Proposed method for searches of gravitational waves from PKS 2155-304 and other blazar flares
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Abstract
We propose to search for gravitational waves from PKS 2155-304 as well as other blazars. PKS 2155-304 emitted a long-duration energetic flare in July 2006 with total isotropic equivalent energy released in TeV gamma rays of approximately $10^{45}$ ergs. Possible gravitational wave signals associated with this outburst are expected to be seen at the same time as the electromagnetic signal. During this flare, the two LIGO interferometers at Hanford and the GEO detector were in operation and collecting data. For this search we will use the data from multiple gravitational wave detectors. The method we use for this purpose is a coherent network analysis algorithm which is called RIDGE.

To estimate the sensitivity of the search, we perform numerical simulations. For a detection probability of 20%, we estimate that this method is sensitive to total isotropic equivalent energy at the source of about $2.5 \times 10^{55}$ ergs. For this analysis, an end-to-end pipeline has been developed, which takes into account the motion of the source across the sky.

PACS numbers: 04.80.Nn, 95.85.Sz, 97.60.Lf, 98.54.Cm

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

Blazars are one type of active galactic nuclei which are powered by accretion onto a central engine, and have been detected throughout the electromagnetic spectrum from radio waves to TeV gamma rays [1]. Blazars show strong variability on many different time scales throughout the electromagnetic spectrum and exhibit a high degree of polarization. Their radio jets exhibit apparent super-luminal motion indicating that they are emitted at small angles to our line of
sight. The central engine is believed to consist of a super-massive black hole \((>10^6 \, M_\odot)\) or a binary black hole [2]. There are more than 500 confirmed blazars and their mean redshift is about 0.2 [3].

In many respects blazars are similar to gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), and there have been many searches for gravitational waves from GRBs [7]. Both GRBs and blazars have a central engine and a jet, both undergo accretion, and both show evidence for non-thermal emission [4]. The main difference between them is the nature of the central engine, and that GRBs emit outbursts only once, whereas blazars emit outbursts more than once.

Spatial correlations have been observed between blazars and ultra high energy cosmic rays [5]. There have been searches for high energy neutrinos associated with blazar flares [6]. The benefits of coincidence searches between the data from neutrino detectors and gravitational wave detectors are outlined in [8]. This also motivates the search for gravitational waves in coincidence with blazar flares.

In this paper we present a method for searching for gravitational waves associated with an energetic outburst from PKS 2155-304 blazar, which happened during the fifth LIGO science run (S5) [10]. Assuming that the velocity of gravitational waves is same as that of light, any possible gravitational wave signals should be seen simultaneously with the electromagnetic outburst. Therefore, this search needs to be done by analyzing the data from gravitational wave detectors at the time of this flare.

To do this search, we shall combine the data from multiple gravitational wave detectors. The search will be done with a coherent network analysis algorithm called RIDGE [9]. Sensitivity results using simulated noise and one set of simulated signals are presented. We also briefly discuss the outbursts seen from OJ 287 and S5 0716+71, which are two other blazars we plan to include in this search.

Since the flare from PKS 2155-304 lasted several hours, the search for gravitational wave signals from this object has features that are quite distinct from previous LIGO searches for gravitational waves associated with GRBs. For this search, we need to analyze a much longer data segment, during which the source moves significantly on the sky. This is different from searches for gravitational waves from GRBs, where the on-source time interval for which data is analyzed for possible gravitational wave signals is only 180 s [7]. For this search, the corresponding change in the detector responses due to the changing antenna pattern functions must be taken into account.

2. PKS 2155-304 outburst in July 2006

PKS 2155-304 is a blazar located in the southern galactic hemisphere with a redshift of about 0.12, which corresponds to a luminosity distance of about 540 Mpc [11], and has been observed throughout the electromagnetic spectrum. The nature of the central engine in PKS 2155-304 is currently unknown. The High Energy Stereoscopic System (H.E.S.S) [12] detector has been monitoring PKS 2155-304 since 2002. It detected an energetic outburst from PKS 2155-304 [13] above 200 GeV, which lasted about 2000 s, starting from MJD = 53 944 (corresponding to July 28 2006 00:40:00 UTC). The total flux during this flare increased by a factor of ten with respect to the quiescent level. The integrated flux during this flare is \(1.7 \times 10^{-9} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1}\). The total isotropic equivalent energy emitted during this flare assuming the source has a redshift of about 0.12, matter density of 0.3, density of cosmological constant of 0.7, is approximately \(10^{45}\) ergs.

Various models have been proposed for this outburst [14–16], but none of them could satisfactorily explain this large flare along with steady state emission from this object. The common feature of all the models used to explain the flare is that the bulk Lorentz factor of
the jet is around 50, size of the emitting region is around $3 \times 10^{14}$ cm, and the jet opening angle is about 1°, which reduces the isotropic equivalent energy emitted by a factor of about $10^{-4}$ [17].

During this outburst from PKS 2155-304, both the 4 km (H1) and 2 km (H2) LIGO Hanford interferometers (LHO) and the GEO detector were operational. The third LIGO detector in Livingston was not taking data at this time. The angular sensitivities to unpolarized gravitational waves of H1, H2 and GEO within a one day interval from the start of this flare, are shown in figure 1. The sensitivity of gravitational wave interferometers can be characterized by its inspiral range [18], defined as the distance to which signals from the inspiral of two 1.4 $M_\odot$ neutron stars is detected with signal-to-noise ratio greater than 8, after averaging over all sky positions and orientations of the binary system. The corresponding inspiral range for H1, H2 and GEO detectors were 13 Mpc, 7 Mpc and 1.3 Mpc respectively during this time.

3. Mechanisms for possible gravitational wave emission

There are no theoretically accepted gravitational wave emission mechanisms from such transient blazar outbursts in the LIGO frequency band. There have been some proposed mechanisms for the steady state gravitational wave emission from active galactic nuclei with super-massive black holes as the central engine in the LISA frequency band, which we briefly describe here. Although the distance to this object is much greater than the inspiral range of the LIGO and GEO detectors (section 2) at this time and a large amount of energy must be emitted if the expected signal is near the sensitivity of initial LIGO, we would like to be open to all possibilities and look for gravitational waves during this outburst.

One mechanism for possible gravitational waves emission is from fragmentation of the accretion disc due to feedback energy from star formation in the outer parts of the accretion...
disc for a blazar with a single black hole [19] or due to impact from the accretion disc of the secondary black hole for a blazar with a binary black hole [20]. Another mechanism is from fragmentation of the accretion disc because of dynamical friction from an in-falling satellite onto a coplanar accretion disc [21]. This fragmentation results in the formation and evolution of massive stars in the self-gravitating accretion discs of massive black holes. The resultant compact objects from the fragmentation remain embedded in the accretion disc and could merge with the parent black hole at the center [19]. The gravitational waves are possibly produced from inspirals and mergers of the disc born compact objects (with masses of about $100M_\odot$) with the central black hole. The expected amplitude of gravitational waves for the above mechanisms has been estimated to be from $10^{-21}$ to $10^{-19}$ Hz$^{-1/2}$ with expected frequency from $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-1}$ Hz [22]. If a less massive object is formed, the expected frequency of the gravitational wave signal would be higher and could fall into the LIGO band. However, a less massive object will also lead to a significant reduction in the amount of gravitational wave emission.

4. Analysis techniques

Since the LIGO Hanford and GEO detectors are far apart, we will use gravitational wave coherent network analysis techniques for analyzing this flare. Such coherent network analysis algorithms take into account the antenna patterns for each detector in order to extract the gravitational wave signal from the detector data stream [23–26]. The RIDGE [9] algorithm (the name is derived from the term ‘ridge regression’ used in statistics literature) is one such method. The RIDGE technique solves the problem of rank deficiency of the detector response matrix by using Tikhonov regularization [23]. More details on RIDGE and the data conditioning technique can be found in [27]. We also propose to use the same method in searches for gravitational waves from GRBs, magnetars, pulsar glitches and Sco-X1. For the PKS 2155-304 analysis we would like to combine the data from the GEO detector with the data from the two Hanford detectors. The GEO detector is geographically far apart and has different arm orientations with respect to the Hanford detectors. Therefore, using GEO data would enable us to increase the sensitivity in contrast to searches for gravitational waves from GRB 070201 [28] or SGR 1806-20 [29], which used the data from the Hanford detectors only.

We are also developing a method to look for long-duration (lasting several seconds to minutes) transient gravitational wave signals [31], taking into account the motion of the source in the sky. This method of source tracking involves splitting the dataset into many short-duration segments during which the position of the source is approximately constant. We reconstruct $h_+$ and $h_\times$ within each segment knowing the direction to the source. The reconstructed $h_+$ and $h_\times$ are then concatenated across the segments and a test for excess power is applied to this reconstructed time series. Since the flare from PKS 2155-304 lasted for more than 2 h, we plan to apply this source tracking technique. This method of looking for long-duration unmodeled bursts in LIGO data could help us find a signal which otherwise may be missed from all sky untriggered searches for gravitational wave bursts [30].

5. Estimation of sensitivity of the algorithm

In order to evaluate the performance of this algorithm, we perform numerical simulations of the detector noise and possible gravitational wave signals. We then apply the RIDGE algorithm
on this simulated time series which includes both the detector noise and signal. We shall then estimate the sensitivity of our search using receiver operating characteristic curves.

Since the flare from PKS 2155-304 lasted 2000 s, we need to generate the same duration of simulated noise for H1, H2 and GEO. The amplitude spectral density of the simulated noise is obtained from the LIGO and GEO design sensitivity curves.

We first generated 2000 s of Gaussian stationary noise using three independent realizations of white noise corresponding to the three detectors. We then created FIR filters with transfer functions matching the design amplitude noise spectral density curves as a function of frequency, for the three instruments. These were applied to the generated stationary Gaussian noise. To generate a realistic simulation of the detector noise we added possible instrumental spectral lines. To model the effect of such lines, sinusoidal signals were added at seven frequencies between 50 and 1050 Hz. The amplitude spectral density of the simulated noise for H1, H2 and GEO is shown in figure 2.

In order to test the performance of this method to possible short-duration gravitational wave signals from PKS 2155-304, we need to add simulated burst signals to the generated noise at various times throughout the flare. In its simplest form, a burst signal can be described by a sine-Gaussian waveform, with quality factor $Q$, central frequency $f_0$, and a characteristic amplitude $h_0$ [32]. The waveforms we chose for our simulation were circularly polarized sine-Gaussian signals with $Q = 9$ and $f_0 = 235$ Hz, and for which the signal in ‘+’ polarization is phase shifted by 90° with respect to the signal in ‘×’ polarization. The strength of the signal is characterized by

$$h_{rss}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left( h_+^2(t) + h_\times^2(t) \right), \quad (1)$$

where $h_+$ and $h_\times$ correspond to waveforms with the ‘+’ and ‘×’ polarizations, respectively. We chose four different values for the signal strength with $h_{rss}$ equal to $(0.7, 1.4, 2.1, 3.5) \times
10^{-22} \text{ Hz}^{-1/2}$, this signal was injected at the estimated location of PKS 2155-304, which is right ascension $= 22$ h and declination $= -29.8^\circ$ [11].

The \textsc{RIDGE} method is now applied to our simulated dataset. At each value of latitude ($\theta$) and longitude ($\phi$), we maximize the Tikhonov regularized likelihood functional [23], by varying $h_+$ and $h_	imes$. To distinguish between signals and noise, we define a detection statistic using the values of the likelihood functional ($S$), which is called \textit{radial distance statistic} [9]

$$R_{\text{rad}} = \left[ \left( \frac{\max_{\theta, \phi} S}{\max_{\theta, \phi} S_0} - 1 \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\max_{\theta, \phi} S \times \max_{\theta, \phi} S_0}{\min_{\theta, \phi} S \times \min_{\theta, \phi} S_0} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2},$$

where $S_0$ is the average likelihood functional for data that do not contain a signal.

In figure 3, we show plots of two skymaps in which the color scale indicates the values of the likelihood functional. The first plot is the skymap at the maximum of the radial distance ($S$) which contains a signal. The second plot is the skymap averaged over all times not containing any signal $S_0$. The pattern in the skymap is largely determined by the condition number of the LHO–GEO response matrix [23]. The values of the radial distance statistic for the signal only and noise-only skymaps are shown in figure 4.

A common way to characterize the sensitivity of an algorithm is through receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of detection efficiency versus false alarm probability. The ROC curves of this search with the H1-H2-GEO network for three values of $h_{\text{rss}}$ are shown in figure 5. These ROC curves are obtained by calculating the detection probability and false alarm probability for different threshold values of the radial distance statistic. For a detection probability of 0.2 and false alarm probability of 0.004, the $h_{\text{rss}}$ is about $2.1 \times 10^{-22}$ Hz$^{-1/2}$.

The energy for a sine-Gaussian signal with central frequency ($f_0$) and $Q \gg 1$ is given by [32]

$$E_{\text{GW}} = \frac{r^2 c^3}{4G} \left( 2\pi f_0 \right)^3 h_{\text{rss}}^2,$$

where $r$ is the distance to the source. From (3), we find that the $h_{\text{rss}}$ sensitivity corresponds to the total energy in gravitational waves at the source of approximately $2.5 \times 10^{55}$ ergs. Thus, the total energy emitted in gravitational waves from this object must be about $10^{10}$ greater than the emitted electromagnetic energy, in order to be within the sensitivity of this method.
Figure 4. The distributions of the radial distance statistic (2) for both simulated signals (dashed line) and noise (solid line). The strength of the injected signal is $h_{rss} = 2.1 \times 10^{-22} \text{Hz}^{-1/2}$. The mean value of the radial distance statistic for signal injections is higher for noise only.

Figure 5. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for H1-H2-GEO network with the RIDGE pipeline during the PKS 2155-304 flare for a circularly polarized sine-Gaussian signal for three different values of $h_{rss}$ shown in the figure in units of $\text{Hz}^{-1/2}$.

6. Outbursts from OJ 287 and S5 0716+71

In addition to PKS 2155-304, there were other blazar outbursts during S5, two of which we will describe here. We shall also look for possible gravitational waves from these two blazars.
Figure 6. Observations from OJ 287 starting from 21 October 2004 12:00 UTC. The outburst is in November 2005 and corresponds to $V$-band flux of about 12 mJy. (Data used for this plot is obtained from [20].)

OJ 287 is a blazar located at a redshift of about 0.3, equivalent to luminosity distance of about 1600 Mpc. OJ 287 has been known to emit periodic outbursts every 12 years. This blazar is believed to contain a binary black hole system with masses equal to $16 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ and $0.1 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ [20]. The possible cause of these bursts is the fragmentation of the accretion disc of the secondary black hole by the primary one. The orbital decay of the system due to the emission of gravitational radiation (with frequency approximately equal to $10^{-8}$ Hz) is in agreement with general relativity to within 10% accuracy [33]. There were two optical outbursts from OJ 287 during S5, one in November 2005 and another in September 2007. Figure 6 shows one of the bursts from OJ 287 in November 2005 in the optical $V$ band.

Another blazar for which outbursts were observed in the radio, optical and gamma-ray bands is S5 0716+71. Not much is known about the redshift of this system or the nature of its central engine. These outbursts were detected from August to September 2007 by the Whole Earth Blazar Telescope Consortium using data from the AGILE satellite in MeV gamma rays, optical observations in $R$-band, and radio observations from 8 to 43 GHz [34]. The cause of these outbursts is unknown.

We shall also apply RIDGE to search for possible short-duration as well as long-duration gravitational wave signals from OJ 287 and S5 0716+71. For these objects, the on-source time interval is expected to be several days, since there were few observations per day.

7. Conclusions

We described a method to search for gravitational waves from blazars and identified some sources which flared in TeV gamma rays and optical wavelengths, such as PKS 2155-304, OJ 287 and S5 0716+71. We discussed how a coherent network analysis algorithm (RIDGE) can be used for this search. Sensitivity results with the RIDGE search algorithm, using simulated noise and simulated signals for the LIGO Hanford detectors and GEO detector, at the time of the PKS 2155-304 flare are shown. This algorithm can detect $h_{\text{rss}}$ of about $2.1 \times 10^{-22}$ Hz$^{-1/2}$
for a detection probability of about 20%. This corresponds to an energy at the source of $2.5 \times 10^{55}$ ergs for the assumed signal waveform and is of the same order of magnitude for any other short-duration burst signal. A complete end-to-end pipeline to do this search for gravitational waves from these blazar flares as well as other long-duration electromagnetic transients, which takes into account the motion of the source across the sky has been designed.
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