The catalytic cycle for ribonucleotide incorporation by human DNA Pol λ
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ABSTRACT

Although most DNA polymerases discriminate against ribonucleotide triphosphates (rNTPs) during DNA synthesis, recent studies have shown that large numbers of ribonucleotides are incorporated into the eukaryotic nuclear genome. Here, we investigate how a DNA polymerase can stably incorporate an rNTP. The X-ray crystal structure of a variant of human DNA polymerase λ reveals that the rNTP occupies the nucleotide binding pocket without distortion of the active site, despite an unfavorable interaction between the 2'-O and Tyr505 backbone carbonyl. This indicates an energetically unstable binding state for the rNTP, stabilized by additional protein–nucleotide interactions. Supporting this idea is the 200-fold lower catalytic efficiency for rNTP relative to deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (dNTP) incorporation, reflecting a higher apparent Km value for the rNTP. Furthermore, distortion observed in the structure of the post-catalytic product complex suggests that once the bond between the α- and β-phosphates of the rNTP is broken, the unfavorable binding state of the ribonucleotide cannot be maintained. Finally, structural and biochemical evaluation of dNTP insertion onto a ribonucleotide monophosphate (rNMP)-terminated primer indicates that a primer-terminal rNMP does not impede extension. The results are relevant to how ribonucleotides are incorporated into DNA in vivo, during replication and during repair, perhaps especially in non-proliferating cells when rNTP:dNTP ratios are high.

INTRODUCTION

The stability of the genome depends on the fidelity of synthesis by DNA polymerases. To ensure accurate DNA replication and repair at each incorporation step, DNA polymerases have to select a nucleotide substrate containing a correct base and a correct sugar. Incorporation of a substrate with an incorrect sugar, i.e. a ribonucleotide (rNTP), may be the source of genomic instability. Even a single rNMP residue in DNA may stall a DNA polymerase and arrest replication. Furthermore, the 2'-OH on the ribose ring may promote hydrolytic cleavage of the DNA backbone. Most DNA polymerases select against ribonucleotide incorporation via steric exclusion of the 2'-OH of the ribose (1). A crystal structure of the ternary complex of a family Y Dpo4 Y12A mutant with an incoming adenosine diphosphate, suggests that in the wild-type Dpo4, the side chain of Tyr12 would cause a steric clash with the 2'-OH of the incoming rNTP (2). Similarly, in high fidelity polymerases from families A and B, a bulky side chain of a residue at the nucleotide binding pocket has been identified as a 'steric gate' for preventing ribonucleotide incorporation (3,4). Nevertheless, even the high fidelity replicative polymerases occasionally incorporate ribonucleotides despite their relatively high sugar selectivity, defined as the ratio of catalytic efficiencies for deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (dNTP) to ribonucleotide triphosphates (rNTP) incorporation. Recent studies of the yeast replicative polymerases (Pols α, δ and ε) estimate that more than 10,000 rNTPs may be stably incorporated into the genome during one round of replication (5,6). These studies suggest that rNTPs may be the most common non-canonical nucleotides incorporated into the eukaryotic genome. The probability of incidental rNTP incorporation is likely increased by the fact that cellular rNTP levels exceed those of dNTPs by 10- to 200-fold. It has been suggested that the erroneously incorporated rNTPs can be excised by concerted actions of RNase H type 2 and Flap endonuclease-1 (FEN-1) (7,8). rNTPs may not always be incorporated inadvertently; in some cases especially during repair reactions in non-proliferating cells where the relative concentrations of rNTPs are higher compared to that of dNTPs, they
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may serve as legitimate substrates. It has been suggested that, during DNA double-strand break repair (DSBR) by non-homologous end joining (NHEJ), family X polymerases participating in this repair pathway could incorporate rNTPs (9–11). In contrast to high fidelity polymerases from families A and B, family X polymerases (in mammalian cells: Pols λ, β, μ and Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT)) have relatively low sugar selectivity and thus more frequently incorporate rNTPs. However, even among members of this family, sugar selectivity differs by several orders of magnitude. Mammalian TdT and Pol μ exhibit the lowest sugar selectivity, with values ranging from 1 to 10 (9–11). The sugar selectivity of yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae Pol IV is also relatively low (values on the order of 10–50) (12). Mammalian Pols β and λ are more proficient in sugar discrimination, with sugar selectivity values between 2 × 10^2 and 8 × 10^3 (13,14). Nonetheless, even the higher sugar selectivity of Pols β and λ remains significantly lower than that of replicative polymerases of family A and B (3,4).

Recent studies suggest that family X polymerases do not rely on a bulky side chain of a residue at the nucleotide binding pocket for steric exclusion of the 2'-OH on the ribose. Rather, family X polymerases appear to exclude rNTPs due to the steric clash of the 2'-OH with the peptide backbone of a residue at the nucleotide binding site. Crystal structures of the ternary complexes of wild-type and Y271A human Pol β, with an incoming rNTP (15), provide an initial understanding of the binding event of an rNTP to Pol β. The aim of the current structural study is to gain further understanding of how DNA polymerases discriminate against rNTPs and how ribonucleotides are stably incorporated in spite of sugar discrimination.

The variant of human Pol λ, Pol λ DL, used for this study was obtained by replacing nine residues from Loop 1 with a shorter four-residue sequence from human Pol β, as described earlier (16). This modification reduced the base substitution fidelity of the variant enzyme without a significant change of the catalytic efficiency for correct insertion. It also did not alter the geometry of the active site for correct incorporation. In fact, the only noticeable difference between the structures of the pre-catalytic ternary complexes of Pol λ DL and wild-type (wt) Pol λ with a dNTP, is in the Loop 1 region (16). We suggested that the modification of Loop 1 eliminated one (or more) of the kinetic checkpoints that prevent misincorporation, lowering the free energy required to achieve active site geometry compatible with catalysis (17). Therefore, we hypothesized that similar to reduced discrimination against base–base mismatches, rNTP discrimination by Pol λ DL may be also reduced, making Pol λ DL a good model for structural studies of rare events such as rNTP incorporation. Indeed, while our initial attempts to obtain a crystal structure of the wt Pol λ with rNTP were not successful, we were able to obtain crystal structures of Pol λ DL with rNTP. The four structures discussed here represent distinct steps in the catalytic cycle for rNTP incorporation (binding, insertion and extension, Figure 1). They reveal, how a ribonucleotide can be accommodated in the polymerase active site and how an rNMP-terminated primer can be extended resulting in a stable ribonucleotide incorporation into the genome during DNA replication and repair.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

The 39-kDa polymerase domain of human Pol λ loop variant (Pol λ DL) was expressed in Escherichia coli and purified as described in Supplementary Methods. Oligonucleotides were from Oligos Etc. (Wilsonville, OR, USA). The C543A mutation was introduced to prevent intermolecular disulfide bond formation during crystallization (18).

Steady-state kinetics

The steady-state measurements of single nucleotide incorporation were performed as described elsewhere (16). DNA substrates were prepared by hybridizing a 32P-5'-end-labeled 17-nucleotide primer (P17T, 5'-GTACGACTGAGCACTG) or P17C, 5'-GTACGACTGAGCACTGArC) and a 14-nucleotide downstream primer (DPT, 5'-GCCGAGCAGCCGAG) with a phosphate on the 5'-end to a 32-mer template (T32GT, 5'-CTCCGTCGTCGGCTGACTGC)
TCAGTCGTAC) to create a one-nucleotide gap substrate. Reaction mixtures (20 μl) contained 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 4% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 mM DNA and 39 kDa domain of wt Pol λ or Pol λ DL. Reactions were initiated by adding deoxyriboside adenosine triphosphate (dATP) or adenosine triphosphate (rATP) at concentrations indicated below and incubated at 37°C. To measure incorporation of dATP onto a primer-terminal deoxyribose cytosine monophosphate (dCMP), reaction mixtures contained 1 or 1.5 nM of wt Pol λ or 1.5 nM of Pol λ DL as well as one of the following concentrations of the dATP: 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 10 μM, and the reactions were incubated for 3 min. For measuring incorporation of rATP, each reaction mixture contained 10 nM Pol λ DL or wt, and one of the nine concentrations of rATP (0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 3, 6 and 12 μM) or the reaction contained 3 nM Pol λ DL and one of the nine concentrations of rATP (1, 2.5, 10, 20, 40, 60, 70 and 90 μM). The reactions were incubated at 37°C for 6 or 3 min, for wt and Pol λ DL, respectively. To measure extension from a primer-terminal cytosine monophosphate (rCMP), each reaction contained 2 nM wt Pol λ and one of nine concentrations of dATP (0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 3, 6 and 12 μM) or reagents contained 5 nM Pol λ DL and dATP at one of the ten concentrations (0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 3, 6 and 12 μM). The reactions mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 3 min. After adding an equal volume of loading dye [99% (v/v) formamide, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% (w/v) xylene cyanol and 0.1% (w/v) bromophenol blue], products were resolved on a 16% denaturing polyacrylamide gel and quantified by phosphor screen autoradiography. The data were fit to the Michaelis–Menten equation using non-linear regression from KaleidaGraph software version 3.6.

Crystallography

Pre-catalytic complex for ribonucleotide incorporation (incorporation-ternary)

Oligonucleotides T11T (5'-CGGCTGTACTG), PG1 (5'-CAGTAC) and DT (5'-CCG) were mixed in equimolar ratios in 100 mM Tris pH 7.5 and 40 mM MgCl₂. The DNA was annealed in a thermal cycler by denaturation at 94°C, followed by a slow temperature gradient from 90 to 4°C. Hybridized DNA was mixed with 16 mg/ml Pol λ DL (3:1 DNA/protein ratio) at 4°C for 1.5 h before adding adenosine-5'-(α,β)-methylentriphosphate (rAMPNPP) to 0.9 mM. The mixture was incubated at 4°C for 1 h. Protein/DNA mixture (1 μl) was combined with 1 μl of 100 mM Na HEPES pH 7.5 and 1.0 M Li₂SO₄. Crystals were grown at 20°C using hanging-drop vapor diffusion. Crystals were transferred in three steps to a solution containing 100 mM Na HEPES pH 7.5, 1.0 M Li₂SO₄, 100 mM MnCl₂, 63 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.9 mM rAMPNPP and 15% (v/v) ethylene glycol.

Post-catalytic complex for ribonucleotide incorporation (incorporation-product)

Crystals for pre-catalytic ternary complex grown as described above were used to obtain the structure of post-catalytic complex. These crystals were transferred in three steps to a solution containing 100 mM Na HEPES pH 7.5, 1.0 M Li₂SO₄, 100 mM MnCl₂, 63 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 15% (v/v) ethylene glycol and 10 mM rATP. The crystals were soaked overnight to ensure exchange of the rAMPNPP and incorporation-turnover of the rATP.

Pre-catalytic complex for extension of a rNMP-terminated primer (extension-ternary)

Crystals of Pol λ DL in complex with oligos T11T, PG2 (5'-CAGTA), DT, cytosine triphosphate (rCTP) and 2'-Deoxyadenosine-5'-[(α,β)-methylentriphosphate (dAMPCCP) were grown using hanging-drop method. Protein/DNA mixture was prepared as described above. After incubation at 4°C for 1.5 h, 10 mM rCTP and 0.9 mM dAMPCCP were added. The mixture was incubated at 4°C for 1 h. These crystals grew at 20°C in 50 mM Na MES pH 6.5, 9% (v/v) PEG 400, 100 mM KCl and 10 mM MgCl₂. Crystals were transferred in three steps to a solution containing 50 mM Na MES pH 6.5, 15% (v/v) PEG 400, 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM MnCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 63 mM NaCl, 17.5% (v/v) glycerol and 1 mM dAMPCCP. The crystallization of this complex includes an additional molecule of DNA bound between two molecules of Pol λ DL at a crystallographic interface. We have modeled a 5-mer duplex DNA in the density consistent with the presence of excess primer/template duplex DNA prior to incorporation of the rCMP on the primer (Supplementary Figure S3). The presence of this extraneous DNA results in movement in two adjacent loop regions; residues 406–415 and 432–444 which are slightly displaced as compared to those in structures lacking the extra DNA. The presence of this DNA molecule causes no apparent changes in the active site.

Post-catalytic complex for extension of a rNMP-terminated primer (extension-product)

Crystals of Pol λ DL in complex with oligos T11T, PG2, DT, rCTP and dATP were grown using the sitting drop method. rCTP and dATP were added at 10 mM concentrations to the protein/DNA mixture prepared as described above. The mixture was incubated at 4°C for 1 h. These crystals grew at 20°C in 170 mM ammonium acetate, 85 mM sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate pH 5.6, 25.5% (v/v) PEG 4000, 15% (v/v) glycerol and were directly flash frozen.

All crystals were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and data collected between −170 and −180°C. All data were indexed and scaled using the HKL2000 data processing software (19). The structures were solved using the structure of ternary complex of Pol λ DL [Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID code 3MGI, (16)] as a starting model. Reference R_free reflections were maintained. The structures were obtained by iterative cycles of refinement and model building using Phenix (20) and Coot (21). Model quality was analyzed using Molprobity (22).

RESULTS

Steady-state kinetic assays for rNTP incorporation and extension

We first examined the proficiency of Pol λ DL to incorporate rNTPs. To this end, we measured the catalytic
Table 1. Steady-state kinetic parameters for single nucleotide incorporation by Pol λ DL

| Enzymes | Primer terminus | xNTP | $K_m$ (µM) | $k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$) | $k_{cat}/K_m$ (s$^{-1}$ µM$^{-1}$) | Discrimination$^a$ |
|---------|-----------------|------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Pol λ wt | dC | dATP | 0.22 ± 0.13 | 0.20 ± 0.025 | 0.9 ± 0.5 | 3200 |
|         | dC | rATP | 50.6 ± 11.6 | 0.014 ± 0.004 | 2.8 × 10$^{-4}$ ± 1 × 10$^{-4}$ | 1.4 |
|         | rC | dATP | 0.19 ± 0.09 | 0.140 ± 0.039 | 0.74 ± 0.42 | 1.65 |
| Pol λ DL | dC | dATP | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 0.075 ± 0.007 | 1.04 ± 0.19 | 100 |
|         | dC | rATP | 13 ± 3.7 | 0.069 ± 0.006 | 5.3 × 10$^{-3}$ ± 1.6 × 10$^{-3}$ | 1.65 |
|         | rC | dATP | 0.07 ± 0.02 | 0.04 ± 0.008 | 0.63 ± 0.23 | |

The values for the kinetic constants are an average of three to five independent determinations (mean ± SD).

$^a$Discrimination at the insertion step is defined as the ratio of catalytic efficiencies ($k_{cat}/K_m$)$_{dATP}$/$k_{cat}/K_m$ for correct dATP insertion and discrimination at the extension step is defined as the ratio of ($k_{cat}/K_m$)$_{dATP}$/($k_{cat}/K_m$)$_{rATP}$ and discrimination at the extension step is defined as the ratio of ($k_{cat}/K_m$)$_{dATP}$/($k_{cat}/K_m$)$_{rATP}$ for correct dATP insertion.

efficiencies of wt Pol λ and Pol λ DL for rATP incorporation using a steady-state kinetic assay. Because stable incorporation depends on the efficiency of extension of the incorporated nucleotide, we also measured the extension efficiency of an rNMP-terminated primer by both polymerases (Table 1 and Figure 1A and C). The catalytic efficiency of Pol λ DL was similar to that of wt Pol λ for correct incorporation of dATP, but it was 19-fold higher for incorporation of rATP. Pol λ DL showed a 16-fold lower discrimination factor for rATP incorporation relative to that of the wt enzyme. This suggested that Pol λ DL incorporated rNTPs more efficiently than the wt Pol λ. Both Pol λ DL and wt incorporated a correct dATP onto a rCMP-terminated primer with similar catalytic efficiencies as for incorporation onto a dCMP-terminated primer. Thus, discrimination factors for extension of a rNMP-terminated primer were similar for Pol λ DL and wt Pol λ (Table 1).

**Structural analysis for rNTP incorporation and extension**

**Pre-catalytic complex for ribonucleotide incorporation (incorporation-ternary)**

To understand how rNTPs are incorporated into DNA, a structure of the pre-catalytic complex of Pol λ DL with a one-nucleotide gap DNA substrate and an incoming non-hydrolyzable ribonucleotide analog, rAMPNP, was obtained at 1.95Å resolution (Figures 1A and 2, Supplementary Figure S1 and Table 2). This structure reveals rNTP binding in a catalytically competent geometry with no obvious distortion to the active site as compared to the ternary complex structure of wt Pol λ with an incoming dNTP [PDB ID code 2PFO (23), Supplementary Figure S1A]. Both protein and DNA are in an active conformation consistent with structures of other ternary complexes for Pol λ (16,18,23). The incoming rAMPNP forms a canonical Watson–Crick base pair with the templating thymine base (Figure 2A). Two Mn$^{2+}$ atoms are observed: one at the catalytic A and the other at the binding B site. Both metals complete their octahedral coordination via interaction with the ribonucleotide triphosphate, the catalytic aspartates 427, 429 and 490 and water molecules (Supplementary Figure S1B). The sugar puckers for the incoming rAMPNP and dCMP at the −1 site (as defined in Figure 1) adopt the C3'-endo conformation, similar to the ternary complex with dNTP. The non-specific interactions between protein residues (Tyr505 and Arg517) and the minor groove of the DNA, which are involved in selecting the correct nucleotide, are also similar. The nucleophilic 3'-OH on the primer terminus is 3.8 Å away from the α-phosphate of the incoming nucleotide similar to that seen for the pre-catalytic complex of wt Pol λ (3.7 Å) which is consistent with a pre-catalytic state for an in-line nucleotidyl transfer reaction (Figure 2B). The 3'-OH of the incoming rAMPNP lies within hydrogen bonding distance from the side chain of Arg420 (3.2 Å), the carbonyl of Thr507 (3.2 Å) and the β-phosphate oxygen (3.0 Å), and in addition Arg420 and Arg386 are within hydrogen bonding distances from the β- and γ-phosphate of the incoming rNTP, respectively, suggesting that these are stabilizing interactions. The 2'-OH is located at a surprisingly close distance of 2.4 Å from the carbonyl oxygen of Tyr505 and 2.8 Å from the backbone amide nitrogen of Gly508 (Figure 2C).

**Post-catalytic complex for ribonucleotide incorporation (incorporation-product)**

A structure of the post-catalytic complex of Pol λ DL with a nicked DNA containing rAMP inserted opposite a templating thymine was obtained at a resolution of 2.15Å (Figures 1B and 3, Supplementary Figure S2 and Table 2). This incorporation-product structure reveals significant differences in the active site, compared to that of a structure of wt Pol λ.dNMP product complex [PDB ID code 1XSP (18)]. With dNTP as the incoming nucleotide, completion of the nucleotidyl transfer reaction and advancement from ternary complex to the product complex involves no significant conformational changes of the protein or the DNA, other than stereochemical inversion of the α-phosphate [Figure 2b in (18)]. The post-catalytic complex of Pol λ DL with rNMP is similar to that of wt Pol λ with dNMP in that Watson–Crick base pairing is maintained, with no obvious distortion of the protein (PDB ID code 1XSP, Figure 3A and Supplementary Figure S2A). The catalytic metal (metal A) is not present in the structure since it dissociates after nucleotide incorporation. The same was observed in the structure of post-catalytic complex of wt Pol λ (18). Additionally, metal B is also not present in the structure. It appears that the pyrophosphate with the bound metal B is replaced by a sulfate ion that is present in high concentration in the crystallization condition. The sugar pucker of the inserted ribonucleotide is in the
C3'-endo conformation, as is observed for an inserted dNMP (18). However, the deoxyribose sugar of the nucleotide at −1 site adopts a C2'-endo conformation, as opposed to a C3'-endo in the dNMP-incorporated structure (Figures 1B, 3A and 3B). Upon insertion of the rNMP, the 2'-OH is expelled from its position in the pre-catalytic complex and occupies a position normally occupied by the 3'-OH of the sugar, flipping the phosphate of the newly incorporated nucleotide by 4.2 Å into the minor groove and displacing the sugar at the −1 site by 3.5 Å (Figure 3B). The phosphate oxygens of the rAMP lie within hydrogen bonding distances to Lys472 (2.7 Å), the carboxyl of Asp429 (3.0 Å) and the hydroxyl of Tyr505 (2.7 Å) (Figure 3C). This distortion of the primer strand coincides with the movement of residues Tyr505 and Phe506 from their active conformation, as observed in the structure of wt Pol λ product complex with dNMP (Supplementary Figure S2B, PDB ID code 1XSP, wheat), to a position more similar to the inactive binary conformation (Supplementary Figure S2B, PDB ID code 1XSL, pink). In contrast, Arg514 and Arg517, which interact with the template strand, remain in their active conformations. There is no distortion of the template strand and the templating base remains properly positioned in the nascent base pair binding pocket.

**Pre-catalytic complex for extension of an rNMP-terminated primer (extension-ternary)**

To gain insight into how the newly incorporated rNMP can function as a nucleophile for further polymerization, structures representing pre- and post-catalytic complexes of Pol λ DL with a rCMP-terminated primer were obtained. Crystals of the pre-catalytic ternary complex of Pol λ DL:rAMPNPP (green) are superimposed with ternary wt Pol λ:dUMPNPP complex [PDB ID code 2PFO, wheat, (23)]. The distance between the nucleophile at the primer terminus, 3'-ON and the α-phosphate (α-P) is shown as dashed line. (C) Active site of Pol λ DL:rAMPNPP showing interactions for 2'-OH, 3'-OH, β- and γ-phosphates of the bound nucleotide in black dashed lines. Interactions of Tyr505 and Arg517 with bases at the −1 site are also shown in black dashed lines. α-, β- and γ-phosphates on the nucleotide are indicated as α-P, β-P and γ-P, respectively.
Table 2. Summary of crystallographic data

| Parameters                        | Incorporation-ternarya | Incorporation-productb | Extension-ternarya | Extension-producta |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Data collection                   |                        |                        |                    |                    |
| Unit cell dimensions (Å) (a x b x c) | 55.98 x 63.66 x 139.97 | 55.91 x 64.13 x 139.18 | 55.78 x 63.66 x 141.47 | 55.29 x 62.00 x 141.47 |
| Space group                       | P2₁2₁2₁                | P2₁2₁2₁                | P2₁2₁2₁            | P2₁2₁2₁            |
| Resolution (Å)                    | 1.95                   | 2.14                   | 2.00               | 2.25               |
| No. of observations               | 235 524                | 235 747                | 148 222            | 143 374            |
| Unique reflections                | 37 285                 | 27 507                 | 32 824             | 23 003             |
| Completeness (%) (last shell)     | 5.8 (35.7)             | 10.7 (31.4)            | 29.7               | 6.9 (28.8)         |
| Completeness (%) (last shell)     | 28.9 (3.7)             | 10.4 (6.2)             | 11.1 (2.9)         | 23.7 (5.3)         |
| Rcryst (%)                        | 20.8                   | 19.5                   | 18.8               | 20.6               |
| Rfree (%)                         | 24.4                   | 23.9                   | 23.0               | 24.7               |
| Complexes in asymmetric unit      | 1                      | 1                      | 1                  | 1                  |
| Root mean square deviation from ideal values | 28.05 | 34.92 | 28.84 | 41.68 |
| Bond Length (Å)                   | 0.010                  | 0.006                  | 0.011              | 0.008              |
| Bond angle (degrees)              | 1.069                  | 0.94                   | 1.283              | 1.211              |
| Residues in favored regions      | 95.5                   | 96.4                   | 99.4               | 96.8               |
| Residues in allowed regions       | 100                    | 100                    | 100                | 100                |

aData collected at the SER-CAT beamline ID-22 beamline at the Advanced Photon Source, at Argonne National Laboratory.

bData collected on a Saturn92 CCD area detector mounted on a MicroMax-007HF (Rigaku Corporation) rotating anode generator equipped with Varimax HF mirrors.

cReference Rfree reflections were maintained (PDB ID code 3MGI).

Figure 3. Post-catalytic complex for ribonucleotide incorporation (incorporation-product). (A) The structure of the post-catalytic complex of Pol λ DL with inserted rAMP is shown. A simulated annealing Fc-Fo omit density map (green, contoured at 3σ), is shown for the bases at 0 and –1 sites. (B) Superposition of post-catalytic complexes of Pol λ DL and wt Pol λ. The structure of the post-catalytic complex of Pol λ DL with inserted rAMP (blue) is shown in an overlay with post-catalytic complex of wt Pol λ [PDB ID code 1XSP, wheat, (18)]. Displacements in sugar-phosphate backbone are indicated by black arrows. (C) Possible interactions for α-phosphate oxygens with Tyr505, Lys472 and Asp429 are shown in dashed lines.
ribozyme-terminated primer strand extended by one dNMP, was captured by consecutive insertion of rCMP and dAMP at the −1 and 0 positions, respectively (Figures 1D and 5, Supplementary Figure S4 and Table 2). This extension-product structure reveals no major differences as compared to an extension-product structure of wt Pol λ with dNMPs at the corresponding positions (PDB ID code 1XSP, Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure S4). The sugar puckers for incorporated dAMP at 0 and rCMP at −1 sites are in C3′-endo conformation similar to the product complex for wt Pol λ (PDB ID code 1XSP). Watson–Crick base pairing is observed for both incorporated dAMP and rCMP with templating bases. Unlike with a newly incorporated rAMP in the nascent binding site, all protein and DNA residues remain in the active conformation.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we describe four crystal structures of Pol λ DL that correspond to steps in the catalytic path for rNTP incorporation. The structure of the incorporation-ternary complex (Figures 1A and 2) reveals an unfavorable, repulsive interaction between the 2′-OH on the ribose of the
incoming nucleotide and the backbone carbonyl of Tyr505. This suggests an energetically unstable binding state for the rNTP, which appears to be stabilized by additional interactions between the protein and the ribonucleotide that allow insertion. These interactions include hydrogen bonds of Arg420 and Arg386 with the ω- and γ-phosphates of the rNTP, respectively (Figure 2C). In keeping with this hypothesis, the 200-fold lower catalytic efficiency for rNTP incorporation reflects a higher apparent Km for the ribonucleotide (Table 1). In contrast to the structure of the pre-catalytic incorporation complex, the structure of the post-catalytic incorporation complex (Figures 1B and 3), which represents the state after the new phosphodiester bond is formed but before DNA translocates, is highly distorted. A superposition of this structure with the structure of the post-catalytic incorporation-product complex of wt Pol λ with dNMP indicates distortion of the primer terminus (Figure 3B). The 2'-OH is expelled from its pre-catalytic position and occupies the same position as the 3'-OH in the dNMP containing complex (Figure 3B), the phosphate of the primer-terminal rNMP and the sugar of the preceding nucleotide (−1 site in Figure 1) are shifted into the minor groove (Figure 3B), and the hydroxyl of Tyr505 is not at hydrogen bonding distance from the base of the primer nucleotide at −1 site anymore (Figure 3C). We suggest that the distortion of the post-catalytic incorporation-product complex is a consequence of the energetically unstable binding state for the rNTP. Once the bond between the ω- and β-phosphates of the rNTP is broken, the stabilizing interactions with the β- and γ-phosphates observed in the pre-catalytic incorporation-ternary complex structure are lost, such that the unstable binding state of the ribonucleotide can no longer be maintained.

A repulsive, short distance interaction, similar to the one in the Pol λ DL pre-catalytic incorporation-ternary complex, is observed between the 2'-OH and Tyr271 (Tyr505 in Pol λ) in the structure of a incorporation-ternary complex of Pol β with an incoming rNTP (15), which superimposes well with the structure of the corresponding complex of Pol λ DL (Supplementary Figure S1C). While there is no structure of the post-catalytic incorporation-product complex of Pol β for rNTP incorporation, the structure of the pre-catalytic incorporation-ternary complex together with quantum mechanical calculations based on the Pol β:rNTP ternary complex, also suggest that there is an energetic cost for accommodating the rNTP in the nascent base pair binding pocket (15). Despite the energetically unstable binding of the rNTP suggested by the ternary complexes for Pols λ and β, the post-catalytic incorporation-product complex for Pol λ (Figure 3) shows how a ribonucleotide can be stably incorporated by Pol λ, Pol β and perhaps by other family X polymerases.

Based on the incorporation-ternary and incorporation-product structures (Figures 1A, 1B, 2 and 3), the energetic cost for accommodating the rNTP in the nucleotide binding pocket of Pol λ is largely a consequence of the steric clash between the 2'-OH of the rNTP and the backbone carbonyl of a tyrosine residue. Replacing Tyr505 in Pol λ with Gly or Ala and Tyr271 in Pol β with Phe or Ala reduces the sugar selectivity by about 10-fold for Pol λ (13) and up to 12-fold for Pol β (15,24,25). This suggests some role in rNTP discrimination for the side chain of the tyrosine residue, in addition to that of its backbone carbonyl. For example, one possibility is that replacement of the bulky tyrosine side chain with a residue containing a smaller side chain may affect the flexibility of the backbone so that the rNTP can now be more easily accommodated. It has been suggested that rNTP accommodation in the binding site may also be influenced by the interaction between the hydroxyl of Tyr505 and the primer terminus (15). The observations that the tyrosine side chain plays a role in rNTP discrimination is consistent with results indicating that TdT and Pol μ, which in contrast to Pols λ and β have a glycine residue instead of the tyrosine (Figure 6), discriminate against rNTPs 102- to 103-fold less efficiently than Pols λ and β. It is worth noting, that low sugar discrimination by TdT and Pol μ is also related to their significantly lower catalytic efficiency for dNTP incorporation relative to that of Pols λ and β (10).

It is not clear if, and how, the distortion of the post-catalytic insertion complex affects translocation of

![Figure 6](image-url)  
**Figure 6.** Comparison of the active site regions for X family polymerases. (A) Stereoview of the superposition of ternary pre-catalytic structures of Pol λ DL:rAMPNPP (green), Pol β:rCTP [PDB ID code 3RH4, gray (15)], Pol μ:rddTTP [PDB ID code 2HHM, pink (26)] and apo TdT [PDB ID code 1JMS, blue (27)]. (B) Sequence alignment for Pol λ, Pol β, Pol μ and TdT in the residue range used for superposition.
the newly inserted rNMP from the active site to the −1 position. For example, it could promote translocation or destabilize the polymerase−DNA interaction causing the enzyme to dissociate. In fact, even the translocation of a dNMP-terminated primer bound by Pol λ is not well understood. This is a complex problem because Pol λ, like other family X enzymes, has a unique mode of DNA binding during short gap-filling synthesis. It has at least two DNA binding sites. Its polymerase domain binds the primer-template, while its 8 kDa domain interacts with the 5′-end of the gap. Thus, even if the polymerase domain dissociates from the primer-template, the enzyme may remain tethered to the DNA by its 8 kDa domain. This allows the polymerase domain to rebind the template-primer. The structures of the pre- and post-catalytic extension complexes (extension-ternary and extension-product, respectively) of Pol λ DL (Figures 1C, 1D, 4 and 5) suggest that once a newly incorporated ribonucleotide is rebound at the −1 position (Figure 1C), the distortion of the primer terminus observed in the incorporation-product complex (Figures 1B and 3) is no longer present, allowing for further extension. The structures of the extension-ternary and the extension-product complexes overlay well with the corresponding structures of wt Pol λ with a dNMP-terminated primer (Figures 4B and 5B) further indicating that the rNMP at the primer terminus is accommodated without any distortion to the DNA or the protein. Consistent with the structural data, the catalytic efficiency for incorporation of dATP onto a rNMP-terminated primer is similar to that for incorporation onto a dNMP-terminated primer (Table 1). In agreement with the Pol λ results, kinetic studies reported for wt Pol β show that the catalytic efficiencies for dNTP incorporation onto a rNMP-terminated and dNMP-terminated primer are also similar (14). Thus, once the ribonucleotide is incorporated, the polymerase appears to have no problem extending a primer-terminal rNMP. This indicates that Pol λ, and Pol β (15), discriminate against rNTPs at the incorporation step.

As suggested in our earlier work (16), the conformational transition from the inactive to the active state captured in the structures (Figures 2 and 4) may follow a different path for Pol λ DL relative to that for wt Pol λ. Nevertheless, the fact that the structures of pre-catalytic complexes for Pol λ DL incorporating a ribonucleotide (incorporation-ternary, Figure 2B) or a deoxyribonucleotide [Figure 5A in (16)] overlay well with that for wt Pol λ incorporating a deoxyribonucleotide, supports the idea that Pol λ DL is a good structural model for the wild-type polymerase.

The described structures are the first to present a complete catalytic cycle of rNTP incorporation and extension by a DNA polymerase, providing a foundation for understanding stable ribonucleotide incorporation into DNA. The structure of the pre-catalytic incorporation-ternary complex (Figures 1A and 2) with a non-hydrolyzable analog of rATP, described here, contains all atoms required at the active site in a configuration consistent with catalysis. Moreover, the structure of the incorporation-product complex (Figures 1B and 3) highlights the consequences of the energetically unfavorable interaction in the structure of the incorporation-ternary complex providing additional insights into rNTP discrimination by Pol λ. The catalytic cycle for stable rNTP incorporation by Pol λ may be particularly relevant for repair of double-strand DNA breaks by NHEJ which is the major pathway for DSBR in non-proliferating cells. In non-proliferating cells, the concentration of dNTPs relative to rNTPs is even lower than in cycling cells, further increasing the likelihood of rNTP incorporation. In such situations, incorporation of an rNTP may be needed for the cell to escape the consequences of an unrepaired double-strand DNA break. The proficiency of family X polymerases to incorporate rNTPs may be particularly beneficial in such cases.

We believe that these new structural insights into the catalytic cycle for rNTP incorporation by a DNA polymerase are an important contribution to studies on the potential functions and biological consequences of rNTP incorporation into the genome, an evolving chapter in research on genome stability.
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