Heterogeneity of quiescent and active neural stem cells in the postnatal brain

DIMITRIOS DIMITRAKOPoulos, DIMITRIOS KAKOGIANNIS, ILIAS KAZANIS

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

The International Journal of Developmental Biology publishes an “Accepted” manuscript format as a free service to authors in order to expedite the dissemination of scientific findings to the research community as soon as possible after acceptance following peer review and corresponding modification (where appropriate). An “Accepted” manuscript is published online prior to copyediting, formatting for publication and author proofing, but is nonetheless, fully citable through its Digital Object Identifier (DOI). Nevertheless, this “Accepted” version is NOT the final version of the manuscript.

When the final version of this paper is published within a definitive issue of the journal with copyediting, full pagination, etc. the new final version will be accessible through the same doi and this "Accepted" version of the paper will disappear.
Title:
Heterogeneity of quiescent and active neural stem cells in the postnatal brain

Authors:
Dimitrios Dimitrakopoulos, Dimitrios Kakogiannis, Ilias Kazanis

Affiliation:
Lab of Developmental Biology, Department of Biology, University of Patras, Greece

Running title:
Quiescence in postnatal brain Neural Stem Cells

Key words:
Neural stem cell, Subependymal zone, Subventricular Zone, quiescence, neurogenesis

Abbreviations:
aNSCs - activated Neural Stem Cells
BMPs- Bone Morphogenetic Proteins
CSF - Cerebrospinal Fluid
Dcx - Doublecortin
DNs - Dopaminergic Neurons
EGF - Epidermal Growth Factor
EGFR - Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor
FGF - Fibroblast Growth Factor
GFAP - Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein
INF - Interferon
LRIG1 - Leucine Rich Repeats And Immunoglobulin Like Domains 1
MCAO - Middle Cerebral Artery Occlusion
NBs - Neuroblasts
NPCs - Neural Progenitor Cells
NSCs - Neural Stem Cells
PCNA - Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen
PD - Parkinson’s disease
qNSCs - quiescent Neural Stem Cells
SEZ - Subependymal Zone
Shh - Sonic Hedgehog
SN - Substantia Nigra
TAPs - Transient Amplifying Progenitors
V-SVZ - Ventricular- Subventricular zone

Postal address of corresponding author:
Dr Ilias Kazanis, Lab of Developmental Biology, 2nd floor, Department of Biology, University of Patras, Rio University Campus, 26504, Greece.
ikazanis@upatras.gr
https://www.researchgate.net/lab/Ilias-Kazanis-Lab
Acknowledgements:
DD and DK were supported by a Fondation Santé grant to IK.
Abstract (250 words)

In the postnatal mammalian brain, neurogenic activity is retained in anatomically restricted areas, driven by pools of Neural Stem Cells (NSCs). These cells and their progeny have been studied intensively as potential targets for regenerative treatments, aiming either to their in situ manipulation, or to their use as sources of cells for transplantation-based strategies. Although their full identity, heterogeneity and differentiation potential remain elusive, due to the absence of specific cell-type markers, our knowledge on their properties is constantly expanding. Here, we focus on the NSC niche that is located at the Subependymal Zone (SEZ/ also known as Subventricular Zone) of the lateral ventricles of the brain. We review, summarize and explain the different faces of the NSC, as they have been described using a wide range of experimental approaches in a time-frame of three decades: the primitive, definitive, quiescent or activated NSC. We also review the accumulating evidence on the existence of latent NSCs outside of niches, in the brain parenchyma, that constitute new promising therapeutic targets, complemented by the novel technologies of in vivo cell reprogramming.
Quiescence in stem cells

**Tissue-specific, or adult/postnatal stem cells** are cells that retain a high self-renewal potential and can generate progeny of a wide, albeit tissue-specific, differentiation range. Depending on the system, they exhibit distinct modes of activation/inactivation phases. For example, in the hematopoietic and intestinal systems they remain in a “frequent activation” mode, with their pool constantly producing high numbers of progeny. In the mammary gland they remain inactive and enter a “high activation mode” depending on lactation needs. In the postnatal mammalian brain, Neural Stem Cells (NSCs) are thought to act in a “slow activation” mode, with their pool constantly producing low numbers of progeny. To facilitate this behavior, stem cells can reside in a state of reversible cell-cycle arrest, or **quiescence**, for prolonged periods of time. Although quiescence has long been viewed as a ubiquitous low-activity state, increasing evidence suggests that it represents a continuum of substates of poised potential and active restraint, as stem cells “idle” in anticipation of activation, proliferation, and differentiation (Dulken et al., 2017; van Velthoven and Rando, 2019). Quiescent stem cells are largely **dormant**, meaning that they lack expression of markers of proliferation, such as Ki67 and MCM2, that are expressed in actively dividing cells, but not during G0 (Maslov et al., 2007). Other markers of proliferation such as proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), and phospho-Histone H3 are also extensively used to distinguish quiescent from proliferating cells. Cells in G0 and in G1 have unreplicated genomes, so they contain equal DNA content, but G0 cells tend to be less transcriptionally active; thus, have a lower total RNA content (Toba et al., 1995). In the absence of cell cycle related processes, quiescent cells have minimal metabolic requirements and avoid intensive energy consumption by keeping protein synthesis at a minimal level (Buttgereit and Brand, 1995). Within the neural stem cell lineage, quiescent NSCs exhibit lower protein synthesis rates than more downstream committed neural progenitors (Llorens-Bobadilla et al., 2015)

Several recent studies have shown the existence of distinct levels of quiescence in adult stem cells. For example, quiescent satellite (muscle) stem cells can cycle between two molecularly distinct states: a “canonical” G0 quiescent state and a primed but still quiescent state, termed “G-alert” (Rodgers et al., 2014). Injury to the tissue can be a stimulus that will induce quiescent stem cells to become activated and move from G0 to G1 (van Velthoven and Rando, 2019). Similarly, a **primed-quiescent** state has also been identified in NSCs (Llorens-Bobadilla et al., 2015; Marques-Torrejon et al. 2021), with primed quiescent NSCs having increased protein synthesis rates when compared to their more deeply quiescent counterparts. This suggests that translational activation is one of the earlier events in the exit from quiescence (Llorens-Bobadilla et al., 2015). Furthermore, primed quiescent NSCs, display accelerated entry into the cell cycle. Studies of the varying depths of quiescence have also highlighted the limited information regarding the G0 to G1 transition. Currently, no G0/G1 checkpoint has been identified and no markers can definitively distinguish G0 from early G1. Overall, the quiescent stem cells in “G-alert”, or the primed quiescent stem cells, exhibit enhanced regenerative capacity, since they show accelerated entry into the cell cycle and increased potential to give
rise to progenitors, which strongly indicates that the position of a stem cell within the quiescence cycle impacts stem cell function (van Velthoven and Rando, 2019).

Here, we will focus mainly on the quiescence/activation of NSCs in one of the postnatal brain NSC niches, that of the Subependymal Zone (SEZ). We will also review the evidence on the presence of latent neural progenitors in areas of the brain parenchyma, such as the cortex, the striatum and the substantia nigra; areas that seem to harbor reprogrammable astrocytes. It should be noted that NSCs shuttling between quiescence and activation are also found in the Subgranular Zone of the hippocampus, but these are reviewed elsewhere (Lugert et al., 2010). The ability to identify and isolate quiescent NSCs (qNSCs) from the adult brain opens new vistas into these cells, enabling studies on their intrinsic and extrinsic molecular regulation and on defining their dynamics during development and ageing. Understanding the biology of stem cell quiescence and activation will ultimately provide insight into how NSCs might be contributing to brain pathology and whether they can be harnessed for brain repair, especially because qNSC activation is increasingly recognized as one of the critical barriers to neurogenesis (Kalamakis et al., 2019). This becomes even more crucial since quiescent NSCs, marked by the expression of glial fibrillary acidic protein-δ (GFAPδ), have also been identified in the human SEZ (van den Berge et al., 2010).

Quiescent and activated neural stem cells in the SEZ

The Subependymal Zone (also known as Ventricular-Subventricular zone (V-SVZ)) of the lateral ventricles is one of the areas of the adult rodent brain where new neurons are continuously generated from NSCs (Figure 1A,B). Currently, there is no specific marker for these NSCs, but their presence has been predicted and accepted since the end of the 1990’s based on multiple observations: a) the intense mitotic activity detected in the SEZ (Figure 1A,B,D) that is physically linked to the chain migration of neuroblasts to the ipsilateral olfactory bulb. b) The reconstitution of neurogenesis, that follows a specific cell-type hierarchy, after its depletion via the administration of the antimitotic drug AraC (Doetsch et al., 1999). c) The presence of colony-forming cells when the SEZ is dissociated (Codega et al., 2014). How can these NSCs be identified? The NSCs of the SEZ (also known as type B1 cells) are a subtype of astrocytes, expressing GFAP (Imura et al., 2003)(Figure 1B,C); thus, one approach is to look for mitotic astrocytes within the 30/50μm wide zone (in the mouse or the rat brain, respectively) that hosts proliferation at the walls of the lateral ventricles (Kazanis and ffrench-Constant, 2012)(Figure 1G). The immunohistochemical analysis of the SEZ in different conditions and, in some cases, using unbiased morphometric tools, has led to the most probable description of the “typical” NSCs. They have radial morphology and span different compartments of the stem cell niche. Their apical processes contact the lateral ventricle at the center of pinwheel structures formed by ependymal cells and are tasting signals of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) via a primary cilium (Figure 1C), while their basal processes contact blood vessels, which are an important proliferative sub-niche in the adult SEZ (Mirzadeh et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2008; Tavazoie et al., 2008; Delgado et al., 2021). This type of analysis is continuously expanded, with very recent work...
identifying NSCs with processes running parallel to the ventricular wall, as well as a new subgroup named “gorditas” (Delgado et al., 2021). Therefore, another approach for identifying NSCs is to look for astrocytes of specific morphologies (Delgado et al., 2021), or even by limiting the area of investigation to the first periventricular 5μm zone (Zhao et al., 2021).

Few reports have suggested the existence of a pool of NSCs that are GFAP- and probably rest at the top of the lineage hierarchy. These have been named primitive NSCs, express low levels of the pluripotency marker Oct4, they are rare and undergo asymmetric divisions exhibiting a long cell cycle time of 3–5 months (Sachewsky et al., 2019). Primitive NSCs are transformed to definitive NSCs, marked by the upregulation of expression of GFAP and Nestin and exhibit a cell-cycle of 2-4 weeks.

The presence of such GFAP null NSCs is supported by the observation that after the total depletion of GFAP+ cells, via the selective expression of herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase, low levels of neurogenesis persist in the periglomerular area of the olfactory bulbs (Snyder et al., 2016). What was typically accepted to be the bona fide NSC was a GFAP+, slowly-cycling, BrdU-retaining cell (Kazanis et al., 2010)(Figure 1E), probably coinciding with the definitive NSC described above. The majority of these cells are qNSCs (type B1q cells) that transit towards a proliferative, activated state (aNSCs or type B1a cells) characterized by the expression of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and Nestin (Delgado et al., 2021) as well as by upregulated integrin-β1 expression (Kazanis et al., 2010)(Figure 1F). aNSCs produce neural progenitor cells (NPCs or transient amplifying progenitors (TAP) or type C cells), a highly proliferative cell population that expresses markers of early neuronal or oligodendroglial cell fate (Hack et al., 2005). Finally, NPCs give rise to neuroblasts (NBs) (type A cells) or oligodendroblasts, that migrate through the rostral migratory stream to the olfactory bulb, where they become primarily interneurons, or to the adjacent corpus callosum, respectively (Kazanis et al., 2017). qNSCs are dormant, exhibit delayed kinetics of neuron formation compared to aNSCs and retain their post-activation neurogenic potential even at the old age (Codega et al., 2014). In the mouse SEZ, they were initially proposed to express CD133 (also known as prominin), along with ependymal cells, but later findings revealed that CD133 is expressed by both quiescent and activated NSCs (Codega et al., 2014). Nestin is an intermediate filament protein, widely considered as a key NSC marker, both during development and in the adult brain (Imayoshi et al., 2011). In the SEZ almost all of the dividing radial glia-like cells exhibit a dynamically regulated expression of Nestin; with qNSCs upregulating its expression (along with that of EGFR) upon activation in vitro, as well as during regeneration (Codega et al., 2014). These data are consistent with previous observations that Nestin/CD133+ cells are neurogenic in vitro and give rise to Nestin+ neurospheres in vitro (Coskun et al., 2008). After transplantation of both qNSCs and aNSCs derived from the SEZ, Codega et al. (2014) reported oligodendrocyte formation suggesting that both populations are multipotent in vivo.

The extent of the SEZ NSC heterogeneity and the population dynamics of qNSCs and aNSCs, as well as their lineage relationships and their cytogenic potential, under homeostasis and during regeneration, is yet elusive. Recent findings suggest that the process that starts with the activation of a qNSC and ends with the emergence of its
progeny is a continuum, with rarely observed intermediate states, underlined by distinct molecular profiles (Dulken et al., 2017). Based on the levels of transcription and the expression of surface markers and key intracellular regulators, the NSC population can be divided into aNSC-early, aNSC-mid, and aNSC-late; the latter population being rich in cells with low GFAP expression (GFAP-GFP\textsuperscript{low}). Hence, FACS-driven selection of GFAP-GFP\textsuperscript{high-mid} cells may allow for the isolation of the earliest, self-renewing NCS. The destiny of aNSCs that have given rise to NPCs is also elusive. According to the “canonical” pathway, after a self-renewing (symmetric or asymmetric) division the newly-generated NSCs will reverse back to quiescence with more similar cycles repeated throughout the life-span of the organism. However, recent experimental work with \textit{in vivo} cell fate mapping of NSCs has shown that aNSCs generate clones and then become exhausted (Calzolari et al., 2015), with only a 20\% of them undergoing self-renewing divisions (Obernier et al., 2018). This indicates that neurogenesis in the SEZ is relying on a tightly organized mobilization of qNSCs that are largely expendable and this might explain the gradual decline in the cytogenic capacity of the SEZ (both in rodents and humans after 18 months) (Luo et al., 2006; Sanai et al., 2011) and its premature exhaustion upon the induced exit from quiescence (more details in the following section).

\section*{Regulation of quiescence in NSCs}

Within the highly complex microenvironment of the SEZ quiescence and activation of NSC is regulated by a range of local or remote, diffusible or contact-related signals. Ependymal cells and blood vessels constitute two key elements of the niche (Mirzadeh et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2008) and several reports indicate that quiescence is an actively maintained state, with qNSCs and their activated counterparts exhibiting different transcriptional profiles. Hallmarks of neural stem and progenitor cells, such as the transcription factors Dlx1, Dlx2, Sox4, Sox11, and Ascl1, are primarily expressed by aNSCs. In contrast, qNSCs express high levels of factors such as Vcam1 and ID3 (Codega et al., 2014; Dulken et al., 2017). Direct NSC-to-endothelium contact suppresses cell-cycle entry, via ephrinB2 and Jagged1, with endothelial-specific knockout of either of the genes aberrantly activating qNSCs and resulting in depletion of their pool (Ottone et al., 2014). From the ventricular side of the niche, functional ligands of G protein-coupled receptors, like Sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor and Prostaglandin2, both present in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) (Kondabolu et al., 2011), inhibit the activation of qNSCs (Codega et al., 2014). Moreover, the CSF supplies the SEZ, in an age-dependent manner, both with pro-quiescence factors, such as Neurotrophin3 or Platelet-Derived Growth Factor-D, and activating signals, such as Insulin-like Growth Factor-2 (Delgado et al., 2014; Silva-Vargas et al., 2016; Delgado et al., 2021). The \textit{in vitro} culture of NSCs, isolated from live rats using the method of milking the SEZ, revealed the separate regulation of self-renewal and of exit from quiescence, since choroid plexus-conditioned media significantly enhanced the latter and at the same time significantly decreased the former (McClennenah et al., 2021). Interestingly, NT3 is acting on NSCs both from the CSF and blood vessels.
Notch2, but not Notch1, signaling also conveys quiescence to SEZ NSCs by repressing cell-cycle related genes and neurogenesis. Loss of Notch2 activates qNSCs, which proliferate and generate new neurons. Notch2 deficiency results in accelerated SEZ NSC exhaustion and an ageing-like phenotype. Simultaneous loss of Notch1 and Notch2 resembled the total loss of Rbpj-mediated canonical Notch signaling; thus, Notch2 functions are not compensated in NSCs, and Notch2 is indispensable for the maintenance of NSC quiescence in the SEZ (Engler et al., 2018). BMP4 has also been identified as a pro-quiescence factor (Marques-Torrejon et al., 2021), while deregulation of Sonic Hedgehog (Shh) signaling can also lead to disrupted quiescence and exhaustion of neurogenesis (Petrova et al., 2013; Daynac et al., 2016).

Maintaining a healthy proteome throughout life is critical for proper somatic stem cell function and is regulated by several processes; however, the complexities of the stem cell response to increases in damaged or aggregated proteins remain unclear. Recent studies have shown that qNSCs of the SEZ have increased levels of protein aggregates (proteostasis), which are removed through autophagy as soon as they transit in mitotic activation. Induction of autophagy enhanced clearance of these aggregated proteins and increased the rate of qNSC activation (Leeman et al., 2018). The initiation of expression of vimentin, which is an intermediate filament protein that acts as a spatial coordinator of proteasomes to the aggresome has been shown to be an early sign of primed qNSCs. In qNSCs, vimentin expression is repressed, and cells do not form vimentin cages in the presence of increased protein aggregates. Once activated, though, NSCs need to process aggregated proteins and vimentin’s function at the aggresome is to organize proteostasis-related proteins. In the absence of vimentin, NSCs have a reduced capacity to exit quiescence and exhibit an early, progressive decline in proliferation and neurogenesis (Morrow et al., 2020). An alternative process involves the storage of protein aggregates in large lysosomes, in qNSCs of young mice. qNSCs contain numerous large lysosomes, fused with autophagosomes to form autolysosomes, but with their content not yet degraded. Perturbation of this lysosomal activity affected the ability of qNSCs to become activated. In concert to these observations, aNSCs exhibited increased expression of proteasome-associated genes, while qNSCs had increased expression of lysosome-associated genes (Leeman et al., 2018). Treatment with bafilomycin A, which blocks lysosomal acidification, or nutrient deprivation, led to decreased NSC activation in response to growth factors and did not affect proliferation of qNSCs (Leeman et al., 2018).

**qNSCs and aNSCs in the ageing SEZ**

Recent studies report a dramatic drop in NSC numbers in the ageing murine brain. Either a rapid decline from 2 to 7 months, that slows down thereafter (Kalamakis et al., 2019), or a more delayed decline, that becomes abrupt after 18 months (Delgado et al., 2021). The remaining stem cell reservoir is protected from full depletion by an increase in quiescence. Notably, aged NSCs remain capable to regenerate the injured brain (albeit, with lower speed) (Kalamakis et al., 2019), supported by a higher proliferation capacity of their daughter NPCs (Luo et al., 2006). In the aged brain, NSCs also retain the ability to generate the different subtypes of olfactory bulb...
interneurons, albeit at much lower numbers (Llorens-Bobadilla et al., 2015; Dulken et al., 2017; Obernier et al., 2018). The transcriptional profile of NSCs of different ages remains largely similar. It also indicates that young NSCs transit smoothly between the quiescent and primed-quiescent states, readily followed by activation; while aged NSCs remain primarily in the quiescent state and fewer of them enter the aNSC state to become fully activated (Kalamakis et al., 2019). During ageing, aNSCs require progressively more time to complete their cell cycle and the time NSCs spend in quiescence increases (Daynac et al., 2016). In their recent work, Kalamakis et al. (2019) -based on gene expression patterns- proposed a hierarchical clustering for lineage progression from qNSCs1 / qNSCs2 / aNSCs0 / aNSCs1 / aNSCs2 / TAPs / NBs for old and young NSCs. They also demonstrated that the increased number of cells within the qNSC1 cluster in the aged SEZ is not due to contaminating astrocytes but to a higher number of bona-fide qNSCs1. A decline in the proteome’s health is also associated with ageing and neurodegenerative diseases, with aged qNSCs exhibiting defects in their lysosomes and increased accumulation of protein aggregates. Enhancement of the lysosome pathway cleared protein aggregates and ameliorated the ability of aged qNSCs to become activated; overall, allowing them to regain a more youthful behavior (Leeman et al., 2018).

Besides any cell-autonomous changes, which seem to be minimal, exogenous parameters influence the ageing of NSCs. Activation of an interferon (INF) γ response is required to increase activation of young NSCs upon injury (Llorens-Bobadilla et al., 2015) and INFs derived from the choroid plexus impact negatively on hippocampal neurogenesis in the aged brain (Baruch et al., 2014). Thus, neutralizing inflammation might level off the age-related decline in activation of NSCs in the aged SEZ. Furthermore, endothelial cells exhibit the highest age-related changes among the different cell types of the SEZ (Kalamakis et al., 2019) and the effect of choroid plexus factors on the activation of NSCs changes from inducing to repressing with ageing (Silva-Vargas et al., 2016).

**In vitro behavior of SEZ-derived qNSCs**

Since the early studies on the discovery of quiescent stem cells, our understanding of the mechanisms that cells use to enter, maintain, and exit quiescence has increased dramatically. This has been accomplished primarily by modeling cellular quiescence in in vitro culture systems using multiple approaches (van Velthoven and Rando, 2019). Quiescence of cells in vitro can be induced by subjecting cells to a variety of quiescence-promoting conditions, including mitogen deprivation, nutrient starvation, contact inhibition, and adhesion deprivation.

Isolated tissue-specific stem cells are usually grown in conditions that promote exit from quiescence and maintenance of mitotic activity, possibly because culture media are typically chosen on the evidence of enhanced colony formation. (Coller et al., 2006). Importantly, though, in intestinal organoid assays it was shown stem cells exhibit their full differentiation potential only when cultured in conditions that allow them to enter quiescence (Basak et al., 2017). In the case of NSCs, the standard in vitro assay is that of neurosphere formation (generation of 3-Dimensional, free-
floating, aggregates that can be passaged and differentiated). The neurosphere assay is the established readout of the presence of NSCs in a tissue and it was originally proposed that qNSCs give rise to neurospheres (Morshead et al., 1994). However, recent work indicated that qNSCs rarely form neurospheres or give rise to adherent cell colonies (Codega et al., 2014), and that sphere-forming assays predominantly depend on the expansion of cells that are either poised for proliferation in vivo or are already actively dividing, such as GFAP+ aNSCs and EGFR+GFAP- NPCs (Doetsch et al., 2002). A recent, very detailed, study (Codega et al., 2014) revealed that isolated qNSCs and aNSCs can interconvert, with each population giving rise to the other populations in vitro. Initially plated qNSCs are small and round and do not express Nestin, EGFR, or MCM2. As they become activated they undergo morphological and molecular changes, enlarging their nuclei and extending processes, closely resembling cultured aNSCs. qNSCs only rarely give rise to colonies and do so with much slower growth kinetics than aNSCs. Importantly, although rare, the colonies formed by single qNSCs are large and multipotent, giving rise to neurons, oligodendrocytes, and mature astrocytes. The proliferation of the qNSC population is generally delayed by 6-10 days compared to aNSCs; however, once activated, they exhibited similar rates of division to aNSCs. Regarding primitive and definitive NSCs, the first generate multipotent clones only in the presence of leukemia inhibitory factor and can also give rise to the latter, which are the typical epidermal growth factor (EGF) / fibroblast growth factor (FGF)-2 responding cells (Sachewsky et al., 2019). BMPs have been implicated as regulators of quiescence in a variety of different adult stem cell systems including the: brain, hair follicle, intestine, bone marrow. BMPs induce quiescence in the hippocampal stem cell niche and in cooperation with FGF-2 signaling suppress terminal astrocytic differentiation and maintain stem cell potency during the quiescence state. Recently, Marqués-Torrejón et al. (2021), used modified in vitro NSC culture conditions, including either low concentration BMPs, or BMP/FGF2, and identified two distinct quiescent NSC states. In the absence of exogenous FGF2, BMP signalling induces a deep, or dormant, quiescent state; however, when BMP and FGF2 are combined, a distinct primed quiescent state emerges with cells readily available to re-enter cell cycle. Furthermore, they identified LRIG1 as an important regulator of the primed quiescent NSC state. LRIG1 is required to maintain the quiescence state, avoiding waking quiescent NSCs, most likely through EGFR signalling.

**Latent NSCs (laNSCs) outside a niche**

The existence of cells with a latent neurogenic potential in the parenchyma is all the more investigated, especially after it was clearly shown that postnatal NSCs have the morphology of astrocytes. The existence of such cells, dispersed throughout the parenchyma of the brain, even though is not normally sufficient for brain repair, offers an alternative therapeutic target. This field has attracted more interest in the last years due to the emergence of the direct cell-reprogramming technology.

**Cortex**
Even though there is no evidence of postnatal neurogenesis in the cerebral cortex, the first report of parenchymal astrocytes with neurogenic potential in vitro has been provided after a cortical stab injury (Buffo et al., 2008) and in astrocytes isolated from the healthy cortex after Shh administration (Sirko et al., 2013). After stab wound injury, fate-mapping analysis of mature astrocytes showed that they convert to reactive astrocytes which can form self-renewing neurospheres and differentiate to both neuronal and glial lineages in vitro; however, in vivo they remain restricted to the astroglial lineage (Buffo et al., 2008). The question of the origin of these progenitors “in wait” (local or niche-derived) is still open, with at least one team having reported the contribution of the SEZ niche (Faiz et al., 2015). A recent study showed that following stab wound injury, cortical astrocytes are able to initiate a latent neurogenic program only after Notch-signaling inhibition. The progeny of these astrocytes was Ascl1+ NPCs and Dcx+ neuroblasts and, eventually, NeuN+ neurons (Zamboni et al., 2020).

The technology of generating induced pluripotent stem cells has been intensively modulated in order to enable the in vivo reprogramming of endogenous cells, almost exclusively astrocytes in the brain, towards the neuronal fate. After a stab-wound injury to the whole neocortical column, including both the grey and white matter, the overexpression of Ngn2 and Nurr1 specifically in astrocytes located close to the lesion, led to the emergence of newborn neurons only in the grey matter. These originated from both proliferative and quiescent astrocytes with properties typical for their position along the cortical column: morphology, immunoreactivity for region-specific markers, electrophysiological properties, connectivity, and long-distance axon projections (Mattugini et al., 2019). Chemical reprogramming of both cortical and striatal astrocytes to neurons was reported after administration of the FICBY cocktail (Forskolin, ISX9, CHIR99021, I-BET151, and Y-27632). The chemically induced neurons expressed region-specific neuronal markers and could form synapses with endogenous neurons (Ma et al., 2021).

**Striatum**

No postnatal striatal neurogenesis has been observed in mice under physiological conditions (Magnusson et al., 2014; Nato et al., 2015); however, after injury striatal astrocytes function as local NPCs giving rise to new neurons. Magnusson et al. (2014) were able to selectively label striatal astrocytes, excluding those of the neighboring SEZ, and reported that after inducing stroke striatal astrocytes generated Ascl1+ NPCs and Dcx+ neuroblasts which differentiated to mature neurons (NeuN+ cells), some becoming GABAergic interneurons (nNOS+ cells). Stroke activated the latent neurogenic potential of local astrocytes via the reduction of Notch1 signaling. Nato et al. (2015) used the quinolinic acid lesion mouse model of Huntington’s disease and observed a similar response. Fate-mapping analysis showed that striatal astrocytes became proliferative (Ki67+) after the lesion and gave rise to Ascl1+ NPCs and Dcx+ neuroblasts which differentiated to NeuN+ neurons. In the postnatal rat striatum new GABAergic neurons were shown to be generated under physiological conditions (Dayer et al., 2005). Moreover, after a transient middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAO) ischemic injury, astrocytes became reactive and expressed the
neural stem / progenitor cell markers Nestin, Pax6, and Sox2. These astrocytes differentiated towards a neuronal lineage expressing both immature (Tuj1) and mature (NeuN or Map2) neuronal markers, with these neurons maturing into cholinergic or GABAergic neurons which were morphologically and electrochemically similar to pre-existing neurons (Duan et al., 2015). Using the same MCAO rat model, another study reported enhanced transdifferentiation of reactive astrocytes into mature neurons after overexpression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in the reactive astrocytes (Shen et al., 2016). Importantly, in the human striatum, new interneurons are being generated continuously as it was revealed by IdU labeling and a $^{14}$C dating approach, with this local endogenous process being perturbed in patients with Huntington’s disease (Ernst et al., 2014).

Striatal astrocytes have been targeted for reprogramming (Ma et al., 2021), more intensively in the context of Parkinson’s disease (PD). In the 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) model of PD, overexpression of the transcription factors NeuroD1, Ascl1 and Lmx1A, and of the microRNA218 resulted in the generation of mature dopaminergic (TH+) neurons (DNs), expressing typical transcription factors of the dopaminergic lineage. Functionally, the induced DNs could take up and release dopamine, generate action potential and improved motor behavior (Rivetti di Val Cervo et al., 2017). In another study, using the same model of PD, striatal astrocytes were converted to DNs by CasRx-mediated knockdown of the Ptbp1 gene, resulting in the generation of functional TH+ neurons which improved the motor dysfunctions (Zhou et al., 2020).

Substantia nigra (SN)

Postnatal neurogenesis in the SN remains controversial. Few research groups have reported the detection of adult-born DNs in healthy mice and rats (Van Kampen and Robertson, 2005; Shan et al., 2006; Xie et al., 2017) and few others have failed to reproduce these results (Mao et al., 2001; Morrison, 2016). Similarly, contradictory results have been reported for the induction of dopaminergic neurogenesis in the SN in chemotoxic parkinsonian rodent models, such as 6-OHDA and MPTP (Lie et al., 2002; Mohapel et al., 2005). Our group recently reported the existence of local neurogenesis both in the healthy and the degenerated SN. Notably, we found that although new DNs were generated locally in the SN, SEZ-derived NPCs contributed to this process (Lie et al., 2002; Mourtzi et al., 2021). In another study, a pool of NPCs (BrdU+ cells) with gliogenic potential has been detected in the SN with these cells exhibiting neurogenic potential after transplantation in the hippocampus (Lie et al., 2002).

Recently, SN astrocytes were successfully reprogrammed to DNs by knocking down Ptbp1. Induced DNs, as assessed by chemogenetic analysis, were responsible for restoring the striatal dopamine levels and for improving motor behavior. Importantly, it was shown that reprogrammed astrocytes exhibited regional specificity (Qian et al., 2020).
Figure 1. Neural Stem and Progenitor cells located in the Subependymal Zone
(A) A low magnification photo of the lateral ventricle area, after immunohistochemical staining for Ki67 (in red, to mark proliferating cells) and GFAP (in green, to mark astrocytes). Note the abundance of Ki67+ cells at the lateral wall of the ventricle, where the main corpus of the niche is situated, as opposed to the medial wall. (B) Collage of real images of the main elements of the SEZ cytoarchitecture [E: S100β+ ependymal cells; BV: laminin+ blood vessels; NSC: GFAP+ neural stem cells; NPC: Ascl1+ neural progenitor cells; NB: Doublecortin (Dcx)+ clusters of neuroblasts. The scales are proportional]. (C) High magnification detail of the SEZ after immunostaining for GFAP (in green). The arrow indicates a GFAP+ process intercalating through ependymal cells to reach the ventricle. (D) Fragment of the SEZ after immunostaining for PCNA (in green, to mark proliferating cells) and laminin (in red, to mark blood vessels). Note the distribution of proliferating NPCs in close proximity to the blood vessel. (E) Detail of a BrdU-retaining cell in the SEZ, as identified by immunohistochemical staining for GFAP (in white and blue), integrin-β1 (in red) and BrdU (in green), 30 days post BrdU administration. Note that the BrdU-retaining cells does not express integrin-β1. (F) Schematic illustration of the typical position of a qNSC, adjacent to ependymal cells, tasting the CSF via an apical process and in direct contact with a blood vessel, via a basal process. Transition to the aNSC state is correlated with upregulation of membrane expression of EGFR and of integrin-β1 (indicated by the red thick lining). (G) Detail, in high magnification of a rare radial glial-like GFAP+ cell, co-expressing PH3, next to ependymal cells, most probably one aNSC.

The Figure is an adaptation of previously published figures. [(A, E) (Kazanis et al., 2010); (B) (Kazanis, 2013); (C) (Kazanis, 2009); (D) (Koutsakis and Kazanis, 2016); (F) (Kazanis and ffrench-Constant, 2011); (G) (Kazanis and ffrench-Constant, 2012)]
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