Effect of symmetrical frequency chirp on pair production*
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By using Dirac–Heisenberg–Wigner formalism we study electron–positron pair production for linear, elliptic, nearly circular, and circular polarizations of electric fields with symmetrical frequency chirp, and we obtain momentum spectra and pair yield. The difference of results among polarized fields is obvious for the small chirp. When the chirp parameter increases, the momentum spectra tend to exhibit the multiphoton pair generation that is characterized by the multi-concentric ring structure. The increase of the number density is also remarkable compared to the case of asymmetrical frequency chirp. Note that the dynamically assisted Schwinger mechanism plays an important role for the enhanced pair production in the symmetrical frequency chirp.
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1. Introduction

At the beginning of its establishment, quantum electrodynamics theoretically predicted that vacuum would decay and produce electron–positron pairs in strong electric fields, which is called as the Sauter–Schwinger effect.¹⁻³ However, the current laser intensity (about 10²² W/cm²) is still far lower than the laser intensity corresponding to the critical field intensity (about 10²⁹ W/cm²), so it has not been verified by experiments.⁴ However, with the rapid development of laser technology and the increasing intensity of electric fields, the generation of pair in the vacuum is expected to be confirmed by experiments soon. For recent research progress, please refer to Refs. [5,6].

At present, it is a consensus that only the Schwinger tunneling mechanism cannot generate observable electron–positron pair. Therefore, people have proposed another mechanism, multiphoton pair generation, which can generate electron–positron pair in a vacuum by absorbing several high-energy photons.⁷,⁸ In addition, to overcome the limitation that the current laser equipment does not provide enough high-energy photons to make observable pair, several catalytic mechanisms for the generation of observable pair under sub-critical conditions are proposed. For example, the dynamically assisted Schwinger mechanism⁹⁻¹¹ can effectively combine the above two pair generation mechanisms, thus significantly increasing the pair production. Another method to enhance the number density of pair is to use the electric field with frequency chirp,¹²⁻¹⁵ a scheme called chirped pulse amplification which was proposed by Strickland and Mourou¹⁶ in the early 1980s which generates intense laser pulses without destroying the amplification medium. Moreover, the technique is also used to obtain the existing high-power laser. When it is applied to the theoretical study of the Sauter–Schwinger effect, proper chirp parameters can increase the number density of particles produced by several orders of magnitude.¹³⁻¹⁵

Here, we report our study about the effect of using the electric field with symmetrical frequency chirp on pair production using frequency chirp signals. We consider the relationship between the number density and the symmetrical frequency chirp signal that varies with time, and then compare the result with the asymmetrical frequency chirp to analyze the difference. In addition, we conduct a quantitative analysis of the momentum spectrum and give qualitative explanations, which also provides new ideas for the experiment.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly introduce the Dirac–Heisenberg–Wigner (DHW) formalism. In Section 3, we present the electric field form. In Section 4, we present the numerical results for different polarization parameters and different chirp parameters, and momentum spectra with different frequency chirp parameters and four different types of polarization. In the last section, we present our conclusions.

2. The DHW formalism

In this paper, we use the DHW formalism which is suitable for pair production.¹⁷⁻²³ In the following, we briefly review the DHW formalism, which begins with the gauge-
invariant density operator

\[ \hat{\mathcal{C}}(r,s) = \mathcal{U}(A,r,s) \left[ \overline{\psi}(r-s/2), \psi(r+s/2) \right], \]

where we have used \( \hbar = c = 1, \psi_0(x) \) is the electron’s spinor-valued Dirac field, \( r \) is the center-of-mass coordinate, \( s \) is the relative coordinate, and \( \mathcal{U} \) is the Wilson line factor

\[ \mathcal{U}(A,r,s) = \exp \left( i e \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\xi A(r+\xi s) \right), \]

which is added for ensuring that the density operator is gauge-invariant and only related to the background gauge field \( A \) and elementary charge \( e \). Note that the background field is treated in mean-field (Hartree) approximation, \( F^{\mu\nu}(x) \approx \langle \hat{F}^{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle \).

The Wigner operator

\[ \hat{W}(r,p) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4s \ e^{i p \cdot \hat{C}(r,s)}, \]

which involves the electron’s quantum fluctuations. Then, the covariant Wigner function can be generated by the vacuum expected value of the Wigner operator obtained above,

\[ \mathcal{W}(r,p) = \langle \Phi | \hat{W}(r,p) | \Phi \rangle. \]

For the accurate representation of matter dynamics in 3 + 1 dimensions and the convenience of numerical calculations, the covariant Wigner function can be converted to a combination of the Dirac gamma matrix. So we can decompose it into 16 covariant Wigner components

\[ \mathcal{W} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \mathbb{1} S + i \gamma_5 \mathbb{P} + \gamma^\mu \mathbb{V}_\mu + \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \mathbb{A}_\mu + \mathbb{G}^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{T}_{\mu\nu} \right), \]

where the sixteen components \( S, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{V}_\mu, \mathbb{A}_\mu, \) and \( \mathbb{T}_{\mu\nu} \) are scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, axial vector, and tensor, respectively. Using an equal-time approach to further simplify, the individual Wigner components can be written as

\[ \mathcal{w}(x,p,t) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{W}(r,p). \]

Similarly, the 16 components can also be deduced separately, which are too long to list them, and the specific derivation can be found in Refs. [18,26]. Meanwhile, because of the non-local nature of the pseudo-differential operators, solving their numerical solutions is very challenging.[20,26–28] For the homogeneous electric field (13), we can choose vacuum initial conditions as starting values. The non-vanishing values are

\[ s_{\text{vac}} = \frac{-2m}{\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}}, \quad v_{i,\text{vac}} = \frac{-2p_i}{\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}}, \]

where \( m \) is the mass of an electron. Therefore, sixteen Wigner components can be simplified into ordinary differential equations,[21] and ten of them are non-vanishing,

\[ w = (s, v_1, a_1, a_1), \quad a_1 := a_0 - a_0. \]

And the one-particle distribution function can be defined as

\[ f(q,t) = \frac{1}{2\Omega(q,t)} (e - e_{\text{vac}}), \]

where \( e = m_s + p_i \nabla_i \) is the phase space energy density, \( e_{\text{vac}} = m_{\text{vac}} + p_i \nabla_i \text{vac} \) is correspondingly the instantaneous vacuum energy density, \( q \) is the canonical momentum and by definition of \( p(t) = q - eA(t) \), and \( \Omega(q,t) = \sqrt{p^2(t) + m^2} = \sqrt{m^2 + (q - eA(t))^2} \) is the total energy of electrons. For the convenience, we introduce an auxiliary three-dimensional quantity \( v(q,t) \),

\[ v(q,t) := v_i(p(t), t) - (1 - f(q,t)) v_{i,\text{vac}}(p(t), t). \]

Then, by solving the distribution function \( f(q,t) \) and ten ordinary differential equations, the following equations can be derived:

\[ f = \frac{\nu}{2\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{E}, \quad \mathbf{v}, \]

\[ \nu = \frac{2}{\Omega^2} \left( (eE \cdot p - e\Omega^2) (f - 1) - (eE \cdot p) \right) \cdot \mathbf{p} - \frac{2}{\Omega^2} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i = 2p \times \mathbf{a}_i - 2m \mathbf{a}_i, \]

\[ \mathbf{a}_i = -2p \times \mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \frac{2}{m} [m^2 \mathbf{v} - (p \cdot \mathbf{v})p]. \]

With initial conditions \( f(q, -\infty) = 0, v(q, -\infty) = a(q, -\infty) = t(q, -\infty) = 0 \), the density of the number of pair creation can be obtained by calculating the integral of the distribution function in the momentum space at time \( t \to +\infty \),

\[ n = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} f(q,t). \]

3. The external field form

In this section, we establish the following electric field form in order to study the symmetrical frequency chirp:

\[ \mathbf{E}(t) = \frac{E_0}{\sqrt{1 + \delta^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{t^2}{2\tau^2} \right) \left( \cos(\omega t + \phi) \mathbf{i} + \delta \sin(\omega t + \phi) \mathbf{j} \right), \]

where \( E_0/\sqrt{1 + \delta^2} \) is the amplitude of the electric field, \( \tau \) represents the pulse duration, and \( \omega \) is the oscillation frequency at \( t = 0 \). The parameter \( \delta ( -1 \leq \delta \leq 1) \) describes the ellipticity of the electric field, \( \delta = 0 \) corresponds to linearity and \( \delta = 1 \) to circular polarization. Besides, the carrier phase \( \phi \) is retained (it is known that the generation of pairs is highly affected by the phase \( \phi [27,29] \)). Since the main concern is the dependence of symmetrical chirp \( b \), the phase \( \phi \) is set to zero below. Note that the form of the effective frequency is \( \omega_{eff} = \omega + b |t| \). The influence of electric field changes with time under different frequency modulation pulse parameter \( b \) as shown in Fig. 1. It
is important to note that the electric field (13) described above, which varies only over time, can be considered as a standing wave composed of two laser beams with different polarizations and propagating in the opposite directions, namely, the dipole approximation, so the effect of the magnetic field can be ignored. Meanwhile, considering the electric field parameters (14), the effects of collision and back-reaction can be ignored.

In terms of experiments, due to the limitations of the instrument, producing a perfect circular polarization field is much more difficult than an elliptical polarization field, for instance, the polarization of the experimental laser field is as high as 0.93. Therefore, we include the numerical calculation of a near-circular elliptical polarization. Besides three of the parameters of the electric field (13) are fixed as

\[ E_0 = 0.1\sqrt{2}E_{cr}, \quad \omega = 0.6m, \quad \tau = 10/m. \]  

The form of Keldysh adiabatic parameter is \( \gamma = m\omega/eE \), and multiphoton pair effect and Schwinger (tunnel) effect are determined by \( \gamma \gg 1 \) and \( \gamma \ll 1 \), respectively. Therefore, for the known equation (14), not only the influence of the polarization parameter \( \delta \) on the Keldysh adiabatic parameter \( \gamma \) should be considered, but also the frequency \( \omega \) will change into the effective frequency when the chirp parameter \( b \) is not zero. For the chirp parameter \( b \), we research several situations where the interval is 0 ≤ \( b \) ≤ 0.06 \( m^2 \) and choose four different values of \( \delta \) according to the polarization state. And we clearly know that the pulse length in this paper is not enough to get a pure multiphoton signal, and for the chirp parameter \( b = 0.06 \ m^2 \), its value is beyond the scope of “normal chirp”. However, the current exploratory research goal is to qualitatively understand the influence of the symmetrical frequency chirp on the number density and momentum spectra with different types of polarization and compare with known results.

![Fig. 1](image1.png)

**Fig. 1.** The electric field \( E(t) \) varies with time in linear polarization (\( \delta = 0 \)). The parameters are chosen as \( E_0 = 0.1\sqrt{2}E_{cr}, \ \omega = 0.6m, \) and \( \tau = 10/m, \) where \( m \) is the electron mass. The blue solid line represents the electric field without the chirp parameter \( b = 0 \). The red dashed line stands for the field with the chirp parameter \( b = 0.005 \ m^2 \), the dark solid line shows the electric field with the chirp parameter \( b = 0.06 \ m^2 \). In terms of experiments, due to the limitations of the instrument, producing a perfect circular polarization field is much more difficult than an elliptical polarization field, for
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**Fig. 2.** The Fourier transform of the electric field with the asymmetry chirp and the symmetry chirp. The other parameters are consistent with those in Fig. 1. Two pictures in the upper left, the upper right, in the lower left, and the lower right use asymmetrical chirp and symmetrical chirp at \( b = 0.001, b = 0.009, b = 0.03, \) and \( b = 0.06, \) respectively.

To explain the following numerical results, we use Fourier transform of the electric field (13), as shown in Fig. 2. With the increase of the chirp parameter \( b \), the frequency spectra of the electric field with the asymmetrical and symmetrical chirped pulses gradually show a multi-peak structure, and the main peak shifts. Specifically speaking, the main peak of the asymmetrical pulsed electric field gradually moves to the high frequency with the increase of \( b \), while the main peak of the field with symmetrical frequency chirp moves to the direction of zero frequency. At the
same time, both the symmetrical electric field and the asymmetrical electric field have the dynamically assisted Sauter–Schwinger mechanism. Specifically speaking, the asymmetrical electric field can be regarded as a low-frequency strong field at first, and then a high-frequency weak field; while, the symmetrical electric field is a high-frequency weak field at first, then a low-frequency strong field, and finally a high-frequency weak field. Both of the above combinations accord with the basic condition of dynamically assisted Sauter–Schwinger mechanism. What is more, for the symmetrical chirp, the mechanism is more obvious and intuitive. Besides, we will quantitatively explain the formation of the peaks in the momentum spectrum by considering the Fourier transform of the electric field in Subsection 4.2.

4. Numerical results

In this section, we show the main results of particle number density under different symmetrical chirp parameters and different polarizations and the momentum spectra in the linearly polarized field.

4.1. Pair number density

In this subsection, we study the number density of the created electron–positron pairs in different polarizations or different chirp parameters. In Fig. 3, we show the change of the number density with the polarization parameter $\delta$. The expected symmetry can be seen when mirroring $\delta \rightarrow -\delta$. More specifically, we observe the following from Fig. 3. First, when $b$ is small ($b < 0.01 \text{ m}^2$), the curves corresponding to different $b$ values are similar and the relative difference of the number density for different polarizations is large; when $b$ is large ($b > 0.01 \text{ m}^2$), the similarity disappears and the relative difference becomes smaller. And, with the increasing chirp parameter $b$, the peak value of the positron–electron number density also increases significantly. Especially, when $b$ increases from $0.02 \text{ m}^2$ to $0.03 \text{ m}^2$, the number density has been expanded around 15.96 times. Meanwhile, the difference between the number densities of the symmetrical electric field and the asymmetrical electric field is proportional to $b$. The corresponding numbers are provided in Table 1.

| $b$ ($\text{m}^2$) | Number density (Sym) | Number density (Asym) |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 0                | $7.24 \times 10^{-8}$ | $7.24 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.001            | $7.45 \times 10^{-8}$ | $7.28 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.005            | $1.14 \times 10^{-7}$ | $9.53 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.01             | $2.95 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.89 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 0.02             | $2.38 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.43 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 0.03             | $3.79 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.61 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 0.04             | $3.15 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.40 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.05             | $9.52 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.42 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.06             | $1.53 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.58 \times 10^{-4}$ |
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**Fig. 3.** The number density of the pair production varies with the polarization parameter $\delta$, for the different symmetrical chirp parameter $b$. The other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 1.
In Fig. 4, firstly, the number density has been further improved compared with that of the asymmetrical chirp, and the changing trend is consistent. Secondly, when \( b \leq 0.018 \, m^2 \), the number density of linear polarization is significantly higher than that of elliptical polarization and that of circular polarization. But when \( b \geq 0.018 \, m^2 \), the curves of these three polarizations have the same changing trend, and the difference among them is almost indistinguishable (when the chirp parameter \( b \) is the same, the relative error does not exceed 0.1). The phenomenon has been discussed in the reference\(^{[32]}\) that the number density produced by linear polarization is occasionally lower than that of circular polarization and elliptical polarization with the increase of the chirp parameter \( b \).

The most notable phenomenon in Fig. 4 is that the difference between the number density for symmetrical chirp and that for asymmetrical chirp becomes bigger when the chirp parameter \( b \) increases. The reason is that the increase in frequency chirp not only causes the increasing in the Keldysh adiabatic parameter \( \gamma \), but also makes multiphoton pair production gradually dominate. According to Fig. 2, we can make a reasonable explanation, when the electric field with symmetrical chirp has a small chirp parameter (\( b < 0.01 \, m^2 \)), the dynamically assisted Sauter–Schwinger mechanism\(^{[9–11]}\) is not obvious, and the pair generation is dominated by the field strength. So it can be explained in Fig. 4 that when the chirp parameter \( b \) is small (\( b < 0.01 \, m^2 \)), the number density in this paper is a little different from the number density with asymmetrical chirp. On the contrary, when the symmetrical chirped electric field has a large chirp parameter (\( b > 0.01 \, m^2 \)), the effective frequency of the electric field is large and the generation of vacuum electron–positron pair should be dominated by multiphoton pair generation process, and the frequency of the symmetrical chirped electric field becomes higher in the parts of \( t > 0 \) and \( t = 0 \), which means the dynamically assisted Sauter–Schwinger mechanism\(^{[9–11]}\) becomes intense.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Fig. 4.** The number density of the pair production varies with the chirp parameter \( b \) for the different polarization parameters \( \delta = 0 \) (LP), \( \delta = 0.5 \) (EP), and \( \delta = 1 \) (CP). “Sym” and “Asym” represent the field with symmetrical chirp and with asymmetrical chirp, respectively. The other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 1.

We observe that the step-like distortion in Fig. 4 appears, which maybe due to the dynamically assisted Sauter–Schwinger mechanism.\(^{[9–11]}\) In the vicinity of certain specific chirp values, the effect will be enhanced, which maybe needs further exploration in the future.

### 4.2. Momentum spectra

In this subsection, we present our results about the momentum spectra in the linearly polarized field (\( \delta = 0 \)). The elliptically polarized field (\( \delta = 0.5 \)), circularly polarized field (\( \delta = 1.0 \)), and near-circularly polarized field (\( \delta = 0.9 \)) are shown in Appendix A. In the case of linear polarization (\( \delta = 0 \)), the electric field is oriented only along the \( x \)-axis, therefore the momentum spectra have rotational symmetry around the \( q_x \)-axis, as plotted in Fig. 5. In the case of no chirp (\( b = 0 \)), our result is consistent with the results of the references\(^{[15,33]}\). For the non-zero (\( b \neq 0 \)) chirp parameter, the main result is that except the symmetry of the momentum spectra, some strong interference effects also appear, which eventually lead to that the momentum spectra of \( e^+ e^- \) pair tend to have multiple concentric ring structure.

More specifically, firstly, for \( b = 0 \), the main peak region of the momentum spectra evenly distributes at the center, and the momentum spectra are symmetrically distributed in \( q_x \) and \( q_y \) planes. Secondly, by comparing the momentum spectrum for non-chirp with the momentum spectra for the chirp parameters \( b = 0.002 \, m^2 \), \( b = 0.005 \, m^2 \), and \( b = 0.009 \, m^2 \), we find that the shape of momentum spectra changes slightly with the small chirp parameters (\( b < 0.01 \, m^2 \)), but the peak value of the momentum spectra improves significantly (when the chirp parameter increases from \( b = 0 \) to \( b = 0.009 \, m^2 \), the center value of the momentum spectra is enlarged by 3.458 times). Thirdly, when the chirp parameter changes from \( b = 0.0 \) to \( b = 0.002 \, m^2 \), the four small peaks around the central region cannot be observed, and the maximum value of the central region is more than doubled. Fourthly, when the chirp parameter increases to \( b = 0.005 \, m^2 \), the overall shape of the momentum spectra is an elliptical structure, and the distribution range (red and green regions) becomes larger. Finally, when the chirp parameter increases to \( b = 0.009 \, m^2 \), the momentum spectrum is still mainly distributed in the center, and further expanded.

For larger frequency chirp (\( b \geq 0.01 \, m^2 \)), some more complex structures are found in the momentum spectra: the entire momentum spectra have changed significantly, and they are mainly distributed on both sides of the symmetry center, as shown in the lower panels of Fig. 5. Interestingly, when \( b = 0.02 \, m^2 \), the momentum spectrum is divided into two identical main peak regions and two identical sub-main peak regions which are symmetrically distributed on both sides of the center. When \( b \) increases to 0.03 \( m^2 \), the main peak regions (red) of the momentum spectra on both sides move to the center and merge, and the sub-peak regions (green) of the
momentum spectra also move to the center, refer to Fig. 6. In the above case, since the chirp parameter \( b \) of the electric field easily changes the distribution of the turning points on the complex time plane, it is found that the phenomenon is caused by the interference between multiple pairs of the main turning points.\textsuperscript{34}

\[ f(y) = \frac{n_1 \omega_1 + n_2 \omega_2 + \cdots}{2} = \sqrt{q^2 + m^2}, \quad (15) \]

where \( m_c = m \sqrt{1 + \frac{E_0^2}{m^2 c^2}} \) is called the effective mass\textsuperscript{36} and \( n \) is the number of photons. The momentum peaks \( q_0, q_1, q_2, \ldots \)
$q_3$ with $b = 0.06$ in Fig. A1 and the corresponding frequencies in Fig. 2 can be calculated by the above equation. Therefore, the created pairs with momentum $q_0$ correspond to 1 photon absorption processes with frequency $\omega = 1.97$, and $q_1$, $q_2$, $q_3$ correspond to two-photon absorption processes (the photon combinations are $\omega = 0.77$ and $\omega = 1.28$; $\omega = 0.77$ and $\omega = 1.65$; $\omega = 0.77$ and $\omega = 1.97$ respectively).

Combining the results in Appendix A, one obtains some detailed information about the momentum spectrum. It can be found that the momentum spectra are very sensitive to the frequency chirp parameter $b$, which includes the deformation of the ring structure, the appearance of interference effects, and the significant increasing of the single-particle distribution function. For example, in all the cases considered, it is more common that when the frequency chirp parameter increases, the peak value of the momentum spectra will increase strongly. It is easy to understand when the frequency chirp parameter $b$ increases, the effective frequency of the strong field ($\omega_{eff} = \omega + b|E|$) will also increases which means the probability of the multiphoton pair generation process will increase. In other words, if a strong field has a constant frequency ($\omega = 0.6\mu$) and a large frequency chirp, it will contain higher frequency components, so the probability of $e^+e^-$ pair generation will increase. Also, the momentum spectra verify the existence of the dynamically assisted Sauter–Schwinger mechanism [9–11] mentioned above. During the duration of the symmetrically chirped pulse, the “early-time” and “late-time” of the field are also similar to an almost pure multiphoton signal, so $e^+e^-$ pair generation under large chirp parameters is dominated by the multiphoton pair production mechanism. And in different polarizations, with the chirp parameter $b$ increasing, the momentum spectrum shows more and more ring structures, which is created by multiphoton.

5. Summary

Within the DHW formalism, we studied pair production in the four different types of polarized electric fields with symmetrical frequency chirp and compared it with that in the electric field with asymmetrical frequency chirp. The main results of the number density and spectrum of $e^+e^-$ pair, which are generated in the arbitrary polarized electric fields with the symmetrical frequency chirp, are summarized as follows.

Both the differences between the fields with the symmetrical frequency chirp and with the asymmetrical frequency chirp similarly have an effect of the dynamically assisted Sauter–Schwinger mechanism, [9–11] but the composition of the former is a high-frequency weak field at first, then a low-frequency strong field, and finally a high-frequency weak field. And its high-frequency components are more than those of the asymmetrical pulse chirp electric field. Therefore, for different polarizations, with the increase of $b$, the difference between the number density of the symmetrical chirp and that of the asymmetrical chirp also increases. The specific numerical values are given in Table 1. In addition, with the increase of the chirp parameter, the number density of linear polarization is occasionally lower than that of circular polarization and elliptical polarization when the other parameters are the same.

Moreover, for the linearly polarized electric field, with the increase of the chirp parameter $b$, the momentum spectra of $e^+e^-$ pair production exhibit peak expansion and splitting and strong interference effects. There is no doubt that the most complex change in the momentum spectra occurs in the elliptical polarization. For elliptical polarization, near-circular elliptical polarization, and circular polarization, it is found that the main peak region of the momentum spectra will move along the direction of $q_z$ with the increase of the chirp parameter $b$. We think the reason for that is the electric field form in this paper. Specifically speaking, for the polarization parameter $\delta = 0$ (linear polarization), there is no influence of the electric field in $y$-axis $E_y$; when $\delta = 0.5$ (elliptical polarization), the influence of $E_y$ appears. $E_y$ can not only increase the particle number density but also be equivalent to an accelerating electric field. When $\delta = 1.0$ (circular polarization), the influence of $E_y$ is more significant, and the main peak region also has a more obvious oscillation.

However, the most important discovery is that the electric field with symmetrical frequency chirp can clearly reflect the existence of the dynamically assisted Sauter–Schwinger mechanism, [9–11] When the chirp parameter $b$ increases, the momentum spectra of arbitrary polarization will eventually tend to a concentric ring structure, which is caused by the multiphoton process. Because large frequency chirp can provide a lot of higher frequency components, the “early-time” and “late-time” of the duration of the symmetrically chirped pulse are similar to an almost pure multiphoton signal.

In the study, the external laser pulse is limited to a very high electric field intensity and last very short. For a possible explanation for increasing the number of pairs production in terms of multiphoton pair production, a longer pulse study will be necessary. Considering the dramatic increase of the number density and the associated improved experimental observation potential, it is certainly feasible to use smaller electric field values and longer pulse times for research.
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Appendix A: Momentum spectra

A1: Elliptically polarized field $\delta = 0.5$

For the elliptical polarization ($\delta = 0.5$), the momentum spectra are shown in Fig. A1. On the whole, the momentum spectrum has reflection symmetry. When the chirp parameter $b$ is small (such as the first row of Fig. A1), the overall change is relatively mild. More specifically, the overall graph expands away from the center of symmetry, and ring structures gradually appear. When the chirp parameter $b$ is large (such as the lower row of pictures in Fig. A1), the momentum spectra will be quite complicated reordering, which is similar to the linear polarization situation. More specifically, a single extreme value splits into several maxima at first, and then a ring structure gradually appears. Especially for $b = 0.06 \, \text{m}^2$, a ring structure similar to linear polarization appears, and the overall range is expanded. Meanwhile, its peak value has been enhanced by four orders of magnitude, from $9.65 \times 10^{-6}$ (when $b = 0$) to $8.1 \times 10^{-2}$ (when $b = 0.06 \, \text{m}^2$).

![Momentum spectra for elliptically polarized field](image1)

**Fig. A1.** Momentum spectra of $e^+ e^-$ pair production for elliptically polarized field ($\delta = 0.5$) in the $(q_x, q_y)$-plane and with $q_z = 0$. The other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 1. Upper row: the small chirp parameters $b = 0$, 0.002 $\, \text{m}^2$, 0.005 $\, \text{m}^2$, and 0.009 $\, \text{m}^2$. Bottom row: the large chirp parameters $b = 0.02 \, \text{m}^2$, 0.03 $\, \text{m}^2$, 0.04 $\, \text{m}^2$, and 0.06 $\, \text{m}^2$.

A2: Circularly polarized field $\delta = 1.0$

For the circular polarization ($\delta = 1$), the momentum distribution of $e^+ e^-$ pair is shown in Fig. A2. When the chirp parameter $b = 0$, the momentum spectrum shows a ring structure centered at the origin, the weak interference effect, and the oscillation between the hole and the outer ring. These phenomena can be also found in references[21,33,35] and explained by the effective scattering potential in the semiclassical analysis.[15,34] The radius of the ring in momentum spectra can determine the total number of photons used to produce $e^+ e^-$ pair, by considering the effective mass formula of $e^+ e^-$ pair generation according to the energy conservation.[37]

![Momentum spectra for circularly polarized field](image2)

**Fig. A2.** Momentum spectra of $e^+ e^-$ pair production for circularly polarized field ($\delta = 1.0$) in the $(q_x, q_y)$-plane and with $q_z = 0$. The other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 1. Upper row: the small chirp parameters $b = 0$, 0.002 $\, \text{m}^2$, 0.005 $\, \text{m}^2$, and 0.009 $\, \text{m}^2$. Bottom row: the large chirp parameters $b = 0.02 \, \text{m}^2$, 0.03 $\, \text{m}^2$, 0.04 $\, \text{m}^2$, and 0.06 $\, \text{m}^2$. 
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Note that the peak value of the momentum spectrum increases significantly as the frequency chirp $b$ increases, and the main peak region (red) of the momentum spectrum has the oscillation phenomenon. The main peak region (red) of the momentum spectrum is evenly distributed around the center of symmetry for $b = 0$. As the chirp parameter $b$ increases, the main peak region moves toward the negative $p_y$ direction firstly and then toward the positive $p_y$ direction, and finally, tends to uniform when the chirp parameter $b = 0.04 \text{ m}^2$. The reason for the oscillation phenomenon is the electric field we used, in which $E_x$ is symmetrical about the time axis, and the $E_y$ axis is symmetrical about the origin. More specifically, when $\delta = 0$ (linear polarization), there is no influence of $E_y$. And for $\delta = 0.5$ (elliptical polarization), the influence of $E_y$ appears. Meanwhile, $E_x$ can not only increase the pair generation, but the remaining $E_y$ can also be regarded as an accelerating electric field, which can accelerate the generated $e^+e^-$-pair. Consequently, for $\delta = 1.0$ (circular polarization), the influence of $E_x$ is more significant, and with the increasing of the chirp parameter $b$, the central value also fluctuates more significantly.

A3: Near-circularly polarized field $\delta = 0.9$

The characteristic shape of the momentum spectrum may be helpful for the experimental identification of the vacuum $e^+e^-$ pair generation under a strong field. Therefore, the momentum spectrum of the near-circular elliptical polarization ($\delta = 0.9$) is calculated, in Fig. A3. These results are similar to the results of helium ionization in strong-field\cite{38} and the pair production in an electric field with different polarizations.\cite{35} Under a relatively small chirp parameter ($b \leq 0.01 \text{ m}^2$), We can also see the violent effect of chirp. On the other hand, for a large chirp parameter ($b \leq 0.01 \text{ m}^2$), similar to the evolution of circular polarization and elliptical polarization discussed earlier: the momentum spectrum loses the symmetry in the direction of $q_y$ and finally tends to the structure of concentric rings.
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