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ABSTRACT
First described in 1932 by Dearborn as ‘congenital pure analgesia’, congenital insensitivity to pain and anhydrosis (CIPA) or hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathy (HSAN) type IV is an extremely rare autosomal recessive disorder. A 7-year-old female child who is an established case of congenital insensitivity to pain and anhydrosis visited the department of pediatric medicine with osteoarthritic neuropathy. A multidisciplinary team approach was utilized to treat the child under general anesthesia. This article also discusses the diagnostic and therapeutic dilemmas involved in treating this type of children.
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INTRODUCTION
Congenital insensitivity to pain and anhydrosis (CIPA) or hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathy (HSAN) type IV is an extremely rare autosomal recessive disorder. Though first described by Leplat in 1846,1 not much progression has been made till the turn of the century when Dearborn described the condition as ‘congenital pure analgesia’ in 1932.2 Swanson thoroughly studied the condition in 19633 and Mardy first reported the lack of innervations in eccrine sweat glands affecting the patient’s ability to sweat. ‘Painless whitlows’, ‘mal perforant du pied’ and ‘Morvan syndrome’ are some of the many names used to describe a wide range of conditions that are grouped under HSAN. The incidence has been estimated to be one in 25,000 with no predilection toward sex and race.4

ETIOLOGY
The rarity of the condition with only up to 80 cases documented and 300 cases reported in the medical literature throughout the world,1 patients not living beyond the age of 25 and the condition sometimes being misdiagnosed as Lesch-Nyhan syndrome poses difficulty in understanding this type of disorders. However, a lot of work has been done to understand the cause behind the condition. The molecular diagnosis of CIPA has been confirmed for about 80 patients reported in the medical literature (Bonkowsky et al).5 A variety of genes are held responsible for the etiology of the condition according to various authors (Table 1).

Anatomy and Physiology behind the Loss of Pain
Evidence suggests that afferent part of the neural system fails to transmit the pain stimuli to the somatosensory cortex.

Two groups have been defined: ‘congenital indifference to pain’ (CIP1) and ‘congenital insensitivity to pain’ (CIP2) which correspond to the two major ascending pathways of pain perception: the ‘medial system’ that project to the anterior cingulated cortex and insula which is associated with the affective response to painful stimuli and the ‘lateral pain system’ that project to the somatosensory cortex provides the sensory discriminative component of pain.8,9

The term CIP1 describes the individuals with perception of painful stimuli, but with an absence of the affective response; whereas in CIP2, one cannot differentiate the type, intensity and quality of painful stimuli as both the

Table 1: Various etiologies presented by different authors

| Name of the authors | Years | The gene responsible |
|---------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Mardy4              | 1999  | Neurotrophic tyrosine kinase receptor type 1 (NTRK1) gene, located in chromosome 1 |
| Shatzky et al6      | 2000  | Exons 15 and 16 of the TRKA gene |
| Shalimar7           | 2007  | Exon 16 (V709L and G718S) |
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sensory discrimination of pain and the affective response are impaired. In most cases, the condition is a manifestation of HSAN which involves the small-calibre (A-delta and C) nerve fibers, normally transmitters of nociceptive inputs along sensory nerves.

**CLASSIFICATION**

By and large, these conditions can be called as HSANs. However, the wide variation in the clinical findings led researchers to put forward different classifications including that of Pinsky and Digeorge in 1966. Dyck in 1984 has popularly classified the neuropathies into five types based on inheritance pattern, time of presentation, clinical and electrophysiological features, metabolic defects and specific genetic markers (Table 2).

**DIAGNOSIS AND IDENTIFICATION**

Due to extensive discrepancies in the clinical picture, a variety of diagnostic techniques have been experimented by researchers.

The diagnosis of CIPA usually can be based on the clinical presentation, pharmacological test (intradermic reaction to 1:10,000 histamine) and neuropathological exam in electron microscopy (absence of unmyelinated fibers, reduction in the number of small myelinated fibers, and normal distribution of large myelinated fibers). Detection of mutations on the NTRK1 gene represents as the last diagnostic step.

**HISTAMINE TEST**

A histamine test is done by the intradermal injection of 0.05 ml of a 1/10,000 solution of histamine phosphate. Usually, a normal response consists of a local well surrounded by a painful erythematous flare extending for 1 to 3 cm but in a pathological response the flare is absent and the pain minimal.

**SWEAT TEST**

A quantitative sweat test can be undertaken in by the application of a gauze pad saturated with 0.4% pilocarpine to the forearm. A low voltage (5 mA) electric current can then be passed between two points 3 cm apart for 5 minutes. Sweat will be collected on blotting paper applied to the area and then sealed in polyethylene for 30 minutes. A normal response is the production of over 80 mg of sweat. Vasella et al suggested simultaneous injection of acetylcholine with epinephrine which may result in some sweat secretion.

**OTHER TESTS**

An electromyography (EMG) can be made to exclude other peripheral neuropathies, and skin biopsy to demonstrate absence of epidermal and sweat gland innervation. However, Schirmer test to measure lacrimation usually results in a normal response (Swanson 1963). An intradermal injection of histamine phosphate does produce the expected wheal but no axon flare (Pinsky and Di George 1966).

An elevated ratio of homovanillic acid to vanillymandelic acid (HMA: VMiAn) in the urine as a diagnostic aid was advocated by Vassela et al. This finding is similar to that in familial dysautonomia.

**CASE REPORT**

A 7-year-old female child visited the department of pediatric medicine with a chief complaint of swelling in the
left ankle since 2 weeks (Fig. 1). The patient was already a known case of congenital insensitivity to pain and anhidrosis. The condition was diagnosed as osteomyelitis of the left ankle (Charcot’s joint, osteoarthritic neuropathy) (Fig. 2) and treatment was initiated for the left ankle. The patient was then referred to the department of pediatric dentistry as she was having bite injuries in the oral cavity.

The family history revealed that the patient was the first child of a consanguineous marriage (first degree relatives) and had a younger sibling. It was observed that the patient had a waddling gait and subnormal intelligence. The speech development was poor and the child had difficulty in concentrating and understanding. The physical examination disclosed that the patient had dry skin and wounds along with degenerated nails on many of the digits of the hands (Fig. 3). The mouth opening was restricted (27 mm) (Fig. 4). This was her second visit to the dentist.

The intraoral examination revealed a soft tissue injury on the tongue and cheeks and she had mixed dentition. The lower arch was edentulous except for the permanent first molars and decay was observed on 54, 64, 36 and 46 (Fig. 5). The maxillary incisors showed Ellis class I fracture (Fig. 6). The orthopantomograph exposed missing permanent tooth buds were and her mother told that the child had the habit of plucking out teeth as soon as they are erupted into the oral cavity due to irritation. This might be attributed to the absence of permanent tooth buds. Also, severe bone loss and dental caries involving the pulp in relation to 36 was noticed (Fig. 7). A lateral cephalogram taken to evaluate the maxillo-mandibular relationship revealed the absence of tooth buds and severe mandibular prognathism (Fig. 8).

Magnetic resonance imaging was advised by the department of neurology and pediatrics to assess the development and condition of the brain (Fig. 9). The MRI revealed no obvious abnormality in the development and the condition of the brain. Radiographs of the feet revealed Charcot’s joint (Fig. 10).

The treatment was planned under general anesthesia due to the mental condition of the child. A multidisciplinary approach was planned with the neurology, pediatrics and dermatology departments. Access opening in relation to 36 was done but due to the limited mouth
Fig. 5: Dental caries in relation to 54 and 64

Fig. 6: Elli’s class I fracture in relation to 11 and 21

Fig. 7: Dental caries involving the pulp in relation to 36

Fig. 8: Lateral cephalogram revealing the absence of tooth buds and severe mandibular prognathism

Fig. 9: Magnetic resonance imaging revealed no obvious abnormality in the development and the condition of the brain
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opening negotiating the canals proved impossible. So triple antibiotic paste was filled in the pulp chamber and a permanent restoration was done (Fig. 11). Composite restorations were done for the fractured maxillary incisors (Fig. 12). Simultaneously, a full thick excision skin biopsy and a nerve biopsy were also performed to assess the severity of the condition (Fig. 13).

The skin biopsy revealed orthokeratotic squamous epithelium with papillomatosis (Fig. 14) and dermis showing adnexal structures which did not show any nerve fibres (Fig. 15). Sections from the sural nerve biopsy showed reduction in the small unmyelinated and myelinated nerve fibres. However, the large fibres were normal (Fig. 16).

DISCUSSION

Congenital insensitivity to pain and anhydrosis is widely believed to be mainly caused by a mutation to the TrkA (NTRK1) gene which is responsible for encoding the receptor tyrosine kinase for nerve growth factor. Nerve growth factor is critical for the formation of autonomic neurons and small sensory neurons in the dorsal root ganglia. TrkA is part of the TRK proto-oncogene family and is expressed in neurons that sense temperature and noxious stimuli. A mutation to this gene causes the patient to lose the sensation of pain to noxious stimuli.\(^{18}\)

Guo studied myelination and axon thickness comparing it to normal myelination in two Taiwanese brothers diagnosed with CIPA. An electromyography was employed to test the motor conduction on the median, ulnar, tibial and perineal nerves followed by sensory conduction of the same nerves. Finally, a sural nerve biopsy was performed and the number of myelinated neurons was counted and axon sizes compared. The tests revealed a loss of small myelinated and unmyelinated fibres but normal large myelinated fibres in the sural nerve. The findings were interesting because it showed that the body tries to cope with less myelinated fibers by increasing the size of the axons. A reduced number of small unmyelinated and myelinated nerves were observed in Sural nerve biopsy of this child which is in agreement with the former study. On the contrary, the increase in the size of axons was not observed.\(^{19}\)

The deformity known as Charcot joint (neuropathic osteoarthropathy)\(^{19}\) was observed in the left ankle due to repeated trauma. Similar finding was reported in other documented literature. One of the major problems in these patients is to differentiate between fractures and

Fig. 10: Radiographs of the feet revealed Charcot’s joint

Fig. 11: Triple antibiotic paste filled in the pulp chamber and permanent restoration done for 36

Fig. 12: Composite restoration of maxillary central incisors
infections. Both will usually present at an advanced stage with local swelling and warmth. The prevention of fracture is thus imperative. Measures, such as custom-fitted shoes and periods of non-weight-bearing may be used to relieve the pressure areas. Education regarding local foot care as well as early medical attention is crucial since these can prevent the call for a radical surgery. The dislocated joints should be treated by ‘watchful neglect’. These joints are painless and mobile.⁴

Primary tooth loss and palmar hyperkeratosis were seen in this child corresponding to the reported case by Bonkowsky et al.⁵ This observation of a premature loss of teeth along with soft tissue injuries may be of great value and dentists should bear in mind that these may be the clinical signs of a rare hereditary disorder.⁶

There are no standard techniques or guidelines prescribed to treat the orofacial self-inflicted injuries. Hence, treatment plan is predicted according to the individual clinical circumstances. Prevention of the injuries have been suggested by employing tooth extraction, or through the use of mouth guards and splints and elimination of sharp edges of teeth by the addition of composite.⁷

One more vital point to remember is that the extractions must be performed under local anesthesia to promote hemostasis though there is no pain perception felt by the patient. Also, they were reported incidences in which some patients with CIPA have tactile hyperesthesia and some even complained of pain in the postoperative period for reasons unknown.⁸ The appointments must be short and the treatment carried out in a air conditioned room because of the characteristic hyperthermia due to anhydrosis. A continuous follow-up and close monitoring is necessary as it is hoped that, when the patient gets older, the self-mutilating behavior will improve (Kouvelas et al).⁹ It has been reported that older patients learn not to self-mutilate, even though the sensation of pain is absent. The sense of pain is a precursor for large variety of pathological conditions, but its absence for any reason may lead to adverse and potentially life threatening situations.
CONCLUSION

Prenatal genetic screening using amniocentesis is the sole accessible option to prevent the birth of an affected child as no cure is available. Osteoarticular and orthopedic complications of CIPA patients are one of the most frequent problems that warrant an early recognition, timely intervention, treatment and prevention to mitigate the complications.

The most important characteristic of CIP is the self-mutilating behavior that leads the child to oral ulcerations on lips, tongue and cheeks, self-extraction of teeth and also finger and hand biting. This unique condition is further complicated by the subpar intelligence of the child along with the other systemic ailments that pose a great challenge to the pediatric dentist. Thorough knowledge of the condition involving a multidisciplinary approach is indispensable for the management of the condition. Formulating a predictable treatment plan is very difficult in these types of patients and it is better to have a realistic feasible approach rather than having an ideal, impractical advance. Preservation of the orofacial structures should be emphasized to improve the quality of life of these individuals.
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