Simulation of the Supersonic Starting Jet Flow Interactions with a Rigid Body

Abstract

The interaction of starting flow fields of an axisymmetric supersonic under-expanded jet with the cross section of a cylinder is simulated. To achieve this goal, an implicit pressure correction algorithm based on a finite volume method on a collocated grid for simulation of compressible flows is presented. A two dimensional test problem, the supersonic flow through a wind tunnel with a step, is simulated and the numerical outcomes agree very well with previous results. Then, the method is extended to axisymmetric flows and validated for a supersonic circular jet flow. Finally, the proposed method is used to analyze the concerned problem. To describe the evolution of the starting flow fields, the Mach number, pressure, density and temperature contours evolutions through time are investigated. Also, the evolution of total force and temperature distribution on the cylinder cross section surface are studied during the collision of mushroom head of supersonic jet with the surface of cylinder.
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Introduction

Understanding of the jet aerodynamics is vital to several areas of implementation of the fluid dynamic science. Due to the significant applications, supersonic under-expanded compressible jets have been investigated widely [1-3]. Also, because of many important physical phenomena, the starting flow fields of supersonic jets have been studied previously [4,5]. Moreover, interaction of the starting flow fields of supersonic jets with rigid bodies are found in many areas of aerospace and mechanical engineering; however there are not many works reported in this field.

This paper focuses on unsteady supersonic flows and is concerned with the development of a simple implicit pressure correction method for computing compressible fluids and extending it to the ability to handle supersonic axisymmetric flows, which contain strong shocks. We aim to simulate and analyze the interaction of starting flow fields of an axisymmetric supersonic under-expanded jet with the cross section of a cylinder.

Supersonic jet flow characteristics can be very complex. Due to the supersonic nozzle exit Mach number, jet exit pressure can be different from ambient pressure. This pressure difference between the jet and the ambient fluid must be resolved locally either across an oblique shock, by a prominent streamline curvature at the jet boundary, or by a Mach disk inside the jet [6]. In the starting flow of a supersonic jet, the shock diffraction leads to the misalignment of the pressure and density gradients and makes a vortex ring which moves downstream like a mushroom head [5,7]. The interactions of the acoustic wave and the mushroom head with the rigid body lead to propagate the acoustic waves from the impingement region out and toward the nozzle exit. Also, overexpansion of the flow occurs at the cylinder lip.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the numerical method, which consists of the governing equations and the algorithm, is described. In Section 3, the method is validated for the supersonic flow through a wind tunnel with a step and the under-expanded circular jet. Also, the simulation results of the interaction of starting flow fields of an axisymmetric supersonic under-expanded jet with the cross section of a cylinder are provided. Finally, a brief summary and conclusions are given in the last Section.

Numerical Method

Governing equations

In high speed flow, the Reyn olds number is extremely high and turbulence effect is confined to thin boundary layer that leads to small frictional drag compared to pressure drag and wave drag. If frictional drag is ignored, high speed flow can be computed using the inviscid Euler equations [8]. Continuity, momentum and energy equations for an ideal gas and inviscid flow can be written as follow:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho^d}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left( \rho^d u_i^d \right)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \left( \rho^d u_i^d \right)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left( \rho^d u_i^d u_j^d \right)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial P^d}{\partial x_i} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \left( \rho^d E_i^d \right)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left( \rho^d E_i^d + P^d \right) u_i^d}{\partial x_j} = 0 \quad (3)
\]

where the superscript ‘d’ represents dimensional values. Also, the variables \( \rho, u_i \) and \( E \) show the density, velocity component in the direction of \( i \) and the total energy per unit mass, which can be defined as below:

\[
E = e + \frac{u_i u_i}{2} = c_r T + \frac{u_i u_i}{2} = \frac{RT}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{u_i u_i}{2} \quad (4)
\]
that by using the equation of state \( P = \rho^\gamma R T \) the above equation leads to:
\[
\rho E = \frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \rho u_i u_i \tag{5}
\]
where \( \gamma \) is the ratio of specific heats, and by using this equation in energy equation (eq. 3), the number of variables are reduced.

In the aforementioned governing equations, the conservation forms are used that the fluxes of mass, momentum and energy into and out of the control volume, themselves become important dependent variables rather than just the primitive variables. This is due to the fact that there are discontinuities in primitive variables across the shock; however, the fluxes are constant across the shock wave \([9]\).

To non-dimensionalization, the reference variables are used as follows:
\[
u_i = u_i^d u_\lambda, \quad \rho = \rho^d \rho_\lambda, \quad t = \frac{t^d}{L/u_\lambda}, \quad P = \frac{P^d}{\rho_\lambda u_\lambda^2} \tag{6}
\]
Where \( u_\lambda, \rho_\lambda \) and \( L \) are reference velocity, density and length, respectively. In this way, the non-dimensional governing equations are:
\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u_j)}{\partial x_j} = 0 \tag{7}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial (\rho u_j)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u_i u_j)}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\partial \rho P}{\partial x_j} \tag{8}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{P + (\gamma - 1) \rho u_i u_i}{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \gamma P + \left( \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} \right) \rho u_i u_i \right) u_j = 0 \tag{9}
\]

**Algorithm**

The variables are collocated in space at the center of control volumes. Moreover, the numerical method is implicit in time. The governing equations can be discretized by integrating over a control volume and using the Gauss theorem as follows:
\[
\frac{P_i^{k+1} - P_i^k}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{faces} \delta P_{face}^{i+1} A_{face} u_N^{i+1} = 0 \tag{10}
\]
\[
\frac{g_i^{k+1} - g_i^k}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{faces} \delta g_{face}^{i+1} A_{face} \frac{u_N^{i+1}}{\rho N} = -\frac{\partial P_i^{i+1}}{\partial x_i} \tag{11}
\]
\[
\left( P_i + \frac{(\gamma - 1) \rho_i u_i^2}{2} \right)^{i+1}_f = \left( P_i + \frac{(\gamma - 1) \rho_i u_i^2}{2} \right)^{i+1}_c - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left( \frac{\partial P_i^{i+1}}{\partial x_i} \right)_f \tag{12}
\]
where the superscripts denote the time steps. Also, the subscripts \( c,v \) and \( face \) represent the values at the center of control volumes and the values that located on the control volume surfaces, respectively. Here, \( V \) and \( A_{face} \) determine the volume and surface area of the control volumes. Furthermore, \( g_i \) is the momentum in the direction of \( i \) and \( u_i \) is the face normal velocity, which is acquired by projection and nor that interpolation \([10]\).

To find the values on the control volume surfaces, which there are in the convection term of the governing equations, following scheme is used:
\[
Q_{face} = \frac{1}{2} \left( (1 + w_j) Q_i + (1 - w_j) Q_{i+1} \right) \tag{13}
\]

Where \( Q \) can be each of the terms on the control volume surfaces. At the vicinity of discontinuity \( w \) takes the value of +1 and -1 depends on the flow direction, but in the rest of the domain it takes the value of zero.

Adapted from the researches of Hou & Mahesh \([10, 11]\), the algorithm is based on a pressure-correction method, which the face normal velocities are projected to satisfy a constraint on the divergence that is determined by the energy equation. This method, from the outermost layer to inside, has a time loop to advance from the time step \( t \) to \( t+1 \) an outer loop to advance from the iteration \( k \) to \( k+1 \) and three inner loops to solve the governing equations by an iterative approach. The iterative governing equations are as below:
\[
\frac{\partial P_i^{i+1,k+1}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{faces} \delta P_{face}^{i+1,k+1} u_N^{i+1,k} A_{face} = 0 \tag{14}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial g_i^{i+1,k+1}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{faces} \delta g_{face}^{i+1,k+1} A_{face} = -\frac{\partial P_i^{i+1,k+1}}{\partial x_i} \tag{15}
\]
\[
\left( P_i + \frac{(\gamma - 1) \rho_i u_i^2}{2} \right)^{i+1,k+1}_f = \left( P_i + \frac{(\gamma - 1) \rho_i u_i^2}{2} \right)^{i+1,k+1}_c - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left( \frac{\partial P_i^{i+1,k+1}}{\partial x_i} \right)_f \tag{16}
\]
where the superscript * shows the predicted values at the iteration \( k+1 \). By using equation (13) and extracting \( P_i^{i+1,k+1} \) from equation (14) and \( g_i^{i+1,k+1} \) from equation (15), the iterative equations for density and momentum predictor will be produced. The following relations can be found by subtracting equation (15) from (11):
\[
\delta g_{i,c,v}^{i+1,k+1} = \delta g_{i,c,v}^{i+1,k} - \Delta t \left( \frac{\partial (\delta P)}{\partial x_i} \right)_{c,v} \tag{17}
\]
\[
\delta u_{i,c,v}^{i+1,k+1} = \delta u_{i,c,v}^{i+1,k} - \Delta t \left( \frac{\partial (\delta P)}{\partial N} \right)_{c,v} \tag{18}
\]
\[
\delta u_{i,c,v}^{i+1,k+1} = \delta u_{i,c,v}^{i+1,k} - \Delta t \left( \frac{\partial (\delta P)}{\partial N} \right)_{face} \tag{19}
\]
Where \( N \) denotes the face normal direction. To find the pressure at the iteration \( k+1 \) the following equation must be used:
\[
P_i^{i+1,k+1} = P_i^{i+1,k} + \delta P_i^{i+1,k+1} \tag{20}
\]
By substituting equations (17)-(20) into equation (16) and ignoring the higher-order terms of \( \delta P \), the pressure-correction
equation can be produced as follow:
\begin{equation}
\alpha_{nb} \Delta P_{cr} + \sum_{nb} \alpha_{nb} \Delta P_{rb} = \text{RHS}
\end{equation}

Where the subscript \( nb \) represents the neighboring control volumes. The flowchart of the implemented numerical method is shown in Figure 1.

Applying boundary conditions and geometry

Ghost cells are used to impose boundary conditions. These cells, which extend the computational domain, are a few additional cells on either end that their values are set at the beginning of each iteration based on the boundary conditions and the interior solution [12].

For creating solid geometries inside the domain, a criterion is used to discriminate between the fluid cells and the solid cells. During marching of the computational domain, calculation of the governing equations for the solid cells must be ignored. Also, to calculate the adjacent cells of the solid cells, a sub-program imposes the required values of the solid cells, as boundary ghost cells, based on the fluid cells. Figure 2 shows the flowchart of creating solid geometries.

Results and Discussion

Code validation

For validation, a two dimensional test problem, the supersonic flow through a wind tunnel with a step, is simulated. This problem was introduced in the paper by Emery [13] and completely investigated by Woodward & Colella [14] later. The tunnel heights and lengths are 1 length unit and 3 length units, respectively. The initial condition is a uniform flow with Mach 3, density \( 1.4 \text{ kg/m}^3 \), pressure \( 1 \text{ atm} \) and \( \gamma = 1.4 \), which leads to the strong shocks. Also, the step height is 0.2 length units and is located 0.6 length units from the tunnel entrance. The additional boundary conditions near the corner of the step are applied as same as reference [14] to minimize numerical errors generated at the corner of the step.

Axisymmetric flow

The numerical method for an axisymmetric flow is as same as Section 2 with the exception that the governing equations are as bellow that it ignores circulation \( V_\theta = 0 \):

\begin{align}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u_r}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \rho u_z}{\partial z} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \rho u_r}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \left( \rho u_r^2 + \rho P \right) }{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \left( \rho u_r u_z \right) }{\partial z} &= \frac{\partial E}{\partial r} \\
\frac{\partial \rho u_z}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left( \rho u_r u_z \right) }{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \left( \rho u_z^2 + \rho P \right) }{\partial z} &= \frac{\partial E}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial \left( \rho \frac{E}{\gamma - 1} \right)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left( \rho \frac{E}{\gamma - 1} u_r \right) }{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \left( \rho \frac{E}{\gamma - 1} u_z \right) }{\partial z} &= 0
\end{align}
The supersonic starting flow interactions with the cross section of a cylinder

The supersonic starting flow jet interactions with a cross section of a cylinder is simulated in which the jet exit Mach number and the exit static pressure ratio are as same as the last Section equal to 1.5 and 3.15, respectively. The radius of the cylinder is two times greater than the radius of the jet exit plane. The cylinder cross section is located at the 4 length units from the jet exit plane. To describe the evolution of the starting flow fields, the Mach number, pressure, density and temperature evolutions are considered.
Where the supersonic gas is accelerated, pressure, density and temperature are decreased (Figures 6-8). Interaction of the mushroom head with the cylinder cross section increased pressure distribution significantly at the time of 8ms. It creates a pressure wave in the opposite direction that reduces the pressure distribution on the cylinder surface at following times. Moreover, the temperature contour shows that by crossing the shock width, temperature increases about two times. The highest temperature on the cylinder cross section surface happens about collision of mushroom head.

Figure 6: The pressure contour evolution.

Figure 7: The density contour evolution.

Figure 8: The temperature contour evolution.

Figure 9 indicates the evolution of total force due to jet flow on the cylinder cross section. The highest total force on the cylinder cross section surface occurs just after collision of mushroom head with the surface which is about 2500 kpa. Also, oscillation in the magnitude of total force on the surface is happened in the following times. Figure 10 shows the pressure distribution along the radius of the cylinder cross section surface for different time. It demonstrates reducing in the pressure value in the vicinity of cylinder lip which is evident because of increasing in velocity magnitude adjacent to cylinder lip. In an opposite manner with time of 8ms, depression in the pressure values occurred in time of 16 ms along the surface, which are due to the impacts of vortex rings.

Summary and Conclusion

In this study, an implicit pressure correction method for simulation of compressible flows is presented. The presented method is based on a finite volume approach on a collocated grid. The algorithm is explained in the numerical method Section completely and the flowchart of the implemented procedure is provided. The method is validated with remarkable agreement for
two-dimensional supersonic flows with strong shock. Moreover, a simple procedure is used to discriminate between the fluid cells and the solid cells, and then it finds the ghost cells location immersed in the computational domain. The no-slip condition along the solid surface is applied on the ghost cells. The method is extended to axisymmetric flows and validated for an axisymmetric under-expanded circular jet. Finally, the interaction of starting flow fields of an axisymmetric supersonic under-expanded jet with the cross section of a cylinder is simulated and analyzed. To describe the evolution of the starting flow fields the Mach number, pressure, density and temperature evolutions are provided. It is observed that the highest force and temperature distribution on the cylinder cross section occur just after collision of mushroom head with the surface.
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