Nutritional composition of herbage of different Jerusalem artichoke genotypes
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ABSTRACT. This study was conducted to investigate chemical composition, mineral contents and in vitro gas-methane productions of post-harvest herbage of different Jerusalem artichoke genotypes. In total, 16 different Jerusalem artichoke genotypes were used in the present experiments. Plants were harvested during the tuber harvests. Present findings revealed that Jerusalem artichoke herbage could offer a good source of fibre and minerals for ruminants. Crude protein contents varied between 5.82–13.36%, ether extract between 0.65–2.42%, condensed tannins between 0.95–1.67%, acid detergent fibre (ADF) between 31.67–45.71%, neutral detergent fibre (NDF) between 38.77–53.27% and crude ash between 9.89–16.85%. Total gas and methane productions respectively varied between 26.06–46.12 ml and 3.81–8.96 ml, metabolizable energy (ME) between 5.82–8.52 MJ/kg dry matter (DM), organic matter digestibility (OMD) between 43.30–60.20% and net energy for lactation (NE₅,₇) between 2.65–4.93 MJ/kg DM. Macro- and microelements contents of the majority of the Jerusalem artichoke genotypes were greater than legumes and Gramineae forage species. It was concluded that Jerusalem artichoke herbage had a rich nutritional composition and could offer a good source of roughage for ruminants especially in dry and lactation periods.

Introduction

Besides pasture and meadow grass as a roughage source, various other Gramineae, leguminous species and green fodders are used in animal feeding (Aksu Elmali and Kaya, 2012). Especially the use of plant species able to grow in regions with harsh climate and soil conditions is considered as a reliable way of using such lands and overcoming difficulties encountered in the animal feed supply (Ma et al., 2011; Razmikhah et al., 2017).

Jerusalem artichoke (Helianthus tuberosus L.), belonging to Asteraceae family, is a tuber crop native to central North America and grown in various parts of the world (Gunnarsson et al., 2014). It is commonly used in human nutrition and animal feeding (Losavio et al., 1996). This vegetable was adapted to different climate and soil conditions and is able to grow at different pH ranges (4.5–8.2) and in saline soils. Resistance to pests and diseases, no need for excessive fertilization and high yields have made Jerusalem artichoke a significant species in low-input agriculture (Slimestad et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2010). Plant leaves and stems (above ground sections) were used in feeding domestic animals in the previous century (Becker and Nehring, 1969). The potential use of this plant, which for a long time has been forgotten in ruminant feeding, has recently
been re-explored (Razmkhah et al., 2017). Plant height, tuber colour, number of branches, stems and leaves vary with the plant genetics, soil types and climate conditions (Szpunar-Krok et al., 2016).

Jerusalem artichoke herbage has about 6–9% crude protein (CP) content at harvest, it is insufficient in P and rich in Ca, Mg and K (Seiler, 1988). It was reported that Jerusalem artichoke herbage with high/medium nutritional composition, metabolic energy content and satisfactory digestion parameters may constitute a reliable roughage source for ruminants (Ersahince and Kara, 2017). The nutritional composition of Jerusalem artichoke tubers and herbage vary significantly with the cultivars (Seiler and Campbell, 2004). Nutritional composition, metabolic energy and digestibility of Jerusalem artichoke silage or herbage should be investigated for the potential use of this plant in ruminant diets (Karsli and Bingol, 2009).

The *in vitro* gas production technique is a rapid, easy and cost-effective method, thus commonly used to determine potential nutritive value, chemical composition and mineral content of feeds (Kaplan et al., 2014). Anaerobic fermentation of forage and high-fibre feed generates methane that contributes to global warming and waste of feed energy. Recent researches thus have focused on methane emission levels of the forages (Lin et al., 2013; Kara et al., 2018). Different outcomes were reported about methanogenic effects and anti-methanogenic compound contents of different roughage sources (Kara et al., 2016; 2018).

The studies on Jerusalem artichoke herbage mostly focused on *in vitro* digestion, methanogenic effects, nutrient contents, ruminant digestibility and rumen fermentation at different plant growth stages (Ersahince and Kara, 2017) and quality traits of Jerusalem artichoke silages (Karsli and Bingol, 2009). However, there are not comprehensive studies about the nutritional composition of different Jerusalem artichoke genotypes. Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the chemical composition, mineral contents, gas-methane production, net energy for lactation, metabolic energy and organic matter digestibility of post-harvest green herbage of different Jerusalem artichoke genotypes and to compare the genotypes in terms of these traits.

**Material and methods**

**Plant material**

Sixteen different Jerusalem artichoke accessions collected from nine provinces of Turkey constituted the plant material of this study (Table 1). All genotypes were found to be different morphologically as presented by Hanci and Tuncer (2019).

Accessions were collected in November 2018. Tubers of each accession were stored in a refrigerator until March 2019. Then, three tubers of each accession were planted in a 10-litre pot and grown until November 2019.

**Chemical analysis**

Sampling was performed during the tuber harvest. Jerusalem artichoke fresh herbage was dried at 70 °C for 48 h and ground to pass a 1-mm sieve. The crude ash content of the samples was determined through ashing samples at 550 °C for 8 h. Ether extract content was determined with the use of a Soxhlet device (VELP Solvent Extractors SER 148/6; VELP Scientific, Bohemia, NY, USA). Nitrogen (N) content was measured by the Kjeldahl method. The crude protein content of samples was calculated as N × 6.25 (AOAC International, 2005). Cell wall components: acid detergent fibre (ADF) and neutral detergent fibre (NDF) content were determined with the use of an ANKOM 200 Fiber Analyzer (ANKOM Technology Corp., Fairport, NY, USA) in accordance with the method specified by Van Soest et al. (1991). Condensed tannin content was determined with the use of butanol-HCl method (Makkar et al., 1995).

**Mineral analyses**

About 0.5 g of dry samples were taken from the plants to determine minerals content. Ten ml of nitric acid + perchloric acid mixture was added to samples and subjected to wet digestion until obtaining 1-ml samples. Following the digestion procedure, resultant solutions were diluted with distilled water and readings were performed in an inductively coupled plasma spectrophotometer (ICP-OES) (Optima 4300 DV; Perkin-Elmer, Shelton, CT, USA) to determine P, K, Ca, Mg, Na, Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu and B contents in the samples (AOAC International, 2005).

**In vitro Hohenheim gas production technique**

Rumen fluid was obtained from two beef cattle (Simmental breed, at 16 months of age and about

---

**Table 1. Sample number and geographical origin of Jerusalem artichoke accessions**

| Sample | Collection area | Tuber colour | Sample | Collection area | Tuber colour |
|--------|-----------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|--------------|
| 38-1   | Kayseri/Incesu   | yellow       | 33-1   | Merseir         | yellow       |
| 40-2   | Kırsehir        | yellow       | 40-1   | Kırsehir        | yellow       |
| 06-1   | Ankara/Beybazan | red          | 40-3   | Kırsehir        | yellow       |
| 07-1   | Antalya/Alanya   | yellow       | 50-1   | Nevşehir/Gülşehir | yellow     |
| 19-1   | Çorum/Sungurlu   | red          | 50-3   | Nevşehir/Avanos  | yellow       |
| 19-2   | Çorum/Sungurlu   | yellow       | 50-4   | Nevşehir/Urgup   | yellow       |
| 19-3   | Çorum/Ferizli   | yellow       | 58-1   | Sivas/Gemerek   | red          |
| 19-4   | Çorum           | red          | 66-2   | Yozgat/Boğazyan | red          |
670 kg body weight) fed diet containing roughage feed (approximately 20% of total mix feed on a dry matter (DM) basis) and concentrate feed (approximately 80% of total mix feed on a DM basis). Rumen fluid was collected 4 h after morning feeding. Rumen fluid was collected into a thermoste pre-filled with water at 39 °C under CO₂ gas, and filtered with 4 layers of cheesecloth in the laboratory. The technique was carried out according to the procedures of Menke and Steingass (1988). The plant samples were incubated in rumen fluid and buffer mixture in 100-ml glass syringes (Model Fortuna, Wertheim, Germany). One litre of buffer mixture included 474 ml of bi-distilled water, 237.33 ml of macro-mineral solution (5.7 g of NaHPO₄, 6.2 g of KH₂PO₄ and 0.6 g of MgSO₄ in 1 l of bi-distilled water), 237.33 ml of buffer solution (35 g of NaHCO₃ and 4 g of NH₄HCO₃ in 1 l of bi-distilled water), 0.12 ml of trace-mineral solution (13.2 g of CaCl₂ • 2H₂O, 10 g of MnCl₂ • 4H₂O, 1 g of CoCl₂ • 6H₂O and 0.8 g of FeCl₃ • 6H₂O in 100 ml of bi-distilled water), 1.22 ml of resazurine solution (0.1 g of resazurine in 100 ml of bi-distilled water) and 50 ml of reducing solution (285 mg of Na₂S • 7H₂O and 4 ml of 1N NaOH in 96 ml of bi-distilled water) (Kara et al., 2016). Dried plant samples (200 ± 10 mg) were weighed in triplicates in glass syringes. The 30 ml of the rumen fluid and buffer mixture at a 1:2 (v/v) ratio were added to each syringe. In addition, three blank syringes (blank syringes; rumen fluid + buffer mixture) were used to calculate the total gas production. After closing the clips on the silicon tube, the syringes were gently shaken, and the clips were opened to remove gas by pushing the piston upwards to achieve complete gas removal. The clip was closed, the initial volume recorded, and the syringes were incubated in a water bath at 39 °C for up to 24 h.

**Determination of total gas and methane production**

Within incubation, the total gas volume was recorded from the calibrated scale on the syringe for 24 h. After measuring the total gas volume at 24 h, the tubing of the plastic syringe outlet was inserted into the inlet of the methane analyzer (Sensor Europe GmbH, Erkrath, Germany) and the piston was pushed to insert the accumulated gas into the analyzer. The methane as a percentage (%) of the total gas was displayed on a personal computer. This value was used for the calculation of methane in the total gas volume (Kara et al., 2015).

**Metabolic energy and organic matter digestibility**

The metabolizable energy (ME) and organic matter digestibility (OMD) of the samples were calculated with the following equations (Menke and Steingass, 1988):

\[ ME (MJ/kg DM) = 2.20 + 0.136 \times GP + 0.0057 \times CP + 0.00029 \times EE \]

\[ OMD (% DM) = 14.88 + 0.889 \times GP + 0.45 \times CP + 0.0651 \times ash. \]

The net energy for lactation (NEₜ) was calculated according to the method of Blümmel and Ørskov (1993):

\[ NEₜ (MJ/kg DM) = 0.115 \times GP + 0.0054 \times CP + 0.014 \times EE - 0.0054 \times ash - 0.36; \]

where: GP – 24 h net gas production (ml/200 mg DM), CP – crude protein (% DM), ash – ash content (%DM) and EE – ether extract (%DM).

**Data analysis**

Experimental data were subjected to analysis of variance in accordance with the randomized plots design with the use of SAS software (SAS 9.0; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Significant means were compared with the use of LSD test (P ≤ 0.01).

**Results**

There were highly significant differences among genotypes in nutrients contents (P ≤ 0.01) (Table 2). Either extract of the genotypes varied between 0.65–2.42%, condensed tannin between 0.95–
1.67%, ADF between 31.67–45.71%, NDF between 38.77–53.27%, crude protein (CP) between 5.82–13.36% and crude ash between 9.89–16.85%. The greatest CP contents were obtained for 19-1, 19-3 and 38-1 genotypes.

There were significant differences in gas-methane productions, ME, OMD and NE\textsubscript{L} values among the examined genotypes (P < 0.01). The lowest values of these parameters were obtained for 38-1 genotype and the greatest values were obtained for 33-1 genotype. Gas production varied between 26.06–46.12 ml, methane production between 3.81–8.96 ml, ME values between 5.82–8.52 MJ/kg DM, OMD values between 43.30–60.20% and NE\textsubscript{L} values between 2.65–4.93 MJ/kg DM (Table 3).

Table 3. Gas and methane productions (GP and CH\textsubscript{4}, respectively), metabolizable energy (ME), organic matter digestibility (OMD) and net energy for lactation (NE\textsubscript{L}) of Jerusalem artichoke genotypes

| Indices | GP, ml | CH\textsubscript{4}, % | CH\textsubscript{4}, ml | ME, MJ/kg DM | OMD, % | NE\textsubscript{L}, MJ/kg DM |
|---------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|
| Genotypes |        |                 |                 |              |        |                 |
| 06-1    | 43.04\textsuperscript{a} | 6.19\textsuperscript{a} | 14.38\textsuperscript{a} | 8.09\textsuperscript{a} | 57.30\textsuperscript{a} | 4.57\textsuperscript{a} |
| 07-1    | 31.96\textsuperscript{a} | 5.10\textsuperscript{a} | 15.98\textsuperscript{a} | 6.58\textsuperscript{a} | 46.69\textsuperscript{a} | 3.30\textsuperscript{a} |
| 19-1    | 27.93\textsuperscript{a} | 4.47\textsuperscript{a} | 15.99\textsuperscript{a} | 6.07\textsuperscript{a} | 45.93\textsuperscript{a} | 2.86\textsuperscript{a} |
| 19-2    | 35.17\textsuperscript{a} | 5.72\textsuperscript{a} | 16.27\textsuperscript{a} | 7.03\textsuperscript{a} | 50.88\textsuperscript{a} | 3.68\textsuperscript{a} |
| 19-3    | 40.24\textsuperscript{a} | 6.59\textsuperscript{a} | 16.50\textsuperscript{a} | 7.75\textsuperscript{a} | 57.76\textsuperscript{a} | 4.28\textsuperscript{a} |
| 19-4    | 40.45\textsuperscript{a} | 6.83\textsuperscript{a} | 16.88\textsuperscript{a} | 7.76\textsuperscript{a} | 56.09\textsuperscript{a} | 4.29\textsuperscript{a} |
| 33-1    | 46.12\textsuperscript{a} | 8.96\textsuperscript{a} | 19.42\textsuperscript{a} | 8.52\textsuperscript{a} | 60.20\textsuperscript{a} | 4.93\textsuperscript{a} |
| 38-1    | 26.06\textsuperscript{a} | 3.81\textsuperscript{a} | 14.62\textsuperscript{a} | 5.80\textsuperscript{a} | 43.30\textsuperscript{a} | 2.65\textsuperscript{a} |
| 40-1    | 27.27\textsuperscript{a} | 4.64\textsuperscript{a} | 16.99\textsuperscript{a} | 5.96\textsuperscript{a} | 44.01\textsuperscript{a} | 2.77\textsuperscript{a} |
| 50-1    | 39.98\textsuperscript{a} | 6.83\textsuperscript{a} | 17.09\textsuperscript{a} | 7.68\textsuperscript{a} | 54.44\textsuperscript{a} | 4.21\textsuperscript{a} |
| 50-3    | 39.31\textsuperscript{a} | 6.45\textsuperscript{a} | 16.39\textsuperscript{a} | 7.59\textsuperscript{a} | 53.81\textsuperscript{a} | 4.14\textsuperscript{a} |
| 50-4    | 39.57\textsuperscript{a} | 6.59\textsuperscript{a} | 16.49\textsuperscript{a} | 7.63\textsuperscript{a} | 54.98\textsuperscript{a} | 4.18\textsuperscript{a} |
| 58-1    | 40.43\textsuperscript{a} | 6.71\textsuperscript{a} | 16.60\textsuperscript{a} | 7.74\textsuperscript{a} | 55.39\textsuperscript{a} | 4.28\textsuperscript{a} |
| 66-2    | 28.39\textsuperscript{a} | 4.87\textsuperscript{a} | 17.14\textsuperscript{a} | 6.10\textsuperscript{a} | 44.06\textsuperscript{a} | 2.87\textsuperscript{a} |
| 40-2    | 35.36\textsuperscript{a} | 5.79\textsuperscript{a} | 16.35\textsuperscript{a} | 7.06\textsuperscript{a} | 51.59\textsuperscript{a} | 3.70\textsuperscript{a} |
| 40-3    | 40.20\textsuperscript{a} | 6.42\textsuperscript{a} | 15.95\textsuperscript{a} | 7.70\textsuperscript{a} | 54.42\textsuperscript{a} | 4.24\textsuperscript{a} |

P-value

LSD 1.52 0.56 1.16 0.21 1.48 0.17

DM – dry matter; * – means with different superscripts within each column are significantly different at P ≤ 0.05; ** – P ≤ 0.01; LSD – least significant difference

There were quite significant differences in the macroelements contents among the examined genotypes. K contents varied between 2392–33053 ppm DM, Mg contents between 2693–6736 ppm DM, Ca contents between 16474–45233 ppm DM, Na contents between 269–583 ppm DM, P contents between 558–2933 ppm DM and S contents varied between 804–2313 ppm DM. The greatest K content was obtained for 06-1, 07-1, 19-2 and 50-4 genotypes. The greatest Mg content was stated for 19-2 genotype and it was followed by 33-1, 06-1 and 50-1 genotypes. The greatest S content was obtained for 1, 19-3, 19-4, 33-1, 50-1, 50-4 and 66-2 genotypes were also placed in the same statistical group. The lowest Mn content (57.9 ppm DM) was obtained for 50-4 genotype but it did not differ statistically from 19-2, 50-3 and 50-4 genotypes. The greatest Mn content (97.2 ppm DM) was obtained for 33-1 genotype but it did not differ statistically from 19-2, 50-3 and 50-4 genotypes. The greatest Ca content was obtained for 50-1 (2741.4 ppm DM) and the lowest B content (35.9 ppm DM) was obtained for 38-1 genotype whereas the lowest Fe content was obtained for 50-3 (279.9 ppm DM) and 58-1 (291.1 ppm DM) genotypes. Cu contents varied between 103–122 ppm DM with the lowest value for 58-1 genotype; however 07-1, 19-2, 38-1, 40-1, 50-3, 40-2 and 40-3 genotypes were also placed in the same statistical group. The greatest value of Cu was stated for 19-4 genotype, but 06-
Table 4. Mineral contents of Jerusalem artichoke genotypes

| Indices | K  | Mg | Ca  | Na | P | S | B  | Cu | Fe | Mn | Zn |
|---------|----|----|-----|----|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|
| Genotypes | ppm/DM | ppm/DM | ppm/DM | ppm/DM | ppm/DM | ppm/DM | ppm/DM | ppm/DM | ppm/DM | ppm/DM | ppm/DM |
| 06-1 | 33053 | 6478 | 21568 | 438 | 1373 | 1070 | 72.9 | 116.1 | 484.8 | 90.3 | 55.6 |
| 07-1 | 27568 | 4554 | 28581 | 313 | 890 | 1029 | 75.0 | 109.6 | 486.7 | 93.8 | 48.1 |
| 19-1 | 30918 | 5687 | 25928 | 268 | 786 | 1774 | 67.4 | 112.6 | 1034.9 | 88.2 | 41.4 |
| 19-2 | 25419 | 6736 | 24048 | 436 | 879 | 2044 | 66.7 | 110.1 | 370.5 | 63.8 | 44.9 |
| 19-3 | 24842 | 7674 | 16474 | 265 | 1322 | 1080 | 51.1 | 114.5 | 2366.2 | 72.7 | 38.5 |
| 19-4 | 24781 | 5151 | 37605 | 422 | 1046 | 1266 | 89.3 | 122.0 | 1698.8 | 93.4 | 49.1 |
| 33-1 | 22768 | 6498 | 45233 | 508 | 1113 | 2313 | 68.9 | 114.3 | 1075.3 | 97.2 | 44.0 |
| 38-1 | 24291 | 3141 | 20112 | 583 | 1353 | 1506 | 35.9 | 107.1 | 595.8 | 91.5 | 34.9 |
| 40-1 | 22570 | 5641 | 23810 | 324 | 890 | 1006 | 63.9 | 103.5 | 568.6 | 78.3 | 46.9 |
| 50-1 | 24669 | 6407 | 27955 | 501 | 1332 | 1119 | 98.3 | 114.8 | 2741.4 | 81.7 | 63.8 |
| 50-3 | 31814 | 4891 | 18678 | 312 | 829 | 1318 | 55.3 | 108.1 | 279.9 | 58.8 | 40.5 |
| 50-4 | 31549 | 4884 | 32364 | 388 | 2222 | 2002 | 57.2 | 114.8 | 383.2 | 57.9 | 63.1 |
| 58-1 | 22344 | 5607 | 29066 | 286 | 558 | 804 | 75.8 | 103.0 | 291.2 | 83.3 | 44.5 |
| 66-2 | 23581 | 2693 | 16699 | 408 | 1793 | 1219 | 45.1 | 116.4 | 2150.5 | 74.6 | 31.3 |
| 40-2 | 20392 | 5027 | 33858 | 382 | 903 | 1019 | 46.2 | 107.9 | 496.5 | 73.6 | 59.1 |
| 40-3 | 24302 | 4452 | 20353 | 545 | 2933 | 1082 | 49.4 | 107.5 | 871.8 | 70.6 | 51.5 |
| P-value | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| LSD | 2142.80 | 414.36 | 2196.60 | 34.34 | 111.64 | 121.40 | 4.92 | 8.96 | 88.65 | 6.31 | 3.75 |

**P** – means with different superscripts within the same column are significantly different at **P ≤ 0.05**; **P ≤ 0.01**; LSD – least significant difference

Discussion

The present study was conducted to compare the nutritional composition of herbage of different Jerusalem artichoke genotypes and significant differences were observed in the nutrient contents among the examined genotypes. Crude protein contents varied between 6.59–16.56%. It was reported that significant differences were observed in CP contents based on genotypes and harvest time (Seiler and Campbell, 2004; Erşahince and Kara, 2017). Khair Moh’D et al. (2000) indicated that CP content of feeds supplied to lactating sheep should be at least 7–9% to meet the survival ratio and at least 10–12% to meet the yield ratio. The majority of Jerusalem artichoke herbage used in the present study was within these ranges. Present CP values were similar to the values obtained by Erşahince and Kara (2017), Kaya and Bingol, (2009) and Papi et al. (2019).

According to ADF-based quality classification of Rohweder et al. (1978), present Jerusalem artichoke genotypes were classified as either the first or the second quality. Although present ADF and NDF greatly varied within the genotypes, current values were similar to the values obtained by Erşahince and Kara (2017), Karsli and Bingol, (2009) and Papi et al. (2019).

Plant oils contain essential nutrients, thus constitute a high-quality foodstuff and animal feed (Mehmood et al., 2008). Plant oils are not fixed and may vary with plant genetics, ecological factors, morphological traits and cultural practices (Baydar, 2000). Crude fat plays a significant role in the metabolic energy of the feeds (Menke and Steingass, 1993). The presence of tannin and saponin-like secondary metabolites also influences fermentation-induced gas production (Kondo et al., 2014; Kara, 2016). Methane production of Jerusalem artichoke genotypes and in vitro methane produced throughout the digestion of herbage were observed as expected (Kara et al., 2016). The methane-reduction potential of
Jerusalem artichoke genotypes examined in the present study was classified as low anti-methanogenic according to the classification of López et al. (2010). Anti-methanogenic characteristics of Jerusalem artichoke samples play a significant role in animal feeding and have significant effects also on the environment. Fermentation-induced enteric methane contributes to global warming and results in feed energy losses, thus is not desired by environmentalists and animal growers. After carbon dioxide, methane is the second gas generating global warming. Despite low released quantity, methane holds solar heat 23 times greater than carbon dioxide (Cengiz and Kamalak, 2020). About 2–12% of digestible energy taken by ruminant animals are lost through methane production (Getachhew et al., 2005). About 2–3% of condensed tannin prevent excessive and rapid protein degradation in the rumen (Barry, 1987). High-condensed tannins reduce protein digestibility (Kumar and Singh, 1984) and Frutos et al. (2002) indicated that condensed tannin content of less than 6.51% negatively influenced feed digestion.

Metabolizable energy, OMD and NE\textsubscript{L} values are calculated with the use of GP, EE (crude fat) and CP values (Menke and Steingass, 1988). Present genotypes had quite high protein, EE, NDF and ADF contents. Thus, differences were observed in ME, NE\textsubscript{L} and OMD values of the genotypes.

Crude ash represents the mineral content of the feed (Gençtan, 1998). Minerals are not synthesized, thus should be taken externally (Doran, 2020). Mineral contents vary with the species, harvest time, soil and climate conditions and stress factors (Gralak et al., 2006). Terzić et al. (2012) investigated the mineral composition of above and below-ground parts of 141 different Jerusalem artichoke genotypes and reported significant differences in mineral contents among them. Present mineral contents were complying with the values reported by previous researchers.

Regarding macroelements of present Jerusalem artichoke genotypes, Ca contents varied between 1.6–4.5%, P contents between 0.5–2.9%, K contents between 2.0–3.3% and Mg contents varied between 0.3–0.7%. Genotypes 33-1, 19-4, 50-4 and 58-1 were the richest ones in macroelements. In the present study, Jerusalem artichoke genotypes had similar Ca, P, Mg, K, Na and S contents as dry alfalfa hay commonly used in animal feeding and some had even greater values than alfalfa hay (NRC, 2001). Jerusalem artichoke genotypes generally had greater microelements (Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu) contents than leguminous and Gramineae species used in animal feeding (NRC, 2001).

Conclusions

Because of resistance to adverse environmental conditions, pests and diseases, high unit area yields and common use in human nutrition and animal feeding, Jerusalem artichoke is quite suitable for low-input agriculture. Jerusalem artichoke genotypes examined in the present study exhibited a large diversity in nutritional composition and can be considered to be a good source of fibre and minerals for ruminants. The Jerusalem artichoke genotypes used in the present study were rich in macro- and microelements, thus could be recommended as a rich source of roughage in ruminant diets prepared for dry and lactation periods. Besides in vitro gas production, other fermentation parameters including actual digestion levels and microbial protein productions should also be taken into consideration for a better selection of Jerusalem artichoke genotypes. Further research is recommended to test in vitro results through in vivo studies.
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