BAP1 regulation of the key adaptor protein NCoR1 is critical for γ-globin gene repression
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Human globin gene production transcriptionally “switches” from fetal to adult synthesis shortly after birth and is controlled by macromolecular complexes that enhance or suppress transcription by cis elements scattered throughout the locus. The DRED (direct repeat erythroid-definitive) repressor is recruited to the ε-globin and γ-globin promoters by the orphan nuclear receptors TR2 (NR2C1) and TR4 (NR2C2) to engender their silencing in adult erythroid cells. Here we found that nuclear receptor corepressor-1 (NCoR1) is a critical component of DRED that acts as a scaffold to unite the DNA-binding and epigenetic enzyme components (e.g., DNA methyltransferase 1 [DNMT1] and lysine-specific demethylase 1 [LSD1]) that elicit DRED function. We also describe a potent new regulator of γ-globin repression: The deubiquitinase BRCA1-associated protein-1 (BAP1) is a component of the repressor complex whose activity maintains NCoR1 at sites in the β-globin locus, and BAP1 inhibition in erythroid cells massively induces γ-globin synthesis. These data provide new mechanistic insights through the discovery of novel epigenetic enzymes that mediate γ-globin gene repression.
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The fetal-to-adult developmental switch in the human β-globin gene locus involves the alteration in transcription from synthesis of the two nearly identical fetal γ-globin genes to the adult β-globin gene, a process that is elicited through the cumulative activities of transcriptional activators and repressors that bind to their cognate cis-regulatory elements in the β-globin locus (Bulger and Groudine 1999; Engel and Tanimoto 2000).

Previously, we identified a multisubunit transcriptional repressor complex that we named DRED [direct repeat erythroid-definitive]. DRED binds with high affinity to direct repeat [DR1] elements located in the human embryonic ε-globin and fetal γ-globin, but not the adult β-globin, promoters and represses γ-globin transcription in definitive adult erythroid cells [Tanabe et al. 2002, 2007]. Based on the results of protein affinity purification followed by mass spectrometric analysis, we proposed that the “core” DRED complex was a tetramer composed of a heterodimer of the orphan nuclear receptors TR2 [NR2C1] and TR4 [NR2C2], which bind directly to the DR1 elements in the ε-globin and γ-globin gene promoters, and the two corepressor enzymes DNA methyltransferase 1 [DNMT1] and lysine-specific demethylase 1 [LSD1] [Cui et al. 2011].

We and others have shown that pharmacological inhibition of the enzymatic activities of these DRED corepressor enzymes—DNMT1 [with 5-azacytidine or decitabine] and LSD1 [with tranylcypromine or RN-1]—results in the induction of fetal γ-globin synthesis in adult definitive erythroid cells [DeSimone et al. 1982; Clegg et al. 1983; Ley et al. 1983; McCaffrey et al. 1997; Shi et al. 2013; Cui et al. 2015a,b; Rivers et al. 2015, 2016; Molokie et al. 2017]. These inhibitory strategies were proposed as possible pathways that could lead to robust fetal hemoglobin (HbF) induction and therefore a potentially effective

© 2018 Yu et al. This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date [see http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml]. After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License [Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International], as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.
finitive adult HUDEP-2 cells that altered five amino acids and novel important proteins that participate in the repression complex components (Cui et al. 2011) could easily have been identified in deubiquitination studies. This finding is relevant to the current treatment of sickle cell disease, which has significant associated problems, and no other treatment options are currently available that might achieve sufficient levels of HbF induction that would ameliorate both the symptoms and the pathophysiology caused by red blood cell (RBC) sickling (Wood et al. 1976; Noguchi et al. 1988; Ngo et al. 2012). Similarly, there is current pharmacological treatment for patients with β-major-thalassemia that would improve imbalanced hemoglobin chain synthesis. Thus, the generation of safer, more robust, and more specific HbF inducers is highly desirable.

We hypothesized that a more detailed analysis of the interactions within the DRED complex might reveal new potential HbF inducers through two different possible outcomes. First, detailed information of protein–protein interactions that take place in the complexes might provide information about subunit interfaces that could provide alternative strategies for pharmacologic targeting between corepressors that have already been identified, and this information could then be used to create small molecules that would disrupt specific (possibly unique) protein interaction interfaces within the DRED complex. Second, the original mass spectrometry survey of DRED complex components (Cui et al. 2011) could easily have missed weakly or transiently interacting but nonetheless important proteins that participate in the repression mechanism, and novel γ-globin regulators might be identified that would serve as either better or additional HbF targets for possible therapeutic intervention. To investigate this rationale, we used a proximity-dependent biotin identification (BioID) strategy—a method that maps transient or low-solubility protein interactions (Lambert et al. 2015) and complements our previous affinity purification strategy—to reanalyze the DRED complex in human umbilical cord blood-derived erythroid progenitor (HUDEP-2) cells, which primarily produce adult β-globin-containing RBCs in vitro.

Using the BioID strategy identified the nuclear receptor corepressor-1 (NCoR1) as a TR4-interacting protein, a cofactor that was recovered at low abundance in the original affinity purification report (Cui et al. 2011). Furthermore, by using yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assays, we discovered that NCoR1 binds directly to both TR2 and TR4. We also found that NCoR1, but not TR2 or TR4, binds to enzymatic DRED corepressor subunits (e.g., DNMT1 and LSD1), suggesting that NCoR1 might serve as the scaffold upon which the TR2/TR4 DNA-binding proteins indirectly convey these epigenetic modifying enzymes to act at specific chromatin sites. This hypothesis was tested by site-specific CRISPR–Cas9 mutagenesis of NCoR1 in definitive adult HUDEP-2 cells that altered five amino acids predicted to disrupt the NCoR1 interaction interface with TR2 and TR4. The NCoR1 mutant protein as well as the DRED repressor component LSD1 failed to be recruited to their normal binding sites in the β-globin locus, confirming that NCoR1 is an adaptor for the DRED complex. Finally, NCoR1 has been shown to be regulated through post-translational ubiquitination, which reduces its recruitment to specific genomic sites (Perissi et al. 2004, 2008; Catic et al. 2013; Mottis et al. 2013). Our BioID survey also identified new DRED components, including the deubiquitinase BRCA1-associated protein-1 (BAP1), as potentially novel members of the complex. shRNA knockdown of BAP1 increased NCoR1 ubiquitination and significantly reduced the recruitment of NCoR1 to sites within the globin locus that could be rescued by proteasome inhibitor treatment, indicating that this deubiquitinase plays an important role in NCoR1 activity and therefore, in turn, DRED complex regulation. Consistent with its presumptive regulatory activity, both BAP1 knockdown and BAP1+/− heterozygous mutant clones generated by CRISPR/Cas9 editing in HUDEP-2 cells significantly derepressed γ-globin transcription, leading to robust induction of HbF synthesis. These experiments not only detail the vital nature of previously identified protein–protein interactions that mediate γ-globin repression but also identify novel corepressor subunits that may serve as additional therapeutic targets for future treatment of the β-globinopathies.

**Results**

We reported previously that the orphan nuclear receptor heterodimer TR2:TR4 (NR2C1:NR2C2) recruited multiple epigenetic cofactors, including LSD1 (KDM1A) and DNMT1, to the promoters of the ε-globin and γ-globin genes, causing their transcriptional repression in adult definitive erythroid cells (Tanabe et al. 2002, 2007; Cui et al. 2011, 2015b). However, the precise composition as well as the number and identity of the proteins that participate in the DRED repressor complex have been only superficially defined. To address this concern, we used BioID, a proximity-dependent labeling technique (Roux et al. 2012; Kim et al. 2016) that can detect even weak and transient protein interactions, which are often difficult to determine in standard affinity purification experiments. BioID is based on the activity of a mutant form of the prokaryotic BirA biotin ligase (BirA∗). To initiate the procedure, any protein of interest is first fused to BirA∗, which, when expressed in cells, will promiscuously biotinylate all proteins in its immediate spatial vicinity regardless of their affinity for the protein to which the BirA∗ is fused. Those labeled proteins can then be collected and identified by mass spectrometry (Fig. 1A illustrates the strategy).

To ask whether any new proteins were identified as novel components of the DRED complex that were not copurified in our original affinity proteomics study (Cui et al. 2011), BirA∗ was fused to the N terminus of TR4, and then TR4-BirA∗ was stably transfected into HUDEP-2 cells, which, upon cytokine stimulation, produces...
genetic generation of an active TR4-BirA
Western blotting of whole-cell lysates (Cui et al. 2011). Success was able to biotinylate itself by BirA
head [TR4-BirA] and upper arrowhead [endogenous TR4].

Potential artifactual effects that might result from mass-
mRNA and protein (Kurita et al. 2013). To circumvent
plex. (A) A schematic representation for identification of new
DRED complex proteins using the BioID strategy. (B) Anti-TR4
Western blotting of whole-cell lysates prepared from TR4-BirA
transformed HUDEP-2 cells. The arrowhead indicates that the
biotinylated proteins were isolated and identified by

Definitive RBCs in vitro that express primarily adult β-globin mRNA and protein (Kurita et al. 2013). To circumvent
potential artifactual effects that might result from mass-
overexpressed TR4-BirA*, the level of fusion protein was
maintained near endogenous levels (Fig. 1B, bottom arrowhead [endogenous TR4] and upper arrowhead [TR4-BirA*]). Since the TR4-BirA* fusion protein was able to biotinylate itself by BirA* after staining with streptavidin (Fig. 1C, arrowhead), the data show that the fusion protein, when expressed at roughly endogenous levels, is able to identify other nearby proteins.

After incubation in the presence of biotin, the TR4-
BirA* as well as parental HUDEP-2 cells were lysed, and
the biotinylated proteins were isolated and identified by
mass spectrometry. Among the output of total peptide spectrum matches [PSM] from three independent experiments, all of the DRED complex proteins, including LSD1 and DNMT1, that were identified previously by affinity purification (Cui et al. 2011) were confirmed as TR4-interacting proteins (either direct or indirect). In ad-
dition to confirming the identity of proteins that were identified previously as components of the complex, the analysis also revealed new proteins that were in close spatial proximity to the TR4 BirA* tag (Fig. 1D, Supplemental Table 1). Studies described below demonstrated that two of these BioID-labeled proteins [NCoR1 and BAP1] play critical roles in γ-globin repression.

NCoR1 is a key adaptor protein in the DRED complex

NCoR1 has been shown to recruit histone deacetylases [HDACs] into large macromolecular complexes with thyroid hormone receptor, retinoic acid receptor, and other nonnuclear receptor transcription factors to mediate transcriptional repression of target genes (Perissi et al. 2008; Mottis et al. 2013). In this regard, we hypothesized that NCoR1 might serve as the adaptor between the orphan nuclear receptors TR2/TR4 and other corepressor components of the DRED complex. To test this hypothesis, protein complexes in wild-type HUDEP-2 nuclear extracts were first immunoprecipitated using an anti-NCoR1 antibody followed by Western blotting using antibodies that recognize LSD1, DNMT1, HDAC1, or TR4 (Fig. 1E). These coimmunoprecipitation [co-IP] data indicate that endogenous NCoR1 interacts with endogenous TR4 as well as each of these other epigenetic modifying enzymes in HUDEP-2 cells, consistent with the hypothesis that NCoR1 might serve as the scaffold on which the DRED repressor complex is assembled.

To address whether NCoR1 might act as the scaffold for TR2 and TR4 to recruit corepressor enzymes, we used Y2H assays to examine the interactions between these proteins using fragments of NCoR1 as bait. Successful ex-
pression of LexA bait and VP16 prey fusion proteins was
confirmed by Western blots of yeast protein extracts probed with anti-LexA or anti-VP16 antibodies [Supplemental Fig. 1]. Since NCoR1 is encoded in 2453 amino acids, we generated three separate overlapping fragments of NCoR1 to use as bait (Table 1). Those three fragments contained, respectively, repressive domain 1 [RD1], repressive domain 2 plus 3 [RD2/3], or the C-terminal domain [Mottis et al. 2013] of NCoR1.

When these fragments of NCoR1 were tested in Y2H exper-
iments, the RD1 domain of NCoR1 was found to interact
with LSD1, the N terminus of DNMT1, and Rcor1 [CoREST] (Table 1). Furthermore, the C-terminal domain of NCoR1 was also found to interact with several corepressors, including LSD1, HDAC3, CtBP1, Sin3A, and mi2β [one of multiple protein constituents of the mamma-
lian NuRD complex] as well as TR2 and TR4. None of the corepressors that we tested interacted with the NCoR1 RD2/3 domain (Table 1).

Interestingly, among all of the tested corepressor pro-
teins, only NCoR1 [C-terminal] and Sin3A bound directly to TR2/TR4 [when TR2 or TR4 were used as Y2H bait] (Table 1), indicating that NCoR1 and/or Sin3A serves as an adaptor platform between TR2/TR4 and the other DRED complex subunits. Based on these data, we then ad-
dressed the possibility that Sin3A might be an additional or alternative DRED scaffold. However, when Sin3A was

| NCoR1 fusion protein | TR4-BirA* fusion protein |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 166 | 160 |
| TR2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 21 | 20 |
| LSD1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| DirEl1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| HDAC1 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| HDAC2 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 11 | 17 |
| Mi2α | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| Mi2β | 9 | 11 | 9 | 33 | 25 | 27 |
| NCoR1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 166 | 169 |
| BAP1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 15 |
| Total #PSM | 20493 | 20616 | 27390 | 29300 | 28784 | 29202 |

Figure 1. NCoR1 and BAP1 are new members of the DRED complex. (A) A schematic representation for identification of new DRED complex proteins using the BioID strategy. (B) Anti-TR4 Western blotting of whole-cell lysates (Cui et al. 2011).
used as bait in additional Y2H experiments, we found that TR2, TR4, and NCoR1, but none of the epigenetic modifying corepressor enzymes, bound to Sin3A (Table 1), suggesting that either Sin3A is not an adaptor in the DRED complex or mediates interactions with additional partner proteins that have not yet been identified. Notably, the C-terminal domain of SMRT (silencing mediator of retinoic acid and thyroid hormone), which contains nuclear receptor interaction domains and also can serve in scaffold interactions between nuclear receptors and epigenetic corepressors [Mottis et al. 2013], does not directly interact with either TR2 or TR4 (Table 1), indicating that NCoR1 fulfills a unique requirement as the adaptor between TR2/TR4 and the DRED corepressor enzymes. Taken together, the data were consistent with a specific hypothesis regarding the protein constituents that might be minimally required to generate the large DRED repressor: NCoR1 serves as the central adaptor protein in which different domains recruit both the DNA-binding components (TR2 and TR4) and critical epigenetic modifying enzymes into the repressor complex.

### Table 1. Y2H studies of NCoR1 binding to other candidate proteins within the DRED complex

| Prey (VP16) | Bait (LexA) | NCoR1 | TR2 | TR4 | Sin3A |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| VP16        | -           | -     | -   | -   | -     |
| TR2         | -           | -     | 3+  | 5+  | 5+    |
| TR4         | -           | -     | 4+  | 5+  | 5+    |
| LSD1        | 2+          | -     | 2+  | -   | -     |
| DNMT1*      | 1-          | -     | -   | -   | -     |
| DNMT1**     | -           | -     | -   | -   | -     |
| Rcor1       | 1+          | -     | -   | -   | -     |
| Rcor2       | -           | -     | -   | -   | -     |
| HDAC1       | -           | -     | -   | -   | -     |
| HDAC3       | -           | -     | 2+  | -   | -     |
| TRIM28      | -           | -     | -   | -   | -     |
| CBF1        | -           | -     | 2+  | -   | -     |
| Sin3A       | -           | -     | 5+  | 1+  | 2+    |
| Mi2β*       | -           | -     | 1+  | -   | -     |
| Mi2β** (CH54)| -         | -     | 1+  | -   | -     |
| NCoR1*      | -           | -     | 5+  | 1+  | 2+    |
| SMRT1#      | -           | -     | -   | -   | -     |

The relative scores for protein–protein interactions between fragments of NCoR1 and previously identified members of the DRED complex based on the induction of the HIS3 reporter in Y2H assays that allowed L40 yeast to grow in medium lacking histidine. The scores indicate interactions from no growth (−) to robust growth (+,+). (∗) N-terminal amino acids 2–757; (∗∗) Mi2β amino acids 667–1295; (#) C-terminal amino acids 1630–2454; (##) C-terminal amino acids 2004–2468. The data reported here indicate that NCoR1 might serve as the scaffold between TR2/TR4 and other DRED complex proteins. If this hypothesis is correct, then NCoR1 and TR2/TR4 ChIP-seq [chromatin immunoprecipitation [ChIP] combined with high-throughput sequencing] peaks would be predicted to significantly overlap in the erythroid genome. To address this hypothesis, we examined the ChIP-seq signatures of NCoR1 [NCoR1-1 and NCoR1-2], EGFP-TR2, and EGFP-TR4 in K562 cells [a human embryonic myeloenhroid cell line] from the ENCODE database [http://genome.ucsc.edu/encode/downloads.html]. The common peaks shared between two different data sets [NCoR1-1 and NCoR1-2] were accumulated as NCoR1 peaks to calculate NCoR1 and TR2/TR4 peak overlap. Among the 17,417 NCoR1 peaks, 6195 (35.5%) and 6932 (39.8%) peaks colocalized with TR2 and TR4, respectively, and 4538 (26%) peaks overlapped both TR2 and TR4 (Fig. 2A). Additionally, among the 22,243 TR2 and 26,557 TR4 peaks, 6195 (27.9%) and 6932 (26.1%) peaks were found to be co-occupied by NCoR1 (Fig. 2A). The β-globin locus and the genes that regulate RNA catabolism and cell cycle were chosen as representative loci for association with all of these proteins, and there are clearly overlapping as well as unique binding site signatures (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Fig. 2). The strongest overlap among all three chromatin-immunoprecipitated proteins in the β-globin locus in K562 cells was at a DR2 element (GC TGACCACC TGACTAAA) in LCR HS2. Taken together, the ChIP-seq data indicate that NCoR1 and TR2/TR4 extensively overlap in the erythroid genome, consistent with the concept that these proteins often function together in a complex for a significant fraction of time (e.g., at LCR HS2) [Fig. 2B]. Among the top gene ontology (GO) enrichment pathway terms among the peaks shared by NCoR1 and TR4 (Fig. 2C), there are multiple terms describing enrichment of proteins involved in macromolecular catabolism and cell organelle organization, suggesting that the DRED complex likely plays additional unexplored roles in these pathways.

**NCoR1 interaction domain 3 (ID3) binds to the ligand-binding domains (LBDs) of TR2 and TR4**

To begin to detail potential mechanisms of transcriptional repression elicited by the DRED complex, we characterized specific protein–protein interaction interfaces between TR2, TR4, and NCoR1. A series of TR2 or TR4 truncations was generated and tested by Y2H in order to map the domains that mediate their interactions with the C-terminal domain of NCoR1 (NCoR1-C) (Table 1). The expression of LexA-bait and VP16-prey fusion proteins was confirmed by Western blots using anti-LexA and anti-VP16 antibodies [Supplemental Fig. 1]. These results show that the LBDs [EF domains] (Table 2) of both TR2 and TR4 were responsible for the interaction with NCoR1-C in a manner similar to other nuclear receptor/ NCoR1 associations [Jepsen and Rosenfeld 2002] and
that this interaction does not require the N-terminal domain (regions A/B), DNA-binding domain (region C), or hinge region (region D) of either orphan receptor (Table 2). Additionally, the N-terminal domain (A/B) of TR4, but not of TR2, is capable of independently interacting with NCoR1-C; thus, two different domains of TR4 can interact with NCoR1-C.

The C terminus of NCoR1 has three IDs (ID1–ID3) [Table 1] that were reportedly responsible for its interaction with other nuclear receptors [Cohen et al. 2001]. Each of the IDs contains a co-repressor–nuclear receptor (CoRNR) box bearing the five-amino-acid consensus sequence (I/L)XX(V/I)I (where X is any amino acid) that preferentially interacts with nuclear receptors [Hu and Lazar 1999; Perissi et al. 1999]. To assess which IDs of NCoR1 interact with the LBDs of TR2 and TR4, three truncated fragments containing each of the NCoR1-IDs were tested in Y2H assays. As shown in Table 2, the LBDs of TR2 and TR4 specifically interact with NCoR1-ID3, demonstrating an ID3 preference for TR2/TR4:NCoR1 interactions. Furthermore, mutation of three amino acids in the ID3-CoRNR box (IDVII to ADAIA) fully abolished interactions with both TR2 and TR4 (Table 2), demonstrating that the ID3-CoRNR box of NCoR1 is both necessary and sufficient for interactions with the DRED orphan nuclear receptors. Taken together, these results clearly demonstrate that NCoR1 uses a CoRNR box in its ID3 domain to specifically bind to sequences in the LBDs of both TR2 and TR4.

NCoR1 is the adaptor for the DRED complex in HUDEP-2 cells

By identifying the amino acids in the NCoR1-ID3 that are critical for TR2/TR4 interaction, we next used CRISPR–Cas9 genome editing to generate site-directed mutants of NCoR1-ID3 in HUDEP-2 cells that were predicted to block interactions with wild-type TR2/TR4. Two homozygous mutant [IDVII to A5] NCoR1 clones were generated, and the site-specific mutations in both clones were verified by Sanger sequencing [Fig. 3A]. Consistent with the Y2H data shown in Table 2, mutation of the five amino acids that comprise the CoRNR box completely disrupted NCoR1 interaction with TR4 in HUDEP-2 cells, whereas the interaction between the mutant NCoR1 protein and LSD1 is unperturbed [Fig. 3B].

In the β-globin locus, wild-type NCoR1 binds most prominently to LCR HS2 [Figs. 2B, 3C]. However, recruitment of the AAAAA mutated NCoR1 to its most prominent β-globin locus-binding site in HS2 was significantly reduced in both CRISPR mutant clones [Fig. 3C], indicating that TR2/4 plays a central role in NCoR1 recruitment to globin locus chromatin. Recruitment of NCoR1 to an unrelated regulatory element (in the ARHGAP42 gene, where it is tethered by transcription factor AP-1) was hardly affected [Fig. 3C]. Consistent with the concept that NCoR1 is the adaptor between TR2/4 and multiple corepressor enzymes, LSD1 recruitment at HS2 of the β-globin locus was also significantly reduced in NCoR1 mutant HUDEP-2 cells [Fig. 3D]. Taken together, these data confirm that NCoR1 serves as a primary adaptor to aggregate TR2/4 with DRED corepressor enzymes.

Table 2. Identification of the binding interface between NCoR1 and TR2 or TR4

| Fragments of TR2 or TR4 were used as bait in potential Y2H interactions with NCoR1 wild-type and mutant interaction domains [ID3*] based on the induction of a HS3 reporter gene that allows L40 yeast to grow in medium lacking histidine. The scores range from no growth (–) to robust growth (5+). [ND] Not determined; [FL] full length; [*] IDVII-to-ADAIA mutation.

References:

Cohen et al. 2001
Hu and Lazar 1999
Perissi et al. 1999
Disruption of the TR2/4:NCoR1 interaction derepresses γ-globin transcription

The DRED complex is critical for γ-globin repression in adult RBCs (Suzuki et al. 2014), and NCoR1 knockdown by shRNA in CD34⁺ cell erythroid differentiation cultures has been shown to induce γ-globin mRNA synthesis (Xu et al. 2013). To test for possible functional deficiencies that are due to NCoR1 loss of function in γ-globin repression, we determined globin mRNA levels in undifferentiated (day 0) or differentiated (day 6) HUDEP-2 cells bearing NCoR1 mutations that disrupt its interaction with TR2/4 [Fig. 3E]. Upon examining either independent NCoR1 mutant HUDEP-2 clone, both β-globin expression and γ-globin expression were very similar in wild-type and mutant cells in the absence of differentiation induction. However, after 6 d of erythroid differentiation induction, while β-globin expression was essentially unchanged from its level in wild-type cells, γ-globin mRNA in the mutant clones increased by twofold to threefold, demonstrating that disruption of the TR2/TR4:NCoR1 interface specifically derepresses γ-globin expression in differentiated erythroid progenitor cells.

BAP1 regulates β-globin locus NCoR1 recruitment

In addition to the previously defined DRED complex corepressors and NCoR1 [identified here using BioID], we detected strong HCF1 association with TR4 [Fig. 1D], which was in agreement with the interactome of TR2/ TR4 that was demonstrated previously in mouse erythroleukemia [MEL] cells [Cui et al. 2011]. Interestingly, the well-characterized HCF1-interacting tumor suppressor BAP1 was also identified as another new component in the DRED complex [Fig. 1D]. BAP1 is a nuclear-localized ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase [Dey et al. 2012; Lee et al. 2014; Zarrizi et al. 2014; Qin et al. 2015], which has been shown to stabilize nuclear proteins through its deubiquitinating activity. Among the multiple corepressors already identified in the DRED complex, NCoR1 has been explicitly shown to be regulated by ubiquitination [Perissi et al. 2004, 2008; Catic et al. 2013; Mottis et al. 2013], and the ubiquitination of NCoR1 has been proposed to modulate its stability and genome recruitment through proteasome degradation [Catic et al. 2013]. Based on previous studies as well as the earlier demonstration that both NCoR1 and BAP1 interact with TR4 [Fig. 1D], we investigated the possibility that BAP1 might regulate NCoR1 activity through its deubiquitinating activity.

To test this hypothesis, we immunoprecipitated HCF1 from wild-type HUDEP-2 nuclear extracts and probed those immune complexes by Western blotting with TR4, NCoR1, and BAP1 antibodies. These co-IP experiments indicated that all four of these proteins can be found in complex [Fig. 4A], consistent with the proteomics data. Next, the HCF1 co-IP was performed using the AAAAA NCoR1 mutant HUDEP-2 cell nuclear extracts in which the TR4 interaction with NCoR1 was disrupted [Table 2; Fig. 3B]. In these cells, mutant NCoR1 was still able to bind to HCF1 [Supplemental Fig. 3], indicating that NCoR1:HCF1 binding is not dependent on TR4:NCoR1 interaction.

To examine whether NCoR1 is directly ubiquitinated, we next used an in vivo ubiquitination assay in 293T cells. 293T cells were transfected with either an HA-tagged ubiquitin expression vector or HA-ubiquitin plus anti-BAP1 shRNA plasmids. BAP1 knockdown enhanced
BAP1 and NCoR1 are fetal globin gene corepressors

**Figure 4.** HCF1 and BAP1 are new components of the DRED complex and regulate NCoR1 activity in the β-globin locus. (A) Immunoprecipitated HCF1 was interrogated on Western blots using antibodies recognizing HCF-1, TR4, NCoR1, or BAP-1 in wild-type HUDEP-2 cells. (B) BAP1 knockdown enhances nuclear protein ubiquitination with virtually no change in NCoR1 abundance in 293T cells. (C) shRNA knockdown of BAP1 modestly increased NCoR1 ubiquitination in 293T cells. 293T nuclear extracts were next immunoprecipitated with antibodies recognizing HCF-1, TR4, NCoR1, or BAP-1 in wild-type HUDEP-2 cells. (D) BAP1 shRNA knockdown in HUDEP-2 cells affects nuclear NCoR1 and HCF1 protein levels but significantly reduces LSD1 and LRF protein levels while slightly diminishes MYB protein abundance. (E) NCoR1 mRNA level increased by only 1.7-fold after BAP1 knockdown. (F) NCoR1 occupancy at the TR2/4-binding site in HS2 is significantly reduced after BAP1 knockdown in undifferentiated HUDEP-2 cells, and the reduced NCoR1 occupancy could be partially rescued by proteasome inhibitor lactacystin treatment. (Blue bar) Control scrambled shRNA-infected HUDEP-2 cells with IgG control; (red bar) control HUDEP-2 cells with anti-NCoR1; (green bar) BAP1 knockdown HUDEP-2 cells with IgG control; (purple bar) BAP1 knockdown HUDEP-2 cells with anti-NCoR1; (gray bar) BAP1 knockdown HUDEP-2 cells after 3 h of treatment with 25 µM lactacystin (IgG control); (orange bar) NCoR1 detection in BAP1 knockdown HUDEP-2 cells after 3 h of treatment with 25 µM lactacystin. Data are shown as the means ± SD from three independent experiments (***) *P < 0.001, unpaired Student’s t-test.

Overall nuclear protein ubiquitination but did not significantly alter total NCoR1 abundance (Fig. 4B). Wild-type as well as transfected 293T nuclear extracts were next immunoprecipitated with anti-NCoR1. The immune complex was then examined by Western blot by using an anti-HA antibody (Fig. 4C, top and middle panels). The data indicate that HA-ubiquitin was coimmunoprecipitated at a higher-molecular-weight position than unmodified NCoR1, which slightly increased by 1.3-fold after BAP1 knockdown. Next, we immunoprecipitated HA followed by NCoR1 Western blotting (Fig. 4C, bottom panel). A significant amount of unmodified NCoR1 was detected, suggesting either that NCoR1 forms complexes with other ubiquitinated proteins or that ubiquitination might be a normal steady-state housekeeping modification that is reproduced in HUDEP-2 cells [Supplemental Fig. 4]. Notably, there is also a small amount of NCoR1 that appears in diffuse higher-molecular-weight forms, and BAP1 knockdown increases those species (Fig. 4C, bottom panel). Taken together, these assays indicate that NCoR1 is directly regulated through ubiquitination and that BAP1 plays an important role in NCoR1 deubiquitination.

To test whether BAP1 and ubiquitination alter NCoR1 recruitment to chromatin (as reported in a different cellular context) [Catic et al. 2013], we reduced the abundance of BAP1 mRNA using an shRNA-containing lentivirus followed by NCoR1 ChIP assays in the β-globin locus in HUDEP-2 cells. The efficiency of BAP1 knockdown was confirmed by Western blotting (Fig. 4D). We found that reduced BAP1 abundance does not significantly alter the level of total NCoR1 protein (Fig. 4D), whereas the NCoR1 mRNA level slightly increased (Fig. 4E). Additionally, other DRED components (HCF1 and LSD1) were slightly diminished after BAP1 degradation, while, interestingly, other globin regulatory proteins (LRF and c-Myc) appeared to be more significantly depleted (Fig. 4D). When compared with infection with a control scrambled shRNA, BAP1 mRNA reduction significantly depleted the amount of NCoR1 at its most prominent binding site in the β-globin locus: LCR HS2 [Fig. 4F, purple vs. red bars].

Ubiquitination of NCoR1 destabilized its occupancy on chromatin, presumably by acting through proteasome-mediated protein degradation. Treatment with the proteasome inhibitor lactacystin [Lac] has been reported to significantly enhance NCoR1 recruitment at specific chromosomal binding sites by preventing its degradation [Catic et al. 2013]. To test whether BAP1 knockdown would reduce NCoR1 recruitment to HS2 through a proteasome-mediated process, we first treated uninfected HUDEP-2 cells with Lac for 3 h, which significantly enhanced accumulation of ubiquitinated protein in a dose-dependent manner, while total cellular NCoR1 abundance was essentially unchanged [Supplemental Fig. 5; Catic et al. 2013]. When anti-BAP1 shRNA lentivirus-infected HUDEP-2 cells were treated with Lac for 3 h, NCoR1 occupancy at HS2 was largely rescued (Fig. 4F, orange vs. purple bars), indicating that proteasome-mediated NCoR1 degradation plays a key role in NCoR1 chromatin occupancy.

Taken together, the data suggest that both BAP1 and NCoR1 are involved in DRED complex activity and that BAP1 is a central regulator of NCoR1 recruitment to specific chromatin sites in the β-globin locus.
Reduced BAP1 expression robustly induces γ-globin transcription

Since BAP1 was identified here as a novel regulator of DRED complex activity that appears to be important for NCoR1 recruitment to β-globin locus H52 (Fig. 4E), we next asked whether BAP1 knockdown would functionally affect globin gene expression. To do so, mRNA abundances were assessed in undifferentiated (day 0) or differentiated (day 6) control HUDEP-2 cells. At day 6, BAP1 knockdown induced a β-globin mRNA level of fourfold and γ-globin by 43-fold (Fig. 5A, middle and right panels). In control shRNA-infected HUDEP-2 cells, the percentage of HbF increased from 0.1% in scrambled sequence-infected cells to 13.5% in BAP1 shRNA-infected cells after 10-d of erythroid differentiation induction.

To avoid possible off-target effects of shRNA treatment on γ-globin derepression, we next used CRISPR–Cas9 genome editing to generate BAP1 mutant HUDEP-2 cells. Two clones bearing 13-base-pair deletions in BAP1 exon 5 were examined (Supplemental Fig. 6A); no homozygous BAP1 knockouts were recovered. Consistent with the BAP1 shRNA knockdown data, BAP1 heterozygous mutations modestly affected adult β-globin mRNA abundance but significantly induced γ-globin expression (Supplemental Fig. 6B). Taken together, the data indicate that this newly discovered DRED complex regulator, BAP1, plays a vital role in fetal globin gene repression and that inhibition of BAP1 deubiquitinase activity may serve in the future as a novel therapeutic target for γ-globin induction.

Discussion

In this study, we found that NCoR1 serves as a central scaffold upon which the active DRED repressor is assembled. We also showed that a novel DRED repressor subunit, deubiquitinase BAP1, regulates site-specific NCoR1 recruitment within the β-globin locus and that BAP1 reduction leads to enormously increased γ-globin mRNA and protein induction (Fig. 5D).

Several lines of evidence demonstrate that BAP1 regulates NCoR1 recruitment through its deubiquitinase activity. First, NCoR1 is ubiquitinated and BAP1 is critical for NCoR1 deubiquitination in 293T cells (Fig. 4C), and the ubiquitination of NCoR1 followed by proteasome degradation was reported previously to be vital for the regulation of NCoR1 transcriptional activity (Catic et al. 2013). Second, BAP1 is found in a complex with NCoR1 (as well as with TR4 and HCF1) (Fig. 4A), and knockdown of BAP1 mRNA reduced NCoR1 recruitment at β-globin locus-associated sites and could be rescued by proteasome inhibitor administration (Fig. 4F). Third, although BAP1 erythroid ChIP-seq information is not yet available, HCF1 (to which the majority of cellular BAPI appears to be bound [Fig. 4A] and which is found in complex with a majority [85%] of BAPI in bone marrow-derived macrophages) (Dey et al. 2012) colocalized genome-wide with NCoR1 as well as in the globin locus in K562 erythroblasts (from ENCODE ChIP-seq studies). Additionally, the F-box-like/WD repeat-containing protein TBL1XR1 that is responsible for NCoR1 ubiquitination (Perissi et al. 2004, 2008; Mottis et al. 2013) also colocalized with NCoR1 and HCF1 as well as with TR2/TR4 at sites in the β-globin locus in K562 cells (ChIP-seq in ENCODE). Taken together, the available data suggest that in vivo homeostasis of NCoR1 is achieved by an opposing...
ubiquitination/deubiquitination balance and that this likely plays a key regulatory role in NCoR1 activity in erythroid cells [Fig. 5D].

mRNA stability is an important mechanism that can regulate protein abundance. Globin mRNA stability is a critical determinant of protein abundance in normal erythropoiesis, since the long half-life of these mRNAs is fundamental for the continuous translation of globin proteins, which is important during later stages of erythropoiesis, when transcription is arrested and nuclei are shed [Waggoner and Liebhaber 2003]. In contrast, destabilization of globin mRNA by naturally occurring mutations such as anti-termination signals [TAA to CAA in the α2-globin gene leading to accelerated mRNA decay] [Morales et al. 1997] results in α-thalassemia. Under physiological conditions, it is possible that nonsense transcripts could be generated and that the cell is able to destabilize these aberrant transcripts through various pathways, including nonsense-mediated decay [Palacios 2013], indicating that mRNA catabolism could play a role in erythropoiesis. In this regard, it is interesting to note that the GO terms shown in Figure 2 (and represented in Supplementary Fig. 2) suggest that the genomic sites of overlap between TR4 and NCoR1 are enriched in multiple pathways associated with RNA catabolism and nonsense-mediated mRNA decay, suggesting that TR4 and NCoR1 might affect erythropoiesis through the regulation of pathways that control mRNA stability.

In a previous study, we found that TR4 promotes erythroid cell proliferation in mouse bone marrow-derived erythroid progenitors [Lee et al. 2017]. In this regard, it is interesting to note that both of the HUDEP-2 clones harboring an NCoR1 mutation that leads to failure to interact with TR2/TR4 grow significantly slower than parental cells [Supplemental Fig. 7], suggesting that NCoR1 might mediate cell cycle regulatory activity of TR4 as the DRED complex adaptor. By analyzing the TR2/TR4 and NCoR1 ChIP-seq database in K562 cells, we found co-occupancy of all three proteins near the promoters of E2F family transcription factors, critical regulators of G1-to-S-phase transition during the cell cycle [Supplemental Fig. 2]. This suggests that TR4 might regulate cell proliferation through direct recruitment of NCoR1 and subsequent transcriptional control of E2F family members.

The switch from corepressor to coactivator function is an important gene regulatory mechanism for nuclear receptors [Perissi et al. 2010]. Based on this fact [for which many examples exist] and the model proposed in this study, there are intriguing questions that remain. First, what proteins (if any) constitute the coactivator form of TR2/TR4 after depleting cells of NCoR1? A previous study suggested that PGC-1α/β might be such coactivator candidates [Cui et al. 2014]. PGC-1α serves as the coactivator for several other nuclear receptors, such as PPARγ [Lin 2009], and PGC-1α/β are in fact recruited in the mouse β-globin locus, where TR2/TR4 bind and the interaction between TR2/TR4 and PGC-1α/β was confirmed by co-IP in erythroid cells [Cui et al. 2014]. Furthermore, compound mutants in the PGC-1α/β genes impaired murine β-type globin gene expression, which is induced by disrupting TR2/TR4 and NCoR1 interaction [Fig. 3E], suggesting that NCoR1 and PGC-1α/β share a mutually antagonistic relationship. Taken together, these data suggest that PGC-1α/β might serve as candidate coactivators that replace ubiquitin-mediated turnover of NCoR1 to generate a TR2/4 activator from the DRED repressor form.

An additional question addresses the mechanism that could allow or promote the exchange of NCoR1 for coactivators. A study showing that ubiquitination of NCoR1 by TBL1XR1 favors the switch from corepressors to coactivators has been reported, which may be the underlying mechanism responsible for a cofactor switch [Mottis et al. 2013]. NCoR1 has been shown to bind to unliganded nuclear receptors, and this interaction is lost upon ligand binding [Perissi et al. 2010]. In this regard, it is interesting to note that nonphysiological concentrations of vitamin A [or, more likely, a related molecule] have been promoted as a potential TR4 ligand from in vitro analysis [Zhou et al. 2011]. If there is a high-affinity ligand for either or both TR2 and TR4, such a fact could have significant clinical potential, since ligand antagonists might be identified that could induce γ-globin transcription toward the aim of benefiting patients with β-globinopathies.

In this study, we discovered that a loss of NCoR1 recruitment to β-globin locus regulatory sites induced fetal globin gene expression by twofold to threefold, whereas a 70% reduction in BAP1 enzyme abundance induces γ-globin synthesis 90-fold, indicating that there are other likely γ-globin-inductive mechanisms that are regulated by BAP1. Of note, among the critical γ-globin repressors examined, we found that LRF abundance was moderately reduced, whereas MYB abundance was significantly diminished after BAP1 knockdown [Fig. 4D], suggesting that BAP1 might play an important role in stabilizing these γ-globin regulatory proteins. To delve more deeply into the underlying mechanism by which BAP1 mediates repression of γ-globin synthesis, it would be of great significance to determine the identity of additional BAP1 target proteins in erythroid cells in future studies. Detailed investigation of this issue is of potential clinical significance, since increased γ-globin synthesis is known to mitigate the symptoms of the β-globinopathies [Noguchi et al. 1988; Weatherall 2001; Ngo et al. 2012]. Considering that multiple enzymatic activities could be simultaneously targeted for therapeutic purposes, the deubiquitinase BAP1 as well as additional epigenetic enzymatic activities that are regulated by BAP1 might provide novel targets for therapeutic intervention in inducing fetal globin synthesis for treatment of the β-globinopathies.

Materials and methods

HUDEP-2 cell culture

HUDEP-2 cells were the kind gift of Dr. Yukio Nakamura [Kurita et al. 2013]. HUDEP-2 cells were expanded in StemSpan SFEM medium (Stem Cell Technologies) supplemented with 50 ng/mL human stem cell factor (SCF) [Peprotech], 3 IU/mL erythropoietin [Amgen], 1 μg/mL doxycycline [Sigma], 1 μM dexamethasone [Cayman], and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin. To
induce erythroid differentiation, HUDEP-2 cells were cultured in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium [IMDM] [Life Technologies] supplemented with 5% human AB serum [Sigma], 50 ng/mL SCF, 3 IU/mL erythropoietin [Amgen], 1 µg/mL doxycycline [Sigma], 330 µg/mL holo-transferrin [Sigma], 10 µg/mL human insulin [Sigma], 2 IU/mL heparin [Sigma], and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin.

BioID
TR4-BirA* expressing or parental wild-type HUDEP-2 cells were incubated for 24 h in StemSpan SFEM complete medium supplemented with 50 µM biotin. After washing the cells with cold PBS, cell pellets [10⁶ cells] were resuspended in 4.5 mL of ice-cold RIPA buffer [50 mM Tris–HCl at pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate with 1 mM PMFS, 1 mM DTT, 1x Roche protease inhibitor cocktail]. The cell lysates were sonicated twice on ice in a 15 mL conical tube for a total of 3 sec at 3 sec on/7 sec off, total pulse on 30 sec with 40% amplitude [Sonic Dismembrator model 500, Fisher Scientific]. Three-hundred units of benzonase [Sigma, E1014] was added and then rotated for 60 min at 4°C. Cell lysates were cleared by 16,500g centrifugation for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatants were incubated with 250 µL of Dynabeads MyOne Streptavidin C1 [Thermo Fisher Scientific, 65001]; the beads were prewashed with RIPA buffer three times overnight in a 4°C room. After incubation, the beads were washed with RIPA buffer four times followed by three washes with 50 mM fresh NH₄HCO₃. The beads were frozen at −80°C until mass spectrometry identification.

Protein identification by mass spectrometry and data analysis
The beads were resuspended in 50 µL of 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate buffer [pH 8]. Cysteines were reduced by adding 50 µL of 10 mM DTT and incubated for 30 min at 45°C. Samples were cooled to room temperature, and alkylation of cysteines was achieved by incubating with 65 mM 2-chloroacetamide in the dark for 30 min at room temperature. An overnight digestion achieved by incubating with 65 mM 2-chloroacetamide in the dark for 30 min at room temperature. Cross-linking was terminated by acidification, and peptides were desalted using SepPak C18 cartridges following the manufacturer’s protocols [Waters]. Samples were completely dried using a vacufuge; the resulting peptides were dissolved in 8 µL of 0.1% formic acid/2% acetonitrile solution, and 2 µL of the peptide solution was resolved on a nanocapillary reverse-phase column (Acclaim PepPak C18, 2 µm, 50 cm, Thermo Scientific) using a 0.1% formic acid/2% acetonitrile buffer A and 0.1% formic acid/95% acetonitrile (buffer B) gradient at 300 nL/min over a period of 180 min (2%–22% buffer B for 110 min, 22%–40% for 25 min, and 40%–90% for 5 min followed by holding in 90% buffer B for 5 min and reequilibration with buffer A for 35 min). Eluent was directly introduced into an Orbitrap Fusion tribrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) using an EasySpray source. MS1 scans were acquired at 120,000 resolution [AGC target = 1 × 10⁶], maximum IT = 50 msec. Data-dependent collision-induced dissociation tandem mass spectrometry [MS/MS] spectra were acquired using top speed method (3 sec) followed by each MS1 scan [NCE = −32%, AGC target = 1 × 10⁶, maximum IT = 45 msec].

Proteins were identified by searching the MS/MS data against Homo sapiens [Swissprot, version 2016-11-30] using Proteome Discoverer [version 2.1, Thermo Scientific]. Search parameters included MS1 mass tolerance of 10 ppm and fragment tolerance of 0.2 Da, two missed cleavages were allowed, carboxyamidemethyla-

tion of cysteine was considered a fixed modification, and oxidation of methionine and deamidation of asparagine and glutamine were considered as potential modifications. False discovery rate (FDR) was determined using Percolator, and proteins/peptides with a FDR of ≤1% were retained for final analysis.

Quantitative RT–PCR (qRT–PCR) analysis
Total RNA recovered from HUDEP-2 cell cultures was isolated using Trizol [Thermo Fisher Scientific] according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized with SuperScript III reverse transcriptase [Thermo Fisher Scientific]. Total RNA from the murine ex vivo cultures was isolated using Trizol followed by the synthesis of cDNA using iScript cDNA synthesis kits [Bio-Rad]. qRT–PCR was performed using FastSYBR Green master mix on an ABI Step One Plus. The abundance of human OAZ1 [Cui et al. 2015a, b] and/or mouse GAPDH mRNA was used as the normalization control. Sequences of all primers used for qRT–PCR are listed in Supplemental Table 2.

ChIP assays
HUDEP-2 cells growing in the exponential phase were cross-linked by treatment with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature with gentle shaking. Cross-linking was terminated by adding 0.125 M glycine for 5 min with gentle shaking at room temperature. Cross-linked cells were washed twice with cold PBS, and 10⁶ cells were aliquoted for each ChIP assay. Cells [10⁵] were resuspended in 1 mL of lysis buffer-1 [50 mM HEPES-KOH at pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 0.5% NP-40, 0.25% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMFS, 1x protease inhibitors [Sigma, P8340]]. The cell suspension was rotated for 10 min at 4°C followed by 35 strokes in a type B dounce homogenizer on ice. The pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of lysis buffer-2 [10 mM Tris-Cl at pH 8, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 1 mM PMFS, 1x protease inhibitors] and rotated for 10 min at room temperature. The nuclei were then resuspended in 0.3 mL of lysis buffer-3 [10 mM Tris-Cl at pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 0.1% Na-deoxycholate, 0.5% N-lauroylsarcosine, 1 mM PMFS, 1x protease inhibitors] in a 1.5 mL tube and sonicated. Sonication was performed at 40% amplitude with 10-sec pulse on and 20-sec pulse off for eight cycles [Sonics Dismembrator model 500, Fisher Scientific]. After sonication, Triton X-100 was added to 1%, and cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 20,817g for 10 min at 4°C. Ten percent of the supernatant containing the chromatin was saved as the input control, and the remaining 90% was brought to 500 µL by the addition of immunoprecipitation dilution buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.25% deoxycholic acid, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMFS, 1x protease inhibitors [Sigma, P8340]].

Ten microliters of protein A/G magnetic beads [Thermo Fisher Scientific, 88802] was washed twice with cold blocking solution (0.5% BSA in PBS) and resuspended in 500 µL of blocking solution followed by rotation for 2 h in a 4°C room. Two micrograms of antibody or control rabbit IgG was added to the bead solution and then rotated overnight at 4°C. The beads were then washed once with blocking solution and incubated with 10² cell equivalents of chromatin for 12–16 h at 4°C. The beads were washed gently with cold immunoprecipitation wash buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.25% deoxycholic acid, 1 mM EDTA] three times and 4°C PBS once. After washing, 300 µL of elution buffer [100 mM NaHCO₃, 1% SDS] was used to remove the chromatin from the beads by incubation with 900 rpm shaking for 2 h at 65°C. Thirty-six microliters of 5 M NaCl was then added to reverse-cross-link the chromatin, and the
mixture was incubated overnight at 65°C. After reverse cross-linking, DNase-free RNaseA digestion was performed for 30 min at 37°C followed by proteinase K treatment. The DNA sample was prepared by phenol extraction followed by column purification (Thermo Scientific) and subsequently analyzed by qPCR. qPCR was performed using a FastSYBR Green master mix (20-L total reaction volume) on an ABI Step One Plus PCR machine with fast protocol settings (once for 20 sec at 95°C, 40 times for 3 sec at 95°C and 30 sec at 60°C, once for 15 sec at 95°C, and once for 60 sec at 60°C with 0.3°C/sec increases up to 95°C for dissociation curve analysis). Antibodies used for these experiments are listed in Supplemental Table 3.

Y2H assays

Analysis of protein–protein interactions in yeast was performed as described previously (Vojtek and Hollenberg 1995) using Saccharomyces cerevisiae L40 harboring HIS3 and lacZ as reporter genes. All corepressor DNA sequences were amplified by PCR using MEL cDNA samples as templates. PCR fragments were subcloned into bait (pBTM116) or prey (pVP16) plasmids to generate LexA DNA-binding domain and VP16 activation domain fusion constructs, respectively. Point mutations were introduced into the constructs by site-directed mutagenesis. All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. Interactions were tested in Y2H based on the induction of the HIS3 reporter gene that allowed yeast to grow in medium lacking histidine. Autoactivation of the HIS3 reporter gene by bait plasmids was suppressed by addition of 3-aminotriazole into the selective medium. The expression of fusion proteins in yeast was confirmed by Western blot using antibodies against LexA DNA-binding domain or VP16 activation domain. An antibody recognizing phosphoglycerate kinase 1 was used as the loading control. Antibody information is shown in Supplemental Table 3.

Lentiviral shRNAs

The human TR4 cDNA was cloned into BioID plasmid (Addgene, 74223), and the BiA′-TR4 fusion protein was then cut out and inserted into CD550A-1 lentiviruses plasmid (System Biosciences). The pLKO-puro lentiviral plasmids carrying shRNAs were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Human BAP1 shRNA clone TRCN0000007374 was used to knock down BAP1 expression. The pLKO.5-puro nonmammalian shRNA were used as a control. Lentiviruses were prepared as described previously (Moffat et al. 2006). To knock down BAP1, HUDEP-2 cells were transduced with lentiviruses carrying BAP1 or control (scrambled sequence) shRNAs by RetroNectin according to the product manufacturer (TaKaRa, T100A/B). Transduced cells were selected with 0.25 µg/mL puromycin 48 h after transduction.

Co-IP

Nuclear extracts were prepared as described (Folco et al. 2012). For each co-IP reaction, 200 µg of nuclear extracts and 5 µg of antibody were mixed and brought to a final volume of 500 µL by the addition of sample buffer (10 mM HEPES-KOH at pH 9.0, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 mM EDTA, 20% glycerol, 0.06% NP-40, 300 mM KCl, 1 mM PMSE, 1x protease inhibitors). Proteins were eluted from the beads by the addition of 1x SDS-PAGE loading buffer (Bio-Rad, 161-0737) for 10 min at 25°C, electrophoresed, transferred to nylon membranes, and then subjected to Western blot detection. The antibodies used for co-IP are listed in Supplemental Table 3.

293T cell ubiquitination assays

The HA-ubiquitin expression plasmid was from Addgene (18712). 293T cells were transected with HA-ubiquitin or a combination of HA-ubiquitin and BAP1 shRNA plasmids. Forty-eight hours after transfection, nuclear extracts were prepared for HA or NCoR1 immunoprecipitation.

Western blotting

Western blotting was performed as described previously (Cui et al. 2011). The antibodies used for detection are listed in Supplemental Table 3.

CRISPR mutagenesis

CRISPR–Cas9 targeted mutagenesis of NCoR1 was performed as described (Ran et al. 2013). The guide RNA (CCGGCCAAATGCCTCGGGGACA) was used to generate the NCoR1 mutant depicted in Figure 3A. The targeted (repairing) single-strand oligonucleotide template sequence used was 5′-ATAAAAGGCCCTCCTCAAAATCCAGATATGGAAAGGCTAAGGACCAAGGGGAAAGCTACCATTACTGCAGCTAACTTCGCAGCCGCGGCCGACCAGATAGCGTCCGATAAAGATGCGGAGGACCTGGCTCTCCTCAAGGTTCAGCTCTCTCTCTAGTACTGTATGATCTCTACATCGGAGTTCTCACAATAATGTAATGTTG-3. The guide RNA (ACCCACCCTGAGTCGCATGA) was used to generate the BAP1 mutants depicted in Supplemental Figure 6A. The guide RNA was cloned in the px458 vector (Addgene, 48138), with exchange of CMV to the EF1a promoter driving Cas9 expression. The vector and repairing template were transduced into HUDEP-2 cells by electroporation. EGFP-positive cells were then sorted using a FACS Aria II, and single-cell cloning was performed to generate the mutant cells.

ChIP-seq analysis

The ChIP-seq data in K562 cells were obtained from ENCODE: NCoR1-1 (ENCsR9101A1), NCoR1-2 (ENCsR798ILC), eGFP-TR2 (ENCsR178DEC), and eGFP-TR4 (ENCsR750LYM). The extent of peak overlap was calculated using BEDtools (Quinlan and Hall 2010). GO enrichment was analyzed by ChIP-Enrich (Welch et al. 2014).
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