PARP Inhibition Sensitizes to Low Dose-Rate Radiation TMPRSS2-ERG Fusion Gene-Expressing and PTEN-Deficient Prostate Cancer Cells
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Abstract

Exposure to genotoxic agents, such as irradiation produces DNA damage, the toxicity of which is augmented when the DNA repair is impaired. Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors were found to be “synthetic lethal” in cells deficient in BRCA1 and BRCA2 that impair homologous recombination. However, since many tumors, including prostate cancer (PCa) rarely have on such mutations, there is considerable interest in finding alternative determinants of PARP inhibitor sensitivity. We evaluated the effectiveness of radiation in combination with the PARP inhibitor, rucaparib in PCa cells. The combination index for clonogenic survival following radiation and rucaparib treatments revealed synergistic interactions in a panel of PCa cell lines, being strongest for LNCaP and VCaP cells that express ETS gene fusion proteins. These findings correlated with synergistic interactions for senescence activation, as indicated by β-galactosidase staining. Absence of PTEN and presence of ETS gene fusion thus facilitated activation of senescence, which contributed to decreased clonogenic survival. Increased radiosensitivity in the presence of rucaparib was associated with persistent DNA breaks, as determined by γ-H2AX, p53BP1, and Rad51 foci. VCaP cells, which harbor the TMPRSS2-ERG gene fusion and PC3 cells that stably express a similar construct (fusion III) showed enhanced sensitivity towards rucaparib, which, in turn, increased the radiation response to a similar extent as the DNA-PKcs inhibitor NU7441. Rucaparib radiosensitized PCa cells, with a clear benefit of low dose-rate radiation (LDR) administered over a longer period of time that caused enhanced DNA damage. LDR mimicking brachytherapy, which is used successfully in the clinic, was most effective when combined with rucaparib by inducing persistent DNA damage and senescence, leading to decreased clonogenic survival. This combination was most effective in the presence of the TMRRSS2-ERG and in the absence of PTEN, indicating clinical potential for brachytherapy in patients with intermediate and high risk PCa.

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most frequently diagnosed tumor in men, accounting alone for 29% of incident cases [1]. It is the second most common cause of death due to cancer in men after lung cancer. Irradiation is an important treatment modality for PCa, with a clinical response achieved of ~85%. It is used primarily for early-stage disease, as an adjuvant to surgery, and in combination with chemo-therapeutics that allow its use at lower doses, with higher efficiencies and with less cytotoxic effect to the adjacent normal tissues.

Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) is represented by a family of proteins that are expressed abundantly, are primarily localized in the nucleus, and are involved in many important cellular processes, such as the response to DNA damage, its repair, and when the damage is severe, cell death through apoptosis or necrosis [2]. PARP-1 and -2 are known to have a role in various DNA repair mechanisms, such as base excision repair, homologous recombination (HR) [3], and nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) [4]. After detecting DNA damage, with the help of its DNA-binding domain, activated PARP-1 triggers poly-ADP ribosylation of histones and PARP-1 itself. Importantly, PARP-1 ensures regulation of DNA replication fork progression by HR on damaged DNA [5]. PARP-1 is involved mainly in the repair of single-stranded breaks, which, if unrepaired are converted to double-stranded breaks (DSBs) during DNA replication. PARP inhibitors (PARPi) represent a new class of agents that prevent the
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synthesis of poly-ADP ribose by impinging on the downstream DNA repair processes, and as a result the DNA damage persists [2]. PARPi, therefore, can act as a single agent in HR-deficient tumors (e.g. BRCA1/2-defective) through “synthetic lethality” [6]. Disabling NHEJ in HR-deficient cells via DNA-PKcs inhibition can reverse the effect of PARPi-mediated lethality [7]. Radiation induces PARP activity and its inhibition enhances cell death and improves tumor growth delay in irradiated lung cancer models [8]. Levels of PARP-1 are also increased in advanced, castrate resistant PCas [9,10], therefore, its use in combination with radiation to enhance radiotherapy is appealing.

The “synthetic lethality” concept has been effective in mammalian cells in tumor models with defective BRCA1 or BRCA2, which, as a consequence have defective HR [3] and are thus amenable to use of PARPi as a monotherapy [11]. However, for tumors where such mutations are rare, such as PCas, there is an urgent need to identify additional DNA damage and repair defects that can provide a “synthetic lethal” combination with PARPi. Thus, extending the use of PARPi beyond tumors with defective BRCA1/2 is of great interest.

In late stage PCas, bi-allelic deletion of the PTEN (Phosphatase and tensin homolog) gene is a common occurrence that has been suggested to impact HR DNA repair. PTEN antagonizes the PI3K/AKT survival pathway by its phospholipid 3-phosphatase activity, which, in turn, regulates proliferation, migration, and apoptosis. Complete loss of PTEN also stimulates a strong senescence response that acts as an additional mechanism for tumor suppression. Senescence has been proposed to function as an anti-tumor mechanism in response to DNA damage by inducing an irreversible growth arrest and restricting the replicative life span of cells [12]. Similar to BRCA1/2-defective tumor cells, PTEN-null PCa cells have been reported to be sensitive to PARPi.

In this study, we examined the response to a potent PARP inhibitor, rucaparib alone or in combination with radiation in a panel of PCa cell lines. Our data support the effectiveness of rucaparib as a potent PARPi for radiosensitizing PCa cells, most effectively when used at low dose-rates in cells that harbor the TMPRSS2-ERG gene fusion or are PTEN-deficient.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture

Human PCa cell lines P3, LNCaP, DU145, and VCaP were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA); C4-2 was described earlier [13]. Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium, except DU145 (DMEM) and VCaP (modified DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals), L-glutamine (Invitrogen), and 100 unit/ml penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen) in a humidified incubator at 37°C and 5% CO2. Stock solutions of rucaparib, provided by Pfizer, were made in DMSO (Sigma Aldrich).

For transfection, cells were seeded at ~80% confluency in an antibiotic-free media. TMPRSS2-ERG fusion III (the most common) isoform [14] generously provided by Dr. Michael Ittmann was transfected using lipofectamine 2000, followed by selection for neomycin resistance with 1 mg/ml G418 (Invitrogen). The efficiency of transfection was verified by Western blotting (Fig. S1A).

Radiation Treatment

Ionizing radiation was delivered using a conventional cesium-137 γ-iradiator (JL Shepherd Associates, San Fernando, CA), at a dose rate of 146 Gy/min [15]. Dose-rate experiments were performed by changing the position of the plates or with the use of an attenuator. An Ir-192 source of radiation, which emits β-particles, employed a custom-fabricated cell irradiator, with the design of the device as described [16].

Assays for Colony Formation and Senescence

For the colony formation assay, 500 cells/60-mm dish (or 750 cells/60-mm dish for LNCaP) were plated the day before treatment. Rucaparib was administered at the indicated doses continuously. Two weeks after treatment with radiation or/and rucaparib, cells were stained with 0.1% crystal violet, and cell colonies with >50 cells were scored by an alpha image analyzer (Alpha Innotech Corp). The senescence assay was performed as described [17]. After six or twelve days, cells were fixed and the percentage of β-galactosidase-positive cells was determined by counting ≥five different fields (~70 cells/sample).

Immunofluorescence

Cells were plated on coverslips in 35-mm culture dishes. After treatment, cells were fixed with 2.0% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature, washed 3× for 5 min with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min, and blocked in 3% FBS in PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 h. The coverslips were then immunostained using the antibodies against γ-H2AX (Millipore), 53BP1 (Abcam), or Rad51 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), followed by a fluorescently-conjugated (Invitrogen) secondary antibody, as described [17]. Quantification was based on data observed from ≥70 cells.

Statistical Analyses

For synergy analysis, cells were treated with rucaparib and irradiation, alone or in combinations in a ratio equaling the ratio of their median-effect doses, with each dose in each experiment plated in triplicate and each experiment performed three times. The interaction between the two treatments in clonogenic cell survival and senescence assays was then determined based on the isobolographic method of Chou and Talalay, as described earlier [18,19]. All statistical analyses were done using two-way ANOVA and the statistical significance assigned for p<0.05.

Western Blot Analyses

Cells were lysed and subjected to immunoblotting, as described [17,20] and probed with antibodies against the V5 tag (Thermo Scientific), to detect the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion III gene and β-actin (Sigma Aldrich) as a loading control.

Results

Enhanced Sensitivity of PCa Cell Lines to Radiation when Combined with Rucaparib

Ionizing radiation and DNA-damaging agents significantly induce PARP-1 and levels of PARP are higher in tumors [9,10], therefore, PARPi could be used to sensitize to DNA-damaging chemo- or radio-therapy. Clinical success of PARPi on a cohort of patients [21] that included some with PCas prompted our interest in exploring the potential use of rucaparib (CO-338; formerly known as AG014699 and PF-01367338) as a radiosensitizer. Rucaparib, the first PARPi that has been developed [22,23], and is currently tested in clinical trials has not been previously used for PCa cells. Examining its long-term effect on cell survival indicated a dose response for radiation and rucaparib for different PCa cells (Fig. 1A). VCaP and LNCaP (rucaparib concentration: 0.25, 0.5, and 0.75 μM) showed maximum sensitivity towards rucaparib,
followed by PC3 and C4-2 cells. In combination with 1.5 Gy x-irradiation, LNCaP cells exhibited the highest sensitivity to as low as 0.75 μM of rucaparib (Fig. 1B). Synergy calculations by isobologram analysis (see Materials and Methods) were performed for the four doses of radiation, ranging from 1–5 Gy in combination with rucaparib (concentration range 0.6–3.12 μM). For PC3, a concentration of rucaparib as low as 1.25 μM showed a significant decrease in colony number with a potent radiosensitization effect. DU145 cells were the least responsive to radiation and rucaparib, alone and in combination, with a limited effect obtained only at the highest doses. VCaP cells, however, while they showed a similar response to radiation as DU145, for the combination with rucaparib exhibited a synergistic interaction (Fig. 1B and Fig. S2A). The combination index revealed the strongest synergy (CI<0.2) in LNCaP cells following the radiation and rucaparib combination, with doses of radiation as low as 0.5 Gy and 0.25 μM of rucaparib being effective. PC3 cells exhibited a moderate synergy (CI = 0.7) following 4 Gy radiation and 2.5 μM of rucaparib, whereas C4-2 cells showed an additive effect (CI = 0.9) (Fig. 1B and Fig. S2).

Rucaparib and Radiation Induce Senescence in PTEN-deficient and TMPRSS2-ERG Fusion-expressing Cells

Senescence is known to represent an important response to both radiation and PARPi that impacts on cell proliferation and ultimately clonogenic survival. The treated cells showed characteristic markers of senescence after six days. These included flattened cell morphology with the accumulation of SA-β-galactosidase-positive cells (Fig. S3A). PTEV null PC3, LNCaP, and C4-2 cell lines showed a radiation and PARPi dose-dependent increase in SA-β-galactosidase-positive cells to treatment either with radiation or rucaparib (Fig. 2A and 2B). LNCaP had the highest number of senescent cells following either single agent or

Figure 1. Decreased clonogenic survival of PCa cells exposed to radiation and rucaparib. A, Radiation and rucaparib dose response in PC3, C4-2, DU145, VCaP and LNCaP cells was established by clonogenic cell survival assays. Left panels indicate the response to radiation, those on the right to rucaparib. B, Synergistic effect of the combination of radiation and rucaparib on clonogenic survival in LNCaP, PC3, C4-2, and VCaP cells, where CI <1 represents synergy and CI >1 an antagonistic interaction between the two treatments. Error bars represent SD of mean (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060408.g001
the combination treatment. In contrast, DU145 cells that have a wild-type PTEV allele showed almost no senescent cells even at the highest doses used. The combination index for the senescence SA-β-galactosidase staining indicated a moderate-strong synergy (CI = 0.5–0.7) in PC3, LNCaP, and C4-2 cells (Fig. 2C and Fig. S2). The senescence characteristics were sustained up to at least twelve weeks, with a slight increase in the number of β-galactosidase-positive cells (Fig. 2D and Fig. S3B). These data indicate that senescence contributes to decreased survival of PTEV-deficient cells and that the extent of senescence correlates with clonogenic survival.

VCaP cells, which harbor the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene, acquired senescent cells following radiation and PARPi (Fig. S4). However, these cells are dependent on the fusion gene, therefore examining senescence following knock-down of TMPRSS2-ERG was not informative. Hence, we used PC3 cells expressing the same isoform, TMPRSS2-ERG fusion III for further senescence experiments. PC3 cells expressing TMPRSS2-ERG had an increased number of SA-β-galactosidase-positive cells following radiation (Fig. S4). The effect of TMPRSS2-ERG expression was comparable to what was obtained in PC3 cells irradiated in the presence of the DNA-PKcs inhibitor NU7441 (p = 0.0002), while NU7441 alone did not induce senescence in either cell line. Rucaparib treatment in combination with radiation significantly (p < 0.0001) increased the number of senescent cells in TMPRSS2-ERG-expressing PC3 cells, which again correlated with PC3 cells treated with radiation, rucaparib, and NU7441, (p = 0.0009) (Fig. 2E). NU7441 treatment did not induce senescence in PC3 cells expressing the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion following radiation, alone or in combination with rucaparib. These data indicate that DNA-PKcs activity is critical for senescence, as indicated by the increased number of senescent cells in TMPRSS2-ERG-expressing cells or when DNA-PKcs is inhibited.

Rucaparib Increases Persistence of Radiation-induced DNA Damage Foci

We next examined whether the effectiveness of the treatments was related to their ability to induce DNA damage. γH2AX and p53BP1 are established surrogates for measuring ionizing radiation-induced foci (IRIF) [24]. Irradiation generated an increased number of γH2AX foci at 3 and 6 h, which were greatly diminished by 24 h, indicative of the repair of the DNA damage (Fig. 3A). In contrast, when cells were irradiated in the presence of rucaparib, γH2AX foci persisted at 24 h. In addition, foci for p53BP1, another established marker for DSBs, were more prominent at 24 h following irradiation, with the combined treatment with rucaparib resulting in an increased number of foci (Fig. 3B). Rad51 is a key component of HR; its expression was not affected by the PTEV status, consistent with a recent report [25]. Nevertheless, the combination of rucaparib and radiation showed persistent Rad51 foci at 24 h (Fig. 3C), indicating that the combination is more effective in inflicting persistent DNA damage in the treated cells.

LDR Leads to Increased DNA Damage and Reduced Cell Survival

Radiation is administered in the clinic either as external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) or brachytherapy. Radiation for the treatment of PCa by brachytherapy typically utilizes dose rates of <70 cGy/h as compared to 200–300 cGy/min that are commonly used for EBRT for in vitro radiation studies of human cancer cell lines with conventional irradiators. To investigate the effectiveness of lower dose-rates, C4-2 and PC3 cells were exposed to dose rates of 56 to 690 cGy/min, to achieve a total dose of 5 Gy. The longer exposure time directly correlated with more extensive DNA damage, resulting in fewer colonies (Fig. 4A) and more γH2AX and 53BP1 foci (Fig. 5A and 6A). The lowest dose rate (56 cGy/min) increased the number of γH2AX foci significantly (p < 0.0002) compared to the conventional dose rate (146 cGy/min). Addition of rucaparib significantly (p < 0.0001) induced DNA damage as measured by an increased number of γH2AX foci (Fig. 5B). Moreover, there were significantly (p < 0.0001) increased numbers of p53BP1 foci following the combination treatment (Fig. 6B). The lowest dose rate of radiation (56 cGy/min) induced significantly more 53BP1 IRIF (p = 0.004 & 0.0007 for C4-2 and PC3 cells respectively) compared to the highest dose rate (690 cGy/min).

Similar experiments were performed with an Ir-192 radiation source (fixed total dose of 5 and 10 Gy ± rucaparib). The cells which received the lowest dose-rate (4.34 cGy/h), delivered over the longest period of time, formed fewer colonies compared to those exposed to moderate to higher doses (26.8 cGy/h) of radiation (Fig. 4B). Rucaparib greatly reduced colony formation even at the highest LDR dose tested (26.8 cGy/h), which required ~18 h to achieve 5 Gy.

PARP Inhibition Radiosensitizes TMPRSS2-ERG Fusion Gene-expressing Cells to an Extent Similar to DNA-PKcs Inhibition in Parental Cells

The fusion between TMPRSS2, an androgen-regulated onco-gene, and an ETS transcription factor estrogen-regulated gene, ERG generated by an interstitial deletion on chromosome 21 or by reciprocal translocation is present in ~50% of early stage PCa [26]. VCaP cells that express TMPRSS2-ERG endogenously were radiosensitized by rucaparib (Fig. 1B and Fig. S2A). These cells are dependent on TMPRSS2-ERG as they stop proliferating when it is depleted by siRNA (data not shown). Therefore, PC3 cells were transfected with the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion III isoform, the most common PCa fusion gene. Its stable expression did not have any significant effect on radiosensitivity, estimated by clonogenic survival assays (Fig. S1B) and by γH2AX and 53BP1 foci. However, when it was administrated together with rucaparib, the number of colonies was reduced significantly (p = 0.0105) (Fig. 7B). This effect was comparable to what was obtained in PC3 cells treated with the DNA-PKcs inhibitor NU7441. The rucaparib combination further radiosensitized these cells (p = 0.0005), to an extent comparable to cells expressing the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene treated with rucaparib (Fig. 7A). An increased number of 53BP1 and γH2AX foci were visible even at the lowest dose rate,
Figure 3. Combination of rucaparib with radiation increases γH2AX and 53BP1 foci leading to persistent DNA damage. γH2AX (A) and 53BP1 (B) foci were determined by immunofluorescence microscopy at 24 h following combined treatment with radiation and rucaparib in PC3 and LNCaP cells (left panel), with time-dependent kinetics shown (right panel). C, Rad51 foci were visualized and quantified in PC3 cells similarly after 24 h of treatment. Error bars represent SD of mean (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060408.g003
further demonstrating that rucaparib is a potent radiosensitizer for PCa cells that harbor a fusion gene (Fig. 7C).

Discussion

Rucaparib (CO-338; formerly known as AG014699 and PF-01367338), a PARPi represents a novel class of agents with documented antitumor activity against human cell lines and xenografts containing mutated or epigenetically silenced BRCA1/2 [4,27]. Prior work has established that PARP-1 interacts with TRMPRSS2-ERG, providing thus a mechanistic rationale for the use of PARPi in ETS gene fusion-positive PCa [3]. Here we show for the first time its effectiveness as a radiation sensitizer in a panel of PCa cell lines, with maximal synergy achieved with low dose-rate (LDR) rather than conventional dose radiation. The LDR doses used here mimic those employed in clinical practice for PCa brachytherapy [28]. We believe this finding is important since brachytherapy is more effective than EBRT for PCa treatment, and it may employ molecular mechanisms that promote enhanced cancer cell radiosensitivity, in our study through enhanced senescence. Indeed, chemical inhibition of PARP-1 activity induced marked radiosensitization of several exponentially growing tumor cell lines in the 5–30 cGy dose range. LDR radiosensitization of actively dividing tumor cells by PARPi suggests that they may have a role in enhancing the efficacy of ultra-fractionated or LDR regimens [29]. Our studies indicate that rucaparib is a very potent PARPi that synergizes with clinical doses of radiation achieved during brachytherapy. Its use as a radiosensitizer is effective even when the radiation dose is greatly reduced, a situation encountered as the radioactive “seeds” decay during the extensive time they are used in PCa patients.

Rucaparib, the first PARPi that was developed and tested in the clinic (in 2003 under the name AG014699) [22,23], has been also shown to be effective in tumor xenografts of breast, lung, colon, colorectal, and pancreatic cancer [27,30]. At the same time, it has been shown to be nontoxic in mice that carried at least one functional copy of the BRCA2 gene. Our study is the first ever carried out for rucaparib in PCa. While we have not pursued similar xenograft studies, based on the above reports with diverse tumor types, all indications are that these results would be translated to PCa xenograft models.

Rucaparib has been examined in combination with various chemotherapeutic agents. It was found to be effective in combination with platinum in breast and ovarian cancer xenograft models [27,31]. Our study is the first one to examine its effectiveness in combination with radiotherapy. Other PARPi, in
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**Figure 4. LDR is effective in sensitizing PCa Cells.** A. Clonogenic survival assays were performed for PC3 (left panel) and C4-2 cells (right panel) at different dose-rates ±1.25 μM rucaparib. B. C4-2 cells were exposed to different dose rates of radiation from an Ir-192 source ±2.5 μM rucaparib. A total radiation dose of 5 Gy (left panel) and 10 Gy (right panel) was delivered; therefore the time of exposure differed for the various dose-rates used. Error bars represent SD of mean (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060408.g004
Figure 5. LDR induces enhanced DNA damage in the presence of rucaparib. A. Confocal immunostaining for γH2AX foci, enumerated in PC3 and C4-2 cells following radiation at the indicated dose rates. B. Quantitation of dose-dependent formation of γH2AX foci. Error bars represent SD of mean (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060408.g005
combination with irradiation, were reported to cause significant tumor growth delay in lung cancer in vivo models [8], and to be effective radiosensitizers in both lung and PCa cell lines [32]. Sensitization to radiation and alkylating agents was enhanced in DSB repair-deficient cells [33], consistent with the remarkable sensitivity to PARP inhibition of BRCA-1 and BRCA-2-deficient tumor cells [6]. The ABT-888 (veliparib) PARPi was recently shown to enhance the response of PCa cells and tumors to irradiation in DU145 and PC3 cells [34]. Combining ABT-888 with 6 Gy resulted in delayed tumor regrowth compared with either agent alone only in PC3 xenograft tumors, whereas DU145 tumors continued to grow. Similar to our studies, PC3 but not DU145 cells and tumors were shown to contain abundant senescent cells displaying persistent DNA damage foci [34]. We show that rucaparib is effective in additional PTEN-deficient cells including those that harbor ETS gene fusions. Clearly, the efficacy

Figure 6. LDR, when combined with rucaparib, augments DNA damage-induced 53BP1 IRIF. A. Confocal immunostaining of 53BP1 foci in PC3 and C4-2 cells following radiation at the indicated dose rates. B. Graphical representation of dose-dependent 53BP1 IRIF generation. Error bars represent SD of mean (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060408.g006
Figure 7. The *TMPRSS2-ERG* fusion gene provides enhanced sensitivity to PARP inhibition, comparable to that of DNA-PKcs inhibition in parental cells. A, Clonogenic survival assay in PC3 cells following 4 Gy radiation ±2.5 μM rucaparib and the DNA-PKcs inhibitor NU7441 (500 nM; left panel). B, Clonogenic survival assays in PC3 cells and derivatives expressing *TMPRSS2-ERG* fusion III at different dose-rates ±2.5 μM rucaparib. C, Immunostaining for γH2AX and 53BP1 in PC3 cells expressing the fusion gene following radiation ± rucaparib treatment for 24 h. Error bars represent SD of mean (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060408.g007
of PARPi may depend on a competent senescence response to accumulated DNA damage, such as when PTEN is deficient or when the TMPRSS2-ERG is expressed. A recent study has found that rucaparib radiosensitization can be also NF-kB dependent [35]. In this study we show that TMPRSS2-ERG, in addition to PTEN deficiency, can sensitize to PARPi, which synergizes with radiotherapy. Since mutations in BRCA1/2 that provide a “synthetic lethality” relationship with PARPi are rare in PCa, there is considerable interest in defining other molecular markers for PARPi sensitivity. Indeed, sensitization to PARPi has been recently shown to be augmented by blocking DNA damage signaling, through ATM/Chk2 [36,37] and the MRN complex through Mre11 [38]. In contrast, blocking NHEJ DNA repair through p53BP1 [39], can in fact counteract the exquisite sensitivity to PARPi caused by BRCA1 deficiency [40]. On the other hand, expression of p53 in our PCa cell panel and a recent report [39] did not make a difference as both p53 null (PC3) or p53 proficient (LNCaP, C4-2) cells responded as well to PARPi as a radiosensitizer. The response was rather dependent primarily on a competent senescent response that was absent in DU145 cells that have a functional PTEN allele and a truncated, non-functional retinoblastoma tumor suppressor [41].

ETS gene fusions are found in the majority of PCa cases [26]. The expression of the fusion gene is responsible for cell proliferation in PCa cells that express the TMPRSS2-ERG [42]. Mouse prostates devoid of PTEN display enhanced tumor genesis in the presence of overexpressed ERG [43]. A recent report showed that fusion gene expression alters radio- and chemosensitivity when X-ray radiation is administered in combination with paclitaxel [44]. Similar to BRCA1/2 deficiency, inhibitors of PARP-1 enhance the extent of DNA damage promoted initially by overexpression of fusion genes [3]. Therefore, prostate tumor cells harboring ETS fusions, such as TMPRSS2-ERG are more prone to robust response to PARPi, alone on in combination with LDR. Another study has, however, found that PTEN deletion in PCa cells may not necessarily associate with loss of RAD51 function [25], with sensitivity to a different PARPi being associated instead with a defect in MRE11 expression. We discovered that the DNA-PKcs inhibition by TMPRSS2-ERG (data not shown) has a critical role for senescence activation. Remarkably, DNA-PKcs expression has been recently shown to predict the response to radiotherapy in PCa [45].

In addition to its role in DNA damage and repair, a transarectal role has been also attributed to PARPi-1 [3], most recently indicating that it is required for androgen receptor function, particularly in castration-resistant models of PCa [46]. As we have shown earlier, TMPRSS2-ERG expression can impact on androgen receptor signaling, for example by decreasing levels of critical prostate-relevant markers, such as the prostate specific membrane antigen, PSMA [47].

In summary, our studies show a synergistic interaction of a potent PARPi, rucaparib, in PCa tumor cells when it is combined with radiation. LDR radiation mimicking brachytherapy was more effective than a radiation dose equivalent to what is used clinically for EBRT. Synergy achieved for clonogenic survival correlated with that for senescence in PTEN-deficient cells and cells expressing the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene. These data support the effectiveness of rucaparib as a potent PARPi for radiosensitizing PCa cells, particularly those that express the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene and are PTEN-deficient, indicating potential clinical application for brachytherapy in patients with intermediate and high risk PCa.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Staining for SA-β-galactosidase. A: Staining after 6 days revealed β-galactosidase-positive LN=CaP, C4-2, and PC3 but not DU145 cells, which harbor a wild-type PTEN allele, following treatment with radiation and rucaparib, alone or in combination. B: Similar experiments were carried out for a 12-day β-galactosidase staining. (TIF)

Figure S2 Synergistic effect of combination of radiation and rucaparib on clonogenic survival and senescence. The combination index and fraction affected (fa) were estimated based on (A): clonogenic survival assay and (B): β-galactosidase staining as a proxy for senescence. CI values <1 represent synergy, CI > 1 an antagonistic interaction between the two treatments. (TIF)

Figure S3 Expression of the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion III isoform. Western blot indicates stable V5-tagged fusion III isoform expression in PC3 cells using antibodies against V5 and β-actin, as a loading control. (TIF)

Figure S4 Positive Staining of SA-β-galactosidase. A, VCaP cells with or without TMPRSS2-ERG fusion (siERG) exhibit positively stained cells following radiation and rucaparib alone or in combination. B, PC3 cells expressing TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene display SA-β-galactosidase staining following radiation ± rucaparib. (TIF)
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