Novel semiactive suspension using a magnetorheological elastomer (MRE)-based absorber and adaptive neural network controller for systems with input constraints
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Abstract. For most existing semiactive systems, it is commonly known that the stability and tracking performance will deteriorate in a real application due to the input constraints and nonlinearity in the system. In this study, in order to overcome the above shortcomings, a novel bench-scale suspension plant using a magnetorheological elastomer (MRE)-based absorber accompanied with an adaptive and global neural-network-based tracking controller is introduced. The adaptive neural network (ANN) is used to estimate the uncertain dynamics of the quarter-car model. The novel scheme consists of three parts, including a conventional ANN controller dominating the active region of neurons, a robust controller serving as a temporary controller to pull back the state into the active region when the neural approximation falls outside, and a switch to be used to monitor the activation of the neural part and switch the control authority between the above two controllers. The controller ensures that a globally uniform ultimate boundedness can be achieved. Furthermore, an auxiliary design system was added to the controller in order to deal with the effects of input constraints, and the state was analyzed for the tracking of the stabilization. The control scheme ensures that the output of the system converges to the vicinity of a reference trajectory and all the signals are globally, uniformly, and ultimately bounded. The simulation and experimental results demonstrate that the proposed controller can effectively suppress the vibrations of the semiactive quarter car.

1 Introduction

The suspension system is a critical component in vehicles that ensure comfort and safety to humans on different road surface conditions. Vehicle suspension systems are used to absorb uncomfortable vibrations of a car body due to wheel excitation. These systems can be classified into three categories based on their energy-absorbing capability, namely passive, active, and semiactive suspensions. A passive suspension system has the ability to absorb energy by a spring-damper system. The system parameters are constant and are chosen based on loading capacity and road disturbance. An active suspension system usually contains an actuator in addition to the basic spring-damper constitution. The actuator generates an active force to absorb the residual energy of the passive system in various operating conditions. However, the mechanical components comprising the active systems are massive and consume a lot of energy (Cao et al., 2008; Li et al., 2014, 2012). The semiactive suspension system has the advantages of the device constitution being compact and flexible while providing the isolation performance close to the active suspensions. The system can adjust the damping and/or stiffness coefficients to achieve optimum energy absorption. Semiactive control strategies have been studied and...
used in the field of vehicle dynamics. In these applications, a magnetorheological fluid (MRF) damper has been used as the smart dampers (El Majdoub et al., 2015; Nie et al., 2017).

A magnetorheological elastomer (MRE), which is an elastomeric composite incorporating ferrous particles, is an intelligent material that can change its elastic modulus depending on the magnetic field. The material can be used to change the dynamic property of the system. MRE overcomes the disadvantages of MRF, such as sedimentation and aggregation, and MRE properties are strongly nonlinear functions of magnetic flux density, excitation frequency, and displacement amplitude. In recent years, many studies have been reported regarding improvement and investigation of the mechanical properties of MRE (Gong et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2008; Nguyen et al., 2017b). In order to design MRE-based systems for various technical applications, several numerical models have been proposed to represent the dynamic behaviors of MRE (Li et al., 2010; Eem et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2013; Norouzi et al., 2016). The semiactive suspension system using MRE is expected to be nearly as efficient as a fully active system in reducing vibration without consuming more energy. It is also a safe system; even if the control fails, the device can still work as a passive suspension system. Developing a novel suspension system using an MRE-based absorber and designing a new control scheme in accordance with the physical characteristics of the MRE is our motivation.

Traditional semiactive controllers, such as the on–off controller, fuzzy controller, and clip-optimal controller, do not guarantee system stability (Liao et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2014; Nguyen et al., 2018a; Jansen and Dyke, 2000). Recently, many scientists have proposed improved versions of the controllers, such as the adaptive sliding mode controller (Fei and Xin, 2012; Fallah and Taghikhany, 2015), the adaptive fuzzy sliding mode controller (Nguyen et al., 2017a; Phu et al., 2014), and the robust adaptive controller for semiactive controller (Nguyen et al., 2018b). These methods guarantee zero convergence of the displacement response and provide robust stability. However, they usually require a large amount of control force in the case of the uncertain dynamic system.

A semiactive suspension system requires an appropriate semiactive controller. There are a lot of different semiactive control strategies which improve vibration control performance using MRE and MRF, as summarized by Choi et al. (2016). An adaptive neural network (ANN) could be used to solve problems related to the system’s highly nonlinear property, uncertainty, and singularity (Zhang et al., 2000). It is a promising approach that can ensure the good performance of the semiactive suspension systems. In practice, traditional ANN controllers, known as the semiglobal and tracking implementation, are serious or even unstable. Adaptive backstepping is an adaptive control technique for nonlinear systems that performed well in active suspension (Yagiz and Hacigolu, 2008; Sun et al., 2013), but the actuator constraint was not considered in the controller design. Therefore, these controllers are difficult to apply in practical semiactive systems.

In addition, the limitation of the semiactive device is an issue that needs to be investigated. This problem is complicated due to the nonlinearity of the system and hysteretic property of the material, which accordingly causes the actuator to poorly respond to the controller requirements. The clipping control algorithm is widely used in which the active force is clipped to accommodate the semiactive system. The controller is divided into two stages, namely an ideal active controller and a passive controller in which the control variable is switched by a clipped on–off algorithm (Jansen and Dyke, 2000). Consequently, the conventional controller becomes less efficient, and it can even lead the system to instability. Therefore, the actuator limitation should explicitly be considered in the controller design. A few researchers have taken into account the limits of control force in designing a controller in engineering systems (Chen et al., 2011; He et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2015). The semiactive vibration systems using an MRF damper or MRE-based device are still facing challenges due to the nonlinearity in the dynamic model and input constraints. Developing a novel controller for systems with the force constraint is vital for practical semiactive applications. The physical constraints of the actuator should be clarified and an auxiliary system state needs to be designed to handle the operation of the controller.

In this study, a new control strategy was developed for a semiactive suspension system using MRE-based absorber. The contributions of this study are listed as follows:

1. A novel semiactive suspension, which can tune its own natural frequency for a wide range, comprising of an MRE-based absorber and a novel controller was developed. The input constraint issues that exist in the semiactive system are also presented.

2. We designed a novel controller which consists of three components:
   a. a traditional adaptive neural network controller operating in the active zone of neurons,
   b. a robust controller which serves as a temporary controller in order to pull back the system’s state into the active zone, and
   c. a smooth switching function to monitor whether the value is inside or outside the zone and to switch the authority between two controllers.

3. The active controller cannot perform well for semiactive system because of the force constraint. In this study, an auxiliary controller was proposed to take the constraint into account.

4. The proposed suspension system was used for controlling vibration of a car model. The simulation and experimental results showed the efficiency of the control algorithm.
A novel semiactive suspension system using an MRE-based absorber

A bench-scale suspension system was designed to evaluate the effectiveness of the MRE-based absorber and proposed control algorithm. System parameters are the same with the Quanser suspension system which has become well known, and many scientists have used this bench-scale system to test their developed control algorithms for active suspension system (Sun et al., 2015; Sistla et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2015; Pusadkar et al., 2018). The parameter values are summarized in Table 1. The main advantages of the proposed system are that it is simple and lightweight. It requires fewer components than other types of active suspension setups, uses less energy, and also takes up less space. This makes the system particularly desirable for use on small cars. However, MRE-based devices generally work effectively within a small displacement range, and their magnetic system is complex for the large device. The new approach of this system is to exploit the advantage of the stiffness tunability of the MRE-based absorber. Recently, the MRE material has attracted many researchers; however, the application of the material is very limited in suspension systems. Experiments were also conducted to illustrate the effectiveness of the developed system shown in Fig. 1b. In the system, \( m_s \) represents the sprung mass of a car body, \( m_u \) the unsprung mass of a tire, \( k_t \) the rubber stiffness of the tire, and \( k_s \) and \( c_s \) the stiffness and damping of the suspension, respectively.

The absorber developed by one of the authors was used as a semiactive actuator of the suspension system (Komatsu et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2020). The absorber consists of a set of steel cores, a brass inner core, a magnetic coil, two ring-shaped MREs, and duralumin housing, as shown in Fig. 1a, whose properties can be extended over a wide frequency range.

We performed experiments to evaluate the mechanical properties of the system by applying varied electric currents. A swept-sine signal, whose frequency varied from 1 to 64 Hz, was used to excite the base. Displacements at the base and at the sprung mass were measured using displacement sensors. A fast Fourier transform (FFT) analyzer was used to analyze the transfer function for different current values. Furthermore, the following current switching mode was also investigated:

\[
I = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } f > f_0 \\
I_{\text{max}} & \text{if } f < f_0 
\end{cases}
\]

In Eq. (1), \( I \) is the input current to the absorber switched between zero and the maximum values based on detected frequency \( f \), and \( f_0 \) is the nominal frequency that separates the lower and higher regions of the tunable frequency range.

In a small car, the natural frequency of the suspension is about 2 to 3 Hz, and the maximum displacement lies between 50 and 80 mm, while the maximum displacement of the developed system is 2 mm. Therefore, the values of the natural and excitation frequencies in the model were shifted about 5 times higher than those in the actual car according to the scaling law, while keeping the acceleration at the same level in both systems. The natural frequency was increased on the basis of the nominal stiffness of the MRE-based isolator, \( K_1 \). All variables and dimensions were also scaled as shown in Table 2 (Mills, 1979; and Yang et al., 2016).

Experimental results are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Figure 2 represents the transmissibility response of the sprung mass in the frequency domain, with the fixed current values of 0, 2, and 4 A, and the switching mode. The proposed suspension system has two natural frequencies, as shown in Fig. 2. The first natural frequency is tunable from 17 to 29 Hz by applying an appropriate current. The first natural frequency values were found to be 17, 25, and 29 Hz, corresponding to the applied current values of 0, 2, and 4 A, respectively. The second natural frequency appeared at 50 Hz. The influence of the second natural frequency is small and not tunable. In the case of the current switching, the current applied to the absorber was set to 4 and 0 A as the suspension works in low-frequency and high-frequency ranges, respectively. Furthermore, the vibration isolation performance was improved remarkably by using the switching mode. The transmissibility indicates that the resonance phenomenon of the vibration response could be avoided by isolating the natural frequency from the disturbance frequency. This fact is illustrated by a comparison of the time history, as seen in Fig. 3, showing that the response amplitudes are minimized consistently by switching the applied current to the absorber. The drawback of the switching mode is that the disturbance frequency must be known in advance. A control algorithm scheme is devel-

---

Table 1. The parameters of the quarter-car suspension system based on the bench-scale model (Sun et al., 2015; Sistla et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2015; Pusadkar et al., 2018).

| Parameter                               | Value               |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Sprung mass \( m_s \)                  | 2.45 kg             |
| Unsprung mass \( m_u \)                | 1 kg                |
| Suspension stiffness \( k_s \)         | 900 Nm\(^{-1}\)     |
| Suspension damping \( c_s \)           | 8 Ns\(^{-1}\)       |
| Stiffness tire \( k_t \)               | 2500 Nm\(^{-1}\)    |
| MRE-based absorber model \( K_1 \)     | 14 N mm\(^{-1}\),   |
|                                         | 0.04 Nsm\(^{-1}\)   |
|                                         | \( K_m = -0.38I^2 + 5.1I \), |
|                                         | \( F_{\text{max}} = -0.24I^2 + 2.95I + 2.4, \) |
|                                         | \( x_2 = 0.09 \)     |

Table 2. Scaling factor of the variables.

| Variables   | Displacement | Time   | Frequency | Acceleration |
|-------------|--------------|--------|-----------|--------------|
| Scaling factor | 1:25  | 1:5    | 5:1       | 1:1          |
Figure 1. A semiactive suspension system using an MRE-based absorber. (a) Schematic illustration. (b) A photo of the setup.

Figure 2. Displacement transmissibility response of the semiactive suspension system in the frequency domain.

Figure 3. Displacement response of the sprung mass under swept-sine excitation where frequency changed from 1 to 64 Hz.

Figure 2. Displacement transmissibility response of the semiactive suspension system in the frequency domain.

Figure 3. Displacement response of the sprung mass under swept-sine excitation where frequency changed from 1 to 64 Hz.

3 Problem formulation

The excitation frequency is generally unknown for its bump and random excitations. We developed a novel controller for the MRE-based absorber so that the suspension works effectively in these cases. The controller was developed in accordance with the physical characteristics such as the uncertain system parameters, small control force property, and actuator constraint.

3.1 A quarter-car suspension model

As shown in Fig. 1, the variables, \(x_r\), \(x_u\), and \(x_s\), represent road disturbance, wheel displacement, and car body, respectively. The MRE-based absorber produces a force \(F_{\text{MRE}}\).

The dynamic equations of the suspension system can be expressed as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
&mx_s\ddot{x}_s + cx_s(\dot{x}_s - \dot{x}_u) + k_s(x_s - x_u) = F_{\text{MRE}} \\
&mu\ddot{x}_u + cs(\dot{x}_u - \dot{x}_s) + ks(x_u - x_s) + kt(x_u - x_r) = -F_{\text{MRE}}.
\end{align*}
\]

By defining the state variables as \(x = [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]^T\), where \(x_1 = x_s\), \(x_2 = \dot{x}_s\), \(x_3 = x_u\), and \(x_4 = \dot{x}_u\), the sprung mass dynamics can be simplified to the following:

\[
\dot{x}_2 = g + \rho F_{\text{MRE}},
\]

where

\[
g = \frac{cs}{m_s}(\dot{x}_u - \dot{x}_s) + \frac{ks}{m_s}(x_u - x_s),
\]

and

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{m_s}.
\]
The force $F_{\text{MRE}}$ can be determined by using the numerical model of MRE developed in our previous research (Nguyen et al., 2018a). The model was used to design a suspension system in which the material properties consists of three components, namely the viscosity, magnetism, and friction. The force $F_{\text{MRE}}$ generated by the absorber is calculated as follows:

$$F_{\text{MRE}} = F_v + F_m + F_t,$$

where

$$F_v = K_1 x + C_1 \dot{x}_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8a) \\
$$F_m = K_m x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8b) \\
and

$$F_t = F_{\text{fs}} (1 - \eta \text{sgn} (\dot{x})) + \eta F_{\text{max}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8c)

In Eqs. (8a), (8b), and (8c), the viscoelastic force $F_v$ is presented in a, where $K$ and $x$ are the stiffness constant and displacement, and $C$ and $x_1$ are the viscosity constant and displacement of the viscous component. The magnetic force $F_m$ represents the interaction of the magnetic dipoles in shear deformation, where $K_m$ is the tunable stiffness. The friction force $F_t$ represents the Coulomb friction, where $F_{\text{fs}}$ and $x_1$ are the force and displacement at static equilibrium. $F_{\text{max}}$ and $x_2$ are the maximum friction and the displacement for reaching $F_t = F_{\text{max}}/2$. $\eta = (x - x_1)/(x_2 (1 - \text{sgn} (\dot{x}) a) + \text{sgn} (\dot{x}) (x - x_1))$ as a function of displacements. Note that, when the applied current increases, the $F_m$ and $F_t$ components increase significantly, while the component $F_v$ is almost unchanged. The absorber force increases as the applied current increases and reaches a maximum value at 4 A. The parameters of the model are determined based on the proposal in Nguyen et al. (2018a), as listed in Table 1.

### 3.2 Input constraint of the controller

In the active control, the control force can be generated at any quadrant in the force–displacement diagram. However, in the MRE-based absorber, the force can only be activated during operation within the first and third quadrants while the absorber works as a passive device in the remaining two quadrants. The force constraint, $F_{\text{MRE}}$ generated by the absorber, is limited compared to the requirement of the controller, $F_c$ as follows:

$$F_{\text{MRE}} = \begin{cases} 
F_{\text{max}} |\text{sgn}(x)| & \text{if } F_c x > 0 \\
F_{\text{pas}} & \text{if } F_c x > 0 \quad \text{and } |F_c| \geq |F_{\text{max}}| \\
F_c & \text{if } F_c x > 0 \quad \text{and } |F_c| < |F_{\text{pas}}| \\
F_{\text{pas}} & \text{if } F_c x > 0 \quad \text{and } |F_{\text{pas}}| \leq |F_c| \leq |F_{\text{max}}| \\
\end{cases}$$

(9)

In Eq. (9), $F_c$ is the desired force determined by the control algorithm, $F_{\text{pas}}$ is the passive force generated by the absorber without an electric current, and $x$ is the displacement of the absorber. Furthermore, the force that the MRE-based absorber can generate is bounded by the upper and lower limit values. $|F_{\text{max}}|$ and $|F_{\text{pas}}|$ are the magnitudes of the maximum and passive absorber forces corresponding to the cases where maximum and no current applied, respectively. If the control force $F_c (t)$ falls within the range, i.e., $|F_{\text{pas}}| \leq |F_c| \leq |F_{\text{max}}|$, the inverse model is used to calculate the optimal desired input current. Otherwise, the input current should be set at either zero or the maximum achievable level.

Remark 1. If the control force, $F_{\text{MRE}}$, satisfies the actuator constraint described by Eq. (9), the inverse model is responsible for converting the control force into the current applied to the absorber in the real application (Nguyen et al., 2018a). The current is determined as follows:

$$I (t) = \frac{\left(-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}\right)}{2a},$$

(10)

where

$$a = -0.38x - 0.24\eta$$

(11a)

$$b = 5.1x + 2.95\eta$$

(11b)

$$c = -F_{\text{MRE}} + F_v (t) + F_{\text{fs}} (1 - \eta \text{sgn} (\dot{x})) + 2.4\eta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11c)

The definition of variables in Eqs. (11a) to (11c) is the same as in Eqs. (8a) to (8c).

The following assumptions are essential to the subsequent controller designs.

A1. The state variable vector $x(t)$ is a measurable signal.

A2. The function $g$ is unknown and bounded.

### 3.3 Problem statement

Lemma 1. Let $f(x)$ be a continuous function on compact set $D$, with an arbitrary accuracy $\varepsilon$. Consider the radial basis function (RBF) neural network (collectively RBFNN) $W^T z(x)$ that can approximate $f(x)$ such that, in the following:

$$f(x) = W^T z(x) + \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in D,$$

(12)

where $W = [w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_N]^T$ is an optimal weight vector, $N > 1$ is the number of the neurons, $z(x) = [z_1(x), z_2(x), \ldots, z_N(x)]^T$ is the vector representation of the RBF, $\varepsilon$ is the corresponding approximation error, and $D$ represents the region of active neurons for $f(x)$, defined by the following:

$$D = \{x | |x_i| \leq r_i, \hspace{1cm} 1 < i < N \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The output is in the active region $D$ at all times. The weight vector $W$ is updated so as to minimize $\varepsilon$ on the compact set $D$, as follows:

$$W := \left\{ |f(x) - W^T z(x)| \right\},$$

(14)
The expression is rewritten as follows:

\[ V(t) \leq -kV(t) + c. \]  

In Eq. (13), \( k > 0 \) and \( c > 0 \). By multiplying both sides of the inequality by \( e^{kt} \), and also by integrating over \([0, t]\), the expression is rewritten as follows:

\[ V(t) \leq \left[ V(0) - \frac{c}{k} \right] e^{-kt} + \frac{k}{c}. \]  

(17)

Apparenty, \( V(t) \) is bounded for \( \dot{V}(t) \leq 0 \) when \( V(t) > \frac{k}{c} \). As a result, the variables in the Lyapunov function are bounded directly by known constants.

\[ \eta \in R \]

The switching function is introduced as follows:

\[ m(z_i) = \begin{cases} 
1 & |z_i| \leq r_1 \\
1 - e^{-(|z_i| - r_2)/\omega_k} & r_1 < |z_i| < r_2 \\
0 & |z_i| \geq r_2.
\end{cases} \]  

(20)

In Eq. (20), \( \omega_k \) and \( b \geq 1 \) are the gradient and order of the function, and \( r_2 > r_1 > 0 \) are positive constants, and \( r_1 \) corresponds to the radius of the compact set \( D_i \). The switching function \( m(z_i) \) allows the controller to eliminate the contribution of \( u_t \) from the neural region, and thus, the amount of computation will be significantly reduced.
For the ANN controller design, we follow the two steps as follows:

1. Let \( k_1 \) be the gain coefficient; therefore, we write \( \alpha_1 = -k_1 \dot{z}_1 \). The time derivative of the tracking error is written as follows:

\[
\dot{\alpha}_1 = \dot{z}_2 = \dot{x}_2 - \alpha_1 = \dot{x}_2 - \dot{\alpha}_1 - \dot{k}_1 \dot{z}_1.
\]  

2. The actual control scheme is proposed in this step. In this step, the auxiliary design system and the switching function are crucial for this controller.

The time derivative of the second error variable is as follows:

\[
\dot{z}_2 = (x_2 - \dot{x}_2) - \dot{\alpha}_1.
\]  

The time derivative of the second error variable is as follows:

\[
\dot{z}_2 = \dot{x}_2 - \alpha_1 = \dot{x}_2 - \dot{\alpha}_1 - \dot{k}_1 \dot{z}_1.
\]  

For the ANN controller design, we follow the two steps as shown below.

**Step 1.** A virtual control target value \( \alpha_1 \) is exploited. Let \( k_1 \) be the gain coefficient; therefore, we write \( \alpha_1 = -k_1 \dot{z}_1 \). The time derivative of the tracking error is written as follows:

\[
\dot{\alpha}_1 = \dot{z}_2 = \dot{x}_2 - \alpha_1 = \dot{x}_2 - \dot{\alpha}_1 - \dot{k}_1 \dot{z}_1.
\]

Next, consider the Lyapunov function, \( V_1 = \frac{1}{2} \dot{z}_1^2 \), which is expressed explicitly as follows:

\[
\dot{V}_1 = \dot{z}_1 \dot{\alpha}_1 = \dot{z}_1 (x_2 - \dot{x}_2 - \dot{k}_1 \dot{z}_1)
= -k_1 \dot{z}_1^2 + \dot{z}_1 \dot{z}_2.
\]

**Step 2.** The actual control scheme is proposed in this step. In this step, the auxiliary design system and the switching function are crucial for this controller.

The time derivative of the second error variable is as follows: \( z_2 = x_2 - \alpha_1, \dot{z}_2 = \dot{x}_2 - \dot{\alpha}_1 \). The tracking error dynamics in Eq. (21) can be rewritten for \( F_c \) as follows:

\[
\dot{z}_2 = g + \rho (F_c + \delta) - \dot{\alpha}_1.
\]  

In Eq. (21), \( \delta = F_{MRE} - F_c \), where \( F_c \) is the desired control force and \( F_{MRE} \) is the force constraint present in the MRE-based absorber as defined by Eq. (9). In addition, \( K_{\beta} \in R^+, \mu \) is a small positive value, and \( \xi \in R \) is an auxiliary design system state. The following auxiliary design system is proposed in order to express the effects of the actuator constraint:

\[
\dot{\xi} = \begin{cases} 
-K_\gamma \xi - \bar{z}_2 |\xi| + \tanh \left( \frac{\xi}{\gamma} \right) & |\xi| \geq \mu \\
0 & |\xi| \leq \mu.
\end{cases}
\]

By employing an RBFNN, as declared in Lemma 1, the approximation is defined as follows:

\[
\rho^{-1} g - \rho^{-1} \dot{\alpha}_1 = W_c^T S(z_2) + e_2.
\]

For the proposed controller, the control force can be expressed, using Eqs. (19) and (20), as follows:

\[
F_c = -z_1 - k_2 (z_2 - \xi) + (1 - m) u_{ad} + mu_t,
\]

where

\[
u_{ad} = -\hat{W}_c^T S(z_2) - \hat{\xi}_2 \tanh (\gamma z_2 / \omega),
\]

and

\[
u_t = -\gamma \gamma_2 \tanh (\gamma_2 z_2 / \omega).
\]
Again, we consider the Lyapunov function $V_2$ as follows:

$$V_2 = V_1 + \frac{1}{2\rho}z_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\xi^2.$$  \hfill (30)

By applying Lemma 2, the time derivative of $V_2$ will then be the following:

$$\dot{V}_2 = -k_1z_1^2 + z_1z_2 + \rho^{-1}z_2(g + \rho(F_c + \delta) - \dot{\tilde{a}}_1) + \xi \dot{\xi},$$

$$= -k_1z_1^2 + z_1z_2 + z_2(F_c + \delta + \rho^{-1}g - \rho^{-1}\dot{\tilde{a}}_1)$$

$$- K_2z_2^2 - z_2\delta - |\xi| + \xi \tanh \left( \frac{\xi}{\epsilon} \right)$$

$$= -k_1z_1^2 + z_1z_2 + z_2(F_c + \rho^{-1}g - \rho^{-1}\dot{\tilde{a}}_1)$$

$$- K_2z_2^2 - \left( |\xi| - \xi \tanh \left( \frac{\xi}{\epsilon} \right) \right)$$

$$\leq -k_1z_1^2 - K_2z_2^2 - \kappa \epsilon + z_1z_2$$

$$+ z_2(F_c + \rho^{-1}g - \rho^{-1}\dot{\tilde{a}}_1),$$  \hfill (31)

where $\epsilon$ is a positive constant and $\kappa = 0.2785$, $|\xi| - \xi \tanh \left( \frac{\xi}{\epsilon} \right) \leq \kappa \epsilon$.

By using the control algorithm as described by Eq. (27), Eq. (31) is rewritten as follows:

$$\dot{V}_2 = -k_1z_1^2 - K_2z_2^2 - \kappa \epsilon + z_1z_2 + z_2(-z_1$$

$$- k_2(z_2 - \xi) + (1 - m)u_{ad} + mu_t + W_c^T S(z_2) + \xi \dot{\xi})$$

$$= -k_1z_1^2 - K_2z_2^2 - \kappa \epsilon - k_2z_2 + k_2z_2 \xi$$

$$+ z_2((1 - m)u_{ad} + mu_t + W_c^T S(z_2) + \xi \dot{\xi})$$

$$\leq -k_1z_1^2 - K_2z_2^2 - \kappa \epsilon - k_2z_2 + 0.5k_2(z_2 + \xi)^2$$

$$+ z_2((1 - m)u_{ad} + mu_t + W_c^T S(z_2) + \xi \dot{\xi})$$

$$= -k_1z_1^2 - 0.5k_2z_2^2 - (K_2 - 0.5k_2)\xi^2 - \kappa \epsilon$$

$$+ z_2((1 - m)u_{ad} + W_c^T S(z_2) + \xi \dot{\xi})$$

$$+ z_2m(u_e + W_c^T S(z_2) + \xi \dot{\xi}),$$  \hfill (32)

where $k_2z_2 \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}k_2(z_2 + \xi)^2$.

The derivative of the Lyapunov function, Eq. (32), is divided into three parts, namely $V_2 \leq \dot{V}_2^1 + \dot{V}_2^2 + \dot{V}_2^3$, where each term on the right-hand side of the inequality is written explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_2^1 &= -k_1z_1^2 - 0.5k_2z_2^2 - (K_2 - 0.5k_2)\xi^2 - \kappa \epsilon \\
\dot{V}_2^2 &= z_2((1 - m)u_{ad} + W_c^T S(z_2) + \xi \dot{\xi}) \\
\dot{V}_2^3 &= z_2m(u_e + W_c^T S(z_2) + \xi \dot{\xi}).
\end{align*}$$  \hfill (33)

Assuming that $\dot{W}_c = \dot{\hat{W}}_c$, $\tilde{\dot{e}}_2 = \tilde{\dot{e}}_2 - \xi \dot{\xi}$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau} - \tau$ denote the estimation errors, we obtain the following:

$$\dot{V}_2 = z_2((1 - m)(-\dot{W}_c^T S(z_2) - \tilde{\dot{e}}_2 \tanh (z_2/\omega))$$

$$+ W_c^T S(z_2) + \xi \dot{\xi})$$

$$= z_2((1 - m)(-\dot{\hat{W}}_c^T S(z_2) - \tilde{\dot{\hat{e}}}_2 \tanh (z_2/\omega))$$

$$+ z_2((1 - m)\dot{e}_2 - \tilde{\dot{e}}_2 \tanh (z_2/\omega))$$

$$\leq z_2((1 - m)(-\dot{\hat{W}}_c^T S(z_2) - \tilde{\dot{\hat{e}}}_2 \tanh (z_2/\omega))$$

$$+ e_2((1 - m)(|z_2| - \tilde{\dot{e}}_2 \tanh (z_2/\omega)))$$

$$\leq z_2((1 - m)(-\dot{\hat{W}}_c^T S(z_2) - \tilde{\dot{\hat{e}}}_2 \tanh (z_2/\omega))$$

$$+ \dot{e}_2((1 - m)\kappa \omega).$$  \hfill (34)
In Eq. (35), the inequality of $V_2$ holds, as defined in Eq. (26).

We consider the stability of the system due to the influence of unknown bounded $\hat{\eta}$, $\hat{\xi}$, and the adaptive weight vector $\hat{W}_c$. The Lyapunov function is extended as follows:

$$V_2^* = V_2 + 0.5\hat{W}_c^T \Gamma^{-1}\hat{W}_c^T + \frac{1}{2\eta}(\hat{\xi}_2^2 + \hat{\xi}^2).$$  

(36a)

$$V_2^* = V_2^1 + V_2^2 + V_2^3 + \hat{W}_c^T \Gamma^{-1}\hat{W}_c^T + \frac{1}{\eta}\hat{\xi}_2^2 + \frac{1}{\eta}\hat{\xi}^2$$

$$= V_2^1 + \hat{W}_c^T \Gamma^{-1}\hat{W}_c^T + \frac{1}{\eta}\hat{\xi}_2^2 + \frac{1}{\eta}\hat{\xi}^2 + z_2(1-m)\left(-\hat{W}_c^T \Sigma(z_2) - \hat{\xi}_2 \tan(\frac{z_2}{\bar{\omega}})\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\eta} - z_2m \hat{\xi}_2 \tan(\frac{z_2}{\bar{\omega}}) + (1-m)\frac{\hat{\xi}}{\bar{\omega}}$$

$$= V_2^1 + \hat{W}_c^T \Gamma^{-1}\hat{W}_c^T - z_2(1-m)S(z_2)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\eta} - z_2m \hat{\xi}_2 \tan(\frac{z_2}{\bar{\omega}}) + (1-m)\frac{\hat{\xi}}{\bar{\omega}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\eta} - z_2m \hat{\xi}_2 \tan(\frac{z_2}{\bar{\omega}}) + (1-m)\frac{\hat{\xi}}{\bar{\omega}}. \quad (36b)$$

The updated laws are proposed as follows:

$$\ddot{\hat{W}}_c = \Gamma((1-m)z_2 S_2(z_2) - \sigma_1 \hat{W}_c) \quad (37a)$$

$$\hat{\xi}_2 = \eta((1-m)z_2 \tan(\frac{z_2}{\bar{\omega}}) - \sigma_1 \hat{\xi}_2) \quad (37b)$$

$$\hat{\xi} = \eta (m z_2 \gamma_2 \tan(\frac{y_2}{\bar{\omega}}) - \sigma_2 \hat{\xi}). \quad (37c)$$

Applying the Schwartz inequality, we have the following:

$$-\sigma_1 \hat{W}_c^T \hat{W}_c \leq -\frac{\sigma_1}{2} \|\hat{W}_c\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\hat{\xi}_2\|^2$$  

(38a)

$$-\sigma_2 \hat{\xi}_2 \hat{\xi}_2 \leq -\frac{\sigma_2}{2} \|\hat{\xi}_2\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\hat{\xi}\|^2$$  

(38b)

$$-\sigma_2 \hat{\xi} \hat{\xi} \leq -\frac{\sigma_2}{2} \|\hat{\xi}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\hat{\xi}\|^2. \quad (38c)$$

By using Eqs. (37) and (38), Eq. (36b) becomes the following:

$$\ddot{V}_2^* \leq -k_1 z_2^2 - 0.5k_2 z_2^2 - (K_\lambda - 0.5k_2)\xi^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\hat{W}_c\|^2$$

$$-\frac{\sigma_2}{2} \|\hat{\xi}_2\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\hat{\xi}\|^2$$

$$- \kappa \epsilon + \epsilon_2 (1-m)\kappa \bar{\omega} + m \tau \kappa \bar{\omega} + \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\hat{W}_c\|^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\hat{\xi}_2\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\hat{\xi}\|^2$$

$$\leq -\bar{\kappa} V + c_1. \quad (39)$$

For Eq. (39), the following were defined:

$$\bar{\kappa} = \min(2k_1, k_2, 2K_\lambda - k_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$$

(40a)

$$c_1 = -\kappa \epsilon + \epsilon_2 (1-m)\kappa \bar{\omega} + m \tau \kappa \bar{\omega} + \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\hat{W}_c\|^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\hat{\xi}_2\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\hat{\xi}\|^2. \quad (40b)$$

It follows that all the signals, including $z_1(t)$, $z_2(t)$, $\hat{x}(t)$, $\hat{W}_c(t)$, $\hat{\xi}_2(t)$, and $\hat{\xi}(t)$, are uniformly

---

**Figure 10.** Time histories of the approximation error $\epsilon_2$ and the control force $F_c$ in the case of a bump excitation. (a) The errors are compared for the conventional and proposed controllers and (b) the corresponding force histories.

**Figure 11.** Random noise excitation.
bounded. Consequently, \( \hat{W}_c(t) \) and \( \hat{\varepsilon}_2(t) \) are bounded for \( W_c(t) \) and \( \varepsilon_2(t) \). Then, \( u_{ad} \) and \( u_r \) are bounded, as shown by Eqs. (28) and (29). In addition, \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \chi_2 \) are bounded since \( \alpha_1 = -k_1z_1 \) and \( \chi_2 = z_2 + \alpha_1 \). Finally, the function \( p^{-1}g - \rho^{-1}\dot{\alpha}_1 = W_c^T S(z_2) + \varepsilon_2 \) and the control force \( F_c \) are also bounded, as shown by Eqs. (26) and (28).

On the other hand, the following is deduced from Eq. (39):

\[
\frac{1}{2} |z_1(t)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|z(t)\|^2 \leq V_2^*(t) \leq \left(V_2^*(0) - \frac{c_1}{k}\right)^{-kt} + \frac{c_1}{k},
\]

which implies that the displacement \( z_1 = x_1 \) will eventually converge to the following:

\[
\Omega^* = \left\{ z_1 \mid |z_1| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2c_1}{k}} \right\}.
\]

5 Simulation and experiment results

5.1 Simulation results

Performances of three types of controllers were compared, namely the passive controller, the conventional adaptive neural network controller (conventional ANN), and the proposed adaptive neural global controller. Three typical cases were analyzed to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed controller. The parameters of the quarter-car model used in the simulations are listed in Table 1. The parameters of the controller used in the simulations are summarized as \( k_1 = k_2 = 1, \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0.01, \Gamma = 0.5, \) and \( K_\lambda = 0.2 \).

5.1.1 Simulation case 1: a periodic disturbance

The road profile is defined as a periodic disturbance signal given by \( x_{r1}(t) = 2\sin(4\pi t) \) (in millimeters). The performances of the passive control, conventional ANN, and proposed controller are compared for sinusoidal excitation. The responses of the suspension system to the excitation are shown in Fig. 4. The sprung mass displacement response is remarkably reduced in the case of using an MRE-based absorber in comparison to the passive control. In the semiactive suspension system, it is clearly seen that the proposed controller performs more effectively than the conventional ANN. The sprung mass absolute acceleration response is significantly suppressed for both controllers (Fig. 4b). The conventional ANN performs slightly better than the proposed controller. The histories of the switching signal \( m \) are shown in Fig. 5. The corresponding histories of the control force and error \( \varepsilon_2 \) are also shown in Fig. 6. In the proposed controller, the switching signal responses are changed from 0 to 1 to exchange control authority between the conventional ANN and robust controller. Therefore, the error \( \varepsilon_2 \) defined in Eq. (12) reduced significantly in the case of the proposed controller, as shown in Fig. 6a.

The control forces are compared for two algorithms, as shown in Fig. 6b. The conventional ANN controller required the larger control force, with a maximal value of 80 N, while the proposed controller needed a maximal value of 60 N.

5.1.2 Simulation case 2: a bump excitation

Assuming that the road surface is smooth, sinusoidal bump excitation is considered, as depicted in Fig. 7. The maximum responses of the sprung mass displacement and acceleration were found to decrease significantly by using the
Figure 14. Time histories of the approximation error $\varepsilon_2$ and the control force $F_c$ in the case of a random excitation. (a) The errors are compared for the conventional and proposed controllers and (b) the corresponding force histories.

MRE-based absorber compared to the passive one. The maximum displacement values were 0.25 and 0.27 mm for the proposed and conventional controllers, respectively. The values are remarkably lower than 2.2 mm, which was observed in the passive system as shown in Fig. 8b. The corresponding approximation errors and control forces for both controllers are shown in Fig. 10. For the proposed controller, the error value is significantly smaller at the time of the bump and converges to zero faster than that of the conventional controller. Furthermore, the force value is also smaller in the case of the proposed controller. By using the proposed algorithm, the involvement of the conventional ANN controller and robust controller could be changed more efficiently in response to the switching signal shown in Fig. 9.

5.1.3 Simulation case 3: a random excitation

We further analyzed the case of a random excitation given according to the displacement power spectral density. The excitation displacement is obtained by integrating white noise in the time domain (Tyan et al., 2009), as shown in Fig. 11. The responses are shown in Figs. 12 to 14. Figure 12 shows the time histories of the sprung mass responses, Fig. 13 shows the histories of the switching response, and Fig. 14 shows the approximation error and the force responses. It is evident that the displacement, acceleration, error, and force responses are remarkably suppressed in the case of the proposed controller. The results indicate that the proposed controller achieves the better performance than the conventional ANN.

From three cases of excitation, we can conclude that the proposed controller achieves better steady-state tracking accuracy, faster response, and lower control force via switching between the conventional ANN and the robust controller, as evidenced by the switching signal.

In the simulation, the displacement and acceleration values of the sprung mass response are listed in Table 3. The effectiveness of the proposed controller is compared with other controllers in three cases, namely bump excitation, sine excitation, and random excitation. The values in parentheses represent the ratio of the values to those obtained for the passive off. By using the proposed controller, the root mean square (RMS) ratios of the unsprung displacement decreased significantly to 0.03 and 0.04 for sine excitation and random excitation, respectively. Furthermore, the acceleration RMS values also decreased in the case of using the controller. The conventional ANN scheme performed slightly better than the proposed algorithm; the RMS ratios were 0.41 and 0.56 for the conventional and proposed controllers, respectively. The maximum displacement and acceleration responses when using the controllers were much smaller than the responses of the passive control cases. However, the RMS value in the case of the proposed controllers was higher than in the case of the conventional controller.
Table 3. Displacement and acceleration values of the sprung mass response for different excitations (simulations).

|                      | Displacement $x$ (mm) | Acceleration $\ddot{x}$ (mm s$^{-2}$) |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                      | RMS values | Max. values | RMS values | Max. values |
| **Bump excitation**  |            |            |            |            |
| Passive              | 2.20 (1)   | 200 (1)    |            |            |
| Conventional ANN     | 0.28 (0.12)| 121 (0.6)  |            |            |
| Proposed             | 0.21 (0.09)| 104 (0.52) |            |            |
| **Sine excitation**  |            |            |            |            |
| Passive              | 0.464 (1)  | 64.6 (1)   | 120 (1)    |            |
| Conventional ANN     | 0.047 (0.1)| 22.8 (0.35)| 73 (0.61)  |            |
| Proposed             | 0.014 (0.03)| 25.8 (0.4)| 46 (0.38)  |            |
| **Random excitation**|            |            |            |            |
| Passive              | 0.681 (1)  | 29.40 (1)  | 60 (1)     |            |
| Conventional ANN     | 0.075 (0.11)| 12.28 (0.41)| 71 (1.18) |            |
| Proposed             | 0.028 (0.04)| 16.33 (0.56)| 45 (0.75) |            |

Table 4. Displacement and acceleration values of the sprung mass response for the random excitations (experiments).

|                      | Displacement $x$ (mm) | Acceleration $\ddot{x}$ (m s$^{-2}$) |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                      | RMS values | Max. value | RMS values | Max. values |
| **Passive off ($I = 0$ A)** | 0.65 (1)  | 1.92 (1)   | 3.61 (1)   | 9.4 (1)    |
| **Passive on ($I = 4$ A)** | 0.57 (0.87)| 1.58 (0.82)| 3.42 (0.95)| 9.61 (1.02)|
| **Proposed controller** | 0.41 (0.63)| 1.04 (0.54)| 2.61 (0.72)| 7.04 (0.75)|

5.2 Experiment results

The experimental setup, with the aim to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed semiactive suspension and controller, is shown in Fig. 15a. The photo of the system is also shown in Fig. 15b. Laser displacement sensors (Keyence Corporation; LB-02) were used to measure the base, unsprung mass, and sprung mass displacements. The displacement responses were processed by the FFT analyzer (Ono Sokki; CF-6400). The analog displacement signals were sent to a real-time embedded device (National Instruments; myRIO) as the input signal. The proposed control scheme was embedded in the device by using the Laboratory Virtual Instrument Engineering Workbench (LabVIEW) programming. The device determined the analog signal to drive the direct current (DC) power supply. A DC power supply (Matsusada Precision Inc.; series POP 65-5) provided the current to the absorber to obtain the desired control force.

The experimental results are shown in Figs. 16 to 18. The result of the proposed system was compared with those of the...
passive systems. Figure 17 shows the displacement transmissibility of the sprung mass for the random disturbance. The transmissibility of the passive systems is almost the same as in the case of the swept-sine excitation. Although the excitation frequency is unknown, the transmissibility was decreased significantly by the proposed controller. The displacement response remarkably reduced when using the controller in the case of the pulse and random excitation, as shown in Figs. 16 and 18, respectively. In detail, the displacement and acceleration values for random excitation are listed in Table 4. The ratio values were presented in parentheses compared to the passive off case. The RMS of the sprung displacement and acceleration values were reduced by 37% and 28%, respectively. The maximum values were also reduced by 46% and 25%, respectively, for the displacement and acceleration.

6 Conclusions

In this study, a novel semiactive suspension and an adaptive neural network controller for an uncertain suspension system, using an MRE-based absorber, were proposed. The suspension can tune its own natural frequency in a wide frequency range. The NN is used to approximate the uncertain dynamics in order to reduce the number of adaptive parameters and to avoid the control singularity problem. The system stability was examined according to the Lyapunov theory. The switching algorithm was used to effectively adjust the ANN controller and also to ensure the GUUB stability at the same time. Moreover, the input constraint problem is better handled according to the state of an auxiliary design system. The performance of the controller was evaluated by numerical simulations and experiments under different excitations. The proposed controller could overcome the drawbacks that exist in the conventional semiactive system, including global stability, uncertain parameter, input constraint, and low control force.
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