Study of Chemical Neurolysis, Radiofrequency Ablation and Combined Radiofrequency with Chemical Neurolysis of Lumbar Sympathetic Ganglion in Peripheral Vascular Diseases of the Lower Limbs
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Abstract

Background: Peripheral vascular diseases (PVDs) associated with atherosclerosis, hypertension, diabetes, thromboembolism, chronic regional pain syndrome or Buerger’s disease, presents with ischemic manifestations of cold limbs, claudication, ulcers, or gangrene. Chemical lumbar sympathetic block (LSGB) with alcohol/phenol and radiofrequency ablation (RF) are well-established methods to improve the circulation.

Methods: We conducted prospective observational study in 150 cases of PVDs who underwent chemical LSGB using 5ml of phenol 8% at two levels L2 and L3 under guidance of fluoroscopy (Group CH, n=50), RF ablation of lumbar sympathetic ganglions at L2 and L3 level (Group RF, n=50) and combined RF with chemical LSGB using phenol 5ml 8% at L2 and L3 level following RF (Group RF+CH, n=50).

Results: There was significant difference in VAS scores at six months of follow up in Group CH Vs Group RF+CH and Group RF Vs Group RF+CH, (mean VAS 2.2 in Group CH, 1.94 in Group RF & 1.26 in Group RF+CH, P <0.001) The mean WD improved significantly at six months in Group RF and RF+CH when compared with Group CH (P<0.001). At six months the temperature rise in affected limb/toe noted was 2.6 in Group CH, 2.76 in Group RF and 2.76 °C in Group RF+CH, it was significant for Group CH Vs RF+CH, P<0.05.

Conclusion: We observed significant reduction in VAS score, increase in WD and rise in temperature of the limb in all the groups, but longer lasting effects seen in combined technique in Group RF+CH as compared to sole RF or chemical LSGB.
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Introduction

Lumbar sympathectomy is indicated for the treatment of intermittent claudication or rest pain in legs due to ischemia of Buerger’s disease, chronic regional pain syndrome (CRPS) type I, Ili thromboembolic phenomena, diabetic ulcers, diabetic neuropathic pain, acute herpes pain, Paget’s disease of bones, hyperhidrosis, chronic pancreatitis and malignant visceral pain. Buerger’s disease is an inflammatory disorder affecting medium sized vessels and adjacent nerves where tobacco plays key role for the disease process. Major presenting symptoms are moderate to severe pain in the limbs, affection of sleep and significant work disability. On Doppler ultrasonography there is reduced/absent flow in peripheral arterial system usually in iliofemoral, popliteal, tibialis posterior and dorsalis pedis artery in patients with ischemic lower limb. Lumbar sympathetic ganglia are present from L2 to L5 paravertebral region where blocking of L2-L3 ganglia blocks the sympathetic fibres of the lower extremities and produces vasodilatation. There are surgical and nonsurgical option available for the treatment of ischemic pain and non-healing ulcers.
Surgical sympathectomy causes lot of trauma and tissue damage, chemical sympathectomy may harm surrounding vital tissue due to drug diffusion but still popular method of neurolysis. LSGB with local anaesthetics (LA) or with adjuvants like alpha 2 agonists clonidine, opioids, NMDA receptor antagonist ketamine, steroids or Botox are used to prolong the pain-relieving effects. A diagnostic LSGB with LA followed by neurolysis of lumbar sympathetic chain is safe and useful method over invasive operative procedure [1,2]. During 1930s-1950s chemical lumbar sympathectomy was widely used in treating occlusive arterial disease of the lower limbs as an alternative to amputation [3]. Sympathetic denervation results in increased blood flow, improves the collateral circulation and nutritive value of blood flow and thus decreases the pain transmission. [4,5] Currently RF ablation of LSG is safe and effective option that is gaining popularity for lesser incidence of complications but have concerns of high cost and availability. There are reports of the use of combined methods in the literature but very few studies are available [6,7]. We aimed to study and compare the efficacy of three techniques of LSGB i.e. chemical neurolysis, RF ablation and combined RF + chemical LSGB in successive 150 patients of PVDs. The primary outcome variables for the study were improvement in VAS score, WD and rise in temperature of the affected limb with secondary outcome to assess for immediate and late complications and progress in the wound healing up to six months proposed treatment.

Material & Methods

After obtaining Institutional review board permission and Informed consent from the patients we conducted a prospective nonrandomized observational study on 150 patients of PVDs of lower limbs who underwent chemical LSGB (Group CH, n=50), RF ablation of LSG (Group RF, n=50) and RF + chemical LSGB (Group RF+CH, n=50) at our Institution over the period of six years (2014 to 2019). The inclusion criteria’s were Buerger’s disease (having history of smoking, cold limbs, colour change of the skin, hyperhidrosis) with claudication ,gangrene of toes, non-healing ulcers, atherosclerotic PVD, diabetic non-healing ulcer or skin, hyperhidrosis) with claudication, gangrene, chronic post thrombo-embolic vascular obstruction in lower limbs with ulcer or gangrene, scleroderma with gangrene of toes and patients with post amputation CRPS. To assess the circulation in the lower limb all were subjected to arterio-venous Doppler study or CT angiography of the lower limbs and found to have reduced or absent flow in illeal-femoral or popliteal and anterior/posterior tibial and dorsalis pedis artery. Patients with acute embolic obstruction, extensive multiple ulcers with abscess or in sepsis with uncontrolled diabetes, on anticoagulant therapy were excluded for interventional management with LSGB. All the patients undergoing LSGB were evaluated and optimized as for any other surgical procedure. General investigations like CBC, blood sugar, urea, creatinine, ECG, and other specific investigations for associated co morbidities are done.

Pre and post block visual analogue score (VAS), walking distance (WD) in meters noted. Presence of arterial pulsations in femoral/ popliteal /posterior tibial and dorsalis pedis noted. Temperature (Temp.) of the affected limb and non-affected limb measured. The presence of gangrene /non-healing ulcer and discoloration of the limbs noted. Informed consent for the procedure was obtained following proper counselling of the patient and nil by mouth period of 6-8 hours was observed. Intravenous access for IV fluids and for anxiolysis midazolam 0.5-2mg with fentanyl 50 mcg was given. Monitoring for the vitals like pulse, NIBP, ECG, SPO2 started.

Procedure was performed in prone position and a pillow was placed below the abdomen to obliterate the lordosis. As described by Reid and co-workers [8] we followed the single needle technique of LSGB at L2 or L3 vertebral level in prone position. Under aseptic precautions LA infiltration was given just above or below the tip of transverse processes i.e. for lateral approach at 6-8cm away from the midline at level of L2 or L3.A22 G 15cm long spinal needle was inserted under fluoroscopic guidance in AP view advanced till it hits the vertebral body. (Figure 1A) Then needle redirected and advanced fluoroscopy in LAT view until it reached the anterior border of the vertebral body. (Figure 1B) Following negative aspiration test 2ml of radio opaque dye (Iohexol, Omnipaque 300) was injected to confirm the needle position and test dose of LA lignocaine 1-2 %, 3 ml injected and observed for the subjective signs of pain relief and warmth in the limb (Figure 1) . Later 10-15ml of 0.25% bupivacaine injected slowly over 10 minute and patient was made supine. During and after the procedure patient was monitored for the vitals and for any side effects or complication for 30 minutes. Significant pain relief rise of temperature of the affected limb for at least 2-3°C, anhidrosis, change of colour of the limb and vasodilatation was seen.

Figure 1: Figure 1A Single needle LSGB-AP view, Figure 1B-Single needle LSGB LAT view.
The block was repeated with similar drugs and doses for four successive days to achieve maximum vasodilatation. For chemical neurolysis as described by Rauk, we used double needle method at L2 and L3 vertebral level. [2] On fifth day following injection of test dose of LA either 5 ml of 8% phenol was used for chemical neurolysis (n=50) at two levels L2 & L3. Half ml of air injected before removal of the needles and prone position maintained for half an hour to prevent posterior spread of solution and then patient made supine. RF (NeuroTherm 2000) lesion generator was available since 2015 for use at our Institution. There are studies regarding use of RF for sympatholysis, its comparison with chemical neurolysis with superior results with lesser complications. [9-13] Based on these studies we hypothesized that RF is a better alternative and aimed to study the efficacy of RF and of combined techniques i.e. RF with chemical LSGB using 8% phenol. Thus next patients (n=50) underwent RF ablation of LSG with two needle technique at L2, L3 level using 22G 15cm RF Insulated needles having 10mm active tip. Further we combined the technique of RF ablation with chemical LSGB (n=50) with two needle technique L2 and L3 vertebral level. (Figure 2) Under fluoroscopy two RF needles were placed at the anterolateral border of L2, L3 vertebra. After negative aspiration test the needles position again confirmed with 1ml of non-ionic contrast and its spread noted under fluoroscopy (Figure 3A, 3B). Sensory and motor testing attempted at L2 then L3 level with the active RF electrode by stimulation with 50HZ and 2 HZ with 0.5 v and 2 v respectively to check the sensory and motor response. This was followed by the injection of test dose 2ml of LA lignocaine at each point. Rise of temperature of 1-2°C within 2-3 minutes in the affected limb measured to confirm the block effect. RF ablation at L2 and L3 level was done at 80-90°C for 90-180 sec.

Figure 2: Technique of RF ablation + chemical LSGB with two needle technique.

Figure 3: Figure 3A RF + Chemical LSGB with two needle technique AP view, Figure 3B RF + Chemical LSGB with two needle technique LAT view.
Three such lesions were carried out by advancement of the tip for 2-5 mm. After initial RF treatment at L2-L3 level chemical neurolysis of LSG with 5ml 8% phenol was carried out at each level. Later half ml of air injected before removal of the needles and prone position maintained for half an hour. Post block sensory and motor functions were checked after each block in all the patients of LSGB. IV fluids 10-20ml/kg of ringer’s lactate, antibiotic and analgesic like IV paracetamol 1 gm, fentanyl 50-100mcg, dexmedetomidine 0.3-0.5 mcg/kg were supplemented as necessary. Post block pentoxyphyline 400mg TID, Cilostazol 100mg BID, nifedipine 10mg OD and ecosprin 75 OD were continued and NSAIDs were given for 5-7 days. The patients were discharged after 24 hours of observations if associated with dry gangrene or CRPS, some were discharged at 1-2 weeks for the reason of wound care which was taken with dressing, antibiotics or surgical debridement /partial foot /below knee amputations in severe cases. Pre-block VAS score, WD and temperature of the limb were noted and compared with post block scores. Follow up was done every week for a month and then once a month for six months. Degree of pain relief increase in WD, changes in the temperature of toe/foot/limb noted. Incidence of immediate complications like hypotension, bradycardia, LA toxicity, allergic reaction, signs of spinal/epidural injection or any other and late like post-dural puncture headache (PDPH), backache, weakness in the limb, lateral femoral cutaneous nerve (LFCN) or genitofemoral neuralgia (GFN) or any other till follow up at six months. Pre and post LSGB progress of wound and limb edema, colour change–blackening to normal skin colour, gangrene of toes, gangrene of foot, non-healing ulcers, amputation of toes, debridements, partial amputation of foot, below knee amputation of the limb in all the three groups observed. Progress in terms appearance of line of demarcation, regression in size of gangrene and ulcer; any need of debridement or amputation noted. Complications like neuralgias were treated with oral analgesics, steroids and gabapentinoids.

Statistical Analysis: We included 150 patients of PVDs of lower limb for this prospective non-randomized observational study admitted in our Institute over a period of ten years (Jan 2010 to Dec 2019) who underwent chemical LSGB (Group CH, n=50), RF ablation of lumbar sympathetic ganglion (Group RF, n=50) and combined treatment of RF + chemical LSGB (Group RF +CH, n=50) LSGB and compared with each other for various parameters.

Data and graphs are prepared by using Microsoft Office Excel 2007. Statistical analysis was carried out with SPSS version 23.00. Quantitative variables like Visual Analog score (VAS), walking distance – (WD), temperature (Temp.) were expressed as mean ± standard Deviation (SD) and qualitative data like incidence of immediate (hypotension, bradycardia, LA toxicity, allergic reaction, signs of spinal or epidural injection or any other) and late complications (postdural puncture headache, backache, weakness in the limb, lateral femoral cutaneous nerve (LFCN) or genitor femoral neuralgia(GFN) or any other) till follow up at six months are mentioned as number and proportions /percentage. Pre and post LSGB progress of wound and limb (oedema, colour change–blackening to normal skin colour, gangrene of toes, gangrene of foot, non-healing ulcers, amputation of toes, debridements, partial amputation of foot, below knee amputation of the limb) in patients of all the groups are also mentioned as number and proportions /percentage. The α level for all analysis was set as P<0.05 was considered as significant. The assessment was analysed using One Way Analysis of Varniances or Kruskal-Wallis One Way ANOVA on ranks depending on the data distribution.

Results

The demographic profile is given in Table 1. There were 150 patients of age ranging from 22 years to 90 years, 16 females and 134 males having Buerger’s disease (n=56), atherosclerotic PVD (n=46), diabetic PVD (n=26), CRPS (n=15), post thrombo-embolic ischemia (n=10) and scleroderma associated PVD (n=3) in three groups. They were subjected to chemical LSGB using 8% phenol (Group CH, n=50), RF ablation (Group RF, n=50) and combined RF with chemical LSGB (Group RF + CH, n=50). Table 2 depicts the pre and post LSGB VAS scores, walking distances (WD) and Temp. in ° centigrade (degree C) of the affected limb/foot/toe in three groups. The mean VAS score, WD and Temp. of the limb were not significantly different in all the groups (CH, RF, RF+CH ,P>0.05). On follow up at one week of chemical neurolysis and RF treatment there was no significant difference in improved VAS (mean VAS of 3.28 in Group CH and 2.45 in Group RF , P>0.05) over the pre-block values (mean7.5 in Group CH,7.58 in Group RF & 7.5 in Group RF+CH). However there was significant difference in VAS scores at 6 months of follow up in Group CH Vs Group RF+CH & Group RF Vs Group RF+CH(mean 2.2 in Group CH, 1.94 in Group RF & 1.26 in Group RF+CH,P<0.001) but no significant difference when compared in Group CH Vs Group RF (P>0.05). The mean WD after 1 week of follow-up was improved in all the groups (P<0.001) but more significantly in RF & RF+CH group when compared with Group CH. (WD before LSGB =mean 28.8m in Group CH,31.1m in Group RF and 32.2 in Group RF+CH,P<0.05) at 1 week was (287m in Group CH,411m in Group RF,558m in Group RF+CH, P<0.001) Later at six month follow up (mean 949 in Group CH, 1166 in Group RF and 1232 in Group RF+CH) there was significant improvement when compared to WD before LSGB (P<0.0001), and at six months when compared Group CH Vs Group RF and Group CH Vs Group RF+CH (P<0.001).
Table 1: Demographic profile of the patients with PVDs. Comparison of three groups (Chemical-Group CH, Radiofrequency Ablation-Group RF, combined-Group RF +CH).

| Group          | M/F | Age range | Buerger's disease with h/o smoking | Atherosclerotic PVD | Diabetic PVD | CRPS | Post thrombo-embolic | Sclero-derma |
|----------------|-----|-----------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|------|----------------------|-------------|
| CH (n=50)      | 45/5| 42-85yrs  | 20                                | 7                   | 11           | 7    | 3                    | 2           |
| RF (n=50)      | 46/4| 25-75yrs  | 18                                | 20                  | 5            | 3    | 4                    | 0           |
| RF + CH (n=50) | 43/7| 22-90yrs  | 18                                | 19                  | 4            | 5    | 3                    | 1           |

Table 2: Pre and post lumbar sympathetic block (LSGB) observations: (Visual Analog score-VAS, walking distance in meters –WD, temperature in degrees C(Temp.) of the affected limb) Comparison of three groups (Chemical-Group CH, Radiofrequency ablation-Group RF, combined-Group RF +CH).

|                          | Group CH LSGB (n=50) | Group RF (n=50)   | Group RF + CH (n=50) | P Value One way (ANOVA) |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| VAS before LSGB          | 7.5 ± 0.8631         | 7.58 ± 0.8593     | 7.5 ± 0.8631         | Kruskal-Wallis test P=0.8664, P>0.05 (NS) |
| VAS after chemical LSGB  | 3.280 ± 0.888888816  | 3.400 ± 0.699     | 2.440 ± 0.6749       |                          |
| block at 1 week Mean ± SD|                      |                   |                      |                         |
| VAS at 6 month after LSGB| 2.200 ± 0.8081       | 1.940 ± 0.7398    | 1.260 ± 0.6328       |                          |
| WD before LSGB, Mean ± SD| 28.8 ±6.273          | 31.1 ± 8.823      | 32.2 ± 8.758         |                          |
| WD after chemical LSGB at 1 week Mean ± SD | 286.6 ±125.82 | 411±145.44 | 558±124.69 | Kruskal-Wallis test KW=63.973 P<0.001 (S) |
| Temp. of the affected limb before LSGB (degrees C), Mean ± SD | 29.958 ±1.265 | 29.164 ±1.403 | 29.786 ±1.248 | Kruskal-Wallis test KW=1.845 P<0.001 (S) |
| Temp. rise (degrees C) in affected limb after chemical LSGB at 1 week, Mean ±SD | 1.6 ±0.3709 | 1.686 ±0.3959 | 1.602 ±0.3706 | Kruskal-Wallis test KW=2.142 P=0.3426, (NS) |
| Temp. rise (degrees C) in the affected limb at 6 month after chemical Mean ±SD | 2.622 ±0.2243 | 2.7 ±0.2828 | 2.756 ±0.2620 | Kruskal-Wallis test KW=7.141 P=0.0281, (S) |
The improvement in WD was comparable in Group RF and RF+CH (P>0.05). Baseline Temp. of the affected limb/toe before LSGB was mean 29.9 in Group CH, 29.2 in Group RF and 29.8 °C in Group RF+CH with no difference amongst all the groups (P>0.05). At one week of LSGB there was significant rise in temperature of the affected limb/toe in all the groups when compared with CH V RF,CH Vs RF+CH and RF Vs RF+CH (mean rise of 1.6 in Group CH,1.69 in Group RF and 1.6 °C in Group RF+CH (P >0.05). At six months of follow up the Temp. rise noted was 2.6 in Group CH, 2.76 in Group RF and 2.76 °C in Group RH +CH which showed no significant difference if compared in Group CH Vs RF and Group RF Vs RF+CH,P>0.05.However the difference in Temp. rise was significant at six months when compared the Group CH Vs RF+CH, P <0.05. (Graph 1) Shows the Incidence of immediate and late complications till follow up at six months in three groups (CH,RF, RF+CH) in values as number (%).Immediate complications occurred like hypotension in 8(16%),6(12%), 5(10%) and bradycardia in 3(6%),3(6%),3(6%) cases after LSGB in Groups CH, RF, RF+CH respectively. They were managed with fluid boluses, small doses of vasopressors and anticholinergics glycopyrrolate or

Graph 1: Incidence of immediate (hypotension, bradycardia, LA toxicity, allergic reaction, signs of spinal or epidural injection or any other) and late complications (post-Dural puncture headache, backache, weakness in the limb, lateral femoral cutaneous nerve (LFCN) or genitofemoral neuralgia (GFN) or any other till follow up at 6 months) Comparison of three groups (Chemical-Group CH, Radiofrequency ablation-Group RF, combined-Group RF +CH). Values in number & percentage %.

Graph 2: Pre and post LSGB progress of wound and limb (edema, colour change –blackening to normal skin colour, gangrene of toes, gangrene of foot, non-healing ulcers, amputation of toes, debridements, partial amputation of foot, below knee amputation of the limb) Comparison of three groups (Chemical-Group CH, Radiofrequency ablation-Group RF, combined-Group RF +CH). Values in number & %.
atropine in appropriate doses. Post block signs of spinal/epidural spread observed in 2(4%), 1(2%), 1(2%) in Groups CH, RF, RF+CH. All of them responded to fluids and bed rest. Hyperalgesia in the affected limb/toe was noted in 2(4%),1(2%) and 1(2%) of Group CH, RF, RF+CH respectively after block late. complication like neuralgia after the LSGB treatment observed in 1(2%), GFN, 1(2%, LFCN), 1(2%, LFCN) of Groups CH, RF, RF+CH respectively that was managed with analgesics and gabapentinoids. (Graph 2) demonstrates pre and post LSGB progress of wound and limb. Values in number (%) Pre block edema/ blackening of skin of the limb was present in 43 (86%), 47 (94%),45 (90%) of patients of Groups CH, RF, RF+CH respectively where response to the LSGB was seen in 30(60%), 32(64%), 43(86%) of Groups CH, RF, RF+CH respectively .Gangrene of toes/foot was present in 3(60%), 22(44%), 25(50%) and improvement was seen in 21(42%), 19(38%), 21(42%) of cases of Groups CH, RF, RF+CH respectively. Non-healing ulcers were present in 13(26%), 25(50%), 18(36%) and good response was seen in 9(18%), 13(26%), 11(22%) of Groups CH, RF, RF+CH patients respectively.

In Group CH Interventions required in 13(26%) cases (6 (12%) cases needed debridement of wounds,3(6%) cases needed partial amputation of foot,4 (8%) cases required below knee amputation. In Group RF intervention required in 15 (30%) cases, debridement’s in 8(16%) cases, partial amputation in 6(12%) cases, and below knee amputation in 4(8%) cases. In Group RF+CH intervention in 11 (22%) cases (debridement in 5(10%) cases, partial amputation in 4(8%) cases, below knee amputation in 2(4%) cases. Incidence of CRPS was 7(14%), 3(6%), 5(10%) of Groups CH, RF, RF+CH patients respectively. In Group CH 7(14%) CRPS cases had 75% relief in pain and swelling at three month and 90% at six months of follow up. In Group RF 100 % relief in 2(4%) at three and six-month 1(2%) case had 50% relief at 3 & 6 month follow up. In Group RF+CH 3(6%) cases had 90% relief at 3& 6month 2 (4%) had 75% relief at 3 & 6 month of follow up. Discussion Felix Mandl first described the Selheim's technique of lumbar sympathetic plexus block in 1924. He used 6% phenol at cervical ganglion in cats and suggested phenol for permanent sympathectomy [14,15]. Currently radiofrequency (RF) ablation of sympathetic ganglion is considered to be safe and effective option but have concerns of availability and high cost of treatment. Hence chemical neurolysis is still a popular method in most of the pain management centres [16-18].

Radiofrequency therapy has two modes pulsed radiofrequency and thermocoagulation which targets nerve tissue by increasing temperature where unmyelinated C nerve fibres get dissolved and become necrotic resulting in vasodilatation in lower extremities and long-term pain relief improves numbness of neuropathies. However, accuracy of targeted structure is extremely difficult, and range of ablation is limited [12,19]. Manjunath et al [13] conducted a pilot study on 20 patients of CRPS type-I to compare the chemical neurolysis with 7% phenol and RF lumbar sympathectomy. Significant pain relief was present in both the groups without significant difference in mean pain scores between the groups [13]. Singh et al. conducted fluroscopic guided lumbar chemical sympathectomy using 8% phenol 8ml at L3 and L4 level following six successive blocks with LA in fifteen patients suffering from Beurger’s disease with gangrene of toes. Significant improvement in VAS scores, WD and healing of toes observed in all the patients with each successive block. Thus, he concluded that lumbar sympathetic block is very cost effective, safe and least invasive method for painful ischemic leg ulcers [20]. As the Buerger’s disease progresses it results in amputation of gangrenous toes or limbs eventually leading to persistent post amputation pain and disability. Fifty such patients were studied by Usmani et al. [21] with chemical lumbar sympathectomy(n=25) and found significant reduction in development of phantom limb pain, VAS score, quality of life compared to control group (n=25) [21] Recently combined chemical neurolysis and radiofrequency ablation of lumbar sympathetic ganglion is also studied in PVDs with diabetic techniques but there are limited studies to establish significant advantage of prolonged effects with RF over chemical neurolysis [10,13]. Hence combined methods are studied as by Dhafir A et al. [12] who used pulsed RF with phenol at three levels (L2,3,4) for lumbar sympathectomy in a case of CRPS and found satisfactory result [10]. Yuanyuan Ding et al. [7] conducted comparative study with CT guided chemical Vs RF Vs RF with chemical (anhydrous ethanol) lumbar sympathectomy at L2 &L3, for 30 patients in each group in patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy and found it safe effective with better results in terms pain relief and duration and patients satisfaction in combined treatment group (RF+ chemical) [13] .We too observed improvement inVAS scores, WD and Temp. rise at one week in all the groups when compared with values before the LSGB. However at six months of follow up significant difference in VAS Group CH Vs Group RF+CH and Group RF Vs Group RF+CH, (mean VAS 2.2 in Group CH, 1.94 in Group RF& 1.26 in Group RF+CH,P <0.001). The mean WD improved significantly at six months more in Group RF and RF+CH when compared with Group CH (mean 949 in Group CH, 1166 in Group RF and 1232 in Group RF+CH, P<0.001). At six months the Temp. rise in affected limb/toe noted (2.6 in Group CH, 2.76 in Group RF and 2.76 °C in Group RH 12+CH), was significant for Group CH Vs RF+CH, P<0.05. The incidences of immediate and late complications were similar in all the groups. There was good response to the treatment in all the groups but more satisfactory in combined LSGB patients when observed for the course of wound healing following LSGB.

In Group CH all CRPS (7) cases had 90% relief in pain at six months of follow up. In Group RF 100 % relief in two at six-month, one case had 50% relief at six month follow up. In Group RF+CH three cases had 90% relief at six months, two had 75% relief at six months of follow up. Besides Temp. measurement other objective tests like ankle/brachial (ABI), sympathetic skin response (SSR) in response to application of a sensory stimulation using EMG.

Citation: Kulkarni KR, Kulkarni RM. Study of Chemical Neurolysis, Radiofrequency Ablation and Combined Radiofrequency with Chemical Neurolysis of Lumbar Sympathetic Ganglion in Peripheral Vascular Diseases of the Lower Limbs. Glob J Anes & Pain Med 3(2)-2020. GJAPM. MS.ID.000163. DOI: 10.32474/GJAPM.2020.03.000163.
machine. Thus provocative tests like sweat chloride tests, SSR test and vibratory perception threshold over affected toes and external malleolus of both sides are methods of objective assessment [22-24]. Infrared thermographic imaging and transcutaneous oxygen tension (TcPO₂). Each test has specific utility and can be used in combination to obtain information about functional severity of PVD in patients with claudication. [25,26] Rooke et al. evaluated influence of sympathetic activity on TcPO₂ in ischemic limb. They measured dorsal foot TcPO₂ by oxygen-sensing electrodes with surface temperatures of 42 degree C and 45 degree C. The vasodilatation Index TcPO₂ at 42 degree C /TcPO₂ at 45 degree C as an index of vasomotor tone was measured in normal, ischemic limb and after cooling the limb and concluded that TcOP₂ can be used to assess the degree of vasomotor tone in the skin that increases as ischemia worsens. Warmth improves the cutaneous circulation in ischemic limbs [27]. Angiography has been used but difficult to predict the response. Doppler ultrasound can determine the pressure in the thigh and ankle. Ankle systolic pressure above 60mmHg and ABI >0.3 and patency of superficial femoral artery found to be successful predictive test.

High correlation is observed between ABI and TcPO₂. Transmetatarsal TcPO₂ <30mmHg may result in amputation [28]. The patients suffering from CRPS who are refractory to conventional treatment or chemical/RF ablation, spinal cord stimulation is recommended to improve pain and dysfunction [29]. With the introduction of ultrasound (USG) many benefits like avoidance of radiation of fluoroscopy, prevention of vascular injection by visualizing the spread of injectate with real time US scan thus reducing the procedure time. Ryu et al conducted USG Vs fluoroscopy guided LSGB in fifty patients of PVDs with sympathetically mediated pain. Procedure time and success rate were not significantly different in two groups although procedural time was longer with USG technique, but onset time was faster. [30] The newer modalities like spinal cord stimulation or surgical revascularization are claimed to better outcomes but at present very costly and beyond reach of many centres [31]. Limitation of the study by Explanation: The chemical neurolysis and RF are established techniques, so this prospective observational study was conducted. As the availability of radiofrequency machine was not sure hence, we could not randomize the patients for three different modalities of the treatment for comparison of the efficacy with chemical, RF and RF with chemical. The type of wounds, time of presentation and difference in associated pathologies in groups of patients, we have not compared the outcome in terms of progress in healing and surgical interventions done. More studies are required with similar comparisons to support the usefulness of combined method of RF+ chemical over sole RF or chemical LSGB.

**Conclusion**

We observed significant reduction in VAS score, increase in WD and rise in Temp. of the limb in all the groups, but longer lasting effects seen in combined technique i.e. in Group RF+CH as compared to sole RF or chemical LSGB. The course of the disease was similar and satisfactory in all the groups.
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