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Abstract
The risk for many young children from minority backgrounds of being excluded from a quality education might be reduced through the creation of a positive classroom climate. A systematic review was conducted to gain a better understanding of the pedagogical and structural aspects that foster a positive classroom climate in multicultural early childhood education settings. Following a systematic review procedure, 14 articles were selected and included in the analysis. The findings indicate that eight aspects contribute to a positive climate in these settings: on the one hand, pedagogical practices, including increased instructional time, teacher-student supportive interactions, peer interactions and friendship, child engagement, teacher training on emotionally supportive environments and teacher-family trust-based relationships, and on the other hand, structural aspects, including small peer groups and materials shared among children. This evidence may be used to foster a classroom climate that enhances learning processes and social development in multicultural preschool groups. However, more research is needed to better understand the particular role that cultural diversity plays in the classroom climate.
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Introduction
As the United Nations stated in the fourth Sustainable Development Goal, obtaining a quality education is the foundation for creating sustainable development aimed at achieving fairer and more supportive societies. Early childhood education plays a key role in establishing the foundations for human development and lifelong learning opportunities. Consequently, ensuring equitable, quality education for all children in their early years, especially for those living in most disadvantaged conditions, has become essential in the current society (Aboud and Yousafzai 2016; Black et al. 2017). The quality of early childhood education is usually defined according to two main dimensions that have consistently been identified in the literature as crucial to fostering children’s learning and development. On the one hand, the quality of pedagogical practices (such as planning and implementing learning activities and supporting children’s emotional development through positive relationships) seem crucial for cognitive and social development. On the other hand, structural aspects (such as adult–child ratios, staff qualifications, group sizes and characteristics of the physical space) also play a role in ensuring quality in early childhood education and care (ECEC) (Mathers et al. 2014).

Both dimensions also shape the classroom climate based on how social interactions between children and between children and the teacher occur through pedagogical practices in the classroom. Indeed, from an ecological lens, the classroom is understood as a primary micro-context in which students and the teacher interact and where the quality of interactions between the child and elements of the child’s proximal environments influence developmental outcomes (Melhuish et al. 2013). Specifically, the classroom climate
refers to the global classroom atmosphere that is shaped by the interactions that take place in the classroom as a whole, including the teacher’s behaviour, students’ responses to the teacher, the teacher’s response to students, and interactions among students (Gazelle 2006). The classroom climate is reported to be a “positive climate” when a sense of connectedness and belongingness, enjoyment and enthusiasm, and respect are observed among the students and in the teacher-student relationship. Conversely, a “negative climate” is reported when a sense of frequent disruption, conflict, and disorganization is observed (Gazelle 2006; Reyes et al. 2012).

According to the “Teaching Through Interactions” framework (Hamre et al. 2013), a teacher being warm and supportive and providing behavioural and instructional support contributes to a positive classroom climate. In this context, children show not only better language development (Cameron et al. 2008; Pianta et al. 2008) but also better mathematical skills (Curby et al. 2009; Horan and Carr 2018) and behavioural development (Luckner and Pianta 2011; Mashburn et al. 2008). Previous research has shown that students who report having better quality relationships with their teachers also report being approximately three times more engaged in academic tasks and tend to choose more complex cognitive activities (Klem and Connell 2004). Similarly, Hamre and Pianta (2007) found that students who have a greater emotional bond with their teacher are more engaged in learning. In classrooms with a positive climate, teachers spend a minimal amount of time on basic management activities and transitions, and they actively engage children in instructional activities through interesting activities and materials.

Thus, the classroom climate may favour or hinder academic performance and social relationships in the classroom (Howes et al. 2011) since it has the potential to enhance learning and development among preschoolers while fostering social cohesion among school communities (Brophy-Herb et al. 2007). However, some communities are less likely to have positive classroom climates. In particular, many students who belong to ethnic minorities are more likely to be placed in classrooms where quality interactions do not usually occur. As a result, these children are often at greater risk of underachieving and not fulfilling their potential (Langeloo et al. 2019). Hence, given the benefits of a positive classroom climate for children’s learning and development, the fact that ethnic minority students often receive less benefit from school settings than non-minority students raises important questions related to how educators and educational researchers can ensure a positive classroom climate for children from minority backgrounds.

Since societies are becoming more diverse as a result of migration and displacement, school settings are also becoming more culturally and linguistically diverse worldwide and are serving a high number of students who belong to cultural and ethnic minorities, such as immigrants, refugees, and Roma children. Schools serving these students are mostly located in high-poverty areas in which educational inequalities for historically marginalized students still prevail in many countries (Lampert et al. 2019). Consequently, many children from minority backgrounds experience fewer opportunities to engage in rich interactions that contribute to their development of cognitive and social competences (Mathers et al. 2014; Ball 2012; Heller et al. 2012). Moreover, most of them are often less exposed to the school culture or the language of instruction at home prior to entering early education (Souto-Manning and Mitchell 2010). Hence, many of those children tend to score below their less-disadvantaged peers and to have higher rates of conflicts (Weinstein et al. 2004).

Given this reality, it is particularly important that optimal conditions for a positive classroom climate are created in classrooms serving young children from minority backgrounds due to the relevance of such conditions for fostering educational and social success (Justice et al. 2018; Rios-Gonzalez et al. 2019). Recent research in the field has pointed out the importance of encouraging an ongoing dialogue between families and teachers, to agree on the messages and responses to provide children, in order to build a positive classroom climate free of violence (Rios-Gonzalez et al. 2019). To obtain deeper insight into how to promote a positive classroom climate that advances quality education for all students from their early years, this systematic review aimed to develop a better understanding of the pedagogical and structural aspects that foster a positive classroom climate in preschools that serve children from minority backgrounds. In the following sections, the methods used in this systematic review, including the search procedure, inclusion and exclusion criteria and data analysis, will be presented, followed by the results obtained and finally a discussion of the results and some conclusions.

Method

This systematic review was based on the detailed procedure described by Moher et al. (2009) for synthesizing evidence in a specific field in an exhaustive and accurate manner. The search, selection and extraction pathways were conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement to guarantee the transparency, validity, replicability, and update-ability of the study (Moher et al. 2009). The PRISMA statement provides a series of clearly defined stages that ensure the accuracy of the research process and the utility of the results. The formulation of the research question was based on the Population, Intervention or Indicator, and Context of
the study (PICo) approach (Boland et al. 2017). Following
this approach, this systematic review aims to respond to the
following question: Which pedagogical or structural aspects
are related to a positive classroom climate in early childhood
education in culturally diverse contexts?

The search plan and analysis stage, specifically the identi-
fication of an answerable question and the development of
the inclusion and exclusion criteria, were based on Gough
and Thomas’s (2016) contributions to the field of systematic
reviews in educational research. The data synthesis pro-
dure was based on the work of Lockwood et al. (2015) with
the goal of gathering, rather than interpreting, the findings
of the studies included.

Search Procedure

An extensive search was initially conducted using the Web
of Science (WoS) database with 40 combinations of the fol-
lowing words and phrases: preschool, early childhood, kin-
dergarten, early years, classroom climate, peer relations,
school harmony, school climate, classroom environment,
cultural diversity, multicultural. These keywords were com-
pared against the United Nations Educational, Scientific
and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) thesaurus to ensure
their reliability (Gough and Thomas 2016). Before executing
the searches, we applied three filters in the search engine:
(a) the area filter, which was specified as “education and
educational research” to ensure the suitability of the studies
found; (b) the date filter, which was set to limit the search
to publications from 2008 to 2018 to ensure the timeliness
of the studies; and (c) the type of document, as only arti-
cles published in scientific journals, and no book chapters,
reports or proceedings of conferences, were considered.

Study Selection: Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The aim was to explore aspects that contribute to a posi-
tive classroom climate in culturally diverse early childhood
education. Accordingly, studies were first selected if they
were published between 2008 and 2018 and were focused
on early childhood and if at least 15% of the included stu-
dents had minority backgrounds. Second, articles needed to
provide evidence of aspects related to a positive classroom
climate (e.g., children’s social skills, prosocial behaviour,
teacher–child interactions, and conflict resolution). Third,
studies were excluded if they were conducted outside of
naturalistic school settings (such as the laboratory, the play-
ground, or home). More specifically, the studies needed to
include research carried out in the regular classroom. In
summary, articles were not considered for final analysis if
the research (a) was not focused on a positive classroom
climate, (b) was conducted in a monocultural school setting,
or (c) was focused on any educational stage subsequent to
ey childhood education.

A total of 298 articles were found and recorded in an
analysis chart that was shared among three researchers.
In this table, key information was recorded for all articles
(references in the APA 6th edition format, the combination
of keywords through which each article was identified, and
the abstracts), and a column was added for each inclusion
and exclusion criterion. The exclusion criteria were also
recorded in detail for each case. This procedure is summa-
rized in the following flowchart (Fig. 1).

Results

Fourteen articles were scrutinized and analysed in depth.
For each of the studies, general information about the study
(country, participants, and methods) was obtained
and included in Table 1 (see Table 1). Brief information
regarding participants’ ethnic backgrounds was included
to provide an overview of the cultural diversity present in
the studies. The analysis focused on the identification of
aspects related to a positive classroom climate in the stud-
ies selected; therefore, the main aspects identified in each
study were included in the table. To provide a clear picture
of the studies analysed, the articles were organized in the
table according to the focus of the research. Consequently,
we grouped the articles into three main topics: first, eight
studies focused on teacher education/behaviours; second,
four articles focused on peer interactions; and finally, two
articles focused on child engagement.

Pedagogical Practices

Twelve of the fourteen articles analysed reported peda-
gogical practices related to a positive classroom climate.
Specifically, these articles focused on (a) instructional interactions between teachers and students, (b) student engagement in classroom tasks, (c) teacher training on emotionally supportive environments and (d) teacher-family relationships. These practices are presented in detail as follows.

First, the articles highlighted the role that instruction plays in favouring a positive climate in the classroom: increased instructional time and an emphasis on academic competences that foster cognitive development (such as literacy skills) help improve the classroom climate. In particular, the benefits reported in two of the studies highlighted a decrease in classroom disruption and conflicts (Howes et al. 2013; Hartman and Manfra 2016). Likewise, the more instructionally and emotionally supportive teacher-student relationships are, the more the positive classroom climate (Morris et al. 2013). Howes et al. (2013) noted that an instructional climate characterized by aspects such as productivity, concept development, quality of feedback and children’s engagement contributes to an improved classroom climate in ECEC (Howes et al. 2013). However, excessive control or an excessively tight schedule in instruction hinders the positive classroom climate in culturally diverse ECEC (Hartman and Manfra 2016).

Certain forms of interaction between teachers and students favour a positive classroom climate (Howes et al. 2011, 2013; Myers and Sheddield 2009). When the teacher supports and encourages academic tasks, offers feedback tailored to each situation and each child, asks questions, asks for further information, repeats student contributions and encourages participation from a place of respect and support without using sarcasm, there are fewer conflicts in the classroom, and disruptive behaviours decrease (Morris et al. 2013; Stanton-Chapman 2014; Spivak and Farran 2016). Likewise, being close to all students should be a priority for teaching staff in classrooms (Stanton-Chapman 2014; Curby et al. 2013). This objective can be achieved by, for example, teachers knowing the name of each child in the classroom or addressing the student with a moderate tone of voice. The care aspect is also an important component of this equation: when children feel cared for and included in the classroom (by having clear rules that provide security and knowing that their needs and demands are met) by the lead teacher, relations between teachers and students improve, which is reflected in the classroom climate (Myers and Sheddield 2009; Johnson et al. 2013). Maintaining high expectations with all students also positively impacts classroom climate.
| Focus                  | Article and method                  | Country      | Participants | Cultural diversity                                                                 | Main aspects                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Teacher interactions  | Howes et al. (2013)                 | USA          | 118 Children | Latino (56%), other (44%)                                                           | Emotional and instructional support                                           |
|                       |                                     |              | Ages 3–4     | Instructional strategies with specific learning goals                               | Instructions for learning goals                                               |
|                       | Morris et al. (2013)                | USA          | 623 Children | Black (more than 40%), White (nearly 10%), Latino (35%)                             | Teachers’ ability to address children’s behaviour                            |
|                       |                                     |              | Age 4        | Less sarcasm and anger                                                              | More instructions                                                             |
|                       | Thomason and La Paro (2013)         | USA          | 740 teachers | White (81.6%), Hispanic (4.1%), African American (13.2%), Asian (2%), American Indian (0.3%), biracial (0.7%), other (1.9%) | Education level and years of experience                                        |
|                       | Hartman and Manfra (2016)           | Miami, USA   | 44 Low-income children | Latino (61%), African American (33%) | Warm interactions                                                           |
|                       |                                     |              | Age 4        |                                                        | Direct instruction                                                           |
|                       | Spivak and Farran (2016)            | USA          | 769 Children | White (40%), African American (26%), Latino (24.2%), Asian (6.1%), other (3.7%) | More approving behaviour                                                      |
|                       |                                     |              | Age 4–5      |                                                        | More positive emotional tone                                                  |
|                       | Curby et al. (2013)                 | USA          | 2439 Children | White (64%), Latin (15%), African American (13%) | Relational closeness, enthusiasm, and respect                                 |
|                       | Myers and Shedfield (2009)          | USA          | 140 Children | European American (46%), African American (48%), Latino (2%), ethnicity not reported (4%) | Emotional consistency classroom                                              |
|                       |                                     |              | Ages 4–5; 140 families and 9 teachers |                                                        |                                                                             |
|                       | McNally and Slutsky (2018)          | USA          | 4 Preschool teachers | Caucasian, African American, Hispanic American, biracial | Fewer discipline-related interactions                                         |
|                       |                                     |              | Age 3–5      |                                                        |                                                                             |
| Peer interactions     | Smith et al. (2009)                 | USA          | 3 Children   | Caucasian, African American                                                        | Peer acceptance ratings                                                      |
|                       |                                     |              | Age 3–5      |                                                        |                                                                             |
|                       | Howes et al. (2011)                 | USA          | 801 Children | White, African American, Latino                                                     | Higher teacher–child closeness                                              |
|                       |                                     |              | Age 5        |                                                        |                                                                             |
|                       | Kim et al. (2016)                   | USA          | 6 Children   | Korean, Korean American                                                            | Comfortable atmosphere                                                       |
|                       |                                     |              | Age 4–5      |                                                        |                                                                             |
|                       | Johnson et al. (2013)               | USA          | 198 Children | Latino (36%), African American (60%), unreported (4%)                               | Prosocial behaviour                                                          |
|                       |                                     |              | Ages 3.03–5.18 |                                                        |                                                                             |
| Child engagement      | Kim (2014)                          | USA          | 11 Children, 7 Mothers, 2 grandmothers, 2 teachers, 2 staff members | Korean American | Values of sharing and interdependence                                             |
|                       |                                     |              | Ages 3–4     |                                                        | Friendship                                                                   |
|                       | Williford et al. (2014)             | USA          | 341 Children | Latino (67%) | Positive interactions with teachers                                                 |
|                       |                                     |              | M age 3.9 |                                                        | Active engagement in classroom tasks                                          |
During instructional time, certain conditions, such as open dialogue and respect for speaking time, facilitate stronger friendship relationships among students (Kim 2014; Williford et al. 2014). Friendships in the classroom contribute to an enhanced positive classroom climate by reducing conflicts, increasing student participation, and fostering safe and respectful environments (Kim 2014, 2016; Myers and Sheddield 2009; Hartman and Manfra 2016; Smith et al. 2009).

Second, children’s engagement in classroom tasks and activities can promote a positive classroom climate since children’s active engagement in tasks is associated with gains in emotion regulation. This becomes particularly relevant since successful emotion regulation influences children’s functioning in behavioural, academic, and social domains to foster a positive atmosphere in the classroom (Williford et al. 2014).

Third, teacher training has a positive effect on the classroom climate (Howes et al. 2013; Morris et al. 2013; McNally and Slutsky 2018). Two articles indicated that the school should facilitate continuous ECEC training for teachers. In an experimental study, Morris et al. (2013) showed that teachers who had been trained to promote prosocial behaviours and limit disruptive behaviours had fewer conflicts in their classrooms and made better use of the learning time. Likewise, McNally and Slutsky (2018) reported that teachers’ professional development related to interactions that contribute to emotionally supportive environments translated into fewer discipline-related behaviours and more emotionally supportive, trust-based behaviours in their classrooms.

Finally, when the relationship between teachers and families is close and based on trust, a positive classroom climate is reported. Engaging in close and trust-based relationships entails, for instance, teachers knowing the names of their students and students’ families or legal guardians, and establishing a cordial relationship with them during school entry and exit (McNally and Slutsky 2018). In the same vein, teachers with close relationships with students and their families tend to build an atmosphere of respect with students as well as their families in which children feel respected and safe, as well as motivated and excited to engage in learning opportunities. In the US, this tendency was reported to be particularly important in classrooms where more than half of the children were Latino and did not speak English as their mother tongue (Howes et al. 2013).

### Structural Aspects

In this category, we include the results related to (a) the group size and (b) the physical space of the classroom. This section includes information contained in four of the fourteen articles analysed.

These four articles indicated that organizing a classroom into small groups and offering school material in a way that invites peer-to-peer sharing (e.g., no names on pencils) favours a positive classroom climate in culturally diverse early childhood education settings (Howes et al. 2011).

For more information, please refer to the original research articles cited.
school settings (Kim 2014; Howes et al. 2011; McNally and Slutsky 2018).

In ECEC, organizing the class group into small groups allows for more dialogue among the children in each group, which, with clear rules that foster a safe classroom framework, reduces conflict (Howes et al. 2011; Williford et al. 2014). Collective moments (dialogues open to the whole class) also contribute to a lower number of conflicts when children work in small groups (Kim 2014). Johnson et al. (2013) found that in classrooms with a positive climate in which students were organized into small groups, children who were living with a caregiver with depressive symptomatology showed improvements in their prosocial behaviour (Johnson et al. 2013).

In culturally diverse contexts, the selection of children’s literature that references the diverse cultural backgrounds of the students present in the classroom fosters a sense of community in the classroom, which helps to improve the classroom climate (Kim 2016). In particular, culturally relevant picture books are shared by young children in the classroom, and children engage in interactions around the books, creating a supportive literature environment in which children also share their experiences from their diverse social backgrounds. Structural aspects, such as providing community materials in the classroom or ensuring moments to work in small groups, contribute to improving the classroom climate in culturally diverse early childhood settings.

Discussion and Conclusions

With the aim of identifying pedagogical and structural aspects that foster a positive classroom climate in preschool contexts, this systematic review analysed fourteen studies conducted in early years classrooms serving children from minority backgrounds. Overall, the studies addressed some of the main aspects that underlie the classroom climate, such as teacher interactions, peer interactions and child engagement. This finding is consistent with the definition of classroom climate included above and indicates how the classroom atmosphere is shaped by the interactions that take place in the classroom as a whole, including the teacher’s behaviour, students’ responses to the teacher, the teacher’s response to students, and interactions among students (Gazelle 2006). Whereas the previous literature differentiates between positive and negative classroom climate, we decided to explore the aspects related to a positive classroom climate. Specifically, we examined the aspects that lead to a sense of connectedness and an emotionally supportive atmosphere with decreased conflicts and disruptions (Gazelle 2006; Reyes et al. 2012). Overall, eight main aspects related to pedagogical practices and structural aspects emerged from our analysis, which can be discussed with reference to the existing literature in the field.

Classrooms that emphasize instruction through emotional support create an atmosphere where children’s behavioural problems and conflicts decrease (Howes et al. 2013; Hartman and Manfra 2016). Particularly, according to Hartman and Manfra (2016), children’s behavioural problems decrease when they receive warm, direct instruction related to prosocial skills. This is especially important for children with minority backgrounds since a disciplinary environment in the classroom and the school have been reported to have negative effects for more vulnerable students (Mallett 2017). Similarly, the concept of “authentic instruction” emphasizes the importance of the social and emotional aspects of learning that teachers should guarantee (Reyes et al. 2012), such as by offering adjusted feedback and ensuring conceptual development and productivity (Howes et al. 2013). This is consistent with a recent study conducted in the US with 267 children and 93 early educators that showed that children in classrooms characterized by higher levels of emotional support experienced greater improvement in their overall relationships and closeness with the teacher and their peers (Moen et al. 2019).

The relationships that children have with the teacher and their peers shape the atmosphere of the classroom. Therefore, engaging in quality interactions is fundamental to constructing a positive classroom climate, which has also been reported to lead to benefits in cognitive development. Warm, respectful, and emotionally supportive interactions can improve students’ learning processes. Accordingly, we identified the following important aspects of child-teacher interactions: for teachers, knowing the name of each child in the classroom, addressing students with a moderate tone of voice and ensuring the establishment of clear rules that provide security, and for children, knowing that their needs and demands are met (Myers and Sheddfield 2009; Johnson et al. 2013). These aspects of quality child-teacher interactions shape teachers’ positive and encouraging expectations in classrooms serving children with minority backgrounds (Howes et al. 2011). Consequently, professional development that provides teachers with the tools to establish those interactions contributes to fostering a safe and supportive environment (McNally and Slutsky 2018).

Similarly, peer interactions are a key element for boosting children’s friendships and positive relationships to foster safe and respectful classroom environments. Indeed, children’s friendships contribute to reducing conflicts, increasing student participation, and fostering safe and respectful classroom environments (Fink and Hugues 2019; Kim 2014, Myers and Sheddfield 2009; Hartman and Manfra 2016). This is consistent with a study conducted by Oh and Lee (2019) on Korean immigrant children’s perspectives of friendship in the USA; the authors found that children
recognized friendship based on qualitative interactions that included respect and the offering of mutual help. The results of this systematic review align with the findings of Oh and Lee (2019) on the importance of creating multiple opportunities for interaction and collaboration with diverse peers to encourage mutual appreciation and learning since mutual appreciation and learning are particularly important for the promotion of a positive classroom climate (Reyes et al. 2012).

The organization of the classroom in small groups is a structural aspect that favours children’s interactions and engagement in instructional tasks (Howes et al. 2011). This finding is consistent with previous research that explored classrooms serving mostly Roma preschool students and reported that a particular small group organization, referred to as ‘interactive groups’, promoted an inclusive and emotionally supportive climate (Aubert et al. 2017). Similarly, the organization of culturally and linguistically diverse classrooms into heterogeneous groups capitalizes on children’s and families’ funds of knowledge. This strategy has been shown to be a facilitator of inclusion and social cohesion (Valls and Kyriakides 2013; Reid et al. 2015), as it increases the presence of the child’s home culture and family values in the classroom (Souto-Manning and Mitchell 2010). Along these lines, including books, materials or print environments that reflect cultural diversity stimulates peer dialogues that help children affirm their identities and that show them they are a part of the community in which they live (Bennett et al. 2017; Kim 2016). In the print environment, which includes posters and decorative artifacts, picture books also contribute to the creation of a supportive literature environment in which children share their experiences from their diverse social backgrounds.

Finally, these pedagogical and structural aspects contribute to fostering close, trust-based relationships between teachers and families, which is especially true for among cultural minorities (Souto-Manning and Swick 2006; Howes et al. 2013). In addition, Rios-Gonzalez et al. (2019) emphasized the importance of encouraging ongoing dialogue between families and teachers so they can agree on the messages and responses provided to children to develop a positive classroom climate.

**Limitations and Further Research**

Among the limitations most frequently highlighted by the studies analysed, the most prominent limitation was the absence of qualitative techniques that would allow for a deeper understanding of the aspects that contribute to improving the classroom climate in the early years. Of the 14 articles analysed, only two referred to comparing the results obtained with the participants with those of other studies (Kim 2014, 2016). Contributing to improving people’s lives is a priority of educational research. Given that research with a social impact has shown the importance of the co-creation of knowledge through the inclusion of the voices of participants though egalitarian dialogue (Soler-Gallart 2017), it would be interesting to emphasize the importance of including participants’ voices in the methodologies used in studies. Longitudinal studies would also be relevant to explore the aspects that affect classroom climate over time. When participants are preschoolers, participation in research is especially challenging; however, the ethical involvement of young children in research is children’s right in early childhood research (Tisdall 2015). This is especially relevant when the research topic has to do with children’s wellbeing, such as their engagement in a positive classroom climate.

The location of the studies could also be interpreted as a limitation of this systematic review, as most of the studies were conducted in the US and included minority populations, such as African Americans or Latinos. Further empirical research could address this issue by exploring other minorities across more diverse countries to better understand the particular role that cultural diversity plays in fostering a positive classroom climate.

In conclusion, despite the limitations acknowledged, this systemic review has reported the current knowledge of pedagogical and structural aspects to better understand how to foster a positive classroom climate in early childhood education for children with minority backgrounds. Indeed, these results have practical implications. In particular, early years teachers working in culturally diverse classrooms could adjust their own practices to foster cognitively and emotionally supportive interactions with their students and among their students and could structure classrooms in small groups, as well as implement other practices found to be beneficial for a positive classroom climate. Overall, for many young children belonging to cultural minorities, the risk of being excluded from a quality education might be reduced if their teachers create a positive classroom climate.
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