INTRODUCTION

Oral cancer has been reported as the third most common cancer in India. It has been reported that the occurrence and progress of oral cancer in India has been influenced by the cumulative effect of various genetic, environmental, and age factors of the population. The reasons behind the high significance of oral cancer are in most of the cases the diagnosis of oral cancer happens in a very late stage, because a strict dental check-up at least once in a year pattern is not followed in India.8-9 Another reason is even if the patient gets to know in an early stage, the socio-economic status of the patient might not allow them to undergo proper treatment due to the financial burden since India is still a developing country.10-11 Also, it has been proved that oral cancer detection in an early stage has a possible effect on the treatment outcome and in improving the quality of the patient’s life post-treatment.12-18 A significant relation has been reported between the people of lower socioeconomic status of the society and OSCC since their exposure to tobacco usage has been reported to be very high when compared to socioeconomically stable class.19 Few other studies have disclosed that out of all head and neck cancer thirty percent of it is occupied by tongue cancer. And in that 85% of it occurs in the lateral aspect of the tongue. This set forth an explanation towards why in our study we had observed the tongue as a common site.20-24

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Oral cancer results due to the persistence of potentially malignant disorders (PMD) over a chronic duration. It is the sixth most common cancer prevailing all over the world. The incidence of oral cancer in Asians has been reported to be very high due to various personal habits and poor knowledge about the occurrence and consequences if diagnosed with a deadly disease such as oral cancer. In the study, all the possible causes for occurrences of oral cancer have been extensively discussed and the study has also tried to investigate the possible underlying reasons behind the occurrence of the disease in a select population namely non-habit-related Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma (OSCC).

Aim: The aim of the study was to evaluate the diet and other habits affecting non-habit oral cancer patients.

Materials and Methods: The study was performed in a university setting. The study reviewed patient records and analyzed the data of 86000 patients between June 2019 and March 2020. The collected data were compiled and tabulated using Microsoft Excel. The tabulated data were then reviewed and then exported to the SPSS platform for performing statistical analysis.

Results and Discussion: The study has taken a total of six parameters. Of them, only one parameter showed statistical significance and the p-value was found to be 0.0001 (p<0.005). It is also important to note that while the other parameters did not exhibit any sort of statistical significance, all the parameters considered were found to be of paramount clinical significance. Within the confines of the study conducted, we have found out that factors such as chronic irritation, ulcers, sharp tooth, and diet does have a significant role in causing oral cancer.
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INTRODUCTION

Oral cancer has been reported as the third most common cancer in India. It has been reported that the occurrence and progress of oral cancer in India has been influenced by the cumulative effect of various genetic, environmental, and age factors of the population. The reasons behind the high significance of oral cancer are in most of the cases the diagnosis of oral cancer happens in a very late stage, because a strict dental check-up at least once in a year pattern is not followed in India. Another reason is even if the patient gets to know in an early stage, the socio-economic status of the patient might not allow them to undergo proper treatment due to the financial burden since India is still a developing country. Also, it has been proved that oral cancer detection in an early stage has a possible effect on the treatment outcome and in improving the quality of the patient’s life post-treatment. A significant relation has been reported between the people of lower socioeconomic status of the society and OSCC since their exposure to tobacco usage has been reported to be very high when compared to socioeconomically stable class. Few other studies have disclosed that out of all head and neck cancer thirty percent of it is occupied by tongue cancer. And in that 85% of it occurs in the lateral aspect of the tongue. This set forth an explanation towards why in our study we had observed the tongue as a common site. Results have been set forth about the variations in the site of occurrence due to geographic distribution, socioeconomic conditions, and rate of occurrence.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in a university setting and the ethical number is SDC/SIHEC/2020/DIASDATA/0619-0320. Being done with the entries of one university the advantages regarding the study were that the data were already available and the data belonged to individuals with similar ethnicity. The limitations of the study were geographic limitations, locations, and also the trends of other locations were not assessed.

The study was a retrospective cross-sectional study and the data were collected within a time period of June 2019 to March 2020. There were two reviewers for the study. Ethical approval was provided by the University ethical committee. Case sheets were reviewed and cross-verifications were done. A total of 30 case sheets were taken which has been confirmed with OSCC.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data was tabulated by using Microsoft Excel software. It was then exported to SPSS for statistical analysis. The analysis was conducted by the Chi-square test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the study, we found that a small portion of individuals who are diagnosed with oral cancer every year is reported to be devoid of any particular oral habits.

Figure 1: The graph depicts the distribution of age group and OSCC. X-axis shows the age group, Y-axis shows the number of patients affected. Chi-square test was done and the association is statistically not significant (p-value = 0.4852, p>0.05) though the most prevalent age group with OSCC was found to be 41-50 years.

Figure 2: The distribution of gender with respect to the number of patients. X-axis represents the gender and Y-axis represents the number of patients. A male predominance is seen when compared to the female population in the occurrence of OSCC.

Figure 3: The distribution of chronic irritation with the number of patients. X-axis shows the presence or absence of chronic irritation, Y-axis shows the number of patients. The majority of the patients reported to be devoid of chronic irritation with only one patient reported to have chronic irritation.

Figure 4: The distribution of other habits and the number of patients. X-axis shows the distribution of other habits like pan, kutka, etc and Y-axis represents the number of patients. The maximum number of patients did not have any of these habits, and only 2 patients were reported to have a history of kutka.
Figure 5: The distribution of smoking status and number of patients. X-axis represents the smoking status and Y-axis represents the number of patients. The majority of the populations were non-smokers.

Figure 6: The association between age group and the number of patients with smoking status; Yes (blue) and No (yellow). X-axis represents the age group and Y-axis represents the number of patients. Chi-square test was done, Pearson Chi-square value: 19.683, DF:3, p-value=0.0001, p<0.05; statistically significant. The maximum number of patients found to be with smoking habits belonged to the age group of 31 to 40. From the results obtained in the study, the most affected age group was 41 to 50, followed by 51 to 60, 61 to 70 and the least affected age group was 31 to 40 (Figure 1). It has been supported by Randhawana et al.\textsuperscript{33} that the most affected age group was 41 to 50. Also, few authors have suggested that the occurrence is very rare under forty years of age.\textsuperscript{35–38}

Figure 7: The association between age groups with the number of patients with other habits like pan, kutka, etc; pan chewing (blue), kutka (green), pan chewing, and kutka (yellow), no habits (grape vine). X-axis shows the age group and Y-axis the number of patients. Chi-square test was done, Pearson Chi-square value: 17.464, DF: 9, p-value = 0.48, p>0.05, hence statistically not significant. Though, the maximum number of patients without habits were found to be within the age group of 41 to 50; the maximum number of patients with pan chewing and Kutkha habits were found to be within 31-40 yr age group, it was not significantly different across age groups.

A very high male predilection was observed for being presented with OSCC (Figure 2) and considering the other factors, we can come to the conclusion that in India tobacco, pan, and gutka use is predominantly seen in the male population.

In the present study, only a very small percentage of the population did not have any habit histories like smoking or pan chewing or quid or alcohol use. They were reported with chronic irritation or ulcers that were present for almost a year or more (Figure 3). Tobacco chewing, pan chewing, use of betel nut, or quid has been a part of tradition among different cultural groups all over Asia. In the study, we found that 10 patients, that is 33% of the total population reported being with pan and kutka chewing habits (Figure 4). Many studies have suggested that persons with these habits are relatively more prone to be diagnosed with oral cancer.\textsuperscript{25,26} Some studies have reported a male-female ratio to be 10:1.\textsuperscript{27,28} The male-female ratio was found to be 0.92:1 in a Malaysian study as well as in a few reasons behind the age group of occurrence of oral cancer being forty to fifty are cited as the smoking and alcohol habits that the patients have developed from a very young age.\textsuperscript{35–38}
other studies.\textsuperscript{29,30} There have also been studies that have reported no significant gender predominance as well.\textsuperscript{31,32}

The habit induced cancer is seen in men more than in women, and in these habits, the smoking status in the population which was only seen in men was found to be statistically significant in the age group of 31 to 40 (p-value for smoking status $= 0.0001$, p$<0.05$).

Another interesting fact that was found is that all the patients in our study were non-vegetarians. Although we could not analyze the type of meat or other factors, it is very evident a non-vegetarian habit might have a very strong correlation with the health status of the patient. There have been researches done in the past that gave hints on these factors like chronic irritation, malnutrition, viral infection, etc.\textsuperscript{39–41}

**CONCLUSION**

In general, the majority of patients diagnosed with oral cancer were found to be associated with predisposing habits such as tobacco chewing, smoking, gutka, quid, alcohol, etc., with a noticeable predilection for the occurrence of malignancy in the 31-40 yrs age group associated with the smoking habit (p$<0.05$). The study conducted has brought to our notice that oral cancer is also diagnosed in a noticeable group of patients who were devoid of any predisposing habits such as the ones mentioned above focusing on the fact that diet is also a contributing factor in causing oral cancer as all of the oral cancer patients in our study population followed a non-vegetarian diet. The occurrence of oral cancer in the newer generation is likely due to the lifestyle they lead, the potentially harmful food habits they follow, and lack of knowledge regarding the symptoms and seriousness of the disease. Therefore the medical community should take pre-emptive measures to tackle the occurrence of oral cancer among people and should take initiative to spread knowledge and create awareness among people across all the spectrums of society.
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