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A series of heteroleptic chelate copper(II) complexes of the type \([\text{Cu}(L)(\text{acac})]X\) where acac = acetylacetonate; \(L = \text{N,N}^-\text{1,6-bis(2-fluorophenyl)-2,5-diazahexane}; X = \text{ClO}_4^-, \text{BPh}_4^-, \text{PF}_6^-, \text{and BF}_4^-\) have been prepared and characterized by elemental analyses, IR and UV-Vis spectroscopies, and molar conductance measurements. The prepared complexes are fairly soluble in a large number of organic solvents and show positive solvatochromism. Among the complexes \([\text{Cu}(L)(\text{acac})]\text{ClO}_4\), it is demonstrated the most solvatochromism. A multiparametric equation has been utilized to explain the solvent effect on the d-d transition of the complexes using SPSS/PC software. To explore the mechanism of interaction between solvent molecules and the complexes, different solvent parameters such as DN, AN, \(\alpha\), \(E_{T}(30)\), \(\pi^*\), and \(\beta\) using stepwise multiple linear regression (SMLR) method were employed. The results demonstrated that the donor power of the solvent plays the most important role in the solvatochromism of the compounds.

1. Introduction

Solvatochromism behavior of inorganic complexes has been reviewed during the past four decades [1–3]. The phenomenon of solvatochromism which refers to changes in electronic absorption spectra with solvent is one of the important topics in solution chemistry. There exist a number of examples of solvatochromic metal complexes, and origins of the color changes are quite diverse. Solvatochromism of metal complexes can be divided into two types [4, 5], the first type comprises the case where the color changes are brought about by the direct attachment of solvent molecules onto metal center, and the second type is due to the attachment of solvent molecules onto ligands. Among the former whose color changes are due to those of d-d transitions, copper(II) complexes with a strong John-Teller effect can be anticipated to show simple and regular changes in their electronic spectra according to the strength of interactions with solvent molecules at the axial sites. This behavior in the heteroleptic chelate copper(II) complexes has received more interest because they may be used as a Lewis acid-base color indicator [6, 7] and also utilizes to develop optical sensor materials to monitor pollutant levels in the environment [8, 9]. In this regard, extensive studies have been concentrated on the heteroleptic chelate complexes of copper(II) with acac and diamine derivatives (acac stands for acetylacetonate ion) [10–16].

Recently, we prepared a series of heteroleptic chelate copper(II) complexes that are soluble almost in a large number of solvents and show solvatochromic property [18–26]. Our study demonstrated that the \(\text{ClO}_4^-\) counterion is bound weakly above and below of the chelate plane in solution, and the perchlorate ions are driven out by solvent molecules leading to their solvatochromism [27, 28]. In continuation of our study, we wish to report the syntheses and the solvatochromic behaviour of some of the heteroleptic chelate copper(II) complexes: shown in Figure 1, with different counterions \(\text{ClO}_4^-, \text{BPh}_4^-, \text{PF}_6^-, \text{and BF}_4^-\) in order to explore the role of the counter ions on the solvatochromism behavior and also to determine the relative donor power of these anions in the complexes.

2. Materials and Methods

The diamine compound and its heteroleptic chelate copper(II) complex with counterion of perchlorate was prepared according to published procedure [29]. All solvents were
2. General Procedure for Preparation of Copper Complexes. Tetraphenylborate complexes: the perchlorate mixed-chelate copper complexes (1 mmol) were dissolved in methanol (10 mL) and heated (about 50 °C) until dissolution occurred. A saturated aqueous solution of sodium tetraphenylborate (4 mmol) in water was then added. The crystal precipitated out almost immediately. The reaction mixture was let to stand overnight and was then filtered (pore size 10–16 μm), and the precipitate was washed with water several times. The yield was 65%.

Hexafluorophosphate complexes: these complexes were prepared with the same procedure as tetraphenylborate complexes except that NH₄PF₆ was used instead of sodium tetraphenylborate. The yield was 43%.

Tetrafluoroborate complexes: these complexes were prepared with the similar procedure as tetraphenylborate complexes except that NH₄BF₄ was used in place of sodium tetraphenylborate. The yield was 57%.

2.2. Data analysis. All the absorption maxima reported were taken from experimental curves of the d-d transition of the complexes. Multivariate statistical methods have been used in the classification and selection of solvents. The empirical parameters of the solvent polarity were used as basic data sets. These parameters can be obtained directly from the literature [30]. The extraction of the chemical information contained in such a data set can be carried out by statistical method of multiple linear regression analysis (MLR). Multiple linear regression of a dependent variable \( Y_s \) with a series of explanatory variables \( X_1, X_2, X_3, \) and so forth, in (1) is a well-established model. The variable \( Y_s \) is the solvent-dependent property (\( \nu_{max} \) in this study) in a given solvent, \( Y_0 \) is the statistical quantity representing the value of the property in the reference solvent (dichloromethane), \( X_1, X_2, X_3, \) and so forth. (explanatory variable) represent independent but complementary solvent parameters, which account for the solute-solvent interaction mechanism, and \( a_1, a_2, a_3, \) and so forth are the regression coefficients describing the sensitivity of property \( Y_s \) to the different solute-solvent interaction mechanism.

That is, suitable selection of bulk and molecular properties of solvents constitute linear or multiple regression analysis model equations

\[
Y_s = Y_0 + a_1X_1 + a_2X_2 + a_3X_3 + \cdots + a_nX_n. 
\]

The solvent properties investigated are Dimroth and Reichardt’s \( E_T(30) \), Kamlet and Taft’s \( \alpha, \beta, \pi^* \), Gutmann’s donor DN, and acceptor number AN. In this paper, stepwise multiple linear regression (SMLR) method was used to generate the best models of the solvent parameters using SPSS/PC software package [31]. The stepwise method involves three main steps: (1) identifying an initial model, (2) changing the model at the previous step by adding or removing a parameter, and (3) obtaining the best model when stepping is no longer possible and all the parameters reviewed and evaluated to specify which one contributes the most to the equation in [32]. The variance of the data in this procedure plays a main role so that when a new parameter is entered into the equation, its value changes. When the importance of a parameter with entering new data decreases the stepwise method removes the weakened parameter. This procedure continues till a final equation is obtained. The final equation is also tested for stability and validity of the results by the computer program itself. The appropriate equation is selected by considering four criteria, namely, multiple correlation coefficients (\( R \)), standard error (SE), \( F \)-statistic, and the number of parameters in the model (N). The best model is the one with higher \( R \) and \( F \)-values, lower standard error, the least number of parameters and high ability for prediction [33]. In parameter selection, variables with small variance \( t \) (not significant at the 5% level) were then removed. “\( t \)” value is the solvent-independent coefficients divided by SE.

The following solvents were used for solvatochromic study: dichloromethane (DCM), nitromethane (NM), acetonitrile (AN), acetone (Ac), tetrahydrofuran (THF), methanol (MeOH), dimethylformamide (DMF), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), and pyridine (Py).
**Figure 2:** Absorption spectra of the complexes in selected solvents. Absorption spectra in other solvents are omitted for clarity.

**Table 1:** Color, yields, and analytical data of the complexes obtained.

| Complex number | Complex (color/yield) | C% | H% | N% | Cu% |
|----------------|-----------------------|----|----|----|-----|
| 1              | [Cu(L)(acac)]ClO₄     | 46.71 | 4.88 | 5.14 | 11.68 |
|                | (bluish violet/74%)   | (46.84) | (4.68) | (5.20) | (11.80) |
| 2              | [Cu(L)(acac)]B(Ph)₄  | 71.10 | 6.23 | 3.68 | 8.36 |
|                | (Purple/65%)          | (71.28) | (5.98) | (3.69) | (8.38) |
| 3              | [Cu(L)(acac)]PF₆     | 43.33 | 4.20 | 4.58 | 10.82 |
|                | (Purple/43%)          | (43.19) | (4.32) | (4.80) | (10.88) |
| 4              | [Cu(L)(acac)]BF₄     | 48.19 | 4.47 | 5.01 | 11.99 |
|                | (Deep blue/57%)       | (47.97) | (4.79) | (5.33) | (12.09) |

**Table 2:** Molar conductivities data (Λ_m) of the complexes (Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹, at 25°C) in different solvents.\(^b\)

| Complex          | CH₂Cl₂ | CH₃CN | EtOH | Acetone | DMF |
|------------------|--------|-------|------|---------|-----|
| [Cu(L)(acac)]ClO₄| 6      | 136   | 48   | 129     | 86  |
| [Cu(L)(acac)]B(Ph)₄| 7      | 144   | 25   | 91      | 60  |
| [Cu(L)(acac)]PF₆ | 3      | 151   | 46   | 133     | 68  |
| [Cu(L)(acac)]BF₄ | 7      | 126   | 35   | 141     | 78  |
| 1:1 electrolytes | 10–20  | 120–160 | 35–45 | 100–140 | 65–90 |

\(^a\)Concentration: ca. 1 × 10⁻³ M.

\(^b\)data for standard values taken from [17].
Table 3: Electronic absorption maxima of the complexes in various solvents: $v_{\text{max}}/10^3$ cm$^{-1}$ ($\varepsilon$/M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$).

| Solvent | Complex 1 | Complex 2 | Complex 3 | Complex 4 |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| DCM     | 17.33 (81) | 16.64 (69) | 17.51 (71) | 17.64 (104) |
| NM      | 18.05 (100)| 17.95 (107)| 18.05 (89) | 18.08 (120) |
| AN      | 16.81 (88) | 16.98 (93) | 17.04 (78) | 17.01 (100) |
| Ac      | 17.12 (95) | 16.95 (80) | 17.04 (116)| 17.01 (124) |
| THF     | 16.50 (101)| 16.75 (116)| 16.89 (90) | 16.89 (94)  |
| MeOH    | 16.75 (51) | 16.81 (80) | 16.86 (110)| 16.84 (118) |
| DMF     | 16.42 (143)| 16.34 (99) | 16.37 (116)| 16.26 (111) |
| DMSO    | 15.48 (108)| 16.10 (69) | 16.18 (113)| 16.10 (117) |
| Py      | 15.15 (69) | 15.38 (75) | 15.48 (113)| 15.34 (69)  |

Table 4: The solvent parameter values.

| Solvent | DN | AN | $E_p$ (30) | $\beta$ | $\alpha$ | $\pi^*$ |
|---------|----|----|------------|--------|---------|--------|
| DCM     | 0.0| 20.4| 40.7       | 0.10   | 0.13    | 0.82   |
| NM      | 2.7| 20.5| 46.3       | 0.06   | 0.22    | 0.85   |
| AN      | 14.1| 18.9| 45.6       | 0.40   | 0.19    | 0.75   |
| Ac      | 17.0| 12.5| 42.2       | 0.43   | 0.08    | 0.71   |
| THF     | 20.0| 8.0 | 37.4       | 0.55   | 0.00    | 0.58   |
| MeOH    | 19.0| 41.3| 55.4       | 0.66   | 0.98    | 0.60   |
| DMF     | 26.6| 16.0| 43.8       | 0.69   | 0.00    | 0.88   |
| DMSO    | 29.8| 19.3| 45.1       | 0.76   | 0.00    | 1.00   |
| Py      | 33.1| 14.2| 40.5       | 0.64   | 0.00    | 0.87   |

3. Results and Discussion

The compositions of chelates obtained as fine crystalline powders can be confirmed with the general formula, \([Cu(L)(acac)]X\), where acac stands for acetylacetonate ion, L is as \(N_2N’-1,6\)-bis(2-fluorophenyl)-2,5-diazahexane, and X is a univalent anion such as \(\text{ClO}_4^–\), \(\text{PF}_6^–\), \(\text{BF}_4^–\), and \(\text{ClO}_4^–\). The results of elemental analysis and color of the complexes are shown in Table 1.

3.1. Infrared Spectra. Formation of the heteroleptic chelate copper (II) complexes can be concluded from IR spectroscopy. The similarity among the infrared spectra of the complexes might imply that the structures of all complexes are the same, and the only differences are due to presence of different counter ions. Two intense absorption bands in the region around 1520 cm$^{-1}$ in the complexes could be assigned to the C=C and C=O vibrational modes [34].

3.2. Conductometric Data. Table 2 illustrates the molar conductivity values of complexes in different solvents. The standard values of 1:1 electrolytes in the respective solvents are illustrated in the same table [17]. The results show that in solvent of dichloromethane the values are much lower than that for 1:1 electrolyte when X is \(\text{PF}_6^–\), \(\text{BF}_4^–\), and \(\text{ClO}_4^–\). However, in other solvents, all of the complexes are 1:1 electrolyte which indicates almost complete dissociation into X$^–$ and the cationic complexes \([Cu(L)(acac)]^+\). Thus, it seems that an ion-pair formation (or anion coordination) might exist in some extent in \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\). This means that these ions are bound weakly above and below of the chelate planes and can be driven out by high donor power solvent molecules which leading to their solvatochromism. These results are in general agreement with the expectation from the spectral data and with our pervious results [29]. However, the complexes of \([Cu(L)(acac)]^+\) almost completely dissociate in nonpolar solvent. As a result, in contrast with other counteranions, there is not any bond between copper ion and anion of \(\text{B(Ph)}_4^–\). In case of \([Cu(L)(acac)]^+\), the conductance values are lower than the expected values due to low mobility of bulky anion of tetraphenylborate in the solution [17].

3.3. Solvatochromism. All the complexes are easily soluble in a wide range of organic solvents. The presence of a strong John-Teller effect on the Cu(II) ions made them good solvatochromic probes. The electronic absorption spectra of the complexes are characterized by a broad structureless band in the visible region attributed to the promotion of an electron phenyl group of tetraphenylborate ion [36]. Although the first two absorption bands are clearly perceived, the positioning of the vibrations of the acac group does not enable us to observe the last two absorptions in complex 2. The existence of \(\text{PF}_6^–\) ion is exhibited by intense and medium bands occurring at 640 and 560 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, which confirms the formation of complex 3 [37]. The presence of \(\text{BF}_4^–\) group is declared by two intense bands at around 1090 cm$^{-1}$ and 1400 cm$^{-1}$ which are attributed to the antisymmetric stretching and antisymmetric bending vibration modes, respectively [33]. The former band is split with a poorly defined maximum showing deformation from Td symmetry.
TABLE 5: Selected models of SMLR method and related values of $F$, $R$, SE, and $\Delta \nu_{\text{max}}$ cm$^{-1}$.

| Complexes | Equations | $F$ | $R$ | SE | $\Delta \nu_{\text{max}}$/cm$^{-1}$ |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|----|-------------------------------|
| 1         | $\nu_{\text{max}} = -0.072(\pm0.012)\,DN_{\text{solv}} + 17.920(\pm0.259)$ | 33.803 | 0.910 | 0.400 | 2900 |
| 2         | $\nu_{\text{max}} = -0.076(\pm0.007)\,DN_{\text{solv}} + 18.193(\pm0.149)$ | 126.618 | 0.977 | 0.172 | 2570 |
| 3         | $\nu_{\text{max}} = -0.063(\pm0.008)\,DN_{\text{solv}} + 17.953(\pm0.177)$ | 54.874 | 0.942 | 0.270 | 2570 |
| 4         | $\nu_{\text{max}} = -0.069(\pm0.008)\,DN_{\text{solv}} + 18.040(\pm0.175)$ | 68.598 | 0.953 | 0.266 | 2740 |

The results obtained from the correlation of the absorption frequencies with the solvent parameters are illustrated in Table 5. The data in Table 5 show that dominate solvent effect in all complexes is DN and contribution of the other solvent parameters was rejected based on the statistical criteria explained in the experimental section.

According to the results obtained, the DN parameter of the solvent has a dominate contribution in (2) for compounds 1–4 and governs the shift of the d-d absorption band of the complexes. The negative sign of the coefficient $a$ indicates a red shift as the donor number of solvents increases. The red shift observed in the d-d visible absorption band originates in variation of Lewis acid-base interaction between the chelates around the copper ions and the respective solvents molecules. Hence, approaching of the polar solvent molecules to the axial position of the complexes causes a strong repulsion between the electron in the $dz^2$ orbital of the copper(II) ions and the electron pair of the solvents and as a result of decreasing the required energy for transferring the electrons to $dz^2$ orbital. Accordingly, the position of this band decreases nearly linearly with the increasing of the donor numbers of the solvents. The plots of the observed $\nu_{\text{max}}$ values of the complexes versus the donor number of the solvents as shown in Figure 3 demonstrated good correlation for all complexes.

The relative donor power of the anions can be investigated in low donor number solvents such as dichloromethane and nitromethane. As evident from Table 3 the relative donor power of anions X is in the following order:

$$BF_4^- > PF_6^- > ClO_4^- > B(Ph)_4^-$$

4. Conclusion

Solvatochromic heteroleptic chelate copper(II) complexes with different counterion were prepared. The properties of the solvatochromic complexes [Cu(L)(acac)(diamine)]X (X = ClO$_4$-, B(Ph)$_4$-, PF$_6$-, and BF$_4$-) were studied in solution. Their solvatochromic behaviors were examined with different solvent parameters using SMLR computational method. The statistical evaluation of the data ($R$, S. E. and $F$-test) indicated that the DN parameter of the solvent plays the most important role in the solvatochromic behavior of the complexes. It was understood that the solvatochromic behavior of the complexes depends upon two main factors, the coordination ability of solvents and counter ions. All the complexes demonstrated positive solvatochromism, as the DN of the solvent increases with increasing donor number of solvent. In solvation procedure, the geometry of the complexes changes from square planar to octahedral. Among
the complexes studied, complex 1 (X = ClO₄⁻) showed the highest Δνmax value.
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