The variability of physico-chemical properties of brewery spent grain from 8 different breweries
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ABSTRACT

This research aimed to identify the differences in brewer's spent grains, which were collected from eight breweries for their physicochemical properties. The spent grains were dried until they reached stable weights, ground to pass through a 385-μm sieve, vacuum-packed in nontransparent packaging, and kept in room temperature conditions for further analysis. The physicochemical properties, including proximate, color, water activity, water-holding capacity, oil-holding capacity, and density were evaluated. The results showed some differences in all measured quality parameters between all eight different spent barley grain samples. A similar pattern was noted in some properties studied. Hence, mathematical modeling of these studied properties should be undertaken with further qualities, such as fiber composition, mechanical properties, and thermal stability.

1. Introduction

Brewery spent grain (BSG), a byproduct of the brewery industry, is generated from 41% beer waste production and 31% malt materials (García-Garcia et al., 2019; Nigam, 2017). Twenty kilograms of BSG is obtained from every 100 L of beer load. Indeed, in 2018, there were 1.94 billion hectolitres of beer produced worldwide (Conway, 2019). Agro-industrial byproducts are renewable resources because they are nonhazardous waste. Valorization of biowaste is profitable for environmental, economic, and human health reasons (Ravindran et al., 2018).

An excellent review (Nigam, 2017) mentioned that BSG has considerable potential to be used for several purposes, such as animal feed, food ingredients, polymer production, microbial products, and nutritional application. The versatility of BSG is supported by their fiber compounds. Fiber is a good source for nutraceuticals and nutrition, biopolymers, and bioactive compounds such as phenolic compounds and substrates for microflora, as well as a supply for biochemical and biofuel production (Amorim et al., 2019; Barbosa-Pereira et al., 2014; Berthet et al., 2015; Lorente et al., 2019; Reis et al., 2015).

Many researchers studied the possibilities of enhancing BSG quality materials for food, nutraceuticals, and biopolymers. Some popular methods include fiber modification, fermentation (Chu et al., 2019), physical treatment (Li et al., 2019), chemical treatment (Ravindran et al., 2018), and a combination of techniques (Espino et al., 2014; Gil-López et al., 2019). However, the expected results depend on the basic properties and quality of the BSG itself.

The factors which affect the characteristics of BSG include genetic variation in the crops used, specificity of brewery production, treatment, and pretreatment after beer production (Santos et al., 2003). Pretreatment strategies, including both chemical and physical techniques, of BSG were studied specifically for their impact on protein quality (Qin et al., 2018). The brewing process affects the amount of hydroxycinnamic acid (McCarthy et al., 2013), with drying methods (Santos et al., 2003) and types of malts (Moreira et al., 2013) previously compared; their impacts on phenolic and antioxidant activity were discovered as a result. Furthermore, different types of solvent used for phenolic extraction from BSG compound bioactivity (Socaci et al., 2018).

These differences depict that any treatments or methods directly impact the BSG properties, leading to differences in the effects of products. The main reason to use different sources of BSG regards the expression of different properties. There is a lack of reliable scientific reports regarding comparison of the quality of BSG from various sources. This study aimed to identify the differences in BSG from eight different brewery industries for their physicochemical properties, with the results used as basic information in order to establish the possibility of BSG for further valorization.
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2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

BSG were obtained from 8 different brewery industries in Poland, Germany, and Estonia (I-VIII: Foundation II German Pale Ale, Bojanowo, WB, Onnevaleni Komonendid, Drunken soiler india pale ale, Sto Moscow, Fest, and Elveliksirid, respectively), and directly dried using convective drying at 70 °C maximum temperature. The samples were ground using a grinding mill laboratory scale to reach a maximum particle size of about 385 μm. The samples were kept in aluminium bags at room temperature for further analysis. All chemicals for fat and protein analysis were analytical grades obtained from Sigma Ltd.

2.2. Proximate analysis

Proximate analysis was conducted by the methods described by Ktenioudaki et al. (2013b) with 3 replications for moisture content, protein (N), extracted fat, and ash content. Carbohydrates were calculated by the difference (100 – moisture content – protein – fat – ash). Moisture content (MC) was measured using the oven method. Samples weighing 5 g were dried in the oven at 115 °C for 12 h until stable weights were reached. The crude protein (N) was measured according to the Kjeldahl method with a conversion factor of 6.25, while extracted fat was analyzed using the Soxhlet extraction method, as determined by weight difference before and after extraction. Ash content was determined gravimetrically in a muffle furnace by heating at 550 °C for 12 h, allowing complete decomposition of organic matter.

2.3. Water activity measurement

Water activity (Aw) measurement was done in triplicate using the water activity meter AquLaLab Dew Point 4TE (Decagon Devices Inc., United States) at 24 °C (Park et al., 2015).

2.4. Color measurement

The color values, L*, a*, and b*, of the BSG samples were evaluated using a Chroma meter (Park et al., 2015), where L* is lightness, a* is the degree of redness or greyness, and b* is yellowness or blueness. Samples were placed on a transparent glass plate along with the Chroma meter and measured in triplicate. The Chroma meter, Minolta Chroma Meter CR-400 (Minolta Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan), was calibrated with a white-board supplied by the manufacturer.

2.5. Water- and oil-holding capacity

Water-holding capacity (WHC) and oil-holding capacity (OHC) were carried out in triplicate following the methods by Ktenioudaki et al. (2013b). Samples of BSG weighing 5 g were mixed with 50 mL of distilled water and left for 12 h to hydrate. The excess water was removed and the retained water per gram sample was determined as the water-holding capacity. Oil-holding capacity was determined by mixing 2.5 g of BSG with 6 mL of vegetable oil in a glass rod and leaving at room temperature for 30 min, then centrifuging the samples at 3000 rpm for 15 min. The oil retained was measured after removing the supernatant.

2.6. True density

True density measurement was done in duplicate using a density analyzer. Samples totalling 2 g were weighed into a sample cell for the system to perform the measurement. True density was calculated as a ratio of sample weight and true volume (Ho et al., 2019). True volume is the volume of the sample including closed pores but excluding open pores, which was obtained by comparing the air pressure on a sample cell with and without the sample.

2.7. Bulk density

Bulk density was carried out using three different particle sizes of sample, i.e., before grinding, after grinding with a particle size >385 μm, and particle size <385 μm. All analyses were performed in triplicate. The BSG samples were poured into 1 L graduated plastic cylinders and the samples were weighed. Bulk density was determined as the weight of the sample per unit volume of the sample (Bashir et al., 2017).

2.8. Data analysis

The analysis was carried out by analysis of variance (ANOVA) in Statistica software. Significance differences (p < 0.05; Tukey HSD post-hoc test) were evaluated using one-way ANOVA, except bulk density, which was analyzed using two-way ANOVA.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Proximate analysis and water activity

The results of the proximate as dry matter and water activity measurements are shown in Table 1. In general, statistical analysis showed significant differences (p < 0.05) for the eight different spent grains in all proximate parameters, including moisture, ash, fat, protein, and carbohydrate contents. There were some factors which affected the characteristics of the BSG, including genetic variation in the crops used, specificity of the brewery production, treatment, and pretreatment after beer production (Santos et al., 2003). As depicted in Figure 1, the following ranges were observed: moisture content, 2.178–4.353%; ash content, 3.303–4.290%; extracted fat, 9.47–13.11%; crude protein, 22.22–30.24%; and carbohydrate, 50.68–60.19%. Water activity of the spent grains ranged from 0.087 to 0.197. The highest moisture content was observed in BSG IV, the highest ash content in BSG VII, the highest fat and protein contents in BSG VIII, and the highest carbohydrate content in BSG II, while the highest water activity was in BSG IV. In addition, the results depicted the relationship between moisture content and water activity (Table 1). As depicted in Figure 4a, the higher the moisture content, the higher the level of water activity.

The dried BSG composition varied quite widely, containing approximately 5–8% moisture content, 2.7–5% ash, 14.5–30% protein nitrogen, and 8–34.82% extracted fat (Balogun et al., 2017; Cato et al., 2015; Coelho et al., 2014; Niemi et al., 2013; Nocente et al., 2019; Stoicescu and Ainsworth, 2008). All proximate variables were comparable with previously published data, except moisture content, which was discovered to be lower, possibly because of the differences in the drying techniques used. Drying techniques, which were reported in previous studies, included oven drying at 100 °C for 18 h (Balogun et al., 2017), oven drying at 60 °C for 73 h (Nocente et al., 2019), and hot air (Niemi et al., 2013). However, convective drying with a maximum temperature of 70 °C was used in this research until the sample reached a stable weight. Convective drying using hot air as a drying agent was noted for its simplicity, low cost, and easiness to operate (Caliñ-Sánchez et al., 2020). The differences in temperature and time consequently generated different levels of moisture contents in the BSG.

The variability of the results between all spent grain samples may have led to variation in the other properties. The wide variety of ash content among the eight different spent grains generated variety in mineral contents. As Table 1 shows, most of the samples were significantly different (p < 0.05) from each other in ash content, except BSG VII and III. The main minerals in BSG include phosphorus, calcium, sulfur, magnesium, and potassium (Meneses et al., 2013). Protein content was discovered to be significantly different (p < 0.05) between several samples. Protein isolates from BSG showed 34 peptides with high ACE inhibitory activity (Connolly et al., 2015a; Lee and Hur, 2017) the protein content of BSG was also positively related to the amount of β-glucan (Zielke et al., 2017). In other words, if the crude protein was
The variability of proximate value between 8 different spent grains (I: Foundation II German Pale Ale, II: Bojamowo, III: WB, IV: Onnevalemi Komonendid, V: Drunken soiler india pale ale, VI: Sto Mostow, VII: Fest, VIII: Elveliksiirid).

### Table 1. Proximate and water activity measurements of brewery spent grain (mean ± standard deviation value).

| Brewery spent grain | Moisture (%) | Ash (%) | Fat (%) | Protein (N %) | Carbohydrate* (%) | Aw |
|---------------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------------|-------------------|----|
| I                   | 3.052 ± 0.032<sup>b</sup> | 4.067 ± 0.008<sup>f</sup> | 10.715 ± 0.349<sup>d</sup> | 22.543 ± 0.236<sup>c</sup> | 59.623 ± 0.551<sup>ab</sup> | 0.106 ± 0.004<sup>c</sup> |
| II                  | 2.518 ± 0.056<sup>e</sup> | 3.552 ± 0.056<sup>e</sup> | 9.470 ± 0.534<sup>kl</sup> | 24.270 ± 1.510<sup>e</sup> | 60.190 ± 1.692<sup>a</sup> | 0.097 ± 0.002<sup>kl</sup> |
| III                 | 3.024 ± 0.081<sup>b</sup> | 4.245 ± 0.012<sup>ab</sup> | 11.996 ± 0.441<sup>kl</sup> | 27.579 ± 0.073<sup>k</sup> | 53.156 ± 0.415<sup>c</sup> | 0.117 ± 0.002<sup>kl</sup> |
| IV                  | 4.353 ± 0.109<sup>k</sup> | 3.591 ± 0.032<sup>e</sup> | 10.600 ± 0.447<sup>kl</sup> | 23.817 ± 0.005<sup>kl</sup> | 57.639 ± 0.567<sup>b</sup> | 0.197 ± 0.004<sup>kl</sup> |
| V                   | 2.782 ± 0.034<sup>b</sup> | 4.195 ± 0.030<sup>b</sup> | 11.125 ± 0.097<sup>kl</sup> | 22.217 ± 0.050<sup>k</sup> | 59.681 ± 0.037<sup>ab</sup> | 0.093 ± 0.002<sup>kl</sup> |
| VI                  | 2.178 ± 0.030<sup>f</sup> | 3.828 ± 0.028<sup>kl</sup> | 11.490 ± 0.122<sup>kl</sup> | 23.159 ± 0.131<sup>kl</sup> | 59.346 ± 0.190<sup>ab</sup> | 0.064 ± 0.004<sup>kl</sup> |
| VII                 | 2.336 ± 0.073<sup>d</sup> | 4.290 ± 0.018<sup>kl</sup> | 12.583 ± 0.215<sup>ab</sup> | 27.855 ± 0.648<sup>kl</sup> | 52.935 ± 0.715<sup>c</sup> | 0.087 ± 0.003<sup>kl</sup> |
| VIII                | 2.673 ± 0.073<sup>d</sup> | 3.303 ± 0.048<sup>e</sup> | 13.105 ± 0.314<sup>kl</sup> | 30.236 ± 0.133<sup>kl</sup> | 50.683 ± 0.442<sup>d</sup> | 0.118 ± 0.003<sup>kl</sup> |

Note: *: carbohydrate value was calculated by difference; letters show the significant differences from other group in the same column (p < 0.05). (I: Foundation II German Pale Ale; II: Bojamowo; III: WB; IV: Onnevalemi Komonendid; V: Drunken soiler india pale ale, VI: Sto Mostow; VII: Fest; VIII: Elveliksiirid).

3.2. Color analysis

Color parameter values of the eight samples of spent grain are shown in Table 2. All color variables studied (L*, a*, and b*) showed significant differences (p < 0.05) in most of the analyzed spent grain samples. L* describes the lightness from 0–100, a* describes redness (+) to greenness (-), and b* describes yellowness (+) to blueness (-). All the spent grain samples showed L* values varying from 58.436% to 63.732%, the a* value varied between 3.732 and 5.574, and the b* value ranged between 14.610 and 17.116. The physical appearances of the eight BSG samples are shown in Figure 2a in addition to the wet and dried BSG (Figure 2b). The color value of the byproducts is an important parameter for the products. Application of spent grain in food products and biopolymer generates a darker color. The level of impact on food products color can be explained by the color significantly different between the spent grains, differences could be observed in the peptide compounds and their bioactivity levels. However, protein quality is also influenced by several factors, including pretreatment strategies, chemical treatment, and physical treatment such as hydrothermal treatment (Qin et al., 2018). The bioactivity of BSG protein was explored regarding the functionality of isolate protein and bioactive peptides, and reported for its activity levels, such as peroxidase (Kurnik et al., 2018), immunomodulatory (Cian et al., 2018), antioxidant (Vieira et al., 2016), anti-inflammatory (McCarthy et al., 2013), antimicrobial (Cian et al., 2018), and angiotensin-converting enzyme activity (Connolly et al., 2015b). Besides protein content, significant differences (p < 0.05) were also shown in fat and carbohydrate contents. BSG fat content from BSG was noted for its potential as a source of antioxidants, fatty acids, and volatile tocoferol compounds (Bohnsack et al., 2011; del Rio et al., 2013; Farcăș et al., 2015; Parekh et al., 2017; Patel et al., 2018; Patrignani and González-Forte, 2020; Ribau Teixeira et al., 2020). The carbohydrate content roughly describes the fiber amount. BSG fiber contains cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin (Amorim et al., 2019; Balogun et al., 2017; Czer et al., 2015; Fu et al., 2013; Meneses et al., 2015; Nigam, 2017; Ravindran et al., 2018). The differences in carbohydrate content show differences in the amount of cellulose and hemicellulose contained in spent grain and their impact on the approach product. Brewery spent grain was studied for its ability to be a material for biopolymer packaging (Berthet et al., 2015), biofuel production (Lorente et al., 2019), and food production (Nascimento et al., 2017).
analysis L*, a*, and b* values. According to L* value from Table 2, BSG VI had the highest impact on the darker color and BSG IV had the highest impact on lightness color. Moreover, BSG III had the highest impact on redness while BSG II affected the level of green. The highest level of

Table 2. Colour measurements of brewery spent grain and water (WHC) and oil (OHC) holding capacity of brewery spent grain (mean ± standard deviation value).

| Brewery spent grain | Color Value | WHC (g/g) | OHC (g/g) |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
|                     | L*          | a*        | b*        |             |
| I                   | 60.036 ± 0.021bc | 5.254 ± 0.006h | 16.984 ± 0.026a | 3.710 ± 0.164b | 1.916 ± 0.021a |
| II                  | 59.214 ± 0.082d  | 3.732 ± 0.015f  | 14.610 ± 0.053f  | 3.055 ± 0.383c  | 2.085 ± 0.023b |
| III                 | 60.390 ± 0.262bc | 5.574 ± 0.060a  | 16.974 ± 0.085ab | 3.986 ± 0.080eb | 2.127 ± 0.028b |
| IV                  | 58.436 ± 0.104f  | 4.946 ± 0.035c  | 15.908 ± 0.006c  | 3.810 ± 0.306eb | 2.212 ± 0.006c |
| V                   | 60.110 ± 0.250c  | 5.326 ± 0.029b  | 17.116 ± 0.075a  | 3.985 ± 0.062eb | 2.095 ± 0.023b |
| VI                  | 63.722 ± 0.040a  | 4.566 ± 0.006e  | 16.676 ± 0.098b  | 3.761 ± 0.043b  | 1.999 ± 0.043c |
| VII                 | 60.068 ± 0.130f  | 4.550 ± 0.006e  | 15.404 ± 0.015a  | 4.111 ± 0.084eb | 2.219 ± 0.014a |
| VIII                | 59.908 ± 0.129f  | 4.420 ± 0.051a  | 15.452 ± 0.066a  | 4.346 ± 0.052a  | 2.206 ± 0.018a |

Note: letters show the significant differences from other group in the same column (p < 0.05); (I: Foundation II German Pale Ale; II: Bojanowo; III: WB; IV: Onnevalem Komonendid; V: Drunken soiler india pale ale, VI: Sto Mostow; VII: Fest; VIII: Elveliksirid).
yellowness was produced by BSG V and the highest level of blueness was demonstrated by BSG II. The valorization of spent grain in baked food products (Liu et al., 2011; Waters et al., 2012), such as cookies (Ajanaku et al., 2011; Heredia-Sandoval et al., 2020; Petrovic et al., 2017), in an excessive amount decreased the color acceptability. These data were important in order to characterize the level of addition of spent grain as a food ingredient. In the utilization of BSG as a food ingredient for pasta production, it was reported that pasta with b* values (yellowness) below 19 were considered to be low quality, with higher than 26 considered as a good color value (Nocente et al., 2019). The yellowness of the spent grain was very low for pasta production, but rose when applied as a food ingredient. However, in biopolymer composites, chemical methods could be applied in order to reduce the adverse effects of the color (López Durán et al., 2018).

3.3. Water-holding capacity (WHC) and oil-holding capacity (OHC)

WHC is the ability of the sample to bind the maximum amount of water after exposure, while OHC is the maximum capacity of the sample to trap the oil. The ability to bind water and oil is important when it comes to food applications, because they are related to mechanical and mouthfeel properties. Table 2 shows that there were some groups of spent grain which were not significantly different (p < 0.05) in terms of WHC as well as OHC properties. The WHC level varied from 3.055 to 4.346 g/g, while the OHC ranged from 1.916 to 2.219 g/g. Compared to other reports, the WHC in this research was lower while the OHC was higher. It is reported WHC and OHC values of 5.07 g/g and 1.21 g/g, respectively were observed (Ktenioudaki et al., 2013b). These differences in WHC and OHC level impact the properties in food processing. Wheat byproducts have a WHC of 7.7 g/g and an OHC of 7.8 g/g (Sarfaraz et al., 2017), while pear byproducts have a WHC and an OHC 3.44 g/g and 1.82 g/g, respectively (Yan et al., 2019). However, the WHC and OHC of fiber can be modified by several treatments depending on the purposes. High-pressure treatment can increase the levels of WHC and OHC, while reducing particle size to superfine grinding can reduce WHC and OHC (Yan et al., 2019). The change in WHC might be due to the loosening of the dietary fiber structure, consequently exposing hydrophilic groups (Yan et al., 2019).

Interestingly, WHC (Table 2) was shown to be related to carbohydrate amount (Table 1). BSG VIII showed the highest WHC level and the lowest carbohydrate amount, while BSG II showed the lowest WHC value and the highest carbohydrate level. However, this pattern did not exist for all spent grains. This phenomenon supported the idea that the different

| Brewery spent grain | Bulk density (g/ml) Before grinding | Grinding >385 | Grinding <385 | True density (g/ml) |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| I 0.158 ± 0.005     | 0.415 ± 0.007                     | 0.495 ± 0.012 | 1.378 ± 0.003 |
| II 0.154 ± 0.002    | 0.366 ± 0.005                     | 0.474 ± 0.014 | 1.392 ± 0.003 |
| III 0.131 ± 0.004   | 0.357 ± 0.004                     | 0.429 ± 0.008 | 1.335 ± 0.011 |
| IV 0.135 ± 0.001    | 0.337 ± 0.003                     | 0.392 ± 0.008 | 1.336 ± 0.003 |
| V 0.154 ± 0.008     | 0.427 ± 0.010                     | 0.454 ± 0.004 | 1.375 ± 0.005 |
| VI 0.161 ± 0.003    | 0.413 ± 0.005                     | 0.471 ± 0.012 | 1.372 ± 0.025 |
| VII 0.129 ± 0.004   | 0.520 ± 0.007                     | 0.401 ± 0.010 | 1.331 ± 0.004 |
| VIII 0.159 ± 0.006  | 0.368 ± 0.009                     | 0.406 ± 0.002 | 1.318 ± 0.003 |

Note: letters show the significant differences from other group in the same column (p < 0.05); I: Foundation II German Pale Ale; II: Bojamowo; III: WB; IV: Onnevaleni Komonendid; V: Drunken soiler india pale ale; VI: Sto Mostow; VII: Fest; VIII: Elveliksiirid.)

Figure 3. Bulk density in a different particle size of brewery spent grains (I: Foundation II German Pale Ale, II: Bojamowo, III: WB, IV: Onnevaleni Komonendid, V: Drunken soiler india pale ale, VI: Sto Mostow, VII: Fest, VIII: Elveliksiirid).
Figure 4. Relation between several quality parameters on BSG: moisture content and water activity (a), water holding capacity and extracted fat content (b), true density and carbohydrate amount (c).
sources of spent grain influence their properties. WHC represents the hydration properties of DF, which increases when added to food processing techniques (Benitez et al., 2019). Chemical treatment of DF enhances the water-holding capacity (López Durán et al., 2018). Hydration properties play an important role in reducing calories and modifying the viscosity and texture of formulated foods (Benitez et al., 2019). The increase in the water-holding capacity is beneficial for inhibiting syneresis and modifying viscosity and texture (Kieserling et al., 2019). WHC contributes to textural (hardness) properties of the utilization of byproducts as food ingredients (Park et al., 2015). It was observed that WHC was related to the impact of DF in changing food structure and their interactions in food matrices (Muneer et al., 2018).

The levels of WHC are connected to fat content, as depicted in Figure 4b. WHC is responsible for thickness and viscosity, while OHC is responsible for food stabilization, acting as a flavouring retainer and increasing mouthfeel (Benitez et al., 2019). Furthermore, the OHC of DF was observed to predict the ability to reduce loss during food processing, and it was noted that the amount of soluble dietary fiber played an important role in the amount of OHC (Yan et al., 2019). The ability of the spent grain to absorb water was contradictory to the free water contained, because it reduced the availability of the hydrophilic functional groups (Yan et al., 2019). BSG is a highly crosslinked material, thus impacting the release of polysaccharide components and, consequently, its physical properties (Robertson et al., 2010). Therefore, WHC and OHC are affected by the functional polysaccharide group, amino acids, and the fat content. This is probably related to the solubility of the protein. The ability of the spent grain to absorb oil was shown to be related to lipophilic compounds, such as fat, as well as amino acid contents, which bind to BSG fiber.

3.4. True density and bulk density

Statistical analysis on true density (Table 3) shows that only two out of the eight samples were significantly different (p < 0.05), with BSG II showing the highest (1.392 g/mL) and BSG III the lowest true density (1.318 g/mL). However, statistical analysis on bulk density (Table 3) showed significant difference (p < 0.05) between most of the spent grain samples. Furthermore, there was a significant difference (p < 0.05) between before grinding and after grinding (>385 μm and <385 μm). Interestingly, the true density of all the spent grain samples was discovered to be attributed to their carbohydrate levels (Figure 4c). The difference in true density and bulk density between the spent grain samples might show a significant difference in their mechanical properties, texture impact, and thermal stability, particularly because there is a correlation with the fiber composition.

The differences in bulk density regarding specific particle size are depicted in Figure 3. In general, the smaller particle size obtained the highest bulk density. Spent grains before grinding showed the lowest bulk density, ranging from 0.129 to 0.161 g/mL, followed by the spent grains after grinding >385 μm and <385 μm, ranging from 0.320 to 0.427 g/mL and 0.392–0.495 g/mL, respectively. The bulk density of BSG was lower compared to flaxseed and wheat flour, which were 0.58 and 0.63 g/mL, respectively (Kaur et al., 2017). Apparently, the spent grains in the same particle size demonstrated a significant difference (p < 0.05) in bulk density. Particle size variability of the spent grains might contribute to the amount of fiber composition (Angioloni and Collar, 2011). Furthermore, particle reduction increased the brightness of pulse flours (Zucco et al., 2011). Image analysis showed an intact appearance at a higher particle size, while cell disruption was observed at a lower particle size (Reis et al., 2015). In food products, it was observed that particle size impacted the volume expansion and texture of bread (Martínez et al., 2014). A lower particle size improved the specific volume, while a higher particle size decreased the specific volume and hardened the bread (Martínez et al., 2014).

Bulk density could show the effects on quality during storage because of the amount of air between the spent grain particles, potentially leading to differences in water absorption and consequently affecting the spent grain quality during storage or transportation systems. Furthermore, the bulk density showed the voluminous level of the spent grain. The higher the bulk density, the smaller space needed to store the spent grain. The density profile is related to the ability to act as a bulking agent or filler in food matrices, as well as a sucrose replacer in order to maintain thinning behaviour (Bonarius et al., 2014).

As mentioned previously, several studies were related to each other in terms of trend linearity, as shown in Figure 4, such as water activity and moisture content (a), fat content and WHC (b), and carbohydrate amount with true density (c). BSG is mainly dominated by dietary fiber, including soluble and insoluble dietary fiber. Lower moisture content describes the availability of free water contained in BSG. BSG contains a high hydroxyl group, therefore, a lower MC increases the ability of BSG to bind to water (Aprodu et al., 2017; Magabane, 2017), consequently impacting the WHC. It was reported that the addition of BSG in breadmaking diminishes water availability and therefore intensifies solidity and reduces the tendency to flow (Ktenioudaki et al., 2013b).

The amount of carbohydrate positively related to the level of true density (Figure 4c). The study of BSG addition in food processing identified the increase in bulk density in extrusion processes (Sobukola et al., 2013) and cookie production (Okpala and Ofoduo, 2018). Since BSG carbohydrates are mainly composed of dietary fiber, this phenomenon might be influenced by dietary properties and its importance relative to the true density of BSG. Furthermore, closed and compact structure formation was investigated in food processing regarding the substitution of BSG (Ktenioudaki et al., 2013a), which is closely related to the density profile.

If the spent grain is applied as a food ingredient, protein, fat, and carbohydrate play important roles since they impact the composition as well as taste, flavour, texture, and mechanical properties (Ktenioudaki et al., 2013b; Nascimento et al., 2017; Zelazniñski et al., 2018). Interactions between fat, protein, and carbohydrate during food processing can enhance volatile and nonvolatile compounds (Nigam, 2017). Therefore, when it comes to food application, adjustment of the spent grain is needed in order to produce the same quality. The circulated relation between those properties of BSG shows that the valorization of BSG can be proposed depending on the application. However, the utilization of spent grain from different sources may need further study regarding their applications.

In general, all studied parameters were related to the fiber profiles of BSG because the fiber properties influenced the physical properties, chemical composition, and the expected products. It was observed that the impact of byproduct addition into food was mainly influenced by the fiber composition (Elleuch et al., 2011). Due to the fact that dietary fiber could be modified in order to achieve the desired quality for further utilization, it is suggested that fiber modification could be applied to BSG for valorization.

4. Conclusion

Brewery spent grain as a biowaste of beer production was studied for its selected properties. In this research, BSGs from different breweries were characterized by different properties profiles, such as proximate, water activity, hydration properties, and oil-holding capacity, as well as density profiles showing variability levels, as expected. These variabilities affected the valorization process as a food material or for biopolymer production. Noticeably, it was discovered that several properties of BSG demonstrated the same patterns. Mathematical modeling should be used to study the relationships between fiber composition, thermal stability, and mechanical properties in order to predict suitability of BSG with a valorization approach. In order to produce precise modeling, fiber
modification treatment is proposed for combination. Pretreatment might be needed to generate different spent grains with similar properties.
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