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Paediatric chest wall trauma causing delayed presentation of ventricular arrhythmia
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SUMMARY
This report describes a paediatric patient presenting with haemodynamically stable non-sustained ventricular tachycardia 1 day after minor blunt chest trauma. Initial laboratory studies, chest X-ray and echocardiography were normal; however, cardiac MRI revealed precordial haematoma, myocardial contusion and small pericardial effusion. Throughout her hospital course, she remained asymptomatic aside from frequent couplets and triplets of premature ventricular contractions. Ectopy was controlled with oral verapamil. This case highlights how significant cardiac injury may be missed with standard diagnostic algorithms.

BACKGROUND
This is a rare paediatric case of blunt myocardial injury caused by a relatively benign mechanism of injury resulting in a serious rhythm abnormality. Additionally, the delayed presentation of symptoms with negative biomarkers and transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) makes this a rare presentation of blunt myocardial injury.

CASE PRESENTATION
An 8-year-old girl presented to the emergency department (ED) with reported ventricular tachycardia. The patient stated that when she stood up to walk out of the classroom she began to experience lightheadedness and had two presyncopal episodes. She then went to her school’s nurse’s office where she was found to have intermittent episodes of faint and rapid pulse, so emergency medical services were called. On scene, rhythm strip showed a wide rapid rhythm consistent with ventricular tachycardia so she was brought to the ED for further evaluation. Her Corrected QT Interval (QTc) on the first ECG obtained was 423. In the ED, she denied chest pain, shortness of breath or palpitations and remained haemodynamically stable. She was noted to have multiple runs of stable non-sustained ventricular tachycardia with the longest run lasting approximately 10 s. Intravenous access was established and she was given a normal saline bolus. She was urgently evaluated by paediatric cardiology and paediatric electrophysiology. Her ECG showed frequent ventricular ectopy but were otherwise unremarkable.

Her laboratory tests including complete blood count, comprehensive metabolic panel, troponin I and chest radiograph were unremarkable. Approximately 1 hour into her hospital course her mother arrived and provided further history. She stated that 24 hours prior to arrival in the ED, the patient was riding a non-motorised scooter around her front yard. The front wheel caught on a lip of the cement and she ran into the garage door, with handle bars turned such that one end of the handle bar contacted the garage door while the other end struck her chest wall. She continued playing and experienced no symptoms other than some mild localised chest wall pain. There was no overlying chest wall deformity, bruising, ecchymosis or haematoma aside from a small left parasternal abrasion noted on physical exam. She was admitted to the paediatric intensive care unit (PICU), echocardiogram was obtained and was unremarkable. On hospital day 2, her chest MRI showed a right ventricular cardiac contusion, subacute precordial haematoma and a small pericardial effusion with possible pericardial haematoma. She remained in the hospital for 3 days for continued monitoring due to recurrent runs of prevenricular contractions.

INVESTIGATIONS
► ECG 12 lead
► Portable upright A/P one view chest radiograph
► Transthoracic echocardiogram
► Cardiac MRI with and without contrast with flow velocity mapping
► Complete blood count, comprehensive metabolic panel, troponin I, brain natriuretic peptide, thyroid-stimulating hormone reflex T4, phosphorus, magnesium, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C reactive protein, venous blood gases, drug screen, urinalysis

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS
Arrhythmia is a rare presenting complaint in the paediatric ED, occurring in only 55 of every 100,000 patients. Sinus tachycardia and supraventricular tachycardia are the most common arrhythmia in children.1 The usual presenting symptoms are palpitations, fatigue and/or syncope. Although rare in children, ventricular tachycardia must be considered because it is a known cause of sudden death in paediatrics.

One of the common causes of ventricular tachycardia in children is myocarditis. Usually the aetiology of myocarditis is viral, classically Coxsackie B. The presenting symptoms can include: tachypnoea, chest pain, syncope and palpitations.2 Ventricular...
arrhythmias may also be the initial manifestation. A study by Vignola et al found 26% of patients referred for cardiac evaluation had an unknown cause of ventricular arrhythmias. Of these patients who underwent biopsy, half had an underlying myocarditis that was clinically silent. An echocardiogram is used to look for structural changes associated with myocarditis such as dilated cardiomyopathy and reduced ejection fraction.

Another known cause of arrhythmias is electrolyte disturbances. Cardiac contractility depends on intracellular and extracellular shifts of ion gradients, and alterations in these gradients can lead to abnormalities in conduction. Abnormal potassium levels are a well-known ionic cause of arrhythmias. Hypokalaemia can be caused by conditions including urinary and gastrointestinal losses. ECG manifestations are decreased T-wave amplitude, ST segment depression and development of U waves. Patients are at an increased risk of torsades de pointes or ventricular tachycardia because of the increased duration of the action potential and refractory period induced by hypokalaemia.4 Hyperkalaemia causes a shortening of the action potential and slowed conduction. Hyperkalaemia ECG changes include peaked T waves, widened QRS complexes and PR prolongation.5 It has been associated with sinus bradycardia and eventual sinus arrest or ventricular tachycardia and ventricular fibrillation.

Initial laboratory workup helps rule out or identify the cause of an arrhythmia. This workup should include: a toxicology screen, blood culture, viral panel, cardiac enzymes and serum electrolytes. Echocardiograms are done to look for structural abnormalities, potential tumours or cardiomyopathies, which are also known to cause arrhythmias. These initial tests in our patient came back normal which eliminated most of the common causes of ventricular tachycardia.

When it was later learnt that our patient had sustained blunt trauma to her chest, this was suspected to be the source of her symptoms. Arrhythmias are a complications of blunt cardiac injury, and they typically manifest as sinus tachycardia, atrial fibrillation or ventricular or atrial premature contractions.6 With blunt trauma there is also potential for pericardial effusion, rupture of the myocardium or rupture of the valves. Patients with a history of blunt myocardial injury who develop ECG changes, a new murmur or chest pain should be evaluated with cardiac enzymes and echocardiograph to help identify structural injuries.7

**TREATMENT**

- Verapamil 40 mg three times a day, increased to 60 mg three times a day.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

On hospital day 1, CXR and TTE were performed. The echocardiogram results showed no acute pathology. After being evaluated by paediatric cardiology she was admitted to the PICU. On hospital day 2, cardiac MRI was obtained that revealed right ventricular contusion (correlating with origin of ventricular ectopy) and oedema with regional wall motion abnormalities involving the right ventricular outflow tract and free wall. The MRI also showed a subacute precordial haematoma extending from the level of the great vessels across the left and anterior border of the heart, anterior to the pulmonary artery and right ventricular outflow tract and a small pericardial effusion with possible pericardial haematoma. She was started on verapamil 40 mg every 8 hours on arrival; on hospital day 3 her dose was increased to 60 mg every 8 hours due to sustained frequent ectopic beats. On day 4, she continued to have premature ventricular contractions (PVCs) but with a decrease in frequency. The paediatric cardiology electrophysiologist evaluated the patient at bedside and cleared her for discharge. She was instructed to continue verapamil at home, to follow-up with paediatric cardiology in 2 weeks and a Holter monitor was ordered. Additionally, she was advised to avoid vigorous physical activity for 4 weeks. One month after discharge, the patient presented to the ED with non-specific leg weakness, spams, fatigue and complaints of ‘feeling hot all over’. The emergency providers attributed her symptoms to her verapamil therapy but wanted to rule out electrolyte/metabolic derangements. She was placed on cardiac monitoring which revealed her continuing PVCs. Patient remained haemodynamically stable. Basic metabolic panel, complete blood count, magnesium, phosphorus, venous blood gas and chest radiography were unremarkable. She was discharged home with recommended outpatient cardiology follow-up. One month later, she was seen by her outpatient cardiologist. In the interim from her original hospital stay aside from the ED visit, she experienced no shortness of breath chest pain, diaphoresis, palpitations, dizziness or syncope. She was restricted from physical education class for approximately 1 month, following the accident. She was otherwise able to keep up with her peers without difficulty. After her month of restricted activity, she was allowed to return to full physical activity. Her mother was reassured that she should be treated as a normal healthy child without any restrictions and that no pre-procedural bacterial endocarditis prophylaxis would be necessary. She has continued to be followed by paediatric cardiology every 6 months with ECG and 24 hours Holter monitoring prior to each appointment. Her last two Holter recordings demonstrated 26%–27% PVCs. Her ECGs have continued to demonstrate sinus rhythm with monomorphic PVCs and occasional couplets. Her QTcs on her last four ECGs were 406, 401, 423 and 441.

**Box 1 Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma guidelines for evaluation and treatment of myocardial contusion**

**Level I**

Admission ECG should be obtained in all patients where there is suspected Blunt Cardiac Injury.

**Level II**

1. If admission ECG is abnormal, the patient should be admitted for continuous ECG monitoring for 24–48 hours. If admission ECG is normal, further pursuit of diagnosis should be abandoned.

2. If the patient is haemodynamically unstable, an imaging study such as transthoracic echocardiogram or transesophageal echocardiogram should be obtained.

3. Nuclear medicine scans add little compared with echocardiography and are not useful if echocardiography has been performed.

**Level III**

1. Elderly patients with known cardiac disease, unstable patients and those with abnormal admission ECGs can be safely operated on provided that they are closely monitored.

2. The presence of a sternal fracture does not predict the presence of BCI and does not necessarily indicate that monitoring should be performed.

3. Neither creatine phosphokinase analysis nor measurement of circulating cardiac troponin T are useful in predicting which patients have or will have complication related to BCI.
myocardial contusion to catastrophic myocardial rupture. Myocardial contusion is the most common and most easily overlooked injury. This is in part due to the lack of consistent correlation with cardiac biomarkers, ECG, echocardiograms and other diagnostic imaging modalities. The most common causes of blunt myocardial injury are: motor vehicle accidents, pedestrian struck, falls from a height of greater than 20 feet, crush injuries and abuse. This case demonstrates several important learning points including the mechanism of injury, clinical presentation and diagnostic methods for blunt cardiac injury.

Cardiac contusion is often overlooked because it is difficult to detect with standard diagnostic techniques and because it is overwhelmingly benign and often goes unnoticed by the patient. It is likely that cardiac contusion is a far more common injury than data suggest simply due to the fact that if the injury is not sustained in the setting of a high-impact multisystem trauma, the patient may never present for workup at all. Given the anatomic location of the heart, it is generally well protected behind the sternum and rib cage. Therefore, the more common scenario of symptomatic blunt cardiac injury is one of significant forces delivered to the thorax resulting in immediate myocardial rupture with instant death or immediately apparent pathology. Data on the epidemiology of paediatric blunt cardiac injury are limited. However in one large epidemiological study of paediatric cardiac trauma reported on 184 cases of blunt cardiac injury in children at 16 participating centres. In this study, the median age was 7.4 years and 73% were male. Myocardial contusions accounted for 95% of the diagnoses with the leading mechanism of injury being motor vehicle collision versus pedestrian (39.7%) or passenger (31.0%). The significant majority (95%) had suffered multisystem trauma (60% with evidence of external trauma) with pulmonary contusions (50.5%) and rib fractures (23.0%) being the most common associated internal injuries. Our case is extremely rare because the mechanism of injury was low impact and unassociated with external evidence of thoracic trauma or trauma to other systems, and the patient presented a day after the injury but with very serious cardiac pathology.

In addition to the atypical mechanism and delayed presentation, it is interesting that the current recommended diagnostic modalities for detecting blunt cardiac injury did not reveal pathology in this case. Specifically, our patient had an abnormal admission ECG but unremarkable TTE and cardiac enzymes. The Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma (EAST) has
generated guidelines for the evaluation and treatment of myocardial contusion (box 1). These guidelines as well as several other techniques commonly used to screen for blunt cardiac injury will now be discussed.

Chest radiography, although not included in the EAST guidelines, is an inexpensive and rapid diagnostic modality that is obtained in most trauma situations. However, cardiac injury can only be inferred using this modality (unless obvious foreign bodies are seen) from evidence of significant intrathoracic trauma by the presence of haemothorax, rib fractures, intrathoracic free air or enlarged cardiac silhouette (although non-specific). Our patient’s portable frontal upright chest radiograph (figure 1) revealed no acute cardiopulmonary disease.

ECG on arrival to the ED is now considered to be the most important screening test according to EAST. The ECG correlates with the development of cardiac complications with a sensitivity and specificity of 96% and 47%, respectively. If the patient is haemodynamically stable and the ECG is normal, the risk of having blunt cardiac injury requiring treatment is extremely unlikely, and therefore further workup or admission is not recommended. However, if the patient has an abnormal ECG, that patient should be admitted for monitoring. In our patient’s case, her ECG revealed monomorphic accelerated ventricular rhythm of LBBB morphology (figure 2A,B) and she was therefore correctly admitted for monitoring.

Cardiac enzymes are sensitive markers for cardiac injury; however, as seen in our patient’s case they may not always be reliable and are certainly not sufficient to rule in or out cardiac injury. It is possible that due to our patient’s delayed presentation that her cardiac enzymes had already begun to fall. Radionuclide imaging is believed by EAST to add little given the availability of echocardiography. According to the EAST guidelines, echocardiography is only appropriate in the face of haemodynamic instability. The Dowd and Krug study found that in paediatric blunt trauma patients, there was only minimal correlation between echocardiography and abnormal ECG findings. In our case, however, it is interesting that such a sensitive test as echocardiography revealed no abnormalities, including no wall motion abnormalities when cardiac MRI did demonstrate this.

According to these guidelines based on our patient’s abnormal admission ECG, it was appropriate to admit her for continuous cardiac monitoring for 24–48 hours. In addition, since she was briefly considered haemodynamically unstable due to her presyncopal episodes, it was also appropriate to obtain a TTE. Where our case begins to deviate from the current guidelines is that even though the TTE was unremarkable, in the setting of the patient’s serious ventricular rhythm abnormality, the decision was made to proceed with cardiac MRI. Cardiac MRI (figure 3A–C) revealed pathology including: precordial haematoma, myocardial contusion involving the right ventricular free wall with focal areas of delayed enhancement, significant regional wall motion abnormalities involving the right ventricular outflow tract and right ventricular free wall, with a small pericardial effusion. It is very rare for a trauma patient with suspected blunt cardiac injury to need an extensive investigation, including cardiac MRI, to make a diagnosis. One might think that since cardiac MRI was necessary to diagnose cardiac contusion in this case that the myocardial injury was minor, however, the MRI revealed gross and serious pathology. If the EAST guidelines had been strictly followed in our patient, it is possible that even a TTE would not have been considered and the workup certainly would not have proceeded to MRI. This may demonstrate the need to consider the adequacy of the current screening guidelines. Furthermore, although TTE has the advantage of being point of care and not

Figure 3  Cardiac MRI. Evidence of myocardial contusion involving the right ventricular free wall with focal areas of delayed enhancement, evidence of oedema (figure 3C), significant regional wall motion abnormalities involving the right ventricular outflow tract and right ventricular free wall (figure 3B). Normal left ventricular size and function, LVEF of 69%; small pericardial effusion with possible pericardial stranding/haematoma (figure 3A); normal right ventricular size with mildly depressed global function and regional wall motion abnormalities as described above. RVEF is 41%. LVEF, Left ventricular ejection fraction; RVEF, right ventricular ejection fraction. Figure 3A, 3B and 3C follow in order.
Learning points

- Without a standard algorithm for diagnosis and treatment, complications of blunt myocardial injury can be overlooked and become fatal.
- Further diagnostic testing might be necessary in a paediatric case of seemingly insignificant myocardial blunt trauma. Negative laboratory results should not rule out potential injury. Every patient with blunt chest injury should be given strict return precautions regarding warning signs and symptoms. Additionally, a thorough follow-up plan should be established.
- The presentation of serious rhythm abnormalities can be delayed.
- Further research may be warranted in diagnosis of blunt myocardial injury.
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