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Experiments searching for the electric dipole moment (EDM) of the electron $d_e$ utilise atomic/molecular states with one or more uncompensated electron spins, and these paramagnetic systems have recently achieved remarkable sensitivity to $d_e$. If the source of CP violation resides entirely in the hadronic sector, the two-photon exchange diagrams between electrons and the nucleus induce CP-odd semileptonic interactions, parametrised by the Wilson coefficient $C_{SP}$, and provide the dominant source of EDMS in paramagnetic systems instead of $d_e$. We evaluate the $C_{SP}$ coefficients induced by the leading hadronic sources of CP violation, namely nucleon EDMS and CP-odd pion-nucleon couplings, by calculating the nucleon-number-enhanced CP-odd nuclear polarisability, employing chiral perturbation theory at the nucleon level and the Fermi-gas model for the nucleus. This allows us to translate the ACME EDM limits from paramagnetic ThO into novel independent constraints on the QCD theta term $|\theta| < 3 \times 10^{-8}$, proton EDM $|d_p| < 2 \times 10^{-23} e\text{cm}$, and colour EDMs of quarks $|d_u - d_d| < 2 \times 10^{-24} \text{cm}$. We note that further experimental progress with EDM experiments in paramagnetic systems may allow them to rival the sensitivity of EDM experiments with neutrons and diamagnetic atoms to these quantities.

Introduction. — The origin of the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe continues to present us with one of the primary empirical motivations for new physics. The observed predominance of matter over anti-matter, quantified by the baryon-to-photon ratio $\eta_B \approx 6 \times 10^{-10}$, is now precisely determined through cosmological observations. A dynamical explanation for such an asymmetry, under the minimal assumption of the hot Big Bang model, requires sources of CP violation as first laid out by Sakharov more than half a century ago [1]. The known sources of CP violation in the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics – the CKM phase and QCD vacuum angle (the latter consistent with zero to high accuracy) – are insufficient for this task, providing empirical motivation for new sources of CP violation that can explain the asymmetry.

Experimental searches for new sources of CP- or $P,T$-violation have a long history, and electric dipole moments (EDMs) of nucleons, atoms and molecules are some of the primary observables (see, e.g., [2–5]). Fundamental sources of $T$ violation can feed into these observables in a variety of ways, depending on the specific features of the nuclear or atomic system. Searches for a neutron EDM pre-date even the discovery of $P$ violation in the weak interactions, but the use of atomic and now molecular systems to probe CP violation through EDM-like observables has a more recent history, having been motivated by the possibility of various enhancement mechanisms in the 1980s [6, 7]. Atomic/molecular EDM experiments are usually classified by whether the relevant state is either paramagnetic or diamagnetic, with the former principally aimed at probing leptonic sources of CP violation via the EDMs of unpaired electrons, and the latter mainly probing hadronic sources of CP violation via nuclear moments.

The prevailing classification of EDM experiments has been valuable in assessing their complementarity in probing the full range of potential CP-violating sources. However, in recent years, there has been quite dramatic progress particularly in the use of paramagnetic molecular states to search for EDMs [8–11]. Indeed, the effective sensitivity to the electron EDM $d_e$ via atomic and now molecular EDM experiments has improved by a factor of more than 100 over the past decade. This raises the question of whether EDM experiments in paramagnetic systems may soon provide significant sensitivity to hadronic sources of CP violation, complementary to that from experiments targeting EDMs in diamagnetic systems and the nuclear Schiff moment [12], and also the neutron EDM [13].

In the present Letter, we address this question quantitatively, by focussing on the sensitivity of paramagnetic EDM experiments, such as ACME [11], to CP-odd

semileptonic operators of the form,
\[
\mathcal{L} = C_{SP}^{\text{eff}} G_F \sqrt{2} \bar{e} i \gamma_5 e (\bar{p} p + \bar{n} n) + C_{SP}^{\text{LO}} G_F \sqrt{2} \bar{e} i \gamma_5 e (\bar{p} p - \bar{n} n),
\]
where \( e, n \) and \( p \) refer to the electron, neutron and proton fields, respectively, and \( C_{SP}^{\text{eff}} \) are the couplings for the singlet and triplet operators, respectively. The subscript \( SP \) denotes the nucleon-scalar and electromagnetic pseudoscalar two-fermion bilinears. The semileptonic operators \( C_{SP} \) in (1) arise in the absence of any nuclear spin and are coherently enhanced by the number of nucleons in the nucleus, singling them out as the primary contributors to paramagnetic EDMs beyond the electron EDM, \(-\frac{\alpha}{\pi} d_e F_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 e \). Hadronic contributions to \( d_e \), e.g. from the QCD \( \theta \) term, have been considered previously \([14, 15]\), but the semileptonic operators above provide the leading sensitivity in atomic and molecular experiments. In particular, the leading source of paramagnetic EDMs due to the CKM phase is the \( C_{SP} \) operator \([16]\), mediated by two-photon exchange.

In paramagnetic EDM experiments, the induced shift of atomic/molecular energy levels under an applied external electric field \( \mathcal{E}_{\text{ext}} \) can be written in the form
\[
\Delta E = -d_e \mathcal{E}_{\text{eff}} - W_e \left[ C_{SP}^{\text{eff}} + \left( \frac{Z - N}{A} \right) C_{SP}^{\text{LO}} \right] + \cdots,
\]
where the factors \( \mathcal{E}_{\text{eff}} \) and \( W_e \) are quantities that depend on the small \( \mathcal{E}_{\text{ext}} \), and \( Z, N \) and \( A \) denote the proton, neutron and total nucleon numbers of the nucleus, respectively. They are enhanced by a relativistic violation of the Schiff theorem and (for molecular systems) the polarisability \([17]\), and are now known to good precision for a variety of molecular species, see e.g. \([18–24]\). The existing null result from the ACME experiment, using ThO, leads to the following 90\% confidence-level constraint on the effective \( C_{SP} \) coupling averaged over the \( p - n \) composition of the Th nucleus \([11]\),
\[
|C_{SP}^{\text{eff}} - 0.22C_{SP}^{\text{LO}}| = |0.39C_{SP}^{\text{LO}} + 0.61C_{SP}^{\text{eff}}| < 7.3 \times 10^{-10}.
\]
Quite generically, for hadronic sources of \( CP \) violation, the \( d_e \) contribution to atomic/molecular EDMs is subdominant to \( C_{SP} \).

The semileptonic operators in (1) can in turn be induced by the leading sources of \( CP \) violation at the hadronic level,
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{hadronic}} = -\frac{i}{2} d_{\pi n} \bar{n} F_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \pi n - \frac{i}{2} d_{\pi p} \bar{p} F_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \pi p + g_{\pi NN}^{(0)} N^{+} N^{a} + g_{\pi NN}^{(1)} N^{+} N^{a} + \cdots,
\]
where \( N = (p, n) \) is the nucleon doublet, \( d_{\pi p, n} \) refers to nucleon EDMs, and \( g_{\pi NN}^{(0,1)} \) are the isovector and isoscalar \( CP \)-odd pion-nucleon couplings, respectively. This formula can also be generalised to include \( CP \)-odd interactions with the octet \( \eta \) meson, \( \eta \bar{N}(g_{\eta NN}^{(0)} + g_{\eta NN}^{(1)} N^{a}) \). Thus we aim to determine
\[
C_{SP} = C_{SP}(d_{\pi n}, d_{\pi p}, g_{\pi NN}^{(0)}, g_{\pi NN}^{(1)}),
\]
that can be induced in particular by two-photon exchange diagrams. The hadronic-scale interactions in (4) are in turn induced by more fundamental sources, such as \( \theta_{\text{QCD}}, \) quark EDMs and chromo EDMs \([4]\). In what follows, we will examine the leading dependencies in (5), and explore the induced sensitivity to fundamental \( CP \)-violating hadronic sources.

Semileptonic operators induced by \( CP \)-odd nucleon polarisabilities. — When the underlying sources of \( CP \) violation are hadronic and the nuclei of interest are spinless, the semileptonic couplings \( C_{SP} \) in (1) can be generated by two-photon exchange processes via \( CP \)-odd nucleon polarisabilities,
\[
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \bar{N}(\beta_{\pi} + \tau^{3} \beta_{\eta}) N F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = (\beta_{\pi} \bar{p} p + \beta_{\eta} \bar{n} n) E \cdot B.
\]

Application of an external electric field \( E \) leads to an induced magnetic dipole moment \( \beta E \), and the sign in (6,7) is chosen to coincide with the \( CP \)-even polarisability convention, \( \mathcal{L} = a_{\text{pol}} E^2/2 \).

A complete calculation of the \( CP \)-odd nucleon polarisability is a complicated task, but at the nucleon level it can be performed using chiral perturbation theory. The leading order (LO) terms arise at \( O(m_{\pi}^{-2}) \) in the pion mass \( m_{\pi} \), as shown in Fig. 1, and are given by
\[
\beta_{p(n)}^{\text{LO}} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi F_{\pi} m_{\pi}^{2}} \left[ g_{\pi NN}^{(0)} + g_{\pi NN}^{(1)} N^{a} \sqrt{3} m_{\pi}^{2} F_{\pi} \right],
\]
where \( F_{\pi} \approx 92 \text{ MeV} \) is the pion decay constant, and \( F_{\eta} \) is the octet \( \eta \)-meson decay constant, which we take to be \( F_{\eta} \approx F_{\pi} \). The appearance of the factor \( \alpha/\pi \) in this formula is due to the one-loop nature of the \( \pi^{0}\gamma\gamma \) vertex.

We have neglected small isospin-breaking effects, \( \eta - \eta' \) and \( \pi^{0} - \eta \) mixings, as well as \( g_{\pi NN}^{(1)} \), as the only singlet contribution of \( \eta \) proves to be important in the concrete examples below. We next address the first formally subleading correction, which emerges from a charged-pion loop that interacts with \( E \), while the magnetic moment

![Diagram](https://example.com/diagram.png)
of the nucleon interacts with $B$ (see Fig. 2). The next-to-leading order (NLO) result arises at $O(m^{-1}_π)$, and is given by

$$\beta_{k}^{NLO} = \frac{g_\pi^{2}}{4m_{\pi}^2m_{N}} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
-\mu_{n}/\mu_{N} & \text{for } k = p, \\
-B_{p}/\mu_{N} & \text{for } k = n, 
\end{array} \right. $$

where $g_\pi \approx 1.3$ is the axial triplet coupling, $m_{N}$ is the nucleon mass, $\mu_{n,p}$ are the nucleon magnetic dipole moments, and $\mu_{N}$ is the nuclear magneton. We observe that this answer is numerically rather larger than would have naively been expected, in part as a result of the large values of $\mu_{n,p}$.

To compute the contributions to $C_{SP}$, we next perform the integral over the diphoton loop, which is soft compared to the hadronic scales that were integrated out above, and average the result over the nucleon content in a nucleus. We find, to logarithmic accuracy, a known result for the semi-leptonic operator in the contact approximation:

$$G_{F} C_{SP}(\beta) \approx \left( \frac{Z}{A} \beta_{p} \frac{N}{A} \beta_{n} \right) \frac{3\alpha m_{e}}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{\Lambda_{UV}}{m_{e}} \right).$$

In the limit of a pointlike and structureless nucleus, the UV cutoff scale $\Lambda_{UV}$ is different for the LO and NLO contributions: for the LO terms, it is set by the $\pi/\eta$ form factor (i.e., a hadronic scale related to the $\rho$ meson mass $m_{\rho}$), while for the NLO process, $\Lambda_{UV} \approx m_{\pi}$ due to the presence of the pion propagators in the charged-pion loop. The nuclear size, which sets the value of the atomic $s-p$ mixing matrix element induced by $C_{SP}$, does not play any role in regularising the integral, which extends down to $\sim m_{e}$. We also note that going beyond the logarithmic approximation in the NLO case would prevent the factorisation of the photon and pion loops, and would necessitate a full two-loop calculation.

Thus far, we have neglected the fact that the internal nuclear dynamics may affect the values of the $\beta$ coefficients, and also lead to additional contributions to the $C_{SP}$ coefficients. For example, the pion loop calculation in the NLO process above assumed that the intermediate nucleon propagator is “free”, while in reality it will be modified by nuclear in-medium effects. Moreover, EDMs of individual nucleons will lead to semi-leptonic operators that do not reduce to the simple $E \cdot B$ nuclear polarisability — we now address these types of processes.

**Semileptonic operators induced by nuclear EDMs.** — Let us consider the semileptonic processes in Fig. 3 that correspond to the exchange of two photons between atomic electrons and nucleons, with internal nuclear excitations. In this case, we assume that the nucleons possess both magnetic ($\mu$) and electric ($d$) dipole moments, as defined in (4) for the latter. We consider the simplest non-interacting Fermi-gas model of the nucleus, and single nucleon excitations. Integrating over the temporal component of the loop momentum leads to the following result per nucleon,

$$G_{F} C_{SP}^{(\beta) \mu d}(\mu) \approx \frac{4m_{e}\alpha m_{d}}{3\pi^{2}} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{|k|^{4}}$$

where $k$ is the spatial part of the loop momentum. The integral in this case is dominated by the residue near the nucleon pole, with the virtual electron and photons deeply off-shell. Note also that Schiff’s screening theorem [26] does not apply to the semileptonic processes under consideration, since the interaction of one of the virtual photons with the nucleon (or nucleus) is magnetic in nature.

To generalise to the case of a nucleus with $A \gg 1$ nucleons, we have to average the product $\mu d$ over the $p-n$ content of the nucleus, and evaluate the integral over the spatial loop momenta. If the initial nucleon momentum is $p$, where in the Fermi-gas model $|p| \lesssim p_{F}$, then the intermediate nucleon momentum typically lies above the Fermi surface, $|p + q| \gtrsim p_{F}$. This provides the IR
regularisation of the integral in (11) that can be readily computed in terms of $p = |p|$ and the Fermi momentum $p_F$,
\[
\int \frac{d^3k}{|k|^4} = \frac{4\pi}{p_F^4} \left[ \frac{1}{2(1-x^2)} + \frac{1}{4x} \ln \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right) \right], \quad x = p/p_F.
\]
(12)

Averaging over $x$ with the normalised $3x^2dx$ distribution in the interval $0 < x < 1$ leaves a logarithmic divergence as $x \to 1$ from the first term in (12). We regularise this average by taking into account the finite number of nucleons in a nucleus, $x_{\text{max}} \approx 1 - 1/(3A)$:
\[
\frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \int \frac{d^3k}{|k|^4} \right) \approx \frac{3}{4p_F} \ln (A),
\]
(13)

where $p_F \approx 250$ MeV is the Fermi momentum of the nucleon. The contribution (11), generalised to a heavy nucleus, then takes the form:
\[
C^{(\mu d)}_{SP} \approx \frac{8\sqrt{2}m_e\alpha^2 \ln(A)}{G_{F}p_Fm_N} \left( \frac{Z}{A} \mu_p d_p + \frac{N}{A} \mu_n d_n \right) \left( \frac{A}{\mu_N e} + \frac{N}{\mu_N e} \right)
\approx 3.4 \times 10^{-11} \times \left( \frac{d_p - d_n}{10^{-24} e\text{ cm}} \right),
\]
(14)

where we have averaged the product $(\mu d)$ over all nucleons in the nucleus. (In the second line above, we have presented an approximate expression for a heavy nucleus with $A \sim 200$ and $Z/A \sim 0.4$, for which the orthogonal combination $d_p + d_n$ can be neglected.)

The result (14) does not exhaust all possible nuclear contributions. In particular, $CP$-violating hadronic sources will also induce contributions to the $E \cdot B$ polarisability from the near-outer-shell nucleons which will depend on the details of the nuclear structure. The calculation of such effects goes beyond the scope of this work. We believe that the bulk contribution of nucleons, which is enhanced in the atomic/molecular EDM by the factor $O(A)$, is adequately captured by our treatment above.

Constraints on $CP$-violating parameters. — We now turn the current experimental limit on $C_{SP}$ given in (3) into constraints on the fundamental parameters characterising $CP$ violation in the hadronic sector. Using the $\mu - d$ interactions of protons inside the nucleus (14), and neglecting $d_n$, which is already constrained directly, we derive a novel bound
\[
|d_p|_{\text{ThO}} < 2 \times 10^{-23} e\text{ cm},
\]
(16)

which is only a factor of 100 less stringent than the limit derived from the constraint on the $Hg$ EDM [12].

Next we address constraints related to the LO $\pi^0$ exchange, when the neutron and proton contributions add constructively, which is the case with the $\tilde{g}_{\pi NN}^{(1)}$ coupling. We immediately obtain the limit
\[
|\tilde{g}_{\pi NN}^{(1)}| < 4 \times 10^{-10},
\]
(17)

that upon use of the QCD sum-rule estimate of $\tilde{g}_{\pi NN}^{(1)}(\tilde{d}_q)$ [27] can be translated into a limit on the isovector combination of light-quark colour EDMs (CEDMs):
\[
|\tilde{d}_u - \tilde{d}_d| < 2 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}.
\]
(18)

These limits are less stringent than the ones derived from $Hg$ EDM experiments using constraints on the nuclear Schiff moment. However, the most recent nuclear calculations of the dependence of the $Hg$ Schiff moment on the $\tilde{g}_{\pi NN}^{(1)}$ coupling indicate significant sensitivity to assumptions about the underlying nuclear structure [28], which also propagates to substantial uncertainty in the limits on CEDMs inferred from the $Hg$ EDM bound. Our results in (17,18) provide a completely independent limit on $\tilde{g}_{\pi NN}^{(1)}$, with less theoretical uncertainty.

We are now ready to address perhaps the most interesting quantity: the limit on the QCD vacuum angle provided by EDM experiments in paramagnetic systems. To this end, we can utilise the chiral-limit results for the nucleon EDMs, $\tilde{g}_{\pi NN}^{(0)}$, and $\tilde{g}_{\eta NN}^{(0)}$ induced by $\theta$:
\[
\tilde{g}_{\pi NN}^{(0)} = \frac{m_\pi \theta}{F_\pi} \langle |p| \bar{u}u - |d| \bar{d}d \rangle,
\]
(20)

where $m_s = m_u m_d/(m_u + m_d)$, and the strange quark contribution to $m_s$ has been neglected. The UV cutoff of the chiral loop [29] can be taken to be $\Lambda_{\text{UV}} \sim 4\pi F_\pi$, and the sub-log corrections have been neglected. [For a more in-depth treatment, one can use QCD sum-rule or lattice estimates of $d_N(\theta)$.] The nucleon matrix elements are known to some accuracy from hadron spectroscopy and lattice calculations. Using $(m_q - m_u)\langle |p| \bar{u}u - |d| \bar{d}d \rangle \approx 2.5$ MeV, $(m_d + m_u)\langle |p| \bar{u}u + |d| \bar{d}d \rangle / 2 \approx 38$ MeV and $\langle |p| \bar{s}s \rangle \approx 0.1 \langle |p| \bar{u}u + |d| \bar{d}d \rangle$ [30, 31], one finds $\tilde{g}_{\pi NN}^{(0)} \approx -0.017\theta$, in good agreement with e.g. [32, 33], and $\tilde{g}_{\eta NN}^{(0)} \approx 0.5\tilde{g}_{\pi NN}^{(0)}$.

With these values, we observe that the LO contributions of $\pi^0$ and $\eta$ exchange to $C_{SP}$ almost cancel for the $p - n$ composition of the Th nucleus, as well as other heavy nuclei. Given the considerable degree of uncertainty in the quark bilinear matrix elements, this cancellation can suppress the naive $\pi^0$ exchange contribution by an order of magnitude or more, rendering the LO result intrinsically very uncertain. However, we can combine the NLO contribution together with the $\mu - d$ contribution to obtain the following prediction for a heavy nucleus with $A \sim 200$ and $Z/A \sim 0.4$ (which includes nuclei of experimental interest such as Th, Tl, Hg, Hf and Xe):
\[
C_{SP}(\theta) \approx [0.1\text{LO} + 1.0\text{NLO} + 1.7(\mu d)] \times 10^{-2}\theta \approx 0.03\theta,
\]
(22)

where the numbers in parentheses show the LO, NLO and $\mu - d$ contributions to $C_{SP}$, respectively. Each number here can vary by as much as 50% (or more in the case
of the LO contribution) upon varying $\Lambda_{\text{UV}}$ and other parameters. (We also note that the IR scale in the NLO contribution, $m_\pi$, can be renormalised somewhat inside the nucleus due, e.g., to Pauli blocking, and a shift in the in-medium value for $m_\pi$.) With these caveats, the above result translates to the following limit on the QCD vacuum angle,

$$|\tilde{\theta}|_{\text{THO}} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-8}.$$  \hfill (23)

This is only a factor of about 100 less stringent than the limit extracted from neutron EDM experiments.

Discussion. — In this Letter, we have shown that paramagnetic EDM experiments, by virtue of their dramatic recent gains, are now exhibiting levels of sensitivity to hadronic sources of $CP$ violation that are becoming competitive with experiments focusing directly on the nuclear Schiff moment and the neutron EDM. When the source of $CP$ violation is localised in the hadron sector, it is well known that the top-quark/Higgs two-loop mechanism can give a large contribution to $d_e$ \cite{34}. On the other hand, as our paper demonstrates, when the main mediation mechanism is via light quarks, as is the case with the the theta term and light-quark (C)EDMs, the main pathway for communicating $CP$ violation to the EDMs of paramagnetic systems is via the $C_{SP}$ operator in (1), while $d_e$ cannot be neglected. This sensitivity arises through the two-photon generation of $C_{SP}$ that is coherently enhanced by the number of nucleons. We have considered two distinct two-photon exchange mechanisms for generating such $CP$-violating semileptonic operators: (i) the exchange of $\pi^0$ and $\eta$ mesons between atomic electrons and nucleons, as well as charged-pion loops generating $CP$-odd nucleon polarisabilities, and (ii) $CP$-odd nuclear excitations due to nucleon EDMs.

The most precise result in our analysis is the constraint on the triplet $g^{(1)}_{\text{NNN}}$ coupling, Eq. (17), where the main effect comes from $\pi^0$ exchange between unpaired electrons and the nucleus. This result is devoid of any substantial nuclear uncertainties. When converted to a limit on light-quark CEDMs, the uncertainty is significant \cite{27}, but future progress in lattice QCD calculations may reduce this substantially. The limits on other parameters, including $\tilde{\theta}$, are sensitive to the details of nuclear dynamics. We chose the simplest possible Fermi-gas model of the nucleus, exploiting the coherent nature of the effect, as $C_{SP}$ is contributed to by all nucleons inside a nucleus. We observe that for the $\mu - d$ contribution, there is a logarithmic enhancement, and the result (13) is also somewhat enhanced for the nucleon states close to the Fermi surface, which in turn are expected to be more sensitive to the details of nuclear dynamics. This suggests that our estimate of $C_{SP}^{(\mu d)}$ is probably correct only to within a factor of $\sim 2$, and would benefit from a more in-depth nuclear treatment. There are also reasons to suspect that the tree-level exchange of charged pions within a nucleus may provide some additional enhancement \cite{7} compared to the loop-level contributions considered here for the NLO and $\mu - d$ processes.

While less stringent by about two orders of magnitude than the limits inferred from the direct constraint on the neutron EDM \cite{4, 13}, the bounds derived via the novel mechanisms considered in this paper may soon become remarkably competitive. Future experimental progress with molecular EDM experiments, or potentially solid-state technologies (see, e.g., Refs. \cite{35–38}), may shrink this gap quite rapidly. The results derived in this paper may also be used for searches of time-dependent $CP$-odd hadronic parameters via EDM experiments in paramagnetic systems, similarly to the analysis performed on $d_n$ in \cite{39} following the theoretical work of \cite{40, 41}.
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