Diversity and biochemical features of culturable fungi from the coastal waters of Southern China
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Abstract

Fungi play a major role in various biogeochemical cycles of terrestrial and marine ecosystems. However, fungi in marine environments remain to be one of the most under-studied microbial groups. This study investigates the diversity of planktonic fungi from the coastal habitat off Pearl River Delta (China) using culture-dependent approach. A total of 22 fungi and 9 yeast isolates were recovered from 30 seawater and 2 sediment samples. Microscopic and ITS rRNA gene sequence analyses revealed that most of the fungi belonged to the phylum Ascomycota and Basidiomycota with a very small percentage (3%) of the subphylum Mucoromycotina of the Phylum Zygomycota. Most of these fungal isolates exhibited considerable production of extracellular enzymes, cellulase, lipase and laccase. Fungal isolates of two genera Mucor and Aspergillus sp. demonstrated pelletization capability over a wide range of pH, suggesting them as potential agents towards algae harvesting and wastewater treatment.
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Introduction

Coastal marine habitats have been characterized as the most variable, highly diverse and rich in primary production (Jickells 1998; Danovaro and Pusceddu 2007). The primary production in coastal habitats sometimes reaches very high levels resulting into availability of a great fraction of organic matter for consumers as detritus even after consumption of herbivores (Newell 1982). Different types of microbes in coastal waters degrade a large proportion of this detritus actively (Manini et al. 2003; Pusceddu et al. 2003). Among these microbes, heterotrophic bacteria and archaea have been described for their degradation abilities towards such detritus to a greater extent (Moran and Miller 2007; Mou et al. 2008). In spite of being a significant component of coastal waters, the diversity and ecology of heterotrophic eukaryotes however has not been received much attention (Giovannoni and Stingl 2005; Hallam et al. 2006; Fenchel 2008; Strom 2008).

Among eukaryotes, fungi have been reported to exhibit as individual filaments or aggregates in coastal waters (Gutiérrez et al. 2010). However, in comparison with terrestrial environments, fungi in the world’s oceans remain largely unknown (Gao et al. 2010). Despite of a few reports on diversity of fungi from the oceans, the diversity and ecology of their planktonic forms (mycoplankton) have barely been explored (Richards et al. 2012). Mycoplankton include free-living filamentous fungi, yeasts, fungal-like protists, and those associated with planktonic particles or phytoplankton (Wang and Johnson 2009; Gao et al. 2010).

Fungi are a key component of the biosphere, fulfilling a wide range of biogeochemical and ecological functions in natural environments (Christensen 1989; Pang and Mitchell 2005). They are best known as decomposers of organic matter and play major role in nutrient regeneration in the detrital ecosystems. The filamentous mycelia may greatly enhance the efficient mineralization of particulate organic matter (Tisdall and Oades 1982; Damare and Raghukumar 2008) and thus benefit the growth of planktonic microbial communities (Kierboe and Jackson 2001; Gutiérrez et al. 2010). The biomass of planktonic fungi has been reported to be comparable with prokaryotes including both Bacteria and Archaea (Gutiérrez et al. 2011).

Fungi occupy distinct ecological niches from that of bacterioplankton in detritus ecosystems with the ability to utilize large lignocellulose-predominated substrates
with high C: N ratio (Newell 1994; Raghukumar 2004). They possess the ability to penetrate relatively persistent particulate detritus much more efficiently than bacterio-plankton (Raghukumar 2004). The endophytic fungi have been demonstrated to reside within marine plants intra or intercellularly, and produce a variety of bioactive and chemically active metabolites (Kaul et al. 2013). The bioactive metabolites produced by endophytic fungi originate from different biosynthetic pathways and belong to different groups of terpenoids, steroids, quinones, phenols and coumarins (Kaul et al. 2013). Therefore, the endophytes represent a potential chemical reservoir for anticancer, antioxidant, antiviral and insecticidal compounds for pharmaceutical and agrochemical industries. Two new benzopyranones, diaportheone A and B, were obtained via bioassay-guided isolation of the secondary metabolites from the endophytic fungus Diaporthe sp. P133 isolated from Pandanus amaryllifolius leaves (Bungihan et al. 2011). These benzopyranones have been successfully used as antimicrobial compounds towards several microorganisms. One of the fungal isolate belonging to Aureobasidium pullulans has been exhibited as a reservoir of biotechnologically active products in previous reports (Chi et al. 2009).

Considering the crucial role of planktonic fungi in versatile oceanic biogeochemical cycles, their diversity needs to be addressed from different ecosystems. The coastal habitats of Pearl River Delta, China have been highly productive ecosystems of China, being the major source for fishing industries. However, recently there has been an increased pollution level detected in these ecosystems which may further enhance detritus levels available for degradation. Therefore, the mycoplankton diversity studies from these still unexplored habitats may provide greater insight on potential fungal isolates playing significant role in ecological cycles of Pearl River Delta. This study is the first report on diversity of planktonic fungi based on culture-dependent approach from the coastal habitats of Pearl River Delta. Potential fungal isolates were further investigated for the production of different extracellular enzymes such as laccase, lipase and cellulase in order to understand their active role in ecological cycles of coastal ecosystems.

Materials and methods

Sample collection and isolation of fungi

Seawater and sediment samples were collected from coastal marine habitats of Pearl River Delta region of China during March, 2012 (Table 1). These samples were carried in sterile, screw capped plastic bottles and bags immediately back to the laboratory for isolation. The isolation of fungi from the seawater samples was done within one hour of collection using the membrane filtration technique. Briefly, 15 ml triplicate water samples were filtered through sterile 0.45 μm cellulose ester membranes (Millipore, USA). These membranes were then placed on solid media plates, Malt Extract Agar (MEA), Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA), Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA), Czapek Dox Agar (CDA) and Corn Meal Agar (CMA), supplemented with antibiotics (0.075% streptomycin and 0.05% ampicillin) to suppress bacterial growth. Sediment samples (0.1 g) were suspended in 10 ml sterile seawater and 100 μl of the resulting suspension was plated directly on the above media plates containing antibiotics. The plates were incubated at room temperature (28°C) and examined daily for the growth of fungi. Fungal colonies that developed were subcultured onto fresh MEA plate for pure, single colony isolation and identification. The identification of filamentous fungi was done by macroscopic and microscopic morphology (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Three promising strains (Rhodosporidiwm sp. PKU Y5, Rhodotorula sp. PKU Y7 and Cladosporium sp. PKU F16) have been deposited in China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (CGMCC No. 2.5198, CGMCC No. 2.5199 and CGMCC No.3.17121).

Isolation and sequencing of ITS rRNA gene from the fungal isolates

All the isolated fungi were grown in MEB for 4–5 days for DNA isolation. Yeasts were grown in YPD (yeast extract peptone and dextrose) medium and shaken at 170 rpm for 3–4 days. Mycelia and cells were harvested, lyophilized and crushed in a mortar and pestle to fine powder. Isolation of DNA was carried out using the Ezup Soil DNA extraction kit (Sangon Biotech, China), following the manufacturer’s guideline. The small subunit ITS rRNA gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in the DNA T100™ Thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, USA) using the ITS rRNA gene specific primers ITS1 (5'-TCCTAGGTAACCTGCGG-3') and ITS4 (5'-TCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') (White et al. 1990). One microliter of DNA (~25 ng) was added to 50 μl reaction volume containing 25 μl of Taq PCR mix (Generay, China) , 23 μl dd water and 5 pmoles of each primer. The PCR program was run for initial denaturation step at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 0.5 min at 50°C and 1 min at 72°C, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were purified using Gel DNA extraction kit (NewTopBio, China). Amplified products were transformed into Escherichia coli DH5α cells (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Transformants were grown overnight at 37°C in Luria-Bertani broth containing 100 mg of ampicillin. The presence of insert was confirmed by PCR with M13 forward and reverse primers. One ml of the broth containing the clone was added to 25 ml of PCR reaction mixture. PCR protocol included an initial hot start incubation (5 min at 94°C) followed by 34 cycles of
denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 1 min followed by a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Clones containing positive insert were further processed for plasmid isolation and purification using Millipore plasmid preparation kit (Millipore, USA). Clones containing positive insert were sent to BGI (Shenzhen, China) for sequencing analysis using M13 primers.

| Sampling date | Location      | Lat(°N)            | Long (°E)           | Habitat  | Depth (m) | Temperature (°C) | Salinity (ppt) | Media      | No. of colonies | CFU/L |
|---------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|------------|----------------|-------|
| 2012-03-05    | Shenzhen Bay  | 22°31'19.776"     | 113°57'4.284"      | Seawater | 0         | 16.25           | 31.02          | MEA        | 3              | 120   |
|               |               | 22°31'19.776"     | 113°57'4.284"      | Seawater | 0         | 16.25           | 31.02          | SDA        | 2              | 80    |
|               |               | 22°31'19.776"     | 113°57'4.284"      | Sediment | 0         | 16.25           | 31.02          | MEA        | 12             | 480   |
| Daya Bay      | 22°35'34.224" | 114°30'28.800"    | 114°30'28.800"     | Seawater | 0         | 16.25           | 31.02          | MEA        | 4              | 160   |
|               |               | 114°30'28.800"    | 114°30'28.800"     | Sediment | 0         | 16.25           | 31.02          | SDA        | 5              | 200   |
|               | 22°35'34.224" | 114°30'28.800"    | 114°30'28.800"     | Sediment | 0         | 16.25           | 31.02          | MEA        | 6              | 240   |
|               | 22°35'34.224" | 114°30'28.800"    | 114°30'28.800"     | Sediment | 0         | 16.25           | 31.02          | SDA        | 8              | 320   |
| 2012-03-21    | Mirs Bay      | 22°29'44.02"      | 114°27'34.38"      | Seawater | 0         | 17.37           | 31.37          | MEA        | 1              | 40    |
|               |               | 22°29'44.02"      | 114°27'34.38"      | Seawater | 0         | 17.37           | 31.37          | SDA        | 3              | 120   |
|               |               | 22°29'44.02"      | 114°27'34.38"      | Sediment | 0         | 17.37           | 31.37          | MEA        | 2              | 80    |
|               |               | 22°29'44.02"      | 114°27'34.38"      | Sediment | 0         | 17.37           | 31.37          | SDA        | 4              | 160   |
|               |               | 22°29'44.02"      | 114°27'34.38"      | Sediment | 0         | 17.37           | 31.37          | SDA        | 3              | 120   |
| Mirs Bay      | 22°31'33.14"  | 114°27'34.38"     | 114°27'34.38"      | Seawater | 0         | 17.37           | 31.37          | MEA        | 3              | 120   |
|               |               | 22°31'33.14"      | 114°27'34.38"      | Seawater | 0         | 17.37           | 31.37          | SDA        | 2              | 80    |
|               |               | 22°31'33.14"      | 114°27'34.38"      | Sediment | 5         | 17.37           | 31.37          | MEA        | 4              | 160   |
|               |               | 22°31'33.14"      | 114°27'34.38"      | Sediment | 5         | 17.37           | 31.37          | SDA        | 13             | 520   |
|               |               | 22°31'33.14"      | 114°27'34.38"      | Sediment | 10        | 17.37          | 31.37          | MEA        | 3              | 120   |
|               |               | 22°31'33.14"      | 114°27'34.38"      | Sediment | 10        | 17.37          | 31.37          | SDA        | 10             | 400   |
| Mirs Bay      | 22°31'32.02"  | 114°28'26"        | 114°28'26"         | Seawater | 0         | 17.69           | 31.38          | MEA        | 2              | 80    |
|               |               | 22°31'32.02"      | 114°28'26"         | Seawater | 0         | 17.69           | 31.38          | SDA        | 8              | 320   |
|               |               | 22°31'32.02"      | 114°28'26"         | Seawater | 5         | 17.69           | 31.38          | MEA        | 5              | 200   |
|               |               | 22°31'32.02"      | 114°28'26"         | Seawater | 5         | 17.69           | 31.38          | SDA        | 7              | 280   |
|               |               | 22°31'32.02"      | 114°28'26"         | Seawater | 10        | 17.69           | 31.38          | MEA        | 5              | 200   |
|               |               | 22°31'32.02"      | 114°28'26"         | Seawater | 10        | 17.69           | 31.38          | SDA        | 17             | 680   |
| Daya Bay      | 22°34'19.99"  | 114°31'30"        | 114°31'30"         | Seawater | 0         | 19.24           | 31.00          | MEA        | 2              | 80    |
|               |               | 22°34'19.99"      | 114°31'30"         | Seawater | 0         | 19.24           | 31.00          | SDA        | 3              | 120   |
|               |               | 22°34'19.99"      | 114°31'30"         | Seawater | 5         | 19.24           | 31.00          | MEA        | 2              | 80    |
|               |               | 22°34'19.99"      | 114°31'30"         | Seawater | 5         | 19.24           | 31.00          | SDA        | 7              | 280   |
|               |               | 22°34'19.99"      | 114°31'30"         | Seawater | 10        | 19.24           | 31.00          | MEA        | 3              | 120   |
|               |               | 22°34'19.99"      | 114°31'30"         | Seawater | 10        | 19.24           | 31.00          | SDA        | 12             | 480   |
| Daya Bay      | 22°34'34.37"  | 114°30'30.46"     | 114°30'30.46"      | Seawater | 0         | 19.69           | 30.86          | MEA        | 3              | 120   |
|               |               | 22°34'34.37"      | 114°30'30.46"      | Seawater | 0         | 19.69           | 30.86          | SDA        | 9              | 360   |
|               |               | 22°34'34.37"      | 114°30'30.46"      | Seawater | 10        | 19.69           | 30.86          | MEA        | 10             | 400   |
|               |               | 22°34'34.37"      | 114°30'30.46"      | Seawater | 10        | 19.69           | 30.86          | SDA        | 8              | 320   |

Phylogenetic analyses
Forward and reverse sequences were edited and assembled using Chromas Pro version 1.34 (Technelysium Pty Ltd, Tewantia, Queensland, Australia). The final sequences were compared to the nucleotide sequences of reference organisms available in the GenBank database using Blastn (Altschul et al. 1990). The ITS1-5.8S-ITS4 gene sequences obtained for the organisms were aligned with their closest
match using the program, ClustalW (Thompson et al.
1994). Gaps and ambiguously aligned sequences were
removed manually from further analyses. Phylogenetic ana-
lyses were carried out using distance setting (Maximum
parsimony) in MEGA 4 software (Tamura et al. 2007) with
1,000 bootstrap replicates. The resulting ITS1-5.8S-ITS4
gene sequences were submitted to GenBank under the ac-
cession number of KC113282-KC113312.

Qualitative assay for extracellular enzymes
The enzymatic activity of all the fungal isolates was ana-
lyzed in this study. The strains were screened initially
using qualitative plate assay for three different enzymes
(laccase, cellulase and lipase) by streaking them on the
media plates, supplemented with specific substrates. Lac-
case activity was detected using MEA plates amended
with ABTS (2',2'-azino-bis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sul-
fonic acid) (Srinivasan et al. 1995). The fungal isolates
were grown on these media plates at room temperature
for 4 days. Green color produced around the fungal col-
onies on media plates indicated laccase activity.

Cellulase (CMCase) activity was detected using
carboxymethylcellulose (CMC)-MEA plates (Carder
et al. 1986). The CMC-MEA plates comprised 0.5%

Table 2 Phylogenetic affiliations of culturable fungi based on ITS rDNA gene sequences

| Isolate ID | GenBank accession No. | Closest identified relative | Phylum of closest relative | Source of isolation of the closest relative | % Identity |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------|
| PKU F1     | KC113282              | Mucor sp. FJ09             | Zygomyceta                 | Water hyacinth leaf                        | 99        |
| PKU F2     | KC113283              | Hypocrea kaningii strain IJ-Z25 | Ascomycota               | Soil from Sichuan                         | 99        |
| PKU F3     | KC113284              | Arthrinium phaeospermum isolate T57 | Ascomycota               | Not known                                  | 99        |
| PKU F4     | KC113285              | Diapathorpe sp. H4236      | Ascomycota                 | Mangrove in China                         | 98        |
| PKU F5     | KC113286              | Phoma sp. ZH2.1            | Ascomycota                 | Azgosporum argentatus                      | 99        |
| PKU F6     | KC113287              | Trichoderma piliferum strain wxm37 | Ascomycota               | River water                                | 99        |
| PKU F7     | KC113288              | Trichoderma asperellum isolate T29 | Ascomycota               | Rhizosporic soil                          | 99        |
| PKU F8     | KC113289              | Aspergillus roridus strain NRRL 26885 | Ascomycota               | Philanthus triangulum                      | 99        |
| PKU F9     | KC113290              | Aspergillus flavipes isolate BCT-2-3 | Ascomycota               | Shark gills                                | 99        |
| PKU F10    | KC113291              | Dothideomycetes sp. OF1907 | Ascomycota                 | Not known                                  | 99        |
| PKU F11    | KC113292              | Arthrinium phaeospermum isolate DFFSCS004 | Ascomycota               | Deep-sea sediments                         | 99        |
| PKU F12    | KC113293              | Hypocrea lixi isolate SZMC 20858 | Ascomycota               | Hungarian vegetables                       | 100       |
| PKU F13    | KC113294              | Trichoderma hamatum strain LXM1 | Ascomycota               | Saline-alkali soil                         | 100       |
| PKU F14    | KC113295              | Cladosporium sp. JS1043    | Ascomycota                 | Deep sea                                   | 100       |
| PKU F15    | KC113296              | Curvulana sp. B34           | Ascomycota                 | Moso Bamboo Seeds                         | 99        |
| PKU F16    | KC113297              | Cladosporum sphaerocephrum isolate UL07 | Ascomycota               | Soybean                                    | 99        |
| PKU F17    | KC113298              | Arthrinium sp. LH11         | Ascomycota                 | Tea plants                                 | 99        |
| PKU F18    | KC113299              | Ascomycota sp. AR-2010 isolate TR063 | Ascomycota               | Soil                                       | 92        |
| PKU F19    | KC113300              | Pleosporales sp. LH241      | Ascomycota                 | Tea plants                                 | 100       |
| PKU F20    | KC113301              | Cladosporum cladosporioides isolate 2728 | Ascomycota               | Plant                                      | 99        |
| PKU F21    | KC113302              | Pyrenochoaeta sp. CF-2008   | Ascomycota                 | Corneal ulcer                              | 99        |
| PKU F22    | KC113303              | Aspergillus terreus strain 1B61 | Ascomycota               | Geothermal soil                            | 99        |
| PKU Y1     | KC113304              | Rhodotorula mucilaginosa strain KDLYC24-1 | Basidiomycota             | Not known                                  | 99        |
| PKU Y2     | KC113305              | Rhodotorula glutinis var. salinaria strain ZH7-(7) | Basidiomycota             | Mangrove in China                         | 99        |
| PKU Y3     | KC113306              | Aureobasidium pullulans strain KDLYC4-9 | Basidiomycota             | Not known                                  | 99        |
| PKU Y4     | KC113307              | Rhodotorula sphaerocepum isolate 2223 | Basidiomycota             | Shrimp Culture                             | 99        |
| PKU Y5     | KC113308              | Rhodotorula diobovatum strain NWBT-Y840 | Basidiomycota             | grapevines                                 | 99        |
| PKU Y6     | KC113309              | Trichosporon brassicae      | Basidiomycota              | Not known                                  | 99        |
| PKU Y7     | KC113310              | Rhodotorula mucilaginosa strain WC53-2 | Basidiomycota             | Not known                                  | 99        |
| PKU Y8     | KC113311              | Candida parapsilosis IFM52618 | Ascomycota                 | Brazil and Japan                          | 99        |
| PKU Y9     | KC113312              | Rhodotorula mucilaginosa strain R-4685 | Ascomycota               | Aortic valve                               | 100       |
carboxymethylcellulose-sodium salt (CMC-Na), 1.0% glucose, 0.15% peptone, 0.01% yeast extract, 100% seawater, and 2.0% agar. After growing the fungal isolates for 3 days at room temperature, these plates were stained with 0.1% Congo red solution for 20 min at room temperature. The resulting plates were washed twice with 1.0 M NaCl, and were kept overnight at 4°C. Clear zones around the colonies indicated the CMCase activities (Nagano and Fraser 2011; Carder et al. 1986). Lipase activity was detected using MEA plates supplemented with 0.01% phenol red, 1% olive oil and 10 mM CaCl₂. The pH was adjusted to 7.3-7.4 with 1.0 M NaOH (Singh et al. 2006). After incubation for 3 days, a change in color from pink to yellow indicated the lipase activity.

Quantitative analysis of enzymatic activities

On the basis of qualitative screening, four fungal strains (PKU F16, PKU F18, PKU Y5 and PKU Y8) were selected for quantitative studies. Fungal inoculums were prepared by growing isolates in MEB medium and yeast in YPD medium, respectively, for four days at 28°C. These inoculums were further subcultured to fresh MEB medium containing individual enzyme specific substrates and incubated on shaker at 30°C, 150 rpm for 4 days. All these experiments were performed in triplicates. Individual enzymes were quantified in the supernatants of the isolates.

The laccase activity was assayed using glycine-HCl (pH3.0) buffer and ABTS as substrate (Niku-Paavola et al. 1988). Five hundred μl of crude culture filtrate was incubated with equal volumes of buffer containing ABTS and laccase activity was measured at 405 nm. The enzyme units were expressed as μM of substrate transformed per minute per liter of culture filtrate i.e. as enzyme units per liter of culture filtrate (UL⁻¹). In the absence of the enzyme activity, no increase in the rate of absorbance was observed.

Cellulase activity was assayed following the method described by Raghukumar et al. (1994). A reaction mixture containing 200 μl culture filtrate and 200 μl of 0.5% CMC in 0.05 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7 was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. To terminate the reaction, 1 ml of DNS (dinitrosalicylic acid) reagent was added to above reaction mixture and then was boiled for 5 min. CMCase activity was measured at 575 nm. One unit of CMCase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme liberating 1 μM of reducing sugar per minute under the above assay conditions.

For lipase assay, 6 mg para-nitrophenyl palmitate (pNPP), dissolved in 2 ml isopropanol and 18 ml 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 8) was used as the substrate. One ml each of culture filtrate and sodium phosphate buffer was added to 1 ml of the pNPP substrate solution. After incubating at 37°C for 30 min, the released product, pNP (para-nitrophenol) was measured at 410 nm. One unit of lipase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme liberating 1 μM of pNP per minute under these assay conditions.

Fungal pelletization experiment

Three of the fungal isolates (Mucor sp., PKU F1), (Aspergillus sp., PKU F8) and (Cladosporium sp., PKU F14) were investigated for pellet formation in this study. The spore suspension from these species was obtained by rinsing the mycelia on media plate with distilled water containing 10% Tween 20. The number of spores in the suspension was counted using an optical microscope (Olympus BX53 Manual fluorescence microscope). The spore suspensions were added to the 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 ml of nutrient media (Malt Extract Broth). The pellet formation experiments were performed by placing these Erlenmeyer flasks on a horizontal shaker (100 rpm) at room temperature for 4 days. The pellet formation was analyzed at different spore concentrations and pH ranges (2–8).

Results

Culturable diversity

Fungi were isolated from all the seawater depths in the present study (Table 1). However, maximum numbers of fungal colonies were recovered from surface sediments (0 m depth) and seawater samples at 10 m depth. Fungi were also recovered from sediment samples using particle plating technique (Table 1). SDA was found to be better media than MEA for the isolation of fungi (Table 1). However, there was no statistical significance observed between different depths, media and the number of fungal colonies. A total of 22 fungi and 9 yeasts were isolated in this study. The fungal isolates mostly belonged to Ascomycota, Basidiomycota and Zygomycota based on ITS rRNA gene analysis (Table 2, Figure 1). Fungal isolates showing similarity with the phylum

![Figure 1 Phylum affiliation of culturable fungi with the existing sequences of NCBI database.](image-url)
Figure 2 NJ phylogenetic tree based on ITS rRNA genes from 22 fungi and 9 yeast derived from coastal ecosystems of Pearl River Delta. Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap values of neighbor-joining analysis for 1,000 replicates (values below 50% not shown).
Ascomycota were dominating among above three, accounting for 74%. Members of Basidiomycota and Zygomycota made up for 23% and 3%, respectively (Figure 1). Of the Ascomycetes, isolates belonged to 13 genera i.e. Aspergillus, Hypocrea, Arthrinium, Diatopore, Phoma, Trichoderma, Dothideomycetes, Cladosporium, Curvularia, Pleosporales, Pyrenochaeta, Aureobasidium and Candida. Isolates of Basidiomycota were affiliated with Rhodotula, Rhodosporidium and Trichosporon sp. Only Mucor sp. of Zygomycota was identified in this study (Table 2, Figure 2). All the fungal ITS rRNA gene sequences showed 100 or 99% identity with the existing sequences of NCBI database except PKU F18, showing 92% identity with Ascomycota sp. AR-2010 (Table 2).

**Enzymatic activities**
Qualitative analyses of different enzymes demonstrated positive result for most of the fungal isolates (Table 3, Figure 3). The majority of fungal isolates (~84%) exhibited cellulase and lipase activities. In comparison, only a few of the isolates (~38%) showed positive laccase activity (Table 3, Figure 3). The quantitative analyses for production of these three enzymes were done for four of these fungal isolates (Table 4). Cladosporium sp. (PKU F16), Rhodosporidium sp. (PKU Y5) and Candida sp. (PKU Y8) showed considerable production of lipase enzyme, reaching up to 21.94 U ml\(^{-1}\) for Candida sp. In contrast, cellulase production was not very high for any of these isolates, being maximum of 1.3 U ml\(^{-1}\)

| Isolate ID | Laccase (4th day) | Lipase (3rd day) | Cellulase (3rd day) | Source of isolation | Depth (m) |
|------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| PKU F1     | -                 | +               | +                 | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU F2     | +                 | -               | +                 | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU F3     | +                 | ++              | ++                | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU F4     | ++                | +               | +                 | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU F5     | -                 | ++              | -                 | Sediment           | 0         |
| PKU F6     | -                 | -               | +                 | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU F7     | ++                | +               | ++                | Sediment           | 0         |
| PKU F8     | -                 | +               | ++                | Sediment           | 0         |
| PKU F9     | -                 | ++              | ++                | Sediment           | 0         |
| PKU F10    | +                 | -               | -                 | Sediment           | 0         |
| PKU F11    | +                 | ++              | +++               | Seawater           | 10        |
| PKU F12    | -                 | ++              | ++                | Seawater           | 10        |
| PKU F13    | -                 | ++              | +++               | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU F14    | ++                | +++             | ++                | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU F15    | +                 | -               | -                 | Seawater           | 10        |
| PKU F16    | +++               | +++             | ++                | Seawater           | 5         |
| PKU F17    | -                 | ++              | +                 | Seawater           | 10        |
| PKU F18    | +++               | +               | +++               | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU F19    | -                 | +               | -                 | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU F20    | ++                | ++              | ++                | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU F21    | +                 | ++              | -                 | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU F22    | -                 | +++             | ++                | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU Y1     | -                 | ++              | +                 | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU Y2     | -                 | +               | ++                | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU Y3     | -                 | ++              | +                 | Seawater           | 10        |
| PKU Y4     | -                 | +               | ++                | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU Y5     | -                 | ++              | ++                | Seawater           | 10        |
| PKU Y6     | -                 | ++              | ++                | Seawater           | 5         |
| PKU Y7     | -                 | -               | ++                | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU Y8     | -                 | +++             | +++               | Seawater           | 0         |
| PKU Y9     | -                 | ++              | ++                | Seawater           | 10        |
demonstrated by Candida sp. PKU F16 (Cladosporium sp.) and PKU F18 (Ascomycota sp.) were the best producers of laccase enzyme showing activities of 14.6 U ml$^{-1}$ and 10.5 U ml$^{-1}$ respectively (Table 4). All the isolates showed maximum production of these extracellular enzymes on 6$^{th}$ day of their growth (Table 4).

Fungal pellet formation
Among three fungal isolates i.e. Aspergillus sp. (PKU F8), Mucor (PKU F1) and Cladosporium sp. (PKU F14), PKU F1 was found to be the best agent for pellet formation (Table 5, Additional file 1: Figure S2). The pH range of 6 and 8 were most suitable for all of these four isolates for pellet formation. Pellet sizes were comparative bigger with higher concentration of spore inoculums for Mucor sp. (Table 5). However, Aspergillus sp. did not show much variation with inoculum spore concentrations (Table 5). Maximum size of pellet of 7 mm was demonstrated by Aspergillus sp. (PKU F8) at pH 8.

Discussion
Diversity of fungi has been reported from various environments such as freshwater (Gulis et al. 2006), marine environments such as coastal waters (Gao et al. 2010), deep-sea sediments (Damare et al. 2006; Singh et al. 2010), hypersaline waters (Buchalo et al. 2000), methane hydrates (Lai et al. 2007), oxygen deficient ecosystems (Cathrine and Raghukumar 2009), mangroves and salt marshes (Hyde et al. 1998; Raghukumar 2004), and hydrothermal vents (Le Calvez et al. 2009). This study is the first report on culturable diversity of fungi from coastal ecosystems of Pearl River Delta, China.

Despite of being isolated from coastal environment, the diversity of fungi was observed to be comparatively less, resulting into a total of 22 filamentous fungi and 9 yeast isolates. The isolated fungi belonged mostly to Ascomycota, Basidiomycota and at a very less percentage of Zygomycota (Table 2). Previous studies have also reported abundance of above fungal phylotypes in coastal
seawater ecosystems (Gao et al. 2010). The results obtained in the present study are also in concordance with earlier reports where surface water of coastal ecosystems has been reported to contain higher mycoplankton diversity compared with open-ocean ecosystems (Gao et al. 2010). However, use of only culture-dependent approach in this study for estimation of diversity may have limited the isolation of other genera of fungi which are present as uncultivable forms in the oceanic habitats. Previous studies have reported a greater percentage of fungal diversity when assayed using combined approach of culture-dependent and culture-independent methods (Singh et al. 2012). Therefore, a detailed study on fungal diversity from Pearl River Delta using both the approaches may provide a greater insight on hidden, still unexplored mycoplankton communities in future. The diversity of fungi has also been reported to vary with the nutrient contents of any particular habitat, which can be available as detritus for the fungal population (Newell 1982).

Isolation of fungi was attempted using five different media (MEA, SDA, CMA, PDA and CMA). However, fungal isolates were recovered only on two media i.e., MEA and SDA (Table 3). In contrast, the fungal isolates were obtained with all the above five media during isolation from deep-sea sediments by Singh et al. (2012). Most of the isolates affiliated with the existing sequences of NCBI data base at the percentage identity of 100 or 99. However, the 92% identity of the fungal sequence PKU F18 with *Ascomycota* sp., suggests its probability of being novel. On the contrary, the insufficient database for ITS sequences may also be one of the reasons for such low similarity values (Zachow et al. 2009; Anderson et al. 2003).

The production of extracellular enzymes i.e., laccase, cellulase and lipase was demonstrated by most of the fungal isolates in the present study, suggesting their active role in various ecological cycles of coastal ecosystems off China. Laccase in one of the important lignin degrading enzymes, demonstrated to decolorize a range of dyes and toxic industrial effluent in earlier reports (Nyanhongo et al. 2002). The fungal isolates PKU F16 and PKU F18, showing considerable quantitative production of laccase in the present study render them possible candidates for industrial application (Table 4). Cellulose is the most common substrate present in the seawater column in the form of plant biomass. It is found in nature exclusively in plant cell walls, although it is produced by some animals also e.g., tunicates and few bacteria (Lynd et al. 2002). Fungi are well known agents of decomposition of organic matter composed of cellulosic substrate in particular (Lynd et al. 2002). Therefore, cellulase production by the fungal isolates in the present study suggest their active involvement in the mineralization and leaf litter degradation in the coastal sea water habitats. Lipases are ubiquitous enzymes of considerable

| Isolate ID | Fungal genera | Growthdate | Lipase (U min⁻¹ ml⁻¹) | Cellulase (U min⁻¹ ml⁻¹) | Laccase (U min⁻¹ ml⁻¹) |
|------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| PKU F16    | *Cladosporium* sp. | 6th day    | 14.384 ± 0.994         | 0.843 ± 0.084             | 10.495 ± 1.300        |
|            |               | 9th day    | 12.285 ± 0.772         | 0.443 ± 0.032             | 5.105 ± 0.943         |
| PKU F18    | *Ascomycota* sp. | 6th day    | 6.605 ± 0.959          | 1.133 ± 0.096             | 14.609 ± 1.039        |
|            |               | 9th day    | 4.630 ± 0.812          | 1.001 ± 0.049             | 7.581 ± 1.642         |
| PKU Y5     | *Rhodosporidium* sp. | 6th day    | 17.174 ± 0.742         | 0.333 ± 0.040             | -                     |
|            |               | 9th day    | 12.186 ± 1.705         | 0.371 ± 0.028             | -                     |
| PKU Y8     | *Candida* sp.  | 6th day    | 21.940 ± 1.582         | 1.311 ± 0.013             | -                     |
|            |               | 9th day    | 18.767 ± 1.554         | 1.132 ± 0.029             | -                     |

Isolation of fungi was attempted using five different media (MEA, SDA, CMA, PDA and CMA). However, fungal isolates were recovered only on two media i.e., MEA and SDA (Table 3). In contrast, the fungal isolates were obtained with all the above five media during isolation from deep-sea sediments by Singh et al. (2012). Most of the isolates affiliated with the existing sequences of NCBI data base at the percentage identity of 100 or 99. However, the 92% identity of the fungal sequence PKU F18 with *Ascomycota* sp., suggests its probability of being novel. On the contrary, the insufficient database for ITS sequences may also be one of the reasons for such low similarity values (Zachow et al. 2009; Anderson et al. 2003).

The production of extracellular enzymes i.e., laccase, cellulase and lipase was demonstrated by most of the fungal isolates in the present study, suggesting their active role in various ecological cycles of coastal ecosystems off China. Laccase in one of the important lignin degrading enzymes, demonstrated to decolorize a range of dyes and toxic industrial effluent in earlier reports (Nyanhongo et al. 2002). The fungal isolates PKU F16 and PKU F18, showing considerable quantitative production of laccase in the present study render them possible candidates for industrial application (Table 4). Cellulose is the most common substrate present in the seawater column in the form of plant biomass. It is found in nature exclusively in plant cell walls, although it is produced by some animals also e.g., tunicates and few bacteria (Lynd et al. 2002). Fungi are well known agents of decomposition of organic matter composed of cellulosic substrate in particular (Lynd et al. 2002). Therefore, cellulase production by the fungal isolates in the present study suggest their active involvement in the mineralization and leaf litter degradation in the coastal sea water habitats. Lipases are ubiquitous enzymes of considerable

| Isolate ID | Species                | No. of spores (per L) | Pellet color | Different pH | PH = 2 Pellet size (mm) | PH = 4 Pellet size (mm) | PH = 6 Pellet size (mm) | PH = 8 Pellet size (mm) |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| PKU F1     | *Mucor* sp. FJ09       | 4.00*10⁶              | yellow       | PH = 2       | 5                       | 4                       |                          |                          |
|            |                        | 1.60*10⁷              | yellow       | PH = 4       | 2 pellets (20*10)/(5*10)| 1 pellet (20*10)        |                          |                          |
| PKU F8     | *Aspergillus norius* strain | 1.59*10⁷            | white        | PH = 6       | 3                       | 3                       | 3                       | 4                       |
|            |                        | 6.34*10⁷              | white        | PH = 8       | 1-2                     | 3                       | 4                       |                          |
| PKU F14    | *Cladosporium* sp.     | 3.85*10⁸              | black        |              | 0.5                     | 0.5-1                   | 1-2                     |                          |
|            |                        | 1.54*10⁹              | black        |              | -                       | -                       | -                       | 1                       |
industrial and physiological significance. They catalyze the hydrolysis of triacylglycerols to glycerol and free fatty acids. Lipases are widely used in the processing of fats and oils, detergents and degreasing formulations, food processing, the synthesis of fine chemicals and pharmaceuticals, paper manufacture, and production of cosmetics, and pharmaceuticals (Rubin and Dennis 1997a, b; Kazlauskas and Bornscheuer 1998). Lipase production up to 21.9 U ml\(^{-1}\) by *Candida* sp. in the present study reveals the potential of this fungus towards lipolytic degradation abilities. Additionally, the optimization of media and nutrient condition for enhanced lipase production from above isolate may be applied in future to maximize their industrial application and commercialization.

Fungi have been characterized widely for their efficient role in pellet formation towards harvesting of algae and wastewater treatment (Zhou et al. 2012). The microalgae cells can be processed into a broad spectrum of biofuels by the transesterification process. These biofuels include biodiesel, green diesel and gasoline, being produced by transformation of algal biomass using various technologies (Chisti 2007). However, many challenges have restricted the development of algal biofuel technology to commercial practicality that could allow for sustainable production and utilization (Brennan and Owende 2010). Among these, one is the harvesting process of algae, which can be improved by application of agents, causing aggregation of algal cells. The pellet formation capabilities exhibited by three of the fungal isolates in the present study (Table 5, Additional file 1: Figure S2) opens new avenues for their efficient utilization towards algae harvesting and wastewater treatment. In addition, the pellet formation capabilities of fungi have been shown to be affected widely by various factors such as pH, inoculum concentration and trace metals (Zhou et al. 2000). A co-cultivation method using fungi of the present study with algal strains under different pH, trace metals and inoculum concentration for efficient optimization of the harvesting process is suggested for future studies.

In conclusion, the fungal diversity obtained from this study was low. The fungal isolates belonged to three major phyla i.e., *Ascomycota, Basidiomycota* and *Zygomycota*, with *Ascomycota* being the dominant forms. The different qualitative as well as quantitative levels of extracellular enzymes produced by these isolates suggests them as significant component of the ecological cycles of coastal ecosystems off Pearl River Delta. Finally, the production of enzymes and pellet formation abilities of a few fungal isolates indicate their possible utilization in biotechnological industries.

Additional file

**Additional file 1: Figure S1.** Microscopic photograph of fungal isolates

**Figure S2.** Pellet formation by different fungal isolates using spores as inoculums at pH 6.
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