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Abstract

Background: EEG microstates are defined in the literature as quasi-stable topographies of the electric fields in the ongoing EEG, lasting approximately 100 milliseconds and representing the sub-second coherent activation within global functional brain networks. Recently, we found early alterations in the spatio-temporal dynamics and syntax of brain states in toddlers and preschoolers with autism spectrum disorders (ASD) compared to their typically developing (TD) peers.

Method: Here, we investigated how these alterations evolve over time and how they are impacted by the emergence of comorbid attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in ASD among 49 school-aged children (19 children with ASD-only, 15 children with ASD+ADHD, and 15 TD children).

Results: We found a decreased prevalence of all temporal parameters of microstate map B in school-aged children with ASD compared to TD peers. Children with ASD+ADHD had a significantly lower prevalence of map B compared to children with ASD-only. Moreover, children with ASD+ADHD had a higher prevalence in time coverage and occurrence for microstate map A than children with ASD-only and TD children. Map E of the ASD+ADHD group has a weaker spatial correlation with map E of ASD-only and TD groups. Moreover, the GEV of map E was significantly decreased for the ASD+ADHD group compared to the ASD-only group. We found that the transition probabilities between maps of the ASD+ADHD group, particularly for map B, differed from ASD-only and TD groups. Exploratory longitudinal analysis showed an increase in all temporal parameters of map B in TD children but a decrease in children with ASD, regardless of the ADHD comorbidity.

Limitations: The present study has a small sample size and does not include a group of children with ADHD-only.

Conclusion: Our results show that ADHD comorbidity in school-aged children with ASD impacts the spatial organization and the temporal dynamics of whole-brain networks. Further longitudinal studies are needed to understand better the impact of the emergence of ADHD comorbidity in ASD across ages.

Background

According to DSM-5, major diagnostic criteria for autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are impairments in communication, social interactions, and repetitive behaviors and restricted interests (1), while attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is defined by symptoms of inattention and/or hyperactivity/impulsivity (1). ASD and ADHD are two of the most frequent neurodevelopmental disorders of child psychiatry, with a prevalence among children of 1.85% for ASD (2) and up to 11% for ADHD (3). Since the release of DSM-5 in 2013, the co-diagnosis of both disorders is now possible (1). This update reflects the frequent clinical co-occurrence between both disorders. Indeed, ADHD is one of the most co-occurring psychiatric disorders in ASD (4). According to the literature, up to 85% of children with ASD have ADHD symptoms (4, 5). Conversely, up to two-thirds of children with ADHD have ASD symptoms (5, 6). Several studies showed an impact of comorbid ADHD symptoms amongst children with ASD, with
lower adaptative functioning, quality of life, and social skills (5, 7–9). Shared genetic factors, with a
cross-risk between both disorders (10–12), and environmental risk factors, such as low birth weight (13)
between both disorders suggest some common etiologies. Moreover, observing clinical and neuroimaging
similarities between ASD and ADHD (5), recent hypotheses have emerged concerning potential shared
developmental pathways and mechanisms leading to both disorders (14–17). Among imaging studies, a
common feature of ASD and ADHD is whole-brain functional dysconnectivity (18, 19). Indeed, several
studies have found connectivity particularities in resting-state brain networks (RSNs), such as the Default
Mode Network (DMN) for both disorders separately (for a review, see (20)) and when they co-occur
together (21). However, underlying mechanisms leading to ASD-ADHD comorbidity are not entirely
understood.

Although ASD are now reliably diagnosed around 2 years old (22), the diagnosis of ADHD is usually
confirmed through clinical observations of symptoms after 6 years of age (23). The potential for shared
overlapping causal mechanisms between ASD and ADHD suggests the possibility for early
neurobiological markers of their comorbidity. This would help early and accurate diagnosis as
neurobiological markers may be more easily and precisely measured than clinical phenotypes and
present before clinical symptoms emerge. Electroencephalography (EEG) is a valuable tool to understand
particularities of brain function in neurodevelopmental disorders because of its higher relative tolerance
for movement, higher temporal resolution, and ease of use in daily practice with children with ASD
compared to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

During the last two decades, a growing body of work has focused on high-density EEG microstate
analysis (24). Microstate analysis is a broad-band multichannel EEG approach to examine differences in
whole-brain neuronal networks’ spatial organization and temporal dynamics. Microstates are quasi-stable
topographies of the brain electric field at the scalp with short-lasting periods (around 100 milliseconds),
representing the subsecond coherent activation within global functional brain networks (24). This EEG
method allows investigating the dynamic fluctuation of RSNs at a large-scale level in the pediatric
population with a much higher temporal resolution than MRI (25). Initially, Koenig and colleagues (26)
described four prototypical topographies labeled microstate maps A, B, C, and D that have been retained
through the literature (24).

Resting-state microstate analysis has been well explored in healthy controls and several psychiatric
pathologies, particularly schizophrenia. Indeed, the deviant dynamic of EEG microstate maps C and D are
suggested to represent a promising endophenotype candidate for schizophrenia (27). Recently, we
investigated spatio-temporal dynamics of whole-brain neuronal networks in 113 toddlers and
preschoolers under 6 years old (66 with ASD) using an EEG microstate approach (28). We found
differences in the spatio-temporal dynamics and syntax of brain states in toddlers and preschoolers with
ASD compared to TD peers.

Here we used an EEG microstate approach to study how these alterations evolve over time and how they
are impacted by the emergence of comorbid ADHD in ASD among 49 school-aged children (19 children
with ASD-only, 15 children with ASD+ADHD, and 15 TD children). Here, we used EEG microstate approach to examine differences in the spatial organization and temporal dynamic of resting-state brain networks in a sample of school-aged children with ASD with or without ADHD and TD peers (N = 49, 34 children with ASD, 8 years of age on average). We hypothesized that children with ASD+ADHD would show alterations in the temporal parameters and syntax of microstate maps compared to ASD-only and TD groups. To investigate the impact of the emergence of ADHD in children with ASD better, we performed longitudinal analysis using previous recordings of 21 participants with ASD, and 14 TD acquired when they were younger (4 years of age on average).

Method

Participants

Since we had more boys than girls in the ASD group, and to avoid a gender bias in this study, we decided to focus on males only. In total, 53 participants were recruited for the experiment. We managed to put the cap on 50 participants (34 ASD and 23 TD) as 3 participants with ASD refused it. We excluded one further participant after checking the data quality before starting analysis because of too many movement-related artifacts and insufficient amounts of epochs available for subsequent analysis (see below for detailed EEG preprocessing procedure). As a result, 49 boys were included in the final sample: 19 children with ASD-only (mean age 7.59 years old ± 1.16, range 6.25-10.28), 15 children with ASD+ADHD (mean age 8.45 years old ± 1.32, range 6.44-10.43) and 15 TD peers (mean age 8.73 years old ± 1.51, range 6.24 -11.30). Groups differ by age (p-value = 0.040). This difference is driven mainly by a tendency for the ASD-only group to be younger than the TD group but without a significant difference regarding post hoc pairwise comparisons adjusted with Bonferroni correction (p-value = 0.050). To minimize potential age bias, age was considered as a covariate in further analyses.

All participants were recruited as a part of the longitudinal Autism Brain and Behavior (ABB) lab’s cohort (28–31). The cohort includes children from 3 months old to 12 years old with ASD and TD peers. Children with ASD were recruited in the cohort through local ASD-specialized therapeutic centers and parent associations. Children were included in the ASD group if the clinical diagnosis of ASD was confirmed by exceeding the threshold limit on Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, second version (ADOS-2)(32). Experienced medical doctors or psychologists working in the research team and specialized in ASD identification performed and scored the ADOS-2. To ensure comparability between children’s evaluations, according to age and language level, we used the ADOS-2 calibrated severity scores (total, social affect and restricted and repetitive behaviors severity scores)(33). The mean of total severity score at ADOS-2 was 7.11 ± 2.08 for children with ASD-only and 8.13 ± 1.19 for children with ASD+ADHD. Both groups did not differ in severity of ASD symptoms (p-value = 0.098).

For the TD group, children were recruited through announcements in the Geneva community. They were also assessed with ADOS-2 to ensure the absence of ASD symptoms prior their inclusion in the TD group of the ABB lab’s cohort. All TD participants had a minimal ADOS-2 severity score of 1 prior their inclusion.
Children were not included in the TD group if they presented any neurological or developmental conditions and learning disabilities according to parents’ interview and questionnaire, or if they had a sibling or first-degree parent diagnosed with ASD.

To assess potential ADHD comorbidity in children with ASD, we used the ADHD Child Evaluation (ACE) (34), a diagnostic interview of ADHD with parents for children between 5 and 18 years old. The ACE explores nine symptoms of attention deficit and nine symptoms of hyperactivity/impulsivity. Experienced medical doctors or psychologists working in the research team confirmed the ADHD comorbidity if children met the DSM-5 diagnostic criteria based on the ACE and/or clinical observations. Of the 15 participants with comorbid ADHD, 9 participants met the diagnostic criteria for predominantly inattentive presentation (≥ 6 criteria of attention deficit), 3 participants for predominantly hyperactive/impulsive presentation (≥ 6 criteria of hyperactivity/impulsivity), and 3 participants for combined presentation (≥ 6 criteria of attention deficit and ≥ 6 criteria of hyperactivity/impulsivity).

Only one participant with ASD+ADHD was taking medication (Lysdexamfetamine) at the time of the study. To avoid bias, this child refrained from taking his medication for 24 hours before assessments. Two more boys with ASD+ADHD had previously received stimulants (Methylphenidate) for a short period of time but stopped it several months before the study. Therefore, no participant was taking any medication during assessments.

To investigate other comorbid symptoms that could be present in children with ASD, we used the Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (K-SADS), present version (35). The K-SADS is a semi-structured parents’ interview facilitating the diagnosis of past and current episodes of psychopathology in children and adolescents between 6 and 18 years old according to DSM-5 criteria. Regarding K-SADS interview, 1 participant with ASD met the diagnostic criteria for a comorbid diagnosis of specific phobia and 1 participant with ASD+ADHD met the diagnostic criteria for a generalized anxiety disorder.

Considering the age of participants, for all children finally included in the study, cognitive functioning was assessed using the Wechsler intelligence scale for school-aged children, fifth version (WISC-V)(36). The WISC-V is a standardized assessment used to measure intellectual quotient for children from 6 to 16 years old. Information about intellectual functioning includes five verbal and non-verbal indexes: visual comprehension index, visual spatial index, fluid reasoning index, working memory index, processing speed index. The full-scale intelligence quotient (FSIQ) is referred to as an estimate of overall intelligence. FSIQ was invalid for two participants because of behavioral problems and receptive language difficulties during the assessments. The mean of FSIQ was 99.8 ± 14.7 for children with ASD-only and 90.5 ± 10.4 for children with ASD+ADHD. The ASD+ADHD group tended to have a lower cognitive level than the ASD-only group (p-value = 0.053).

All participants’ characteristics are summarized in Table 1.
Table 1
Participants’ characteristics for ASD-only, ASD+ADHD and TD groups.

|                          | ASD-only | ASD+ADHD | TD     | P-values |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|--------|----------|
| N                        | 19 Males | 15 Males | 15 Males |          |
| Mean, SD (range)         |          |          |        |          |
| Age                      | 7.59 ± 1.16 (6.25-10.28) | 8.45 ± 1.32 (6.44-10.43) | 8.73 ± 1.51 (6.24-11.30) | 0.040* |
|ADOS-2 – Total            | 7.11 ± 2.08 (4-10) | 8.13 ± 1.19 (6-10) | – | 0.098 |
|WISC-V – FSIQ             | 99.8 ± 14.7 (71-118) | 90.5 ± 10.4 (71-105) | – | 0.053 |

ASD = Autism Spectrum Disorders; ADHD = Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder; TD = Typically Developing; ADOS-2 = Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, second version; WISC-V = Wechsler Intelligence Scale for school-aged Children, fifth version; FSIQ = Full Scale Intelligence Quotient; *significant p-values <0.05.

Acquisitions and preprocessing of EEG data

We conducted the experiment in a quiet room and children were seated on a comfortable chair. The experimenter first measured the circumference of the head and then the cap of the corresponding size was prepared (left for 5 minutes in salted water) and placed on the child’s head. During all experiment, we showed to children an age-appropriate animated cartoon of their choice to best capture their attention. The EEG expert visually ensured that the cap was accurately placed. Impedances were measured and electrodes were adjusted to keep the lowest possible values (below 50 kOhm) before starting the recording. We used the Hydrocel Geodesic Sensor Net (HCGSN, Electrical Geodesics, USA) with 129 scalp electrodes at a sampling frequency of 1000 Hz and with onscreen filters set at 0.1–100 Hz during online recording. Around seven minutes of spontaneous EEG recordings were acquired for all the participants included in the study.

Data pre-processing and microstate analysis were done using Cartool (http://sites.google.com/site/cartoolcommunity/) and Matlab (Natick, MA). First, we down-sampled to 110 electrodes montage to exclude electrodes on the cheek and the neck since those are often contaminated with muscular-related artifacts. Data were filtered between 1 and 40 Hz using Butterworth filters and a 50 Hz notch filter was applied. Each file was visually inspected to detect periods of movement-related artifacts and then these periods were excluded. We then performed Independent
Component Analysis (ICA) on all the data to identify and remove the components related to eye movement artifacts (eye blinks, saccades) \((37, 38)\). Electrodes with substantial noise were interpolated using 3D spline interpolation for each recording. For each recording, less than 15\% of the total electrodes of the recording were interpolated. A spatial filter was applied, the cleaned data were down sampled to 125 Hz and were then re-referenced to the average reference. Finally, all data were reinspected one last time by an EEG expert (AB) to ensure that no artifacts had been missed.

**Cross-sectional microstate analysis**

After preprocessing, we individually applied a k-means cluster analysis to the EEG recording of each subject to estimate the optimal topographies explaining the data. The k-means cluster analysis applied a meta-criterion that includes seven independent criteria. See \((39)\) and \((40)\) for a detailed description of these criteria. The clustering was applied only at local maxima of the Global Field Power (GFP), calculated as the standard deviation of all electrodes at a given time point, in order to include only time points of highest signal-to-noise ratio (see \((41)\) for formulas). During the procedure, the polarity of the maps was ignored.

Then, we applied a second k-means cluster analysis at the group level, across all participants with ASD-only, participants with ASD+ADHD and TD participants separately, to obtain the group cluster maps. We then identified and extracted the five prototypical maps that best described the dataset of the three groups separately. We chose _a priori_ to use five microstate maps for analyses in order to allow comparison with our previous study among toddlers and preschoolers with ASD and their TD peers \((28)\).

We computed Pearson's spatial correlation for each of the five microstate maps to ensure that those five prototypical microstate maps were highly similar across the three groups. High spatial correlation coefficients indicated that the microstate classes were indeed similar amongst the three groups, excepted for map E. We therefore used those three templates of five microstate maps extracted from the three groups separately for further analysis. The three templates were fitted back to the original EEG of each subject, depending on their group. During the fitting, spatial correlation was calculated between the cluster maps and each individual data point. Then each data point was labeled with the cluster map that showed the highest correlation, ignoring polarity of the maps. Data points that did not correlate more than 50 percent with a given group cluster map were marked as unlabeled. Only labeled data points were included in the analysis as unlabeled data points and periods marked as artifacts during the preprocessing were excluded. For all participants individually, more than 50\% of their EEG recording was labeled with one of the five microstate maps.

**Statistical analysis**

We investigated group differences between the four temporal parameters of each microstate maps using one-way ANCOVA, with age as a covariate. We then applied post hoc pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni correction.
We analyzed the transition probabilities from each EEG microstate to any other using Markov chains. We divided the observed probabilities by the expected probabilities to account for the variability in occurrence of the maps as previously described in the literature (40, 42, 43). The transition probabilities between microstate maps were compared between the three groups (ASD-only, ASD+ADHD, TD groups) using one-way ANOVA and post hoc pairwise comparisons adjusted with Bonferroni correction.

**Longitudinal analysis**

To understand the evolution of microstate maps’ temporal parameters across age better, we performed exploratory longitudinal analysis using mixed model regression analysis with Matlab (Natick, MA). Among the 34 school-aged children with ASD with or without ADHD, 21 children had a previous 5-minute resting-state recording already acquired during a precedent visit in the lab. In addition, 14 children of the 15 school-aged TD children had a previous recording available (see Table 2 for preschoolers’ characteristics). In total, 84 recordings were included in the longitudinal analysis.

| Table 2 | Preschoolers’ characteristics for ASD with or without later ADHD, and TD groups. |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | ASD | TD | P-values |
| N       | 21 Males | 14 Males | 0.499 |
| Participants | Age | Mean, SD (range) |
|         | 4.15 ± 1.19 | (1.75 – 6.50) | 3.77 ± 1.28 | (1.72 – 5.45) |

Participants who have a previous resting-state EEG recordings acquired during a precedent visit in the longitudinal protocol. ASD = Autism Spectrum Disorders; ADHD = Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder; TD = Typically Developing.

The preprocessing procedure and the microstate analysis were done exactly as described above for the preschoolers’ recordings. The only difference is that we performed microstate analysis on two groups separately: 21 preschoolers with ASD with or without later ADHD and 14 TD peers. Indeed, we did not split the ASD group between ASD-only and ASD+ADHD children, as at that time, the diagnosis of comorbid ADHD was not confirmed. As for school-aged children, the five dominant maps template were extracted for both groups separately and were used for the back-fitting procedure to compute the four temporal parameters (GEV, mean duration, time coverage, occurrence). We then focused on microstate map B, as we obtained a significant group difference in our previous study among preschoolers and the present study.
We ran the mixed model regression analysis using the longitudinal values of temporal parameters extracted from 21 preschoolers with ASD with or without later ADHD, 14 TD preschoolers, 19 school-aged children with ASD-only, 15 school-aged children with ASD+ADHD, and 15 school-aged TD children. We computed the group effect and interaction for the three groups (ASD-only, ASD+ADHD and TD). Then, to measure the impact of ADHD comorbidity in children with ASD, we ran the mixed model regression analysis only with both groups of children with ASD (ASD-only, ASD+ADHD).

**Results**

*Cross-sectional microstate analysis*

We selected the five dominant maps template extracted from the k-means cluster analysis across the three school-aged groups, which explained 81.7, 81.6 and 84.9 percent of the total variance for the ASD-only group, the ASD+ADHD group and the TD group respectively (Figure 1). The templates of five dominant maps extracted from the three groups separately were highly similar (see Figure 2 for Pearson's spatial correlations) and were retained for further analysis.

The three templates of five dominant maps were visually highly similar to those we found in our previous study among 113 preschoolers with ASD and their TD peers (28) and to those previously described in the literature, and were therefore labeled maps A, B, C, D, and E accordingly.

When looking for differences between the three groups in temporal parameters for the five maps (see Figure 3), covarying for age participants, we found differences in all temporal parameters (GEV, mean duration, time coverage, occurrence) of map B (all p < 0.001). Moreover, we found significant differences for time coverage (p-value = 0.006) and occurrence (p-value = 0.004) of map A between the three groups. Regarding post hoc pairwise comparisons, adjusted with Bonferroni correction, we found a decreased prevalence of map B in children with ASD (ASD only and ASD+ADHD) compared to their TD peers (p-values ranging from <0.001 to 0.007). Moreover, the ASD+ADHD group had decreased time coverage (p-value = 0.002) and occurrence (p-value = 0.001) for map B in comparison to the ASD-only group. For map A, the ASD+ADHD group had increased time coverage and occurrence compared to the ASD-only group (p-values = 0.042 and 0.048 respectively) and TD group (p-values = 0.008 and 0.005 respectively). There was no significant difference for time coverage and occurrence of map A between the ASD-only group and the TD group. Finally, the GEV of map E was significantly decreased for ASD+ADHD group compared to ASD-only group (p-value = 0.015).

There was no significant difference in temporal parameters for map C and D between the three groups (p-values ranging from p-value = 0.101 to p-value = 0.819).

See Table 3 for temporal parameters values for both groups.
| Table 3 |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | ASD-only | ASD+ADHD | TD | One-way ANCOVA |
| Temporal parameters | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | F | p-values |
| Map A | Global Explained Variance | 0.07021 ± 0.01727 | 0.07921 ± 0.01687 | 0.06943 ± 0.01557 | 1.609 | 0.211 |
| Mean Duration (ms) | 68.81 ± 2.103 | 70.60 ± 3.336 | 69.24 ± 3.144 | 1.370 | 0.264 |
| Time Coverage (%) | 15.36 ± 2.343 | 18.01 ± 2.797 | 15.28 ± 2.394 | 5.766 | 0.006** |
| Occurrence (s⁻¹) | 1.982 ± 0.2550 | 2.238 ± 0.2604 | 1.958 ± 0.2135 | 6.147 | 0.004** |
| Map B | Global Explained Variance | 0.07808 ± 0.01676 | 0.06366 ± 0.01691 | 0.1042 ± 0.01914 | 20.190 | <0.001*** |
| Mean Duration (ms) | 70.41 ± 1.898 | 68.08 ± 2.900 | 73.70 ± 3.008 | 17.426 | <0.001*** |
| Time Coverage (%) | 17.69 ± 2.342 | 14.15 ± 2.407 | 21.08 ± 3.045 | 26.716 | <0.001*** |
| Occurrence (s⁻¹) | 2.216 ± 0.2396 | 1.848 ± 0.2518 | 2.494 ± 0.2741 | 24.468 | <0.001*** |
| Map C | Global Explained Variance | 0.2167 ± 0.06129 | 0.2322 ± 0.06688 | 0.2350 ± 0.05848 | 0.328 | 0.722 |
| Mean Duration (ms) | 83.08 ± 6.829 | 87.24 ± 11.19 | 84.51 ± 6.677 | 1.022 | 0.368 |
| Time Coverage (%) | 29.42 ± 6.098 | 33.68 ± 7.838 | 30.18 ± 5.421 | 1.832 | 0.172 |
| Occurrence (s⁻¹) | 2.993 ± 0.3335 | 3.213 ± 0.2504 | 3.019 ± 0.2788 | 2.41 | 0.101 |
| Map D | Global Explained Variance | 0.08541 ± 0.03277 | 0.09431 ± 0.03630 | 0.08001 ± 0.03456 | 0.649 | 0.527 |
| Mean Duration (ms) | 71.87 ± 5.241 | 72.41 ± 4.193 | 71.28 ± 4.708 | 0.201 | 0.819 |
| Time Coverage (%) | 18.97 ± 4.771 | 18.00 ± 4.545 | 17.02 ± 5.348 | 0.515 | 0.601 |
| Occurrence (s⁻¹) | 2.295 ± 0.3655 | 2.173 ± 0.4247 | 2.083 ± 0.5208 | 0.755 | 0.476 |
| Map E | Global Explained Variance | 0.09501 ± 0.02094 | 0.06608 ± 0.02564 | 0.07931 ± 0.03049 | 4.344 | 0.019* |
| Mean Duration (ms) | 72.00 ± 2.832 | 70.15 ± 4.259 | 70.47 ± 4.048 | 0.998 | 0.377 |
|                                | ASD-only      | ASD+ADHD     | TD           | One-way ANCOVA |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Time Coverage (%)              | 18.56 ± 3.018 | 16.16 ± 4.940 | 16.44 ± 5.172 | 1.097          | 0.343          |
| Occurrence (s⁻¹)               | 2.267 ± 0.2710| 2.014 ± 0.5330| 2.043 ± 0.5361| 1.153          | 0.325          |

ASD = Autism Spectrum Disorders; ADHD = Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder; TD = Typically Developing; *significant p-values <0.05; **significant p-values <0.01; ***significant p-values <0.001.

**Transitions probabilities**

Using a one-way ANOVA, we found different transitions probabilities between the three groups regarding transitions from map A to map B (p-value = 0.002), C (p-value = 0.002), and D (p-value = 0.004), from map C to map A (p-value < 0.001), and B (p-value = 0.002), and from map D to B (p-value = 0.003). Regarding the pairwise comparisons, corrected with Bonferroni for multiple comparisons, there were no significant difference in transitions probabilities between ASD-only and TD groups. However, we found decreased transitions from maps A, C and D to map B for ASD+ADHD group compared to both ASD-only and TD groups. Moreover, we found increased transitions from map C to A, for ASD+ADHD group compared to both ASD-only and TD groups, and increased transitions from map A to C for ASD+ADHD group compared to ASD-only group.

See Figure 4 for transitions probabilities statistics values.

**Longitudinal analysis**

When looking for map B (Figure 5) temporal parameters evolution across age using mixed model analysis, we found significant group effect and significant interaction between the three groups for all parameters (all p-values group effect <0.001 and all p-values interaction <0.001). Indeed, we measured a decrease in all parameters for children with ASD (ASD-only and ASD+ADHD groups) but an increase in all parameters for TD children (Figure 6). The difference between group was driven mainly by the difference between TD and ASD groups regardless of ADHD comorbidity. Indeed, regarding pairwise comparisons between ASD-only and ASD+ADHD group, there was only a tendency for group effect for occurrence of map B (p-value = 0.0503). However, there was no group effect for other temporal parameters (p-values GEV = 0.494, mean duration = 0.360, time coverage = 0.106) nor interaction (p-values GEV = 0.796, mean duration = 0.942, time coverage = 0.435, occurrence = 0.2244).

**Discussion**

The present study explored the spatial organization and temporal dynamics of resting-state brain networks and their evolution over time in a sample of school-aged children with ASD with or without ADHD and TD peers. We extracted a five maps template for each of the three groups separately. Maps
were highly similar to those extracted from the preschoolers’ sample in our previous study and were therefore labeled accordingly maps A, B, C, D, and E (28). When comparing temporal parameters between the three groups with cross-sectional analysis, we found a decreased prevalence of all temporal parameters of map B in school-aged children with ASD (ASD-only and ASD+ADHD groups) compared to TD peers. Children with ASD+ADHD had a significantly lower prevalence of map B compared to children with ASD-only. Moreover, children with ASD+ADHD had a higher prevalence in time coverage and occurrence for microstate map A than children with ASD-only and TD children. In addition to a weaker spatial correlation for map E of ASD+ADHD group, the GEV of map E was significantly decreased for ASD+ADHD group compared to ASD-only group. Moreover, we found a specific dynamic pattern for children with ASD+ADHD regarding the transition probabilities between each map. Indeed, we found no significant difference between ASD-only and TD groups. However, we found decreased transitions probabilities from maps A, C, and D to map B for ASD+ADHD group compared to both ASD-only and TD groups. We also found increased transitions from map C to A for ASD+ADHD group compared to ASD-only and TD groups, and increased transitions from map A to C for ASD+ADHD group compared to ASD-only group.

Altogether, those results showed that school-aged children with ASD and comorbid ADHD showed a specific pattern of microstate maps topography, temporality, and dynamic compared to children with ASD-only and TD peers. These specificities may represent the alterations in RSNs connectivity leading to ADHD-ASD comorbidity reported in the MRI literature (21, 44). To understand the functional significance of microstates, the seminal EEG-fMRI study of Britz and colleagues associated each microstate with a specific RSN (45). In their study, map 1, corresponding to our map A, was related to negative activation in bilateral superior and middle temporal gyri (45). The authors linked those areas with phonological processing. In parallel, map 2, corresponding to our map B, was related to activation in bilateral extrastriate visual areas associated with the visual network. Britz and colleagues initially linked microstate map C with activation in the anterior cingulate cortex, bilateral inferior frontal gyri, and the insula (45), referred to the salience network (46). More recently, microstate map C has been related to the Default Mode Network (DMN)(40, 47), with bilateral activity in the lateral part of the parietal lobe and middle temporal gyrus found in EEG-fMRI study of Bréchet and colleagues (40). Map E from our dataset visually corresponds to microstate map 4 described in Britz and colleagues’ study, with a fronto-central maximum (45). The authors found a correlation between map 4 and activation in the right-lateralized dorsal and ventral areas of the frontal and parietal cortex (45). They linked those ventral and dorsal fronto-parietal areas to attention processes such as detection of stimuli and orientation of attention, respectively (45, 48). Using functional MRI, Yerys and colleagues highlighted a correlation between decreased connectivity within salience/ventral attention sub-network and between frontoparietal sub-networks with ADHD symptoms in children with ASD (44). Moreover, Wang and colleagues showed an impact of the comorbidity between ASD and ADHD compared to ASD alone on DMN connectivity (21). They found an increased intra-connectivity of the bilateral posterior cingulate cortex of the DMN and increased interconnectivity between the DMN and somatomotor networks.
To the best of our knowledge, only a few other studies using a microstate approach in individuals with ASD have been published (49–55). Across resting-state studies, D’Croz-Baron and colleagues found six microstate maps that best described their dataset during an eyes-closed condition (49). They found an increased occurrence for microstate maps B and E in young adults with ASD compared to their TD peers. There was a trend for map C being more present in their control group. Jia and Yu also highlighted a microstate map B more prevalent in occurrence and time coverage, a decreased map A regarding the mean duration, and a decreased map C regarding the mean duration and time coverage when comparing 5- to 18-year-old children with ASD to their TD peers (52). Finally, Nagabhushan Kalburgi and colleagues found an increased mean duration but a decreased occurrence in microstate map C in children (8-14 years old) with ASD compared to TD in an eyes-closed condition (54). In the study of Jia and Yu, none of the ASD children had ever received a diagnosis of comorbid ADHD, but some participants were taking stimulants medication (52). The medication was interrupted for the study. In Nagabhushan and colleagues’ study, 6 children with ASD were taking various ADHD medications and took their usual dose when assessed for the study (54).

Our previous study among toddlers and preschoolers with ASD and TD peers found opposite results regarding microstate map B (28). We found an increased prevalence for all temporal parameters in toddlers and preschoolers with ASD compared to their TD peers (28). Our exploratory longitudinal analysis indicated a decrease in all parameters for children with ASD (ASD-only and ASD+ADHD groups) but an increase in all parameters for TD children. The difference between groups was mostly driven by the difference between TD and ASD groups regardless of ADHD comorbidity except for the occurrence, which showed a tendency for a group effect between ASD-only and ASD+ADHD. The reversal in the prevalence of map B in ASD may represent the developmental shift in functional connectivity suggested by Uddin and colleagues, 2013 (56). The authors highlighted in the literature that studies exploring resting-state fMRI of young children with ASD mostly found brain hyperconnectivity while hypoconnectivity was prevalent in an older population with ASD (adolescents, adults). The shift may be accentuated by the emergence of comorbidity such as ADHD.

Few studies have investigated the evolution of microstate maps parameters across age among the general population, with divergent findings (43, 57, 58). On the one hand, Tomescu and colleagues explored the evolution of mean duration and occurrence of four microstate maps (A to D) in eyes-closed resting-state condition, starting from 6 years old (43). They found a general tendency for an increased microstates mean duration with age except for microstate map C. Indeed, in TD boys, microstate map C showed a nonlinear evolution with an increase in mean duration from childhood to adolescence and later on from 20 to 60 years but showed a tendency of a decreased mean duration from adolescence to young adulthood. In addition, the authors highlighted a trend for decreased microstates occurrence across ages, driven mainly by a decrease in map D in male children and adolescents. On the other hand, Koenig and colleagues found a general decrease in microstates mean duration across age in the TD population, except for microstate map C, which also presented a nonlinear evolution with a maximal mean duration around late adolescence (57). Koenig and colleagues concluded that children between 6 and 12 years old showed relatively stable microstates temporal parameters. However, we are the first study exploring the
effect of age on microstate maps parameters before 6 years old. We focused on microstate map B but considering the critical developmental period for brain changes during the first years of life, we assume spatio-temporal dynamics variations in parameters of other microstate maps during this period. Moreover, we are the first study investigating the evolution of microstate maps parameters across age using longitudinal data.

Limitations

The major limitation of this study is the small sample size. Potential co-occurring conditions play a role in the heterogeneity in ASD, and poor recognition of co-morbidities probably contributes to the divergence in the literature on ASD. Therefore, we decided to split the ASD group into two subgroups (ASD-only, ASD+ADHD) to account for their frequent clinical co-occurrence. The small sample size is also a limitation to longitudinal analyses, which are therefore only exploratory. Indeed, we used two large age windows (preschoolers versus school-aged children), which do not allow us to have a clear picture of the microstate map B evolution across age. A better way to explore longitudinal data might be by doing a sliding window analysis of an age interval of one year. This would allow following more precisely the evolution of microstates maps even if the evolution is not linear. The second major limitation of the present study is that we don’t have an ADHD-only group. Moreover, no study has yet explored microstate analysis among ADHD individuals to the best of our knowledge. Therefore, we cannot conclude that the alterations found in the ASD+ADHD group are the addition of the modifications in ASD and ADHD separately or if there is a synergic effect. Finally, there is a difference between the three age groups, driven by a tendency for the ASD-only group to be younger than the TD group. We covaried with age to limit the impact of age on group comparisons. Still, results should be taken with caution. Further longitudinal analyses with more significant sample are needed to understand better the evolution of microstate maps across age in children with ASD considering the emergence of potential comorbidities in children with ASD.

Conclusions

Only a few studies have been published using resting-state microstates analysis among children with ASD. Moreover, no study has considered the frequent clinical comorbidity between ASD and ADHD. The present study is the first to investigate the evolution of alterations in resting-state networks dynamics among children with ASD, specifically looking at the emergence of ADHD. Our results show that ADHD comorbidity in school-aged children with ASD impacts the spatio-temporal dynamics and syntax of whole-brain resting-state networks. Moreover, exploratory longitudinal analysis showed an increase in all temporal parameters of map B in TD children but a decrease in children with ASD, regardless of the ADHD comorbidity across ages. Further longitudinal studies with a bigger sample size are needed to fully understand the evolution of microstates considering the impact of potential comorbidities in ASD.
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Figures
Figure 1

Template of 5 dominant microstate maps, labeled maps A, B, C, D, and E, extracted from school-aged children with ASD-only, children with ASD+ADHD and TD children separately. Polarity of the maps must be ignored. ASD = Autism Spectrum Disorders; ADHD = Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder; TD = Typically Developing.
Figure 2

Pearson’s spatial correlation coefficients between ASD-only, ASD+ADHD and TD groups. Polarity of the maps must be ignored. ASD = Autism Spectrum Disorders; ADHD = Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder; TD = Typically Developing.

Figure 3

Temporal parameters of the microstates. Pairwise comparisons between ASD-only, ASD+ADHD, and TD groups for the temporal parameters a) Global explained variance (GEV), b) Mean duration, c) Time coverage, d) Occurrence. P-values are adjusted with Bonferroni correction for multiple pairwise comparisons; *p-values < 0.05; **p-values < 0.01; ***p-values < 0.001. Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. ASD = Autism Spectrum Disorders; ADHD = Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder; TD = Typically Developing.
Figure 4

Comparisons of transitions probabilities of each map between ASD-only, ASD+ADHD, and TD groups using Markov chains with a) only significant difference and b) all p-values for post hoc pairwise comparisons. P-values are adjusted with Bonferroni correction for multiple pairwise comparisons; *p-values < 0.05; **p-values < 0.01; ***p-values < 0.001. ASD = Autism Spectrum Disorders; ADHD = Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder; TD = Typically Developing.
Figure 5

Template of map B extracted from preschoolers with ASD, TD preschoolers, school-aged children with ASD-only, children with ASD+ADHD and TD children separately. Polarity of maps must be ignored. ASD = Autism Spectrum Disorders; ADHD = Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder; TD = Typically Developing.
Figure 6

Exploratory longitudinal analysis for ASD-only, ASD+ADHD, and TD groups regarding map B for the temporal parameters a) Global explained variance (GEV), b) Mean duration, c) Time coverage, d) Occurrence. ASD = Autism Spectrum Disorders; ADHD = Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder; TD = Typically Developing. Results are expressed as mean ± confidence interval.