Draft genome sequences of ‘Candidatus Chloroploca asiatica’ and ‘Candidatus Viridilinea mediisalina’, candidate representatives of the Chloroflexales order: phylogenetic and taxonomic implications
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Abstract
‘Candidatus Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9 and ‘Candidatus Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15-3F are mesophilic filamentous anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria from alkaline aquatic environments. Both bacteria became available in the last few years and only in stable enrichment culture. In this study, we report the draft genomic sequences of ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9 and ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15-3F, which were assembled from metagenomes of their cultures with a fold coverage 86.3x and 163.8x, respectively. The B7–9 (5.8 Mb) and the Kir15-3F (5.6 Mb) draft genome harbors 4818 and 4595 predicted protein-coding genes, respectively. In this article, we analyzed the phylogeny of representatives of the Chloroflexineae suborder in view of the appearance of new genomic data. These data were used for the revision of earlier published group-specific conserved signature indels and for searching for novel signatures for taxons in the Chloroflexineae suborder.
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Introduction
It is difficult to study the mesophilic representatives of filamentous anoxygenic phototrophic (FAP) bacteria (bacteriochlorophyll-based phototrophic Chloroflexota), as maintaining mesophiles in axenic culture and isolating them are challenging. In fact, over the course of four decades of study on FAP bacteria, stable axenic culture of only Oscillochloris trichoides DG-6 has been described [1]. Therefore, a description of the mesophiles in enrichment cultures are common in studies [2–6]. However, the approach based on studying the enrichment cultures limits research in frame of morphological observations and rough ecophysiological characterization. Nonetheless, enrichment culture allows for genome sequencing of a target bacterium with high efficiency. Recently, a new mesophilic FAP representative was described in stable highly enriched cultures [5]. Here, we report the results of a genomic study of ‘Candidatus Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9 and a new bacterium, ‘Candidatus Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15-3F. A partial description of the latter one has been published for the first time. We have assembled high-quality draft genomes of both FAP bacteria. The extended examination into the genomic data was focused on the phylogeny of the Chloroflexineae suborder and its taxonomic implications. The new genomic data will help to extend our knowledge about the phylogenetic and functional diversity of FAB bacteria, which is highly limited to date.

Organism information
Classification and features
A description of the bacterium ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ was published in 2014 [5]. A partial description of bacterium the ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina’ was published in this article. Both bacteria are FAP Chloroflexota bacteria isolated from alkaline environments in Eastern Siberia.
The B7–9 was isolated from the Doroninskoe soda lake [5], and the Kir15-3F was isolated from the Kiran soda lake. The bacteria were described in stable enrichment cultures. A summary of the key features of ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ and ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediasalina’ is provided in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Both bacteria have a multicellular filamentous morphology. However, ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ forms short filaments (Fig. 1a) whereas ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediasalina’ forms long typical Oscillochloris-like filaments (Fig. 1b). The common morphological properties of both bacteria are: a monoderm-type cell envelope, gas vesicles, chlorosomes,

### Table 1 Classification and general characteristics of ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9 [25]

| MIGS ID | Property                  | Term                        | Evidence code |
|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
|         | classification           | Domain: Bacteria            | TAS [26]      |
|         |                           | Phylum: Chloroflexa         | TAS [27–29]   |
|         |                           | class: Chloroflexia         | TAS [14, 30]  |
|         |                           | Order: Chloroflexales       | TAS [14, 30]  |
|         |                           | Family: incertae sedis      | IDA           |
|         |                           | Genus: ‘Ca. Chloroploca’    | TAS [5]       |
|         |                           | Species: ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ | TAS [5] |
|         | strains                  | Strain B7–9                 | TAS [5]       |
|         | Gram stain               | Negative                    | TAS [5]       |
|         | Cell shape               | Filaments                   | TAS [5]       |
|         | Motility                 | Motile                      | TAS [5]       |
|         | Sporulation              | Not reported                | NAS           |
|         | Temperature range         | Not determined              | TAS [5]       |
|         | Optimum temperature      | 25–32 °C                    | TAS [5]       |
|         | pH range; Optimum        | Not determined; 8.0          | TAS [5]       |
|         | Carbon source            | Not determined              | TAS [5]       |
|         | Habitat                  | Soda lakes                  | TAS [5]       |
|         | Salinity                 | Halotolerant                | IDA           |
|         | Oxygen requirement       | Anaerobic                   | IDA           |
|         | Biotic relationship      | Free-living                 | IDA           |
|         | Pathogenicity            | Non-pathogen                | NAS           |
|         | Geographic location      | Russia/East Siberia         | IDA           |
|         | Sample collection        | September 2015              | IDA           |
|         | Latitude                 | 50.332958                   | IDA           |
|         | Longitude                | 106.851128                  | IDA           |
|         | Altitude                 | Not determined              | IDA           |

### Table 2 Classification and general characteristics of ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediasalina’ Kir15-3F [25]

| MIGS ID | Property                  | Term                        | Evidence code |
|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
|         | classification           | Domain: Bacteria            | TAS [26]      |
|         |                           | Phylum: Chloroflexa         | TAS [27–29]   |
|         |                           | class: Chloroflexia         | IDA           |
|         |                           | Order: Chloroflexales       | IDA           |
|         |                           | Family: incertae sedis      | IDA           |
|         |                           | Genus: ‘Ca. Viridilinea’    | IDA           |
|         |                           | Species: ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediasalina’ | IDA |
|         | strains                  | Strain Kir15-3F             | IDA           |
|         | Gram stain               | Not determined              | IDA           |
|         | Cell shape               | Filaments                   | IDA           |
|         | Motility                 | Motile                      | IDA           |
|         | Sporulation              | Not reported                | NAS           |
|         | Temperature range         | Not determined              | NAS           |
|         | Optimum temperature      | Not determined              | NAS           |
|         | pH range; Optimum        | Not determined; 8.0          | NAS           |
|         | Carbon source            | Not determined              | NAS           |
|         | Habitat                  | Soda lakes                  | NAS           |
|         | Salinity                 | Halotolerant                | NAS           |
|         | Oxygen requirement       | Anaerobic                   | NAS           |
|         | Biotic relationship      | Free-living                 | NAS           |
|         | Pathogenicity            | Non-pathogen                | NAS           |
|         | Geographic location      | Russia/East Siberia         | NAS           |
|         | Sample collection        | September 2015              | NAS           |
|         | Latitude                 | 50.332958                   | NAS           |
|         | Longitude                | 106.851128                  | NAS           |

*Evidence codes - IDA: Inferred from Direct Assay; TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). These evidence codes are from the Gene Ontology project [31, 32].

polyphosphate-like inclusions and motility (presumably gliding). Both bacteria are supposedly obligate anaerobic anoxygenic phototrophs because they do not grow in the upper part of the agar column and in the dark. Moreover, both bacteria are mesophiles and exhibit the best growth under alkaline conditions.

Phylogenetic analysis based on the concatenated amino acid sequences of the core proteins revealed that ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9 and ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediasalina’ Kir15-3F are closest relatives to each other (Fig. 2). The closest taxonomically defined representative for the clade of both bacteria is the mesophilic bacterium O. trichoides DG-6. However, the closest relative is ‘Ca. Chloranaerofilum corporosum,’ whose population has
been detected in the Mushroom hot spring [7]. All four bacteria were assigned to the *Chloroflexales* order, which encompasses all representatives of the FAP bacteria. However, the complete taxonomic position of *Ca. Chloroploca asiatica* and *Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina* as well as *Ca. Chloranaerofilum corporosum* remains unclear.

**Chemotaxonomic data**

Bacteriochlorophyll *c* is the main phototrophic pigment of both *Ca. Chloroploca asiatica* and *Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina,* and bacteriochlorophyll *a* is found in trace amounts [5].

### Genome sequencing information

**Genome project history**

The study of *Candidatus Chloroploca asiatica* B7–9 and *Candidatus Viridilinea mediisalina* Kir15-3F was conducted as part of the collaborative project of the Laboratory of Molecular Diagnostics and Laboratory of Ecology and Geochemical Activity of Microorganisms at the Research Center for Biotechnology RAS (Moscow, Russian Federation). Attempts to isolate the axenic culture of both bacteria have not been successful. Therefore, to further study these bacteria, a strategy based on metagenomic sequencing of their highly enriched culture...
was used. We assembled a high-quality draft genome sequence of the target bacteria with a fold coverage of more than 86.3×. The draft genome sequences have been deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers LYXE00000000.1 and NQWI00000000.1 for B7–9 and Kir15-3F, respectively. The main project information is summarized in Table 3.

Growth conditions and genomic DNA preparation
‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9 was grown in an agar medium described previously [5] in glass tubes at 27 °C in the light (3100 lx). The bacterium forms spherical colonies, which were used for isolation of the total genomic DNA. In the first step, the colonies were collected from the agar into a 2.0-ml screw-cap microcentrifuge tube containing 375 μl of TE buffer (containing 10 mM Tris and 1 mM EDTA) and 1-mm glass beads to make a total volume of about 500 μl. The microcentrifuge tube was treated using a Mini-Beadbeater (Biospec) until two to three cells were observed to have formed filaments under the microscope. The 475 μl suspension was transferred to a 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tube, to which 25 μl of 100 mM Dithiothreitol was added, mixed and incubated for 100 min at 65 °C. Following this, add 100 μl of 10% Sodium dodecyl sulfate and 5 μl Proteinase K (20 mg/mL). were added, mixed in, and incubated for 60 min at 37 °C. Next, 160 μl of Cetrimonium bromide (CTAB) solution (containing 5% CTAB and 0.35 M NaCl) was added, mixed, and incubated for 10 min at 65 °C. The solution was allowed to cool down to room temperature, after which 700 μl of chloroform was mixed in carefully and the solution was spun for 10 min in a microcentrifuge. The upper phase was transferred to a fresh microcentrifuge tube and the interface was left behind. These procedures were repeated with chloroform, and then the upper phase was transferred to a fresh tube. Isopropanol (0.6 vol.) was added to precipitate the DNA. The precipitated DNA was washed with 70% ethanol, briefly dried, and redissolved in MQ water.

‘Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15-3F was grown on agar that covered the bottom of a 50-ml vial filled with liquid medium consisting of the following mix (per litre): KH₂PO₄ (0.20 g), NH₄Cl (0.20 g), MgCl₂·6H₂O (0.20 g), KCl (0.30 g), NaCl (25.0 g), Na₂S₂O₃ (0.30 g), Na₂SO₄ (0.30 g), CaCl₂·2H₂O (0.05 g), NaHCO₃ (0.60 g), Na₂S·9H₂O (0.70 g), soytone (0.05 g), yeast extract (0.05 g), sodium acetate (0.10 g), trace element solution (1 mL) and Pfennig’s vitamin solution (1 mL). The final pH was adjusted to 9.0. The vial was incubated at 38 °C in the light (3800 lx). ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15-3F was isolated from the biofilm at the bottom. The biofilm was collected for isolation of the genomic DNA, following the same protocol as described above for ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9.

Genome sequencing and assembly
The same method was used for sequencing of the total DNA from the cultures of both bacteria. The sequencing was performed at “I gene” LLC, Moscow, Russian Federation. A sequence library was constructed with the NEBNext DNA library prep reagent set for Illumina according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The 4,000,203 and 4,793,690 paired-end 150-bp reads were generated using Illumina Hiseq 2500 platforms for metagenomic sequences of the B7–9 and Kir15-3F culture, respectively. Raw sequences were assembled with SPAdes version 3.11.1 [8] and binning using MetaWatt version 3.5.3 [9]. The Chloroflexota genomes were uploaded to

| Table 3 | Project information |
|---------|---------------------|
| MIGS ID | Property | ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9 | ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15-3F |
| MIGS 31 | Finishing quality | Improved high-quality draft | Improved high-quality draft |
| MIGS-28 | Libraries used | Illumina Standard shotgun library | Illumina Standard shotgun library |
| MIGS 29 | Sequencing platforms | Illumina Hiseq 2500 | Illumina Hiseq 2500 |
| MIGS 31.2 | Fold coverage | 86.3x | 163.8x |
| MIGS 30 | Assemblers | SPAdes v. 3.11.1 | SPAdes v. 3.11.1 |
| MIGS 32 | Gene calling method | RAST, PGAP | RAST, PGAP |
| Locus Tag | A9QQ2 | CJ255 |
| Genbank ID | LYXE00000000.1 | NQWI00000000.1 |
| GenBank Date of Release | 12-OCT-2017 | 12-OCT-2017 |
| GOLD ID | Gp0236327 | Gp0236326 |
| BIOPROJECT | PRJNA323704 | PRJNA398606 |
| MIGS 13 | Source Material Identifier | B7–9 | Kir15-3F |
| Project relevance | Evolution of FAP bacteria | Evolution of FAP bacteria |
RAST [10] for overall characterization and were assessed for completeness and contamination using CheckM [11]. Finally, they were assembled into 166 and 291 contigs for ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9 (coverage, 86.3×) and ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15-3F (coverage, 163.8×) bacterium, respectively.

Genome annotation
The assembled draft genomic sequences of ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9 and ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15-3F were submitted to the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline for annotation [12].

Genome properties
The properties of both genomes are summarized in Table 4. The assignment of genes to COG functional categories is presented in Table 5. The properties and statistics of both genomes are summarized in Table 4. The assignment of genes to COG functional categories is presented in Table 5.

Table 4 Genome statistics

| Attribute                        | ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9 | ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9 | ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15-3F | ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15-3F |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Genome size (bp)                 | 5,817,919                       | 100.00                          | 5,888,620                              | 100.00                                 |
| DNA coding (bp)                  | 5,229,318                       | 89.88                          | 4,851,981                              | 86.82                                 |
| DNA G + C (bp)                   | 3,421,494                       | 58.81                          | 3,241,714                              | 58.01                                 |
| DNA scaffolds                    | 166                             | 100.00                         | 291                                    | 100.00                                 |
| Total genes                      | 4878                            | 100.00                         | 4657                                   | 100.00                                 |
| Protein coding genes             | 4818                            | 98.77                          | 4595                                   | 98.67                                 |
| RNA genes                        | 60                              | 1.23                           | 62                                     | 1.33                                  |
| Pseudo genes                     | –                               | –                              | –                                     | –                                     |
| Genes in internal clusters       | 1117                            | 22.90                          | 1081                                   | 23.21                                 |
| Genes with function prediction   | 3518                            | 72.12                          | 3234                                   | 69.44                                 |
| Genes assigned to COGs           | 2634                            | 54.00                          | 2356                                   | 50.59                                 |
| Genes with Pfam domains          | 3550                            | 72.78                          | 3264                                   | 70.09                                 |
| Genes with signal peptides       | 171                             | 3.51                           | 139                                    | 2.98                                  |
| Genes with transmembrane helices | 1371                            | 28.11                          | 1127                                   | 24.20                                 |
| CRISPR repeats                   | 95                              | 445                            | –                                      | –                                     |

Insights from the genome sequence
The draft genomes reported here and the recently published partial genomic sequence of ‘Ca. Chloranaerofilum corpororum’ [13] provide a detailed picture of the evolutionary relationships among chlorosome-containing representatives. Recently, it was proposed that the Chloroflexineae order be divided into two suborders: Roseiflexineae and Chloroflexineae. It was proposed that the first one encompasses chlorosome-lacking Roseiflexus spp., whereas the other one encompasses all the chlorosome-containing representatives of the order [14]. This suggestion based on the obvious morphophysiological differences is strongly supported by the results of genomic analysis [15]. However, the taxonomic hierarchy within Chloroflexineae is not so clear, and this is why it was a subject of the current analysis.

Group-specific conserved signature indels
In the first step, we analyzed the previously proposed specific conserved signature indels (CSIs) [14]. Analysis revealed that ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9, ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15-3F and ‘Candidatus Chloranaerofilum corpororum’ have Chloroflexineae-specific insertions in a Phage SPO1 DNA pol-like protein, nucleoside diphosphate kinase, translation initiation factor-2, threonine synthase, ArsA and the acetolactate synthase large subunit, which have been reported previously [14]. Thus, this finding confirms that the bacteria belong to the Chloroflexineae suborder. However, the new chlorosome-containing FAP bacteria do not have specific inserts in the protein sequences of a nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase (Additional file 1: Figure S1a). Moreover, the new representatives have specific insertion in the magnesium-protoporphyrin IX monomethyl ester cyclase proteins (Additional file 1: Figure S1b) that were earlier proposed to be Chloroflexus-specific CSIs [14]. Thus, the new genomic data indicate that the CSIs based on the nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase and magnesium-protoporphyrin IX monomethyl ester cyclase proteins must be eliminated from the taxonomic description.

In the second step, we identified new specific CSIs for the studied bacteria ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9, ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15-3F and ‘Candidatus Chloranaerofilum corpororum’: specifically, CSIs for phosphoglycerate kinase, heat-inducible transcription repressor, and UMP kinase were identified (Additional file 1: Figure S2a-c). Moreover, some of the new CSIs were found to be common to both the new bacteria and O. trichoides DG-6: these were threonine synthase and glutamate 5-kinase (Additional file 1: Figure S3a and b). The new CSIs are shown in Table 6.

Phylogeny of the Chloroflexineae suborder
The concatenated core protein tree has strong bootstrap support for the observed branching (Fig. 2), with the chlorosome-containing FAP bacteria represented as a
Strains of the *Chloroflexus* genus form a clade that is clearly separated from the other representatives of the suborder (Fig. 2). This branching has congruence with the morphological and ecophysiological uniformity of *Chloroflexus* strains, which are thermophilic photoheterotrophs capable of respiration in the dark [16–18]. Genomes of the *Chloroflexus* strains contain genes of the autotrophic 3-hydroxypropionate CO₂ fixation cycle (3-OHP cycle), the activity of which was demonstrated in the OK-70-fl strain [19]. At the moment, only thermophilic *Chloroflexus* species form the *Chloroflexaceae* family. A mesophilic *Chloroflexus*-like bacterium, called *Cfl. aurantiacus* var. *mesophilus*, was identified based on its morphological properties [20]. However, since 16S rRNA gene sequence and other sequencing data are absent, it is highly likely that this bacterium does not belong to the 16S rRNA *Chloroflexus* clade.

The next two clades were formed by genera represented by a single species. The first clade, which is comprised of the halophilic bacterium *Ca. Chlororhithrix halophila*, forms a deeply branched lineage within the chlorosome-containing group in accordance with the protein phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2). It was speculated earlier that significant deep branching of a protein tree can be the result of adaptation to halophilic conditions [15]. This led to the preferential use of the 16S phylogeny, but this created contradictions in the protein tree. This explains the difficulty with using the CSI approach for this bacterium. However, *Ca. Chlororhithrix halophila* clearly formed an external deeply branched lineage in the current core protein tree (Fig. 2). Moreover, this bacterium has a 14–18% dissimilarity, which represents the greatest distance from other representatives of the *Chloroflexiniae* suborder according to a comparison of the 16S rRNA sequences. The bacterium *Ca. Chlororhithrix halophila* shows preference for halophilic conditions, which is a unique characteristic among the described FAP bacteria [3]. The halophilic preference, combined with the results of phylogenetic analysis and cell ultrastructure, indicate that the *Chloroflexiniae* suborder encompasses the *Chloroflexus* strains, *Ca. Chloranaerofilum corporosum,* ‘*Ca. Chlororhithrix halophila,* O. trichoides,* Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ and *Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina* i.e., all the chlorosome-containing FAP bacteria. Bacteria from the *Roseiflexiniae* suborder show ancestral relations to the representatives listed above [15]. The tree depicts three main clades within the *Chloroflexiniae* suborder: the clade of closely related *Chloroflexus* species, the ‘*Ca. Chlororhithrix halophila*’ clade and the clade containing the deeply branched lineages ‘*Ca. Chloranaerofilum corporosum,* O. trichoides,* Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ and ‘*Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina*’. 

| Code | ‘Ca. Chloroploca asiatica’ B7–9 | ‘Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina’ Kir15–3F | Description |
|------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| J    | 178 | 6.05 | 178 | 6.79 |
| A    | –   | –    | –   | –    |
| K    | 163 | 5.54 | 144 | 5.49 |
| L    | 105 | 3.57 | 110 | 4.19 |
| B    | 2   | 0.07 | 1   | 0.04 |
| D    | 43  | 1.46 | 36  | 1.37 |
| V    | 74  | 2.52 | 81  | 3.09 |
| T    | 215 | 7.31 | 248 | 9.45 |
| M    | 225 | 7.65 | 207 | 7.89 |
| N    | 24  | 0.82 | 24  | 0.91 |
| U    | 29  | 0.99 | 32  | 1.22 |
| O    | 140 | 4.76 | 136 | 5.18 |
| C    | 193 | 6.56 | 154 | 5.87 |
| G    | 198 | 6.73 | 135 | 5.03 |
| E    | 254 | 8.64 | 202 | 7.70 |
| F    | 83  | 2.82 | 69  | 2.63 |
| H    | 191 | 6.50 | 163 | 6.21 |
| I    | 128 | 4.35 | 104 | 3.96 |
| P    | 250 | 8.64 | 202 | 7.70 |
| Q    | 61  | 2.07 | 39  | 1.49 |
| R    | 307 | 10.44| 280 | 10.67|
| S    | 127 | 4.32 | 110 | 4.19 |
| –    | 2244| 46.00| 2301| 49.41|

The total is based on the total number of protein coding genes in the genome.
The third clade was formed by *O. trichoides* and the recently described bacteria *Ca. Chloranaerofilum corporosum*, *Ca. Chloroploca asiatica* and *Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina*. The bacterium *O. trichoides* DG-6 is a type genus and species for Oscillochloridaceae family [21]. Main specific features of the *O. trichoides* strains are mesophilic lifestyle, the presence of gas vesicles, autotrophic Calvin cycle CO₂ fixation (the 3-OHP cycle is absent), and of nitrogen fixation. It was proposed that Chloronema species belonged to the Oscillochloridaceae family [14], but physiological and sequence data for this species belonged to the Chloronema; *O. trichoides* has some common features with the Chloroflexineae and *Chloranaerofilum* fungorum, such as their mesophilic features, the presence of gas vesicles, motility, and inability for growth in aerobic conditions and in the dark [1, 5]. However, the closest relative to both new bacteria is the probably thermophilic bacterium *Ca. Chloranaerofilum corporosum* (Fig. 2). The delineation of the subclades *Chloroploca*+*Viridilinea* and *Ca. Chloranaerofilum corporosum*’ from *O. trichoides* is supported by the CSIs identified (Additional file 1; Figure S2a-c). Additionally, the three recently described bacteria have 3-OHP cycle genes and lack Calvin cycle genes.

The deep divergence between the subclades *O. trichoides* and *Chloroploca*+*Viridilinea* was supported by the results of an analysis of the average amino acid identity and percentage of conserved proteins. AAI was calculated using a web-based tool [22], and PCOP was calculated using a script described previously with some modifications [23]. The modified script was published at figshare.com [24]. The results for *Ca. Chloranaerofilum corporosum* should be considered carefully because of the low completeness of the genome (64%) [7], which could lead to misinterpretation, particularly with regard to PCOP. The AAI values for *Ca. Chloranaerofilum corporosum* could be overestimated due to the presence of ambiguous amino acids. The 2999 “X” residues were found in a set of all proteins from the genome. Therefore, we will further focus on AAI and PCOP in the comparison of the subclades *O. trichoides* and *Chloroploca*+*Viridilinea*.

On the one hand, the highest AAI value, about 67, was found for *Ca. Chloroploca asiatica*; *Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina* and *Ca. Chloranaerofilum corporosum* (Fig. 3). On the other hand, the values between the subclades *O. trichoides* and *Chloroploca*+*Viridilinea* were about 63, which is close to the interfamil values for the Chloroflexaceae and Oscillochloridaceae families (61.6–62.5). Moreover, the PCOP values were close to those between the subclades *O. trichoides* and *Chloroploca*+*Viridilinea* (57.9–58.0) and between the clades of the Chloroflexaceae and Oscillochloridaceae families (60.0–63.1). These results provide evidence that the listed subclades have significant phylogenetic divergence which corresponds to family-level difference within Chloroflexineae suborder.

The low genomic completeness of the bacterium *Ca. Chloranaerofilum corporosum* limited the pan-genomic comparison and search for CSIs. Nonetheless, it is clear

---

**Table 6** CSIs that are specific for *O. trichoides*, *Ca. Chloranaerofilum corporosum*, *O. trichoides*, *Ca. Chloroploca asiatica* and *Ca. Viridilinea mediisalina*

| Protein name | GI number | Indel size | Indel position |
|--------------|-----------|------------|----------------|
| Phosphoglycerate kinase | WP_044200294.1 | 1 aa | Ins | 54–55 |
| Heat-inducible transcription repressor HrcA | WP_006560707.1 | 1 aa | Ins | 131–132 |
| UMP kinase | WP_006562130.1 | 1 aa | Del | 23 |
| Threonine synthase | WP_006561465.1 | 1 aa | Del | 304 |
| Glutamate 5-kinase | WP_044201831.1 | 2 aa | Ins | 65–66 |

---

**Fig. 3** Results of the analysis of average amino acid identity (AAI) and percentage of conserved proteins (PCOP)
that this bacterium is the closest relative to the subclade ‘Chloroplaca + Viridilinea’, based on the results of the phylogenetic analysis and the common CSIs identified (Fig. 2, Additional file 1: Figure S2a-c). The phylogenetic distance is significant according to both the core protein tree and 16S rRNA phylogeny. Importantly, ‘Ca. Chloronaerofilum corporosum’ has distinctive ecophysiological and morphological features. The bacterium forms a native population within the 52.5 °C temperature zone of the Mushroom hot spring [13]. Additionally, gas vesicles were not shown. However, the features were described using environmental observations, and therefore, experimental verification is required. At the moment it is difficult to make an exact taxonomic proposal: Does ‘Chloroplaca + Viridilinea’ represent a new family within the Chloroflexineae suborder or not?

Conclusions

Comparative analysis of the new genome of recently described chlorosome-containing FAP bacteria exhibits a trend towards the segregation of new families within the Chloroflexineae suborder. If representatives of the Chloroflexaceae family show phylogenetic uniformity, other bacteria from the Chloroflexineae suborder significantly diverge from each other. The observed ‘phylogenetic jumps’ among lineages within the Chloroflexineae suborder could reflect high underestimation of the genomic diversity of FAP bacteria.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Previously reported CSIs: nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase (a) and magnesium-protoporphyrin IX monomethyl ester cyclase (AcfF) proteins (b). Figure S2. CSIs which specific for ‘Ca. Chloroplaca asiciatica’ B7–9. ‘Ca. Viridilinea medio salina’ Kir15-3F and ‘Candidatus Chloranaerofilum corporosum’ phosphoglycerate kinase (a), heat-inducible transcription repressor (b), UMP kinase (c). Figure S3. CSIs which specific for ‘Ca. Chloroplaca asiciatica’ B7–9. ‘Ca. Viridilinea medio salina’ Kir15-3F, ‘Candidatus Chloranaerofilum corporosum’ and O. trichoides DG-6 threonine synthase (a) and glutamate 5-kinase (b). (PDF 1652 kb)
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