The Yin and Yang of tRNA: proper binding of acceptor end determines the catalytic balance of editing and aminoacylation
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ABSTRACT

Faithful translation of the genetic code depends on accurate coupling of amino acids with cognate transfer RNAs (tRNAs) catalyzed by aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. The fidelity of leucyl-tRNA synthetase (LeuRS) depends mainly on proofreading at the pre- and post-transfer levels. During the catalytic cycle, the tRNA CCA-tail shuttles between the synthetic and editing domains to accomplish the aminoacylation and editing reactions. Previously, we showed that the Y330D mutation of Escherichia coli LeuRS, which blocks the entry of the tRNA CCA-tail into the connective polypeptide 1domain, abolishes both tRNA-dependent pre- and post-transfer editing. In this study, we identified the counterpart substitutions, which constrain the tRNA acceptor stem binding within the synthetic active site. These mutations negatively impact the tRNA charging activity while retaining the capacity to activate the amino acid. Interestingly, the mutated LeuRSs exhibit increased global editing activity in the presence of a non-cognate amino acid. We used a reaction mimicking post-transfer editing to show that these mutations decrease post-transfer editing owing to reduced tRNA aminoacylation activity. This implied that the increased editing activity originates from tRNA-dependent pre-transfer editing. These results, together with our previous work, provide a comprehensive assessment of how intra-molecular translocation of the tRNA CCA-tail balances the aminoacylation and editing activities of LeuRS.

INTRODUCTION

Aminoacyl-transfer RNA (tRNA) synthetases (aaRSs) perform a pivotal role in the decoding the genetic code by providing aminoacyl-tRNAs (aa-tRNAs) for protein biosynthesis (1,2). The aminoacylation reaction performed by aaRSs usually involves a two-step process in which the amino acid is first activated by adenosine triphosphate (ATP) to form an aminoacyl-adenylate (aa-AMP) intermediate and followed by transfer of the amino acid moiety of the aa-AMP to the 2'- or 3'-hydroxyl group of the terminal adenosine of tRNA to produce an aminoacyl-tRNA. These two steps are individual processes with tRNA not being required for amino acid activation of most aaRSs (2). Based on the conserved sequence and characteristic structural motifs, the 20 aaRSs can be classified into two families comprising 10 members each (3,4). Leucyl-tRNA synthetase (LeuRS), together with isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase (IleRS) and valyl-tRNA synthetase (ValRS), belongs to the class Ia subgroup, which is characterized by an insertion domain located between the two halves of the Rossman fold and referred to as connective polypeptide 1 (CP1) (5–7). The CP1 domain, marked by a threonine-rich region and located ~30 Å from the catalytic center for aminoacylation (8–10), is responsible for post-transfer editing. This process involves the hydrolysis of mischarged tRNAs generated in the synthetic active site and subsequently transferred to the CP1 editing site (5,6,11–14). Furthermore, LeuRS and IleRS possess the pre-transfer editing ability to hydrolyze misaminoacyl-adenylate intermediates (9,15–19), which can occur in the absence or presence of tRNA (16–18). The synthetic domain performs tRNA-independent pre-transfer editing in addition to its internal tRNA aminoacylation activity (16,19). Entry of the tRNA CCA-tail into the CP1 domain
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is essential for tRNA-dependent pre-transfer editing (17) and results in the conformational change of LeuRS required for acceleration of hydrolysis of non-cognate aminoacyl-adenylates (11,20). The inter-domain communication between the synthetic and editing domains of LeuRS modulates this editing activity (21). The overall catalytic accuracy of aaRSs that is required to maintain the cellular function is achieved by the cooperation of pre- and post-transfer editing (17). Translational ambiguity arising from editing defects in aaRSs has profound functional consequences, including toxicity to bacteria and mammalian cells, and has been shown to give rise to serious pathological effects in mice (22–25).

The tRNA plays the central role in the catalytic processes of LeuRS, including aminoacylation, tRNA-dependent pre- and post-transfer editing reactions in species ranging from *Escherichia coli* (*Ec*LeuRS) to humans (26–29). All of these functions of LeuRS rely on the intra-molecular translocation of tRNA CCA-tail between the synthetic and editing domains (20). The free tRNA CCA-tail preferentially binds to the CP1 domain and exhibits a regular helical conformation (corresponding to the low energy state) favoring binding to the discrete editing site under the condition that no amino acid was activated (20,30). In the aminoacylation conformation, the 3' end of the tRNA CCA-tail distorts (corresponding to the high energy state) to bring the 2'-hydroxyl of the terminal ribose into the required position for attacking the aminoacyl-adenylate intermediate, suggesting that cis-elements of LeuRS are required to accomplish the translocation from the low energy state to the high energy state (20).

Our previous work shows that blocking entry of the tRNA CCA-tail into the CP1 abolishes both tRNA-dependent pre- and post-transfer editing (17). However, the mechanism by which the translocation of the tRNA CCA-tail into the synthetic domain modulates the catalytic functions of LeuRS remains to be elucidated.

In this study, we identified the key element involved in assisting the translocation of tRNA CCA into the synthetic domain and characterized the essential motif maintaining the uncharged tRNA in the synthetic domain. Mutations within these key residues disrupted the entry or binding of tRNA CCA-tail into the synthetic domain. As a consequence, post-transfer editing was severely reduced because tRNA cannot be charged with non-cognate amino acid. In contrast, the tRNA-dependent pre-transfer editing was significantly enhanced, resulting in increased overall editing activity. Taken together, our results indicated that the intra-molecular translocation of the tRNA CCA-tail balances the aminoacylation and tRNA-dependent editing functions of LeuRS.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Inc. (St. Louis, MO, USA), unless otherwise noted. T4 polynucleotide kinase, T4 DNA ligase, RNasin (ribonuclease inhibitor), isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside and all restriction endonucleases were obtained from Sangon Co. (Shanghai, China). All radioactive amino acids and ATP were purchased from PerkinElmer (Waltham, MA, USA). AN2690 was obtained from Milestone Pharmtech USA Inc. (New Brunswick, NJ, USA). GF/C and DE-81 filters were obtained from the Whatman Co. (Dassel, Germany). The gene-encoding tRNA nucleotidyltransferase and *E. coli* strain MT102 were from Architecture et Réactivité de l’ARN (Strasbourg, France).

The high energy state (20).

The inter-domain communication between the synthetic and editing domains of LeuRS, including aminoacylation, tRNA-dependent pre- and post-transfer editing (17). However, the mechanism by which the translocation of the tRNA CCA-tail into the synthetic domain modulates the catalytic functions of LeuRS remains to be elucidated.

In this study, we identified the key element involved in assisting the translocation of tRNA CCA into the synthetic domain and characterized the essential motif maintaining the uncharged tRNA in the synthetic domain. Mutations within these key residues disrupted the entry or binding of tRNA CCA-tail into the synthetic domain. As a consequence, post-transfer editing was severely reduced because tRNA cannot be charged with non-cognate amino acid. In contrast, the tRNA-dependent pre-transfer editing was significantly enhanced, resulting in increased overall editing activity. Taken together, our results indicated that the intra-molecular translocation of the tRNA CCA-tail balances the aminoacylation and tRNA-dependent editing functions of LeuRS.

**Preparation of enzymes and RNA substrates**

*Ec*LeuRS was purified from an overproducing strain as previously described (10). The genes encoding the various mutants of *Ec*LeuRS were constructed by the two-step polymerase chain reaction method, and the DNA sequences of all the mutants were confirmed by DNA sequencing. The *Ec*LeuRS mutants were overproduced in *E. coli* as His-tagged proteins and purified on Ni-NTA Superflow column (Qiagen Inc., Germany), as described previously (13). *E. coli* tRNA Lueu_GAG (EtRNA Lueu) with an accepting activity of 1500 pmol/A260 was prepared from an overproducing strain (31). [3H]Leu-EcRNA Lueu and [3H]-Ile-EcRNA Lueu were obtained using *Ec*LeuRS-Y330D, as described previously (17).

**ATP/pyrophosphate (PPi) exchange, tRNA charging and decylation**

The ATP-PPi exchange assay was performed as previously described (10). Aminoacylation activity of *Ec*LeuRS was measured at 37°C in a reaction mixture containing 100 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.8), 30 mM KCl, 12 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM Dithiothreitol (DTT), 4 mM ATP, 20 μM EcRNA Lueu, 40 μM [3H]Leucine (15 Ci/mmol) and 20 nM *Ec*LeuRS (10). Aminoacylation kinetic parameters of *Ec*LeuRS for tRNA Lueu were determined in the presence of 0.5–30 μM tRNA Lueu. Assays of hydrolytic editing activity of *Ec*LeuRS and its mutants were performed at 37°C in 100 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 30 mM KCl, 12 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM DTT and 1 μM [3H]Ile-tRNA Lueu (300 μCi/μmol), and the reaction was initiated with 5 nM enzyme. Hydrolysis of Leu-tRNA Lueu (10 μM) was carried out in the same reaction buffer, except that the reaction was performed at 30°C to reduce spontaneous hydrolysis of Leu-tRNA Lueu.

**Adenosine monophosphate (AMP) formation**

AMP formation by *Ec*LeuRS was measured as previously described (16). The reaction mixture (10 μl) contained 100 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.8), 30 mM KCl, 12 mM MgCl2, 5 mM DTT, 5 U/ml pyrophosphatase, 3 mM ATP, 20 nM [α-32P]ATP (3000 Ci/mmole) and 15 mM norvaline (Nva), in the presence or absence of 5 μM tRNA Lueu. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 0.2 μM *Ec*LeuRS or its mutants and incubated at 37°C. For Leu (5 mM) mis-editing, the concentration of enzyme was 1 μM. Aliquots (1.5 μl) were quenched with 6 μl of 200 mM sodium acetate (pH 5.0) and subsequently spotted (1.5 μl) in duplicate on polyethyleneimine cellulose plates pre-washed with water. Separation of aminoacyl-[32P]AMP, [32P]AMP and [32P]ATP was performed by developing thin layer.
chromatography (TLC) plates in 0.1 M ammonium acetate and 5% acetic acid. Plates were visualized by phosphorimaging, and data were analyzed using Multi Gauge V3.0 software (FUJIFILM). The gray densities of \([^{32}P]ATP\) concentrations. Rate constants were calculated based on the slope of the graphical presentation of \([^{32}P]AMP\) formation plotted against time.

**Aminoacylation and deacylation assays with non-radioactive Nva and α-amino butyrate**

The tRNA\(_{\text{Leu}}\) was labeled at the 3' terminus using the exchange reaction catalyzed by tRNA nucleotidyldetransferase, which was purified from *E. coli* overproduction strain in our laboratory as described previously (29). Reactions contained 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 12 mM MgCl\(_2\), 0.5 mM DTT, 5 mM tRNA\(_{\text{Leu}}, 5 \mu M\) ATP, 20 nM \([^{32}P]ATP\) (300 Ci/mmol) and 3 mM tRNA nucleotidyldetransferase. After incubation at 37°C for 5 min, yeast pyrophosphatase was added to a final concentration of 10 U/ml, and the reaction mixture was incubated for an additional 2 min before quenching by phenol extraction. The \([^{32}P]\)-labeled tRNA\(_{\text{Leu}}\) was then precipitated in –20°C and purified by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Ligation of unlabeled Leu, Nva or α-amino butyrate (ABA) to \([^{32}P]\)-labeled tRNA\(_{\text{Leu}}\) was measured in aminoacylation assays, which were identical to those described above, except that 1 mM Leu, 25 mM Nva or 100 mM ABA was used. After aminoacylation, all reactions were stopped, and products were digested by placing 1 µl of reaction mixture in a buffer containing 40 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5), 300 mM NaCl, 2 mM ZnSO\(_4\) and 5 U/µl S1 nuclease and incubating for 20 min at 37°C. Digested products were separated by TLC and quantified by phosphorimaging analysis as previously described (16).

**Filter binding assays for determination of the \(K_D\)**

for tRNA\(_{\text{Leu}}\)

The radiolabeled *E. coli* \([^{32}P]\) tRNA\(_{\text{Leu}}\) (50 nM, 45 000 cpm) was incubated in 50 µl of binding buffer [50 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 6.8), 30 mM KCl, 12 mM MgCl\(_2\)] in the presence of increasing concentrations of LeuRS proteins for 15 min at 4°C. Samples were filtered through nitrocellulose membrane (Millipore, 0.22 µm) previously equilibrated in washing buffer [50 mM potassium phosphate (pH 5.5), 50 mM MgCl\(_2\)]. Filters were then washed two times with 0.3 ml of washing buffer. Filters were air dried before radioactive quantification. Data were analyzed using GraphPad PRISM software.

**RESULTS**

R418 is required for the entry of tRNA CCA-tail into the synthetic active site

EcLeuRS consists of 860 amino acid residues. The CP1 domain is inserted into the synthetic active domain (G225–Y415). The side chain of R418, which forms part of the highly conserved 416-RLRDWGVSQRQRYWG-429 motif, is located in the synthetic domain (20). In the editing conformation, R418 was close to the CP1 domain and pointing to the tRNA with a distance of 7.3 Å from the phosphate group of C74 in tRNA (Figure 1A) (20). R418 re-orientates to make base-specific hydrogen bonds with the discriminator base A73 of tRNA in the aminoacylating conformation (Figure 1B). These results indicated that R418 played a role in the translocation of tRNA from the CP1 domain to the synthetic domain. Substitution of R418 to Ala decreased the aminoacylation efficiency (\(k_{\text{cat}}/K_M\)) to 14% of that of wild-type (WT) enzyme (Table 1). In addition, substitution of R418 with acidic Glu or Asp almost abolished tRNA charging activity (Table 1 and Figure 1C). These results indicated that the electrical charge at position 418 was critical for aminoacylation activity, and this hypothesis was confirmed by the observation that aminoacylation activity was significantly recovered by the polar and uncharged R418Q or R418N mutations compared with the negatively charged R418E or R418D forms (Table 1, Figure 1C and Supplementary Table S1).

Theoretically, the reduced aminoacylation activity could originate from either the aminoacylation reaction or the interaction with tRNA. As R418 was far from the catalytic active center characterized by the two conserved peptides KMSK and HIGH (Figure 1A and B), we proposed that mutations at R418 would not directly affect the catalytic center. The observation of intact amino acid activation activity among all the mutants compared with the WT enzyme supported this hypothesis (Figure 1D). Taking into account the observations from crystal structures (showing the proximity of oppositely charged tRNA and R418 in both the editing and aminoacylating conformations) and our biochemical data, we speculated that R418 functioned as a cis-element assisting in the translocation of the tRNA CCA-end between the synthetic and editing domains through interaction with tRNA. Mutations at R418, especially Glu or Asp, could disturb the interaction with tRNA through charge repulsion, preventing the entry of the tRNA CCA-tail into the synthetic active site and consequently, severely reducing aminoacylation activity.

**Critical involvement of the salt bridge between E292 and R416 in aminoacylation**

The mechanism by which EcLeuRS maintains the tRNA CCA-tail in the synthetic domain before its aminoacylation is unclear. In our previous work, we found that EcLeuRS could be spontaneously cleaved between E292 and A293 in the CP1 domain and that E292 was important for the aminoacylation activity (32,33). Recently reported crystal structures for EcLeuRS reveal that the CP1 domain is directly involved in positioning the tRNA for aminoacylation. E292 of the CP1 domain forms hydrogen bonds with the phosphate of A73 and the 2'-OH of U72 of the tRNA\(_{\text{Leu}}\) (20). E292 also forms a salt bridge with R416 at a distance of 2.8 Å (Figure 2A) (20). Furthermore, R416 makes the same base-specific hydrogen bonds with the tRNA discriminator A73 as R418. Formation of the E292–R416 salt bridge is required to facilitate translocation of the 3' end of the tRNA from the editing site to the synthetic site.
After aminoacylation reaction, this salt bridge is required to be disrupted for facilitating translocation of aminoacyl-tRNA out of the synthetic site. Thus, we investigated the role of this dynamic E292–R416 salt bridge in the aminoacylation reaction of EcLeuRS.

First, E292R or R416E substitutions were introduced to disrupt the salt bridge by charge repulsion. E292R severely decreased the $k_{cat}$ value to 0.65 s$^{-1}$, ~12.5% of that of the WT enzyme (4.8 s$^{-1}$), while having no effect on $K_M$ value of tRNA (Table 1). R416E decreased the $k_{cat}$ value to ~50% of that of WT enzyme and increased the $K_M$ value of tRNA by 100%. The overall catalytic efficiency ($k_{cat}/K_M$) of EcLeuRS-R416E was ~25% of that of the WT EcLeuRS (Table 1). However, both mutations had no effect on amino acid activation activity (Supplementary Figure S1). These results indicated the important role of the E292–R416 salt bridge in aminoacylation. As both E292 and R416 form hydrogen bonds with A73 of the tRNA, we could not exclude the possibility that the reduced aminoacylation activity resulted from the effect

Table 1. Kinetic constants of EcLeuRS and its mutant in the aminoacylation reaction at 37°C

| LeuRS     | $K_M$ of tRNA (μM) | $k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$) | $k_{cat}/K_M$ (s$^{-1}$ μM$^{-1}$) | $k_{cat}/K_M$ (relative) | Loss   |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| WT        | 2.7 ± 0.32        | 4.8 ± 0.54           | 1.78                             | 1                       |        |
| -R418E    | 4.3 ± 0.36        | 0.44 ± 0.056         | 0.10                             | 5.6 × 10$^{-2}$         | 18     |
| -R418D    | 3.9 ± 0.41        | 0.4 ± 0.061          | 0.11                             | 6.2 × 10$^{-2}$         | 16     |
| -R418A    | 4.5 ± 0.58        | 1.2 ± 0.22           | 0.27                             | 0.15                    | 6.7    |
| -E292R    | 2.7 ± 0.3         | 0.65 ± 0.03          | 0.25                             | 0.14                    | 7.4    |
| -R416E    | 4.5 ± 0.51        | 2.3 ± 0.33           | 0.51                             | 0.26                    | 3.8    |
| -E292R/R416E | 5.1 ± 0.43 | 4.7 ± 0.61           | 0.92                             | 0.52                    | 1.9    |
| -R416E/R418E | 4.4 ± 0.47 | 0.13 ± 0.026        | 0.03                             | 1.7 × 10$^{-2}$         | 59     |

Kinetic parameters were determined using the tRNA charging assay described under ‘Materials and Methods’ section in the presence of 0.5–30 μM tRNA$^{32}$P. All rates represented the average of three assays with the standard deviations indicated.
on interactions with the tRNA. Regeneration of the salt bridge by combining E292R and R416E substitutions resulted in significant recovery of the aminoacylation activity (Table 1 and Figure 2B). The $k_{\text{cat}}$ value of EcLeuRS-E292R/R416E was identical to that of WT enzyme (4.7 s$^{-1}$ versus 4.8 s$^{-1}$). However, the $K_M$ value of the tRNA was ~2-fold greater than that of the WT enzyme and similar to that of EcLeuRS-R416E (Table 1). We also combined the R418E substitution with E292R and R416E, alone and in together in the form of two double mutants R416E/R418E, E292R/R418E and a triple mutant E292R/R416E/R418E. The aminoacylation efficiency of E292R/R416E was ~33% of that of E292R (0.09 s$^{-1}$μM$^{-1}$, 0.025 s$^{-1}$μM$^{-1}$) (Supplementary Table S1). The catalytic efficiency of the R416E/R418E double mutant was significantly reduced (59-fold) with a $k_{\text{cat}}$ value (0.13 s$^{-1}$) reduction to only 3% of that of the WT EcLeuRS (Table 1). Consistently, R418E replacement decreased the aminoacylation activity of the E292R/R416E mutant by ~8-fold owing to a reduction in $k_{\text{cat}}$ (Table 1).

Taken together, these results showed that the salt bridge formed between positions 292 and 416 was important for the aminoacylation activity. We proposed that this potential interaction maintained the free tRNA in the synthetic domain before aminoacylation. Disruption of the salt bridge by E292R or R416E resulted in translocation of the tRNA from the synthetic site to a low energy state and concomitantly reduced aminoacylation activity. The aminoacylation activity was further reduced by a combination of E292R or R416E with R418E.

The effect of tRNA translocation on tRNA-dependent pre-transfer editing

These present mutants enabled us to further evaluate the effect of tRNA intra-molecular translocation on the editing activity of LeuRS. Total editing activity, including tRNA-independent, tRNA-dependent pre-transfer and post-transfer editing, is reflected by the rate of AMP formation in the presence of non-cognate amino acids and assayed by TLC (17). The contribution of tRNA-independent pre-transfer editing comprised <10% of the total editing activity in EcLeuRS (17). Our previous work suggested that the formation of mis-charged tRNA is the rate-limiting step of post-transfer editing in AMP accumulation. This process occurs in parallel with aminoacylation, and reduced aminoacylation activity in turn decreases post-transfer editing activity (21). All of the mutants (E292R, R416E and R418E) exhibited decreased aminoacylation activity, suggesting impaired post-transfer editing activity. To further support this hypothesis, we investigated a post-transfer editing mimicking reaction using the editing specificity altered T252A mutant (EcLeuRS-T252A) (13), which aminoacylates tRNA with Leu and subsequently hydrolyzes cognate Leu-tRNALeu owing to its enlarged aminoacyl moiety binding site in the CP1 domain (Figure 3A). Therefore, EcLeuRS-T252A mis-edits cognate Leu in a manner similar to WT EcLeuRS proofreading non-cognate Nva through the post-transfer editing cycle. In the TLC-based AMP formation assay with cognate Leu, the tRNA-dependent AMP formation rate of T252A was 1.1 s$^{-1}$ (Table 2 and Figure 3C and D). T252A was combined with R416E and R418E, respectively. EcLeuRS-T252A/R416E exhibited an identical aminoacylation activity to EcLeuRS-R416E, which was higher than that of EcLeuRS-T252A (Supplementary Table S1). However, R418E further decreased the tRNA charging activity of EcLeuRS-T252A by almost 5-fold (Supplementary Table S1). The Leu-tRNA$^{\text{Leu}}$ hydrolysis capacity of EcLeuRS-T252A/R416E was unchanged compared with that of EcLeuRS-T252A (Figure 3A), while that of EcLeuRS-T252A/R416E was severely reduced (Figure 3A). These results explain why R416E rescues the tRNA charging activity of EcLeuRS-T252A. Consistently, the R416E mutation almost abolished the tRNA-dependent editing activity of EcLeuRS-T252A towards Leu. The $k_{\text{obs}}$ of EcLeuRS-T252A/R416E for AMP formation in Leu mis-editing was 0.039 s$^{-1}$, approximately 3.6% of that for EcLeuRS-T252A ($k_{\text{obs}}=1.1$ s$^{-1}$) (Table 2 and Figure 3B and D). The Leu mis-editing activity of EcLeuRS-T252A/R418E was approximately 14.3% of that for EcLeuRS-T252A, consistent with the decreased effect of the R418E
mutation on the aminoacylation activity of EcLeuRS-T252A (Table 2, Figure 3C and D and Supplementary Table S1). These results strongly indicated that post-transfer editing of R416E and R418E was reduced to variable extents due to different mechanisms. Furthermore, we proposed that the E292R replacement also reduced post-transfer editing activity due to its reduced tRNA charging activity.

In editing Nva, EcLeuRS-R418E and EcLeuRS-E292R exhibited significantly enhanced total editing activity compared to the WT enzyme (Figure 4 and Table 3). The observed rate constants ($k_{obs}$) of EcLeuRS-R418E and EcLeuRS-E292R for AMP formation were $7.4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $9.3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively, while that of WT EcLeuRS was $3.3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Table 3). The editing activity of EcLeuRS-E292R/R418E was also higher than that of WT EcLeuRS (6.5 versus $3.3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) (Table 3). Above results showed that decreased aminoacylation activity resulted in reduced post-transfer editing activity (Table 2 and Figure 3C).

Table 2. Observed rate constants for AMP synthesis at 37°C by EcLeuRS and its mutants in the presence of Leu

| LeuRS     | AMP formation $k_{obs}$ (s$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|
|           | $-tRNA$                            | $+tRNA$                        |
| T252A     | $(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$     | $1.1 \pm 0.1$                 |
| T252A/R416E | $(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$     | $(3.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| T252A/R418E | $(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$     | $(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$ |

Rates for AMP formation were determined in the TLC assay described under ‘Materials and Methods’ section. All rates represented the average of three assays with the standard deviations indicated.
Table 3. Observed rate constants for AMP synthesis at 37°C by EcLeuRS and its mutants in the presence of Nva

| LeuRS               | AMP formation $k_{obs}$ (s$^{-1}$) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                     | −tRNA                               | +tRNA                               |
| WT                  | $(3.3 \pm 0.40) \times 10^{-1}$     | $3.3 \pm 0.51$                      |
| −R418E              | $(3.2 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-1}$     | $7.4 \pm 1.10$                      |
| −E292R              | $(3.6 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-1}$     | $9.3 \pm 0.60$                      |
| −E292R/R418E        | $(3.1 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-1}$     | $6.5 \pm 0.72$                      |
| −R416E              | $(3.5 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-1}$     | $3.1 \pm 0.36$                      |
| −E292R/R416E        | $(3.4 \pm 0.43) \times 10^{-1}$     | $2.5 \pm 0.34$                      |
| −R416E/R418E        | $(3.7 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-1}$     | $2.3 \pm 0.26$                      |
| −E292R/R416E/R418E  | $(3.2 \pm 0.94) \times 10^{-1}$     | $2.1 \pm 0.35$                      |

Rates for AMP formation were determined in the TLC assay described under ‘Materials and Methods’ section. All rates represented the average of three assays with the standard deviations indicated.

Mutation of R416E affected the interaction with tRNA when its CCA-tail binds in the CP1 domain

Our previous work showed that tRNA-dependent pre-transfer editing of LeuRS is a process triggered by tRNA to accelerate the hydrolysis of non-cognate aminoacyl-adenylate (17). The binding of the tRNA CCA-tail to the CP1 domain is a prerequisite of this editing function. The side chain of Y330 is in close proximity (2.1 Å) to the phosphate of the tRNA A76, Y330D substitution results in charge repulsion of the phosphate of A76 and prevents entry of the tRNA CCA-tail into the CP1 domain (17). As a consequence, both tRNA-dependent pre- and post-transfer editing were abolished. The crystal structure of EcLeuRS indicates that the distance between R416 and the C74 phosphate is approximately 4.3 Å when tRNA binds to the CP1 domain (Figure 5A) (20). Such a short distance between two moieties with same charge is enough to induce repulsion and therefore. We proposed that R416E affected the interaction between tRNA and the enzyme in the editing conformation. R416E almost abolished the hydrolysis activity towards Leu-tRNALeu by EcLeuRS-T252A. Consistently, R416E exhibited a fold decrease in deacylation activity towards Ile-tRNALeu by EcLeuRS-T252A (Figure 5B). As R416 was far from the active site of the CP1 domain (Figure 5A), we speculated that the negative effect of R416E on hydrolysis of cognate or non-cognate aminoacyl-tRNAs was due to its interaction with tRNA. In contrast, there was a 7.3 Å distance between R418 and the C74 phosphate (Figure 1A). Such a distance guaranteed that the R418E substitution had little effect on the binding of tRNA in the CP1 domain. Therefore, R418E replacement led only to a slight decrease in deacylation activity (Figure 5B). E292 was invisible in the editing conformation of EcLeuRS (20). According to the structure of Thermus thermophilus LeuRS, E292 is located at a 17 Å distance from the closest nucleotide of tRNA in the editing conformation (Supplementary Figure S3) (7), which may explain why the E292R mutation also had no effect on aminoacyl-tRNA hydrolysis (Figure 5B).

AN2690, a boron-containing compound, forms a stable adduct with the 2'- and 3'-oxygen atoms of the tRNA 3'-terminal adenosine and the CP1 domain, thus
trapping the tRNA CCA-tail (30). This inhibitory mechanism depends on the precise interaction between tRNA and CP1 domain. AN2690 (100 μM) inhibited >80% of the charging activity of WT EcLeuRS (Figure 5C), which was consistent with previous reports (17,34). The tRNA charging activity of EcLeuRS-E292R and -R418E was also inhibited by AN2690 (100 μM) (5- and 3-fold reductions, respectively) (Figure 5C). However, EcLeuRS-R416E was resistant to AN2690 (Figure 5C). The distance between R416 and the AN2690 binding site in the CP1 domain determined that R416E could not directly affect the binding of AN2690 in the CP1 domain, implying that the resistance of the R416E replacement to AN2690 was due to its interaction with tRNA.

We also measured the tRNA dissociation constants (K_D) of the mutants by filter binding assays. The K_D of EcLeuRS-R416E for tRNA increased 5-fold, while that of EcLeuRS-R418E increased only 2-fold and that of EcLeuRS-E292R remained unchanged compared with that of WT EcLeuRS (Table 4).

Altogether, these results strongly indicated that the R416E mutation affected the binding of the tRNA CCA-tail to the CP1 domain, whereas R418E and E292R had little or no effect on this interaction. We speculated that the effect of R416E on the interaction between tRNA and CP1 domain in the editing conformation was the mechanism underlying inhibition of the enhanced tRNA-dependent editing activity by E292R and R418E (Table 3). These results also indicated that the enhanced tRNA-dependent pre-transfer editing by E292R or R418E mutations relied on the precise binding of tRNA CCA-tail in the CP1 domain.

**Effect of E292, R416 and R418 mutations on the aminoacylation fidelity**

To investigate the effect of E292, R416 and R418 mutations on the fidelity of aminoacylation, we measured the steady-state rate of these mutants for formation of Nva-tRNALeu and ABA-tRNALeu with ABA, which is a compound smaller than Nva and also is activated by LeuRS (29). We used [32P] tRNALeu and TLC to separate the digestion products of nuclease S1 and monitored the rates of aminoacyl-tRNA formation. The rate of Leu-tRNALeu formation was also measured for comparison with the results established with the radiolabeled amino acid. The rates of Leu-tRNALeu formation (k_obs) by EcLeuRS-E292R and -R418E were 0.13 s⁻¹ and 0.15 s⁻¹, respectively, whereas EcLeuRS-R416E exhibited a higher Leu-tRNALeu formation rate (k_obs = 0.61 s⁻¹). The k_obs of the WT enzyme was 6.41 s⁻¹. These values were consistent with the steady-state kinetics measured with [3H]Leu, showing that E292R and R418E mutations...
Table 4. Dissociation constants for tRNA\textsubscript{Leu}\textsuperscript{WT} with EcLeuRS and its mutants

| LeuRS          | $K_D$ (µM) |
|---------------|------------|
| WT            | 0.20 ± 0.041 |
| -R416E        | 1.03 ± 0.224 |
| -R418E        | 0.39 ± 0.073 |
| -E292R        | 0.19 ± 0.054 |

$K_D$ values were determined by filter binding assays in the presence of concentrations of LeuRS ranging between 0.1 and 15 µM. All rates represent the average of three assays with the standard deviations indicated.

Table 5. Steady-state rates for aminoacylation of tRNA\textsubscript{Leu} by different amino acids

| LeuRS       | $k_{obs}$ (s\textsuperscript{-1}) |
|-------------|----------------------------------|
|             | Leu (1 mM) Nva (25 mM) ABA (100 mM) |
| WT          | 6.41 ± 0.46 nd nd |
| -R416E      | (6.1 ± 1.4) × 10\textsuperscript{-1} (1.5 ± 0.03) × 10\textsuperscript{-1} (7.1 ± 0.8) × 10\textsuperscript{-2} |
| -Y330D      | 2.96 ± 0.22 2.89 ± 0.26 1.36 ± 0.16 |
| -E292R      | (1.3 ± 0.6) × 10\textsuperscript{-1} nd nd |
| -R418E      | (1.5 ± 0.33) × 10\textsuperscript{-1} nd nd |

Aminoacylation rates were determined using [\textsuperscript{32}P]-labeled tRNAs, S1 digestion and TLC analysis. The values represented the mean value of at least two independent experiments with the standard deviations indicated. nd, not determined (because activity was too low for reliable detection).

...is required to translocate to the synthetic site. In that conformation, the tRNA and enzyme complex is in a high energy state with regard to the distorted tRNA CCA-tail and constrained enzyme. Four flexibly linked LeuRS domains, the CP1 domain, leucine-specific domain, ZN1 module and the C-terminal domain, exhibit correlated rotation to facilitate the translocation of tRNA (20).

The side chain of R418 points to the tRNA CCA-tail in both the editing and aminoacylation conformations, indicating that R418 is in coupled motion with the translocation of the tRNA acceptor stem. In the present work, we showed that R418 was extremely important for aminoacylation activity. R418A mutation decreased the aminoacylation efficiency by 6.7-fold. Introduction of the acidic residues Glu or Asp at R418 almost abolished the tRNA charging activity with 16- and 18-fold reductions in catalytic efficiency, respectively. However,EcLeuRS-R418Q or -R418N exhibited significantly higher aminoacylation activity than R418E or R418D. Based on the observation of tertiary structure and our mutageneisis study, we propose that R418 functions as a cis-element to promote the translocation of the tRNA CCA-tail to the synthetic domain through its positive charge. Mutations of R418, especially negatively charged Glu and Asp, disrupted the entry of the tRNA acceptor into the synthetic active site, resulting in diminished or almost complete abolition of aminoacylation activity.

Although R416 is in a similar orientation compared with R418 in both the aminoacylation and editing conformations, it affects the aminoacylation activity in a different manner. In the aminoacylation conformation, E292 and R416 form a strong salt bridge with a 2.8 Å distance at the surface between the CP1 and synthetic domains (20). We further showed that this salt bridge, rather than the two individual residues, was important for aminoacylation. Single site mutation (E292R or R416E) disrupted the salt bridge through charge repulsion, leading to 7.4- and 3.8-fold reductions in aminoacylation efficiency, respectively. Regeneration of the salt bridge by combining E292R and R416E in the double mutant EcLeuRS-E292R/R416E resulted in almost complete recovery of the charging activity (Table 1 and Figure 2B). The tertiary structural study also reveals that disruption of this salt bridge is required to facilitate translocation of charged tRNA from the synthetic site to the editing site for checking the aminoacyl moiety (20). Thus, we propose that this salt bridge is an important part of frame that maintains the tRNA acceptor stem in the synthetic domain before its aminoacylation. Without this salt bridge, the tRNA would prefer to transform to its lower energy state with the CCA-tail binding in the editing domain before being charged with an amino acid, resulting in diminished aminoacylation activity.

In the editing activity assay, E292R and R418E mutations increased the total editing activity by 2- and 3-fold (Table 3), respectively; however, EcLeuRS-R416E exhibited a similar total editing activity compared with EcLeuRS. A post-transfer editing mimicking assay, which is based on the altered editing specificity of EcLeuRS-T252A, showed that all of these mutants exhibited reduced post-transfer editing activity (Figure 3).
R416E decreased post-transfer editing through restriction of both the formation and subsequently the hydrolysis of mis-charged tRNA. The decline in post-transfer editing of EcLeuRS-R416E and -E292R arose from their severely reduced tRNA activity. Previous pre-steady-state kinetic assays showed that rapid transfer of amino acids to tRNA in EcLeuRS is coincident with its robust posttransfer editing activity, whereas direct hydrolysis of the aminoacyl-adenylate intermediate is inhibited (35). Here, we show that restricted tRNA charging by EcLeuRS-E292R and -R416E significantly enhanced tRNA-dependent pre-transfer editing activity, leading to increased total editing activity. Both E292R and R416E mutations resulted in preferential binding of the tRNA CCA-tail to the editing domain, which was shown in our previous work to activate the tRNA-dependent pre-transfer editing capability of EcLeuRS (17,21). Greater binding of the tRNA CCA-tail in the CP1 domain in the presence of E292R or R416E results in greater direct hydrolysis of mis-activated amino acids, thus contributing to the enhanced tRNA-dependent pre-transfer editing. The R416E mutation has a similar effect on tRNA translocation as E292R. However, EcLeuRS-R416E has an identical editing activity to WT EcLeuRS. Furthermore, the R416E mutation blocked the enhanced tRNA-dependent pre-transfer editing of EcLeuRS-E292R or -R416E. These results indicate that R416E also affects tRNA-dependent pre-transfer editing in a manner different from that in EcLeuRS-E292R or -R416E. The crystal structure of EcLeuRS revealed a distance of only 4.3 Å between R416 and the C74 phosphate in the editing conformation. Such a distance is sufficient to allow the formation of interactions between two molecules with opposite charges. R416E substitution decreases the hydrolysis of aminoacyl-tRNA and leads to insensitivity to AN2690. Both of these reactions require precise interactions between the tRNA CCA-tail and the CP1 domain. Furthermore, EcLeuRS-R416E increased the Kp for tRNA by 5-fold. According to these results, we propose that the R416E mutation results in repulsion of the tRNA, thus preventing optimal binding of the tRNA CCA-tail in the CP1 domain.

Altogether, our data show that the equilibrium of the tRNA acceptor stem between the editing and synthetic domains determines the relative efficiencies of the aminoacylation and editing reactions in a process analogous to the balance between the Chinese Yin and Yang. Interestingly, this system provides the opportunity for more efficient elimination of aa-tRNAs produced by a mutated synthetic active site. In the event of a mutation also affecting the amino acid-charging specificity, such mechanism would help the cell to limit the synthesis of mis-charged tRNA and thereby to survive until the occurrence of a new mutation that would suppress the effect of the first mutation.
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