Structure of the complete bacterial SRP Alu domain
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ABSTRACT

The Alu domain of the signal recognition particle (SRP) arrests protein biosynthesis by competition with elongation factor binding on the ribosome. The mammalian Alu domain is a protein–RNA complex, while prokaryotic Alu domains are protein-free with significant extensions of the RNA. Here we report the crystal structure of the complete Alu domain of *Bacillus subtilis* SRP RNA at 2.5 Å resolution. The bacterial Alu RNA reveals a compact fold, which is stabilized by prokaryote-specific extensions and interactions. In this ‘closed’ conformation, the 5′ and 3′ regions are clamped together by the additional helix 1, the connecting 3-way junction and a novel minor groove interaction, which we term the ‘minor-saddle motif’ (MSM). The 5′ region includes an extended loop–loop pseudoknot made of five consecutive Watson–Crick base pairs. Homology modeling with the human Alu domain in context of the ribosome shows that an additional lobe in the pseudoknot approaches the large subunit, while the absence of protein results in the detachment from the small subunit. Our findings provide the structural basis for purely RNA-driven elongation arrest in prokaryotes, and give insights into the structural adaption of SRP RNA during evolution.

INTRODUCTION

The signal recognition particle (SRP) plays an essential role in co-translational targeting of newly synthesized membrane proteins (1, 2). SRP is a ribonucleoprotein complex conserved in all three kingdoms of life with a high diversity regarding composition and complexity (3). Eukaryotic SRP contains six proteins assembled on a 7SL RNA (4) and can be divided into two functional domains. While the S domain recognizes SRP targets through their N-terminal signal sequences as soon as they emerge from the ribosomal tunnel exit, the Alu domain imposes an elongation arrest by blocking the elongation factor entry site (5–8). By retarding translation, SRP prevents membrane proteins from being prematurely released from the ribosome before the ribosome-nascent chain complex (RNC) has correctly engaged with the translocation channel at the endoplasmic reticulum membrane (1, 9). The Alu domain of higher eukaryotes is composed of the 5′ and 3′ regions of SRP RNA and the two Alu RNA-specific proteins SRP9/14 (Figure 1A). The proteins stabilize the complex tertiary structure of the Alu RNA and contribute to ribosome binding (10–12). In a cryo-EM structure of mammalian SRP bound to the RNC, the SRP9/14 proteins were shown to interact with the small ribosomal subunit, while the Alu RNA establishes a contact with the large ribosomal subunit (12, 13). The structure of the Alu RNA is instructive also for understanding of the retrotransposable, repetitive *Alu* elements, which comprise more than 10% of the primate genome and are derived from the 7SL RNA (14–18). Despite their abundance, the precise roles of *Alu* elements are still poorly understood, and their function in gene regulation or as templates for the production of new exons is just emerging (18, 19).

In most prokaryotes, the SRP RNA (long SRP RNA) also contains an Alu domain, and the Alu RNA has significant extensions compared to higher eukaryotes (3, 20). However, homologs of the SRP9/14 proteins have not been found in the genomes of archaea or bacteria so far (21). In case of *Bacillus subtilis*, the DNA-binding protein HU1, which belongs to the family of histone-like proteins, has been suggested to be part of the bacterial Alu domain (22, 23). Due to the pleiotropic roles in nucleic acid binding and genome maintenance described for HU1 and homologous proteins, specific Alu RNA association or function in SRP could not be established (24–27). SRP RNA in Gram-negative bacteria (4.5S RNA) does not contain an Alu domain (except in Thermotogae) whose presence in prokaryotes does not precisely correlate with criteria such as endospore formation or the presence of a cell wall. In protists like the ancient *Trypanosoma* parasites, SRP contains two RNA molecules, a 7SL RNA with a truncated 5′ region of the Alu domain and a tRNA-like molecule (28), while Alu domain binding proteins are absent (29). In order to provide the structural basis for the prokaryotic Alu RNA and the general blueprint of the complete Alu RNA fold, we determined the crystal structure of the complete *B. subtilis* Alu domain.
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Figure 1. The bacterial SRP Alu domain. (A) Schematic representation of the mammalian, archaeal and bacterial SRP. ‘Long SRP RNA’ refers to the presence of a 6S RNA in many gram-positive bacteria, in contrast to the short 4.5S RNA in most gram-negative bacteria. Mammalian and archaeal SRP share a 7S RNA. The Alu RNA is highlighted in blue. (B) Constructs of the *Bacillus subtilis* Alu domain RNA. The S domain is replaced by a tetraloop at the end of helix 5 (gray). (C) Size-exclusion chromatography coupled with MALS of unfolded (black) and folded (red) Alu107 RNA. The lines correspond to the UV signal and the dots to the molar mass distribution at the respective peak. (D) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay demonstrating the homogeneous folding of Alu107 RNA and comparison with deletion construct Alu87 (shortened helix 5).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cloning

DNA coding for Alu domain sequences (GenBank: D11417.1) fused to an upstream T7 promoter were obtained by annealing overlapping oligonucleotides followed by filling the overhangs using *Taq* polymerase. DNA coding for a hammerhead (HH) ribozyme as used previously (30) at the 3’ end of the constructs was obtained by annealing complementary oligonucleotides. Sequences coding for Alu87 (nucleotides 3 to 71 and 258 to 271) and Alu107 (nucleotides 3 to 81 and 248 to 271) were cloned into pUC19 via EcoRI/XbaI and are followed by the HH ribozyme sequence that was cloned via XbaI/HindIII yielding pUC19-Alu87-HH and pUC19-Alu107-HH. pUC19-Alu103-HH (nucleotides 3 to 79 and 250 to 271) and pUC19-Alu99-HH (nucleotides 3 to 77 and 252 to 271) were obtained by site-directed mutagenesis using pUC19-sc107-HH as a template. In all constructs, the SRP S domain was replaced by a closing GUAA tetraloop.

RNA synthesis and purification

SRP Alu RNA was produced by *in vitro* transcription as described earlier (30) using T7 polymerase and HindIII-linearized plasmid DNA. The Alu RNA was purified by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis as described (30) and desalted in water using a PD-10 desalting column (GE Healthcare). For crystallization, the RNA was concentrated to 10–30 mg/ml.

Crystallization

The RNA was diluted in folding buffer containing 20-mM Tris pH 8.0, 10-mM MgCl2, 10-mM KCl, 200-mM NaCl and 8% (v/v) glycerol to a concentration of 3–10 mg/ml, heated to 65°C and then slow-cooled to 20°C. Prior to setting up crystallization experiments, the RNA was centrifuged.

Crystallization was performed by sitting drop vapor diffusion, by robotized mixing 150 nl of RNA with 150 nl of mother liquor and incubating at 18°C. Crystals of Alu103 (space group C2221) grew within 1–3 days from 50-mM sodium cacodylate pH 6.5, 10-mM sodium acetate pH 4.6, 20-mM MgCl2, 120-mM sodium thiocyanate and 1.3 M LiSO4 or 50-mM sodium cacodylate pH 6, 20-mM MgCl2, 350-mM sodium formate, 1.3 M LiSO4. Crystals of Alu107 RNA (space group P212121) grew from 50-mM sodium cacodylate pH 6.5, 200-mM ammonium acetate, 10-mM magnesium acetate, 30% (w/v) PEG8000 and 2.5% (v/v) jeffamine-M600 within one week.

Derivative crystals used for phasing were prepared by soaking the crystals directly in the crystallization drop (300 nl) to which either 1.5 µl of mother liquor and 200 nl of 100-mM cobalt (III) hexamine chloride solution (10 mM final concentration) or 1.5 µl of mother liquor and 200 nl of 5 M cesium chloride solution (500 mM final concentration) were added followed by incubation for 1–2 h. Another cobalt (III) hexamine derivative, which was not used for phasing, was prepared by transferring a C2221 crystal into 50-mM sodium cacodylate pH 6, 10-mM magnesium acetate, 1.3-M
Table 1. Data collection, phasing and refinement statistics

|                      | Alu103 (Cesium derivative) | Alu107 (Co[NH₃]₆ derivative) | Alu107 (Co[NH₃]₆ derivative) |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| **Data collection**  |                           |                              |                              |
| Space group          | C₂₂₂₁                      | P₂₁₂₁₂₁₂₁                   | C₂₂₂₁                        |
| Cell dimensions      | a, b, c (Å)                | 180.1, 194.1, 83.4           | 176.3, 194.3, 83.0           |
| α, β, γ (°)          | 90, 90, 90                 | 90, 90, 90                   | 90, 90, 90                   |
| **Wavelength (Å)**   | 2.0664                     | 1.60489                      | 1.03321                      |
| **Resolution (Å)**   | 57.4–3.4 (3.6–3.4)         | 49.9–2.86 (3.0–2.86)         | 46.1–2.49 (2.59–2.49)        |
| R_pim (%)           | 2.3 (50.6)                 | 6.8 (33.5)                   | 5.6 (50.9)                   |
| I / σI              | 18.7 (1.4)                 | 12.9 (2.6)                   | 12.0 (2.0)                   |
| Completeness (%)     | 99.8 (98.8)                | 100.0 (100.0)                | 99.9 (100.0)                 |
| Redundancy           | 36.0 (34.5)                | 15.6 (15.9)                  | 10.5 (10.8)                  |
| **Phasing**          | FOM before DM             | 0.26                         | 0.75                         |
| **Refinement**       |                            |                              |                              |
| Resolution (Å)       | 2.49                       | 3.1                          | 3.1                          |
| No. reflections      | 12953                      | 20025                        | 26252                        |
| R_work / R_free (%)  | 18.0/20.9                  | 19.1/20.8                    | 19.1/20.8                    |
| Luzzati error (Å)    | 0.46                       | 0.52                         | 0.52                         |
| No. atoms            | 2302                       | 4434                         | 20025                        |
| RNA                  | 120                        | 162                          | 26252                        |
| Ligand/ion           | 34                         | 4                            | 3                            |
| Water                | 41.65                      | 89.37                        | 108.32                       |
| B-factors (Å²)       | 69.64                      | 108.32                       | 80.9                         |
| RNA                  | 35.11                      | 80.9                         | 80.9                         |
| Bond lengths (Å)     | 0.002                      | 0.002                        | 0.002                        |
| Bond angles (°)      | 0.587                      | 0.524                        | 0.524                        |

Each structure was determined from one crystal. Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell. FOM: figure of merit; DM: density modification.

a The multiplicity-weighted R_pim is calculated for all I+ & I−.

b Error in coordinates by Luzzati plot (calculated by sfcheck (43) of the CCP4 suite).

Data collection and processing

Datasets of the cobalt (III) hexamine derivative crystal with space group P₂₁₂₁₂₁ were collected at beamline ID23-1 of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) at the peak (1.60489 Å), inflection point (1.60549 Å) and high remote wavelength (1.03321 Å). The dataset of the cesium derivative crystal was collected at beamline ID29 of the ESRF at a wavelength of 2.0664 Å, which is close to the peak wavelength of the cesium absorption edge. Data of the cobalt (III) hexamine derivative in space group C₂₂₂₁ was collected at beamline ID23-2 of the ESRF at a wavelength of 0.8726 Å. All data were integrated using XDS (32) and scaled using AIMLESS (33,34). Initial phases could be obtained for the cesium derivative crystal, which contained two molecules in the asymmetric unit, using single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) phasing in Phenix.autosol (35,36). An initial model was then used in MR-SAD to calculate phases for the cobalt (III) hexamine derivative crystal belonging to space group P₂₁₂₁₂₁ and containing one molecule in the asymmetric unit by using Phenix.phaser (37) and Phenix.autosol. The structure of the cobalt (III) hexamine derivative crystal with space group C₂₂₂₁ was solved by MR using Molrep (38) and the refined model of the P₂₁₂₁₂₁ crystal structure as search model.

Refinement

The structure of the cobalt (III) hexamine derivative crystal with space group P₂₁₂₁₂₁ was refined against anomalous data (remote dataset) using Phenix.refine (39) and iterative model building in COOT (40). In addition, experimental phases of the peak dataset were included in early refinement steps. Before the final refinement steps, the model was automatically rebuilt with ERRASER (41). In late refinement steps, the X-ray/stereochemistry and the X-ray/atomic displacement parameter weights were optimized and the refinement strategy additionally included refinement of translation-libration-screw groups (calculated with phenix.refine). Cobalt and magnesium ions were essentially placed according to their locations determined by

lithium acetate pH 6, 100-mM cobalt (III) hexamine acetate followed by incubation for 2 h at room temperature. Cobalt (III) hexamine acetate was prepared essentially as described (31). Crystals were cryo-protected by dipping into mother liquor supplemented with 15% to 25% (v/v) glycerol and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen.
log-likelihood gradient map completion in Phenix.phaser. The structure of the cobalt (III) hexamine derivative crystal with space group C2221 was refined against anomalous data as described above. Ions were placed manually. The model quality was analyzed using MolProbity (42) and SFCHECK (43). Interaction interfaces were calculated with PISA (44). Base pair types were annotated with RNAview (45,46). Hydrogen bonds were annotated manually and with the help of DSSR of the 3DNA package (47,48). Helix parameters were obtained using the Curves+ web server (49). Structural figures were prepared using PyMol (50).

Sequence alignments

Sequence alignments were performed using LocARNA (51) integrated in the Freiburg RNA tools web server (52). For alignments, secondary structure predictions obtained from the SRPDB (21) were used in combination with manually defined constraints. The secondary structure prediction for B. subtilis SRP RNA was updated with the information obtained from the crystal structure.
Native gel electrophoresis

The RNA was either diluted in water or in folding buffer and, in the latter case, folded as described above. Two micrograms of RNA were loaded to an 8% magnesium acetate-polyacrylamide gel and the gel was run in 25-mM Tris, 7.5-mM MgOAc, pH 7.5 at 4°C first at 50 V for 15 min until the samples had completely entered the gel and then at 150 V for 1.5–2 h. After electrophoresis, bands were visualized by staining with methylene blue.

SEC-MALS experiments

Unfolded and folded RNA samples were obtained as described above and subjected to size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) using a Superdex™ 75 10/300 GL column equilibrated in a buffer containing 20-mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 200-mM NaCl, 10-mM KCl, 10-mM MgCl₂. SEC was coupled to a MALS (miniDAWN Tristar, Wyatt Technologies) and refractive index detector (RI-71, Shodex) allowing determination of the absolute molar mass. For calculation of the molar mass, a dn/dc value of 0.170 was used.

RESULTS

Crystallization and structure determination of the B. subtilis Alu domain

A number of B. subtilis Alu domain variants were generated by replacing the S domain with a GUAA tetraloop and tested in folding trials. The variant comprising the complete Alu domain (Alu107) folded into a homogeneous species after annealing in buffered salt solution as judged from a significantly smaller hydrodynamic volume in size exclusion chromatography coupled with multi-angle light scattering (MALS) and a faster migration behavior in gel electrophoresis (Figure 1B–D). Alu107 as well as two variants with helix 5 shortened by two (Alu103) or four (Alu99) base pairs readily crystallized in several conditions. An initial native dataset for Alu103 could be collected to 3.5 Å resolu-
tion, however attempts to solve the structure by molecular replacement in combination with the human Alu RNA 5′ region (PDB code 1E8O) failed. Therefore, crystals were soaked with various heavy metal ions including cobalt (III) hexamine, europium, samarium, and cesium and several single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) and multi-wavelength anomalous dispersion (MAD) datasets were collected. An interpretable electron density map could be calculated from a highly redundant SAD dataset collected from a cesium derivative of Alu103 at 3.5 Å resolution. The initial model was used as a search model in MR-SAD to obtain the phases for a MAD dataset collected from a cobalt (III) hexamine-soaked Alu107 crystal that diffracted to the substantially higher resolution of 2.5 Å. As Alu103 crystallized in a different space group, its structure was also built at a final resolution of 3.1 Å. The two structures could be refined to an excellent quality with $R_{work}$/$R_{free}$ (%) of 18.0/20.9 (Alu107) and 19.1/20.8 (Alu103) (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S1). In our folding trials of Alu domain variants we observed a strong dependence on magnesium ions. Magnesium could be substituted by the trivalent cobalt hexamine, which exerts the same effects at ~10-fold lower concentration (Supplementary Figure S2). The metal ions stabilize the tertiary fold mainly by bridging adjacent helices or loops underlining their crucial role in folding the Alu RNA.

**B. subtilis** Alu domain adopts a compact fold

The **B. subtilis** Alu domain consists of five RNA helices with helices 1, 2 and 4 being continuously stacked (Figure 2A and B). Helices 5 and 3 are connected via two 3-way junctions with helices 1 and 2 (junction IIIA) and helices 2 and 4 (IIIB), respectively. Junction IIIA is specific to prokaryotes due to the absence of helix 1 in eukaryotic SRP RNA and can be assigned to the family A of 3-way junctions, so far only described for rRNA (53). As in case of the human Alu domain, junction IIIB belongs to the more widespread family C of 3-way junctions, but does not contain a U-turn motif (see below). The closing loops of helices 3 and 4 interact in an extended loop–loop pseudoknot forming an additional helix (t1) of five consecutive Watson–Crick base pairs. The structure comprises both the 5′ and 3′ regions that adopt a compact ‘closed’ conformation with the 5′ region folded back onto the 3′ region. This closed conformation is established by perpendicular packing of helix 3 on helix 5 via minor groove interactions. In case of the human Alu domain, the complete structure is not available, as this

---

**Figure 4.** Prokaryote specific extensions. (A) The junction IIIA connecting helices 1, 2 and 5. Nucleotides within the junctions (J) are colored accordingly. The stabilizing elements are indicated: four-base platform (boxed in red), cross-strand stacking (black dashes), ribose-zipper (zig-zag). (B) The central four-base platform at the 3-way junction IIIB between helices 2 and 5. Coloring as in (A). (C) Close-up of helix t1 formed by the loop–loop pseudoknot interaction of loops L3 and L4. (D) The two A-minor motifs (type I) of A43 and A53 important for L4.1 and L4.2 lobe formation. (E) Two ribose-zipper motifs and additional non-base pair interactions shaping loop L4. (F) The U-turn motif in loop L3 generating a strong bending of the RNA backbone. Figure 4C and E are colored in a rainbow for clarity, other color codes are as in Figure 2B.
conformation could not be crystallized. It was however predicted based on biochemical data and inferred from crystal packing (10,54,55) (Figure 2C and D). In general, the 5′ region consists of helices 2 to 4 and the 3′ region of helix 5. The additional helix 1 including the 5′ and 3′ termini is specific to bacterial and archaeal Alu domains (Supplementary Figure S3A). In our 3.1 Å structure solved in a different space group, helix 1 is tilted due to different crystal packing at the 5′, 3′ end revealing some plasticity of the fold (Supplementary Figure S1C). However, in B. subtilis Alu RNA is the first complete structure of an Alu domain and provides the basis to understand the function and evolution of Alu domains in general.

The Alu domain core is conserved

Comparison of bacterial and human Alu domain structures shows a common core formed by helices 2 to 5 (Figure 2C and D), and sequence alignment of representative Alu domains of all three kingdoms of life confirms that these regions form a common framework (Supplementary Figure S3A and S3B). The second 3-way junction IIIB (also denoted as τ-junction (10)) is conserved in all Alu domains and forms the hub of the core connecting helices 2, 3 and 4 (Figure 2D). The most highly conserved part of the Alu domain core is defined by the ‘UGU’ sequence (U34-G35-U36), which is part of the connecting loop between helices 3 and 4 (Figure 2B and D), and even has been retained in SRP RNA of certain fungi that lack the two helices. In the human Alu domain, the ‘UGU’ sequence constitutes the central binding site for the SRP9/14 proteins and has been characterized by a U-turn motif (Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure S3C). However, in B. subtilis the ‘UGU’ sequence does not form a U-turn and bending of the loop is achieved by intra-strand base pairing of G35 and A37 forming a sheared non-Watson–Crick base pair (Figure 2E and Supplementary Figure S3B). The bridging U36 is the only bulged-out base compared to four unpaired bases in the human Alu domain (Figure 2F). Compared to eukaryotes and archaea, most bacteria lack one nucleotide that is inserted between the two U-turn forming nucleotides and therefore also the U-turn (Supplementary Figure S3A and S3B). In summary, the Alu domain folds into a compact structure with a conserved core. Although the ‘UGU’ sequence is present in all kingdoms of life, its structure is not strictly conserved. Archaeal SRP lacks the SRP9/14 homologs, however, the insertion is retained and therefore probably also the U-turn.

The minor-saddle motif

One major determinant of the closed conformation of the Alu RNA is the RNA–RNA tertiary contact (interface of 485 Å2) between helices 3 and 5 connecting the 5′ and 3′ regions (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S4). This contact is conserved between bacterial, mammalian and probably also archaeal SRP. Four non-Watson–Crick base pairs in helix 5 (C73/C256, G74/A255, A75/G254 and G77/U252) remodel the minor groove geometry to a flat saddle-like appearance (Figure 3A–C and Supplementary Figure S4A) establishing a unique interaction surface with the perpendicular oriented minor groove of helix 3 (in the
work between bases, riboses and phosphates (Supplementary Figure S4B–G). Ribose-zippers on both sides and exclusive non-Watson–Crick base pairing with two diametrical opposed G-U wobble pairs form the outer rim of the saddle. While Alu107 migrates faster and nearly as a single species in native gel electrophoresis after annealing, only a small portion of a variant with helix 5 shortened beyond the contact interface (Alu87) shows this migration behavior after folding under the same conditions. As most of Alu87 migrates at the same velocity before and after annealing, the MSM seems to be essential for proper folding of the Alu domain (Figure 1D). A ‘similar’ minor groove interaction can be found between helices H2 and H25 of 23S rRNA (Figure 3F and Supplementary Figure S4H and I) (36). However, in this case, the helix geometry is largely maintained and not twisted, thus only allowing for an interaction in which the minor grooves are offset with respect to each other. In summary, the occurrence of a series of conserved non-Watson–Crick base pairs and cross-strand purine stacks results in a shape complementarity of two opposing minor grooves and the formation of a saddle-like RNA–RNA interface, here denoted as the MSM. This conserved interface seems to be formed in all kingdoms of life when the 5′ and 3′ regions are locked in place.

**B. subtilis** Alu RNA is stabilized by prokaryote-specific extensions

For the human Alu domain, it has been shown that the 5′ region is flexible with respect to the 3′ region via a hinge between helices 2 and 5 and that SRP9/14 are required to induce and stabilize the closed conformation (10,11). In contrast, our structure shows that the bacterial Alu domain adopts the closed conformation in the absence of proteins. The folding into the closed conformation in the absence of proteins can thus be explained by the presence of stabilizing elements within the Alu RNA of the prokaryotic SRP. First, the coaxial stacking of helix 1 onto helix 2 reduces the flexibility between the 5′ and 3′ regions. Second, the stabilizing function of helix 1 is supported by tertiary interactions between helices 2 and 5 that lock the 3-way junction IIIA in a fixed position including cross-strand stacking, a ribose/ribose zipper between A13 and C67, and a central four-base platform (Figure 4A). The platform involves two trans Hoogsteen/Watson–Crick base pairs A12/G62 and A64/U263 in helices 2 and 5, respectively, that are connected by a trans Watson–Crick base pair between the two adenes (Figure 4B). Helix 1 and the four-base platform are absent in eukaryotic SRP RNA (Figure 2D and Supplementary Figure S3A), thus allowing for a high degree of flexibility between the 5′ and 3′ regions in the absence of SRP9/14.

The most striking structural feature of the bacterial Alu domain is the extended loop–loop pseudoknot tertiary interaction (t1) between the closing loops of helices 3 and 4 (Figure 4C; see also Figure 2). While in the human Alu domain the interaction involves three Watson–Crick base pairs, in *B. subtilis* five continuous base pairs are formed. The closing loop of helix 4 contains three additional nucleotides and folds into two lobes (L4.1 and L4.2) compared to a single one corresponding to L4.2 in the hu-
man case. The lobes are tightened to the tip of helix 4 by combined A-minor (type I)/ribose-zipper motifs (Figure 4D and E), which are absent in the human Alu domain. The shape of the closing loop of helix 3 (L3) is largely conserved when compared to the human Alu domain, but its relative position is shifted to accommodate the altered shape of the L4 loop. As in the human Alu domain, a U-turn motif forms the tip of the L3 loop by generating a strong orthogonal bending of the RNA backbone (Figure 4F). The sequence comparison of these loops from B. subtilis with Methanococcus jannaschii (Supplementary Figure S3A) predicts an almost identical conformation for the archaeal Alu domain. Thus, the extended loop–loop pseudoknot seems to be preserved in prokaryotic Alu RNAs. Taken together, the bacterial Alu RNA folds into its native conformation independent of protein due to in-built stabilizing elements that are absent in eukaryotic Alu RNAs. The absence of SRP9/14 homologs in the genomes of bacteria and archaea correlates with the stable fold of the Alu RNA as shown here for B. subtilis.

**The Alu RNA structure resembles tRNA**

The Alu domain has been shown to impose elongation arrest by blocking the elongation factor entry site at the ribosome (12). Superposition of the complete B. subtilis Alu domain with the tRNA/EF-Tu complex (57) based on the loop–loop pseudoknot interaction shows that the 5′ domain including helix 1 resembles a tRNA structure (Figure 5A–C). Transfer RNA resemblance is of broad biological and evolutionary importance as highlighted for disease-related viral tRNA-like RNAs (58,59). Specifically, helix 4 corresponds to the D-stem, helix 3 to the ΨC-stem and helices 1 and 2 to the anticodon stem, although helix 1 is longer with respect to the canonical anticodon stem in mature tRNA (nine compared to five base pairs). In contrast, the acceptor stem is absent in the Alu RNA and shortcut by the ‘UGU-loop’ connecting helices 3 and 4. In addition to the structural similarity, the MSM coincides with the minor groove interaction of the tRNA ΨC-stem with EF-Tu domain III, and although the chemistry is different, hydrogen-bonding patterns are strikingly similar (Figure 5D–F).

The structural similarity mirrors the overlapping binding sites in the interface of the ribosomal subunits. The binding mode of the mammalian Alu domain has been determined by the cryo-EM structure of the complex between SRP and a stalled RNC (12). The superposition of the bacterial Alu domain on the conserved mammalian Alu domain core bound to the ribosome (Figure 6A) and the comparison with tRNA/EF-Tu ribosome-binding (Figure 6B) suggests two prokaryotic adaptations. First, the absence of the SRP9/14 heterodimer results in the detachment of prokaryotic SRP from the small ribosomal subunit. Second, the loss seems to be counterweighted by an additional RNA–RNA contact of the additional 4.1 lobe with either the so-called stalk base (H43, H44 and L11p) or the α-sarcin-ricin loop of the large subunit. In summary, the 5′ domain of the Alu RNA shares significant structural similarities with tRNAs, and in ribosome interaction, the absence of protein in prokaryotes seems compensated by Alu RNA extensions.

**DISCUSSION**

The biology, structure and evolution of the SRP Alu domain are still poorly understood. Our crystal structure of the complete B. subtilis Alu domain shows how conserved, prokaryote-specific extensions of the Alu RNA stabilize its structure, making protein components dispensable. The extensions comprise helix 1, the 3-way junction IIIA including the four-base platform, and the lobe 4.1, which stabilizes the extended loop–loop pseudoknot. There is a striking similarity of the common Alu domain core to the canonical tRNA structure. It is suggestive, that during evolution of the SRP RNA, a tRNA gene might have been internalized into primordial SRP, thus creating the 5′ region of the Alu RNA. Although several short interspersed elements (SINEs) could be related to tRNA sequences (60), no sequence homology between Alu RNA and tRNA has been found so far. However, as this event might have occurred early in evolution only important features of the tertiary structure might have remained conserved. Interestingly, in several protozoa such as trypanosomes, a tRNA-like RNA has been found to be associated with a significantly reduced Alu domain supporting a potential general relationship of the Alu domain with tRNA (28). The structural resemblance is clearly indicative for the functional correlation (Figure 6C), as shown for the mammalian Alu domain imposing ‘el长效on arrest’ of translation (12), however, the biological implications still need to be addressed in detail for all prokaryotic systems.

The structural resemblance with tRNA goes even beyond the RNA itself and also concerns the interaction with the elongation factor. Within the tRNA/EF-Tu complex, the minor groove of the ΨC-stem is accommodated by domain III, an interaction perfectly mirrored by the MSM. The MSM reveals an unprecedented way of achieving shape and charge complementarity between the minor grooves of two perpendicularly aligned RNA helices. It creates a flattened surface within one helix (here helix 5) involving several non-Watson–Crick base pairs and a central block of cross-strand purine stacks (Supplementary Figure S5). This arrangement creates a twist within the phospho-ribose backbone, necessary for the establishment of ribose-zippers between the directly opposing strands. It remains to be seen, if this elegant solution is an Alu-specific invention or if it applies to other complicated RNA-folds.
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