Examination of Pre-pandemic Measures on Youth Well-being During Early Stages of the COVID-19 Pandemic
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: The COVID-19 pandemic has affected our lives in numerous ways. How youth have been impacted by the pandemic and which preexisting factors best relate to COVID-19 responses are of high importance for effective identification and treatment of those most vulnerable. Youth with pre-pandemic mental health difficulties such as attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) could be at risk for worse well-being during and after the pandemic.

METHODS: The current study tested potential risk factors (i.e., pre-pandemic mental health, age, and parental education) and their relationship to family experiences during early months of the COVID-19 pandemic. Participants were previously enrolled in an ongoing, yearly longitudinal study examining the relationship between mental health and executive functions in youth. Families with 1–4 annual pre-pandemic lab visits filled out an online COVID-19 survey in May–July 2020 to assess how the pandemic impacted their well-being (n = 135 youth).

RESULTS: Youth pre-pandemic mental health difficulties, especially ADHD symptoms, related to worse well-being during the early pandemic. Trajectories of recent ADHD symptoms over time also predicted cognitive difficulties during the pandemic. We found that youth age was a strong predictor of pandemic response, with younger youth showing fewer negative responses. Parental education level buffered family economic impact during early COVID-19. Families showed synchrony in their pandemic responses.

CONCLUSIONS: Pre-pandemic ADHD severity and slope, youth age, and parental education (a proxy for socioeconomic status) were risk factors that influenced youth or family well-being early in the COVID-19 pandemic; this information can help identify those who may need more community and educational support.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsgos.2021.08.003
have largely focused on youth anxiety- and depression-related symptoms (10–14); however, youth with high attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) burden before the pandemic might be particularly susceptible to the adverse effects of the pandemic. Childhood ADHD is predictive of both short- and long-term negative impacts on well-being. While childhood ADHD is predictive of worse educational outcomes in childhood (15–19), it is also linked to lower quality of life in adulthood (20), including worse occupational, economic, and social outcomes (21). The additional stress, unpredictability, and break in routine brought on by the COVID-19 pandemic could enhance existing problems for those with high levels of attention difficulties and predispose them to worse well-being during COVID-19. Examining preexisting factors such as ADHD symptomatology that influence general well-being may be crucial for understanding variability in youth response during the pandemic.

Additional risk factors for distress during the pandemic in youth include experiencing loss of a family member, having fewer resources within their family, having more exposure to mass media coverage on the pandemic, or having elevated stress because of changes in routine or living conditions (22). Having lower socioeconomic status (SES) is also linked to worse well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. Lower-income and lower-middle-income parents reported more financial hardships due to the pandemic (23) and more emotional distress for themselves and their children (24).

The present study used up to 4 years of annual in-person demographic and mental health data on 135 children and their families to inform our understanding of their responses to an online survey of overall well-being during early COVID-19 (May–July 2020). We examined 3 key potential pre-pandemic influences—mental health (especially ADHD symptoms), age, and socioeconomic measures (i.e., parental education levels)—on emotional, cognitive, and social aspects of youth self-report of well-being during the early days of the COVID-19 pandemic.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Participants

Participants in this report included 135 youth (mean age = 15.17 years, range = 9.4–22.1, 61 female, 70 male, 3 nonbinary, 1 prefer not to say), and 78 parents (mean age = 46.57, range = 32–67, 72 female) from 90 unique families from greater Austin, Texas. An additional 6 parents filled out a COVID-19 survey, but their child did not, and thus they were excluded from this set of analyses. At the time of the COVID-19 impacts survey, 30 youth were aged 18 or above, and 105 youth were younger than 18. All participants had at least 1 pre-pandemic time point of mental health and demographic measures from their participation in an ongoing, multyear longitudinal study examining mental health (see Table S1 for comparison with those in that study who did not complete a COVID-19 survey). This was a community sample, over-recruited for mental health diagnoses; 72 youth had at least 1 mental health diagnosis, primarily ADHD (n = 59) (Table S2), and 34 youth reported being on psychotropic medication. All youth clinical diagnoses were reported by the parent at the most recent in-laboratory visit.

A subsample of participants (n = 113, mean age = 15.53 years, range = 9.9–22.1 years) who had at least 2 annual (but ranging from 2 to 4) pre-pandemic time points of ADHD symptom burden measures were used for a test of ADHD symptom burden change before COVID-19. For income, race, and ethnicity of the overall sample, please see Table S1.

All protocols were approved by the University of Texas at Austin Institutional Review Board. The pre-pandemic longitudinal study required participants to come into the laboratory for their visit; however, all COVID-19 measures were collected online through surveys. Parents provided informed consent, and youth provided informed assent before their participation in the study. Participating parents and youth were compensated at each time point.

Materials

Pre-COVID-19 Measures. Before the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, participants completed a battery of mental health measures in the laboratory (Table 1). Questionnaires were completed between November 13, 2016, and March 3, 2020 (Figure S1). We primarily used mental health data from each participant’s most recent in-laboratory visit for our analyses (ranging from year 1 to year 4 of data collection) (see Figure S2), but for longitudinal analyses, we compared using 2 to 4 available years of a participant’s mental health data (n = 113).

Percent of maximum scaling (POMS) score [(the participant’s score – the minimum possible score)/(the maximum possible score – the minimum possible score)] was calculated for each pre-COVID-19 mental health measure. For all mental health measures, a higher score indicated a higher level of symptom burden. A mental health symptom burden variable was derived from the POMS score of the parent report Child Behavior Checklist (25) if participants were younger than 18 years, and the POMS score of the Adult Self-Report (26) otherwise. A self-report ADHD symptom burden variable was derived from the POMS score of the Conners-3 self-report (27) if participants were younger than 18 years at the time of its completion, and the POMS score of the Conners’ Adult ADHD Rating Scale self-report (28) otherwise. Parent report of youth ADHD symptom burden was derived from the POMS score of the Conners-3 parent report (27) about the youth (n = 124).

COVID-19 Impact Measures. The parent and youth COVID-19 impact measures were completed separately online between May 21, 2020, and July 22, 2020. Youth completed a subset of the COVID-19 Adolescent Symptom and Psychological Experience Questionnaire (CASPE) (29). A total subscore was calculated for each of the CASPE subcategories for each individual (emotional, cognitive, social, and direct experience related to COVID-19) (Table 2). Higher scores for the emotional, cognitive, and direct experiences subcategories indicated greater impact on well-being from COVID-19, and a higher score in the social subcategory indicated more time spent socializing online. z scores were computed for each CASPE subcategory.

Parents completed a subset of the Epidemic-Pandemic Impacts Inventory (EPII) (30). A total score was calculated for each of the EPII subcategories and z-scored across the sample
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The CASPE survey was used to assess youth responses to the COVID-19 pandemic. Subcategories reflect different aspects of well-being that could be impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. CASPE, Covid-19 Adolescent Symptom and Psychological Experience Questionnaire; Max, maximum; Min, minimum.

Table 2. CASPE Subcategories and Scoring

| Subcategories Related to COVID-19 | No. of Items in Subcategory | Range of Raw Score Per Subcategory | Example Item | Scoring of Items in Subcategory |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Cognitive Well-being             | 3                          | Min = 12 Max = 61                 | In the past 7 days, including today, to what extent have you experienced the following: zoning out. | Items about negative cognitive outcomes were coded on a scale of 1 (very slightly or not at all) to 5 (extremely), and positive items were reverse coded. |
| Emotional Well-being             | 7                          | Min = 28 Max = 140                | The COVID-19 outbreak has changed and disrupted many existing plans. In the past 7 days, including today, how stressful do you find these disruptions to be? | Items about negative emotional outcomes were coded on scale of 1 (very slightly or not at all/not at all) to 5 (extremely/a great deal), and positive items were reverse coded. |
| Social Well-being                | 2                          | Min = 2 Max = 10                  | Since your school has closed, how often do you talk/chat with friends online (including on your cell phone, on social media, or through online gaming)? | Items were scored so that spending more time socializing resulted in a higher score, and spending less time socializing resulted in a lower score. |
| Direct Experiences               | 11                         | Min = 6 Max = 41                  | Overall, how much has the COVID-19 outbreak, and the resulting changes to daily life, affected your life in a negative/positive way? | More exposure to infections, death, and hardships during the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in a higher score. |

Comparisons Using the Most Recent Pre-pandemic Time Point. We used linear regression models to test how pre-pandemic composite mental health burden and ADHD symptom burden scores related to youth COVID-19 outcomes on the CASPE subcategories while accounting for youth age. We used linear regression to test whether youth age at the time of the COVID-19 survey predicted scores on subcategories of the CASPE. Parents’ education level was examined separately (mother’s and father’s education level) and together by calculating a mean parental education score. We used linear regression to examine whether education levels predicted parent responses on the EPII survey and whether parent education levels predicted youth CASPE responses.

In addition, we examined whether parents and youth in a household were in sync during the pandemic. We used linear models to test whether parent responses on the EPII survey and whether parent responses on the EPII survey and whether parent education levels predicted youth CASPE responses.

Participants’ self-reported age and gender information were collected at the time of the COVID-19 Impact Survey. Participants’ racial and ethnic information and SES measures, including father’s and mother’s education levels, were collected at their most recent pre-pandemic in-laboratory visit.

Demographic Measures. Participants’ self-reported age and gender information were collected at the time of the COVID-19 Impact Survey. Participants’ racial and ethnic information and SES measures, including father’s and mother’s education levels, were collected at their most recent pre-pandemic in-laboratory visit.

Statistical Approach

All results were corrected using false discovery rate (31), unless otherwise noted. For the number of false discovery rate corrections per analysis, see Table S3.
Regression to examine whether parent scores on the EPII subscales predicted youth outcomes on the CASPE survey. For our approach to sibling data, internal validity measures on the CASPE subscores, and quality assurance of all models please see Supplemental Methods.

Comparisons Using Pre-pandemic ADHD Trajectories. A subset of participants completed the ADHD symptom burden measure ($n = 113$) during at least 2 annual pre-pandemic visits but ranged from having 2 to 4 pre-pandemic time points. We quantified the trajectory of participants’ mental health symptoms over the years using the ADHD symptom burden composite self-report measure. To identify a participant’s mental health trajectory before the pandemic (i.e., slope of ADHD symptom burden before the pandemic), we used a random slope model to calculate the slope of ADHD symptom burden across time points per participant. We then modeled the ADHD symptom burden slope predicting COVID-19 outcomes measures (CASPE), while controlling for level of ADHD symptom burden at time point 1.

RESULTS

Mental Health and COVID-19 Reactions in Youth

ADHD Youth Self-report and Parent Report. We examined whether pre-pandemic ADHD symptom burden influenced well-being during early stages of the pandemic (Figure 1). Youth and parent report of pre-pandemic youth ADHD burden was correlated at $r = 0.44$. Youth self-report of ADHD burden from the participant’s most recent pre-COVID-19 laboratory visit signiﬁcantly contributed to worse cognitive experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic ($b = 2.22$, $R^2 = 0.10$, $p = .003$) and poorer emotional experiences ($β = 1.81$, $R^2 = 0.10$, $p = .01$). See Table S3 for nonsignificant comparisons.

Table 3. EPII Subcategories and Scoring

| Subcategories                                         | No. of Items in Subcategory | Range of Raw Score Per Subcategory | Example Item                                                                 | Scoring of Items in Subcategory                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parent Work and Employment Since COVID-19             | 11                          | Min = 0 Max = 22                  | Had to continue to work even though in close contact with people who might be infected (e.g., customers, patients, co-workers). | Check Yes (Me) if you were impacted: 1 point  
Check Yes (Person in Home) if another person (or people) in your home were impacted: 1 point |
| Parent Home Life Since COVID-19                       | 11                          | Min = 0 Max = 22                  | More conflict with a child or harsher in disciplining a child or children.  | Check Yes (Me) if you were impacted: 1 point  
Check Yes (Person in Home) if another person (or people) in your home were impacted: 1 point |
| Parent Social Activities Since COVID-19               | 9                           | Min = 0 Max = 18                  | Unable to visit loved one in a care facility (e.g., nursing home, group home). | Check Yes (Me) if you were impacted: 1 point  
Check Yes (Person in Home) if another person (or people) in your home were impacted: 1 point |
| Parent Economic Situation Since COVID-19              | 3                           | Min = 0 Max = 6                   | Unable to pay important bills like rent or utilities.                      | Check Yes (Me) if you were impacted: 1 point  
Check Yes (Person in Home) if another person (or people) in your home were impacted: 1 point |
| Parent Emotional and Physical Health and Well-being in COVID-19 | 13                        | Min = 0 Max = 39                  | Unable to access mental health treatment or therapy.                       | Check Yes (Me) if you were impacted: 1 point  
Check Yes (Child in Home) if a child in the home was impacted: 1 point  
Check Yes (Adult in Home) if another adult in the home was impacted: 1 point |
| Parent Physical Distancing and Infection History Since COVID-19 | 13                        | Min = 0 Max = 26                  | Isolated or quarantined due to possible exposure to COVID-19.               | Check Yes (Me) if you were impacted: 1 point  
Check Yes (Person in Home) if another person (or people) in your home were impacted: 1 point |
| Parent Positive Change Since COVID-19                 | 18                          | Min = 0 Max = 36                  | More quality time with a partner or spouse.                                | Check Yes (Me) if you were impacted: 1 point  
Check Yes (Person in Home) if another person (or people) in your home were impacted: 1 point |

EPII survey was used to assess parent responses to the COVID-19 pandemic. Subcategories reflect different aspects of well-being that could be impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.

EPII, Epidemic-Pandemic Impacts Inventory; Max, maximum; Min, minimum.
Parent report of child ADHD symptom burden for youth <18 years before the pandemic was significant before multiple comparison correction for the cognitive subcategory on the CASPE survey ($\beta = 0.97$, $R^2 = 0.06$, uncorrected $p = .04$) but did not survive multiple comparison correction (adjusted $p = .15$). See Table S3 for nonsignificant comparisons.

**Overall Mental Health Symptom Burden.** Mental health symptom burden also contributed to poorer cognitive well-being (after controlling for youth age) before multiple comparison correction ($\beta = 1.83$, $R^2 = 0.06$, uncorrected $p = .02$; adjusted $p = .09$) (Figure 1). See Table S3 for nonsignificant comparisons.

**Age Relationships to COVID-19 Reactions in Youth**

We tested whether youth age predicted well-being during early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic (Figure 1). Age significantly predicted emotional ($\beta = 0.07$, $R^2 = 0.04$, $p = .03$) and direct ($\beta = 0.07$, $R^2 = 0.05$, $p = .03$) experiences related to COVID-19, such that older youth reported worse emotional well-being and more direct experiences related to COVID-19. Youth age also marginally predicted the CASPE social subcategory ($\beta = 0.05$, $R^2 = 0.03$, $p = .079$), such that older youth had a higher score on the CASPE social well-being subcategory, meaning that they engaged more socially online than younger participants.

**Parent Education Levels and COVID-19 Outcomes**

We examined how parent education level, a proxy for SES, impacted parent and youth well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. Parent mean education level significantly predicted their score on the EPII COVID-19 economic situation subscale ($\beta = -0.13$, $R^2 = 0.06$, $p = .04$), such that higher education across parents related to less negative economic impact during the early stages of the pandemic. Father’s education level also significantly predicted parent scores on the EPII COVID-19 economic situation subscale ($\beta = -0.13$, $R^2 = 0.09$, $p = .03$), such that higher levels of father’s education related to less negative economic impact. For results that did not survive multiple comparison correction, see Table S3.

**Synchrony Between Parent and Youth COVID-19 Reactions**

To examine whether parents and their youth had similar experiences during the pandemic, we compared parent responses on the EPII with their children’s responses on the...
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CASPE. Parent self-reported EPII scores on the emotional and physical health and well-being in COVID-19 subscale were significantly related with their children’s cognitive ($\beta = 0.35$, $R^2 = 0.14$, $p = .001$), emotional ($\beta = 0.41$, $R^2 = 0.19$, $p = .0003$), and direct ($\beta = 0.30$, $R^2 = 0.10$, $p = .006$) experiences related to COVID-19, such that parents with worse well-being during the pandemic also had children with worse well-being and more direct experiences related to COVID-19 (Figure 2). We ran the same analysis with one randomly selected child per family instead of averaging across siblings in a family, and the significance and direction of the results did not change.

Longitudinal Analysis of Relationship Between ADHD and Youth COVID-19 Outcomes

We next investigated the relationships between self-report ADHD ratings and COVID-19 responses in a subsample of youth participants with at least 2 time points of pre-pandemic mental health data. We found that the slope of pre-pandemic self-report ADHD symptom burden related to CASPE cognitive well-being ($\beta = 1.74$, $R^2 = 0.10$, $p = .009$) while controlling for baseline (time point one) levels of ADHD symptom burden, such that those with larger (worsening) ADHD symptom burden slopes had worse cognitive well-being during the pandemic (Figure 3). Those whose symptom burden was getting worse were the ones who experienced more cognitive distress during early stages of the pandemic, controlling for initial ADHD severity. ADHD symptom burden slope did not predict the CASPE emotional well-being subcategory.

DISCUSSION

Preexisting Mental Health Difficulties Make Youth More Vulnerable

Previous research suggests that youth with preexisting mental health difficulties might be more susceptible to experiencing worse mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. Most of the present research on pre-pandemic mental health and responses to the COVID-19 pandemic in youth have focused on anxiety and depression symptoms (10,11); however, knowledge is more limited as to how ADHD symptomatology related to early pandemic well-being (32,33). We found that higher levels of pre-pandemic ADHD symptomatology related to worse reports of cognitive and emotional well-being by youth during early stages of the pandemic. The negative cognitive impact of COVID-19 was more strongly related to child self-report than parent report of pre-pandemic attention problems. This impact was largely specific to ADHD symptoms, as our general mental health measure had a weaker effect. ADHD is known to impact well-being for youth throughout their life span (19–21); the added stress of coping with sudden lifestyle and educational changes due to the pandemic could be particularly burdensome for youth and families with ADHD.

The impact of ADHD on cognitive experiences during the pandemic was not limited to the most recent data. Self-report of worsening ADHD symptoms over 2 to 4 years before the pandemic related to worse cognitive well-being during early stages of the pandemic, even after controlling for baseline levels of ADHD symptom burden at year 1. These results suggest that those perceiving worsening attention difficulties were also less equipped to deal with the sudden stress and lifestyle changes brought on by the pandemic. These results suggest that both recent ADHD symptoms and recent pre-pandemic changes in the severity of these issues have an impact on how well one responds to the pandemic.

Asking youth about cognitive experiences during the pandemic inherently aligns well with mental health surveys from pre-pandemic times (e.g., one CASPE cognitive question: how often in the last 7 days have you had racing thoughts?). Thus, the concordance between pre-pandemic ADHD symptoms and early pandemic cognitive difficulties highlight the persistence of attention difficulties many youth experienced both before and during the early pandemic. While ADHD is often characterized as a disorder of attention difficulties, our ADHD symptom burden measure considers many aspects of ADHD (inattention, hyperactivity/impulsivity, family and peer relations, learning problems, defiance/aggression). Even with some degree of overlap between the pre-pandemic Conners-3 and pandemic CASPE measures, it is still interesting that those with higher levels of pre-pandemic ADHD burden were the ones who reported higher scores on the CASPE cognitive well-being subcategory. It is reasonable to believe that those who had lower levels of ADHD burden before the pandemic could report higher levels of cognitive distress during a life-altering stressful event, such as COVID-19, because of the abrupt shift in day-to-day function for everyone. Having high levels of ADHD burden should not be considered a precursor to

**Figure 2.** Parent and child well-being are in sync. Graphs show how parent emotional and physical health and well-being (Epidemic-Pandemic Impacts Inventory [EPII] subcategory) is related to youth cognitive and emotional well-being and the amount of direct experiences with the pandemic that youth endorsed during early stages of COVID-19. CASPE, COVID-19 Adolescent Symptom and Psychological Experience Questionnaire.

Parent Emotional and Physical Health and Well-Being
Parent Education Predicts COVID-19 Economic Challenges

We examined the role of parent education level, as a proxy for socioeconomic status, on COVID-19 related outcomes and found that higher levels of parent education were predictive of higher levels of economic well-being during the early stages of the pandemic. These results are consistent with previous COVID-19 studies showing that lower SES parents experienced more financial hardship during the pandemic (23). Our CASPE relations to parental education early in the pandemic were not significant, unlike previous work (37), suggesting that SES impacts need to be further interrogated, possibly with a more diverse economic sample or over a longer pandemic timeframe.

Family COVID-19 Experiences Are in Sync

We found synchrony in well-being occurring within households. Parents who reported worse emotional and physical well-being during early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic had youth who also reported worse emotional and cognitive well-being, as well as more direct experiences with the pandemic. This suggests that parents’ responses to the pandemic influence the child’s response to the pandemic, or vice versa, and that similar genetic and environmental circumstances within a family lead parents and youth to respond in a similar manner. This is consistent with pre-pandemic literature that suggests that youth well-being is heavily influenced by family well-being (38), and more specifically, that a mother’s physical and psychological well-being influences a child’s well-being (39).

More broadly, mother’s depression symptoms have been found to be in synchrony with youth nonclinical levels of depression, even through changes in mother’s treatment response (40). This finding and our results suggest that when implementing interventions surrounding COVID-19, treating one family member may help the whole family. Our strong synchrony results were collected during a unique time when families spent more time together than pre-COVID-19 and were perhaps unable to rely on other support systems (i.e., friends, coworkers). Future work could test to see whether pre-pandemic to early pandemic synchrony in families differs, and whether this synchrony persists past early stages of the pandemic, when lockdown measures abated.

Limitations

A limitation of our work is that our pre-pandemic mental health measures were not collected during the pandemic; we collected the EPII and CASPE as brief measures of well-being, which are (as yet) unpublished measures created for the current pandemic. We chose the EPII and CASPE instead of the lengthy original measures, because we were interested in COVID-19 stressors and responses in our participating families, rather than mental health (e.g., ADHD symptomatology) change per se, and for potential pooling of consistent COVID-19 measures across different labs. Given the novelty of the EPII and CASPE (as they were created specifically for the COVID-19 pandemic), the validity of these measures as psychometrics has yet to be established. More research is needed on how mental health changed from pre-pandemic to pandemic time.
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and, specifically, how ADHD symptomatology changed from pre-pandemic to pandemic time.

In addition, our selections from the CASPE social subcategory only consisted of 2 items; future research should incorporate a wider range of items to obtain a more comprehensive assessment of how the COVID-19 pandemic affects youth social well-being (33). Finally, we used continuous measures of mental health and ADHD burden in this community sample, rather than the presence or absence of diagnostic labels, to study the impact of mental health difficulties on pandemic experiences. Researchers with pre-pandemic measures of a particular diagnostic group could interrogate specific diagnoses and COVID-19 well-being in more detail. We now have a second time point of COVID data and families returning for in-lab collections; these data could enhance and clarify these results.

Conclusions

We tested pre-pandemic influences on youth and family well-being during early parts of the COVID-19 pandemic. Worse reactions to the pandemic were associated with higher pre-pandemic ADHD burden, older youth age, and lower parental education. ADHD symptoms and their recent trajectory related to worse early COVID-19 cognitive and emotional responses in youth, similar to a growing body of work highlighting the interaction of the pandemic with symptoms of anxiety and depression. Synchrony was seen across child and parent responses, which suggests improving parental well-being may improve youth outlook. Pre-pandemic data help us understand diverse experiences of families and youth during the pandemic. A nuanced understanding of the myriad of contributors to youth well-being early in COVID-19 may help identify those at highest risk for poor outcomes and help to target specific interventions or resources.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

This study was supported by the Brain & Behavior Research Foundation Young Investigator Award and University of Texas start-up funds (to JAC).

We thank all of the families who have participated in this research. We also thank Neha Nigam and Annie Zheng for significant help with the longitudinal study data collection and design. We would like to acknowledge all (the primarily undergraduate) research assistants who aided in collection of the COVID-19 survey data and/or pre-pandemic data collections over the past several years, including Alice Alzaza, Phoebe Anderson, Jessica Berry, Nikita Batra, Kinsey Bickham, Delayna Bradshaw, Janchira Charoenworawat, Andre Ferreira, Neyra Garcia, AnnaCarolina Garza, Anushka George, Ashley Jacob, Kyle Katigbak, Joy Lee, Kumail Mahesri, Miriam Ortega, Lea Ann Thomas, Madison Tuazon, Dr. Kushboo Verma, Marie Vu, and Tiffany Wang.

The authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

From the Department of Psychology (BMP, IJD, TLL, MA, MAR, JAC), The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas; and Department of Psychology & Neuroscience (MEM), University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina. Address corresponsence to Blaire M. Porter, B.A., at blairemporter@utexas.edu.

Received Apr 1, 2021; revised Aug 4, 2021; accepted Aug 8, 2021.

Supplementary material cited in this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpscogs.2021.08.003.

REFERENCES

1. Diener E (2000): Subjective well-being. The science of happiness and a proposal for a national index. Am Psychol 55:34–43.
2. Hoyt LT, Chase-Lansdale PL, McDade TW, Adam EK (2012): Positive youth, healthy adults: Does positive well-being in adolescence predict better perceived health and fewer risky health behaviors in young adulthood? J Adolesc Health 50:66–73.
3. Middlebrooks JS, Audage NC (2008): The Effects of Childhood Stress on Health Across the Lifespan. College Park: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
4. Hedges DW, Woon FL (2011): Early-life stress and cognitive outcome. Psychopharmacology 214:121–130.
5. Furr JM, Comer JS, Edmunds JM, Kendall PC (2010): Disasters and youth: A meta-analytic examination of posttraumatic stress. J Consult Clin Psychol 78:765–780.
6. Kar N (2009): Psychological impact of disasters on children: Review of assessment and interventions. World J Pediatr 5:5–11.
7. Imran N, Zeshan M, Pervaiz Z (2020): Mental health considerations for children & adolescents in COVID-19 Pandemic. Pak J Med Sci 36:S67–S72.
8. Rosen Z, Weinberger-Litman SL, Rosenzweig C, Rosmarin DH, Muennig P, Carmody ER, et al. (2020): Anxiety and distress among the first community quarantined in the U.S due to COVID-19: Psychological implications for the unfolding crisis. PsyArXiv. https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/7eq8c.
9. Jiao WY, Wang LN, Liu J, Fang SF, Jiao FY, Petcuellio-Mavornan M, Somekh E (2020): Behavioral and emotional disorders in children during the COVID-19 epidemic. J Pediatr 221:264–266.e1.
10. Xie X, Xue Q, Zhou Y, Zhu K, Liu Q, Zhang J, Song R (2020): Mental health status among children in home confinement during the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak in Hubei Province, China. JAMA Pediatr 174:898–900.
11. Barendse M, Flannery JE, Cavenagh C, Arizztabal M, Becker SP, Berger E, et al. (2021): Longitudinal change in adolescent depression and anxiety symptoms from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic: A collaborative of 12 samples from 3 countries. PsyArXiv. https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/hn7us.
12. Pan KY, Kok AAL, Elkenenboolo M, Horsfall M, Jong F, Luteijn RA, et al. (2021): The mental health impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on people with and without depressive, anxiety, or obsessive-compulsive disorders: A longitudinal study of three Dutch case-control cohorts. Lancet Psychiatry 8:121–129.
13. Gassman-Pines A, Ananat EO, Fitz-Henley J 2nd (2020): COVID-19 and parent-child psychological well-being. Pediatrics 146:e202007294.
14. Patrick SW, Henkhaus LE, Zickafoose JS, Lovell K, Halvorson A, Loch S, et al. (2020): Well-being of parents and children during the COVID-19 pandemic: A national survey. Pediatrics 146:e202016824.
15. Biederman J, Faraone S, Milberger S, Guite J, Mick E, Chen L, et al. (1996): A prospective 4-year follow-up study of attention-deficit hyperactivity and related disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry 53:437–446.
16. Barry TD, Lyman RD, Klinger LG (2002): Academic underachievement and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: The negative impact of symptom severity on school performance. J Sch Psychol 40:259–283.
17. Hinshaw SP (1992): Externalizing behavior problems and academic underachievement in childhood and adolescence: Causal relationships and underlying mechanisms. Psychol Bull 111:127–155.
18. Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Lynskey MT (1993): The effects of conduct disorder and attention deficit in middle childhood on offending and scholastic ability at age 13. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 34:899–916.
19. Schiavone N, Virts M, Leppämäki S, Launes J, Vanninen R, Tuulio-Henriksson A, et al. (2019): ADHD and subthreshold symptoms in childhood and life outcomes at 40 years in a prospective birth-risk cohort. Psychiatry Res 281:112574.
20. Yang HN, Tai YM, Yang LH, Gau SSF (2013): Prediction of childhood ADHD symptoms to quality of life in young adults: Adult ADHD and anxiety/depression as mediators. Res Dev Disabil 34:3168–3181.
21. Klein RG, Mannuzza S, Olazagasti MAR, Roizen E, Hutchison JA, Lashua EC, Castellanos FX (2012): Clinical and functional outcome of childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder 33 years later. Arch Gen Psychiatry 69:1295–1303.

22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Helping children cope with emergencies Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/childrenindisasters/helping-children-cope.html. Accessed February 17, 2021.

23. Chen CY-C, Byrne E, Vélez T (2021): Impact of the 2020 pandemic of COVID-19 on families with school-aged children in the United States: Roles of income level and race [published online ahead of print Mar 12]. J Fam Issues.

24. Center for Translational Neuroscience: A hardship chain reaction: Financial difficulties are stressing families’ and young children’s well-being during the pandemic, and it could get a lot worse Available at: https://medium.com/rapid-ec-project/a-hardship-chain-reaction-3c3f3577b30. Accessed February 10, 2021.

25. Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA (2001): Manual for the ASEBA School-Age Forms & Profiles. Burlington: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth, & Families.

26. Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA (2003): Manual for the ASEBA Adult Forms & Profiles. Burlington: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth, & Families.

27. Conners CK (2008): Conners 3, 3rd ed. Toronto: Multi-Health Systems.

28. Conners CK, Erhardt D, Sparrow E (1999): CAARS, Adult ADHD Rating Scales. Technical manual. Toronto: Multi-Health Systems.

29. Ladouceur CD (2020): COVID-19 Adolescent Symptom & Psychological Experience Questionnaire.

30. Grasso DJ, Briggs-Gowan MJ, Ford JD, Carter AS (2020): The Epidemic–Pandemic Impacts Inventory (EPII). Farmington: University of Connecticut School of Medicine.

31. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995): Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc B (Methodol) 57:289–300.

32. Zhang J, Shuai L, Yu H, Wang Z, Qiu M, Lu L, et al. (2020): Acute stress, behavioural symptoms and mood states among school-age children with attention-deficit/hyperactive disorder during the COVID-19 outbreak. Asian J Psychiatr 51:102077.

33. Sciberras E, Petel P, Stokes MA, Coghill D, Middeldorp CM, Bellgrove MA, et al. (2020): Physical health, media use, and mental health in children and adolescents with ADHD during the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia [published online ahead of print Dec 17]. J Atten Disord.

34. Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, Han M, Xu X, Dong J, Zheng J (2020): The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. Psychiatry Res 287:112934.

35. Odriozola-González P, Planchuelo-Gómez Á, Irurtia MJ, de Luis-Garcia R (2020): Psychological effects of the COVID-19 outbreak and lockdown among students and workers of a Spanish university. Psychiatry Res 290:113108.

36. Kecojevic A, Basch CH, Sullivan M, Davi NK (2020): The impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on mental health of undergraduate students in New Jersey, cross-sectional study. PLoS One 15:e0239696.

37. Chaudry A, Wimer C (2016): Poverty is not just an indicator: The relationship between income, poverty, and child well-being. Acad Pediatr 16:S23–S29.

38. Newland LA (2015): Family well-being, parenting, and child well-being: Pathways to healthy adjustment. Clin Psychol 19:3–14.

39. McKeown K, Haase T, Pratschke J (2003): Family Well-Being: What Makes a Difference? Shannon: Cein Centre and Department of Social and Family Affairs, 5.

40. Garber J, Ciesla JA, McCauley E, Diamond G, Schloredt KA (2011): Remission of depression in parents: Links to healthy functioning in their children. Child Dev 82:226–243.