The Effects of Chinese Medicine QRD, Antibiotics, and Probiotics on Therapy and Gut Microbiota in Septic Rats
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Sepsis is a common and often treacherous medical emergency with a high mortality and long-term complications in survivors. Though antibiotic therapy can reduce death rate of sepsis significantly, it impairs gut microbiota (GM), which play imperative roles in human health. In this study, we compared the therapeutic effects of antibiotics, probiotics, and Chinese medicine QRD on the survival rates of septic model and observed the GM characteristics of experimental rats via 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. The 72 h survival rates of septic rat demonstrated the significant therapeutic effects in the three groups treated with antibiotics (AT), Chinese medicine QRD (QT), and probiotics (PT), which were elevated from the survival rate of 26.67% for the sepsis control group (ST) to 100.0% for AT, 88.24% for QT, and 58.33% for PT. The original characteristics of GM identified in the sham operation controls (SC) were relatively similar to those in PT and QT; nevertheless, the AT rats were shown dramatically decreased in the GM diversity. In addition, the septic rats in AT were revealed the higher abundances of Escherichia, Shigella, Proteus, Morganella, Enterococcus, and Lysinibacillus, but the lower those of Parabacteroides, Alistipes, Desulfovibrio, Bacteroides, Helicobacter, Mucispirillum, Oscillibacter, Lachnospiraceae, and Ruminiclostridium 9, when compared to the PT and QT rats. By contrast, the GM of PT and QT rats shared similar diversity and structure. Our findings indicated that QRD increased the survival rates without impairment of the GM characteristics, which provides novel insights into the role of Chinese medicine in therapy and long-term recovery of sepsis.
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INTRODUCTION

Sepsis is a life-threatening syndrome caused by dysregulated host responses to infection and can result in tissue damage, organ failure, and even death (Kim and Choi, 2020). In the United States, the cost of sepsis management ranks the top of the hospitalization charges among all diseases (Paoli et al., 2018). Though onset cases are increasingly reported, the mortality of sepsis decreased significantly due to in-time therapy. Nevertheless, it is clearly recognized that the survivals of sepsis suffer from a long period of physical, psychological, and cognitive disabilities, along with progressively declining life quality and increasing death risk (Ceconi et al., 2018; Barichello et al., 2019).

GM has been considerably noticed due to its roles on intact gut barrier and host physiological health, which is associated with sepsis pathogenesis and prognosis. An increasing number of studies revealed sepsis-associated GM dysbiosis, which might contribute to the development of organ failure (Haak and Wiersinga, 2017; Coopersmith et al., 2018; Haak et al., 2018). Taft et al. also observed GM imbalance in preterm infants with late-onset (LOS) sepsis (Taft et al., 2015). In addition, Prescott group demonstrated that GM imbalance increased the risk of sepsis (Prescott et al., 2015). Antibiotic therapy, which is one of the most important agents in the septic management, can impair GM significantly and then influence the long-term prognosis (Ojima et al., 2016; Haak et al., 2018).

The Chinese medicine Qing Re Jie Du Fang Decoction (QRD), composed of Dahuang (Rheum palmatum L.), Huangqin (Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi), Baitouweng (Pulsatilla chinensis Regel), and Baijiangcao (Patrinia scabiosaeefolia), has been broadly used for heat-clearing and detoxification according to Medical Treasures of the Golden Chamber. In addition, QRD is commonly prescribed to treat the scabiosaefolia previously described (Liu et al., 2013a). In this study, all the male rats with 8–9 weeks age were randomly allotted into five groups: sham operation control (SC, n=12); septic rats without treatment (ST, n=30); septic rats receiving antibiotics (AT, n=12); septic rats with probiotics (PT, n=24), and septic rats receiving QRD (QT, n=17). Then the sham operation or CLP (celiac ligation and puncture) was performed according to the experimental requirement for each group. Rats in SC and ST were given sterile water in 12 ml/kg, while the AT, PT, and QT groups were treated by the cefaclor sustained-release capsules (100 mg/kg, Yangtze River Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.) and metronidazole (120 mg/kg, Tianjin Pacific Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.), probiotics (400 mg/kg, Golden Bifid, Inner Mongolia Shuangsi Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.), and QRD in the dose of 24 g/kg crude drugs, respectively. The QRD prescription consisted of Rheum palmatum L. for laxative, Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi for clearing dampness and purging fire, Pulsatilla chinensis Regel for stopping dysentery, and Patrinia scabiosaeefolia for discharging pus and eliminating congestion; the four herbs all have the therapeutic properties of clearing heat and detoxification. The QRD reagent consisted of the four components in the ratio of 2:2:3:3 and was made into 2 g/ml crude drug according to previous studies (Liu et al., 2013b). Rats in AT, PT, and QT group received treatment once a day for 3 days, while sterile water was given to the rats in SC and ST group.

Survival rate was the ratio of the number of survived rats after 72 h to total number of rats in each group.

Sample Collection

The contents of cecum base were collected from the dying rats of ST group and the survivors of the other groups; the latter were killed by cervical dislocation after 72 h of CLP surgery, then the 72 h survival rates of the five groups were recorded. Microbial samples were collected by squeezing the feces out of the cecum, and placed in prelabeled sterile conical tubes and then immediately stored at −80°C for further processing.

Genomic DNA Extraction and 16S rRNA Gene Amplicon Sequencing

Ten samples from each group were randomly chosen to conduct sequencing, which were respectively labeled Z1~Z10 for group SC, S1~S10 for ST, P1~P10 for AT, Y1~Y10 for PT, and D1~D10 for QT. Microbial DNAs were extracted from the cecum contents by TIANamp Stool DNA Kit (TIANGEN BIOTECH CO., LTD, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The V3 hypervariable regions of 16S rRNA gene were then amplified using the pair of forward (341F 5'-CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3’) and reverse primer (518R 5’-ATTACCGCGGTCTGCTGG-3’), and sequenced by Ion Torrent PGM platform (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Raw data have been uploaded into NCBI database (accession number is PRJNA764854).

Statistical Analysis and Visualization

Filtered reads, produced by FASTX Toolkit (version 0.0.13), were further processed by QIIME: connected into tags and then clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with 97% similarity. The representative OTUs were aligned to the SLIVA reference database (Release123) for analyzing bacterial
components in each sample. The calculation of Shannon index and non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS), which represent $\alpha$-diversity and $\beta$-diversity of GM, respectively, were analyzed using the “Vegan” package in R (version 3.6.3). Wilcoxon rank-sum test was utilized to analyze intergroup differences, which were adjusted by the false discovery rate (FDR). All graphs were depicted through R software (version 3.6.3).

RESULTS

Survival Rate in Each Group
All rats in the SC group survived, and survival rate of septic rats in the ST group was 26.67% (Table 1). The three therapies significantly increased the survival rates of septic rats when compared to the ST group ($P<0.05$): 100% for AT group, 58.33% for PT, and 88.24% for QT (Table 1). In addition, the survival rate of PT rats was significantly lower than those of AT and QT ($P<0.05$), while an insignificant difference occurred between AT and QT group ($P=0.335$) (Table 1).

Sham Operation Control and Septic Rats Harbor Different GM
Data evaluation indicated qualified sequencing reads for bacterial classification (Table 2 and Supplementary Table S1). Analysis results showed no significant difference of GM diversity between SC and ST (Figure 1A). Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analysis also indicated that the microbial samples in SC and ST were clustered together (Figure 1B). As GM components, the relative abundances of four phyla were statistically different between the two groups (Figures 2A, B): Deferribacteres (SC versus ST, 0.21 ± 0.21% versus 1.18 ± 1.24%, $P<0.01$, FDR <0.01), Proteobacteria (6.23 ± 2.21% versus 15.59 ± 9.68%, $P<0.01$, FDR <0.01), Bacteroidetes (36.98 ± 8.98% versus 50.86 ± 9.17%, $P<0.01$, FDR <0.01), and Firmicutes (53.37 ± 8.85% versus 29.26 ± 11.78%, $P<0.001$, FDR <0.01).

At genus level, eight of the top 10 abundant genera were differentially enriched in the two groups. Compared to the SC, the ST rats had higher abundances of Mucispirillum (1.14 ± 1.19%, $P<0.01$, FDR <0.05), Rikenellaceae RC9 gut group (1.31 ± 0.45% versus 4.97 ± 7.61%, $P<0.01$, FDR <0.01), and Escherichia Shigella (4.97 ± 7.61%, $P<0.01$, FDR <0.01).
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**FIGURE 1** | Microbiota diversity and Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) distribution in five groups. (A) The microbial diversity in the AT group was significantly lower than that in the PT and QT groups. (B) NMDS analysis, the gut microbiota samples from AT group showed an individual feature and separated far from that of the other groups, while the other groups shared partly with each other. **, and *** stand for the FDR-value <0.01, and <0.001, respectively.

### Table 1 | Survival rate in each group.

| Group | Survival | Death | Total | Survival rats |
|-------|----------|-------|-------|---------------|
| SC    | 12       | 0     | 12    | 100.00%       |
| ST    | 8        | 2     | 30    | 26.67%        |
| AT    | 12       | 0     | 12    | 100.00%       |
| PT    | 14       | 10    | 24    | 58.33%        |
| QT    | 15       | 2     | 17    | 88.24%        |

The survival rates were compared between each treated group (AT, PT, and QT) and untreated control group (ST), and every two treated groups using Fisher’s exact probability (one-tailed probability). ST compared to AT, $P=0.000$; ST compared to PT, $P=0.019$; ST compared to QT, $P=0.000$; AT compared to PT, $P=0.008$; AT compared to QT, $P=0.033$; PT compared to QT, $P=0.039$.

Obvious differences were accepted at $p$ values of <0.05.

### Table 2 | Assessment of sequencing data.

| Group | Tag (Range) | OTU (Range) | Genus no. |
|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| SC    | 69,857 ± 61,833 | 719 ± 78 | 53 ± 1 |
| ST    | 109,308 ± 29,420 | 723 ± 57 | 48 ± 11 |
| AT    | 99,363 ± 22,801 | 561 ± 58 | 36 ± 10 |
| PT    | 45,279 ± 56,213 | 529 ± 119 | 46 ± 6 |
| QT    | 38,101 ± 51,098 | 548 ± 96 | 53 ± 8 |

50.86 ± 9.17%, $P<0.01$, FDR <0.01, and Firmicutes (53.37 ± 8.85% versus 29.26 ± 11.78%, $P<0.001$, FDR <0.01).
Helicobacter (5.47 ± 3.63%, \(P<0.01\), FDR <0.05), and Bacteroides (7.96 ± 5.29%, \(P<0.001\), FDR <0.01) in GM. In contrast, the higher proportions of Desulfovibrio (1.27 ± 0.50%, \(P<0.01\), FDR <0.05), Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group (2.46 ± 1.28%, \(P<0.001\), FDR <0.01), and Oscillibacter (3.22 ± 1.24%, \(P<0.05\), FDR <0.05) were detected in the SC group (Figure 2C).

**Antibiotic, Probiotic, and QRD Therapy Impose Distinct Effects on GM of Septic Rats**

Based on NMDS analysis, the microbial samples in AT group were notably separated from those in other four groups (Figure 1B). Microbes in QT group were sparsely distributed,
representing a group-specific feature of inter-individual differences, while the microbial distribution in PT rats was shown closer to that in SC group (Figure 1B). Additionally, the AT rats showed a dramatic decrease of GM diversity when compared to the PT and QT rats (Figure 1A).

At genus level, nearly no difference was detected in the most abundant genera between PT and QT group (Figure 3). Nevertheless, the rats in AT group had significantly different GM structure as compared to PT and QT group, including the elevated abundances of *Escherichia Shigella* (AT versus PT versus QT, 41.06 ± 12.30% versus 0.70 ± 0.86% versus 3.84 ± 4.15%), *Proteus* (4.93 ± 4.01% versus 0.01 ± 0.03% versus 0.01 ± 0.02%), *Morganella* (7.42 ± 5.49% versus 0.00 ± 0.01% versus 0.05 ± 0.08%), *Enterococcus* (9.07 ± 3.40% versus 0.01 ± 0.02% versus 0.01 ± 0.01%), and *Lysinibacillus* (7.51 ± 6.65% versus 0.00 ± 0.00% versus 0.03 ± 0.09%) (FDR <0.001), and the decreased proportions of *Parabacteroides* (0.03 ± 0.04% versus 2.18 ± 2.94% versus 1.12 ± 0.63%), *Alistipes* (0.04 ± 0.04% versus 1.72 ± 1.69% versus 1.35 ± 2.39%), *Desulfovibrio* (0.04 ± 0.06% versus 1.49 ± 0.52% versus 1.89 ± 2.15%), *Bacteroides* (0.31 ± 0.63% versus 16.16 ± 14.38% versus 20.33 ± 10.62%), *Helicobacter* (0.87 ± 2.51% versus 5.93 ± 5.39% versus 10.49 ± 10.88%), *Mucispirillum* (0.01 ± 0.03% versus 1.12 ± 1.09% versus 1.72 ± 3.43%), *Oscillibacter* (0.02 ± 0.02% versus 1.94 ± 0.83% versus 0.95 ± 0.88%), *Lachnospiraceae* (0.00 ± 0.01% versus 0.61 ± 0.74% versus 0.11 ± 0.10%), and *Ruminiclostridium 9* (0.10 ± 0.20% versus 1.46 ± 0.92% versus 1.09 ± 0.55%) (FDR <0.01). In addition, the microbial samples in AT group showed significant difference in GM characteristics from SC and ST group, while QRD and probiotics had less impact on GM (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Sepsis, one of the life-threatening diseases worldwide, might be characterized by dysbiosis of gut microenvironment, including the gut leak and intrusion of intestinal microbes to bloodstream. Wan et al. reported significantly lower bacterial diversity in septic shock patients compared with healthy subjects (Wan et al., 2018). In another study (Lankelma et al., 2017), the assessment of the fecal composition from 34 patients admitted to the intensive care unit exhibited the reduction of bacterial diversity in their GMs. Similarly, the data in this study showed that the septic rats of ST group possessed the decreased GM diversity than the non-sepsis controls, despite the difference was not statistically significant probably because of the relative small sample scale. Additionally, the phylum *Proteobacteria*, a potential signature of dysbiosis in intestinal microiota (Shin et al., 2015), was also shown the significant increase in the ST rats. The GM dysbiosis of sepsis may result from infections or a wide variety of intervention (Lankelma et al., 2017; Wan et al., 2018). For instance, some enriched genera identified in the GM of septic rats in this study, such as *Escherichia Shigella* and *Helicobacter*, were actually well-known infectious agents (Komatsu et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017).

Antimicrobial agents can kill and inhibit the intestinal and intra-abdominal pathogenic microbes in a short time, which may
explain the high survival rate of the septic rats under an in-time antibiotic therapy. Nevertheless, antibiotics also impair some of the normal GM colonizers (Zhang and Chen, 2019), which play key roles in keeping an intact intestinal barrier that is pivotal in protecting against sepsis (Takiishi et al., 2017). Probiotics have the potential to promote intestinal health, but a time-consuming process would be necessary to colonize in the gut lumen firstly then inhibit pathogens and restore the impaired intestinal barrier (Toscano et al., 2017). Thus, a probiotic therapy was generally required a course of longer than 2 weeks for the treatment of chronic diseases (Lewis-Mikhael et al., 2020; Oniszczuk et al., 2021). This probiotic mechanism may partly explain the lower survival rate of PT rats due to the short period time of the 72 h experiment.

In recent years, Chinese medicine is drawing attention in modulating GM and mitigating symptoms in some diseases (Tong et al., 2018; Jia et al., 2020), through metabolism or immune regulation (Yu et al., 2019). Our earlier investigations demonstrated the therapeutic effect of QRD on several acute abdominal syndromes and sepsis (Liu et al., 2013b). This study explored that the survival rate of QT rats was only second to that of septic rats treated with antibiotics, the tremendous effect of QRD on the experimental animal was simultaneously along with relatively less GM alteration, which obviously differed from the corresponding phenomenon observed in AT group. It indicated that QRD could treat the sepsis efficiently but barely impair the GM function. Several studies provided the evidence that QRD could improve intestinal barrier integrity and protect host against sepsis (Cui et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019; Ji et al., 2020; Cui et al., 2021; Hua et al., 2021). Together with other findings, this study provides an additional insight into sepsis therapy via Chinese medicine.

Although this study implies the potential effects of QRD in treatment of sepsis and maintenance of GM balance, the specific mechanism could not be elucidated by several limitations. Firstly, the sample scale in each group and the observation time for long-term effects of therapy agents. Secondly, analytical scope and depth of the 16S rRNA sequencing platform for key bacterial players and associated mechanisms at strain level. Thirdly, shortage of the approaches to reveal fungi and virus, which are also key players in gut microbiome. In future investigations, metagenomic and metabolomics would be preferentially applied to unravel microbe-microbe and microbe-host interactions during QRD treatment, and then unmask mechanisms of QRD therapy.
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