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ABSTRACT
The rise of data science in biology stimulates interdisciplinary collaborations to address fundamental questions. Here, we report the outcome of the first SINFONIA symposium focused on revealing the mechanisms governing plant reproductive development across biological scales. The intricate and dynamic target networks of known regulators of flower development remain poorly understood. To analyze development from the genome to the final floral organ morphology, high-resolution data that capture spatiotemporal regulatory activities are necessary and require advanced computational methods for analysis and modeling. Moreover, frameworks to share data, practices and approaches that facilitate the combination of varied expertise to advance the field are called for. Training young researchers in interdisciplinary approaches and science communication offers the opportunity to establish a collaborative mindset to shape future research.
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Introduction

The rise of data science in biology stimulates interdisciplinary collaborations to address fundamental questions. Here, we report the outcome of the first SINFONIA symposium focused on mechanisms governing plant reproductive development across biological scales. To analyze development from genome to floral organ morphology, high-resolution data that capture spatiotemporal regulatory activities are necessary and require advanced computational methods for analysis and modeling. Frameworks to share data and protocols that facilitate the combination of varied expertise are called for. Training young researchers in interdisciplinary approaches and science communication offers the opportunity to establish a collaborative mindset shaping future research.

Open questions on the regulatory basis of flower formation

To better understand the processes underlying flower formation, we need to consider a range of key molecular events across time, space and scales. While key signals and genes underlying flower development have been identified, the precise transcriptional and post-transcriptional mechanisms that direct the spatiotemporal diversity in development are less clear.

In the classical model of transcriptional regulation, the presence of cis-regulatory DNA elements and the combination of a specific pool of transcription factors (TFs) and chromatin regulators
(Kaufmann et al., 2010) regulate gene expression at the level of transcriptional initiation. Here, the spatiotemporal heterogeneity of TF abundance controls how cells with distinct functions and behaviors are specified. This process is fine-tuned by TFs that act in concert with various species of non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) (Chen et al., 2020; Samad et al., 2017; Ivanov et al., 2021). Once initiated, gene expression can further be controlled by mechanisms such as promoter-proximal stalling at the level of transcriptional elongation (Thomas et al., 2020; Leng et al., 2020; Kindgren et al., 2020). While one can build strong hypotheses for the binding of TFs to DNA that likely trigger transcriptional activation of genes, identification and functional prediction of ncRNA and elongation-based control mechanisms remain a key challenge.

Since factors controlling transcriptional regulation depend on the chromatin state, which is defined by chromatin architecture, histone modifications, DNA-methylation and DNA accessibility, epigenetics offers another layer of gene regulation (Leng et al., 2020). Understanding the relation between the chromatin landscape and TFs promises insight into the question of how one genome spawns the different cell types and cell states. This is well in line with the incentives of the Plant Cell Atlas initiative (Rhee et al., 2019), and aims to generate opportunities for advancing our knowledge on plant reproductive development. This relationship is particularly important in processes like flowering time control where environmental sensing is crucial for a correct progression (Whittaker & De, 2017).

Finally, post-transcriptional events regulating RNA structure, mRNA transcript stability, protein–RNA interactions and ribosome occupancy offer an interface to adjust the translation machinery and, in consequence, the protein levels (Merchant et al., 2017), which decide on a cell’s function within the developing flower meristem.

We envision that a detailed conceptual understanding of how these processes control the progression of flower development will depend on the spatial context of cells. This is particularly important in the context of flower development because a) flower tissues are not as well defined as e.g. roots, b) cell fates are harder to predict due to the absence of stereotypical cell division patterns, c) stem cells in the floral meristem seemingly lack the level of organization present in the root stem cell niche and d) the positional information of cells influences their lineage-commitment.

**Technical challenges in resolving the complexity of flower development**

The elucidation of the regulatory processes described here calls for the establishment of new experimental and computational methodologies that describe cellular states at a higher resolution in space and time.

A promising development in this direction is given by the emergence of single-cell omics technologies which offer a great opportunity to refine our understanding of the different layers of gene regulation by enabling us to discern cellular heterogeneity within tissues and organs (Ryu et al., 2019; Shaw et al., 2021; Engelhorn et al., 2014; You et al., 2017; Rahmani et al., 2019). Despite the ability to be able to collect information about the genome, epigenome, and proteome (Fang et al., 2019; Kelsey et al., 2017; Marx et al., 2019) at the single cell level, one key challenge is to apply those technologies to the flower.

Besides a higher resolved description of gene regulatory processes, the spatial position of cells in the developing flower meristem also has to be considered. Inconveniently, traditional methods for
DNA or RNA extraction require freezing and disruption of tissues, that results in the loss of the positional information of cells. An approach to preserve spatial information could combine sequencing with single molecule imaging using super-resolution microscopy (Halpern et al., 2017). Alternatively, computational methods allow the de novo reconstruction of single-cell spatial gene expression from scRNA-seq data in silico (Nitzan et al., 2019). The 3D reconstruction of gene activities (Neumann et al., 2021, Preprint) in tissues described here currently represents a bottleneck to thoroughly understand the trajectory from molecular signals to flower organs, and requires advanced imaging and computational methodologies for tissue reconstruction (Vijayan et al., 2021). The establishment of such tools together with state of the art spatial-genomics sequencing technologies will pave the way towards a comprehensive genome-wide 3D expression atlas in plants.

Next to the spatially resolved description of cells, the study of developmental processes requires the incorporation of a time axis. This can be achieved by collecting plant tissue at different developmental stages followed by sequencing. The disconnected datasets can be subjected to pseudo-time analysis that groups cells in a lower dimensional space so that lineages and developmental trajectories become apparent. Alternatively, live imaging can capture the continuous changes of cellular components during development directly. Although informative, this approach suffers from the challenge of keeping plant tissue at low levels of stress during long-time observations and prevents the scaling to high-throughput approaches.

Despite the potential of spatio-temporally resolved single-cell data from different modalities to improve our understanding of the processes shaping flower development, a key challenge is to harness the information contained within that data to its fullest potential. This requires the integration of multi-modal data and correction for batch-effects that arise for example due to the application of different sequencing technologies. (Butler et al., 2018; Stuart et al., 2019)

Lastly, the integrated data can be used to construct gene regulatory networks, integrating predicted cis-regulatory elements (Li et al., 2018) and their logic (Cofer et al., 2020, Preprint). Endeavors in this direction are expanding current approaches towards understanding the causality of gene expression from single-cell data (Yuan et al., 2019) and may allow phenotype prediction based on a given DNA sequence (Zhou et al., 2018).

**Synergistic research strategies**

Developing new experimental and computational methods to address prevalent biological questions requires connecting international groups with expertise across biological levels and experimental procedures. Using an interdisciplinary and integrative approach to address one biological problem will empower SINFONIA researchers to jointly unravel the mechanisms that dictate flower development across biological scales and regulatory levels. Collaborative efforts will allow to scale new-generation high throughput techniques to investigate gene expression, regulatory processes, and translational efficiency down to the single-cell level.

Sharing of expertise and data about these developments is essential to maximize research output. In this context, collaboration can be enhanced through IT infrastructure such as an online platform that contains e.g. information about experimental procedures, deposits analyzed results and enables easy communication. The combination of these functions into one platform will enable SINFONIA researchers to learn about and minimize the effort required to implement cutting edge
protocols, to obtain help from experts almost instantaneously and ensure that research efforts of individual groups are designed towards reaching the overarching goal of SINFONIA. In addition, bioinformatics experts will be essential to aid the analysis of complex multi-modal single-cell data, develop novel computational approaches and provide the community with an interactive 4D plant model that combines results from different experiments to facilitate accessibility of research data and key concepts to a broad audience. In order to foster the interdisciplinary character of SINFONIA, those efforts can be complemented with on-site training, knowledge dissemination about novel methodologies as well as the shared usage of experimental infrastructure.

**Interdisciplinary PhD training**

Integration of diverse knowledge is a significant benefit of interdisciplinary research but also poses substantial challenges to PhD students and consortium members. Workshops teaching the vocabulary and methodology of e.g. computational scientists to experimental biologists and vice versa can contribute to overcome communication barriers between scientists of diverse fields and lead to a better overall research design focused on flower development. This research brings the classical field of plant cell biology to a new level, raising the need for integrated understanding of molecular regulatory and physiological processes with tissue growth and mechanics. Essential for this integration and the success of visionary research is the improvement of communication skills through schooling of presentation techniques, public speaking to scientific and general audiences and writing of publications and grant applications. It should be a goal to make science attractive and easily comprehensible.

Naturally, all participants need to share an understanding of the overarching goal of the research and of the current state of knowledge within the consortium. Presenting recent progress in individual projects at regular symposia fosters connection between colleagues, the identification of knowledge gaps and more targeted collaborations. Close association of groups with various specialties enables support for Ph.D. students by diverse supervisory committees to aid and train them in approaching questions multi-dimensionally.

**Conclusion**

In summary, SINFONIA aims at improving our understanding of flower development by developing novel single-cell technologies that allow the reconstruction of spatiotemporal dynamics of cell identities across biological scales. Clearly, there is a great need to improve experimental and computational methods to unlock the benefits of emerging single cell technologies for plant science. The increasing volume and complexity of spatiotemporally resolved data requires international collaborations of specialized groups. Dedicated IT infrastructure is becoming essential for collaboration, and young researchers require specialized training to foster interdisciplinary perspectives. Those represent the key goals of the SINFONIA initiative.
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