Recombinant Adenoviruses for Delivery of Therapeutics Following Spinal Cord Injury
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The regeneration of nerve tissue after spinal cord injury is a complex and poorly understood process. Medication and surgery are not very effective treatments for patients with spinal cord injuries. Gene therapy is a popular approach for the treatment of such patients. The delivery of therapeutic genes is carried out in a variety of ways, such as direct injection of therapeutic vectors at the site of injury, retrograde delivery of vectors, and ex vivo therapy using various cells. Recombinant adenoviruses are often used as vectors for gene transfer. This review discusses the advantages, limitations and prospects of adenovectors in spinal cord injury therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is serious medical condition, often leading to disability and a significant decrease in patients’ quality of life. Unfortunately, existing therapies for SCI are ineffective and do not lead to complete or significant functional recovery (Tator, 2006; Bydon et al., 2014; Tsintou et al., 2015). Numerous ascending and descending nerve pathways are interrupted after SCI, causing a partial or complete impairment of sensory and motor functions below the injured spinal cord (Lin et al., 2016). The recovery of large regions of damaged tissue in the spinal cord or brain requires the growth of axons either by compensatory growth of preserved fibers or by the regeneration of damaged axons (Maier and Schwab, 2006). The inability of the central nervous system to regenerate is explained by the presence of inhibitory molecules, the lack of supporting molecules, the presence of cell barriers, and the inability of adult neurons to support axonal growth over long distances (Silver and Miller, 2004). SCI therapy aims to prevent neuronal death and stimulate axonal regeneration (Blits et al., 2000).

The use of neurotrophic factors is an actively developing direction for the treatment of SCI, since they are able to suppress neuronal apoptosis and support atrophic, hypofunctional neurons. These factors quickly degrade after direct administration. Gene transfer that permits the stable production of neurotrophic factors is being actively studied (Betz et al., 2016). Recombinant adenoviruses (rAdVs), adeno-associated viruses (AAVs) and lentiviruses are the main vectors being studied for transgene delivery; each one has its own advantages and disadvantages. The use of AAVs and rADVs is safer due to episomal transgene expression (Lee et al., 2017). Furthermore, an important difference...
between them is that AAVs and lentiviruses cause constant expression of a transgene, while rAdVs provide only temporary expression (Tosolini and Morris, 2016). Neurotrophic factors can alter the dendritic architecture, synaptic density and plasticity (Horch, 2004; Kuipers and Bramham, 2006; Parrish et al., 2007; Rodger et al., 2012). There is scanty data on how the long-term expression of secreted neurotrophic factors affects the structure and function of neurons. For instance, the transplantation of an autologous peripheral nerve graft transduced with AAV producing brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) or ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) led to significant changes in dendritic architecture in both transduced and non-transduced populations of regenerating retinal ganglion cells after 5–8 months (Rodger et al., 2012). The long-term expression of BDNF was found to induce spasticity and hyperexcitability (Fouad et al., 2013), while its transient expression was sufficient to maintain the regenerated axons for long periods of time at the sites of SCI (Blesch and Tuszynski, 2007). This review discusses the preclinical data on the use of rAdVs as vectors to deliver therapeutic transgenes after SCI.

THREE GENERATIONS OF RECOMBINANT ADENOVIRAL VECTORS

Vectors based on adenovirus serotype 5 (AdV5) have found a broad range of applications in the field of gene therapy, including the transfer of various therapeutic transgenes for the treatment of SCI. rAdVs have several advantages over other viruses used for gene transfer. They are able to transduce almost any cell type, including postmitotic cells such as neurons while providing a high level of transgene production when strong promoters such as CMV or CAG are used. In addition, rAdV5 has a high packing capacity, which may reach up to 36 kb with the removal of viral genes, and highly purified adenoviral preparations can be obtained at a high titer (Liu et al., 1997; Danthinne and Imperiale, 2000; Alba et al., 2005; Blits and Bunge, 2006).

There are three generations of rAdVs. First-generation adenoviral vectors lack the E1 and often the E3 regions of the genome with a packaging capacity up to 8 kb. Removal of the E1 region leads to almost complete prevention of viral replication and cell lysis, which makes these vectors relatively safe for gene therapy (Hermens and Verhaagen, 1998; Danthinne and Imperiale, 2000; Blits and Bunge, 2006). However, first-generation rAdVs elicit a significant immune response in vivo, mainly due to leakage of viral proteins in association with a relatively rapid decline in transgene expression (after approximately 2 weeks) (Hermens and Verhaagen, 1998; Danthinne and Imperiale, 2000; St George, 2003; Blits and Bunge, 2006; Crystal, 2014; Lee et al., 2017). Despite this, first-generation rAdVs remain an invaluable gene transfer tool. Their packing capacity is sufficient in most cases, and they are easy to design and produce in large quantities. Most researchers in the field of SCI therapy use first-generation rAdVs, and much of this review will be based on the data regarding these vectors.

Second-generation rAdVs have deletions in the E2 and E4 regions, along with deletions in the E1 and E3 regions of the genome. These modifications increase the packing capacity to 14 kb and reduce cytotoxicity. Deletion of the E2A region increases the expression time of transgenes up to several months by preventing the synthesis of late viral proteins (St George, 2003; Crystal, 2014). However, second-generation rAdVs also trigger immune responses that lead to a decrease in the number of transduced cells (Crystal, 2014).

Third-generation rAdVs, also known as “gutless” or “helper-dependent” adenoviruses, have most of the viral genes removed. They retain only the cis-elements necessary for the replication of viral DNA and the packaging of DNA into a capsid. The development of such vectors requires the presence of helper viruses in the cell, which ensure the presence of virus-specific proteins necessary for viral replication and the assembly of adenoviral vector particles. Theoretically, third-generation rAdVs can contain several transgenes with a total size of approximately 36 kb. The injection of third-generation rAdVs into the body does not cause a significant adaptive immune response (Alba et al., 2005) correlated with the long period of transgene expression (up to 2–3 years) after a single injection (Toietta et al., 2005; Brunetti-Pierri et al., 2009). However, the production of third-generation rAdVs is complex and requires optimization (St George, 2003; Crystal, 2014; Lee et al., 2017).

DELIVERY ROUTES FOR RECOMBINANT ADENOVIRUSES

There are several ways of delivering rAdVs with therapeutic transgenes into the damaged spinal cord. For example, there are direct methods of delivery, such as injection of rAdVs into the site of damage to the spinal cord or nearby intracerebroventricular, intraparenchymal or intrathecal administration using a catheter, minipump or syringe. Transgene expression was detected in several segments rostral and caudal to the injection site (2–6 mm) in both white and gray matter upon direct injection of rAdV5 carrying different reporter transgenes into the spinal cord after injury (Hermens and Verhaagen, 1997; Liu et al., 1997; Huber et al., 2000; Tai et al., 2003; Wang J. M. et al., 2011; Povyshева et al., 2017). Although these methods result in transgene expression, they are quite invasive. There are risks of spreading traumatic damage and worsening the nervous damage, including necrosis and apoptosis (Hendriks et al., 2004; Nakajima et al., 2005; Tosolini and Morris, 2016). In addition, these methods do not promote targeted synaptogenesis between severed axons and motor neurons.

There are also indirect delivery methods. For example, targeted retrograde gene delivery by rAdVs through the peripheral nervous system or through intramuscular injection is used to reduce invasiveness in rodent models. The intramuscularly injected rAdVs are captured by the neuromuscular junction via the presynaptic axon and are
retrogradely transported along peripheral nerves to the corresponding motor neurons of the spinal cord (Tosolini and Morris, 2016). Upon retrograde delivery of an rAdV expressing GFP, the transgene was distributed along the rostral spinal cord in motor neurons of the anterior horns and was found in interneurons within the gray matter near the central canal of the injured spinal cord (Zhou and Shine, 2003). The locations of the motor end plates for the major muscle groups of the forelimbs and hindlimbs have been mapped in rats and mice (Tosolini and Morris, 2012; Tosolini et al., 2013; Mohan et al., 2014, 2015). Thus, targeted delivery of genes through the peripheral nerves or target muscles may be less invasive than direct injection and has the advantage of allowing repeated administration (Romero et al., 2000; Nakajima et al., 2005).

Ex vivo gene therapy is another class of indirect gene transfer techniques. This gene therapy includes obtaining the cells from the host organism, genetically modifying these cells in vitro, determining the expression level of the transgene in the modified cells, and then transplanting the cells back to the host. Different types of cells, such as fibroblasts, Schwann cells, and various stem cells, may be used for gene therapy aimed at restoring the nervous system. The risk of immunological rejection is reduced through the use of autologous cells. Thus, this approach has the ability to provide localized delivery of therapeutic transgenes to the site of injury and long-term, high-level expression of those genes at the target site (Jones et al., 2001; Hendriks et al., 2004).

THE TRANSDUCTION EFFICIENCY OF RECOMBINANT ADENOVIRUSES

A direct injection of rAdV into the spinal cord leads to the transduction of neurons (Hermens and Verhaagen, 1997; Liu et al., 1997, 2010; Huber et al., 2000; Miura et al., 2000; Koda et al., 2004); astrocytes (Liu et al., 1997; Huber et al., 2000; Miura et al., 2000; Tai et al., 2003), including reactive astrocytes (Huber et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2016); oligodendrocytes (Hermens and Verhaagen, 1997; Huber et al., 2000; Tai et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2010); macrophages; lymphocytes; and microglia (Liu et al., 1997; Abdellatif et al., 2006). At the same time, several studies have shown that the main pool of transduced cells is represented by astroglial cells (Hermens and Verhaagen, 1997, 1998; Tai et al., 2003; Abdellatif et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2010). Peak expression was observed after 7–10 days (Huber et al., 2000; Koda et al., 2004; Povyosheva et al., 2017), while practically no stained cells remained at the injection site after 2 months. Expression of a reporter transgene at a distance from the injection site persisted in neurons for at least 2 months (Liu et al., 1997). In contrast, other works have shown that long-term transgene expression is maintained by glial cells (Hermens and Verhaagen, 1998; Tai et al., 2003).

The cells transduced in the healthy spinal cord by the retrograde administration of rAdV were observed mainly in the gray matter and included motor neurons and interneurons, with peak transgene expression occurring on the seventh day after administration; a decrease in transgene expression was observed after 2 weeks (Nakajima et al., 2005, 2007). In the injured spinal cord, cells transduced by an rAdV expressing β-galactosidase were found in both gray and white matter (Baumgartner and Shine, 1998; Nakajima et al., 2005, 2007). A high level of transgene expression was observed in neural cells for 3 weeks (Zhou and Shine, 2003). In twy/twy mice with spontaneous chronic mechanical compression, retrograde delivery of rAdV to the spinal cord led to transduction of both neurons and glial cells, including oligodendrocytes (Uchida et al., 2008, 2012). Data on the cellular tropism of adenoviral vectors on base Ad5 after direct and retrograde administration healthy animals or after SCI are presented in Table 1.

The efficacy of rAdV-mediated gene transfer ex vivo has been investigated in various cell lines used to treat SCI (Lin et al., 2016). Transduction efficiency in different cells using for ex vivo gene transfer is presented in Table 2. Transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) is the most frequently used treatment approach for SCI, and the positive effects of these cells have been documented (Hofstetter et al., 2002; Matyas et al., 2017). The highly efficient transduction of human MSCs with rAdV5 at multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 50–100 has been repeatedly demonstrated (Koda et al., 2007; Pu et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2019). The efficiency of adenoviral transduction of rat MSCs at an MOI of 300 was 90%, with 95% cell viability after 48 h rAdV transduction did not affect cell proliferation or potency, and transgene expression in cells was maintained for at least 21 days in vivo (Deng et al., 2004). In another work, the rat MSCs were transduced with rAdV5 at an MOI of 100 with an efficiency of 20%, which increased to 60% at an MOI of 1,000 without affecting the morphology of cells or their ability to differentiate (Rooney et al., 2008). In contrast, another study reported that peak transduction efficiency (50%) occurred at an MOI of 300, and cytotoxicity was observed if the MOI was over 300 (Zhang et al., 2006).

Despite the fact that many studies have demonstrated the highly efficient transduction of human and rat MSCs with vectors based on adenovirus serotype 5 (Deng et al., 2004; Kawamura et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006; Klöpper et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2009; Marasini et al., 2017; Pu et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2019), there have also been reports showing the opposite results (Park et al., 2010; Kuroki et al., 2017). The low transduction efficiency was attributed to the low expression of coxsackievirus–adenovirus receptor (CAR), a molecule that enables adenovirus serotype 5 to bind to the host cell surface. For human MSCs, it was demonstrated that CAR is expressed in only a small fraction of cells, while there is abundant expression of αvβ3 and αvβ5 integrins (Conget and Minguell, 2000), which play a role in the endocytosis of Ad5 (Lyle and McCormick, 2010). Although Ad5V-based vectors have a high potential to transduce a wide range of cells, there are approaches to change the tropism of Ad5V and increase its transduction efficiency by capsid modifications. rAdVs with modified tropism were investigated as resources to increase the efficiency of gene transfer in MSCs. A modified rAdV with an RGD motif–containing peptide inserted in the HI loop of the knob domain of the Ad5 fiber (rAd5F/RGD)
was studied. rAd5F/RGD may exploit the integrin complexes αvβ3 and αvβ5 in addition to CAR as its primary receptors. rAd5F/RGD was 12 times more efficient than rAd5 with the wild-type fiber in transducing MSCs (Tsuda et al., 2003). The fiber chimeric rAdVs Ad5F50, Ad5F35, and Ad5F16, with fiber domains derived from adenoviruses of serotypes 50, 35,
and 16, respectively, were more efficient than rAdV5 with wild-type fiber (Olmsted-Davis et al., 2002; Knaân-Shanzer et al., 2005). However, the high efficiency of transduction of human MSCs by these chimeric rAdVs has not been explained. It is known that group B adenoviruses, including adenoviruses of serotypes 50, 35 and 16, interact with the CD46 receptor as their primary receptor (Pearse et al., 2004), which was shown to be expressed at a low level on human SCs (Knaân-Shanzer et al., 2005).

Schwann cells (SCs) also showed good results in SCI therapy. Many experiments using rAdV5 as a vehicle for gene transfer have demonstrated the high efficiency of rat SC transduction (Shy et al., 1995; Dijkhuizen et al., 1997; Guénard et al., 1999; Watanabe et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007). rAdV5 transduced SCs with almost 100% efficiency at an MOI of 250–300 (Shy et al., 1995; Guo et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007). At these MOIs, cytotoxicity was not observed, cells retained their native morphology, and transgene expression in vitro was observed for at least 2 weeks with no decrease in the percentage of infected cells (Shy et al., 1995). In another study, rAdV5 infected only 30% of SCs (Golden et al., 2007). To increase the efficiency of SC transduction, one study modified the fiber of rAdV5 by adding a peptide analog of transferrin to the C-terminus of the protein, which allowed rAdV to penetrate into cells through the transferrin receptor. This significantly improved the transduction and expression of a transgene in neuroglial cells, including SCs (Joung et al., 2005).

Another commonly used cell type is neural stem/progenitor cells (NSPCs) (Lu et al., 2003; Karimi-Abdolrezae et al., 2006; Ziv et al., 2006; Hwang et al., 2019). It was reported that the efficiency of NSPC transduction by rAdV5 was 68%, but spontaneous differentiation was observed in some cases (Falk et al., 2002). However, in another study, infection with rAdVs did not affect the morphology, survival, proliferation, or differentiation of NSPCs (Hwang et al., 2019). There is also conflicting evidence that NSPCs have a wide range of receptors for various adenoviruses, such as CAR, αvβ3, αvβ5, CD46 and syndecan. For example, NSPCs were shown to lack CAR and αvβ5 on the surface, resulting in their complete resistance to rAdV5 transduction even at an MOI of 1,000 (Schmidt et al., 2005). In contrast, it was also reported that the most effective vectors were those based on the AdV5 serotype and a vector AdV5pk7 containing a poly-L-lysine (pk7) peptide in the fiber knob domain (Tyler et al., 2009).

Olfactory ensheathing cells (OECs) have also demonstrated success in the therapy of experimental SCI (Mayeur et al., 2013; Stepanova et al., 2019). OECs were susceptible to infection with rAdV5, reaching 100% transduction efficiency at an MOI of 100 without observable changes in their cellular phenotype or expression of cell markers. Transgene expression was observed for approximately 30 days after OEC transplantation into the damaged spinal cord (Ruitenbergh et al., 2002, 2003).

Thus, adenovectors based on AdV5 and fiber-modified derivatives may have relatively high efficiency in transducing the cell types conventionally used for SCI therapy.

THE IMMUNE RESPONSE TO RECOMBINANT ADENOVIRUSES IN THE CONTEXT OF SCI THERAPY

The ability of viral vectors to activate the immune system is a serious limitation the use of such delivery systems, particularly rAdVs. One possible outcome of immune activation is the rapid plateauing of the expression of a therapeutic transgene. In addition, immune activation can lead to damage to healthy cells and the development of unwanted inflammation. This is especially true for SCI therapy, since inflammation may lead to disruption of the regenerative processes. Replication-incompetent rAdVs are capable of causing acute inflammation in infected tissues by activating the innate immune system and stimulating the expression of multiple chemokines and cytokines in transduced target cells (Zaiss et al., 2002; Muruve, 2004; Ghosh et al., 2006). As noted above, the use of second- and third-generation rAdVs avoids the negative impact of immune activation. Since most studies of rAdVs have explored only the first generation of vectors, an important consideration in applying rAdVs is to understand the mechanisms of the immune system response.

When AdV5-LacZ was directly injected into the injured spinal cord of rat, an early immune response was observed at the injection site. One week later, a dense accumulation of OX-42-positive cells (macrophages, lymphocytes, microglia) was observed at the injection site, and some of these cells expressed the transgene (Liu et al., 1997). β-Galactosidase-positive cells were surrounded by a large number of activated microglia. After 1 month, most of the cells expressing the transgene disappeared, and only a few cells along the needle track surrounded by the zone of dense immunoreactivity were weakly stained. The immune response ceased when the β-galactosidase-positive cells disappeared after 2 months. In contrast, no immune response was observed at the site of distant expression of the transgene, even though transgene expression continued throughout the observation period (2 months). No strong immune response and no significant decrease in the number of β-galactosidase-positive cells at the site of virus injection were observed in animals treated with cyclosporin A (Liu et al., 1997). Regarding the immune response evoked by the direct injection of an rAdV or lentiviral vector, an intense immune response was observed only after rAdV injection, and this response could be blocked the intraperitoneal injection of monoclonal antibodies against lymphocytic receptors CD4 and CD45 (Abdellatif et al., 2006).

Infiltration of CD8+ lymphocytes was noted at the injection site of rAdV5 at an MOI of 5 × 10^6 pfu, while at the low doses, there were no differences in comparison with the control rats regarding the level of the inflammatory response associated with the presence of macrophages, reactive astrocytes, and microglia (Hermens and Verhaagen, 1997; Huber et al., 2000).

Immunohistological analysis of the damaged spinal cord after a retrograde injection of an rAdV did not reveal any
differences in the number of macrophages compared to the control animals, suggesting that retrograde rAdV delivery did not cause widespread inflammation (Zhou et al., 2003). In addition, the time of transgene expression was increased after a retrograde injection compared to a direct injection, indicating a lower immune response (Baumgartner and Shine, 1998). In contrast, there is data indicating equal expression time of transgenes after direct and retrograde injections, in association with equal numbers of axons (Zhou and Shine, 2003). Thus, it is possible that the inflammation around neuronal cell bodies in association with direct rAdV5 injection neither inhibited nor amplified axonal regrowth (Zhou and Shine, 2003).

Host immune response directed against the transgene product and/or virus proteins triggers clearance of the transplanted cells after ex vivo gene therapy. A significant reduction in the survival rate of transduced cells after transplantation can indirectly indicate the activation of the immune response. MSCs transplanted into the damaged spinal cord may maintain viability for 4–8 weeks (Hofstetter et al., 2002; Jung et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2010; Pal et al., 2010; Torres-Espín et al., 2015; Hakim et al., 2019). The average survival time of MSCs transduced with various rAdVs is 4–5 weeks (Sasaki et al., 2009; Mukhamedshina et al., 2016; Islamov et al., 2017a; Hei et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2019). Additionally, the expression of an EGFP transgene by MSCs was detected on the 14th day (Mukhamedshina et al., 2016; Islamov et al., 2017b), while only individual EGFP-positive cells were found on the 21st day (Mukhamedshina et al., 2016). Similarly, the expression of a neurotrophin 3 (NT-3) transgene in MSCs was observed for only 14 days, while transplanted cells were detected even on the 67th day (Zhang et al., 2010).

SCs implanted into the spinal cord after contusion damage showed a very long survival time of up to 24 weeks after injection, and the implanted cells were able to proliferate within 12 weeks after transplantation (Wang and Xu, 2014). Similar results were found by other authors, who reported that SCs were detected for 3 months after transplantation (Biernaskie et al., 2007; Pearse et al., 2007; Patel et al., 2010). The transduced SCs transplanted into the damaged spinal cord survived for at least 2 months (Golden et al., 2007; Guo et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007). Moreover, the expression of the LacZ transgene was sustained throughout the entire period of SC survival (Zhang et al., 2007). On the other hand, it was reported that the expression of the EGFP transgene was decreased (Golden et al., 2007).

Long-term cell survival (8–11 weeks) was also observed upon NSPC implantation (Ziv et al., 2006; Biernaskie et al., 2007; Hwang et al., 2019), and transduction of NSPCs by rAdVs did not change the duration of cell survival after implantation (Biernaskie et al., 2007; Hwang et al., 2019). Long-term survival (spanning several months) has also been shown for OECs used for SCI therapy (Ruitenberg et al., 2002, 2003; Mayeur et al., 2013; Stepanova et al., 2019). rAdV-mediated expression of a transgene also did not decrease OEC survival after implantation, but transgene expression gradually decreased between the 7th and 30th days after implantation (Ruitenberg et al., 2002).

Thus, the immune response seems to be a minor obstacle to the use of rAdVs for gene transfer in SCI therapy with indirect methods of injection, such as the retrograde administration of rAdVs and the implantation of transduced cells. However, none of the studies discussed above determined the role of preexisting immunity to rAdVs in determining the therapeutic effect of gene therapy, although it is known that neutralizing antibodies against AdV5 are present in 60–90% of the human population (Nwanegbo et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2012).

**THE USE OF RECOMBINANT ADENOVIRUSES EQUIPPED WITH NEUROTROPHIC FACTORS FOR SCI THERAPY**

A large amount of research in the field of SCI therapy is focused on using various neurotrophic factors that regulate the survival of damaged neurons, the regeneration of axons, synaptic plasticity, and neurotransmission (Boyce and Mendell, 2014). NT-3, BDNF, and GDNF are the major neurotrophic factors. Neurotrophic factors contribute to the survival of damaged cells and the regeneration of axons (Boyce and Mendell, 2014). Many studies have shown that gene delivery of neurotrophins during SCI therapy may contribute to morphological (Zhou and Shine, 2003; Koda et al., 2004; Nakajima et al., 2005, 2007; Uchida et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2012; Wang and Xu, 2014) and functional spinal cord recovery (Koda et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2012; Wang and Xu, 2014; Mukhamedshina et al., 2016; Nejati et al., 2020) after injury.

The retrograde administration of rAdV5-NT-3 to rats with a dissected corticospinal tract led to the growth of axons (Zhou and Shine, 2003). An increase in the axon length and branching of neurons was observed with the retrograde administration of rAdV5-NT-3 to mice with spontaneous chronic mechanical compression of the spinal cord (Uchida et al., 2008). The implantation of retinoic acid–treated MSCs producing NT-3 into the severed spinal cord of rats resulted in a reduced volume of cystic cavities, stimulation of axon regeneration, increased neuron survival, and improved motor function of the hind limbs (Wang and Xu, 2014). Cotransplantation of SC-NT-3 and NSPC expressing the TrkC receptor for NT-3 increased the level of neuronal differentiation of NSCs, stimulated synaptogenesis, induced the formation of myelin SCs, promoted neuroprotection and proliferation of serotonergic fibers in the affected spinal cord, led to a decrease in the main inhibitors of axon growth and neuroplasticity, and resulted in a significant improvement in the retransmission of cortical evoked motor responses and cortical somatosensory evoked potentials as well as a reduction in hindlimb deficits (Wang X. et al., 2011).
The direct injection of rAdV5 expressing BDNF into the dissected spinal cord of rats caused regeneration of descending rubrospinal axons and significant restoration of hindlimb locomotor function (Koda et al., 2004). The retrograde administration of rAdV-BDNF to rats with compression-induced SCI resulted in suppression of neuronal death (Nakajima et al., 2005, 2007; Zhao et al., 2012) and oligodendrocyte death (Nakajima et al., 2005) as well as improvement of behavioral function (Zhao et al., 2012). Similar results were obtained in genetically modified mice with chronic spinal cord compression (Uchida et al., 2012).

Improved recovery of the musculoskeletal system was found in rats with a dissected corticospinal tract that received injections of MSC-BDNF, which promoted axon germination and cortical neuron survival (Sasaki et al., 2009). The implantation of a graft containing Matrigel with rAdV-BDNF-infected MSCs into a completely severed spinal cord resulted in axon regeneration (Koda et al., 2007). The implantation of MSCs-BDNF into rats with a damaged sciatic nerve also led to regeneration of peripheral nerves and improved functional parameters (Hei et al., 2017). The treatment of cervical SCI in rats using OECs infected with rAdV-BDNF reduced the lesion volume, enhanced the regenerative growth of the rubrospinal tract, and improved the recovery of hindlimb function (Ruitenberg et al., 2003).

The direct injection of rAdV-GDNF into the spinal cord after concussion injury or electrolytic damage preserved neuronal neuron survival (Sasaki et al., 2009). The implantation of a corticospinal tract, and improved the recovery of hindlimb function (Zhao et al., 2012). The administration of rAdV-GDNF and implantation of rAdV-transduced cells may not provoke an immune response. However, due to high seropositivity rates for rAdV5 in the human population, further research on animals with preexisting anti-AdV5 antibodies is necessary to confirm this immune-sparing effect. Moreover, it is unclear how preexisting antibodies will affect the efficacy of adenoviral SCI therapy due to the presence of a blood-cerebrospinal fluid barrier. It was shown that preimmunization of animals with AdV5 prior to the delivery of first-generation rAdVs into the brain significantly reduced the expression of a transgene while not affecting “gutless” rAdVs (Barcia et al., 2007). The third generation rAdVs is widely studied for gene delivery to the central nervous system after some neurological disease (Ricobaraz et al., 2020), but we could not find published data on using the third generation rAdVs for SCI treatment. It is also notable that first-generation rAdVs provide relatively short periods of transgene expression; these expression periods may be sufficient to achieve a positive therapeutic effect in SCI models, but it remains unclear whether such short-term expression of therapeutic genes will be sufficient for SCI therapy in humans. Based on the available preclinical data, SCI therapies using rAdVs are promising and should be investigated further.
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