Rationally Designed Variants of α-Synuclein Illuminate Its in vivo Structural Properties in Health and Disease
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α-Synuclein (αS) is a conserved and abundant neuronal protein with unusual structural properties. It appears to partition between folded and unstructured states as well as between membrane-bound and aqueously soluble states. In addition, a switch between monomeric and tetrameric/multimeric states has been observed recently. The precise composition, localization and abundance of the multimeric species are under study and remain unsettled. Yet to interfere with disease pathogenesis, we must dissect how small changes in αS homeostasis may give rise to Parkinson's disease (PD), dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and other human synucleinopathies. Rationally designed αS point mutations that prevent the protein from populating all states within its normal folding repertoire have continued to be instrumental in bringing new insights into its biochemistry in vivo. This review summarizes biochemical and cell biological findings about αS homeostasis from different labs, with a special emphasis on intact-cell approaches that may preserve the complex, metastable native states of αS.
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αS IN HEALTH AND DISEASE

“Synucleinopathies” comprise Parkinson's disease (PD), dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), multiple system atrophy and also Alzheimer's disease. DLB is the most common cause of dementia after AD and vascular dementia (Meeus et al., 2012), while PD is the most common neurodegenerative disease after AD, with an estimated life-time risk of 1.5% globally (Tanner and Goldman, 1996). Typical pathology of synucleinopathies comprises neuronal loss and neuronal/neuritic aggregation of α-synuclein (αS), a protein of 140 amino acids (aa) with an incompletely defined function involving synaptic vesicle trafficking (e.g., Scott and Roy, 2012; Vargas et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014). Since its discovery as the first causative gene product for PD (Polymeropoulos et al., 1997) and the major constituent of Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites (large aggregate structures) in patients' brains (Spillantini et al., 1997), αS has been increasingly implicated as a key pathogenic protein in sporadic and familial PD (fPD). αS missense mutations, copy number variants, and upregulated expression have each been associated with fPD (Polymeropoulos et al., 1997; Krüger et al., 1998; Singleton et al., 2003; Zarranz et al., 2004; Fuchs et al., 2008; Appel-Cresswell et al., 2013; Kiely et al., 2013; Lesage et al., 2013; Proukakis et al., 2013; Pasanen et al., 2014) or an fPD/DLB spectrum [especially E46K (Zarranz et al., 2004)].
Consequently, both native and altered αS folding states are of great interest as regards normal biology and the mechanisms, diagnostics and disease-modifying therapeutics of synucleinopathies. In order to prevent a pathological state of any protein, it is of central importance to understand its physiological state in all details. Nonetheless, αS structure and precisely how it is altered in the synucleinopathies remain unclear. A well-recognized αS species in vitro is the soluble unfolded monomer (Weinreb et al., 1996), and a recent publication showed that this state can persist in considerable part when exogenous unfolded recombinant monomers are delivered into cultured mammalian cells by electroporation (Theillet et al., 2016). However, this in cell liquid phase NMR analysis did not rule out the existence of other αS species, as the method is unable to detect membrane-bound or multimeric αS forms (Alderson and Bax, 2016). At least 10% of cellular αS is found in membrane fractions (Kahle et al., 2000; Fortin et al., 2010; Dettmer et al., 2015a), and transiently membrane-associated αS has been characterized as monomeric and helically folded (George et al., 1995) or multimeric and (presumably) folded (Burre et al., 2014). In addition, previous (Bartels et al., 2011) and recent (Iljina et al., 2016) studies report soluble, multimeric αS forms that have α-helical conformation, resist aggregation, and are distinct from pathological, β-sheet-rich oligomers that are the hallmark of synucleinopathies. If all αS forms that have been repeatedly described are relevant, they likely exist in a dynamic equilibrium with each other. Perturbation of the neuronal αS equilibrium in neurons on the other hand, may be the starting point for pathological αS insolubility and misfolding. Since their discovery, the known pP/D/LB-linked αS missense mutations have been obvious candidates for studying perturbed αS equilibria, but rationally designed variants have been informative as well.

THE REPETITIVE STRUCTURE OF αS

The N-terminal two thirds of αS contain up to nine 11-residue imperfect repeats, with the consensus core motif being KTKEGV (Bendor et al., 2013). Figure 1A highlights the KTKEGV motifs within the 140 aa sequence, and Figure 1B displays the αS aa sequence after aligning it by the KTKEGV motifs. Repeats 1–5 and 7 are highly conserved, repeat 9 is partially conserved and repeats 6 and 8 are poorly conserved. The repeats are interrupted by 4 aa (ATVA) between repeats 4 and 5. In addition to the core motif (KTKEGV) that encompasses positions 2–7 of the 11-aa repeat, the polar character of positions 1 and 9 as well as the non-polar, hydrophobic character of positions 8, 10, and 11 are relatively well conserved, as visualized by the color-code in Figure 1C (see legend). The repeats are highly conserved, both across vertebrate species and among the three homologs α-, β- and γ-synuclein. The KTKEGV motif has not been observed in non-vertebrates, and no similar sequence has been identified outside the synuclein protein family. However, perilipins (Londos et al., 1999) as well as apolipoproteins and certain plant proteins (George et al., 1995) exhibit a similar overall structure, i.e., they contain 11-aa repeats with a similar pattern of charged/polar and hydrophobic aa. Like αS, apolipoproteins interact with lipid membranes via their N-terminal regions and, interestingly, they are the protein class that is over-represented in amyloid diseases: so far four apolipoproteins, SAA, Apo AI, Apo AII, and Apo AIV, have been described in the context of amyloidosis (Sipe et al., 2014). The 11-aa repeats enable such polypeptides to form amphiphatic 11/3 helices at membranes (different from true α-helices): after exactly three turns, position 1 of the next 11-aa repeat is reached. Figure 1D illustrates in a simplified fashion the 11/3 helix formation of αS for repeats 1–7 in the context of the rest of the protein including the ATVA intervening sequence. The formation of helical αS on membranes was predicted from its sequence and formally demonstrated by binding to artificial membranes in vitro (Davidson et al., 1998). In a simplified diagram that focuses on repeats 1–7 and ignores ATVA, Figure 1E depicts two forces that attract amphipathic αS helices to cellular membranes: (i) light gray area: hydrophobic interactions between fatty acyl chains (long curved black lines in Figure 1E) and the hydrophobic half of the αS amphipathic helix; and (ii) light blue areas: electrostatic interactions between positively charged lysines (K) on opposite sides of the helix and negatively charged membrane lipid headgroups (e.g., phosphatidylserine, phosphatidic acid, phosphatidylinositol headgroups; depicted in red) (Zhu and Fink, 2003). While a “bent” α-helix was detected on small-diameter micelles by nuclear magnetic resonance (Eliezer et al., 2001; Ulmer and Bax, 2005), the extended 11/3 helix was observed for spin-labeled protein on artificial membranes, which have a larger diameter than micelles and are expected to model the in vivo conformation better (Figure 1F: aa 9–89) (Jiao et al., 2004, 2008). Thus, through the membrane interaction of multiple KTKEGV repeats, αS likely forms one long 11/3 helix that lies along the outside surface of cellular vesicle membranes, at least half-buried in the bilayer (Bussell et al., 2005; Jiao et al., 2008; Wietek et al., 2013), while the C-terminal ~30–40 aa remain unfolded. Moreover, the preference of αS for curved membranes (cellular vesicles) instead of relatively flat membranes (ER or plasma membrane) is well established (Middleton and Rhoades, 2010; Jensen et al., 2011). Figure 1F illustrates the positions of repeat 4 and ATVA (both color-coded) within the extended 11/3 helix of αS (only aa 9–89 are shown). Moreover, the positions of the lysine “wings” are shown (the hydrophobic half of the helix is below, the hydrophilic half above the plane that is defined by the lysines).

Importantly, purified recombinant αS in solution behaves like a natively unfolded protein in vitro (Weinreb et al., 1996; Bertoncini et al., 2005). The in vivo occurrence of this αS conformation, presumably in addition to membrane-associated helical monomers, has recently been suggested by intact-cell NMR (Binolfi et al., 2012; Theillet et al., 2016). In addition to those monomeric states, native multimeric αS assemblies have been observed by several groups (Bartels et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011, 2014; Dettmer et al., 2013; Gurry et al., 2013; Westphal and Chandra, 2013; Burre et al., 2014; Gould et al., 2014; Iljina et al., 2016). The characterization of the multimeric αS species ranges from soluble tetramers (Bartels et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011) to membrane-associated octamers (Burre et al., 2014). The
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FIGURE 1 | The repetitive structure of αS. (A) The linear 140-aa sequence of human wt αS. 11-aa repeats 1–9 with the core consensus motif “KTKEGV” are indicated, poorly conserved repeats are in brackets; aa that fully conform to “KTKEGV” are highlighted in gray. Repeats 1–9 are interrupted only once: by ‘ATVA’ between repeat 4 and 5. (B) Schematic of wt human αS by aligning its aa sequence via the KTKEGV motifs; aa that fully conform to “KTKEGV” are highlighted in gray. (C) Color-coded schematic of wt human αS by aligning its aa sequence via the KTKEGV motifs. Blue indicates basic (light blue: histidine), red: acidic, purple: polar uncharged, and black: non-polar residues. In addition to KTKEGV, the polar character of positions 1 and 9 as well as the non-polar, hydrophobic character of positions 8, 10, and 11 are relatively well conserved. (D) Color-coded schematic of wt human αS with its 11-aa repeats 1–7 arranged in the helical wheel (11/3 helix; 3 turns over 11 residues) of a membrane-induced amphipathic helix. Blue indicates basic (light blue: histidine), red: acidic, purple: polar uncharged, and black: non-polar residues. The design of the wheel diagram has been adapted from Bendor et al. (2013). (E) Color-coded schematic of αS repeats 1-7 (omitting “ATVA” between repeats 4 and 5) in an 11/3 helical wheel, embedded in the outer leaflet of a curved vesicle membrane (negatively charged lipid head-groups in red, fatty acid ‘tails’ in black). The helix is stabilized by hydrophobic interactions (gray area) and electrostatic interactions (blue area). (F) Position of repeat 4 (aa 42–52) and ATVA (aa 53–56) within the extended 11/3 helix of αS (only aa 9–89 are shown). The positions of the lysine “wings” are indicated (the hydrophilic half of the helix is below, the hydrophilic half above the plane that is defined by the lysines). The structure is based on data by Jao et al. (2008) (courtesy Ralf Langen lab, USC).

relationship and relative abundance of all these species may be highly dependent on biological context and therefore difficult to predict from in vitro experiments.

Over the years, several αS missense mutations have each been associated with familial PD (Figure 2A: wt αS, Figure 2B: mutants). Those are, in chronological order of publication: A53T (Polymeropoulos et al., 1997), A30P (Krüger et al., 1998), E46K (Zarranz et al., 2004), H50Q (Appel-Cresswell et al., 2013; Proukakis et al., 2013), G51D (Kiely et al., 2013; Lesage et al., 2013) and A53E (Pasannen et al., 2014). While all except A30P cluster around aa 50, their relative position in the αS amphipathic helix differs: A30P (11-aa repeat position: 11) and G51D (position 10) are in the hydrophobic half of the helix, E46K (position 5) and H50Q (position 9) are in the hydrophilic half; A53T/E are not found in the 11-aa repeat, but in the ATVA sequence between repeat 4 and 5. Neither is the effect of the substitution on the nature of the respective aa (e.g., charged vs. uncharged) unifying among them. Moreover, A30P binds to membranes less than wt (Jo et al., 2002), E46K binds more (Choi et al., 2004) and the A53T may exhibit similar binding (Russell and Eliezer, 2004). In contrast to that, the clustering around a “putative protein loop” (Kara et al., 2013) (except A30P) and a negative impact on physiological multimer formation (Dettmer et al., 2015a) have been proposed to be a common feature of fPD-linked mutants. Strategic “exaggerations” and “analogies” of fPD-linked mutants promise to lead to a better understanding of the effect of fPD-linked mutations on αS homeostasis, especially when studied in the cellular context (see below). Many important questions in αS research are ultimately linked to understanding αS conformational homeostasis in intact cells. How are the different αS conformations linked to αS function in vivo? How is αS structural homeostasis maintained in health and perturbed in disease? How does αS proteinaceous aggregation start, and is that truly the key pathogenic event in human synucleinopathies? How should we design strategies for therapeutic intervention? This review will emphasize that valuable tools toward answering these
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FIGURE 2 | αS, fPD-linked and strategic αS mutations. (A) Schematic of wt human αS by aligning its aa sequence via the KTKEGV motifs; aa that fully conform to “KTKEGV” are highlighted in gray (top). Color-coded (see Figure 1) schematic of repeats 1–7 (omitting “ATVA” between repeats 4 and 5) in an 11/3 helical wheel (bottom). (B) fPD-linked αS missense mutations (“ATVA” was included to illustrate A53T and A53E). (C) Non-toxic αS point mutations (in aa 1–89) identified by expression in yeast (most were identified in compound mutants and the focus is on E and P mutations). E at aa positions 3, 7, and 10 of each repeat destabilizes the hydrophobic interaction between αS and lipid tails; E at positions 2 and 4 destabilizes electrostatic interactions with lipid headgroups; the effect of E at position 8 is less clear. P destabilizes the helix independent of its position. (D) K10,12E and K21,23E. E substitutions at positions 2 and 4 of each repeat destabilize the electrostatic interaction with lipid headgroups. (E) Engineered αS single P mutants (left panel) and compound mutants A11P/V70P and T44P/A89P (right panel). The P substitutions reduce helix formation, thereby increasing the pool of cytosolic unfolded αS. “X” marks toxic single point mutants. (F) E/Q-to-K/R mutants. The positive charge of R or K presumably stabilizes the electrostatic interaction with negatively charged headgroups analogous to fPD-linked αS E46K. Left panel: yeast-toxic αS Q79R. Middle panel: E35K and E57K. Right panel: αS “2K” (E35K + E46K) and αS “3K” (E35K + E46K + E61K) amplify/exaggerate E46K. (G) Hydrophobic KTKEGV repeat motif mutants. Left: “EGW” (consensus motif: KTKEGV). The bulky, non-polar W instead of V at position 7 adds hydrophobicity to the amphipathic αS helix, leading to strongly increased binding. Middle: Engineered αS mutant “KLK” (KLKEGV). The non-polar L instead of T at position 3 corrects the imperfect hydrophobicity in the amphipathic helix, leading to strongly increased binding. Right: “EIV” (KTKEIV). The non-polar I instead of G at position 6 corrects the imperfect hydrophobicity in the amphipathic αS helix, leading to strongly increased binding. (H) KTKEGV repeat motif mutants modifying the “T” position. T at position 3 of each 11-aa repeat causes imperfect hydrophobicity in the hydrophobic face of the amphipathic αS helix, leading to only transient binding. Left: “TsixK” (KKKEGV). K instead of T at position 3 reduces the hydrophobicity in the amphipathic helix. However, the additional positive charge may lead to an aberrant binding to negatively charged lipid head groups, permitting residual, but possibly abnormal binding characterized by less helicity. Middle: “TsixE” (KEKEGV). E instead of T at position 3 reduces the hydrophobicity in the amphipathic helix. Right: “TG6” (KGKEGV). G instead of T at position 3 may have a helix-destabilizing effect, while membrane-binding might be intact. (n/t, not tested; XL, crosslinking; gray areas indicate the hydrophobic face of the amphipathic αS helix; dark gray areas: increased membrane binding/stronger helix formation; white areas: decreased membrane binding/impaired helix formation).
questions can arise from informative point mutations that, e.g., prevent αS from populating all states within its normal folding landscape.

### αS Toxicity and Membrane Binding

About one decade after the discovery of αS as the principal Lewy body component and its initial structural characterization, Volles and Lansbury (2007) published a remarkable study on αS fibrillization and yeast toxicity. The authors screened a library of random αS point mutants both in vitro and in yeast in order to identify variants that could help elucidate sequence-phenotype relationships. When in vitro fibrillization and yeast toxicity of the αS variants were compared, no correlation of toxicity with fibrillation rate was observed, suggesting that fibrillation is not necessary for αS-induced yeast toxicity. A second screen in a library of several thousand yeast clones identified 25 nontoxic αS sequence variants. Most of these contained a mutation to either proline (P) or glutamate (E) that decreased membrane binding (Figure 2C) relative to wt αS (Figure 2A). The authors hypothesized that αS toxicity in yeast is caused by the protein binding directly to membranes at levels sufficient to nonspecifically disrupt membrane homeostasis. Subsequent studies helped characterize the membrane-associated toxicity of αS in more detail: αS expression in yeast (any level of αS expression in the αS-lacking S. cerevisiae is “over-expression”) was found to lead to vesicle clustering/aggregation (Soper et al., 2008) and vesicle trafficking defects (Cooper et al., 2006). The relevance of these findings beyond the yeast system, and for PD pathogenesis in particular, was highlighted when similar vesicle trafficking defects were recapitulated in patient-derived αS A53T and αS triplication iPSC cells cultures (Chung et al., 2013). However, in contrast to the yeast system, no pronounced toxicity was observed in the iPSC cells, possibly due to lower expression levels or a better ability of mammalian cells to compensate for αS-induced membrane dyshomeostasis. While this apparent lack of immediate toxicity is consistent with PD being an insidious, relatively late-onset disease, it also suggests that a “mutation amplification” strategy might be necessary to readily detect αS-induced vesicle trafficking defects and their related toxicity in mammalian cells.

A decrease in membrane binding caused by “E mutants” was confirmed upon expression in human cells (HEK and the mesencephalic neuronal cell line MN9D) by Zarbiv et al. (2014). The authors replaced two positive lysine (K) residues with two negative glutamate (E) residues at either the first (K10,12E) or second (K21,23E) KTKEGV repeat motif (Figure 2D). Reduced binding of both double-point mutations relative to wt αS was determined by a quantitative phospholipid ELISA assay. In addition, the K-to-E substitutions resulted in strongly reduced levels of soluble αS oligomers, but larger intracellular inclusions. The toxicity of the mutants relative to wt αS was not addressed in the study.

The membrane binding and toxic effects of “P mutants” in neuronal cells were the subject of a comprehensive study by Burré et al. (2012). The authors generated 13 strategic αS proline mutants within seven αS KTKEGV repeats (Figure 2E, left panel) and analyzed them relative to wt, A30P, E46K, and A53T αS in seven assays that ranged from biochemical studies on purified αS to examining the toxicity of virally expressing αS in the mouse substantia nigra (SN) by stereotactic injections. Strikingly, proline mutations in the central region of αS, referred to as NAC (non-amyloid β component) domain (residues 61–95), as well as T59P and the fPD-linked mutations A30P, E46K, A53T increased the neurotoxicity of αS. In contrast, all P mutants (except G41P) both inside and outside the NAC domain significantly reduced membrane association, as the authors had expected based on the helix-breaking effects of the proline residues. In a follow-up study (Burré et al., 2015), the same group examined proline-rich αS variants A11P/V70P and T44P/A89P (Figure 2E, right panel), plus a quadruple mutant A11P/V70P/T44P/A89P. All these compounds “P mutants” showed lack of membrane binding in various in vitro assays. Moreover, all compound proline mutants were significantly more toxic than wt αS in the cultured cells, and the respective P mutant-injected mice (SN, stereotactic virus injection) showed more motor deficits and more pronounced loss of tyrosine-hydroxylase-positive neurons in the SN compared to wt αS-injected mice (which themselves showed more deficits than control-injected mice). In cultured cells, transfection of A11P/V70P and T44P/A89P as well as the quadruple P mutant caused cytoplasmic inclusion formation that was significantly more pronounced than that of wt αS. While the authors did not address the nature of the inclusions in the study, they assumed that those inclusions were β-sheet-rich and thus different from the vesicle-rich inclusions that can be observed when wt αS is expressed in yeast or when αS variants with enhanced membrane binding are expressed in human cells (see below). At a first glance, these observations by Burré et al. (2015) challenged the assumption of “less membrane-binding = less (immediate) toxicity” as suggested by Volles and Lansbury (2007). However, it should be noted that (i) no proline mutations more C-terminal than V38P had been identified to be protective in yeast, consistent with the idea that NAC-domain mutations are more detrimental; (ii) αS amyloid formation has been reported to be more difficult to achieve in S. cerevisiae than in mammalian cells (Soper et al., 2008; Jarosz and Khurana, 2017); (iii) the only real discrepancy between the two studies are the data on A30P. The PD-causing effect of the “P mutant” αS A30P had always been at odds with the study by Volles and Lansbury (2007) and several other αS studies in yeast, where A30P behaved like a negative control for αS toxicity. In that regard, the approaches proposed by Burré et al. might overcome the previous lack of robust αS A30P cellular toxicity models.

Importantly, the study by Volles and Lansbury (2007) (Figure 2C) also identified the point mutation Q79R to increase αS toxicity paralleled by an increase in membrane binding (Figure 2F, left panel). In this context, a publication by Winner et al. (2011) is of interest, reporting the pronounced toxicity of two engineered αS variants, αS E35K and αS E57K (Figure 2F, middle panel), when virally expressed in rat midbrains. The overall effect of these two mutants on αS amphipathic helix formation at membranes might be similar to that of Q79R (Figure 2F, left panel), as additional positive charges are added
to the hydrophilic half of the helix in all three cases. More importantly, these charge changes may be analogous to the effect of the fPD-linked αS E46K mutant (Zarranz et al., 2004) (Figure 2B). αS E46K is known to bind to membranes phospholipids more tightly than wt αS (Choi et al., 2004). It was suggested that the E46K αS amphipathic helix is more stable at phospholipid membranes due to the possibility of forming an additional salt bridge between the positively charged lysine (K) and a negatively charged phospholipid head group (Perlmutter et al., 2009). This electrostatic attraction is visualized in Figure 2F, and the mechanism can likely be extended to E35K and other engineered “K mutants”. Similar to E35K, the fPD-linked E46K has been reported to exhibit more pronounced toxicity than wt αS in both yeast (Lázaro et al., 2014) and mammalian cells (Íñigo-Marco et al., 2017). Furthermore, both E35K and E46K occur in the core repeat motif (KTKEGV becomes KTKKGV), and both at position 5 of the 11-aa repeat (E35K: position 5 in repeat 3; E46K: position 5 in repeat 4). This is consistent with the “dose-dependent” effects of the “2K” compound mutant E35K + E46K (Figure 2F, right panel) and the further extrapolation to “3K” = E35K + E46K + E61K (Figure 2F, right panel) (Dettmer et al., 2015a). Importantly, a “dose-dependent” increase in αS toxicity and membrane binding (PBS insolubility) was observed in mammalian cells with each additional E-to-K mutation. In several assays, transfection of the αS 3K variant into neuroblastoma cells led to strong cytotoxicity, similar to the level caused by the pro-apoptotic protein Bax (Dettmer et al., 2015a). E46K was shown to be more toxic than wt in at least one assay, while the toxicity of αS 2K was in between E46K and 3K. The pronounced cellular toxicity of αS 3K was accompanied by the development of round cytoplasmic inclusions (Dettmer et al., 2015a). Electron microscopy (EM) identified these inclusions as round, dense clusters of vesicles of different sizes that were strongly positive for αS by immunogold (Dettmer et al., 2017). In co-expression experiments, vesicular markers of various origins (endosomal, lysosomal, and Golgi), but not mitochondrial or ER membrane markers, were found to co-localize with the αS 3K inclusions (Dettmer et al., 2017). The lack of 3K overlap with ER membranes is at odds with a report on pronounced ER interactions of E46K (Mbefo et al., 2015), which will need to be consolidated in future studies. Nonetheless, the principal effects of increased toxicity apply to all E/Q-to-K/R mutations in repeat positions 1 and 5, as presented in Figure 2F. And this seems to be related to excess interaction with vesicular membranes and/or aberrant binding to non-vesicular membranes. Importantly, the effect of expressing αS 3K in mammalian cells resembles expressing wt human αS in yeast: (immediate) cytotoxicity, inclusion formation and vesicle clusters, linking the 3K effect to wt αS pathobiology.

While no analogy to a known fPD-linked mutant exists, another theoretical strategy of increasing αS membrane binding consists in increasing the hydrophobic interaction between αS and the fatty-acyl chains of phospholipid bilayers. The imperfect hydrophobicity of the hydrophobic half of the αS amphipathic helix (Figure 1E) could be enhanced by several strategies: (i) replacing the central threonine (T) of KTKEGV with an uncharged and non-polar aa such as leucine (L), changing the consensus motif to KLKEGV (abbreviated “KLK”); Figure 2G, middle panel); (ii) replacing the small glycine (G) with a bulkier non-polar aa such as isoleucine (I), resulting in KTKEIV (abbreviated “IV”); Figure 2G, right panel); or (iii) replacing the non-polar valine (V) with a bulkier non-polar aa such as tryptophan (W), resulting in KTKEGW (abbreviated “EGW”; Figure 2G, left panel). Similar to αS 3K, such engineered αS mutants were enriched in membrane fractions, were immediately toxic when transfected into neuroblastoma cells, and led to round cytoplasmic inclusions that were again shown by EM and fluorescence microscopy to be clusters of vesicles of various origins (Dettmer et al., 2015b, 2017). These findings are consistent with earlier observations by Volles and Lansbury (2007), namely, that increased membrane binding and increased toxicity are correlated. A “KLK”-like αS variant termed “T6” (Franke et al., 2011) (4 T→L and 2 T→F substitutions) has been studied in vitro, and the authors concluded that its strongly increased membrane binding was accompanied by a lack of specificity toward target membranes: while wt αS showed a preference for curved membranes, as observed before (e.g., Middleton and Rhoades, 2010; Jensen et al., 2011), αS T6 lost this preference and also bound to flat membranes in vitro. However, co-localization analyses with different cellular markers in neuroblastoma cells showed that the highly similar αS “KLK” (Figure 2G, middle panel) was associated with vesicular, but not ER or mitochondrial membranes, consistent with a qualitatively, but not quantitatively different binding mode (Dettmer et al., 2017).

Position 2 of the KTKEGV core motif was addressed in two additional studies. Perrin et al. (2000) characterized αS “TsixK” (KTKEGV becomes KKKEGV in six repeats; Figure 2H, left panel) and “TsixE” (KTKEGV becomes KEKEGV in six repeats; Figure 2H, middle panel). TsixK and TsixE both introduce thermodynamically unfavorable charges into the hydrophobic half of the αS amphipathic helix (TsixK: 6 lysines = 6+; TsixE: 6 glutamates = 6−). Interestingly, the authors observed pronounced membrane repulsion of TsixE, while for certain phospholipid mixtures TsixK still engaged in membrane binding despite diminished lipid-induced helicity (35% helicity instead of 70% in the lipid-bound state). The complete solubility of TsixE in the presence of membranes composed of acidic phospholipids was attributed to both electrostatic repulsion and reduced hydrophobicity in the hydrophobic face of the αS helix. A different study that involved a T substitution strategy, however, questioned to some extent the biological relevance of studying αS membranes interactions in vitro: Kim et al. (2006) reported that the interaction of αS with biological membranes may be quite different from that with model membranes. The authors characterized the interaction typically observed with model phospholipid membranes as spontaneous, stable and mainly driven by electrostatic attraction. In contrast, the interaction with cellular membranes was proposed to be highly dynamic and more driven by hydrophobic attraction. Kim et al. (2006) further suggested that the latter mode of αS interaction only occurs in the context of the cytoplasm and that cytoplasmic cofactors assist the interaction, consistent with findings by other researchers (Wislet-Gendebien et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2013).
authors pursued an “in-lysate” chemical crosslinking strategy and observed that cellular αS-membrane binding was characterized by the trapping of a 17 kDa membrane-bound version of αS (p17), in addition to the expected 14 kDa unmodified monomer. A “TG6” αS mutant (KTKEGV becomes KGKEGV in six repeats; **Figure 2H**, right panel), designed to break the helical structure of the protein, abolished p17 formation, while the mutant protein was apparently still detected in membrane fractions similarly to wt αS [see Figure 4 in Ref. Kim et al. (2006); note that the authors do not explicitly assess the total membrane binding of wt vs. TG6]. Analogous to “TsixK” this could indicate that for TG6 membrane binding was still possible, but helix formation was impaired.

**αS MULTIMERIZATION**

That cellular αS homeostasis is more complex than just a constant switching between soluble (unfolded) and membrane-associated (helical) monomers was proposed in a study by Bartels et al. (2011). First, using intact-cell crosslinking, the authors observed apparent multimeric species by Western blotting in addition to the 14 kDa monomer. Second, they used native methods to isolate cellular αS under non-denaturing conditions from various natural (non-PD) sources, including neural cells and human erythrocytes. The αS assemblies they isolated had α-helical structure by circular dichroism and were sized by two methods as ~60 kDa tetramers that were relatively resistant to *in vitro* aggregation, compared to recombinant monomers. Subsequent work from several labs confirmed elements of this new hypothesis of the existence of physiological αS multimers in addition to free monomers and emphasized the dynamic nature of αS tetramers/multimers (Wang et al., 2011; Dettmer et al., 2013; Gurry et al., 2013; Gould et al., 2014; Luth et al., 2015). For example, chemical crosslinkers were found to trap 60 kDa αS (monomer MW: 14 kDa) in intact, living cells, but not in cell lysates (Dettmer et al., 2013). Questions regarding the specificity of crosslinked αS cellular multimers (Fauvet et al., 2012) triggered a search for defined point mutations in the molecule that would abolish αS tetramer/multimer formation, based on the rationale that identifying such mutations would argue for molecular specificity. Focusing on the semi-conserved KTKEGV core motif in the αS 11-aa repeats, Dettmer et al. (2015b) identified missense mutations that, when introduced in several repeats, led to the detection of solely 14 kDa αS monomers, with αS multimers virtually absent. Mapping the identified mutations based on the membrane-induced αS helix model revealed that most αS multimer-abolishing repeated KTKEGV motif variants (6 × KLKEGV = “KLK,” 7 × KTKEIV = “EIV,” 6 × KTKEGQ = “EGW”) strongly increased the hydrophobicity of the hydrophobic half of the αS membrane-induced helix (**Figure 2G**). Another multimer-abolishing mutant (6 × KTGTKV = “KGV”; not shown) identified in the study also increased αS membrane helix formation, but likely via additional electrostatic interactions between lysine residues and lipid headgroups. 6 × KTGTKV is a further amplification of αS “3K” (3 × KTGTKV; **Figure 2F**) and, importantly, iPd-linked E46K (1 × KTGTKV; **Figures 2B,F**), which both “dose-dependently” lead to multimer abrogation and increased membrane binding (Dettmer et al., 2015a). In all cases, the increase in membrane binding and loss of αS multimerization was accompanied by inclusion formation and toxicity (Dettmer et al., 2015a,b). This raised the question of what was responsible for the observed toxicity of those particular mutants: the loss of multimerization or the increased membrane binding? If both aspects of αS biology are tightly linked, the question may be hard or impossible to answer.

The study by Dettmer et al. (2015a) also revealed that 5 different PD-linked αS missense mutations (A30P, E46K, H50Q, G51D, and A53T) all shifted the multimer:monomer equilibrium toward more monomers, albeit not as drastically as the strategic repeated mutants. This is noteworthy because at least A30P (Jensen et al., 1998; Jo et al., 2002) and G51D (Fares et al., 2014) exhibit decreased, not increased, membrane binding, suggesting that the detrimental effect of αS monomer excess goes beyond increased membrane binding. Otherwise, not all five mutants, membrane-enriched such as E46K or cytosol-enriched such as A30P and G51D, would cause PD. The notion that αS A30P reduces both membrane binding and multimerization was supported by Westphal and Chandra (2013), and work by Burré et al. (2015) (**Figure 2E**, right panel) helped generalize the hypothesis that impaired formation of αS membrane-induced amphipathic helices causes αS monomer excess in the cytosol. According to Burré et al. (2015), the lack of membrane interaction of αS A11P/V70P and T44P/A89P monomers causes a failure to form native multimers at the membrane. These findings were consistent with αS TsixK (**Figure 2H**, left panel), which had been described to interfere with αS folding at membranes (Perrin et al., 2000), also abolishing αS multimerization, as assessed via YFP complementation on vesicle surfaces in intact neurons (Wang et al., 2014). Burré et al. (2015) concluded that reduced membrane binding results in the accumulation of monomeric, unfolded αS in the cytosol, as assessed by crosslinking in brain homogenates (but post lysis). It is plausible that an accumulation of unfolded soluble αS is the starting point of αS amyloid formation, since it is well-known that monomeric, unfolded αS can aggregate *in vitro* (Conway et al., 2000). In this context, it is important to note that in pure solutions *in vitro*, where both wt and P mutant αS are natively unfolded, αS A11P/V70P or T44P/A89P were not more aggregation-prone than wt. The P mutants aggregated faster only in the cellular context, in which wt, but not P mutant αS can interact with membranes, once again highlighting the importance of studying consequences of αS structure alterations in intact cells. However, membrane excess of αS – as observed for αS “3K,” “KLK” or “EIV” – has also been proposed to be the starting point of αS aggregation (Galvagnion et al., 2015), and the two possibilities are not mutually exclusive. Moreover, the amyloid nature of the observed inclusions in the respective studies reviewed here will require further analysis: EM revealed that “3K,” “KLK,” or “EIV” αS inclusions are primarily vesicle-rich αS inclusions and may only over time give rise to protein-rich, β-sheet αS inclusions. The exact nature of αS A11P/V70P or T44P/A89P inclusions that Burré et al. (2015) readily observed
upon expressing these in cell culture has not been addressed yet.

In combination, the findings by Dettmer et al. (2015a; 2015b), Burre et al. (2015) and Wang et al. (2014) are consistent with native αS multimerization being the result of an intact αS dynamic equilibrium. In such a dynamic equilibrium, αS is expected to efficiently shuttle between cytosol and membranes, i.e., it constantly binds to and gets released from lipid bilayers. Transient interactions of αS monomers with membranes may drive multimerization (Dettmer et al., 2016, 2017), something that recently was observed in an in vitro helical αS (tetramer) reconstitution system (Rovere et al., 2018). A possible scenario is that the induced amphipathic αS helices at lipid bilayers may over time cooperatively interact with each other in such a way that the hydrophobic portions of four monomers face each other, resulting in tetramer/multimer formation and simultaneous release from membranes. Too much or too little membrane association could lead to an accumulation of monomers either in the cytosol (e.g., T44P/A89P) or at membranes (e.g., 3K, KLK), respectively (Figure 3).

These considerations could help explain how both membrane-enriched αS E46K (Choi et al., 2004) and cytosol-enriched αS A30P (Jensen et al., 1998; Jo et al., 2002) or G51D (Fares et al., 2014) can cause αS dyshomeostasis culminating in the pathogenesis of PD. Indeed, a loss of αS tetramerization/multimer formation had been proposed as a unifying principle between iPD-linked αS mutants: A30P, E46K, H50Q, G51D, and A53T all shifted the dynamic equilibrium away from tetramers/multimers when studied via intact-cell crosslinking and YFP complementation (Dettmer et al., 2015a). This is consistent with the idea that αS multimers may serve as a “safe” (i.e., not aggregation-prone) storage form in the cytoplasm (Gurry et al., 2013; Westhal and Chandra, 2013). The short-term consequences of αS monomer accumulation at membranes (vesicle-rich αS inclusions; pronounced immediate toxicity) or in the cytosol (β-sheet-rich αS aggregates; more subtle/context-dependent toxicity) may differ. One important unsolved question (see above) remains the aggregation state of αS in the “vesicle-rich” inclusions. By EM [wt αS in yeast (Soper et al., 2008) or 3K/KLK αS in neural cells (Dettmer et al., 2017)], such inclusions are not characterized by fibrillar proteinaceous aggregates. In fact, while immunogold analysis clearly shows strong αS enrichment within the vesicle clusters, it is unclear if there is any direct αS-αS interaction at all, be it native or non-native. Assessed via crosslinking and YFP complementation (Dettmer et al., 2015b), αS 3K, KLK, and EIV appeared largely monomeric (at least the crosslinking assays should be able to detect both β-sheet and helical assemblies of αS). However, the aggregation of αS at membranes has been demonstrated (Galvagnion et al., 2015) and only the kinetics of aggregation and toxicity may differ between αS cytosol and membrane accumulation. Eventually, cytosol and membrane pathways of αS dyshomeostasis may converge in common pathological mechanisms, e.g., after the (relatively slow) formation of β-sheet-rich αS aggregates at membranes (Galvagnion et al., 2015). Alternatively, the principle of selective vulnerability (discussed in Walsh and Selkoe, 2016) may help explain why certain brain regions are susceptible to any αS-related insult, while other regions are not. Engineered αS variants, which have more pronounced effects than the iPD-linked mutations, are expected to continue contributing to a better understanding of these phenomena, especially when tested in animal models.

The observation that both increased and decreased membrane binding reduces the αS multimer:monomer ratio may indicate that the folding landscape of wt αS is well balanced to minimize both aggregation-prone unfolded, cytoplasmic monomers and membrane-toxicity causing membrane-associated monomers. Consequently, it may not be possible to further “improve” the
native wt αS membranecytosol and multimer:monomer ratios by protein engineering. Disturbed αS homeostasis, however, may be corrected in the future by interfering with αS membrane-interactions. Interestingly, the drug squalamine has recently been reported to displace αS from lipid vesicles, thereby inhibiting aggregation and reducing toxicity (Perni et al., 2017; Pineda and Burré, 2017). However, an A30P carrier may not benefit from such a treatment because A30P already exhibits decreased membrane binding. Also for sporadic PD cases (wt αS), "precision medicine" might be required to determine if the underlying αS dyshomeostasis can be resolved by an increase or a decrease of αS membrane interaction. Alternatively, compounds that can directly stabilize αS tetramers/multimers, analogous to tafamidis in stabilizing transthyretin tetramers in that amyloidosis (Johnson et al., 2012), could arise as "one-size fit all" drugs. The design of such compounds would be facilitated by resolving the structure of tetrameric αS, which appears highly challenging in light of the reported lysis sensitivity of αS multimers (Dettmer et al., 2013; Luth et al., 2015). It is tempting to speculate that membrane-enriched αS variants such as KLK and EIV might even help stabilize αS multimers in vitro if we found methods and conditions to release membrane-enriched αS variants from membranes while the hydrophobic faces of their amphipathic helices engage in ordered synuclein-synuclein interactions at the same time. This approach, of course, would only be valid if the mechanism that drives wt αS multimerization in vivo is sufficiently similar to this scenario, for which there is some evidence (Wang et al., 2011; Gurry et al., 2013; Rovere et al., 2018).

αS FUNCTION

Several studies have linked αS function to synaptic vesicle trafficking (Abeliovich et al., 2000; Cooper et al., 2006; Larsen et al., 2006; Nemani et al., 2010; Vargas et al., 2014, 2017; Logan et al., 2017). While the exact details are still under debate, this function seems to be tied to transient αS-membrane interactions, which also seem to play a role in αS multimerization (Rovere et al., 2018). Initial reports focused on effects of αS on directly stabilizing curved membrane structures (Kamp et al., 2010; Varkey et al., 2010), similar to BAR domain proteins (DeWitt and Rhoades, 2013; Westphal and Chandra, 2013). More recent studies described direct effects of αS on membrane clustering (Wang et al., 2014) or indirect effects of αS on vesicle fusion via the stabilization of vesicle SNARE complexes (Burré et al., 2010, Burré et al., 2014; Almandoz-Gil et al., 2018). Interestingly, both direct and indirect effects have been proposed to be mediated by αS multimerization. Based on biochemical assays, Burré et al. (2014) proposed a dynamic equilibrium between a natively unfolded form in the cytosol and a physiologically functional, multimeric form at membranes, while the latter but not the former acts as a SNARE complex chaperone at the presynaptic terminal. The study by Wang et al. (2014) coupled YFP complementation and confocal microscopy in cultured primary neurons to propose that αS multimerization occurs on synaptic vesicles and is associated with clustering of the vesicles. Wang et al. (2014) employed the αS TsiSX variant (Figure 2H, left panel) as a negative control and concluded that an αS variant that does not form multimers also does not have vesicle-clustering activity. YFP signals from wt αS-mediated YFP complementation did not only occur at vesicles, but also remained associated with vesicles, leading the authors to postulate that physiological αS multimers have vesicle-clustering activity. If this vesicle-clustering activity is indeed the function of αS, then the excess vesicle-clustering in αS-expressing yeast (Cooper et al., 2006; Soper et al., 2008) may be only quantitatively, but not qualitatively different from the normal αS activity. However, the membrane-enriched αS 3K, KLK, EIV, EGW were largely monomeric when expressed in neuroblastoma cells, as assessed via crosslinking and YFP complementation (Dettmer et al., 2015b), and still exhibited strong vesicle-clustering activity, indicating that pronounced membrane localization of αS may be sufficient to drive vesicle clustering. Thus, 3K, KLK and similar membrane-favoring αS variants may have to be considered constitutively active gain-of-function mutants with regard to vesicle-clustering activity. Cytosol-enriched αS mutations such as A11P/V70 and T44P/A89P as well as the folding-incompetent TsiSXK, on the other hand, would be loss-of function mutations (Figure 2). In another study, the generation of membrane curvature (another activity of αS that is potentially related to its vesicle-clustering activity), has been assigned to monomeric αS at membranes (Westphal and Chandra, 2013). Conversely, soluble tetrameric αS was described in the same study as a passive storage form of the protein (Westphal and Chandra, 2013). In the in part contradictory results summarized here might be resolved eventually by a model in which the protein’s ability to rapidly switch between different localizations and folding/assembly states mediates its activity. In light of such a model, the question if monomeric or multimeric αS represents the functional form of the protein becomes obsolete. An analogy for such a scenario would be SNARE proteins that switch between monomeric and (hetero)tetrameric states, thereby driving vesicle fusion events. In the meantime, the αS variants reviewed here represent valuable tools for the field’s attempt to better understand αS functions and assign certain aspects of those functions to certain conformations of the protein. As an example, it would be interesting to test if the exocytic fusion-pore dilating effect of wt αS (Logan et al., 2017) is increased or decreased for membrane-enriched αS variants and if cytosol-enriched variants have the opposite effect. In addition to the membrane-associated functions of αS, engineered soluble αS mutants offer opportunities for testing and identifying cytosolic functions of the protein.

AN ATTEMPT TO CATEGORIZE αS MUTATIONS

A simplified wheel diagram of a membrane-induced amphipathic helix of αS (Figure 1) helps categorize αS variants into two classes: membrane-enriched and cytosol-enriched variants. Membrane-enriched αS variants stabilize the formation of the αS membrane-induced amphipathic helix, cytosol-enriched variants destabilize the helix.
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FIGURE 4 | Categories of αS Mutants. Wt αS (shaded; over-simplified by choosing the color-code of the most prevalent aa for each 11-aa repeat position) and αS variants (theoretical: top row; fPD: middle row) are shown. “Mutant type” (upper row) highlights the positions at which the respective mutations are expected to exert the proposed effects. “Effect” (bottom row) shows the (exaggerated) effect of the mutations on αS homeostasis: monomers accumulate at the membrane or in the cytosol.

Cytosol-enriched αS variants can be further classified into (Figure 4):

- “P-type” variants: substitutions with proline (P) residues prevent helix formation, leading to the accumulation of cytosolic αS, which is likely unfolded, monomeric and aggregation-prone. P, known as a “helix-breaker”, should have this effect at any position in αS. fPD-linked αS A30P (Krüger et al., 1998; Jo et al., 2002) falls into this category; see Figures 2C,E for engineered analogs/amplifications.

- “D/E-type” variants: substitutions with aspartate (D) or glutamate (E) residues cause repulsion from lipid acyl chains (D/E in the hydrophobic half of the αS helix). As a result, cytosolic αS accumulates, which is likely unfolded, monomeric and aggregation-prone. fPD-linked αS G51D (Kiely et al., 2013; Lesage et al., 2013; Fares et al., 2014) is one example; see Figures 2C,H for engineered analogs/amplifications. D/E substitutions should have similar effects in the “lysine wings” (Figure 2D).

The effect of “P-” and “D/E-type” variants on αS toxicity appears to be model- and context-specific and ranges from less toxic than wt in yeast (Volles and Lansbury, 2007) to more toxic than wt in virally injected mouse SN (Burré et al., 2015). This discrepancy, which may have to do with differences in β-sheet-rich aggregation in different cellular environments, will require further elucidation. Of course, human genetics underlines the long-term toxicity of both types: the fPD-linked variants A30P (Krüger et al., 1998; Jo et al., 2002) and G51D (Kiely et al., 2013; Lesage et al., 2013; Fares et al., 2014) cause PD. However, A30P and G51D are likely not readily toxic in yeast or (simple) cellular models, consistent with membrane interactions being important for yeast αS toxicity (Volles and Lansbury, 2007).

Membrane-enriched αS variants can be further classified into (Figure 4):

- “K-type” variants: substitutions with lysine (K) residues at position 1 or 5 of each 11-aa repeat presumably lead to an energetically favorable interaction with lipid headgroups, in analogy to what has been proposed for fPD-linked αS E46K (Perlmuter et al., 2009) (Figure 2B). The result of a stabilized αS membrane-induced amphipathic helix is the accumulation of membrane-associated, helically folded (and monomeric) αS. See Figure 2F for analogs and exaggerations of E46K. It should be noted that “K-type” mutations are highly position-specific. All variants discussed here are located at positions 1 and 5 of each 11-aa repeat. A similar effect may occur at positions 8 and 9, but this remains to be tested. The positively charged histidine (position 9 in repeat 4, aa 50 in αS) may stabilize the αS helix in the environment of negatively charged lipid headgroups and therefore the fPD-linked H50Q (Appel-Cresswell et al., 2013) (Figure 2, second column from the right) may (slightly) repel αS from membranes. The presence of positively charged K (or R) at the (hydrophobic) positions 3, 6, 7, 10, or 11 will interfere with helix formation and lead to repulsion or a different mode of membrane binding [as discussed by Perrin et al. (2000); Figure 2H, left panel].

- “B-type variants”: substitutions with “bulky” residues (L, I, W, F) in the hydrophobic half of the αS amphipathic helix enhance hydrophobic interactions, leading to increased membrane dwell-time (Figure 2G). “B-type” αS variants do not have a counterpart in an fPD-linked variant. This could be coincidence or indicative of a strong toxicity that has prevented the presence of such variants in humans.

“K-type” αS variants show consistent toxicity in yeast (Volles and Lansbury, 2007), cellular (Dettmer et al., 2015a), and animal (Winner et al., 2011) models, which should most likely also apply to “B-type” variants (Dettmer et al., 2015b). The pronounced toxicity of these variants, however, is possibly caused by disrupting membrane integrity and vesicle trafficking.
(Dettmer et al., 2017), and the relationship to β-sheet-rich aggregation will require further elucidation. Thus far, it is not clear if there is any direct αS-αS interaction at all in the vesicle-rich αS inclusions. Recent work (Fusco et al., 2016) suggests that excess αS monomers, that each are in contact with two vesicle membranes, could directly cause aberrant vesicle clustering. Importantly, however, the IPD-linked E46K, a “K-type” mutant, produces typical proteinaceous inclusions, Lewy bodies, in patient brains (Zarranz et al., 2004), arguing against an E46K-specific pathogenic mechanism that is entirely independent of αS proteinaceous aggregation.

LIMITATIONS OF THE PRESENTED STUDIES AND THEIR INTERPRETATION
BASID ON A SIMPLIFIED αS MEMBRANE-INDUCED HELIX MODEL

The studies presented and discussed here typically rely on the overexpression of αS, sometimes fused to whole or split fluorescent proteins, in human/rodent cells or even in yeast, an organism that does not possess synuclein. Excess/ectopic expression and the modification with tags that are larger than αS itself may of course affect the localization and structure of the studied variants. Nonetheless, all presented αS variants were compared to wt αS expressed under the same conditions and, therefore, the conclusions relative to wt αS can be expected to be meaningful. Moreover, many key observations such as the toxic effect of adding positive charges to positions 1 or 5 of the 11-aa repeat have been confirmed independent of tagging (untagged, YFP-tagged) or model system (yeast, transfected neural cells, stereotactic viral expression in mouse substantia nigra). However, the effect of expressing folding-impaired P-mutant αS ranges from less toxic (yeast) to more toxic (stereotactic viral expression in mouse substantia nigra) and, while it is obvious to consider the findings in the rodent in vivo system more relevant, the study of the “A30P-like” αS toxicity remains challenging.

It also has to be noted again that the synoptic considerations in this review are based on a simplified wheel model of αS membrane-induced amphipathic helix formation. The model ignores the “ATVA” interrupting sequence, which leads to a shift (repeats 5–7 relative to repeats 1–4) that is not reflected. The IPD-linked mutations A53T (Polymeropoulos et al., 1997) (ATVA becomes TTVA) and A53E (Pasanes et al., 2014) (ATVA becomes ETVA) cannot be assessed via the simplified wheel model (Figure 4, right column). At least for A53E, reduced membrane binding has been reported (Ghosh et al., 2014), while the membrane binding of A53T may be similar to wt (Bussell and Eliezer, 2004). Positions 8 and 9 in the 11-aa repeats seem to be understudied. While located in the hydrophilic half of the αS helix, the nature of the aa found at position 8 are surprisingly hydrophobic, especially in repeats 1–4 (V, A, L, V). This may have to do with membrane-interaction-independent requirements for helix formation and may be addressed in future studies. At position 9, aa with very different characteristics are found: A, E, Y, H, N, A, S. The only positively charged aa, H, is mutated in IPD-linked H50Q and assessing this effect based on the simplified helix model is not straightforward (see above). Moreover, the simplified wheel diagrams in Figure 2 only depict aa 9–89 while regions up to aa 97 (Fusco et al., 2016) and also the very N-terminus (Bartels et al., 2010) have been proposed to be involved in membrane binding.

It cannot be ruled out that some of the mutants discussed here have very specific effects unrelated to their position in the membrane-induced αS amphipathic helix. For example, E35K and E57K (Figure 2F) have been reported to have specific effects on αS aggregation (oligomer formation instead of fast fibrillation) in vitro, in the absence of membranes. However, based on the existing data, it appears reasonable to predict that E13K, E83K and, possibly even E105K (all at position 5 of the 11-aa repeat) have similar effects as E46K. The same should apply to E20K (position 1 of 11-aa repeat #2) and mutants generated by replacing the non-E amino acids at positions 1 and 5 of the 11-aa repeats, such as S9K or Q24K, will likely also behave similarly.

The predictive value of the simplified αS wheel diagram will continue to be tested by new strategic αS mutants that researchers will create and possibly even by newly found IPD-linked αS variants. IPD-linked A53T and A53E (see above), which are not in the 11-aa repeat may very well have unique effects and the effect of H50Q, where the only H in αS is mutated, is hard to assess based on the simplified model (see above). H50Q (Khalaf et al., 2014; Rutherford et al., 2014) and A53T (Conway et al., 1998) were reported to form amyloid more readily in vitro and – leaving the membrane-induced helix model aside – increased aggregation-propensity of soluble αS in an otherwise “normal” equilibrium of membrane-bound and soluble αS represents a sufficient explanation for long-term toxicity. It should, again, be noted though that the IPD variants A30P, E46K, H50Q, G51D, and A53T were reported to have an αS multimer:monomer ratio that was shifted toward more monomer, suggesting that a reduced multimer:monomer ratio could be the “unifying principle” of αS dyshomeostasis, independent of whether excess monomers accumulate at membranes or in the cytosol (Dettmer et al., 2015a). Lastly, important aspects of αS biology were beyond the scope of this review, which focused mainly on strategic aa mutations in the αS 11-aa repeats studied in cellulo. The composition of (vesicular) membranes has been repeatedly shown to influence αS binding (e.g., Nuscher et al., 2004), and the effect of certain αS IPD mutations on, e.g., membrane binding may depend on the exact nature of target membranes. Similarly, certain post-translational modifications such as S129 phosphorylation have been proposed to be an important aspect of αS homeostasis and they have been studied, e.g., via aa substitutions that prevent the respective modifications (e.g., Lázaro et al., 2014). Such aa substitutions were not discussed here. αS function was not the main focus of this review because only two of the key studies presented explicitly addressed it; the proposed function were vesicle clustering (Wang et al., 2014) and SNARE assembly at vesicles (Burré et al., 2012). However, future studies related to other proposed cellular αS interactions such as that with mitochondria (e.g., Kamp et al., 2010) may also benefit from analyzing strategic αS variants.
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