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Abstract: The lumen of the gastrointestinal tract harbors a diverse community of microbes, fungi, archaea, and viruses. In addition to occupying the same enteric niche, recent evidence suggests that microbes and viruses can act synergistically and, in some cases, promote disease. In this review, we focus on the disease-promoting interactions of the gut microbiota and rotavirus, norovirus, poliovirus, reovirus, and astrovirus. Microbes and microbial compounds can directly interact with viruses, promote viral fitness, alter the glycan structure of viral adhesion sites, and influence the immune system, among other mechanisms. These interactions can directly and indirectly affect viral infection. By focusing on microbe–virus interplay, we hope to identify potential strategies for targeting offending microbes and minimizing viral infection.

Keywords: enteric viruses; rotavirus; norovirus; poliovirus; reovirus; astrovirus; microbes; microbiota

1. Introduction

Enteric viruses enter the host via the fecal-oral route. In the gastrointestinal tract, viruses encounter a dynamic community of resident microorganisms, known as the gut microbiota. Intestinal microbes have three potential interactions with enteric viruses: (1) microbes may promote and aid viral infection, (2) microbes can inhibit viral infection, or (3) microbes have no effect on viral infection. Recent works by several groups have identified that select intestinal microbes can promote replication, transmission, and pathogenesis of several enteric viruses [1–11]. Microbes that augment viral infection are speculated to act through both direct and indirect mechanisms [12]. These mechanisms include the following: (1) aiding the delivery of virus to host cells via direct attachment, (2) removing decoy host glycans, (3) enhancing virion stability, or (4) dampening innate immune responses (Figure 1). The gut microbiota has been implicated in the pathogenesis of rotavirus, norovirus, poliovirus, reovirus, and astrovirus. This review focuses on bacteria that promote viral infections and attempts to cover known direct and indirect mechanisms by which microbes influence enteric viral infections.
Figure 1. Model diagram of mechanisms of microbe–virus interactions that promote infection. In the gastrointestinal tract, enteric viruses encounter the gut microbiota and select microbes facilitate infection. (A) Microbes in the small intestine can directly bind to viruses via histo-blood group antigens and deliver multiple viruses to the host epithelium. (B) Microbes can also degrade mucin glycans, which normally act as decoys to prevent viral adhesion to cell surface glycans, thereby promoting viral access to epithelial cells. (C) Microbes and their cell surface components, particularly lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and peptidoglycan, can increase the thermostability of enteric viruses. In addition to stability, bacterial polysaccharides can enhance viral engagement of cellular receptors, leading to increased infectivity. (D) Finally, intestinal microbes can dampen host immune signals designed to target viruses, such as interferons (IFN-λ, γ, β) and IgA production. Through these direct and indirect interactions, certain gut microbes can enhance viral infection.

2. Rotavirus (Family: Reoviridae, Genus: Rotavirus)

Rotavirus is a double-stranded, non-enveloped RNA virus that infects small intestinal epithelial cells. Currently, rotavirus is a leading cause of diarrheal diseases in children, with an estimated 258 million cases and 128,500 deaths per year [13]. To define the role of the gut microbiota in rotavirus infection, Uchiyama et al. treated adult C57BL/6 mice with the antibiotics ampicillin and neomycin, which reduced intestinal bacterial loads by 99% [8]. Infection of these microbiota-depleted mice with mouse EC rotavirus resulted in a 1-day delay in the appearance of fecal rotavirus antigen, a ~40% decrease in total rotavirus shedding by ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay), and a 10-fold reduction in rotavirus genomes by RT-qPCR (reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction) [8]. Analysis of the ratio of positive sense to negative sense rotavirus strands, which reflects the extent of active rotavirus replication, revealed no differences in control or antibiotic treated mice, indicating that rotavirus replication was not affected by the microbiota. These findings suggest that microbiota ablation reduced viral entry rather than rotavirus replication. Consistent with these findings, germ-free C57BL/6 mice also exhibited a 1-day delay in initial appearance of infection [8]. In neonatal C57BL/6 mice, antibiotic treatment also resulted in lower daily rates of diarrhea and a ~34% reduction in total diarrhea incidence [8]. These findings indicate that gut microbiota influences rotavirus infectivity.

Rotavirus attaches to host cells via specific glycans [14–16], particularly those terminated with sialic acid and galactose residues [17]. The structure of these cell surface glycans is mirrored in mucins, which are secreted by goblet cells and overlay the intestinal epithelium. Similar to adhesion to cell surface glycans, rotavirus also adheres to mucin glycans [15,16,18,19]. As a result, mucus has been postulated to serve as a rotavirus decoy to prevent or delay attachment to host epithelial cells. In a neonatal Balb/c model, rhesus rotavirus infection correlated with mucin MUC2 expulsion from goblet cells 1-day post-
infection [9]. This finding was also observed by Boshuizen and colleagues, who found that EDIM rotavirus in BALB/c mice induced loss of mucin-filled goblet cells [20]. Using mucin-producing cell lines and human jejunal enteroids (also known as organoids), rhesus rotavirus infection was shown to directly stimulate mucus release [9]. Consistent with the potential for mucus to act as a decoy, the addition of purified germ-free Muc2 to rhesus rotavirus significantly delayed infection of MA104 cells compared with rotavirus alone [9]. Work by other groups has also identified that crude and purified intestinal mucus from suckling and adult mice can inhibit rhesus rotavirus infection of MA104 cells [21]. In this study, the removal of mucus sialic acid by neuraminidase suppressed the ability of mucin to prevent rotavirus infection of MA104 [21], highlighting the importance of glycan structures to bind rotavirus. Boshuizen et al. also found that mouse colonic mucus was also able to neutralize infection by the human Wa rotavirus in Caco-2 cells [20]. These studies suggest that mucus possess molecular mimicry and can prevent virus–cell attachment.

Analysis of the gut microbiota in neonatal BALB/c mice during rhesus rotavirus infection revealed a time-dependent increase in ileal Bacteroides (phylum Bacteroidetes) and Akkermansia (phylum Verrucomicrobia) and a decrease in Lactobacillus (phylum Firmicutes) [9]. Bacteroides and Akkermansia species are known for their mucin-degrading activities and could potentially participate in rotavirus infection during the acute phase. Other groups have shown large-scale changes in Bacteroides in response to rotavirus infection. In neonatal gnotobiotic pigs, rotavirus infection correlated with increased Bacteroides levels [22,23]. Increased Bacteroides were observed in children with rotavirus-induced gastroenteritis compared with healthy control children [24]. In another study, Zhang et al. identified three Bacteroides operational taxonomic units that could discriminate healthy children from rotavirus-infected children [25]. In addition to Bacteroides, Akkermansia has also been associated with rotavirus. Shi et al. demonstrated that an intestinal microbiota possessing high levels of Akkermansia supported mouse EC rotavirus infection in C57BL/6 mice [26]. In adults, Akkermansia levels were found to correlate with increased susceptibility to rotavirus infection [27]. The potential for mucin-degrading microbes to influence rotavirus infection was recently demonstrated by Engevik et al. [9]. The authors found that pre-incubation of Muc2 with Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron or Akkermansia muciniphila, which degraded mucin glycan, reduced the ability of mucin to prevent rotavirus infection of MA104 cells [9]. These findings indicate that mucin-degrading microbes may modify mucin glycan structures and sialic acid content, thereby circumventing its decoy function and promoting access of rotavirus to the underlying epithelial cells. Dietary nutrients in the intestine are speculated to decrease during rotavirus infection owing to diarrhea and reduced dietary intake [28], and in the absence of luminal nutrients, mucin-degrading microbes may bloom in response to rotavirus-stimulated mucus release. Thus, mucin-degrading microbes may inadvertently enhance rotavirus infection. Based on these studies, we speculate that the release of mucus from goblet cells is a short-term defense mechanism of the host against the virus, but once the goblet cells are depleted, rotavirus can gain access to the host. The release of mucus also promotes mucin-degrading microbes, which likely then degrade any subsequently released mucus, thereby providing rotavirus with an additional advantage.

In addition to indirect changes in mucin composition, intestinal microbes may also directly influence rotavirus infection. Many enteric viruses can bind to bacterial surface polysaccharides [1] and adhesion of viruses to Gram-negative bacteria has been proposed as a mechanism to promote attachment to target cells. Rotavirus can bind to Gram-negative E. coli Nissile [29], and it is possible that rotavirus may bind to other intestinal microbes. As a result, intestinal bacteria could deliver rotavirus to intestinal cells, thereby directly promoting infection.

The immune system is also considered to be integral to rotavirus infection. Rotavirus initiates a robust adaptive immune response that resolves the infection and provides lasting protection [30]. This infection-induced immunity is the basis of the rotavirus vaccine and correlates with fecal immunoglobulin A (IgA) and serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) [30,31].
Uchiyama et al. demonstrated that antibiotic treatment (ampicillin and neomycin) of adult C57BL/6J mice for 1 week prior to inoculation and up to 11 weeks after inoculation enhanced levels of rotavirus-specific antibodies 9 weeks after inoculation, particularly serum and fecal IgA [8]. In neonatal mice, the authors also found that antibiotic treatment enhanced serum anti-rotavirus IgA production [8]. In addition to IgG and IgA, rotavirus also elicits interferon (IFN) responses, which are powerful antiviral effectors [32–34]. Murine rotavirus infection robustly activates type I IFN from immune cells in the intestine [35]. In separate studies, administration of antibiotics (ampicillin, gentamicin, metronidazole, neomycin, and vancomycin) to adult C57BL/6 mice impaired immune cell type I IFN responses to lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) infection [36]. It has been speculated that type I IFNs responses are mediated through plasmacytoid dendritic cells, which are substantially depleted in the gut in the absence of the microbiota [37]. Although no studies have linked the gut microbiota in IFN responses to rotavirus, we speculate that the microbiome may also be responsible for dampening these signalling cascades in the setting of rotavirus infection. These studies suggest that the microbiota dampens systemic and mucosal immune responses to rotavirus infection.

3. Norovirus (Family: Caliciviridae, Genus: Norovirus)

Norovirus is a positive stranded RNA virus that has become infamous for causing outbreaks of gastroenteritis in nursing homes and cruise ships [38]. Human noroviruses (HuNoVs) cause the majority of global gastroenteritis outbreaks and are a leading cause of severe childhood diarrhea [39,40]. In developing countries, HuNoVs are estimated to cause over 1 million clinic visits and 200,000 deaths in children each year [41]. Jones et al. identified that enteric microbes promote norovirus infection of B cells [3]. Using HuNov (GII.4-Sydney)-positive stool samples, the authors found that, in the presence of microbes, HuNov had enhanced infection in the BJAB human B cell line. However, genome replication was not observed when the stool sample was ultraviolet (UV)-inactivated or sterile filtered. Jones et al. also found that GII.4-Sydney HuNoV-positive stool was able to infect colon HT29 cells cultured with BJAB B cells [3]. These studies demonstrate that intestinal microbes promote norovirus infection of B cells. Interestingly, bacteria are not required for HuNoV GII.3 and GII.4 strains to infect human intestinal enteroid (HIE) cultures, also known as organoids [42,43]. Treatment of stool filtrates with polymyxin B, which reduces LPS levels, did not promote replication of HuNoV in HIEs [43]. These data suggest that bacteria are necessary for HuNoV to infect B cells, but not the intestinal epithelium.

To examine the role of the gut microbiota in norovirus infection in vivo, Baldridge et al. treated adult C57BL/6J mice for 2 weeks with broad-spectrum oral antibiotics (vancomycin, neomycin, ampicillin, and metronidazole), and then infected mice with murine norovirus (MNoV) CR6 [2], a strain that causes a persistent infection in mice. Antibiotics prevented persistent norovirus infection as measured by fecal viral shedding and decreased the levels of virus in intestinal tissues. Norovirus could still replicate in cultured cells, indicating that norovirus had decreased cell access or entry and not defects in replication [2]. To confirm the importance of bacteria in norovirus infection, Baldridge et al. performed an additional experiment where mice were treated with antibiotics, but the antibiotics were replaced with drinking water 3 days prior to norovirus infection to allow for drug clearance. In this setting, mice still exhibited an altered decreased microbiota, but antibiotics could not directly interact with MNoV CR6 strain [2]. Mice were still resistant to MNoV CR6 infection in this experiment, indicating that the gut microbiota, not direct antibiotic interaction, were responsible for the observed phenotype. Finally, the authors performed fecal transplantation from control C57BL/6 mice to replace the intestinal microbiota [2]. In antibiotic-treated mice who received untreated (control) feces, MNoV CR6 was able to persistently infect mice and establish infection in intestinal tissues. As a control, a separate cohort of mice received fecal transplants from antibiotic-treated mice. As expected, fecal transplants from antibiotic-treated mice did not restore MNoV CR6 infection [2].
In another study, C57BL/6 mice were pretreated with antibiotics (ampicillin, neomycin, metronidazole, and vancomycin) or PBS (phosphate buffered saline), and infected with $10^5$ median tissue culture infectious dose (TCID50) units MNoV MNV-1 [44]. Analysis along the length of the gastrointestinal tract revealed that antibiotic treatment increased the viral load in the proximal portion of the small intestine, but had no effect on the mid-section of the small intestine. Interestingly, antibiotic treated mice had lower viral titers in the distal portion of the small intestine and colon [44], indicating regional specific microbiota effects on infection. Consistent with the results of antibiotic-treated mice, germ-free mice also exhibited a 25-fold increase in MNV-1 titers in the proximal small intestine, no changes in mid small intestine, and a 10-fold decrease in MNV-1 titers in the distal small intestine compared with conventional (complete microbiota) mice [44]. When susceptible adult type I IFN receptor-deficient (Ifnar$^{-/-}$) C57BL/6 mice were pretreated with antibiotics or PBS, and infected with MNV-1, antibiotic-treated mice were equally susceptible to MNV-1 infection as PBS-treated mice and no statistical difference was observed in MNV-1 titers along the length of the gastrointestinal tract [44]. These data indicate that type I IFN is required for the antibiotic-mediated effects on MNV-1 infection. Interestingly, the same antibiotic regimen had a minimal effect on conventional mice with a persistent strain MNV-3 [44], indicating virus strain-specific differences in the role of the intestinal microbiota on persistent MNV infection.

In addition to mouse models, the role of commensal microbes in HuNoV infection has recently been demonstrated in a gnotobiotic pig model [45]. In the past, HuNoV infections have not been successful in conventional pigs, presumably owing to the porcine gut microbiota. To address the unique contributions of the human microbiota that facilitate HuNoV infection, Lei et al. transplanted infant feces into germ-free pigs, generating human gut microbiota gnotobiotic animals [45]. Compared with germ-free pigs, HuNoV GII.4/2006b inoculation of human gut microbiota pigs resulted in increased HuNoV shedding, as denoted by higher shedding titers on post inoculation day (PID) 3, 4, 6, 8, and 9; a significantly longer duration of virus shedding; and higher virus titers in the duodenum and ileum [45]. Microbiota analysis by 16S rRNA sequencing also identified that HuNoV infection dramatically altered intestinal microbiota in human gut microbiota pigs. Increased Bacteroidetes (Bacteroides) and decreased Proteobacteria (Enterobacteriaceae) and Firmicutes (Clostridium, Ruminococcus, Anaerococcus, Enterococcus) levels were observed in HuNoV infected pigs compared with non-infected pigs. Similar to rotavirus, norovirus has also been shown to bind to porcine gastric mucin and competitively inhibits norovirus binding to histo-blood group antigens and Caco-2 cells [46–48]. Although it has not been directly examined, it is possible that mucin degradation by Bacteroides may also promote norovirus infection.

Changes in the gut microbiota have also been observed in HuNoV-infected patients. In general, ‘healthy’ gut microbes such as Bifidobacterium spp. (Actinobacteria) and Lactobacillus spp. (Firmicutes) are decreased in children with HuNoV diarrhea as compared with healthy controls [49,50]. In another study, Chen et al. observed increased Proteobacteria in HuNoV infected children compared with healthy controls [24]. Microbiome analysis of asymptomatic and asymptomatic HuNoV-infected patients by 16S rRNA sequencing revealed enrichment in Clostridia (Firmicutes) and depletion of Bacteroidia (Bacteroidetes) in symptomatic individuals compared with asymptomatic individuals [51]. These studies point to alterations in the gut microbiota in response to HuNoV.

Immune cell signaling, particularly IFN-λ signaling, is known to play a key role in controlling persistent MNoV infection [52]. Antibiotic treatment of Ifnλr1$^{-/-}$ mice (lacking IFN-λ receptor 1), Stat1$^{-/-}$ mice (lacking a key transcription factor that conveys IFN signals), and Irf3$^{-/-}$ mice (lacking the transcription factor that induces IFN expression) had no effect on the persistence of MNoV CR6 infection [2]. Another intriguing interaction between the gut microbiota, MNoV, and the immune system involves IgA production. Turula et al. found that Pigr$^{-/-}$ (polymeric immunoglobulin receptor) null mice, which lack intestinal IgA, have decreased MNV-1 infectivity compared with WT mice [53]. In a complementary experiment, no difference in susceptibility to MNoV was observed in
germ-free mice, indicating a role for the gut microbiota in IgA-enhancement of MNoV [53]. Pigr−/− mice were found to have an altered gut microbiota relative to WT mice, which induced higher levels of IFN-γ and iNOS, thereby controlling MNoV infection [53]. These findings suggest that IgA maintains a normal gut microbiota structure, which decreases IFN-γ and iNOS levels and thus creates a permissive environment for NoV infection. These data suggest that gut microbes limit the efficacy of IFN-λ-dependent innate immunity that indirectly contributes to norovirus infection.

Norovirus also binds to host cells via specific glycans [3,12,54,55]. Several groups have now identified that human norovirus can bind to enteric bacteria that harbor similar glycans (histo-blood group antigens). Almand et al. found that human norovirus strains (GII.4 New Orleans 2009 and Sydney 2012, GII.6) adhered to Klebsiella spp., Citrobacter spp., Bacillus spp., Enterococcus faecium, Hafnia alvei, Staphylococcus aureus, and Enterobacter cloacae by microscopy [56]. Binding was observed on outer cell surfaces and pili structures of microbes. Jones et al. identified that Enterobacter cloacae, which expresses H type histo-blood group antigens, could bind the GL4-Sydney HuNoV strain [3]. Li et al. found that HuNoV virus-like particles NoV VLPs GL1 and GII4 bound to E. coli LMG8223, which had high expression of type A histo-blood group antigen and E. coli LFMFP861, which had high expression of type B histo-blood group antigen [54]. With a similar histo-blood group antigen focus, Miura et al. isolated an enteric bacterium strain (SENG-6), closely related to Enterobacter cloacae, bearing histo-blood group antigen-like structures. This Enterobacter sp. SENG-6 bacteria was found to bind human NoV virus-like particles of a GL1 wild-type strain (8fIIa) and a GII.6 strain, but not an NoVLP GL1 mutant strain (W375A) that has lost the ability to bind to A antigen. It has been hypothesized that adhesion of norovirus to bacteria may enhance access of the virus to the host epithelium or transmit through the M cells and delivery to immune cells, such as B cells. It has also been speculated that adhesion to microbes may also facilitate retention of norovirus in the intestine and counteract the movement of particles via peristalsis [57].

Another component of the intestinal milieu that can affect viral infection is bile acids. Bile acids are produced in the liver, concentrated in the gallbladder, and released into the intestinal lumen in response to food as primary bile acids [58]. The gut microbiota then chemically modifies the bile acids to secondary bile acids, such as deoxycholic acid and lithocholic acid. Grau et al. identified that antibiotic administration reduced the overall levels of unconjugated primary bile acids and all secondary bile acids in the proximal small intestine compared with PBS-treated mice [44], suggesting that bile acids biotransformed by commensal bacteria participate in MNV infection. Bile acids have been found to engage the viral capsid of MNoV with its proteinaceous receptor CD300lf in vitro [59]. Bile acids have also been shown to directly bind the HuNoV capsid [58] and supplementation of bile, specifically the non-proteinaceous component, to human intestinal enteroids (HIEs) significantly increases HuNoV replication [43,60]. In the epithelium, bile acids appear to modify the host rather than the virus. Bile acids also facilitates HuNoV GII.2 interactions with diverse histo-blood group antigens [61]. As a result, microbial modulation of bile acids can serve as a cofactor for HuNoV infection.

A final mechanism by which the gut microbiota can influence norovirus infection is through the modulation of cell fate. Intestinal stem cells are highly influenced by microbial metabolites and these signals can influence the number and function of epithelial cell types [62–65]. Antibiotic treatment of mice, which reduces the number of microbes and metabolites, also reduces the number of colonic tuft cells, thereby reducing the number of cells available for persistent MNoV CR6 infection [66]. The role of tuft cells in MNoV infection was confirmed by supplementing antibiotic-treated mice with recombinant IL-4 or IL-25, which artificially increased tuft cell numbers and the CD300lf receptor and restored infection [66]. In hematopoietic cells, LPS stimulation has been shown to increase CD300lf receptor levels [67]. Thus, bacterial LPS may also be influencing the level of viral receptor in the intestine. Together, these studies indicate that intestinal microbes directly and indirectly enhance norovirus infection.
4. Poliovirus (Family: *Picornaviridae*, Genus: *Poliovirus*)

Poliovirus is a single-stranded, non-enveloped RNA virus in the Picornaviridae family. Poliovirus is responsible for the infectious disease polio [68]. In the early 20th century, polio outbreaks increased in frequency and size, disabling more than 35,000 people each year [69]. Although the number of worldwide polio cases has fallen in the 21st century, the virus remains in circulation in two countries: Afghanistan and Pakistan [69,70]. Poliovirus undergoes a primary replication cycle in the gastrointestinal tract. In rare cases, poliovirus disseminates from the gut, spreads through the bloodstream, and infects the central nervous system. In the central nervous system, poliovirus replicates in neurons and causes cell death, a phenomenon known as paralytic poliomyelitis. Poliomyelitis with irreversible paralysis only occurs in 1/200 infants infected with poliovirus and, among those paralyzed, only 5–10% die when their breathing muscles become immobilized [69,70].

To investigate the effects of the intestinal microbiota on poliovirus infection, Kuss et al. used a susceptible mouse model (C57BL/6 PVRtg-Ifnar1−/−), which is transgenic for the human poliovirus receptor (PVR) and immunodeficient (lacking the interferon-α/β receptor gene) [6]. PVRtg-Ifnar1−/− mice were treated with antibiotics (ampicillin, neomycin, metronidazole, and vancomycin) prior to oral inoculation with type 1 Mahoney poliovirus. Antibiotic treatment reduced mortality, viral titers, and viral replication compared with untreated mice. To confirm the importance of the microbiota, the authors also reintroduced microbes to the antibiotic-treated mice by fecal transplant. Fecal transplants enhanced poliovirus disease, suggesting that microbiota promote poliovirus pathogenesis.

To determine if diminished poliovirus replication and disease in antibiotic-treated mice was due to microbiota depletion rather than direct effects of antibiotic treatment, Kuss et al. also examined the infectivity and viability of poliovirus in response to bacteria [6]. First, the authors orally inoculated untreated, antibiotic-treated, or germ-free mice with poliovirus and harvested luminal contents from the lower small intestine at two hours post-infection to examine the infectivity of isolated poliovirus. Poliovirus isolated from untreated mice was found to be twice as infective as antibiotic-treated and germ-free intestinal virus, as determined by mouse embryonic fibroblasts titers and HeLa cell titers [6]. Moreover, incubation of poliovirus with untreated mouse stool increased poliovirus viability, as quantified by plaque assay. These findings were replicated with Gram-negative (*Escherichia coli*, *Ochrobactrum intermedium*) or Gram-positive (*Bacillus cereus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*) bacteria, which also significantly increased viability. Exposure to *B. cereus* was particularly potent, resulting in increased poliovirus cell adherence and infectivity over 500%. Interestingly, enhancement of poliovirus infectivity did not require live bacteria. Kuss et al. went on to identify that bacterial surface polysaccharides including lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and peptidoglycan specifically promoted poliovirus infectivity of HeLa cells [6].

Erickson et al. went on to screen 40 bacterial strains, representing both Gram-negative and Gram-positive microbes, for poliovirus binding and found that nearly all bacteria could bind the virus [1]. High levels of adhesion were observed between poliovirus and *Lactobacillus johnsonii*, *Lactobacillus murinus*, *Bacteroides acidifaciens*, *Lactococcus garvieae*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Enterococcus durans*, *Ochrobactrum intermedium*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Clostridium symbiosum*. In these adhesion assays, multiple virions bound to the surface of each bacterium [1], implicating that multiple viruses can be delivered simultaneously. Using a high-throughput flow cytometry-based assay with polioviruses encoding DsRed or GFP (green fluorescent protein) to quantify infection of HeLa cells, Erickson et al. found 32% (13/40) of the bacterial strains increased poliovirus infectivity [1]. Infection appeared to correlate with the ability of bacteria to adhere to host cells. Interestingly, exposure to select microbes also enhanced poliovirus genetic recombination. This was elegantly demonstrated using mutant polioviruses sensitive or resistant to either a specific drug (guanidine hydrochloride) or temperature (39.5 °C) [1]. Finally, the authors found that select bacteria could facilitate the co-infection of multiple parental viruses, with up to six different parental viruses observed in a single plaque [1].
Work from the same group further examined the thermal inactivation of poliovirus in the presence of glycans [7]. The authors found that exposure to GlcNAc-containing polysaccharides, including the bacterial surface polysaccharides LPS and peptidoglycan, enhanced the stability of type 1 Mahoney poliovirus [7]. Detoxified LPS lacking lipid A was also able to enhance poliovirus stability, suggesting that the polysaccharide component of LPS was sufficient for stabilization [7]. Interestingly, chitin, a long polysaccharide that also contains GlcNAc found on yeast/fungi, stabilized poliovirus. Based on lack of stabilization of poliovirus with a six-unit GlcNAc oligosaccharide (chitohexaose), monomeric GlcNAc, deacetylated chitin (chitosan), and a GlcNAcontaining polysaccharide with sulfate groups (heparan), the authors concluded that viral stabilization required an acetylated GlcNAc-containing polysaccharide longer than six units [7]. In addition to providing stability, bacterial polysaccharides including LPS also enhance poliovirus cell attachment to HeLa cells by increasing binding to the viral receptor, PVR [7]. By enhancing stability and cell attachment of poliovirus, enteric microbes are likely enhancing viral infectivity in the gastrointestinal tract.

5. Reovirus (Family: Reoviridae, Genus: Orthoreovirus)

Reovirus (nonfusogenic mammalian orthoreovirus) is a non-enveloped, segmented double stranded RNA virus of the Reoviridae family [71]. Reoviruses commonly infect children, but infection seldom results in disease [72–74]. Reovirus infection is known to influence the intestinal immune system and infection can affect tolerance to dietary antigens such as gluten [75]. Similar to other enteric pathogens, reovirus attaches to host glycans and infects the small intestinal epithelium. It can also be transported by intestinal M cells to underlying Peyer’s patches [76–78]. Berger et al. explored the interaction of microbes with reovirus [71], demonstrating that reovirus prototypical strains Type 1 Lang (T1L) and Type 3 Dearing (T3D) directly interacted with Gram-positive Bacillus subtilis and Gram-negative E. coli and enhanced infection of HeLa cells [71]. Enhanced virion thermostability and infection was conveyed by bacterial envelope components LPS and peptidoglycan [71]. These data suggest that enteric microbes, particularly their polysaccharide components, enhance reovirus infection by enhancing the thermal stability of the reovirus particle.

The role of the gut microbiota in reovirus infection was also demonstrated by Kuss et al. [6]. The authors infected untreated or antibiotic-treated (ampicillin, neomycin, metronidazole, and vancomycin) C57BL/6 PVRtg-Ifnar1−/−mice with reovirus T3SA+ [6]. Mice infected with reovirus T3SA+ exhibited yellow oily stool, increased Peyer’s patch diameter, and high viral titers, indications of disease. In contrast, antibiotic-treated mice had stool that appeared normal, no change in Peyer’s patch diameter, and decreased viral titers. These findings suggest that intestinal microbes promote reovirus disease.

6. Astrovirus (Family: Astroviridae, Genus: Astrovirus)

Astroviruses are single-stranded positive, non-enveloped RNA viruses from the Astroviridae family [79]. Astroviruses are responsible for an estimated 47.8 million infections worldwide and are considered a leading cause of pediatric diarrhea [80,81]. Similar to other enteric viral infections, astrovirus infections can range from subclinical manifestations to severe diarrhea [82]. Pérez-Rodriguez et al. recently investigated whether microbial components in the stool environment could affect human astrovirus (HAstV) stability and infectivity [83]. The authors heat-inactivated two different HAstV genotypes, HAstV-1 and HAstV-8, which resulted in ~75% loss of infectivity in human colon Caco-2 cells, as determined by immunofluorescence. Addition of filtered human stool samples enhanced heat-inactivated astrovirus infection of Caco-2 cells [83]. 16S rRNA gene sequencing revealed that the stool was dominated by Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes and, to a lesser extent, Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria. To further investigate the effect of fecal bacterial communities on HAstV infectivity, Pérez-Rodriguez et al. tested bacterial species isolated from human stools: Escherichia coli (Gram-negative) and Enterococcus faecalis (Gram-positive). Incubation of HAstVs with heat-inactivated bacteria strains preserved viral infectivity
when the bacteria were present at high concentrations \(2.5 \times 10^8\) CFU/mL \[83\]. The authors also identified that LPS (from *Escherichia coli* O111:B4) and peptidoglycan (from *Bacillus subtilis*) showed a dose-dependent enhancement of viral infectivity \[83\]. These data indicate that the presence of bacteria and bacterial surface molecules enhances HAstV persistence after mild thermal stress.

Recently, Cortez et al. identified that murine astrovirus (MuAstV) infects goblet cells in the small intestines of adult C57BL/6 mice \[84\]. Similar findings were observed by Kolawole et al., who found that HAstV VAI could infect goblet cells and sucrose isomaltose positive enterocytes in HIEs \[85\]. In mice, infection by astrovirus was also found to alter the gut microbiota \[86\]. Infection of MuAstV strain SJ002 in adult C57BL/6 mice \[87\] resulted in decreased microbial diversity and increased abundance of *Clostridium* cluster XVIII compared with the mock-infected animals \[86\]. Altered microbiota composition has also been noted in children, poultry, and bats with astrovirus infection \[88–91\].

To identify the contribution of the gut microbiota to astrovirus, Cortez and colleagues treated mice with broad-spectrum antibiotics (vancomycin, ampicillin, neomycin, and metronidazole) and found that antibiotic treatment significantly reduced virus infection and shedding \[84\]. Although the exact mechanisms have not been elucidated, murine astrovirus STL5 also induces IFN-\(\lambda\) \[92\]. HAstV VAI elicits IFN-mediated innate immune responses in HIEs \[85\]. It is possible that, similar to norovirus, the microbiota may indirectly interfere with IFN-\(\lambda\) production and thus promote infection. An alternative hypothesis is that the microbiota provides signals that induce mucus secretion \[63,93\] and mucus may be necessary for the transmission of astrovirus. Despite the gaps in knowledge, it is clear that the microbiota contributes to the pathogenesis of astrovirus and likely contributes through direct and indirect mechanisms.

### 7. Conclusions

This review highlights common mechanisms by which certain microbes enhance infection. Rotavirus, norovirus, poliovirus, and reovirus can directly bind to bacteria, which can facilitate delivery to epithelial cells. In the case of poliovirus and norovirus, multiple virions can attach to a single bacterium, which may promote co-infection of different parental viruses in the same cell. Another common feature among the highlighted enteric viruses is the ability to bind to specific host glycans, structures that are mirrored in mucins. In the setting of norovirus and rotavirus, studies indicate that mucin glycans can serve as a potential decoy for viral attachment to the host and, in rotavirus infection, mucin-degrading microbes promote infection by cleaving these decoy structures. For astrovirus, the preference for mucin glycans is apparent by the fact that astrovirus infects mucin-secreting goblet cells. Adhesion of viruses to bacteria also stabilizes the virus and enhances infectivity. This has been elegantly demonstrated for poliovirus, reovirus, and astrovirus. Finally, the microbiota appears to dampen epithelial and immune signals, creating a permissive environment for infection. Although not all mechanisms have been demonstrated for all enteric viruses, we predict that bacterial adhesion, mucin-degradation, enhancing viral stability, and dampening the immune system are common pathways by which microbes augment viral infection.

Although we cover studies where the gut microbiota supports infection, there are also several studies that indicate that select members of the gut microbiota can inhibit enteric viruses. For example, *segmented filamentous bacteria* (SFB) impedes rotavirus infection via induction of IL-17 and IL-22 and by increasing epithelial cell turnover \[26\]. Additionally, *Bifidobacteria* and *Lactobacilli* have been found to modulate epithelial and immune signaling and decrease rotavirus infection in various models \[29,94–100\]. For norovirus infection, *Bacillus* spp. were found to produce poly-\(\gamma\)-glutamic acid (\(\gamma\)-PGA), which acts as a non-canonical TLR4 ligand to induce IFN-\(\beta\), thereby inhibiting MNoV MNV-1 infection \[101\]. Another study identified that retinoic acid (Vitamin A) administration increased *Lactobacillus* spp., which induced cytokines such as IFN-\(\beta\) and IFN-\(\gamma\), which in turn inhibited MNoV MNV-1 infection \[102\]. As proof of concept, retinoic acid administration to Mexican
children in a randomized, placebo-controlled trial demonstrated that retinoic acid reduced the prevalence of HuNoV GII infections and decreased the prevalence of HuNoV-associated diarrhea [103]. These are just a few examples of how microbes can also inhibit viral infection. These studies support recent findings that microbial composition plays a large role in the infectivity, persistence, and duration of viral infections. Inter-personal variations in the intestinal microbiota help explain why some individuals develop more severe infections.

These studies beg the question of whether antibiotics should be used for the clinical treatment of enteric viral infections or as an adjuvant to vaccines. Unfortunately, early life antibiotic exposure has been shown to incite long-lasting microbiota perturbation [104–106]. As a healthy gut microbiota influences many attributes of human health, we do not recommend antibiotics for viral infections. As an antibiotic alternative, we believe that the gut microbiota can be positively manipulated to protect the host from prolonged infection. We speculate that delivery of a defined bacterial cocktail, designed to stimulate the immune system and shift the microbiota composition, could diminish enteric infection. Probiotic microbes such as Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli coupled with prebiotics to promote their growth may be a viable treatment strategy as well. However, care must be taken in selecting Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli species, as species effects have been documented. Diet has been shown to shift microbial composition within 24 h [107], and this approach may also provide benefits for enteric viruses. Finally, supplementation of compounds that mirror host glycans may provide a valuable resource for resolving viral infection. Addition of human milk oligosaccharides (HMOs), which mirror host glycan structures and support Bifidobacteria growth [108–110], serve as a decoy for rotavirus and norovirus [111–114], and enhance the intestinal epithelial barrier [115–118], may provide a benefit for viral infections. We believe these strategies merit further investigation.

A potential caveat to the existing work is that many studies use stool samples as a global assessment of the gut microbiota. Work by Engevik et al. [9] and Grau et al. [44] identified site-specific changes in the gut microbiota in response to rotavirus and norovirus infection. These studies suggest that analysis of the microbial composition of fecal or colon samples does not reflect the bacteria present in the small intestine, where viral infection occurs. Additionally, other studies have highlighted key differences between the luminal and mucosa-associated microbiota [119,120], considerations that should be taken into account when examining the microbiota changes in response to viral infection. This information should prompt more analysis of the microbiota in different regions of the small intestine (duodenum, jejunum, and ileum). Small intestinal microbes identified in these regions could then be selected for adhesion, viral stability, and host delivery properties. The findings presented herein emphasize the importance of considering trans-kingdom interactions in the pathogenesis of infectious diseases. Future research focused on microbiota–viral interactions will undoubtedly unveil new mechanisms and new opportunities for therapeutic interventions for viral diseases.
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