Gold-containing compound BDG-I inhibits the growth of A549 lung cancer cells through the deregulation of miRNA expression
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Abstract

Gold complex bis(diethylthiocarbamato-gold(I)) bis(diphenylphosphino) methane (BDG-I) is cytotoxic toward different cancer cell lines. We compared the cytotoxic effect of BDG-I with that of cisplatin in the A549 lung cancer cell line. Additionally, we investigated the molecular mechanism underlying the toxic effect of BDG-I toward the A549 cell line and the identification of cancer-related miRNAs likely to be involved in killing the lung cancer cells. Further, X-ray crystallographic data of the compound were acquired. Using microarray, global miRNA expression profiling in BDG-I-treated A549 cells revealed 64 upregulated and 86 downregulated miRNAs, which targeted 4689 and 2498 genes, respectively. Biological network connectivity of the miRNAs was significantly higher for the upregulated miRNAs than for the downregulated miRNAs. Two of the 10 most upregulated miRNAs (hsa-mir-20a-5p and hsa-mir-15b-5p) were associated with lung cancer. AmiGo2 server and Panther pathway analyses indicated significant enrichment in transcription regulation of miRNA target genes that promote intrinsic kinase-mediated signaling, TGF-β, and GnRH signaling pathways, as well as oxidative stress responses. BDG-I crystal structure X-ray diffraction studies revealed gold–gold intramolecular interaction [Au–Au = 3.1198 (3) Å] for a single independent molecule, reported to be responsible for its activity against cancer. Our present study sheds light on the development of novel gold complex with favorable anti-cancer therapeutic functionality.

1. Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-associated deaths globally (Calin et al., 2004). Approximately 1.8 million new cases of lung cancer were reported worldwide in 2012 (Torre et al., 2015). According to American Cancer Society (ACS) estimates, there would be 234,030 new cases of lung cancer and approximately 154,050 deaths from the disease in the US in 2018 (ACS, 2018). Lung malignancies can be either (a) non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), which originates from the epithelial cells of the airways and accounts for about 85% of lung cancer cases, or (b) small-cell lung cancer, which is a neuroendocrine tumor. NSCLC is further categorized into several major histological subtypes, such as adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and large-cell carcinoma (Chin et al., 2008). The differential gene expression patterns observed in the different subtypes of lung cancer have a significant influence on the choice of chemotherapy drugs that could be used.

These expression patterns differ mainly because of the action of the existing tumor-specific microRNAs (miRNAs), which are endogenous non-coding RNA molecules of approximately 20–22 nucleotides in length. Since their discovery in 1993, more than 3700 human miRNAs have been identified (Londin et al., 2015). They modulate post-transcriptional gene expression by binding to the 3’ untranslated region of target messenger RNAs (mRNAs).
Each miRNA can regulate approximately 100–200 different mRNA targets (Krek et al., 2005; Lim et al., 2005). miRNAs downregulate the expression of a wide variety of genes involved in development, differentiation, proliferation, apoptosis, and various other crucial cellular processes.

Dependent on the target mRNA, miRNAs may have oncogenic or tumor suppressor effects. The study by Calin et al. was one of the first to highlight the critical role played by miRNAs in lung cancer pathogenesis (Calin et al., 2004). Their study showed a decreased expression of several important miRNAs in lung cancer cell lines. Subsequently, Kumar et al. demonstrated that the let-7 family of miRNAs acts as oncosuppressors in NSCLCs (Kumar et al., 2008). These results were in accordance with those of another study that showed a decreased expression of let-7 miRNA in human NSCLCs (Takamizawa et al., 2004). In addition, this decreased expression of miRNA-16 in patients with NSCLC was found to be associated with an increased growth and motility of cancer cells (Ke et al., 2013). Taken together, these studies indicate that miRNAs could be used as novel therapeutic in lung cancer intervention.

The principles behind the development of chrysotherapeutic agents can be used to synthesize gold complexes that contain various functional ligands with distinct oncological, chemical, physical, and biological properties (Bostancigolu et al., 2012; Al-Jaroudi & Filipovska, 2011; Humphreys et al., 2007). The antitumor activity of phosphine gold(I) complexes improves their anticancer activity and selectivity for various carcinoma cells (Bierschowsky & Filippovska, 2011; Humphreys et al., 2007). The antitumor activity of phosphine gold(I) complexes are found to be the function of phosphine substituent as well as the nature of the other auxiliary ligands present. The presence of dithiocarbamate group in gold(I) phosphine motifs prevents interaction of the metal center with nucleic acid bases (Carbon et al., 2009).

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Chemicals and experimental conditions

All chemicals and solvents used in the synthesis of the gold(I) complex were of analytical grade. The reaction was carried out under ambient conditions, as described in our previous report (Altaf et al., 2015).

#### 2.2. Cell culture and viability assay

The human A549 lung cancer cell line was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, USA). The A549 cells were first cultured for 24 h in 96-well plates, at 5 × 10^4 cells/well (in quadruplicate), in 150 μL of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM). Subsequently, the cells were incubated with BDG-I at 0 (negative control), 1.56, 3.12, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 μM concentrations for 48 h in DMEM, containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin, under 5% CO_2 at 37 °C and 95% relative humidity. Thereafter, the medium in each well was discarded, then 100 μL of DMEM containing 5 mg/mL 3-(4,5-dime-thylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) was added to the wells, and the plates were placed in a CO_2 incubator at 37 °C for 4 h. After incubation, purple-colored formazan was produced and appeared as dark crystals at the bottom of the wells. The culture medium was carefully discarded from each well to avoid disruption of the monolayer, followed by the addition of 100 μL isopropanol to each well. The solution was mixed thoroughly to dissolve the formazan crystals, which ultimately resulted in a purple solution. The absorbance of each solution in the 96-well plate was measured at 750 nm (Mithras 2 LB 943 system) against a reagent blank. The percentage of cell viability was calculated with the following formula:

\[
\text{Cell viability} = \left( \frac{\text{Absorbance}_{\text{Compound}} - \text{Absorbance}_{\text{DMSO}}}{\text{Absorbance}_{\text{DMSO}}} \right) \times 100
\]

The IC_{50} value was calculated for each sample, using GraphPad 6.0 and Excel 2016.

#### 2.3. Total RNA extraction and miRNA expression profiling

A549 cells were cultured in 6-well plates at 5 × 10^3 cells/well (in duplicate) for 24 h. The cells were then treated for 48 h with either BDG-I at IC_{50} concentration or medium (negative control). Thereafter, the cells were harvested and the cell pellets were prepared for total RNA isolation, using the Total RNA Purification Kit (Norgen Biotek Corp., Canada), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The concentration of total RNA (including miRNA fraction) for each sample was measured, using the NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). For miRNA expression profiling, 100 ng of the extracted total RNA was used for RNA labeling and hybridization onto the Agilent Human SurePrint G3 8 × 60 k v2.1 miRNA microarray chip (Agilent Technologies, USA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Subsequently, the data were normalized and analyzed using GeneSpring GX software (Agilent Technologies). A fold-change (FC) of 2.0 with p < 0.05 was used as the cut-off for determining the differentially expressed miRNAs in treated versus control samples.

#### 2.4. Target prediction and functional analysis of miRNA target genes

We used miRWalk2 (http://zmf.umm.uni-heidelberg.de/apps/zmf/mirwalk2/) to identify target genes of the upregulated and downregulated miRNAs (Dwek and Gretz, 2015). Targeted genes of the miRNAs were analyzed for Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment using the AmiGO2 server (v.1.8), based on the Panther database (Carbon et al., 2009).
network, drawn using Cytoscape 3.5.1 (Shannon et al., 2003), and were represented as unweighted and undirected. Generally, upregulated genes have a high degree of interaction with their target genes in a dense network relative to that shown by down-regulated genes.

2.5.2. Top 10 miRNAs and target gene regulatory network

All differentially expressed miRNAs were ranked on the basis of total interactions with their target genes (Wu et al., 2017). The top 10 miRNAs and their respective targets were used for network illustration in Cytoscape 3.5.1. For better visualization, we chose only those miRNAs with a degree of interaction ≥2. As the downregulated genes had a connection of <2, they were disregarded.

2.6. Illustration of miRNAs involved in lung cancer

The miR Cancer database (http://mircancer.ecu.edu) (Xie et al., 2013) was used to detect the role of miRNAs in lung cancer. Out of 20 miRNA genes (up/downregulated), two (hsa-miR-20a-5p, hsa-miR-15b-5p) were upregulated and were involved in lung cancer. Both these miRNAs and their respective target genes were illustrated in our network using Cytoscape 3.5.1.

2.7. X-ray crystallography

X-ray diffraction data for the BDG-I complex were recorded on a Bruker AXS SMART APEX detector (Bruker AXS Inc., USA), equipped with graphite-monochromated MoKα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The data were collected and integrated using SMART (v.5.05) software for the SMART APEX system. The data integration was performed using SAINT. Empirical absorption correction was carried out using SADABS (Sheldrick, 1996). The structure was solved with direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares based on SHELX 97 software (SHELXTL v.5.1; Bruker AXS Inc.). Graphics were generated using ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997). With the help of a riding model, other H-atoms were included in the calculated positions. The crystal data and structure refinement details are displayed in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1

| Crystal data and structure refinement details of the BDG-I complex. |
|------------------|------------------|
| Crystal data | C_{25}H_{42}Au_{2}N_{2}P_{2}S_{4} |
| Empirical formula | 1074.82 |
| Formula weight | Monoclinic, P 2₁/c |
| Crystal system, space group | 296 (2) |
| Temperature (K) | 12.6361 (12), 12.9532 (12), 23.215 (2) |
| a, b, c (Å) | 12.6361 (12), 12.9532 (12), 91.988 (2) |
| β (°) | 3797.5 (6) |
| V (Å³) | 4 |
| Radiation type | Mo Kα |
| μ (mm⁻¹) | 8.049 |
| Crystal size (mm) | 0.31 × 0.26 × 0.15 |
| Absorption correction | Multiscan |
| T_{min} - T_{max} | 0.127, 0.378 |
| No. of measured, independent, and observed | 27052, 9421, 6935 |
| | (|l| > 2σ(|l|)) reflections |
| R_{int} | 0.043 |
| σ(ω) | 0.667 |
| R[F² > 2σ(F²)] | 0.033, 0.082, 1.00 |
| No. of reflections | 9421 |
| No. of parameters | 410 |
| Δρ_{max}, Δρ_{min} (e Å⁻³) | 1.11, -1.14 |

| Bond length (Å) | Bond angles (°) |
|------------------|------------------|
| Au-P1 | 2.2546 (12) |
| P2-P2 | 2.2600 (12) |
| Au1-S1 | 2.3365 (13) |
| Au2-S3 | 2.3324 (14) |
| Au1-Au2 | 3.1198 (3) |

2.8. Statistical analysis

R statistical tools version 3.2 was used for all statistical tests in miRNAs network analysis. We used statistical tests (Mood’s Test) for network connectivity to show the changes in network structure between upregulated and downregulated miRNA. And a non-parametric test (Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test) to show the differences in mean connectivity between the two networks (Team, 2013).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Cell viability

We assessed the inhibition of A549 cell growth upon exposure to various concentrations of BDG-I by MTT assay. BDG-I inhibited the cell growth in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1), with an IC₅₀ value of 4.8 μM. Of note, the IC₅₀ value of BDG-I was better than that of cisplatin (IC₅₀ = 8.5 μM).

3.2. miRNA analysis

Global miRNA expression profiling in BDG-I-treated A549 cells revealed 64 upregulated (>2.0 FC, p < 0.05) and 86 downregulated (<2.0 FC, p < 0.05) miRNAs targeting 4689 and 2498 genes, respectively. Hierarchical clustering of the differentially expressed miRNAs revealed a clear separation of the two groups (Fig. 2). We disregarded genes targeted by only one miRNA because these are likely to be random signals.

3.3. Network analysis of differentially expressed miRNAs and their targets

3.3.1. Network connectivity of upregulated and downregulated miRNAs

The levels of interconnectivity between the miRNAs and their target genes were analyzed. The density plots (Fig. 3) suggested
that most of the miRNAs had multiple targets. The median number of gene targets was 236 and 81 for the upregulated and downregulated miRNAs, respectively. Such high median target numbers suggested that the treatment applied had widespread effects on the cellular system. It is clear from Fig. 3 that the connectivity of the miRNAs (genes or targets per miRNA) was significantly higher for the upregulated miRNAs than for the downregulated miRNAs.

The network components were quantified miRNA-wise and gene target-wise, as shown in Fig. 4. The difference between the mean connectivity between genes (Fig. 4; left panels) was statistically significant ($P_{\text{Wilcox}} < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). This difference can be attributed to the difference in the scales of connectivity between the two groups of genes ($P_{\text{Mood}} < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). Although non-parametric and scale tests showed a significant difference in connectivity, there was no perceptible difference in the medians between the two groups (Fig. 4; median difference = 0). The box plot for miRNA-wise connectivity (Fig. 4; right panel) showed that a typical upregulated miRNA was likely to regulate more genes than a typical downregulated miRNA. These differences were statistically significant ($P_{\text{Wilcox}} = 1.39 \times 10^{-5}$). The Mood’s median test also showed a significant difference between the scales of networks of the upregulated and downregulated miRNAs ($P_{\text{Mood}} = 0.002$).

3.4. Biological network structure of differentially expressed miRNAs

Next, we studied the networks of the upregulated and downregulated miRNAs. As was expected from the connectivity statistics, the upregulated miRNAs had a densely interconnected network. Not only were they regulating more genes, but there was also a high degree of interconnectivity. We ranked each miRNA by the number of genes it targeted and created a network illustration of the top 10 miRNAs (Fig. 5). These target genes were actively and collectively regulated by several of the selected top 10 miRNAs. Many of the genes were regulated by more than 2 of the top 10 miRNAs. Such network structure suggests a core biological function being targeted by the top miRNAs (Aittokallio and Schwikowski, 2006). This level of interconnectedness was in stark contrast to the network structure of the downregulated miRNAs, which was much less interconnected (Fig. 6). In Fig. 6, the same measurement criteria were employed for the upregulated miRNAs (Fig. 5; top 10 most connected miRNA + genes that have at least two connections within this group) but two were dropped because none of their target genes had a connectedness of 2 within this group. It could be seen from Fig. 6 a sparser network for the downregulated miRNA and their targets.

3.5. Network architecture of the upregulated miRNAs and their target genes

Given the network density of the upregulated miRNAs and its significant variance from that of the downregulated miRNAs, we questioned whether the density was merely because of a large number of targets possessed by each upregulated miRNA. To elucidate this, we calculated the closeness centrality, which measures how close a given node is to the others in the network. In our analysis, closeness centrality provides a measure of the interconnectedness and coregulation of the genes by the miRNA in question (Koschutzki and Schreiber, 2008; Reuven Cohen, 2003). Fig. 7 compares the closeness centrality of upregulated and downregulated genes in their respective networks. It showed that there were two distinct networks – one whose closeness centrality behaves in the random fashion (the set of dots that lie along the diagonal), and another where the closeness increases without an increase in the number of neighbors. This atypical behavior of the up-regulated network provides a quantitative measurement of interconnectedness shown in Fig. 5. The analysis also suggested that the upregulated miRNA-target gene network [Fig. 7, left panel] behaved as a mixture of two distinct groups: one that behaved in a random fashion, and another closely linked network that is likely to be functionally significant. A random network is expected to follow an exponential decay function, where the closest mean distance increases as we increase the number of neighbors (Reuven Cohen, 2003). The closeness centrality analysis reveals that the downregulated network [Fig. 7, right panel] behaves as we expect from a typical biological network with random connectivity (Reuven Cohen, 2003). However, the network of upregulated miRNA and their target genes exhibits an interesting behavior.

3.6. miRNA network in lung cancer

Given the strong differences between the upregulated and downregulated miRNAs and their network densities, we questioned whether any of these had a documented role in lung cancer and if any of the top 10 upregulated and downregulated miRNAs were also listed in the miRNA Cancer Database (http://mircancer.ecu.edu). Two of the 10 upregulated miRNAs (hsa-mir-20a-5p and hsa-mir-15b-5p) were indeed found to be associated with lung cancer. These two miRNAs had a complement of 27 coregulated genes. Interestingly, functional analysis of these genes did not reveal any lung cancer-related miRNAs in the top 10 downregulated miRNAs (Fig. 8). At least three transcription factors (Homeobox Containing 1 “HMBOX1”, The DEAD-box-protein “DDX5”, Zinc Finger and BTB Domain Containing 5 “ZBTB5”) were identified to be coregulated by the two miRNAs. All of these transcription factors have implication on cancer progression (Koh et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). The presence of transcription factors in the network suggested that the miRNA differential expression would have downstream secondary effects, apart from direct effects on their targets (Fig. 8). Therefore, these
Fig. 3. Density distribution of the connectivity of the miRNA/gene interaction network. The panels illustrate the degree of connectivity in terms of genes (left panels) and miRNAs (right panels). The X-axis for the panels on the right is depicted in Log2 scale. The red vertical lines indicate the median connectedness values for each group.

Fig. 4. Network connectivity for the upregulated and downregulated miRNAs and gene targets in A549 lung cancer cells. The blue and orange colors represent the relatively upregulated and downregulated expression, respectively. Gene-wise connectivity (left graph), miRNA-wise connectivity (right graph). As the degree of connectivity of a gene gets higher, the node within the network gets larger.
transcription factors and their secondary effect could be a potential therapeutic target.

### 3.7. Functional analysis of miRNA target genes

The gene interaction networks for the upregulated and downregulated miRNAs suggested a strong functional implication for the upregulated miRNAs. To test this hypothesis, we performed GO term enrichment and pathway enrichment analyses on the targets of the upregulated and downregulated miRNAs. We identified the unique set of genes regulated by the up and downregulated miRNAs and performed a GO term enrichment analysis on the AmiGO2 server (Ashburner et al., 2000), based on the PantherDB (Table 3) (Mi et al., 2017).

Several terms associated with protein kinase activity were over-represented, whereas receptor activity and G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) activity were underrepresented. This suggested an enrichment of miRNA gene targets that drive intrinsic kinase-mediated signaling and a lack of targets that drive receptor-mediated signaling. Notably, GPCR signaling was also underrepresented in our Reactome Pathway analysis, suggesting that GPCR-based signaling was likely not selectively targeted in this study.

Next, we analyzed the pathways that are likely affected by changes in miRNA expression, using Panther Pathways to identify enriched or depleted pathways (Table 4). Genes were found to be enriched in angiogenesis, the transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) pathway, the cholecystokinin receptor pathway, the platelet-derived growth factor pathway, the gonadotropin-releasing hormone pathway, and oxidative stress response. The target gene set was enriched for all these pathways, and no depleted pathways were identified. It is clear that all identified pathways play an important role in cancer.

Fig. 5. A network of the top 10 upregulated miRNAs (depicted by red triangles) and their target genes (depicted by green dots). The miRNAs were named according to standard nomenclature.

Fig. 6. A network of the top downregulated miRNAs (depicted by red octagons) and their target genes (depicted by green ovals).
We did not find any enriched pathways or GO terms for the target genes of downregulated miRNAs. This supported the conclusion from the networks that the miRNA response is directional, and it is likely that the upregulated miRNAs are more biologically relevant.

3.8. Description of the BDG-I complex structure

The dinuclear structures of the BDG-I complex were confirmed by X-ray diffraction studies (Fig. 9). A selection of bond lengths and bond angles are given in Table 2. The BDG-I complex crystallizes in...
Table 3
GO-Slim analysis of targets of upregulated miRNAs.

| PANTHER GO-slim molecular function                  | Human RefLIST | Test gene count | Test gene expected | Enrichment | Fold enrichment | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|---------|
| protein kinase activity (GO:0004672)                | 406           | 68              | 38.45              | +          | 1.77            | 1.48E-03|
| kinase activity (GO:0016301)                        | 573           | 87              | 54.27              | +          | 1.6             | 3.46E-03|
| enzyme regulator activity (GO:0030234)              | 678           | 99              | 64.21              | +          | 1.54            | 4.31E-03|
| transferase activity (GO:0016740)                   | 1318          | 180             | 124.82             | +          | 1.44            | 1.57E-04|
| catalytic activity (GO:0003824)                     | 4708          | 587             | 445.87             | +          | 1.32            | 2.15E-11|
| hydrolase activity (GO:0016787)                     | 1934          | 233             | 183.16             | +          | 1.27            | 1.91E-02|
| nucleic acid binding (GO:0003676)                   | 2080          | 249             | 196.99             | +          | 1.26            | 1.60E-02|
| binding (GO:0005488)                                | 5024          | 559             | 475.8              | +          | 1.17            | 1.70E-03|
| Unclassified (UNCLASSIFIED)                         | 10,950        | 908             | 1037.02            | −          | 0.88            | 0.000 + 00|
| receptor activity (GO:004872)                        | 1279          | 60              | 121.13             | −          | 0.5             | 3.69E-08|
| signal transducer activity (GO:004871)              | 715           | 27              | 67.71              | −          | 0.4             | 1.79E-06|
| G-protein coupled receptor activity (GO:004930)      | 350           | 12              | 33.15              | −          | 0.36            | 3.52E-03|

Table 4
Panther Pathway analysis of targets of upregulated miRNAs.

| PANTHER pathways                              | Human RefLIST | Test gene count | Test gene expected | Enrichment | Fold enrichment | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|---------|
| Oxidative stress response (P00046)            | 55            | 18              | 5.21               | +          | 3.46            | 1.43E-03|
| TGF-beta signaling pathway (P00052)           | 98            | 26              | 9.28               | +          | 2.8             | 7.49E-04|
| CCKR signaling map (P06599)                   | 173           | 45              | 16.38              | +          | 2.75            | 5.74E-07|
| PDGF signaling pathway (P00047)               | 149           | 31              | 14.11              | +          | 2.2             | 1.03E-02|
| GRH receptor pathway (P06664)                 | 236           | 47              | 22.35              | +          | 2.1             | 5.02E-04|
| Angiogenesis (P00005)                         | 174           | 34              | 16.48              | +          | 2.06            | 1.54E-02|

a monoclinic lattice with space group P 2$_1$/c. The asymmetric unit consists of a single molecule. The [Au-P = 2.2546 (12) and 2.2660 (12) Å] and [Au-S = 2.3365 (13) and 2.3232 (14) Å] bond distances are typical for this type of complex (Arias et al., 2008; Fernandez et al., 1998; Altaf et al., 2015). The dithiocarbamate ligand acts as a monodentate ligand in this dinuclear gold(I) complex. The P-Au-S bond angles (170.93 (5) and 170.68 (5)$^\circ$) confirm a distorted linear geometry around the Au1 and Au2 atoms. The presence of this type of distorted geometry around gold atoms is consistent with that of reported gold complexes possessing a similar P-Au-S moiety (Arias et al., 2008; Fernandez et al., 1998; Altaf et al., 2015). The intramolecular Au-Au distance of 3.1198 (3) Å for Au1-Au2 indicates metal–metal interaction. The diphosphine adopts a cis conformation, with Au1-P1--P2-Au2 = 29.51$^\circ$, which shows that the P-Au-S moiety is twisted. The cis conformation is usually preferred over the trans conformation, owing to Au-Au interaction.

4. Conclusion

In summary, BDG-1 exhibited anti-cancer functionality and displayed a much better potency, than cisplatin, and altered miRNAs expression profile in A549 lung cancer cells. We constructed a biological network of miRNA and gene targets for up and downregulated miRNAs. These network frameworks can exploit modularity in terms of interconnectivity and biological relevance with target genes. In case of upregulated miRNA-gene network, 64 miRNAs and 4689 targets were used for the construction of network while 86 miRNAs and 2498 targets were used in case of downregulated miRNA. It could be inferred from the analysis that there is directionality to miRNA response and an upregulated miRNA are biologically more relevant and have dense connectivity with their respective target genes. We also documented the role of miRNA in lung cancer and two [hsa-mir20a-5p and hsa-mir-15b-5p] of 10 top upregulated miRNA genes were found to be associated with lung cancer which has 27 coregulated genes. The presence of transcription factors in the lung cancer network also suggests that the miRNA differential expression would have downstream secondary effects, apart from their direct effect on their targets. Thus, miRNA-gene network study suggests upregulated miRNA are biologically more relevant. The X-ray structure of BDG-1 complex reveals that it is a binuclear gold(I) complex with a P-Au-S moiety. In this complex, a very important and exploitable property of intermolecular aurophilic interaction was observed. The enhanced activity of the BDG-1 complex was attributed to aurophilic interaction in this study. Thus, our current study shows that the BDG-1 treatment affects the micro-RNA network, and is a strong disruptor of basic cellular mechanisms in lung cancer cell lines and provides insight into the importance of the development of new gold(I) complexes and the elucidation of their potential as novel anti-cancer therapeutic agents. Future work will focus on the mechanistic nature of the effect, and its possible implications for the clinic.
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