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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Functional correlates of microstructural damage of the brain affected by MS are incompletely understood. The purpose of this study was to evaluate correlations of visual-evoked potentials with microstructural brain changes as determined by DTI in patients with demyelinating central nervous disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Sixty-one patients with clinically isolated syndrome or MS were prospectively recruited. The mean P100 visual-evoked potential latencies of the right and left eyes of each patient were calculated and used for the analysis. For DTI acquisition, a single-shot echo-planar imaging pulse sequence with 80 diffusion directions was performed at 3T. Fractional anisotropy, radial diffusivity, and axial diffusivity were calculated and correlated with mean P100 visual-evoked potentials by tract-based spatial statistics.

RESULTS: Significant negative correlations between mean P100 visual-evoked potentials and fractional anisotropy and significant positive correlations between mean P100 visual-evoked potentials and radial diffusivity were found widespread over the whole brain. The highest significance was found in the optic radiation, frontoparietal white matter, and corpus callosum. Significant positive correlations between mean P100 visual-evoked potentials and axial diffusivity were less widespread, notably sparing the optic radiation.

CONCLUSIONS: Microstructural changes of the whole brain correlated significantly with mean P100 visual-evoked potentials. The distribution of the correlations showed clear differences among axial diffusivity, fractional anisotropy, and radial diffusivity, notably in the optic radiation. This finding suggests a stronger correlation of mean P100 visual-evoked potentials to demyelination than to axonal damage.

ABBREVIATIONS: AD = axial diffusivity; FA = fractional anisotropy; FMRIB = Functional MR Imaging of the Brain; mVEP = mean P100 visual-evoked potentials latencies; RD = radial diffusivity; VEP = visual-evoked potentials
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MR imaging plays a pivotal role in establishing the diagnosis and follow-up of MS.1,2 Besides conventional MR imaging, an advanced technique frequently used in MS studies is DTI.3 By measuring the diffusion directions of water molecules, DTI is capable of detecting microstructural changes not visible on standard MR imaging. Common parameters obtained from DTI to assess these changes are fractional anisotropy (FA) and mean diffusivity. Recently, mean diffusivity was further analyzed and divided into radial diffusivity (RD) and axial diffusivity (AD), parameters that allow a more specific approach to the underlying microstructural changes.4,5 AD was shown to be the primary correlate for histologic markers of axonal integrity,6 while RD was suggested to provide a specific tool to assess demyelination, distinct from axonal damage.5

Pattern reversal visual-evoked potentials (VEP) are an established tool for the evaluation of the optic pathway within the diagnostic work-up of MS, especially as a marker of the integrity of the prechiasmal part.7,8 However, they failed to provide reliable results in predicting lesions of the retrochiasmal part.9,10 Evoked potentials, in general, and combined evoked potential analysis, in particular, seem to correlate well with the long-term disability of patients with MS. Correlations of evoked potentials and MR imaging are reported controversially. While some studies showed no correlations between evoked potentials and MR imaging,11 other studies reported significant correlations between VEP and T2 lesion load.12 However, to the best of our knowledge, a correlation of DTI with VEP has not been published.
The aim of our study was, therefore, to evaluate the correlation of VEP with parameters derived from DTI with the following objectives: 1) to identify potential relations of VEP to microstructural changes, and 2) to analyze whether such changes reflect demyelination or axonal damage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The study was approved by the local ethics committee of the University of Leipzig.

Patients
Sixty-one patients (41 women, 20 men) with clinically isolated syndrome or MS were prospectively recruited from the Neuroimmunology Clinic of the Department of Neurology. Ten patients were classified as having clinically isolated syndrome, 49 had definite MS with a relapsing-remitting course, 1 had primary-progressive MS, and 1 had recurrent optic neuritis but did not fulfill the criteria for neuromyelitis optica. The mean age was 38.4 ± 12.0 years, and the mean Expanded Disability Status Scale score was 2.46 ± 1.95. All patients underwent a neurologic examination, a standard VEP examination, and brain MR imaging, including DTI at 3T (see below). Clinical data are shown in the Table. All clinical, electrophysiologic, and imaging examinations in each patient were performed within 3 months. Patients were free of an acute relapse as defined by history and neurologic examination (for at least 6 months before the first examination and during the study period). None of the patients had a gadolinium-enhancing lesion on T1-weighted images after contrast administration.

Visual-Evoked Potentials
All VEP were recorded by using the same electrophysiologic device (Medelec Synergy; Oxford Instruments, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom). Stimulation was performed according to standard protocols by checkerboard pattern reversal by using a computer screen at a distance of 1.25 m with a check size of 60 minutes of arc. Latencies of N70 and P100 and N70/P100 amplitudes were determined for all recordings with identifiable VEP. Normal mean laboratory values for P100 latency were determined previously in 30 healthy, 20- to 60-year-old controls at 101.0 ± 6.0 ms. The mean P100 VEP latencies (mVEP) of the right and the left eyes of each patient were calculated.

MR Imaging
DTI scans were acquired on a clinical 3T MR imaging scanner (Magnetom Trio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). A single-shot, echo-planar imaging pulse sequence with the following parameters was performed in all patients: TR, 2700 ms; TE, 93 ms; flip angle, 90°; parallel imaging; 80 diffusion-encoding gradient directions; 1 B0 image; b=1000 s/mm²; matrix size, 128 × 128; voxel size, 1.8 × 1.8 × 5 mm. Additionally, standard diagnostic MR imaging sequences were applied, including FLAIR and a T1-weighted axial sequence, after the administration of gadolinium contrast at a standard dosage. A 12-channel head coil was used for all sequences.

DTI Data Analysis
DTI analysis was performed in a standardized, voxel-based, rater-independent manner by applying tract-based spatial statistics, which belongs to the Functional MRI of the Brain Software Library (FMRIB [FSL; http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl]).13 Images were preprocessed by using eddy_correct, the Brain Extraction Tool, and DTIFit in FSL to correct motion and eddy current artifacts, extract the brain, and calculate FA, AD, and RD. All subjects’ FA data were then aligned to a 1 × 1 × 1 mm standard space (FMRIB58_FA) and averaged, and the mean FA image was skeletonized. The skeleton was then thresholded at an FA value of 0.2. Following this step, each FA image was projected onto the mean FA skeleton common to all subjects. The same nonlinear transformations derived from the FA maps were applied to the AD and RD maps.

The imaging data were subsequently analyzed by using the Randomise Tool (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/randomise/). We set up the General Linear Model design with mVEP as a continuous variable of interest and tested for correlations with diffusion parameters (FA, AD, RD), controlled for the effect of age and sex (covariates of noninterest). All the data were mean-centered. Cluster-like structures were enhanced by using the threshold-free cluster enhancement method. Statistics were built over 10,000 permutations with the maximum threshold-free cluster enhancement recorded at each permutation. The 95th percentile of this distribution was used as a threshold-free cluster enhancement threshold, and the significance level was calculated from this distribution. Thus, significant clusters were fully corrected for family-wise error at P < .05.

Additionally, we calculated the mean FA, RD, and AD in 2 manually selected ROIs of the skeleton. These ROIs correspond to the thalamic radiations (including the optic radiations) according to the ICBM DTI-81 Atlas (http://www.loni.usc.edu/ICBM/Downloads/Downloads_DTI-81.shtml), which is included in the FMRIB Software Library package. The results of each subject (1 value per patient and parameter, ie, the mean of the 2 ROIs) and each DTI-derived parameter were correlated with the mVEP by using the Spearman rank coefficient. The correlation was performed with GraphPad Prism 4 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California).

Lesion Maps
The T2-FLAIR datasets of all patients were coregistered to a 1 × 1 × 1 mm standard space (Montreal Neurological Institute 156)
by using the FMRI Linear Image Registration Tool to assess white matter lesions. Hyperintense lesions on the T2-FLAIR images of all patients were manually segmented and transformed into binary masks by using FSLView (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslview/). Afterward, the masks were summarized with FMRIB's fslmaths and plotted by using a colorized scale to indicate regions with a high or low incidence of lesions among the subjects. The resulting maps were merged with the results of the tract-based spatial statistics analysis to qualitatively identify whether changes were related to normal-appearing white matter or to lesions.

RESULTS

Whole-Brain Fractional Anisotropy

Significant negative correlations were found between FA and mVEP (the longer the mVEP, the more decreased was the FA) in widespread regions of the brain. The most significant regions (up to $P < .0055$) were located in the optic radiation, frontoparietal white matter, medial thalamus, fornix bilaterally, and splenium of the corpus callosum. The correlations within the splenium of the corpus callosum and in the fornix included areas of predominantly normal-appearing white matter. Further significant correlations ($P < .05$) were found in multiple locations along the skeleton, including the genu of the corpus callosum, the frontoparietal white matter, and the internal capsule bilaterally. In the genu of the corpus callosum and the adjacent frontal white matter, a slight asymmetry was detected with a more significant correlation on the right side, while, in the internal capsule, the correlations were a little more significant on the left side. Results are shown in Fig 1.

Whole-Brain Radial Diffusivity

Multiple significant correlations were found between RD and mVEP (the longer the mVEP the more increased was the RD) along the skeleton. Correlations with the highest significance (up to $P < .0055$) were found in the corpus callosum and bilaterally in the frontoparietal white matter, thalamus, fornix, internal capsule, and optic radiation. In the corpus callosum and the right frontal white matter and the left frontotemporal white matter, these correlations were located in normal-appearing white matter. Voxels of lower significance could be found as well in all these areas. There was a slight asymmetry with more significant correlations in the right frontal white matter. Results are shown in Fig 1.

Whole-Brain Axial Diffusivity

Compared with the widespread regions in which FA and RD correlated to mVEP, much more restricted areas showed a positive correlation between AD and mVEP. Correlations with the highest
AD. Sbardella et al15 reported a strong correlation between multiple sclerosis functional composite subscales and FA in various areas of the brain regarding almost the whole skeleton, while Onu et al16 found significant correlations between clinical motor scores and both FA and RD but not AD.

The widespread correlations of mVEP in our study can be considered an in vivo equivalent to the observations in a postmortem study by Klawiter et al,17 in which RD was predominantly associated with demyelination and, to a much lesser degree, with axonal loss; hence, RD was postulated as a marker of overall tissue integrity within MS lesions. AD, conversely, seemed to be a less sensitive marker because it showed a poor correlation with axonal loss in the same study. Furthermore, postmortem studies suggested that RD and FA could be considered surrogates of cerebral myelin content in patients with MS.18 In mice, RD was identified as a demyelination marker, and AD was identified as an axonal damage marker.5

In accordance with the literature, our results seem to support the hypothesis that RD could possibly serve as an overall marker for tissue damage caused by MS that correlates not only with clinical parameters of cognitive and motor impairment but also with results of electrophysiologic examinations of the visual system. The prolonged VEP latency might be caused mainly by demyelination, which seems reasonable, because myelination is a main determinant in the speed of action-potential propagation along the axon.

Analysis of the Visual Tract

We found a strong correlation between mVEP and RD as well as FA, but not with AD, when focusing on the optic radiation, similar to whole-brain analysis. In the literature, similar patterns of RD, AD, and FA have been reported, despite different study designs and correlations with different clinical parameters.

Liu et al19 found significant differences between patients and controls in the optic radiation that were present bilaterally in RD and mean diffusivity but only unilaterally in the AD analysis. In another study, they also found significant differences in the optic radiation between patients and controls for RD and FA but not for AD.14 Rueda Lopes et al20 also found significant differences within the optic radiation for RD and FA but not for AD in their study of patients with neuromyelitis optica. Roosendaal et al21 found a significantly lower FA within the optic radiation, including areas with MS lesions and normal-appearing white matter in patients with MS in comparison with healthy controls. Onu et al16 found a significant difference between patients with MS and controls for RD and FA in the optic radiation. However, in a recent study, Kolbe et al22 found no correlation of visual acuity in optic neuritis with DTI parameters within the optic radiation but did find a correlation within prefrontal and temporal brain regions.

Optic pathway involvement is common in MS, in the acute phase and in chronic stages.23 VEP show a correlation with measures of visual acuity and disease severity, though there are con-
troversies regarding the correlation with the clinical course of the disease. If we take these aspects into account, the relation between the electrophysiologic function (mVEP) and the microstructural damage (DTI) we found seems very reasonable.

**Analysis of Normal-Appearing White Matter and Deep Gray Matter of the Fornix and Thalamus**

In contrast to most other areas that showed correlations to mVEP, we found a highly significant correlation of mVEP with both an AD and RD increase and with an FA decrease in the fornix bilaterally and in the medial aspects of the thalamus, all being areas of normal-appearing white or deep gray matter, respectively.

Concerning changes of the fornix in MS, the literature is limited. Kern et al. found significantly reduced FA in patients with MS compared with healthy controls in the fornix in a study of verbal memory function. Higher FA values correlated with a better performance in verbal memory tests in patients. The authors concluded that successful functional compensation of hippocampal involvement might be limited in part by damage to the fornix, consistent with the critical role of this pathway in the clinical expression of memory impairment in MS. This limitation could be a direct consequence of demyelination and an indirect result of primary hippocampal damage.

Roosendaal et al. found clearly reduced FA in both fornices by using a tract-based spatial statistics–based analysis, mainly focusing on normal-appearing white matter. Similar to Kern et al., they assumed at least 2 reasons for this: 1) secondary degeneration caused by disseminated focal demyelination of the hippocampus, and 2) direct demyelination of the fornix, both of which had been demonstrated in postmortem studies of patients with MS. Experimental studies in rats identified important functions of hippocampal projections for spatial-visual perception, and animals with fornix lesions performed substantially worse than healthy ones in visual shape recognition.

It is unclear why in our study, mVEP correlated so significantly with all 3 DTI metrics in this specific white matter tract that is not directly associated with visual function. A hypothesis is that secondary and primary pathologic changes might play a role in this structure; therefore, both demyelination and axonal damage are present. This hypothesis could explain the significant correlation with AD, RD, and FA in the fornix in contrast to the correlation patterns in most other brain structures.

Regarding the thalamus in MS, there is very little literature dealing specifically with DTI. Extensive, confluent, subependymal thalamic demyelination is relatively common as is diffuse microglial activation in normal-appearing thalami, indicating direct and secondary tissue damage. This finding seems to support ours of rather mixed changes with a correlation pattern pointing to various causes of thalamic tissue alterations in demyelinating disease (ie, both primarily and secondarily induced damage).

**Limitations**

There are some limitations of our study. The patient sample was not homogeneous in terms of sex. Although reflecting the normal sex distribution of MS, an implication on the results is possible because sex-specific differences in various aspects of DTI analyses were found in other studies. Therefore, we corrected for sex as a covariate of noninterest. However, because our major aim was to analyze the correlation between mVEP and DTI and not to evaluate sex differences, we did not perform subgroup analyses.

Concerning technical aspects, the DTI sequence used has a relatively low resolution on the z-axis. This could bias the detection of correlations, especially in small structures, to an unknown extent. However, the technique used is in line with recent literature referring to this topic, which is relatively heterogeneous. For example, in the literature, section thickness ranges from approximately 2–5 mm; some studies use gaps between sections and varying field strengths, and various numbers of diffusion gradients (among other parameters) are applied. Finally, the exact histopathologic processes responsible for changes detected by DTI are understood only in part; therefore, conclusions must be interpreted with caution.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our results show that widespread microstructural changes of the whole brain in MS correlate significantly with VEP. Clear differences among AD, FA, and RD, notably in the optic radiation, suggest a stronger correlation of mVEP with demyelination than with axonal damage. In conjunction with the literature, our results support the hypothesis that the parameters FA, RD, and AD could serve potentially as relevant imaging markers for demyelinating disease staging and burden quantification beyond the application in clinical studies.
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