Skeletal muscle: A review of molecular structure and function, in health and disease
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Abstract
Decades of research in skeletal muscle physiology have provided multiscale insights into the structural and functional complexity of this important anatomical tissue, designed to accomplish the task of generating contraction, force and movement. Skeletal muscle can be viewed as a biomechanical device with various interacting components including the autonomic nerves for impulse transmission, vasculature for efficient oxygenation, and embedded regulatory and metabolic machinery for maintaining cellular homeostasis. The “omics” revolution has propelled a new era in muscle research, allowing us to discern minute details of molecular cross-talk required for effective coordination between the myriad interacting components for efficient muscle function. The objective of this review is to provide a systems-level, comprehensive mapping the molecular mechanisms underlying skeletal muscle structure and function, in health and disease. We begin this review with a focus on molecular mechanisms underlying muscle tissue development (myogenesis), with an emphasis on satellite cells and muscle regeneration. We next review the molecular structure and mechanisms underlying the many structural components of the muscle: neuromuscular junction, sarcomere, cytoskeleton, extracellular matrix, and vasculature surrounding muscle. We highlight aberrant molecular mechanisms and their possible clinical or pathophysiological relevance. We particularly emphasize the impact of environmental stressors (inflammation and oxidative stress) in contributing to muscle pathophysiology including atrophy, hypertrophy, and fibrosis.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Striated muscle is composed of two major muscle types—skeletal and cardiac. While the cardiac (heart) muscle functionally represents a set of self-stimulating, non-fatiguing muscle cells with an intermediate energy requirement, skeletal muscle represents a set of innervated, voluntary muscle cells that exhibit fatigue with high energy requirements (e.g., muscles of the thigh or forearm). A cursory glance at the cellular structure and molecular cross-talk allows us to appreciate the complexity in composition, structure and function of striated muscle, designed to accomplish the task of generating contraction, force and movement. Briefly, skeletal muscle is a highly organized tissue containing several bundles of muscle fiber (myofibers). Each myofiber (containing several myofibrils), represents a muscle cell with its basic cellular unit called the sarcomere. Bundles of myofibers form the fascicles, and bundles of fascicles form the muscle tissue, with each layer successively encapsulated by the extracellular matrix (ECM; Lieber, 2009) and supported by the cytoskeletal networks. Skeletal muscle is highly vascularized and innervated, and embedded with components of the metabolic and regulatory machinery, supporting efficient energy production and cellular homeostasis (Figure 1). Precisely coordinated activity between each of these components is essential for shaping the state of muscular health and associated motor activity. Any perturbations (e.g., genetic or environmental) to this coordination, result in loss of muscle health and function, typically characterized by muscle fiber loss, reduced motor output and in some cases death.

Over the decades, reviews in skeletal muscle research have focused extensively on specific aspects of muscle structure, or function. Our current review focuses on providing a more holistic picture of the various interacting components within skeletal muscle. In this review, we emphasize the idea of viewing the muscle as a biomechanical device requiring the coordination between several factors (or components) both intrinsic (e.g., genetic) and extrinsic (e.g., environmental stressors, circulatory

**FIGURE 1** Schematic representation of skeletal muscle fiber—a single mature muscle fiber is shown here as a bundle of myofibrils, encased by the sarcolemma. The sarcoplasmic reticulum enmeshes fibrils with transverse (T) tubules intersecting them. Bundles of myofibers form fascicles, which further group together to form the muscle tissue. Satellite cells reside along the host muscle fiber, directly above the sarcolemma under the basal lamina of muscle and in proximity of myonuclei. Innervating nerve fibers and local capillaries extend along the length of the muscle fiber. Each layer is successively encased by the extracellular matrix, not shown here.
factors, etc.) essential for normal muscle function. Within each of these components, we highlight the necessary molecular cross-talk critical for defining its state. We also highlight instances of aberrant molecular mechanisms leading to disease, thus, bridging muscle research at genomic, molecular and mechanistic level, in health and disease (Figure 2).

This review begins with a focus on muscle tissue “development and regeneration”, outlining the embryological development of muscle, and the role for specific muscle regulatory factors in growth and development (Section 2). We also review satellite cell quiescence and activation that govern muscle regeneration and repair (Section 3). The “structural and functional” aspects of muscle, starting with the three most basic units that drive skeletal muscle contraction, namely (a) Neuromuscular junction (NMJ) which serves as a junction between nerve and muscle; (b) Machinery involved in excitation-contraction coupling (ECC), which is the process of transduction of electric impulses from nerve to muscle, required to initiate mechanical contraction; and (c) Sarcomere, the contractile apparatus required for force generation are discussed in Sections 4–6. Different muscle fiber types and the effect of exercise on fiber-type remodeling are also presented. We next discuss the ECM which encapsulates the muscle, protecting it (Section 7), and the cytoskeleton, which is necessary for mechanical support, and capable of sustaining muscle's rapid contraction and relaxation cycles (Section 8). We discuss the pathophysiological changes arising in muscle as a response to triggers (such as inflammation, oxidative stress, exercise), specifically, the impact on structural and functional integrity of the muscle, such as fibrosis, hypertrophy and atrophy in Sections 7 and 9. Stress signaling (e.g., due to disease or injury) initiates a host of protective responses including inflammation and oxidative stress and are discussed in Section 10. Carbohydrate metabolism serves as the major energy source required for muscle function. We discuss the basic bioenergetics pathways associated with energy metabolism (glucose and fat) in Section 11, along with a brief introduction to the effect of exercise on metabolism. The dynamics of interaction between molecular actors of immunity and metabolism (immunometabolism) has been recently identified as vital to maintaining the health of skeletal muscle and is also discussed. The vasculature necessary for oxygenation required to sustain muscle is reviewed in Section 12, with a special emphasis on vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGFs). Through the sections, we highlight and emphasize molecular perturbations and clinical manifestations of relevant diseases affecting muscle (italicized in text). Finally, in Section 13, we summarize and highlight common molecular mechanisms underlying a spectrum of muscle disorders, identified in our work previously, and using a network theoretic approach.

**FIGURE 2** Components of muscle structure and function—a schematic representation of the various functional components necessary for or arising as a consequence of muscle function, in health and disease. The structure and function of each of these units are discussed in this current review. The arrows identify a one-word description for each of the units and their role in governing normal muscle function.
Research in the past decade has increasingly acknowledged the contribution of noncoding components (e.g., long noncoding RNAs [IncRNAs], small open reading frames [smORFs]) to muscle development and function (Anderson et al., 2015; Andrews & Rothnagel, 2014; Fatica & Bozzoni, 2014; Gonçalves & Armand, 2017; Lim et al., 2018; Nelson et al., 2016; Nie, Deng, Liu, & Wang, 2015). However, it is beyond the scope of our current review and discussed only cursorily. The complexity in structure and function for each of the 13 units discussed here are immense, with several years of dedicated study by researchers. In this current review, we present a basic list of cellular components and molecular mechanisms for each unit, introducing the reader to the breadth of muscle research. In many instances, we use the more widely used names or symbols for several molecular markers within this review for improved readability. We provide their official gene symbol in Supplementary Table 1 for accuracy. The interested reader is directed to outstanding papers, of research and reviews, for in-depth discussions of relevant mechanisms and concepts, within the individual topics discussed here.

2 | MUSCLE EMBRYOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE ROLE FOR MUSCLE REGULATORY FACTORS

The positions and identities of cells that will form the three germ layers (ectoderm, mesoderm, and endoderm) are determined early in gestation (S. J. Arnold & Robertson, 2009). The mesoderm is anatomically separated into paraxial, intermediate, and lateral mesoderm, based on the position from the midline/neural tube. Lineage tracing and fate-mapping experiments have identified that embryonically, body skeletal muscle is derived from mesodermal precursor cells originating from the myotome, a somite-derived lineage (Tajbakhsh & Cossu, 1997). Somites are bilaterally paired epithelial clusters that are formed by epiblastalization of the paraxial mesoderm concomitant with segmentation. The processes of somite formation, segmentation and myogenesis are closely regulated by expression of genes involved directly or indirectly with WNT (von Maltzahn, Chang, Bentzinger, & Rudnicki, 2012), FGF (Pownall & Isaacs, 2010) and the inhibitory NOTCH (Buas & Kadesch, 2010) signaling pathways, in addition to the four myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs, MYOG1, MYOD, MRF4, and MYF5) (Bentzinger, Wang, & Rudnicki, 2012; Pownall, Gustafsson, & Emerson, 2002).

PAX3, a transcription factor, controls migration of muscle precursor cells by regulating LBX1 and cMET (Birchmeier & Brohmann, 2000). SIX1 and SIX4, two transcription factors are considered to be at the apex of the regulatory cascade that establishes the myogenic lineage of the precursor cells (Bentzinger et al., 2012; Grifone et al., 2005). Myoblasts activate MYF5 and MYOD1, two MRFs that control specification of head, epaxial, hypaxial and limb body muscle progenitors of the vertebrate embryo and mark a commitment to the muscle lineage. MYOD1 expression persists beyond differentiation, while MYF5 ceases during differentiation. Activation of a second wave of MRFs (MYOG and MRF4) induces terminal differentiation of myoblasts into myocytes that additionally express muscle-specific genes such as the contractile proteins of the muscle (myosin, actin, etc.) and muscle creatine kinase. The mononucleated myocytes eventually fuse to form multinucleated, mature, contracting muscle fibers (Figure 3). However, an understanding of specific molecular mechanisms controlling cell fusion of myocytes to mature myofibers is yet to be achieved. Recently, a minimal “two component program” for the induction of mammalian myocyte fusion comprising of Minion, an essential microprotein and Myomaker, a transmembrane protein (Gamage et al., 2017; Millay et al., 2013; Millay, Sutherland, Bassel-Duby, & Olson, 2014), have been identified as sufficient for fusion (Q. Zhang, Vashisht, O'Rourke, et al., 2017). During the late phase of embryonic myogenesis, a distinct population of somite-derived precursor cells remain in a quiescent undifferentiated state closely associated with myofibers (Lepper & Fan, 2010) and are called (adult) satellite cells (SCs). Many shared components including transcription factors and signaling molecules exist between embryonic myogenesis and muscle regeneration by SC activation in mature skeletal muscle (Tajbakhsh, 2009), as will be seen in the following section detailing SC quiescence, activation and muscle regeneration.

3 | SATELLITE CELLS AND MUSCLE REGENERATION

Regeneration is one of the hallmarks of mature skeletal muscle tissue. Its ability to regenerate is governed significantly by the interaction between SCs (Scharner & Zammit, 2011) (SCs, unipotent muscle precursor cells) and its microenvironment (niche) (Lander, Kimble, Clevers, et al., 2012). Muscle regeneration is a highly orchestrated process, which involves activation and migration of SCs to the site of injury and their proliferation and differentiation into muscle fibers.

SCs represent a population of adult stem cells, mostly derived from PAX3+/PAX7+ embryonic progenitor cells (Buckingham, 2007), and incorporated into growing fibers during postnatal muscle development. Anatomically, SCs appear wedged between basal lamina (BL), and the sarcolemma, sequestered in a particular microenvironment called the “niche,”
within the adult skeletal muscle (Yin, Price, & Rudnicki, 2013). These cells are in a “quiescent”/hibernating state. The BL serves as a scaffold for SCs and functions to limit and orient their migration during injury (Sanes, 2003). BLs present a large number of binding sites for integrins-α7/integrin-β1, which anchor the actin cytoskeleton of SCs to the BL (Blanco-Bose, Yao, Kramer, & Blau, 2001). This tethering also serves to relay extracellular mechanical cues (from myofibers) into intracellular chemical signals (within the SCs) (Boppart, Burkin, & Kaufman, 2006). The niche embedding the SCs is composed of both acellular and cellular components, including growth factors (GFs), ECM proteins, fibroadipogenic progenitors (FAPs), chemokines, and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). Beyond the immediate niche, local interstitial cells, motor neurons, vasculature and secreted factors (e.g., see Section 12.1), all have an ability to influence SC activity (Dumont, Wang, & Rudnicki, 2015; Yin et al., 2013).

The SC population is heterogeneous, differing in lineage potential, expression patterns, and myogenic differentiation potential (Kuang, Kuroda, Le Grand, & Rudnicki, 2007). The SC population is maintained uniformly, which however reduces in population density and efficacy with age (Almada & Wagers, 2016). Functional differences in regenerative potential exist between satellite stem cells (never expressed MYF5) and committed myogenic progenitor cells (that have expressed MYF5 at some point in development). Following transplantation, SCs preferentially repopulate the SC niche and contribute to long-term muscle regeneration in a PAX7-dependent manner (Günther et al., 2013).

### 3.1 Satellite cell quiescence

Quiescence defines a state of dormancy in adult stem cells, with quiescent SCs (QSCs) exhibiting an ability to rapidly activate, proliferate and differentiate into myofibers upon injury. The QSCs are characterized by the expression of definitive molecular markers, particularly PAX7, and a marked absence of two MRFs, MYOD1 and MYOG (Figure 4). Activation of NOTCH (Bjornson et al., 2012) and WNT signaling is essential for maintaining quiescence in SCs by inhibiting MYOD1 expression and inducing PAX7 (Olguin & Olwin, 2004). Recent work has identified an alternative pathway for NOTCH activation involving FOXO3 in QSCs (Gopinath, Webb, Brunet, & Rando, 2014). Several other molecular markers regulating quiescence have been identified including cell cycle inhibitors such as p21, p27 (Fukada et al., 2007), and DACH1 (which inhibits
cell cycle progression and regulates activity of pro-myogenic SIX1 and SIX4 (Pallafacchina et al., 2010). Skeletal muscle-specific TGFβ family member, myostatin, suppresses SC activation via induction of p21 (McCroskery, Thomas, Maxwell, Sharma, & Kambadur, 2003; Thomas et al., 2000). Retinoblastoma proteins (Carnac et al., 2000; Weinberg, 1995), and activated ID proteins (Benezra, Davis, Lockshon, Turner, & Weintraub, 1990) (particularly ID3; Kumar, Shadrach, Wagers, & Lassar, 2009) have also been identified as essential markers of QSCs. Activated CALCR, a calcitonin receptor, serves as both a spatial and temporal regulator of QSCs (Fukada et al., 2007; Yamaguchi et al., 2015). SPRY1, a tyrosine inhibitor kinase, is necessary for maintenance and re-entry of PAX7+ SCs into quiescence (Shea, Xiang, LaPorta, et al., 2010). Additionally, integrin-β1 and CXCR4, integrin-α7 and CD34 are all definitive cell surface markers for QSCs in skeletal muscle, in vivo (Maesner, Almada, & Wagers, 2016). A detailed review of additional molecular markers, metabolic states, and mobility of QSCs is presented in Rocheteau, Vinet, and Chretien (2015).

3.2 Satellite cell activation, differentiation, and proliferation

In response to muscle injury, several environmental cues (niche) and chemical signals trigger activation of SCs, signaling the proliferation and differentiation of SCs to mature fibers, replacing damaged ones. Activated SCs (ASCs) are characterized by PAX7 and MRF expression (MYOD1, MYOG, and MYF5). The relative expression of MYOD1, MYOG, and MYF5 in PAX7+ cells and their temporal sequence regulates and maintains ASC proliferation (reviewed in detail in Yin et al., 2013; Figure 4). Terminal differentiation begins with downregulation of MYF5 and later MYOD1, and a concerted expression of MYOG, MEF2C, and MRF4 much later. Downstream targets of MYOD1 and MYOG (including MEF2s), further activate fiber type specific contractile and cytoskeletal genes (Cooper et al., 1999; Yin et al., 2013). Several mechanisms are suggested to play a role in the activation of MRFs and its downstream targets (Francetic & Li, 2011). For instance, MYF5 is induced via the methyltransferase CARM1's action on PAX7 and recruitment of histone acetyltransferases to the enhancers of MYF5 (Kawabe, Wang, McKinnell, Bedford, & Rudnicki, 2012). PAX3 also regulates early MYF5 expression via direct regulation of DMRT2 (Sato, Rocancourt, Marques, Thorsteinsdóttir, & Buckingham, 2010). SIX family of proteins (SIX1, SIX4)
regulate MYOG expression, particularly, SIX4 repress MYOG, while SIX1 activate MYOG expression, thereby regulating proliferation and differentiation fates of ASCs (Yajima et al., 2010).

The migration to, and proliferation of SCs at the site of injury is driven by chemoattractants (released from the ECM or from the inflammatory cells), mostly, GFs such as VEGFs (see Section 12.1), fibroblast GFs, insulin GFs, and hepatocyte GFs, damage-associated molecular patterns (Hindi & Kumar, 2016; Lotze et al., 2007), and cytokines (TNFα and TGFβ) released by resident cells and infiltrating inflammatory cells (Allen & Boxhorn, 1989; Christov et al., 2007; Y.-P. Li, 2003; Sheehan & Allen, 1999; Tidball & Villalta, 2010). The JAK-STAT pathway, activated by various cytokines, has been suggested to play a crucial role in early myogenic differentiation (K. Wang, Wang, Xiao, Wang, & Wu, 2008) and SC proliferation and differentiation (Doles & Olwin, 2014). More recent studies also demonstrate the requirement of Gαi2, the α-subunit of the heterotrimeric G-protein complex, for SC differentiation in a protein kinase C and histone deacetylase (HDAC)-dependent manner (Minetti et al., 2014).

During regeneration, a portion of the ASC population has the capacity to return to quiescence to maintain the SC pool, essential for maintaining muscle integrity. STAT3 has been shown to regulate the self-renewal potential of SCs (H. Zhu et al., 2016), in injured muscle, during muscle regeneration. STAT3 is also associated with SC proliferation in an IL-6-dependent manner upon injury (Toth et al., 2011). The local production of IL-6 by skeletal muscle cells and stromal cells upon injury/exercise promotes SC activation, though the precise signaling mechanism of IL-6-dependent SC activation and proliferation, under various physiological states (e.g., injury, aging) is under much scrutiny (Belizário, Fontes-Oliveira, Borges, Kashiabara, & Vannier, 2016; Brack & Muñoz-Cánoves, 2015). p38MAPK serves as a powerful regulator of myogenesis via regulation of MRF activation (Lluís, Perdiguero, Nebreda, & Muñoz-Cánoves, 2006) and stem cell renewal and quiescence (Segalés, Perdiguero, & Muñoz-Cánoves, 2016). Fibroblast GF signaling serves as a potent activator of both STATs and p38MAPK in SCs (Pawlikowski, Orion Vogler, Gadek, & Olwin, 2017).

Mechanistic insights into the metabolic constraints for maintaining quiescence and transitioning to a proliferating/differentiating state are still in its infancy. Current research points to a switch from oxidative phosphorylation as energy source in quiescence to glycolysis in proliferating SCs (Koopman, Ly, & Ryall, 2014). The presence of an autophagic flux via the activation of SIRT1, a NAD+/NADH (nutrient) sensor, in QSCs is suggested as being required to meet the bioenergetics demands of the SC upon activation (Pardo & Boriek, 2011; Tang & Rando, 2014).

An understanding of posttranslational modifications and epigenetic control on SC quiescence, proliferation and differentiation states is gaining momentum and has been reviewed in detail in Segalés et al. (2016). They form an important mechanism for regulating the activation and activity of MRFs and subsequently of myogenesis (Giordani & Puri, 2013; Puri & Sartorelli, 2000; Saccone & Lorenzo, 2010).

Research in dystrophies have shown impacted activity of SCs which additionally undergo premature senescence (akin to sarcopenia) and a significant reduction in their population sizes, contribute to a reduction in muscle regenerative capacity (Heslop, Morgan, & Partridge, 2000; Jiang et al., 2014; Kudrtyashova, Kramerova, & Spencer, 2012; Yablonka-Reuveni & Anderson, 2006). Efforts are underway to rejuvenate stem cells to mitigate the effects of stem-cell aging on muscle regeneration (Bengal, Perdiguero, Serrano, & Muñoz-Cánoves, 2017) with a possibility of offering therapeutic relief in chronic diseases such as the dystrophies.

In the following sections, we review the basic molecular structure of muscle tissue and the components that enable muscle function.

**4 | NEUROMUSCULAR JUNCTION**

NMJ is the chemical synapse responsible for transmission of electric impulses from the innervating motor neuron to the innervated muscle fibers. The complexity and distribution of NMJs on the surface of muscle fibers differ greatly within and between muscle fibers in health and disease (Hall & Sanes, 1993; Hughes, Kusner, & Kaminski, 2006; Sanes & Lichtman, 1999). The NMJ comprises of three major regions: (a) the presynaptic region, comprising of the Schwann cell which envelops the nerve terminal containing the neurotransmitter; (b) the synaptic space lined by the basement membrane; and (c) the postsynaptic region containing the junctional sarcoplasm, and the postsynaptic membrane which contains receptors for the neurotransmitter (Figure 5).

**4.1 | Presynaptic region**

Schwann cell envelops much of the nerve terminal at the NMJ, except the part that faces the postsynaptic membrane. The nerve terminal contains an abundance of synaptic vesicles (SVs), which function to store, release and uptake the
neurotransmitter, acetylcholine (ACh) (Denker & Rizzoli, 2010; Rizzoli & Betz, 2005). SVs fuse to the presynaptic membrane at “active zones” initiating neuromuscular transmission (Nishimune, 2012). Active zones are visually dense zones, containing specialized proteins (such as Piccolo, Bassoon, and RIM1, interconnected by fibrils and embedded in a matrix), at the presynaptic membrane. Active zones are associated with vesicle docking and fusion, exocytosis, and vesicle recovery (Ackermann, Waites, & Garner, 2015). SVs are known to dock at active zones in highly definite patterns (Harlow, Ress, Stoschek, Marshall, & McMahan, 2001; Szule et al., 2012). Synapsin is suggested to anchor vesicles in reserve pools to the actin cytoskeleton, which are transported to active zones by myosin motors on actin tracks upon synaptic ingress of Ca2+ via presynaptic P/Q type voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCCs P/Q type; Cai & Sheng, 2009; Südhof, 2004). Rapid exocytosis from active zones is closely orchestrated by Ca2+ and subsequently by the VGCC. Lambert–Eaton myasthenic syndrome, a rare autoimmune disease of the presynaptic membrane, manifests when IgG antibodies cross-link VGCC, leading to a disruption of normal architecture and affecting active zone complexes (Fukunaga, Engel, Osame, & Lambert, 1982). The coupling mechanisms and modes of exocytosis of SVs are varied and are suggested to depend largely on muscle type and stimulus (Alabi & Tsien, 2013; L.-G. Wu, Hamid, Shin, & Chiang, 2014).
The exocytotic machinery comprises mainly of the soluble NSF-attachment protein receptor (SNARE) and SEC1/MUNC18-like (SM) proteins, which bring vesicles in close proximity of the presynaptic membrane (reviewed in Südhof & Rizo, 2011). Formation of a SNARE complex (the SNARE pin) occurs in three steps: (a) Presynaptic membrane-associated SNAP25 binds syntaxin-1 forming a complex (t-SNARE) at the presynaptic membrane. SM proteins (specifically MUNC18) binds to assembling SNARE complex via syntaxin-1, and has been shown to be essential for SV fusion in vivo (Shen, Tareste, Paumet, Rothman, & Melia, 2007); (b) Synaptogamins serve as a sensor for presynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ and bind with the t-SNARE, bringing the vesicle in close proximity to the presynaptic membrane (C. Wang, Bai, Chang, Chapman, & Jackson, 2006); (c) t-SNARE engages vesicle-associated VAMP/synaptobrevin to complete the formation of the SNARE complex. Complexins (CPLX1), that bind syntaxin-1 with synaptobrevins, play a role in both repressing and activating SNARE-dependent vesicle fusion, in conjunction with Ca$^{2+}$ activated synaptogamins (Maximov, Tang, Yang, Pang, & Südhof, 2009). Botulinum neurotoxins, a class of bacterial poisons, target various proteins of this exocytotic machinery leading to a failure in neurotransmission and eventual paralysis (Pirazzini, Rossetto, Eleopra, & Montecucco, 2017). Following exocytosis, endocytosis rapidly recycles vesicles, vesicular membrane proteins and sustains further exocytosis. NSF, neurexin, and α-SNAP are known to be involved with the disassembly of SNAREs following exocytosis and play a crucial role in maintaining fusion dynamics and vesicle recovery within the synapse (C. Zhao, Slevin, & Whiteheart, 2007).

### 4.2 | The synaptic space and the synaptic basal lamina

Space between the pre- and postsynaptic membranes through which ACh diffuses, is divided into the primary cleft (bounded by the presynaptic membrane and the basement membrane) and the secondary clefts (space between the junctional folds of the postsynaptic membrane). Center of the synaptic cleft is occupied by the synaptic BL (basement membrane, BL). In addition to a mechanical role, synaptic BL plays an important role in NMJ innervation, development and regeneration, specifying architecture and physiological roles of pre- and postsynaptic membranes in both normal and disease pathology (Sanes, 2003). Components of the synaptic BL include laminins (4, 9, and 11) (Rogers & Nishimune, 2017), collagens IV, and nidogen-2 (Fox, Ho, Smyth, & Sanes, 2008). A portion of the diffusing ACh is hydrolyzed by AChE, promoting cessation of signal transmission (Soreq & Seidman, 2001). AChE is anchored to the BL via COLQ and perlecan (Anglister & McMahan, 1985; Kimbell, Ohno, Engel, & Rotundo, 2004). Expression of perlecan is crucial for localizing AChE to the synaptic BL (Arriwaka-Hirasawa, Rossi, Rotundo, & Yamada, 2002), while COLQ is suggested to control postsynaptic differentiation (Sigoillot, Bourgeois, Lambergeon, Strochlic, & Legay, 2010). Agrin, a NMJ heparin sulfate (HS) proteoglycan (PG), critical for organization of the ACh receptors and NMJ, is found in the BL along with neuregulin which acts downstream of agrin (Mc Mahan, 1990). ECM in the synaptic space also plays a role in, reinnervation (Glicksman & Sanes, 1983; Sanes, Marshall, & McMahan, 1978) and synaptic adhesion (Yamagata, Sanes, & Weiner, 2003).

### 4.3 | Postsynaptic region

Postsynaptic region consists of junctional folds, which amplify the postsynaptic membrane area and consequently the volume of synaptic space, and the junctional sarcoplasm (Figure 5). Junctional sarcoplasm fills the synaptic space and contains several cellular structures such as mitochondria, Golgi apparatus, and intermediate filaments (IFs), required to meet the metabolic and structural needs of the postsynaptic region.

The terminal expansions (crests) of the junctional folds are packed with nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) which are pentameric ion channels with subunits α, β, γ, δ, and ε (Kramer, 2016) which are linked via rapsyn (Zuber & Unwin, 2013). ACh that reaches the postsynaptic membrane activates nAChRs, creating a local depolarization potential. Under normal physiological conditions, nAChR are impermeable to Cl$^-$ ions but allow Na$^{+}$ and K$^+$ ions and to a lesser extent Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions. The magnitude and direction of current through the nAChRs depends however on the membrane potential. This in turn activates the voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSCs) concentrated in the troughs of junctional folds (Awad et al., 2001), along with neural cell adhesion molecule (Refuse, Polo-Parada, & Landmemser, 2000), creating an action potential which is transmitted through the fiber via the T-tubules. Ankyrin-G and β-spectrin are essential for maintaining VGSC densities in the postsynaptic folds, necessary for impulse propagation (Flucher & Daniels, 1989; Tee & Peppelenbosch, 2010; Wood & Slater, 1998). MUSK a master regulator of NMJ development is suggested to induce AChR clustering via agrin and its co-receptor, LRP4 (Zong et al., 2012). Detailed reviews of agrin associated signaling via muscle-specific and cytoskeletal proteins (e.g., MUSK, LRP4), necessary for AChR clustering and formation of postsynaptic structures are presented in Bezakova and Ruegg (2003) and H. Wu, Xiong, and Mei (2010) (Figure 6).
Additionally, research has indicated important organizational roles for amyloid precursor proteins (APP, APLP1, APLP2) specifically trans-adhesion of the post- and presynaptic membranes via their interaction with LRP4 and agrin at the NMJ (H. Y. Choi et al., 2013; Klevanski et al., 2014). Neuregulin (a neural trophic factor similar to agrin) and its receptors ERBB2/3/4 aggregate on the postsynaptic membrane. Neuregulin/ERBB signaling is suggested to function in stabilizing agrin-induced AChR clusters, via phosphorylation of α-Dystrobrevin-1, subsequently maintaining organization of the adult NMJ (Schmidt et al., 2011).

Myasthenia gravis (MG, acquired, neonatal and congenital) represent the largest group of progressive disorders caused due to impaired signal transmission across the motor end plates due to perturbations to a single (SCN4A mutations, Tsujino et al., 2003) or multiple proteins associated with postsynaptic membrane (nAChR degradation or its associated proteins; rapsyn, agrin, etc.; Engel, 2014). MG is characterized first by loss of control and weakness in eye muscles, followed by throat and neck and subsequently limb muscles. Majority of the acquired and neonatal MG cases are associated with IgG antibody cross-linking of the postsynaptic nAChRs, resulting in the reduction of the number of effective receptors. Autoantibody binding, results in increasing degradation or nAChRs and subsequent damage to the postsynaptic membrane and its dynamics with synaptic folds, leading to impacted muscle contraction (Hirsch, 2007). Recent research has also shown mutations in COL13A1 (a transmembrane collagen shown to regulate synaptic integrity via its binding to COLQ) resulting in a novel subtype of congenital MG (Härönen et al., 2017; Logan, Cossins, Cruz, et al., 2015).

5 | EXCITATION CONTRACTION COUPLING

Muscle contraction begins with the activation of fast sodium channels (postsynaptic voltage channels, SCN4A), generating an action potential that is transmitted to the muscle fiber, initiating contraction. This process, called ECC occurs at the junction between two membranous structures, namely, the transverse tubules (T-tubules) and the sarcoplasmic reticulae, called the triad junction (Figure 1 for a birds-eye view, Figure 7). The transmitted nerve action potential depolarizes the dihydropyridine receptor (DHPR) of the T-tubules, a voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channel (VGCC L-Type), which in turn triggers the intracellular release of a large bolus of Ca^{2+} from the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) terminal cisternae via the ryanodine receptors (RYRs, calcium release channels). DHPR is suggested to act as a voltage sensor in skeletal muscle, and controls the opening of RYRs.
through direct molecular interactions (Franzini-Armstrong, 2004). Dominant point mutations in DHPR (Ptáček, Tawil, Griggs, et al., 1994), and in SCN4A (Jurkat-Rott et al., 2000), are associated with hypokalemic periodic paralysis, a disease characterized by muscle weakness/loss in fiber strength at low extracellular potassium levels.

In healthy cells, large amounts of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} are effectively sequestered in the vicinity of RYRs, within the SR lumen by calsequestrin (CASQ). CASQ, a very high affinity Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding protein, sequesters large amounts of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in densely concentrated filamentous matrices within the terminal cisternae of SR (Beard, Laver, & Dulhunty, 2004) and is suggested to regulate RYR dynamics (Beard et al., 2005) affecting muscle contractility. Two proteins, mitsugumin29 (MG29) and junctophilin function to maintain the structural and functional integrity of the triad junction. Junctophilin physically docks SR to the T-tubule (Takeshima, Komazaki, Nishi, Iino, & Kangawa, 2000) maintaining the spatial proximity and MG29 is suggested to co-localize within the junction (N. R. Brandt & Caswell, 1999; Takeshima, Shimuta, Komazaki, et al., 1998) and is necessary for efficient signal transduction of ECC between the SR and T-tubules (Komazaki, Ito, Takeshima, & Nakamura, 2002; Nishi et al., 1999). Two other integral membrane proteins triadin (which aids in sequestering of CASQ) and junctin (a CASQ binding protein) are both suggested to form a quaternary complex with CASQ and RYR and are required for the normal regulation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release (Györke, Hester, Jones, & Györke, 2004; L. Zhang, Kelley, Schmeisser, Kobayashi, & Jones, 1997). RYR1 interacts with several other proteins integral to the SR such as FKBP1A, Homer, and calmodulin (CaM) leading to tight regulation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations for efficient coupling and force generation. FKBP1A and Homer are essential for stabilization, and proper functioning of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release channels within muscle (Avila, Lee, Perez, Allen, & Dirksen, 2003; Pouliquin & Dulhunty, 2009). CaM, a soluble Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding protein binds RYR and activates/inhibits its function depending on cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration (Tripathy, Xu, Mann, & Meissner, 1995). Ca\textsuperscript{2+}/CaM-dependent protein kinases (CaMK), specifically CaMKII, associated with the terminal cisternae of SR are shown to phosphorylate a series of proteins within the SR and regulate their function directly affecting ECC (Chin, 2005). A newly discovered Z-disk protein NRIP, is suggested to activate CaMKII through Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent binding with CaM regulating mitochondrial function, slow myosin expression and muscle regeneration (Chen et al., 2015). Recent studies have identified S100A1 as a physiological modulator of RYR1, which
structurally alters the RYR1/CaM complex suggesting complex dynamics between the three players at varying Ca^{2+} concentrations (Rebbeck et al., 2016).

Genetic defects in Ca^{2+} release channels (RYR1) are associated with two diseases classified broadly under congenital myopathies, namely, malignant hyperthermia (MH) and central core disease (CCD). CCD is a rare, inherited, non-progressive myopathy characterized by loss in muscle tone and muscle weakness, accompanied often by MH (Jungbluth, 2007). Patients with MH exhibit adverse responses to inhalational anesthetics and muscle relaxants. Physiologically, in the presence of triggering agents such as anesthetics, mutated release channels (i.e., RYR1) flood the cell with spontaneous and enhanced rates of Ca^{2+}, overpowering the Ca^{2+} pump action. Sustained muscle contractions lead to muscle rigidity, with increased rates of glycolytic metabolism, lactic acid production, CO_{2} and heat combined with an enhanced oxygen uptake. Loss of ion homeostasis and associated membrane damage lead to other life-threatening systemic problems (hypoxemia, hyperkalemia, ventricular fibrillation, renal failure, and cyanosis) and in many cases, death (Loke & MacLennan, 1998).

The elevation of cytosolic Ca^{2+} brings a conformational change in troponin, beginning the cascade to muscle contraction. In contrast, muscle relaxation is brought about by removal of cytosolic Ca^{2+} and is associated with high chemical energy requirements. ATP-dependent Ca^{2+} ATPase (SERCA pumps) densely packed on the non-junctional face of the SR terminal cisternae function to return cytosolic Ca^{2+} released into the terminal cisternae (Periasamy & Kalyanasundaram, 2007). Three homologous ATP2A genes have been identified to encode three SERCA isoforms and their splice variants, with SERCA1a being ubiquitously expressed in mature skeletal muscle and SERCA1b in immature (fetal and neonatal) skeletal muscle. Additionally, SERCA1a binds sarcoplasm (SLN) and phospholamban (PLN) (two homologs) that at low Ca^{2+} cytosolic concentrations significantly reduce SERCA's affinity to Ca^{2+}, bringing about muscle relaxation (Espinoza-Fonseca, Autry, & Thomas, 2015). Sarcalumenin (SRL), a luminal glycoprotein, plays a role in maintaining protein stability of SERCA pumps as well as buffering of Ca^{2+} in skeletal and cardiac muscles (Yoshida et al., 2005). Parvalbumin, a high Ca^{2+} affinity protein, present in the soluble sarcoplasm acts as a relaxing factor by binding free Ca^{2+} and is directly correlated with relaxation speeds of mammalian fast muscle (Rall, 1996).

Excitation contraction coupling results in the contraction of the sarcomeric machinery as outlined in the next section.

6 MUSCLE CONTRACTION AND FORCE GENERATION

6.1 The sarcomere

Force generation and rapid movement are hallmarks of striated muscle function brought about by contraction of the sarcomere. Sarcomeres represent an elegant piece of molecular machinery whose complex structure is composed of two main alternating sets of protein filaments: thin filaments (α-actin and associated proteins) and thick filaments (myosin and associated proteins) which run parallel to the muscle fiber axis. Visually, the sarcomere is bordered at each end by a dark narrow line called the Z-disk. Each Z-disk bisects a lighter I band which is shared between adjacent sarcomeres. At the center of the sarcomere is a dense A-band made up of thick filaments, with a lighter H-zone. The M-line bisects the H-zone. Thin filaments are held together, in a lateral array, at the Z-disk while the M-band interconnects the thick filaments (Figure 8a; Huxley, 1957). Functionally, contraction begins with the binding of troponin-C with the Ca^{2+} released during ECC. This brings about a conformational change in the troponin-tropomyosin complex resulting in the exposure of myosin binding sites on the actin filaments. Myosin heads then bind and crawl along the length of the actin filament bringing about hydrolysis of ATP and subsequently contraction (Huxley, 1969; Huxley & Kress, 1985).

The following sections briefly outline the major sarcomeric proteins, the mechanism of sarcomeric contraction, fiber types and their roles in health and disease (Clark, McElhinny, Beckerle, & Gregorio, 2002; Figure 8b).

6.1.1 Thick filament

The thick filament is mainly composed of myosin proteins. Myosin is both an enzyme as it hydrolyzes ATP (head) and a structural protein (tail) and is associated with other non-myosin proteins with specialized (mostly structural) functions such as myosin binding proteins (MyBPs) C and H of the M-band. MyBPCs are an important class of MyBPs that contribute to myosin's precise organization and regulate force generation by the actomyosin complex (Ackermann & Kontrogianni-Konstantopoulos, 2013). MyBPC is found associated with titin (Freiburg & Gautel, 1996) and as transverse stripes within the sarcomeric A-band (R. Gilbert, Cohen, Pardo, Basu, & Fischman, 1999). The giant elastic protein, titin, extends along the length of the thick filament, as far as the Z-line ensuring that equal forces are developed in the two halves of the A-band in a
mature muscle (K. Wang, McClure, & Tu, 1979). For a more detailed review of the titin gene and protein function, the reader
is suggested a recent review by Linke (2018). Developmentally titin is suggested to act as a "molecular template," a ruler, for
defining the precise length and organization for myosin filaments (Horowits, Kempner, Bisher, & Podolsky, 1986).

As observed in Oldfors (2007), a new group of muscle diseases called "hereditary myosin myopathies" have emerged,
associated mainly with myosin mutations. They broadly represent at least five different muscle diseases including myosin storage myopathy (MSM). MSM is a slowly progressing, relatively mild congenital myopathy characterized by accumulation of myosin in Type I muscle fibers. Other diseases included the Freeman–Sheldon and Sheldon–Hall syndromes as a result of MYH3 mutations, dominant inclusion body myopathy caused by mutations in fast myosin IIA and distal arthrogryposis tris- mus pseudocamptodactyly syndrome caused by mutations in perinatal MYH (reviewed in Laing & Nowak, 2005; Oldfors, 2007).

A whole class of proteins at the M-band/M-line, associate myosin with titin, which function to stabilize the transverse and
longitudinal order of the thick filament lattice and link neighboring filaments for coordinated contraction of the sarcomeres (Hu,
Ackermann, & Kontrogianni-Konstantopoulos, 2015). Myomesin is one of the main proteins of the M-line that are suggested to
function as strain sensors within the sarcomere (Xiao & Gräter, 2014). Anti-parallel dimers of myomesin link myosin filaments
at the M-line, and are linked in a ternary complex with obscurin and titin (Gautel & Djinović-Carugo, 2016; Pernigo et al.,
2015; Pernigo, Fukuzawa, Beedle, et al., 2017). Obscurin, serves as ligand for small ankyrin-1, a protein integral to the network SR (Ackermann et al., 2011; Kontrogianni-Konstantopoulos, Catino, Strong, et al., 2006; Kontrogianni-Konstantopoulos, Jones,
Van Rossum, & Bloch, 2003) and is suggested to regulate alignment of the network SR around the sarcomere (Konstantopoulos et al., 2006). Creatine kinase, present in the M-band binds to myosin and acts as spatial ATP buffer, essential for maintaining energy homeostasis and serving immediate ATP requirements of the sarcomere (Wallimann & Eppenberger, 1985; Wallimann, Schlässer, & Eppenberger, 1984). The presence of this protein kinase at the M-band suggests an additional enzymatic role for the M-band within the sarcomere. The M-line also serves as a scaffold for a number of components of the protein turnover machinery via ubiquitin-mediated turnover (Durham et al., 2006; Sarparanta et al., 2010) and is suggested to be involved in cytoskeletal remodeling (Hu et al., 2015).

### 6.1.2 Thin filament

Actin isoforms polymerize to form thin filaments, an essential part of the contraction machinery. Similar to thick filaments, thin filaments are associated with a host of proteins that facilitate contraction. The most important are troponin (TNN-I, the inhibitory subunit that binds to actin; TNN-C, the calcium binding subunit and TNN-T, the tropomyosin binding component) and tropomyosin that functions to stabilize actin and provide a molecular scaffold for positioning the Ca$^{2+}$-sensitive troponin molecule on the filament (reviewed in Zot & Potter, 1987). Ca$^{2+}$ released upon fiber depolarization, raises the free Ca$^{2+}$ concentration in cytosol, binding to Ca$^{2+}$-specific sites of TNN-C, forming the initial signal for myofibrillar contraction, with Bönnemann (2012).

Mutations in genes encoding skeletal muscle actin, tropomyosin, TNN-T and nebulin result in molecular defects causative of a group of muscle disorders largely defined as congenital myopathies (particularly, nemaline rod myopathy). A detailed review, its clinical relevance and management is provided in Jungbluth et al. (2018) and Nance, Dowling, Gibbs, and Bönnemann (2012).

### 6.1.3 Z-disk

The Z-disk/Z-line anchors and cross-links anti-parallel actin filaments in a regular lateral array and connects repeating sarcomeres into the linear array of the myofibril. A large proportion of known sarcomeric proteins are identified within the Z-disk including α-actinin, myozenins, myotilin, myopalladin, myopodin, γ-filamin, γ-actin (Papponen, Kaisto, Leinonen, Kaakinen, & Metsikkö, 2009), muscle LIM protein (MLP), desmin, overlapping portions of thin filaments (nebulin, actin), titin and the more recently discovered NRIP protein (Chen et al., 2015; see Section 5).

α-Actinin is a key structural component and cross-linking protein of the Z-disk. It also connects titin molecules from opposing sarcomere halves (Luther, 2009). Capping proteins for actin, CapZ (Yamashita, Maeda, & Maeda, 2003) and for titin-telethonin/TCAP (Valle, Faulkner, De Antoni, et al., 1997; Zou et al., 2006), are located within the Z-disk. Myopalladin (Bang et al., 2001) links nebulin to α-actinin subsequently anchoring nebulin to the Z-disk. It also interacts with titin and ANKRD1, suggesting a role in the stretch sensor system within the muscle. Myopodin, an actin bundling protein, co-localizes with α-actinin, γ-filamin (Linnemann et al., 2010), synaptopodin 2-like (Beqqali et al., 2010) and is suggested to participate in signaling between the nucleus and the Z-disk during development and cellular stress. Myozenin binds to several Z-disk proteins α-actinin, γ-filamin (Takada et al., 2001) and myotilin (Gontier et al., 2005) and is suggested to influence the dimerization and subsequent lateral spacing of thin filaments at the Z-disk. Studies in exercise-induced muscle remodeling have identified a translocation of myotilin from the Z-disk to M-bands (Carlsson, Yu, Moza, Carpén, & Thornell, 2007). MLPs are suggested to play role in mechano-sensing (via costameric proteins; Flick & Konieczny, 2000) and actin dynamics (bundling and cross-linking; Hoffmann et al., 2014).

Mutations in Z-disk genes (myotilin, T-cap, and titin) are associated with a form of dystrophy called limb-girdle muscular dystrophy (LGMD; W.-C. Liang & Nishino, 2015). LGMD are a genetically heterogeneous disease group, clinically characterized by progressive weakness of first proximal and then distal muscles. Myotilin, along with two other Z-disk associated proteins, desmin and αB-crystallin have also been implicated in myofibrillar myopathies characterized by abnormal myofibrillar degradation and accumulation of degradation products (Selcen & Engel, 2004). In addition to the diseases specifically mentioned in the sections above, mutations in several sarcomeric proteins are also the cause for a major class of inherited diseases that affect cardiac mass and function called familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.
Over 100 mutations have been identified in cardiac isoforms of thick, and thin filament proteins such as MYH7, TNN2, TNN13, TPM1, MYOZ2, MYL2, ACTC1, TCAP, MYBPC3, and TTN as contributing to FHC (reviewed in Bonne, Carrier, Richard, Hainque, & Schwartz, 1998; Marian, 2008).

6.2 | Force generation

It is understood that muscle fibers have a consistent fiber diameter between muscles of different sizes and fiber size is directly proportional to fiber force generation. However, architecturally, how the myofibers arrange themselves with respect to the force-generating axis demonstrates the versatility of muscle function. Three main classes of muscle architecture have been identified (Lieber & Friden, 2000): (a) longitudinal, where myofibers run along the length of muscle's force-generating axis (e.g., biceps); (b) unipennate in which myofibers run along a fixed angle of the axis (e.g., vastus lateralis muscle); and (c) multipennate architecture in which muscle fibers run at several angles relative to the muscle's force-generating axis (e.g., gluteus medius muscle).

At a molecular level, the sarcomeric contraction is a movement of the myosin heads on actin filaments—called cross-bridge cycle. The cross-bridge cycle is a sequence of enzymatic reactions responsible for movement of myosin heads on actin filaments, generating force within each individual myofibril, which is collectively experienced by the muscle. Briefly, force generation occurs in six steps and is summarized as follows (Fitts, 2008; Figure 9). At the onset of contraction, free cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ brings a conformational change in troponin, revealing myosin-binding sites on actin filaments. Myosin head swings out towards the thin filament at a 45° angle and is in a rigor (stiff) state. Available ATP binds to myosin, briefly dissociating myosin from actin. The ATPase activity of myosin hydrolyzes ATP to ADP and Pi (free phosphate) (still bound to myosin) causing the myosin filament to weakly rebind actin at the 90° angle (cross-bridge) relative to the actin filament. The release of Pi initiates the power stroke. The myosin head rotates on its hinge pushing the actin filament past it, towards the M-band. At the end of the power stroke, myosin head releases ADP and regains its rigor state.

6.3 | Fiber types

Force generation depends on the size and fiber type composition of skeletal muscle. Four types of muscle fibers (within two major fiber types) dominate skeletal muscle, namely slow-twitch (Type I) and fast-twitch (Type II) fibers containing subtypes

**FIGURE 9** Cellular events underlying force generation. Force generation begins with arrival of an impulse, which changes the Ca$^{2+}$ dynamics within muscle leading to a highly orchestrated set of specific changes to the molecular structure of the actomyosin complex bringing about sarcomeric contraction.
IIA, IIB, and IIX. It is recognized that the pattern of Type II fiber specialization depends on expression patterns of myosin heavy chains isoforms during histogenesis (Rubinstein & Kelly, 2004).

Phenotypically, slow-twitch or Type I muscle is highly vascularized and saturated with mitochondria and myoglobin exhibiting high mitochondrial and oxidative enzyme content with low glycolytic activity. Slow-twitch fibers are resistant to fatigue, relying on oxidative metabolism for energy, while contracting for long periods with little force generated. Type I fibers are found more abundantly in elite endurance athletes (e.g., swimmers). Fast-twitch or Type II muscle, exhibit faster contraction times, sustaining short anaerobic bursts of activity, fatiguing easier than Type I fibers. Type II fibers have a high glycolytic capacity ensuring adequate ATP generation to compensate for the accelerated rate of ATP hydrolysis. For this reason, a higher proportion of Type II fibers can be seen in elite strength and power athletes (e.g., sprinters, weight lifters). Of the three major subtypes (IIA, IIX, and IIB), that vary in both contractile speed and force generation. IIA fibers are similar to slow-twitch in the sense that they have more myoglobin and depend more on oxidative metabolism.

Physiologically, the difference between fast- and slow-twitch muscles is based on differences in their calcium kinetics, ECC mechanisms, and molecular motor activity, which governs the basic twitch parameters (time to peak tension and half-relaxation time). Fast fibers exhibit shorter twitch parameters, and rapid contraction of the sarcomere. Fast fibers allow for generation of fast and large calcium transients, contributed by lower cystolic-free Ca2+, reduced Ca2+ entry from extracellular space, and greater abundance of RYRs and SERCA pumps (Reggiani & Te Kronnie, 2006). Fast fibers are endowed with a powerful contractile machinery primarily due to differing myosin isoforms (MYH2 in IIA, MYH4 in IIB, and MYH1 in IIX fibers, respectively) exhibiting rapid sarcomeric shortening velocity and higher mechanical power. Slow fibers contract much more slowly, generating less mechanical power with lesser ATP expenditure, making them (fiber subtypes) metabolically diverse (Rivero, Talmadge, & Edgerton, 1998).

Genetically, each muscle fiber type is equally diverse with different thick and thin filament isoforms being expressed in slow and fast muscle. For instance, MYH7, MYL2/3, MYBL2, TNNT1/I1/C1, TPM3, TMOD1, ATP2A2, and CASQ2 represent slow fiber isoforms, while MYL1, MYBP2, TNNT3/I1/C2, TPM1, TMOD4, ATP2A1, CASQ1 all represent fast fiber isoforms.

6.3.1 Fiber-type remodeling and the effect of exercise on fiber types

Skeletal muscle fibers exhibit remarkable plasticity, an ability to undergo adaptive changes, in response to physical activity (exercise) or inactivity (disuse, disease, injury). Studies have identified mechanisms necessary for specifying fiber type during development and maintaining or switching fiber types thereafter. For instance, Buller, Mommaerts, and Seraydarian (1969) first demonstrated fiber type switching in cats as a result of changes in nerve activity. The role of exercise in fiber-type remodeling and muscle function is well studied in the context of sports physiology (Wilson et al., 2012), and, is of importance in metabolic diseases and cardiovascular health. For instance, exercise in human and animal models is shown to induce a switch in fiber types to a more oxidative fast fiber phenotype (IIA → IIA in humans, and IIB → IIX → IIA in rats and mice with a nonsignificant switch to a slow phenotype (Ausoni, Gorza, Schiaffino, Gundersen, & Lomo, 1990). Fiber-type switching has been evidenced to involve signaling mechanisms containing the calcineurin-NFAT signaling pathway as reported in a seminal paper by Chin et al. (1998); bidirectional promoters (which can generate both sense and antisense transcripts located in the vicinity of MYH genes; Rinaldi et al., 2008), and/or miRNAs (located within the MYH genes; van Rooij et al., 2009). MYH gene expression and fiber type profile (as a result of disease or exercise) are also known to be affected by the activity of genes such as MEF2 (H. Wu et al., 2000), PPAR-β/δ (Schuler et al., 2006; Y.-X. Wang et al., 2004), activated protein kinase (AMPK; Lee-Young, Canny, Myers, & McConell, 2009), and PGC1-α (Handschin et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2002).

Studies in various animal and human models of disease and injury have additionally shown that both skeletal and cardiac muscle fibers begin to express embryonic and developmental isoforms, such as MYH3 and MYH8 (Mukund & Subramaniam, 2015; Mukund, Ward, Lieber, & Subramaniam, 2017; Taegtmeyer, Sen, & Vela, 2010), possibly contributing to observed changes in muscle force and resistance to fatigue. A detailed and versatile review on the functional, physiological and mechanistic differences between muscle fiber types is provided in Schiaffino and Reggiani (2011).

7 EXTRACELLULAR MATRIX

Connective tissue of muscle is a complex entity, comprising of non-contractile ECM with embedded fibroblasts and macrophages and an extensive network of capillaries and nerves, flexible enough to adjust to contraction–relaxation cycles. ECM is multifunctional within muscle and enables uniform distribution and transmission of force within muscle and from muscle to...
tendon (along BL). ECM also serves as a scaffold for cell matrix interactions (focal adhesion) necessary for a host of biological responses within the muscle (Grzelkowska-Kowalczyk, 2016). The cytoskeleton-ECM-reticular linkage (via the dystrophin associated protein complex, DAPC) has been shown to be crucial for providing necessary biomechanical support and handling contraction (stretch) stresses within the muscle, behaving as a key modulator for maintaining mechanical homeostasis within the muscle (Humphrey, Dufresne, & Schwartz, 2014).

Traditionally, ECM in skeletal muscle is organized into three discrete but interconnected structures: epimysium, perimysium, and the endomysium. The epimysium, a dense connective tissue layer encapsulates the entire muscle while the perimysium derives from the epimysium and surrounds the fascicles (bundles of muscle fibers). The endomysium or basement membrane (comprising of an inner BL [adjacent to the sarcolemma] and an outer reticular lamina) is a delicate layer of ECM surrounding each myofiber. This gross classification is in much debate given our increasing recognition of the ECM complexity in structure and function (Gillies & Lieber, 2011).

The ECM constitutes three main classes of proteins namely collagens, non-collagenous glycoproteins and PGs (Figure 10). Collagens represent the largest fraction of matrix proteins within the muscle (Gelse, Pöschl, & Aigner, 2003; Gordon & Hahn, 2010). Collagens I, III, V, and XI are fibrillar collagens that are capable of forming fibrils in the muscle ECM. Collagen I, a major collagen within muscle, exhibits considerable biomechanical properties including tensile strength and load bearing. Collagen VI, a microfibrillar protein forms a network of fine filaments, while collagen IV forms the most important structural component of the basement membrane integrating laminins, nidogens (Fox et al., 2008; Ho, Böse, Mokkapati, Nischt, & Smyth, 2008), and other proteins into a stable structure. Lysyl hydroxylase-3 plays a particularly important role in the biosynthesis of functional collagen types IV and VI (Salo et al., 2008). Fibronectin functions as a “master organizer,” aiding in fibril organization along with fibrillin-1 and as a bridge between proteins including integrins (α7/β1), collagen IV, PGs and other focal adhesion molecules (Halper & Kjaer, 2014). It also plays an essential role in the assembly of fibrillin-1 into structured microfibrils (Sabattier et al., 2009). Elastin is the main component of elastic fibers (encased in layers of microfibrils and PGs) contributing to muscle elasticity (A. Gilbert, Wyczalkowska-Tomasik, Zendzian-Piotrowska, & Czarkowska-Paczek, 2016; Kozel, Ciliberto, & Mecham, 2004).

A variety of regulatory ECM proteins are involved in matrix assembly and the modulation of cell–matrix interactions, including nidogens, periostin, and SPP1. MMPs and their inhibitors (TIMP1, TIMP2) are an important class of ECM-associated enzymes that maintain ECM integrity and regulate ECM protein degradation (Arpino, Brock, & Gill, 2015;
S. Murphy & Ohlendieck, 2016). A variety of PGs (such as hyaluronan), chondroitin sulfate/dermatan sulfate PGs (such as versican; Nandadasa, Foulcer, & Apte, 2014), small leucine-rich repeat PGs (e.g., biglycan, decorin, lumican, fibromodulin) and HS PGs (e.g., syndecan, perlecan, agrin) have been identified to be distributed between the collagen fibers (Halper & Kjaer, 2014). HA is a large, linear glycosaminoglycan highly expressed in muscle during development (Tammi et al., 2011). Biglycan interacts with α-sarcoglycan and γ-sarcoglycan (Bowe, Mendis, & Fallon, 2000), while decorin, a known inhibitor of TGF-β, is the primary PG molecule of the perimysium (J. Zhu et al., 2007). Syndecan, perlecan, and agrin are found associated with the basement membrane and co-operate with integrins to facilitate cell–ECM interactions (Sarrazin, Lamanna, & Esko, 2011). Several of the above-mentioned proteins serve as important signaling mediators that directly influence muscle regeneration, wound healing and recovery (Aya & Stern, 2014; Y. Li et al., 2007; Schultz & Wysocki, 2009). Production and maintenance of these ECM components is tightly regulated by a host of growth factors sequestered at the ECM including connective tissue growth factor (regulates collagen gene expression), HGFs (regulate quiescent satellite activation), FGFs (stimulate angiogenesis and regulate fibroblast proliferation and action), and TGFβ (regulate fibroblast and ECM expression) (Plaumenhaif & Rifkin, 1991). ECM at the NMJ plays a crucial role in the organization and interaction between the nerve terminal and muscle fiber as outlined in Section 4.2.

7.1 | ECM in pathology

Muscle is capable of regenerating and should ideally recover completely upon injury. However, muscle ECM composition and function are dramatically affected after chronic/acute injury arising from disease (Carmignac & Durbeej, 2012), diet (Tam, Power, Markovic, et al., 2015), poisons/pathogens (Mukund et al., 2017), Crum-Cianflone (Crum-Cianflone, 2008), and age (Stearns-Reider et al., 2017). Insulin resistance, the hallmark of diabetes, is tightly linked with ECM remodeling and deposition of ECM proteins such as collagens, laminins and fibronectin, predisposing diabetes (Ban & Twigg, 2008; Fukui et al., 1992; A. S. Williams, Kang, & Wasserman, 2015). Studies stimulating chronic/acute muscle and nerve injury have repeatedly identified ECM expansion as a crucial step in muscle recovery, particularly at the BL. Mutations of laminin α2 and collagen VI of the synaptic BL have more recently been identified to be causative of congenital muscular dystrophy (Muntoni & Voit, 2004). In models of chronic/acute injury, rapid fiber necrosis is observed immediately upon injury, resulting in the activation of the complement cascade and infiltration of leukocytes and neutrophils followed by monocytes (macrophages). Phagocytic macrophages clear damaged myofibers and produce anti/pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TGFβ and TNFα, which regulate cell migration, proliferation and muscle regeneration (Philippou, Maridaki, Theos, & Koutsilieris, 2012). In muscle recovery, resident fibroblasts are transformed into myofibroblasts (which synthesize ECM components such as fibrous collagens I and III and BL collagens IV and VI; Chapman, Mukund, Subramaniam, Brenner, & Lieber, 2017), bringing about an expansion of ECM proteins. Several myopathies and dystrophies are associated with mutations in several ECM genes such as decorin, perlecan, syndecan (Van et al., 2017) as outlined in Supplementary Table 2.

7.1.1 | Fibrosis

In most pathologies, the initial ECM expansion process becomes uncontrolled, leading to a substantial remodeling of muscle ECM. This uncontrolled and irreversible ECM expansion accompanied by an accumulation of ECM due to inhibited degradation (turnover), results in a fibrotic phenotype within muscle (Mann et al., 2011), especially in chronic diseases such as dystrophinopathies (Serrano & Muñoz-Cánoves, 2017). The chronic and sustained inflammatory response in dystrophic muscle serves as a positive feedback mechanism prolonging macrophage activity, release of inflammatory cytokines and increased ECM production (Serrano & Muñoz-Cánoves, 2010). We have previously also shown evidence for fibrosis in muscle injected with botulinum neurotoxin A (Mukund et al., 2014).

TGFβ1, a secreted cytokine of M2 (anti-inflammatory) macrophages is a crucial regulator of fibroblast activity and collagen synthesis and accumulation in wound healing and repair (Biermacka, Dobaczewski, & Frangogiannis, 2011). Though the precise molecular mechanism of TGFβ action on fibroblasts is yet to be understood, it is suggested to stimulate transition of resident fibroblasts into myofibroblasts (key effector cells for ECM production, and in pathology fibrosis), via the SMAD pathway (Evans, Tian, Steadman, & Phillips, 2003) and in a SMAD independent manner involving PI3K/AKT pathway (Conte et al., 2011; Wilkes, Mitchell, Penheiter, et al., 2005). Myostatin has been shown to directly influence fibrosis and fibroblast activation via the p38MAPK and AKT pathways (Z. B. Li, Kollias, & Wagner, 2008). The myofibroblast phenotype is characterized by formation of gap junctions and the expression of α-smooth muscle actin (incorporated into the newly formed contractile bundles imparting contractility and facilitating repair), fibronectin and non-muscle myosin (MYH10)
(Baum & Duffy, 2011). Recent studies in cardiac and skeletal muscle have identified scleraxis (SCA), a transcription factor, as being critical for regulating expression of resident fibroblasts and myofibroblasts (Bagchi et al., 2016; Mendias et al., 2012).

Additionally, mesenchymal transition of fibro/adipogenic progenitor (FAP) in regenerating/degenerating fiber microenvironments has been implicated in contributing to an activated fibroblast population (Joe, Yi, Natarajan, et al., 2010; Uezumi, Fukada, Yamamoto, Takeda, & Tsuchida, 2010; Uezumi, Ikemoto-Uezumi, & Tsuchida, 2014). In addition to the well accepted role of macrophages in muscle regeneration (Tidball & Villalta, 2010), a more recent study highlighted their role in “directing” muscle fate between regeneration and fibrosis, by maintaining a balance between apoptotic TNFα (from M1 macrophages) and anti-inflammatory TGFβ (TGFβ1, from M2 macrophages) (Lemos, Babaeijandaghi, Low, et al., 2015). This balance appears to be essential for maintaining FAP population homeostasis in regenerating/degenerating fiber microenvironment (Muñoz-Cánoves & Serrano, 2015). Briefly, the sequence of expression with an early wave of TNFα expression followed by a later wave of TGFβ is crucial for healthy muscle regeneration. A loss of this sequential progression under acute/chronic inflammatory conditions causes elevated TGFβ which stimulates differentiation of FAPs into fibroblasts contributing to fibrotic phenotype.

8 | CYTOSKELETON

The plasticity of muscle, that is, the ability to not self-destruct after repeated stresses of contraction and relaxation, can be attributed to the complex, and yet-to-be fully understood muscle cytoskeleton. The muscle cytoskeleton serves as the structural and supportive scaffold for sarcomeres within the muscle. The cytoskeletal framework consists of the following major components (Figure 11): (a) a sub-sarcolemmal network that mediates attachment of several cytoskeletal proteins to the sarcolemma; (b) a transverse connecting system anchored to the sub-sarcolemmal network; (c) the protein complex that connects the ends of the myofibrils to the sarcolemmal folds at the myotendinous junction and longitudinally arranged microtubules running parallel and in between the myofibrils.

Dystrophin is a large protein that serves to maintain synchronous stretch and contractions by anchoring the sarcomere (via actin filaments) to the sarcolemma (via the BL) of the muscle (Hoffman, Brown, & Kunkel, 1987). Duchene muscular dystrophy (DMD-d) and Becker muscular dystrophy represent two major dystrophinopathies that are caused due to mutations (frameshift in the former case) resulting in aberrant dystrophin expression causing asynchronous stretching of the sarcomere and tears in the sarcolemma (Mah et al., 2014). Studies in dystrophic animal models with mutated dystrophin have shown an overexpression of utrophin, a protein similar to dystrophin in structure and function probably as a compensatory mechanism for reduced dystrophin functionality (Hirst, McCullagh, & Davies, 2005). Dystrophin is part of a large group of proteins DAPC containing sarcoplasmic (signaling) proteins (α-dystrobrevin, syntrophins and neuronal nitric oxide synthase [nNOS]), mechanical support proteins that are transmembrane (β-dystroglycan, the sarcoglycans, caveolin-3, and sarcospan) and extracellular (α-dystroglycan and laminin; Constantin, 2014).

The costamere forms a critical component of striated muscle morphology connecting (or “bolting”) the sarcomeres to the sarcolemma (Peter, Cheng, Ross, Knowlton, & Chen, 2011). Costameres comprise of two groups of interacting proteins, both anchored on cytoskeletal F-actin filaments, one containing the DAPC and the other containing the integrin (α7/β1) and its associated proteins talin, vinculin, and paxillin. An ankyrin-based mechanism for sarcolemma localization of dystrophin and β-dystroglycan has been evidenced (Ayalon, Davis, Scotland, & Bennett, 2008), with ankyrin-G being required for retention of both proteins to the costameres (Tee & Peppelenbosch, 2010). Spectrin-B2 is required for the association of β-ankyrin with dystrophin at the costameres (Ayalon et al., 2011). Spectrin-B2 also interacts with MLP (Z-disk protein; Flick & Konieczny, 2000). Myofibrils are exposed to, and have to withstand, both axial and lateral forces during active contraction. The IF network is responsible for maintaining fiber integrity and lateral force transmission. IFs form a sheath surrounding each myofibril at that Z-disk and connect the transverse cytoskeletal network with the sarcolemma. Desmin (mature muscle isoform) and vimentin (immature muscle isoform) are the major proteins of IF in a healthy muscle (Paulin & Li, 2004). Desmin mutations are associated with forms of familial myofibrillar myopathies (Goldfarb, Vicart, Goebel, & Dalakas, 2004; Selcen, 2011) and cardiomyopathies (Harada et al., 2018). Smaller quantities of other IF proteins nestin/paranemin, syncoilin, and synemin/desmuslin connect the IF network with edges of Z-disk. Various plectin isoforms (PLEC, 1f, 1, 1d and 1b) have been suggested to link desmin IF (DIF) with the thin filaments, mitochondria and nucleus within muscle (Castanón, Walko, Winter, & Wiche, 2013). The costameres and DIF together form the transverse fixation system of muscle.

Plectin deficiency results in epidymolysis bullosa simplex, a class of congenital diseases characterized by dermal–epidermal separation leading to skin blistering, co-manifested in many cases by muscular dystrophy (Winter et al., 2016) and blistering of the gastrointestinal tract (pylori atresia; Natsuga et al., 2010). Mutations of proteins associated with the transverse
fixation system causes a loss in sarcolemmal integrity making muscle vulnerable to stresses leading to various types of muscular dystrophies or myopathies (Jaka, Casas-Fraile, de Munain, & Sáenz, 2015). In most cases, the subcellular localization of the affected protein correlates with disease severity.

8.1 | Cytoskeletal signaling

Two proteins of the DAPC, syntrophin and α-dystrobrevin, are suggested to have a signaling role over a structural one, within muscle, in the presence of dystrophin. In the absence of these proteins, nNOS (a nitric oxide synthase) is displaced from the sarcolemma to the sarcoplasm. Recent studies suggest that aberrant nNOS signaling can negatively impact three important clinical features of dystrophinopathies and sarcoglycanopathies: maintenance of muscle bulk, force generation and fatigability (Percival, Anderson, Gregorevic, Chamberlain, & Froehner, 2008). Likewise, nNOS overexpression studies have shown an amelioration of the dystrophic phenotype perhaps owing to the anti-inflammatory properties of nNOS (Wehling, Spencer, & Tidball, 2001). Syntrophin links to ECM via dystrophin in the DAPC, and is thought to regulate kinases, ion channels and several signaling protein cascades emphasizing its role in creating signal-transduction complexes with the DAPC (Constantin, 2014). Additionally, DIF has been recently relegated a regulatory role, forming a stress-transmitting, stress-signaling network during high stress, and is associated with stress-mediated JNK signaling within the muscle (Palmisano et al., 2015).

9 | MUSCLE ATROPHY AND HYPTERTROPHY

A dynamic balance between the rate of synthesis and degradation of contractile proteins establishes the health of the muscle fiber. A shift in this balance leads to visible changes in composition, appearance and performance of the muscle fiber and is
caused due to factors internal and external to the muscle, such as GFs, inflammation (Haddad, Zaldivar, Cooper, & Adams, 2005; Jackman & Kandarian, 2004), oxidative stress and muscle disuse (Powers, Kavazis, & McClung, 2007), exercise (LaPier, 1997), steroids (Yu et al., 2014), and disease (Bailey, Zheng, Hu, Price, & Mitch, 2006; Doucet et al., 2007). The major signaling pathway that regulates muscle mass and protein synthesis is the IGF1-Akt/mTOR signaling pathway (Schiaffino & Mammucari, 2011; Figure 12). Briefly, signaling via IGF1 begins with IGF1 ligand binding to its receptor (IGF1R), which results in the recruitment of insulin receptor substrate (IRS1). IRS1 in turn activates PI3K to produce phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5 triphosphates (PIP3) via PIP2 phosphorylation. PIP3 activates AKT proteins which primarily function to promote protein synthesis and cell growth via direct phosphorylation and activation of its downstream target mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR). Activated mTOR complexes with protein RPTOR to form mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1), and RICTOR to form mTOR complex 2 (mTORC2). mTORC1 positively regulates the activation of its downstream targets S6K1 and negatively the inhibitor of eIF4E-4EBP1 leading to increased protein translation and synthesis. Other downstream targets of AKT include the glycogen synthase kinase 3b (GSK3β) and forkhead box O (FOXO) transcription factors. Inhibition of GSK3β by AKT relieves inhibition of the initiation factor eIF2B increasing protein synthesis.

Increased activation of IGF1-AKT-mTOR signaling pathway is one of the main causes for increased muscle bulk, via SC activation leading to muscle hypertrophy. Clinically, hypertrophy is characterized by both an increase in myocyte number (hyperplasia) and size. Hypertrophy is characteristic of a clinically strong and healthy “exercised” muscle (e.g., in athletes) and in pathology such as myotonia congenita (Varkey & Varkey, 2003). In addition to the classical IGF1-AKT-mTOR
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**FIGURE 12** Schematic representation of the major receptors and signaling pathways/proteins involved in atrophy and hypertrophy. We identify only the major molecular actors within each pathway for the sake of simplicity. The IGF/AKT pathway forms a crucial pathway for hypertrophy in muscle. While activation of MURF1/Atrogin1 via the SMAD, NFκB and STAT signaling lead to atrophy.
pathway, hypertrophy in healthy muscle has been shown to be induced due to SC activation via G-protein coupled receptors, specifically via the α-subunit Gα2 (Minetti et al., 2011, 2014) and through myostatin inhibition (Amthor et al., 2009; X. Zhu, Hadhazy, Wehling, Tidball, & McNally, 2000). Gα2 can bypass AKT in both a PKC-dependent and HDAC4-dependent manner, perturbing GSK3β and S6K1 signaling downstream of mTOR (Minetti et al., 2014). Recent knockdown studies of MRF4 have shown induction of muscle growth (hypertrophy) via activation of MEF2 and its downstream targets (Moretti et al., 2016). Aerobic exercise has been demonstrated to acutely and chronically alter protein metabolism and induce skeletal muscle hypertrophy (Konopka & Harber, 2014).

When the synthesis versus degradation balance shifts increasingly towards protein degradation in response to a variety of stimuli, including viral and bacterial infection, exposure to pro-inflammatory cytokines, mitogens, GFs, and oxidative and biomechanical stresses, the muscle atrophies. Atrophying muscle is characterized by a wasting or a loss of muscle mass accompanied by a decrease in the cross-sectional area of the muscle fiber, the muscle volume, and the amount of muscle protein (Boonyarom & Inui, 2006; Jackman & Kandarian, 2004). Muscle atrophy can occur for various reasons such as disease, injury, and extended periods of immobility (e.g., limb immobilization or even space flight). Four major proteolytic systems, namely, lysosomal (autophagic), proteasomal, calpains and caspases, become activated and contribute to atrophy depending on various environmental and cellular cues. Several studies have focused on systematically decoding the gene expression signatures for protein degradation in the atrophying muscle via the ubiquitin-proteasome system (Bodine et al., 2001; Gomes, Lecker, Jagoe, Navon, & Goldberg, 2001; Lecker, Jagoe, Gilbert, et al., 2004; Sandri et al., 2004). Atrogin-1 and MURF1 represent two ubiquitin E3 ligases of the ubiquitin-proteasome system, with initiation factor eIF3f and myosin chains as main substrates, respectively (Foletta, White, Larsen, Léger, & Russell, 2011). They are largely considered “master regulators” of muscle atrophy (Bodine & Baehr, 2014). Expression of these two regulators in muscle depends on the translocation and activity of FOXO transcription factors, which are controlled via the AKT pathway (Sandri et al., 2004; J. Zhao et al., 2007). Specifically, reduced AKT activation in atrophic muscle permits phosphorylation and translocation of FOXO to the nucleus, sufficient to promote protein breakdown via the increased expression of atrogin-1 and MURF1; while genetic activation of AKT is evidenced to be sufficient to initiate hypertrophy, it is crucial for weight recovery after atrophy (Sandri et al., 2004; Stitt et al., 2004). Myostatin, a secreted molecule of the TGFβ family, acts to limit muscle growth (via the MURF1-independent activation SMAD2/3 pathway; Sartori et al., 2009) in healthy muscle and is over-expressed in certain forms of atrophies and hypertrophies (Rodriguez et al., 2014). Myostatin inhibition is currently being pursued as a potential therapy for certain myopathies (Y.-S. Lee et al., 2015).

Pro-inflammatory factors, particularly factors such as interleukin (IL-1) and TNFα also upregulate the expression of the two key E3 ligases, MURF1 and atrogin-1, signaling through two established pathways of p38MAPK (Y.-P. Li et al., 2005) and NFxB (Jackman, Cornwell, Wu, & Kandarian, 2013) bringing about muscle atrophy. Independent activation of atrogin-1 in the presence of a pro-inflammatory cytokine TNFα via its action on FOXO4 (Moylan, Smith, Chambers, McLoughlin, & Reid, 2008, p. 4) has been reported. Chronic, low-level increase in circulating interleukin (IL-6) is observed in several disease states and exercised muscle. IL-6, unlike IL-1 has been suggested to induce atrophy through a negative feedback mechanism by controlling the STAT3 phosphorylation state (and its translocation to nucleus to activate its downstream targets) contributing to a more catabolic state in the muscle (via the JAK/STAT (Haddad et al., 2005) pathway and in an NFxB-dependent manner (Ma et al., 2017)). Recent work has highlighted an amelioration of denervation-induced atrophy by inhibiting IL-6-STAT3 signaling in FAPs (Madaro et al., 2018; Marazzi & Sassoon, 2018), further emphasizing the influence of immune signaling on muscle wasting, calpain-3 proteolysis occurs via the AKT pathway, and is suggested to act on several cytoskeletal proteins such as titin, desmin and α-actinin, the actomyosin complex—preferentially at the Z-disk, contributing to atrophy and fiber necrosis (Bartoli & Richard, 2005; Huang & Zhu, 2016). Contradictory to the role of ubiquitous calpains, inactivation of calpain 3 leads to muscular dystrophy and its complete lack is associated with a type of LGMD-2A (Saenz, Leturcq, Cobo, et al., 2005). Inflammatory cascades, beginning with activation of interleukins (see Section 10.1) acting via the NFxB signaling pathway, also lead to muscle atrophy. Caspases, a set of apoptotic enzymes, specifically caspase-3 are overexpressed in certain atrophies of the muscle (e.g., denervation atrophy, DMD-d) (Du, Wang, Miereles, et al., 2004; Sandri, El Meslemani, Sandri, et al., 2001).

As seen above, atrophy and hypertrophy occur as response to a variety of inflammatory stimuli, oxidative/biomechanical stresses inextricably linked to the health of muscle segueing into our next section on inflammation and oxidative stress.
10 | INFLAMMATION AND OXIDATIVE STRESS

Research in the past two decades has focused extensively on a synergistic relationship between oxidative stress and inflammation, which in turn mediate several chronic diseases of muscular, neurological, nephrological and pulmonary etiology (Biswas, 2016; Cachofeiro et al., 2008; Hald & Lotharius, 2005), in addition to cancer (Khansari, Shakiba, & Mahmoudi, 2009; Reuter, Gupta, Chaturvedi, & Aggarwal, 2010). While oxidative stress is defined as an imbalance between production and removal of free radicals and reactive metabolites (reactive oxygen species, ROS) generated as by-products of metabolism and catabolism, via protective mechanisms (antioxidants); inflammation is a biological response to injury with the recruitment and activation of several anti- and pro-inflammatory factors (Figure 13). In the following sections, we uncover the most basic mechanisms and response to inflammation and oxidative stress within skeletal muscle.

10.1 | Inflammation

Inflammatory response is a crucial biological response, which has been extensively studied in the context of skeletal muscle growth and repair, sarcopenia, and myopathies. Inflammation begins with a coordinated activation of several signaling pathways and the recruitment of pro-/anti-inflammatory factors such as macrophages and neutrophils to the damage site, initiating tissue repair. Neutrophils represent the most abundant immune cells recruited to the site of injury (within the first 24 hr) with numbers declining past 24 hr, with increasing recruitment of macrophages by 48 hr after injury (Tidball, 2011). However, the precise mechanism by which inflammatory cells are attracted to injury sites is still an active area of research. Recruited and resident immune cells of injured muscle secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, IL-8, IL-6, and TNFα triggering a cascade of downstream inflammatory signaling pathways NFκB represents one of the most significant signaling molecule activated upon injury in skeletal muscle (Mourkioti & Rosenthal, 2008). It has long been identified to play a crucial role in atrophying and diseased muscle (e.g., inflammatory myopathies and dystrophinopathies; Jackman et al., 2013; H. Li, Malhotra, & Kumar, 2008).

Canonically, NFκB is triggered by stimulation of pro-inflammatory factors such as the TNFα or its associated cytokines (Lawrence, 2009), toll-like receptor family (TLR) and cytokine IL-1. This triggers the activation of IKKβ leading to phosphorylation and degradation of IκB complexes. NFκB (NFκB1) liberated from IκB inhibitory proteins translocates to the nucleus leading to target gene transcription. Noncanonically, NFκB (p52/NFκB2) is activated by stabilizing NIK (NFκB inducing kinase) through the degradation of TRAF inhibitory proteins. NIK activates IKKα leading to the phosphorylation of p100 and subsequent target gene transcription via p52/RELB (Sun, 2011). NFκB target genes encode cytokines, chemokines, cell adhesion molecules, growth factors, and several enzymes associated with the ubiquitin-proteasome system. For example, NFκB directly regulates cellular growth, differentiation and metabolism by regulating genes such as cyclin-D1 (Guttridge, Albanese, Reuther, Pestell, & Baldwin, 1999) and MyoD (Guttridge, Mayo, Madrid, Wang, & Baldwin, 2000; Shintaku et al., 2016). NFκB increases protein turnover via MURF1 (C.-L. Wu, Cornwell, Jackman, & Kandarian, 2014) and induces IL-6 activation

![Figure 13](https://example.com/figure13.png) A schematic representation of the relationship between inflammation and oxidative stress—various triggers including excessive exercise or a complete lack, nutritional excess and deficits, or disease and injury greatly influences the mediators.
Cytokines IL-1 and TNFα are also shown to increase circulating levels of interferon-γ, which initiates a cascade of events to clear myofiber debris, regulate regeneration (via activation of the JAK1/2-STAT1 pathway; Doles & Olwin, 2014; Horvath, 2004) and control myogenesis (via CIITA repression of myogenin; Londhe & Davie, 2011). A second wave of macrophages secretes anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10 and TGFB1 leading to an ablation in the inflammatory response (L. Arnold et al., 2007). More recently, the anti-inflammatory action of IL-10 has been shown to be mediated by a metabolic reprogramming of macrophages where IL-10 inhibits lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced glycolysis and promotes oxidative phosphorylation. IL-10 also suppresses mTOR activity causing mitophagy and suppressed inflammasome activation (Ip, Hoshi, Shouval, Snapper, & Medzhitov, 2017). Under certain pathological conditions chronic activation of certain cytokines such as IL-6 lead to deleterious effects (Muñoz-Cánoves, Scheele, Pedersen, & Serrano, 2013). IL-6 is suggested to affect insulin growth factor signaling (IGF1) and shift the balance of STAT3 via SOCS3 protein phosphorylation in favor of a more catabolic profile, promoting muscle atrophy (Haddad et al., 2005).

A balance between the pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines is essential to maintain muscle health with imbalances leading to deleterious effects, such as incases of chronic inflammation as seen in inflammatory myopathies (IM, polymyositis, dermatomyositis, and inclusion body myositis) (Reimers, Fleckenstein, Witt, Müller-Felber, & Pongratz, 1993). These IM are clinically characterized by proximal and symmetric muscle weakness and histologically by an excess of inflammatory infiltrates. Histopathology shows evidence for necrosis, fiber size variation, and a muscle degeneration/regeneration phenotype. IMs mostly also exhibit fibrosis (Ueha, Shand, & Matsushima, 2012; see Section 7.1).

### 10.2 Oxidative stress

The high metabolic capacity/activity of skeletal muscle makes it susceptible to increased oxidative stress, with the cell generating ROS including peroxides, superoxides, and hydroxyl radicals, as a byproduct of normal cellular metabolism (Powers, Ji, Kavazis, & Jackson, 2011). Lack of ROS homeostasis leads to cellular damage and dysfunction via its interaction with/modification of cellular macromolecules (e.g., membrane lipids, DNA, proteins and protein thiol side chains) (Berlett & Stadtman, 1997; Meng & Yu, 2010).

In normal physiology, antioxidant mechanisms maintain free radical homeostasis including release of enzymes such as superoxide dismutase, catalase, and glutathione peroxidase that scavenge ROS (Kozakowska, Pietraszek-Gremplewicz, Jozkowicz, & Dulak, 2015). Oxidative stress regulates is regulated by a host of transcription factors including NFκB (Morgan & Liu, 2011), p53 (Beyfuss & Hood, 2018), HIF-1α (Mason & Johnson, 2007), leading to the expression of several GFs, inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and cell cycle regulatory molecules. Studies have associated increasing ROS with fiber atrophy and necrosis observed in cases of severe muscle disuse (Powers, Smuder, & Judge, 2012), sarcopenia (Brioche & Lemoine-Morel, 2016), obesity and diabetes (Haskins, Bradley, Powers, et al., 2003; Newsholme, Cruzat, Keane, Carlessi, & de Bittencourt, 2016), and muscular dystrophies (M. H. Choi, Ow, Yang, & Taneja, 2016; Perrill et al., 2013).

Oxidative stress inhibits the AKT/mTOR pathway and its downstream targets, subsequently suppressing protein synthesis and promoting atrophy (OLoughlen, Perez-Morgado, Salinas, & Martin, 2006; Tan, Shavlakadze, Grounds, & Arthur, 2015; L. Zhang, Kimball, Jefferson, & Shenberger, 2009). Alternatively, AMPK activation in response to oxidative stress also inhibits protein synthesis via mTOR1 and TSC2 phosphorylation (Y. Zhao et al., 2017). An autophagic response leading to atrophy can also be initiated via mTOR phosphorylation. mTOR1 activation induces the autophagosome formation by activating a required protein, theULK1 complex (ULK1, ATG13, and FIP200), and subsequently the PI3K complex (in the presence of Ambra1) (Di Rienzo, Antonioli, Fusco, et al., 2019; Nazio & Cecconi, 2013). Superoxide or hydroxyl radicals derived from superoxides are suggested to contribute to the oxidative damage especially during reperfusion in muscle (Zweier & Talukder, 2006). In addition to metabolism (mitochondrial ROS production), a chronic inflammatory response can activate nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidases and other inducible family of enzymes, which produce ROS, cyclically amplifying ROS and triggering further inflammation in skeletal muscle. A detailed review on the relationship between oxidative stress and autophagy is presented in Rodney, Pal, and Abo-Zahrah (2016).

### 11 | ENERGY METABOLISM

In addition to liver, skeletal, and cardiac muscles represent major sites for maintaining an organism’s energy homeostasis. The high metabolic capacity of skeletal muscle is driven by an extensive requirement of ATP by the cross-bridge cycle (see Section 6.2), required to generate force and motion. Two processes serve as the major mechanisms for muscle energy metabolism, namely, glycolysis and oxidative metabolism and are discussed in the following sections (Figure 14).
11.1 Glycolytic metabolism/glycolysis

Rapid ATP requirements are catered to by metabolism of glucose either aerobically or anaerobically in the exercising muscle. Glycolytic metabolism serves as the primary source of energy, especially in fast Type II fibers during short intense activity bursts, in a setting of limited blood flow and oxygen (hypoxia). Anaerobic glycolysis occurs in conditions of high-intensity and sustained isometric activity (such as lifting weights; Spriet, 1992) with muscle shifting to an aerobic glycolysis profile during isotonic exercise (such as walking). It is well known that most cancers adopt high rates of glycolysis irrespective of oxygen abundance (Warburg effect; Vander Heiden, Cantley, & Thompson, 2009).

Glycolysis begins with the transport of glucose across the sarcolemma by GLUT4 (Leto & Saltiel, 2012). GLUT4 is an insulin-sensitive glucose transporter, crucial for glucose uptake by skeletal muscle and is promoted by AMPK activation (Musi & Goodyear, 2003). AMPK, a serine/threonine kinase, is a key modulator of skeletal muscle metabolism that controls both transcription and phosphorylation states of metabolic enzymes (Hardie, 2011; Jäger, Handschin, Pierre, & Spiegelman, 2007). AMPK, which also serves as a nutrient sensor, is activated in the muscle during reduced ATP levels, in response to intense exercise or cellular stresses (e.g., oxidative stress). Chronic AMPK activation alters metabolic gene
expression and induces mitochondrial biogenesis (Bergeron et al., 2001; McGee et al., 2003). Glucose is prepared for glycolysis by the phosphorylating enzyme hexokinase (HK1). Phosphorylase (PYGM) and other debranching enzymes produce α-D-Glucose 1P from glycogen. GYS1 serves to replenish intracellular glucose from glycogen stores. The rate-limiting step in glycolysis, however, is the conversion of fructose-6-phosphate to fructose-1,6-diphosphate by the enzyme phosphofructokinase (PFKOM). The last step in anaerobic glycolysis is the conversion of pyruvate to lactate by lactate dehydrogenase (LDHA/LDHB) (and the NADH is not utilized by OXPHOS), while the pyruvate is converted to acetyl CoA via pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDHA, DLAT, and DLD) during aerobic glycolysis, and is utilized by the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle to generate ATP in the mitochondrial matrix (see oxidative metabolism). Additional molecular markers involved in glycolysis are identified in Figure 14.

Disruption in glycogen storage and metabolism result in glycogen storage diseases of the muscle (Hers, 1964; Özen, 2007). These diseases are often associated with exercise intolerance arising from limited energy supply and excessive glycogen buildup. Though accumulation of inorganic phosphates (Pi) and ADP are known to contribute to muscle fatigue, the major player in glycogen storage diseases appear to be acidification resulting from increasing lactate concentrations (lactic acidosis) within the muscle fibers. Immediate energy requirements in the muscle are additionally met by muscle creatine kinase (CKM) which transfers high-energy phosphates (Pi) from phosphocreatine stores, to convert the ubiquitous ADP to ATP (Baird, Graham, Baker, & Bickerstaff, 2012).

### 11.2 Oxidative metabolism

Oxidative metabolism serves as the primary source of energy production and utilizes both lipids and the products of glycolysis for satisfying sustained energy requirement through aerobic oxidation, linking it tightly to the physical activity of an organism. Breakdown of lipids in muscle occurs mainly through β-oxidation of fatty acids (Eaton, Bartlett, & Pourfarzam, 1996). β-Oxidation begins with lipids/triglycerides broken down to free fatty acids via lipin and TAG lipases. Fatty acids primarily enter the muscle cell through fatty acid transporters such as fatty acid translocases (e.g., CD36), SLC27 family of fatty acid transporters (FATP/SLC27A1) and plasma membrane bound fatty acid binding protein (e.g., FABP3). Once inside the cell, a CoA group is added to the fatty acid by fatty acyl-CoA synthase, forming long-chain acyl-CoA (Berg, Tymoczko, & Stryer, 2002).

Mitochondria consume the greatest amount (some 85–90%) of oxygen in cells to allow mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), which is the primary metabolic pathway for ATP production (Gnaiger, 2009). The coupling of upstream oxidative processes (glycolysis, β-oxidation, and TCA turnover) to OXPHOS during energy demand results in release of free energy as ATP (Kunz, 2001). As the first step, carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 (CPT1) converts long-chain acyl-CoA to long-chain acylcarnitine allowing fatty acid moieties to be transported across the inner mitochondrial membrane via carnitine translocase, which exchanges long-chain acylcarnitines for carnitine. The inner mitochondrial membrane CPT2 converts long-chain acylcarnitine back to long-chain acyl-CoA. The long-chain acyl-CoA enters the fatty acid β-oxidation pathway, resulting in the production of acetyl-CoA, which enters the mitochondrial TCA cycle (Berg et al., 2002; Wanders, Ruijer, IJlst, Waterham, & Houten, 2010). The NADH and FADH2 produced by both fatty acid β-oxidation and TCA cycle are used by the electron transport chain to produce three energy-rich ATP molecules and a water molecule, in the cristae of the mitochondria (OXPHOS) (Kunz, 2001). More recently, cellular localization of the mitochondrial OXPHOS system has been detected in the sarcolemma (H. Lee et al., 2016). Details of several molecular actors in fatty acid oxidation are provided in Figure 14.

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) and its coactivator PPARγ 1α (PGC-1α) tightly regulate oxidative metabolism and drive the expression of several genes responsible for ATP synthesis. PGC-1α binds to, and increases the activity of PPARs, which regulate several genes including FATP, ACS, CD36, MCAD, CPT1, and LCAD (Muocio & Koves, 2007). Increased activation of PGC-1α is associated with increased mitochondrial biogenesis in the muscle (Z. Wu et al., 1999). PGC-1α is controlled by AMPK, which functions to either directly affect PGC-1α phosphorylation or activate SIRT-1, a deacetylase which increases the activity of PGC-1α (Cantó & Auwerx, 2009). The oxidative phenotype and the activation of PGC-1α is linked to physical activity levels and beneficial effects in metabolic diseases and other pathologies (H. Liang & Ward, 2006). In addition to glycolysis and β-oxidation, amino acids can supply substrates to the TCA cycle for sustained mitochondrial ATP production; for example, the amino acid, glutamine, can generate glutamate, which subsequently fuels the TCA cycle through a series of biochemical reactions termed glutaminolysis (DeBerardinis, Mancuso, Daikhin, et al., 2007).
11.3 | Effect of exercise on metabolism

Exercise intensity (aerobic or endurance training vs. anaerobic or resistance training) determines the choice of either a glycolytic or an oxidative metabolic profile (Baker, McCormick, & Robergs, 2010) in fiber types. The relative contribution of carbohydrate and lipid to oxidative metabolism during exercise is further influenced by prior diet, training status, sex, and environmental conditions (Jeukendrup, 2004; Romijn, Coyle, Sidossis, et al., 1993) which in turn affect the availability of several important factors such as ATP, levels of circulating hormones (e.g., insulin), substrates, and metabolites. For instance, as mentioned earlier, PGC-1α has been identified as a core regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis. A single bout of endurance exercise is shown to induce rapid and sustained increase of PGC-1α (Mathai, Bonen, Benton, Robinson, & Graham, 2008) with improvements to whole-body oxygenation (peak oxygen uptake), and a shift from carbohydrate to fat substrates (Calvo et al., 2008). Overexpression studies of PGC-1α have also shown large increases in functional mitochondrial and genetic programs characteristic of slow-twitch fibers resistant to contraction-induced fatigue (Lin et al., 2002). Knockout of PGC-1α in mice models was shown to cause a shift in fiber types from oxidative Type I and IIA to Types IIX and IIB. These animals also exhibited reduced endurance capacity and increased fiber damage, further emphasizing the role of PC-1α in maintaining muscle fiber integrity and energy homeostasis (Handschin et al., 2007).

Utilization of carbohydrate substrates increase with increasing exercise intensity, coupled with reduced lipid oxidation. It is suggested that carnitine, which is essential for CPT1 regulation, serves as the regulatory candidate for fatty acid oxidation in muscle. As exercise intensity increases, the level of free carnitine fall reducing CPT1 activity and inhibiting β-oxidation (Jeppesen & Kiens, 2012). Exercise training, its intensity, duration, and glucose supply, have been shown to be factors regulating GLUT4 (regulated by AMPK) translocation and activity. Regulation of GLUT4 contributes to improved insulin action, glucose disposal and enhanced muscle glycogen storage following exercise (reviewed in Richter & Hargreaves, 2013). The interaction between fat and carbohydrate metabolism in exercise is further reviewed in Spriet (2014).

11.4 | Immunometabolism—A synergistic relationship between immunity and metabolism

Skeletal muscle serves as the major site for insulin-stimulated glucose disposal and subsequently, glucose homeostasis. Association of metabolic and cardiovascular diseases with exercise and muscle metabolism are widely acknowledged. Several of these diseases also exhibit chronic tissue inflammation with obesity, as an underlying etiology. Recent research has begun to unravel the complexity of this cross-talk (both inter- and intra-organ) between inflammation and metabolism, spawning a body of active and rapidly expanding research called “immunometabolism” (Hotamisligil, 2017a). In the following section, we highlight several important factors that have been identified as contributing to immunometabolism within skeletal muscle. We do not focus on a whole-body view (intra-organ) signaling that drives communication between immune and metabolic factors (Hamrick, 2011, 2012; Y. S. Lee, Wollam, & Olefsky, 2018).

Skeletal muscle, in states such as exercise, injury, inactivity or disease, is replete with infiltrating immune cells (e.g., macrophages, Pillon & Krook, 2017), and circulating immune factors (cytokines and adipokines derived from muscle fat depots—intermyocellular and perimuscular adipose tissue; Khan et al., 2015). Additionally, it is also now established that the skeletal muscle is an endocrine organ secreting cytokines and other peptides (such as IL-6, IL-8, IL-15, IGF1, FGF21, FSTL1, irisin, all termed “myokines”), whose levels are regulated by muscle contractile activity (Febbraio & Pedersen, 2005; Pedersen, 2011; Schnyder & Handschin, 2015) and subsequently exercise (So, Kim, Kim, & Song, 2014, p. 201). Interestingly, several of these are known to be secreted by adipocytes and are often referred to in literature as adipomyokines (Raschke & Eckel, 2013). For instance, irisin, a recently discovered and much debated exercise (PGC-1α) induced myokine (Albrecht et al., 2015; Panati, Suneetha, & Narala, 2016) is suggested to be a metabolic regulator in muscle (Blizzard LeBlanc, Rioux, Pelech, et al., 2017; Perakakis et al., 2017). A detailed review on role of exercise in influencing the action of several myokines mentioned here, and the cross-talk between muscle and adipose tissues are presented in Stanford and Goodyear (2018). These cytokines/myokines exert auto-, para- and/or endocrine effects in a context-specific manner enabling muscle to maintain the metabolic homeostasis of lipids and proteins, in health and exercise (C. Brandt & Pedersen, 2010; Pedersen, Akerstrom, Nielsen, & Fischer, 2007; Figure 15).

A shift in balance from an immunometabolic adaptive profile, observed in healthy muscle tissue, to a maladaptive state as observed in chronic metabolic disorders (obesity, Ray, Mahata, & De, 2016 and T2DM), occurs through deficient cross-talk between immune and metabolic signaling factors such as the inflammasome (Pröchnicki & Latz, 2017), insulin receptors (Hotamisligil, 2017b), TNFα (Austin, Rune, Bouzakri, Zierath, & Krook, 2008; Hotamisligil, Shargill, & Spiegelman, 1993), and other cytokines (Fink, Oberbach, Costford, et al., 2013; Pillon & Krook, 2017).
For instance, elevation in circulating TNFα levels (observed in tissues such as adipose (Hotamisligil et al., 1993) and muscle (Saghizadeh, Ong, Garvey, Henry, & Kern, 1996)) causes a downstream activation of stress kinases, triggering TNF-mediated insulin resistance and glucose dyshomeostasis (reviewed in Hotamisligil, 2017b).

IL-6 is shown to have acute insulin-like effects (Carey et al., 2006; Ellingsgaard et al., 2011; Pedersen & Febbraio, 2008) in healthy muscle, which do not persist under chronic conditions. IL-6 enhances glucose uptake and translocation of the glucose transporter GLUT4, enhancing insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (Carey et al., 2006) while chronically elevated IL-6 has been shown impair insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in muscles (Franckhauser, Elias, Sopasakis, et al., 2008). Circulating IL-6 is also known to exert anti-inflammatory effects in the context of exercise. Elevation of IL-6 during exercise induces an anti-inflammatory environment by inducing the production of IL-1ra and IL-10, and also inhibiting TNF-α production; subsequently abating the chronic systemic low-grade inflammation seen in cardiovascular disease, Type 2 diabeters, and muscle wasting (Pedersen & Febbraio, 2008). IL-15 a circulating, exercise-induced myokine has been shown to inhibit adipose tissue deposition (Quinn & Anderson, 2011; Quinn, Anderson, Strait-Bodey, Stroud, & Argilès, 2009) and influence accumulation of fat and regulation of adiposity in muscle during inactivity (Pedersen & Febbraio, 2012). Other mechanisms that contribute to lipid-induced modulation of insulin resistance (in vitro) have also been identified, such as stimulation of TLR4 (a classical innate immune surface receptor) which switches muscle metabolism to glycolysis (via LPS), inducing insulin resistance (H. Liang, Hussey, Sanchez-Avila, Tantiwong, & Musi, 2013).

Metabolism within the factors associated with immunity, also affects their expression and function. For instance, metabolite profiling of activated macrophages has shown that accumulation of Kreb’s cycle intermediates which are important for production of inflammatory cytokines (Jha et al., 2015). Macrophage polarization been shown to be affected by nutrient sensing pathways such as AMPK and mTOR1. Macrophages lacking a catalytic AMPK subunit (AMPKa1) are shown to have defective M2 polarization (Mounier et al., 2009). Constitutive mTOR1 activation has also been shown to result in defective M2 polarization, resulting in an enhanced pro-inflammatory response to LPS (Byles et al., 2013). These mechanisms highlight the complex and yet to be fully understood interactions between immune and metabolic factors driving muscle health.

12 | SKELETAL MUSCLE CIRCULATION

Skeletal muscle accounts for ~40% of the total body weight while accounting for ~25% of the cardiac output to meet basal metabolic needs. The interested reader is directed to Korthuis (2011), for a detailed overview of skeletal muscle circulation. Briefly, anatomically, skeletal muscle is oxygenated and deoxygenated by an elaborate network of arteries and veins, respectively, whose density varies between muscle types. The arteries divide further into a network of smaller arteries (called arterioles) which penetrate the perimysium, arranged perpendicular to the muscle fiber axis branching terminally into a fine mesh of capillaries. This network joins with the network of venules and veins, giving rise to a rich lattice of vasculature enmeshing bundles of muscle fibers. Vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs), endothelial cells (ECs), and pericytes represent major cell types of the vascular walls. As the energy requirements vary across muscle types, so does the density of vasculature and thickness of capillaries to cater to its oxygen demand. Blood flow is also largely regulated by alterations in vascular resistance and
blood viscosity. Vascular resistance (vasoconstriction) depends on the contraction of VSMCs, triggered by the availability of cytoplasmic free Ca\(^{2+}\). Free Ca\(^{2+}\) triggers formation of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-calmodulin complex, which in turn activate myosin light chain kinase, a CaMK, that phosphorylates myosin light chains, bringing about smooth muscle contraction. Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitization of the contractile proteins is signaled by the RhoA/Rho kinase pathway to inhibit the dephosphorylation of the light chain by myosin phosphatase (MLCP), maintaining force generation. Removal of Ca\(^{2+}\) from the cytosol and stimulation of MLCP initiates smooth muscle relaxation (Webb, 2003).

A host of molecular factors have been identified to modulate free Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration: calcium-gated and permeable channels (Ghosh et al., 2017) such as CACN1C (Cav1.2), CACNA1G (Cav3.1), CACNA1H (Cav3.2), CACNA1L (Cav3.3); potassium channels (Jackson, 2005, 2018) such as KCNA5 (KV1.5), KCNA6 (KV1.6), KCNJ8 (KIR6.1), KCNJ2 (KIR2.1), KCNMA1 (Slc1); and EC-derived factors (Kedzierski & Yanagisawa, 2001) such as endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor, endothelin (a vasoconstrictor), and vasodilators such as NO, CO, and H\(_2\)S.

Skeletal muscle research has focused extensively on the role of nitric oxide (NO) in vasodilation (McConell, Rattigan, Lee-Young, Wadley, & Merry, 2012) and in response to exercise and injury (Hong, Betik, & McConell, 2014; McConell et al., 2012; Stamler & Meissner, 2001). NOS/cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP)-induced relaxation is shown to correlate with MLCP phosphorylation (Francis, Busch, & Corbin, 2010; Nakamura, Koga, Sakai, Homma, & Ikebe, 2007). Neurogenic factors that affect and influence skeletal muscle circulation as detailed below.

### 12.1 Vascular endothelial growth factor signaling

Vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA), with nine known isoforms, is the major regulator of vasculature development during embryogenesis (vasculogenesis) (Ferrara et al., 1996) and a potent inducer of neovascularization in adult tissue (angiogenesis) (Patan, 2004). VEGFA stimulates angiogenesis by promoting EC migration, proliferation and differentiation to form new vessel structures. VEGF induces DLL4, which functions to pattern the endothelial population into tip and stalk cells. VEGFA also serves as an angiogenic stimulus guiding tip cells through the ECM. Tip cells, enriched with VEGFA receptors (VEGFR2) sense and align spatially along the VEGFA gradient, thus providing a map for alignment of proliferating stalk cells to form capillaries (Gerhardt, 2008). Sufficient oxygen perfusion into the muscle upon capillary formation and maturation normalizes VEGFA levels. VEGF driven angiogenesis is heavily regulated by the expression of two of its receptors—VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 (Olsson, Dimberg, Kreuger, & Claesson-Welsh, 2006).

VEGFR2 mediates most of the endothelial growth and survival signals and contributes to re-organization of the cytoskeleton by phosphorylating FAK (focal adhesion kinase) and paxillin, while VEGFR1, an early inhibitor of angiogenesis plays an important role in disease, progression and management (Amano, Kato, Ito, et al., 2015; Hiratsuka et al., 2001; Jain, 2005). Interaction between VEGFR1 and VEGFR2, regulated by placental growth factor has been identified and suggested to amplify VEGFA-driven angiogenesis (Autiero, Walterberger, Communi, et al., 2003; Autiero, Luttun, Tjwa, & Carmeliet, 2003). Recent research suggests that VEGFR1 predominantly modulates VEGF activity and subsequently EC homeostasis by forming heterodimers with VEGFR2 (Cudmore et al., 2012). In addition to ECs, SCs and differentiating myoblasts also generate VEGFA in the muscle (R. S. Williams & Annex, 2004). Regenerating muscle is characterized by increased capillarization. It has been suggested that the increased expression of VEGFA and its receptors, in regenerating muscle promotes growth and fusion of myofibers and SC activation leading to a more rapid regeneration enabled via several mechanisms including activation of MAPK, PI3K/AKT pathways and SC activation (Arsic et al., 2004).

A bidirectional, reciprocal relationship between ECs and SCs is suggested to exist within the stem cell niche. In co-culture experiments, ECs were found to promote myoblast proliferation by secreting a panel of GFs, such as IGF-I, HGF, FGF, PDGF, and VEGF (Christov et al., 2007). Contrastingly, VEGF was shown to promote re-entry of SCs into quiescence. SCs in the proximity of pericytes and capillaries allow for angiopoietin-1 binding on their TIE2 receptors, simultaneously stabilizing vessels and promoting SC quiescence through the ERK1/2 pathway. Based on these observations, it has been proposed that during muscle regeneration, ECs and SCs interact with each other promoting myo-angiogenesis (Abou-Khalil, Mounier, & Chazaud, 2010; Mounier, Chrétien, & Chazaud, 2011).
13 | COMMON MOLECULAR MECHANISMS UNDERLYING MUSCLE DISEASES

We have integrated in each section on muscle function, specific diseases that arise from genetic mutations and from aberrant functional pathways, including their clinical characteristics where appropriate (italicized); this is catalogued in Supplementary Table 2. In addition, we recently explored common and unique aspects of muscle disorders using transcriptional profiles and a systems biology approach (Mukund & Subramaniam, 2017; Figure 16). Our analysis revealed that a majority of muscle diseases share a few common mechanisms. Across the 20 muscle diseases in our study, we identified deficient bioenergetics and a lack of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) homeostasis as aberrant mechanistic signatures underlying muscle pathophysiology. Recent research in muscular degeneration (muscular dystrophies, Ramadasan-Nair et al., 2014; cardiomyopathies, Wallace, 2000; and neuromuscular diseases such as ALS, Cozzolino & Carri, 2012; Dupuis & Loeffler, 2009) have all identified mitochondrial dysfunction as a cause underlying the disease. Bioenergetics pathway enzymes have also recently been shown to be relevant biomarkers for muscular disease progression (Santacatterina et al., 2015).

Reduced efficiency in the action of the TCA cycle has been also assessed in diseased muscle associated with inflammatory myopathies (Coley et al., 2012), and muscular dystrophy (Even, Decrouy, & Chinet, 1994). Reduced ATP availability, contributing to suppressed muscle regeneration and an altered \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) homeostasis are suggested to be pivotal to muscle wasting observed in certain dystrophies such as DMD-d (Timpani, Hayes, & Rybalka, 2015). \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) homeostasis in muscle determines its integrity and function, regulated mainly by SERCA pumps and RYRs. Work from our laboratory has previously identified strong dysregulation of these proteins in ALS and DMD-d (Mukund & Subramaniam, 2015; Y. Wang, Winters, & Subramaniam, 2012). Likewise, regulation of genes regulating the action of these proteins such as ASPH (regulator of RYRs) and SLN have also been observed in muscle from cerebral palsy, a neuromuscular disease (Smith, Lee, Ward, Chambers, & Lieber, 2011).

Several of these debilitating muscle diseases often exhibit muscle atrophy, hypertrophy and fibrosis, occurring in various combinations and to varying degrees of severity (as discussed in Sections 8.1 and 10). Interestingly, however, muscle, upon injury or insult, begins to express a plethora of mixed fiber and immature muscle isoforms including myosins, actins, and members of the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) homeostasis machinery across diseases (Mukund et al., 2014), particularly dystrophies (reviewed in Beedle, 2016) and neuromuscular diseases such as ALS (Y. Wang et al., 2012). Cardiac muscle research suggests that activation of fetal isoforms in failing heart (cardiac muscle) confers an initial protective effect on heart function. However, precise consequences of present or persistent immature/mixed isoforms expression in skeletal muscle pathophysiology are not yet understood and offer exciting avenues for future research.

14 | CONCLUSION

Human skeletal muscle is often characterized as a mechanical device responsible for generating contraction, force, and movement. Over the past decades, a detailed molecular picture of the skeletal muscle has begun to emerge, where each molecular player is associated with a “functional component” of the muscle. While some of these functional components, such as the contractile machinery or the sensing apparatus at the NMJ have received significant attention, a detailed mapping of known molecular components and their relationship to muscle function has not yet been broadly reviewed. This review is aimed at highlighting molecular components of the muscle, and the complex molecular cross-talk with its various interacting partners.
Given the breadth of muscle research, we acknowledge that thoroughly outlining every significant contribution within each of the components described here is a massive undertaking and not currently within the scope of this article. However, the comprehensive systems-level molecular and functional pathway perspectives provided in this review, attempt to introduce the reader to important mechanisms in muscle that not only pave the way for a deeper analysis of muscle function in health and disease, but provide interesting insights into the molecular machinery that is core to muscle function. The adaptability of skeletal muscle as it attempts to revert into a precursor-like state in response to insult or injury, as witnessed through the increased expression of fetal gene isoforms warrants further research. The contextual mechanisms described here in, also provide the basis for further investigations on the precision and limitations of pharmacological interventions.
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