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Abstract
BACKGROUND
Reflux esophagitis (RE) is a common digestive disorder, and its frequent recurrences cause significant physical pain and are financially burdensome to patients. However, studies on the natural history of treated RE are few. Although proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) as the first-line treatment provide notable symptomatic relief, disordered gut microbiota has been observed among PPI users. Probiotics are commonly administered to patients to regulate the disordered intestinal flora.

AIM
To evaluate the therapeutic effects in RE patients treated with a combination of esomeprazole and probiotics [Bacillus subtilis (B. subtilis) and Enterococcus faecium (E. faecium)].

METHODS
One hundred and thirty-four RE patients were randomized into two groups of 67 subjects each. The probiotics group was administered with esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d. and live combined B. subtilis and E. faecium enteric-coated capsules 500 mg t.i.d. for eight weeks; the placebo group was administered with esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d. and placebo for eight weeks. Subsequently, 12-wk follow-up was carried out on patients who achieved both endoscopic and clinical cure. Endoscopy, reflux diagnostic questionnaire (RDQ), gastrointestinal symptom rating scale (GSRS), and lactulose hydrogen breath test were performed to evaluate the therapeutic effects. A difference of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Sixty-six patients in the probiotics group and 64 patients in the placebo group completed the 8-wk treatment. The healing rate and RDQ score had no significant difference between the two groups (P > 0.05). However, the GSRS diarrhea syndrome score was decreased significantly in the probiotics group (P = 0.002), and the small intestinal bacterial overgrowth negative rate in the probiotics group was significantly higher than that in the placebo group (P = 0.002). Of 114 endoscopically and clinically cured patients, 96 completed the follow-up. The log-rank test showed that the time to relapse was shorter in the placebo group than in the probiotics group (P = 0.041). Furthermore, the therapy had a significant influence on relapse time, and the risk of relapse in the probiotics group was lower than that in the placebo group at any time point during the 12-wk follow-up (hazard ratio = 0.52, P = 0.033).

CONCLUSION

Esomeprazole combined with probiotics (B. subtilis and E. faecium) have a beneficial effect on RE treatment and patient management.
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Core tip: Reflux esophagitis (RE) recurrences cause significant physical pain and financial burden to patients. Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are the first-line treatment for RE. Although PPIs provide notable symptomatic relief, their effects on the gut microbiota have drawn attention. In the present study, we evaluated the effectiveness of combining esomeprazole with probiotics [Bacillus subtilis (B. subtilis) and Enterococcus faecium (E. faecium)]. We found that the combined administration could reduce the incidence of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth and improve abdominal symptoms in patients with RE. It may also prolong the time to relapse, showing the potential of probiotics (B. subtilis and E. faecium) for the treatment and management of RE.

INTRODUCTION

Reflux esophagitis (RE) is a common digestive disorder that occurs when gastric/duodenal contents flow pathologically into the esophagus, leading to inflammation, erosion, and ulceration of the esophageal mucosa. Frequent relapses are common with RE, resulting in significant physical pain and financial burden on patients. Studies on the treatment of RE are scarce6-9. The first-line treatment for RE is administration of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs)9-10, which are the most commonly prescribed drugs worldwide. Some studies have reported complete responses in approximately 70%-80% of patients after eight weeks of PPI treatment9-10.

Although PPIs provide notable symptomatic relief, their effects on the gut microbiota have gained recent attention. A large population-based cohort study showed a significant reduction in the abundance of gut flora and microbial diversity7 and an associated significant increase in the amount of oral and upper gastrointestinal (GI) tract bacteria among PPI users9. Profound changes have been observed in the gastric and intestinal microbiota of PPI users9-10.

Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) refers to an elevated bacterial count that reflects changes in the composition and structure of the small intestine8. Many studies have reported an increased incidence of SIBO during PPI therapy9-10. SIBO presents with a variety of GI symptoms, such as diarrhea, abdominal distension, and constipation9-10. Many recent studies have shown that PPIs can cause symptoms of GI discomfort similar to those associated with SIBO9-10.

Probiotics comprise microorganisms that enhance the integrity of the intestinal
mucosal barrier and balance the microbial ecosystem. This is achieved via probiotic competition with harmful bacteria and the production of metabolites that inhibit the growth of the harmful bacteria. Probiotics are commonly administered to patients with intestinal flora abnormalities.

This clinical trial aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of combining esomeprazole with probiotics [live combined *Bacillus subtilis* (*B.* *subtilis*) and *Enterococcus faecium* (*E.* *faecium*)] for the treatment of patients with RE by comparing the outcomes after eight weeks of treatment in a treatment group and a placebo group.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study subjects**
From June 2015 to December 2017, 134 RE outpatients or gastroenterology inpatients in the PKUCare Luzhong Hospital were recruited in this trial. RE was diagnosed based on the 2013 Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease[4]. The inclusion criteria were: (1) patients who consented to undergo esomeprazole treatment, were not previously on PPI, or have stopped PPI treatment for at least 6 mo, and were aged 18-65 years; (2) patients who have not taken antibiotics, probiotics, lactulose, other antacids, or drugs that increase GI motility nor undergone an enema in the past 4 wk; (3) normal hepatic and renal function; and (4) SIBO negative on the lactulose hydrogen breath test (LHBT). The exclusion criteria were: (1) history of cirrhosis, renal impairment, tumors, thyroid disease, diabetes, Crohn’s disease, or ulcerative colitis; (2) comorbid hiatal hernia, peptic ulcer disease, esophageal stricture, diarrhea, malabsorption, and constipation due to liver, gallbladder, and pancreatic diseases; (3) history of GI or abdominal surgery; (4) pregnant or lactating women; (5) patients undergoing treatment with immune suppressants; and (6) patients who fulfilled the diagnosis of irritable bowel disease (IBS) according to the Rome III criteria, or patients who did not meet the diagnostic criteria but had persistent abdominal distension, diarrhea, or constipation for ≥ 3 mo. The enrollment flowchart is displayed in Figure 1.

**Ethics**
All subjects signed an informed consent form. This study was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of PKUCare Luzhong Hospital (2015-KY-003) and registered on the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (No. ChiCTR1800018218).

**Endoscopy**
Endoscopic findings were classified according to the Los Angeles Classification grading system (grade A: ≥1 mucosal break < 5 mm; grade B: ≥ 1 mucosal break > 5 mm; grade C: mucosal breaks extending between the tops of two mucosal folds, but < 75% of the circumference; grade D: mucosal breaks extending for > 75% of the circumference). Improvement in the endoscopic findings to grade N (normal) is defined as healing.

**LHBT**
The EC60 Gastrolyzer 2 (United Kingdom) was used for the test. The subject first exhaled once to measure the baseline value before taking 200 mL of lukewarm water and 10 mL of lactulose (lactulose oral solution, Laiyang Jiangbo Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., 10 mL/vial). After gargling, the patient exhaled once every 20 min for 3 h. A normal LHBT value was defined as baseline value < 20 ppm and a maximum peak value of < 20 ppm greater than the baseline value. A positive result was defined as classical double peak and (or) a fusion peak waveform.

**Reflux diagnostic questionnaire (RDQ)**
The RDQ was used to assess the subjective reflux symptoms covering a 1-wk recall period. RDQ is categorized into four symptom clusters depicting heartburn, chest pain, acid reflux, and food reflux. The total RDQ scores (eight items) were calculated. Patients with RDQ ≥ 12 points were considered to have a relapse[16].

**GI symptom rating scale (GSRS)**
The GSRS is a disease-specific instrument, containing 15 items, each rated on a seven-point Likert scale from which one represents no discomfort and seven represents very severe discomfort[17]. The 15 GSRS items break down into the following five symptom clusters: abdominal pain (abdominal pain, hunger pain, and nausea); reflux syndrome (heartburn and acid regurgitation), diarrhea syndrome (diarrhea, loose stools, and urgent need for defecation), indigestion syndrome (borborygmus, abdominal distension, eructation, and increased flatus), and constipation syndrome (constipation,
Figure 1 Trial profile. The probiotics group refers to esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d. and live combined Bacillus subtilis and Enterococcus faecium enteric-coated capsules 500 mg t.i.d. treatment; the placebo group refers to esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d. and placebo treatment. RDQ: Reflux diagnostic questionnaire.

Clinical evaluation and intervention
Phase 1: A random number table was used to divide the 134 RE patients into two groups of 67 subjects each. Esomeprazole is the first choice of PPI, having strong and lasting acid suppression effect. Medilac-s are live combined B. subtilis and E. faecium enteric-coated capsules. These two kinds of bacteria are regular members of the intestinal flora of healthy people. Taking this product can directly supplement normal physiological living bacteria, inhibit the excessive reproduction of harmful bacteria in the intestinal tract, and adjust the intestinal flora, which is applied widely in the clinic. The dosage of the medicine was determined by the published drug instructions[18]. The placebo was provided by the Pharmacy Department from the PKUCare Luzhong Hospital. The dosage form, appearance, size, and color of the placebo were completely identical with the drug. The drugs conform to China’s Good Manufacture Practice of Medical Products[19]. Patients in the placebo group took 20 mg of esomeprazole (Nexium, AstraZeneca PLC) orally twice a day and placebo (white starch capsules) thrice a day for eight weeks. Patients in the probiotics group took 20 mg of esomeprazole orally twice a day and 500 mg of live combined B. subtilis and E. faecium enteric-coated capsules (Hanmi Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd) thrice a day for 8 wk. The treatment was single blinded. Patients did not know their assigned groups. Observation for medication compliance (PPI and probiotic/placebo) was performed twice a week through phone, by asking the parents about compliance. Poor compliance was defined as missed doses for ≥ 3 d.

Phase 2: Patients who achieved endoscopic and clinical cure (RDQ < 12) during phase 1 entered the follow-up. The follow-up endpoint was defined as symptomatic recurrence (RDQ ≥ 12) or the end of the 12-wk follow-up (week 20).
Endoscopic evaluation was performed at baseline and repeated at the end of the treatment (week 8) to verify healing. GSRS was completed at baseline and week 8. RDQ and LHBT were completed at baseline before treatment, week 8, and the follow-up endpoint. The same physician performed an initial clinical evaluation and the following medical appointments. All subjects received telephone or outpatient follow-up once every two weeks. We assessed the therapeutic effect of treatments using the change in endoscopic evaluation and RDQ at the end of therapy and the end of follow-up (primary outcomes). Changes in GSRS and LHBT results were considered...
the secondary outcomes.

**Adverse events and disallowed medication**

Adverse events were monitored throughout the study. Patients were not allowed to consume any other probiotics or prebiotics, and they were instructed to continue their usual eating and living habits. The use of antacids or motility-increasing drugs was stopped during the follow-up period unless the symptom relapsed. Concomitant use of medications was allowed, providing their registered medication intake.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were processed and analyzed with the R Studio (version 3.4.3, R Studio Inc., Boston, United States), and the packages ‘survival’ (version 2.42-6), ‘survminer’ (version 0.4.3), and ‘dplyr’ (version 0.7.7) were used to run and visualize statistical tests. Statistical significance was defined as $P < 0.05$. Quantitative data that conformed to a normal distribution are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation, and $t$-test was used for intergroup comparison. Chi-squared test was applied to frequency data for intergroup comparison. Kaplan-Meier analysis was utilized to analyze the cumulative relapse rate of RE. Cox regression analysis was conducted considering the prognostic variables of clinical characteristics at entry and initial treatment therapy to explore the effect of other factors on the relative risk of relapse.

The statistical power calculation was carried out to estimate the sample size for the superiority trial. According to our review of studies, relapse rates of patients with healed lesions have been reported to be 54% to 66.2% at 12 wk after drug therapy was withdrawn \cite{2,20,21}, so our estimation of the average relapse rate for the placebo group was 60%. Also, cured RE patients who received an additional maintenance treatment had a relapse rate of 10% at 12 wk and 28.4% to 30% at 32 wk after drug therapy was stopped \cite{1,22}. Given that the therapeutic effect of probiotics supplements on RE recurrence had never been studied and the probiotics are not antacid, we took 30% as our estimation of the relapse rate for the probiotics group. Hence, we estimated that the average relapse rate was 30%. With a two-tailed test of $\alpha = 0.05$ and $1 - \beta = 0.80$, the calculation indicated that a sample size of 40 for each group would be sufficient. To power our trial to be able to detect the difference between groups maximally, we included as many patients as possible within our study budget rather than just meeting the minimum sample size requirement of 40 patients \cite{23}.

**RESULTS**

**Phase 1: Placebo-controlled study**

**Clinical features at baseline:** One and three patients discontinued the intervention in the probiotics and placebo groups, respectively. Finally, 130 patients completed the study, of which 66 and 64 patients were in the probiotics and placebo groups, respectively (Figure 1). Baseline characteristics and questionnaire scores are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences in age, sex, body mass index, smoking history, waist circumference, esophagitis grade, and GSRS and RDQ scores between the two groups at baseline ($P > 0.05$ for all). The general status of patients in both groups was balanced, and the experiment results were comparable.

**Intervention:** Figure 2 shows the RDQ scores, GSRS scores, and endoscopic healing rates in the probiotics and placebo groups after eight weeks of treatment. In the probiotics group, total RDQ score was 9.29 ± 6.65, total GSRS score was 31.59 ± 8.95, GSRS abdominal pain score was 5.45 ± 3.39, GSRS reflux syndrome score was 4.71 ± 3.20, GSRS diarrhea syndrome score was 6.20 ± 3.88, GSRS indigestion syndrome score was 8.58 ± 4.57, and GSRS constipation syndrome score was 5.05 ± 1.83. In the placebo group, they were 9.86 ± 6.84, 32.94 ± 6.04, 5.11 ± 2.57, 5.16 ± 2.72, 7.94 ± 2.36, 9.82 ± 5.04, and 5.02 ± 2.72, respectively. There was no significant difference between the two groups in RDQ score ($P = 0.631$), total GSRS score ($P = 0.317$), GSRS abdominal pain score ($P = 0.521$), GSRS reflux syndrome score ($P = 0.390$), GSRS indigestion syndrome score ($P = 0.144$), and GSRS constipation syndrome score ($P = 0.941$). However, the GSRS diarrhea syndrome score was decreased significantly in the probiotics group ($P = 0.002$).

Endoscopic examinations were performed after 8-wk treatment. The endoscopic healing rates in the probiotics group at week 8 were 100% (26/26), 95.5% (21/22), 69.2% (9/13), and 40.0% (2/5) in patients with grades A, B, C, and D, respectively; in the placebo group, the healing rates were 100% (29/29), 95.2% (20/21), 54.5% (6/11), and 33.3% (1/3) in patients with grades A, B, C, and D, respectively. There was no significant difference in the healing rate between the probiotics and placebo groups in all grades (grade A: $P > 0.05$, grade B: $P = 0.974$; grade C: $P = 0.495$; grade D: $P =$
Table 1  Clinical characteristics of patients in the probiotics and placebo groups at baseline

| Characteristic                        | Probiotics group (n = 66) | Placebo group (n = 64) | P-value |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                              | 41.76 ± 9.38              | 41.89 ± 9.75           | 0.937   |
| Male n (%)                            | 39 (59.1)                 | 40 (62.5)              | 0.691   |
| BMI (kg/m²)                           | 24.61 ± 3.51              | 23.90 ± 3.14           | 0.230   |
| Smoking n (%)                         | 12 (18.2)                 | 10 (15.6)              | 0.698   |
| Waist circumference (cm)              | 78.68 ± 5.03              | 78.84 ± 6.49           | 0.874   |
| RDQ score                             | 19.41 ± 4.23              | 18.44 ± 5.17           | 0.244   |
| GRSRS score                           |                           |                        |         |
| Abdominal pain                        | 6.38 ± 2.64               | 6.48 ± 3.20            | 0.846   |
| Reflux                                | 10.35 ± 2.48              | 10.31 ± 2.68           | 0.937   |
| Diarrhea                              | 6.44 ± 1.97               | 6.89 ± 2.39            | 0.242   |
| Indigestion                           | 7.53 ± 2.67               | 7.03 ± 2.17            | 0.245   |
| Constipation                          | 5.48 ± 1.28               | 5.34 ± 2.13            | 0.647   |
| Esophagitis grade at baseline (n)     |                           |                        |         |
| A                                     | 26                        | 29                     | 0.495   |
| B                                     | 22                        | 21                     | 0.950   |
| C                                     | 13                        | 11                     | 0.712   |
| D                                     | 5                         | 3                      | 0.493   |

BMI: Body mass index; RDQ: Reflux diagnostic questionnaire; GRSRS: Gastrointestinal symptom rating scale.

Phase 2: Relapse after stopping treatment

Of 114 eligible healed patients, 102 entered phase 2 (1 refused, 11 with RDQ ≥ 12), 96 completed the follow-up, 50 were from the probiotics groups, and 46 were from the placebo group. At the endpoint of the follow-up, 22 patients had a relapse in the probiotics group, whereas 28 patients had a relapse in the placebo group. Figure 3 shows the cumulative rate of symptomatic recurrence. The result of the log-rank test showed that the two curves differed significantly (P = 0.041), which means that the treatment therapy has a significant influence on relapse time, and the time to relapse is shorter in the placebo group than in the probiotics group. Among the recurrent patients, RDQ scores in the placebo group (17.11 ± 2.85) was higher than that in the probiotics group (15.40 ± 2.34). There was a significant difference in outcome between the two groups (P = 0.024).

Cox regression analysis on the relapse data showed that the treatment therapy and esophagitis grade at entry had a significant effect on the recurrence. The risk of relapse in the probiotics group was lower than that in the placebo group at any time point during the 12-wk follow-up [hazard ratio (HR) = 0.52, P = 0.033]. Patients with esophagitis grade D had a higher risk of relapse than patients with esophagitis grade A at entry (HR = 79.85, P < 0.001). No other evidence was observed that gender, smoking, baseline RDQ score, or waistline would influence the rate of relapse significantly (Figure 4).

SIBO in RE patients

All the patients underwent LBHT testing at baseline, week 8, and the follow-up endpoint. At baseline, all the patients were SIBO negative. After the 8-wk treatment, the SIBO negative rate in the probiotics group (84.8%, 56/66) was higher than that in the placebo group (60.9%, 39/64); the difference between the two groups was statistically significant (P = 0.002). At the endpoint of follow-up, the SIBO negative rate was slightly increased in both groups, 88.0% (44/50) in the probiotics group and 65.2% (30/46) in the placebo group. The percentage of SIBO negative patients in both groups did not change significantly with time (Figure 5). The rate of relapse in SIBO positive patients (45.9%, 34/74) was higher than that in SIBO negative patients (72.7%, 16/22) at the endpoint of follow-up (P = 0.027).

Adverse events and withdrawals

Four patients suffered adverse events in phase 1 and discontinued the intervention. One in the probiotics group and two in the placebo group had nausea and vomiting. One in the placebo group had dermatitis. Minor adverse events were recorded and evaluated by GRSRS. In the follow-up period, two patients in the probiotic group and two in the placebo group withdrew for taking drugs that may influence the gut microbiota (antibiotics and probiotics). Two in the placebo group were lost to follow-
Figure 2  Efficacy of esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d and live combined Bacillus subtilis and Enterococcus faecium enteric-coated capsules 500 mg t.i.d. A: Reflux diagnostic questionnaire scores, B: Gastrointestinal symptom rating scale scores, C: Endoscopic healing rates in the probiotics and placebo groups after eight weeks of treatment. Probiotics refers to esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d. and live combined Bacillus subtilis and Enterococcus faecium enteric-coated capsules 500 mg t.i.d. treatment; placebo refers to esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d. and placebo treatment. *P < 0.05; †P < 0.01; ‡P < 0.001. RDQ: Reflux diagnostic questionnaire; GSRS: Gastrointestinal symptom rating scale.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first randomized controlled clinical trial to evaluate the impact of disordered gut microbiota on RE, as well as the therapeutic effects of probiotic supplements in patients with RE.

In our study, 8-wk treatment with esomeprazole (20 mg b.i.d.) and Medilac-s, live combined B. subtilis and E. faecium enteric-coated capsules (500 mg t.i.d.), reduced the incidence of SIBO and improved the diarrhea syndrome in RE patients. The endoscopic healing rates were higher in cases with low-grade esophagitis but lower in cases with more severe baseline esophagitis. The healing rates of RE patients in the probiotics and placebo groups were similar. The probiotics supplements may not influence the acid-suppression efficacy because esomeprazole is the most effective and long-lasting antacid PPI[24].

Acid suppression with PPIs has been suggested to be a precursor to the development of SIBO. In a clinical study on patients with functional dyspepsia, Tsuda et al[22] found that 4 wk of PPI use caused SIBO. Oana et al[25] conducted a clinical trial on pediatric gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) patients administered probiotics and PPI for 12 wk and found that probiotics administration decreased the rate of dysbiosis in children treated with PPI. Jacobs C et al[26] conducted a study focusing on the risk factors of SIBO. Studies showed that PPI use was an independent risk factor for SIBO. However, some other clinical trials showed different conclusions. In one prospective study, quantitative cultures of duodenal aspirates were performed to detect SIBO. Giamarellos-Bourboulis et al[27] found that PPI intake could not increase SIBO. A double-blind placebo-controlled randomized trial of the effect of probiotics on SIBO in children treated with omeprazole conducted by Badriul Hegar et al[28] found that probiotics did not decrease the risk of developing SIBO. However, it is notable that in this trial the subjects were children and they took PPIs for 4 wk. The dosage and duration of therapy in this study were lower and shorter than those in reports on adults[29-31]. The duration of PPI therapy was directly related to SIBO.
Figure 3 Cumulative event curves of the recurrence of reflux esophagitis in the probiotics and placebo groups. Probiotics refers to esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d. and live combined *Bacillus subtilis* and *Enterococcus faecium* enteric-coated capsules 500 mg t.i.d. treatment; placebo refers to esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d. and placebo treatment.

...
Figure 4 Forest plot for Cox proportional hazards model applied to the followed patients. Probiotics refers to esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d. and live combined *Bacillus subtilis* and *Enterococcus faecium* enteric-coated capsules 500 mg t.i.d. treatment; placebo refers to esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d. and placebo treatment. *a* P < 0.05; *b* P < 0.01; *c* P < 0.001. RDQ: Reflux disease questionnaire; AIC: Akaike information criterion.

Although this combination of drugs did not increase the healing rate of esophagitis, the time to relapse was prolonged for 12 wk after PPI therapy withdrawal. Moreover, in the follow-up research, patients with SIBO had higher risks of symptomatic relapse than SIBO-negative patients. Cox regression analysis showed that the therapy administered (placebo or not) and esophagitis grade D were significant risk factors for recurrence of reflux symptoms. The possible explanation for this may be that a higher reflux recurrence rate is the result of changes in GI motility caused by SIBO. Akiho et al.[41] carried out a study on IBS and found that Th2 cytokines could induce smooth muscle hypercontractility during intestinal infection. Th2 cytokines also induced transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1 expression and elevations in cyclooxygenase-2 and prostaglandin E2 levels in smooth muscle cells, resulting in intestinal motility disorder. German et al.[42] employed a dog SIBO model and found that TGF-β1 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α mRNA expression levels were decreased after SIBO treatment with antibiotics, i.e., SIBO resulted in enhanced duodenal mucosal immune responses in dogs. SIBO-induced mild chronic inflammatory reactions and immune responses persistently acted persistently on smooth muscles in the GI tract, resulting in functional impairment, which simultaneously caused GERD or IBS-like symptoms. A study by Tugtepe et al.[43] found impaired smooth muscle activity in the esophagus in a rat model of chronic RE. Currently, peristaltic abnormalities are present in 40%-50% of GERD patients[44]. Changes in gut microbiota may result in varying effects on gut mucosa and activate the immune and inflammatory response systems in the GI tract, resulting in functional impairment in the digestive and nervous systems, as well as visceral hypersensitivity, and impaired GI peristalsis. The above studies may partially explain why SIBO is associated with a higher recurrence rate of reflux symptoms and how a probiotics supplement can reduce the risks of relapse up to 12 wk after PPI withdrawal. In the future, further studies are needed to examine the pathophysiological mechanisms. Our study provides corroborated clinical trial materials as a basis for these studies.

Furthermore, a correlation between the severity of esophageal erosions and symptom relapse has been demonstrated in our study. Patients with SIBO are more likely to relapse. However, there were only two patients who were followed, and both of them relapsed, resulting in a wide confidence interval. More patients with esophagitis grade D are needed to verify this conclusion.

The significant strength of the present study was the strict exclusion criteria, wherein patients with hiatal hernia, GERD-predisposition, or bowel disorder were not recruited in order to ensure a homogeneous study group. A limitation of this study was the fact that we did not use jejunal cultures for SIBO assessment. Culture of the jejunal aspirate is recognized as the most direct method for diagnosing SIBO[41]. However, obtaining and culturing of jejunal aspirates are time-consuming and costly. In patients with isolated distal SIBO, SIBO could remain undiagnosed despite using jejunal cultures. Because of all of these disadvantages, LHBT was used in this study as
Figure 5 Proportion of patients without small intestinal bacterial overgrowth at the beginning and endpoint of follow-up. Probiotics refers to esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d. and live combined *Bacillus subtilis* and *Enterococcus faecium* enteric-coated capsules 500 mg t.i.d. treatment; placebo refers to esomeprazole 20 mg b.i.d. and placebo treatment. *a* P < 0.05; *b* P < 0.01; *c* P < 0.001. SIBO: Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth.

an indirect but reliable alternative test to assess SIBO. Another limitation is that this was a single-center study with a limited sample size. Furthermore, the dietary habits of the included patients may affect the morbidity of RE and SIBO, and the effects of only *B. subtilis* and *E. faecium* probiotics on gut microbiota were studied. Furthermore, we did not perform endoscopy on asymptomatic patients after primary healing was achieved, and as a result, we were not able to detect asymptomatic relapses of esophagitis erosions. Therefore, the actual rate of mucosal relapse could not be determined in our study.

The combined administration of probiotics (*B. subtilis* and *E. faecium*) and esomeprazole could reduce the incidence of SIBO and improve abdominal symptoms in patients with RE. It may also prolong the time to relapse, showing the potential of probiotics (*B. subtilis* and *E. faecium*) for the treatment and management of RE.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

**Research background**

Profound changes have been observed in the gastric and intestinal microbiota of proton pump inhibitor users. Probiotics are commonly administered to patients with intestinal flora abnormalities. No prior studies have been conducted to evaluate the therapeutic effects of probiotics [*Bacillus subtilis* (*B. subtilis*) and *Enterococcus faecium* (*E. faecium*)] on patients with reflux esophagitis (RE).

**Research motivation**

We conducted a randomized controlled clinical trial to evaluate the impact of disordered gut microbiota on RE as well as the therapeutic effect of probiotics supplements on patients with RE.

**Research objectives**

This clinical trial aimed to study the RE patients treated with the combination of probiotic (*B. subtilis* and *E. faecium*) and esomeprazole.

**Research methods**

This study included 134 patients with RE who met the criteria. In phase 1, patients were divided into two groups. The probiotics group was given esomeprazole and live combined *B. subtilis* and *E. faecium* enteric-coated capsules for eight weeks, and the placebo group was given esomeprazole and placebo for eight weeks. Endoscopic evaluation, gastrointestinal symptom rating scale (GSRS), reflux diagnostic questionnaire (RDQ), and lactulose hydrogen breath test (LHBT) were performed at the end of the treatment. In phase 2, patients who achieved endoscopic and clinical cure (RDQ < 12) entered the follow-up. RDQ and LHBT were completed at the follow-up endpoint.

**Research results**

After eight-week treatment, the GSRS diarrhea syndrome score was decreased significantly in the probiotics group, and the small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) negative rate in the probiotics group was significantly higher than that in the placebo group. Furthermore, the therapy had a significant influence on relapse time, and the risk of relapse in the probiotics group was lower than that in the placebo group at any time point during the 12-wk follow-up (hazard ratio = 0.52). However, only *B. subtilis* and *E. faecium* as probiotics were studied on gut microbiota in our study. More kinds of probiotics should be studied.
Research conclusions
The combined administration of probiotics (B. subtilis and E. faecium) and esomprazole could reduce the incidence of SIBO and improve abdominal symptoms in patients with RE. It may also prolong the time to relapse, showing the potential of probiotics (B. subtilis and E. faecium) for the treatment and management of RE.

Research perspectives
The limitation of this study is the fact that we did not use jejunal cultures for SIBO assessment and did not perform endoscopy on asymptomatic patients after primary healing was achieved. Additional randomized controlled trials are needed to study more probiotics and different dosages, and prolong the follow-up time to evaluate the long-term effect.
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