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A B S T R A C T

This study aimed to determine the value of ARL9 expression or methylation as a biomarker for LGG survival. We investigated the expression, methylation, prognosis and immune significance of ARL9 through bioinformatics analysis. ARL9 is negatively regulated by ARL9 methylation, leading to its low expression in LGG tissues. Both low ARL9 expression and hypermethylation predicted favorable OS and PFS in LGG patients, according to the TCGA database. Cox regression demonstrated that low ARL9 expression and ARL9 hypermethylation were independent biomarkers for OS. Moreover, three other glioma databases were utilized to verify the prognostic role of ARL9 in LGG, and the similar results were reached. A meta-analysis revealed that low ARL9 expression was closely relevant to better OS. Finally, ARL9 expression exhibited a close correlation with some immune cells, especially CD8+ T cells. ARL9 could constitute a promising prognostic biomarker, and probably plays an important role in immune cell infiltration in LGG.

1. Introduction

Low-grade glioma (LGG) represents the most common primary malignancy occurred in the brain, and LGG exhibits great intrinsic heterogeneity with regard to tumor biological behavior [1]. Despite comprehensive therapy for LGG, including neurosurgical resection, chemotherapy and radiotherapy, therapeutic resistance and tumor recurrence appear to be inevitable [2,3]. Some patients with LGG have indolent outcomes, while others may rapidly progress to high grade glioblastoma (GBM), which usually represents an unfavorable outcome [4]. Hence, finding a novel biomarker with high accuracy for predicting prognosis of LGG patients is urgently needed.

The ADP-ribosylation factor (ARF) family generally belongs to the RAS superfamily which plays an oncogenic role in the pathogenesis and metastasis of glioma [5,6]. Both ADP-ribosylation factor-like (ARL) 2 and ARL3, as the classic members of the ARF family, were reported to be expressed at low levels in glioma and their expression is inversely correlated with unfavorable prognosis among glioma patients [7,8]. ARL9 is a kind of novel GTP-binding protein, and is extremely conserved and ubiquitously expressed in eukaryotes [9]. However, as a novel member of the ARF family, the clinical and prognostic significance of ARL9 in glioma is still unknown, and its functional role in LGG has never been documented.

In this study, we first explored the differential expression of ARL9 mRNA in LGG tissues and normal tissues, analyzed the correlation between ARL9 expression and ARL9 DNA methylation in the LGG dataset of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), and assessed the prognostic significance of ARL9 expression and its DNA methylation. Then, we validated the prognostic role of ARL9 using data from the Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas (CGGA), Gravendeel and Repository for Molecular Brain Neoplasia Data (Rembrandt) databases. In addition, we performed a comprehensive meta-analysis to assess the overall prognostic significance of ARL9 using data from four public databases. Moreover, as substantial attention has been focused on the crucial role of the immune microenvironment in the progression of LGG [10–13], we also mined Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER) database to evaluate the potential correlation between ARL9 and immune infiltration levels in LGG. Finally, we examined the biological processes of ARL9 in which ARL9 is involved through gene enrichment analysis, to study the functional mechanism of ARL9 in LGG.

Abbreviations: LGG, Low-grade glioma; ARL9, ADP-ribosylation factor-like 9; OS, Overall survival; PFS, Progression-free survival; TCGA, the Cancer Genome Atlas; CGGA, Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas; Rembrandt, Repository for Molecular Brain Neoplasia Data; ROC, Receiver operating characteristic; GEPIA, Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis; TIMER, Tumor Immune Estimation Resource
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2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data mining from public databases

First, we searched an online website Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) [14], which is available at http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html, to investigate the differential expression of ARL9 mRNA in LGG tissues and normal tissues. Then, we downloaded the clinical data, transcriptional along with methylation profiles of patients with LGG from TCGA database via the Cbioportal website [15] (https://www.cbioportal.org/). The inclusion criteria were (1) patients with WHO grade II or III and (2) patients with complete clinical and transcriptional data. Moreover, we further downloaded clinical data and ARL9 mRNA expression data from three other public databases, namely, CGGA [16] (http://www.cgga.org.cn/), Rembrantd (http://gliov.is.bionf.cnio.es/) and Gravendeel (http://gliov.is.bionf.cnio.es/) to verify the prognostic role of ARL9 in LGG. A total of 1367 patients with confirmed LGG (TCGA: 527 patients; CGGA: 592 patients; Rembrant: 139 patients; Gravendeel: 109 patients) were included in this analysis.

2.2. Meta-analysis

The PubMed, Web of Science and Embase databases were systematically searched to identify all published studies on the association between ARL9 and prognosis of LGG or glioma. As this is the first study to investigate the prognostic role of ARL9 in LGG, no previous studies were obtained from the databases. Therefore, we could utilize the meta-analysis to assess the overall prognostic significance of ARL9 in patients with LGG from 4 datasets. Combined HR and 95% CI were calculated to evaluate the correlation of ARL9 expression with prognosis of LGG patients. The heterogeneity across four datasets was assessed by the Q test ($I^2$ statistics). A fixed-effects model would be selected for combination if no obvious heterogeneity ($I^2 < 50\%$). Otherwise, a random-effects model would be applied. The meta-analysis was completed using STATA 15.1 software.

2.3. TIMER database analysis

TIMER is a comprehensive website for the automatic analysis and visualization of association between immune infiltrates levels and a series of variables (https://cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer/) [17]. We assessed the correlation of ARL9 expression with the abundance of six kinds of immune cells (CD4 + T cells, CD8 + T cells, B cells, neutrophils, dendritic cells and macrophages) in LGG via the TIMER algorithm. Then, we also explored the prognostic value of ARL9 in LGG patients with different abundance of immune cells. In addition, we exploited the correlation module to estimate the correlation of ARL9 with the type markers of T cells (general), CD8 + T cells, B-cells, CD8 + T cells, neutrophils, monocytes, tumor-associated macrophages (TAM), M1 cells, M2 cells, dendritic cells, NK cells, Th1 cells, Th2 cells, Treg cells in LGG. These gene markers of immune cells were well illustrated in prior studies [18-19].

2.4. Gene ontology enrichment analysis

We applied GlioVis database (http://gliovis.bioinfo.cnio.es/) to complete the Gene ontology analysis. Patients with glioma from Rembrant dataset were initially divided into high ARL9 expression and low ARL9 expression groups. Differentially expressed genes between the two groups were selected with the false discovery rate less than 0.05. Gene terms with $|\log FC| \geq 1$ combined with $P$ value less than 0.05 were viewed as significant. Then we chose Gene ontology enrichment analysis and biological process to explore the functional role of ARL9 in glioma.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using the Medcalc program (version 19.4) or GraphPad Prism (version 6.0). Low and high ARL9 expression groups were established based on the median ARL9 mRNA expression value in the separate datasets. Similarly, ARL9 hypomethylation and hypermethylation groups were established according to the median value of ARL9 DNA methylation in the TCGA-LGG dataset. The relationships between ARL9 expression or its DNA methylation and a series of categorical variables were analyzed by chi-square or Fisher exact-tests. The difference in continues indexes with normal distribution between two groups was determined by Student’s t-test and continues indexes with skew distribution were inspected by a nonparametric test. The correlation of ARL9 expression with ARL9 DNA methylation level was measured by Pearson correlation coefficient. Moreover, we employed univariate along with multivariate Cox regression models to probe whether ARL9 expression was an independent prognostic index in patients with LGG. Kaplan-Meier curves were utilized to evaluate the prognostic significance of ARL9 expression along with ARL9 DNA methylation. Time dependent-receiver operating characteristic (td-ROC) analyses were utilized to assess the predictive performance of ARL9 in predicting OS. $P$-values less than 0.05 on both sides were statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. The clinical and prognostic value of ARL9 expression and methylation according to TCGA database

The RNA-sequencing data of 518 LGG tissues from TCGA and 207 normal samples from the GTEx project were analyzed with GEPIA, and we found that ARL9 mRNA was lowly expressed in LGG tissues, while highly expressed in normal tissues (Fig. 1A). This differential expression was also confirmed by data from the Rembrant dataset (Fig. 1B). As exhibited in the heatmap (Fig. 1C), we could observe a strong negative correlation ($r = -0.76, P < 0.0001$) between ARL9 expression and ARL9 DNA methylation (Fig. 1D). The distribution of 24 ARL9 CpG sites was clearly exhibited in Fig. 1E. Then Pearson correlation analysis was exploited to identify the ARL9 CpG sites at which methylation was most strongly correlated with ARL9 mRNA expression. As listed in Table S1, except for 3 CpG sites (cg09992215, cg10737307 and cg01382100), methylation of the remaining CpG sites well correlated with the expression of ARL9. We selected the most relevant CpG sites ($|r| > 0.7$, $P < 0.0001$), as demonstrated in Fig. 2, to investigate the prognostic values of these significant ARL9 DNA CpG sites in patients with LGG. Kaplan-Meier plots demonstrated that high levels of methylation of the selected CpG sites were correlated with not only more favorable OS (Fig. 2A-J) but also better PFS (Fig. 2K-T) among patients with LGG. Then, the LGG patients in TCGA were dichotomized into low or high subgroups when divided by the median value of ARL9 expression or ARL9 methylation. We implemented the chi-square test to study the detailed correlation of ARL9 expression as well as ARL9 methylation with a panel of clinical features. As shown in Table 1, the expression of ARL9 was closely correlated with age ($P < 0.0001$), histological type ($P = 0.0282$), family history of cancer ($P = 0.0473$), molecular subtype ($P < 0.0001$), radiotherapy ($P = 0.0015$), living status ($P = 0.002$) and ARL9 methylation ($P < 0.0001$). Similarly, the level of ARL9 methylation was affected by gender ($P = 0.0391$), histological type ($P = 0.0351$), molecular subtype ($P < 0.0001$), radiotherapy ($P = 0.0412$), living status ($P = 0.0225$) and ARL9 expression ($P < 0.0001$).

We utilized survival analyses to assess the association between ARL9 expression as well as ARL9 methylation and prognosis of patients with LGG. As depicted in Fig. S2, we discovered that patients with low ARL9 expression had an approximately 2 fold longer OS than that with high ARL9 expression ($HR = 0.4885$, 95% CI = 0.3465–0.6889,
Subgroup analyses were further implemented based on WHO grade, IDH1-mutation and histological type. We observed that low ARL9 expression was more closely correlated with favorable OS than high ARL9 expression in LGG patients with WHO grade III (P = 0.0002) and astrocytoma (P < 0.0001). Moreover, we also discovered that LGG patients with low ARL9 expression usually exhibited much better PFS than those with high ARL9 expression (HR = 0.6023, 95% CI = 0.4574–0.793, P = 0.0003, Fig. S2). Subgroup analyses
revealed that low ARL9 expression was remarkably correlated with better PFS than high expression of ARL9 in LGG patients with WHO grade II ($P = 0.0469$), IDH1-wt ($P = 0.0048$), IDH1-mutation ($P = 0.0362$) and astrocytoma ($P = 0.0006$). Regarding the prognostic value of ARL9 methylation, patients with ARL9 hypermethylation exhibited longer OS ($HR = 0.4681$, $95\% CI = 0.3298–0.6645$, $P < 0.0001$) or PFS time ($HR = 0.4945$, $95\% CI = 0.3726–0.6561$, $P < 0.0001$) than those with ARL9 hypomethylation (Fig. S3). Subgroup analyses demonstrated that ARL9 hypermethylation was remarkably correlated with better OS or PFS than ARL9 hypomethylation in LGG patients with WHO grade II, grade III and astrocytoma. Furthermore, we conducted the univariate along with multivariate Cox regression analyses to probe the independent prognostic variables of patients with LGG, and we found that both low ARL9 expression ($HR = 0.52$, $95\% CI = 0.283–0.957$, $P = 0.036$) and ARL9 hypermethylation ($HR = 0.40$, $95\% CI = 0.213–0.753$, $P = 0.004$) were independent prognostic variables for favorable OS (Table S2). Similarly, ARL9 hypermethylation ($HR = 0.45$, $95\% CI = 0.278–0.728$, $P = 0.001$) was an independent prognostic marker for favorable PFS (Table S2).

3.2. The clinical and prognostic significance of ARL9 expression according to the CGGA database

First, we used nonparametric test to compare the difference in ARL9 mRNA expression in groups divided by age, gender, cancer type, WHO grade, 1p19q codeletion and IDH1-mutation ($P = 0.0362$) and astrocytoma ($P = 0.0006$). Regarding the prognostic value of ARL9 methylation, patients with ARL9 hypermethylation exhibited longer OS ($HR = 0.4681$, $95\% CI = 0.3298–0.6645$, $P < 0.0001$) or PFS time ($HR = 0.4945$, $95\% CI = 0.3726–0.6561$, $P < 0.0001$) than those with ARL9 hypomethylation (Fig. S3). Subgroup analyses demonstrated that ARL9 hypermethylation was remarkably correlated with better OS or PFS than ARL9 hypomethylation in LGG patients with WHO grade II, grade III and astrocytoma. Furthermore, we conducted the univariate along with multivariate Cox regression analyses to probe the independent prognostic variables of patients with LGG, and we found that both low ARL9 expression ($HR = 0.52$, $95\% CI = 0.283–0.957$, $P = 0.036$) and ARL9 hypermethylation ($HR = 0.40$, $95\% CI = 0.213–0.753$, $P = 0.004$) were independent prognostic variables for favorable OS (Table S2). Similarly, ARL9 hypermethylation ($HR = 0.45$, $95\% CI = 0.278–0.728$, $P = 0.001$) was an independent prognostic marker for favorable PFS (Table S2).

To independently verify the prognostic significance of ARL9, we analyzed the results of microarray data of 139 LGG patients from the Rembrandt dataset and RNA sequencing data of 109 patients with LGG from the Gravendeel dataset. We employed Kaplan–Meier analysis to investigate the association between low ARL9 and OS in LGG patients (Fig. S5), and we found that low ARL9 was closely correlated with more favorable OS than high ARL9 both in the REMBRANDT dataset ($HR = 0.5735$, $95\% CI: 0.3870–0.8499$, $P = 0.0059$) and Gravendeel dataset ($HR = 0.4272$, $95\% CI: 0.2723–0.6703$, $P = 0.0002$). Subgroup analyses of Kaplan–Meier curves were conducted based on WHO grade, and we observed that low ARL9 was significantly correlated with much...
and no significant heterogeneity among the 4 datasets was observed. The expression and OS in 1367 cases of LGG patients was 0.57 (0.49–0.67), p = 0.278 (Fig. 4). Hence, we could confidently conclude that low ARL9 expression is a strong predictor of favorable OS among patients with WHO II in this dataset.

### 3.4. Meta-analysis and predictive performance of ARL9 expression

As no previous studies have reported an association between low ARL9 expression and OS among patients with LGG, we only included the results generated from four different datasets in meta-analysis. The pooled HR along with 95% CI for the association between low ARL9 expression and OS in 1367 cases of LGG patients was 0.57 (0.49–0.67), and no significant heterogeneity among the 4 datasets was observed (I² = 22.2%, P = 0.278, Fig. 4). Hence, we could confidently conclude that low ARL9 expression is a strong predictor of favorable OS among patients with LGG. Moreover, ROC curve analysis was applied to determine the predictive value of ARL9 expression for OS. The area under the curve (AUC) was calculated to predict the 1-year, 3-year and 5-year OS of LGG patients, and the ROC curves (Fig. 5B) showed that ARL9 expression could predict 1-year, 3-year and 5-year OS with good predictability in LGG patients based on four datasets.

### 3.5. Relationships of ARL9 with immune cells and PD-L1

The TIMER database was searched to estimate the correlations of ARL9 mRNA expression with immune cell infiltration. As illustrated in the scatter plots (Fig. S7), the expression of ARL9 was negatively correlated with immune infiltration of CD4+ T cells (r = −0.115, P = 0.0118), and positively correlated with B cells (r = 0.357, P = 0.00492), CD8+ T cells (r = 0.00065). No association was discovered between ARL9 expression and macrophage (r = 0.03, P = 0.513), or dendritic cell (r = 0.076, P = 0.0988) infiltration. In addition, we focused on the correlations of ARL9 expression with the markers of 14 immune cells in LGG using the TIMER database (Table S3). As shown in Fig. 5, after adjusting for purity, the results demonstrated that ARL9 expression was remarkably correlated with most immune markers of T cells (general Fig. 5A), CD8+ T cells (Fig. 5B), B cells (Fig. 5C), dendritic cells (Fig. 5D), M1 cells (Fig. 5E), M2 cells (Fig. 5F), Th1 cells (Fig. 5G), Th2 cell (Fig. 5H) and Treg cells (Fig. 5I) in LGG. Moreover, due to the promising prospect of immunotherapy, we further determined the association between ARL9 expression and PD-1 (Fig. 5J) and PD-L1 (Fig. 5K).

Given that expression of ARL9 was related to the immune...
infiltration in LGG, and that low ARL9 expression was also correlated with good OS in LGG, we speculated that ARL9 expression affected the prognosis in patients with LGG partly due to immune infiltration. Therefore, we further employed the Kaplan-Meier curves to validate our hypothesis (Fig. S8), and the results revealed that low ARL9 expression in enriched B cell (Fig. S8A), CD8+ T cells (Fig. S8B), CD4+ T cells (Fig. S8C), macrophages (Fig. S8D), neutrophils (Fig. S8E) and dendritic cells (Fig. S8F) was associated with more favorable prognosis in patients with LGG. Collectively, the above results indicated that low ARL9 expression may affect prognosis of patients with LGG partially due to immune infiltration.

3.6. ARL9-related signaling pathways in glioma

To gain insight into the functional role of ARL9 in glioma, we exploited the GlioVis database to determine the potential biological processes. The Rembrandt dataset was selected for Gene Ontology and the results are exhibited in Fig. 6. ARL9 is involved in pathways of extracellular structure organization, extracellular matrix organization, skeletal system development, gliogenesis, osteoblast differentiation, and glial cell differentiation among others. Of note, ARL9 was implicated in gliogenesis and glial cell differentiation, and abnormal expression of ARL9 might lead to the occurrence and progression of glioma.

4. Discussion

In our study, we analyzed the clinical and prognostic role of ARL9 mRNA expression as well as ARL9 methylation in LGG according to TCGA database. For the first time, we discovered low expression of
Fig. 5. The expression of ARL9 was related to a panel of gene markers of immune cells, including T cell (A), CD8+ T cell (B), B cell (C), dendritic cell (D), M1 cell (E), M2 cell (F), Th1 cell (G), Th2 cell (H) and Treg cell (I).

Fig. 6. ARL9-related biological pathways in glioma.
ARL9 expression. In previous studies [31–33], the association between CpG sites at which the ARL9 DNA promoter was methylated, methylation with ARL9 expression. Among the remaining 21 significant cg09992215, cg10737307 and cg01382100, showed significant association between ARL9 DNA promoter at which methylation is significantly correlated with ARL9 expression. We further identified the specific CpG sites in the ARL9 DNA promoter and survival in four public databases. Encouragingly, low ARL9 expression was highly relevant with more favorable OS among LGG patients than high ARL9 expression. This conclusion was further confirmed by multivariate Cox regression which revealed that low ARL9 expression was a potent prognostic factor of OS in LGG patients. Finally, we adopted a meta-analysis to integrate four databases to assess the overall prognostic value of ARL9, and the pooled data of 1367 patients further proved that low ARL9 expression was truly associated with favorable OS among LGG patients. Collectively, our analyses emphasized that ARL9 is a promising biomarker for predicting prognosis of patients with LGG.

Previous studies [10,22–24] pointed out that tumor-infiltrating immune cells have emerged as a key regulator of tumor growth and progression in LGG. Tumor-infiltrating immune cells are part of the complex microenvironment and are associated with the biological behavior and patient survival in LGG [25–29]. In the present study, we observed that ARL9 expression was positively correlated (r = 0.357) with CD8+ T cells in LGG tissues. We further analyzed the cell markers (CD8A and CD8B) of T cells, and obtained consistent results. A recent study [22] reported that activated CD8+ T cells could secrete CCL4 which recruits microglia to secrete CCL5, a key growth factor for LGG stem cell survival. Although no study has provided insight into the correlation of ARL9 with immune cells, expression of ARF3, which belongs to the ARF family, also well correlated with the high abundance of CD8 + T cells, and these results were similar to the results from ARL9. Taken together, these results demonstrated that ARL9 was implicated in the tumor immune microenvironment mainly through the regulation of CD8+ T cells in LGG.

Increasing evidence [4,30] proves that abnormal DNA methylation occupies an essential role in the induction and progression of LGG. In our analysis, we first determined whether the ARL9 methylation status could influence ARL9 mRNA expression through Pearson coefficients. A potent negative correlation (r = −0.76) between ARL9 methylation and ARL9 mRNA expression existed in LGG tissues. This negative correlation could well explain the low expression of ARL9 in LGG tissues. Then, we further identified the specific CpG sites in the ARL9 DNA promoter at which methylation is significantly correlated with ARL9 mRNA expression. Surprisingly, all the CpG sites except for cg09992215, cg10737307 and cg01382100, showed significant associations with ARL9 expression. Among the remaining 21 significant CpG sites at which the ARL9 DNA promoter was methylated, methylation of 10 CpG sites showed very close correlation (|r| > 0.7) with ARL9 expression. In previous studies [31–33], the association between specific gene expression and its DNA methylation ranged from weak to moderate, and very few genes that are strongly regulated by DNA methylation were reported. In addition, we also investigated the prognostic significance of ARL9 DNA methylation and tumor progression in LGG. Moreover, we also verified the prognostic role of ARL9 expression in three other datasets, and the results all emphasized the promising prognostic value of ARL9 expression in LGG patients. Our meta-analysis containing 1367 LGG patients from 4 different databases further demonstrated that low ARL9 expression was an independent prognostic variable of OS in LGG patients. Finally, our analyses revealed that the levels of immune infiltration and a list of immune markers were significantly correlated with ARL9 expression in LGG. To our knowledge, our analyses provide novel insights into the prognostic role of ARL9 and potential role of ARL9 in the tumor immunology of LGG.

Some oncogenes implicated in the pathogenesis of gliomas have been identified and documented [20,21], but less is known about the clinical and prognostic role of ARL genes. Among the genes, the ARL9 has only been reported to be negatively correlated with malignant progression in prostate tumor [9], but has never studied in LGG. In this study, we systematically explored the association between low ARL9 expression and survival in four public databases. Encouragingly, low ARL9 expression was highly relevant with more favorable OS among LGG patients than high ARL9 expression. This conclusion was further confirmed by multivariate Cox regression which revealed that low ARL9 expression was a potent prognostic factor of OS in LGG patients. Finally, we adopted a meta-analysis to integrate four databases to assess the overall prognostic value of ARL9, and the pooled data of 1367 patients further proved that low ARL9 expression was truly associated with favorable OS among LGG patients. Collectively, our analyses emphasized that ARL9 is a promising biomarker for predicting prognosis of patients with LGG.

5. Conclusion

ARL9 is downregulated in LGG and negatively regulated by DNA methylation in LGG. Either low ARL9 expression or ARL9 hypermethylation predicts favorable prognosis in LGG patients. Moreover, ARL9 expression potentially contributes to the regulation of T cells, B cells and macrophage cells. Hence, ARL9, which is negatively regulated by DNA methylation, probably plays an important role in the infiltration of immune cells, and could act as a promising prognostic biomarker in LGG patients.
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