Relationships between Dendritic Fields and Functional Architecture in Striate Cortex of Normal and Visually Deprived Cats
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We examined relationships between the pattern of geniculocortical innervation and the dendritic fields of cells in layer 4 of cat primary visual cortex. Experiments were performed on normal animals and on cats in which the geniculocortical projection was altered by monocular deprivation or by the induction of divergent squint during the critical period. Thalamic afferents providing the input from the contralateral eye were anterogradely labeled by injecting the fluorescent tracer Dil into lamina A of the lateral geniculate nucleus. Intracellular staining with Lucifer yellow in slice preparations allowed simultaneous visualization of the morphology of individual cells and the thalamic afferents. Our results demonstrate that spiny stellate cells close to the upper and lower margin of the geniculocortical input have highly asymmetric dendritic fields, and thereby confine their dendrites to the termination zone of these afferents. This effect was specific for the cell class; it was not observed in pyramidal neurons. These dendritic asymmetries perpendicular to the laminar borders of spiny stellate cells were not altered by monocular deprivation or strabismus. In contrast, visual deprivation strongly influenced the dendritic arbors of spiny stellate cells near the borders between adjacent ocular dominance columns. In normal animals, the dendrites of cells near columnar borders remained preferentially within one column. These dendritic asymmetries became much more pronounced in strabismic animals. Monocular deprivation weakened the influence of the columnar borders on dendritic fields. Spiny stellate cells within the columns of the open eye exhibited a slight tendency to confine their dendrites to these columns. Cells in the columns of the deprived eye showed the opposite effect; they extended their dendrites preferentially into the adjacent columns of the open eye. These results demonstrate that the segregation of geniculocortical afferents into ocular dominance columns and its perturbation by manipulation of the visual input plays an important role in defining the morphology of cortical target cells. Thus, activity-dependent structural changes not only occur at the level of the presynaptic terminals, but also at the level of the postsynaptic target cells, and thereby contribute to build up the functional architecture of the cortex.
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In the visual cortex of adult cats, afferent terminals from the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) serving the two eyes are arranged in alternating patches within layer 4, called ocular dominance columns (Hubel and Wiesel, 1962; Shatz et al., 1977). Transneuronal transport of radioactive amino acids after injections into one eye revealed that in kittens, during the first 2 weeks after birth, the afferents for the two eyes are completely intermixed. Ocular dominance patches then appear to emerge over the next 6 weeks by the progressive segregation of these initially overlapping inputs (LeVay et al., 1978). During this “critical period,” alterations in visual experience can cause ocular dominance columns to form abnormally. For instance, if one eye is closed, the patches of geniculocortical afferents serving the open eye are much wider than the patches serving the closed eye (Wiesel and Hubel, 1965; Shatz and Stryker, 1978). This change in the anatomical organization of the LGN afferents is paralleled by a physiological shift in the ocular dominance of cortical neurons. In monocularly deprived animals, most cells respond only to the open eye, whereas in normally reared animals, most cells are binocular and respond to stimulation through either eye (Wiesel and Hubel, 1963, 1965). On the other hand, if during the critical period the animals are made strabismic so that the optical axes of the two eyes are no longer aligned and the inputs from the two eyes become uncorrelated, the segregation of the LGN afferents into eye-specific patches is sharper than in normal animals (Shatz et al., 1977; Löwel and Singer, 1992; Löwel, 1994), and most cells respond exclusively to stimulation of either the left or the right eye (Hubel and Wiesel, 1965).

While the formation of ocular dominance columns and its perturbations by alterations in visual experience has been thoroughly studied at the level of geniculocortical afferents, relatively little is known about the consequences of the reorganization of these presynaptic terminals on the morphology of their postsynaptic target cells. Katz et al. (1989) have shown that cells in layer 4Cb of macaque monkey striate cortex have their dendrites preferentially in that column where the cell body is located, suggesting that the dendritic arbors of individual cells in normal adult animals are related to the columnar organization of the cortex. What is not known, however, is whether changes in visual experience leading to perturbations in the termination pattern of the thalamic afferents also affect the dendritic arbo-
rization of cells in layer 4. In other words, do the postsynaptic
target cells show a similar degree of structural plasticity as their
presynaptic axons?

In the present study, we examined the recipient cells of LGN afferents
in the striate cortex of normally reared cats, and in animals with an altered visual experience, that is monocularly
deprieved and strabismic cats. To this end, we anterogradely la-
belled geniculocortical afferents representing the contralateral
eye by injecting a fluorescent tracer into layer A of the LGN.
Subsequent intracellular staining in slice preparations allowed us
to visualize simultaneously the morphology of cells in layer 4
and the termination pattern of LGN afferents. Our results indi-
cate that the dendritic fields of spiny stellate cells in layer 4 are
shaped by the laminar and columnar border of geniculocortical
fibers, and that visual experience during the critical period has a
profound influence on the structure of these neurons.

Some of these results have been reported in abstract form
(Kossel and Bolz, 1992).

Materials and Methods
The present study is based on 11 adult cats of both sexes. Four animals
were normally reared, four animals had been monocularly depriva-
ted (MD) at the age of 3–5 weeks, and three animals were made strabismic
(with a divergent squint angle) at the age of 2–3 weeks by sectioning
the medial rectus muscle in the left eye. For monocular lid surgery and
strabismus, anesthesia was induced with a mixture of ketamine-hydro-
chloride (10 mg/kg) and xylazine-hydrochloride (2.5 mg/kg) injected
subcutaneously.

For tracer injections into the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN), the
animals were initially anesthetized with ketamine-hydrochloride (20
mg/kg, i.m.) with an additional injection of 0.2 ml atropine sulfate (1
mg/ml) and intubated with a tracheal tube coated with local anesthetic
(xylocaine). They were then artificially respirated with a mixture of 70%
N2O and 30% O2 and halothane (0.4–1.0%). Respiration was ad-
justed to a level of 3.5–4.0% CO2 in the expired air. The cats were
placed in a stereotactic frame and paralyzed with pancuronium bromide
(initial dose of 0.08 mg/kg, followed by 0.13 mg/hr). ECG and the EEG
were monitored to control the depth of anesthesia. The body tempera-
ture was measured rectally and maintained at 37–38°C. The pupils were
reduced to a level of 3.5–4.0% CO2 in the expired air. The cats were
used at the stage of an epifluorescent microscope (Zeiss, ACM)
equipped with a long distance objective (×20, Leitz). The fluorescent
labeling of the afferents from the LGN allowed to identify the location
of layer 4 and to place the injection electrode at the proper region into
the tissue. The electrode was advanced in steps of 3–5 μm through
the tissue by means of an electromechanical stepper. Penetration of the cells
was done under visual control. The cells were injected intracellularly
with Lucifer yellow (8–10% in 0.1 mm LiCl) by applying negative
current pulses (–2–4 nA, 4 Hz, 200 msec on, 50 msec off) for 2–5 min.
Sections with successfully filled neurons (see Fig. 1D) were transferred
into cold fixative and postfixed for 3–14 d.

Reconstruction and analysis of anterograde labeling and the cell
dendritic tree. The analysis of the dendritic tree was therefore done in
the thick slices, since cutting the slices with the freezing microtome
reduced the DiI labeling. With the appropriate filter sets for Lucifer
yellow and DiI, it was possible to determine the pattern of the antero-
grade labeling as well as the position of the cell body and the primary
dendrites. Using an epifluorescent microscope equipped with a camera
lucida, drawings were made from the anterograde labeling in layer 4
and from the cells and their position relative to the borders of the affer-
ent. Determining the exact location of the borders of ocular domi-
nance columns in 300 μm thick slices could potentially lead to an
overestimation of the labeled columns versus the unlabeled columns, if
the borders do not run parallel to the z-plane of the slice. Therefore,
for each experimental condition, we injected and analyzed about the same
number of cells in the labeled versus unlabeled columns (normal
animals, 65% vs 35%; MD animals, deprived eye columns, 59% vs
41%; MD animals, nondeprived columns, 55% vs 45%; strabismic
animals, 46% vs 54%). In addition, the analysis of dendritic asymmetries
near columnar borders described in the result section (‘bias-index’) does not
depend upon the exact location of the columnar border, it only requires
the information whether the border is to the left or to the right of the
cell body.

For analysis and reconstruction of the detailed morphology of the
cells, the slices were immersed in 30% sucrose and cut further on a
freezing microtome at a thickness of 60 μm. The sections were mounted
on subbed slides, dehydrated, cleared, and coverslipped with entellan
(Merck). In the cells were photographed with a ×40 oil objective. In these
sections the anterograde labeling was destroyed, since the lipophilic
DiI was washed out by the dehydration procedure. The reconstruction
of the detailed morphology of the cells was therefore done without
knowledge of the location of the laminar and columnar borders. The
drawings of the detailed morphology of the cells were then aligned and
combined with the drawings of the crude morphology of the cells and
their location at the afferent borders. For quantitative measurements of the dendritic fields of the cells, a
Sholl analysis was carried out (Sholl, 1953). To this end, concentric
circles with a spacing of 25 μm were drawn around the cell body and
the number of intersections of all dendritic branches with the circles
was counted. To measure the soma sizes, camera lucida drawings of the
injected cell somata (using a ×100 immersion objective) were entered
with a digitizing tablet into a computer that calculated the soma areas.
The spine density was determined on 50–100 μm long dendritic seg-
ments beginning at a distance of 30 μm from the soma by using a ×100
immersion objective and an ocular grid.

Results
DiI injections into the LGN resulted in an intense anterograde
labeling of geniculocortical afferents in layer 4. It also produced a
bright retrograde labeling of the somata of corticothalamic
afferents in layer 6 that often masked a faint anterograde labeling in
this layer (Fig. 1A). All injections that were located in lamina A of
the LGN revealed distinctly labeled ocular dominance col-
Figure 1. A, Photomicrograph of a sagittal section through the lateral geniculate body (LGN) of a normally raised cat with a DiI injection in layer A. The dye was injected by pressure through a micropipette after the geniculate layers (indicated in the micrograph) were determined via electrophysiological recordings. B, Pattern labeling in a frontal section through area 17 of the same animal after several closely spaced DiI injections. Anterogradely transported DiI revealed the ocular dominance columns within cortical layer 4. In addition, there are brightly fluorescent retrogradely labeled somata of the corticothalamic projection neurons in layer 6. C, Anterogradely labeled single ocular dominance column at higher magnification. D, Micrograph of spiny stellate cells filled intracellularly with Lucifer yellow. Scale bars: A and B, 1 mm; C and D, 100 μm.

Columns in cortical layer 4. Figure 1B shows an example where several closely spaced injections in the LGN covered a large area of the visual field and thereby labeled many ocular dominance columns within the corresponding part of area 17. Since we were particularly interested in relationships between the cells’ dendritic morphology and the pattern of geniculate afferents, we attempted to fill cells preferentially near the laminar and columnar borders of the thalamic input. From all intracellularly stained neurons, only those were selected for further analysis which (1) showed a complete fill of their dendrites and (2) where the position of the cell with respect to the afferent borders could be determined.
Table 1. Morphometric analysis of cells within layer 4 of normal and visually deprived cats

|                  | Soma area       | Number of basal dendrites | Radius of basal dendritic field | Spine density (spines/10 μm) |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Normal           |                 |                           |                                 |                               |
| Stellate cells   | 141 ± 34 μm²    | 6 ± 1 (4–9)               | 147 ± 20 μm                    | 2.5 ± 4.0                     |
| Pyramidal cells  | 144 ± 33 μm²    | 4 ± 1 (2–6)               | 141 ± 19 μm                    | ND                            |
| MD/open          |                 |                           |                                 |                               |
| Stellate cells   | 142 ± 43 μm²    | 6 ± 1 (3–8)               | 148 ± 16 μm                    | 2.9 ± 4.0                     |
| Pyramidal cells  | 125 ± 19 μm²    | 4 ± 2 (3–8)               | 152 ± 22 μm                    | ND                            |
| MD/closed        |                 |                           |                                 |                               |
| Stellate cells   | 138 ± 26 μm²    | 6 ± 1 (3–8)               | 148 ± 23 μm                    | 3.05 ± 4.0                    |
| Pyramidal cells  | 133 ± 33 μm²    | 4 ± 1 (3–8)               | 138 ± 13 μm                    | ND                            |
| Strabismic       |                 |                           |                                 |                               |
| Stellate cells   | 144 ± 44 μm²    | 6 ± 1 (4–9)               | 141 ± 24 μm                    | 3.0 ± 2.0                     |
| Pyramidal cells  | 143 ± 20 μm²    | 5 ± 1 (3–7)               | 142 ± 22 μm                    | ND                            |

A total of 304 cells from cortical layer 4 were drawn: 114 cells were obtained from animals with normal visual experience, 130 cells from monocularly deprived cats, and 60 cells from strabismic animals. In a first set of experiments, cells were filled without preference for a certain type of cell. Out of this sample (n = 114), 54% of the cells were identified as spiny stellate cells, 25% had the characteristic morphology of pyramidal cells, and only 8% of the neurons were spine-free cells with multipolar or bipolar morphology. The morphology of the remaining cells (12%) was intermediate between spiny stellate cells and pyramidal cells, they possessed an ascending dendrite that was only slightly longer than the rest of their dendrites. Most of these cells were found near the lower boundary of layer 4. These observations either indicate that there exists a continuum of form between spiny stellate and pyramidal cells in layer 4, or that these cells represent a separate class of neurons that Lorente de Nó (1938) called “star pyramids.” Since we recovered only a relatively small number of “star pyramids” and spine-free cells, these neurons were not further analyzed.

For both spiny stellate and pyramidal cells in cortical layer 4, we measured soma size, spine density, number of primary dendrites, and radius of the dendritic fields. The only significant difference between the two groups of neurons was found in the number of dendrites: spiny stellate cells possessed four to nine and pyramidal cells only two to six primary dendrites (Table 1). Monocular deprivation and artificially induced strabismus had no effect on any of these morphological parameters. In monocularly deprived animals, Lucifer yellow-injected cells were either located in the columns of the open eye or in the columns of the closed eye. When analyzed separately, cells in either column did neither differ significantly in the above-mentioned morphological features from each other nor from cells in normal animals. Moreover, a Sholl analysis also revealed no differences between cells in normal and deprived ocular dominance columns (Fig. 2).

In the following sections, the relationships between the dendritic trees of layer 4 cells and the termination pattern of the geniculocortical afferents are examined. To this end, the shape of dendritic fields near the laminar and columnar borders of the geniculate afferents were analyzed. In each case, we will first describe cells in normal animals and then cells in animals with altered visual experience (monocular deprivation and divergent strabismus).

Dendritic fields at laminar borders of thalamic afferents: normal animals

Geniculocortical afferents in area 17, as visualized by anterograde DiI labeling, terminate in layer 6 and in a 300–450 μm wide band about in the middle of the cortical thickness. The lower border of this band was extremely sharp: it coincided with the boundary between layers 4 and 5. The upper border was less sharply delineated and extended into the deep part of layer 3, as previously described (Rosenquist et al., 1974; LeVay and Gilbert, 1976). An example of a cell close to the lower border of

Figure 2. Sholl analysis of spiny stellate cells within layer 4 of cat area 17. There was no significant difference between cells from normal, strabismic, and monocularly deprived animals. Symbols: normal (solid line), squinting (dash-dot line), monocularly deprived open eye (dashed line), monocularly deprived closed eye (dotted line).
the thalamic afferents is illustrated in Figure 3, which shows the Dil labeled thalamic afferents (Fig. 3A), a spiny stellate cell filled with Lucifer yellow (Fig. 3B) and the reconstruction of the afferent border with the detailed dendritic morphology of the injected cell (Fig. 3C). The cell's dendritic field had a marked asymmetry; most dendrites were directed away from the border. Some dendrites first run straight toward the border (proximal dendrites) and then redirect their course and bend away from the border (distal dendrites).

A representative sample of spiny stellate cells in layer 4 is illustrated in Figure 4. All cells in the middle of layer 4 had symmetrical dendritic fields relative to the laminar borders. In contrast, cells near the boundary between layer 4 and 5, that is, at the lower border of the thalamic afferents, had strongly asym-
metric dendritic fields such that most of their dendrites were confined to the thalamic recipient zone. In order to quantify this asymmetry, we bisected the dendritic fields of all cells (n = 69) by a line running through the cell body and parallel to the laminar border of the thalamic afferents. In each half-field, the number of intersections between the dendrites and the concentric circles (distance 2.5 μm) was counted (Fig. 5A). This allowed us to determine the bias of the cells’ dendritic fields. The bias was calculated by subtracting the number of dendritic intersections facing away from the border from the number of dendritic intersections facing toward the border. A positive bias-index indicates that the cell has more dendrites on the site oriented away from the border; a bias-index near 0 indicates that the dendritic field was symmetrical. As illustrated in Figure 5B, spiny stellate cells in the vicinity of the border between layer 4 and layer 5 (cell body distance < 80 μm) show a very pronounced bias. The positive bias indices up to a distance of 80 μm demonstrate that the dendritic fields are strongly asymmetrical. The numbers of intersections toward and away from the border significantly differed from each other (p < 0.05; Wilcoxon rank test). At distances more than 80 μm away from the border, which corresponds to approximately half of the dendritic radius, the cells are nearly symmetrical, with slightly more dendrites in the lower part of their dendritic field (Fig. 5B, right column).

As mentioned above, the lower border of the geniculate afferent zone coincides with the laminar border between layers 4 and 5. The upper border of the geniculate afferents, however, does not correspond to an interlaminar border. It extends beyond layer 4 and reaches the bottom part of layer 3. As illustrated in Figure 4, the dendrites of spiny stellate cells at the top of layer 4 freely crossed the border between layers 4 and 3. For almost all of these cells, the upper border of the thalamic afferents was beyond the reach of their dendrites. Only cells located in deep layer 3 were close enough to reach this border with their dendrites. Since most cells in layer 3 are pyramidal cells, we recovered only five spiny stellate cells situated near the upper border of the geniculocortical afferents. Figure 4 shows two representative examples of such cells. Similar to the situation at the lower border, spiny stellate cells had asymmetric dendritic arbors and thereby confined most of their dendrites to the recipient zone of the thalamic afferents.

Unlike spiny stellate cells, analysis of the morphology of pyramidal neurons near the borders of thalamic afferents revealed that their basal dendrites were not affected by the presence of the border. As illustrated in Figure 6, pyramidal cell dendrites freely crossed both the upper and the lower border of the termination zone of the geniculate afferents. Thus, the influence of the thalamic afferents on the shape of dendritic fields is cell specific: only spiny stellate cells, but not pyramidal cells, are affected by abrupt changes of afferent input.

**Dendritic fields at laminar borders of thalamic afferents: monocularly deprived and strabismic animals**

A major goal of this study was to examine whether disruption of the normal balance of visual stimulation by monocular deprivation in early life affects the structure of cortical cells in the thalamic recipient zone. Since we visualized the ocular dominance columns by anterograde DiI labeling, it was possible to compare the dendritic morphology of cells in the columns of the occluded eye with cells in the columns of the eye that had remained open. Examples of spiny stellate cells filled with Lucifer yellow in monocularly deprived animals are shown in Figure 7. Similar to the observations made in normal animals, the cells were strongly biased away from the border: most dendrites of these cells avoided to cross the lower border of the thalamic afferents (corresponding to the layer 4/5 border), irrespective of whether the cells were located in the columns of the open eye (n = 28) or of the closed eye (n = 26). This dendritic asymmetry of spiny stellate cells near the layer 4/5 border was also observed in strabismic animals.

A total of six spiny stellate cells in the upper part of layer 4 was stained in monocularly deprived animals. As in normal animals, their dendrites freely crossed the interlaminar border between layers 4 and 3. None of these cells, however, was very close to the upper border of the geniculate afferents; therefore, we do not know whether the dendrites of spiny stellate cells in monocularly deprived animals respect this border or not. Anal-

---

**Figure 5.** Quantitative analysis of the dendritic asymmetry of spiny stellate cells in normal animals as a function of the distance from the lower laminar border of the thalamic afferents, the layer 4/5 border. **A.** The dendritic fields were bisected by a line (solid line) running through the cell body and parallel to the laminar border (dashed line), and the number of intersections of all dendrites with the concentric circles was counted separately for each half-field. The bias of the cells was then determined by subtracting the number of intersections in the half-field facing away from the border from the number of intersections facing toward the border. **B.** Cells in the vicinity of the border (within 80 μm) possess a positive mean bias index (left two columns), indicating that their dendritic fields are biased away from the border. Spiny stellate cells further away from the border (distance > 80 μm) were nearly symmetrical. Error bars are SEM; numbers of cells (at each distance) are 6, 19, and 44.
Figure 6. Dendritic morphology of pyramidal cells in normal cats. The dendritic fields of pyramidal cells were not affected by the thalamic afferents, since most of their dendrites freely cross the borders of the termination zone of the thalamic afferents (indicated by the solid lines). Scale bar, 100 μm.

ysis of pyramidal cells in layer 4 and lower layer 3 in both monocularly deprived and strabismic cats indicated that the basal dendrites of these cells were not influenced by the upper or lower border of the geniculate afferents—as in normal animals.

Dendritic fields at columnar borders: normal animals

An individual ocular dominance column anterogradely labeled by DiI is shown in Figure 1C, and examples of spiny stellate cells reconstructed close to columnar borders are illustrated in Figure 8. The cells appear to have fewer dendrites running toward the border than running away from it. Frequently, the dendrites oriented toward the border were shorter than those oriented parallel to or away from the border. The bias of the dendritic fields of the total sample of cells (Fig. 9, n = 51) was analyzed quantitatively. Cells further away than 80 μm from the border, which is approximately half of the dendritic radius, had nearly symmetrical dendritic fields. However, 82% (n = 11) of the spiny stellate cells close to the border (cell body distance < 40 μm) had a positive bias index, indicating that dendritic fields were biased away from the border. The number of dendritic intersections in each half-field of these cells significantly differed from each other (p < 0.05; Wilcoxon rank test). In comparison with dendritic asymmetries observed near the horizontal borders of thalamic afferents (Figs. 3, 4), the asymmetries near the columnar borders were less pronounced. Usually, spiny stellate cells had always some dendrites that crossed the columnar borders. Therefore, we used an additional test to analyze the cells' dendritic field near the borders of ocular dominance columns.

To this end we determined the number of intersections between the Sholl circles and the dendrites that actually crossed the columnar borders. The cells were then mirror imaged at a line running through the cell body and parallel to the columnar border, and the number of intersections beyond the border was again counted and compared with the original value (see Fig. 10). The difference between the two values was used to calculated a "mirror index" (Hübener and Bolz, 1992). If there are more dendritic intersections beyond the border in the mirrored position than in the original position (mirror index > 0), the cells' dendrites avoided crossing the border. This was true for the majority of the cells from our sample. Near the columnar border (cell body distance < 80 μm), the majority of the cells (64%, n = 31) had a positive mirror index and the number of intersections in the neighboring column significantly differed from the number of intersections in the mirrored position (p < 0.05; Wilcoxon rank test; Fig. 10). The same test applied to spiny stellate cells located within the same distance near the lower border of thalamic afferents, the layer 4/S border, revealed that 94% (n = 25) of the cells had a positive mirror index and that the magnitude of the effect was more pronounced than at the columnar border (p < 0.005; Wilcoxon rank test; compare
Figure 7. Dendritic fields at laminar borders. Spiny stellate cells in layer 4 of monocularly deprived (MD) cats. Like in normal animals, the dendrites tend to stay within the termination zone of the thalamic afferents, independent of whether the cells are located in the columns of the open or the deprived eye. Scale bar: 100 μm.

Figure 8. Dendritic fields at columnar borders. Reconstruction of the morphology of spiny stellate cells near the borders between ocular dominance columns (hatched regions) in (a) normal and (b) in strabismic animals. The cells have asymmetric dendritic fields and fewer dendrites are oriented toward the border than oriented away from the border. Scale bar: 100 μm.
Cells laying directly on a columnar border (n = 3) had no clear preference for one or the other column, their dendritic fields extended nearly equally into both columns. These cells were not included in the analysis. The shape of the basal dendritic arbors of pyramidal cells was also not influenced by columnar borders.

Dendritic fields at columnar borders: strabismic animals

In strabismic animals, Dil-labeled ocular dominance columns usually appeared to be sharper and more precisely demarcated from each other than in normal animals. Similar observations had been made after labeling ocular dominance columns in strabismic animals with \(^{3}H\)-proline injections into one eye (Shatz et al., 1977; Löwel, 1994). Spiny stellate cells near these sharp borders between the ocular dominance columns had pronounced asymmetric dendritic fields (Fig. 8). The dendritic fields of 57 spiny stellate cells was analyzed quantitatively. In most cells, the dendritic half-field facing toward the border usually was less dense, had fewer dendrites, and sparse dendritic branching compared to the half-field facing away from the border. In the immediate vicinity of the border (cell body distance < 40 \(\mu\)m), almost all cells (91\%, n = 14) were clearly biased, with significantly more dendritic intersections in the half-field facing away from the border than toward it (p < 0.005; Wilcoxon rank test). Cells laying further away (>40 \(\mu\)m), were not influenced by the presence of the columnar border and they had nearly symmetrical dendritic fields (Fig. 9). Spiny stellate cells of strabismic animals not only showed a strong asymmetry in their dendritic fields, the analysis of the mirror index also revealed that their dendrites respected the columnar border more closely than in normal animals (p < 0.0005; Wilcoxon rank test; Fig. 10).

Dendritic fields at columnar borders: monocularly deprived animals

In monocularly deprived animals, the dendritic bias of spiny stellate neurons near the borders of ocular dominance columns...
was different for cells located in the columns of the deprived (n = 37) and nondeprived eye (n = 45). In the columns of the deprived eye there was only one cell whose dendrites appeared to avoid the adjacent column of the open eye. The dendritic fields of the remaining cells (86%, n = 7) near columnar borders (cell body distance < 40 μm) exhibited a negative bias index. Thus, these cells had more dendrites running toward than away from the border (p < 0.06, Wilcoxon rank test; Figs. 9, 11). This effect was significantly different from the behavior seen in normal and strabismic cats where the dendrites of spiny stellate cells avoided to cross into neighboring ocular dominance columns (χ² test, p < 0.01).

The presence of a columnar border had only a weak influence on the dendritic fields of spiny stellate cells located in the non-deprived ocular dominance columns. The dendrites of some cells avoided the columns of the deprived eye (Fig. 11); other cells extended their dendrites freely across columnar borders. Unfortunately, we only recovered three spiny stellate cells within 40 μm from the columnar border; thus, we do not know whether the dendritic bias of these cells was quantitatively different from the dendritic bias observed in normal animals. For the total sample of cells there was a large scatter in the bias index (Fig. 9) as well as in the mirror index (Fig. 10). As a result, neither the bias nor the mirror index was statistically different from zero.

Discussion

In the present study, we examined the dendritic morphology of neurons in the recipient zone of geniculocortical afferents in area 17 of normally raised, monocularly deprived, and strabismic cats. Irrespective of the visual experience of the animals, spiny stellate cells near the upper and lower margin of this zone had highly asymmetric dendritic fields and thereby confined their dendrites to the thalamorecipient region. Pyramidal neurons did not exhibit such dendritic asymmetries. Analysis of spiny stellate cells with respect to borders between adjacent ocular dominance columns indicated that visual experience can alter the structure of the dendritic fields of these cells: dendritic asymmetries depended both on the level and on the patterning of afferent input. These results then show that afferent activity not only affects the structure of presynaptic axonal terminals, but also the morphology of postsynaptic dendritic fields.

Dendritic asymmetries at laminar borders

A prominent feature of cortical organization is the grouping of cells with characteristic morphological and physiological properties into layers. The segregation of cortical into layers is reflected in the patterns of extrinsic afferent projections and intrinsic cortical connections, suggesting that the distinct functional properties observed in the different layers might be attributed to layer specific connections (for reviews, see Gilbert, 1983; Bolz et al., 1989). For instance, almost all cells in layer 4 of cat area 17 have small, simple receptive fields, while most cells in layer 5 have complex receptive fields that are 2–3 times larger than those in layer 4 (Gilbert, 1977; Leventhal and Hirsch, 1978). Layers 4 and layer 5 are sharply demarcated by the afferent terminals arising from the A laminae of the LGN, and they differ also in their intrinsic cortical input. Pyramidal neurons in layer 6 send axon collaterals specifically to layer 4 and avoid the intervening layer 5, while pyramidal neurons in layers 2/3 make collateral connections with layer 5 and avoid layer 4 (Gilbert and Wiesel 1979; Martin and Whitteridge, 1984; Katz, 1991). This clear segregation of thalamic and cortical inputs between layer 4 and layer 5 could play an important role in generating the characteristic response properties in these layers, provided that the segregation is maintained at the postsynaptic cells. The present results indicate that the dendrites of spiny stellate cells are oriented away from layer 5 and thereby avoid the mixing of layer-specific inputs. In contrast, the dendrites of spiny stellate cells near the borders between layers 3 and 4 freely crossed this laminar boundary. However, since we visualized simultaneously the cells’ dendrites and the thalamic afferents, we found that they rarely extended outside the terminal fields of geniculocortical axons that project into the lower portion of layer 3. Thus, spiny stellate cells appear to be a homogeneous group...
in having their dendrites confined to the main recipient zone of the thalamic afferents.

The shape of the dendritic fields of pyramidal neurons, unlike spiny stellate cells, was not affected by the thalamic afferents. The reasons for this striking difference are not known. In layer 4 of cat primary visual cortex, both spiny stellate cells and pyramidal neurons are a target for geniculocortical axons (Hornung and Garey, 1981; Stirling and Davis, 1979). Spiny stellate cells and pyramidal cells might differ in the portion of thalamocortical synapses or in other sources of innervation, but this has not yet been determined. It is also not known which cues spiny stellate cells, but not pyramidal cells, use to keep their dendrites within the recipient zone of thalamic afferents. Perhaps spiny stellate cells require the presence of thalamic fibers or a certain amount of synapses from these afferents in order to extend their dendrites. Another possible signal causing dendritic asymmetries might be differences in the activity between cortical layers. There is evidence for laminar differences in the amount of activity during early postnatal development. It has been shown that cells within layer 4 become responsive to visual stimuli during the first week after birth, about 2–3 weeks before cells in the neighboring layers 3 and 5 exhibit visual responsiveness (Albus and Wolf, 1984). Such different activity levels near laminar borders could influence the growth of the dendrites of spiny stellate cells. Finally, there might be intrinsic cortical cues independent of neuronal activity that regulate dendritic growth. Recent in vitro experiments provided evidence for molecular signals within the cortex that control the precise termination of thalamocortical fibers within their cortical target layer (Bolz et al., 1992; Gotz et al., 1992; Yamamoto et al., 1992; for review, see Bolt et al., 1993). These positional cues located either on the surface of cells or within the extracellular matrix could either stimulate or inhibit the outgrowth of dendrites and thereby shape the dendritic trees of spiny stellate cells.

Whatever mechanisms underlie dendritic asymmetries at laminar borders, the differences in the organization of the dendritic fields between pyramidal and spiny stellate cells might have functional consequences. Simple cells are the predominant class in layer 4, but there are also some cells with complex receptive fields that are often located near the border to layer 5 (Gilbert, 1977; Henry et al., 1979). The present results suggest that these cells might be pyramidal neurons whose dendrites cross the laminar border and thereby collect input from complex cells in layer 5. Intracellular recordings combined with dye injections revealed that all spiny stellate cells in layer 4 have simple receptive fields (Kelly and Van Essen, 1974; Gilbert and Wiesel, 1979, 1983; Lin et al., 1979; Martin and Whitteridge, 1984). Martin and Whitteridge (1984) also recovered a few pyramidal neurons with simple receptive fields, but all these cells were located near the center of layer 4 and had their dendrites confined to this layer. Thus, although there is no strict correlation of receptive field type to cell morphology throughout cortical layer 4, such a correlation might exist near the borders of the thalamic afferents.

Dendritic asymmetries of spiny stellate cells near laminar bor-

Figure 11. Spiny stellate cells near adjacent ocular dominance columns in monocularly deprived animals. The dendrites of cells laying in the columns of the open eye (MD/open column) tend not to cross into the neighboring column (the column of the deprived eye, hatched region). In contrast, cells laying in the columns of the closed eye (MD/closed column) not only freely crossed into the neighboring column, their dendrites were even biased toward the neighboring column, the column of the open eye. Scale bar, 100 μm.
ders have also been observed in Golgi studies of primate striate cortex. In tree shrews and in macaque monkeys, spiny stellate cells show a striking horizontal stratification of their dendritic fields even within cortical layer 4 (Booth et al., 1979; Lund, 1984). These dendritic asymmetries are related to subdivisions of layer 4 (layer 4A in tree shrews and layers 4Ca and 4CB in macaques), which receive input from different thalamic populations. Thus, in primates, modifications of dendritic fields of spiny stellate cells not only occur at the borders between thalamic and nonthalamic inputs, but also between different types of thalamic input. In the cat, there are two major classes of geniculocortical afferents, called X- and Y-cells (for review, see Sherman and Spear, 1982). Early anatomical tracing studies raised the possibility that X- and Y-cells might have different sublaminal projection patterns within layer 4 of area 17 (Perster and LeVay, 1978; Gilbert and Wiesel, 1979). However, more recent work indicated that the terminal fields of X- and Y axons overlap substantially in this layer (Martin and Whitteridge, 1984; Humphrey et al., 1985), and in the present study we found no stratification of the dendritic fields within the depth of layer 4.

**Dendritic asymmetries at columnar borders**

The formation of ocular dominance columns from initially overlapping afferents from the left and right eye has served as a model system for activity-dependent cortical development. Over the last 75 years, a large number of investigators demonstrated the importance of neuronal activity and sensory experience for the structural remodeling of geniculocortical afferents (for reviews, see Constantin-Paton et al., 1990; Shatz, 1990; Stryker, 1991). In particular, the temporal patterning of neuronal activity has been identified to convey the essential information for axons to segregate into eye-specific patches. Furthermore, a recent study demonstrated larger columnar spacings in strabismic compared to normal cats, indicating that the correlation of activity in thalamocortical afferents from the two eyes also influences the final expression of the columnar grid (Lowel, 1994). In contrast, very little is known about the consequences of the reorganization of the presynaptic terminals on the morphology of their postsynaptic target cells.

From earlier work about the effects of sensory deprivation and lesioning on dendritic morphology of cortical neurons it was difficult to dissociate changes due to a loss of specific afferent activity patterns from alterations caused by a general loss of trophic support (for review, see Globus, 1975). Recent studies examining dendritic morphologies close to borders between adjacent ocular dominance columns allowed to distinguish between these possibilities. In both area VI of macaque monkeys and in the tectum of three-eyed frogs, neurons close to these borders had their dendrites preferentially within the same eye-specific domain where their cell body was located (Katz and Constantin-Paton, 1988; Katz et al., 1989). This indicates that patterns of activity conveyed by afferent input, in addition to the presence or absence of afferent fibers themselves, can modulate the shape of dendritic arbors. These observations were confirmed and extended by the present results showing that various visual deprivation paradigms have specific effects on the structure of the dendritic fields of spiny stellate cells in cat area 17. Thus, different patterns of afferent activity causing different organizations of presynaptic terminals also influenced the dendritic morphology of postsynaptic neurons. In addition, a comparison of dendritic morphologies in normal and strabismic cats indicated that the degree of correlation of geniculocortical afferents from the left and right eye is also an important determinant for the structure of dendritic trees at columnar borders.

In normal cats, the dendrites of spiny stellate cells near the borders of adjacent ocular dominance columns remained preferentially within one column. This effect, however, was less pronounced than the one described for spiny stellate cells in macaque visual cortex (Katz et al., 1989). This might not be unexpected since the segregation of geniculocortical afferents in left and right eye patches in the cat appears to be less sharp than in the monkey (Shatz et al., 1977; LeVay et al., 1980). Moreover, almost all cells in layer 4C in monkey striate cortex are monocular (Hubel and Wiesel, 1968), whereas only about 70% of the cells in layer 4 of cat striate cortex are strongly dominated by one eye or the other (Gilbert, 1977; Shatz and Stryker, 1978).

The relatively high proportion of binocular cells in layer 4 in the cat could also be due to the presence of pyramidal cells which, as shown here, extend their dendrites freely across ocular dominance columns. Electrophysiological recordings combined with anterograde tracing indicated that binocular cells are intermingled with monocularly driven cells near columnar borders (Shatz and Stryker, 1978). This is consistent with our anatomical findings that the dendritic bias is cell-class specific. The fact that pyramidal neurons are absent in layer 4C in monkey striate cortex might therefore be one reason why there are only very few binocular cells in this layer.

If the degree of temporal correlation in afferent activity plays a significant role in structuring the postsynaptic dendritic fields at columnar borders, then neurons in the visual cortex of strabismic cats should be more affected than in normal cats. The results of the present study show that this is the case: dendritic trees of spiny stellate cells in layer 4 of strabismic cats were more asymmetric than in normal animals, demonstrating that reduced correlation in the activity of the two eyes not only enhances ocular segregation at the level of presynaptic axonal arborizations (Shatz et al., 1977; Löwel, 1994), but also at the level of postsynaptic dendritic fields. The segregation of the geniculocortical afferents is therefore reflected in the shape of the dendritic fields of a population of postsynaptic target neurons.

Our observations in monocularly deprived cats indicate that the dendritic morphology at columnar borders is not only influenced by the relative timing, but also by the relative amount of activity conveyed by the afferents from the two eyes. The dendritic fields of spiny stellate cells located in the columns of the open eye were only weakly, or not at all, influenced by columnar borders. Cells in the nondeprived columns that extend their dendrites into the deprived columns receive only a weak synaptic input from the deprived eye. Reconstructions of individual geniculocortical axons revealed that the terminal arbors of the deprived eye are reduced in size, are less dense, and have smaller synaptic boutons than terminal arbors of the nondeprived eye (Friedlander et al., 1991; Antonini and Stryker, 1993). These changes most likely reflect the anatomical correlate of a reduced synaptic efficacy. In addition, the segregation of left and right eye projections in monocularly deprived cats is less pronounced than in normal or strabismic cats (Shatz and Stryker, 1978).

Therefore, dendrites extending into the column dominated by input from the deprived eye might still receive input from the nondeprived eye. Thus, compared to normal or strabismic animals, there are only weak constraints for cells in the column of the open eye to confine their dendrites to this column and to acquire asymmetric dendritic fields at columnar borders.

The situation is different for spiny stellate cells in the deprived
columns. These cells receive input from the afferents serving the deprived eye, but due to their reduced efficacy, this synaptic input is relatively weak. Cells located near columnar borders also receive input from the nondeprived eye. The afferents from the open eye are highly active and therefore have a functional advantage over the less active afferents from the deprived eye. Our findings indicate that cells in the deprived columns extend their dendrites into the nondeprived columns, that is, into regions of higher neuronal activity. This suggests that cells at columnar borders are dominated by inputs from the nondeprived eye, even though their cell body is located within the columns of the deprived eye. As a consequence, in monocularly deprived animals the anatomical borders defined by anterograde labeling of the afferents do not coincide with functionally defined borders for eye preference. In fact, studies that have combined anterograde labeling with electrophysiological recordings within layer 4 indicate that cells often remain driven by the input from the nondeprived eye even though the electrode entered the columns of the deprived eye (Shatz and Stryker, 1978). The morphology of these cells has not been determined, but our results suggest that these cells might be spiny stellate cells.

In line with Hebb's postulate for associative learning (Hebb, 1949), physiological studies showed that synaptic contacts are strengthened when pre- and postsynaptic activity is in synchrony, whereas synaptic contacts are weakened when this activity is asynchronous (Rauschecker and Singer, 1982; Bindman et al., 1988; Kossel et al., 1990; Frégnaux et al., 1992; Shulz and Frégnaux, 1992). It has been proposed that these changes in synaptic efficacy lead to a remodeling of the terminal arborizations of geniculocortical axons. Axon collaterals grow preferentially in cortical regions dominated by the same eye and are eliminated by the regions dominated by the other eye (LeVay et al., 1978; Antonini and Stryker, 1993). Our observation suggest that a similar remodelling also occurs at the level of the postsynaptic dendrites.
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