Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by behavioral and psychological symptoms in addition to cognitive impairment and loss of memory. The exact pathogenesis and genetic background of AD are unclear and there remains no effective treatment option. Sarcosine, an N-methyl derivative of glycine, showed a promising therapeutic strategy for some cognitive disorders. To our knowledge, the impacts of sarcosine supplementation against AD have not yet been elucidated. Therefore, we aimed to determine the neuroprotective potential of sarcosine in \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} AD model.

In \textit{vitro} studies have demonstrated that sarcosine increased the percentage of viable cells against aluminum induced neurotoxicity. In AlCl$_3$-induced rat model of AD, the level of antioxidant capacity was significantly decreased and expression levels of \textit{APP}, \textit{BACE1}, \textit{TNF}-\textit{α}, \textit{APH1A}, and \textit{PSENEN} genes were elevated compared to the control group. Additionally, histopathological examinations of the hippocampus of AlCl$_3$-induced rat brains showed the presence of neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs). However, the administration of sarcosine produced marked improvement and protection of AD-associated pathologies induced by AlCl$_3$ in experimental rats. Therefore, this investigation may contribute to design novel therapeutic strategies using sarcosine for the management of AD pathologies.

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disease characterized by loss of memory and cognitive functions [1]. AD is the most prevalent cause of dementia (account for 60-80\% of all cases); around 47 million people worldwide are believed to be living with this disease [2]. The number of Alzheimer’s patients is expected to double every 20 years, so it is expected to be 72.7 million in 2030 and 131.5 million in 2050 [3]. Nowadays, there is no effective medication for AD. However, there are available therapies that can decrease or slow up some symptoms and improve quality of life. Since the first diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease, FDA has approved only 6 drugs such as cholinesterase inhibitors, NMDA receptor antagonists and amyloid beta-directed monoclonal antibodies [4–7].

In 1907, Alois Alzheimer described neurofibrillary tangles and amyloid plaques in the brain, which, together with neuronal dystrophy and vascular alterations, are dedicated to creating the quality of the disorder [8]. Although the cause of AD is not clearly understood, it is believed that both genetic and environmental factors may work in concert to cause the disease [9].

It is generally acknowledged that excessive amounts of amyloid-\textit{β} (A\textit{β}) in the brain of an individual is the most crucial factor involved in the pathogenesis of AD. A\textit{β} is
generated from amyloid precursor protein (APP) by cleaving in one of two alternate ways [10]. The APP can be cleaved by $\alpha$-secretase enzyme releasing large soluble APPs fragments into the extracellular space. Then, the second cleavage is carried out by $\gamma$-secretase to yield a p3 fragment and AICD. Alternatively, cleavage of the APP may be processed by $\beta$-secretase (memapsin 2, BACE1) and subsequently by $\gamma$-secretase generating Aβ peptide and AICD [11]. Neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) may eventually lead to AD. NFTs are composed of phosphorylated tau protein. Tau is a protein from the family of microtubule-assisted proteins (MAP) linked to chromosome 17. Tau protein is bound to microtubules and plays a vital role in stabilizing the microtubules, maintaining the integrity of the cytoskeleton, and axonal conduction. NFTs cause changes in cytoskeleton, axonal transport, and impaired neuronal function. At the end of a certain period, NFTs appear to be involved in neuronal death [12]. In the AD process, tau protein is highly phosphorylated, in turn its capacity to bind microtubules decreases. The unbound tau protein forms NFTs by collapsing into the cell as double-stranded fibers. Although there is a correlation between NFT density and the severity of dementia, there is still a debate about the exact role of tau in the pathogenesis of AD. It has to be noted that the normal localization of tau protein and its role in stabilizing microtubules suggest that its dysfunction in axons can substantially contribute to the development of AD. It has been previously shown that there is a link between amyloid fibrils and molecular signal cascade triggering tau hyperphosphorylations [13].

Oxidative stress is implicated in a number of neuropathological disorders, according to growing experimental evidence [14, 15]. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) production includes oxidative alterations in biomolecules such as lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids, which in turn degrade cellular function and ultimately result in neurodegeneration in the brain [16]. Another key mechanism through which the presence of Aβ induced oxidative stress is neuroinflammation. Neuroinflammation, which includes the abnormal activation of glial cells and the production of various proinflammatory cytokines, is an important part of Aβ pathogenesis [17]. After Aβ production, microglia initiate an innate immune response that contributes to neuronal damage and cognitive decline, leading to sustained production and secretion of proinflammatory mediators, including interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1β, and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) [18, 19].

Aluminum (Al) is one of the most widely used toxic metals and is currently used in many products. Although Al has no known function in the human body, it changes the regulation of various ions in the body and causes a change in protein/lipid structure and function [20, 21]. Extreme exposure to Al can cause accumulation in tissues such as the brain, bone, liver, kidneys, skeletal systems, immunological systems, and reproductive systems [22, 23]. The brain is one of the most affected tissues, as aluminum can enter the brain through the high-affinity transferrin receptors across the blood-brain barrier (BBB) [24].

Al accumulation might be associated with the etiology of various neurological disorders, such as dementia, senile dementia, and AD [25, 26]. In patients with AD, the two lesions-senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles are found in aluminum, suggesting a causal association between Al exposure and AD [27]. Sarcosine, an N-methyl derivative of glycine, is a natural amino acid found in muscles and other body tissues. It is metabolized to glycine by glycine dehydrogenase enzyme (Figure 1). Sarcosine is a naturally occurring GlyT1 inhibitor that increases the concentration of glycine in the synaptic space and enhances NMDA receptor function [28]. It can lower the seizure threshold and thence may act as antipsychotics. Sarcosine causes the accumulation of glycine in synaptic cleft; in turn, can enhance neurotransmitter release at certain areas of the brain [29].

Based on this background, we aimed to determine the therapeutic potential of sarcosine, which is thought to have a neuroprotective effect in different AlCl3-induced AD models using a wide range of in vitro and in vivo parameters.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Culture. The human SHSY-5Y cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (Gibco®, New York, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco®, New York, USA), 1% penicillin and streptomycin, 1% L-glutamin in an incubator (37°C and 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere). For differentiation, the medium was replaced with DMEM: F12 containing fetal bovine serum (1% BFS) and 10 μM retinoic acid (RA) (Sigma-Aldrich®, Milan, Italy). The media were renewed every 3 days with 1% BFS and 10 μM RA containing medium. Cell differentiation was observed for 11 days with a light microscopy [30].

2.2. WST-8 Assay. Cell viability was measured using CVDK-8 (Ecotech Biotechnology Turkey) kit according to the manufacturer’s manual. Briefly, $1 \times 10^4 - 1 \times 10^5$ cells were seeded in 96-well plates and kept under appropriate culture conditions (37°C, 5% CO2) for 24 h to promote cell attachment. Afterward, cells were incubated with different concentrations (0-800 mg/L) of sarcosine and AlCl3 (200 mg/L) for 24 h. After incubation, CVDK-8 reagent was added to each well and incubated for 3 h. At the end of incubation period, the absorbance of each sample was measured at 450 nm in a microplate reader (Synergy-HT; BioTek Winooski, VT, USA). As a positive control, cells were treated with 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100. Cell viability was calculated by using the following formula:

$$V = \frac{OD_{\text{experimental group}} - OD_{\text{control group}}}{100}$$

2.3. LDH Assay. LDH assay was performed using CytoSelect™ LDH Cytotoxicity Assay Kit (Cell BioLabs, San Diego, CA, USA) following the provider’s instructions. Briefly, the cells were treated as mentioned above and at the end of the culture period; 90 μL supernatant was transferred to a new plate to which 10 μL reaction mixture was added to each well. The reaction was incubated for 30 min at room temperature.
in the dark. Eventually, the optical density was measured at wavelength of 450 nm in a microplate reader (Synergy-HT; BioTek Winooski, VT, USA). As a positive control, cells were treated with 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100.

2.4. Metal Chelating Activity. An aliquot of sarcosine (200 μmol/L) was aspirated to 48-well plates and then allowed to stand at room temperature for 30 min. Afterward, metals of CuSO₄, AlCl₃, FeCl₃, and ZnCl₂, each of 200 μmol/L were added. Subsequently, the absorption spectrum of the sarcosine was measured wavelength ranges of 200-500 nm using the Biotek EPOCH spectrophotometer device.

2.5. Design of In Vivo Studies. Twenty-four female Sprague–Dawley rats (8 weeks old) weighing 250-300 g were used in the experimental work. Herein, the study was approved by Ataturk University Animal Experiments Local Ethics Committee (AÜHADYEK) (clearance no. 77040475-000-E.1800014631-1851). Animals were maintained at Atatürk University Experimental Research Center (ATADEM) and maintained in a temperature-controlled (25 ± 2 °C) room with a 12:12 day/night cycle. The AD rat model was induced as described previously, with some modifications [31]. The rats were randomized into four groups (group A: control; group B: sarcosine; group C: AlCl₃; group D: sarcosine + AlCl₃). Animals in group A were fed on a normal diet without aluminum. In group B, animals were injected intraperitoneally with 3.6 mg/kg bw/day whereas rats in group C were injected intraperitoneally with 5 mg Al/kg bw/day for 28 consecutive days. In group D animals were administered sarcosine (3.6 mg/kg bw/day) and Al (5 mg Al/day/kg bw) by i.p for 28 consecutive days.

2.6. Haematological and Biochemical Analysis. Blood samples were taken from rats in all groups after decapitation. To obtain serum, blood samples were incubated for 40 min and centrifuged at 1500 g for 15 min. Serum samples were used to determine the level of several biochemical and haematological parameters. Biochemical and haematological analyzes were performed on an automatic analyzer using commercial biochemical reagent kits (Abris+, Russia) in accordance with manufacturer’s recommendations [32].

2.7. Histopathological Examination. Brain tissues of treated and control rats were fixed in 10% buffered formalin solution in labeled bottles. Tissues were stained with Hematoxin-eosin (H-E) and examined under a standard light microscopy.

2.8. Total Oxidative Stress (TOS) and Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAC) Analysis. Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) assay and total oxidant status (TOS) assay were carried out to measure the antioxidative/oxidative capacity in brain tissue using commercially available TAC and TOS assay kits (Rel Assay Diagnostics®, Gaziantep, Turkey) [33].

2.9. In Vivo Micronucleus Assay. Smear slides were made from peripheral blood samples taken from each group of rat after treatment. Two slides per animal were prepared and air-dried. 24 h later, slides were fixed in absolute methanol for 10 min and stained with diluted Giemsa stain (10%) for 10 min using a modified Lazalde-Ramos’s protocol. The MN in each sample was scored using manual microscopy (Zeiss) (100X), under immersion.

2.10. Total RNA Isolation, cDNA Synthesis, and PCR Array Studies. Total RNA was extracted from brain tissue using PureLink™ RNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen, Stockholm, Sweden) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using “High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit” (Applied Biosystems™) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on a Real-Time PCR Detection System (Qiagen Rotor-Gene Q) using Sybr Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems™) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primers used for RT-qPCR are listed in Table 1. The fold change in the expression of each gene was calculated using the ΔCt method [34].

2.11. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS® version 21.0. The results are given as mean ± standard deviation. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)
was used for statistical evaluation and Duncan’s test was used as post-hoc and the level of statistical significance was accepted as $p < 0.05$.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Effect of Sarcosine on Aluminum-Induced Neurotoxicity in Differentiated SH-SY5Y Cells

WST-8 assay was performed to determine the toxicity of AlCl$_3$ on differentiated SH-SY5Y cells. The results showed that AlCl$_3$ caused concentration-dependent toxicity in cells (Table 1). The highest concentration of AlCl$_3$ (800 $\mu$M) decreased the viability of the cultures to 16.35%, whereas the lowest concentration (1.25 $\mu$M) caused a cell death of 3.76% compared to the control group. To determine the neuroprotective effect of sarcosine in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells, different concentrations of sarcosine were treated with AlCl$_3$-induced cells. Sarcosine was found to be safe at all tested concentrations (data not shown). Moreover, sarcosine treatment showed significant protective effect in ameliorating aluminum-induced cell death (Figure 1).

#### 3.2. Metal Chelating Effect

The chelating potential of sarcosine in the presence of Al$^{3+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, and Zn$^{2+}$ metals was monitored by UV-Vis spectrometry in the range of 200 to 500 nm. We found that the absorbance spectra of sarcosine had been significantly increased after the addition of Fe$^{3+}$. (Figure 2).

#### 3.3. In Vivo Studies

##### 3.3.1. General Effects

No deaths occurred in any of the four groups during the 4-week treatment period. Rats exposed to AlCl$_3$, sarcosine, or both of them, did not show significant differences in body weight gain in comparison with the respective control groups (data not shown). On the other hand, Al treatment caused significant reduction in the weight of the whole brain of rats compared with control. It has to be noted that there was no significant difference in the weight of the whole brain of rats in groups B and D.

##### 3.3.2. Haematological and Biochemical Results

The results of haematological analysis of rats in control and treated groups are given in Table 2. AlCl$_3$ exposure significantly increased WBC and RDW-SD levels compared to control group. On the other hand, the administration of sarcosine did not have a significant effect on these hematological findings compared to control. Besides, sarcosine treatment significantly ameliorated increased WBC and RDW-SD levels caused by AlCl$_3$ exposure.

The results of biochemical parameters of control and experimental groups of rats are presented in Table 3. AlCl$_3$ leads to a significant reduction of creatine kinase (CK), magnesium (Mg), creatine and uric acid levels. There were no significant changes in biochemical parameters of rats exposed to sarcosine.

##### 3.3.3. Histological Observation

Microscopic examination of H&E-stained sections of brain in control group showed a normal structure whereas severe histological changes including NFTs were observed in group C. On the other hand, numbers of NFTs were decreed in tissue sections from group D (Figure 3).

##### 3.3.4. Effect of Sarcosine on Antioxidative/Oxidant Activity in the Brain

We assessed the antioxidative/oxidative alterations in the brain by measuring TAC and TOS levels (Table 4). The TAC level of AlCl$_3$ treated group was reduced by 37% in comparison with the control group. On the other hand, sarcosine treatment did not modulate the decreased TAC level by AlCl$_3$. While AlCl$_3$ increased the TOS levels by 43% compared to the control group; sarcosine administration could not alleviate the AlCl$_3$ induced oxidative stress.

##### 3.3.5. Micronucleus Findings

Table 5 shows the results of the MN assay. The frequencies of MN in rats exposed to AlCl$_3$ were significantly increased compared with control group ($p < 0.05$). Moreover, sarcosine modulated the increased MNHEPs rates by AlCl$_3$.

##### 3.3.6. Molecular Genetic Responses

The in vivo results of the present study have demonstrated the protective role of sarcosine against AlCl$_3$ induced neurotoxicity. In order to explore the molecular mechanisms underlying this neuroprotective effect, the expression levels of 14 selected genes were investigated. Significant differences in the expression levels of APP, BACE1, TNF-α, APH1A, and PSENEN genes were observed in experimental group exposed to AlCl$_3$ when compared with the control group (Figure 4).

### 4. Discussion

Many research studies have shown that AlCl$_3$ exposure causes the formation of amyloid plaques that are thought to initiate the pathogenesis of AD. Therefore, chronic exposure to AlCl$_3$ is often used as an experimental model of AD [35–38]. Since AlCl$_3$ has very strong neurotoxic effects, the discovery of effective agents against AlCl$_3$ has paid much attention [37, 39]. It has been reported that sarcosine has a neuroprotective effect. In particular, studies have shown that the glutamate system is implicated in the pathogenesis of
schizophrenia [40–42] and the beneficial effects of sarcosine on glutamate metabolism in the hippocampus [43, 44]. It is also proposed that sarcosine can be used as a treatment option for epilepsy [29]. Furthermore, sarcosine can be beneficial for patients receiving atypical antipsychotic risperidone. Consistent with this, significant clinical effects have been reported in patients receiving high-dose glycine in addition to atypical antipsychotics, clozapine and olanzapine.

Table 2: Effects of sarcosine on haematological parameters (Values are presented as mean ± S.D.; n = 4, means in the table followed by different letter are significantly different at the (p < 0.05) level).

| Parameter | Control | AlCl₃ | Sarcosine | AlCl₃ + sarcosine |
|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|------------------|
| WBC       | 3.93 ± 0.29ᵃ | 6.32 ± 0.44ᵇ | 3.90 ± 0.08ᵃ | 5.82 ± 0.18ᵇ |
| RBC       | 5.52 ± 1.12ᵃ | 6.82 ± 0.86ᵇ | 5.71 ± 0.35ᵃ | 6.53 ± 0.79ᵇ |
| HGB       | 10.60 ± 0.71ᵃ | 12.63 ± 1.16ᵇ | 10.87 ± 0.85ᵃ | 12.32 ± 0.33ᵇ |
| HCT       | 46.45 ± 2.10ᵃ | 39.56 ± 1.65ᵇ | 45.75 ± 2.35ᵇ | 41.72 ± 3.53ᵇ |
| MCH       | 21.50 ± 0.57ᵃ | 17.55 ± 1.60ᵇ | 18.35 ± 0.56ᵇ | 17.04 ± 1.36ᵇ |
| MCV       | 61.25 ± 1.89ᵃ | 56.11 ± 2.75ᵇ | 59.77 ± 2.70ᵇ | 54.50 ± 1.29ᵇ |
| MCHC      | 31.65 ± 0.75ᵃ | 30.00 ± 1.92ᵇ | 30.75 ± 0.57ᵃ | 31.05 ± 0.47ᵃ |
| RDW-SD    | 29.12 ± 2.01ᵃ | 48.83 ± 4.70ᵇ | 32.25 ± 2.06ᵇ | 41.37 ± 2.80ᵇ |
| RDW-CV    | 15.50 ± 0.57ᵃ | 23.54 ± 2.31ᵇ | 17.46 ± 1.04ᵃ | 21.97 ± 1.32ᵇ |

Table 3: Effects of sarcosine on biochemical parameters (Values are presented as mean ± S.D.; n = 4, means in the table followed by different letter are significantly different at the (p < 0.05) level).

| Parameter   | Control       | AlCl₃         | Sarcosine    | AlCl₃ + sarcosine |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|
| CK          | 656.25 ± 65.74ᵃ | 424.93 ± 50.73ᵇ | 635.00 ± 65.44ᵇ | 545.25 ± 21.07ᵇ |
| AST         | 256.25 ± 11.08ᵃ | 263.00 ± 7.21ᵇ | 255.00 ± 4.08ᵇ | 262.00 ± 5.71ᵃ |
| ALT         | 76.25 ± 1.70ᵃ  | 85.50 ± 2.88ᵇ | 77.50 ± 2.08ᵃ | 79.00 ± 1.82ᵃ |
| LDH         | 438.75 ± 38.99ᵃ | 699.66 ± 51.04ᵇ | 480.00 ± 46.90ᵇ | 656.00 ± 37.69ᵇ |
| Triglycerides | 85.25 ± 5.18ᵃ | 75.50 ± 8.11ᵃ | 80.00 ± 4.39ᵃ | 79.50 ± 5.50ᵃ |
| Cholesterol | 77.25 ± 3.20ᵃ | 74.66 ± 3.07ᵃ | 75.50 ± 2.88ᵃ | 76.25 ± 5.85ᵃ |
| Ca          | 10.75 ± 0.58ᵇ | 9.70 ± 0.08ᵃ | 9.87 ± 0.76ᵃ | 9.68 ± 0.05ᵃ |
| P           | 8.27 ± 0.61ᵃ  | 7.81 ± 0.60ᵃ | 7.95 ± 0.54ᵃ | 7.82 ± 0.22ᵃ |
| Mg          | 3.08 ± 0.28ᵇ | 2.30 ± 0.14ᵃ | 2.31 ± 0.14ᵃ | 2.30 ± 0.20ᵃ |
| Creatinine  | 0.41 ± 0.06ᵇ | 0.25 ± 0.05ᵃ | 0.33 ± 0.03ᵇ | 0.28 ± 0.08ᵃ |
| Uric acid   | 7.20 ± 0.81ᵇ | 3.04 ± 0.91ᵃ | 6.32 ± 0.22ᵇ | 3.55 ± 0.36ᵃ |
| BUN         | 22.64 ± 1.96ᵃ | 20.38 ± 0.70ᵃ | 20.75 ± 2.02ᵃ | 20.63 ± 1.54ᵃ |

Figure 2: The chelating potential of sarcosine.
However, the effects of sarcosine in AD-related changes have not been observed in other reports. Accordingly, we considered it worthwhile to investigate the potential of sarcosine to function as a neuroprotectant in an animal model of experimental AD induced by AlCl₃. The present study demonstrated for the first time that treatment with sarcosine alleviated AlCl₃-induced neurotoxicity in vitro and in vivo models.

Oxidative stress, which occurred via an imbalance in reactive oxygen species (ROS) and antioxidative defense, is one of the mechanisms which play a key function in the pathogenesis of several neurodegenerative diseases including AD [46–48]. In the present study, while the level of TAS was increased, the level of TOS was decreased in the brain of AlCl₃-induced Alzheimer’s disease rats. It has been shown that sarcosine is an effective agent to reduce oxidative stress and may be used as a neuroprotective candidate for moderating brain impairment in rat models [49]. Many reports have revealed that regulation of oxidative stress is effective in restoring brain damage [50, 51]. In parallel with this information, we revealed here that sarcosine administration significantly alleviated the biochemical changes induced by AlCl₃ to normal values.

Table 4: Effects of sarcosine on TAC and TOS level (Values are presented as mean ± S.D.; n = 4, means in the table followed by different letter are significantly different at the (p < 0.05) level).

| Experimental groups             | TAC level (mmol Trolox E/L) | TOS level (mmol H₂O₂ E/L) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Control                         | 2.23 ± 0.24ᵇ                 | 2.38 ± 0.25ᵃ              |
| AlCl₃                           | 1.40 ± 0.30ᵃ                 | 2.93 ± 0.15ᵇ              |
| Sarcosine                       | 2.11 ± 0.08ᵇ                 | 2.46 ± 0.12ᵃ              |
| AlCl₃ + Sarcosine               | 1.67 ± 0.10ᵇ                 | 2.80 ± 0.13ᵇ              |

Figure 3: Representative images of brain tissues from experimental groups. a: Control group; b: AlCl₃ group; c: AlCl₃ + Sarcosine group (NFTs are indicated by arrows.).

[45].
Table 5: Effects of sarcosine on frequency of micronucleus (Values are presented as mean ± S.D.; n = 4, means in the table followed by different letter are significantly different at the (p < 0.05) level).

| Experimental groups | The frequency of micronucleus (MnPKE/1000 PKE) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Control             | 13.62 ± 2.32                                  |
| AlCl3               | 26.85 ± 2.06b                                 |
| Sarcosine           | 13.83 ± 2.35b                                 |
| AlCl3 + sarcosine   | 23.86 ± 1.40b                                 |

degeneration, which is most commonly reported in brain disorders [52].

Oxidative stress is a crucial factor that could affect the onset and pathological development of AD [53, 54]. Excessive free radical accumulation causes oxidative damage to biological macromolecules, which further damages neural tissue and impairs cognitive function [55]. Inflammation and cell death caused by ROS in the brain tissues can eventually lead to neurodegeneration and memory loss because they alter synaptic and nonsynaptic interactions between neurons [52]. Additionally, neurological alterations such as neurofibrillary tangles, neural apoptosis, amyloid deposits, and mitochondrial dysfunction are frequently influenced by oxidative stress, which has been linked to the pathological development of AD [54].

Iron homeostasis within neurons is maintained by transferrin and ferritin. In the AD brain, altered iron homeostasis has been reported. In addition to high iron concentration, amyloid plaques usually contain transferrin primarily found in oligodendrocytes. Furthermore, an abnormal ferritin distribution has also been reported in AD [56]. In light of this information, the amino acid sarcosine can act as "metal chelators", i.e. chelate Fe³⁺ forming a complex that can be used as preventive strategies against nucleic acid oxidation. Growing evidence supports that inflammation can be seen in some pathological regions of the brain with AD and does so with the complexity of local peripheral inflammatory responses. The accumulation of degenerative tissue and highly insoluble abnormal materials create the classic stimulants of inflammation [57]. The increase in WBC levels is therefore believed to be a marker of chronic inflammation [58]. In our study, we have found that the total WBC count was significantly higher in AlCl₃ treated group than the control group. Notably, treatment with sarcosine significantly reduced the level of WBC. High RDW (also known as red cell distribution widths) values are linked to a deficiency of folate or vitamin B12. In some studies, vitamin B12 deficiency was found to be higher in patients with AD, other dementia, and cognitive deficits than in controls [59]. In addition, low serum folate levels are linked to an increased risk of AD occurrence. On the other hand, some studies have shown positive effect of folate treatment on memory problems [60, 61]. While high RDW levels are suggested to be a new biomarker of inflammation, it is thought that it may support the role of inflammation in the pathophysiology of AD [62]. As a result of our hematological findings, RDW-SD values were significantly increased in AlCl₃-induced experimental AD group, whereas it was significantly decreased following sarcosine treatment. It has therefore been shown that sarcosine has a protective effect in the AD model by decreasing inflammation.

CK is found in cells and tissues that consume ATP rapidly, such as skeletal muscle, brain, photoreceptor cells of the retina, spermatozoa, and smooth muscle. It also acts as an energy supply for fast buffering and regeneration of ATP [63]. CK has been shown to correlate with brain activity and protect the cell from toxicity by reducing ATP levels during hypoxia or chemically induced mitochondrial dysfunction [64]. In the light of this information, we found that CK level (in the experimental AD model induced by AlCl₃) was decreased compared to the control group; the finding which is supported with others [65, 66]. Further to that, we observed that sarcosine administration showed a significant increase in CK level compared to the AD model group.

There is a complex pathophysiological link between brain and kidney damage [67]. Removal of toxic, water-soluble nitrogenous wastes (of protein and nucleotide metabolism) by urine excretion is very essential for normal brain function. Impaired renal function may produce more burden for AD brain. Previous studies have attempted to address this complex, interdependent pathophysiological link, and stated that chronic kidney disease is one of the risk factors possibly leading to dementia and cognitive impairment [68, 69]. Therefore, renal failure may be considered as emerging evidence for AD. In our study, creatinine and uric acid levels of AD model group showed a significant decrease compared to the control group. Therefore, it can be suggested that AlCl₃ induced renal and liver damage. These findings are in line for what has been reported in the literature for AD and kidney injury [70, 71]. The group in which sarcosine was given did not show a significant difference at the level to correct this decrease.

As a result of our molecular genetic findings, an increase in APP gene expression (which is the main event in the pathogenesis of AD) and an increase in BACE1, APH1a, and Psenen genes associated with amyloidogenic pathway were observed in experimental group of AlCl₃. While Al decreasing ß-secretase and γ-secretase activities, it decreases α-secretase activity.

In AD and other neurodegenerative diseases, neuroinflammation may lead to the release of a number of different pro-inflammatory cytokines, which promotes the process of neurodegeneration [72, 73]. According to recent studies, TNF-α level is associated with memory and cognitive impairment indicates memory defect, which is a characteristic feature of AD pathophysiology [74, 75]. Therefore, the increase in the TNF-α gene in the experimental group with Al exposure corresponds to the AD model. In this study, TNF-α were ameliorated in sarcosine-treated rats, reflecting its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effect, matching with previous studies [72, 76, 77].

According to our results, AlCl₃ causes pathogenesis related to AD. In addition, sarcosine does not have a negative effect on the analyzed genes and on the contrary, it shows a significant neuroprotective effect following administration.
5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the role of glycine derivative, sarcosine, against aluminum chloride-induced neurotoxicity has been found to be protective. First, it has been shown that this amino acid has a neuroprotective effect in vitro. Then, it was first reported that there was no hematotoxic, nephrotoxic, and hepatotoxic effect in vivo study. It has been shown that sarcosine reduces oxidative stress, and as a hematological, biochemical, and genetically inhibitory effect on neurodegeneration induced by AlCl₃ as well as it has a positive effect on the inflammation process. Moreover, sarcosine did not induce any genotoxic and cytotoxic effect. Additionally, it may act as a metal chelation therapy, forming a complex that can be easily removed from the body. Herein, we may propose sarcosine as a novel agent for treatment of AD, however, further preclinical and clinical trials are needed to prove our assumption.
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