SUB-KPC ALMA IMAGING OF COMPACT STAR-FORMING GALAXIES AT $z \sim 2.5$: REVEALING THE FORMATION OF DENSE GALACTIC CORES IN THE PROGENITORS OF COMPACT QUIESCENT GALAXIES

G. Barro$^1$, M. Kriek$^3$, P. G. Pérez-González$^2$, J. R. Trump$^{3,4}$, D. C. Koo$^5$, S. M. Faber$^5$, A. Dekel$^6$, J. R. Primack$^7$, Y. Guo$^8$, D. D. Kocevski$^9$, J. C. Muñoz-Mateos$^5$, W. Rujoparkarn$^{10}$, K. Sheth$^{11}$

Last edited: July 6, 2016

ABSTRACT

We present spatially-resolved Atacama Large Millimeter/sub-millimeter Array (ALMA) 870 μm dust continuum maps of six massive, compact, dusty star-forming galaxies (SFGs) at $z \sim 2.5$. These galaxies are selected for their small rest-frame optical sizes ($r_{e, F160W} \sim 1.6$ kpc) and high stellar-mass densities that suggest that they are direct progenitors of compact quiescent galaxies at $z \sim 2$. The deep observations yield high far-infrared (FIR) luminosities of $L_{\text{IR}} = 10^{12.3-12.8}$ $L_{\odot}$ and star formation rates (SFRs) of SFR = 200 – 700 $M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$, consistent with those of typical star-forming “main sequence” galaxies. The high-spatial resolution (FWHM $\sim 0.12 - 0.18$) ALMA and HST photometry are combined to construct deconvolved, mean radial profiles of their stellar mass and (UV+IR) SFR. We find that the dusty, nuclear IR-SFR overwhelmingly dominates the bolometric SFR up to $r \sim 5$ kpc, by a factor of over 100× from the unobscured UV-SFR. Furthermore, the effective radius of the mean SFR profile ($r_{e, \text{SFR}} \sim 1$ kpc) is $\sim 30\%$ smaller than that of the stellar mass profile. The implied structural evolution, if such nuclear starburst last for the estimated gas depletion time of $\Delta t = \pm 100$ Myr, is a 4× increase of the stellar mass density within the central 1 kpc and a 1.6× decrease of the half-mass radius. This structural evolution fully supports dissipation-driven, formation scenarios in which strong nuclear starbursts transform larger, star-forming progenitors into compact quiescent galaxies.

Subject headings: galaxies: photometry — galaxies: high-redshift — galaxies: evolution

1. INTRODUCTION

The majority of SFGs follow a relatively tight, almost linear relation between SFR and stellar mass, usually referred to as the star-formation “main sequence” that seems to be in place since $z \sim 5 - 6$ (SF-MS; e.g., Noeske et al. 2007; Whitaker et al. 2012). The ubiquitous and tight SF-MS suggests that the majority of the stars are formed in a predominantly smooth, secular mode. Furthermore, there is also evidence that, despite their wide range of sizes and morphologies, most of the stars in SFGs are formed in disks which are growing from the inside out, thus increasing their sizes with cosmic time (Wuyts et al. 2013; Nelson et al. 2013, 2015). The progressive structural growth in the SF-MS is consistent with the classic notion of galaxy formation in a ΛCDM Universe in which gas accreted from dark matter halos cools and forms new stars in disks with increasingly larger scale lengths with cosmic time (e.g., Fall & Efstathiou 1980; Mo et al. 1998).

A challenge to this simplified picture are the small sizes ($r_e \sim 1$ kpc) of the first massive quiescent galaxies at $z \gtrsim 1.5 - 3$ (e.g., van der Wel et al. 2014 and references therein). On one hand, their small sizes might be the consequence of having smaller star-forming progenitors formed at earlier times when the Universe was more dense (i.e., more concentrated haloes and higher gas fractions). On the other hand, compact quiescent galaxies could form in strongly dissipative processes, triggered by mergers or interaction-driven disk instabilities that cause a substantial growth of the nuclear stellar density as a result of gas-rich starbursts (Hopkins et al. 2008; Dekel et al. 2009). Both scenarios imply the formation of compact SFGs as the last stage before quenching star formation, but the predictions differ on whether these compact SFGs would exhibit extended SFR profiles, driving the inside-out size growth, or compact star-forming regions (starbursts) triggered by the dissipative phase.

Such compact SFGs have been identified in sizable numbers and their small stellar sizes, steep mass profiles and obscured SFR properties have been confirmed by multiple studies (e.g., Barro et al. 2013, 2014; van Dokkum et al. 2013). However, direct measurements of their spatial distribution of the star formation relative to the mass profile, needed to discriminate between the two formation scenarios discussed above, are still inconclusive. These measurements have proven very difficult because even spatially resolved UV and optical SFR indicators based on HST observations are significantly affected by the high dust obscuration, particularly in galaxy centers (Wuyts et al. 2012; Tacchella et al. 2015), and FIR observations, sensitive to ionizing radiation re-emitted by the dust, usually have very poor spatial resolution. Modern (sub-)millimeter/radio interferometers such as ALMA and JVLA have opened a new window into this regime and enable us to measure the dust emission with high sensitivity and similar spatial resolution as those from HST observations.

Here, we exploit a joint analysis of the high spatial resolution HST/ACS and WFC3 and ALMA continuum imaging to simultaneously characterize the UV- and IR-
SFR profiles and the stellar mass profiles of 6 compact SFGs at $z \sim 2.5$. Throughout this paper, we quote magnitudes in the AB system, assume a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function (IMF), and adopt the following cosmological parameters: $(\Omega_M, \Omega_{\Lambda}, h) = (0.3, 0.7, 0.7)$.

2. DATA AND SAMPLE SELECTION

The 6 galaxies analyzed in this paper are drawn from the sample of compact SFGs in the CANDELS (Grogin et al. 2011) GOODS-S region presented in Barro et al. (2014). The UV to near-IR spectral energy distributions (SEDs) include extensive multi-band data ranging from U to $8 \mu$m (Guo et al. 2013). Furthermore, we include Spitzer/MIPS 24 and 70 $\mu$m data (30 $\mu$Jy and 5 $\sigma$) from Pérez-González et al. (2008), and PACS 70, 100 and 160 $\mu$m (0.7 mJy, 5$\sigma$), and SPIRE 250, 350 and 500 $\mu$m (1 mJy, $5\sigma$) from the GOODS-Herschel (Elbaz et al. 2011) and PEP (Magnelli et al. 2013) surveys.

The compact SFGs were identified following the method described in Barro et al. (2013, 2014). Briefly, we require galaxies to be massive ($\log(M/M_\odot) > 10.5$), star-forming ($\log(sSFR/Gyr^{-1}) > -1$), and we impose a compactness criterion (Barro et al. 2013), $\log(M/\pi r^2) = 10.4 M_\odot kpc^{-1.5}$, to identify galaxies with similar structural properties as quiescent galaxies at that redshift. Lastly, we choose FIR bright galaxies detected in Spitzer and Herschel with predicted ALMA 870 $\mu$m fluxes above $\sim 1$ mJy. Figure 1 illustrates the selection criteria by showing the location of the compact SFGs (blue circles) observed with ALMA overlaid in the SFR-mass and mass-size diagrams for galaxies more massive than $\log(M/M_\odot) > 9$ at $2 < z < 3$ in the CANDELS GOODS-S catalog.

The sub-mm observations of the 6 targets were taken as part of an ALMA cycle-2 campaign (ID: 2013.1.00576.S; PI: G. Barro) aimed at studying the dust emission components in compact SFGs at $z = 2 - 3$. The observations were carried out on 2015-08-29 and 2015-09-07 in band 7 using four spectral windows in the largest bandwidth mode. The on-source integration time was 1800s in the longest array configuration, C34-7. Flux, phase, and band-pass calibrators were also obtained, for a total time of $\sim 3$ hr. We used the CASA software to process and clean the data. The cleaning algorithm was run using a natural weighting for the u-v visibility plane. The average angular resolution of the observations is FWHM = 0.14 $\times$ 0.11, with a major-axis position angle ranging from 3° – 65° (see Figure 3). The rms noise of the observations is $\sigma = 40$ $\mu$Jy/beam or 2.4$mJy/arcsec^2$. The depth of the ALMA observations allow reliable measurements of the surface brightness profile at a 3$\sigma$ level down to a radius of at least 5 times the half-width at maximum of the ALMA clean beam. We find and correct an average systematic offset between the HST and ALMA astrometry of $\Delta RA = -0.08$ and $\Delta DEC = 0.06$ with an rms $\sim 0.06$.

3. OPTICAL/NIR AND MIR/SUBMM SED FITS: M$_*$, SFR, M$_{DUST}$ AND M$_{GAS}$

3.1. models and assumptions

We fit the optical/NIR SEDs to calculate stellar masses using FAST (Kriek et al. 2009) and assuming Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population synthesis models, and the Calzetti et al. (2000) dust law with attenuation $0 < A_V < 4$. We also assume an exponentially-declining star formation history with timescale $\tau$ and age $t$ (see Santini et al. 2015 for more details).

We fit the mid-to-FIR SEDs to the dust emission templates of Chary & Elbaz (2001), Dale & Helou (2002), and Rieke et al. (2009). Moreover, we fit the models by
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We estimate the molecular gas content using the gas-to-dust ratio by assuming $\delta_{\text{CDM}} M_{\text{dust}} = M_{\text{gas}}$. The value of $\delta_{\text{CDM}}$ depends primarily on the metallicity of the galaxy (e.g., Sandstrom et al. 2013). We estimate $\delta_{\text{CDM}}(Z)$ using the mass-metallicity (MZR) relation at $z \sim 2$ of Steidel et al. (2014), and the empirical calibration of Magdis et al. (2012). For the relatively small range of galaxy masses in our sample the average metallicity is $Z = 12 + \log(O/H) = 8.57$ which implies gas-to-dust ratios of $\delta_{\text{CDM}} \sim 100$.

We compute the total SFR by adding the unobscured and obscured star formation, traced by the UV and IR emission, respectively, following Kennicutt (1998 see also Bell et al. 2003).

$$SFR_{\text{UV+IR}} = 1.09 \times 10^{-10}(L_{\text{IR}} + 3.3L_{2800})[M_{\odot}/\text{yr}]$$ (1)

where $L_{\text{IR}}$ is the total IR luminosity ($L_{\text{IR}} \equiv L(8 - 1000 \mu\text{m})$) derived from the average value of the best-fit templates to the 4 dust emission libraries, and $L_{2800} = \nu L_\nu(2800)$ is estimated from the best-fit SED models.

3.2. Integrated IR-, UV- SFRs, dust and gas masses

The compact SFGs exhibit high IR luminosities ranging from $L_{\text{IR}} = 10^{12.63\pm12.80} L_{\odot}$ and SFR = 150 – 730 $M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ that straddle the SFR main sequence at $\log(M/M_{\odot}) \sim 11$ (Figure 1). Two of the galaxies have slightly larger SFRs than the median of SFR $\sim 300 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$, yet still within 5$\sigma$ of the SF-MS. The total SFR is strongly dominated by the IR emission. The average ratio of integrated SFR$_{\text{IR}}$/SFR$_{\text{UV}} = 70\pm100$ implies optical attenuations of $A_V \gtrsim 2$ mag. However, the values determined from SED fitting are only $A_V \sim 1.3 - 1.6$ mag.

The IR-SFRs determined from the different dust template libraries are in excellent agreement, with a median difference and 1$\sigma$ scatter of $\Delta\text{SFR} = 0.01 \pm 0.13$ dex. The SFRs are also consistent with estimates based on
The gas masses determined from and are larger for the two galaxies with the highest SFRs.

The mean radius containing 95% of the light from the different spatial resolution of each dataset by modeling the shape of the two-dimensional surface brightness profiles using GALFIT (Peng et al. 2002). The half-light radii and Sérsic indices, , are determined using a single component fit. For the HST images, the PSFs are created with TinyTim (Krist 1995) as detailed in van der Wel et al. (2012). For ALMA, we used the synthetic PSF generated by CASA. The depth of the ALMA observations (, ~ 30 min) provides a smooth, uniform coverage of the PSF. Figure 8 indicates the best-fit versus in each band.

The mean radius containing 95% of the light from the observed FIR profiles is ~ 4 × smaller than that of the optical profile. The deconvolved, FIR profiles are also more compact than the optical in 5/6 galaxies. The exception is GDS-3280, which has the smallest optical size and a high , while the morphology in ALMA exhibits some slight asymmetries. The ratio of the mean effective radii is . Despite being more com-
The remarkable compactness of the dust continuum emission is consistent with recent results on SMGs and other IR-bright galaxies which report small FIR sizes (Gaussian FHWM $\sim 0.1'12$) compared to the typical rest-frame optical sizes of SFGs at $z \sim 2 - 3$ (Simpson et al. 2013, Ikarashi et al. 2013, Tadaki et al. 2013). Nonetheless, joint studies of both the UV- and IR- SFRs, and the stellar mass profiles are required to fully constrain the regions where stars are being formed and to understand their role in the structural evolution of SFGs.

4.2. Stellar mass, UV- and IR- SFR surface density profiles

Figure 4b shows the average stellar mass and SFR profiles of the compact SFGs as computed from their deconvolved, surface density profiles. The UV-SFR and IR-SFR profiles are determined by scaling the rest-frame luminosity profiles, probed by ACS/F850LP and ALMA 870 $\mu$m, to an integrated SFR using the conversion in Equation 1. The stellar mass profiles are determined from the rest-frame optical luminosity probe by WFC3/F160W (approximately g-band, $L_g$) by using an empirical correlation between the stellar mass-to-light ratio, $M_*/L_g$, and the rest-frame $(u-g)$ color as determined from F125W and F160W (see e.g., Szomoru et al. 2012). We account for resolution effects in the color profile by using the best-fit GALFIT models of the F125W and F160W brightness profiles.

The average (UV+IR) SFR profile is approximately 1.5× more concentrated than the average stellar mass profile and it is strongly dominated by the IR emission (UV/IR $\geq 100$) up to $r \sim 5$ kpc. The specific SFR (sSFR = SFR/M) is highest at $r \lesssim 2.5$ kpc and thus imply that most of the stellar mass growth is taking place within the inner few kpc of the galaxy. At $r \gtrsim 5$ kpc, the sSFR is $\sim 100 \times$ lower thus indicating that the SFR has an almost negligible contribution to the stellar mass growth at large radii.

Note that the UV- and IR- SFR profiles are detected only up to $r \sim 3 - 4$ kpc ($\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \sim 1M_\odot$ kpc$^{-2}$ and $0.1M_\odot$ kpc$^{-2}$). Therefore, the results at larger radii are based on the best-fit S´ersic profiles. Nonetheless, we verify that even if the IR-SFR profiles had secondary components undetected by ALMA with $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(r = 8$ kpc) $\sim 10 \times$ and $100 \times$ lower than the detection limit (orange lines in Figure 4b), the $r_e$ would only increase by $\sim 5\%$ and $20\%$ and thus the SFR would still be more concentrated than the stellar mass.

5. DISCUSSION

In a simplified picture of galaxy growth, the average structural evolution of SFGs proceeds roughly along their well-defined scaling relations (blue arrows in Figure 4a). The remarkable compactness of the dust continuum emission is consistent with recent results on SMGs and other IR-bright galaxies which report small FIR sizes (Gaussian FHWM $\sim 0.1'12$) compared to the typical rest-frame optical sizes of SFGs at $z \sim 2 - 3$ (Simpson et al. 2013, Ikarashi et al. 2013, Tadaki et al. 2013). Nonetheless, joint studies of both the UV- and IR- SFRs, and the stellar mass profiles are required to fully constrain the regions where stars are being formed and understood the role of the smooth track due to dissipative processes that would rapidly increase their concentrations and potentially de-
compact quiescent galaxies at $z \sim 2$. The magenta line shows a possible extended star-forming component (see § 4.2). The red dashed line shows the mean stellar mass profile of compact quiescent galaxies at $z \sim 2$. The cyan dashed line indicates the $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ of typical SFGs at $z \sim 1$ from Nelson et al. (2019) (see also Tacchella et al. 2015 at $z \sim 2$). The arrows indicate the $r_e$. Middle: The blue contour and the red points indicate the loci of star-forming and quiescent galaxies at $z \sim 2$, and the blue and red lines depict their best-fit scaling relations from van der Wel et al. (2014). The light-to-dark green circles indicate the size-mass evolution of the stellar mass profile in panel a. The black arrows show the direction of the structural evolution in the Vela simulations during the “wet compaction” phase. Right: Same as middle panel but showing the $\Sigma_1$-mass evolution. The average relations for SFGs and quiescent galaxies at $z \sim 2$ are from Barro et al. (2016b).

increase their half-mass radii in strong nuclear starbursts (Dekel & Burkert 2014, Welslow et al. 2013). The secular and dissipation-driven scenarios are not mutually exclusive. However, we aim to understand whether the massive dense cores of compact quiescent galaxies are primarily formed in dissipative processes.

The strong nuclear starbursts embedded in larger stellar mass profiles found in compact SFGs are indeed an excellent match to the dissipation-driven scenario. The light-to-dark green lines and circles in Figure 5 show the predicted change in the stellar mass profile and the evolutionary tracks in $r_e$ and central mass density for compact SFGs due to star formation, assuming that their SFR profiles remain constant during $\Delta t = 200$ Myr (approximately $t_{\text{dpl}}$). The significant stellar mass growth within the inner $r < 2$ kpc decreases the half-mass radius by $1.6\times$ from $r_{e,\text{mass}} = 1.9$ to $1.2$ kpc, while the central density within $r < 1$ kpc increases by $4\times$ from $\log (\Sigma_1) = 9.7$ to $10.3$ $M_\odot$ kpc$^{-2}$. If compact SFGs had more extended star formation at $r > 3$ kpc the evolution of $\Sigma_1$ would be the same, while $r_{e,\text{mass}}$ would decrease less (e.g., $\sim 7%$ for the magenta line).

These evolutionary tracks are very similar to the predictions of the Vela simulations during the “wet compaction” phase (black arrows; e.g., Zolotov et al. 2013, Tacchella et al. 2016) and contrast with the expected evolution for typical SF-MS galaxies, which have extended SFR profiles with $\sim 100 \times$ lower central $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ (cyan line in Figure 5a) and thus favor a more gradual increase of $\Sigma_1$ and a positive size evolution.

The short depletion times of compact SFGs and the similarity with the mean stellar mass profile of quiescent galaxies at $z \sim 2$ (red dashed line in Figure 5a) suggest that the nuclear starburst is unlikely to continue for more than a few hundred Myrs, either because no further gas is accreted into the galaxy center or because the dense stellar component stabilizes the gas to prevent further star formation and eventually leads to galaxy quenching. This scenario is consistent with previous results indicating that the formation of a dense core precedes the shut down of star formation (e.g., Cheung et al. 2012, van Dokkum et al. 2014), and suggests that, at high redshift, both quenching and the dense cores are simultaneous consequences of enhanced periods of nuclear star formation that cause a rapid depletion of the gas reservoirs.
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