Enhanced stress tolerance in transformed *Ajuga bracteosa* Wall. ex Benth. regenerants by upregulated gene expression of metabolic pathways
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Abstract: *The rol oncogenes of Agrobacterium rhizogenes enhance the production of medicinally important compounds in plants and provide a first barrier against the overproduction of reactive oxygen species during biotic and abiotic stress. This study was designed to evaluate the expression of genes involved in biosynthetic pathways and their impact on metabolic contents and environmental stress tolerance in regenerated *Ajuga bracteosa* Wall. ex Benth. After successful transformation, real-time quantitative PCR confirmed the increased expression (1.94–6.59-fold) of HMGR, HDS, FDS, PAL, and TTG1 genes in transgenic lines. Furthermore, GC-MS coupled with principal component analysis revealed diverse concentrations of 97 metabolites in *A. bracteosa*. Transgenic lines showed greater survival under multiple stresses. This was revealed by significant chlorophyll content (8.13–21 µmoles/m²), higher quantum efficiency of PSII (*F*<sub>v</sub>/*F*<sub>m</sub>), and the performance index (PI<sub>abs</sub>) value. Similarly, catalase and peroxidase enzyme activities were enhanced during extreme drought (300–400 mM mannitol) and salinity (150–200 mM NaCl) conditions, compared to untransformed control. Wild type control plant leaves were completely necrotized by *Aspergillus fumigatus* (FCBP 66) and *Fusarium solani* (FCBP 0291), whereas transformed leaves had improved antifungal resistance. In conclusion, our data suggest that rolABC genes have a significant impact on the synthesis of metabolites involved in enhancing multistress tolerance in *A. bracteosa*.*
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1. Introduction

Plants are prone to a wide variety of environmental stresses due to their sessile and restricted nature. Overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) under stress conditions can cause plant cell death. To deter this, plants use antioxidant systems which include different enzymes and primary and secondary metabolites (Czarnocka and Karpinski, 2018).

Plants accumulate a repertoire of defense-related metabolites in specialized compartments e.g., trichomes. Several lines of evidence suggest that trichome development is regulated by *transparent testa glabra1* (*TTG1*) gene regulatory network (Airoldi et al., 2019). Plant metabolites are synthesized through mevalonate (MVA), 2-c-methyl-d-erythritol-4-phosphate (MEP), shikimate, or combined pathways (Isah et al., 2018). The different rate-limiting steps of these pathways are regulated by HMG-CoA reductase (*HMGR*), 1-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-(E)-butenyl-4-diphosphate synthase (*HDS*), farnesyl diphosphate synthase (*FDS*), and phenyl ammonia lyase (*PAL*) (Dudareva et al., 2013), as described in Figure 1.

Traditionally, a considerable number of medicinal plants have been used as natural sources of antioxidants. *Ajuga bracteosa* Wall. ex Benth. (Lamiaceae) is an important medicinal plant that is broadly distributed in the Himalayan range (Park et al., 2017). A large number of pharmaceutically important compounds have been identified and characterized in this plant. Unfortunately, the yield of these phytochemicals in the wild plant is very low and is further compromised by environmental stress and lack of proper cultivation practices (Ahmad et al., 2012). Recent advances in genetic engineering offer a promising approach for instigating the production of secondary metabolites in hairy roots. However, tissue or organ specificity for the synthesis and translocation of certain groups of metabolites hinders the development of hairy root cultures (Isah, 2019).

To solve this problem, Kayani et al. (2016a) regenerated *A. bracteosa* plants from transgenic hairy roots and found improved production of phytocysteptides in regenerants. However, the molecular basis for the increase was not known.
Moreover, the correlation of rol genes to cope with stresses has not been observed in medicinal plants. Here, we explored these issues by using the intact regenerated plants from the transgenic hairy root lines of *A. bracteosa* and suggest a possible impact of rolABC on the expression of some of the genes involved in the biosynthetic pathways of therapeutically important secondary metabolites. In addition, we tried to explain the possible involvement of the rol genes in coping with stress through up- and downregulation of the genes involved in secondary metabolism.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Source of plant material

The plant material was collected from the grounds of Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan. These plants were identified by Prof. Dr. Rizwana Aleem Qureshi (taxonomist), Department of Plant Sciences, Quaid-i-Azam University. A voucher specimen (no. HPM-460) was deposited in the herbarium of Quaid-i-Azam University. These fresh field plants (Figure 2a) were surface sterilized with bleach (sodium hypochlorite, 30% v/v) for 15 min, rinsed in ethanol (70% v/v) for 1 min, and then washed.
with sterile deionized water (3 times) by swirling the plant parts. Finally, the plant parts were dried on sterile blotting papers, and nodal region explants were carefully retrieved and tissue cultured (Figure 2b) on MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) containing the best hormonal combinations for tissue culture, as optimized by our group (MS supplemented with 2µM BAP and solidified with 0.8% Phytagel) (Kayani et al., 2016b). The media of the tissue-cultured explants were changed every 10 days for 30 days and every second week thereafter.

2.2. Transformation and regeneration
In vitro grown plants 2-3–months old (Figure 2c) were used as explants for *A. rhizogenes*-mediated (LBA-9402 harboring pRi) genetic transformation. The transformation procedure and growth media for hairy root induction, proliferation, and stabilization were previously optimized by Kayani et al. (2016a) and followed accordingly. The hairy root lines obtained after infection were maintained in the growth room at 27 ± 2 °C in darkness (Figure 2d). We selected three transformed hairy root lines, numbered R02, R04, and R06, based on their growth rate and metabolite production rate. Intact plants (ABRL1, ABRL2, and ABRL3) were regenerated from these hairy root lines according to the already optimized protocol of Tanaka and Matsumoto (1993). For multiple shooting, medium was supplemented with 2 µM BAP and plants were placed in the light while maintaining growth room conditions.

When regenerants reached an optimum size (Figure 2e), they were micropropagated on plain MS medium. After 3–4 months rooted shoots were acclimatized into pots containing peat moss and sand (Figure 2f).

2.3. Confirmation of genetic integration
2.3.1. Polymerase chain reaction
The presence of rolABC genes in putatively transformed and regenerated plants was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Already optimized conditions were used for genomic DNA isolation, plasmid DNA (positive control) extraction, and detection of rolA by PCR (Kiani et al., 2014). The sequences of the primers of rolA gene are given in Supplementary Table 1.

2.3.2. Expression analysis
2.3.2.1. Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis
The TRIzol®/ice based method (Luz et al., 2016) was used to extract RNA from transformed and wild type plant leaves, with slight modification. Briefly, plant samples (200 mg each) were freeze-dried, finely crushed, and homogenized with 1 mL of TRIzol®. Then, 400 µL of chloroform was added to this homogenate and subjected to centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube, placed on ice, and prechilled isopropanol was added in an equal ratio. Afterward, samples were centrifuged again under the abovementioned conditions. The pellet (containing RNA) was washed with...
Table 1. Phytocomponents identified in aerial parts of *Ajuga bracteosa* by GC-MS.

| RT   | Identified compounds                                                                 | % Area in extracts | Mol. formula | Mol. Wt. |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------|
|      |                                                                                      | WT ABRL1 ABRL2 ABRL3 |              |          |
| 6.61 | 6,6-dimethyl-2,4-cyclohexadien-1-one                                                   | - 1.21 0.89 1.60    | C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O | 122      |
| 20.26| Neophytadiene                                                                         | - 1.36 2.15 2.22    | C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O | 278      |
| 8.75 | 1-methoxy-1,3-cyclohexadiene                                                          | - 0.38 0.89 0.96    | C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O | 110      |
| 23.38| 1-Heneicosyl formate                                                                   | - 4.20 3.45 6.35    | C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>44</sub>O | 340      |
| 27.09| Phytol                                                                                | - 0.59 0.58 0.65    | C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O | 128      |
| 4.88 | (2S,4S,6S)-(6-Benzenesulfonil-4-methoxytetrahydropyran-2-yl) acetic acid methyl ester | - 1.48 2.00 2.08    | C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O,S | 328      |
| 17.52| Sulfurous acid, 2-propyl tetradecyl ester                                             | 1.20 0.92 0.88      | C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O,S | 320      |
| 17.53| Oxalic acid, 2-ethylhexyl octyl ester                                                  | 1.2 - -            | C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O,S | 314      |
| 17.94| 4,5-Dimethoxy-3-hydroxybenzoic acid methyl ester                                      | - 1.86 2.30 2.43    | C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O | 212      |
| 21.04| Sulfurous acid, hexyl octyl ester                                                     | 0.94 0.96 0.85      | C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O,S | 278      |
| 21.40| 2,6-Undecadienoic acid, 7-ethyl-3-methyl-methyl-ester                                | - 2.18 - -          | C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O | 238      |
| 22.01| Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester (CAS)                                                 | - 0.56 0.77 0.92    | C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O | 270      |
| 22.41| Sulfurous acid, butyl tetradecyl ester                                                | 0.53 0.29 0.40      | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O,S | 320      |
| 22.64| Octatriacontyl pentfluoropropionate                                                   | 0.51 - -            | C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O,F | 697      |
| 22.78| 1-(-)-Ascorbic acid 2,6-dihexadecanoate                                              | - 0.68 1.20 1.98    | C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O | 652      |
| 23.03| Heptadecyl heptfluorobutyrate                                                         | 0.41 - -            | C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>34</sub>F   | 452      |
| 23.18| Phthalic acid, butyl tetradecyl ester                                                 | 0.42 - 0.26 0.28    | C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>44</sub>O | 418      |
| 24.71| Sulfurous acid, butyl dodecyl ester                                                   | 1.14 0.38 1.62      | C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>62</sub>O,S | 306      |
| 25.15| 6-Tetradecanesulfonic acid, butyl ester                                               | 0.59 - -            | C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>68</sub>O,S | 334      |
| 25.31| 9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid, methyl ester (CAS)                                     | - 1.66 1.65 1.70    | C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O | 292      |
| 25.39| Nonahexacontanoic acid                                                               | 1.19 1.27 1.22      | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O,S | 999      |
| 26.37| 9-Octadecenoic acid (Z)-(CAS)                                                        | - 0.79 0.83 1.01    | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O | 282      |
| 27.65| 9 12 15-octadecatrienoic acid methyl ester                                           | - - 2.81            | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O | 292      |
| 27.89| Octadecanoic acid                                                                     | - 0.51 -            | C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>62</sub>O | 284      |
| 29.66| Glycine,N-{[(3à,5á)-24-oxo-3-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]cholan-24-yl]-methyl ester (CAS)     | - 0.82 0.63 1.05    | C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>62</sub>NO,Si | 519      |
| 30.55| Methoxycetic acid, 3-tetradecyl                                                       | 2.05 - -            | C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>46</sub>F   | 286      |
| 33.22| Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate                                                          | 5.49 6.34 7.13      | C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O | 390      |
|      | Ether                                                                                  | - - -              | 0.37 C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O | 410      |
|      | Compounds with benzene ring                                                            |                    |              |          |
| 13.21| 2-Alllyl-5-t-butylhydroquinone                                                        | - 5.44 6.99 8.70    | C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O | 206      |
| 16.55| phenol 2 4-bis(1 1-dimethylethyl)                                                     | 4.01 4.79 4.40      | C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O | 206      |
| 16.90| 7-Hydroxy-2-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-cyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1-one                       | - 0.32 0.77 0.91    | C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O | 212      |
| 38.32| 4-Butyl-1,3,8-trihydroxy-6-methoxyanthraquinone                                       | - 0.59 0.53 1.16    | C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O | 342      |
| 39.43| 5,8-Dimethoxy-4-(2-nitrophenyl)-2(1H)-quinolinone                                     | - 4.80 5.69 7.53    | C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> | 326      |
| N, S, Si, furan compounds | 16.76 | Tricosyl trifluoroacetate | 1.27 | 1.02 | - | - | C_{25}H_{47}F_{3}O_{2} | 436 |
|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------|------|---|---|------------------|-----|
| 19.87 | 9,9-Dimethyl-9-silafluorene | 0.64 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.36 | C_{14}H_{14}Si | 210 |
| 20.88 | Octacosyl trifluoroacetate | - | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.75 | C_{43}H_{85}F_{3}O_{2} | 506 |
| 26.98 | Octadecanenitrile | 0.62 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.66 | C_{26}H_{52}N | 204 |
| 35.79 | 13-Docosenamide, (Z)- | - | 9.43 | 8.69 | 15.04 | C_{33}H_{54}NO | 337 |
| Alcohols, aldehydes, ketones | | | | | | | |
| 7.00 | Ethanone, 1-cyclopentyl- | 0.65 | 0.55 | 0.78 | 0.57 | C_{6}H_{10}O | 112 |
| 9.26 | 1-(2-Ethyl-1,3-dimethyl-cyclopent-2-yl)-ethanone | - | 0.98 | 0.83 | 1.02 | C_{16}H_{28}O | 166 |
| 10.93 | 1-Hexadecanol (CAS) | - | 3.12 | 4.30 | 5.78 | C_{16}H_{34}O | 242 |
| 25.65 | Octadecanal | 1.92 | 2.99 | 2.93 | 2.81 | C_{26}H_{52}O | 268 |
| 27.21 | 1-Heneicosanol | - | 3.60 | 4.18 | 4.21 | C_{21}H_{44}O | 312 |
| 30.08 | n-Tetracosanol-1 | - | 2.52 | 2.31 | 2.53 | C_{42}H_{86}O | 354 |
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | |
| 5.39 | Dodecane, 4,6-dimethyl- | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.21 | C_{12}H_{26} | 198 |
| 6.90 | 2-Undecene, 4-methyl- | 0.73 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.49 | C_{12}H_{26} | 168 |
| 11.51 | Heptadecane, 8-methyl- | 0.47 | 0.37 | 0.78 | 0.57 | C_{17}H_{36} | 254 |
| 12.10 | Cyclohexane, 1,3,5-trimethyl- | 1.97 | 1.49 | 1.46 | 1.23 | C_{10}H_{18} | 126 |
| 12.30 | Cyclohexane, 1,2,4-trimethyl- | 2.35 | 1.78 | 1.74 | 1.45 | C_{10}H_{18} | 126 |
| 12.48 | Cyclohexane, 1,2-diethyl-1-methyl- | 1.47 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 0.97 | C_{10}H_{22} | 154 |
| 12.54 | Dodecane | 1.09 | 0.77 | 0.71 | 0.33 | C_{12}H_{26} | 170 |
| 12.78 | Tetradecane, 4-methyl- | 0.53 | 0.39 | - | - | C_{14}H_{30} | 212 |
| 12.91 | Hexane, 3,3-dimethyl- | 0.37 | 0.29 | 0.89 | 0.58 | C_{10}H_{20} | 114 |
| 15.49 | Dodecane, 4,6-dimethyl- | 0.63 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.39 | C_{12}H_{26} | 184 |
| 15.66 | Decane, 2,3,8-trimethyl- | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.89 | 0.58 | C_{14}H_{30} | 184 |
| 15.87 | Decane, 2,3,5,8-tetramethyl- | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.28 | C_{14}H_{30} | 198 |
| 16.19 | Octacosane | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.76 | 1.86 | C_{30}H_{62} | 394 |
| 16.25 | Pentadecane | 0.34 | 0.96 | 2.15 | 0.81 | C_{15}H_{32} | 212 |
| 17.09 | 10-Methylundecane | 0.32 | 2.21 | - | 1.86 | C_{26}H_{52} | 282 |
| 17.47 | Tetratetracontane | 0.52 | 0.60 | 0.90 | 0.45 | C_{50}H_{100} | 619 |
| 17.68 | Tetracosane | 0.46 | 0.65 | 0.45 | 1.31 | C_{44}H_{90} | 338 |
| 18.24 | Hexadecane | 1.19 | 0.70 | 0.67 | 0.65 | C_{36}H_{74} | 226 |
| 19.19 | Hexadecane, 7,9-dimethyl- | 0.32 | 0.78 | 0.73 | 0.39 | C_{36}H_{74} | 254 |
| 19.36 | 1-Octadecene (CAS) | - | 5.66 | 6.09 | 6.57 | C_{26}H_{52} | 252 |
| 20.01 | 3-Heptadecene, (Z)- | 0.63 | - | - | - | C_{34}H_{70} | 238 |
| 20.14 | Heptadecane | 1.59 | 1.80 | 0.80 | 0.66 | C_{26}H_{52} | 240 |
| 20.25 | Pentadecane, 2,6,10,14 tetramethyl- | 1.03 | 0.48 | 0.35 | 0.48 | C_{40}H_{84} | 268 |
| 20.50 | Pentadecane | 0.52 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.81 | C_{26}H_{52} | 212 |
| 20.88 | Cyclohexane, 1,2,4-trimethyl- | 1.12 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 1.73 | C_{18}H_{36} | 126 |
| 21.14 | 3,5-Dimethylidodecane | 2.13 | 2.42 | 2.28 | 1.83 | C_{30}H_{62} | 198 |
| 21.30 | Octadecane | 0.96 | 1.14 | 1.11 | 3.06 | C_{38}H_{78} | 254 |
| 21.47 | Pentacosane | 0.60 | 0.98 | 0.88 | 0.99 | C_{52}H_{104} | 352 |
ethanol (70% v/v) 3 times and air-dried completely. Then 40 µL of diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC) was added. The purity of total RNA was assessed by Nanodrop (Skanit RE 4.1, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Then, cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription of 1 µg of RNA using Viva cDNA synthesis kit (Vivantis cDSK01-050), following manufacturer's instructions, and stored at –20 °C for downstream analysis.

2.3.2.2. Real-time quantitative PCR
To evaluate the possible effects of rol genes on the phytochemical biosynthesis in MEP, MV A, and shikimate pathways, quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) of 5 selected genes was performed. PCR conditions were optimized for genes encoding HMGR, HDS, FDS, PAL, and TTG1, accordingly. The amplification reaction was accomplished by gene-specific primers, as shown in Supplementary Table 1. The qPCR was conducted using a Mic PCR machine (Bio Molecular Systems) with 1X Eva Green PCR master mix. For real-time qPCR, a 1:10 dilution of cDNA was used. The reaction conditions for qPCR were as follows: an initial cycle of denaturation for 12 min at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles each of denaturation for 15 s at 95 °C, primer annealing for 20 s at 62 °C (for all genes), and elongation for 20 s at 72 °C. Two biological samples were analyzed, while three technical replicates were used for each biological sample; the melting curve of amplicons confirmed the absence of primer dimers at the end of each run. The relative gene expression levels were normalized with the endogenous reference gene (β-actin).

2.4. Phytochemical screening
2.4.1. Sample preparation
Dried aerial parts (5 g each) of A. bracteosa were crushed into a fine powder and extracted with methanol/chloroform (solvent-to-powder, 5:1, v/w) for 72 h at room temperature. The supernatant was filtered, and the process was repeated three times. The resulting extracts were combined, air-dried, and concentrated under vacuum.
2.4.2. Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry (GC-MS)
Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry analysis was carried out with Thermo GC-TRACE ultra (v. 5.0) Thermo MS DSQ II (Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc.) fitted with a DB5-MS nonpolar capillary column (30 m length, 0.25 mm id, and 0.25 µm film thickness). Crude plant samples were completely dissolved in n-hexane and analyzed with GC-MS under the following experimental conditions: mobile phase (helium used as a carrier gas) with a flow rate of 1 mL/min and 1 µL injection volume. GC oven temperature was initially held at 70 °C and raised to 260 °C at 6 °C/min, and samples were fully run at 50–650 m/z. Identification of constituent compounds in crude samples was based on comparing their retention times and mass spectra with the known mass spectra stored in the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) database search library. Principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out with the help of PAST 3.26 statistical software. The results of PCA are presented in terms of loading and scatter plots.

2.5. Abiotic stress and its effect on photosynthetic parameters
To assess the effect of stress on A. bracteosa regenerants, fresh green leaves from 3 independent transgenic lines (ABRL1, 2, and 3) and untransformed, in vitro grown wild type plants (WT) were propagated on MS media supplemented with 0, 100, 200, 300, and 400 mM mannitol (for drought stress) and 0, 50, 100, 150, and 200 mM NaCl (for salinity stress). For each treatment, leaves from WT and ABRL1, 2, and 3 were germinated in a growth room (21 days; 16/8 h photoperiod for 6 days. Each petri plate contained two to three leaves with 5 replicates. Percentage of infection was measured at 24, 48, and 72 h postinfection (hpi) for each leaf was freeze-dried and homogenized with 8 mL of enzyme extract solution containing 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone. The homogenate was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 30 min, and the supernatant was collected and stored at 4 °C for further enzyme assays.

2.7. Enzyme extract preparation
Enzyme extracts of fresh leaves were prepared by following a previously reported method (Nayyar and Gupta, 2006) with some modifications. Briefly, 0.5 g of each leaf was freeze-dried and homogenized with 8 mL of enzyme extract containing 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone. The decline in optical activity at 240 nm was measured as catalase activity/g FW.

Peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7) enzyme activity was determined using the guaiacol oxidation method by Chance and Machly (1955). The assay mixture (0.2 mL) contained 50 µL of enzyme extract, 50 mM of potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 50 mM of guaiacol, and 2% H₂O₂. Change in absorbance in 3 min at 470 nm due to the formation of tetraguaiacol was measured as peroxidase activity/g FW.

2.9. Fungal resistance assays
Fungal pathogens Aspergillus fumigatus (FCBP 66) and Fusarium solani (FCBP 0291) were propagated in Sabouraud dextrose agar medium. Fresh, green intact leaves were detached from A. bracteosa regeneranted transgenic plants as well as wild plants, placed on the fungal cultures, and maintained at 28 °C and 16/8 h photoperiod for 6 days. Each petri plate contained two to three leaves with 5 replicates. Percentage of infection was measured using the following formula:

\[
\text{Percentage of infection} = \left( \frac{\text{number of leaves infected}}{\text{total number of leaves inoculated}} \right) \times 100
\]

The same assay was repeated with an additional modification, i.e. petri plates were provided with already moist filter paper (to maintain humidity). A 5 mm filter paper disc containing microsclerotia of A. fumigatus and F. solani was placed in the central area of the leaf. The experiment was conducted at 28 °C and 16/8 h photoperiod. The leaf area necrotized by fungal infection was measured at 24, 48, and 72 h postinfection (hpi) (Chowdhury et al., 2017).

2.10. Statistical analysis
All experiments were performed in triplicate, and values were represented as mean (n = 3) ± SD. PAST 3.26 statistical software was used for PCA of phytochemicals detected via GC-MS. For qPCR analysis two biological
and three technical replicates were used for each sample. The significance of difference was calculated between wild type control and transgenic plants by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using GraphPad Prism 5. Statistical significance was indicated by $P \leq 0.05$.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Phenotype and molecular analysis of the transgenic plants

Nonchimeric *A. bracteosa* plants were regenerated from transgenic hairy roots in this study. These plants (harboring TL-DNA of pRi) presented morphological variability that was distinct from wild type *A. bracteosa*. The phenotype of regenerated plants was consistent with the previously reported data characteristic of *A. bracteosa* derived from transgenic hairy roots (Kayani et al., 2016a), i.e. they had a large number of curled leaves and short internodes with a bushy appearance. Plants regenerated from the transgenic hairy root lines were very different from the ones obtained from direct infection of *A. tumefaciens* harboring rolABC genes (Kayani et al., 2016b). Plants raised directly after the T-DNA transfer into tissue could be chimeric and had broad brittle leaves with ridges and furrows; the regenerants obtained from the hairy root lines were curled (like a bow) and not broad at all. PCR performed with rolA primers showed the presence of a 308 bp amplicon in regenerated plantlets and plasmid DNA (Figure 3a). This product size was similar to previous reports for rolA gene (Kiani et al., 2014).

3.2. Relative gene expression by quantitative real-time PCR

Among all the studied rol genes, rolB is considered the most powerful inducer of secondary metabolites, but it hampers the growth of the plant and its phenotype. However, when rolB gene is coupled with other rol genes such as rolA and rolC, the detrimental effect of rolB is overcome (Bulgakov, 2008). To assess the up- and downregulation of biosynthetic pathways, we analyzed the expression of HMGR, HDS, FDS, PAL, and TTG1 genes in wild type and transgenic regenerants of *A. bracteosa* by quantitative real-time PCR. We found upregulation of the studied genes involved in biosynthesis of secondary metabolites under the effect of rol genes, as compared to the wild type (Figure 3b). Overall, these results implied that transgenic line ABRL3 had the highest expression of all genes studied. Data indicated that the expression of PAL was highest, whereas HDS had the lowest expression among all genes.

Our findings are supported by previous reports which demonstrated that rolB and C genes increased the amount of artemisinin and its derivatives in *Artemisia annua* by overexpressing the amorphadiene synthase (ADS), aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1), cytochrome P450, (CYP71AV1), PAL, cholane synthase (CHS), and trichome-specific fatty acyl-CoA reductase 1 (TAFR1) genes (Dilshad et al., 2015, 2016). Furthermore, Kayani et al. (2016a, 2017) found that rolABC-positive hairy root clones of *A. bracteosa* produce enhanced phytoecdysteroid content (up to 4449 μg g$^{-1}$) compared to control hairy roots.

![Figure 3. Confirmation of genetic integration in *Ajuga bracteosa* plants.](image)

- **Figure 3.** Confirmation of genetic integration in *Ajuga bracteosa* plants. a: Molecular analysis of *A. bracteosa* transgenic regenerants by conventional PCR. PCR amplification with rolA specific primers. Lane M represents 100 bp DNA marker (Fermentas); WT stands for wild type tissue cultured plants; ABRL1-3 are transgenic lines of *A. bracteosa*. P corresponds to plasmid DNA. b: Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of expression of genes encoding HMG-CoA reductase (HMGR); 1-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-(*E*)-butenyl-4-diphosphate synthase (HDS); farnesyl diphosphate synthase (FDS); phenyl ammonia lyase (PAL); transparent testa glabra 1 (TTG1) in wild type and transgenic lines of *A. bracteosa*. The expression level was normalized with the β-actin reference gene. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. (**P < 0.001**).
Similarly, rolB and rolC genes of *A. rhizogenes* increased resveratrol content in *Vitis amurensis* through enhanced expression of *PAL* gene (Dubrovina et al., 2010). Another group found that rolB significantly enhanced the expression of *MYB* and *TTG1* in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Bulgakov et al., 2016).

### 3.3. GC-MS analysis

A large number of volatile metabolites were identified in the aerial extracts of wild type and transformed *A. bracteosa* lines using GC-MS analysis. The 97 identified components classified into 7 distinct groups, along with their retention time (RT), molecular formula, molecular weight, and differential peak areas (%) are given in Table 1. Overall, ABRL3 line had the highest concentration of various metabolites compared to control (wild type). These inferences correspond to relatively higher gene expression in ABRL3.

Mass spectra of many metabolites were consistent with earlier literature regarding *A. bracteosa* (Ali et al., 2018; Ganaie et al., 2016). Many studies suggested the diverse and pronounced biological activities of these metabolites in this plant, e.g., antibacterial (Ganaie et al., 2017); antiabetic (Hafeez et al., 2017); antioxidant, antiinflammatory, analgesic, antidepressant, and anticoagulant (Kayani et al., 2016c); and hepatoprotective (Hsieh et al., 2011) properties.

### 3.3.1. Principal component analysis (PCA)

Principal component analysis (PCA) is a multivariate statistical technique that aims to explain the variability in a data set without losing important information (Kilimann et al., 2006). In this study, the extent of similarities or differences between wild type and three transgenic lines was evaluated by PCA based on the peak area of 26 selected metabolites (peak area ≥ 2). Principal component analysis identified three significant components; PC 1 explained 87.69% of the variance, while PC 2 had a variation of 7.94%.

The loading plot of PC 1 was dominated by 13-docosenamide, (Z)-; 2-allyl-5-t-butylhydroquinone, 5; 8-dimethoxy-4-(2-nitrophenyl)-2(1H)-quinolinolone; and heptacosane, 1-octadecene (CAS). Only 2 metabolites (cyclohexane, 1, 2, 4-trimethyl- and 3, 5-dimethylcdecane) depicted negative loading values (Figure 4a). The results presented in Figure 4b show that PC 2 was mainly contributed by 1-octadecene (CAS); 2,6-undecadienoic acid; 7-ethyl-3-methyl-methyl-ester; and methoxyacetic acid, whereas 8 metabolites including heptacosane had negative loading values.

The combination of PC 1 and PC 2 separated the four employed samples into distinct regions (Figure 4c), which indicated that significant discrimination of metabolites did exist in all samples. Samples with similar characteristics (ABRL1 and ABRL2) had nearby points on the graph, while WT and ABRL3 had the most distant relationship, confirming that metabolic composition is extremely variable between these two lines. Biplot pointed to a clear association among different lines of *A. bracteosa* and their metabolic profiles (Figure 4d). This interrelationship was further supported by hierarchical analysis (Figure 4e).

### 3.4. Abiotic stress tolerance and photosynthetic indices of the transgenic *A. bracteosa* lines

Although a plethora of salts are found in the soil, NaCl is considered the principal source of salinization (Shavrukov, 2013). Furthermore, mannitol, an effective osmotic agent, has been reported to mimic in vitro drought stress conditions in plants (Jolayemi and Opabode, 2018). Transgenic leaves fared better than the control, untransformed leaves under multiple stresses (Supplementary Figures 1a and 1b). We observed that the transgenic leaves tolerated extreme drought and salt stress without visible signs of chlorosis. However, untransformed leaves showed signs of wilting at a drought stress of 100 mM mannitol and exhibited severe chlorosis with increased concentrations of NaCl (above 50 mM). These results are in agreement with previous findings that suggested salt and drought stress hamper plant growth and development (Ali and Ismail, 2014; Niazian et al., 2019). Nevertheless, the transgenic leaves survived extreme stress conditions due to the overexpression of rol genes and the production of stress-tolerant metabolites, as supported by previous reports (Bulgakov et al., 2012; Kayani et al., 2016a, 2017).

### 3.4.1. Total chlorophyll content

Salinity and drought can decrease the photosynthetic capacity of plants either due to stomatal closure or through direct damage to photosynthetic machinery (Mittler, 2006). In this study all leaves (control and transgenic) had the highest chlorophyll content under nonstress conditions. After 21 days, a significant difference (P < 0.001) was observed in total chlorophyll contents between control and transgenic leaves at higher concentrations of mannitol and NaCl. Under drought stress, control leaves had maximum chlorophyll (18.13 µmole/m²) at 100 mM mannitol and minimum (10.88 µmole/m²) at 400 mM mannitol. A similar pattern was observed under salt stress; control plant leaves had the highest chlorophyll (23.06 µmole/m²) at 50 mM NaCl and lowest (3.8 µmole/m²) at 200 mM. Among the transgenic lines, maximum chlorophyll content (17.66 µmole/m²) was noted in ABRL2 under extreme drought stress (400 mM mannitol), followed by ABRL3 (15.75 µmole/m²), and ABRL1 (13.54 µmole/m²). ABRL3 leaves had the highest chlorophyll content (21 µmole/m²) at maximum salt stress (200 mM NaCl), while ABRL1 had the lowest (8.13 µmole/m²) chlorophyll content at this concentration.

These results showed that there was a clearer impact of...
Figure 4. Principal component analysis (PCA) of GC-MS data from samples of *Ajuga bracteosa*. a: Loading plot of PC 1. b: Loading plot of PC 2. c: Scatter plot of samples in PC 1 vs PC 2. d: Scatter plot of metabolites in PC 1 vs PC 2 (Biplot). e: Relationship between samples based on relative content of metabolites by paired group analysis. NP = Neophytadiene, HF = 1-Heneicosyl formate, BAME = (2S,4S,6S)-(6-Benzensulfonyl-4-methoxytetrahydropyran-2-yl)acetic acid methyl ester, DHME = 4,5-Dimethoxy-3-hydroxybenzoic acid methyl ester, UEME = 2,6-Undecadienoic acid, 7-ethyl-3-methyl-methyl-ester, OME = 9 12 15-octadecatrienoic acid methyl ester, OA = Octadecanoic acid, MT = Methoxyacetic acid, 3-tetradecyl, BP = Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, AB = 2-Allyl-5-t-butylhydroquinone, PB = Phenol 2 4-bis(1 1-dimethyl)-, DQ = 5,8-Dimethoxy-4-(2-nitrophenyl)-2(1H)-quinolinone, D (Z) = 13-Docosenamide, (Z)-, HEX = 1-Hexadecanol (CAS), OCT (AL) = Octadecanal, HEN (OL) = 1-Heneicosanol, TET = n-Tetracosanol-1, CT = Cyclohexane, 1,2,4-trimethyl-, PEN = Pentadecane, MET = 10-Methylnonadecane, OCT (CAS) = 1-Octadecene (CAS), DD = 3,5-Dimethyldecane, OCT (DEC) = Octadecane, HEP = Heptacosane, HEN (ANE) = Heneicosane, HENE = Heneicosane, 11-(1-ethylpropyl)-, WT = Tissue cultured untransformed line, ABRL1, 2, 3 = *A. bracteosa* transformed regenerants line 1, 2 and 3.
drought and salt stress on the chlorophyll content of wild *A. bracteosa* than in the transformed plants (Figures 5a and 5b). These findings are supported by Bettini et al. (2016a) who reported that rolB is involved in the overexpression of 5 genes related to photosynthetic activity in transformed tomato plants. More recently, Bettini et al. (2020) further confirmed the role rolB plays in the photoprotection of transgenic tomato through improvement in chlorophyll a, b, and a/b ratios under white light, as compared with control plants.

3.4.2. Photosynthetic fluorescence parameters
The two photosynthetic parameters $F_v/F_m$ and $PI_{\text{abs}}$ are considered sensitive indexes of photosystems I and II that can evaluate plant photosynthetic status under stressful conditions (Živčák et al., 2008). Previous studies have shown that when the $F_v/F_m$ value is 0.79–0.83, the plant is healthy, and its photosynthetic apparatus is not damaged (Baker, 2008).

Tables 2 and 3 show that both $F_v/F_m$ and $PI_{\text{abs}}$ were influenced by stress in *A. bracteosa*. In this study, the $F_v/F_m$ and $PI_{\text{abs}}$ values (0.80 ± 0.04 and 1.80 ± 0.5, respectively) were constant in all leaves (control and transgenic) when measured under nonstress conditions. However, both parameters decreased with an increase in stress, which is in line with results previously reported from different species (Maghsoudi et al., 2015). We found

![Figure 5. Effect of abiotic stress on chlorophyll and water content of Ajuga bracteosa. Graphs showing comparison of chlorophyll content in a: Drought stress. b: salinity stress. c: Relative water content (RWC) of drought stressed leaves. WT = Tissue cultured untransformed line, ABRL1, 2, 3 = *A. bracteosa* regenerants line 1, 2 and 3. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).](image-url)
that transgenic lines had comparatively higher \( F_v/F_m \) and \( \text{PI}_{(abs)} \) values which could be correlated to the expression of \( \text{rolABC} \). Our observations are supported by different pieces of evidence that show the interaction of \( \text{rolB} \) with photosynthetic parameters. Many reports have suggested that \( \text{rolB} \) is involved in the photoprotection of PSII through overexpression of cytochrome b6/f complex and carbonic anhydrase in transgenic tomato, as illustrated by improved nonphotochemical quenching parameters, including \( F_v/F_m \) (Bettini et al., 2016a, 2020).

While comparing the rate of change in \( F_v/F_m \) and \( \text{PI}_{(abs)} \) values in 4 lines of \( A. \) bracteosa we found that \( \text{PI}_{(abs)} \) changed greatly in WT under salt and drought stress. These results are consistent with Li et al. (2019) who also found \( \text{PI}_{(abs)} \) to be more sensitive than \( F_v/F_m \).

### Table 2. Effect of drought stress on fluorescence parameters in \( A. \) bracteosa.

| Treatment | Untransformed plants | Transformed plants |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Mannitol (mM) |                      |                     |
| 0         | 0.79 ± 0.2           | 1.59 ± 0.3          |
| 100       | 0.62 ± 0.1           | 0.79 ± 0.1          |
| 200       | 0.32 ± 0.3           | 0.15 ± 0.2          |
| 300       | 0.27 ± 0.1           | 0.12 ± 0.3          |
| 400       | 0.21 ± 0.1           | 0.10 ± 0.1          |

\( \text{WT} = \) Tissue cultured untransformed line, ABRL1, 2, 3 = \( A. \) bracteosa transformed regenerants line 1, 2 and 3, \( F_v/F_m \) = Ratio of variable to maximum fluorescence, \( \text{PI}_{(abs)} \) = Performance index. Values are means of 3–6 repeated observations ±SE.

### Table 3. Effect of salinity stress on fluorescence parameters in \( A. \) bracteosa.

| Treatment | Untransformed plants | Transformed plants |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|
| NaCl (mM) |                      |                     |
| 0         | 0.77 ± 0.1           | 1.77 ± 0.1          |
| 50        | 0.72 ± 0.1           | 0.94 ± 0.3          |
| 100       | 0.21 ± 0.2           | 0.18 ± 0.5          |
| 150       | 0.18 ± 0.3           | 0.15 ± 0.1          |
| 200       | 0.17 ± 0.3           | 0.14 ± 0.1          |

\( \text{WT} = \) Tissue cultured untransformed line, ABRL1, 2, 3 = \( A. \) bracteosa transformed regenerants line 1, 2 and 3, \( F_v/F_m \) = Ratio of variable to maximum fluorescence, \( \text{PI}_{(abs)} \) = Performance index. Values are means of 3–6 repeated observations ±SE.

3.5. Relative water content (RWC) under drought stress

Relative water content is an important variable used to evaluate the metabolic activity, physiological water status, and survival of plants under water deficit (Hasheminasab et al., 2014). In the present study, transgenic leaves had significantly higher (\( P < 0.001 \)) RWC compared to the wild leaves under drought (Figure 5c). Under extreme drought conditions (400 mM mannitol) ABRL3 had maximum RWC (41%), followed by ABRL2 (33.9%), ABRL1 (21.4%), and WT leaves (11.6%). It has been indicated by different researchers that drought stress reduces RWC in many Lamiaceae species (García-Caparrós et al., 2019). The retention of RWC in transgenic \( A. \) bracteosa could also be related to the expression \( \text{rol} \) genes. Pavlova et al. (2014) found that \( \text{rol} \) genes increased the proline synthesis that
maintains the hydration layer of leaves under drought stress. The accumulation of nitrogenous compounds, including proline, has been frequently reported in plants under drought stress (Bayat and Moghadam, 2019). Similarly, Shahid et al. (2014) found that exogenous application of proline on stressed pea plants improved photosynthetic activity and RWC by regulating guard cells and stomatal closure. Therefore, plants with higher proline content are more resistant to stress conditions.

3.6. Increased level of ROS enzymes in transgenic lines

Plants have developed various antioxidant systems (both enzymatic and nonenzymatic) against ROS to prevent oxidative stress. The major elements of the nonenzymatic antioxidant systems include ascorbic acid, alkaloids, flavonoids, phenolics, GSH, α-tocopherol, and carotenoids. The enzymatic antioxidant system involves superoxide dismutase, ascorbate peroxidase, catalase, glutathione peroxidase, etc. (Sofo et al., 2015). In the current study, we found that catalase (Figures 6a and 6b) and peroxidase (Figures 6c and 6d) enzyme activities gradually decreased in the leaves of both transgenic and control plants. However, transgenic leaves followed the same pattern as chlorophyll content, photosynthetic parameters, and RWC, displaying higher catalase and peroxidase activities even in extreme stress conditions. This pattern of catalase and guaiacol peroxidase enzyme activity is consistent with wild and transformed Arabidopsis under salt and drought stress (Manuka et al., 2019). A similar trend was followed by ROS enzymes in tomato plants under multiple stresses (Waseem and Li, 2019).

In general, we found that catalase and peroxidase enzyme activities were at a maximum in ABRL3 under

![Figure 6. Catalase and peroxidase contents in transgenic Ajuga bracteosa leaves under abiotic stress in comparison with control. a: Catalase activity/g FW under drought stress. b: Catalase activity/g FW under salinity. c: Peroxidase activity/g FW under drought stress. d: Peroxidase activity/g FW under salinity. WT = Tissue cultured untransformed line, ABRL1, 2, 3 = A. bracteosa transformed regenerants line 1, 2 and 3, FW = Fresh weight. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).](image-url)
Figure 7. Enhanced fungal resistance in *Ajuga bracteosa* against *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Fusarium solani*. Leaves inoculated with micelial discs of: a: *A. fumigatus* b: *F. solani* c: Detached leaf assay against *A. fumigatus* d: *F. solani*. e: Graph showing percent leaf area necroted with *A. fumigatus*. f: Percent leaf area necroted with *F. solani*. WT = Tissue cultured untransformed line, ABRL1, 2, 3 = *A. bracteosa* transformed regenerants line 1, 2 and 3. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. (**P < 0.001).
extreme stresses and at a minimum in control leaves. Bulgakov et al. (2012) found that rolB increased the expression of genes encoding for ascorbate peroxidase, catalase, and superoxide dismutase which lead to stress tolerance and ROS scavenging in Arabidopsis thaliana, Panax ginseng, and Rubia cordifolia. Similarly, Dilshad et al. (2015, 2016) reported increased synthesis of flavonoids and antioxidant potential in Artemisia species expressing rolB and C genes. Flavonoids scavenge free radicals and remove superoxide and peroxide thus improving plant tolerance to salt and drought stress.

The results of the current study are supported by our previous reports indicating significantly increased production of phytoecdysteroids in rolABC-transformed hairy roots and intact plants of A. bracteosa (Kayani et al., 2016a, 2017) which could account for the increased antioxidant activities of this plant.

3.7. Fungal resistance in transgenic lines

In the current study, we also get an insight into the effect of rolABC on fungal resistance. We found that the expression of rolABC genes in A. bracteosa conferred resistance against two drug-resistant pathogens. Transgenic leaves had restricted necrotic zone symptoms, while control leaves were completely necrotized by A. fumigatus and F. solani (Figures 7a–7d). Moreover, the percentage of leaf area infected by A. fumigatus and F. solani was significantly lower in transgenic lines compared to control (Figures 7e and 7f). The aforementioned antifungal resistance can be ascribed to the increased levels of metabolites in transgenic lines, as reported by Özçelik et al. (2011). Likewise, Sánchez-Maldonado et al. (2016) reported the antifungal properties of phenolics and glycoalkaloids in potato plants. Similarly, Arshad et al. (2014) reported that rolB gene improved foliar tolerance of transgenic tomato leaves against two pathogenic fungal strains Alternaria solani and Fusarium oxysporum, compared to wild tomato plants. Bettini et al. (2016b) reported that rolA also enhanced the antifungal activities of tomato. Furthermore, Kiani et al. (2019) found higher antifungal resistance in rolABC-transformed Artemisia dubia, suggesting that rol genes modified the phytochemical constituents that are involved in microbial defense in this plant.

In conclusion, this study dissects the effects of rolABC genes on the metabolic pathways in A. bracteosa. The increased expression levels of all genes is in accordance with the accumulation of volatile metabolites as well as biotic and abiotic stress resistance in transformed plants.
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Supplementary Table 1. List of primer sequences used in this study.

| Genes | Primer sequences          |
|-------|---------------------------|
| rolA-F | AGAATGGAATTAGCCGGACTA     |
| rolA-R | GTATTAATCCCGTAGGTGTGTT   |
| HMGR-F | TGCTGGTTCTCTTTGGGTGGA   |
| HMGR-R | CTCCCAACTGTGCCAACCTCT    |
| FDS-F  | GAACCTCGCAATGAGGAACA     |
| FDS-R  | TTTCAGCACCAGCTTTGACT    |
| HDS-F  | CAAACCATGGAGGACGTTATGC   |
| HDS-R  | GCAACAGGGAGAGGTATGC     |
| PAL-F  | AACCTCAACATCACCTCAGT    |
| PAL-R  | ATTATGGGCGCCGATTC       |
| TTG1-F | AATCCCAATCCGACCCGACT   |
| TTG1-R | GACTTTGCGTGTGGGAGG     |
| β-actin-F | TCTCGATGGAAGGACTTGGTT |
| β-actin-R | GATCCATTACGAGGAGGT    |
**Supplementary Figure 1.** Effect of drought and salt stress on *Ajuga bracteosa*. a: Treatment with different mannitol concentrations. b: Effect of different NaCl concentrations after 21 days. WT = Tissue cultured untransformed line, ABRL1, 2, 3 = *A. bracteosa* regenerants line 1, 2 and 3.