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In this work, we study finite-time stability of hybrid systems with unstable modes. We present sufficient conditions in terms of multiple Lyapunov functions for the origin of a class of hybrid systems to be finite-time stable. More specifically, we show that even if the value of the Lyapunov function increases during continuous flow, i.e., if the unstable modes in the system are active for some time, finite-time stability can be guaranteed if the finite-time convergent mode is active for a sufficient amount of cumulative time. This is the first work on finite-time stability of hybrid systems using multiple Lyapunov functions. Prior work uses a common Lyapunov function approach, and requires the Lyapunov function to be decreasing during the continuous flows and non-increasing at the discrete jumps, thereby, restricting the hybrid system to only have stable modes, or to only evolve along the stable modes. In contrast, we allow Lyapunov functions to increase both during the continuous flows and the discrete jumps. As thus, the derived stability results are less conservative compared to the earlier results in the related literature, and in effect allow the hybrid system to have unstable modes.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Stability of the equilibrium point or equilibrium set of switched and hybrid systems has been studied extensively in the literature. In Branicky (1998), the author introduces the concept of multiple Lyapunov functions to analyze stability of switched systems; since then, a lot of work has been done on the topic, see e.g., (Zhao and Hill, 2008); Zhao et al., 2012). In Zhao and Hill (2008), the authors relax the non-increasing condition on the Lyapunov functions used in (Branicky, 1998), by introducing the notion of generalized Lyapunov functions. They present necessary and sufficient conditions for Lyapunov and asymptotic stability (AS) of switched systems under arbitrary switching. Inspired by the results in (Branicky, 1998; Zhao and Hill, 2008), we study conditions for finite-time stability (FTS)\(^1\) of a class of hybrid systems, using multiple generalized Lyapunov functions. In contrast to AS, which pertains to convergence as time tends to infinity, FTS is a concept that requires convergence of solutions in finite time. FTS is a well-studied concept, motivated in part from a practical viewpoint due to properties such as convergence in finite time, as well as robustness with respect to disturbances (Ryan, 1991). In the seminal work (Bhat and Bernstein, 2000), the authors introduce necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of Lyapunov functions for continuous, autonomous systems to exhibit FTS.

\(^1\)With slight abuse of notation, we use FTS to denote the phrase "finite-time stability" or "finite-time stable", depending on the context.
FTS of switched and hybrid systems has gained popularity in the last few years. The authors in Liu et al. (2017) consider the problem of designing a controller for a linear switched system under delay and external disturbance with finite-time convergence. The authors in Li and Sanfelice (2019) present conditions in terms of a common Lyapunov function for FTS of hybrid systems. They require the value of the Lyapunov function to be decreasing during the continuous flow and non-increasing at the discrete jumps. The authors in Rios et al. (2015) design an FTS state observer for switched systems via a sliding-mode technique under the assumption that each individual subsystem is observable on a domain. More recently, the authors in Zhang (2018) study FTS of homogeneous switched systems by introducing the concept of hybrid homogeneous degree, and relating negative homogeneity with FTS. They consider switched systems with an assumption that each subsystem possesses a homogeneous Lyapunov function, and that the switching-intervals are constant.

In this paper, we develop sufficient conditions for FTS of a class of hybrid systems in terms of multiple Lyapunov functions. To the best of authors’ knowledge, this is the first work considering FTS of hybrid systems using multiple Lyapunov functions. We first define the notion of FTS for hybrid systems in a way that does not restrict each mode of the hybrid system to be FTS in itself. More specifically, we relax the requirement in (Zhao and Hill, 2008; Li and Sanfelice, 2019) that each Lyapunov function is non-increasing at the discrete jumps, and strictly decreasing during the continuous flow; instead, we allow the Lyapunov functions to increase both during the continuous flow and at the discrete jumps, and require that these increments are bounded. In this respect, we allow the hybrid system to have unstable modes while still guaranteeing FTS. In addition, we present a novel proof on the stability of the origin using multiple Lyapunov functions under the aforementioned relaxed conditions. As compared to Zhang (2018), in the current paper we do not assume that the subsystems are homogeneous or in strict feedback form. The main result is that if the origin is stable under arbitrary switching, and if there exists an FTS mode that is active for a sufficient cumulative time, then the origin of the resulting hybrid system is FTS. As thus, the results in Bhat and Bernstein (2000) on FTS of continuous systems are a special case of the proposed results. The paper also extends and generalizes the results of the authors’ prior work in (Garg and Panagou, 2021) where FTS of a class of switched system is studied.

The motivation of studying FTS using multiple Lyapunov functions comes from applications where the switching law is not under the user’s control authority, or where keeping the FTS mode active for a long period leads to undesirable behavior. As an example, consider a spacecraft that tracks a desired trajectory, with the on-board communication and the controller module requiring a certain minimum energy threshold to function. The charge-level of the spacecraft battery can be modeled as a hybrid system, where being in the path of sunlight would be an FTS mode, leading to increase in the charging level, and tracking the desired trajectory an unstable mode since it depletes the charge. Now, keeping the FTS mode active for a long duration might lead to the spacecraft losing track of its desired trajectory, and thus, the switching signal between the two modes cannot be designed arbitrarily. At the same time, FTS is desired so that the spacecraft can activate its communication module for crucial communications with the ground station and/or the control module to compute inputs for the next part of the journey. Thus, for the applications where the FTS mode cannot be kept active for all times, or the switching signal is not under user’s control, it is essential to study FTS under switching laws that allow the FTS mode to become inactive, and unstable modes to become active.

2 FINITE-TIME STABILITY OF HYBRID SYSTEMS

2.1 Preliminaries

We denote by \( \| \cdot \| \) the Euclidean norm of vector \((\cdot)\), \(|\cdot|\) the absolute value if \((\cdot)\) is a scalar and the length if \((\cdot)\) is a time interval. The set of non-negative reals is denoted by \( \mathbb{R}_+ \), set of non-negative integers by \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \), and set of positive integers by \( \mathbb{N} \). We denote by \( \text{int}(S) \) the interior of the set \( S \). The right and left limits of the function \( x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n \) are given by \( x(t^+) = \lim_{t \to x^-(t)} x(t) \) and \( x(t^-) = \lim_{t \to x^+(t)} x(t) \) respectively.

**Definition:** A continuous function \( \alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) is called

- **Class-\( \mathcal{K} \) function:** if it is increasing, i.e., for all \( x > y \geq 0 \), \( \alpha(x) > \alpha(y) \);
- **Class-\( \mathcal{K}_\infty \) function:** if it is a class-\( \mathcal{K} \) function, and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \alpha(r) = \infty \).

Next, we review the notion of FTS. Consider the system:

\[
\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)),
\]

where \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is continuous on an open neighborhood \( D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) of the origin and \( f(0) = 0 \). Assume that the solution of Eq. 1 exists and is unique. The origin is said to be an FTS equilibrium of Eq. 1 if it is Lyapunov stable and for all \( x(0) \in N \backslash \{0\} \), where \( N \subset D \) is some open neighborhood of the origin, \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0 \), where \( T = T(x(0)) < \infty \) [see (Bhat and Bernstein, 2000)]. The authors also presented Lyapunov conditions for FTS of the origin of Eq. 1:

**Theorem 1:** [Bhat and Bernstein (2000)], Suppose there exist a continuously differentiable, positive definite function \( V : D \to \mathbb{R} \) for Eq. 1, real numbers \( c > 0 \) and \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), and an open neighborhood \( V \subseteq D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) of the origin such that

\[
\dot{V}(x) \leq -cV(x)^\alpha, \ x \in V \backslash \{0\}.
\]

Then the origin is an FTS equilibrium.

We state the following Lemma before we proceed to the main results, which follows from (Zuo and Tien, 2016, Lemma 3.3).

**Lemma 1:** Let \( a_i \geq b_i \geq 0 \) for all \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, K\} \) for some \( K \in \mathbb{N} \). Then, for any \( 0 < r < 1 \), we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{K} (a_i - b_i)^r \leq \sum_{i=1}^{K} (a_i - b_i)^r.
\]
2.2 Main Results
We consider the class of hybrid systems \( H = \{ C, \mathcal{F}, D, \mathcal{G} \} \) described as
\[
\dot{x}(t) = f_i(t, x(t)), \quad x(t) \in C, \\
\dot{x}(t') = g(x(t')), \quad x(t) \in D,
\]
where \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the state vector with \( x(t_0) = x_0 \), \( f_i \in \mathcal{F} \triangleq \{ f_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \} \) for \( k \in \Sigma \triangleq \{ 1, 2, \ldots, N \} \) is the continuous flow (called thereafter, subsystem, or mode) allowed on the subset of the state space \( C \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), and \( \mathcal{G} = \{ g \} \) where \( g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) defines the discrete behavior (called thereafter discrete-jump dynamics), which is allowed on the subset \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \). Note that at the jump instant \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), the state \( x(t) \) is characterized by multiple values, namely the value just before the jump at time \( t \), which we denote as \( x(t^-) \), and the value just after the jump at time \( t \), which we denote as \( x(t^+) \) (satisfying \( x(t^+) = g(x(t^-)) \)). The switching signal \( \sigma(t) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \Sigma \) is assumed to be continuous in \( x \) and piecewise continuous (from the right in) \( t \). We omit the argument \((t, x)\) from \( \sigma(t) \) for the sake of brevity. Systems of the form Eq. 4 have been studied in (Branicky, 1998), while Zhao and Hill (2008) considers the form of Eq. 4 without the discrete-jump dynamics.

Denote by \( T_{k_i} = \{ t_0_i, t_1_i, \ldots \} \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \), with \( t_{i+1} \geq t_i \geq t_0 \), the interval in which the flow \( f_i \) is active for the \( k \)-th time for \( i \in \Sigma \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( t = t_m^+ \) the time when discrete jump \( x(t') = g(x(t^-)) \) takes place for the \( m \)-th time, \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). Define \( I_i = \{ t_0^i, \ldots, t_m^i \} \subset T_{k_i} \) as the set of all time instances when a discrete jump takes place when the continuous flow \( f_i \) is active, and \( J = \cup_i I_i \) as the set of all times when the state of Eq. 4 undergoes a discrete jump. Without loss of generality, we assume that the switching signal \( \sigma(t) \) is minimal, i.e., for any \( i \in \Sigma, \exists t_i \) such that for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), and that there are no two discrete jumps at the same time instant. We also make the following assumption.

**Assumption 1.** The functions \( f_i \) are continuous for all \( i \in \Sigma \) and the origin is the unique equilibrium point of Eq. 4.

The case when there exists a closed set \( D \neq \emptyset \) such that \( g(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \in D \subset D \) can be treated by studying stability of closed sets; see (Li and Sanfelice, 2019). The solution of the hybrid system Eq. 4 can be defined as follows: a function \( x : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is a solution of Eq. 4 if:

- it is absolutely continuous between any two jump instants and satisfies \( \dot{x}(t) = f_i(t, x(t)) \) for almost all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus J \) such that \( x(t) \in C \), where \( k = \sigma(t, x) \);
- it satisfies \( x(t') = g(x(t)) \), for all \( t \in J \) such that \( x(t) \in D \).

Interested reader is referred to (Goebel et al., 2012, Chapter 2) for a detailed presentation on solution notion of hybrid systems. We assume that the solution of Eq. 4 exists for all \( t \geq 0 \), and is non-Zeno\(^2\). Similar assumptions have been used in literature (e.g., Zhao and Hill, 2008; Li and Sanfelice, 2019; Sanfelice et al., 2007) in order to analyze stability properties of the origin of hybrid systems.

\(^2\)A sufficient condition for the solutions of a switched or hybrid to exhibit non-Zeno behavior is that there is a non-zero dwell-time \( \tau > 0 \) between any two switching instants [see (Liberzon, 2003)].
\[ \dot{V}_f(x) \leq -c \ V_f(x)^\beta, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ \text{\{0\}}; \]  

5) The accumulated duration \( |T_F| \triangleq \sum_i |T_{F_i}| \) corresponding to the period of time during which the mode \( F \) is active without any discrete jumps, satisfies

\[ |T_F| = \gamma(\|x_0\|) \triangleq \frac{\alpha(\|x_0\|)^{-\beta}}{c(1-\beta)} + \frac{M^\beta \beta \alpha(\|x_0\|)^{-\beta}}{c(1-\beta)}, \]

where \( \alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + N_\alpha \beta, \) \( M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) is the number of times the mode \( F \) is activated, then, the origin of Eq. 4 is FTS with respect to the switching signal \( \sigma \). Moreover, if all the conditions hold globally, the functions \( V_i \) are radially unbounded for all \( i \in \mathbb{S}_F \) and \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty_0} \), then the origin of Eq. 4 is globally FTS.

Before presenting the proof, we provide an intuitive explanation of the conditions of Theorem 2 (see Figure 1).

- Note that since \( V_i \)'s are positive definite functions, there exists a class-\( K \) function \( \alpha_0 \) such that \( V_i(x) \leq \alpha_0(\|x\|) \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) [see (Khalil and Grizzle, 2002, Lemma 4.3)].
- Condition 1) means that the cumulative value of the differences between the consecutive Lyapunov functions at the switching instants of the dynamics of continuous flows (i.e., at switches of the signal \( \sigma_F \)) is bounded by a class-\( K \) function. The functions are evaluated at the post-jump value of the state to include the case when a discrete-jump happens to occur at \( t = t_{k+1} \). If there is no discrete-jump at \( t = t_{k+1} \), then simply \( V_{F_i}(x(t_{k+1}^+)) - V_{F_i}(x(t_{k+1}^-)) = V_{F_i}(x(t_{k+1}^-)) - V_{F_i}(x(t_{k+1}^-)) \).
- Condition 2) means that the cumulative increment in the value of the Lyapunov function \( V_i \) is bounded by a class-\( K \) function at the discrete jumps occurring at the switching instants, i.e., \( t_{k+1} \in F \). Condition Eq. 6 inherently accommodates any discrete jumps occurring in the interior of the time interval \( (t_k, t_{k+1}) \), i.e., in between two switching instants, for any mode \( i \neq F \). Thus, we only need to account for the discrete jumps occurring at the boundaries of these intervals through (7) [see, e.g., (Wang et al., 2018)].
- Condition 4) means that there exists an FTS mode \( F \in \mathbb{S} \) and a Lyapunov function \( V_F \) satisfying Eq. 2 for \( \dot{x}(t) = f_F(x(t)) \) on \( [t_F, t_{F+1}) \ \forall F \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \).
- Condition 5) means that the FTS mode \( F \) is active for a sufficiently long cumulative time \( \gamma(\|x_0\|) \) without any discrete jump occurring in that cumulative period.

Now we provide the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. First we prove the stability of the origin under conditions (1–3). Let \( x_0 \in D \), where \( D \) is some open neighborhood of the origin. For all \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), we have that

\[
V_p(x(t_i^+)) = V_p(x(t_i^-)) + \sum_{k=0}^{p} (V_p(x(t_{i+1}^-)) - V_{p-1}(x(t_{i}^-)))
+ \sum_{k=0}^{p} (V_p(x(t_{i+1}^+)) - V_p(x(t_{i}^+)))
+ \sum_{k=0}^{p} \sum_{i=t_{k+1}}^{t_k} (V_i(x(t^-)) - V_i(x(t^+)) \leq \alpha_0(\|x_0\|) + \alpha_1(\|x_0\|) + \alpha_2(\|x_0\|) + N\alpha \beta(\|x_0\|)
= \alpha(\|x_0\|)
\]

where \( \alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + N\alpha \beta \in \mathcal{K} \) with \( \alpha_0(0) = \max_{x_0 \in \mathcal{D}_F, \|x_0\| \leq r} V_i(x) \).

Thus, we have:

\[
V_p(x(t_i^+)) \leq \alpha(\|x_0\|),
\]

for all \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \). Let \( d_i(c) = \{ |x| | V_i(x) \leq c \} \) denote the \( c \) sub-level set of the Lyapunov function \( V_i \), \( i \in \mathbb{S} \), and \( B_p = \{ |x| \leq p \} \) denote a ball centered at the origin with radius \( p \in \mathbb{R}_+ \). Define \( r(c) = \inf \{ r | \ B_p \subseteq \{ |x| \leq r \} \} \) as the radius of the smallest ball centered at the origin that encloses the \( c \) sub-level sets \( d_i(c) \), for all \( i \in \mathbb{S} \).

Since the functions \( V_i \) are positive definite, the sub-level sets \( d_i(c) \) are bounded for small \( c > 0 \), and hence, the function \( r(c) \) is invertible. The inverse function \( c_e = r^{-1}(c) \) maps the radius \( c > 0 \) to the value \( c_e \) such that the sub-level sets \( d_i(c_e) \) are contained in \( B_p \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{S} \). For any given \( c > 0 \), choose \( \delta \) such that \( c(\delta) \leq r^{-1}(c) \) so that Eq. 9 implies that \( \|x_0\| \leq \delta \), we have

\[
V_p(x(t_i^+)) \leq \alpha(\|x_0\|) \leq \alpha(\delta) \leq r^{-1}(c),
\]

which implies that \( \|x(t_i^+)\| \leq \delta \) for all \( c \in \mathbb{Z}_+, i.e., \text{the origin is LS}.\)

Next, we prove FTS of the origin when conditions (4–5) also hold. From Eq. 9, we have that

\[
V_F(x(t_i^+)) \leq \alpha(\|x_0\|),
\]

for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). By definition, we have that there is no discrete jump during \( T_F \), for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( M \in \mathbb{N} \) denote the total number of times the mode \( F \) is activated. From condition 4), we have

\[
\dot{V}_F(x(t)) \leq -c \ V_F(x(t))^\beta.
\]

for all \( t \in \bigcup_{F} T_F \subset \bigcup_{[t_{F+i}, t_{F+i+1})} \mathbb{V}_F \). Using the fact there is no discrete jump in \( T_F \), we can integrate Eq. 11 to obtain
where \( T_{Fk} \) is active for the hybrid system while still guaranteeing FTS of the origin. Thus, for any \( M \in \mathbb{N} \), we have that
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{T_{Fk}}{c(1-\beta)} - \frac{T_{i}^{1-\beta}}{c(1-\beta)} \quad \text{where} \quad |T_{Fk}| = \bar{T}_{F_{k+1}} - \bar{T}_{F_k}.
\]
Thus, for any \( M \in \mathbb{N} \), we have that
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{M} |\bar{T}_{F_k}| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left( \frac{T_{Fk}^{1-\beta}}{c(1-\beta)} - \frac{T_{i}^{1-\beta}}{c(1-\beta)} \right)
\]
Using Eq. 10, we obtain that
\[
\frac{T_{Fk}^{1-\beta}}{c(1-\beta)} \leq \alpha (\|x_0\|)^{1-\beta},
\]
Define \( Y_1(\|x_0\|) = \frac{\alpha (\|x_0\|)^{1-\beta}}{c(1-\beta)} \) and note that \( Y_1 \in \mathcal{K} \). Now, let \( Q = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_M\} \), \( 0 \leq q_1 \leq M \), be the set of indices such that \( \bar{V}_{F_{k+1}} \geq V_{F_{k+1}} \) for \( i \in Q \). We know that for \( a \geq b \geq 0, a' \geq b' \) for any \( r > 0 \), Hence, we have that
\[
\sum_{i=q}^{M-1} \frac{V_{F_{k+1}} - V_{F_{k+1}}}{c(1-\beta)} \leq \sum_{i=q}^{M-1} \frac{\bar{V}_{F_{k+1}} - \bar{V}_{F_{k+1}}}{c(1-\beta)}
\]
Using Lemma 1, we obtain that
\[
\sum_{i=Q}^{M-1} \frac{V_{F_{k+1}} - V_{F_{k+1}}}{c(1-\beta)} \leq \sum_{i=Q}^{M-1} \frac{\bar{V}_{F_{k+1}} - \bar{V}_{F_{k+1}}}{c(1-\beta)}
\]
From the analysis in the first part of the proof, we know that \( V_{F_{i+1}} \) is active for a sufficient amount of time \( |\bar{T}_{F_i}|(\|x_0\|) \) without any discrete jump guarantees FTS of the origin for the overall system. Depending upon the application at hand, and available authority on the design of the switching signal, the FTS mode can be made active for the required cumulative duration in one activation period, or in multiple activation periods. For the cases when designing the switching signal is not under user’s control, it is possible that the dynamics switches between the FTS and the non-FTS modes, and in such a case, Theorem 2 guarantees FTS when the system state, the value of the function \( V_{F} \) converges to 0 as \( t \to \bar{T}_{F_{i+1}} \), and thus, the origin of Eq. 4 is FTS. Finally, if all the conditions (1–5) hold globally and the functions \( V_{i} \) are radially unbounded, we have that \( a_0 \) is also radially unbounded and \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0} \). Thus, we have that \( a(\|x_0\|) < \infty \) and \( \bar{a}(\|x_0\|) < \infty \) for all \( \|x_0\| \geq 0 \), and hence, \( \gamma(\|x_0\|) < \infty \) for all \( \|x_0\| < \infty \), which implies global FTS of the origin.

**Estimation of time of activation** \( |\bar{T}_{F}| \): Note that the expression of \( |\bar{T}_{F}| \) in condition 5) of Theorem 2 depends on \( M \), the number of times the mode \( F \) is activated. In practice, the number \( M \) might not be known beforehand. However, an upper bound on the cumulative time of activation \( |\bar{T}_{F}| \) can still be computed as follows. For the origin of the hybrid system Eq. 4 to be FTS, it is necessary that the mode \( F \) is active at least once. Furthermore, under the assumption that there is a dwell-time \( \tau > 0 \) so that system solutions are non-Zeno, we know that \( |\bar{T}_{F_i}| \geq \tau \). Using these two arguments, we can find an upper bound on \( |\bar{T}_{F}| \) in Theorem 2. In particular, the upper bound is given as:
\[
|\bar{T}_{F}| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\alpha (\|x_0\|)^{1-\beta}}{c(1-\beta)} + \frac{2\alpha (\|x_0\|)^{1-\beta}}{c(1-\beta)} \right\} M \tau_{F} \tag{17}
\]
where \( M^* \) is the solution of
\[
\frac{\alpha (\|x_0\|)^{1-\beta}}{c(1-\beta)} + \frac{M^* \tau_{F}^{1-\beta} \alpha (\|x_0\|)^{1-\beta}}{c(1-\beta)} = \tau_{F} \tag{18}
\]
**Activation of the FTS mode**: Intuitively, Theorem 2 can be interpreted as follows: if Lyapunov stability of the origin can be established for a given switching signal \( \sigma_F \), then the presence of a switching signal and an FTS mode such that the latter is active for a sufficient amount of time \( |\bar{T}_{F_i}|(\|x_0\|) \) without any discrete jump guarantees FTS of the origin. Compared to Li and Sanfelice (2019), our results are less conservative in the sense that the Lyapunov functions are allowed to increase during the continuous flows (per Eq. 6), as well as at the discrete jumps (per Eq. 7). In other words, we allow unstable modes to be present in the hybrid system while still guaranteeing FTS of the origin. **Comparison with earlier results**: In contrast to (Zhao and Hill, 2008, Proposition 3.8), where the authors provided necessary and sufficient conditions for stability of switched system under Eq. 9 and non-increasing condition on the Lyapunov functions \( V_i \) during activation period, we proved stability of the origin with just Eq. 9. Compared to Li and Sanfelice (2019), our results are less conservative in the sense that the Lyapunov functions are allowed to increase during the continuous phases (per Eq. 6), as well as at the discrete jumps (per Eq. 7). In other words, we allow unstable modes to be present in the hybrid system while still guaranteeing FTS of the origin. **A note on construction of functions** \( V_{F} \): In practice, the conditions (1–3) in Theorem 2, or those presented in Zhao and Hill (2008) can be difficult to verify for a general class of hybrid systems involving non-linear subsystems. For a class of switched systems consisting of \( N - 1 \) linear modes and one FTS mode \( F \), one can follow a procedure similar to (Zhao and Hill, 2008,
Remark 3.21) to construct the functions \(\mu_i\) to design the switching signal \(\sigma_f\) as well as the Lyapunov candidates \(V_i\) for \(i \neq F\). The design procedure includes choosing quadratic functions \(\mu_i = x^T P_i x\) and \(V_i = x^T R_i x\) with \(R_i\) as positive definite matrices to formulate a linear matrix inequality (LMI) problem. For systems consisting of polynomial dynamics \(f_i\), one can formulate a sum-of-square (SOS) problem to find polynomial functions \(V_i \mu_i\) [see Parrilo (2000) for an overview of SOS programming and (Prajna et al., 2002) for methods of solving SOS problems]. The study of finding Lyapunov functions to assess stability for a general class of hybrid systems with nonlinear modes is an open field of research, and is out of scope of this work.

3 SIMULATIONS

We present an instance of the hybrid system Eq. 4 with five modes, where one mode is FTS, one is AS, and three are unstable. The simulation results have been obtained by discretizing the continuous-time dynamics using Euler discretization. We use a step size of \(dt = 10^{-3}\), and run the simulations till the norm of the states drops below \(10^{-10}\). At this point we wish to emphasize that while the theoretical results hold for the continuous-time dynamics, and not for the implemented discretized dynamics, still the simulations reflect stable behavior that meets the theoretical bounds on the sufficiently long active time of the finite-time stable mode. Consider the hybrid system \(\mathcal{H} = \{C, \mathcal{F}, D, \mathcal{G}\}\) where

\[
\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5\}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \{g_1\}, \quad C = D = \mathbb{R}^2,
\]

\[
f_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.01x_1^2 + x_2 & -0.01x_1^2 + x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.01x_1 - x_2 & -x_1^2 + 0.01x_2 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
f_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -x_1 - x_2 & -x_1 + x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.01x_1^2 + 0.01x_1x_2 & -0.01x_1^2 + x_2^2 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
f_5 = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 - 20 \text{sign}(x_1) |x_1|^\beta & -10 \text{sign}(x_1) |x_1|^\beta \end{bmatrix}, \quad g_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.1x_1 & -1.1x_2 \end{bmatrix},
\]

with \(\beta = 0.98\), where the fifth mode is FTS, and thus \(F = 5\). Note that the states \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) change sign and increase in magnitude at the discrete jumps. The Lyapunov functions are defined as \(V(x) = x^T P_i x\), for \(i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\), with

\[
P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\(V_5(x) = \frac{1}{2} |x_1|^{2\beta} + \frac{1}{2} |x_2|^2\). Note that this example is more general than the examples considered in (Li and Sanfelice, 2019), as we allow the dynamics to have unstable modes. In this example, the switches in the continuous flows occur after 0.2 sec, i.e., \(|T| = 0.2\) sec, \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\), and discrete jumps occur after 0.1 s for all \(i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 5\}\).

The switching signal is designed so that the Lyapunov candidates \(V_i\) satisfy conditions 1) and 3) of Theorem 2 (see Garg and Panagou, 2021) for a discussion on how a finite-time stabilizing switching signal can be designed). Mode 3 and 5, being stable, satisfy condition 2) with \(\alpha_2 = 0\), and modes 1, 2 and 4, being active for a finite interval each time, satisfy condition 2) with \(\alpha_2 = k \|x_0\|^\beta\) for some \(k \geq 0\), and \(\alpha_2 = k \|x_0\|^2\) satisfy 2) for all the modes. It can be verified that \(f_5\) is homogeneous with degree of homogeneity \(d = \alpha - 1 < 0\). Thus, using (Bhat and Bernstein, 2005, Theorem 7.2), the origin is FTS under the system dynamics \(f_5\), and there exists a \(V_5\) satisfying Eq. 8; therefore, condition 4) is satisfied. Finally, the switching signal is designed so that mode five is active for a sufficient amount of time that satisfies condition 5).

Figure 2 illustrates the state trajectories \(x_1(t)\) and \(x_2(t)\). Note that the states change sign at the discrete jumps. Figure 3 depicts the norm of the state vector \(x(t)\) on log scale; note that \(|x(t)|\) is increasing while operating in unstable modes, and decreasing while operating in stable modes. As seen in the figures, the system states, starting from \(|x(0)| = 10\), reach to a norm of \(|x(t)| \leq 10^{-10}\) within first 90 s of the simulation. Finally, Figure 4 illustrates the evolution of the Lyapunov functions \(V_i\) with respect to time; note that the Lyapunov functions increase, as expected, at the times of the switches in \(\sigma_f\) as well as during the continuous flows along the unstable modes 1, 2, and 4. The provided example demonstrates that the origin of the system is FTS even when one or more modes are unstable, if the FTS mode is active for a sufficient amount of time.
4 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we studied FTS of a class of hybrid systems. We showed that under some mild conditions on the bounds of the increase of the Lyapunov functions, if the FTS mode is active for a sufficient cumulative time, then the origin of the hybrid system is FTS. Our proposed method allows the individual Lyapunov functions to increase both during the continuous flows as well as at the discrete state jumps, i.e., it allows the hybrid system to have unstable modes.

Future research focuses on incorporating input and state constraints in the hybrid systems framework to model safety (in the sense of invariance of a safe set of states) and temporal requirements (in the sense of convergence to a set or to a point within an arbitrarily chosen time, if possible). More specifically, we would like to investigate how to impose convergence of the system trajectories in a finite time that can be \textit{a priori} selected independent of the initial conditions, so that the overall framework can be used for the synthesis and analysis of controllers under spatiotemporal specifications.
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