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Other Supplementary Materials for this manuscript include the following:

Datasets S1 to S5
Identification of prokaryotic AA_motif-containing proteins.

Protein sequences of representative bacteria and archaea were downloaded from the Genome Taxonomy database (GTDB, Release 95.0) (1). To identify dCache_1 domain containing proteins the retrieved dataset was scanned with the dCache_1 profile Hidden Markov Model (HMM) obtained from the Pfam database (Release 34.0) (2) with the E-value threshold of 0.01 both for sequences and domains. Next, protein sequence regions corresponding to the dCache_1 domain were extracted from the identified sequences and scanned for the presence of the AA_motif in two steps described below. To avoid the identification of false positive amino acid binding proteins we varied positions in the motif not within amino acid physicochemical groups but within those amino acids that were reported to permit amino acids binding (Table S1). The following AA_motif definition was used: [YFWL]…. [RK].W[WFY][n1][YF][n2]D. In this expression, any amino acid inside the given square brackets can be present in the corresponding position, n1 – a varying distance of approximately 13-17 amino acid residues in prokaryotic dCache_1 domains, n2 – a varying distance of approximately 27-34 amino acid residues in prokaryotic dCache_1 domains. In eukaryotic dCache_1 domains that have the von Willebrand factor type A domain (VWA) insertion, n1 is a varying distance of approximately 215-245 amino acid residues.

First, we scanned the dCache_1 domain protein sequences encoded in the genomes of the representative set of bacteria and archaea with the first part of the motif, [YFWL]…. [RK].W[WFY], and extracted corresponding sequences. Using these sequences, we have built two MSAs: one – with the bacterial sequences and one – with the archaeal. Exploring the alignments, we identified positions corresponding to the amino acid binding motif. In the bacterial alignment coordinates corresponding to the motif are the following: Y[1160]…. R[1278].W[1290]Y[1291](n1)Y[1431](n2)D[1647]. In the archaeal alignment the coordinates are the following: Y[163]…. R[168].W[170]Y[171](n1)Y[189](n2)D[219]. Next, using the MSAs and the motif definitions and coordinates we calculated how many sequences have the second part of the motif ((n1)[YF](n2)D): 10700 bacterial and 108 archaeal sequences. Using these
sequences that have the motif in the full form we calculated the motif variant frequencies and abundances. Full protein sequence alignments can be found in https://github.com/ToshkaDev/Motif repository, “GTDB_Representative_Set” folder. Following this step, we retrieved full taxonomy information from the GTDB metadata tables (https://data.ace.uq.edu.au/public/gtdb/data/releases/release95/95.0/) for the genome assemblies in which we found the motif. Additionally, the NCBI RefSeq (Release 202) and Uniprot (Release 2021_02) databases were scanned for the AA_motif containing proteins. Full alignments of dCache_1 domains with the AA_motif from these datasets are in https://github.com/ToshkaDev/Motif repository. We identified 32395 dCache_1 domain containing protein sequences with the AA_motif in RefSeq database and 11330 sequences in Uniprot.

The AA_motif positions in the RefSeq MSA:
Y[2091]....R[2302].W[2385]Y[2414](n1)Y[2727] (n2)D[3326]

The AA_motif positions in the Uniprot MSA:
Y[308]....R[313].W[315]Y[316] (n1)Y[345] (n2)D[420]

We found dCache_1 domains with the AA_motif in 51 bacterial and 4 archaea phyla (Dataset S1, sheets 1 and 2) and counted the AA_motif variants (Table S4; Dataset S1, sheets 3 and 4). The AA_motif permits a certain variability within amino acid groups in each position (Table S1). Tracking the motif variability across genomes we established that the top three variants (Y....R.WY[n1]Y[n2]D, F....R.WY[n1]Y[n2]D, Y....R.WF[n1]Y[n2]D) are present almost universally in motif-containing genomes, while the most abundant consensus motif variant, Y....R.WY[n2]Y[n2]D, is present in 86% of the bacterial and 100% of the archaeal phyla (Dataset S1, sheets 7 and 8). Other variants coexist along with these top variants, mostly in paralogous proteins (Dataset S1, sheets 5 and 6).

Identification of eukaryotic AA_motif-containing proteins.

To identify dCache_1 domain containing proteins with the AA_motif in eukaryotes, human α2δ-1 and CACHD1 protein sequences (protein IDs are NP_001353796.1 and NP_065976.3, respectively) were used as queries for BLASTP and PSI-BLAST searches against NCBI RefSeq, NCBI
Nonredundant, Uniprot (3), and 1KP (4) databases. We verified the presence of the dCache_1 domain by scanning sequences with Pfam profile HMMs and Conserved Domain database position-specific score matrices in TREND (5) using HMMER. In cases when the domain was not recognized, we scanned the sequences using a more sensitive HMM profile-profile search implemented in HHpred (6). In addition, eukaryotic dCache_1 containing proteins were searched in EukProt database (7). The database was downloaded and scanned running HMMER (8) with the ad hoc prepared dCache_1AA profile HMM built using eukaryotic proteins. The presence of the motif was verified by constructing multiple sequence alignments with already identified motif-containing eukaryotic and bacterial sequences. Eukaryotic protein multiple sequence alignment can be found in https://github.com/ToshkaDev/Motif repository. Taxonomy information was retrieved from the NCBI Taxonomy database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/taxonomy).

**N-terminal dCache_1 domain of α2δ subunits is under stronger selective pressure than the C-terminal dCache_1 domain**

We performed BLASTP and PSI-BLAST searches against prokaryotic proteins in GenBank using several eukaryotic dCache_1 containing proteins with the preserved amino acid binding motif. We initiated separate searches with each of two dCache_1 domains of the proteins. In most cases the top hits were Firmicutes and Proteobacteria (Dataset S4). In almost all searches the coverages of identified proteins were limited to the ligand binding pocket of dCache_1 domains (see Dataset S4). Searches initiated with the 1st dCache_1 domain of α2δ proteins resulted in significant hits. Searches with the human protein easily identified bacterial proteins as significant hits with good E-values. In contrast, the 2nd dCache_1 domain of α2δ proteins from the majority of organisms could not identify significant hits when using BLASTP. Only using PSI-BLAST were we able to identify several significant hits.

Unlike α2δ subunit, both dCache_1 domains of CACHD1 proteins easily found prokaryotic proteins in BLASTP searches. Similarly, searches initiated with both dCache_1 domains of proteins from “double-AA_motif” group (Fig. S16) readily identified bacterial proteins with significant E-values (see Dataset S4).
Construction of the Tree of Life.

For the schematic representation of the Tree of Life (Fig. 6) bacterial and archaeal phylogeny was retrieved from the GTDB taxonomy. Phyla with at least 10 genomes were depicted. Eukaryotic phylogeny was adapted from (9) and (10). The overall tree topology is based on (11).

Multiple sequence alignment and domain identification

MSAs were constructed using L-INS-i algorithm of MAFFT (12). Jalview (13) was used to explore and edit the alignments. Domains were identified running TREND (5) with the Pfam profile HMMs. The generated data were downloaded in JSON format from the website and processed programmatically to determine domain architecture variants and abundances. Additional sensitive profile-profile searches were carried out using HHpred (6). Taxonomic trees were retrieved from Annotree (14) and the NCBI Taxonomy database and were edited in iTOL (11).

Phylogeny inference

The eukaryotic protein sequence alignment was edited using an alignment trimming tool, trimAl (15): positions in the alignment with gaps in 10% or more of the sequences were removed unless this leaves less than 60%. In such case, the 60% best (with fewer gaps) positions were preserved.

The amino acid replacement models for the set of eukaryotic protein sequences was determined running ProtTest (16) and based on Akaike (17) and Bayesian (18) information criteria. The best model was found to be WAG with empirical state frequencies and gamma distribution of rate variation across sites. WAG is an improved model compared to JTT estimated using a combination of counting and maximum likelihood approaches applied to a large database of globular proteins (19).

Using the determined amino acid replacement model phylogenetic trees were inferred using maximum likelihood estimation implemented in RaXML (20) with 500 bootstrap replicates and Bayesian inference implemented in MrBayes (21). Metropolis-coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation implemented in MrBayes was run with 3 heated and 1 cold chain and discarding first 25% samples from
the cold chain at the “burn-in” phase. 4300000 generations were run till the convergence condition was satisfied with chain sampling every 500 generations.

**Computational Docking.**

AutoDock Vina (22) was used for computational docking experiments. Rabbit α2δ-1 subunit structure was obtained from the rabbit voltage gated calcium channel structure (PDB ID: 6JPA, (23)). The human CACHD1 protein (UniProt Id: Q5VU97, RefSeq Id: NP_0659976.3) and *D. melanogaster* α2δ (UniProt Id: A0A0B4K866, RefSeq Id: NP_001246303.1) and CACHD1 (UniProt Id: Q5BI42, RefSeq Id: NP_611469.1) protein structures were obtained from AlphaFold Protein Structure Database ([https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/](https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/)) (24). The protein structures were prepared using MGLTools (25). For the experiments we downloaded ligands from the Zink database (26) in mol2 format and prepared them for the analysis using the Open Babel toolbox (27) and custom shell script. The docking was performed with the search exhaustiveness 8.

**Settings used to run the docking simulations with the rabbit α2δ-1 protein:**

a) coordinates of the center of the simulation box (Angstroms):

X: 198.681; Y: 165.755; Z: 221.688

b) the box dimensions (Angstroms):

X: 22; Y: 22; Z: 24

**Settings used to run the docking simulations with the human CACHD1 protein:**

a) coordinates of the center of the simulation box (Angstroms):

X: -26.151; Y: -7.099; Z: 26.905

b) the box dimensions (Angstroms):

X: 26; Y: 26; Z: 24

**Settings used to run the docking simulations with the *D. melanogaster* α2δ-1 protein:**

a) coordinates of the center of the simulation box (Angstroms):
b) the box dimensions (Angstroms):
X: 22; Y: 22; Z: 24

Settings used to run the docking simulations with the *D. melanogaster CACHD1* protein:

a) coordinates of the center of the simulation box (Angstroms):
X: -17.011; Y: -5.243; Z: 9.296

b) the box dimensions (Angstroms):
X: 24; Y: 24; Z: 22

**Protein Structure Manipulations.**

The human CACHD1 protein and *D. melanogaster* α2δ and CACHD1 proteins were modeled based on the solved rabbit α2δ-1 structure by AlphaFold (24). In addition, multiple dCache_1AA domains from major bacterial and archaeal phyla were modeled using Phyre2 (28). Protein structures were explored in PyMoL (29) and Python Molecular Viewer from the MGLTools package (25).

**Protein expression, purification, thermal shift assays, and isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC).**

All proteins were overexpressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) according to (55). PctA-LBD was purified according to (30). The remaining proteins were purified using the same procedure, except that the following buffers were used: buffer A (20 mM Tris, 0.5 M NaCl, 5 % glycerol (vol/vol), 10 mM imidazole, pH 8.0) and buffer B (20 mM Tris, 0.5 M NaCl, 5 % glycerol (vol/vol), 500 mM imidazole, pH 8.0). Freshly purified protein was dialyzed into buffers provided in the Table S5 for immediate thermal shift and ITC analyses.

The detailed experimental protocol of the thermal shift assays has been reported in (31). For these experiments the PM3B compound array from Biolog (https://www.biolog.com/) was used. The composition of this array can be found at https://www.biolog.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/00A-042-
Briefly, assays were carried out using a MyIQ2 Real-Time PCR instrument (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Ligand solutions were prepared by dissolving the array compounds in 50 µL of MilliQ water, which, according to the information provided by the manufacturer, corresponds to a concentration of 10–20 mM. Experiments were conducted in 96-well plates and each assay mixture contained 20.5 µL of the dialyzed protein (at 10-30 µM), 2 µL of 5X SYPRO orange (Life Technologies, Eugene, Oregon, USA) and 2.5 µL of the resuspended array compounds or the equivalent amount of buffer in the ligand-free control. Samples were heated from 23 ºC to 85ºC at a scan rate of 1 ºC/min. The protein unfolding curves were monitored by detecting changes in SYPRO Orange fluorescence. The Tm values correspond to the minima of the first derivatives of the raw fluorescence data.

**Site-directed mutagenesis.**

An overlapping PCR mutagenesis approach was employed to construct the substitution mutants of PctA-LBD using primers listed in Table S6. The resulting PCR fragments were cloned into the Ndel/BamHI sites of the expression vector pET28b(+).. Escherichia coli DH5α was used as host for gene cloning. The resulting plasmids (Table S6) were verified by DNA sequencing. Mutant proteins were purified following the protocol for the wild-type protein.

We have repeated the isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments with the mutants of PctA chemoreceptor from *P. aeruginosa* PA01 using a higher ligand concentration and larger injection volumes (Fig. S1). In the binding study with 3.3 mM L-Ala (12.8 µl injection volume) the D173A mutant has lost its affinity to the ligand (even at higher ligand concentration); the $K_D$ value derived for the R126A mutant was of 202 ± 8 µM, corresponding to a 61-fold reduction as compared to the native protein (Fig. S1A). In the study with 3 mM L-Ser (12.8 µl injection volume) similar to L-Ala, D173A was devoid of L-Ser binding and a residual affinity has been determined for the R126A mutant with a $K_D$ value of 258 ± 24 µM (Fig. S1B).

In the experimental set-up, the final ligand concentration in the protein containing sample cell is 175 µM. However, if binding occurred at lower affinity (i.e. $K_D$ values in the mM range) one would not see
binding since it does not occur at this low ligand concentration. To drive complex formation and thus to monitor lower affinity binding, the concentration of both ligands must be increased. Therefore, in the next step we conducted the ITC experiments using 30 times more ligand concentration. The upper trace Fig. S1C corresponds to a titration of 140 µM PctA D173N mutant with 12.8 µl aliquots of 15 mM L-Ala. Data indicate binding and a $K_D$ constant of approximately 2.1 mM was estimated (important: in Fig. S1C for $K_D$ of 1 mM it is better to use the term “estimated” instead of “determined”). We have already shown that the D173A lacks binding activity. To confirm this the PctA D173A mutant was titrated using the same procedure as above (titration of 125 µM protein with 12.8 µl aliquots of 15 mM L-Ala). As shown in the lower trace in Fig. S1C no binding heats were observed with high ligand concentration confirming the absence of binding. Thus, replacement of D173 by A abolishes binding whereas substitution for N reduces the affinity by a factor of approximately 600. Experimental conditions of ITC studies with eight new proteins described in the manuscript are presented in Table S2.

**Imaging cell surface expression of α2δ-1**

The calcium channel α2δ-1 subunit (rat, GenBank: M86621) (32), containing an HA tag (33) was used in the pcDNA3 vector and expressed in tsA-201 cells, as described (34). The D491A mutation was introduced using standard techniques, and verified by DNA sequencing. Cells were transfected with either α2δ-1 wild-type (WT), α2δ-1$^{D491A}$ or α2δ-1$^{R241A}$ (35) using PolyJet (Tebu-bio Ltd) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Media was replaced 16 h after transfection, with serum-free media in the absence (control) or presence of gabapentin (0.1 mM or 1 mM as indicated) and cells were incubated for a further 24 h. Cells were fixed and incubated in blocking buffer as described previously (35), before being incubated with anti-HA (rat, monoclonal, Sigma-Aldrich) antibody, washed and then incubated with the secondary antibody, anti-rat Alexa fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher). After extensive washing, cells were permeabilized with 0.1 % Triton in PBS for 5 min, then incubated again with anti-HA, followed by anti-rat Alexa fluor 594. All antibody incubations were at 20 °C for 1 h. The nuclei were stained with 0.5 µM 4′,6′-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 10 min, before coverslips were mounted using VECTASHIELD® mounting medium (Vector Laboratories). Imaging was performed on a Zeiss LSM
780 confocal microscope as described previously (35). The tile function was used and expression was measured in all positively transfected cells to remove bias. Quantification of fluorescence intensity was carried out using ImageJ and data were analysed using GraphPad Prism 7.
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Fig. S1. Isothermal titration calorimetry binding studies of *P. aeruginosa* PctA dCache_1AA mutants. (A) 3.3 mM L-Ala (12.8 µl injection volume). (B) 3 mM L-Ser (12.8 µl injection volume). The protein concentration was 50 µM. R126A and D173A – mutations of two key residues of AA_motif to Ala. (C) 15 mM L-Ala (12.8 µl); the protein concentrations were 140 µM and 125 µM for D173N and D173A mutants, respectively. Upper panels: raw titration data. Lower panels: integrated, dilution heat-corrected and concentration-normalized peak areas of the titration data fitted with the ‘One binding site’ model of ORIGIN (ORIGINLAB CORPORATION, Northampton, MA, USA)
Fig. S2. Structures of dCache_1AA domains of proteins from several major bacterial and archaeal phyla modeled using Phyre2. The modeled structures (shown in gold) are superimposed with the solved structure of dCache_1AA domain of PctA chemoreceptor from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 (shown in gray; PDB Id 5T65). On each page the first panel shows ligand binding pockets with the AA_motif residues, the second panel – entire dCache_1AA domains. Protein identifiers and AA_motif variants are also shown.
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Fig. S3. Thermal shift assays of the recombinant dCache_1AA domain of the NP_233280.1 metal-dependent phosphodiesterase of \textit{Vibrio cholerae} in the presence of bacterial nitrogen sources from the Biolog screen plate PM3. Shown are changes in $T_M$ respective to the protein without ligand (49.2 °C). Compounds that caused $T_M$ shifts of at least 2 °C are annotated.
Fig. S4. Thermal shift assays of the recombinant dCache_1AA domain of the WP_016674185.1 chemoreceptor of *Yersinia pestis* in the presence of bacterial nitrogen sources from the Biolog screen plate PM3. Shown are changes in $T_M$ respective to the protein without ligand (38.1 °C). Compounds that caused $T_M$ shifts of at least 2 °C are annotated.
Fig. S5. Thermal shift assays of the recombinant dCache_1AA domain of the WP_154766400.1 guanylate/adenylate cyclase of *Legionella pneumophila* in the presence of bacterial nitrogen sources from the Biolog screen plate PM3. Shown are changes in TM respective to the protein without ligand (64.9 °C). Compounds that caused TM shifts of at least 2 °C are annotated.
Fig. S6. Thermal shift assays of the recombinant dCache_1AA domain of the WP_002687321.1 chemoreceptor of Treponema denticola in the presence of bacterial nitrogen sources from the Biolog screen plate PM3. Shown are changes in TM respective to the protein without ligand (40.3 °C). Compounds that caused TM shifts of at least 2 °C are annotated.
Fig. S7. Thermal shift assays of the recombinant dCache_1AA domain of the WP_162138226.1 diguanylate cyclase protein of *Thermodesulfobacterium thermophilum* in the presence of bacterial nitrogen sources from the Biolog screen plate PM3. Shown are changes in $T_M$ respective to the protein without ligand (61.4 °C). Compounds that caused $T_M$ shifts of at least 2 °C are annotated.
Fig. S8. Thermal shift assays of the recombinant dCache_1AA domain of the WP_106093935.1 serine/threonine kinase of *Enhygromyxa salina* in the presence of bacterial nitrogen sources from the Biolog screen plate PM3. Shown are changes in TM respective to the protein without ligand (50.5 °C). Compounds that caused TM shifts of at least 2 °C are annotated.
Fig. S9. Thermal shift assays of the recombinant dCache_1AA domain of the WP_152054232.1 serine/threonine phosphatase of *Tautonia marina* in the presence of bacterial nitrogen sources from the Biolog screen plate PM3. Shown are changes in TM respective to the protein without ligand (46.3 °C). Compounds that caused TM shifts of at least 2 °C are annotated.
Fig. S10. Thermal shift assays of the recombinant dCache_1AA domain of the WP_011449640.1 hybrid histidine kinase of Methanospirillum hungatei in the presence of bacterial nitrogen sources from the Biolog screen plate PM3. Shown are changes in TM respective to the protein without ligand (44.9 °C). Compounds that caused TM shifts of at least 0.5 °C are annotated.
Fig. S11. Structure-based protein sequence alignment of PctA dCache_1 domain with two regions of the rabbit α2δ-1 and human α2δ and CACHD1 subunits. Topology is based on the protein structures of PctA (PDB ID 5T65) and α2δ-1 from rabbit (PDB ID 6JPA). 1st dCache_1 domain is shaded in purple, 2nd dCache_1 – in blue, and VWA domain – in green. The AA_motif amino acid positions are in bold and colored in accordance with Figure 1. P.aer – P. aeruginosa PAO1, PctA, XP_011514873.1; O.cun – Oryctolagus cuniculus, α2δ-1, NP_001075745.1; H.sap – Homo sapiens: α2δ-1 –
NP_001353796.1, α2δ-2 – NP_006021.2, α2δ-3 – NP_060868.2, α2δ-4 – NP_758952.4, CACHD1 – NP_065976.3. The MSA showed that the bacterial dCache_1 domain can be aligned with two regions of human α2δ and CACHD1 proteins: N-terminally and C-terminally located, respectively. The secondary protein structure elements mapped on the alignment showed that the aligned regions of the bacterial and eukaryotic sequences are in good agreement with each other. These data suggested that α2δ and CACHD1 proteins contain two dCache_1 domains.
Fig. S12. Superimposition of the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 PctA dCache_1 (PDB ID 5T65; gold) and two dCache_1 domains of the rabbit α2δ-1 subunit: (A) with the 1st (N-terminally located) dCache_1 domain (purple) and (B) with the 2nd (C-terminally located) dCache_1 domain (blue). On the right corresponding superimpositions are enlarged.
Fig. S13. Ligand binding pocket (LBP) of the α2δ-1 1st dCache_1 domain. (A) Structural overlay of the AA_motifs from α2δ-1 1st dCache_1 (purple) with P. aeruginosa PctA dCache_1 (grey, PDB ID: 5T65). (B-D) LBP of the α2δ-1 1st dCache_1 domain docked with L-Leu (B, light green), pregabalin (C, beige), and mirogabalin (D, violet). The first Y, R and W of the AA_motif are shown in red, and third Y and D are shown in blue.
Fig. S14. Structural overlay of human CACHD1 protein modeled by AlphaFold (pale cyan) with rabbit α2δ-1 protein (colored as in Fig. 3).
Fig. S15. Ligand-binding pockets of modelled human CACHD1 and fly CACHD1 and α2δ proteins docked with amino acid ligands and their derivative drugs. The proteins were modelled by AlphaFold. In all cases ligands made hydrogen bonds with the AA_motif. (A) Human CACHD1 protein, (B) D. melanogaster α2δ protein (annotated in NCBI database as “straightjacket”), (C) D. melanogaster CACHD1 protein.
Fig. S16. Evolutionary history of dCache_1 domain containing proteins across major eukaryotic lineages. Presence of the intact AA_motif is denoted by color. When the AA_motif is intact in both dCache_1 domains the entire circle or ellipse is filled. Empty circles and ellipses denote proteins with no AA_motif in either of the dCache_1 domains. Numbers indicate that the genomes of corresponding organisms encode the specified number of paralogous proteins.
Fig. S17. Phylogenetic tree of eukaryotic double dCache_1 domain containing proteins from representative set of eukaryotic species. The tree was built using Bayesian inference. Grey circles indicate the presence (filled circle) or the absence (empty circle) of the AA motif in the 1st and 2nd
dCache_1 domains. Black dots on the tree branches mark the probability values that are ≥0.9 (probabilities are in the range 0 to 1). Full-size figure link:

https://github.com/ToshkaDev/Motif/blob/main/Eukaryotes/S6_tree.tif?raw=true
Table S1. Experimentally studied amino acid binding proteins containing dCache_1 domain with AA_motif. For each protein its identifier, ligand repertoire, affinities, and amino acid binding motif variant is shown. For proteins with solved structure contacts with the ligand carboxyl and amino group are shown.

| Organism                   | Protein Name | Protein ID     | Reference       | PDB ID | Ligand | $K_D$ (µM) | AA_motif: hydrogen bonds with the ligand carboxyl group are in red and amino group are in blue | Mutagenic analysis                                                                 |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1* | PctA         | NP_252999.1    | (30, 38-40)     |        | L-Arg  | 1.8 ± 0.4  |                                                        | Present study:                                                                                                                           |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Lys  | 1.6 ± 0.1  |                                                        | Mutation of Y....R.WY Y D to A abolished L-Ala and L-Ser binding                                                                 |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Tyr  | 5.2 ± 1.7  |                                                        | Mutation of Y....R.WY Y D to N strongly reduced L-Ala binding                                                                         |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | 5T7M L-Trp | 2.3 ± 0.9  |                                                        | Mutation of Y....R.WY Y D to A reduced L-Ala and L-Ser binding by 61- and 78-fold, respectively.                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Phe  | 1.1 ± 0.2  |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Ala  | 0.72 ± 0.1 |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | 5T65 L-Ile | 24 ± 5.6  |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Leu  | 116 ± 10   |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | 5LTX L-Met | 0.91 ± 0.2 |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Asn  | 2.0 ± 0.2  |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Ser  | 1.2 ± 0.2  |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Cys  | 0.79 ± 0.1 |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Thr  | 0.28 ± 0.1 |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-His  | 28 ± 5     |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Pro  | 0.60 ± 0.2 |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Gly  | 21 ± 7.7   |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Val  | 0.34 ± 0.2 |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
| *P. aeruginosa PAO1*        | PctB         | NP_253000.1    | (30, 38, 39, 41)|        | L-Arg  | 64 ± 4     |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Lys  | 1096 ± 88  |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Ala  | 641 ± 50   |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Met  | 46 ± 2     |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | 5LT9 L-Gln | 1.2 ± 0.1  |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
| *P. aeruginosa PAO1*        | PctC         | NP_252997.1    | (30, 38, 39, 41)|        | GABA   | 1.2 ± 0.3  |                                                        | Y....R.WY F D                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-His  | 17 ± 3     |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
|                            |              |                |                 |        | L-Pro  | 80 ± 6     |                                                        |                                                                                                                                          |
| *P. putida KT2440*          | McpG         | WP_010952482.1 | (42)            |        | GABA   | 0.175      |                                                        | Y....R.WY Y D                                                                                                                          |
| *P. putida KT2440*          | McpA         | (43)           |                 |        | Gly    | 35 ± 2     |                                                        | Y....R.WY Y D                                                                                                                          |
| Organism                        | Protein Name | Protein ID          | Reference | PDB ID | Ligand | $K_D$ ($\mu$M) | Mutagenic analysis                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------|--------|--------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sinorhizobium meliloti RU11/001 | McpU         | WP_010953225.1      |           |        | L-Ala  | 13 ± 0.6       | mutation of Y....R.WY Y D to A abolished taxis toward Pro; mutation of Y....R.WY Y D to E showed intermediary response |
| Sinorhizobium meliloti RU11/001 | McpU         | WP_010968886.1      | (44)      | Pro    | Arg    | 104            |                                                                                 |
|                                 |              |                     |           |        | Phe    | 53             |                                                                                 |
|                                 |              |                     |           |        | Trp    | 34             |                                                                                 |
|                                 |              |                     |           |        | Pro    | 42             |                                                                                 |
| P. fluorescens Pf0-1            | CtaA         | WP_011335661.1      | (46)      |        | L-Ala  | 5.2 ± 0.3      | F....R.WY Y D                                                                     |
|                                |              |                     |           |        | L-Ser  | 9.5 ± 1.1      |                                                                                 |
|                                |              |                     |           |        | L-Leu  | 11.9 ± 1.8     |                                                                                 |
|                                |              |                     |           |        | L-Pro  | 13.5 ± 0.3     |                                                                                 |
|                                |              |                     |           |        | L-Ile  | 27.4 ± 0.9     |                                                                                 |
|                                |              |                     |           |        | L-Arg  | 446 ± 17.6     |                                                                                 |
| Bacillus velezensis SQR9       | McpC         | AHZ15354.1          | (47)      |        | L-Leu  | 3.6 ± 0.78     | Y....R.WY Y D                                                                     |
|                                |              |                     |           |        | L-Pro  | 3.6 ± 0.78     |                                                                                 |
| B. velezensis SQR9             | TlpB         | AHZ17109.1          | (47)      |        | L-Phe  | 3.17 ± 0.89    | Y....R.WY Y D                                                                     |
| B. subtilis O11085             | McpB         | WP_003243461.1      | (48)      |        | L-Asn  | 14             | Y....R.WY Y D mutation of Y....R.WY Y D to A showed defects in taxis               |
| Organism                                      | Protein Name | Protein ID             | Reference | PDB ID | Ligand | $K_D$ (µM) | AA_motif: hydrogen bonds with the ligand carboxyl group are in red and amino group are in blue | Mutagenic analysis                                      |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| *B. subtilis O11085*                        | McpC         | WP_003245443.1         | (49)      |        | L-Pro   | 14         | Y....R.WY Y D                                                                     | Mutagenic analysis toward Asp; mutation of Y....R.WY Y D to F had no effect |
| *Vibrio cholerae O395N1*                    | Mlp37        | AAF96820.1             | (50)      | 5AVF   | taurine | 3.2        | W....R.WY Y D                                                                     | Mutagenic analysis mutations of: W....R.WY Y D to A showed defects in taxis toward Ala, Ser and taurine |
| *V. cholerae O395N1*                        | Mlp24        | WP_001212589.1         | (51)      | 6IOT   | L-Arg   | 4.8        | Y....R.WY Y D                                                                     |                                                                                      |
| *P. syringae pv. syringae/DC3000*           | PscC         | WP_017684350.1         | (52)      | 6MNI   | Pro     | 5 ± 0.9    | Y....R.WY Y D                                                                     |                                                                                      |
| *P. syringae pv. Tomato DC3000*             | PscA         | WP_011104030.1         | (53)      | L-Asp  | 1.2 ± 0.1 | Y....R.WY Y D                                                                     |                                                                                      |
| *P. syringae pv. Tomato DC3000*             | PscA         | WP_011104030.1         | (54)      | L-Asp  | 6.1      | Y....R.WY Y D                                                                     |                                                                                      |
| *Campylobacter jejuni* subsp. jejuni ATCC 700819 | Tlp3/CcmL | YP_002344933.1         | (55)      | 6W3V   | L-Phe   | 730 ± 55   | L....K.WY Y D                                                                     | Mutagenic analysis mutations of: L....K.WY Y D to A abolished Ile binding |

AA_motif: hydrogen bonds with the ligand carboxyl group are in red and amino group are in blue.
| Organism                       | Protein Name | Protein ID              | Reference | PDB ID | Ligand | $K_D$ (µM) | Mutagenic analysis                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| *Aeromonas caviae* isolate ZOR0002 | SpdE         | WP_052815311.1          | (56)      | 7K5N   | L-Val  | 405 ± 27   | AA_motif; hydrogen bonds with the ligand carboxyl group are in **red** and amino group are **blue** | Mutations of: F....R.WY Y D to a reduced thermal stabilization by ligands |
Table S2. Microcalorimetric studies of recombinant dCache_1AA domains from selected bacterial and archaeal species.

| Organism, Phylum or Class | Protein ID | Cellular function | Motif | Compound | Protein concentration (µM) | Compound concentration (mM) | K_D (µM) | ΔH (kcal · mol⁻¹) |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------|-------|----------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| *Methanospirillum hungatei* Archaea, Halobacteriota | WP_011449640.1 | Sensor histidine kinase | Y….R.WY Y D | L-Glutamic acid | 73 | 5 | 2816 ± 3450 | -2.40 ± 280.30 |
| *Thermodesulfbacterium thermophilum* Desulfobacterota | WP_162138226.1 | c-di-GMP cyclase | Y….R.WY Y D | L-Proline | 54 | 0.25 | 0.21 ± 0.01 | -10.72 ± 0.10 |
| | | | | D-Valine | 54 | 1 | 1.56 ± 0.24 | -1.66 ± 0.12 |
| | | | | L-Isoleucine | 54 | 1 | 2.20 ± 0.21 | -1.29 ± 0.07 |
| | | | | L-Valine | 54 | 1 | 2.25 ± 0.33 | -2.76 ± 0.35 |
| *Yersinia pestis* Gammaproteobacteria pathogen | WP_016674185.1 | Chemoreceptor | Y….R.WY Y D | L-Alanine | 42 | 2.61 ± 0.19 | -7.98 ± 0.25 |
| | | | | L-Threonine | 42 | 2.32 ± 0.10 | -11.44 ± 0.22 |
| | | | | L-Serine | 42 | 3.20 ± 0.16 | -14.58 ± 0.46 |
| | | | | L-Valine | 42 | 0.96 ± 0.08 | -8.54 ± 0.21 |
| | | | | L-Arginine | 42 | 1.37 ± 0.12 | -11.39 ± 0.51 |
| *Tautonia marina* Planctomycetota | WP_152054232.1 | Serine/threonine phosphatase | Y….R.WY Y D | L-Proline | 30 | 0.52 ± 0.02 | -10.72 ± 0.14 |
| | | | | D,L-Homoserine | 30 | 9.80 ± 0.79 | -6.81 ± 1.05 |
| *Enhygromyxa salina* Myxococcota | WP_106093935.1 | Serine/threonine kinase | Y….R.WY Y D | L-Glutamic acid | 15 | 48.31 ± 0.73 | -20.16 ± 1.46 |
| | | | | L-Aspartic acid | 15 | 15.26 ± 0.41 | -9.13 ± 0.27 |
| | | | | D-Aspartic acid | 15 | 162.34 ± 6.00 | -12.91 ± 4.58 |
| *Treponema denticola* Spirochaeta pathogen | WP_002687321.1 | Chemoreceptor | F….R.WY Y D | L-Arginine | 48 | 0.52 ± 0.01 | -11.46 ± 0.07 |
| | | | | L-Histidine | 48 | 1.35 ± 0.11 | -11.41 ± 0.18 |
| *Vibrio cholerae* Gammaproteobacteria pathogen | NP_233280.1 | Metal-dependent phosphodiesterase | F….R.WY Y D | L-Glutamic acid | 37 | 2.17 ± 0.09 | -19.11 ± 0.57 |
| | | | | L-Glutamine | 37 | 8.54 ± 0.32 | -43.55 ± 2.54 |
| | | | | L-Histidine | 42 | 6.62 ± 0.44 | -11.60 ± 0.94 |
| | | | | L-Tryptophan | 42 | 3.33 ± 0.23 | -8.93 ± 0.62 |
| *Legionella pneumophila* | WP_154766400.1 | guanylate/ | Y….R.WY Y D | L-Alanine | 11.5 | 5.74 ± 0.59 | -9.74 ± 2.55 |

43
| Gammaproteobacteria pathogen | adenylate cyclase | L-Serine | 11.5 | 10 | 23.04 ± 8.81 | 0.35 ± 0.28 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|----------|------|----|---------------|-------------|
| L-Valine                    | 11.5             | 10       | 211.86 ± 65.53 | 3.60 ± 44.67 |
Table S3. Corresponding amino acid residues of the AA_motif in the rabbit α2δ-1 and in chemoreceptors of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1.

| AA_motif residue | α2δ-1 (rabbit) | PctA/PctB (bacterium) | PctC (bacterium) |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Y….R.WY[n1]Y[n2]D | Y238           | Y121                  | Y124             |
| Y….R.WY[n1]Y[n2]D | R243           | R126                  | R129             |
| Y….R.WY[n1]Y[n2]D | W245           | W128                  | W131             |
| Y….R.WY[n1]Y[n2]D | Y452           | Y144                  | F147             |
| Y….R.WY[n1]Y[n2]D | D493           | D173                  | D176             |
Table S4. Frequencies and variations of the AA_motif found in Bacteria and Archaea in the GTDB representative dataset. For Bacteria top ten variants are shown. Complete data are in Table S3, sheets 3 and 4. NF – not found.

| Motif variant          | Protein count in Bacteria | Protein count in Archaea |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Y....R.WY[n1]Y[n2]D    | 62.8%                     | 58.3%                     |
| F....R.WY[n1]Y[n2]D    | 17.2%                     | 11.3%                     |
| Y....R.WF[n1]Y[n2]D    | 7%                        | 7.0%                      |
| W....R.WY[n1]Y[n2]D    | 3.7%                      | NF                        |
| F....R.WF[n1]Y[n2]D    | 2.9%                      | 23.5%                     |
| Y....K.WY[n1]Y[n2]D    | 1.5%                      | NF                        |
| Y....R.WY[n1]F[n2]D    | 0.9%                      | NF                        |
| F....R.WY[n1]F[n2]D    | 0.7%                      | NF                        |
| L....R.WY[n1]Y[n2]D    | 0.6%                      | NF                        |
| W....R.WF[n1]Y[n2]D    | 0.5%                      | NF                        |
Table S5. Analysis buffers compositions.

| Protein ID          | Analysis buffer composition                                                                 |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| NP_252999.1 (PctA-LBD) | 5 mM Tris, 5 mM PIPES, 5 mM MES, 0.15 M NaCl, 10% glycerol (vol/vol), pH 7.0                |
| WP_011449640         | 3 mM Tris, 3 mM PIPES, 3 mM MES, 0.15 M NaCl, 10% glycerol (vol/vol), pH 7.0                |
| WP_154766400         | 3 mM Tris, 3 mM PIPES, 3 mM MES, 0.15 M NaCl, 10% glycerol (vol/vol), pH 6.0                |
| WP_152054232         | 3 mM Tris, 3 mM PIPES, 3 mM MES, 0.15 M NaCl, 10% glycerol (vol/vol), pH 7.0                |
| WP_162138226         | 3 mM Tris, 3 mM PIPES, 3 mM MES, 0.15 M NaCl, 10% glycerol (vol/vol), pH 7.5                |
| WP_106093935         | 3 mM Tris, 3 mM PIPES, 3 mM MES, 0.15 M NaCl, 10% glycerol (vol/vol), pH 7.0                |
| WP_002687321         | 3 mM Tris, 3 mM PIPES, 3 mM MES, 0.15 M NaCl, 10% glycerol (vol/vol), pH 7.0                |
| NP_233280            | 3 mM Tris, 3 mM PIPES, 3 mM MES, 0.15 M NaCl, 10% glycerol (vol/vol), pH 8.0                |
| WP_016674185         | 3 mM Tris, 3 mM PIPES, 3 mM MES, 0.15 M NaCl, 10% glycerol (vol/vol), pH 7.0                |
Table S6. Strains, plasmids and oligonucleotides used in this study.

| Strains                  | Relevant characteristics                      | Reference or source |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| E. coli BL21 (DE3)      | F<sup>−</sup>, ompl, hsdS<sup>B</sup> (r<sup>B</sup> m<sup>B</sup>) gal, dam, met | (36)                |
| E. coli DH5α            | supE<sup>44</sup> lacU<sup>169</sup> (Ø80lacZΔM15) hsdR<sup>17</sup> (r<sup>K</sup> m<sup>R</sup>), recA<sup>1</sup> endA<sup>1</sup> gyrA<sup>96</sup> thi-1 relA<sup>1</sup> | (37)                |

| Plasmids                |                                               |                     |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| pET28b(+)               | Km<sup>R</sup>; Protein expression plasmid     | Novagen             |
| pET28-PctA-LBD          | Km<sup>R</sup>; pET28b(+) derivative containing DNA fragment encoding PctA-LBD | (30)                |
| pMAMV359                | Km<sup>R</sup>; pET28b(+) derivative containing DNA fragment encoding PctA-LBD | Present study       |
| pMAMV360                | Km<sup>R</sup>; pET28b(+) derivative containing DNA fragment encoding PctA-LBD | Present study       |
| pMAMV376                | Km<sup>R</sup>; pET28b(+) derivative containing DNA fragment encoding PctA-LBD | Present study       |

| Oligonucleotides        | Name                     | Sequence (5´-3´)                | Purpose                               | Source   |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| PctA-LBD-F              | GTTACCCCATATGAACGATTACCTGCAGCGCAACG | pctA-LBD into pET28b(+) | (30)                                  |          |
| PctA-LBD-R              | GCGGATCCTCAGGCCGAGACGCGGAACCTTG | pctA-LBD into pET28b(+) | (30)                                  |          |
| R126A-F                 | TCCGCAGCGGCAGGCCTGTAACAG | Mutant R126A | Present study |          |
| R126A-R                 | CTTGTACCAGGGCGCCGCTGCCGA | Mutant R126A | Present study |          |
| D173A-F                 | GTAGGGCGGGCCCTCAGCCTGAAG | Mutant D173A | Present study |          |
| D173A-R                 | CTTCGGCTGAGGCCGCCGCTTAC | Mutant D173A | Present study |          |
| D173N-F                 | GTAGGGCGGCAACCTCAGCCTGAAG | Mutant D173N | Present study |          |
| D173N-R                 | CTTCGGCTGAGGCCGCCGCTTAC | Mutant D173N | Present study |          |
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