Steaming and Flaming for Converting Cool-season Turfgrasses to Hybrid Bermudagrass in Untilled Soil
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SUMMARY. Turfgrass species can be classified into two main groups: cool-season and warm-season species. Warm-season species are more suited to a Mediterranean climate. Transplanting is a possible method to convert a cool-season to a warm-season turfgrass when soil tillage is not performed, the previous turf has to be completely desiccated. Desiccation is usually achieved with chemical methods, such as herbicides, and the most common active ingredients are glyphosate and glufosinate ammonium (Baldi et al., 2013; Del Viva, 2012; Volterrani et al., 2008). However, clause 16 of EU Directive 2009/128/CE strongly discourages or prohibits the use of chemical herbicides, such as glyphosate, in public gardens, parks, sport turfs, and schoolyards. As a possible alternative, turfgrass can also be desiccated using flaming and steaming as an alternative to chemical weed control in organic/integrated farming and urban hard surfaces (Barberi et al., 2009; Peruzzi et al., 2011, 2012; Raffaelli et al., 2013, 2016).

Weeds and turfgrass species respond differently to physical treatments depending on their particular characteristics. Grasses (Gramineae) are monocots. Monocots have evolved to develop meristems protected by several leaf sheets (Baldo et al., 2010), whereas dicots usually have all their meristems above the ground and widely over the plant. Grass turf species are less sensitive than most dicots to physical desiccation because they grow back from the protected meristems after the treatment unlike many dicots (Melander et al., 2009; Ulloa et al., 2010a). Rask et al. (2012) found that grass turf species, such as perennial ryegrass, are difficult to control with physical methods because the parts affected are mainly above the ground. Several authors have tested the effects on sensitive species of a single treatment with different flaming doses (Ascard, 1995; Ulloa et al., 2010a, 2010b) and steaming doses (Hansson and Ascard, 2002). However, there is still very little understanding concerning the effects of such techniques on less sensitive species such as grass turf species (Rask et al., 2012). Rask and Kristoffersen (2007)
suggest that more than one treatment is required to efficiently desiccate grass turf species using flaming and steaming because otherwise they recover. Flaming can also be used as an effective weed control method before turfgrass establishment (Hoyle et al., 2012).

Alternative methods to flaming and steaming have been applied and tested over the years to desiccate vegetation using physical techniques. Hansson and Ascard (2002) experimented with the use of hot water to control weeds growing in hard surfaces. Sartorato et al. (2006) applied microwaves for postemergence weed control. However, flaming is still the most frequently applied physical method to desiccate vegetation. Steaming has been described by various authors as a valid alternative to flaming (Hansson and Ascard, 2002; Kerpuaskas et al., 2006) and several agricultural machines for steam application have been developed (Gay et al., 2010a, 2010b; Langshaw, 1995).

The aim of this study was to compare flaming and steaming, performed by dedicated prototype equipment, with a glufosinate-ammonium herbicide treatment to desiccate cool-season turfgrass for conversion to warm-season turfgrass in untilled soil using transplanting.

Materials and methods

The experimental trial. The trial consisted of desiccating the cool-season turfgrass, using flaming, steaming, and glufosinate-ammonium-based herbicide (Basta; Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany) followed by transplanting the warm-season turfgrass directly into the desiccated cool-season turf with no soil tillage. The trial took place in Spring–Summer 2009 at the Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment of the University of Pisa, Italy (lat. 43°40′N, long. 10°18′E). The trial was performed on 4-year-old turf established on silt-loam soil (28% sand, 55% silt, and 17% clay) with a pH of 7.6 and 25 g·kg⁻¹ organic matter.

The cool-season turfgrass species were ‘Eldorado’ and ‘Barfelix’ tall fescue and ‘Barsportivo’ and ‘Brightstar’ perennial ryegrass. Broadcast fertilization of the cool-season turf was conducted from Nov. 2008 to Apr. 2009 for a total of 150 kg·ha⁻¹ of nitrogen (N) using ammonium sulfate [20.6N–0P–0K (Pratiko; Adriatica, Loreo, Italy)]. Cool-season turf height was maintained at 3.5 cm with a rotary mower. Irrigation was applied to maintain a healthy cool-season turf. No aeration or pest control was carried out during the trial.

The treatments performed (11 and 25 June 2009) and compared were, two flaming treatments performed at a constant liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) working pressure of 0.3 MPa. Flaming was performed at four different working speeds with four different LPG doses (Table 1); two steaming treatments performed with four different values of working speed obtaining four different steam doses (Table 1); two glufosinate-ammonium herbicide applications at 0.75 kg·ha⁻¹ a.i., and no treatments (control plots).

Turf conversion was accomplished by transplanting hybrid bermudagrass directly into the desiccated cool-season turf with no soil tillage. Single plants of ‘Patriot’ hybrid bermudagrass were used for the transplant. The transplant was carried out manually on 3 July 2009 with a square transplant pattern with 30 cm between plants (with a transplant density of 11.1 plants/m²). This transplanting density is ideal for hybrid bermudagrass, based on the quick groundcovering capacity of this plant, and comes from previous research (Volterrani et al., 2008).

Hybrid bermudagrass plants were obtained from sprigs and raised in peat-filled nursery trays (peat volume available for each plant was 5 cm³). At the time of transplant, plants were 6–10 cm in height and had not been trimmed. Irrigation after the transplant (5 mm per application) was carried out daily until the end of September. Nitrogen application after transplant was carried out on 10 and 20 July with urea [46N–0P–0K (Urea 46% Prilled; Ferilsud, Spinazzola, Italy)] for a total N rate of 100 kg·ha⁻¹. The first mowing of the hybrid bermudagrass took place on 30 July with a reel mower set at 3-cm mowing height. Mowing was then performed weekly at 2.5 cm. No aeration or pest control was carried out during the trial.

Description of the machines. A self-propelled steaming machine and a tractor-mounted flaming machine were used to perform cool-season turf desiccation. Chemical cool-season turf desiccation was performed with a pushed wheelbarrow sprayer with a frontal 1-m-wide boom, flat fan nozzles, 2 bars pressure, 3 km·h⁻¹ speed, and 300 L·ha⁻¹ total mixture volume.

Steaming machine. A self-propelled steaming machine (Ecostar SC 600; Celli, Forlì, Italy) designed to be a soil disinfection machine that delivers steam underground (Peruzzi et al., 2011) was used. In this trial it was modified to deliver steam above-ground level and not underground, to desiccate the vegetation (Fig. 1). The machine was 4 m long, 2 m wide, 1.5 m high, and weighed ≈3000 kg. It was equipped with rubber tracks to enhance maneuverability and reduce
soil compaction. The power train delivered 44 kW of maximum power. The machine was equipped with both a steam generation and steam application system. The steam generation system consisted of a diesel steam generator with a power of 1507 MJ h⁻¹ providing a steam outflow of about 600 kg h⁻¹. The steam application system worked by creating a condensation chamber by covering a steaming bar with a carter (a metal “box” completely covering the steaming bar). The carter and the bar were made of stainless steel. The bar was drilled and threaded to enable interchangeable nozzles to be used for flow regulation and for maintenance and replacement. The bar was perpendicular to the driving direction. The steam flow was fed by two hoses connected to the ends of the bar. The carter was 1 m long, 2 m wide, and 0.55 m high. The last 20 cm of the carter (i.e., the part that can come into contact with the ground) was made of flexible heatproof rubber. The bar was attached to the three-point linkage of the machine and the carter was attached to the bar. The height of the carter was adjustable in relation to the height of the bar. The operating characteristics of the steaming machine are shown in Table 2.

**Table 1. Different working speeds and liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) and steam doses for the two prototypes used for physical desiccation of cool-season turfgrass before the conversion to warm-season turfgrass.**

| Working speed (km·h⁻¹) | LPG doses (kg·ha⁻¹)* | Steam doses (kg·ha⁻¹) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 0.25                    | 12,000               | 1,100                 |
| 0.50                    | 6,000                | 550                   |
| 0.75                    | 4,000                | 367                   |
| 1.50                    | 2,000                | 183                   |

*1 km·h⁻¹ = 0.6214 mph, 1 kg·ha⁻¹ = 0.8922 lb/acre.

**Table 2. Operating characteristics of the steaming machine prototype used for physical desiccation of cool-season turfgrass before the conversion to warm-season turfgrass.**

| Operating characteristics | Unit* | Values | Values | Values | Values |
|---------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Driving speed             | m·h⁻¹ | 250    | 500    | 750    | 1,500  |
| Working width             | m     |        |        |        |        |
| Effective time            | h·ha⁻¹| 20.00  | 10.00  | 6.67   | 3.33   |
| Additional time           | h·ha⁻¹| 5.12   | 2.62   | 1.78   | 0.95   |
| Total time                | h·ha⁻¹| 25.12  | 12.62  | 8.46   | 4.29   |
| Work chain efficiency     | %     | 79.6   | 79.2   | 78.8   | 77.7   |
| Working productivity      | m³·h⁻¹| 398    | 792    | 1,182  | 2,331  |
| Machine fuel consumption  | kg·ha⁻¹| 217    | 108    | 72     | 36     |
| Steam boiler fuel consump| kg·ha⁻¹| 883    | 442    | 295    | 147    |
| Total fuel consumption    | kg·ha⁻¹| 1,100  | 550    | 367    | 183    |
| Steam boiler water consump| kg·ha⁻¹| 12,000 | 6,000  | 4,000  | 2,000  |

*1 m = 3.2808 ft, 1 h·ha⁻¹ = 0.4047 h/acre, 1 kg·ha⁻¹ = 0.8922 lb/acre, 1 m³ = 10.7639 ft³.
flow (600 kg·h⁻¹) as reported in Peruzzi et al. (2011).

Statistical analysis. The responses to flaming and steaming treatments were analyzed using a log-logistic model. The extension package drc (dose–response curves (Ritz et al., 2015)) of R (R Core Team, 2016) was chosen to fit nonlinear regressions, estimate their parameter values, and plot the graphs. Residual cool-season turfgrass and hybrid bermudagrass cover data were analyzed using the four parameter log-logistic model (Knezevic et al., 2007; Seefeldt et al., 1995):

\[
Y = C + \frac{D - C}{1 + \exp[B(\log X - \log ED_{50})]}
\]

where \(Y\) is the response, \((C)\) is the lower limit, \((D)\) is the upper limit, \((B)\) is the slope of the line at the inflection point, \((X)\) is the LPG or steam dose, and effective dose 50 \((ED_{50})\) is the dose giving a 50% response between the upper and the lower limits (also known as the inflection point or \(ED_{50}\)) (Seefeldt et al., 1995). The model fit data were tested using the lack of fit test (if \(P > 0.05\) the model fits well).

The LPG and steaming treatments were then compared with the herbicide using a linear mixed model analysis of variance (ANOVA) and statistical software R with the extension package lmerTest (Kuznetsova et al., 2015). Data were logit transformed using R extension package car (Fox and Weisberg, 2011). The extension package lsmeans (least-square means (Lenth, 2016)) from R (R Core Team, 2016) was adopted to compare mean values (Wheeler et al., 2005).

Results

Cool-season turf desiccation. Tall fescue and perennial ryegrass proved to be sensitive to flaming treatments with increasing damage as the LPG dose increased. Tall fescue and perennial ryegrass had an initial cover percentage of \(\approx 57\%\) and \(53\%\), respectively. The mean values of the lower limits of the curves were close to 0 (Fig. 3). The two highest LPG doses on both tall fescue and perennial ryegrass gave mean values of residual turf cover that almost reached the lower limit. \(ED_{50}\) mean values for tall fescue and perennial ryegrass were 35 and 27 kg·ha⁻¹ of LPG, respectively (Table 4).

Tall fescue and perennial ryegrass also proved to be sensitive to steaming treatments, with increasing damage as the steam dose increased. The mean values of the upper limits for both tall fescue and perennial ryegrass were the same as for the flaming treatments. The mean values of the lower limits of the curves were very close to 0 because turf desiccation was close to 100% where the three highest steam doses had been applied (Fig. 4). \(ED_{50}\) mean values of steaming on tall fescue and perennial ryegrass were 1216 and 1355 kg·ha⁻¹ of steam, respectively (Table 5).

Statistical differences were observed between tall fescue and perennial ryegrass turf cover after flaming and herbicide treatments based on comparison of residual lsmeans (Table 6). The two highest LPG doses desiccated tall fescue and perennial ryegrass almost completely (the residual cover was about 2% and 1% respectively, which is a relevant result, 98% to 99% of control). After the herbicide application, tall fescue and perennial ryegrass were desiccated close to 100%. Tall fescue and perennial ryegrass seemed to be significantly more sensitive to the herbicide than to high LPG doses despite the mean values of residual turf cover being quite similar.

Statistical differences were observed between tall fescue and perennial ryegrass turf cover after steaming and herbicide treatments based on comparison of residual lsmeans (Table 6). The three highest steam doses desiccated tall fescue almost completely (residual cover was \(\approx 1\%\) and 0%, respectively). Tall fescue seemed to be significantly more sensitive to the herbicide than to high steam doses despite very close residual turf mean values. A similar trend was also observed for the other turf species.

Hybrid bermudagrass turf establishment. There was no significant interaction between LPG, steam doses, and turf species from ANOVA, thus the data were pooled over cool-season turf species. Hybrid bermudagrass establishment proved to be sensitive to flaming treatments applied to the cool-season turfgrass because the percentage of bermudagrass cover increased with increasing

---

Table 3. Operating characteristics of the flaming machine prototype used for physical desiccation of cool-season turfgrass before the conversion to warm-season turfgrass.

| Operating characteristics | Unit* | Values |
|---------------------------|-------|--------|
| Driving speed            | km·h⁻¹ | 0.5 1 2 4 |
| Working width            | m     | 2      |
| Effective time           | h·ha⁻¹ | 10.00 5.00 2.50 1.25 |
| Additional time          | h·ha⁻¹ | 0.95 0.56 0.37 0.27 |
| Total time               | h·ha⁻¹ | 10.95 5.56 2.87 1.52 |
| Work chain efficiency    | %     | 91.3 89.9 87.1 82.1 |
| Working productivity     | m²·h⁻¹ | 913 1798 3486 6570 |
| Liquefied petroleum gas consumption | kg·ha⁻¹ | 278.0 139.0 69.5 34.7 |
| Diesel fuel consumption  | kg·ha⁻¹ | 25.5 13.0 6.7 3.5 |

*1 km·h⁻¹ = 0.6214 mph, 1 m = 3.2808 ft, 1 h·ha⁻¹ = 0.4047 h/acre, 1 m² = 10.7639 ft², 1 kg·ha⁻¹ = 0.8922 lb/acre.
LPG doses (Fig. 5). The mean value of the lower limit of the curve was close to 20% and was reached on the control plot. The percentage of hybrid bermudagrass cover ranged from ≈40% to 50% when the three highest LPG doses were applied. The ED50 mean value was 52 kg ha⁻¹ of LPG.

Hybrid bermudagrass establishment also proved to be positively affected by steaming treatments applied to the cool-season turfgrass as mean values of hybrid bermudagrass cover increased with increasing steam doses (Fig. 6). The percentage of hybrid bermudagrass cover was ≈20% on the control plot. When the three highest steam doses were applied, mean percentage values of hybrid bermudagrass cover ranged about from 45% to >65%. The ED50 mean value was 3537 kg ha⁻¹ of steam. Again values can vary considerably because of high standard errors due to field variability.

No statistical differences were observed on hybrid bermudagrass cover, 43 weeks after flaming and herbicide treatments in tall fescue, based on comparison of residual lsmeans (Table 7). Hybrid bermudagrass establishment was over 60% where herbicide had been applied to desiccate the cool-season turf. The control was the only treatment that gave a significantly lower percentage (15%) of hybrid bermudagrass cover with respect to glufosinate ammonium. The four LPG doses did not show a statistical difference from the herbicide (hybrid bermudagrass cover ranged from 45% to 55%).

Statistical differences were observed on hybrid bermudagrass cover after flaming (control and dose 34.75 kg ha⁻¹) and herbicide treatments in perennial ryegrass, based on comparison of residual lsmeans (Table 7). Hybrid bermudagrass cover was 44% where the herbicide had been applied. Control and LPG dose 35 kg ha⁻¹ gave lower hybrid bermudagrass cover percentages (≈17% and 18%, respectively). There was no statistical different between the three higher LPG doses and the herbicide. Hybrid bermudagrass cover ranged from ≈30% to >40% for the three higher LPG doses.

When comparing the steaming treatments with the herbicide, a similar trend was observed. However, hybrid bermudagrass cover reached 70% with the steaming treatment (Table 7).

---

Table 4. Regression parameters for cool-season turf cover (%) 15 d after treatment as affected by liquefied petroleum gas dose [kg ha⁻¹ (Fig. 3)]. Regression parameters were estimated using Eq. [1].

| Species            | B       | C       | D       | ED50     |
|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Tall fescue        | 2.10 (0.13) | -0.08 (0.63) | 57.08 (0.56) | 34.99 (0.77) |
| Perennial ryegrass | 2.24 (0.23) | 0.71 (0.59) | 53.20 (0.56) | 27.21 (0.90) |

*B = slope of the line at the inflection point, C = lower limit, D = upper limit, ED50 = dose giving a 50% response between the upper and the lower limit; lack of fit test P = 0.0911.

---

Fig. 3. Influence of flame weeding on cool-season turf cover 15 d after treatment, as affected by liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) dose. The regression lines are plotted using Eq. [1], and the parameters are presented in Table 4; 1 kg ha⁻¹ = 0.8922 lb/acre.

Fig. 4. Influence of steaming on cool-season turf cover 15 d after treatment, as affected by steam dose. The regression lines are plotted using Eq. [1], and the parameters are presented in Table 5; 1 kg ha⁻¹ = 0.8922 lb/acre.
Discussion
The mean values of percentage residual cool-season turf cover at 15 DAT in this trial were overall quite close. Flaming and steaming at high LPG doses seemed to be nearly as effective as the herbicide in desiccating both tall fescue and perennial ryegrass. Steaming gave lower cool-season cover residuals. The regression parameter ‘B’ values in Table 6 (steaming) are higher than those in Table 5 (flaming). Higher values indicate a more sloping curve, thus turfgrass may well be more sensitive to steaming treatments than to flaming treatments even at intermediate doses.

These results suggest that steaming is more efficient than flaming in desiccating grass plants that protected meristems. Syrvidas et al. (2002) state that steaming is more efficient than flaming in desiccating vegetation. Tall fescue and perennial ryegrass are two bunch-type species with meristems located in the grass crown immediately above the ground. Baldoin et al. (2010) tested the effects of a single steaming treatment on three grass species at various growth stages. All species were efficiently desiccated even at low steam doses but some recovery after the treatment was observed depending on the growth stage. Smaller plants probably offer less protection to their meristems, and if the crown is damaged, the chances are that the grass will not recover. Thus, in this trial, steaming may have desiccated part of the grass crowns of the small plants of tall

Table 5. Regression parameters for cool-season turf cover (%) 15 d after treatment as affected by steam dose [kg·ha⁻¹ (Fig. 4)]. Regression parameters were estimated using Eq. [1].

| Species             | Regression parameters (±SE)* | ED₅₀       |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
|                     | B  | C     | D     |                |
| Tall fescue         | 3.16 (0.49) | -0.005 (0.291) | 57.10 (0.31) | 1,216 (88)    |
| Perennial ryegrass  | 4.86 (2.17) | 0.03 (0.25)    | 53.20 (0.31) | 1,355 (230)   |

*B = slope of the line at the inflection point, C = lower limit, D = upper limit, ED₅₀ = dose giving a 50% response between the upper and the lower limit; lack of fit test P = 0.8742.

Table 6. Least-square means of residual cool-season turf cover percentage 15 d after treatment, before hybrid bermudagrass transplanting, as affected by liquefied petroleum gas dose and steam dose, and comparison with herbicide.

| Treatments                  | Dose (kg·ha⁻¹)y | Logit transformed LGC (±SE) | Back transformed LGC (%) | Logit transformed LGC (±SE) | Back transformed LGC (%) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Control                     | 0               | 0.29 (0.195)*              | 57.2                     | 0.13 (0.088)*               | 53.2                     |
| Flaming                     | 35              | -0.92 (0.195)*             | 23.1                     | -1.39 (0.088)*              | 17.9                     |
|                             | 70              | -2.07 (0.195)*             | 8.7                      | -2.71 (0.088)*              | 5.5                      |
|                             | 140             | -3.85 (0.195)*             | 1.6                      | -3.68 (0.088)*              | 2.2                      |
|                             | 280             | -4.44 (0.195)*             | 0.9                      | -5.00 (0.088)*              | 0.6                      |
| Steaming                    | 2,000           | -2.22 (0.192)*             | 9.79                     | -2.59 (0.069)*              | 7.0                      |
|                             | 4,000           | -4.30 (0.192)*             | 1.34                     | -5.80 (0.069)*              | 0.3                      |
|                             | 6,000           | -6.08 (0.192)*             | 0.23                     | -7.32 (0.069)*              | 0.07                     |
|                             | 12,000          | -6.75 (0.192)*             | 0.12                     | -7.99 (0.069)*              | 0.03                     |
| Glufosinate ammonium        | 0.75            | -7.78 (0.195)              | 0.04                     | -6.43 (0.088)               | 0.16                     |

*y1 kg·ha⁻¹ = 0.8922 lb/acre.
*Significantly different from glufosinate ammonium at P < 0.05.

Fig. 5. Influence of preplanting flame weeding on hybrid bermudagrass establishment 43 weeks after planting, as affected by liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) dose. The regression line is plotted using Eq. [1]. Regression parameter values (±SE) are: B = -2.25 ± 1.90, C = 18.11 ± 5.51, D = 48.77 ± 6.82, ED₅₀ = 52.34 ± 21.05; lack of fit test P = 0.9812; 1 kg·ha⁻¹ = 0.8922 lb/acre.
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fescue and perennial ryegrass, causing a slower recovery of the desiccated turf compared with flaming.

Rask et al. (2012) tested whether flaming could desiccate a very resistant species such as perennial ryegrass. They found that repeated treatments at high LPG doses (above 80 kg ha⁻¹) resulted in the full control of perennial ryegrass. These results are in accordance with our findings. In addition, perennial ryegrass seems to be more sensitive than tall fescue to flaming because the ED₅₀ value of perennial ryegrass was nearly 22% lower. ED₅₀ values in Table 6 show less of a difference, thus tall fescue and perennial ryegrass may well be sensitive to steaming in a similar way.

No statistical differences were observed between the effects of high LPG and steam doses and the effect of herbicide glufosinate ammonium on hybrid bermudagrass establishment. Flaming and steaming were both as effective as the herbicides in supporting hybrid bermudagrass establishment. Only low doses of LPG and steam were less effective than herbicides in hybrid bermudagrass establishment. Because the aim of the trial was to accomplish turf conversion from cool-season turfgrass to warm-season turfgrass, these results show that both flaming and steaming are a valid alternative to herbicides for turf conversion.

Conclusions

The results of this study showed that there was no statistical difference in hybrid bermudagrass establishment after flaming, steaming, or herbicide when these treatments were used to desiccate cool-season turf. Therefore, both flaming and steaming could be considered as a valid alternative to herbicides for turf conversion. These methods could contribute to eliminate or reduce the use of herbicides for those who do not wish to use herbicides. However, further research is necessary to test whether the application of flaming and steaming would give the same encouraging results in turf conversion using other cool-season and warm-season turf species. Further trials could also be carried out to try to improve the efficiency of flaming to enhance the desiccating action on cool-season turfgrass and to test the effectiveness of flaming and steaming for posttransplanting weed control on warm-season turfgrass.

Fig. 6. Influence of preplanting steaming on hybrid bermudagrass establishment (%) 43 weeks after planting, as affected by steam dose. The regression line is plotted using Eq. [1]. Regression parameter values (±SE) are: $B = -1.64 \pm 1.04$, $C = 18.15 \pm 4.86$, $D = 66.44 \pm 14.26$, ED₅₀ = 3537.21 ± 1631.50; lack of fit test $P = 0.9178$; 1 kg ha⁻¹ = 0.8922 lb/acre.

| Treatments       | Dose (kg ha⁻¹)²  | LGC (SE)       | LGC (%)     | LGC (SE)       | LGC (%)     |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
|                  |                 | Logit transformed | Back transformed | Logit transformed | Back transformed |
| Control          | 0               | -1.70 (0.434)*  | 15.5        | -1.58 (0.274)*  | 17.1        |
| Flaming          | 35              | -0.81 (0.434)   | 30.8        | -1.48 (0.274)*  | 18.5        |
|                  | 70              | -0.19 (0.434)   | 45.4        | -0.88 (0.274)*  | 29.3        |
|                  | 140             | 0.03 (0.434)    | 50.8        | -0.42 (0.274)*  | 39.8        |
|                  | 280             | 0.21 (0.434)    | 55.3        | -0.36 (0.274)*  | 41.0        |
| Steaming         | 2,000           | -0.31 (0.249)   | 42.4        | -1.35 (0.280)*  | 20.5        |
|                  | 4,000           | 0.26 (0.249)    | 56.4        | -0.75 (0.280)*  | 32.1        |
|                  | 6,000           | 0.52 (0.249)    | 62.7        | -0.31 (0.280)*  | 42.3        |
|                  | 12,000          | 0.85 (0.249)    | 70.0        | 0.03 (0.280)*   | 50.7        |
| Glufosinate ammonium | 0.75   | 0.41 (0.434)    | 60.1        | -0.25 (0.274)   | 43.9        |

*¹ kg ha⁻¹ = 0.8922 lb/acre.
²¹ Live green cover.
³ NS, * Not significantly or significantly different from glufosinate ammonium at $P < 0.05$. 

688
Knezevic, S.Z., J.C. Streibig, and C. Ritz. 2007. Utilizing R software package for dose-response studies: The concept and data analysis. Weed Technol. 21:840–848.

Kutznetsova, A., B. Brockhoff, and R.H.B. Christensen. 2015. Tests in linear mixed effects models. 5 July 2017. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lmerTest/index.html>.

Langshaw, E. 1995. Hot water/steam weed killing system. U.S. Patent 5 385 106, Chemical Abstr. 65:2870.

Lenth, R.V. 2016. Least-squares means: The R package lsmeans. J. Stat. Softw. 69(1): 1–33.

Lulli, F., M. Volterrani, N. Grossi, R. Armeni, S. Stefanini, and L. Guglielminetti. Funct. Plant Biol. 39:214–221.

Melander, B., N. Holst, A.C. Grundy, C. Kempenaar, M.M. Riemons, A. Verschwele, and D. Hansson. 2009. Weed occurrence on pavements in five North European towns. Weed Res. 49:516–525.

Peruzzi, A., M. Raffaelli, C. Frasconi, M. Fontanelli, and P. Barbieri. 2012. Influence of an injection system on the effect of activated soil steaming on Brassica juncea and the natural weed seedbank. Weed Res. 52:140–152.

Peruzzi, A., M. Raffaelli, M. Ginanni, M. Fontanelli, and C. Frasconi. 2011. An innovative self-propelled machine for soil disinfection using steam and chemicals in an exothermic reaction. Biosyst. Eng. 110:434–442.

R Core Team. 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 5 July 2017. <https://www.R-project.org>.

Raffaelli, M., C. Frasconi, M. Fontanelli, L. Martelloni, and A. Peruzzi. 2015. LPG burners for weed control. Appl. Eng. Agr. 31:717–731.

Raffaelli, M., L. Martelloni, C. Frasconi, M. Fontanelli, S. Carlesi, and A. Peruzzi. 2016. A prototype band-steaming machine: Design and field application. Biosyst. Eng. 144:61–71.

Raffaelli, M., L. Martelloni, C. Frasconi, M. Fontanelli, and A. Peruzzi. 2013. Development of machines for flaming weed control on hard surfaces. Appl. Eng. Agr. 29:663–673.

Rask, A.M., C. Andreasen, and P. Kristoffersen. 2012. Response of Lolium perenne to repeated flame treatments with various doses of propane. Weed Res. 52:131–139.

Rasmussen, J., M. Norremark, and B.M. Bibby. 2007. Assessment of leaf cover and crop soil cover in weed harrowing research using digital images. Weed Res. 47:299–310.

Ritz, C., F. Baty, J.C. Streibig, and D. Gerhard. 2015. Dose-response analysis using R. PLoS One 10:e0146021.

Sartorato, I., G. Zanin, C. Baldino, and C. De Zanche. 2006. Observations on the potential of microwaves for weed control. Weed Res. 46:1–9.

Seefeldt, S.S., J.E. Jensen, and E.P. Fuerst. 1995. Log-logistic analysis of herbicide dose-response relationships. Weed Technol. 9:218–227.

Syridas, A., P. Lazauskas, R. Vasiouauieni, and P. Kerpasauskas. 2002. Thermal weed control by water steam. Proc. 5th European Weed Res. Soc. Wkshp. Physical Weed Control. Pisa, Italy. 11–13 Mar. 2002. p. 253–262.

Turgeon, A.J. 2012. Turfgrass management. 9th ed. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Ulloa, S.M., A. Datta, and S.Z. Knezveic. 2010a. Growth stage influenced differential response of foxtail and pigweed species to broadcast flaming. Weed Technol. 24:319–325.

Ulloa, S.M., A. Datta, and S.Z. Knezveic. 2010b. Tolerance of selected weed species to broadcast flaming at different growth stages. Crop Prot. 29:1381–1388.

Volterrani, M. and C. De Bertoldi. 2012. I generi di macroterme per i tappeti erbosi nel bacino del Mediterraneo: Cynodon, Paspalum e Zoysia. Quaderno dei Georofili, VIII edizione, Polistampa, Florence, Italy.

Volkert, M. and C. De Bertoldi. 2012. I generi di macroterme per i tappeti erbosi nel bacino del Mediterraneo: Cynodon, Paspalum e Zoysia. Quaderno dei Georofili, VIII edizione, Polistampa, Florence, Italy.

Wheeler, M.W., R.M. Park, and A.J. Bailey. 2005. Comparing median lethal concentration values using confidence interval overlap or ratio test. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 25:1441–1444.