Elastic properties of peripheral arteries in heart failure patients in comparison with normal subjects
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Abstract Understanding the change in elastic properties of peripheral arteries in heart failure patients is of particular importance, especially when compared with normal subjects. To investigate factors associated with their difference, 40 normal subjects and 60 heart failure patients were studied. Electrocardiograms, carotid pulses and radial pulses were simultaneously recorded to determine carotid-radial pulse transit time (carotid-radial PTT), arm pulse wave velocity (PWV), and arterial volume distensibility. In comparison with normal subjects, carotid-radial PTT was lower by 8 ms in heart failure patients, arm PWV higher by 1.4 m/s, and peripheral arterial distensibility lower by 0.04 % per mmHg (all significant, \( P < 0.01 \)). Peripheral arterial distensibility was significantly related to systolic blood pressure (SBP) and to left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) for heart failure patients (both \( P < 0.001 \), but the relationship for the normal group was not statistically significant (both \( 0.05 < P < 0.1 \)). Ageing had a significant inverse relationship with arterial distensibility in normal subjects (\( P < 0.05 \)), but not in heart failure patients (\( P = 0.59 \)). No subject in the normal group had an arterial distensibility lower than 0.1 % per mmHg, in comparison with 28 % (17/60) in the heart failure group. Peripheral arterial distensibility has been shown to be significantly lower in heart failure patients in comparison with normal subjects. High SBP and low LVEF were the main factors associated with low arterial distensibility in heart failure patients.
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Introduction

Chronic heart failure is a major global health problem, affecting about 7 million Europeans and 5 million North Americans each year [1, 2]. The peripheral circulation plays a fundamental role in the pathophysiology of heart failure. A better understanding of the changing elastic properties of arteries in heart failure patients is of particular importance, because modest changes in left ventricular function can have profound hemodynamic and clinical effects [3–5]. Experimental evidence suggests that heart failure patients have abnormal ventricular–vascular coupling and impaired endothelial dysfunction [6–9]. Measurement of arterial properties in heart failure patients is therefore clinically important.

The elastic properties of arteries can be quantitatively and indirectly assessed by various non-invasive techniques. The most commonly used technique measures pulse wave velocity (PWV) over two superficial artery sites. However,
the majority of these published studies mainly focused on the relatively large arteries by measuring the carotid-femoral PWV, and their conclusions were clinically different, with higher [9], lower [10], and no change [11] of carotid-femoral PWV reported. Some studies assessed the change of elastic properties of peripheral arteries in heart failure patients by measuring the brachial-radial and carotid-radial PWV. Increased brachial-radial PWV [12] and decreased [10] or no change [11] of carotid-radial PWV have also been reported. By investigating the whole artery from the heart to the fingertip, Wagner et al. reported that pulse transit time (PTT) measured from the electrocardiogram (ECG) to the finger photoplethysmogram was mildly elevated in heart failure patients, indicating impaired ventricular-arterial coupling [13], but the PTT used in their study included the left ventricular pre-ejection time, and cannot precisely represent the elastic properties of peripheral arm arteries. These conflicting results may be partly due to the different segments of arteries used for investigation, and also due to the methodological difference. Further investigation is therefore worthwhile as the results so far are still inconclusive.

Arterial volume distensibility is also commonly used to directly quantify the elastic and hence structural properties of the arterial wall [14, 15]. However, published results on the changes of peripheral arterial distensibility in heart failure patients are also clinically controversial: some showed impaired arterial distensibility [16, 17] and others showed no impairment [4, 18]. Ultrasound techniques were commonly used in these studies, but they are operator-dependent. Recently, Zheng and Murray [15] reported a simple measurement technique to measure the peripheral arterial volume distensibility. Because of its simplicity, its application to heart failure patients is worth further investigation.

The aim of this study was to quantify the elastic properties of peripheral arteries in heart failure patients by measuring their arterial volume distensibility, and to compare with measurements from normal subjects. The factors influencing the elastic properties of peripheral arteries in heart failure patients were also investigated.

### Methods

#### Subjects

Totals of 40 normal subjects and 60 heart failure patients were studied. They were matched by age and sex, and aged between 30 and 75 years. The study obtained full approval of the Clinical Ethics Committee of the Qilu Hospitals of Shandong University. The investigation conformed with the principles in the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects gave their written informed consent to participate in the study, and confirmed that they had not have participated in any other clinical trials within the previous 3 months.

The heart failure patients were in classes II–III of the New York Heart Association (NYHA) with functional classification confirmed by an ultrasonic cardiogram (UCG). Left ventricular ejection fractions (LVEF) from three cardiac cycles were measured by a cardiologist, and their average value was used as the reference LVEF for that subject. The LVEF from the heart failure patients was less than 50 % in this study. The normal subjects had normal UCG and ECG and normal LVEF in the range of 50–70 %.

The subject demographic information is given in Table 1.

| Variables                                      | Normal subjects | Heart failure patients | P values |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------|
| No.                                            | 40              | 60                     | –       |
| Men                                            | 18              | 34                     | 0.26    |
| Age (year)                                      | 59 ± 9          | 62 ± 8                 | 0.09    |
| Height (cm)                                     | 166 ± 8         | 165 ± 8                | 0.71    |
| Weight (kg)                                     | 65 ± 9          | 68 ± 9                 | 0.10    |
| Heart rate (beats/min)                         | 66 ± 7          | 70 ± 12                | 0.06    |
| Arm length (cm)                                 | 56 ± 5          | 56 ± 4                 | 0.71    |
| Systolic blood pressure (SBP, mmHg)            | 119 ± 12        | 123 ± 11               | 0.16    |
| Diastolic blood pressure (DBP, mmHg)           | 69 ± 10         | 70 ± 8                 | 0.83    |
| Mean arterial pressure (MAP, mmHg)             | 86 ± 10         | 87 ± 8                 | 0.44    |
| Pulse pressure (PP, mmHg)                      | 50 ± 9          | 53 ± 11                | 0.19    |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF, %)    | 65 ± 4          | 38 ± 7                 | <0.001  |

Data are expressed as number or mean ± standard deviation (SD).

Experimental procedure

All measurements were undertaken in a quiet, temperature-controlled clinical measurement room (25 ± 3 °C) at Qilu Hospital of Shandong University. Before the formal signal recording, each subject lay supine on a measurement bed for a 10-min rest period to allow cardiovascular stabilization. ECG electrodes were attached to the right wrist and the right and left ankles to acquire a standard limb lead-II ECG. Two piezoresistive sensors were attached to the neck and left wrist to acquire carotid artery pressure waveforms (CAPW) and radial artery pressure waveforms (RAPW), respectively. Subjects were told to breathe regularly and gently during the measurement.

For each subject, the ECG, CAPW, and RAPW signals were synchronously recorded at a sample rate of 1,000 Hz.
Manual auscultatory systolic and diastolic blood pressures (SBP and DBP) were recorded from the right upper arm at the beginning and end of the signal recording, and no significant differences were found (both \( P > 0.05 \)). The average SBP and DBP from the two measurements were used as reference BPs for that subject. The mean arterial pressure (MAP) and pulse pressure (PP) were calculated using the classic formulas: \( MAP = DBP - \frac{SBP - DBP}{3} \) and \( PP = SBP - DBP \). The overall mean and standard deviation (SD) of SBP, DBP, MAP and PP are given in Table 1.

Finally, the difference in propagation distance to the carotid and radial arteries, referred to as arm length below, was obtained by the length difference, using the radial-suprasternal notch distance minus the carotid-suprasternal notch distance. The arm length is also included in Table 1.

Peripheral arterial volume distensibility calculation

Off-line analysis was performed by a custom-designed computer program using the MATLAB software (v.R2009a; MathWorks, USA). The ECG, CAPW, and RAPW signals were first pre-processed to remove the slow varying components (0–0.05 Hz). Ectopic beats in the ECGs were excluded using our previously developed method [19].

The heart to carotid artery pulse transit time (heart-carotid PTT) and heart to radial artery pulse transit time (heart-radial PTT) were then measured from the R-wave peak of the QRS complex to the corresponding pulse foot of the CAPW and RAPW signals from all acceptable beats over the first 2 min. A manual check was also performed to ensure the algorithm detected the correct pulse feet. To isolate the effect of the ventricular electrical mechanical delay time, which is common to all simultaneous PTTs, heart-carotid PTTs were subtracted from the heart-radial PTTs to obtain the propagation time along the major section of the arm (carotid-radial PTT) [15, 20]. The average arm PWV was then calculated from the arm length and carotid-radial PTT using the following equation:

\[
PWV = \frac{\text{arm length}}{\text{carotid-radial PTT}}
\]

From the definition of arterial volume distensibility \( DV = \frac{\Delta V}{V} \Delta P \), where \( \Delta V, \Delta P \) are the changes in blood volume and arterial transmural pressure) and the Bramwell and Hill equation \( PWV = \sqrt{\frac{V \Delta P}{\rho \Delta V}} \), where \( \rho \) is blood density = 1,025 kg m\(^{-3}\) [21], peripheral arterial volume distensibility (DV) was then derived as follows [15]:

\[
DV = \frac{1}{\rho \times PWV^2}
\]

Data and statistical analysis

The mean PTTs from all beats over the first 2 min of signals were calculated for each subject. The overall means and SDs of PTTs, arm PWV, and arterial volume distensibility were then obtained, separately for normal subjects and heart failure patients. Next, the differences between the two groups were calculated and compared. A non-parametric Mann–Whitney U Test was performed using the SPSS 19.0 software package (SPSS). A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. Finally, regression analysis was performed to determine the effect of resting arterial pressures (SBP, DBP, MAP, and PP), sex, weight, heart rate, LVEF, and age on peripheral arterial volume distensibility, with the correlation coefficient \( R \) values and \( P \) values obtained.

Results

Physiological characteristic information

As expected, there was a significant difference in LVEF between the heart failure patients and normal subjects.
In terms of gender, age, height, weight, heart rate, arm length, and resting BPs, there were no significant differences between the two groups (all \( P > 0.05 \)) (see Table 1).

**Discussion**

This study has demonstrated that peripheral arterial volume distensibility was significantly lower in heart failure patients. Assessing arterial elastic properties using a simple measurement technique is clinically important. There are several non-invasive techniques, but most of them assess factors influenced by arterial properties rather than the properties themselves. The ultrasound technique has been used to directly measure arterial distensibility of medium-sized arteries. However, the ultrasound technique is operator-dependent. The non-invasive method employed in this study to obtain peripheral arterial volume distensibility is much simpler, and we have shown that it can measure the differences in elastic properties of arteries between normal subjects and heart failure patients.

The lower arterial volume distensibility in heart failure patients in comparison with normal subjects agreed with Giannattasio et al.’s work, where they reported that the reduction of arterial distensibility was related to the severity of heart failure. However, these results were not consistent with the work of Khder et al. or Kaiser et al., where no impairment of arterial distensibility was concluded in heart failure patients. Ramsey et al. also found no difference in brachial artery distensibility in heart failure patients. One reason for the controversial results is partly due to the different measurement methods used in those studies.
The effect of ageing on arterial volume distensibility is of great clinical interest. It is generally accepted that ageing increases arterial diameter, arterial thickness, and sympathetic vascular smooth muscle tone under physiological pressures [25–27], all of which cause a decrease of arterial distensibility. A decrease in distensibility with ageing for normal subjects has been reported [15, 28, 29]. However, the ageing effect on arterial volume distensibility in heart failure patients has not been well investigated. We have shown in a human population study that ageing has little effect on arterial volume distensibility in heart failure patients.

In addition, we have shown that in this study only heart failure patients had an arterial distensibility lower than 0.1 % per mmHg. The influencing factors of the low arterial volume distensibility are also of great clinical importance. Our results indicated that SBP and LVEF were important factors associated with low arterial volume distensibility in heart failure patients. We speculate that persistent high SBP and low LVEF cause irreversible changes in arterial elastic properties. Using ultrasound imaging, Kaiser et al. [4] found a reduced brachial artery lumen size and an increased wall-to-lumen ratio in heart failure patients, which could result in reduced arterial distensibility. Other mechanisms may also contribute to vascular remodeling in heart failure patients, including significantly increased endothelin levels [30], changes in the amounts of collagen and elastin or their ratio, changes in collagen type, or alterations in the mechanical arrangement of wall components [4]. Nevertheless, further investigation is still required to better understand the

Fig. 2 Arterial volume distensibility as a function of resting systolic blood pressure (SBP), left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and age for normal (A1–A3) and heart failure subjects (B1–B3). The regression lines with P values and R values are also shown.
underlying mechanism for the changes of arterial elastic properties in heart failure patients.

Limitation

Possible limitations in the methodology should be emphasized. The traditional time-reference point measurement is very important for the PTTs calculation. If the reflection wave moves forward, it will mix with the incident wave and cause the peak of the whole waveform to become obtuse [3, 9, 10, 13]. Then, the measurement of the pulse foot of the CAPW and RAPW signals will not be sufficiently accurate; so a manual check was also used to ensure that the algorithm detected the correct pulse feet.

Conclusion

The current study demonstrated that heart failure patients had lower peripheral arterial volume distensibility in comparison with normal subjects. We have also demonstrated that, in the heart failure group, ageing was not related to low arterial volume distensibility, and that low LVEF and high SBP are the main factors associated with low arterial volume distensibility. These findings are clinically important, and the simple measurement technique used here has been demonstrated to be useful in assessing heart failure patients and monitoring the disease progression.
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