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In Vitro and In Vivo Anticancer Effects of Sterol Fraction from Red Algae Porphyra dentata
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Porphyra dentata, an edible red macroalga, is used as a folk medicine in Asia. This study evaluated in vitro and in vivo the protective effect of a sterol fraction from P. dentata against breast cancer linked to tumor-induced myeloid derived-suppressor cells (MDSCs). A sterol fraction containing cholesterol, β-sitosterol, and campesterol was prepared by solvent fractionation of methanol extract of P. dentata in silica gel column chromatography. This sterol fraction in vitro significantly inhibited cell growth and induced apoptosis in 4T1 cancer cells. Intraperitoneal injection of this sterol fraction at 10 and 25 mg/kg body weight into 4T1 cell-implanted tumor BALB/c mice significantly inhibited the growth of tumor nodules and increased the survival rate of mice. This sterol fraction significantly decreased the reactive oxygen species (ROS) and arginase activity of MDSCs in tumor-bearing mice. Therefore, the sterol fraction from P. dentata showed potential for protecting an organism from 4T1 cell-based tumor genesis.

1. Introduction

Breast cancers constitute one of the most serious problems in oncology, and in many countries breast cancer is a leading cause of death among women [1]. As the breast cancer phenotype progresses, survival factors that inhibit apoptotic cell death are expressed [2, 3]. In addition, the pathophysiology of breast cancer has linked it to tumor-induced myeloid derived-suppressor cells (MDSCs), and MDSCs pose a serious barrier to effective T cell immunotherapy against cancer [4].

Tumor-induced immune suppression is widespread among patients and experimental animals that display malignant tumors [5]. Multiple mechanisms have been suggested as the possible cause of tumor-induced immune suppression, with MDSCs (previously called immature myeloid cells) [6] being a major contributor [3]. In mice, MDSCs are characterized as Gr-1CD11b+ cell phenotype and are thus also called Gr-1CD11b+ cells [7]. Myeloid lineage differentiation antigen Gr-1 (also known as Ly6G) is expressed on myeloid precursor cells and granulocytes and transiently on monocytes [6]. Tumor growth is associated with systemic expansion of Gr-1 myeloid cells with the CD11b antigen. The CD11b antigen is also known as αM-integrin, and is a marker for myeloid cells of the macrophage lineage [8]. The quantity of these suppressor cells is positively correlated to malignancy, which suggests that MDSCs play a role in tumor invasion and metastasis [7]. MDSCs in the bone marrow and spleen are significantly overproduced in tumor-bearing mice [7]. The expansion of MDSCs is promoted by expansion factors produced by tumor cells, through stimulation of myelopoiesis and the inhibition of differentiation of mature myeloid cells [3].

Some studies have suggested that MDSCs are potent inhibitors of antitumor immunity in secondary lymphoid organs and may facilitate tumor progression by inactivating antigen-specific T cells [6, 9]. MDSCs in tumor-bearing hosts may generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) to suppress antigen-specific cell responses [6, 10]. ROS inhibition can completely abrogate the suppressive effect of MDSCs [6]. MDSC suppression has also been implicated in reduced bioavailability of L-arginine [9, 11–13]. This effect is the result of enhanced activity of arginase, which hydrolyzes L-arginine to L-ornithine and urea. The reduction of L-arginine is thought to affect multiple key biological processes in T
cells, including proliferation, expression of the T cell receptor complex, and the development of memory [9]. Zee et al. [9] showed that cytokines of interleukin 4 (IL-4) and IL-13 upregulate arginase activity of MDSCs, thereby increasing the suppressive function of MDSCs. In vivo studies have shown that blocking arginase eliminated the suppressor function of MDSCs and induced an antigrowth effect of T cells [9, 13].

In vitro. 4T1 cells grow as adherent epithelial type and are characterized as murine mammary carcinoma cells [14]. The 4T1 cell line is an estrogen-nonresponsive [15] and transplantable tumor cell line that is tumorigenic in NOD/SCID and BALB/c mice. It multiples rapidly, resulting in highly metastatic tumors in the lung, liver, lymph nodes, and brain while the primary tumor is growing in situ [4]. This tumor closely imitates human breast cancer and provides an animal model for Stage IV human breast cancer [2].

Algal remedies from the Porphyra species, commonly known as red seaweeds, are emerging as popular agents for cancer-related therapy [16, 17]. Various sterol components have been identified in the Porphyra species, including campesterol, cholesterol, 22-dehydrocholesterol, desmosterol, fucosterol, β-sitosterol, and stigmasterol [18–20]. Several of these components have been shown to exhibit antitumor activity [21]. In eastern Asian countries, Porphyra dentata (Bangiaceae) has been used for centuries in the preparation of folk medicine [22, 23]. This study investigated the anti-breast-cancer effects of P. dentata using the 4T1 breast cell line in vitro and 4T1 implanted mice in vivo. We analyzed the presence of effective compositions of sterols and attempted to explain the underlying mechanism related to MDSC to account for these anticancer effects.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials and Chemicals. Marine red alga, P. dentata, was collected in Taiwan and authenticated as described previously [22]. Unless otherwise stated, chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). These included aprotinin, L-arginine, campesterol, cholesterol, desmosterol, di-chlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFDA), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-di-phenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), EDTA (ethylene-diaminetetraacetate), α-ionitrosopropiophenone, N-(l-naphthyl) ethylenediamine, leupeptin, phenyl methyl sulfonyl fluoride, β-sitosterol, stigmasterol, sulfanilamide, taxol, tris, triton X-100, and urea. We obtained catalase, arginase inhibitor N^−-hydroxy-nor-L-arginine (nor-NOHA), and phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA) from Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS), EDTAX4Na (tetrasodium EDTA), HEPES buffer, 2-β-mercaptoethanol, nonessential amino acids, penicillin/streptomycin, sodium pyruvate, Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM), PBS, and RPMI 1640 were purchased from Gibco (Rockville, MD, USA). From BD Biosciences (San Diego, CA, USA) we obtained a fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit I, propidium iodide (PI), and the antibodies, namely, anti-mouse Gr1^+^ (Clone: RB6-8C5; Catalog number: 553126) and anti-mouse CD11b^+^ (Clone: M1/70; Catalog number: 553311). A protein assay kit (Bradford reagent) was purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Richmond, CA, USA). All solvents and chemicals used in this study were of HPLC grade.

2.2. Extraction and Fractionation of Plant. Crude extract of P. dentata soaked in methanol was prepared as described previously [22]. A freeze-dry powder of the methanolic crude extract (18 g) was fractioned on a chromatographic column filled with silica gel particles. The first fractionation was eluted with 1 L of hexane (fraction 1; F1), followed by 2.5 L of dichloromethane (fraction 2; F2), 3 L of ethyl acetate (fraction 3; F3), 4 L of acetone (fraction 4; F4), and 4 L of methanol (fraction 5; F5). The various obtained fractions were concentrated in a rotary evaporator to dryness and were then weighed and stored in a freezer (−80 °C) until use.

2.3. Cell Line Maintenance. The 4T1 breast cancer cell line was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and was maintained using standard cell culture techniques. The cells were cultured in a “4T1 medium” [24] comprising DMEM supplemented with 0.2% (w/v) NaHCO3, 10% (v/v) FBS, and 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin; the culture was maintained at 37 °C, 95% humidity, and 5% CO2. The subcultures were performed every 3 days. The cells were detached using 0.05% (w/v) trypsin with 0.53 mM EDTA×4Na for 5 min at 37 °C in a humidified incubator. The cell count for each subculture was determined using a hemacytometer (Marienfeld, Long Island, NY, USA).

2.4. Cytotoxic Effects of Samples on 4T1 Cells. The 4T1 cells (1 × 10^6 cells/mL) were incubated with 4T1 medium containing DMSO (control), various concentrations of methanolic crude extract (100, 200, and 400 µg/mL), or fractions of F1, F2, F3, F4, and F5 (25, 50, and 100 µg/mL in each case) for 48 h. The cells were then subjected to MTT assays [22]. The relative cell viability (%) was defined as 100 multiplied by the ratio of the OD490 value of the cells treated with extract or fraction, over the OD490 value of the control cells.

2.5. In Vitro Effect of Sample on Apoptosis and Necrosis of 4T1 Cells. The 4T1 cells (1 × 10^6 cells/mL) were incubated in the 4T1 medium containing various concentrations of F2 fraction for 48 h. The cell undergoing apoptosis or necrosis was determined using FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit I and PI exclusion in a FACs-based assay, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA). Briefly, the reacted 4T1 cell suspension was mixed with 1 µL of FITC Annexin V staining solution and left for 7 min. Thereafter, 1 µL of PI solution was added and reacted for 15 s in the dark. Flow cytometric analysis was performed immediately.

2.6. Mouse Maintenance. Thirty female BALB/c mice (5 wk old) were purchased from National Laboratory Animal Center (NLAC) in Taiwan. They were fed ad libitum for 1 wk and were then divided randomly into 5 groups of 6 animals each.
The groups were as follows: a naive group of tumor-free mice, a control group of tumor-bearing mice that did not receive treatment, and 3 experimental groups of tumor-bearing mice that received the sample treatments at 3 dosage levels. For the survival rate analysis, another 30 female BALB/c mice (5 wk old) were divided in the same manner. The 6 mice in each group were housed in a cage at 25°C and were libitum fed and observed daily. Approval for this study was issued by the Institutional Animal Care Board of National Taiwan Ocean University, and the mice were handled and euthanized according to the board’s guidelines.

2.7. 4T1 Breast Tumor Model. The experimental mice were injected with 100 µL of syngeneic breast cancer 4T1 cell suspension (5 × 10^6 cells/mL). The injection was delivered subcutaneously (s.c.) into the mammary fat pads of the mice. Three hours after the tumor engraftment, the experimental mice (3 groups) were intraperitoneally (i.p.) injected with 20 µL of sample at dosages of 5, 10, or 25 mg/kg/day. The negative control group (naive mice) and the control group (tumor-bearing mice) were injected with DMSO (a carrier). The mice were injected every 3 d over 18 consecutive days.

Tumor growth was assessed morphometrically, using a caliper, and tumor volumes were calculated from the formula \( V = \frac{1}{2} \times L_1 \times L_2 \times L_3 \) (major axis) \( \times \) (minor axis) \( \times \) (depth). Gross pathology of animals was performed, abnormalities were noted, and images were obtained to document the results. Tumor tissues were isolated and weighed on a microbalance and then stored in cytokotic media on ice until further ex vivo analysis. The cytotoxic media comprised 1 mM nonessential amino acids, 10 mM hepes buffer, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mg/mL aprotinin, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 0.4% Triton X-100. Finally, cells were lysed for 10 min in PBS. The oxidized DCFDA-labeled cells were quantified in a green channel (FL1) of fluorescence by flow cytometry.

2.11. ROS Detection Assay. DCFDA, a cell-permanent dye sensitive to oxidation by ROS, was used to determine oxidative stress in the MDSCs isolated from the spleens of BALB/c mice [6]. We labeled 100 µL of MDSCs (2 × 10^6 cells/mL) in PBS using 1 µL DCFDA (2 µM) for 15 min at 37°C; thereafter, the cells were washed twice with PBS. The oxidized DCFDA-labeled cells were quantified in a green channel (FL1) of fluorescence by flow cytometry.

2.12. Arginase Activity Assay. Arginase activity was measured using the method of Chang et al. [27] with some modifications. To prepare cell lysates for arginase activity assay, the sorted MDSCs were rinsed twice with ice-cold Dulbecco PBS and then suspended in 300 µL of lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mg/mL leupeptin, 1 mg/mL aprotinin, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 0.4% Triton X-100. Finally, cells were lysed for 10 min in the lysis buffer and the arginase activity in the cell lysate was measured. In brief, cell lysate (5 µg/50 µL ddH2O) was added to 50 µL of Tris-HCl (50 mM; pH 7.5) containing 10 mM MnCl2. The arginase in MDSCs was then activated by heating the mixture at 55°C for 10 min. The hydrolysis reaction of L-arginine by arginase was performed by coincubating the activated arginase with 50 µL of L-arginine (0.5M, pH 9.7) at 37°C for 1h. Thereafter, 400 µL of the acid solution (95% H2SO4 : 85% H3PO4 : H2O = 1 : 3 : 7) was added to stop the reaction. For colorimetric determination of urea, 25 µL α-isonitrosopropiophenone (9% in absolute ethanol) was added. The mixture was heated at 100°C for 45 min and quickly cooled to 25°C. After being kept in the dark at 25°C for 10 min, the absorbance at 550 nm was determined using a microplate reader (IQQuantTM, BIO-TEK Instrument Inc., Winooski, VT). The amount of urea produced was calculated from the standard curve and prepared as described but using urea (mg/mL) instead of cell lysate for the arginase activity assay.

2.13. Identification of Sterols Using a High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Evaporative Light Scattering Detector (HPLC-ELSD). The HPLC-ELSD system consisted of a pump PU-1580 obtained from Jasco (Tokyo, Japan), a Mightsil RP-18 (H) column (250 × 4.5 mm) preceded by an Asahipak GS-2G 7B guard column (50 × 7.6 mm) (Shodex, Showa Denko, Tokyo, Japan), and an ELSD ZAM 3000 (Schambeck...
viability was significantly decreased after treatment with CE-dose-dependent manner in samples of CE-1, F2, and F3. Cell gated. As shown in Figure 1, cell viability decreased in a dose-dependent manner in samples of CE-1, F2, and F3. Cell viability was significantly decreased after treatment with CE-1 (200 or 400 μg/mL), F2 (50 or 100 μg/mL), or F3 (50 or 100 μg/mL). Samples of F1, F4, and F5 did not affect cell viability. Furthermore, F2 was found to have the highest cytotoxic effect on 4T1 cell proliferation. Therefore, F2 was selected for testing in the following experiments.

The sterol components in F2 were determined by HPLC-ELSD after comparing the retention time ($T_R$) of individual peaks with the $T_R$ library of sterol standards for cholesterol, β-sitosterol, and campesterol. The data were analyzed under the same HPLC conditions (Figure 2). The three major peaks in F2 had retention times of 10.2 min (Peak 1), 12.0 min (Peak 2), and 13.1 min (Peak 3) (Figure 2(a)), which were equivalent to those of cholesterol ($T_R = 10.2$ min), β-sitosterol ($T_R = 12.0$ min), and campesterol ($T_R = 13.1$ min), respectively (Figure 2(b)). The relative weight percentages for cholesterol, β-sitosterol, and campesterol in F2 were 15%, 55%, and 30%, respectively.

The dose effects of F2 on 4T1 cell proliferation and apoptosis were further investigated. As shown in Figure 3, the proliferation of 4T1 cells was inhibited by the sample (F2) in dose- and time-dependent manner. The proliferation percentage of 4T1 cells was significantly decreased by a dose of 50 μg/mL of F2, compared with the control cells. The proliferation percentage in treated 4T1 cells was 63.3% compared with the control cells at 24 h; by 48 h it had reduced further to 31.1%. Based on the data shown in Figure 3, the sample concentrations required to achieve 50% inhibition of cell proliferation at 24 h and 48 h were calculated as 76.2 and 48.3 μg/mL, respectively.

PI and annexin V dual staining enables the identification of live cells (using annexin V and PI+); early membrane damage (using annexin V+ and PI+); and apoptotic, necrotic, or necrotic membrane-disrupted cells (using annexin V− and PI+). To determine if the ability of the sample to inhibit proliferation was connected with apoptosis in 4T1 cells, dual staining of annexin V and PI for cells undergoing necrosis-apoptosis was performed at 48 h (Figure 4). Less than 5% of 4T1 cells in control were dual positive for annexin V and PI (Figure 4). In the presence of the sample at dosages of 25 to 100 μg/mL, the percentage of apoptotic cells (white bar) and apoptotic-necrotic cells (black bar) gradually increased from 22% to 50% and from 10% to 20%, respectively. In the presence of 200 μg/mL of the sample, the percentage of apoptotic-necrotic cells increased to 70%, and the apoptotic cell percentage decreased to 15%. The remaining 15% of events showed nonstained viable cells (Figure 4). In this assay, taxol, a clinical chemotherapy drug for breast and ovarian cancer, was used as a positive control. Administering taxol at a dosage of 10 μg/mL induced cell apoptosis at a level of 40% (white bar) and apoptosis-necrosis at 22% (black bar) (Figure 4).

3.2. Antitumor Effects of Sterol Fraction of P. dentata In Vivo

The 4T1 cell-implanted tumor mice were i.p. injected with sample every 3 d over 18 consecutive days. Changes in the body weights and tumor sizes of mice were monitored. After the animals were sacrificed, the primary tumor nodules were isolated and weighed. On day 18 of the experiment, the body weight of tumor-bearing mice in groups that were treated with the sample was significantly decreased, compared with the tumor-bearing control group, for all 3 dosage levels (Figure 5(a)). As shown in Figure 5(b), tumor nodules developed by day 3 in tumor-bearing control mice, whereas

![Figure 1: Effect of P. dentata methanolic crude extract (CE-1) and dichloromethane (DCM) crude extract (CE-2) as well as F1 (hexane), F2 (DCM), F3 (ethyl acetate), F4 (acetone), and F5 (methanol) fractions of CE-1 on the viability of tumor 4T1 cells. The 4T1 cells were incubated with DMEM containing DMSO (control), CE-1, CE-2, or indicated fractions for 48 h at indicated concentrations. The cells were subjected to MTT assays. The relative cell viability (%) was defined as 100 multiplied by the ratio of the OD490 value of the control cells to the OD490 value of the treated sample. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. (n = 3).](image)
Figure 2: HPLC-ELSD chromatograms of *Porphyra dentata* F2 fraction (a) and a mixture of standards (cholesterol, \(\beta\)-sitosterol, and campesterol) (b). The retention time \(T_R\) of each major peak (Peak 1, Peak 2, and Peak 3) present in F2 fraction and each standard is shown in parentheses and on a time scale. Conditions for HPLC-ELSD: column, Mightysil RP-18 (H) column (250 \(\times\) 4.5 mm); column temperature, 40\(^\circ\) C; mobile phase, 95% methanol in water; flow rate: 1 mL/min; ELSD detector nebulizer temperature, 80\(^\circ\) C.

Figure 3: Effect of *Porphyra dentata* F2 fraction on viability of 4T1 cells. The 4T1 cells were incubated in DMEM culture containing 10% FBS and sample at indicated concentrations for 24 h and 48 h. Thereafter, the cells were subjected to MTT assay. The proliferation percentage (%) was defined as 100 multiplied by the ratio of the OD\(_{490}\) value of the cells treated with sample over the OD\(_{490}\) value of the control cells. Data are expressed as mean \(\pm\) S.D. (\(n = 3\)).

3.3. Sterol Fraction of *P. dentata* Did Not Affect Gr-1\(^+\) CD11b\(^+\) Myeloid Cell Expansion. The effect of the sterol fraction of *P. dentata* on the percentage of MDSC in splenocytes of tumor-bearing mice was investigated. The MDSCs were labeled with fluorescence-conjugated antibodies Gr-1\(^-\) -FITC and CD11b\(^-\) -PE and were then detected using flow cytometry. On Day 18, the percentage of splenic MDSCs in tumor-bearing control mice was markedly elevated by 36% compared with naive tumor-free mice (4%) (Figure 6). By contrast, mice treated with dosages of 5 or 25 mg/kg of sample did not show significant changes in the MDSC induction level, compared with tumor-bearing control mice (Figure 6). This result suggested that the sterol fraction of *P. dentata* did not inhibit MDSC induction pathways in BALB/c mice.

Figure 4: Simultaneous *in vitro* detection of apoptosis (annexin V staining) and necrosis (PI staining) of 4T1 cells treated with *P. dentata* F2 fraction. The 4T1 cells were incubated in DMEM culture containing 10% FBS and F2 sample at indicated concentrations for 48 h. Taxol (10 \(\mu\)g/mL) was used as a positive control. The relative cell population (%) undergoing a solely apoptotic (annexin V positive) pathway is shown by an open barsquare; cells undergoing necrotic-apoptotic pathway (annexin V and PI double positive) are shown by a closed black bar square. Data are expressed as mean \(\pm\) S.D. (\(n = 3\)).

3.4. Sterol Fraction of *P. dentata* Decreased ROS Levels in Purified MDSCs. The ROS levels in MDSCs isolated from
Figure 5: Inhibitory effect of *P. dentata* F2 fraction on 4T1 tumor-cell-engrafted mice. The 4T1 cells were subcutaneously engrafted (5 × 10^5 cells suspended in 0.1 mL of PBS) in the nipples of BALB/c female mice. The following parameters were measured: (a) body weight, (b) photo for tumor growth status, (c) primary tumor volume, (d) primary tumor mass, and (e) survival rate. The data are representative of one experiment with 6 mice in each group. Naive mice and control mice were i.p. treated with DMSO only.
Figure 6: The induction of MDSCs in female BALB/c mice treated with or without P. dentata F2 fraction. The 4T1 cells were subcutaneously engrafted in the mice. A typical dot plot of splenic MDSCs level is shown in (a). The percentage of MDSCs detected in the spleen of mice bearing 4T1 tumors is shown in (b). The data are representative of one experiment, with 3 mice from each group.

BALB/c mice using an oxidation-sensitive fluorescent dye, DCFDA, are shown in Figure 7. In vivo, MDSCs from tumor-bearing control mice exhibited 10 times more ROS-positive cells than MDSCs from tumor-free mice (Figure 7(a)). The mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) values represent the ROS content. These values were 65 ± 3 and 777 ± 68 for naive MDSCs and tumor-bearing control MDSCs, respectively. By contrast, the MFI values for MDSCs from tumor-bearing mice treated with the sample at dosages of 5 and 25 mg/kg were 621 ± 84 and 265 ± 50, respectively; both of which were significantly lower than the MFI values for MDSCs from the control group (Figure 7(a)).

In vitro, the purified MDSCs from tumor-bearing control mice were treated with various stimuli, including catalase (a ROS-quenching agent), PMA (a ROS induction agent), nor-NOHA (an arginase inhibitor), and sample. As expected, the in vitro ROS level in untreated control MDSCs (MFI 776 ± 47) was similar to the in vivo MDSC level in the tumor-bearing control group (777 ± 68, Figure 7(a)). Treatment with catalase or nor-NOHA decreased the ROS level significantly to MFI 336 ± 67 and MFI 70 ± 3, respectively. By contrast, the ROS level of MDSCs treated with ROS-inducing PMA increased 2-fold (MFI 1556 ± 120). Similarly, the ROS levels of MDSCs treated in vitro with the sample at dosages of 5 and 25 μg/mL were significantly decreased, with MFI values being 271 ± 66 and 72 ± 15, respectively (Figure 7(b)). Overall, the sterol fraction of P. dentata decreased ROS in a dose-dependent manner in MDSCs both in vivo and in vitro (Figure 7).

3.5. Sterol Fraction of P. dentata Inhibited Arginase Activity of MDSCs In Vivo. Arginase activity is an important contributor to MDSC suppressive activity. Thus, the arginase activity in MDSCs from naive, control, and sample-treated mice was measured to determine whether the arginase activity of MDSCs was modulated in vivo by our sample. As shown in Figure 8, the arginase activity (expressed as urea level) in control MDSCs was 4-fold higher than that in naive MDSCs. No significant difference was found in arginase activity between the control MDSCs and cells treated with the sample (5 mg/kg). However, arginase activity (1.9 mg/mL of urea) in MDSCs from mice treated with sample (25 mg/kg) was significantly lower (P < 0.05) than that of the control (2.6 mg/mL of urea) (Figure 8).

4. Discussion

Porphyra dentata is an edible seaweed that is widely cultivated in Taiwan. Our previous study examined the anti-inflammatory effect of P. dentata methanolic crude extract on iNOS-implicated diseases in lipopolysaccharide-challenged mouse macrophages [22]. The current study showed for the first time that dichloromethane solvent fraction (F2) of
The oxidative-sensitized dye DCFDA was used to measure ROS production by the cells. MDSCs were incubated with 2°C cold PBS. To block ROS production in vitro measured. Where the stimulating agent PMA was used, MDSCs were incubated at 37°C for 5 min with 1 μg/mL PMA and then washed with cold PBS. To block ROS production in vitro, MDSCs were incubated with catalase, arginase inhibitor (nor-NOHA), or F2 fraction at 37°C for 10 min. The oxidative-sensitive dye DCFDA was used to measure ROS production by the cells. MDSCs were incubated with 2 μM DCFDA for 15 min at 37°C and then washed twice with PBS. The intensity of fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry. Data were obtained from one experiment, with 4 individual mice from each group. Measurements were obtained in duplicate and the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) was calculated for MDSCs in each group, for both in vivo and in vitro treatments.
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**Figure 7:** In vivo effect of *P. dentata* F2 fraction (a) and in vitro effect of F2 fraction and various agents (b) on ROS production by MDSCs. In vivo, tumor-bearing BALB/c mice were i.p. injected with F2 fraction at dosages of 5 and 25 mg/kg body weight. MDSCs were isolated from tumor-bearing control mice and F2-treated mice as described in Section 2 and their ROS productions were measured. In vitro, MDSCs isolated from the tumor-bearing control mice were incubated first with F2 fraction or the tested agent; thereafter, ROS production was measured. Where the stimulating agent PMA was used, MDSCs were incubated at 37°C for 5 min with 1 μg/mL PMA and then washed with cold PBS. To block ROS production in vitro, MDSCs were incubated with catalase, arginase inhibitor (nor-NOHA), or F2 fraction at 37°C for 10 min. The oxidative-sensitive dye DCFDA was used to measure ROS production by the cells. MDSCs were incubated with 2 μM DCFDA for 15 min at 37°C and then washed twice with PBS. The intensity of fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry. Data were obtained from one experiment, with 4 individual mice from each group. Measurements were obtained in duplicate and the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) was calculated for MDSCs in each group, for both in vivo and in vitro treatments.

The crude extract of *P. dentata* displays anti-breast-cancer activities.

Phytochemical analysis showed that our biologically active sample was composed of cholesterol and phytosterols (β-sitosterol and campesterol) (Figure 2). Recent findings from a number of studies have shown that foods containing phytosterols, such as β-sitosterol either alone or in combination with campesterol, may protect animals from the risk of various tumors [21, 30–32]. Phytosterols exert anticancer actions by inhibition of carcinogen production, cancer cell proliferation, angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis, and induction of apoptosis of cancer cells [33]. Similarly, probably because of the presence of β-sitosterol and campesterol, our sample inhibited proliferation of breast cancer cells in vitro (Figure 3) and caused an apoptotic-necrotic effect in the cells (Figure 4). Furthermore, treatment with the sample effectively reduced the tumor size of 4T1 implanted cells and prolonged survival of the mice (Figure 5).

Previous research has established that MDSCs play a key role in tumorigenesis [9, 11]. The expansion of MDSCs in bone marrow of tumor-bearing hosts is governed largely by factors produced by tumor cells, which results in an accumulation of MDSCs in the secondary lymphoid organs (spleen and lymph nodes) [3]. This process occurs through the stimulation of myelopoiesis and inhibition of the differentiation of mature myeloid cells [3, 34]. Similar expansion, although not inhibited by the sterol fraction of *P. dentata*, was observed in splenic MDSCs of tumor-bearing mice that either did or did not receive the sample treatments (Figure 6). In the presence of appropriate cytokines, MDSCs differentiate into mature myeloid cells [35]. Such differentiation is blocked in the presence of tumor-cell-conditioned media or in tumor-bearing hosts [35]. Overall, our study might indicate that tumor-derived factors that induced expansion of MDSCs were unaffected by the sterol fraction of *P. dentata*. This would suggest other mechanisms of action on MDSC resulting in the inhibition of breast cancer tumorigenesis.

The T cell immunosuppressive functions of MDSCs act through the release of short-lived soluble mediators such as ROS [6, 10] and arginase activity [12, 13]. Arginase catalyzes the conversion of L-arginine to urea and L-ornithine [8]. Recent data showed that there is a close correlation between the availability of L-arginine and the regulation of T cell proliferation [12, 13]. The high expression of arginase activity by MDSCs favors their direct inhibition on T-cell function [8, 11]. Serafini et al. [36] found that a phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor, sildenafil, could downregulate the expression of arginase by MDSCs and, accordingly, inhibit the suppressive function of MDSCs in growing tumors. In this study the sterol fraction of *P. dentata* significantly decreased the ROS production (Figure 7(a)) and arginase activity (Figure 8) of MDSCs in 4T1 tumor-cell-engrafted mice in vivo. Accordingly, this fraction could down-regulate suppressive activity of MDSCs and decrease the tumor size (Figure 5). In addition, L-arginine is also used by nitric oxide synthase for nitric oxide (NO) generation [3]. Boucher et al. [37] showed that a low
concentration of L-arginine resulted in low NO generation and high production of superoxide ion (\(\cdot O_2^-\)). In this study, the high arginase activity in tumor-derived MDSCs in control mice (Figure 8) might have lowered the level of L-arginine, which resulted in increasing of \(\cdot O_2^-\), one of ROS (Figures 6(a) and 6(b)) and undetectable NO (data not shown). In vitro the addition of an arginase inhibitor, Nor-NOHA, in MDSCs might cause the high level of L-arginine that can be used for NO production and, finally, significantly decreased ROS production (Figure 7(b)).

5. Conclusion

This study was the first to screen and isolate the P. dentata fraction (F2 fraction) and to examine its potent anti-cancer activity. These anti-cancer effects probably result from the presence of \(\beta\)-sitosterol and campesterol. This sterol containing fraction reduced tumorgenesis and increased the survival rate of 4T1-engraved mice; we suggest 2 likely mechanisms for this effect. First, the sample might cause the apoptosis of 4T1 cells. The other possible mechanism is that the sample might down-regulate the suppressive activity of MDSCs by affecting their ROS accumulation and arginase activity. This inhibition would be consistent with the use of Porphyra dentata as a folk medicine to treat inflammatory disorders and also for breast cancer.
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