Geometric Aberration Theory of Offner Imaging Spectrometers
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Abstract: A third-order aberration theory has been developed for the Offner imaging spectrometer comprising an extended source; two concave mirrors; a convex diffraction grating; and an image plane. Analytic formulas of the spot diagram are derived for tracing rays through the system based on Fermat's principle. The proposed theory can be used to discuss in detail individual aberrations of the system such as coma, spherical aberration and astigmatism, and distortion together with the focal conditions. It has been critically evaluated as well in a comparison with exact ray tracing constructed using the commercial software ZEMAX. In regard to the analytic formulas, the results show a high degree of practicality.

Keywords: aberration theory; Offner imaging spectrometer; convex grating; spot diagrams

1. Introduction

An imaging spectrometer can provide a simultaneous collection of spatial and spectral information of targets with high resolution [1]. Currently, spectrometers have become an indispensable part of many fields including satellite remote sensing, space exploration, security, environment assessment, resource detection, agriculture, medicine, manufacturing, oceanography, and ecology [2–6].

The recent trend in imaging spectrometers is toward a simple set-up and a very compact configuration with high optical performance over the whole spectral range of the system [7]. This can be observed in the Offner imaging spectrometer with a concentric structure, using spherical optics. This spectrometer is obtained by replacing the convex secondary mirror of the Offner imaging system with a reflective convex diffraction grating [8]. It provides a high signal-to-noise ratio and small spot sizes together with low spatial and spectral distortions [7–11]. Because diffraction occurs at the grating, the perfect symmetry of the concentric configuration is altered, thereby increasing for example the coma and astigmatism. Although good optical performance is maintained with the rapid development of imaging spectrometers; more improvements need to be achieved to meet the dual demands for higher spatial and spectral resolution.

There have been various attempts to optimize and design an aberration-correct Offner imaging spectrometer. In 1999, Chrisp split the concave mirror into two concentric mirrors of different radii, increasing the degrees-of-freedom of the system designs [12]. By changing the off-axis parameters, tilting or decentering some elements, and making appropriate adjustments to the radii of the two spherical mirrors, the optical quality of the system was optimized. In 2001, Xiang and Mikes proposed an aberration-corrected spectrometer that included a convex diffraction grating having a number of nonparallel lines [13]. They believed the curves of the convex grating provided the correction for field aberrations. However, forming such a convex grating is difficult with the existing technology.
and theory. In 2006, Prieto-Blanco and coworkers presented an approach based on the calculation of both the meridional and the sagittal images of an off-axis object point [5]. Making the meridional and sagittal curves tangent to each other for a given wavelength results in a decrease in astigmatism. In 2007, Robert analyzed the out-of-plane dispersion in an Offner spectrometer. When the dispersion is perpendicular to the meridional plane, better performance is obtained for the system with a short entrance slit [14]. In 2014, Prieto-Blanco and coworkers proposed a Wynne-Offner layout consisting of a concave mirror and a concentric meniscus lens that included a diffraction grating at the center of one of its surfaces [15–18]. All the above methods have described the effect of aberrations such as astigmatism on the optical quality of the Offner spectrometer and how to optimize the system. However, these methods are relatively singular-use solutions and are not widely used in developing a system for different requirements [19,20].

In this paper, we propose a third-order geometric aberration theory of the Offner imaging spectrometer to provide an alternative aberration-correction method. This method is an extension and new application of Namioka’s theories [21–26]. Namioka and his team have shown aberration theories based on the light path function for a single grating or a double-element system that can correctly describe the individual aberrations and can be used to design an advanced optical system. Taking an extended source into consideration, analytic formulas of the spot diagram and the individual aberrations are derived for tracing rays through the system based on Fermat’s principle and Namioka’s theories. With these formulas, aberrations including coma, aberration, astigmatism, and distortion of the three-concentric-element (Offner) configuration are discussed in detail together with focal conditions. Finally, the theory is critically evaluated in a comparison with exact ray tracing constructed using the commercial software ZEMAX (Zemax software development company, bellevue, WS, USA). The results indicate a high degree of validity of the analytic formulas.

2. Three-Concentric-Element (Offner) Optical System

We consider an Offner optical system that comprises a planar light source S, two concave mirrors \(M_1\) and \(M_2\), a convex diffraction grating G, and an image plane \(\Sigma\) (Figure 1). In this system, the elements are arranged in such a way that the normal axes to S at \(A_0\), to \(M_1\) at \(O_1\), to G at O, and to \(M_2\) at \(O_2\) lie in a common plane called the meridional plane. The incident principal ray \(A_0O_1\) is reflected by \(M_1\) toward O, and the reflected principal ray \(O_1O\) of wavelength \(\lambda\) in the \(m_1\)th order is diffracted by G toward \(O_2\). The diffracted principal ray \(OO_2\) is then further reflected by \(M_2\). This reflected principal ray of \(\lambda\) meets \(\Sigma\) at a point \(B_0\), which lies in the meridional plane as well. Here we assume that the principal ray of wavelength \(\lambda\) is designed to end up in the center of the image plane \(\Sigma\); and we assume that the image plane \(\Sigma\) is perpendicular both to the reflected principal ray \(O_2B_0\) and to the meridional plane as well. The distances \(A_0O_1\), \(O_1O\), \(OO_2\), and \(O_2B_0\) are denoted by \(r_1\), \(r\), \(r'\), and \(r_2\), respectively.

For convenience, we introduce five rectangular coordinate systems attached to S, \(M_1\), G, \(M_2\), and \(\Sigma\) (Figure 1). The origins are at \(A_0\), \(O_1\), O, \(O_2\), and \(B_0\), the \(X_S\), \(x_1\), \(x_2\), and X axes are the normal axes of the respective elements, and the \(Y_S\), \(y_1\), \(y_2\), and Y axes lie in the meridional plane. A general ray originating from a source point A in S is reflected at a point Q1 on the surface of \(M_1\). The reflected ray meets G at a point P on the \(n\)th groove of G, and the diffracted ray of wavelength \(\lambda\) in \(m\)-th order meets \(M_2\) at a point Q2. The outgoing ray of wavelength \(\lambda\) from Q2 intersects \(\Sigma\) at a point B, forming a spot in the image plane \(\Sigma\). We designate the coordinates of \(A\), \(Q_1\), \(P\), \(Q_2\), and \(B\) by \((0, s, z)\), \((\xi_1, \omega_1, \phi_1)\), \((\xi, \omega, \phi)\), \((\xi_2, \omega_2, \phi_2)\), and \((0, Y, Z)\) in the \(X_SY_SZ_S\), \(x_1y_1z_1\), \(xyz\), \(x_2y_2z_2\), and XYZ systems, respectively, and those of \(A\) and \(B\) as well by \((x_1, y_1, z_1)\) and \((x_2, y_2, z_2)\) in the \(x_1y_1z_1\) and \(x_2y_2z_2\) system, separately. Here, \(x_1\) and \(y_1\) are expressed as

\[
x_1 = r_1 \cos \theta_1 + s \sin \theta_1, \quad y_1 = r_1 \sin \theta_1 - s \cos \theta_1.
\]
where \( \lambda \) is the recording wavelength of G.

In this system shown in Figure 1, both the concave mirrors \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) and the convex grating G are spherical in shape. The corresponding mathematical expression of the surface figure of \( M_i \) (or G) is given by

\[
(\xi_i - R_i)^2 + \omega_i^2 + l_i^2 = R_i^2,
\]

where \( R_i \) is the radius of \( M_i \) or G. Equation (3) expanded as a power series of \( \omega_i \) and \( l_i \) is:

\[
\xi_i = \frac{1}{2R_i} \omega_i^2 + \frac{1}{2R_i} \omega_i^2 + \frac{1}{8R_i^3} \omega_i^4 + \frac{1}{4R_i^3} \omega_i^2 l_i^2 + \frac{1}{8R_i^3} l_i^4 + O(\frac{\omega_i^6}{R_i^3}).
\]

The angles of incidence \( \theta_i \) and reflection/diffraction \( \theta_i' \) of the principal ray at the vertices of \( M_i \) (or G) are considered as positive or negative depending on whether the relevant principal ray lies in the first or fourth quadrant of the \( x_i y_i z_i \) coordinate system. The angles \( \theta \) and \( \theta' \) are related through the grating equation,

\[
\sigma (\sin \theta + \sin \theta') = m \lambda,
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the effective grating constant obtained by:

\[
\sigma \equiv \frac{1}{1} (\partial n / \partial \omega)_{\omega=\lambda=0} = \frac{\lambda_0}{n_{10}},
\]

which can be referred to [26].
3. Ray-Tracing Formulas

First, we denote the distances AQ$_1$, Q$_1$P, PQ$_2$, and Q$_2$B by $q_1$, $p_1$, $q_2$, and $p_2$, respectively. According to Namioka’s theory, the application of Fermat’s principle to the light-path function for M$_i$,

$$F_{M_i} = q_i + p_i \tag{7}$$

yields the direction cosines ($L_i'$, $M_i'$, $N_i'$) of the reflected ray $p_i$ in terms of the direction cosines ($L_i$, $M_i$, $N_i$) of the incident ray $q_i$ and given system parameters:

$$L_i' = L_i + \tau_i, \quad M_i' = M_i - \tau_i \left( \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial \omega_i} \right), \quad N_i' = N_i - \tau_i \left( \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial \ell_i} \right) \tag{8}$$

where all the quantities are defined in the $x_1y_1z_1$ coordinate system. In Equation (8), we have

$$\tau_i = \frac{2[-L_i + M_i(\partial L_i/\partial \omega_i) + N_i(\partial L_i/\partial \ell_i)]}{1 + (\partial L_i/\partial \omega_i)^2 + (\partial L_i/\partial \ell_i)^2} \tag{9}$$

where $i = 1, 2$ for M$_1$ and M$_2$. $L_i$, $M_i$, and $N_i$ are obtained from the definition of the direction cosines of the incident ray $q_i$.

The intersecting point P ($\xi$, $\omega$, $\ell$) is determined by solving simultaneously the equation of the ray Q$_1$P in the xyz coordinate system

$$\frac{\xi - \xi_1}{L} = \frac{\omega - \omega_1}{M} = \frac{\ell - \ell_1}{N} \tag{10}$$

and Equation (3) with $i = 1$. In Equation (10) ($\xi_1$, $\omega_1$, $\ell_1$) and (L, M, N) are the coordinates of the point Q$_1$ and the direction cosines of the ray Q$_1$P, which are both defined in the xyz coordinate system. They are obtained by applying proper coordinate transformations to ($\xi_1$, $\omega_1$, $\ell_1$) and (L$_1'$, M$_1'$, N$_1'$).

Different from the above calculation for M$_i$, the application of Fermat’s principle to the light-path function for G,

$$F = p_1 + q_2 + n\lambda \tag{11}$$

yields the direction cosines ($L'$, $M'$, $N'$) of the diffracted ray PQ$_2$ in terms of the direction cosines (L, M, N) of the incident ray Q$_1$P and given system parameters:

$$L' = L + \tau, \quad M' = M + m\lambda \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \omega} \right) - \tau \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \ell} \right), \quad N' = N + m\lambda \left( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \ell} \right) - \tau \left( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \ell} \right). \tag{12}$$

where all the quantities are defined in the xyz coordinate system. In Equation (12), we have

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \sqrt{\nu^2 - \kappa \rho} \right), \quad \rho = 1 + \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ell} \right)^2, \quad \nu = -L + \left( M + m\lambda \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \omega} \right)\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \ell} + \left( N + m\lambda \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \ell} \right)\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \ell}, \quad \kappa = 2m\lambda \left( M \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \omega} + N \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \ell} \right) + (m\lambda)^2 \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \ell} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \ell} \right)^2 \right] \tag{13}$$
The intersecting point $Q_2 (\xi_2, \omega_2, l_2)$ is determined by solving simultaneously Equation (3) with $i = 2$ and the equation of ray $PQ_2$ in the $x_2y_2z_2$ coordinate system,

$$\frac{\xi_2 - \xi}{L_2} = \frac{\omega_2 - \bar{\omega}}{M_2} = \frac{l_2 - \bar{l}}{N_2}.$$  

(14)

where $(\xi, \omega, l)$ and $(L_2, M_2, N_2)$ are the coordinates of point $P$ and the direction cosines of ray $PQ_2$, both defined in the $x_2y_2z_2$ coordinate system. They are obtained by applying proper coordinate transformations to $(\xi, \omega, l)$ and $(L', M', N')$.

The image plane $\Sigma$ is expressed in the $x_2y_2z_2$ coordinate system as

$$x_2 \cos \theta'_2 + y_2 \sin \theta'_2 = r_2.$$  

(15)

Then, the intersection $B$ of the reflected ray $Q_2B$ with the image plane $\Sigma$ is determined by solving the equation of the ray $Q_2B$ in the $x_2y_2z_2$ coordinate system,

$$\frac{x_2 - \xi_2}{L'_2} = \frac{y_2 - \omega_2}{M'_2} = \frac{z_2 - l_2}{N'_2},$$  

(16)

from which we obtain:

$$x_2 = \xi_2 + p_2 L'_2, \quad y_2 = \omega_2 + p_2 M'_2, \quad z_2 = l_2 + p_2 N'_2.$$  

(17)

By applying proper coordinate transformations to $B (x_2, y_2, z_2)$, the ray-traced spot $B (0, Y, Z)$ in the $XYZ$ coordinate system is expressed as

$$Y = (r_2 \sin \theta'_2 - y_2) \sec \theta'_2, \quad Z = z_2.$$  

(18)

All the above equations presented in this section provide a complete set of ray-tracing formulas.

4. Analytic Expression of Spot Diagrams and Aberrations

The imaging characteristics of the three-concentric-element optical system may be analyzed numerically using ray tracing. Although ray tracing provides accurate spot diagrams with comparative ease, it lacks the ability to give explicit analytical expressions for the focal condition and individual aberrations of the system under consideration. According to Namioka’s theory, we express the relationship between the coordinates of a source point and its image by expanding the ray-tracing formulas given in Section 3 into power series of $\omega_1$, $l_1$, and the coordinates of $A_0$ in the $X_0Y_0Z_0$ system. In this way—although laborious—a third-order aberration theory is developed for the system, which has a high degree of validity.

Taking the expansion of the coordinates of point $P$ as an example, we determine its position in the $xyz$ coordinate system by finding the intersection of ray $Q_1P$ with the grating blank surface. We express $\omega$ and $l$ in a power series of $\omega_1 h l_1 i s_j k (h + i + j + k \leq 3)$ under assumptions of:

$$\omega = \sum_{h+i+j+k=1}^{3} A_{hijk} \omega_1^h l_1^i z^j s^k,$$

$$l = \sum_{h+i+j+k=1}^{3} B_{hijk} \omega_1^h l_1^i z^j s^k.$$  

(19)

To determine $\omega$ and $l$, we derive first the direction cosines $(L, M, N)$ as power series of $\omega_1, l_1, s$ and $z$ by expanding their definitions:

$$L = \frac{\xi - \xi_1}{p_1}, \quad M = \frac{\omega - \omega_1}{p_1}, \quad N = \frac{l - l_1}{p_1},$$  

(20)
as
\[
L = \sum_{h+i+j+k=1}^{3} \left( H_{hijk} \right)_L \omega_i^h \omega_j^i z^k / s^k,
\]
\[
M = \sum_{h+i+j+k=1}^{3} \left( H_{hijk} \right)_M \omega_i^h \omega_j^i z^k / s^k,
\]
\[
N = \sum_{h+i+j+k=1}^{3} \left( H_{hijk} \right)_N \omega_i^h \omega_j^i z^k / s^k.
\]
where coefficients \((H_{hijk})_L, (H_{hijk})_M,\) and \((H_{hijk})_N\) are functions of \(R_1, r, \theta_1,\) and \(\theta_0\) only.

Next, we adopt another approach to expand the direction cosines of the ray \(Q_1P\) in terms of \(L_1', \) \(M_1',\) and \(N_1':\)
\[
L' = \sum_{h+i+j+k=1}^{3} \left( H_{hijk} \right)' \omega_i^h \omega_j^i z^k / s^k,
\]
\[
M' = \sum_{h+i+j+k=1}^{3} \left( H_{hijk} \right)'_M \omega_i^h \omega_j^i z^k / s^k,
\]
\[
N' = \sum_{h+i+j+k=1}^{3} \left( H_{hijk} \right)'_N \omega_i^h \omega_j^i z^k / s^k.
\]
where the coefficients \((H_{hijk})_L', (H_{hijk})_M',\) and \((H_{hijk})_N'\) are functions of \(R_1, r, \theta_1,\) and \(\theta_0\) only. We obtain coefficients \(A_{hijk}\) and \(B_{hijk}\) by equating coefficients \((H_{hijk})_L, (H_{hijk})_M,\) and \((H_{hijk})_N\) of Equation (21) to the corresponding ones, \((H_{hijk})_L', (H_{hijk})_M',\) and \((H_{hijk})_N'\) of Equation (22), which determines the coefficients \(A_{hijk}\) and \(B_{hijk}\) uniquely. Therefore, the coordinates of the intersecting point \(P\) in terms of \(\omega_1, l_1, s\) and \(z\) are
\[
\omega = A_{1000} \omega_1 + A_{0001} s + A_{2000} \omega_1^2 + A_{1001} \omega_1 s + A_{0020} s^2 + A_{0200} l_1^2
\]
\[
+ A_{0110} l_1 z + A_{0102} z^2 + A_{3000} \omega_1^3 + A_{2001} \omega_1^2 s + A_{1200} \omega_1 l_1^2 + A_{1110} \omega_1 l_1 z
\]
\[
+ A_{1020} \omega_1 l_1^2 + A_{1002} \omega_1 z^2 + A_{0201} l_1^2 s + A_{0111} l_1 z s + A_{0021} z^2 s + A_{0003} s^3,
\]
\[
l = B_{0100} l_1 + B_{0010} z + B_{1100} \omega_1 l_1 + B_{1010} l_1 z + B_{0101} l_1 s + B_{0011} z s + B_{2100} \omega_1^2 l_1
\]
\[
+ B_{2010} \omega_1^2 z + B_{1101} \omega_1 l_1 s + B_{1011} \omega_1 z s + B_{0100} l_1^2 + B_{0210} l_1^2 z + B_{0120} l_1 z^2
\]
\[
+ B_{0102} l_1^2 s + B_{0012} z^2 s + B_{0030} z^3.
\]
Explicit expressions of \(A_{hijk}\) and \(B_{hijk}\) that are applicable to spherical mirror \(M_1\) are given in [24].

This expansion method for the coordinates of \(P\) is used as well to derive power series expressions of the coordinates of \(Q_2\) and those of \(B\) in the \(x_2y_2z_2\) and \(XYZ\) coordinate system, respectively. Then, the coordinates \((0, Y, Z)\) of the ray-traced spot \(B\) formed in the image plane \(\Sigma\), which are determined through Equations (15) to (18), are finally expressed as power series in \(\omega_1 l_1^i z^j s^k,\)
\[
Y = E_{1000} \omega_1 + E_{0001} s + E_{2000} \omega_1^2 + E_{1001} \omega_1 s + E_{0020} s^2 + E_{0200} l_1^2
\]
\[
+ E_{0110} l_1 z + E_{0102} z^2 + E_{3000} \omega_1^3 + E_{2001} \omega_1^2 s + E_{1200} \omega_1 l_1^2 + E_{1110} \omega_1 l_1 z + E_{1020} \omega_1 l_1^2
\]
\[
+ E_{1002} \omega_1 l_1^3 + E_{0201} l_1^3 z + E_{0111} l_1 z s + E_{0021} z^2 s + E_{0003} s^3 + O_E(\kappa^4),
\]
\[
Z = F_{0100} l_1 + F_{0010} z + F_{1100} \omega_1 l_1 + F_{1010} \omega_1 z + F_{0101} l_1 s + F_{0011} z s + F_{2100} \omega_1^2 l_1
\]
\[
+ F_{2010} \omega_1^2 z + F_{1101} \omega_1 l_1 s + F_{1011} \omega_1 z s + F_{0100} l_1^2 + F_{0210} l_1^2 z + F_{0120} l_1 z^2
\]
\[
+ F_{0102} l_1^2 s + F_{0012} z^2 s + F_{0030} z^3 + O_E(\kappa^4).
\]
These two equations are the spot-diagram formulas for the three-concentric optical system. \(\kappa^4\) represent the aberration terms \(\omega_1 l_1^i z^j s^k\) with \(i + j + k \geq 4\). \(O_E\) and \(O_F\) denote the higher-order terms in the aberration coefficients. The coefficients \(E_{hijk}\) and \(F_{hijk}\) are the aberration coefficients, and we express them in terms of \(A_{hijk}, A'_{hijk}, A''_{hijk}, B_{hijk}, B'_{hijk},\) and \(B''_{hijk}\) in Appendices A and B. Here \(A'_{hijk}, A''_{hijk}, B'_{hijk},\) and \(B''_{hijk}\) are defined as:
\[
A'_{hijk} = A_{hijk}(1-r,r,-r,\theta_{02},R,-R_2,\epsilon_{1,-\epsilon}),
\]
\[
B'_{hijk} = B_{hijk}(1-r,r,-r,\theta_{02},R,-R_2,\epsilon_{1,-\epsilon}),
\]
where \( r_1 \rightarrow r \), for example, indicates replacement of \( r_1 \) in \( A'_{ijk} \) and \( B'_{ijk} \) by \( r \). In Equation (27), \( \varepsilon_1 \) represents all the parameters with a subscript 1, except \( r_1 \), in coefficients \( A'_{ijk} \) and \( B'_{ijk} \), and \( \varepsilon \) stands for the corresponding parameters with no subscript in \( A'_{ijk} \) and \( B'_{ijk} \).

5. Analysis of Focal Conditions and Aberrations

For demonstrating various aberrations curves in the next section and evaluating the spot-diagram formulas, we adopt a well-designed and optimized Offner imaging spectrometer as a model. The values of the specific parameters are listed in Table 1; here, the signs of the values are determined by the sign convention.

Table 1. Parameters of the model Offner imaging spectrometer.

| Parameter                  | Value          |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Spectral range/mm          | 380–900        |
| Radius of M1/mm            | 220            |
| Radius of G/mm             | 112.2          |
| Radius of M2/mm            | 216.85         |
| Dimension of slit/mm\(^2\) | 0.025 \times 12|
| Aperture of M1/mm\(^2\)    | 65 \times 65   |
| Aperture of G/mm\(^2\)     | 30 \times 30   |
| Constant of G/mm\(^{-1}\) | 0.01           |
| Diffraction order of G     | -1             |
| \( \odot \) \( \odot \)   | 50.66°         |

5.1. Focal Conditions

When the first-order aberration coefficients \( E_{1000} \) and \( F_{0100} \) are made zero, a configuration with the appropriate instrument parameters is obtained. In such a configuration, the paraxial rays in the meridional or sagittal plane are brought into focus, greatly reducing the aberration of the system. The conditions \( E_{1000} = 0 \) and \( F_{0100} = 0 \) give the meridional and sagittal focal curves, respectively.

5.1.1. Meridional Focal Condition

The meridional focal condition \( E_{1000} = C_{1000} A_{1000} + C_{0001} = 0 \) is expressed as

\[
2(F_1)_{20}(F^*)_{20} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta' \cos^2 \theta}{r^2}, \quad 2(F_2)_{20}(F^*_{20}) = \frac{\cos^2 \theta' \cos^2 \theta_2}{r^2},
\]

where \((F^*)_{20}\) is the value of \((F)_{20}\) at \( r' = (r'_{M})_{M} \) and \((n)_{20}\) is the value of \((F^*_{20})_{20}\) at \( r_2 = (r'_{2M})_{M} \). The focal distances \( r' = (r'_{M})_{M} \) and \( r_2 = (r'_{2M})_{M} \) that satisfy Equation (29) are called the meridional focal distances of G and M\(_2\) respectively. \((F_1)_{20}\), \((F)_{20}\), and \((F^*_{20})_{20}\) are defined as

\[
(F_1)_{20} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta_1}{2r_1} + \frac{\cos^2 \theta_1'}{2r_1} - \frac{2 \cos \theta_1}{K_1} + \frac{2 \cos \theta_1'}{K'_{1}},
\]

\[
(F)_{20} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta_2}{2r_2} + \frac{\cos^2 \theta_2'}{2r_2} - \frac{\cos \theta + \cos \theta'}{K} + (n)_{20} \Pi,
\]

Hence, Equation (29) reduces to:

\[
2(F_1)_{20} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta'_{1}}{r} - \frac{\cos^2 \theta'_{1}}{(r'_{1M})_{M}}, \quad (F)_{20} = \frac{\cos \theta}{r} - \frac{\cos \theta}{(r)_{M}},
\]
where the meridional focal conditions for M₁, G, and M₂ are expressed, separately. In Equation (31), \((r')_M\) is the meridional focal distance of M₁, giving the object distance of G in the meridional plane as \((r)_M = r - (r')_M\). Similarly, the object distance of M₂ in the meridional plane is obtained from Equation (32) as \((r)_M = r' - (r'')_M\). We then obtain the meridional focal distance of the Offner optical system by solving Equations (30) to (32).

5.1.2. Sagittal Focal Condition

We present the sagittal condition \(F_{0100} = D_{0010} + D_{0100} B_{0100} = 0\) as

\[
2(F_1)_{02}(F'_2)_{02} = \frac{1}{r'}, \quad 2(F_2)_{02}(F'_1)_{02} = \frac{1}{r''},
\]

where \((F'_1)_{02}\) is the value of \((F)_{02}\) at \(r' = (r'')_S\), and \((F'_2)_{02}\) is the value of \((F)_{02}\) at \(r_2 = (r'_2)_S\). The focal distances \(r' = (r'')_S\) and \(r_2 = (r'_2)_S\) that satisfy Equation (33), are called the sagittal focal distances of G and M₂, respectively. \((F_1)_{02}, (F'_1)_{02}, \text{ and } (F_2)_{02}\) are defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
(F_1)_{02} &= \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{25} - \frac{2 \cos \theta_1}{R_1}, \\
(F'_1)_{02} &= \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{25} - \cos \theta + \cos \theta' + (n)_{02} l, \\
(F_2)_{02} &= \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{25} - \frac{2 \cos \theta_2}{R_2}. 
\end{align*}
\]

Similar to obtaining the meridional focus, we resolve Equation (33) into:

\[
\begin{align*}
2(F_1)_{02} &= \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{(r'_1)_S}, \\
(F)_{02} &= \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{(r')_S}, \\
2(F_2)_{02} &= \frac{1}{r'} - \frac{1}{(r'')_S}, \\
\end{align*}
\]

which represent the sagittal focal conditions for the three elements of the system. Likewise, we obtain the object distances of G and M₂ in the sagittal plane in the form \((r)_S = r - (r')_S\) and \((r)_S = r' - (r'')_S\).

Here \((r'_1)_S\) in Equation (35) is the sagittal focal distance of G. Therefore, the sagittal focal distance of the system is given by solving Equations (34) and (35).

For a real point source and a real image, the system shown in Figure 1 is capable of making the tangential and sagittal focal points to coincide, yielding non-astigmatic image when \((r'_2)_M = (r'_2)_S\) is satisfied. Failure to meet the condition leads to the astigmatic aberration.

5.2. Aberration Analysis

Next, we introduce the polar coordinates

\[
\omega_1 = r_p \cos \alpha, \quad l_1 = r_p \sin \alpha,
\]

in the entrance pupil centered at the vertex O₁ of M₁.

5.2.1. Spherical Aberration

In the ray-tracing formulas, spherical aberration is described by

\[
\begin{align*}
Y_{ sph } &= E_{3000} \omega_1^3 + E_{1200} \omega_1 l_1^2, \\
Z_{ sph } &= F_{0300} l_1^3 + F_{2100} \omega_1^2 l_1, \\
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
(F)_{020} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta'}{r'}, \quad 2(F_2)_{020} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta_2}{(r'')_M},
\]

(32)
which can be changed into:

$$Y_{\text{sph}} = r_p(E_{3000} \cos^2 \alpha + E_{1200} \sin^2 \alpha) \cos \alpha, \quad Z_{\text{sph}} = r_p(E_{3000} \sin^2 \alpha + E_{1200} \cos^2 \alpha) \sin \alpha.$$  \hfill (39)

The spherical aberration curves of the model optical system for the center wavelength (Figure 2) are more complicated than common circular patterns of a centered lens system.

![Figure 2](image-url)  
Figure 2. Spherical aberration curves for $\lambda = 700$ nm of the model optical system in the meridional focal plane: (a) with $r_p = 30, 25, 20, 15$ mm and (b) with $r_p = 30$ mm (each inset is an enlargement of a central portion of the curve).

The spherical aberration curve is a circle of $r_p^3E_{3000}$ only when $E_{3000} = E_{1200} = F_{0300} = F_{2100}$ is met. This condition is satisfied by an axially symmetric centered Offner optical system, which is the same as both a single mirror and a centered double-mirror system, yielding a concentric circular pattern for various values of $r_p$.

5.2.2. Coma

The coma of the concentric Offner optical system under consideration is expressed by:

$$Y_{\text{coma}} = E_{2000} \omega_1^2 + E_{2010} \omega_1^2 z + E_{0210} \omega_1^2 z + E_{1101} \omega_1^2 s,$$

$$Z_{\text{coma}} = F_{1100} \omega_1 h + F_{2001} \omega_1^2 s + F_{1110} \omega_1 h z + F_{0201} \omega_1^2 s.$$  \hfill (40)

Substitution of Equation (37) into Equation (40) yields:

$$a\left[\frac{2Y_{\text{coma}}}{r_p^2} - [E_{2000} + s(E_{2001} + E_{0201})]\right]^2 + b\left[\frac{2Y_{\text{coma}}}{r_p^2} - z(F_{2010} + F_{0210})\right]^2 - 2\left[\frac{2Y_{\text{coma}}}{r_p^2} - [E_{2000} + s(E_{2001} + E_{0201})]\right]\left[\frac{2Z_{\text{coma}}}{r_p^2} - z(F_{2010} + F_{0210})\right] = c^2,$$  \hfill (41)

where

$$a = (F_{1100} + sF_{1101} + z^2(F_{2010} - F_{0210}))^2,$$

$$b = [E_{2000} + s(E_{2001} - E_{0201})]^2 + z^2 E_{1110}^2,$$

$$c = (F_{1100} + sF_{1101})[E_{2000} + s(E_{2001} - E_{0201})] - z^2 E_{1110}(F_{2010} - F_{0210}),$$

$$h = z[E_{1110}(F_{1100} + sF_{1101}) + (F_{2010} - F_{0210})[E_{2000} + s(E_{2001} - E_{0201})]].$$  \hfill (42)

With Equation (41) describing an ellipse, the model optical system produces elliptical patterns for different values of $r_p$ (Figure 3).
5.2.3. Astigmatism

Astigmatism is an image defect caused by two mutually perpendicular line images, one at \( r_2' \)_M and the other at \( r_2' \)_S. Astigmatism of the concentric Offner optical system is represented by:

\[
Y_{\text{ast}} = E_{0001}s + E_{0020}l_2^2 + E_{0110}l_1z + E_{0020}z^2, \\
Z_{\text{ast}} = F_{0100}l_1 + F_{0010}z.
\]  

(43)

which transforms to

\[
Y_{\text{ast}} = E_{0200}\left[\frac{Z_{\text{ast}}}{F_{0100}} + \left(E_{0110}/E_{0200} \right) \left( \frac{F_{0010}}{F_{0100}} \right)^2 \right] + \left(E_{0020}/4E_{0200}\right)z^2 + E_{0001}s.
\]  

(44)

The astigmatic curves obtained from the model optical system appear as crescent-shaped patterns (Figure 4).

5.2.4. Distortion

Distortion is the deviation between the actual image height and the ideal image height of the chief ray originating from a source point \((0, s, z)\) and passing through the vertex \(O_1\). In the ray-tracing formulas, the distortion is expressed as

\[
Y_{\text{dist}} = E_{0001}s + E_{0020}z^2 + E_{0002}s^2 + E_{0021}z^2s + E_{0003}s^3, \\
Z_{\text{dist}} = F_{0010}l_2^2 + F_{0011}l_1z + F_{0030}z^3 + F_{0012}z^2s.
\]  

(45)

which manifests as a barrel-like structure from the model optical system (Figure 5). Because of the use of a very narrow slit illuminant, the distortions are visible in the Z direction and not in the Y direction.
with a holographic convex grating.

... covering the whole field of view. Spot diagrams in (a) and (b) were constructed for the selected point source presented in Figure 6, using the spot-diagram formulas and by ray tracing using ZEMAX, respectively. Clearly, the spot diagrams in (a) and (b) are similar in shape, but there are some deviations in size and position—especially in the Z direction; see Figure 6c. Because of the large z value and a relatively small s value in the model system, a portion of the spot diagram was constructed for various fields where we set z = 0, 0.6, and 6 mm with s = 0 without loss of generality. All the diagrams in Figures 6 and 7 were constructed by generating 20000 rays of wavelength 700 nm covering the whole field of view. Spot diagrams in (a) and (b) were constructed for the selected point source presented in Figure 6, using the spot-diagram formulas and by ray tracing using ZEMAX, respectively. Clearly, the spot diagrams in (a) and (b) are similar in shape, but there are some deviations in size and position—especially in the Z direction; see Figure 6c. The standard deviations $\sigma_Y$ and $\sigma_Z$ of the spots in the Y and Z directions (Figure 6a,b) illustrate the similarity in spot shape. The difference between the standard deviations of corresponding individual spots is smaller than 0.4 $\mu$m in the Y direction and 0.65 $\mu$m in the Z direction. Nearly the same dispersion tendency is seen depending on the system aberrations for the spot diagrams generated by both the present theoretical model and the simulation model of ZEMAX.

Figure 7a shows the deviations of individual spots obtained by the spot-diagram formulas from the corresponding ideal image points (0,0,0), (0,0,0.6) and (0,0,6). Figure 7b shows the deviations of individual spots generated by ray tracing using ZEMAX from the corresponding ideal image points. The deviations between ideal image points and spots from a simulation model (such as the theoretical simulation model or the ZEMAX simulation model) depend on both the system aberrations and model errors. The root-mean-squares $\text{RMS}_{\Delta Y}$ and $\text{RMS}_{\Delta Z}$ of the deviations are given in the respective diagrams. Here, distinctions between the individual corresponding spots in Figure 7a,b—both in size and position—are mainly determined by different model errors. However, the difference between $\text{RMS}_{\Delta Y}$ and $\text{RMS}_{\Delta Z}$ of the spots in Figure 7a,b is smaller than 0.4 $\mu$m in the Y direction and 0.7 $\mu$m in the Z direction. Therefore, the present theoretical model is similarly as useful as the ZEMAX model in designing and optimizing the Offner optical system. Certainly, supplemented by the fourth- and
higher-order aberration terms into the spot diagram formulas (25) and (26), more exact theoretical model may be developed.
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Figure 6. Spot diagrams constructed for the model optical system at \( \lambda = 700 \) nm with \( s = 0 \) from: (a) formulas (25) and (26); (b) ray tracing using ZEMAX; and (c) contrasted by overlaying (a) with (b).
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Figure 7. \( \Delta Y - \Delta Z \) plots constructed for the model optical system at \( \lambda = 700 \) nm. (a) deviations, \( \Delta Y - \Delta Z \), of individual spots in Figure 6a from the corresponding ideal image points (0,0,0), (0,0,0.6) and (0,0,6); (b) deviations, \( \Delta Y - \Delta Z \), of individual spots in Figure 6b from the corresponding ideal image points (0,0,0), (0,0,0.6) and (0,0,6).

7. Conclusions

In this paper, a more practical method is adopted, comparing the theoretical simulation model with the simulation model provided by the commercial software ZEMAX, and offers great practicality.
A third-order aberration geometric theory was developed for tracing rays through the Offner imaging spectrometer comprising an extended source, two concave mirrors, a convex diffraction grating, and an image plane based on Fermat’s principle. The proposed theory provides analytic formulas for individual aberrations and spot diagrams. Following on from Namioka’s work, aberrations were analyzed and certain aberration curves were illustrated for a corresponding model optical system. The validity of the theoretical model was evaluated in a comparison with a simulation model provided by the commercial software ZEMAX and that of an actual model optical system. The results indicate the proposed theoretical model has great utility and practicality.
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Appendix A. Aberration Coefficients $C_{hiijk}$ and $D_{hiijk}$

\[ C_{1000} = A_{1000}'A_{1000}'' + A_{0001}'' \cos \theta', \quad (A1) \]

\[ C_{0001} = A_{0001}'A_{1000}'' \cos \theta_1', \quad (A2) \]

\[ C_{2000} = A_{2000}'A_{1000}'' + A_{1000}'A_{2000}'' + A_{0001}'A_{1000}'' \cos \theta' + A_{0002}' \cos^2 \theta' - A_{0001}'' \sin \theta', \quad (A3) \]

\[ C_{1001} = A_{1001}'A_{1000}'' \cos \theta_1' + 2A_{0001}'A_{1000}'' \cos \theta_1' + A_{0001}'A_{1000}'' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta', \quad (A4) \]

\[ C_{0020} = A_{0020}'A_{1000}'' + A_{0110}'B_{1010}' + A_{0200}'B_{0100}' + \frac{A_{0001}'' \sin \theta'}{2R}, \quad (A5) \]

\[ C_{0110} = A_{0110}'A_{1000}'' + A_{0110}'B_{0100}' + 2A_{0200}''B_{0100}'', \quad (A6) \]

\[ C_{0020} = A_{0020}'A_{1000}'' + A_{0200}'B_{0100}' + \frac{A_{0001}'' \sin \theta_1'}{2R}, \quad (A7) \]

\[ C_{0002} = A_{0002}'A_{1000}'' \cos^2 \theta_1' + A_{0001}'A_{1000}'' \left( \frac{\sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1'}{r} - \sin \theta_1' \right) + A_{0001}'A_{2000}'' \cos^2 \theta_1', \quad (A8) \]

\[ C_{3000} = A_{3000}'A_{1000}'' + A_{1000}'A_{2000}'' + A_{1000}'A_{3000}'' + A_{2000}'A_{1000}'' \cos \theta', \quad (A9) \]

\[ + A_{1000}'A_{2000}'' \cos \theta' + A_{0001}'A_{1000}'' \cos^2 \theta' + A_{0003}' \cos^3 \theta' - \left( \frac{1}{R} - \cos \theta' \right) \]

\[ \times \left( \frac{B_{1000}'' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_2' \sec \theta_2'}{2R}, + A_{0001}' \sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' \sin \theta_2' + A_{0001}' \sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' + A_{0003}' \cos^3 \theta' \frac{\sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1'}{r} \right) \]

\[ \times \left( A_{0200}'A_{1000}'' + A_{0200}'A_{2000}'' \right) - \frac{A_{0200}''}{2R} \cos^2 \theta_1' \tan \theta_2' + \sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' \sec \theta_2' \frac{\sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_1'}{r} \]

\[ \times \frac{\sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_1'}{r} \left( A_{1000}'A_{1000}'' - 2 \frac{\sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_1'}{r} \right), \quad (A10) \]

\[ C_{1110} = A_{1110}'A_{1000}'' + A_{0110}'A_{1000}'' + A_{1010}'A_{1000}'' + A_{1000}'A_{1000}'' \sin \theta', \quad (A11) \]

\[ + A_{1011}'B_{0101}' + 2A_{1010}'B_{0101}' + 2A_{0200}'B_{0101}' + 2A_{0020}'B_{0101}' + 2A_{1100}'B_{1010}' + 2A_{0110}'B_{1010}' + A_{0110}'A_{1000}'' \cos \theta', \quad (A12) \]

\[ + A_{0111}'B_{1011}' \cos \theta' + 2A_{0201}'B_{0101}' \cos \theta' + 2A_{0021}'B_{1011}' \cos \theta', \quad (A13) \]

\[ + \frac{A_{0111}'B_{1011}' \cos \theta'}{r} \left( 2A_{0020}'' - A_{0111}' + 2A_{0110}'B_{1010}' + \frac{A_{0001}'' \sin \theta_1'}{R} \right). \quad (A14) \]
\[ C_{2001} = A_{2001}'A_{1000}' \cos \theta_1' + 2A_{1000}'A_{1000}'A_{2000}' \cos \theta_1' + 2A_{0001}'A_{2000}' \cos \theta_1' + 3A_{0001}'A_{1000}'A_{2000}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{1001}'A_{1001}' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_2' + 2A_{0001}'A_{1000}'A_{2000}' \times \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_2' + A_{1001}'A_{1001}' \sin \theta_2' \cos \theta_1' + A_{2000}'A_{1001}' \sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1\]
\[ + 2A_{2000}'A_{1000}'A_{2000}' \sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_2' + A_{0001}'A_{1000}' \sin \theta_1' \sin \theta_2' \left(1 - \frac{r_2A_{0001} \cos \theta_1' \sin \theta_2' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_2'}{r_2A_{0001}} \right) \times \cos \theta_2' \sec \theta_2' \left( \cos \theta_2' + \sin \theta_2' \cos \theta_2' \left( \frac{2 \sin \theta_2' + \cos \theta_2 \tan \theta_2'}{r_2A_{0001}} \right) \right) \]
\[ \text{(A11)} \]
\[ (r_2A_{0001} \cos \theta_1' \sin \theta_2' \cos \theta_1' \cos \theta_2') \times \cos \theta_2' \sec \theta_2' \left( \cos \theta_2' + \sin \theta_2' \cos \theta_2' \left( \frac{2 \sin \theta_2' + \cos \theta_2 \tan \theta_2'}{r_2A_{0001}} \right) \right) \]
\[ D_{0100} = B_{0100}'B_{0100}'' - \frac{r_2}{r'}, (A19) \]
\[ D_{0010} = B_{0010}'B_{0010}'' , (A20) \]
\[ D_{1100} = B_{1100}'B_{1100}'' + A_{1000}'B_{1100}'' + A_{1000}'B_{1010}' + B_{0011}' \cos \theta' + B_{0100}'B_{0101}' \cos \theta' - \frac{r_2 \sin \theta'}{r^2} (1 - B_{0100}' ), (A21) \]
\[ D_{1010} = B_{1010}'B_{1010}'' + A_{1000}'B_{0010}' + B_{0010}'B_{0101}' \cos \theta' + \frac{r_2 B_{0010}' \sin \theta'}{r^2} , (A22) \]
\[ D_{0011} = B_{0011}'B_{0010}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{0001}'B_{1010}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{0001}'B_{0100}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + \frac{r' B_{0100}' \sin \theta_1'}{r^2} , (A23) \]
\[ D_{2100} = B_{2100}'B_{0100}' + A_{2000}'B_{1100}' + A_{2000}'B_{0100}'B_{1100}' + A_{1000}'B_{1010}'B_{1100}' + B_{0010}'B_{0102}' \cos \theta' + A_{1000}'B_{1010}'B_{2100}' + B_{1100}'B_{0101}' \cos \theta' + B_{0012}' \cos \theta' + A_{1000}'B_{1011}' \cos \theta' \times \left( \frac{1}{2R} \cos \theta'' - \frac{1}{2R} (1 - \cos \theta'' \sin \theta') \times \left( \sin \theta' + A_{1000}' \sin \theta' + \cos \theta' \right) + \frac{r_2 \sin \theta''}{2R r^2} \right) , (A24) \]
\[ D_{0110} = B_{1100}'B_{0100}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{1000}'B_{0100}'B_{1010}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{0001}'B_{0010}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{1000}'B_{0010}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + 2A_{0001}'B_{1100}'B_{1010}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{1000}'B_{1010}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + B_{0100}'B_{0101}' \cos \theta_1' + B_{0100}'B_{0102}' \cos \theta_1' - \frac{r_2 B_{0100}' \sin \theta_1'}{r^2} + \frac{r_2 B_{0100}' \sin \theta_1'}{r^2} (A_{1000}'B_{1010}' - B_{0101}' ) \times \cos \theta'' - A_{1000}'B_{1010}' \cos \theta_2' , (A25) \]
\[ D_{1111} = B_{1111}'B_{0100}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{1000}'B_{1010}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{1000}'B_{1010}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{1000}'B_{1010}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + 2A_{1000}'A_{1000}'B_{1010}'B_{1200}' \cos \theta_1' + 2A_{0001}'A_{1000}'B_{1011}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{1000}'B_{1010}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + B_{0100}'B_{0101}' \cos \theta_1' + B_{0100}'B_{0102}' \cos \theta_1' - \frac{r_2 B_{0100}' \sin \theta_1'}{r^2} + \frac{r_2 B_{0100}' \sin \theta_1'}{r^2} (B_{1010}' + B_{0101}' ) + (A_{1000}'B_{1100}' + B_{0100}'B_{1100}' ) \times \cos \theta'' - A_{1000}'B_{1011}' \cos \theta_2' , (A26) \]
\[ D_{1111} = B_{1111}'B_{0100}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{1000}'B_{0010}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{1000}'B_{0010}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + A_{1000}'B_{0010}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + 2A_{0001}'A_{1000}'B_{1010}'B_{1200}' \cos \theta_1' + 2A_{0001}'A_{1000}'B_{1010}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + 2A_{0001}'A_{1000}'B_{1010}'B_{1200}' \cos \theta_1' + 2A_{0001}'A_{1000}'B_{1010}'B_{1100}' \cos \theta_1' + B_{0100}'B_{0101}' \cos \theta_1' + B_{0100}'B_{0102}' \cos \theta_1' + B_{0100}'B_{0101}' \cos \theta_1' + B_{0100}'B_{0102}' \cos \theta_1' - \frac{r_2 B_{0100}' \sin \theta_1'}{r^2} + \frac{r_2 B_{0100}' \sin \theta_1'}{r^2} (B_{1010}' + B_{0101}' ) + (A_{1000}'B_{1100}' + B_{0100}'B_{1100}' ) \times \cos \theta'' - A_{1000}'B_{1011}' \cos \theta_2' , (A27) \]
\[ D_{0300} = B_{0300}'B_{1010}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' - \frac{1}{2R} (B_{0100}'B_{0120}' \sin \theta' + \frac{r_2 \cos \theta'}{r^2} (1 - B_{0100}' ) ) , (A28) \]
\[ D_{0300} = B_{0300}'B_{1010}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' - \frac{1}{2R} (B_{0100}'B_{0120}' \sin \theta' + \frac{r_2 \cos \theta'}{r^2} (1 - B_{0100}' ) ) , (A29) \]
\[ D_{0300} = B_{0300}'B_{1010}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' + B_{0100}'B_{0120}' - \frac{1}{2R} (B_{0100}'B_{0120}' \sin \theta' + \frac{r_2 \cos \theta'}{r^2} (1 - B_{0100}' ) ) , (A30) \]
\[ D_{0312} = B_{0312}'B_{0100}' + A_{0110}'B_{0010}'B_{1100}' + A_{0200}'B_{0010}'B_{1100}' + B_{0010}'B_{0100}'B_{1100}' + B_{0010}'B_{0100}'B_{1100}' + B_{0010}'B_{0100}'B_{1100}' + B_{0010}'B_{0100}'B_{1100}' + B_{0010}'B_{0100}'B_{1100}' + B_{0010}'B_{0100}'B_{1100}' - \frac{r_2 B_{0100}' \sin \theta_1'}{2R r^2} , (A31) \]
\[ D_{0102} = A_{0001} r^2 B_{2010"} \cos^2 \theta_1' + A_{0001} r^2 B_{0100"} B_{2100"} \cos^2 \theta_1' + A_{0002} r^2 B_{1010"} \cos^2 \theta_1' + A_{0001} B_{0100'} B_{1100"} \sin \theta_1' - \frac{r^2}{2} (B_{1010'0} B_{2100"} \sin \theta_1' - \frac{r^2 B_{1010'} B_{1100"}}{\cos \theta_1'}) + B_{0100'0} B_{1100"} + A_{0002} B_{0100'} B_{1100"} \cos^2 \theta_1' + (\sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' - \sin \theta_1') (A_{0001} B_{1100"} + A_{0001} B_{0100'} B_{1100"}), \] (A32)

\[ D_{0030} = B_{0100"} \left( B_{0030'} - \frac{r^2 \cos \theta_1'}{2R_1 r^2} \right) + B_{0100'} \left( B_{0002'} B_{0030"} + A_{0002} B_{1100"} \right) - \frac{A_{0001} B_{0010'} B_{1100"}}{2R_1} \sin \theta_1', \] (A33)

\[ D_{0024} = B_{0002'} B_{0100'} \cos^2 \theta_1' + A_{0002'} B_{0010'} B_{1100"} \cos^2 \theta_1' + A_{0001} r^2 B_{0010'} B_{2100"} \cos^2 \theta_1' + A_{0001} B_{0010'} B_{2100"} \times B_{1100"} \cos^2 \theta_1' + \frac{r^2}{2} \left( B_{1100"} \left( \left( \frac{2r}{r^2} - 2 \cos \theta_1' \right) \sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1' \sec \theta_1' \tan \theta_1' - 2 \cos \theta_1' \right) \right) - \frac{A_{0002'} B_{1100"} \sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1'}{2R_1} + A_{0001} B_{0010'} B_{1100"} \left( \frac{\sin \theta_1' \cos \theta_1'}{2R_1} - \frac{\sin \theta_1'}{2R_1} \right). \] (A34)

Appendix B. Aberration Coefficients \( E_{hijk} \) and \( F_{hijk} \)

\[ E_{1000} = A_{1000} C_{1000} + C_{0001}. \] (A35)

\[ E_{0001} = A_{0001} C_{1000}. \] (A36)

\[ E_{2000} = A_{2000} C_{1000} + A_{1000}^2 C_{2000} + A_{1000} C_{1001} + C_{0002}. \] (A37)

\[ E_{1001} = A_{1001} C_{1000} + 2A_{0001} A_{1000} C_{2000} + A_{0001} C_{1001}. \] (A38)

\[ E_{0200} = A_{0200} C_{1000} + B_{0100'} C_{2000} + B_{0100'} C_{0110} + C_{0020}. \] (A39)

\[ E_{0110} = A_{0110} C_{1000} + 2B_{0100} B_{0010} C_{0200} + B_{0010} C_{0110}. \] (A40)

\[ E_{0020} = A_{0020} C_{1000} + B_{0010'} C_{2000}. \] (A41)

\[ E_{0002} = A_{0002} C_{1000} + A_{0001}^2 C_{2000}. \] (A42)

\[ E_{3000} = A_{3000} C_{1000} + 2A_{1000} A_{2000} C_{2000} + 2A_{1000} C_{0101} + A_{1000}^3 C_{3000} + A_{1000}^2 C_{2001} + A_{1000} C_{1002} + C_{0003}. \] (A43)

\[ E_{2001} = A_{2001} C_{1000} + 2A_{0001} A_{1001} C_{2000} + 2A_{0001} A_{2000} C_{2000} + A_{1001} C_{1001} + 3A_{0001} A_{1000} C_{2000} + A_{1001} C_{0102} + A_{0001}^2 C_{2000}. \] (A44)

\[ E_{1200} = A_{1200} C_{1000} + 2A_{1000} A_{0200} C_{2000} + A_{0200} C_{1001} + 2B_{1100} B_{0010} C_{0200} + B_{1100} C_{0110} + A_{1000} C_{1020} + A_{1000} B_{0100'} C_{2000} + A_{1000} B_{0100'} C_{1110} + B_{0010'} C_{0201} + B_{0101} C_{0111} + C_{0201}. \] (A45)

\[ E_{1110} = A_{1110} C_{1000} + 2A_{1000} A_{0110} C_{2000} + A_{0110} C_{1001} + 2B_{1010} B_{0010} C_{0200} + B_{1100} B_{0010} C_{0200} + A_{1010} C_{0110} + 2A_{1000} B_{0100'} B_{0100} C_{2000} + 2A_{1000} B_{0100'} B_{1100} + A_{0100'} C_{1110} + B_{0010'} C_{0111} + B_{0100'} C_{0111} + B_{0101} C_{0111}. \] (A46)

\[ E_{1020} = A_{1020} C_{1000} + 2A_{1000} A_{0200} C_{2000} + A_{0200} C_{1001} + 2B_{0010} B_{1010} C_{0200} + A_{1000} B_{0100'} C_{2100} + A_{1000}^2 C_{2200} + A_{1000} B_{0100'} C_{2200}. \] (A47)

\[ E_{1002} = A_{1002} C_{1000} + 2A_{1000} A_{0002} C_{2000} + 2A_{0001} A_{1001} C_{2000} + A_{0002} C_{1001} + 3A_{1000} A_{0001} C_{2000} + A_{0001}^2 C_{2000}. \] (A48)

\[ E_{0201} = A_{0201} C_{1000} + 2A_{0001} A_{0200} C_{2000} + 2B_{0101} B_{0100} C_{0200} + B_{0101} C_{1110} + A_{0001} B_{0100'} C_{2100} + A_{0001} B_{0100'} C_{1110} + A_{0001} C_{1020} + A_{0001} B_{0100'} C_{2200}. \] (A49)

\[ E_{0111} = A_{0111} C_{1000} + 2A_{0110} A_{0001} C_{2000} + 2B_{0110} B_{0011} C_{0200} + 2B_{0011} B_{0101} C_{0200} + B_{1010} C_{0110} + B_{0101} C_{0110} + 2A_{0001} B_{0100'} B_{0100} C_{2000} + 2A_{0001} B_{0100'} B_{1010} + A_{0001} B_{0010'} C_{1110}. \] (A50)

\[ E_{0021} = A_{0021} C_{1000} + 2A_{0020} A_{0001} C_{2000} + 2B_{0010} B_{0011} C_{0200} + B_{0001} B_{0010'} C_{1200} + A_{0001} B_{0010'} C_{2100}. \] (A51)

\[ E_{0003} = A_{0003} C_{1000} + 2A_{0002} A_{0001} C_{2000} + A_{0001}^3 C_{3000}. \] (A52)
\[ F_{0100} = D_{0010} + B_{0100}D_{0100}, \]  
\[ F_{0010} = B_{0010}D_{0100}, \]  
\[ F_{1100} = B_{1100}D_{0100} + A_{1000}B_{0100}D_{1100} + A_{1000}D_{1010} + B_{0100}D_{0101} + D_{0011}, \]  
\[ F_{1010} = B_{1010}D_{0100} + A_{1000}B_{0100}D_{1100} + B_{0010}D_{0101}, \]  
\[ F_{0101} = B_{0101}D_{0100} + A_{0001}B_{0100}D_{1100} + A_{0001}D_{1010}. \]  
\[ F_{0011} = B_{0011}D_{0100} + A_{0001}B_{0010}D_{1100}. \]  
\[ F_{2100} = B_{2100}D_{0100} + A_{1000}B_{1100}D_{1100} + A_{2000}B_{0100}D_{1100} + A_{2000}D_{1010} + A_{1000}^2B_{0100}D_{2100} + B_{1100}D_{0101} + A_{1000}^2D_{2010} + A_{1000}B_{0100}D_{1101} + A_{1000}D_{1011} + B_{0100}D_{0102} + D_{0012}. \]  
\[ F_{2010} = B_{2010}D_{0100} + A_{1000}B_{1100}D_{1100} + A_{2000}B_{0100}D_{1100} + B_{1010}D_{0101} + A_{1000}^2B_{0100}D_{2100} + A_{1000}B_{0010}D_{1101} + B_{0010}D_{0102}. \]  
\[ F_{1101} = B_{1101}D_{0100} + A_{1000}B_{1100}D_{1100} + A_{1000}B_{0100}D_{1101} + A_{1000}B_{1100}D_{1101} + A_{1000}D_{0101} + B_{0101}D_{0101} + A_{1000}B_{0010}D_{2100} + A_{1000}B_{0010}D_{1101} + A_{1000}B_{0010}D_{1101}. \]  
\[ F_{1011} = B_{1011}D_{0100} + A_{1000}B_{1101}D_{1100} + A_{1000}B_{1101}D_{1101} + A_{1000}B_{0101}D_{1101} + A_{1000}B_{0101}D_{1101} + A_{1000}B_{0101}D_{1101} + B_{0101}D_{0101} + A_{1000}B_{0011}D_{2100} + A_{1000}B_{0011}D_{1101} + B_{0011}D_{0101}. \]  
\[ F_{0210} = B_{0210}D_{0100} + A_{0110}B_{0100}D_{1100} + A_{0200}B_{0010}D_{1100} + A_{0110}B_{0100}D_{1010} + 3B_{0010}B_{0100}^2D_{0300} + 2B_{0100}B_{0010}D_{2100} + 2B_{0100}D_{0120}. \]  
\[ F_{0020} = B_{0020}D_{0100} + A_{0200}B_{0100}D_{1010} + A_{0200}B_{0100}D_{1100} + A_{0200}B_{0100}D_{1010} + B_{0100}^2D_{0210} + B_{0100}D_{0210} + D_{0030}. \]  
\[ F_{0120} = B_{0120}D_{0100} + A_{0110}B_{0010}D_{1100} + A_{0200}B_{0010}D_{1100} + A_{0200}B_{0010}D_{1010} + 3B_{0010}B_{0100}^2D_{0300} + 2B_{0100}^2D_{0210} + B_{0100}D_{0210} + D_{0030}. \]  
\[ F_{0030} = B_{0030}D_{0100} + A_{0020}B_{0010}D_{1100} + A_{0020}B_{0010}D_{1100} + A_{0020}B_{0010}D_{1010} + 3B_{0010}B_{0100}^2D_{0300} + 2B_{0100}D_{0210} + B_{0100}^2D_{0210}. \]  
\[ F_{0012} = B_{0012}D_{0100} + A_{0002}B_{0010}D_{1100} + A_{0002}B_{0010}D_{1100} + A_{0002}B_{0010}D_{1010} + A_{0000}^2B_{0100}D_{2100} + A_{0000}^2B_{0100}D_{2100}. \]  
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