Noise-induced quantum coherence and persistent Rabi oscillations in a Josephson flux qubit
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Abstract

We predict theoretically the enhancement of quantum coherence in a superconducting flux qubit by a classical external noise. First, the off-diagonal components of the qubit density matrix are increased. Second, in the presence of both ac drive and noise, the resulting Rabi oscillations survive “in perpetuity”, i.e. for times greatly exceeding the Rabi decay time in a noiseless system. The coherence-enhancing effects of the classical noise can be considered as a manifestation of quantum stochastic resonance and are relevant to experimental techniques, such as Rabi spectroscopy.
I. INTRODUCTION

Rabi oscillations are coherent periodic transitions between the states of a two-level quantum system with a low Rabi frequency $\Omega_R$, induced by a harmonic field in resonance with the much larger interlevel spacing $\Omega \gg \Omega_R$. They are among the most direct signatures of quantum behaviour. Their observation in superconducting qubits was therefore a critical step in the direct proof of the qubits’ behavior as controlled quantum objects and in the evaluation of their parameters (see, e.g., reviews\textsuperscript{1,2,3}). The decay rate of Rabi oscillations is determined by the relaxation and dephasing rates of the system and is quite fast, which makes their observation a nontrivial task, and thus subtracts from their usefulness as a qualitative criterion of “quantunness” of a given device.

This limitation is lifted when considering the correlations in a driven quantum system\textsuperscript{4}. Then, as long as the coherence time in the system exceeds the Rabi period, the time correlations at Rabi period will reveal quantum coherence. In particular, the spectral density of the qubit response will demonstrate a peak at the Rabi frequency $\Omega_R$. This \textit{Rabi spectroscopy} was used to experimentally demonstrate the quantum behaviour in a driven flux qubit\textsuperscript{5} in its stationary regime. Alternatively, a simultaneous driving of the qubit by a high-frequency ($\sim \Omega$) and a low-frequency ($\sim \Omega_R$) signal produces a resonant response when the frequency of the latter approaches $\Omega_R$\textsuperscript{6}.

A. Quantum correlations enhanced by classical noise

In this paper we show that a classical noise, acting on the system, both reveals and enhances quantum correlations. By itself, classical noise increases the off-diagonal elements of the qubit density matrix. If a regular ac drive is acting on the system in addition to the noise, we find persistent Rabi oscillations: that is, a virtually non-decaying modulation of the fast, drive- and noise-induced oscillations of the density matrix elements, with a frequency close to the Rabi frequency. Both of these effects are related to stochastic resonance, where noise reveals the instability of the system at some characteristic frequencies; thus, increasing the signal-to-noise ratio. There is a large literature on stochastic resonance, both in nonlinear classical systems\textsuperscript{7} and in quantum systems (see, e.g., \textsuperscript{8,9,10} and references therein). In our case, the effect studied here can be understood qualitatively as the noise occasionally “resetting”
the Rabi oscillations, thus extending their lifetime to “perpetuity”, as explained below. We also show that the details of the classical noise (e.g., using colored rather than white noise) do not qualitatively affect the results.

In the calculations made for a superconducting flux qubit (e.g., 1,2,3,5,6,11) with parameters consistent with experimental data, we show that the quantum current fluctuations and quantum correlations in the qubit (related to the diagonal and off-diagonal components of its density matrix respectively) achieve a maximum at certain non-zero intensity of the classical external noise.

II. MODEL USED

A general quantum two-level system is described by the Hamiltonian (e.g., 4)

\[
\hat{H} = -\frac{\Delta}{2}\hat{\sigma}_x - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\hat{\sigma}_z \equiv \hat{H}_0 - \frac{\epsilon_1(t) + \delta\xi(t)}{2}\hat{\sigma}_z, 
\]

(1)

where \(\sigma_z\) and \(\sigma_x\) are Pauli matrices, and the eigenstates of \(\sigma_z\) are the basis states in the localized representation. The tunneling splitting energy \(\Delta\) is usually determined by the geometry and fabrication details of the specific device, while the bias energy \(\epsilon\) can be controlled externally and is split into three components,

\[
\epsilon(t) = \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_1(t) + \delta\xi(t)
\]

(static bias \(\epsilon_0\), ac drive \(\epsilon_1(t)\), and classical external noise \(\delta\xi(t)\)). In the eigenbasis of \(\hat{H}_0\) the Hamiltonian becomes

\[
\hat{H}(t) = -\frac{\Omega}{2}\hat{\tau}_z - \frac{1}{2}[\epsilon_1(t) + \delta\xi(t)]\left(-\frac{\Delta}{\Omega}\hat{\tau}_x + \frac{\epsilon_0}{\Omega}\hat{\tau}_z\right),
\]

(2)

where \(\hat{\tau}_{x,y,z}\) are Pauli matrices in the new basis, and

\[
\Omega = \sqrt{\epsilon_0^2 + \Delta^2}
\]

is the static interlevel distance.

A. Noise effects

Without loss of generality, we can assume that all the external noise is produced by the variations of the external magnetic flux in the qubit, i.e.,

\[
\delta\xi(t) = \lambda \delta f_n(t),
\]
where $\lambda$ is a constant. This noise can be thought of as produced by the control and readout circuitry. We also take

$$\epsilon_1(t) = f_{ac} \sin \omega t.$$  

The presence of the noise term in the Hamiltonian will naturally lead to a random noise source in the master equation for the density matrix. Its role is the same as of any explicitly time-dependent term describing the external field applied to the system. For any given realization of the random process $\delta \xi(t)$ the master equation and the density matrix are completely deterministic; the consequent averaging over the realizations is independent of quantum averaging.

We use the standard parametrization of the system’s density matrix through the Pauli vector $(X, Y, Z)$:

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + X \hat{\tau}_x + Y \hat{\tau}_y + Z \hat{\tau}_z),$$

to write the master equation,

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}}{dt} = -i [\hat{H}(t), \hat{\rho}] + \hat{\Gamma} \hat{\rho},$$

in the eigenbasis of the unperturbed Hamiltonian as

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = -CY - \Gamma_\phi X + \frac{\epsilon_0}{\Omega} Y \delta \xi(t);$$

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = AZ + CX - \Gamma_\phi Y - \left( \frac{\Delta}{\Omega} Z + \frac{\epsilon_0}{\Omega} X \right) \delta \xi(t);$$

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = -AY - \Gamma_r (Z - Z_{eq}) + \frac{\Delta}{\Omega} Y \delta \xi(t).$$

Here we use the standard approximation for the dissipation operator $\hat{\Gamma}$ in this basis. The dephasing and relaxation rates, $\Gamma_\phi$ and $\Gamma_r$, characterize the intrinsic noise in the system. Also,

$$A = -\epsilon_1(t) \Delta / \Omega$$

and

$$C = -\Omega - \epsilon_1(t) \epsilon_0 / \Omega.$$  

The quantity $Z_{eq} = \tanh(\Omega/2T)$ is the equilibrium value of $Z$ at a temperature $T$. 

4
III. OCCUPATION PROBABILITIES

The solutions of Eq. (3) determine the occupation probabilities of the upper (lower) level,

$$P(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp Z(t)),$$

and the quantum coherence factors $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$. Any observable, as well as its spectrum of fluctuations, can be determined from $X(t)$, $Y(t)$ and $Z(t)$. We will therefore investigate the spectra of $X(t)$ and $Z(t)$, i.e., $S_X(\omega) = X(\omega)$ and $S_Z(\omega) = Z(\omega)$. Under quite general assumptions, we can model the noise by either a white (zero correlation time) or a colored (finite correlation time) Gaussian noise. For Gaussian white noise

$$\langle \delta f_n(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \delta f_n(t) \delta f_n(t') \rangle = 2D \delta(t - t').$$

For colored noise with correlation time $\tau$, the stochastic process $\delta f_n(t)$ is determined by the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \delta f_n(t) = -\frac{1}{\tau} \delta f_n(t) + \frac{1}{\tau} \zeta(t), \quad (4)$$

where $\zeta(t)$ is a Gaussian white noise with

$$\langle \zeta(t) \zeta(t') \rangle = 2D \zeta(t - t').$$

A. Dynamics with noise

We solved numerically the system of Eqs. (3) by the Ito method for both white and colored external noise. For the numerical simulations, we used the parameters of a superconducting flux qubit (e.g.,). This choice was made because the flux qubit is a well-understood and thoroughly investigated device. In particular, there exists a quantitative theory of its response to a low-frequency drive. The experimental techniques necessary for the observation of the phenomena we consider here are also well developed and yield results which so far are in excellent agreement with theory.

IV. SUPERCONDUCTING FLUX QUBITS

A superconducting flux qubit consists of a superconducting loop interrupted by three Josephson junctions. The state of the qubit is controlled by the applied magnetic flux
\[ \Phi_e = f_e \Phi_0 \] through the loop (where \( \Phi_0 = \hbar/2e \) is the flux quantum). In the vicinity of \( f_e = 1/2 \), the ground state of the system is a symmetric superposition of states \( |L\rangle \) and \( |R\rangle \), with clock- and counterclock-wise circulating superconducting currents of amplitude \( I_p \), respectively. The qubit is described by the Hamiltonian (1) in the basis \( \{|L\rangle; |R\rangle\} \), while in the eigenbasis it is described by the Hamiltonian (2). The bias

\[ \epsilon = I_p \Phi_0 (f_e - 1/2) \]

is tunable, while the tunneling amplitude \( \Delta \) is determined by the fabrication of the loop and the junctions. The circulating current,

\[ I(t) = I_p \left[ \frac{\Delta}{\Omega} X(t) - \frac{\epsilon_0}{\Omega} Z(t) \right], \tag{5} \]

is an observable and can be detected by the impedance measurement technique\textsuperscript{17}. In this approach, a high-Q resonant tank circuit is coupled to the qubit. The tank’s impedance can be measured with high precision and is influenced by the qubit current and/or its fluctuations. Therefore the low-frequency changes in the qubit current can be directly measured (like in the so-called Rabi spectroscopy\textsuperscript{2}).

We use the following parameters for the qubit: \( I_p \Phi_0 = 200 \text{ GHz} \), and \( \Delta = 1.4 \text{ GHz} \), which are consistent with typical experiments\textsuperscript{2,5,17}. We also assume reasonable, even somewhat pessimistic, values for \( \Gamma_r \) and \( \Gamma_\phi \) (both equal to 0.1 GHz). Note that for this choice of decoherence rates there appear virtually no spectral features in the absence of external noise. Our results are presented in Figs. 1 and 2. The data for the power spectrum are averaged over 50 random realizations of the random source in Eqs. (4).

**A. Noise-enhanced, not dissipation-enhanced, quantum coherence**

For white external noise and no ac drive (Fig. 1a) we see that the spectrum of the coherent part of the qubit density matrix, \( S_X(\omega) \), exhibits a response reminiscent of classical stochastic resonance: as the noise intensity \( D \) \textit{increases} from \( 10^{-7} \) to \( 10^{-4} \text{ GHz}^{-1} \), the maximum value of \( S_X(\omega) \) goes through a well-defined \textit{maximum}. The noise color suppresses the peak amplitude (at the same noise intensity), but does not shift its position as a function of frequency from the characteristic frequency corresponding to the interlevel splitting (Fig. 1b). This effect corresponds to \textit{“noise-enhanced quantum coherence”}. Unlike \textit{“dissipation-enhanced}
“quantum coherence” (observed in, e.g., NMR experiments\cite{18} and Bose-Einstein condensate experiments\cite{19}) and stochastic resonance in spin chains (theoretically studied in\cite{20,21}), the noise we consider here is not due to intrinsic fluctuations in the system, and is therefore independent of the relaxation and dephasing rates.

A similar situation arises in the presence of a periodic drive (Fig. 2a). Here, as the noise intensity increases, the spectral density of $Z$ also grows initially, and then decreases. Similarly, the colored noise suppresses the peak amplitude, but does not change its position (Fig. 2b) (the second, sharp peak in $S_Z(\omega)$ is due to resonant interlevel transitions). Note that, in the absence of the external noise, with the chosen decay and dephasing rates $\Gamma = 0.1$, Rabi oscillations quickly decay and do not show on the spectrum. The noise forces oscillations with the Rabi frequency, which produce a peak in the spectral density, thus revealing Rabi oscillations for very long times (similar to the experiment in Ref.\cite{5}). This phenomenon could be considered as another example of quantum stochastic resonance, as opposed to its classical counterpart.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Our numerical simulations show that quantum stochastic resonance in a qubit manifests itself as a resonant enhancement of the spectrum of the coherent part of the density matrix, induced by the external classical noise. In the absence of an external ac drive, the noise enhances the off-diagonal matrix elements of the density matrix, while in a driven qubit it leads to very long-lived Rabi oscillations with a randomly shifting phase. This effect is predicted to show up in the Rabi spectroscopy of superconducting flux qubits and provides another nontrivial signature of quantum coherence\cite{22,23}, which can be observed in a stationary regime, notwithstanding its formally finite characteristic decay time. The results of this work are quite general and apply to any quantum two-level system affected by an external classical noise (e.g.,\cite{24,25}).
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