Single domain antibodies: promising experimental and therapeutic tools in infection and immunity
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Abstract Antibodies are important tools for experimental research and medical applications. Most antibodies are composed of two heavy and two light chains. Both chains contribute to the antigen-binding site which is usually flat or concave. In addition to these conventional antibodies, llamas, other camelids, and sharks also produce antibodies composed only of heavy chains. The antigen-binding site of these unusual heavy chain antibodies (hcAbs) is formed only by a single domain, designated VHH in camelid hcAbs and VNAR in shark hcAbs. VHH and VNAR are easily produced as recombinant proteins, designated single domain antibodies (sdAbs) or nanobodies. The CDR3 region of these sdAbs possesses the extraordinary capacity to form long fingerlike extensions that can extend into cavities on antigens, e.g., the active site crevice of enzymes. Other advantageous features of nanobodies include their small size, high solubility, thermal stability, refolding capacity, and good tissue penetration in vivo. Here we review the results of several recent proof-of-principle studies that open the exciting perspective of using sdAbs for modulating immune functions and for targeting toxins and microbes.
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Abbreviations
CDR Complementarity determining region
FR Framework region
hcAb Heavy chain antibody
sdAb Single domain antibody
VHH Variable domain of camelid heavy chain antibody
VNAR Variable domain of the shark new antigen receptor

Introduction

The vertebrate immune system generates billions of different antibody molecules. This enormous repertoire contains highly specific binding partners for practically every existing biological and chemical compound. Following immunization, B-cells that express a suitable antibody expand a million-fold by clonal proliferation in lymphatic organs. Well orchestrated mutation and selection mechanisms ensure the preferential expansion of variants that express antibodies with higher affinity to the immunogen. Repeated immunization, thus, generally yields higher quantities and higher affinities of specific antibodies. Hybridoma and genetic engineering technologies can be used to harness and to reformat individual antibodies obtained from immunized...
animals and even to reconstruct recombinant molecules semi-synthetically. Today, monoclonal antibodies and antibody-derived recombinant proteins are essential tools for experimental research and medical applications [1].

The peculiar structure and biochemical features of camelid and shark hcAbs

Most antibodies are composed of two heavy and two light chains (Fig. 1). Both chains contribute to the two identical antigen-binding sites, which are usually flat or concave. In addition to these conventional antibodies, camelids and sharks also produce unusual antibodies composed only of heavy chains (Fig. 1) [2, 3]. These peculiar heavy chain antibodies (hcAbs) lack light chains (and, in the case of camelid antibodies, also the CH1-domain). Therefore, the antigen-binding site of hcAbs is formed only by a single domain that is linked directly via a hinge region to the Fc-domain. The variable domain is designated VHH for camelid hcAbs (Fig. 1) and VNAR for shark hcAbs, and more generally, nanobody or single domain antibody (sdAb) [4].

The CDR3 region of these hcAbs possesses the extraordinary capacity to form long fingerlike extensions that can extend into cavities on antigens, e.g., the active site crevice of enzymes. Figure 3 illustrates this unique antigen–antibody interaction in crystal structures of hen egg lysozyme in complex with an sdAb from shark (a) and camel (b). The CDR3 of these sdAbs can form convex extensions that occupy the cleft for the substrate (Fig. 3c). In contrast, the Fab-fragment derived from a conventional mouse monoclonal antibody binds with a relatively flat interaction face, well outside the active site (Fig. 3d) [5]. Indeed, the immune system of camelids seems to possess an inherent propensity for forming enzyme-blocking hcAbs [6].

The CDR3 of VHVs and VNARs is often much longer than that of conventional VH domains, e.g., 24 and 18 residues in case of the illustrated anti-lysozyme sdAbs versus 7 residues in the mouse VH [7, 8]. The extended CDR3 is often, but not always, stabilized by an additional disulfide bond connecting the CDR3 to the adjacent CDR1 loop (common in camel and shark sdAbs) or to the CDR2 loop (common in llama sdAbs) (Figs. 2 and 4). The interface of conventional VH and VL domains is stabilized by hydrophobic interactions, the corresponding residues in llama antibodies are replaced by hydrophilic residues (pink region in Fig. 1 and pink residues in Fig. 4). In shark sdAbs, the CDR2 loop is replaced by two shorter less variable loops (Fig. 4).
Molecular cloning and reformatting of camelid and shark sdAbs

sdAbs are usually generated by PCR cloning of the V-domain repertoire from blood, lymph node, or spleen cDNA obtained from immunized animals into a phage display vector, such as pHEN2 (Fig. 5). Antigen-specific sdAbs are commonly selected by panning phage libraries on immobilized antigen, e.g., antigen coated onto the plastic surface of a test tube, biotinylated antigens immobilized on Streptavidin beads, or membrane proteins expressed on the surface of cells. Several labs have also constructed semi-synthetic libraries by cassette-mutagenesis of the CDR regions. The latter offers the advantage of selecting antibodies against toxic or difficult to express antigens. However, sdAbs derived from such non-immune libraries often show lower affinities for their antigen than sdAbs derived from animals that have received several immunizations [8–10]. The high affinity of sdAbs from immune libraries is attributed to the natural selection of variant sdAbs during clonal expansion of B-cells in the lymphoid organs of the immunized animals. The affinity of sdAbs from non-immune libraries can often be improved by mimicking this strategy in vitro, i.e., by site directed mutagenesis of the CDR regions and further rounds of panning on immobilized antigen under conditions of increased stringency (higher temperature, high or low salt concentration, high or low pH, and low antigen concentrations).

sdAbs derived from camelid and shark hcAbs are readily expressed in and purified from the E. coli periplasm at much higher expression levels than the corresponding domains of conventional antibodies (Fig. 6). sdAbs generally display high solubility and stability and can also be readily produced in yeast, plant, and mammalian cells [8]. Recombinantly expressed sdAbs display several advantages as compared to conventional antibodies and the single chain variable fragments (scFv) derived from the V-domains of conventional antibodies. Their high thermal stability, high refolding capacity, and good tissue penetration in vivo [3, 11, 12] make nanobodies ideally suited for various biotechnological and therapeutic applications. Moreover, sdAbs can be readily cloned into various formats by fusion to other proteins or peptides, thereby tailoring their utility for certain diagnostic and/or therapeutic applications (Fig. 7) [13]. For example, fusion to a fluorescent protein yields a fluorescent probe (also designated chromobody or

Fig. 3 3D-structures of enzyme-inhibiting sdAbs derived from camel and shark hcAbs. Images were generated with the PyMOL program [123]. The three CDR loops are color-coded as in Fig. 2: CDR1 red, CDR2 green, CDR3 blue, and disulfide bonds are depicted in yellow. a Chicken lysozyme in complex with an inhibitory shark sdAb VNAR (pdb code 1t6v). The VNAR contacts the enzyme only with its CDR1 and CDR3 regions, the latter extends into and blocks the active site crevice [124]. b Chicken lysozyme in complex with an inhibitory camel sdAb (pdb code 1mel). The CDR3 extends into and fills out the active site crevice of the enzyme [5]. c Chicken lysozyme in complex with its substrate (pdb code 1lsz) [125]. d Chicken lysozyme in complex with the VL and VH domains of a conventional mouse mAb (pdb code 1mlc). The flat interaction surface with the conventional antibody lies outside of the active site crevice [126].

Fig. 4 Amino-acid sequence alignment of heavy chain variable domains of conventional (VH), camelid (VHH), and shark (VNAR) antibodies. Amino acids are color-coded as in previous figures: CDR1 red, CDR2 green, CDR3 blue, hydrophilic motif in FR2 pink, and cysteine residues yellow. The sequences are derived from the anti-lysozyme VH (mAbVH), VHH (cAbLys3), and VNAR domains shown in Fig. 3a, b, and d. VHH s+16a is an enzyme-blocking sdAb derived from a llama immunized with the murine T-cell ecto-enzyme ART2.2 [29].
Fig. 5 Schematic diagram of the M13 phage display vector used for cloning libraries of the VHH and VNAR repertoires of immunized camelids and sharks. The open reading frame of the V-domains from hcAbs are PCR amplified from cDNA generated from lymphocytes purified from peripheral blood, lymph node, or spleen cDNA of an immunized animal, typically obtained 4–14 days after the final boost. The purified PCR amplification products are cloned into the phagemid vector downstream of an inducible bacterial promoter (arrow), in-frame behind a periplasm signal sequence (BL = bacterial leader peptide) and upstream of one or two epitope tags (T), an amber stop codon followed by the coding sequence of the M13 phage head capsid protein gIIIp. The phagemids are transfected into an E. coli strain that can read through the amber stop codon. Following infection with a helper phage, libraries of recombinant phage particles are harvested from bacterial culture supernatants and phages displaying sdAbs of interest are selected by panning on immobilized antigen. Bound phages are recuperated from single colonies of infected E. coli and the cDNA insert subjected to sequencing.

fluobody) suitable for tracking the target antigen in cells [14, 15]. Tandem cloning of two identical sdAbs connected by a linker peptide yields a bivalent reagent with higher avidity for the antigen [13]. Tandem cloning to an sdAb with a distinct specificity, e.g., for serum albumin, can help target the reagent to a particular compartment and/or help to increase the in vivo half life of the reagent [16]. A bivalent hcAb can be reconstituted by genetic fusion to the Fc-domain of any conventional antibody, e.g., mouse or human IgG1. Fusion to a cell surface protein, e.g., of lactobacillus, a commensal gut bacterium, yields a reagent designated lactobody with a massively increased binding surface for the antigen of interest, e.g., rotavirus, the etiological agent of severe diarrhea in children [17]. Owing to their high stability and excellent folding capacity under different environmental conditions, sdAbs have also been targeted successfully to the cytosol by removing the leader peptide [18] and to intracellular vesicles, such as chloro-

The remarkable success of conventional TNF-α neutralizing antibodies in the therapy of rheumatoid arthritis and other inflammatory diseases [21] have fueled the quest for other antibody-based therapeutic tools. Many new antibody-based reagents have hence been licensed for the treatment of auto-immune diseases and allergies, as well as for the immune therapy of cancers [22]. For example, B-cell depletion mediated by an antibody against CD20 (Rituximab/Rituxan) shows beneficial effects in rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and other autoimmune diseases, an antibody against IgE has been approved for the therapy of severe asthma (Omalizumab/Xolair), and antibodies against the epidermal growth factor receptors ErbB-1 and ErbB-2 (Cetuximab/Erbitux and Trastuzumab/Herceptin) for the therapy of colorectal and breast cancers. The very high cost of conventional antibodies is already becoming a major burden for the health care budgets in many countries [23]. Roche, for example, has invested several hundred million Euros for a new production facility
Monovalent sdAbs can be conjugated chemically to radioisotopes, such as 125I. Schematic diagram of various formats of recombinant sdAbs. a) Monovalent sdAbs can be obtained directly from E. coli transfected with phagemids selected as illustrated in Fig. 6. These sdAbs can be used to block enzymes, such as T-cell ecto-ADP-ribosyltransferase ART2.2, here symbolized by the Pacman [29]. b) Monovalent sdAbs can be conjugated chemically to radioisotopes, fluorochromes, biotin, magnetic beads, affinity matrices, etc. Radiolabeled sdAbs can be used for in vivo imaging of tumors [43]. c) Fusion of sdAbs to other proteins, such as green fluorescent protein (GFP) [127, 128] or the trypanolytic serum protein apolipoprotein A [101] is accomplished by recloning of the PCR-amplified insert into appropriate expression vectors. d) Bivalent sdAbs are generated by tandem cloning of two V-domains separated by a flexible linker peptide of 12–20 amino acids [13]. e) The same strategy can be applied to fuse two distinct sdAbs, e.g., one encoding an albumin-specific sdAb, the other encoding a cytokine-specific sdAb [16]. Inclusion of an albumin-specific sdAb results in a dramatically increased in vivo half-life upon binding to albumin. f) A bivalent hcAb format can be regenerated by fusion of the V-domain to an IgG-Fc-domain [77]. The increased avidity is useful for diagnostic purposes. Moreover, the hcAb format has a dramatically increased serum half-life, which can be of great value for certain therapeutic applications. g) Fusion of an sdAb to a bacterial outer membrane protein yields recombinant bacteria exposing hundreds of copies of the sdAb on the cell surface, as in the illustrated example of a rotavirus-specific VHH fused to an outer membrane protein of a commensal gut bacterium [17]. h) Intracellular sdAbs are generated by recloning the VHH (without the leader peptide) into a eukaryotic expression vector. Transfection of cells with an SpvB-specific sdAb protects cells from the cytokotoxic action of the Salmonella SpvB ADP-ribosyltransferase (here indicated by the Pacman). Targeting to organelles can be achieved by fusion of appropriate targeting sequences (not shown) [19, 20]. i) sdAbs directed against multimeric lumazine synthase (LS) can be used for the construction of highly immunogenic molecular assembly vaccines. This could be used to enhance the antibody response against an ADP-ribosyltransferase (here illustrated as a Pacman) fused to an LS-specific sdAb. j) sdAbs directed against the idiotype of a conventional antibody can be used for molecular mimicry of the target antigen [64] (Biologics IV in Penzberg) for the production of one antibody (Trastuzumab) and a similar facility (Building 95 in Basel) for the production of Bevacizumab (anti-VEGF). Owing to their smaller size and ease of production, sdAbs present an interesting alternative to conventional antibodies for immune therapy. Potential targets include cell surface proteins, cytokines, other secreted proteins, and even intracellular proteins (Table 1).

Targeting leukocyte ecto-enzymes

Leukocytes express numerous ecto-enzymes that have their active sites exposed to the extracellular environment. These enzymes play important roles in cell trafficking, inflammation, and apoptosis [24, 25]. For example, NAD-dependent ADP-ribosyltransferases (ARTs, also named CD296) regulate the function of other cell surface proteins by post-translational modification [26, 27]. Other nucleotide-metabolizing ecto-enzymes (CD38, CD39, CD73, CD157, and CD203) control the half-life of purinoreceptor-ligands and/or generate signaling molecules, such as cyclic ADP-ribose, adenosine, and lysophosphatidic acid [28]. Peptidases (CD10, CD13, CD26, CD156, and CD249) control the shedding and processing of cytokines and cell adhesion molecules. Given the important regulatory functions of these enzymes, specific inhibitors of their activities might be useful as tools to modulate immune functions [25].

Small molecule inhibitors and antibodies offer two possible strategies for inhibiting leukocyte ecto-enzymes [1, 25]. While small chemical antagonists often lack specificity, antibodies have the capacity to discriminate between closely related enzymes. As leukocyte cell surface ecto-enzymes are accessible to antibodies, the remarkable preference of hcAbs for binding into the active sites of enzymes offers the possibility to raise selective enzyme-blocking sdAb-reagents [5, 6]. The crystal structures of several leukocyte ecto-enzymes have been elucidated, and in many cases they display a deep active site crevice that may be suitable for targeting by sdAbs (Fig. 8a, b).

In a recent proof-of-principle study, we have generated sdAbs against the toxin-related murine T-cell ecto-ADP-ribosyltransferase ART2.2 (Table 1; Fig. 7a) [29]. This cell surface enzyme plays an important regulatory role in inflammatory settings, where it senses NAD released from damaged cells [30–32]. ART2.2 mediates NAD-induced cell death of naive T-cells by ADP-ribosylating the cytosolic P2X7 receptor [33, 34]. Three out of four distinct ART2.2-specific VHHs isolated from an immune llama phage display library were found to block the enzymatic activity of ART2.2 [29]. Following intravenous injection, a VHH (s+16a) was able to completely block ART2.2 enzymatic activity and NAD-induced cytotoxicity on the surface.
of T-cells in peripheral lymphatic organs in vivo. This blockade was effective from within 10 min for at least 6 h after injection. Subsequently, we constructed a chimeric single domain antibody composed of VHH s+16a fused to the Fc-domain of mouse IgG1 (Fig. 7f). Following i.v. injection, these reconstituted chimeric hcAbs achieved complete blockade of ART2.2 on lymph node cells within 2 h after injection. This somewhat slower inhibition than sdAbs likely reflects the slower tissue penetration capacity of the larger Fc-fusion protein. The blockade of ART2.2 by s+16a-Fc was effective for >7 days, reflecting the higher in vivo half-life due to slower elimination via the kidney and a high affinity interaction with the anti-catabolic FcRn molecule [35]. These antibodies provide unique tools to specifically block the activity of a single member of the ART-family of ecto-ADP-ribosyltransferases. Our ongoing studies address the potential therapeutic utility of these antibodies in autoimmune and other inflammatory diseases.

By analogy to ART2.2, other leukocyte ecto-enzymes with deep active site crevices, such as CD38 (Fig. 8b), CD39, CD203, and CD151 are potential therapeutic targets [25]. With the goal of generating and cloning enzyme-blocking sdAbs against these enzymes, we have initiated immunizations of llamas and sharks with some of these enzymes.

Targeting other cell surface proteins with sdAbs

Leukocytes and other cells express numerous other functionally important membrane proteins that are not enzymes, including receptors, ion channels and transporters. Recently, nanobodies directed against the CD16 Fc-receptor on natural killer (NK) cells were developed from an immunized llama [36]. Once multimerized via biotin/Streptavidin interactions, these sdAbs were capable of activating NK cells, which makes them good candidates to be used in bi-specific formats for recruiting NK cells to target and destroy tumor cells. From a llama immunized with the human A431 squamous cancer cell line, an sdAb specific for the α3β1 integrin (VLA-3) was isolated that could

### Table 1 Applications of sdAbs in immunity

| Target | Application | Source of sdAb | Reference |
|--------|-------------|----------------|-----------|
| **Membrane proteins** | | | |
| ART2.2 | Blocking T-cell ecto-enzyme, treatment of auto-immune disease | Immune llama | [29] |
| CD16 | Activation of NK cells | Immune llama | [36] |
| α3β1 Integrin VLA-3 | Block leukocyte-matrix adhesion | Immune llama | [37] |
| α2,3 Sialo-glyco-protein | Crossing the blood brain barrier | Non-immune llama | [38, 39] |
| EGFR | In vivo imaging, cancer immunotherapy | Immune camel, immune llama | [41–44, 110, 111] |
| CEA | Cancer immunotherapy | Immune llama | [40] |
| MUC1 | Tumor targeting | Immune camel and llama | [45, 112] |
| CD105 (endoglin) | Tumor targeting | Immune camel | [46] |
| **Secretory proteins** | | | |
| TNFα | Cytokine neutralization | Immune llama and alpaca | [16, 48, 113] |
| PSA | Cancer diagnosis | Immune dromedy | [114, 115] |
| von Willebrand factor | Blocking blood clotting | Immune llama | [50] |
| Amyloid Aβ peptide | Amyloid aggregation | Immune dromedy and alpaca | [53, 54] |
| Lysozyme | Amyloidosis | Immune dromedy | [12, 52, 116] |
| IgG | Depletion of auto-Abs | Immune llama | [55] |
| Serum albumin | Bispecific sdAbs, increase serum half-life | Immune llama | [16, 44] |
| **Intracellular proteins** | | | |
| Bax | Inhibiting apoptosis | Non-immune llama | [18] |
| HIF-1α | Hypoxia response | Non-immune llama | [58, 59] |
| PABPN1 | Aggregation disorders | Immune and non-immune llama | [60, 117, 118] |
| **Vaccine enhancement** | | | |
| Lumazine synthase | Molecular assembly vaccines | Immune llama | Urrutia, Danquah et al. (unpublished) |
| **Molecular mimicry** | | | |
| Immunoglobulin idiotype | Molecular mimicry | Immune llama, non-immune shark | [64, 65] |
inhibit the binding of K562 erythroleukemia cells to the extracellular matrix [37].

In search of an agent that could be used to surmount the blood brain barrier, a llama was immunized with brain vascular endothelial cells [38]. One of the selected sdAbs, directed against z 2-3 sialoglycoprotein, was found to induce transcytosis of the sdAb through and release on the basolateral side of endothelial cells [39]. In vivo studies showed that this sdAb was efficiently transported across the blood brain barrier and could even be used to carry genetically fused cargo into the brain, including the entire M13 phage particle [38].

Several sdAbs have been raised against receptors overexpressed in tumors and/or tumor neovascularure with the goal of generating tools for improving immune therapy of tumors. Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA, also named CD66e) is highly expressed on cancer cells of epithelial origin, but not at all or only at low levels by adult cells. A CEA-specific sdAb derived from an immunized dromedary (cAb-CEA5) was fused to a β-lactamase, an enzyme that can convert non-toxic prodrugs into potent cytotoxic agents [40]. The fusion protein was found to localize preferentially in tumors and to be cleared rapidly from the circulation via the kidney. The combined treatment with sdAb-lactamase fusion protein and an anticancer prodrug induced regressions and cures of established tumor xenografts [40]. Several groups have raised sdAbs against the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) [41-44]. Both, monovalent (17 kD) and bivalent (35 kD) formats showed rapid tissue penetration as well as rapid clearance via the kidney. Conjugation of monovalent sdAb to technetium-99 m (Fig. 7b), a short lived gamma ray emitting isotope widely used in nuclear medicine, permitted in vivo discrimination of EGFR expressing tumors [43]. Tandem fusion of two anti-EGFR VHHs with a VHH specific for serum albumin (Fig. 7e) yielded a 50 kD single-chain reagent that also exhibited excellent tissue penetration [44] with faster and deeper tumor penetration than cetuximab, a conventional chimeric monoclonal antibody currently used in the therapy of metastatic colorectal cancer and squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Fusion to the albumin-specific sdAb resulted in markedly enhanced serum half-life [44].

sdAbs recognizing mucin MUC1, an epithelial cell surface protein over-expressed during lactation and in breast cancer, and endoglin (CD105), an endothelial cell surface protein associated with tumor neovascularization, were isolated from immunized camels and llamas and are currently being developed for clinical trials [48, 49].

Targeting cytokines with sdAbs

In recent years, cytokine-neutralizing conventional antibodies have revolutionized the therapy of rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, and other chronic inflammatory diseases [21, 47]. The great success of these antibodies has prompted the quest for developing smaller, cytokine-neutralizing sdAbs from camels and sharks. TNF-α blocking sdAbs have been isolated from a llama immunized with human and mouse TNF-α [16] as well as from alpacas immunized with ovine TNF-α [48]. Tandem fusion of two human TNF-α specific VHHs (Fig. 7d) yielded bivalent sdAbs with increased avidity and a more than 100-fold increased TNF-α neutralizing activity [16]. These bivalent sdAbs were markedly more effective at neutralizing TNF-α than the conventional TNF-α blocking antibodies infliximab and adalimumab. Fusion to a third VHH specific for serum albumin (Fig. 7e) yielded potent TNF-α neutralizing sdAbs with enhanced serum half-life and enhanced targeting to inflamed joints in a mouse model of collagen induced arthritis. Daily treatments initiated at the onset of clinical symptoms significantly reduced clinical severity. These sdAbs are currently being developed for clinical trials [49].
Targeting other soluble proteins with sdAbs

Like cytokines, other secreted molecules that are functionally important are accessible to sdAbs. Nanobodies have been developed against components of the blood clotting cascade and against aggregation-prone proteins implicated in amyloid diseases. An sdAb (AU/VWFa-11) derived from an immunized llama specifically recognizes the activated form of von Willebrand factor (vWF), a key component of the blood-clotting cascade that mediates the tethering of platelets to the vascular endothelial wall [50]. This sdAb proved useful for determining the levels of activated vWF in serum samples, although it did not block the interaction between vWF and its cognate integrin GpIbα on the endothelial cells. Another vWF-specific sdAb has recently completed phase Ib clinical trial as an anti-thrombotic reagent, showing dose dependence essentially complete inhibition of vWF for a duration of 4 to 18 h for single dose applications and up to 24 h in multi-dose applications (http://www.Ablynx.com).

Amyloidosis is a group of diseases caused by unusual aggregation of proteins into amyloid fibrils. The extracellular accumulation of amyloid Aβ peptide in insoluble aggregates is associated with Alzheimer’s disease. The use of specific antibodies for inhibiting fibril formation seems a promising therapeutic strategy [51]. An sdAb (cAb-HuL6) derived from a dromedary immunized with wild type human lysozyme [52] inhibited the in vitro aggregation of the amyloidogenic D67H variant of lysozyme [12]. An Aβ-specific VH3 (V31-1) isolated from an Aβ-peptide-immunized alpaca, was shown to preferentially bind to the oligomeric form of Aβ. Moreover, this VH3 inhibited fibril formation and protected neurons in vitro from Aβ-induced neurotoxicity [53]. A conformation sensitive VH3 (B10), selected from a fully synthetic camelid library recognizes an epitope common to different amyloid fibrils derived from Aβ peptide, Ig light chains, and serum amyloid protein [54].

sdAbs directed against human serum albumin and IgG, isolated from immune llama libraries, have been shown to be useful for the bulk depletion of these proteins from serum. Removal of immunoglobulins can have therapeutic benefit, for patients with high titers of circulating autoantibodies, e.g., Goodpasture syndrome and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) [55]. sdAb-based affinity-columns showed superior performance to conventional protein A columns. sdAbs directed against human and mouse serum albumin were shown to increase the in vivo half-life of bispecific sdAb reagents (Fig. 7e).

Targeting intracellular proteins with sdAbs—intrabodies, translocation peptides, and CDR3 peptide mimetics

The cell membrane is impermeable to proteins and, therefore, intracellular antigens are inaccessible to secreted antibodies. Two experimental strategies have been pursued to allow access of sdAbs to intracellular targets: the fusion of sdAbs to peptides mediating translocation across the cell membrane and transfection of cells with cDNA constructs encoding intracellular sdAbs. Several natural proteins are equipped with specialized domains that mediate membrane translocation. In some cases, transplantation of such a translocation domain (td) onto another protein has yielded constructs capable of membrane translocation, albeit with highly variable efficiencies. Such td-fusion proteins were constructed of a conventional single-chain variable fragment (scFv) against the Bcl-2 anti-apoptotic protein [56] and against the c-myc oncogene [57]. Very high concentrations of these scFv-td fusion proteins had to be added to cells to yield detectable pro-apoptotic effects on Bcl-2 or anti-proliferative effects on c-myc. The requirements for protein translocation across the cell membrane are poorly understood, but it is likely to involve retrograde transport to an internal compartment, unfolding of the protein, translocation through a channel, and refolding in the cytosol. It is conceivable that the presence of intrachain disulfide bonds could hamper the unfolding and translocation steps and that replacement of the canonical cysteine pair in the Ig-domain (Fig. 2) might improve translocation efficiency of sdAbs.

The alternative strategy involves transfection of cells and co-expression of the sdAb in the same cellular compartment as the target protein. sdAbs appear particularly suited for this strategy as they can readily fold in different environments, including the cytosol, nucleus, and chloroplasts. Cell death induced by oxidative stress is involved in pathologies of post-mitotic tissues, such as brain and heart. Bax, a 24 kD protein of the Bcl-2 family, is involved in the early phase of apoptosis and has been implicated in neuronal death in ischemia. Specific Bax inhibitors hold promise as therapeutic tools for neurodegenerative disorders. Bax-specific sdAbs isolated from a naïve llama library were shown to inhibit Bax induced permeabilization of isolated mitochondria. Cell lines stably transfected with expression constructs for cytosolic anti-Bax sdAbs were found to be resistant to oxidative stress and showed significantly reduced H2O2-induced cytotoxicity [18].

Hypoxia triggers a transcriptional response, largely mediated by hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) which regulates the metabolic adaptation to reduced oxygen levels. This metabolic adaptation allows tumor cells to thrive...
Despite a low-blood supply in growing tumors, HIF-1α-specific sdAbs selected from a non-immune llama phage display library were shown to be useful for diagnostic purposes and for immunoprecipitation of HIF-1α. These sdAbs are currently being studied for possible functional modulation of HIF-1α function when expressed as intra-bodies [58, 59].

Overexpression and/or trinucleotide repeat expansion in the coding region of poly-A-binding protein 1 (PABN1) can result in fibril-like aggregation of PABN1 in the nuclei of skeletal muscle cells, resulting in oculopharyngeal muscular dystrophy (OPMD). A PABN1-specific sdAb was selected from a non-immune llama library and fused in-frame to a nuclear localization signal and green fluorescent protein [60]. Transfection of this construct into HeLa cells confirmed successful expression and tagging to the nucleus. The construct was found to completely block aggregation of co-expressed PABN1 and was even capable of clearing already existing aggregates when transfected after PABN1. In a Drosophila model of OPMD, expression of a PABN1-specific intrabody strongly suppressed muscle degeneration, leading to nearly complete rescue.

These findings underscore the potential of using sdAbs for targeting intracellular as well as extracellular proteins. A transfection-based expression strategy seems, in principle, applicable for transgenic animals and plants, as well as in therapeutic settings, where cells transfected in vitro are reapplied to the patient. Direct in vivo transfection has been achieved for skin cells using ballistic DNA-immunization and for the liver using hydrodynamic transfection by intravenous bolus injections of DNA in a large volume. In general, however, in vivo transfection efficiencies are low. The unusually long CDR3 of many camelid and shark antibodies could potentially open the possibility of creating synthetic peptide mimetics [61]. In a recent proof-of-principle study, a peptide derived from the CDR3 of the lysozyme blocking camel Ab cabLys3 (Fig. 3b) was shown to block lysozyme activity. However, much higher concentrations of this “peptibody” were required for inhibiting lysozyme than of the parental VHH [62]. Nevertheless, it is possible that synthetic peptibodies can be delivered more efficiently to the cytosol than larger sdAbs.

Molecular assembly vaccines

The higher immunogenicity of repetitive proteins could potentially be exploited also for increasing vaccine efficiency. Lumazine synthase from Brucella abortus spontaneously assembles into pentamers and decamers and shows very high immunogenicity even during primary immunizations [63]. In our own labs, we have raised sdAbs specific for lumazine synthase as tools to interfere with flavin biosynthesis in these pathogenic bacteria. These sdAbs might also be useful for converting a monomeric antigen into a multimeric format by providing a “piggyback” link to lumazine synthase, e.g., following cloning and recombinant production of an sdAb-antigen fusion protein (Fig. 7i). We hypothesize that the antigen’s immunogenicity will be much higher in such a molecular assembly vaccine than in its original monomeric format.

sdAbs as tools for molecular mimicry

Antibodies directed against the antigen-binding paratope (idiotype) of another antibody are called anti-idiotypic antibodies (anti-Id Abs) (Fig. 7i). Such anti-Id Abs compete with the antigen for binding to the parental Ab and are thought to share partially or completely the reactive surface with the combining site, thus mimicking the structure of the antigen. In a proof-of-principle study, two sdAbs were selected from a llama immunized with a DNA-specific monoclonal antibody (ED84) [64]. These sdAbs were found to compete with a double-stranded DNA oligonucleotide for binding to ED84 and thus bear a functional mimicry of the DNA. In a similar approach anti-idiotypic VNARs were selected from a semi-synthetic phage display library against the idiotype of a monoclonal antibody specific for a linear tripeptide epitope in the Plasmodium falciparum apical membrane protein antigen-1 (AMA-1). These VNARs showed enhanced affinity compared to the parental antigen [65].

Single domain antibodies in infection

Antibodies have a longstanding history as therapeutic agents against toxins and pathogens. The first Nobel Prize in Medicine was awarded in 1901 to Emil von Behring for his discovery of passive immune therapy as a cure for diphtheria; a devastating epidemic disease in those days. The molecular structure of antibodies, the effective agent in the diphtheria antiserum that von Behring obtained from immunized horses, was solved only half a century later. Today, another half century later, we have the tools for cloning and reformatting antibodies from different animals and even for assembling antibodies semi-synthetically. sdAbs, in particular, hold great promise to fulfill Paul Ehrlich’s vision of “magic bullets” for combating toxins and pathogens [66, 67].

Targeting protein toxins and poisons with sdAbs

Some of the most potent toxins found in nature are enzymes, including numerous proteins secreted by bacteria and the venomous organs of snakes and scorpions.
Toxin-specific sdAbs have been isolated from immune llama phage display libraries (Table 2). Like murine ART2.2, the SpvB Salmonella toxin is an ADP-ribosyltransferase that transfers ADP-ribose from NAD onto specific amino acid side chains of proteins [26, 31] (Fig. 8c). Upon translocation into the cytosol of animal cells, SpvB blocks cytoskeletal functions by ADP-ribosylating actin and thereby blocking its polymerization. From an SpvB-immunized llama, we have isolated SpvB-blocking sdAbs that block SpvB enzymatic activity at a 1:1 molar ratio. When expressed as intrabodies in transfected cells, these nanobodies effectively block SpvB-mediated disruption of the cell cytoskeleton (Fig. 7h). Several other important human pathogens, including *V. cholera*, *B. pertussis*, and *S. enterica*, which induce disease by secreting toxin ARTs [68] might similarly be suitable for targeting by enzyme-blocking sdAbs.

Bacterial toxin-specific sdAbs have been successfully isolated from naïve llama and naïve shark libraries, including sdAbs specific for cholera toxin, staphylococcal

---

Table 2  Applications of sdAbs in infection

| Target                          | Application                                      | Source of sdAb                          | Reference                  |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| **Toxins**                     |                                                  |                                         |                            |
| Salmonella SpvB toxin          | Neutralization                                  | Immune llama                            | Alzogaray et al. (unpublished) |
| Cholera toxin, staphylococcus enterotoxin A, ricin, botulinum neurotoxin A | Biosensor                                      | Non-immune llama and non-immune shark | [69–71, 119]               |
| LPS                            | Depletion of endotoxin                          | Non-immune llama                        | [72]                       |
| Scorpion toxin                 | Neutralization                                  | Immune camel and dromedary             | [74, 77]                   |
| Scorpion/conus toxins          | Neutralization                                  | Immune shark                            | Licea et al. (unpublished) |
| Alpha-cobrotoxin               | Antivenom development                           | Non-immune llama                        | [76]                       |
| Mycotoxin                      | Detecting toxin contamination                   | Immune llama                            | [78]                       |
| **Viruses**                    |                                                  |                                         |                            |
| HIV env                        | Neutralization                                  | Immune llama                            | [84]                       |
| Rotavirus, gp6                 | Absorption of virus on lactobacillus            | Immune llama                            | [17, 85, 120]              |
| FMDV                           | Neutralization                                  | Immune llama                            | [86, 87]                   |
| Hepatitis B                    | Blocking virus secretion                         | Immune llama                            | [20]                       |
| Lactococcus phage receptor binding protein | Protect milk fermentation                   | Immune llama                            | [88, 89]                   |
| Porcine retrovirus             | Neutralize endogenous retrovirus in transgenic pigs | Immune llama                            | [91]                       |
| Marburg virus                  | Biosensor/diagnosis                             | Non-immune llama                        | [92]                       |
| **Bacteria**                   |                                                  |                                         |                            |
| Streptococcus mutans           | Reduce dental caries                             | Immune llama                            | [94, 95]                   |
| *E. coli* F4 fimbiae           | Treat pig diarrhea                              | Immune llama                            | [93, 121]                  |
| Esp1-EpsJ pseudopilin          | Chaperone assisted crystallography             | Immune llama                            | [97]                       |
| GspD secretin                  | Chaperone assisted crystallography             | Immune llama                            | [96]                       |
| β-lactamase                    | Antibiotic enhancement                          | Immune dromedary                        | [52, 99]                   |
| **Parasites**                  |                                                  |                                         |                            |
| Variable surface glycoprotein (oligomannose) | Trypanosome drug delivery               | Immune dromedary                        | [100, 101]                |
| Trans-sialidase                | Trypanosomiasis diagnosis                       | Immune llama                            | [103]                      |
| Trypanosomes                   | Infectome tools                                 | Immune dromedary                        | [102]                      |
| AMA1                           | Malaria diagnosis                               | Immune shark                            | [122]                      |
| *Taenia solium*                | Tapeworm diagnosis                              | Immune dromedary                        | [104]                      |
enterotoxin B, and botulinum neurotoxin A [69–71]. It is likely that many of these sdAbs possess only low affinities and their neutralization capacities have not been reported. Notwithstanding, some have been shown useful for diagnostic purposes, e.g., upon conversion to a multivalent format by the attachment to beads. These sdAbs, thus, hold promise for biosensor applications.

Endotoxin, i.e., lipopolysaccharide (LPS), released from the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria into the blood circulation after infection can mediate severe septic shock. LPS-specific sdAbs, selected from a non-immune llama VHH phage display library, effectively removed LPS from serum and other aqueous solutions [72].

Venom of snakes, scorpions, spiders, and frogs represent significant threats to human health in many countries. Intravenous administration of conventional IgG or Fab fragments prepared from immunized horses or sheep can provide alleviation for some cases of systemic envenoming and recent studies indicate that corresponding hcAbs prepared from immunized camels and llamas are similarly or even more effective [73–75]. An sdAb against alpha cobra toxin was derived from a naïve llama phage display library and engineered into a pentameric format by genetic fusion to the non-toxic B-subunit of verotoxin, yielding a reagent with higher avidity and neutralization capacity [76]. An sdAb directed against scorpion toxin (NbAahI’22) derived from an immunized dromedary showed potent neutralizing capacity already in a monovalent format which was enhanced further upon conversion into a bivalent heavy-chain format by genetic fusion to the Fc region of human IgG1 (Fig. 7f) [77].

Targeting small molecule toxins and other haptens with sdAbs

Fungi secrete small chemical compounds that can be toxic to animal cells. Recently, an sdAb (NAT-267) was developed from an immunized llama against BSA-conjugated Deoxynivalenol (300 Da), a mycotoxin produced by common grain molds [78]. The high affinity of this sdAb paves way for developing low-cost toxin detection systems and potentially also for immunomodulation of Deoxynivalenol’s cytotoxic effects. Other haptens have been targeted by sdAbs, including red azo dyes (728 Da) found in red wine (with the potential use of targeting enzymes to stains during washing) [79], caffeine (with the potential use of detecting caffeine in beverages) [80], the explosive TNT (biosensor) [81], and the chemotherapeutic agent methotrexate (MTX, 454 Da) [82]. Antihapten sdAbs have potential in cancer therapy, for e.g., in a bi-specific format to help target radiolabeled haptens to tumors for imaging and radioimmunoconjugation [83].

Targeting viruses with sdAbs

Viruses often use “hidden” epitopes on the capsid or envelope, e.g., deep invaginations or canyons, for docking onto receptors on host cells. Such epitopes are hidden from the large and typically flat antigen-binding sites of conventional antibodies. Moreover, these epitopes are often well conserved between viruses that use the same receptor while more protruded regions of the capsid/envelope change rapidly, helping the virus to escape from immune attack. Analogous to the active site crevice of enzymes, these canyons on the viral surface are usually inaccessible to conventional antibodies, but constitute preferential targets for single-chain antibodies from camelids and sharks. Numerous viruses are being targeted by sdAbs, among these HIV, for which cross-type-specific HIV-neutralizing sdAbs were recently derived from an immunized llama [84].

Several groups have succeeded in raising sdAbs directed against rotavirus, the causative agent of severe childhood diarrhea. Immunization of a llama with a more internally located capsid protein of rotavirus yielded sdAbs that recognize and neutralize several different strains of rotavirus [85]. In a mouse model, oral administration of these sdAbs provided significant amelioration of diarrhea. In an elegant approach to increase the local dose of rotavirus-absorbing sdAbs, a rotavirus-specific sdAb derived from an immunized camel was cloned in-frame with a lactobacillus cell surface protease into a lactobacillus expression vector [17]. Transformation yielded recombinant versions of this commensal gut bacterium that express a high density of rotavirus-specific sdAbs on the cell surface (dubbed lactobodies) (Fig. 7g). Feeding of the recombinant lactobacillus also provided significant protection from rotavirus-mediated disease.

Foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV) neutralizing sdAbs were isolated from a llama immunized with a crude extract of FMDV-infected BHK cells [86]. The in vivo half-life of these sdAbs was enhanced by genetic fusion to a second VHH specific for pig IgG. Intramuscular administration of these bispecific sdAbs significantly reduced viremia in subsequently infected piglets, but did not prevent transmission of FMDV [87]. Virus-neutralizing sdAbs have also been developed for industrial applications, e.g., for the neutralization of phages that infect lactobacilli and thereby prevent significant threat in the milk and cheese industry [88–90].

sdAbs have been generated and applied successfully also against intracellular viral proteins. Porcine endogenous retroviruses (PERV) reside within the pig genome and are capable of transfecting human cells in vitro, thereby presenting a potential hazard for xenotransplantation. An sdAb, isolated from a llama immunized with the 60 kD Gag protein of porcine endogenous retrovirus [91] and...
expressed as an intrabody, effectively inhibited production of virus particles. Transgenic expression of such sdAbs could contribute to solving safety problems associated with PERVs. Similarly, an sdAb derived from a llama immunized with hepatitis B virus particles was converted into a format targeted to the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) by fusion to the KDEL ER-retention signal. Cells co-transfected with expression constructs for this sdAb reagent and hepatitis B showed enhanced intracellular retention and correspondingly diminished secretion of hepatitis B particles. In mouse models, the rapid intravenous bolus injection of an expression vector for the hepatitis-specific sdAb in a large volume of buffer resulted in efficient hydrodynamic transfection of liver cells and a therapeutic benefit [20].

Four sdAbs were selected from a non-immune llama library by panning against immobilized Marburg virus [92]. Even though these sdAbs from a naïve library displayed only low affinities, a sensitive diagnostic assay system could be developed by converting the nucleoprotein-specific sdAbs into multivalent formats. Capture of the virus was achieved by simple immobilization of the sdAbs on the wells of an ELISA plate. Detection was achieved upon genetic fusion to bacterial alkaline phosphatase which forms homo-dimers, thus yielding a bivalent enzyme-linked detection reagent. The assay allows detection of three Marburg virus variants without cross reactivity to the four Ebola virus species.

Targeting pathogenic bacteria with sdAbs

Single domain antibodies have also been raised against bacterial surface proteins with the goals of blocking attachment of bacteria to host cells and/or for more effective delivery of pro-drugs. A VHH (K609) against *E. coli* F4 fimbriae, applied at high doses, reduced *E. coli* induced diarrhea in piglets. However, when applied orally, a large portion of this sdAb was found to be proteolytically degraded in the upper gastrointestinal tract. Proteolytic degradation is mediated by pepsin in the stomach and by trypsin and chymotrypsin in the duodenum [93]. Using a DNA-shuffling strategy involving the random recombination of gene segments obtained from VHH K609 and two other F4-specific VHHs, following DNA fragmentation and PCR amplification, a variant VHH (K922) was selected that exhibited >100-fold increase in resistance to in vitro degradation by gastric and jejunal fluid.

*Streptococcus mutans*, the main cause of dental caries, achieves virulence in part via its ability to adhere to and to accumulate on tooth surfaces. An sdAb (S36-VHH) was developed from a llama immunized with a mixture of disrupted and intact *S. mutans* and genetically fused to fungal glucose oxidase, a homo-dimeric 150 kD enzyme that produces hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) in the presence of glucose and oxygen. The fusion protein was readily produced in yeast [94]. In a rat model of strong cariogenic challenge, daily application of the sdAb monomer as well as the VHH-glucose oxidase fusion protein resulted in a reduced level of bacterial recovery 21 days after infection, albeit not at a statistically significant level due to large variation in bacterial recovery [95].

sdAbs have also been used successfully to improve the probability of obtaining crystals for proteins that are difficult to crystallize. An sdAb can assist the crystallization process by stabilizing the protein of interest and/or by creating additional crystal contact surface. VHHs isolated from immunized llamas were used to assist the crystallization of major components of the type 2 secretion system of enteropathogenic *E. coli* and *Vibrio*. This 11 component extracellular protein secretion system is used for secretion of cholera toxin and heat labile enterotoxin [96, 97]. Similarly, the phage P2 receptor-binding protein was successfully co-crystallized with an sdAb [98].

Many bacteria secrete enzymes that inactivate antibiotics as an efficient escape mechanism from antibiotic therapy. Enzyme-blocking sdAbs were generated from immunized dromedaries that effectively block β-lactamases which catalyze the hydrolysis of β-lactam antibiotics [99] with the potential utility of improving antibiotic therapies.

Targeting parasites with sdAbs

Single domain antibodies are also being developed as anti-parasite reagents. Trypanosomes are coated with variable surface glycoproteins (VSGs) and evade host immune responses by changing the VSGs that cover the entire membrane. Owing to their smaller size, sdAbs might better penetrate the VSG coat to gain access to conserved epitopes that are inaccessible for conventional antibodies. An sdAb (NbAn33) recognizing an oligomannose cryptic epitope on trypanosomes was isolated from a dromedary immunized with purified VSG [100]. In contrast to conventional antibodies and lectins, this sdAb was able to penetrate the dense VSG coat. A fluorochrome-conjugate of this sdAb allowed the direct sensitive detection of parasites in blood smears. Immunotoxins were generated by genetically fusing this sdAb (An33) to either β-lactamase or a truncated version of the pore forming human serum protein apolipoprotein L-I (Fig. 7c). Fusion to β-lactamase, which can convert a cephalosporin-based prodrug into the potent toxic phenylenediamine mustard (PDM), yielded a conjugate with both, retained capacity to bind to trypanosomes and retained enzyme activity. Sub-lethal doses of the pro-drug proved highly toxic to conjugate treated parasites. Genetic fusion to a truncated form of human apolipoprotein L-I yielded an immunotoxin with lytic activity against a range of different trypanosomes [101]. In a mouse model the
VHH-apoL-I fusion protein had curative and alleviating effects on acute and chronic infections with trypanosomes. Further sdAbs directed against VSG and other trypanosome proteins were selected from an immune library obtained from a dromedary infected with live trypanosomes [102]. We have also obtained and characterized sdAbs directed against trans-sialidase from *Trypanosoma cruzi* [103]. Even though these sdAbs are unable to interfere with the crucial role of trans-sialidase in cell entry of the parasite, they served as a tool to unmask a novel strategy of *T. cruzi* to evade the host immune system.

The larval form of the pork tapeworm *Taenia solium* is the cause of cysticercosis, the most common parasitic infection of the central nervous system and a major cause of epilepsy in developing countries. Immunization of two dromedaries with *T. solium* extracts yielded an sdAb (Nbsol52) recognizing the 14 kD diagnostic glycoprotein Ts14 [104]. This sdAb showed subnanomolar affinity for Ts14 and was found capable of capturing antigen present in the serum of infected pigs. Further studies are required to assess the potential therapeutic use of such sdAbs in cysticercosis. *Malassezia furfur* is a fungus implicated in dandruff. sdAbs specific for a cell wall protein of *M. furfur* were derived from an immunized llama [105]. Some of these sdAbs were found to be resistant to detergents in shampoo and may provide a possible antifungal additive to shampoos.

**Conclusions and perspectives**

Approximately 15 years have passed since the serendipitous discovery of the peculiar hcAbs in camels [2], followed by the elucidation of the similar, heavy-chain-only structure of shark new antigen receptors [106]. The remarkable proof-of-principle studies published since then point to a tremendous diagnostic and therapeutic potential of single domain antibody reagents derived from these hcAbs. Numerous sdAbs have already proven useful for basic research and as improved diagnostic and biosensor tools. In vivo studies have underscored the favorable biodistribution of sdAbs, including deep penetration into dense tissues and rapid elimination via the kidney. These features make sdAbs particularly amenable for imaging of tumors and for the delivery of cytotoxic agents. sdAbs should also prove useful for neutralizing soluble extracellular proteins including toxins, cytokines, and blood clotting components. The capacity of sdAbs to block leukocyte ecto-enzymes indicates their potential utility as anti-inflammatory and immune modulating agents.

Notwithstanding, a number of obstacles still have to be surmounted before widespread clinical application of sdAbs becomes possible. A major concern for repeated and/or long-term clinical use is the potential immunogenicity of sdAb reagents. While the systemic application of small monovalent sdAbs seems to induce little, if any, neutralizing antibody responses, protein immunogenicity generally increases with size and complexity. This will likely pertain also for the fusion constructs illustrated in Fig. 7. Enhanced immunogenicity, of course, is desired in case of vaccines, but not for therapeutic applications. Recently, a strategy to humanize cameldid sdAb was described in which the surface of a camel sdAb was reshaped by mutating 12 out of the 14 surface-exposed residues in which camel sdAbs differ from human VH domains to the corresponding human residue [107]. It remains to be determined whether these humanized VHH display lower immunogenicity than the cameldid sdAb. Immunogenicity is a particular concern for intravenous and other systemic injections, but may be less problematic for other routes of application, in particular gastrointestinal and intraocular injections. Moreover, single injections, such as for the treatment of acute toxin exposure, are less immunogenic than the repeated applications required for the treatment of chronic inflammatory diseases.

For intracellular targets, more efficient delivery tools will need to be developed for sdAbs to reach the cytosol and other intracellular compartments. Transfection-mediated expression of intracellular sdAbs appears to be a feasible strategy for cell/gene therapy regiments and for transgenic plants and animals. For humans, however, in vivo transfection so far seems feasible only for accessible tissues, such as skin and possibly the liver. Considering that antigen-binding of many cameldid and shark sdAbs is mediated in large part by their long CDR3, it may be possible in some cases to develop peptide-mimetics of the antigen-binding principle [61].

Presently, the immunization of llamas and dromedaries is cumbersome and costly in comparison to immunization of smaller animals. Transgenic mice expressing cameldid antibodies, analogous to the transgenic mice expressing human antibodies [22], could provide more economic sources of sdAbs. A recent study provided a first proof-of-principle that single-chain antibodies, indeed, can be correctly assembled and expressed by B-cells of transgenic mice carrying a mini-Ig construct encoding a dromedary VHH and the Fc-domain of human IgG1 [108, 109].

Assuming that progress will continue at the present pace, it is likely that the future repertoire of researchers and clinicians will include a battery of sdAb reagents directed against cytokines, ecto-enzymes, tumor antigens, toxins, and microbes.
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