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Behçet’s disease (BD) is a chronic relapsing and remitting vasculitis of unknown aetiology. It has the capacity to affect almost all organ systems because of its potential to involve both arteries and veins of all sizes, resulting in significant organ-threatening morbidity and mortality. Traditionally known as the ‘silk road’ disease, it has a worldwide occurrence. The aetio-pathological mechanisms of disease development in BD remain poorly understood, but genome wide studies show human leukocyte antigen and non-human leukocyte antigen associations. Environmental influences and genetic factors may have a role in the aetio-pathogenetic mechanisms that lead to development of the disease, indicating the autoimmune and auto-inflammatory nature of BD. The evidence base for treatment is limited but new knowledge is emerging and current treatment options range from symptomatic treatment, through to non-biological and biological immunosuppressive drugs, to cover the spectrum of clinical manifestations.

Introduction

Behçet’s disease (BD) is a rare but severely debilitating vasculitis, which typically manifests as mucocutaneous disease with orogenital ulcers and skin lesions; however, involvement of the musculoskeletal system, eye, nervous system, gastrointestinal tract, vascular beds, orogenital tract and cardio-pulmonary system can lead to significant morbidity and mortality.

There is often a long delay between the onset of symptoms and diagnosis of BD because of the variable, and sometimes intermittent, symptoms, the need for exclusion of mimics, the lack of a specific blood test and marker for the disease and, sadly, a general lack of awareness of this condition.

Epidemiology

BD occurs worldwide but clusters are found mainly along the ‘silk road’ with highest prevalence in Turkey (approximately 80–370 cases per 100,000),2 Japan and Iran, and lower prevalence in North American and northern European populations. In the UK, there is an estimated 0.64 cases per 100,000.3 While the commonest age group affected is those aged 20–40 years, BD is also seen in children and older patients. Incidence is higher in males in the high prevalence areas of Turkey and Middle East, but sex distribution is variable in other counties. The disease is usually severe in young adult men.

BD is mainly sporadic, but clustering can occur in families. The aetiology remains unknown, but a combination of genetic and environmental factors may play a role. The HLA-B51 genetic marker is found in around 60% of BD patients.4 Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified HLA-B51 and HLA ERAP1 (endoplasmic reticulum aminopeptidases) as BD susceptibility genes.5–7

Key points

Behçet’s disease is a chronic inflammatory disease of unknown aetiology that can affect all body organ systems because of inflammatory effects on arteries and veins of all sizes

Diseases that cause orogenital ulcerations have to be excluded by investigations before confirming BD; sexual infections and inflammatory bowel diseases are the main mimics

Treatment is tailored to individual patient clinical manifestations and involves the use of various symptomatic measures and disease modifying non-biological and biological therapies

The use of anticoagulation in thrombosis is controversial and immunosuppressive therapy is recommended for management of venous and arterial thrombosis

Eye disease is common and needs careful assessment and treatment because of the high risk of visual loss

Multidisciplinary pharmacological and non-pharmacological management is vital
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International Study Group criteria (Table 1): 10 are the hallmark of the disease, seen in up to 97% and 60–90% of patients, respectively. The oral ulcers in BD are similar to the common benign recurrent aphthous stomatitis, can vary in size from minor (less than 10 mm in size) to major (greater than 10 mm) to herpetiform ulcers (pin head to 1–3 mm), and may scar. Importantly, these ulcers are painful and may affect eating and swallowing.

Genital ulcers can affect any part of the genitourinary tract and can scar. They are common in the scrotum in male patients and the vulva in female patients, and can take weeks to heal. Although BD does not affect fertility directly, painful recurrence of genital ulcerations in either males or females may limit the capacity for sexual intercourse.

It is important to exclude other causes of oral ulcers, such as infection, cyclical neutropenia, medication, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), vitamin B12 deficiencies, rheumatic diseases (eg systemic lupus erythematosus), autoinflammatory diseases, PFAPA (periodic fever, aphthosis, pharyngitis and adenitis), Sweet’s syndrome and mimics, like bullous dermatosis. It is also important to exclude other possible causes of genital ulcerations: sexual infections, non-sexually acquired genital ulcerations (which may be reactive to infections), IBD, drug reactions, trauma and neoplasms. Complex aphthosis is a condition of oral and genital ulcers in the absence of other features of BD. Oral and genital ulcers also occur in MAGIC (mouth and genital ulcers with inflamed cartilage) syndrome, cyclical neutropenia and as adverse drug effects.

Cutaneous disease manifests with papulonodular lesions, erythema nodosum-like lesions, acneiform rashes, pseudofolliculitis, pyoderma gangrenosum and occasionally erythema multiforme-like rashes. Pathergy, an eruption of a papulonodular lesion at the site of needle injury within 24–48 hours, is not exclusive to BD – it also occurs in Sweet’s syndrome and pyoderma gangrenosum. Cutaneous lesions may be commonly associated with arthritis in BD.

Gastrointestinal BD can feature ulceration throughout the tract, but mainly in the mouth, oesophagus, ileo-caecal and ascending colon, and may be indistinguishable from IBD. Diarrhoea, bleeding, abdominal pain and fever are common presenting features and, occasionally, complications like fistula and bowel perforation occur. Orofacial granulomatosis, intestinal biopsy showing granulomata and paper cut genital ulcers are suggestive of IBD. Endoscopic and radiological investigations will be required to map the disease.

Neuro-Behçet’s disease (NBD) is a serious complication, which affects up to 10% of patients and may cause parenchymal and non-parenchymal disease. 11 Parenchymal disease, which affects the cerebrum, brainstem, cerebellum and spinal cord, can result in intra-axial cranial neuropathy, ophthalmoplegia, pyramidal disease, focial and multifocal cerebral lesions, and various brainstem syndromes depending on the extent of neuraxial involvement. Non-parenchymal disease includes cerebral venous sinus thrombosis, intracranial hypertension and meningitis, which may be recurrent. However, the commonest neurological symptom is migraine, which is similar to non-BD migraine. Radiological investigations, including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computerised tomography (CT), magnetic resonance venography (MRV), and cerebral angiography may be required.

### Table 1. The International Study Group criteria (1990) 

| Criteria | Features |
|----------|----------|
| Recurrent oral ulcerations | Minor aphthous, major aphthous or herpetiform ulceration observed by physician or patient, which recurred at least three times in one 12-month period |
| Plus any two of the following: | |
| Recurrent genital ulceration | Aphthous ulceration or scarring observed by physician or patient |
| Eye lesion | Anterior uveitis, posterior uveitis or cells in vitreous on slit lamp examination or retinal vasculitis observed by ophthalmologist |
| Skin lesions | Erythema nodosum observed by physician or patient, pseudofolliculitis or papulopustular lesions, or acneform nodules observed by physician |
| Positive pathergy test | Read by a physician at 24–48 hours |

### Table 2. The International Criteria for Behçet’s disease (2006) 

| Symptom | Score* |
|---------|--------|
| Genital aphthosis | Two points |
| Ocular lesions | Two points |
| Oral aphthosis | One point |
| Skin lesions | One point |
| Vascular lesions | One point |
| Pathergy | One point |

*3 or more points satisfy criteria for Behçet’s disease
resonance angiography (MRA), computerised tomographic venography (CTV), magnetic resonance venography (MRV), cerebrospinal fluid studies and electroencephalogram, might be required in appropriate cases. Magnetic resonance diffusion imaging with magnetic resonance spectroscopy may have a role in imaging in NBD but requires large studies to validate its use.

Vascular BD is unique in affecting both arterial and venous vascular system of all sizes and is a major cause of morbidity and mortality. The arterial disease is less prevalent than venous disease. Arterial lesions resulting from inflammation cause aneurysm, ulcerations, thrombosis and stenosis, whereas, the venous disease mainly manifests as venous thrombosis and thrombophlebitis. The thrombus is usually tightly adherent to the vessel wall and may not embolise. Appropriate imaging of the vascular system with Doppler ultrasound studies, CTV, CTV, MRI, MRA and MRV will be necessary. CT angiography gives good anatomical details but has limited use in early large vessel disease and MRA provides details of structural changes but correlation with clinical disease activity is not always good. MRA may be useful for following up the structural changes in blood vessels, such as an increase or decrease in size of aneurysm after treatment, and may be preferred to computerised tomography angiography because of the lack of radiation risks.

19-F-fludeoxyglucose positron emission tomography with CT or magnetic resonance localisation may be useful in assessment of clinical disease activity as in other large vessel vasculitis but may be modified by immunosuppressive treatment.

Arthralgia is a common symptom in BD. Oligoarthritis, monoarthritis and polyarthritis can be indistinguishable from other inflammatory arthritis, like psoriatic or rheumatoid arthritis, but is usually non-erosive and non-deforming. Enthesial inflammation can sometimes be a predominant feature. Fibromyalgia is a common cause of pain in BD.

Eye disease in BD is a major cause for concern as, if inadequately treated, it represents a major cause of visual loss – particularly in young males. There is a spectrum of ocular manifestations (up to 70% of patients with BD are affected): anterior uveitis, pars planitis, posterior uveitis, retinal vasculitis, scleritis, episcleritis, thrombosis of retinal artery and vein and optic neuritis. BD may cause ocular pain, eye redness, visual disturbances, photophobia and an estimated visual loss of approximately 10–20% at 5 years. Visual charting (visual fields and LogMAR visual acuity scores), ophthalmological examination, optical coherence tomography and, occasionally, visual evoked potentials are essential for both diagnosing disease and monitoring response to therapy.

Pregnancy outcome is generally favourable with flares noted only in one in six pregnancies. There are some reports of miscarriage,11 preterm labour and neonatal deaths but there is no evidence that this is different to a healthy population12 or that BD affects fertility. A 2015 study showed that BD did not negatively influence feto-maternal outcome.13

Hugh–Stovin syndrome,14 symptoms for which can include fever, chest pain, deep vein thrombosis, thrombophlebitis and pulmonary aneurysms, may present like BD and it is as yet not known whether this syndrome correctly lies within the spectrum of BD.

Fatigue is a severe accompaniment of BD, similar to other inflammatory diseases. Unfortunately, fatigue does not always respond to successful treatment of the disease.

Uncommon manifestations of BD are listed in Box 1.

Box 1. Uncommon manifestations in Behçet’s disease

> Cardiac – coronary vasculitis, pericarditis, myocarditis, endocarditis, coronary aneurysms, valvular disease and arrhythmias
> Pulmonary – pulmonary opacities, haemorrhage, vasculitis, pleural effusions, pulmonary aneurysms, pulmonary hypertension and fibrosing mediastinitis
> Renal – mild renal impairment, glomerulonephritis, interstitial nephritis, renal artery aneurysm and amyloidosis
> Other associations – MAGIC (mouth and genital ulcers with inflamed cartilage) represents features of relapsing chondritis and mucosal ulcers
> Sweet’s syndrome – fever, nodular rashes, and mouth and genital ulcers

Approach to the diagnosis

A careful history and examination of all relevant systems is required, with differential diagnoses excluded by appropriate investigations (Box 2). Particularly, other systemic rheumatic diseases (eg systemic lupus erythematosus), IBD, coeliac disease, drug exposure (methotrexate, nicorandil etc), sexually transmitted diseases (herpes virus, HIV, gonorrhoea, chlamydia etc) and non-sexually acquired infection-related ulcers, cyclical neutropenia, vitamin deficiencies (B12) and Sweet’s syndrome may present with manifestations that mimic BD. The confirmation of diagnosis is based on appropriate clinical symptoms, signs and exclusion of differential diagnoses. A multidisciplinary specialist assessment is mandatory to reach a diagnosis.

Management

Because of the complex nature of the disease and multisystem involvement, a holistic approach to management is required with involvement of relevant specialists. A combined pharmacological and non-pharmacological approach to management is essential, as for any chronic disease. With the absence of large randomised controlled clinical trials to evaluate the effects of various interventions in BD, treatment is driven by recommendations of expert bodies like EULAR, with consensus statements.17

The basic tenet of treatment is to use the most effective and least toxic treatment. Various disease-modifying drugs used in BD are listed in Table 3 and the newer drugs, which need more evidence, are listed in Box 3.18–22 Mucosal ulcer treatment should reduce pain, duration of ulceration and ensure healing – plus, in the long term, suppress recurrence. Topical treatment provides mucosal barriers to promote healing. Oral hygiene and avoidance of sodium lauryl sulphate-containing toothpaste, an irritant, is important. Topical corticosteroids in various formulations are used. Betamethasone sodium phosphate 500 µg tablets or prednisolone 5 mg dissolved in 10–20 mL saline and held in the mouth for 3 minutes as a mouthwash, hydrocortisone oomucosal tablets applied close to the ulcer, and beclometasone dipropionate inhaler 50–100 µg sprayed twice daily on the oral mucosa are effective topical treatment of oral ulcers. The antibiotics tetracycline (250 mg in 10 mL of water) or doxycycline
Genital aphthous ulcers are severely painful and should be treated with strong analgesics, topical anaesthetics (10% lidocaine spray or 2–5% gel), topical corticosteroid ointment (beclomethasone) or paste, oral corticosteroids and antibiotics in case of secondary infection of the genital ulcer. Intravenous steroids may be offered in intractable ulcers. Skin barrier can be maintained with emollients. General vulval care, avoiding soap substitutes (dermol) are often reported to be useful by patients. Care should be taken to avoid injury to vulval and perineal skin to avoid the pathergy phenomenon, infection and pseudofolliculitis.

Box 3. Newer medications on the horizon (further evidence needed)

- Apremilast – phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor
- Anakinra – interleukin (IL)-1 receptor antagonist
- Canakinumab – human monoclonal immunoglobulin G1 inhibitor of IL-1β
- Tocilizumab – IL-6 inhibitor
- Ustekinumab – IL-12/23 inhibitor
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Cutaneous lesions are treated topically with steroid creams and oral antibiotics such as lymecycline, which has anti-inflammatory properties. Erythema nodosum-like lesions usually respond to colchicine (0.3–2 mg/d, orally) and corticosteroids. Colchicine, which offers significant benefit in arthritis and mucocutaneous disease, exerts its anti-inflammatory activity by inhibiting neutrophil chemotaxis. Non-responsive mucocutaneous disease requires escalation of treatment to non-biologic disease-modifying drugs (DMDs). Dapsone (2–3 mg/kg/day orally), which inhibits DNA synthesis, is beneficial at doses higher than normally used in other rheumatic diseases.26–29 Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF; 2–3 g/m²/day orally) is an inhibitor of T- and B-lymphocytes. Dapsone (2–3 mg/kg/day), through its anti-inflammatory and bactericidal properties, has a role in cutaneous disease.27 Checking G6PD deficiency is important prior to dapsone use, to avoid haemolysis. TNF-α inhibitors and interferon α are potent biological drugs used in resistant mucocutaneous disease. Thalidomide, a TNF inhibitor, is now used only in exceptional circumstances (if other DMDs and/or biologics have failed), but needs extremely careful monitoring because of its very narrow therapeutic index. Nerve conduction studies must be performed at baseline and then serially and after each dose change of thalidomide to detect potential neurotoxicity; the drug should be immediately discontinued if symptoms suggesting peripheral neuropathy are reported. Women of childbearing age must have pregnancy excluded prior to its use and agree to use foolproof contraception, with regular pregnancy tests while on the drug. Muscle-skeletal symptoms are managed with various analgesia, including non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (where appropriate), as well as physical therapy. The inflammatory symptoms are suppressed with oral DMDs, escalating to biologics (typically TNF inhibitors). Fibromyalgia is frequently observed in BD. It requires an approach of graded physical activity, pain-modifying medications (eg amitriptyline, pregabalin) and cognitive behavioural therapy. Enthesial inflammation may be amenable to local steroid injections and physical therapy and, if not, DMDs. Inflammatory eye disease must be managed in partnership with an experienced ophthalmologist. Treatment involves tailoring therapy to the site of disease and impending threat of visual loss. Anterior uveitis is treated with topical steroids, cycloplegics and mydriatics with addition of oral steroids 40 mg average for 4–6 weeks and extending to 3 months if required. Posterior uveitis is suppressed with steroids and DMDs like azathioprine (used in higher doses than other rheumatic diseases, 2.5–3 mg/kg) or ciclosporin;42 MMF may be used as a second-line drug with beneficial effects noted in cytokind macular oedema. Retinal vasculitis is aggressively treated with steroids (intravenous, oral, intraocular) and DMDs. For resistant and/or aggressive disease, rapid escalation to biologics therapy with infliximab (5 mg/kg at 0, 2 and 6 weeks and then once every 6 weeks thereafter) or interferon alpha, which is a potent drug; DMDs should be bypassed if indicated. Refractory disease is managed with rituximab43 or alentuzumab.

Vascular BD is treated with DMDs and steroids. The arterial aneurysm requires strong immunosuppressants. Intra-venous steroids (three pulses of 1,000 mg methylprednisolone) followed by oral steroids and cyclophosphamide are used to rapidly control the disease. Oral DMDs (azathioprine) can be used 6 months after induction with cyclophosphamide. Alternatively, TNF inhibitors can be used in place of cyclophosphamide. Enlarging arterial aneurysm is an emergency that will necessitate consideration of endovascular repair to avert rupture or pressure effect.44–46 Open surgical repair carries a high risk of morbidity and mortality and is complicated by the formation of pseudoaneurysms.46 All surgical and endovascular interventions should be supported with heavy immunosuppression. Expert opinion is divided on the treatment of deep venous thrombosis and cerebral venous sinus thrombosis: some experts recommend anticoagulation, while others believe that effective immunosuppression is key.47 A 2015 observational study did not show any favourable effect from the addition of anticoagulation to immunosuppression on the relapse rates of vascular events in BD.47 We strongly advocate intense immunosuppression, which is required to reduce the stimulus for thrombosis and do not recommend anticoagulation, unless detailed vascular imaging has excluded the presence of arterial aneurysms. However, anticoagulation may be indicated in the presence of another pro-thrombotic condition (eg Protein C and S resistance, Factor V Leiden) but, again, coexisting arterial aneurysms must be excluded. Stenotic arterial lesions require stenting to help re-establish perfusion. Pulmonary aneurysms can be coiled and bleeding vessels embolised. Bronchial artery embolisation is frequently required as it is the commonest cause of bleeding and haemoptysis.

Neuro BD (parenchymal and non-parenchymal) is managed with strong immunosuppression, as with other systemic involvement in BD.45 Intravenous cyclophosphamide along with high-dose intravenous methylprednisolone (1 g daily for 3 days) followed by oral corticosteroids can be used in neurovascular diseases prior to TNF inhibitors, but ciclosporin is generally avoided. The sequelae of neurological damage require physical therapy and neuro-cognitive assessment and support. Management of migraine is similar to subjects without BD. This requires lifestyle changes like good hydration, sleep hygiene, dietary modifications and medications (acute medications like triptans and preventative such as propranolol, nortriptyline or topiramate).

Gastrointestinal BD presents like IBD and is treated similarly with immunosuppression with local and oral steroids and both non-biological (salazopyrin or azathioprine) and biological DMDs. The complications of perforation and fistulation require surgical intervention supported by strong immunosuppression. A head-to-head trial (BIO BEHCET’S) of infliximab with interferon α is underway,48 which will demonstrate the positional hierarchy of the above treatment in BD and also provide important genetic and biomarker clues to BD.49

In pregnancy, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, MMF and thalidomide are contraindicated. Although we do not recommend colchicine, studies have not shown adverse outcomes.49 The safety of biological agents in BD pregnancy is extrapolated from its use in other rheumatic diseases and balanced against risk-benefit considerations. Use of biological agents other than TNF inhibitors in BD is not well known. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs should be avoided in the third trimester of pregnancy because of the risk of premature closure of the patent ductus arteriosus.
Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation has been used with success in severe BD that had not responded to non-biological and biological DMDs[60,61] and the European Bone Marrow Transplant registry (www.ebmt.org) has data on its use and benefits.  

Measurement of the disease activity with disease-specific measures (Behçet’s Disease Current Activity Form (BDCAF) [53] and the Leeds BD-quality of life (BD-QoL) [54] and organ-specific measures and impact scores (Oral Ulcer Activity Index, [55] Expanded Disability Status Scale, the modified Rankin scale [56] and neuro-Behçet’s disability score) is important. Quality of life measures like the health assessment questionnaire disability index, short form health survey 36 and the EQ-5D-5L are important to assess the impact of disease and the effects of intervention.  

Prognosis  

The impact of BD is significant, with effects on somatic and psychosocial wellbeing. The organ-specific morbidity is high and arterial disease influences mortality. [57] While there is no direct effect on fertility, the influence of genital ulcerations and psychological stress is immense. The patient’s ability to work is also adversely influenced in BD.  

Follow-up  

Patients with BD should be offered a multidisciplinary assessment and management plan. Furthermore, follow-up under a physician with guidance from a specialist centre will be important for overview of disease and management. Organ-specific flares will require relevant specialist input. Patients should be encouraged to play a large role in self-care, which is important in chronic conditions. Relevant input from allied specialties, like physical and occupational therapists, psychologists and social support workers, is vital.  

Conclusions  

BD is a chronic, relapsing vasculitis with potential to affect all body systems with profound effects, causing considerable morbidity and mortality. There is a delay in diagnosis because of variable symptoms and a lack of valid biomarkers. A number of biomarkers have been described but none have proven to be reliable or valid for application in clinical practice for diagnosis, assessment of disease activity and monitoring. The research agenda for BD is huge, as so little is known. This ranges from basic science explorations into the potential pathophysiological mechanisms underlying the disease (if we don’t know the cause, it’s not surprising that treatment is not that good), through a better phenotyping of the clinical situation to more effective treatment. On the treatment side, there are two pressing issues:  

1 knowing how and when treatment, especially with biologics, can be de-escalated or stopped  
2 the development of biomarkers allowing a personalised medicines approach to therapy.  

Finally, the other major research agenda is the development of an effective disease activity score and enhanced patient-reported outcome tool. There is a large unmet need for novel therapy in BD, which will hopefully become available as the aetiopathogenetic mechanisms are unlocked. [58]  
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