Overexpression of Thy1 and ITGA6 is associated with invasion, metastasis and poor prognosis in human gallbladder carcinoma
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Abstract. Gallbladder cancer (GBC) is a rare but highly aggressive cancer for which no well-accepted prognostic biomarkers have been identified. Thymus cell antigen 1 (Thy1), also known as cluster of differentiation (CD)90, and integrin α6 (ITGA6), also known as CD49f, are important molecules in cancer and putative markers of various stem cell types. However, their role in GBC remains to be elucidated. In the present study, Thy1 and ITGA6 expression status in clinical GBC samples, which comprised squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinoma (SC/ASC) and adenocarcinoma (AC) subtypes, was investigated. The associations between Thy1 and ITGA6 expression and clinical parameters and survival rate were analyzed separately. The THY1 and ITGA6 messenger RNA levels were significantly higher in both SC/ASC and AC tissues than in adjacent non-tumor tissues (all P<0.001). These results were subsequently confirmed by immunohistochemical analyses. Overexpression of Thy1 and ITGA6 was correlated with poor differentiation, large tumor size, lymph node metastasis and great invasiveness in SC/ASC (Thy1, P=0.045, P=0.005, P=0.003 and P=0.009, respectively, and ITGA6, P=0.029, P=0.011, P=0.009 and P=0.004, respectively) and AC (Thy1, P=0.027, P<0.001, P=0.003 and P=0.004, respectively, and ITGA6, P=0.002, P=0.003, P=0.006 and P=0.006, respectively). Both Thy1 and ITGA6 were expressed at higher levels in AC with advanced tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) than in AC with low TNM stage (P=0.001 and P=0.018, respectively). In addition, patients with elevated Thy1 or ITGA6 expression had shorter overall survival than those with negative Thy1 or ITGA6 expression. Multivariate Cox regression analysis demonstrated that Thy1 (SC/ASC, P=0.001 and AC, P=0.005) and ITGA6 (both P=0.003) were independent predictors of poor prognosis in both SC/ASC and AC patients. In conclusion, Thy1 and ITGA6 could be clinical prognostic markers for GBC.

Introduction

Gallbladder cancer (GBC) is the most common malignancy of the biliary tract. The major subtype of GBC is adenocarcinoma (AC), which accounts for >90% of GBC cases (1), while squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinoma (SC/ASC) is a rare subtype, comprising 1.4-10.4% of GBC cases (2). Notably, the 5-year survival of patients with GBC involving these two subtypes is extremely low. Their nonspecific symptomatology results in advanced disease at the time of presentation, contributing to poor prognosis and decreased survival (2). Thus, it is urgent to identify biomarkers for the diagnosis and prognosis of this disease. However, the genetic and molecular alterations in GBC are still poorly understood. In addition, its rarity renders the collection of large sample cohorts difficult.

Thymus cell antigen 1 (Thy1), also known as cluster of differentiation (CD)90, is a 25-37-kDa glycosphatidylinositol-anchored protein that is expressed in numerous cell types, including T cells, neurons, endothelial cells, fibroblasts and numerous tumor cells. Functioning as an important regulator of cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions (3), Thy1 has also been proposed to be an important molecule in cancer. It is overexpressed during prostate cancer progression (4). In hepatocellular carcinoma, increased Thy1 expression is associated with the presence of cancer (5). It is noteworthy that Thy1 tends to be expressed in poorly differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma and is associated with poor prognosis (6,7). Consistent with this, male patients with Thy1-positive breast cancer have
significantly poorer survival than those with Thy1-negative expression (8). In addition, Thy1 promotes migration and metastasis in melanoma (9). Notably, it has been suggested that Thy1 has opposite functions in ovarian (10) and nasopharyngeal cancer (11), where it functions as a tumor suppressor. Nonetheless, the significance of Thy1 in the context of GBC remains undetermined.

Integrin α6 (ITGA6), also known as CD49f, is a 150-kDa transmembrane protein. It associates with integrin β1 chain (or CD29) to form very late antigen-6, and with integrin β4 chain (or CD104) to form the α6β4 complex, both of which are important laminin receptors (12). Laminin receptors are essential for cell-matrix adhesion and cell-cell interactions. These activate intracellular signaling pathways involved in the regulation of various cellular processes, including cytoskeletal arrangement, growth factor signaling and gene transcription (13). An emerging consensus is that ITGA6 dysregulation is associated with malignancy. An increasing number of studies have revealed that ITGA6 is abnormally expressed in numerous tumors, including breast cancer, lung cancer and liver cancer (14,15). In the majority of these studies, ITGA6 overexpression was significantly associated with tumor cell metastasis and invasion, thus implicating its involvement in tumor progression (14,15). However, no studies have addressed the role of ITGA6 in GBC.

It is worth noting that Thy1 and ITGA6 are putative markers of various cancer stem cells (CSCs) (6,16-19). The proliferation and differentiation of CSCs are dysregulated, and they share characteristics necessary for inducing both tumorigenesis and metastasis. CSCs comprise ~1-5% of all tumor cells. They are self-renewing and can develop into different cell types to form tumors again, even when the majority of tumor cells have been eliminated (20). Therefore, efficient biomarkers are vital for identifying CSCs. Although the CSC theory is still controversial, CSCs have been identified in multiple solid tumors, including breast cancer (21), hepatocellular carcinoma (22), glioma (16), prostate cancer (4), colorectal cancer (23) and pancreatic cancer (24). However, whether there are CSCs in GBC is not clear. In the present study, the expression of the promising CSC markers Thy1 and ITGA6 was evaluated in 46 SC/ASC and 80 AC patients using reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) and immunohistochemical analyses, and Thy1 and ITGA6 expression was correlated with the clinical outcome and prognosis of the patients.

Materials and methods

Patients and tissue specimens. A total of 126 GBC (46 SC/ASC and 80 AC) samples and paired non-tumor tissue samples were obtained from patients that underwent surgical resection or biopsy between January 1995 and December 2009. The present study was approved by the Central South University Ethics Committee for Human Research from Xiangya Hospital (Changsha, China), The Second Xiangya Hospital (Changsha, China), The Third Xiangya Hospital (Changsha, China), Hunan Provincial People Hospital (Changsha, China), Hunan Provincial Tumor Hospital (Changsha, China), Changde Central Hospital and Loudi Central Hospital (Loudi, China). Written informed consent was obtained from the patients. All samples were confirmed pathologically. The histological grade of GBC was based on the World Health Organization grading system (25). Tumor stage was based on the pathological tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (26). Surgical procedure determination was mainly based on TNM staging of GBC and patients' condition. Radical surgery included simple cholecystectomy and cholecystectomy involving a wedge resection of the gallbladder fossa with 2 cm non-neoplastic liver tissue. Resection of a suprapancreatic segment of the extrahepatic bile duct and extended portal lymph node dissection could also be considered based on the patient's condition. Palliative surgery was cholecystectomy with biliary drainage. Patients not suitable for surgical resection underwent surgical biopsy. The clinicopathological data are summarized in Table I. Survival information of all patients was obtained

### Table I. Clinicopathological characteristics of GBC samples.

| Clinicopathological characteristics | SC/ASC, no. (%) | AC, no. (%) |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| **Gender**                         |                |            |
| Male                               | 19 (41.3)      | 26 (32.5)  |
| Female                             | 27 (58.7)      | 54 (67.5)  |
| **Age, years**                     |                |            |
| ≤45                                | 3 (6.5)        | 16 (20.0)  |
| >45                                | 43 (93.5)      | 64 (80.0)  |
| **Differientation**                |                |            |
| Well                               | 16 (34.8)      | 27 (33.8)  |
| Moderately                         | 24 (52.2)      | 25 (31.3)  |
| Poorly                             | 6 (13.0)       | 28 (35.0)  |
| **Maximum diameter of tumor, cm** |                |            |
| ≤3                                 | 20 (43.5)      | 50 (62.5)  |
| >3                                 | 26 (56.5)      | 30 (37.5)  |
| **Cholecystolithiasis**            |                |            |
| (-)                                | 18 (39.1)      | 42 (52.5)  |
| (+)                                | 28 (60.9)      | 38 (47.5)  |
| **TNM stage**                      |                |            |
| I+II                               | 12 (26.1)      | 21 (26.3)  |
| III                                | 20 (33.5)      | 38 (47.5)  |
| IV                                 | 14 (30.4)      | 21 (26.3)  |
| **Lymph node metastasis**          |                |            |
| (-)                                | 17 (37.0)      | 30 (37.5)  |
| (+)                                | 29 (63.0)      | 50 (62.5)  |
| **Locoregional invasion**          |                |            |
| (-)                                | 16 (34.8)      | 31 (38.8)  |
| (+)                                | 30 (62.5)      | 49 (61.3)  |
| **Surgical method**                |                |            |
| Radical                            | 14 (30.4)      | 26 (32.5)  |
| Palliative                         | 18 (39.1)      | 28 (35.0)  |
| Biopsy                             | 14 (30.4)      | 26 (32.5)  |

GBC, gallbladder cancer; SC/ASC, squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinoma; AC, adenocarcinoma; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis.
through letters and phone calls. The follow-up time was 2 years. Patients that survived longer than 2 years were included in the analysis as censored cases.

**RNA isolation and RT-qPCR.** Total RNA was isolated from fresh frozen tissues using TRIzol (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and was quantified using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using a cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, USA). RT-qPCR was performed using SYBR PCR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) in an ABI 7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RT-qPCR was initiated by incubation for 30 sec at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 sec and 60°C for 30 sec, and a final dissociation stage of 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 1 min and 95°C for 15 sec. Analysis of gene relative quantification was performed using the \(2^{-\Delta\Delta Cq}\) method (27). For each gene, RT-qPCR was performed on each sample in triplicate. Transcript levels were normalized using hydroxymethylbilane synthase (HMBS) RNA quantification. The RT-qPCR results were analyzed with SigmaStat software version 3.1 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The primers for Thy1 were as follows: Forward (F), 5'-CACCACACTTGCC CATTCC-3' and reverse (R), 5'-CTCACACTTGACCAGTTT GTCTCT-3'. The primers for ITGA6 were as follows: F, 5'-CAC ATCTCCCTCCTGAGCAC-3' and R, 5'-TATCTGGCACC CATCCTTG-3'. The primers for HMBS were as follows: F, 5'-AGCTATGAAAGATGGCGAAC-3' and R, 5'-TTGTAT GCTATCTGAGCCGTCA-3'.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Rabbit anti-Thy1 antibody (HPA003733) and rabbit anti-ITGA6 antibody (HPA012696) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). Staining was conducted using the peroxidase-based EnVision™ Detection System (Dako North America, Inc., Carpinteria, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded SC/ASC and AC tissue sections (4-µm thick) on poly-L-lysine-coated slides were deparaffinized and incubated with 3% H₂O₂ for 10 min. Next, the sections were soaked with PBS for 5 min thrice. After 50-min incubation with the primary antibody (1:200 rabbit anti-Thy1 or 1:200 rabbit anti-ITGA6) at room temperature, the samples were incubated with a goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody conjugated with a horseradish peroxidase polymer (1:500; ab6721; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) at room temperature for 30 min, and then developed with H₂O₂ and 3,3’-diaminobenzidine (Dako-Cytomation; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). Hematoxylin was used as a counterstain. Positive controls were positive sections purchased from Fuzhou Maixin Biotech Co., Ltd. (Fuzhou, China). The negative control was designed by replacing the primary antibody with 5% fetal bovine serum (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The percentage of positive cells was calculated from 500 cells in 10 random fields. Cases with ≥25% positive cells were considered positive, while those with <25% positive cells were considered negative.

**Statistics.** Data were analyzed using SPSS 14.0 software (SPSS, Inc.). Paired Student’s t-test was used to compare the messenger RNA (mRNA) levels between the tumor and non-tumor samples. The association of Thy1 or ITGA6 expression with histological or clinical factors was analyzed using the \(\chi^2\) or Fisher’s exact tests. Kaplan-Meier and time series tests (log-rank test) were used for univariate survival analysis. The Cox proportional hazards model was used for multivariate analysis and for determining the 95% confidence interval. P≤0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**THY1 and ITGA6 mRNA levels in clinical tissue samples.** RT-qPCR analyses of the SC/ASC and AC tissues demonstrated that both the Thy1 and ITGA6 mRNA levels were higher in tumor tissues than in the corresponding non-tumor tissues. The results demonstrated that there was a 2.6-fold upregulation in SC/ASC and a 2.4-fold upregulation in AC of Thy1 mRNA expression compared with the corresponding non-tumor tissues (both P<0.001; Fig. 1A). Furthermore, ITGA6 mRNA levels were increased by ~3.5-fold and 3.2-fold in SC/ASC and AC tissues, respectively (both P<0.001; Fig. 1B). However, no
Evaluation of Thy1 and ITGA6 expression using immunohistochemical staining. Immunohistochemical staining revealed that Thy1 and ITGA6 positive staining was mainly localized in the cytoplasm of GBC cells at different expression levels in different samples, while the majority of the non-tumor samples had negative staining (Figs. 2 and 3). The percentages of positive Thy1 and ITGA6 expression in SC/ASC and AC samples were similar (Table II).

Association of Thy1 and ITGA6 expression with clinicopathological characteristics of GBC. To understand the significance of Thy1 and ITGA6 expression in GBC, the correlation of their protein levels with the major clinicopathological variables of the patients was evaluated. As shown in Table III, the percentages of positive Thy1 and ITGA6 expression were much higher in SC/ASC cases with poor differentiation, large tumor size, lymph node metastasis and great invasiveness, and those who had undergone only biopsy, compared with cases with good differentiation, small tumor mass, no lymph node metastasis and no invasion, and those who had undergone radical resection (Thy1, \( P=0.027, P<0.001 \), and ITGA6, \( P=0.029, P=0.011, P=0.009 \) and \( P=0.017 \), respectively). Thy1 and ITGA6 exhibited no significant association with pathological type or history of gallstones. There was higher Thy1 and ITGA6 expression in SC/ASC with advanced TNM stage than in SC/ASC with low TNM stage, although the differences were not statistically significant (both \( P=0.056 \)).

There was significantly higher Thy1 and ITGA6 expression in AC cases with poor differentiation, large tumor size, advanced TNM stage, lymph node metastasis and great invasiveness, and those who had undergone only biopsy than in AC cases with good differentiation, small tumor mass, low TNM stage, no lymph node metastasis and no invasion, and those who had undergone radical resection (Thy1, \( P=0.045, P=0.005 \), \( P=0.003 \) and \( P=0.009 \) and \( P=0.032 \), respectively, and ITGA6, \( P=0.029, P=0.011, P=0.009 \) and \( P=0.017 \), respectively). Thy1 and ITGA6 exhibited no significant association with pathological type or history of gallstones. There was higher Thy1 and ITGA6 expression in SC/ASC with advanced TNM stage than in SC/ASC with low TNM stage, although the differences were not statistically significant (both \( P=0.056 \)).

Correlation between Thy1 and ITGA6 expression and prognosis of GBC. The Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the SC/ASC patients were categorized according to their Thy1 or ITGA6 expression levels. Survival analysis revealed that the median survival rate of Thy1-positive ( \( P=0.001 \)) and ITGA6-positive ( \( P=0.004 \)) patients was significantly lower than that of patients with Thy1- and ITGA6-negative tumors (Table V and Fig. 4). Cox multivariate analysis revealed that Thy1 and ITGA6 expression, as well as differentiation, tumor size, TNM stage, invasion and surgical procedure, were negatively correlated with postoperative survival but positively correlated with mortality, suggesting that Thy1 and ITGA6 are independent risk factors for poor survival in SC/ASC ( \( P=0.001 \) and \( P=0.003 \), respectively; Table VI).
The survival rate of AC patients was similar to that of SC/ASC patients. Briefly, Thy1- or ITGA6-positive AC patients had poorer prognosis compared with Thy1- or ITGA6-negative AC patients (both \( P < 0.001 \); Table VII and Fig. 5). Cox multivariate analysis determined that differentiation, tumor size, TNM stage, invasion, surgical procedure, and Thy1 and ITGA6 expression had a significant impact on the prognosis of AC patients (Thy1, \( P = 0.005 \) and ITGA6, \( P = 0.003 \); Table VIII).

### Discussion

AC is the most common subtype of malignant gallbladder neoplasm, while SC and ASC are relatively rare (1,2). Previous studies revealed that SC/ASC patients are older and have larger but more differentiated tumors than AC patients (28). In the present study, no significant differences were observed between AC and SC/ASC in terms of other clinicopathological characteristics (such as gender, history of cholecystolithiasis or TNM stage), biological behavior or post-surgical prognosis. Despite the improvements in the current understanding of GBC, few biomarkers have been identified that are associated with the tumorigenesis and prognosis of AC or SC/ASC, and the differences in terms of molecular markers between AC and SC/ASC remain to be explored.

The expression of Thy1 and ITGA6 in solid carcinoma was reported recently. A number of studies have revealed associations between Thy1 expression and the genesis and metastasis of various tumors (4-11). Similarly, an increasing number of studies have suggested that ITGA6 expression is involved in the progression and invasion of malignant lesions (14,15). Nonetheless, the expression and significance of Thy1 and ITGA6 in GBC have not been addressed. The present study demonstrated that elevated Thy1 and ITGA6 levels are associated with an invasive and metastatic phenotype, as well as with

### Table III. Association of Thy1 and ITGA6 expression with the clinicopathological characteristics of SC/ASC.

| Clinicopathological characteristics | Total no. | Thy1 | ITGA6 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|------|-------|
|                                    | Pos, no. (%) | \( \chi^2 \) | P-value | Pos, no. (%) | \( \chi^2 \) | P-value |
| Pathological type                   |            |      |       |            |      |       |
| SC                                 | 26         | 15 (57.5) | 0.735 | 0.391 | 17 (65.4) | 0.001 | 0.978 |
| ASC                                | 20         | 14 (70.0) |       |       | 13 (65.0) |       |       |
| Differentiation                    |            | 6.209 | 0.045 |       | 6.785 | 0.029 |
| Well                               | 16         | 7 (43.8) |       |       | 7 (43.8) |       |       |
| Moderately                         | 24         | 16 (66.7) |       |       | 17 (70.8) |       |       |
| Poorly                             | 6          | 6 (100.0) |       |       | 6 (100.0) |       |       |
| Maximum diameter of tumor, cm      |            | 8.065 | 0.005 |       | 6.376 | 0.011 |
| \( \leq 3 \)                       | 20         | 8 (40.0) |       |       | 9 (45.0) |       |       |
| >3                                 | 26         | 21 (80.8) |       |       | 21 (80.8) |       |       |
| Gallstones                          |            | 0.167 | 0.683 |       | 0.027 | 0.869 |
| \((-\))                            | 18         | 12 (66.7) |       |       | 12 (66.7) |       |       |
| \(\text{(+)}\)                      | 28         | 17 (60.7) |       |       | 18 (64.3) |       |       |
| TNM stage                           |            | 5.520 | 0.056 |       | 5.566 | 0.056 |
| I+II                               | 12         | 5 (41.7) |       |       | 5 (41.7) |       |       |
| III                                | 20         | 12 (60.0) |       |       | 13 (65.0) |       |       |
| IV                                 | 14         | 12 (85.7) |       |       | 12 (85.7) |       |       |
| Lymph metastasis                   |            | 8.912 | 0.003 |       | 6.870 | 0.009 |
| \((-\))                            | 17         | 6 (35.3) |       |       | 7 (41.2) |       |       |
| \(\text{(+)}\)                      | 29         | 23 (79.3) |       |       | 23 (79.3) |       |       |
| Invasion                            |            | 6.870 | 0.009 |       | 8.309 | 0.004 |
| \((-\))                            | 16         | 6 (37.5) |       |       | 6 (37.5) |       |       |
| \(\text{(+)}\)                      | 30         | 23 (76.7) |       |       | 24 (80.0) |       |       |
| Surgery                             |            | 6.587 | 0.032 |       | 8.354 | 0.017 |
| Radical                            | 14         | 5 (35.7) |       |       | 5 (35.7) |       |       |
| Palliative                         | 18         | 13 (72.2) |       |       | 13 (72.2) |       |       |
| Biopsy                             | 14         | 11 (78.6) |       |       | 12 (85.7) |       |       |

Thy1, thymus cell antigen 1; ITGA6, integrin \( \alpha 6 \); SC/ASC, squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinoma; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis; Pos, positive.
poor prognosis of SC/ASC and AC. The present study is, to the best of our knowledge, the first to investigate the associations between these two important biomarkers and the characteristics of GBC.

Several studies have suggested that Thy1 participates in multiple signaling cascades involving cellular adhesion, proliferation, survival and cytokine growth factor responses (29). THY1, the gene regulating Thy1 expression, is a driver of...
Other cell and low rate of α greatly affected by the extracellular matrix (ECM) (17). The GBC. Tumor cell growth, differentiation and progression are marker that could be helpful for guiding GBC treatment. Therefore, Thy1 may be a promising novel prognostic marker that could be helpful for guiding GBC treatment.

Table VI. Multivariate Cox regression analysis of survival rate in SC/ASC patients.

| Groups             | Factors                  | RC   | SE   | Wald   | P-value | RR     | Lower | Upper |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------|------|--------|---------|--------|-------|-------|
| Pathological type  | SC/ASC                   | 0.496| 0.455| 1.188  | 0.276   | 1.642  | 0.673 | 4.006 |
| Differentiation    | Well, moderately, poorly | 1.067| 0.472| 5.110  | 0.024   | 2.907  | 1.152 | 7.331 |
| Tumor size, cm     | ≤3, >3                   | 2.312| 0.637| 13.173 | <0.001  | 10.095 | 2.869 | 35.181|
| Gallstones         | (-), (+)                 | 0.645| 0.441| 2.139  | 0.144   | 1.906  | 0.803 | 4.524 |
| TNM stage          | I+II, III, IV            | 1.194| 0.426| 7.856  | 0.005   | 3.300  | 1.432 | 7.606 |
| Lymph metastasis   | (-), (+)                 | 1.269| 0.583| 4.738  | 0.030   | 3.557  | 1.135 | 11.153|
| Invasion           | (-), (+)                 | 2.863| 0.796| 12.936 | <0.001  | 17.514 | 3.680 | 83.359|
| Surgery            | Radical, palliative, biopsy | 1.071| 0.484| 4.897  | 0.027   | 2.918  | 1.130 | 7.536 |
| Thy1 expression    | (-), (+)                 | 1.774| 0.558| 10.107 | 0.001   | 5.894  | 1.975 | 17.596|
| ITGA6 expression   | (-), (+)                 | 1.613| 0.539| 8.956  | 0.003   | 5.018  | 1.745 | 14.432|

CI, confidence interval; SC/ASC, squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinoma; RC, regression coefficient; SE, standard error; RR, relative risk; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis; Thy1, thymus cell antigen 1; ITGA6, integrin α6.

Table VII. Association between Thy1 and ITGA6 expression and median survival rate of AC patients.

| Protein  | Sample no. | Median survival, months (range) | $\chi^2$ | P-value |
|----------|------------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Thy1     |            | 30.138                          | <0.001  |         |
| (-)      | 31         | 15.32 (7-24)                    |         |         |
| (+)      | 49         | 7.84 (3-19)                     |         |         |
| ITGA6    |            | 30.992                          | <0.001  |         |
| (-)      | 30         | 15.63 (4-24)                    |         |         |
| (+)      | 50         | 7.80 (3-16)                     |         |         |

Thy1, thymus cell antigen 1; ITGA6, integrin α6; AC, adenocarcinoma.

invasion that has been associated with the epithelial-mesenchymal transition in breast cancer (30). Thy1 also promotes migration and metastasis in melanoma (9) and hepatocarcinoma (31). Notably, Thy1 has opposite functions in ovarian (10) and breast cancer (32). In ovarian (10), Thy1 promotes migration and metastasis in melanoma (9) and hepatocarcinoma (31). The present study determined that Thy1 was overexpressed in GBC samples that included SC and ASC patients with positive Thy1 expression. It was also noticed that Thy1 overexpression in both SC and ASC was highly correlated with poor differentiation, large tumor mass, invasion and lymph node metastasis, as well as with low rate of radical resection. Thy1 expression was significantly elevated in AC with advanced TNM stage. In addition, survival was poor both in AC and in SC/ASC patients with positive Thy1 expression. Therefore, Thy1 may be a promising novel prognostic marker that could be helpful for guiding GBC treatment.

ITGA6 is another candidate prognostic biomarker for GBC. Tumor cell growth, differentiation and progression are greatly affected by the extracellular matrix (ECM) (17). The α6β4 complex synergizes with specific molecules such as erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 2, epidermal growth factor receptor, receptor originated from Nantes, proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase Fyn, cellular-mesenchymal to epithelial transition factor, protein kinase C, CD151 and CD9. This activates key signaling pathways involved in cancer cell invasion and migration by activating signaling molecules such as phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (15,32). In addition, the dysregulation of ITGA6 can trigger a complex cascade of effects on the expression levels of other cell migration-related genes, including those coding for ECM and chemokine ligands and receptors. Although ITGA6 is involved in the invasion and metastasis of multiple tumors, its biological effects appear to be tissue type specific (18,19,33-36). The present study observed that ITGA6 expression was significantly increased in tumor tissue compared with non-tumor tissue. It was further demonstrated that positive ITGA6 expression was significantly correlated with poor differentiation, large tumor mass, high invasion, lymph node metastasis and low rate of radical resection in both SC/ASC and AC. The expression of ITGA6 was significantly higher in AC with advanced TNM stage than in AC with low TNM stage. The overexpression of...
ITGA6 and its correlation with progression and poor survival suggests that ITGA6 is another candidate biological marker for identifying high-risk GBC patients who require more aggressive treatment.

Exhibiting stem cell properties, CSCs have self-renewing capacity, and are able to differentiate into heterogeneous lineages of neoplastic cells that constitute the cancer. Apart from initiating the primary tumor, CSCs also serve crucial roles in metastasis formation and cancer recurrence (20). Thus, identifying and characterizing the putative CSC population in solid tumors will not only contribute to our understanding of the mechanisms of tumor initiation, metastasis and recurrence, but will also aid in the development of novel CSC-targeting therapies. Both Thy1 and ITGA6 have been used for identifying CSCs in tumors of several tissue types, including the prostate gland (4,37,38), mammary gland (39), brain (23) and colon (40). In the diseased liver, Thy1 is expressed in hepatic stem cells, hepatic fibroblasts, myofibroblasts and tumor stroma, and in a small percentage of CSCs (5,6,41-43). However, the vast majority of these studies focused on hepatocellular carcinoma. By contrast, the significance of ITGA6 in CSCs of liver cancer has barely been addressed. The present study investigated the role of Thy1 and ITGA6 in GBC, and the results suggested that these proteins act as tumor oncogenes in both SC/ASC and AC, and are associated with a highly invasive and metastatic phenotype. Our findings shed light on the identification of efficient CSC biomarkers in GBC. Of note, Thy1 and ITGA6 expression was predominantly located in the cytoplasm. It is possible that intracytoplasmic Thy1 and ITGA6 expression reflects overexpression of these proteins, disruption of their distribution or their degradation in neoplastic cells.

Various limitations of the present study should be considered. First, although it was demonstrated that Thy1 and ITGA6 are associated with GBC progression, the underlying mechanisms by which these proteins regulate cancer behavior were not explored. This is an area worthy to be explored in the future. Second, the efficiency of Thy1 and ITGA6 as CSC biomarkers in GBC was not investigated. A deeper understanding of this could be attained using an in vitro cell model. Overall, our results demonstrate that Thy1 and ITGA6 expression is higher in GBC tumor samples than in non-tumor samples, whereas Thy1 and ITGA6 expression in SC/ASC and AC is similar. Furthermore, overexpression of Thy1 and ITGA6 can be considered a novel and important risk factor for SC/ASC and AC invasion, metastasis and poor prognosis. In conclusion, the results of the present study suggest that Thy1 and ITGA6 function as oncogenes in GBC invasion, metastasis and prognosis.
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