First light from a kilometer-baseline Scintillation Auroral GPS Array
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Abstract We introduce and analyze the first data from an array of closely spaced Global Positioning System (GPS) scintillation receivers established in the auroral zone in late 2013 to measure spatial and temporal variations in L band signals at 100–1000 m and subsecond scales. The seven receivers of the Scintillation Auroral GPS Array (SAGA) are sited at Poker Flat Research Range, Alaska. The receivers produce 100 s scintillation indices and 100 Hz carrier phase and raw in-phase and quadrature-phase samples. SAGA is the largest existing array with baseline lengths of the ionospheric diffractive Fresnel scale at L band. With an initial array of five receivers, we identify a period of simultaneous amplitude and phase scintillation. We compare SAGA power and phase data with collocated 630.0 nm all-sky images of an auroral arc and incoherent scatter radar electron precipitation measurements, to illustrate how SAGA can be used in multi-instrument observations for subkilometer-scale studies.

1. Introduction

In the auroral zone, energetic particle precipitation in the upper atmosphere often leads to variations in plasma density from a few kilometers down to 100 m scale size. Poker Flat Research Range (PFRR), Alaska, is an important research site for investigating the dynamics of the high-latitude upper atmosphere. Operated by the University of Alaska at Fairbanks, PFRR hosts a suite of geospace sounding and sensing instruments including the Poker Flat Incoherent Scatter Radar (PFISR) [Semeter et al., 2009], optical all-sky imagers (ASI) [Hampton et al., 2013], scanning Doppler imagers [Conde and Smith, 1997; Anderson et al., 2013], and a launch site for rocket sounding [Zettergren et al., 2014]. Most of these instruments make measurements at about 10–100 km scales or in situ point measurements.

However, the subkilometer-scale ionospheric irregularities can cause scintillation, short-term signal fading, and rapid variations in the phase of transionospheric signals, from Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) such as the Global Positioning System (GPS). At high latitudes, phase scintillations are observed more often than amplitude scintillations and are due to a variety of physical instability mechanisms in both the E and F regions of the ionosphere. The temporal behavior of diffractive scintillations depends on the Fresnel length of the scintillations, the drift speed of the ionosphere, and the relative velocities of the satellites and receivers [Kintner et al., 2004].

There have been a number of significant high-latitude studies of scintillation using GPS [Mitchell et al., 2005; Skone et al., 2009; Grzesiak and Wernik, 2009; Prikryl et al., 2010; Alfonsi et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012]. Most GPS high-latitude scintillation studies were made with single scintillation receivers or a network with baselines of one to hundreds of kilometers and, therefore, were not able to investigate the local spatial spectrum of the irregularities or the drift speeds.

Until recently, there was no dedicated scintillation monitoring array at PFRR and none operating routinely at subkilometer baselines anywhere. We present our installation and systematic data collection, processing, and sharing system for study of the spatial-temporal properties of GPS scintillations at high latitudes using a closely spaced multireceiver array deployed in the northern auroral zone: the Scintillation Auroral GPS Array (SAGA).
2. Scintillation Auroral GPS Array

The purpose of SAGA is to quantify the two-dimensional space-time distribution of scintillations and the ionospheric irregularities that produce them, connecting them to the time history of auroral structuring, larger-scale electron gradients, electrodynamics, and winds. Atmospheric and Space Technology Research Associates (ASTRA) Connected Autonomous Space Environment Sensors (CASES) receivers [Crowley et al., 2011; O’Hanlon et al., 2011] based on technology by Humphreys et al. [2010] are used. The six receivers established by the team are located at the westernmost sites identified on the map of PFRR in Figure 1. Each has a site ID (“IIT-”) labeled on the map. In addition, ASTRA has a receiver at the site marked in red, for a total of seven receivers in the array. Table 1 summarizes the configuration at the present time. The array is spaced over the ground in two dimensions, with 200 m to 3100 m baselines.

Each scintillation monitor is a software-based receiver connected to an external antenna on the roof of the building site and is powered and networked with a basic Linux operating system interface. The data types collected fall into three categories: scintillation indices with a cadence of 100 s, low rate with a cadence of 1 s, and high rate with a cadence of 0.01 s. The indices include calculated parameters of amplitude and phase scintillation $S_4$ and $\sigma_\phi$, decorrelation time $\tau_0$, and scintillation power ratio (SPR). $S_4$ and $\sigma_\phi$ are the normalized standard deviation of signal intensity and phase, respectively, computed over 100 s intervals as currently configured. The decorrelation time $\tau_0$ is the time shift for which the autocorrelation of the time-varying multipath drops by $1/e$ [Humphreys et al., 2009]. The SPR computes the ratio of spectral power in a user-selected narrow band to the total power measured, primarily used for selective high-rate data collection in power- or storage-constrained environments [O’Hanlon et al., 2011]. The low-rate data includes typical GPS observables such as pseudorange and carrier phase, receiver position, satellite IDs (PRNs) tracked, azimuth and elevation angle, and calculated total electron content (TEC). The high-rate data include carrier phase, as well as in-phase (I) and quadrature-phase (Q) accumulations.

The scintillation and low-rate data are streamed in binary format over the network to a server database. The

Table 1. SAGA Receiver Locations, in WGS-84 Coordinates

| Site ID | Receiver ID | Latitude (°N) | Longitude (°E) | Height (m) |
|---------|-------------|---------------|----------------|------------|
| IIT-1   | GRID108     | 65.1265       | -147.4968      | 210        |
| IIT-3   | GRID163     | 65.1248       | -147.4900      | 210        |
| IIT-9   | GRID160     | 65.1262       | -147.4767      | 211        |
| IIT-11  | GRID162     | 65.1169       | -147.4623      | 422        |
| IIT-13  | ASTRA rx#   | 65.1186       | -147.4329      | 519        |
| IIT-15  | GRID161     | 65.1287       | -147.4853      | 212        |
| IIT-16  | GRID154     | 65.1279       | -147.4815      | 208        |

*Not available as part of the online database.
Figure 2. The 8 December 2013 (a) AE indices [World Data Center for Geomagnetism, Kyoto, 2014], (b) SAGA $S_4$ index, and (c) SAGA $\sigma_\phi$ QuickLook plots. Color and vertical scale of Figure 2b both indicate $S_4$ value to emphasize scintillating periods. Likewise for Figure 2c.
Figure 3. PFISR electron density $N_e$ and temperature $T_e$ measurements versus time and altitude for the beam nearest to the raypath between PRN 23 and SAGA.

database is searchable by a web interface for viewing and downloading, publicly available at http://apollo.tbc.iit.edu/~spaceweather.

The streamed data are processed daily to generate QuickLook plots and test for potential scintillation events. Phase scintillation index values from each receiver are filtered to mask satellites below 30° elevation. Then the $\sigma_\phi$ values below a manually predefined threshold (currently 0.5 rad, almost 3 times the quiet-time average $\sigma_\phi$) are discarded. The results are plotted on the website as “QuickLook” plots.

The scintillation indices are used to identify time periods of interest, but detailed analyses with SAGA rely on the high-rate data. Time intervals during which $\sigma_\phi$ exceeds a higher threshold are recorded (currently set to one standard deviation above the average $\sigma_\phi$ for the given day). For these start and end time intervals, the high-rate data for the corresponding whole hour are downloaded from the receivers. The high-rate data are unpacked from binary into text format, to be used for scientific analyses.

For individual studies, the 100 Hz high-rate phase data are processed as detailed by Deshpande et al. [2012]. These filtering methods are consistent with the standard methods of filtering high-rate GNSS observations as described by van Dierendonck and Hua [2001]. At present, to remove the receiver clock errors, carrier and IQ phase of a nonscintillating reference channel are subtracted from those of a possibly scintillating channel. The differenced carrier phase $\phi_c$ and the differenced IQ phase $\phi_{iq}$ are then added together to obtain the sum phase $\phi$. A third-order polynomial fit $\hat{\phi}$ of phase $\phi$ is subtracted to remove satellite geometry effects and obtain phase $\phi'$ with mostly high-frequency components. A high-pass sixth-order Butterworth filter with cutoff frequency 0.1 Hz for data intervals longer than 60 s (or 0.2 Hz otherwise) then removes any residual low-frequency components from $\phi'$ and produces the final detrended phase $\phi_f$. To detrend power, the raw power $P_r$ of a scintillating PRN is filtered by a sixth-order low-pass Butterworth filter to obtain a low-pass-filtered output $P_{LPF}$. Dividing $P_r$ by $P_{LPF}$ produces the high-pass-filtered power $P_f$. We use standard filtering techniques to generate scintillation indices and in our current analysis, but there are other methods yielding alternate indices [Mushini et al., 2012]. With the high-rate data available from SAGA, future studies may investigate alternate filtering methods.

3. Sample Results

An example of the scintillation indices is shown in Figure 2. Figure 2a is a plot of the Provisional AE Indices provided by the World Data Center for Geomagnetism, Kyoto [2014]. Figures 2b and 2c show the scintillation
measurements $S_4$ and $\sigma_\phi$ from each of the six publicly available receivers that were operational at the time. The color scales in Figures 2b and 2c are mapped to the vertical axis values to emphasize elevated scintillation.

On 8 December 2013 there was a geomagnetic storm due to a corotating interaction region in the solar wind and coronal hole high-speed stream [Space Weather Prediction Center, 2013]. The Provisional $AE$ index reprinted in Figure 2a from World Data Center for Geomagnetism, Kyoto [2014] shows periods of geomagnetic disturbance from 00:00 to 05:00 UT, 07:00 to 09:00 UT, and 16:00 to 20:00 UT. Elevated $\sigma_\phi$ scintillation activity in Figure 2c corresponds to the periods of activity denoted by the $AE$ index, with the exception of 00:00–02:00 UT. Notably, Figure 2b shows there is significant amplitude scintillation ($S_4 = 0.4$) close to 04:00 UT.

This date occurred during a PFISR Ion-Neutral Observations of the Thermosphere (PINOT) campaign [Makarevich and Bristow, 2014]. Figure 3 shows the PFISR electron density $N_e$ and temperature $T_e$ data for the beam nearest in the sky to the scintillating GPS signal that will be studied, from 02:00 UT to 06:00 UT. In Figure 3a a significant density enhancement is measured from 03:45 to 04:15 UT at altitudes above 200 km. For this same time through an hour later, high electron temperatures are measured at the $F$ region altitudes. The measurements from PFISR indicate soft electron precipitation at $F$ region altitudes.

The all-sky imager (ASI) at Poker Flat operates during nighttime from August to April and included this night. Correlation between auroral structuring and scintillation has been reported before [Little and Maxwell, 1952; Smith et al., 2008; Garner et al., 2011; Hampton et al., 2013], but we illustrate this event in detail. Figures 4a–4d show consecutive 1 s exposures taken of the 630.0 nm (red line) emission with the ASI during the onset of significant scintillation at 03:44:02, 03:44:14, 03:44:27, and 03:44:39 UT. The ASI pixels are mapped to latitude and longitude locations assuming that the red-line emission takes place at 250 km altitude. The map inset in Figure 4a shows the full region imaged over Alaska with a small orange box showing the area of detail. The white spot to the southwest of the imaged area in the inset is light contamination from the moon. Each subplot zooms in on the image within a 1° longitude and 0.5° latitude span. The raw uncalibrated intensities

**Figure 4.** ASI 630.0 nm emission auroral images and SAGA array PRN 23 ionospheric pierce points (IPPs) mapped to 250 km altitude. Inset in Figure 4a shows full image over Alaska. (a) 03:44:02 UT, (b) 03:44:14 UT, (c) 03:44:27 UT, and (d) 03:44:39 UT. PFISR beam at 250 km shown as a yellow cross. Orange boxes are shown for emphasis.
are plotted in grayscale. In each map, the ionospheric pierce point (IPP) at 250 km altitude for the raypath of the signal from PRN 23 received by each SAGA receiver is shown as a colored circle. An orange box surrounds the SAGA IPPs for visual emphasis. A yellow cross marks the location of the nearest PFISR beam passing through 250 km altitude, whose data were shown in Figure 3. Though not visible at this level of zoom, the three closest operational SAGA sites’ raypaths (IIT-1, IIT-3, and IIT-15) fall within one pixel of the ASI image.

An auroral arc is visible stretching from northwest to southeast. In every instant the PFISR beam appears to be passing right through the auroral arc. At 03:44:02 UT, the SAGA IPPs are just to the south of the arc. Twelve seconds later (Figure 4b), the arc brightens, and the IPPs seem to be on the southern edge of it. The bright area has faded significantly by 03:44:27 UT, such that the arc appears to retreat slightly northward away from the IPPs (Figure 4c). In the last image, Figure 4d, the arc has faded further with the IPPs still appearing to the south of the southern edge.

Figures 5a and 5b, respectively, show SAGA 100 Hz detrended I and Q power and detrended filtered phase from 03:43:40 to 03:44:50 UT at 100 Hz. Time intervals of the ASI data of Figure 4 are marked.

![Detrended IQ Power and Phase](image)

Figure 5. (a) I- and Q-based detrended power. (b) Final phase after detrending and filtering $\phi_f$ from 03:43:40 to 03:44:50 UT at 100 Hz. Time intervals of the ASI data of Figure 4 are marked.

The phase measurements are time correlated and somewhat spatially correlated. The former feature allows us to estimate irregularity drift velocities projected along the direction of motion of the IPPs. Cross-correlation analyses between pairs of receivers have been done [Datta-Barua et al., 2014] using methods described by Basu et al. [1991] and Costa et al. [1997]. Our initial estimate of the ionospheric drift velocity based on SAGA...
measurements is a northwestern motion of about 1100 m/s. Comparisons with PFISR velocities show good agreement. A quantitative analysis of the time period shown in Figures 5a and 5b has been carried out using a Rytov approximation, and both the cross-correlation analysis and quantitative analysis are the subject of a forthcoming paper in preparation. While a detailed description of that analysis is beyond the scope of this current paper, the methods are based on Yeh and Liu (1982) and Taylor (1975). The results of that analysis produced estimations of statistical properties of the irregularities including the following: a spectral index estimate of ~ 3.2; the standard deviation of irregularity density of ~ 1.0 × 10^{11} e/m^2; a thickness of the irregularity layer of ~ 200 km; and the top of the irregularity layer located at ~ 500 km altitude. These results are consistent with the PFISR observations in Figure 3 and indicate that the scintillations may be due to soft $F$ region electron precipitation. In this case the red-line auroral arc observations may be interpreted as originating from the bottom of the precipitation region.

4. Conclusion

Since the end of 2013, the largest existing array of seven closely spaced GPS L1/L2 scintillation monitors has been established at PFRR. Six of these provide ionospheric scintillation parameters and position estimates routinely, available to the public. For the event shown, an auroral arc crossing the raypath of the GPS L1 signal appears to be related to scintillation, with interreceiver phase similarities corresponding to the northwest-southeast orientation of the arc. There are two instances of significant power fluctuation, one with and one without phase scintillation, not usually correlated with arcs. Investigation with PFISR data is ongoing, and a detailed, quantitative study of this event will be the subject of a follow-on paper, obtaining two-dimensional drift velocities, spectral index, irregularity density distribution, and thickness of the irregularity layer. Used in conjunction with the complementary instruments and sensors collocated at PFRR, the SAGA data provide an unprecedented high-resolution view of the effects of auroral processes on GPS phase measurements.
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