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**Abstract:** This study aimed at investigating the possible mechanisms of hepatic protective activity of *Cichorium intybus* L. (chicory) in acute liver injury. Pathological observation, reactive oxygen species (ROS) detection and measurements of biochemical indexes on mouse models proved hepatic protective effect of *Cichorium intybus* L. Identification of active compounds in *Cichorium intybus* L. was executed through several methods including ultra performance liquid chromatography/time of flight mass spectrometry (UPLC-TOF-MS). Similarity ensemble approach (SEA) docking, molecular modeling, molecular docking, and molecular dynamics (MD) simulation were applied in this study to explore possible mechanisms of the hepato-protective potential of *Cichorium intybus* L. We then analyzed the chemical composition of *Cichorium intybus* L., and found their key targets. Furthermore, in vitro cytological examination and western blot were used for validating the efficacy of the selected compounds. In silico analysis and western blot together demonstrated that selected compound 10 in *Cichorium intybus* L. targeted Akt-1 in hepatocytes. Besides, compound 13
targeted both caspase-1 and Akt-1. These small compounds may ameliorate liver injury by acting on their targets, which are related to apoptosis or autophagy. The conclusions above may shed light on the complex molecular mechanisms of *Cichorium intybus* L. acting on hepatocytes and ameliorating liver injury.
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1. Introduction

*Cichorium intybus* L. (also known as chicory) is a perennial herb from the *Asteraceae* family with both alimentary use and medicinal use. It is 40–100 cm in height, usually with bright blue flowers, rarely white or pink. It has been reported that fresh chicory typically contains 68% inulin, 14% sucrose, 5% cellulose, 6% protein, 4% ash, and 3% other compounds, while dried chicory contains approximately 98% inulin and 2% other compounds [1]. *Cichorium intybus* L. is one of the most promising novel candidates among the carbohydrates with potential for both food and non-food utilization. With the function of diuresis, promoting digestion, curing jaundice, and benefiting kidney and liver function, according to the traditional herbalist doctor, it has been implemented in folk medicine from North Africa to South Asia for several centuries. It is worth noting that *Cichorium intybus* L. possesses a wide range of pharmacological properties according to the literature, including hepato-protective, anti-inflammatory, anti-malarial, anti-diabetic effects, etc. [2–6]. In the present study, *Cichorium intybus* L. extract (CIE) exhibited a hepato-protective effect, as demonstrated by a significant decrease in aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) concentrations and the prevention of histopathological changes in the liver of mice with CCl₄-induced hepatotoxicity. Moreover, CIE attenuated the reduction of glutathione (GSH) and superoxide dismutase (SOD), and decreased malondialdehyde (MDA) levels in mice with CCl₄-induced hepatotoxicity [2,7].

Although *Cichorium intybus* L. has distinct hepatic disease protection effects, its pharmacodynamic material basis and molecular mechanisms still need far more research. In this study, we analyzed the chemical composition of *Cichorium intybus* L., then certificated the structure of 10 compounds and determined their potential target proteins via SEA (similarity ensemble approach) docking. Based on the docking results, we chose two compounds (compounds 10 and 13) and two of their key target proteins (Akt-1 and caspase-1) to conduct further study after applying gene ontology (GO) and KEGG orthology (KO) to find their functions and connections. Additionally, molecular modeling, molecular docking and MD simulation results proved good interaction between two small compounds and their targets. Finally, experimental validation, including western blot, was conducted to verify the active substance basis of the hepatic disease protection of *Cichorium intybus* L. *In silico* analysis and experimental validation together demonstrated that selected compound 13 in *Cichorium intybus* L. targeted RAC-α serine/threonine-protein kinase (Akt-1) and caspase-1 in hepatocytes, while compound 10 targeted Akt-1. What has been discussed above indicates that these two compounds in *Cichorium intybus* L. may ameliorate liver injury.
2. Results

2.1. The Effect of Cichorium intybus L. on Liver Injury Protection

Compared with the injury model group, serum ALT level and AST level in the middle-dose group (6.0 g/kg chicory) were significantly reduced, while there were no obvious changes in the low-dose group (2.0 g/kg chicory) and the high-dose group (18.0 g/kg chicory) (Figure 1A,B).

Liver tissue GSH level (Figure 1C) was significantly reduced and MDA level (Figure 1D) went up in the middle-dose group, while there were no obvious changes in low- and high-dose groups, compared with the model group.

![Graphs showing biochemical parameters](image)

**Figure 1.** (A) Serum ALT (alanine aminotransferase) level in mice; (B) serum AST (aspartate aminotransferase) level in mice; (C) liver tissue GSH (glutathione) level in mice; and (D) liver tissue MDA (malondialdehyde) level in mice. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01.

Figure 2A–F shows the liver tissue histopathological observation results of all six groups. It can be seen from the figure that liver cells were arranged radially around the central vein in the normal control, while acute pathological changes occurred in liver injury model group, including centrilobular hepatic necrosis, the deformation of macrophage and ballooning, and a large number of inflammatory cells infiltration. The silibinin group and middle-dose group can ameliorate the injury apparently.
The result of ROS (reactive oxygen species) detection further demonstrated the protective activity of *Cichorium intybus* L. Compared with the normal group, many more cells from the model group died. The middle-dose of *Cichorium intybus* L. could obviously protect hepatocytes from apoptosis, the same effect as Silibinin, while the low-dose group or high-dose group did not show the same effect (Figure 2B).

**Figure 2.** (A) Liver tissue histopathological observation results, (a) normal control; (b) liver injury model; (c) positive drug silibinin control (200 mg/mL); (d) low-dose drug group (2.0 g/kg chicory); (e) middle-dose group (6.0 g/kg chicory); and (f) high-dose group (18.0 g/kg chicory); and scale bar = 100 μm; (B) ROS detection result, (a) normal control; (b) liver injury model; (c) positive drug silibinin control (200 mg/mL); (d) low-dose drug group (2.0 g/kg chicory); (e) middle-dose group (6.0 g/kg chicory); (f) high-dose group (18.0 g/kg chicory); and (g) quantitative analysis of ROS detection. FSC-H and SSC-H represent cell numbers and fluorescence intensity, respectively.
2.2. Small Molecule Compounds and Target Proteins

We identified structures of 10 small molecule compounds in *Cichorium intybus* L., which are shown in Table 1. Among them, compounds 2 and 5 were new compounds that had not been reported; compound 6 was isolated from the genus for the first time; and compound 10 was isolated from this plant for the first time. We then found the target proteins of eight of them (Table 2). After we found the functions of these proteins, we chose compound 10 (methyl 4-hydroxyphenylacetate) and compound 13 (4-hydroxylphenylacetic acid) and their target proteins to conduct our further study.

| Number | Name                      | Structure                                                                 | Identification Means                       |
|--------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| 2      | Intyboate B               |                                                                            | 13C-NMR; 1H-NMR; HMBC; HSQC; NOESY; m.p.; UV; CD; HR-ESI-TOF-MS               |
| 5      | 3αR-santamarine           |                                                                            | 13C-NMR; 1H-NMR; HMBC; HMQC; NOSEY; m.p.; UV; CD; HR-ESI-TOF-MS               |
| 6      | Aurantiamide acetate      |                                                                            | 13C-NMR; 1H-NMR; HMBC; HMQC; m.p.; UV; CD; HR-ESI-TOF-MS                     |
| 7      | Luteolin-7-O-β-D-glucoside|                                                                            | 13C-NMR; 1H-NMR; m.p.; HR-ESI-TOF-MS                                             |
| 8      | Luteolin                  |                                                                            | 13C-NMR; 1H-NMR; HR-ESI-TOF-MS                                                   |
| 9      | Caffeic acid              |                                                                            | 13C-NMR; 1H-NMR; HR-ESI-TOF-MS                                                   |
| 10     | Methyl 4-hydroxyphenylacetate|                                                             | 13C-NMR; 1H-NMR; HMBC; HMQC; HR-ESI-TOF-MS                                     |
Table 1. Cont.

| Number | Name              | Structure | Identification Means |
|--------|-------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 11     | β-sitosterol      | ![Structure Image](image1) | TLC |
| 12     | Daucosterol       | ![Structure Image](image2) | TLC |
| 13     | 4-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid | ![Structure Image](image3) | HR-ESI-TOF-MS |

HMBC, heteronuclear multiple-bond correlation; HSQC, heteronuclear singular quantum correlation; NOESY, nuclear overhauser effect spectroscopy; m.p., melting point; UV, ultraviolet spectrum; CD, circular dichroism spectrum; HR-ESI-TOF-MS, high-resolution electrospray-ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry; TLC, thin-layer chromatography.

Table 2. Target proteins of small compounds in *Cichorium intybus* L.

| Number | Name               | ZINC       | Target          | UniProtKB AC | Recommended Name                                                                 |
|--------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1      | Intyboate A        | None       | ACE_MOUSE_100   | P09470       | Angiotensin-converting enzyme                                                     |
| 2      | Intyboate B        | None       | ACE_MOUSE_100   | P09470       | Angiotensin-converting enzyme                                                     |
| 5      | 3αR-santamarine    | ZINC05663288 | None            | None         |                                                                                  |
| 7      | Luteolin-7-O-α-D-glucoside | ZINC03947434 | TOP1_MOUSE_10000 | Q04750       | DNA topoisomerase 1; Interleukin-5;                                               |
|        |                    |            | IL5_MOUSE_10000 | P04401       | Aldo-ketoreductase family 1 member C21;                                           |
|        |                    |            | AK1CL_MOUSE_10000 | Q91WR5       | Multidrug resistance protein 3;                                                  |
|        |                    |            | MDR3_MOUSE_10000 | P21440       | γ-Aminobutyric acid receptor subunit α-1                                         |
|        |                    |            | GBRA1_MOUSE_10   | P62812       |                                                                                  |
| 8      | Luteolin           | ZINC18185774 | AK1CL_MOUSE_10000 | Q91WR5       | Aldo-ketoreductase family 1 member C21;                                           |
|        |                    |            | MDR3_MOUSE_100   | P21440       | Multidrug resistance protein 3;                                                  |
|        |                    |            | TYRO_MOUSE_10000 | P11344       | Tyrosinase; γ-Aminobutyric acid receptor subunit α-1; Interleukin-5;             |
|        |                    |            | GBA1_MOUSE_10000 | P62812       | Multidrug resistance protein 3;                                                  |
|        |                    |            | IL5_MOUSE_10000  | P04401       | Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit α isoform;      |
|        |                    |            | PK3CA_MOUSE_10000 | P42337       | 3-kinase catalytic subunit α isoform;                                            |
|        |                    |            | DUS1_MOUSE_10000 | P28563       | Dual specificity protein phosphatase 1;                                          |
|        |                    |            | S22A6_MOUSE_10000 | Q8VC69       | Solute carrier family 22 member 6                                                |
| 9      | Caffeic acid       | ZINC00058172 | MDR2_MOUSE_10000 | None         | Multidrug resistance protein 1B;                                                 |
|        |                    |            | MDR1_MOUSE_10000 | P06795       | Multidrug resistance protein 3;                                                  |
|        |                    |            | MDR3_MOUSE_10000 | P21440       | Transcription factor HES-1; Dual specificity tyrosine-phosphorylation-regulated |
|        |                    |            | HES1_MOUSE_10000 | P55428       | kinase 1A; Epidermal growth factor receptor; Carbonic anhydrase 15;              |
|        |                    |            | DYR1A_MOUSE_10000 | Q61214       | Retinoic acid receptor RXR-α                                                     |
|        |                    |            | EGFR_MOUSE_10000 | Q10279       |                                                                                  |
|        |                    |            | CAH15_MOUSE_10000 | Q99N25       |                                                                                  |
|        |                    |            | RXRA_MOUSE_10000 | P28700       |                                                                                  |
### 2.3. Molecular Modeling, Molecular Docking and MD Simulation

From small molecule compounds targeting caspase-1 and Akt-1, compound 13 was selected for virtual screening with molecular modeling, molecular docking and MD simulations, for its effect of hepatic fibrosis. Compound 10 was also selected for targeting Akt-1. Results of molecular docking showed that they are both top-scored for the target proteins, means that they indeed can interact with the targets (Figure 3). MD simulations of the compounds 10 and 13 and their targets were carried out, to further confirm precise binding mechanisms and interaction stability. And the results indicated the stabilities of the dynamics equilibriums for both of them are reliable, and thus provide a reliable basis for further analysis.

### 2.4. The Effect of Compound 10 and Compound 13 on Disease Protection in Mice Hepatocytes

Both Akt-1 and caspase-1 were highly expressed when the liver was injured. The expression level of caspase-1 and Akt-1 decreased in the mixture group and compound 13 group compared to the liver injury model group, indicating a hepatic protective effect (Figure 4). From what was discussed above, it can be inferred that compound 13 in *Cichorium intybus* L. reduced the expression level of Akt-1 and caspase-1, thus ameliorated the liver injury to some degree.
3. Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated the hepatoprotective effect of *Cichorium intybus* L. on mouse model. Furthermore, it can be inferred that *Cichorium intybus* L. will have great value in hepatic disease protection, whether for alimentary or medicinal use. Since *Cichorium intybus* L. has complex chemical components, to identify the small molecule compounds and their biological targets has become significant. Therefore, we separated and identified 10 of them. Among them, compounds 2 and 5 were new compounds that had not been reported; compound 6 was isolated from the genus for the first time; and compound 10 was isolated from this plant for the first time. These new compounds may inspire people investigating more about the therapeutic potential of *Cichorium intybus* L., apart from...
the effective components like Intyboate B that we have already known for its therapeutic effect on hypertension, heart failure, etc.

Among the targets of the two selected compounds, Akt-1 and caspase-1 are extremely significant. Akt-1 can prevent apoptosis and high expression level of it may be related to tumorigenesis [8]. Caspase-1 is involved in apoptosis mediated by death receptor [9,10]. The hepatic protective effect of compounds 10 and 13 may be reflected from two aspects: on the one hand, they can down regulate abnormally high expression of Akt-1 and thus prevent the occurrence of tumors; on the other hand, compound 13 also inhibits the expression of caspase-1 and thereby reduces the degree of liver damage via preventing apoptosis. The results of western blot have strongly proved our hypothesis. In addition, since Akt-1 pathway has an extensive biological effect, especially in preventing apoptosis, regulating metabolism and resulting in cytoskeleton reorganization, we can speculate that studies on compounds 10 and 13 are of great significance in the treatment of several diseases including diabetes mellitus.

By applying in silico analysis and experimental validation, our study has proven the hepato-protective effect of compounds 10 and 13 in Cichorium intybus L. and disclosed its possible molecular mechanisms, which may shed light on designing the drugs aimed at acute liver injury.

4. Experimental Section

4.1. Experimental Animals and Design

Experiments were conducted on male Kunming mice (Specific pathogen free (SPF) grade), which weighed 20 ± 2 g, and were purchased from the Experimental Animal Center of Xinjiang Medical University (Xinjiang, China), license number: SYXK (Xin) 2003-0001. After 1 week of feeding in a constant temperature of 21–24 °C and 45%–50% relative humidity, the mice were used for experiments. The feedstuffs were provided by the Animal Experimental Center of Shihezi University. Mice were randomly divided into 6 groups of 12 mice each, including the normal control, liver injury model, positive drug silibinin control (200 mg/mL), low-dose drug group (2.0 g/kg chicory), middle-dose group (6.0 g/kg chicory) and high-dose group (18.0 g/kg chicory). The normal group and model group were fed with physiological saline for 7 days, while other groups were fed with the corresponding agents. One hour after the last drug administration, all groups, except the normal control, received a peritoneal injection of 10 mL/kg of 0.2% rapeseed oil solution of CCL4. All groups were allowed free access to pure water but no access to food. After 20 h, blood and liver tissue samples were harvested for further examination.

4.2. Measurement of Markers of Liver Function and Enzyme Activity

The blood was centrifuged at 3000 r/min at 4 °C for 10 min to separate the serum. Markers of liver function, including aspartate transaminase (AST) and alanine transaminase (ALT), were measured with a UV spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2401, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The liver tissue was prepared as a 10% homogenate (w/v). MDA was measured by using a MDA kit, with a UV spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2401) at 532 nm. GSH was measured by using a GSH kit, with a UV spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2401) at 420 nm.
4.3. Histopathological Observations in the Liver

Mouse liver tissue was fixed with 10% formalin for 24 h, dehydrated with a sequence of ethanol solutions, and embedded in paraffin. Serial sections were cut at a 5 μm thickness and stained with hematoxylin-eosin (HE) and masson tri-chrome. Stained tissue sections were assessed for the detection of changes in the magnitude of liver injury using a photomicroscope.

4.4. Detection of ROS and Immunostaining

Intracellular ROS levels were measured using dichloro-dihydro-fluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA). For flow cytometric analyses, cells were exposed to 10 μM DCFH-DA (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, USA) for 5 min. The cells were then analyzed using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) using the FL-1 channel (515–545 nm). The mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) was analyzed using CellQuest Pro 4.0.2 software (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), and quantification was performed using WinMDI 2.8 software (The Scripps Institute, La Jolla, CA, USA). Small cellular debris was excluded by gating on a forward scatter plot [11–15].

4.5. Isolation and Identification of Main Components in Cichorium intybus L.

We isolated 14 compounds and identified 10 of the structures by applying Sephadex LH-20 (General Electric, Fairfield, CA, USA), Open ODS (Octadecylsilyl, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), UPLC-TOF-MS (ultra performance liquid chromatography/time of flight mass spectrometry, Waters, Milford, MA, USA), etc. In brief, herbs of Cichorium intybus L. (10.0 kg) were extracted with EtOH-H2O (95:5, v/v) three times under conditions of reflux for 3 h each time. The combined EtOH extracts were concentrated, suspended in H2O, and then partitioned with CHCl3 and EtOAc, to yield CHCl3-soluble fraction (46.0 g) and EtOAc-soluble fraction (24.0 g). An aliquot of the CHCl3-soluble fraction (40.0 g) was subjected to silica gel CC with a gradient of petroleum ether (PE)/EtOAc to afford fourteen fractions A–N (100:0–100:100). Fraction C (PE-EtOAc, 100:1) (0.0748 g) was applied to silica gel CC eluted with petroleum ether (PE)/EtOAc (100:2) to produce compound 13 (30.0 mg). An aliquot of the CHCl3-soluble fraction (20.0 g) was subjected to silica gel CC with a gradient of CHCl3/MeOH to afford eight fractions A–H (100:0–100:10). Fraction F (CHCl3/MeOH, 100:6) (0.5 g) was applied to silica gel CC and Sephadex LH-20 (General Electric, Fairfield, CA, USA) successively, then separated via HPLC (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), with MeOH-H2O (45:55, v/v) as mobile phase, to produce compound 10 (12.0 mg). The structures of compounds 10 and 13 were identified by comparison of their spectroscopic data with those reported in the literature [16–20]. The relevant chromatograms and mass spectra data of the active compounds are as follows.

**Compound 10**: colorless acicular crystal (MeOH); HR-ESI-TOF-MS 167.0705 [M + H]+ (calculated 167.0708, C9H11O3); 1H-NMR (CD3OD, 600 MHz) δ: 7.07 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H-2, H-6), 6.72 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H-3, H-5), 3.66 (3H, s, –OCH3), 3.52 (2H, s, H-7); 13C-NMR (CD3OD, 150 MHz) δ: 173.1 (C-8), 156.2 (C-4), 129.9 (C-2, C-6), 124.5 (C-1), 114.9 (C-3, C-5), 50.9 (C-1′), 39.5 (C-7).

**Compound 13**: colorless acicular crystal (MeOH); HR-ESI-TOF-MS 153.0549 [M + H]+ (calculated 153.0551, C8H9O3); 1H-NMR(CD3OD, 600 MHz) δ: 7.00 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H-2, H-6), 6.67 (2H, d,
\[ J = 8.4 \text{ Hz, H-3, H-5}, 3.46 (2H, s, H-7), 9.27 (1H, br s, OH); ^{13}\text{C-NMR (CD}_{3}\text{OD, 150 MHz)} \delta: 176.1 (C-8), 157.4 (C-4), 131.3 (C-2, C-6), 126.8 (C-1), 116.2 (C-3, C-5), 41.1 (C-7). \]

4.6. Predictive Targets of Small Molecule Compounds

Target proteins of the small molecule compounds were obtained from the similarity ensemble approach (SEA) (http://sea.bkslab.org/) [21]. An assistant tool for searching data from SEA dock was developed to simplify this process. The assistant tool can view the source code of the result page and test if the content matches the pattern that we set. If it does match, the program will copy it into a buffer and dump it into a file. Then the functions of target proteins and connections between them were obtained by applying Gene Ontology (GO) (http://www.geneontology.org) and KEGG Orthology (KO) (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/ko.html) [22–24]. Furthermore, we selected target proteins involved in disease pathogenesis in liver.

4.7. Molecular Modeling and Molecular Docking

We selected 2 effective small molecule compounds and 2 proteins as receptors. Before the docking, we downloaded initial three-dimensional geometric co-ordinates of the X-ray crystal structures of compounds and proteins from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (http://www.pdb.org/pdb/home/home.do). Molecular docking was performed using the UCSF DOCK6.5 program (University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA), which utilized DOCK algorithm to address rigid body docking by superimposing the ligand on to a negative image of the binding pocket [25–27].

4.8. MD Simulations of Complexes

Refinements of 3D structures of complexes were done using 10 ns molecular dynamic (MD) simulations. MD simulation of the complex was carried out with the GROMACS 4.5.4 (KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden) [28] package using the GROMOS96 43a1 force field [29]. The lowest binding energy (most negative) docking conformation generated by CDOCKER module embedded in the Accelrys Discovery Studio 3.5 (Accelrys, San Diego, CA, USA) was taken as initial conformation for MD simulation. The topology parameters of proteins were created using the Gromacs program. The topology parameters of ligands were built by the Dundee PRODRG server (University of Dundee, Dundee, UK). The complex was immersed in a cubic box of simple point charge (SPC) water molecules. Eight and eleven sodium counter-ions were added by replacing water molecules to ensure the overall charge neutrality of the receptors simulated system, respectively. To release conflicting contacts, energy minimization was performed using the steepest descent method of 5000 steps followed by the conjugate gradient method for 5000 steps. MD simulation studies consist of equilibration and production phases. To equilibrate the system, the solute was subjected to the position-restrained dynamics simulation (NVT (constant number (N), volume (V), and temperature (T)) and NPT (constant number (N), pressure (P), and temperature (T)) at 300 K for 300 ps. Finally, the full system was subjected to MD production run at 300 K temperature and 1 bar pressure for 5000 ps. For analysis, the atom coordinates were recorded at every 0.5 ps during the MD simulation.
4.9. Western Blot Analysis

The expression levels of the selected target proteins (Akt-1 and caspase-1) in liver tissues were measured by applying western blot. Liver tissues were lysed in a buffer containing 1% Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 10 mM EDTA, 0.02% NaN₃, and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Boehringer Mannheim Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Following one freeze–thaw cycle, the cell lysates were centrifuged at 10,000× g at 4 °C for 20 min and boiled in sample buffer for 5 min. The proteins were then subjected to SDS–PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) and transferred to a PVDF (polyvinylidene fluoride) membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) using a semi-dry electroblotting system. After blocking with 5% skim milk in PBS, the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies (1:1000 dilution) at 4 °C overnight. The membranes were then washed with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5000 dilution) at room temperature for 1 h. After washing, the membranes were soaked in ECL solution (PerkinElmer Life Sciences Inc., Boston, MA, USA) for 1 min, according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and exposed to film (BioMax; Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY, USA). Other materials used for protein analyses were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) [30–32].

4.10. Statistical Analysis

All the presented data and results were confirmed in at least three independent experiments. The data are expressed as means ± S.D. Statistical comparisons were made by One-way ANOVA and Student’s t-test. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

5. Conclusions

This study demonstrates the hepatic protective effect of Cichorium intybus L. and active compounds in Cichorium intybus L. The active compounds ameliorate liver injury by acting on Akt-1 and caspase-1, which are related to apoptosis or autophagy. In summary, our results may shed light on the complex molecular mechanisms of Cichorium intybus L. acting on hepatocytes and ameliorating liver injury.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81430087) and Key Projects of the National Science and Technology Pillar Program (2012BAI30B02). The authors wish to thank Yong Tan for identification of the plant of Cichorium intybus L.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: Guo-Yu Li, Jing-Kai Gu and Jin-Hui Wang; Performed the experiments: Guo-Yu Li, Ya-Xin Zheng and Fu-Zhou Sun; Analyzed the data: Jian Huang and Meng-Meng Lou; Wrote the paper: Guo-Yu Li, Jing-Kai Gu and Jin-Hui Wang; And revised the paper: Jin-Hui Wang.
Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

CIE, *Cichorium intybus* L. extract; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; GSH, glutathione; SOD, superoxide dismutase; MDA, malondialdehyde; ROS, reactive oxygen species; Akt-1, RAC-α serine/threonine-protein kinase; CCL₄, carbon tetrachloride.

References

1. Hassan, H.A.; Yousef, M.I. Ameliorating effect of chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.)-supplemented diet against nitrosamine precursors-induced liver injury and oxidative stress in male rats. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2010, 48, 2163–2169.
2. Li, G.Y.; Gao, H.Y.; Huang, J.; Lu, J.; Gu, J.K.; Wang, J.H. Hepatoprotective effect of *Cichorium intybus* L., a traditional Uighur medicine, against carbon tetrachloride-induced hepatic fibrosis in rats. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2014, 16, 4753–4760.
3. Saggu, S.; Sakeran, M.I.; Zidan, N.; Tousson, E.; Mohan, A.; Rehman, H. Ameliorating effect of chicory (*Chichorium intybus* L.) fruit extract against 4-tert-octylphenol induced liver injury and oxidative stress in male rats. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2014, 72, 138–146.
4. Rasmussen, M.K.; Klausen, C.L.; Ekstrand, B. Regulation of cytochrome P450 mRNA expression in primary porcine hepatocytes by selected secondary plant metabolites from chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.). *Food Chem.* 2014, 146, 255–263.
5. Sun, B.Y.; Zhang, B.; Lin, Z.J.; Li, L.Y.; Wang, H.P.; Zhou, J. Chicory extract’s influence on gut bacteria of abdominal obesity rat. *China J. Chin. Mater. Med.* 2014, 11, 2081–2085. (In Chinese)
6. Liu, H.Y.; Ivarsson, E.; Lundh, T.; Lindberg, J.E. Chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.) and cereals differently affect gut development in broiler chickens and young pigs. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 2013, 1, 50.
7. El-Sayed, Y.S.; Lebda, M.A.; Hassinin, M.; Neoman, S.A. Chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.) root extract regulates the oxidative status and antioxidant gene transcripts in CCl₄-induced hepatotoxicity. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0121549.
8. Darr, J.; Klochendler, A.; Isaac, S.; Eden, A. Loss of IGFBP7 expression and persistent Akt activation contribute to SMARCB1/Snf5-mediated tumorigenesis. *Oncogene* 2014, 33, 3024–3032.
9. Edinger, A.L.; Thompson, C.B. Death by design: Apoptosis, necrosis and autophagy. *Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol.* 2004, 16, 663–669.
10. Wang, X. The expanding role of mitochondria in apoptosis. *Genes. Dev.* 2001, 15, 2922–2933.
11. Hsieh, C.Y.; Chen, C.L.; Yang, K.C.; Ma, C.T.; Choi, P.C.; Lin, C.F. Detection of reactive oxygen species during the cell cycle under normal culture conditions using a modified fixed-sample staining method. *J. Immunooass. Immunochem.* 2015, 2, 149–162.
12. Shen, W.J.; Hsieh, C.Y.; Chen, C.L.; Yang, K.C.; Ma, C.T.; Choi, P.C.; Lin, C.F. A modified fixed staining method for the simultaneous measurement of reactive oxygen species and oxidative responses. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2013, 1, 442–447.
13. Macchia, I.; Urbani, F.; Proietti, E. Immune monitoring in cancer vaccine clinical trials: Critical issues of functional flow cytometry-based assays. *Biomed. Res. Int.* **2013**, *2013*, 726239.

14. Chang, Z.Y.; Lu, D.W.; Yeh, M.K.; Chiang, C.H. A novel high-content flow cytometric method for assessing the viability and damage of rat retinal ganglion cells. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *3*, e33983.

15. Mittal, M.; Siddiqui, M.R.; Tran, K.; Reddy, S.P.; Malik, A.B. Reactive oxygen species in inflammation and tissue injury. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **2014**, *7*, 1126–1167.

16. Kühner, D.; Stahl, M.; Demircioglu, D.D.; Bertsche, U. From cells to muropeptide structures in 24 h: Peptidoglycan mapping by UPLC-MS. *Sci. Rep.* **2014**, *4*, 7494.

17. Zhang, H.Y.; Xu, X.F.; Huang, Y.; Wang, D.Q.; Deng, Q.H. Characteristic fingerprint analysis and determination of main components on Andrographis paniculata extract by UPLC. *J. Chin. Med. Mater.* **2014**, *6*, 1055–1058. (In Chinese)

18. Zhou, S.S.; Xu, J.D.; Shen, H.; Liu, H.H.; Li, S.L. Development of UPLC-Q-TOF-MS/MS combined with reference herb approach to rapidly screen commercial sulfur-fumigated ginseng. *China J. Chin. Mater. Med.* **2014**, *15*, 2807–2813. (In Chinese)

19. Wojdylo, A.; Nowicka, P.; Laskowski, P.; Oszmiański, J. Evaluation of sour cherry (*Prunus cerasus* L.) fruits for their polyphenol content, antioxidant properties, and nutritional components. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2014**, *51*, 12332–12345.

20. Zuo, Y. *High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC): Principles, Procedures and Practices*; Nova Science Publishers: New York, NY, USA, 2014.

21. Keiser, M.J.; Roth, B.L.; Armbruster, B.N.; Ernsberger, P.; Irwin, J.J.; Shoichet, B.K. Relating protein pharmacology by ligand chemistry. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2007**, *25*, 197–206.

22. Thomas, P.D.; Wood, V.; Mungall, C.J.; Lewis, S.E.; Blake, J.A. On the use of gene ontology annotations to assess functional similarity among orthologs and paralogs: A short report. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **2012**, *2*, e1002386.

23. Kanehisa, M.; Goto, S.; Furumichi, M.; Tanabe, M.; Hirakawa, M. KEGG for representation and analysis of molecular networks involving diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2010**, *38*, D355–D360.

24. Kanehisa, M.; Goto, S.; Sato, Y.; Kawashima, M.; Furumichi, M.; Tanabe, M. Data, information, knowledge and principle: Back to metabolism in KEGG. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2014**, *42*, D199–D205.

25. Lang, P.T.; Brozell, S.R.; Mukherjee, S.; Pettersen, E.F.; Meng, E.C.; Thomas, V.; Rizzo, R.C.; Case, D.A.; James, T.L.; Kuntz, I.D. DOCK 6: Combining techniques to model RNA-small molecule complexes. *RNA* **2009**, *15*, 1219–1230.

26. Dolinsky, T.J.; Czodrowski, P.; Li, H.; Nielsen, J.E.; Jensen, J.H.; Klebe, G.; Baker, N.A. PDB2PQR: Expanding and upgrading automated preparation of biomolecular structures for molecularsimulations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2007**, *35*, W522–W525.

27. Fu, L.L.; Liu, J.; Chen, Y.; Wang, F.T.; Wen, X.; Liu, H.Q.; Wang, M.Y.; Ouyang, L.; Huang, J.; Bao, J.K.; *et al.* *In silico* analysis and experimental validation of azelastine hydrochloride (N4) targetingsodium taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide (NTCP) in HBV therapy. *Cell Prolif.* **2014**, *47*, 326–335.

28. Hess, B.; Kutzer, C.; van der Spoel, D.; Lindahl, E. GROMACS 4: Algorithms for highly efficient, load-balanced and scalable molecular simulation. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2008**, *4*, 435–447.
29. Berendsen, H.J.C.; Postma, J.P.M.; van Gunsteren, W.F.; Dinola, A.; Haak, J.R. Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath. J. Chem. Phys. 1984, 81, 3684–3690.

30. Chen, X.; Guo, J.; Xi, R.X.; Chang, Y.W.; Pan, F.Y.; Zhang, X.Z. MiR-210 expression reverses radioresistance of stem-like cells of oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma. World J. Clin. Oncol. 2014, 5, 1068–1077.

31. Kim, M.S.; Song, J.; Park, C. Determining protein stability in cell lysates by pulse proteolysis and western blotting. Protein Sci. 2009, 5, 1051–1059.

32. Li, X.M.; Bai, H.; Wang, X.Y.; Li, L.Y.; Cao, Y.H.; Wei, J.; Liu, Y.M.; Liu, L.J.; Gong, X.D.; Wu, L.; et al. Identification and validation of rice reference proteins for western blotting. J. Exp. Bot. 2011, 14, 4763–4772.

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).