Multiple phylogenies reveal a true taxonomic position of *Dulcicalothrix alborzica* sp. nov. (Nostocales, Cyanobacteria)
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*A new species of heterocytous cyanobacterium Dulcicalothrix alborzica* sp. nov. (Calotrichaceae) was isolated from Iran and described following a polyphasic approach. Morphological examination indicated that the strain, initially called “Alborzica”, belonged to the genus *Calothrix*. Nonetheless, 16S rRNA gene analysis showed that “Alborzica” were within the *Dulcicalothrix* cluster. In order to confirm the taxonomic position of this new taxon, rbcL and rpoC1 phylogenies were inferred. Results confirmed identity of “Alborzica” supporting the 16S rRNA phylogeny. Analysis of the secondary structures of 16S–23S Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) revealed that “Alborzica” had unique structure compared with known *Dulcicalothrix* spp. Therefore, based on the morphology and thorough molecular analysis, “Alborzica” should be considered a novel species in the genus *Dulcicalothrix*.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Cyanobacteria are ancient oxygenic photoautotrophs and it is evident that the chloroplasts of plants and algae are derived from a cyanobacterial ancestor, hence they are considered as the linchpin of plant evolution (Gould et al. 2008; Mareš et al. 2019). Cyanobacteria are a particularly challenging group to classify and their systematics have been under constant revision (Hoffmann et al. 2005; Komárek et al. 2014). Traditional classification system were thought to be inconsistent by many researchers, as it did not depict the true phylogenetic history within the cyanobacterial lineage (Anagnostidis & Komárek 1985; Hoffmann et al. 2005; Johansen & Casamatta 2005; Řeháková et al. 2007; Siegesmund et al. 2008). The advent of the electron microscopy and molecular techniques led to the reclassification of the conventional taxonomic scheme (Hoffmann et al. 2005; Komárek et al. 2014; Mareš et al. 2019). The classification system proposed by Hoffmann et al. (2005), divides cyanobacteria into 4 subclasses, among which only Gloeobacteriophycidae and Nostochophycidae form monophyletic lineages. Nostochophycidae including order Nostocales is one of the most complex taxonomic group within Cyanobacteria due to the presence of unestablished type species of several genera like *Nostoc* Bornet et Flahault, *Calothrix* Bornet et Flahault and many others. The genus *Calothrix*, to which our strain initially was assigned, belongs to the order Nostocales, which was placed in the subsection IV according to outdated, strictly microbiological classifications (Rippka et al. 1979). *Calothrix* exhibits a notorious morphological heterogeneity and extreme polyphyly, which is evident from various independent clades in the phylogenetic trees of past research (Shivonen et al. 2007; Berrendero et al. 2008, 2011; Thomazeau et al. 2009; Berrendero Gómez et al. 2016; Shalygin et al. 2017, 2018).

Cyanobacterial researchers all over the world are adopting a polyphasic approach, according to which, information obtained from morphological observation is integrated with molecular and ecological data in order to resolve taxonomic dilemmas (Wilmotte & Golubic 1991; Wilmotte 1994; Komárek & Kaštovský 2003; Castenholz & Norris 2005; Taton et al. 2006; Berrendero et al. 2008; García-Pichel et al. 2013). Following this approach, Saraf et al. (2019), reestablished family Calotrichaceae and described new genus and species *Dulcicalothrix necridiformans* Saraf et al. based on 16S rRNA phylogeny. In this study, many “Calothrix”
species, including *Calothrix desertica* Schwabe, were assigned to *Dulcicalothrix* (Saraf et al. 2019). “Re-creation” of the family Calothricaceae seems to be premature in Saraf et al. (2019) since Calothricaceae was established based on *Dulcicalothrix* sequence, and not based on type material of marine *Calothrix confervicola* Agardh ex Bornet et Flahault. Hence, the “true” Calothricaceae remain unknown and family level taxonomy of *Dulcicalothrix* should be clarified.

In the present study, initial morphological examination indicated that “Alborzica” belonged to the genus *Calothrix*. However, molecular and phylogenetic assessment based on multiple gene trees showed that this strain is within the *Dulcicalothrix* clade. Herein, we are describing *Dulcicalothrix alborzica* sp. nov. as a novel taxon of recently described genus *Dulcicalothrix*, following recommendation of International Code of Nomenclature for Algae, Fungi and Plants (Turland et al. 2018).

**Material and Methods**

**Isolation and strain characterization.** Cyanobacterial colonies were collected from submerged (~30 cm) pebbles, one meter from the shore of the bank of the Atrek River flowing through the Alborz mountains, Iran (Fig. 1). Biomass and in some cases small pebbles with visible natural populations were placed into the cone–shape plastic bottles and transferred to the laboratory for the subsequent isolation. Limnological parameters such as temperature, nitrate/phosphate concentrations, and pH were measured while sampling (Table S1). In the laboratory, an aliquot of the sample was spread onto 1.2% agar–solidified BG–11 medium (Rippka et al. 1979). Subsequent transfers were repeated until a pure culture was obtained. Unialgal cultures were maintained in a 250 ml cotton–stoppered Erlenmeyer flask at 28 ± 2 °C with periodic shaking (twice a day), illumination of ca. 50–55 µE.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$, 14:10 h light: dark cycle. Morphological observations of the culture utilized an Olympus CX31RT55 (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) stereo microscope equipped with a QImaging GO–3 digital camera (Teledyne QIMAGING, Surrey, Canada) and Olympus BX43 microscope equipped with manufactured Sc50 digital camera (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). A preliminary identification was done using the keys by Desikachary (1959) with the revisions of Komárek (2013). A detailed morphological analysis of the strain under study was performed. All diacritical features were observed and documented with 50–100 measurements for each parameter. A unialgal culture has been deposited in the Cyanobacteria Culture Collection (CCC) with the following accession number: CCC1387–b. Dried holotype material was deposited into ALBORZ herbarium with the following accession number: CCC1387–a. Both culture collection and herbarium are affiliated to the Science and Research Branch of the Islamic Azad University (Tehran, Iran).

**Molecular methods.** Genomic DNA was isolated from log phase cultures using HiPurA® Bacterial Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Cat ID: MB505, HIMEDIA, Mumbai, India) following the manufacturers instructions, except for the increase of incubation time for the lysis solutions AL and C1, which were set to 60 and 20 min, respectively. Presence of DNA was confirmed with gel electrophoresis and NanoDrop. 16S rRNA, 16S–23S ITS, rbcL and rpoC1 gene regions were amplified using the oligonucleotide primers listed in Table S2. PCR’s were conducted with iCycler (Bio–Rad, California, USA) applying 25 µl aliquots containing 10–20 ng of DNA template, 0.5 µM of each primer, 1.5 mM of MgCl$_2$, 200 µM of dNTPs, and 1U.µl$^{-1}$ of Taq DNA polymerase. The thermal profiles used in our PCR can be seen in Table S2. Amplicons were checked by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis (SeaPlaque® GTG®, Cambrex Corporation). Sanger sequencing was performed on a 3730xl automated sequencer with 96 capillaries (Applied BioSystems, USA). Obtained sequences were analysed and curated by Lasergene Seqman (DNAStar, Madison, USA). Protein coding gene sequences were annotated with NCBI ORF Finder and the ExPASY proteomics server. All sequences were deposited in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) under the accession numbers shown in Table S3.

**Phylogenetic analysis.** The 16S rRNA, 16S–23S ITS, rbcL and rpoC1 gene sequences obtained in this study, as well as the highest hit sequences (>94 % identity), were retrieved from GenBank (mostly tapered heterocystous species), were first aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004), and then maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees were inferred via IQ–Tree (Nguyen et al. 2014). Different models (Table S3, last column to the right) were used as suggested (BIC criterion) after employing the model test implemented in IQ–tree. Tree robustness was estimated with bootstrap percentages using 100 standard bootstrap and 10,000 ultrafast bootstrap to evaluate branch supports (Minh et al. 2013). P–distance was calculated in MegaX (Kumar et al. 2018) and percent similarity was calculated with following formula: *s*=100*(1–p–value).

16S–23S ITS analysis. ITS region was characterized according to the Johansen et al. (2011). Comparison of the ITS secondary structures were generated using the Mfold web server, version 2.3 (Zuker 2003) under standard conditions:

![Fig. 1. Map–schema of the locality where *Dulcicalothrix alborzica* was found. Sample site indicated with black circle.](image-url)
untangled loop fix and the temperature set to default (37 °C).

Graphical design. Map–scheme was created using Adobe Photoshop 2019 (Adobe System Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Line drawings of *Dulcicalothrix alborzica* was made using Photoshop 2019 utilizing Wacom Intuos PRO table pen tablet (Wacom Europe GmbH, Düsseldorf, Germany). All trees were visualized in FigTree version 1.4.4 and post edited in Adobe Illustrator 2019 (Adobe System Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

*Dulcicalothrix alborzica* Nowruzi and Shalygin sp. nov.

**Diagnosis:** Similar to many *Calothrix* species differing from those by presence of multiple short, isopolar/heteropolar hormogonia encapsulated within a single sheath. Forms independent clade from any established *Dulcicalothrix* spp., based on 16S rRNA, rbcL and *rpoC1* phylogenies. Additionally, different from type species of *Dulcicalothrix* in ITS sequence.

**Description:** In natural populations, form dark green or blackish spots on the surface of the submerged peddles. In cultures, form similar colonies on the agar surface. Filaments from short to long, more or less straight or wavy, usually with transparent, hyaline sheaths. Occasionally single collars observed in the middle part of the filaments. Sheaths tightly adherent to the edges of the cells, sometimes widened or onion–like swollen, always colorless. Trichomes, 7.0–7.5 µm wide at the middle, up to 8 µm wide at the base. Single–, double–false, and geminate branching frequent. Trichomes gradually taper to the conical cells, without formation of the hairs, sometimes onion–like swollen in the base (8 µm), 7.0–7.5 µm wide at the middle. Cells grey–green, granulated, of different shapes, mostly discoid 7.5 × 3–4 µm, sometimes without constrictions. Heterocytes single or two in the row, basal or intercalary, of different shapes and sizes: hemispherical or half–rounded, 6 × 8 µm, rounded, 4.5 µm or oblong 7.5 × 4–6 µm. Necridia present.

**Etymology:** *Alborzica*. Adj. from Alborz, the name of a mountain range in northern Iran from where this species was isolated.

**Type locality:** Iran, Golestan province, from submerged pebbles in the bank of the River Atrek within Alborz Mountain Range (37°55′48″N, 55°37′05″E).

**Reference strain:** *Dulcicalothrix alborzica* strain Alborz with following accession number: CCC1387–b deposited in the Cyanobacteria Culture Collection (CCC) at the Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

**Holotype here deposited:** as a dried specimen in the herbarium ALBORZ at the Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran under the following accession number: CCC1387–a.

**DDBJ accession numbers:** partial 16S rRNA: MH014811, 16S–23S ITS: MN168762, *rpoC1*: MH022745, rbcL: MH022746.

**Morphological notes:** The studied strain formed many different bright dark–green macroscopic colonies on agar surface. Under the light microscope, the filaments appeared to be curved. Trichomes had a firm sheath, not ending into hairs, sometimes opened at the apical end or in the middle (Fig. 2A). Heterocytes were basal, yellowish, single, rounded (Fig. 2B), hemispherical (Fig. 2D) or oblong (Fig. 3), intercalary heterocytes usually were found in pairs (Fig. 3). Sometimes, the basal parts of the trichomes were onion–like swollen (Fig. 2C). Often, base of trichomes were with conical terminal cells (Fig. 3E). Filament appeared to be branched due to the massive proliferation of hormogonia derived from the main filaments (Fig. 2B). Disintegration of filaments occurred by hormogonia formation, with a subsequent increase in trichome width (Fig. 2C). Hormogonia consisted of relatively short series of the cells with tapering ends (Fig. 2A). In some cases, hormogonia were separated from the rest of the trichomes by a necridic cells (Fig. 2E and Table S4). Line drawing of the holotype material shown in Fig. 3.

Comprehensive phylogenetic analysis based on 16 rRNA gene sequences showed that the *Dulcicalothrix alborzica* Alborz is within a large cluster composed by terrestrial and freshwater *Dulcicalothrix* strains. There were at least 5 different “*Calothrix*” lineages outside of *Dulcicalothrix* clade with less than ~90% identity to *D. alborzica*. *Dulcicalothrix* clade was phylogenetically distant from established *Rivularia* and *Macrochaete* clusters (Fig. 4, Fig. S1). Borders of *Dulcicalothrix* was defined based on the percentage similarity of 16S rRNA gene according to which misidentified “*Rivularia*” sp. UAM 305 (EU009149), “*Rivularia*” sp. VP4 08 (FR798919), and “*Calothrix*” sp. NIES–4101 (AP018280) were found to be outside of the genus with similarity values less than 96% (Table 1). *Dulcicalothrix* is a rich genus with several different clades, unfortunately there are only few species established so far from this group, *D. necridiformans* and *D. desertica* (Fig. 4, Fig. S1). Strain under investigation fell into clade with the sequences from fresh and thermal waters: *Dulcicalothrix* sp. PCC 7715 (KM019959), and *Dulcicalothrix* sp. IAM M–261 (AB325536), which were coming from different locations. Following sequences from this group: *Dulcicalothrix* sp. D253 (X99213), and *Dulcicalothrix* sp. HK–06 (AB694935) most likely belong to a separate species based on the percent similarity which were below 98.8 % similarity (Table 1). Geographic locations of those sequences were unknown or distant from *D. alborzica* (D253: unknown, HK–06: Japan, *Nostoc commune* crust). Strain *D. alborzica* was found to be closely related to *Dulcicalothrix* sp. PCC 7715 (KM019959), *Dulcicalothrix* sp. IAM M–261 (AB325536) and “*Dulcicalothrix*” sp. 9I (KU668908).
with percent similarity of 99.7–99.9%. Likely, these stains either belong to one species or they are cryptic taxa. Since there is no 16S–23S ITS available for them, it is impossible to judge at this point. Interestingly, strain *Dulcicalothrix* sp. PCC 7715 (KM019959) formed two separate lineages, perhaps, indicating two non–identical ribosomal operons.

RbcL and rpoC1 phylogenies served as an additional line of evidence for the determination of a true phylogenetic position of the studied strain (Figs 5, 6; Figs S2, S3). Both of them placed *D. alborzica* into the clade sister to a large clade containing *Aliinostoc*, *Nostoc* and *Desikacharya* as previously shown on 16S rRNA phylogeny by Saraf et al. (2019). Draft genome and whole genome sequencing of *D. alborzica* and many other *Calothrix*–like strains will resolve family level taxonomy in this complex group. RbcL phylogeny grouped *D. alborzica* with *D. desertica* PCC 7102 (AB075906), *D. necridiformans* V13 (KY863523) type species of the genus, and *Dulcicalothrix* sp. NIES–4071(AP018255) and others (Fig. 5, Fig. S2). All listed sequences were found on
Fig. 3. Line drawing of the holotype material: (a) two heterocysts in a row; (b) geminate branching; (c) double–false branching; (d) short mature filament; (e) *Calothrix*–like branching of the filaments; (f) short juvenile filaments; (g) onion–like swollen apical portion of the filament; (h) long mature filament. Scale bar is 10 μm.
Fig. 4. Phylogenetic relationships between *Dulcicalothrix alborzica* Alborz and related cyanobacteria based on 16S rRNA sequences with *Gloeobacter violaceus* PCC7421 as out-group. Numbers near nodes indicate standard bootstrap support (%)/ultrafast bootstrap support (%) for ML analyses. Symbol "*" is showing 100% support, "---" no support. Taxa in parenthesis in need to be revised.

The 16S rRNA phylogeny enclosed into *Dulcicalothrix* clade, which confirmed our taxonomic evaluation of the new strain. RpoC1 phylogeny contained fewer sequences from *Dulcicalothrix* clade compared to rbcL, nevertheless our strain clustered with the sequences from the *Dulcicalothrix* clade which were also found on 16S rRNA phylogeny: *Dulcicalothrix* sp. NIES–4105 (AP018290), *Dulcicalothrix* sp. NIES–4071 (AP018255) (Fig. 6, Fig. S3). Overall, all three phylogenies were different in terms of taxon sampling and distribution of the major clades, however all of them grouped *Dulcicalothrix* sequences in one united clade including our new species *D. alborzica*.

It was impossible to infer a 16S–23S ITS phylogeny because many taxa in the NCBI lack sequences for that region and due to differences in the sequences within *Dulcicalothrix*: no tRNA operon versus both tRNAs. Nevertheless, simple comparative analysis of separate ITS structures was performed (Fig. 7). D1–D1’ helices were the most similar across selected sequences as previously shown for other cyanobacteria (Becerra–Absalon et al. 2020). Most of the sequences in the *Dulcicalothrix* clade had a distinctive D1–D1’ stem (5’-GACCU:AGGUC–3’) except *Dulcicalothrix* sp. HA4186–MV5 with longer stem (5’-GACCUA:UAGGUC–3’). D1–D1’ helix of the *D. alborzica* Alborz had a unique bilateral bulge in the middle of the D1–D1’ structure (5’-GUAAU:AAAAACC–3’). D1–D1’ from *D. parietina* 102–2A was the closest to our strain, with an identical terminal loop (Fig. 7). Interestingly, all sequences had typical branching helices on the 3’ side of the unilateral basal bulge, alternatively this structure shown as standard side loop in Saraf et al. (2019). Box–B structures of the selected *Dulcicalothrix* species have shown more differences than D1–D1’ helices. Box–B helix of the *D. alborzica* Alborz had a large terminal loop, which was not typical for the rest of the species (Fig. 7, second line). Box–B structures were found to be quite divergent within the selected set of taxa, however all of them had the usual terminal stem (5’-AGCA:UGCU–3’). 16S–23S ITS analysis have shown that both sequence and secondary structures of the *D. alborzica* Alborz are quite unique and the erection
of a new species is highly warranted. These findings were in congruence with geography, habitat, morphology and multiple phylogenies. Additionally, information on the length of the conserved domains of many Dulcicalothrix species may be found in the Supporting Information: Table S5.

There have been recent significant advances in the systematics of the order Nostocales, with the description of many new taxa (Zapomělová et al. 2010; Galliano et al. 2011; Werner et al. 2012; Krienitz et al. 2013; Mishra et al. 2015; Hentschke et al. 2016). Many more nostocalean cyanobacteria have been found in various aquatic ecosystems (Jöhnk et al. 2011). However, in Iran, polyphasic studies of cyanobacteria are still scarce and limited to phylogenetic investigations of genes encoding proteins involved in the biosynthesis of bioactive compounds in paddy fields and fresh waters (Nowruzi et al. 2006, 2012, 2017, 2018; Nowruzi & Blanco 2019; Nowruzi & Lorenzi 2021). Additionally, some thermophilic cyanobacteria isolated from radioactive springs were investigated by Heidari et al. (2013, 2018).

Many sequences assigned to Calothrix in the NCBI were included in our 16S rRNA phylogeny. Even though Calothrichaceae was established by Saraf et al. (2019), authors did not possess sequences of the type species of the genus, thus this taxonomic group is still highly problematic. To fix this problem type species of the Calothrix have to be sequenced. For now “Calothrix” lineages formed up to 10 different phylogenetically distant clades showing signs of cryptic diversity. Overall clade distribution in this research was congruent with previous studies (Berrendero Gómez et al. 2016; González–Resendiz et al. 2018; Shalygin et al. 2018).

RbcL and rpoC1 genes have been reported by many researchers to be an informative marker for assessing the phylogeny and diversity of cyanobacteria (Ggger et al. 2002; Hongmei et al. 2005; Johansen & Casamatta 2005; Tatou et al. 2006; Réhákova et al. 2007; Siegesmund et al. 2008; Thomaeeau et al. 2009; Shalygin et al. 2017). For example, phylogeny of
Cyanomargarita based on a maximum of 600 nucleotides from the rbcLX region was in agreement with the result of this study (Shalygin et al. 2017). Moreover, we observed that, to date, only few Calothrixaceae protein–coding genes sequences have been deposited in GenBank, this highlights the importance of studying these genes not only for deciphering the heterogeneity within Dulcicalothrix strains but also to resolve overall complexities associated with cyanobacterial taxonomy (Roeselers et al. 2007). It is commonly reported that the secondary structures of the 16S–23S ITS region helps in demarcating closely related cyanobacterial species (Johansen & Casamatta 2005; Reháková et al. 2007). In addition to our multiple phylogenies of D. alborzica, we performed an analysis of the secondary structure of the 16S–23S ITS region in order to confirm the findings obtained from the phylogenetic characterization. Clear differences between the secondary structures of D. alborzica and other closely related, misidentified Calothrix strains were observed (Fig. 7), which further supports the designation of the analyzed strain as a distinct species.

The polyphasic approach has been strongly criticized by some microbiologists (Herdmann & Ripka 2021), suggesting that additional molecular information such as analysis of ITS region is not clearly separating closely related taxa. However, many new taxa are described each year with the application of 16S–23S ITS phylogeny (Casamatta et al. 2020; Cai et al. 2020; Davydov et al. 2020). In our opinion, thorough ecological description should be followed by morphological assessment, with subsequent phylogenies, maybe even megaphylogenies as described in Zimba et al. (2021), finally, ITS analysis may be utilized.
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