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Advanced breast cancer (ABC) is incurable. Previous studies have shown that vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors play a significant role in the angiogenesis of breast carcinoma. Apatinib, a highly selective orally administered small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor that targets vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR2) has successfully been used as a second- and third-line agent in the management of ABC. There are also multiple reported cases where Apatinib was miraculously effective in the management of triple-negative and HER2-positive tumors. However, case reports of its effectiveness against luminal-type tumors are rare. Here, we report the case of a 34-year-old woman with hormone receptor-positive and HER2-negative ABC who was successfully treated with low-dose Apatinib. Owing to necrosis of the center of the tumor due to the effective anticancer effect of Apatinib, a large cavity formed rapidly in the primary lesion; thus, the quality of life of the patient was seriously affected. This report aims to caution physicians about this unique phenomenon when using Apatinib in clinical practice. Anti-Cancer Drugs 2021, 32:773–778
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Introduction
In 2020, breast cancer displaced lung cancer and became the most diagnosed cancer worldwide. According to the International Agency for Research on Cancer [1], about 5–10% of patients with breast had distant metastases at initial presentation [2,3], and a higher proportion of such cases occurred in low- and middle-income areas [4,5]. The goals of therapy at this stage of the disease are to optimize the patient’s quality of life and, if possible, prolong the time to progression of disease and delay death, but it is not curative.

Apatinib is an oral, small-molecular receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) that selectively targets vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR2). It inhibits VEGF-stimulated endothelial cell migration and proliferation and reduces tumor microvascular density. It has been successfully used in the management of several advanced cancers including metastatic gastric, lung, esophageal and colon cancer [6,7]. Several studies have also shown that Apatinib has encouraging antitumor activity and manageable toxicities in the management of advanced breast cancer (ABC) [8–10]; however, only a few studies have reported the efficacy of Apatinib in the management of luminal-type ABC. Herein, we report the case of a young woman with hormone receptor-positive and HER2-negative ABC, who was treated with low-dose Apatinib, and brilliant results were observed. She presented with a lump that shrank significantly just after one cycle of Apatinib. Owing to necrosis of the center of the tumor due to the anticancer effect of Apatinib, a large cavity formed rapidly in the primary lesion after the tumor subsided. Although the cavity got progressively smaller after endocrine therapy, it was not healed after 4 months of treatment, but the hole finally healed after radiotherapy (RT). The dressing on the wound was changed frequently and this seriously affected the quality of life of the patient. After conducting a literature review, we found that a similar phenomenon occurred in some other cases [7,18–20]. We suggest that this may be related to the mechanism of action of Apatinib, as it induced apoptosis and inhibits cell proliferation by blocking the VEGF pathway. This report seeks to bring this phenomenon to the attention of clinicians who use Apatinib in the management of patients with ABC.

Case report
In August 2019, a 34-year-old woman who had a left breast tumor was admitted to the people’s hospital of Leshan (Leshan, Sichuan, China) due to hemorrhage and discharged from her left breast. The mass was noticed...
two years prior, but she was yet to visit a hospital. She was admitted, and physical examination revealed an irregular huge mass (100 × 80 mm, Fig. 1a) in the left breast, with bleeding and was foul-smelling. Color Doppler ultrasonography and computed tomography (CT) revealed a huge tumor in the left breast and several enlarged lymph left axillary and internal mammary lymph node (Fig. 1b), and metastasis to the 12th thoracic vertebrae (T12) was detected by both whole-body bone scanning and CT (Fig. 1c), no other metastatic lesions in other organs were found. Because the left breast was bleeding, and there were a lot of necrosed tissues inside the tumor, we chose decided to biopsy the left lymph node. The pathological evaluation confirmed that it was an invasive grade II ductal carcinoma (ER 80%+, PR 80%+, HER2−, Ki67 60%), and the clinical stage of the disease was cT4N3M1 stage IV. She has no history of hypertension, cardiovascular diseases or bleeding from any other organ, and had no family history of cancer. According to guidelines we recommend that she should be treated with a CDK4/6 inhibitor combined with endocrine therapy, but the patient declined treatment because of her poor economic status. She then received chemotherapy comprising TEC (docetaxel 75 mg/m², epirubicin 75 mg/m² and cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m²) every 3 weeks, simultaneously. She also received bisphosphonate routinely, and the wound was dressed every 2–3 days. After two cycles of treatment, the patient mentioned that the bleeding had significantly reduced (Fig. 2a), but a CT scan showed no reduction in the size of the breast tumor (Fig. 2b), and the metastases of T12 had progressed (Fig. 2c). In November 2019, her chemotherapy regimen was changed to cisplatin (75 mg/m²) and vinorelbine (40 mg/m², on day 1 and day 8) every 3 weeks. After receiving one cycle, the patient complained that the breast mass had enlarged slightly and she was found to have grade two leukopenia. In December

Fig. 1

(a) Physical examination revealed an irregular huge mass (100 × 80 mm) in the left breast; (b) computed tomography (CT) revealed a huge tumor in the left breast; (c) metastasis to the 12th thoracic vertebrae (T12) by CT.

Fig. 2

(a) Physical examination revealed bleeding had significantly reduced but the no reduction in the size of the breast tumor; (b) computed tomography (CT) scan showed no reduction in the size of the breast tumor; (c) CT scan showed the metastases of T12 had progressed.
2019, we placed her on oral Apatinib (250 mg, daily) and continued to administer chemotherapy comprising cisplatin and Vinorelbine after myelosuppression was controlled. After one cycle, the lump shrank significantly, and a clear cavity was formed (Fig. 3a). CT revealed a partial response of the breast tumor (Fig. 3b) and ossification at the site of the bone metastasis (Fig. 3c) after the second cycle. Because of the spread of COVID-19 in whole China, it is inconvenient for patients to routinely visit hospitals from home frequently, so we suggested she stopped the chemotherapy and treated her with a single agent, Apatinib (250 mg, daily). Three months after commencing Apatinib monotherapy, impressive reductions in the size of the tumor were noticed (Fig. 4b), but progressive wound necrosis was observed (Fig. 4a). After a multidisciplinary treatment discussion, we advised her to undergo modified radical surgery and dermatoplasty and change the treatment to endocrine therapy, but the patient declined surgery. Thus, she was treated with Letrozole and Leuprolrelin in April 2020. After 4 months of treatment, the cavity becomes progressively smaller (Fig. 5a, b), and the breast lump almost disappeared as shown in a chest CT (Fig. 5c). In September 2020, local RT (60 Gy/30 fractions) for the primary tumor and regional lymph nodes was finally conducted in combination with endocrine therapy, and in November 2020, wound healing was observed after RT (Fig. 6). Currently, the patient is still being managed and is receiving endocrine therapy.

Discussion

The ABC, National Comprehensive Cancer Network and the Chinese Society Clinical Oncology guidelines recommend CDK4/6 inhibitors combined with endocrine therapy as first-line therapy in patients with hormone receptor-positive/HER2-negative ABC. However, according to the ABC consensus, in patients who have...
visceral risk, severe symptoms and rapid disease progression, chemotherapy can be chosen to relieve symptoms quickly and improve the patient’s quality of life [11]. In our case, we needed to stop the bleeding and growth of tumor quickly but she could not afford the expensive costs of drugs, such as CDK4/6 inhibitors which were suggested by the guidelines. Therefore, we chose chemotherapy as the first line of treatment. Even though the bleeding stopped, the breast tumor did not shrink and the bone metastasis progressed after chemotherapy commenced.

Angiogenesis is considered to be an important component of tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis. Many studies have proved that vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and its receptors (VEGFRs) play a significant role in the angiogenesis of breast carcinoma. Bevacizumab, an anti-VEGF mAb, has been successfully used in MBC to improve the objective response rate and the progression-free survival (PFS) of patients when combined with chemotherapy more than chemotherapy alone in the first line, but it does not prolong overall survival (OS) [12,13]. Other anti-VEGF receptor (VEGFR) TKIs, such as sunitinib and sorafenib, have demonstrated no significant effects in MBC [14,15].

Apatinib is a highly selective oral, small-molecule TKI that binds to and inhibits VEGFR2, and this may lead to inhibition of VEGF-mediated endothelial cell migration, proliferation and tumor microvascular density [7]. Previous studies have shown that not only Apatinib monotherapy but a combination of Apatinib and chemotherapy have objective efficacy and acceptable toxicity. Two-phase II studies found that Apatinib monotherapy used in the management of MBC gains a median PFS of 3.3–4.0 months and OS of 10.3–10.6 months [8,9]. When it comes to combined with chemotherapy, Li [16] reported that treatment with Apatinib combined with capecitabine achieves a better efficacy and similar rate of serious adverse events compared with capecitabine alone as a third-line treatment for advanced triple-negative breast cancer. Another study showed that Apatinib combined with chemotherapeutic agents in patients with ABC who were previously exposed to chemotherapy achieved a PFS of 4.4 months and an OS of 11.3 months [17].

Based on these lines of evidence, our clinical experience and because the tumor of this patient had an abundant blood supply, we proposed that anti-angiogenic therapy may be effective. Surprisingly, she had exciting results and no toxicity due to Apatinib during the whole course of treatment, include hypertension, proteinuria and hand-foot syndrome that are the most frequent adverse events.

(a,b) The cavity becomes progressively smaller and smaller. (c) The breast lump almost disappeared as shown in chest computed tomography.
reported in former clinical studies [8,9,16,17]. This is probably due to the low dose of the drug used. The severe adverse reaction observed was three-degree bone marrow suppression, but we assumed that this was due to the chemotherapy. But due to the significant anticancer of Apatinib, a large cavity formed rapidly in the primary tumor after the size of the tumor reduced, and this is not the first report of such an occurrence. A few case reports have also mentioned a similar phenomenon [7,18–20], and we summarize have these cases in Table 1. Surgery or RT is generally palliative when cavities and necrosis occur. Compare to surgery, locoregional RT is less invasive and does not require general anesthesia; therefore, RT is more likely to be chosen in most cases. Ye et al. [21] reported that in cases of unresectable locally-ABC, ulceration and bleeding improved following RT in 13 (54%) of the 24 applicable cases. Liu [20] reported that the cavity gradually healed after hypofractionated irradiation of 40 Gy/8 fractions was delivered to the tumor. In our patient, the cavity became progressively smaller after endocrine therapy was commenced; however, she was not cured after 4 months of treatment, but the wound finally healed after RT. We consider that RT contributed greatly to wound healing.

**Conclusion**
Considering its manageable toxicity and efficacy, Apatinib is a good management option in some cases of luminal-type ABC, but we should note that if the tumor recedes too quickly, wound ulceration that does not heal easily may occur, and this may be ameliorated by RT.
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**Table 1** Summary of the wound necrosis or cavity formation by use of apatinib

| Author | Type of cancer | site | Dose of apatinib | Observed phenomena after use of apatinib | Time from therapy to evaluation |
|--------|---------------|-----|------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Liu et al. [20] | Advanced triple-negative breast cancer | Right breast | 250 mg qd | The fungated, cauliflower-like tumor occupied the entire right breast | 2 months |
| Danni et al. [18] | Advanced her2-positive breast cancer | right chest wall and Bilateral lung metastasis | 500 mg qd | Right chest wall and the left lung metastasis demonstrated clear cavitation | 3 months |
| Zhou et al. [17] | Osteosarcoma | left upper crus area | 500 mg qd | Progressive wound necrosis appeared | 1.5 months |
| Li et al. [16] | Metastatic rectal cancer | Bilateral lung metastasis | 750 mg qd | Cavity formation | 2 months |
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