Influence of nanophase titania topography on bacterial attachment and metabolism
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Abstract: Surfaces with nanophase compared to conventional (or nanometer smooth) topographies are known to have different properties of area, charge, and reactivity. Previously published research indicates that the attachment of certain bacteria (such as Pseudomonas fluorescens 5RL) is higher on surfaces with nanophase compared to conventional topographies, however, their effect on bacterial metabolism is unclear. Results presented here show that the adhesion of Pseudomonas fluorescens 5RL and Pseudomonas putida TVA8 was higher on nanophase than conventional titania. Importantly, in terms of metabolism, bacteria attached to the nanophase surfaces had higher bioluminescence rates than on the conventional surfaces under all nutrient conditions. Thus, the results from this study show greater select bacterial metabolism on nanometer than conventional topographies, critical results with strong consequences for the design of improved biosensors for bacteria detection.
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Introduction
New synthetic nanomaterials have been designed and produced for applications in manufacturing and medicine. Nanomaterials refer to those with constituent dimensions less than 100 nm in at least one direction. Biomedical applications are numerous, particularly since mammalian tissue-forming cells have a measurable positive response to nanophase materials. It is not apparent whether these differences are due to surface chemistry or topography (Jeyachandran et al 2006; Zhao et al 2007), although some studies have indicated a stronger influence of nanotopography over chemistry on mammalian tissue-forming cell function (Miller et al 2002). Similarly, research has shown altered attachment rates for select bacteria on nanophase surfaces, although changes in metabolic responses have not been clearly defined. For example, while Staphylococcus epidermis colonization decreased on nanophase compared to conventional titania (thus, showing promise for the creation of anti-infection orthopedic implants) Pseudomonas fluorescens 5RL colonization increased (Webster et al 2005; Colon et al 2007).

Nanophase materials have higher surface areas, surface defects, increased surface electron delocalization and greater numbers of surface grain boundaries. Since there is a higher percentage of atoms at their surfaces compared to conventional materials, surface properties are altered in nanophase materials and this results in higher surface reactivity to influence cellular responses (Barringer and Bowen 1982; Nieman et al 1991; Siegel and Fougere 1995a, 1995b; Siegel 1996; Wu et al 1996).

Applications involving mammalian tissue-forming cells have successfully utilized these unique surface properties of nanophase ceramics (Ahn and Ying 2000). For example, when compared to conventional alumina, titania, and hydroxyapatite (HA), osteoblast (bone-forming cell) adhesion was greater on nanophase alumina with either nanospherical or nanofiber grain sizes (Webster et al 2000b). Atomic force microscopy determined greater root-mean square surface roughness values...
for nanophase alumina (20 nm) compared to conventional alumina (17 nm) and titania (32 nm nanophase compared to 16 nm conventional).

Although the surface area for nanophase ceramics is greater than conventional ceramics, after results demonstrating increased functions of osteoblasts were normalized to this increased surface area (Webster et al 2001, 2002), it was apparent that the additional surface area was not the only reason for the observed increased cell adhesion. Of further note is that a variety of mammalian tissue-forming cells (such as chondrocytes [Kay et al 2003], bladder smooth muscle cells [Thapa et al 2003], fibroblasts [Webster et al 2000a], astrocytes [Turner et al 2000] and vascular smooth muscle cells [Miller et al 2002]) responded differently to nanostructured topographies. Furthermore, enhanced responses of mammalian tissue-forming cells have also been shown on nanostructured metals, ceramics, polymers, and composites thereof (Miller et al 2002; Kay et al 2003; Thapa et al 2003).

The attachment processes of mammalian and bacterial cells to surfaces are similar. Surface adhesion is preceded instantaneously by the formation of an organic molecular monolayer, consisting of proteins, polysaccharides, and/or glycoproteins (Abarzua and Jakubowski 1995; Cucarella et al 2002; Tang et al 2006). These adsorbed organic molecules may serve as a nutrient source for the attached bacteria and/or may change the surface chemistry (electrokinetic potential or surface tension) to enhance bacterial adhesion (Dexter et al 1975; Defrise and Gekas 1988; Elbert and Hubbell 1996).

Bacterial adhesion is, thus, affected by surface properties (Litzler et al 2007; Schildhauer et al 2007) such as hydrophobicity (Schackenraad et al 1992; Bos et al 2000; Karakeçili and Gümüşderelioglu 2002), hydrophilicity (Kiss et al 1996; Gomez-Suarez et al 2002), steric hindrance (Kuhl et al 1994; Rijnaarts et al 1999), and surface roughness (Medilanski et al 2002; Whitehead et al 2006). There is a positive correlation between protein adsorption and short-term bacterial attachment with surface property changes (Cunliffe et al 2003). Also, the strength of bacterial attachment has been positively correlated to surface topography (Boyd et al 2002). Detachment of bacteria is affected by surface properties (Powell and Slater 1982) and bacteria are most readily removed from the smoothest, most hydrophilic, neutral surfaces (Pasmoro et al 2002).

However, limited research has been conducted on the relationship between bacterial attachment and nanophase materials (Curtis and Wilkinson 2001). The area of attachment for *Pseudomonas* sp. was shown to be inversely proportional to the average grain size of stainless steel (Sreekumari et al 2001). Webster and colleagues (2005) concluded that nanophase alumina and associated cast polymer surfaces had significantly higher bacterial (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*) adhesion than conventional surfaces of the same material. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* morphology, length, orientation, and flagellation differed between bacteria attached on ordered nano- or microstructures and randomly ordered surfaces (Diaz et al 2007). Although there is evidence that bacterial attachment is altered on nanophase surfaces, the mechanism behind this phenomenon as well as bacterial metabolism differences remain unclear. The objective of this *in vitro* study was to determine if bacterial metabolism is different on nanotopographies compared to conventional topographies, using titania as an example.

**Materials and methods**

**Nanophase surfaces**

Forty percent rutile-/60% anatase-phase nanospherical titania particles (Nanophase Technologies, Romeoville, IL) were compacted serially in a steel-tool die with a uniaxial pressing cycle (0.2 GPa to 0.8 GPa over an eight-minute period at room temperature). The compacts were then sintered (in air at 10 °C/minute for 120 minutes) to obtain a variety of grain sizes. Nanospherical grain size titania was sintered at 600 °C and conventional grain size titania at 1200 °C. To facilitate adhesion testing, each 11 mm diameter compact was attached to the center of a glass slide with silicon-based sealant. The topography of the resulting compacts was quantified by atomic force microscopy (using an Autoprobe CP Atomic Force Microscope). Image analysis software (Pro-scan version 1.5 b) was used to generate micrographs and to quantitatively compare surface roughness of the materials of interest in the present study. Titania grain size was calculated by averaging multiple-point Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller (BET) measurements. The phases of the nanophase and conventional titania were determined by X-ray diffraction using a Philips type PW2273/20 diffractometer. Diffraction signal intensity throughout the scan was monitored and processed using DMS software (Scintag Inc., Sunnyvale, CA). Measurements were run in triplicate per substrate type. The compacts were sterilized by autoclaving before the experiments.

**Bacterial culture**

Two bacterial cell lines were used in this experiment. The first was *Pseudomnas fluorescens* 5RL, a bioluminescent reporter induced by salicylate and naphthalene (King et al 1990).
*P. fluorescens* 5RL was initially cultured in 100 mL of luria broth (LB) media with 100 μL of sodium salicylate for inducing luminescence and 100 μL (14 mg/L) of tetracycline. The cultures were incubated at room temperature (22 ± 1 °C) with continuous shaking at 150 rpm. Cultures were harvested when the optical density at 600 nm was approximately 1.0. The optical density was determined using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 20 Spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer Inc., Waltham, MA). The bacteria were harvested by four consecutive stages of centrifugation (6000 g for 10 min at 4 °C) and re-suspended in phosphate-buffer solution (PBS) (pH 7.4, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8 mM Na₂HPO₄, and 2 mM KH₂PO₄). The centrifugation step was necessary to remove the growth substrate from the solution to eliminate bacterial growth during the adhesion period. The PBS-buffered suspensions were allowed to equilibrate to room temperature before adhesion tests.

In addition to *P. fluorescens* 5RL, *Pseudomonas putida* TVA8 (also bioluminescent) was used in this study (Applegate et al. 1998). These cultures were prepared from a frozen stock of *P. putida* TVA8, which was previously grown using toluene. One milliliter of the stock solution was added to 100 ml of the mineral salt medium, followed by the addition of 1 mL of ethyl alcohol as a growth substrate and trichloroethylene to induce bioluminescence (Shingleton et al. 1998). The flask was placed in a constant temperature room and shaken at 200 rpm. The culture was grown overnight to an optical density of 1.0 at 600 nm at room temperature.

Both cultures were diluted with PBS to a concentration of $1 \times 10^8$ cells/L to create suspensions prior to adhesion experiments. Bioluminescence for both suspended cultures was measured with a Zylux luminometer (Zylux Corporation, Oak Ridge, TN). To quantify the light produced by the attached bacteria, all slides and compacts were placed in a light tight chamber to measure bioluminescence using a Hamamastu Photomultiplier tube (Hamamastu Photonics, Bridgewater, NJ).

**Direct bacterial enumeration**

After luminescent measurements, slides were placed in a PBS-buffered fixative for 15 minutes. The slides and compacts were then rinsed gently with PBS and air-dried. One hundred microliters of DAPI (4’6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) at a concentration of 10 mg/L was applied to each coupon and the slide was incubated in the dark for 5 minutes (Yu et al. 1995). Excess DAPI was rinsed from the slide, the coupons were allowed to air-dry, and then covered with a glass cover slip. Bacterial cell counts were conducted with a Nikon E 800 Bio-Research Microscope (Nikon Instrument, Inc., Melville, NY) at 1000× magnification. The UV filter cube was used to select the excitation wavelength range for optimal excitation. Fifteen fields of 0.914 mm² for each sample were randomly selected for enumeration to ensure statistical significance. Cell counting completed automatically using image 1 software, Image Processing Tool Kit (Reindeer Graphics, Inc., Ashville, NC).

**Bioluminescent interference**

To evaluate the potential contribution of phosphorescence for the materials used in this study, light emission was monitored with and without bioluminescent bacteria. Titania was found to be somewhat luminescent, most likely due to background phosphorescence. To further evaluate this phenomenon, luminescent decay for sterile test compacts was evaluated for one hour. After evaluation of the results, the phosphorescence was determined to contribute only a minimal luminescence.

**Experimental system design**

An experimental system was constructed to evaluate continuous bacterial attachment onto nanophase and conventional materials. A chamber was designed to hold the glass slide with the attached compact and allow for continuous flow of liquid over the target surface. The flow rate was 1 ml/minute using peristaltic pumps. In the adhesion stage of the experiment, approximately $1 \times 10^8$ cells/mL of *P. fluorescens* 5RL or *P. putida* TVA8 in phosphate buffer solution flowed over titania compacts for 30 minutes. Adhesion under two conditions was assessed for both bacterial strains. In the first, phosphate buffer solution flowed through the system immediately after the adhesion stage for 30 minutes. In the second, a glucose solution flowed through the system for 30 minutes immediately following the adhesion period. A third assessment was conducted with *P. putida* TVA8. The adhesion period was 30 minutes as previously described. After adhesion, a mineral salt media with 1 mL/L of ethanol and 0.5 mL/L of trichloroethylene flowed over the compacts for an additional 30 minutes. All studies were conducted in parallel with three replicates.

**Results and discussion**

Material characterization studies provided evidence that nanophase titania was considerably more rough at the nanoscale than conventional titania (specifically, AFM root-mean-square roughness values were 32 nm and 16 nm for nanophase and conventional titania, respectively) (Figure 1).
BET measurements also provided evidence that the average grain size for the nanoscale and conventional titania were 32 nm and 2.12 μm, respectively. X-ray diffraction spectra showed that the material phases did not change when titania was sintered at 600 °C compared to 1200 °C (40% rutile and 60% anatase) (Figure 2).

The attachment of *P. fluorescens* 5RL and *P. putida* TVA8 was assessed on surfaces with differing topographies and nutrient conditions. *P. fluorescens* had a higher level of attachment and light emission on the nanophase material when compared to the conventional surface (Figure 3). No spatial differences in bacteria attachment were observed on the substrates. The presence of glucose in the post-attachment solution resulted in a small increase of microbial numbers on the surface and a higher emission of light for the conventional surface. On the nanophase surface, the solution containing glucose also enhanced bacterial numbers and light emission, although to a larger degree than on the conventional surface.

*P. putida* TVA8 attachment was similarly affected by both topography of the surface and solution nutrient conditions. Cell density on the nanophase surface was higher than the conventional surface under all three nutrient conditions (Figure 4). The light emission was higher for the conventional surface with the glucose solution. For the nanophase surface, light emission was similar for glucose and ethanol+trichloroethane (EtOH + TCE) solutions, but both were higher than the phosphate buffer solution.

Comparing *P. fluorescens* and *P. putida*, the number of attached bacteria per area was similar. The light emission for *P. putida* was slightly higher than that for *P. fluorescens*. The effect of the limited nutrient conditions resulted in lower bacterial numbers on each surface. Recent research has indicated that starvation conditions induce bacteria to adhere to the surfaces of stainless steel (Myszka et al 2006). However, the effect of low nutrient conditions on cell detachment was not assessed in this previous study.

Results shown in Tables 1 and 2 indicate that the activity or light emission of the attached bacteria was higher for the nanophase surface when compared to the conventional surface. This result is important given the interest in imbedding antimicrobial agents into biomaterials. Popat and colleagues (2007) reported that the adhesion of *P. fluorescens* 5RL and *P. putida* TVA8 was similar, but the light emission for *P. putida* was slightly higher than that for *P. fluorescens*.

### Table 1 Ratio of bioluminescence to bacterial numbers for *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 5RL attached to titania. All data are significantly different (p < 0.01) comparing respective conditions of nanophase to conventional titania

| Titania formulation                      | Photons/sec/cells/cm² |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Conventional with phosphate buffer      | 0.074                 |
| Conventional with glucose               | 0.081                 |
| Nanophase with phosphate buffer         | 0.091                 |
| Nanophase with glucose                  | 0.099                 |

### Table 2 Ratio of bioluminescence to bacterial numbers for *Pseudomonas putida* TVA8 attached to titania. All data are significantly different (p < 0.01) comparing respective conditions of nanophase to conventional titania

| Titania formulation                      | Photons/sec/cells/cm² |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Conventional with phosphate buffer      | 0.086                 |
| Conventional with glucose               | 0.095                 |
| Conventional with EtOH + TCE            | 0.088                 |
| Nanophase with phosphate buffer         | 0.097                 |
| Nanophase with glucose                  | 0.10                  |
| Nanophase with EtOH + TCE               | 0.11                  |

**Abbreviations:** EtOH, ethanol; TCE, trichloroethane.
Figure 2 XRD spectra of nanophase and conventional titania illustrating a mixture of anatase and rutile crystal phases. Similar crystal phases were observed for both nanophase and conventional titania, thus, as an example, nanophase titania is only shown here.

Abbreviation: XRD, X-ray diffraction.

Figure 3 Light emission (a) and number (b) of attached bioluminescent bacteria *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 5RL on titania as affected by the presence of a carbon source in the solution phase. Background luminescence was 960 photons/sec. All data are significantly different (p < 0.01) comparing respective conditions of nanophase to conventional titania.
Staphylococcus epidermis was significantly reduced on titania nanotublar arrays loaded with gentamicin. Other research indicates that *S. epidermis* adhesion was lower on nanophase titania and nanophase ZnO (antimicrobial agent) when compared to respective conventional surfaces (Colon et al 2007). If metabolism is indeed enhanced on nanophase surfaces, then the impact of antimicrobial agents on biofilm development may be affected on such surfaces. For example, a higher activity rate may result in a more susceptible population of microorganisms for disinfection. Further research on this topic is needed to identify the exact relationship between enhanced disinfection and activity of attached microorganisms on nanophase surfaces.

**Conclusions**

Results from this study indicate that the adhesion of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 5RL and *Pseudomonas putida* TVA8 was higher on nanophase titania when compared to conventional...
titania. Bacterial cells attached to the nanophase surface had a higher bioluminescence rate per cell than on the conventional surface. The presence of a carbon source in the post-attachment solution resulted in higher bacterial numbers and bioluminescence when compared to a phosphate buffer solution. *Pseudomonas putida* had a slightly lower level of bioluminescence than *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for both surfaces. Such results imply greater bacterial metabolism on nanotopographies compared to conventional topographies; results which can impact numerous fields from biosensors to implants.
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