The Young Disability Questionnaire-Spine: item development, pilot testing and conceptualisation of a questionnaire to measure consequences of spinal pain in children
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INTRODUCTION

In Denmark, it is estimated that 30% of all schoolchildren have experienced spinal pain during the last year,1 and up to 20% have both frequent and intense pain.2 Furthermore, spinal pain often tracks from adolescence into adulthood,3 where it becomes a major burden for both the individual and the society.4 However, the impact of spinal pain in children is only sparsely reported in the literature.5 6 This is partly because most spinal research has focused on adult populations, but also because research in children is hampered by a lack of validated instruments to measure the consequences of spinal pain. Several questionnaires measuring physical function and impact on everyday life in children have been published, but most of them are targeted specific patient or population groups, for example, children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis or athletes.7 8 Attempts have also been made to adapt functional limitations questionnaires developed for adults to children, but validation of these paediatric versions has not been carried out.9 Recent research suggests that the content of commonly used adult questionnaires is not suitable for children due to differences at the cognitive, physical and social level.5 10 11 Consequently, there is a need to develop a sound and well-validated questionnaire.

ABSTRACT

Objective The objective of the present study was to develop a questionnaire to measure the consequences of neck, midback and low back pain, relevant for schoolchildren aged 9–12 years.

Design The development of the questionnaire was carried out in three phases: (1) generation of items, (2) pilot testing and (3) conceptualisation.

Setting Danish primary schools.

Participants Children aged 9–12 years from a local school were invited for completion of questionnaires and subsequent interviews.

Methods In phase 1 an extensive literature search identified items from existing questionnaires measuring musculoskeletal disability in children. These were added to items from a previously conducted qualitative study and constituted the basis for the new questionnaire. In phase 2 two consecutive pilot tests were performed to test for comprehension and feasibility of the questionnaire. Phase 3 consisted of a categorisation of the newly developed items according to the WHO’s International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF).

Results The combination of the previously conducted qualitative study and the literature review resulted in an item pool of 35 items. Through the process of item formulation and pilot testing, these were reduced to 28 items in the final questionnaire, which represented all categories in the ICF model. The qualitative study identified codes giving relative weight to four important domains. These were not included in any of the existing questionnaires but were added to the new questionnaire.

Conclusions We developed the first version of a questionnaire to measure the consequences of back or neck pain in children. The process showed the importance of combining research methods, each adding important contributions to the final product. Subsequent work will finalise the questionnaire, allowing various options for use of the questionnaire.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► Combining qualitative interview findings with a systematic search of the literature proved to be beneficial to cover all potential aspects of consequences, as neither method was comprehensive by itself.
► The pilot testing of the resulting questionnaire also turned out to be necessary to improve the wording.
► We believe the stepwise process has helped to produce a questionnaire which is both comprehensive, covering all relevant aspects of spinal pain consequences, and understandable to the age group.
► The applicability of the questionnaire in other contexts and cultures is unknown.
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questionnaire measuring the consequences of spinal pain in children and adolescents.

In 2016, following the development of a questionnaire to measure the frequency and intensity of spinal pain in children (Young Spine Questionnaire, YSQ), our research team launched a programme to also develop a questionnaire to measure the consequences of spinal pain in children aged 9–12 years (Young Disability Questionnaire). The first step in this process was a qualitative investigation to identify which consequences of spinal pain are important to children. Based on the results from that study and on the previous literature, the aim of the present study is to develop a questionnaire (Young Disability Questionnaire-Spine, YDQ-S) to measure relevant consequences of neck, midback and low back pain in schoolchildren aged 9–12 years. The questionnaire will subsequently undergo a field test.

METHODS
The development of the YDQ-S was carried out in three phases: (1) item generation, (2) pilot testing and (3) conceptualisation.

Item generation
The primary purpose of the item generation phase was to generate an exhaustive pool of relevant items before the pilot test. The item generation was performed in four steps, where step 1 was a systematic literature search, carried out to identify existing questionnaires used to measure musculoskeletal (MSK) function/disability in children or adolescents. In step 2, experts in the field were consulted to ensure that all relevant questionnaires were retrieved and included. Step 3 was to compare codes developed in the previous qualitative study of Danish schoolchildren aged 9–12 years old with spinal pain, with the content of the questionnaires identified in steps 1 and 2. Finally, in step 4, all the resulting codes and questionnaire contents were formulated into questionnaire items.

Systematic literature search
The search strategy was developed by EM with the assistance of a research librarian. The Ovid databases Medline and Embase were used in the systematic literature search to locate publications that included measurement of function and pain-related disability in children and adolescents using an identifiable questionnaire. The core search terms consisted of four main concepts: instrument, function, target population and pain. Both keywords, medical subject headings (McSH) terms and Emtree terms were used. To avoid a large number of articles concerning disabled children, an additional search was carried out in each database. This search consisted of the same core search terms but with the addition of a disabled concept; the two searches in each database were labelled ‘full search’ and ‘disabled children’, respectively (online supplemental material 1). The search results were separately imported to EndNote V.X8, where a duplicate search across the two databases was conducted and articles relating to disabled children were discarded (figure 1). The remaining articles from the two database searches were then combined and duplicate articles deleted. Screening of titles and abstracts was followed by reading full-length articles with focus on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, as shown in table 1.

The original versions of the questionnaires used in the included articles were obtained and subjected to a face validity check. The face validity check consisted of a subjective assessment of relevance in relation to the purpose of the present study (consequences of spinal pain). Articles using questionnaires that could not be accessed in full original version were excluded.

An additional search was performed to investigate the existence of adult questionnaires validated on children (online supplemental material 2). This search was conducted in Medline and Embase with both keywords, MeSH terms and Emtree searches. Questionnaires validated on children were included if the same inclusion and exclusion criteria as described in table 1 were fulfilled.

Experts in the field
To supplement the systematic literature search, two external experts known to be working within the same field of research were contacted and they contributed with a list of questionnaires, identified in a previously conducted systematic search of the literature which aimed to describe the psychometric properties of common self-reported multidimensional pain questionnaires for children and adolescents (S. Chan and A. Wong, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, personal communication, unpublished). All the questionnaires obtained from their literature search were also screened for face validity.

Comparison of codes
To create an item pool, codes from the previously conducted qualitative study were entered into a table as reference codes. Items from the included questionnaires concerning the consequences of MSK pain were then linked to the reference codes. Items that did not fit the content of the reference codes were included as new items. All items were ordered thematically.

Item formulation
Because the chosen age group can reflect on themselves and provide valuable health information, a self-report questionnaire was chosen instead of a parent by proxy measure. The development of new item formulations was conducted by LH and EM, and the formulation of these was based on the comparison of reference codes and questionnaire items. The items were phrased corresponding to the overall thematic meaning of the compared items and carefully worded for the target age group. The new items were uniformly worded to allow for consistent language in the questionnaire. All were constructed with
the ‘usual’ state as a reference and starting with ‘When I have pain…’ to prevent any misunderstandings and to enhance feasibility.13

The response options were categorised into five levels of frequency: ‘never’, ‘once or twice’, ‘often’, ‘very often’ and ‘almost all the time’.

In addition, the qualitative study identified codes giving relative weight to themes or domains, that is, ‘The worst is …….’. Such a relative weight was not included in any of the existing questionnaires and could not be worded within the same framework. Therefore, this was not included in the comparison with existing questionnaires but was used to create an additional section for the questionnaire, which was placed at the end of the questionnaire. The four items in the additional section were to be rated from 1 to 10, with 1 representing ‘not important at all’ and 10 representing ‘the absolute most important’. The purpose of this section was to give children the possibility

Figure 1  Flow chart of literature search to identify existing questionnaires used to measure musculoskeletal function/disability in children or adolescents.
to report the relative importance of the four domains: pain intensity, movement restrictions, social impairment, and impact on mood and concentration.

**Pilot testing**

Two pilot tests were carried out to test for feasibility, understanding and ambiguity of both items and response options, and allowed the pupils the opportunity to elaborate on their answers and express if they felt anything was missing. The questions were modified based on the answers from pilot test 1 and were tested again in pilot test 2.

**Pilot test 1**

Pilot test 1 included three classes from third, fifth and sixth grades, respectively, at a private school located in Odense, Denmark. Information letters and informed consent forms were sent to the parents prior to the test, and information letters were handed out to the pupils who were present on the day. Two days later, the children completed the questionnaires and were interviewed during school hours. The three classes included 66 pupils in total, but only pupils who returned a signed consent form participated in the study. The pupils filled out a questionnaire booklet consisting of sections 1–3 of the YSQ, followed by the newly developed consequence-related items as well as the additional section with the four ‘The worst is ……..’ questions. Pupils with pain at least once or twice in either the neck or back according to the YSQ were interviewed with their completed questionnaire as the starting point, allowing them to elaborate on their answers and add new information. All three authors participated in the interviews.

**Pilot test 2**

Pilot test 2 was conducted by EM at the same school 5 days following pilot test 1 using the same procedures. A group of fourth-grade and fifth-grade pupils, who did not participate in pilot test 1, participated in the test. The questionnaire items were refined after pilot test 2, and this will comprise the field test version of the YDQ-S.

**Pilot test 3**

A third pilot test could be conducted if all issues were not clear after the second pilot test. However, this did not prove necessary.

**Pilot test data analysis**

Following each pilot test, the three authors together compared the completed questionnaires with the notes from the interviews, and for each child discussed the discrepancies as well as potential misunderstandings.

**Conceptualisation**

The WHO International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) conceptualisation model is a classification tool used to describe functional states associated with health conditions covering two parts: one covering functioning and disability, and the other covering contextual factors. This provides a framework for describing health and health-related circumstances. We chose the ICF model because it provides a framework for measuring health according to the biopsychosocial model. Second, the ICF model is specific for describing health-related functioning and disability and can be used to explain both the health of individuals and the health of groups.

The conceptual framework of this questionnaire follows a reflective model given that the items are reflections of the consequences of back and neck pain. If there is any change in experienced pain, then there should be a change in the responses to all items.

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting or dissemination plans of our research.

**RESULTS**

**Item generation**

**Systematic literature search**

The Medline searches resulted in a total of 1242 articles, of which 216 were excluded because they included disabled children. The Embase searches resulted in a total of 987 articles, of which 156 articles were excluded because they included disabled children (figure 1).

After combining the Medline and the Embase searches, 1640 articles were left for screening. Titles and relevant abstracts were screened by EM for eligibility using the inclusion/exclusion criteria, resulting in the exclusion of 1468 articles. The remaining 172 references were browsed as full-text articles and reduced to 22 included articles. All included articles were examined for the use of questionnaires, and a total of eight different questionnaires were identified. These were screened and five questionnaires fitted the inclusion criteria and assessment of face validity
and were included in the study: Functional Disability Inventory (FDI),\textsuperscript{21} PROMIS Pediatric Profile-49 (PROMIS),\textsuperscript{22} Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (Peds-QL),\textsuperscript{23} KIDSCREEN-52\textsuperscript{24} and YSQ.\textsuperscript{25} Three questionnaires were excluded due to poor face validity (Child Activity Limitations Interview-21\textsuperscript{25}) or were not developed for children (Short Questionnaire to Assess Health-Enhancing Physical Activity\textsuperscript{26} and Hannover Functional Ability Questionnaire\textsuperscript{27}).

No relevant questionnaires were identified during the additional search for adult questionnaires validated on children.

**Experts in the field**

The external experts provided one new questionnaire that had not already been identified in the literature search: the Bath Adolescent Pain Questionnaire (BAPQ).\textsuperscript{28} This questionnaire fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The face validity was considered adequate and the questionnaire was included.

Thus, the two-step search for relevant questionnaires resulted in a total of six different questionnaires to form the basis of the item pool: FDI, PROMIS, Peds-QL, KIDSCREEN-52, BAPQ and YSQ.

**Comparison of codes**

A face validity check of the 17 reference items developed from the qualitative study resulted in the removal of 2 items which do not describe a consequence (‘cause of pain unknown’ and ‘pain intensity’) and 4 items were removed due to overlapping meaning: ‘improving factors’ and ‘strategies to improve the pain’ were combined into ‘strategies to improve the pain’; ‘difficulties to define the pain’ was considered covered by ‘worrying about spinal pain’; ‘reduction in participation’ was considered covered by ‘physical limitations’; and ‘do not want to talk to others about the pain’ was considered covered by ‘talking with friends’ and ‘talking with parents’.

The resulting 11 reference codes were listed and linked with all items from the existing questionnaires. The results are shown in table 2. These 25 items were converted into questionnaire format and tested in the first pilot test.

**Pilot testing**

**Pilot test 1**

Three classes (third, fifth and sixth) completed the questionnaire; 34 of the pupils had returned a signed consent and 4 of these did not recall ever having experienced neck or back pain, leaving 30 pupils with present or past spinal pain for interviews. Questionnaire completion lasted for 12 and 25 min, depending on the individual child’s reading proficiency and contextual understanding as well as the level of concentration.

The questionnaire was revised based on interview notes and subsequent discussion among the three interviewers (EM, HHL and LH), and the changes along with the justifications for these are presented in table 3. The response options caused confusion among the children and were therefore changed. Also, the children had difficulties understanding the concept in the additional section of the questionnaire, containing ‘The worst is….’ items and therefore this was revised. The introductory text to the final four items was rephrased from ‘The worst thing about having spinal pain is’ to ‘What matters most to you when having spinal pain?’

Four new items were added: ‘pain when bending my neck’, ‘bending forward’, ‘riding my bicycle’ and ‘become quiet’; the item concerning participation in school was removed due to an overlap with the item regarding concentration. Three questions were rephrased to increase understanding, and finally the order of the items was reconsidered (table 3).

**Pilot test 2**

Seven pupils participated in pilot test 2 and tested the implemented changes. The time of completion was unchanged compared with the first pilot test. Interviews focused on the comprehension of the new response options and items, as well as additional comments from the pupils. Furthermore, the words ‘quiet’ and ‘energy’ were checked for comprehension because the interviewers were uncertain about these words during the first pilot test.

All seven pupils understood the meaning of the two words, the new response options did not cause any problems, and the change in the additional section (‘What matters most …….’) made the task easier to understand. However, the item ‘When I have pain, I get grumpy or angry more easily’ was changed to ‘When I have pain, I get grumpy or annoyed more easily’ because many of the pupils used the word ‘annoyed’ instead of ‘angry’ (also noted several times during the first pilot test).

Thus, a third round was not considered necessary.

**Conceptualisation**

All the components of the ICF were represented within the newly developed items (figure 2). Nine items
Table 2  Item development by comparing qualitative reference codes with items from existing paediatric questionnaires

| New items                                      | Reference codes | FDI                      | PROMIS                                      | Peds-QL                              | KIDSCREEN-52                           | BAPQ                                      | YSQ                                      |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| When I have pain, I don’t sleep so well.       | (1) Affected    | (15) Getting to sleep    | (41) I had trouble sleeping when I          | (4b) I have trouble sleeping.         |                                        |                                           |                                          |
|                                                | sleep           | at night and staying    | had pain.                                   |                                       |                                        |                                           |                                          |
|                                                |                 | asleep.                  |                                             |                                       |                                        |                                           |                                          |
| When I have pain, it is hard                  | (11) Physical   | (1) Walking to the       | (3) I could walk upstairs without           | (1a) It is hard for me to walk more   | (2b) I can walk up a normal flight of   | (4b) I walk only with crutches, a stick   | (7b) I walk normally.                    |
| to walk as well as usual.                      | limitations.    | bathroom.                | holding on to anything.                     | than one block.                      | stairs.                                | or help from another person.              |                                          |
|                                                |                 | (2) Walking up the stairs.| (44) It was hard for me to walk one block |                                       |                                        |                                          |                                          |
|                                                |                 | (12) Walking the length  | when I had pain.                            |                                       |                                        |                                          |                                          |
|                                                |                 | of a football field.     |                                             |                                       |                                        |                                          |                                          |
| When I have pain, it is hard                  | (13) Running    | (43) It was hard for me  | (2a) It is hard for me to run.              | (1.4) Have you been able to run       |                                        |                                           |                                          |
| to run as well as usual.                       | the length of   | to run when I had pain.  |                                             | well?                                 |                                        |                                           |                                          |
|                                                | a football field.|                                             |                                             |                                       |                                        |                                           |                                          |
| When I have pain, it is hard                  | (9) Doing the   | (1) I could do sports    | (3a) It is hard for me to do sports activity| (1.3) Have you been physically active| (1b) I need help with dressing or      | (8b) I do physical, recreational or fun  | (9b) I lift heavy objects.                |
| to do sports as usual.                        | activities      | and exercise that other  | or exercise.                                | (eg, run, climbing and biking)?       | bathing,                              | activities.                              |                                          |
|                                                | in gym class    | kids my age could do,    |                                             |                                       |                                       | (5b) I get out of the house by          |                                          |
|                                                | (or playing    | (4) I have been physically|                                             |                                       |                                       | myself.                                  |                                          |
|                                                | sports).        | able to do the activities I |                                             |                                       |                                       | (6b) I need help with certain movements  |                                          |
|                                                |                 | enjoy most.               |                                             |                                       |                                       | (like getting out of the car or bathtub).|                                          |
| When I have pain, it is hard                  | (5) I could     | (4c) I cannot do things  | (5.2) Have you been able to do what you    | (2e) I avoid activities that cause     |                                         |                                           |                                          |
| to play as usual.                               | keep up when I  | that other kids my age   | want to do in your free time?               | pain.                                 |                                        |                                           |                                          |
|                                                | played with     | do.                      |                                             |                                       |                                        |                                           |                                          |
|                                                | other kids.     |                           |                                             |                                       |                                        |                                           |                                          |
| When I have pain, it is hard                  | (9) Spinal      | (4a) It is hard for me   | (1) I could lift heavy objects.             |                                        | (9b) I lift heavy objects.              |                                           |                                          |
| to lift something heavy.                       | load.           | to lift something heavy. |                                             |                                       |                                        |                                           |                                          |
| When I have pain, it is hard                  | (8) Maintaining  | (46) It was hard to stay  |                                             |                                        |                                        |                                           |                                          |
| to stand for as long as usual.                 | static postures.| standing when I had pain.|                                             |                                       |                                        |                                           |                                          |
| When I have pain, there are certain           | (15) Worsening  |                                                                        |                                             |                                       |                                        |                                           |                                          |
| things that make it worse.*                   | factors.        |                                                                        |                                             |                                       |                                        |                                           |                                          |

Continued
Table 2  Continued

| New items                                                                 | Reference codes | FDI | PROMIS                                                                 | Peds-QL | KIDSCREEN-52 | BAPQ | YSQ |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------------|------|-----|
| When I have pain, I don’t have as much fun as usual.                      | (10) Social limitations. | (3) Doing something with a friend (eg, playing a game). | (30) It was hard for me to have fun. | (45) It was hard to have fun when I had pain. | (8.1) Have you spent time with your friends? | (8.2) Have you done things with other girls and boys? | (2.1) Has your life been enjoyable? | (2.6) Have you had fun? | (3a) I enjoy social activities. |
| When I have pain, I fall out with others more easily.                     |                 |     | (1c) I have trouble getting along with other kids.                     | (10.1) Have you been afraid of other girls and boys? | (10.2) Have other girls and boys made fun of you? | (10.3) Have other girls and boys bullied you? | (4a) I feel distant from my friends. | (5a) I have difficulty spending time with groups of people. | (7a) I feel like my friends don’t want to see me. | (2g) My overall confidence around other people. |
| When I have pain, I can feel more lonely than usual.                      |                 | (18) I felt lonely. | (23) I felt alone. | (3.6) Have you felt lonely? | (6.1) Have your parent(s) understood you? | (6.6) Have you been able to talk to your parent(s) when you wanted to? | (2a) I spend time talking to people. | (6a) I stay in touch with my friends. |
| When I have pain, I can talk to my friends about it.                      | (6) Talking with friends. |     | (34) I was able to count on my friends. | (35) My friends and I helped each other out. | (39) I was able to talk about everything with my friends. | (8.4) Have you and your friends helped each other? | (8.5) Have you been able to talk about everything with your friends? | (8.6) Have you been able to rely on your friends? |
| When I have pain, I can talk to my mum and dad about it.                  | (5) Talking with parents. |     |                                                                 | | | | | |

Continued
| New items                                                                 | Reference codes | FDI | PROMIS                                      | Peds-QL                                      | KIDSCREEN-52 | BAPQ                      | YSQ                          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| When I have pain, I can get worried about the pain.                      | (2) Worrying about spinal pain. | (9) I felt like something awful might happen. | (10) I felt nervous. | (11) I felt worried. | (14) I worried when I went to bed at night. | (15) I worried when I was at home. | (16) I worried about what could happen to me. | (17) I felt everything in my life went wrong. | (5b) I worry about what will happen to me. | (2c) I feel hopeless about the future. | (1d) I worry about the future. | (2d) I feel nervous. | (1e) I worry about my pain problem. | (3e) When I think about my pain, it makes me upset. | (5e) I worry that I will do something to make my pain worse. | (6e) When I have pain, I think something is happening. |
| When I have pain, the pain can make me scared.                          | (2c) I feel hopeless about the future. | (12) I got scared really easy. | (1b) I feel afraid or scared. | (3d) I have feelings of panic. | (5d) I feel shakily. | (6d) I feel physically tense. | (7d) I am afraid. | (4e) Pain scares me. | (7e) I am afraid to move due to pain. |
| When I have pain, I get grumpy or angry more easily.                    | (47) I felt angry when I had pain. | (3b) I feel angry. | (2e) Pain scares me. | (7e) I am afraid to move due to pain. | (1c) I feel sad. | (2.2) Have you felt pleased that you are alive? | (2.4) Have you been in a good mood? | (2.5) Have you felt cheerful? | (3.2) Have you felt sad? | (3.3) Have you felt so bad that you didn't want to do anything? |
| When I have pain, I find it harder to concentrate.                     | (42) It was hard for me to pay attention when I had pain. | (1d) It is hard to pay attention in class. | (9.4) Have you been able to pay attention? | (3c) I find it hard to concentrate. | (4c) Have you been at the doctor, chiropractor or physiotherapist because of back or neck pain? |
| When I have pain, I get treatment (eg, at the general practitioner, chiropractor or physiotherapist). | (13) Treatment tried/not tried. | (2b) I feel sad or blue. | (2.2) Have you felt pleased that you are alive? | (2.4) Have you been in a good mood? | (2.5) Have you felt cheerful? | (3.2) Have you felt sad? | (3.3) Have you felt so bad that you didn't want to do anything? | (4c) Have you been at the doctor, chiropractor or physiotherapist because of back or neck pain? |
| When I have pain, I have less energy than usual.                        | (6) Being up all day without a nap or rest. | (26) I got tired easily. | (8a) I have low energy. | (1.5) Have you felt full of energy? | (6c) I feel that everything I do is an effort. | (8.1) Have you been able to do things that need a lot of energy? | (8.2) Have you been able to do things that need strength? | (8.3) Have you been able to do things that need a lot of energy? | (8.4) Have you been able to do things that need strength? |
### Table 2  Continued

| New items                                                                 | Reference codes | FDI                                                                 | PROMIS                                                                 | Peds-QL                                                                 | KIDSCREEN-52                                                                 | BAPQ                                                                 | YSQ                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| When I have pain, I get so tired that I cannot do the things I usually do.|                 | (25) Being tired made it hard for me to keep up with my schoolwork.  | (27) I was too tired to do sports and exercise.                        | (28) I was too tired to enjoy the things I like to do.                   | (30) I had trouble finishing things because I was too tired.              | (31) I had trouble starting things because I was too tired.            | (32) I was so tired it was hard for me to pay attention.           |
| When I have pain, it is harder to keep up with school than usual.          |                 | (10) Reading or doing homework.                                       | (48) I had trouble doing schoolwork when I had pain.                   | (3d) I have trouble keeping up with my schoolwork.                      |                                                                           | (1g) My progress in school.                                         |                                                                   |
| When I have pain, I stay home from school.                                 |                 |                                                                     |                                                                         | (4d) I miss school because of not feeling well.                         | (5d) I miss school to go to the doctor or hospital.                      |                                                                   | (4a) Have you stayed home from school because of back or neck pain? |
| When I have pain, I can do something that helps.                           |                 | (16) Strategies to avoid pain.                                        |                                                                         | (3b) I lie down and rest during the day.                                |                                                                           |                                                                   |                                                                   |

The column ‘Reference codes’ are items derived from the qualitative study used to interview children with back pain (Table 3 in reference 12).

*This item was not included in the questionnaire since it is reflected in many other items and not related to any other questionnaire items.

BAPQ, Bath Adolescent Pain Questionnaire; FDI, Functional Disability Inventory; Peds-QL, Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory; PROMIS, PROMIS Pediatric Profile-49; YSQ, Young Spine Questionnaire.
regarding physical activity such as walking, running and taking care of oneself were included in the activity component, and the three items concerning social activities were included in the participation component. We included psychological well-being and coping strategies in the personal factors component, which included 12 items. The component of body functions and structure included three items regarding sleep, energy and fatigue. The component of environmental factors included one item regarding the use of treatment when having pain.

Field test version of the YDQ-S
The structure of the field test version of the YDQ-S included a first section derived from the YSQ regarding pain frequency and intensity, followed by the 28 newly developed consequence items and an additional section that includes the 4 items to show the relative contribution of the four domains. The original Danish version and a translated English version of the questionnaire are shown in online supplemental material 3.

| Subject to change | Change made | Reason for change |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 'When I have pain, it is difficult to stand or sit for as long as I usually do'. | Changed to: 'When I have pain, it is difficult to sit for as long as I usually do', 'When I have pain, it is difficult to sit for as long as I usually do'. | Changed due to difficulty to choose between 'once in a while' and 'often'. |
| Split into two: 'When I have pain, it is difficult to stand for as long as I usually do', 'When I have pain, it is difficult to sit for as long as I usually do'. | This question was split up to differentiate between standing and sitting. According to the statements from the children, there was a difference between standing and sitting depending on site of pain. |
| Addition of question: 'When I have pain, it is difficult to sit with the neck bend (eg, looking at a phone or homework)'. | This question was added due to comments from the pupils. They added this aspect to the question of sitting down. |
| 'When I have pain, it is difficult to lift as much as I usually can'. | Changed to: 'When I have pain, it is difficult to lift something heavy'. | The question was changed to specify the 'heavy lifting'. Not all children lift heavy objects daily, and therefore the question has to be more specific. |
| Addition of question: 'When I have pain, it is difficult to bend down'. | Several pupils claimed that it hurt when bending down for something. |
| Addition of question: 'When I have pain, it is difficult to ride my bicycle'. | Several pupils said that they had pain when biking to school when asked if there was anything that made the pain worse. |
| Addition of question: 'When I have pain, I become quiet'. | Some pupils claimed to be quite and withdraw from conversations as well as from other social activities when in pain. |
| 'When I have pain, I get more easily sad than I usually do'. | Changed to: 'When I have pain, I get more easily sad or upset'. | Many of the children mentioned 'getting upset' when talking about being 'sad'. Therefore the addition of 'upset'. |
| Removed. | Not relevant. Overlapping with question 16 about concentration. |
| Last section: 'The worst thing about having pain in the neck, midback or low back is...'. | Changed to: 'What matters most to you, when you have pain in the neck, midback or low back?' | It was not all pupils that understood the formulation of the question. Some thought that it was about pain intensity. Therefore it was changed to 'What matters most to you?' |

**DISCUSSION**

**Principal findings**
Several questionnaires used to measure the consequences of pain in children were identified, but none of them was developed specifically for children with spinal pain, and it is clear from table 2 that none of them covers all aspects mentioned by the children. For example, FDI focuses on the practical consequences of pain and pay little attention to the psychological factors, whereas PROMIS-49 covers the psychological factors well but does not include harder outcomes such as absence from school, which has been described as a major issue, with up to 23% of children with low back pain reported to have missed school. Therefore, the need for a new comprehensive questionnaire was confirmed.

The combination of the previously conducted qualitative study and the literature review supplemented by advice from external experts resulted in an item pool of 35 items, which were reduced to 28 items through the process of item formulation and pilot testing. These
items covered all the components included in the ICF model, but with an overweight on the physical activity and personal factors component. The strong representation of the physical activity component reflects both the direct (from pain and/or stiffness) and the indirect (from anticipation of further consequences) effect on movement. The personal factor component was even stronger represented, reflecting a large degree of worry in the children, which was also obvious in the children during the interviews. This was also found by Kashani et al, who emphasised that children are quite worried about their situation when having spinal pain.

The additional section giving relative weight to different domains, which were important for the children in the previous qualitative study, was also considered relevant and comprehensible for the children in the pilot tests.

**Strengths and limitations**

Combining qualitative interview findings with a systematic search of the literature proved to be beneficial to cover all potential aspects of consequences, as neither method was comprehensive by itself. The pilot testing of the resulting questionnaire also turned out to be necessary. Despite much attention paid to careful wording of the items, several formulation changes were required after the pilot tests. We believe the stepwise process has helped to produce a questionnaire which is both comprehensive, covering all relevant aspects of spinal pain consequences, and comprehensible to the age group. However, despite a rigorous literature search, assisted by a research librarian, we cannot exclude that we have missed existing questionnaires which could have added to the item pool. Furthermore, assessment of face validity for inclusion of questionnaires was a rather subjective process and could therefore be a potential bias in the selection of questionnaires to be represented in the item pool. To minimise this bias, all three authors, with different competencies and levels of experience, were involved in the process of assessing the questionnaires for inclusion.

Due to the comprehensive process of identifying relevant items, we believe the item bank to be globally representative. However, the wording of the questions is targeted to Danish children, and the English version of the questionnaire supplied with this manuscript is only included for information purposes and is not yet validated.

The population was recruited from a primary school, and completion of questionnaires as well as conduct of interviews were completed during school hours, limiting sampling bias. However, the applicability of the questionnaire in other contexts is unknown.

**Next step**

Before the questionnaire can be submitted for use in research and clinical settings, it should first undergo a field test, which is planned in Denmark as well. The structure of the ICF classification makes it possible to organise and summarise data for analysis, even when used on a basic level (eg, without using the code system). For the subsequent validation of the questionnaire, the ICF model will be used to analyse data with regard to interrelations between the items and to confirm or reject the proposed components.

During the study, we became aware of cultural differences in the formulation of the items—for example, item 4 ‘It is hard for me to walk more than one block’ from Peds-QL, where walking distance is calculated in ‘blocks’,
which is a term not used in Denmark. To ensure that the items are applicable, the questionnaire should be submitted to rigorous translation and cross-cultural validation before being used outside Scandinavia.

Perspectives
Introduction of a standardised questionnaire to measure the consequences of spinal pain in children can facilitate pooling of research data and thereby optimise the use of research resources in the future. Such evidence is of paramount importance to develop strategies for treatment and early prevention of spinal pain, which will be the largest contributor to the cumulative burden of disease as children grow up, if present disease patterns continue. A validated and reliable questionnaire can also hold promise for clinicians. A clear understanding of how spinal pain affects children will be valuable to design individually tailored treatment strategies. Not least the additional section, where the child indicates the relative importance of the domains, can help clinicians to focus on the most important aspects to reduce the consequences of pain and thereby improve the long-term health trajectory.

CONCLUSION
Based on a comprehensive and robust pool of items, we developed the first version of a questionnaire to measure the consequences of back or neck pain in children, representing all components of the ICF conceptualisation model. The process showed the importance of combining research methods, each adding important contributions to the final product.

Since paediatric spinal pain is an important determinant of the children’s future health and quality of life, it is of paramount importance to optimise research efforts. Following successful validation, the instrument can be used to enhance the quality of research efforts in the field of paediatric spinal pain. Furthermore, if the questionnaire gains broad acceptance, it can facilitate standardisation to allow future pooling of research results. Finally, it can help clinicians to target the most important issues for each individual child.
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