Global and regional brain volumes normalization in weight-recovered adolescents with anorexia nervosa: preliminary findings of a longitudinal voxel-based morphometry study
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Abstract: The recent literature on anorexia nervosa (AN) suggests that functional and structural abnormalities of cortico-limbic areas might play a role in the evolution of the disease. We explored global and regional brain volumes in a cross-sectional and follow-up study on adolescents affected by AN. Eleven adolescents with AN underwent a voxel-based morphometry study at time of diagnosis and immediately after weight recovery. Data were compared to volumes carried out in eight healthy, age and sex matched controls. Subjects with AN showed increased cerebrospinal fluid volumes and decreased white and gray matter volumes, when compared to controls. Moreover, significant regional gray matter decrease in insular cortex and cerebellum was found at time of diagnosis. No regional white matter decrease was found between samples and controls. Correlations between psychological evaluation and insular volumes were explored. After weight recovery gray matter volumes normalized while reduced global white matter volumes persisted.
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Introduction

Anorexia nervosa (AN) is a psychiatric disorder of unknown etiology that typically tends to affect female adolescents and is characterized by restricted eating, severe weight loss, relentless pursuit of thinness, and obsessive fears of becoming fat.1 Most common complications include severe alterations of the brain and peripheral-organs.2–4 It is however unclear whether these changes are the cause or the consequence of malnutrition and weight loss. Kaye et al5 distinguished the neurobiological alterations in AN into two categories: trait-related and state-related. Trait-related include premorbid, genetically-determined alterations that seem to contribute to a vulnerability to develop the illness, and state-related are alterations secondary to malnutrition that might sustain and perhaps accelerate the illness. Recent literature on the etiology of AN suggests a pathogenetic role of functional and structural abnormalities of cortico-limbic structures but actually it is still not clear whether these alterations are primary or secondary to malnutrition.6–8

Kaye et al5 described a dysfunction of two neurocircuits that might be of particular interest in AN: a ventral (limbic) neurocircuit (amygdala, insula, ventral striatum and ventral regions of the anterior cingulate cortex and the orbitofrontal cortex), important for recognizing the emotional significance of stimuli and for creating an effective
response to them, and a dorsal (cognitive) neurocircuit (hippocampus, dorsal regions of the anterior cingulate cortex, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, parietal cortex, and other regions) that is implicated in modulating selective attention, planning an effortful regulation of affective states.

Brain structural alterations in AN include global and regional volume reductions. Most studies are still conducted on adults with AN and not on adolescents. Previous neuroimaging studies in adolescents with AN that have used a classical or voxel-based morphometry (VBM) technique show global gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM) reduction and an increase in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) volumes, while others did not confirm GM or WM decrease. Use of VBM in AN during the acute phase of the illness allows to describe regional GM decreases in different cerebral areas. In adolescents with AN, the GM of the posterior (temporal and parietal) regions of the brain seem to be the most affected. In particular, in adolescents with AN of the restrictive type, a region-specific bilateral volume decrease of GM in middle cingulate cortex, precuneus, and inferior and superior parietal lobules, was described. The entity of global brain atrophy seems to be correlated not with the low body weight itself or to disease duration but with the degree of weight loss and the timetable in which it occurs. Overall GM alterations are reversible after weight restoration, but it is still not clear whether reversibility is complete. Pathogenetic mechanisms of cerebral alterations are not still completely explained and current hypotheses include: i) decreased serum proteins resulting in decreased colloidal osmotic pressure and a shift of fluid from the intravascular space into the subarachnoid spaces; ii) partial regeneration of damaged neurons and their axons with possible regeneration of myelin; iii) loss of lean body tissue mass; iv) increased urine and serum cortisol levels; and v) decreased protein synthesis resulting in loss of dendritic spines, a reduction in the number of synaptic junctions, and delayed synaptogenesis.

The aim of our study, in contrast to other published longitudinal research carried out in adolescents affected by AN, is to analyze, using volumetric magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and VBM, regional and global brain volumes at time of diagnosis and immediately after weight recovery in order to evaluate not only the degree of restoration of brain volumes but also the timetable in which these changes occur.

Materials and methods

Participants

Eleven girls, aged 11–17, who fulfilled the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth Edition, Text Revision diagnostic criteria for AN restricting type were enrolled in a period of 6 months. They were all new patients of the Eating Disorders Unit of the Child and Adolescent Mental Health Department, at the San Gerardo Hospital, University of Milano Bicocca (Monza, Italy). Five patients refused to participate in the study and two patients dropped out of the study before the radiological re-evaluation. Clinical variables such as age, body-mass-index (BMI), age of AN onset, disease duration, the presence of primary/secondary amenorrhea were evaluated by means of a clinical interview. Mean disease duration was 14.45 months (standard deviation [SD] =10.92). Eating Disorders Inventory 3 (EDI-3) was administered at admission to all participants and Hollingshead 4-factor index was carried out as a measure of socio-economical status. A normal academic performance and a normal IQ was reported for all the girls enrolled. Patients did not have any concomitant medical diseases or psychiatric comorbidity and no prenatal/perinatal/postnatal cerebral suffering was reported.

Eight age-matched girls without psychiatric disorders were also enrolled as controls.

Parents and participants were told the purpose of the study and a written informed consent to participate was obtained. The research was reviewed and approved by an institutional review board.

Procedures

MRI data acquisition

Each subject underwent two high-resolution T1-weighted MRI scans at time of diagnosis and immediately after weight recovery. Acquisition consists of a set of 160 adjacent sagittal images with a slice thickness of 1 mm and pixel size of 0.94×0.94 mm, using spoiled gradient echo sequence.

All MRI data were acquired on a 1.5T Achieva Philips scanner using the same parameters (TR =25 ms, TE =4.6 ms, FOV =240 mm, matrix =256×256) according to strictly standardized procedures.

MRI data analysis

Image analyses were performed using the VBM and the IBASPM (Individual Brain Atlas using Statistical Parametric Mapping) toolboxes as part of SPM5 (Statistical Parametric Mapping software package version 5; Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, Institute of Neurology, London); all running in MATLAB version 7.5.0.

Since subjects ranged from 12 to 17 years old, two customized T1 templates were used rather than the standard Montréal Neurological Institute template as the reference stereotactic template.
space for the pre-processing analysis. In particular a T1 template in the pre-adolescent age (12–14 years) and one in the adolescent age (14–19 years) was generated using the TOM (Template-O-Matic; https://irc.cchmc.org/software/tom.php) toolbox of SPM5; it comes with data allowing the creation of pediatric templates and tissue maps based on the objective 404 NIH data in the age range of 5–18 years.

Morphometric analysis
The VBM toolbox (http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/vbm), used to investigate the morphometric changes between the patient group and control group, increases the quality of segmentation by applying a hidden Markov random field model on the unified segmentation approach of SPM. The pre-processing of the magnetic resonance images included the following steps: bias field correction, tissue classification and registration using non-linear transformations. In order to account for the shrinkage or growth of brain regions following the non-linear spatial normalization step and to analyze volume differences between the various groups, the different tissue maps (GM, WM, and CSF) were modulated with the Jacobian determinants of the deformation parameters derived by normalization to the T1 template. The modulated GM images were smoothed with an 8 mm FWHM Gaussian kernel.

Two two-sample Student’s t-tests were performed on GM and WM modulated images to look for local volume differences between controls and anorexic patients and to determine GM and WM areas significantly greater in the first group, separately analyzing baseline and follow-up. Data were generated at $P<0.001$ uncorrected and for cluster sizes larger than 2,000 contiguous voxels ($2 \text{ cm}^3$) using a cut-off of $P<0.05$ family-wise error correction for multiple comparisons.

The anatomical localization was done using projection onto the T1 customized template. Labels and the corresponding percentage of voxels belonging to the labeled regions were obtained for each significant cluster using the Automated Anatomical Labeling (AAL) Toolbox (http://www.gin.cnrs.fr/spip.php?article217&lang=en) of SPM5.

Volume computation
The IBASPM toolbox (http://www.thomaskoenig.ch/Lester/ibaspm.htm) was used to calculate the volume of the anatomical region identified with the VBM analysis. IBASPM toolbox uses the segmentation and spatial normalization routines of SPM to perform the automatic volume computation of GM back into the individual anatomy space.

Each normalized individual GM voxel is anatomically labeled, based on an AAL Toolbox atlas of predefined structures. Taking into account the transformation matrix obtained in the normalization process an individual brain atlas that consisted of 116 different GM areas was created for each subject. The volume of each identified structure was calculated with the IBASPM volume statistic function.

Statistical analysis
All continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD. The Mann–Whitney $U$-test was used to compare partial volumes (GM, WM, and CSF), and clinical variables between patients and controls at admission and 1 month after weight recovery. Data and results obtained from the comparison of first assessment and follow-up were processed with analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Correlations between left insular volumes and EDI-3 scores were evaluated using the Spearman non-parametric correlation. The level of significance was set at $P<0.05$. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 20 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA), statistical package for social sciences.

Results

Comparison between anorexic patients and controls at first assessment
The socio-demographic features and BMI, adjusted for sex and age according to the tables for Italian children and adolescents of Cacciari et al 29 of participants with AN and controls are summarized in Table 1. Three girls with AN presented primary amenorrhea, eight girls presented secondary amenorrhea. All girls suffered from restrictive type-AN. The EDI-3 profiles in the two groups are described in Table 2.

Differences were found in WM, GM, and CSF volumes, when comparing the two groups (Table 3): participants with AN showed reduced GM and WM volumes and higher CSF volumes than controls. In the regional VBM study at first

Table 1 Comparison between participants with anorexia nervosa and control group in terms of socio-demographic and clinical variables

|                     | AN group          | Control group     | $P^*$     |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|                     | (n=11)            | (n=8)             |           |
| Age (SD)            | 13.63 (2.77)      | 13.25 (2.43)      | 0.87      |
| Hollingshead index (SD) | 2.73 (1.27) | 2.50 (1.69) | 0.58      |
| BMI, kg/m² (SD)     | 12.76 (0.84)      | 19.87 (1.45)      | <0.001    |
| Disease duration, ms (SD) | 14.45 (10.92) | –                 | –         |

Note: $^*$Mann–Whitney $U$-test.

Abbreviations: AN, anorexia nervosa; SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index.
Table 2 Comparison between participants with anorexia nervosa at first evaluation and control group in EDI-3 scores

|                         | Baseline        | Control group | Z   | p*  |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----|-----|
|                          | AN group Mean   | Control group Mean |     |     |
| Drive for thinness       | 65.64 (27.16)   | 33.50 (28.81)  | -2.196 | <0.03 |
| Bulimia                 | 24.27 (34.97)   | 35.63 (34.11)  | -1.903 | <0.06 |
| Body Dissatisfaction     | 62.55 (32.12)   | 27.00 (19.99)  | -2.245 | <0.03 |
| Low Self-Esteem          | 78.82 (19.85)   | 50.13 (28.27)  | -2.237 | <0.03 |
| Personal Alienation      | 24.27 (34.97)   | 35.63 (34.11)  | -1.903 | <0.06 |
| Interpersonal Insecurity | 70.55 (15.39)   | 23.88 (24.47)  | -3.325 | 0.001 |
| Emotional Dysregulation  | 74.36 (22.93)   | 35.25 (15.25)  | -2.991 | 0.003 |
| Perfectionism            | 75.45 (15.60)   | 36.38 (17.56)  | -3.322 | 0.001 |
| Ascetism                 | 46.73 (31.81)   | 49.50 (22.44)  | -1.452 | <0.15 |
| Maturity Fears           | 61.82 (23.33)   | 36.38 (32.64)  | -1.570 | <0.12 |
| Eating disorders Risk Composite | 64.73 (28.80)   | 28.63 (20.77)  | -2.728 | 0.006 |
| Ineffectiveness Composite| 70.64 (28.30)   | 43.25 (21.45)  | -1.906 | <0.06 |
| Interpersonal Problems Composite | 76.18 (9.39)   | 31.75 (20.20)  | -3.512 | <0.001 |
| Affective Problems Composite | 79.00 (16.24)   | 34.38 (16.26)  | -3.396 | 0.001 |
| Over control Composite   | 78.18 (16.49)   | 35.25 (19.12)  | -3.388 | 0.001 |

Note: *Mann–Whitney U-test.
Abbreviations: EDI, Eating Disorders Inventory; AN, anorexia nervosa; SD, standard deviation.

Table 3 Comparison between participants with anorexia nervosa (AN) and control group in cerebral volumes at first scan

|                        | AN group Mean (SD) | Control group Mean (SD) | P* |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----|
| Gray matter, mm³       | 586.213 (60.592)   | 689.829.50 (64.033)     | 0.005 |
| White matter, mm³      | 465.369 (44.689)   | 521.839.75 (23.645)     | 0.02 |
| CSF, mm³               | 315.480 (55.098)   | 221.033.38 (24.736)     | 0.002 |

Note: *Mann–Whitney U-test.
Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid.

evaluation several areas of decreased GM were detected in anorexic patients (Table 4; Figure 1). Statistically significant clusters were found bilaterally in cerebellum, left insula, right superior occipital cortex, right inferior parietal lobule, right and left precentral and post central gyrus, and left superior temporal lobule.

Left insular volumes weakly correlated (P=0.051) with the composite low self-esteem scale of the EDI-3 test.24

No other correlations between other identified local volumes and EDI-3 scales were found.

No WM areas of decrease were identified in anorexic patients using VBM analysis.

Comparison between first assessment and follow-up

All patients followed our therapeutic program of medical and psychological care and re-feeding at our Eating Disorder Unit. One month after weight recovery all girls underwent another a high-resolution T1-weighted MRI scan. At the time of re-evaluation three patients with secondary amenorrhea presented with normal menses during the previous 3 months, and during the period of evaluation none of the patients took medications or manifested new medical or psychiatric comorbidity.

After weight recovery mean volumes of GM increased, and CSF decreased. No statistically significant difference was observed in WM (Table 5).

Comparison between follow-up and controls

Table 6 describes the results of statistical analysis about BMI and volumetric measures comparing anorexic patients at follow-up with controls. The two groups did not differ significantly in mean BMI, global GM, CSF total volumes, while they differed in global WM volumes. The regional VBM study did not show statistically significant differences in GM and WM between the sample and controls.

Discussion

According to the literature,12 girls with AN at first assessment displayed reduced GM and WM volumes and increased CSF volumes. These data differ from the only existing longitudinal study13 in which girls with AN with a higher BMI than that.
Table 4 Statistically significant regional clusters of gray matter decrease in anorexic patients compared to healthy controls at first evaluation

| MNI coordinates (mm) | Anatomical label                        | % per cluster | Peak of t score | P-value (FWE corrected) | Cluster size (pixels) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| x y z                |                                        |               |                 |                         |                       |
| 26 -30 61            | Precentral R                           | 49.63%        | 6.78            | 0.005                   | 3,905                 |
|                      | Frontal Mid R                          | 23.64%        |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Postcentral R                          | 12.47%        |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Frontal Sup R                          | 2.64%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Supplementary Motor Area R             | 0.05%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Cerebellum Crus2 R                     | 16.93%        | 6.51            | <0.0001                 | 10,477                |
|                      | Cerebellum_Crus2 L                     | 13.95%        |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Cerebellum 6 L                         | 13.34%        |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Cerebellum 8 R                         | 9.76%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Cerebellum 6 R                         | 9.60%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Cerebellum Crus1 L                     | 7.82%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Cerebellum Crus1 R                     | 7.38%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Cerebellum 7b R                        | 3.99%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Vermis 8                               | 2.69%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Cerebellum 8 L                         | 2.55%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Lingual R                             | 2.41%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Cerebellum 7b L                        | 1.37%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Vermis 7                               | 1.01%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Cerebellum 4 5 R                       | 0.82%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Fusiform L                             | 0.62%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Fusiform R                             | 0.35%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Cerebellum 4 5 L                       | 0.19%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Lingual L                              | 0.04%         |                 |                         |                       |
| -33 -30 45           | Postcentral L                          | 47.38%        | 5.76            | 0.014                   | 2,915                 |
|                      | Precentral L                           | 26.00%        |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Frontal Sup L                          | 7.92%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Supp Motor Area L                      | 4.63%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Parietal Sup L                         | 4.15%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Parietal Inf L                         | 1.41%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Paracentral Lobule L                   | 1.20%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Frontal Mid L                          | 0.17%         |                 |                         |                       |
| 29 -64 48            | Parietal Inf R                         | 37.20%        | 5.53            | 0.023                   | 2,449                 |
|                      | Occipital Sup R                        | 19.03%        |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Parietal Sup R                         | 18.17%        |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Angular R                             | 17.48%        |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Precuneus R                            | 2.98%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Cuneus R                               | 2.16%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Occipital Mid R                        | 0.37%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | SupraMarginal R                        | 0.33%         |                 |                         |                       |
| -33 -27 4            | Temporal Sup L                         | 29.36%        | 5.25            | 0.034                   | 2,146                 |
|                      | Heschl L                               | 14.40%        |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Rolandic Oper L                        | 11.09%        |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | SupraMarginal L                        | 5.17%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Insula L                               | 4.52%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Putamen L                              | 0.28%         |                 |                         |                       |
|                      | Temporal Mid L                         | 0.09%         |                 |                         |                       |

Abbreviations: MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute Atlas; FWE, family-wise error; L, left; R, right; Oper, operculum; Inf, inferior; Sup, superior; Supp, supplementary.

of our sample showed decreased GM and increased CSF volume, without the alteration of WM volumes. In adult patients with AN, a widening of cerebral sulci, enlarged lateral and third ventricle, and a decrease of the WM volume have been described. These changes were attributed to lipolysis of the lipids that form the bulk of myelin in both WM and GM and the reduced levels of IGF-1 have been considered responsible for it. Moreover, the reduction of the brain tissue mass would especially affect the WM due to its greater proportion of myelin.
Figure 1  Regions of statistically significant gray matter decrease in anorexic patients, at first magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) evaluation, compared to healthy controls; the volumes are superimposed on the axial MRI structural template generated using the TOM toolbox.

Notes: TOM: Template-O-Matic; https://irc.cchmc.org/software/tom.php. The statistical parametric maps (SPM5 [Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, Institute of Neurology, London], $P<0.001$ uncorrected at voxel level and $P<0.05$ family-wise error-corrected at cluster level) follow the radiological representation (the right side of the images correspond to the left hemisphere) and the color bar represents the $t$ score (yellow indicates higher statistical significance than red).
One month after weight recovery the group of patients showed normalization of GM and CSF volumes, but not WM volume. This result is in contrast with two other studies conducted on weight-stabilized adolescents with AN: Golden et al showed a normalization of CSF volume only, while Castro-Fornieles and Lazaro of GM, WM and CSF volumes. Our result may suggest that GM and CSF are more sensitive than WM to weight gain and so tend to normalize immediately after or before complete weight recovery. In a previous study conducted by our research group in a sample of adolescents affected by AN we proved that the degree of weight loss (deltaBMI) correlated inversely with the GM volume while the increase of CSF compartment correlated directly with the rapidity of weight loss. We hypothesized that a similar mechanism could sustain complete normalization of GM and CSF and not of WM volumes immediately after weight recovery.

Instead, VBM analysis between the sample group and controls at first evaluation defined different clusters of reduced GM volumes in cerebellum bilaterally, left insular cortex, right superior occipital cortex, bilateral superior and inferior parietal lobule, right precentral and postcentral gyrus, and left superior temporal cortex. Our results are similar to two other studies conducted on adolescents using the same technique but differ in cerebellum an insular cortex implications.

**Table 5** Comparison between participants with Anorexia Nervosa at first evaluation and the same group at 1 year-follow up of BMI and cerebral volumes

|                | AN – T0 group Mean (SD) | AN – T1 group Mean (SD) | P*        |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| BMI (kg/m²)   | 12.76 (0.84)            | 18.87 (1.86)            | <0.001    |
| Gray matter, mm³ | 586.215 (60.592)        | 626.685 (100.600)       | <0.03     |
| White matter, mm³ | 465.369 (44.689)        | 481.015 (44.491)        | 0.120     |
| CSF, mm³      | 315.480 (55.098)        | 252.179 (52.168)        | <0.001    |
| Total volume, mm³ | 1,367.065 (124.516)    | 1,359.881 (128.373)     | 0.888     |

**Notes:** Analysis of variance; AN – T0, anorexia nervosa group at first evaluation; AN – T1, anorexia nervosa group at 1 year follow-up.

**Abbreviations:** SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid.

Decrease of GM volume in cerebellum has been described in studies on adults conducted with a classical and VBM technique. Cerebellar atrophy is described in anorexic patients and in alcoholics in which is correlated to electrolytic alterations, thiamine deficit, and reduced protein synthesis. Cerebellar involvement in AN is considered be of particular interest; indeed, it is no longer believed to play a purely motor role; rather, its role in modulating higher order neural functions is now well accepted. Some authors hypothesized that some aspects of the AN psychopathology, such as ritualistic, stereotypical, ruminative and obsessive behaviors, and perseveration, could be, at least in part, to a cerebellar dysfunction.

Insular cortex volume reduction has been recently described in adults with AN and in functional MRI studies but, to our knowledge, no studies were conducted in adolescent subjects with AN. The insula is part of the neural circuit, including the anterior cingulate cortex, involved in the analysis of the physiological feedback and all subjective findings from the body. Insula interoceptive processing contributes to the formation of an integrated sense of the physiological condition of the entire body and is crucial for the instantiation of the self-body representation. Altered interoceptive awareness might be a precipitating and reinforcing factor in anorexia.

Moreover, the insula seems to be involved in the perception of body dissatisfaction in AN. Our finding of a correlation between left insular volumes and the composite low self-esteem scale of the EDI-3 test could represent a further confirmation of these hypotheses.

In our study we found only a significant difference in global WM volumes between weight recovered AN and controls but not in regional volumes. This result differs from the only existing longitudinal study conducted on adolescents in which, after 7 months’ follow-up, persisted in anorexic patients several reduced GM areas in right temporal and in both supplementary motor areas but differs also from data of another study conducted by the same Spanish group on adolescents with a past history of AN in which no differences in global and regional volumes were found in comparison with controls. If confirmed in further investigations, our result might support the hypothesis that GM restoration precedes WM restoration in adolescents with AN and that GM is more sensitive to weight gain.

The main limitations of the present work were the relatively small sample size and the absence of MRI re-evaluation in controls.

In conclusion, our study contributes to the discussion on brain alterations in adolescents with AN. Furthermore, our
results support the hypothesis that global and regional GM decreases might be state-related and dependent on weight restoration. It will be interesting to see future studies with a larger sample size which will be able to evaluate correlations between speed and entity of weight gain and restoration of brain volumes.
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