Management of Ewing Sarcoma Family of Tumors: A Short Description of a Rare Primitive Uterine pPNET and Literature Review
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Purpose: To describe the outcome of a patient with a rare primitive uterine pPNET and to perform a review of the available data in literature, leading the clinicians to better face this rare disease.

Methods: We have rescued data regarding the multidisciplinary treatment of pPNET from the PUBMED database, highlighting also issues regarding the pathogenesis and the genetic landscape of the ESFTs (Ewing Sarcoma Family of Tumors).

Results: Ewing sarcoma and primitive neuroectodermal tumors (PNETs) are small round cell tumors presenting with different degrees of neuroectodermal differentiation. PNETs are further divided into central PNET and peripheral PNET (pPNET). Since pPNETs share the same genetic background of Ewing Sarcomas, they are considered to belong to the Ewing Sarcoma Family of Tumors (ESFTs). Multimodality treatment currently represents the best choice to offer to the affected patients.

Conclusion: Although pPNETs are generally diagnosed in children and young adults, an elderly woman aged 85 years came to our attention after a diagnosis of uterine pPNET. Her medical history is presented here, along with a literature review of the subject, highlighting the main biological, pathological and clinical features, with a hypothesis about the possible future therapeutic approaches for these rare malignancies.
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Background

Ewing sarcoma and primitive neuroectodermal tumors (PNETs) are small round cell tumors originating from fetal neuroectodermal cells and showing various degrees of neuroectodermal differentiation. They are commonly diagnosed during childhood and originate in the bone, the nervous system and in soft tissues.1,2

From a pathological and genetic perspective, PNETs appear closely related to Ewing sarcoma (ES), and for a long time, it was believed that they originated from mesenchymal stem cells. Lately, recent studies on electron microscopy and immunohistochemical techniques have instead found a neuroepithelial origin of Ewing Sarcoma cells so that it is currently considered as a member of the PNETs.3 PNETs show improved neural differentiation if compared to Ewing Sarcoma,3 and include central and peripheral tumors. Peripheral PNETs (pPNETs) occur mostly in the soft tissue of the thoracopulmonary region, of the pelvis, and of lower extremities. Both ES and pPNET show the same chromosomal translocations such as t(11;22)(q24;q12) and were included in the same category of ES family of tumors (ESFTs) by the World
Health Organization Classification in 2002. ESFTs include Askin’s tumor also (Table 1), but do not include central PNETs, which have a different genetic background. While ES is mostly diagnosed in the bone, pPNETs are commonly reported in soft tissues.

**Case Report**

An 84-year-old woman came to our attention on August 1, 2017 due to vaginal bleeding.

A large, partially necrotic lesion measuring about 10 cm was reported in the uterine fundus on the whole-body CT (computed tomography) scan with and without contrast, along with multiple enlarged pelvic lymph-nodes (largest short axis, 2 cm). No distant metastases in lung, liver or bone were reported.

On August 22, 2017, the patient underwent total hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, pelvic lymphadenectomy and omentectomy.

Histological analysis showed a peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumor (pPNET) arising from the fundus of uterus and infiltrating the perimetrium and parametrium through the uterine wall (Figure 1). Peritoneal carcinomatosis, but not lymph node metastasis, was also reported.

Immunohistochemical analysis showed a strong positivity for CD99, vimentin, CD56, Synaptophysin, WT-1.

Biomolecular analyses revealed the pathognomonic translocation of PNET (11;22) (q24;q12) consisting of the fusion gene of the EWS and FLI1 genes (Figure 2).

Due to the advanced stage of the disease, ECOG (eastern cooperative oncology group) PS (performance status) of 2 and the advanced age, we decided to administer weekly paclitaxel at the dose of 85 mg/m2 for 3 weeks, followed by a stop of 1 week.

**Table 1** Classification of Ewing Sarcoma Family of Tumors

| Ewing Sarcoma Family of Tumors | Ewing Sarcoma (ES) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| **PNET**                      |                     |
| Central PNEN                  | Peripheral PNEN     |
| Medulloblastoma               | Pelvic origin       |
| Spongioblastoma               | Gastrointestinal    |
| Ependymoma                    | Genitourinary       |
| Pynealoblastoma               |                     |
| Neuroblastoma                 | Soft tissues        |
| Retinoblastoma                | Bone ES             |
| Ganglioneuroma                | Askin Tumors        |
| Esthesioneuroblastoma         | Chest wall          |

**Abbreviations:** ES, Ewing Sarcoma; PNEN, primitive neuroectodermal tumors.

**Note:** Peripheral PNEN, from a genetic point of view, are much more similar to Ewing Sarcoma than to the PNEN.

After the first cycle was finished, with no noteworthy toxicity, the patient refused to continue chemotherapy and...
received best supportive care only. She died on November 12, 2017, 4 months after the first diagnosis, as a result of peritoneal carcinomatosis.

**Discussion**

Ewing Sarcoma and PNETs are similar from an histological, genetic and a clinical point of view, since they are characterized by small, round blue cells, and importantly by a bad clinical behavior and a poor life expectancy, due to their metastatic potential. Since central PNETs are characterized by different genetic abnormalities, only Ewing sarcoma and pPNET are included in the same family of tumors, named ESFTs.  

ESFTs are composed of small cells with a high nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio. ESFTs cells show expression of CD99 or MIC2 on immunohistochemistry. Antibody against FLI1 has been shown to be specific for ESFTs. Depending on the degree of neuroectodermal differentiation, they may also express neuron-specific enolase (NSE), synaptophysin, and S-100 protein.  

While central PNETs are characterized by mutations of RASSF1A, NOTCH1 and, especially in neuroblastoma, c-MYC amplifications, ESFTs display different genetic changes, which render these last histologically and clinically very different from the firsts.  

Ewing sarcoma/PNETs demonstrate, in fact, the characteristic translocation (11;22) (q24;q12) in more than 90% of the cases. Most commonly, this involves a rearrangement of the EWS and FLI1 genes (85%) or EWS and ERG genes (10%). The presence of a (11;22) (q24;q12) translocation is therefore the strongest diagnostic feature able to identify Ewing sarcoma/PNET.  

The fusion of EWS gene on 22q12 with the FLI1 gene on 11q24 results in a chimeric fusion transcript EWS-FLI1.  

The EWS gene is a part of TET family of genes, which encode for proteins able to bind RNA and to participate in transcription and RNA processing.  

FLI1 gene is expressed in hematopoietic, endothelial cells and also in the mesenchymal cells of neural crest origin during embryonal development; it is well acknowledged to regulate the hematopoiesis and vasculogenesis.  

Moreover, overexpression of FLI1 is observed to promote self-renewal, repress Rb (retinoblastoma) protein, and induce BCL2 expression in erythroid cells with a corresponding enhancement of cell survival.  

The expression of EWS-FLI1 in murine NIH-3T3 cells may result in anchorage-independent growth and accelerated tumorigenesis with a tumor phenotype reminiscent of those of the human Ewing sarcoma. Based on these characteristics, EWS-FLI1 is able to stimulate oncogenesis and it is responsible for the histological characteristics associated with ESFTs. Due to the low incidence of ESFTs, epidemiology data are scarce. Surgery represents the mainstay of treatment of ESFTs and it should be carried out whenever possible. Adjuvant chemo and radiotherapy are commonly employed in the adjuvant setting.  

The treatment approach of ESFTs includes the initial cytoreduction, obtained with the neoadjuvant chemotherapy, with the purpose to eradicate micrometastatic disease and to facilitate the effective local control, increasing the probability to obtain pathologic negative margins after the following surgery; definitive radiation or surgical therapy to eradicate all visible disease; and adjuvant treatment based on chemotherapy or radiotherapy, if not previously given. Importantly, neoadjuvant chemotherapy not only may improve the outcome after surgery but it also provides information about the disease chemo-sensitivity.  

During the past 30 years, chemotherapy has increased survival from less than 5% to 65–70% in localized tumors and to 25–30% in primary metastatic tumors, although there is still a lack of consensus on the optimal chemotherapy timing and the regimen to be used, due to the rarity of the disease.  

The most employed chemotherapy regimen lasts about 6–9 months and consists of alternating courses of two chemotherapeutic regimens: (1) vincristine, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamid and (2) ifosfamide and etoposide. In the presence of disease progression, there are no standardized second-line treatment plans. Considerations need to be made depending on sites of disease recurrence and prior therapy. Chemotherapy combinations such as vincristine/irinotecan/temozolomide seem to be valid options.  

ESFT sarcomas have a potential for hematogenous metastasis and the most common sites of metastases include lungs, bones and bone marrow. The chemotherapy regimen and initial treatment for patients with metastatic disease are the same as those for localized disease.  

In conclusion, in the case reported here of an elderly female patient with advanced pPNET the main peculiarities lie in the advanced age of presentation and in the anatomic site of origin.

**Future Perspectives**

As ESFT is a poorly differentiated tumor with both mesenchymal and neuroectodermal histological features,
it is unclear if this tumor belongs to mesenchymal or neuroectodermal malignancies, but the latest data seem to confirm its neuroectodermal origin.

With current multimodal treatment options, including surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy, the 5-year survival for localized disease is 60%. Nevertheless patients presenting with metastatic disease, have a 5-year survival of 20%. The outcome for patients with relapsed or refractory is much more poor, reaching in the best case studies the 10%. Therefore, new treatment strategies are strongly needed.

A pathognomonic genetic feature of ES is a chromosomal translocation generating a fusion protein (WS-FLI1) able to promote cell cycle, angiogenesis and metastasization. The EWS-FLI1 fusion is present only in ESFT cells and does not exist in any normal cell of the body. Thus, ESFTs contain a unique protein generated by tumor-specific translocation with a potential for molecular target.

Unfortunately, no drugs able to target the above-mentioned molecule are currently available in clinical experimentation, due to the poor solubility of the EWS-FLI1 protein, and the consequent difficulty to directly analyze it.

Currently, the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF-1R) pathway and the poly-ADP-ribose-polymerase (PARP) pathway are being investigated for potential targeted therapies.

IGF-1R is a member of the tyrosine kinase receptors linked to an intracellular pathway able to promote cell survival and growth. One of the main intracellular pathways stimulated by IGF-1R dimerization is the MAPK (mitogen-activated kinase) pathway. Phosphorylation of IGF1R subunits, after their dimerization, induces, through the downstream signaling proteins IR substrate (IRS), the activation of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) and MAPK pathway, thus resulting in the stimulation of cellular proliferation, cell motility and inhibition of apoptosis.

Interestingly, the peak incidence of primary bone ES correlates with the increased levels of the IGF ligands in puberty. Starting from 1990, different preclinical trials demonstrated that IGF1 is expressed in ES carrying a t(11;22) translocation and that blocking the IGF-1 loop inhibits cell growth. Scotlandi et al, using “in vitro” cell models, demonstrated that wild-type cells of ES showing the fusion protein (EWS-FLI1) had a greater degree of ligand-stimulated IRS phosphorylation, thus giving evidence that altered IGF-1R signaling by expression of the EWSR1-FLI1 fusion protein is required to transform the cell lines.

These data are in favor of a “cross talk” between the two pathways, namely those IGF-1R and those EWS-FLI1 stimulated.

The conclusion is that IGF-1R induction could arrest the EWS-FLI1-induced cell growth.

As IGF-1 is associated with ESFT growth, monoclonal antibodies against this potential target have been evaluated in six different Phase II trials conducted upon a total of 291 patients affected by ES. As a result, in these trials, two (1%) complete responses (CR), 32 (11%) partial responses (PR) of which some durable, and 61 (21%) stable diseases (SD) were observed.

Recently, Annemiek et al tried to interpret the above-mentioned data and concluded that the IGF-1R pathway is an interesting target for ES and should be further explored.

Conclusions
ES family of tumors (ESFTs) are malignancies that show variable degrees of neural differentiation but also common cytogenetic and molecular features. They comprise ES and pPNET and generally they are diagnosed in the second decade of life, while 20–30% are in the first decade. Occurrences are rare in individuals over 30 years.

Common therapeutic strategies include surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy and, generally, a multidisciplinary approach is strongly needed.

Given some unique genetic features, such as the chromosomal translocation (11;22) which leads to the aberrant production of a chimeric protein (EWS-FLI1), lately, some translational study aimed to perform a well-shaped therapy on the basis of the genetics of disease has been carried out.

IGF-1R block may be an interesting future option for patients with tumors bearing chromosomal translocation (11;22). Nevertheless, while there was great enthusiasm for this class of therapy several years ago, different cooperative group trials have not found consistent benefits or any predictive biomarkers that can help with effective use of this agent in larger groups of patients.

Being disease interesting the young adult and the pediatric age, only few data regarding therapy in the elderly are available, and presently, the chemotherapy adapted to the age and ECOG PS of the patients represents an important option. This has been the only reason for which the authors have decided to choose a so little toxic and so manageable therapy in the patient under examination.
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