Survival Analysis of Upper Arm Replantation After Avulsion Injuries
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ABSTRACT

Background: Avulsion injuries and replantation of the upper arm are particularly challenging in the field of traumatic microsurgery. At present, the functional recovery of the avulsion injuries upper arm after the replantation is generally not ideal enough, and there is no guideline for the surgeries. The aim of this study was to analyze the causes of failure of the upper arm replantation for avulsion injuries, summarize the upper arm replantation’s indications, and improve the replantation methods.

Methods: From January 2014 to January 2016, our department had treated 15 cases of trauma caused upper arm avulsion amputation injuries. All the injured arms had been replanted. We conducted rapid debridement, simple and effective external fixation, or internal fixation, and used microsurgical methods to repair blood vessels and nerves. After the surgery, the patients were prescribed medicines such as anti-inflammatory, anti-spasm, prophylactic antibiotics, anticoagulation, rehydration, and analgesic treatment. We also monitored patients’ blood supply situation closely.

Results: The 15 cases of the upper arm replantation for avulsion injuries resulted in a 100% postoperative patency rate, 73.3% skin necrosis rate, 60% muscle necrosis rate, and 20% amputation rate. Of all the cases, three cases were required to remove the replant limb due to unstable vital signs, acute renal failure, and major artery bleeding during the debridement. The average of the follow-up was two years. After one year of the operation, the replanted upper arm’s function was assessed according to the Function score of upper limb replantation of Hand Surgery Society of Chinese Medical Association. The average score was 25.2 points, which was classified as poor.

Conclusion: The upper arm replantation injuries after trauma, which should have been treated with microsurgery, remains a challenging problem. There has been a lack of formed upper extremity replantation guide, and the patients are often hemodynamically unstable with multiple traumas and combined injuries, resulting in a high risk of failure. The long ischemia time often attributes to surgical failures and amputation. Skilled microsurgical techniques may improve the success rate of replantation.

INTRODUCTION

Replantation of the avulsed upper arm is particularly challenging in the field of traumatic microsurgery. It is usually a high-level injury, severe soft tissue injury, and often combined with severe hemorrhyagic shock and other complicated associate injuries which make the replantation a great risk. At present, the functional recovery of the avulsion injuries upper arm after the replantation is generally not satisfied enough, and there is no guideline for the surgeries. There is still much controversy in this field [1,2].

On the other hand, the upper limb prostheses are not only expensive but also difficult to meet the needs of patients’ functional requirements. The upper limb prostheses are less useful than the lower extremity prostheses and the importance of replantation is still irreplaceable [3]. How to further clarify the indications for surgery, improve surgical techniques, and promote full recovery of patients with upper extremity function are still important issues in the field of limb replantation [4]. From January 2014 to January 2016, 15 cases of upper extremity avulsion injuries were treated in our department. Among them, three patients were amputated after the replantation surgery. The indications, surgical techniques, and rehabilitation methods of the replantation of the upper arm were discussed in this article.

METHODS

General Information

There were 15 cases in this study, eleven males and four females. They were between 19-57 years old, with an average age of 35.8 years. Causes of injury include eight cases of machine injury, four cases of car accident, two cases of belt pulley injury, and one case of mixer injury. Injury sites include six cases of elbow, six cases of middle arm, and three cases of proximal arm. The ischemic time was 4-12 hours, an average of 6.26 hours. All patients suffered vascular and nerve defects. The defect length was 4-7 cm. Ten cases were performed shortening replantation and five cases were performed vascular graft replantation. Patients summary is shown in Table 1.

Surgical Methods

All the patients were operated under general anesthesia. Surgeons were divided into two teams and performed the following procedures concurrently. One team focused on the debridement, dissection, and marked the main vessels and nerves of the distal limb; and another team performed the same procedure on the proximal limb. The method of the surgery either shorten the limb for replant or transplant the vein graft to bridge the defect was decided according to the vessel defects, muscle, skin, and other soft tissue defects. If the fracture is comminuted and the skin, muscle defect is more than 5 cm, shorten limb replantation is selected. On the contrary, free blood vessel transplantation was chosen to replant the limbs. The average length of shortening of upper arm is 5 cm. For shortening replanted group, distal and proximal humeral shortening osteotomy were performed with the use of wire saw, then, a plate or external fixator was utilized to fix the broken ends. Under the microscope, the brachial artery and accompanying veins, cephalic vein, basilic vein were anastomosed, radial nerve, ulnar nerve, median nerve, and musculocutaneous nerve were copated, muscles were sutured to cover the vascular and nerves, placed drainage tube, and the skin closed. For non-shortening replanted group, the vein grafts and nerve graft required to bridge the defect. First, a plate or external fixator was used to quickly fix the broken ends, harvested the great saphenous vein from the contralateral lower limb, then bridged the brachial artery and anastomosed the major nerves, harvested the
One patient had avulsion of the upper arm and multiple ribs fractures. First were amputated; the amputation rate of patients was 20%. Elbow amputation necroses; the incidence of muscle necrosis was 60%. A total of three patients patency rate was 100%. Eleven patients had skin necrosis after the surgery; vascular and all the radial arteries of the patients could be detected. Vascular After the replantation surgery, doppler was used to explore the distal vascular.

RESULTS

Postoperative Management and Rehabilitation

After the replantation surgery, all patients were prescribed medicines including anti-inflammatory, anti-coagulant, anti-vasospasm, analgesic, vasodilator, transfusion, and rehydration therapy; electrocardiography, blood oxygen saturation monitoring and blood pressure monitoring, review of the blood test, coagulation series, liver and kidney function tests, electrolytes and myocardial enzymes monitoring were also performed. The patients were completely bed ridden for seven days with limb warmth, no smoking, no mood swings, and changes in peripheral blood flow and skin temperature. After four weeks, the patients were required to move their fingers passively. After eight weeks, passive wrist and hand functional exercises, active shoulder, and elbow functional exercises were practiced. Different surgeries such as functional muscle, nerve, or tendons transfers were performed according to each patient's condition during the functional recovery period. Three cases underwent nerve transfers and four cases underwent free tendons or functional muscle transfers. The four patients with elbow flexion dysfunction underwent functioning free gracilis muscle transplantation to reconstruct elbow joint function.

Casual nerve from the contralateral lower limb, then bridged the major nerves. And anastomosed the cephalic vein and basilic vein, sutured muscle to cover the vessels and nerves, placed drainage tube, and sutured the skin. Skin necrosis and muscle necrosis were found to be the most common complications after the initial operation. Debridement and skin grafting were usually performed. Some cases had vascular embolism, we performed the vessel transplantation for the patient.

Table 1. Summary of Patients

| No. | Gender | Age | Level of injuries | Ischemia time | Total operating time | Complications | No. of subsequent surgeries after the replantation | Score of the limb |
|-----|--------|-----|------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1   | Female | 48  | Proximal arm     | 4 hours       | 6 hours             | Skin necrosis | 1                                            | 35              |
| 2   | Male   | 22  | Shoulder, elbow  | 7 hours       | 14 hours            | Skin necrosis, amputation | 1                             | 0               |
| 3   | Male   | 40  | Elbow            | 12 hours      | 6 hours             | Skin necrosis, amputation | 2                             | 0               |
| 4   | Male   | 44  | Middle arm       | 4 hours       | 4 hours             | No            | 0                                            | 59              |
| 5   | Female | 39  | Middle arm       | 6 hours       | 6.5 hours           | Skin necrosis, muscle necrosis | 2                             | 31              |
| 6   | Male   | 57  | Elbow            | 5 hours       | 5 hours             | Skin necrosis, muscle necrosis | 1                             | 25              |
| 7   | Male   | 33  | Proximal arm     | 4 hours       | 4 hours             | No            | 0                                            | 38              |
| 8   | Male   | 19  | Middle arm       | 6 hours       | 6 hours             | Skin necrosis, muscle necrosis | 4                             | 24              |
| 9   | Female | 43  | Elbow            | 6 hours       | 8 hours             | Skin necrosis, muscle necrosis | 5                             | 22              |
| 10  | Male   | 31  | Elbow            | 4 hours       | 5 hours             | Skin necrosis, muscle necrosis | 1                             | 31              |
| 11  | Male   | 35  | Middle arm       | 5 hours       | 4 hours             | Muscle necrosis | 2                                            | 30              |
| 12  | Male   | 45  | Proximal arm     | 8 hours       | 7 hours             | Skin necrosis, muscle necrosis | 4                             | 20              |
| 13  | Female | 28  | Elbow            | 7 hours       | 6 hours             | Skin necrosis, muscle necrosis | 4                             | 18              |
| 14  | Male   | 30  | Middle arm       | 6 hours       | 4 hours             | Muscle necrosis | 3                                            | 45              |
| 15  | Male   | 23  | Middle arm       | 9 hours       | 6 hours             | Skin necrosis, amputation | 2                             | 0               |

*The score of the limbs are according to the Function score of upper limb replantation of Hand Surgery Society of Chinese Medical Association.

DISCUSSION

Upper Arm Avulsion and Replantation Indications

Avulsion injuries and replantation of the upper arm are particularly challenging in the field of traumatic microsurgery. Because avulsion injuries are usually high-level, severe soft tissue injuries, and often with severe hemorrhagic shock and other complex injuries. The replantation of upper arm possesses a great risk, and its indications in our institute include age below 60 years, no heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, or other underlying diseases, warm ischemia time is less than six hours, and without chest, abdomen, or head complex injury. The mangled extremity severity score (MESS) is one of the few scoring systems to predict limb salvage outcomes of upper extremity injuries. However, MESS has been tested and found not very useful in several studies. The prevailing opinion is that in the upper extremity injury, every attempt should be made to salvage the limb. Another important indication is the will of the patient and his family. The contraindications of upper arm replantation
Table 2. Upper Limb Replantation Function Score of Hand Surgery Society of Chinese Medical Association

| Range of motion (30) | Score |
|----------------------|-------|
| Abduction of shoulder joint | |
| 90°~60° | 6 |
| 59°~45° | 5 |
| 44°~30° | 3~4 |
| <30° | 0~2 |
| Elbow (flexion and extension) | |
| 120°~90° | 7~8 |
| 89°~60° | 5~6 |
| 59°~30° | 3~4 |
| <30° | 0~2 |
| Wrist (flexion and extension) | |
| 60°~90° | 3.1~4 |
| 45°~59° | 2~3 |
| 30°~44° | 1.5~2 |
| <30° | 0~1.5 |
| Metacarpophalangeal joint (flexion and extension) | |
| 90°~70° | 4.1~5 |
| 69°~50° | 3.1~4 |
| 49°~30° | 2.1~3 |
| <30° | 0~1.4 |
| Proximal interphalangeal joint (flexion and extension) | |
| 100°~80° | 3.1~4 |
| 79°~60° | 2.1~3 |
| 59°~30° | 1.5~2 |
| <30° | 0~1.4 |
| Distal interphalangeal joint (flexion and extension) | |
| 45°~30° | 2~3 |
| 29°~20° | 1.1~2 |
| 19°~15° | 1 |
| <15° | 0 |
| Muscle strength (20) | |
| >M4 | 17~20 |
| M4 | 13~16 |
| M3 | 8~12 |
| <M2 | 0~7 |
| Sensation (20) | |
| S4 | 16~20 |
| S3+ | 12~15 |
| S3 | 8~11 |
| <S2 | 0~7 |
| Appearance (10) | |
| Normal or slightly atrophic | 8.1~10 |
| Slightly atrophic | 6.1~8 |
| Moderate atrophy | 3~6 |
| Apparent atrophy | 0~2 |
| Residual symptoms (10) | |
| No numbness, pain or other discomfort | 10 |
| Mild numbness, pain or other discomfort | 7 |
| Numbness, pain or other discomfort | 3 |
| Pain, allergies or becoming a burden | 0 |
| Work situation (10) | |
| Returned to the former job | 10 |
| Engage in light work | 7 |
| Able to meet the needs of daily life | 3 |
| No practical function | 0 |
| Evaluation method | |
| Excellent (100~80) | Good (79~60) |
| Negative (59~40) | Terrible (<39) |

Both muscle strength and sensation were measured by the British Medical Research Association in 1954. The joint measuring instrument can have a 2% - 3% error. Therefore, the scores on the critical angle are crossed. In the actual calculation, the high score can be calculated.

Survival Analysis

After the replantation surgery of severed upper arm avulsion injury, the affected limb had a high rate of vascular patency; because of the thick upper arm vasculature, the collateral circulation was more abundant. However, 86.7% of the cases required secondary surgeries; the patients with muscle necrosis and infection underwent multiple debridement; the patients with skin necrosis received skin grafting, the patients with vascular embolism was performed vascular exploration, and the patients with limb necrosis and unstable vital signs required an emergency amputation. Due to severe skin and soft tissue contusion, the incidence of postoperative skin necrosis was 73.3%. The incidence of muscle necrosis was also high; 60%. Muscle ischemia-resistant time was 6 hours, for the patient injured to the recanalization of the blood vessels usually needed more than 6 hours. After recanalization, although the limbs could be saved, the muscle necrosis was inevitable. The consequent wound infection was also inevitable. Among the group of 15 cases of replantation patients, three patients were performed the amputation surgery, the amputation rate was 20%. Among the three patients who received amputations. One patient died of upper limb avulsion after the replantation surgery. The patient was male, 25 years old, who was admitted to the hospital with bilateral upper extremities avulsion injuries, bilateral clavicle fracture, bilateral scapular fracture, and bilateral multiple rib fractures. The patient had elevated serum

Figure 1. (A) Preoperative appearance. (B) Preoperative X-ray. (C) Postoperative appearance. (D) Four weeks after the replantation. (E) One year after the replantation.
potassium, elevated myocardial enzymes, thrombocytopenia, coagulation disorders, and respiratory failure on the first day after the replantation surgery. We did the emergency amputation, but the patient was still dead. One patient had acute renal failure, creatinine kinase was 17000 u/L, persistent fever, confusion, and we did the emergency amputation surgery for him. One patient was discharged 10 days after the operation and transferred to a lower hospital for treatment. During the procedure of dressing change, the brachial artery was injured. On the 20th day after the operation, the limb of the patient with devascularization was replanted and returned to our hospital again. After exploration, muscle necrosis and peripheral devascularization were found in the affected limb, and finally, amputation was performed. With the development of microsurgery, the success rate of vascular anastomosis had been very high in traumatic micro-orthopedics in the case of the vascular graft after severed wounds in the large limbs. However, patients often suffered more injuries. To increase the success of the replantation, at the beginning of the surgery, we used a tube to connect the main artery for shunting to reduce the ischemic time of the severed limb.

Factors Influencing the Survival Rate
For patients with stable vital signs, no fatal composite injury was the primary condition for limb survival. On the other hand, one patient in this study had severe upper arm avulsion, and other injuries include bilateral scapula, clavicle fractures, and multiple fractures of the ribs. The patient died from severe complications after the replantation surgery. Preoperative detailed physical examination was necessary to avoid unfavorable postoperative outcomes, and the patients needed relevant departments’ consultation; and lifesaving should be considered priority before limb salvage. The quality of vascular anastomosis was also an important factor for the survival of replantation limbs. Because of the long segment of blood vessel contusion and avulsion, the plane of vascular injury was difficult to judge. Surgeons must judge the length of the vascular defect accurately. Before the shortening replantation or vascular graft replantation, we used catheter shunting surgery to provide the blood supply of the distal limb, which could greatly shorten the time of muscle ischemia and prevent future muscle tissue necrosis, leading to a better postoperative functional recovery and significantly improving the survival rate of replantation and muscle necrosis.

The Replantation Limb Function Recovery
Patients with upper limb avulsion and replantation generally had poor functional recovery after transplantation. Although most of the patients had their nerves repaired during operation, the postoperative functional recovery was extremely limited due to the nerve avulsion. Because of the longtime of muscle ischemia after replantation of avulsion injury of the upper arm, which will lead to muscle fibrosis and even necrosis, we suggest that the catheter should be used to open the blood of the distal limb for the first time, so as to minimize the ischemic time of the distal limb. In addition, the avulsed nerve should be repaired as early as possible. If the nerve was damaged, nerve transplantation can be carried out early, so that the function of the severed limb can be restored to the greatest extent. After replantation, the early passive activities also play an important role in the recovery of limb function. The time of 4-8 weeks after the surgery is a critical period of rehabilitation. In this period, the patients may have consequences such as the upper extremity external fixation not maintaining a safe position, many scar formations, or joint stiffness which make the patients lost conditions of rehabilitation and reconstruction. During this period patients should pay attention to continue to maintain the function of the upper limb and hand. The hand and the wrist should do passive activities, the shoulder and the elbow should begin to do an initiative activity with protection. Eight weeks after surgery, most of the wounds have been healed. After two years, with effective rehabilitation training, the function of the upper arm will have reconstruction. This period the patients should be unswiingly encouraged to build confidence in life and with functional reconstruction [6]. Although successful replantation is a great comfort for the patient’s psychology, the loss of long-term function makes the patient’s psychological expectation declining gradually. Difficult to return to the original job again, the fight against the patient’s family is still huge.

CONCLUSION
Upper arm avulsion injuries after trauma remains a challenging problem, and it requires a treatment with microsurgery. There has been a lack of formed upper extremity replantation guide, and the patients are often hemodynamically unstable with multiple traumas and combined injuries, resulting in a high risk of replantation. The long waiting time from injury to inadequate blood flow often attributes to surgical failures and amputation. Skilled microsurgical techniques may improve the success rate of replantation.
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