Peptide-Like Molecules (PLMs): A Journey from Peptide Bond Isosteres to Gramicidin S Mimetics and Mitochondrial Targeting Agents

Peter Wipf*, Jingbo Xiao, and Corey R. J. Stephenson

Abstract

Peptides are natural ligands and substrates for receptors and enzymes and exhibit broad physiological effects. However, their use as therapeutic agents often suffers from poor bioavailability and insufficient membrane permeability. The success of peptide mimicry hinges on the ability of bioisosteres, in particular peptide bond replacements, to adopt suitable secondary structures relevant to peptide strands and position functional groups in equivalent space. This perspective highlights past and ongoing studies in our group that involve new methods development as well as specific synthetic library preparations and applications in chemical biology, with the goal to enhance the use of alkene and cyclopropane peptide bond isosteres.
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1. Introduction

Biopolymers represent a special class of natural products, offering unique opportunities for small molecule mimicry. Biopolymer-derived compounds can exploit drug–target contacts that closely parallel those found in native systems, such as protein–protein and protein–lipid interactions. This gross structural homology can often be advantageous in developing new drug leads; i.e., synthetic materials can be more readily recognized and integrated into native biochemical processes. Moreover, physiological biopolymer degradation typically leads to metabolites that are tolerated in vivo and readily excreted. However, hydrolytic degradation is also among the native biochemical processes that often function to limit drug lifetime. These considerations, as well as the inherent difficulties of biopolymers to pass through membranes, have been among the prime contributory factors driving the development of synthetic biopolymer surrogates. Ideally, the latter can mimic the tertiary structure and function of native materials while, simultaneously, displaying immunity to hydrolytic degradation and taking advantage of active or passive membrane transfer processes.

Significantly, synthetic biopolymer-inspired materials either composed of or incorporating unnatural α-, β-, and γ-amino acids, peptoids, peptide isosteres, and other amino acid surrogates have already been found to exhibit folding behaviors similar to native peptides, to be effective disruptors of protein–protein interactions, and, in many instances, allowing for useful therapeutic activities. A Sunesis-Merck collaboration discovered aminoethylene...
isosteres \textbf{1} as potent inhibitors of the $\beta$-site amyloid precursor protein cleaving enzyme (Fig. 1).\[1\] The selective cathepsin \textit{K} inhibitor \textbf{2}, developed by a Celera-Merck team, featured a trifluoroethylamine isostere developed by Zanda's group.\[2,3\] The Fujii group at Kyoto University has a long tradition in developing methodology for (\textit{E})-alkene peptide isostere synthesis, and recently reported cyclic RGD mimetics \textbf{3} based on this concept.\[4\] Another creative design for amide bond replacements is being pursued by the Sieburth group at Temple University, which yielded \textbf{4}, a silanediol-based inhibitor of angiotensin-converting enzyme.\[5\]

With the goal of developing a unified approach toward constructing biopolymer surrogates, we have exploited new reaction technologies developed in our laboratory for generating structurally diverse biopolymer-like compounds, specifically peptide-like molecules (PLMs). Our building blocks include cyclopropane-substituted $\delta$- and $\gamma$-amino acids, $\beta,\gamma$-unsaturated $\delta$-amino acids, $\beta$-substituted $\beta$-amino acids, and $\alpha,\beta$-disubstituted $\beta$-amino acids; with structural diversity originating both from building block diversity as well as sequence diversity. In addressing the latter, each of these building block families are readily incorporated into standard peptide synthesis protocols, thereby, accelerating access to the targeted biological pathway disruptors and functional mimetics.

In recent years, $\beta$- and $\gamma$-amino acids\[6\] have been studied extensively, and, due to a greater understanding of their folding properties coupled with the power of chemical genetics,\[7\] the structure-based design\[8\] of new therapeutic agents has been enabled. Much like their natural $\alpha$-amino counterparts, $\beta$- and $\gamma$-amino acids have been found to form a variety of helical and pleated sheet-like structural motifs. Oligomers that adopt predictable conformations in aqueous solution are accessible \textit{via} modular chemistry and enable the display of a wide range of functional groups, which renders them attractive for creating new types of biologically active agents.\[9\] This concept has been validated and broadly popularized with $\beta$-peptides.\[10\] $\beta$-Peptide-based foldamers provide access to a variety of backbone conformations, including the 14-helix. $\beta$-Peptides can display antibacterial\[11\] and antifungal\[12\] activities, permeate cell membrane,\[13\] block protein–protein interactions,\[14\] self-assemble into helix-bundle quaternary structures,\[15\] and form lyotropic liquid crystalline phases.\[16\] These applications have firmly established that conformational preorganization, the ability to arrange side chains in a specific three-dimensional architecture, is critical to accomplishing well-defined macroscopic physical or biological properties. The promising range of applications for foldamers that are not exclusively composed of the standard $\alpha$-amino acid residues justifies a further expansion to include $\gamma$- and $\delta$-amino acids and composites of mixed constitution. In all cases, it is critical to develop new synthetic methodologies that allow rapid and scaleable access to these materials as well as perform fundamental studies elucidating the factors that influence secondary structure.

PLMs with $\gamma$- and $\delta$-amino acid residues have been studied much less than the corresponding $\beta$-peptides, but there is little doubt that these derivatives offer similarly enticing opportunities for supramolecular chemistry and drug discovery.\[17\] We have developed versatile and innovative approaches toward these compound classes, and we can now readily expand on this chemistry; introduce additional, more polar substituents into our scaffolds; generate libraries of oligomeric structures for the study of their biological effects and structural features; and prepare novel steroid and glycoside chimeras.\[18,19\]

\textbf{2. Peptide-like Molecules (PLMs)}

Peptides exhibit broad physiological effects and are natural ligands for receptors and enzymes. Recently, considerable advances have been made in the targeted delivery of
peptides, and their appeal as pharmaceuticals is surging.[20] Modifications of native peptide sequences and introduction of bioisosteres represent complementary strategies to harness desirable biological effects. The success of peptide mimicry hinges on the ability of bioisosteres, in particular peptide bond replacements, to adopt suitable secondary structures relevant to peptide strands and position functional groups into equivalent space.

The replacement of the scissile peptide bond $\mathbf{5}$ with nonhydrolyzable isostERIC functions is an important design motif in medicinal chemistry.[21] In recent years, many nonhydrolyzable mimetics have been developed, including $(E)$-alkene ($\psi[(E)-C(R)=CH]$) $\mathbf{6}$, ketomethylene ($\psi[COCH_2]$) $\mathbf{7}$, hydroxyethylene ($\psi[CH(OH)CH_2]$) $\mathbf{8}$, dihydroxyethylene ($\psi[CH(OH)CH(OH)]$) $\mathbf{9}$, hydroxyethylamine ($\psi[CH(OH)CH_2NH]$) $\mathbf{10}$, and methyleneamine ($\psi[CH_2NH]$) $\mathbf{11}$ moieties (Fig. 2). Prior studies in our laboratories have mainly focused on the synthesis, conformational analysis, and biological applications of alkene peptide isosteres in $\delta$-amino acid building blocks $\mathbf{6}$ and the corresponding cyclopropane analogs $\mathbf{12}$ and $\mathbf{13}$ (Fig. 3).[28] The relatively rigid, trisubstituted $(E)$-alkenes $\mathbf{6}$ ($\psi[(E)-C(R)=CH]$) represent useful, conformationally preorganized structural mimetics and have been used as surrogates of hydrolytically labile amide bonds in a number of enzyme inhibitors.[29]

The primary objective of the alkene peptide bond isostere strategy is the accurate mimicry of the geometry of the peptide bond, particularly its rigidity, bond angle, and length. We have constructed several analogs of the cyclodecapeptide gramicidin S (GS) in order to study the effects of specific amide bond replacements on the overall conformation and the biological activity of this antibiotic.[30] We were able to demonstrate that key replacements of the natural peptide sequence at the $\nu$-Phe-Pro $\beta$-turn as well as the Leu-$\nu$-Phe $\beta$-sheet positions conserved the parent GS secondary structure as well as its biological effects. While trisubstituted $(E)$-alkenes, like many other amide bond substitutes, lack effective hydrogen bond donor and acceptor functions and do not provide for the large dipole moment of resonance stabilized amide groups, our trifluoromethylated alkene isosteres ($\psi$vide infra) partly address this deficiency and more accurately mimic the dipole moment of the amide bond (3.6 D vs 2.3 D for trifluoroalkene isostere).[28c] However, alkennes can suffer from potential isomerization, oxidation, and general chemical lability. On the basis of the latter considerations, we have designed cyclopropane dipeptide isosteres ($\psi$RCp), which we expect to display increased stability compared with the corresponding $(E)$-alkene dipeptides.

### 2.1 Synthesis of Alkene-based PLMs

Our first attempts toward the stereoselective preparation of alkene peptide isosteres $\mathbf{14}$ focused on the $S_N2$- opening of alkenylnaziridines $\mathbf{15}$ (Scheme 1).[28a,31] We envisioned that the broad functional group compatibility of cuprate reagents would allow the chemistry to tolerate the typical side chain as well as backbone functions of oligopeptides. Indeed, we were able to generate di- and tripeptide sequences, such as $\mathbf{16}$–$\mathbf{19}$ in solution in high diastereomeric excess if strongly electron-withdrawing (EWG) $N$-activating groups were used (Fig. 4). An extension to solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) was also feasible with Wang-resin bound $N$-nosylated aziridines $\mathbf{24}$ (Scheme 2).[28]

While the alkenylnaziridine opening and related allylic displacements allowed us to prepare conformationally rigidified, trisubstituted alkene peptide isosteres, including novel trifluoromethylalkenes,[28c] the synthetic pathway was too linear to allow a ready expansion to libraries of backbone modified isosteres. Fortunately, at this time, the scope of our zirconocene-zinc transmetalation methodology had been expanded to include the use of imine electrophiles,[32] and the resulting synthetic sequence provided versatile access to the desired $\delta$-amino acid building blocks (Scheme 3).[22d,e] Hydrozirconation of alkynylnstannane $\mathbf{27}$ followed by in situ transmetalation to dimethylzinc and 1,2-addition to
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imine 28 provided the allylic phosphinoylamide 29 in 62% yield as a 1.5:1 mixture of diastereomers. The stannyl group was exchanged with an iodide by electrophilic halogenation, and a Negishi coupling introduced the phenyl group at the alkene position in 30. This intermediate was readily converted to the tetrapeptide isostere 32. Analogous products could also be obtained directly from a Stille coupling of stannane 29 (Scheme 4). In this versatile conversion, aromatic and heteroaromatic substituents are introduced in moderate to high yields to give alkene isosteres 33.

The versatility of stannane 29 was further exploited by a stereoselective conversion to fluoroalkene 34 (Scheme 5). The introduction of the trifluoromethyl group, in contrast, was more challenging, requiring the conversion of iodide 35 to amide 36, followed by copper(i)thiophene carboxylate (CuTc) catalyzed transfer of the trifluoromethyl group from methyl (fluorosulfonyl) difluoroacetate (37).[33]

2.2 Synthesis of Cyclopropane-based PLMs

A decisive advantage of the zirconocene-zinc-imine addition methodology over the earlier generation alkenylaziridine opening is represented by the opportunity to combine the addition reaction with an in situ Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation step, thus providing access to trisubstituted cyclopropane dipeptide isosteres (ψ[RCp]) (Scheme 6).

Hydrozirconation of alkyne 40 followed by in situ sequential addition of dimethylzinc, diphenylphosphinoylimine, and diiodomethane provided cyclopropane 41 as a mixture of diastereomers which were separated after deprotection and N-Cbz protection. Alcohols 42 and 43 could be oxidized to the acid and coupled with amines to give backbone-cyclopropanated tetrapeptide mimetics 44 and 45, respectively. Alternatively, two-step oxidation of 43 and coupling with phenylalanine led to the chain-extended PLM 46.

In addition to the β,γ-cyclopropyl-δ-amino acids obtained as part of the synthetic sequence shown in Scheme 6, we were also interested in the access to α,β-cyclopropyl-γ-amino acids 49, which we considered isosteric to the vinylogous amino acids 48 (Fig. 5). A number of natural products, including the cyclotheonamides, feature the latter residues and have inspired synthetic as well as conformational studies. However, the chemistry of the corresponding cyclopropane derivatives has been surprisingly neglected. We chose the phenylglycine derivatives ΔPhg (52), βMeΔPhg (53), and αMeΔPhg (54) as representative examples that could be readily prepared by a multicomponent Zr-Zn imine addition strategy (Fig. 6).

Due to the electron-withdrawing effects of the silyl ether oxygen, the cascade hydrozirconation-transmetalation-imine addition-Simmons Smith chemistry of propargyl ether 55 stopped at the alkene stage, i.e. the cyclopropanation did not proceed, and allylic amide 56 was isolated in 65% yield (Scheme 7). Accordingly, we hydrozirconated the homopropargylic ether 57, and with this substrate, the in situ Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation proceeded as expected to give the cyclopropylmethylamine 58 in 61% yield. Alcohol deprotection was followed by a Grieco elimination to give alkene 60, and ozonolysis in basic methanol led to ΔPhg methyl ester 61. A related sequence of transformations starting with the 2-butynol derivative led to the βMeΔPhg building block, and a related strategy was also used in the preparation of αMeΔPhg derivative 67 (Scheme 8).

Zirconocene- catalyzed water accelerated carboalumination of 57, followed by imine addition in the microwave led to the trisubstituted alkene 62 in 85% yield. A subsequent cyclopropanation with the DME complex of bis(iodomethylene)zinc gave the syn-cyclopropylalkylamine 63 after deprotection of the silyl ether. Grieco elimination,
ozonolysis, and protective group manipulations resulted in the desired αMeΔPhg segment 67.

Among the three α,β-cyclopropyl-γ-amino acids 52-54 shown in Fig. 6, the αMeΔPhg building block exerted the strongest conformational preorganization in oligomeric assemblies. The properties of this building block in amino acid–cyclopropane isostere hybrids were studied, among others, in PLMs 68 and 69 (Fig. 7). Interestingly, 69 adopts an extended backbone conformation in the solid state, crystallizing as an anti-parallel dimer. The dihedral angles at the backbone cyclopropane-extended amino acid residue are >135°, as a consequence of minimizing allylic strain. This secondary structure preference is similar to that observed earlier by for vinylogous amino acids by Schreiber and co-workers.[34] The geometric homology between naturally occurring vinylogous amino acids and novel backbone cyclopropane-extended residues such as αMeΔPhg bodes well for the use of the latter building blocks in the design of conformationally preorganized peptide mimetics. β-Sheets are important for protein–protein and protein–DNA interactions, and templates that induce these extended conformations are useful tools in medicinal and host–guest chemistry.[35]

2.3 Synthesis and Secondary Structure Analysis of Gramicidin S Analogues with (E)-Alkene Peptide Isosteres

Gramicidin S (GS; cyclo[(Val-Orn-Leu-o-Phe-Pro)₂]), a cyclodecapeptide antibiotic agent isolated from Bacillus brevis, has a remarkable resistance to peptide-cleaving proteases.[36] Its mode of action includes interaction with microbial membrane lipids, dissipation of the chemiosmotic potential and inhibition of respiratory enzymes. This biological function, including its hemolytic activity, has been closely correlated to its secondary structure, comprised of an amphipathic antiparallel β-sheet and two type II β-turns. Replacement of the o-Phe-Pro sequence in GS has become a control experiment for the evaluation of the turn-inducing potential of many β-turn mimetics.[37] Surprisingly, prior to our work, the effect of alkene peptide isosteres had not yet been evaluated on the GS scaffold. We therefore decided to explore key replacements of the natural peptide sequence at the Leu-o-Phe β-turn as well as the o-Phe-Pro β-sheet positions in 70 and 71, respectively (Fig. 8).

Our first concern in the preparation of these target hybrid structures was the development of a scalable route for the l-Leu(ψ[(E)-C(R)=CH])-D-Phe segment (Scheme 9). The chiral alcohol 72 was obtained by acylation of the (R)-benzyloxazolidine with hydrocinnamoyl chloride, followed by alkylation of the titanium(IV) enolate with BOM-chloride and LiBH₄ reduction. O-Silylation of 72 and hydrogenolysis yielded primary alcohol 74, which was oxidized to the aldehyde and subjected to a Corey-Fuchs alkynylation sequence. Trapping with tributylstannylchloride gave stannylalkyne 76 in high yield. Hydrozirconation and selective iodination of the C–Zr bond in the bimetallic intermediate provided vinyl iodide 77. Halogen-lithium exchange followed by imine addition proceeded smoothly, and the vinyl stannane 79 was isolated in 70% yield. For the introduction of the trifluoromethyl group, stannane moiety was converted to iodide 80, the silyl ether was switched to the acetate function, and ester 81 was subjected to methyl (fluorosulfonyl)difluoroacetate and copper iodide. The desired trisubstituted alkene peptide isostere 82 was isolated in excellent yield and readily converted to acid 84 by sequential oxidation with catalytic TEMPO and cyanuric chloride, followed by buffered sodium chlorite.

Segment condensation for decapeptide assembly was initiated by coupling of acid 84 with amine 85 in the presence of EDCI and HOBt (Scheme 10). Attempted cyclodimerization of the resulting pentapeptide 86, subsequent to deprotection of both the N-terminal Boc group and the C-terminal ester, only led to the undesired cyclomonomer. Accordingly, 86 was separately converted to amine 87 and acid 88, and the secopptide 89 was prepared in 81% yield.
In addition, we also prepared the disubstituted alkene isostere shown in Scheme 10. The disubstituted alkene peptide isostere segment was synthesized in excellent yield, and subsequent conversions to target compound 98 proceeded uneventfully in nine linear steps (Scheme 12) according to the stepwise coupling procedure shown in Scheme 10.

In addition, we also prepared the disubstituted alkene isostere cyclo([Val-Orn-Leu-ψ(E)-CH=CH]-Phe-Pro-)2 (96). The route to GS analog cyclo([Val-Orn-Leu-ψ(Z)-CF=CH]-Phe-Pro-)2 was similarly straightforward with our methodology. Treatment of vinyl stannane 79 with xenon difluoride provided fluoroalkene 97 in excellent yield, and subsequent conversions to target compound 98 proceeded uneventfully in nine linear steps (Scheme 12) according to the stepwise coupling procedure shown in Scheme 10.

We have previously postulated that trisubstituted (E)-alkene dipeptide isosteres are conformationally preorganized into β-turns, and that a CF3-substituted (E)-alkene (μ = 2.3 D) would allow for an improved mimicry of the electrostatic potential surface of the parent amide bond (μ = 3.6 D).[28] In addition to solid state structures, we also compared the solution conformations of GS and analogs 90, 96, 98, and 106 by circular dichroism in order to address this hypothesis (Fig. 10). The standard, bis-Cbz-protected GS, had a deep negative trough in the region of 205–210 nm along with a shoulder at ~220 nm, which can be explained by a combination of a type II β-turn and an antiparallel β-sheet conformation. [38] In comparison, the spectral shapes of the two GS analogues 90 and 96 were quite different. The methyl isomer 96 showed a negative trough in the region of 200–210 nm and a large positive trough in the region of 215–225 nm, which indicated that 96 is likely to assume a rather flexible peptide conformation (much like a random coil). The trifluoromethyl isomer 90 displayed a negative trough in the region between 210–220 nm, which indicated a dominant β-sheet conformation. Since the two carbonyl groups of the leucine residues, which contribute to the formation of the β-turns, were replaced with C-CF3 moieties in 90, the β-sheet is nonetheless maintained by a movement of the two valine amides toward the inside of the ring and the formation of two pseudo β-turns. These two pseudo β-turns along with the two existing interstrand hydrogen bonds between N-H
(leucine) and C=O (valine), confirmed in the X-ray analysis, induce a pseudo β-sheet formation in 90. This conformational analysis is further supported by NOE and variable temperature NMR experiments (not shown).

The CD spectrum of the disubstituted alkenes 106 and fluoroalkene 98 showed a similar negative trough in the region of 205–215 nm, indicative of a β-sheet conformation. Furthermore, the signal intensity order of the trough was 98 > 106 > 90, which might reflect the degree of backbone similarity to a GS-like structure. In our working hypothesis, the circular dichroism data indicate that 90 is superior to 96 and 98 is superior to 106 when considering electronic peptide bond mimicry. When considering the size effect at the alkene moiety and its perturbation of backbone conformation, the fluoroalkene 98 becomes a more effective mimic of GS than the trifluoromethylalkene 90, and the disubstituted alkene 106 is superior to trisubstituted alkene 96. Overall, it appears that for these cyclic PLMs, the size effect plays a more important role than the electronic properties of the backbone amide replacement.

In GS analogues 90, 96, 98, and 106, the carbonyl groups pointing toward the inside of the macrocycle and participating in the intramolecular hydrogen bonding network were replaced with isosteric alkenes. We were also interested in evaluating the replacement of amide bonds within the β-turn structure that did not participate in intramolecular hydrogen bonding, i.e. analog 71. Our earlier methodology was not well suited for the preparation of proline-based alkene peptide isosteres; however, in view of the conformational properties of a trisubstituted alkene, we hypothesized that a methyl side chain at Cα would be sufficient to mimic the turn-inducing effects of the imino acid. Furthermore, due to the potentially facile imine-enamine tautomerization of phenylacetaldimines, the sulfinyl adduct[39] 108 was employed as the imine precursor in the addition reaction of hydrozirconated-transmetalated alkyne 107 (Scheme 14).

Deprotection of the BDPS group with TBAF provided the primary alcohol 110 in 47% yield. The mixture of diastereomers generated in the imine addition step was subsequently separated at the stage of valine conjugate 111 via preparative C18 reverse phase HPLC. EDCI/HOBt-mediated coupling of dipeptide H-Orn(Cbz)-Leu-OMe afforded the linear pentapeptide analog 112 in 96% yield. We initially envisioned a one-pot dimerization-cyclization of 112, however, this approach resulted exclusively in formation of cyclized pentapeptide analog, similar to our earlier failed attempt with the trifluoromethylalkene 86. Gratifyingly, the stepwise deprotection of 112 and segment coupling proceeded smoothly to afford 115 in high yield (Scheme 15). Saponification of 115 and sequential removal of the Boc protecting group with 4 N HCl in dioxane, followed by a one-pot macrolactamization, afforded the desired PLM 71 in 50% yield after purification with preparative C18 reverse phase HPLC. Furthermore, the two ornithine Cbz protecting groups were successfully removed by hydrogenation of 71 in the presence of 10% Pd/C in a 0.02 M HCl/methanol solution to afford 116.

NMR and CD experiments were in full agreement with the promotion of the type II' β-turns found for GS at the α-Phe-Pro positions by the trisubstituted (E)-alkene dipeptide isosteric replacements in PLMs 71 and 116.

3. PLM Design Validation: Biological Studies and Potential Therapeutic Applications

The development of analogs of biologically active natural products and biopolymers ultimately has to pass the test of in vivo activity, therapeutic potential, or, at a minimum, deliver a level of activity and target selectivity that justifies use as a biological probe
molecule. In addition to the microcidal activities displayed by our GS analogs, we were able to demonstrate a broad utility of GS-derived MPLs as mitochondrial targeting agents.

Our design of the 4-amino TEMPO (4-AT) derivative XJB-5-131 was inspired by the known affinity of the cyclopeptide antibiotic GS to bacterial membrane lipids. We hypothesized that the related ancestry and biochemical homologies between prokaryotes and endosymbiotic mitochondria would result in a similar affinity of gramicidin S to mitochondrial membranes and associated lipids over mammalian membrane components. Furthermore, our initial structure–activity relationships in the context of the synthesis of alkene isosteric replacements in GS demonstrated that the GS-derived mitochondrial targeting property could be preserved in shorter, acyclic fragments that retained the signature β-turn at the α-Phe-Pro position of the parent structure (Fig. 11).[28b,40] The enrichment factor of XJB-5-131 in mitochondria over the cytosol was determined to be about 600-fold.

Furthermore, XJB-5-131 was shown to prolong survival in a rodent model of hemorrhagic shock, mitigate oxidative stress, and preempt the mitochondrial pathway toward apoptosis.[41–44] A 3rd generation approach toward alkene peptide isosteres based on zirconocene methodology developed in our laboratory was used for the synthesis of this compound (Scheme 16).[32,45,46] This approach expands the N-tert-butane sulfinyl imine nucleophilic addition chemistry for the preparation of allylic amines,[47–49] and we applied it to the preparation of ca. twelve analogs. A related PLM in this series demonstrated substantive in vivo radioprotective effects.[50] In the course of optimizing the pharmacokinetic properties of XJB-5-131, we also recently identified a shorter sequence, JP4-039, as a small molecular weight analog with remarkable anti-inflammatory and radioprotective properties.[51–53] Studies for the development of additional mitochondrial targeting systems are actively being pursued in our laboratories.
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Fig. 1.
Recent examples of biologically active peptide bond isosteres.
Fig. 2.
Amide bond linkage in peptides (5) and common isosteric replacements 6–11.
Fig. 3.
Representative PLM scaffolds.
Fig. 4.
Typical alkene based PLMs obtained from alkenylaziridine openings. Diastereoselectivities ranged from 60 to >98% and yields were 50–90%.
Fig. 5.
α,β-Cyclopropyl-γ-amino acids building blocks are related to vinylogous amino acids found in natural products.
Fig. 6.
First-generation phenylglycine-derived \( \alpha,\beta \)-cyclopropyl-\( \gamma \)-amino acids.
**Fig. 7.**
Sequences of PLMs with phenylglycine-derived α,β-cyclopropyl-γ-amino acids and X-ray structure of a hydrogen-bond connected β-sheet in dimer 69.
Fig. 8.
Gramicidin S analogs with selective alkene peptide bond isostere replacements.
Fig. 9.
Backbone comparisons based on x-ray structure analyses. Top: Gramicidin S, obtained as the ornithine Boc-protected, partially N-methylated derivative 91; bottom: bis-trifluoroalkene analog 90. Only the backbone carbons and Cβ's of flexible side chains are shown.
Fig. 10.
Circular dichroism spectra for GS and analogs 90 (CF3-GS), 96 (CH3-GS), 98 (F-GS), and 106 (H-GS).
Fig. 11.
Structure of GS-inspired XJB-5-131.
Scheme 1.
Cuprate $\text{S}_\text{N}2'$ opening of alkenylaziridines can be used for alkene peptide isostere synthesis.
Scheme 2.
Solid phase synthesis of alkene peptide isosteres.
Scheme 3.
Imine addition cascade followed by Negishi cross-coupling provides a convergent access to trisubstituted alkene peptide isosteres.
Scheme 4.
Microwave-accelerated Stille coupling on stannane 29 leads to trisubstituted alkene isosteres 33.
Scheme 5.
Fluorination of vinyl stannane 29 and trifluoromethylation of vinyl iodide 36 provides fluoro and trifluoromethyl alkene amide bond isosteres.
Scheme 6.
Preparation of cyclopropane dipeptide isostere building blocks.
Scheme 7.
Preparation of the disubstituted cyclopropane-containing ΔPhg building block 61.
Scheme 8.
Preparation of the trisubstituted cyclopropane-containing αMeΔPhg 67.
Scheme 9.
Preparation of the \(\text{L-Leu}(\psi(\text{E}-\text{C(CF}_3)=\text{CH}))\)-Phe segment.
Scheme 10.
Synthesis of \( \text{cyclo}[(\text{Val-Orn-Leu-\psi[(E)-C(CF}_3]=\text{CH}]_\omega-\text{Phe-Pro})_2] \).
Scheme 11.
Synthesis of $\text{cyclo}[(\text{Val-Orn-Leu-$\psi$[(E)-C(CH$_3$)=CH]}\text{-Phe-Pro})_2]$. 
Scheme 12.
Synthesis of cyclo[(Val-Orn-Leu-ψ[(Z)-C(F)=CH]-α-Phe-Pro-)_2].
Scheme 13. Synthesis of the GS analog cyclo[(Val-Orn-Leu-ψ[(E)-CH=CH]ω-Phe-Pro)-2].
Scheme 14.
Synthesis of a GS segment 112 with a trisubstituted alkene amide bond replacement at D-Phe-Pro.
Scheme 15.
Synthesis of a GS analog 116 with a trisubstituted alkene amide bond replacement in the d-Phe-Pro β-turn sequence.
Scheme 16.
Synthesis of XJB-5-131. The agent contains an ROS/RNS scavenger (red), a conformationally preorganized mitochondrial targeting unit (blue/black), and a peptide isostere motif that decreases N-terminal exopeptidase degradation (black).