Signature of Supersonic Turbulence in Galaxy Clusters Revealed by AGN-driven Hα Filaments
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Abstract

The hot intracluster medium (ICM) is thought to be quiescent with low observed velocity dispersions. Surface brightness fluctuations of the ICM also suggest that its turbulence is subsonic with a Kolmogorov scaling relation, indicating that the viscosity is suppressed and the kinetic energy cascades to small scales unscathed. However, recent observations of the cold gas filaments in galaxy clusters find that the scaling relations are steeper than that of the hot plasma, signaling kinetic energy losses and the presence of supersonic flows. In this work we use high-resolution simulations to explore the turbulent velocity structure of the cold filaments at the cores of galaxy clusters. Our results indicate that supersonic turbulent structures can be “frozen” in the cold gas that cools and fragments out of a fast, $\sim 10^7$ K outflow driven by the central active galactic nucleus (AGN), when the radiative cooling time is shorter than the dynamical sound-crossing time. After the cold gas formation, however, the slope of the velocity structure function (VSF) flattens significantly over short, $\sim 10$ Myr timescales. The lack of flattened VSF in observations of Hα filaments indicates that the Hα-emitting phase is short-lived for the cold gas in galaxy clusters. On the other hand, the ubiquity of supersonic turbulence revealed by observed filaments strongly suggests that supersonic outflows are an integral part of AGN–ICM interaction, and that AGN activity plays a crucial role at driving turbulence in galaxy clusters.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Galaxy winds (626); Filamentary nebulae (535); Galaxy clusters (584); Intracluster medium (858)

1. Introduction

In a turbulent fluid, kinetic energy ($\epsilon_{kin}$) is expected to cascade from the driving scale to the viscous dissipation scale at a constant rate, i.e., $d\epsilon_{kin}/dt \propto \rho v^2/l \propto \rho^{3/5}l^{1/5} = $ const, where $\rho$, $v$, and $l$ are respectively the density, velocity, and length scale of the fluid elements, and $t$ is the energy transfer timescale. Under this assumption, a scaling relation can be drawn between $\rho$, $v$, and $l$, i.e., $\rho^{1/3}v^{2/3}l^{1/3}$. This simple dimensional analysis offers profound insights into the turbulent properties of an incompressible fluid, where $v \propto l^{1/3}$ (Kolmogorov 1941). Such a relation is found in X-ray observations of nearby galaxy clusters, where the one-component velocity amplitude scales with wavenumber ($k = l^{-1}$) to the $-1/3$ power (Zhuravleva et al. 2014, 2019). Additionally, X-ray line widths have been used to probe the level of turbulence in galaxy clusters, e.g., Sanders et al. (2011) examined a sample of 62 systems, half of which have line width upper limits below 700 km s$^{-1}$. Recent X-ray calorimeter observations also found a low line-of-sight velocity dispersion (Gaussian $\sigma$) of $164 \pm 10$ km s$^{-1}$ in the core of the Perseus cluster (Hitomi Collaboration et al. 2016). Both of these observational results indicate that the level of turbulence in the intracluster medium (ICM) is mild and subsonic in a relaxed galaxy cluster.

The subsonic structure of the ICM does not preclude the presence of supersonic flows in galaxy clusters, particularly the outflows driven by the central active galactic nucleus (AGN), which are believed to heat the surrounding medium and quench star formation (Fabian 2012; McNamara & Nulsen 2012). Recent spectral analysis of the spiral galaxy M81 provides direct evidence for hot, AGN-driven winds with line-of-sight velocities of $\pm 2800$ km s$^{-1}$ based on the Fe XXVI Ly$\alpha$ line shifts (Shi et al. 2021).10 In a rich cluster environment, however, direct detection of such outflows is more difficult due to the X-ray emission from the massive ICM. On the other hand, recent simulations of galaxy clusters and AGN feedback indicate that cold gas may fragment out of a supersonic, radiatively cooling outflow (Qiu et al. 2020, 2021a), giving rise to extended filamentary Hα nebulae, such as observed in the Perseus cluster (Conselice et al. 2001; Gendron-Marsolais et al. 2018). Therefore, the velocity structure of the $10^7$ K gas offers...
a unique opportunity for probing the properties of the original hot outflow in galaxy clusters.

Ideally, for supersonic flows where the kinetic energy of the fluid elements may be thermalized, the turbulent velocity structure is expected to follow a steeper slope (e.g., $v \propto t^{1/2}$; Burgers 1948; Federrath 2013), due to the partial energy cascade from large to small scales. Therefore, in this work we test this hypothesis by examining the velocity structure of the cold gas in the simulated outflow. In Section 2 we describe the simulation parameters and the turbulent structure of the simulated cold gas. In Section 3 we examine the turbulent structure of the Hα filaments in the Perseus cluster using observations taken with SITELLE (Gendron-Marsolais et al. 2018). Finally, in Section 4, we discuss the implications of our work and conclude.

2. Simulations of Cold Filaments

2.1. Simulation Setup

In order to study the turbulent velocity structure of the cold gas, we perform a set of simulations where cold gas cools and fragments out of an AGN-driven outflow. The simulation setup is similar to that presented in Qiu et al. (2021a). In each simulation, a spherical gas clump is launched from the center of the cluster, with temperature $T_{\text{out}}$, outflow velocity $v_{\text{out}}$, and mass $M_{\text{out}}$. Initially, the clump is in thermal equilibrium with the surrounding ICM. After launch, the gas in the outflow cools radiatively as it travels in the idealized cluster environment modeled in the Perseus cluster (Qiu et al. 2019b), with the highest resolution ~60 pc. The fluid elements comprise electron, hydrogen, and helium species coupled to the nonequilibrium chemistry/cooling solver in the code Enzo (Bryan et al. 2014), which allows us to characterize the Hα emission from the recombination process (see also Qiu et al. 2019a). We caution that the shock smoothing length of the Zeus solver (Stone et al. 1992) employed in this work is around 120 pc, so we bin the pixels $2 \times 2$ and only focus on the analyses above this scale.11

Based on the observational constraints on the cold gas mass, we fix the initial mass $M_{\text{out}} = 10^8 M_\odot$ of the outflowing gas clump. In the previous simulations, we varied $T_{\text{out}}$ around $10^7$ K, and $v_{\text{out}}$ from 1200 to 2000 km s$^{-1}$ to study the dynamical and morphological evolution of the emergent cold gas and compare it with observed filaments. In this new set of simulations, in order to focus on the supersonic/subsonic nature of the originating outflow, we fix $T_{\text{out}} = 10^7$ K, and vary the initial velocity from the supersonic to subsonic regime, $v_{\text{out}} = 1500, 1000, \text{or } 500$ km s$^{-1}$. Note that the sound speed for $10^7$ K plasma is $\approx 500$ km s$^{-1}$, so the Mach number of the outflows explored in this work is between 1 and 3.

For the two cases where $v_{\text{out}} = 1500$ and 1000 km s$^{-1}$, the outflows are launched 1 kpc away from the cluster center. Due to the slow velocity that inhibits the spatial reach of the outflow, for the last case where $v_{\text{out}} = 500$ km s$^{-1}$ we launch the outflow 10 kpc away from the cluster center. With this scenario we intend to explore a slower, subsonic phase of the outflow, in a hypothetical scenario where it emerges from the central 10 kpc with a temperature of $10^7$ K. Even though this outflow would in reality also be launched from the cluster center as an initially faster and hotter outflow, in this case we focus only on its decelerated, subsonic component, for simplicity (because the properties of a mixture of supersonic and subsonic turbulence may be difficult to interpret). Additionally, this case allows us to test the scenario where filaments originate outside of the cluster core due to thermal instabilities of the hot ICM moving subsonically (e.g., Li et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2020).

2.2. Cold Gas Distribution

As demonstrated in Qiu et al. (2021a), the radiative cooling time of the $10^7$ K outflow in initial pressure equilibrium with the ambient ICM is $t_{\text{cool}} \lesssim 10$ Myr. This is a few times larger than the sound-crossing time $t_{\text{cross}} = \Delta l/c_s \approx 2$ Myr ($\Delta l/kpc$), where $\Delta l \sim kpc$ is the typical width of each observed filament complex, and $c_s$ is the sound speed. Before $10^8$ K cold gas forms, a turning point therefore must exist around a few $\times 10^6$ K, where $t_{\text{cool}} < t_{\text{cross}}$. In this transition phase, the plasma cools faster than the timescale required for the turbulent eddies to propagate though the length scale $\Delta l$, allowing the emergent cold gas to preserve the “frozen-in” turbulent structure.

In Figure 1, we show the spatial distribution of the projected Hα emissivity, as well as the emissivity-weighted line-of-sight velocity for the three velocity cases at three evolutionary epochs. In all cases, the cold gas fragments continuously out of the radiatively cooling outflow after $\sim 10$ Myr, forming a filamentary trail as the outflow rises in the cluster potential (Qiu et al. 2019a, 2020). As discussed in Qiu et al. (2021a), the morphology of the cold gas may take both longitudinal and transverse shapes depending on the initial outflow properties, such as the outer horseshoe-shaped shell at $t = 20$ Myr for $v_{\text{out}} = 1500$ km s$^{-1}$. Note that in the slowest outflow case, it takes much longer for the low-temperature plasma to stretch and form elongated cold gas filaments under the effect of cluster gravity, unless the initial thermally unstable region is already spatially extended. We refer the readers to our previous work on the dynamical and morphological evolution of the cold gas, which can be described reasonably well by a 1D model comprising radiative cooling and ICM ram pressure (Qiu et al. 2020, 2021a). In this work, we instead focus on the small-scale turbulent structure that can be extracted from the cold gas velocity.

2.3. Turbulent Velocity Structure

In order to characterize the turbulent structure of the cold gas in the simulations, in Figure 2 we compute the first-order velocity structure function (VSF). The simulated outflow is first projected along different viewing angles ($\theta$) with respect to the initial outflow direction to obtain both the line-of-sight velocity ($v_{\text{los}}$) map and the Hα emissivity map (the case where $\theta = 90^\circ$ is shown in Figure 1). $v_{\text{los}}$ is weighted by the Hα emissivity in each line integral. After projection, pixels from the $v_{\text{los}}$ map are selected to compute the VSF if the corresponding projected Hα emissivity is $> 4 \times 10^{-5}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, a threshold consistent with observations of the Perseus cluster filaments (Gendron-Marsolais et al. 2018, assuming isotropic emission from the redshift $z = 0.01756$ of the Perseus cluster). For all possible pair combinations of the selected pixels, we compute the $v_{\text{los}}$ difference $\delta v$ and the projected separation $l$. We then divide the pixel pairs into $\Delta l \approx 0.1$ kpc bins based on their separation $l$.

---

11 An implicit assumption in our choice of resolution is that the gas evolution on scales $\lesssim 100$ pc does not affect the turbulent structure above $\sim 100$ pc, even though the gas turbulence may develop into much smaller scales (see also Section 2.5).
The spatial distribution of the projected Hα emissivity (columns 1, 3, and 5) and the emissivity-weighted line-of-sight velocity (columns 2, 4, and 6) for the three simulation runs with different initial outflow velocity, $v_{\text{out}}$, projected along $\theta = 90^\circ$ (viewing angle $\theta$ between the sight line and the initial outflow direction). The initial outflow direction and the length scale are shown in the two bottom right panels. The top, middle, and bottom rows show three representative evolution epochs at $t = 20$, 30, and 40 Myr, respectively. A horseshoe-shaped outer shell consisting of Hα-emitting gas is produced for the outflow with $v_{\text{out}} = 1500$ km s$^{-1}$ at $t = 20$ Myr (albeit smaller in size compared to the Perseus horseshoe filament; Conselice et al. 2001).

before taking the average of the absolute velocity difference, \( \langle |\Delta v| \rangle = \langle |v_i - v_j| \rangle \) (\( n = 1 \) for the first-order VSF) in each separation bin. Bins with less than 10 pixel pairs are removed from the final plot.

In Figure 2, we present the VSF of the simulated cold gas with different initial velocities \( v_{\text{out}} = 1500$, 1000, or 500 km s$^{-1}$), for projections along varying viewing angles $\theta$, at two epochs of evolution ($t = 20$ and 30 Myr). The timestamps represent $\Delta t \approx 10$ and 20 Myr after the cold gas formation. The driving scale of the turbulence, where the VSF peaks or flattens, is on the order of a few kiloparsecs, corresponding to the size of the initial outflow with coherent velocity (which later develops into varying speeds on smaller scales due to turbulence). In all cases at the early epoch ($t = 20$ Myr), the VSF below 1 kpc follows the power indices $\gamma$ expected of super- and subsonic turbulence at small-to-intermediate viewing angles ($\theta \lesssim 80^\circ$), i.e., $\gamma \approx 1/2$ for $v_{\text{out}} = 1500$ and 1000 km s$^{-1}$, and $\gamma \approx 1/3$ for $v_{\text{out}} = 500$ km s$^{-1}$. At these viewing angles, the line-of-sight velocity ($v_{\text{los}}$) is dominated by the component parallel to $v_{\text{out}}$, which is the driving direction of turbulence. For small $\theta \lesssim 30^\circ$, the slope slightly steepens for all cases around 1 kpc, when the viewing angle is close to the outflow direction. This may be contributed by the steep velocity gradient for small $\theta$, which features a scaling relation $v \propto l$, as discussed in more detail in Section 3. On the other hand, for large $\theta \gtrsim 80^\circ$, $\gamma$ flattens to 0 because the $v_{\text{los}}$ is nearly perpendicular to the outflow direction, which results in a $v_{\text{los}}$ distribution similar at all separation scales (e.g., the $v_{\text{los}}$ distribution shown in Figure 1). For the average viewing angle, however, the power index $\gamma$ of the cold gas VSF below a few kiloparsecs signifies the property of the plasma from which the filaments originate, i.e., supersonic for $\gamma \approx 1/2$, or subsonic for $\gamma \approx 1/3$.

After the cold clumps fragment out of the plasma, their interaction is primarily gravitational, both with the background potential and with each other. This inviscid interaction inevitably hinders the energy cascade and leads to the flattening of the velocity structure at smaller scales. In the simulations, this trend gradually develops after $t = 20$ Myr (or 10 Myr after cold gas formation). Note that the sound speed for the cold clumps below $10^5$ K is $c_s \lesssim 10$ km s$^{-1}$, indicating that the gas may still be traveling supersonically. However, the turbulent structure likely develops at scales much smaller than those probed both in our simulations and in observations of galaxy clusters (for an example of the turbulence on parsec scales, see the velocity structure and the small-scale flattening probed by the stellar velocity in the Orion Complex; Ha et al. 2021).

This trend of flattened VSF is seen in the later epoch of the simulated cold gas. In the lower panels of Figure 2, where some cold gas clumps have existed for more than 20 Myr, their
relative motion has ceased developing into smaller scales, e.g., forming binary-like systems. The VSF flattens significantly compared with the early epoch, with $\gamma$ ranging between 1/3 and 1/2 in the cases where $v_{\text{out}} = 1500$ or 1000 km s$^{-1}$ ($\gamma$ decreases with larger $\theta$), and with $\gamma$ approaching 0 in the $v_{\text{out}} = 500$ km s$^{-1}$ case, at separations between 0.3 and 1 kpc. Realistically, however, the cold gas will likely continue to form molecules or fuel star formation, dropping out of the gas phase probed by H$\alpha$ emission. Therefore, the lack of $\gamma \leq 1/3$ in observations (see Figure 3) indicates that the lifetime of the H$\alpha$-emitting gas should be shorter than 20 Myr, before gravitational interactions flatten the VSF.

3. Comparison between Simulated and Observed Filaments in Perseus

In this section we examine filament observations in the Perseus cluster taken with the optical imaging Fourier transform spectrometer SITELLE at the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT; Gendron-Marsolais et al. 2018). These data were taken at a spectral resolution of $R = 1800$ in a filter covering 647–685 nm. SITELLE’s angular resolution is $0''321 \times 0''321$, and the data cubes are binned by a factor of $2 \times 2$ (to an effective pixel resolution of $0''642 \times 0''642$) to increase the signal-to-noise ratio. Three lines ([N II]6584, H$\alpha$, and [N II]6584) were simultaneously fit in each pixel using Gaussian functions (convolved with the instrument line shape), with typical central velocity shift errors between 5 km s$^{-1}$ and 20 km s$^{-1}$. Compared with the map published in Gendron-Marsolais et al. (2018), this map includes several small faint filaments that were previously cut off in the west (right) region. The same H$\alpha$ flux cut ($3 \times 10^{-17}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ pixel$^{-1}$) is applied to the maps for the analysis.

The steeper slope of the cold gas VSF in the Perseus cluster was first discovered by Li et al. (2020), who examined the entire filament nebula and found a peak in the VSF at $\sim 10$ kpc, indicating that the filaments may be lifted by the AGN-inflated X-ray cavities (e.g., Revaz et al. 2008; McNamara et al. 2016). However, unlike AGN jets or winds that drive supersonic flows (Hillel & Soker 2020; Qiu et al. 2020), the buoyantly rising cavities often travel at subsonic speeds in the centers of galaxy clusters (see, e.g., the bubble speed estimates in Birzan et al. 2004), unlikely to display steeper slopes without additional damping mechanisms such as magnetic fields (e.g., Wang et al. 2021; Mohapatra et al. 2022). In order to test the AGN-driven scenario, we further examine the turbulent structure of the observed filaments and compare it with the outflow model in our simulations. Noting that the entire nebula consists of multiple generations of filaments driven by past AGN activity at different epochs, each filament complex should retain structural information about its origin. Therefore, we examine the VSF of filament complexes divided into nine azimuthal regions in Figure 3 to compare with our supersonic outflow model. We note that precisely separating individual outflows is
often challenging due to projection effects and overlapping filaments. Therefore, this division represents a simple approach to probe the turbulence likely driven by the same AGN outburst in a particular direction. We also caution that the seeing limit of the observation is about 1.1 (&lt;0.4 kpc, FWHM), so we focus our comparison above this length scale.

In Figure 3, we plot the VSFs for the azimuthal regions, each showing a steep slope with $\gamma \approx 1/2$ at scales $\lesssim 2$ kpc. The steep slopes indicate that the turbulence in these filaments is supersonic, in agreement with the supersonic outflows modeled in our simulations. The only exception occurs in Region 2, where $1/3 < \gamma < 1/2$.\(^\text{12}\) The inner filament complex (below the arc located at $r = 19$ kpc in Figure 3) in this region lacks a radial gradient, indicating that the viewing angle $\theta$ may be perpendicular to the direction of motion; therefore, the VSF may be contaminated by the uniform $v_{\text{los}}$ distribution discussed in Section 2. Nevertheless, the VSF slopes below the driving scale of $\sim 2$ kpc indicate the ubiquity of supersonic turbulence in the Perseus cluster. Compared with the simulation results presented in this work, we find that the supersonic turbulence structure is a direct indicator that the cold gas fragmented out of a fast outflow driven by recent AGN activity.

Beyond the driving scale of $\sim 2$ kpc, however, the VSF depends primarily on the radial gradient of the filament complex in each region, which has a characteristic slope of 1, as indicated by the linear relation $v \propto l$ in the right panel of Figure 3. For Regions 1 and 6 with large radial gradients, the VSF continues to rise, while for Region 4 with a small radial gradient, the VSF drops immediately. The average behavior, however, leads to the extension of the $\gamma \approx 1/2$ slope from 2 to 10 kpc noted in Li et al. (2020). As a case study, the velocity gradient of the northern filament in Region 3 is calculated in Figure 4. While the gradient of $-8.0$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ does not significantly contribute to the VSF below $\sim 2$ kpc, it yields a velocity difference of $80$ km s$^{-1}$ at the separation of 10 kpc, comparable to the VSF amplitude in Region 3 ($\sim 100$ km s$^{-1}$ at 10 kpc). In the case of Region 8, the mixed radial gradient, likely due to overlapping filaments at different epochs of evolution, steepens the VSF slope significantly at separations near $\sim 1$ kpc. We therefore caution that the turbulent VSF slope shall only be extracted at spatial separations minimally affected by the radial velocity gradient.

Given the dependence of $v_{\text{los}}$ on the viewing angle $\theta$, we also try to constrain $\theta$ for the most extended northern filament in Region 3 of the Perseus cluster. As shown in Figure 4, there is a smooth velocity gradient along the length of the northern filament, with the bottom half redshifted and the top half blueshifted. A similar morphology and velocity gradient can be found in the simulated filament with $v_{\text{los}} = 1000$ km s$^{-1}$ at $t = 40$ Myr. Compared with the simulation, the mixed velocity shifts indicate that the bottom half contains an older generation of gas that fragmented out of the outflow and has started to fall back, while the gas in the top half is still rising in the cluster potential. The same line-of-sight velocity gradient can be reproduced in the simulation when the viewing angle $\theta = 77^\circ$. If the northern filament is similarly inclined, the angle indicates a factor of $1/\sin \theta \approx 1.03$ increase to the filament extent, and a factor of $1/\cos \theta \approx 4.4$ increase to the velocity. This boosts the maximum inflow/outflow speeds to $\approx 900$ km s$^{-1}$, significantly larger than those commonly observed of the filaments.

\(^{12}\) Note there is a flattened “ghost” X-ray cavity located near the outer horseshoe filament, which may suggest that the filament is lifted by the rising bubble (Fabian et al. 2003b). We have further separated the inner and outer filaments in Region 2, as indicated by the arc in Figure 3, and found that the VSF slope of the horseshoe filament is still 1/2. The flattening of the VSF in Region 2 is therefore due to the inner filament complex.
the argument that supersonic flows are needed to reproduce the observed turbulent structure of the filaments.

4. Gravitational interactions of the cold gas result in the flattening of the VSF slope in the simulations over 20 Myr, which indicates the stagnation of the energy cascade without additional mechanisms to transfer the kinetic energy or dissipate the cold gas. The lack of flattened VSF in the observed Perseus filaments suggests that the Hα-emitting gas is short-lived with a lifespan < 20 Myr, during which time it either fuels the molecular gas growth and the scattered, intracluster star formation, or becomes recycled to the ICM by local heating processes.

We note that while the filament VSF provides a powerful diagnostic tool for understanding the origin of the filaments and the dynamic interaction between the AGN and the ICM, (a) the supersonic/subsonic nature of the originating outflow, (b) the steepening from velocity gradient, (c) the flattening due to gravitational interactions, as well as (d) the modulation by the viewing angle all contribute to the final VSF of the filaments. The modeling presented in this work therefore provides a viable method to disentangle these (sometimes competing) parameters for observed filaments. Through the modeling of the dynamical evolution of different generations of filaments, the simulations can also constrain the duty cycle and reconstruct the AGN activity in the recent few × 10 Myr, at the cores of galaxy clusters.
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