Growth Patterns of Signet Ring Cell Carcinoma of the Stomach for Endoscopic Resection

Hyunki Kim*, Jie-Hyun Kim†, Yong Chan Lee‡, Hoguen Kim*, Young Hoon Youn§, Hyojin Park†, Seung Ho Choi‡, Sung Hoon Noh†, and Takuji Gotoda§

Department of *Pathology, †Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, ‡Gangnam Severance Hospital, §Department of Surgery, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea, and †Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Tokyo Medical University, Tokyo, Japan

INTRODUCTION

Endoscopic resection (ER) for intramucosal lesions consisted of signet ring cell carcinoma (SRC) has been performed based on previous studies.1-3 ER in SRC has been managed based on the Japanese classification of gastric cancer, such as undifferentiated adenocarcinoma.1 Undifferentiated adenocarcinoma in the Japanese classification includes poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma, SRC, and mucinous adenocarcinoma of the World Health Organization classification. Although biological behavior may differ among the histopathological subtypes of undifferentiated early gastric cancer (EGC), various treatment strategies have been applied indiscriminately to undifferentiated EGC, particularly ER.

In fact, the patterns of ER outcome differ between poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma and SRC, despite the subtypes in undifferentiated EGC.4 We previously reported an analysis of the clinicopathological outcomes of ER in undifferentiated EGC with special reference to histopathological subtypes.5 According to that study, all histologically incomplete resections in poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma were vertical cut end-positive, whereas almost all of the resections in SRC were lateral cut end-positive. That is, intramucosal lesions consisted of SRC may prefer horizontal spread to vertical spread. Furthermore, another message from these results was that endoscopic examination of the gross margin may lead to frequent underestimation of the true histopathological margins of SRC lesions.6

This discrepancy between the endoscopic and pathological margins of the lesion may be due to the different origins of SRC compared to other gastric adenocarcinomas.7 Tubule neck dysplasia (TND) may be a precursor lesion of SRC. TND can spread...
upwards towards the foveolar surface and possibly downwards to the gastric glands. Thus, the gastric mucosa of SRC may show a largely intact surface epithelium, despite the presence of cancer cells in the lamina propria.

An analysis about intramucosal spreading patterns in SRC may be helpful for ER in SRC. Therefore, the aim of the study was to classify intramucosal spreading patterns of SRC and to analyze clinicopathological findings according to the spreading patterns.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Study subjects

In total, 100 specimens from patients diagnosed with intramucosal lesions consisted of SRC and who underwent surgery at Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea, between January 2000 and February 2003 were reviewed. We also reviewed 42 ER specimens obtained between February 2003 and December 2010. All lesions were treated by endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) at Severance Hospital, and were mucosal-confined SRC. Lesions treated by endoscopic mucosal resection were excluded to decrease the mechanical factors in margin positivity.

The tumor size was measured using endoscopy and endoscopic ultrasonography. Lymph node or distant metastasis was evaluated by abdominal ultrasonography or computed tomography. The tumor locations were categorized by longitudinal axis and cross-sectional circumference of the stomach. That is, the longitudinal axis of the stomach was divided into three sections (the upper third containing the fundus, cardia, and upper body, the middle third containing the midbody, lower body, and angle, and the lower third containing the antrum and pylorus), and the cross-sectional circumference into four sections (lesser curvature, posterior wall, greater curvature, and anterior wall). Endoscopic findings of the tumors were classified by predominant type according to the classification system of the Japanese Research Society for Gastric Cancer. The protruded type and superficial elevated type were classified as elevated type. The superficial flat type was classified as flat type, and the superficial depressed type and excavated type were classified as depressed type, based on a previous study. The color of tumor was evaluated based on the endoscopic findings such as whitish discoloration or yellow to pinkish similar to surrounding mucosa.

We compared the expanding and infiltrative types of intramucosal spreading patterns in terms of their clinicopathological features in surgical and ER specimens. In surgical specimens, lymph node metastasis was also included and in ER specimens, lateral margin status (i.e., the tumor involvement of the resection margin) and recurrence were included. The Institutional Review Board at Severance Hospital approved this study (IRB number: 4-2012-0472).

2. Classification of intramucosal spreading patterns in early SRC

We classified the intramucosal spreading patterns of SRC into expanding and infiltrative types, based on a previous study. The expanding type was defined as a tumor that had a margin that was clearly lined from the nonneoplastic mucosa, that is, epithelial spreading pattern (Fig. 1A). The infiltrative type was defined as a tumor that showed diffuse spreading tumor cells (Fig. 1B), that is, subepithelial spreading pattern. For ambiguous cases, we arbitrarily defined criteria for the infiltrative type as a case that showed both a more than 2 mm tumor-cell spread along the lamina propria of the deeper part of the nonneoplastic mucosa and infiltration in more than half of the tumor margin.
Table 1. Comparison of the Intramucosal Spreading Patterns of Signet Ring Cell Carcinoma and Clinicopathological Characteristics of Surgical and Endoscopic-Resected Specimens

| Characteristic                  | Intramucosal spreading patterns (surgical specimen) | p-value | Intramucosal spreading patterns (endoscopic specimen) | p-value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------|
|                                | Infiltrative (n=56) | Expanding (n=44) |                                  | Infiltrative (n=21) | Expanding (n=21) | |
| Male sex                       | 30 (53.6)            | 17 (38.6)    | 0.137                                  | 5 (23.8)           | 11 (52.4)         | 0.054 |
| Age, yr                        | 53.3±10.6            | 46.9±13.1    | 0.010                                  | 52.3±14.1          | 54.0±13.0         | 0.683 |
| Gross appearance               |                       |             |                                       |                     |                   |       |
| Elevated                       | 1 (1.8)              | 1 (2.3)     | 0.790                                  |                     |                   |       |
| Flat                            | 9 (16.1)             | 5 (11.4)    |                                       |                     |                   |       |
| Depressed                      | 46 (82.1)            | 38 (86.4)   |                                       |                     |                   |       |
| Longitudinal location          |                       |             |                                       |                     |                   |       |
| Upper third                    | 3 (5.3)              | 3 (6.9)     | 1 (4.8)                                | 0                   |                   | 0.536 |
| Middle third                   | 36 (64.3)            | 28 (63.6)   | 12 (57.1)                              | 10 (47.6)          |                   |       |
| Lower third                    | 17 (30.4)            | 13 (29.5)   | 8 (38.1)                               | 11 (52.4)          |                   |       |
| Cross-sectional location       |                       |             |                                       |                     |                   |       |
| Lesser curvature               | 26 (46.4)            | 21 (47.7)   | 8 (38.1)                               | 5 (23.8)           |                   |       |
| Posterior wall                 | 13 (23.2)            | 10 (22.7)   | 2 (9.5)                                | 5 (23.8)           |                   |       |
| Greater curvature              | 7 (12.5)             | 5 (11.4)    | 7 (33.4)                               | 6 (28.6)           |                   |       |
| Anterior wall                  | 10 (17.9)            | 8 (18.2)    | 4 (19.0)                               | 5 (23.8)           |                   |       |
| Color of the tumor             |                       |             |                                       |                     |                   | 0.225 |
| Whitish discoloration          | 20 (35.7)            | 21 (47.7)   | 12 (57.1)                              | 12 (57.1)          |                   |       |
| Yellowish to pinkish*          | 36 (64.3)            | 23 (52.3)   | 9 (42.9)                               | 9 (42.9)           |                   |       |
| Ulcer                          | 16 (28.6)            | 10 (22.7)   | 0.508                                  | 2 (9.5)            | 3 (14.3)          | 1.000 |
| LVI                            | 1 (1.8)              | 2 (4.5)     | 0.581                                  | 1 (4.8)            | 0                 |       |
| LNM                            | 3 (5.4)              | 2 (4.5)     | 1.000                                  | -                  | -                 |       |
| Size, mm                       | 23.9±14.8            | 26.2±18.8   | 0.494                                  | 17.9±10.0          | 10.3±5.7          | 0.005 |
| Lateral margin (+)             | -                    | -           |                                       | 6 (28.6)           | 2 (9.5)           | 0.238 |
| Residual tumor                 | -                    | -           | 2 (9.5)                                | 0                  | 0.488             |       |
| Recurrence                     | 0                    | 1 (2.3)     | 0.689                                  | 1 (4.8)            | 0                 | 1.000 |
| H. pylori infection            | 28 (50.0)            | 32 (72.7)   | 0.021                                  | 7 (33.3)           | 9 (42.9)          | 0.525 |
| Atrophy                        | <0.001               |             |                                       |                     |                   |       |
| Absent                         | 23 (41.1)            | 35 (79.5)   | 11 (52.4)                              | 17 (81.0)          |                   |       |
| Mild                            | 6 (10.7)             | 5 (11.4)    | -                                     | -                  |                   |       |
| Moderate                       | 11 (19.6)            | 1 (2.3)     | 10 (47.6)                              | 4 (19.0)           |                   |       |
| Severe                         | 16 (28.6)            | 3 (6.8)     | -                                     | -                  |                   |       |
| Intestinal metaplasia          |                       |             |                                       |                     |                   |       |
| Absent                         | 18 (32.1)            | 27 (61.4)   |                                       |                     |                   |       |
| Mild                            | 13 (23.2)            | 12 (27.3)   | 11 (52.4)                              | 17 (81.0)          |                   |       |
| Moderate                       | 7 (12.5)             | 3 (6.8)     |                                       |                     |                   |       |
| Severe                         | 18 (32.2)            | 2 (4.5)     |                                       |                     |                   |       |
| Lymphoplasmacytic cell infiltration |                 |             | 0.461                                  |                     |                   | 0.378 |
| Absent/mild                    | 5 (8.9)              | 2 (4.5)     |                                       |                     |                   |       |
| Moderate/severe                | 51 (91.1)            | 42 (95.5)   | 17 (81.0)                              | 19 (90.5)          |                   |       |
| Neutrophil infiltration        |                       |             |                                       |                     |                   |       |
| Absent/mild                    | 30 (53.6)            | 19 (43.2)   | 17 (81.0)                              | 11 (52.4)          |                   |       |
| Moderate/severe                | 26 (46.4)            | 25 (56.8)   | 4 (19.0)                               | 10 (47.6)          |                   |       |

Data are presented as number (%) or mean±SD.

LVI, lymphovascular invasion; LNM, lymph node metastasis; H. pylori, Helicobacter pylori.

*Similar to the surrounding mucosa; †Cell infiltration was graded as absent/mild or moderate/severe according to the updated Sydney System.
3. Histological analysis of adjacent nonneoplastic mucosa

We retrospectively assessed the histology of the adjacent mucosa in terms of Helicobacter pylori infection, glandular atrophy, intestinal metaplasia (IM), lymphoplasmacytic cell infiltration (chronic inflammation), and neutrophilic infiltration, based on the updated Sydney System. Glandular atrophy and the IM were graded as absent, mild, moderate, or severe according to the updated Sydney System. The status of H. pylori infection was classified as present or absent in the ER specimens. The status of H. pylori infection was evaluated from histological examination and other clinical records. Lymphoplasmacytic cell and neutrophilic infiltration were graded as absent/mild or moderate/severe.

In the surgical series, the adjacent mucosa was defined as near (<5 mm) the tumor margin and in the ER specimens, the adjacent mucosa was defined as all of the resected nonneoplastic mucosa.

4. Statistics

The chi-square test and Fisher exact test were used to evaluate associations among various categorical variables, and the t-test was used for noncategorical variables. A p-value <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. All analyses were performed using the SPSS software version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

1. Comparisons between intramucosal spreading patterns of SRC and clinicopathological characteristics in surgical and ER specimens

The proportions of the expansive and infiltrative types in the surgical specimens were 44% and 56%, respectively. Gender, endoscopic gross appearance, size, lymphovascular invasion, lymph node metastasis, and recurrence rate were not significantly different between the two types (Table 1). However, the infiltrative type was more commonly associated with old age, atrophy, and IM in the surrounding mucosa, and absence of H. pylori than was the expansive type.

With the infiltrative type, the adjacent mucosa showed significantly more severe atrophy and IM compared to the expansive type. However, there was no significant difference in terms of lymphoplasmacytic cell infiltration or neutrophilic infiltration between the two types.

The proportions of the expansive and infiltrative types were 50% and 50% in ESD specimens, similar to the surgical specimens. Larger size was significantly associated with the infiltrative type. Similar to the surgical specimens, atrophy and IM in the surrounding mucosa, and lack of neutrophil infiltration were observed more commonly in the infiltrative type than the expansive type in spite of statistical insignificance (Table 1).

Table 2. Comparison of Lateral Margin-Positive and -Negative Lesions in Endoscopic-Resected Specimens

| Characteristic                        | Margin (+) (n=8) | Margin (-) (n=34) | p-value |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Male                                  |                  |                   |         |
| Age, yr                               | 53.6±15.1        | 53.0±13.2         | 0.920   |
| Gross appearance                      |                  |                   | 1.000   |
| Elevated                              | 1(12.5)          | 5(14.7)           |         |
| Flat                                  | 4(50.0)          | 14(41.2)          |         |
| Depressed                             | 3(37.5)          | 15(44.1)          |         |
| Longitudinal location                 |                  |                   | 0.082   |
| Upper third                           | 0                | 1(2.9)            |         |
| Middle third                          | 7(87.5)          | 15(44.1)          |         |
| Lower third                           | 1(12.5)          | 18(53.0)          |         |
| Cross-sectional location              |                  |                   | 0.720   |
| Lesser curvature                      | 4(50.0)          | 9(26.5)           |         |
| Posterior wall                        | 1(12.5)          | 6(17.6)           |         |
| Greater curvature                     | 2(25.0)          | 11(32.4)          |         |
| Anterior wall                         | 1(12.5)          | 8(23.5)           |         |
| Color of the tumor                    |                  |                   | 0.473   |
| Whitish discoloration                 | 4(50.0)          | 20(58.8)          |         |
| Yellowish to pinkish*                 | 4(50.0)          | 14(41.2)          |         |
| Ulcer                                 | 0                | 5(14.7)           | 0.564   |
| LVI                                   | 0                | 1(2.9)            | 1.000   |
| Size, mm                              | 22.5±12.3        | 12.1±6.8          | 0.049   |
| Residual lesion                       | 2(25.0)          | 0                 | 0.033   |
| H. pylori infection                   | 2(25.0)          | 14(41.2)          | 0.688   |
| Intraducosal type                     |                  |                   | 0.119   |
| Infiltrative                          | 6(75.0)          | 15(44.1)          |         |
| Expanding                             | 2(25.0)          | 19(55.9)          |         |
| Atrophy                               |                  |                   | 0.406   |
| Absent/mild                           | 4(50.0)          | 24(70.6)          |         |
| Moderate/severe                       | 4(50.0)          | 10(29.4)          |         |
| Intestinal metaplasia                 |                  |                   | 1.000   |
| Absent/mild                           | 5(62.5)          | 23(67.6)          |         |
| Moderate/severe                       | 3(37.5)          | 11(32.4)          |         |
| Lymphoplasmacytic cell infiltration   |                  |                   | 0.319   |
| Absent/mild                           | 2(25.0)          | 4(11.8)           |         |
| Moderate/severe                       | 6(75.0)          | 30(88.2)          |         |
| Neutrophil infiltration               |                  |                   | 0.037   |
| Absent/mild                           | 8(100.0)         | 20(58.8)          |         |
| Moderate/severe                       | 0                | 14(41.2)          |         |

Data are presented as number (%) or mean±SD.
LVI, lymphovascular invasion; H. pylori, Helicobacter pylori.
*Similar to the surrounding mucosa; †Cell infiltration was graded as absent/mild or moderate/severe according to the updated Sydney System.
Lateral margin-positive lesions were more commonly observed in the infiltrative type than the expanding type, although the difference was not statistically significant. Recurrent case after ESD was of the infiltrative type.

When lateral margin-positive lesions were compared with negative lesions in ESD specimens, larger size, residual lesion, and lack of neutrophil infiltration were more significantly associated with lateral margin-positive lesions (Table 2). All cases with residual tumors in lateral margin-positive lesions were of the infiltrative type.

2. Clinical cases according to intramucosal spreading patterns of SRC

1) Expanding intramucosal spreading type of early SRC (Fig. 2)
A ~15-mm depressed lesion was found at the posterior wall of the lower body, confirmed as SRC by biopsy. Endoscopically, the surrounding mucosa was not combined with atrophic gastritis or IM. The lesion was resected by ESD, and the pathologic report was as follows: (1) Location: body, posterior wall; (2) Gross type: EGC type Iic; (3) Histologic type: signet-ring cell carcinoma; (4) Histologic type by Lauren: diffuse; (5) Size: 1.3×0.9 cm; (6) Depth of invasion: lamina propria (pT1a); (7) Resection margin: free from carcinoma (safety margin: distal 1 cm, proximal 1.2 cm, anterior 0.5 cm, posterior 0.6 cm); (8) Lymphovascular invasion: not identified; (9) Perineural invasion: not identified.

Pathological findings showed the expanding intramucosal spreading type. The patient was followed up over 5 years without recurrence.

2) Infiltrative intramucosal spreading type of early SRC (Fig. 3)
Before ER, a tiny erythematous flat lesion was found at

Fig. 2. Clinical case of the expanding intramucosal-spreading type of signet ring cell carcinoma (SRC). (A) Endoscopic image of early gastric cancer revealing a depressed lesion located in the posterior wall of the lower body (arrows). Endoscopically, the surrounding mucosa was not combined with atrophy or intestinal metaplasia. (B) Pathological findings after endoscopic resection (H&E stain, ×40). Tumor cells of the SRC were exposed at a superficial part of the mucosa and were well demarcated (circle).

Fig. 3. Clinical case of the infiltrative intramucosal-spreading type of signet ring cell carcinoma (SRC). (A) Endoscopic image of early gastric cancer showing a flat lesion located in the lesser curvature of the lower body (circle). Endoscopically, the surrounding mucosa was combined with atrophic gastritis. (B) After endoscopic resection, three lateral margins were positive (circles). (C) Pathological findings after endoscopic resection (H&E stain, ×40). SRC cells exhibited subepithelial spread, indicating that the lesion was of the infiltrative type (circle).
the lesser curvature of the lower body, and the diagnosis was confirmed as SRC by biopsy. Endoscopically, the surrounding mucosa was combined with atrophic gastritis. The lesion was resected by ESD, and the pathologic report was as follows: (1) Location: body, lesser curvature; (2) Gross type: EGC type Iic+Iib; (3) Histologic type: signet ring cell carcinoma; (4) Histologic type by Lauren: diffuse; (5) Size: 2.5×1.5 cm; (6) Depth of invasion: invades mucosa (lamina propria) (pT1a); (7) Resection margins: Lateral (proximal, anterior, posterior margin): involved by carcinoma; Basal: free from carcinoma; (8) Lymphovascular invasion: not identified; (9) Perineural invasion: not identified.

Thus, additional surgery was performed after ESD, and residual cancer cells were found in the surgical specimen without lymph node metastasis. The intramucosal spreading pattern was of the infiltrative type.

**DISCUSSION**

Based on expanded indications, ER has provided many EGC patients the opportunity to preserve their stomach. A recent large-scale study revealed that the expanded indications, excluding undifferentiated EGC, resulted in overall survival and local tumor recurrence rates that were similar to those of the standard indications for ER in EGC. In undifferentiated EGC, long-term outcomes after ER have been reported despite the small numbers of subjects. According to these studies, ER for undifferentiated EGC may yield good long-term outcomes.

Horizontal growth pattern and subepithelial spread may be representative features of intramucosal lesions consisted of SRC. These may cause the margins of SRC lesions to be obscure, as seen in ER outcomes. Advanced endoscopic techniques such as magnifying endoscopy (ME) with narrow-band imaging (NBI) can assist definition of the exact margins of lesions. However, even using ME with NBI, endoscopic delineation remains difficult for undifferentiated lesions, according to a recent study. This is likely due to subepithelial spread of intramucosal lesions consisted of SRC. Thus, definition of epithelial spread subgroups is critical to clarifying the need for local treatment of SRC.

A previous study reported two types of intramucosal spreading patterns in SRC, the expansive and infiltrative types. The expansive type is defined as tumor exposure at a superficial part of the mucosa, unlike the infiltrative type. Theoretically, the infiltrative type may be the risk group of noncurative resection by ESD in SRC. Thus, we investigated the feasibility of classification of intramucosal lesions consisted of SRC in terms of intramucosal spreading patterns, such as expansive and infiltrative types, in surgical and ER specimens. According to our data, the proportions of the expansive and infiltrative types were 44% and 56%, and 50% and 50% in surgical and ESD specimens, respectively. This indicates the feasibility of subgrouping based on intramucosal spreading patterns is appropriate in intramucosal lesions consisted of SRC.

In fact, the positive rate of lateral margins in ESD specimens was higher in the infiltrative type than the expanding type, although the difference was not statistically significant due to the small number of subjects. After additional resection of lateral margin-positive lesions, all of those with residual tumor cells were of the infiltrative type.

The surrounding mucosal pattern differed between the expanding and infiltrative types. The mucosa surrounding infiltrative-type lesions was more commonly associated with atrophy and IM, absence of H. pylori, and a lack of neutrophil infiltration. This indicates that the surrounding mucosa may be important as a mechanical barrier to tumor cell spread in SRC. If mechanical barrier represented by the surrounding mucosa is weak, such as due to atrophy/IM or no neutrophil infiltration, tumor cells tend to spread sporadically or diffusely into the deeper part of the mucosa. However, in such cases, tumor cells tend to be exposed at the superficial mucosa; e.g., in an expanding spreading-type lesion with an intact mechanical barrier. Thus, the status of the surrounding mucosa can be predictive of the intramucosal spreading patterns in SRC. However, this should be validated by large scale study in the future.

Further investigations of molecular markers expressed in tumor cells and the surrounding mucosa may assist prediction of intramucosal spread patterns in SRC.

Intramucosal spreading patterns and the status of the surrounding mucosa may be important in synchronous or metachronous cancers in SRC. Generally, synchronous or metachronous EGC has been reported more in differentiated than undifferentiated EGC. This is a consequence of intestinal-type gastric carcinogenesis. Intestinal-type gastric cancer is derived from a series of sequential pathological events according to Correa's cascade. That is, the surrounding mucosa in intestinal-type gastric cancer is the milieu for generation of premalignant lesions or dysplasia. However, the mucosa surrounding SRC lesions may be important for synchronous or metachronous lesions. The status of the surrounding mucosa, such as atrophy/IM, can provide the milieu for sporadic spreading of tumor cells because of the weak mechanical barrier. In fact, synchronous and metachronous EGC are common in undifferentiated EGC. However, in the present study, there was no synchronous or metachronous SRC during the follow-up period. Thus, intramucosal spreading patterns according to synchronous or metachronous SRC could not be investigated. However, the analysis of intramucosal spreading patterns may assist discrimination of synchronous and metachronous SRC.

Although our study included almost pure SRC, not combined with other histologies, SRC can coexist with other histologies. Mixed histology has been known to show more aggressive behavior such as deeper invasion or higher rate of lymph node metastasis than others. However, we investigated the intramucosal spreading patterns at the margin of the lesions, so our
results might apply to the lesions combined with small amount of other histologies if the histology at the margin is SRC. But, further study among the mixed histologies should be necessary in the future.

In conclusion, the analysis of intramucosal spreading patterns in SRC is helpful for ER in SRC. Intramucosal spreading patterns in SRC may be dependent on the surrounding mucosa. SRC surrounded with atrophy or/and intestinal metaplasia often spread subepithelially in the margin. It may suggest that larger safety margin is necessary in this type during ESD.
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