Surgical Technique

Insertion of a Preserflo microshunt inside a non-valved glaucoma shunt to treat late-onset hypotony

Huzaffa Malick, Di Zou, Richard Stead

We present a case of advanced glaucoma with previously failed trabeculectomy who underwent a Baerveldt tube (BVT) insertion, with initial success. However, 9 months post BVT insertion he developed profound clinically significant hypotony. Two attempts at controlling this with suture exchange led to episodes of significant ocular hypertension, followed by hypotony each time. We describe a technique of using a cut segment of the novel, polystyrene-block-isobutylene-block-styrene (SIBs) based Preserflo Microshunt (Santen Inc., Miami, FL) inserted into the tip of a BVT to control late onset hypotony with success. IOP at 6 weeks was 12mmHg on two drops with complete resolution of the choroidal maculopathy.
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Glucoma drainage implants (GDIs) are frequently used for glaucoma filtering surgery. Despite their efficacy and favorable risk profile, complications arising from GDIs can be difficult to manage, especially in high-risk eyes requiring tight intraocular pressure control. Late-onset postoperative hypotony (intraocular pressure (IOP) ≤5 mm Hg) is a particularly difficult complication to manage after GDI surgery. Hypotony poses a risk of permanent visual reduction after GDI surgery.[1] Previously reported successful interventions include tube ligation[5] and tube removal as well as the introduction of a stenting suture into the lumen of the tube.[6] Insertion of a Xen gel stent (Xen, Allergan Inc. NJ) into the posterior end of a non-valved shunt after capsule dissection has also been described with success.[7]

We present a case of advanced glaucoma with previously failed trabeculectomy who underwent a Baerveldt tube (BVT) insertion, with initial success. However, 9 months post BVT insertion, he developed profound clinically significant hypotony following 3-0 Supramid suture removal. Our initial treatment for such cases involves re-stenting the tube with a simple occluding suture, as described first in 1993.[8] However, two attempts of stabilizing the IOP with ab-interno occluding sutures were unsuccessful: the first using an 8-mm segment of 3-0 Prolene suture led to a high IOP and the second procedure replacing this suture with an 8-mm segment of 4-0 Prolene suture caused hypotony again. We describe a technique of using a cut segment of the novel, polystyrene-block-isobutylene-block styrene (SIBs) based, Preserflo Microshunt (Santen Inc., Miami, FL) inserted into the tip of a BVT to control late-onset hypotony with success.

The Preserflo Microshunt is a novel SIBs based device measuring 8.5 mm in length, with a 350-μm outer diameter and 70-μm lumen. SIBs is a novel and bioinert material with high biocompatibility.[9] The distal segment of the shunt from the fin to the tip measures 4.5 mm and the external lumen diameter is 350 μM.[10] The internal lumen diameter of the Baerveldt tube is 300 μM.[11] Using the technique outlined below, we externalized the tip of the Baerveldt tube near the limbus and successfully inserted a 4-mm segment of the Preserflo tube into the end. Day 1 IOP was 32 mm Hg, and with medication at week 1 was 17 mm Hg with resolution of the hypotony maculopathy and choroidal effusions. The Preserflo/Baerveldt complex has remained stable inside the eye at the most recent follow-up at 6 weeks.

Surgical Technique

After a peribulbar anesthetic block and dual superotemporal traction suture placement adjacent to the BVT site location, a clear corneal shelved incision was made into the anterior chamber approximately 1 mm from the limbus. The BVT end was directed out from the AC and the tip dilated with a punctum seeker. The beveled, distal end of the cut Preserflo tube was inserted with approximately 2 mm of its length inside
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the BVT tube and 2 mm externalized beyond the tip [Fig. 1]. The complex was then reintroduced into the AC and the corneal entry site was closed using two 10-0 Nylon interrupted sutures.

**Results**

Pre-op visual acuity (VA) was 0.50 LogMAR with an IOP of 4 mm Hg with hypotonic maculopathy. Day 1 VA was 0.30 with an IOP of 15 mm Hg. Week 1 IOP crept up to 26 mm Hg (VA 0.32); thus, glaucoma eyedrops were introduced with a reduction in the IOP to 12 mm Hg and VA of 0.30. IOP at 6 weeks was 12 mm Hg on two eyedrops with complete resolution of the choroidal maculopathy.

**Discussion**

Early hypotony post non-valved GDIIs has been reported to be as high as 39%. However, late-onset hypotony is much less common, with reported rates of up to 13%. Previous attempts in our case to use more traditional methods of hypotony treatment were unsuccessful; specifically, insertion of an 8 mm 3-0 Prolene suture led to high pressures, and a 4-0 Prolene suture led to persistent hypotony. Significant variability of the IOP is not uncommon in these advanced glaucomatous eyes. Tube removal remained an option in our case but would only have been a short-term option, especially as a low pressure was required. Inserting a Xen to the posterior tube end as previously described has reported success, but we were keen to avoid both conjunctival and capsule dissection in this case. Our technique described here is relatively quick, with no conjunctival dissection required and is technically relatively easy.

If we need further IOP reduction in our patient, we plan to trim the distal end of the Preserflo tip to provide less resistance to aqueous flow (as this reduces the length of the flow resisting segment). This will be straightforward and can be done ab interno. This has been offered to our patient who is keen to avoid further intervention currently and is happy to continue with a combination glaucoma drop twice daily. Longer-term results with this and any subsequent cases using this intervention will help to both refine the technique and identify any late complications. We postulate that future cases using this method may be performed totally ab interno, although insertion of the Preserflo into the Baerveldt lumen securely may prove problematic. Corneal endothelial count measurements would also be beneficial.

**Conclusion**

Despite the lack of long-term follow-up yet, this novel technique appears to be both successful and safe in the short-term follow-up of late-onset persistent hypotony post BVT insertion.

The authors would like to point out that this is an off-label use of the Preserflo Microshunt in the United Kingdom.
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