SHOX deficiency in children with growth impairment: evaluation of known and new auxological and radiological indicators
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Abstract

Background: The phenotypic features of SHOX deficiency (SHOX-D) are highly variable and can be very mild, especially in young children. The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate auxological and radiological indicators that could be predictive of SHOX-D in children.

Methods: Molecular analysis of the SHOX gene was performed in 296 subjects with growth impairment or skeletal disproportion, without alternative diagnosis. Auxological variables and radiographs of the hand, wrist and forearm were evaluated.

Results: SHOX mutations (88% inherited, 12% de novo) were identified in 52 subjects. The most predictive auxological indicators of SHOX-D were an increased sitting height/height ratio and a decreased arm span/height ratio. The convexity of distal radial metaphysis at X-ray, not yet reported in literature, was also found to be predictive of SHOX-D. In young children, stratification of data by bone age also highlighted ulnar tilt, lucency of the ulnar border of the distal radius and enlarged radius as the radiological signs most related to SHOX-D.

Conclusions: In this study, the analysis of auxological and radiological indicators in SHOX-D children allowed to identify an additional early radiological sign and underlines the importance of family auxological evaluation.

Keywords: SHOX, Growth impairment, Convexity of distal radial metaphysis

Background

The defect of the short-stature homeobox-containing (SHOX) gene, located on the pseudoautosomal region (PAR1) of the X and Y chromosomes, is the most frequent cause of monogenic short stature [1, 2]. SHOX is involved in pre- and postnatal skeletal development as it regulates the differentiation and apoptosis of chondrocytes in the epiphyseal growth plate [1, 3]. SHOX deficiency (SHOX-D) causes short stature with a highly variable phenotype, ranging from an extreme dwarfism, with mesomelia and limb deformity as seen in Langer syndrome (caused by two defective or absent SHOX alleles) to a disproportionate short stature with mesomelia known as Léri–Weill dyschondrosteosis (caused by defective or loss of a single SHOX allele), to apparently idiopathic short stature (ISS), with no other obvious clinical signs [4]. The frequently mild phenotypic expression renders difficult to define when to proceed with SHOX molecular analysis in children with growth impairment. The selection should be driven by specific anthropometric measurements, family history, presence of dysmorphisms or peculiar radiological signs in the hand/wrist and forearm X-ray. Rappold et al. suggested a scoring system based on eight clinical criteria.
[4] and Binder proposed a diagnostic algorithm based on clinical and radiological criteria [5]. The most reported X-ray abnormalities are triangularization of the distal radial epiphysis, pyramidalization of the distal carpal row, lucency of the ulnar border of the distal radius and bowing of the radius [5–8]. However, the diagnosis of SHOX-D is particularly challenging in preschool age, when skeletal mesomelic disproportions and Madelung deformity may still be absent [5]. Literature data indicate that recombinant human Growth Hormone (rhGH) treatment improves the growth pattern [9] in SHOX-D, particularly when it is started in early childhood [10]; therefore, it becomes essential to reach an early definitive diagnosis to begin an early rhGH treatment. The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate the association between different auxological and radiological indicators and the presence of SHOX haploinsufficiency.

Patients and methods

Patients

Two hundred ninety-six children (180 males and 116 females, aged 3–18 years) were enrolled at the Auxological Center of Regina Margherita Children’s Hospital in Turin, from January 2011 to January 2018. Patients with at least one of the following parameters were included: short stature (height < 3rd age- and sex-related percentile), sitting height/height ratio (SH/H) > 2 SDS, predicted adult height more than 2 SDS below the mid-parental height, height velocity < 25th age- and sex-related percentile for more than one year. Exclusion criteria were chronic disease, other already defined genetic or hormonal disease, treatment with drugs that affect growth, malnutrition and psychosocial disorders.

Clinical assessment

Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with calibrated stadiometer according to Cameron’s method [11]. Body weight was evaluated to the nearest 0.1 kg using a calibrated balance scale. SH, SH/H (expressed as SDS), body mass index (BMI), arm span, arm span/height ratio and pubertal stages were assessed. Parents’ height were measured with calibrated stadiometer and mid-parental height was calculated with Tanner’s formula [12]. Anthropometric measures were analyzed according to the following references: Tanner et al. for stature, weight and height velocity [13, 14], Fredriks et al. for SH/H [15]; Cacciari et al. for BMI [16]; INeS charts for neonatal weight and length [17].

Radiological evaluation

An expert radiologist and two trained clinicians examined 230 X-rays (115 hand, wrist and forearm, 109 hand and wrist, 6 forearm X-rays), focusing on the following radiological indicators of SHOX-D [5–8, 18, 19] (Fig. 1): triangularization index of the distal radial epiphysis (maximum lateral length/central length ratio) in females with bone age > 10.5 and males with bone age > 11.5 years, carpal angle (to evaluate the pyramidalization of the carpal row), lucency of the ulnar border of the distal radius, ulnar tilt (reported as percentage of cases with value > 33° [19]), distal radio-ulnar physeal disparity, short 4th and 5th metacarpal, bowing of the radius, presence of enlarged diaphysis of the radius and association of both signs were also evaluate, as well as the shape of the distal radial metaphysis (reported as percentage of cases with convex shape). Bone age was assessed according to the TW2-RUS quantitative method [20].

Molecular analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood lymphocytes. MLPA (Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification) analysis for deletions and duplications was carried out with the commercial kit SALSA PO18-F1 SHOX (MCR Holland, Amsterdam, Netherlands) in accordance to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Specific probes were used for each exon within the SHOX gene and for some regulatory gene sequences in PAR1. Point mutations, microinversion, microdeletion in exons 2, 3,4,5,6,6a and in the flanking regions of SHOX gene were searched by direct sequencing, using DNA Analyzer Applied Biosystems. We included in the study only pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants, according to the ACMG guidelines [21].
Statistical analysis
T-test was used to evaluate the differences between groups. For the analysis of categorical and dichotomous variables the X² test was applied. We reported qualitative variables as percentage and quantitative variables as mean ± SD. For all tests, p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The software used for statistical elaboration was STATA, version 8.

Results
Heterozygous SHOX mutations were identified in 52 of the 296 screened participants (17.6%). The prevalence of SHOX-D was 19.7% in the group of subjects with ISS (6.7% in children with ISS and normal SH/H, 28.3% in those with ISS and SH/H > 2 SDS) and 60% in patients with Léri–Weill (LW) phenotype; 88% of variants were inherited from one parent, 22% were de novo.

The main clinical features of the patient groups with and without SHOX-D (SHOX-D+ and SHOX-D-) are summarized in Table 1. SHOX-D+ had higher SH/H, lower arm span/height ratio and higher bone age.

The radiological findings are reported in Table 2 and Fig. 1. SHOX-D+ and SHOX-D- subjects differed significantly for triangularization index (TI), lucency of the ulnar border of the distal radius, ulnar tilt, short 4th metacarpal, convexity of distal radial metaphysis, enlarged diaphysis of the radius and the combined criterion of enlarged diaphysis plus bowing of radius. Gender-stratified analyses showed that all those signs maintained significant differences only in females. Stratifying the data for bone age (Table 3), in the younger group lucency, ulnar tilt, enlarged diaphysis of the radius, convexity of distal radial metaphysis and the combined criterion of enlarged diaphysis plus bowing of radius were significantly different between SHOX-D+ and SHOX-D- subjects, while in the older group the difference was significant for TI, ulnar tilt and convexity of distal radial metaphysis.

Table 1 Clinical and auxological features of the whole population

| Variables                        | SHOX D+ | SHOX D- | p value |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Subjects, n. of patients         | 52      | 244     |         |
| Males/Females, %                 | 50/50   | 63/37   | .055    |
| Age at diagnosis, years          | 10.9 (3.7) | 10.4 (2.6) | .305 |
| Height, SDS                      | −1.58 (0.9) | −1.58 (0.6) | .455 |
| Sitting height/height, SDS       | +2.51 (1.2) | +1.91 (0.9) | < .001 |
| Arm span/height                  | 0.979 (0.04) | 0.989 (0.02) | .027 |
| BMI, SDS                         | 0.1 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.4) | .586 |
| Bone age, years                  | 10.4 (3.2) | 9.2 (2.6) | .007 |
| Neonatal length, SDS             | −0.8 (1.1) | −0.8 (1.0) | .996 |
| Neonatal weight, SDS             | −0.5 (1.0) | −0.6 (1.0) | .458 |

Mean (SD) values are reported

In parents with SHOX-D, final height was −1.9 SDS (range −3.83/+0.25 SDS) in females and −1.2 SDS (−3.66/ +0.58 SDS) in males and skeletal disproportion was present in 91 and 75% in females and males, respectively.

Discussion
Since the effects of SHOX-D are highly variable and can even be mild, particularly in early childhood, we decided to include subjects with different types of growth impairment in this study. Among the LW phenotype patients in our series, the 60% prevalence of SHOX-D is consistent with literature data (50–90%) [5], although the distinction between LWD and non-LWD is somewhat arbitrary considering the continuum of the clinical phenotype in SHOX-D [4]. In the group of subjects with apparently ISS, the prevalence of SHOX-D was higher in subjects with skeletal disproportion than in those without disproportion (28.3% vs 6.7%), confirming previous studies reporting SHOX mutations in 1–22% of the ISS [1], with the highest prevalence in disproportionate ISS [22]. Finally, it is noteworthy that, within the series analyzed for mutations in the SHOX gene, 57.7% of the subjects found to be mutated had a stature greater than the third percentile at the diagnosis.

In our study, SHOX-D subjects had the expected skeletal disproportion, with higher SH/H and lower arm span/height ratio. Furthermore, the 88% of SHOX mutations were inherited from parents, who had disproportions in a higher percentage of cases. Thus, the auxological evaluation of parents is of utmost importance since it allows to detect familial disproportionate short stature and additional signs of SHOX-D that may be absent in children.

The radiological analysis of the hand, wrist and forearm by experts allowed to highlight the convexity of the distal radial metaphysis as a new sign related to SHOX-D, with a prevalence of 62%. In the SHOX-D+ group, the end of the metaphysis was more frequently convex, with an increased curvature of the growth plate, more pronounced in the medial half. It may therefore be useful to look for this further simple sign prior to growth plate fusion as it may be related to the other growth plate abnormalities in SHOX-D [3, 23, 24].

In the mutated series, the typical alteration in lucency of the distal ulnar border of the radius was confirmed as an early sign of SHOX-D [25], which loses significance with advancing bone age. Other early indicators of SHOX-D were ulnar tilt and enlarged radius diaphysis, frequently associated with radial bowing, while in the group of subjects with higher bone age triangularization of the distal radial epiphysis and ulnar tilt have been evidenced. The presence of an enlarged radius shaft was previously detected with quantitative peripheral CT in patients with SHOX-D by Soucek et al. [26], but is generally not
considered in the evaluation of forearm radiographs. Regarding the carpal angle, an indicator of the pyramidization of the distal carpal row, the measurement was possible in females and males with bone age > 7 and > 8.6 years, respectively, without significant differences between SHOX-D + and SHOX-D -, with lower values in females. Pyramidization is usually evident in LWD subjects [6], where much lower values of the angle were reported [18], but should be absent in the milder forms of SHOX-D.

Gender stratification revealed that radiological markers were significant only in females, who are usually more severely affected, with further worsening of stature and skeletal defects with puberty [27, 28]. In both sexes, the haploinsufficiency of the gene can be masked by a high parental height [28] and the main features of mesomelic disproportion of the limbs and Madelung deformity could only manifest themselves during the second decade of life [5] with an additional loss of height due to the reduction of the pubertal spurt [28, 29]. The high prevalence of SHOX-D in our large series of subjects with impaired growth highlights the importance of suspecting SHOX-D even in forms with mild phenotype, based on both clinical and radiological criteria. An early diagnosis of SHOX-D allows to start rhGH treatment early with an improvement on final height. The known limits of the Rappold scoring system [4], i.e. a low positive predictive value for the cutoff of 4 and a low sensitivity for that of 7, were also confirmed in our series, in which a score > 4 was found in 61.5% and > 7 in 30.8% of SHOX-D subjects. As for radiological signs, the hand/wrist X-ray is classically required in routine clinical practice for bone age assessment, as it is part of the diagnostic pathway of growth impairment; it should be read not only by radiologists but also by clinicians to assess the presence of the specific signs of SHOX-D. The addition of forearm X-ray assessment doubter provides additional support in case of suspected SHOX-D.

Table 2 Radiological signs of the whole population

| Table 2 Radiological signs of the whole population | SHOX D+ | SHOX D- | p value |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Triangularization index*                         | 9.5 (4.2) | 6.8 (2.3) | .002 |
| Carpal angle, degrees                            | 128.2 (9.9) | 130.3 (8.4) | .17 |
| Lucency of the ulnar border of the distal radius, % | 62.2 | 34.8 | .001 |
| Ulnar tilt, %                                    | 20 | 0.5 | .000 |
| Radio-ulnar physeal disparity, mm                | 2.7 (1.6) | 2.6 (1.5) | .7 |
| Short 4th metacarpal, %                          | 25.0 | 12.1 | .03 |
| Short 5th metacarpal, %                          | 2.3 | 2.7 | .7 |
| Bowing of the radius, %                          | 90 | 81.2 | .3 |
| Enlarged diaphysis of radius, %                  | 80 | 45.8 | .005 |
| Enlarged diaphysis of radius plus bowing of radius, % | 75 | 41.7 | .007 |
| Convexity of distal radial metaphysis, %         | 62.2 | 28.6 | .000 |
*This sign has been evaluated in females subjects with bone age > 10.5 years and males > 11.5 years. Mean (SD) values are reported

Table 3 Radiological signs stratified by bone age

| Table 3 Radiological signs stratified by bone age | F < 10.5 years, M < 11.5 years | p value | F > 10.5 years, M > 11.5 years | p value |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|
| SHOX D+                                          | SHOX D-                        |         | SHOX D+                        | SHOX D- |
| Triangularization index                           | –                              | –       | 9.5 (4.2)                     | 6.8 (2.3) | .002 |
| Carpal angle, degrees                             | 129.0 (10.0)                  | 130.3 (8.4) | .6 | 127.1 (10.4) | 131.0 (8.4) | .1 |
| Lucency of the ulnar border of the distal radius, % | 52.2 | 28.7 | .03 | 73.7 | 50 | .08 |
| Ulnar tilt, %                                     | 17.4 | 0.7 | .000 | 21.1 | 0 | .002 |
| Radio-ulnar physeal disparity, mm                 | 2.4 (1.4)                     | 2.5 (1.5) | .8 | 3.3 (1.6) | 2.9 (1.7) | .4 |
| Short 4th metacarpal, %                           | 13.0 | 10.9 | .8 | 36.9 | 16.7 | .08 |
| Short 5th metacarpal, %                           | 0 | 1.5 | .6 | 5.3 | 7.1 | .8 |
| Bowing of the radius, %                           | 91.7 | 80-5 | .35 | 85.7 | 82.3 | .8 |
| Enlarged diaphysis of radius, %                   | 83.3 | 48.1 | .02 | 71.4 | 35.3 | .1 |
| Enlarged diaphysis of radius plus bowing of radius, % | 75.0 | 42.9 | .04 | 71.4 | 35.3 | .1 |
| Convexity of distal radial metaphysis, %          | 56.2 | 23.4 | .001 | 73.7 | 45.2 | .04 |

F females, M males. Mean (SD) values are reported
This study reinforces the notion that accurate auxological assessment not only of patients, but also of their parents, along with radiological analysis, both focused on detecting distinctive signs of SHOX-D, represent powerful screening tools for SHOX-D.

**Conclusions**

Since SHOX-D can manifest with mild signs, the availability of a broader range of clinical and radiological criteria could help clinicians to select subjects for SHOX mutation screening. The auxological evaluation of children with growth impairment is often performed incompletely, while investigation of skeletal disproportions and X-ray signs is crucial, as is the auxological evaluation of parents. In this study, a new radiological sign, i.e. the convexity of the distal radial metaphysis, was proposed as an additional early radiological indicator of SHOX-D; our analysis also highlighted ulnar border lucency of the distal radius, ulnar tilt, and enlarged radius diaphysis as the most predictive signs of SHOX-D in younger children, particularly in females. Finally, a flow chart based on clinical- radiological criteria (Fig. 2) is proposed to facilitate clinicians in identifying patients to be subjected to genetic analysis for SHOX-D suspicion.
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