A Compensation Control Scheme of Voltage Unbalance Using a Combined Three-Phase Inverter in an Islanded Microgrid
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Abstract: A large number of single-phase loads in an islanded microgrid have a bad influence on the alternating current (AC) bus voltage symmetry, which will further impact the power supply for the other loads. In this paper, the combined three-phase inverter is adopted as the distributed generation (DG) interface circuit for its independent control of each bridge. However, the combined three-phase inverter will generate an asymmetrical voltage with the traditional droop control. Moreover, the system impedance also affects the voltage symmetry. Therefore, the improved droop control method based on the self-adjusting $P$-$f$ and $Q$-$U$ droop curves and the system impedance voltage drop compensation are proposed. The system control scheme is also designed in detail. A simulation and an experiment under the conditions of the balanced, unbalanced loads are carried out, and the results verify the feasibility and effectiveness of the control strategy.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, the development of the microgrid has attracted great attention in various countries across the world. A microgrid is a controllable system which is composed of multiple distributed generations (DGs), local loads, energy storage devices, and monitoring protection devices [1,2]. The system reliability increases when the intermittent renewable energies are combined with the storage devices in a microgrid with hybrid inverter-interfaced DG system [3]. The microgrid can operate not only in the grid-connected mode, but also in the islanded mode, providing more reliable power to critical loads [4,5]. In the islanded microgrid, most studies focus on parallel-inverter power sharing [6,7] and circulation restraint [8], power management for all kinds of DGs and types of energy storage [9,10], and compensation for voltage unbalance [3,11–18].

In the islanded mode, there are many single-phase and three-phase loads, and they lack voltage and frequency support from the power grid, so that the unbalanced loads will result in asymmetrical three-phase voltages. As a result, the power system may become less stable and the negative-sequence voltage components will exert bad impacts on the equipment, such as the transformer and induction motor. There are some works based on dynamic hybrid DG operation, and power system stability in faults [4,19,20]. Under a serious condition, when the microgrid distribution systems are under fault, the system becomes unstable. In [19], an asymmetrical faults analysis with hybrid compensation is proposed. When serious unbalanced faults occur, the stability and voltage compensation of the system can be realized by the static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) to effectively stabilize the network under unstable conditions [4]. In [20], the voltage and current unbalance definition is completed.
The international electrotechnical commission (IEC) requires that the voltage unbalance should be maintained within 2% in electric systems.

On the other hand, voltage unbalance is caused by unbalanced loads. In order to solve the voltage problem, active power filters (APFs) are utilized to compensate for voltage unbalance [11]. However, under low voltages and in small-capacity microgrids, installation of the extra APF will bring high costs. Generally, the voltage unbalance compensation can be realized by the DG unit inverter itself when the DG capacity is within the compensation capacity range. In general, the circuit topology and its corresponding control strategy are key factors to solving the problem of three-phase voltage asymmetry. The most popular circuit topologies include the three-phase three-wire inverter, the three-phase four-leg inverter, and the combined three-phase inverter. For the three-phase three-wire inverter, a negative-sequence impedance controller with droop control is employed to effectively compensate for the negative-sequence currents of the unbalanced loads, and to regulate the load voltage and share the average power components among the DG units [12–14]. In [13], the central secondary controller is designed to manage the compensation of voltage unbalance and send the proper control signals to the local controllers. However, the DG units need an extra communication line to obtain the compensation signals from the central controller. In [14], the compensation of the voltage unbalance can be achieved by reducing the negative-sequence voltage to generate this compensation reference. However, the extraction of positive- and negative sequence components brings the complex calculation. The virtual impedance loop is modified to improve the compensation effect [15], which introduces the problem of voltage drop and performance degradation of voltage quality caused by the virtual impedance.

As for the three-phase four-bridge inverter, the front three bridge legs are used to adjust the positive- and negative-sequence voltages, and the fourth bridge leg is utilized to regulate the zero-sequence output voltage on the basis of the symmetrical component method [16–18]. For a three-phase four-leg inverter, the above methods need Clarke transformation, which is used to transform the variables of voltage and current between the abc and αβ frame. The extraction of positive- and negative- and zero-sequence components is necessary; the fundamental positive sequence active and reactive powers are needed for decoupling, and the system control algorithm is complex. Moreover, the unbalanced voltage drop across the system impedance is not considered, which leads to degraded performance by the output voltage.

The combined three-phase inverter consists of three same single-phase full-bridge inverters. This topology has the advantage of power decouple and the independent control of each bridge, and no complex extraction of positive- and negative- and zero-sequence components. Therefore, the combined three-phase inverter is chosen as the interface circuit to connect with the common AC bus in this paper. However, the independent droop control of each bridge will give rise to the reference voltage asymmetric, which will in further cause the AC bus voltage unbalanced. Hence, it is necessary to design a reasonable control strategy to supply the symmetry voltage for the islanded microgrid.

The original contributions of this paper are concluded as follows: (1) The combined three-phase inverter is adopted as the DG interface circuit to improve the voltage balance in a low voltage microgrid; (2) in order to obtain the symmetrical reference voltage, an improved droop control based on self-adjusting Q-U and P-f curves is proposed; (3) the influence of system impedance on the load voltage symmetry is analyzed in detail, and impedance voltage drop compensation is added to the symmetrical reference voltage to suppress the impact of system impedances on the voltage symmetry. Eventually, combining the improved droop control with the impedance voltage drop compensation control, it is confirmed to be right and feasible by the simulation and experimental results.

This structure of this paper is organized as follows. In the second section, the cause of the voltage unbalance with conventional droop control is analyzed. The symmetrical control strategy is analyzed in detail in the third section. The fourth section shows the simulation and experimental analysis. The conclusion is drawn in the last section.
2. Cause of Voltage Unbalance with Conventional Droop Control

2.1. Circuit Configuration and Operating Principle

Figure 1 shows the simplified microgrid structure, which consists of multiple inverter-interfaced distributed energy sources (DERs) and local loads. The microgrid connects the grid by the step-up transformer.

![Figure 1. Simplified microgrid structure.](image)

The combined three-phase inverter has good performance, with independent and flexible control and simple structure [21]. In this paper, this inverter was chosen as the DG interface circuit, which is shown in Figure 2. The three-phase combined inverter is comprised of three-phase H-bridge inverters connecting with a common AC bus. The output terminals of each H-bridge inverter were connected with a single-phase transformer for the required isolation and voltage boost.

![Figure 2. Structure architecture of the combined three-phase inverter.](image)

When disconnected from the grid, the microgrid operates in the islanding mode. The parallel inverters should supply the quality voltage for loads, and they are usually controlled by the droop controller to achieve power sharing. The traditional active power-frequency \( (P-f) \) and reactive power-voltage \( (Q-U) \) droop control is used, which can be expressed by Equation (1) [22,23]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega &= \omega^* - k_p P \\
E &= E^* - k_q Q
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

Along with the droop characteristics, the general block diagram of the control scheme for parallel inverters is shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. General block diagram of the control scheme for the parallel inverters.](image)
In Figure 3, the average active power \( P_x \) and reactive power \( Q_x \) are calculated from the inverter output voltage \( u_{ox} \) and current \( i_{ox} \). By using (1), the angle frequency \( \omega_x \) and amplitude \( E_x \) are evaluated from \( P_x \) and \( Q_x \). \( \omega_x \) and \( E_x \) are synthesized to obtain the reference voltage \( u_{refx} \) of the voltage controller. Finally, the modulation wave \( u_{ref} \) is obtained through the voltage and current dual loops and then a sinusoidal pulse width modulation (SPWM) signal is obtained and amplified to drive the operation of the combined three-phase inverter.

Under the condition of balanced loads, the three-phase reference voltage frequencies/amplitudes based on the \( P-f/Q-U \) droop control are consistent. However, three-phase inverter output powers are different under the condition of unbalanced loads so that the independent droop control of each bridge will give rise to the asymmetric reference voltage, which will cause the unbalanced AC bus voltage.

### 2.2. Influence of Unbalanced Loads and System Impedances on Voltage Symmetry

The block diagram of the voltage and current control loops are shown in Figure 4. \( L \) and \( C \) are inverter filter parameters, and \( r \) is the sum of parasitic resistance of the filter inductor and the equivalent resistance caused by the system dead zone. \( G_v(s) \) and \( G_i(s) \) are the voltage and current controller, respectively. \( K_{PWM} \) is the gain of the pulse width modulation. From Figure 4, the relationship between the three-phase output voltage \( u_{ox} \) and the three-phase reference voltage \( u_{refx} \) can be expressed by (2) [13]:

\[
u_{ox} = G_x(s)u_{refx} - Z_{ox}(s)i_{ox}
\] (2)

In (2), \( G_x(s) \) is the gain of the system closed-loop transfer function, and \( Z_{ox}(s) \) is the system each-phase output impedance. They can be described by (3) and (4) respectively:

\[
G_x(s) = \frac{G_v(s)G_i(s)k_{PWM}}{LCs^2 + (G_i(s)k_{PWM} + r)Cs + G_v(s)G_i(s)k_{PWM} + 1} \tag{3}
\]

\[
Z_{ox}(s) = \frac{Ls + G_i(s)k_{PWM} + r}{LCs^2 + (G_i(s)k_{PWM} + r)Cs + G_v(s)G_i(s)k_{PWM} + 1} \tag{4}
\]

Based on (2), the output voltage symmetry is dependent on reference voltage \( u_{refx} \) and inverter output impedance \( Z_{ox} \). There are two influential factors in the output voltage symmetry, one of which is reference voltage \( u_{refx} \), which can be obtained by the synthesis of angle frequency \( \omega_x \) and amplitude \( E_x \). Based on traditional droop control Equation (1), when three-phase loads become unbalanced, both \( P_x \) and \( Q_x \) for each phase are unequal. Therefore, \( \omega_x \) and \( E_x \) of each phase are inconsistent; that is, the reference voltages \( u_{refx} \) of the three-phase inverter are inconsistent, which will lead to an asymmetrical load voltage. Hence, the traditional droop control cannot guarantee the symmetry of the three-phase reference voltages \( u_{refx} \) for the combined three-phase inverter. The other factor is the system impedance \( Z_{ox} \). Even if the three-phase reference voltage \( u_{refx} \) is symmetrical, the output voltage \( u_{ox} \) may still be asymmetrical because \( Z_{ox} \) can be neglected, and the output current \( i_{ox} \) will have a certain voltage drop on the system impedance \( Z_{ox} \).
3. Symmetrical Control Strategy of Three-Phase Voltages

Figure 5 is the main circuit of the inverter system and its control scheme. The control scheme includes four parts; namely, the average power calculation, improved droop control, and impedance voltage drop compensation, reference voltage synthesis, and voltage and current controller. The detailed implementation of the control scheme is shown in Figure 6. Figure 7 is a flowchart for the description of the designed control scheme.

![Figure 5. Main circuit and the control scheme.](image1)

![Figure 6. Block diagram of the control scheme. (a) Average power calculation; (b) Improved droop control and impedance voltage drop compensation; (c) Synthesis process of the reference voltage; (d) Voltage and current control loops.](image2)
3.1. Calculation of Average Power

In Figure 6a, the inverter each-phase output voltages $u_{ox}$ ($x = a, b, c$) and output currents $i_{ox}$ are sampled respectively, and then the instantaneous active power $p_x$ and reactive power $q_x$ are obtained by (5). The $-90^\circ$ phase shift in $i_{ox}$ is required, and $p_x$, $q_x$ are filtered by low-pass filters (LPFs) to achieve the average active power $P_x$ and reactive power $Q_x$. The LPF is given by (6), where the cutoff angle frequency $\omega_c$ is set to 314 rad/s.

\[
\begin{align*}
  p_x &= u_{ox}i_{ox} \\
  q_x &= -u_{ox}i_{ox}(-90^\circ)
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

\[
T = \omega_c/(s + \omega_c)
\]

(6)

3.2. Improved Droop Control Strategy and Impedance Voltage Drop Compensation

In Figure 6b, the improved droop controller based on the self-adjustment $P-f$ droop curve can generate the equal angle frequency $\omega_x$, and the self-adjustment $Q-U$ droop curve can generate the new no-load voltage amplitude $E_x^*$, which can ensure symmetrical reference voltage. Meanwhile, in view of the each-phase impedance voltage drop on the system impedance, the impedance voltage drop compensation $\Delta E_x^*$ is added to the no-load voltage amplitude $E_x^{**}$, and the new no-load voltage $E_x'$ can be acquired. Finally, the reference voltage amplitude $E_x$ is regulated again with the $Q-U$ droop control.

![Figure 7. Flowchart of the designed control scheme.](img)

Figure 7. Flowchart of the designed control scheme.

![Figure 8. Q-U droop curves. (a) Traditional Q-U droop curve; (b) Improved Q-U droop curve.](img)
In Figure 8a, when three-phase reactive powers are different (for example, \(Q_a < Q_b < Q_c\)), the phase inverters are working at points \(A, B, C\) respectively, and the amplitudes of the three-phase reference voltage are \(E_a, E_b, E_c\), respectively, which can cause the asymmetrical three-phase output voltage. To solve this problem, a self-adjustment Q-U droop control strategy is proposed in Figure 7b. Three-phase load reactive powers are compared with each other, and then the middle output reactive power \(Q_{mid}\) can be obtained (for example, \(Q_{mid} = Q_b\)). What is more, the other phases take the same droop coefficient, and the no-load point voltage \(E_x^{**}\) is calculated using (7) to ensure that the voltage amplitudes for each phase are equal:

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_{mid} &= \text{middle}(Q_a, Q_b, Q_c) \\
E_x^{**} &= E^* - k_q(Q_{mid} - Q_x)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(E_x^{**} (x = a, b, c)\) is the each-phase-adjusted voltage amplitude at no load with improved droop control, where middle function \(\text{middle}(Q_a, Q_b, Q_c)\) means taking the intermediate value among the three-phase powers. The original operating points \(A\) and \(C\) shift to points \(A'\) and \(C'\). This means that the amplitudes of three-phase reference voltage are always equal, which can ensure that the three-phase reference voltage amplitudes are equal. The reference voltage amplitude \(E_z\) can be obtained by bringing the adjusted voltage amplitude at no load \(E_x^{**}\) into (1), which can be deduced by (8), and it can ensure that the three-phase reference voltage amplitudes \(E_x\) for each phase are always equal, which can achieve the same three-phase reference voltage amplitude.

\[
E_x = E^{**} - k_qQ_x = E^* - k_q(Q_{mid} - Q_x) - k_qQ_x = E^* - k_qQ_{mid}
\]

Figure 8b shows the self-adjustment Q-U droop curves based on (7). The original operating points \(A\) and \(C\) shift to points \(A'\) and \(C'\). Thus, the amplitudes of three-phase reference voltage are equal.

In order to avoid the output voltage amplitude exceeding the voltage operation range by the adjustment of the no-load voltage on the droop curve for each phase, the choice of the output voltage amplitude of the middle output power as a reference for the other phases should be reasonable. The output voltage amplitude for the heavy load is comparatively low, and the increment on the no-load voltage can raise the output voltage. Similarly, the output voltage amplitude for the light load is high, so that the reduction on the no-load voltage can reduce the output voltage. In addition, the droop coefficient is always unchangeable, and the load power can be shared for the parallel inverters.

Similarly, unbalanced three-phase loads can lead to the unequal three-phase frequencies. Therefore, in this paper, the \(P_f\) droop control curve is described as (9), where \(P_{mid}\) is the intermediate value among the three-phase active powers:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{mid} &= \text{middle}(P_a, P_b, P_c)  \\
\omega_x &= \omega^* - k_pP_{mid}
\end{align*}
\]

By (7) and (9), the symmetrical reference voltage can be derived. However, the inverter each-phase system impedance can bring about a non-negligible voltage drop, which will cause output voltage asymmetry. To reduce the influence of the each-phase impedance voltage drop on the voltage balance, the impedance voltage drop compensation component \(\Delta E_x^r\) is added with the no-load voltage \(E_x^{**}\) by the improved droop controller output, which is shown in Figure 6b. Each phase output voltage \(u_{mx}\) is sampled to acquire the peak value of output voltage \(U_{mx}^p\). The difference between reference voltage amplitude \(E_x\) and \(U_{mx}^p\) can reveal the voltage drop on each phase impedance. The error between the last beat \(E_x e^{-T_{ss}}\) for the reference voltage amplitude and \(U_{mx}^p\) is regulated by the proportional-integral controller to obtain the no-load voltage amplitude regulator compensation \(\Delta E_x^r\), which is expressed by (10), where \(T_s\) is the sampling time. The no-load voltage \(E_x^{**}\) on the improved droop control is added with \(\Delta E_x^r\) to obtain the new no-load voltage amplitude \(E_x^e\), which can be revised by (11). Finally,
the amplitude of the reference voltage $E_x$ is adjusted by the droop control (12) to further improve the unbalanced degree of the three-phase output voltage.

$$\Delta E^*_x = (k_{up} + k_{ui}/s)(E_x e^{-Ts} - U_{mx}')$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

$$E'_x = E^*_x + \Delta E^*_x$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

$$E_x = E'_x - k_q Q_x$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

3.3. Reference Voltage Synthesis

The angle frequency $\omega_x$ and amplitude $E_x$ are synthesized to obtain the reference voltage $u_{refx}$ of the voltage controller. The synthesis process is demonstrated in Figure 6c, where the angle frequency $\omega_x$ is integrated to generate the phase angle $\theta_x$.

3.4. The Voltage and Current Controller

Figure 6d shows the block diagram of the voltage and current control loops. In order to enhance the current dynamic respond, the current controller adopts proportion regulator with a filter inductor current as the feedback signal. The proportional coefficient $k_c$ can be acquired by (13), where $L$ is the filter inductor. In the voltage control loop, the filter capacitor voltage is used as the feedback signal, and the quasi proportion and resonant (QPR) controller is adopted which can enhance the gain at resonant angle frequency $\omega_o$ and deliver good voltage performance. The transfer function $G_i(s)$ is described by (14) [24], where $k_{vp}$ and $k_r$ are the proportion coefficient and the resonant coefficient, and $\omega_h$ is the resonant bandwidth and is set to 5 rad/s. The coefficients $k_{vp}$ and $k_r$ are designed based on the system stability. Based on Figure 4, the system open-loop transfer function can be described by (15). Figure 9 shows the Bode plots of the system open-loop transfer function in light of (15). It is known that the system is stable due to a high magnitude margin and phase margin:

$$G_i(s) = k_c \leq \frac{L}{T_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

$$G_v(s) = k_{vp} + \frac{2k_r \omega_h s}{s^2 + 2\omega_h s + \omega_o^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

$$G_o(s) = \frac{G_v(s)G_i(s)k_{pwm}}{LCs^2 + (G_i(s)k_{pwm} + r)Cs + 1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

![Bode Diagram](image_url)

Figure 9. Bode diagram of the system open-loop transfer function.
4. Simulation and Experimental Verification

4.1. Simulation Verification

Figure 10 shows the three-phase load active powers, reactive powers, and load currents under various load conditions. In Figure 10, the three-phase loads condition is given as follows. During 0–0.2 s, three-phase loads are balanced. During 0.2–0.4 s, three-phase loads are slightly unbalanced. During 0.4–0.6 s, the three-phase loads become extremely unbalanced.

Figure 10. Simulation waveforms under various load conditions. (a) Three-phase load active powers; (b) Three-phase load reactive powers; (c) load currents.

Under these conditions, Figures 11 and 12 show the voltage waveforms with the traditional droop control and the proposed control method respectively.

Figure 11. Voltage waveforms with the traditional droop control. (a) Frequencies of the three-phase reference voltage; (b) amplitudes of the three-phase reference voltage; (c) instantaneous output voltage.
In Figure 11, it can be shown that before 0.2 s, the system three-phase reference voltage amplitudes are consistent and the corresponding frequencies are the same too. Thus, the inverter output voltages are balanced when three-phase load powers are balanced. However, during 0.2~0.4 s, three-phase reference voltage amplitudes become inconsistent and the frequencies are also different. Hence, the inverter output voltage is unbalanced with the traditional droop control under the condition of unbalanced load. During 0.4~0.6 s, under the severe condition that one phase is no load, the unbalance degree of the output voltage is high. Therefore, it can be seen that the traditional droop control cannot ensure the voltage balance under various load conditions.

In Figure 12, with the proposed control method, the three-phase frequencies are adjusted to be equal, and the amplitudes are consistent too. Thus, the output voltage is balanced even under the serious unbalanced condition.

4.2. Experimental Verification

The experimental platform of the inverter with a rated active power $P_N = 1$ kW is built. The system parameters and control parameters are listed in Table 1. The experimental results are shown in Figures 13–18.

| Parameters                              | Value      |
|-----------------------------------------|------------|
| Filter inductor $L_a, L_b, L_c$ (mH)    | 0.85       |
| Filter capacitor $C_a, C_b, C_c$ (μF)   | 30, 30, 30 |
| Direct current bus voltage $U_{dc}$ (V) | 100        |
| Balanced three-phase load $R_a, R_b, R_c$ | 40 Ω       |
| Unbalanced three-phase load $Z_a, Z_b, Z_c$ | 40 Ω + 80 mH, 80 Ω + 40 mH, 0 |
| Switching frequency (Hz)                | 10 k       |
| Droop coefficient $k_p, k_q$            | $8.5 \times 10^{-5}, 1 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Voltage controller $k_{vp}$ and $k_r$   | 10.113, 0.18 |
| Current controller $k_c$                | 9.8814     |
| Impedance voltage drop compensation $k_{up}, k_{ui}$ | 0.3, 7 |
| $K_{pwm}$                               | 1          |
The experimental results with the traditional droop control under the conditions of the balanced load and the unbalanced load are shown in Figures 13 and 14. It can be seen that three-phase output voltage is symmetrical with the conventional droop control strategy when the three-phase load is balanced. However, in the case of unbalanced three-phase load, the three-phase output voltage with the traditional droop control is obviously asymmetric.

Figure 13 shows the experimental results with improved droop control based on a self-adjusting \( P-f \) curve and \( Q-U \) curve in the case of unbalanced loads. It is known that the unbalanced degree of the three-phase output voltage becomes lower compared with that of Figure 14.

Figure 16 shows the experimental results with improved droop control and impedance voltage drop compensation control under the condition of unbalanced loads. It can be seen that, compared with the Figure 14, the output voltage symmetry can be improved by this comprehensive control strategy.
Figure 15. Three-phase output voltages and currents only with the improved droop control in the case of an unbalanced load. (a) Voltage waveforms; (b) Current waveforms.

Figure 16. Three-phase output voltages and currents with improved droop control and impedance-drop compensation control in the case of an unbalanced load. (a) Voltage waveforms; (b) Current waveforms.

For the experimental results in Figures 14–16, the asymmetrical degree of the three-phase voltage is calculated by MATLAB after the three-phase voltage dates are collected by a Tektronix TPS2024 oscilloscope. In Table 2, the unbalanced degree of the conventional droop control is up to 2.5%; the unbalanced degree of the three-phase output voltage only with the improved droop control is 0.55%; the unbalanced degree of the three-phase output voltage with the improved droop control and impedance voltage drop compensation control is only 0.25%.

Table 2. Unbalanced degree of three-phase voltage for three strategies with unbalanced loads.

| Control Strategy                          | Unbalanced Degree/% |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Traditional droop control                | 2.5                 |
| Improved droop control                   | 0.55                |
| Improved droop control and impedance     | 0.25                |
| Voltage drop compensation control        |                     |

With the proposed control, Figures 17 and 18 show the experimental results of switching between the unbalanced load and the balanced load. It can be seen that the three-phase output voltage is stable and symmetrical, and the system has a good dynamic performance.
Figure 17. Three-phase output voltages and c-phase current of switching from unbalanced load to balanced load.

Figure 18. Three-phase output voltages and c-phase current of switching from balanced load to unbalanced load.

5. Conclusions

There are a number of single-phase loads in the low voltage microgrid, and a combined three-phase inverter is adopted as the main circuit in this paper. The traditional droop controller will cause an asymmetry in the inverter output voltage when three-phase loads are unbalanced. An improved droop control strategy based on self-adjusting Q-U and P-f curves is proposed to adjust each phase droop curve in a real-time manner with the load variation and to get the symmetrical three-phase reference voltage signals. The influence of the inverter equivalent impedance on the output voltage symmetry is analyzed, and the impedance voltage drop compensation is added to the improved droop control to regulate the no-load voltage amplitude to ensure that the output voltage is symmetrical. Finally, a simulation and an experiment are carried out, and the results show that the unbalance degree is below 2% and meets the IEC require. The feasibility and effectiveness of the control strategy are verified. Compared with the control schemes for the three-phase three-line inverter and the three-phase four-leg inverter, the control scheme in this paper has the advantage of a simple control algorithm and minor calculations, due to no extractions of positive- and negative- and zero-sequence components and unnecessary power decoupling, its drawbacks are that there are four more power switches, and that the cost is slightly high.
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Nomenclature

\[ X = a, b, c \quad \text{Three-phase coordinate.} \]

\[ \omega^* \quad \text{Angle frequency of voltage at no-load.} \]

\[ E^* \quad \text{Amplitude of voltage at no-load.} \]

\[ \omega_x \quad \text{Angle frequency.} \]

\[ E_x \quad \text{Voltage amplitude.} \]

\[ k_p, k_q \quad \text{Droop coefficients of active power-frequency and reactive power-amplitude.} \]

\[ u_{ox}, i_{ox} \quad \text{Inverter output voltage and output current.} \]

\[ i_{ox} \quad \text{Inverter filter inductance current.} \]

\[ P_x, Q_x \quad \text{Average active power and reactive power.} \]

\[ u_{\text{refs}}, i_{\text{refs}} \quad \text{Reference voltage and reference current.} \]

\[ Z_{\text{ox}} \quad \text{Inverter output impedance.} \]

\[ k_{\text{cp}}, k_{\text{r}} \quad \text{Proportion coefficient and resonant coefficient of voltage controller.} \]

\[ \omega_h \quad \text{Resonant bandwidth.} \]

\[ k_c \quad \text{Proportional coefficient of current controller.} \]

\[ K_{\text{pwm}} \quad \text{Gain of pulse width modulation.} \]

\[ u_{dc} \quad \text{DC link voltage.} \]

\[ L \quad \text{Filter inductance.} \]

\[ E_{x}^{**} \quad \text{Each-phase adjusted voltage amplitude at no load with improved droop control.} \]

\[ \Delta E_x^* \quad \text{Impedance voltage drop compensation.} \]

\[ U_{mx}^* \quad \text{Peak value of AC bus voltage.} \]

\[ G_v(s), G_i(s) \quad \text{Transfer functions of voltage controller and current controller.} \]

\[ G_c(s), G_o(s) \quad \text{System closed-loop transfer function.} \]

\[ G_o(s) \quad \text{System open-loop transfer function.} \]

\[ \text{SPWM} \quad \text{Sinusoidal pulse width modulation.} \]

\[ \text{VSC} \quad \text{Voltage source converter.} \]

\[ \text{DER} \quad \text{Distributed energy sources.} \]

\[ \text{APF} \quad \text{Active power filter.} \]

\[ \text{STATCOM} \quad \text{Static synchronous compensator.} \]

\[ \text{DG} \quad \text{Distributed generation.} \]
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