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Abstract— Currently, many countries are racing
towards switching to clean energy resource (1). Among the
options available Solar and Wind are two viable options
that are economically feasible. Each day a new
development is helping in bringing down the cost of energy
extracted from these sources.

With currently available technologies, solar energy is
almost as expensive as the energy generated from burning
coal, whereas wind energy is still slightly expensive (2).
However, wind energy could be made cheaper by the use
of a vertical axis wind turbine (3).

However, structure is a major factor that is holding
back the development of VAWTs with better efficiency (4).
The efficiency of a VAWT depends upon its aspect ratio.
Aspect Ratio is the ratio of the height of the blade to the
diameter of the turbine. The lower the aspect ratio, the
higher the efficiency (5). However, decreasing the AR
would mean either increasing the diameter of the turbine
or the height of the blade. In either case, the bending
moment would increase on the struts, that connect the
blades to the shaft.

In this paper we propose, struts with airfoil cross-section.
This is because, the lift generated by airfoil struts acts as
additional support for the blade, thus increasing our
ability to work at lower aspect ratios.

Keywords— Airfoil, Vertical Axis Wind Turbine,
Renewable Energy, Wind Energy

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Vertical Axis Wind Turbine (VAWT)
Vertical axis wind turbines are turbines in which the blades
rotate about a vertical shaft. They can capture the wind energy
from any direction and are suitable under highly turbulent
wind conditions. VAWTs can be classified into two broad
categories, based on their principle.

1.1.1. Savonius
Savonius turbines are low-speed, high-torque turbines. They
rely on drag force to generate torque (6).

1.1.2. Darrieus
Darrieus turbines consist of blades with airfoil cross-section.
They capture wind energy in the form of lift generated by the
flow of wind around the airfoil blades (7).

1.2. Advantages of VAWT over HAWT
● When we compare a vertical axis wind turbine with a
horizontal axis wind turbine, the answer is clear: Vertical axis
wind turbines cannot compete with horizontal axis wind
turbines, particularly regarding power output and efficiency.
But, there are several other factors where VAWTs perform
better than HAWTs. Based on this fact, vertical axis wind
turbines are the basis of our project.
● Horizontal axis wind turbines require a highly
specialized study of the wind patterns and topographical features of the
turbine installation site. Whereas, vertical axis wind turbines
are more geographically flexible. VAWTs are independent of
wind flow direction. Also, they are capable of generating
power at low wind speed. This makes them great alternatives
for conditions unsuitable for conventional and HAWT models.
● Since VAWTs are independent of wind direction, they do not
require any wind detection or orientation mechanism. This
reduces the number of moving components, hence reducing
the complexity of the system along with maintenance costs.
● The drive train of the vertical axis system can be placed near
the surface. This provides two-fold benefits. Firstly, the
maintenance process of the drivetrain becomes a lot easier and
faster. Secondly, since the major bulk of the structure is near
the ground, the centre of gravity is quite low. This
tremendously improves the structural stability, hence making
the support structure a lot cheaper than HAWTs.
In wind farms, the turbines are required to be placed at a minimum optimum distance to avoid losses due to the turbulence effect and to allow the wind to recover its speed after rotating a turbine. This distance is less for VAWTs as compared to HAWTs. This helps in increasing the power density of wind farms by packing more wind turbines in a limited area. (8)

II. LITERATURE

Despite the advantages mentioned above, VAWTs have a major drawback, which is pulling them from operating at lower Aspect Ratio and hence give higher efficiency. Aspect Ratio is the ratio of the height of the blade to the diameter of the turbine. The lower the aspect ratio, the higher the efficiency (5). However, decreasing the AR would mean either increasing the diameter of the turbine or the height of the blade. In either case, the bending moment would increase on the struts that connect the blades to the shaft and hence decrease the capabilities of the structure.

This problem can be solved by replacing the traditional struts with airfoil cross-section struts. The lift generated by these struts while rotation would provide additional support to the blades, and would hence enable us to design turbines at the lower aspect ratios.

2.1. Selection of Airfoils

For this research, we chose symmetric airfoils, due to their simplicity and stable output. We analyzed the NACA series airfoil; 0012, 0013, 0014, 0015, 0016, 0017, 0018 using the BEM method on Qblade software.

2.1.1. BEM method

BEM stands for Blade Element Momentum. It is a theory that combines both blade element theory and momentum theory. It is used to calculate the local forces on a propeller or wind-turbine blade (9). Qblade is an open-source simulation software, based on BEM theory (10).

2.1.2. Analysis Result

The analysis showed that NACA0012 gave the maximum values lift coefficient over the span of 0-15 degrees of angle of attack.

2.2. Structural Analysis

Structural analysis was performed on ANSYS software on two geometries. One with hollow, skeleton-based airfoil struts with a chord length of 25cm and the other with hexagon struts with an edge length of 2.5cm, available in the design library of the software. The following parameters were considered for the analysis.

| S no. | Parameters   | Value       |
|-------|--------------|-------------|
| 1     | Material     | Structural Steel |
### 2.2.1. Results Obtained

The results obtained predicted a much higher FOS for the shaft with airfoil struts. While airfoil struts achieved the FOS of 1.08, hexagonal struts achieved the FOS of 0.61.

|   | Weight of Blade | 1666 N (170 kg) |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| 3 | Lift Generated  | 40 N            |
| 4 | Rotational Velocity | 7.2 rad/sec    |

**Table 1: Parameters of Analysis**

---

From the results stated above, we can safely conclude that airfoil struts can improve the structural strength by as much as 80%, thus allowing us to design wind turbines with higher efficiency.

### III. CONCLUSION

---

---
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