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Abstract. – We present a self-consistent theory of Anderson localization that yields a simple
algorithm to obtain the typical local density of states as an order parameter, thereby reproducing
the essential features of a phase diagram of localization-delocalization quantum phase transition
in the standard lattice models of the disordered electron problem. Due to the local character
of our theory, it can easily be combined with dynamical mean-field approaches to strongly
 correlated electrons, thus opening an attractive avenue for a genuine non-perturbative treatment
of the interplay of strong interactions and strong disorder.

After more than four decades of vigorous efforts, there remains little doubt that disorder-
driven metal-insulator transitions (MITs) bear many similarities to more familiar critical
phenomena. The basic physical process involved was identified by Anderson [1], who first
discussed the localization of electronic wave functions as a driving force behind such MITs.
Further theoretical progress has been slow, partly due to ambiguities in identifying an appro-
 priate order parameter for Anderson localization. Nevertheless, important information was
obtained by using scaling approaches based on $2 + \epsilon$ expansions [2], which were subsequently
extended [3] to incorporate the interaction effects.

There are several reasons why the existing theories remain unsatisfactory. Most impor-
tantly, the MITs generically take place at strong disorder, where the energy scales associated
with both disorder and the interactions are comparable to the Fermi energy, in contrast to
what happens in perturbative ($2 + \epsilon$)-expansion approaches. As a result, well-defined precur-
sors of the MITs are seen even at very high temperatures, as experimentally demonstrated in
many systems [4,5]. These features include not only the scaling behavior of various quantities,
but also the breakdown of the Matthiessen rule and the Mooij correlation [4]. To understand
such global behavior, a mean-field-like formulation would be advantageous, but it should be
one that can incorporate both the strong disorder (i.e., Anderson localization) and the strong
correlation effects on the same footing.
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It has been known for a long time that the naive mean-field approximation to effective field theories of localization (nonlinear $\sigma$-models) leads to an apparent order parameter that is related to the density of states, and therefore does not reflect critical behavior [6] (conceptually, this is due to the fact that localization manifests in transport quantities, such as the two-particle Green function, rather than in the one-particle Green function). This impedes, usually straightforward, implementation of the standard concepts of critical phenomena theory, such as order parameter and upper critical dimension $d_c$. Nevertheless, the ability of the order parameter to label different phases, thereby delineating a complete phase diagram, makes it the most appealing and robust outcome of the mean-field philosophy, which survives its quantitative inaccuracy in dimensions below $d_c$ (there is a plethora of evidences that $d_c \to \infty$ for Anderson localization [6]). In this letter, we demonstrate how an appropriate local order parameter can be defined and self-consistently calculated, producing a “mean-field” (in the unconventional sense elaborated above) description of Anderson localization. This formulation is not restricted to either low temperatures or to Fermi-liquid regimes. The promising feature of our “solution”, which we term typical medium theory (TMT), to the order parameter puzzle, is in its potential usefulness outside of the realm of pure Anderson localization of non-interacting particles. Being amenable to easy incorporation into the well-known dynamical mean-field theories (DMFT) [7,8] of strongly correlated systems, TMT offers a novel framework to address questions difficult to tackle by any alternative formulation, but which are of crucial importance for many electron systems of current interest dominated by non-perturbative physics.

Our starting point is motivated by the original formulation of Anderson [1], which adopts a local point of view [9], and investigates the possibility for an electron to delocalize from a given site at large disorder. This is most easily accomplished by concentrating on the (unaveraged) local density of electronic states (LDOS) $\rho_i(\omega) = \sum_n \delta(\omega - \omega_n)|\psi_n(i)|^2$. In contrast to the global (averaged) density of states (ADOS) which is not critical at the Anderson transition, LDOS undergoes a qualitative change upon localization, as first noted in ref. [1]. This follows from the fact that LDOS directly measures the local amplitude of the electronic wave functions. When electrons localize, the local spectrum turns from a continuous to an essentially discrete one [1], but the typical value of LDOS vanishes. Just on the metallic side, but very close to the transition, these delta-function peaks turn into long-lived resonance states and thus acquire a finite escape rate from a given site. According to Fermi’s golden rule, this escape rate can be estimated [1] as $\tau_{\text{esc}}^{-1} \sim t^2 \rho$, where $t$ is the inter-site hopping element, and $\rho$ is the density of local states of the immediate neighborhood of a given site.

The typical escape rate is thus determined by the typical local density of states (TDOS), so that TDOS directly determines the conductivity of the electrons. This simple argument strongly suggests that TDOS should be recognized as an appropriate order parameter for Anderson localization. Because the relevant distribution function for LDOS becomes increasingly broad as the transition is approached, the desired typical value is well represented by the geometric average $\rho_{\text{typ}} = \exp[\langle \ln \rho \rangle]$, where $\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle$ represents the average over disorder. Interestingly, recent scaling analysis [12] of the multifractal behavior of electronic wave functions near the Anderson transition have independently arrived at the same conclusion, identifying TDOS as defined by the geometric average as the viable candidate for an order parameter (somewhat related ideas have also been discussed earlier in ref. [13]). A complementary insight comes from effective field theories, applied to special models (e.g., localization on the Bethe lattice) that can be solved in the strong-coupling limit, which point out that the full LDOS distribution should be considered an “order parameter function” [6]. Despite these advances, a transparent technique to obtain the order parameter within the framework of standard models of localization (such as the tight-binding Hamiltonian with diagonal disorder — the so-called
Anderson model that is usually a non-interacting disordered piece of lattice Hamiltonians of strongly correlated fermions is lacking. Our principal result is shown in fig. 1, where comparison of the phase diagram obtained from TMT to the numerically exact treatment of the Anderson model on a 3D simple cubic lattice demonstrates that such approach is fully capable of capturing essential features (e.g., qualitative positions of the phase boundaries) of the localization-delocalization transition occurring at strong disorder in dimensions $d > 2$. Thus, despite being an uncontrollable approximation that does not reproduce correct critical exponents in any dimensionality, TMT paves the way to include the effects of strong disorder into modern DMFT approaches to strongly correlated systems, where it has been a daunting task to go beyond coherent-potential approximation (CPA) [14] (CPA cannot account for quantum interference effects induced by scattering off impurities, i.e., localization).

To formulate a self-consistent theory for our order parameter, we follow the “cavity method”, a general strategy that we borrow from the DMFT [7]. In this approach, a given site is viewed as being embedded in an effective medium characterized by a local self-energy function $\Sigma(\omega)$. For simplicity, we concentrate on a single-band tight-binding model of non-interacting electrons with random site energies $\varepsilon_i$ with a given distribution $P(\varepsilon_i)$. The corresponding local Green function then takes the form

$$G(\omega, \varepsilon_i) = [\omega - \varepsilon_i - \Delta(\omega)]^{-1},$$

where the “cavity function” is given by $\Delta(\omega) = \Delta_o(\omega - \Sigma(\omega))$ with $\Delta_o(\omega) = \omega - 1 / G_o(\omega)$. The lattice Green function $G_o(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega' \frac{D(\omega')}{\omega - \omega'}$ is the Hilbert transform of the bare density of states $D(\omega)$. Given the effective medium specified by a self-energy $\Sigma(\omega)$, we are now in the position to evaluate the order parameter, which we choose to be TDOS as given by

$$\rho_{\text{typ}}(\omega) \equiv \exp \left[ \int d\varepsilon_i P(\varepsilon_i) \ln \rho(\omega, \varepsilon_i) \right],$$

where LDOS $\rho(\omega, \varepsilon_i) = - \text{Im} G(\omega, \varepsilon_i) / \pi$. To obey causality, the Green function corresponding to $\rho_{\text{typ}}(\omega)$ must be specified by analytical continuation, which is performed by the Hilbert
Fig. 2 – Typical density of states for the SC model, for disorder values $W = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.275, 1.3, 1.325, 1.35$. The entire band localizes for $W = W_c = e/2 \approx 1.359$.

Finally, we close the self-consistency loop by setting the Green functions of the effective medium to be equal to that corresponding to the local order parameter, so that $G_{em}(\omega) = G_0(\omega - \Sigma(\omega)) = G_{typ}(\omega)$. It is important to emphasize that the procedure defined by these equations is not specific to the problem at hand. The same strategy can be used in any theory characterized by a local self-energy. The only requirement specific to our problem is the definition of TDOS as a local order parameter given by eq. (1). If we choose the algebraic instead of the geometric average of LDOS, our theory would reduce to CPA [14], which produces excellent results for the ADOS for any value of disorder, but finds no Anderson transition. Thus TMT is a theory having a character very similar to CPA, with a small but crucial difference—the choice of the correct order parameter for Anderson localization.

In our formulation, as in DMFT, all the information about the electronic band structure is contained in the choice of the bare DOS $D(\omega)$. It is not difficult to solve the above equations numerically, which can be efficiently done using FFT methods [7]. We have done so for several model densities of states, and find that most of our qualitative conclusions do not depend on

Fig. 3 – Phase diagram for the SC model. The trajectories of the mobility edge (full line) and the CPA band edge (dashed line) are shown as a function of the disorder strength $W$. 
the specific choice of band structure. We illustrate these findings using a simple semicircular (SC) model for the bare DOS given by $D(\omega) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - (2\omega)^2}$, for which $\Delta_o(\omega) = G_o(\omega)/16$ [7]. Here and in the rest of the paper all the energies are expressed in units of the bandwidth, and the random site energies $\epsilon_i$ are uniformly distributed over the interval $[-W/2, W/2]$. The evolution of TDOS as a function of $W$ is shown in fig. 2. The TDOS is found to decrease and eventually vanish even at the band center for $W = W_c \approx 1.36$. When $W < W_c$, the part of the spectrum where TDOS remains finite corresponds to the region of extended states, and is found to shrink with disorder, indicating that the band tails begin to localize. The resulting phase diagram is presented in fig. 3, showing the trajectories of the mobility edge (as given by the frequency where TDOS vanishes for a given $W$), and the band edge (where the ADOS calculated by CPA vanishes).

Further insight in the critical behavior is obtained by noting that near $W = W_c$ it proves possible to analytically solve TMT equations. Concentrating, for simplicity, on the band center ($\omega = 0$), we can expand these equations in powers of the order parameter $\rho_o = \rho_{typ}(0)$ giving $\rho_o = a\rho_o - b\rho_o^2 + \cdots$, where $a = \exp[-2 \int d\epsilon P(\epsilon) \ln|\epsilon|]$ and $b = 2aP(0)$. The transition where $\rho_o$ vanishes is found at $a = 1$, giving $W = W_c = e/2 = 1.3591$, consistent with our numerical solution. Near the transition, to leading order $\rho_o(W) = (\frac{1}{2})^2(W_c - W)$, meaning that the order parameter exponent is $\beta = 1$. The analytical solution is more difficult to obtain for arbitrary $W$. Still, the above approach can be extended to find a full frequency-dependent solution close to the critical value of disorder $W = W_c$. There it assumes a simple scaling form $\rho_{typ}(\omega, W) = \rho_o(W)f(\omega/\omega_o(W))$, with $\omega_o(W) = \sqrt{(\frac{4}{\pi})(W_c - W)}$ and the scaling function $f(x) = 1 - x^2$. This is again consistent in detail with the numerical solution of fig. 2 (note that TDOS curves assume a simple parabolic shape close to $W = W_c$).

In order to examine the quantitative accuracy of our theory, we have carried out exact numerical calculations for a three-dimensional cubic lattice with random site energies, using Green functions for an open finite sample attached to two semi-infinite clean leads [15]. We have computed both the average and the typical DOS at the band center as a function of disorder, for cubes of sizes $L = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11,$ and $12$, and averages over 1000 sample realizations, in order to obtain reliable data by standard finite-size scaling procedures. The TMT and CPA equations for the same model were also solved by using the appropriate bare DOS (as expressed in terms of elliptic integrals), and the results are presented in fig. 1. We find remarkable agreement between the numerical data and the self-consistent CPA calculations for the ADOS, but also a surprisingly good agreement between the numerical data and the TMT predictions for the TDOS order parameter. For a cubic lattice, the exact value is $W_c \approx 16.5/12 = 1.375$ [16], whereas TMT predicts a 20% smaller value $W_c \approx 1.1$. The most significant discrepancies are found in the critical region, since TMT predicts the order parameter exponent $\beta = 1$, whereas the exact value is believed to be $\beta \approx 1.58$ [17], consistent with our numerical data. Nevertheless, we conclude that TMT is as accurate as one can expect from a simple “mean-field” like formulation [19].

Next, we address the transport properties within TMT. The escape rate from a given site can be rigorously defined in terms of the cavity field $\Delta(\omega)$, and using our solution of the TMT equations, we find $\tau^{-1}_esc = -\text{Im} \Delta(0) \sim \rho_{typ} \sim (W_c - W)$. To calculate the conductivity within our local approach, we follow a strategy introduced by Girvin and Jonson [21], who pointed out that close to the localization transition the conductivity can be expressed as $\sigma = \Lambda(A_{12}A_{21} - A_{11}A_{22})$, where $A_{ij} = -\text{Im} G_{ij}$ is the spectral function corresponding to the nearest-neighbor two-site cluster. We have computed $a_{12}$ by examining two sites embedded in the effective medium defined by TMT, thus allowing for localization effects. The vertex function $\Lambda$ remains finite at the localization transition [21], and thus can be computed within CPA [22]. The resulting critical behavior of the $T = 0$ conductivity follows that of the order parameter, $\sigma \sim$
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Fig. 4 – Conductivity as a function of the inelastic scattering rate $\eta$ for the SC model at the band center and $W = 0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.36, 1.5, 1.75, 2$. The “separatrix” ($\sigma = \sigma^*$ independent of $\eta$, i.e. temperature) is found at $W = W^* \approx 1$ (dashed line). The critical conductivity $\sigma_c(\eta) \sim \eta^{1/2}$ corresponds to $W = W_c = 1.36$ (heavy full line).

\[ \rho_{\text{typ}} \sim (W_c - W), \] giving the conductivity exponent $\mu$ equal to the order parameter exponent $\beta$, consistent with what is expected [17]. Finally, we examine the temperature dependence of the conductivity as a function of $W$. Physically, the most important effect of finite temperatures is to introduce finite inelastic scattering due to interaction effects. At weak disorder, such inelastic scattering increases the resistance at higher temperatures, but in the localized phase it produces the opposite effect, since it suppresses interference processes and localization. To mimic these inelastic effects within our noninteracting calculation, we introduce by hand an additional scattering term in our self-energy, viz. $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma - i\eta$. The parameter $\eta$ measures the inelastic-scattering rate, and is generally expected to be a monotonically increasing function of temperature. The resulting behavior of the conductivity as a function of $\eta$ and $W$ is presented in fig. 4. As $\eta$ (i.e., temperature) is reduced, we find that the conductivity curves “fan out”, as seen in many experiment close to the MIT [4,5]. Note the emergence of a “separatrix” [4,5], where the conductivity is temperature independent, which is found for $W \approx 1$, corresponding to $k_F \ell \sim 2$, consistent with some experiments [4]. At the MIT, we find $\sigma_c(\eta) \sim \rho_{\text{typ}}(\eta) \sim \eta^{1/2}$.

In summary, we have formulated a local order parameter theory for disorder-driven MITs that, in the absence of interactions, reproduces most of the expected features of the Anderson transition. In addition, the role of strong electronic correlations near disorder-driven MITs can be readily examined within the typical medium theory, since the local character of our approach offers a natural starting point for incorporating both the localization and the interaction effects using the DMFT framework.
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