Clusterization of customer energy usage to detect power shrinkage in an effort to increase the efficiency of electric energy consumption

Yessy Asri, Dwina Kuswardani, Efy Yosrita, dan Ferdinand Hendrik Wullur
Department of Telematika Energi, Institute Technology PLN, Jakarta, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Automatic meter reading (AMR) is a reading system result the measurement of electrical energy consumen, both locally and remotely. The problems faced is the high non-technical shrinkage of AMR customers due to installation, maintenance errors as well as dishonest actions some consumers, this has a major influence on electrical power losses. PT. PLN Disjaya currently faces difficulties having to choose which customers should be checked first, so the field can only find a little damage. The K-means method based on historical electric power usage and determine the most optimal number of groups the davies-bouldin index (DBI) method. Based on the results of testing with 2-6 sets of clusters, the cluster set results are the most optimal is set cluster 4 because it has the smallest DBI value 0.893. The set of 4 clusters has the best performance in data grouping of historical power usage of AMR customers the business class, each centroid of each cluster is used as an attribute and value of the AMR customer power usage business chart. The testing phase is customers who categorized as customers with un-normal usage electricity power. The test is, by determining the distance data testing each centroid in the cluster 4 set.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Losses or loss of electrical power in electric power distribution systems which is usually used at certain times, is one measure that is efficient or not an electric power system operation [1-6]. Currently it has been applied the method of measuring electrical energy using the AMR (automatic meter reading) system, namely, a system of reading or retrieving data from the measurement of electrical energy on consumers locally and remotely, where the reading schedule can be determined as needed. This AMR system can be used optimally for account issuance, customer load analysis, calculation of losses or distribution losses, electricity network development planning.

According to the results of the interview with one of the employees in the sector of distribution PT. PLN (Persero) distribution of Jakarta Raya, the AMR (automatic meter reading) system that has been applied can detect losses (electrical power losses) both technical and non-technical. Currently to detect losses itself is still checked directly by reading and checking every incoming customer data. One of the problems faced by PLN is the high non-technical shrinkage of potential AMR customers due to faulty installation and maintenance as well as one of the contributors to high losses is the fraudulent acts committed by some consumers. The research method used in this study is a qualitative approach using the case study method, which analyzes and calculates directly [1-3, 7-10]. The causes of energy losses that can be identified through AMR data analysis
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The flowchart process below. Figure 3 is the working process of the K-Means method process. The following is an example of the calculation process for centroid grouping, going directly to the last iteration stage, the data can be seen in Table 1. After doing K-means calculations and getting a centroid grouping, the following is an example of the data to be tested as shown in Table 2.

![Figure 2. Design Process](image1)

![Figure 3. K-Means Process](image2)

**Table 1. Centroid set 2 cluster final**

|     | A    | B    | C    | D    | E    | F    | G    |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| C1  | 0.266| 0.250| 0.284| 0.252| 0.279| 0.247| 0.273|
| C2  | 0.630| 0.476| 1.213| 1.220| 1.244| 1.250| 1.151|
| I   | 0.624| 0.726| 0.246| 0.267| 0.247| 0.266| 0.255|
| H   | 1.249| 1.227| 1.231| 1.197| 1.304| 0.635| 0.808|

**Table 2. Examples of Data Testing**

|     | A    | B    | C    | D    | E    | F    | G    |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| I   | 0.374| 0.342| 0.398| 0.347| 0.402| 0.347| 0.391|
| Customer | H | I | J | K | L | M | N |
| I   | 0.344| 0.400| 0.352| 0.390| 0.352| 0.376| 0.363|

Calculate the maximum distance value (max DC) in each cluster that is formed (For example, the optimal cluster set = 2, based on the previous stage) the data can be seen in Table 3. Calculate the DC of each training data object to centroid, using the Euclidean formula, the data can be seen in Table 4.

Compare the value of DC data testing with each max DC in each cluster that has been calculated. The results of the appeal can be categorized as follows:

a) If all DC data testing <= max (DC) per cluster, then the data is classified as Normal in use.
b) If one of the DC data testing > = max (DC) per cluster, then the data is classified as Normal in use.
c) If all DC data testing > = max (DC) per cluster, then the data is classified as abnormal data in use.

DC1 Testing: DC1 Training = 0.409 < 0.418,
DC2 Testing: DC2 Training = 2.776 > 0,
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Based on the results of the above comparison, it can be concluded, that data testing is classified as normal data in power usage. From the calculation process described above, the sample used is 5 AMR business class customers (B-3) by grouping using cluster set 2 and using 14 attributes in which 14 attributes are divided into 2, 7 of which are LWBP power usage data for 7 7 days and again is the data of WBP power usage for 7 days, in its calculations get results, namely:

a) There are 2 patterns formed from the results of 5 customer data clustering. The power usage pattern is formed from the final centroid value obtained after the result of the iteration ends.

b) In the first cluster there are 4 customers, while for the second cluster there are only 1 customer. That is, of the five customers there are 4 customers who have similarities in the pattern of power usage and 1 other customer has a different pattern from the 4 customers.

c) For the optimal level of the set of 2 clusters for the 5 customers based on the calculation obtained a value of 0.095 based on calculations using the davies-bouldin index formula.

After the pattern is obtained, a testing test is performed to later make a comparison whether the testing data is entered or shaped like the pattern obtained or not. If the results of the testing data do not have a similarity with the pattern, in this case the distance to the centroid of each cluster that is obtained exceeds the maximum distance of the members in the cluster, then the customer testing data is unfair in the use of electric power and is set as the operating target.

3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

In this study, the power load profile data is not grouped based on certain criteria, but it is grouped generally based on the pattern of power usage. Because the number of clusters to be used is unknown, the author decides to use several clusters for the K-Means method grouping test. The cluster set used is from 2 cluster sets to 6 cluster sets. After calculating using the K-Means method, the optimization level of each cluster is then calculated using the davies-bouldin index (DBI) method. Following are the results of calculations on the last iteration, the data can be seen in Table 5 until Table 12.

| Table 3. Maximum DC in each cluster | Table 4. DC value |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Customer   | DC1  | DC2  | DC1  | DC2  |
| 1          | 0.418|      |      |      |
| 2          | 0.148|      |      |      |
| 3          | 0.292|      |      |      |
| 4          | 0    |      |      |      |
| 5          | 0.273|      |      |      |
| MAX DC     | 0.418| 0    |      |      |

| Table 5. The number of members of each iteration set |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Iteration | Number of Members |
| 1         | Cluster 1: 5 Member |
|           | Cluster 2: 55 Member |
|           | Cluster 3: 31 Member |
|           | Cluster 4: 2 Member |
|           | Cluster 5: 9 Member |
|           | Cluster 6: 1 Member |
| 2         | Cluster 1: 4 Member |
|           | Cluster 2: 51 Member |
|           | Cluster 3: 35 Member |
|           | Cluster 4: 1 Member |
|           | Cluster 5: 10 Member |
|           | Cluster 6: 2 Member |

| Table 6. The end centroid value of cluster 1 in cluster set 6 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

| Table 7. The end centroid value of cluster 2 in cluster set 6 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.062 | 0.064 | 0.076 | 0.104 | 0.075 | 0.111 | 0.074 | 0.108 | 0.074 | 0.109 | 0.081 | 0.112 | 0.072 | 0.068 |

| Table 8. The end centroid value of cluster 3 in cluster set 6 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.155 | 0.148 | 0.188 | 0.197 | 0.19 | 0.203 | 0.188 | 0.202 | 0.191 | 0.202 | 0.191 | 0.206 | 0.167 | 0.156 |
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Table 9. The end centroid value of cluster 4 in cluster set 6

|   | A    | B   | C   | D   | E   | F   | G   |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| A | 0.641| 0.788| 1.608| 1.329| 1.635| 1.558| 1.690|
| B | 1.633| 1.653| 1.671| 1.729| 1.640| 0.719| 1.351|

Table 10. The end centroid value of cluster 5 in cluster set 6

|   | A    | B   | C   | D   | E   | F   | G   | H   | I   | J   | K   | L   | M   | N   |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| A | 0.333| 0.274| 0.348| 0.277| 0.35 | 0.279| 0.337| 0.277| 0.348| 0.276| 0.332| 0.283| 0.328| 0.293|
| B | 1.200| 1.050| 1.200| 1.034| 1.269| 0.434| 0.646|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

Table 11. The end centroid value of cluster 6 in cluster set 6

|   | A    | B   | C   | D   | E   | F   | G   |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| A | 0.359| 0.480| 1.043| 1.125| 1.045| 1.219| 1.020|
| B | 1.200| 1.050| 1.200| 1.034| 1.269| 0.434| 0.646|

Table 12. The results of the DBI value calculation for each set of clusters

| Set Cluster | DBI | Number of Members |
|-------------|-----|-------------------|
| 2           | 1.234| Cluster 1: 15  |
|             |      | Cluster 2: 88 |
| 3           | 0.931| Cluster 1: 4   |
|             |      | Cluster 2: 58 |
|             |      | Cluster 3: 41 |
| 4           | 0.893| Cluster 1: 12 |
|             |      | Cluster 2: 54 |
|             |      | Cluster 3: 34 |
|             |      | Cluster 4: 3  |
| 5           | 1.174| Cluster 1: 4   |
|             |      | Cluster 2: 51 |
|             |      | Cluster 3: 35 |
|             |      | Cluster 4: 3  |
|             |      | Cluster 5: 40 |
| 6           | 0.990| Cluster 1: 4   |
|             |      | Cluster 2: 51 |
|             |      | Cluster 3: 35 |
|             |      | Cluster 4: 1  |
|             |      | Cluster 5: 10 |
|             |      | Cluster 6: 2  |

Based on the calculation results, the cluster set is the most optimal is set cluster 4 because it has the smallest DBI value, that is, 0.893, that means set cluster 4 has the density of each object with the best centroid and the distance between the clusters is also well separated. Because the set of 4 clusters has the best performance in data grouping of historical power usage of AMR (automatic meter reading) customers in the business class, each centroid or center point of each cluster is used as an attribute and value of the AMR customer power usage business chart in PT. PLN (Persero) distribution of Jakarta Raya.

After getting the optimal set of clusters, then the testing phase. At this step the data being tested is data of 3 customers categorized as customers with un-normal usage electricity power. The test is, by determining the distance of each data testing object to each centroid in the cluster 4 set then the 3 data are tested with the output that is, the 3rd data is not normal in electricity power usage. The test is, by determining the distance of each data testing object to each centroid in the cluster 4 set then the 3 data are tested with the output that is, all 3 data are classified into customers with unnatural usage because based on the data allocation process to the centroid set the closest cluster 4, the distance of the testing data exceeds the maximum distance of each object in each cluster in the cluster 3 set. It can be seen the comparison of normal and un-normal electrical energy usage based on comparison of chart shapes between Figure 4 and Figure 5. The energy usage pattern in Figure 5 does not have the same pattern or according to each pattern in Figure 4. Besides being seen from the DC value of each client, it can also be compared between the WBP and LWBP values in each cluster as shown in Figures 4, 5, and 7, and the WBP and LWBP values of each client as shown in Figures 6 and 8.

Customers who are said not to fit the pattern or do not enter into any cluster, can be seen in the DC value of each customer, which has also determined the maximum DC value for each pattern, if the customer’s DC value exceeds the maximum DC value, then it can be said that the customer is not appropriate predetermined pattern. Besides looking at the DC value of each customer, it can also be compared between the WBP and LWBP values in each cluster and the WBP and LWBP values for each customer. The highest value...
of week WBP in each cluster, has the highest value of 0.453 in cluster 4, while for customers, the week’s WBP value is 2.770, which has already passed the highest value of each cluster.

Figure 4. Chart of AMR Customer Business Class User Power Pattern Group

Figure 5. Chart of Energy Consumption Customers are

Figure 6. Scatter Plot Diagram of Consumer Testing Data
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4. CONCLUSION

Based on the description of the discussion above, clustering of historical data on customer power usage of AMR (automatic meter reading) business class of PT. PLN (Persero) distribution of Jakarta Raya has been successfully built and can be used to classify and determine the pattern of electric power usage based on the number of cluster sets that have been calculated for their optimization. Based on the results of testing with 2-6 sets of clusters, the cluster set results are the most optimal that is in the set of 4 clusters because it has the smallest DBI value (minimum), 0.893. Where in set 4 clusters, cluster 1 has 12 members, cluster 2: 54 members, cluster 3: 34 members and cluster 4:3 members. There are 4 patterns of electric power usage of AMR (automatic meter reading) customers of business class (B-3 or medium voltage class, power limit above 200kVA). Customers who are said not to fit the pattern or do not enter into any cluster, can be seen in the DC value of each customer, which has also determined the maximum DC value for each pattern, if the customer's DC value exceeds the maximum DC value, then it can be said that the customer is not appropriate predetermined pattern. Besides looking at the DC value of each customer, it can also be compared between the WBP and LWBP values in each cluster and the WBP and LWBP values for each customer. The highest value of week WBP in each cluster, has the highest value of 0.453 in cluster 4, while for customers, the week's WBP value is 2.770, which has already passed the highest value of each cluster.
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