Invasive fish threat in Manyas Lake (Turkey)
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Abstract

Two non-native freshwater fish species, Gambusia holbrooki Girard, 1859 and Pseudorasbora parva (Temminck & Schlegel, 1846) were recorded for the first time for the Manyas Lake, and the number of the non-native fish species living in the lake has increased to three. These non-native fish species described as invasive were considered as new threats to the lake, which is already threatened by eutrophication and habitat degradation.
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1. Introduction

The intentional introduction of fish around the world is mostly the consequence of the globalization of the aquaculture trade and the increase of the societal demands such as angling or sport fishing, filling an ecological niche as food, foraging for fisheries, biological control, and ornamental fish [1, 2]. After a non-native species is introduced to a new ecosystem, if it adapts well in the environment, and reproduces and spreads rapidly, it may become an invasive that will damage the ecosystem processes [3]. Introduction and spreading of invasive fish have been evaluated as a global problem due to their both ecological and economical risks and the investigation of the effects of these fishes has constituted an exponentially growing attention among the scientific literature since the 1970s [2, 4]. With a general view that non-native fish introduction is considered as a major threat to local fish populations and biodiversity in aquatic ecosystems in general via mechanisms such as out-competition (food or habitat), hybridization, the transmission of a novel disease and predation when they became highly abundant [4, 5, 6]. One of the most striking examples of the impacts of an introduced fish is Nile perch Lates niloticus (Linnaeus, 1758), a large piscivorous, which caused destruction in Lake Victoria and its surroundings. The fish was introduced with the aim of recreational fishing to the lake, where is considered to have the richest fish fauna in the world, and it has become the dominant species over time and then has caused the extinction of the many herbivores endemic and native Cichlid species. As the herbivore fish disappeared, the food web in the lake has severely altered and the excessive algae increase has caused oxygen depletion in the bottom. The impact of the fish was not limited to the lake, it has led to socioeconomic changes and environmental damage as well. The increase in the population density of the fish has directed many families to the fisheries and as a result of the excessive human migration to the surrounding of the lake, fishery-related employment has approximately doubled. The fish, which were harvested in large quantities, have started to be released to the
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market with the smoking process. Trees cut for these purposes caused landslides on the hills around Lake Victoria, and therefore, large amounts of silt have entered into the lake [3, 7, 8].

The Manyas Lake located at the Susurluk river basin in northwest Anatolia is one of the most important shallow lakes in Turkey. Susurluk river basin is one of Turkey’s 25 main watersheds by the hydrological properties [9]. While the lake gets most of its water from Koçacaş, Şığırç and Mürvetler streams and groundwater, the outlet water of the lake is Karadere connected to the Susurluk River. This special lake, which has the status of wetland, was designated as Ramsar Site in 1994 in terms of being at migration routes of some bird species and used by these birds as a nesting and shelter area [10]. The lake, from the past to the present, has been also a valuable fish production area that has favourable ecological conditions for fish to survive, and fishing activities are evaluated as an important income for the local people [11]. Previous studies reported 23 fish species living in the Manyas lake basin as follows; *Alburnus carinatus* Battalgil, 1941, *Alburnoides manyasensis* Turan, Ekmekçi, Kaya & Güçlü, 2013, *Allosa maeotica* (Grimm, 1901), *Barbus niluferensis* Turan, Kottelat & Ekmekçi, 2009, *Blicca bjoerkna* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Capoeta tinca* (Heckel, 1843), *Cobitis punctulata* Erk’akan, Atalay-Ekmekçi & Nalbant, 1998, *Cyprinus carpio* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Carassius carassius* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Carassius gibelio* (Bloch, 1782), *Esox lucius* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Knipowitschia longicaudata* (Kessler, 1877), *Leuciscus aspius* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Neo gobius fluviatilis* (Pallas, 1814), *Oxyneomachilus simavicus* (Balik & Bănărescu, 1978), *Petroleuciscus borysithenicus* (Kessler, 1859), *Proterorhinus similans* (Heckel, 1839), *Rhodeus amarus* (Bloch, 1782), *Rutilus rutilus* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Scardinius erythrophthalmus* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Silurus glanis* (Linnaeus, 1758) *Squalius cii* (Richardson, 1857) and *Vimba vimba* (Linnaeus, 1758) [12, 13, 14, 15]. Five of them (*A. carinatus, A. manyasensis, B. niluferensis, O. simavicus, and S. cii*) are cited as endemic fish species for western Anatolia and this biological richness further increases the importance of the lake basin.

Over the last years, the Manyas Lake has become more and more polluted due to eutrophication, habitat degradation, and environmental pressures such as agriculture, poultry husbandry, and industrial facilities, and its ecological function was threatened by these negative effects [16, 17]. Besides the decrease in the lake’s ecosystem services and processing, non-native and/or invasive fish species may become direct threats. They may have a detrimental impact on the sustainability of fish diversity and their biomass as well as local fisheries and may cause irreversible damages especially for the future of endemic fishes. The first non-native fish species reported for the lake is *C. gibelio* but as an invasive species, there have been no studies on its possible impacts on the fish fauna since the 2000s when it was reported [18]. This study aims to report the presence of the other non-native fish species that may pose a threat to the biodiversity in the Manyas Lake and to list possible invasion threats to the future of the native and endemic fishes in the lake.

2. Materials and methods

Fish samples were collected using a portable electro-shocker (SAMUS 725G), cast-nets (10 mm mesh-sized) and a scoop-net (2 mm mesh-sized) from the shorelines of the Manyas Lake (Figure 1) between April and August 2019. The fish samplings were conducted near Bird Paradise National Park with a coordinate 40.22561°N, 28.049675°E.

![Figure 1. The sampling area in the Manyas Lake](image)

The fish specimens were killed with an overdose anaesthesia (clove oil) and then immediately transferred to the Laboratory of Istanbul University Faculty of Aquatic Sciences Department Freshwater Resources and Management with cold conditions. The fish sample was measured for total length (TL) to the nearest 0.1 cm and weighed for total body weight (W) on a digital balance with a 0.01 g accuracy.

3. Results

During the routine ichthyological surveys in the Manyas Lake, three non-native species, *C. gibelio, Gambusia holbrooki* Girard, 1859 and *Pseudorasbora parva* (Temminck & Schlegel, 1846), and nine native fishes (*A. carinatus, B. bijoerka*, *C. punctulata, K. longicaudata, N. fluviatilis, R. rutilus, S. erythrophthalmus, R. amarus, and P. semilunaris*) were caught. *Gambusia holbrooki* and *P. parva* were recorded for the first time for the Manyas Lake (Figure 2, Figure 3). The individual numbers, body size, and weight distributions and sampling dates of these fishes were listed in Table 1.
Table 1. The individual numbers (n), length (TL) and weight (W) distributions, and sampling dates of fishes caught in the Manyas Lake (a: electro-shocker, b: cast-net, c: scoop-net)

| Fish Species                        | n  | TL, cm min – max | W, g min – max | Sampling Dates               |
|-------------------------------------|----|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| *Carassius gibelio* a,b              | 56 | 4.3 – 13.1       | 1.68 – 38.54   | 12.06.2019; 24.07.2019; 21.08.2019 |
| *Gambusia holbrooki* b,c             | 95 | 1.7 – 3.5        | 0.04 – 0.72    | 24.07.2019; 21.08.2019       |
| *Pseudorasbora parva* a,b            | 25 | 2.6 – 9.9        | 0.15 – 11.15   | 18.04.2019; 21.08.2019       |
| *Alburnus carinatus* a               | 60 | 9.0 – 11.9       | 12.45 – 32.03  | 18.04.2019; 16.05.2019; 12.06.2019; 24.07.2019 |
| *Blicca bjoerkna* a                  | 85 | 9.6 – 23.1       | 9.13 – 159.29  | 18.04.2019; 16.05.2019; 12.06.2019; 24.07.2019 |
| *Cobitis punctulata* b               | 1  | 3.7              | 0.51           | 21.08.2019                   |
| *Knipowitschia longicaudata* b      | 22 | 2.5 – 3.4        | 0.11 – 0.38    | 21.08.2019                   |
| *Neogobius fluviatilis* a,b          | 17 | 8.9 – 15.4       | 8.54 – 47.44   | 18.04.2019; 16.05.2019; 12.06.2019 |
| *Rutilus rutilus* a                 | 78 | 9.5 – 21.1       | 8.83 – 117.58  | 18.04.2019; 16.05.2019; 12.06.2019; 24.07.2019; 21.08.2019 |
| *Scardinius erythrophthalmus* a,b    | 21 | 4.4 – 21.7       | 0.86 – 132.51  | 18.04.2019; 24.07.2019; 21.08.2019 |
| *Rhodeus amarus* b                  | 43 | 2.1 – 3.9        | 0.09 – 2.90    | 21.08.2019                   |
| *Proterorhinus semilunaris* b,c     | 10 | 2.7 – 4.4        | 0.18 – 0.93    | 21.08.2019                   |

Figure 2. *Gambusia holbrooki* Girard, 1859, male, 2.9 cm TL, Manyas Lake, 2019
4. Conclusions and discussion

In order to obtain the safe withdrawal amount from fish stocks within sustainable limits, it is important to quantify the fish populations, especially their species and size compositions, at intervals. The contribution of the Manyas Lake, which provides valuable ecosystem services as a lake-wetland complex, to local fisheries is also very important. Therefore, it is critical to monitor the dynamics of the lake in order to obtain a sustainable maximum efficiency from the lake.

The presence of invasive *C. gibelio* in the Manyas Lake was already reported in the 2000s [18], but the other invasive fishes, *G. holbrooki* and *P. parva* were notified for the first time with this study. With these invasive fish records, a new one has been added to the threats for the future of the lake. According to the information from the local fishermen, *C. gibelio* has rapidly increased the size of the population after introduction into the lake and became one of the most intensively hunted fish species. However, nowadays it is postulated that the population density of this invasive fish has decreased with the excessive fishing pressure on it.

In this study, the use of different fishing gear allowed different fish species to be caught: invasive *C. gibelio* and *P. parva* were caught with electro-shocker and cast-nets, while *G. holbrooki* was caught with electro-shocker and scoop-net (Table 1). Failure to catch some of the previously reported fishes (such as *B. niluferensis*, *O. simavicus*, and *A. bipunctatus*) from the lake basin is related to the fact that these species mostly prefer the streams. Catch per unit effort (CPUE) values of fishes were not calculated because they were caught with three different fishing equipment. Although their abundance cannot be calculated, the individual numbers and size ranges of both endemic and native fishes of the lake are quite satisfactory (Table 1). Unfortunately, the catch of the individuals of the two new invasive species in different size ranges (*P. parva*, 2.6 – 9.9 cm, TL; *G. holbrooki*, 1.7 – 3.5 cm, TL) indicates that they have successfully reproduced and colonized in this lake.

Small bodied *G. holbrooki* is originally native to the coastal regions of south-eastern United-States and it has been intentionally or accidentally introduced throughout worldwide especially the warm temperate and tropical regions [19, 20]. Intentional introductions of this mosquito fish were undertaken for using it as a biological control agent for the prevention of malaria threats [21, 22]. Some features of this species such as having a high level of plasticity and survival capability, colonizing easily to new habitats, withstanding wide ranges of temperature and salinity and surviving in heavily polluted waters are effective in spreading through wide areas [23, 24]. According to the Global Invasive Species Program (GISP), *G. holbrooki* is one of the world’s 100 worst invasive alien species [25]. There are some records that confirm its negative effects and predatory behaviour on many native fish, amphibians and invertebrates; such as eating the eggs and larvae of fishes or amphibians and competing with native fishes for food resources through niche overlap [26, 27]. Rincón et al. [28] mentioned that *G. holbrooki* had an impact on seriously threatening endemic species, most notably *Aphanius* and *Valencia* species, in Europe. Similarly, it is thought that this invasive fish, which reproduces several times a year, may increase its population size and can create pressure on native and endemic fish species living in Manyas Lake with food and habitat competition.

The topmouth gudgeon *P. parva*, a small freshwater species originating from East Asia (Japan, China, Korea, and the River Amur), is an invasive species that was introduced to many countries in different continents accidentally [29]. The primary pathway of *P. parva* introduction into its expanded range is the accidental transfer and release of this fish within the translocations of native fishes for aquaculture activities [30]. The most remarkable threat of this fish, showing a rapid colonization success in new habitats, is to carry non-native pathogens for native fauna besides the risk of food and space competition [29]. *Pseudorasbora parva* is a healthy carrier of the rosette agent *Sphareothecum destruens* that is a fungal disease and a potential threat to native fish biodiversity [31, 32]. This agent was first identified in Europe in 2005 as a disease threat to endangered native fish *Leucaspius delineatus* and it caused a large increase in fish mortality [33]. In Turkey, the emergence of *S. destruens* was detected in 2017 and it associated with severe declines
in endemic fish species in the wild [31]. In Manyas Lake, where small-scale fishery is carried out on local fish species, it is thought that this invasive fish *P. parva* with a high deadly pathogen risk may have a negative effect on native and endemic fish populations.

In conclusion, for the future of the endemic and native fishes living in the Manyas Lake, risk assessment analyses are essential for these invasive species identified. According to IUCN Red List [34, 35], two endemic fish species living in the lake basin are classified as threatened: *A. carinatus* as Endangered (EN) and *O. simavicus* as Critically endangered (CR). Firstly, the population sizes of the endemic and native fishes should be determined and the changes over the years should be followed. Additionally, pathogen emergence, which is caused by *P. parva*, in the native fish populations should be investigated. These invasive fishes can be taken under biological control by the carnivorous fish species of the lake, *E. lucius* and *S. glanis*, and the waterfowls that put pressure on them. For this reason, prey-predator relationships should be revealed in the lake ecosystem. It is also pretty important to take the necessary precautions to prevent the existence of endemic and native fishes living in the lake from being threatened by invasive fish species.
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