We demonstrate a conformally mapped multifunctional acoustic metamaterial Mikaelian lens. Mikaelian lens is a gradient medium with a hyperbolic secant refractive index profile that can realize functions like beam self-focusing. Unlike the conventional design approaches, with a conformal transformation method, only isotropic material parameters with gradient refractive index profiles are required for the construction of such lens. To realize desired gradient index distribution, we carefully design a new type of cross-channel-shaped acoustic metamaterial, whose refractive index can be effectively modulated by simply changing the slit opening size. The distinct capabilities of the metamaterial Mikaelian lens in manipulating acoustic waves are experimentally verified with the fabricated sample. Simultaneous sound guiding and Talbot effects, which normally require respective geometrical and wave acoustic approximations, are observed in simulations and experiments. Furthermore, those effects of shaping acoustic wave propagations were validated within a relatively wide frequency range. Our study reveals how the conformal transformation method can help to bridge the ray acoustics with wave acoustics. It offers opportunities to the development of novel multifunctional acoustic devices for various applications, such as sound and particle manipulations.

1. Introduction

Acoustic metamaterials exhibit novel constitutive properties that extensively broaden the acoustic research horizon. They offer the possibility to manipulate sound in unprecedented ways to significantly benefit potential applications [1–19]. A generalized way to appreciate the implied capabilities of acoustic metamaterials is through the concept of transformation acoustics, which enables freewheeling steering of acoustic rays along arbitrary curves [7–15]. This coordinate transformation method was first proposed to manipulate electromagnetic waves, which is based on the invariance of Maxwell’s equations under coordinate transformations [20–23]. Similar invariance symmetry of the acoustic wave equations under transformation was then identified [8]. This landmark finding thus enabled the establishment of the general principle of transformation acoustics. Transformation acoustics offers a variety of versatile and interesting sound control functionalities, among which, cloaking is one of the most remarkable and fascinating phenomenon [7–9]. However, the unit parameters of sound cloaking acoustic metamaterials are usually extreme. In addition, the effective parameter values derived from transformation acoustics usually are highly dispersive, limiting the working frequency range. To overcome the limitations, simplified schemes were proposed. For example, based on the quasiconformal mapping method [10, 11], carpet cloaks were able to hide scatters on a flat plane. The conformal transformation method was also applied to design the isotropic media only spherical cloak [16].

The transformation function of quasiconformal mapping approximately satisfies the requirement of conformal mapping to reduce the anisotropic feature of material parameters. Such conformal transformation method shows great advantages when it comes to constructing practical devices, as it only requires an isotropic parameter with gradient refractive index profiles. It therefore can bring the benefit of feasible material design, which is not easy to be realized with
2 Results and Discussion

2.1. Mikaelian Lens Based on the Conformal Transformation Method. Maxwell’s fish-eye lens is a type of imaging device to transform a point source on the boundary of the lens into a focus at the diametrically opposite side of the lens so that the fish-eye lens can be regarded as a perfect imaging instrument. Here, 2D Maxwell’s fish-eye lens with a gradient index profile is considered, in which the refractive index varies according to \( n = \alpha/(1 + r^2) \), where \( r \) is the distance from the center of the lens and \( \alpha \) is the refractive index at the center [42]. The refractive index profile of the fish-eye lens is given in Figure 1(a), which decreases gradually from the center to the outside. Inside this fish-eye lens, the ray paths are circles emitted from point A and then converged at point B, as shown in Figure 1(b). As the relationship between the refractive index distributions of virtual and physical space being \( n_z = n_w(du/dz) \), assuming that the index profile \( n_w(u, v) \) in virtual space takes a form of \( w/(1 + u^2 + v^2) \), with an exponential conformal mapping \( u(w, v) = \exp(-\beta z(x, y)) \), the corresponding index distribution in physical space would be (see Supplementary Materials for details)

\[
n_z(x, y) = n_0 \text{sech} \left( \frac{\beta y}{2} \right),
\]

where \( n_0 = \alpha \beta/2 \) is the maximum refractive index along the central line and \( \beta \) is the gradient coefficient which can be utilized to determine the width of the lens. The mapped region of our acoustic Mikaelian lens is ribbon-like with length \( L = 2n/\beta \), as is shown in Figure 1(c). The color change indicates that the gradual refractive index decreases from the lens center line towards both side ends. Inside the mapped Mikaelian lens, the ray would propagate along a sine-like path, as illustrated in Figure 1(d). For a narrow incident sound beam, the periodically repeated sinusoidal propagation path in our Mikaelian lens can also be predicted, as illustrated in Figure 1(e). The analytical beam trajectory can be derived from the index profile in (1) [43]:

\[
y(x) = \frac{\sinh^{-1}(\mu y_0 H(x))}{\beta},
\]

where \( H(x) = \cos(\beta x), \mu_y = \sinh \left( \frac{\beta y_0}{\beta} \right), \) and \( y_0 \) is the incident position at \( x = 0 \). Therefore, once the entry position \( y_0 \) is determined, the propagating trajectory of any normal incident beam can be predicted. Such wave guiding effect is a typical geometric acoustic phenomenon. On the other hand, for a grating incident source, all the waves transmitted through different windows would diffract and interfere with each other, which can generate effects in wave acoustics, for example, Talbot effect. The inhomogeneous refractive index distribution in the conform Mikaelian lens adds more degrees of freedom to manipulate the sound waves, which can take both the ray trajectories and wave phenomena into consideration.
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2.2. Cross-Channel Acoustic Metamaterial for Mikaelian Lens. Our Mikaelian lens is realized with a new cross-channel acoustic metamaterial. As illustrated with a top view 2D model in Figure 2(a), the unit cell of this new acoustic metamaterial is designed from a square shape with side length a and side thickness b. Slit openings of length d are cut at the center of all four side walls. The metamaterial unit cells are center symmetric so that the isotropic requirement of the conformal transformation method can be satisfied. What is important here is that the refractive index of the new acoustic metamaterial can be fine-tuned by simply changing the opening size d. In this case, the metamaterial unit cells behave as resonators, whose performance and corresponding effective refractive index are governed by the slit size d. Such a capability can be evidently witnessed by the numerical simulation results presented in Figure 2(b), where the value of side length a and tube thickness b is fixed. Here, the effective refractive indices are extracted based on effective medium theory [44]. The change of the extracted refractive index can be clearly observed when we tune the slit opening size d. As anticipated, the refractive index gradually increases with d.

A perfect Mikaelian lens calls on a continuous index profile predicted by (1) as shown in Figure 2(c). However, such scenario is extremely hard to realize in practice since the metamaterial unit cell size cannot be infinitely small. Therefore, we discretize the continuous refractive index distribution and construct a two-dimensional acoustic metamaterial with 36 × 50 unit cells, as shown in Figure 2(d). It is located inside a 2 cm high, 60 cm long, and 43.2 cm wide waveguide to form the complete Mikaelian lens. The operating frequency of the lens is set at 3 kHz. The center distance between the adjacent metamaterial unit cells is 12 mm. The side wall length a and the wall thickness b of all metamaterial unit cells are, respectively, 11.4 mm and 1.5 mm, much smaller than the sound wavelength in air. The heights of all metamaterial unit cells are 1.8 cm, to guarantee the pressure invariant along the z axis. As shown in Figure 2(d), the unit cells have uniform structures along the x axis. But along the y axis, each unit cell has its own slit openings value d so that the effective index distribution would satisfy the Mikaelian lens requirement calculated based on (1). With the $n_0$ and $\beta$ in (1) chosen to be 2.03 and 6 based on the maximal refractive index obtained with the acoustic metamaterial, a corresponding refractive index change from 1.03 at both ends to 2.03 in the middle at $y = 0$ can be obtained. In our setup, the effective index distribution of Mikaelian lens is equally discretized into 18 parts away from $y = 0$. However, to satisfy the resolution accuracy requirement of 3D printing, the space between the adjacent structures cannot be smaller than 0.1 mm. Therefore, we choose to assign 13 different indices to the 18 discrete metamaterial unit cells, as sketched in Figure 2(d). Please refer to Table S1 in Supplementary Materials for detail.

2.3. Sound Guiding Effect. The wave guiding effect of confining sound propagation along a specific path is an attractive phenomenon in geometric acoustics, by which the guided trajectory of an incident beam can be analytically predicted [43]. It enables the sound beams to propagate along a tailored fashion and has a number of potential applications, such as acoustic sensing, imaging, and particle manipulation [45–47]. To demonstrate the wave guiding effect, we use the

![Figure 1: Mapping Maxwell’s fish-eye lens to Mikaelian lens. (a) The refractive index distribution of two-dimensional Maxwell’s fish-eye lens. (b) Acoustic wave propagation inside the fish-eye lens. Rays emitted from point A will travel along the orange lines and converge at point B. (c) The refractive index distribution of the mapped two-dimensional Mikaelian lens. (d) Acoustic wave propagation inside the Mikaelian lens for a single period. (e) The predicted analytical propagating trajectories for beams with different incident positions (indicated by different colors) inside the Mikaelian lens. In all the plots, (u, v) indicates the coordinate vector in virtual space and (x, y) represents that in physical space.](image-url)
Airy beam Airy(25y) as input. The airy function incident beam can be generated by arranging the phase distribution about its function \[45\] (please refer to Figure S1(a) in Supplementary Materials). We realize the beam Airy(25y) with two planar sources at input side \(x=0\). The phase \(\phi\) for position \(-0.094 \text{ m} < y < 0\) approximates to 0, and \(\phi\) for position \(-0.16 \text{ m} < y < -0.094 \text{ m}\) is \(\pi\). In a normal waveguide filled with air, Airy beam propagates along its nondiffractive trajectory with intensity decreasing gradually. However, as shown in Figure 3, when the same Airy beam enters a perfect Mikaelian lens, it will instead travel along a sinusoidal-like path and repeat periodically with a period of \(L = 2\pi/\beta\), about 1.047 m. We also conduct full wave simulation with our acoustic metamaterial Mikaelian lens. As presented in Figure 3(b), although the actual structure of acoustic metamaterial unit cells causes some discretization of beam shape, the overall Airy beam trajectory is consistent with the acoustic field distribution shown in Figure 3(a) when we consider the refractive index to be continuously distributed. In experiments, we measured the sound field distribution alongside the lens. The results demonstrated in Figure 3(c) agree well with both simulation outputs, evidently verifying the wave guiding capability of the acoustic metamaterial Mikaelian lens. The normalized absolute pressure profiles at \(x=L/2\), acquired from the simulations and experiments, are further compared in Figure 3(d). Similar variation pattern and shape can also be clearly observed for three different cases. The position difference of curve peaks is due to our discretization of the continuous Mikaelian lens index profile and the inevitable intrinsic loss in experiment. It is worth mentioning that other interesting phenomena within the geometric acoustic scope can also be realized in this acoustic metamaterial waveguide. For example, periodical self-focusing effect with a \(L/2\) foci interval can be obtained for a wide plane wave incidence (see Supplementary Materials for the detail).

2.4. Talbot Effect. The Talbot effect is a near-field diffraction effect, which is first observed in 1836 [39]. It exhibits repeated field distributions at regular distances away from
the structure after a plane wave incidence (referred to as self-imaging). Recently, it has been explored in matter waves [48, 49], plasmons [50], acoustics [41, 51], and optics [33, 52], with potential applications in imaging, wave manipulation, and data transmission [53–55]. Talbot effect of the acoustic wave could benefit the sound transmission alteration and the visualization of surface acoustic wave propagation [51].

For Talbot effect, sending an incident sound beam to our acoustic Mikaelian lens should result in the observation of periodical self-imaging of the incident wave pattern inside the waveguide lens. In our demonstration, we use a three-beam incidence as shown by the red arrows in Figures 4. The width of each incident beam is 4 cm. The interval between each two beams is 5 cm. In a normal waveguide, the grating pattern of the incident source cannot be transferred to far field due to the diffraction loss. However, in our conformal Mikaelian lens, the pattern of input beam can be transferred and recovered at remote locations along

![Figure 3: Sound guiding effect. (a) The simulated absolute sound pressure distribution of Airy beam incident on the Mikaelian lens with the perfect continuous refractive index distribution. (b) The simulated acoustic field distribution of Airy beam incident on the designed Mikaelian lens with the real cross-channel metamaterial structure. (c) The experimentally measured absolute sound pressure distribution for the area marked with the cyan box in (b). (d) The normalized $|p|$ profiles for the dotted lines marked in (a–c).](image)
the lens. Such capability can be witnessed by the simulation and experimental results presented in Figure 4. In simulation, we consider both continue index profile and discrete index profile realized with a real cross-channel acoustic metamaterial structure. For both cases, the self-imaging of the three narrow incident beams can be observed, at around $x = L/2$. This Talbot effect can also be observed periodically at $x = nL/2$, where $n$ is a positive integer. The experimentally measured absolute sound pressure distribution inside the metamaterial Mikaelian lens is shown in Figure 4(c). The whole process of replicating the incident source pattern can be clearly observed. The reimageing position also matches well with the simulation result. We further plot the normalized absolute sound pressure variations along the first self-imaging plane $x = L/2$ in Figure 4(d). The experimental result matches well with the simulation outputs. The slight difference of amplitudes is due to the imperfect absorption boundary and the fabrication error.

2.5. Sound Guiding Effect and Talbot Effect over Spectrum. Our acoustic Mikaelian lens acquires desired effective refractive indices through adjusting the slit opening size of the cross-channel metamaterial. Such capability can be extended over the spectrum when the operating frequency is designed.
to be well away from the resonant frequency of the metamaterial unit cell. Within the targeted frequency range (2800 Hz-3600 Hz), the effective refractive index is almost nondispersive, guaranteeing the broadband performance of our proposed lens (see Supplementary Materials for details). Therefore, compared with the similar case in optics, the proposed cross-channel acoustic metamaterial can provide better spectral performance in wave manipulation for acoustic applications. In experiments, we tested the geometric acoustics and wave acoustic functionalities of our acoustic Mikaelian lens at multiple different frequencies. The relatively broadband wave guiding and Talbot effect demonstrated in Figure 5 are consistent with each other and show great agreement with the simulation results in Supplementary Materials. The sound guiding effect and Talbot self-imaging can be clearly observed even when the operating frequency increases from 2800 Hz to 3600 Hz.

3. Discussion

Based on conformal transformation acoustics, we have designed and demonstrated an isotropic acoustic Mikaelian lens constructed with cross-channel metamaterial. Our experimental results clearly show that this lens is capable of manipulating acoustic wave concurrently with respect to both geometrical acoustics and wave acoustics. It is worth mentioning that, although resonances contribute to the acoustic metamaterial index modulation, the operating frequency is much lower than the fundamental resonant frequency. Therefore, the proposed acoustic Mikaelian lens

Figure 5: Sound guiding and acoustic Talbot effects at different frequencies. (a–c) The experimentally measured sound guiding effect for the operating frequencies of 2800 Hz, 3300 Hz, and 3600 Hz, respectively. (d–f) The experimentally measured Talbot effect for the operating frequencies of 2800 Hz, 3300 Hz, and 3600 Hz, respectively.
can enable the Airy beam sound guiding and Talbot effect within a relatively wide frequency range without drastic dispersion, which makes it a better platform compared with the case in optics [33].

The conformal transformation method adopted in our work offers a powerful tool to explore more fascinating sound phenomena. For example, the whispering gallery modes with high Q factor and directional emission may help to attain directional coherent acoustic beam. The methodology described in our work can be extended to a wide range of wave-physics domains, opening new potential prospects for fundamental studies and practical applications.

4. Materials and Methods

All simulations were performed using COMSOL Multiphysics. The materials used to fabricate the waveguide (poly(methyl methacrylate) and all acoustic metamaterial unit cells (photopolymer), due to the huge impedance mismatch between the material ($\rho = 1190 \text{ kg/m}^3$, $c = 1640 \text{ m/s}$) and air, are considered rigid media in the full wave simulations. The outer boundary condition is plane wave radiation to simulate the experimental condition. The Mikaelian lens consisting of an array (36 x 50) of metamaterial unit cells is fabricated with photopolymer by 3D printing with a manufacturing precision of 0.1 mm. The size of each unit cell is well tailored to be 1.2 cm to leave enough space in the center for the experimental measurement. The height of the unit cells is 1.8 cm, and a base plate is printed to hold all the unit cells together.

The experimental measurements were conducted in a waveguide, with metamaterial Mikaelian lens sample sandwiched between two poly(methyl methacrylate) plates. The overall length and width of the waveguide are 60 cm and 43.2 cm, respectively. Sound-absorbing cotton was placed around the sample. The incident sound waves were generated by a loudspeaker array driven by a function generator. The sound fields inside the Mikaelian lens were acquired by moving the B&K 4138-A-015 microphone to collect the signal with resolution of 1.2 cm.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Authors’ Contributions

H.G., Y.L., and J.Z. conceived the idea. Y.L. and J.Z. supervised the project. H.G., X.F., and Z.G. conducted the experiments. H.G., X.F., Z.G., T.L., and S.L. analyzed the data. All authors contributed to the writing and scientific discussions of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11704284) and the university research grant G-YBXM from the Hong Kong Polytechnic University. Y.L. acknowledges the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11704284).

Supplementary Materials

Section S1: conformal transformation method. Table S1: the slit sizes for all the units to generate the discretized refractive index of the Mikaelian lens. Figure S1: the acoustic field distributions for different incident sources. Figure S2: self-focusing effect. Figure S3: the refractive index profiles for different slit sizes and frequencies. Figure S4: wave guiding and Talbot effect for different frequencies. (Supplementary Materials)

References

[1] T. Brunet, A. Merlin, B. Mascaro et al., “Soft 3D acoustic metamaterial with negative index,” Nature Materials, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 384–388, 2015.
[2] J. Lan, Y. Li, H. Yu, B. Li, and X. Liu, “Nonlinear effects in acoustic metamaterial based on a cylindrical pipe with ordered Helmholtz resonators,” Physics Letters A, vol. 381, no. 13, pp. 1111–1117, 2017.
[3] J. Qu, A. Gerber, F. Mayer, M. Kadic, and M. Wegener, “Experiments on metamaterials with negative effective static compressibility,” Physical Review X, vol. 7, no. 4, article 041060, 2017.
[4] C. Shen, Y. Xie, N. Sui, W. Wang, S. A. Cummer, and Y. Jing, "Broadband acoustic hyperbolic metamaterial," Physical Review Letters, vol. 115, no. 25, article 254301, 2015.
[5] C. Shen, J. Xu, N. X. Fang, and Y. Jing, "Anisotropic complementary acoustic metamaterial for canceling out aberrating layers," Physical Review X, vol. 4, no. 4, article 041033, 2014.
[6] Y. Xie, B. I. Popa, L. Zigoneanu, and S. A. Cummer, "Measurement of a broadband negative index with space-coiling acoustic metamaterials," Physical Review Letters, vol. 110, no. 17, article 175501, 2013.
[7] S. A. Cummer and D. Schurig, “One path to acoustic cloaking,” New Journal of Physics, vol. 9, no. 3, p. 45, 2007.
[8] H. Chen and C. T. Chan, "Acoustic cloaking in three dimensions using acoustic metamaterials," Applied Physics Letters, vol. 91, no. 18, article 183518, 2007.
[9] S. Zhang, C. Xia, and N. Fang, "Broadband acoustic cloak for ultrasonic waves," Physical Review Letters, vol. 106, no. 2, article 024301, 2011.
[10] L. Zigoneanu, B. I. Popa, and S. A. Cummer, “Three-dimensional broadband omnidirectional acoustic ground cloak,” Nature Materials, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 352–355, 2014.
[11] W. Kan, V. M. García-Chocano, F. Cervera et al., “Broadband acoustic cloaking within an arbitrary hard cavity,” Physical Review Applied, vol. 3, no. 6, article 064019, 2015.
[12] B. I. Popa, L. Zigoneanu, and S. A. Cummer, “Experimental acoustic ground cloak in air,” Physical Review Letters, vol. 106, no. 25, article 253901, 2011.
[13] X. Jiang, L. Zhang, B. Liang, X. Y. Zou, and J. C. Cheng, "Radiation directivity rotation by acoustic metamaterials," Applied Physics Letters, vol. 107, no. 9, article 093506, 2015.
[14] A. Climente, D. Torrent, and J. Sánchez-Dehesa, "Omnidirectional broadband acoustic absorber based on metamaterials," Applied Physics Letters, vol. 100, no. 14, article 144103, 2012.
[15] R. Q. Li, X. F. Zhu, B. Liang, Y. Li, X. Y. Zou, and J. C. Cheng, “A broadband acoustic omnidirectional absorber comprising positive-index materials,” Applied Physics Letters, vol. 99, no. 19, article 193507, 2011.

[16] Y. Urzhumov, N. Landy, and D. R. Smith, “Isotropic-medium three-dimensional cloaks for acoustic and electromagnetic waves,” Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 111, no. 5, article 053105, 2012.

[17] A. Alù and N. Engheta, “Multifrequency optical invisibility cloak with layered plasmonic shells,” Physical Review Letters, vol. 100, no. 11, article 113901, 2008.

[18] T. Liu, X. Zhu, F. Chen, S. Liang, and J. Zhu, “Unidirectional wave vector manipulation in two-dimensional space with an all passive acoustic parity-time-symmetric metamaterials crystal,” Physical Review Letters, vol. 120, no. 12, article 124502, 2018.

[19] Y. Zhu, J. Hu, X. Fan et al., “Fine manipulation of sound via lossy metamaterials with independent and arbitrary reflection amplitude and phase,” Nature Communications, vol. 9, no. 1, article 1632, 2018.

[20] J. B. Pendry, D. Schurig, and D. R. Smith, “Controlling electromagnetic fields,” Science, vol. 312, no. 5781, pp. 1780–1782, 2006.

[21] F. L. Teixeira and W. C. Chew, “Differential forms, metrics, and the reflectionless absorption of electromagnetic waves,” Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 665–686, 1999.

[22] L. Xu and H. Chen, “Conformal transformation optics,” Nature Photonics, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 15, 2015.

[23] H. Chen, U. Leonhardt, and T. Tyc, “Conformal cloak for waves,” Physical Review A, vol. 83, no. 5, article 055801, 2011.

[24] Y. Kim, S. Y. Lee, J. W. Ryu et al., “Designing whispering gallery modes via transformation optics,” Nature Photonics, vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 647–652, 2016.

[25] J. B. Pendry, Y. Luo, and R. Zhao, “Transforming the optical landscape,” Science, vol. 348, no. 6234, pp. 521–524, 2015.

[26] S. Bahaee, J. T. B. Overvelde, E. R. Chen, V. Tournat, and K. Bertoldi, “Reconfigurable origami-inspired acoustic waveguides,” Science Advances, vol. 2, no. 11, article e1601019, 2016.

[27] A. Darabi and M. J. Leamy, “Analysis and experimental validation of an optimized gradient-index phononic-crystal lens,” Physical Review Applied, vol. 10, no. 2, article 024045, 2018.

[28] M. Ghasemi Baboly, A. Raza, J. Brady, C. Reinke, Z. C. Lesan, and I. El-Kady, “Demonstration of acoustic waveguiding and tight bending in phononic crystals,” Applied Physics Letters, vol. 109, no. 18, article 183504, 2016.

[29] C. Goffaux, J. Sánchez-Dehesa, A. L. Yeyati et al., “Evidence of Fano-like interference phenomena in locally resonant materials,” Physical Review Letters, vol. 88, no. 22, article 225502, 2002.

[30] N. Jiménez, R. Picó, V. Sánchez-Morcillo, V. Romero-García, L. M. García-Raffi, and K. Staliunas, “Formation of high-order acoustic Bessel beams by spiral diffraction gratings,” Physical Review E, vol. 94, no. 5, article 053004, 2016.

[31] Y. Xie, W. Wang, H. Chen, A. Konneker, B.-I. Popa, and S. A. Cummer, “Wavefront modulation and subwavelength diffractive acoustics with an acoustic metasurface,” Nature Communications, vol. 5, no. 1, article 5553, 2014.

[32] X. Wang, H. Chen, H. Liu, L. Xu, C. Sheng, and S. Zou, “Self-focusing and the Talbot effect in conformal transformation optics,” Physical Review Letters, vol. 119, no. 3, article 033902, 2017.

[33] C. Ren, Z. Xiang, and Z. Cen, “Design of acoustic devices with isotropic material via conformal transformation,” Applied Physics Letters, vol. 97, no. 4, article 044101, 2010.

[34] A. L. Mikaelian and A. M. Prokhorov, “V self-focusing media with variable index of refraction,” Progress in Optics, vol. 17, pp. 279–345, 1980.

[35] F. Sun, Y. G. Ma, X. Ge, and S. He, “Super-thin Mikaelian’s lens of small index as a beam compressor with an extremely high compression ratio,” Optics Express, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 7328–7336, 2013.

[36] J. W. Yang, W. Y. Lai, H. C. Chou, and M. N. M. Kehn, “Compact Mikaelian lens synthesized by metasurfaces,” IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 397–400, 2018.

[37] X. Su, A. N. Norris, C. W. Cushing, M. R. Haberman, and P. S. Wilson, “Broadband focusing of underwater sound using a transparent pentamode lens,” The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, vol. 141, no. 6, pp. 4408–4417, 2017.

[38] H. F. Talbot, “LXXVI. Facts relating to optical science. No. IV,” The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science, vol. 9, no. 56, pp. 401–407, 1836.

[39] L. Rayleigh, “XXV. On copying diffraction-gratings, and on some phenomena connected therewith,” The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science, vol. 11, no. 67, pp. 196–205, 1881.

[40] H. Zhu and F. Semperlotti, “Phononic thin plates with embedded acoustic black holes,” Physical Review B, vol. 91, no. 10, article 104304, 2015.

[41] R. Merlin, “Maxwell’s fish-eye lens and the mirage of perfect imaging,” Journal of Optics, vol. 13, no. 2, article 024017, 2011.

[42] S. C. S. Lin, T. J. Huang, J. H. Sun, and T. T. Wu, “Gradient-index phononic crystals,” Physical Review B, vol. 79, no. 9, article 094302, 2009.

[43] V. Fokin, M. Ambati, C. Sun, and X. Zhang, “Method for retrieving effective properties of locally resonant acoustic metamaterials,” Physical Review B, vol. 76, no. 14, article 144302, 2007.

[44] H. Gao, Z. M. Gu, B. Liang, X. Y. Zou, J. Yang, and J. C. Cheng, “Acoustic focusing by symmetrical self-bending beams with phase modulations,” Applied Physics Letters, vol. 108, no. 7, article 073501, 2016.

[45] P. Vaveliuk, O. Martínez-Matos, Y. X. Ren, and R. D. Lu, “Dual behavior of caustic optical beams facing obstacles,” Physical Review A, vol. 95, no. 6, article 063838, 2017.

[46] A. V. Zhukov, R. Bouffanais, M. B. Belonenko, and I. S. Dyuzhilov, “Three-dimensional ultrashort optical Airy beams in an inhomogeneous medium with carbon nanotubes,” Physics Letters A, vol. 381, no. 10, pp. 931–934, 2017.

[47] M. S. Chapman, C. R. Ekstrom, T. D. Hammond et al., “Near-field imaging of atom diffraction gratings: the atomic Talbot effect,” Physical Review A, vol. 51, no. 1, p. R14, 1995.

[48] L. Deng, E. W. Hagley, J. Denschlag et al., “Temporal, matter-wave-dispersion Talbot effect,” Physical Review Letters, vol. 83, no. 26, article 5407, 1999.
[50] W. Zhang, C. Zhao, J. Wang, and J. Zhang, “An experimental study of the plasmonic Talbot effect,” Optics Express, vol. 17, no. 22, pp. 19757–19762, 2009.

[51] N. Saiga and Y. Ichioka, “Visualization of the strain wave front of a progressive acoustic wave based on the Talbot effect,” Applied Optics, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 1459–1465, 1985.

[52] Y. Lumer, L. Drori, Y. Hazan, and M. Segev, “Accelerating self-imaging: the Airy-Talbot effect,” Physical Review Letters, vol. 115, no. 1, article 013901, 2015.

[53] J. Wen, Y. Zhang, and M. Xiao, “The Talbot effect: recent advances in classical optics, nonlinear optics, and quantum optics,” Advances in Optics and Photonics, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 83–130, 2013.

[54] R. Maram, J. Van Howe, M. Li, and J. Azaña, “Noiseless intensity amplification of repetitive signals by coherent addition using the temporal Talbot effect,” Nature Communications, vol. 5, no. 1, article 5163, 2014.

[55] J. M. Lukens, D. E. Leaird, and A. M. Weiner, “A temporal cloak at telecommunication data rate,” Nature, vol. 498, no. 7453, pp. 205–208, 2013.