INTRODUCTION

Pig producers are faced with a narrow range of ingredients that can be used in formulating pig diets. This has prompted research on the use of low cost, unconventional feedstuffs, which are typically fibrous and abundant. Maize cobs, a by-product of a major cereal grown worldwide, have potential to be used as a pig feed ingredient. Presently, maize cobs are either dumped or burnt for fuel. The major challenge in using maize cobs in pig diets is their lignocellulosic nature (45% to 55% cellulose, 25% to 35% hemicellulose, and 20% to 30% lignin) which is resistant to pigs’ digestive enzymes. The high fiber in maize cobs (930 g neutral detergent fiber/kg dry matter [DM]; 573 g acid detergent fiber/kg DM) increases rate of passage and sequestration of nutrients in the fiber reducing their digestion. However, grinding, heating and fermentation can modify the structure of the fibrous components in the maize cobs and improve their utilization. Pigs can also extract up to 25% of energy maintenance requirements from fermentation products. In addition, dietary fiber improves pig intestinal health by promoting the growth of lactic acid bacteria, which suppress proliferation of pathogenic bacteria in the intestines. This paper reviews maize cob composition and the effect on digestibility of nutrients, intestinal microflora and growth performance and proposes the use of ensiling using exogenous enzymes to enhance utilization in diets of pigs. (Key Words: Ensiling, Exogenous Enzymes, Lignocellulose, Dietary Fiber, Maize Cobs)
Katagile, 1987; Tuah and Orskov, 1989), but also in Asia and Eastern Europe (Latif and Rajoka, 2001; Božović et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2010). This review evaluates the physico-chemical composition and utilization of maize cobs as ingredients in pig diets. Approaches to increase utilization of maize cobs through ensiling using exogenous enzymes, are also explored.

**PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF MAIZE COBS**

Maize cobs fall under the lignocellulose biomass classification; characterized by a close intertwining of cellulose (45% to 55%), hemicellulose (25% to 35%), and lignin (20% to 30%) (Deutschmann and Dekker, 2012; Menon and Rao, 2012). A prerequisite to increasing maize cobs’ incorporation into pig diets is a clear understanding of their physico-chemical properties which have a direct bearing on gut fill, fermentation rate and overall digestion of diets. The physico-chemical properties of interest are chemical composition, bulk density, viscosity, water solubility, and water holding capacity (WHC).

**Nutrient and chemical composition of maize cobs**

The dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), ash, neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), and acid detergent lignin of maize cobs are shown in Table 1. The mean CP (30.2 g/kg DM), ash (45.3 g/kg DM), and ether extract (7.5 g/kg DM) of maize cobs (Table 1) are quite low compared to a conventional fiber source such as wheat bran (CP 175 g/kg DM, ash 51.2 g/kg DM, ether extract 28.3 g/kg DM) (Huang et al., 2015). The mean fiber components (NDF 816.4 g/kg DM and ADF 520 g/kg DM) are higher than in wheat bran (NDF 378.8 g/kg DM and ADF 111.3 g/kg DM) (Huang et al., 2015). A digestible energy value of 11 MJ/kg DM (Viljoen, 1993) and metabolizable energy value of 7 MJ/kg DM (Bredon et al., 1987) have been reported.

The composition of maize cobs is affected by stage of maturity, cultivar, climate, soils and production methods (Szydziowska et al., 2007). Mature cobs have higher NDF, ADF, DM and lower CP and starch than less mature cobs. Szydziowska et al. (2007) reported that DM content in cobs was positively correlated with the content of starch, and negatively with the content of NDF and ADF fractions. The cultivars tested in the afore-mentioned study did not differ in ADF, NDF, and starch content in cobs. The mineral composition depended on the cultivar, effective temperature sum and the farm type. Maize cobs separate into nutritionally distinct, different sized particles comprising of a hard or woody fraction and a soft fraction consisting of glumes, core, grain clippings and fine dust when ground. Božović et al. (2004) reported that 1-mm-sized maize cobs had higher CP and ether extract and lower cellulose, hemicellulose, ADF and NDF than 3 and 2 mm particle sized maize cobs. This may have negative implications on mixing of diets as they will not be homogenous allowing for selection by the pigs.

Cellulose is a polysaccharide of alternating linear glucose units linked together by \( \beta-(1\rightarrow4) \) -glycosidic bonds and serves as the main structural component of the cob’s cell walls. It is embedded in a matrix of lignin and hemicelluloses, which are branched hetero-polymers of pentoses (xylose, arabinose) and hexoses (glucose, mannose, galactose), sometimes substituted with uronic acids (or their O-methyluronic derivatives), acetyl groups and phenolic compounds in various proportions (Deutschmann and Dekker, 2012; Menon and Rao, 2012). The holo-cellulose (the sum of cellulose and hemicellulose) proportion, ranges from 73% to 87% of the total mass (Donnelly et al., 1973; Božović et al., 2004; Kumar et al., 2010a,b). The holo-cellulose consists of \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)-cellulose (composed of

### Table 1. Nutritional composition of maize cobs

| Nutrient (g/kg dry matter) | A   | B   | C   | D   | E   |
|---------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Dry matter                | 908.3 | 885.2 | 900 | -   | -   |
| Crude protein             | 38.9 | 32.6 | 25  | 17.2 | 37.5 |
| Ether extract             | 5.7  | -   | 6   | 12.3 | 6   |
| Ash                       | 76.7 | 72.6 | 26  | 13.3 | 38  |
| Crude fiber               | 286.9 | -   | 324 | 456.8 | 387 |
| Nitrogen free extract     | -   | -   | 529 | 500.4 | 533 |
| Neutral detergent fiber   | 706.3 | 929.8 | -  | -   | 813 |
| Acid detergent lignin     | 168.8 | -   | -   | 116.7 | 44  |
| Acid detergent fiber      | 515.8 | 573.2 | -  | 570.1 | 421 |
| Cellulose                 | 347.0 | -   | -   | 453.4 | 358 |
| Hemicellulose             | 190.5 | 179.6 | -  | 135.4 | 392 |
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degraded cellulose) and γ-cellulose (consisting mainly of hemicelluloses) in proportions of 5.2:2.2:8.3:0 (Kumar et al., 2010b). In addition to providing structural support, hemicelluloses in certain situations act as seed storage carbohydrates (Scheller and Ulvskov, 2010). Half of maize cob hemicelluloses are xylans (Ebringerová and Heinze, 2000; Vázquez et al., 2006). Xylan is one of the hemicelluloses that has been studied extensively, owing to its diverse applications (Ebringerová and Heinze, 2000; Vázquez et al., 2006). Cellulose and xylans impede water-holding properties, which can result in considerable bulking of digesta (Ndou et al., 2013).

**Water solubility and bulk density**

It is unclear how hemicelluloses crosslink with cellulose and lignin, and affect cellulose crystallinity and biomass degradation (Xu et al., 2012). These linkages, however, determine the water solubility and bulk density properties associated with maize cobs. Water solubility of maize cobs ranged from 4% to 11% and water absorptive capacity ranged from 1.08 to 5.80 g/g depending on the size of particles (Božović et al., 2004; Van Nevel et al., 2006). Božović et al. (2004) reported that maize cobs were twice more soluble in sodium hydroxide than in water.

Pathak et al. (1986) compared the bulk density, equilibrium moisture content (EMC) at 80% relative humidity and gross energy of maize cobs with other crop residues such as Arhar stalks, cotton sticks, maize stalks and rice straw. The EMC describes the moisture state of a hygroscopic material as it equilibrates on a moisture basis with its environment and has implications on shelf life (Igathinathane et al., 2005). Maize cobs had a bulk density of 100 kg/m^3 which ranked as medium compared with Arhar stalks (180 kg/m^3) and wheat straw (60 kg/m^3). Low bulk density residues present handling and storage problems, including poor flow properties. Pathak et al. (1986) reported an EMC of 28% for maize cobs. This compares favorably with the EMC ranges reported by Igathinathane et al. (2005) of 3.9% to 56.4%, for maize leaf, 3.1% to 41.1% for stalk skin and 2.7% to 71.5% for stalk pith. The relatively high EMC values for maize cob explain the greater propensity for the onset of mold growth and may determine minimal storage requirements. Molds increase the risk of mycotoxicosis.

**Viscosity**

An increase in solubility of dietary fiber raises luminal viscosity and increases the water-binding capacity of digesta in the small intestine (Canibe and Bach Knudsen, 2002). Montagne et al. (2003) reported that the ability of a fiber substrate to increase viscosity of the intestinal digesta plays a very important role in its effect on the morphological characteristics of the epithelium in the intestinal tract. Van Nevel et al. (2006) reported a lower viscosity of maize cobs (1.01 mPa·s) compared to sugar beet pulp (1.67 mPa·s), wheat bran (1.13 mPa·s) and chicory roots (1.51 mPa·s). Feeding the different fiber substrates had no effect on viscosity of contents sampled in the jejunum, cecum and colon of weaner piglets.

**EFFECTS OF MAIZE COB SUPPLEMENTATION ON GROWTH AND DIGESTIVE PHYSIOLOGY**

The contribution of maize cobs when supplemented in pig diets is complex. The value should not be measured only by the nutrients they contribute, but should encompass their effects on feed intake, the digestibility of other nutrients, intestinal digestive physiology and growth performance. A better understanding of these effects will assist in formulating more accurate and appropriate diets for pigs.

**Effects of maize cob inclusion on feed intake and nutrient digestibility**

It would be advantageous to be able to predict feed intake when feeding bulky feeds because they reduce nutrient density and digestibility. This could provide guidance as to the correct concentration of nutrients in diets to ensure adequate intake. Ndou et al. (2013) reported that feed intake was similar in diets containing maize cobs at 80, 160, and 240 g/kg levels which was attributed to the low WHC of maize cobs. WHC, NDF, and ADF contents of bulky feeds provide relationships with scaled feed intake that can be used to predict gut capacity in weaner pigs even though threshold values for each were different.

Increases in maize cob level in the diet reduced the digestibility of DM, NDF, ADF, hemicellulose, nitrogen, and energy (Table 2). This was attributed to increased rate of passage and sequestration of nutrients in the fiber preventing their digestion (Stanogias and Pearce, 1985a). Fevrier et al. (1992) also reported a decrease in nutrient digestibility as a result of an increase in rate of passage of digesta in pigs fed diets high in inclusion levels of wheat bran. The reduction was, however, less in certain breeds like the Mukota, an indigenous pig from Southern Africa, and in older pigs (Table 2). In the Mukota pigs, digestibility of NDF decreased by about 37% while in the Large White×Landrace crosses (LW×LR) it decreased by about 46% when the inclusion level of maize cobs in the diet was increased from 0 to 300 g/kg (Kanengoni et al., 2002). Similarly, ADF digestibility reduced by 43% and 72% for the Mukota and LW×LR crosses, respectively, as the maize cobs was increased from 0 to 300 g/kg in the diet. Explanations for the differences in reduction of digestibility...
of nutrients were that gut capacity and intestinal microbial populations were likely to differ between breeds.

**Effect of maize cob inclusion on growth performance**

There is a general reduction in average daily gain with increase in maize cob inclusion level (Table 3). However,

| Breed and weight | MC level (g/kg) | NDF level (g/kg) | Nutrients | References |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|
| LW (45 kg)       | 75             | 0.87            | 0.23      | 0.27       | 0.32       | 0.92       | Stanogias and Pearce (1985a) |
|                  | 150            | 0.81            | 0.10      | 0.18       | 0.02       | 0.89       | |
|                  | 225            | 0.76            | 0.21      | 0.24       | 0.20       | 0.88       | |
|                  | 300            | 0.69            | 0.18      | 0.20       | 0.20       | 0.84       | |
| SEM              |                | 0.008           | 0.031     | 0.036      | 0.032      | 0.007      | |
| LW×LR (75 kg)    | 0              | 276.4           | 0.87      | 0.87       | 0.68       | 0.91       | 0.87       | Kanengoni et al. (2002) |
|                  | 100            | 360.3           | 0.74      | 0.68       | 0.54       | 0.72       | 0.84       | |
|                  | 200            | 402.9           | 0.75      | 0.59       | 0.51       | 0.61       | 0.83       | |
|                  | 300            | 523.5           | 0.66      | 0.47       | 0.19       | 0.59       | 0.84       | |
| SEM              |                | 0.014           | 0.027     | 0.034      | 0.034      | 0.012      | |
| Mukota (33 kg)   | 0              | 276.4           | 0.86      | 0.86       | 0.69       | 0.90       | 0.86       | |
|                  | 100            | 360.3           | 0.72      | 0.64       | 0.44       | 0.74       | 0.80       | |
|                  | 200            | 402.9           | 0.72      | 0.49       | 0.43       | 0.58       | 0.80       | |
|                  | 300            | 523.5           | 0.65      | 0.54       | 0.39       | 0.65       | 0.79       | |
| SEM              |                | 0.014           | 0.027     | 0.034      | 0.034      | 0.012      | |
| LW×LR (42 kg)    | 0              | -               | 0.86      | -          | -          | -          | 0.86       | Ndubuisi et al. (2008) |
|                  | 50             | -               | 0.82      | -          | -          | -          | 0.84       | |
|                  | 100            | -               | 0.79      | -          | -          | -          | 0.76       | |
|                  | 150            | -               | 0.69      | -          | -          | -          | 0.70       | |
| SEM              |                | 0.013           |          |           |           |           | 0.011      | |

NDF, neutral-detergent fiber; DM, dry matter; ADF, acid-detergent fiber; Hemi, hemicellulose; N, nitrogen; LW, large white; SEM, standard error of mean; LW×LR, Large White×Landrace crosses.

Table 3. Growth performance of pigs fed diets containing different levels of maize cobs (MC)

| Breed | MC level (g/kg) | NDF level (g/kg) | Growth parameters | References |
|-------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|
| LW    | 0              | 80              | 30.2             | 0.84       | 2.09       | 0.40       | Frank et al. (1983) |
|       | 75             | 135             | 30.4             | 0.81       | 2.21       | 0.37       | |
|       | 150            | 189             | 30.5             | 0.79       | 2.33       | 0.34       | |
| SEM   |                | 0.4             | 0.01             | 0.03       | 0.005      | |
| LW×LR | 0              | 276.4           | 25               | 0.66       | 0.11       | 0.25       | Kanengoni et al. (2004) |
|       | 100            | 360.3           | 30.4             | 0.81       | 2.21       | 0.37       | |
|       | 200            | 402.9           | 30.5             | 0.79       | 2.33       | 0.34       | |
|       | 300            | 523.5           | 30.5             | 0.79       | 2.33       | 0.34       | |
| Mukota| 0              | 276.4           | 14               | 0.36       | 0.12       | 0.18       | |
|       | 100            | 360.3           | 30.4             | 0.81       | 2.21       | 0.37       | |
|       | 200            | 402.9           | 30.5             | 0.79       | 2.33       | 0.34       | |
|       | 300            | 523.5           | 30.5             | 0.79       | 2.33       | 0.34       | |
| LW×LR | 0              | -               | 19.4             | 0.56       | 1.54       | 0.36       | Ndubuisi et al. (2008) |
|       | 50             | -               | 19.3             | 0.58       | 1.68       | 0.35       | |
|       | 100            | -               | 19.2             | 0.54       | 1.5        | 0.36       | |
|       | 150            | -               | 19.6             | 0.45       | 1.41       | 0.32       | |
| SEM   |                | 0.46            | 0.05             | 0.07       | |

NDF, neutral detergent fiber; IW, initial weight; ADG, average daily gain; ADFI, average daily feed intake; G:F, gain:feed; LW, Large White; SEM, standard error of mean; LW×LR, Large White×Landrace crosses.

1 ADFI: average daily feed intake for the study by Kanengoni et al. (2004) was presented as per metabolic body weight (kg/body weight kg^{-0.75}).
Frank et al. (1983) reported individual variability in ability to utilize the higher level maize cob diets such that the pigs could be separated into distinct high, medium and low performance groups. The differences in responses to high fiber diets were attributed to genetic and physiological determinants of feed intake (Frank et al., 1983). Similarly, the Mukota pigs demonstrated an ability to utilize maize cob diets better than commercial breeds (Ndindana et al., 2002; Kanengoni et al., 2004). In a growth performance study, the LW×LR crosses decreased growth rate by 26% and the Mukota by 19% as the maize cobs were increased from 0 to 300 g/kg in the diet (Kanengoni et al., 2004). No clear explanations for these differences were given.

An understanding of the adaptive mechanisms employed by the pigs which performed better could be used to better exploit fibrous agricultural by-products leading to either better diet formulations, more precise selection criteria identifying pigs with enhanced ability to utilize fiber or both. On the other hand, because sub-Saharan Africa and the Asian sub-continent have significant populations of indigenous breeds raised by smallholder farmers, these results suggest that they can benefit from maize cob based diets (Chimonyo et al., 2005; Nidup and Moran, 2011). For commercial breeding stock, incorporating high fiber diets with maize cobs is a potentially economically viable proposition.

Effect of maize cob inclusion on gut fermentability and metabolism

The pigs’ ability to extract up to 25% of energy maintenance requirements from fermentation end products has been documented (Yen et al., 1991). This is derived from volatile fatty acids (VFA), which mainly include acetate, propionate and butyrate. The absorption of VFA occurs in the colon and metabolism occurs in the liver and muscle. The VFA influence changes in glycemia, lipidemia, uremia and nitrogen balance (Tanglund and Meyer, 2002). Locally, VFA have a trophic epithelial effect as butyrate is used primarily by the colonocytes, and provides a major source of energy for its metabolic activities (Guilloteau et al., 2010). Investigations on the fermentability of maize cobs produced variable results. Stanogias and Pearce (1985c) reported that diets supplemented with maize cobs resulted in similar VFA concentrations to those having lupin hulls, wheat bran and lucerne stems in the proximal colon of pigs. In contrast, Van Nevel et al. (2006) reported that maize cobs were not degraded in incubations with small intestinal contents and only to a small extent in cecal incubations in vitro compared to sugar beet pulp, chicory roots and wheat bran. There was, however, an increase in lactic acid concentration in the cecum and colon when the maize cobs were included in a diet fed in vivo (Van Nevel et al., 2006). They attributed this to high amounts of fiber from maize cobs reaching the hindgut and potentially being available for degradation and fermentation by the flora.

Ziemer et al. (2012) postulated that pigs on high fiber diets are likely to have high serum glucose concentrations resulting from increased availability of sugars from the fermentation of fiber. However, Frank et al. (1983) reported a linear decrease in plasma glucose and an increase in plasma urea concentrations in cross-bred pigs fed diets with incremental levels of 7.5% and 15% maize cobs as fiber sources. The glucose decrease was attributed to less starch in the diet because maize cobs were incorporated in the diet at the expense of grain, while the high urea was because of increased ammonia production by intestinal microbes. Blood urea is a principal product of the catabolism of protein and its concentration can act as an indicator of body protein status (Kohn et al., 2005). It appears that serum energy metabolite concentrations will vary depending on the breed of pig and level of maize cobs in the same way their concentrations vary in response to type of fiber as reported by Weber and Kerr (2012). Mashatise et al. (2005) reported no differences in plasma glucose, urea and creatinine levels in Mukota and Mukota×Large White gilts fed a control and a high fiber diet with 20% maize cobs. Pond et al. (1981) observed that obese pigs had lower glucose levels than lean or contemporary pigs. Measurements of serum concentrations of metabolites and hormones including cholesterol, non-esterified fatty acids, leptin and insulin in pigs fed maize cob based diets need to be explored further.

Effect of maize cob inclusion on microbial flora

Gut microbial diversity is largely influenced by diet, and changes in feed composition affect the species of microbes in the gut, and the type and abundance of fermentation products (Apajalaiti et al., 2001,2004; Oviedo-Rondón et al., 2006). Pig microflora contains cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic bacterial species that are also found to be highly active in the rumen. These include *Fibrobacter succinogenes* (*intestinalis*), *Ruminococcus albus*, *Ruminococcus flavefaciens*, *Butyrivibrio* species, *Prevotella ruminicola*, and *Clostridium herbivorans* (Varel and Yen, 1997). Soluble fibers from pectins and gums have high water-holding capacity allowing bacteria to easily penetrate the matrix, start degradation and multiply whereas insoluble fiber cannot be penetrated easily by bacteria (Metzler and Mosenthin, 2008). The populations of bacteria with cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic activities were reported to increase in pigs fed diets containing high levels of fiber from pectins, gums and β-glucans (Varel and Yen, 1997; Metzler and Mosenthin, 2008). Cellulolytic bacteria did not increase in sows fed a 20% maize cob diet (Varel and Pond, 1985). Feeding maize cobs increased the number of total bacteria in the stomach and proximal jejenum
marked by higher numbers of *Lactobacilli* and to a less extent an increase in *Bifidobacteria* (Van Nevel et al., 2006).

The metabolic processes of microbes, in turn, impact directly the host’s gut health and nutrient availability. Identification and characterization of intestinal microbes can lead to an analysis of their metabolic profiles and possible impact on the host. This could then be manipulated in such a way as to benefit the host. There is need to determine whether maize cob derived heteroxylans and xylo-oligosaccharides have immuno-stimulatory effects and prebiotic characteristics (Ebringerová et al., 1995; Samanta et al., 2012). Urriola and Stein (2012) suggested that the superiority of the Meishan pig to digest insoluble fiber over the Yorkshire could be a result of differences in the microbial populations or types. Further research is needed to determine the influence of breed on intestinal microbial populations.

**Effect of maize cob inclusion on intestinal morphology**

High fiber diets elicit hypertrophy of visceral organs, increase gastric and intestinal secretions and induce variable intestinal villous and cryptic changes in pigs depending on the fiber’s physico-chemical characteristics, fiber level, feeding duration and breed or age of the pig (Montagne et al., 2003). Stanogias and Pearce (1985b) reported that prolonged intake of maize cob supplemented diets by growing pigs led to a hypertrophy and increased weight of segments of the gastrointestinal tract, similar to lupin hull, wheat bran, and lucerne stems supplemented diets. The responses were especially marked in the large intestine, and there were increases in mass or length, or both. However, level and type of protein, and particle size also similarly affected the morphology of the intestines (Brunsgaard, 1998). Anugwa et al. (1989) and Wenk (2001) pointed out that the hypertrophy of visceral organs in pigs fed high fiber diets increase the energy requirements of pigs due to the extra metabolic demand and nutrient needs for visceral organ development and maintenance.

Highly viscous diets increase proliferation of the villous and crypt cells by sloughing of the superficial layers translating to changes in the villous/ crypt ratio (Montagne et al., 2003). A low villous height/crypt depth ratio was reported to translate to low digestive capacity (Montagne et al., 2003). Van Nevel et al. (2006) reported an increase in the villous height/crypt depth ratio when feeding a maize cob supplemented diet and suggested that it was due to an increased villus height. In addition, the numbers of intra-epithelial lymphocytes (IEL) were low in the maize cob supplemented diets indicating a lower renewal rate of the small intestinal mucosa (Van Nevel et al., 2006). Large numbers of the IEL in the villous epithelium indicate a faster renewal rate of the epithelium because they are responsible for clearing damaged or infected cells by apoptosis and cytolytic activity (Nishiyama et al., 2002). Jin et al. (1994) on the other hand, reported that a wheat straw high fiber diet resulted in a greater renewal rate of epithelial cells in pigs. This supports the notion that different fiber sources induce different responses in the pig gastrointestinal tract. Understanding of molecular and cellular mechanisms regulating intestinal nutrient absorption could allow the design of rational and innovative approaches to formulate feed and feed additives to ensure the health and well-being of the animal (Shirazi-Beechey et al., 2011).

**APPROACHES TO IMPROVING MAIZE COB UTILIZATION BY PIGS**

To exploit maize cobs effectively as a feed resource, ways to improve their utilization in pig diets should be devised. This can be done through improved processing, and expanding the formulation objectives to include functional properties like prebiotic properties and their potential health benefits.

**Processing of maize cobs**

One approach to improve utilization of maize cobs by pigs is through the disruption of their cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin matrices using biological, mechanical and chemical means rendering them more degradable. Limited data are available on the effect of mechanical or chemical processing on changes in fiber utilization in pigs (Kerr and Shurson, 2013). De Vries et al. (2012) reported that processing reduces particle size and solubilizes part of the non-starch polysaccharide (NSP) fraction, such that polysaccharides that are recovered in crude fiber (CF), NDF, and ADF fractions will differ before and after processing. This hampers the evaluation of effects of processing technologies on digestion of NSP. The reduction in particle size and increase in solubility of NSP from processing can also influence site of digestion or fermentation with significant nutritional impact given that the efficiency of energy utilization after hindgut fermentation is generally accepted to be lower. Huang et al. (2015) however concluded that digestible energy content of wheat bran was better fermented in the large intestine at higher inclusion levels. They argued that high inclusion levels reduce the risk of calculation errors in determining nutrient and energy digestibility of fibrous ingredients often encountered with low inclusion levels. Fiber from distilled dried grains with solubles in the ethanol production process is modified by grinding, heating and fermentation of the feedstock making it more digestible than fiber from maize cobs (Le Gall et al., 2009; Urriola et al., 2010). Mechanical processing, use of chemicals and exogenous enzymes, and ensiling have been investigated to improve digestibility of maize cobs.
Mechanical processing: Maize cobs are commonly processed by either simply grinding using a hammer mill or through a complex process involving various steps of pre-milling (coarse crushing), separation (into hard or woody and soft or chaffy fractions on air separator), pulverization and granulating (of woody fraction) (Božović et al., 2004). A reduction in particle size of an ingredient like maize cobs increases the surface area available for contact with digestive enzymes in the gastrointestinal tract, thereby influencing their degradation and also affecting their physicochemical characteristics such as their hydration properties (de Vries et al., 2012). After reviewing different studies, de Vries et al. (2012) concluded that mechanical modification of feedstuffs using hammer and roller milling increase solubility of the NSP-fraction resulting in increased apparent total tract digestibility of the fiber fraction by up to 6 or 7 percentage units in both pigs and poultry. However a study by de Vries et al. (2014) demonstrated that processing alone did not improve total tract apparent digestibility of solubilized rape seed meal NSP in broilers indicating that the structures could still not be degraded by cecal microbiota.

Use of chemicals: Menon and Rao (2012) reported on the use of acid, alkali or organic acids to disrupt fiber matrices in lignocellulosic biomasses. Concentrated and diluted acids break the rigid structure of the lignocellulosic material. Alkali degrades ester and glycosidic side chains resulting in structural alterations of lignin, cellulose swelling and partial decrystallization of cellulose. Strong acids or bases disrupt lignocellulosic bonds in maize cobs and increase the subsequent hydrolysis (Latif and Rajoka, 2001; Zhang et al., 2010). Božović et al. (2004) showed that maize cobs are highly soluble in sodium hydroxide. Treatment of maize cobs with ammonia and sodium hydroxide significantly reduced fiber levels (Tuah and Orskov, 1989). However, concerns on the use of corrosive chemicals limit their use. Instead, there have been growing trends to explore bio-fermentation processes and use of exogenous enzymes.

Use of exogenous enzymes: Addition of exogenous enzymes to animal feeds to improve nutrient digestion has been reviewed in detail (Chesson, 1993; Bedford, 2000; Kerr and Shurson, 2013). Exogenous enzymes are increasingly being used in pig diets to offset anti-nutritional factors including fiber because pigs lack the appropriate digestive enzymes (Omogbenigun et al., 2004; Jones et al., 2010). The large diversity and concentration of chemical characteristics existing among plant-based feed ingredients, as well as interactions among constituents within feed ingredients and diets necessitate the use of exogenous enzymes to improve usage (Barletta, 2010; Kerr and Shurson, 2013). Improvements in nutrient digestibility and pig performance from adding exogenous enzymes to growing pig diets depend on understanding these characteristics in relation to enzyme activity. In a review, de Vries et al. (2012) postulated that processing technologies and cell wall degrading enzymes such as pectinases, might be used to modify cell wall architecture and improve NSP degradability. Mechanical forces open the cell wall structure and reduce particle size, thereby increasing the surface area accessible for enzymes (i.e., both feed enzymes added to the diet and microbial enzymes present in the gastrointestinal tract) (de Vries et al., 2014).

Studies on the use of exogenous enzymes with maize cobs are scarce, so there is need to identify or develop new enzymes that significantly reduce fiber levels in maize cobs. Given that the main constituents of maize cobs are cellulose and xylan (Ebringerová and Heinze, 2000; Vázquez et al., 2006; Kumar et al., 2010b), different xylanases and cellulases should be investigated singly or as cocktails to investigate potential synergistic efficacy. Appeldoorn et al. (2010) reported that complete enzymatic hydrolysis of the soluble hemicellulose obtained from mild acid pre-treated corn fiber to its constituent monosaccharides could not be reached. This suggests that utilization of single enzyme preparations will not digest the recalcitrant portion of fiber in maize cobs. In a subsequent study, Appeldoorn et al. (2013) used a cocktail of hemicellulolytic enzymes, endo-(1-4)-β-xylanase, β-xilosidase, and α-L-arabinofuranosidases for saccharification to remove arabinose and degrade the xylan backbone and further incubated a fraction with apolar oligosaccharides which had not been hydrolyzed with Ultraflo L and AG-II- Hydrolase which contain feruloyl esterase activity to successfully remove some feruloyl groups. They then demonstrated for the first time that maize cob hemicelluloses contain a series of feruloylated oligosaccharides containing an acetyl group attached to the same xylose to which the oligomeric side chain was attached to. They proposed that it was critical to find enzymes able to remove the acetyl groups directly located on the same residue as the oligomeric side chains or enzymes able to hydrolyze the oligomeric side chains. This will boost the hydrolysis of corn fiber glucuronoxylan.

Ensiling: Although ensiling is essentially the use of controlled fermentation to preserve a crop or material of high moisture by creating anaerobic conditions (McDonald et al., 1991), it can also reduce the levels of the fiber in the maize cobs (Gatel et al., 1988; Millet et al., 2005; Khan et al., 2006; Rezaei et al., 2009). Silage fermentation is a dynamic process that requires good anaerobiosis and a low pH; conditions which are unfortunately difficult to attain with maize cobs. A low pH is normally obtained by the rapid metabolism of water soluble carbohydrates to lactic acid by lactic acid bacteria (LAB) (McDonald et al., 1991). Rapid removal of air and a low pH prevents the growth of...
unwanted aerobic bacteria, yeasts and molds that compete with beneficial bacteria for substrates (Bolsen et al., 1996; Kung et al., 1998). Drier feedstock such as maize cobs have poor compaction and retain air pockets. In addition, as the DM content of the feedstock increases, growth of LAB is curtailed and the rate and extent of fermentation is reduced, because acidification occurs at a slower rate and the amount of total acid produced is less (Ashbell et al., 1991). If air is not removed quickly, high temperatures and prolonged heating are commonly observed. Addition of cell wall degrading enzymes to maize forage at ensiling improved the chemical characteristics of the resultant silages and reduced fiber content (Sheperd and Kung, 1996; Meeske et al., 1999; Colombatto et al., 2004). The use of ensiling with exogenous enzymes with a view of reducing fiber needs to be explored further. Identifying the correct enzymes effective under silage conditions and with a high specificity for maize cob associated fiber components and determining the optimum conditions for ensiling of maize cobs including possible additives and inoculants are critical areas that need addressing.

CONCLUSIONS

Maize cobs are underutilized as pig feed in sub-Saharan Africa, yet they are available to most farming households. They affect digestibility, digestive physiology and growth performance of pigs. Different approaches to improve utilization of maize cobs include processing of the maize cobs using chemicals, exogenous enzymes and through ensiling. Combinations of processing technologies followed by enzyme treatments need to be explored further as they appear to produce the most positive results. This information can potentially be used to make practical feed formulating decisions. This can lead to timeous development of effective technologies and models that can be used to utilize maize cobs.
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