Reconstruction after pancreatic trauma by pancreaticogastrostomy
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1. Introduction

Pancreatic lesions are very infrequent after closed abdominal trauma (5% of cases) with a complication rate that affects 30–40% of patients, and a mortality rate that can reach 39% [1,2]. In our experience, closed abdominal traumatisms occurring at typical popular horse-riding festivals in our region constitute a high risk of pancreatic trauma. The purpose of the present paper is to raise awareness about our experience in the diagnosis and treatment of pancreatic lesions secondary to closed abdominal trauma.

2. Presentation of case

2.1. Case 1

A 15-year-old female who suffered closed abdominal trauma and abdominal crushing after falling from a horse presented to the emergency room (ER) with abdominal pain and hemodynamic instability. A Focused Abdominal Sonography for Trauma (FAST) was performed which revealed the presence of free fluid. The intraoperative findings showed small hepatic laceration. During the immediate postoperative period there was no clinical improvement, and high levels of amylase in the serum were observed. Thus, an abdominal computed tomography (CT) was requested. It reported a complete section at the pancreatic isthmus level. The patient was transferred to our center where an urgent re-intervention was performed. Image findings were confirmed intraoperatively and, after checking the viability of the pancreatic tissue, a proximal closure was performed with mechanical suture and distal posterior pancreaticogastrostomy (Fig. 1). The pancreaticogastrostomy was performed on the back side of the greater curvature of the stomach with non-absorbable monofilament suture dashed through an anterior assistance gastrostomy, which was subsequently closed with a continuous monofilament suture. For the introduction of the pancreas into the stomach, four transmural sutures were used. After completing the anastomosis, the latter were removed. The evolution was satisfactory reaching oral intake on the third postoperative day and the drainage was removed after ruling out postoperative pancreatic fistula (POPF).
Fig. 1. The image shows the intraoperative findings and the reconstruction phase in Section 2.1. The black arrow indicates the line of pancreatic section at the isthmus. The white arrow shows the pancreaticogastric anastomosis through the anterior assistance gastrostomy.

Fig. 2. Correlation of radiological and intraoperative CT imaging where the entire section of the pancreatic gland in the neck is observed. The arrows indicate the pancreatic head and body-tail, respectively.

After one and a half years of follow-up the patient is now asymptomatic.

2.2. Case 2

A 16-year-old female came to the ER after a closed abdominal trauma caused by a horse kick. Physical examination showed epigastric abdominal pain with no signs of peritoneal irritation, as well as significant blood amylase levels. Suspecting pancreatic gland compromise, a CT was performed with unspecific report and, subsequently, a magnetic resonance cholangiography (MRCP) was also performed where a complete pancreatic fracture at the pancreatic isthmus was observed. During the exploratory laparotomy the radiological findings were confirmed (Fig. 2) and a closure of the cephalic pancreatic portion was performed with non-absorbable monofilament suture and distal posterior pancreaticogastrostomy. Reconstruction was performed following the same steps as those described in Section 2.1. Three days after the operation, she presented low-output of type A POPF, which was handled conservatively. Seventeen days after the operation the patient was discharged and eight months after the operation, she is currently asymptomatic.

3. Discussion

Abdominal high energy traumas, such as those caused by horse-riding accidents may provoke the transection of the pancreatic gland by crushing the retroperitoneal structures against the spinal column [1]. The most affected region is the neck in 37% of cases [2,4]. The retroperitoneal location of the pancreas means that the signs and symptoms caused by the lesion are unspecific and difficult to objectify [5]. Evidence suggesting a potential pancreatic injury includes a history of high-energy trauma in the epigastrium [6]. Regarding other initial complementary tests, we acted in accordance with Advanced Trauma Life Support protocols in ER [2,6,7]. 40% of patients with pancreatic injury present normal serum amylase levels [1]. With some limitations, CT is the imaging test of choice for diagnosis and staging in the acute phase [4]. If the suspicion of pancreatic injury persists and the CT findings are negative, endoscopic retrograde choangiopancreatography (ERCP) and/or MRCP can prove useful. MRCP seems to be more effective than CT in assessing the integrity of the pancreatic duct in differential diagnosis but not in the acute phase [8]. The ERCP is the best imaging technique to visualize the Wirsung, but its availability is limited [1]. Once diagnosed, we stage the pancreatic injury according to the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma [4]. The pancreatic injury complete transaction (types III–V) is indication for surgical treatment [2]. The most extended surgical procedure for a complete traumatic pancreatic section in the neck is the resection of pancreatic body, tail, and spleen. On average, distal pancreaticectomy involves resections of 56% of the pancreatic gland [5,9]. While endocrine and/or exocrine failure of the pancreas is uncommon after pancreatic trauma if more than 20% of the glandular tissue is conserved, it is all the more important to conserve as much pancreatic tissue and spleen as possible in the case of young patients [1,10]. In the case of distal pancreas preservation, it is common to perform a pancreaticojejunal anastomosis and Roux Y. In our experience, with evidence of the complete lesion of the main duct at the neck level and after checking the viability of the pancreatic tissue intraoperatively, we chose to perform distal pancreatic preservation with pancreaticogastric anastomosis. After a thorough review of existing literature, we found no clear consistency in the choice of the surgical reconstruction technique after type III pancreatic trauma. The two most important determining prognostic factors are the state of the main pancreatic duct and the time from the injury to definitive treatment [1].

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, a safer option may be the distal pancreatic preservation with pancreaticogastric anastomosis in grade III lesions with healthy pancreatic tissue. We believe that further studies with larger series are needed to achieve greater evidence for this surgical technique.
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