Protective Effect of Vitis vinifera (Black Grape) Seed Extract and Oil on Acetic Acid-Induced Colitis in Rats

Abstract

Background: Vitis vinifera (black grape) is cultivated worldwide and has numerous oral and therapeutic applications. It has proven anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antimicrobial, and wound healing properties. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of black grape seed (hydroalcoholic) extract (BGSE) and black grape seed oil (BGSO) on experimental colitis. Methods: BGSE (50, 100, and 200 mg/kg) and BGSO (2, 4, and 8 mL/kg) were administered orally (p.o.) in groups of six male Wistar rats, 2 h before induction of colitis and continued further for 4 days. Prednisolone (4 mg/kg) and mesalamine (100 mg/kg) were used as reference drugs. Weight/length of colons, macroscopic and histopathologic indices, and biochemical parameters including myeloperoxidase (MPO) and malondialdehyde (MDA) were evaluated. Results: All doses of BGSE and BGSO significantly decreased the colon weight, ulcer index, and total colitis index in comparison with the control group, although greater doses of both fractions had more significant protection. Data of MPO activity revealed that all treated groups with the exception of BGSE (50 mg/kg) and BGSO (2 mL/kg) showed a meaningful decline in comparison with the control group. Concerning the MDA values in colonic tissue, it was demonstrated that BGSE (100, 200 mg/kg) and BGSO (8 mL/kg) caused a significant dip in this oxidative stress parameter. Conclusions: Oral administration of BGSE and BGSO had an appropriate anti-inflammatory effect and so could be considered as a suitable candidate for treating or preventing ulcerative colitis. Furthermore, detailed studies are warranted to explore the exact mechanism of action and clinical preference of these compounds.
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Introduction

Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) are two types of idiopathic inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) which potentially affects the gastrointestinal tract. UC and CD cause diffuse and superficial inflammation mostly in the colon and rectum area.[1,2] Some factors such as genetics, bacterial infections, environmental, and immune system abnormalities are known as risk factors for UC. Medical treatments in UC may induce and maintain remission periods; however, none of them is curative.[3,4] Aminosalicylates (5-ASA), corticosteroids, azathioprine, mercaptopurine, cyclosporine A, adalimumab, and infliximab are evaluated in this regard. These agents have specific adverse effects that can restrict their application. Headache, visual disturbances, hepatotoxicity, osteoporosis, hypertension, infection, leukopenia, rheumatoid arthritis, and life-threatening effects are among the common adverse effects.[5-7] Based on the mentioned problems and growing incidence rate of UC, it is necessary to try natural and traditional products to find better medications.[8] Vitis vinifera (grape, black royal cultivar, Vitaceae) is one of the oldest fruits indigenous to Asia, Europe, and North America. This plant, botanically a berry, grows in a climbing inosculated pattern.[9] Grapes have different types and species and are cultivated for various uses. The most important ingredients in the grapes are anthocyanins (malvidin, cyanidin, and peonidin), flavonols (quercetin, catechin, and epicatechin), polyphenols, stilbenes (resveratrol), carbohydrates, salts (calcium, magnesium, potassium, and iron), various vitamins (A, D, C, and E), and saturated or unsaturated fats (in seeds).[10-14] The fruit has high nutritional value and all parts of this plant such as fruits, stems, leaves, and seeds have numerous pharmacological effects.[15] For example, the extract of its stems has antimicrobial and antioxidant effects.
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properties.\textsuperscript{[16]} Its fruit extract has a cytotoxic effect on colon cancer cells because of the presence of proanthocyanidin and resveratrol.\textsuperscript{[17,18]} In addition, it is effective in preventing chronic nontransmissible diseases such as diabetes and cardiovascular disorders.\textsuperscript{[19–21]} Grape seeds contain about 8 to 20\% oil, which varies in different species along with compounds such as phenolic compounds, flavonoids, phenolic acids, resveratrol, unsaturated fatty acids, phytosterols, and vitamin E, almost all which could be responsible for the nutritional benefits and therapeutic effects of this oil.\textsuperscript{[22]} The results of previous studies have indicated the anti-inflammatory effect of grape seeds through the presence of the abovementioned compounds.\textsuperscript{[23]} Some other pharmacological activities including neuroprotection, hepatoprotection, wound healing, and anti-seizure activity, especially due to its seeds have been reported.\textsuperscript{[24–29]} According to these properties, grape seeds might be useful in the treatment of ulcerative colitis. Hence, the aim of this study was to evaluate the anti-inflammatory and anti-ulcerative effects of \textit{Vitis vinifera} (black grape) seed extracts (BGSE) and oil (BGSO) in experimental colitis in rats.

\textbf{Methods}

\textbf{Preparation of grape seed extract and oil}

Grapefruits (black royal cultivar) were purchased from a trusted fruit shop in Tehran, Iran and its genus, variety, and cultivar were authenticated by one of the botanists in Isfahan University of Medical Sciences. Grape seeds were dried and completely powdered. Later, the hydroalcoholic extract was prepared by macerating 135 g of finely powdered seeds mixed in 374 mL of EtOH/H$_2$O (80:20) and the mixture was shaken and filtered thrice during 3 consecutive days to make full extraction. On the fourth day, the final extract was dried in a rotary evaporator and then freeze-dried to achieve 8.15\% w/w. The total polyphenolic content (TPC) was determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu method as described by Postescu \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{[30]} TPC for the grape seeds extract was determined as 5.8 mg gallic acid equivalent (GAEq)/g. Alternatively, pure grape seeds oil (Black or red Shiraz cultivar, cultivated in Fars Province) was procured from a local traditional market in Isfahan. It was absolutely natural and without water or cholesterol impurity as indicated.

\textbf{Drugs and chemicals}

Prednisolone and mesalamine powders were purchased from Iran Hormone Company (Tehran, Iran). o-dianisidine dihydrochloride (ODD) and hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (HTAB) were purchased from Sigma Company (St. Louis, USA). Ethanol, diethyl ether oxide, glacial acetic acid, and formaldehyde were purchased from Merck Company (Darmstadt, Germany). Normal saline was purchased from Shahid-Ghazi Company (Tabriz, Iran).

\textbf{Animals}

In this study, 60 male Wistar rats weighing 200 ± 20 g and grown up in the animal house of School of Pharmacy, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran were used. All animals were treated in a controlled area under appropriate light, humidity, temperature, and nutrition conditions. They were kept in standard cages and fed with special pelleted diet and tap water. They were acclimatized to the laboratory conditions for a week before the start of the experiment. The Ethics Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences approved the protocol of the current study (IR.MUI.RESEARCH.REC.1397.201).

\textbf{Experimental design}

All 60 rats were randomly divided into ten groups (six rats in each group) as below:

- Group 1: Sham (normal) received oral normal saline/Tween as a vehicle that was given 2 h prior to instillation of normal saline in the rectum.
- Group 2: Colitis induced (negative control), normal saline/Tween as vehicle administered by gavage 2 h prior to instillation of acetic acid for induction of colitis in the rectum and continued for 4 days on a daily basis.
- Groups 3, 4, and 5: Three doses of BGSE (50, 100, and 200 mg/kg) administered by gavage 2 h prior to instillation of acetic acid for induction of colitis in the rectum and continued for 4 days on a daily basis.
- Groups 6, 7, and 8: Three doses of BGSO (2, 4, and 8 mL/kg) administered by gavage 2 h prior to instillation of acetic acid for induction of colitis in the rectum and continued for 4 days on a daily basis.
- Group 9 and 10: Reference (positive control), prednisolone (4 mg/kg), or mesalamine (100 mg/kg) administered by gavage 2 h prior to instillation of acetic acid for induction of colitis in the rectum and continued for 4 days on a daily basis.

For conserving the uniformity of prepared dosage, 2–3 drops of Tween 80 (dispersing agent) was added to all serving doses of extracts. Before the induction of colitis, animals have fasted for 24 h. Rats were kept under CO$_2$ anesthesia and a catheter with 2 mm diameter and 8 cm length was used for enemas of 2 mL acetic acid 3\%.\textsuperscript{[33]}

\textbf{Evaluation of macroscopic features of colonic tissue}

The animals were sacrificed 24 h after the last day of treatment (day 5) via inhaled CO$_2$ overdose. The last 8 cm of each colon (3 cm apart from the anus) was removed, opened longitudinally, washed with normal saline, and the wet weight of the specimen was measured. The tissue was fixed on a white surface and a photo required for macroscopic evaluations was captured with a camera.

\textbf{Evaluation of macroscopic features of colonic tissue}

The animals were sacrificed 24 h after the last day of treatment (day 5) via inhaled CO$_2$ overdose. The last 8 cm of each colon (3 cm apart from the anus) was removed, opened longitudinally, washed with normal saline, and the wet weight of the specimen was measured. The tissue was fixed on a white surface and a photo required for macroscopic evaluations was captured with a camera.
and ulcer area assessed by Fiji-win 32 software. Ulcer severity was scored as 0: no ulcer; 1: inflammation, edema, thickness, and superficial erosion; 2: bleeding, hemorrhage, and definite ulcer; 3: deep ulcers, necrosis, and/or perforation. Ulcer index was also calculated by summing the ulcer area and ulcer severity. After the macroscopic assessment, tissue specimens were divided into two equal parts. One of them was preserved in formalin 10% for histopathologic tests and the other specimen was stored in the freezer (-70°C) for two biochemical tests.

For histopathologic studies, prepared colon tissues were fixed, paraffin-embedded, processed, and sectioned in 4 mm thick layers. Then, they were sequentially deparaffinized with xylene, hydrated with ethanol, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H and E). Inflammation severity (0: none, 1: slight, 2: moderate, 3: severe), inflammation extent (0: none, 1: mucosa, 2: mucosa and submucosa, 3: transmural), crypt damage (0: none, 1: basal 1/3 damaged, 2: basal 2/3 damaged, 3: surface epithelium intact only), and leukocyte infiltration (0: trace, 1: mild, 2: moderated, 3: severe) were evaluated in H and E stained samples. The total colitis index was calculated by summing the abovementioned scores. Histopathological evaluations were carried out by using a Zeiss microscope equipped with a color video camera (Sony, Japan) for digital imaging.

Evaluation of myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity
MPO activity was determined as an index for leukocyte migration and infiltration within the tissue was measured according to the method described by Motavallian et al. About 0.1 g of colon specimens were homogenized with 5 mL of potassium buffer (pH, 6) and 0.5% HTAB. Then, the homogenate was sonicated for 10 s in an ice bath and the suspension was centrifuged (4000 rpm). The supernatant in the volume of 0.1 mL and 2.9 mL of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH = 6) was mixed containing 0.167 mg/mL ODD and 0.0005% hydrogen peroxide. After incubation, the absorbance was measured at 450 nm using UV-Vis spectrophotometer (LSI Model Alfa-1502) at 0 and 3 min interval. MPO activity was reported as U/g for the weight of wet colon tissue.

Evaluation of malondialdehyde (MDA) content of colonic tissue
To measure the amount of MDA as an index of lipid peroxidation, 1 mL of potassium chloride 1.15% w/v was added to 0.1 g of colonic tissue, and then samples were homogenized and centrifuged (1200 rpm for 10 min). The supernatant was separated, centrifuged (3000 rpm for 15 min), and the absorbance was measured at 532 nm. All the process for assessing the activity of MDA was done with Navand assay kit (Navandsalamanat, Iran) according to the company package insert instruction.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (Version 23, Chicago, IL, USA). All parametric data were expressed as mean ± SEM and group differences were measured by parametric one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s HSD Post hoc. Nonparametric (scoring) data were expressed as median (range) and were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U-test. For all tests, \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

Results
Effect of RGSE and RGSO on macroscopic parameters
On the basis of analyzed data, there were no changes in the Sham (normal) group and it was understood that handling and surgical procedure had no effect on the results of this experiment. In all groups which were treated with BGSE and BGSO; ulcer index and wet weight of colon (mg) diminished significantly in comparison with the control group (\( P < 0.05 \)). The exception was BGSO (2 mL/kg) which represented a significant effect on colon weight only (\( P < 0.05 \)). Ulcer area, ulcer score, ulcer index, and wet weight of colon of reference groups (prednisolone and mesalamine) were also attenuated significantly (\( P < 0.001 \)) [Table 1 and Figure 1].

Effect of BGSE and BGSO on microscopic parameters
In the control group, edema, hemorrhage, inflammation, crypt damage, and leukocyte infiltration in colon tissue were at the maximum level due to the induction of colitis by acetic acid and no treatment [Table 2]. In all groups treated with BGSE and BGSO with the exception of BGSE (50 mg/kg) and BGSO (2 mL/kg),
total colitis index was significantly reduced compared to control group (at least \( P < 0.01 \)). In groups treated with reference drugs (prednisolone and mesalamine), pathologic parameters and total colitis index were significantly reduced in comparison with the control group (\( P < 0.001 \)) [Table 2 and Figure 2].

### Table 1: Effect of BGSE and BGSO on macroscopic parameters of colitis induced by acetic acid in rats

| Groups/Dose | Ulcer severity (0-3) | Ulcer area cm\(^2\) | Ulcer index (0-11) | Colon weight (mg) |
|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Sham        | 0.0 (0-0)            | 0.0±0.0             | 0.0±0.0            | 79.8±5.1          |
| Control (colitis) | 3.0 (3-3)      | 5.95±0.23           | 8.95±0.23          | 250.8±7.4        |
| BGSE 50     | 3.0 (2-3)           | 4.60±0.55**         | 7.10±0.87*         | 181.4±18.9***    |
| BGSE 100    | 2.0 (2-3)*          | 1.13±0.16***        | 2.80±0.4***        | 139.2±8.1***     |
| BGSE 200    | 1.0 (0-2)***        | 0.37±0.08***        | 1.20±0.34***       | 110.0±10.9***    |
| BGSO 2      | 3.0 (2-3)           | 5.16±0.57           | 8.00±0.67          | 189.2±29.7*      |
| BGSO 4      | 2.0 (2-3)*          | 3.28±0.44***        | 5.45±0.7***        | 122.3±17.8***    |
| BGSO 8      | 2.0 (1-3)***        | 1.72±0.0.18***      | 3.05±0.3***        | 118.1±11.4***    |
| Prednisolone 4 | 1.0 (1-3)***  | 0.24±0.02***        | 0.74±0.2***        | 89.6±2.2***      |
| Mesalamine 100 | 1.0 (1-2)***   | 0.31±0.02***        | 0.98±0.2***        | 98.8±6.0***      |

Sham: Normal rats received normal saline/Tween (5 mL/kg/day), Control: Rats with colitis received normal saline/Tween (5 mL/kg/day), BGSE: Black grape seeds extract (50, 100, 200 mg/kg), BGSO: Black grape seeds oil (2, 4, 8 mL/kg). Data are expressed as mean±SEM or median (range) for scoring parameters, \( n = 6 \). *\( P < 0.05 \), **\( P < 0.01 \), ***\( P < 0.001 \), indicate significant difference versus control. \#\( P < 0.05 \), indicate significant difference versus previous lower dose.

### Table 2: Effect of BGSE and BGSO on macroscopic parameters of colitis induced by acetic acid in rats

| Groups/Dose | Inflammation severity (0-3) | Inflammation extent (0-3) | Leukocyte infiltration (0-3) | Crypt damage (0-4) | Total colitis index (0-10) |
|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Sham        | 0 (0-0)                    | 0 (0-0)                   | 0 (0-0)                       | 0 (0-0)            | 0 (0-0)                   |
| Control (colitis) | 3.0 (3-3)     | 3.0 (2-3)                 | 3.0 (2-3)                     | 4.0 (3-4)          | 12.0 (11-13)              |
| BGSE 50     | 3.0 (1-3)                  | 3.0 (1-3)                 | 3.0 (2-3)                     | 2.0 (2-3)          | 10 (6-13)                 |
| BGSE 100    | 2.0 (1-2)**                | 1.0 (1-3)**               | 2.0 (1-3)**                   | 2.0 (1-3)**        | 7.0 (5-11)**              |
| BGSE 200    | 1.5 (0-2)**                | 1.0 (0-1)**               | 1.0 (0-2)**                   | 1.0 (0-2)**        | 4.5 (2-5)**               |
| BGSO 2      | 2.0 (2-3)*                 | 3 (2-3)                   | 3 (2-3)                       | 3 (2-4)            | 11.0 (8-13)               |
| BGSO4       | 1.5 (1-3)**                | 2.0 (1-2)*                | 2.0 (1-3)                     | 2.5 (2-4)*         | 8.0 (6-12)**              |
| BGSO 8      | 1.5 (1-2)**                | 2.0 (1-2)**               | 1.0 (1-2)**                   | 1 (1-2)**          | 5.5 (4-6)**               |
| Prednisolone 4 | 1.0 (0-1)**     | 1.0 (0-1)**               | 1.0 (0-1)**                   | 1.0 (0-2)**        | 4.0 (2-3)**               |
| Mesalamine 100 | 0.5 (0-1)**   | 1.0 (0-1)**               | 1.0 (0-1)**                   | 1.0 (0-2)**        | 3.5 (0-5)**               |

Sham: Normal rats received normal saline/Tween (5 mL/kg/day), Control: rats with colitis received normal saline/Tween (5 mL/kg/day), BGSE: Black grape seeds extract (50, 100, 200 mg/kg), BGSO: Black grape seeds oil (2, 4, and 8 mL/kg). Data are expressed as median (range) for scoring parameters, \( n = 6 \). *\( P < 0.05 \), **\( P < 0.01 \), ***\( P < 0.001 \), indicate significant difference versus control. \#\( P < 0.05 \) indicate significant difference versus previous lower dose.

**Figure 2:** Macroscopic illustration of colonic tissue in rats. (a) Normal colon treated with normal saline/Tween (5 mL/kg); (b) Control colitis treated with normal saline/Tween (5 mL/kg); black arrows presents damaged crypts, mucosal and submucosal layers, and leucocyte infiltration (c) Colitis treated with BGSE (200 mg/kg); (d) Colitis treated with BGSO (8 mg/kg); (e) colitis treated with prednisolone (4 mg/kg); (f) colitis treated with mesalamine (100 mg/kg)
MPO activity measurements

Results of this experiment revealed that MPO activity in groups treated with BGSE (100, 200 mg/kg) and BGSO (4, 8 mL/kg) decreased significantly ($P < 0.01$). The groups treated with BGSO (2 mL/kg) and BGSE (50 mg/kg) did not show a significant dip in MPO activity in a significant manner ($P > 0.05$). Prednisolone and mesalamine as expected were effective in reducing MPO activity in related tissues ($P < 0.001$) [Figure 3].

MDA content measurements

MDA content of colonic tissues treated with BGSE (100, 200 mg/kg) and BGSO (8 mL/kg) decreased significantly (at least $P < 0.01$) but decline in MDA content in BGSE (50 mg/kg) and BGSO (2, 4 mL/kg) groups was not meaningful ($P > 0.05$). MDA values in reference groups represented a significant reduction in both groups though attenuation in mesalamine group was somewhat more prominent than prednisolone [Figure 4].

Discussion

The macroscopic, histopathological, and biochemical results of this experiment specified that BGSE and BGSO had protective action on acute acetic acid-induced colitis in rats. Our findings indicated an increase in colon weight, edema, mucosa and submucosa inflammation, tissue necrosis, and leukocyte infiltration in the control group as a result of rectal induction of acute colitis by acetic acid.$^{[3]}$ Besides, biochemical results such as MPO and MDA activity supported macroscopic and microscopic data of control and treated groups which can be caused by oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation.$^{[38,39]}$ Data evaluation revealed that almost all doses of BGSE and BGSO with the exception of BGSE (50 mg/kg) and BGSO (2 mL/kg) could meaningfully decrease ulcer index and weight of colon as indices of the macroscopic parameter. On the other hand, total colitis index in all groups treated with BGSE and BGSO except BGSE (50 mg/kg) and BGSO (2 mL/kg) showed a significant reduction. In biochemical investigations, MPO activity in all groups of GSE and GSO except GSE (50 mg/kg) was reduced significantly in comparison with control group and MDA activity was decreased in GSE (100, 200 mg/kg) and GSO (8 mg/kg); however, GSE (50 mg/kg) and GSO (2, 4 mL/kg) groups could not decrease MDA content meaningfully. Concerning all assessed indices of colitis, it was revealed that GSE (200 mg/kg) and GSO (8 mL/kg) were more effective than other test doses so active components of both black grape seed extract and oil are more active in higher doses and a dose-related effect could be considered for both fractions. The treatments were made orally and the results were promising in colitis alleviation so it could be deduced that active ingredients of BGSE and BGSO were likely similar and had reasonable bioavailability in this route of administration. Though it is likely that some of the active compounds in examined extracts have poor bioavailability they could reach into the colon through the gut lumen and exert a kind of targeted local delivery like Pentasa or Asacol.$^{[5]}$ As mentioned earlier, the high similarity of the compounds present in the hydroalcoholic extract and grape seed oil may explain the relatively similar effects of these two fractions on ulcerative colitis.

Anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effect of black grape seeds was proved in different studies which were due to a reduction in inflammatory mediators like IL6, TNF$\alpha$, and NF-$\kappa$B.$^{[11,25]}$ The most likely compounds responsible for these effects are phenolic compounds that are among the most highlighted secondary metabolites found in many plants. Grape seed extracts had a significant effect...
on surgery wound healing because of the presence of proanthocyanidins and flavonoids that have antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties.\textsuperscript{[3,41]} In a study by Filocamo \textit{et al.}, the anti-microbial effect of grape juice extract was proved on gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria and phenolic compounds were introduced as responsible ingredients. This effect is valuable because harmful microorganisms could be one of ulcerative colitis risk factors especially when abscesses and fistula are suppurative complications.\textsuperscript{[40]} Resveratrol which is responsible for many beneficial effects of grape is reported to have an anti-inflammatory effect in acute small intestinal inflammation in a murine model by reducing inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-\(\alpha\), IL-6, IL-8, and COX-2 which has a key role in ulcerative colitis pathogenesis.\textsuperscript{[3,41]} As mentioned above, flavonols (rutin, quercetin, myricetin, catechin, and epicatechin) found in grape had anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, neuroprotectant, and hepatoprotectant properties.\textsuperscript{[9]} Malvidin, one of the grape anthocyanidins, inhibited human macrophage-derived inflammation in arthritic rats which was further reported to have an inhibitory effect on the secretion of TNF-\(\alpha\), IL1, IL6, and TNF\(\alpha\) and iNOS.\textsuperscript{[13]} Phenolic compounds such as flavonoids, resveratrol, and anthocyanidins are the most likely components of black grape seed extracts which might have beneficial effects on colitis remission.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, black grape seed oil and hydroalcoholic extract had protective and preventive effects on the acute model of experimental ulcerative colitis after oral administration and this activity was greatly dose-related. Although Phenolic compounds with anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antiulcer, and antimicrobial actions are most likely the accountable agents for this activity, further detailed experiments are required.
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