Simultaneous existence of amplified and attenuated surface-plasmon-polariton waves
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Abstract The propagation of surface-plasmon-polariton (SPP) waves guided by the planar interface of (1) an isotropic metal and (2) an active uniaxial dielectric material was theoretically investigated, under the assumption that the optic axis of the uniaxial partnering material lies wholly in the interface plane. The uniaxial partnering material was conceptualized as a homogenized composite material (HCM) arising from both non-dissipative and active component materials. For a certain range of the volume fraction of the active component material, the SPP waves propagating in certain directions in the interface plane are amplified, but the SPP waves propagating in other directions are attenuated. In a critical propagation direction, the SPP wave is neither amplified nor attenuated. This critical propagation direction was found to be acutely sensitive to the composition of the HCM.
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Introduction

In recent times, engineered materials with exotic optical properties, as typified by metamaterials [1], have provided the backdrop for substantial developments in nanotechnology, at least at the conceptualization stage, and sometimes beyond [2]. In particular, when active and dissipative component materials are judiciously combined to form composite materials, the role of the active component material can surpass the simple act of overcoming the debilitating effects of dissipation [3–6]. Homogenization theory predicts that a random mixture of aligned spheroidal particles, made from both active and dissipative materials, can function optically as a homogeneous uniaxial dielectric continuum in which plane waves propagating in certain propagation directions are amplified, but plane waves propagating in other propagation directions are attenuated [7]. In a similar vein, a stack of alternate active and dissipative layers can function as a homogeneous birefringent continuum capable of controlling plane-wave polarization states [8]. Certain mixtures of active and dissipative component materials are, in effect, homogenized composite materials (HCMs) which (a) amplify circularly polarized light of one handedness but attenuate circularly polarized light of the other handedness [9], and other mixtures are HCMs that (b) amplify incident light of one linear polarization state but attenuate incident light of the orthogonal polarization state [10].

In this paper, the focus is on surface-plasmon-polariton (SPP) waves. The SPP wave is probably the most technologically important of the many different types of electromagnetic surface wave [11]; they are widely exploited in optical sensing applications [12, 13], for example. Indeed, the prospect of achieving lossless SPP-wave propagation in preferred directions, which may be particularly useful for long-range optical communications, motivated the present study. Here, we consider SPP waves guided by the planar interface of an active anisotropic dielectric HCM and an isotropic metal (i.e., a dissipative dielectric material whose relative permittivity has a negative-valued real part). The chosen HCM arises from the homogenization of two component materials.
materials: a non-dissipative dielectric material and an active
dielectric material. The prospects for amplification and
attenuation of SPP waves are explored numerically, by
solving the corresponding canonical boundary-value problem
[11] wherein the half-space \( z < 0 \) is filled with the dissipative
metal, whereas the half-space \( z > 0 \) is filled with the active
HCM. As regards notation, \( \hat{u}_x, \hat{u}_y, \) and \( \hat{u}_z \) denote the three
unit vectors parallel to the Cartesian axes; \( k_0 = \omega\sqrt{\varepsilon_0\mu_0} \)
denotes the free-space wave number with \( \omega \) as the angular
frequency and \( \varepsilon_0 \) and \( \mu_0 \) as the permittivity and permeability
of free space, respectively.

The theoretical framework for SPP-wave propagation
guided by the planar interface of a metal and a uniaxial
dielectric material whose optic axis is oriented to lie
wholly in the interface plane is available in detail else-
where [11, 14–16]. Accordingly, only the bare essentials
are presented here. The uniaxial partnering material occu-
yping the half-space \( z > 0 \) is assigned the label \( A \). The
relative permittivity dyadic of material \( A \) is written as

\[
\varepsilon_A = \varepsilon_A^t \mathbf{I} + (\varepsilon_A^t - \varepsilon_A^s) \hat{u} \hat{u}^T
\]  

(1)

Herein \( \mathbf{I} = \hat{u}_x \hat{u}_x + \hat{u}_y \hat{u}_y + \hat{u}_z \hat{u}_z \) is the identity \( 3 \times 3 \)
dyadic [17] and the optic axis of material \( A \) is parallel to
the unit vector

\[
\hat{u} = \hat{u}_x \cos \psi + \hat{u}_y \sin \psi,
\]

(2)

which lies in the \( xy \) plane at an angle \( \psi \) with respect to \( \hat{u}_x \).
Since material \( A \) is uniaxial, both (1) ordinary plane waves
governed by \( \varepsilon_A^s \) and (2) extraordinary plane waves gov-
erned jointly by \( \varepsilon_A^t \) and \( \varepsilon_A^s \) can propagate in it [18]. The
metal occupying the half-space \( z < 0 \) is assigned the label
\( B \). Its relative permittivity is written as \( \varepsilon_B = n_B^2 \). A schematic
illustration of the regions occupied by materials \( A \) and \( B \) is provided in Fig. 1.

Let an SPP wave propagate parallel to \( \hat{u} \) in the \( xy \) plane,
with \( q \) being the surface wavenumber. There is no loss of
generality accrued by this assumption, since the angle \( \psi \) of
the optic axis is not fixed. Because of fourfold symmetry in
the \( xy \) plane, we only consider \( \psi \in [0, \pi/2] \).

In order to determine the normalized wavenumber
\( \tilde{q} = q/k_0, \, \text{Re}\{\tilde{q}\} > 0 \), the dispersion equation [11]

\[
\varepsilon_A^t (\varepsilon_B \varepsilon_{A1} + \varepsilon_A^t \varepsilon_B) (x_B + \varepsilon_{A2}) \tan^2 \psi
= x_{A1} (x_B + \varepsilon_{A1}) (\varepsilon_A^t \varepsilon_B \varepsilon_{A2} + \varepsilon_B \varepsilon_{A1}^s)
\]

(3)

is solved numerically. Herein the decay constants

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{A1} &= \sqrt{\tilde{q}^2 - \varepsilon_A^t} \\
\varepsilon_{A2} &= \sqrt{\varepsilon_A^t \left( \frac{\cos^2 \psi}{\varepsilon_A^t} + \frac{\sin^2 \psi}{\varepsilon_A^s} \right) - 1}
\end{align*}
\]

(4)

are such that \( \text{Re}\{\varepsilon_{A1}\} > 0, \, \text{Re}\{\varepsilon_{A2}\} > 0, \) and
\( \text{Re}\{\varepsilon_B\} > 0 \).

Thus, the SPP wave is amplified as it propagates if
\( \text{Im}\{\tilde{q}\} < 0 \), whereas it is attenuated if \( \text{Im}\{\tilde{q}\} > 0 \). If
\( \text{Im}\{\tilde{q}\} = 0 \) then the SPP wave propagates without amplification
or attenuation.

Numerical studies

Partnering materials

The combined effects of dissipation and amplification in
the nonmetallic partnering material on SPP-wave propa-
gation are conveniently explored by taking material \( A \) to
be an HCM, arising from two component materials: com-
ponent material \( Aa \) and component material \( Ab \). Both
component materials \( Aa \) and \( Ab \) are isotropic dielectric
materials, with relative permittivities written as \( \varepsilon_{Aa} \) and
\( \varepsilon_{Ab} \), respectively. The volume fractions of these materials
are written as \( f_{Aa} \) and \( f_{Ab} = 1 - f_{Aa} \). The anisotropy of the
HCM stems from the shapes of the particles making up
component materials \( Aa \) and \( Ab \). We assume that the
component materials are randomly distributed as aciculate
particles oriented parallel to \( \hat{u} \) [19]. Thus, the following
estimates for the relative permittivity parameters of mater-
ial \( A \) are yielded by the Bruggeman homogenization
formalism [20]:
A ¼ \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\varepsilon_{A} - \varepsilon_{b}) (\varepsilon_{A} - \varepsilon_{a}) + \sqrt{[(\varepsilon_{A} - \varepsilon_{b}) (\varepsilon_{A} - \varepsilon_{a})]^2 + 4\varepsilon_{Aa} \varepsilon_{Ab}} \right]

\varepsilon_{A} = \varepsilon_{Aa} \varepsilon_{Ab} + f_{Ab} \varepsilon_{Ab}

(5)

Parenthetically, a similarly anisotropic continuum could arise from the homogenization of a periodic multilayer [18].

A non-dissipative dielectric material was chosen for component material \(Aa\). Specifically, \(\varepsilon_{Aa} = 4.426\), which is the relative permittivity of hafnium dioxide–yttrium oxide at a free-space wavelength of 650 nm [21]. An active dielectric material was chosen for component material \(Ab\). Specifically, \(\varepsilon_{Ab} = 2 - 0.1i\), which lies comfortably within the range of relative permittivities typically encountered for active components of metamaterials at wavelengths in the visible regime. For example, across the frequency range 440–500 THz, a mixture of Rhodamine 800 and Rhodamine 6G has a relative permittivity with imaginary part in the range \((-0.15, -0.02)\) and real part in the range \((1.8, 2.3)\), depending upon the relative concentrations and the external pumping rate [6].

In Fig. 2, plots are presented of the Bruggeman estimates of \(\varepsilon_{A}^{\prime}\) and \(\varepsilon_{A}^{\prime}\) versus volume fraction \(f_{Ab}\). Clearly, both \(\text{Im} \{\varepsilon_{A}^{\prime}\} < 0\) and \(\text{Im} \{\varepsilon_{A}^{\prime}\} < 0\) for \(0 < f_{Ab} < 1\). Therefore, the HCM is wholly active, regardless of volume fractions of the two component materials. Also, the degree of anisotropy of the HCM, as represented by both the real and imaginary parts of \(\varepsilon_{A}^{\prime}\) and \(\varepsilon_{A}^{\prime}\), is greatest for mid-range values of \(f_{Ab}\). Only in the limits \(f_{Ab} \to 0\) and \(f_{Ab} \to 1\) does the HCM become isotropic.

### Surface-wave analysis

Now we turn to SPP waves guided by the planar interface at \(z = 0\). For this purpose, the metal occupying the half-space \(z < 0\) was selected to be silver. Thus, \(\varepsilon_{B} = -19.440 + 0.461i\) which represents the relative permittivity of silver at a free-space wavelength of 650 nm [22]. In Fig. 3, the real and imaginary parts of the normalized wavenumber \(\tilde{q}\), as calculated from Eq. (3) using Eq. (4), are plotted versus the propagation angle \(\psi\) for \(f_{Ab} \in \{0.110, 0.116, 0.122\}\). Unlike the case for Dyakonov surface waves [23, 24], for example, SPP-wave
propagation is possible for all values of $\omega$. When $f_{A_b} = 0.110$, the imaginary part of $\tilde{q}$ is positive, regardless of the value of $\omega$. (The same is true when $0 < f_{A_b} < 0.110$, but these results are not presented here). When $f_{A_b} = 0.122$, the imaginary part of $\tilde{q}$ is negative, regardless of the value of $\omega$. (The same is true when $0.122 < f_{A_b} < 1$, but these results are not presented here). Therefore, SPP waves are attenuated for small values of $f_{A_b}$ but amplified at large values of $f_{A_b}$, regardless of the direction of propagation.

Most interestingly, when $f_{A_b}$ lies between 0.110 and 0.122, the imaginary part of $\tilde{q}$ is positive for small values of $\psi \in [0, \pi/2]$ but negative for large values of $\psi \in [0, \pi/2]$. For example, in the case of $f_{A_b} = 0.116$ represented in Fig. 3, $\Im \{\tilde{q}\} > 0$ for $\psi < 42.7^\circ$, whereas $\Im \{\tilde{q}\} < 0$ for $\psi > 42.7^\circ$. Thus, at intermediate values of $f_{A_b}$, SPP waves are attenuated for certain propagation directions but amplified for other propagation directions.

Further light is shed on this matter by considering the electric field phasor $E(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{\xi} \exp(i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})$, with complex-valued amplitude vector $\mathbf{\xi}$ and wave vector $\mathbf{k}$, in the $xz$ plane for the regions above and below the interface $z = 0$. The magnitudes of the Cartesian components of $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{\hat{u}_x} + z \mathbf{\hat{u}_z})$ are mapped in the $xz$ plane in Fig. 4 for the case where $\psi = 25.0^\circ$ and $f_{A_b} = 0.116$. Normalization: $\mathbf{\xi}^* \mathbf{\hat{u}_y} = 1 \text{ V m}^{-1}$ for $z < 0$.
provided. For this value of $\psi$, we see from Fig. 3 that $\tilde{q} = 2.26246 - 0.00026i$. The pattern of amplification of $|E(x\hat{u}_x + z\hat{u}_z)|$ apparent in Fig. 6 is the opposite of the pattern of attenuation apparent in Fig. 4, with the maximum of $|E(x\hat{u}_x + z\hat{u}_z)|$ in Fig. 6 being concentrated in the region slightly above the interface $z = 0$, especially so in the case of the $\gamma$-directed component of $E(x\hat{u}_x + z\hat{u}_z)$.

The transition from $\text{Im} \{\tilde{q}\} > 0$ to $\text{Im} \{\tilde{q}\} < 0$ as $\psi$ increases, as illustrated in Fig. 3 for $f_{A0} = 0.116$, is smooth. Consequently, there exists a critical value $\psi_c$ of $\psi$ which $\text{Im} \{\tilde{q}\} = 0$. For example, $\psi_c = 42.7^\circ$ for at $f_{A0} = 0.116$. At this critical propagation angle, the SPP wave propagates without amplification or attenuation. This matter is pursued in Fig. 7 wherein the critical angle $\psi_c$ is plotted versus $f_{A0}$. Clearly, $\psi_c$ is highly sensitive to changes in $f_{A0}$. For example, as $f_{A0}$ increases from 0.112 to 0.120, $\psi_c$ decreases in an approximately linear manner from 67.5° to 16.5°.

In order to explore further the sensitivity of $\psi_c$ to changes in the composition of the material occupying the half-space $z > 0$, we fixed $f_{A0} = 0.116$ but allow the constitutive properties of component material $Aa$ to vary. To more conveniently allow comparisons with optical sensing studies, let us introduce the refractive index of component material $Aa$, namely $n_{Aa} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{Aa}}$. In Fig. 8, the critical propagation direction $\psi_c$ is plotted against $n_{Aa}$, with $n_{Aa}$ centered on $\sqrt{4.426} = 2.104$. Clearly, $\psi_c$ is highly sensitive to changes in $n_{Aa}$. For example, as $n_{Aa}$ increases from 2.08 to 2.15, $\psi_c$ increases in an approximately linear manner from 24.5° to 79.5°, which corresponds to an approximate sensitivity of 786 degrees per refractive index unit.

**Closing remarks**

To conclude, SPP waves guided by the planar interface of an isotropic metal and a uniaxial dielectric HCM, arising from both non-dissipative and active component materials, were theoretically investigated for the case where the optic axis of the uniaxial HCM lies wholly in the interface plane. At low values of the volume fraction of the active component material, the SPP wave is attenuated, while amplification occurs at high values of the same volume fraction.
regardless of the propagation direction. However, for a relatively small range of intermediate volume-fraction values, the SPP waves propagating in certain directions in the interface plane are amplified, but the SPP waves propagating in other directions are attenuated. Furthermore, in a critical propagation direction the SPP wave is neither amplified nor attenuated. The critical propagation direction is acutely sensitive to the composition of the HCM. These characteristics may be harnessed for applications involving optical sensing and/or optical communications.

Lossless solutions, arising from a combination of an isotropic dissipative material and an isotropic active
material, appear in the context of PT-symmetric materials [25]. Thus, if $\kappa_{\text{2}} = n^2_{\text{2}}$, then lossless surface waves may arise provided that $n_4$ and $n_6$ are complex conjugates. As an example, if $n_4 = 4 - 0.2i$ and $n_6 = 4 + 0.2i$, then $\alpha_{41} = \alpha_{42} = 0.283 + 2.825i$, $\alpha_{6} = 0.283 - 2.825i$, and $\tilde{q} = 2.839$. However, no such symmetry relating the constitutive parameters of materials $A$ and $B$ is apparent when material $A$ is a uniaxial dielectric material, the complexity of the dispersion Eq. (3) obscuring any such symmetry.

Lastly, let us note that this directional attenuation/amplification phenomenon stems from the anisotropy of the HCM: if the HCM were to be isotropic instead of anisotropic, then the characteristics of the SPP wave would be the same for all propagation directions.
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