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\section*{ABSTRACT}

In this article, we provide a proteomic reference dataset that has been initially generated for a benchmarking of software tools for Data-Independent Acquisition (DIA) analysis. This large dataset includes 96 DIA .raw files acquired from a complex proteomic standard composed of an *E.coli* protein background spiked-in with 8 different concentrations of 48 human proteins (UPS1 Sigma). These 8 samples were analyzed in triplicates on an Orbitrap mass spectrometer with 4 different DIA window schemes. We also provide the spectral libraries and FASTA file used for their analysis and the software outputs of the six tools used in this study: DIA-NN, Spectronaut, ScaffoldDIA, DIA-Umpire, Skyline and OpenSWATH. This dataset also contains post-processed quantification tables where the peptides and proteins have been validated, their intensities normalized and the missing values imputed with a noise value. All the files are available on ProteomeX-change. Altogether, these files represent the most comprehensive DIA reference dataset acquired on an Orbitrap instrument ever published. It will be a very useful resource to the proteomic scientists in order to assess the performance of DIA software tools or to test their processing pipelines, to the software developers to improve their tools or develop new
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ones and to the students for their training on proteomics data analysis.
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### Specifications Table

| Subject | Biological sciences: omics: proteomics |
|---------|-------------------------------------|
| Specific subject area | Benchmarking of DIA proteomics acquisition and processing |
| Type of data | MS raw |
| | Software outputs |
| | Tables |

#### How the data were acquired

Proteomics standards composed of an *E.coli* protein background spiked-in with 48 human proteins (UPS1-Sigma) at 8 known concentrations were analyzed by Liquid Chromatography - tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) on an Orbitrap Fusion mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The instrument was operating in Data-Independent Acquisition with 4 different window schemes. The resulting raw files were processed with 6 software tools with or without the use of a previously acquired spectral library.

#### Data format

Raw 
`,.raw,.mzML,.mzXML`

Analyzed (software outputs)

Filtered (`.blib,.tsv,.csv,.txt`)

#### Description of data collection

The Thermo raw files were processed with 6 DIA software tools with the use of a spectral library (Spectronaut, DIA-NN, Skyline, ScaffoldDIA, OpenSWATH) or using only a FASTA file (Spectronaut, DIA-NN, DIA-Umpire, ScaffoldDIA). The software outputs were validated at 1% FDR or *q*-value < 0.01.

#### Data source location

CHU de Québec - Université Laval

Québec, QC, Canada

#### Data accessibility

All the data (raw files, converted mzML and mzXML files, spectral libraries files, software outputs and validated precursor tables) is available here:

Repository name: ProteomeXchange

Data identification number: PXD026600

Direct URL to data: [http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org/cgi/GetDataset?ID=PXDO26600](http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org/cgi/GetDataset?ID=PXDO26600)

#### Related research article

Gotti C, Roux-Dalvai F, Joly-Beauparlant C, Mangnier L, Leclercq M, Droit A. Extensive and Accurate Benchmarking of DIA Acquisition Methods and Software Tools Using a Complex Proteomic Standard. J Proteome Res. 2021 Sep 2.

doi: [10.1021/acs.jproteome.1c00490](http://10.1021/acs.jproteome.1c00490).

PMID: 34472865.
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### Value of the Data

- This dataset is the most comprehensive DIA dataset acquired on an Orbitrap mass spectrometer with a complex proteomic standard.
- In comparison to other proteomic reference dataset, it contains spiked-in proteins at known concentrations to assess the ability of proteomic pipelines to recover low abundance proteins.
- Proteomic scientists could use it to better understand the performance of DIA software tools and choose the best pipeline for their study.
- Students could use it for their training on DIA proteomics data analysis.
- Software developers could use it to assess and improve their tools for the detection of low abundance proteins.
- This dataset can be considered as a reference for the development of new DIA analysis tools.
1. Data Description

The dataset provided in this article has been initially generated with the aim to benchmark DIA acquisition methods and software tools [1]. As shown on Fig. 1, we used a complex proteomic standard composed of an E.coli protein background spiked-in with 8 different concentrations of the 48 UPS1 human proteins (Sigma) ranging from 0.1 to 50 fmol per microgram of E.coli proteins. These samples were analyzed on an Orbitrap mass spectrometer operating in Data-Independent Acquisition mode. Four different DIA acquisition schemes were used since narrow windows are expected to provide less complex DIA spectra (less precursors are selected for fragmentation) but wide windows can better cover the mass range in an appropriate chromatographic cycle time. Two other schemes using overlapped windows or mixed window sizes were also tested (Table 1).

For each DIA scheme (Narrow, Wide, Mixed and Overlapped), three injections (analytical replicates) of the 8 samples were done. Therefore we provide 4 datasets of 24 raw files in Thermo .raw file format and converted .mzML or .mzXML formats.

Six public or proprietary software tools were used for the processing of the raw files (Table 2). Some of them required the use of a DDA (Data Dependent Acquisition) spectral li-

![Fig. 1. Analysis workflow. The proteomic standard composed of 8 E.coli protein samples spiked with Sigma-UPS1 proteins was analyzed with 4 different acquisition modes, 2 processing modes and 6 DIA software tools. The final data was validated, normalized and its missing values imputed with a noise value. At each step, the corresponding files are provided in ProteomeXchange PXD026600 (in red on the left side).](image-url)
Table 1
Acquisition parameters in DIA mode on the Orbitrap Fusion mass spectrometer. Four acquisition methods were used all with the same MS full scan while the DIA MS/MS was performed with various window sizes and mass ranges.

|                  | Narrow      | Wide         | Overlapped                  | Mixed         |
|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| **MS**           |             |              |                             |               |
| Scan range       | 350-1800    | 60,000       |                             |               |
| Orbitrap resolution | 4.00E+05    |              |                             |               |
| AGC target       | 50 ms       |              |                             |               |
| Max Injection time |            |              |                             |               |
| **MS/MS**        |             |              |                             |               |
| Scan range (m/z) | 350-950     | 350-1475     | 350-954                     | 455-1251      |
| DIA window width | 8 m/z       | 15 m/z       | two cycles of 8m/z windows  | 8 m/z in 455-711 range, 15 m/z for the 350-455 and 711-1251 ranges |
| Collision energy |              |              |                             | HCD 35%       |
| Orbitrap resolution |            |              |                             | 15,000        |
| AGC target       |              |              |                             | 5.00E+04      |
| Max Injection time |            |              |                             | 22 ms         |
**Table 2**  
**Processing of DIA files.** The table shows the software tools used for DIA files processing along with their version, raw files conversion, use of FASTA and/or Library mode, search parameters and data filtering settings.

| Software              | Version      | Raw file conversion | FASTA mode                                      | Library mode                        | Search Parameters                                                                 | Data filtering                                                                 |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| DIA-NN [4]            | 02/04/2020   | .mzML               | yes (DIA spectral library from DIA files)       | yes (Skyline .blib exported to .tsv) | Maximum Missed Cleavages: 2; Fixed Modifications: carbamidamethyl (C); Variable  | 1% FDR or q-value < 0.01 at precursor, peptide and/or protein level (see Gotti  |
| DIA-Umpire [5]        | 2.0          | .mzXML              | yes (DIA spectral library from DIA files)       | no                                   | modifications Oxidation (M); Min. Fragments: 4; Max. Fragments: 6; Peptide charge: | et al. Supp Table S1 [1]                                                 |
| OpenSWATH (diaproteomics) [6,7] | 1.1.0        | .mzML               | no                                              | yes (Skyline .blib                        | 2+ to 4+; Other settings (see Gotti et al. Supp Table S1 [1])               |
| ScaffoldDIA (Proteome Software, Inc.) [8] | 2.1.0        | none                | yes (in silico Prosit library)                  | yes (Skyline .blib)                    |                                                                                    |
| Skyline [2]           | 20.2.0.343   | .mzML               | no                                              | yes (Skyline .blib)                    |                                                                                    |
| Spectronaut (Biognosys AG) [3] | 14.10.20122  | none                | yes (‘directDIA’ mode)                          | yes (Spectronaut library)             |                                                                                    |
library (Library mode) (Skyline and OpenSWATH), one only required a FASTA file (FASTA mode) (DIA-Umpire) and others can do both (Spectronaut, DIA-NN, ScaffoldDIA). For the Library processing mode, we used (and provide here) DDA .raw files acquired on the same instrument from 48 peptide fractions of an *E.coli* protein extract and 1 unfractonated sample containing a protein digest of *E.coli* background spiked-in with UPS1 proteins. Two spectral libraries were generated using these 49 DDA .raw files and are provided as well as .blib, .tsv and .csv files. The FASTA file (containing *E.coli* proteome and UPS1 proteins sequences) used for the FASTA mode is given as well. Finally, the 96 DIA .raw files were processed with the 6 software tools with the use of these libraries or with the FASTA file. The corresponding software outputs are provided as viewer files that can be re-open in the corresponding software tool and as untreated .txt export tables.

We finally provide .txt precursor quantification tables in which the data is validated for protein and peptide identification, normalized and the missing values imputed with a noise value as described in the Table 3.

Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 1 give the number of proteins identified in each pipeline. The Venn diagrams (Fig. 2, left panels) show the number of *E.coli* protein identifications and their overlap between the different software tools used. For each of them we identified between 1292 and 2373 *E.coli* proteins. On the right panels, we can observe how many of the 48 UPS1 proteins were identified in each sample. This number is decreasing with the concentration of UPS1 spiked in the *E.coli* background.

2. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of the proteomic standard

*E.coli* protein extract was obtained from a broth culture *Escherichia coli* (strain #CCRI-12923, CCRI, Québec, Canada) in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) medium at $8 \times 10^8$ cfu/mL. The culture was centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 15 min and stored at -20°C. Proteins were extracted by re-suspension of the pellet in the extraction buffer (50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, 1% sodium deoxycholate and 20 mM 1,4 dithiothreitol), heated 10 min at 95°C and sonicated 15 minutes with 30s/30s ON/OFF cycles at high intensity (Bioruptor, Diagenode). The lysed cells were then centrifugated at 13,000 x g for 10 min to remove debris and the protein concentration in the supernatant was determined by Bradford Assay. The concentration was then adjusted at 0.1µg/µL in extraction buffer.

A vial of Universal Proteome Standard-1 (UPS1, Sigma) containing 48 human proteins (5pmol each) was serially diluted using the *E.coli* protein extract to obtain 8 concentrations of UPS1 per microgram of *E.coli* (50, 25, 10, 5, 2.5, 1, 0.25 and 0.1 fmol/µg). Reduction and alkylation of cysteines was performed by heating the sample for 30 min at 37°C followed by addition of 50mM iodoacetamide and incubation for 30 min. The pH was then adjusted to 8.0, trypsin enzyme (Promega) was added at a ratio of 1:50 (enzyme:protein) and the samples were incubated at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by acidification to pH2.0 with formic acid. The samples were then centrifugated at 16,000 x g for 5 minutes. The peptides contained in the supernatants were purified on Oasis HLB cartridge 10 mg (Waters) and vacuum dried.

2.2. Mass spectrometry

The samples were resuspended in 2% acetonitrile, 0.05% TFA and for each one, an equivalent of 1µg peptides was analyzed by LC-MS/MS an U3000 NanoRSLC liquid chromatography system (ThermoScientific, Dionex Softron GmbH, Germering, Germany) in line with an Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid – ETD mass spectrometer (ThermoScientific, San Jose, CA, USA). Peptides were concentrated at 20µL/min (loading solvent: 2% acetonitrile/0.05% trifluoroacetic acid) on a 300 mm i.d x 5 mm, C₁₈ PepMap100, 5 mm, 100 Å precolumn cartridge (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 5 minutes. Then, the separation was performed on a PepMap100 RSLC, C₁₈ 3 mm, 100 Å, 75 µm i.d.
Table 3
Post-processing of precursor tables. For each software tool, the information on outliers’ removal, normalization and missing value imputation is given along with the criteria to consider a precursor as identified and quantifiable. The steps were performed in R in the same order than shown in the table from top to bottom.

| Precursor tables filtering | DIA-NN [4] | DIA-Umpire [5] | OpenSWATH (diaproteomics) [6,7] | ScaffoldDIA (Proteome Software, Inc.) [8] | Skyline [2] | Spectronaut (Biognosys AG) [3] |
|----------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Outliers removal           | no         | no             | yes, cut-off at 1               | no                                        | no         | yes, cut-off at 10          |
| Normalization              | automatic; use normalized precursor intensity | manual; median normalization of precursor intensity using a factor calculated on all precursors of each injection | manual; median normalization of precursor intensity using a factor calculated on all precursors of each injection | automatic; use normalized precursor intensity | manual; median normalization of precursor intensity using a factor calculated on all precursors of each injection | automatic; use normalized precursor intensity |
| E.coli precursor identified | If at least one intensity value for the 24 injections of the same dataset was reported | | | | | |
| UPS1 precursor identified   | If at least one intensity value among the 3 analytical replicates of each sample was reported | | | | | |
| Precursor (E.coli or UPS1) quantifiable | If 3 intensity values were reported in the 3 analytical replicates of a sample in at least one sample of the 8 UPS1 concentrations. | | | | | |
| Missing value imputation   | For the quantifiable precursors, missing values were imputed by a noise value corresponding to the first percentile of all precursor intensities for each sample injection | | | | | |
| Quantification value       | Precursor intensities were summed by stripped sequences to obtain peptide quantification and by accession number for protein quantification | | | | | |
Fig. 2. Ecoli and UPS1 protein identifications for each analysis pipeline. The Venn diagrams on the left side show the overlap of Ecoli protein identifications between the different software used (an Ecoli protein is considered as identified if it has been found in at least 1 of 24 files of one dataset). The dot plots on the right side show the number of UPS1 proteins identified for each of the 8 samples (an UPS1 protein is considered as identified if it has been found in at least 1 of the 3 analytical replicates). The same graphs are presented for each DIA acquisition scheme (Narrow, Overlapped, Mixed and Wide) and each processing mode (FASTA or Library). Software tools: DIA-NN (blue), Spectronaut (yellow), ScaffoldDIA (orange), DIA-Umpire (purple), Skyline (green), OpenSWATH (grey).
x 50 cm length column (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a 90 min linear gradient from 5-40% solvent B (A: 0.1% formic acid, B: 80% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid) at 0.3 μL/min.

The mass spectrometer was operated in Date Independent Acquisition (DIA) mode. Four methods (Narrow, Wide, Overlapped, Mixed) with different DIA window schemes were used as described in Table 1.

2.3. Generation of spectral libraries

250μg of E.coli protein extract was prepared as described above and digested in the same conditions was high-pH fractionated on an Agilent Extend C18 (1.0 mm x 150 mm, 3.5 μm) column using an Agilent 1200 Series HPLC system. Peptides were separated at 1 mL/min by a gradient of 5–35% solvent B for 60 minutes and 35–70% solvent B for 24 minutes (A: 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 10; B: 90% acetonitrile/10% ammonium bicarbonate pH 10). 48 fractions were collected. A sample of 200 fmol UPS1 per μg of E.coli extract was also prepared as described for the proteomic standard to complete the spectral library with UPS1 human proteins.

These 49 samples were analyzed on the same instrument and with the same chromatographic conditions than for the proteomic standard but the mass spectrometer was operated in Data Dependent Acquisition (DDA) mode with the following settings:

- MS: Full scan MS 350-1800 m/z; Orbitrap resolution: 120,000; AGC target 4e5; Max injection time 50 ms
- MS/MS: To speed mode 3s; Isolation window: 1.6 m/z; HCD fragmentation with 35% collision energy; Orbitrap resolution: 15,000; AGC target 5e4; Max injection time 22 ms; dynamic exclusion: 30s.

Database searching was performed in Proteome Discoverer 2.3.0.523 using the Mascot search engine version 2.5.1 (Matrix Science) with the following settings:

Protein Database:

- E.coli database (UniProt Reference Proteome – Taxonomy 83333 – Proteome ID UP000000625 – 4312 entries – 2016.03.15)
- UPS1 database (downloaded from Sigma – 48 entries)

Enzyme Name: trypsin
Maximum Missed Cleavage Sites: 2
Precursor Mass Tolerance: 10ppm
Fragment Mass Tolerance: 25 mnu
Dynamic Modifications: Oxidation (M)
Static Modifications: Carbamidomethyl (C)

Peptide identifications were then filtered at 1% False Discovery Rate (FDR) using Percolator (v 2.0).

Mascot .dat files were then used to generate a spectral library in Skyline software [2] (version 20.2.0.343) through a .bib file. Another spectral library was generated in Spectronaut v14.10.20122 (Biognosys AG) [3] using the. pdresults file of Proteome Discoverer. Detailed parameters used to generate both spectral libraries are listed in the supplementary table S1 of the Gotti et al. article [1].

2.4. DIA file processing

The DIA files were then processed with 6 different software tools in FASTA mode using a single E.coli and UPS1 FASTA file (UniProt Reference Proteome – Taxonomy 83333 – Proteome ID UP000000625 – 4312 entries – 2016.03.15 and the 48 sequences of UPS1) or in Library mode
with one of the Skyline or Spectronaut spectral libraries as described in Table 2. The tools were used as recommended by the user manual or by the software developers and detailed parameter settings can be found in the supplementary table S1 of the Gotti et al. article [1].

2.5. Data post-processing

All data post-processing was performed using R software [9] from precursor tables exported from each software tool. Table 3 shows the treatment applied to the data of each tool as well as the criteria to consider a precursor identified and quantifiable.
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