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Abstract
The BABAR detector at the PEP-II asymmetric B Factory at SLAC collected a sample of $23 \cdot 10^6 B\bar{B}$ pairs in the years 1999 and 2000. Using this data sample, we measure the amplitude of the time-dependent CP-violating asymmetry in neutral B decays to the CP eigenstates $J/\psi K_S^0$, $\psi(2S)K_S^0$ and $J/\psi K_L^0$. We find a value of $\sin^2 \beta = 0.34 \pm 0.20(\text{stat}) \pm 0.05(\text{syst})$. We also present preliminary measurements of the $B^0\bar{B}^0$ oscillation frequency and of the lifetimes of charged and neutral B mesons.
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The measurement of CP–violating asymmetries in the time distribution of decays of neutral $B$ mesons can provide a direct test of the standard model of electroweak interactions\footnote{Throughout this paper, flavor–eigenstate decay modes imply also their charge conjugate.} and is the primary goal of the BABAR experiment at the PEP-II asymmetric–energy $e^+e^-$ collider at SLAC. Decays of $B^0$ and $B^0$ mesons into charmonium CP eigenstates due to $b \to c\bar{s}s$ transitions (e.g. $B^0 \to J/\psi K_S^0$) can be used to measure $\sin 2\beta$ (where $\beta$ is one of the interior angles of the unitarity triangle) with negligible corrections from strong interactions.

In the years 1999 and 2000, BABAR collected a sample of $23 \cdot 10^6 B\bar{B}$ pairs (20.7 fb$^{-1}$ on–peak) at PEP-II, where $B\bar{B}$ mesons are produced at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance in collisions of 9.0 GeV electrons and 3.1 GeV positrons. The boost $\langle \beta\gamma \rangle = 0.56$ along the collision axis (z) resulting from the asymmetric beam energies allows the determination of the proper decay time difference $\Delta t$ of the two $B$ mesons from the measurement of the decay length difference $\Delta z$, whose average value is $\langle \beta\gamma \rangle c\sigma_{B^0} \simeq 260 \mu m$.

In $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays, $B^0\bar{B}^0$ pairs are produced in a $P$–wave state and evolve coherently until one of the $B$ meson decays. At that time ($\Delta t = 0$) the other $B$ meson has the opposite flavor. In events where one of the $B$ mesons, $B_{CP}$, decays into a charmonium CP eigenstate and the other, $B_{tag}$, decays such that its flavor can be determined, the expected decay–time distribution $F_+ (F_-)$ for events where the flavor tag is a $B^0$ ($\bar{B}^0$) is given by

$$F_{\pm}(\Delta t_{rec}; \Gamma, \Delta m_d, w, \sin 2\beta) = \frac{1}{4} \Gamma e^{-\Gamma|\Delta t|} [1 \mp \eta_{CP}(1 - 2w) \sin 2\beta \sin \Delta m_d \Delta t] \otimes R(\delta_t; \hat{a}). \quad (1)$$

The mistag rate $w$ is the probability to wrongly determine the flavor of $B_{tag}$. The decay–time distribution is convoluted with a time resolution function $R(\delta_t = \Delta t_{rec} - \Delta t; \hat{a})$ with parameters $\hat{a}$ in order to account for the finite resolution of the detector. $\eta_{CP}$ is the CP eigenvalue of the final state (-1 for decay modes with $K_S^0$, +1 for modes with $K_L^0$).

For events where one of the $B$ mesons decays into a fully reconstructed flavor eigenstate $B_{flav}$, the decay–time distribution for unmixed ($H_+$) and mixed ($H_-$) signal events is

$$H_{\pm}(\Delta t_{rec}; \Gamma, \Delta m_d, w, \hat{a}) = \frac{1}{4} \Gamma e^{-\Gamma|\Delta t|} [1 \pm (1 - 2w) \cos \Delta m_d \Delta t] \otimes R(\delta_t; \hat{a}), \quad (2)$$

where an unmixed event is one where the $B_{flav}$ and $B_{tag}$ mesons have opposite flavor. The mistag rate and resolution function (the latter is dominated by the reconstruction of the $B_{tag}$ vertex) are the same as for the $B_{CP}$ sample, and both can be determined from the $B_{flav}$ sample.

CP candidates are reconstructed in the decay modes $J/\psi K_S^0$, $\psi(2S)K_S^0$, and $J/\psi K_L^0$ and are required to have a difference $\Delta E$ between the energy of the $B_{CP}$ candidate and the beam energy in the center–of–mass frame of less than 3 standard deviations from zero. In addition, modes with a $K_S^0$ must have a beam–energy substituted mass $m_{ES} = \sqrt{(E_{beam}^{cm})^2 - (p_B^{cm})^2} > 5.2 \text{GeV}/c^2$ ($m_{ES} > 5.27 \text{GeV}/c^2$ for candidates counted as signal). The distributions of $m_{ES}$ and $\Delta E$ for the $B_{CP}$ candidates are shown in figure\footnote{Throughout this paper, flavor–eigenstate decay modes imply also their charge conjugate.} A sample of $B$ decays, $B_{flav}$, reconstructed in the flavor eigenstate modes $D^{(*)-}h^+(h^+ = \pi^+, \rho^+, a_1^\pm)$ and $J/\psi K^{*0}$ ($K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-$) is used to measure the $B^0$ lifetime and $\Delta m_d$. A sample of charged $B$ decays, $B_{ch}$, in the final states $J/\psi K^{(*)+}$, $\psi(2S)K^+$ and $\bar{D}^{(*)0}\pi^+$ is used to measure the $B^+$ lifetime and serves as a control sample. Yields and purities for events with a flavor tag are summarized in table 1.

The vertex of the other $B$ in the event ($B_{tag}$) is determined by fitting the tracks not belonging to the reconstructed $B_{CP}$, $B_{flav}$ or $B_{ch}$ to a common vertex. Tracks from $\gamma$ conversion are removed and reconstructed $K_S^0$ and $A$ candidates are used as input to the fit in place of their daughters.
The Lepton to one of four hierarchical mutually exclusive tagging categories (or else not assigned a flavor tag).

Tracks with a large (> 6) \(\chi^2\) contribution are removed to reduce the bias from charm decays. We require \(|\Delta z| < 400\mu m\) and \(|\Delta z| < 3\text{mm}\). The average resolution of \(\Delta z\) is 190 \(\mu m\).

To a very good approximation \(\Delta t \approx \Delta z/c(\beta\gamma)\), but event–by–event corrections are made for the direction of the \(B\) with respect to the \(z\) direction in the \(\Upsilon(4S)\) frame. The resolution function \(R(\delta; \hat{a})\) is parameterized either as the sum of three Gaussian distributions (\(\sin2\beta\) and \(\Delta m_d\) measurements) or as the sum of a zero–mean Gaussian distribution and its convolution with a decaying exponential (lifetime measurements). In both cases, the event–by–event errors calculated by the vertex fits are used to scale some of the contributions to \(R(\delta; \hat{a})\).

From an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the \(\Delta t_{\text{rec}}\) distribution in the \(B_{\text{flav}}\) and \(B_{\text{ch}}\) samples, including also events without a flavor tag, we obtain the preliminary results:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_{B^0} &= 1.546 \pm 0.032 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.022 \text{ (syst)} \text{ ps} \quad (3) \\
\tau_{B^+} &= 1.673 \pm 0.032 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.022 \text{ (syst)} \text{ ps} \quad (4) \\
\tau_{B^+/\tau_{B^0}} &= 1.082 \pm 0.026 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.011 \text{ (syst)}. \quad (5)
\end{align*}
\]

The fit takes into account contributions from signal, background and outliers. The probability of each candidate to be signal is determined from the \(m_{ES}\) distribution. An empirical description based on \(m_{ES}\) sidebands and including both prompt and lifetime components is used to describe the \(\Delta t\) shape of the combinatoric background from other \(B\) decays and from continuum events.

In order to determine the \(B_{\text{tag}}\)’s flavor tag for the \(\sin2\beta\) and \(\Delta m_d\) measurements, we use the flavor information carried by the charge of high momentum leptons (\(e, \mu\)) from semileptonic \(B\) decays, of kaons from \(b \to c \to s\) transitions, of soft pions from \(D^*\) decays and of high momentum charged particles not coming from the reconstructed \(B_{CP}\) or \(B_{\text{flav}}\) candidate. Each event is assigned to one of four hierarchical mutually exclusive tagging categories (or else not assigned a flavor tag). The Lepton and Kaon categories are characterized by the presence of an electron or muon with a center–of–mass momentum \(p_e^* > 1.0\text{ GeV}/c\) or \(p_\mu^* > 1.1\text{ GeV}/c\), and of one or more kaons with a non–zero charge sum, respectively. The remaining two categories, \(NT1\) and \(NT2\), are based on the output of a neural network algorithm whose performance relies primarily on soft pions and on recovering isolated electrons and muons from semileptonic \(B\) decays. The tagging performance measured on data is shown in table 2.

Based on the flavor of the reconstructed \(B_{\text{flav}}\) candidate and the flavor tag, events in the \(B_{\text{flav}}\)
The raw asymmetry in the number of high statistics and has, as expected from eq. 1, the opposite sign for CP even and CP odd modes.

The determination of the mistag rates and $\Delta t$ resolution function function is dominated by the high statistics $B_{\text{flav}}$ sample. The largest correlation between $\sin^2 \beta$ and any linear combination of the other 34 free parameters is 0.076. The dominant sources of systematic error are the assumed parameterization of the $\Delta t$ resolution function, due in part to residual uncertainties in the alignment.
of the silicon vertex tracker, and uncertainties in the level, composition and CP asymmetry of the background in the selected CP events. The large \( B_{CP} \) sample allows a number of consistency checks, including fits to subsamples of the data by decay mode, tagging category and flavor of the \( B_{tag} \) as shown in table 1. No statistically significant asymmetry is found in fits to control samples where no asymmetry is expected.

The measured value of \( \sin^2 \beta \) is consistent with the range implied by measurements and theoretical estimates of the magnitudes of CKM matrix elements. It is also consistent with no CP asymmetry at the 1.7\( \sigma \) level.

References

1. A.B. Carter and A.I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. D 23, 1567 (1981); I.I. Bigi and A.I. Sanda, Nucl. Phys. B193, 85 (1981)
2. B\( \bar{A} \)B\( \bar{A} \)R collaboration, hep–ex/0105044, to be published in Nucl. Inst. and Methods
3. Particle Data Group, D.E. Groom et al., Eur. Phys. Jour. C 15, 1 (2000)
4. B\( \bar{A} \)B\( \bar{A} \)R collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2515 (2001)
5. See e.g. F.J. Gilman, K. Kleinknecht, and B. Renk, Eur. Phys. Jour. C 15, 110 (2000)