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Abstract

Environmental pollution especially heavy metal contaminated soils adversely affect the microbial communities associated with the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of plants growing in these areas. In the current study, we identified and characterized the rhizospheric and phyllospheric bacterial strains from *Avena fatua* and *Brachiaria reptans* with the potential for antimicrobial activity and heavy metal resistance. A total of 18 bacterial strains from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua* and 19 bacterial strains from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *B. reptans* were identified based on 16S rRNA sequence analysis. Bacterial genera, including *Bacillus*, *Staphylococcus*, *Pseudomonas* and *Enterobacter* were dominant in the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua* and *Bacillus*, *Marinobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Enterobacter*, and *Kocuria* were the dominating bacterial genera from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *B. reptans*. Most of the bacterial strains were resistant to heavy metals (Cd, Pb and Cr) and showed antimicrobial activity against different pathogenic bacterial strains. The whole genome sequence analysis of *Pseudomonas putida* BR-PH17 was performed by using Illumina sequencing approach. The BR-PH17 genome contained a chromosome with size of 5774330 bp and a plasmid DNA with 80360 bp. In this genome, about 5368 predicted protein coding sequences with 5539 total genes, 22 rRNAs and 75 tRNA genes were identified. Functional analysis of chromosomal and plasmid DNA revealed a variety of enzymes and proteins involved in antibiotic resistance and biodegradation of complex organic pollutants. These results indicated that bacterial strains identified in this study could be utilized for bioremediation of heavy metal contaminated soils and as a novel source of antimicrobial drugs.

Introduction

The increasing industrialization mainly affects the quality of water, air, and soil. Environmental challenges of Pakistan are primarily associated with an imbalanced economic and social development in recent decades. Industrial effluents contain hazardous chemicals including heavy metals, highly acidic and alkaline compounds that cause the destruction of habitat (Freije 2015; Mohmand et al. 2015). Heavy metals, such as Cadmium (Cd), Lead (Pb), Chromium (Cr) and Arsenic (As) cause groundwater and soil pollution and ultimately enter the plants and animals through food chain. Living organisms including plants, animals and microorganisms exist in industrial areas are also affected by water, soil, and air pollution (Wahid et al. 1995; Kamal et al. 2014b). In the industrial areas of Pakistan, growth of natural vegetation including grasses, *Avena fatua*, *Cymbopogon jwarancusa*, *Brachiaria reptans*, and *Cynodon dactylon* as well as economically important crops, such as wheat, rice, maize and sugarcane are affected due to poor water and air quality (Hussain et al. 1992; Khan et al. 2011; Waseem et al. 2014).

Plant physiology and metabolism are greatly affected by microorganisms living in the plant-soil (rhizosphere), on the surface of a root or shoot (epiphytes) and inside the root or shoot tissues (endosphere). Plant associated microbial communities include plant growth promoting bacteria, fungi and other pathogenic microorganisms (Smith et al. 2015; Mukhtar et al. 2019a). A large variety of microorganisms live in the rhizosphere and root endosphere that have potential to enhance the plant growth by increasing the uptake to different nutrients, nitrogen, carbon, phosphorus and other minerals.
from the soil (Ahemad and Kibret 2014; Kuan et al. 2016; Mukhtar et al. 2019c). The aerial portion or phyllosphere of a plant is more affected by environmental pollution as compared to the rhizosphere, so, the microbial diversity associated with the phyllosphere is distinct. Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Bacteriodetes are the most abundant bacterial phyla identified from this plant region (Bodenhausen et al. 2013; Mukhtar et al. 2017). These microorganisms play an important in plant health and geochemical cycles of nitrogen and carbon (Mazinani et al. 2017).

Plant associated microorganisms produce a variety of antibacterial and antifungal compounds to control different bacterial and fungal pathogens. These microorganisms especially bacterial strains can be used biofertilizers, phytostimulators, and biopesticides to protect plants against various fungal and bacterial diseases (Knief et al. 2012; Sun et al. 2017). Microbial communities associated with the plants growing in polluted areas are also influenced by the different hazardous compounds and heavy metals present in the surrounding environment (Hong et al., 2011; Suvega and Arunkumar 2014). These microorganisms show antimicrobial activity against a large number of pathogenic bacteria and fungi. The rhizosphere and phyllosphere associated bacterial strains including *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Aeromonas*, *Marinobacter*, *Nocardia*, *Sphingomonas* and *Methylobacterium* have been studied for their ability to produce various antimicrobial compounds (Bodenhausen et al. 2013; Buedenbender et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2019). Most of these bacterial strains also show resistance to antibiotics such as ampicillin, erythromycin, amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, and vancomycin (Ismail et al. 2016; Zhao et al. 2018).

Some plants are genetically adapted to grow and reproduce in soils contaminated with heavy metals. Plants species such as *Avena fatua*, *Brachiaria reptans*, *Cynodon dactylon*, and *Dactyloctenium aegyptium* are dominantly growing in heavy metal polluted lands near Lahore (Ahmad et al. 2009). Environmental pollution also influences the structure and composition of rhizospheric and phyllospheric microbial communities of the affected plants. The main aim of the current study was to evaluate the bacterial diversity from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *Avena fatua* and *Brachiaria reptans* growing in heavy metal polluted areas near Lahore by using culture-dependent techniques. These bacterial strains were characterized for their antibiotics and heavy metal resistance and their antimicrobial potential was assessed against different pathogenic bacterial strains. The current study is the first report of its kind that it deals with the identification of antimicrobial and heavy metal resistance genes from *P. putida* BR-PH17 isolated from the phyllosphere of *B. reptans* through whole genome sequence analysis.

**Material And Methods**

**Soil and plant sampling**

Kala-Shah Kaku is an industrial area located on Lahore-Gujranwala G.T road about 17.5 km away from Lahore (31° 24´ North latitude, 74°13´ East longitude). It covers about 11 km² area with a number of industries involved in production of chemical, leather, textile, metals, paper and pulp. Ground water and air quality is adversely affected by different untreated effluents, such as heavy metals Cd, Pb, Cr, and As.
released by these industries. Plants and animals of this area are also affected by water and air pollution. Plants especially various grasses, such as Avena fatua, Brachiaria reptans, Cymbopogan jwarancusa, Cynodon dactylon, and Dactyloctenium aegyptium are dominant and abundantly found here (Ahmad et al. 2009). Rhizospheric soil samples were collected by gently removing the plants and obtaining the soil attached the roots. Soil and plant samples were collected from three sites that are about 1 km far from each other. At each site, approximately 1 kg soil samples were collected in black sterile polythene bags. These samples were stored at 4 °C for further analysis.

**Soil physicochemical parameters**

Each soil sample (500 g) was thoroughly mixed and sieved through a pore size of 2 mm. Physical properties (salinity, pH, moisture content and temperature) of soil samples were determined. Soil salinity or electrical conductivity (dS/m) was measured by 1:1 (w/v) soil to water mixture at 25 °C (Adviento-Borbe et al. 2006), pH was measured by 1:2 (w/v) soil to water mixture, moisture (%) and texture class were measured by Anderson method (Anderson et al. 1993) and organic matter (Corg) was calculated by the Walkley-Black method (1934). The total concentration of heavy metals (Cd, Pb, and Cr) in the soils and plants were analyzed by flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS, Z-5300) by digesting 100 mg of soil in a mixture of HNO3 and HClO4 (4:1, v/v).

**Isolation of bacterial strains from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of A. fatua and B. reptans**

Rhizospheric samples were taken as a collective sample of soil and roots and phyllospheric samples were taken as epiphytic and endophytic shoot tissues. For the isolation of rhizospheric bacteria, the sieved soil and roots were mixed thoroughly and then one gram representative soil sample was taken. In case of phyllosphere, the shoot samples were washed with tap water for 5 min and then with distilled water for 5 min. These tissues were dried at room temperature and one gram sample was macerated using sterilized pestle and mortar. Serial dilutions (10^-1-10^-10) were made for all samples (Somasegaran 1994). Dilutions from 10^-4 to 10^-6 were inoculated on Luria-Bertani agar (LB) plates for counting colony forming units (CFU) per gram of dry weight. Plates were incubated at 37 °C until the appearance of bacterial colonies. Bacterial colonies were counted and the number of bacteria per gram sample was calculated. The bacteria were purified by repeated sub-culturing of single colonies. Single colonies were selected, grown in LB broth and stored in 30% glycerol at -80 °C for subsequent characterization.

**Identification of bacterial isolates based on 16S rRNA sequence**

From individual bacterial strains, genomic DNA was extracted (Winnepenninckx et al. 2003). The 16S rRNA gene was amplified by using universal forward primer FD1 (AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG) and universal reverse primer (rP1) (ACGGACTTACCTTGTTACGACTT). A reaction mixture of 50 µL was prepared by using Taq buffer 5 µL (10X), MgCl2 5.5 µL (25mM), Taq polymerase 1.5 µL, dNTPs 4 µL
(2.5mM), 4 µL of forward and reverse primer (10 pmol) and the template DNA 5 µL (> 50ng/ µL) (Tan et al. 1997). Initial denaturation temperature was 95 °C for 5 min followed by 35 rounds of 95 °C for 1 min, 57 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 2 min and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. These PCR products were purified and sequenced commercially by using universal forward and reverse primers (Eurofins, Germany).

Sequences of 16S rRNA were compared to those sequences deposited in the GenBank nucleotide database by using the NCBI BLAST. These sequences were aligned by using Clustal W software. A neighbor-joining tree was constructed by Bootstrap test with 1000 replicates (Saitou and Nei 1987). The evolutionary distances were compared using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method in MEGA7 software (Tamura et al. 2004; Kumar et al. 2016). The 16S rRNA sequences of bacterial strains were deposited in the GenBank with accession numbers MT317180-MT317216.

**Antibiotic resistance assay using disc diffusion method**

The antibiotic resistance pattern of bacterial strains was studied according to Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method (Bauer et al. 1966; El-Sayed and Helal 2016). Five antibiotics; ampicillin (AMP), amoxicillin (AM), erythromycin (E), ciprofloxacin (CIP), tobramycin (TOB), gentamicin (GN) and vancomycin (VA) were used to check antibiotic sensitivity of bacterial strains. Antibiotic discs were placed over freshly prepared LB medium seeded with bacterial strains under study. All antibiotic disks were placed on each of the seeded plates at appropriate distances from one another and plates were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. The strains were classified as sensitive or susceptible if they showed a growth inhibition zone around the antibiotic disc.

**Analysis of heavy metal resistance**

A total of 18 bacterial strains from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua* and 19 bacterial strains from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *B. reptans* were tested for resistance of Cadmium (Cd), Lead (Pb) and Chromium (Cr). About 2, 5, 7.5, and 10 mM of each metal was used to analyze the resistance in the bacterial strains isolated using LB agar plates supplemented with these heavy metals.

**Antimicrobial resistance against different pathogenic bacterial strains**

On the basis of antibiotics and heavy metals resistance, 8 bacterial strains from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua* and 10 bacterial strains from the from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *B. reptans* were tested for antimicrobial activity against six pathogenic bacterial strains. Antimicrobial activity test of bacterial strains isolated from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua* and *B. reptans* was performed by using the drop test method as described by Rao et al. (2005) with little modifications. Six pathogenic bacterial strains including *Bacillus cereus* (LT221128), *Staphylococcus aureus* (MT355444), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (LT797517), *Escherichia coli* (MT355445), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (LT221131), and *Enterobacter cloacae* (AM778415) were used for antimicrobial activity in this study. All the pathogenic strains were grown in 20 mL of LB broth at 37 °C for 24 h. The bacterial strains identified
in this study were also grown in 50 mL of LB broth at 37 °C for 24 h. These cultures were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min and the cell pellet was dissolved in 25 mL of saline water (1% NaCl). About 100 µL of a pathogenic strain was spread on LB agar plate and dried for 30 min. Then a drop (10 µL) of the target bacterial culture with about $10^{10}$ cells mL$^{-1}$ was spotted on this plate and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. The zone of inhibition around the tested strain was measured in mm (millimeter).

**Genome sequencing and annotation of *P. putida* BR-PH17**

*P. putida* BR-PH17 showed maximum potential for heavy metals and antimicrobial resistance. The next generation whole-genome sequencing was performed by using Illumina Hiseq 2000 platform. Paired-end genome fragments were annealed to the flow-cell surface in a cluster station (Illumina). Sequencing-by-synthesis was performed with a total 100 cycles. All reads were quality filtered and assembled using the A5 pipeline, an integrated pipeline for de novo assembly of microbial genomes (Tritt et al. 2012). The final genome coverage was 181X with a genome size 5.78 Mbp. Genome annotation was performed by using NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (Tatusova et al. 2016). The coding genes were predicted by using an ab initio gene prediction algorithm with homology-based methods. The gene function was annotated by BLAST against Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes database KEGG pathway (Kanehisa et al. 2006). To predict genes and operons involved in secondary metabolism and antibiotic resistance antiSMASH 4.0 software was used (Blin et al. 2017). The chromosomal DNA and plasmid sequence were deposited in the GenBank database under the accession number CP066306 and CP066307 (BioProject: PRJNA685985).

**Results**

**Physical and chemical characteristics of rhizospheric soils**

The physical and chemical analysis showed that the rhizospheric soils of *Avena fatua* were more alkaline and saline as compared to soils *Brachiaria reptans* (Table 1). There is no significant difference in soil moisture content and temperature in the rhizospheric soils of both plants. All the polluted soils had high concentrations of heavy metals Cd, Pb, and Zn (Table 1). The rhizospheric soils of *Avena fatua* showed 35 mg.kg$^{-1}$ of Cd, 43 mg.kg$^{-1}$ of Pb and 21 mg.kg$^{-1}$ of Cr respectively. The rhizospheric soils of *Brachiaria reptans* showed 49 mg.kg$^{-1}$ of Cd, 25 mg.kg$^{-1}$ of Pb, and 15 mg.kg$^{-1}$ of Cr respectively.
Table 1
Physical and chemical properties of rhizospheric soils of
Avena fatua and Brachiaria reptans

| Soil properties          | Avena fatua | Brachiaria reptans |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| pH                      | 8.02<sup>b</sup> | 7.26<sup>a</sup>   |
| EC<sub>1:1</sub> (dS/m) | 4.51<sup>a</sup> | 3.73<sup>b</sup>   |
| Moisture (%)            | 19.23<sup>a</sup> | 21.61<sup>a</sup> |
| Temperature (°C)        | 31.31<sup>b</sup> | 32.47<sup>a</sup> |
| Texture class           | Silty loam  | Silty loam         |
| OM (g.Kg<sup>-1</sup>)  | 17.51<sup>b</sup> | 14.41<sup>a</sup> |
| NO<sub>3</sub>− (mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) | 12.83<sup>a</sup> | 8.71<sup>a</sup> |
| Cd (mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>)  | 35<sup>a</sup>       | 49<sup>b</sup>     |
| Pb (mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>)  | 43<sup>b</sup>       | 25<sup>a</sup>     |
| Cr (mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>)  | 21<sup>b</sup>       | 15<sup>a</sup>     |

Identification of bacterial strains based on 16S rRNA analysis

A total of 10 bacterial strains from the rhizosphere and 8 bacterial strains from the phyllosphere of A. fatua were identified on the basis of 16S rRNA gene analysis. Four strains including AV-HP1, AV-HP2, AV-HP4 and AV-HP10 were identified as different species of Bacillus, AV-HP3 strain identified as Staphylococcus equorum, AV-HP5 strain as Pseudomonas plecoglossicida, AV-HP7 strain as Enterococcus durans, AV-HP8 as Nocardia farcinica, AV-HP6 and AV-HP11 as Enterobacter aerogenes from the rhizosphere of A. fatua (Table S1; Fig. 1A). While from the phyllosphere of A. fatua, three strains AV-R01, AV-R06 and AV-R08 showed more than 99 similarity with Bacillus spp., AV-R02 strain had 99% homology with Pseudomonas fluorescens and bacterial strains belonging to Virgibacillus sp., Enterococcus durans, Kocuria rosea and Nocardia also identified in this study (Table S1; Fig. 1A). From the rhizosphere of B. reptans, 4 strains were related to Bacillus spp., 2 bacterial strains BR-PH1 and BR-PH10 were identified as Staphylococcus equorum, BR-PH4 strain were belonging to the Marinobacter sp. and BR-PH5 strains was identified as Pseudomonas fluorescens (Table S2; Fig. 1B). From the phyllosphere of B. reptans, out of nine, 5 bacterial strains were identified as different species of Bacillus, BR-PH11 strain had more than 99% similarity with Exiguobacterium aurantiacum, BR-PH13 and BR-PH16 strains identified as Enterobacter aerogenes and BR-PH17 strain identified as P. putida (Table S2; Fig. 1B).
About 50% bacterial strains showed resistance against both ampicillin and amoxicillin, 20% bacterial strains showed resistance against both erythromycin and tobramycin, 10% bacterial strains showed resistance against each ciprofloxacin, gentamicin and vancomycin from the rhizosphere of *A. fatua*, while 55% bacterial strains showed resistance against both ampicillin, and amoxicillin, 37% bacterial strains showed resistance against ciprofloxacin, 25% bacterial strains showed resistance against each erythromycin, gentamicin and vancomycin and 12.5% strains were resistant to tobramycin from the phyllosphere of *A. fatua* (Table 2; Fig. S1).
Table 2
Antibiotics resistance profile of bacterial strains isolated from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua* and *B. reptans* by disc diffusion method

| Bacterial isolates | Strain name                  | Antibiotics (Diameter of clear zone in mm*) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
|                    |                               | AMP (30 µg)      | AM (10 µg)  | E (15 µg)  | CIP (30 µg) | TOB (10 µg) | GN (10 µg) | VA (30 µg) |
| AV-HP1             | *Bacillus megaterium*        | -               | -          | ++         | ++          | ++          | ++          | ++          |
| AV-HP2             | *Bacillus cohnii*            | -               | ++         | ++         | ++          | 0.00        | ++          | ++          |
| AV-HP3             | *Staphylococcus equorum*     | -               | ++         | ++         | -           | +           | +           | ++          |
| AV-HP4             | *Bacillus cohnii*            | -               | -          | ++         | ++          | ++          | -           | +++         |
| AV-HP5             | *Pseudomonas plecoglossicida*| +               | +          | -          | +           | -           | +++         | ++          |
| AV-HP6             | *Enterobacter aerogenes*     | -               | -          | ++         | ++          | +++         | +           | +           |
| AV-HP7             | *Enterococcus durans*        | -               | ++         | ++         | ++          | ++          | +++         | ++          |
| AV-HP8             | *Nocardia farcinica*         | ++              | -          | +          | ++          | ++          | ++          | +           |
| AV-HP10            | *Bacillus megaterium*        | -               | -          | ++         | ++          | ++          | ++          | -           |
| AV-HP11            | *Enterobacter aerogenes*     | -               | -          | ++         | ++          | +           | ++          | ++          |
| AV-R01             | *Bacillus pumilus*           | +               | ++         | ++         | ++          | ++          | -           | ++          |
| AV-R02             | *Pseudomonas fluorescens*    | -               | ++         | ++         | +           | ++          | +++         | ++          |
| AV-R03             | *Virgibacillus sp.*          | +               | +          | -          | ++          | ++          | -           | -           |
| AV-R04             | *Enterococcus durans*        | -               | -          | ++         | -           | ++          | ++          | +           |
| AV-R05             | *Kocuria rosea*              | ++              | -          | ++         | +++         | -           | ++          | +           |
| AV-R06             | *Bacillus pumilus*           | +               | ++         | ++         | -           | +++         | -           | +           |
| AV-R07             | *Marinococcus halophilus*    | -               | -          | +          | -           | ++          | -           | +           |
| AV-R08             | *Bacillus halodurans*        | +               | -          | +++        | ++          | ++          | ++          | ++          |
| BR-PH1             | *Staphylococcus equorum*     | -               | -          | ++         | -           | ++          | -           | -           |
| BR-PH2             | *Bacillus pumilus*           | ++              | ++         | ++         | +++         | ++          | +           | +           |
| BR-PH3             | *Bacillus megaterium*        | ++              | +++        | +          | -           | ++          | -           | +++         |
| Bacterial isolates | Strain name                      | Antibiotics (Diameter of clear zone in mm*) |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                   |                                  | AMP (30 µg) | AM (10 µg) | E (15 µg) | CIP (30 µg) | TOB (10 µg) | GN (10 µg) | VA (30 µg) |
| BR-PH4            | *Marinobacter* sp.              | ++          | -          | -         | -          | ++          | +          | ++         |
| BR-PH5            | *Pseudomonas fluorescens*       | +++         | ++         | -         | +          | -           | ++         | ++         |
| BR-PH6            | *Actinomyces gerencseriae*      | +++         | ++         | -         | ++         | 0.00        | -          |            |
| BR-PH7            | *Oceanobacillus iheyensis*      | -           | -          | ++        | ++         | ++          | ++         | ++         |
| BR-PH8            | *Kocuria rosea*                 | +++         | -          | ++        | +          | ++          | ++         | +          |
| BR-PH9            | *Bacillus megaterium*           | ++          | +++        | +         | +++        | ++          | +++        | ++         |
| BR-PH10           | *Staphylococcus equorum*        | -           | -          | ++        | +++        | ++          | -          | -          |
| BR-PH11           | *Exiguobacterium aurantiacum*   | ++          | -          | ++        | ++         | -           | -          | ++         |
| BR-PH12           | *Bacillus endophyticus*         | ++          | -          | +++       | ++         | -           | ++         | ++         |
| BR-PH13           | *Enterobacter aerogenes*        | ++          | +          | ++        | +          | ++          | -          | ++         |
| BR-PH14           | *Bacillus pumilus*              | +++         | ++         | ++        | ++         | ++          | ++         | -          |
| BR-PH15           | *Bacillus alcalophilus*         | -           | +          | -         | -          | -           | -          | ++         |
| BR-PH16           | *Enterobacter aerogenes*        | -           | ++         | ++        | -          | +           | +          | +          |
| BR-PH17           | *Pseudomonas putida*            | ++          | -          | -         | +++        | ++          | +          | ++         |
| BR-PH18           | *Bacillus halodurans*           | +++         | -          | ++        | ++         | ++          | +++        | ++         |
| BR-PH19           | *Bacillus endophyticus*         | -           | -          | -         | ++         | ++          | ++         | ++         |

Note: AMP = Ampicillin; AM = Amoxicillin; E = Erythromycin; CIP = Ciprofloxacin; TOB = Tobramycin; GN = Gentamicin; VA = Vancomycin;

(-) = resistant; (+) = weak susceptible; (+++) = moderate susceptible and (++++) = susceptible

From the rhizosphere of *B. reptans*, 30% bacterial strains showed resistance against both ampicillin and vancomycin, 50% bacterial strains showed resistance against both amoxicillin and erythromycin, 40% bacterial strains showed resistance against both ciprofloxacin and gentamicin and 20% bacterial strains resisted against tobramycin, while from the phyllosphere of *B. reptans*, maximum bacterial strains (55%) showed resistance against amoxicillin, 33% bacterial strains showed resistance against each ampicillin,
erythromycin, and tobramycin, 22% bacterial strains showed resistance against both ciprofloxacin and vancomycin, and 44% bacterial strains were resistant to gentamicin (Table 2; Fig. S1).

**Heavy metal resistance profile of bacterial strain**

More than 80% of the rhizospheric bacterial strains and 90% of the phyllospheric bacterial strains from both plants showed Cd tolerance at a concentration of 2 mM, 71–78% bacterial strains showed Cd tolerance at a concentration of 5 mM and only few strains (0–19%) from the rhizosphere and 22–37% of bacterial strains from the phyllosphere of *A. fatua* and *B. reptans* showed Cd tolerance concentration of 10 mM (Table S3; Fig. 2A).

Similar results were obtained in case of Pb resistance. About 80–89% bacterial strains from the rhizosphere and 91–99% of bacterial strains from the phyllosphere of *A. fatua* and *B. reptans* showed Pb resistance at a concentration of 2 mM, 60% of bacterial strain from the rhizosphere and 71% bacterial strains from the phyllosphere of both plants showed Pb resistance at a concentration of 5 mM and phyllospheric bacterial strains from both plants showed Pb resistance (21–36%) as compared to rhizospheric bacterial strains with only 9–10 % Pb resistance (Table S4; Fig. 2B).

About 60–75% of rhizospheric bacterial strains and 77–87% of the phyllospheric bacterial strains from *A. fatua* and *B. reptans* showed Cr resistance at a concentration of 2 mM, 49–51% from the rhizosphere and 57–64% of bacterial strains from the phyllosphere of both plants. None of the rhizospheric bacterial strains was able to tolerate 10 mM Cr concentration while 11–24% of the phyllospheric bacterial strains from both plants showed Cr tolerance at a concentration of 10 mM (Table S5; Fig. 2C).

**Antimicrobial activity of bacterial strains**

From the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua*, five strains had antimicrobial activity against *E. cloacae*, four strains showed antimicrobial activity against *P. aeruginosa*, four bacteria strains showed positive results against *E. coli*, four strains had antimicrobial activity against *K. oxytoca* two strains AV-HP5 and AV-RO7 showed antimicrobial activity against *B. cereus* and one strain AV-RO3 showed antimicrobial potential against *S. aureus* (Table 3; Fig. 3). Similar results were obtained in case of bacterial strains isolated from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *B. reptans*. Seven strains showed antimicrobial activity at least against three pathogenic strains. Six bacterial strains showed antimicrobial potential against *K. oxytoca*, and *P. aeruginosa* each, five bacterial strains showed antimicrobial activity against *E. coli* and *E. cloacae* and three strains had antimicrobial activity against *B. cereus* and *S. aureus* (Table 3; Fig. 3).
Table 3
Antimicrobial activity of bacterial strains isolated from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua* and *B. reptans*

| Bacterial isolates | Antimicrobial activity (Diameter of clear zone in mm*) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
|                    | *B. cereus* | *S. aureus* | *P. aeruginosa* | *E. coli* | *K. oxytoxa* | *E. cloacae* |
| AV-HP2             | 0.00        | 0.00        | 14.35 ± 1.13    | 0.00      | 0.00         | 11.42 ± 2.01 |
| AV-HP4             | 0.00        | 0.00        | 19.59 ± 2.95    | 12.31 ± 1.07 | 9.26 ± 1.07 | 0.00        |
| AV-HP5             | 9.57 ± 0.28 | 0.00        | 0.00            | 21.41 ± 1.19 | 0.00         | 17.41 ± 1.87 |
| AV-HP10            | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00            | 12.47 ± 1.17 | 20.17 ± 2.05 | 0.00        |
| AV-RO1             | 0.00        | 0.00        | 25.31 ± 1.21    | 0.00      | 0.00         | 20.15 ± 2.12 |
| AV-RO3             | 0.00        | 16.24 ± 1.29 | 0.00           | 0.00      | 23.58 ± 2.17 | 0.00        |
| AV-RO7             | 14.65 ± 1.47 | 0.00       | 9.11 ± 1.77     | 15.22 ± 0.651 | 23.32 ± 1.99 | 10.37 ± 2.15 |
| AV-RO8             | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00            | 0.00      | 0.00         | 15.41 ± 1.74 |
| BR-PH3             | 0.00        | 15.63 ± 1.45 | 4.25 ± 0.52    | 0.00      | 21.69 ± 2.29 | 0.00        |
| BR-PH4             | 21.57 ± 2.07 | 0.00       | 0.00            | 11.29 ± 2.06 | 19.17 ± 1.34 | 23.74 ± 1.53 |
| BR-PH7             | 0.00        | 0.00        | 18.39 ± 1.45    | 0.00      | 16.58 ± 1.59 | 4.85 ± 0.61  |
| BR-PH9             | 0.00        | 22.31 ± 2.37 | 0.00           | 20.14 ± 2.24 | 0.00         | 15.24 ± 1.56 |
| BR-PH11            | 13.23 ± 1.27 | 0.00       | 15.21 ± 2.05    | 24.45 ± 2.75 | 0.00         | 0.00        |
| BR-PH12            | 0.00        | 0.00        | 19.03 ± 1.58    | 0.00      | 0.00         | 18.67 ± 1.71 |
| BR-PH15            | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00            | 0.00      | 6.57 ± 1.34  | 0.00        |
| BR-PH17            | 15.31 ± 1.45 | 23.24 ± 2.39 | 13.39 ± 1.35   | 24.47 ± 1.87 | 0.00         | 17.21 ± 1.85 |
Note: Inhibition zones from $\geq 16$ mm were considered a strong (+++), from 6 to 16 mm a moderate (++) and $\leq 6$ mm a weak positive (+). *Average of three replicates $\pm$ standard error of means

# General features of chromosomal and plasmid DNA of *P. putida* BR-PH17

The genome size of *P. putida* BR-PH17 is 5,774,421 bp with an average GC content of 61.07% (Fig. 4). A total 5,423 genes were identified and total coding sequences were 5,321. The protein coding CDSs were 5,241 and 102 RNA genes with 22 rRNA genes, 75 tRNA genes, and 5 non-coding RNA genes were present on the chromosome (Table 4). A total of 80 genes were predicted as pseudogenes because of missing C- or N-terminus or frameshift mutations.

| Features          | Value                                      |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Genome size (bp)  | 5,774,421                                  |
| GC content        | 61.07%                                     |
| Genes (total)     | 5,423                                      |
| CDS (total)       | 5,321                                      |
| Genes (coding)    | 5,241                                      |
| CDSs (with protein)| 5,241                                      |
| Genes (RNA)       | 102                                        |
| rRNAs             | 8, 7, 7 (5S, 16S, 23S)                     |
| complete rRNAs    | 8, 7, 7 (5S, 16S, 23S)                     |
| tRNAs             | 75                                         |
| ncRNAs            | 5                                          |
| Pseudo genes (total)| 80                                           |

The functional analysis of these genes using KEGG pathway database showed that they have an important role in various metabolic pathways including plant growth promotion, bioremediation of different toxic compounds, heavy metal and antimicrobial resistance and other abiotic stresses. Many
small proteins detected were also annotated as hypothetical proteins. The functional analysis of CDSs showed that they could be classified into 22 general COG categories including metabolism of carbohydrates, amino acids, lipids, transcription, energy, cofactors and vitamins, inorganic ions, signal transduction and cellular processes, glycan biosynthesis and metabolism, cell motility, translation, ribosomal biogenesis, DNA replication and repair, secondary metabolites, defense mechanisms and xenobiotics biodegradation (Table S6; Fig. 5A).

Whole genome sequence analysis also showed that there was one plasmid pBR-PH17 with 80360 bp size. A total of 97 genes were encoded by plasmid pBR-PH17. Functional analysis of these showed that 16% genes involved in xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism, 14% genes coded different proteins and enzymes which caused human diseases, 11% genes involved in genetic information processing, 9% genes coded proteins and enzymes involved in energy metabolism, 7% genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism, 6% genes involved in amino acid metabolism and 22% genes were unclassified (Table S7; Fig. 5B).

**Prediction of antimicrobial resistant proteins and enzymes from** *P. putida* BR-PH17

Functional analysis showed that antimicrobial genes including tetracycline, phenicol, beta-lactam, cationic antimicrobial peptide (CAMP), vancomycin, aminoglycoside, sulfonamide, trimethoprim, rifampin and multidrug drug resistance were encoded by chromosomal DNA while some antimicrobial genes are also encoded by plasmid DNA, e.g., tetracycline, aminoglycoside, sulfonamide, phenicol and beta-lactam (Table S8; Fig. 6A and 6B).

**Heavy metal resistance and bioremediation potential of** *P. putida* BR-PH17

Based on functional genome analysis of *P. putida* BR-PH17, different heavy metal determinants were identified. Heavy metal resistance genes such as cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), chromium (Cr), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), and mercury (Hg) were encoded by chromosomal DNA and lead, cadmium, copper, zinc, cobalt (Co) and manganese (Mn) resistance genes were encoded by plasmid DNA (Table S9; Fig. 6C and 6D).

**Identification of gene clusters involved in secondary metabolism of** *P. putida* BR-PH1

Genome annotation of *P. putida* BR-PH1 showed that a number of gene clusters including NAGGN (N-acetylglutaminylglutamine amide), RiPPs (ribosomally synthesized and post-translationally modified peptides), ranthi-peptide, phenazine, NRPS (non-ribosomal peptide synthetase) biosynthesis and redox-cofactors involved in secondary metabolism were identified in the genome of *P. putida* BR-PH1 which might be involved in plant growth improvement and biocontrol mechanisms (Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

In the recent years, microbial diversity analysis from the polluted environments is getting more attention due to the shortage of arable lands. Microbial communities associated with the plants growing under
influence of soil and water pollution have great biotechnological potential that can be utilized for the bioremediation and restoration of these contaminated lands (Doni et al. 2012; Mazinani et al. 2017; Sun et al. 2017). The current study was the report of microbial diversity associated with the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua*, and *B. reptans* growing in polluted areas near Lahore. Here, we also characterized these strains on the basis of their antimicrobial and heavy metal resistance potential.

Bacterial genera from the phylum Firmicutes such as *Bacillus, Virgibacillus, Marinococcus, Staphyloccocus, Exiguobacterium*, and *Enterococcus* were commonly identified from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua*, and *B. reptans*. Members of Firmicutes have more potential to survive under polluted environments as compared to other bacterial phyla. A number of previous studies have already reported that *Bacillus, Staphyloccocus* and *Enterococcus* were dominant bacterial genera identified from the root and shoot endosphere of various plant species including grasses, citrus plants and gymnosperms (Mwajita et al. 2013; Smith et al. 2015). *Bacilli* have a wide range of applications in agriculture, medicine, bioremediation of hazardous compounds and various industries (Kumar et al. 2011; Mukhtar et al. 2018; 2019a).

Bacterial genera such as *Pseudomonas, Marinobacter* and *Enterobacter* belonging to the phylum Proteobacteria also showed high abundance in the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of both plants. These bacterial strains have been identified from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of several plants growing in contaminated or other stress affected lands (Bodenhausen et al. 2013; Haroun et al. 2015). Bacterial strains related to *Kocuria* and *Nocardia* (Actinobacteria) were also identified and characterized in this study. These strains have the great potential of plant growth promotion and biocontrol of different plant diseases. Members of Actinobacteria produce a variety of antifungal and antibacterial compounds and play an important role in plant health and productivity (Miransari, 2013; Buedenbender et al. 2017; Zhao et al. 2018).

From the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of both plants, more than 40% of strains showed resistance against ampicillin, amoxicillin, and ciprofloxacin while more than 37% bacterial strains were resistant to amoxicillin, erythromycin, ciprofloxacin, and gentamicin. A number of previous studies also reported that microbial diversity from polluted environments such as contaminated soil, water and wastewater activated sludge samples showed a variety of antibiotics resistance bacterial strains (Balcom et al. 2016; Meyer et al. 2016). More than 60% strains from the rhizosphere and more than 50% of strains from the phyllosphere of both plants were able to tolerate up to 5 mM while only a few strains were able to grow at 10 mM of Cd, Pb and Cr. Overall, the phyllospheric strains showed more antibiotics and heavy resistance as compared to the rhizospheric strains. Mostly bacterial strains isolated from polluted environments have antibiotics and heavy metal resistance genes on their plasmids. These bacteria play a crucial role in the survival of host plants growing in contaminated lands (Qin et al. 2011; Schuffler and Kübler 2016).

Three strains from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua* and seven strains from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *B. reptans* showed antimicrobial activity at least against three pathogenic strains. Overall, from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua, Bacillus, Pseudomonas* and *Marinococcus*...
strains showed maximum antimicrobial activity against *E. cloacae* while from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *B. reptans*, *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* strains showed maximum antimicrobial potential against *K. oxytoca*. *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Vibrio* and *Enterobacter* are well known bacterial genera that have been studied as plant probiotic bacteria (Andreote et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2019). A number of *Bacillus* species have been characterized for their production of antibacterial and antifungal compounds and their role in the protection of plants against different diseases (Gupta et al. 2015; Hung et al. 2007; Ismail et al. 2016; Mukhtar et al. 2019b). Some previous studies also reported that members of Proteobacteria such as *Pseudomonas*, *Vibrio*, *Burkholderia*, *Klebsiella*, and *Enterobacter* showed antimicrobial activity against different pathogenic bacterial and fungal strains (Mazinani et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018; Zhao et al. 2018).

The functional analysis of *P. putida* BR-PH17 genome showed that 5241 protein coding sequences were predicted with a large number of small proteins annotated as hypothetical proteins. The genes involved in the metabolism of carbohydrates, amino acids, lipids, transcription, energy, cofactors and vitamins, inorganic ions, glycan biosynthesis and metabolism, DNA replication and repair, signal transduction and cellular processes, cell motility, translation, ribosomal biogenesis, secondary metabolites, defense mechanisms and xenobiotics biodegradation were mainly identified through KEGG pathways analysis. These proteins and enzymes were previously reported in genome analysis of a number of *P. putida* strains (Molina et al. 2014; Chong et al. 2016; Rodríguez-Rojas et al. 2016).

A distinctive characteristic of *P. putida* BR-PH17 was its resistance against various antibiotics and heavy metals as compared to other *Pseudomonas* strains. The results showed that it was highly resistant against tetracycline, phenicol, beta-lactam, cationic antimicrobial peptide (CAMP), vancomycin, aminoglycoside, sulfonamide, trimethoprim, rifampin and multidrug drugs. A number of previous studies showed that most of the *Pseudomonas* strains showed resistance to different antibiotics, such as streptomycin, penicillin, tetracycline, kanamycin, vancomycin, erythromycin and chloramphenicol (Irawati et al. 2016; Mukhtar et al. 2019b; Wang et al. 2018). Several gene clusters involved in secondary metabolism, e.g., NAGGN, RiPPs, ranthi-peptide, phenazine, NRPS biosynthesis and redox-cofactors were also identified in the genome of *P. putida* BR-PH17. These gene clusters have also been reported by some previous studies on the genome sequence analysis of Pseudomonas strains isolated from different polluted environments (Kang et al. 2020; Singh et al. 2021). Analysis of *P. putida* BR-PH17 genome revealed the presence of various metal resistance genes such as, Cd, Pb, Cr, Zn, Cu, Ni, Hg, Mn and Co. Several studies have previously reported the different mechanisms for heavy metal tolerance in *Pseudomonads* (Chong et al. 2016; Mukhtar et al. 2019b; Schuffler and Kübler 2016).

**Conclusion**

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first report about comparative analysis of microbial diversity from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua* and *B. reptans* collected from polluted sites of Kala-Shah Kaku industrial area near Lahore. *Bacillus*, *Staphylococcus*, *Pseudomonas* and *Enterobacter* were the dominating bacterial genera identified from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of *A. fatua* and
Bacillus, Marinobacter, Pseudomonas, Enterobacter and Kocuria were the dominating bacterial genera identified from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of B. reptans. The bacterial strains identified in this study also showed great potential for heavy metal resistance and antimicrobial activity against different pathogenic bacterial strains. From the results of genome sequence analysis, it was confirmed that P. putida BR-PH17 had various antimicrobial resistance genes such as, tetracycline, beta-lactam, cationic antimicrobial peptide (CAMP), aminoglycoside, vancomycin, sulfonamide, and rifampin and metal resistance genes such as, Cd, Pb, Cr, Zn, Cu, Ni, Hg, Mn and Co. Bacterial strains characterized from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of plants growing in polluted areas can be utilized as a new source of antibiotics that might be used as promising antifungal and antibacterial drugs against different diseases.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences of bacterial isolates associated with from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of A. fatua (A) and B. reptans (B). The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1,000 replicates) is shown next to the branches.
Figure 2

Heavy metal resistance profile of bacterial strains isolated from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of A. fatua and B. reptans, (A) Cadmium (Cd), (B) Lead (Pb) and (C) Chromium (Cr) with 2, 5, 7.5 and 10 mM concentrations.
Antimicrobial activity of bacterial strains isolated from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of A. fatua and B. reptans using drop test method against pathogenic bacterial strains, (A) B. cereus, (B) S. aureus, (C) P. aeruginosa, (D) E. coli, (E) K. oxytoca, and (F) E. cloacae
Figure 4

Circular genome of *P. putida* BR-PH17. From the outside in, the circles 1 and 2 represent the coding regions with different COG categories, circle 3 represents mean centered G+C content (bars facing outside-above mean, bars facing inside-below mean) and circle 4 shows GC skew \((G2C)/(G+C)\). GC content and GC skew were calculated using a 10-kb window in steps of 300 bp.
Figure 5

Functional analysis of P. putida BR-PH17 chromosomal (A) and plasmid pBR-PH17 (B) encoded genes by using KEGG metabolic pathways
Figure 6

Prediction of antimicrobial (A and B) and heavy metal resistance genes (C and D) in the genome of P. putida BR-PH17 through KEGG metabolic pathways
Figure 7

Identification of gene cluster involved in secondary metabolism in the genome of P. putida BR-PH17 by using antiSMASH software
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