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Abstract. Shanks’s infrastructure algorithm and Buchmann’s algorithm for computing class groups and unit groups of rings of integers of algebraic number fields are most naturally viewed as computations inside Arakelov class groups. In this paper we discuss the basic properties of Arakelov class groups and of the set of reduced Arakelov divisors. As an application we describe Buchmann’s algorithm in this context.

1. Introduction.

In his 1972 Boulder paper [26], Daniel Shanks observed that the quadratic forms in the principal cycle of reduced binary quadratic forms of positive discriminant exhibit a group-like behavior. This was a surprising phenomenon, because the principal cycle itself constitutes the trivial class of the class group. Shanks called this group-like structure ‘inside’ the neutral element of the class group the infrastructure. He exploited it by designing an efficient algorithm to compute regulators of real quadratic number fields. Eight years later, Hendrik Lenstra made Shanks’s observations more precise. He introduced a certain topological group and provided a satisfactory framework for Shanks’s algorithm [17, 25]. Both Shanks [27, sect.1] and [32, sect.4.4] and Lenstra [17, sect.15] indicated that the infrastructure ideas could be generalized to arbitrary number fields. This was done first by H. Williams and his students [33] for complex cubic fields, then by J. Buchmann [2, 3, 4] and by Buchmann and Williams [6]. Finally in 1988, Buchmann [7, 8] described an algorithm for computing the class group and regulator of an arbitrary number field that, under reasonable assumptions, has a subexponential running time. It has been implemented in the LiDIA, MAGMA and PARI software packages [21, 22, 24].

In these expository notes we present a natural setting for the infrastructure phenomenon and for Buchmann’s algorithm. It is provided by Arakelov theory [28, 29, 31]. We show that Buchmann’s algorithm for computing the class number and regulator of a
number field $F$ has a natural description in terms of the Arakelov class group $\text{Pic}_F^0$ of $F$ and the set $\text{Red}_F$ of reduced Arakelov divisors. We show that Lenstra’s topological group is essentially equal to the Arakelov class group of a real quadratic field. We also introduce the oriented Arakelov class group $\tilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F$. This is a natural generalization of $\text{Pic}_F^0$, useful for analyzing Buchmann’s algorithm and for computing the units of the ring of integers $O_F$ themselves rather than just the regulator.

The main results of the paper are to be found in sections 7 and 12. Here we discuss the basic properties of reduced Arakelov divisors and Buchmann’s algorithm respectively.

In section 2 we introduce the Arakelov class group of a number field $F$. In section 3 we study the étale $\mathbf{R}$-algebra $F \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$. In section 4 we discuss the relations between Arakelov divisors, Hermitian line bundles and ideal lattices. In section 5 we define the oriented Arakelov class group and in section 6 we give both Arakelov class groups a natural translation invariant Riemannian structure. The rest of the notes is devoted to computational issues. Section 7 contains the main results. Here we introduce reduced Arakelov divisors and prove their basic properties. In section 8, we work out the details for quadratic number fields. In section 9 we present explicit examples illustrating various properties of reduced divisors. In section 10 we discuss the computational aspects of reduced Arakelov divisors. In section 11 we present a deterministic algorithm to compute the Arakelov class group. Finally, in section 12 we present Buchmann’s algorithm from the point of view of Arakelov theory. See [23] for the basic properties of algebraic number fields.

I thank the Clay Foundation for financial support during my stay at MSRI in the fall of 2000, Hendrik Lenstra and Sean Hallgren for several useful remarks and YoungJu Choie for inviting me to lecture on ‘infrastructure’ at KIAS in June 2001.

2. The Arakelov class group.

In this section we introduce the Arakelov class group of a number field $F$. This group is analogous to the degree zero subgroup of the Picard group of a complete algebraic curve. In order to have a good analogy with the geometric situation, we formally ‘complete’ the spectrum of the ring of integers $O_F$ by adjoining primes at infinity. An infinite prime of $F$ is a field homomorphism $\sigma : F \to \mathbf{C}$, considered up to complex conjugation. An infinite prime $\sigma$ is called real when $\sigma(F) \subset \mathbf{R}$ and complex otherwise. We let $r_1$ and $r_2$ denote the number of real and complex infinite primes, respectively. We have that $r_1 + 2r_2 = n$ where $n = [F : \mathbf{Q}]$.

An Arakelov divisor is a formal finite sum $D = \sum_p n_p p + \sum_\sigma x_\sigma \sigma$, where $p$ runs over the non-zero prime ideals of $O_F$ and $\sigma$ runs over the infinite primes of $F$. The coefficients $n_p$ are in $\mathbf{Z}$ but the $x_\sigma$ can be any number in $\mathbf{R}$. The Arakelov divisors form an additive group, the Arakelov divisor group $\text{Div}_F$. It is isomorphic to $\oplus_p \mathbf{Z} \times \oplus_\sigma \mathbf{R}$. The principal Arakelov divisor associated to an element $f \in F^*$ is the divisor $(f) = \sum_p n_p p + \sum_\sigma x_\sigma \sigma$ with $n_p = \text{ord}_p(f)$ and $x_\sigma(f) = -\log|\sigma(f)|$. The principal Arakelov divisors form a subgroup of $\text{Div}_F$.

Since it is analogous to the Picard group of an algebraic curve, the quotient of $\text{Div}_F$ by its subgroup of principal Arakelov divisors is denoted by $\text{Pic}_F$. A principal Arakelov divisor $(f)$ is trivial if and only if $f$ is a unit of $O_F$ all of whose conjugates have absolute value equal to 1. It follows that $(f)$ is trivial if and only if $f$ is contained in the group of
roots of unity $\mu_F$. Therefore there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mu_F \to F^* \to \text{Div}_F \to \text{Pic}_F \to 0.$$ 

We call $I = \prod p^{-n_p}$ the *ideal associated* to an Arakelov divisor $D = \sum p n_p + \sum x_\sigma \sigma$. The ideal associated to the zero Arakelov divisor is the ring of integers $O_F$. The ideal associated to a principal Arakelov divisor $(f)$ is the principal ideal $f^{-1}O_F$. Here and in the rest of the paper we often call fractional ideals simply ‘ideals’. If we want to emphasize that an ideal is integral, we call it an $O_F$-ideal.

The map that sends a divisor $D$ to its associated ideal $I$ is a homomorphism from $\text{Div}_F$ to the group of fractional ideals $\text{Id}_F$ of $F$. Its kernel is the group $\oplus_\sigma \mathbf{R}$ of divisors supported in the infinite primes. We have the following commutative diagram, the rows and columns of which are exact.

\[
\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & O_F^*/\mu_F & F^*/\mu_F & \text{Pid}_F & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & \oplus_\sigma \mathbf{R} & \text{Div}_F & \text{Id}_F & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & T & \text{Pic}_F & \text{Cl}_F & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

Here $\text{Pid}_F$ denotes the group of principal ideals of $F$. The map $F^*/\mu_F \to \text{Div}_F$ induces a homomorphism from $O_F^*/\mu_F$ to $\oplus_\sigma \mathbf{R}$. This homomorphism is given by $\varepsilon \mapsto (-\log|\sigma(\varepsilon)|)_\sigma$ and its cokernel is denoted by $T$.

The *norm* $N(p)$ of a non-zero prime ideal $p$ of $O_F$ is the order of its residue field $O_F/p$. The *degree* $\deg(p)$ of $p$ is defined as $\log(N(p))$. The degree of an infinite prime $\sigma$ is equal to 1 or 2 depending on whether $\sigma$ is real or complex. The degree extends by linearity to a surjective homomorphism $\deg : \text{Div}_F \to \mathbf{R}$. The *norm* $N(D)$ of a divisor $D$ is defined as $N(D) = e^{\deg(D)}$. The divisors of degree 0 form a subgroup $\text{Div}_F^0$ of $\text{Div}_F$. By the product formula, $\text{Div}_F^0$ contains the principal Arakelov divisors.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $F$ be a number field. The *Arakelov class group* $\text{Pic}_F^0$ of $F$ is the quotient of $\text{Div}_F^0$ by its subgroup of principal divisors.

The degree map $\deg : \text{Div}_F \to \mathbf{R}$ factors through $\text{Pic}_F$ and the Arakelov class group is the kernel of the induced homomorphism $\deg : \text{Pic}_F \to \mathbf{R}$. We let $(\oplus_\sigma \mathbf{R})^0$ denote the subgroup of divisors in $\oplus_\sigma \mathbf{R}$ that have degree zero and $T^0$ the cokernel of the homomorphism $O_F^* \to (\oplus_\sigma \mathbf{R})^0$. In other words, $T^0$ is the quotient of the vector space $\{(v_\sigma)_\sigma \in \oplus_\sigma \mathbf{R} : \sum \deg(\sigma)v_\sigma = 0\}$ by the group of vectors $\{(|\log|\sigma(\varepsilon)||)_\sigma : \varepsilon \in O_F^*\}$. By Dirichlet’s unit theorem it is a compact real torus.
Proposition 2.2. There is a natural exact sequence

\[ 0 \rightarrow T^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0_F \rightarrow \text{Cl}_F \rightarrow 0. \]

Proof. Since \( F \) has at least one infinite prime, the composite map \( \text{Div}^0_F \hookrightarrow \text{Div}_F \rightarrow \text{Id}_F \) is still surjective. The result now follows by replacing the groups \( \text{Div}_F, \text{Pic}_F, T \) and \( \oplus_{\sigma} R \) in the diagram above by their degree 0 subgroups.

The group \( T^0 \) is the connected component of the identity of the topological group \( \text{Pic}^0_F \). It follows that \( \text{Pic}^0_F \), being an extension of the finite class group by \( T^0 \) and \( \oplus_{\sigma} R \), is a compact real Lie group of dimension \( r_1 + r_2 - 1 \).

Definition 2.3. The natural homomorphism \( \text{Div}^0_F \rightarrow \text{Id}_F \) admits a section \( d : \text{Id}_F \rightarrow \text{Div}^0_F \).

It is given by \( d(I) = D \) where \( D = \sum p n_p p + \sum_{\sigma} x_{\sigma} \sigma \) is the Arakelov divisor for which we have \( I = \prod p^{-n_p} \) and \( x_{\sigma} = -\frac{1}{n} \log(N(I)) \) for every infinite prime \( \sigma \).

Proposition 2.4. Let \( \overline{d} : \text{Id}_F \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0_F \) denote the homomorphism that maps \( I \) to the class of the divisor \( d(I) \). Then the sequence

\[ 0 \rightarrow \{ f \in F^* : \text{all } |\sigma(f)| \text{ are equal} \}/\mu_F \rightarrow \text{Id}_F \overline{\rightarrow} \text{Pic}^0_F \]

is exact. Moreover, the image of \( \overline{d} \) is dense in \( \text{Pic}^0_F \).

This proposition is not used in the rest of the paper. We do not prove it, because it follows immediately from Proposition 6.4 below. The kernel of \( \overline{d} \) is not a very convenient group to work with. This is one of the reasons for introducing the oriented Arakelov divisors in section 5.

Finally we remark that there is a natural surjective homomorphism \( \mathbb{A}_F^* \rightarrow \text{Div}_F \) from the idèle group \( \mathbb{A}_F^* \) to the Arakelov divisor group. It follows that \( \text{Pic}_F \) is a quotient of the idèle class group. We do not make any use of this fact in the rest of the paper.

3. Etale \( R \)-algebras.

Let \( F \) be a number field of degree \( n \). In this section we study the \( R \)-algebra \( F_R = F \otimes Q R \).

For any infinite prime \( \sigma \) of \( F \), we write \( F_\sigma \) for \( R \) or \( C \) depending on whether \( \sigma \) is real or complex. The natural map \( F \rightarrow \prod F_\sigma \) that sends \( f \in F \) to the vector \((\sigma(f))_\sigma \), induces an isomorphism \( F_R = F \otimes Q R \cong \prod F_\sigma \) of \( R \)-algebras. Let \( u \mapsto \overline{u} \) denote the canonical conjugation of the étale algebra \( F_R \). In terms of the isomorphism \( F_R \cong \prod F_\sigma \), it is simply the morphism that maps a vector \( u = (u_\sigma)_\sigma \) to \( \overline{u} = (\overline{u}_\sigma)_\sigma \). In these terms it is also easy to describe the set of invariants of the canonical conjugation. It is the subalgebra \( \prod F_\sigma \) of \( \prod F_\sigma \).

For any \( u \in F_R \), we define the norm \( N(u) \) and trace \( \text{Tr}(u) \) of \( u \) as the determinant and trace respectively of the \( n \times n \)-matrix (with respect to any \( R \)-basis) of the \( R \)-linear map \( F_R \rightarrow F_R \) given by multiplication by \( u \). In terms of coordinates, we have for \( u = (u_\sigma)_\sigma \in \prod F_\sigma \) that \( \text{Tr}(u) = \sum \text{deg}(\sigma) \text{Re}(u_\sigma) \) while \( N(u) = \prod u_\sigma^{\text{deg}(\sigma)} \).
Being an étale $\mathbb{R}$-algebra, $F_{\mathbb{R}}$ admits a canonical Euclidean structure [13]. It is given by the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \text{Tr}(uv), \quad \text{for } u, v \in F_{\mathbb{R}}.$$ 

This scalar product has the ‘Hermitian’ property $\langle \lambda u, v \rangle = \langle u, \lambda v \rangle$ for $u, v, \lambda \in F_{\mathbb{R}}$. In terms of coordinates, we have for $u = (u_\sigma)_\sigma$ and $v = (v_\sigma)_\sigma$ in $F_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \prod_\sigma F_\sigma$ that

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{\sigma} \deg(\sigma) \text{Re}(u_\sigma \bar{v}_\sigma).$$

We write $\|u\| = \langle u, u \rangle^{1/2}$ for the length of $u \in F_{\mathbb{R}}$. For the element $1 \in F \subset F_{\mathbb{R}}$ we have that $\|1\| = \sqrt{n}$. For every $u \in F_{\mathbb{R}}$, all coordinates of the product $u\bar{u} \in \prod_\sigma F_\sigma$ are non-negative real numbers. We define $|u|$ to be the vector $|u| = (|u_\sigma|)_\sigma$ in the group $\prod_\sigma \mathbb{R}_+^* \subset F_{\mathbb{R}}^*$. Here we let $\mathbb{R}_+^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^*_+: x > 0\}$. We have $|u|^2 = u\bar{u}$. The map $u \mapsto |u|$ is a homomorphism. It is a section of the inclusion map $\prod_\sigma \mathbb{R}_+^* \subset F_{\mathbb{R}}^*$.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$. For every $u \in F_{\mathbb{R}}$ we have that

(i) $$N(u\bar{u})^{1/n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(u\bar{u});$$

(ii) $$|N(u)| \leq n^{-n/2}\|u\|^n.$$

In either case, equality holds if and only if $u$ is contained in the subalgebra $\mathbb{R}$ of $F_{\mathbb{R}}$.

**Proof.** Since all coordinates of $u\bar{u}$ are non-negative, part (i) is just the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. The second inequality follows from (i) and the fact that $N(\bar{u}) = N(u)$.

This proves the proposition.

**4. Hermitian line bundles and ideal lattices.**

In this section we introduce the Hermitian line bundles and ideal lattices associated to Arakelov divisors and study some of their properties.

Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$ and let $D = \sum_p n_pp + \sum_{\sigma} x_\sigma \sigma$ be an Arakelov divisor. By $I = \prod_p p^{-n_p}$ we denote the ideal associated to $D$ in section 2 and by $u$ the unit $(\exp(-x_\sigma))_\sigma \in \prod_\sigma \mathbb{R}_+^* \subset F_{\mathbb{R}}^*$. This leads to the following definition.

**Definition 4.1.** Let $F$ be a number field. A Hermitian line bundle is a pair $(I, u)$ where $I$ is a fractional $F$-ideal and $u$ a unit of the algebra $F_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \prod_\sigma F_\sigma$ all of whose coordinates are positive real numbers.

As we explained above, to every Arakelov divisor $D$ there corresponds a Hermitian line bundle $(I, u)$. This correspondence is bijective and we will often identify the two notions. The zero Arakelov divisor corresponds to the trivial bundle $(O_F, 1)$. A principal Arakelov
divisor \((f)\) corresponds to the Hermitian line bundle \((f^{-1}O_F, |f|)\) and the divisor \(d(I)\) associated to a fractional ideal \(I\) at the end of section 2, corresponds to the pair \((I, N(I)^{-1/n})\). Note that \(N(I)^{-1/n}\) is contained in the ‘diagonal’ subgroup \(\mathbb{R}_+^*\) of \(\prod\sigma \mathbb{R}_+^*\). It follows from the formulas for \(N(u)\) given in the previous section that the degree of an Arakelov divisor \(D = (I, u)\) is equal to \(-\log(|N(u)|N(I))\).

**Definition 4.2.** Let \(F\) be a number field. An ideal lattice of \(F\) is a projective rank 1 \(O_F\)-module \(L\) equipped with a real valued positive definite scalar product on \(L \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}\) satisfying \(\langle \lambda x, y \rangle = \langle x, \overline{\lambda} y \rangle\) for \(x, y \in L \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}\) and \(\lambda \in F_\mathbb{R}\). Two ideal lattices \(L, L'\) are called isometric if there is an \(O_F\)-isomorphism \(L \cong L'\) that is compatible with the scalar products on \(L \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}\) and \(L' \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}\).

Here \(\lambda \mapsto \overline{\lambda}\) is the canonical algebra involution of the étale \(\mathbb{R}\)-algebra \(F_\mathbb{R}\) introduced in section 3. Note that it need not preserve \(F\). Note also that \(L \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}\) has the structure of an \(F_\mathbb{R}\)-module. See [1, 13] for more on ideal lattices. There is a natural way to associate an ideal lattice to an Arakelov divisor \(D\). It is most naturally expressed in terms of the Hermitian line bundle \((I, u)\) associated to \(D\). The \(O_F\)-module \(I\) is projective and of rank 1. Multiplication by \(u\) gives an \(O_F\)-isomorphism with \(uI = \{ux : x \in I\} \subset F_\mathbb{R}\). The canonical scalar product on \(F_\mathbb{R}\) introduced in section 3 gives \(uI\) the structure of an ideal lattice. Alternatively, putting

\[ |f|_D = |uf|, \quad \text{for } f \in I, \]

we obtain a scalar product on \(I\) itself that we extend by linearity to \(I \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}\). In additive notation, if \(f \in I\) and \(u \in F_\mathbb{R}^*\) is equal to \(\exp((-x_\sigma)_\sigma)\), then \(uf\) is equal to the vector \((\sigma(f)e^{-x_\sigma})_\sigma \in F_\mathbb{R}\) and we have that \(\|f\|^2_D = \|uf\|^2 = \sum_\sigma \deg(\sigma)|\sigma(f)e^{-x_\sigma}|^2\) for \(f \in I\).

The ideal lattice corresponding to the zero Arakelov divisor, i.e. to the trivial bundle \((O_F, 1)\), is the the ring of integers \(O_F\) viewed as a subset of \(F \subset F_\mathbb{R}\) equipped with its canonical Euclidean structure. The covolume of this lattice is equal to \(\sqrt{|\Delta_F|}\), where \(\Delta_F\) denotes the discriminant of the number field \(F\). The covolume of the lattice associated to an arbitrary divisor \(D = (I, u)\) is equal to

\[ \text{covol}(D) = \sqrt{|\Delta_F|} N(I)|N(u)| = \sqrt{|\Delta_F|/N(D)} = \sqrt{|\Delta_F|}e^{-\deg(D)}. \]

For any ideal \(I\), the lattice associated to the Arakelov divisor \(d(I) = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})\) can be thought of as the lattice \(I \subset F \subset F_\mathbb{R}\) equipped with the canonical scalar product of \(F_\mathbb{R}\), but scaled with a factor \(N(I)^{-1/n}\) so that its covolume is equal to \(\sqrt{|\Delta_F|}\).

**Proposition 4.3.** Let \(F\) be a number field of discriminant \(\Delta_F\).

(i) The map that associates the ideal lattice \(uI\) to an Arakelov divisor \(D = (I, u)\), induces a bijection between the group \(\text{Pic}_F\) and the set of isometry classes of ideal lattices.

(ii) The same map induces a bijection between the group \(\text{Pic}_F^0\) and the set of isometry classes of ideal lattices of covolume \(\sqrt{|\Delta_F|}\).
Proposition 4.4. Let $D = (I, u)$ be an Arakelov divisor and let $D' = D + (g)$ for some $g \in F^*$. Then we have $D' = (g^{-1}I, u|g|)$ and multiplication by $g$ induces and isomorphism $g^{-1}I \cong I$ of $O_F$-modules. This map is also an isometry between the associated lattices since

$$
\|g^{-1}f\|_{D'} = \|u|g|g^{-1}f\| = \|uf\| = \|f\|_D, \quad \text{for all } f \in I \otimes Z R.
$$

Here we use the fact that $v = |g|g^{-1}$ satisfies $\sigma v = 1$ and that therefore $\|vh\| = \text{Tr}(vh\overline{v}h) = \text{Tr}(\overline{h}h) = \|h\|$ for all $h \in I \otimes Z R$. We conclude that the map that sends an Arakelov divisor to its associated ideal lattice induces a well defined map from $\text{Pic}_F$ to the set of isometry classes of ideal lattices. This map is injective. Indeed, if $D = (I, u)$ and $D' = (I', u')$ give rise to isometric lattices, then there exists $g \in F^*$ so that $I' = gI$ and $\|gf\|_{D'} = \|f\|_D$ for all $f \in I \otimes Z R$. This means that $\|u'gf\| = \|uf\|$ for all $f \in I \otimes Z R = F_R$. For any infinite prime $\sigma$, we let $e_\sigma \in F_R$ be the idempotent for which $\sigma(e_\sigma) = 1$ while $\sigma'(e_\sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma' \neq \sigma$. Substituting $f = e_\sigma$, we find that $|\sigma(g)u'_\sigma| = |u_\sigma|$ for every $\sigma$. It follows that $|g| = u/u'$, implying that $D' = D + (g)$ as required.

To see that the map is surjective, consider an ideal lattice $L$ with Hermitian scalar product $\langle \langle -,- \rangle \rangle$ on $L \otimes Z R = F_R$. We may assume that $L$ is actually an $O_F$-ideal. The idempotent elements $e_\sigma$ in $F_R \cong \prod_\sigma F_\sigma$ are invariant under the canonical involution. This implies that the $e_\sigma$ are pairwise orthogonal because $\langle \langle e_\sigma, e_\sigma' \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle e_\sigma^2, e_\sigma' \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle e_\sigma, e_\sigma e_\sigma' \rangle \rangle = 0$. Therefore the real numbers $u_\sigma = \langle \langle e_\sigma, e_\sigma \rangle \rangle^{1/2}$ determine the metric on $L \otimes Z R$. The Arakelov divisor $(L, u)$ with $u = (u_\sigma)_\sigma \in \prod_\sigma R^+_\sigma$ is then mapped to the isometry class of $L$.

This proves (i). Part (ii) follows immediately from this.

The following proposition is concerned with the lengths of the shortest non-zero vectors in the lattices associated to Arakelov divisors.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$ and let $D = (I, u)$ be an Arakelov divisor. Then

(i) for every non-zero $f$ in $I$ we have that

$$
\|f\|_D \geq \sqrt{n}e^{-\frac{1}{n}\deg(D)}.
$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if we have $D = (fO_F, \lambda|f|^{-1})$ for some $\lambda > 0$. In other words, if and only if $D$ is equal to the principal Arakelov divisor $-(f)$, scaled by a factor $\lambda > 0$;

(ii) there exists a non-zero $f \in I$ such that $|u_\sigma \sigma(f)| < (\frac{2}{\pi})^{r_2/n} \text{covol}(D)^{1/n}$ for every $\sigma$ and hence

$$
\|f\|_D \leq \sqrt{n} \cdot (\frac{2}{\pi})^{r_2/n} \text{covol}(D)^{1/n}.
$$

Here $r_2$ is the number of complex primes of $F$.

**Proof.** (i) Let $f \in I$. By Prop. 3.1 we have that $\|f\|^2_D = \|uf\|^2 \geq n|N(uf)|^{2/n}$. Since $|N(f)| \geq N(I)$ we find that

$$
\|f\|^2_D \geq n|N(u)N(I)|^{2/n} = ne^{-\frac{1}{n}\deg(D)}.
$$
The last inequality follows from the fact that $\deg(D) = -\log |N(u)N(I)|$. This proves the first statement. By Prop. 1.3 equality holds if and only if all $|u_\sigma\sigma(f)|$ are equal to some $\lambda > 0$ and if $I$ is the principal ideal generated by $f$. This implies that $D$ is of the form $(f^{-1}O_F, |f|^{-1}\lambda)$ as required.

To prove (ii) consider the set $V = \{(y_\sigma)_\sigma \in F_{\mathbb{R}} : |y_\sigma| \leq (\frac{2}{\pi})^{r_2/n} \text{covol}(D)^{1/n} \text{ for all } \sigma\}$. This is a bounded symmetric convex set of volume $2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2} (\frac{2}{\pi})^{r_2} \text{covol}(D) = 2^n \text{covol}(D)$. By Minkowski’s Convex Body Theorem there exists a non-zero element $f \in I$ for which $(u_\sigma\sigma(f))_\sigma \in uI \subset F_{\mathbb{R}}$ is in $V$. This implies (ii).

This proves the proposition.

We mention the following special case of the proposition.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let $D = (I, u)$ be an Arakelov divisor of degree 0. Then any non-zero $f \in I$ has the property that $\|f\|_D \geq \sqrt{n}$, with equality if and only if $D = -(f)$. On the other hand, there exists a non-zero $f \in I$ with $\|f\|_D \leq \sqrt{n}(\frac{2}{\pi})^{r_2/n} \sqrt{|\Delta_F|^{1/n}}$.

Part (i) of Prop. 4.4 says that the lattices associated to Arakelov divisors $D$ are rather ‘nice’. They are not very skew in the sense that they do not contain any non-zero vectors that are extremely short with respect to $\text{covol}(D)^{1/n}$. This property can be expressed by means of the Hermite constant $\gamma(D)$. The latter is defined as the square of the length of the shortest non-zero vector in the lattice associated to $D$ divided by $\text{covol}(D)^{2/n}$. The skewer the lattice, the smaller is its Hermite constant. The constant $\gamma(D)$ only depends on the class of $D$ in $\text{Pic}_F$.

**Corollary 4.6.** Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$ and let $D = (I, u)$ be an Arakelov divisor. Then

$$\frac{n}{|\Delta_F|^{1/n}} \leq \gamma(D) \leq n(\frac{2}{\pi})^{2r_2/n}.$$ 

The lower bound is attained if and only if $D$ is a principal divisor scaled by some $\lambda > 0$ as in Prop. 4.4 (i).

The function $h^0(D) = \log \left(\sum_{f \in I} \exp(-\pi\|f\|_D^2)\right)$ introduced in [31] and briefly discussed in section 10, is related to the Hermite constant $\gamma(D)$. Indeed, for most Arakelov divisors $D = (I, u)$ the shortest non-zero vectors in the associated lattice are equal to products of a root of unity by one fixed shortest vector. Moreover, for most $D$ the contributions of the zero vector and these vectors constitute the bulk of the infinite sum $\sum_{f \in I} \exp(-\pi\|f\|_D^2)$. Therefore, for most Arakelov divisors $D$ the quantity $(h^0(D) - 1)/w_F$ is close to $\exp(-\pi\gamma(D)\text{covol}(D)^{2/n})$. Here $w_F$ denotes the number of roots of unity in the field $F$. 
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5. The oriented Arakelov class group.

In this section we introduce the oriented Arakelov divisor group \( \tilde{\text{Pic}}_F \) associated to a number field \( F \).

In section 4 we have associated to an Arakelov divisor \( D \) a Hermitian line bundle \((I, u)\). Here \( I \) is an ideal and \( u \) is a unit in \( \mathbb{R}_+^* \). An oriented Hermitian line bundle is a pair \((I, u)\) where \( I \) is an ideal and \( u \) is an arbitrary unit in \( \mathbb{R}_+^* \). The corresponding oriented Arakelov divisors are formal sums \( \sum_p n_p p + \sum_{\sigma} x_{\sigma} \sigma \) with \( n_p \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( x_{\sigma} \in F_\sigma^* \).

They form a group \( \tilde{\text{Div}}_F \) and we have that \( \tilde{\text{Div}}_F \cong \text{Id}_F \times F_\mathbb{R}^* \cong \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{\sigma} F_\sigma^* \).

The principal oriented Arakelov divisor associated to \( f \in F^* \) is simply the oriented divisor corresponding to the oriented Hermitian bundle \((f^{-1}O_F, f)\), where the second coordinate \( f \) is viewed as an element of \( F_\mathbb{R}^* \). The cokernel of the injective homomorphism \( F^* \rightarrow \tilde{\text{Div}}_F \) is denoted by \( \tilde{\text{Pic}}_F \).

The commutative diagram below has exact rows and columns. The bottom row relates the groups \( \tilde{\text{Pic}}_F \) and \( \text{Pic}_F \) to one another.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \mu_F & \rightarrow & F^* & \rightarrow & F^*/\mu_F & \rightarrow & 0 \\
0 & \rightarrow & \prod_{\sigma} K_\sigma & \rightarrow & \tilde{\text{Div}}_F & \rightarrow & \text{Div}_F & \rightarrow & 0 \\
0 & \rightarrow & (\prod_{\sigma} K_\sigma)/\mu_F & \rightarrow & \tilde{\text{Pic}}_F & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}_F & \rightarrow & 0 \\
0 & & & & & & & & 0
\end{array}
\]

Here \( K_\sigma \) denotes the maximal compact subgroup of \( F_\sigma^* \). In other words \( K_\sigma = \{1, -1\} \) if \( \sigma \) is real, while \( K_\sigma = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^*: |z| = 1\} \) if \( \sigma \) is complex. Since \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \) and the groups \( K_\sigma \)
are compact, it follows from the exactness of the bottom row of the diagram that $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0$ is compact as well.

In order to see the topological structure of $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0$ better, we construct a second exact sequence. Let $F^*_\mathbb{R,conn}$ denote the connected component of $1 \in F^*_\mathbb{R}$. It is isomorphic to a product of copies of $\mathbb{R}^*_+$ for the real parts and $F^*_\sigma = \mathbb{C}^*$ for the complex ones. It is precisely the kernel of the homomorphism $\widetilde{\text{Div}}_F \longrightarrow \text{Id}_F \times \prod_{\sigma_{\text{real}}} \{\pm 1\}$, given by mapping $D = (I, u)$ to $(I, \text{sign}(u))$. Here sign$(u)$ denotes the vector $(\text{sign}(u_{\sigma}))_{\sigma_{\text{real}}}$.

**Definition 5.2.** By $\widetilde{T}$ we denote the quotient of the group $F^*_\mathbb{R,conn}$ by its subgroup $O_{F^*_+,+} = \{ \varepsilon \in O^*_F : \sigma(\varepsilon) > 0 \text{ for all real } \sigma \}$. Taking degree zero subgroups, we put $(F^*_\mathbb{R,conn})^0 = \{ u \in F^*_\mathbb{R,conn} : N(u) = 1 \}$ and $\widetilde{T}^0 = (F^*_\mathbb{R,conn})^0/O_{F^*_+,+}$.

The map $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0$ given by $v \mapsto (O_F, v)$ is a well defined homomorphism. So is the map $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F \longrightarrow \text{Cl}_{F,+}$ that sends the class of the divisor $(I, u)$ to the narrow ideal class of $gI$ where $g \in F^*$ is any element for which $\text{sign}(g) = \text{sign}(u)$. Here the *narrow* ideal class group $\text{Cl}_{F,+}$ is defined as the group of ideals modulo the principal ideals that are generated by $f \in F^*_+ = \{ f \in F^* : \sigma(f) > 0 \text{ for all real } \sigma \}$. It is a finite group.

The following proposition says that the groups $\widetilde{T}$ and $\widetilde{T}^0$ are the connected components of identity of $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F$ and $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0$ respectively. It provides an analogue to Proposition 2.2.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$.

(i) The natural sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{T} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F \longrightarrow \text{Cl}_{F,+} \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{T}^0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0 \longrightarrow \text{Cl}_{F,+} \longrightarrow 0$$

are exact.

(ii) The groups $\widetilde{T}$ and $\widetilde{T}^0$ are the connected components of identity of $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F$ and $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0$ respectively. The group $\widetilde{T}$ has dimension $n$ while $\widetilde{T}^0$ is a compact torus of dimension $n - 1$.

**Proof.** (i) Let $\widetilde{\text{Id}}_F$ denote the image of the map $F^* \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Div}}_F \longrightarrow \text{Id}_F \times \prod_{\sigma_{\text{real}}} \{\pm 1\}$. This leads to the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & O^*_{F,+} & \longrightarrow & F^* & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\text{Id}}_F & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & F^*_{\mathbb{R,conn}} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\text{Div}}_F & \longrightarrow & \text{Id}_F \times \prod_{\sigma_{\text{real}}} \{\pm 1\} & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$

The vertical maps in this diagram are all injective. An application of the snake lemma shows that the sequence of cokernels is exact. This is the first exact sequence of (i). Indeed, the kernel of the surjective homomorphism $\text{Id}_F \times \prod_{\sigma_{\text{real}}} \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \text{Cl}_{F,+}$ given by mapping a pair $(I, s)$ to the narrow ideal class of $gI$ where $g \in F^*$ is any element for which
sign(g) = s, is precisely equal to $\hat{\text{PID}}_F$. The second exact sequence is obtained by taking degree zero parts.

(ii) Since $\text{Cl}_{F,+}$ is finite and both groups $\tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{T}^0$ are connected, the first statement is clear. Since the Lie group $F_{\mathbb{R},\text{conn}}$ has dimension $n$, so do the groups $\tilde{T}$ and $\hat{\text{Pic}}_F$. It follows that the groups $\tilde{T}^0$ and $\hat{\text{Pic}}^0_F$ have dimension $n - 1$.

This proves the proposition.

Note that the classes of two extended Arakelov divisors $(I, u)$ and $(J, v)$ are on the same connected component of $\hat{\text{Pic}}^0_F$ if and only if $J = gI$ for some $g \in F^*$ for which $u_\sigma v_\sigma g(\sigma) > 0$ for each real $\sigma$.

**Definition 5.4.** An embedded ideal lattice is an ideal lattice $L$ together with an $O_F$-linear isometric embedding $L \hookrightarrow F_\mathbb{R}$.

To every oriented Arakelov divisor $D = (I, u)$ we associate the ideal lattice $uI$ together with the embedding $uI \subset F_\mathbb{R}$. Two embedded ideal lattices are called isometric if there is an isometry of ideal lattices that commutes with the embeddings. We have the following analogue of Proposition 4.3.

**Proposition 5.5.** Let $F$ be a number field of discriminant $\Delta_F$. Then

(i) the map that associates to an oriented Arakelov divisor $D = (I, u)$ its associated embedded ideal lattice, induces a bijection between the oriented Arakelov class group $\tilde{\text{Pic}}_F$ and the set of isometry classes of embedded ideal lattices;

(ii) the same map induces a bijection between $\tilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F$ and the set of isometry classes of embedded ideal lattices of covolume $\sqrt{|\Delta_F|}$.

**Proof.** If two oriented Arakelov divisors $D = (I, u)$ and $D' = (I', u')$ differ by a principal divisor $(f^{-1}O_F, f)$, then multiplication by $f$ induces an isometry between the embedded lattices $uI$ and $u'I'$. Therefore the map in (i) is well defined. If the embedded lattices $uI$ and $u'I'$ are isometric, then this isometry is given by multiplication by some $x \in F_\mathbb{R}^*$. Then $f = u^{-1}xu'$ is contained in $F^*$ and we have that $D - D' = (f^{-1}O_F, f)$. This shows that the map is injective. To see that the map is surjective, let $I$ be a fractional ideal and let $\iota : I \hookrightarrow F_\mathbb{R}$ be an $O_F$-linear embedding. Tensoring $I$ with $\mathbb{R}$, we obtain an $F_\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphism $F_\mathbb{R} \cong I \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F_\mathbb{R}$ which is necessarily multiplication by some $u \in F_\mathbb{R}^*$. Therefore $\iota(I) = uI$ and the oriented divisor $(I, u)$ maps to the embedded ideal lattice $\iota : I \hookrightarrow F_\mathbb{R}$.

This proves the proposition.

We will not use this in the rest of the paper, but note that there is a natural surjective homomorphism from the idèle group $\mathbb{A}^*_F$ to the oriented Arakelov divisor group $\hat{\text{Div}}_F$. It follows that the group $\hat{\text{Pic}}_F$ is a quotient of the idèle class group.
6. Metrics on Arakelov class groups.

Let $F$ be a number field. In this section we provide the Arakelov class groups $\text{Pic}_F$ and $\tilde{\text{Pic}}_F$ with translation invariant Riemannian structures.

By the diagram in section 2, the connected component $T$ of the group $\text{Pic}_F$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_\sigma \mathbb{R}$ modulo the closed discrete subgroup $\Lambda = \{ (\log | \sigma(\varepsilon) |) : \varepsilon \in O^*_F \}$. Therefore the tangent space at 0 is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_\sigma \mathbb{R}$. Identifying this vector space with the subalgebra $\prod_\sigma \mathbb{R}$ of $F^*_R = \prod_\sigma F^*_\sigma$, it inherits the canonical scalar product from $F^*_R$. Since this $R$-valued scalar product is positive definite, both groups $T$ and $\text{Pic}_F$ are in this way equipped with a translation invariant Riemannian structure.

For $u \in \prod_\sigma \mathbb{R}^*_+ \subset F^*_R$ we let $\log(u)$ denote the element $(\log(\sigma(u)))_\sigma \in \prod_\sigma \mathbb{R} \subset F^*_R$. We have that $| | \log(u) | |^2 = \sum_\sigma \deg(\sigma) | | \log(\sigma(u)) | |^2$.

**Definition 6.1.** For $u \in T$ we put

$$\| u \|_{\text{Pic}} = \min_{u' \in F^*_R, u' \equiv u \mod \Lambda} \| \log(u') \|,$$

$$= \min_{\varepsilon \in O^*_F} \| \log(\varepsilon|u) \|.$$ 

Every divisor class in $T$ is represented by a divisor of the form $D = (O_F, u)$ for some $u \in \bigoplus_\sigma \mathbb{R}^*_+$. Here $u$ is unique up to multiplication by units $\varepsilon \in O^*_F$. For such a divisor class in $T$ we define

$$| D |_{\text{Pic}} = | u |_{\text{Pic}}.$$ 

The function $\| u \|_{\text{Pic}}$ on $T$ satisfies the triangle inequality. It gives rise to a distance function that induces the natural topology of $\text{Pic}_F$. The distance is only defined for divisor classes $D$ and $D'$ that lie on the same connected component. By Prop. 2.2, the class of the difference $D - D'$ is then equal to $(O_F, u)$ for some unique $u \in T$ and we define the distance $\| D - D' \|_{\text{Pic}}$ between $D$ and $D'$ as $\| u \|_{\text{Pic}}$. The closed subgroups $T^0$ and $\text{Pic}_F^0$ inherit their Riemannian structures from $\text{Pic}_F$.

The Euclidean structures of the ideal lattices corresponding to Arakelov divisors and the metric on $\text{Pic}_F$ are not unrelated. The following proposition says that the difference between the Euclidean structures of two Arakelov divisors $D, D'$ is bounded in terms of $\| D - D' \|_{\text{Pic}}$.

**Proposition 6.2.** Let $F$ be a number field and let $D = (I, u)$ and $D' = (I, u')$ be two Arakelov divisors. Then there exists a unit $\varepsilon \in O^*_F$ for which the divisor $D'' = (I, u' | \varepsilon |)$ satisfies

$$e^{-\| D - D'' \|_{\text{Pic}}} \leq \frac{| x |_D}{| x |_D''} \leq e^{\| D - D'' \|_{\text{Pic}}}, \text{ for every } x \in I.$$ 

Note that the classes of $D'$ and $D''$ in $\text{Pic}_F$ are the same, so that we have $\| D - D' \|_{\text{Pic}} = | D - D'' |_{\text{Pic}}$. 
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Proof. Let \( \varepsilon \in O_F^* \) be such that the expression \( \sum_{\sigma} \deg(\sigma) \log(\sigma(\varepsilon))u^\sigma / u_\sigma \) is minimal. Let \( D'' = (I, |\varepsilon|u') \). Putting \( v = u'|\varepsilon|/u \) we have as a consequence

\[
|D - D''|_{\widetilde{\text{Pic}}} = \sum_{\sigma} \deg(\sigma) \log |v_\sigma|^2.
\]

For any \( x \in I \) we have

\[
\|x\|_{D''}^2 = \|u'|x\|^2 = \|v ux\|^2 = \sum_{\sigma} \deg(\sigma) |u_\sigma v_\sigma \sigma(x)|^2 \leq \max_{\sigma} |v_\sigma|^2 \sum_{\sigma} \deg(\sigma) |u_\sigma \sigma(x)|^2 = \left( \max_{\sigma} |v_\sigma| \right)^2 \|x\|_{D'}^2.
\]

Since we have

\[
\log \left( \max_{\sigma} |v_\sigma| \right) = \max_{\sigma} \log |v_\sigma| \leq \max_{\sigma} \log |v_\sigma| \leq |D - D''|_{\text{Pic}},
\]

the first inequality follows. The second one follows by symmetry.

We now define a similar metric on the oriented Arakelov class group. By Prop. 5.3, the connected component of \( \text{Pic}_F \) is \( T = F_{R,\text{conn}}^*/O_{F,+}^* \). We recall that \( F_{R,\text{conn}}^* \) is the connected component of identity of the group \( F_R^* \). It is isomorphic to a product of copies of \( R_+^* \), one for each real prime, and \( C^* \) one for each complex prime. The group \( O_{F,+}^* \) is the subgroup of \( \varepsilon \in O_F^* \) for which \( \sigma(\varepsilon) > 0 \) for every real infinite prime \( \sigma \).

The exponential homomorphism \( \exp : F_R \rightarrow F_R^* \) is defined in terms of the usual exponential function by \( \exp(u) = (\exp(u_\sigma))_\sigma \) for \( u = (u_\sigma) \in F_R \cong \prod_\sigma F_\sigma \). The image of the exponential function is precisely the group \( F_{R,\text{conn}}^* \). The counterimage of \( O_{F,+}^* \) is a discrete closed subgroup \( \Lambda \) of \( F_R^* \). We have a natural isomorphism of Lie groups \( \exp : F_R/\Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} F_{R,\text{conn}}^*/O_{F,+}^* = \widetilde{T} \). Therefore the tangent space of \( \widetilde{T} \) at 0 is isomorphic to \( F_R^* \). The canonical scalar product on \( F_R \) provides both groups \( \widetilde{T} \) and \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}} \) with a translation invariant Riemannian structure.

**Definition 6.3.** For \( u \in \widetilde{T} \) we put

\[
\|u\|_{\widetilde{\text{Pic}}} = \min_{\exp(y) \equiv u \pmod{O_{F,+}^*}} \|y\|.
\]

Explicitly, for \( u \in F_R^* = \prod_\sigma F_\sigma^* \) we let \( \log(u) \) denote the element \( (\log(\sigma(u)))_\sigma \in \prod_\sigma F_\sigma \subset F_R \). Here we use the principal branch of the complex logarithm. We have that

\[
\|u\|_{\widetilde{\text{Pic}}} = \min_{\varepsilon \in O_{F,+}^*} \|\log(\varepsilon u)\| = \min_{\varepsilon \in O_{F,+}^*} \sum_{\sigma} \deg(\sigma) |\log(\sigma(\varepsilon u))|^2.
\]

Every divisor class in \( \widetilde{T} \) can be represented by a divisor of the form \( D = (O_F, u) \) for some \( u \in F_{R,\text{conn}}^* \). Here \( u \) is unique up to multiplication by units \( \varepsilon \in O_{F,+}^* \). For any divisor \( D \) of the form \( (O_F, u) \) with \( u \in \widetilde{T} \) we define

\[
\|D\|_{\widetilde{\text{Pic}}} = \|u\|_{\widetilde{\text{Pic}}}.
\]
The function \( |u|_{\widetilde{\text{Pic}}} \) on \( \widetilde{T} \) satisfies the triangle inequality and this gives rise to a distance function that induces the natural topology on \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F \). The distance is only defined for divisor classes \( D \) and \( D' \) that lie on the same connected component. By Prop. 5.3, the class of the difference \( D - D' \) is then equal to \((O_F, u)\) for some unique \( u \in T \) and we define the distance \( \|D - D'\|_{\widetilde{\text{Pic}}} \) between \( D \) and \( D' \) as \( |u|_{\widetilde{\text{Pic}}} \).

The closed subgroups \( \widetilde{T}^0 \) and \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0 \) inherit their Riemannian structures from \( \text{Pic}_F \). We leave to the task of proving an ‘oriented’ version of Proposition 6.2 the reader.

The morphism \( d : \text{Id}_F \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Div}}_F^0 \) given by \( d(I) = (I, N(I)^{-1/n}) \) is a section of the natural map \( \widetilde{\text{Div}}_F^0 \longrightarrow \text{Id}_F \). The embedded ideal lattice associated to \( d(I) \) is the ideal lattice \( I \subset F_R \) scaled by a factor \( N(I)^{-1/n} \). This lattice has covolume \( \sqrt{|\Delta_F|} \).

Next we prove an oriented version of Proposition 2.4. It says that the classes of the divisors of the form \( d(I) \) are dense in \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0 \) and it implies Proposition 2.4. The exactness of the first sequence of [17, section 9] is a special case.

**Proposition 6.4.** Let \( F \) be a number field of degree \( n \). Let \( \overrightarrow{7} : \text{Id}_F \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0 \) be the map that sends \( I \) to the class of the oriented Arakelov divisor \( d(I) \) in \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0 \). Then the sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow \text{Id}_Q \longrightarrow \text{Id}_F \xrightarrow{\overrightarrow{d}} \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0
\]

is exact. The image of the map \( \overrightarrow{d} \) is dense in \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0 \).

**Proof.** Every ideal in \( \text{Id}_Q \) is generated by some \( f \in Q^*_{\geq 0} \). Let \( f \in Q^*_{\geq 0} \). Then \( \overrightarrow{d} \) maps the \( F \)-ideal \( fO_F \) to the class of the oriented Arakelov divisor \( (fO_F, |N(f)|^{-1/n}) \). Since we have \( |N(f)| = |f|^n \), this divisor is equal to \((fO_F, f^{-1})\). Therefore its image in \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0 \) is trivial. Conversely, suppose that a fractional ideal \( I \) has the property that the class of \((I, N(I)^{-1/n})\) is trivial in \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0 \). That means that \( I = fO_F \) for some \( f \in F^* \) and that \( f = N(I)^{1/n} \). In other words, \( \sigma(f) = N(I)^{1/n} \) for all infinite primes \( \sigma \). This implies that all conjugates of \( f \) are equal, so that \( f \in Q^* \). This shows that the sequence is exact.

To show that the image of \( \overrightarrow{d} \) is dense, we let \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \) and pick \( D = (I, u) \in \widetilde{\text{Div}}_F^0 \). Note that we have \( N(I)\Delta(u) = 1 \). Consider the set

\[
B = \{(v_{\sigma})_{\sigma} \in F_R^* : |v_{\sigma} - u_{\sigma}| < \epsilon|u_{\sigma}| \text{ for all } \sigma \}.
\]

Then \( B \) is an open subset of \( F_R^* \) and all \( v \in B \) have the same signature as \( u \). Since \( F \) is dense in \( F_R \), there is an element \( f \in B \cap F \).

The difference between \( d(fI) \) and the divisor \( D \) is equal to \((fO_F, N(fI)^{-1/n}u^{-1}) \) which is equivalent to the Arakelov divisor \((O_F, v)\) where \( v = N(f/u)^{-1/n}u^{-1}f \in F_R^* \). Therefore the distance between \( D \) and \( \overrightarrow{d}(f^{-1}I) \) is at most \( \|v\|_{\widetilde{\text{Pic}}} \). Since \( \|\frac{\sigma(f)}{u_{\sigma}} - 1\| < \epsilon \), it follows from the Taylor series expansion of the principal branch of the logarithm that
\[
|\log\left(\frac{\sigma(f)}{u_{\sigma}}\right)| < \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} \quad \text{for all } \sigma \text{ and hence } \frac{1}{n}|\log\left(\frac{N(f)}{N(u)}\right)| < \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}. \]
It follows that we have
\[
\|v\|_{\tilde{\text{Pic}}} \leq \sqrt{n} \max_{\sigma} |\log(N(f/u)^{-1/n}u_{\sigma}^{-1}\sigma(f))|,
\]
\[
\leq \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n}N(f/N(u)) + \max_{\sigma} |\log(\frac{\sigma(f)}{u_{\sigma}})|\right),
\]
\[
\leq \frac{2\epsilon\sqrt{n}}{1 - \epsilon}.
\]

This implies that the image of $\tilde{d}$ is dense, as required.

Finally we compute the volumes of the compact Riemannian manifolds $\text{Pic}_F^0$ and $\tilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0$.

**Proposition 6.5.** Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$ and discriminant $\Delta_F$. Then

(i) \[
\text{vol}(\text{Pic}_F^0) = \frac{w_F \sqrt{n}}{2^{r_1}(2\pi \sqrt{2})^{r_2}} \cdot |\Delta_F|^{1/2} \cdot \text{Res}_{s=1} \zeta_F(s).
\]

(ii) \[
\text{vol}(\tilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0) = \sqrt{n} \cdot |\Delta_F|^{1/2} \cdot \text{Res}_{s=1} \zeta_F(s).
\]

Here $r_1$ is the number of real primes and $r_2$ is the number of complex primes of $F$. By $w_F$ we denote the number of roots of unity and by $\zeta_F(s)$ the Dedekind zeta function of $F$.

**Proof.** (i)

The subspace $(\oplus_{\sigma} \mathbb{R})^0$ of divisors of degree 0 is the orthogonal complement of 1 in the subalgebra $\prod_{\sigma} \mathbb{R}$ of $F_{\mathbb{R}}$. Using the fact that $\|1\| = \sqrt{n}$, one checks that the volume of $\text{Pic}_F^0$ is equal to $\sqrt{n} 2^{-r_2/2} R_F$ where $R_F$ is the regulator of $F$. It follows from the exact sequence of Prop. 2.2 that the compact group $\text{Pic}_F^0$ has volume $\sqrt{n} 2^{-r_2/2} h_F R_F$ where $h_F = \# \text{Cl}_F$ is the class number of $F$. The formula [23] for the residue of the zeta function in $s = 1$ now easily implies (i).

(ii) Since the natural volume of the group $K_{\sigma}$ is 2 or $2\pi \sqrt{2}$ depending on whether $\sigma$ is real or complex, it follows from the commutative diagram following Definition 5.1 that the volume of $\text{vol}(\tilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0)$ is equal to $2^{r_1}(2\pi \sqrt{2})^{r_2}/w_F$ times $\text{Pic}_F^0$. This implies (ii).
7. Reduced Arakelov divisors.

Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$. In this section we introduce reduced Arakelov divisors associated to $F$. These form a finite subset of $\operatorname{Div}_F^0$. The main result of this section is that the image of this set in the groups $\operatorname{Pic}_F^0$ and $\tilde{\operatorname{Pic}}_F^0$ is in a certain sense regularly distributed.

The results of this section extend work by Lenstra [17] and Buchmann and Williams [5] and make certain statements by Buchmann in [3, 7, 8] more precise. In particular, Theorems 7.4 and 7.6 and Corollary 7.9 extend [3, section 2], [5, Prop.2.7] and [7, section 3.3]. Note that in deducing the corollaries below we did not make any particular effort to obtain the best possible estimates. They can most certainly be improved upon.

Let $I$ be a fractional ideal. A non-zero element $f \in I$ is called minimal if the only element $g \in I$ for which one has $|\sigma(g)| < |\sigma(f)|$ for all infinite primes $\sigma$, is $g = 0$. If $f \in I$ is minimal, then for every $h \in F^*$, the element $hf$ is minimal in the ideal $hI$. In particular, if $h \in \mathcal{O}_F^*$, the element $hf$ is minimal in the same ideal $I$. Therefore there are, in general, infinitely many minimal elements in $I$.

If $D = (I, u)$ is an Arakelov divisor, then the minimal elements $f \in I$ are precisely the ones for which the open boxes $\{(y_\sigma)_{\sigma} \in F_R : |y_\sigma| < |u_\sigma \sigma(f)| \text{ for all } \sigma\}$ contain only the point 0 of the lattice $uI$. Note however that the notion of minimality depends only on $I$ and is independent of the metric induced by the element $u$. Shortest elements $f \in I$ are the elements for which $\|f\|_D = \min\{|g|_D : g \in I - \{0\}\}$. This notion depends on the divisor $D = (I, u)$ and hence on the lattice $uI$. It does not merely depend on $I$. Since $\|g\|_D = \|ug\|$ for each $g \in I$, the vectors $uf$ are the shortest non-zero vectors of the lattice $uI$ associated to $D$. The number of shortest elements in $I$ is always finite. Shortest vectors are clearly minimal, but the converse is not true. It may even happen that a minimal element $f \in I$ is not a shortest element of the lattice $D = (I, u)$ for any choice of $u$. See section 9 for an explicit example.

**Definition.** An Arakelov divisor or oriented Arakelov divisor $D$ in $\operatorname{Div}_F$ is called reduced if it is of the form $D = d(I) = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})$ for some fractional ideal $I$, and if $I$ is a minimal element of $I$. The set of reduced Arakelov divisors is denoted by $\operatorname{Red}_F$.

Since reduced Arakelov divisors have degree zero, the covolume of the lattices associated to reduced Arakelov divisors are equal to $\sqrt{|\Delta_F|}$. With respect to the natural metric, $1 \in \mathcal{O}_F$ is a shortest and hence minimal element. Therefore the trivial Arakelov divisor $(\mathcal{O}_F, 1)$ is reduced. In general, if $D = d(I)$ is reduced, the element $1 \in I$ is merely minimal and need not be a shortest element. However, the next proposition shows that it is not too far away from being so.

**Proposition 7.1.** Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$ and let $D = d(I) = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})$ be a reduced Arakelov divisor. Then we have

$$\|1\|_D \leq \sqrt{n}\|x\|_D,$$

for all non-zero $x \in I$.

In particular, the element $1 \in I$ is at most $\sqrt{n}$ times as long as the shortest element in $I$.

**Proof.** We have that $\|1\|_D = \sqrt{n}N(I)^{-1/n}$. Since $1 \in I$ is minimal, every non-zero $x \in I$ has the property that $|\sigma(x)| \geq 1$ for some embedding $\sigma : F \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Therefore $\|x\|_D \geq N(I)^{-1/n}|\sigma(x)| \geq N(I)^{-1/n}$. This proves the proposition.
If $D = (I, u)$ is an Arakelov divisor and $f \in I$ is minimal, then $1 \in f^{-1}I$ is again minimal and the divisor $d(f^{-1}I) = (f^{-1}I, N(fI^{-1})^{1/n})$ is reduced. In particular, if $f \in I$ is a shortest element, then the divisor $d(f^{-1}I)$ is reduced. Note however that even though the element $1 \in f^{-1}I$ is minimal, it need not be a shortest element. Indeed, even if it is true that $1$ is a shortest vector of the lattice associated to $(f^{-1}I, |f|^{-1}u)$, it may not be a shortest vector of the lattice $d(f^{-1}I) = (f^{-1}I, N(fu^{-1})^{1/n})$, which has a different metric. In the section 9 we present an explicit example of this phenomenon.

It is not so easy to say in terms of the associated ideal lattice $uI$ precisely what it means that a divisor $D = (I, u)$ is reduced. We make the following imprecise observation. When $1 \in I$ is not merely minimal, but happens to be a shortest element in $I$, then all roots of unity in $F$ are also shortest elements in $I$. Usually, these are the only shortest elements in $I$. In that case the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality implies that the Hermite constant $\gamma(D)$, viewed as a function on $\text{Pic}^0_F$ attains a local minimum at $D = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})$. So, the lattices corresponding to reduced divisors are the “skewest” $O_F$-lattices around. But this holds only usually and locally.

It is convenient to introduce the following notation.

**Definition.** Let $F$ be a number field. Let $\Delta_F$ denote its discriminant and $r_2$ its number of complex infinite primes. Then we put

\[ \partial_F = \left( \frac{2}{n} \right)^{r_2} \sqrt{|\Delta_F|}. \]

**Proposition 7.2.** Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$.

(i) Let $I$ be a fractional ideal. If $d(I) = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})$ is a reduced Arakelov divisor, then the inverse $I^{-1}$ of $I$ is an $O_F$-ideal of norm at most $\partial_F$.

(ii) The set $\text{Red}_F$ of reduced Arakelov divisors is finite.

(iii) The natural map $\text{Red}_F \to \text{Pic}^0_F$ is injective.

**Proof.** Since $1 \in I$, the ideal $I^{-1}$ is contained in $O_F$. By Prop. 4.4 (ii) there exists a non-zero $f \in I$ for which $|N(I)^{-1/n}\sigma(f)| < \partial_F^{1/n}$ for each $\sigma$. Therefore, if $N(I^{-1}) > \partial_F$, we have that $|\sigma(f)| < 1$ for each $\sigma$, contradicting the minimality of $1 \in I$. This proves (i).

Part (ii) follows at once from (i) and the fact that there are only finitely many $O_F$-ideals of bounded norm.

To prove (iii), suppose that the reduced Arakelov divisors $D = d(I)$ and $D' = d(I')$ have the same image in $\text{Pic}^0_F$. Then there exists $f \in F^*$ so that $I' = fI$ and $N(I')^{1/n} = N(I)^{1/n}f$. As in the proof of Prop. 5.3, it follows that all conjugates of $f$ are equal and hence that $f \in Q^*$. Since both $I$ and $I'$ contain 1 as a minimal vector, this implies that $f = \pm 1$. Since $f = N(I'I^{-1})^{1/n} > 0$, we have that $f = 1$ and hence $D = D'$ as required.

Part (iii) of Proposition 7.2 does not hold when we replace $\text{Pic}^0_F$ by $\text{Pic}^0_F$. See Example 9.3 below for an example. Incidentally, Theorem 7.7 below strengthens the statement considerably.

For every divisor $D = (I, u)$ of degree zero consider the following set of divisors of degree zero:

\[ \Sigma_D = \{(I, v) \in \text{Div}^0_F : \log(v_\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\partial_F) \text{ for all } \sigma\}. \]
If \( N(I^{-1}) \leq \partial_F \), the set \( \Sigma_D \) is a non-empty simplex. Indeed, under this condition \( \Sigma_D \) contains the divisor \((I, N(I)^{-1/n})\) and any element of \( \Sigma_D \) has the form \((I, N(I)^{-1/n}) + (O_F, w)\) with \( w \) running over the exponentials of the vectors \( y \in (\oplus_{\sigma} \mathbb{R})^0 \) satisfying

\[
y_{\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{n} (\log(\partial_F) + \log(N(I))),
\]
for every \( \sigma \).

Since \( \sum_{\sigma} \deg(\sigma)y_{\sigma} = 0 \), the set \( \Sigma_D \) is a bounded simplex.

The following proposition expresses the notion of a reduced divisor in terms of these simplices.

**Proposition 7.3.** An Arakelov divisor of the form \( D = d(I) = (I, N(I)^{-1/n}) \) with \( 1 \in \mathcal{I} \), is reduced if and only if there is no other divisor \( D' = d(I') \) with \( 1 \in \mathcal{I}' \) for which the image of the simplex \( \Sigma_D \) in \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \) is contained in the image of \( \Sigma_{D'} \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( D = (I, N(I)^{-1/n}) \) is reduced and that for some divisor \( D' = (I', N(I')^{-1/n}) \) with \( 1 \in \mathcal{I}' \) the image in \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \) of \( \Sigma_D \) is contained in the image of \( \Sigma_{D'} \). Then \( D \) and \( D' \) lie on the same component of \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \). This implies that \( I' = fI \) for some \( f \in F^* \). Since \( 1 \in \mathcal{I} \) is minimal, so is \( f \in \mathcal{I}' \). The simplex \( \Sigma_{D'} \) or rather its image in \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \) is equal to the set

\[
\{(I, v/|f|) : v \text{ satisfies } \log(v_{\sigma}/|\sigma(f)|) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\partial_F) \}.
\]

Since \( \Sigma_D \subset \Sigma_{D'} \), we have for each \( \sigma \) that \( \log(v_{\sigma}/|\sigma(f)|) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\partial_F) \) whenever \( \log(v_{\sigma}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\partial_F) \). This implies that \( |\sigma(f)| \geq 1 \) for every \( \sigma \), contradicting the minimality of \( f \in \mathcal{I}' \).

Conversely, suppose that \( D = (I, N(I)^{-1/n}) \) is not reduced. This means that \( 1 \in \mathcal{I} \) is not minimal. Let \( g \in \mathcal{I} \) such that \( |\sigma(g)| \leq 1 \) for all \( \sigma \). Consider the \( O_F \)-ideal \( I' = g^{-1}I \). Then \( \Sigma_D \subset \Sigma_{D'} \). Indeed, if \( (I, v) \in \Sigma_D \) then \( \log(v_{\sigma}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\partial_F) \) and hence \( \log(v_{\sigma}/|\sigma(g)|) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\partial_F) \). Since \( (I, v) \) is equivalent to the divisor \( (I', v|g|) \), this means precisely \( (I, v) \) is contained in \( \Sigma_{D'} \).

This proves the proposition.

In the rest of this section we study the distribution of the image of the set \( \text{Red}_F \) in the compact groups \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \) and \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \) and estimate its size. First we look at the image of the set \( \text{Red}_F \) in \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \). Theorem 7.4 says that \( \text{Red}_F \) is rather dense in \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \).

**Theorem 7.4.** Let \( F \) be a number field of degree \( n \) admitting \( r_2 \) complex infinite primes. Then

(i) for any Arakelov divisor \( D = (I, u) \) of degree 0 there is a reduced divisor \( D' \) and an element \( f \in F^* \) so that

\[
D - D' = (f) + (O_F, v)
\]

with

\[
\log |v_{\sigma}| \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\partial_F).
\]

In particular, we have that

\[
\|D - D'\|_{\text{Pic}} \leq \log(\partial_F), \quad \text{for each } \sigma;
\]

(ii) the natural map

\[
\bigcup_D \Sigma_D \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_F^0
\]

is surjective. Here \( D \) runs over the reduced Arakelov divisors.
Proof. By Minkowski’s Theorem (Prop. 4.4 (ii)), there is a non-zero element \(f \in I\) satisfying \(|u_\sigma \sigma(f)| \leq \partial_F^{1/n}\) for every \(\sigma\). Then there is also a shortest and hence a minimal such element \(f\). The divisor \(D' = d(f^{-1}I)\) is then reduced. It lies on the same component of \(\text{Pic}_F^0\) as \(D\). We have that

\[
D - D' = (f) + (O_F, v)
\]

where \(v\) is the vector \((v_\sigma)_\sigma \in \prod_{\sigma} \mathbb{R}_+^n\) with \(v_\sigma = u_\sigma \sigma(f)|N(f^{-1}I)^{1/n}\) and hence \(\log|v_\sigma| = \log|u_\sigma \sigma(f)| + \frac{1}{n} \log(N(f^{-1}I))\) for every \(\sigma\). Since \(N(f^{-1}I) \leq 1\), this implies that \(\log|v_\sigma| \leq \log|u_\sigma \sigma(f)|\) which by assumption is at most \(\frac{1}{n} \log(\partial_F)\) as required.

Since \(\sum_\sigma \log(v_\sigma) = 0\), Lemma 7.5 below implies that

\[
\|D - D'|_{\text{Pic}}^2 = \|v\|_{\text{Pic}}^2 \leq n(n-1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \log(\partial_F)\right)^2.
\]

This proves (i). Part (ii) is merely a reformulation of part (i).

Lemma 7.5. Let \(x_i \in \mathbb{R}\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, n\). Suppose that \(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0\) and that \(x \in \mathbb{R}\) has the property that \(x_i \leq x\) for all \(i = 1, \ldots, n\). Then we have \(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \leq n(n-1)x^2\).

We leave the proof of this lemma to the reader. The theorem says that \(\text{Pic}_F^0\) can be covered with simplices \(\Sigma_D\) centered in the reduced divisors \(D\). We use the Theorem to estimate the volume of the Arakelov class group \(\text{Pic}_F^0\) in terms of the number of reduced divisors.

Corollary 7.6. Let \(F\) be a number field of degree \(n\) with \(r_1\) real and \(r_2\) complex infinite primes. We have that

\[
\text{vol}(\text{Pic}_F^0) \leq \frac{2^{-r_2} n^{r_2 - \frac{1}{2}}}{(n-1)!} \left(\log(\partial_F)\right)^{r_1 + r_2} \#\text{Red}_F,
\]

\[
\leq \left(|\log(\partial_F)|\right)^n \#\text{Red}_F.
\]

Proof. Let \(D = d(I) = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})\) be reduced divisor. Then the set \(\Sigma_D\) is given by

\[
\Sigma_D = \{(I, N(I)^{-1/n}) + (O_F, v) : \log(v_\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{n} (\log(\partial_F) + \log(N(I)))\}.
\]

By Prop. 7.2 (i) we have that \(N(I^{-1}) \leq \partial_F\). This implies that the set \(\Sigma_D\) is a non-empty simplex of volume equal to \(\left(\frac{1}{n} \log(\partial_F N(I))\right)^{r_1 + r_2}\) times the volume of the standard simplex \(\{(y_\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_\sigma y_\sigma = 0\text{ and } y_\sigma \leq 1\text{ for each }\sigma\}\), which one checks to be equal to \(2^{-\frac{r_2}{2}} n^{r_2 - \frac{1}{2}}/(n-1)!\). This leads to the inequality

\[
\text{vol}(\text{Pic}_F^0) \leq \frac{2^{-r_2} n^{r_2 - \frac{1}{2}}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{D} \left(\frac{1}{n} \log(\partial_F N(I))\right)^{r_1 + r_2}
\]

Here the sum runs over the reduced divisors \(D = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})\) of \(F\).

Since \(N(I) \leq 1\), the first estimate follows follows. The second inequality follows by a rather crude estimate from the first one. This proves the corollary.

Next we prove some kind of converse to Theorem 7.4. The following theorem and its corollary say that the image of the set \(\text{Red}_F\) is rather sparse in the group \(\widehat{\text{Pic}}_F^0\). Recall that \(F_+^* = \{x \in F^* : \sigma(x) > 0\text{ for all real }\sigma\}\).
Theorem 7.7. Let $F$ be a number field.

(i) Let $D$ and $D'$ be two reduced divisors in $\widetilde{\text{Div}}_F$. If there exists an element $f \in F_+^*$ for which

$$D - D' + (f) = (O_F, v)$$

with $|\log(v_\sigma)| < \log(\frac{4}{3})$ for each $\sigma$, then we have that $D = D'$ in $\widetilde{\text{Div}}_F$. Similarly, if $\|v\|_{\text{Pic}} < \log(\frac{4}{3})$, we have that $D = D'$ in $\widetilde{\text{Div}}_F$.

(ii) The natural map

$$\bigcup_{D' \in \text{Pic}_F} \{ D' + (O_F, v) : v \in (F^*_{\text{R, conn}})^0 \text{ and } |\log(v_\sigma)| < \frac{1}{2}\log(\frac{4}{3}) \text{ for each } \sigma \} \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F$$

is injective.

Proof. Suppose that $D = d(I)$ and $D' = d(I')$ are two reduced divisors with the property that $D - D' + (f) = (O_F, v)$ with $f \in F^*$ for which $\sigma(f) > 0$ for all $\sigma$. By Prop. 5.3, the images of $D$ and $D'$ in $\text{Pic}_F$ lie on the same connected component of $\text{Pic}_F$. We put $\lambda = N(I/I')^{1/n}$. Then $\sigma(f)/\lambda = v_\sigma$. Since $|\log(v_\sigma)| < \log(\frac{4}{3})$, we have that

$$|\frac{\sigma(f)}{\lambda} - 1| = |v_\sigma - 1| = |\exp(\log(v_\sigma)) - 1| \leq \exp(|\log(v_\sigma)| - 1) < \exp(\log(\frac{4}{3})) - 1 = \frac{1}{3},$$

and hence

$$|\sigma(f) - \lambda| < \frac{1}{3}\lambda, \quad \text{for every } \sigma.$$ 

Since $D$ and $D'$ are reduced, the element 1 is minimal in both $I$ and $I'$. Therefore both 1 and $f$ are minimal in $fI' = I$.

If $\lambda$ is small, i.e., if $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{3}$, we have that $|\sigma(f)| \leq |\sigma(f) - \lambda| + |\lambda| < \frac{1}{3}\lambda + \lambda < \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} < 1$ for each $\sigma$. In other words, $|\sigma(f)| < |\sigma(1)|$ for all $\sigma$, contradicting the fact that 1 is minimal. If $\lambda$ is large, i.e., if $\lambda \geq \frac{3}{2}$, we have that $|\sigma(f)| \geq |\lambda| - |\sigma(f) - \lambda| \geq \lambda - \frac{1}{3}\lambda > \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{3} = 1$ for each $\sigma$. In other words, $|\sigma(1)| > |\sigma(f)|$ for all $\sigma$, contradicting the fact that $f \in I$ is a minimal vector.

Therefore $\frac{1}{3} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{3}{2}$. This implies that $|\sigma(f - 1)| \leq |\sigma(f) - \lambda| + |\lambda - 1| < \frac{1}{3}\lambda + |\lambda - 1| \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = 1 = |\sigma(1)|$ for all $\sigma$. Since 1 is a minimal vector, this implies that $f - 1 = 0$. Therefore $I = I'$ and hence $D = D'$. This proves the first statement.

If we know that $\|v\|_{\text{Pic}} < \log(\frac{4}{3})$, then there is a totally positive unit $\varepsilon$ for which $|\log(\varepsilon v_\sigma)| < \log(\frac{4}{3})$ for each $\sigma$. Replacing $f$ by $\varepsilon f$ if necessary, we may then assume that $|\log(v_\sigma)| < \log(\frac{4}{3})$ for each $\sigma$ and we are back in the earlier situation. This proves (i).

Part (ii) follows, because (i) implies that the sets

$$\{ D' + (O_F, v) : v \in (F^*_{\text{R, conn}})^0 \text{ and } |\log(v_\sigma)| < \frac{1}{2}\log(\frac{4}{3}) \text{ for each } \sigma \}$$

map injectively to $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F$ and that their images are mutually disjoint. This proves the theorem.

Corollary 7.8. Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$. Then we have that $\#\text{Red}_F \leq \text{vol}(\text{Pic}_F) \cdot (4n)^{\frac{n}{2}}$. 
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Proof. Theorem 7.7 (ii) implies that the volume of $\tilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0$ is at least $\#\text{Red}_F$ times the volume of the simplex $\{v \in ((F_{\text{R,comm}}^*)^0 : |\log(v_\sigma)| < \frac{1}{2}\log(4/3) \text{ for each } \sigma\}$, which is equal to
\[
\frac{2^{-\frac{x}{2}}nr_{2}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{(n-1)!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\log(4/3)\right)^{r_{1}+r_{2}}.
\]
Since this is at least $(4n)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$, the result follows.

Corollary 7.9. There is a constant $c > 0$, so that for every number field $F$ of degree $n$, the number of reduced divisors contained in a ball of radius 1 in $\text{Pic}_F^0$ is at most $(cn)^{n/2}$.

Proof. The reduced divisors whose images in $\text{Pic}_F^0$ are contained in a ball of radius 1, are contained in a subset $S$ of $\tilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0$ of volume $2^{r_{1}}(2\pi\sqrt{2})^{r_{2}}/w_F$ times the volume of a unit ball in $\text{Pic}_F^0$. By Theorem 7.7, the balls of radius $\frac{1}{2}\log(4/3)$ centered in reduced divisors, are mutually disjoint in $\tilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0$. Comparing the volume of the union of the disjoint balls with the volume of $S$ leads to the estimate.

Corollary 7.10. Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$. Then we have that
\[
(\log|\Delta_F|)^{-n} \leq \frac{\#\text{Red}_F}{\text{vol}(\text{Pic}_F^0)} \leq \left(8\pi n\sqrt{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}.
\]

Proof. Since the volume of $\tilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0$ is $2^{r_{1}}(2\pi\sqrt{2})^{r_{2}}/w_F$ times the volume of $\text{Pic}_F^0$, the inequalities follow from Corollaries 7.6 and 7.8 respectively. This proves the corollary.

We recall the following estimates for the volume of $\text{Pic}_F^0$. They say that in a sense the volume of $\text{Pic}_F^0$ is approximately equal to $\sqrt{|\Delta_F|}$.

Proposition 7.11. Let $n \geq 1$. Then for every number field $F$ of degree $n$ we have that
(i) $\text{vol}(\text{Pic}_F^0) \leq \sqrt{|\Delta_F|}(\log|\Delta_F|)^{n-1};$

(ii) (GRH) there exists a constant $c > 0$ only depending on the degree $n$ so that
\[
\text{vol}(\text{Pic}_F^0) \geq c\sqrt{|\Delta_F|}/\log|\Delta_F|.
\]

Proof. Part (i) follows from Corollary 7.7, the fact that for every reduced divisor $d(I)$ the ideal $I^{-1}$ is integral and has norm at most $\partial_F = (\frac{4}{3})^{r_{2}}\sqrt{|\Delta_F|}$ and the estimate for the number of $O_F$-ideals of bounded norm provided by Lenstra in [18, Thm.6.5]. Under assumption of the generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) for the zeta function of the normal closure of $F$, Buchmann and Williams [6, (3.2)] obtained the estimate in (ii). This proves the proposition.
8. Quadratic fields.

Since the class group of \( \mathbb{Q} \) is trivial and since we have \( \mathbb{Z}^* = \{ \pm 1 \} \), the group \( \text{Pic}^0 \mathbb{Q} \) is trivial and the degree map induces an isomorphism \( \text{Pic} \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{R} \). The narrow class group of \( \mathbb{Q} \) is also trivial and it follows from Proposition 5.1 that \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0 \mathbb{Q} = 0 \) and that \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}} \mathbb{Q} \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{R}^* \).

This is the whole story as far as \( \mathbb{Q} \) is concerned. In this section we briefly work out the theory of the previous sections for quadratic number fields. For these fields the language of binary quadratic forms is often used [17, 26].

**Example 8.1.** For complex quadratic fields \( F \), the torus \( T^0 \) of section 2 is trivial so that the group \( \text{Pic}^0_F \) is canonically isomorphic to the class group \( \text{Cl}_F \) of \( F \). The group \( \tilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F \) is an extension of \( \text{Cl}_F \) by a circle group of length \( 2\pi \sqrt{2}/w_F \). Here \( w_F = 2 \) except when \( F = \mathbb{Q}(i) \) or \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2}}) \), in which case \( w_F = 4 \) or \( 6 \) respectively.

We describe the reduced Arakelov divisors of \( F \). Let \( D = (I, N(I)^{-1/2}) \) be reduced. The fact that 1 is a minimal element of \( I \) simply means that it is a shortest vector in the corresponding lattice in \( F_R \cong \mathbb{C} \). We write \( I = \mathbb{Z} + f\mathbb{Z} \) for some \( f \) in the upper half plane \( \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0 \} \). Since \( O_F \cdot I \subset I \), we have that \( f = \frac{b+\sqrt{\Delta_F}}{2a} \) for certain \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a > 0 \) and that \( b^2 - 4ac = \Delta_F \) for some \( c \in \mathbb{Z} \). The \( O_F \)-ideal \( I^{-1} \) is generated by \( a \) and \( \frac{b+\sqrt{\Delta_F}}{2} \) and has norm \( a \). For complex quadratic fields, the simplices \( \Sigma_D \) introduced in section 6 are simply points.

Since \( f \) is unique up to addition of an integer, the \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \)-equivalence class of the binary quadratic form \( N(X+fY)/N(I) = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2 \) is well defined. The form has discriminant \( \Delta_F \). If we choose \( f \) to lie in the usual fundamental domain for the action of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) on the upper half plane, the corresponding quadratic form is reduced in the sense of Gauss. There is a slight ambiguity here. If \( |f| = 1 \), the reduced Arakelov divisors \( d(\mathbb{Z} + f\mathbb{Z}) \) and \( d(\mathbb{Z} + \overline{f}\mathbb{Z}) \) give rise to the quadratic forms \( aX^2 + bXY + aY^2 \) and \( aX^2 - bXY + aY^2 \) respectively. If \( f \) is not a root of unity, the Arakelov divisors are distinct, but the two quadratic forms are \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \)-equivalent and only one of them is reduced. Apart from this ambiguity, the map that associates to a reduced Arakelov divisor its associated reduced quadratic form, is a bijection.

**Example 8.2.** Any real quadratic field \( F \) can be written as \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta_F}) \) where \( \Delta_F \) denotes the discriminant of \( F \). The group \( \text{Pic}^0_F \) is an extension of the class group by a circle group and the group \( \tilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F \) is an extension of the narrow class group by a circle group. We describe the reduced Arakelov divisors of \( F \). Let \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma' \) denote the two infinite primes of \( F \). To be definite, we let \( \sigma \) denote the embedding that maps \( \sqrt{\Delta_F} \) to the positive square root of \( \Delta_F \) in \( \mathbb{R} \). Let \( D = d(I) = (I, N(I)^{-1/2}) \) be reduced. The fact that \( 1 \in I \) is minimal implies that we can write \( I = \mathbb{Z} + f\mathbb{Z} \) for a unique \( f \) satisfying \( \sigma(f) > 1 \) and \( -1 < \sigma'(f) < 0 \). The fact that we have \( O_F \cdot I \subset I \) implies that \( f = \frac{b+\sqrt{\Delta_F}}{2a} \) where \( \Delta_F = b^2 - 4ac \) for some \( c \in \mathbb{Z} \). The conditions on \( \sigma(f) \) and \( \sigma'(f) \) say that \( a > 0 \) and \( |\sqrt{\Delta_F} - 2a| < b < \sqrt{\Delta_F} \). The \( O_F \)-ideal \( I^{-1} \) is generated by \( a \) and \( \frac{b+\sqrt{\Delta_F}}{2} \). Its norm is \( a \). The simplex \( \Sigma_D \) of section 6 is an interval of length \( \sqrt{2} \log(\sqrt{\frac{\Delta_F}{a}}) \) centered in \( D \).

The map that associates the quadratic form \( aX^2 + bXY + cY^2 \) to the reduced divisor
\(D = (I, N(I)^{-1/2})\), is a bijection between the set of reduced Arakelov divisors of \(F\) and the set of reduced binary quadratic forms of discriminant \(\Delta_F\) with \(a > 0\).

The element \(1 \in I\) is a shortest vector precisely when both \(\|f\|\) and \(\|f - 1\|\) are at least \(\|1\| = \sqrt{2}\). This condition is not always satisfied. Drawing a picture, one sees that it is when \(\sigma(f) - \sigma'(f) \geq 2\), or equivalently when \(a < \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\Delta_F}\), but this is not a necessary condition.

When \(D = d(I)\) and \(I = \mathbb{Z} + f\mathbb{Z}\) as above, then the vector \(f\) is a minimal element of \(I\). Therefore \(D' = d(f^{-1}I)\) is a reduced Arakelov divisor. We have that \(D = D' + (O_F, v)\), where \(v \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^* \cong \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^*\) is the vector \((|\sigma'(f)/\sigma(f)|^{1/2}, -|\sigma(f)/\sigma'(f)|^{1/2})\). The distance between the images of \(D\) and \(D'\) in \(\text{Pic}^0_F\) is equal to \(\|v\|_{\text{Pic}}\). Since \(f = \frac{b+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2a}\), we have \(\|v\|_{\text{Pic}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\log|\frac{b+\sqrt{\Delta}}{b-\sqrt{\Delta}}|\). In this way we recover Lenstra’s distance formula [17, (11.1)].

The divisor \(D'\) is the ‘successor’ of \(D\) in its component, in the sense that there are no reduced divisors on the circle between \(D\) and \(D'\). In order to obtain \(D\)’s ‘predecessor’, take \(g\) the shortest minimum such that \(|\sigma(g)| < |\sigma'(g)|\). Then the Arakelov divisor \(d(g^{-1}I)\) is the predecessor of \(D\).

Lenstra’s group \(F\) or rather its topological completion \(\overline{F}\), is closely related to the oriented Arakelov class group of the real quadratic field \(F\) and several of the results in [17] are special cases of the results of this paper. The group \(\overline{F}\) is not quite equal to \(\widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F\) but it admits a degree 2 cover onto it. More generally, for a number field \(F\) we let \(\text{Pic}^+_F\) denote the group \(\overline{\text{Div}}_F^0\) modulo its subgroup \(\pm O_F^*\). When \(F\) is totally complex, i.e., when \(r_1 = 0\), this is simply \(\widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F\). When \(r_1 > 0\) however, there is an exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}^{r_1}/\{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^+_F \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0 \longrightarrow 0.
\]

Let \((F_{\mathbb{R}}^*)^0 = \{u \in F_{\mathbb{R}} : |N(u)| = 1\}\). The topological structure of \(\text{Pic}^+_F\) can be seen from the exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow (F_{\mathbb{R}}^*/\pm O_{F,+}^*) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^+_F \longrightarrow \text{Cl}_{F,+} \longrightarrow 0,
\]

realizing \(\text{Pic}^+_F\) as an extension of the narrow class group \(\text{Cl}_{F,+}\) by a \(2^{r_1-1}\)-component Lie group. When \(F\) is real quadratic, the group \(\text{Pic}^+_F\) is equal to Lenstra’s group \(\overline{F}\).
9. Reduced Arakelov divisors; examples and counterexamples.

Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$ and discriminant $\Delta_F$. Theorems 7.4 and 7.7 say that the image of the set $\text{Red}_F$ of reduced Arakelov divisors is, in a precise sense, rather regularly distributed in the groups $\text{Pic}^0_F$ and $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F$. In this section we discuss these results and we consider variations in the definition of the set of reduced divisors.

Theorem 7.4 says that the image of $\text{Red}_F$ is rather ‘dense’ in $\text{Pic}^0_F$. I do not know how to prove similar result for the larger group $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F$ rather than $\text{Pic}^0_F$. I cannot even exclude the possibility that some components of $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F$ contain no reduced Arakelov divisors at all. The problem is related to the following question.

**Question 9.1.** Let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice of covolume 1. Suppose that all non-zero vectors of $L$ have all their coordinates different from zero and have length at least $\varepsilon$. Does there exist a minimal vector $(x_i) \in L$ with $x_i > 0$ for all $i$? Here a vector $(x_i)$ is called minimal if the only vector $(y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|y_i| < |x_i|$ for all $i$ is the zero-vector. Does there exist such a vector of length $O(\varepsilon^{-N})$ for some $N$ that only depends on $n$? Can one compute it efficiently?

In the other direction, Theorem 7.5 implies that the image of $\text{Red}_F$ in $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F$ is rather ‘sparse’. When we replace $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F$ by $\text{Pic}^0_F$, the theorem is no longer true. First of all the map $\text{Red}_F \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_F$ is in general not injective. In addition, it may happen that distinct reduced divisors have images in $\text{Pic}^0_F$ that are much closer to one another than the bound $\log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ of Theorem 7.5. However, by Corollary 7.9, the number of reduced divisors in a ball in $\text{Pic}^0_F$ of radius 1 is bounded by a constant only depending on the degree of $F$.

**Lemma 9.2.** Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$, let $D = (I, u)$ be an Arakelov divisor and suppose $f \in I$. Then

(i) $d(f^{-1}I) = d(I)$ in $\text{Div}^0_F$, if and only if $f$ is a unit of $O_F$.

(ii) The classes of $d(f^{-1}I)$ and $d(I)$ in $\text{Pic}^0_F$ are equal if and only if $f$ is the product of a unit and an element $g \in F^*$ all of whose absolute values $|\sigma(g)|$ are equal.

(iii) $\|d(I) - d(f^{-1}I)\|_{\text{Pic}} < 2\sqrt{n} \max_\sigma |\log|\sigma(f)||$.

**Proof.** Part (i) follows from the fact that $I = f^{-1}I$ if and only if we have $f \in O_F^*$. Since we have

$$d(f^{-1}I) - d(I) = (fO_F, |N(f)|^{1/n}),$$

class of this divisor is trivial in $\text{Pic}^0_F$ if and only if there is $g \in F^*$ for which $f = \varepsilon g$ for some unit $\varepsilon \in O_F^*$ and $|\sigma(g)|^{-1} = |N(f)|^{-1/n}$ for all $\sigma$. Since $|N(g)| = |N(f)|$, the second relation is equivalent to the fact that the $|\sigma(g)|$ are all equal. This proves (ii).

To prove (iii) we note that $\|d(I) - d(f^{-1}I)\|_{\text{Pic}} \leq \sqrt{n} \max_\sigma |\log|\sigma(f)||$ which is at most \(\sqrt{n} \max_\sigma |\log|\sigma(f)|| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_\sigma \deg(\sigma)|\log|\sigma(f)||$. This easily implies the estimate.

This implies that $f$ is a root of unity. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Prop. 7.2 (iii) says that the natural map from the set of reduced divisors $\text{Red}_F$ to the oriented Arakelov class group $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F$ is injective. The following example shows that, in general, the map $\text{Red}_F \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^0_F$ is not.
Example 9.3. Let $a > b \geq 1$ and put $\Delta = b^2 - 4a^2$. Suppose that $\Delta$ is squarefree and let $F$ denote the complex quadratic number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$. Let $I$ denote the fractional $O_F$-ideal $\mathbb{Z} + f\mathbb{Z}$ where $f = \frac{b+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2a}$. Then $1 \in I$ is minimal. Let $\sigma : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote the unique infinite prime. Since $\sigma(f)$ has absolute value 1, the element $f$ is also minimal. Since $f$ is not a unit of $O_F$, Lemma 9.2 implies that the reduced divisors $d(I)$ and $d(f^{-1}I)$ are distinct, but that their classes in $\text{Pic}_F^0$ are equal.

Theorem 7.7 says that the distance between the images of the reduced divisors in $\tilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0$ is bounded from below by an absolute constant. The following example shows that this is false for the Arakelov class group $\text{Pic}_F^0$.

Example 9.4. Let $n$ be a large even integer such that $\Delta = n^2 + 1$ is squarefree and consider the field $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$. Let $f = \frac{1+\sqrt{\Delta}}{n} \in F$. Then $1$ is a minimal element in $I = \mathbb{Z} + f\mathbb{Z}$. The conjugates $\sigma(f)$ are close to 1 and $-1$ respectively. Indeed, we have $|\log|\sigma(f)|| \approx \Delta^{-1/2}$ for each infinite prime $\sigma$. It follows from Lemma 9.2 (iii) that the classes of the reduced divisors $d(I)$ and $d(f^{-1}I)$ are at distance at most $2\sqrt{2}\Delta^{-1/2}$ in $\text{Pic}_F^0$.

The definition of the set $\text{Red}_F$ is rather delicate as we’ll see now by considering slight variations of it. We let $\text{Red}'_F$ denote the set of divisors $d(I)$ for which $1 \in I$ is a shortest rather than a minimal vector and write $\text{Red}''_F$ for the set of divisors $d(I)$ for which we have $N(I^{-1}) \leq \partial_F = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \sqrt{|\Delta_F|}$ and for which $1 \in I$ is merely primitive, i.e., not divisible by an integer $d \geq 2$. Since shortest implies minimal and minimal implies primitive, we have the following inclusions of finite sets

$$\text{Red}'_F \subset \text{Red}_F \subset \text{Red}''_F.$$ 

Theorem 7.4 says that the set $\text{Red}_F$ is rather ‘dense’ in the Arakelov divisor class group. It is not clear whether the set $\text{Red}'_F$ has the same property. The proof of Theorem 7.4 showing that every $D = (I, u)$ of degree 0 is close to a reduced divisor $D' \in \text{Red}_F$ does not work for $\text{Red}'_F$. Indeed, tracing the steps of the proof of Theorem 7.4, we see that if $f \in I$ is a shortest vector, it is also minimal and hence the element $1 \in f^{-1}I$ is minimal. It follows that the divisor $d(f^{-1}I)$ is in $\text{Red}_F$. However, 1 need not be a shortest vector in $f^{-1}I$ so that $d(f^{-1}I)$ may not be contained in $\text{Red}'_F$.

The following example shows that this phenomenon actually occurs. It shows that the set $\text{Red}'_F$ is, at least in this sense, too small.

Example 9.5. We present examples of reduced Arakelov divisors $D = d(I)$ with the property that the element $1 \in I$ is not a shortest vector of the lattice $I$ associated to $(I, u)$ for any $u \in F^*_R$. This implies that $D$ is not equal to $d(f^{-1}J)$ for any divisor $D' = (J, v)$ and a shortest element $f \in J$. Indeed, if that were the case, 1 would be shortest vector in the lattice associated to the Arakelov divisor $(I, f^{-1}v)$.

Let $F$ be a real quadratic number field of discriminant $\Delta$. Then $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$. Suppose that $d(I)$ is a reduced Arakelov divisor. We write $I = \mathbb{Z} + f\mathbb{Z}$ where $f > 0$ and $-1 < \overline{f} < 0$. Here we identify $F$ with its image in $\mathbb{R}$ through one of its embeddings and we write $f \mapsto \overline{f}$ for the other embedding.
Claim. If \( N(f - \frac{1}{2}) > -\frac{3}{4} \), then 1 is not a shortest element of \( I \) for any degree zero Arakelov divisor \((I, u)\).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( D = (I, u) \) has degree 0. Then we have \( u = (v/\sqrt{N(I)}, v^{-1}/\sqrt{N(I)}) \) for some \( v \in \mathbb{R}^*_0 \). Suppose that \( 1 \in I \) is a shortest vector in the lattice associated to \( D \). This implies in particular that \( \|1\|_D \leq \|f\|_D \) and \( \|1\|_D \leq \|f - 1\|_D \). This means that \( v^{-2} + v^2 \leq v^{-2}f^2 + v^2f^2 \) and that \( v^{-2} + v^2 \leq v^{-2}(f - 1)^2 + v^2(f - 1)^2 \). In other words we have that \( v^4 \leq (f^2 - 1)/(1 - f^2) \) and \( v^4 \geq (2f - f^2)/(f^2 - 2f) \) respectively. Therefore, if the upper bound for \( v^4 \) is smaller than the lower bound, there cannot exist such \( v \). This happens precisely when \( (f - \bar{f})(2f - f - \bar{f} + 2) > 0 \). Since \( f - \bar{f} \) is positive, this means that \( 2f - f - \bar{f} + 2 > 0 \) which is equivalent to \( N(f - \frac{1}{2}) > -\frac{3}{4} \). This proves the claim.

When \( f = \frac{b + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2a} \) as in section 8, a sufficient condition for the inequality of the claim to hold is that \( a \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\Delta} \). An explicit example is provided by the field \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{21}) \) and the reduced divisor \( d(I) \) where \( I = \mathbb{Z} + f\mathbb{Z} \) with \( f = \frac{3 + \sqrt{21}}{6} \).

In the other direction, it may happen that the image of \( \text{Red}'_F \) is very dense in \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F \), so that an analogue of Theorem 7.7 does not hold for this set. We present two examples, due to H.W. Lenstra showing that for some number fields certain small open balls in \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F \) contain the images of very many \( D \in \text{Red}'_F \). Both examples exploit the existence of certain 'very small' elements in \( F \). In the first example these are contained in a proper subfield, but this is not the case in the second example.

**Example 9.6.** Let \( F \) be a number field of degree \( n \) containing \( \mathbb{Q}(i) \). Let \( m, m' \in \mathbb{Z} \) satisfy \( \frac{1}{2}|\Delta_F|^{1/2n} < m, m' < |\Delta_F|^{1/2n} - 1 \). Let \( I \) and \( I' \) denote the inverses of the \( \mathcal{O}_F \)-ideals generated by \( m - i \) and \( m' - i \) respectively. Then \( 1 \) is primitive in both \( I \) and \( I' \) and the norms of \( I^{-1} \) and \( I'^{-1} \) do not exceed \( \partial_F = (\frac{2}{9})^{1/2} |\Delta_F|^{1/2} \). It follows that \( d(I) \) and \( d(I') \) are in \( \text{Red}'_F \). If the images of \( d(I) \) and \( d(I') \) in \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F \) are equal, Prop. 6.4 implies that \( I = mI' \) for some \( m \in \mathbb{Q}^* \). Since \( 1 \) is primitive in both \( I \) and \( I' \), it follows that \( m = \pm 1 \). This implies that \( I = I' \) and hence that \( N(I) = m^2 + 1 \) is equal to \( N(I') = m'^2 + 1 \), so that \( m = m' \). Therefore \( d(I) \) and \( d(I') \) are distinct in \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F \), whenever \( m \) and \( m' \) are.

Assume in addition that \( |m - m'| < |\Delta_F|^{1/3n} \) and that \( |\Delta_F| > 4^{6n} \). Then the distance between \( m \) and \( m' \) is much smaller than \( m \) and \( m' \) themselves. The distance between the Arakelov divisors \( d(I) \) and \( d(I') \) in \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F \) is at most \( 4\sqrt{n} |\log((m - i)/(m' - i))| \). This does not exceed \( 4\sqrt{n}|m - m'|/(|m - i| - |m - m'|) \leq \sqrt{n}|\Delta_F|^{1/3n}/(\frac{1}{2}|\Delta_F|^{1/2n} - |\Delta_F|^{1/3n}) \leq 4\sqrt{n}|\Delta_F|^{-1/6n} \).

In this way we obtain \( |\Delta_F|^{1/3n} \) elements of \( \text{Red}'_F \) whose images in \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F \) are distinct, but are as close as \( 4\sqrt{n}|\Delta_F|^{-1/6n} \) to one another. By varying \( F \) over degree \( n/2 \) extensions of \( \mathbb{Q}(i) \), we can make \( |\Delta_F| \) as large as we like. One may replace \( \mathbb{Q}(i) \) by any number field and proceed similarly.

**Example 9.7.** Let \( n \geq 4 \) and \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) be such that the polynomial \( X^n - a \) is irreducible over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Let \( \alpha \) denote a zero and put \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \). Suppose that the ring of integers of \( F \) is equal to \( \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \). There are infinitely many such integers \( a \). Then \( |\Delta_F| = n^4|a|^{n-1} \) and \( |\sigma(\alpha)| = |a|^{1/n} \) for every infinite prime \( \sigma \). Let \( m, m' \in \mathbb{Z} \) satisfy \( \frac{1}{2}|a|^{1/2-1/2n} + |a|^{1/n} < \)
It follows that for each \( \sigma \),
\[
| |m - m'| \leq |a|^{1/4}.
\]
Consider two Arakelov divisors \( d(I) \) and \( d(J) \) given by 
\[ I^{-1} = (m - \alpha)O_F \quad \text{and} \quad J^{-1} = (m' - \alpha)O_F. \]
The norms of \( I^{-1} \) and \( J^{-1} \) are at most 
\[ \partial_F = \left( \frac{2}{7} \right)^{r_2} |\Delta_F|^{1/2}. \]
Since both \( I \) and \( J \) contain 1 as a primitive element, we have 
\[ d(I), d(J) \in \text{Red}_F. \]
The argument used in Example 9.6 shows that the images of \( d(I) \) and 
\( d(J) \) in \( \widetilde{\text{Pic}}_F \) are distinct when \( m \neq m' \). The difference between 
\( d(I) \) and \( d(J) \) is equal to \( (IJ^{-1}, N(IJ^{-1})^{1/n}) \) which is equivalent to 
\( (O_F, v) \) where
\[
v = \frac{m - \sigma(\alpha)}{m' - \sigma(\alpha)} \left| N \left( \frac{m' - \alpha}{m - \alpha} \right) \right|^{1/n}.
\]
It follows that \( \|d(I) - d(J)\|_{\text{Pic}} \) is at most 
\[ 2\sqrt{n} \max_{\sigma} \left| \log\left( \frac{m - \sigma(\alpha)}{m' - \sigma(\alpha)} \right) \right|. \]
Since \( (m - \sigma(\alpha))/(m' - \sigma(\alpha)) = 1 + (m - m')/(m' - \sigma(\alpha)) \) and since 
\( |m' - \sigma(\alpha)| \geq m' - |\sigma(\alpha)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |a|^{1/2 - 1/2n} \), the absolute value of the logarithm of 
\( (m - \sigma(\alpha))/(m' - \sigma(\alpha)) \) is at most \( 4|m - m'|/|a|^{1/2 - 1/2n} \) 
for each \( \sigma \). It follows that \( \|d(I) - d(J)\|_{\text{Pic}} \) is at most 
\[ 4\sqrt{n}|a|^{-1/4 + 1/2n}, \]
which becomes arbitrarily small as \( |a| \) grows.

10. Computations with reduced Arakelov divisors.

In this section we discuss the set of reduced Arakelov divisors from a computational point 
of view. Our presentation is rather informal. In particular, we do not say much about the 
accuracy of the approximations required to perform the computations with the real and 
complex numbers involved. See [30] for a more rigorous approach. Since Arakelov divisors 
can be represented as lattices in the Euclidean space \( F_\mathbb{R} \), lattice reduction algorithms 
play an important role. When the degree \( n \) of the number field is large, the celebrated 
LLL-reduction algorithm [16, 20] is an important tool.

We suppose that the number field \( F \) is given as \( \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \) where \( \alpha \) is the zero of some 
irreducible monic polynomial \( \varphi(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \). We assume that we have already computed 
an LLL-reduced basis \( \{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\} \) for the ring of integers \( O_F \) embedded in \( F_\mathbb{R} \). In other 
words, we have an explicit lattice
\[
O_F = \omega_1\mathbb{Z} + \ldots + \omega_n\mathbb{Z} \subset F_\mathbb{R},
\]
with, say, an LLL-reduced basis \( \{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\} \). Such a basis can be computed as explained 
in [18, sect.4] or [10, sect.6.1] combined with a basis reduction algorithm. We have also 
computed a multiplication table i.e., coefficients \( \lambda_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z} \) for which \( \omega_i\omega_j = \sum_k \lambda_{ijk}\omega_k \).
The discriminant \( \Delta_F \) of \( F \) is the integer given by 
\( \Delta_F = \det(\text{Tr}(\omega_i\omega_j)) \). By [18, section 
2.10] we have that \( \lambda_{ijk} = |\Delta_F|^{O(n)} \). We view the degree \( n \) of \( F \) as fixed and estimate the 
runtime times of the algorithms in terms of \( |\Delta_F| \).

An Arakelov divisor or oriented Arakelov divisor \( D = (I, u) \) is determined by its 
associated ideal \( I \) and the vector \( u \in F_\mathbb{R}^* \cong \prod_{\sigma} F_{\sigma}^* \). It can be represented by an \( n \times n \) matrix \( \lambda_{ij} \) for which the vectors 
\( \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij}\omega_j \) are an LLL-reduced basis for the lattice \( I \subset F_\mathbb{R} \), 
together with a sufficiently accurate approximation of the vector \( u = (u_\sigma)_{\sigma} \). We have that 
\( \lambda_{ij} = O(N(I)) \). See [30]. In practice, one might want to take logarithms and work with 
the vectors \( (\log(u_\sigma))_{\sigma} \). There are efficient algorithms to multiply ideals, to compute inverses
and to test for equality. See [10, sects.4.6-8]. Using these one can compute efficiently in the group \( \text{Div}_F \). The algorithms have been implemented in the LiDIA, MAGMA and PARI software packages [21, 22, 24].

Rather than the Arakelov divisor group, we are interested in computing in the Arakelov class group \( \text{Pic}_0^0 \). We do calculations in this group by means of the set \( \text{Red}_F \) of reduced divisors in \( \text{Div}_0^0 \). By Theorems 7.4 and 7.7, the image of the finite set \( \text{Red}_F \) is in a certain sense regularly distributed in the compact groups \( \text{Pic}_0^0 \) and \( \tilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0 \). Reduced divisors have one further property that is important for our application: a reduced divisor \( D \) is of the form \( D = d(I) = (I, N(I)^{-1/n}) \) where \( I^{-1} \) is and integral ideal of norm at most \( \partial_F = \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^r |\Delta_F|^{1/2} \). Therefore \( D \) can be represented using only \( O(\log|\Delta_F|) \) bits.

Before describing the algorithms, we formulate a lemma concerning the Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz (LLL) lattice reduction algorithm [16].

**Lemma 10.1.** Let \( b_1, \ldots, b_n \) be an LLL-reduced basis of a real vector space \( V \). Then for every vector \( x = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i b_i \) of \( V \) we have that

\[
|m_i| \left| b_i^* \right| \leq \left( \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{n-i} |x|, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.
\]

*Proof.* See [20].

**Corollary 10.2.** Let \( b_1, \ldots, b_n \) be an LLL-reduced basis of a real vector space \( V \). Then we have for any vector \( x = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i b_i \) in \( V \) that

\[
|m_i| \leq 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{n-i} \frac{|x|}{\left| b_1 \right|}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

*Proof.* The LLL-conditions [16] imply \( \|b_1^*\| \leq 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \|b_i^*\| \) for every \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Since \( b_1 = b_1^* \), the result follows from Lemma 10.1.

We have the following basic algorithms at our disposal. For number fields of fixed degree \( n \), each runs in time polynomial in \( \log|\Delta_F| \).

**Algorithm 10.3.** *(Reduction algorithm)* Given an Arakelov divisor \( D = (I, u) \in \text{Div}_F^0 \),

- check whether it is reduced or not;
- compute a reduced divisor \( D' \) that is close to \( D \) in \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \).

**Description.** We compute an LLL-reduced basis \( b_1, \ldots, b_n \) of the lattice \( uI \subset F_R \). Any shortest vector \( x = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i b_i \) in the lattice satisfies \( \|x\|/\left| b_1 \right| \leq 1 \). Therefore Corollary 10.2 implies that the coordinates \( m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \) are bounded independent of the discriminant of \( F \). To compute a shortest vector in the lattice in time polynomial in \( \log|\Delta_F| \), we may therefore just try all possible \( m_i \).

In order to compute a reduced divisor \( D' \) that is close to \( D \) in \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \), we compute a shortest vector \( f \) in the lattice \( I \) associated to \( D \). The divisor \( D' = d(f^{-1} I) \) is then
In particular, the inequality holds for a non-zero \( y \in I \) that is shortest with respect to the metric of \( P \).
Proof. Let $D'$ be a reduced divisor for which we have $|D - D'|_{\text{Pic}} < \epsilon$. Then we have $D' = d(I\mu^{-1})$ for some minimal element $\mu \in I$. By Proposition 6.2 there is a unit $\varepsilon$ so that for $D'' = D - (\mu) + (O_F, |\varepsilon|)$ we have

$$e^{-\|D' - D''\|_{\text{Pic}}} \leq \frac{|x|_D}{|x|_{D''}} \leq e^{\|D' - D''\|_{\text{Pic}}}, \text{ for every } x \in I\mu^{-1}.$$ 

We multiply $\mu$ by $\varepsilon$. Then $\mu$ remains a minimal element of $I$ and the divisor $D'$ does not change. But now $D''$ is equal to $D - (\mu)$. Since we have $|D - D'|_{\text{Pic}} = |D' - D''|_{\text{Pic}}$, the inequality above and Proposition 7.1 imply that

$$\|\mu\|_D = |1|_{D - (\mu)} \leq e^{\epsilon} |1|_{D'} \leq e^{\epsilon} \sqrt{n}|x|_{D'} \leq e^{2\epsilon} \sqrt{n}|x|_{D - (\mu)} = e^{2\epsilon} \sqrt{n}|x\mu|_D,$$

for any non-zero $x \in I\mu^{-1}$. It follows that we have $\|\mu\|_D \leq \sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon} |y|_D$ for all non-zero $y \in I$. This proves the proposition.

I owe the following algorithm to Hendrik Lenstra. See [2, 4, 30] for a different approach.

Algorithm 10.7. (Scan algorithm.) Let $D = (I, u)$ be an Arakelov divisor of degree 0 and let $R > 0$. Compute all reduced Arakelov divisors in a ball in the Arakelov class group $\text{Pic}_F^0$ of radius $R$ and center $D$ in time polynomial in $\log|\Delta_F|$ and linear in the volume of the ball.

Description. Choose $\epsilon, \epsilon' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon < R$. Inside the open ball of divisors in $\text{Pic}_F^0$ having distance at most $R + \epsilon$ from $D$, we compute a web of regularly distributed points. The points $P$ in the web are at most $\epsilon$ and at least $\epsilon'$ apart, say. By Theorem 7.4 every $P$ is the class of a divisor of the form $D' + (O_F, v)$ for some reduced divisor $D' = d(J)$ and a totally positive $v \in F_R^*$ satisfying $\|v\|_{\text{Pic}} < \log(\partial_F)$. Moreover, LLL-reduced bases for the lattice associated to each $P$ can be computed in time polynomial in $\log|\Delta_F|$.

By Lemma 10.6, the reduced divisors we are looking for are among the divisors of the form $d(J\mu^{-1})$ where $D' = d(J)$ is reduced, $P = D' + (O_F, v)$ is in the web and $\mu \in J$ is a minimal element for which $\|\mu\|_P$ is at most $e^{2\epsilon} \sqrt{n}$ times the length of a shortest non-zero element $x \in J$. So, it suffices to compute the elements $\mu$ for all $P$ in the web. For a given $P$, Corollary 10.2 says that the number of vectors $\mu \in J$ of length at most $e^{2\epsilon} \sqrt{n}$ times the length of the shortest non-zero vector, is bounded independently of $P$ and even of the discriminant of $F$. They can be computed in time polynomial in $\log|\Delta_F|$. Minimality of the elements $\mu$ can be tested by means of Algorithm 10.3. Finally, since the divisors $P$ are at least $\epsilon'$ apart, the number of points in the web is proportional to the volume of the ball. This completes the description of the algorithm.

Algorithm 10.8. (Jump algorithm.) Given the coefficients of a divisor $D = \sum_p n_p p + \sum_{\sigma} x_{\sigma} \sigma$ of degree 0, compute a reduced Arakelov divisor whose image in $\text{Pic}_F^0$ has distance less than $\log(\partial_F)$ from $D$.

Description. We assume that at most $O(\log|\Delta_F|)$ coefficients of $D$ are non-zero and that the coefficients themselves are of size $|\Delta_F|^{O(1)}$. Directly applying the reduction algorithm to $D$ is not a very good idea, since the LLL-algorithm and therefore the reduction algorithm
run in time polynomial in \( \log \| u \| \) which is \textit{exponential} in the terms of the \( \log(x_\sigma) \). Therefore we proceed differently.

It is easy to compute a reduced divisor that is close to \( d(I) \). Here \( I \) is the ideal \( \prod_p p^{n_p} \).
Indeed, for each prime ideal \( p \) with \( n_p \neq 0 \), we compute a reduced divisor \( D' \) close to \( d(p) = (p, N(p)^{-1/n}) \) and then by successive squarings, multiplications and reductions we compute a reduced divisor close to \( d(I) \). Here we use the binary expansion of the coefficient \( n_p \).
The coefficients at the primes \( p \) of the divisor \( D - d(I) \) are all equal to zero.
Therefore it suffices to explain how to compute a reduced divisor that is close to a given divisor \( D \) of the form \( D = (O_F, v) \) for some \( v \).

We first compute a list of \( r_1 + r_2 - 1 \) reduced divisors \( E_i \) in the connected component of identity of \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \) that are equivalent to divisors \( (O_F, v_i) \) that have the property that the vectors \( v_i \) form a reasonably orthogonal basis of short vectors of the, multiplicatively written, metric vector space \( (\prod_\sigma R_\sigma^+)^0 \).
This can be accomplished as follows.
Pick \( w_1 \in (\prod_\sigma R_\sigma^+)^0 \) of length \( \log|\Delta_F| \) at random and reduce the divisor \( (O_F, w_1) \) to \( E_1 = d(f_1^{-1}O_F) \) for some \( f_1 \in O_F \).
Then \( E_1 \) is equivalent to \( (O_F, v_1) \) where \( v_1 = |f_1|/|N(f_1)|^{1/n}w_1 \).
When \( E_1, \ldots, E_i \) are constructed, then pick \( w_{i+1} \) of length \( \log|\Delta_F| \) in the orthogonal complement of the span of \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_i\} \) inside \( (\prod_\sigma R_\sigma^+)^0 \) and reduce \( (O_F, w_{i+1}) \) to \( E_{i+1} \), which is equivalent to \( (O_F, v_{i+1}) \) where \( v_{i+1} = |f_{i+1}|/|N(f_{i+1})|^{1/n}w_{i+1} \) for some \( f_{i+1} \in O_F \).
This completes the description of the calculation of the ‘good basis’ \( \{E_i\} \).

To express \( D = (O_F, v) \) as a sum of the divisors \( E_i \), we solve a linear system and write \( v = \prod_i v_i^{\lambda_i} \) and let \( m_i \) denote the integers nearest to \( \lambda_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq r_1 + r_2 - 1 \). Then the coefficients \( m_i \) are at most \( O(|\Delta_F|^{O(1)}) \).
Using the binary expansion of the coefficients \( m_i \) we compute the sum \( \sum_i m_i E_i \) by means of successive compositions and reductions.

The result is a reduced divisor that is quite close to \( (O_F, v) \). One can get as close as \( \log(\partial_F) \) to \( D \) by additional compositions with the divisors \( E_i \) or by adjusting the infinite components and reducing.
We leave this to the reader. The amount of calculations to do all this is bounded by \( \log|\Delta_F|^{O(n)} \).

This completes the description of the algorithm.

We leave the reader the task of modifying these algorithms so that they work for the group \( \tilde{\text{Div}}_F \) of \textit{oriented} divisors and for the oriented Arakelov class group \( \tilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0 \). The only difference is that the unit \( u \) of an extended Arakelov divisor \( D = (I, u) \) is a complex rather than a positive real number.
The image of the set of reduced Arakelov divisors in this group is probably also reasonably dense in \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \) and that’s all we need for the Jump Algorithm to work.
See Question 9.1.

\textbf{Application 10.9.} As an application we present an algorithm to compute the function \( h^0(D) \) that was introduced in [31].
For an Arakelov divisor \( D = (I, u) \), the number \( h^0(D) \) should be viewed as the arithmetic analogue of the dimension of the space of global sections of a divisor \( D \) on an algebraic curve.
The number \( h^0(D) \) depends only on the class of \( D \) in \( \text{Pic}_F^0 \) and is defined as
\[
h^0(D) = \log(\sum_{f \in I} \exp(-\pi |f|^2_D)).
\]
See section 4 for the close relation between the function $h^0(D)$ and the Hermite constant $\gamma(D)$ of the ideal lattice associated to $D$. Since the short vectors $f \in I$ contribute the most to this exponentially quickly converging sum, the function $h^0(D)$ can be evaluated most efficiently when we know a good, i.e., a reasonably orthogonal basis for $I$. As we explained above, a direct application of a lattice reduction algorithm to $D$ may be very time consuming. Therefore we apply the Jump algorithm. We jump to a reduced divisor $D' = d(J)$ close to $D$ in Pic$^*_F$. Then $D$ is equivalent to $D' + (O_F, v)$ for some $v \in F^*_R$ and

$$h^0(D) = h^0(D' + (O_F, v)) = \log \left(\sum_{f \in J} \exp \left( -\pi \| f \|_{D' + (O_F, v)}^2 \right) \right),$$

$$= \log \left(\sum_{f \in J} \exp \left( -\pi N(J)^{-2/n} \sum_{\sigma} \deg(\sigma) |\sigma(f)|^2 v^2_{\sigma} \right) \right).$$

Since $D'$ is reduced and the vector $v = (v_\sigma)_\sigma$ is short, an LLL reduced basis for the lattice associated to $D' + (O_F, v)$ can be computed efficiently. Such a basis can be computed efficiently since $J^{-1}$ is an integral ideal of norm at most $|\Delta_F|^{1/2}$. This completes the description of the algorithm to compute $h^0(D)$.

11. A deterministic algorithm.

In this section we describe a deterministic algorithm to compute the Arakelov class group of a number field $F$ of degree $n$ and discriminant $\Delta_F$. It runs in time proportional to $\sqrt{|\Delta_F|}$ times a power of $\log |\Delta_F|$.

Lemma 11.1. Let $B > 0$. Then any ideal $J \subset O_F$ with $N(J) < B$ is of the form $J = xI^{-1}$, where

- the Arakelov divisor $D = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})$ is reduced;
- the element $u = N(x)^{1/n}/|x|$ of $F^*_R$ satisfies $\|u\|_{\text{Pic}} < \log(\partial_F)$;
- the element $x$ is contained in $I$ and satisfies $\|x\|_{D+(O_F, u)} < \sqrt{n}B^{1/n}$.

Proof. Suppose that $J \subset O_F$ satisfies $N(J) < B$. By Minkowski’s Theorem there exists $y \in J^{-1}$, a shortest vector in $J^{-1} \subset F^*_R$ satisfying $|\sigma(y)| < N(J)^{-1/n}\partial_F^{1/n}$ for every $\sigma$. We pick such an element $y$, put $x = 1/y$ and $I = xJ^{-1}$. Then the Arakelov divisor $D = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})$ is reduced. Moreover, since $xI^{-1} = J \subset O_F$, we have $x \in I$.

Writing $u = N(x)^{1/n}/|x|$, all coordinates of the vector $N(I)^{-1/n}ux$ have absolute value $N(I)^{-1/n}N(x)^{1/n} = N(J)^{1/n}$ so that $\|x\|_{D+(O_F, u)} = \sqrt{n}N(J)^{1/n} < \sqrt{n}B^{1/n}$. Finally, we estimate $\|u\|_{\text{Pic}}$. Since $N(I) \leq 1$, we have

$$|u_\sigma| = \frac{|N(x)|^{1/n}}{|\sigma(x)|} = |\sigma(y)||N(x)|^{1/n} \leq N(J)^{-1/n}\partial_F^{1/n}|N(x)|^{1/n} = N(I)^{1/n}\partial_F^{1/n} \leq \partial_F^{1/n}.$$ 

Lemma 7.5 implies than that $\|u\|_{\text{Pic}} \leq (1 - \frac{1}{n})^{1/2} \log(\partial_F) \leq \log(\partial_F)$ as required.

It is not difficult to see that the converse of Lemma 11.1 also holds: any ideal $J \subset O_F$ for which the three conditions are satisfied, automatically has norm at most $B$. 
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Algorithm 11.2. Suppose we have computed all reduced divisors in a given connected component of the Arakelov class group $\text{Pic}^0_F$. In the component, detect all divisors that are of the form $(J^{-1}, N(J)^{1/n})$ with $J \subset O_F$ and $N(J) < \partial_F$.

**Description.** Let $\epsilon, \epsilon' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon$. For each reduced divisor $D = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})$ in the given connected component, we make a web in the ball of center $D = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})$ and radius $\log(\partial_F)$, whose members $P = D + (O_F, v) = (I, N(I)^{-1/n}v)$ are at most $\epsilon$ and at least $\epsilon'$ apart. For each divisor $P = D + (O_F, v)$ in the web, we compute the vectors $x$ for which we have $\|x\|_P \leq \sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon} \partial_F^{1/n}$. This is done as follows. First we compute an LLL-reduced basis $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ for the lattice associated to the Arakelov divisor $P$. Let $b_1^*, \ldots, b_n^*$ denote its Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization. By Lemma 10.1 we have for any vector $x = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i b_i$ in the lattice for which $\|x\|_P$ is at most $\sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon} \partial_F^{1/n}$, that

$$|m_i| \|b_i^*\| \leq \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} \sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon} \partial_F^{1/n}.$$ 

We simply try all coefficients $m_i$ satisfying this inequality.

For each such element $x$ we then compute the corresponding ideals $J = I^{-1}x$. The ideals $J$ that we compute in this way are contained in $O_F$. Moreover, every ideal $J \subset O_F$ of norm at most $\partial_F$ and for which the Arakelov divisor $(J^{-1}, N(J)^{1/n})$ lies on the given component, is obtained in this way. Indeed, if we have $N(J) < \partial_F$, Lemma 11.2 with $B = \partial_F$ implies that $J = xI^{-1}$ for some reduced divisor $d(I) = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})$ and some $x \in I$. Moreover, we have $\|x\|_{D+(O_F,u)} < \sqrt{n} \partial_F^{1/n}$ for some $u$ satisfying $\|u\|_{\text{Pic}} < \log(\partial_F)$. This means that the divisor $D+(O_F,u)$ is contained in the ball of center $D = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})$ and radius $\log(\partial_F)$. Therefore there is a member $P = D + (O_F, v)$ of the web at distance at most $\epsilon$ from $D + (O_F, u)$. Proposition 6.2 implies then that

$$\|x\|_P \leq e^{2\epsilon} \sqrt{n} \partial_F^{1/n},$$

as required.

This shows that we encounter all ideals $J$ that we are after. But we’ll find many more and we’ll find each ideal many times. Indeed, the vectors $x = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i b_i$ that we consider in the computation above satisfy $|m_i| \|b_i^*\| \leq \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} \sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon} \partial_F^{1/n}$ for each $i$ and hence

$$\|x\|_P \leq n \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} e^{2\epsilon} \partial_F^{1/n}.$$ 

It follows from the arithmetic geometric mean inequality that for the ideal $J = xI^{-1}$ we have

$$N(J) = N(xI^{-1}) \leq n^{n/2}e^{2\epsilon n} \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n(n-1)} \partial_F.$$ 

In order to estimate the running time of this algorithm, we estimate the number of ideals $J$ that we compute and additionally, we estimate for how many divisors $P$ in the web and
how many vectors \(x\), we obtain each ideal \(J\). By [18, Thm.6.5], the number of ideals \(J\) is bounded by \(\sqrt{|\Delta F|}\) times a power of \(\log|\Delta F|\) times a constant that depends only on the degree \(n\). Next we bound the number of times we find each ideal \(J\).

First, suppose that for some divisor \(D = (I, N(I)^{-1/n}) + (O_F, v)\) in the web, there are two elements \(x, x' \in I^{-1}\) satisfying \(\|x\|_P, \|x'\|_P \leq \sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon}\partial_F^{1/n}\), for which the ideals \(xI^{-1}\) and \(x'I^{-1}\) are the same. Then we have \(|\sigma(x)N(I)^{-1/n}v_\sigma| \leq \sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon}\partial_F^{1/n}\) for each \(\sigma\). Since we have \(|N(v)| = 1\), the product over \(\sigma\) satisfies

\[
\prod_{\sigma} |\sigma(x)N(I)^{-1/n}v_\sigma|^{\deg(\sigma)} = N(xI^{-1}) \geq 1.
\]

Therefore we have

\[
-(n - 1) \log(\sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon}\partial_F^{1/n}) \leq \log|\sigma(x)N(I)^{-1/n}v_\sigma| \leq \log(\sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon}\partial_F^{1/n})
\]

for every \(\sigma\). We have the same inequalities for \(x'\). Therefore the unit \(\varepsilon = x'/x\) satisfies

\[
-\log(\partial_F) - n \log(\sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon}) \leq \log|\varepsilon| = \log\left|\frac{\sigma(x')}{\sigma(x)}\right| \leq \log(\partial_F) + n \log(\sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon})
\]

for every \(\sigma\) and hence we have

\[
\|\log|\varepsilon|\| \leq \sqrt{n} \log(\partial_F) + n^{3/2} \log(\sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon}).
\]

By Dobrowolski [12], there exists an absolute constant \(c > 0\), so that any unit \(\varepsilon \in O_F^\times\) that is not a root of unity satisfies \(\|\log|\varepsilon|\| > cn^{-3/2}\). Since the number of roots of unity in \(F\) is \(O(n \log(n))\), the number of units satisfying the bounds above is bounded by a polynomial expression in \(\log(\partial_F)\). It follows that the number of distinct elements \(x \in I\) for which the ideals \(xI^{-1}\) are equal to the same ideal \(J \subset O_F\) is also bounded by a polynomial expression in \(\log(\partial_F)\).

Next, suppose that an ideal \(J \subset O_F\) of norm at most \(\partial_F\) is of the form \(xI^{-1}\) where \(D = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})\) is a reduced divisor and \(x \in I\) satisfies \(\|x\|_P \leq e^{2\epsilon}\partial_F^{1/n}\) for some divisor \(P = D + (O_F, v)\) in the web constructed. In particular, \(v\) satisfies \(\|v\|_{\text{Pic}} < \log(\partial_F)\). This implies that

\[
\left|\frac{\sigma(x)}{N(x)^{1/n}v_\sigma^{-1}}\right| = \left|\sigma(x)N(I)^{1/n}v_\sigma^{-1}\right| \frac{1}{N(J)^{1/n}} \leq \sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon}\partial_F^{1/n}.
\]

It follows that the Arakelov divisors \(P\) and \((J^{-1}, N(J)^{1/n})\) are rather close to one another in \(\text{Pic}^0_F\). Indeed, we have

\[
\|P - (J^{-1}, N(J)^{1/n})\|_{\text{Pic}} = \|(O_F, |x|N(x)^{-1/n}v_\sigma^{-1})\|_{\text{Pic}}.
\]

Since we have \(\log|\sigma(x)N(x)^{-1/n}v_\sigma^{-1}| < \log(\sqrt{n}e^{2\epsilon}\partial_F^{1/n})\) for every infinite prime \(\sigma\), it follows from Lemma 7.5 that we have

\[
\|P - (J, N(J)^{1/n})\|_{\text{Pic}} < \log(n^{2/n}e^{2\epsilon/n}\partial_F).
\]
By Corollary 7.9, the number of reduced divisors in a ball is bounded by some constant, depending only on the degree of the number field, times its volume. Therefore the number of web members $P$ for which we encounter a given ideal $J \subset O_F$, is bounded by a polynomial expression in $\log(\partial_F)$.

This completes the description and our analysis of the algorithm.

**A Deterministic Algorithm.** Finally we explain the deterministic algorithm to compute the Arakelov class group of a number field $F$. This algorithm seems to have been known to the experts. It was explained to me by Hendrik Lenstra. We start at the neutral element $(O_F, 1)$ of the Arakelov class group. We use Algorithm 10.3 to determine all reduced Arakelov divisors in the ball of radius $2\log(\partial_F)$ and center $(O_F, 1)$. Then we do the same with the reduced divisors $D$ we found: determine all reduced Arakelov divisors in the ball of radius $2\log(\partial_F)$ and center $D$. Proceeding in a systematic way that is somewhat complicated to write down, we find in this way all reduced divisors in the connected component of identity. Keeping track of their positions in terms of the coordinates in $\prod_{\sigma} F_{\sigma}$ one computes in this way the absolute values of a set of generators of the unit group $O_F^\times$.

The running time is proportional to the volume of the connected component of identity and is polynomial in $\log |\Delta_F|$.

Next we use Algorithm 11.2 and make a list $\mathcal{L}$ of all integral ideals $J \subset O_F$ of norm at most $\partial_F$, for which $(J^{-1}, N(J)^{1/n})$ is on the connected component of identity. The amount of work is again proportional to the volume of the connected component of identity and polynomial in $\log |\Delta_F|$. By Minkowski’s Theorem, the prime ideals of norm at most $\partial_F$ generate the ideal class group of $F$. Therefore we check whether all prime ideals of norm at most $\partial_F$ are in the list. This involves computing $\gcd$’s of the polynomial that defines the number field $F$ with the polynomials $X_{p^i} - X$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ for prime numbers $p$ that are smaller than the Minkowski bound $\partial_F$. One reads off the degrees of the prime ideals over $p$ and hence the number of primes of norm $p^i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. The amount of work is linear in the length of the list and polynomial time in $\log p$ for each prime $p$. If all prime ideals of norm at most $\partial_F$ are in the list $\mathcal{L}$, then we are done. The class number is 1 and the Arakelov class group is connected.

However, if we do encounter a prime number $p$, for which a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of norm $p^i < \partial_F$ is missing, then we compute it. This involves factoring a polynomial of degree $n$ modulo $p$. When we do this with a simple minded trial division algorithm, the amount of work is at most $p^i \partial_F$ times a power of $\log |\Delta_F|$. By successive multiplications and reductions, we compute for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ reduced divisor $D_j$ in the connected components of the Arakelov class groups that contain divisors of the form $(\mathfrak{p}^j, u)$ for some $u$. Each time we check whether $D_j$ is already in the list $\mathcal{L}$. If it is, we stop computing divisors $D_j$.

Then we repeat the algorithm, but this time we work with the connected components of the divisors $D_j$ rather than $(O_F, 1)$: we use Algorithm 10.3 to determine all reduced Arakelov divisors in the balls of radius $2\log(\partial_F)$ and center $D_j$. Then we do the same with the reduced divisors we found, and so on . . . Once we have computed all reduced divisors on the connected components of $D_j$, we use Algorithm 11.2 to compute all integral ideals $J \subset O_F$ of norm at most $\partial_F$, for which $(J^{-1}, N(J)^{1/n})$ is on the connected components of the divisors $D_j$ and we add these to the list $\mathcal{L}$.

When we are done with this, the list $\mathcal{L}$ contains all integral ideals $J \subset O_F$ of norm
at most $\partial_F$, whose classes are in the group generated by the ideal class of $p$. We check again whether all prime ideals of norm at most $\partial_F$ are in the list. If this turns out to be the case, we are done. The ideal class group is cyclic, generated by the class of $p$. If, on the other hand, we do encounter a second prime number $q$, for which a prime ideal $q^i < \partial_F$ is missing, then we compute it. We compute reduced divisors that are in the components of the powers of $q \ldots$ etc.

For each new prime that we find is not in the list $\mathcal{L}$, we factor a polynomial and the amount of work to do this is at most $\partial_F$. However, since the ideal class group has order at most $\sqrt{|\Delta_F|}$ times power of $\log |\Delta_F|$, we need to do this at most $\log |\Delta_F|$ times. As a result this algorithm takes time at most $\sqrt{|\Delta_F|}$ times power of $\log |\Delta_F|$.

12. Buchmann’s algorithm.

In this section we briefly sketch Buchmann’s algorithm [7, 8] for computing the Arakelov divisor class group and, as a corollary, the class group and regulator of a number field $F$. This algorithm combines the infrastructure idea with an algorithm for complex quadratic number fields presented by J. Hafner and K. McCurley [14] in 1989. When we fix the degree of $F$, the algorithm is under reasonable assumptions subexponential in the discriminant of the number field $F$. A practical approach is described in [10, section 6.5]. The algorithm has been implemented in the LiDIA, MAGMA and PARI software packages [21, 22, 24]. See also [30].

Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$. The structure of Buchmann’s algorithm is very simple. Our first description involves the Arakelov class group $\text{Pic}^0_F$ rather than the oriented group $\tilde{\text{Pic}}^0_F$.

**Step 1. Estimate the volume of $\text{Pic}^0_F$.** By Prop. 6.5 the volume of the compact Lie group $\text{Pic}^0_F$ is given by

$$\text{vol}(\text{Pic}^0_F) = \frac{w_F \sqrt{n}}{2^{r_1} (2\pi \sqrt{2})^{r_2}} \cdot |\Delta_F|^{1/2} \cdot \text{Res}_{s=1} \zeta_F(s).$$

The computation of $r_1$, $r_2$ and $w_F = \# \mu_F$ is easy. The discriminant is computed as a byproduct of the calculation of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$. Approximating the residue of the zeta function

$$\zeta_F(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{N(p)^s}\right)^{-1}$$

in $s = 1$ is done by dividing $\zeta_F(s)$ by the zeta function of $\mathbb{Q}$ and by directly evaluating a truncated Euler product

$$\prod_{p \leq X} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \mid \theta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N(p)^s}\right)^{-1}.$$ 

This involves factoring the ideals $p\mathcal{O}_F$ for all prime numbers $p < X$. See [10] for efficient methods to do this. The Euler product converges rather slowly. Under assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis for the zeta function of $F$, using the primes $p < X$, the relative error is $O(X^{-1/2}\log|\Delta_F X|)$. Here the O-symbol only depends on the degree of the
number field $F$. See [6, 25]. Therefore, there is a constant $c$ only depending on the degree of $F$, so that if we truncate the Euler product at $X = c \log^2 |\Delta_F|$, the relative error in the approximation of $\text{vol}(\text{Pic}^0_F)$ is at most $1/2$.

**Step 2. Compute a factor basis.** We compute a factor base $\mathcal{B}$ i.e., a list of prime ideals $p$ of $O_F$ of norm less than $Y$ for some $Y > 0$. Computing a factor basis involves factoring the ideals $pO_F$ for various prime numbers $p$. It is convenient to do this alongside the computation of the Euler factors in Step 1. We add the infinite primes to our factor basis. By normalizing, we obtain in this way a factor basis of Arakelov divisors of degree 0. The factor basis should be so large that the natural homomorphism

$$
\left( \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{B}} \mathbb{Z} \times \bigoplus_{\sigma} \mathbb{R} \right)^0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^0_F
$$

is surjective. By Prop. 2.2 this means that the classes of the primes in $\mathcal{B}$ must generate the ideal class group. Under assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis for the $L$-functions $L(s, \chi)$ associated to characters $\chi$ of the ideal class group $\text{Cl}_F$ of $F$, this is the case for $Y > c' \log^2 |\Delta_F|$ for some constant $c' > 0$ that only depends on the degree of $F$. Taking $\mathcal{B}$ this big, we have that

$$
\text{Pic}^0_F = \left( \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{B}} \mathbb{Z} \times \bigoplus_{\sigma} \mathbb{R} \right)^0 / H
$$

where $H$ is the discrete subgroup of principal divisors of $\mathcal{B}$-units, i.e., the group $H$ consists of divisors $(f)$ where $f \in F^*$ are elements whose prime factorizations involve only prime ideals $p \in \mathcal{B}$.

**Step 3. Compute many elements in $H$.** An Arakelov divisor $D = (I, u)$ is called $\mathcal{B}$-smooth if $I$ is a product of powers of primes in $\mathcal{B}$. We need to find elements $f \in F^*$ for which $(f)$ is $\mathcal{B}$-smooth and hence $(f) \in H$. This is achieved by repeatedly doing the following. For at most $O(\log |\Delta_F|)$ prime ideals $p \in \mathcal{B}$ pick random exponents $m_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ of absolute value not larger than $|\Delta_F|$. In addition, pick random $x_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}$ of absolute value not larger than $|\Delta_F|$. Replacing $x_{\sigma}$ by $x_{\sigma}N(D)^{-1/n}$, scale the Arakelov divisor $D = \sum_p m_p p + \sum_{\sigma} x_{\sigma} \sigma$ so that it acquires degree zero. Then the class of $D$ is a random element of $\text{Pic}^0_F$. We use the Jump Algorithm described in section 10 and ‘jump to $D'$. The result is a reduced divisor $D' = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})$ whose image in $\text{Pic}^0_F$ is not too far from the image of $D$. This means that

$$
D = (f) + D' + (O_F, v)
$$

for some $f \in F^*$ and $v = (v_{\sigma}) \in \left( \prod_{\sigma} \mathbb{R}_+^{*} \right)^0$ for which $\|v\|_{\text{Pic}}$ is small, at most $\log(\partial_F)$ say. There is no need to compute $f$, but when one applies the Jump Algorithm one should keep track of the infinite components and compute $v$ or its logarithm.

Since the divisor $D$ is random, it seems reasonable to think of the reduced divisor $D' = (I, N(I)^{-1/n})$ as being ‘random’ as well. Next we attempt to factor the integral ideal $I^{-1}$ into a product of prime ideals $p \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $D'$ is random and since the norm of $I^{-1}$ is at most $\partial_F = (\frac{2}{\pi})^{\tau_2} |\Delta_F|^{1/2}$ and hence relatively small, we have a fair chance to succeed.
If we do, then we have $D' = \sum_{p \in B} n_p p + \sum_\sigma y_\sigma \sigma$ and hence $(f) \in H$. This factorization
leads to a relation of the form

$$(f) = D - D' - (O_F, v) = \sum_{p \in B} (m_p - n_p) p + \sum_\sigma (x_\sigma - y_\sigma + v_\sigma) \sigma.$$

In this way we have computed an explicit element in $H$.

Since we want to find many such relations, we need to be successful relatively often. In other words, the ‘random’ reduced divisors $D'$ that we obtain, should be $\mathcal{B}$-smooth relatively often. This is the weakest point of our analysis of the algorithm. In section 9 the set $\text{Red}_F'$ of Arakelov divisors $d(I)$ for which $1 \in I$ is primitive and $N(I^{-1}) \leq \sqrt{|\Delta_F|}$ was introduced. Under the assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, Buchmann and Hollinger [9] showed that when $Y \approx \exp(\sqrt{\log |\Delta_F|})$, the proportion of $\mathcal{B}$-smooth ideals $J$ with $d(J^{-1}) \in \text{Red}_F'$ is at least $\exp(-\sqrt{\log |\Delta_F|} \log \log |\Delta_F|)$. Here the Riemann Hypothesis for the zeta-function of the normal closure of $F$ is used to guarantee the existence of sufficiently many prime ideals of norm at most $\sqrt{|\Delta_F|}$ and degree 1. It is likely, but at present not known whether the proportion of $\mathcal{B}$-smooth ideals $I$ for which $d(I)$ is contained in the subset $\text{Red}_F$ rather than $\text{Red}_F'$, is also at least $\exp(-\sqrt{\log |\Delta_F|} \log \log |\Delta_F|)$. Even if this were the case, there is the problem that the divisor $D'$ that comes out of the reduction algorithm is not a ‘random’ reduced divisor. Indeed, Example 9.5 provides examples of reduced divisors that are not the reduction of any Arakelov divisor. These reduced divisors will never show up in our calculations, since everything we compute is a result of the reduction algorithm. It would be of interest to know how many such reduced divisors there may be.

For the next step we need to have computed approximately as many elements in $H$ as the size of the factor base $\mathcal{B}$. This implies that we expect to have to repeat the computation explained above about $\exp(\sqrt{\log |\Delta_F|} \log \log |\Delta_F|)$ times. When the discriminant $|\Delta_F|$ is large, this is more work than we need to do in Steps 1, 2 and 4. Step 3 is in practice the dominating part of the algorithm. It follows that the algorithm is subexponential and runs in time $O(\exp(\sqrt{\log |\Delta_F|} \log \log |\Delta_F|))$.

**Step 4. Verify that the elements computed in Step 3 actually generate $H$.** Let $H'$ denote the subgroup of $H$ generated by the divisors $(f) = \sum_{p \in B} k_p p + \sum_\sigma y_\sigma \sigma$ that we computed in Step 3. The quotient group $(\oplus_{p \in B} \mathbb{Z} \times \oplus_\sigma \mathbb{R})^0 / H'$ admits a natural map onto $\text{Pic}_F^0$. Its volume is equal to the determinant of a square matrix of size $\#\mathcal{B}$ whose rows are the coefficients of a set of $\#\mathcal{B}$ independent principal divisors that generate $H'$. If the quotient of the volume by the estimate of $\text{vol}(\text{Pic}_F^0)$ computed in Step 1, is less than $1/2$, then we have $H' = H$ and the group $(\oplus_{p \in B} \mathbb{Z} \times \oplus_\sigma \mathbb{R})^0 / H'$ is actually isomorphic to $\text{Pic}_F^0$ and we are done.

In practice this means that once we have computed somewhat more divisors $(f)$ in $H$ than $\#\mathcal{B}$, we “reduce” the coefficient matrix. From the “reduced” matrix we can read off the structure of the ideal class group as well approximations to the logarithms of the absolute values of a set of units $\varepsilon$ that generate the unit group $O_F^*$. This enables us to compute the regulator $R_F$.

This completes our description of Buchmann’s algorithm.
It seems difficult to compute approximations to the numbers $\sigma(\varepsilon)$ themselves from approximations to their absolute values $|\sigma(\varepsilon)|$. If one wants to obtain such approximations, one should apply the algorithm above to the oriented Arakelov class group. The computations are the same, but rather than real, one carries complex coordinates $x_\sigma$ along.

More precisely, we have that

$$\tilde{\text{Pic}}_F^0 = \left( \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{B}} \mathbb{Z} \times \bigoplus_{\sigma} F_{\sigma}^* \right)^0 / \tilde{H}$$

for the discrete subgroup $\tilde{H}$ that consists of elements $f \in F^*$ whose prime factorizations involve only prime ideals $p \in \mathcal{B}$. In this way one obtains approximations to $\sigma(\varepsilon_i)$ for a basis $\varepsilon_i$ of the unit group $O_F^*$. In principle, once one has such approximations one may solve the linear system $\sigma(\varepsilon_i) = \sum_j \lambda_{ij} \sigma(\omega_j)$ and compute $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $\varepsilon_i = \sum_j \lambda_{ij} \omega_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq r_1 + r_2 - 1$. However, it is well known that the size of the coefficients $\lambda_{ij}$ may grow doubly exponentially quickly in $\log|\Delta_F|$ and it is therefore not reasonable to ask for an efficient algorithm that computes a set of generators of the unit group as linear combination of the basis $\omega_k$ of the additive group $O_F$.

What can be done efficiently, is to compute a so-called compact representation of a set of generators of the unit group $O_F^*$. Briefly, this works as follows. Using the notation used in the description of the Jump Algorithm of section 10, one finds for each fundamental unit $\varepsilon_j$ integers $m_{ij}$ so that $\prod_i v_i^{m_{ij}}$ is close to $\varepsilon_j$. The Arakelov divisors $(O_F, v_i)$ are equivalent to reduced divisors $d(f_i^{-1})$. While jumping towards the fundamental unit, one keeps track of the principal ideals that are encountered on the way. For instance, if in the process one computes the sum of the divisors $(O_F, v_i)$ and $(O_F, v_j)$ and reduces the result by means of a shortest vector $f$, then the result is equivalent to the reduced divisor $d((f f_i f_j)^{-1})$. The size of the elements $f_i, f_j$ and $f \ldots$ etc. is bounded by $(\log|\Delta_F|)^{O(1)}$. With a good strategy one can jump reasonably close to the unit. The number of jumps we need to reach this point is also bounded by $(\log|\Delta_F|)^{O(1)}$. Using the approximations to the fundamental units and to the vectors $f_i, f_j, f \ldots$ etc, we can approximate a small element $g \in F^*$, so that the difference between the divisor we jumped to and the fundamental unit is equivalent to a divisor of the form $(O_F, g)$. Since $g$ is small, we can compute it in time bounded by $\log|\Delta_F|^{O(1)}$ from its the approximations of the various $\sigma(g)$. From this we easily obtain the fundamental unit $\varepsilon_j$. 
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