Dynamic mechanical characterization and modelling of polypropylene based organoclay nanocomposite
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Abstract. In order to investigate the dynamic behaviour of polypropylene based organoclay nanocomposite, the polypropylene matrix and a master batch of polypropylene modified anhydride maleic were mixed by means of melt mixing technique. The experimental characterization was performed by using split Hopkinson pressure bars (SHPB), at different strain rates and temperatures. A significant increase of the yield stress of nanocomposite was shown with the present of organoclay, comparing to neat PP. A three-phase approach based on the micromechanical formulation of the cooperative model is proposed to model the yield behaviour of the polymer nanocomposite. Our proposed approach accounts for strain rate and temperature effects as well as the organoclay exfoliation effect. The predictions of models for the nanocomposite yield behaviour showed a good agreement with experimental data.

1. Introduction
Polymer based nanocomposite is one of the hottest worldwide research field from the last decades. This is mainly because the introduction of a low amount of nanoparticles can effectively improve the properties of polymer. Among different nanoparticles, montmorillonite clays are frequently used. Although few works were realized on polypropylene (PP) based organoclay nanocomposite, most of them were focused on the processing, thermal and physical characterization. There is no research on the dynamic mechanical behaviour of PP based organoclay nanocomposites. In this paper, the dynamic mechanical behaviour of PP based organoclay nanocomposites was characterized by means of split Hopkinson pressure bars (SHPB). A three-phase model was used to predict the yield behaviour of the nanocomposites.

2. Material and sample preparation
Polypropylene (PP Moplen HP500N) matrix was supplied by Basell. The modified montmorillonite masterbatch composed by 50 wt% of organoclay, 25 wt% of polypropylene and 25 wt% of polypropylene-graft-maleic anhydride (pp-g-MA) was also supplied by Basell. The neat PP reinforced with 0.5, 1, 3, 6 wt% of organoclay were prepared using a mixer (BUSS Knider) at 50 rpm and a moulded at 200°C. The samples were injection-moulded at 200°C. In the following, the composites will be denoted by PP Nanocor X wt%, where X is the weight fraction of organoclay.

3. Experimental investigations
The high rate tests of PP based organoclay nanocomposites were carried up at various strain rates from 360 s⁻¹ to 2400 s⁻¹ as following : Strain rate 1 = 360 ± 6.7% s⁻¹, Strain rate 2 = 816 ± 4.5% s⁻¹, Strain rate 3 = 1450 ± 4.8% s⁻¹ and Strain rate 4 = 2400 ± 4.2% s⁻¹. It should be noted that all the tests were achieved at variation temperatures from 20°C to 80°C. For neat PP and PP organoclay nanocomposites, the yield stresses were measured from the maximum stress of stress-strain curves.

4. Modelling
Gueguen et al. [2] developed an extended formulation based on Richeton model [3] to describe the yield behaviour of semi crystalline polymers. In this formulation, the semi crystalline polymer is composed by amorphous phase and crystalline phase:

\[ \sigma_y = \sigma_0 \left( 1 - \frac{m \cdot T}{T} \right) \frac{2k}{V_M} \sinh\left( \frac{k}{k_0 \exp \left( -\frac{2h_z}{RT} \right)} \right)^{1/n} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The dispersion of the organoclay in PP matrix was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) in our previous studies [1]. The results show an exfoliated structure for PP Nanocor with 0.5 wt% organoclay and a partially exfoliated morphology for the organoclay content above 0.5 wt%.
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\[ \Delta H_M = \frac{\varphi \cdot \Delta H_a \cdot \Delta H_c}{\Omega \cdot \Delta H_a + (1 - \Omega) \cdot \Delta H_c} + (1 - \varphi) \cdot \Delta H_a \]  
(2)

\[ V_M = \frac{\varphi \cdot V_a \cdot V_c}{\Omega \cdot V_a + (1 - \Omega) \cdot V_c} + (1 - \varphi) \cdot V_a \]

where \( \sigma_y \) is the compressive yield stress, \( T \) refers to the absolute temperature, \( k \) is the Boltzmann’s constant, \( \dot{\varepsilon} \) is the test strain rate, \( \tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \) is a constant pre-exponential factor. \( \Delta H_M \) and \( V_M \) are the effective activation energy and effective activation volume, respectively. These two parameters were obtained from the activation parameters of amorphous phase \( \Delta H_a, V_a \), and of the crystalline phase, \( \Delta H_c \) and \( V_c \), following the Takayanagi micromechanical model (Eq. (2)) [4].

Considering that the microstructure of polypropylene based organoclay nanocomposites is composed by three phases: a homogenized semi-crystalline matrix, the nanoclay fillers and the interphase between the matrix and the fillers. The Pukanszky formulation, Eq. (3) is incorporated into Eq. (1) where the interfacial interaction can be quantitatively characterized [5] as described by Eq. (4).

\[ \frac{\sigma_{y,c}}{\sigma_{y,m}} = \frac{1 - \varphi_f}{1 + 2.5 \varphi_f} \exp(B \varphi_f) \]  
(3)

\[ \frac{\sigma_{y,c}}{T} = \frac{1 - \varphi_f}{1 + 2.5 \varphi_f} \exp(B \varphi_f) \]

\[ \times \left[ \frac{\sigma_f(0) - m \cdot T - 2k}{V_M} \sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta H_c}{RT}\right)} \right) \right]^{1/n} \]  
(4)

where \( \sigma_{y,c} \) and \( \sigma_{y,m} \) are the yield stresses of the nanocomposite and the PP matrix, respectively, \( \varphi_f \) is the fillers’ volume fraction and B is a parameter characterizing the interfacial interaction, including the interlayer thickness and interfacial strength. For poor interfacial bonding, the particles do not carry any load, so that B = 0. B increases for the low organoclay concentration, however B decreases for high organoclay clay concentration, showing that B can be related with the exfoliation degree. In fact the low organoclay filled polymer generally leads the exfoliation of the organoclay and a great interfacial surface adhesion between the clay and the polymer matrix.

### 5. Results and discussion

Firstly, PP matrix was considered as a two phase material, composed by an amorphous and a crystalline phase. The yield behaviour of neat PP is then described by Gueguen model [2]. For identification the parameters, the experimental data have to be superposed horizontally and vertically in an Eyring plot at a chosen reference temperature to build a master curve. Once the master curve is built, the parameters of Gueguen model for neat PP can easily be chose. The parameters are listed in Table 1. The detail have been reported elsewhere [6]. In Fig. 1, the predicted results of yield behaviour of neat PP are compared to our experimental results. The cooperative model predictions of the yield stress are in good agreement with the experimental data.

Table 1. Gueguen models parameters for neat PP.

| Parameters | Value |
|------------|-------|
| n           | 2.3   |
| \( \varphi \) | 0.81  |
| \( \tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \) (MPa) | 1.55E+16 |
| \( \sigma_f(0) \) (MPa) | 80 |
| m           | 0.165 |
| \( \rho_m \) (g/cm³) | 0.90 |
| Tref (K)    | 313   |
| Crystallinity degree (%) | 35 |
| \( \Delta H_a \) (kJ/mol) | 69.15 |
| \( V_a \) | 1.17E-28 |
| \( \Delta H_c \) (kJ/mol) | 119.55 |
| \( V_c \) | 2.34E-28 |
| \( \Delta H_M \) (kJ/mol) | 85.50 |

Figure 1. Yield stress/temperature versus strain rate of neat PP.

Knowing the parameters for the effective pure PP matrix estimated previously, the yield stress of nanocomposite can be calculated for a given organoclay content, according to Eq. (4), for different values of the parameter B.

According to Aît Hocine et al. [7], the parameter B could be determined by using the following approximation:

\[ B = \left( \frac{\sigma_{y,c}}{\sigma_{y,m}} \right) \frac{1 + 2.5 \varphi}{1 - \varphi} \]  
(5)

Where \( \sigma_{y,M} \) and \( \sigma_{y,M} \) are the experimentally measured matrix and composite yield stresses, respectively. Using our experimental results for pure PP and PP/organoclay nanocomposites, we can therefore estimate B. Because the parameter B just depends on the nature of matrix and filler, and process condition. The test temperature and strain rate have no significant effect on the parameter B. For this raison we chose the average values of parameter B at each organoclay concentration. In order to use parameter B to estimate the extent of exfoliation of PP organoclay nanocomposite, according to the DRX results in our previous studies [1], the highest average B value 71.4 is chosen for fully exfoliation (PP Nanocor 0.5%).
other lower average B values are chosen for partially exfoliation with the organoclay content above 0.5%. Then knowing the value of B, the yield stress of the organoclay nanocomposite can be predicted for a given organoclay concentration.

Figure 2 represents the master curves of PP organoclay nanocomposites built at a reference temperature of 313 K (Left), Strain rate dependence for the compressive yield stress of PP organoclay nanocomposites models, predictions and experimental data (Right).

6. Conclusion

Dynamic compressive yield behaviour of a melt mixing polypropylene based organoclay nanocomposites has been studied using split Hopkinson pressure bars (SHPB). Experimental results have shown that the yield stress increases with increasing strain rate, organoclay concentration and the extent of exfoliation. However, as expected, the yield stress decreases with increasing temperature. The modified three phase cooperative model was used to predict the yield stress of PP/organoclay nanocomposites. In this three phase model, a parameter B allows for the effect of the extent of exfoliation. It is shown that parameter B increases with the increase of extent of exfoliation and decreases with organoclay concentration. The three phase model gives a fairly good prediction of experimental data within the investigated range, which account for the degree of exfoliation. This is an important factor in the determination of the properties of polymer organoclay nanocomposites. Therefore, we believe that this modified three phase cooperative model is more appropriate for the modelling of the yield behaviour of polymer nanocomposites.
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