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Microplastics, which serve as sources and vector transport of organic contaminants in both terrestrial and marine environments, are emerging micropollutants of increasing concern due to their potential harmful impacts on the environment, biota and human health. Microplastic particles have a higher affinity for hydrophobic organic contaminants due to their high surface area-to-volume ratio, particularly in aqueous conditions. However, recent findings have shown that the concentrations of organic contaminants adsorbed on microplastic surfaces, as well as their fate through vector distribution and ecological risks, are largely influenced by prevailing environmental factors and physicochemical properties in the aquatic environment. Therefore, this review article draws on scientific literature to discuss inherent polymers typically used in plastics and their affinity for different organic contaminants, as well as the compositions, environmental factors, and polymeric properties that influence their variability in sorption sorption. Some of the specific points discussed are (a) an appraisal of microplastic types, composition and their fate and vector transport in the environment; (b) a critical assessment of sorption mechanisms and major polymeric factors influencing organic contaminants-micro (nano) plastics
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INTRODUCTION

Plastic pollution in the terrestrial environment and marine ecosystems is pervasive and has evolved as an emerging global challenge due to exponentially increasing production rates, distribution and consumption of single-use plastics. Although plastics have significantly contributed to improvements in healthcare industry, building construction, electronics and contemporary human life in general, burgeoning build-up of improperly managed plastic wastes has raised concerns over their long-term health and ecotoxicological risks (Fahrenkamp-Uppenbrink, 2018; Ha and Yeo, 2018; Lei et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2018; Barletta et al., 2019; Besseling et al., 2019; Savoca et al., 2019; Adika et al., 2020; Benson and Fred-Ahmadu, 2020; Bakir et al., 2020; Ding et al., 2020; Everaert et al., 2020; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020a; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020b; Lu et al., 2020; Na et al., 2020; Wilcoxon et al., 2020; Wong et al., 2020; Xu and Chan, 2020). Microplastics (MPs; 0.1 µm—5 mm) and nanoplastics (NPs; <0.1 µm) are ubiquitous and can accumulate in marine and freshwater ecosystems and exposed organisms, carrying chemical contaminants that are capable of posing considerable threats to human health, environment and aquatic life (de Souza Machado et al., 2018; Hartmann et al., 2019; Benson and Fred-Ahmadu, 2020; Cole et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020). Micro (nano) plastics (MNPs) are generally speculated to have increased environmental and health threats to marine organisms primarily due to their small size, predicted ubiquitoussness, direct and indirect intake of plastic particles, bioavailability and enhanced concentrations of sorbed toxic chemicals (Setälä et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2018; Cole et al., 2020; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020a; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020b; Xu et al., 2020; Yu and Chan, 2020).

Primary MNPs are directly produced and could get into the environment through various sources like packaging in industrial processes, textiles, and personal care cosmetics, while secondary MNPs originate from the physico-chemical fragmentation of larger plastic particulates (Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020c; Menéndez-Pedriza and Jaumot, 2020). However, on escape into the environment, they get eroded into lakes, rivers, seas, and the ocean. They are pollutants that do not readily biodegrade, thus causing persistent pollution. Plastics comprise chemical additives that are usually not chemically bonded to the plastic particles molecules and are likely to get leached into the surrounding aqueous medium (Benson and Fred-Ahmadu, 2020; Wright and Kelly, 2017). Several additives, including UV-stabilizers, plasticizers, antioxidants, and flame retardants contained in plastics have been widely reported (Jang et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2019; Tanaka et al., 2019; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020a; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020b; Jeong and Choi, 2020; Xu et al., 2020).

Chemical additives such as esters of phthalic acids, which are commonly known as phthalate esters (PAEs) are ubiquitous, highly toxic, and commercially used as plasticizers for enhancing the durability, flexibility, lightness, and transparency of plastics (Xu et al., 2020; Zhang P. et al., 2019; Hahladakis et al., 2018; Avio et al., 2017; Kang et al., 2017). Chemical additives that are ubiquitous and can accumulate in marine and freshwater ecosystems and exposed organisms, carrying chemical contaminants that are capable of posing considerable threats to human health, environment and aquatic life (de Souza Machado et al., 2018; Hartmann et al., 2019; Benson and Fred-Ahmadu, 2020; Cole et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020). Microplastics (MPs; 0.1 µm—5 mm) and nanoplastics (NPs; <0.1 µm) are ubiquitous and can accumulate in marine and freshwater ecosystems and exposed organisms, carrying chemical contaminants that are capable of posing considerable threats to human health, environment and aquatic life (de Souza Machado et al., 2018; Hartmann et al., 2019; Benson and Fred-Ahmadu, 2020; Cole et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020). Micro (nano) plastics (MNPs) are generally speculated to have increased environmental and health threats to marine organisms primarily due to their small size, predicted ubiquitoussness, direct and indirect intake of plastic particles, bioavailability and enhanced concentrations of sorbed toxic chemicals (Setälä et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2018; Cole et al., 2020; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020a; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020b; Xu et al., 2020; Yu and Chan, 2020).

Primary MNPs are directly produced and could get into the environment through various sources like packaging in industrial processes, textiles, and personal care cosmetics, while secondary MNPs originate from the physico-chemical fragmentation of larger plastic particulates (Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020c; Menéndez-Pedriza and Jaumot, 2020). However, on escape into the environment, they get eroded into lakes, rivers, seas, and the ocean. They are pollutants that do not readily biodegrade, thus causing persistent pollution. Plastics comprise chemical additives that are usually not chemically bonded to the plastic particles molecules and are likely to get leached into the surrounding aqueous medium (Benson and Fred-Ahmadu, 2020; Wright and Kelly, 2017). Several additives, including UV-stabilizers, plasticizers, antioxidants, and flame retardants contained in plastics have been widely reported (Jang et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2019; Tanaka et al., 2019; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020a; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020b; Jeong and Choi, 2020; Xu et al., 2020).

Chemical additives such as esters of phthalic acids, which are commonly known as phthalate esters (PAEs) are ubiquitous, highly toxic, and commercially used as plasticizers for enhancing the durability, flexibility, lightness, and transparency of plastics (Xu et al., 2020; Zhang P. et al., 2019; Hahladakis et al., 2018; Avio et al., 2017; Kang et al., 2017). Chemical additives such as esters of phthalic acids, which are commonly known as phthalate esters (PAEs) are ubiquitous, highly toxic, and commercially used as plasticizers for enhancing the durability, flexibility, lightness, and transparency of plastics (Xu et al., 2020; Zhang P. et al., 2019; Hahladakis et al., 2018; Avio et al., 2017; Kang et al., 2017).
from the degradation of plastics into smaller pieces after plastics have entered the environment (Andrady, 2011). This breakdown could be fueled by biological, geological, physical and chemical degrading agents, including photodegradation caused by sunlight (UV-B) exposure (Eriksen et al., 2014; Ekvall et al., 2019; Jaikumar et al., 2019; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020a). Most microplastics in the marine environment are secondary microplastics (UNEP, 2015; da Costa et al., 2016). Microplastics of this type are usually from plastic water bottles, bags, electronic parts, and equipment made of polyethylene (Boucher and Friot, 2017). Moreover, both classes of microplastics are not easily degradable but could persist as organic-vector pollutants in the environment, causing havoc to aquatic life and humans. Microplastics can further degrade into much smaller sizes overtime, the smallest known to exist are 1.6 μm (6.3 × 10⁻⁵) in diameter, and report states they are frequently found in oceans (Hasenmueller et al., 2017). This process of breaking down large plastics into smaller ones is known as fragmentation. It is a crucial source of the uneven shape of microplastics (Grossman 2015).

On the other hand, nanoplastics (NPs) are polymer particles within the size range from 1 to 1000 nm that are unintentionally produced as a result of the degradation of microplastics, and are capable of exhibiting a colloidal behavior (Gigault et al., 2017, Gigault et al., 2018; Wagner and Reemtsma, 2019; Wahl et al., 2021). While degradation of aged-plastic or the fragmentation particles of especially macro- and/or microplastics is the principal mechanism of nanoplastics formation in the terrestrial and marine environments, NPs could be released from intentional channels such as manufacturing process, washing fibrous materials, plastic object usage (Bouwmeester et al., 2015; Gilgault et al., 2018; Hartmann et al., 2019; El Hadri et al., 2020). According to Gilgault et al. (2018) and El Hadri et al. (2020), NPs are mono- and polydispersed mix of several polymeric substances that are capable of undergoing heteroaggregation with various natural and man-made species including metal (loids), organic molecules and metal oxides during the process of formation and transformation in the environment. Although there are very limited studies on the sorption potentials and the sparse information associating chemical additives and organic pollutants with NPs, a recent study has suggested that the relatively smaller size, morphology, enhanced surface reactivity and diffusion properties could influence the sorption behavior of NPs (El Hadri et al., 2020; Wahl et al., 2021).

Sorption of organic compounds on MNPs increases the likelihood of these particulates being transported further into the environment and also may portend complex ecological and health effects. The capacity for different plastic polymers to adsorb organic compounds varies with type structures, surface area (Teuten et al., 2007), size (Koelmans et al., 2013), and crystallinity (Rusina et al., 2007). However, the sorption capacity of organic compounds to microplastics varies with chemical and physical conditions of environment like temperature, salinity, hydrophobicity, diffusivity (Rusina et al., 2007; Karapanagioti and Klontza, 2008; Smedes et al., 2009).

This paper provides a detailed overview of the current state of knowledge on the potential environmental impacts of micro (nano) plastics and elucidates their interactions with emerging organic contaminants. The identification of specific sorption mechanisms and environmental factors could help us better understand the possible risks associated with contaminant bioavailability and long-distance transport in aquatic and terrestrial environments. Furthermore, understanding the possibilities of desorption of organic compounds into the marine environment after they have been transported by
microplastics and the time it takes could also help weigh the impacts of plastic marine debris on the marine environment and its organisms. In order to achieve this, we conducted a thorough search on Scopus and Google Scholar for the terms “microplastics”, “nanoplastics”, “plastic pollution”, “plastic marine pollution”, “plastic additives”, “marine litters”, “sorption in plastics”, and “plastic interaction with organic contaminants.” A search for sorption mechanisms in connection with flame retardants, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, pharmaceuticals and personal care products, and stabilizers was also conducted. We discovered that between 2007 and 2020, the number of peer-reviewed articles rose year after year (Figure 1). We also observed that about 28 peer-reviewed articles provided a descriptive overview of studies on the interactions of microplastics with organic and toxic inorganic chemicals.

The mechanisms and factors regulating the interactions and sorption of microplastics onto hydrophobic organic contaminants (HOCs) have been widely studied, with many articles documenting the findings. The microplastics-organic contaminants interaction is essential for predicting the fate and vector transport of microplastics in the environment. As a result, the sorbent-sorbent partitioning mechanism provides supporting information for determining the behavior of HOCs on microplastic surfaces, as well as their potential interactions (Atugoda et al., 2020; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020c). Organic micropollutant sorption onto micro (nano) plastics has been shown to be a function of plastic type as well as their properties (Guo et al., 2019). For example, the sorption of HOCs such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons onto polyethylene (PE) microplastic pellets have been found to have a proportional relationship with the octanol-water partition coefficients (K_{ow}) (Fries and Zaril, 2012). Microplastic composition and properties and surface charge have also been shown to play important roles in regulating their ability to sorb organic contaminants (Wang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018b). Furthermore, pH, ionic strength, salinity, sorbate concentration, and dissolved organic matter have been reported to play a significant role in the adsorption of HOCs onto microplastics (Guo et al., 2019; Atugoda et al., 2020).

To date, no literature reviews dedicated entirely to provide a broader analysis of HOCs-microplastic interactions and the evaluation of associated underlying environmental conditions and sorbate-sorbent assumptions in order to explicate the partitioning of organic contaminants based on kinetic and isothermal models. Therefore, this review aims to objectively examine and summarize existing findings and knowledge on the interactions of microplastics and HOCs. The specific objectives of this review were to appraise and synthesize existing scientific information about: a) microplastic types, composition and their fate and vector transport in the environment; b) the sorption mechanisms and major polymeric factors influencing organic contaminants-micro (nano) plastics (MNPs) interactions; c) the sorption capacities of organic chemical contaminants to MNPs in terms of polymeric sorption characteristics including hydrophobicity, van der Waals forces, π–π bond, electrostatic, and hydrogen bond interactions; and d) the sorption mechanisms and dynamics behind microplastics-organic contaminants interactions using kinetic and isothermal models.

**MICROPLASTICS: TYPES, COMPOSITION AND THEIR ENVIRONMENTAL FATE**

Plastics are composed of long-chain polymers that are bound together by additives. Microplastics of various major shapes, including fragments, foams, pellets, films, fibers, and microbeads, are ubiquitous in both aquatic and terrestrial environments and are extensively used by humans for a variety of applications. Microfibres and microbeads are mostly secondary microplastics that are formed as a result of clothing washing. Microplastic pollution in aquatic ecosystems is mainly caused by the abundance of fibers, fragments, films, foams, microbeads, and pellets, which marine organisms can ingest and also have the potential for long-distance transport.

**Microfibres**

Polyesters, polyamides (e.g. nylon), polypropylenes and polycrylonitrile (e.g. acrylic) are polymers used to produce microfibres. They make up 71% of the total microplastic in the Great Lakes, and they have ranked the third-largest microplastic pollutant (by weight) in the ocean. They are secondary microplastics that enter the environment from clothing, diapers, and cigarette butts. Microfibres enter the environment through a variety of ways, one of which is abrasive action on synthetic fishing gear and marine ropes (Welden and Cowie, 2017). Research funded by Patagonia shows that 2,000 microfibres get into our waterways by washing a fleece jacket just once and synthetic textiles can lose between 0.7 and 1.3 g of microplastic fibers per wash or over 100,000 microplastic fibers per wash (Kalčíková et al., 20117). They also quickly enter water bodies through wastewater discharged from domestic and industrial washing machines or breakdown of plastic-based textiles during production or use. According to the report, about 40% of microfibres are estimated not to be filtered out at wastewater treatment plants, thus they could find their way into ocean and lakes. Sometimes, sewage sludge could entrap microfibres from treatment plants which are often used for fertilizers, thus, causing soil pollution and can eventually be eroded by rain or wind into the marine environment (Kalčíková et al., 2017; Jemec et al., 2016). They are non-biodegradable and usually become persistent pollutants that not only clog sewage drains but can be harmful to aquatic life.

**Microbeads**

Microbeads are plastic particles that are less than 1 mm in diameter. They are primary microplastics intentionally added to personal care products like facial cleansers, exfoliating soaps, toothpaste, and cosmetics (Leslie, 2014). Polyethylene, polystyrene, polypropylene, polyactic acid and polyethylene terephthalate are synthetic polymers used to make microbeads.
(Norwegian Environmental Agency, 2014). Microbeads exist as needs to replace natural ingredients in cosmetic increased along the years and is reported by the United Nations Environment Program to first appear in personal products 50 years ago. They are tiny enough to easily pass through water filtration systems (GESAMP, 2015) ending up in the ocean and lakes where they clog the digestive tracts of fishes. A recent study has indicated that up to 94,000 minuscule beads can be flushed down the drain each time someone uses a facial or body wash containing microbeads. Recent work by Napper et al. (2015) showed that microbeads extracted from cosmetics products have the potentials to adsorb organic pollutants. A study by Napper et al. (2015) also revealed a sorption capacity of PE found in microbeads.

**Fragments**

Fragments are the most common type of microplastics. They originate from the breakdown of larger pieces of plastics into smaller pieces after they are discarded into the environment, thus, secondary microplastics (Eriksen et al., 2014). They are commonly from pieces of cutlery, lids, or single-use product made of polyethylene. Studies that identify the onset of fragmentation are quite little. However, a study shows that fragmentation of polyethylene films occurs through weathering and other processes, but most likely on the subject of plastic to UV radiation in the presence of atmospheric oxygen (Kalogerakis et al., 2017). Fragments are tiny in size and can be mistaken for food or prey by fishes, and most of the ingested plastics found in the digestive tracts of fishes are in the form of fragments (Lusher et al., 2013).

**Nurdles**

Nurdles, also known as pellets, are the second most common type of microplastic found in freshwater (Mason et al., 2020). They are the primary units that make up almost every plastic product. Polyethylene, polypropylene, polystyrene, and polyvinylchloride are synthetic polymers used to produce nurdles. They mostly escape into the environment during shipping or transfer periods, after which they erode into water bodies, before getting washed ashore on a beach. The Environmental Protection Agency, in the early 1990s recognized nurdles as life-threatening products to fishes and wildlife. Nurdles are sponge-like materials that absorb toxins like DDT (dichloro-diphenyl trichloromethane) and PCBs (polychlorinated biphenyls) and can cause further defects upon ingestion by fishes.

**Foams**

Foams are lightweight microplastics commonly used in food containers, cups, and packing materials. The primary polymer present in foams is polystyrene. They are bonded by chemicals that can be released into food, especially when heated, thus exposing human health to danger. Foams just like other types of microplastics can act as carriers of hydrophilic pollutants such as antibiotics (Zhou et al., 2017), and organophosphorus esters and phthalates due to their porosity (Zhang et al., 2018a).

**PHYSISORPTION, CHEMISORPTION AND MAJOR FACTORS GOVERNING OF SORPTION OF ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS TO MICRO (NANO) PLASTICS**

It has been reported that MNPs are capable of serving as vectors for transporting hydrophobic organic microcontaminants such as polypbrominated diethers (PBDs), polyfluorinated alkyls (PFAS), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), phthalates surfactants, personal care products (PCPs), pharmaceuticals (tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, sertraline, propranolol, and sulfamethoxazole) in terrestrial and aquatic environments (Guo and Wang, 2019; Atugoda et al., 2020; Benson and Fred-Ahmadou, 2020; Puckowski et al., 2021). The adhesion of these contaminants to microplastics in the environment is a function the high surface area to volume ratio and hydrophobicity of microplastic particles. Furthermore, the degree of adsorption has been shown by various studies to depend on the polymeric properties of microplastics including polymer type, particle size, surface area, charge, crystallinity, compound-specific factors, and environmental factors including pH, salinity, ionic strength, and dissolved organic matter (Li et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2019; Atugoda et al., 2020).

The strong interactions between these contaminants and microplastics are largely associated with the prevailing sorption mechanisms. Sorption is an important process that governs the fate and transport or partitioning of organic pollutants between solid microplastic particles and water. It facilitates the accumulation of molecules of contaminants (adsorbate molecules) in contact with microplastics (adsorbent) in aquatic or terrestrial environments. The degree of interaction by which the adsorbates molecules are accumulated onto the adsorbents defines the nature and type of adsorption. Furthermore, the mechanism can either be classified as physisorption (physical adsorption) or chemisorption (chemical adsorption). Physisorption is the adsorption in which the molecular interactions between the adsorbate molecules and the adsorbent are primarily governed by van der Waals forces whereas chemisorption involves the valence forces of the kind found in the formation of chemical contaminants. Worded differently, chemisorption is the adsorption that takes place if there are chemical bonds between adsorbate molecules and the adsorbent.

Physisorption is non-specific in nature and is generally regarded as a weak, reversible process governed by competitive adsorption and desorption which takes place at different rates at the heterogeneous surface of the microplastics (Heinrich et al., 2020). According to a report by Atugoda et al., 2020 on the adsorptive molecular interaction between polyethylene microplastics and ciprofloxacin molecules, it was observed that the adsorbate molecules were accumulated and adsorbed onto the heterogeneous polymeric surface of the polyethylene microplastics through weak van der Waal’s forces and electrostatic interactions. This implies that the adsorption mechanism between the molecules of the adsorbate and adsorbent were governed primarily by physisorption accompanied by partitioning into
the porous monolayer surface of the microplastics (Atugoda et al., 2020). In general, aliphatic polymers including polyethylene and polypropylene microplastics particularly have adsorbate-adsorbent molecular interactions through the van der Waals forces facilitated primarily by the non-specific functional groups (Hüffer et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018). On the other hand, chemisorption is irreversible and very specific in nature, and depends primarily on the proportionality of the surface area (Zhang et al., 2018b). The following are the major polymeric properties of microplastics affecting the sorption of organic contaminants.

**Plastic Polymers: Types and Composition**

The composition of plastics includes synthetic polymers which are made of units called monomers and can have average molecular weights up to several million (GESAMP, 2015). Polymers have long chain-like molecules with robust Van der Waal attractive forces between them, and these chain-like molecules contribute to properties such as strength and fracture toughness, which also makes them degradable to a limited extent (Andrady, 2011). Chain length also influences melting point and physical properties like glass transition temperature \(T_g\) of a polymer. Polymers are categorized either as rubber-like polymers or glass-like polymers based on their glass transition temperature (Hüffer and Hofmann, 2016). Glass-like polymers have relatively rigid chains, and an example is a polystyrene. In contrast, rubber-like polymers like polyethylene have chains that have a high degree of flexibility and lower \(T_g\) which contribute to their environmental fate and sorption capacity (Ten Hulscher and Cornelissen, 1996; Rogers, 2018).

The structural properties of a polymer that influence their sorption capacity are surface area, acid-base character, or molecular chain arrangements which contribute to polymer crystallinity.

In general, it is imperative to note that during plastic sorption (chemisorption and physisorption) of chemical pollutants, the properties of the plastic polymer (micro- and nanoplastics) coupled with various factors of the environmental matrix could play major roles in modulating the sorption rate (Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020a; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020b). The polymer types and properties, environmental factors and sorption characteristics that could assist in furthering our understanding of the mechanisms influencing the sorption processes is presented in Figure 2 below. Overall, sorption depends on the type of organic compound being studied and the weathering process the polymer might have gone through in the environment which affects size of the polymer and influences sorption.

**Polymer Size and Surface Area**

In addition to polymer type, polymer size is a factor that plays a major role in sorption process of organic pollutants unto micro and nanoplastics. Plastic polymer size determines the surface area available for uptake of organic pollutants (Holmes et al., 2012; Wang and Wang, 2018). While sorption on plastic polymers of the same size would depend on the polymer type as shown in study by Rogers (2018), where PE-10 had a higher sorption capacity than PS-10 In contrast, polymer size is an important determining factor of sorption process for plastic polymer with different sizes. Studies have shown that nanoplastics which are smaller in size have a higher sorption capacity than microplastics because of the presence of higher specific surface area. However, further decrease in polymer size could result in aggregation of plastic polymer particles, thus causing an overall decline in
specific surface area of available adsorption sites as shown in study by Wang et al., 2019.

**Polymer Crystallinity**

Earlier X-ray diffraction analysis of polymers showed that plastic polymers have structures that vary between ideally crystalline solids and liquid-like amorphous materials. Synthetic organic polymers are categorized into three major structure types on the basis of the different arrangements of their molecular chains. These are crystalline, semi-crystalline and amorphous polymers (Zhao et al., 2020). They consist of numerous carbon chains randomly distributed through the solid structure and linked by the intermediate amorphous areas (Kavesh and Schultz, 1969; Frost et al., 2009). The degree of crystallinity of a plastic polymer is attributed to these polymer carbon chains and their arrangement. A more ordered and fixed carbon chains suggest a higher degree of crystallinity which hinders movement of organic compound molecules, because of higher energy required for movement, resulting in lower sorption capacity of such polymer (Karapanagioti and Klontza, 2008). A lower degree of crystallinity however, suggests an amorphous structure where carbon chains are disordered, disorderliness in carbon arrangement promotes free movement of organic compounds molecules around the structure of the polymer hence influencing a greater sorption (Hartmann et al., 2017). According to reports, the crystallinity and rubbery domains of micro (nano) plastics are capable of influencing the sorption of organic chemical contaminants to MNPs (Rochman et al., 2013a; Hüffer and Hofmann, 2016; Zhao et al., 2020).

THE SORPTION MECHANISMS OF ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS TO MICRO (NANO) PLASTICS

**Hydrophobicity**

This is the inability of both microplastics and organic pollutants to dissolve in water, thus having affinity for each other. Hydrophobic interaction is one of the predominant sorption mechanisms influencing the sorption of micropollutants especially undissociated hydrophobic organic contaminants (HOCs) to micro (nano) plastics (Llorca et al., 2018; Liu G. et al., 2019; Tourinho et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2019). The hydrophobic nature of microplastics contributes significantly to the sorption of organic pollutants on MPs, and hydrophobic interactions are generally associated with the aggregation of nonpolar molecules to nonpolar micro (nano) plastics in an aqueous medium (Hüffer and Hofmann, 2016; Liu F. F. et al., 2019; Tourinho et al., 2019). In general, hydrophobic interaction would usually increase with the organic pollutant-water partition coefficient of the sorbate (Zhao et al., 2020). The organic pollutants partition between the aqueous phase and the MPs is explained to be characterized by the linear sorption isotherm (Zhu and Chen, 2000). Some studies have reported that the sorption capacity of HOCs such as 17β-estradiol (E2) and antibiotics is largely regulated by the chemical structures (amorphous nature and crystallinity) of the polar and nonpolar MNPs (Zhao et al., 2020; Liu G. et al., 2019; Li et al., 2018). In broad terms, increased sorption capacity has been generally observed for the polar MNPs in comparison with relatively low capacity indicated for the regular nonpolar MPs (Zhao et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2018). However, NPs have been shown to have strong hydrophobicity and enhanced sorption capacity to HOCs owing to its increasing surface area ratio associated with fragmentation and other weathering processes (Yu et al., 2019). Thus, chemical contaminants with high hydrophobicity are generally prone to exhibit high adsorption affinity to micro (nano) plastics (Razanajatovo et al., 2018).

**Van der Waals Force**

This is the relatively weak electric force between the plastic polymers and the organic compounds that allow them adhere to each other. It describes the attraction of intermolecular forces between molecules. Sorption process usually stem from van der Waals interaction when they cannot be explained by either electrostatic interaction or hydrophobic interaction (Wang et al., 2020). The sorption of chemical contaminants by aliphatic polymeric sorbents such as polyethylene microplastics and polyvinyl chloride takes place through van der Waals interactions (Hüffer and Hofmann, 2016; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020a; Torres et al., 2021).

**The Pi-Pi (π–π) Bond Interaction**

This interaction contributes to the sorption capacity of plastic polymers that have benzene rings in their structure (Tourinho et al., 2019). The π–π electron—donor—acceptor interaction is a noncovalent attraction between an electron donor and an electron acceptor either of which could belong to the microplastic or the organic pollutant (Figure 2). In biomedical field and biotechnology, the π–π stacking interactions are widely employed in loading biological and chemical drugs into delivery systems for controlled release in environmental-responsive systems (Zhuang et al., 2019). Hüffer and Hofmann (2016) reported that the enhanced adsorption of pharmaceutical molecules on polystyrene microplastics could be linked to the strong interaction between the aromatic moiety of the polymeric particles (sorbent) and the conjugated π cloud of the aromatic structure of the adsorbate contaminants. Furthermore, it has been reported that the intermolecular binding between Naphthalene (NAP) and naphthalene derivative molecules 1-Methylnaphthalene NAP-CH₃, 1-Naphthoic acid NAP-COOH, 1-Naphthol NAP-OH, and 1-Naphthylamine (NAP-NH₂) with polystyrene microplastics occurred mainly through the π–π interaction. However, the strength of the π–π interaction was primarily governed by the presence of functional groups in NAP derivatives (Liu L. et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2020). Additionally, the π–π interactions have been reported to play major role in the sorption of diclofenac, ibuprofen, and naproxen on polystyrene microplastics (Elizaúde-Velázquez et al., 2020), the sorption of phenanthrene and pyrene on polyurethane microplastics (Zhao et al., 2020), and the sorption of ciprofloxacin, sulfadiazine, and trimethoprim on polystyrene microplastics (Li et al., 2018).
Electrostatic Interaction

Another important and predominant mechanism that governs the sorption of micropollutants to MNPs is the electrostatic interaction, which has been widely reported by many studies (Wang et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018; Tourinho et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019). Electrostatic interaction owes to the electric charges that exist between a plastic polymer and an organic compound. Electrostatic sorption occurs when a plastic polymer comes in contact with an organic compound or attracts molecules with an electric charge opposite that of its own, while an electrostatic repulsion occurs when both plastic polymer and organic compound have the same electric charge (Wang et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018). The medium pH and the pH of point of zero charge (pH_{pzc}) are significant contributing factors to electrostatic interaction. In general, the adsorbent is negatively charged when the pH is higher than the pH_{pzc} (Mato et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2018b; Tourinho et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019). In contrast, the adsorbent is positively charged when the pH is lower than the pH_{pzc} (Wang et al., 2020). According to a study by Razanajatovo et al. (2018), conducted to evaluate the sorption and desorption of three pharmaceuticals including sertraline, propranolol, and sulfamethoxazole on polyethylene (PE) microplastics in aqueous environment, it was indicated that electrostatic forces played a major role in the sorption process. It was reported that the pH_{pzc} of the PE was lower than the medium pH and accordingly indicated a negatively surface charge on the microplastic particle. Thus, the negatively charged molecule of the surface of the polymeric substance (PE) attracted the oppositely charged of the pharmaceuticals, and therefore increased the rate of absorption of the micropollutants unto the microplastic surface (Razanajatovo et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018). Conversely, lower sorption capacity is likely associated with electrostatic repulsion between the negative charges of microplastics and contaminants that exhibit anionic characteristics (Razanajatovo et al., 2018; Tourinho et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019). Generally, the electrostatic repulsion or sorption (attraction) between charged molecules of contaminants and net surface charge on polymers is primarily influenced by three key factors including the medium pH, the pH of point of zero charge of the MPs, and the acid dissociation constant associated with the micropollutant (Razanajatovo et al., 2018; Tourinho et al., 2019; Puckowski et al., 2021).

Hydrogen Bond Interaction

This is another factor that contributes to surface sorption on microplastics. Hydrogen bond interaction is one that involves hydrogen atoms located in microplastics and organic compound. The presence of proton donor and proton acceptor groups influence attraction between organic compound and MPs (Tourinho et al., 2019). It is a bond that is weaker than an ionic or covalent bond, but stronger than van der Waals force (Figure 3). Zhang S. et al. (2019) has reported that specific hydrogen bonding interaction solely influences the sorption of naphthalene on polyuria microplastics.

POLYMERS TYPES AND ASSOCIATED SORPTION MECHANISMS

The six most commonly produced polymers are polyethylene (PE), high-density polyethylene (HDPE), low-density polyethylene (LDPE), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polystyrene (PS) and polypropylene (PP) (Andrady, 2011; Rochman et al., 2013a).

Polyethylene (PE)

Polyethylene is the most widely used plastic with a global production of about 80 million tons (Merrington, 2011). It comes in low-density polyethylene (LDPE) and high-density polyethylene (HDPE) that differ in their susceptibility to weathering and fragmentation. These differences play a
significant role in their fate, behavior and ecological impact in the environment (Andrady, 2011). The LDPE is soft and ductile with a density of 0.910–0.925 g/cm³ and a molecular weight of 40,000 Da (Kurtz and Manley, 2009). On the other hand, HDPE has a density of 0.959–0.965 g/cm³ and a molecular weight of 100,000–250,000 Da (Kurtz and Manley, 2009). PE is a thermoplastic that is reusable, cost-effective, and finds application in films for plastic bags, bottles, milk carton lining, bowls, and buckets, thus widely used and quickly released into the environment. A study has showed that PE has a high sorption capability that stems from its enhanced diffusivity due to their larger free volume, greater flexibility, and mobility that allows organic compounds to diffuse quickly through them (Pascall et al., 2005). Additionally, Razanajatovo et al. (2018) reported that PE made microplastics adsorb compounds with high hydrophobicity readily. A report by Uber et al. (2019) shows that sorption of organic compounds onto HDPE is usually described by both absorption and adsorption process because of the glassy properties of this polymer and amorphous segments of its structure. However, sorption on LDPE, a rubbery polymer is controlled by its density and low crystallinity (van Krevelen et al., 2009). Consequently, more side branches imply higher amount of free volume within its chain network and higher sites for organic compounds to adhere onto (Peacock, 2000).

**Polypropylene (PP)**

Polypropylene belongs to the polyolefin family and is considered one of the most versatile of all polymers as not only does it find robust applications both as fiber and plastic, but it is also highly recyclable. The utilization of PP includes the production of materials like kitchen utensils, athletic apparel, rugs, and car batteries. PP like PE is a rubbery polymer with higher diffusivity that enhances sorption (Bakir et al., 2012). Polypropylene is the second most widely produced plastic polymer after polyethylene and has a slightly higher chemical resistance than PE (Kenneth et al., 2005). It is created by polymerization of polypropylene gas, obtained from high temperature cracking of petroleum hydrocarbons and propane (Shanmuganathan and Ellison, 2014). Its high flexibility is another property that contributes to its sorption capacity (Koerner and Koerner, 2018). It has a low density and high thermal stability. Furthermore, PP has a lower surface area that influences lower sorption capacity when compared with PE (Fotopoulou and Karapanagioti, 2012). Aging and weathering processes lead to increase of this polymer surface area and effective diffusivity, however, introduction of oxygen-containing groups during weathering process increases polarity of the surface, thus eventually decreasing its sorption capacity (Endo et al., 2005; Zhan et al., 2016).

**Polystyrene (PS)**

Polystyrene is the most widely used aromatic thermoplastic polymer (Lynwood, 2014), with a global production capacity of 15.5 million metric tons in 2018 and might have a slight increase reaching 15.56 million metric tons by 2023. They are non-polar, like PE (Hüffer and Hofmann, 2016). Naturally transparent and available as foam materials used in packaging and solid plastics used in medical devices. Generally, their uses include protective packaging, containers, lids, bottles, trays, tumblers, and disposable cutlery. The PS just like other polymers are non-biodegradable as they contain carbon to carbon bonds that cannot be broken by microorganisms. However, recent studies show that some organisms can degrade PS, albeit very slowly (Ho et al., 2017). A research in 2015 showed that mealworms, the larva form of the darkling beetle Tenebrio Molitor could digest expanded PS (Yang et al., 2015). Aumentado also reported that super worms Zophobas Morio in comparison to Tenebrio Molitor consume more significant amounts of EPS over more extended periods. The π-electron system (Nakano, 2010) and amorphous structure (Frick and Stern, 2013) of PS contribute to its high sorption capacity.

**Polyesters (PES)**

Polyesters are synthetic fiber derived from coal and petroleum. They find the most use in textile industries, food packaging, and the manufacturing of plastic bottles due to their lightweight, durability, and resilience. They make up fiber products that do not significantly get recycled, thus having a higher probability of ending up in the marine environment (Dris et al., 2017). Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) is a plastic polymer that belongs in the polyester group. The presence of positive functional group and crystalline structure increases the sorption capacity of PET (Miandad et al., 2018). The film, intra-particle diffusion, hydrophobic interaction and pH of solution are some of the significant factors that contribute to the sorption capacity of PET (Liu G. et al., 2020). It is commonly used as container for water and packaging products like beverages, food, cosmetic products, and pharmaceutical products. Studies have shown that adsorption on PET plastic polymer is usually accompanied by change in Tg and degree of crystallinity which results in swelling of the polymer. Sorption on PET decreases with increase in organic compound present and is also dependent on the chemical’s microstructure, meaning that sorption also varies with degree of crystallinity which in turn varies with change in temperature (Limam et al., 2005). Data from study by Limam et al. (2005) illustrated that diffusion coefficient increases with increase in temperature, but decreases with increase in degree of crystallinity.

**Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC)**

Polyvinyl chloride is recorded to be one of the most widely produced polymers. It comes third to polyethylene and polypropylene (Allsopp and Vianello, 2000). It is frequently employed in the production of various materials that are widely used in homes, offices, and schools around the world, thus making them abundant in the environment. They have sponge-like properties that enable them to soak up persistent organic pollutants (POPs). Research shows that PVC has a lower sorption capacity for POPs compared to other polymers; Rodrigues pointed out that the size and shape of PVC polymer particles contribute to its lower sorption capacity. They have glass-like properties that make them more condensed and present higher cohesive force. These properties...
lead to a reduction in desorption rates as it creates stronger adsorption of organic compounds to the adsorption sites (Rodrigues et al., 2019). However, investigation of sorption process of tylosin; a PPCP on PE, PP, PS and PVC by Guo et al. (2018) revealed that PVC had the highest sorption capacity for tylosin. The high sorption can be attributed to the hydrophilic property of tylosin.

**ORGANIC POLLUTANTS AND THEIR INTERACTIONS WITH MICRO (NANO) PLASTICS**

Recent studies have shown that microplastics are susceptible to contamination by organic compounds after long term exposure and interaction. Sorption is influenced by not only the properties of plastic polymers but also that of the organic compound getting sorbed. These properties include hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity, the surface charge, and the functional groups (Liu Y. et al., 2020). A research by Hirai et al. (2011) showed that fragments (10 mm) taken from remote and urban beaches contained polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane and its metabolites (DDTs), polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), alkylphenols and bisphenol. PAH and PCBs were suspected to have been sorbed from seawater and this was owed to the hydrophobicity of the organic pollutants. Microplastics have been suggested by recent studies to act as sinks or sources of organic pollutants. According to regulatory bodies, organic compounds are priority pollutants when they are persistent, accumulate in living organisms, and are toxic. These bodies include the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), the American Institute for Environment and Health (AIEH), and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), the European Union (EU). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), organochlorinated pesticides (OCP) polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), organophosphorus esters, and phthalate esters (PAEs) are some of these priority pollutants. These persistent organic pollutants (POP) are synthetic organic compounds found in both marine and terrestrial environments (Rios et al., 2007; Benson et al., 2020). They are hydrophobic and lipophilic compounds, that partition firmly to solids in the aquatic system and partition to lipids in organisms. The various types of these chemical additives present in plastics and their interactions with micro- and nanoplastics are depicted in Figure 4.

**Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)**

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are pollutants associated with petroleum and arise from incomplete combustion of organic materials. (Lima et al., 2005). They occur naturally in crude oil, the ingredient for plastic; thus, the plastic being a source of PAHs is expected. PAHs can also act as a sink for PAHs, due to the hydrophobic nature that does not allow them to dissolve in water, but rather associate with particles (Lima et al., 2005). This is why plastics can be used as measures of PAHs in seawater indirectly (Reitsma et al., 2013). It is well known that PAHs are largely sorbed onto polyethylene (Lohmann, 2012), and polyurethane foam (Dmitrienko et al., 2001). PAHs on ingestion cause short terms effects such as eye irritation, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and confusion. The long-term effects of exposure to PAHs include jaundice, cataracts, kidney, and liver damage (Illinois Department of Public Health).

---

**FIGURE 4 | Organic chemical contaminants and chemical additives adsorbed to plastics.**
occurrence in the marine environment. The results showed that particle sizes were more massive than PS-10 as shown by PS-10, irrespective of temperature. This is reflected by PE-10 particle sizes being more massive than PS-10 as shown by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), a higher distribution of sorbed phenanthrene, and the result suggested significant desorption rate, thus, confirming the toxicity of phenanthrene sorbed PE. In addition, Rochman et al. (2013b) examined polystyrene (PS) as a source and a sink for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the marine environment. This research was conducted to study the amount of PAHs associated with virgin PS, sorption of PAHs on PS in the marine environment, and the concentration of PAHs on different types of plastic debris. The results suggested that polystyrene particles are vectors for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, i.e. PAHs are associated with PS debris through absorption and manufacturing. The sorption of PAHs on PS was compared to the sorption on the five most common polymers high-density polyethylene (HDPE), low-density polyethylene (LDPE), polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polypropylene (PP) and polyvinyl chloride (PVC). It was discovered that PS sorbed higher concentrations of PAHs than PP, PET, and PVC, similar to HDPE and LDPE.

Rogers (2018) investigated the sorption of 1,3-dimethyl naphthalene, phenanthrene, and fluoranthene on polyethylene (PE), polystyrene (PS), and polyesters (PES). It was observed that 1,3-dimethyl naphthalene has a higher solubility in water than phenanthrene and fluoranthene indicating that a higher amount of 1,3-dimethyl naphthalene remains in the water and lesser quantity is sorbed on the microplastics. Emily stated that sorption of phenanthrene and fluoranthene to microplastics could save marine organisms from the toxicity the PAHs present because where adsorption is possible, there will be a lesser concentration of PAH readily available in the marine environment as they would have been picked up by the microplastics instead. A possible solution will be capturing the microplastics in the ocean, with the PAHs sorbed to them. While this appears to be a good argument, one can add that high solubility of 1,3-dimethyl naphthalene in the aquatic system rather than its sorption on microplastics indicates limited long-range transport of 1,3-dimethyl naphthalene to the marine environment which in turn reduces the bioavailability of this particular PAH to marine organisms.

The metrics mass and surface area in agreement with other studies showed that PE-10 had a higher sorption capacity than PS-10, irrespective of temperature. This is reflected by PE-10 particle sizes being more massive than PS-10 as shown by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), a higher distribution coefficient ($K_d$), and the possible ability of PE to change form and be more receptive of PAHs. Unfortunately, little could be concluded from the results of the study about the sorption of PAHs to polyesters (PES).

Sørensen et al. (2020), studied the relative bioavailability of MP-sorbed PAHs (fluoranthene and phenanthrene) to two marine copepod species (*Acartia tonsa* and *Calanus finmarchicus*) under co-exposure conditions representing those occurring in the marine environment. The results showed that adsorption occurred more at lower temperatures and for smaller microplastics with sizes 10 μm, while absorption was dominant for microplastics with sizes 100 μm. Results indicated that only free dissolved PAHs were significantly bioavailable to copepods under co-exposure conditions with MP-sorbed PAHs because there was a decrease in the concentration of free PAHs in the water as more MPs were introduced. Research by Teuten et al. (2007) showed that amongst the three polymers investigated which were PE, PP and PVC, PE had the highest sorption capacity for phenanthrene and sorption followed the order PE > PP > PVC. Sorption of PAHs was higher for polyethylene microplastic than for polystyrene microplastic of the same size. This finding is supported by previous studies where the sorption of PAHs to PS and PE particles of comparable sizes was investigated (Wang et al., 2018; Wang and Wang, 2018). The greater segmental mobility and free volume in the molecular segments of polyethylene capable of facilitating solute diffusion into the polymer may be contributing factors to its higher PAH sorption capacity than polystyrene (Pascall et al., 2005; Karapanagioti and Klontza, 2008).

**Polyhalogenated Compounds**

Organochlorides are organic compounds that have at least one covalently bonded atom of chlorine. They are compounds with numerous useful applications but are of environmental and health concerns (Rossberg et al., 2000). They are naturally occurring in bacteria, plants, and animals from which they could be isolated. They include dioxins produced during burning as well as chloromethane that find their way into the environment by biological decomposition, forest fire, and volcanoes. Organochlorides have been banned in North America and Europe due to their toxicity, but they still find use in the production of Organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) and Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). OCPs are highly mobile, and traces have been found in Antarctica, their toxicity varies according to their molecular size, volatility, and effects on the central nervous system, they also accumulate in food chains, causing reproductive problems. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) are another example of polyhalogenated compounds, structurally akin to PCBs consisting of two halogenated aromatic rings. The levels at which they are found in households are capable of reducing fertility in humans (Harley et al., 2010) which is why they have attracted scrutiny. Their industrial production is restricted under the Stockholm Convention.

Allen et al. (2018), studied the variations in sorption of organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) across low-density polyethylene (LDPE), high-density polyethylene (HDPE), polypropylene (PP), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polystyrene (PS), and polyethylene terephthalate (PET). Results showed that sorption rates for each contaminant varied widely. Among the six plastic polymers, LDPE showed the highest sorption for OCPs while both LDPE and PVC showed the highest sorption rate for PCBs. Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) showed the lowest sorption for both OCPs and PCBs. Compared to other similar studies, higher sorption capacity of PVC could be attributed to the increase in its surface area due to the filing of microplastic during preparation and
crystallinity as a result of lack of weathering. The glassy feature of PET and PVC could, however, result in their lower sorption capacity for an organic contaminant. In contrast, the rubbery feature of LDPE and HDPE’s contribute to their high sorption capacity.

Except for PVC, these results are similar to experimentally derived plastic-water partition coefficients summarized by other studies (O’Connor et al., 2014; O’Connor et al., 2016) for a variety of PCBs and other chemicals, e.g. LDPE ≈ HDPE ≥ PP > PVC ≈ PS. Field studies in the marine environment by Rochman et al., 2013a also observed relatively low concentrations of PCBs sorbed to PVC and PET, with higher concentrations of PCBs and PAHs found in LDPE, HDPE, and PP. Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) being with the lowest sorption capacity. Scutariu et al. (2019) examined the sorption processes of organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) on PET. Results showed that adsorption followed the order; DDT class (52–58%) > heptachlor class (23%) > endosulfan class (6–15%) > endrin class (4–12%) > HCHs isomers class (2–8%). A study by Rocha-Santos and Duarte, 2017 also showed that DDT, DDD, and DDE compounds exhibited the highest degree of adsorption on PET.

According to Liu Z. et al., 2020 result in the investigation of chlorophenols on PET showed that the adsorption process by PET is controlled by film and intra-particle diffusion. Hydrophobic interaction was the primary mechanism of adsorption, but solution pH also played a significant factor in adsorption capacity. Xu et al. (2019) sorption study of polybrominated diphenyl ethers on PA, PE, PP, and PS resulted in adsorption that followed the order PS > PA > PP > PE. The sorption process and capacity owed to the different crystallinity, specific surface area, and surface structure of the microplastics.

Phthalate Esters (PAEs)
Phthalate esters (PAEs) are esters of phthalic acids and chemical additives used in the production of plastics, making plastics a source of PAEs in the environment. They are used in enhancing flexibility, durability, longevity, and transparency of plastics. However, they are not chemically bound to the polymers of these plastics and can get leached into the marine environment, especially at relatively high temperatures (Holahan and Smith, 2015; Paluselli et al., 2018; Benson and Fred-Ahmadu, 2020; Jiménez-Skrzypek et al., 2020). The PAEs include di-(n-butoxyethyl) phthalate (DBE), butylbenzyl phthalate (BBZP), di-(2-ethoxyethyl)phthalate (DEEP), di-ethyl phthalate (DEP), di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP), di-cyclohexyl phthalate (DCHP), di-isobutyl phthalate (DiBP), di-methylglucol phthalate (DMGP), di-methyl phthalate (DMP), di-(hexyl-2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (HEHP), di-(n-amyl phthalate (DnAP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DnBP), di-nhexylphthalate (DnHP), di-n-nonyl phthalate (DnNP), di-n-octyl phthalate (DnOP), and di-(4-methyl-2-pentyl)phthalate (DMPP) (Benson and Fred-Ahmadu, 2020). PAEs upon direct or indirect ingestion by humans can cause disruption of the endogenous hormones and result in behavioral and reproductive dysfunction (Diamanti-Kandarakis et al., 2009; Cole et al., 2011; Benjamin et al., 2017; Benson and Fred-Ahmadu, 2020) PAEs are endocrine-disrupting compounds (ECDs) that disrupt natural production, secretion, and metabolic system of the human body (Diamanti-Kandarakis et al., 2009; Benson and Fred-Ahmadu, 2020) Very little research has been done to study the sorption of phthalate esters on microplastics.

Liu F. F. et al. (2019) investigated the sorption of phthalate esters unto three types of microplastic polymers, namely polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polyethylene (PE), and polystyrene (PS). According to the study, polystyrene had the highest sorption capacity for phthalate esters, followed by polyethylene and then polyvinyl chloride. The higher sorption of PAEs to PS was suggested to be as a result of the strong π-π interactions between PS and the two PAEs investigated. The two types of phthalate esters investigated were diethyl phthalate (DEP) and dibutyl phthalate (DBP). DEP had lower K<sub>s</sub> values than DBP, indicating that the partition mechanism was governed by hydrophobic interaction. It was observed that partition was the primary sorption mechanism as the sorption isotherms of both DEP and DBP on microplastics were highly linear. Chemical properties of the plastic polymers had the most significant impact on the sorption behavior of each MP. Natural organic matter and pH of the solution played no essential role in the sorption of PAEs on these plastic polymers. However, the salting-out effect caused by the presence of NaCl (0–600 mM) and CaCl<sub>2</sub> (0–300 mM) increased the sorption rate of DEP and DBP on the microplastics.

Deng et al. (2020) examined the sorption and bioavailability of four types of PAEs (DEHP, DBP, DEP, and DMP) onto virgin polyethylene. The study addressed how PE could adsorb PAEs, transport, and leach them into the gut of a mouse and cause intestinal accumulation. It was observed that sorption of PAEs onto virgin PE was in the order of DEHP > DBP > DEP > DMP, with maximum adsorption 70.27 ± 7.89, 57.13 ± 6.03, 39.15 ± 5.33, and 31.44 ± 4.13 μg/g respectively. Adsorption of these PAE types on PE is positively correlated with their octanol-water partition coefficient (K<sub>ow</sub>) as reported by Bakir et al. (2012). Accumulation of PAE in the mouse gut also followed the order of DEHP > DBP > DEP > DMP with maximum accumulation 179.89 ± 14.78, 142.42 ± 9.52, 124.95 ± 8.02, and 106.41 ± 8.58 ng/g dry weight respectively. Increased intestinal permeability and intestinal inflammation following exposure to DEHP-contaminated PE for 30 days were observed in the mouse compared with individual MPs and DEHP according to biochemical and histological analysis (Deng et al., 2017).

Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products (PPCPs)
In recent years, many synthetic hydrophobic organic chemicals have found far reaching applications in pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs). The broad utilization of these chemicals has prompted growing concerns over their ecotoxicity and widespread availability in terrestrial and aquatic environments as contaminants (Oberg and Leopold, 2019; Atugoda et al., 2020; Puckowski et al., 2021). Most PPCPs comprise of complex organic compounds of bioactive ingredients including pharmaceuticals such as antibiotics (tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, sertraline, propranolol, and
sulfamethoxazole), antiseptics, disinfectants, analgesics, and cytotstatic hormones, which when released into the aquatic environment could exert harmful effects on human health, biota and the environment (Yin et al., 2017; Dey et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2021). PPCPs are polar and highly hydrophilic organic contaminant (Caliman and Gavrilescu, 2009; Ebele et al., 2017). The sorption strength of PPCPs is largely influenced by their low volatility and the low octanol–water partition coefficients ($K_{ow}$) in aquatic medium. They have higher percentage sorption particularly to aged microplastics, because of the increase in surface areas, hydrophilic properties at the surface and oxygen-containing functional groups of aged MPs (Zhang et al., 2018b; Liu G. et al., 2019; Zuo et al., 2019).

Partitioning, intermolecular hydrogen bonding, electrostatic and π–π interactions are the mechanisms that govern adsorption of pharmaceuticals on microplastics. The pH of pharmaceuticals in a solution also contributes to their sorption and absorption of pharmaceuticals on microplastics. The pH of pharmaceuticals, pH, salinity, and agitation on the sorption process was studied. PP was cryo-milled to increase its surface area, and PA was chemically pre-treated to simulate a loss of molecular weight. Sorption capacity followed the order PS > PP > PA, and it was observed that sorption was strongly governed by agitation, the effect of salinity was little, and pH seemed least relevant. Asmonaï et al. (2020) compared the ability of two polymers (PE and PS) and naturally occurring silica particles to act as a vector for exposure to 17a-ethinylestradiol, chlorpyrifos, and benzo (α) pyrene in fish. The study showed that while there was a moderate transfer of hydrophobic organic contaminants into fish through silica particles, PS and PE particles facilitated the higher chemical transfer and tissue accumulation of 17a-ethinylestradiol and chlorpyrifos. PS had higher sorption than PE, and this was attributed to its surface area. Guo and Wang (2019) study on the sorption of sulfamethoxazole (SMX), sulfamethazine (SMT), and cephalosporin C (CEP-C) in freshwater and seawater onto the naturally aged microplastics [polystyrene (PS) and polyethylene (PE)], showed that sorption mechanisms were hydrophobicity, van der Waals, and electrostatic. Liu F. F. et al. (2019) investigated the sorption behaviors and mechanism between hydrophilic ciproflaxin (CIP) on pristine and UV-accelerated aged PS and PVC. Sorption capacity of aged microplastics was higher than that of pristine microplastics. Physical interactions, including electrostatic interactions from pH influence that resulted in a different charge of CIP, partitioning, and intermolecular hydrogen bonding, were the dominant mechanism.

### Modeling Physical and Chemical Adsorption of Contaminants to Micro (Nano) Plastics

The kinetic and isothermal models are valuable tools that have been used in several studies in understanding the desorption and adsorption dynamics of sorbates (mainly chemical contaminants) in terms of order of the rate constant (Cazetta et al., 2011). A variety of kinetic models exists but the most common adsorption kinetics used in micro (nano) plastics-adsorbents studies are the first-order adsorption kinetic model (Skrip et al., 2013; Razanajatovo et al., 2018; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020c), pseudo-second-order model (Liu X et al., 2018; Razanajatovo et al., 2018; Wang and Guo, 2020), intraparticle diffusion model (Guo et al., 2019; Qiu et al., 2019), and film diffusion model (Hameed et al., 2016).
2008; Hu et al., 2011; Martins et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018b; Qiu et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020). On the other hand, sorption isotherms are valuable tools used in evaluating the distribution of the sorbent molecules between the solid phase and the liquid phase in a system at equilibrium. The isothermal sorption model can effectively identify the adsorption processes and elucidate the interaction mechanisms between the sorbates and sorbent molecules (Guo et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020). The commonest types of linear isothermal sorption models include the linear adsorption model (Henry’s law) (Bakir et al., 2014; Hüffer et al., 2018; Razanajatovo et al., 2018; Qiu et al., 2019), Freundlich model (Hamdaoui and Naffrechoux, 2007; Teuten et al., 2007; Razanajatovo et al., 2018; Vieira et al., 2021), Langmuir model (Langmuir, 1916; Hamdaoui and Naffrechoux, 2007; Gonçalves et al., 2020; Yazidi et al., 2020; Vieira et al., 2021), Temkin adsorption model (Temkin, 1941; Hamdaoui and Naffrechoux, 2007; Tan et al., 2009; Ayawei et al., 2017; Wang and Guo, 2020), Elovich adsorption models (Elovich and Linov, 1962; Hamdaoui and Naffrechoux, 2007), and Dubinin-Radushkevich adsorption model (Yang et al., 2006; Hu and Zhang, 2019; Wang and Guo, 2020).

**First-Order Adsorption Kinetic Model**

The first-order kinetic model postulated by Lagergren in 1898 (Lagergren, 1898) has been widely used to explore the adsorption kinetic data of chemical micropollutants by polymers (Valderrama et al., 2008; Ocampo-Pérez et al., 2012, Ocampo-Pérez et al., 2015; Razanajatovo et al., 2018). The first-order kinetic model equation is expressed differentially as:

\[
\frac{dq}{dt} = k_1 (q_e - q)
\]  

(1)

The integration of Eq. 1 using the boundary conditions, \( t = 0 \) and \( q = 0 \) yields a nonlinear form of Lagergren’s first-order rate equation as follows:

\[
q_t = q_e \left( 1 - e^{-k_1 t} \right)
\]  

(2)

where \( q_t \) and \( q_e \) represents the concentrations (µg/g) of chemical pollutants sorbed to the MNPs at time \( t \) and at equilibrium, respectively. The rate constant \( (g \mu g^{-1} h^{-1}) \) of the first-order kinetics is given by \( k_1 \).

**Pseudo-Second-Order Kinetic Model**

The second-order kinetic model is expressed using the following differential equation:

\[
\frac{dq}{dt} = k_2 (q_e - q)^2
\]  

(3)

Thus, integrating Eq. 3 and using the initial conditions as \( q = 0 \), \( t = 0 \) would yield the following nonlinear form of the pseudo-second-order equation as indicated below (Blanchard et al., 1984):

\[
q_t = \frac{q_e^2 \times K_2 t}{1 + (q_e \times K_2 t)}
\]  

(4)

where \( q_t \) is the adsorbed amount (µg g\(^{-1}\)) at time \( t \), \( q_e \) is the adsorbed amount (µg g\(^{-1}\)) at equilibrium, and \( K_2 \) is the rate constant \( (g \mu g^{-1} h^{-1}) \) of the second-order kinetic model.

**Intraparticle Diffusion Model**

The adsorption kinetic data can be evaluated using the intraparticle diffusion model which is represented by the following equation (Weber and Morris, 1963):

\[
q_t = k_p \times \sqrt{t} + C_i
\]  

(5)

where \( q_t \) is the concentration of the chemical contaminant adsorbed to MNPs at time \( t \), \( k_p \) is the rate constant \( (h^{-1}) \) of the intraparticle diffusion model, and \( C_i \) is the intraparticle diffusion constant associated with the thickness of the boundary layer. This model assumes that physisorption mechanism takes place as a result of the diffusion of adsorbate molecules into the pores of polymer adsorbents (Guo et al., 2019).

**Film Diffusion Model**

The film diffusion kinetic model (FDM) can also be used to describe the possible adsorption interactions between MNPs and sorbent molecules (Martins et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018b). The FDM kinetics basically describes the “diffusion across liquid film” following the characteristic movement of sorbates across concentration gradients of solid subsurface regions, and the existence of adsorption by external film mass transfer followed by intraparticle pore diffusion to the surface of adsorbates (Boyd et al., 1947; McKay and Allen, 1980; Quek and Al-Duri, 2007; Plazinski, 2010). The nonlinear form of the film diffusion model equation is expressed as:

\[
B_t = -\ln \left( 1 - \frac{q_t}{q_e} \right) - 0.4977
\]  

(6)

where \( B_t \) is the Boyd constant, \( q_t \) is the adsorbed amount at time \( t \), and \( q_e \) is the adsorbed amount at equilibrium. However, the Boyd equation can be employed in predicting the rate-limiting step associated with either the intraparticle diffusion or film diffusion adsorption processes (Rocha et al., 2012; Martins et al., 2015). Using kinetic data from a study, a plot of \( B_t \) against time \( t \) would yield the Boyd plot and this could be used in identifying if the rate-limiting step is governed by film diffusion adsorption or intraparticle diffusion. The interpretation follows that if the experimental datapoints fit linearly and the line passes through the origin, then it could be deduced that the intraparticle diffusion involves the rate-limiting step of adsorption process, or else, the film diffusion governs the adsorption mechanism if the line of best fit passes further away from the origin (Hameed et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2011; Qiu et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020).

**Linear Adsorption Model**

The linear isothermal model otherwise known as Henry’s law represents the fundamental adsorbate-adsorbent isotherm in which the sorbed amount at equilibrium relates linearly with the equilibrium concentration of the adsorbate on the adsorbent (Ayawei et al., 2017; Qiu et al., 2019). The linear model is an empirical isothermal equation which describes appropriate fitting of the adsorbate adsorption capacity when the initial adsorbate concentration is relatively low (Ruthven, 1984). The model has been widely used in understanding the partitioning of chemical qualities.
pollutants between the solid and liquid phases (Bakir et al., 2014; Hüffer et al., 2018; Razanajatovo et al., 2018; Qiu et al., 2019; Wang and Guo, 2020). The linear model equation is as follows:

\[ q_e = K_H \times C_e \]  

(7)

where \( q_e \) (µg g\(^{-1}\)) and \( C_e \) (µg L\(^{-1}\)) = the adsorbed amount and adsorbate concentrations at equilibrium, and \( K_H \) (L µg\(^{-1}\)) = the Henry’s constant (partition coefficient).

**Freundlich Adsorption Model**

The Freundlich model is an empirical adsorption model that can be used to explore the adsorption or equilibria data into heterogenous surfaces, which is applicable to mono- and multilayer sorption and relates to the occupation of high-energy sites first (Yang, 1998; Hamdaoui and Naffrechoux, 2007; Teuten et al., 2007; Vieira et al., 2021). The Freundlich isothermal model is considered as an empirical equation that relates the adsorbate-adsorbent interactions on an heterogenous surface with adsorbing particles having variable adsorption affinities (Hameed et al., 2008; Foo and Hameed, 2010; Martins et al., 2015). The model is given by (Freundlich, 1906):

\[ q_e = k_f \times C_e^1/n \]  

(8)

where \( q_e \) (µg g\(^{-1}\)) and \( C_e \) (µg L\(^{-1}\)) = the amount of the chemical contaminant adsorbed to MNPs in the solid phase and in the aqueous phase at equilibrium, \( k_f \) is the Freundlich sorption coefficient, which is corresponds to the relative sorption capacity of the polymer adsorbent, \( n \) is the Freundlich isotherm exponent (dimensionless), which determines the nonlinearity (Tolls, 2001; Hamdaoui and Naffrechoux, 2007). The Freundlich model could basically be used in describing the nonlinear sorption between the adsorbates and adsorbents. In general, the higher the concentration of the adsorbates, the higher the affinity of the adsorbates on the surface of the adsorbents. Moreover, the linear form of this model is expressed by the following equation:

\[ \ln q_e = \ln k_f + \frac{1}{n} \times \ln C_e \]  

(9)

However, it is important to explore the sorption isotherm by evaluating the optimum adsorption capacity between the MNPs and sorbates using variable weights of the adsorbent with constant initial amount \( C_o \) of the absorbates. Consequently, \( q_{max} \) is equivalent to the extrapolated of ln \( q \) for \( C = C_o \). Therefore, following Halsey (1952), Eq. 6 can be expressed as follows:

\[ k_f = \frac{q_{max}}{C_o^n} \]  

(10)

where \( q_{max} \) = the Freundlich maximum adsorption capacity (µg L\(^{-1}\)), and \( C_o \) = the initial concentration of the absorbates in the bulk solution (µg L\(^{-1}\)). The Freundlich isothermal model has been relates the porosity and heterogeneity of micro (nano) plastic surface in understanding the sorption interactions between organic pollutants and MNPs. Several studies have reported that the Freundlich model could fit the sorption isotherms of organic chemical contaminants (sorbates) such as antibiotics (propranolol, sertraline, sulfamethoxazole) (Razanajatovo et al., 2018), persistent organic pollutants (dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane, phenanthrene and perfluorooctanoic acid) (Bakir et al., 2014), 2,2′,4,4′-tetrabromodiphenyl ether (Wu et al., 2020), polyhalogenated carbazoles (3-bromocarbazole, 2,7-dibromocarbazole, 3,6-dibromocarbazole, 3,6-dichlorocarbazole, 3,6-diiodocarbazole) (Qui et al., 2019) to MPs surfaces.

**Langmuir Adsorption Model**

The Langmuir adsorption model postulated by Langmuir (1916) describes the sorption relating to homogeneous surfaces on which the micropollutants will express high sorption affinities and form monolayers with specific sorption sites of the MNPs (Hamdaoui and Naffrechoux, 2007; Gonçalves et al., 2020; Yazidi et al., 2020; Vieira et al., 2021). The nonlinear equation of the Langmuir model can be described as:

\[ q_e = \frac{q_{max} \times b \times C_e}{1 + [b \times C_e]} \]  

(11)

where \( q_e \) (µg g\(^{-1}\)) = the concentration of the chemical contaminant adsorbed to MNPs in the solid phase at equilibrium, \( C_e \) (µg L\(^{-1}\)) = the concentration adsorbed in the aqueous phase at equilibrium, \( q_{max} \) = the maximum adsorption capacity (µg g\(^{-1}\)), and \( b \) = the Langmuir constant associated with the free energy of absorption (L µg\(^{-1}\)). Moreover, the Langmuir isotherm can be transformed into other linear equations as follows:

\[ q_e = \frac{1}{b} \times \frac{q_e}{C_e} + q_{max} \]  

(12)

\[ \frac{1}{q_e} = \frac{1}{b \times q_{max}} \times \frac{1}{C_e} + \frac{1}{q_{max}} \]  

(13)

\[ \frac{1}{C_e} = \left( b \times q_{max} \right) \frac{1}{(q_e)} - b \]  

(14)

\[ \frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_{max}} \times C_e + \frac{1}{(b \times q_{max})} \]  

(15)

\[ \frac{d_e}{C_e} = -\frac{(b \times q_e)}{+ \left( b \times q_{max} \right)} \]  

(16)

**Temkin Adsorption Model**

The Temkin isotherm equation formulated by Temkin (1941) as an adsorption model assumes that adsorption is a multi-layer process characterized by uniform aggregation of binding energies, up to maximum binding energy (Temkin, 1941). It presumes that the heat of adsorption of aggregate molecules of adsorbate in the layer decreases linearly with increase in surface coverage on account of the adsorbate-adsorbent interactions on the adsorption process (Hamdaoui and Naffrechoux, 2007; Tan et al., 2009; Ayawei et al., 2017; Wang and Guo, 2020). Additionally, the model ignores the relatively high and low concentrations of the adsorbate in the liquid phase during the adsorption process (Hamdaoui and Naffrechoux, 2007). Temkin model is expressed as:
where $R$ is the universal gas constant (kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), $T$ is the temperature (K), and $b$ = Temkin constant associated with the energy of adsorption, and $K_T$ = the Temkin equilibrium constant (L µg$^{-1}$).

### Ellovich Adsorption Model

The Ellovich isotherm model is widely used to describe the multi-layer adsorption process of substances on heterogeneous surfaces (Ellovich and Larinov, 1962). It is based on the kinetic principle which presumes that adsorption sites increase exponentially with adsorption (Hamdaoui and Naffrechoux, 2007). The Ellovich isotherm can be expressed as follows:

$$q_e = \frac{RT}{b} \ln K_f C_e \tag{17}$$

However, the linearized form of the Temkin isotherm model can be expressed as follows:

$$q_e = \frac{RT}{b} \ln K_f + \frac{RT}{b} \ln C_e \tag{18}$$

### Dubinine-Radushkevich Adsorption Model

The Dubinine-Radushkevich (D-R) adsorption model plays an important role in explaining the physicochemical properties of the sorption process through the estimation of the free energy of sorption on heterogeneous surfaces (Ayawe et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2020). The D-R isotherm model is widely used to explain single-solute adsorption and to account for the effect of the porosity of heterogeneous adsorbent surface (Alberti et al., 2012; Hu and Zhang, 2019). The isotherm model is based on the adsorption potential theory and the assumption that the adsorption process is comparable to the micropore volume filling and the equilibrium of the adsorption in a particular adsorbent-adsorbate interaction follows a Gaussian energy distribution (Dubinin and Radushkevich, 1947; Dąbrowski, 2001; Inglezakis, 2007; Wang and Guo, 2020). The nonlinear Dubinine-Radushkevich model can be expressed as follows:

$$q_e = \frac{RT}{b} \ln K_f C_e \times \exp\left(-\frac{q_e}{q_{max}}\right) \tag{19}$$

However, the linear form of the Ellovich isotherm is expressed as:

$$\ln \frac{q_e}{C_e} = \ln K_f - \frac{q_e}{q_{max}} \tag{20}$$

where $q_e$ is the adsorbed amount (µg g$^{-1}$) at equilibrium, $C_e$ (µg L$^{-1}$) = the concentration adsorbed in the aqueous phase at equilibrium, $K_f$ = the Dubinine-Radushkevich constant (L µg$^{-1}$), and $q_{max}$ = the Dubinine maximum adsorption capacity (µg g$^{-1}$). The $K_f$ and $q_{max}$ can be calculated from the slope and intercept of the plot of $\ln \frac{q_e}{C_e}$ vs. $q_e$. Provided the adsorption interactions between the sorbent and sorbates obeys Ellovich equation.

### Dubinine-Radushkevich Adsorption Model

The Dubinine-Radushkevich (D-R) adsorption model plays an important role in explaining the physicochemical properties of the sorption process through the estimation of the free energy of sorption on heterogeneous surfaces (Ayawe et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2020). The D-R isotherm model is widely used to explain single-solute adsorption and to account for the effect of the porosity of heterogeneous adsorbent surface (Alberti et al., 2012; Hu and Zhang, 2019). The isotherm model is based on the adsorption potential theory and the assumption that the adsorption process is comparable to the micropore volume filling and the equilibrium of the adsorption in a particular adsorbent-adsorbate interaction follows a Gaussian energy distribution (Dubinin and Radushkevich, 1947; Dąbrowski, 2001; Inglezakis, 2007; Wang and Guo, 2020). The nonlinear Dubinine-Radushkevich model can be expressed as follows:

$$q_e = \frac{RT}{b} \ln K_f C_e \times \exp\left(-\frac{q_e}{q_{max}}\right) \tag{19}$$

However, the linear form of the Ellovich isotherm is expressed as:

$$\ln \frac{q_e}{C_e} = \ln K_f - \frac{q_e}{q_{max}} \tag{20}$$

where $q_{max}$ (µg g$^{-1}$) = the maximum adsorption capacity, $q_e$ (µg g$^{-1}$) = the amount of adsorbate adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent at equilibrium, $\varepsilon$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$) = the adsorption potential based on Polanyi’s potential theory, $\beta$ (mol$^2$ kJ$^{-2}$) = a constant related to the adsorption energy, $T$ (K) = absolute temperature, $R$ (8.314 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) = universal gas constant, $C_e$ (µg L$^{-1}$) = the solubility of adsorbates, and $C_0$ (µg g$^{-1}$) = concentration of adsorbate at equilibrium (Yang et al., 2006; Hu and Zhang, 2019; Wang and Guo, 2020). The Dubinine-Radushkevich adsorption isotherm is an important model employed to differentiate physisorption from chemisorption (Atugoda et al., 2020).

Classically, the factors influencing the sorption potential of micropollutants onto plastic particles is a function of the polymeric properties of micro (nano) plastics, micropollutant’s characteristics, and solution chemistry of the environmental matrix including the pH, salinity, and dissolved organic matter (DOM) (Daugherty et al., 2016). Worded differently, the sorption capacity of chemical contaminants onto micro (nano) plastics will vary as the environmental matrix conditions changes. The maximum adsorption efficiency of 3-dimensional reduced graphene oxides onto PS microplastics has also been shown to be influenced by the concentration of ionic species, pH, matrix temperature, initial concentration of sorbate, and adsorption time (Yuan et al., 2020). According to this report, the Langmuir adsorption isotherm model and the pseudo-second-order kinetic model indicated a chemical adsorption mechanism influenced primarily by strong π-π interaction through electrostatic attraction and physical retention. The influence of DOM on the adsorption of oxytetracycline to weathered microplastic polystyrene foams using humic acid (HA) and fulvic acids (FA) as the two representative dissolved organic materials have been reported by Zhang et al. (2018b).

The report investigated and reported the influence of dissolved organic matter (DOM) on the adsorption of oxytetracycline to virgin as well as weathered microplastic PS foams using fulvic acids (FA) and humic acid (HA) as DOM representatives. The studies report that the oxytetracycline sorption to weathered PS foams significant varied with change in pH, ionic strength and the concentration of HA. Based on the Freundlich isotherm model, the sorption capacity coefficient $K_f$ value of oxytetracycline increased considerably from 2420 (mg kg$^{-1}$) (mg L$^{-1}$)$^{1/n}$ (10 mg L$^{-1}$ HA) to 3640 (mg kg$^{-1}$) (mg L$^{-1}$)$^{1/n}$ (30 mg L$^{-1}$ HA) and 5340 (mg kg$^{-1}$) (mg L$^{-1}$)$^{1/n}$ (50 mg L$^{-1}$ HA) over an increasing concentration of HA for weathered microplastic PS foams. However, the sorption capacity decreased to 3940 (mg kg$^{-1}$) (mg L$^{-1}$)$^{1/n}$ when the
concentration of the organic matter HA was raised to 100 mg L\(^{-1}\). On the contrary, with increase in the concentration of fulvic acid from 10 to 100 mg L\(^{-1}\), the sorption capacity coefficient slightly decreased from 1580 (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) (mg L\(^{-1}\))\(^{1/2}\) (10 mg L\(^{-1}\) FA) to 1450 (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) (mg L\(^{-1}\))\(^{1/2}\) (30 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA), and later increased slightly from 1670 (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) (mg L\(^{-1}\))\(^{1/2}\) (50 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA) to 1980 (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) (mg L\(^{-1}\))\(^{1/2}\) (100 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA) (Zhang et al., 2018b). Li et al. (2018) recently reported the sorption of multiple antibiotics, including amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, sulfadiazine, and trimethoprim, by polyethylene, polyamide, polypropylene, polystyrene, and polyvinyl chloride microplastics, indicating that the sorption processes involved various MPS-antibiotics interaction mechanisms, such as van der Waals force, electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions, and hydrogen bonding. On the other hand, π-π interactions, electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions have been identified as the primary partitioning mechanisms regulating the sorption capacity of tetracycline by polypropylene, polystyrene, and polyethylene microplastics (Xu et al., 2018).

Several studies have also shown that rising temperature improves sorbates-MPs sorption, implying that the number of active surface sites on microplastics may increase as the temperature of the environmental matrix increases (Choi et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2021). For example, Lin et al. (2021) showed that with an increase in temperature to 313 K, the Langmuir partition coefficient (\(Q_{\text{max}}\)) and Freundlich partition coefficient (\(K_f\)) increased slightly from 1.01 to 1.58 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\) and 0.01–0.03 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\) (\(\mu\)mol L\(^{-1}\))\(^{1/n}\), respectively, for virgin polystyrene microplastics.

In general, most isotherm models such as the Langmuir model is technically constrained by many environmental conditions and sorbate-sorbent assumptions, including homogeneous surfaces on which the micropollutants will show high affinity and form monolayer sorption with specific sites on microplastics (Chen et al., 2017). Besides, the Freundlich model presumes adsorbate-adsorbent interactions on heterogeneous adsorbent surfaces and extend to both mono- and multilayer sorption on MPs (Saadi et al., 2015). For example, Lin et al. (2021) used several kinetic models to investigate the mechanisms and factors governing the sorption of tetracycline by virgin polystyrene microplastics and polystyrene-hexabromocyclododecane composite microplastics. According to the findings, intra-particle diffusion and film diffusion were the key influencing factors for tetracycline sorption into polystyrene-hexabromocyclododecane composite and polystyrene, respectively. However, to further provide a broader analysis of the sorption process and elucidate the sorption mechanisms and governing factors linking microplastics-tetracycline interactions, statistical physics models were employed. The findings explicated that the sorption mechanism was linked with the formation of monolayer through multi-molecular and non-parallel processes. In general, multiple mechanisms, including hydrophobic interaction and π-π interactions, collectively govern the sorption of tetracycline onto polystyrene-hexabromocyclododecane composite and polystyrene microplastics.

**PREVALENCE AND IMPACTS OF MICRO (NANO) PLASTICS IN THE ENVIRONMENT**

Micro (nano) plastic particles and hydrophobic waterborne contaminants are two types of pervasive micropollutants found in marine ecosystems and terrestrial environments, mostly in water columns, sediments, beach coastlines, coastal seawaters, and within mid-abysmal ocean gyres, and their abundances, fate and vector transports and interactions have piqued the attention of researchers around the globe (Schwarzenbach et al., 2006; Cole et al., 2011; Brach et al., 2018; Benson and Fred-Ahmadu, 2020; Benson et al., 2020; Fred-Ahmadu et al., 2020b; Pereira et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021). Microplastic ingestion by marine animals has been widely documented, a phenomenon that may allow the transmission of hydrophobic organic compounds or toxic chemical additives to humans via the marine food web.

Plastic particles in aquatic environments could be found as fragments of 1 μm in diameter and 15 μm in length, rendering them increasingly ingestible by several smaller planktonic marine animals present in pelagic and benthic ecosystems (Frias et al., 2010; Cole et al., 2011). Although these microfibers could be potentially harmful to aquatic life because they can cluster, knot and interfere with animal activity, these plastics can typically prevent egestion once swallowed (Murray and Cowie, 2011). In both of these scenarios, the probability of voluntary ingestion of microplastics by aquatic animals, mistaking them for prey, is high. Microplastic uptake by higher trophic animals may also occur as a result of consuming lower trophic organisms that have ingested microplastic particles (Fendall and Sewell, 2009; Farrell and Nelson, 2013; Setälä et al., 2014; Brennecke et al., 2015; Pannetier et al., 2020).

The potential risk that could also be associated with ingestion of microplastics by aquatic organisms may be attributed to the leaching of inherent contaminants from the microplastics, as well as the release of the extraneous toxic chemicals compounds that may have adhered to the microplastic debris. Evidence of the availability and contamination impacts of such chemicals has been highlighted by many researchers. The adverse effects of microplastics on fishes and large aquatic animals, zooplankton, phytoplankton, microalgae, crustaceans, and seabirds have been widely reported (Boerger et al., 2010; Kögel et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020; Corinaldesi et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021), at the population levels (e.g., fertility, mortality, growth, and organismal development, feeding activity) (Zarfl et al., 2011; Sussarellu et al., 2016; Heindler et al., 2017; Mouchi et al., 2019; Chapron et al., 2020; Liu G. et al., 2020; Issac and Kandasubramanian, 2021), cellular (e.g., motility; cell fragmentation, membrane stability, apoptosis) (Von Moos et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2019; Han et al., 2020; Tallec et al., 2020), and molecular levels (e.g., mortality, gene expression, stress defense, and oxidative stress effects) (Balbi et al., 2017; Liu Z et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2018; Sendra et al., 2020; Capolupo et al., 2021). Corals readily ingest polypropylene microplastics upon exposure to plastic particles, resulting in a variety of biological implications ranging from feeding dysfunction to mucus formation and distorted gene expression (Corinaldesi et al., 2021). These multiple effects mean that microplastics, at
### TABLE 1 | A summary on characteristics of microplastics and organic pollutants sorbed to microplastics in previous studies.

| Year of study | Study area | Type of marine ecosystem | Microplastic abundance | Polymer types | Composition of polymers | Type of POP | Method of identification | References |
|---------------|------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------------|------------|
| 2020 Lagos, Nigeria | Beaches | Fiber, pellets, fragments (foam and hard) | PET, PS, and PP | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PAEs (DEHP, DnBP, and DMP) | GC-MS | Benson and Fred-Ahmadu (2020) |
| 2020 Southwest coast of Taiwan | Estuary, seawater | Fragments | PE | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PAHs | GC-MS | Chen et al. (2020) |
| 2020 China | River and bay | Fiber and fragments | PE, PS, and PP, PET, and PES | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PCBs | GC-MS | Fraser et al. (2020) |
| 2020 Belgrade, Serbia | River | Pellets from straws, bags and beads | PE, PP, PVC, PET, and PS | Aliphatic carbon atoms, and heterochain polymer | Atenoloid, sulfamethoxazole, and ibuprofen | HPLC | Šuntera et al. (2020) |
| 2020 New York city | Waterways | | PP, PE, and PS | Aliphatic carbon atoms | OPFRs | LC-MS/MS | Magadini et al. (2020) |
| 2020 Barcelona, blanes, and cap de creus, Spain | Seawater | Fragments, fiber, and nurdles | Microbeads PE, PS | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PAHs | GC-MS | García-Garin et al. (2020) |
| 2019 Qingdao, China | Bay and seawater | Fiber, fragments, foam, fiber | PE, PP, PS, PVC, and PET | Aliphatic carbon atoms, and heterochain polymer | POPCs | HS-SPME/GC-ITMS | Xia et al. (2020) |
| 2019 Beijing, China | River | Pellets | LDPE | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PCBs, and BFRs | LC-MS/MS | Tan et al. (2019) |
| 2019 New Jersey, United States. | River and Bays | Fragments | PE, PP, and PS | Aliphatic carbon atoms | BaP, and PFOS | UPLC-QMS, and GC-MS | Ravit et al. (2019) |
| 2018 Germany | Seawater | Fragments | LDPE | Aliphatic carbon atoms | Oxytetracycline | HPLC and UPLC | O’Donovan et al. (2018) |
| 2018 North China | Beach | Foams | PS | Aliphatic carbon atoms | DDTS, PAHS, and PCBs | GC-MS | Zhang et al. (2018b) |
| 2017 China | River | Pellets | LDPE, POM, and PP | Aliphatic carbon atoms and Heterochain polymer | OPEs and PAEs | GC-MS | Wu et al. (2017) |
| 2017 Northern China | Beaches | Pellets, foams, fragments, and flakes | PE, PS, and PP | Aliphatic carbon atoms | Tonalide, 4-MBC, β-estradiol, and triclosan | GC-MS | Zhang et al. (2018a) |
| 2016 St. George’s, Bermuda, New England | Beach | Fragments | PE and PP | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PCBs, and BFRs | GC-MS | Daugherty et al. (2016) |
| 2016 Hirtshals, Denmark | Seawater | Pellets | LDPE | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PAHs, HCHs, CBs | GC-MS | Granby et al. (2018) |
| 2014 Korea | Artificial Seawater | Fragments | PE, PP, and PS | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PAHs, PCBs, and DDTs | GC-MS | Lee et al. (2014) |
| 2013 California, United States | Bay | Fragments | PET, HDPE, PVC, LDEPEPP, and PS | Aliphatic carbon atoms | GC-TMF-MS | Rochman et al. (2013a) |
| 2013 California, United States | Bay | Pellets | PE and PP | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PCBs, PAHs, PCEs, POPs and metabolites (DDTs) | GC-MS | Rochman et al. (2013b) |
| 2011 Tokyo, Japan | Open ocean and beaches | Fragments | PP and PE | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PCBs, PAHs, PCEs, POPs and metabolites (DDTs) | GC-MS | Hira et al. (2011) |
| 2010 Portugal | Beaches | Fragments | PE and PP | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PCBs, PAHs, and DDTs | GC-MS | Frias et al. (2010) |
| 2008 Lesvos island, Greece | Beaches | Pellets | PE, POM, and PP | Aliphatic carbon atoms and Heterochain polymer | Phenanthrene | GC-MS | Karapanagioti and Klontza (2008) |
| 2007 Mothecombe, Plymouth, Devon, United Kingdom. | Beach, Estuary, and River | Fragments | PP, PVC, and PE | Aliphatic carbon atoms | Phenanthrene | LC-MS | Teuten et al. (2007) |

(Continued on following page)
the concentrations seen in some marine areas and expected for most oceans in the coming decades, could lead to the death and eventual extinction of corals. Other larger kinds of plastics including meso- and macroplastics have been reported to cause the entanglement of marine organisms which could result in suffocation, starvation, exhaustion, injuries, decreased reproduction rates, and death (UNEP and NOAA, 2015).

Moreover, human consumption of microplastic particles via ingestion of contaminated drinking water, unsafe seafood, or trophic transfer, inhalation and dermal exposure routes is now well documented (Barboza et al., 2018; Atugoda et al., 2020). However, the fate and impacts of microplastics after they enter the human body are still under investigation.

### CONCLUDING REMARKS AND OUTLOOK

The mechanisms controlling the sorption of organic compounds on plastic polymers are not fully understood yet as most studies are carried out indoors under conditions that represent the real environment. However, hydrophobicity of POPs, the surface area of plastic polymer, and polymer makeup are three significant factors that influence the sorption of POPs on plastic polymers. Hydrophobicity of organic compounds increases the likelihood of OCs getting sorbed onto plastic polymers rather than dissolving in water, a surface area, especially that of aging MPs contributes to higher sorption capacity of plastic polymer. Plastic polymers have different affinities for different organic compounds.

### TABLE 1 (Continued) A summary on characteristics of microplastics and organic pollutants sorbed to microplastics in previous studies.

| Year of study | Study area | Type of marine ecosystem | Microplastic abundance | Polymer types | Composition of polymers | Type of POP | Method of identification | References |
|---------------|------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------|
| 2007 North Pacific Gyre, California, Hawaii, and Guadalupe Island, Mexico | Ocean | Fragments and Pellets | PP and PE | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PCBs, PAHs, and DDTs | FT-IR, and GC-MS | Rios et al. (2007) |
| 2001 Japan Sea, Canal, and Pacific Ocean | N/A | Laboratory setup aqueous solution | N/A | PE, PP, and PS | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PCBs, DDE, and NP | GC-MS | Mato et al. (2001) |
| 2020 N/A | Laboratory setup aqueous solution | N/A | PLA, and PVC | Aliphatic carbon atoms, and Heterochain polymer | TC and CiP | HPLC | Fan et al. (2020) |
| 2020 N/A | Mill-Q water and simulated intestinal fluid | N/A | PS | Aliphatic carbon atoms | HEX, MYC, and TRI | UPLC-MS | Fang et al. (2019) |
| 2019 N/A | Lab. setup aqueous solution | N/A | PS | Aliphatic carbon atoms | HBCD (flame retardant) | LC-MS | Ruan et al. (2019) |
| 2019 Hong Kong | WWTPs | N/A | Microbeads, fiber, and foam | PE, PP, PA, and PES. | Aliphatic carbon atoms, and Heterochain polymer | N/A | UPLC-MS/MS | Zhang P. et al. (2019) |
| 2019 N/A | Deionized water | N/A | PVC | Heterochain polymer | BPA, BPF, BPS, and BPB | HPLC-MS/MS | Wu et al. (2018) |
| 2019 N/A | Deionized water | N/A | PS | Aliphatic carbon atoms | Triclosan | HPLC | Li et al. (2019) |
| 2019 N/A | Deionized water | Microbeads | PS | Aliphatic carbon atoms | ROX | UPLC-MS/MS | Zhang P. et al. (2019) |
| 2019 N/A | Sterile artificial seawater | N/A | PE, PS, PVC | Aliphatic carbon atoms and Heterochain polymer | TCS | N/A | Zhu et al. (2019) |
| 2019 N/A | Ultrapure water | Granules | PS, PA, PP, and PE | Aliphatic carbon atoms and Heterochain polymer | PBDE | HPLC | Xu et al. (2019) |
| 2018 N/A | Ultrapure water, and Seawater | N/A | PA, PE, PS, PP, and PVC | Aliphatic carbon atoms and Heterochain polymer | CIP, TMP, AMX, SDZTC. | HPLC | Li et al. (2018) |
| 2018 N/A | Mill-Q water | Pellets | LDPE | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PCBs, BFRs, and PFCs | HPLC-MS/MS | Rainieri et al. (2018) |
| 2018 N/A | Tripled distilled water | Pellets | LDPE | Aliphatic carbon atoms | BFRs | GC-MS | Sun et al. (2019) |
| 2016 N/A | Mill-Q water | Microbeads | PE | Aliphatic carbon atoms | PBDE | GC-QqQ-MS | Wardrop et al. (2016) |
Additives in MPs pose threats on marine life as studies show they are capable of leaching into organs of marine life like fishes after they have been picked up as food, there is a possibility of some of these additives increasing concentrations of toxic chemicals in the environment. There is no fixed order of sorption capacity for plastic polymers as sorption for each polymer varies depending on the interaction between the polymers and that of hydrophobic organic contaminants. However, PE from recent studies has the highest sorption capacity for organic compounds. In contrast, PVC has the lowest sorption capacity due to their glassy make up and possesses substantial adsorption sites that result in lower desorption rates. Many kinetic and isothermal models including first-order adsorption kinetic model, pseudo-second-order model, intraparticle diffusion model, film diffusion model, linear model, Freundlich model, Langmuir model, Temkin model and Elovich adsorption model have been used in understanding the sorbate-sorbent adsorption mechanisms, more studies are still required to investigate the most suitable models for different microplastic and nanoplastic types in order to fully appreciate their adsorption peculiarities.

Mathematical models that relate the real aquatic conditions to that of the laboratory set up should be developed to help for better prediction of sorption processes that can be fully trusted as it is only then that feasible solutions can be proposed. Since aging of microplastics contributes significantly to their sorption capacity, more sorption studies on naturally aged plastics should be carried out as all microplastics ultimately age. Studies should be carried out on bioavailability of organic compounds making up microplastics like microbeads found in toothpaste and how long it takes for leaching of these OCs into the human organs to occur if these compounds are not passed out as feces soon enough. More adsorption and desorption studies of organic compounds found in microplastics and nanoplastics are needed to understand their fate, bioavailability, and sorption distinctions. The non-biodegradability of most plastics is due carbon to carbon bonds which microorganisms have not evolved enough to break. Since plastics are essential, more studies that generate substances that serve as weak links between these bonds that could help to biodegrade by microorganisms should be carried out.
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