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Abstract: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy of biomolecules has recently gained attention for clinical diagnosis. Its combination with saliva collection and analysis can promote early disease detection and monitoring, by identifying biomarkers of specific underlying pathology or disease as detected in saliva. With this novel, non-invasive technique, certain salivary biomarkers have been linked to dental and periodontal tissues pathology, as well as to specific head and neck cancer malignancies. At present, diagnostic biomarkers are still in need for further identification (e.g., diagnosis and monitoring of Sjögren’s syndrome), and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy has been found to be a promising technique to compliment the current analytic methodology. Moreover, this article reports on the various data collection and analysis parameters used in the literature. Protocol standardization is yet to be established not only for the laboratory procedures, but also for the clinical sample collection. Herein, we review the current status of utilizing nuclear magnetic resonance in order to further support data on health associated biomarkers, and we also propose a saliva sampling scheduling protocol with the potential to be used in the clinical and experimental setting for standardization of the testing methodology.
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1. Introduction—Saliva Testing

A multitude of clinical and laboratory testing is included in the medical sciences, both invasive and non-invasive. The non-invasive techniques are of paramount importance. They not only eliminate potential risks to the patient arising from the testing procedure itself, but also eliminate patient discomfort. The latter increases patient compliance, which is an absolute prerequisite for timely diagnosis and therapy, as the discomfort of a testing procedure itself often results in avoidance, and thus diagnosis and treatment delay. The importance of early detection and timely diagnosis has been greatly emphasized in health sciences, as it has an immediate and substantial effect on the therapeutic effectiveness and outcome.

Saliva, as the medium for clinical testing, presents unique advantages. The sample collection is not only non-invasive, but also easy, immediate, and fast without the need for any expensive or complex instrumentation or advanced training. In addition, it is possible to be repeated multiple times [1,2]. As a result, it is suitable not only for a one-time testing, but also for continuous monitoring if deemed medically necessary. Moreover, saliva sampling collection can be easily performed in various clinical settings, from dental and family medicine clinics to any hospital setting. Because of its ease and swiftness, it can be performed during routine health appointments, without the need for additional or specialized appointments. Under established protocols and guidelines, saliva sampling offers the potential to further become available for non-clinical settings, for distant sites, or even as a self-sampling at-home kit. This increased accessibility would further promote early timing of detection.
of an underlying condition, even when no symptoms have yet appeared. Consequently, health will be substantially promoted by reducing the incidence of undiagnosed conditions that lay undetected until they have progressed to an advanced stage with devastating health results.

Saliva includes information regarding not only the local environment (oral), but also the general health (systemic conditions). The information is included in organic and inorganic biomarkers. The specificity and sensitivity of the biomarkers is important in order to be able to distinguish between physiologic functions and underlying conditions. The biomarkers’ information, as related to health and disease, can be found and interpreted through proteomics, transcriptomics, microRNAomics, microbiomics, and metabolomics analysis [2].

Magnetic resonance analysis of saliva has identified significant biomarkers correlated with oral, dental, and periodontal pathology, as well as salivary biomarkers linked to the presence of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and sarcoidosis. Interestingly, salivary biomarkers have been also used in order to verify and monitor established health after therapy of the pathology [3–15].

2. The Role of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance in Saliva Testing and Analysis

Salivary biomolecules have gained a lot of attention worldwide in the area of proteomics. Salivary proteome data have been made available to the research community though the Human Salivary Proteome Wiki (HSPW), a web portal supported by the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) [16]. At the moment, the published HSPW data have been detected through two experimental techniques—mass spectrometry or gel electrophoresis. Liquid or gas chromatography along with mass spectrometry have been widely used for proteomic analysis. These techniques are based on the mass-to-charge ratio of the ions in the sample after separation of the compounds via chromatography [17]. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is an alternative and/or complimentary analytical method of great potential for the detection and analysis of biomarkers that are present in saliva, at the atomic level. Probing and characterization at the atomic level is of high importance for salivaomics, as it was recently observed that information in saliva is not only found in locally-produced molecules, but also carried from distant tissues through nano-vesicles [18]. NMR, as a powerful analytical method, can identify and quantitively analyze organic acids, amino acids, fatty acids, amines, and alcohol in the provided biofluid sample. Until now, it has been mainly employed for the analysis of plasma and urine [19].

In general, NMR as local probe exhibits an enhanced site-sensitivity and accuracy in the characterization of samples in almost all forms (gas, liquid, and solid state). NMR characterization of biofluids/biomolecules (e.g., saliva, urine, human blood plasma) and biomaterials (e.g., metals, ceramics, polymers) is a well-established method [20]. There are two principal advantages that have established NMR spectroscopy (especially solution-state NMR) as one of the most widely used characterization techniques. These include the small amount of sample needed compared with other volume average techniques, as well as the swiftness of the experimental data acquisition that can be performed in as fast as a few minutes [21]. The amount of saliva supernatant used for NMR analysis ranged from 0.17 to 0.60 mL (Table 1A) [3–15]. A saliva sample does not need any complex pre-treatment or preparation for the solution state NMR analysis to be performed [17]. Specifically, the NMR saliva sample preparation consists of centrifugation, and subsequent addition of a NMR solvent, standard, and buffer to the supernatant inside an NMR tube [3–14]. On the contrary, the more popular mass spectroscopic analysis requires multiple and more complex sample preparation steps (e.g., extraction, purification, digestion, separation), as well as costly labelling reference compounds for data acquisition and analysis. In this respect, NMR is less time-consuming and more cost-effective for data acquisition. Notably, the general NMR inherent insensitivity compared with mass spectroscopy can be improved using multiple scans, cryoprobes, and higher magnetic fields [22,23]. Regarding the current status of NMR in saliva metabolite analysis, it has been found to be underutilized compared with its potential, and a standardized protocol needs to be followed for its saliva analysis application [17,19].
3. NMR Saliva Analysis in Dental Health—Application and Methodology

3.1. Dental Caries

NMR has been found to be able to accurately detect the presence of dental caries in the pediatric oral cavity, by analyzing the presence and quantity of the corresponding metabolites in the saliva and comparing it to control samples (non-caries saliva samples). The organic and inorganic metabolites that are evaluated are based on the Human Metabolome Database. In this study, a 400 MHz Bruker Avance spectrometer was used with a CPMG (Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill) pulse sequence and T2 filter in order to suppress signals from other macromolecules, such as proteins (Table 1). On the basis of the NMR data, caries profile was correlated to increased levels of four metabolites (lactate, fatty acid, acetate, butyrate, an ambiguous component) and decreased levels of three other metabolites (phenylalanine, propionate, saccharides) [6]. In a following study, the authors investigated the possibility of evaluating the efficacy of dental caries treatment via saliva NMR analysis before and after treatment, focusing on the previously identified metabolites [6], with promising results [5].

In a more recent proton NMR (\(^1\)H-NMR) study, caries profile of children was correlated to alteration in the expression of 21 saliva metabolites [24]. Regarding the saliva collection methodology, passive drool was recommended instead of swab applicators for saliva collection, as the latter altered the NMR metabolomic results. However, no significant difference was found between unstimulated saliva and stimulated saliva by mastication on paraffin wax pellets for 3–5 min [24]. The avoidance of swab applicators or substances used as stimulants has been previously recommended in order to eliminate any alteration of the sample from the applicators and substances used [25].

Interestingly, an attempt was recently made to evaluate the oral health of mothers and their infants (0–28 months old) during the breastfeeding period via clinical examination accompanied by the saliva analysis. The NMR spectra presented distinct differences between infants without and with teeth (older than six months). The latter presented increased levels of multiple metabolites (Table 1B) [4].

3.2. Periodontal Disease

The periodontal disease involves the periodontium, which is specialized soft tissue that surrounds the teeth and connects them to the underlying bone structure. The disease can be chronic, with bacteria playing a primary role. It involves inflammation and potential subsequent destruction of the periodontium and osseous tissues.

NMR clinical studies on salivary profile observed discriminant metabolites that can differentiate between oral health and chronic generalized periodontitis [11,12], with a reported 91.1% sensitivity, 79.4% specificity, and 84.1% predictive accuracy [12]. Similar observations were reported by recent \(^1\)H-NMR metabolome studies that compared saliva samples from controls (oral health) and from two types of active periodontal disease (chronic and aggressive). NMR on saliva was found to be able to distinguish with satisfactory predictability between healthy and active disease samples. Hence, the establishment of a metabolomic profile for periodontal disease is being attempted (Table 1B) [9,10]. However, the metabolic analysis of the patients did not distinguish between the two different types of periodontal disease [9].

In addition, NMR analysis has been used in order to compare the metabolic changes after periodontal therapy [7,8]. This shows a great potential for collecting additional information regarding the effectiveness of current treatment protocols on an individual basis, as well as correlating metabolic changes during tissue repair. The salivary profile of the patients as measured after the periodontal therapy was found to be similar to the healthy subjects (Table 1B) [7].
Table 1. (A) Methodology of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) saliva experiments and (B) significant metabolites reported per diagnosis.

| Authors, Year | Saliva Collection Method; Quantity | Saliva Sample Preparation | Sample Quantity; NMR Solvent; Buffer, NMR Standard (mL) | NMR Buffer Composition | Post-Mixture Preparation | NMR Model (Company) | Temperature of Data Acquisition (K) |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| da Silva et al., 2019 [4] | unstimulated whole saliva, with pipette from floor of the mouth; 0.5 mL | centrifuged (10,000 × g for 60 min at 4 °C), stored at −80 °C | 0.17; 0.019 D2O; N/A; 0.004 DSS | N/A | N/A | 600 MHz Avance (Bruker) | 298 |
|                  | unstimulated whole saliva, expectoration into sterile plastic tube on ice; 2 mL | centrifuged (10,000 × g for 60 min at 4 °C), stored at −80 °C | 0.05 D2O; N/A; 0.10 DSS | N/A | N/A | 600 MHz Avance (Bruker) | 298 |
| Fidalgo et al., 2014 [5] | morning, unstimulated whole saliva, with pipette from floor of the mouth, placed in plastic tube; 1 mL | centrifuged (10,000 × g for 60 min at 4 °C), stored at −80 °C | 0.06 D2O; N/A; 0.01 DSS | N/A | N/A | 400 MHz Avance (Bruker Biospin) | 298 |
| Fidalgo et al., 2012 [6] | morning, unstimulated whole saliva, expectoration into plastic tube for 5 min, 3 mL | centrifuged (10,000 × g for 60 min at 4 °C), stored at −80 °C | 0.45; 0.05 D2O; N/A; 0.03 TSP | N/A | N/A | 400 MHz Avance (Bruker Biospin) | 298 |
| Silwood et al., 1999 [7] | unstimulated whole saliva, into sterile container for 10 min, N/A | centrifuged (5000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C), NMR immediately after | 0.07 D2O; N/A; 0.03 TSP | N/A | N/A | 600 MHz AMX (Bruker) | 295 |
| Singh et al., 2017 [8] | morning, unstimulated whole saliva into a sterile container (with 2 mg Na2HPO4 for 30 min; N/A | immediately frozen at −80 °C at collection, thawed, and centrifuged (5000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C) | 0.30; N/A; 0.30 buffer; N/A | sodium phosphate buffer | 30 s vortexing | 800 MHz Avance III (Bruker Biospin) | 300 |
| Romano et al., 2018 [9] | morning, unstimulated whole saliva passive drain into sterile tube for 10 min; ~1 mL | immediately frozen at −80 °C at collection, thawed, and centrifuged (5000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C) | 0.30; N/A; 0.30 buffer; TSP | 70 mM Na2HPO4; 20%(v/v) H2O; 6.15 mM Na2S; 6.64 mM TSP; pH 7.4 | N/A | 600 MHz (Bruker) | 300 |
| Rzeznik et al., 2017 [10] | morning, with paraffin wax; 10 mL | stored at −25 °C, thawed, and centrifuged (2000 × g for 2 min) | 0.06; 0.10 D2O; N/A; 0.45; N/A | N/A | N/A | 500 MHz INOVA (Varian) | 298 |
| Garcia-Villacscusa et al., 2018 [11] | morning, unstimulated whole saliva passive drain into sterile container; 1.5 mL | immediately frozen at −80 °C at collection | 0.45; D2O; N/A; 0.04 TSP | N/A | N/A | 600 MHz Avance DRX (Bruker) | 298 |
| Singh et al., 2017 [12] | morning, unstimulated whole saliva into a sterile container (with 2 mg Na2HPO4 for 30 min; N/A | immediately frozen at −80 °C at collection, thawed, and centrifuged (5000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C) | 0.30; N/A; 0.30 buffer; N/A | sodium phosphate buffer | 30 sec vortexing | 800 MHz Avance III (Bruker Biospin) | 300 |
| Aimetti et al., 2011 [13] | morning, unstimulated whole saliva, non-forceful expectoration into sterile tube for 10 min; 1 mL | immediately frozen at −80 °C at collection, thawed, and centrifuged (5000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C) | 0.30; N/A; 0.30 buffer; TSP | 70 mM Na2HPO4; 20%(v/v) H2O; 6.15 mM Na2S; 6.64 mM TSP; pH 7.4 | 30 sec vortexing | 600 MHz (Bruker Biospin) | 300 |
| Authors, Year | Diagnosis | Univariate Analysis: Increased Metabolites | Univariate Analysis: Decreased Metabolites | Multivariate Analysis: Increased Metabolites | Multivariate Analysis: Decreased Metabolites |
|--------------|-----------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| da Silva et al., 2019 [4] | Breastfeeding infants: Dentate (6 mo+) vs. Edentulous; Oral Candidiasis, Bohn Nodules | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Fidalgo et al., 2014 [5] | Pediatric Caries vs. 3-month-post-tx 1 | N/A | N/A | n-butyrate, lactate, ethanol, propionate, n-acetyl sugar | N/A |
| Fidalgo et al., 2012 [6] | Pediatric Caries | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Silwood et al., 1999 [3] | Root Caries | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Singh et al., 2019 [7] | Chronic Periodontitis vs. post-tx 1 | N/A | N/A | Perio vs. post-perio ss 2: glutamate, lactate, succinate, ethane sulfonate, fucose, proline, ethanol | Perio vs. post-perio ss 2: pyruvate, Control vs. post-perio ss 2: alanine, glutamate |
| Romano et al., 2019 [8] | Generalized Chronic Periodontitis (GCP) vs. post-non-surgical tx 1 (GCPtx) | N/A | N/A | no significance at baseline vs. after tx 1 | N/A |
| Romano et al., 2018 [9] | Generalized Chronic Periodontitis (GCP); Generalized Aggressive Periodontitis (GAgP) | N/A | N/A | GCP vs. Control: proline, phenylalanine, isoleucine, valine, tyrosine. | GDP vs. Control: pyruvate, N-acetyl groups, lactate. |

**OTHER CONDITIONS**

| Authors, Year | Diagnosis | Univariate Analysis: Increased Metabolites | Univariate Analysis: Decreased Metabolites | Multivariate Analysis: Increased Metabolites | Multivariate Analysis: Decreased Metabolites |
|--------------|-----------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Mikkonen et al., 2018 [13] | morning, unstimulated whole saliva, passive drain into sterile glass cup for 5 min; ~5 mL | centrifuged (14,000 rpm for 6 min), stored at −20 °C | NMR Buﬀer; NMR Standard (mL) | 600 MHz Avance III HD (Bruker) | sample preheated to 298, 30 min prior acquisition |
| Duchemann et al., 2016 [14] | stimulated; N/A | stored at −20 °C | N/A | 500 MHz INOVA (Varian) | 298 |
Table 1. Cont.

| Authors, Year | Diagnosis | Univariate Analysis: Increased Metabolites | Univariate Analysis: Decreased Metabolites | Multivariate Analysis: Increased Metabolites | Multivariate Analysis: Decreased Metabolites |
|---------------|-----------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| **PERIODONTAL PATHOLOGY** | | | | | |
| Rzeznik *et al.*, 2017 [10] | Generalized Chronic Periodontitis (GCP); Generalized Aggressive Periodontitis (GAgP) | butyrate | lactate, GABA | (No significance between GCP and GAgP) Periodontitis vs. Control: butyrate | Periodontitis vs. Control: lactate, methanol, threonine, fucose, acetate, N-acetyl, GABA, 3D-hydroxybutyrate, pyruvate, ethanol |
| Garcia-Villaescusa *et al.*, 2019 [15] | Glioblastoma (GBL); Periodontitis | N/A | N/A | Periodontitis vs. Control: caproate, isocaprate + butyrate, isovalerate, isoleucine, isopropanol + methanol, 4-aminobutyrate, choline. GBL vs. Control: propionate, acetate glutamate, valine, succinate, lactate, leucine acetate, pyruvate, acetate, caproate | Periodontitis vs. Control: sucrose, sucrose+glucose+lysine, lactate+proline, lactate, proline. GBL vs. Control: leucine, valine, isoleucine, alanine, ethanolamine, sucrose |
| Singh *et al.*, 2017 [11] | Chronic Periodontitis | N/A | N/A | Periodontitis vs. Control: caproate, isocaprate + butyrate, isovalerate, isoleucine, isopropanol + methanol, 4-aminobutyrate, choline. GBL vs. Control: propionate, acetate glutamate, valine, succinate, lactate, leucine acetate, pyruvate, acetate, caproate | Periodontitis vs. Control: sucrose, sucrose+glucose+lysine, lactate+proline, lactate, proline. GBL vs. Control: leucine, valine, isoleucine, alanine, ethanolamine, sucrose |
| Aimetti *et al.*, 2011 [12] | Generalized Chronic Periodontitis | N/A | N/A | Periodontitis vs. Control: caproate, isocaprate + butyrate, isovalerate, isoleucine, isopropanol + methanol, 4-aminobutyrate, choline. GBL vs. Control: propionate, acetate glutamate, valine, succinate, lactate, leucine acetate, pyruvate, acetate, caproate | Periodontitis vs. Control: sucrose, sucrose+glucose+lysine, lactate+proline, lactate, proline. GBL vs. Control: leucine, valine, isoleucine, alanine, ethanolamine, sucrose |
| **OTHER CONDITIONS** | | | | | |
| Mikkonen *et al.*, 2018 [13] | Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma | Fucose (6-deoxy-L-galactose), 1,2-propanediol | proline | N/A | Fucose+glycine+methanol+proline |
| Duchemann *et al.*, 2016 [14] | Sarcoidosis | N/A | N/A | n-butyrate, lactate, acetate | Methanol |

1 tx: treatment, 2 sx: surgery.
4. NMR Saliva Analysis in Oral Oncology

Early detection in oncology cannot be emphasized enough [26]. Detection and diagnosis of a malignancy in an early stage significantly improves not only the survival of the patient, but also the quality of life after the elected therapy [27]. The quality of life should be considered of even equal significance with survival, as the treatment of a tumor can result in devastating physical and psychological circumstances for the patient that affects it immensely.

Unfortunately, tumors may lie undetected for a long period of time. The detection depends on the nature and presentation of symptomatology. It is influenced by various factors, such as the type, stage, location, and behavior of the tumor. The longer a tumor stays undetected and advances in staging, the more ‘aggressive’ the treatment modality may be in order for survival and decrease of the rate of recurrences [28]. Tumor invasion in critical structures, surgical resection of anatomic structures in order to provide tumor-free healthy margins, irradiation therapy, and/or chemotherapy are all treatment modalities that increase in severity along with the extent, staging, and behavior of the tumor itself [27].

The available specialized testing, such as computed tomography (CT) scan and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in radiology, may indirectly contribute to detection and treatment delay owing to financial burden, discomfort, and avoidance by the patient, or even owing to a potential lack of instrumentation or specialized personnel in rural areas. A safe, cost-effective, comfortable for the patient, universally accessible testing is needed in order to be possible for it to be performed routinely worldwide. Ideally, specialized personnel or equipment should not be needed for sample collection. With this directive, saliva is being investigated as a means of detection and diagnosis for different types of oncologic entities.

For instance, oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC), the most common oral cavity malignancy, has been correlated with the level of expression of certain proteins that can be detected in the saliva [29,30]. Immunohistochemical staining and comparison of resected tumor tissue and non-tumor tissue of the same patient has been used as a method to further verify an increased level of proposed biomarkers in the tumor tissues [30]. Of great clinical significance, the use of liquid chromatography/mass spectroscopy for analysis of salivary metabolites presented 94.6% sensitivity and 84.4% specificity for the distinction between OSCC and the most frequent oral premalignant lesion known as leukoplakia. The same technique presented 86.5% sensitivity and 82.4% specificity when OSCC was compared to controls [31]. In comparison, in a study that used NMR for oral or laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma, the sensitivity (87.5%) and specificity (93.3%) were higher [13]. NMR has been found to contribute in the salivary metabolomic analysis and monitoring of sarcoidosis as well (Table 1) [14]. The current need for further involvement of nanoscale testing techniques in oncologic diagnosis and investigation is now emphasized, following the contemporary and rapidly evolving literature on biomarkers [32].

5. Proposed Saliva Collection Timing Protocol in the Dental Clinical Setting

Saliva testing begins with collection of the specimen. The saliva collection protocol should consist of the collection methodology, as well as the timing of initial and subsequent collections for the monitoring of health and/or pathology. Regarding the saliva collection methodology, certain recommendations have been proposed in the literature in order to minimize the influence of other factors and external variants, as well as to increase reproducibility. Saliva collection has been proposed to be performed 24 h after dental treatment to avoid blood contamination, and 12 h after the use of alcohol, nicotine, caffeine, or medications (if medically feasible) [9,17]. Morning collection is widely preferred in order to minimize the effect of the circadian rhythm. At least one hour [4,8,9], two hours [3–6,10,11,15], or complete refrain upon awaking [12,21] from oral activities, including eating, drinking, and oral hygiene, was found in the literature (Table 1). Unstimulated whole saliva with passive expectoration in a sterile container is widely accepted in order to eliminate potential variants [3–9,11–13,15,25]. When passive expectoration is not feasible, the use of cotton absorbent materials is not recommended [24,25]. Instead, a pipette has been used to collect the unstimulated whole saliva from the floor of the mouth for early childhood [4,5].
The second aspect of the saliva collection procedure is the timing, regarding when and how often it should be performed. The timing of collection in relation to the health status of an individual would play a principal role in the early identification of an underlying pathology, but also in the follow-up monitoring. Here, we propose a saliva sampling schedule template that correlates with routine health appointments in dental clinics. The biofluid sampling schedule is determined by the individual oral health assessment, as completed during the clinical appointments (Table 2). In general, follow-up clinical appointments are determined based on the individual medical assessment, treatment planning, prognosis, nature of intervention needed (e.g., non-intervention monitoring vs. pharmaceutical intervention vs. surgical), and so on. For instance, a surgical intervention involving soft and osseous tissue is often followed by an immediate post-operative appointment to evaluate the initial healing of soft tissue, and by subsequent appointments at 3–6 months, in accordance with osseous remodeling rates [8,33]. In our template (Table 2), the clinician would evaluate each oral structure (far left vertical column) regarding the presence or absence (WNL: within normal limits) of pathological findings. For instance, the ‘WNL’ circle will be marked for each oral structure with the absence of pathology. In the case of presence or indication of pathology for an oral structure, the clinician would indicate the need and the nature of intervention by marking one of the other four columns (non-intervention monitoring to surgical intervention osseous tissue). The need and nature for intervention increases in our table from left to right, and the saliva sampling frequency (yellow columns) follows as well. Any single evaluated structure that needs more ‘invasive’ or more ‘frequent’ monitoring will determine the final biofluid sampling schedule for the patient. This will be demonstrated on the completed Table 2 by the furthest to the right marked category of the oral health assessment. The initial biofluid sampling schedule may be altered as the individual health evaluation is reassessed at follow-up appointments.

We theoretically estimate that during the proposed time intervals, a significant change on saliva metabolites may occur that would be of interest to investigate, as it may reflect important information regarding the health status and changes of the oral environment. For instance, saliva profiling at these follow-up intervals may provide information regarding the physiologic tissue remodeling, tissue healing versus progressive destruction, pharmaceutical intervention effect and efficiency, stabilization of a condition after intervention, and thus confirmation or rejection of the selected intervention. However, it was attempted to make the proposed sample collection regime as versatile and universal as possible. It needs to be tested experimentally, and modified as needed, in order for clinicians to use it along with the individualized medical assessment of the patient for early oral health diagnosis and monitoring.

Table 2. Proposed oral biofluid sampling schedule. WNL, within normal limits.

| Oral Health Assessment | WNL | NO INTERVENTION Monitoring | NON-SURGICAL INTERVENTION | SURGICAL INTERVENTION Soft or Dental Tissue | SURGICAL INTERVENTION Osseous Tissue |
|------------------------|-----|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| DENTAL                 | ○   | ○                         | ○                         | ○                                         | ○                                   |
| PERIODONTAL            | ○   | ○                         | ○                         | ○                                         | ○                                   |
| MUCOSA                 | ○   | ○                         | ○                         | ○                                         | ○                                   |
| TONGUE                 | ○   | ○                         | ○                         | ○                                         | ○                                   |
| OSSEOUS                | ○   | ○                         | ○                         | ○                                         | ○                                   |
| SALIVA                 | ○   | ○                         | ○                         | ○                                         | ○                                   |

Biofluid Sampling Schedule:

| Initial Appointment | Initial Appointment | Initial Appointment | Initial Appointment | Initial Appointment |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| -                   | -                   | 6–8 wks             | 6–8 wks             | 4 mo post-op        |
| -                   | -                   | 6 mo post-op        | 6 mo post-op        | 6 mo post-op        |
| 12 mo               | 12 mo               | 12 mo post-op       | 12 mo post-op       | 12 mo post-op       |
| -                   | -                   | 24 mo post-op       | 24 mo post-op       | 18 mo post-op       |
| 24 mo per annum     | 24 mo per annum     | 24 mo post-op per annum | 24 mo post-op per annum | 24 mo post-op per annum |
6. Future Application on Sjögren’s Syndrome

A particular medical area that urgently calls for further development of the current clinical testing and evaluation methodology is Sjögren’s syndrome. Sjögren’s syndrome is a complex, multisystem autoimmune disease, which results in reduced production of saliva (xerostomia) and tears (xerophthalmia). Its variability in presentation and symptoms imposes a great challenge for early detection, diagnosis, staging, and evaluation of the effectiveness of treatment. Biomarkers and saliva sampling have been emphasized for their potential in non-invasive diagnosis. There is still a great need for further research in order to establish a universal, reliable, non-invasive method with high sensitivity and specificity for each subgroup of this syndrome [34]. Recently, metabolomics analyses to identify salivary and lacrimal biomarkers have been attempted using mass spectroscopy with liquid or gas chromatography [35,36]. To our knowledge, NMR has not yet been employed for further exploration of the biomarkers’ potential in the diagnosis and monitoring of Sjögren’s syndrome. Future studies could contribute significantly to the understanding, early intervention, and effective therapy of the syndrome.

Moreover, even in the areas of oral and dental pathology, the published data remain limited. Salivary testing needs to be further explored and standardized in order for its development as a widely available and established testing for the identification and monitoring of oral conditions. In addition, its demonstrated potential for testing the effectiveness of a dental or periodontal therapy will enormously facilitate and guide the dental health care [5,8]. Furthermore, it would be of a great value to explore the potential of salivary diagnostic testing in areas such as infectious or autoimmune conditions, endocrinology, nephrology, cardiology, and metabolic conditions.

7. Conclusions and Perspectives

Non-invasiveness is of high significance in the clinical setting as it reduces and/or eliminates the psychological and the physical stress caused by the testing procedure itself. As a result, not only are the patients more compliant, and thus the diagnosis and monitoring of a condition are not delayed owing to fear or avoidance of the procedure, but it also allows frequent evaluation even for individuals with health conditions that would preclude or complicate any surgical testing procedure. Furthermore, a testing or analysis procedure that is inexpensive, accessible, and does not necessitate specialized personnel, as in the case of saliva collection, would allow its use worldwide as a universal and standard of care clinical protocol. As a result, health would be further promoted, and relapses or compromised quality of life after treatment would be further reduced because of the early detection and intervention. NMR presents a great potential to compliment the current research on biomarkers as it can detect nano-characteristics of isolated molecules in the provided bio-sample. Combined with saliva testing, early detection and intervention could be greatly promoted.

The standardization of the methodology is of high importance. The significant inter-study variability has been pointed out regarding saliva sample storage, preparation, and NMR quantification method, in contrast to the established methodology for other biofluids (e.g., plasma, urine) [19]. For the clinical application of saliva testing as a diagnostic method, specific sampling collection indications and guidelines need to be established as well, which should be based on the specific health condition that is under investigation. Here, we attempt to propose such a clinical protocol based on routine follow-up regimens for oral health.

To sum up, nuclear magnetic resonance in combination with saliva analysis has provided important information regarding dental and periodontal health, as well as oncologic pathology of oral tissues. However, it has not yet been explored up to its potential, and future studies are needed to further identify salivary biomarkers for early diagnosis of not only oral diseases, but also distant sites.
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