With the development of preparation technology, obtaining boron nitride nanotubes (BNNTs) is no longer difficult, but it is still not easy to balance the quality and purity of the obtained products using existing methods. In this work, we investigated a previously reported MgB2 catalyst to explore the synthesis of BNNTs at a higher temperature in a conventional chemical vapor deposition (CVD) system from a classic B/MgO precursor. Various characterization methods showed the high activity of MgB2 at 1400 °C and the superiority of the as-grown BNNTs in terms of purity and quality. Further reference experiments and element characterization measurements were also performed to verify the role of MgB2 in the growth of the BNNTs, finding that B/MgO/MgB2 is a simple and efficient precursor.

1. Introduction

Boron nitride nanotubes (BNNTs) as a structural analog to carbon nanotubes (CNTs), not only share their similar high strength, stiffness, thermal conductivity, and low density, but also possess some unique properties. For example, strong resistance to oxidation, excellent electrical insulation, superhydrophobic ability, catalytic properties and biocompatibility and so on. However, development of their applications is far behind other low dimensional nanomaterials such as CNTs or graphene because it is challenging to produce high quality BNNTs.

For the preparation of BNNTs, the early used methods were adjusted from methods used to produce CNTs, such as arc discharge, laser heating, inductive thermal plasma and floating catalyst chemical vapor deposition (CVD). Among them, the excessive high growth temperature/pressure (up to 5000 K/1.4 MPa) made the combination of boron and nitrogen extremely violent and difficult to control, resulting in serious contamination of the BNNTs. Furthermore, the corrosiveness, toxicity and explosiveness of the boron source used in the floating catalysis CVD had significant hidden dangers in terms of laboratory safety. The quality of the BNNTs obtained using template substitution was largely inherited from the original CNTs, and the residual carbons were difficult to remove. Some studies have also shown that the transition metal in the precursor did not participate in the formation of BNNTs in the ball-milling and thermal annealing method, and they were a product of the nitridation reaction rather than a catalyst reaction. In contrast to the methods described above, boron oxide chemical vapor deposition (BOCVD) used B/MgO as a highly efficient precursor to obtain BNNTs with a high quality and purity. However, the yield of the nanotubes was not satisfactory until Chunyi Zhi added FeO into the precursor. Meanwhile, Chee Huei Lee’s team developed the growth vapor trapping approach to grow BNNTs in a conventional horizontal tub furnace, which proved that MgO, Ni or Fe might be the catalyst for BNNT growth.

Our group had also been studying the efficient preparation and application of BNNTs for many years. We initially started with the BOCVD method, and selected B/MgO/Fe2O3 as the precursor to grow BNNTs and used ammonium tungstate as a promoter. However, these methods failed to meet our expectations. Therefore, we analyzed the reactions involved in the BOCVD process and the physicochemical properties of the related substances, believing that MgB2 was an efficient catalyst, BNNTs were found on the substrate whether it was directly...
added or synthesized in situ. Pervaiz Ahmad’s team also found that MgB₂ could not only act as the catalyst, but also directly react with NH₃ and form BNNTs.

We have confirmed that MgB₂ can react with various boron sources and efficiently catalyze the growth of BNNTs, but the preparation conditions and catalytic mechanism have not been studied. In this paper, we directly introduced MgB₂ as the catalyst to grow BNNTs with a high quality and purity from a B/MgO precursor in a conventional horizontal tubular furnace. The morphology, composition and structure of the as-grown BNNTs were thoroughly analyzed. Direct element characterization proved that MgB₂ can still function as the catalyst at a higher temperature (1400 °C), and further reference experiments also show its indispensable role and the complementarities between MgB₂/B/MgO.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

Boron was purchased from China New Metal Materials Technology Company Limited, MgO was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Company Limited, and MgB₂ was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All chemicals were of analytical grade and used as received, without further treatment. The high purity gases (99.999%, Ar, NH₃) were purchased from the Linde Gas (China) Company Limited.

2.2 Preparation of the BNNTs

The precursor used for the growth of the BNNTs was prepared in two steps. First, a certain amount of B and MgO (2 : 1, molar ratio) was weighed and grounded. Then, a certain amount of grounded MgB₂ was weighed and mixed with the previously obtained B/MgO powder to form the precursor (140 mg, B : MgO : MgB₂ = 2 : 1 : 1.5, molar ratio) for BNNT growth. The BNNTs were synthesized in a horizontal tube furnace (Hefei Kejing Materials Technology Co., LTD, GSL-1700X) with a temperature zone of approximately 60 cm, consisting of an alumina tube that was 120 cm in length and 60 mm in diameter. Before heating, the alumina boat containing the precursor and a piece of clean Si/SiO₂ substrate were placed in the center of the tube (Fig. 1a). Subsequently, the furnace temperature was maintained at 1400 °C for 2 h in 200 standard cubic centimeters per minute (sccm) NH₃. After that, the system was cooled naturally to room temperature in Ar.

2.3 Characterization

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained on a field-emission SEM (Hitachi S4800, Japan). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed on a Tecnai G2 F20S-TWIN with an operating voltage of 200 kV equipped using energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). The X-ray diffraction information of the BNNTs was obtained by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, D8 Advance) using Cu Kα radiation (45 kV and 40 mA). XRD data was collected at room temperature, using Cu-Kz (λ = 1.5418 Å) X-rays. The additional acquisition parameters were: 2θ range, 10–90°; scan rate, 0.02° s⁻¹. Diffraction patterns were referenced against the JCPDS database for sample identification. Raman spectroscopy spectra were collected over the spectral range of 1000 to 2000 cm⁻¹ using a LabRam ARAMIS Raman confocal microscope (Horiba HR800 Raman system) equipped with a 532 nm laser. The chemical nature of the prepared BNNTs were characterized

![Fig. 1](image-url) A schematic illustration of the experimental setup (a), and an optical image (b) and representative SEM images (c) and (d) of the BNNTs grown on the silicon substrate.
using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, Thermo Scientific Instruments, model NicoletiN10), and the spectroscopy of the BNNTs was measured at room temperature with a wave number range of 400 cm\(^{-1}\) to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\). The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) measurements were performed on a Netzsch TG209 F1 under air, with a ramping rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\). The cathode luminescence (CL) spectroscopy of the BNNTs was carried out using a thermal field-emission electron microscope at room temperature (Quanta 400 FEG).

3. Results

A schematic diagram of the experimental setup, optical and SEM images of the as-grown BNNTs deposited on Si/SiO\(_2\) substrate is shown in Fig. 1a. Under optimal conditions, the snow-white products completely cover the surface of the substrate, indicating the strong catalytic activity of MgB\(_2\) (Fig. 1b). For the convenience of taking photos, the substrate was moved from above the MgB\(_2\) catalyst and rotated 180°. SEM characterization was also used to examine the microstructure of the white products. As shown in Fig. 1c, no structure other than a large-area of dense and silky BNNTs was observed, showing the purity of the BNNTs, which is evidence of the effectiveness of our synthesis strategy. The lengths of these BNNTs were roughly estimated to be approximately 100 \(\mu\)m using the SEM image (Fig. 1d).

The TEM images showed that the BNNTs possessed a long and straight tubular structure (Fig. 2a). Some dark spots that appeared on the wall of the nanotube (Fig. 2b) were a result of the internal double helix structure generated from the ionic bond interaction between the boron and nitrogen atoms,\(^{35,36}\) which had been observed in previous works.\(^{32}\) High resolution TEM images of a nanotube are presented in Fig. 2c and d, respectively. Fig. 2c shows that the nanotube is a multi-walled structure. The interplanar spacing of the wall was approximately 0.33 nm (Fig. 2d), which is characteristic of a \(d_{(002)}\) spacing of h-BN.\(^{27}\) The spots in the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of the nanotube can be indexed as the (002) and (004) crystal plane of the h-BN layers (Fig. 2e). This confirmed the high crystal quality of the as-grown nanotubes. The EDX spectrum indicates the existence of BN (Fig. 2f). The strong peaks for B and N with a molar ratio of about 1 : 1 also confirm the nanotube composition and ratio of the as-grown BNNTs. The weak peaks observed for Cu, O, Mg and Si are a result of the copper mesh, MgO and MgB\(_2\) in the precursor and the scraped silicon substrate, respectively.

XRD characterization was also carried out on the BNNTs supported by the silicon substrate (Fig. 3a). The sharp and dominant peak at approximately 26.7° indicating an interplanar distance of 0.333 nm was indexed as the (002) crystal plane of h-BN (JCPDS card no.45-0896). The other characteristic peaks of h-BN at approximately 54.9° corresponded to the (004) crystal plane of the BNNTs. This was consistent with the TEM results (Fig. 2d and e). In addition to the reflections from h-BN, the peak at approximately 42.9° belonged to residual MgO (JCPDS card no.45-0916), and the weak peaks marked by “\(\bigtriangleup\)” belonged to Mg\(_3\)B\(_2\)O\(_6\) (JCPDS card no. 38-1475). These peaks can be further reduced by washing with dilute hydrochloric acid,\(^{38}\) then the obtained BNNTs would be purified.

---
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Fig. 2  (a) and (b) Low magnification TEM images of the prepared BNNTs, (c) and (d) high magnification TEM images of an individual BNNT, and (e) and (f) SAED and EDX spectra of the prepared BNNTs.
The Raman spectrum of the BNNTs is shown in Fig. 3b. The dominant peak located at 1368 cm\(^{-1}\) has a full width at half maximum (FWHM) value of approximately 15.9 cm\(^{-1}\). This peak was attributed to the truism E\(_{2g}\) in-plane vibration mode of BN\(^{39,40}\). Compared with the corresponding mode in the bulk h-BN\(^{41}\) (with an E\(_{2g}\) of 1365 cm\(^{-1}\) and FWHM of \(~8\) cm\(^{-1}\)), the peak shown in Fig. 3b is broadened and has shifted to a higher wave number, but still showed a good crystallinity, which is consistent with above described TEM and XRD results.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy was also conducted to characterize the structure of the BNNTs (Fig. 3c). Three absorption frequency regimes were found at 1520, 1360 and 795 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. The peak centered at 1520 cm\(^{-1}\) is Raman inactive, and is only revealed when the tube curvature induces a strain on the h-BN networks, which only exists in the spectrum of high purity BN crystals\(^{28}\). The absorption bands at 1360 and 795 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to the in-plane stretching modes of the h-BN network\(^{42-44}\). The strong peak at 1360 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to the B-N stretching vibration mode perpendicular to the c-axis. The weak absorption at 795 cm\(^{-1}\) is associated with the B-N-B bending vibration mode parallel to the c-axis.

Thermogravimetric analysis was further performed to elucidate the thermal stability of the BNNTs and compared with commercial CNTs (Fig. 3d). All of the samples were heated up to 800 °C at a rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) in air. For BNNTs, almost no weight change was observed, indicating that the oxidation of the BNNTs had not yet taken place. On the other hand, the CNTs started to decompose at approximately 500 °C and were completely decomposed at 700 °C.

Based on the results described above, the BNNTs deposited on the silicon substrate were not only pure, but also had a high crystallinity, and the oxidation resistance in the air was also consistent with h-BN, showing that MgB\(_2\) is a highly efficient catalyst at a higher growth temperature.

The CL is the light emitted by a material under electron bombardment, which is a useful technique that can be used for characterization of the luminescence properties of nanostructures. Fig. 3e depicts a CL spectrum taken from the BNNTs under an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. The board UV band (\(~379\) nm) was attributed to the defect-related centers (B or N defect trapping sites) or intrinsic impurities in the nanotubes. The CL image recorded at approximately 379 nm indicates that the emissions came from the BNNTs\(^{45}\). The CL result showed that the as-grown BNNTs catalyzed by MgB\(_2\) could be potentially applied to photovoltaic devices in the ultraviolet band.\(^{45}\)

### 4. Discussion

In order to understand the mechanism of MgB\(_2\) catalyzing BNNT growth at a higher temperature (1400 °C), additional experiments were carried out. Firstly, only B/MgO was used as the precursor to grow BNNTs under a NH\(_3\) atmosphere. It was observed that only horn-like products were deposited on the silicon substrate (Fig. 4a), which are significantly different from the BNNTs observed in Fig. 1c and d. No BN peak appeared in the XRD pattern (Fig. 4a), which are significantly different from the BNNTs observed in Fig. 1c and d. No BN peak appeared in the Raman spectrum.

This may be because the melting points of B and MgO are 2180 °C and 2852 °C respectively, they are still solid at the growth temperature. The precursor powder only underwent simple grinding, the particle size of which is much larger than the nanoparticles required for nanotube growth.\(^{46}\) Namely, the physical properties and particle size of B and MgO do not meet the requirements of the vapor–liquid–solid (VLS) mechanism for...
catalysts. In addition, B and MgO can undergo side reactions generating borate with a higher melting point, and then leave the system (as Mg₃B₂O₆ in Fig. 3a). A lack of MgB₂ leads to the side reaction (forming borate), reducing the quality and quantity of the product. Meanwhile, MgB₂ maintains a stable chemical structure and a liquid state at 800–1500 °C, the solubility of which is also suitable for gaseous B₂O₃ and NH₃, therefore it has the potential to catalyze the growth of the BNNTs.

Fig. 4  SEM images, and XRD and Raman spectra of the products obtained from B/MgO (a), (b) and (c) and MgO/MgB₂ (d), (e) and (f), respectively.

Fig. 5  Line scanning EDX spectra of the tip (c) and center (d) of the prepared BNNTs and the corresponding TEM images (a) and (b).
Secondly, MgB$_2$ contains boron, an experiment between MgB$_2$ and MgO was conducted to verify whether it could be used as a boron precursor. The results of SEM, XRD and Raman were close to that of the reaction between B and MgO except for the size of the horn-like products (Fig. 4d) and the even weaker Raman peak (Fig. 4f). No clear diffraction peak was observed in the XRD pattern (Fig. 4e). This indicates that the three components, MgB$_2$/B/MgO, in the precursor are indispensable for the growth of BNNTs.

Thirdly, EDX cross-section scans on the tip and middle of a BNNT were performed to further verify the role of MgB$_2$. The elemental composition of the tip of the BNNT was different from that of other parts. In addition to the B and N that made up the BNNTs (Fig. 5c and d), a certain amount of Mg was also detected in the tip (Fig. 5a and c). Considering that MgB$_2$ and BNNT both contain the boron element, this can be regarded as the existence of MgB$_2$ in the tip of BNNTs, namely proof that the MgB$_2$ nanoparticles catalyze the BNNT growth. The oxygen element in Fig. 5a originated from the decomposed boron source (B$_2$O$_3$), which was wrapped inside the nanotube before being detached from the MgB$_2$ nanoparticles.

Taken together, the presence of MgB$_2$ is indispensable to the growth of BNNT, and the nanoparticles were also detected in the tip of a nanotube. This not only showed that the growth of BNNT did indeed meet the VLS mechanism, but also the nanoparticles of MgB$_2$ serve as an efficient catalyst. Separate experiments between the components also showed that the three components were interdependent, MgB$_2$/B/MgO were indispensable for the growth of the BNNTs.

5. Conclusions

In summary, BNNTs with high quality and purity were grown using a MgB$_2$ catalyst and a B/MgO boron-containing precursor in a conventional horizontal tube furnace. The purity of the nanotubes was characterized using optical microscopy, SEM, and FTIR studies. The quality of the BNNTs was verified using TEM, XRD, Raman, and TG analysis. The results from CL characterization showed the excellent UV emission performance of the as-grown BNNTs. Additional experiments explained the rationale for using the three components in B/MgO/MgB$_2$ and the effects of MgB$_2$ on the growth of BNNTs. Elemental characterization on the tip of a BNNT further detected the presence of a MgB$_2$ nanoparticle, proving that MgB$_2$ is still an efficient catalyst at 1400 °C.
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