Experimental Evidence for Two-Dimensional Magnetic Order in Proton Bombarded Graphite
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We have prepared magnetic graphite samples bombarded by protons at low temperatures and low fluences to attenuate the large thermal annealing produced during irradiation. An overall optimization of sample handling allowed us to find Curie temperatures $T_c \gtrsim 350$ K at the used fluences. The magnetization versus temperature shows unequivocally a linear dependence, which can be interpreted as due to excitations of spin waves in a two dimensional Heisenberg model with a weak uniaxial anisotropy.

PACS numbers: 75.50.Pp,78.70.-g,75.30.Ds

Recent advances to develop nanographitic systems have led to a renewed interest on their electrical properties worldwide[1]. A single layer of graphite, the two-dimensional (2D) graphene, appears to have quantum properties at room temperature[2] as well as rectifying electronic properties[3, 4]. On the other hand, some of those properties were already observed in highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) of low mosaicity, as the quantum Hall effect[5] and de Haas - van Halphen quantum oscillations even at room temperature[6]. The two-dimensional properties of the graphene planes in graphite open up the possibility of using nanometer to micron size regions of graphite in new integrated devices with spintronic properties either through the use of ferromagnetic electrodes, e.g. spin-valves, and/or making graphite itself magnetic. In fact this has been a topic of study in the last years and reports exist showing magnetic hysteresis in blank graphite[7] but especially in proton bombarded graphite[8]. Severe limitations in the sensitivity and reproducibility of standard magnetometers added to annealing effects during bombardment, hindered the identification of a critical temperature $T_c$ as well as the characteristics and dimensionality of the ferromagnetic signals. The aim of this work is to show that specially prepared highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) samples show ferromagnetic order with $T_c \gtrsim 350$ K and the magnetization temperature dependence is in good agreement with a 2D anisotropic Heisenberg model (2DHM) and the presence of spin waves excitations [3, 10, 11].

For the experiments we used four pieces of a HOPG sample grade ZYA, samples 1 to 4 (mass: 12.8, 12.5, 10.1, and 6 mg respectively) irradiated by a 2.25 MeV proton micro-beam (sample 4: 2.0 MeV, 0.8 mm broad beam) perpendicular to the graphite planes. With the micro-beam we produced several thousands of spots of $\sim 2 \mu$m diameter each and separated by 5 $\mu$m (sample 1) or 10 $\mu$m (samples 2 and 3) distance, similarly to the procedure used in Ref. [12]. Samples 1 and 2 were irradiated at 110 K whereas samples 3 and 4 at room temperature. Further irradiation parameters for sample 1 (2,3,4) were: 51375 (25600,25600,6) spots, fluence: 0.124 (0.08,0.13,0.3) nC/µm², total irradiated charge 46.9 (44.8,37.4,900) µC, and 1 nA proton current (100 nA for sample 4). The pieces we have irradiated showed an iron concentration (the only detected magnetic impurity) within the first 35 µm of $\sim (0.4\pm0.04) \mu g / g (< 0.1 \text{ppm})$.

Previous experiments [8] showed ferromagnetic magnetic moments at saturation $m_{sat} \sim 1 \text{emu}$ and therefore put severe constrains to experimentalists, not only regarding the sensitivity of the used magnetometer but also its reproducibility after sample handling. In this work two main experimental improvements have been achieved. Firstly, we enhanced the ferromagnetic part produced by irradiation reducing annealing effects. In samples 1 and 2 the micrometer spots were produced at a nominal temperature of 110 K during irradiation (18 hours). For comparison and to reduce further annealing effects sample 4 was irradiated with a broad beam and low fluence. Second, we have designed a sample holder that allows us to measure the magnetic moment of the sample in the SQUID and to fix it inside the irradiation chamber without any changes. We investigated the reproducibility of the magnetic measurements and checked that the sample holder handling (with sample [13], i.e. inserting it and taking it out of the irradiation and SQUID chambers [14], does not produce systematic changes of the magnetic signal. Our arrangement provides a reproducibility of $\sim 10^{-7}$ emu in the measured field range and allows the subtraction of the virgin data from those after irradiation point by point, increasing substantially the sensitivity of the magnetic measurements to $\sim 2 \times 10^{-8}$ emu.

Figure 1 shows the hysteresis loops of the magnetic moment $m$ of sample 2 at two temperatures. These loops are obtained directly from the difference of the measurement “after” minus “before” irradiation. The loop at 5 K as well as the measured temperature dependence at constant field indicate a paramagnetic contribution $m_p = 0.575 H/T[\mu \text{emu K/kOe}]$ for this sample, i.e. less than 10% of the ferromagnetic signal at 3 kOe. At 300 K,
however, $m_p$ is negligible. These loops, their temperature dependence as well as the finite hysteresis, see inset in Fig. 1, indicate the existence of magnetic order with a Curie temperature higher than room temperature.

Sample 3, which was irradiated with similar number of spots, fluence and total charge but at room temperature, shows a a ferromagnetic signal at saturation $\sim 5$ smaller than that obtained for samples 1 or 2, in agreement with previous work[8]. These results indicate the reliability and sensitivity of the used procedure as well as the absence of obvious artifacts in the measurements.

After peeling out the first micrometers from the irradiated surface of sample 2 the ferromagnetic contribution decreased by one order of magnitude, see Fig. 1. We can answer now the question whether the Fe concentration in the sample and due to some hypothetical annealing by the protons could be responsible for the observed ferromagnetic signal. In the first micrometer and taking an irradiated area $\lesssim 0.026 \text{ cm}^2$, the magnetization at room temperature is then $\gtrsim 0.5 \text{ emu/g}$. In this region we estimate that the mass of the ferromagnetic carbon material is $< 6 \mu\text{g}$. Were the measured Fe concentration ferromagnetic at 300 K then it would contribute with a magnetic moment $\lesssim 0.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ emu}$, i.e. 50,000 times smaller than the measured one. With the mass of the ferromagnetic part of the irradiated HOPG sample we estimate a magnetic moment per carbon atom $m_C \gtrsim 0.001 \mu_B$, in very good agreement with XMCD results [13].

Figures 2 and 3 show the temperature dependence of the ferromagnetic moment for samples 1 and 4, respectively. Because the paramagnetic signal contributes significantly only at $T \lesssim 25 \text{ K}$, we have subtracted it in both figures in order to show only the ferromagnetic part. Up to the highest measured temperature of 380 K this magnetic moment behaves reversible. Furthermore, no changes in $m$ within experimental error were observed after leaving the samples several months at room temperature.

One of the interesting and indicative results shown in Figs. 2 and 3 is the unequivocal linear dependence. This is an indication of 2D magnetism and the slope can be interpreted as due to the excitation of 2D spin waves that reduce the magnetization linearly with $T$ [9, 10, 11]. We are not aware of any model Hamiltonian producing such a linear behavior in $m(T)$. Therefore, to analyze the measured temperature dependence we discuss the 2DHM with anisotropy that provides a linear dependence with $T$. The discrete Hamiltonian describing the 2DHM reads $H = -J \sum_{i,j} [S_{iz}S_{jz} + (1 - \Delta)(S_{ix}S_{jx} + S_{iy}S_{jy}) + \Delta S_{iz}S_{jz}]$, where $S_i = (S_{ix}, S_{iy}, S_{iz})$ represents a unit vector in the direction of the classical magnetic moment placed at the site $i$ of a 2D lattice. The sum $(i, j)$ is performed over all nearest neighbor pairs, and $J$ is the exchange coupling. The parameter $\Delta$ represents the uniaxial anisotropy in the $z$-direction. The case $\Delta = 0$ is the isotropic 2DHM and is known to have $T_c = 0$. However, just a small anisotropy...
raises $T_c$ considerably because $T_c \sim -1/\ln \Delta$ for $\Delta \to 0$.

It can be shown [9,10,11] that the normalized spin-waves magnetization in the anisotropic axis behaves as $M_z^{sw} = 1 - T/T_c^{sw} - 2T^2/(T^* T_c^{sw}) - (2/3)(T/T_c^{sw})^3$ at low temperatures, where $T^* = 4J$. This result is obtained using perturbation theory techniques [10,11] up to third order in spin waves. The parameter $T_c^{sw}$ is the spin wave critical temperature due to low-energy spin wave excitations; it is given by $k_B T_c^{sw} = 2\pi J/K(1 - \Delta)$, where $K(x)$ is the elliptic function. Near the critical temperature $T_c$ the physics can be better described by a 2D Ising model that should provide a good description of the spin flip excitations. Then $T_c$ is given by $T_c(\hat{J}) = 1.52 / 16$, where $\hat{J}$ is the renormalized exchange due to the spin waves excitations according to the expression $J(T) = J[1 - 2T/T_c^{sw}]$. The values of $M_z$ at $T < T_c$ can be expressed as:

$$M_z(T) \approx M_z^{sw}(T, \hat{J}) M_z^{RF}(T, \hat{J}(T)).$$

(1)

The first factor in the rhs of (1) is the magnetization due to spin waves and the second one is the magnetization due to an Ising model with the exchange renormalized by the spin waves. We have checked this theoretical result against Montecarlo calculations with $\Delta = 0.001$ and the agreement is excellent, especially at low anisotropies [11] as it is shown in Figs. 2 and 3. In Fig. 2 we have plotted also the normalized spin waves contribution $M_z^{sw}/M_z^{sw}(0)$ up to third order. The Heisenberg result approximated by [11] and the Montecarlo calculation agree and both fit the experimental data with the parameters $T_c^{sw} = 850$ K, $J(T_c = 360K) = 237$ K, indicating an anisotropy $\Delta \approx 0.001$. Sample 2 shows a similar behavior and its data can be fitted with $T_c^{sw} \approx 1000$ K, $J(T_c = 310K) = 202$ K. The data for sample 4 shown in Fig. 3 show also a linear behavior. Extrapolating the SW contribution to $m(T^*) \approx 0$ we conclude that $T_c < T^* \approx 640$ K. Then using (1) we estimate $T_c \gtrsim 450$ K with $\Delta \lesssim 10^{-4}$, see Fig. 3. These results already show that $T_c$ increases with fluence, provided that one can reduce simultaneously the annealing effects produced during irradiation. For comparison we also have plotted in Figs. 2 and 3 the Ising model result that has no spin waves and the 3D Bloch $T^{3/2}$ law that includes spin waves [18]. The comparison indicates clearly that spin waves in 2D dominate the magnetization up to $\gtrsim 300$ K and that the usual 3D model does not fit the data.

There is no doubt that defects in the graphite structure are one of the possible origins for localized magnetic moments. The ferromagnetism triggered by the bombardment should be correlated to the produced defects located at approximately the first micrometer from the sample surface. To discuss a mechanism responsible for the coupling between the magnetic moments, we need first to estimate the density of defects. For sample 1 we have 0.9 nC total irradiated charge per spot in an area of ($\sim \pi 0.6^2$) cm$^2$. Using SRIM2003 Monte Carlo simulations with full damage cascades and 35 eV displacement energy we obtain a vacancy density of $\sim 5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ at the surface, which means a distance between vacancies $l \sim 1.3$ nm $\sim 9a$, where $a = 0.14$ nm. This distance is much smaller than the inverse of the Fermi wave vector $1/k_F \sim 30$ nm for a Fermi energy of 20 meV or calculated using the 2D carrier density [2].

Regarding the coupling needed to have room temperature magnetic ordering there is in first place the direct coupling for nearly localized spins at the defects, which should be in the range of $\sim 300$ K. Recently the RKKY coupling between large defects in graphene has been studied for Fermi energy tending to zero [19]. This coupling might be always ferromagnetic because $k_F r \ll 1$ for $r \sim l$. However, estimations of the Curie temperature for this coupling within our defect densities provide values of the order of 20 K. What appears important is a super-exchange mediated by the two different sites in the graphite lattice [20,21] or between magnetic moments from defects and from hydrogen atoms, which may effectively increase the magnetic moment density on a graphene lattice.

We note that large concentration of hydrogen is found in the first micrometer thick region at the surface of graphite samples [22]. Therefore we should take into account the possible influence of hydrogen in triggering localized as well as non-localized magnetic moments in the graphite layers [20,22]. Irradiation may contribute as defect generation as well as dissociating the existing molecular hydrogen enabling its diffusion and bonding in defective parts of the structure. All these moments will tend to be ferromagnetically coupled enhancing the
curie temperature by the RKKY coupling.

Within this picture it becomes clear that the enhancement of the defect density, which occurs at larger depths from the surface in the inner part of the irradiation path up to full amorphization at a depth $\sim 35\ldots40\ \mu m$, perturbs too much the graphene lattice destroying in this way the necessary band structure and carrier density. This may explain the experimental observation of a rather well-defined critical temperature (and not a distribution) and also the difficulty one has to reach much higher ferromagnetic magnetization values increasing the proton fluences clearly above the values used here. If an electron-mediated coupling between defects plays a role, we expect that for an adequate defect density it should be possible to influence the magnetic order shifting the Fermi energy by applying an appropriate bias voltage.

The results of samples 1 and 2 provide clear evidence for the good reproducibility of our approach: although the spot density, beam diameter as well as total charges were different, the produced defect densities in the irradiated paths were similar for both samples and therefore we expect to obtain similar critical temperatures as the measurements showed. Changing the defect density as well as their distribution in the lattice one may tune the magnitude of the magnetization produced by irradiation, as the data for sample 4 clearly indicate. As a rule of thumb robust ferromagnetism with $T_c > 300 K$ by proton irradiation in graphite can be reached with fluences of the order of $0.1 \text{nC/}\mu \text{m}^2$.

In conclusion our work shows that irradiation of micrometer spots in graphite at low temperatures as well as broad irradiation, both at very low fluences, increases significantly the magnitude of the magnetic order with Curie temperatures $T_c \gtrsim 300 K$. The use of especial sample holders made possible to reduce sample handling between irradiation chambers and SQUID measurements to a minimum, ruling out simple introduction of impurities or the influence of operative artifacts. This approach increased substantially the sensitivity and reproducibility of the magnetization measurements allowing us to obtain directly the effects produced by irradiation within an error of $\sim 10^{-7} \text{emu}$. The experimental localization of the ferromagnetic irradiated part of the sample indicates that the graphene structure is important and that at the used proton energies low fluences are preferential to trigger a robust ferromagnetic order. We showed that the magnetization of the magnetically ordered contribution decreases linearly at $T < T_c$, a behavior that can be assigned to the signature of low energy spin waves ex-