The concept of quasineutrons and the synthesis of zinc from the extraction of a part of the material of copper electrodes during electric current discharges in an aqueous solution of NaCl

M. P. Kashchenko1,2, V. F. Balakirev3, N. M. Kashchenko1, M. B. Smirnov2,
Yu. L. Chepelev2, V. V. Ilyushin2, N. V. Nikolaeva4, V. G. Pushin1,4

1mpk46@mail.ru

1Ural Federal University n. a. the first President of Russia B. N. Yeltsin, 19 Mira St., Yekaterinburg, 620002, Russia
2Ural State Forest Engineering University, 37 Sibirskii Tract, Yekaterinburg, 620100, Russia
3The Institute of Metallurgy UB RAS, 101 Amundsen St., Yekaterinburg, 620016, Russia
4Miheev Institute of Metal Physics UB RAS, 18 S. Kovalevskaya St., Yekaterinburg, 620990, Russia

For aqueous solutions during the flow of intense electric currents, the formation of quasineutrons \((p + e)\), bound states of protons \(p\) and electrons \(e\), should be typical. Then, as the simplest products of nuclear reactions, one can expect the formation of elements that are adjacent (in the periodic table) to the elements in the electrodes. In the experimental setup, pulsed electrical discharges are carried out in an aqueous solution of NaCl with a concentration of 0.1 g/l using an oscillatory circuit tuned to resonance with the supply voltage (220 V, 50 Hz). As a material for hollow tubular electrodes, commercial copper was used. The starting potential difference is 650 V. The discharges were accompanied by precipitation. Along with the products of erosion of electrodes (Cu), there are particles with a significant proportion of zinc, the content of which varies widely, sometimes exceeding the copper content. This result testifies in favor of the existence of quasineutron states allowing the proton to approach distances of the order of the critical radius \(R_c \sim 10^{-13} \text{ m}\) for capturing the proton by the copper core. Particles containing nickel along with copper and zinc were also found. This can indicate both electronic capture with the formation of the Ni63 isotope (half-life \(T \approx 100\) years) and capture of the quasineutron with the formation of Cu64 (\(T \approx 12.7\) hours) followed by electronic capture and the formation of Ni64. The abundance of particles containing zinc (without Ni) demonstrates the preference for proton capture.
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The main goal of the work is to analyze (by the standard methodology [4]) the chemical composition of solid powder fragments obtained after electrolysis to detect, in particular, zinc, the synthesis of which is expected as a result of the interaction of quasineutrons with copper ions extracted by electrode erosion.

2. Variation of the zinc content in the chemical composition of the particles of the precipitated powder

The initial chemical composition of the electrodes was carried out in several randomly selected chip areas of the material of industrial copper used in the manufacture of electrodes. One of the typical results corresponds, for example, to the composition (in at.%) 97.61 Cu, 0.63 Fe, 1.77 O. We emphasize that neither zinc nor nickel (with an accuracy of at least 0.1%) was fixed in the composition of the electrode material.

Fig. 1 is an external view of a portion of powder, fixed on an adhesive tape.

The two particles we numbered radically differ in the zinc content. The composition of the particles is presented in Table 1.

Although in most powder particles the zinc content does not exceed the copper content (similar to the composition of particle 2 in Table 1), zinc-dominated particles are also observed. The composition of one of these particles is given in Table 2.

---

**Fig. 1.** The view of a fragment of powder, fixed on scotch tape, with an increase of 100×, the numbering of the two particles is given by the authors.
The existence of particles dominated by iron was previously discussed by us [5]. Fig. 2 shows the “chain” of ferromagnetic particles (previously separated by us using a permanent magnet).

Particles with a high iron content predominate in this chain; however, there is also a particle with a nickel dominance marked by the number 1. In the adjacent particle 2, iron dominates (we recall that the particles formed independently at different points in time and were brought together during magnetic separation). For comparison, the compositions of these particles are presented in Table 3.

The dominance of Ni as well as the dominance of Zn is the exception rather than the rule. Particles with a Zn content comparable to that of copper in the absence or low content of Ni are fairly typical.

### Discussion of the results

First, we list the simplest nuclear reactions involving isotopes of copper and a quasineutron.

Note that the synthesis of zinc, which has a wider set of stable isotopes Zn64 (48.6%) Zn66 (27.9%) Zn67 (4.1%) Zn68 (18.8%) than copper, is quite expected. There is also a long-lived isotope Zn70 (0.6%) with a half-life of $T$=5·10$^{14}$ years.

First of all, we indicate the main reactions of zinc synthesis during the fusion of copper nuclei with a proton escorted by an electron. Copper contains two stable isotopes Cu63 (69.17%) Cu65 (30.83%). Therefore, the synthesis of Zn64 and Zn66 isotopes can be realized.

\[
\text{Cu63} + (p + e) \rightarrow \text{Zn64} + e, \quad \Delta \varepsilon \approx 8.81 \text{ MeV} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Cu65} + (p + e) \rightarrow \text{Zn66} + e, \quad \Delta \varepsilon \approx 8.925 \text{ MeV} \quad (2)
\]

It is easy to see that electron absorption by copper nuclei does not lead to stable nickel isotopes. The isotopes Ni63 ($T$=100 years) and Ni65 ($T$=2.5 hours) undergo β decay, restoring the initial copper isotopes Cu63 and Cu65.

Note that the Ni 64 isotope can also arise upon capture of the pseudoproton $(p + 2e)$ representing, according to Santilli [10], the bound state of a proton with two electrons

\[
\text{Cu63} + (p + 2e) \rightarrow \text{Ni64} + \nu, \quad (3)
\]

where $\nu$ is the symbol of the electron neutrino. The inclusion of one neutrino in the right-hand side suggests that the quasi-neutron state $(p + e)$, like the neutron, is associated with a zero lepton charge, while the pseudoproton $(p + 2e)$, like an electron, has a single lepton charge. As a result, the law of conservation of the lepton charge is fulfilled in reaction (3) [11]. We emphasize that, in contrast to the capture of quasineutrons by copper isotopes, zinc does not form. It is possible that a particle with a dominance of nickel and a low content of copper and zinc (particle 1 in Fig. 2 with the corresponding composition in Table 3) was formed mainly with the participation of pseudoprotons.
Table 3. The chemical composition of particles marked by numbers 1 and 2 in Fig. 2.

| Element | Particle 1 | Particle 2 |
|---------|------------|------------|
| Wt.%    | Al    | Fe    | Ni    | Cu    | Zn   | Al    | Fe    | Ni    | Cu    | Zn   |
| At.%    | 4.25  | 2.85  | 84.59 | 7.43  | 0.88 | 0.00  | 88.86 | 3.97  | 7.17  | 0.00 |

by reaction (3). Considering, however, that the material of the electrodes could contain nickel as an impurity, this conclusion is conditional. However, the interaction of pseudoprotons with the Cu65 isotope leads to the formation of Zn66:

\[
\text{Cu65} + (p + 2e^+) \rightarrow \text{Ni66} (T \approx 54.6 \text{ h}) + \nu, \tag{4}
\]

\[
\text{Ni66} \rightarrow \nu + \text{Cu66} (T \approx 5.12 \text{ min}), \tag{5}
\]

\[
\text{Cu66} \rightarrow \nu + \text{Zn66}. \tag{6}
\]

In (5) and (6), the symbol \(\nu\) refers to the electron antineutrino.

Obviously, after the appearance of Zn66, the absorption of quasineutrons can lead to the sequential formation of zinc isotopes with mass numbers 67 and 68, increasing the total zinc content. At the same time, the capture of a quasineutron by the Zn64 isotope would lead to the unstable Zn65 isotope \((T \approx 244 \text{ days})\), which, after electron capture, transfers to Cu65 reducing the total zinc content. Of course, the zinc formed mainly must contain the Zn64 and Zn66 isotopes whose formation according to (1) and (2) is due to the existence of two stable copper isotopes. If a significant amount of the Zn68 isotope had arisen, then the reaction

\[
\text{Zn68} + (p + e) \rightarrow \text{Ga69} + e, \Delta E \approx 6.6 \text{ MeV}, \tag{7}
\]

gallium could form. No gallium was observed in this study, indicating a low content (or absence) of the Zn68 isotope. Since almost a fifth of natural zinc is in Zn68, gallium synthesis is quite probable if zinc electrodes are used in water electrolysis.

In our opinion, the results of the action of unipolar electromagnetic nanosecond pulses (see Chapter 5 in [1]) on aqueous solutions of CuSO4 and ZnSO4 salts also support the proposed mechanism of zinc synthesis. In the case of a lowered pH \(\approx 3.32\), after exposure for 16 minutes, a decrease (by approximately 0.2 mg/L) of the content of copper ions and an increase (by approximately 0.2 mg/L) of the concentration of zinc ions were observed. Recall that pH is related to the concentration of hydrogen ions \([H^+]\) with the ratio pH = \(-\log[H^+]\), and a neutral medium corresponds to pH = 7 (water, at 25°C). Therefore, when the pH changes from 7 to 3.32, the concentration of hydrogen ions increases by about 4800 times. Then it is clear that a decrease in pH promotes zinc synthesis by increasing the probability of proton capture by copper nuclei in processes (1) and (2).

Returning to our experiment, we recall that copper alloys containing up to 39% zinc are copper-based solutions (the so-called single-phase α-brass). Therefore, it is extremely unlikely that the possible presence of small concentrations of dissolved zinc in the original industrial copper will lead to a multiple increase (tens of percent) of zinc in the sediment particles. On the contrary, the appearance of zinc due to the synthesis processes (1) and (2) is quite natural.

It is also natural to expect that the interaction of quasineutrons with mercury vapor will lead to the synthesis of gold, similar to how it was demonstrated during the bombardment of mercury by neutrons [12]. Due to the low content (0.15%) of the Hg196 isotope in natural mercury, the reaction with the absorption of a proton with the absorption of a quasineutron and subsequent emission of an electron

\[
\text{Hg196} + (p + e) \rightarrow \text{Au197} + e \tag{8}
\]
is not commercially profitable (the history of the synthesis of gold from mercury is described in detail in [13]). However, as an option demonstrating the effectiveness of the participation of quasineutrons in the synthesis of elements, reaction (8) is certainly indicative. When setting up such an experiment, it should be borne in mind that, in addition to mercury toxicity, the absorption of a quasineutron by the Hg202 isotope (having a high content of \(\approx30\%\) in natural mercury) gives the unstable Hg203 isotope \((T \approx 46.6 \text{ days})\), which turns into β-decay toxic isotope Tl203.

It is worth noting that quasineutrons in fusion reactions during proton absorption have obvious advantages over neutrons: relative cheapness, lower penetrating power and lower levels of excitation of daughter nuclei, which reduces the probability of γ-radiation. Recall that in hadron mechanics [14] neutrons are contact-bound protons and electrons, and the fact of such a synthesis has been experimentally confirmed [15].

It seems very likely that it is the quasineutron states that play a decisive role in elementary synthesis reactions, involving the absorption of a proton or quasineutron in a matrix of crystalline solids saturated with hydrogen [16,17], especially when vibrational nonlinearity [18] is taken into account, which provides conditions for effective tunneling when approaching distances of the order of \(R \sim 10^{-13} \text{ m}\). Such reactions, in particular, ensure the functioning of the Rossi reactor [19] (see also [20]). Recall that in the reactor, during heating of crystalline nickel powder saturated with hydrogen, significant excess energy is released due to the “burning” of nickel isotopes. Of course, the presentation of the entire set of reactions in generators of this type deserves a separate discussion.

4. Conclusion

The analysis of the experimental data confirms that, in the case of copper electrodes during electric current discharges in water, zinc synthesis is observed, which testifies to the existence of quasineutron states that allow the reaction to capture a proton by a nucleus.

There are also grounds for interpreting reactions with the appearance of Ni64 as a consequence of the capture of quasineutrons by Cu63 nuclei with subsequent two-channel reactions with the formation of Ni64 and Zn64.

The expected result is gallium synthesis in the case of using zinc electrodes in the electrolysis of water.
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