PSEN1 L226F mutation in a patient with early-onset Alzheimer’s disease in Korea
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Abstract: In this study, we report a first 226 leucine (Leu) mutation to phenylalanine (Phe) in (PSEN1, CTC>TTC, L226F) in Asia from a Korean early-onset Alzheimer’s disease (EOAD) patient. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)–single strand conformation polymorphism, sequencing, and in silico predictions were performed. Previously, L226F was reported in EOAD patients by Zekanowski et al and Gómez-Tortosa et al. Disease phenotypes appeared in their thirties, and family history was positive in both cases. In our patient, age of onset was similar (37 years of age), but the mutation seemed to be de novo, since no affected family member was found. This leucine to phenylalanine substitution may cause additional stresses inside the transmembrane region due to large aromatic side chain and increased hydrophobic interactions with hydrocarbon chains in the membrane and its binding partners. Clinical phenotype of the mutation was aggressive progression into neurodegeneration, resulting in rapid cognitive decline. One of the patients was initially diagnosed with frontotemporal dementia, but the diagnosis was revised to AD upon postmortem studies in which Aβ plaques were seen. A second mutation, L226R, was found for the L226 residue. Similar to L226F, the patient with L226R also developed the first symptoms in his 30s, but EOAD was diagnosed in his 40s. These findings suggested that L226 might be an important residue in PSEN1, since mutations could result in neurodegenerative disease phenotypes at relatively young ages. There are mutations, such as L226F, which may not present clear clinical symptoms for the definitive diagnosis between frontotemporal dementia and AD. In addition, the similarities in the phenotypes could also be possible between AD and frontotemporal dementia, suggesting difficulties in differential diagnosis of various neurodegenerative diseases.
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Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of senile dementia, especially in the elder individuals, older than 65 years. Two main pathological phenotypes could be associated with AD: the amyloid β (Aβ) accumulation in senile plaques and the neurofibrillary tangle formation, outside and inside of the nerve cells, respectively. AD could be distinguished as early-onset AD (EOAD) and late-onset AD, which occur under and above 65 years of age, respectively. Majority of AD cases occurred over 65 years of age. EOAD was reported quite rarely (5%–10% of all AD cases), but their genetic backgrounds were well understood, involving three main genes for AD, APP, PSEN1, and PSEN2. Mutations in the abovementioned genes could be validated as causative factors for AD, especially EOAD, and their inheritance pattern is autosomal dominant. However, there are several cases of genetic EOAD, in which no family history of disease has been observed, called de novo case of AD. The APP gene encodes a 770-amino acid long protein, which is cleaved by two enzymes, β and γ-secretases, producing a 42-amino acid long amyloid β protein (Aβ42) and a 38-amino acid long amyloid β protein (Aβ40), respectively. Aβ has two conformations, soluble and insoluble, that can be detected using ELISA. Aβ plaques and neurofibrillary tangles are detected using histopathological study.
the beta- and gamma-secretases, resulting in 39–43 bp Aβ peptides, which are the main inclusions of amyloid plaques. Insoluble Aβ, located in plaques, or fibrillar Aβ may not be toxic. However, Aβ in soluble oligomer form could result in neuronal loss and reduced synaptic activity. Soluble Aβ dimers could be released from the plaques, resulting in neurotoxicity. In APP, >30 mutations have been described, which were established as pathogenic variants. PSEN1 and PSEN2 genes were suggested to be involved in gamma secretase activity. Most of the PSEN1 mutations have been reported as gain-of-function mutations, which could increase the gamma secretase cleavage. However, additional reports revealed that some mutations such as P264L, P267S, L435F, and C410Y may interfere with enzyme function, suggesting that they could affect through loss-of-function activities. Majority of genetic EOAD cases correlated with mutations in PSEN1. PSEN2 was described as a rare causative gene, for EOAD, but emerging studies have reported novel, possibly or probably pathogenic variants. Several clinical phenotypes, such as late-onset AD, amyloid angiopathy, hemorrhagic stroke, and dementia with Lewy Bodies, were described in PSEN2 carriers.

Based on two main databases for AD mutations, the Alzheimer Research Forum or Alzforum (http://www.alzforum.org/mutations) and AD and frontotemporal dementia (FTD) mutations database (http://www.molgen.ua.ac.be/admutations), >200 mutations were found in PSEN1 for EOAD. The age of disease onset could be mostly 40–50 years, but several mutations were associated with young-onset AD in their 30s. The associated disease phenotype with PSEN1 mutations could be aggressive and rapid progression of AD occurs. Alternative phenotypes, such as motor neuron symptoms, FTD, and spastic paraparesis, also appeared in patients with PSEN1 mutations. In this article, we report a case of a female patient with EOAD, who developed EOAD at the age of 37 years. Family history was negative, since no additional affected family member or relative was found. Hence, this patient may belong to a known de novo mutation of PSEN1 L226F, which was previously discovered in European EOAD patients.

Patients and methods

Patient information
The Ethical Committee of the Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital approved the study. The patient and her parents gave consent to publish this case report and the accompanying images. The proband patient developed anxiety and paranoid ideation about her husband at the age of 37 years. The family members thought that it might be due to her recent moving to an unfamiliar town. But the progressive memory deficits and difficulties in daily household chores became more evident.

When she was thoroughly assessed on cognitions at the age of 39 years, the score on mini-mental state examination was 10, and she demonstrated severe deficits in multiple cognitive domains. Her speech was fluent but showed poor performances on Boston naming test. Her calculation, praxis, visual function on Rey complex figure copy, and frontal executive functions on stroop test (both word and color) were impaired. The most distinct deficits were observed in memory tests. She could not remember any items on delayed recall of Rey complex figure test and Seoul verbal learning test.

Frontal releasing signs were noted on neurological test, but there were not any other focal deficits. On brain magnetic resonance imaging, suspicious bilateral hippocampal and distinct bilateral parietal cortical atrophy were noted. Subsequently, 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography was taken, which demonstrated severe hypometabolism in bilateral parietal regions (Figure 1). Thereafter, she has taken cholinesterase inhibitors and memantine, but her cognitive declines were rapidly progressed. Her speech became nonfluent and finally mutic. And the slowness of the movements with increased muscle rigidity became evident with time. She was bedridden for one and a half years before her death at age 44 years. The follow-up brain CT was taken 1 year before her death, which demonstrated diffuse severe brain atrophy (Figure 1). No detailed family tree is available on the patient, since we have information only of her first-degree relatives. This case might be a de novo case of AD, since no additional affected family member was found.

Genetic screening
Buffy coat was isolated after centrifugation at 800×g for half an hour. The genomic DNA was purified by following the protocol of GeneAll blood kit (Seoul, Korea). DNA samples were stored at −20°C before the analysis. In this project, a genetic analysis was performed with specific PCR primers of APP, PSEN1, and PSEN2. PCR products were subjected to single strand conformation polymorphism analysis (Figure 2A). Formamide was added to the PCR products, and these mixtures were incubated at 98°C for 10 minutes, to denature the double-stranded DNA bands. Native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (10%–12%) was performed for 20–22 hours. The ssDNAs could have different mobility in the gel, depending on the variants in the DNA. SYBR
Gold staining (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) was used for visualization of DNA bands. To confirm and identify the mutation, all PCR products were duplicated and sequenced at both directions (Figure 2B). Sequencing was performed by the BioNeer Inc. (Daejeon, Korea). Prior to sequencing, PCR products were purified by GeneAll PCR kit, by following the protocol. Big Dye Terminator Cyclic sequencing was performed, and ABI 3730XL DNA Analyzer (http://eng.bioneer.com/home.aspx; Bioneer Inc.) was used for the sequencing. Sequencing results were aligned by NCBI Blast (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), and the chromatograms were analyzed by DNA BASER (http://www.dnabaser.com) software. Mutations and sequence variants were identified by NCBI Gene (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene) and UniProt (http://www.uniprot.org) databases. Since pathological overlap may occur between EOAD and other early onset forms of neurodegenerative disorders, such as prion diseases and FTD, patients have also been screened for PRNP, progranulin (PGRN), and microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) genes.

Figure 1 Neuroimaging data.
Notes: T2-Fluid attenuated inversion recover images of brain magnetic resonance images taken 2 years after the symptom onset demonstrate diffuse cortical atrophy, more severe in the bilateral parietal lobes (A–D). 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography demonstrates a marked hypometabolism in the bilateral temporoparietal areas (E–H). A follow-up computed tomography taken 6 years after the onset showed a marked atrophy in whole brain (I–L).

Figure 2 Data of genetic analysis for the AD patient with PSEN1 L226F.
Notes: (A) SSCP data of patient with L226F mutation, located at position 2 (2*). Numbers 1, 3, and 4 are wild-type samples (B). Sequencing data of PSEN1 L226F. (C) Location of L226F in PSEN1 protein.
Abbreviations: SSCP, single strand conformation polymorphism; AD, Alzheimer’s disease.
In silico modeling

Mutation was analyzed by two kinds of in silico online tools: PolyPhen-2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/) and Sorting Intolerant from Tolerant (SIFT; http://sift.jcvi.org/), which make predictions on the pathogenic properties of missense mutations. PolyPhen-2 compares the normal and mutant proteins using different categories, such as homology searching and multiple sequence alignment. It also performs identity- and structure-based searches, such as accessible surface area and hydrophobicity features. These predictions might define the potential role of amino acid alterations in the protein structure. Three categories of mutations could be distinguished: probably, possibly damaging, and benign variants, depending on the scores of prediction. PolyPhen2 also provides a multiple sequence alignment, which compared the homologous sequences from different vertebrate as well as nonvertebrate species. In addition, if the three-dimensional (3D) protein structure might be available, it could provide a structural comparison between the wild-type and mutant protein. Two types of data sets are available: the HumDiv and HumVar scores. HumDiv could be used for the prediction of pathogenic nature of rare alleles. In HumDiv scores, the mildly damaging protein exchanges should be treated as possibly damaging alleles. HumVar would be used in the case of Mendelian disorder diagnosis, where highly damaging phenotypes should be distinguished from the alleles with less damaging phenotypes. SIFT algorithm can predict the potential effects of exchanges missense point mutations on protein function. In SIFT, different databases, such as SWISS-PROT, SWISS-PROT/TrEMBL, and protein databases, of NCBI are used. The software calculates the possibility on the deleterious properties of variants by comparing the alleles with mutation and the normal ones. SIFT scores the amino acid exchanges, which can be defined as deleterious or tolerated, depending on the scores. Under and over the score of 0.05, mutations could be damaging and tolerated, respectively.

Structure prediction

3D structures of PSEN1 with mutation(s) were conducted by Raptor X, which is an online software (http://raptorx.uchicago.edu/). Protein structure prediction server used the full amino acid sequence of PSEN1 (1–467 amino acids). Discovery Studio 3.5 Visualizer from Accelrys (Seoul, Korea) was used to display superimposed images.

Results

Single strand conformation polymorphism gel mobility revealed the presence of potential mutation in PSEN1 exon 7 (Figure 2A). After DNA sequencing PCR product of exon 7, a missense variant, L226F (CTC→TTC), was identified (Figure 2B and C), which was already verified as pathogenic mutation.23 Family members of patient were also screened for the possible mutation, but all of them were negative for L226F. Hence, this mutation might be a de novo. Patient was negative for any pathogenic or possibly pathogenic mutations in PRNP, PGRN, and MAPT genes.

PSEN1 (chromosome 14, 73,603,142-73,690,399) L226F was checked in the Korean Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDC) database (http://www.cdc.go.kr/). In the KCDC, whole genome sequencing was performed in 622 healthy control individuals. PSEN1 L226F did not appear in these controls. Mutation was also checked in the ExAC Data set (Exome Aggregation Consortium; http://exac.broadinstitute.org/about), which screened the sequence of 60,706 unrelated individuals. This database is useful in disease-associated analyses and population genetic studies. PSEN1 L226F was also missing in ExAC database, which additionally supports its pathogenicity.

PolyPhen-2 prediction suggested this mutation as “probably damaging” variant with both 1.00 HumDiv and HumVar scores. Multiple sequence alignment suggested that L226 might be conservative among the presenilin-or presenilin-like proteins in different vertebrate species. Leucine was located in the same position of homolog proteins in sequences from different mammalian species, such as in mouse, horse, marmoset, hamster, and rat. Leucine was also located at the same residue in the presenilin- or presenilin-like sequences of other vertebrate species, such as chicken, toad, and zebrafish. Nonvertebrate sequences were not available in the multiple sequence alignments. SIFT also revealed the L226F as pathogenic (damaging) mutation with the score of 0.

The 3D modeling might confirm the data performed by Zekanowski et al25 before 2012. Leucine and phenylalanine are both nonpolar and hydrophobic amino acids. Phenylalanine is one of the biggest amino acids with higher hydrophobicity, which is usually located inside the protein core. Since the L226F mutation site was located at the outside of the 3D structure, its analysis suggested that this mutation might result in extra stress to the helix structure by increasing hydrophobic interactions between the PS1 and its binding partners. The benzene ring of phenylalanine could also result in disturbances inside the helix structure (Figure 3).

Discussion

PSEN1 L226F is a known pathogenic mutation, which was discovered in European EOAD patients earlier (Table 1). Clinical phenotypes of FTD were also reported in patients
with this mutation. This is the third case report of L226F mutation in AD patients. In addition, this is the first case of reporting PSEN1 L226F in a probably de novo case of AD. L226F was revealed in a Polish patient for the first time, who was clinically diagnosed with FTD. However, his symptoms were not typical FTD, and the autopsy and neuropathological examinations, based on Braak staining, confirmed the AD diagnosis according to the CERAD and NIA-Reagan criteria. In this case, the family history was positive, since the mother of the patient was also diagnosed with an unknown form of dementia at the age of 33 years. Mean age of death was 41 years. In silico modeling was performed by the same study, and they suggested that L226F exchange might promote increased hydrophobic interactions between the benzene rings of F226 and Y225 due to increased surface of transmembrane area. In addition, L226F might increase the hydrophobic interactions within domains of PSEN1 protein.  

PSEN1 L226F was expressed in HEK293 cells, and it was coexpressed in APP with the Swedish mutation. Elevated Aβ42, Aβ40, and Aβ42/Aβ40 were seen, compared with the cells, which expressed wild-type PSEN1.

The second case of L226F was described in a female patient and in her father from a Spanish (Caucasian) family. The proband’s father died 12 years after the diagnosis with progressive form of dementia. In the proband patient, the first symptoms appeared at the age of 33 years, which were depression, dysarthria with nonfluent speech, tremor, and memory problems. Later, her symptoms became worse with increased level of memory deficit, and dysgraphia and aphasia appeared in the language.

An additional mutation for codon 226, L226R, was described in four members of a Spanish family (Table 2). The proband patient had encephalopathy at the age of 33 years, followed up at 49 years with AD diagnosis. This patient had also motor skill delay in his childhood. Additional affected family members were also found, since the mother and the aunt of the patient were diagnosed with EOAD. Interestingly, the son of the affected aunt was clinically diagnosed with Pick’s disease.

Our data were the first case of PSEN1 L226F mutation in an Asian patient without any family history of dementia. Our patient revealed similar age of onset as that of previously diagnosed PSEN1 L226F EOAD cases, since the clinical symptoms appeared before 40 years (between 33 years and 36 years). However, both European cases were associated with positive familial EOAD (Table 1), but our patient did not.

![Figure 3 3D structure prediction for PSE1 protein with L226F mutation, comparing to the normal PSE1 protein. Abbreviation: 3D, three dimensional.](image-url)

### Table 1 Comparisons of dominant features of patients with PSEN1 L226F

| Case            | Zekanowski et al (2006)25 | Gomez-Tortosa et al (2010)27 | Korean patient |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Age of onset    | 33 years                   | 33 years                    | 37 years       |
| Family history  | Yes; mother, clinical YOD | Yes; father, clinical YOD   | None           |
| Symptoms        | Early behavioral, frontal signs, subsequent severe recent memory loss, mild parkinsonism, progress to mutism | Early depression, dysarthria, cognitive decline, nonfluent aphasia, Parkinsonism | Early paranoid ideation and anxiety, cognitive decline, nonfluent aphasia, progress to mutism, late Parkinsonism |
| Neuroimaging    | Frontal atrophy on CT; severe hypertrophy in frontal areas on SPECT | Diffuse cortical atrophy on MRI | Diffuse cortical atrophy on MRI; marked cortical hypometabolism especially in bilateral parietal regions on FDG-PET |
| Age of death    | 38 years                   | 42 years                    | 44 years       |
| Autopsy         | AD pathology               | AD pathology                | Not done       |

**Abbreviations:** AD, Alzheimer’s disease; CT, computerized tomography; FDG-PET, fluorodeoxyglucose glucose positron emission tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; YOD, young-onset dementia.
not have any family history of dementia. Hence, this Korean patient with \textit{PSEN1} L226F might be a de novo case of mutation, since no affected family members were found. Variants in \textit{PSEN1} were reported as the most common causative mutations for EOAD, and several \textit{PSEN1} mutations, such as L85P,\textsuperscript{29} L113Q,\textsuperscript{30} and H163P,\textsuperscript{31} were identified with young-onset dementia that occurred under 40 years of age.\textsuperscript{6}

\textit{PSEN1}-associated disease was usually reported in classical EOAD, but alternative phenotypes, such as spastic paraparesis and myoclonus and seizures, may be possible. In these cases, patient could develop FTD-like syndrome.\textsuperscript{32}

L226F was discovered first in a patient, who was initially diagnosed with FTD, and the diagnosis was later suggested as AD from neurological tests. A few additional mutations in \textit{PSEN1}, such as L113P, insR352 and G183V, M233L, were reported, where FTD phenotypes or Pick-type tauopathy appeared (Table 3).\textsuperscript{25} L113P was found in a French family, with the age of onset of 38–50 years. Patients developed personality and behavioral changes and spatial orientation. CT and single-photon emission computed tomography scans showed frontotemporal atrophy and hypoperfusion in the frontal lobes, respectively. Mutation appeared only in the affected family members and was absent in the asymptomatic ones.\textsuperscript{33} G183V was discovered in a Belgian individual, who developed FTD-like dementia at the age of 52 years. Patient developed personality changes, such as apathy, overeating, and frontal disinhibition. Pick bodies, tau-positive neuronal inclusions appeared in the patient’s brain, but Aβ deposits were missing.\textsuperscript{34} The M233L carrier patient developed the first symptoms at the age of 39 years, which included becoming detached and disengaged in daily activities, impairment in communications, altered eating habits (overeating), and becoming paranoid. Significant drop was observed in her mini-mental state examination scores, and impairment was found in her clock test drawing. PET screening revealed hypoperfusion and hypometabolism in the posterior parietal areas and in prefrontal, parietal, and temporal cortex, respectively.\textsuperscript{32} R352ins (ins353R) was discovered in an FTD case, but it coexisted with a MAPT mutation (A239T) and with a nonsense PGRN mutation (R493X). This case was associated with an ubiquitin-positive but Tau-negative form of FTD (which mostly occurs in PGRN-mutant patients). Currently,

\begin{table}[ht]
\centering
\caption{Comparisons of the distinct characteristics between the patients with \textit{PSEN1}, L226F, and L226R}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Case} & \textbf{L226F} (Zekanowski et al [2006];\textsuperscript{15} Gomez-Tortosa et al [2010];\textsuperscript{27} this case) & \textbf{L226R} (Coleman et al [2004])\textsuperscript{29} \\
\hline
\textbf{Age of onset} & 33–37 years & <49 years \\
\textbf{Symptoms} & Early behavioral symptom; cognitive decline; nonfluent aphasia; finger tapping; rapid progress to death (5 years, 7 years, and 9 years of survival after onset) & Motor and speech developmental delay; 12 month- to 2-year history of substance abuse; diagnosed as encephalopathy at the of age 33 years; diagnosed as severe AD at 49 years \\
\textbf{Autopsy} & Compatible with AD & Compatible with AD \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[ht]
\centering
\caption{Comparisons of \textit{PSEN1} mutations, which could be associated with FTD-like phenotypes}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Mutation} & \textbf{L113P} & \textbf{G183V} & \textbf{L226F} & \textbf{M233L} & \textbf{R352ins} \\
\hline
\textbf{Disease phenotype} & FTD-like dementia & Pick’s disease & EOAD and FTD-like phenotypes & FTD-like dementia & FTD, but pathogenic nature unclear \\
\hline
\textbf{Age at onset} & 38–52 years & 52 years & 33–37 years & 39 years & Unknown \\
\textbf{Family history} & Positive & Positive & Positive or de novo & Unknown, no affected family members found & Unknown \\
\hline
\textbf{Symptoms} & Personality- and behavioral changes, spatial orientation & Personality changes; apathy, overeating, or frontal disinhibition & Behavioral changes; nonfluent aphasia; cognitive decline; Parkinsonism; rapid progress to death & Behavioral changes: became detached and disengaged in daily activities, impairment in communication, overeating, became paranoid & FTD; PET: hypoperfusion and hypometabolism in the posterior parietal areas, and in prefrontal, parietal, and temporal cortex, respectively \\
\hline
\textbf{Neuroimaging} & CT: frontotemporal atrophy SPECT: hypoperfusion in the frontal lobes & Severe atrophy in the frontotemporal lobes & Frontal- and cortical atrophy FDG-PET: cortical hypometabolism especially in bilateral parietal regions & Ubiquitin-positive but Tau-negative form of FTD & \textbf{Abbreviations:} FTD, frontotemporal dementia; EOAD, early onset Alzheimer’s disease; CT, computed tomography; SPECT, Single-photon emission computed tomography; FDG-PET, Single-photon emission computed tomography. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
it is unclear whether the PSEN1 mutation could be associated with pathogenic disease phenotype. These findings revealed a possible clinical overlap between AD and FTD, suggesting that PSEN1 may be a candidate gene for FTD-type dementia. All patients who are suspected with EOAD or FTD should be screened for APP, PSEN1, PSEN2, PGRN, and MAPT genes. In our future research, more complex genetic profiling will be performed on our samples. We are planning to perform a gene panel analysis with neurodegenerative disease-causing and risk factor genes, as well as candidate genes. We have designed primers for these genes, and next-generation sequencing approaches are planned with them. We believe that a complex genetic screening could improve the disease diagnosis as well as the therapeutic approaches.
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