MPP1 as a Factor Regulating Phase Separation in Giant Plasma Membrane-Derived Vesicles
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ABSTRACT The existence of membrane-rafts helps to conceptually understand the spatiotemporal organization of membrane-associated events (signaling, fusion, fission, etc.). However, as rafts themselves are nanoscopic, dynamic, and transient assemblies, they cannot be directly observed in a metabolizing cell by traditional microscopy. The observation of phase separation in giant plasma membrane-derived vesicles from live cells is a powerful tool for studying lateral heterogeneity in eukaryotic cell membranes, specifically in the context of membrane rafts. Microscopic phase separation is detectable by fluorescent labeling, followed by cooling of the membranes below their miscibility phase transition temperature. It remains unclear, however, if this lipid-driven process is tuneable in any way by interactions with proteins. Here, we demonstrate that MPP1, a member of the MAGUK family, can modulate membrane properties such as the fluidity and phase separation capability of giant plasma membrane-derived vesicles. Our data suggest that physicochemical domain properties of the membrane can be modulated, without major changes in lipid composition, through proteins such as MPP1.

INTRODUCTION

Rafts are functional assemblies of lipids and proteins present in the membranes of living cells, wherein bioactivity is dependent on synergistic interactions between their components (1). Their metastable nature and nanoscopic size can only be captured with sophisticated, high-resolution techniques (2) because they cannot be directly observed by using conventional microscopy. The observation of large-scale phase separation in cell membrane-derived spheres and vesicles (giant plasma membrane-derived vesicles, GPMVs) is the most convincing evidence of the underlying lateral heterogeneity of the membrane (3–6). Observation of such microscopic phases is possible due to the coalescence of the metastable, nanoscopic, resting state membrane rafts present in the plasma membrane of the living cell (7), which fuse into a condensed liquid-ordered (l_o) phase under certain physicochemical conditions. This process is most likely the result of specific lateral associations of lipids, which follow the phase-separation principles observed in model membranes and which involve a mixture of chemical interactions, such as hydrogen bonds, van der Waals attractions, hydrophobic/hydrophilic interactions, and electrostatic forces. The biochemical composition of GPMVs represents, to a significant degree, their original membranes and therefore, they have become a valuable tool for membrane research (5,6).

The analysis of the phase-separation properties and partitioning of various membrane components has prompted speculation concerning the composition and the physical properties of the underlying raft assemblies (5). Although membrane components separate only into two phases, their individual properties can vary, and each phase can span a number of different states, dependent on the composition of the membranes of origin, or the GPMV isolation method used (5,8). The temperature at which l_o and liquid-disordered (l_d) phases separate varies between cell types, which is the consequence of cell-specific membrane composition. Interestingly, the phase-separation temperature of GPMVs isolated even from one cell type can vary, because it is sensitive to receptor ligand-binding or changes of membrane lipid composition (5,6,9,10). It has also been demonstrated that membrane partitioning of amphiphiles can greatly affect domain formation (11). It remains however unclear, what other means apart from changes in lipid composition are used by the cells to tune raft properties.

The ubiquitously expressed MAGUK family of proteins (12) has been proposed to be important for formation and function of synapses (13–15), for the formation and maintenance of several types of cell junctions (14,16–18), and mediating antibody recognition in hematopoietic cells (19). All MAGUKs share several domains including PDZ,
SH3, and a guanylate-kinase homology domain. In addition, some MAGUKs contain an N-terminus homologous to CaM kinase with a calmodulin-binding site (20,21). The simplest member of this family is MPP1 (membrane palmitoylated protein 1, p55), which was originally found in erythrocytes (22), where it acts as a scaffold protein that links the membrane skeleton to the plasma membrane by forming a tripartite complex with protein 4.1 and glycoporphin C (22). MPP1 has also been suggested to regulate neutrophil polarity and to function as a positive upstream effector of Akt phosphorylation (23). In addition, we have previously shown that lack of MPP1 palmitoylation in human erythrocytes clinically manifests as severe hemolytic anemia, arising as a consequence of alterations in the lateral organization of the plasma membrane (24,25). Moreover, knockdown of MPP1 in erythrocyte precursors, human erythroleukemia (HEL) cells, caused significant reduction of the isolated detergent-resistant membrane (DRM) fraction and impaired relative order within the plasma membrane, leading to reduced phosphorylation of Erk1/2 kinase upon insulin-receptor/c-kit activation (25). Altogether, these results have led us to speculate about the novel role of MPP1 in regulating plasma membrane lateral heterogeneity.

Here, we further explore the role of MPP1 in membrane organization and demonstrate that the presence of this protein can alter the physicochemical properties of the plasma membrane by increasing the packing of lipids. Using GPMVs, essentially a cytoskeleton-free plasma membrane model, generated from HEL cells with silenced expression of MPP1, we demonstrate that reduction of MPP1 content leads to changes in membrane fluidity and the phase separation properties of plasma membrane vesicles. Decrease in the miscibility transition temperature ($T_{\text{mic}}$) as well as plasma membrane order alterations, observed by several different fluorescence imaging techniques, imply that MPP1 takes part in coalescence of naturally occurring nano-assemblies in the native membrane. To our knowledge, our results indicate a novel and remarkable role of so far, poorly characterized MAGUK family protein member, MPP1, as a scaffold molecule responsible for plasma membrane lateral structure organization of erythrocyte precursors. This membrane-organization property may also be a feature of other MAGUK family proteins and other cell membranes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and gene silencing**

HEL cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$. MPP1-knockdown (KnD) and scrambled control cells were grown in a similar medium, supplemented additionally with 2 μg/mL puromycin. MPP1 gene silencing was performed as described (25).

**Plasmids and antibodies**

The MPP1-green fluorescent protein (GFP) construct was obtained by cloning the MPP1 gene sequence into the pEGFP-C1 vector (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA) using BamHI and Xhol restriction sites. To obtain a MPP1 rescue mutant (MPP1-R), the MPP1 sequence was cloned into the p3XFLAG-CMV-10 vector (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) using HindIII and BamHI restriction sites and subsequently modified by introducing four silent mutations in the region recognized by the shRNA sequence using site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene, Waltham, MA) (primer sequence: 5’ GGAGATAGCGAGGA ACATTAGCGCCAATGAGTTCTTG 3’). Abp140-17aaRuby-nos-3’ UTR (lifeAct-Ruby) construct was a gift from M. C. Lecomte U665 INSERM Paris. Transient transfections of HEL cells were performed by CLB (Louna, Basel, Switzerland) electroporation. Mouse monoclonal anti-MPP1 antibodies were purchased from Abnova (Taipei, Taiwan), anti-actin and anti-GFP antibodies (SantaCruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX), anti-Flag (Sigma), anti-Flotillin-1 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-Furin Convertase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), and anti-Calnexin (Stressgen, San Diego, CA).

**GPMV generation, membrane labeling, and MPP1 localization**

GPMVs were isolated, using vesiculation buffer (10 mM HEPES, 2 mM CaCl$_2$, 2 mM NEM, pH 7.25) as previously described (26), additionally supplemented with 2 μM NaVO$_4$ and 1 μg/mL U73122 (PI-PLC inhibitor, Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, UK). GPMVs were stained with C-laurdan (2 μM) (15 min/RT) or Fast-DiO (5 μg/mL) (10 min/RT) (Invitrogen). A chamber was created by making a square of silicon sealant (Baysilone Paste, GE Bayer Silicon, Leverkusen, Germany) on a bovine serum albumin-coated cover slip, into the center of which 30 μL of labeled GPMV suspension was deposited, followed by sealing of the chamber with another cover slip, as described (27). For imaging, a Zeiss inverted wide-field charge-coupled device microscope with appropriate filter sets and a 40x air-objective was used. General polarization (GP) measurements were acquired by two-photon microscopy of C-laurdan in phase-separated GPMVs at 4°C using the Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA) two-photon setup with a Mira 2000 two-photon laser and a 543-nm laser line using a 60x WI objective (NA 1.2), as described (7). For order analysis, collected GPMVs were stained with 7.5 μM di-4ANEPPH (di-4, Invitrogen) probe (10 min/RT) and introduced into a sealed chamber, this time coated with poly-L-lysine, di-4 fluorescence lifetime measurements were acquired by time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) using a LSM 510 META microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) equipped with fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) and fluorescence correlation spectroscopy dedicated optics from PicoQuant (Berlin, Germany). Samples were excited at 470 nm and imaged with a 40x WI objective (NA 1.2) using an LP 500 filter set. Acquisition time was adjusted to collect at least 1000 photons per pixel. Each pixel in the image was pseudocolored according to the average fluorescent lifetime. For $T_{\text{mic}}$, phase transition was assessed for ≥ 50 vesicles/temperature and the fraction of separated vesicles plotted against temperature was fitted with sigmoidal curve. The point of the curve representing 50% of phase-separated vesicles was defined as $T_{\text{mic}}$. For confocal microscopy analysis of MPP1 localization to GPMVs, a Zeiss LSM 510 META microscope with LP 500 filter and a 40x WI objective was used.

**GPMV isolation and protein profile analysis**

GPMVs were separated from cells using density-gradient ultracentrifugation. GPMVs were stratified in a 15% Optiprep solution (Sigma) and ultracentrifuged (40,000 rpm/30 min/4°C). The resultant lipid fraction containing GPMVs was collected. The sample was diluted twofold in 150 mM NaCl, 25 mM HEPES pH 7.25 and ultracentrifuged (45,000 rpm/30 min/4°C). Pelleted membranes were dissolved in 50 μL of...
GPMV vesiculation buffer. Protein concentration was determined by BCA. SDS-PAGE (NuPAGE, Invitrogen) and Western blot were used to analyze the proteins.

Thin layer chromatography and lipids fluidity analysis

GPMV-enriched fractions obtained after gradient separation were extracted using a two-step extraction protocol (chloroform/methanol 10:1, followed by chloroform/methanol 2:1) (27). The lipid-containing organic phases from both steps were pooled and dried under a nitrogen stream. For thin layer chromatography (TLC) analysis, lipids were dissolved in 30 μl of chloroform/methanol (2:1) and applied to a TLC plate. A 9:7:2 mixture of chloroform/methanol/ammonia (25% solution) was used as a running phase for TLC analysis. The lipids were visualized by spraying the plate with 20% H2SO4 solution and heating (200°C, 3–5 min). For fluidity analysis, dried lipids were rehydrated in 150 mM NaCl, 25 mM HEPES pH 7.25. The resulting liposomes were subsequently subjected to 10 freezing/thawing cycles (liquid nitrogen/37°C). Finally, vesicles were stained (15 min) with 2 μM C-laurdan. Fluorescence emission spectra were recorded (Ex. 385 nm, Em. 400–550 nm) and analyzed as described (7).

Image analysis

GP image analysis was performed using MATLAB R2006b (The MathWorks, Natick, MA) as described (7). Briefly, all images were normalized and background corrected. GP images were masked and displayed in twofold binned heat maps according to the following Eq. 1: \( GP = \frac{I_{CH1} - G + I_{CH2}}{I_{CH1} + G - I_{CH2}} \), where \( G \) served to calibrate channels (28). Pixels below 20% of the maximum signal were masked black and not considered for further analysis. Confocal images were obtained using ZEN 2009 Light Edition software. All images were contrast-enhanced using ImageJ software. Di-4 lifetime distribution was analyzed by applying a mask over a region of interest.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

MPP1 modulates membrane order

We have suggested previously that MPP1 regulates lateral organization of erythrocyte/erythroid cell membrane and influences signal transduction pathways, showing its physiological importance (24,25). Here, we decided to study the role of MPP1 on membrane organization in more detail, considering its influence on plasma membrane physicochemical properties in model membranes, such as GPMVs. First, we characterized relative lipid packing of GPMVs obtained from cells transduced with lentiviruses containing either a short antisense, hairpin-RNA targeting MPP1 (KnD), or a scrambled control shRNA (SC). MPP1-targeted cells showed a reproducible decrease of MPP1 level to ~20% of control (Fig. 1 A). This reduction had no influence on cell growth. GPMVs obtained from these cells were doped with di-4 probe, which is commonly used as a reporter of lipid-packing, because it senses water incorporation within the bilayer. Fluorescence lifetime shifts longer values when lipids are more condensed (29,30). The performed measurements are sensitive even to subtle changes in membrane order. In our hands, in giant unilamellar vesicles composed only from dioleyl-phosphatidylcholine (DOPC) or palmitoyl-oleoyl-phosphatidylcholine (POPC), di-4 fluorescence lifetime values vary between 2 and 2.3 ns, respectively, whereas for vesicles composed of DOPC/sphingomyelin (SM)/cholesterol (Chol) (1:1:2) di-4 lifetime shifts to around 4 ns (31). Di-4 present in GPMVs obtained from MPP1-KnD cells showed significantly shorter fluorescence lifetime values (~3.18 ns) than in the control GPMVs (~3.82 ns for control and ~3.69 ns for SC) (Fig. 1, B–D). The difference in di-4 lifetime values suggests that membranes in GPMVs isolated from MPP1-KnD cells are more loosely packed than those from the control cells. Such a result confirms our previous observations performed on live cells, where we also determined that, upon MPP1 silencing, the order of the plasma membrane was reduced (25). It should be noted, however, that the observed difference in di-4 lifetime values between the control and MPP1-KnD samples measured for GPMVs were more pronounced than was observed previously for live cells from which the GPMVs were prepared (AGPMVs ~0.5 ns vs. Δlive cells ~0.3 ns). This might imply that either the metabolizing cells are able to buffer the changes caused by MPP1 depletion, or, that the previous measurements were partially biased by signal from internal membranes. In any case, GPMVs seem to be superior, as they allow the analysis of only the changes that relate to the plasma membranes.

Temperature-dependent phase separation of GPMVs has been used previously to analyze and characterize the partitioning of various proteins (6). Although using di-4 and FLIM allows us to observe subtle changes of membrane order, this type of measurement unfortunately does not allow the precise measurement of the order of the respective phases in phase-separated vesicles, because it takes a relatively long time to measure the lifetime values (usually 1–2 min), during which the dynamic phases move across the vesicles. Therefore, the information that one gets is an average value of both phases. Therefore, to obtain more insight into the manner by which MPP1 influences one or the other phase in phase-separated vesicles, we performed measurements using another reporter of membrane order, C-laurdan. This has been previously used by others to analyze membrane order in phase-separated vesicles at 4°C. The emission peak of the dye shows a characteristic shift toward shorter wavelengths (maximal emission at 440 nm), the same principle as for di-4 probe, when lipids are relatively more ordered, compared to less ordered membranes (with emission maximum at 490 nm). To normalize relative membrane lipid packing, a GP value can be calculated from the described emission shift, as shown by Kaiser and co-workers (7). Once again we noticed that reduction of MPP1 content resulted in physicochemical changes of the plasma membrane, reflected as a significantly lower mean GP value obtained for GPMVs isolated from MPP1-KnD cells in comparison to those obtained from controls.
This result, although obtained at a much lower temperature, is in line with the previously described lifetime measurements and indicated that the presence of MPP1 at the plasma membrane can increase relative membrane packing independently of temperature conditions of the experiment.

It was previously shown that the method of GPMV isolation could substantially affect membrane behavior and phase-separation properties. Application of compounds such as paraformaldehyde/dithiothreitol, leading to the cross-linking of proteins, resulted in stabilization of the observed phases, as reflected by the relatively high ∆GP values (6,32). As we used NEM (N-ethylmaleimide), a non-cross-linking and nonreducing compound, for GPMV generation, the observed differences in GP between GPMVs derived from MPP1-KnD cells and control cells are relatively small (~0.1) (Fig. 1 F). The measured order parameters of the l_o and l_d phases in phase-separated vesicles suggest that both phases observed for MPP1-KnD GPMVs are less ordered than their control equivalents. Noteworthy, ∆GP (defined as the difference between the GP obtained for the ordered and disordered phase) is constant for all three types of GPMVs (∆GP~0.05) (Fig. S1 in the Supporting Material). This observation is particularly interesting because it suggests that the lack of stabilization of protein-lipid nanoassemblies present in the native membrane can lead to increased mixing of components.

Significantly lower GP values, as well as shorter di-4 fluorescence lifetime values, were detected for MPP1-KnD-derived vesicles, reflecting changes in the physicochemical properties of the plasma membrane, suggesting impaired raft formation as a result of MPP1 gene silencing. The mechanism proposed here is in accordance with the model of plasma membrane lateral organization, which highlights
the dominant role of proteins in capturing and stabilizing highly dynamic and unstable ordered domains. This forms the basis of the concept of plasma membrane compartmentalization and the variety of membrane microdomain sizes observed (33).

To confirm that MPP1 localizes to the plasma membrane of HEL cells and that it is associated with the membrane after GPMV isolation, we transiently transfected these cells with MPP1-GFP vector and subsequently isolated GPMVs from them. The MPP1-GFP expression level in whole cell lysate was around 5 times higher than endogenous MPP1 (Fig. 2, A and B). After induction of membrane blebbing, the GFP signal was predominantly associated with internal cell structures. However, a fraction of the GFP signal was also bound to the membrane of GPMVs (Fig. 2 C), indicating that the previously observed changes in membrane organization may be regulated by the membrane-bound fraction of MPP1. This seems to be a minor fraction, which is not surprising, as our previous results indicated that even though only a small portion of MPP1 (most probably palmitoylated MPP1) stays bound to the erythrocyte DRM fraction, it is still able to trigger changes in membrane order leading to hemolytic anemia (24). In contrast, the nonpalmitoylated MPP1 remains attached to the cortical cytoskeleton (25), which is absent in GPMVs. Moreover, overexpression of MPP1 in control cells did not trigger further increase in plasma membrane order, manifested as no changes in di-4 fluorescence lifetime values (Fig. S2), suggesting that the MPP1/membrane interactions are saturated.

**MPP1 triggers fluidity changes within the membrane without major alterations in lipid composition**

The observed changes in membrane order caused by MPP1 knockdown suggest an important role for this protein in controlling membrane fluidity. However, as mentioned previously, the results from the genetic approach used here could also be a consequence of changes in plasma membrane lipid composition arising from a separate mechanism of membrane lipid control, and not just simply a consequence of reduction of MPP1 protein in the cells. We therefore decided to isolate GPMVs and perform lipid composition analysis of these vesicles. As the studied cells grow in suspension, the isolation of GPMVs is not as straightforward as for adherent cells. We used flotation in a density gradient to obtain GPMV-enriched fractions from these cells. Biochemical analysis of the floating vesicles indicated that these samples consisted predominantly of plasma membranes, as all of the endomembrane markers that were tested,
i.e., calnexin (endoplasmic reticulum) and furin convertase (Golgi), were significantly depleted in this preparation (Fig. 3A). However, some of these markers were still present in the vesicle-enriched fraction, due to not ideal GPMV/cell separation (Fig. S3). To prove that no cortical actin was present within the GPMVs, we transiently expressed life-act-Ruby in HEL cells, which encodes a peptide with high affinity to cortical actin fused with red fluorescent protein. After GPMV induction, vesicles were additionally stained with Fast-DiO to visualize the membrane. Analysis of the resulting GPMVs confirmed that cortical actin was not present in the GPMV membranes (Fig. 3B). After extracting the samples with organic solvents, we performed TLC. No observable differences between the compositions of the major lipid-classes were noticed (Fig. 3C), suggesting that the lipid composition of the plasma membrane seems rather similar between the MPP1-KnD and controls. However, because TLC analysis does not discriminate between chain length or saturation levels of fatty acids within the class, and is not sensitive enough to detect low-abundant lipid species, we decided to use another approach to further analyze the lipid components. The lipids extracted from the floating fraction of GPMVs were rehydrated to form lipid vesicles, which were subsequently used for measurements of membrane order with C-laurdan. Spectrofluorimetric analysis revealed that the samples did not differ in membrane order parameter (GP) (Fig. 3D), thereby, further strengthening the argument that lipid composition is similar in MPP1-KnD and control cells, and implying that binding of MPP1 to the membrane affects its fluidity. As one cannot exclude discrete changes in lipid composition between the analyzed samples stronger conclusions could be drawn if more detailed lipidomics data were available.

Finally, to validate whether the observed changes in membrane order can be restored, and are not a result of the off-target effect of MPP1-gene silencing, we performed...
a rescue experiment in MPP1-KnD cells by introducing a number of silent mutations into the sequence region recognized by an shRNA targeting MPP1, cloning it into a flag vector and subsequently transfecting it into MPP1-KnD cells. MPP1 expression was restored approximately to the level of endogenous MPP1 in control cells (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, the introduced vector seemed to restore endogenous MPP1 as well. It was shown that shRNAs recognizing a partially complementary target sequence (in our case the MPP1-R sequence) also lead to recruitment of the RISC complex (RNA-induced silencing complex). In this case, instead of mRNA degradation, RISC is arrested on mRNA and inhibition of translation is observed (reviewed in (34)). Saturation of RISC is demonstrable in cultured cells (35). Furthermore, once RISC is arrested, it could result in recovery of endogenous protein expression, as there is no complex available for target mRNA degradation. GPMVs isolated from the MPP1-R (rescue) and stained with di-4 probe, showed recovery of membrane order, as the di-4 lifetime values were almost identical to those obtained for control GPMVs (MPP1-KnD – 3.27 ± 0.1 ns, SC – 3.67 ± 0.1 ns, MPP1-R – 3.57 ± 0.1 ns) (Fig. 4, B and C), suggesting that the observed change of membrane order is predominantly modulated by the presence of MPP1.

Reduced level of MPP1 significantly decreases the miscibility transition temperature in GPMVs

The observed coexistence of Iα/Iβ phase separation in GPMVs, resulting from temperature-dependent coalescence of membrane domains present under physiological conditions in cellular membranes, provides an excellent tool for the investigation of raft composition as well as their physical properties (3,4,9,11,36–39). GPMVs isolated either from MPP1-KnD or control cells separated into two distinct microscopically observable phases, which were visualized by doping the membranes with Fast-DiO probe, which displays nonuniform phase partitioning to the Iα phase (6,11,26). Strikingly, we noticed that the presence of MPP1 stabilized the coexistence of the two liquid phases, and that this could be observed at higher temperatures in the case of GPMVs isolated from control cells than those derived from MPP1-KnD cells (Fig. 5A). Although the Tmisc (defined as the temperature at which 50% of vesicles remain phase separated) for vesicles derived from control and SC cells oscillated around 17°C (mean Tmisc = 17.1 ± 0.5 for control, Tmisc = 16.6 ± 0.2 for scrambled), it was ~2°C lower (Tmisc = 15.1 ± 0.3°C) for vesicles derived from MPP1-KnD cells (Fig. 5, B and C), pointing to an essential engagement of MPP1 in the stabilization of preexisting nanoassemblies. Interestingly, the miscibility transition temperatures obtained for NEM-induced GPMVs are relatively high in comparison to values presented by others (6,11). Nevertheless, it has to be stressed that previous studies were done exclusively on adherent cells, whereas HEL cells grow in suspension, which can influence membrane behavior. Moreover, as HEL cells are erythroid precursors their plasma membrane most probably mimics closely the membranes of erythrocytes, which are known to contain quite high levels of cholesterol (up to
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40 mol %). As was shown previously, the cholesterol/phospholipid ratio has a strong influence on membrane phase behavior (9). The molar ratio of phospholipids/cholesterol in the plasma membrane of other cell types is usually ~1 (40). It was also demonstrated that some compounds, such as bile acids, can stabilize phase separation in GPMVs without affecting protein behavior between coexisting domains, through their partitioning to and further disordering the li domain, thus promoting phase separation by increasing domain immiscibility (11). Although the MPP1-dependent change in Tmisc of 2°C could be considered as quite a minor effect, it needs to be pointed out that even externally added proteins which are known to trigger strong domain-clustering and stabilization, such as cholera toxin subunit B or Annexin V, shift the miscibility transition temperature by around 4°C (10). In this context, with endogenous, membrane bound MPP1 present at relatively low amounts at the membrane, a 2°C shift in Tmisc represents a noticeable change, pointing to a role of MPP1 in membrane domain stabilization.

As has been previously shown by others, a number of factors can influence phase separation properties of GPMVs. However, so far, all these reported changes between the Tmisc of the li and ld phases were caused either by changes in the lipid composition of the isolated GPMVs or by exogenous addition of cross-linking or clustering factors, which are not regulated by the cells (3,6,11). To our knowledge, we show for the first time that stabilization of membrane domain immiscibility, observed as a shift in Tmisc of li and ld phases, can be specifically modulated by MPP1 protein. The method applied in this study for the isolation of GPMVs is based on noncross-linking and nonreducing chemical conditions using NEM and the resulting vesicles mirror closely the composition of native plasma membranes, retaining their complexity. Therefore, the GPMV isolation procedure described here is a powerful tool that can be used to correlate MPP1 deficiency and plasma membrane organization (26). In our experiments, li and ld phase coexistence was observed for all isolated GPMVs (Fig. 5A), indicating that plasma membranes of all three cell types consist of liquid domains, which coalesce into large li-phases in a temperature-dependent manner. Increased fluidity of nonphase-separated membranes was observed in GPMVs from MPP1-KnD cells measured at RT (Fig. 1, B–D). Moreover, MPP1 reduction destabilized the raft domains, as observed by a significant decrease in Tmisc of the li/ld phases. Of importance, this difference was not caused by major changes in the lipid composition, as the order parameters of liposomes obtained from lipids extracted from GPMVs did not show any differences between control and MPP1-KnD samples (Fig. 3C). It should be noted that a similar function was recently suggested for palmitoylated Rac1 in COS-7 and MEF cells (41). The proposed mechanism involved an actin cytoskeleton in the raft assembly. In our system this seems not to be the case, as actin is not present in GPMV membranes. Moreover, actin detachment from the plasma membrane, via latrunculin or sodium carbonate treatment, had no influence on isolated

FIGURE 5  MPP1 knockdown causes a shift in the temperature of phase separation of GPMVs. (A) Representative images and (B) quantification of phase separation in GPMVs isolated from different cell types, measured at various temperatures. Phase separation in vesicles obtained from knockdown cells is observable approximately up to 15°C, whereas control vesicles remain phase separated up to 17°C. Points are an average of ±10 vesicles per cell type, representative of three independent experiments. (C) Average temperature at which phase separation occurs (Tmisc defined as the temperature at which 50% of vesicles remain phase separated) of GPMVs isolated from different cell types. Tmisc of vesicles isolated from MPP1-KnD cells is ~2°C lower compared to control. Differences were considered statistically significant at **p < 0.01. To see this figure in color, go online.
in cells, as observed by a higher Tmisc of lo/ld phases in evidence that the presence of MPP1 stabilizes raft domains heterogeneity within the membrane. Here, we provide organization. To our knowledge, we propose a novel func-

a crucial physiological role of MPP1 in erythroid membrane organization. To our knowledge, we propose a novel func-

tion, MPP1 plays an important role in maintaining proper order in plasma membrane-derived vesicles. Our hypothesis, which is now the subject of further research, is that MPP1 binds to preexisting cholesterol/protein nanoassemblies and induces their clustering and stabilization, thereby making them functionally active membrane structures (resting state rafts). Data from our preliminary results indicates that MPP1 binding to the membrane nanoassemblies occurs via interactions with typical raft proteins as flotillins 1 and 2 and probably stomatin. Binding specific lipids cannot also be excluded.

Of importance, although MPP1 expression is restricted mostly to red blood cells, erythroid precursors and other blood cells (L. Niemiec, A.B., J.P., M.M., and A.F.S., unpublished data), its homologs, which belong to the MAGUK family, are broadly expressed in various tissues and are well conserved throughout evolution (42,43). The other members of this family are also known to act as scaffolding molecules, maintaining apico-basal polarity and cell junction structure (20,23,43–47). In mammals, PSD-95 and SAP-97 have been identified not only as crucial molecules involved in the maturation of synapses (48,49), but also as molecules important for the clustering and stabilization of

membrane glutamate receptors upon presynaptic and postsynaptic site contact (50). Dlg1 has been found to regulate antigen-induced synaptic-raft and TCR clustering in T cells (51), whereas CARMA, a lymphocyte-specific MAGUK family member, is required for membrane raft localization of transducers engaged in TCR-mediated activation of NFkB (52–54). MAGUKs have been shown to mediate protein-protein interactions in all of these processes. However, it could be envisioned that they also act as allosteric regulators for signal transduction proteins, by influencing the physicochemical properties of the lipid environment for the transmembrane or membrane-associated proteins.

CONCLUSIONS

The observation of liquid-phases of various compositions and order parameters in plasma membrane-derived vesicles suggests that biological membranes possess complex lateral organization and constitute a mosaic of highly dynamic and transient domains of different compositions and stabilities. Previous reports using this membrane model focused mainly on the physicochemical properties of separated liquid phases, along with factors that could mediate selective partitioning of lipids and proteins to a particular phase (4–6,9,11).

In this report, we have been able to demonstrate that a single protein, MPP1, can directly or indirectly modulate physicochemical properties of the model, cytoskeleton-depleted membranes of GPMVs by changing their fluidity as well as phase separation behavior. Advanced microscopic methods using order-sensing probes, such as C-laurdan and di-4, showed that, in erythroid cell membrane-derived vesicles, MPP1 plays an important role in maintaining proper order of the membrane (Fig. 1). Moreover, the presence of this protein stabilizes the lo phase, as observed in the higher miscibility transition temperature of separated vesicles (Fig. 5). Finally, we showed that changes in membrane fluidity are caused by MPP1, in the absence of major changes in membrane lipid composition, as the fluidity of pure lipids extracted from GPMV membranes remained unchanged (Fig. 3) and MPP1 recovery in KnD cells leads to the reestablishment of plasma membrane organization (Fig. 4).

In summary, we propose that MPP1 acts as an important factor in regulating the fluidity of erythroid plasma membrane-derived vesicles, which reflects domain formation in native membranes. To our knowledge, this is one of the first demonstrations of an individual protein engagement in the changes in physicochemical properties (lo/ld balance) of the plasma membrane of living cell.

SUPPORTING MATERIAL

Three figures are available at http://www.biophysj.org/biophysj/ supplemental/S0006-3495(15)00277-5.
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