Gene Silencing via PDA/ERK2-siRNA-Mediated Electrospun Fibers for Peritendinous Antiadhesion
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1. Introduction

Gene silencing via small interfering RNA (siRNA) has revolutionized the downregulation of specific genes in recent years, with a potential value of billions of US dollars.[1] Its rapid advancement offers new avenues, not only to investigate gene function and associated downstream signals, but also to block undruggable targets for the management of gene-related disorders.[2] Nevertheless, the therapeutic potential of siRNA can be impeded by premature serum degradation, renal clearance, and inefficient cell entry.[3] As such, siRNA therapies have recently been designed using viral or nonviral vectors, mainly involving liposomes,[4] cationic polymers,[5] and peptide conjugation.[6] Unfortunately, the transient silencing effects gradually became a main bottleneck in siRNA technology,[7] so there is an urgent need for sustained release from carriers and a consequent increase of the bioavailability of siRNA.

Sustained delivery of small interfering RNA (siRNA) is a challenge in gene silencing for managing gene-related disorders. Although nanoparticle-mediated electrospun fibers enable sustainable gene silencing, low efficiency, loss of biological activity, toxicity issues, and complex electrospinning techniques are all bottlenecks of these systems. Preventing peritendinous adhesion is crucial for their successful use, which involves blocking cellular signaling via physical barriers. Here, a multifunctional, yet structurally simple, cationic 2,6-pyridinedicarboxaldehyde-polyethylenimine (PDA)-mediated extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)2-siRNA polymeric delivery system is reported, in the form of peritendinous antiadhesion electrospun poly- lactic acid/hyaluronan membranes (P/H), with the ability to perform sustained release of bioactive siRNA for long-term prevention of adhesions and ERK2 silencing. After 4 days of culture, the cell area and proliferation rate of chicken embryonic fibroblasts on siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane are significantly less than those on P/H and siRNA+P/H membranes. The in vivo results of average optical density of collagen type III (Col III) and gene expression of ERK2 and its downstream SMAD3 in the siRNA+PDA+P/H group are less than those of P/H and siRNA+P/H groups. Consequently, siRNA+PDA+P/H electrospun membrane can protect the bioactivity of ERK2-siRNA and release it in a sustained manner. Moreover, adhesion formation is inhibited by reducing fibroblast proliferation and Col III deposition, and downregulating ERK2 and its downstream SMAD3.
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sustained availability of siRNA. Such systems are constructed through surface immobilization or direct bulk incorporation of siRNA, with or without a transfection agent, in nanofibers. Among these systems, nanoparticles, formed by the electrostatic self-assembly of siRNA and loaded in electropun fibers, are potentially promising for the provision of advanced sustainable gene silencing, but complex techniques of electrosprinning are usually also required. Furthermore, when combined with electropun membranes and a drug delivery system, siRNA may lose its functional activity through the interaction with heat, organic solvent, and the oil/water interface. Moreover, certain types of nanoparticle, such as polyethylenimine (PEI), polyamidoamine dendrimers, polylysine, and chitosan, were shown to suffer from low efficiency and/or toxicity issues when incorporated into scaffolds. In this context, both the need to protect siRNA during electrosprinning and the low transfection efficiency of nanoparticles may limit their physiological potential.

Peritendinous adhesion is one of the most common complications after tenolysis, resulting in severe limb disability. The pathology of adhesion involves the formation of peripheral adhesion tissues and subsequent invasion of adhesion tissues into the disrupted tendon. Recent efforts in this field have focused on antiadhesion tissue formation using electropun fibrous membranes and membranes with drug agents to pharmacologically enhance antiadhesion ability. Owing to the high porosity and large aspect area of electropun membranes, we previously incorporated ibuprofen and mitomycin-C into electropun fibrous membranes to prevent peritendinous adhesions.

However, as a challenge to overcome to achieve antiadhesion effects, adhesion tissue formation was not effectively blocked by these drug and fibrous combinations. It is now widely accepted that the successful inhibition of adhesion depends on blocking crucial cellular signaling and associated downstream signal pathways during adhesion formation. However, targeting the cellular signal that is crucial to the mechanism of adhesion is the key challenge here because this kind of targeting is usually referred to as blocking an undruggable gene without an inhibitor.

We previously reported that silencing extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)2 can directly inhibit fibroblast proliferation and indirectly reduce collagen type III (Col III) deposition by the key challenge here because this kind of targeting is usually referred to as blocking an undruggable gene without an inhibitor. However, as a challenge to overcome to achieve antiadhesion effects, adhesion tissue formation was not effectively blocked by these drug and fibrous combinations. It is now widely accepted that the successful inhibition of adhesion depends on blocking crucial cellular signaling and associated downstream signal pathways during adhesion formation. However, targeting the cellular signal that is crucial to the mechanism of adhesion is the key challenge here because this kind of targeting is usually referred to as blocking an undruggable gene without an inhibitor.

Fluorescent signals of siNC could be detected in the siNC-loaded (siNC+P/H) and siNC/PDA-loaded (siNC+PDA+P/H) PLLA/hyaluronan (HA) electropun nanofibrous membranes, and for siRNA in the siRNA-loaded (siRNA+P/H) and siRNA/PDA-loaded (siRNA+PDA+P/H) PLLA/HA electropun nanofibrous membranes, but not for P/H (Figure 2A). Furthermore, green fluorescent particles were observed in the siNC+P/H, siRNA+P/H, siNC+PDA+P/H, and siRNA+PDA+P/H electropun nanofibrous membranes, but not in the PLLA/HA electropun nanofibrous membrane (P/H). Based on scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observation of their morphology, these electropun fibrous membranes featured even fibers without beads (Figure 2B). The findings also revealed that these fibers were uniform in size, randomly interconnected in structure, and with a seemingly smooth surface.

The data on the characterization of the electropun fibrous membranes are summarized in Table 1. The diameters of the P/H, siNC+P/H, siRNA+P/H, siNC+PDA+P/H, and siRNA+PDA+P/H fibers were 1.62 ± 0.34, 1.97 ± 0.31, 1.92 ± 0.41, 2.02 ± 0.32, and 2.07 ± 0.33 µm, respectively.

2. Results

2.1. Characteristics of ERK2-siRNA Polyplexes

The ERK2-siRNA was effectively encapsulated into PDA polyplexes and harvested for in vitro transfection. The expression of 5′-FAM reporter genes was detected in transfected chicken embryonic fibroblasts (UMNSAH/DF-1) treated with ERK2-siRNA/PDA polyplexes (Figure 1A). By contrast, 5′-FAM-expressing cells could not be observed under a fluorescence microscope when treated simply with ERK2-siRNA.

To quantify the transfection efficiency of ERK2-siRNA/PDA polyplexes and ERK2-siRNA, we determined the transfection efficiency for UMNSAH/DF-1 cells using a flow cytometer. As shown in Figure 1B–D, the transfection efficiency of the ERK2-siRNA/PDA group (w/w = 10) was nearly 80%, which is significantly higher than in the ERK2-siRNA and blank control groups.

As shown in Figure 1E, the polyplexes were uniformly spherical with a smooth surface. The volume-based distributions of the polyplexes were 187 ± 13 and 188 ± 15 nm for ERK2-siRNA/PDA and small interfering negative control (siNC)/PDA polyplexes, respectively (Figure 1F,G).

2.2. Characterization of the Electropun Fibrous Membranes

Fluorescent signals of siNC could be detected in the siNC-loaded (siNC+P/H) and siNC/PDA-loaded (siNC+PDA+P/H) PLLA/hyaluronan (HA) electropun nanofibrous membranes, and for siRNA in the siRNA-loaded (siRNA+P/H) and siRNA/PDA-loaded (siRNA+PDA+P/H) PLLA/HA electropun nanofibrous membranes, but not for P/H (Figure 2A). Furthermore, green fluorescent particles were observed in the siNC+P/H, siRNA+P/H, siNC+PDA+P/H, and siRNA+PDA+P/H electropun nanofibrous membranes, but not in the PLLA/HA electropun nanofibrous membrane (P/H). Based on scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observation of their morphology, these electropun fibrous membranes featured even fibers without beads (Figure 2B). The findings also revealed that these fibers were uniform in size, randomly interconnected in structure, and with a seemingly smooth surface.

The data on the characterization of the electropun fibrous membranes are summarized in Table 1. The diameters of the P/H, siNC+P/H, siRNA+P/H, siNC+PDA+P/H, and siRNA+PDA+P/H fibers were 1.62 ± 0.34, 1.97 ± 0.31, 1.92 ± 0.41, 2.02 ± 0.32, and 2.07 ± 0.33 µm, respectively.
The mechanical properties of the different membranes were determined by creating a stress–strain curve, the results of which are shown in Figure 3B. The tensile strengths of P/H, siNC+P/H, siRNA+P/H, siNC+PDA+P/H, and siRNA+PDA+P/H membranes were 2.56 ± 0.25, 2.62 ± 0.29, 2.68 ± 0.32, 2.52 ± 0.23, and 2.59 ± 0.24 MPa, while their elastic moduli were 24.25 ± 2.37, 23.54 ± 2.16, 24.27 ± 1.82, 26.28 ± 2.24, and 27.17 ± 2.15 Mpa, respectively. These data show a trend of a decrease in the maximum elongation for the different electrospun fibrous membranes. However, in terms of the mechanical results, no significant differences were identified among the membranes.

2.3. In Vitro Release

The cumulative release curves of ERK2-siRNA from siRNA+P/H and siRNA+PDA+P/H membranes are shown in Figure 3D. The cumulative release of ERK2-siRNA from each membrane was calculated based on the daily release. The results showed that the siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane, in which ERK2-siRNA was protected by PDA, exhibited no burst release of ERK2-siRNA, followed by controlled release over 30 days, at the end of which cumulative release was more than 80%. However, siRNA+P/H exhibited rapid burst release of ERK2-siRNA followed by a release kinetic less than 15 days, at the end of which the cumulative release of ERK2-siRNA was less than 20%. The release of ERK2-siRNA from ERK2-siRNA/PDA-loaded PLLA/HA membrane was relatively stable and lasted twice as long as that of the control group. The cumulative level of release of ERK2-siRNA in the siRNA+PDA+P/H group was more than three times that in the siRNA+P/H group, indicating that the activity and integrity of ERK2-siRNA were well protected in polyplexes by complexing with PDA.

After 20 days of incubation of the different membranes, SEM investigation revealed the integrity of their morphology and structure as physical barriers (Figure 3C). The level of degradation of the electrospun fibrous membranes was also determined by gravimetric analysis. The mass losses of P/H, siNC+P/H, siRNA+P/H, siNC+PDA+P/H, and siRNA+PDA+P/H membranes were 17.5 ± 3.8%, 16.8 ± 4.1%, 15.4 ± 3.4%, 16.1 ± 4.6%, and 18.1 ± 4.3% after incubation in phosphate-buffered solution (PBS) for 20 days, respectively. There were no significant differences among these values.
2.4. In Vitro Cell Proliferation, Morphology, and Viability Analyses

The proliferation rate, intracellular uptake, and cell viability analyses were used to characterize the biological effects of siRNA released from membranes. The proliferation of UMNSAH/DF-1 cells on the surfaces of P/H, siNC+P/H, siRNA+P/H, siNC+PDA+P/H, and siRNA+PDA+P/H membranes were compared at days 1, 4, and 7 (Figure 3E). The proliferation rate was statistically indistinguishable among these groups at day 1, but that of siRNA+PDA+P/H decreased significantly compared with those of the other four kinds of membranes at days 4 and 7. There was no statistically significant difference among the proliferation of P/H, siNC+P/H, siRNA+P/H, and siNC+PDA+P/H at the three time points.

The bioactivity of ERK2-siRNA released from the membranes was determined using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), by showing knockdown of ERK2-messenger RNA (mRNA) at days 1, 4, and 7 (Figure 3F). The expression levels of ERK2 mRNA showed no significant differences among these groups at day 1. Notably, the level of ERK2 in the siRNA+PDA+P/H group was significantly higher than those in the P/H, siNC+P/H, siRNA+P/H, and siNC+PDA+P/H groups at days 4 and 7. This indicates that the delivered ERK2-siRNA was sufficiently bioactive to knock down the ERK2 expression over time.
The adhesion of UMNSAH/DF-1 cells could be detected on the surfaces of all of the different membranes (Figure 4). Furthermore, the average cell area on each membrane was quantified using Photoshop 8.0 based on the scale bar in the associated images. The morphologies of UMNSAH/DF-1 cells were better after 4 day culture than after 1 day culture. Although no significant difference was detected among the groups at day 1, the cells transfected with siRNA+PDA+P/H

Table 1. Characterization of the electrospun fibrous membranes.

| Name                  | Fiber diameter [µm] | Water contact angle [°] | Tensile strength [MPa] | Tensile moduli [MPa] |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| P/H                   | 1.62 ± 0.34         | 131.6 ± 4.2             | 2.56 ± 0.25            | 24.25 ± 2.37         |
| siNC+P/H              | 1.97 ± 0.31         | 132.7 ± 5.5             | 2.62 ± 0.29            | 23.54 ± 2.16         |
| siRNA+P/H             | 1.92 ± 0.41         | 130.1 ± 4.7             | 2.68 ± 0.32            | 24.27 ± 1.82         |
| siNC+PDA+P/H          | 2.02 ± 0.32         | 132.3 ± 4.7             | 2.52 ± 0.23            | 26.28 ± 2.24         |
| siRNA+PDA+P/H         | 2.07 ± 0.33         | 133.6 ± 4.1             | 2.59 ± 0.24            | 27.17 ± 2.15         |
membrane showed a significantly lower average cell area at day 4. In particular, fluorophore 5′-FAM-labeled cells could be observed in the siNC+PDA+P/H and siRNA+PDA+P/H groups after 1 and 4 days of culture, although very little fluorescence was detected at day 1. The efficiency of siRNA transfection was calculated based on the fluorescence microscopy examination.
Figure 4. A) Intracellular uptake of drugs from the P/H, siNC+P/H, siRNA+P/H, siNC+PDA+P/H, and siRNA+PDA+P/H membranes. For each panel, nuclei were stained by 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (blue); actin was stained by phalloidin (red); ERK2-siRNA or siNC was labeled by 5′-FAM (green). Antiadhesion ability of different membranes at B) 1 and C) 4 days after treatment was determined by CCK8 analyses. The proliferation rates of transfected cells at D) 1 and E) 4 days were determined by fluorescence microscopy. * indicates $P < 0.05$. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (each group, $n = 3$).
of 5′-FAM-labeled cells. At day 4, the transfection efficiencies of siNC+PDA+P/H and siRNA+PDA+P/H membranes were significantly higher than that of P/H, siNC+P/H, and siRNA+P/H membranes (Figure 4D,E).

The viability of UMNSAH/DF-1 cells was investigated using their live/dead rate (Figure 5). The numbers of both living and dead cells increased as time passed in each group, but the number of dead cells on the siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane increased markedly after 4 days of culture. Furthermore, regarding the viability results, the average dead/live rate of cells on the surface of siRNA+PDA+P/H at both time points was significantly higher than in the other four groups.

2.5. In Vivo Gross Observation

After 21 days, no obvious ulcers or inflammatory exudates were detected on the toes. The repaired sites of the tendon were...
carefully examined to investigate the peritendinous adhesions directly (Figure 6). In the control group without treatment, it was difficult to separate severe peritendinous adhesions even by dissection using a scalpel at the repaired site (Figure 6A). In the groups receiving P/H and siRNA+P/H treatments (Figure 6B,C), the repaired sites were filled with dense bundles of adhesion tissues bridging between the repaired tendon and the peritendinous tissues, although parts of the adhesion areas could be released by separation with a blunt instrument. Almost no dense adhesion tissue formation was seen at the site of repaired tendon in the group receiving siRNA+PDA+P/H treatment. The parameters from the gross observation of adhesions are summarized in Figure 6N. The score of the siRNA+PDA+P/H group was the lowest among the four groups.

Figure 6. A–D) Gross evaluation of peritendinous adhesion of repaired sites after 21 days. E–H) Histological assessment of tendon adhesions. I–L) Immunohistochemical evaluation of Col III expression in peritendinous adhesions. M) The gross scores and N) histological scores of peritendinous adhesion and O) average optical density of Col III in the peritendinous adhesion tissues. P) Tendon repair determined by the breaking force of the repaired tendons. R: repaired site; S: suture; M: residual materials. Black dotted lines indicate areas without adhesion; yellow dotted lines indicate adhesion areas. Red arrows indicate adhesion tissues in (A)–(C) and Col III-positive tissues in (I)–(L). * indicates P < 0.05. Data are expressed as mean ± SD for 10 tendons per group.
2.6. In Vivo Histological Assessments

The results of histological assessments of the peritendinous adhesions of repaired sites receiving different treatments are shown in Figure 6E–H. The peritendinous spaces of untreated tendons were filled with dense adhesion tissue. Meanwhile, adhesion tissue could be observed in repaired sites of the tendon with broken intratendinous collagen bundles (Figure 6E). Furthermore, some peritendinous adhesion tissues were detected on the epitenon surfaces of the repaired sites wrapped with P/H or siRNA\(+\)P/H membranes (Figure 6F,G). In the groups receiving siRNA\(+\)PDA\(+\)P/H treatment, clear obliteration of the peritendinous space was identified. Moreover, good continuity of the repaired site was identified, with a smooth epitenon surface. The peritendinous adhesions were significantly better in the siRNA\(+\)PDA\(+\)P/H group than in the other three groups (Figure 6O).

2.7. Analysis of Collagen III Deposition

Immunohistological staining was applied to analyze the location and density of Col III in the peritendinous adhesion tissues. Col III fibers stained brownish yellow were observed in all groups (Figure 6H–L). The Col III fibers in the peritendinous adhesion tissue of the siRNA+PDA+P/H group showed looser brownish yellow expression than those in the P/H group and the siRNA+P/H group. The Col III-positive fibers showed no visible difference between the siRNA+P/H group and the P/H group. Considering the average optical density of Col III, the density of the siRNA+PDA+P/H group was significantly lower among the four groups (Figure 6O).

2.8. Biomechanical Analysis

The peak breaking forces, reflective of tendon healing, were recorded for mechanical analyses (Figure 6Q). The peak breaking force was found to be higher in the control group than in the other three groups treated with different membranes, although there were no significant differences among the four groups (Figure 6). These membranes were thus suggested to have no significant influence on tendon healing.

2.9. Protein Expression in Repaired Tendon

To explore the cellular mechanisms behind the formation of adhesion tissue, the expressions of p-ERK2, p-SMAD3, and Col III in peritendinous adhesion tissues were examined by western blotting using \(\beta\)-actin as a control (Figure 7). The results showed that the expressions of p-ERK2 and p-SMAD3 were significantly lower in the group receiving siRNA+PDA+P/H treatment than in the other three groups \((P < 0.05)\). Furthermore, the siRNA+PDA+P/H group showed the lowest Col III expression among the four groups, with a significant difference being identified \((P < 0.05)\). The control group without implantation of membranes appeared to express less p-ERK2, p-SMAD3, and Col III than the P/H group and the siRNA+P/H group, but this did not reach significance.

3. Discussion

The formation of peritendinous adhesion tissue is a pathology related to fibroblast proliferation and Col III deposition. This study describes a PDA-mediated ERK2-siRNA delivery system in the form of electrospun membranes as a physical barrier for the long-term prevention of adhesion formation. As shown by the obtained results, the controlled release of ERK2-siRNA from the siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane was steady and lasted about twice as long as that of the siRNA+P/H membrane. Furthermore, as shown by our in vitro results, fewer UMNSAH/DF-1 cells adhered to and proliferated on the siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane than on the P/H, siNC+P/H, siRNA+P/H, and siNC+PDA+P/H membranes due to the highly bioactive transfection of ERK2-siRNA from the siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane. The in vivo study revealed that Col III deposition in the group receiving siRNA+PDA+P/H treatment decreased through downregulation of the expression of ERK2 and SMAD3 genes with the pronounced prevention of adhesion when compared with the other three groups.
Effective inhibition of the formation of peritendinous adhesions is related to the blocking of crucial cellular targets and associated downstream signals in the adhesion formation pathway. However, the mechanisms involved in peritendinous adhesion, especially crucial cellular targets, have not been clarified. Transforming growth factor (TGf-β) plays critical roles in fibroblast proliferation and collagen expression. Some previous studies reported that TGF-β can trigger the activity of SMAD and ERK, which are involved in fibrotic diseases, in certain cells such as human dermal fibroblasts, myofibroblasts, and cardiac fibroblasts. Furthermore, when ERK2 is downregulated, cellular proliferation is inhibited. In this study, the downregulation of ERK2 reduced the extent of peritendinous adhesion without significantly reducing tendon healing, as described in a previous study. This is probably due to their low efficiency and toxicity issues. To overcome these issues, we previously established a type of PDA polypex with outstanding gene transfection efficiency and negligible cytotoxicity when combined with pDNA, relative to PEI. However, the distinctive structural and chemical characteristics of DNA differ from those of RNA due to their respective complex formation properties. Against this background, the ability of PDA to facilitate siRNA delivery to silence a targeted gene should be investigated. Furthermore, the effect of PDA on maintaining the bioactivity of siRNA without denaturation is controversial during its encapsulation into physical barriers through the interaction with heat, organic solvent, and the oil/water interface. In this study, after PDA and siRNA were released from the siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane and PDA promoted the transfection of ERK2-siRNA. However, ERK2-siRNA from siRNA+P/H cannot pass the cellular membrane. Consequently, the antiproliferative efficiency of the siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane was significantly greater than that of the siRNA+P/H membrane. Therefore, PDA can not only promote targeted gene silencing, but also maintain siRNA bioactivity during the electrospinning process.

Recently, besides the development of novel carrier vectors, the main focus has been placed on modifying the currently available delivery systems. Scaffold-mediated RNA interference has been carried out through the surface immobilization or direct bulk encapsulation of siRNA in hydrogels, nanofibers, and sponge-based constructs. For tissue separation, electrospun fibrous membranes allow the passage of nutrients from outside the tendon sheath to promote intrinsic healing based on their microporous structure. Nevertheless, the development of electrospun barrier-based siRNA therapeutics is still hampered by difficulties in achieving the sustained release of bioactive siRNA for long-term applications. Recently, a few studies have used siRNA to increase the efficacy of adhesion barriers along with the prevention of adhesion formation. In this study, the efficiency of ERK2 release from the siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane reached 80%, which was completed within nearly 30 days, while that of siRNA+P/H membrane as a control was only 20%, which was completed within nearly 15 days. This may explain why the siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane was associated with significantly less formation of peritendinous adhesion tissue than the other three groups.

The present study has some limitations. Although the peak force of repaired tendon was investigated to reflect tendon healing, adhesion was not directly investigated mechanically. Further study should be performed to identify the difference in peritendinous adhesion determined mechanically compared with equivalent macroscopic and histological findings. Another limitation is based on the fact that, as revealed in our in vitro experiment, PDA cannot silence the ERK2 gene; only the siRNA of ERK2 itself can do this. However, no control group of siNC+PDA+P/H was included in the in vivo experiment. Without such a group, it cannot be definitively asserted that the results from the siRNA+PDA+P/H group are not due to the PDA. Thus, an siNC+PDA+P/H control group should be included in further in vivo studies. Moreover, future studies with features such as a longer experimental period and greater power for the statistical comparisons would be beneficial.

4. Conclusions

In this study, ERK2-siRNA/PDA was fabricated and electrospun into PLLA/HA fibers. Using this delivery system, the cumulative release of ERK2-siRNA from the siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane sustainedly reached 80%, with a release time of nearly 30 days. The inhibition of cell proliferation and adhesion showed that the siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane can retain the biological activity of ERK2-siRNA in a better suitable manner than the siRNA+P/H membrane. Moreover, the antiadhesion effect of the electrospun membranes as a barrier was determined as a clinical concern. Our results demonstrate that this siRNA+PDA+P/H membrane can protect the bioactivity of ERK2-siRNA and is a promising platform to inhibit Col III deposition and downstream SMAD3 expression.

5. Experimental Section

Materials: PLLA (Mn = 50 kDa, Mw/Mn = 1.61) was prepared by bulk ring-opening polymerization of l-lactide using stannous chloride as an initiator (Jinan Daigang Co., Jinan, China). Fermentation-derived HA (sodium salt, Mn = 1.0 MDa), anhydrous ethylene dichloride (Mw = 1.8 kDa), and PEI (Mn = 25 kDa) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO) and used without further purification. Dichloromethane and trichloromethane were purchased from Chinese Medicine Group Chemical Reagent Corporation (AR). 2,6-Pyridinedicarboxaldehyde (PD) was obtained from TCI Development Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Three targeted sequences of ERK2-siRNA (ERK2: 5′-CAGUAGCGGUAUUGUCGCCAGAGAU-3′) and small interfering negative control (siNC: 5′-UUUCUCCGAACGUGUCACGUTTACGUGACACGUUGCAGGAATT-3′) were labeled with 5′-FAM (GenePharma Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). Cellulose membranes (Mw = 10 kDa) were purchased from Thermo.
Scientific. Poly(ethylene glycol) standard kit \((M_w = 106 to 200 \text{ Da})\) was purchased from Polymer Standards Service GmbH. RNA assay kit was acquired from Invitrogen (Eugene, OR). Other chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade and purchased from Guoyao Reagents Company (Shanghai, China). All reagents were utilized without further purification.

Preparation of ERK2-siRNA-Loaded PDA Polyplexes: PDA was synthesized in accordance with a previously reported method.\(^{[27]}\) Briefly, 1 mmol PEI \((M_w = 1.8 \text{ kDa})\) and 2 mmol PD were dissolved sequentially in a 20 mL solution of anhydrous ethylene dichloride. Then, PD solution was added dropwise into PEI solutions followed by stirring for 48 h at room temperature. After mixing, the solution was evaporated to retrieve the viscous residue. Subsequently, this residue was dissolved in deionized water and dialyzed using a cellulose membrane with a molecular weight cutoff of 10,000 Da for 24 h. A yellowish powder, representing PDA, was obtained after 2 days of lyophilization at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\).

Next, ERK2-siRNA/PDA polyplexes were obtained at a 1:10 weight/weight \((w/w)\) ratio. Briefly, ERK2-siRNA was dissolved in deionized water (20 mg \(\mu\text{L}^{-1}\)), as was PDA (2 mg mL\(^{-1}\)). Then, PDA stock solution was added to ERK2-siRNA solution at the \(w/w\) ratio of 1:10 to induce the self-assembly of polyplexes by pipetting. The samples were allowed to assemble at room temperature for 4 h. Similarly, siNC/PDA polyplexes \((1:10, w/w)\) were prepared as a control.

Characterization of ERK2-siRNA/PDA Polyplexes: The ERK2-siRNA/PDA and siNC/PDA polyplexes were diluted in diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC) water and their particle size was measured using a Particle Size Analyzer (Brookhaven Instruments) at 25 °C. The morphology of ERK2-siRNA/PDA and siNC/PDA polyplexes was observed by transmission electron microscopy (JEM 2010 system; JEOL).

In Vitro Cell Transfection: In vitro cell transfection of ERK2-siRNA/PDA polyplexes in chicken embryonic fibroblasts (UMNSAH/DF-1) was performed as described above. siRNA without PDA and normal culture medium were used as blank and negative controls. The ERK2-siRNA encoding 5'-FAM was used to determine the transfection efficiency of ERK2-siRNA/PDA or siNC/PDA/PDA polyplexes. The transfection of targeted ERK2-siRNA/PDA polyplexes was observed under the fluorescence attachment of a Leica microscope (Leica Microsystems). A flow cytometer (BD FACSCalibur) was used to document the proportion of 5'-FAM-positive cells.

In Vitro Gene Silencing: UMNSAH/DF-1 cells were purchased from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and treated as a cell model for consistency with the in vivo study. These cells \((5\times10^4 \text{ to } 5\times10^5 \text{ cells cm}^{-2})\) were seeded on the siNC/PDA/P/H membranes at a density of 4 \(\times 10^3 \text{ cells cm}^{-2}\) for the proliferation assay and 4 \(\times 10^4 \text{ cells cm}^{-2}\) for the morphology and live/dead assays on different electrospun fibrous membrane surfaces at \(37^\circ\text{C}\) with 5% \(\text{CO}_2.\(^{[13]}\) Electrospun membrane discs with a diameter of 15 mm were sterilized with 75% ethanol in a 24-well plate for 1 h and then washed twice with PBS for further studies.

Cell Proliferation Assay: The proliferation of UMNSAH/DF-1 cells on the siNC/P/H, siRNA/P/H, siNC/PDA/P/H, and siRNA/PDA/P/H membranes was revealed by Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK8) analysis after 1, 4, and 7 days of cell culture, in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The P/H was used as a control. Briefly, 200 mL of CCK8 solution was added to each well of a 24-well plate and incubated for 4 h. Subsequently, the absorbance of each specimen was determined at 490 nm using a spectrophotometer (Synergy 2; BioTek, Winooski, VT). The results are expressed using proliferation rate by normalizing the average absorbance value to that of cells on the P/H membrane at each respective time point.

Assessment of Bioactivity of Delivered Gene: The bioactivity of the delivered gene (ERK2-siNC or ERK2-siRNA) was assessed based on a quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction of ERK2. In brief, the cells were seeded on the siNC/P/H, siRNA/P/H, siNC/PDA/P/H, and siRNA/PDA/P/H membranes at a density of \(4\times10^4 \text{ cells cm}^{-2}\) at \(37^\circ\text{C}\) with 5% \(\text{CO}_2.\(^{[31]}\) At 1, 4, and 7 days after incubation, the quantitative real-time PCR was performed using an Applied Biosystems Viia 7 system (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA, USA). The relative ERK2 mRNA levels in UMNSAH/DF-1 cells on each membrane were evaluated, while glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) amplification was used as an internal control at the respective time points. RNA loading of each sample was normalized using GAPDH mRNA and subsequently used to analyze the relative expression levels of ERK2. The sequences of sense and antisense primers for
related genes were as follows: ERK2: forward, 5′-TCAACACCACACACCCCTCAGATG-3′; reverse, 5′-GCTCTGACAGCCAGGCTGGCAG-3′; and GAPDH: forward, 5′-TCTCGACTCATCACTGCAG-3′; reverse, 5′-CACACAGGGCTCTGATAC-3′. Relative quantification (n = 3) was applied using the comparative 2^−ΔΔCt method, as in a previous study.[14]

**Morphological Observation and Intracellular Uptake of siRNA:** The cytoskeleton and cell nucleus were observed using actin and DAPI staining, respectively, while the intracellular uptake of ERK2-siRNA or siNC was determined using the 5′-FAM fluorescence reporter gene after the incubation of UMNSAH/DF-1 cells on different electrospun membranes for 0, 1, and 4 days. Briefly, cells on the different discs were first treated using 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. After washing twice with PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) was then applied for 10 min. Subsequently, the cytoskeleton was stained using 20 μg mL^−1 phallolidin (Sigma), in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Finally, the nucleus was stained with 1 μg mL^−1 DAPI for 5 min and then observed under a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica TCS SP2; Leica Microsystems, Heidelberg, Germany). Morphological observation and transfection efficiency are expressed using the average cell area and rate of fluorescent cells on the different membranes, respectively.

**Cell Viability Analyses:** Analyses of the viability of UMNSAH/DF-1 cells on the different electrospun membranes were performed by dead/live staining using a Live/Dead stain kit (Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA) after the incubation of UMNSAH/DF-1 cells on different electrospun membranes for 1 and 4 days. Briefly, cells on the respective discs were first treated using 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. After washing twice with PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) was then applied for 10 min. Subsequently, the cytoskeleton was stained using 20 μg mL^−1 phallolidin (Sigma), in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Finally, the nucleus was stained with 1 μg mL^−1 DAPI for 5 min and then observed under a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica TCS SP2; Leica Microsystems, Heidelberg, Germany). Morphological observation and transfection efficiency are expressed using the average cell area and rate of fluorescent cells on the different membranes, respectively.

**Western Blot Analysis:** Western blotting was applied to investigate the phosphorylation of ERK2, SMAD3, Col III, and β-actin.[15] Briefly, peritendinous adhesion tissues were retrieved and homogenized in 100 μL of ice-cold radio-immunoprecipitation assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) supplemented with 1 μL of 100 μg mL^−1 phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (Shen Neng Bo Cai Corp., Shanghai, China) for 30 min. Then, the protein concentrations of tissue lysates were quantified using bicinchoninic acid assay (Thermo, Rockford, IL, USA). The samples were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA), blocked in tris-buffered saline and Tween 20 containing 5% nonfat milk, and incubated with antibodies against p-ERK2, p-SMAD3, Col III, and β-actin (diluted 1:1000; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). Images were developed with an enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA) by the addition of the secondary antibodies (1:3000; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). The results are expressed in terms of the p-ERK2, p-SMAD3, and Col III levels normalized to β-actin bands by densitometry in Photoshop 8.0 (Adobe, San Jose, CA, USA).

**Statistical Analysis:** Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The statistical software SPSS 18.0 (Chicago, IL, USA) was used for one-way analysis of variance followed by least-significant difference post hoc tests. p < 0.05 was considered to represent a significant difference.
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