T cells engrafted with a UniCAR 28/z outperform UniCAR BB/z-transduced T cells in the regulatory T cell-mediated immunosuppression
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ABSTRACT
Adoptive transfer of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-equipped T cells have demonstrated astonishing clinical efficacy in hematological malignancies recently culminating in the approval of two CAR T cell products. Despite this tremendous success, CAR T cell approaches have still achieved only moderate efficacy against solid tumors. As a major obstacle, engineered conventional T cells (Tconvs) face an anti-inflammatory, hostile tumor microenvironment often infiltrated by highly suppressive regulatory T cells (Tregs). Thus, potent CAR T cell treatment of solid tumors requires efficient activation of Tconvs via their engrafted CAR to overcome Treg-mediated immunosuppression. In that regard, selecting an optimal intracellular signaling domain might represent a crucial step to achieve best clinical efficiency. To shed light on this issue and to investigate responsiveness to Treg inhibition, we engrafted Tconvs with switchable universal CARs (UniCARs) harboring intracellularly the CD3ζ domain alone or in combination with costimulatory CD28 or 4-1BB. Our studies reveal that UniCAR ζ, and UniCAR BB/ζ-engineered Tconvs are strongly impaired by activated Tregs, whereas UniCARs providing CD28 costimulation overcome Treg-mediated suppression both in vitro and in vivo. Compared to UniCAR ζ- and UniCAR BB/ζ-modified cells, UniCAR 28/ζ-armed Tconvs secrete significantly higher amounts of Th1-related cytokines and, furthermore, levels of these cytokines are elevated even upon exposure to Tregs. Thus, in contrast to 4-1BB costimulation, CD28 signaling in UniCAR-transduced Tconvs seems to foster a pro-inflammatory milieu, which contributes to enhanced resistance to Treg suppression. Overall, our results may have significant implications for CAR T cell-based immunotherapies of solid tumors strongly invaded by Tregs.

INTRODUCTION
The clinical application of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-armed T cells have been proven to be highly successful for the treatment of various hematological malignancies thereby revolutionizing cancer immunotherapy.1,2 CARs are typically composed of an extracellular binding moiety specifically interacting with an antigen of interest, a flexible hinge region and a transmembrane domain fused to an intracellular signaling unit. Originally, first-generation CARs were designed, in which T cell activation is triggered by an isolated CD3ζ domain. In order to enhance T cell proliferation, effector function and persistence, at least one additional costimulatory region was integrated into the CAR architecture leading to the development of second- or third-generation CARs.3 To date, costimulatory domains primarily utilized in clinical trials derive from CD28 or 4-1BB (CD137). Likewise, both CAR T cell products being recently approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of CD19+, B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and/or diffuse large B cell lymphoma harbor a BB/ζ (tisagenlecleucel) or a 28/ζ (axicabtagene ciloleucel) endodomain.4 Despite tremendous complete remission rates obtained with these therapeutic cell products,5-7 CAR technology still has to surmount several major challenges. On the one hand, administration of CAR T cells is often accompanied by severe adverse events among which the two most frequent ones are cytokine release syndrome and CAR T cell-related encephalopathy syndrome.6-10 Both toxicities can result in life-threatening or even fatal outcome, as recently reported for several patients who died from cerebral edema.8,11,12 Furthermore, on-target/off-tumor responses in patients with solid tumors have provoked severe, partly lethal toxicity.13-15 For a better control of side effects, it is of greatest importance to accurately adjust activity of CAR-engrafted T cells. To fulfill this urgent need, our group has developed...
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and in vivo, whereas UniCAR BB/ζ-armed Tconvs fails to resist Treg-mediated immunosuppression.

Results

Generation of UniCAR-armed Tconvs with different intracellular signaling domains

Human Tconvs were isolated from peripheral blood of healthy donors and transduced with UniCAR constructs harboring in addition to the CD3ζ signaling unit either a costimulatory signaling domain of 4-1BB (UniCAR BB/ζ) or CD28 (UniCAR 28/ζ). As control, the UniCAR ζ construct was employed lacking any costimulation. Aside from these differences, UniCAR ζ, UniCAR 28/ζ, and UniCAR BB/ζ share identical extracellular and transmembrane proportions (Figure 2(a)).17,18,23 All UniCARs were expressed on the surface of genetically modified Tconvs as validated by extracellular detection of the epitope tag E7B6 incorporated into the UniCAR architecture (Figure 2(b)). Importantly transduction efficacy was comparable between the individual UniCAR constructs yielding no statistically significant differences (Figure 2(c); UniCAR ζ: 87.4 ± 6.1%, UniCAR BB/ζ: 85.7 ± 6.2%, UniCAR 28/ζ: 92.3 ± 3.2%, n = 7).

Inhibition of UniCAR-engrafted Tconvs by autologous Tregs in vitro

Having confirmed a uniform surface expression of all UniCAR constructs, we aimed to explore the influence of different costimulatory signaling domains on Tconv responsiveness to Treg-mediated suppression (see also Figure 1 for experimental setup). To that end, autologous CD4+CD25+CD127dimCD45RA+ Tregs were isolated to high purity and subsequently expanded in the presence of Proleukin® S and αCD3/CD28 beads. Lineage stability of ex vivo cultured Tregs was confirmed by a high FOXP3+ expression (96.4 ± 3.1% CD4+FOXP3+, n = 7, Supplementary Fig. 1C).

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental setup. Tconvs engrafted with UniCAR ζ, UniCAR BB/ζ or UniCAR 28/ζ were redirected to prostate stem cell antigen (PSCA)-expressing target cells via an αPSCA targeting module (TM). To determine performance of these responder cells in an immunosuppressive milieu, Tregs were added. For stimulation, suppressor cells were either pre-activated with αCD3/CD28 beads via their endogenous T cell receptor (TCR) or redirected via UniCAR BB/ζ engraftment.
In order to examine responsiveness to Treg repression, UniCAR-endowed Tconvs were retargeted to PC3 cells expressing the prostate stem cell antigen (PSCA) by using a cross-linking αPSCA TM in the absence or presence of T cell receptor (TCR)-stimulated autologous Tregs. Tregs that were not pre-activated with conventional αCD3/CD28 beads served as control. As anticipated, addition of resting Tregs did not markedly influence expansion of either of the investigated UniCAR Tconv populations (Figure 3(a)). However, Tregs that were activated via their endogenous TCR prior to the assay significantly repressed UniCAR BB/ζ-engrafted Tconvs at all tested ratios (76 ± 20% and 31 ± 5% for the highest and lowest ratio, respectively, n = 3). In contrast, UniCAR 28/ζ-endowed Tconv expansion was only impaired at the highest Treg to Tconv ratio (63 ± 19%, n = 3). Thus, UniCAR 28/ζ-endowed Tconvs are more resistant to Treg-mediated suppression than Tconvs with a BB/ζ signaling domain, which was most pronounced when low Treg numbers were added (Figure 3(b)). In line with previously published results, Tconvs engrafted with a control UniCAR ζ construct were highly prone to inhibition by TCR-stimulated Tregs at all Treg to Tconv ratios tested, underlining a significant difference to both second-generation UniCARs (Figure 3(b)).

Next, in order to mimic an antigen-specific immunosuppression bringing both responder and suppressor cells into immediate proximity, Tregs were genetically modified to express a UniCAR BB/ζ construct. Previous investigations have revealed that a BB/ζ signaling domain is most favorable for Treg modification, whereas signaling via a UniCAR 28/ζ heightened their pro-inflammatory potential and triggered a certain level of antigen-independent Treg activation. Thus, UniCAR BB/ζ-armed Tregs (80.5 ± 6.4% CD4+EGFP+ cells, n = 6, Supplementary Fig. 1A and 1B) were applied in all experiments and redirected to PC3-PSCA cells using the same αPSCA TM as for stimulation of UniCAR Tconvs. Therefore, Tregs engrafted with a UniCAR stop construct lacking any intracellular signaling domain (83.9 ± 11.7% CD4+EGFP+ cells, n = 6, Supplementary Fig. 1A and 1B) were used as additional control in order to exclude that effects observed in this experimental setup were caused by competitive TM usage. As depicted in Figure 4(a), neither UniCAR stop- nor non-modified Tregs were capable of markedly influencing expansion of cocultured second-generation UniCAR Tconvs (Figure 4(a)). Of note, control Tconvs expressing a first-generation UniCAR were suppressed by UniCAR stop-armed Tregs with statistical significance at the highest ratio tested, although cross-linkage of these Tregs to tumor cells does not trigger an activation signal (Figure 4(a), left panel). As shown in Figure 4(a) (middle panel), UniCAR-stimulated Tregs
considerably impaired expansion of Tconvs harboring a costimulatory 4-1BB signaling domain, with suppression rates ranging from 89 ± 8% (1:1, n = 6) to 42 ± 15% (1:8, n = 3). Further, in contrast to the aforementioned assay using TCR-activated Tregs, the responsiveness of UniCAR BB/ζ-armed Tconvs to Treg-induced immunosuppression was comparable to that of control Tconvs expressing a UniCAR ζ construct (99 ± 2% (1:1, n = 6) to 36 ± 30% (1:8, n = 3)). On the other hand, UniCAR 28/ζ-engrafted Tconvs were only affected by UniCAR-redirected activated Tregs at a 1:1 ratio (37 ± 24%, n = 6), but not influenced upon coculture with less amounts of Tregs (Figure 4(a), right panel). Overall, in comparison to UniCAR ζ- and UniCAR BB/ζ-mediated stimulation, Tconv activation via a combined 28/ζ signaling domain conferred a significant resistance to immunosuppressive Tregs (Figure 4(b)). To further support the aforementioned findings, suppression of Tconv proliferation by TCR- or UniCAR BB/ζ-activated Tregs was analyzed. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 3, proliferation of UniCAR ζ- and UniCAR BB/ζ-modified Tconvs was more effectively impaired by stimulated Tregs as compared to UniCAR 28/ζ-armed cells, clearly supporting superiority of the latter.

**Responsiveness of UniCAR-armed Tconvs to Treg suppression in vivo**

So far, the in vitro assays clearly underline that UniCAR 28/ζ-engrafted Tconvs are less efficiently suppressed by Tregs than Tconvs armed with a UniCAR BB/ζ construct. Yet, it remains to be explored whether UniCAR 28/ζ-endowed Tconvs show a better performance in the presence of Tregs also in vivo. For that reason, we investigated the influence of immunosuppressive Tregs on the anti-tumor activity of UniCAR-modified Tconvs in athymic NMRI nu/nu mice (Figure 5). To that end, animals were injected with luciferase-expressing PC3-PSCA cells either alone or together with PSCA-redirected Tconvs harboring a UniCAR with costimulatory 4-1BB or CD28 signaling domain. In line with the experimental concept of others,36 we aimed to mimic a hostile, immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment in two groups of mice by co-administration of UniCAR-expressing Tregs at a 1:1 Treg to Tconv ratio. Subsequently, survival of tumor cells was monitored over three weeks by measuring bioluminescence signal. As expected, tumor burden continuously increased in the control group receiving only PC3-PSCA cells (Figure 5).
mice transplanted with UniCAR-endowed Tconvs and the αPSCA TM, luciferase activity was massively reduced, proving that gene-modified UniCAR Tconvs lyse PC3-PSCA cells and completely abolish tumor outgrowth independently of the intracellular domain used for costimulation. However, anti-tumor response of UniCAR BB/ζ-engineered Tconvs was strongly impaired upon administration of immunosuppressive Tregs. By contrast, UniCAR-redirected Tregs were not capable of impeding the tumor eradication activity of UniCAR 28/ζ-engrafted Tconvs verifying that the latter are more resistant to Treg-mediated suppression also in vivo.

**Cytokine production profile of UniCAR-expressing Tconvs in the absence or presence of Tregs**

The obtained data unambiguously demonstrate a superior functionality of UniCAR 28/ζ-endowed Tconvs over UniCAR BB/ζ-transduced cells in the face of Treg-mediated suppression. As it has already been published by several groups that pro-inflammatory challenge negatively affects Treg stability and function, we wanted to clarify whether UniCAR signaling via the 28/ζ domain enhances pro-inflammatory cytokine secretion which in turn might account for the observed resistance to Tregs. In order to shed light on this issue, cytokine amounts were analyzed in supernatants of Tconvs that were stimulated via their UniCAR (ζ, BB/ζ or 28/ζ) using a cross-linking αPSCA TM. Alternatively, conventional αCD3/CD28-coated beads were used for Tconv activation via the endogenous TCR (Figure 6(a)). Expectedly, both stimulation types caused a substantial release of Th1-related cytokines IFN-γ, TNF, GM-CSF, and IL-2. For cells transduced with the UniCAR 28/ζ construct, amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IFN-γ, TNF and GM-CSF) triggered via TCR or UniCAR stimulation were comparable, whereas the latter resulted in significantly elevated IL-2 levels. By contrast, UniCAR BB/ζ-engrafted Tconvs produced similar IL-2 amounts upon TCR or CAR activation, whilst TNF levels were markedly lower upon TM-compared to bead-mediated redirection. Therefore, the cytokine secretion profile of UniCAR BB/ζ-engrafted Tconvs rather resembles the one of
control Tconvs expressing a first generation CAR without an additional costimulatory signal. When directly comparing cytokine concentrations triggered by the individual second-generation UniCARs, considerable differences could be detected. As depicted in Figure 6(b), analysis of seven independent T cell donors revealed that UniCAR 28/ζ-engrafted Tconvs produce significantly higher amounts of all tested cytokines (IFN-γ, TNF, GM-CSF, and IL-2) than UniCAR BB/ζ-expressing cells.

In light of these results, it can be reasoned that UniCAR 28/ζ-armed Tconvs create a strong pro-inflammatory milieu, which in turn contributes to a reduced responsiveness to Treg immunosuppression. Yet, since Tregs are known to interfere with cytokine secretion of responder cells, 41,42 cytokine levels in cocultures of Tregs and Tconvs remained to be analyzed in order to corroborate our hypothesis. As illustrated in Figure 7, neither TCR- nor UniCAR BB/ζ-activated Tregs were capable of completely inhibiting cytokine release of cocultured Tconvs. In comparison to cocultures containing UniCAR BB/ζ- or UniCAR ζ-engrafted Tconvs, elevated levels of IFN-γ, TNF, GM-CSF, and IL-2 were measured in supernatants containing UniCAR 28/ζ-armed Tconvs, which is especially pronounced at lower Treg to Tconv ratios (Figure 7(a)).

In B cell-derived malignancies, 28/ζ- and BB/ζ-based αCD19 CAR therapies reached extraordinary clinical results culminating in the recent approval of two CAR T cell products for cancer patients. 1,4 Both second-generation CAR formats were extensively examined in several comparative, pre-clinical in vitro and in vivo studies demonstrating for instance that 4-1BB signaling facilitates mitochondrial biogenesis, reprogramming towards a central memory T cell phenotype and increased in vivo persistence, whereas incorporation of CD28 into the CAR architecture promotes glycolysis, effector memory maturation, rapid tumor eradication but also exhaustion. 43–45 However, to our best knowledge there is so far no data available directly comparing the responsiveness of CAR 28/ζ- and CAR BB/ζ-armed human Tconvs to Treg suppression. Especially in light of a CAR-based T cell therapy...
for solid tumors, identification of an intracellular signal fostering highly effective Tconvs that are able to escape strong Treg-mediated inhibition would be of greatest importance. In that regard, Loskog et al. demonstrated in vitro superiority of αCD19 CAR 28/ζ-armed Tconvs over cells engrafted with a first-generation CAR in the presence of Tregs or the recombinant cytokines IL-10 and TGF-β both known to be naturally secreted by these suppressor cells.

These data, at least in part, were confirmed by Koehler and colleagues. They show that TGF-β substantially hampers CAR ζ-modified Tconvs with specificity for carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), whereas αCEA CAR 28/ζ-engrafted cells are less affected. More recently, an in vitro comparison between Tconvs transduced with different αCEA CARs revealed that TGF-β-mediated inhibition of proliferation can be overcome by CD28/ζ but not by BB/ζ or ζ signaling alone.

In the present study, we are now demonstrating that UniCAR 28/ζ-engrafted Tconvs clearly outcompete UniCAR BB/ζ-modified cells upon exposure to both TCR- and UniCAR-activated Tregs in vitro. We were further able to corroborate these findings in experimental mice, in which UniCAR-modified Tregs were co-transferred with Tconvs in order to mimic a hostile tumor microenvironment of solid cancers being heavily infiltrated with these suppressor cells. In that regard, outgrowth of tumor cells mirrors strong immunosuppression of UniCAR BB/ζ-armeT convs by Tregs.

Figure 6. Cytokine secretion profile of UniCAR-equipped Tconvs. UniCAR-transduced Tconvs were incubated with PC3-PSCA cells (effector to target cell ratio of 5:1) in the absence or presence of 6 pmol αPSCA TM. For T cell stimulation via the endogenous TCR, αCD3/CD28 beads were added. After 24 h, cell-free supernatants were harvested and analyzed using ELISA or bead-based flow cytometry analysis. Concentration of predominantly secreted cytokines (IFN-γ, TNF, GM-CSF, and IL-2) are shown. Statistical significance was assessed by (a) 1-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test or (b) ratio paired, two-tailed Student’s t-test for five and seven individual donors, respectively (*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001, (a) with respect to control without any stimulus, #p < .05, ##p < .01).
whereas Tconv overcome inhibition and vigorously eradicate tumor cells when integrating CD28 instead of 4-1BB into the UniCAR architecture. In accordance with the concept of others, we injected all cellular components s.c., as this experimental setup allows for a good control over all parameters like Tconv to tumor cell ratio or responder to suppressor cell ratio, thereby minimizing confounding effects and ensuring little variability between individual animals and groups. Nonetheless, s.c. inoculation exhibits some limitations as for instance migratory behavior and infiltration of genetically modified Tconvs into the tumor site cannot be studied. Moreover, co-injected Tregs and Tconvs are stimulated simultaneously, whereas an established tumor microenvironment harbors highly pre-activated Tregs. Nevertheless, the applied mouse model provides a first insight how UniCAR-endowed Tconvs might perform in vivo upon immunosuppressive exposure.

In line with previously published data, control Tconvs engrafted with a UniCAR ζ lacking any costimulatory signal were most compromised by Treg-mediated suppression. This is reflected by profound reduction of their expansion potential in the presence of TCR-activated Tregs, which significantly differs from that of both second-generation UniCAR-modified Tconvs. In addition, impairment of first-generation UniCAR-armed Tconvs was even detectable in cocultures with UniCAR stop-engrafted Tregs. As previously published by our group, Tregs equipped with a UniCAR stop construct are not activated upon TM-mediated cross-linkage to target cells. Consequently, close proximity of responder and suppressor cells was already sufficient to provoke significant inhibition of UniCAR ζ-modified Tconvs underlining their high responsiveness to Treg suppression. Conflicting data, however, have been provided by Kofler et al. as they show that engraftment with an αCEA CAR ζ results in elevated in vivo anti-tumor activity of transduced Tconvs in the presence of Tregs in contrast to CARs incorporated with an additional CD28 endodomain. We reason that a number of substantial experimental differences including targeted antigen, CAR architecture, Treg population used for suppression and activation status of these cells might be responsible for the observed discrepancies to our findings.

Within our experiments, UniCAR 28/ζ-engrafted Tconvs produce significantly greater amounts of IL-2 as compared to cells engrafted with a UniCAR BB/ζ. Consumption of IL-2 as well as transcriptional repression of IL-2 gene expression represents an important regulatory mechanism by which Tregs can efficiently interfere with proliferation of activated effector cells. Thus, vast amounts of IL-2 secreted by UniCAR 28/ζ-modified Tconvs might serve as an efficient countermasure to this Treg-mediated suppression mechanism. In line with this assumption, several groups demonstrate that addition of exogenous IL-2 to suppression assays abrogates Treg inhibition. Moreover, UniCAR 28/ζ-engrafted Tconvs secrete significantly higher levels of pro-

**Figure 7.** Analysis of cytokines in cocultures of UniCAR-armed Tconvs and autologous Tregs. Cocultivation of UniCAR-endowed Tconvs and (a) TCR- or (b) UniCAR-activated Tregs was performed in the presence of 6 pmol αPSCA TM (a) at indicated Treg:Tconv ratios or (b) at a 1:1 ratio of both T cell populations. PC3-PSCA cells were added at a 5:1 UniCAR T cell to target cell ratio. After 48 h, cell-free supernatants were collected and analyzed for cytokine amounts using a MACSplex Cytokine Kit. Concentration of indicated cytokines are shown as mean ± SEM for three individual donors. Statistical significance was calculated between individual UniCAR constructs using 1-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test (*p < .05, **p < .01, (a) with respect to UniCAR 28/ζ-engrafted Tconvs).
inflammatory cytokines (IFN-γ, GM-CSF, and TNF) than UniCAR BB/ζ-transduced cells. These data indicate that costimulation via a CD28 endodomain results in stronger activation of effector functions, thereby fostering an inflammatory milieu and helping to evade Treg-mediated control. This hypothesis is supported by previously published data demonstrating that hyperactivation of the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase/Akt pathway, which is potently induced by CD28 signaling, decreases responsiveness of Tconv to Treg inhibition. In accordance with these data, it was proven that addition of an agonistic anti-CD28 antibody to in vitro suppression assays abrogates Treg influence on cocultured Tconvs.

Nevertheless, we cannot rule out the possibility that ligand-independent tonic signaling contributes to the observed disparities between UniCAR BB/ζ- and UniCAR 28/ζ-armed Tconvs. As various studies have revealed that pre-activated or memory T cells can less efficiently be suppressed by Tregs, sustained signaling via the engrafted 28/ζ endodomain might facilitate an enhanced resistance to Treg inhibition. Given that tonic signaling is influenced by multiple factors and conflicting data exist in terms of the effects of CD28 vs. 4-1BB costimulation, we cannot draw any conclusion at present regarding the UniCAR constructs utilized in this study. Hence, the influence of tonic signaling on Tconv responsiveness to Tregs remains to be addressed in future experiments.

Taken together, our data clearly underline that arming Tconvs with a UniCAR 28/ζ conveys enhanced capability to escape Treg control and, therefore, this construct proves to be preferentially suited for treatment of solid cancers. On the other hand, escape from Treg regulation might be accompanied by a higher risk for unwanted side effects leading to severe or even life-threatening toxicities. This potential safety hazard could, however, easily be overcome by our switchable UniCAR platform technology which allows for a precisely controlled activation of highly potent 28/ζ-engrafted T cells. Consequently, UniCAR 28/ζ-armed Tconvs combine both increased safety and vigorous anti-tumor efficiency despite the presence of strongly activated Tregs.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

All cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The prostate cancer cell line PC3 (ATCC CRL-1435), transduced with the tumor-specific antigen PSCA as previously published, was cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% non-essential amino acids, 100 µg/ml penicillin/streptomycin, 2 mM N-acetyl-L-alanyl-L-glutamine and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (complete RPMI 1640 medium, all obtained from Biochrom, Berlin, Germany). Human embryonic kidney cells HEK293T (ATCC CRL-11268) used for virus particle production and murine fibroblast cells 3T3 (ATCC CRL-1658) genetically modified to express the soluble αPSCA TM were kept in DMEM (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany) completed with 10% FCS, 1% non-essential amino acids and 100 µg/ml penicillin/streptomycin. Cell culture was performed at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

Production and purification of the αPSCA TM

Design, production, and purification of the αPSCA TM were already reported elsewhere. Briefly, 2 × 10⁷ TM-expressing 3T3 cells were seeded in T175 cell culture flasks and supernatant was harvested after 96 h. His-tagged recombinant proteins were purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). After two consecutive washing steps, column-bound αPSCA TM was eluted with ice-cold PBS containing 350 mM imidazole and 150 mM NaCl. Dialysis was performed overnight against PBS at 4°C. Purity and concentration of the αPSCA TM were determined after SDS-PAGE and Coomassie brilliant blue G250 staining using Image Lab™ software (Bio-Rad Laboratories GmbH, Munich, Germany).

Structural design of UniCAR constructs

Generation and detailed structure of UniCAR 28/ζ, UniCAR ζ, UniCAR BB/ζ, and UniCAR stop were previously described. In brief, all constructs contain extracellularly an αLαSβ9 single-chain fragment variable followed by a short peptide epitope tag (E786) for UniCAR identification on the surface of transduced cells. Hinge and transmembrane region are derived from human CD28. Intracellularly, UniCARs possess either no signaling chain (UniCAR stop), a human CD3ζ signaling domain in isolation (UniCAR ζ) or, in addition, a costimulatory signaling unit of human CD28 (UniCAR 28/ζ) or human 4-1BB (UniCAR BB/ζ). As marker gene EGFP is fused to the C-terminal UniCAR platform allowing for a separate translation of the two reading frames according to a ribosomal skip mechanism.

T cell isolation and expansion

The study was approved by the local ethics committee of the university hospital of the medical faculty of “Carl Gustav Carus” TU Dresden (EK27022006). Virus particle production was performed as published elsewhere. Virus particle production was performed as published elsewhere. CD4⁴CD25⁻ Tconvs and CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127dimCD45RA⁺ Tregs were isolated, cultured and transduced with the respective UniCAR construct as previously outlined in detail. In brief, peripheral blood mononuclear cells were obtained from buffy coats (German Red Cross, Dresden, Germany) by Ficoll gradient centrifugation with informed consent of healthy donors. Enrichment of CD4⁺ T cells was performed using a human CD4⁺ T cell Isolation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) yielding a purity of 96.5% ± 1.9% CD3⁺CD4⁺ cells (n = 7). After magnetic labeling with human CD25⁺ Microbeads II (Miltenyi Biotec), CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tconvs were obtained as untouched cells in the flow through. Eluted CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells were subsequently sorted on a FACSAria™ Fusion (BD Biosciences Pharmingen, Heidelberg, Germany) to gain highly pure CD4⁺CD25⁻CD127dimCD45RA⁺ Tregs. After isolation, both Tconvs and Tregs were activated with DynaBeads® Human T-Activator CD3/CD28 (Invitrogen,
ThermoFisher Scientific) and transduced with lentiviral particles on day 1–4 by adding concentrated virus supernatant. Beads were removed from Tconvs after 72 h. One day prior to experiments, Tconvs were maintained in complete RPMI 1640 medium without any exogenously added cytokines. Regarding Treg cultures, cells were restimulated with αCD3/CD28 DynaBeads® at a 1:2 bead to T cell ratio on day four or five for 48 h to 72 h. After bead removal, Tregs were rested for 2 to 3 days prior to assays and characterized regarding FOXP3, EGFP and UniCAR expression (Supplementary Fig. 1) as well as IL-10 secretion and latency-associated peptide (LAP) upregulation (Supplementary Fig. 4).

**Flow cytometry**

Cell surface staining for molecules of interest was performed with monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) directed against CD3 (BW264/56), CD4 (VIT4), CD25 (4E3), CD45RA (T6D11), CD127 (MB15-18C9) and IgG1 (isotype control, IS5-21F5) all purchased from Miltenyi Biotec as well as LAP (TW4-6H110) obtained from BioLegend (London, UK). To verify UniCAR expression on the T cell surface, an αLa7B6 mAb® targeting the epitope tag E7B6 was applied which was detected with a fluorochrome-conjugated goat-α-mouse IgG F(ab’)2 antibody (Immunotech Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, Germany). For identification of intracellular FOXP3, cells were incubated with a Zombie Red™ dye (Zombie Red™ Fixable Viability Kit, BioLegend) allowing live-dead cell discrimination, further processed with a FoxP3 Staining Buffer Set (Miltenyi Biotec) and finally stained with a mAb against FOXP3 (259D) or the respective isotype control IgG1 (MOPC-21) (both from BioLegend). Flow cytometry data were acquired on a MACSQuant® Analyzer and evaluated using MACSQuantify® software (Miltenyi Biotec).

**In vitro suppression assay**

To discriminate the individual T cell populations within suppression assays, CD4⁺CD25⁻CD127lowCD45RA⁺ Tregs were labeled with 10 μM cell proliferation dye eFluor®450 (eBioscience, ThermoFisher Scientific), whereas CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tconvs were stained with 5 μM cell proliferation dye eFluor®670 (eBioscience, ThermoFisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. One day later, 5 × 10⁴ Tconvs were cocultured with PC3-PSCA cells at a 5:1 T cell to target cell ratio either in the absence (unstim.) or in the presence (stim.) of 6 pmol αPSCA TM. To investigate responsiveness of UniCAR-armed Tconvs to Treg suppression, expanded autologous TCR- or UniCAR-activated CD4⁺CD25⁻CD127lowCD45RA⁺ Tregs were added. For TCR stimulation, wild type (WT) T cells were incubated with αCD3/CD28 DynaBeads® at a 1:1 ratio for 24 h. Subsequently, beads were removed and TCR-activated WT Tregs were added at indicated Treg to Tconv ratios. Non-activated WT Tregs served as control. To mimic antigen-specific Treg stimulation, UniCAR BB/ζ- or UniCAR BB/ζ-engrafted Tregs were cultured together with Tconvs at indicated ratios. As control, WT Tregs and UniCAR stop-endowed Tregs were applied. To maintain a 5:1 T cell to target cell ratio for cross-linkage, the respective amount of PC3-PSCA cells was accordingly supplemented into each well. All samples were analyzed as triplicates.

After 96 h, absolute Tconv numbers were determined using a MACSQuant® Analyzer as previously explained.²³ In brief, cultures were resuspended carefully and an aliquot of 20 μl was transferred to 80 μl propidium iodide (1 μg/ml, Invitrogen) immediately before MACSQuant® measurement. To determine number of living cells/ml, dead cells and cell debris were excluded based on size, granularity, and propidium iodide staining.

Proliferation of eFluor670-labeled, UniCAR-armed Tconvs was determined on the basis of eFluor670 dilution over time. Division index of Tconvs was calculated using FlowJo 9.9.6 software (TreeStar, Ashland, USA). Percentage of Tconv suppression by Tregs was calculated as follows: 100–[(sample-unstim.)/(stim.-unstim.)] x 100. Values lower than 0 or higher than 100 were set to 0% or 100%, respectively.

**Responsiveness to Treg suppression in vivo**

The animal experiment was performed with 8-week-old male NMRI-Foxn1nu/Foxn1nu mice (Janvier Labs, St. Berthevin, France) at the Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf according to the guidelines of German Regulations of Animal Welfare and was approved by the local authorities (Landesdirektion Dresden, 24–9165.40–4, 24.9168.21–4/2004–1). Luciferase-expressing PC3-PSCA cells (1 x 10⁶) were injected s.c. into the right flank of experimental mice either alone or in the presence of 10 μg αPSCA TM and 1 x 10⁶ UniCAR BB/ζ- or UniCAR BB/ζ-engrafted Tconvs. To investigate responsiveness to Treg suppression, 1 x 10⁶ UniCAR BB/ζ-engrafted Tregs were additionally added in two groups of mice. As reference value bioluminescence signal was determined 2 h after cell injection. For that purpose, mice were narcotized as described elsewhere.¹⁹ Next, 200 μl D-luciferin potassium salt (15 mg/ml) (ThermoFisher Scientific) was inoculated i.p. and the bioluminescence signal was measured by using an In-Vivo-Xtreme imaging system 10–15 min later (exposure time of 60 s, Bruker, Germany). Tumor burden was assessed over 19 days. Resulting data were quantified as previously published²³ by using Bruker MI SE software (Bruker, Germany) and correlated to that of day 0.

**Detection of cytokine levels**

For analysis of cytokine production profiles of Tconvs or Tregs, cell-free supernatants were collected after 24 h or 48 h of cocultures of 2 x 10⁴ PC3-PSCA cells and 1 x 10⁵ UniCAR-engrafted T cells (ratio 5:1) being either non-stimulated, stimulated via 6 pmol αPSCA TM or via αCD3/CD28 DynaBeads® (1:5 bead to cell ratio). For assessment of cytokine secretion in the presence of Tregs, cell-free supernatants were harvested from suppression assays after 48 h. After storage at −80°C, analysis of human cytokines was performed using OptEIA™ Human ELISA Kits (BD Biosciences Pharmingen) or bead-based flow cytometry (MACSPlx Cytokine 12 Kit, Miltenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
Statistical analysis
Statistical tests were performed as indicated in figure legends by using GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). P values of <0.05 were considered as statistically significant.
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