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\begin{abstract}
Coronaviruses have emerged as alarming pathogens owing to their inherent ability of genetic variation and cross-species transmission. Coronavirus infection burdens the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), causes reactive oxygen species production and induces host stress responses, including unfolded protein response (UPR) and antioxidant system. In this study, we have employed a neurotropic murine \( \beta \)-coronavirus (M-CoV) infection in the Central Nervous System (CNS) of experimental mice model to study the role of host stress responses mediated by interplay of DJ-1 and XBP1. DJ-1 is an antioxidant molecule with established functions in neurodegeneration. However, its regulation in virus-induced cellular stress response is less explored. Our study showed that M-CoV infection activated the glial cells and induced antioxidant and UPR genes during the acute stage when the viral titer peaks. As the virus particles decreased and acute neuroinflammation diminished at day ten p.i., a significant up-regulation in UPR responsive XBP1, antioxidant DJ-1, and downstream signaling molecules, including Nrf2, was recorded in the brain tissues. Additionally, preliminary in silico analysis of the binding between the DJ-1 promoter and a positively charged groove of XBP1 is also investigated, thus hinting at a mechanism behind the upregulation of DJ-1 during MHV-infection. The current study thus attempts to elucidate a novel interplay between the antioxidant system and UPR in the outcome of coronavirus infection.
\end{abstract}

\section{Introduction}
Successful virus infection and completion of the viral life cycle, including replicating genome and assembly of functional virus particles, are satisfied at the expense of host cell metabolism [1]. A number of viruses have evolved the ability to alter host cellular environments by inducing cellular stress responses like endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and oxidative stress responses, and unfolded protein response (UPR) [2]. Coronaviruses (CoVs) are a family of enveloped, positive-strand RNA viruses that have been associated with several animal and human diseases [3,4]. The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID19), originated in Wuhan, China, is an ongoing pandemic that has aggressively spread worldwide [5,6]. Interestingly, this is the third example of zoonotic transmission and the coronavirus outbreak after SARS-CoV in 2003 and MERS-CoV in 2012 [7]. Thus, the highly contagious nature of CoVs and their ability to spill over different hosts makes them an important subject of investigation even in terms of host stress responses [8]. Coronavirus infection is known to up-regulate the expression of E.R. stress markers like GRP78, GRP94 in the host cells [9–11]. It is hypothesized that CoVs might cause E.R. stress in several ways [12–16] and to reinstate E.R. homeostasis, the host cells have evolved UPR.

Another host stress response is the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS are produced at all times, even during homeostatic conditions, as oxygen serves as the final electron acceptor in the mitochondrial energy metabolism. In fact, a substantial amount of ROS is generated as a by-product of protein synthesis and folding in the E.R., which can have detrimental effects on the host cell [17]. Therefore, the body has developed several defense mechanisms to keep the ROS in check. However, increased viral load and cellular stress can shift the redox balance between the pro-oxidants and antioxidants towards oxidative stress. On the one hand, this oxidative stress induces UPR to promote cell survival and preserve host cell functions [18]. On the other hand, an imbalance in the redox potential can directly or indirectly
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infection of M-CoV causes a biphasic disease in C57Bl/6 mice, an acute
activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) and decreasing the expression of
in the outcome of E.R. and oxidative stress responses. Neurotropic M-
sensing molecule, upon M-CoV (murine-CoV) infection of the glial cells
nucleocapsid gene, activation of astrocytes and microglia during acute
and biogenesis [37].

Our study is focused on identifying the putative role of DJ-1, a ROS
sensing molecule, upon M-CoV (murine-CoV) infection of the glial cells
in the outcome of E.R. and oxidative stress responses. Neurotropic M-
CoV MHV-infection in mice is widely used as an experimental animal
model to study viral-induced direct neurogliopathy, innate neuro-
inflammation and chronic progressive CNS myelin pathology and
axonal loss. DJ-1 is a 189 amino acid long dimeric protein ubiquitously
expressed in all cells [24]. It is encoded by the Park7 gene and is
involved in a variety of signaling cascades [25]. DJ-1 has been found to
play essential roles in neuro-protection under several disease conditions
like Parkinson’s disease, Alzheimer’s disease, and Multiple Sclerosis
[26–28]. Upon activation, DJ-1 stimulates Nrf2 [29], which regulates
the expression of several antioxidant genes like Heme oxygense 1
(HMOX-1), Catalase, Thioredoxin reductase 1 (txmrd1), NAD(P)H de-
hydrogenase (quione) 1 (Nqo1) [30], as well as heat shock response
related factor for several heat shock proteins. DJ-1 activation is also
known to play essential roles in E.R. stress and UPR by modulating
activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) and decreasing the expression of
its downstream signaling molecules CHOP and Bip [32]. While both
DJ-1 and Nrf2 are relatively unexplored in CoV infections, X-box protein
1 (XBP1), a UPR transcription factor, has profound and established
functions in modulating high E.R. stress upon CoV infection both in vitro
and in vivo [16]. XBP1 works in the IRE1 branch of the UPR, the most
conserved UPR signaling pathway that is also known to regulate
oxidative stress [33]. Upon activation, XBP1 translocate to the nucleus,
where it enhances the expression of various UPR genes, including
molecular chaperones like HSF1 and Hsp70, that help to restore E.R. stress
[33].

In the current study, we have investigated the Nrf2-DJ-1-XBP1 axis
during Mouse Hepatitis Virus (MHV- M-CoV) infection. RSA59, an
isogenic spike gene recombinant strain of MHV-A59 is a well-
established prototypic group 2- murine β Coronavirus (M-CoV). It in-
fected the liver and CNS and is a CoV model used to investigate the
mechanisms of neuroinflammation, viral pathogenesis, host stress re-
ponses, as well as anti-viral immune responses [34,35]. Intracranial
infection of M-CoV causes a biphasic disease in C57Bl/6 mice, an acute
stage characterized by meningencephalomyelitis (days 5/6 post-infection)
and chronic stage demyelination and axonal loss [34]. Our previous studies have also shown that M-CoV infection induces
optic neuritis by promoting infiltration of peripheral inflammatory cells
of mixed populations during the acute stage [36]. Optic nerves from
M-CoV infected mice develop demyelination and axonal loss during the
chronic stage, accompanied by a significant loss of retinal ganglionic
cells in the optic nerve [37]. Further, M-CoV leads to mitochondrial ROS
accumulation in the infected optic nerves during peak inflammation and
30 days post-infection. ROS accumulation in infected mice correlates
with decreased levels of proteins associated with mitochondrial function
and biogenesis [37].

The results show that M-CoV infection in the mouse brain results in
profound viral replication and increased viral transcript denoted by
nucleocapsid gene, activation of astrocytes and microglia during acute
infection, up-regulation of inflammatory cytokines, and chemokines, and
the up-regulation of antioxidant and UPR genes. As the virus titer
starts to decline and inflammation is resolved at day 10 p.i., a significant
progressive increase was observed in the ROS sensing molecule DJ-1
along with the up-regulation of its downstream effectors, selected heat
shock responsive genes, and UPR marker XBP1. We have also attempted
an in silico approach to show the interaction of DJ-1 promoter with
XBP1. The result of such analysis will throw some light on the mecha-
nism of DJ-1 up-regulation and the dynamic communication between
ROS, cellular stress, and UPR during M-CoV infection.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Viruses

RSA59 viruses were used in the study. MHV-A59 is a dual tropic
murine β Coronavirus that infects the liver and the CNS. Intracranial
inoculation of MHV-A59 in C57Bl/6 mice is used as an experimental
animal model to understand the mechanisms of neuro degeneration and
demyelination as observed in the human neurological disorder Multiple
Sclerosis (MS). RSA59 is an isogenic recombinant, demyelinating strain
of MHV-A59 from our previous studies where the spike gene is intro-
duced by targeted RNA recombination. Spike gene encodes an envelope
glycoprotein that mediates virus-host attachment and various biological
properties of MHV, including virus-cell and cell-cell fusion, viral spread,
anti-viral host responses, and pathogenicity. Additionally, in RSA59,
gene 4 is replaced by enhanced green fluorescence protein (EGFP)
described elsewhere [38–40]. Throughout the paper, RSA59 is referred as
M-CoV.

2.2. Inoculation of mice

The animals and all experimental procedures were reviewed and
approved by the Indian Institute of Science Education and Research-
Kolkata (IISERK). Animal protocols were followed according to the
Committee’s guidelines for the purpose of control and supervision of
experiments on animals (CPCSEA), India.

Four-week-old, M-CoV-seronegative C57Bl/6 male mice were intra-
cranially inoculated with 50% of the LD50 dose of M-CoV (20000 PFU)
[38,39]. Mice were monitored daily for clinical disease symptoms.
Parallel controls were inoculated with PBS-BSA (Mock).

2.3. Tissue harvesting

Mice were sacrificed on day 5 post-infection (peak of inflammation)
for histo pathological analyses. For RNA and protein studies, mice were
sacrificed on days 3, 5 10 post-infection. Mice were transcardially
perfused with DEPC (diethyl polycarbonate) treated PBS, and liver,
brain, and spinal cord tissues were harvested for experimentation. For
immunofluorescence analysis, tissues were post fixed in 4% PFA for
48-72 hours, processed, and embedded in paraffin [38,39].

2.4. Immunofluorescence on paraffin sections

5 μm thick serial sections from mock and RSA59 infected brains were
subjected to immunofluorescence analysis. Briefly, tissue sections were
deparaffinized and dehydrated and subjected to antigen unmasking
(Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, California, USA). After blocking in
blocking solution (1XPBS + 2.5% Goat Serum + 0.5% TritonX-100) for 1
h at 37°C, the sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary
antibody. The primary antibody was washed in 1X PBS, and subse-
quently, the sections were labeled with a secondary antibody for 90 min
at 37°C. All incubations were carried out in a humidified chamber.
After PBS washing, the sections were mounted with mounting medium con-
taining 4’6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Vectashield, Vector Lab-
atories, Burlingame, California, USA) and visualized under Nikon
Eclipse TS2 Epifluorescence microscope and analyzed in Nikon NIS-
Elements software (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

The dilutions of primary antibodies used were: 1:500 dilution of anti-
Iba1 (FUJIFILM WAKO Chemicals, Richmond, Virginia, USA), 1:500 dilution of anti-GFAP (Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, Missouri, USA), and 1:40 dilution of a monoclonal antibody directed against the nucleocapsid protein (N) of MHV-JHM (monoclonal antibody clone 1-16-1 provided by Julian Leibowitz, Texas A&M University). GFAP is astrocyte specific marker and Iba1 is microglia specific marker. The dilutions of secondary antibodies (fluorescent) used are 1:250 dilution of Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) (for detecting Viral N protein) and 1:250 dilution of Alexa Fluor 568 conjugated donkey anti-rabbit antibody (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) (for detecting GFAP/ Iba1 protein).

2.5. RNA extraction, cDNA preparation, and qRT-PCR analysis

RNA isolation was performed from mock and M-CoV infected brain tissues using standard TRIzol protocol (Ambion ™, Austin, Texas, USA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration of RNA was measured using Nanodrop-2000 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). 1 µg of RNA was used for cDNA preparation using the High-Capacity Reverse Transcription Kit protocol (Applied Bio systems, Inc. Foster City, California, USA). qRT-PCR was performed using DyNaMo ColourFlash Probe PCR Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA) in Applied Biosystem 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Bio system, Foster City, USA) under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 7 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 30 s, melting curve analysis at 60°C for 30 s. Reactions were performed in quadruplets. The sequence of primers used is given in Table 1. Del Ct values were used to analyze changes in the expression pattern of respective genes.

2.6. 3D Model Generation, Docking and Molecular Dynamic Simulation

To look into the role of XBP1 in the regulation of DJ-1 expression, in silico analysis of interaction between XBP1 protein and the DJ-1 promoter is attempted (supplementary section). XBP1 protein structure was generated by homology modeling using I-TASSER software [41]. XBP1 sequence with sequence accession number 035426 (protein database from NCBI website (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/035426.2)) was used for the modeling with no additional restraints or assigned templates. Along with that, no particular template was excluded from the I-TASSER template library, and no secondary structure was assigned for specific residues. Following this, molecular dynamics simulation was performed using GROMACS 5.1 software [42]. In summary, the protein model was solvated in the SPC water model inside a cubic with a 1 nm distance from the edges. Charge neutralization was performed using eight Chloride ions and energy minimization was performed using the Steepest Descent Method. The obtained structure was subjected to 2 ns of NVT (number, volume, temperature) equilibration using leapfrog integrator and modified Berendsen thermostat, followed by 2 ns of NPT (number, pressure, temperature) equilibration with the addition of Parrinello-Rahman barostat for pressure coupling. After this, Molecular dynamics simulation was performed using the OPLS-AA force field under periodic boundary conditions for 50 ns extracting frames every 2.5 ns, and the surface charge distribution of XBP1 protein was calculated using APBS plugin for PyMOL [43].

The DJ-1 promoter region was chosen from -456 to -427 bp (DJ-1 promoter sequence was taken from gene id: 57320 - nucleotide database from NCBI website (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/?term=57320)), and the B-DNA structure was generated by using 3D DART software [44], with no specific nucleic acid modeling parameters. Initial docking of the two obtained structures was done using NP Dock Server according to previously published methodology [45], and using default values (Number of decoys generated with GRAMM= 20000; Number residues of interfaces in contact= 1; Number of the best-scored model used for clustering= 100; RMSD cut-off for clustering= 5 Å). The docked structures were subjected to refinement with Monte Carlo by considering the default parameters (Number of steps of simulation= 1000; Temperature of the first step of simulation= 15000 K; Temperature of the last step of simulation= 295 K). Every accepted step was saved. The best-refined structure was selected, and the interacting structures were analyzed, and images were obtained using PyMOL (http://www.pymol.org).

2.7. Statistical Analysis

A scattered plot was chosen for graphical representation of mean ± S. D. (Standard Deviation). One-way ANOVA and uncorrected Fisher’s LSD Multiple Comparison Test was performed on the normalized value to evaluate the data’s statistical significance containing three or more variables. A student’s unpaired t-test was performed to analyze the significance of the differences observed in the comparisons between the two groups. Outliers were identified and excluded by the ROUT test. Fold changes were calculated for all M-CoV infected set with respect to the mock infected set. All statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 6 (La Jolla, CA) for all performed experiments; the statistical significance parameter was p-value < 0.05.

3. Declarations

3.1. Ethics Statement

All experimental procedures and animal care and use were strictly...
regulated and reviewed following good animal ethics approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Indian Institute of Science Education, and Research Kolkata (AUP No. IISERK/IAEC/AP/2017/15) Experiments were performed following the guidelines of the CPCSEA, India.

4. Results

Upon M-CoV infection, 4-week-old C57BL/6 mice developed acute stage hepatitis illustrated by necrotic and non-necrotic lesions, inflammation in the meninges (meningitis) and encephalitis characterized by perivascular cuffing and microglial nodule as described previously [38, 39]. Neuroinflammation induced by M-CoV, RSA59, provides a stage for studying the nexus between oxidative stress and the UPR pathway at different days post-infection.

4.1. M-CoV infection activates microglia and astrocytes at day 5 post-infection

On day 5 p.i., M-CoV infected brain tissue sections were subjected to immuno labeling by using anti-viral nucleocapsid, anti-Iba1, and anti-GFAP antibodies for examining in situ presence of viral particles, microglia and astrocyte activation, respectively. Astrocyte activation (marked by GFAP staining) was observed upon M-CoV infection (marked by Viral N staining) in the basal forebrain (Supplementary figure 1B) and hypothalamus (Supplementary figure 1F) regions of the brain. The mock infected counterparts did not show any astrocyte activation in basal forebrain (Supplementary figure 1A) and

Fig. 1. M-CoV infection resulted in the differential regulation of host stress response genes in vivo. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of the brain tissues of M-CoV infected mice at day 3, 5, and 10 p.i. was used to examine the mRNA expression level viral N-gene (A), Nrf2 (C), HMOX-1 (D), Nqo1 (E), txnrd1 (F), Catalase(G), HSF1 (H), Hsp70 (I) compared to mock-infected. Viral titre estimation was performed on brain tissues of days 3, 5, 7, and 10 post infected mice (B). The fold change of all the genes expression at different day points p.i. with respect to the mock-infected set were tabulated in J. All the experiments were done with N = 4. Solid lines represented the differences in mean values. Asterix (*) indicated values that were statistically significant by One-Way ANOVA analysis and uncorrected Fisher’s LSD Multiple Comparison Test (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001).
hypothalamus (Supplementary figure 1E). Microglial activation (marked by Iba1 staining) was observed upon M-CoV infection (marked by Viral N staining) in the basal forebrain (Supplementary figure 1D) and hypothalamus (Supplementary figure 1H) regions of the brain. The mock infected counterparts did not show any astrocyte activation in basal forebrain (Supplementary figure 1C) and hypothalamus (Supplementary figure 1G). Similar glial cell activation was also observed upon M-CoV infection in cortex, caudate putamen, and midbrain region of the brain (data not shown).

This observation suggests that the viral spread across the brain parenchyma leads to the activation of microglia and astrocytes. RT-qPCR examination revealed the up-regulation of inflammatory cytokines IL6, IL1β, IFNα, IFNβ, and CSF2 (Supplementary figure 1I) as well as chemokines CCL2, CCL5, CXCL9, and CXCL10 (Supplementary figure 1J) upon M-CoV infection. Viral infection and CNS resident glial cell activation are the two critical checkpoints for neuro-inflammation. These glial cells upon inflammation produce ROS and have a vast repertoire of innate immune markers, including pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which can signal via UPR (e.g., IRE1α and XBP1) [46].

Given the activation of glial cells, we sought to investigate the role of glial cell activation in XBP1 mediated cellular stress responses.

4.2. M-CoV infection induces cellular stress responses in vivo

Viral N gene expression is observed on days 3, 5, and 10 p.i. (Fig. 1A). Viral titer, as assessed by routine plaque assay, increased at the onset of infection (day 3 p.i.) and reached its peak at day 5 p.i. The virus titre starts to decline by day 7 p.i. as reported earlier (Fig. 1B) [38,47]. During the acute phase, i.e., days 3, 5, and 10 p.i. until the viral titer drops down below detection limit, RT-qPCR analysis was performed for Nrf2, HMOX-1, Catalase, Nqo1, txnrd1, HSF1, Hsp70. Genes showed differential regulation at different times post-infection. Results revealed that at early time points during the peak of infection (days 3 and 5 p.i.), antioxidant genes Nrf2, HMOX-1, Nqo1, and catalase showed most significant up-regulation when infectious viral particles were below the detection limit and acute neuro-inflammation is resolved (Fig. 1C, D, E, G). However, antioxidant gene txnrd1 did not show any change upon M-CoV infection (Fig. 1F). The heat shock responsive genes (Hsf1 and Hsp70) showed significant upregulation, (Fig. 1H, I) [1]. Thus, the most striking increase in the cellular stress pathway genes is detected when infection and acute inflammation are resolved. This also marks the bridging between innate and adaptive immunity. The fold change in mRNA expressions is shown in Fig. 1J.

4.3. M-CoV infection induces cellular stress responses in primary microglia and astrocytes

To understand the glial cell-specific cellular response in a reductionist approach, we investigated antioxidant response genes’ expression in mixed glial cultures enriched in microglia or astrocytes. Both primary microglia and astrocytes were characterized by the expression of CD11b or GFAP respectively (Fig. 2A, E) and infected with M-CoV at 2 MOI (Fig. 2B, F) as discussed in the materials and methods section. At 24 h p.i., RT-qPCR analysis was performed for antioxidant response pathway markers Nrf2 and HMOX-1, which showed significant up-regulation in infected mouse brain. Results revealed a significant up-regulation of Nrf2 in both primary microglia and astrocytes (Fig. 2C, G). However, HMOX-1 was up-regulated in primary astrocytes but down-regulated in microglia (Fig. 2D, H). The fold change in mRNA expression is shown in Fig. 2L.

4.4. M-CoV infection alters the expression of DJ-1 and XBP1 in vivo and in primary cells

The regulatory role of ROS sensing molecule DJ-1 and UPR pathway marker, XBP1 was observed both in the brain and individually in microglia and astrocytes by examining the mRNA expression. Such roles will be important in understanding the possible crosstalk between the oxidative stress and UPR pathways in the process of microglial activation. Results showed significant up-regulation of DJ-1 and XBP1 mRNA with days of post infection until day 10 as observed in mice brains (Fig. 3A, B) and fold change in mRNA expression is shown in Fig. 3C. Likewise, both primary microglia (Fig. 3D, E) and primary astrocytes (Fig. 3G, H) displayed a significant induction of DJ-1 and XBP1 mRNA upon M-CoV infection at 24 h post-infection. The fold change in expressions of mRNA is shown in Fig. 3F, I.

Our study from the virus-host-response implies that DJ-1 and XBP1 are significantly up-regulated both in vivo in brain tissues and in vitro in mixed glial cultures enriched in either microglia or astrocytes. Such changes can indicate a possible crosstalk between the UPR and oxidative stress pathways taking place during M-CoV infection in CNS.

4.5. Marine coronavirus infection-induced DJ-1- XBP1 interactome complex as a regulator of oxidative stress

Our results have shown that M-CoV infection causes an up-regulation of the UPR pathway associated XBP1 and antioxidant pathway DJ-1. A previous report [48] showed that XBP1 activation was involved in the up-regulation of DJ-1 mRNA by direct interaction with the DJ-1 promoter to prevent cell death. Therefore, we used the in silico approach to identify DJ-1 and XBP1 as interaction partners in modulating host stress response to M-CoV.

For in silico analysis, we performed molecular dynamics simulation on the structure of XBP1 protein generated by iterative threading-based modeling using I-TASSER software. Molecular dynamics simulation was performed using GROMACS 5.1 for 50 ns, and frames were extracted every 2.5 ns. The protein structure was stable, with an average backbone root-mean-square-deviation (RMSD) value of 0.4097 ± 0.02982 Å, and showed a highly positively charged groove (denoted by blue region). Encouraged by the highly positive charged site in the XBP1 protein capable of DNA binding, we generated a B-DNA model of the DJ-1 promoter region. Following this, docking was performed using NP Dock Server (http://genesilico.pl/NPDock). Since NP Dock performs rigid docking, we needed to consider the DNA binding groove’s intrinsic flexibility. This was achieved by using XBP1 protein structures extracted every 2.5 ns during the 50 ns M.D. simulation. Docking using the NP Dock server gave several docked models. Still, the best-refined structure, as provided by the software, showed stable binding between the DJ-1 promoter and the positively charged groove of XBP1 protein at the same site. The fit of the structure of the DJ-1 promoter in the XBP1 protein groove was visually analyzed using PyMOL (Supplementary Figure 2).

Our recent findings on the up-regulation of DJ-1 and XBP1 both in vivo and in vitro in combination with in silico study predicts that XBP1 may promote DJ-1 expression and provide cyto-protective function.

5. Discussion

M-CoV induced glial cell activation heightens the secretion of a complex array of chemokines, cytokines, and production of oxygen radicals directing the host anti-viral response [49-53]. In turn, activated glial cells fine-tune E.R. stress and oxidative stress responses to preserve the host tissues’ integrity [18,54,55]. While activated glial cells work towards promoting virus clearance, prolonged neuronal damage by the increased virus assembly can result in the loss of redox balance, which further induces a shift towards glial cells mediated pro-inflammatory host responses via NF-kB signaling [56,57]. While there is ample evidence indicating the involvement of microglia and astrocytes in the induction of host immune responses, oxidative stress, E.R. stress stimulation and UPR upon M-CoV infection, and their interdependence is underexplored.

This study summarizes the nexus between oxidative stress, E.R.
Fig. 2. M-CoV infection resulted in differential regulation of oxidative stress-related genes in both primary astrocytes and microglia culture. Primary astrocytes and primary microglia were characterized by CD11b (for primary microglia) (A) and GFAP (for primary astrocytes) (E) from neonatal mice brain. M-CoV infection was confirmed in primary microglia (B) and primary astrocytes (F) by EGFP expression. Differential expression was plotted for Nrf2 (C), HMOX-1 (D) by Quantitative real-time PCR analysis upon M-CoV infection in primary microglia. Differential expression was plotted for Nrf2 (G), HMOX-1 (H) by Quantitative real-time PCR analysis upon M-CoV infection in primary astrocytes. The fold change in expression of both the genes with respect to the mock-infected set was tabulated in I. All the experiments were conducted with \( N = 4-5 \). Solid lines represented the means of mRNA expression. Asterix (*) indicated values that were statistically significant by student’s unpaired T-test analysis for all experiments. (* \( p < 0.05 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \), *** \( p < 0.001 \), **** \( p < 0.0001 \)).

| Gene Name     | Nrf2       | HMOX-1     |
|---------------|------------|------------|
| Primary Microglia | 1.33 ± 0.19 | 0.34 ± 0.06 |
| Primary Astrocytes | 2.68 ± 0.43 | 1.37 ± 0.13 |
stress, and the UPR pathway mediated by DJ-1 and XBP1 upon M-CoV-induced activation of CNS resident glial cells. We observed: i) M-CoV infection activates astrocytes and microglia during the acute stage of infection and significantly increases the level of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines; ii) antioxidant genes Nrf2, HMOX-1, and Nqo1 show a significant increase in the brains of M-CoV infected mice; iii) M-CoV infection-induced up-regulation of DJ-1 possibly under its transcriptional regulator XBP1 along with a marked increase in heat shock response genes HSF1 and Hsp70.

Our observation demonstrating the alteration of DJ-1, an oxidant sensing molecule, is the first instance in M-CoV pathogenesis. This finding is corroborated by a considerable amount of research conducted in familial Parkinson’s [27,29,58], where DJ-1 is shown to play a prominent protective role by balancing the cellular redox potential [59]. Likewise, as expected, DJ-1 up-regulation is associated with the increase in its downstream signaling molecule Nrf2, a master regulator of oxidative stress and detoxification processes [29]. Moreover, our findings reveal the increased expression of cyto-protective and detoxifying genes, including Nqo1, Catalase, and HMOX-1, upon M-CoV infection, which is induced by Nrf2 and is in line with previous findings [30].

Many studies in M-CoV infection have acknowledged the functional importance of XBP1, which is a transcription regulator of UPR [60] known to be induced in response to the accumulation of unfolded proteins and other stress factors by IRE1 mediated splicing [33]. IRE1-XBP1 is the most conserved UPR signaling pathway known to regulate oxidative stress [33]. In our studies, we observed an up-regulation of XBP1 along with DJ-1 on day 10 p.i. However, the demonstration of possible crosstalk between the oxidative stress response and UPR in M-CoV infection in mounting host protection is the highlight of our study. Previous research had identified consensus sites on XBP1 for DJ-1 binding in humans and mice [48]. However, we have taken an in silico approach to gain insight into the charge distribution of the interaction site of the XBP1 protein with the DJ-1 promoter (Supplementary Figure. 2). Correlation between UPR and oxidative stress pathways in the context of M-CoV MHV infection is an important field of research to understand the changes taking place in neuroglial pathology from the virus-host interaction standpoint. Previously, we have shown that MHV-A59 induced oxidative stress in the optic nerve of infected mice [37]. Treatment of mice with SRTAW04, a compound that activates SIRT1, an NAD-dependent deacetylase that senses cellular stress, significantly reduced neuronal loss during optic nerve inflammation by attenuating accumulation of mitochondrial ROS while promoting the expression of mitochondrial enzymes associated with ROS sensing. SIRT1 activating compound significantly up-regulated the levels of oxygen sensing enzymes such as succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) and superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2) in MHV-A59 infected mice. The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) co-activator 1-α (PGC1-α), a regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis, gets decreased during MHV-A59 infection, and treatment with SRTAW04 significantly increased the protein levels. Results suggest that one of the mechanisms of viral-induced neuronal loss involves the generation of oxidative stress, which can be attenuated by promoting mitochondrial function. Figure 6 illustrates the putative interaction between E.R. stress and UPR and host stress responses where DJ-1, Nrf2, and XBP-1 axis can be
instrumental in understanding the communication between the various stress responses and serve as a platform for further investigation.

A line of studies in CoV infections has demonstrated the detrimental effect of redox imbalance on membrane-bound organelles such as mitochondria and E.R. through lipid peroxidation [61]. Thus, it is apparent that both E.R. and oxidative stress pathways collaborate towards promoting protective host responses. The current study enlightens that the DJ-1-XBP1 axis is crucial in governing M-CoV induced cellular stress responses both in vivo in brain and in vitro in primary astrocytes and microglia cultures. DJ-1 via Nrf2, the master transcription regulator of antioxidant genes, can maintain the redox balance.

CoVs are a group of medically and economically important viruses that have raised considerable interest from the entire scientific community. M-CoV is the best prototypic viral model employed vastly for studying the deeper aspects of dys-regulated host stress responses in CoV infections. It is of utmost importance that we take insights from the
current findings to validate and further investigate the antioxidant system’s scope, especially DJ-1, as a potential target in CoV infections.

6. Conclusion
In our work, we have hypothesized that M-CoV infection in the CNS of mice results in glial cell activation in different brain regions and cellular stress response factors are regulated in the process. We also hypothesized that the stress response factors are interlinked in response to viral induced cellular stress. We have observed that M-CoV infection results in activation of microglia and astrocytes. Glial cell activation is linked with oxidative stress response, and we have observed an up-regulation of cellular antioxidant DJ-1-Nrf2 pathway in astrocytes and microglia, which in turn regulates the heat shock response pathway. This up-regulation of DJ-1 upon M-CoV infection is predicted to be under the effect of its transcriptional regulator XBP1, which is found to be activated upon viral infection. Therefore, the outcome of our study can be explored to identify new interplays between different stress response pathways underlying the mechanism of glial cell activation upon mouse coronavirus infection.
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