Abstract

This study aims to investigate the effects of restrictions in economic activity on the spread of COVID-19 in the Philippines. This research employs daily time-series data of confirmed new COVID-19 cases, Apple mobility trends (i.e., use of public transport to destinations, volume of people driving, and amount of walking to destinations) and Google community mobility (i.e., visits to transit stations, visits to workplaces, and staying-at-home) indicators covering the period February 17 to September 11, 2020. The analysis starts by establishing the correlation pattern of new confirmed COVID-19 daily infections to each independent variable. The results show negative linear correlation of the number of new COVID-19 daily infections with less visit to transit station, increase stay-at-home, less use of public transport, and less amount of walking to destinations. Interestingly, the number of new COVID-19 daily infections indicates some form of positive linear correlation with visits to workplaces and volume of people driving. Moreover, employing robust least square regression via the method of MM-estimation, major findings reveal that across mobility measures, staying-at-home has the highest impact on reducing the spread of COVID-19, followed by visiting transit stations less, less use of public transport, less amount of walking, and less workplace visits.
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most effective (Bonaccorsi et al., 2020). In this paper, we add to the literature of understanding the effects of restrictions in economic activity using daily Apple and Google mobility data from February 17 to September 11, 2020, on the spread of COVID-19 in the Philippines.

2. Literature Review

2.1. China and USA Mobility Restrictions

Fang et al. (2020) found that the lockdown of the city of Wuhan on January 23, 2020, contributed significantly to reducing the total infection cases outside of Wuhan. Results also show evidence that imposing enhanced social distancing policies in the 63 Chinese cities outside Hubei province is effective in reducing the impact of population inflows from the epicenter cities in Hubei province on the spread of 2019-nCoV virus in the destination cities elsewhere. Also, Kraemer et al. (2020) used real-time mobility data from Wuhan and detailed case data including travel history to elucidate the role of case importation in transmission in cities across China and to ascertain the impact of control measures. Early on, the spatial distribution of COVID-19 cases in China was explained well by human mobility data. After the implementation of control measures, this correlation dropped and growth rates became negative in most locations, although shifts in the demographics of reported cases were still indicative of local chains of transmission outside of Wuhan. This study shows that the drastic control measures implemented in China substantially mitigated the spread of COVID-19.

Studies on mobility restrictions were also conducted in the United States. Sen et al. (2020) concludes that stay-at-home potentially decreased the rate of virus spread in Colorado, Minnesota, Ohio, and Virginia. Badr et al. (2020) used daily mobility data derived from aggregated and anonymized cell (mobile) phone data from January 1 to April 20, 2020, to capture real-time trends in movement patterns for each US county. Findings reveal that mobility patterns are strongly correlated with decreased COVID-19 case growth rates for the most affected counties in the USA, with Pearson correlation coefficients above 0.70 for 20 of the 25 counties evaluated. County-level observations of reported infections and deaths in conjunction with human mobility data and a metapopulation transmission model to quantify changes of disease transmission rates in US counties from March 15 to May 3, 2020, by Pei et al. (2020). Their findings reveal that significant reductions of the basic reproductive numbers in major metropolitan areas in association with social distancing and other control measures. Counterfactual simulations indicate that, had these same control measures been implemented just 1-2 weeks earlier, a substantial number of cases and deaths could have been averted. Specifically, nationwide, 61.6 percent of reported infections and 55 percent of reported deaths as of May 3, 2020, could have been avoided if the same control measures had been implemented just one week earlier.

2.2. World and EU Mobility Restrictions

A more specific study by Yilmazkuday (2020) using daily Google mobility data covering 127 countries over the period between February 16 and March 29, 2020, suggest that less mobility is associated with lower COVID-19 cases and deaths. That is, a 1-percent increase in being at residential places leads to about 50 fewer COVID-19 cases and about four fewer COVID-19 deaths, whereas 1-percent decrease in visits to transit stations leads to about 22 fewer COVID-19 cases and about two fewer COVID-19 deaths. Similarly, a 1-percent reduction in visits to retail & recreation areas results in about 15 fewer COVID-19 cases and about two fewer COVID-19 deaths, or a 1-percent reduction in visits to workplaces results in about 10 fewer COVID-19 cases and about one fewer COVID-19 death.

Using the latest mobility data, Carella (2020) shown how human mobility in the provinces of Italy might affect the spread of SARS-CoV-2. The analysis shows that human mobility is linked to the spread of the disease and that recent mobility patterns should be included in any epidemiological model used for predicting the evolution of the epidemic. Kahanec et al. (2020) selected Austria, Belgium, Czechia, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Spain and the United Kingdom. Focusing on four restrictions: the prohibition of public events (with more than a specified number of attendees), the closing of schools, the closing of non-essential shops, and the prohibition of non-essential movement from the residence. Results indicate that a prohibition on public events is associated with fewer visits and shorter stays in shops, recreation areas, transit stations and, to a lesser extent, visits to parks and places of work. Trips to groceries and pharmacies increase slightly (possibly due to the substitution effect), as does time spent at home. Closing of schools correlates with a decrease of frequenting of transit stations, parks and workplaces (likely because some parents must stay home to care for their children), and to a lesser extent of shops, recreation areas, groceries and pharmacies. On the other hand, people spend more time in places of residence. Shop closures have a strong negative association with all mobility except for increased time spent at home and in parks. This may indicate that shop closures divert people into parks. Restrictions on non-essential movement from the residence appear to have reduced all forms of mobility, especially in parks, groceries and pharmacies, retail and recreation, and resulted in an increase of time spent at home.
2.3. Economic Development and Mobility Restrictions

Sirkeci and Yücesahin (2020) discovered that countries marked by high human development index (HDI) scores, relatively large populations, high income and sizeable Chinese diasporas have seen the largest volumes of infected populations. There are also evidence confirming an expansion to neighboring countries, as expected, towards Japan and South Korea and other South-East Asian neighbors where initially a significant number of cases were reported. Results from the study also emphasized that by monitoring immigrant stock data and/or travel volume data based on human mobility corridors (i.e., origins and destinations), countries could have been better prepared to tackle the spread of COVID-19. Another important note is that the spread of the virus does not have an ethnic origin.

Tisdell (2020) argue that the costs to individuals of restrictions appear to vary with the social structure, nature of economies and the stages of their economic development. Higher income countries are in a better position to provide social safety nets to their citizens to support them if they are restricted in their ability to work as a result of COVID-19. In low-income countries, stringent social measures to control COVID-19 impose a heavy burden on the poor who need to work to earn enough income for their survival. Lockdowns of social groups as proposed by Acemoglu et al. (2020) are likely to create serious economic problems. In the absence of government financial support, most of the poor have little option, but to work (if they can) even if they have COVID-19 or while awaiting the results of testing. Lockdown everyone in particular social groups seems to be a very blunt way of reducing COVID-19 deaths and the incidence of the disease.

3. Research Methodology

3.1. Robust Least Squares Regression

The dramatic and unprecedented intensity of the shock due to the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of measuring the effects of restrictions in economic activity to stop its spread. Formally, the regression model of interest for Apple mobility trends and Google community mobility indicators is:

\[ COVID = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{TRANSIT}_t + \beta_2 \text{WORK}_t + \beta_3 \text{HOME}_t + \beta_4 \text{PUBTRA}_t + \beta_5 \text{DRIVE}_t + \beta_6 \text{WALK}_t + e_t \]  

where:

- \( COVID \) – number of new COVID-19 daily infections
- \( \text{TRANSIT}_t \) – visits to transit stations
- \( \text{WORK}_t \) – visits to workplaces
- \( \text{PUBTRA}_t \) – use of public transport to destinations
- \( \text{DRIVE}_t \) – volume of people driving
- \( \text{WALK}_t \) – amount of walking to destinations
- \( \beta_0 \) and \( \beta_1 \)’s - are parameter estimates
- \( e \) - the error terms
- \( t \) - represents time trend

The presence of outliers may represent erroneous data, or may indicate a poorly fitting regression line. Robust regression can be an alternative to least squares regression when data are contaminated with outliers or influential observations. When fitting a least squares regression, we might encounter some outliers or high leverage data points. Robust regression is a good strategy since it weights the observations differently based on how well behaved these observations are. The method of robust least squares regression is designed to be robust, or less sensitive, to outliers. In this study we applied the method of MM-estimation (Yohai, 1987) to address any outliers in both the dependent (COVID) and independent (TRANSIT, WORK, HOME, PUBTRA, DRIVE, WALK) variables. Prior to estimating the robust least squares regression, it will be useful to check first the presence of outliers (Piepel, 1989; Sonnberger, 1989; Liu et al., 2004) in the data utilizing influence statistics and leverage plot.

Moreover, coefficient of correlation was utilized to examine the degree of association of the number of new COVID-19 daily infections on the visits to transit stations, visits to workplaces, being at residential places, use of public transport to destinations, volume of driving, and amount of walking to destinations.

Daily time series data from February 17 to September 11, 2020 were sourced online from the websites of World Health Organization (WHO), Apple and Google.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Descriptive Analysis

Table 1 describes that for the period covered – from February 17 to September 11, 2020 – the number of new COVID-19 daily infections in the Philippines reached its highest level at 6,725 with mean and median of 1,575.59 and 934, respectively. Visits to transit stations and workplaces have averaged 59.01 percent and 42.94 less people. Staying more at home has seen an average 23.46 percent increase. In terms of Apple mobility trends indicators, the use of public transport to destinations, volume of people driving, and amount of walking to destinations averaged 32.95 percent, 43.37 percent, and 42.47 percent, respectively.
4.2. Correlation Diagnostics

The variables are tested whether they exhibit some degree of correlation. Paired correlations \((r)\) were calculated and further supported by the scatter diagrams and box plots shown in Figure 1. The corresponding correlation patterns indicate some form of negative linear correlation of the number of new COVID-19 daily infections (COVID) with TRANSIT, HOME, PUBTRA, and WALK. It is expected that less visit to transit station, increase stay in residential places or staying at home, less use of public transport, and less amount of walking to destinations may reduce the number of new COVID-19 daily infections. In contrast, the number of new COVID-19 daily infections indicate some form of positive linear correlation with WORK and DRIVE. However, findings reveal that the degree of association of TRANSIT, WORK, HOME, PUBTRA, DRIVE, and WALK are insignificant to the number of new COVID-19 daily infections with correlation coefficients of -0.13, 0.06, -0.01, -0.19, 0.05 and -0.09, respectively.

4.3. Robust Least Squares Regression Results

Ordinary least squares estimators are sensitive to the presence of outliers. The sensitivity of conventional regression methods to these outlier observations can result to incorrect coefficient estimates. Thus, we utilized influence statistics and leverage plot to detect the presence of outliers for equations 1. The spikes in Figure 2 for equation 1 for all four influence measures point to the presence of outliers, (i.e., observations 127, 19, 131, 121, and 127 as being outliers). This finding is confirmed by the leverage plot view in Figure 3.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

|            | Google Community Mobility Indicators | Apple Mobility Trends Indicators |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|            | TRANSIT | WORK   | HOME | PUBTRA | DRIVE | WALK |
| Mean       | 1575.59 | -59.01 | -42.94 | 23.46  | 32.95 | 43.37 | 42.47 |
| Median     | 934.00  | -60.00 | -44.00 | 24.00  | 29.89 | 45.94 | 41.29 |
| Maximum    | 6725.00 | 0.00   | 9.00   | 39.00  | 91.83 | 108.61| 108.20|
| Minimum    | 0.00    | -86.00 | -74.00 | 0.00   | 17.49 | 17.10 | 20.66 |

Figure 1: Scatter Diagrams and Box Plots
Table 2 display the results of the robust least square regression using MM-estimation method. Turning to the coefficient estimates of the Google community mobility indicators, results of the robust least square regression show that visits to transit stations (TRANSIT) and staying-at-home (HOME) have negative and statistically significant effect on the number of new COVID-19 daily infections. As indicated by the calculated z-statistic values (in absolute term) of 6.89 and 3.87, which are significant at 1-percent level. Thus, a 1-percentage point decrease in visits to transit stations is associated with a 133.87 decrease in the number of new COVID-19 daily infections. Also, the results suggest that a 1-percentage point increase in being at residential places or staying at home leads to 241.80 decrease in COVID-19 cases daily. On the other hand, WORK has negative, but insignificant effect. Thus, a 1-percentage point reduction in visits to workplaces results in 6.30 decrease in COVID-19 daily cases.

As for the coefficient estimates of the Apple mobility trends indicators, we see that PUBTRA has negative and statistically significant effect on the number of new COVID-19 daily infections. Meanwhile, DRIVE has positive and statistically significant effect. Since their calculated z-statistic values (in absolute term) of 2.89 and 6.09 are significant at 1-percent level. Thus, if people in the Philippines cuts down their use of public transport by 1 percentage point will result to a decrease in the number of new COVID-19 daily infections by 67.92. However, changes (i.e., reduction) in the volume of people driving results to an increase in the number of new COVID-19 daily infections by 128.25. On the other hand, WALK has negative, but insignificant effect. Thus, a 1-percentage point reduction in the amount people walking to their destinations result in 36.78 decrease in COVID-19 daily cases.
The estimated equations also exhibit overall goodness-of-fit and adjusted measures with $R^2$ and $Rw^2$ ranges from 0.38 – 0.68. It means that roughly 38 to 68 percent of the total variation in COVID is accounted for or explained by the equations fitted on the given data. The $R_n$ statistic value of 204.70 with corresponding $p$-value of 0.00 indicate strong rejection of the null hypothesis that all non-intercept coefficients are equal to zero. This implies that the model is significant. Thus, the number of COVID-19 daily infections has significantly affected by restrictions in economic activity for the period February 17-September 11, 2020.

### 5. Conclusions

This research investigates the effects of restrictions in economic activity on the spread of COVID-19 in the Philippines. Using daily time series data of new COVID-19 infections, Apple mobility trends and Google community mobility indicators covering the period February 17-September 11, 2020 this paper achieves such an analysis by utilizing paired correlation and robust least square regression. The number of new COVID-19 daily infections indicate some form of negative and positive weak correlation with Apple mobility trends and Google community mobility indicators. In terms of stopping the spread of COVID-19, it is implied that Filipinos have not benefitted from driving less. Across mobility measures from Apple and Google being in residential places, use of public transport to destinations, and amount of walking to destinations. Meanwhile, the number of new COVID-19 daily infections indicate positive correlation with visits to workplaces and volume of people driving. Thus, restrictions in economic activity can play a crucial role in flattening the curve of daily COVID-19 infections. Although this study focuses exclusively on the effects of restrictions in economic activity using mobility data, the results can have policy implications in stopping the spread the novel coronavirus.

### Table 2: MM-estimation Method

|                          | Coefficient | z-Statistic | Probability |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Constant                 | -2884.89    | -2.07       | 0.04        |
| Google Community Mobility Indicators |
| TRANSIT                  | -133.87     | -6.89       | 0.00        |
| WORK                     | -6.30       | -0.32       | 0.75        |
| HOME                     | -241.80     | -3.87       | 0.00        |
| Apple Mobility Trends Indicators |
| PUBTRA                   | -67.92      | -2.89       | 0.00        |
| DRIVE                    | 128.25      | 6.09        | 0.00        |
| WALK                     | -36.78      | -1.07       | 0.28        |

| Adjusted $R^2$ = 0.38 | Adjusted $Rw^2$ = 0.68 | $R_n$ = 204.70 | Prob. ($R_n$ statistic) = 0.00 |

S settings: tuning=1.547645, breakdown=0.5, trials=200, subsmpl=7, refine=2, compare=5. M settings: weight=Bisquare, tuning=4.684. Random number generator: rng=kn, seed=120484002. Huber Type I Standard Errors & Covariance
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