ABSTRACT

Objective: Intrathecal magnesium as an adjuvant to hyperbaric ropivacaine could extend duration of sensory blockade and improve the quality of post-operative analgesia; thereby reducing opioid requirements and its adverse effects. Hence, we conducted this prospective, randomized, double-blind clinical trial to observe its effects for day care surgeries.

Methods: After obtaining institutional ethical committee approval and registration of trial, patients’ informed consent was taken and eighty adult patients of either gender, ASA 1 and 2, posted for infra umbilical surgeries were divided into two groups: Group RM received 3 ml hyperbaric Ropivacaine with 0.75 ml magnesium sulfate (75 mg) and Group R received 3 ml hyperbaric Ropivacaine with 0.75 ml sterile water. Sensory and motor blockade parameters, hemodynamic variables, and adverse effects were monitored, analyzed using appropriate statistical tests.

Results: Total duration of sensory blockade was significantly prolonged in Group RM compared to Group R (242.8 ± 9.9 versus 186.6 ± 8.4 min) (***p<0.001). Complete motor regression was observed significantly earlier in Group RM than in Group R (151.3 ± 7.8 versus 184.7 ± 5.6 min) (*p<0.05). Post-operative pain free interval was significantly prolonged in group RM compared to group R (725.2 ± 18.6 vs. 515.2 ± 17.8 min) (***p<0.001).

Conclusion: We concluded that intrathecal magnesium as an adjuvant to hyperbaric Ropivacaine significantly prolonged both sensory blockade duration, post-operative pain-free interval without increasing motor blockade duration and the incidence of adverse effects.
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INTRODUCTION

Spinal anesthesia is a preferred technique for day-care orthopedic, gynecological, and lower abdominal surgical procedures [1]. Lignocaine was commonly used due to its short duration of action but reports of transient radicular irritation led to decline in its use [2]. Intrathecal Ropivacaine offers shorter motor blockade duration hence, encouraging early mobilization in patients [3-5]. Due to difficulty in maintaining pharmacological stability of hyperbaric Ropivacaine, presently only isobaric solution is available. But Ropivacaine, made hyperbaric with Dextrose, had produced more consistent block, prolonged the needed duration with quicker complete regression [6,7].

Opioid adjuvants have been used with intrathecal Ropivacaine for extending the duration of block and their side effects such as nausea, vomiting, pruritus, and sedation have been noted [8,9]. Intrathecal magnesium has been used in humans since 1906 [10,11].

Comparison of hyperbaric Ropivacaine, which is emerging as local anesthetic of choice for spinal anesthesia in day care surgery [2] with and without magnesium sulfate alone; is elucidated in fewer studies. Hence, we conducted this randomized trial to demonstrate its effects as an adjuvant to intrathecal hyperbaric Ropivacaine for day care infra umbilical surgeries by monitoring block characteristics, hemodynamic parameters, and adverse effects.

METHODS

This present prospective, randomized, and double-blind clinical trial was conducted in a tertiary care teaching hospital from January 2019 to June 2020 after obtaining Institutional Ethics Committee approval and registration in Clinical Trials Registry of India.

80 adult patients (18–60 years) of either gender, American Society of Anesthesiologists Grade 1 and 2, posted for infra umbilical procedures under orthopedics, gynecology, urological, and general surgeries of approximately 2-h duration were included in the study. Patients unwilling to participate, pregnant and breast-feeding mothers, patients with spinal deformity, instrumentation or any contraindications for spinal anesthesia and patients with major cardiac, neurological, and respiratory illness were excluded from the study.

Selected patients underwent pre-anesthetic checkup and were informed about the aim of our study, familiarized with visual analogue scale (VAS) (0 – no pain to 10 – worst ever imaginable pain) in their local language, and a written informed consent was taken after ensuring their willingness to participate in the study.

Patients were kept Nil by mouth from 10 pm the day before surgery. Tablet Ranitidine 150 mg, Tablet Metoclopramide 10 mg, and Tablet Alprazolam 0.25 mg were given with sips of water on the night before and at 7 am on the morning of surgery. After taking patient inside the operation theatre, monitors were attached and baseline electrocardiogram, blood pressure, and SpO2 were noted. A wide bore intravenous (IV) line was secured with 18 Gauge (G) IV cannula and the fluid Ringer lactate infused at the rate of 10 ml/kg/h.

Patients were selected through simple random sampling using computer generated numbers and group allocation was done through sealed envelope technique. Patients were divided into two groups:

RESULTS

Total duration of sensory blockade was significantly prolonged in Group RM compared to Group R (242.8 ± 9.9 versus 186.6 ± 8.4 min) (***p<0.001). Complete motor regression was observed significantly earlier in Group RM than in Group R (151.3 ± 7.8 versus 184.7 ± 5.6 min) (*p<0.05). Post-operative pain free interval was significantly prolonged in group RM compared to group R (725.2 ± 18.6 vs. 515.2 ± 17.8 min) (***p<0.001).

CONCLUSION

We concluded that intrathecal magnesium as an adjuvant to hyperbaric Ropivacaine significantly prolonged both sensory blockade duration, post-operative pain-free interval without increasing motor blockade duration and the incidence of adverse effects.
Table 1: Demographic parameters

| Parameters | Group RM (n=40) | Group R (n=40) | p     |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| Age (years) (mean±SD) | 44±10.9 | 45.4±10.1 | 0.553 |
| Gender: M/F (n) | 20/20 | 22/18 | 0.65 |
| ASA Grade 1 (n) | 28 | 30 | 0.62 |
| ASA Grade 2 (n) | 12 | 10 |   |

RESULTS

Statistical analysis was done with SPSS version 15.0. T-test and Chi-square test were used for parametric and non-parametric data, respectively. The results were expressed as mean ± SD, range or Percentage as relevant. p<0.05 was considered as significant.

Significance of difference among values on intergroup comparison was considered as follows:
- p<0.05 was considered as non-significant
- p<0.01 was considered as significant (*)
- p<0.001 was considered as highly significant (**)
- p<0.0001 was considered as very highly significant (***)

Demographic parameters were comparable in all the groups (Table 1).

The onset of sensory block was found to be 5.52 ± 0.87 min in Group RM whereas in group R it was 3.35 ± 0.8 min with p=0.1. The time for fixation of sensory level was also prolonged in Group RM which was 8.98 ± 0.9 min whereas in group R it was 6.78 ± 0.9 min with (**p<0.001), hence was statistically significant (Fig. 1).

The time taken for sensory regression to T10 dermatome in group RM was 125.5 ± 4.2 min significantly prolonged as compared to Group R which was 103 ± 10.1 min (**p<0.001). The total duration of sensory block (regression till S2 level) in Group RM was 242 ± 9.9 min whereas in Group R was 186 ± 8.4 min (**p<0.001) and was statistically significant (Fig. 2).

The time to achieve complete motor block was 23.12 ± 2.7 min and in group R was 46.12 ± 4.4 min with p=0.5 which is statistically insignificant but clinically significant. The duration of motor block regression in Group RM was 151 ± 7.8 min and in Group R was 184 ± 5.6 min with (**p<0.001) found to be statistically very significant (Fig. 3).

The 1st time of mobilization after spinal anesthesia was noted to be 170 ± 0.1 min in group RM and 200 ± 0.4 min in Group R with (**p<0.001) which was statistically significant (Fig. 4).

The first onset of pain in Group RM was at 725.25 ± 18.6 minwhile in Group R was at 425.5 ± 17.8 min. **p<0.001, hence was statistically significant (Fig. 5).

The first onset of pain at rest in group RM was at 12 h bwth VAS score of 2/10 whereas in group R VAS score was 2/10. The (**p<0.001) which was statistically significant (Fig. 6).

The dynamic VAS score at 3 h in group RM it was 170 ± 1 min in group RM and 200 ± 0.4 min in Group R with (**p<0.001) which was statistically significant (Fig. 7).

The onset of sensory block was found to be 5.52 ± 0.87 min in Group RM whereas in group R it was 3.35 ± 0.8 min with p=0.1. The time for fixation of sensory level was also prolonged in Group RM which was 8.98 ± 0.9 min whereas in group R it was 6.78 ± 0.9 min with (**p<0.001), hence was statistically significant (Fig. 1).
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Magnesium has been proven beneficial in various scenarios beyond its widely accepted role in pre-eclampsia [13]. The safety and efficacy of intrathecal magnesium sulfate has been demonstrated in various human studies [9-11]. Magnesium is a non-competitive N-Methyl D Aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist and voltage gated N-type calcium channel blocker. Jabalameli et al. [14] compared three different doses of magnesium sulfate (50 mg, 75 mg and 100 mg) with intrathecal Bupivacaine for infra-umbilical surgeries, concluding that 75 mg of magnesium sulfate prolonged the duration of sensory block and provided adequate post-operative analgesia; without increasing incidence of adverse effects, whereas 50 mg did not provide desired duration and 100 mg produced complications. Hence, we used 75 mg of intrathecal magnesium sulfate with 15 mg of freshly prepared hyperbaric Ropivacaine in our study.

The total duration of sensory block was significantly prolonged in Group RM as compared to Group R (240 ± 9.9 min vs. 186 ± 8.4 min) (***p<0.001). Group RM showed significantly prolonged duration of clinically useful block (T10 regression time) compared to Group R (125 ± 4.2 min vs. 103 ± 10.1 min) (***p<0.001). This is in congruence with studies by Shukla et al. (265 ± 65 vs. 194 ± 55 min) [15] and Khalil et al. (165.5 vs. 95.5 min) [16] who observed significantly prolonged sensory block duration with intrathecal magnesium and local anesthetic; while Unlugenc et al. [17] concluded that 50 mg of magnesium sulfate did not prolong the duration of spinal anesthesia.
The results of our study showed significantly prolonged sensory block duration in Group RM compared to Group R. Magnesium at larger CSF concentration; it blocks the release of aspartate and glutamate during pain transmission; thereby preventing calcium and sodium influx with potassium efflux [18]. At lower CSF concentration it blocks N-type calcium channel which is densely located in dorsal horn of spinal cord Lamina 1 and 2; and also, its primary afferents (C-fiber and A-delta fiber) which mediates sensation of peripheral noxious stimuli. This inhibits the release of neuropeptides such as Substance P and calcitonin gene related peptide from sensory neurons. This mechanism would not require magnesium ion to synergize with opioid to act as an analgesic adjuvant [11].

The mean time of onset for sensory block in Group RM was 5 ± 0.8 min and in Group R was 3 ± 0.8 min (**p<0.01). The time taken to achieve maximum sensory blockade was 9 ± 0.9 min in Group RM and 7 ± 0.9 min in Group R (**p<0.01). While Morrison et al. [10] observed no clinically significant difference in onset and time to achieve maximum sensory block level with magnesium, the meta-analysis by Ramirez et al. [11] was 2.4 min average difference (**p<0.001). Based on the other four studies by Ozalevli et al. [19], Unluoguc et al. [17], Khalil et al. [16], and Shukla et al. [15], the delay in onset was due to modulation in neuronal electrical conduction blockade.

In our study, all the patients achieved sensory level of T₆ – T₁₂ level with 3.75 ml of the drug solutions. The higher level achieved probably due to larger volume. Dayloug et al. [20] similarly reported comparable level of sensory block achieved in both their study groups, with and without magnesium.

The total duration of sensory block was significantly prolonged in Group RM compared to Group R (**p<0.001). Similarly, Group RM showed significantly prolonged duration of clinically useful sensory block (T₆ – regression time) than Group R (**p<0.001). Time of onset and fixation of sensory block showed clinically insignificant difference in Group RM and Group R, though it was statistically significant (**p<0.01). The motor block onset-time was comparable in both groups (p=0.56). Group RM patients showed significantly shorter motor block duration than Group R (**p<0.001). The pain free interval was significantly higher in Group RM compared to Group R (**p<0.001).

Group RM showed significant reduction in 24 h post-operative analgesia consumption as compared to Group R (p<0.001). Group RM patients mobilized significantly earlier than Group R patients (p<0.05). The duration of motor block in Group RM and Group R was 151 min and 184 min respectively (*p<0.05). Unlike our study, Shukla et al. concluded significant prolongation of motor block regression with 50 mg magnesium compared to control group (251±51 min versus 140±34 min) (**p<0.001). While, Morrison et al. [10] demonstrated that magnesium did not prolong the duration of motor blockade (p=0.21). The motor blockade was shorter in Group RM, where earlier mobilization was also observed as compared to Group R. The pain-free interval was significantly prolonged in Group RM which led to lesser analgesia consumption as compared to Group R.

Ambulation with support was possible at 175 min after spinal anesthesia in Group RM and 280 min in Group R (p<0.05). Ramirez et al. [11] noted no significant difference in motor recovery with intrathecal Magnesium with 35 min shorter motor block duration in patients without intrathecal magnesium (p=0.390).

There was no incidence of significant hypotension, bradycardia, heavy sedation, muscle weakness, headache, and respiratory depression in both groups. Morrison et al. [10] reported absence of adverse effects with intrathecal magnesium with the use of 75 mg of intrathecal magnesium sulfate.

The duration of pain-free interval was significantly higher in Group RM compared to Group R (725 min vs. 510 min) (**p<0.001). Ramirez et al. [11] also concluded that addition of magnesium sulfate intrathecally with local anesthetic, reduced post-operative analgesia requirement, thus minimizing opioid-induced side effects by favoring usage of oral analgesics, thereby enabling less-intensive monitoring in post-operative period and early “home-readiness” [20]. Magnesium, a noncompetitive NMDA glutamate receptor antagonist, blocks spinally mediated facilitatory component evoked by repetitive C-fiber stimulation through inhibition of slow excitatory post synaptic currents; thus, it can prevent and abolish central sensitization [11].

Bamigbade et al. [21] showed that tramadol was effective analgesic for providing post-operative pain relief. In our study, the total 24 h post-operative analgesia consumption was significantly lesser in Group RM compared to Group R (42.5 mg vs. 172.5 mg) (**p<0.001). This reduction in post-operative analgesia consumption with magnesium as intrathecal adjuvant is due relatively slow binding and dissociation of NMDA antagonists [22], thereby extending its analgesic effects into post-operative period.

We used Dip stick method to estimate specific gravity of spinal anesthetic solution as densitometer was not available. They were found within comparable range of 1.025–1.030.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that magnesium sulfate as an intrathecal adjuvant since it safely prolonged the duration of sensory blockade, pain free interval. The onset time of sensory and motor block was clinically insignificant. It did not prolong the duration of motor blockade. Patients’ satisfaction was enormous in terms of ability to move their limbs and walk with support for their needs within few hours post-surgery without pain; hence, magnesium sulfate as an adjuvant to hyperbaric ropivacaine is an excellent combination for day care procedures. It reduced post-operative analgesia consumption. It has shown no incidence of adverse or untoward hemodynamic variations hence can be considered advantageous for day care surgeries.
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