Transcriptomic analyses of RNA-binding proteins reveal eIF3c promotes cell proliferation in hepatocellular carcinoma
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Primary liver cancer is the sixth most common cancer worldwide and is a leading cause of death in Asia.1,2 Hepatocellular carcinoma is the most common form of liver cancer, and it is most often diagnosed during advanced stages of disease. The major risk factors for HCC include hepatitis B infection, hepatitis C infection, aflatoxin B exposure, alcohol consumption, and inherited metabolic diseases.3 However, the molecular mechanisms underlying hepatic carcinogenesis remain elusive.

RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) play fundamental roles in the RNA life cycle. The aberrant expression of RBPs is often observed in human disease, including cancer. In this study, we screened for the expression levels of 1542 human RBPs in The Cancer Genome Atlas liver hepatocellular carcinoma samples and found 92 consistently upregulated RBP genes in HCC compared with normal samples. Additionally, we undertook a Kaplan–Meier analysis and found that high expression of 15 RBP genes was associated with poor prognosis in patients with HCC. Furthermore, we found that eIF3c promotes HCC cell proliferation in vitro as well as tumorigenicity in vivo. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis showed that high eIF3c expression is positively associated with KRAS, vascular endothelial growth factor, and Hedgehog signaling pathways, all of which are closely associated with specific cancer-related gene sets. Our study provides the basis for further investigation of the molecular mechanism by which eIF3c promotes the development and progression of HCC.

© 2017 The Authors. Cancer Science published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Japanese Cancer Association. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.
eIF3c was the gene most significantly associated with overall patient survival, and this gene was further shown to have an important role in hepatocarcinogenesis.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and cell culture. Huh-7, SK-HEP-1, and HEK-293T cells were obtained from the ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). These cell lines were authenticated by ATCC based on morphology, karyotyping, and PCR assays. SMMC-7721 cells were obtained from Shanghai Second Military Medical University (Shanghai, China). All cells were cultured in a humidified 37°C incubator with a 5% CO2 atmosphere in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin.

Quantitative real-time PCR. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Complementary DNA was synthesized using the PrimeScript RT reagent kit (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan). Real-time PCR analyses were carried out using each primer and Premix Ex Taq II (TaKaRa). The relative gene expression was calculated and normalized to β-actin using the comparative CT method. The primers that were used are listed in Table S1.

Cell proliferation and colony formation assays. Cell proliferation was measured with the CCK-8 (Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) kit. Cells were counted at each well once a day, and after 2 h of incubation at 37°C, the absorbance was measured at 450 nm. For colony formation assays, 1000 cells were seeded into each well of a six-well plate. Approximately 2 weeks after seeding, the cells were stained with 0.1% crystal violet and 20% methanol. Images were taken and the colonies were counted.

Western blot analysis. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), after which the membranes were blocked in PBS/Tween-20 containing 5% non-fat milk. Then the membranes were probed with primary antibodies against eIF3c (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) overnight at 4°C. Immune-reactive bands were detected using SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Lentivirus production and infection. The ORF of eIF3c was amplified and cloned into the pWPXL lentiviral vector using a pEASY-Uni Seamless Cloning and Assembly kit (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China). The construct was confirmed by DNA sequencing. Lentiviruses were generated by cotransfecting the lentiviral vector, the packaging plasmid psPAX2, and the VSV-G envelope plasmid pMD2.G (gifts from Dr Didier Trono, Laboratory of Virology and Genetics, Ecole Polytechnique Federale De Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland) into HEK-293T cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Supernatants were collected 48 h after transfection, filtered through a 0.45-μm membrane filter, and then used to infect HCC cells. Cells were infected with recombinant lentivirus plus 6 μg/mL polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Transfection of oligonucleotides. The eIF3c siRNA duplexes were designed and synthesized by RiboBio (Guangzhou, China). For each well of a six-well plate, cells were transfected with a pool of three siRNAs in a total volume of 5 μL (20 μM) and 5 μL Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were harvested for proliferation and colony formation assays. The three siRNA sequences are listed in Table S2.

In vivo proliferation assays. For the in vivo proliferation assays, SMMC-7721 cells stably expressing pWPXL-eIF3c or vector control were harvested and suspended in DMEM. Each mouse (male BALB/c-nu/nu, 6 weeks old) was injected s.c. in the lower back with 2 × 106 SMMC-7721 cells in 200 μL DMEM. After 6 weeks, mice were killed and examined for the growth of s.c. tumors. The mice were housed and manipulated using protocols approved by the Shanghai Medical Experimental Animal Care Commission.

RNA preparation and sequencing. Before constructing the RNA-seq libraries, 3 μg total RNA was processed using the RiboMinus Eukaryote kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) to eliminate rRNA. Strand-specific RNA-seq libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, ribosome-depleted RNA samples were first fragmented and then used for first- and second-strand cDNA synthesis with random hexamer primers. A DUTP mix was used for second-strand cDNA synthesis, which allows for the removal of the second strand. The cDNA fragments were treated with an End-It DNA End Repair kit to repair the ends, modified with the Klowow DNA Polymerase at the 3’-end of the DNA fragments, and finally ligated with adaptor sequences. The ligated cDNA products were purified and treated with uracil DNA glycosylase to remove the second-strand cDNA. The libraries were subjected to quality control using a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and were sequenced using a HiSeq 3000 sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

Gene expression analysis of LIHC samples from TCGA. RNA sequencing V2 read counts and patient clinical parameters based on 310 patients from the TCGA LIHC cohort were downloaded from the TCGA data portal (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/), including 50 paired tumor/normal samples. Differential expression analysis was carried out using DESeq2(22) on the 50 paired samples. Genes that showed significantly differential expression (Benjamini–Hochberg corrected P-value ≤0.05 and log2 fold-change ≥1) between paired tumor and normal samples were selected for downstream analysis. Human RBPs cataloged by Gerstberger et al.,(21) which consists of 1542 RBPs, were used to identify all differentially expressed RBPs in the tumors. Gene-level somatic mutation data of the TCGA LIHC cohort were downloaded from the TCGA data portal (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/).

Survival and hazard analysis. Expression levels across all tumor samples of each selected RBP gene were used to investigate whether the variation in the expression level of a given RBP gene is associated with prognosis in cancer patients. All tumor samples were dichotomized into two groups using the median expression level for each gene. The Kaplan–Meier method was further used to evaluate the overall survival time for the two groups. The differences of the survival times were compared using the log–rank test. All tests with a P-value less than 0.05 were considered significant. The Cox multivariate proportional hazards regression model was used to determine the independent factors that influence survival and recurrence based on the investigated variables.

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis. A GSEA(23) was used to identify genes (grouped as gene sets) comparatively enriched between Lenti-eIF3c overexpression and Lenti-NC control cells. Curated gene sets compiled from the Hallmark Gene Set Collection and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes were pulled from the GSEA’s Molecular Signature Database. The expression data of overexpression and control cells were analyzed using the GSEA tool (version 2.2.1: Broad Institute, http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp).

Gene Ontology analysis. Gene Ontology analysis was used to identify predominant biological processes of the differentially
expressed RBPs (92 upregulated and 19 downregulated genes). This analysis was done by the Bioconductor package “clusterProfiler”. (24)

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as mean ± SEM from at least three independent experiments. A paired Student’s t-test was used to analyze differences in eIF3c mRNA expression levels among tumors and paired normal tissues in R software. (25) Survival analyses were carried out using the Kaplan–Meier method. Univariate and multivariate cox regression analyses were used to determine the independent factors that influence survival based on the investigated variables by using the SPSS Statistics version 22 package (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Differences in colony formation assay results between groups were analyzed using an unpaired Student’s t-test in GraphPad Prism 5 (http://www.graphpad.com/). Two-way ANOVA was used to analyze differences in the CCK-8 results between groups in GraphPad Prism 5. Plots were built using R software and GraphPad Prism 5, Circos. (26) A P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

RNA-binding protein genes differentially expressed in LIHC samples. To identify RBP genes that play a role in liver cancer development, we examined the expression profiles of 1542 RBP genes from TCGA dataset. Level-3 gene expression data and clinical parameters of 310 LIHC samples were retrieved from TCGA database (see Materials and Methods). To get a general understanding of the expression of all RBP genes in all samples, we used hierarchical agglomerative (complete linkage) and Euclidean distance to undertake the hierarchical clustering (Fig. 1a). Paired tumor and normal samples (50 pairs) were used for the differential expression analysis. It was revealed that 92 human RBPs were upregulated in tumor samples compared with normal samples, whereas 19 RBP genes were downregulated (Fig. 1b, Table S3). Furthermore, we analyzed the differentially expressed RBPs (92 upregulated and 19 downregulated genes) through Gene Ontology analysis. The results showed that these differentially expressed RBP genes were correlated with RNA process, especially functions associated with RNA binding proteins, such as translation, RNA splicing, and mRNA transport (Fig. S1).

To determine whether these RBP genes are associated with poor prognosis in HCC, a survival analysis was carried out on all tumor samples to investigate the association of these differentially expressed RBP genes with overall survival. Clinical and expression data of 310 tumor samples were used for the survival analyses. For each RBP gene, tumor samples were classified into two groups according to median gene expression levels, and the differences in overall survival between these two groups were assessed. As a result, 15 upregulated and three downregulated RBP genes were significantly associated with overall survival (P < 0.05, log-rank test) (Fig. 1b). The somatic mutation frequencies of all the significantly differentially expressed genes in TCGA are also shown in Figure 1(b).

High expression of eIF3c significantly correlates with cancer progression and poor prognosis in HCC patients. To clearly show the significance on overall survival of the 15 upregulated and three downregulated RBP genes, we constructed survival
Fig. 2. Differential eIF3c expression implicates prognostic significance in liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC) samples. (a) Survival curves of 15 upregulated RNA-binding protein (RBP) genes (red boxes) and three downregulated RBP genes (green boxes). Kaplan-Meier analysis of the correlation between RBP gene expression and overall patient survival. For each gene, patients were dichotomized into high expression (red lines) and low expression (green lines) groups using the median expression level of the individual genes. Log-rank tests were used to determine statistical significance. (b) eIF3c showed significantly higher expression ($P = 1.774e-06$, paired Student's $t$-test) in the tumor samples relative to the paired normal samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas LIHC cohort. (c) eIF3c showed significantly higher expression ($P = 0.017$, unpaired Student's $t$-test) in the poorly differentiated tumor samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas LIHC cohort.
Table 1. Correlation of clinicopathological features with tumor eIF3c expression in The Cancer Genome Atlas liver hepatocellular carcinoma liver database

| Characteristic       | Low eIF3c n | High eIF3c n | P-value | Total | Unknown |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|-------|---------|
| Age, years           |             |              |         |       |         |
| <60                  | 73          | 63           | 0.252   | 310   | 0       |
| ≥60                  | 82          | 92           |         |       |         |
| Gender               |             |              |         |       |         |
| Male                 | 118         | 91           | 0.001   | 310   | 0       |
| Female               | 37          | 64           |         |       |         |
| AFP, ng/mL           |             |              |         |       |         |
| <20                  | 77          | 59           | 0.294   | 252   | 58      |
| ≥20                  | 58          | 58           |         |       |         |
| Tumor differentiation |             |              |         |       |         |
| III/IV               | 128         | 113          | 0.041   | 310   | 0       |
| Vascular invasion    |             |              |         |       |         |
| No                   | 97          | 83           | 0.268   | 278   | 32      |
| Micro/macron         | 46          | 52           |         |       |         |

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate analysis of factors associated with overall survival of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma with high eIF3c expression in The Cancer Genome Atlas liver hepatocellular carcinoma cohort

| Clinical variable     | Hazard ratio | 95% CI | P-value |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------|---------|
| Univariate analysis   |              |        |         |
| Age, years (≥60 vs <60)| 1.414        | 0.932–2.144 | 0.104 |
| Gender (female vs male)| 1.398        | 0.929–2.105 | 0.108 |
| AFP, ng/mL (≥20 vs <20)| 1.448        | 0.908–2.310 | 0.120 |
| Tumor differentiation (III/IV vs I/II) | 1.965 | 1.287–3.001 | 0.002 |
| Vascular invasion     | 1.354        | 0.860–2.132 | 0.191 |
| eIF3c (high vs low)   | 2.19         | 1.436–3.339 | 0.000 |
| Multivariate analysis |              |        |         |
| Tumor differentiation (III/IV vs I/II) | 1.848 | 1.208–2.828 | 0.005 |
| eIF3c (high vs low)   | 2.101        | 1.337–3.208 | 0.001 |

Cl, confidence interval.

curves for these RBPs. As expected, the survival time was significantly different between patients in the two expression groups, and low eIF3c expression correlated with better prognosis. Among these survival-associated RBP genes, eIF3c showed the highest prognostic contribution of candidate RBPs by calculating the hazard ratio (2.19; P < 0.001, Cox regression analyses) (Fig. 2a, Table S4). Moreover, eIF3c expression was significantly higher in tumors compared with paired normal samples from the TCGA LIHC cohort (Fig. 2b). Additionally, we investigated a possible correlation between clinicopathological features and tumor eIF3c expression in TCGA LIHC patients. Our analysis revealed that poorly differentiated tumors show significant correlation with high eIF3c expression levels (Fig. 2c, Table 1).

To investigate whether the expression levels of eIF3c were independent of other predictive variables, we applied multivariate analyses using a Cox multivariate proportional hazard regression model comparing eIF3c expression and clinicopathological factors (age, gender, tumor size, vascular invasion, and stage) as covariates. The univariate analysis showed that TNM stage and the expression of eIF3c were factors that were consistently significantly associated with overall survival in TCGA cohorts. In addition, a multivariate statistical analysis revealed that HCC patients with high tumor eIF3c expression harbored a 2.101-fold higher risk of death (95% confidence interval, 1.208–2.828, P = 0.001) (Table 2). Thus, the above analysis showed that upregulated expression of eIF3c is an independent unfavorable prognostic factor in HCC.

**eIF3c promotes HCC cell proliferation in vitro and tumor growth in vivo.** To determine the functional roles of eIF3c in HCC, we first knocked down the expression of the eIF3c gene in SMMC-7721 and SK-HEP-1 cells. The knockdown efficiency was validated by quantitative real-time PCR and immunoblotting (Fig. S2). Subsequently, the effect of eIF3c knockdown on proliferation was determined by CCK-8 assays and colony formation assays (Fig. 3a,b). Our results showed that disruption of eIF3c gene expression significantly decreased the proliferation of SMMC-7721 and SK-HEP-1 cells.

Furthermore, we overexpressed the eIF3c gene in SMMC-7721 and Huh-7 cells using a lentivirus system to establish two stable eIF3c-overexpressing cell lines. The overexpression efficiency was determined by quantitative real-time PCR and immunoblotting (Fig. S3). Subsequently, the effect of eIF3c overexpression on proliferation was determined by CCK-8 assays and colony formation assays (Fig. 3c,d). The results showed that overexpression of the eIF3c gene significantly increased the proliferation of SMMC-7721 and Huh-7 cells. To further characterize the effects of eIF3c on HCC tumor growth in vivo, SMMC-7721 transduced with Lenti-eIF3c and Lenti-vector were s.c. implanted into the flanks of nude mice, and these mice were monitored closely for tumor growth for 6 weeks. Our results showed that tumors derived from Lenti-vector cells were significantly smaller than those derived from Lenti-eIF3c cells in terms of both tumor volumes and weights (Fig. 3e,f). The above results indicate that eIF3c significantly promotes HCC cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo.

**Gene Set Enrichment Analysis of eIF3c-associated signaling pathways.** To assess the contribution of eIF3c to HCC development, we enforced eIF3c overexpression in SMMC-7721 cells and then undertook RNA-seq analysis. We then mapped changes in transcriptomic profile events relative to control samples and found 347 upregulated genes and 195 downregulated genes (|log₂ fold-change| >1) (Table S5). To gain further insight into the biological roles of eIF3c in HCC in an unbiased manner, we applied GSEA of the RNA-seq data and found that high eIF3c expression positively correlated with changes in the KRAS, VEGF, and Hedgehog signaling pathways, all of which were selected according to nominal P-value rank (Tables S6,S7), and were closely related with specific cancer-related gene sets (Fig. 4a–c). Next, we selected the upregulated genes (log₂ fold-change >1) (Table S8) enriched in the aforementioned three pathways for validation. Real-time PCR analyses showed that alteration of eIF3c expression significantly increased the expression of most of the selected genes, including WNT5B, DHH, SMO, RAC2, PCSK1N, and INHBA (Fig. 4d). The oncogenic function of RAC2 has been reported in HCC,27 and WNT5B,28 SMO29 DHH,30 PCSK1N,31 and INHBA have also been studied in other cancers.32 These data suggest that eIF3c may activate specific
oncogenic signaling pathways to promote liver cancer cell proliferation.

Discussion

Given the central role of RBPs in the regulation of gene expression, it comes as no surprise that RBP malfunction can lead to disease, including cancer.(33–35) Major changes in RBP expression have been reported in numerous types of cancer.(14,15,36,37) In a previous study, Gutschner et al. reported a microarray analysis of 60 HCC and 7 normal liver samples to analyze RBPs induced in human liver cancer, and they found the most strongly upregulated RBP was insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA-binding protein one. This RBP was also shown to have a broad impact on HCC cell proliferation, survival, and tumor growth.(38) In the present study, we applied a combination of transcriptomic analyses followed by a Kaplan–Meier analysis to evaluate the relationship of the expression of potentially oncogenic RBP genes with patient prognosis in 310 TCGA tumor samples. A total of 15 RBPs showed at least a two-fold change in gene expression and were associated with poor prognosis, suggesting their potential impact on tumor progression and aggressiveness.

The functions of most of the 15 upregulated RBP genes have been explored in disease, especially in cancer. For instance, the levels of CSTF2 increase as cells enter S phase, and its depletion affects histone RNA processing, S phase progression, and cell proliferation.(39) EZH2, a histone methyltransferase subunit of the polycomb repressor complex, is often mutated in several types of cancer and is aberrantly overexpressed in many others.(40) Previous reports have suggested that BOP1 plays an oncogenic role in HCC by inducing

Fig. 3. eIF3c promotes hepatocellular carcinoma cell proliferation in vitro and tumor growth in vivo. (a) Proliferation of SMMC-7721 and SK-HEP-1 cells targeted by a pool of three eIF3c siRNAs was measured using a cell counting assay. (b) Colony formation for SMMC-7721 and SK-HEP-1 cells transfected with a pool of three eIF3c siRNAs was measured using a colony formation assay. (c) Proliferation of SMMC-7721 and Huh-7 cells overexpressing eIF3c was measured using a cell counting assay. (d) Colony formation results for SMMC-7721 and Huh-7 cells overexpressing eIF3c are shown. (e,f) Overexpression of eIF3c increased the volume and weight of xenograft tumors. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. ns, not significant.

© 2017 The Authors. Cancer Science published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Japanese Cancer Association.
epithelial–mesenchymal transition and promoting actin cytoskeleton remodeling. A novel oncogene, MAEL, is frequently overexpressed in HCC and is significantly associated with HCC recurrence and poor outcome. Moreover, MAEL plays an important role in the development and progression of HCC by inducing epithelial–mesenchymal transition through the protein kinase B/glycogen synthase kinase-3β/Snail signaling pathway.

As the largest initiation factor, eIF3 plays vital roles in translation initiation. Some of the eIF3 subunits have shown oncogenic roles in various cancers. Previous studies have shown that ectopic expression of eIF3c in stably transfected NIH3T3 cells increased clonogenicity and viability and facilitated S phase entry and attenuation of apoptosis. eIF3c may promote seminoma development by generally increasing translation, leading to enhanced cellular growth and division rates. Knockdown of eIF3c significantly decreased cell proliferation and colony formation and further induced cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in the RKO cell line. Most importantly, Emmanuel et al. reported that eIF3c is vital to translation initiation in vivo, as its downregulation decreases global protein synthesis and causes a polysome run-off. Furthermore, they emphasized the importance of eIF3c as a therapeutic target for heterogeneous malignancies. However, no causal relationship has been established between eIF3c expression levels and HCC development. In the present study, we showed that the expression of eIF3c was significantly different in 50 paired TCGA samples, and high eIF3c expression correlated with poor patient prognosis in 310 tumor TCGA samples. Furthermore, multivariate analysis showed that the upregulated expression of eIF3c is an independent unfavorable prognostic factor in HCC. In addition, we confirmed the role of eIF3c in proliferation by using siRNA and lentivirus overexpression systems as well as in vivo experiments to further verify our in vitro results.

For a preliminary exploration of the eIF3c oncogenic mechanism, we developed SMMC-7721 Lenti-eIF3c and Lenti-vector cells and then undertook RNA-seq analysis. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis of the RNA-seq data showed that the KRAS, VEGF, and Hedgehog signaling pathways were differentially expressed. Aberrant activation of the KRAS, VEGF, and Hedgehog signaling pathways can drive tumorigenesis, in part through the promotion of cell growth. We further confirmed the upregulation of selected target genes, and these results suggest that eIF3c exerts its regulatory effects through these signaling pathways. Among the upregulated genes, WNT5B induces tube formation by regulating the expression of Snail and Slug proteins through the activation of canonical and non-canonical WNT signaling pathways in oral squamous cell
carcinoma.\textsuperscript{(28)} The combined inhibition of SMO and EGFR exerts strong antiproliferative activity with complete inhibition of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B and MAPK phosphorylation.\textsuperscript{(29)} INHBA could strongly induce embryonic stem cell differentiation.\textsuperscript{(47)} Taken together, these results suggest that eIF3c might promote HCC cell proliferation through the activation of these signaling pathways. However, further investigation is required to elucidate the detailed mechanisms.

In conclusion, we showed that eIF3c regulates HCC cell proliferation in vitro and tumorigenesis in vivo. Increased eIF3c expression positively correlated with the activation of several oncogenic pathways. Despite the need for a more detailed analysis to determine how eIF3c exerts its growth-promoting function, our study provides a novel way to investigate the molecular mechanism by which eIF3c influences liver tumor progression.
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Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| CCK-8        | Cell Counting Kit-8 |
| eIF3c        | eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit C |
| GSEA         | gene set enrichment analysis |
| HCC          | hepatocellular carcinoma |
| RBP          | RNA-binding protein |
| RNA-seq      | RNA sequencing |
| TCGA         | The Cancer Genome Atlas |
| VEGF         | vascular endothelial growth factor |
| SEM          | standard error of the mean |
| LIHC         | liver hepatocellular carcinoma |
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