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Breast cancer genome and transcriptome integration implicates specific mutational signatures with immune cell infiltration
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A recent comprehensive whole genome analysis of a large breast cancer cohort was used to link known and novel drivers and substitution signatures to the transcriptome of 266 cases. Here, we validate that subtype-specific aberrations show concordant expression changes for, for example, TP53, PIK3CA, PTEN, CCND1 and CDH1. We find that CCND3 expression levels do not correlate with amplification, while increased GATA3 expression in mutant GATA3 cancers suggests GATA3 is an oncogene. In luminal cases the total number of substitutions, irrespective of type, associates with cell cycle gene expression and adverse outcome, whereas the number of mutations of signatures 3 and 13 associates with immune-response specific gene expression, increased numbers of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes and better outcome. Thus, while earlier reports imply that the sheer number of somatic aberrations could trigger an immune-response, our data suggests that substitutions of a particular type are more effective in doing so than others.
The recent advance in DNA sequencing technologies has added substantially to the knowledge-base of breast cancer, but also reaffirmed its proverbial heterogeneity\cite{1,2}. Sequencing DNA to greater depth enables analyses in distinguishing the 'driver' mutations—thought to be involved in the tumourigenesis—from the 'passenger' mutations—those arising in the lifetime of the cancer cell, without affecting the cancer cell's fitness. We recently reported on the identification of 12 substitution and 6 rearrangement signatures, as well as 7 consensus patterns therein, using whole genome sequence (WGS) data of 560 cases with primary breast cancer\cite{9}. Numbered according to an earlier scheme\cite{2}, some of the 12 substitution signatures had underlying mechanisms likely explaining the signature: for example, signature 1 was described as 'age-related' due to the many C>T mutations in an NCG trinucleotide context (the underlined base is mutated). Similarly, signatures 2 and 13 were related to APOBEC-type mutations (predominant C>T and C>G in a TCN context, respectively), while signature 3 lacked specific features but was strongly associated with inactivated BRCA1 and BRCA2. Signature 5 (with unknown causal mechanism) is present in most cases and is primarily characterized by C>T and T>C mutations. Other signatures were found in few cases (for example, signatures 6, 20 and 26) showing signs of mismatch repair deficiency\cite{9}. It is important to realize that most of the breast cancer cases exhibit a blend of these signatures, possibly obfuscating the resulting effects. The very diverse pattern of mutations found in primary breast cancer was previously studied in depth\cite{1} in a large cohort, integrating (epi)genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic data, but this study mainly focused on the driver genes and reported the resulting effect on its expression in solo or in concert in pathway analyses. The possible effects of the mutational signatures themselves on the transcriptome have been studied with less scrutiny.

In the current study we analyse the transcriptome of 266 cases (191 ER-positive/Her-2 negative and 75 triple-negative) by RNA sequencing with available WGS results\cite{9} to explore the possible consequences of the substitution signatures at the transcriptome level. We report on clinically and biologically relevant gene expression signatures and associate these with the number and character of signature mutations.

## Results

### Validation of cohort. RNA sequencing data of 266 primary breast cancer cases with available WGS data were generated and were first analysed and visualized to verify whether the cohort exhibited the archetypical breast cancer patterns. To this end, the 5,000 most variable transcripts were used to correlate and hierarchically cluster all cases resulting in five clusters (Fig. 1). Cluster 1 contained all cases of the basal-like intrinsic subtype\cite{6,10} and held 92% of ER-negative cases, while the remaining four subclusters (clusters 2–5) were luminal. Among the subtypes, the total number of substitutions was highest in the basal-like (Kruskal–Wallis (KW) P<0.0001), while within the luminal cases, the more aggressive luminal B (refs 11,12) compared with luminal A type cancer also showed higher numbers of substitutions (Mann–Whitney U-test (MWU) P<0.0001, Supplementary Fig. 1, and previously reported\cite{9}).

Next, we evaluated the reported driver genes (derived from WGS data\cite{9}) with at least five events in this cohort (with the top recurrent events presented in Fig. 1). Amplification of MYC (n=47, χ² P<0.0001), of CCNE1 and CCND3 (both n=7, χ² P=0.0003), of PIK3CA (n=9, χ² P<0.0001) and, as expected, mutations in TP53 (refs 12–14) (n=105, χ² P<0.0001) were predominant in basal subtype cancers, while mutations in GATA3(refs 8,15) (n=25, χ² P=0.0006), and PIK3CA (n=74, χ² P<0.0001) and amplification of CCND1 (n=39, χ² P<0.0001) were largely restricted to luminal breast cancer, with the latter strongly related to luminal B cancers\cite{16,17} (33/39 cases, χ² P<0.0001). The lobular cancer driver18,19 CDH1 was mutated in lobular cases (15/21, χ² P<0.0001) and mutations of this type were predominantly observed in luminal A cases (12/21, χ² P=0.0013). In line with their oncogenic role, amplified regions had significantly higher expression for MYC, CCND1, ZNFI217, PIK3CA, MDM2, CCNE1, IGFR1, KRAS and ERBB2 (MWU all P<0.05, Supplementary Fig. 2). The 8p11 locus was identified as recurrently amplified as well, wherein, for example, FGFR1, ZNFI703 and WHSC1L1 are potential driver candidates.

These three genes are indeed significantly higher expressed in the amplified cases (data not shown) precluding isolation of the actual driver from the passenger genes. Tumour suppressors showed lower expression in cases with mutations (CDH1 and PTEN; MWU P<0.0001, Supplementary Fig. 2). For TP53, however, the type of mutation mattered (Supplementary Fig. 3) with obvious deleterious variants such as frame-shifts (n=19, MWU P=0.002) and nonsense substitutions (n=19, MWU P<0.0001) clearly showing lower expression, while increased expression of TP53 was found in samples with missense substitutions (n=47, MWU P<0.0001) supportive of a potential tumour promoting role of some of these latter type of TP53 variants\cite{20}. The type of mutation was furthermore associated with copy neutral LOH (Fisher’s Exact P=0.021), with cases with missense mutations showing less than expected numbers with copy neutral LOH (17.8 expected, 9 observed), again supportive for a dominant role of missense mutations in the TP53 gene (Supplementary Fig. 3). Finally, we validated earlier reported\cite{1} mutual exclusivity of PIK3CA aberrations, a predominant driver in breast cancer, with any event in PTEN, AKT1 or AKT2 in all 560 cases. Of the 167 cases with a PIK3CA aberration, the majority (91%) lacked any event in the three abovementioned genes (P=3.6e-5 coMeT exact test\cite{21}, Supplementary Fig. 4) suggesting these are core constituents in this driver pathway in breast cancer.

As indicated, many of these observations were reported earlier, confirming the validity of our cohort for subsequent analyses. However, a few additional observations were noted for known driver genes; for CCND3, solely found amplified in basal cases, similar expression levels were observed in all but one sample of the amplified cases compared with diploid cases, implying CCND3 is likely not the sole driver in this amplified region (Fig. 2, top left). TCGA results (n=960 cases) confirmed our finding but did show a significant increase in expression levels of CCND3 (ANOVA P<0.0001) in amplified cases supportive of it being an oncogene (Fig. 2, top right). However, with the mean log2 expression level in amplified cases being only 1.2-fold higher compared with diploid cases, this is not the effect one would expect from a biological relevant driver in a particular amplified genomic region. Evaluating genes in the vicinity (~700 kb) of CCND3, only USP49 and BYSL could be considered as putative drivers instead, showing a more than 3 fold higher expression in the amplified cases in our cohort. In TCGA data, differences were less pronounced, with BYSL showing the largest fold-change (1.5×) (Supplementary Fig. 5a).

Next to the above, in our cohort increased GATA3 expression was observed in the 25 cases with GATA3 mutations (MWU P<0.0001, Fig. 2 bottom panel), which may point to a dominant, activating role for mutations in this gene. TCGA results confirmed (ANOVA P<0.0001) this, showing a threefold higher expression found in GATA3 mutated (n=95) compared with wild-type cases (n=864). The vast majority of mutations were found in exon 5 and 6 (22/25 in our cohort and 90/95 in TCGA), but no significant difference was seen in expression levels between
exon 5 or 6 mutated cases, thus expression changes are independent of the fact that exon 5 mutations predominantly are frameshifts leading to shorter proteins and exon 6 mutations are frameshifts causing proteins with a C-terminal extension (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Noteworthy, the 4% of TCGA cases with an amplification of *GATA3* showed significantly lower expression levels compared with diploid cases (sixfold reduction, ANOVA \( P < 0.0001 \), data not shown).

**Cell cycle and immune pathways and mutational signatures.** Switching from single genes to studying the effects of the various substitution signatures on the global transcriptome, we first studied the molecular subtypes in relation to the signatures. Significantly higher numbers of signature 3 substitutions but lower number of signature 2 substitutions were found in the basal subtype (KW both \( P < 0.0001 \)) compared with the other subtypes. Substitutions of signature 5 were more abundant in the Her-2 group (KW \( P < 0.0001 \)) while signatures 8 and 13 again showed increased numbers in the basal subtype (KW both \( P < 0.0001 \)). Additional details can be found in Supplementary Fig. 6.

To further evaluate transcriptomic pathways and substitution signatures, we grouped samples according to their dominant mutation signature and compared the cases with, to those lacking that dominant signature (Methods section). The most prominent pathways emerged in ER-positive cases (Supplementary Table 1/Supplementary Fig. 7) and related to cell cycle, which was significantly associated with the total number of substitutions, while several immune-response pathways were found associated with cases showing predominantly signatures 2 and/or 13. These latter substitution signatures have been accredited to activity of the APOBEC/AID family of cytidine deaminases, targeting the TCN trinucleotide sequence (the underlined base gets mutated). In ER-negatives, several metabolism related pathways were found related with signature 3 substitutions, but these were predominantly driven by few genes or otherwise were always higher expressed in cases with low numbers of that signature (green bars in the geneplot, Supplementary Fig. 7a), giving less confidence that these pathways were of interest. In contrast, the cell cycle pathways consistently showed multiple genes higher expressed in the ER-positive cases with high numbers of the signature (see Supplementary Fig. 7b,c for examples).

**Figure 1** | Clustered correlation matrix of 266 breast cancer cases. The left panel shows the dendrogram and clustered correlation matrix (red is positive, blue negative correlation) of 266 breast cancer cases. The top 5,000 most variable transcripts were used for correlating the samples. For the columns in the right panel, colour codes are as follows: ER: ER-positive dark grey, ER-negative light grey. Subtype: Red, basal; dark blue, Luminal B; light blue, Luminal A; green, normal-like; and dark yellow, her2. Grade: white, NA; light grey, grade 1; grey, grade 2; and black, grade 3. Tissuetype: red, ductal; blue, lobular; light blue, micropapillary; grey, mucinous; dark yellow, papillary; yellow, apocrine; and dark green, other type. # subs: The length of the green bar is proportional to the number of substitutions. Cases with >10,000 substitutions are shown with a soft-red coloured bar of equal length. The remaining nine columns show the status of driver genes. Light grey, wild type; dark yellow, copy-number amplification; blue, homozygous deletion; and mutations (substitution, indels, rearrangements) are dark green if activating and red if inactivating.
The other major theme in the analysis of the transcriptome was the involvement of immune response in relation with particularly the APOBEC-type substitution signatures 2 and 13, also in ER-positive cases. Revisiting the pathway results (Supplementary Fig. 7c) showed a clear association of, for example, IFNG, CTLA4 and several chemokines with these 2 APOBEC-signatures, substantiating a relation with T-cell response. To study objectively if indeed activation of the immune system is mutational signature specific, we related in ER-positive cases (n = 192) a previously developed tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) RNA expression signature for breast cancer22 to the diverse mutational signatures, again excluding signatures 6, 17, 20, 26 and 30 due to low numbers (Fig. 4 and Table 1). Samples with overall high expression of the TIL-signature genes (top quartile of cases) had a significantly higher number of signature 13 (MWU P = 0.0027) and also of signature 3 (MWU P = 0.027). In contrast, the age-related signature 1 (MWU P = 0.0065) displayed a significantly lower number in the high TIL group. The number of mutations of the other signature types was not significantly associated with high TIL expression. Next to analysing absolute number, we also analysed the data proportionally, by correcting for the total number of mutations, which removes total mutational load as possible confounding factor but this analysis yielded comparable results (Supplementary Table 2).

Even though the number of patients at risk is low, patients with high TIL and low MCC have a significantly better outcome than those with low TIL and high MCC (Fig. 5a,b). We validated this finding in an independent cohort of 625 lymph-node negative, not (neo)adjuvantly hormonal/chemotherapy treated cases (Fig. 5c). The TIL and MCC groups analysed separately also show significant survival differences in this independent cohort (logrank P = 0.001 and P < 0.0001 for TIL and MCC, respectively. See Supplementary Fig. 8) and when TIL and MCC groups were analysed in a multivariate model, both remained significant (TIL Hazard Ratio 0.53 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.36–0.79), P = 0.002 and MCC HR 1.81 (95% CI 1.33–2.47), P < 0.0001). Next, we investigated the pathological infiltrate status in all ER-positive cases (n = 266, which includes those without RNAseq data). We associated the number of mutations per signature with the pathological infiltrate status. Lymphocytic infiltrate was grouped into three groups, having no, mild and moderate-severe infiltrate, and a test for trend across ordered groups showed significantly higher numbers of signatures 3 and 13 (both P < 0.0001, see Table 2) in cases with increasing infiltrate, with signature 2 (the other APOBEC signature) showing a weak association as well (test for trend P = 0.022). Of note, the results for signature 3 may be hampered by the fact that many cases lack signature 3 mutations entirely; of the n = 266 cases in this analysis 230 (86%) have zero signature 3 mutations. An analysis with the proportion of substitutions of a signature yielded largely similar results (Supplementary Table 3), in the sense that signatures 3 and 13 remain strongly associated with the infiltrate status (test for trend P < 0.0001), whereas signature 1 and 5 showed higher proportions in cases with decreasing infiltrate (test for trend P = 0.015 and P < 0.0001, respectively). To exclude that individual drivers themselves were not responsible for the observed association we investigated if mutation (including substitution, indel, rearrangement, copy-number variation) of the driver genes was directly associated with infiltrate levels. The following genes were analysed: ARID1A, CCND1, CDH1, GATA3, MAP2K4, MAP3K1, MLL3, MYC, PIK3CA, PTEN, TP53 and ZNF217 (n = 10, 32, 17, 22, 17, 20, 11, 21, 64, 13, 33 and 11, respectively), but none of the investigated genes was found to be significantly associated with infiltrate status (Fisher’s Exact test, P > 0.05).
Amino acid properties and immune response. To try to understand why only certain mutation signatures relate to a more effective immune response, we determined in-silico which substitutions yield predicted neo-epitopes but found no striking signature specific difference in the fraction of predicted neo-epitope presenting substitutions (Supplementary Fig. 9a). We also studied the altered chemical properties of the mutational signature induced amino acid changes. However, changes in hydrophobicity (Supplementary Fig. 9b) were not strongly differently proportioned across the substitution signatures. In contrast, amino acid substitutions resulting from particularly signature 13 but also from signature 2 displayed a clear increase in charge (Supplementary Fig. 9c), while substitutions caused by signature 1—which were associated with lower infiltrate—displayed a loss of a charge instead. The number of signature 13 substitutions that lead to an increase in charge was

**Figure 3** Mitotic cell cycle gene activity related to mutational signatures and outcome. (a) The average expression of genes (n = 409) from Gene-Ontology term Mitotic Cell Cycle (GO:0000278) were used to rank ER-positive samples. The vertical black line indicates the third quartile border. Top panel: heatmap of median centred expression values in log2-FPKM, red indicates above median, blue below median expression. Genes are in rows, samples in columns. Below the heatmap: ‘Average MCC’ shows the average expression of the cell cycle genes. Grade: pathological grade; white, NA; light grey, grade 1; grey, grade 2; and black, grade 3. Last five rows: the length of the green bar is proportional to the number of substitutions. # subs: the total number of substitutions, samples with >10,000 substitutions are shown with a soft-red coloured bar and are of equal length. For the columns labelled Sig. 1, 3, 8 and 13, soft-red indicate samples >3,000 of such substitutions. (b, c) Overall and relapse-free survival Kaplan-Meier curves. Blue indicates patients with less than the median number of substitutions, red indicates higher than median. P values are logrank-test values. The x-axis shows time in months, y-axis shows the proportion of surviving patients.
significantly associated with increasing lymphocytic infiltrate (test for trend \( P < 0.0001 \)); a similar test for signature 2 substitutions showed a \( P \) value of 0.014. Analysing the total number of substitutions leading to increased electric charge, irrespective of signature type, also showed increasing numbers with increasing lymphocytic infiltrate (test for trend \( P < 0.0001 \)) which remained significant even when signature 13 substitutions were excluded (test for trend \( P < 0.0001 \)).

**Discussion**

In this paper we investigated the mutation signatures present in primary breast cancer and their relation to the transcriptome. Although somewhat impeded by the fact that most tumours display a multiplicity of signatures, we were able to show that individual signatures have specific effects on the gene expression phenotype of tumour cells. As may be noted from the results, the main observations were obtained in ER-positive cases. The lack of results in ER-negative cases is attributed to the more homogeneous nature of this subtype; for example, virtually all ER-negative patients have high infiltrate levels (in our cohort only four cases display a nil infiltrate phenotype) and a high mutational load (just two ER-negative cases are among the bottom 20% after ranking on mutational load), which impedes identifying expression differences due to the lack of a sizeable contrast group.

Regarding the global transcriptome in relation to the substitution signatures, first, we observed that the total number of substitutions was positively associated with expression of cell cycle genes, independent of which of the individual substitution signatures contributed to the total. Reviewing the driver genes, as expected \( CCND1 \) and \( MYC \) amplified cases, as well as \( TP53 \) mutated cases were enriched in the top quartile MCC expression (Fisher’s Exact test, all \( P < 0.0001 \)). The relation between an active cell cycle and various known drivers of cell cycle progression (\( CCND1, MYC, TP53 \)) and poor outcome in ER-positive patients has been widely investigated\(^{16,27-31} \), thus our observation that the mutational burden (defined here as the total number of substitutions, regardless of type) was also associated with shorter DFS and OS may be not surprising. The association of mutational burden and poor outcome in ER-positive patients was described earlier as well\(^{32} \), but using data based on exome sequencing, which we firmly validated here using whole genome sequencing data, with the latter enabling removal of the possible bias which may exist on the total mutational burden derived from the transcribed part (exome) compared with the entire genome (WGS). Noteworthy is that both mutational signatures which are replication dependent (for example, signature 13) and those that are not (for example, signature 8)\(^9 \) contribute to the mutational burden. This suggests that mutational load as a result of

---

**Figure 4 | Activity of TIL-signature genes related to mutational signatures.** The average expression of genes \((n = 116)\) from a TIL specific RNA-signature was used to rank ER-positive samples. The vertical black line indicates the third quartile border. Top panel: heatmap of median centred expression values in log2-FPKM. Red indicates above median, blue below median expression. Genes are in rows, samples in columns. Below the heatmap: the first row shows the average expression of the TIL genes. Last three rows: the length of the green bar is proportional to the number of substitutions of the indicated signatures. Samples with >3,000 substitutions are shown with a soft-red coloured bar and are of equal length.

---

**Table 1 | Association of the number of substitutions per signature by TIL-signature group.**

| Signature | \( n \) | MWU* | Average high TIL | Average low TIL |
|-----------|-------|------|----------------|----------------|
| 1         | 183   | 0.0005 | 532.8          | 752.5          |
| 2         | 179   | 0.64  | 2,313.1        | 328            |
| 3         | 24    | 0.027 | 581.4          | 209.5          |
| 5         | 166   | 0.27  | 1,033          | 1,022.2        |
| 6         | 2     | N.D.  | 17,791         | 0              |
| 8         | 123   | 0.59  | 663.6          | 453.3          |
| 13        | 115   | 0.0027| 1,897          | 309.5          |
| 17        | 6     | N.D.  | 9.7            | 23             |
| 18        | 32    | 0.39  | 37.8           | 64.4           |
| 20        | 1     | N.D.  | 0              | 0              |
| 26        | 3     | N.D.  | 171.5          | 87.6           |
| 30        | 1     | N.D.  | 0              | 0              |

\( N \) denotes the number of samples with \( > 0 \) of a particular substitution signature. The average number of substitutions is listed per TIL-signature group; high TIL indicates the top quartile of samples, low TIL the rest of the samples.

* \( P \) value of MWU.
Figure 5 | Combined MCC and TIL-signature genes and outcome. Overall (a) and relapse-free (b) survival Kaplan – Meier curves of our cohort and (c) metastasis-free survival of independent in-house and public data sets. Green line indicates patients with high expression of TIL genes (top quartile) and low expression of MCC genes (bottom three quartiles). The red line indicates patients with low expression of TIL genes and high expression of MCC genes. Blue indicates the remaining patients. P values are logrank-test for trend values. The x-axis shows time in months, y-axis shows the proportion of patients. For the numbers at risk, 1 indicates the highTIL/lowCC group (green line), 3 indicates the lowTIL/highCC (red line) and 2 the remaining patients.

Table 2 | Association of the number of substitutions per signature by lymphocytic infiltrate group.

| Signature | N  | Cuzick* | Average | Average | Average |
|-----------|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| nill      |    |         | nill    | mild    | moderate/Severe |
| 1         | 253| 0.537   | 592.9   | 728.5   | 649.9   |
| 2         | 248| 0.022   | 169.5   | 657.7   | 1,401.5 |
| 3         | 36 | <0.0001 | 127.7   | 197.8   | 944.2   |
| 5         | 237| 0.158   | 1,131.2 | 1,040.7 | 1,099.4 |
| 6         | 2  | N.D.    | 0       | 54.9    | 355.8   |
| 8         | 172| 0.117   | 322.4   | 435     | 785.4   |
| 13        | 174| <0.0001 | 91.5    | 573.1   | 1,613.9 |
| 17        | 9  | N.D.    | 11.7    | 20.9    | 14.5    |
| 18        | 45 | 0.935   | 32.6    | 90.3    | 34.2    |
| 20        | 0  | N.D.    | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| 26        | 3  | N.D.    | 0       | 126     | 164.6   |
| 30        | 0  | N.D.    | 0       | 0       | 0       |

N denotes the number of samples with > 0 of a particular substitution signature. The average number of substitutions is listed per lymphocytic infiltrate group.

6. The former study also showing significantly better relapse-free survival for BRCA-patients with higher infiltrate levels.

Second and interestingly, we observed a significant, positive relation between substitutions of signatures 13 and 3 and a TIL gene expression signature and also to increasing levels of TILs. The association of signature 3 substitutions with immune-response pathways is not readily explained by the type of substitutions—which are broadly patterned—or by the investigated amino acid properties; we speculate that the strong association of signature 3 with inactivated BRCA1/2 (ref. 9) could make this signature a proxy for BRCA-ness (with its particular rearrangements) in ER-positive cases. Inactivated BRCA is tightly linked with loss of homologous recombination (HR) repair mechanisms, leading to distinct rearrangement patterns9. We speculate that these rearrangements, which can comprise up to hundreds of events in a sample, leading to randomly aberrant proteins, potentially triggering an immune response. And, although the exact modus operandi of how signature 3 or BRCA-ness could increase immune response is as of yet obscure, higher lymphocytic infiltrate have been previously reported in familial BRCA1 (ref. 33) and BRCA2-affected tumours34, the insufficient or improper repair during DNA replication, as well as those from other sources, both contribute to more rapid progression of ER-positive disease.

Concluding, we show that ER-positive breast cancer responds to DNA mutations with two specific changes in the transcriptome. On the one hand, the cell cycle with its associated adverse clinical outcome appears to be more active with an increasing number of mutations, regardless of their underlying signatures. On the other hand, we observe a signature specific association with immune response, possibly arising from an increased number of neo-antigens that consequently may stimulate the immune response more effectively. However, independent confirmation of our finding is needed as well as mechanistic studies explaining the observed association. Still, our results augment earlier reports35–37, which postulate that a high mutational load is sufficient for the immune system to sense one or more neo-epitopes as non-self. However, by untangling the mutational load into specific signatures, our results suggest that the breast tumour cell, while gaining advantage by those mutations stimulating proliferation, may inadvertently provoke...
Changes in phosphodehydrogenase of mutated amino acids was ascertained using the scale of Kyte & Doolittle where the level of the mutated amino acid was subtracted from the wild-type amino acid. A positive difference (>0) was labelled as ‘increase’ and <0 as ‘decrease’ in phosphodehydrogenase. Changes in electric charge were evaluated by assigning Aspartic acid and Glutamic acid as negatively charged and Lysine, Arginine and Histidine as positive. Next, base substitutions were evaluated if a resulting amino acid mutation did not lead to a change in electric charge. Since all substitutions are assigned to a mutational signature, the total number of charge changing (and phosphodehydrogenate changing) substitutions per signature was established.

Independent validation set. In-house and publicly available gene expression data of lymph-node negative primary breast cancer patients, who were not treated (neo)adjuvantly with hormonal/chemotherapy and available metastasis-free survival data were used, leading to a cohort of 867 patients (n = 625 ER-positive). This selection enables a pure study on prognosis. Data were gathered from Gene Expression Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) entries GSE2034, GSE35327, GSE2990, GSE7390 and GSE1112, with all data available on Affymetrix U133A Chip. Raw.cel files were processed using RMA (ref. 42) parameters (median polish), after which batch effects and outliers were removed. The average expression of TIL genes and MCC genes (Gene Ontology:0000278) were calculated for each sample, and the samples in the top quartile for TIL and the top quartile for MCC were used to group the patients in three groups; those with high TIL/low MCC, those with low TIL/high MCC and the remaining patients.

Statistics. Associations between gene expression levels and genes-status (mutant, amplification and so on) were analysed using MWU, or KW when more than two categories were evaluated. In the analyses where the expression level of a gene was assessed with its own status category and not with other categories, multiple testing correction was necessary. To test for a trend across ordered groups (for example, number of substitutions in increasing grade), Cuzick’s nonparametric test for trend was used, an adjunct to the KW test. Pearson’s χ² test was used to evaluate categories (for example, mutation status versus molecular subtype) or by Fisher’s Exact test when the number of events were low. V23 (StataCorp, TX, USA) was used for the statistical tests and Kaplan–Meier survival curves. A two-sided P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data availability. WGS, RNA-seq and methylation data that support the findings in this study are available at the European-Genome Phenome Archive (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega) under the accession code EGAS00001001178. For the validation data, in-house GSE2034 and GSE5327, and otherwise public data GSE2990, GSE7390 and GSE1112 were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). All other data are contained within the Article and its Supplementary files or available from the authors on request.
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