Magnetoelectrics: Three Centuries of Research Heading Towards the 4.0 Industrial Revolution
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Abstract: Magnetoelectric (ME) materials composed of magnetostrictive and piezoelectric phases have been the subject of decades of research due to their versatility and unique capability to couple the magnetic and electric properties of the matter. While these materials are often studied from a fundamental point of view, the 4.0 revolution (automation of traditional manufacturing and industrial practices, using modern smart technology) and the Internet of Things (IoT) context allows the perfect conditions for this type of materials being effectively/finally implemented in a variety of advanced applications. This review starts in the era of Rontgen and Curie and ends up in the present day, highlighting challenges/directions for the time to come. The main materials, configurations, ME coefficients, and processing techniques are reported.

Keywords: magnetoelectric; piezoelectric; magnetostrictive; multiferroic; IoT; 4.0 industrial revolution

1. Introduction

Multiferroic materials are formed by at least two ferroic orders: a ferroelectric order where spontaneous and stable polarization can be switched hysteretically by an applied electric field; a ferromagnetic order where spontaneous and stable magnetization can be switched hysteretically by an applied magnetic field or ferroelastic order where spontaneous and stable deformation can be switched hysteretically by an applied stress [1]. The interaction between different ferroic orders can produce effects such as the magnetoelectric (ME) one schematically represented in Figure 1.

Such a ME response is reflected in the appearance of an electric polarization variation when applying an external magnetic field, or in the induced magnetization variation under an external electric field [2]. The initial studies regarding the ME effect by Rontgen in 1888 [3] and Curie in 1894 [4] reported that a moving dielectric became magnetized when placed in a magnetic field and the possibility of intrinsic linear ME behaviour of crystals based on symmetry considerations, respectively. After those considerations, the ME concept started to get increasing attention. The term “magnetoelectric” was introduced by Debye in 1926, after the first failed attempts to experimentally demonstrate the ME effect. Three decades later, in 1959, Dzyaloshinkii reported two evidences of the ME effect: (i) that an electric field induced magnetization on Cr$_2$O$_3$ and (ii) a magnetic field induced polarization in the same Cr$_2$O$_3$ [5,6].
Also, dispersion problems in nanocomposites, mechanical defects limiting the mechanical coupling, possibilities for tuning the ME response were some of the Achilles heels in this area. Additionally, polarization, and vice-versa [7]. The low number of materials displaying ME behaviour also did not phase control in devices such as memories or switching devices with magnetic control of the electrical polarization, and vice-versa [7]. The low number of materials displaying ME behaviour also did not support the proposed applications requiring specific physical properties and stability. In particular, the Curie temperature ($T_C$) was below room temperature and the discrete compositions with limited possibilities for tuning the ME response were some of the Achilles heels in this area. Additionally, there were also limited techniques for the detailed/reproducible study of the ME behaviour [7].

The first ME composite material was produced in the 1970s by combining piezoelectric and ferromagnetic BaTiO$_3$ and piezomagnetic and ferromagnetic CoFe$_2$O$_4$ [8]. Despite the improvements on composites that allowed ME coupling to occur at room-temperature, the ME response was never above $\approx 100$ mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$, due to inconsistencies in chemical reactions during the sintering process, low resistivity of the magnetostrictive phase, and induced eddy currents by the applied AC voltage. Also, dispersion problems in nanocomposites, mechanical defects limiting the mechanical coupling, and difficult on aligning the electric dipoles were issues still to be solved [7].

Only in the 1990s was interest on the ME effect renewed with the introduction of new ME interactions and degrees of freedom in designing ME compounds, innovative experimental techniques and optimized theoretical tools [9]. The experiments allowing one to tune new parameters, such as stoichiometry and the microstructure of the ME materials were carried out to produce large ME response, orders of magnitude higher than the one in single-phase materials at room temperature [7].

The magnetic and piezoelectric phases in ME composites can be divided based on their connectivity types in (0–3) particulate composite; (2–2) laminate composite and (1–3) fiber/rod composite (Figure 2) [2].

In (0–3) particulate composites, a high concentration of magnetic particles needs to be dispersed into the selected piezoelectric matrix. The properties of the composite can be easily tailored by selecting the constituent phases, the particle sizes, and processing parameters [10].
In (2–2) laminate composites, the piezoelectric and magnetostrictive phases are often joined by a coupling agent, leading to an elimination of the leakage current, resulting in a superior ME performance [11]. Such laminates can be arranged in different shapes and geometries, including discs, squares, rectangles, and rings, with different dimensions. They can be arranged as unimorphs and bimorphs as well as bilayered and multilayered structures [12].

Regarding (1–3) fiber/rod composites, the magnetic phase can also lead to leakage currents during polarization [2], and very few attempts have been made to fabricate this type of bulk ME composite. To overcome this, (1–3) fiber/rod composites typically consist of three phases: PE bulk, magnetostrictive (MS) material and insulating polymers [13].

The first ME using a laminar topology was fabricated in 2001 by sandwiching a Lead zirconate titanate (PZT) disc between two Terfenol-D discs connected with silver epoxy, avoiding the previously reported problems in ME particulate composites, such as low ME response and leakage currents [7]. This laminate achieved a ME coupling coefficient of 4.68 V cm\(^{-1}\) Oe\(^{-1}\) with a 4.2 kOe DC magnetic field at 1 kHz, exceeding the values obtained in ME particulate composites at the time [8].

These approaches and promising findings led to an increase research activity in the subsequent years, being a part of this research focused on substituting the ceramic piezoelectric materials of the ME particulate composites, by insulating piezoelectric polymers to overcome technical problems such as fragility and high dielectric losses, that lead to low output voltages [14].

The polymer-based ME composites strategy offered a new approach for developing new applications with easy production at low temperatures and additive manufacturing capability (inkjet printing and screen printing, among others), tuned mechanical properties for flexible devices, large area applications, low-cost and biocompatible devices [14], suitable for the internet of things (IoT) and Industry 4.0. Such IoT devices demands on optimized performances, low power consumption and integrated applications can be achieved with ME materials (Figure 3).

The main experimental methods for measuring the ME coefficient are the static, quasi-static, dynamic and pulsed dynamic methods. For the dynamic method, the sample is subjected to the action of a superimposed AC field in a variable DC magnetic field, which generates at the ends of the material a voltage response (ME signal) which permits to obtain the ME voltage coefficient (\(\alpha_{ME}\)) value indirectly through Equation (1):

\[ \alpha_{ME} = \frac{\Delta V}{t \cdot H_{AC}} \]  

where \(\Delta V\) is the generated voltage, \(t\) the thickness of the piezoelectric material and \(H_{AC}\) the intensity of the AC magnetic field.

---

**Figure 2.** The schematic illustration of three bulk composites with different connectivity: (a) (0–3) particulate composite; (b) (2–2) laminate composite; (c) (1–3) fiber/rod composite. Reproduced with permission from [2].
ME systems converting magnetic energy into electrical output (or vice-versa) can increase the productivity and efficiency of resources [17]. Additionally, information and communication technologies allow ME smart products with embedded sensors, actuators, processing units, connected via internet enabling functionalities for monitoring, control, real time optimization and autonomy [18,19].

Thus, the research on ME materials associated with IoT in the industry 4.0 context, taking advantage of the developments achieved over the past years and in both areas (Figure 4), can lead to new applications that enable monitoring and real time optimization and autonomy, by the introduction of self-sensing magnetic sensors and actuators for real time sensing, monitoring and response, enabling at the same time energy harvesting from the environment. Additionally, ME devices make possible to enhance real time communication with better tuned antennas.

This work aims to make a time travel through three centuries, starting in the pioneer studies regarding single-phase ME, until the implementation of ME materials within the 4.0 paradigm, passing by through a discussion concerning the main problems and future perspectives of the ME area.

Figure 3. The model for Industry 4.0. Reproduced with permission from [15].

Figure 4. The publications per year according to the Web of Science database (7/2020) with the key words (a) magnetoelectric; and (b) internet of things [20].
2. Single-Phase ME

As previously shown, single-phase multiferroic materials are characterized by the intrinsic characteristic of presenting at least two ferroic orders [1]. The ME response is observed as an intrinsic effect typically at low temperatures (<10 K), that can be used for applications in data storage, spintronics or memories [2]. Shalini et al. [21] used a standard solid-state reaction method [22] by taking stoichiometric quantities of K$_2$CO$_3$, Na$_2$CO$_3$, Nb$_2$O$_5$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, MnO$_2$, mixed in an agate mortar and calcined at 1373.15 K for two hours, creating the multiferroic ceramic K$_{0.5}$Na$_{0.5}$[Nb$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$Mn$_{0.5}$]O$_3$ ($x = 0.025, 0.05, 0.075, 0.10$) [21]. This work verifies the behaviour in alkali niobate-based ferroelectric called potassium sodium niobite (KNN), a lead-free ceramic through the substitution of transition elements. This multiferroic ceramic material achieved a longitudinal ME coefficient ($\alpha_{ME}$) value of 3.45 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ with an $H_{DC}$ of 1000 Oe and $H_{AC}$ of 60 Oe [21].

Pikula et al. [23] prepared a Bi$_{0.5}$Nd$_{0.5}$FeO$_3$ solid solution using a standard solid-state reaction method. The stoichiometric mixture of oxide powders was grinded in a planetary ball mill for 24 h. The obtained mixture was consolidated into pellets and calcined at 1023 K for 10 h. Then, the material was crushed and milled in ethyl alcohol. After drying, the ceramic was again formed into pellets under a pressure of 60 MPa and then sintered at 1273 K during 24 h [23]. The samples were evaluated in a frequency interval from 100 Hz to 10 kHz with a applied $H_{DC}$ of 0.9 kOe, achieving a maxim ME coefficient of 0.46 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ at 10 kHz (Figure 5) [23].

Based on a similar procedure, Dabas et al. [24] reported a Mn-doped BiFeO$_3$ ceramic. The material was prepared in the required stoichiometric proportion and ground in an agate pestle and mortar, mixed in an acetone medium. This mixture was ground until the acetone dried off, then transferred to a crucible for calcination at 973.15 K for 7 h. The mixture was pressed in a hydraulic press to obtain pellets of 10 mm of diameter by 1 mm of thickness. As a final step the pellets along with the powder mixture were sintered at 1093.15 K for 9 h, producing samples with 1, 3 and 5 molar percentage of Mn [24]. The ME coefficient of the samples with 1% Mn and 3% Mn is almost constant due to low amount of Mn, but in the 5% Mn one, a variation is observed for magnetic fields larger than 2000 Oe, leading to ME coefficient of 3.36584 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ at 2696 Oe, higher than the one for 1% Mn ($\approx 0.170$ mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ at 2993 Oe) and 3% Mn ($0.67$ mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ at 2792 Oe) samples [24].

Kumari et al. [25] developed a polycrystalline thin film by PLD of BaZr$_{0.05}$Fe$_{Ti_{1-x/3}}$O$_{0.975}$O$_5$ grown on Pt/TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Si substrate (Figure 6a)). The ME coefficient at room temperature was $\approx 165$ mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ at 900 Oe for the $x = 0.015$ sample, Figure 6b) [25]. Joginder et al. [26] synthesized polycrystalline single phase Bi$_{1-x}$Sm$_x$Ti$_3-x$Fe$_x$O$_{12+8}$ (0 $\leq x \leq 0.3$) ceramics with a room temperature ME coefficient of 0.84 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ for $x = 0.3$ at 993 Hz with an applied AC field of 3 Oe, Figure 6c). The ME coupling appears through stress/strain mediated interaction between electric and magnetic sub-lattices [26].

Liu et al. [27] reported an effective ME coupling in cubic ferrimagnetic spinel LiFe$_2$O$_8$, showing a hysteretic ME signal at room temperature [27]. The LiFe$_2$O$_8$ powders, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Li$_2$CO$_3$ were milled and heated at 1073.15 K for 5 h. The prepared powder was grounded and pressed into pellets.
with 6 weight percentage (wt.%) polyvinyl alcohol as binder, then sintered at 1223.15 K for 5 h, and coated with silver electrodes. This work demonstrated a maximum ME coefficient of 2 mV-cm\(^{-1}\)-Oe\(^{-1}\) at a temperature of 120 K [27].

![Figure 6](image-url)  
**Figure 6.** (a) The schematic representation of the experimental conditions; and (b) M–E coupling coefficient versus applied magnetic field measured in both in-plane magnetized-out of plane polarized (L–T) and out of plane magnetized-out of plane polarized (T–T) modes. Reproduced with permission from [25]. (c) ME coefficient for a \(H_{AC} = 3\) Oe at 993 Hz [26]. (d) MEP (H) hysteresis loops displayed at 300 K, showing the variations of the ME coefficient as a function of the applied magnetic field for of BDFO (red) and BFO (black). Reproduced with permission from [28].

Ruth et al. [29] reported lead-free Na-deficient single-phase sodium bismuth titinate perovskite Na\(_{0.42}\)Bi\(_{0.52}\)Ti\(_{1.005}\)O\(_3\) ferroelectrics. The material has shown a self-bias ME coefficient around 4.18 mV-cm\(^{-1}\)-Oe\(^{-1}\) (\(H_{AC} = 1\) Oe at 1 kHz) at zero DC magnetic field and room temperature [29].

Xue et al. [30] developed a solid solution of single-phase ME 0.06BiFeO\(_3\)-0.1LaFeO\(_3\)-0.3PbFeO\(_{2.5}\) based on a mixed oxide solution of BiFeO\(_3\), LaFeO\(_3\), and PbFeO\(_{2.5}\). These powders were mixed, ball milled, ground, calcined and added to polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) 7 wt.\% forming pellets. These pellets were sintered at 1 223.15 K for 2 h then coated with silver electrodes and annealed at 823.15 K for 30 minutes [30]. The single-phase materials presented a ME coupling of approximately 120 mV-cm\(^{-1}\)-Oe\(^{-1}\) [30].

Lakshmi et al. [31] synthesized multiferroic ceramic BiFeO\(_3\) co-doped with aliovalent Nb, Mn and Mo at the Fe site by sol-gel [31–33]. Bi(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)-5H\(_2\)O, Fe(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)-9H\(_2\)O, Er(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)-H\(_2\)O, Nb\(_2\)O\(_5\), Mn(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)-H\(_2\)O, MoO\(_6\) were mixed in distilled water and stirred at 400 rpm until homogenization. Then, the mixture was heated at 353.15 K on a hot plate until a gel was formed. The gel was converted into powders by auto-combustion, which was then ground and annealed at 873.15 K for 12 h. The pellets of 8 mm were prepared from the annealed powders by a hydraulic press under 6 tons pressure and
sintered at 1073.15 K for 12 h [31]. The results of BiFeO$_3$ doped with Er and Nb showed a maximum ME coupling coefficient of 0.22 mV·cm$^{-1}·$Oe$^{-1}$ at 13 mT [31].

Luo et al. [20] fabricated Bi$_3$SmFeTi$_3$O$_{15}$ thin films coated on (111)Pt/Ti/SiO$_2$/Si substrates by the sol–gel method. The precursor solution was constituted by high-purity bismuth nitrate (Bi(NO$_3$)$_3$·5H$_2$O 98%), samarium oxide (Sm$_2$O$_3$ 99.9%), iron acetylacetonate (C$_{15}$H$_{21}$FeO$_6$ 98%) and titanium n-butoxide Ti(C$_4$H$_9$O$_4$) (99%). The ME coefficient observed at room temperature was of 41.16 mV·cm$^{-1}·$Oe$^{-1}$ at 0.9 T [20]. Zhao et al. [34] developed a room temperature bismuth-layer-structured ferroelectric Bi$_5$Ti$_3$FeO$_{15}$ thin film grown by pulsed laser deposition (PLD) technique [35] on Pt/Ti/SiO$_2$/Si substrates. The achieved ME coupling at room temperature was of approximately 400 mV·cm$^{-1}·$Oe$^{-1}$ at a zero bias magnetic field (H$_{AC}$ = 5 Oe) [34]. Pan et al. [28] produced a room temperature ME multiferroic based on BiFeO$_3$, Bi$_{0.88}$Dy$_{0.12}$Fe$_{0.97}$Ti$_{0.03}$O$_{3+\delta}$, prepared via a co-precipitation process with the starting constituents Bi(NO$_3$)$_3$·5H$_2$O, Dy(NO$_3$)$_3$·5H$_2$O and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O. An electric field of 80 kV·cm$^{-1}$ was applied at room temperature to pole the sample and the ME effect at room temperature reached approximately 0.23 mV·cm$^{-1}·$Oe$^{-1}$ at 250 Oe, Figure 6d). The response at low fields could potentially bring BiFeO$_3$ materials closer to practical applications in electronics and spintronics devices were the dipoles can be tuned by a low magnetic field [28].

Yang et al. [36] developed single-phase multiferroic ceramics of (1 − x) BaTiO$_3$ − x BiFeO$_3$ (BT − x BFO) synthesized by solid-solution method that exhibited an ME coefficient of 0.87 mV·cm$^{-1}·$Oe$^{-1}$, providing a possibility of developing electrically or magnetically tunable thin-film devices. The reported single-phase ME materials, as well as their production technique are summarized in Figure 7, being observed that the ME voltage coefficient can assume a broad range of values, from less than 1 mV·cm$^{-1}·$Oe$^{-1}$ up to almost 1 V·cm$^{-1}·$Oe$^{-1}$.

| ME coefficient $\alpha$ [mV·cm$^{-1}·$Oe$^{-1}$] | 0.01 | 0.1 | 1 | 10 | 100 | 1000 |
|---------------------------------------------|------|-----|---|----|-----|------|
| Bi$_3$TiFeO$_{15}$ (PLD) | | | | | | |
| BaZr$_{0.08}$Fe$_{0.19}$Ti$_{0.3}$O$_{2}$ | | | | | | |
| Bi$_3$SmFeTi$_3$O$_{15}$ (Solid-state) | | | | | | |
| BiFeO$_3$ (3%Mn) | | | | | | |
| Bi$_{4.3}$Sm$_{0.5}$Ti$_{1.8}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_{12}$ (Solid-state) | | | | | | |
| Bi$_{5.3}$Nd$_{0.7}$FeO$_3$ (Solid-state) | | | | | | |
| Bi$_{0.88}$Dy$_{0.12}$Fe$_{0.97}$Ti$_{0.03}$O$_{3}$ (co-precipitation) | | | | | | |
| BiFeO$_3$ (Sol-gel) | | | | | | |

**Figure 7.** Some representative single-phase materials and their corresponding ME voltage coefficient and fabrication process.
3. Ceramic-Based ME

Ceramic ME composites consist of a ferroelectric oxides and magnetic oxides (mainly ferrites) combination, and they reveal ME coefficients three orders of magnitude higher than ceramic-based ME materials [37]. Improved piezoelectric and ferroelectric properties in ceramics can be achieved through:

- selection of the composition (preferably near morphotropic phase boundary (MPB) or polymorphic phase transition (PPT)) and modification by doping;
- microstructure design via domain engineering and texturing [38].

Lead zirconate titanate (PZT) [39] has been very often used as the ferroelectric phase in ME composites due to its remarkable piezoelectric (PE) effect. At the same time, ferrites are used as magnetostrictive components due to their high magnetostrictive (MS) performance [11], being found reports employing cobalt ferrite (CoFe$_2$O$_4$) [40], nickel ferrite (NiFe$_2$O$_4$) [41] and Ni$_{0.8}$Zn$_{0.2}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ as magnetic phase [42]. Lopatina et al. [43] prepared and investigated PZT/ferrite composites of different connectivity. The highest value of the ME coefficient (110 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$) with the bias magnetic field $H_0=0.9$ kOe) were found in sintered mixtures of PZT powders with ferrite and sliced materials with 35–55 wt.% of ferrite.

The main disadvantage of PZT is the presence of lead, which is being replaced by lead-free dielectrics. It is also reported that PZT films exhibit high acoustic loss at high frequency range [44]. For these reasons, studies have been carried out to find lead free materials comparable in terms of properties, such as BaTiO$_3$, Na$_{0.5}$Bi$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$, K$_{0.5}$Bi$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ or Na$_{0.5}$K$_{0.5}$NbO$_3$ [45–47].

It should be noted that ferrites may lead to leakage currents that, consequently, can cause deterioration of the composite insulation. This type of material is processed at high temperatures which can lead to a lower ME coefficient than the one that is theoretically predicted, due the inherent preparation problems, such as thermal expansion and atomic interfacial interdiffusion reactions [48,49]. Chemical solution processing and novel sintering techniques such as spark-plasma sintering (SPS) and microwave sintering have been employed to produce the particulate ceramic composites [50–52]. Other magnetostrictive materials, such as Tb$(1-x)$Dy$_x$Fe$_2$ (Terfenol-D) or Metglas are of great interest for practical applications [53].

Duong et al. [54] reported that (2–2) laminate composites with 50 wt.% CoFe$_2$O$_4$ and 50 wt.% of BaTiO$_3$ revealed an enhanced magnetostrictive and piezoelectric coupling compared to the same materials in (0–3) particulate composites, with a ME coefficient of 5.5 and 4.2 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ for longitudinal and transverse measurements, at the field 2300 and 940 Oe, respectively. Hang et al. [55], also showed that (2–2) laminate composites of BTO/CFO exhibit higher ME coefficients than the (0–3) particulate composite (135 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ and 35 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$, respectively, at a DC magnetic field of 2600 Oe and a frequency of 1 kHz), related to the reduction of dielectric losses. Another strategy to reduce ME signal loss is the placement of internal electrodes (e.g., Ag, Ni, and Ag-Pd) between the PE and MS layers [48,56].

Among the three main connectivities of ME composites, (2–2) laminate structures present the highest ME coefficient due to the use of MS alloys since 2001 [13]. Also, on ceramic-based composites, Terfenol D has been used due to its ability to combine low frequency operation and high energy density with high magnetostriction (1000 ppm at fields of 5 kOe) [57]. Both theoretical and experimental results have been reported [58,59] (Figure 8). However, Terfenol-D-based ME composites present low permeability and high saturation field (700 kA/m), and because of that, it is not appropriate for low magnetic field applications. Recent research on ME composites has been focused on the search for new magnetostrictive materials having balanced soft magnetic and magnetostrictive properties. FeBSiC alloys (Metglass) in the form of amorphous ribbons developed by a fast solidification process, allow for fast magnetization and demagnetization, showing high permeability and low coercivity and saturation field [60].
Amirov et al. [61] proposed a new approach for a ME sandwich structure comprising glass-coated amorphous Fe$_{77.5}$B$_{15}$Si$_{7.5}$ microwires as magnetostrictive layer and PZT as piezoelectric phase with a reported ME coefficient of 100 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ (Figure 9). This maximum ME response was observed in weak magnetic fields about 3 Oe at electromechanical resonance conditions (50–60 Hz). The authors stated that this approach can be used for the design of self-biased ME composites that provide large ME coupling under an external AC magnetic field in the absence of a DC magnetic field. Greve, et al. [62] fabricated thin film ME (2–2) composites consisting of aluminum nitride (AlN) and amorphous (Fe$_{60}$Co$_{10}$)$_{78}$Si$_{12}$B$_{10}$ layers by magnetron sputtering on Si (100) substrates.

Upon magnetic field annealing an exceptionally high ME coefficient of 737 V·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ at the mechanical resonance of 753 Hz and 3.1 V·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ out of resonance at 100 Hz, at 6 Oe was demonstrated. These are the highest ME coefficients in thin film composites reported ever [62].

By using an unsymmetrical bi-layered Metglas/Pb(Zr,Ti)O$_3$ ME composites with multi-push pull configuration, Gao et al. [64] obtained a ME voltage coefficient of 250 V·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$, on which the resonance frequency can be varied from 70 Hz to 220 Hz, allowing the authors to develop a magnetic field energy harvesters capable of harvesting energy generated by electronic instruments working on a 60 Hz AC power supply. Using the same materials, Palneedi et al. [65] deposited PZT on a Metglas foil by a granule spray in vacuum process at room temperature, followed by its localized annealing with radiation from a continuous-wave 560 nm ytterbium fiber laser. As a result, a colossal off-resonance
ME voltage coefficient (3 V·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹)—two orders of magnitude larger than previously reported) output from the PZT/Metglas film-composites was achieved.

Keeping the focus on PZT-based materials and changing the magnetostrictive phase to Terfenol-D, Wen et al. [66] reported a ME coefficient of 10 V·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹ suitable for broadband magnetic field sensors. A lead-free ME laminate composite consisting of thickness-polarized piezoelectric Mn-doped Na₀.₅Bi₁₀.₅TiO₃-BaTiO₃ single crystal and length-magnetized magnetostrictive Tb₀.₃Dy₀.₀7Fe₁.₉₂ alloy (L-T mode) have been fabricated by Wang et al. [67], exhibiting a linear ME response of 1.32 V·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹ that opened up the possibility for environment-friendly magnetic sensors.

Amorin et al. [68] reported a detailed study of the local material properties across the interfaces of 0.36BiScO₃–0.64PbTiO₃/NiFe₂O₄ multilayer ceramic composites, processed by spark plasma sintering of nanocrystalline powders being obtained a ME voltage coefficient of 0.36BiScO₃-0.64PbTiO₃ / NiFe₂O₄.

Polycrystalline Pt thin films of different thicknesses (0–75 nm) were introduced using magnetron sputtering in PZT (400 nm in thickness)/Pt/Ni multiferroic film heterostructures by Feng et al. [69], aiming an optimized transfer efficiency of magnetostrictive strain from the bottom Ni foil to the top PZT film. It was obtained a direct ME voltage coefficient of 772 mV·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹. ME composite ceramics of NiFe₂O₄ and PZT were also synthesized by a simple in situ processing based on a sol-gel method followed by a conventional sintering, exhibiting a ME voltage coefficient of 28.5 V·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹ [70]. A strong and anisotropic ME effect (1 V·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹) was obtained in composites of magnetostrictive Ni and solid-state grown lead-free piezoelectric 82BaTiO₃–10BaZrO₃–8CaTiO₃ single crystals [71] enabling the realization of customized ME effects in composites. The reported ceramic-based ME materials, as well as their production technique are summarized on Figure 10, being observed that the ME voltage coefficient can assume a broad range of values, from less than 10 mV·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹ until almost 1 kV·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹.

![Figure 10](image-url)

**Figure 10.** Some representative ceramic-based ME materials together with the ME coefficients, processing techniques, and main application areas.

4. Polymer-Based Magnetoelectrics

Despite the polymer-based ME materials presenting a lower ME coefficient, they are increasingly being used as they allow overcoming some of the above-mentioned problems associated to the
ceramic-based ME materials such as fragility, rigidity and high-cost and also due to their facile, scalable and low-temperature manufacturing methods. The capability to be fabricated in a diversity of forms and shapes, their printability and, in some situations, their biocompatibility are also taken to important advantages [10,72]. Among the different methods that are reported for polymer-based ME nanocomposite films development, solution casting is among the most used one [73].

Andrade et al. [74] demonstrated that the introduction of Gd₃(Si₂₄Ge)₁₆ (GSG) into an electroactive matrix (PVDF) gives rise to a ME coupling and a multicaloric effect. A large ME response of 2.2 V·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹ (HₓC = 5 kOe at 305 K) was observed for 12 wt.% of filler concentration. It was concluded that the ME effect in PVDF/GSG composites is a result of the elastic cooperation between magnetostrictive and piezoelectric components behavior with a contribution from a thermal mediation arising from the components magnetocaloric and pyroelectric features.

Polyurethane (PU) has been also used as polymeric matrix. Guyomar et al. [75] prepared particulate polymer composites by solution casting, consisting of PU/Terfenol-D, PU/Fe₃O₄ and PU/Nickel. It was concluded that whatever the filler type (Terfenol-D, Fe₃O₄ or Nickel), the micro composites show a ME response and that the magnetostrictive property of the material does not have a direct influence on the ME effect since ME sensitivity is DC field independent and the ME polarization coefficient αₚ show close values in ac fields for all types of polymer fillers (Table 1).

Table 1. Magnetolectric coefficient values of polyurethane based composite films [76].

| αₚ (C/m²·Oe) | PU 2%Ni | PU 2%Fe₃O₄ | PU 2%TeD |
|--------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| f₁ = 120 Hz  | 6.2 × 10⁻¹¹ | 1.2 × 10⁻¹⁰  | 4 × 10⁻¹¹ |
| f₂ = 1 kHz   | 5.3 × 10⁻¹⁰  | 6.15 × 10⁻¹⁰ | 2.2 × 10⁻¹⁰ |

Recently, polymer-based ionic liquid composites, in the form of films and fibers have been processed, with focus on the influence of the cations or anions on the physical–chemical properties of the composites and in the improvement of electromechanical actuators [76]. Correia et al. [77] demonstrated the concept of exploring magnetic ionic liquids (MIL), such as [C₄mim][FeCl₄], in polymer-based ME nanocomposites, suitable for low-field magnetic sensing devices (Figure 11).

![Figure 11. The MI nanocomposite and experimental set-up for the measurement of the MI effect.](image-url)
The novel MI nanocomposites produced using P(VDF-TrFE) as the passive porous material, showed a giant $\alpha_{ME}$ coefficients of 10 V·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$, at a $H_{AC}$ intensity of 2 Oe and $H_{AC}$ frequency of 10 kHz. This response includes a magnetically triggered ionic/charge movement within the porous structure of the polymer, being this a novel phenomenon never experimentally observed or explored in ME composites before.

Noticeably, enhanced values of $\alpha_{ME}$ have been found in laminated composites consisting of magnetostrictive and piezoelectric layers glued together, since the beginning of the millennium [78]. This type ME composites show ME voltage coefficients of up to 1000 × larger than any other type of ME materials, leading to the designation of giant ME effect. The elastic coupling in ferromagnetic/ferroelectric polymer-based laminates was first reported by Mori in 2002 [79]. From the different polymers used for the development of ME laminates, polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) and its copolymers are the most popular ones; nevertheless, it is possible also to find several works with diamines [80].

Concerning to the magnetostrictive component of laminates, there are three materials responsible for almost 90% of the work carried out on polymer-based ME laminates: Metglas, VITROVAC, and Terfenol-D [81]. Tri and bi-layered ME flexible composite structures of variable geometries and sizes with magnetostrictive VITROVAC and piezoelectric PVDF layers were fabricated through direct bonding. Silva et al. [82] reported the effect of the bonding layer type and piezoelectric layer thickness on the ME response of layered (PVDF)/epoxy/Vitrovac composites (Figure 12).

![Figure 12](image)

**Figure 12.** The schematic representation of the Vitrovac/Epoxy/PVDF composite (a); optimization process (b) and ME response (c) pave the way for its incorporation into technological applications such as magnetic sensors (d). Reproduced with permission from [82].

An increase of the ME voltage coefficient from 45 V·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ to 53 V·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ was verified with increasing PVDF thickness from 28 µm to 110 µm and a reduction of the ME voltage coefficient from 53 V·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ to 6 V·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ with increasing epoxy Young modulus from 2.7 × 10⁸ Pa to 9.0 × 10⁸ Pa [82]. Jing et al. [83], prepared, by a hot-pressing method, a polymer-matrix composite of (CFO/CNT/PVDF)/P(VDF-TrFE)/(CFO/CNT/PVDF) with a P(VDF-TrFE) layer sandwiched between two layers of CFO/CNT/PVDF mixtures. The $\alpha_{ME}$ of the polymer-matrix composites increases with increasing volume fraction of CFO particles. The self-biased and peak value $\alpha_{ME}$ of the composites reach up to 16.7 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ and 25.8 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$, respectively, at approximately ± 1000 Oe, at low frequency of 1 kHz.

PVDF is considered as the “material of merit” for these applications, however, Zong et al. [84], stated that there is an enormous potential in other polymers to bring significant added-value properties and function to ME composite devices. For this reason, it was reported the development of ME composites based on the natural biopolymer, cellulose. To fabricate cellulose-based ME composites, the authors prepared laminate (bilayer) structures comprising Metglas and cellulose films (Figure 13), enabling two-phase strain coupling for enhanced ME response.
The cellulose-based ME laminate composite produced a substantial ME coefficient of approximately 1.41 V·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹, at H_{DC} = 4.2 Oe [84]. Although laminated ME materials exhibit a higher (three orders of magnitude) ME response, nanocomposites offer other benefits such as cost effectiveness, high control of the process parameters and scalable production with good reproducibility [85].

Innovatively multifunctional ME composites of poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-trifluoroethylene) P(VDF-TrFE)/CoFe₂O₄ were spray-printed [86], being observed a ME voltage coefficient of 21.2 mV·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹, demonstrating the suitability of these materials for cost-effective and large-scale sensor/actuator applications, namely in aerospace, automotive and recreational products.

ME material were also printed by the screen-printing technique [87] by using P(VDF-TrFE) as the piezoelectric phase and poly(vinylidene fluoride)(PVDF- CoFe₂O₄) as the magnetostrictive phase. The reported ME coefficient was 164 mV·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹ suitable for printed electronics, sensors, actuators, and energy harvesters. The work of Martins et al. [88] also focused on the development of a new type of ME polymer nanocomposites that exhibited a tailored ME response at room temperature. The authors developed multiferroic nanocomposites based on three different ferrite nanoparticles, Zn₀.₂Mn₀.₈Fe₂O₄ (ZMFO), CoFe₂O₄ (CFO) and Fe₂O₃ (FO), dispersed in P(VDF-TrFE) matrix. ME results of the nanocomposite films with 10wt.% ferrite content revealed that the ME induced voltage increases with increasing DC magnetic field until a maximum of 6.5 mV·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹, at an optimum magnetic field of 0.26T, and 0.8 mV·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹, at an optimum magnetic field of 0.15 T, for the P(VDF-TrFE)/CFO and P(VDF-TrFE)/FO composites, respectively.

By producing P(VDF-TrFE)/BaTiO₃ composites through a solvent casting method, Mayeen et al. [89] reported a ME voltage coefficient of 18.2 mV·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹ suitable for energy storage, harvesting, energy conversion. By depositing a PVDF solution of a Metglas substrate a ME voltage coefficient of 686 mV·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹ was obtained, that was used on a magnetic sensor with a sensitivity of 503.3 V·T⁻¹ and correlation coefficient of 0.9994 [90].

Polymer-based ME materials were also tested for magnetic sensing by Reis et al. [91] who bonded a PVDF layer to a Metglas foil. The observed ME voltage response (30 V·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹) allied to a charge amplifier, an AC-RMS converter and a microcontroller with an on-chip analog-to-digital converter allowed 1.5 V·Oe⁻¹ (15 kV·T⁻¹) sensitivity and a 70 nT resolution, very attractive for applications such as Earth magnetic field sensing, digital compasses, navigation, and magnetic field anomaly detectors, among others. The same author also fabricated and characterized a high-performance polymer-based ME DC magnetic field AC/DC sensing device composed of PVDF and Fe₆₀.₆Co₁₆.₄Si₉₀.₈B₁₁.₂. The sensing device exhibited a ME response (250 V·cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹), accuracy (99% for both AC and DC sensors),
linearity (92% for the DC sensor and 99% for the AC sensor) and reproducibility (99% for both sensors) indicate the suitability of the sensor for applications [92].

Using other copolymer of PVDF-poly(vinylidene fluoride-hexafluoropropylene) [P(VDF-HFP)], Lu et al. [93] glued the polymer to a Metglas ribbon (2605SA1), being reported a 12 V cm⁻¹-Oe⁻¹ ME voltage coefficient that followed linear relationship with the in situ poling electric field.

Using a dual approach (by experiments and modeling) Belouadah et al. [94], studied the phase switching phenomenon in ME laminate polymer composites, reporting the ME effect (≥900 MV cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹) observed in bi- and trilayered polymers consisting of PVDF and polyurethane (PU) filled with magnetically hard magnetite Fe₃O₄ or Terfenol-D magnetostrictive material. A good agreement between the simulated results and experimental data was obtained and it was found that phase switching characteristics are mainly influenced by the ME losses induced by magnetostrictive losses. In particular, nanocomposites allow advanced production techniques, such as additive manufacturing, facilitating technology transfer to the industry and integration into devices [77]. Consequently, laminated composites present higher ME responses at lower magnetic fields whereas nanocomposites are characterized by improved production features. Therefore, the future of ME materials is closely related to the optimization of the ME response on nanocomposites or with the introduction of new effects to meet higher ME performances for a wide range of applications [73].

The reported polymer-based ME materials, as well as their production techniques are summarized on Figure 14, being observed that the ME voltage coefficient can assume a broad range of values, from less than 10 mV cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹ up to almost 250 V cm⁻¹·Oe⁻¹.

![Figure 14. Some representative polymer-based ME materials, and their respective ME voltage coefficient.](image)

5. Applications in the 4.0 Context

In IoT, devices are wireless connected, through communications protocols, to the internet. Each device has a unique identifier that allows them to communicate with each other by sending or receiving data. The main concepts of an IoT system are the dynamic nature, the self-adapting ability, the self-configuration and the self-powered capacity [95]. ME devices have the capability to sense and harvest the ambient magnetic energy based on direct magnetic coupling. On the other hand, filters, tunable resonators and memories can capitalize the converse ME coupling, where the electric field...
controls the permeability, the spintronics or the magnetization [53]. Additionally, when compared to the piezoelectric materials, ME materials can harvest/sense magnetic energy and are more suitable for constitution of technical devices for wireless communication. Einstein has stated that any energy is coupled with gravitation. As a result, the ME materials can also support the acoustic wave propagation coupled with some gravitational phenomena. The original theory was developed in 2016 [96] and the possible hot application is the development of instant interplanetary communication [97].

Regarding energy generators Ghosh et al. [98], developed a flexible rollable magneto-mechano-electric nanogenerator (MMENG) for wireless IoT to capture parasitic magnetic noise native from electrical power transmission systems. The device was produced by combining magnetostrictive NiFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles, with approximately 9 nm of diameter and P(VDF-TrFE) polymer and a ME coefficient of 11.43 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ was achieved. The device placed on a magnetic field of 1.7 × 10$^{-3}$ T at 50 Hz from the power cord of a kettle, produce a peak-to-peak voltage ($V_{pp}$) of 1.4 V and an output power density of 0.05 μW·cm$^{-3}$. The signal was transmitted to a smartphone for demonstration of position monitoring for the integration of self-power sensors on implantable biomedical devices and human health monitoring sensory systems [98].

Yang et al. [99], reported a vibration energy harvesting multi-cantilever beam. The system is composed by a ME transducer by sandwiching one layer of PMNT between two layers of Terfenol-D. For the experimental setup, the authors used a vibration shaker connected to a function generator and an accelerometer mounted on the shaker. The output voltage was measured by a digital oscilloscope. The shaker acceleration was set to 0.2 g and the frequency swiped from 15 to 40 Hz. This system was capable of producing a power density of 0.2–0.56 mW·cm$^{-3}$ under an acceleration of 0.2 g [99]. A PZT/ Ni unimorph cantilever with a NdFeB magnet as tip mass was developed by Lu et al. [100] for energy harvesting in wireless sensing applications. This harvester has a maximum power density of 270 μW·cm$^{-3}$ at the resonance frequency of 50 Hz. The demonstration showed that the device was capable of harvesting energy from the ambient magnetic energy and powering commercial wireless temperature/humidity sensors [100].

The work on [101] reports on a magnetic proximity sensor combining printed technologies and a polymer-based ME laminate. This ME laminate was produced by direct bonding a commercial polarized PVDF film, with deposited contacts, and magnetostrictive Metglas layers magnetized along the length direction. The sensor was completed by printing a coil by screen printing technique with silver ink, Figure 15. The proposed device creates an AC magnetic field from the coil that is directed to the ME laminate and when a DC magnetic field is present, the sensor reacts with an increase or decrease of the peak-to-peak voltage proportional to the applied DC magnetic field. This sensor presents a resonance frequency of 13.2 kHz, and a ME coefficient of 50.2 V·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ [101].

![Figure 15](image1.png)

**Figure 15.** (a) The theoretical simulation of the AC magnetic field (in T) generated for a printed coil with a width of 750 and 250 μm spacing, 15 turns and a current (I) = 0.02 A. (b) Schematic representation of the printing process of the coils. (c) Coil printing detail obtained with a digital microscope. Reproduced with permission from [101].
Friedrich et al. [102], developed a magnetic particle mapping (MPM), based on a ME sensor composed by Fe$_{70.2}$Co$_{7.8}$Si$_{2}$B$_{10}$ (FeCoSiB) and aluminium nitride fabricated MEMS for the detection for localizing magnetic particles in a medical context. The magnetic particles were biocompatible and were used for diagnostic/treatment of cancer and labelling and tracking cells. The proposed technique detected the nonlinear magnetic response at a magnetic excitation filed on a ME sensor in a freestanding cantilever topology with a low limit detection of 100 pT/(Hz)$^{0.5}$ at 10 Hz using modulation techniques. The sensor exhibited a bandpass behaviour with a 10 Hz bandwidth and a resonance frequency of 7.55 kHz. With the use of a charge amplifier, the sensor presented a maximum sensitivity 18 kV/V. The MPM can be used as an alternative of more expensive techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or magnetorelaxometry imaging (MRX) [102].

In 2019 Ou et al. [103], developed a SrFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$/FeCuNbSiB/PZT self-biased ME cantilever sensor. The sensor has shown a large output voltage and sensitivity (198.91 mV/V, T) based on the law of electromagnetic induction, Figure 16. The sensor exhibited a bandpass behaviour with a 10 Hz bandwidth and a resonance frequency of 99.3 kHz. With the use of a charge amplifier, the sensor presented a maximum sensitivity 18 kV/V. The MPM can be used as an alternative of more expensive techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or magnetorelaxometry imaging (MRX) [102].

Chu et al. [104], reported a ME magnetic field sensor based in the control of the magnetization via an applied electric field with a ME laminate composed by Pb(Mg$_{1/3}$-Nb$_{2/3}$)O$_3$-Pb(Zr, Ti)O$_3$ (PMN-PZT) crystal, poled along the thickness direction and a pickup coil wound around the laminate. This laminate was electrically driven by the contacts deposited on the piezoelectric layer at the frequency of its first-order longitudinal vibration mode, and the induced voltage was captured by the pickup coil based on the law of electromagnetic induction, Figure 16. The ME laminate show a sensitivity of 3400 V/T, the induced voltage was mainly proportional to the excitation voltage. The sensor also exhibited a limit of detection of $\approx$115 pT at 10 Hz and 300 pT at 1 Hz, with a power consumption of 0.56 mW [104].

![Figure 16](image_url)  
**Figure 16.** (a) The resonance frequency of the ME laminate as a function of applied H$_{DC}$, (b) The induced voltage as a function of applied HDC and excitation frequency, (c) The M-H loop of the ME laminate with voltages of 0 V and 600 V applied to the piezoelectric crystal. $\Delta$M as a function of external HDC is also given on the right. The inset shows the schematic of the ME heterostructure. Reproduced with permission from [104].
Xu et al. [105], developed a low frequency transmitter based on the capabilities of the ME resonance sensor. The ME laminate was composed by a piezoelectric layer (PZT-5A) at the core and a magnetostrictive layer on top and bottom (Metglas). This device exhibited higher efficiency compared to current loop antenna of the same size. The proposed device has successfully demonstrated the generation of an AC magnetic field by driving the piezoelectric phase at the resonance frequency (30 kHz). The device also revealed the capability to act as a receiver antenna [105]. Keeping focus on the antennas, NanoNeuroRFID is an ultra-compact implantable device composed by a ME antenna array that can harvest electromagnetic energy to power the device, sense quasi-static neuronal magnetic fields as small as 200 pT without direct contact to the tissue, communicate with an external transceiver that can harvest electromagnetic energy to power the device, sense quasi-static neuronal magnetic fields as small as 200 pT without direct contact to the tissue, communicate with an external transceiver and works from 10 to 100 MHz, where tissue loss is small [106]. The ME antenna based on FeGaB/AlN thin film exhibited a sensitivity of 2.475 Hz/nT and an ultra-low magnetic noise of 2.36 pT/Hz at 10 Hz. The device is composed by an array of ME antennas for increasing the output voltage [106].

Rupp et al. [107], developed a wireless power receiver (Figure 17a)) for wearables and implantable applications based on a ME laminate composed of PVDF/Metglas with a very small footprint, smaller than 2 mm$^3$. The presented device was able to produce a higher power density when compared to conventional inductive couple coils. The ME device can produce 21.3 mW/mm$^3$ and 31.3 µW mm$^{-3}$ under the standards of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) and the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP), respectively, with a resonance frequency of 99.3 kHz (Figure 17a)) [107].

![Diagram](image.png)

**Figure 17.** (a) The ME transducer experimental test setup diagram. (b) Metglas-PVDF open circuit voltage vs. frequency. Reproduced with permission from [107].
A non-volatile and flexible ME SmFeO$_3$/P(VDF-TrFE) nanocomposite memory device was introduced by Ahlawat et al. [108]. The nanocomposite film allowed electrically controlled magnetic switching with a ME coefficient of 45 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ at 1 kOe and 16 mV·cm$^{-1}$·Oe$^{-1}$ at 0 Oe. By applying positive and negative electric fields, the ME coefficient can switch states. The information stored using the ME coefficient shows the key advantage of non-destructive reading of polarization of ferroelectric random-access memories. It was also stated that the voltage for switching can be tuned by varying the magnetic phase fraction [108]. Still in memory devices, Wei et al. [109], reported a giant, stable, tunable and non-volatile converse ME effect in FeAl/PIN-PMN-PT ferromagnetic/ferroelectric heterostructures at room temperature with electrical magnetization modulation that can be used for a four-state memory, with electrical-writing and magnetic-reading. High density information storage can be obtained due to giant electrical modulation of magnetization [109].

Sadeghi et al. [110], reported a ME self-sensing actuator, fabricated by stacking with epoxy and hydrostatic pressure layers of Metglas/PMN-PT/Metglas. This device can work as an actuator and as a sensor. The maximum sensitivity of the actuator at the resonance frequency of 60.7 kHz was of about 5.2 nm·mA$^{-1}$ for the actuator and 0.64 mV·mA$^{-1}$ for the sensor and the operation range of the actuator was from 55 nm to 386 nm and the sensor of 58 to 272 mV [110]. The device applications are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. IoT ME device applications.

| ME Coupling          | Device Type                          | Ref |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct coupling      | Nanogenerator                        | [98]|
|                      | Energy harvesting multi-cantilever beam | [99]|
|                      | For energy harvesting in wireless sensing | [100]|
|                      | Magnetic proximity sensor            | [101]|
|                      | Magnetic particle mapping            | [102]|
|                      | Self-biased ME cantilever sensor     | [103]|
|                      | Wireless power receiver              | [107]|
|                      | Self-sensing actuator                | [110]|
| Converse coupling    | Magnetic field sensor                | [104]|
|                      | Low frequency transmitter            | [105]|
|                      | Antenna array                        | [106]|
|                      | Flexible memory                     | [108]|
|                      | Four-state memory                    | [109]|

6. Challenges and Future Perspectives

This review has shown that the ME effect, despite having almost lost interest of the scientific community several times, always reappeared with greater vigor, being the technological applications discussed in this work a good example of this regeneration capacity. However, not everything is a bed of roses in this research field.

In one hand, it is essential to pursue new piezomagnetic and piezoelectric materials for achieving higher magnetostrictive and piezoelectric coefficients [111]. In this context, [112] it was shown that the introduction of CaZrO3 increased electric field–induced strain behavior and stated that, nowadays it is possible to achieve high piezoelectric coefficient $d_{33}$ over 400 pC/N even in non-textured KNN ceramics, although there still persist some serious challenges for large-scale applications. It was also suggested the creation of a real MPB as in PZT system to solve the reasonably large temperature dependence of piezoelectric properties of KNN-based ceramics, which is full of scientific interest and technical importance. To achieve this, more studies are needed on the phase structure and phase diagram of KNN systems. Still, relatively to KNN-based ceramics, it is pointed as a challenge the fact that alkali elements at A-site in KNN are easily evaporated during sintering. To avoid that it’s important the establishment of processing techniques to guarantee reliable mass production and industry scale.
On the other hand, and although significant improvements have been made to achieve high magnetic field sensitivity in two-phase ferromagnetic/ferroelectric laminate composites, improvements are needed at the levels of: (i) the coupling agent or (ii) the complete removal of the coupling agent. Such pursuit for multi-phase ME materials presenting high magnetic field sensitivity, remains a big challenge [111], being the printing technologies and the new ME concepts such as the magnetoionic (MI) effect [77] possible solutions.

With respect to applications of ME sensors in real-world environments, it is often concluded that the magnetic field sensitivity is harmed by contamination of the ME signals by external vibration noise sources and that, therefore, the decrease or even the elimination of the external noise is an essential challenge for the ME sensors [112]. The encapsulation of the materials and the use of resonant frequencies outside the contamination noise range are directions that should be followed.

After the innovative development of low-cost MEMS accelerometers with a reasonable behavior in terms of resolution, sensitivity and noise level [113], it would be interesting to increase the number of studies focusing in the engineering of such sensors in real situations, involving the damping ratio and evaluation/identification of other modal parameters, such as mode shapes.

In the IoT context those sensors should gain another feature: their easy integration and usability. The optimization of ME composite structures, such as flexible ME composites that can be integrated into wearable devices is a point of indispensable improvement, namely in fabricating more usable materials and devices (higher flexibility, optimized roughness and electronic miniaturization) [114].

Looking ahead, the integration of magnetic and piezoelectric nanoparticles, or even ME nanoparticles, offers a new world of opportunities to improve the devices that already exist, or the creation of totally new device concepts. This can be extremely interesting in the biomedical research area, where the interactions between nanomaterials and biological systems can be studied/optimized. Despite being expected that the development and the application of ME materials in biology and biomedicine will be of great interest to the scientific community [115], it is essential to study the parameters that can affect the ME effect (size and shape of individual particle and the environmental conditions), know the impact of the electrostatic and magnetostatic interactions between individual nanoparticles and to find a way to characterize the local ME effect in each nanoparticle. All of these demands are the key to a rational design and optimization of ME nanoparticles for this type of applications.

Recent studies have been devoted to the improvement of point-of-care devices for biomedical diagnostics, such as lab-on-a-chip systems where magnetic nanoparticles can take different functions [116] allowing production of magnetic markers with affinities to conjugate ligands for cells, proteins, or nucleic acids, among others. Bio-friendly ME nanoparticles can detect the magnetically labelled targets, allowing an improvement in detection efficiency. Spatial resolution, relative technical simplicity and tailored biocompatibility are some of the advantages of this technology. Still in the biomedical field, ME composites will also allow the mapping of magnetic fields from smaller magnetic sources (brain) and increase the sensitivity of microfluidic analysis in the context of experiments with biological samples [112].

This time travel through materials, configurations, ME coefficients and applications evidenced that an important progress has been made in understanding chemistry/structure/property relationships of the ME coupling and that ME materials are prepared to promote noteworthy breakthroughs in applications within the IoT context. In order to become a reality, issues related to accuracy, usability, scalability, dynamic production, and connectivity must be systematically addressed.
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