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ABSTRACT: Few-layer PtSe₂ films are promising candidates for applications in high-speed electronics, spintronics and photodetectors. Reproducible fabrication of large-area highly crystalline films is, however, still a challenge. Here, we report the fabrication of epitaxially aligned PtSe₂ films using one-zone selenization of pre-sputtered platinum layers. We have studied the influence of the growth conditions on the structural and electrical properties of the films prepared from Pt layers with different initial thickness. The best results were obtained for PtSe₂ layers grown at elevated temperatures (600 °C). The films exhibit signatures for a long-range in-plane ordering resembling an epitaxial growth. Charge carrier mobility determined by Hall-effect measurements is up to 24 cm²/V.s in these films.

1. INTRODUCTION

Transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) – layered materials stacked with a weak out-of-plane van der Waals interaction, belong to the group of two dimensional materials. TMDCs include over 40 different combinations of transition metal from roup IV to VI and chalcogens (S, Se and Te) [1]. Up to now, MoS₂ has been the most studied material from this group [2,3]. However, for high-speed electronic application its low mobility seems to be the limiting factor. Thus new TMDC materials with better properties are desirable [4]. Platinum diselenide (PtSe₂) has attracted an interest due to its semi-metallic electronic structure, high optoelectronic performance, and enhanced photocatalytic activities [5]. Monolayer (ML) PtSe₂ is a semiconductor with a band gap of ≈ 1.2 eV [6]. To note, the charge-carrier mobility of PtSe₂ has been predicted to be among the highest in TMDCs [7]. Together with improved stability compared to other TMDCs [8] it makes PtSe₂ a promising candidate for high-speed electronics [4]. It has been shown
that PtSe$_2$ can find applications also for photocatalytic activity [7,9,10], photo detection [11] and quick-response gas sensing [11,12]. For all these applications, high quality large-area PtSe$_2$ films are necessary.

Several methods have been reported for the growth of monolayer and few-layer PtSe$_2$ films. Yan et al. [4] presented epitaxial growth of high-quality PtSe$_2$ thin films with controlled thickness using molecular beam epitaxy. Although this method offers the possibility to grow large size single-crystalline films on a variety of substrates, it is less suitable for device fabrication on a large scale. Chemical vapor deposition [13,14] and selenization of thin platinum films [15–18] are currently widely used methods for fabrication of PtSe$_2$ layers in a nanometer thickness range. Large-area (~ cm$^2$) films can be prepared by selenization, however, the nanocrystalline character is an inherent property of thin PtSe$_2$ layers grown using this method. The alignment of PtSe$_2$ atomic layers with respect to the substrate can also be controlled [17]. On the other hand, the nanocrystals are oriented randomly and no long-range ordering within the plane of a layer has been achieved experimentally so far. It is a reason why the as-measured charge carrier mobility in selenized films is much lower than $>1000$ cm$^2$/V.s predicted theoretically [7]. Typical experimental values span a range from below 1 to $10–15$ cm$^2$/V.s [15,16,18].

In this paper, we present the fabrication of few-layer PtSe$_2$ films by one-zone selenization. Pre-deposited platinum on the substrate and the selenium powder are placed next to each other in the center of a furnace. Recently, we used this method in preparing MoS$_2$ thin films on different substrates with the possibility to control the layer alignment [19–22]. In this paper, we study how the selenization temperature, growth time and the thickness of an initial Pt layer affect the structural and electrical properties of as-prepared PtSe$_2$ films. For characterization, methods probing the structural and chemical properties of thin films such as X-ray diffraction measurements
(XRD), Raman spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and others were used. We found that PtSe$_2$ layers grown at elevated temperatures on a sapphire substrate exhibit signatures for a long-range in-plane ordering which resembles an epitaxial growth. Charge carrier mobility determined by Hall-effect measurements is up to 24 cm$^2$/V.s in these films.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

PtSe$_2$ fabrication PtSe$_2$ thin films were prepared by a two-step method on the top of c-plane (0001) sapphire substrate. The substrate dimensions were 10 \times 10 \text{mm}^2. At first, DC magnetron sputtering in Ar atmosphere \((10^{-3} \text{ mbar})\) from a platinum target at room temperature was used for the fabrication of Pt films. The DC power and emission current were set to 580 W and 0.18 A, respectively. The thickness of the as-prepared Pt films was controlled by the rotation speed of a sample holder. Further, the pre-deposited Pt layers were selenized in a custom-designed CVD chamber. In particular, the Pt layer was annealed in selenium vapors at a high temperature of 400 – 700 °C in the $\text{N}_2$ atmosphere at ambient pressure. The substrate and Se powder are placed in the same position in the center of the furnace. The temperature of the substrate and powder is the same during the growth.\cite{19,20} This is in contrast to the standard CVD method, where the reaction takes place in a two-zone furnace with selenium powder and Pt substrate heated at different temperatures.

Chemical composition analyses

Raman measurements were performed on confocal Raman microscope (Alpha 300R, WiTec, Germany) using an excitation laser with a 532 nm wavelength. The laser power was kept as low as 1 mW to avoid any beam damage. The scattered Raman signal was collected by a 50× (NA = 0.8) microscope objective and detected by a Peltier-cooled EMCCD camera. For dispersing
the Raman spectra, a blazed grating with 1800 grooves/mm was employed. The spectral resolution of the entire Raman system is about 0.75 cm$^{-1}$. The Raman spectra were acquired at ambient conditions.

Synchrotron radiation photoelectron spectroscopy was performed using the BACH beamline at the Elettra synchrotron in Trieste, Italy.[25,26] The beamline was equipped with a Scienta R3000 hemispherical analyzer[27] at an angle of 60° respective to the X-ray incidence direction. The spectra were recorded in a normal emission geometry, with light linearly polarized in the horizontal plane. The core-level spectra were measured at a photon energy of 702 eV with a total instrumental resolution of 0.2 eV. The binding energy scale was calibrated using the Au 4f$_{7/2}$ peak (84.0 eV) of a clean gold reference. Pt 4f and Se 3d core levels were decomposed into their spectral components using Voigt line shapes and Shirley-type background. To calculate the atomic concentrations, the areas of photoemission peaks were corrected for the photon flux, analyzer transmission function, inelastic mean free paths, photoionization cross-section and asymmetry factors [28,29]. The samples were degassed in vacuum at a temperature of 250 °C for 10 minutes before the measurements.

Rutherford Backscattering Spectrometry (RBS) was used to analyze the sample composition using a 1.7 MeV He$^+$ beam. Backscattered ions have been detected at an angle of 140° to the beam direction, while the angle between sample normal and incoming beam was 18°. The measurements were analyzed with WiNDF V9.6i software.[30]

**Structural analyses** The structural analysis of PtSe$_2$ layers was performed with a diffractometer Bruker D8 DISCOVER equipped with a rotating anode (Cu-Kα) working at the power of 12kW. The crystal structure of the thin films was studied by X-ray diffraction (XRD) in a symmetrical 0/20 configuration. The thickness of PtSe$_2$ layers was determined by X-ray reflectivity (XRR). The
crystallographic orientation and the texture of the films were studied by the azimuthal (φ-scan) and rocking curve (ω-scan) measurements, respectively.

**Electrical characterization** The Hall coefficient and the resistivity were measured by the Van der Pauw (VdP) method. The charge carrier mobility was then calculated from these quantities. The measurement configuration consists of: i) an electromagnet to set the magnetic field up to 1T by a high power source, ii) a current source Keithley 2400 to set and hold the current on a constant value, and iii) a multimeter Keithley 2700 to measure the resistance and Hall voltage. Indium contacts were used.

3. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Few-layer PtSe$_2$ films were synthesized by one-zone selenization of the pre-sputtered Pt layers (0.5, 1 and 3 nm thick) on top of a c-plane (0001) sapphire substrate under different growth conditions. The temperature varied from 400 up to 700 °C. At 400 °C, the films begin to grow as early as 5 minutes after reaching the maximum temperature. However, better film crystallinity was obtained using a longer annealing time.

Figure 1a and 1b shows Raman spectra of PtSe$_2$ films selenized for 120 minutes at 400 °C and 600 °C, respectively. Only that part of the Raman spectrum is shown that reveals the lines belonging to 1T PtSe$_2$, namely the $E_g$ mode at around 175 cm$^{-1}$ and the $A_{1g}$ mode at $\sim$ 207 cm$^{-1}$. The intensity in the spectra was normalized to the height of the $A_{1g}$ line. As expected, the ratio $A_{1g} / E_g$ increases as the number of PtSe$_2$ layers increases [23]. Beside the $E_g$ and $A_{1g}$ peaks, also a peak at $\sim$240 cm$^{-1}$ was observed for all films, however, the most intense for the thinnest films (0.5 nm Pt). This peak was attributed to a longitudinal optical (LO) mode. The latter is a combination
of the out-of-plane ($A_{2u}$) and in-plane ($E_u$) vibrations of platinum and selenium atoms, respectively [4]. The LO mode splits into two peaks for the films prepared from 0.5 nm Pt layer and the splitting is more pronounced in the case of higher selenization temperature. A similar splitting of the LO mode was observed for PtSe$_2$ monolayer films prepared by molecular beam epitaxy [4].
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**Figure 1.** (a) Normalized Raman spectra of PtSe$_2$ films prepared at (a) 400 °C for 120 min and (b) at 600 °C for 120 min.

We have increased the selenization temperature up to 700 °C. However, such a high temperature led to a deterioration in the quality of PtSe$_2$ films.

The chemical composition of the PtSe$_2$ films was probed by synchrotron-radiation high-resolution XPS at 702 eV photon energy. Only the presence of platinum and selenium was observed in a wide survey scan. Figure 2 shows Pt 4f and Se 3d spectra of the films prepared at 400 °C and 600 °C / 120 min. Two components can be distinguished in the spectra. The binding energies of the primary peaks, 73.01 ± 0.06 eV for Pt 4f$_{7/2}$ and 54.36 ± 0.07 eV for Se 3d$_{5/2}$, are in a good agreement with the reported values for PtSe$_2$.[6,13,23] The Se$_{3d}$/Pt$_{4f}$ integrated intensity ratio for the sample annealed at 400°C and 600°C is 0.49±0.01 and 0.51±0.01, respectively. After taking
into account the atomic sensitivity factors, the intensities yield the Se/Pt atomic ratio of ~ 2, as expected for PtSe$_2$. However, the difference in the ratios indicates a slightly lower relative concentration of Se in the sample treated at 400°C. The secondary XPS components suggest the presence of a second phase with a Se3d$_{5/2}$ peak at ~54.7 eV and Pt 4f$_{7/2}$ at ~71.8 eV. We exclude the possibility of separated Pt and Se phases, because an unreacted metallic Pt would have the Pt 4f component at 71.0 eV in that case. Therefore, we ascribe the minor XPS features to the PtSe$_2$-based phase with under-stoichiometric Se content. XPS spectra taken at higher photon energies (i.e., with larger information depth) showed that the relative amount of the second phase is lower in bulk. Nevertheless, the higher intensity of the PtSe$_2$ components in XPS spectra showed that the films prepared at 600 °C had better chemical purity than those prepared at 400 °C.
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**Figure 2.** High-resolution XPS spectra of PtSe$_2$ films prepared from 3 nm thick layer annealed at 400 and 600 °C for 120 min.
Rutherford Backscattering Spectrometry (RBS) was used to analyze the sample composition using a 1.7 MeV He+ beam. Figure 3 shows results for the PtSe$_2$ thin films grown on a c-plane sapphire substrate at 400 and 600 °C, respectively. The two peaks observed in each spectrum belong to selenium and platinum from the PtSe$_2$ film. The steep edges in the at lower energy (channels) are due to the substrate. The fit (black line) is in excellent agreement with the measurement. The concentrations of both selenium and platinum in the layer were estimated from the fit. The Se/Pt ratio is 2.05±0.03 for films prepared at 400 °C and 1.92±0.03 for those prepared at 600 °C in agreement with results obtained from synchrotron radiation high-resolution XPS measurements.

**Figure 3.** RBS spectra of PtSe$_2$ films prepared from 3 nm thick layer annealed at 400 and 600 °C for 30 min.

The structure of as-prepared films was examined by XRD measurements. Figure 4 shows XRD patterns of PtSe$_2$ thin grown at 400 and 600 °C for 120 minutes from 1 and 3 nm thick Pt
layers measured in a symmetrical $\theta$/2$\theta$ configuration. Only a 001 diffraction is visible in the patterns suggesting a strong texturation with the c-axis of a PtSe$_2$ layer perpendicular to the substrate. A rise in the diffraction intensity with increased thickness and selenization temperature is related to the total volume of the PtSe$_2$ phase in the sample. A slight up-shift of the diffraction peaks indicates a smaller inter-layer distance in the thicker samples. However, it was not possible to quantify the lattice parameter change with sufficient precision because the measurements were not performed in a high-resolution mode and a single peak was observed in the diffraction pattern. Comparing the pattern of the films grown at higher temperature to those grown at 400 °C, it is clear that higher temperature increases the crystallinity of the films. It is, in particular, evident from appearing the Laue oscillations on both sides of the dominant 001 diffraction. The oscillations are interferences due to a finite thickness of the film and are signatures of coherence through the film, thickness uniformity and a negligible roughness of the film surface [24]. The position of satellite maximums and the width of the central maximum depend on the thickness of the thin film. This thickness can be determined by the position of adjacent satellite maxima $\theta_{i+1}$ and $\theta_i$ according to $d = \frac{\lambda}{2(\sin\theta_{i+1} - \sin\theta_i)}$, where $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the X-ray radiation [24]. For selenization at 600 °C / 120 min, the calculated thickness was 4.5 and 14 nm for PtSe$_2$ grown from 1 and 3 nm thick Pt layer, respectively.
Figure 4. XRD pattern of PtSe$_2$ films prepared from (a) 1 and (b) 3 nm thick Pt at 400 and 600 °C for 120 min.

The preferred orientation of the PtSe$_2$ layers was evaluated by $\omega$-scans of the 0001 diffraction peak. The maxima of $\omega$-scans are rather narrow, FWHM (full-width at half maximum) of the curves is in the order of $\sim$ 0.1°, indicating a high degree of texture in the layers. However, in most cases the $\omega$-scans comprise two maxima with varying angular separation. We can conclude that the PtSe$_2$ layers comprise of two slightly misoriented “sublayers” – one perfectly matched to the surface plane and one declined in the direction of substrate miscut. For a detailed description of the measurement setup and results see Supplementary material (Figure S1, S2).

The in-plane ordering of the PtSe$_2$ layers was examined by $\varphi$-scans. The strongest diffraction of hexagonal PtSe$_2$ phase 1011 was chosen for analysis. The results are given in Figure 5a. As it is seen, a longer selenization time and mainly higher growth temperature lead to higher peak intensity and therefore to better crystallinity of the films. The presence of distinct maxima in $\varphi$-scans indicates a tendency to epitaxial growth of the layers. On the other hand, the maxima are rather broad suggesting that the in-plane disorder is still present. We suppose that the peak broadening was caused by the weak forces (Van der Waals) between the layers in the c-direction.
In order to determine the orientation relationship between the layer and the substrate, $\varphi$-scan of the diffraction $10\overline{1}4$ of the sapphire was measured. According to trigonal symmetry of sapphire, three extremely narrow maxima (black curve) can be recognized in Figure 5a. Based on the mutual position of the maxima in the $\varphi$-scans of PtSe$_2$ and sapphire, one can conclude that the hexagonal lattice of the layer is rotated by $30^\circ$ with respect to the substrate lattice. The possible coincidence of both lattices is schematically shown in Figure 5b. Crystallographic orientation relationship can be expressed as

$$\text{PtSe}_2(0001)[10\overline{1}0] \parallel \text{sapphire}(0001)[2\overline{1}\overline{1}0].$$

**Figure 5.** (a) $\varphi$-scan of 101 diffraction of PtSe$_2$ films prepared from 3 nm thick Pt at 400 and 600 °C for 30 or 120 min. (b) Schematic growth of PtSe$_2$ films on the c-plane sapphire substrate.

The rotation of the layer lattice helps to overcome the significant difference of more than 20% between the in-plane lattice parameters of the sapphire substrate and PtSe$_2$ layer.
As-prepared PtSe₂ films continuously cover the substrate. The surface of the films is very smooth as confirmed by AFM. The surface roughness represented by an RMS (root mean square) value is in the range from 0.4 to 1 nm for all the PtSe₂ films grown at 400 and 600 °C (see Supplementary, Figure S3).

The low roughness and high crystallinity of the films allowed us to measure the X-ray reflectivity (XRR) and to determine the thickness of PtSe₂ (Figure 6). The intensity oscillations observed in the XRR spectrum are so-called Kiessig fringes due to the interference of the x-ray beams reflected from the upper and bottom interfaces of a PtSe₂ film. The parallelism of the interfaces and low surface roughness are necessary conditions for the fringes to occur. The distance between the fringe maxima is inversely proportional to the film thickness [24]. The latter was estimated from the fits to the XRR spectra shown in Figure 6.

Table 1. presents the thickness values calculated from XRR fits and Laue oscillations for PtSe₂ films prepared under diverse growth conditions. They are in very good agreement with each
other. The thickness of PtSe$_2$ layers is by a factor of ~ 5 larger than the thickness of the Pt films from which the layers grew.

| Initial Pt thickness | Selenization conditions | Thickness calculated from Laue oscillations | Thickness calculated from XRR |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 nm                 | 400 °C / 30 min        | 5.0 nm                                      | 5.2 nm                         |
| 3 nm                 | 400 °C / 120 min       | 13.2 ± 0.3 nm                               | 13.8 nm                        |
| 1 nm                 | 600 °C / 30 min        | 4.8 nm                                      | 4.7 nm                         |
| 3 nm                 |                        | 12.1 nm                                     | 12.1 nm                        |
| 1 nm                 | 600 °C / 120 min       | 3.9±0.2 nm                                  | 4.4 nm                         |
| 3 nm                 |                        | 12.5±0.3 nm                                 | 13.1 nm                        |
| 0.5 nm               |                        | 3.1 nm                                      | 2.8 nm                         |
| 1 nm                 | 600 °C / 120 min       | 4.5±0.2 nm                                  | 4.5 nm                         |
| 3 nm                 |                        | 14.0±0.5 nm                                 | 14.3 nm                        |

**Table 1.** Thickness of PtSe$_2$ films prepared under different conditions as estimated from Laue oscillations and Kiessig fringes.

We performed Hall effect measurements in Van der Pauw configuration to determine the charge carrier mobility in the PtSe$_2$ films. Table 2. shows the results for the PtSe$_2$ films with 0.5 – 5 nm initial Pt thickness fabricated under different conditions.
Table 2. Charge carrier mobility of PtSe$_2$ films with different thickness prepared under different conditions.

| Initial Pt thickness | Selenization conditions | $\mu$ (cm$^2$/V.s) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 nm                 | 400 °C / 30 min         | 5.1                 |
| 3 nm                 | 400 °C / 30 min         | 4.42                |
| 1 nm                 | 400 °C / 120 min        | 5.63                |
| 3 nm                 | 400 °C / 120 min        | 7.81                |
| 0.5 nm               | 600 °C / 30 min         | 23.74               |
| 1 nm                 | 600 °C / 30 min         | 12.75               |
| 3 nm                 | 600 °C / 30 min         | 14.86               |
| 5 nm                 | 600 °C / 30 min         | 7.62                |
| 0.5 nm               | 600 °C / 120 min        | 8.53                |
| 1 nm                 | 600 °C / 120 min        | 18.53               |
| 3 nm                 | 600 °C / 120 min        | 2.95                |

Some conclusions can be drawn from the values shown in Table 2. In general, samples prepared at higher temperatures show better mobility than those grown at 400 °C for the same time. However, the relation of other parameters to the mobility values is harder to recognize. In particular, we do not observe any conclusive mobility dependence on film thickness. Also, a prolonged time of selenization does not lead to higher mobility. The layer grown from 0.5 nm Pt film and selenized at 600 °C for 30 min has the highest mobility of 23.7 cm$^2$/V.s among all the samples. Our values are higher than the Hall mobilities observed in selenized samples[15,18] and field-effect mobilities.[13,14]
4. CONCLUSION

We have successfully fabricated large-area PtSe₂ few-layer films on the c-plane sapphire substrate by one-zone selenization of pre-deposited Pt layers. The structure and chemical composition of PtSe₂ samples were probed by several methods – Raman spectroscopy, synchrotron-radiation high-resolution XPS, RBS. Results obtained from XRD and XRR measurements suggest the high quality of the films. PtSe₂ layers are aligned horizontally with the c-axis perpendicular to the substrate. The layers have a well-defined crystallographic relationship to the substrate and a long-range in-plane ordering that resembles an epitaxial growth. The presence of Laue oscillations and Kiessig fringes in XRD and XRR measurements, respectively, allowed us to calculate the film thickness. It is by a factor of 5 larger than that of the platinum before selenization. Charge carrier mobility determined by Hall-effect measurements is up to 24 cm²/V.s in these films. We suppose that high crystalline ordering together with low roughness and good chemical purity ensured the charge carrier mobility values higher than those from previous reports.
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Off-set scans $2\theta/\omega$ across both maxima confirmed that they arise from the (0001) lattice planes of PtSe$_2$. In order to elucidate this strange behaviour a series of $\omega$-scans were recorded for seven different values of azimuthal angle $\varphi$ ranging from 0° to 180° with the step size of 30°. Before the
measurement the sample was carefully aligned so as the sample surface normal perfectly coincided with the $\phi$ axis of the goniometer. The result is given in Fig. S1a. It can be seen that the position of the maximum at $\sim 8.5^\circ$ remains unchanged while the position of the second maximum changes with the azimuthal angle $\phi$. The first maximum can be therefore ascribed to the lattice planes (0001) that are strictly parallel with the sample surface. At the same time, the moving one is associated with the PtSe$_2$ domains slightly declined with respect to the sample surface normal.

With changing azimuthal angle $\phi$ the normal vector (parallel with the corresponding diffraction vector) of the declined domain planes make a precession around the surface normal as is schematically shown in Fig. S1b. In Fig. S1c schematic view in the direction against the surface normal vector is shown. The coloured circles mark the positions of the precessing diffraction vector 0001 around the surface normal and the colours correspond to the particular $\omega$-scans in Fig. S1a. The horizontal line is the projection of the diffraction plane. It has to be pointed out that the $\omega$-scan of a particular diffraction can be measured despite the slight misalignment (up to $\sim \pm 2^\circ$ for standard set-up) of the diffraction vector relative to the diffraction plane. This is a consequence of weak sensitivity of standard diffractometer measurements to the precise value of tilting angle $\chi$ of the goniometer [M. ŠPANKOVÁ, E. DOBROČKA, V. ŠTRBÍK, Š. CHROMÍK, N. GÁL, N. NEDELKO, A. ŠLAWSKA-WANIEWSKA and P. GIERŁOWSKI: Structural characterization of epitaxial LSMO thin films grown on LSAT substrates, accepted in Acta Phys Pol A]. Moreover, this is the reason why the standard texture measurement – pole figure – cannot reveal this fine feature of the layer structure.
Figure S1. (a) $\omega$-scans of the diffraction 0001 of PtSe$_2$ layer measured at various azimuthal orientation $\varphi$. (b) Perspective view of the sample surface normal vector and precessing diffraction vector. (c) View in the direction against the surface normal. The coloured circles mark the position of diffraction vectors of PtSe$_2$ with respect to the diffraction plane and the surface normal. The directions of the rotation $\omega$ and tilting $\chi$ are also shown.

Further analysis revealed that the declination of PtSe$_2$ domains can be associated with the miscut of the sapphire substrates. In practice, the single crystalline substrates are never cut precisely parallel with the particular lattice planes. A slight miscut up to a few tenths of degree is admissible and has negligible effect on the process of layer growth. In order to reveal the possible role of the
substrate miscut, the direction of the miscut was precisely measured. In addition to the $\omega$-scan of the 0001 diffraction of PtSe$_2$, $\omega$-scan of the diffraction 0006 of the sapphire substrate was measured for four azimuthal angles $\phi$. The results are given in Fig. S2a, b. The positions of diffraction vectors 0006 of sapphire and 0001 of PtSe$_2$ are shown in Fig. S2c as coloured full and open circles, respectively. Evidently, the position of moving maximum in PtSe$_2$ 0001 $\omega$-scans correlates with the direction of substrate miscut. Based on these findings one can conclude that the PtSe$_2$ layers comprise of two slightly misoriented “sublayers” – one perfectly matched to the surface plane and one declined in the direction of substrate miscut.
**Figure S2.** (a) $\omega$-scans of the diffraction 0006 of sapphire substrate measured at four azimuthal orientation $\phi$. (b) $\omega$-scans of the diffraction 0001 of PtSe$_2$ layer measured at four azimuthal orientation $\phi$. (c) View in the direction against the surface normal. The coloured full and open circles mark the position of diffraction vectors of sapphire and PtSe$_2$, respectively, with respect to the diffraction plane and the surface normal. The direction of the rotation $\omega$ and tilting $\chi$ are also shown.

![Figure S2](image)

**Figure S3.** AFM image of PtSe$_2$ films prepared from 3 nm thick Pt film at (a) 400 °C / 120 min and (a) 600 °C / 120 min.
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