A Wide Planetary-mass Companion to a Young Low-mass Brown Dwarf in Ophiuchus
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Abstract

We present the discovery of a planetary-mass companion to CFHTWIR-Oph 98, a low-mass brown dwarf member of the young Ophiuchus star-forming region, with a wide 200 au separation (14.46). The companion was identified using Hubble Space Telescope images, and confirmed to share common proper motion with the primary using archival and new ground-based observations. Based on the very low probability of the components being unrelated Ophiuchus members, we conclude that Oph 98 AB forms a binary system. From our multiband photometry, we constrain the primary to be an M9–L1 dwarf, and the faint companion to have an L2–L6 spectral type. For a median age of 3 Myr for Ophiuchus, fits of evolutionary models to measured luminosities yield masses of 15.4 ± 0.8 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ for Oph 98 A and 7.8 ± 0.8 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ for Oph 98 B, with respective effective temperatures of 2320 ± 40 K and 1800 ± 40 K. For possible system ages of 1–7 Myr, masses could range from 9.6–18.4 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ for the primary, and from 4.1–11.6 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ for the secondary. The low component masses and very large separation make this binary the lowest binding energy system imaged to date, indicating that the outcome of low-mass star formation can result in such extreme, weakly bound systems. With such a young age, Oph 98 AB extends the growing population of young free-floating planetary-mass objects, offering a new benchmark to refine formation theories at the lowest masses.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Brown dwarfs (185); Binary stars (154)

1. Introduction

Currently only a handful of planetary-mass companions (<13 $M_{\text{Jup}}$) are known around young (<20 Myr) brown dwarfs (Chauvin et al. 2005; Todorov et al. 2010; Béjar et al. 2008; Best et al. 2017; Dupuy et al. 2018). These objects are unlikely to have formed in the disk of their primary; however, they possess masses and temperatures similar to those of young self-luminous giant exoplanets. This small but growing population of binaries provides critical tests for theoretical models. While the method of their formation differs from that of planets orbiting stars, the frequency and properties of such systems constrain formation theories of the lowest-mass objects. With well-determined ages compared to field brown dwarfs, each of these binaries also provides an important archetypal system for validating atmosphere and evolutionary models.

The best studied of these companions, 2M1207b (Chauvin et al. 2005), was quite puzzling at the time of its discovery, as it appears to have very red near-infrared colors compared to model predictions given its luminosity (Mohanty et al. 2007). Interestingly, the first directly imaged exoplanets are similarly red (Marois et al. 2008; Barman et al. 2011). This is attributed to the low surface gravity of young objects and is found as well for free-floating brown dwarfs (e.g., Cruz et al. 2009; Faherty et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2016). Low surface gravity has implications for the cloud structure of these benchmark objects—their red colors suggest that they retain dusty silicate clouds down to much lower effective temperatures compared to high surface gravity field brown dwarfs at similar temperatures. Discovering and characterizing even younger planetary-mass objects allows us to study these atmospheres at the very youngest ages and lowest surface gravities.

2M1207b is a member of the ~11 Myr TW Hya association; identifying similar systems at even younger ages enables strong constraints on the formation of such objects (cluster environment, accretion processes, and presence of disks), as well as to trace the early evolution of their physical properties. In this Letter, we report the discovery of such a young low-mass binary, CFHTWIR-Oph 98 (hereafter Oph 98; 2MASS J16274422−2358521). The brown dwarf Oph 98 A is a ~15 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ member of the ~3 Myr Ophiuchus star-forming region (Alves de Oliveira et al. 2012). Using ground- and space-based observations, we confirm and characterize Oph 98 B as a faint and red comoving companion with a mass of ~8 $M_{\text{Jup}}$, and a large separation of about 200 au.

2. Observations and Data Analysis

2.1. Hubble Space Telescope Data

We observed Oph 98 as part of a Hubble Space Telescope (HST) multiplicity survey (GO 12944, PI Allers) targeting brown dwarfs in the Ophiuchus star-forming region. Data for this target were acquired on UT 2013 June 17. Two sets of deep dithered images were obtained in the F127M and F139M filters on the IR channel of the WFC3 instrument, in full frame MULTIACCUM mode, with total exposure times of 698 s in each band. Two images of 473 s each were then acquired in the F850LP bandpass on the UVIS channel, using the full UVIS aperture. The combination of these three filters allows for clear distinctions of substellar objects from background interlopers, by exploiting the inherent red colors of brown dwarfs and a characteristic water absorption band observed in their spectra at 1.4 μm (Fontanive et al. 2018; Allers & Liu 2020). Oph 98 A...
was indeed found to show distinctive photometric colors between these bands compared to other stars in the HST field of view. A faint companion, well resolved in all images and shown in the F127M band in Figure 1 (middle panel), was detected at ~1".46 from the known brown dwarf based on its multiband photometry.

The pipeline processed flat-field images were used as input in the MultiDrizzler software (Fruchter & Hook 2002) to correct for geometric distortion, perform cosmic-ray rejection, and combine all dithered frames into a final image in each filter. Source positions were extracted using the DADOSfinder algorithm from the Photutils python package (Bradley et al. 2019). Aperture photometry was performed adopting 0".4 aperture radii. The background level and its uncertainty in each final data frame was estimated by applying the same 0".4 aperture to 2000 random star-free positions and computing the mean and standard deviation of these measurements. Measured fluxes were finally converted into Vega magnitudes using the HST photometric zero-points for 0".4 apertures in the considered filters. Measured magnitude differences (Δmag) and relative positions between Oph 98 A and B are reported in Table 1. Apparent HST magnitudes are listed in Table 2.

### 2.2. Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope Data

Seeing-limited, broadband J, H, and Ks (MKO filter system) images of Oph 98 are available from the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) WIRCAM archive (Programs 06AF01, 06AT08, and 12AT09), with data acquired in 2006 May and 2012 August. A CFHT H-band image of Oph 98 is shown in the left panel of Figure 1.

We used preprocessed images from the CFHT facility pipeline, 'i'wii, which includes detrending and sky subtraction. We determined the relative astrometry and photometry of Oph 98 A and B from individual preprocessed frames. We used the IDL Astronomy Library’s FIND, APER, and GETPSF routines (Landsman 1993) to determine the point-spread function (PSF) and residuals for nonsaturated stars within 1 arcmin of Oph 98 A. Using the NSTAR program, we fit the PSF to Oph 98 A, and subtracted it from the image using SUBSTAR. We then fit a model PSF to Oph 98 B. For each epoch and each filter, we determined the separation, position angle, and Δmag of the binary using the mean and standard deviation of the mean of measurements from individual (nonstacked) frames. Table 1 reports our measurements.

We also determined MKO photometry of Oph 98 A and B from the individual, preprocessed frames. We used the magnitude calculated by NSTAR during the PSF fitting process, and determined the photometric calibration offset for each image by comparing the PSF-fit magnitudes of nonsaturated stars within 1 arcmin of Oph 98 A to their 2MASS photometry. We first converted their 2MASS magnitudes to the MKO system using custom color corrections derived from synthetic photometry of SpeX Spectral Library spectra reddened by $A_V$ of 1–30 mag. We calculated the photometry for Oph 98 A and B using a weighted mean and weighted standard deviation of the mean of the magnitudes calculated.

### Table 1

| UT Date      | Telescope/Instrument | Filter | Δmag    | Separation (mas) | Position Angle (deg) |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------|---------|------------------|----------------------|
| 2006 May 16  | CFHT/WIRCAM           | J      | 2.089 ± 0.032 | 1503.67 ± 19.94  | 318.0 ± 0.8          |
| 2006 May 15  | CFHT/WIRCAM           | H      | 1.760 ± 0.055  | 1451.31 ± 26.4   | 318.7 ± 1.1          |
| 2006 May 16  | CFHT/WIRCAM           | Ks     | 1.536 ± 0.020  | 1478.6 ± 15.11   | 319.7 ± 0.6          |
| 2012 Aug 10  | CFHT/WIRCAM           | H      | 2.169 ± 0.077  | 1478.76 ± 33.47  | 319.0 ± 1.3          |
| 2012 Aug 10  | CFHT/WIRCAM           | Ks     | 1.755 ± 0.033  | 1485.08 ± 17.78  | 318.8 ± 0.7          |
| 2012 Aug 10  | CFHT/WIRCAM           | Ks     | 1.630 ± 0.021  | 1443.32 ± 14.99  | 319.6 ± 0.6          |
| 2013 Jun 17  | HST/WFC3              | F850LP | 2.783 ± 0.171  | 1458.04 ± 1.54   | 318.8 ± 0.1          |
| 2013 Jun 17  | HST/WFC3              | F127M  | 2.192 ± 0.014  | 1458.19 ± 6.94   | 319.1 ± 0.3          |
| 2013 Jun 17  | HST/WFC3              | F139M  | 2.032 ± 0.017  | 1460.55 ± 6.46   | 319.2 ± 0.3          |
| 2020 Aug 01  | UKIRT/WFCAM           | J      | 2.073 ± 0.028  | 1462.58 ± 20.21  | 316.7 ± 0.8          |
| 2020 Aug 01  | UKIRT/WFCAM           | K      | 1.642 ± 0.039  | 1435.07 ± 8.49   | 322.0 ± 0.4          |
| 2020 Sep 17  | UKIRT/WFCAM           | H      | 1.793 ± 0.025  | 1519.51 ± 21.57  | 319.0 ± 0.8          |
Table 2: Properties of the CFHTWIR-Oph 98 AB System

| Parameter | Oph 98 A | Oph 98 B | Reference |
|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Astrometry |          |          |           |
| $\alpha$ (ICRS J2000.0) | $16^h27^m44.226$ | Cutri et al. (2003) |
| $\delta$ (ICRS J2000.0) | $-23^\circ58'52.14$ | Cutri et al. (2003) |
| $\mu_\alpha$ [mas yr$^{-1}$] | $-7.2 \pm 2.0$ | Cánovas et al. (2019) |
| $\mu_\delta$ [mas yr$^{-1}$] | $-25.5 \pm 1.7$ | Cánovas et al. (2019) |
| Parallax [mas] | $7.29 \pm 0.22$ | Ortiz-León et al. (2018) |
| Distance [pc] | $137 \pm 4$ | Ortiz-León et al. (2018) |

Photometry

| Parameter | Oph 98 A | Oph 98 B | Reference |
|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| 2MASS $J$ [mag] | $16.775 \pm 0.176$ | Cutri et al. (2003) |
| 2MASS $H$ [mag] | $15.574 \pm 0.109$ | Cutri et al. (2003) |
| 2MASS $K_s$ [mag] | $14.593 \pm 0.098$ | Cutri et al. (2003) |
| F850LP [mag] | $19.696 \pm 0.018$ | This paper |
| F127M [mag] | $16.835 \pm 0.005$ | This paper |
| F139M [mag] | $16.959 \pm 0.005$ | This paper |
| WIRCAM $J$ [mag] | $17.015 \pm 0.016$ | This paper |
| WIRCAM $H$ [mag] | $14.917 \pm 0.009$ | This paper |
| WIRCAM $K_s$ [mag] | $14.917 \pm 0.009$ | This paper |
| WFCAM $J$ [mag] | $16.975 \pm 0.009$ | This paper |
| WFCAM $H$ [mag] | $15.826 \pm 0.013$ | This paper |
| WFCAM $K_s$ [mag] | $14.792 \pm 0.039$ | This paper |

Fundamental properties

| Parameter | Oph 98 A | Oph 98 B | Reference |
|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| $A_V$ [mag] | $5 \pm 1$ | This paper |
| Spectral type$^a$ | M9–L1 | M2–L6 | This paper |
| log($L_{bol}/L_\odot$) [dex] | $-2.85 \pm 0.06$ | $-3.49 \pm 0.06$ | This paper |

1 Myr

| Parameter | Oph 98 A | Oph 98 B | Reference |
|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| $T_{\text{eff}}$ [K] | $2210 \pm 60$ | $1740 \pm 40$ | This paper |
| log $g$ [dex] | $3.566^{+0.048}_{-0.048}$ | $3.436^{+0.015}_{-0.015}$ | This paper |
| Radius [$R_\odot$] | $2.61 \pm 0.05$ | $2.00^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ | This paper |
| Mass [$M_\odot$] | $9.6 \pm 1.4$ | $4.1^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ | This paper |

3 Myr

| Parameter | Oph 98 A | Oph 98 B | Reference |
|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| $T_{\text{eff}}$ [K] | $2320 \pm 40$ | $1800 \pm 40$ | This paper |
| log $g$ [dex] | $3.845^{+0.008}_{-0.008}$ | $3.748^{+0.016}_{-0.016}$ | This paper |
| Radius [$R_\odot$] | $2.38^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$ | $1.86 \pm 0.05$ | This paper |
| Mass [$M_\odot$] | $15.4 \pm 0.8$ | $7.8^{+0.7}_{-0.8}$ | This paper |

7 Myr

| Parameter | Oph 98 A | Oph 98 B | Reference |
|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| $T_{\text{eff}}$ [K] | $2370 \pm 40$ | $1850^{+50}_{-50}$ | This paper |
| log $g$ [dex] | $3.974^{+0.008}_{-0.008}$ | $3.984^{+0.008}_{-0.008}$ | This paper |
| Radius [$R_\odot$] | $2.27 \pm 0.07$ | $1.77^{+0.03}_{-0.05}$ | This paper |
| Mass [$M_\odot$] | $18.4^{+0.7}_{-0.7}$ | $11.6^{+0.4}_{-0.8}$ | This paper |

Binary characteristics

| Parameter | Oph 98 A | Oph 98 B | Reference |
|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Separation [arcsec] | $1.46 \pm 0.01$ | This paper |

Notes.

$^a$ Photometric estimates based on near-infrared VHLG spectral templates.
$^b$ Quantities calculated for a median age of 3 Myr.

from each frame. Our photometry (Table 2) is in good agreement with published WIRCAM photometry of Oph 98 A from Alves de Oliveira et al. (2012).

2.3. United Kingdom Infra-Red Telescope Data

We obtained seeing-limited J- and K-band images of Oph 98 using the United Kingdom Infra-Red Telescope (UKIRT) WFCAM instrument on UT 2020 August 1, followed by an H-band data set on UT 2020 September 17 (Project ID U/20A/H02). The K-band image is shown in Figure 1 (right panel). Using the same procedure described in Section 2.2, we determined the photometric and astrometric measurements of Oph 98 AB from individual, preprocessed images, reported in Tables 1 and 2.

3. Characterization of the Binary

3.1. Astrometric Analysis

The relative astrometry of the binary was measured in the various imaging data sets available as detailed in Section 2 (Table 1). The left panel of Figure 2 clearly demonstrates that Oph 98 A and B are comoving over the 14 yr time baseline of ground- and space-based data, indicating that the two components share a common proper motion. Based on their coordinates, Oph 98 A and B are most likely part of the young L1688 cloud in the Ophiuchus complex (Esplin & Luhman 2020). Given the stellar density of L1688 (King et al. 2012), the chance of alignment for two unrelated Ophiuchus members within $2\theta = 10^{-4}$. This number is an overestimate in the case of such rare low-mass brown dwarfs at the bottom of the initial mass function (Kroupa et al. 2013), and we conclude that Oph 98 A and B form a physically associated binary pair.

A nearby star (Gaia DR2 6050679111185185664), ~6° northwest from Oph 98, was found to be in the Gaia Data Release 2 (DR2) catalog (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2018) with a full astrometric solution. With Gaia parallax and proper motion measurements of $\varpi = 1.453 \pm 0.641$ mas, $\mu_\alpha = -0.795 \pm 1.396$ mas yr$^{-1}$, and $\mu_\delta = -1.192 \pm 0.824$ mas yr$^{-1}$, this source is essentially consistent with a stationary background star. As shown in the right panel of Figure 2, the positions of the star relative to Oph 98 A at each observational epoch are in excellent agreement with the expected relative displacement over time for a background star (black line). The small positional disparities are consistent with the almost negligible motion of the Gaia source and with the measurement uncertainties in the original epoch from which the background track is calculated. These results confirm that the primary has a
The proper motion expected for Ophichus, hence validating the Ophiuchus membership of the comoving Oph 98 AB system.

We adopt the parallax of \( \pi = 7.29 \pm 0.22 \) mas derived by Ortiz-León et al. (2018) for the embedded L1688 population based on Gaia DR2, in good agreement with values from Cánovas et al. (2019) and Esplin & Luhman (2020). At a corresponding distance of 137 \( \pm \) 4 pc, the observed angular separation of the Oph 98 binary (1\(^{''}\)46 \( \pm \) 0\(^{''}\)01) implies a wide projected separation of 200 \( \pm \) 6 au.

### 3.2. Photometric Estimates of Spectral Types

In order to estimate the spectral types of Oph 98 A and B, we used the SpeX Prism Library Analysis Toolkit (SPLAT; Burgasser et al. 2016) to fit the spectral energy distribution (SED) of the binary components. We gathered a library of M and L spectra from the SPLAT database, for which we obtained homogeneous near-infrared spectral types and gravity scores using the Allers & Liu (2013) classification, and retained only young sources with very low (VL-G) gravity scores.

SPLAT allows for the determination of photometric magnitudes on specific filters based on a source’s spectrum. The module can also reden a spectrum following the Cardelli et al. (1989) reddening law. We used these capabilities to determine the scaling factor and visual extinction \( A_v \) minimizing the \( \chi^2 \) between the synthetic photometry of the templates and our measured magnitudes for Oph 98 A and B. We added uncertainties of 0.011, 0.007, and 0.007 mag (2MASS calibration uncertainties; Cutri et al. 2003) to the \( JHK \) MKO measurements tied to 2MASS, and 2\% and 5\% uncertainties in the HST IR and UVIS photometry, respectively.

We observed a strong degeneracy between spectral type and extinction in our results, consistent with findings by Luhman et al. (2017) for young reddened L dwarfs. Results for Oph 98 A showed a handful of fits with similar \( \chi^2 \) values for objects with spectral types of M9 to L1, and decreasing reddening values with later type over the range \( A_v \sim 4-6 \) mag. A similar effect was seen in the results of Oph 98 B, but spanning wider ranges of spectral types (late-M to mid-L) and extinctions (\( A_v \sim 4-10 \) mag), likely due to the atypical colors and larger uncertainties on the photometry of the secondary. Assuming the same local cloud extinction for the two components, we can reduce this range to best-fit results yielding \( A_v \leq 6 \) mag for the companion. This provides spectral type estimates of M9–L1 for Oph 98 A, and L2–L6 for Oph 98 B, with a visual extinction for the system of \( A_v = 5 \pm 1 \) mag, placing the primary at the M/L transition and loosely constraining the secondary to be along the L spectral sequence. Our derived quantities for the primary are in reasonable agreement with the values of M9.75 and \( A_v = 3 \) mag estimated by Alves de Oliveira et al. (2012) from low-resolution \( H \) and \( K \)-band spectroscopy. Additional spectroscopic observations will be required to further characterize the system and break down the observed degeneracies.

The left panel of Figure 3 shows best-fit template spectra for both components compared to our photometric measurements. The right panel shows the observed positions of Oph 98 A and B (magenta stars) in the near-infrared color–magnitude diagram compared to the population of low-mass stars and brown dwarfs. M dwarfs come from Winters et al. (2015), Late-M, -L, and -T dwarfs are compiled from Dupuy & Liu (2012), Dupuy & Kraus (2013), and Liu et al. (2016). Bold circles represent young objects and companions with low surface gravities, which extend the standard M and L sequences to redder J–K colors. The gray dots connected to Oph 98 A and B indicate the extinction-corrected locations of the binary for our derived extinction value. However, since the reddening is determined by best fits to templates, the dereddened colors may not be representative of the intrinsic colors of the components.

### 3.3. Physical Properties

Since the age of Ophiuchus can be inferred from stellar members of the region, the luminosities of Oph 98 A and B can provide estimates of the physical properties of the binary using evolutionary models. Recently, Esplin & Luhman (2020) analyzed the ages of various populations within the Ophiuchus star-forming complex. They estimated ages of \( \sim2 \) and \( \sim3-4 \) Myr for embedded and low-extinction members of Ophiuchus.
L1688, respectively. Our estimated extinction for Oph 98 ($A_V = 5 \pm 1$ mag) falls on the boundary of $A_V$ between the two L1688 populations defined by Esplin & Luhman (2020). Thus, we adopt an age of 3 Myr for Oph 98, but ages of 1–7 Myr fall within the interquartile age ranges of L1688.

We calculated the luminosities of Oph 98 A and B using extinction-corrected MKO $K_s$ photometry, the $K$-band bolometric corrections for young brown dwarfs from Filippazzo et al. (2015), and a parallax for L1688 of 7.29 ± 0.22 mas (Ortiz-León et al. 2018). We used a Monte Carlo approach to determine uncertainties, taking a uniform distribution of $A_V$ and spectral type, and normally distributed uncertainties for the bolometric corrections and parallax. We determine luminosities of $\log(L_{\text{bol}}/L_\odot) = -2.85 \pm 0.06$ dex and $-3.49 \pm 0.06$ dex for Oph 98 A and B, respectively.

Table 2 presents the masses, effective temperatures ($T_{\text{eff}}$), radii, and surface gravities (log $g$) of Oph 98 A and B calculated from the DUSTY model isochrones of Chabrier et al. (2000) at ages of 1, 3, and 7 Myr. We estimate parameters for the bounds of the interquartile age range as the underlying age distribution of L1688 is unknown. Comparison of the luminosities to evolutionary models at the adopted age of 3 Myr yields masses of $15.4 \pm 0.8$ and $7.8^{+0.7}_{-0.8} M_{\text{Jup}}$ for Oph 98 A and B, respectively. Over the possible ages of the system (1–7 Myr), the mass of Oph 98 A could range from 9.6 to 18.4 $M_{\text{Jup}}$, and the mass of Oph 98 B from 4.1 to 11.6 $M_{\text{Jup}}$.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

4.1. Comparison to Other Systems

With a primary mass near the deuterium-burning limit ($\sim 11–16 M_{\text{Jup}}$ depending on metallicity; Spiegel et al. 2011) and a companion inside the planetary-mass range, Oph 98 AB is among the lowest-mass binaries known to date. Only a handful of systems potentially made of two planetary-mass components have been discovered so far: the TW Hya candidate member 2MASS J1119−1137 (Best et al. 2017), the WISE J1355−8258 spectral binary candidate in the AB Dor moving group (Theissen et al. 2020), and the old field systems WISE J0146+4234 (Dupuy et al. 2015) and CFBDISR J1458+1013 (Liu et al. 2011) that may be more massive depending on their ages.

Oph 98 is distinct from these binaries in three important aspects: the system’s age, mass ratio, and separation. The extremely young age of Ophiuchus makes Oph 98 the youngest system ages. Calculated masses using the evolutionary models of Saumon & Marley (2008) ($f_{\text{cool}} = 2$) and Burrows et al. (1997) were found to agree with the DUSTY results to within the uncertainties.

From the model-derived masses at 3 Myr and measured angular separation, we also computed the binary mass ratio, orbital period, and gravitational binding energy of the system, reported in Table 2. We used a median correction factor of 1.1 from projected separation to true semimajor axis (Dupuy & Liu 2011).
4.2. Formation Mechanisms

Oph 98, like each of the young low-mass binaries mentioned in Section 4.1, provides a valuable example of a young system in an extreme configuration, offering key insight into the formation for the very lowest-mass brown dwarfs. Indeed, as multiplicity is a direct outcome of formation, the properties of very young binaries can serve as key diagnostics of formation pathways. The youth of the Oph 98 system indicates that the involved mechanisms must operate on short megayear-level timescales, compatible with the rapid formation expected from the fragmentation of cloud cores or gravitational instability in disks. The weakly bound nature of the system argues against violent dynamical processes that would have disrupted the binary, like the premature ejection of substellar embryos from the natal cloud (Reipurth & Clarke 2001). Likewise, formation and subsequent ejection of both components from the disk of a more massive star (Stamatellos et al. 2007) seems implausible based on the binary configuration.

It is more probable that Oph 98 A formed in a star-like manner, through the fragmentation of molecular cloud cores (Whitworth et al. 2007). The low-mass companion Oph 98 B could have formed in the same way, or in the disk of the primary. The latter scenario is unlikely given the mass of the primary, too low to host a disk more massive than \( \sim 1 M_{\text{jup}} \), and the wide binary separation, compared to radii of \(<30–100 \) au for brown dwarf disks (Testi et al. 2016). The mass ratio of \( \sim 0.5 \) also suggests a binary-like architecture rather than a planet-like origin for the secondary (Lodato et al. 2005), further supporting the hypothesis that Oph 98 AB emerged from a stellar formation process.

With a lower mass limit for brown dwarfs around \( \sim 3 M_{\text{jup}} \) in a star formation framework (Whitworth et al. 2007)—the minimum mass for opacity-limited fragmentation in turbulent cloud cores (Silk 1977)—Oph 98 B thus extends the growing number of very young, planetary-mass objects populating the low-end tail of the star formation product (e.g., Liu et al. 2013; Gagné et al. 2014, 2015; Schneider et al. 2016). The existence of such wide, very low-mass binaries arising from a stellar formation pathway is predicted in numerical simulations (Bate 2012), although expected to be of rare occurrence. As the binary with the weakest gravitational binding energy discovered to date, the Oph 98 system therefore represents an unmatched example of the extreme multiplicity outcome of stellar formation.
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**Figure 4.** Binding energy plotted against total system mass for low-mass binaries in the field (blue) and young associations (magenta), based on the compilation from Faherty et al. (2020). Oph 98 (magenta star) is among the lowest-mass binaries currently known (vertical line) and has the weakest binding energy of any known system (horizontal line).
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