Fundamental laser modes in paraxial optics: from computer algebra and simulations to experimental observation
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Abstract We study multi-parameter solutions of the inhomogeneous paraxial wave equation in a linear and quadratic approximation which include oscillating laser beams in a parabolic waveguide, spiral light beams, and other important families of propagation-invariant laser modes in weakly varying media. A “smart” lens design and a similar effect of superfocusing of particle beams in a thin monocrystal film are also discussed. In the supplementary electronic material, we provide a computer algebra verification of the results presented here, and of some related mathematical tools that were stated without proofs in the literature. We also demonstrate how computer algebra can be used to derive some of the presented formulas automatically, which is highly desirable as the corresponding hand calculations are very tedious. In numerical simulations, some of the new solutions reveal quite exotic properties which deserve further investigation including an experimental observation.
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1 Introduction

In this article, we study multi-parameter laser modes in (linear) paraxial optics with the help of computer algebra methods by using an analogy with quantum mechanics. In particular, the Ermakov-type system’s approach to generalized quantum harmonic oscillators is utilized to paraxial, or parabolic, wave equations in a weakly inhomogeneous lens-like medium. Although several different techniques are widely available for integrating the (scalar) parabolic equations (see, for instance, contemporary reviews [3, 74, 124, 131, 140, 152] and the references therein), we would like to explore a variant of the Fresnel integral and a certain generalization of the lens transformation [97] combined with explicit solutions of the Ermakov-type system introduced in [90] as an alternative to the traditional ABCD law of paraxial optics [73, 74].

Knowledge of solutions of this system is particularly useful as they describe the propagation of Gaussian beams through various optical elements and devices that are subject to a noisy environment. We demonstrate that this approach gives a natural mathematical description of other special laser modes in optical systems under consideration. In the spirit of a modern “doing science by a computer” paradigm, a computer algebra derivation of all main results is given in the form of a Mathematica notebook [80], with the aid of algorithmic tools presented in [75–77]. One
specific aim of this paper is to advertise and propagate the usage of computer algebra methods in physics. Our intention is to convince the reader that, in many instances, tedious hand calculations and longish proofs can be replaced by “pressing a button”.

For example, today’s computer algebra systems—like Mathematica which we utilize in this work—are powerful enough to verify that the expression

\[
\psi(x, t) = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha t}} \exp \left( i \frac{2x^2 + \delta x - \delta^2 t}{1 + 4\alpha t} \right) + \frac{i\beta^2 t}{1 + 4\alpha t} \left( e + \frac{\beta x - 2\beta \delta t}{1 + 4\alpha t} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\beta^4 t^2}{(1 + 4\alpha t)^2} \right) \times \text{Ai} \left( e + \beta x - 2\beta \delta t - \frac{\beta^4 t^2}{(1 + 4\alpha t)^2} \right),
\]

which corresponds to Eq. (3.6) below, is a particular solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation \( i\psi_t + \psi_{xx} = 0 \). Note that this verification is done on a completely symbolic and rigorous level, working with the symbolic parameters \( \alpha, \beta, \delta, \) and \( e \). In this instance, it suffices to enter the definition of \( \psi(x, t) \) and the expression \( i\psi_t + \psi_{xx} \) into the computer and employ the command FullSimplify; the result is 0, as expected. In other examples, one needs to assist the computer algebra system in the simplification task, as explained in the main part of the paper. A different approach, which is also presented here, consists in automatically deriving a differential equation satisfied by a given expression. In our example, this means that from the input expression \( \psi(x, t) \) above, the computer is able to derive the equation \( i\psi_t + \psi_{xx} = 0 \), using algorithms implemented in the HolonomicFunctions package [76]; more details on this example are given in Sect. 4.

In general, (multi-parameter) laser modes describe the beam deviation from the optical axis and an oblique propagation in the optical system, which is usually not discussed in the literature. They also correspond to the maximal kinematical invariance groups of the paraxial wave equations. We believe that all these new features may help the reader to use this approach in numerical simulations, as well as in the future experiment design and observations. The computer codes for all fundamental laser
modes in question are provided, which may stimulate a new interest to this classical topic in paraxial optics.

For a more traditional approach to the paraxial wave equations and for their numerous applications in optics and engineering, the reader can be referred to the classical accounts [3, 9, 12, 16, 27, 28, 48, 50, 55, 74, 124, 128, 141, 143, 144, 146, 147, 151, 152]. The interested reader is referred to [9, 44, 50, 61, 91, 103] for further details on the transition from Maxwell to paraxial wave optics; see also [5, 6, 82, 138], and [148] for different aspects of geometrical optics and paraxial approximation in the diffraction theory. Among contemporary topics, a modern status of the concept of photon, second quantization, photon spin, helicity, and angular momentum are discussed in [24, 25, 63–65, 81, 87, 112]; see also the references therein. Gaussian light packages, that are highly localized in space and time, are investigated in [69–71].

The article is organized as follows: In the next section, we discuss basics of our approach, including the Green’s Function and Fresnel Integrals, as well as the derivation of the above-mentioned Ermakov-type system. This is followed, in Sect. 3, by a review of various multi-parameter laser modes and some of their applications. In Sect. 4, we explain the computer algebra tools employed in this article. Useful vectorial solutions of Maxwell’s equations in paraxial approximation are derived in appendices. Our numerical simulations, see [80], reveal some quite exotic properties of the laser modes under consideration. We believe that they deserve an experimental verification in some spectacular 2D and 3D experiments on the beam propagation.

2 Green’s function and Fresnel integrals for inhomogeneous media

This section comprises a brief survey of results established in [33, 45, 90, 97, 100, 132, 133] (see also the references therein for the classical accounts) which are composed here in a compact form in order to make our presentation as self-contained as possible. In addition, we present independent proofs in the supplementary electronic material [80] for the reader’s benefits. In the context of paraxial optics, this approach, among other things, allows one to unify various laser modes introduced and studied by different authors (a detailed bibliography is provided below but we apologize in advance if an important reference is missing.)

2.1 Unidimensional case

Recent advances in quantum mechanics of generalized harmonic oscillators can be utilized in order to solve similar problems concerning the light propagation in a general lens-like medium [45, 48, 73, 74, 83, 86, 97, 100, 123].

2.1.1 Green’s function and generalized Fresnel integrals

In the context of quantum mechanics, the 1D linear Schrödinger equation for generalized driven harmonic oscillators,

$$i\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -a(t)\psi_{xx} + b(t)x^2\psi - ic(t)x\psi_x - id(t)\psi - f(t)x\psi_x + ig(t)\psi_x,$$

(2.1)

(a, b, c, d, f, and g are suitable real-valued functions of the time t only), can be solved by the integral superposition principle:

$$\psi(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x, y, t)\psi(y, 0) \, dy,$$

(2.2)

where Green’s function $G(x, y, t)$ is given by

$$G(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i \mu_0(t)}} \exp \left( i (x_0(t)x^2 + \beta_0(t)xy + \gamma_0(t)y^2 + \delta_0(t)x + \epsilon_0(t)y + \kappa_0(t)) \right),$$

(2.3)

for suitable initial data $\psi(x, 0) = \varphi(x)$ (see [33, 90, 133] and the references therein for more details.)

The functions $x_0(t)$, $\beta_0(t)$, $\gamma_0(t)$, $\delta_0(t)$, $\epsilon_0(t)$, and $\kappa_0(t)$ are given by [33, 133]:

$$x_0(t) = \frac{1}{4a(t)} \frac{\mu_0(t)}{\mu_0(t)} - \frac{d(t)}{2a(t)}$$

(2.4)

$$\beta_0(t) = -\frac{\hat{\lambda}(t)}{\mu_0(t)}$$

$$\hat{\lambda}(t) = \exp \left( - \int_0^t \left( c(s) - 2d(s) \right) ds \right),$$

(2.5)

$$\gamma_0(t) = \frac{1}{2a(t)} \frac{\mu_0(t)}{\mu_0(t)} + \frac{d(0)}{2a(0)}$$

(2.6)

and

$$\delta_0(t) = \frac{\hat{\lambda}(t)}{\mu_0(t)} \int_0^t \left( \frac{f(s) - \frac{d(s)}{a(s)} g(s)}{a(s)} \mu_0(s) + \frac{g(s)}{2a(s)} \rho_0(s) \right) ds 
- \frac{\epsilon_0(t)}{\rho_0(t)} 
- \frac{\kappa_0(t)}{\rho_0(t)} \int_0^t \left( \frac{f(s) - \frac{d(s)}{a(s)} g(s)}{a(s)} \rho_0(s) \right) ds.$$

(2.7)
\[ \kappa_0(t) = \int_0^t \delta_0(s)(g(s) - a(s)\delta_0(s)) \, ds \]
\[ = \frac{a(t)\mu_0(t)}{\mu'_0(t)} \delta_0^2(t) - 4 \int_0^t \frac{a(s)\sigma(s)}{\mu_0(s)}(\mu_0(s)\delta_0(s))^2 \, ds \]
\[ - 2 \int_0^t \frac{a(s)}{\mu'_0(s)} \mu_0(s)\delta_0(s) \left( f(s) - \frac{d(s)}{a(s)} g(s) \right) \, ds \]
\[ = \frac{a(t)\mu_0(t)}{\mu'_0(t)} \delta_0^2(t) - 4 \frac{\sigma(t)}{\mu_0(t)} \delta_0^2(t) - 2 \frac{a(t)}{\mu'_0(t)} \mu_0(t)\delta_0(t) \left( f(t) - \frac{d(t)}{a(t)} g(t) \right) \]
\[ - 2 \frac{a(t)}{\mu'_0(t)} \mu_0(t)\delta_0(t) \left( f(t) - \frac{d(t)}{a(t)} g(t) \right) \]
\[ \text{provided that } \mu_0(t) \text{ and } \mu_1(t) \text{ are the standard (real-valued) solutions of the characteristic equation:} \]
\[ \mu''(t) - \tau(t)\mu'(t) + 4\sigma(t)\mu(t) = 0 \]
\[ \text{with varying coefficients} \]
\[ \tau(t) = \frac{a'}{a} - 2c + 4d, \quad \sigma(t) = ab - cd + d^2 \frac{a'}{a} \frac{d'}{d}, \]
\[ \text{subject to the initial conditions } \mu_0(0) = 0, \mu'_0(0) = 2a(0) \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu_1(0) = 0, \mu'_1(0) = 0. \]
\[ \text{The Wronskian of these standard solutions is given by} \]
\[ W(\mu_0, \mu_1) = \mu_0\mu'_1 - \mu'_0\mu_1 = -2\mu_1(t)\alpha(t)\gamma(t). \]

Our coefficients (2.4)–(2.9) satisfy the so-called Riccati-type system, see the unidimensional case of Eqs. (2.41)–(2.46) below with \( c_0 = 0 \) [90], subject to the following asymptotic expansions
\[ \alpha_0(t) = \frac{1}{4a(0)t} + O(t), \]
\[ \beta_0(t) = -\frac{1}{2a(0)t} + O(t), \]
\[ \gamma_0(t) = \frac{1}{4a(0)t} + \frac{c_0(0)}{4a(0)} - \frac{a'(0)}{8a^2(0)} + O(t), \]
\[ \delta_0(t) = \frac{g_0(0)}{2a(0)} + O(t), \]
\[ \varepsilon_0(t) = \frac{g_0(0)}{2a(0)} + O(t), \]
\[ \kappa_0(t) = O(t) \]
as \( t \to 0 \). As a result,
\[ G(x, y, t) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i \alpha(0)t}} \exp \left( i \frac{(x - y)^2}{4a(0)t} \right) \times \exp \left( -i \frac{a'(0)}{8a(0)} (x - y)^2 + \frac{c_0(0)}{4a(0)} (x^2 - y^2) - \frac{g_0(0)}{2a(0)} (x - y) \right). \]

Here, \( f \sim g \) as \( t \to 0 \), if \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f}{g} = 1 \). (For applications, say to random media [120, 136], the integrals are treated in the most general way which includes stochastic calculus; see, for example, [111].)

Note: Most of these results were only stated in the original publications because its detailed calculations are pretty messy and time-consuming without use of algorithmic tools. In this article, for the reader’s benefit we present systematic computer algebra proofs of these results [80].

In the context of paraxial optics, when the time variable \( t \) represents the coordinate in the direction of the system optical axis, say \( s \), for wave propagation, the expressions (2.2)–(2.3) can be thought of as a generalization of Fresnel integrals [8, 9, 27, 45, 55, 83–86, 100, 144]. The corresponding Schrödinger equation (2.1), with \( t \to s \), can be referred to as a generalized paraxial or parabolic wave equation [97, 100].

### 2.1.2 Special beam modes in weakly inhomogeneous media

An important particular solution (generalized Hermite-Gaussian beams in optics) of the parabolic equation (2.1) is given by [90]:
\[ \psi_n(x, s) = \frac{e^{i(\alpha x^2 + \beta x + \gamma)}}{\sqrt{2\pi n!\mu\sqrt{\pi}}} e^{-(\beta x + \gamma)^2/2} H_n(\beta x + \gamma), \]
\[ (2.15) \]
where \( H_n(x) \) are the Hermite polynomials [110]. Here,
\[ \mu = \mu(0)\mu_0 \sqrt{\beta^4(0) + 4(\alpha(0) + \gamma_0)^2}, \]
\[ \alpha = \alpha_0 - \beta_0^2 \frac{\beta(0)}{\beta^2(0) + 4(\alpha(0) + \gamma_0)^2}, \]
\[ \beta = \frac{\beta(0)}{\beta^2(0) + 4(\alpha(0) + \gamma_0)^2} = \frac{\beta(0)\mu_0}{\mu(t)} \gamma(t), \]
\[ \gamma = \gamma(0) - \frac{1}{2} \text{artan} \frac{\beta^2(0)}{2(\alpha(0) + \gamma_0)}, \quad \alpha(0) > 0 \]
\[ \delta = \delta_0 - \frac{\varepsilon_0(0) - \beta(0)\delta(0) + \varepsilon_0)}{\beta^2(0) + 4(\alpha(0) + \gamma_0)^2}, \]
\[ \varepsilon = \frac{2\varepsilon(0)\alpha(0) + \gamma_0) - \beta(0)\delta(0) + \varepsilon_0)}{\beta^2(0) + 4(\alpha(0) + \gamma_0)^2}, \]
\[ \kappa = \kappa(0) + \varepsilon(0) \beta^2(0) \frac{\delta(0) + \varepsilon_0}{\beta^2(0) + 4(\alpha(0) + \gamma_0)^2} + (\alpha(0) + \gamma_0) \frac{\varepsilon(0) - \beta(0)\delta(0) + \varepsilon_0}{\beta^2(0) + 4(\alpha(0) + \gamma_0)^2}. \]
in terms of the fundamental solution subject to the arbitrary real or complex-valued initial data $\mu(0) \neq 0$, $\varepsilon(0)$, $\beta(0) \neq 0$, $\gamma(0)$, $\delta(0)$, $\epsilon(0)$, $\kappa(0)$. This solution was obtained in [90] by an integral evaluation, and its direct verification by substitution is provided in [80].

Note Equations (2.17)–(2.22) solve the one-dimensional case of the Ermakov-type system (2.41)–(2.46) below with $c_0 = 1$ [90]; for the complex form of these solutions, see [81]; their verification is provided in [80].

By the superposition principle, (orthonormal) solutions (2.15) can be used for the corresponding eigenfunction expansions in the case of real-valued initial data. In our approach, the functions $f$ and $g$ are treated as two stochastic processes and Eqs. (2.7)–(2.9) and (2.20)–(2.22) can be analyzed by statistical methods [11, 120] (which may include random initial data.)

A solution in terms of Airy functions [50] (generalized Airy beams) has the form [98, 100]:

$$\psi(x, s) = \frac{e^{(sx^2 + dx + x)} - i(\beta x + \varepsilon - c^2/13)}{\sqrt{\mu}} \cdot \mathrm{Ai}(\beta x + \varepsilon - c^2).$$

(2.23)

where

$$\mu = 2\mu(0)\mu_0(x(0) + \gamma_0),$$

(2.24)

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 - \frac{\beta_0^2}{4(x(0) + \gamma_0)},$$

(2.25)

$$\beta = -\frac{\beta(0)\beta_0}{2(x(0) + \gamma_0)} = \frac{\beta(0)\mu(0)}{\mu} \lambda,$$

(2.26)

$$\gamma = \gamma(0) - \frac{\beta^2(0)}{4(x(0) + \gamma_0)},$$

(2.27)

$$\delta = \delta_0 - \frac{\beta_0(\delta(0) + \beta_0)}{2(x(0) + \gamma_0)},$$

(2.28)

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon(0) - \frac{\beta(0)(\beta(0) + \beta_0)}{2(x(0) + \gamma_0)},$$

(2.29)

$$\kappa = \kappa(0) + \kappa_0 = \frac{(\delta(0) + \varepsilon_0)^2}{4(x(0) + \gamma_0)}.$$  

(2.30)

A direct verification is given in [80] for the reader’s benefits. Important special cases of Airy beams were found in [20, 125], and [126] (see also [98, 140] and the references therein; more details are given in Sect. 3.1 below.)

Note Equations (2.24)–(2.30) solve the one-dimensional case of the Riccati-type system (2.41)–(2.46) below with $c_0 = 0$ [90]; a proof is provided in [80]. Moreover, in view of uniqueness of the Cauchy initial value problem for Schrödinger equation (2.1), the use of Green’s function (2.3) in Eq. (2.2) results in an integral evaluation for Airy functions which may have an independent value.

In general, one may interpret solutions (2.15)–(2.22) and (2.23)–(2.30), relating the initial and final parameters of the corresponding laser modes propagating in a certain element of optical device, as an alternative to the ABCD law which follows from the analogy between the laws for laser beams and the laws obeyed by the spherical waves in geometrical optics [73, 74]. As one can see, the corresponding composition laws will include a variant of linear fractional transformation when $x(0) \neq 0$. A numerical example is discussed in Sect. 3.8. (Further details of this interpretation are left to the reader.)

2.2 Two-dimensional case

For the laser beam propagation in optics, the (co-dimensional) 2D case (with or without cylindrical symmetry) is of a great importance.

2.2.1 Separation of variables

In the paraxial approximation, a 2D coherent light field in a general lens-like medium with coordinates $(r, s) = (x, y, s)$ can be described by the following equation for the complex field amplitude:

$$i\psi(r, s) = H\psi(r, s), \quad H = H_1(x, s) + H_2(y, s),$$

(2.31)

where $H_{1,2}$ are the Hamiltonians in $x$ and $y$ directions similar to one in (2.1) but, in a general inhomogeneous medium model, with two different sets of suitable functions $a_{1,2}(s), b_{1,2}(s), c_{1,2}(s), d_{1,2}(s), f_{1,2}(s),$ and $g_{1,2}(s)$. (We assume, for simplicity, that the nondiagonal terms are eliminated by passing to normal coordinates.) The kernel of generalized Fresnel integral can be obtained as the product [100]:

$$G(r, r', s) = G_1[r, \xi, s]G_2[s, \eta],$$

(2.32)

where the kernels $G_{1,2}$ are given by (2.3) with a simple change of notation: the coefficients $\alpha^{(1,2)}_0, \beta^{(1,2)}_0, \gamma^{(1,2)}_0, \delta^{(1,2)}_0, \epsilon^{(1,2)}_0, \kappa^{(1,2)}_0$ are defined, in general, in terms of two sets of the fundamental solutions (2.4)–(2.9) with $r \leftrightarrow s$. The solution of the corresponding boundary value problem can be found by the integral superposition principle (2D generalized Fresnel integral):

$$\psi(r, s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G(r, r', s)\psi(r', 0) \, dr'$$

(2.33)

for suitable initial data. (This integral determines the spatial beam evolution during the Fresnel diffraction.)

The corresponding 2D Hermite-Gaussian beams have the form
\[
\psi_{nm}(r,s) = \frac{e^{i(k_1+k_2)}}{\sqrt{2^n n!m!l_1!l_2!\pi}} \times e^{i(x_1^2x_1^2+\delta x_1+\gamma_1)} + i(2m+1)_{\gamma_2} \times e^{i(x_2y_2+\delta y_2)+(2n+1)_{\gamma_2}} \times e^{-(\beta_x+c)_{1/2}} \times H_n(\beta_1 x + \epsilon_1) H_n(\beta_2 y + \epsilon_2)
\]

(2.34)

terms of solutions of the Ermakov-type system (2.41)–(2.46) below with \( c_0 = 1 \), which are known in quadratures [90] (see also (3.9)–(3.14) for an important explicit special case). Equations (2.16)–(2.22) are valid with a similar notation with an independent computer algebra proof for the reader’s convenience).

The nonlinear parabolic equation, by the ansatz (2.38), where \( \zeta = \beta(s)x + \epsilon_1(s) \), \( \eta_0 = \beta(s)y + \epsilon_2(s) \), \( \tau = \gamma(s) \), \( h = h_0 \alpha \beta^2 \mu^2 \) (\( h_0 \) is a constant), provided that

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\text{d}x}{\text{d}s} &+ b + 2c x + 4a x^2 = c_0 \alpha \beta^4, \\
\frac{\text{d}y}{\text{d}s} &+ (c + 4ax)\beta = 0, \\
\frac{\text{d}^{\gamma}}{\text{d}s} &+ a \beta^2 = 0, \\
\frac{\text{d}^{\delta_{1,2}}}{\text{d}s} &+ (c + 4ax)\delta_{1,2} = f_{1,2} + 2g \sigma + 2c_0 \alpha \beta^3 \epsilon_{1,2}, \\
\frac{\text{d}^{\epsilon_{1,2}}}{\text{d}s} &+ (g - 2a \delta_{1,2}) \beta, \\
\frac{\text{d}x_{1,2}}{\text{d}s} &+ g \delta_{1,2} - a \delta_{1,2}^2 + c_0 \alpha \beta^2 \epsilon_{1,2}.
\end{align*}
\]

Here,

\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{4a \mu} - \frac{d}{2a}.
\]

and solutions of the system (2.41)–(2.46) are given by (2.24)–(2.30) and (2.16)–(2.22) for \( c_0 = 0 \) and \( c_0 = 1 \), respectively.

\textbf{Proof} For a computer algebra derivation, see the Mathematica notebook [80], which is available as a supplementary material on the article’s website.

In principle, our substitution (2.38) can be thought of as a generalized lens transformation in nonlinear paraxial optics (cf. [89, 107, 108, 135, 137, 146].) De facto, we have found a “proper” system of spatial coordinates \( (\xi, \eta, \tau) \) which automatically takes into consideration “imperfections” of initial data and turbid medium in linear and quadratic approximations.

\textbf{Note} An algorithmic proof of the one-dimensional version of this lemma is given in [78].
demonstrated in recent laser experiments but others, which have quite exotic and spectacular properties according to our numerical simulations, yet deserve an observation.

### 3.1 Airy beams

In quantum mechanics, the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for a free particle (or the normalized paraxial wave equation in optics [45, 125] also known as the parabolic equation [50, 146]),

\[ i \psi_t + \psi_{xx} = 0, \]

(3.1)

by the following ansatz

\[ \psi(x, t) = e^{i(x-2t^3/3)} F(x - t^2) \]

(3.2)

can be reduced to the Airy equation:

\[ F'' = zF, \quad z = x - t^2, \]

(3.3)

whose bounded solutions are the Airy functions \( F = kAi(z) \) (up to a multiplicative constant \( k \)) with well-known asymptotics as \( z \to \pm \infty \) [50, 113].

The nonscattering Airy beams, which accelerate without any external force, were introduced by Berry and Balazs [20] (see also [21, 36, 57, 142] for further exploration of different aspects of this result). These nonscattering and freely accelerating wave packets have been demonstrated in both one- and two-dimensional configurations as quasi-diffraction-free optical beams [125, 126] thus generating a considerable interest to this phenomenon (see [1, 4, 13–15, 17, 18, 22, 30–32, 37, 38, 41, 66, 67, 72, 114, 116, 119, 140] and the references therein).

Equation (3.1) possesses a nontrivial symmetry [107]:

\[ i \psi_t + \psi_{xx} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad i \zeta_t + \zeta_{xx} = 0, \]

(3.4)

under the following transformation:

\[ \psi(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{\beta(0)}{1 + 4x(0)t}} \exp \left( i \left( \frac{\alpha(0)x^2 + \delta(0)x - \delta'(0)t}{1 + 4x(0)t} + \kappa(0) \right) \right) \]

\[ \times \exp \left( \frac{\beta(0)x - 2\beta(0)\delta(0)t}{1 + 4x(0)t} + \epsilon(0), \frac{\beta'(0)t}{1 + 4x(0)t} - \gamma(0) \right), \]

(3.5)

which is usually called the Schrödinger group, and/or the maximum (known) kinematical invariance group of the free Schrödinger equation (see also [16, 26, 36, 94, 95, 105, 108, 140] and the references therein; the subgroups and their invariants are discussed in [26, 98]; the group parameters \( \alpha(0), \beta(0), \gamma(0) = 0, \delta(0), \epsilon(0), \) and \( \kappa(0) = 0 \) are chosen as initial data of the corresponding Riccati-type system [94].)

As a result, in paraxial optics, the multi-parameter Airy modes are given by

\[ B(x, s) = \sqrt{\frac{\beta(0)}{1 + 4x(0)s}} \exp \left( i \left( \frac{\alpha(0)x^2 + \delta(0)x - \delta'(0)t}{1 + 4x(0)s} \right) \right) \]

\[ \times \exp \left( \frac{i\beta'(0)s}{1 + 4x(0)s} \right) \]

\[ \times \left( \epsilon(0) + \frac{\beta(0)x - 2\beta(0)\delta(0)s}{1 + 4x(0)s} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\beta'(0)s^2}{1 + 4x(0)s} \right) \]

\[ \times Ai \left( \frac{\epsilon(0) + \beta(0)x - 2\beta(0)\delta(0)s}{1 + 4x(0)s} - \frac{\beta'(0)s^2}{1 + 4x(0)s} \right)^2 \]

(3.6)

as particular solutions of the parabolic equation \( iB_t + B_{xx} = 0 \). (One can choose \( \gamma(0) = \kappa(0) = 0 \) in the explicit action (3.5) of the Schrödinger group without loss of generality.) The nonscattering case of Berry and Balazs [20] occurs when \( x(0) = 0 \) in our notation. Other important special cases are discussed in [98, 125, 126] (see also the references therein). The direct verification by substitution and a computer algebra derivation of the parabolic equation for the beams (3.6) is given in [80] (see Sect. 4 for more details). Although nowadays Airy and related beams are well documented [1, 17, 23, 32, 37, 38, 92, 125, 126, 139], Fig. 1 represents an example of configuration which yet deserves the experimental observation. Our solution resembles, in the linear approximation, main features of rogue waves [68, 98, 127] (a simple animation is given in [80]).

### 3.2 Oscillating and breathing Hermite-Gaussian beams

For a 1D inhomogeneous paraxial wave equation with quadratic refractive index (a lens-like medium [73, 152]),

\[ 2iA_x + A_{xx} - \lambda^2 A = 0, \]

(3.7)

an important multi-parameter family of particular solutions can be presented as follows [88, 97]:

\[ A_n(x, s) = e^{i(\alpha x^2 - \delta x + \kappa s) + i(2n + 1)s/2} \frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{n!} \sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\beta x^2} H_n(\beta x + \epsilon), \]

(3.8)

where \( H_n(x) \) are the Hermite polynomials [110] and

\[ \alpha(x) = \frac{x_0 \cos 2x + \sin 2x(\beta_0^2 + 4x_0^2 - 1)/4}{\beta_0^2 \sin^2 x + (2x_0 \sin x + \cos x)^2}, \]

(3.9)
\( \beta(s) = \frac{\beta_0}{\sqrt{\beta_0^2 \sin^2 s + (2 \beta_0 \sin s + \cos s)^2}} \), \hspace{1cm} (3.10) \\
\gamma(s) = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{\beta_0^2 \tan s}{1 + 2 \beta_0 \tan s} \hspace{1cm} (3.11) \\
\delta(s) = \frac{\delta_0 (2 \beta_0 \sin s + \cos s) + \epsilon_0 \beta_0^2 \sin s}{\beta_0^4 \sin^2 s + (2 \beta_0 \sin s + \cos s)^2} \hspace{1cm} (3.12) \\
\epsilon(s) = \frac{\epsilon_0 (2 \beta_0 \sin s + \cos s) - \beta_0 \delta_0 \sin s}{\sqrt{\beta_0^4 \sin^2 s + (2 \beta_0 \sin s + \cos s)^2}} \hspace{1cm} (3.13) \\
\kappa(s) = \sin^2 s \frac{\epsilon_0 \beta_0^2 (2 \beta_0 \epsilon_0 \delta_0 - \beta_0 \delta_0) - \delta_0 \beta_0^2}{\beta_0^4 \sin^2 s + (2 \beta_0 \sin s + \cos s)^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\sin 2s}{\beta_0^4 \sin^2 s + (2 \beta_0 \sin s + \cos s)^2} \hspace{1cm} (3.14) \\

The real or complex-valued parameters \( \beta_0, \delta_0 \neq 0, \gamma_0 = 0, \delta_0, \epsilon_0, \kappa_0 = 0 \) are initial data of the corresponding Ermakov-type system [90, 94]. A direct Mathematica verification can be found in [80]. A similar harmonic motion of cold trapped atoms was experimentally realized [93].

These “missing” solutions that are omitted in all textbooks on quantum mechanics (see [95, 102]) provide a new multi-parameter family of oscillating Hermite-Gaussian beams in parabolic (self-focusing fiber) waveguides, which deserve an experimental observation; special cases were theoretically studied earlier in [10, 48, 50, 55, 73, 144, 151]. For graphical examples see Figures 1 and 2 of Ref. [97]. These modes are orthonormal for real-valued parameters. As a result, every \( L^2 \) distribution of monochromatic light can be expanded in terms of these modes. The corresponding generalized coherent or minimum-uncertainty squeezed states are analyzed in [88].

### 3.3 Hermite-Gaussian beams

The homogeneous paraxial wave equation,

\( 2iB_x + B_{xx} = 0 \), \hspace{1cm} (3.15)

can be transformed by the substitution,

\[ B(x,s) = \frac{1}{(1+s^2)^{3/2}} \exp \left( \frac{isx}{\sqrt{1+s^2}} \right) A \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+s^2}}, \arctan s \right), \]

into the inhomogeneous one (3.7) (see [94] and the references therein; a Mathematica verification can be found in [80]). Composition of (2.15) and (3.16) results in the following multi-parameter family of “spreading” solutions to the parabolic equation (3.15):

\[ B_n(x,s) = \frac{\beta_0}{\sqrt{2\pi i(1+2\beta_0 s)^2 + \beta_0^4 s^2}} \times \exp \left( -i \frac{n+\frac{1}{2}}{n+\frac{1}{2}} \arctan \left( \frac{\beta_0^2 s}{1+2\beta_0 s} \right) \right) \times H_n \left( \frac{\beta_0 (x - \delta_0 s) + (1 + 2\beta_0 s) \epsilon_0}{\sqrt{1 + 2\beta_0 s^2 + \beta_0^4 s^2}} \right) \hspace{1cm} (3.17) \]

for real or complex initial data [97]. The direct derivation is also provided in [80]. It is worth noting that both of our parameters \( \epsilon_0 \neq 0 \) (shift) and \( \delta_0 \neq 0 \) (phase) describe, in a natural way, the beam deviation from the optical axis and a successive oblique propagation in an optical system, which is not usually discussed in detail in the literature. (This solution is also relevant to the concept of paraxial group [16] that comprises 2D transformations of a beam propagating through misaligned (tilted, translated, or rotated) ABCD optical systems.

Note When \( n = 0 \), the intensity distribution \( |B_0(x,s)|^2 \) is normal in every beam cross section and the width of that Gaussian intensity profile changes along the \( s \) axis. The beam waist/focal point, when \( \nabla |B_0(x,s)|^2 = 0 \) and \( \max |B_0(x,s)|^2 = \sqrt{\frac{4\epsilon_0^2 + \beta_0^4}{\beta_0}} |B_0| \sqrt{\pi} \), occurs at

\[ x_0 = -\frac{2\beta_0 \delta_0 + \beta_0^2 \epsilon_0}{4\beta_0^2 + \beta_0^4}, \quad s_0 = -\frac{2\beta_0}{4\beta_0^2 + \beta_0^4}. \]

In the limit \( \beta_0 \to 0 \), we obtain the traditional definition of focus in the thin lens approximation [12, 144]:

\[ \left( B_n(x,0) / \beta_0 \right) \bigg|_{\beta_0 \to 0} = C e^{i\phi} e^{-ix^2 / (2\epsilon_0^2)} \]

The beam radius, related to standard deviation, is defined as the distance at which the amplitude is \( 1/e \) times of that on the mean [74]. Thus the smallest radius is observed at the focal point:

\[ r_0 = \frac{|\beta_0|}{\sqrt{4\epsilon_0^2 + \beta_0^4}}. \]

(Details are given in [80] together with a graphical example of “self-focusing” of the corresponding Gaussian mode “without any external force”.)

Among various special cases of these multi-parameter solutions are the so-called elegant Hermite-Gaussian beams. In our notation, they occur for the complex-valued parameters when \( 4\epsilon_0^2 + \beta_0^4 = 0 \). The substitution

\[ \frac{1 + 2\beta_0 s + \beta_0^2 s^2}{\sqrt{(1 + 2\beta_0 s)^2 + \beta_0^4 s^2}} = \exp \left( i \arctan \left( \frac{\beta_0^2 s}{1 + 2\beta_0 s} \right) \right) \]

(3.18)
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followed by $2\alpha_0 = i\beta_0^2$ results in

$$B_{n}^{\text{el}}(x,s) = \frac{\beta_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}n!(1+2i\beta_0^2 s)} \times H_n\left(\frac{\beta_0(x-\delta_0 s + i\beta_0^2 s + \epsilon_0)}{\sqrt{1 + 2i\beta_0^2 s}}\right) \times \exp\left(-\frac{2\beta_0^4 (x-\delta_0 s)^2 + 2\beta_0 \epsilon_0 (x-\delta_0 s) + \epsilon_0^2 (1 + 2i\beta_0^2 s)}{2(1 + 2i\beta_0^2 s)}\right).$$  

(3.19)

When $n = 0$, one gets the multi-parameter fundamental Gaussian modes. In this case,

$$|B_0^{\text{el}}(x,s)|^2 = \frac{\beta_0}{\sqrt{\pi(1 + 4\beta_0^4 s^2)}} \times \exp\left(-\frac{2\beta_0^4 (x-\delta_0 s)^2 + 2\beta_0 \epsilon_0 (x-\delta_0 s) + \epsilon_0^2 (1 + 2i\beta_0^4 s^2)}{1 + 4\beta_0^4 s^2}\right),$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |B_0^{\text{el}}(x,s)|^2 \, dx = \frac{e^{-\epsilon_0^2/2}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$  

(3.20)

These optical fields obey a certain “propagation-invariant similarity rule”:

$$|B_0^{\text{el}}(x,s)|^2 = \frac{\beta_0 e^{-k^2/2}}{\sqrt{\pi(1 + 4\beta_0^4 s^2)}}, \quad k = \text{constant}$$

provided that

$$2\beta_0 s (x-\delta_0 s) = -\epsilon_0 \pm \sqrt{(k^2 - \epsilon_0^2) (1 + 4\beta_0^4 s^2)} \quad \text{and} \quad k^2 \geq \epsilon_0^2.$$  

Thus, our solution describes an “oblique propagation” of the laser beam with respect to the optical axis (approaching the corresponding slanted asymptotes as $s \to \infty$). For instance, the best confinement of optical energy occurs around the line $x = \delta_0 s$, which becomes the direction of the beam propagation, when $\epsilon_0 = 0$. This simple example shows how one can use our extra parameters in order to aim the laser beam and to maximize its intensity. A graphical example is provided in Fig. 2; see also [80] for more details.

Moreover, by the expansion transformation of the Schrödinger group [94]:

$$B(x,s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + ms}} \exp\left(\frac{imx^2}{2(1 + ms)}\right) C\left(\frac{x}{1 + ms}, \frac{s}{1 + ms}\right) \quad (m = \text{constant})$$

one arrives at the following Gaussian package:

$$B_0^{\text{el,exp}}(x,s) = \exp\left(\frac{imx^2}{2(1 + ms)}\right) \frac{\beta_0}{\sqrt{\pi(1 + ms + 2i\beta_0^2 s)}} \times \exp\left(-\frac{2\beta_0^4 x^2 + 2(1 + ms)\beta_0 \epsilon_0 x + (1 + ms)\left(\epsilon_0^2 (m + 2i\beta_0^2) - 2\beta_0^2 \delta_0 - i\beta_0^2\right)\right)}{2(1 + ms)(1 + ms + 2i\beta_0^2 s)}.$$
Note Although the multi-parameter elegant Hermite-Gaussian beams are not orthogonal, the corresponding integral:
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( B^{(el)}_m(x,s) \right)^* B^{(el)}_n(x,s) \, dx
\]
can be evaluated in terms of generalized hypergeometric functions in a way that is similar to [88]. An investigation of certain minimization properties may be of interest.

### 3.4 Breathing spiral laser beams

By the ansatz \( \Psi(x,y,t) = \chi(\xi, \eta, \tau), T = -\tau \) and
\[
\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega \tau & -\sin \omega \tau \\ \sin \omega \tau & \cos \omega \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}
\]
(\( \omega = \text{constant} \)), Eq. (2.37) with \( c_0 = 1 \) can be transformed to the equation of motion for the isotropic planar harmonic oscillator in a perpendicular uniform magnetic field:
\[
i \Psi_T + \Psi_{XX} + \Psi_{YY} = (X^2 + Y^2) \Psi + i\omega(X\Psi_Y - Y\Psi_X).
\]

The latter equation was solved in the early days of quantum mechanics by Fock [49] in polar coordinates, \( X = R \cos \Theta \) and \( Y = R \sin \Theta \):
\[
\Psi(R, \Theta, T) = \sqrt{\frac{n!}{\pi(n + |m|)!}} e^{-i\omega T} e^{im\Theta} R^{|m|} e^{-R^2/2} L^{|m|}_n \left( R^2 \right),
\]
\( E = 4n + 2(|m| + 1) - m\omega \)

\( (m = \pm 0, \pm 1, \ldots, n = 0, 1, \ldots) \) in terms of Laguerre polynomials [110]. This wave function coincides, up to a simple factor, with the one for a flat isotropic oscillator without magnetic field. Therefore, its development in terms of (3.24) for standard harmonics is a 2D special case of the multi-dimensional expansions from [110] (see also [34, 104] and the references therein).

By back substitution, one arrives at a general family of spiral solutions in inhomogeneous media. For example, the 2D paraxial wave equation
\[
2iA_s + A_{xx} + A_{yy} = (x^2 + y^2) A
\]
possesses the following Laguerre-Gaussian modes [97]

\[
A^n_m(x, y, s) = \beta_0 \sqrt{\frac{n!}{\pi(n + m)!}} e^{i\left( s(x^2 + y^2) + \delta_1 x + \delta_2 y + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \right)}
\times e^{(2n+|m|+1)/2} (\beta(x \pm iy) + \epsilon_1 \pm i\epsilon_2)^m
\times e^{-\left( \beta x + \epsilon_1 \right)^2/2 - \left( \beta y + \epsilon_2 \right)^2/2}
\times L^m_n \left( (\beta x + \epsilon_1)^2 + (\beta y + \epsilon_2)^2 \right), \quad m \geq 0
\]

(by the action of Schrödinger’s group; see [94, 95, 100] and the references therein for classical accounts). Here, Eqs. (3.9)-(3.14) are utilized for complex or real-valued parameters \( \alpha_0, \beta_0 \neq 0, \delta_0^{(1,2)}, \gamma_0^{(1,2)} \) (the last two sets may be different for \( x \) and \( y \) variables, respectively). Examples are shown in Figures 3 and 4 of Ref. [97].

In addition, a special Gaussian form of our solution (3.34) gives a general example of spiral elliptic beams discussed in [55, 152].

### 3.5 Laguerre-Gaussian beams

The homogeneous parabolic equation,
\[
2iB_s + B_{xx} + B_{yy} = 0,
\]
with the help of
\[
B(x, y, s) = \frac{1}{(x^2 + 1)^{1/2}} \exp \left( \frac{is(x^2 + y^2)}{2(x^2 + 1)} \right)
\times A \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{s^2 + 1}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{s^2 + 1}}, \arctan s \right)
\]
can be reduced to the standard form (3.24). A multi-parameter solution is given by [97]

---

2 Both Eqs. (3.24) and (3.26), are obviously invariant under plane rotations.
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\[ B_{n}^{m}(x, y, s) = \frac{1}{1 + 2z_0s + i\beta_0^2s} \exp\left(-i(m + 2n) \arctan\left(\frac{z\beta_0^2}{1 + 2z_0s}\right)\right) \]

\times \exp\left(-is - \frac{\delta_0^{(1)} + \delta_0^{(2)}}{2(1 + 2z_0s + i\beta_0^2s)}\right) \times \exp\left(\frac{(2iz_0 - \beta_0^2)(x^2 + y^2) - 2(\beta_0\epsilon_0^{(1)} - i\delta_0^{(1)})x - 2(\beta_0\epsilon_0^{(2)} - i\delta_0^{(2)})y}{2(1 + 2z_0s + i\beta_0^2s)}\right) \times \exp\left(\frac{2\beta_0s(\delta_0^{(1)} \epsilon_0^{(1)} + \delta_0^{(2)} \epsilon_0^{(2)}) - (1 + 2z_0s)(\epsilon_0^{(1)} + \epsilon_0^{(2)})}{2(1 + 2z_0s + i\beta_0^2s)}\right) \times \frac{\beta_0(x + iy) - (\delta_0^{(1)} + i\delta_0^{(2)})s + (\epsilon_0^{(1)} + i\epsilon_0^{(2)})(1 + 2z_0s)}{\sqrt{(1 + 2z_0s)^2 + \beta_0^2s^2}} \times L_n^m\left(\frac{\beta_0(x - \delta_0^{(1)}s) + \epsilon_0^{(1)}(1 + 2z_0s)^2 + \beta_0(y - \delta_0^{(2)}s) + \epsilon_0^{(2)}(1 + 2z_0s)^2}{(1 + 2z_0s)^2 + \beta_0^2s^2}\right) \right). \]

(3.28)

by the action of Schrödinger’s group. (The corresponding parameters are initial data of the Ermakov-type system (2.44)–(2.46); see Lemma 1).

Note An example of “self-focusing” Gaussian mode, when \( n = m = 0 \), is presented in [80]. The corresponding focal point, when \( \max |B_0^{0}(x, y, s)|^2 = 1 + 4z_0^2/\beta_0^4 \), is located at

\[ x_0 = -\frac{2z_0\delta_0^{(1)} + \beta_0^4\epsilon_0^{(1)}}{4z_0^2 + \beta_0^4}, \quad y_0 = -\frac{2z_0\delta_0^{(2)} + \beta_0^4\epsilon_0^{(2)}}{4z_0^2 + \beta_0^4}, \]

\[ s_0 = -\frac{2z_0}{4z_0^2 + \beta_0^4}. \]

It is worth noting that this mode describes the well-known effect of focusing of a laser beam in a uniform medium after passing the lens/quadratic medium. (In our approach, the quadratic, or lens-like, medium creates the corresponding initial data for the focusing beam, in a mathematically natural way).

For the set of complex-valued parameters, two special cases are of interest, namely the multi-parameter “elegant” Laguerre-Gaussian beams, when \( 2z_0 = i\beta_0^2 \):

\[ B_{n}^{m}(x, y, s)^{ef} = (1 + 2i\beta_0^2s)^{-m-1} \exp\left(-is - \frac{\delta_0^{(1)} + \delta_0^{(2)}}{2(1 + 2i\beta_0^2s)}\right) \times \exp\left(-\frac{\beta_0^2(x^2 + y^2) + (\beta_0\epsilon_0^{(1)} - i\delta_0^{(1)})x + (\beta_0\epsilon_0^{(2)} - i\delta_0^{(2)})y}{(1 + 2i\beta_0^2s)}\right) \times \exp\left(\frac{2\beta_0s(\delta_0^{(1)} \epsilon_0^{(1)} + \delta_0^{(2)} \epsilon_0^{(2)}) - (1 + i\beta_0^2s)(\epsilon_0^{(1)} + \epsilon_0^{(2)})}{2(1 + 2i\beta_0^2s)}\right) \times \frac{\beta_0(x + iy) - (\delta_0^{(1)} + i\delta_0^{(2)})s + (\epsilon_0^{(1)} + i\epsilon_0^{(2)})(1 + 2z_0s)}{\sqrt{(1 + 2z_0s)^2 + \beta_0^2s^2}} \times L_n^m\left(\frac{(\beta_0(x - \delta_0^{(1)}s) + \epsilon_0^{(1)}(1 + i\beta_0^2s)^2 + (\beta_0(y - \delta_0^{(2)}s) + \epsilon_0^{(2)}(1 + i\beta_0^2s))^2}{(1 + 2i\beta_0^2s)}\right). \]

(3.29)
and multi-parameter “diffraction-free” Laguerre beams, when $2\alpha_0 = -i\beta_0^2$

$$B_n^m(x, y, s)^{(\text{dif})} = (1 - 2i\beta_0^2 s)^m \exp\left(-\left(\beta_0 e_0^{(1)} - i\delta_0^{(1)}\right)x - \left(\beta_0 e_0^{(2)} - i\delta_0^{(2)}\right)y\right) \times \exp\left(\hat{\beta}_0 s\left(\delta_0^{(1)} e_0^{(1)} + \delta_0^{(2)} e_0^{(2)}\right) - \frac{1 - i\beta_0^2 s}{2}\left(\epsilon_0^{(1)} + \epsilon_0^{(2)}\right)^2\right) \times \left(\hat{\beta}_0 (x + iy) - \left(\delta_0^{(1)} + i\delta_0^{(2)}\right)s + \left(\epsilon_0^{(1)} + i\epsilon_0^{(2)}\right)(1 - i\beta_0^2 s)^m\right) \times L_n^m\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_0 (x - \delta_0^{(1)} s) + \epsilon_0^{(1)} (1 + i\beta_0^2 s)^2}{1 - 2i\beta_0^2 s}\right).$$

(3.30)

For $m = n = 0$ and $e_0^{(1,2)} = 0$, this beam degenerates into the ordinary plane wave propagating in the direction $r = \left(\delta_0^{(1)}, \delta_0^{(2)}, 1\right)$. Polynomial solutions have also been discussed in [2] and [42].

Among numerous special cases are the Laguerre-Gaussian beams discovered in [19, 115, 144, 149]. By classical accounts discussed in [2] and [42], the families of the Hermite-Gaussian and Laguerre-Gaussian modes arise naturally as approximate eigenfunctions of the resonators with rectangular or circular spherical/flat mirrors, respectively. They also serve as models for eigenmodes of certain fibers. The introduction of astigmatic elements in optical resonators or after them leads to the generation of Hermite-Laguerre-Gaussian and Ince-Gaussian beams [122]. The Laguerre-Gaussian beams are also proposed for the applications in free space optical communications systems, where the information is encoded as orbital angular momentum states of the beam [54], in quantum optics to design entanglement states of photons [101, 106], in laser ablation [62], and in optical metrology [51], to name a few examples. Angular momentum of laser modes is discussed in [150].

3.6 Bessel-Gaussian beams

Use of the familiar generating relations

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{L_n^2(\xi)}{(\alpha + n + 1)} = (\xi/\alpha)^{-\xi/2} e^{\xi/2} J_0(2\sqrt{\xi/\alpha})$$

$$= \frac{e^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \phi F_1(-; \alpha + 1; -\xi/\alpha),$$

$$J_0(\xi) = \frac{\phi}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \psi F_1\left(-; -\frac{\xi}{4}\right)$$

(3.31)

in (3.28) results in a new multi-parameter family of the Bessel-Gaussian beams:

$$B(x, y, s) = \frac{1}{1 + 2\alpha_0 s + i\beta_0^2 s} \exp\left(-is\frac{\epsilon_0^{(1)} + \epsilon_0^{(2)}\delta_0^{(1)} + \delta_0^{(2)}\epsilon_0^{(2)}}{2(1 + 2\alpha_0 s + i\beta_0^2 s)}\right) \times \exp\left[i\frac{(2\alpha_0 + i\beta_0^2 s)(x^2 + y^2) + 2\epsilon_0^{(1)} + i\beta_0^2 \epsilon_0^{(2)}}{2(1 + 2\alpha_0 s + i\beta_0^2 s)}x + 2\epsilon_0^{(1)} + i\beta_0^2 \epsilon_0^{(2)}\right] \times \exp\left(\frac{2\beta_0 s(\epsilon_0^{(1)} \epsilon_0^{(1)} + \epsilon_0^{(2)} \epsilon_0^{(2)}) - (1 + 2\alpha_0 s)\left(\epsilon_0^{(1)} + i\epsilon_0^{(2)}\right)^2}{2(1 + 2\alpha_0 s + i\beta_0^2 s)}\right) \times \exp\left(t \frac{(1 + 2\alpha_0 s)^m + \phi F_1\left(-; -\frac{\xi}{4}\right)\psi F_1\left(-; -\frac{\xi}{4}\right)\right)\right).$$

(3.32)
(See [80] for an automatic verification). For the complex-valued parameters, among two interesting special cases are multi-parameter “elegant” Bessel-Gaussian beams, when $2\alpha_0 = i\beta_0^2$:

$$B^{(e)}(x,y,s) = \frac{1}{1 + 2i\beta_0^2 s} \exp \left( -is \frac{\delta_0^{(1)^2} + \delta_0^{(2)^2}}{2(1 + 2i\beta_0^2 s)} \right) \times \exp \left( \frac{t - \beta_0^2 (x^2 + y^2) - (\beta_0^{(1)} - i\delta_0^{(1)})x - (\beta_0^{(2)} - i\delta_0^{(2)})y}{1 + 2i\beta_0^2 s} \right) \times \exp \left( \frac{2\beta_0 s \left( \delta_0^{(1)} \epsilon_0^{(1)} + \delta_0^{(2)} \epsilon_0^{(2)} \right) - (1 + i\beta_0^2 s) \left( \epsilon_0^{(1)^2} + \epsilon_0^{(2)^2} \right)}{2(1 + 2i\beta_0^2 s)} \right) \times \left( \beta_0 \left( x + iy - (\delta_0^{(1)^2} + \delta_0^{(2)^2}) s \right) \epsilon_0^{(1)} + (1 + i\beta_0^2 s) \epsilon_0^{(2)} \right)^m \times \left( \frac{\epsilon_0^{(1)^2} + \epsilon_0^{(2)^2}}{1 + 2i\beta_0^2 s} \right) \times F_1 \left( -m+1; -t \left( \beta_0 \left( x - \delta_0^{(1)} s \right) + \epsilon_0^{(1)} (1 + i\beta_0^2 s) \right)^2 + \left( \beta_0 \left( y - \delta_0^{(2)} s \right) + \epsilon_0^{(2)} (1 + i\beta_0^2 s) \right)^2 \right),
$$

(3.33)

and multi-parameter “diffraction-free” Bessel beams, when $2\alpha_0 = -i\beta_0^2$:

$$B^{(df)}(x,y,s) = \exp \left( t(1 - 2i\beta_0^2 s) - (\beta_0^{(1)} - i\delta_0^{(1)})x - (\beta_0^{(2)} - i\delta_0^{(2)})y \right) \times \exp \left( \beta_0 s \left( \delta_0^{(1)} \epsilon_0^{(1)} + \delta_0^{(2)} \epsilon_0^{(2)} \right) - \frac{1 - i\beta_0^2 s}{2} \left( \epsilon_0^{(1)^2} + \epsilon_0^{(2)^2} \right) - \frac{is}{2} \left( \delta_0^{(1)^2} + \delta_0^{(2)^2} \right) \right) \times \left( \beta_0 \left( x + iy - (\delta_0^{(1)^2} + \delta_0^{(2)^2}) s \right) \epsilon_0^{(1)} + (1 - i\beta_0^2 s) \epsilon_0^{(2)} \right)^m \times F_1 \left( -m+1; -t \left( \beta_0 \left( x - \delta_0^{(1)} s \right) + \epsilon_0^{(1)} (1 - i\beta_0^2 s) \right)^2 - t \left( \beta_0 \left( y - \delta_0^{(2)} s \right) + \epsilon_0^{(2)} (1 - i\beta_0^2 s) \right)^2 \right).
$$

For $m = 0$ and $\epsilon_0^{(1,2)} = 0$, the latter beams have the peculiar property of conserving the same disturbance distribution, apart from the phase factor, across any plane parallel to the $xy$-plane in the direction of propagation: $x = x_0 + \delta_0^{(1)} s, y = y_0 + \delta_0^{(2)} s, z = z_0 + s$. Graphical examples are given in [80].

Diffraction-free Bessel beams are reviewed in [3, 141] (see also [46, 47, 56, 130] and the references therein for classical accounts on propagation-invariant optical fields and Bessel modes).

### 3.7 Spiral beams

Two-dimensional solutions of the paraxial wave equation (3.26), that possess the propagation-invariant property

$$\oint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |B(x,y,0)|^2 \, dx \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |B(X,Y,s)|^2 \, dX \, dY$$

= constant

under rotation and rescaling $X = \rho(x) (x \cos \theta(s) + y \sin \theta(s)), \, Y = \rho(x) (-x \sin \theta(s) + y \sin \theta(s))$, were investigated in detail [8, 9, 115], and [121].

In Sect. 3.4, we have already analyzed the transition to a rotating frame of reference; see Eqs. (3.21)–(3.23). As a combined result, Eq. (3.26) by means of the substitution
\[ B(x, y, s) = \frac{1}{(s^2 + 1)^{1/2}} \exp \left( \frac{i(s(x^2 + y^2))}{2(s^2 + 1)} \right) \times C \left( \frac{x \cos(\omega \arctan s) + y \sin(\omega \arctan s)}{\sqrt{s^2 + 1}}, \arctan s \right) \]

\[ \times C \left( \frac{x \sin(\omega \arctan s) + y \cos(\omega \arctan s)}{\sqrt{s^2 + 1}}, \arctan s \right) \]

\[ (3.35) \]

can be transformed into the equation of motion for the isotropic planar harmonic oscillator in a perpendicular uniform magnetic field, namely:

\[ 2iC_x + C_{xx} + C_{yy} = (x^2 + y^2)C + 2i\omega(xCy - yCx) \]

\[ (3.36) \]

(our transformation (3.27) can be thought of as its special case when \( \omega = 0 \)). An algorithmic derivation is provided in [80].

A straightforward use of Fock’s solutions (3.23) does not lead directly to a new family of spiral beams due to the cancelation of the crucial parameter \( \omega \) (see Sect. 3.5 in the Mathematica notebook [80]). For example, the solution

\[ B(x, y, s) = \frac{e^{-i(m+2n+1)\arctan s}}{\sqrt{s^2 + 1}} \exp \left( -\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2(1 + is)} \right) \left( \frac{x + iy}{\sqrt{s^2 + 1}} \right)^m \]

\[ \times L_n \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{y^2 + 1} \right), \quad m \geq 0 \]

\[ (3.37) \]

is verified by a direct substitution [80]. (A multi-parameter extension can be obtained by the action of Schrödinger’s group.)

On the second thought, with the help of (3.35), we shall look for a spiral beam in the form:

\[ B(x, y, s) = \frac{1}{(s^2 + 1)^{1/2}} \exp \left( \frac{i(s(x^2 + y^2))}{2(s^2 + 1)} \right) C(X, Y, T). \]

\[ (3.38) \]

Here, a familiar eigenfunction expansion [8, 9]:

\[ C(X, Y, T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq 0} C_{n,m}^{(\pm)}(X, Y, T), \]

\[ (3.39) \]

in terms of Laguerre-Gaussian modes, must satisfy the auxiliary equation (3.36). In complex form, \( z = 1 + is, T = \arg z = \arctan s, \) and

\[ Z = X + iY = \frac{x + iy}{|z|} e^{-i\omega \arg z}, \quad X = \text{Re} Z, \quad Y = \text{Im} Z. \]

\[ (3.40) \]

Denoting for \( m \geq 0, \)

\[ C = C_{n,m}^{(\pm)}(X, Y, T) = e^{-i\omega T} (X + iY)^m e^{-|Z|^2/2} L_n^m(|Z|^2), \]

\[ (3.41) \]

we obtain an important “eigenfunction identity”:

\[ 2i\omega T + C_{xx} + C_{yy} - (x^2 + y^2)C - 2i\omega (xCy - yCx) = 2(k \pm m\omega - m - 2n - 1)C \]

\[ (3.42) \]

by a direct evaluation [80].

As a result, substituting the series (3.39) into Eq. (3.36), one gets

\[ \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq 0} C_{n,m}^{(\pm)}(k \pm m\omega - m - 2n - 1)C(X, Y, T) = 0 \]

or, in view of the completeness of the Laguerre-Gaussian modes,

\[ C_{n,m}^{(\pm)}(k \pm m\omega - m - 2n - 1) = 0. \]

\[ (3.43) \]

Nontrivial solutions of this equation and the corresponding spiral beams are analyzed in the original works [8, 9]. A multi-parameter extension can be obtained by the action of Schrödinger’s group.

### 3.8 “Smart” lens design

A lens can be used to focus a laser beam to a small spot, or to produce a beam of suitable diameter and phase structure for injection into a given optical device [12, 72, 74, 96, 144, 147]. The multi-parameter modes under consideration allow one to adapt a required lens design in paraxial optics to the given field configuration. For instance, in the co-dimensional 1D case, let us consider the Gaussian package (3.17) when \( n = 0 \). We found in Sect. 3.3 that the focal point is given by

\[ x_0 = \frac{-2\xi_0\delta_0 + \beta_0^3 \xi_0}{4\xi_0^2 + \beta_0^4}, \quad s_0 = -\frac{2\xi_0}{4\xi_0^2 + \beta_0^4}, \]

\[ (3.44) \]

say for \( \xi_0 \geq 0 \). In this section, let us consider a lens-like medium with quadratic refractive index [74], as in Eq. (3.7), on \((0, l)\) such that our solutions (3.8) –(3.14) can be used on this interval and the continuity condition holds at \( s = 0 \). For the region \( s \geq l \), one can take the Gaussian package in (3.17), once again, but with \( s \rightarrow -s + l, \) \( \xi_0 \rightarrow \xi(l), \) etc. due to Eqs. (3.9) –(3.14), which automatically implies the field continuity at \( s = l \). Moreover, critical points of the intensity inside the lens occur when

\[ x_{\text{min, max}} = -\frac{\xi_0}{\beta_0} \text{cos}(s_{\text{min, max}}) + \left( \frac{\delta_0}{\beta_0} - \frac{2\xi_0\xi_0}{\beta_0^3} \right) \sin(s_{\text{min, max}}), \]

\[ \tan(2s_{\text{min, max}}) = \frac{4\xi_0}{1 - 4\xi_0^2 - \beta_0^4}. \]

\[ (3.45) \]

Here, \( \xi(s_{\text{min, max}}) = 0 \), thus providing a one-to-one correspondence with the minimum-uncertainty squeezed states in quantum mechanics [81, 88]. For the length of lens we
choose: $0 \leq s_{\min} < l < s_{\max}$. Then, location of the beam focal point in the homogeneous medium, after passing through the lens, is given by

$$s_f = -\frac{2x(l)\delta(l) + \beta^4(l)\epsilon(l)}{4x^2(l) + \beta^4(l)}, \quad s_f - l = -\frac{2x(l)}{4x^2(l) + \beta^4(l)}.$$  \hfill (3.46)

As a result, in view of the invariant [88],

$$\frac{4x^2 + \beta^4 + 1}{\beta^2} = \frac{4x_0^2 + \beta_0^4 + 1}{\beta_0^2},$$  \hfill (3.47)

one arrives at the following relation between two focal points,

$$1 - \frac{2x\delta + \beta^4e}{s_f} = \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_0}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{2x_0\delta_0 + \beta_0^4\epsilon_0}{x_0}\right),$$

$$1 - \frac{2x}{s_f - l} = \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_0}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{2x_0}{x_0}\right).$$  \hfill (3.48)

(Here, the corresponding solutions (3.9)–(3.14) are evaluated at the terminal point of the lens, $s = l$.) Finally,

$$\frac{1}{\beta_0^2} + \frac{1}{r_0} = \frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{1}{s_f},$$  \hfill (3.50)

for the beam radii at the focal points before, $(x_0, s_0)$, and after, $(s_f, s_f)$, the lens, respectively. According to our analysis, location of the terminal focal point $(s_f, s_f)$ and the corresponding beam radius $r_f$ both depend on the lens length, $l$, which can be adjusted for an “optimal control” of the beam propagation through this lens in a certain optical device. For instance, by (3.47) and (3.50),

$$\frac{2\beta_0^2}{r_f^2} = 4x_0^2 + \beta_0^4 + 1 + (4x_0^2 + \beta_0^4 - 1) \cos 2l - 4x_0 \sin 2l,$$  \hfill (3.51)

which attains its minimum and maximum values, namely,

$$\text{max, min} \left(\frac{2\beta_0^2}{r_f^2}\right) = 4x_0^2 + \beta_0^4$$

$$+ 1 \pm \sqrt{(4x_0^2 + (\beta_0^2 + 1)^2)(4x_0^2 + (\beta_0^2 - 1)^2)},$$  \hfill (3.52)

at the critical points $l = s_{\max, \min}$, respectively (cf. [88]). An important ratio,

$$\frac{s_{\min}^2}{s_{\max}^2} = \frac{4x_0^2 + \beta_0^4 + 1 - \sqrt{(4x_0^2 + (\beta_0^2 + 1)^2)(4x_0^2 + (\beta_0^2 - 1)^2)}}{4x_0^2 + \beta_0^4 + 1 + \sqrt{(4x_0^2 + (\beta_0^2 + 1)^2)(4x_0^2 + (\beta_0^2 - 1)^2)}},$$  \hfill (3.53)

defines the maximum possible compression (or superfocusing in the terminology of Refs. [39, 40]) of the beam inside of a (sufficiently long) lens.

A numerical example: Visualization of the intensity and optical energy distribution generated by a sample lens, when $x_0 = 2, s_{\min} = 1.33897, l = 2.75, s_{\max} = 2.90977, x_0 = x_f = 0, s_0 = -0.23529, s_f = 2.9106$, and $r_0 = 0.242536, r_f = 0.239104$, is given in Fig. 3; see also our supplementary material [80] for more details.

3.9 Applications to quantum mechanics

A similar effect of the superfocusing of proton beam in a thin monocrystal film was discussed in [39, 40] as certain dynamical manipulations with the system of particles by transforming high concentration in the momentum space (collinearity) into the concentration in the coordinate space (focusing) (validity of the 2D harmonic crystal model had been confirmed by Monte Carlo computer experiments [40]). In the context of quantum mechanics, the corresponding solutions represent the minimum-uncertainty, or squeezed, states of harmonic oscillators that are explicitly given in [88]. Our sample lens from the previous section can be thought of as a codimension 1D model of Demkov’s microscope.

Among other quantum mechanical analogs, the minimum-uncertainty squeezed states for atoms and photons in a cavity, are reviewed in [88]; some of them were experimentally realized on cold trapped atoms [93]. It is worth noting that similar states can be identified for the motion of a quantum particle in a uniform magnetic field [49].

3.10 Extensions to nonlinear paraxial optics

For high-intensity beams, nonlinear medium effects should be taken into account in the theory of wave propagation. See [43, 61, 89, 98–100, 117, 137, 144, 146] and the references therein for extensions to nonlinear geometrical optics. A generalization of Lemma 1 for combination of certain nonlinear terms is discussed in [100] but search for solutions of nonlinear equations is much more complicated.

In the 1D linear case, where nonspreading Airy beams were introduced [20] (see also [125, 126]), the symmetry of the free Schrödinger equation can be used in order to obtain multi-parameter solutions (3.6). Although the corresponding 1D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation is no longer preserved under the expansion transformation (but has a similarity reduction to the second Painlevé equation [52, 53, 98, 127, 134]), the same symmetry holds for the quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, which is thus invariant under the action of this group. Here, the blow up, namely a singularity such that the wave amplitude tends to infinity in
a finite time, occurs (see [99, 133, 137] and the references therein).

As is well known, a similar symmetry holds for the homogeneous 2D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation [89, 135] (in optics this symmetry is known as Talanov’s transformation [146]). This is another classical example of the blow up phenomenon. The stationary 2D waveguides in homogeneous quadratic Kerr media are unstable [89]. Under certain conditions, self-focusing of light beams occurs on a finite distance despite diffraction spreading. Moreover, for parabolic channels in a monocystal film, the cubic nonlinearity may further enhance superfocusing of particle beams predicted in [39, 40]. The corresponding inhomogeneous medium effects deserve a detailed study. An extension to randomly varying media is also of interest (cf. [11, 120, 136]).

4 Computer algebra methods

For an automatic verification of the results presented in this paper, we used the computer algebra system Mathematica, and in some specific instances, the HolonomicFunctions package [76], written by the first-named author in the frame of his Ph.D. thesis [75]. (See also [79] and the references therein for applications of the HolonomicFunctions package to relativistic Coulomb integrals.)

The application of computer algebra in the context of the present paper comes in three different flavors: The first one employs Gröbner bases, the second one is based on the built-in simplification procedures of Mathematica, and the third one is related to the above-mentioned HolonomicFunctions package.

Gröbner bases were introduced in [29] and are a very useful tool for computations with polynomial ideals. For finding “nice” expressions for the solutions (2.4)–(2.9) of the Riccati-type system, one can consider the ideal generated by the (polynomial) Eqs. (2.41)–(2.46). Equivalence of expressions then corresponds to equality modulo the ideal. See [80] for more details.

Similarly, we discovered an “invariant” of the Ermakov-type system. Again using Eqs. (2.41)–(2.46) as input (but now with $c_0 = 1$) one can use Gröbner bases to find relations that are implied by the given equations. Searching for an equation that does not involve the parameters $a, b, c, d, e, f, g$ yields the identity

$$\beta^3 \kappa' - \beta \delta e' + (\delta^2 + \beta^2 e^2) \gamma' = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

which was missing in the original publications. It reveals that the differential equations in the Ermakov-type system are in fact dependent. In particular, Eq. (2.46) for $\kappa'$ can be derived from the previous equations of this system.

To demonstrate the other two applications, recall the multi-parameter Airy modes $B(x, s)$ given in Eq. (3.6). Thanks to the progress that computer algebra systems like Mathematica have been made during the past decades, particularly in dealing with special functions, it can be directly verified that $B(x, s)$ satisfies the parabolic equation (3.1): one just inputs the expression given on the right-hand side of (3.6) and differentiates it symbolically. Then the command FullSimplify successfully simplifies the expression $iB_x + B_{xx}$ to 0, see the corresponding section in the accompanying notebook [80].

The last approach achieves more and is a bit of an overhead if one only wanted to verify that $B(x, s)$ satisfies the given differential equation. Namely, the HolonomicFunctions package computes the set of all differential equations that a given expression satisfies (more precisely: a finite basis of this, in general, infinite set). For the multi-parameter Airy modes, the software computes the following two differential equations:

$$(4xs + 1)^2 B_x + 2p_1 B_x - ip_2 B = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

$$(4xs + 1)^2 B_{xx} - 2ip_1 B_x - p_2 B = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where the polynomial coefficients $p_1$ and $p_2$ are given by

$$p_1 = \delta + 4\delta \delta s + \beta^3 s + 8\delta^2 s + 2\delta x, \quad (4.4)$$

$$p_2 = 2i\delta + \beta^3 x + \delta^2 + 8ix^2 + 4\delta^2 x^2 + 4\delta\delta x + \beta^3 x, \quad (4.5)$$

and where $x = x(0)$ etc. Obviously, the parabolic equation $iB_x + B_{xx} = 0$ is just a simple linear combination of the above two equations. Thus, we again have proved that $B(x, s)$ satisfies $iB_x + B_{xx} = 0$, but even more: the program has found this equation automatically, starting from the closed form of its solution as the sole input.

Similarly, the remaining formulas in this paper can be verified and/or derived. For the holonomic systems approach to work, some inputs have to be transformed into an appropriate format, e.g., the expression given by (3.8)–(3.14): holonomic functions are closed under addition, multiplication, and substitution of algebraic expressions. Since $\sin(s)$ and $\cos(s)$, which appear in the argument of the Hermite polynomials, are not algebraic, one may apply the transformation $s \rightarrow i\log(z)$ in order to turn the trigonometric functions into rational functions. More details and all other computations are contained in the accompanying Mathematica notebook [80].
5 Conclusion

This work is dedicated to a mathematical description of light propagation in turbid media and/or through optical systems that are subject to a natural noisy environment. To this end, we apply concepts of the Fresnel diffraction, the generalized lens transformation, see Lemma 1, and computer algebra tools [75–77] in order to analyze multi-parameter families of certain propagation-invariant laser beams in codimension 1D and 2D configurations that are important in paraxial optics and its applications. Independent proofs of these results are provided in the supplementary electronic material [80] along with a computer algebra verification of all related mathematical tools introduced in the original publications without sufficient details. In summary, the “missing” multi-parameter solutions of the paraxial wave equations, that are studied in this article, allow one to describe all main features of the special laser modes propagation in a variety of optical systems, in a consistent mathematical way, with the help of a computer algebra system. In numerical simulations, we have concentrated on results which are potentially of a practical and academic value, e.g., for software development and pedagogy.
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Appendix 1: From Maxwell to paraxial wave

We follow [9] with somewhat different details. In dielectrics (no free current, no free charge, isotropic, homogeneous, material linear), the Maxwell equations for the complex electric \( E \) and magnetic \( H \) fields for a monochromatic wave varying as \( e^{-i\omega t} \) are given by

\[
\text{curl} E = \frac{i\omega}{c} \mu H, \quad \text{div}(\mu H) = 0, \tag{5.1}
\]

\[
\text{curl} H = -\frac{i\omega}{c} eE, \quad \text{div}(\varepsilon E) = 0, \tag{5.2}
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) is the permittivity and \( \mu \) is the permeability of the material (see, for example, [12, 91, 143, 144]). Let us consider a “polarized” wave of the form,

\[
E = f(x, y, z)e^{ikz} e_x + g(x, y, z)e^{ikz} e_z, \quad k^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}, \tag{5.3}
\]

where \( \{e_x, e_y, e_z\} \) are orthonormal vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). From the first Equation (5.1) one gets:

\[
\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} e^{ikz}e_x + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + ikf - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\right) e^{ikz}e_y - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} e^{ikz}e_z = 0 \tag{5.4}
\]

and the second Equation (5.1) is automatically satisfied. In addition, from the second Equation (5.2):

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} + ikg = 0. \tag{5.5}
\]

If \( g \equiv 0 \), then \( f_x = 0 \) and the only transversal solution is a plane wave, \( E = e^{ikz} e_x \), up to a constant multiple (cf. [91]).

In a similar fashion, from the first Equation (5.2) and (5.4) we obtain:

\[
k^2 E = \text{curl}(i \frac{\omega}{c} \mu H) = (k^2 f + g_{xy} - f_{yz} - 2ikf_z - f_{xz}) e^{ikz} e_x + (f_{xy} + g_{xz} + ikg_z)e^{ikz} e_y + (f_{xz} + ikf_y - g_{xy} - g_{yz}) e^{ikz} e_z. \tag{5.6}
\]

In view of (5.5), the latter equation can be simplified to

\[
k^2 E = k^2 (f e_x + g e_z) e^{ikz} = (k^2 f - f_{zx} - f_{zy} - 2ikf_z - f_{xz}) e^{ikz} e_x + (k^2 g - g_{zx} - g_{zy} - 2ikg_z - g_{xz}) e^{ikz} e_z.
\]

Finally, under the imposed conditions \( |f_{zx}|, |f_{zy}| \ll 2|k|f_z \) and \( |g_{zx}|, |g_{zy}| \ll 2|k|g_z \), we arrive to the paraxial wave equations,

\[
2ik \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^2} = 0, \tag{5.7}
\]

for the transversal and longitudinal components, \( F = \{f, g\} \), of the complex electric field, respectively. [The corresponding magnetic field can be evaluated by (5.4).] Once again, these components are related by (5.5), which implies that

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (e^{ikz} g) = -f_x e^{ikz}, \tag{5.8}
\]

and, integrating by parts,

\[
e^{ikz} g = -\int f_x e^{ikz} \, dz = -\frac{1}{ik}f_x e^{ikz} + \frac{1}{ik} \int f_x e^{ikz} \, dz \approx -\frac{1}{ik}f_x e^{ikz},\]

provided that \( |k| \gg 1 \). In paraxial approximation, it is a custom to write
of two “polarized” waves:

\[
E_x = f(x,y,z)e^{ik(x,z)} + g(x,y,z)e^{ik(x,z)}
\]

\[
E_y = h(x,y,z)e^{ik(x,z)} + l(x,y,z)e^{ik(x,z)}
\]

where \( f, g, h, l, \) and \( k \) are some complex-valued functions. In a similar fashion,

\[
F_{xx} + F_{yy} + F_{zz} + 2ikF_z + \left( \varepsilon \omega^2 - k_z^2 + ik_{zz} \right) F
\]

\[
= - \left\{ \left( \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon_z}{\varepsilon} (g + l) \right) \right\}_x + \left( \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon_z}{\varepsilon} (g + l) \right)_y
\]

and

\[
G_{xx} + G_{yy} + G_{zz} + 2ikG_z + \left( \varepsilon \omega^2 - k_z^2 + ik_{zz} \right) G
\]

\[
= -ikz \left( \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon_z}{\varepsilon} G \right) - \left( \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon_z}{\varepsilon} \right)_z.
\]

Here, it is convenient to rewrite the last equation (5.2) as a sum of two equations:

\[
f_x + g_z + \frac{\varepsilon_x}{\varepsilon} f + \frac{\varepsilon_z}{\varepsilon} g = 0, \quad h_z + l_z + ikz + \frac{\varepsilon_y}{\varepsilon} h + \frac{\varepsilon_z}{\varepsilon} l = 0.
\]

We did not impose any conditions yet and Eqs. (5.15)–(5.20) are equivalent to the original Maxwell system (5.1)–(5.2) under consideration. For paraxial approximation, we may choose \( k_z = 0 \), namely, \( k(z) = k_x \), where \( k \) is a constant.

Let us first consider linear and nonlinear codimension 1D cases. When \( h = l = f_y = g_y = \varepsilon_y = 0 \), one can simplify to

\[
f_{xx} + f_{zz} + 2ikf_z + \left( \varepsilon \omega^2 - k_z^2 \right) f + \left( \frac{\varepsilon_x}{\varepsilon} f + \frac{\varepsilon_z}{\varepsilon} g \right) = 0,
\]

\[
g_{xx} + g_{zz} + 2ikg_z + \left( \varepsilon \omega^2 - k_z^2 \right) g = -ikz\left( \frac{\varepsilon_x}{\varepsilon} f + \frac{\varepsilon_z}{\varepsilon} g \right),
\]

\[
f_x + g_z + ikz + \frac{\varepsilon_x}{\varepsilon} f + \frac{\varepsilon_z}{\varepsilon} g = 0.
\]

From the last equation,
\[ f = -\frac{e^{-ikz}}{\varepsilon} \int (\varepsilon e^{ik\xi})_\xi \, dx, \quad g = -\frac{e^{-ikz}}{\varepsilon} \int e^{ik\xi} (\xi f)_\xi \, dx. \]
\[(5.24)\]

Thus Eqs. (5.21) and (5.22) can be thought of as certain integro-differential equations for complex-valued functions \( f \) and \( g \), respectively. Integrating by parts,
\[ g = -\frac{e^{-ikz}}{ik\varepsilon} \int (\xi f)_\xi \, dx ike = -\frac{(\xi f)_\xi}{ik\varepsilon} + \frac{e^{-ikz}}{ik\varepsilon} \int e^{ik\xi} (\xi f)_\xi \, dx \]
\approx -\frac{(\xi f)_\xi}{ik\varepsilon} \]
\[(5.25)\]

For large \( k \), it is also a custom to assume that \( |f_{xz}| \ll 2|kf_z|, |f_{zx}| \ll 2|kf_z| \), and \( |g| \ll |f| \). As a result, one may concentrate on the study of scalar inhomogeneous paraxial wave equation of the form:
\[ f_{xx} + 2ikf_z + \left( \varepsilon \mu_0 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} - k^2 \right) f + \frac{\varepsilon_x}{\varepsilon} f_z = 0. \]
\[(5.26)\]

In a weakly inhomogeneous nonlinear medium, we expand the (complex-valued) permittivity \( \varepsilon \),
\[ \varepsilon(x,z) = \varepsilon_0(z) + \varepsilon_1(z) x + \varepsilon_2(z) x^2 + \cdots \left( + \lambda |f|^2 + \cdots \right) \]
\[(5.27)\]

and neglect the higher order terms. In this approximation,
\[ \varepsilon_x = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_0} + \left( \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_0} - \frac{(\varepsilon_1)^2}{\varepsilon_0} \right) x, \]
\[ \left( \frac{\varepsilon_x}{\varepsilon} \right)_x = \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_0} - \frac{(\varepsilon_1)^2}{\varepsilon_0^2}. \]
\[(5.28)\]

and one arrives at a form of the paraxial wave equation (5.1) (or its nonlinear versions).

The corresponding linear and nonlinear codimension 2D cases, when one can concentrate on a certain dominant component of electric field once again, are similar. Further details are left to the reader.
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