A Trait-Based Protocol for the Biological Control of Invasive Exotic Plant Species
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Selecting appropriate native species for the biological control of invasive exotic plants is a recurring challenge for conservationists, ecologists, and land managers. Recently developed trait-based approaches may be an effective means of overcoming this challenge. However, we lack a protocol and software platform that can be used to quickly and effectively select potential native plant species for performing biological control of the invasive exotic plant species. Here, our study introduces a protocol and a software program that can be used for trait-based selection of appropriate native plant species for performing biocological control of invasive exotic plant species. In particular, we illustrate the effectiveness of this software program and protocol by identifying native species that can be used for the biological control of Leucaena leucocephala (Lam.) de Wit, a highly invasive plant species found in many parts of the world. Bougainvillea spectabilis was the only native species selected by our software program as a potential biocontrol agent for L. leucocephala. When separately planting 4 seedlings of B. spectabilis and two unselected species (Bombax ceiba, and Ficus microcarpa) as neighbors of each individual of L. leucocephala for 3 years, we found that B. spectabilis, which was functionally similar to the invasive L. leucocephala, significantly limited the invasion of the latter, while the unselected native plant species could not. That was because all the seedling of B. spectabilis survived, while half seedlings of unselected species (B. ceiba and F. microcarpa) died, during the experimental period when planted with L. leucocephala seedlings. Moreover, the growth of L. leucocephala was restricted when planted with B. spectabilis, in contrast B. ceiba and F. microcarpa did not influence the growth of L. leucocephala. Overall, our software program and protocol can quickly and efficiently select native plant species for use in the biological control of invasive exotic plant species. We expect that this work will provide a general protocol to perform biological control of many different types of invasive exotic plant species.
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INTRODUCTION

Invasive exotic plant species greatly impact global native plant diversity and ecosystem functioning (Pyšek et al., 2012; Barney et al., 2013). Chemical and mechanical methods have long been used to quickly remove invasive plant species from natural ecosystems (Paynter and Flanagan, 2004; Flory and Clay, 2009). However, these methods are extremely expensive, requiring large amounts of time, money, and resources (Seastedt, 2015). Furthermore, these efforts can often fail, due to a high risk of re-invasion (Kettenring and Adams, 2011). As a result, many ecologists and invasion biologists have instead suggested using suitable native plant species as biological control agents. Such efforts may be a more effective and/or more cost-effective way of preventing the invasion of exotic plant species (Moran et al., 2005; Seastedt, 2015; van Wilgen et al., 2020).

It has been shown that functional traits can explain why and how some exotic plant species successfully invade ecosystems outside their native range (Küster et al., 2008; van Kleunen et al., 2010; Hulme et al., 2013; Ostertag et al., 2015). That is because, functional traits that help to better adapt (e.g., having higher growth rate) to the local environment, and are also functionally distinctive from the native dominant plant species, can successfully facilitate invasive exotic plant species to outperform the native dominant plant species, thereby to successfully invade the local plant ecosystem (Funk et al., 2008). Thus, trait-based methods can be useful for selecting appropriate native species for use as biological control agents (Funk et al., 2008; Laughlin, 2014; Ostertag et al., 2015). Recently, Laughlin (2014) proposed such a trait-based native species selection framework for ecological restoration and preventing further invasions. Similarly, Wang et al. (2020) were able to use these methods to select suitable plant species in the restoration of a highly degraded tropical coral island in China. Here, we seek to examine the effectiveness of trait-based native species selection framework in performing the biocontrol of invasive exotic plant species in a tropical seasonal forest.

van Kleunen et al. (2010) used a meta-analysis to examine trait differences between exotic and native plant species, and identified six types of functional traits that capture key characteristics of invasive exotic plant species. As such, these functional traits can be utilized for the selection of native plant species for use in biocontrol efforts. Here, we introduce a trait-based native plant species protocol (TBNPSP) that can identify native candidate species for biocontrol agents of invasive exotic plant species. Our TBNPSP is based on the theory of limiting similarity, whereby due to competitive exclusion, invasive plant species that are functionally similar to native plant species cannot invade a native plant community (MacArthur and Levens, 1967). Consequently, mixing invasive exotic plant species with functionally similar native plant species may be an effective method for performing biological control of exotic invasive species (D’Antonio and Chambers, 2006; Funk et al., 2008).

Here, we quantify the effectiveness of our model by testing whether the native species selected by our program can be used for the biological control of L. leucocephala, a highly invasive plant species found in many parts of the world (Richardson and Rejmánek, 2011). Due to its high nitrogen-fixing ability, fast-growth rate, and tolerance to drought, L. leucocephala has been used widely in the restoration of degraded forest ecosystems worldwide (Goel and Behl, 2002; Wolfe and van Bloem, 2012; Ishihara et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018; Peng et al., 2019). For example, 20 years of limestone mining associated with the cement industry degraded a 0.2 km$^2$ area of tropical forest located in Baopoling hill (BPL), Sanya city, China, to bare rock that could hardly support any plant life. In 2015, the local government initiated a large-scale reforestation project in this area. Monocultures of L. leucocephala were first planted at the top of BPL in an attempt to quickly restore vegetative cover. Within 2 years, however, Leucaena leucocephala has become highly invasive in the BPL, and no other plant species have been able to establish or survive. Moreover, the species has invaded the adjacent undisturbed old-growth tropical forests. The reforestation project on BPL thus provides a perfect system for testing the utility of TBNPSP for restoration effort. Specifically, we judge whether the native plant species selected by our TBNPSP can indeed prevent the invasion of L. leucocephala by the following two standards. First, we quantify whether functional traits of selected native species should be very similar to L. leucocephala, but dissimilar to the unselected species? Second, we test whether mixture of selected native species and L. leucocephala can indeed restrict the growth rate and survival rate of L. leucocephala, whereas mixture of unselected native species and L. leucocephala cannot achieve this function.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Step by Step Introduction of the TBNPSP Protocol

Step 1. Choosing Candidate Native Plant Species

Invasive exotic plant species can finish successfully invasion, only when it can not only better adapt (e.g., having higher growth rate) to the local environment than the native dominant plant species, but also are functionally distinctive from the native dominant plant species. Thus, selecting plant species which are functionally similar to invasive exotic plant species among the native dominant plant species can help biocontrol of invasive exotic plant species.

Step 2. Selecting Suitable Functional Traits

Functional traits used for selecting native plant species for biocontrol of invasive exotic species should capture key characteristics of the invasive exotic species. If there is no information on the characteristics of the invasive exotic species, users can apply the six different functional traits identified by van Kleunen et al. (2010) as a start point (see Table 1).

Step 3. Using Functional Traits to Select Native Plant Species to Perform Biocontrol of Invasive Exotic Plant Species

Based on the trait-based community framework and limiting similarity theory, Funk et al. (2008) suggested building native communities with traits similar to potential invader to limit
exotic species invasion. To verify this hypothesis, we developed a software program to select native plant species for biocontrol of functionally similar invasive species. Briefly, for a series of candidate species, the software platform calculates the similarity index as a measure of the functional trait similarity between each of the candidate native plant species and the invasive exotic plant species, and ranks them from the highest to the lowest according to the similarity index. A higher similarity index indicates higher similarity between the native plant species and the invasive exotic plant species, therefore suggesting better performance of the native species in the biocontrol of the invasive species. This software program uses the maximum entropy model developed by Shipley et al. (2006) to obtain a set of native plant species that are most functionally similar to the invasive plant species. Our species selection function was integrated into a web-based software platform named the “Plant Species Selection Platform” (Wang et al., 2021). Input data for the program are trait values of the invasive species and the potential native species. The program outputs text and figure results showing similarity ranks between native and invasive species. The software was written in the R language1, and the main page of the platform is shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

Step 4. Testing Whether Mixture of Selected Native Species and Invasive Exotic Plant Species Can Successfully Restrict the Growth and Survival Rate of Invasive Exotic Plant Species

Planting invasive exotic plant species with candidate native plant species selected for and selected against biocontrol by the software program, respectively, to determine whether native species selected for biocontrol are efficient in limiting the invasion of invasive exotic species.

Study Site Description

We tested whether our TBNPSP protocol can indeed select suitable species to perform biocontrol of an invasive exotic plant species (L. leucocephala) on Baopoling hill (BPL, 110°58’01”E, 19°38’48”N), which is a limestone hill in Sanya City, Hainan island, China that has an elevation of 300 m (Luo et al., 2020; Figure 1). The site has a tropical monsoon oceanic climate with a mean annual temperature of 28°C. The average annual precipitation in Sanya city is 1,500 mm, with approximately 91% of the precipitation occurring between June and October (Luo et al., 2018). The vegetation of the study area is classified as tropical monsoon broad-leaf forest. Limestone mining associated with the cement industry lasted from 1995 till 2015, resulting in a 0.2 km² extremely degraded area covered by bare rock (Figure 1A). Outside of this degraded area is the undisturbed old-growth tropical monsoon forest (Figure 1A). In year 2015 we invested 3 million USA dollars to performing reforestation to recover this 0.2 km² extremely degraded area. At the beginning, we used explosive and excavator to remove the top of the degraded area to reconstruct the slope and soil layers to perform reforestation (Figures 1B,C). For preventing any of the local people’s misunderstanding that we are blasting the hill, L. leucocephala is first used to quickly perform the reforestation in 2015 (Figure 1D). Only within 2 years, L. leucocephala has become highly invasive and no other plant species can survive and grow around L. leucocephala (Figure 1E). Moreover, L. leucocephala has successfully invaded the adjacent undisturbed old-growth tropical forests (Figure 1F).

Step by Step Guidance on How to Use the TBNPSP Protocol to Prevent L. leucocephala

Step 1. Choosing Candidate Native Plant Species to Prevent L. leucocephala

For getting the local dominant native plant species, in 2017, thirty plots, each of 20 × 20 m² that were arranged along five parallel transects in the adjacent undisturbed old-growth forest, with 300 m intervals between adjacent plots. Within each plot, all freestanding plants were measured and identified to species. Here, we used the 11 dominant native plant species (relative abundance range from 6 to 10%) (Bougainvillea spectabilis, Bombax ceiba, and Ficus microcarpa, Bridelia tomentosa, Radermachera frondosa, Lepisanthes rubiginosa, Raphiolepis indica, Pterospermum heterophyllum, Fissistigma oldhamii, Psychotria rubra, and Cudrania cochinchinensis) as our biological control agents.

Step 2. Functional Trait Selection and Measurements

It has been found that high growth rates and drought stress tolerance help L. leucocephala outcompete native species in multiple places (Wolfe and van Bloem, 2012; Chiou et al., 2016; Barros et al., 2020). Previous work also suggests that

---

1https://www.r-project.org/
traits that are highly associated with fast-growth (i.e., maximum photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance, and transpiration) and drought tolerance (i.e., leaf turgor loss point) are key characteristics facilitating the invasion of *L. leucocephala* in the BPL (Luo et al., 2020). As such, we expect that these traits can be used to select native plant species to perform biocontrol of this invasive species. To verify this, in the peak of both the wet (July) and the dry (February) seasons in 2018, we measured maximum photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance, transpiration, and leaf turgor loss point for both *L. leucocephala* and the 11 candidate native plant species. We collected 20 fully expanded and healthy leaves from five individuals from each of the 12 species in February 2017 (the peak of the dry season) for trait measurement. For avoiding intraspecific variances in functional traits measurements, samples were collected from individuals that had a diameter at breast height (DBH) close to the species mean value (Supplementary Table 1), which was obtained from an extensive vegetation sample conducted at the site. Leaf samples were used to measure a suite of functional traits related to plant growth (i.e., maximum photosynthesis rate (Amass; μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), stomatal conductance (SC; mmol m⁻² s⁻¹), transpiration (TR; μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), and drought stress tolerance (TLP, Mpa). These traits were listed and introduced in Table 2. Traits were measured following the methods described in Zhang et al. (2018), and the detailed procedures for measuring these functional traits can be found in the Supplementary Materials.

**Step 3. Using Functional Traits to Select Native Plant Species to Prevent *L. leucocephala***

Inputting all measured traits for *L. leucocephala* and all candidate native plant species into our developed software program to automatically select native plant species for biocontrol of *L. leucocephala*.

**Step 4. Testing Whether Mixture of Selected Native Species and *L. leucocephala* Can Successfully Restrict the Growth and Survival Rate of *L. leucocephala***

It was showed that mixed plantations of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and *Eucalyptus citriodora* can restrict the
growth of *L. leucocephala*, making them effective biocontrol agents of *L. leucocephala* (Liu et al., 2018). Here, we planted *L. leucocephala* with our candidate native plant species selected for and selected against biocontrol by our software program, respectively, to determine (1) whether native species selected for biocontrol are efficient in limiting the invasion of *L. leucocephala*; and (2) whether those native species selected against biocontrol perform inferiorly to those selected for. To accomplish these, we measured the average height and diameter at breast height (DBH) of all individuals of mono-planted *L. leucocephala* in BPL in 2017. We first tried to purchase seedlings of *L. leucocephala* and each of the 11 candidate native plant species with the same average height and DBH as mono-planted *L. leucocephala* in BPL from a local market. We planted monocultures of *L. leucocephala* (MLL) seedlings 3 m apart and treated it as a control in a nursery nearby. Mixture of *L. leucocephala* and native species were created in the same nursery as below. We first regularly planted 25 individuals of *L. leucocephala*, and then regularly planted 4 seedlings of a specific native species as neighbors of each individual of *L. leucocephala*. In 2020, we collected survival status (death or survival), and the height and DBH of each survival seedling. Detail statistic methods for analyzing whether selected native plant species can prevent *L. leucocephala* were shown as below:

First, we used our native plant species selection software to select native plant species that were functionally similar/dissimilar to *L. leucocephala*. We then verified the results of the species selection via manual test. To do so, we performed a Wilcoxon signed-rank test to compare differences in the traits (i.e., photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, and leaf turgor loss point) between *L. leucocephala* and each of the 11 native species to test: (1) whether native plant species selected by our program are functionally similar to *L. leucocephala*; and (2) whether native species that were not selected by our program are functionally dissimilar to *L. leucocephala*. Finally, we compared the differences in average height and DBH among individuals of *L. leucocephala* growing in the monoculture, and those individuals growing with selected and unselected native species to test whether selected native species can limit the growth of *L. leucocephala*, whereas unselected native species cannot.

## RESULTS

*Bougainvillea spectabilis* was the only species selected by our software program as a potential biocontrol agent for *L. leucocephala*, having a similarity index value of 0.99 (Figure 2). Similarity index values for the remaining 10 native tree species ranged from 0.001 to 0.01, indicating that they were extremely and functionally dissimilar to *L. leucocephala* (Figure 2). The Wilcoxon signed-rank test further confirmed that *B. spectabilis* was highly similar to *L. leucocephala*, whereas the remaining 10 native plant species were all extremely dissimilar to *L. leucocephala*. There was no difference in the measured four traits (maximum photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate and leaf turgor loss point) between *B. spectabilis* and *L. leucocephala* (*p* > 0.05, Figure 3).

![Figure 2](image-url) | Native plant species selection result based on our native plant species selection program. This result uses a similarity index to determine the functional trait similarity between each of the 11 candidate native plant species (*i.e.*, BS, *Bougainvillea spectabilis*; BC, *Bombax ceiba*; FM, *Ficus microcarpa*; BT, *Bridelia tomentosa*; PF, *Radermachera frondosa*; LR, *Lepisanthes rubiginosa*; RI, *Rhaphiolepis indica*; PH, *Pterospermum heterophyllum*; FO, *Fissistigma oldhamii*; PR, *Psychotria rubra*; CC, *Cudrania cochinchinensis*) and the invasive exotic plant species (*Leucaena leucocephala*). A higher similarity index indicates higher similarity between the native plant species and the invasive exotic plant species.
FIGURE 3 | Differences in the four functional traits (i.e., transpiration rate, TR; maximum Photosynthesis rate, Amax; stomatal conductance, SC; and leaf turgor loss point, TLP) between the invasive exotic plant species *L. leucocephala* and each of the 11 native plant species (i.e., *B. spectabilis*, *B. ceiba* and *F. microcarpa*, *B. tomentosa*, *R. frondosa*, *L. rubiginosa*, *R. indica*, *P. heterophyllum*, *F. oldhamii*, *P. rubra*, and *C. cochinchinensis*). *** indicates \( P < 0.05 \) and NS (short for non-significant) indicates \( P > 0.05 \) based on Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

In contrast, *L. leucocephala* had much higher \( A_{\text{max}} \), SC and TR, and much lower TLP, than the remaining native species (\( p < 0.05 \), Figure 3).

Among the 10 unselected native candidate species, we were only able to purchase seedlings of *B. ceiba*, and *F. microcarpa* in the local market. Thus, we followed the mixing planting design in Figure 4 to first monocultures of *L. leucocephala* (MLL) seedlings 3 m apart and treated it as a control in a nursery nearby. We then regularly planted 25 individuals of *L. leucocephala*, then regularly planted 4 seedlings of the selected native plant species (*B. spectabilis*) and unselected native plant species (*B. ceiba*, and *F. microcarpa*) as neighbors of each individual of *L. leucocephala*. After 3 years’ plantation, we found that all 100 *B. spectabilis* seedlings survived with *L. leucocephala* seedlings. In contrast, only 40–45 seedlings of *B. ceiba* and *F. microcarpa* survived when planted with *L. leucocephala*, respectively. The average height and DBH of monocultured *L. leucocephala* were 1.4 times greater than individuals planted with *B. spectabilis* (\( p < 0.05 \), Figures 5A,D). In contrast, there was no significant difference in the average height or DBH of *L. leucocephala* when planted with *B. ceiba* (LL2) or *F. microcarpa* (LL3) (\( p > 0.05 \), Figures 5A,D). The average height and DBH of *L. leucocephala* were 33\% (\( p < 0.05 \), Table 1 and Figures 5B,E), and 17–23\% (\( p < 0.05 \), Figures 5B,E) lower than the selected native species (*B. spectabilis*) and unselected species (*B. ceiba*, and *F. microcarpa*), respectively. In addition, the average height and DBH of *B. spectabilis* were 1.3 times greater than *L. leucocephala* in the monoculture (\( p < 0.05 \), Figures 5C,F). In summary, the survival and growth of *L. leucocephala* was restricted when planted with *B. spectabilis*, whereas *B. ceiba* and *F. microcarpa* did not significantly influence the survival and growth of *L. leucocephala*.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we introduce a trait-based native plant species selection protocol and a software program that can be used for selection of appropriate native plant species for performing biocontrol of invasive exotic plant species.
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FIGURE 4 | The design of L. leucocephala monocultures (MLL), mixed plantation of B. spectabilis and L. leucocephala, mixed plantation of B. ceiba and L. leucocephala, and mixed plantation of F. microcarpa and L. leucocephala. MLL is made of 25 monocultured seedlings of L. leucocephala at 3 m spacing. BS vs. LL1 is mixing 100 B. spectabilis seedings with 25 L. leucocephala seedlings at 3 × 3 m spacing, with 4 B. spectabilis seedlings surrounding one L. leucocephala seedling. Mixed plantation of B. ceiba and L. leucocephala, and mixed plantation of F. microcarpa and L. leucocephala uses the same mixing design as mixed plantation of B. spectabilis and L. leucocephala.

FIGURE 5 | Differences in average height and diameter at breast height (DBH) among monocultured L. leucocephala (MLL), L. leucocephala that is mixed with selected native species (B. spectabilis) (LL1), L. leucocephala mixed with unselected native species (B. ceiba and F. microcarpa) (LL2 and LL3, respectively) and selected and unselected native species (B. spectabilis, B. ceiba, and F. microcarpa) on Baopuling hill after 3 years of planting. (A,D) Demonstrate the differences in average height and DBH between individuals of L. leucocephala in LL1 and MLL (LL1 vs. MLL), between LL2 and MLL (LL2 vs. MLL) and between LL3 and MLL (LL3 vs. MLL). (B,E) represent the differences in average height and DBH between L. leucocephala in LL1 and B. spectabilis (BS vs. LL1), between L. leucocephala in LL2 and B. ceiba (BC vs. LL2) and between L. leucocephala in LL3 and F. microcarpa (FM vs. LL3). (C,F) show the differences in average height and DBH between L. leucocephala in MLL and BS, between MLL and BC and between MLL and FM. Detail seedlings planting design are provided in Figure 4. *** indicates \( P < 0.05 \) and NS (short for non-significant) indicates \( P > 0.05 \) based on Wilcoxon signed-rank test.
Using *L. leucocephala* as an example, we verified the power of our protocol and the software program in selecting potential biocontrol agents based on specific functional trait targets. By using the selected four functional traits (maximum photosynthesis rates, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, and leaf turgor loss points), *B. spectabilis* was the only species selected by our software program as a potential biocontrol agent for *L. leucocephala*. By comparing the differences in these four traits between *L. leucocephala* and each of the 11 native plant species, we verified the results of our software program that *B. spectabilis* was the only species that was functionally similar to *L. leucocephala*. Interestingly, the remaining 10 native plant species were extremely dissimilar to *L. leucocephala*.

As expected, *B. spectabilis*, which is functionally similar to the invasive *L. leucocephala*, significantly limited the invasion of the latter, while the unselected native plant species could not. All the seedlings of *B. spectabilis* survived, while half seedlings of unselected species (*B. ceiba* and *F. microcarpa*) died, during the experimental period when planted with *L. leucocephala* seedlings. Moreover, the growth of *L. leucocephala* was restricted when planted with *B. spectabilis*, in contrast *B. ceiba* and *F. microcarpa* did not influence the growth of *L. leucocephala*. Ultimately, our results demonstrated the power of our software program in selecting appropriate native plant species for the biological control of invasive exotic plant species.

Although the widely used traditional trial-and-error method could also be applied for selecting appropriate native species for the biocontrol of the invasive species, it is time and effort consuming because it may need to conduct a large experiment for several years. Moreover, such methods are likely limited to a small number of species because the number of treatments and replicates will exponentially increase with the number of candidate species (Giardina et al., 2007; Funk et al., 2008; Hall et al., 2011; Williams, 2011; Kanowski, 2014). Here we showed that, using our protocol, selecting appropriate native species as biocontrol control agents can be fulfilled easily and efficiently. Most importantly, a large number of candidate native species can be considered simultaneously, given the availability of specific functional traits targeted for the biocontrol of the invasive exotic plant species.

We noted that merely using growth rates as indicator for the biocontrol of *L. leucocephala* may be not fully sufficient. Ecological factors that structure plant communities, determine growth and survival of individual plants, and affect plant evolution (e.g., root and shoot competition, light competition, soil heterogeneity), biomass, the number of fruits or seeds, roots development merits future survey. In addition, it is very necessary to quantify whether *B. ceiba* and *F. microcarpa* seedling mortality is due to competition with *L. leucocephala* in future.

In summary, we developed a protocol that includes the following steps for selecting suitable native plant species for biocontrol. First, identify functional traits that can capture key characteristics of the invasive exotic species. If there is no information on the characteristics of the invasive exotic species, users can apply the six different functional traits identified by van Kleunen et al. (2010) as a start point (see Table 2). Second, a potential native plant species pool should be selected using communities found in an adjacent area to the study site or similar habitats. Third, careful measurements of the selected functional traits should be performed for both exotic and native species. Fourth, input trait data to the native plant species selection software platform to select functionally similar native plant species. Finally, mix the selected native plant species with populations of the invasive exotic plant species, with the hope that they will act as biocontrol agents to reduce the growth and spread of the invasive species. Taken together, we demonstrated that our native plant species selection protocol and software program can quickly and efficiently select native plant species for use in the biological control of invasive exotic plant species. We expect that this work provides a general protocol to help conservationists and land managers perform biological control of various types of invasive exotic plant species. However, we have to admit our current results can only prove the native plant species selection software program and protocol is feasible and effective for biocontrol of tree species. Usually, many invasive exotic plant species in forest ecosystem are vine and herbaceous species, but not tree species. Thus, future researches are still necessary to testify the effectiveness of our native plant species selection software program and protocol in preventing the invasion of vine and herbaceous species.
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