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b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items.
Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.
In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS, or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED).
Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):
Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group
CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and
Mobile Health Interventions
J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126
URL: http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/
doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923
PMID: 22209829

Sign in to Google to save your progress. Learn more

* Required

Your name *
First Last
H. Lynn Starr

Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country *
University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC

Your e-mail address *
abc@gmail.com
HStarr@its.jnj.com
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Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

The Family Intervention in Recent Onset Schizophrenia Treatment (FIRST) Study: Telehealth-Based Psychoeducation for Caregivers
Name of your App/Software/Intervention *
If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

FIRST (Family Intervention in Recent Onset Sci)

Evaluated Version (if any)
e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

Your answer

Language(s) *
What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

English

URL of your Intervention Website or App
e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

Your answer

URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

Your answer
Accessibility *
Can an enduser access the intervention presently?

- access is free and open
- access only for special usergroups, not open
- access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases
- app/intervention no longer accessible
- Other:

Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition *
e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)"

Schizophrenia

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *
comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

"The primary efficacy endpoint was the mean c

Secondary/other outcomes
Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

"Changes from baseline to 3, 6, and 12 months in IEQ, IMR, SF-12, and CGI-S scores" (measures of patient illness management and clinical function, and caregiver burden and physical and mental functioning)
Recommended "Dose" *

What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used?

- Approximately Daily
- Approximately Weekly
- Approximately Monthly
- Approximately Yearly
- "as needed"
- Other:
Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months *

- unknown / not evaluated
- 0-10%
- 11-20%
- 21-30%
- 31-40%
- 41-50%
- 51-60%
- 61-70%
- 71%-80%
- 81-90%
- 91-100%
- Other:

Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *

- yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- no statistically significant difference between control and intervention
- potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more outcomes
- inconclusive: more research is needed
- Other:
Article Preparation Status/Stage *
At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)

- not submitted yet - in early draft status
- not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
- submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
- submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
- submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
- published
- Other:

Journal *
If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")

- not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this
- Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)
- JMIR mHealth and UHealth
- JMIR Serious Games
- JMIR Mental Health
- JMIR Public Health
- JMIR Formative Research
- Other JMIR sister journal
- Other:
Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial? *

- Pilot/feasibility
- Fully powered

Manuscript tracking number *

If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)

- no ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR
- Other: JMH 32492

TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *

I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (If not, explain the reason under "other")

- yes
- Other: the publication focuses on the key insights of the study
1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use “web-based” and/or “mobile” and/or “electronic game” in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like “online”, “virtual”, “interactive”. Use “Internet-based” only if intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use “computer-based” or “electronic” only if offline products are used. Use “virtual” only in the context of “virtual reality” (3-D worlds). Use “online” only in the context of “online support groups”. Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as “mobile” or “smart phone” instead of “iphone”), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; “Telehealth-Based Psychoeducation”

1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., “with telephone support”).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer
1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., “for children with Type I Diabetes”)

Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

Subitem not at all important  ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; “Recent Onset Schizophrenia”

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

Subitem not at all important  ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "The Family Intervention in Recent-Onset Schizophrenia Treatment (FIRST) study was a randomized controlled trial of patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders and their caregivers designed to evaluate the effect of telehealth-based, caregiver-focused, study-provided psychoeducation (SPPE) versus usual care (UC) on patient treatment failure (TF). The impact of SPPE on caregiver burden was also investigated."

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT
Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like "fully automated" vs. "therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted" (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "Eligible patients and their designated caregivers were randomly assigned to SPPE (≤16 sessions of interactive, telehealth-based psychoeducation over 6 months) or UC, stratified by antipsychotic treatment (paliperidone palmitate or oral antipsychotic)."
1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use “blinded” or “unblinded” to indicate the level of blinding instead of “open”, as “open” in web-based trials usually refers to “open access” (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1  2  3  4  5
subitem not at all important     ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1  2  3  4  5
subitem not at all important     ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential


Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "A total of 148 pairs of participants were enrolled in the study, of whom 96 (65%) patients and 94 (64%) caregivers completed the 12-month follow-up. The mean number (SD) of sessions in the SPPE group was 7.7 (5.9). No differences were observed between the SPPE and UC groups in patient outcomes (rates of TF; 70% vs 67%; P=.90) or measures of caregiver burden (assessment of caregiver distress and physical and mental health). However, post hoc analyses revealed lower relapse rates in patients who received paliperidone palmitate compared with those who received oral antipsychotics at all time points. Although the FIRST study did not meet the primary endpoint, several key lessons were identified to inform future caregiver-focused, telehealth-based FP interventions. Lack of SPPE, focus on caregiver-only intervention, difficulties with enrollment, and caregiver-treatment team coordination may have impacted the outcomes of the FIRST study."

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials
Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "Key insights from the FIRST study suggest the potential importance of supporting sufficient caregiver engagement, communication between clinicians, patients, and family members regarding treatment plans, and solidifying a relationship between clinicians providing psychoeducation to the caregiver and patient treatment team."
INTRODUCTION

2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale

2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution
Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "Schizophrenia is a complex, lifelong illness that typically develops in young adults [1] and requires long-term treatment and caregiving, which is frequently provided by family members [2, 3]. Caregivers often find that caring for a loved one with schizophrenia is difficult, and struggle with social isolation, financial burden, and physical and emotional exhaustion [4, 5]. Family psychoeducation (FP), a guideline-recommended complement to pharmacologic treatment for schizophrenia, has been shown to lower burden and improve functioning in caregivers; it can also lead to improved patient outcomes, including lower rates of relapse and hospitalization [6-11]. However, FP is often unavailable or underutilized, partially because of implementation barriers such as scheduling difficulties and lack of access to care from specialists [12-15]."
2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropriate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "To address this unmet need, web-based or telehealth-based models of psychoeducation that offer private, at-home sessions have been developed [16-18]. Compared with usual care (UC), web-based FP interventions involving caregiver support, patient psychoeducation, and mutual patient-caregiver support have been found to be successful in lowering stress, reducing symptoms, increasing perceived social support for patients with schizophrenia, and improving illness knowledge of caregivers [19, 20]. Family interventions during the early phase of the illness have been studied; however, the efficacy of FP interventions delivered exclusively to caregivers is still being explored."

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses
METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "FIRST (NCT02600741) was a randomized controlled trial of patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders and their caregivers that was conducted to evaluate the overall effect of caregiver-focused SPPE and skills training compared with UC on the number of treatment failure (TF) events in patients (Figure 1)."
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A; no changes to methods were made after trial commencement

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes
Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other "unexpected events" that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes; “Study participants were patients with diagnoses of schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, or schizophreniform disorder aged 18-35 years who were receiving paliperidone palmitate or oral antipsychotics as prescribed by their clinician. Participants must have had ≥1 TF within 6 months of screening, defined as a psychiatric hospitalization, intensive outpatient psychiatric treatment or partial hospitalization, psychiatric emergency department visit, crisis center visit, mobile crisis unit intervention, arrest/incarceration, or suicide attempt. Caregivers were individuals who provided the patient with assistance and care. They could be members of the immediate or extended family, friends, neighbors, or significant others. Caregivers were included if they were ≥18 years old, had verbal interaction with the patient ≥2 times a week, had internet access, and had not received formal psychoeducation in the past 12 months.”

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy
Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Your answer
4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii?  *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes; "Caregivers randomly assigned to SPPE were invited to attend up to 16 virtual sessions of MyHealios, a telehealth-based FP and skills training program for caregivers of patients with schizophrenia over a 6-month period. Each caregiver was assigned a trained and certified masters-level clinician who was independent from, and had no communication with, the patient's UC team. All MyHealios clinicians received formal training and a training manual; they also underwent a certification process to conduct FP sessions. Routine supervision was provided to MyHealios clinicians, and fidelity measures ensured reliable and standard implementation of the intervention. Study investigators were not associated with MyHealios and received formal training through an investigator meeting and other trainings provided by the sponsor. The MyHealios clinicians worked with the caregivers through live virtual sessions on a one-on-one basis throughout the program. Each virtual session was 40 minutes in length and was conducted online at a time convenient for the caregiver. The web interface included live video of both the caregiver and clinician as well as a chat window to facilitate communication and caregiver participation in interactive activities. The number of sessions and topics delivered were determined jointly by the caregiver and clinician, with the teaching information and skills individually tailored to the caregiver. During each session, the caregiver presented problems that arose from caring for the patient and elaborated with specific examples. The clinician offered training and guidance on appropriate methods to manage the problems identified. Sessions were planned to occur weekly at the beginning of the program and decrease in frequency over the next 6 months as participants learned how to apply the skills in their day-to-day lives. Three modules were identified for initial completion by all caregivers (engagement and goal setting; communications; problem solving and goal achievement). Caregivers could then elect to complete any of the other modules in any order (coping; relapse prevention; delusions; low levels of activity; schizophrenia; anxiety; bipolar disorder; hallucinations; crisis identification and management; alcohol and drugs; depression; engaging the treatment team; treatment adherence)."
4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Your answer

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes; "The study sites were 31 community mental health centers in the United States that provided routine clinical care to patients with schizophrenia."
4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important |   |   |   | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "Assessments, including of TF events, were evaluated at baseline and at 3, 6, and 12 months. Patient illness self-management was evaluated with the self-reported Illness Management and Recovery (IMR) scale [25]. This self-reported scale contains 15 questions, each of which is answered on a 5-point Likert scale with higher scores indicating better recovery status. The IMR total score (range 15-75) is derived as the sum of the 15 item scores. Severity of psychotic symptoms was rated with the Clinical Global Impression of Severity (CGI-S) scale [26] by a member of the patient’s treatment team (not a family clinician) who was not masked to treatment assignment. The CGI-S rating scale rates the severity of a participant’s psychotic condition based on a 7-point global assessment of symptom severity from 1 (normal, not ill) to 7 (most extremely ill). Caregiver-reported assessments were conducted at the same times as patient assessments. The Involvement Evaluation Questionnaire (IEQ) [27] was used to measure caregiver distress and the 12-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-12) [28] was used to measure overall perceived physical health (physical component score [PCS]) and mental health (mental health component score [MCS]). The IEQ is designed to measure the consequences of caregiving on family members and friends of patients with schizophrenia. All items are scored on a scale of 0 (never) to 4 (always) and the total score ranges from 0 to 108. Higher IEQ scores indicate higher levels of caregiver burden. The SF-12 is a self-administered 12-item questionnaire designed to cover eight domains of functional health status and well-being: physical functioning, role limitations due to physical health problems, bodily pain, general health perceptions, vitality, social functioning, role limitations due to emotional problems, and mental health. These scales are scored from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating better health. A 1-week recall period was used for PCS and MCS."
4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention. (Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

1  2  3  4  5
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○  essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a “Conflict of interest” section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

1  2  3  4  5
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○  essential
5-i) Does your paper address subitem 5-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-ii) Describe the history/development process
Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-iii) Revisions and updating
Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was “frozen” during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Your answer

---

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Your answer

---

5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential
Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Your answer

---

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important       ○       ○       ○       ○       ○   essential

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important       ○       ○       ○       ○       ○       essential

---

5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained "access to the platform and Internet" [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a “backdoor” login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important       ○       ○       ○       ○       ○       essential
Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes; "Each virtual session was 40 minutes in length and was conducted online at a time convenient for the caregiver. The web interface included live video of both the caregiver and clinician as well as a chat window to facilitate communication and caregiver participation in interactive activities."

---

5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1], whether and how it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback" [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

Yes; "The number of sessions and topics delivered were determined jointly by the caregiver and clinician, with the teaching information and skills individually tailored to the caregiver. During each session, the caregiver presented problems that arose from caring for the patient and elaborated with specific examples. The clinician offered training and guidance on appropriate methods to manage the problems identified."
5-ix) Describe use parameters
Describe use parameters (e.g., intended "doses" and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement
Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as "type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered". It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer
**5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used**

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important |   |   |   |   |   |
| essential                     |   |   |   |   |   |

**Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? * **

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Not applicable to the study

---

**5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)**

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important |   |   |   |   |   |
| essential                     |   |   |   |   |   |

**Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? * **

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Not applicable to the study

---
6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "Assessments, including of TF events, were evaluated at baseline and at 3, 6, and 12 months. Patient illness self-management was evaluated with the self-reported Illness Management and Recovery (IMR) scale [25]. This self-reported scale contains 15 questions, each of which is answered on a 5-point Likert scale with higher scores indicating better recovery status. The IMR total score (range 15-75) is derived as the sum of the 15 item scores. Severity of psychotic symptoms was rated with the Clinical Global Impression of Severity (CGI-S) scale [26] by a member of the patient's treatment team (not a family clinician) who was not masked to treatment assignment. The CGI-S rating scale rates the severity of a participant's psychotic condition based on a 7-point global assessment of symptom severity from 1 (normal, not ill) to 7 (most extremely ill). Caregiver-reported assessments were conducted at the same times as patient assessments. The Involvement Evaluation Questionnaire (IEQ) [27] was used to measure caregiver distress and the 12-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-12) [28] was used to measure overall perceived physical health (physical component score [PCS]) and mental health (mental health component score [MCS]). The IEQ is designed to measure the consequences of caregiving on family members and friends of patients with schizophrenia. All items are scored on a scale of 0 (never) to 4 (always) and the total score ranges from 0 to 108. Higher IEQ scores indicate higher levels of caregiver burden. The SF-12 is a self-administered 12-item questionnaire designed to cover eight domains of functional health status and well-being: physical functioning, role limitations due to physical health problems, bodily pain, general health perceptions, vitality, social functioning, role limitations due to emotional problems, and mental health. These scales are scored from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating better health. A 1-week recall period was used for PCS and MCS."
6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed.

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Your answer

6a-ii) Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored.

Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Your answer
6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained
Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important 〇 〇 〇 〇 〇 essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Your answer

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to the study

7a) How sample size was determined
NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed
7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important |   |   |   |   | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to the study

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence

NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes; "After screening, caregivers were randomly assigned 1:1 to SPPE or UC, stratified by patient antipsychotic treatment (paliperidone palmitate or oral antipsychotic; Figure 1)."

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Not applicable to the study

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Once eligibility criteria were confirmed at the baseline visit, the site randomized the patient/caregiver using the Parexel Interactive Web Response System (IWRS). Receipt of the Randomization and group assignment was then sent electronically to Site and Healios via the Parexel IWRS.
10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Once eligibility criteria were confirmed at the baseline visit, the site randomized the patient/caregiver using the Parexel Interactive Web Response System (IWRS). Receipt of the Randomization and group assignment was then sent electronically to Site and Healios via the Parexel IWRS.

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn’t

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn’t. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Not applicable to the study
11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions
(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to the study

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes
NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes; “The primary efficacy endpoint was the mean cumulative number of TF events experienced by patients over the 12-month study period. A proportional means model using the mean cumulative function was used to assess the between-group difference in the mean cumulative number of TF events over 12 months. The mean cumulative function, as a function of time, was defined as the expected (mean) number of TF events in a given time interval since study day 1. Mean cumulative function for recurrent events and Kaplan–Meier (for time to first event) analyses were performed for overall TF due to any event and for TF due to each of the events specified in the definition of TF. For secondary outcomes, changes from baseline to 3, 6, and 12 months in IEQ, IMR, SF-12, and CGI-S scores were analyzed using mixed-model repeated-measures methodology with terms for study group, time, study group-by-time interaction, and baseline score. Additionally, treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) were presented by treatment group (defined by the antipsychotic medication at baseline: paliperidone palmitate or oral antipsychotics).

The TF rate in the control group was assumed to be 0.50 based on a previous study with a similar endpoint [29]. The effect size in terms of risk ratio of 0.60 was obtained from a meta-analysis of 18 randomized controlled studies examining the effect of face-to-face psychoeducation for caregivers on similar endpoints [30].”

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **subitem not at all important** | O | O | O | O | essential |
Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to the study

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to the study

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important |   |   |   |   |   |
| essential                   |   |   |   |   |   |
Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "The study protocol was approved by an institutional review board and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and consistent with Good Clinical Practices and applicable regulatory requirements. Patients and/or their legally acceptable representatives provided written informed consent."

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures

Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.?), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "Patients and/or their legally acceptable representatives provided written informed consent."

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures

Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; “A total of 170 patient–caregiver pairs were screened in the study, and 151 were randomly assigned to SPPE or UC; of these, 148 patient–caregiver pairs were included in the all-randomized analysis set (SPPE, n=73; UC, n=75; Figure 2).”
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "Ninety-six of 148 (65%) patients and 94 of 148 (64%) caregivers completed 12 months of follow-up; 52 of 148 (35%) patients and 54 of 148 (36%) caregivers discontinued participation before 12 months (Figure 2)."

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; Figure 2 is the attrition diagram

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "The study was initiated on July 24, 2015, and completed on July 5, 2018"; Figure 1

14a-i) Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period
Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or "changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources"

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "Due to difficulties in study enrollment, recruitment was discontinued before the target enrollment of 300 pairs was met, resulting in underpowered statistical analyses."
15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group
NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; Table 1 (Demographics and Baseline Characteristics)

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues
In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No; the study team did not consider digital divide issues in planning the protocol.

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups
16-i) Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions
Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions: Report N's (and effect sizes) “across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds” [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants “used” the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define “use” of the intervention.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; “A total of 170 patient–caregiver pairs were screened in the study, and 151 were randomly assigned to SPPE or UC; of these, 148 patient–caregiver pairs were included in the all-randomized analysis set (SPPE, n=73; UC, n=75; Figure 2). Ninety-six of 148 (65%) patients and 94 of 148 (64%) caregivers completed 12 months of follow-up; 52 of 148 (35%) patients and 54 of 148 (36%) caregivers discontinued participation before 12 months (Figure 2)."

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat
Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only “users”, with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Yes; "Eighty-nine TF events occurred during the study, 44 (70%) in SPPE and 45 (67%) in UC. TF rates were not associated with baseline CGI-S scores and did not differ between the SPPE and UC groups (P=.90) (Figure 4A). Most TF events were due to psychiatric hospitalization (n=61, 69%) or psychiatric emergency department visits (n=13, 15%). Post hoc analyses also showed lower relapse rates in patients who received paliperidone palmitate compared with those who received oral antipsychotics at all time points (Figure 4B). Caregiver IEQ total scores, SF-12 PCS and MCS scores, and patient IMR total scores and CGI-S scores all improved from baseline to the follow-up assessments for both the SPPE and UC groups (Table 4). However, there were no statistically significant differences in change from baseline between groups at any timepoints (P>.05 for all comparisons). Similar decreases from baseline in HRU at months 6 and 12 were observed in the SPPE and UC groups."
17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as “average session length”. These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a “session” is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important |  |  |  |  | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to the study

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes; "Exploratory post hoc analyses were performed to investigate whether higher levels of caregiver participation in the SPPE intervention were associated with improved patient TF outcomes. There was no significant difference in mean number of TF due to any event between caregivers who received more than eight sessions versus the overall UC group (36% vs 37%; P=0.757). In the SPPE group, TF rates were notably higher in patients whose caregivers received at least one session compared with patients of caregivers who received 15 to 16 sessions (77% [10/13] vs 33% [4/12]) (Table 3)."

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Your answer

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group
(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "Of 148 patients, 84 reported at least 1 TEAE during the study (Multimedia Appendix 2). No TEAEs were considered related to study-specific procedures. Three deaths were reported (1 suicide, 1 drug overdose, and 1 cerebral hemorrhage), all in the UC group; none were considered related to trial-specific procedures. Safety in the paliperidone palmitate group was consistent with the known safety profile of paliperidone palmitate in adults, with no new events identified [31-33]."

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical “harm” to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. “Unintended effects” also includes unintended positive effects [2].

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important | | | | | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer
19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers
Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.





subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence
NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)
Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).





subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○ essential
Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes; "No differences were observed over the 12-month study period between the SPPE and UC groups in either patient outcomes (treatment failures such as relapse, illness management, change in clinical functioning) or caregiver outcomes (burden, physical and mental health functioning), with both groups showing significant improvement. This study aimed to fill a gap in the evidence base for FP by providing information on the effects of FP delivered specifically to caregivers using a telehealth-based platform. FP programs share several common characteristics, but can vary considerably in length, setting, and content [34]. Although the results of this study did not show a benefit of the FP intervention at the level of exposure reached, consideration of the study limitations and additional key insights is important for continued development of efficacious telehealth FP interventions."

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research
Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

1  2  3  4  5
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○  essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Your answer

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses
20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | essential |
|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
|   |   |   |   |   | subitem not at all important |
Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "Studies of caregiver-directed psychosocial interventions with positive outcomes have typically been longer (mean of 57 weeks) and have provided more overall sessions (mean of 28 sessions) than the present study [30]. The duration of the SPPE program was also shorter than the minimum duration of nine months recommended for FP by some experts [8, 34]. However, other factors may have also played a role in the null results. Among the caregivers assigned to SPPE, 26% received either 0 or 1 session of the intervention, and findings of exploratory analyses suggest that the relatively low level of participation in SPPE may have contributed to the negative findings. Furthermore, for caregivers who were engaged in SPPE, the psychoeducational modules that focused on relapse prevention, schizophrenia, and treatment adherence were received by fewer than 50% of caregivers, despite the relevance of these topics to coping with a recent TF experienced by a family member. Therefore, limited participation in SPPE and limited attention to psychoeducation about relapse prevention might have resulted in caregivers receiving insufficient information about caring for someone with schizophrenia. Most published studies of FP have evaluated models that included the patient in the intervention. Since the inception of FP in the 1970s, several models have evolved to meet the needs of families, including FP and support [35, 36], behavioral family therapy [37], and multifamily group therapy [38]. Studies of in-person family- and caregiver-focused psychoeducation programs have shown significant benefits over UC [6, 7, 30]. A meta-analysis of 18 randomized controlled trials of caregiver-directed psychosocial interventions for schizophrenia demonstrated significant improvements, compared to UC, in hospitalizations, relapse, and other patient outcomes, including visits to emergency departments, suicide attempts, and deaths [30]. A meta-analysis of 21 randomized controlled trials of interventions for informal caregivers found improved experience of caring, increased quality of life, and reduced psychological distress among caregivers [7]. In the FIRST study, patients were not directly involved in the SPPE program; caregivers were the primary focus. It is possible that including both caregivers and patients in sessions has greater potential to improve outcomes over treatment with UC [19, 20]. Furthermore, caregivers enrolled in the SPPE intervention were expected to identify their own educational needs and guide treatment by selecting most of the educational modules taught in the program. Research has shown that individuals often misjudge their knowledge or competence [39, 40]. An unexpectedly large percentage of caregivers (37%) discontinued participation in the study. The most common reasons for discontinuation were withdrawal of consent (n=17, 12%), other (n=17, 12%; which included administrative reasons [eg, lost to follow-up, noncompliance with study procedures] and personal reasons [eg, moved out of town, no longer serving as caregiver]), lost to follow-up (n=13, 9%), and physician decision (n=5, 3%). Although caregiver demographic factors were similar between those who discontinued and those who completed the study, 81% of caregivers who completed the study were parents of the patient, compared to only 64% of caregivers who dropped out. It is possible that parents of patients may have been more committed and motivated to continue the study than caregivers who were not parents of the patient. Additionally, per protocol, when a patient discontinued participation in the study, their caregivers were also discontinued. This may have also contributed to the high discontinuation rate among caregivers. Baseline characteristics of caregivers in the FIRST study may help identify..."
caregivers. Baseline characteristics of caregivers in the FIRST study may help identify caregivers likely to sufficiently engage with a telehealth-based SPPE intervention and those who may need additional support to fully engage. In a post hoc analysis of the SPPE group comparing baseline characteristics of caregivers receiving ≤8 sessions with those receiving >8 sessions (Multimedia Appendix 1), caregivers who received >8 sessions were more likely to be older and the parent of the individual with schizophrenia. Furthermore, except for the IEQ subscale score of “worrying,” the baseline IEQ total and subscale scores were lower among those who received >8 sessions, indicating lower caregiver burden. It is possible that caregivers with higher burden may have been too distressed to engage in the program, regardless of the convenience of internet-based access to interventions and dropped out early. As noted earlier, caregivers who discontinued participation within the first 12 months of the FIRST study were also more likely to be nonparent relatives with poorer health (Table 1). This finding may help future researchers develop “adherence to treatment” strategies that may improve attendance, engagement, and continuous caregiver involvement.

Another limitation of the study was that the sample size was smaller than intended, which may have impacted the ability to draw specific conclusions. Additionally, patients were eligible for enrollment only if they had experienced at least one TF within 6 months of screening, indicating a high degree of clinical severity, and the observed TF rate in the FIRST study was higher than expected for comparable studies with similar sample sizes. The recovery period following a TF event (eg, psychiatric hospitalization) may be a particularly vulnerable period that requires an additional level of support not examined in this study to facilitate better outcomes. Furthermore, the median age of patients in the FIRST study was 25.0 years, indicating that they were also early in the course of their illness. Typically, many patients have difficulty accepting their diagnosis [41] and experience high levels of stress, mood symptoms, and suicidal ideation during early illness [6]. The risk of relapse is very high during this period and can predict disease progression [6]. Implementing effective interventions early to prevent repeated relapses may reduce associated declines in cognition and functioning [6]. The SPPE intervention was delivered across many study sites [31], which differed in standard services provided for both the SPPE and UC groups. Another limitation of the implementation of the SPPE intervention is that the clinician provided by MyHealios was not a member of the treatment team, so progress in the program was not integrated with patient care. This also precluded the ability of the clinician to relay potentially important clinical information learned from the caregiver to the treatment team about changes in the patient’s condition (eg, emergence of early signs of relapse, treatment nonadherence). The results of this study coincide with a critical moment for telehealth interventions. Although telehealth interventions were only used by 8% of Americans in 2019, engagement with telehealth has grown dramatically in acceptance amidst the COVID-19 pandemic [42, 43]. For example, in one community mental health authority in Michigan (Network180), the rates of telehealth services increased from 5% before the pandemic to 84% during the peak of the pandemic in 2020 [44]. Additionally, many mental health professionals have recommended the ethical use of telehealth interventions to provide continued support and care to patients and caregivers throughout the pandemic rather than in-person interventions, noting that telehealth support can be just as effective and may result in fewer missed visits [45-47]. Insights on best practices for virtual delivery of mental health interventions are critically needed and new models are under development [48]. Further research using FP methods, taking the lessons learned from the FIRST study into account, is warranted.”
21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings
NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

21-i) Generalizability to other populations
Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ● ● ● ● ● essential

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting
Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ● ● ● ● ● essential
Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "FIRST (NCT02600741) was a randomized controlled trial of patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders and their caregivers that was conducted to evaluate the overall effect of caregiver-focused SPPE and skills training compared with UC on the number of treatment failure (TF) events in patients (Figure 1)...Further details of the study design can be accessed at the ClinicalTrials.gov page for the FIRST study [24]."

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *
Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes; "Further details of the study design can be accessed at the ClinicalTrials.gov page for the FIRST study [24]."
25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes; "This study was funded by Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC."

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)

X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated
In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important

essential
Does your paper address subitem X27-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes; “Kim T Mueser has no disclosures to report. Eric D Achtyes has received consulting fees from F. Hoffmann–La Roche; served on advisory boards for Indivior, Janssen, Neurocrine Biosciences, Sunovion, and Otsuka/Lundbeck; received research support from Alkermes, Astellas, Avanir, Biogen, Boehringer Ingelheim, InnateVR, Janssen, National Network of Depression Centers, Neurocrine Biosciences, Novartis, Pear Therapeutics, Pine Rest Foundation, Otsuka, Takeda, and Vanguard Research Group; has owned stock in AstraZeneca, Johnson & Johnson, Pfizer, Moderna, and served as an investigator on this study but was not paid to be an author on this manuscript. Jagadish Gogate, Branislav Mancevski, and H Lynn Starr are employees of Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, and stockholders of Johnson & Johnson, Inc. Edward Kim is a former employee of Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, and stockholder of Johnson & Johnson, Inc.”
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