly between the normal weight curve and

| Variables | Male | | Female | | |
|-----------|------|------|--------|------|
| | Normal weight (BMI < 24 kg/m²) | Overweight/obese (BMI ≥ 24 kg/m²) | | Normal weight (BMI < 24 kg/m²) | Overweight/obese (BMI ≥ 24 kg/m²) | |
| N (number) | 1293 (50.9%) | 1247 (49.1%) | 1668 (51.8%) | 1555 (48.2%) | |
| Region (N, %) | | | | | |
| Northern | 192 (14.9%) | 262 (21.0%) | 275 (16.5%) | 345 (22.2%) | |
| Central | 378 (29.2%) | 435 (34.9%) | 556 (33.3%) | 523 (33.6%) | |
| Southern | 723 (55.9%) | 550 (44.1%) | 837 (50.2%) | 687 (44.2%) | |
| Age (year) | 53.0 (46.3, 59.3) | 50.9 (45.8, 57.0) | 51.4 (45.3, 58.1) | 51.8 (46.3, 58.1) | |
| Education (N, %) | | | | | |
| Low | 444 (38.5%) | 517 (44.7%) | 524 (38.7%) | 426 (33.9%) | |
| Middle | 473 (41.0%) | 478 (41.3%) | 561 (41.5%) | 529 (42.1%) | |
| High | 237 (20.5%) | 163 (14.1%) | 268 (19.8%) | 302 (24.0%) | |
| Married (N, %) | 1223 (94.6%) | 1208 (96.9%) | 1571 (94.2%) | 1460 (93.9%) | |
| Household income (1000 yuan) | 15.7 (7.2, 28.0) | 18.0 (8.9, 31.8) | 16.6 (7.3, 30.0) | 15.7 (7.2, 27.9) | |
| Energy intake (1000 kcal/day) | 2.1 (1.7, 2.7) | 2.2 (1.8, 2.8) | 1.8 (1.4, 2.2) | 1.8 (1.5, 2.3) | |
| Energy for dietary fat (%) | 35.1 (27.1, 44.3) | 35.6 (28.2, 43.7) | 35.4 (27.7, 43.7) | 35.5 (27.6, 43.6) | |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 21.8 (20.3, 22.9) | 26.3 (25.2, 27.9) | 22.0 (20.7, 23.0) | 26.4 (25.1, 28.2) | |
| Current smoking (N, %) | 782 (60.5%) | 627 (50.3%) | 30 (1.8%) | 20 (1.3%) | |
| Alcohol consumption (N, %) | 745 (57.6%) | 736 (59.0%) | 100 (6.0%) | 99 (6.4%) | |
| Urbanization Index (score) | 67.7 (54.2, 84.2) | 74.9 (58.5, 89.3) | 73.6 (57.1, 87.0) | 73.3 (57.3, 87.2) | |
| Sedentary activity time (hr/week) | 15.9 (10.5, 27.0) | 16.0 (10.5, 28.0) | 14.0 (9.0, 24.5) | 14.0 (10.0, 24.5) | |

Values were expressed as medians (25th, 75th) or number and percentage (N, %)
the obese curve. The reference line used the standard (men: 25.0%; women: 35.0%) recommended by American Association of Clinical Endocrinology/American College of Endocrinology Obesity Task Force (AACE/ACE) for judging obese according to the total BF% rather than the trunk BF% [38]. Most males in the obese group and a half of males in the overweight group were classified as obese by the standard of the total BF%. However, there were 10.4% of males had normal BMI but high body fat content, which we called normal weight obese [39]. A similar pattern was observed in females, and normal weight obese accounted for 8.8% of normal weight females. The overweight curve and the obese curve in females were further to the right of the reference line than that in males. The patterns in trunk BF% were similar to the total BF%.

Physical activity by sociodemographic variables
Figure 2 shows the total PA and its four subdomains. The total PA, occupational PA and travel PA in men were statistically higher than that of women (Kruskal-Wallis test, \( p < 0.05 \)), whereas domestic PA and leisure PA were lower in men than women (Kruskal-Wallis test, \( p < 0.05 \)). Occupational PA comprised the main component of total PA and leisure PA was the least. There were only 7.5% of males and 9.9% of females having leisure PA.

Table 2 shows PA by age, BMI groups, education level, household income level, regions and urbanization index level. For both males and females, the median of PA was lower at older ages. PA in females decreased more dramatically than males as age increased,
especially between 50-54 y (17.0 MET·h/d) and 55–59 y (11.9 MET·h/d). Men in the overweight/obese group had approximately 13% less PA than men in the normal weight group. The medians of total PA in people with low education were nearly 1.9 times and 1.7 times people with high education in males and females, respectively. People with higher household income had lower PA in both males and females. Women who lived in central China had the lower PA than those in northern or southern China, but no statistical difference were found in region for men. Individuals who lived in low level urbanization areas had nearly two times the amount of PA than those who lived in high level urbanization areas, for both men and women.

**Body fat percentage on different PA levels**

The shape of the total and trunk BF% distribution differed across the levels of PA with respect to location, spread, and skewness (Fig. 3). Male participants in the highest quartile of PA had a median total BF% of 21.4%, which was statistically significantly lower than the other three PA groups (Q1: 23.4%, Q2: 23.0%, Q3: 22.4%) \((p < 0.05)\), but no statistically significant differences were observed when comparing Q2, Q3 and Q4 groups with each other. Female participants who engaged in the highest quartile of PA had a median total BF% of 33.3%, which was statistically significantly lower than Q1 (34.8%) and Q3 group (34.2%) \((p < 0.05)\). The trunk BF% of males in the highest quartile of PA (22.2%) was significantly lower than the other three groups (Q1: 24.9%,
Q2: 24.8%, Q3: 24.1%) ($p < 0.05$), while BF% of females in the highest quartile of PA (32.4%) were also significantly lower than Q1 (34.4%) and Q3 (33.5%) groups. The ratio of trunk and total BF% represented the sex disparity in fat distribution, which increased quickly and dramatically in men (see Additional file 1).

The association between PA in different levels and BF% at different percentiles

Table 3 illustrates that the total BF% and the trunk BF% at the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles changed with 4.5 MET·h/d among men and women. We used 4.5 MET·h/d to represent the average level of the moderate intensity exercise because this was the recommendation value in China. Overall, 1 hour extra moderate PA (4.5 MET·h/d) was significantly associated with 0.029, 0.054, and 0.070% less total BF% at the 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles respectively, and 0.049, 0.086, and 0.099% less trunk fat at the same percentiles respectively (see Additional file 2). In normal weight and overweight/obese groups of both males and females, BF% was significantly inversely associated with PA at some special percentiles. The results obtained with Model 1 suggested that there were significant inverse relationships between PA and BF% at most of the percentiles. However, the negative significant coefficients changed at different percentiles after adjusting for individual level and community level factors.

The results obtained with Model 3 were different between men and women. First, females had more statistical significant coefficients at multiple percentiles than males. Specifically, there were four significant coefficients of total BF% in normal weight women, which appeared at the 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles, respectively, but only two in men, appearing at the 75th and 90th percentiles, respectively. Second, for overweight/obese group, significant coefficients appeared in women at the upper percentiles (50th, 75th and 90th), but in men at only the 25th percentile. Third, the associations between PA and total BF% were weaker in females than in males.

### Table 2

| Sociodemographic Variables | Male | Female |
|---------------------------|------|--------|
|                           | N    | Median (25th, 75th) | N    | Median (25th, 75th) |
| **Age**<sup>a,b</sup>     |      |                    |      |                    |
| 40–44                     | 475  | 20.4 (10.4, 33.7)   | 594  | 19.2 (9.1, 33.6)   |
| 45–49                     | 460  | 19.7 (10.0, 36.4)   | 581  | 19.9 (9.8, 32.0)   |
| 50–54                     | 504  | 19.3 (8.7, 34.6)    | 597  | 17.0 (8.0, 30.4)   |
| 55–59                     | 436  | 17.6 (8.7, 33.8)    | 413  | 11.9 (6.8, 25.1)   |
| 60–64                     | 361  | 16.0 (6.0, 30.1)    | 342  | 10.2 (6.3, 20.1)   |
| **BMI Groups**<sup>a</sup> |      |                    |      |                    |
| Normal weight             | 1107 | 20.1 (9.5, 36.9)    | 1304 | 16.5 (8.0, 29.5)   |
| Overweight/obese          | 1129 | 17.5 (7.8, 31.5)    | 1223 | 16.3 (7.6, 29.9)   |
| **Education**<sup>a,b</sup> |      |                    |      |                    |
| High                      | 947  | 14.9 (7.6, 24.7)    | 934  | 13.8 (7.2, 22.4)   |
| Middle                    | 907  | 21.2 (8.7, 39.5)    | 1044 | 17.1 (7.8, 31.3)   |
| Low                       | 382  | 28.5 (12.9, 48.2)   | 549  | 22.9 (9.4, 41.1)   |
| **Household Income**<sup>a,b</sup> |      |                    |      |                    |
| High                      | 807  | 17.5 (8.8, 29.4)    | 921  | 15.7 (7.6, 27.3)   |
| Middle                    | 757  | 19.3 (8.7, 33.2)    | 847  | 17.1 (8.2, 29.7)   |
| Low                       | 672  | 21.1 (8.5, 40.7)    | 759  | 17.1 (7.5, 33.4)   |
| **Region**<sup>b</sup>    |      |                    |      |                    |
| Northern                  | 429  | 18.8 (9.1, 38.3)    | 545  | 18.0 (8.5, 31.8)   |
| Central                   | 731  | 18.2 (7.6, 32.4)    | 857  | 15.0 (7.0, 28.5)   |
| Southern                  | 1076 | 19.7 (9.1, 36.2)    | 1125 | 17.9 (8.0, 34.3)   |
| **Urbanization Index**<sup>a,b</sup> |      |                    |      |                    |
| High                      | 772  | 13.6 (5.9, 22.8)    | 1002 | 11.9 (6.8, 20.9)   |
| Middle                    | 716  | 18.0 (8.2, 32.4)    | 859  | 16.4 (8.0, 29.5)   |
| Low                       | 748  | 28.7 (14.6, 50.6)   | 666  | 27.1 (12.0, 44.4)  |

<sup>a</sup><sup>p < 0.05</sup> in the Kruskal-Wallis test in males. <sup>b</sup><sup>p < 0.05</sup> in the Kruskal-Wallis test in females. <sup>c</sup><sup>p < 0.01</sup> in the Kruskal-Wallis test between sex
We found the absolute coefficients in overweight/obese group were lower than that in normal weight group. The significant coefficients were at the upper percentiles (75th and 90th) in normal weight men, and at the lower percentiles (25th) in overweight/obese men. The percentiles where the significant regression coefficients appeared corresponded with the range of 22–25% in male BF% (see Table 3 and Additional file 3). The significant coefficients from the 25th to the 90th percentiles in normal weight women were approximately twice that of overweight/obese women from the 50th to the 90th percentiles. The absolute coefficients in trunk were bigger than that in total body in the corresponding percentiles. The significant coefficients appeared in the normal weight males from 50th to 90th percentiles and 25th to 75th percentiles in females. The coefficients in overweight/obese were significant from the 50th to 90th percentiles in females but not across any of the percentiles in males.

**Discussion**

Our analysis of middle-aged adults from the 2015 wave of CHNS, PA showed marked sociodemographic variations in PA levels. In addition, it found inverse associations between PA levels and BF%, similar to previous studies conducted in China [18], Indian [21] and

---

**Fig. 3** Box plots of the total and trunk BF% among middle-aged Chinese adults in 2015. Panel a and b denote the total BF% in men and women, respectively. Panel c and d denote the trunk BF% in men and women, respectively. PA was grouped into Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4 by ascending order according to the interquartile. * indicates a significant difference between the two groups.
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ported that PA was associated with diminished body fat mass. However, we were unable to compare the strength of associations with adiposity observed in our study directly with those previously observed because of differences in the study design. Du reported that greater PA (14 MET·h/d) was associated with 0.48 (95% CI: 0.45, 0.50) percentage points less body fat in 30–79 years old, using the interviewer-administered PA questionnaire and BIA, from the China Kadoorie Biobank study and employing the multiple linear and logistic regression models [18]. Bradbury reported that compared with <5 excess MET-h/week at baseline, ≥100 excess MET-h/

### Table 3 The sex-BMI-stratified coefficients of PA on BF% among middle-aged Chinese adults in 2015

| Variables         | Normal weight (BMI < 24 kg/m²) | Overweight/obese (BMI ≥ 24 kg/m²) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                   | 10th                           | 25th                            | 50th                           | 75th                           | 90th                           | 10th                           | 25th                           | 50th                           | 75th                           | 90th                           |
| Male Model 1      | −0.075                         | −0.150                          | −0.105                         | −0.085                         | −0.111                         | −0.079                         | −0.084                         | −0.103                         | −0.068                         | −0.034                         |
| Male Model 2      | −0.004                         | −0.027                          | −0.060                         | −0.079                         | −0.091                         | −0.101                         | −0.069                         | −0.045                         | −0.002                         | −0.054                         |
| Male Model 3      | 0.020                          | 0.003                           | −0.032                         | −0.075                         | −0.110                         | −0.098                         | −0.071                         | −0.032                         | 0.014                          | −0.052                         |
| Female Model 1    | −0.119                         | −0.110                          | −0.081                         | −0.077                         | −0.082                         | −0.061                         | −0.043                         | −0.049                         | −0.082                         | −0.123                         |
| Female Model 2    | 0.011                          | −0.049                          | −0.059                         | −0.044                         | −0.063                         | −0.002                         | −0.021                         | −0.035                         | −0.032                         | −0.031                         |
| Female Model 3    | 0.046                          | −0.046                          | −0.073                         | −0.057                         | −0.098                         | −0.006                         | −0.023                         | −0.035                         | −0.039                         | −0.037                         |

The coefficients were based on the quantile regression at 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles. *p < 0.05. (P values see Additional file 4.) Model 1 was controlled for the total PA only. The individual-level variables were then added to the equation to estimate Model 2, including the sedentary activity time, age, educational level, marital status, household income level, energy intake, energy percentage from fat, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption status and region. For Model 3, urbanization index level was added to Model 2.
week was associated with a 2.8 percentage points lower body fat in men and 4.0 percentage points lower body fat in women aged 40–69 years in UK, using International Physical Activity Questionnaire and BIA and employing the multiple linear regression model [19]. Bowen reported that one MET-h higher activity was associated with 145 g less body fat (95% CI 73,218) in the middle-aged Indian, using PA questionnaire and dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans and employing the multiple linear regression model [21].

Moreover, an extra hour per day moderate PA had inverse association with trunk BF%, and the effect was more pronounced than the total BF%. We found that it was more common for men to gain fat in the abdomen (android), most of which was visceral adipose rather than subcutaneous adipose, whereas women store more fat in the gluteal-femoral region (gynoid) [41]. As body weight increased, the ratio of trunk and total BF% rose dramatically in men (see Additional file 1). Min reported that regional fat distribution in the android and gynoid regions had different effects on lipid profiles [42], and fat in the android region may be an important factor in determining the risk of CVD [41]. It was reported that men had a higher standardized mortality rate of CVD than women in China from 1990 to 2013, and android fat maybe one of the main contributors for men [2].

In general, overweight/obese group showed a higher BF% than normal weight group, however we did see an interaction between the BF% density curves of the two groups. About 10% of normal weight individuals had high body fat. Several studies discuss the term “normal weight obese”, which describes an individual with normal body weight and BMI (<24 kg/m²) but high BF%, accompanied with total lean mass deficiency [8, 39, 43, 44]. Some studies have reported associations with normal weight obese and a high prevalence of cardiometabolic dysregulation, metabolic syndrome, and CV risk factors [39, 45]. In our study, normal weight obese subjects were distributed at the 90th percentile and above the total BF% of the normal weight group (see Additional file 3). In addition, an extra hour per day of moderate PA was more strongly and inversely associated with the total BF% for the normal weight obesity subjects than others (see Table 3). For example, in normal weight at the 90th percentiles, an extra 4.5 MET-h/d PA was associated with 0.110 and 0.098 percentage points lower total BF% for men and women respectively, and these relationships were stronger than that at the 10th, 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles. Half of overweight men and most of overweight women were classified obese according to the standard of BF% (see Fig. 1), which could indicate that overweight men are more likely to gain muscle, while overweight women are more likely to gain fat.

To all segments of the population, health and functional benefits are visible from PA [31, 46, 47]. Many countries have published guidelines to encourage increase of PA levels, including the USA, Canada, and China [36]. All of these guidelines follow the same recommendations as the World Health Organization, which states that 150 min/week (7.5 MET-h/w) in leisure-time PA is the minimal level to reduce risk of non-communicable diseases, and 300 min/week (15 MET-h/w) is the level to maintain weight [48]. However, guidance is general and not personalized for individuals with different BMI and BF%, especially for normal weight obese. There is also no suitable guideline on PA for different levels of overweight or obese adults. As the fact of only about 10% population having leisure-time PA (see Fig. 2), it might be more effective if PA guideline was personalized in a way that took into account people with different body fat according to statistically significant percentiles.

This study has several limitations. First, the anthropometric methods we used to measure PA and BF% in our study were not the most precise available, but they were broadly acceptable and were the most economical and useful way for a large-scale survey covering 15 regions in China. In this 30-year large scale follow-up survey, it was impractical to obtain objective measures of usual activity level using accelerometer and BF% measured by DXA. In our study, we used an interviewer-administered PA questionnaire, about frequency, duration, and intensity of PA within 1 week in several different domains, which had been tested, modified, and used from 1989. Although data on PA was accumulated from recall might be prone to overestimation and the interview progress seemed complex because of a large number of PA question [20], we chose this approach because the questionnaire was revised from the international PA questionnaire and we have remained to use it consistently with each wave of the CHNS. Our questionnaire was effective and validated by professors from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, as well as the National Institute for Nutrition and Health, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Bioelectrical impedance analysis was more suitable and reasonable to measure the BF% in this large scale field investigation because of its cost-efficiency and easy-carried [6].

Second, though CHNS was a long-term follow-up study, 2015 was the first year to collect body composition data. However, we would continue extend this data collection to future surveys in order to validate the causal relationship between PA and BF%. Third, because the subjects in our study were middle aged people with similar demographic characteristics, it may be difficult to generalize our results to other populations. Although these limitations existed, our study was based on a large
scale field survey comprising a lot of provinces, and our strict quality control ensured our accurate results, which imparted the ability to make certain generalizations.

Conclusions
In this sample of middle-aged Chinese adults, we found that individuals who were doing the most PA had a lower BF% than those who were doing the least PA. Older adults, overweight/obese group, higher education, higher income, living in central China and higher urbanization were the sociodemographic factors associated with lower PA. However, we found this inverse association between PA and BF% was only in particular subpopulations rather than the entire population, specific for the normal weight men with BF% at 22.0–25.0%, the overweight/obese men with 23.1%, normal weight women with 27.0–34.7%, and overweight/obese women with 38.1–44.0%. We should pay much attention to normal weight obese and give a suitable PA guideline taking into account people with different BF%.

Supplementary information
Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-08832-0.

Additional file 1. Ratios of trunk and total body fat% at the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles. *p < 0.05. The overall coefficients were based on the quantile regression in Model 3. Model 3 adjusted the sedentary activity time, age, educational level, marital status, household income level, energy intake, energy percentage from fat, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption status, region and urbanization index.

Additional file 2. The overall coefficients of physical activity on body fat% among middle-aged Chinese adults in 2015.

Additional file 3. Body fat% distribution among middle-aged Chinese adults in 2015.

Additional file 4. The six-BMI-stratified coefficients of PA on BF% among middle-aged Chinese adults in 2015. The sex-BMI-stratified coefficients of PA on BF% at 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles. *p < 0.05. Model 1 was controlled for the total PA only. The individual-level variables were then added to the equation to estimate Model 2, including the sedentary activity time, age, educational level, marital status, household income level, energy intake, energy percentage from fat, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption status and region. For Model 3, urbanization index level variables was then added to Model 2. Additional file 4 is a supplement file providing p values for Table 3.

Abbreviations
BF%: Body fat percentage; PA: Physical activity; BMI: Body mass index; CVD: Cardiovascular diseases; CHNS: China Health and Nutrition Survey; QR: Quantile regression; DXA: Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry; BIA: Bioelectrical impedance analysis

Acknowledgments
We thank Morgan Miller Richey to edit the manuscript for clarity to be understood.

Authors’ contributions
All authors contributed significantly to this article. QZ analyzed the data and wrote the first version of the manuscript; CS, WD, YO, HW, ZW, GD and BZ performed the surveys; CS, WD, YO, ZW, and HW interpreted the results and revised the manuscript; and BZ critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Funding
The present study is funded by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81573155) and supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2019YFC1605100). The “China Nutritional Transition Cohort Study” project received funding from Ministry of Finance of the People’s Republic of China (NO. 13103110700015005). The present study is also sponsored by Carolina Population Center (P2CHD050924, T32 HD007168), the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, the NIH (R01-HD30880, DK056350, R24 HD059024, and R01-HD38700) and the NIH Fogarty International Center (D43TW009077, D43 TW007709) for financial support for the CHNS data collection and analysis files from 1989 to 2015 and future surveys.

Availability of data and materials
Our study relied on data from China Health and Nutrition Surveys. The datasets using during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Protocols, instruments, and the process used to obtain informed consent in this survey were approved by the institutional review committees of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, as well as the National Institute for Nutrition and Health, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. All subjects gave written informed consent for their participation in the survey.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1National Institute for Nutrition and Health, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 100050, China. 2Chongqing Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Chongqing 400042, China.

Received: 5 February 2020 Accepted: 1 May 2020
Published online: 19 May 2020

References
1. Adair LS, Gordon-Larsen P, Du SF, Zhang B, Popkin BM. The emergence of cardiometabolic disease risk in Chinese children and adults: consequences of changes in diet, physical activity and obesity. Obes Rev. 2014;15(Suppl 1): 49–59.
2. Bureau of National Health and Family Planning Commission Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 100050, China. *Chongqing Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Chongqing 400042, China.
3. Kleinert S, Horton R. Obesity needs to be put into a much wider context. Lancet. 2019;393(10173):724–6.
4. Ashwell M, Mayhew L, Richardson J, Rickayzen B. Waist-to-height ratio is more predictive of years of life lost than body mass index. PLoS One. 2014; 9(9):e103483.
5. Romero-Coral A, Montori VM, Somers VK, Korinek J, Thomas RJ, Allison TG, et al. Association of bodyweight with total mortality and with cardiovascular events in coronary artery disease: a systematic review of cohort studies. Lancet. 2006;368(9536):666–78.
6. Volgyi E, Tylavsky FA, Lyytikainen A, Suominen H, Alen M, Cheng S. Assessing body composition with DXA and bioimpedance: effects of obesity, physical activity, and age. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2008;16(3):700–5.
7. Chinese Society of Nutrition. Dietary guidelines for Chinese residents. Beijing: People’s Medical Publishing House; 2016. p. 32–55.
8. Lee WS. Body fatness charts based on BMI and waist circumference. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2016;24(1):245–9.
9. Volgyi E, Tylavsky FA, Lyytikainen A, Suominen H, Alen M, Cheng S. Assessing body composition with DXA and bioimpedance: effects of obesity, physical activity, and age. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2008;16(3):700–5.
10. Marques-Vidal P, Bochud M, Mooser V, Paccard F, Waeber G, Vollmerweider P. Obesity markers and estimated 10-year fatal cardiovascular risk in Switzerland. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2009;19(7):462–8.

Updated version
Page 11 of 12
