Seroprevalence of viral infectious diseases and associated factors in Korean patients with inflammatory bowel diseases
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Seroprevalence of viral infectious diseases in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)

Seropositivity rates for common viral infectious diseases in patients with IBD were similar to those of the general population.

- Protective immunity with proper vaccination against measles, VZV, and HAV is required in the younger age group.
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This study was presented as a poster presentation at the 15th Congress of European Crohn’s and Colitis Organisation (ECCO) in Vienna, Austria.
INTRODUCTION

As inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is considered to develop as a result of dysregulated immune response to the gut microbes in individuals with genetic susceptibility [1], immunosuppressive medications are the mainstay of medical therapy to achieve and maintain clinical and endoscopic remission of IBD [2].

However, the use of immunosuppressive agents is associated with an increased risk of infection [3,4]. In addition to the use of immunosuppressants, patients with IBD are susceptible to various infections because of other disease-associated risk factors such as disease severity, malnutrition, requirement for hospitalization, and need for interventions such as parenteral nutrition or surgery, exposing patients to the risk of infection [5].

Therefore, patients with IBD are at an increased risk of developing vaccine-preventable diseases (VPD) such as measles, mumps, rubella, hepatitis A, hepatitis B, chickenpox, and herpes zoster, and this risk is thought to be higher in patients with IBD receiving immunosuppressive medications [6]. However, it is unclear whether patients with IBD will respond properly to vaccinations against common VPDs. Although several studies have demonstrated that patients with IBD treated with immunosuppressive therapy have an impaired immune response to vaccinations [7-12], the current guidelines and consensus recommend routine age-appropriate vaccinations for patients with IBD [3,6,13-15].

The Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) can cause opportunistic infections in immunocompromised hosts [16]. Moreover, EBV infection is related to the development of lymphoproliferative disorders, and patients with IBD receiving thiopurine therapy have an increased risk of lymphoma [17-19]. EBV serological screening before the initiation of thiopurine therapy is thought to be useful for physicians to assess the risk of lymphoproliferative disorders in patients with IBD [3,20].

Several studies have investigated the immune status of patients with IBD against various viral infectious diseases. DeBruyn et al. [12] reported relatively lower seropositivity rates for routine childhood VPDs (including measles/mumps/rubella [MMR], diphtheria/tetanus, varicella, hepatitis B virus, and hepatitis A virus [HAV]), cytomegalovirus, and EBV in spite of high coverage rates for routine childhood vaccines in Canadian pediatric patients with IBD. Cleveland et al. [21] reported that only 81% of adult patients with IBD in the United States were immune to measles, and most non-immune patients were receiving immunosuppressive therapy. Naganuma et al. [22] reported seropositivity rates for measles virus, mumps virus, rubella virus, and varicella zoster virus (VZV) in Japanese patients with IBD, and the seropositivity rates were low even in patients with an infection history.
So far, studies have shown that the seroprotection rates against VPDs tend to be low in patients with IBD. However, data on the seroprevalence of various viruses such as measles virus, mumps virus, rubella virus, HAV, VZV, and EBV among patients with IBD in Asia, including Korea, are still lacking. Therefore, we investigated the seropositivity rates for these viruses and the associated factors in Korean patients with IBD.

METHODS

Study population
We enrolled consecutive patients with either ulcerative colitis (UC) or Crohn’s disease (CD) who first visited the IBD clinic of Asan Medical Center, a tertiary referral center in Seoul, Korea, between January 2016 and December 2018, and who were checked for the immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies against measles virus, mumps virus, rubella virus, VZV, HAV, and EBV viral capsid antigen (VCA). Patients were excluded if they were aged < 18 or > 80 years at serological test, of non-Korean ethnicity by familial history, or diagnosed as having unclassified IBD.

Serological test
Serum samples were collected from patients and tested immediately within 2 hours or stored at 0 °C to 10 °C until testing. Quantitative chemiluminescence immunoassay by Liaison XL (DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy) was performed for IgGs against measles virus, mumps virus, rubella virus, VZV, and EBV VCA. A measles virus IgG titer of < 13.5 AU (arbitrary units)/mL was reported as negative; ≥ 16.5 AU/mL, as positive; and between 13.5 and 16.5 AU/mL, as equivocal level [23]. Mumps virus IgG and rubella virus IgG titers of < 9.0 AU/mL were reported as negative; ≥ 11.0 AU/mL, as positive; and between 9.0 and 11.0 AU/mL, as an equivocal level [24, 25]. A VZV IgG titer of < 150 mIU/mL was reported as negative; and ≥ 150 mIU/mL, as positive [26]. An EBV VCA IgG titer of < 20 U/mL was reported as negative; and ≥ 20 U/mL, as positive [27].

Data collection
Serological and demographic data (sex, age, and family history of IBD in first-degree relatives), and medical history were retrospectively collected. Medical history included IBD type (UC or CD), date of IBD diagnosis, disease duration at serological test, disease characteristics (extent of UC, and location and behavior of CD), history of bowel resection in CD, and current IBD medications at serological test. Medications were categorized as systemic corticosteroids (prednisone, methylprednisone, and beclomethasone dipropionate), immunomodulators (azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, and methotrexate), anti-tumor necrosis factor-α agents (infliximab, infliximab biosimilar, adalimumab, and golimumab), and other biologics (ustekinumab and vedolizumab). Current medication was defined as use of drugs within 90 days of the serological test.

Immune status against measles virus, mumps virus, rubella virus, VZV, HAV, and EBV were categorized as seropositive or seronegative status. A positive serological result for each virus was defined as seropositive status, and an equivocal or negative result was defined as a seronegative status.

Statistical analyses
Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the patients’ demographic characteristics and expressed as median values with their interquartile ranges (IQRs) or numbers with their percentages (%), where appropriate. The proportions of subjects with seropositivity were presented as percentages with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Multivariable logistic regression analysis with backward selection was performed to identify potential clinical factors associated with seronegativity using the following variables: sex; age at serological test; disease duration at serological test; family history of IBD; IBD type; IBD subphenotype such as extent of UC, location of CD, behavior of CD, and bowel resection history in CD; and IBD medications. Selected variables were included in a logistic regression model, and final adjusted odds ratios (aORs) of each selected variable were calculated. Statistical significance was defined as a p value of < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). A subgroup analysis was also performed for the subjects who were not receiving any immunosuppressive therapy, categorized as “none or 5-aminosalicylic acids” treatment.

Ethical consideration
The protocol of this study was approved by the Institutional
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RESULTS

Baseline characteristics
Between January 2016 and December 2018, a total of 263 patients underwent serological tests for measles, mumps, and rubella. Of this total, 151, 262, and 111 had undergone serological tests for VZV, HAV, and EBV, respectively. The baseline characteristics of the 263 study subjects are summarized in Table 1. Of the total, 134 patients (50.9%) had UC and 129 (49.1%) had CD, with 177 (67.3%) being males. The median ages at diagnosis of IBD and serological test were 28 years (IQR, 20 to 41) and 30 years (IQR, 22 to 47), respectively. The median ages at diagnosis and serological test of UC patients were 37 years (IQR, 26 to 51) and 40 years (IQR, 28 to 55), respectively, while CD patients tended to be younger than UC patients: the median ages at diagnosis and serological test were 21 years (IQR, 18 to 28) and 23 years (IQR, 21 to 32), respectively. One hundred and eighty-two patients (69.2%) were not receiving any immunosuppressive therapy, and the rest were receiving any kind of immunosuppressant at serological test.

Serological status
The results of the serological tests are summarized in Fig. 1. Of the 263 patients, 84.0% (95% CI, 79.6% to 88.4%), 85.2% (95% CI, 80.9% to 89.5%), and 66.5% (95% CI, 60.8% to 72.2%) were seropositive for measles, mumps, and rubella virus, respectively. The seropositivity rates for VZV and HAV were 87.4% (95% CI, 82.1% to 92.7%) of 151 patients and 50.0% (95% CI, 44.0% to 56.0%) of 262 patients, respectively. The seropositivity rate for EBV VCA was 93.7% (95% CI, 89.2% to 98.2%) in 111 patients. The seropositivity rates categorized by UC and CD are also shown in Fig. 1. Patients with CD showed numerically lower seropositivity rates for measles, mumps, and rubella virus, respectively. The seropositivity rate for VZV and HAV were 87.4% (95% CI, 82.1% to 92.7%) of 151 patients and 50.0% (95% CI, 44.0% to 56.0%) of 262 patients, respectively. The seropositivity rate for EBV VCA was 93.7% (95% CI, 89.2% to 98.2%) in 111 patients. The seropositivity rates categorized by UC and CD are also shown in Fig. 1. Patients with CD showed numerically lower seropositivity rates for measles, mumps, and rubella virus, respectively.

Factors associated with serological status
Multivariable logistic regression model with backward selection was performed to identify factors potentially associated with seronegativity. Selected variables were included in a logistic regression model and final aORs were calculated (Table 2). Younger age at serological test was associated with seronegative status for measles (aOR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.88 to 0.96), VZV (aOR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.74 to 0.93), and HAV (aOR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.91 to 0.95). Furthermore, IBD type-UC was associated with seronegativity for VZV (aOR, 0.33; 95% CI, 0.11 to 0.99). Among patients with UC, younger age at serological test was associated with seronegativity for measles (aOR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.84 to 0.97), VZV (aOR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.74 to 0.96), and HAV (aOR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.90 to 0.95). Moreover, family history of IBD was associated with seronegativity for measles (aOR, 5.04; 95% CI, 1.02 to 24.94) among UC patients. Among patients with CD, younger age at serological test was associated with seronegative status for measles (aOR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.84 to 0.97), VZV (aOR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.63 to 0.99), and HAV (aOR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.91 to 0.98).

Subgroup analysis for patients who were not receiving immunosuppressive therapy
We conducted a subgroup analysis for 182 subjects who were not receiving any immunosuppressive therapy. Of the 182 patients, 119 (65.4%) were male. The median ages at IBD diagnosis and at serological test were 30 years (IQR, 22 to 42) and 32 years (IQR, 23 to 48), respectively. The median disease duration at serological test was 2 months (IQR, 0 to 31). Of the 182 patients, 107 (58.8%) had UC and 75 (41.2%) had CD. Their baseline characteristics are shown in Supplementary Table 1, and the results of their serological tests are summarized in Supplementary Fig. 1. Of the 182 patients, 83.0% (95% CI, 77.5% to 88.5%), 85.2% (95% CI, 80.0% to 90.4%), and 67.6% (95% CI, 60.8% to 74.4%) were seropositive against measles, mumps, and rubella, respectively. The seropositivity rate for VZV was lowest in the 1990s birth cohorts (Fig. 2D). The seropositivity rate for HAV sharply declined from > 90% to < 50% in the 1970s birth cohorts (Fig. 2E). On the contrary, there was no significant difference in seropositivity rates for mumps, rubella, and EBV according to birth cohorts (Fig. 2B, 2C, and 2F).
88.9% (96/108; 95% CI, 83.0% to 94.8%), and 52.5% (95/181) of the patients (95% CI, 45.2% to 59.8%) were positive for anti-HAV IgG. Additionally, EBV VCA IgG positivity was observed in 92.0% (69/75) of the patients (95% CI, 85.9% to 98.1%). Through multivariable logistic regression analysis with backward selection and a logistic regression model, final aORs were calculated for selected variables. The results are shown in Supplementary Table 2. Younger age at serological test was associated with seronegative status for measles (OR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.87 to 0.96) and HAV (OR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.91 to 0.95).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the patients

| Characteristic                              | UC (n = 134) | CD (n = 129) | All patients (n = 263) |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Male sex                                    | 84 (62.7)    | 93 (72.1)    | 177 (67.3)            |
| Age at diagnosis, yr                        | 37 (26–51)   | 21 (18–28)   | 28 (20–41)            |
| Age at serological test, yr                 | 40 (28–55)   | 23 (21–32)   | 30 (22–47)            |
| Disease duration at serological test, mon   | 11 (0–51)    | 4 (0–60)     | 6 (0–59)              |
| Family history of IBD                       | 12 (9.0)     | 6 (4.7)      | 18 (6.8)              |
| Disease extent of UC at serological test    |              |              |                       |
| Proctitis                                    | 54 (40.3)    |              |                       |
| Left-sided colitis                          | 44 (32.8)    |              |                       |
| Extensive colitis                           | 36 (26.9)    |              |                       |
| Disease location of CD at serological test  |              |              |                       |
| Ileum                                       |              | 27 (21.0)    |                       |
| Colon                                       |              | 7 (5.4)      |                       |
| Ileocolon                                   |              | 95 (73.6)    |                       |
| Upper gastrointestinal involvement          |              | 28 (21.7)    |                       |
| Disease behavior of CD at serological test  |              |              |                       |
| Non-stricturing, non-penetrating            |              | 82 (63.6)    |                       |
| Stricturing                                 |              | 24 (18.6)    |                       |
| Penetrating                                 |              | 23 (17.8)    |                       |
| Perianal disease                            |              | 63 (48.8)    |                       |
| Bowel resection history at serological test |              | 18 (14.0)    |                       |
| Current medication                          |              |              |                       |
| None or 5-ASA                               | 107 (79.9)   | 75 (58.0)    | 182 (69.2)            |
| Corticosteroids<sup>a</sup>                 | 16 (12.0)    | 9 (7.0)      | 25 (9.5)              |
| Immunomodulators<sup>b</sup>                | 5 (3.7)      | 20 (15.5)    | 25 (9.5)              |
| Anti-TNF-α agents<sup>c</sup>               | 2 (1.5)      | 12 (9.3)     | 14 (5.3)              |
| Corticosteroids<sup>a</sup> + Immunomodulators<sup>b</sup> | 2 (1.5) | 5 (3.9) | 7 (2.6) |
| Corticosteroids<sup>a</sup> + Anti-TNF-α agents<sup>c</sup> | 1 (0.7) | 2 (1.6) | 3 (1.2) |
| Immunomodulators<sup>b</sup> + Anti-TNF-α agents<sup>c</sup> | 1 (0.7) | 5 (3.9) | 6 (2.3) |
| Corticosteroids<sup>a</sup> + Immunomodulators<sup>b</sup> + Anti-TNF-α agents<sup>c</sup> | 0 | 1 (0.8) | 1 (0.4) |

Values are presented as number (%) or median (range).
UC, ulcerative colitis; CD, Crohn’s disease; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acids; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α.
<sup>a</sup>Corticosteroids include prednisone, methylprednisolone, and beclomethasone dipropionate.
<sup>b</sup>Immunomodulators include azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, and methotrexate.
<sup>c</sup>Anti-TNF-α agents include infliximab, infliximab biosimilar, adalimumab, and golimumab.
DISCUSSION

In this study, we evaluated the seroprevalence of vaccine-preventable viral infectious diseases and EBV among Korean patients with IBD, and the clinical factors associated with seronegativity.

In a previous Canadian study, among pediatric patients with IBD, only 65.8% were seropositive for measles virus IgG [12]. Similarly, a Japanese study on IBD patients aged between 16 and 71 years, reported an IgG seropositivity rate for measles virus of 66.2% [22]. Our study showed a higher seropositivity rate for measles than those reported for Canadian and Japanese patients with IBD [12,22]. In South Korea, after the introduction of the measles-containing vaccine in 1965 and the national immunization program against measles started in 1985, the number of measles cases largely decreased [29-31]. In 2001, after the measles outbreak from 2000 to 2001, a 5-year elimination program, including catch-up vaccination and keep-up programs targeting the 8- to 16-year-old population to ensure the two-dose administration of MMR vaccine, was started [31]. After the 5-year elimination program, almost all Koreans born after 1985 are thought to have been immunized. The World Health Organization also declared measles eliminated in South Korea in 2006 [31]. However, occasional measles outbreaks still occur every 3 to 4 years, and several epidemiological studies on measles in Korea have been conducted since 2010 [30,32,33]. Choe et al. [32] published a seroepidemiological study in 2012, reporting that the measles virus IgG titer showed a half U-shaped curve pattern, and that young adolescents had the lowest seropositivity rate. Kang et al. [33] also investigated the seroprevalence of measles among Korean population in 2017, reporting that the overall seropositivity rate was 71.5%, and the age-specific seropositivity rate was lowest between the 16- and 22-year-old age groups. In a recent Korean study of > 7,000 healthcare workers, Jung et al. [34] reported that the seropositivity rate for measles among healthcare workers declined sharply in the 1990s birth cohorts, which is consistent with our study results. Secondary vaccine failure or waning immunity, in which antibody levels decrease over time after vaccination is presumed to be the main reason for the low seropositivity rate in the immunized population [32-35]. In addition, primary vaccine failure could be considered to be another reason [32]. A similar seroprevalence pattern was also reported in Europe [36-38] and the United States [39], and this is consistent with our results, showing that younger age was associated with seronegativity for measles. In contrast, in another study conducted in the Chicago, among IBD patients aged 18 or older, age at serological test and dis-

Figure 1. Seropositivity of viruses. (A) Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients, (B) ulcerative colitis (UC) patients, (C) Crohn’s disease (CD) patients. The error bars denote 95% confidence intervals. VZV, varicella zoster virus; HAV, hepatitis A virus; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus.
ease duration were associated with measles antibody titers, and the antibody titers were significantly lower in subjects aged 50 years or more [21]. These results may be due to differences in the characteristics of the study populations, vaccination programs, and vaccination rates between the studies.

The seropositivity rate for mumps virus in our study was 85.2%. The seropositivity rate was 60.5% in Canadian pediatric patients with IBD [12] and 63.3% in Japanese patients with IBD [22]. Kim et al. [29] reported that the seropositivity rate for mumps virus in the general Korean population was approximately 80%. Our study subjects showed a higher seropositivity rate than those in previous studies and a similar rate with the general Korean population [12,22,29]. Similar to that for measles, the intensive national immunization strategy using MMR vaccine in Korea might have contributed to the high seropositivity rates.

Our study showed that the seropositivity rate for rubella virus was 66.5%. In previous studies, the rates were 79.1% in Canadian pediatric patients with IBD [12], 70.5% in Japanese patients with IBD [22], and 95.3% in the United States general population [39]. In previous Korean studies, the seropositivity rate against rubella virus was 77.1% in children [40] and 64.5% in the general population [41]. The seropositivity rate for rubella virus in our study appears to be generally lower than those in studies from other countries,
and similar to the data of the general Korean population. The reason for the lower seropositivity rate for rubella virus than those for measles virus and mumps virus in Korea is difficult to explain because the national immunization program was conducted using MMR vaccine. At least, the rubella virus IgG titer after administration of MMR vaccine is known to decrease over time [42], probably explaining the low seropositivity rate (65.5%) and relatively high equivocal level (8.0%) in our study.

The seropositivity rate for VZV was 95.0% in Japanese patients with IBD [22], 70.5% in Canadian pediatric patients with IBD [12], and 85.8% in the general Korean population [43]. Our result of 87.4% seropositivity rate for VZV is similar to that among the general Korean population [43]. Our results showed that younger age at serological test was associated with seronegativity for VZV. This association could be attributed to a presumably higher proportion of subjects with past chickenpox infection in the older population. In Korea, since varicella vaccination was introduced only in 2005 and all of our study subjects were born before 2005, most of the immunized subjects might have acquired immunity through past chickenpox infection. In addition, our study showed that IBD type-UC was associated with seronegativity for VZV compared with CD. A Canadian study with pediatric IBD patients reported that IBD type-UC tended to be associated with seronegativity for VZV, but there was no statistical significance [12]. There have been limited data regarding the association between IBD type and immunity against VZV. There might be effects of other unadjusted factors, such as vaccination history, which was not considered in this study. For patients who lack immunity to VZV, vaccination against varicella should be considered be-

| Viruses | Clinical factors | aOR (95% CI) | p value |
|---------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| Measles | Age at serological test, /yr | 0.92 (0.88–0.96) | < 0.001 |
| Mumps  | None was selected |            |         |
| Rubella | None was selected |            |         |
| VZV     | Age at serological test, /yr | 0.83 (0.74–0.93) | 0.001 |
|         | Crohn’s disease | 0.33 (0.11–0.99) | 0.047 |
| HAV     | Age at serological test, /yr | 0.93 (0.91–0.95) | < 0.001 |
| EBV     | None was selected |            |         |

| UC patients (n = 134) |
|----------------------|
| Measles | Age at serological test, /yr | 0.94 (0.89–0.99) | 0.009 |
|         | Family history of IBD | 5.04 (1.02–24.94) | 0.048 |
| Mumps   | None was selected |            |         |
| Rubella | Age at serological test, /yr | 0.99 (0.96–1.01) | 0.129 |
|         | Family history of IBD | 0.48 (0.10–2.30) | 0.353 |
| VZV     | Age at serological test, /yr | 0.85 (0.74–0.96) | 0.012 |
| HAV     | Age at serological test, /yr | 0.92 (0.90–0.95) | < 0.001 |
| EBV     | None was selected |            |         |

| CD patients (n = 120) |
|----------------------|
| Measles | Age at serological test, /yr | 0.90 (0.84–0.97) | 0.006 |
| Mumps   | None was selected |            |         |
| Rubella | None was selected |            |         |
| VZV     | Age at serological test, /yr | 0.79 (0.63–0.99) | 0.038 |
| HAV     | Age at serological test, /yr | 0.95 (0.91–0.98) | 0.003 |
| EBV     | None was selected |            |         |

aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; VZV, varicella zoster virus; HAV, hepatitis A virus; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; UC, ulcerative colitis; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; CD, Crohn’s disease.
fore starting immunosuppressive therapy because the most commonly used VZV vaccines for chickenpox or herpes zoster are live attenuated vaccines, which should be avoided during high-level immunosuppression [14].

In our study, the seropositivity rate for HAV was only 50.0%, and younger age at serological test was associated with the seronegative status for HAV. In the general Korean population, Lee et al. [44] reported that the seropositivity rate for HAV was 53.8% between 2008 and 2010, and Kim et al. [45] demonstrated a seropositivity rate of 62.2% in 2014. Those studies also showed that age-specific seropositivity rates were lowest in the twenties age groups born in the 1980s and 1990s [44,45]. The seropositivity rate for HAV in our study is similar to those reported in the general Korean population, and the low rate can be attributed to relatively young age of the subjects. The median birth year of our subjects who were tested for anti-HAV IgG was 1987, and 64.1% (168/262) of the patients were born between the 1980 and 2000. The seropositivity rate for HAV in young adults born in the 1980s and 1990s appears to be low because urbanization and the improvement of sanitary conditions resulted in the reduction of childhood exposure to HAV and subsequent acquiring of immunity [45-47]. Moreover, in Korea, HAV vaccine was introduced in 1997 and included in the national mandatory immunization program for children in 2015 [48], which suggests that vaccination rate against HAV among our study patients would have been low because only 41 patients (15.6%) were born after 1997.

The seropositivity rate for EBV was 93.7% in our study. Worldwide, more than 90% of adults have been reported to acquire immunity to EBV after experiencing primary infection during childhood and adolescence [49]. De Francisco et al. [20] reported an overall seroprevalence of EBV of 97.4% among Spanish patients with IBD over the age of 17, which is similar to our results. Our results also suggest that the seroprevalence is similar between patients with IBD and the general population in Korea.

In our study, CD patients showed generally lower seropositivity rates for measles, mumps, and rubella virus and almost half seropositivity rate for HAV, compared with patients with UC. Considering that younger age at serological test was associated with seronegativity for measles and HAV, this observation could be the results of the difference in age distribution between CD and UC patients.

Among patients with UC, family history of IBD was shown to be associated with seronegativity for measles. However, there has been limited data regarding the association between seroprevalence of measles and family history of IBD, among UC patients. Moreover, since the number of study subjects with a positive family history of IBD was limited in our study (n = 12), further larger-scaled studies are required.

In terms of IBD medication, our results demonstrated no significant association between IBD medication and seropositivity for measles, mumps, rubella, VZV, HAV, and EBV. These results correspond to those of previous studies conducted in Chicago, Wisconsin, Alberta, and Japan [12,21,22,50].

In the subgroup analysis for subjects who were not receiving any immunosuppressive therapy, the seropositivity rate for each viral disease was generally similar to those of the entire study subjects. On the contrary, IBD type was not associated with seronegativity for VZV.

This study has some limitations. First, it was a retrospective study performed in a tertiary referral center, which led to referral bias. Second, the vaccination and previous infectious disease histories of each subject were unavailable, and their associations with seropositivity could not be analyzed. However, even if the information has been collected on the basis of the patients’ recall, the memory of patients could have been inaccurate and unreliable, as documented in a previous study [22]. Although the vaccination record of each individual is available in the online database of the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, most data for adults are unavailable. Due to a lack of serological tests for IgM antibodies, as well as a lack of vaccination history, it was not possible to distinguish past infection or immunization from active infection. Finally, because our study did not evaluate the serological status of the non-IBD population, we could not compare the results between IBD and non-IBD populations.

In conclusion, the seropositivity rates for viral infectious diseases in Korean patients with IBD, treated with or without immunosuppressive agents, were similar to those in the general Korean population. Younger age at serological test was associated with seronegative status for measles virus, VZV, and HAV. Moreover, IBD type-UC was associated with seronegativity for VZV. Therefore, evaluation of immunity to measles virus, VZV, and HAV, especially in the younger age group is required, and proper vaccination should be implemented.
KEY MESSAGE

1. The seropositivity rates against vaccine-preventable diseases in the Korean patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), treated with or without immunosuppressive agents, were similar to those in the general Korean population.

2. Younger age at serological test was associated with seronegative status for measles virus, varicella zoster virus (VZV), and hepatitis A virus (HAV). IBD type-ulcerative colitis was associated with seronegative status against VZV.

3. Evaluation of immunity to measles virus, VZV, and HAV, especially in the younger age group, is required, and proper vaccination should be implemented.
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### Supplementary Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the patients who did not undergo immunosuppressive therapy

| Characteristic                                      | UC (n = 107)   | CD (n = 75)   | All patients (n = 182) |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Male sex                                           | 65 (60.7)      | 119 (65.4)    |                        |
| Age at diagnosis, yr                               | 36 (26–50)     | 30 (22–42)    |                        |
| Age at serological test, yr                        | 39 (28–55)     | 32 (32–48)    |                        |
| Disease duration at serological test, mo           | 5 (0–39)       | 2 (0–31)      |                        |
| Family history of IBD                              | 11 (10.3)      | 14 (7.7)      |                        |
| Disease extent of UC at serological test           |                |               |                        |
| Proctitis                                          | 51 (47.7)      |               |                        |
| Left-sided colitis                                 | 30 (28.0)      |               |                        |
| Extensive colitis                                  | 26 (24.3)      |               |                        |
| Disease location of CD at serological test          |                |               |                        |
| Ileum                                              | 19 (25.3)      |               |                        |
| Colon                                              | 5 (6.7)        |               |                        |
| Ileocolon                                          | 51 (68.0)      |               |                        |
| Upper gastrointestinal involvement                 | 18 (24.0)      |               |                        |
| Disease behavior of CD at serological test          |                |               |                        |
| Non-stricturing, non-penetrating                   | 46 (61.3)      |               |                        |
| Stricturing                                        | 13 (17.3)      |               |                        |
| Penetrating                                        | 16 (21.4)      |               |                        |
| Perianal disease                                   | 37 (49.3)      |               |                        |
| Bowel resection surgery history at serological test among CD patients | 10 (13.3) | | |

Values are presented as number (%) or median (range).

UC, ulcerative colitis; CD, Crohn’s disease; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.
Supplementary Table 2. Clinical factors associated with seronegativity among patients who did not undergo immunosuppressive therapy

| Viruses     | Clinical factors                                      | aOR (95% CI)   | p value |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Measles     | Age at serological test, /yr                         | 0.91 (0.87–0.96) | < 0.001 |
| Mumps       | None was selected                                     |                |         |
| Rubella     | None was selected                                     |                |         |
| VZV         | Age at serological test, /yr                         | 0.82 (0.71–0.95) | 0.006   |
|             | Disease duration at serological test, /mo            | 1.02 (1.00–1.03) | 0.072   |
|             | Crohn's disease                                      | 0.32 (0.08–1.25) | 0.099   |
| HAV         | Age at serological test, /yr                         | 0.93 (0.91–0.95) | < 0.001 |
| EBV         | None was selected                                     |                |         |

UC patients (n = 107)

| Viruses     | Clinical factors                                      | aOR (95% CI)   | p value |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Measles     | Age at serological test, /yr                         | 0.94 (0.89–0.99) | 0.015   |
| Mumps       | None was selected                                     |                |         |
| Rubella     | None was selected                                     |                |         |
| VZV         | Age at serological test, /yr                         | 0.86 (0.75–0.99) | 0.035   |
| HAV         | Age at serological test, /yr                         | 0.93 (0.90–0.96) | < 0.001 |
| EBV         | None was selected                                     |                |         |

CD patients (n = 75)

| Viruses     | Clinical factors                                      | aOR (95% CI)   | p value |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Measles     | Age at serological test, /yr                         | 0.84 (0.74–0.95) | 0.006   |
| Mumps       | None was selected                                     |                |         |
| Rubella     | None was selected                                     |                |         |
| VZV         | None was selected                                     |                |         |
| HAV         | Age at serological test, /yr                         | 0.96 (0.91–1.00) | 0.048   |
| EBV         | None was selected                                     |                |         |

aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; VZV, varicella zoster virus; HAV, hepatitis A virus; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; UC, ulcerative colitis; CD, Crohn’s disease.
Seropositivity of viruses among the subgroup who were not receiving immunosuppressive therapy. (A) Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients, (B) ulcerative colitis (UC) patients, (C) Crohn’s disease (CD) patients. The error bars denote 95% confidence intervals. VZV, varicella zoster virus; HAV, hepatitis A virus; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus.