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COVID-19 Outbreak in an Urban Hemodialysis Unit

Kevin Yau, Matthew P. Muller, Molly Lin, Naureen Siddiqui, Sanja Neskovic, Gagan Shokar, Ramzi Fattouh, Larissa M. Matukas, William Beaubien-Souligny, Alison Thomas, Jordan J. Weinstein, Jeffrey Zaltzman, and Ron Wald

Rationale & Objective: Hemodialysis patients are at increased risk for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) transmission due in part to difficulty maintaining physical distancing. Our hemodialysis unit experienced a COVID-19 outbreak despite following symptom-based screening guidelines. We describe the course of the COVID-19 outbreak and the infection control measures taken for mitigation.

Study Design: Retrospective cohort study.

Setting & Participants: 237 maintenance hemodialysis patients and 93 hemodialysis staff at a single hemodialysis center in Toronto, Canada.

Exposure: Universal screening of patients and staff for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2).

Outcomes: The primary outcome was detection of SARS-CoV-2 in nasopharyngeal samples from patients and staff using reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).

Analytical Approach: Descriptive statistics were used for clinical characteristics and the primary outcome.

Results: 11 of 237 (4.6%) hemodialysis patients and 11 of 93 (12%) staff members had a positive RT-PCR test result for SARS-CoV-2. Among individuals testing positive, 12 of 22 (55%) were asymptomatic at time of testing and 7 of 22 (32%) were asymptomatic for the duration of follow-up. One patient was hospitalized at the time of SARS-CoV-2 infection and 4 additional patients with positive test results were subsequently hospitalized. 2 (18%) patients required admission to the intensive care unit. After 30 days' follow-up, no patients had died or required mechanical ventilation. No hemodialysis staff required hospitalization. Universal droplet and contact precautions were implemented during the outbreak. Hemodialysis staff with SARS-CoV-2 infection were placed on home quarantine regardless of symptom status. Patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, including asymptomatic individuals, were treated with droplet and contact precautions until confirmation of negative SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test results. Analysis of the outbreak identified 2 index cases with subsequent nosocomial transmission within the dialysis unit and in shared shuttle buses to the hemodialysis unit.

Limitations: Single-center study.

Conclusions: Universal SARS-CoV-2 testing and universal droplet and contact precautions in the setting of an outbreak appeared to be effective in preventing further transmission.

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has prompted widespread restrictions on ambulatory in-person health care encounters. However, patients with kidney failure who receive maintenance hemodialysis must continue to receive life-sustaining treatment, typically 3 times per week.1 Hemodialysis attendance, including travel to and from the center, entails close interaction with individuals who may be infected with SARS-CoV-2.2 Concerns regarding viral acquisition are heightened because hemodialysis recipients have multiple risk factors for severe COVID-19.3 The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American Society of Nephrology have issued interim guidance to prevent COVID-19 in outpatient hemodialysis units, including screening protocols to identify symptomatic patients or health care workers.4 However, a recent outbreak at a skilled nursing facility has led to increasing recognition of the role of asymptomatic individuals in disease transmission.5 We report the dynamics and course of a recent COVID-19 outbreak affecting patients and staff at an urban hemodialysis unit.

Methods

St. Michael’s Hospital is an academic medical center in Toronto, Canada, at which 240 patients receive maintenance hemodialysis. The hemodialysis unit is divided into 2 large rooms on the same floor down the hall from each other. Each room is further subdivided into 3 clusters of 4 to 8 dialysis stations referred to as “pods.” Hemodialysis staff are assigned to work with patients in a specific pod, although they may assist patients in other pods. Hemodialysis patients typically dialyze 3 times a week on a morning, afternoon, evening, or overnight shift.

Before the outbreak, physical distancing was implemented in the waiting room and 2 layers of prescreening for symptoms were conducted before dialysis: the first by telephone on the day before the scheduled dialysis session...
The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has presented unique challenges to patients receiving hemodialysis. Despite having risk factors for severe infection, dialysis patients must visit health care facilities thrice weekly, where physical distancing is challenging. Despite protocols in place to identify and isolate symptomatic individuals, we report a COVID-19 outbreak in a hemodialysis unit in Toronto, Ontario, that prompted the screening of all hemodialysis patients and most staff for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection detected in nasopharyngeal swab specimens, regardless of symptoms. 11 of 237 (4.6%) hemodialysis patients and 11 of 93 (12%) staff tested positive for COVID-19. Notably, 55% of those with positive test results were asymptomatic at the time of testing. This study demonstrates the importance of universal testing in stopping the spread of COVID-19 during an outbreak.

and the second following the patient’s arrival in the dialysis unit waiting area. Dialysis prescreening involved recording tympanic temperature and a standard questionnaire screening for clinical symptoms. The questionnaire consisted of the following 3 questions: (1) “Do you have any of the following symptoms: fever, new or worsening cough, new sore throat, new runny nose, or new shortness of breath?” (2) “Have you had close unprotected contact with someone who has tested positive for COVID-19 in the last 14 days?” (3) “Have you traveled outside of the country in the last 14 days?” Patients with a fever or with a positive answer in the screening questionnaire were sequestered in a designated room for acquisition of a COVID-19 nasopharyngeal swab specimen and hemodialysis was performed under droplet and contact precautions. Universal masking for staff in patient care areas was implemented on March 26, 2020.

This study was approved by the Unity Health Research Ethics Board. Patient and staff consent were waived due to infection control measures with the exception of the 2 COVID-19–infected patients admitted to the intensive care unit, from whom informed consent was obtained. The reporting of this study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines.6

Two patients had COVID-19 diagnosed on April 7, 2020. The detection of 3 additional cases on April 9, 2020, led to the declaration of an outbreak. Investigation efforts were undertaken by an outbreak management team that was led primarily by the hospital Infection Prevention and Control (IPAC) team in collaboration with public health authorities and the hemodialysis unit. Between April 11, 2020, and April 22, 2020, all remaining patients and staff who interacted with hemodialysis patients were tested for SARS-CoV-2 using nasopharyngeal swabs.

SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal swab specimens were collected by personnel who had received instruction in the proper technique. Nasopharyngeal swab collection was performed by physicians, nurse practitioners, and staff from the hospital’s COVID-19 Assessment Centre under droplet and contact precautions in the hemodialysis unit with curtains drawn around the dialysis station at which the patient was being swabbed. SARS-CoV-2 testing was performed with the NucliSENS easyMAG extractor/ABI QuantStudio5 platform using the Altona RealStar SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR [reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction] Kit 1.0. Hemodialysis unit staff who were sent for screening included physicians, nurse practitioners, nurses, dialysis support assistants, medical imaging technologists, allied health professionals, porters, and environmental services staff. Universal droplet and contact precautions including gloves, face shields, surgical masks, and isolation gowns were initiated on April 9, 2020, on the dialysis shift with known affected patients and expanded to the entire dialysis unit on April 10, 2020.

Contact tracing was a joint undertaking led by IPAC and the hospital’s occupational health department, with IPAC taking the primary lead for patient contact tracing, and occupational health, for staff contact tracing. Public health authorities conducted contact tracing for family members and community contacts. This included symptom screening of contacts and ongoing monitoring for 14 days postexposure. All asymptomatic contacts were referred for testing but asymptomatic household contacts were not routinely tested as per public health protocols at the time.

For hemodialysis patients testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, information regarding symptoms, date of symptom onset, dialysis shift, location within the dialysis unit, and recent contacts was recorded. Patients frequently use a government-funded shuttle bus for transportation to and from the hemodialysis unit. These buses typically carry 5 to 10 individuals per vehicle. Since January 30, 2020, the service reported taking additional measures to reduce the risk for transmission of COVID-19, including additional cleaning, touch points being wiped down, education of employees regarding the procedure for handling individuals with COVID-19, and adjustment of patients allowed per trip to maintain adequate physical distancing. Given the risk for COVID-19 transmission in this venue, patient use of this shared shuttle bus service was documented.

Hemodialysis staff testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 had information recorded regarding dates worked, symptoms, date of symptom onset, duration of symptoms, locations worked while symptomatic, locations worked during 48 hours to 2 weeks before symptom onset, personal protective equipment use, and recent contacts, including patient interactions.
Results

Universal SARS-CoV-2 Testing, Clinical Characteristics, and Outcomes

Among 237 (99%) hemodialysis patients who agreed to testing, 11 (4.6%) tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, while 11 of 93 (12%) staff tested were found to be positive (Fig 1). At the time of testing, 6 (55%) patients and 6 (55%) staff positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection were asymptomatic. Three (27%) patients and 4 (36%) staff remained asymptomatic for the entire duration of follow-up (Table 1). Among the 11 patients with COVID-19, median age was 66 (interquartile range, 63-72) years, 6 (55%) were men, and 7 (64%) were dialyzed on the same shift (Table 2). One patient was an inpatient at the time of SARS-CoV-2 infection and 4 additional patients with COVID-19 were hospitalized; 2 (18%) patients required admission to the intensive care unit. No hemodialysis staff with SARS-CoV-2 infection required hospital admission. At a median of 30 days’ follow-up, no patients required mechanical ventilation or had died.

Outbreak Analysis

Analysis of the outbreak through contact tracing suggests that the 2 index cases acquired infection outside the dialysis unit. Our first suspected index case was a patient who resided at a skilled nursing facility that was experiencing a COVID-19 outbreak. The second suspected index case was an asymptomatic hemodialysis staff member who likely acquired SARS-CoV-2 infection through community transmission. Subsequent nosocomial transmission likely occurred within the hemodialysis unit and on the shuttle bus service during transit to and from dialysis (Fig 2).

Figure 1. Epidemic curve of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in the St. Michael’s Hospital hemodialysis unit. Abbreviations: NP, nasopharyngeal; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

Table 1. Clinical Symptoms and Outcomes Among Hemodialysis Patients and Staff With COVID-19

| symptoms at time of positive NP swab |
|------------------------------------|
| Hemodialysis Patients (n = 11) | Hemodialysis Staff (n = 11) |
| Fever | 1 (9%) | 2 (18%) |
| Cough | 3 (27%) | 2 (18%) |
| Shortness of breath | 0 (0%) | 1 (9%) |
| Rhinorrhea | 0 (0%) | 2 (18%) |
| Sore throat | 0 (0%) | 5 (45%) |
| Altered level of consciousness | 1 (9%) | 0 (0%) |

Asymptomatic

Hemodialysis Patients | 6 (55%) | Hemodialysis Staff | 6 (55%) |

Clinical outcomes

Follow-up period, days

Hemodialysis Patients | 30 (26-38) | 28 (16-30) |

Hospitalization

Hemodialysis Patients | 5 (45%) | 0 (0%) |

Supplementary oxygen

Hemodialysis Patients | 5 (45%) | 0 (0%) |

Mechanical ventilation

Hemodialysis Patients | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |

Intensive care unit admission

Hemodialysis Patients | 2 (9%) | 0 (0%) |

Death

Hemodialysis Patients | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |

Asymptomatic throughout illness

Hemodialysis Patients | 3 (27%) | 4 (36%) |

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; NP, nasopharyngeal.

aMedian (range).
patients took the same shuttle bus service to the same hemodialysis shift as other infected patients but dialyzed in different parts of the hemodialysis unit, suggesting that they acquired COVID-19 outside the hemodialysis unit.

**Response to the Outbreak**

Following declaration of the outbreak, additional infection control measures were implemented. Droplet and contact precautions were mandated for all patient contact until outbreak resolution. SARS-CoV-2–positive patients were cohorted in a dedicated waiting room that was subjected to thorough cleaning after the patient’s departure. The number of environmental services staff was escalated to increase the frequency of unit cleaning. “Safety coaches” were deployed to the hemodialysis unit to provide feedback to staff regarding proper use of personal protective equipment. All inpatients were dialyzed in their hospital room regardless of COVID-19 status. Porters were required to use a face shield and mask when transporting dialysis patients, and universal masking of patients was implemented. Patient movement between dialysis shifts was restricted and extra dialysis sessions (eg, a Saturday session for a patient who normally dialyzes on Monday, Wednesday, and Friday) were put on hold to limit a given patient’s exposure to additional cohorts of patients.

Patients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, including asymptomatic individuals, were dialyzed in a dedicated room separate from the main hemodialysis unit for the duration of their infection and maintained on droplet and contact precautions. Repeat testing was performed following symptom resolution and a minimum of 14 days from symptom onset. Two negative SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal swab test results within a 24-hour period were required before the patient being allowed to return to his or her regular station in the dialysis unit. Among the 6 patients with a persistently positive SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal swab result, 5 (83%) were hospitalized (Table 3).

Hemodialysis staff with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, including those who were asymptomatic, were asked to self-isolate at home. Five hemodialysis staff were allowed to return to work following symptom resolution and documentation of 2 negative results of SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal swab tests performed 14 days from symptom onset. The return-to-work policy for hemodialysis staff was revised by IPAC on May 7, 2020, to no longer require repeat SARS-CoV-2 testing. Following this change in policy, the remaining 6 hemodialysis staff with SARS-CoV-2 infection were allowed to return to work 14 days from symptom onset assuming that symptoms had resolved, without demonstration of a negative SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal swab test result.

**Outbreak Resolution**

The outbreak was declared resolved on May 10, 2020, by IPAC on the basis of no new cases being detected in the hemodialysis unit over a 14-day period. Because the outbreak was declared over, only individuals who reported symptoms during the predialysis screening process were tested. No additional patient or staff cases have been identified as of June 19, 2020. Although droplet and contact precautions were rescinded in the hemodialysis unit, masks remain mandatory throughout the hospital and face shields must be worn by all hemodialysis staff in the course of patient care.

**Discussion**

This report highlights the unique susceptibility of hemodialysis patients and providers to infection with SARS-CoV-2. Despite implementation of recommended symptom-based screening measures nearly 1 month before the outbreak, nosocomial transmission occurred in a crowded health care environment. Universal screening during this outbreak showed that 4.6% of hemodialysis patients and 12% of hemodialysis staff had positive SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal swab test results.
Studies of COVID-19 in hemodialysis patients have been limited. A hemodialysis center in China that used a computed tomography–based screening algorithm for SARS-CoV-2 reported a prevalence of 17% among patients and 12% among staff. A large dialysis center in the United Kingdom reported that 19.6% of patients developed COVID-19 over a 6-week period, with clustering of cases on specific dialysis shifts and high rates of nursing staff illness. A study of 1,027 hemodialysis patients in China identified 9.6% of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, of whom 51% were asymptomatic for the duration of infection. In this report, 48% of cases had negative RT-PCR test results and were identified only by positive immunoglobulin M (IgM) or IgG antibodies directed against the nucleocapsid and spike protein of SARS-CoV-2.

Hemodialysis units are high-risk venues for mass transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infection across a vulnerable population. In this study, a shared shuttle bus service was identified as an additional site for transmission of COVID-19 outside the hemodialysis unit. Despite 12% of staff testing positive, a greater number of patients did not become infected, likely secondary to the implementation of universal droplet and contact precautions within the hemodialysis unit. Our hemodialysis unit is divided into 2 separate rooms, each of which is further subdivided into 3 clusters of dialysis chairs referred to as pods. Dates on the arrows reflect the day of hypothesized transmission.

Table 3. Hemodialysis Patients With COVID-19 Who Had Repeat SARS-CoV-2 Nasopharyngeal Swab Tests

|                        | Repeat NP Swab |          |
|------------------------|----------------|----------|
|                        | Positive (n = 6) | Negative (n = 5) |
| Time to re-swab, da    | 20 (18-25) | 17 (14-19) |
| Hospitalized           | 5 (83%)  | 0 (0%)   |
| Asymptomatic during entire follow-up | 0 (0%) | 3 (60%) |

Note: n = 11.
Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; NP, nasopharyngeal; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

aMedian (range).
Although we observed that 5 of the 6 patients with positive RT-PCR results for SARS-CoV-2 at least 14 days after an initial positive nasopharyngeal swab result were hospitalized, the clinical significance of these persistently positive SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal swab results remains uncertain at this time. Out of an abundance of caution, our infection control policy for patients has been to obtain 2 negative SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal swab test results within 24 hours of each other following clinical resolution and a minimum of 14 days from date of diagnosis before discontinuing droplet and contact precautions. For hemodialysis staff, a time- and test-based strategy requiring 2 negative SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal swab results was initially used to determine eligibility for return to work. A change to only a time-based strategy to allow for return to work was made due to concerns that persistently positive SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal swab test results could lead to hemodialysis staffing shortages. Given that hemodialysis staff wore masks and face shields for all patient interactions, the change in policy was thought to pose a minimal risk for further transmission.

Rigorous pretreatment screening procedures are needed to promptly identify symptomatic patients with COVID-19. However, during this outbreak, 55% of cases were asymptomatic at the time of testing, highlighting the need for comprehensive contact tracing and unit-wide screening of hemodialysis patients and staff when clusters of new COVID-19 cases are discovered. In conclusion, universal screening for SARS-CoV-2 infection, implementation of universal droplet and contact precautions until outbreak resolution, isolation of infected patients during dialysis, and home quarantine for infected staff were essential in containing our COVID-19 outbreak.
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# COVID - 19 Outbreak in an Urban Hemodialysis Unit

| Setting & Participants | Interventions | Results |
|------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Toronto, Canada        | Universal screening for SARS-CoV-2 using nasopharyngeal swabs for all patients and staff | 11 of 237 **PATIENTS** SARS-CoV-2 positive 4.6% |
| COVID-19 Outbreak       | Droplet and contact precautions for all patients | 11 of 93 **STAFF** SARS-CoV-2 positive 12% |
| 237 Hemodialysis Patients | Infected staff quarantined at home | SARS-CoV-2-Positive Patients & Staff 55% 12 of 22 asymptomatic at testing |
| 93 Hemodialysis Staff  |                                           | 32% 7 of 22 asymptomatic at follow up |

**CONCLUSION:** Universal screening for SARS-CoV-2 with nasopharyngeal swabs detected asymptomatic cases and was essential in containing a COVID-19 outbreak.