Evaluation of the Hygienic Status in Food Serving Establishment
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Abstract | This study was conducted to evaluate the bacteriological safety of raw and cooked meat products represented by Aerobic Plate Count, Enterobacteriaceae, Coliforms, E. coli and Staph. aureus counts as well as determination of pH, TVBN and TBA of a totally examined 110 samples (20 each of shish tawook, kofta, fillet and escalope pane, besides, 30 swabs (10 each of hands, food contact surfaces and cutting knives). The obtained results in this study indicated that the Overall reduction the bacterial counts of the above-mentioned organisms according to their prevalence in the different products under study were significantly reduced by 2, <1, 1, and <2 log10cfu/g in raw examined samples of shish tawook, kofta, fillet and escalope pane treated with 5% vinegar as compared with raw untreated samples, respectively. In addition, cooked treated aforementioned sample types with vinegar 5% showed significant reduction represented by 2, 1, <2 and 1 log10cfu/g when compared with cooked untreated samples. So, using of vinegar either in raw or cooked products leads to a significant reduction in the number of bacteria contaminating products. Hand swab before cleaning and sanitizing recorded 3.31±0.52 (100%), 2.54±0.92 (100%), 2.47±0.74 (60%), <1 (0.0%) and 2.12±0.55 (80%), while after cleaning it was recorded 2.39±0.5 (100%), 1.59±0.25 (60%),1.36±0.24 (40%), <1 (0.0%) and 1.74±0.62 (60%). Surfaces swab recorded 3±1.04 (100%), 1.5±0.96 (50%), 2.06±1.49 (20%), <1 (0.0%) and 2.3±1.18 (30%), before cleaning and 1.73±1.02 (100%), <1, <1, <1 and (30%) 2±0.7 log10cfu/g after cleaning. Knife swabs recorded 3.35±0.76 (100%), 2.68±0.68 (50%), 2.5±0.91 (40%), <1and 2.25±1.0 (70%) before cleaning, while after cleaning they were recorded 1.77±1.19 (100%), 2.59±0.16 (20%), <1, <1and 1.97±0.58 for the aforementioned bacterial counts, respectively. Salmonella was not isolated from any of the examined samples or swabs. Meanwhile, chemical analysis of pH, TVB-N and TBA recorded 0.04±0.02, 11.86±1.24 and 5.86±0.18 for shish tawook; 0.05±0.02, 6.6±2.19 and 6.12±0.31 for kofta; 0.04±0.03, 7.22±2.49 and 5.62±0.13 for fillet and 0.41±0.16, 13.18±2.15 and 5.96±0.49 for escalope pane, respectively. Significance differences (P<0.05) were obvious between raw and cooked samples and for some extent between treated and non-treated one.
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INTRODUCTION

Meat, poultry and their products considered of high nutritive value for consumers because they are constituting an important source for animal protein, essential amino and fatty acids, minerals, vitamins and other beneficial nutrients. On the other hand, meat is considered as an ideal media for growth and multiplication of many types of food spoilage and food poisoning microorganisms (Moghnia et al., 2021) because of their high moisture
content, high percentage of nitrogenous compounds, good supply of minerals, glycogen and a favorable pH for most microorganisms (Al-Mutairi, 2011).

Contamination of meat and meat products as raw materials or in the form of ready-to-eat foods (RTE) lead to cause a variety of biological, chemical and physical hazards that may adversely affect the consumer health. The level of microbial contamination and type of persisted microorganisms in food reflect the efficiency of standard applied hygienic measures during meat processing (Ko et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2016).

Meat may be contaminated with various microorganisms (Lonergan et al., 2019), as E. coli, Salmonella enterica and Staph. aureus that render meat constitute a hazards food to human health (Norrung et al. 2009; Ahmed and Shimamoto, 2014). Moreover, some strains of E. coli could be able to causes several human foodborne diseases as gastrointestinal symptoms and may developed to sever bloody diarrhea and dysentery, also it considered the main factor of urinary tract complication represented by hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), pneumonia and meningitis (Johnson et al., 2006).

Category of RTE foods as high risky foods because they do not require any heat treatment or any other process prior to consumption. In addition, food workers may transmit pathogens to food from a contaminated surface, from another food, or through contaminated hands with microorganisms from their gastrointestinal tract. Moreover, RTE food products provide a source of readily available and nutrition meals for the consumer. However, many questions have been raised about the safety and microbiological quality of these food products (Fang et al., 2003).

There are several factors that expose RTE foods to contamination with various microorganisms, as contaminated water sources, unhygienic toilets and inadequate washing facilities. The washing is normally carried out in large containers or buckets, that makes insects and rodents attracted to sites where there is no organized sewage disposal. In addition to, food which is not adequately protected from flies and not properly refrigerated (Mensah et al., 2002) Besides, there are many other factors that are exposed RTE foods to contamination by different microorganisms including food preparation using unhygienic traditional processing methods, inappropriate holding temperatures and poor personal hygiene of food handlers (Muinde and Kuria, 2005; Barro et al., 2006). Consumers who depend on such food are usually pay little attention to its safety, quality and hygiene. Many other factors affecting the quality and safety of RTE foods that explained by (Afolarabi et al., 2012) represented in preparation of food and storage at ambient temperature for a long time before its consumption and inadequate cooling and reheating as well as improper cooking time and temperature of the food.

Sliced cooked meats are one of the most consumed ready-to-eat food (RTE) products around the world. The major reasons that increase the demand for such products, they are meet the consumer requirements, its satisfaction, convenience and good acceptability. During preparation and sale, cooked meat products can be contaminated by spoilage and pathogenic bacteria causing hazard for consumers if sufficient doses to cause disease are consumed through contaminated food (EFSA, 2007; Norrung and Buncic, 2008). Cross-contamination arises from many sources as using of the same cutting board for chicken meat and salad without cleaning in between, for raw and cooked foods, spreading of pathogens via the kitchen contaminated environment, which seems to be of greater importance than the risk associated with undercooking of poultry meat (Petra, 2009).

Based on the (PHLS, 2000) microbiological Guidelines for some ready to eat foods sampled at the point of sale, 179 (40%) out of 449 chicken sandwich samples were satisfactory, 156 (35%) acceptable, and 114 (25%) samples were of unsatisfactory microbiological quality. None of the examined samples were exceeded the unacceptable microbiological quality limits. Enterobacteriaceae was the microbiological parameter most often associated with unsatisfactory results.

Poultry meat is considered as one of the main sources of spreading of Staph. aureus microorganism, proper handling of raw meat, while, adequate cleaning of hands, surfaces, equipment’s, disinfection of poultry slaughter houses, vehicles and good personal hygiene can reduce its spreading (Karmi, 2013).

Lipid oxidation and auto-oxidation are major causes of deterioration and reduced shelf life of meat products. Lipids oxidation may produce changes in meat quality parameters such as color, flavor, odor, texture and even nutritional value (Fernandez et al., 1997). Meanwhile, TVB-N are considered as a reliable indicative measure for the quality of protein of various food articles specially meat, poultry and their products. Generally, microorganisms either flora or those induced by handling of food items grow at different levels and may resulted in protein deterioration (Warries, 2000).

Development of off- flavors was arise due to the effect of lipolytic bacteria resulted in lipid oxidation, which could be determined by sensory evaluation and measuring of thiobarbituric acid. Fat content has a basic effect on
various physicochemical and sensory characteristics as flavor, juiciness, texture; handling, bite, heat transfer etc. and it cannot be modified and/or reduced with improper less fat or another type of fat (Pearson and Gillett, 1999).

So, the current work was planned to investigate the hygienic status in food serving establishment through determining the bacterial profile of served meat and poultry meals including raw and after cooking shish tawook, kofta, fillet and escalope pane, as well as determination of their physico-chemical criteria and examination of swab samples from different localities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

COLLECTION OF SAMPLES

A total of 110 different samples classified as follows:

- Eighty samples of shish tawook, kofta, fillet and escalope pane (20 samples each); 10 of which were raw and 10 were cooked (five samples dipped in vinegar 5% while the other 5 samples remained as control).
- Thirty swab samples of workers hands, food contact surfaces and cutting knives (10 samples each). Were collected from food serving establishment, kept in its sailing bags and aseptically transferred without delay, in an insulated ice box to the laboratory without delay.

PREPARATION OF SAMPLE HOMOGENATE

Twenty-five grams of the examined samples were aseptically transferred to a sterile stomacher bag and homogenized with 225 ml sterile buffered peptone water (0.1%) for 30-60 seconds to give an initial dilution of 1/10. Serial dilutions up to 10⁶ was carried out according to (ISO 6887-2, 2003). Prepared homogenate was used to carry out the following examinations.

BACTERIOLOGICAL EXAMINATION

- Aerobic plate count using spread technique according to (APHA, 2001).
- Enterobacteriaceae count according to (ISO 21528-2, 2004).
- Total Coliform count using solid medium (VRBA) according to (FDA, 2002).
- Enumeration, Isolation and identification of B-glucuronidase-positive Escherichia coli according to (ISO 16649-2, 2001).
- Isolation of and identification of Salmonella enterica according to (ISO 6579-1, 2017).
- Enumeration and Isolation of Staphylococcus aureus were done according to the technique recommended by (FDA, 2001).

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Determination of pH, TVB-N and TBA according to AOAC (1995).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Was carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). One Way ANOVA, Ver. 20

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Contamination with foodborne pathogens particularly, E. coli, Salmonellae and Staph. aureus can occur across the multiple steps along the food chain, including production, processing, storage, distribution, retail marketing, exhibition and handling or preparation either by cooking, frying or grilling, E. coli as indicator bacteria are used to evaluate the hygienic status of the food establishment (Sofos et al., 1999; Ghafir et al., 2008). Worldwide, foodborne illness is often associated with consumption of meats and poultry products sold at unhygienic retail markets or poor sanitized restaurants. Moreover, chicken meat is considered an important source for transmission of foodborne pathogens to the consumers resulting in health risk (Matias et al., 2010). Aerobic plate count, Enterobacteriaceae, coliforms and E. coli can reflect the level of hygiene during food production and subsequently its shelf life and thus, the potential problems arises during the stages of food production and processing. Must focus on the potential problems that may arise due to poor handling or lack of general and personal hygiene, storage, unsafe handling, or preparation through the use of insufficient heat treatments to cook food and eliminate these microbes or reduce them to internationally accepted levels (HPA, 2009).

It is evident from the obtained results in Figure 1 that all (100%) of non-treated raw samples of shish tawook recorded mean counts expressed as (log₁₀ cfu/g±SD) of 5.53±0.34, 4.4±0.19, 4.19± 0.25 and 2.93±0.57 for APC, Enterobacteriaceae, coliforms and Staph. aureus, while E. coli recorded <1 log₁₀ cfu/g±SD. While treated raw samples with 5% vinegar recorded 3.92± 1.11 (100%), 2.31±0.41 (100%), 2.04±0.73 (100%) (log₁₀ cfu/g) for APC, Enterobacteriaceae and coliforms with reduction rate reached more than 2 log₁₀ cfu/g. Meaning there were a significance difference (P<0.05) between means of non-treated and treated samples raw shish tawook samples with vinegar 5%. Staph. aureus could not be detected in the examined raw treated samples (0.0%) while, E. coli failed to be isolated from both raw treated and non-treated samples (0.0%). Moreover, non-treated cooked shish recorded 3.37±0.23 for APC (100%) and 2.1±0.17 (60%) for Enterobacteriaceae, while treated cooked samples showed only APC with 2.5±0.22 (100%). Both treated and non-treated cooked samples were negative for coliforms, E. coli and Staph. aureus counts. In addition, Salmonella spp. could not be detected in examined raw and cooked shish tawook samples.
Nearly similar results for APC were recorded by (Ruban and Fairoze, 2011) (5.33 log_{10} cfu/g), (Hussein et al., 2018) (4.9 log_{10} cfu/g), (Salem-Amina et al., 2019) (4.6 log_{10} cfu/g) for coliforms, (Eid-Samah et al., 2014) (2.42, 3.7 log_{10} cfu/g), _staph. aureus_ and coliforms count respectively, the author failed to isolate salmonellae from examined shish tawook samples (0.0). In this respect, Javadi and Safarmashaei (2011) could not detect salmonellae from examined samples of shish tawook, (Sampers et al., 2010) recorded (2011) could not detect salmonellae from examined shish tawook and coliforms count respectively _staph. aureus_, (Ibrahim-Hemmat et al., 2014) (6.28 log_{10} cfu/g), Salem-Amina et al. (2019) (6.14 and 3.8 log_{10} cfu/g) for APC and _staph. aureus_ respectively, and recorded 6.14 log_{10} cfu/g for Enterobacteriaceae. Ouf-Jehan (2007) could not isolated _E. coli_ from cooked chicken products and (Mousa et al., 2019) (4.22 log_{10} cfu/g) for grilled shish tawook.

Lower coliforms counts were recorded by (USDA-FSIS, 2012; Hussein et al., 2018) (3.4 log_{10} cfu/g and 1.18 log_{10} cfu/g), respectively. Al-Dughaym and Altabari (2010) and Abo El-Enaen et al. (2012) (<1 and 3.38 log_{10} cfu/g) for _staph. aureus_ respectively, and Lower APC recorded by Nawar (2007) (3.85 log_{10} cfu/g). In addition, lower incidences of _staph. aureus_ obtained by (Cohen et al., 2007) (10.4%); (Kelman et al., 2011) (29%); (Abo El-Enaen et al., 2012) (24%) and (Karmi, 2013) (20%), (Mousa et al., 2019) (25%), while, (Eid-Amal et al., 2014) recorded (3.71 log_{10} cfu/g) for coliforms count in examined samples of shish tawook. Variation in mean _staph. aureus_ counts may be due to inadequate control measures applied during sailing of chicken products common markets or during manufacturing and processing (Fratmico et al., 2005).

On the contrary, higher APC was recorded by Al-Dughaym and Altabari (2010) (6.71 log_{10} cfu/g), Saikia and Joshi (2010) (6.03 log_{10} cfu/g), Ibrahim-Hemmat et al. (2014) (6.28 log_{10} cfu/g), Salem-Amina et al. (2019) (6.14 and 3.8 log_{10} cfu/g) for APC and _staph. aureus_ respectively, with 32% of samples were positive for _staph. aureus_. Mousa et al. (2019) (3.95 log_{10} cfu/g) for Enterobacteriaceae in grilled shish tawook. Moreover, Higher prevalence _E. coli_ was recorded by Naglaa et al. (2009) (20%), Abd El-Rahman et al. (2010) (5.5%); Al-Dughaym and Altabari (2010) (60%); Kagambega et al. (2011) (4%); Chaisatit et al. (2012) (40%); Kagambega et al. (2014) (29%); Al-Dughaym and Altabari (2010) (6.71 log_{10} cfu/g), Salem-Amina et al. (2019) (6.14 and 3.8 log_{10} cfu/g) for APC and _staph. aureus_ respectively, and 3.8 log_{10} cfu/g) for _E. coli_. Ibrahim-Hemmat et al. (2014) (33%); Saad et al. (2015) (26.7%); Elbayoumi et al. (2018) (14.3%); Hussein et al. (2018) (6.7%) and Mousa et al. (2019) (16.7%). Higher APC in chicken fillet than in chicken thigh (shish) was attributed to the processing stages of breast and divide into parts with removal of the skin in addition to soaking chicken fillet in unclean water to increase their weight, or using unclean knives and chopping tables which manufactured from wood. All these factors lead to further spread of contamination to the fleshy parts.

**Figure 1:** Bacterial counts (log_{10} cfu/g) of examined raw and cooked shish tawook.

Obtained results in Figure 2 illustrated that the mean counts (log_{10} cfu/g±SD) of APC, Enterobacteriaceae, _E. coli_ and _staph. aureus_ of raw non-treated kofta samples recorded 5.47±0.61, 3.36±0.42 (100%), 3.07±0.15 (100%), 2.45±0.44 (60%) and 2.87±0.63 (100%), respectively. While, the aforementioned organisms could be isolated by variable percentages; Cuwei et al. (2001) (3%); Al-Dughaym and Altabari (2010) (10% _S. Arizona_); and Kagambega et al. (2011) (9.3%); Abo El-Enaen et al. (2012) (2%); Chaisatit et al. (2012) (18.7%); Ahmed and Shimamoto (2014) (4.3%); Hassanin et al. (2014) (5 isolates/33.33%); Ibrahim-Hemmat et al. (2014) (5 isolates / 33%), Elbayoumi et al. (2018) (6.4%) of half cooked samples of shish tawook; Mousa et al. (2019) (4.2%) in examined grilled shish tawook.

These results in Figure 2 came in accordance with Hassan (1991) who failed to detect _E. coli_ in examined samples of kofta, El-Taher- Omyma (1998) (25%) for _E. coli_, Abdalla and Hassan (2000) (40%) for _E. coli_, El-Mossalami (2003) (40 %) for _E. coli_, Fang et al. (2003) (88%) of sandwitches contained both coliforms and _staph. aureus_, Torky (2004).
in the food and eventually prevent further cooking of the inner portions of meat pieces being cooked which does not sufficiently affect the elimination of microbial contamination (Jay, 1996).

In Egypt, the most ready to eat sandwiches and meals sold by street vendors and restaurants are chicken kofta, pane, fillet, shawerma and el-hawawshi. added that, E. coli in recent years has become recognized as a serious foodborne pathogen and has been associated with numerous outbreaks in the UK, Japan and USA Scotter et al. (2000). Moreover, Hassanin et al. (2014) concluded that the presence of Salmonella, E. coli and Staph. aureus in RTE foods, not only renders these sandwiches of inferior quality and unfit for human consumption, but also as an indication for fecal and environmental contamination and bad personnel hygiene which affect the food quality and the possibility the occurrence of consumers health risk.

Results illustrated in Figure 3 revealed that APC, Enterobacteriaceae, coliforms, E. coli and Staph. aureus mean counts (log_{10} cfu/g±SD) of examined raw non–treated fillet samples recorded 4.66±0.35, 3.8±0.65 (100%), 3.61±0.71(100%), 1.93±0.92 (60%) and 2.88±0.64 (100%), respectively. Furthermore, raw treated fillet samples with vinegar 5% recorded 100% positive samples (4.52±0.16) for APC, 2.55±0.51 for Enterobacteriaceae, 2.69±0.77 for coliforms, 2.62±0.48 for Staph. aureus while, E. coli was not detected in the examined fillet samples (<1 log10cfu/g). Regarding cooked non–treated fillet, 100% of samples were positive for APC and recorded 3.54±0.2, 2.35±0.49 (40%) for Enterobacteriaceae, <1 (0%) for both coliforms and E. coli counts and finally Staph. aureus which recorded 2.07±0.1 (40%). The cooked treated fillet recorded 100% positive samples for APC (2.78±0.75) while the other counts recorded <1 (0.0%). Salmonella failed to be detected in all examined samples of fillet. The obtained results coincided with the fact of Ibrahim–Hemmat et al. (2014) which attributed the higher APC in chicken fillet than in chicken shish tawook to the processing stages of breast and divide into parts with removal of the skin in addition to soaking chicken fillet in may be uncleaned water to increase their weight, or using unclean knives and chopping tables. All of these factors lead to further spreading of contamination to the fleshy parts.

The obtained results came in harmony with those of Ouf–Jehan (2001) (0.0%) for E. coli, Al–Dughaym and Altabari (2010) (0.0%) for salmonella, Ibrahim–Hemmat et al. (2014) which failed to detect E. coli in cooked fillet, Khalafalla et al. (2019) (2.7 and 2.3 log_{10} cfu/g) for Staph. aureus and E. coli in examined raw fillet samples collected from poultry processing plant, with lower incidence of Staph. aureus (30%).

**Figure 2:** Bacterial counts (log_{10} cfu/g) of examined raw and cooked kofta.

Higher counts and prevalence of aforementioned microorganisms were recorded by Al–Dughaym and Altabari (2010) (4 log_{10} cfu/g) for Staph. aureus, Mousa et al. (2019) (5.79 log_{10} cfu/g) for APC, (4.67 log_{10} cfu/g) for coliforms and (5.38 log_{10} cfu/g) for Staph. aureus in examined raw mince kofta, while grilled kofta recorded (4.3 log_{10} cfu/g) for APC, (3.55 log_{10} cfu/g) for coliforms and (4.08 log_{10} cfu/g) for Staph. aureus. While, Lower incidence was recorded by Mousa et al. (2019) (25% and 8.3%) for Staph. Aureus.

Salmonella spp. were detected in examined kofta by several authors; Abd–Aziz (1987) (10%), Torky (2004) (5%), Hassanin et al. (2014) (33.33%), Ibrahim–Hema et al. 2015 (8%), Mohamed–Maha (2009) (8%), Nadim–Samaa (2016) (8%) and Mousa et al. (2019) (8.3%) from raw mince for production of kofta.

High Staph. aureus counts are indicators of poor personal hygiene, poor handling and temperature control failure. The high count of Staph. aureus could be due to the neglected hygienic practices of the workers. Besides, the different pre and post slaughtering sources of contamination with Staph. aureus including feed, feces, feather, scald water, defeathering machines due to the presence of cracks of the rubber fingers, water chiller and the employees (Al–Dughaym and Altabari, 2010). Moreover, burning surfaces of grilled kofta are significantly impaired heat transfer.
Higher counts and incidences were recorded by Huong et al. (2009) (6.68 log_{10}cfu/g) for APC, Al-Dughaym and Altabari (2010) (6.79 log_{10}cfu/g for APC, and 70% incidence of *E. coli*), Ruban and Fairoze (2011) (5.25) for APC, Rady et al. (2011) (16%) for *Salmonella*, Ibrahim-Hemmat et al. (2014) (6.74 and 5.08) for APC of raw and cooked fillet respectively, and the author could detect both *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli* by 2(13%) in raw fillet. Moreover, El-Deeb et al. (2011) detected Enterobacteriaceae (5.4 log_{10}cfu/g) and Khalafalla et al. (2019) (10%) for *Salmonella typhimurium*.

Lower *Staph aureus* count were recorded by Al-Dughaym and Altabari (2010) (<2 log_{10}cfu/g), Khalafalla et al. (2019) (3.48 and 2/3 log_{10}cfu/g) for APC and coliforms respectively with lower incidence of *Staph. aureus* and *E. coli* (20% for each).

Figure 4 showed that mean (log_{10}cfu/g±SD) and prevalence of APC, Enterobacteriaceae, coliforms, *E. coli* and *Staph. aureus* in examined raw non-treated escalope pane were recorded 5.69±0.72 (100%), 4.51±0.33 (100%), 4.08±0.29 (100%), 2.84±0.51 (20%) and 3.23±0.29 (80%), respectively. Such counts recorded 5.1±0.84 (100%), 2.74±10.01 (100%), 2.51±0.99 (100%), <1 log_{10}cfu/g (0.0%) and 2. 39±0.12 (40%) of raw treated samples for the aforementioned counts. Moreover, APC of non-treated as well as treated cooked escalope pane were recorded 3.42±0.28 (100%) and 2.95±0.73 (100%) respectively, while other microbial counts (Enterobacteriaceae, coliforms, *E. coli* and *Staph. aureus*) recorded <1 log_{10}cfu/g. *Salmonella* not detected in examined raw and cooked escalope pane.

The results more or less agreed with (Álvarez-Astorga et al., 2002) (6.29 ± 0.64 to 7.28 ± 0.51), (Abd-El Aziz et al., 2012) examined three batches of raw meat delivered to three restaurants for processing of escalope pane and found that APC recorded 5.86 log_{10}cfu/g of meat batch no III delivered to restaurant no."A", (4.6) for Enterobacteriaceae from batch II of restaurant “A", (4.6, 4.54 and 4.72) for Enterobacteriaceae collected from batch no III of restaurant a, B and C, respectively. The author also recorded similar results for *E. coli* for all three meat batches from the three restaurants with average of 2.6 log_{10}cfu/g, while lower *Staph. aureus* counts which ranged from (0.52 t0 1.95 log_{10}cfu/g), in escalope pane sandwiches, similar results for *E. coli* and *Staph. aureus* (<1log_{10}cfu/g) while APC and Enterobacteriaceae of processed escalope pane recorded higher counts than that in the present study (5.34 and 3.99). Lerasle et al. (2014) (6.05 ± 0.18) for APC In escalope pane.
recorded 3.31±0.52 (100%), 2.54±0.92 (100%), 2.47±0.74 (60%), <1 (0.0%) and 2.12±0.55 (80%), while hand swabs after cleaning recorded 2.39±0.5 (100%), 1.59±0.25 (60%), 1.36±0.24 (40%), <1 (0.0%) and 1.74±0.62 (60%). Swabs from food contact surfaces recorded 3±1.04 (100%), 1.5±0.96 (50%), 2.06±1.49 (20%), <1 (0.0%) and 2.3±1.18 (30%), such food surfaces after cleaning recorded 1.73±1.02 (100%), <1, <1 and (30%) 2±0.7 log10cfu/g for the aforementioned counts, except for Enterobacteriaceae in knife swabs as well as Staph. aureus in all swabs of hands, food contact surfaces and knives, the difference was non-significance (P>0.05).

Figure 5: Bacterial counts (log10 cfu/g) of examined swabs.

Results of hand swabs came in consistent with (Lambrechts et al., 2014) (3.87 log10 cfu/g) for APC; (Ayçiçek et al., 2004; Tan et al., 2014) in Malaysia (70% and 47.12%) for Staph. aureus, respectively. Moreover, the results of APC were compliant with (Ali et al., 2015) who could detect APC in a range of (3.9–5.2), (3.04–5.3) and (3–5) log10 cfu/g in examined swabs of workers hands, food contact surfaces and knives from some restaurants of Salalah State, Sultanate of Oman, respectively.

While the obtained results were lower than (Okareh and Erhahon, 2015) (5.49 log10 cfu/swab) for APC and 16.7% for E. coli. Moreover, many authors recorded higher level of isolated E. coli in workers hands including (Ayiccek et al., 2004) (7.8%); (Shojaei et al., 2006) (22%); (Fawzi et al., 2009) (13.8%); (Allam–Heba et al., 2016) (41.7%); (Tan et al., 2014) (9.41 to 14.21%); (Assefa et al., 2015) (10.9%); (Dahiru et al., 2016) (20.3%) and (Alrabadi, 2017) (6.5%). Also, (Dahiru et al., 2016) could isolate Salmonella spp. with 13.9%.

On contrary, the results of hand swab samples in the present study were higher in prevalence of Staph. aureus than that obtained by (Bustan et al., 1996) in Kuwait (26.6%); (Shojaei et al., 2006) in Iran (13.3%); (Fawzi et al., 2009) in Alexandria, Egypt (17.5%); (Zagool et al., 2011) in Makkah, Saudi Arabia (117.5%); Allam-Heba et al. (2014) (5.7%); (Assefa et al., 2015) (23.5%); (Okareh and Erhahon, 2015) (38.3%); (Dahiru et al., 2016) (14.7%); (Alrabadi, 2017) in Jordan (5.7%).

The primary action of hand washing is the mechanical removal of viable transient microorganisms, whereas the primary action of antimicrobial soap includes both mechanical removal and killing or inhibition of both transient and resident flora (Larson, 1989). This is an indication of insufficient hand washing and sanitation, as one would expect a significantly reduced bacterial count on the workers' hands after they have cleaned and sanitized them. (Raspor, 2008) reported the importance of management training of all employees in the use of effective hand washing procedures, and that the safety of food chain supply can easily be broken if proper enforcement these procedures. Sixty percent of the TPC samples analyzed exceeded the legal limit (<100 cfu.cm-2) stipulated by the Foodstuffs, Cosmetics and Disinfectants Act for food contact surfaces (Republic of South Africa. Regulation 962, 2012).

Figure 6: Chemical criteria of examined RTE foods.

Abd-El Aziz-Nadia et al. (2012) recorded similar pH for escalope pane from samples collected from three restaurants; Restaurant A (5.82), B (5.94) and C (5.79), (Al-Dughaym and Altabari, 2010) recorded pH (5.84) for fillet, while the author recorded higher levels of pH (6.63 and 6.73) for shish tawook and kofta; TVB-N (14.3, 15.5 and 14.1) for shish tawook, fillet and kofta; TBA (0.48, 0.56 and 3.01) for the afore mentioned products, respectively. Also, the obtained results were compliant with (Ibrahim–Hemmat et al., 2014) for pH level of examined pane and shish tawook (0.44 and 0.07) respectively, while fillet
recorded 0.26. The author recorded higher values of pH (8.18, 8.31 and 8.2) and TVB-N (33.85, 33.85 and 35.35) for pane, shish tawook and grilled kofta, respectively.

In this regard, (Hassanin-Faten et al., 2017) recorded mean pH value of chicken breast and thigh (5.81 ± 0.05 and 5.73 ± 0.03), TVB-N (5.56 ± 0.24 and 7.12 ± 0.29) and TBA (0.09 ± 0.01and 0.14 ± 0.01), respectively. Several investigators recorded pH of chicken thigh and fillet including; (Shedeed, 1999) (6.10 and 5.7); (Fathi-Eman, 2012) (5.8 to 6.1 and 5.6 to 5.9); (Afifi-Jehan, 2000) (6.15 and 6.21) and (Noha, 2017) (5.78±5.94 and 5.68±5.83), respectively. While (Hassanine-Fatin and Hassan, 2003) recorded pH of 6.57±0.03 in chicken thigh. Moreover, (Fathi-Eman, 2012) (5.6 to 5.9 and 4.1 to 10.3) and (Noha, 2017) (2.26±7.82 and 3.06±9.57) for TVB-N of chicken fillet and thigh, respectively. In addition, (Moawad, 1995) recorded (0.31 mg% and 0.51 mg %); (Shams El-Din and Ibrahim, 1990) (0.58 ± 0.12 and 0.81 ± 0.15); (Afifi-Jehan, 2000) (0.119 ± 0.007 and 0.131 ±.008); (Fathi-Eman, 2012) (0.02 to 0.06 and 0.05 to 0.12) and (Noha, 2017), (0.03±0.11 and 0.04±0.15) of TBA of examined fillet and thigh, respectively.

The susceptibility of RTE cooked meat to lipid oxidation are closely related to levels of lipids, unsaturated fatty acids and iron present in the different species. Lipid oxidation had extensive economic importance for the meat industry as it results in development of rancidity and formation of potentially toxic reaction products as well as chemical spoilage in food (Murphy et al., 2001; Tang et al., 2001). Moreover, the significant increase of Thiobarbituric acid (TBA) value was due to prolonged storage time which will result in the presence of unpleasant detectable flavor and lower degree of acceptability of processed products due to fat oxidation which resulted from prolonged storage or due to the use of low-quality meat in production of such products (Mulla, 2002). In addition, pH value is an indicator of the keeping quality of meat where the pH measurement of meat and its products is used to assess the shelf life and quality of the products, the decrease in pH value may be due to the breakdown of glycogen with the formation of lactic acid and the increase of pH may be due to the partial proteolysis (Shaltout et al., 2014).

**CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

This study provided useful information about the hygienic status in food serving establishment in Egypt. In general, findings have shown that treated cooked RTE meat and chicken products contained APC (< 5 log10cfu/g), Enterobacteriaceae (< 2), coliforms (< 3), *Staph aureus* (< 3) while, *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* failed to be detected in all the examined heat-treated samples. These limits are considered satisfactory according to the safety parameters submitted by general international organizations. While, for swab samples, the obtained results in the present study considered unsatisfactory as APC should not exceed 2 log10cfu/cm2 as well as coliforms and staphylococci should be absent /cm2. Therefore, training courses about the safe dealing with food and the importance to follow up general and personnel cleaning and application of good hygienic and manufacturing (GHP and GMP) practices should be submitted for workers in the preparation and provision of food in order to safeguard consumer health from food-borne pathogens Which is also contribute to improving the quality of products offered to the pioneers of those places.
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