A Systematic Review of Reproductive Counseling in Cases of Parental Constitutional Reciprocal Translocation (9;22) Mimicking BCR-ABL1

Zimeng Gao1, Stephanie M. Rice2, Sascha Wodoslawsky3, Sara C. Long4, Zi-Xuan Wang4, Mehnoosh Torkzaban5, Ana Milena Angarita Africano6, Jinglan Liu4 and Huda B. Al-Kouatly2*

1Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sidney Kimmel Medical College at Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, United States, 2Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Sidney Kimmel Medical College at Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, United States, 3Sidney Kimmel Medical College at Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, United States, 4Department of Pathology, Clinical Cytogenomics, Anatomy, and Cell Biology, Sidney Kimmel Medical College at Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, United States, 5Department of Radiology, Sidney Kimmel Medical College at Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, United States

We aim to determine the spectrum of cytogenetic abnormalities and outcomes in unbalanced offspring of asymptomatic constitutional balanced t(9;22) carriers through a systematic literature review. We also include a case of a constitutional balanced t(9;22) carrier from our institution. Among the 16 balanced t(9;22) carriers in our review, 13 were maternal and 3 were paternal. Of the 15 unbalanced translocation cases identified, 13 were live births, one was a missed abortion, and one resulted in pregnancy termination.

The spectrum of established syndromes reported among the live births was the following: trisomy 9p syndrome (6/13), dual trisomy 9p and DiGeorge syndrome (3/13), dual 9q subtelomere deletion syndrome and DiGeorge syndrome (1/13), 9q subtelomere deletion syndrome (1/13), and DiGeorge syndrome (1/13). One unbalanced case did not have a reported syndrome. The phenotype of the unbalanced cases included cardiac abnormalities (5/13), neurological findings (7/13), intellectual disability (6/10), urogenital anomalies (3/13), respiratory or immune dysfunction (3/13), and facial or skeletal dysmorphias (13/13). Any constitutional balanced reciprocal t(9;22) carrier should be counseled regarding the increased risk of having a child with an unbalanced translocation, the spectrum of possible cytogenetic abnormalities, and predicted clinical phenotype for the unbalanced derivative.
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INTRODUCTION
Chromosomal translocations are structural abnormalities characterized by the exchange of chromosomal segments between nonhomologous and homologous chromosomes. Balanced translocations refer to instances of chromosomal rearrangement without apparent loss in genetic material and full functionality, while unbalanced translocations result in an overall genetic gain
or loss (Morin et al., 2017). Balanced or unbalanced structural translocations have a frequency of 1.7% in the general population (Paththinige et al., 2019). Apparently balanced chromosomal arrangements have an estimated frequency of 0.5% in newborns, of which 14% are de novo (Jacobs et al., 1992).

Apparently constitutional balanced translocations associated with normal phenotypes can be transmitted through generations without major adverse effects, except for an increased risk of reproductive loss or abnormal phenotypes in offspring (Gribble et al., 2005). Phenotypically normal carriers of balanced translocations are at a higher risk of producing offspring with unbalanced translocations with potential outcomes of developmental delay, congenital cardiac defects, urogenital anomalies, respiratory or immune dysfunctions, or facial or skeletal dysmorphias (Ganguly et al., 2011). These carriers of balanced translocations are frequently identified only after recurrences of unbalanced offspring within families. If an abnormal phenotype is identified in a balanced translocation carrier, chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA) or next-generation sequencing (NGS) may be performed to detect possible copy-number variation (CNV) at breakpoints of the translocation (Weckselblatt and Rudd, 2015). Submicroscopic deletions or duplications can be seen in 46% of individuals with an abnormal phenotype who carry a de novo apparently balanced chromosomal rearrangement, and in 25% of affected individuals with an inherited apparently balanced chromosomal rearrangement (Tabet et al., 2015).

Several uncommon constitutional balanced translocations have been reported, which include: t(8;22)(q24.13;q11.21), t(4;8)(p16; p23), t(4;11)(p16.2;p15.4), t(8;12)(p23.1;p13.31), and t(9;22)(q34; q11.2). These translocations result from nonallelic homologous recombination through breaks in adenine and thymine residue-rich (AT-rich) repeats repaired by nonhomologous end-joining (Czuchlewski et al., 2011; Ou et al., 2011). Some balanced translocations such as the Philadelphia chromosome, t(9;22)(q34; q11.2), may be postnatally acquired. This acquired translocation is characterized by a BCR-ABL1 gene fusion responsible for chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), certain subtypes of acute myeloid leukemia (AML), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL).

In our systematic literature review of constitutional reciprocal t(9;22) carriers, we describe the genetic and phenotypic characteristics of their unbalanced offspring. Our review was inspired by a case at our institution, in which a patient with a history of recurrent pregnancy loss carried a constitutional balanced t(9;22)(q34;q11.2). This uncommon translocation, which mimics the somatic balanced translocation seen in CML, AML, and ALL subtypes, has only been reported twice in prior literature (McGoey and Lacassie, 2009; Czuchlewski et al., 2011). In this review, we investigate how this translocation pertains to her pregnancies.

**CASE**

A 31-year-old G3P0020 woman of Indian descent presented for prenatal genetic counseling with her partner at 13w5d gestation. The patient has a past medical history of pulmonic stenosis and hidradenitis suppurativa. Her partner is also of Indian descent and a self-reported heterozygote for Factor V Leiden identified through direct-to-consumer genetic testing. The couple had previously experienced two early first trimester pregnancy losses, and genetic testing was not performed on the couple or the products of conception in either pregnancy loss. The couple underwent a workup for recurrent pregnancy loss at a local reproductive endocrinology and infertility clinic.

The patient’s partner had a normal male karyogram (46, XY), while the patient was found to have an apparently balanced reciprocal translocation between chromosomes 9 and 22 [46,XX,t(9;22)(q34;q11.2)] (Figure 1C; Appendix B). The breakpoints of her constitutional translocation are similar to those of the somatic balanced translocation t(9;22)(q34;q11.2) observed in CML and certain AML and ALL subtypes. Given these break point similarities, fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) was performed on both interphase nuclei and metaphase cells (Appendix B). The interphase FISH study showed no BCR-ABL1 fusion (Figure 1A), while metaphase FISH demonstrated a translocation between the long arms of chromosomes 9 and 22 at 9q34 and 22q11.2, respectively, without involvement of the ABL1 and BCR genes (Figures 1B, D). Despite having seemingly identical chromosomal alterations by conventional cytogenetic analysis, the negative FISH results confirmed that our patient did not have a pathogenic t(9;22)(9q34;22q11.2) that results in a BCR-ABL1 chimeric product.

Additionally, no evidence of leukemic process was identified on complete blood count (CBC). Further workup with reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was recommended to quantitatively detect t(9;22) BCR-ABL1 transcripts. The absence of transcripts would rule out the possibility of a cryptic translocation that could result in leukemia, though unlikely given the patient’s normal CBC. The patient declined further workup with RT-PCR.

The patient’s nuchal translucency ultrasound and first trimester sequential screen were normal. After reviewing her karyotype and discussing the increased risk of an unbalanced translocation in her fetus, the patient elected amniocentesis. The results of the amniocentesis revealed a normal male fetal karyotype (46,XY) with no clinically significant numerical or structural chromosome abnormalities. Chromosome 9 and 22 homologs appeared normal at the 450-band resolution of karyotyping. Additionally, the CMA was consistent with a normal male fetus without clinically significant CNV or copy neutral regions detected at a resolution of 50 kb or higher (Appendix B). Finally, both her amniotic fluid alpha-fetoprotein (AF-AFP) testing and limited fetal anatomy ultrasound completed at the time of amniocentesis were normal.

An echocardiogram was performed to evaluate the patient’s pulmonic stenosis at 18 weeks gestation, which indicated an increase in trans-pulmonic gradient as compared to her cardiac MRI images from two years prior. This increase, though still in the mild range, was attributed to the high-flow state in pregnancy. A repeat echocardiogram was completed at 30 weeks gestation, which indicated no significant changes since the prior study at 18 weeks.

The patient underwent parental CMA to determine the etiology of her pulmonic valve stenosis by evaluating sub-microscopic

---

**Figure 1A**.
CNV(s) not detectable by standard karyotyping. Her CMA results were normal, making the translocation an unlikely cause of her cardiac finding. However, the normal result does not exclude the presence of a CNV <50 Kb or the possibility of a monogenic disorder as the cause of the stenosis. The patient declined single gene testing.

The remainder of the pregnancy was uneventful except for the identification of a fetal right pelvic kidney with normal renal parenchyma diagnosed at 20 weeks gestation by anatomy ultrasound. The patient had a spontaneous vaginal delivery of a live male infant weighing 3.37 kg at 40w3d. Apgar scores were 8 and 9 at 1 and 5 minutes, respectively. The neonate was discharged on the second day of life with no complications. He is currently in good health at 17 months of age without any health consequences from his right pelvic kidney.
MATERIALS AND METHODS
The literature review was conducted using PubMed and Scopus from database inception to 10 October 2021. Articles discussing constitutional translocations of chromosomes 9 and 22 were identified without limitations on study date or type. Only literature in English were included (Figure 2). The following search terms were used to identify extant literature: 9;22, (9;22)(q34;q11.2), t(9;22)(q34;11.2), translocation, congenital, constitutional, and inherited (Appendix A). The reference list of each article identified was reviewed for additional articles. Data extraction from the articles was performed according to PRISMA guidelines (Figure 2) (Page et al., 2021). We refer to unbalanced t(9;22) offspring of balanced t(9;22) carriers as “cases” in our review.

RESULTS
A total of 11 studies including 15 cases of unbalanced translocations from a balanced t(9;22) carrier parent met our inclusion criteria. Table 1 summarizes the cytogenetics of these unbalanced cases. One balanced translocation carrier had primary ovarian insufficiency and thus no pregnancies reported (Peterson et al., 2019). Twelve cases were maternal in origin, while three were paternal. Of the 15 pregnancies included in our literature review, 13 resulted in live births, one resulted in a missed abortion, and one pregnancy was terminated (Tables 2, 3). Of the 13 live births, three cases passed away from cardiopulmonary complications within six months of birth and 10 cases survived to adulthood or the time of publication with ages ranging from 22 months to 35 years. Pregnancy complications were reported for five of the 13 live births (Table 2). Two neonates were small for gestational age, one of whom also had polyhydramnios and required cesarean delivery secondary to fetal distress. One neonate had an irregular fetal heart rate detected at 33 weeks gestation. Two neonates had uneventful prenatal courses.

Phenotype of Unbalanced Cases
The phenotypes of the unbalanced cases include an array of features such as cardiac abnormalities, neurological findings, intellectual disability, urogenital abnormalities, respiratory and immune dysfunctions, and facial or skeletal dysmorphias (Table 3, Table 4). Only a few of these findings were found in isolation.
| Case | Balanced parental carrier | Case karyotype | Pregnancy complications | Cytogenetic outcomes of all carrier pregnancies | Miscarriages | Family history |
|------|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Blank et al. (1975)⁴ | Maternal | 46,XX,+der(9)t(9;22)(q13;q11),-22 | None reported | 1 trisomy 9p syndrome 1 balanced translocation 1 deceased (unknown karyotype and phenotype) | 2, did not specify gestational age (karyotype not done) | Family history of recurrent pregnancy loss, recurrent familial trisomy 9p syndrome |
| Case 1 Maternal | 46,XX,+der(9)t(9;22)(q13;q11),-22 | None reported | 2 trisomy 9p syndromes 2 balanced translocations 1 normal karyotype | Family history of recurrent pregnancy loss, recurrent familial trisomy 9p syndrome |
| Case 2 Maternal | 46,XX,+der(9)t(9;22)(q13;q11),-22 | None reported | 2 trisomy 9p syndromes 2 balanced translocations 1 normal karyotype | Family history of recurrent pregnancy loss, recurrent familial trisomy 9p syndrome |
| Case 3 Maternal | 46,XX,+der(9)t(9;22)(q13;q11),-22 | None reported | 2 trisomy 9p syndromes 2 balanced translocations 1 normal karyotype | Family history of recurrent pregnancy loss, recurrent familial trisomy 9p syndrome |
| Case 4 Maternal | 46,XY,+der(9)t(9;22)(q13;q11),-22 | None reported | 1 trisomy 9p syndrome | Family history of recurrent pregnancy loss, recurrent familial trisomy 9p syndrome |
| Pivnick et al. (1990)⁵ | Maternal | 46,XX,-22,+der(9)t(9;22)(q22;q11.2) | Cesarean section for fetal distress, polyhydramnios, small for gestational age (birth weight 2000 g at 38 weeks) | 1 dual trisomy 9p syndrome and DiGeorge syndrome 1 unbalanced translocation: der(9)t(9;22)(q22;q11.2),-22 | None | Family history not reported |
| Case 1 Maternal | 46,XX,-22,+der(9)t(9;22)(q22;q11.2) | Prenatal diagnosis with CVS followed by pregnancy termination | 1 dual trisomy 9p syndrome and DiGeorge syndrome 1 unbalanced translocation: der(9)t(9;22)(q22;q11.2),-22 | None | Family history not reported |
| El-Fouly et al. (1991) | Maternal | 46,XX,-22,+der(9)t(9;22)(q21.13;q12.1) | Small for gestational age (birth weight 2298 g at 38.5 weeks) | 1 dual trisomy 9p syndrome and DiGeorge syndrome 2 phenotypically normal (karyotype not done) | None | No reported miscarriages or anomalies similar to those observed in the case |
| Tihy et al. (2000) | Maternal | 47,XX,+der(9)t(9;22)(q12;p11) | None reported | 1 trisomy 9p syndrome 2 phenotypically normal (karyotype not done) | 2, gestational age not specified (karyotype not done) | Family history not reported |
| Komatsu et al. (2001) | Paternal | 46,XX,+der(9)t(9;22)(q12;q11.23),-22 | None reported | 1 dual trisomy 9p syndrome and DiGeorge syndrome | 1, first trimester (karyotype not done) | No family history of clinical findings consistent with those of the case |

(Continued on following page)
| Case | Balanced parental carrier | Case karyotype | Pregnancy complications | Cytogenetic outcomes of all carrier pregnancies | Miscarriages | Family history |
|------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Sanger et al. (2005)*<sup>c</sup> | | | | | | |
| Case 1 | Maternal | 46,XY,der(9)t(9;22)(q34.3;p11.2) | Irregular fetal heart rate detected at 33 weeks | 1 9q subtelomere deletion syndrome 1 phenotypically normal male with a balanced translocation | 1, 6 weeks gestation (karyotype not done) | Maternal grandparent had a stillbirth at 8 months due to presumed cord accident. Maternal great-aunt (Case 2) and one of her daughters both have learning disabilities Her brother had a stillbirth at 8 months due to presumed cord accident. One of her daughters has learning disabilities<sup>d</sup> |
| Case 2 | Paternal | 46,XX,der(22)t(9;22)(q34.3;p11.2) | None reported | 1 unbalanced translocation 1 balanced translocation 1 phenotypically normal with unknown karyotype (unable to conceive) | None reported | |
| McGoey and Lacassie (2009) | | | | | | |
| | Paternal | 45,XX,der(9)t(9;22)(q34.3;q11.2),−22 | Normal prenatal course and normal prenatal ultrasounds | 1 dual 9q subtelomere deletion syndrome and DiGeorge syndrome | None | Paternal family history of muscular dystrophy |
| Shuib et al. (2009) | | | | | | |
| | Maternal | 45,XX,der(9)t(9;22)(p23;q11.2),−22 | None reported | 1 DiGeorge syndrome | None reported | Family history not reported |
| Czuchlewski et al. (2011) | | | | | | |
| | Maternal | 45,XX,der(9)t(9;22)(q34;q11.2),−22 | Missed abortion | 1 unbalanced translocation 3, first trimester (karyotype not done) | 3 after 2 months of gestation (karyotype not done) | Family history not reported |
| Bouhjar et al. (2011) | | | | | | |
| | Maternal | 47,XY,+der(22)t(9;22)(p13.1;q11) | Uneventful pregnancy | 1 trisomy 9p syndrome 3 phenotypically normal brothers with unknown karyotype | 3, all after 2 months of gestation (karyotype not done) | Family history not reported |
| Gao et al. (2022) - Our case | | | | | | |
| | Maternal | Normal karyotype | Fetal right pelvic kidney | Normal karyotype and microarray | 2, gestational ages not specified (karyotype not done) | Maternal history of pulmonic stenosis Paternal family history of Factor V Leiden mutation |

Abbreviations: CVS, chorionic villus sampling.

*For Blank et al. (1975) the four cases are reported within one family. Case 1 is the first cousin of Case 2 and 3. Case 2 and 3 are siblings. Case 4 is the nephew of Case 1.

<sup>c</sup>For Pflick et al. (1990) the two cases are two pregnancies from the same patient.

<sup>d</sup>For Sanger et al. (2005) Case 2 has 3 children. The children did not have cytogenetic testing done; however, one of the daughters was reported as “slow,” which Case 2 was also described as being.
### TABLE 3 | Unbalanced translocation cases resulting from constitutional reciprocal t(9;22) carriers.

| Case | Parental karyotype | Parental origin | Parental | Case karyotype | Recognizable phenotype reported | Case age at time of publication |
|------|--------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|      |                    |                |          |                |                               |                                 |
| Blank et al. (1975)a | | | | | | |
| Case 1 | Balanced 46,XX,t(9;22)(q13;q11) | Maternal | Female | NR 2800 | 46,XX,+der(9)t(9;22)(q13;q11),-22 | Trisomy 9p syndrome: Severe intellectual disability, marked kyphosis, epilepsy, macroglossia, small and/or dysplastic toes with dystrophic toenails | 35 years old |
| Case 2 | Balanced 46,XX,t(9;22)(q13;q11) | Maternal | Female | NR 3500 | 46,XX,+der(9)t(9;22)(q13;q11),-22 | Trisomy 9p syndrome: Severe intellectual disability, moderate kyphosis, eczema, bilateral keratosis, small and/or dysplastic toes with dystrophic toenails | 23 years old |
| Case 3 | Balanced 46,XX,t(9;22)(q13;q11) | Maternal | Female | NR 3500 | 46,XX,+der(9)t(9;22)(q13;q11),-22 | Trisomy 9p syndrome: Severe intellectual disability, hirute back and forearms, diastasis recti, syndactyly of all fingers, small and/or dysplastic toes with dystrophic toenails | 19 years old |
| Case 4 | Balanced 46,XX,t(9;22)(q13;q11) | Maternal | Male | NR 3700 | 46,XY,+der(9)t(9;22)(q13;q11),-22 | Trisomy 9p syndrome: Severe intellectual disability, marked kyphosis, epilepsy, macroglossia, small and/or dysplastic toes | 7 years old |
| Pivnick et al. (1990)b | | | | | | |
| Case 1 | Balanced 46,XX,t(9;22)(q22;q11.2) | Maternal | Female | 38 weeks 2000 | 46,XX,-22,+der(9)t(9;22)(q22;q11.2) | Trisomy 9p syndrome and DiGeorge syndrome: Facial dysmorphism, generalized hypotonia, multiple cardiac anomalies (patent ductus arteriosus, interrupted aortic arch [type B], misaligned ventricular septal defect, aortic stenosis), absent thymus and immune dysfunction, hypocalcemia, urogenital anomalies and hydronephrosis | Neonatal mortality at 3 weeks of age due to cardiovascular complications |
| Case 2 | Balanced 46,XX,t(9;22)(q22;q11.2) | Maternal | Male | NR NR | 46,XY,-22,+der(9)t(9;22)(q22;q11.2) | None reported | Pregnancy termination after prenatal diagnosis by CVS, gestational age not reported |
| El-Fouly et al. (1991) | | | | | | |
| Case 1 | Balanced 46,XX,t(9;22)(q21.13;q12.1) | Maternal | Female | 38.5 weeks 2298 | 46,XX,-22,+der(9)t(9;22)(q21.13;q12.1) | Trisomy 9p syndrome and DiGeorge syndrome: Microcephaly, facial dysmorphism, hypoplastic lungs, cardiac anomalies (type II truncus arteriosus, truncal valve stenosis of three abnormal cusps, a single caroid trunk, atrial and ventricular septal defects), hypoplastic thymus, multiple small accessory spleens | Neonatal mortality at day 10 of life due to cardiopulmonary failure |
| Tihy et al. (2000) | | | | | | |
| Case 1 | Balanced 46,XX,t(9;22)(q12;p11) | Maternal | Female | Term birth 2700 | 47,XX,+der(9)t(9;22)(q12;p11) | Trisomy 9p syndrome: Psychomotor impairment, prenatal and postnatal growth restriction, microcephaly, brachycephaly, generalized hypotonia, strabismus, myopia, short neck, small hands and feet, and brachymesophalangy | 22 months old |
| Komatsu et al. (2001) | | | | | | |
| Case 1 | Balanced 46,XY,t(9;22)(q12;p11.2) | Paternal | Female | 40 weeks 2748 | 46,XX,+der(9)t(9;22)(q12;p11.2) | Trisomy 9p syndrome and DiGeorge syndrome: Facial dysmorphism, cardiac anomalies (truncus arteriosus type A2 with bilateral pulmonary arteries, (Continued on following page) | Neonatal mortality at 6 months of age due to cardiopulmonary failure |
| Case | Parental karyotype | Parental origin | Sex | GA at birth | Birthweight (g) | Case karyotype | Recognizable phenotype reported | Case age at time of publication |
|------|-------------------|-----------------|-----|-------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Case 1 | Balanced 46,XX,t(9;22)(p11.2;q34.3) | Maternal | Male | 38 weeks | 3440 | 46,XY,der(9)t(9;22)(p11.2;q34.3) | 9q subtelomere deletion syndrome: Facial dysmorphism, micrognathia, congenital heart defect, pulmonic valve stenosis | 8 years old |
| Case 2 | Balanced 46,XY,t(9;22)(p11.2;q34.3) | Paternal | Female | NR | NR | 46,XX,der(22)t(9;22)(q34.3;p11.2) | Mild facial dysmorphism, high arched palate, learning disability | Adult |
|       |                    |                |     |              |                |                |                                |                                |
|       | Balanced 46,XY,t(9;22)(p11.2;q34.3) | Paternal | Female | 39 weeks | 2880 | 45,XX,der(9)t(9;22)(q34.3;q11.2),-22 | 9q subtelomere deletion syndrome and DiGeorge syndrome: Cardiac anomalies (ventricular septal defect, patent ductus arteriosus, right ventricular hypertrophy, interrupted aortic arch), bilateral renal hypoplasia, generalized hypotonia, microbrachyphalpy, micrognathia, prominent clitoris | Not reported |
|       | Balanced 46,XX,t(9;22)(p13.1;q11) | Maternal | Male | Term birth | 4000 | 47,XY,+der(22)t(9;22)(p13.1;q11) | Trisomy 9p syndrome: Facial dysmorphism, short fingers and toes, normal intellectual development, asthma, recurrent respiratory infections | 10 years old |

**Table 3 (Continued)**: Unbalanced translocation cases resulting from constitutional reciprocal t(9;22) carriers.

**Abbreviations:** GA, gestational age; NR, none reported; CVS, chorionic villus sampling.

*Denotes origin of balanced translocation for unbalanced karyotype in cases.

**For Blank et al. (1975), the four cases are reported within one family. Case 1 is the first cousin of Case 2 and 3. Case 2 and 3 are siblings. Case 4 is the nephew of Case 1.**

**For Pivnick et al. (1990) the two cases are two pregnancies from the same patient.**

**For Sanger et al. (2005) the two cases are reported within one family. Case 2 is the maternal great-aunt of Case 1.**

**Denotes karyotype performed on products of conception of missed abortion, with the phenotype not reported.**
Cardiac Abnormalities
Congenital heart disease was found in five of 13 live births. Of these five cases, three passed away from cardiopulmonary complications within six months of birth, one case was eight years old at time of report, and one case did not have a reported clinical outcome. Of these same cases, three had a dual diagnosis of trisomy 9p syndrome and DiGeorge syndrome (22q11.2 deletion syndrome), one had 9q subtelomere deletion syndrome, and one had a dual diagnosis of 9q subtelomere deletion syndrome and DiGeorge syndrome (Table 3). All three neonatal deaths from cardiac abnormalities had dual diagnoses of trisomy 9p and DiGeorge syndrome. All eight unbalanced cases without significant cardiac abnormalities survived to adulthood or to the time of publication.

Neurological Findings
Seven of 13 live births presented with neurological findings. Two cases had epilepsy (Blank et al., 1975), three had generalized hypotonia (Pivnick et al., 1990; Tihy et al., 2000; McGoey and Lacassie, 2009), and one had laryngeal hypotonia (Komatsu et al., 2001). El-Fouly, Tihy, and McGoey also describe microcephaly, brachycephaly, or a combination of both conditions in their publications (McGoey and Lacassie, 2009; Tihy et al., 2000; El-Fouly et al., 1991).

Intellectual or Learning Disabilities
At least six of the 10 live births (60%) who were able to be cognitively assessed have some degree of intellectual or learning disability. Blank et al. (1975) reports four cases with severe intellectual disability. Sanger and Shuib each report one case with a learning disability (Sanger et al., 2005; Shuib et al., 2009).

Urogenital Anomalies
Urogenital findings were reported in three of the 13 cases. Pivnick et al. (1990) described one case of hydronephrosis and hypoplastic and posteriorly fused flat labia majora, while Komatsu et al. (2001) reported bilateral hydronephrosis in one case. McGoey and Lacassie (2009) reported one case with bilateral renal hypoplasia, unilateral simple renal cysts, and a prominent clitoris.

Respiratory and Immune Findings
Three of the 15 cases had pulmonary or immune dysfunction. One case reported in Pivnick et al. (1990) had an absent thymus with subsequent immune dysfunction. El-Fouly et al. (1991) reported one case with hypoplastic lungs and thymus, and Bouhjar et al. (2011) reported one case with asthma with recurrent respiratory infections.

Facial or Skeletal Dymorphic Findings
All unbalanced cases with reported phenotypes had some form of facial or skeletal dysmorphism. Seven of the 13 live births had facial dysmorphism, and four had malformations such as microbrachycephaly, microcephaly, micrognathia, retrognathia, and macroglossia (McGoey and Lacassie, 2009; Blank et al., 1975; Pivnick et al., 1990; Komatsu et al., 2001; El-Fouly et al., 1991; Sanger et al., 2005; Shuib et al., 2009; Bouhjar et al., 2011). Six cases had reported skeletal anomalies, all of which include small bones in the hands and feet. Blank et al. (1975) reports four cases with small and/or dysplastic toes, Tihy et al. (2000) reports one case of small hands and feet, and Bouhjar et al. (2011) reports one case of short fingers and toes.

Genetic Family History of Balanced t(9;22) Carriers
Nine of the 15 balanced carriers (60%) had at least one prior miscarriage (Table 2). Recurrent pregnancy loss, defined as ≥2 pregnancy losses, occurred in five (33%) pregnancies. All but one miscarriage involved balanced translocations of maternal origin (Komatsu et al., 2001). Four of the balanced carriers, three of which were maternal and one of which was paternal, did not have any miscarriages. Additionally, the four family members in Blank et al. (1975) with trisomy 9p syndrome, including the parents, maternal grandparents, and first cousins of Case 1, reported several miscarriages. Three balanced carriers did not specify a history of miscarriage.

Eight of the 15 unbalanced cases included information on their siblings. Case 1 in Blank et al. (1975) had two siblings, one of whom had a balanced translocation, and the other resulted in an infant death without a reported cause or genetic workup. Case 2 and 3 in the same paper were siblings with three other siblings in the household, two of whom had the balanced translocation, while the other had a normal karyotype. The unbalanced cases described by El-Fouly et al. (1991) and Tihy et al. (2000) each have two siblings who are phenotypically normal with an

| Phenotypic outcome | Number of cases reported | Cases affected (%) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Cardiac abnormalities | 5                        | 38                |
| Neurologic findings | 7                        | 54                |
| Intellectual disability | 6                      | 60                |
| Urogenital anomalies | 3                        | 23                |
| Respiratory or immune dysfunctions | 3            | 23                |
| Facial or skeletal dysmorphias | 13            | 100               |
| Total number of cases | 13*                    |                   |

*Three live births were not able to be intellectually assessed due to neonatal mortality at less than 6 months of age, making the denominator 10 instead of 13.
*Two reported cases could not be phenotypically assessed as one was a missed abortion and one was a pregnancy termination.
unknown karyotype. Case 1 in Sanger et al. (2005) has one brother with a balanced translocation. Case 2 has two brothers, one with a balanced translocation and the other phenotypically normal with an unknown karyotype, but reported infertility. The cases in Bouhjar et al. (2011) had three total siblings, all of whom were phenotypically normal but had unknown karyotypes.

DISCUSSION

The apparently balanced translocations of healthy individuals can remain undetected until pregnancy when patients present with recurrent pregnancy loss or fetal congenital abnormalities (Gardner, 2004). Our patient, who only had a past medical history of mild pulmonic stenosis, sought reproductive counseling due to recurrent pregnancy loss and was diagnosed with a constitutional balanced t(9;22) (q34;q11.2). The unusual cytogenetics of our patient inspired this literature search, which found no previously published systematic reviews describing the cytogenetics and phenotypic characteristics of unbalanced t(9;22) cases.

Though balanced translocations are common, occurring in at least 1 in 500 individuals, our patient’s balanced t(9;22)(q34;q11.2) is rare (Gardner, 2004). Her distinct translocation and abnormal metaphase FISH finding, albeit without gene fusion of BCR-ABL1, could have led to a misdiagnosis of CML if she had also presented with leukocytosis. Czuchlewski et al. (2011) reported a patient with constitutional t(9;22)(q34;11.2) and leukocytosis, which was differentiated from CML using FISH and the identification of the constitutional translocation in all cells examined. FISH demonstrated a juxtaposition of two intact probes rather than the fusion seen in the Philadelphia chromosome. Our patient’s translocation was also observed in all cells examined, indicating a similar germline translocation that mimics the Philadelphia chromosome.

Balanced t(9;22) carriers and their families should be counseled on the fertility implications and the reproductive risks of t(9;22) to help guide parents in their reproductive decision-making. Firstly, parents with a balanced t(9;22) have an increased risk of pregnancy loss. Though it is unclear if our patient’s previous pregnancy losses were related to her balanced translocation, we found in our review that most balanced t(9;22) carriers had at least one miscarriage, many of whom had recurrent pregnancy loss. Translocations of maternal origin carry an increased risk for recurrent pregnancy loss, while translocations of paternal origin increase the risk of male infertility (Griffin and Finch, 2005). In a cohort study of 132 Sri Lankan balanced translocation carriers, 63.6% experienced subfertility or recurrent pregnancy loss, likely due to an unbalanced translocation (Paththinige et al., 2019). These manifestations carry profound consequences for patients attempting family planning.

Secondly, balanced t(9;22) carriers should be counseled on their risk of having a child with an unbalanced translocation. Based on the breakpoints of our patient’s translocation, she has an increased likelihood of having a child with DiGeorge syndrome, 9q subtelomeric deletion syndrome, dual genetic diagnosis of both syndromes, or other unbalanced genomic outcomes (McGoey and Lacassie, 2009). A prospective trial in 2012 analyzed the reproductive outcomes of 54 balanced translocation carriers over two years and found that 12.5% had a subsequent pregnancy with the same or a different unbalanced translocation cytogenetically or phenotypically from their first affected pregnancy (Kochhar and Ghosh, 2013). Children who carry their parents’ balanced translocation also have a theoretical risk of transmitting an unbalanced translocation to their future offspring (Sanger et al., 2005). Balanced t(9;22) carriers are also at an increased risk of having a child with a spectrum of phenotypes associated with unbalanced t(9;22) cases, including cardiac abnormalities, neurological findings, intellectual disability, urogenital anomalies, respiratory or immune dysfunction, and facial or skeletal dysmorphias. However, our findings do suggest that unbalanced cases born to parents with balanced t(9;22) have a high rate of survival into adulthood in the absence of major cardiac abnormalities.

Strengths and Limitations

This systematic literature review is the only comprehensive assessment of constitutional t(9;22) carriers and the outcomes and phenotypes of their unbalanced offspring. We also incorporated a case from our institution of a balanced t(9;22)(q34;q11.2), an analog of the somatic balanced translocation seen in the Philadelphia chromosome of CML. There are only two other published cases of a patient with balanced t(9;22)(q34;q11.2) (McGoey and Lacassie, 2009; Czuchlewski et al., 2011). The rarity of such a translocation underscores the importance of publishing similar cases.

A limitation of this review is the inclusion of clinical cases of t(9;22) with various chromosomal breakpoints, each of which are associated with a range of possible phenotypic outcomes. There is a relatively small number of accrued cases and each paper reported their cases with a varying level of detail. Additionally, five of the 15 balanced translocation carriers included in the review did not have the karyotype results for each of their offspring reported, limiting insight into the cytogenetic variability amongst siblings (Blank et al., 1975; Tihy et al., 2000; El-Fouly et al., 1991; Sanger et al., 2005; Bouhjar et al., 2011). Reported family histories of balanced translocation carriers and history of prior miscarriages were also sparse. Given the known propensity of balanced t(9;22) for familial recurrence and recurrent pregnancy losses, a lack of reporting limits our study’s ability to review the significance of these correlations in this population.

CONCLUSION

Our review provides a cytogenetic breakpoint-based genotype-phenotype correlation for unbalanced cases of parental
constitutional reciprocal translocation t(9;22) carriers. This literature review helps providers give anticipatory guidance to balanced t(9;22) carriers on potential pregnancy outcomes. Balanced t(9;22) carriers should be counseled on the increased risk of recurrent pregnancy loss, subfertility, and having a child with an unbalanced translocation that could lead to an array of phenotypic manifestations. Phenotypic findings in unbalanced cases include cardiac abnormalities, neurologic findings, intellectual disability, urogenital anomalies, respiratory or immune dysfunction, and facial or skeletal dysmorphias. Genetic counseling is recommended for any balanced translocation carrier or breakpoints regardless of the chromosomes that are involved.
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**APPENDIX A**

Database: PubMed  
Results: 45  
Search: (“(9;22)” AND translocation AND (congenital OR constitutional OR inherited))

Database: Scopus  
Results: 52  
Search: TITLE-ABS-KEY(“9;22”) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY(translocation) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY((congenital OR constitutional OR inherited))

**APPENDIX B**

Methods for Cytogenetic Analysis, FISH, and CMA for Our Case Report

Conventional Cytogenetic Analysis for Our Case Report

Peripheral blood lymphocytes were cultured in PB-MAX™ medium supplemented with stimulant phytohemagglutinin (PHA) for 72 h. Metaphase chromosomes for Giemsa banding pattern by trypsin digestion with Wright stain (GTW banding) were prepared according to standard procedures. Metaphases were karyotyped with GenASI Cytogenetics Analysis System (Applied Spectral Imaging, Carlsbad, CA), and karyograms were described according to the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature 2021. Coordinates of cells with chromosome aberrations were documented, and the slides were subsequently prepared for metaphase FISH analysis.

Interphase and Metaphase FISH (Fluorescence in situ Hybridization) Assays for Our Case Report

Interphase FISH was undertaken using BCR/ABL1/ASS1 Tri-Color DF FISH Probe (Vysis, Abbott Park, IL). Metaphase FISH was performed on the de-stained GTW slides. Standard FISH protocol was followed. FISH images were captured and analyzed with a GenASI FISHView Analysis System (Applied Spectral Imaging, Carlsbad, CA).

Chromosome Microarray Analysis for Our Case Report

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) microarray analysis was performed using the Affymetrix Cytoscan HD platform which uses over 743,000 SNP probes and 1,953,000 NPCN probes with a median spacing of 0.88 kb. 250ng of total genomic DNA extracted from lymphocytes was digested with NspI and then ligated to NspI adaptors, respectively, and amplified using Titanium Taq with a GeneAmp PCR System 9700. PCR products were purified using AMPure beads and quantified using NanoDrop 8000. Purified DNA was fragmented and biotin labeled and hybridized to the Affymetric Cytoscan HD GeneChip. Data was analyzed using Chromosome Analysis Suite. The analysis is based on the GRCh37/hg19 assembly.