Baseline Global Longitudinal Strain as a Predictor of Left Ventricular Dysfunction and Hospitalization for Heart Failure of Patients With Malignant Lymphoma After Anthracycline Therapy
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Background: Our aim was to investigate the baseline clinical and echocardiographic parameters for predicting left ventricular (LV) dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy and heart failure (HF) hospitalization in a single cancer disease.

Methods and Results: We studied 73 patients with malignant lymphoma and preserved LV ejection fraction (LVEF). Echocardiography was performed before and after anthracycline chemotherapy. Global longitudinal strain (GLS) was determined from 3 standard apical views. LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy was defined according to the current definition of cancer therapeutics-related cardiac dysfunction. Long-term (50-month) unfavorable outcome was prespecified as hospitalization for HF. A total of 10 patients had LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that baseline GLS was the only independent predictor of this dysfunction. Receiver-operating characteristic curve analysis identified the optimal GLS cutoff for predicting LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy as ≤19% (P=0.008). Furthermore, the Kaplan-Meier curve indicated that fewer patients with GLS >19% were hospitalized for HF than among those with GLS ≤19% (log-rank P=0.02). For sequential logistic models, a model based on baseline clinical variables (χ²=2.9) was improved by the addition of baseline LVEF (χ²=9.0; P=0.01), and further improved by the addition of baseline GLS (χ²=13.1, P=0.04).

Conclusions: Watchful observation or early therapeutic intervention with established cardioprotective medications may be necessary for patients with malignant lymphoma and preserved LVEF but with abnormal GLS.
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The mortality rate for patients with various types of cancer has recently decreased because of the diversity of anticancer drugs. However, cancer therapeutics-related cardiac dysfunction (CTRCD) has become a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in survivors, and the mortality rate for patients with CTRCD is as high as 60% by 2 years after treatment, caused by irreversible left ventricular (LV) myocardial changes due to anticancer drugs, such as myocyte loss, interstitial fibrosis leading to diminished LV contractility, reduced ventricular wall thickness, and progressive LV dilation. Anthracycline is an effective antineoplastic agent used for a wide spectrum of hematologic malignancies and solid tumors, but its most serious adverse effect is progressive dose-dependent LV dysfunction followed by congestive heart failure (HF), even years after the treatment has been completed (Type I CTRCD). Therefore, early detection of LV myocardial damage caused by anthracycline could be important for predicting the possible occurrence of global LV dysfunction or to facilitate early treatment for Type I CTRCD. Recently, there has been growing interest in early detection of CTRCD by means of global longitudinal strain (GLS) assessed 2D speckle-tracking strain, because it is a
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poor echocardiographic windows. Accordingly, the patient study group consisted of 73 patients with malignant lymphoma. This study was approved by the local ethics committees of Kobe University Hospital (No. 1807) and Hyogo Cancer Center (R-99).

Echocardiography
Echocardiographic studies were performed before and after the termination of anthracycline chemotherapy using a commercially available echocardiography system equipped with a 3.5-MHz transducer (iE33; Philips Medical Systems, Andover, MA, USA). Echocardiography after the termination of treatment with anthracycline was performed at the latest within 1 month of completing anthracycline chemotherapy. Digital routine grayscale 2D cine loops from 3 consecutive heart beats were obtained at end-expiratory apnea from standard parasternal and apical views and used for speckle-tracking strain analysis. Sector width was optimized to allow complete myocardial visualization while maximizing the frame rate. Standard echocardiographic measurements were obtained according to the current guidelines of the American Society of Echocardiography/European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. Specifically, LV volumes and LVEF were assessed by modified biplane Simpson’s rule using manual tracing of digital images. For patients with atrial fibrillation (AF), measurements of standard echocardiographic and speckle-tracking parameters were acquired as the average of ≥3 consecutive cardiac cycles.

LV Speckle-Tracking Analysis
All 2D speckle-tracking strain data were obtained with off-line analysis using dedicated software (QLAB version 10.0; Philips Medical Systems). Briefly, the first region of interest was manually traced with the point-and-

Methods

Study Population
For this study 78 patients with malignant lymphoma who underwent anthracycline chemotherapy at Hyogo Cancer Center between March 2013 and April 2015 were retrospectively enrolled. Patients were considered eligible if they met the following inclusion criteria: (1) ≥18 years of age; and (2) preserved LV systolic dysfunction, defined as a LVEF ≥50%. We excluded patients with: (1) previous history of HF; (2) previous history or suspicion of coronary artery disease, which was carefully assessed by at least 3 senior cardiologists; (3) any known causes of cardiomyopathy; (4) uncontrolled hypertension >180/100 mmHg; (5) history of bone marrow transplantation; and (6) more than moderate valvular heart disease. Anemia was defined as a hemoglobin concentration <12 g/dL for women and <13 g/dL for men. We also excluded 5 patients (7%) from all subsequent analyses because of suboptimal images from poor echocardiographic windows. Accordingly, the patient study group consisted of 73 patients with malignant lymphoma. This study was approved by the local ethics committees of Kobe University Hospital (No. 1807) and Hyogo Cancer Center (R-99).

Echocardiography
Echocardiographic studies were performed before and after the termination of anthracycline chemotherapy using a commercially available echocardiography system equipped with a 3.5-MHz transducer (iE33; Philips Medical Systems, Andover, MA, USA). Echocardiography after the termination of treatment with anthracycline was performed at the latest within 1 month of completing anthracycline chemotherapy. Digital routine grayscale 2D cine loops from 3 consecutive heart beats were obtained at end-expiratory apnea from standard parasternal and apical views and used for speckle-tracking strain analysis. Sector width was optimized to allow complete myocardial visualization while maximizing the frame rate. Standard echocardiographic measurements were obtained according to the current guidelines of the American Society of Echocardiography/European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. Specifically, LV volumes and LVEF were assessed by modified biplane Simpson’s rule using manual tracing of digital images. For patients with atrial fibrillation (AF), measurements of standard echocardiographic and speckle-tracking parameters were acquired as the average of ≥3 consecutive cardiac cycles.

LV Speckle-Tracking Analysis
All 2D speckle-tracking strain data were obtained with off-line analysis using dedicated software (QLAB version 10.0; Philips Medical Systems). Briefly, the first region of interest was manually traced with the point-and-

Figure 1. Example of a color-coded 2D display of the left ventricle (LV) and corresponding time-strain curves from 18 LV sites derived from 3 standard apical views for measurement of global longitudinal strain (GLS). GLS was determined as the average peak strain of 18 LV segments, and expressed as an absolute value.
Table 1. Baseline Clinical and Echocardiographic Characteristics of the Patients With Malignant Lymphoma

| Clinical data                                      | Patients (n=73) |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Clinical data**                                 |                 |
| Age, years                                        | 64±15           |
| Sex (M/F)                                         | 34/39           |
| Body surface area, m²                              | 1.6±0.19        |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg                      | 117±14          |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg                     | 69±10           |
| Heart rate, beats/min                              | 78±16           |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%)                         | 10 (14)         |
| Hypertension, n (%)                                | 32 (44)         |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)                           | 14 (19)         |
| Either hypertension or diabetes mellitus, n (%)    | 41 (56)         |
| Dyslipidemia, n (%)                                | 21 (29)         |
| Anemia, n (%)                                      | 31 (43)         |
| History of other cancer, n (%)                     | 11 (15)         |
| History of other chemotherapy, n (%)               | 3 (4)           |
| History of radiation therapy, n (%)                | 2 (3)           |
| Type of malignant lymphoma, n (%)                  |                 |
| Hodgkin lymphoma                                   | 2 (3)           |
| Non-Hodgkin lymphoma                               | 71 (97)         |
| Ann Arbor stage, n (%)                             |                 |
| I                                                  | 7 (9.6)         |
| II                                                 | 16 (22)         |
| III                                                | 15 (20.5)       |
| IV                                                 | 35 (47.9)       |
| Cumulative doxorubicin dose, mg/m²                 | 265±107         |
| Distribution of cumulative doxorubicin dose (mg/m²), n (%) |     |
| 0–99                                               | 8 (11)          |
| 100–199                                            | 13 (18)         |
| 200–299                                            | 20 (27)         |
| 300–399                                            | 29 (40)         |
| 400–499                                            | 3 (4)           |
| >500                                               | 0 (0)           |
| **Medications**                                    |                 |
| CCB, n (%)                                         | 18 (41)         |
| ACEI/ARB, n (%)                                    | 20 (27)         |
| β-blocker, n (%)                                   | 5 (7)           |
| **Echocardiography**                              |                 |
| LA diameter, cm                                    | 35±6            |
| LA volume index, mL/m²                             | 26±11.9         |
| LV end-diastolic diameter, mm                      | 45±5            |
| LV end-systolic diameter, mm                       | 26±4            |
| IVST, mm                                           | 9.5±1.4         |
| PWT, mm                                            | 9.3±1.4         |
| LV mass index, mL/m²                               | 89±18.5         |
| LV end-diastolic volume, mL                        | 71±18           |
| LV end-systolic volume, mL                         | 25±8            |
| LVEF, %                                            | 65±5            |
| E/A                                                | 0.9±0.3         |
| e', cm/s                                           | 7.1±2.0         |
| E/e'                                               | 9.6±2.8         |
| **Speckle-tracking data**                          |                 |
| GLS, %                                             | 21.1±2.7        |

Values are mean ± SD for normally distributed data and median and interquartile range for non-normally distributed data, or n (%). A, later diastolic wave velocity; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; CCB, calcium-channel blocker; E, early diastolic wave velocity; e', early diastolic mitral annular velocity; GLS, global longitudinal strain; IVST, end-diastolic thickness of the interventricular septum; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; PWT, end-diastolic thickness of the posterior wall.
Table 2. Comparison of Baseline Clinical and Echocardiographic Characteristics in Patients With and Without LV Dysfunction After Anthracycline Chemotherapy for Malignant Lymphoma

| Clinical data                                      | Patients with LV dysfunction (n=10) | Patients without LV dysfunction (n=63) | P value |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Age, years                                        | 61±16                               | 65±15                                | 0.41    |
| Sex (M/F)                                         | 5/5                                 | 29/34                                | 0.82    |
| Body surface area, m²                             | 1.6±0.16                            | 1.6±0.19                             | 0.94    |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg                     | 115±15                              | 117±14                               | 0.69    |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg                    | 67±7                                | 69±10                                | 0.60    |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%)                        | 3 (30)                              | 7 (11)                               | 0.11    |
| Hypertension, n (%)                               | 4 (40)                              | 28 (44)                              | 0.80    |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)                          | 4 (40)                              | 17 (27)                              | 0.41    |
| Either hypertension or diabetes mellitus, n (%)    | 5 (50)                              | 36 (57)                              | 0.68    |
| Dyslipidemia, n (%)                               | 4 (40)                              | 17 (27)                              | 0.41    |
| Anemia, n (%)                                     | 6 (60)                              | 25 (40)                              | 0.23    |
| History of other cancer, n (%)                    | 2 (20)                              | 9 (35)                               | 0.64    |
| History of other chemotherapy, n (%)              | 1 (10)                              | 2 (3)                                | 0.32    |
| History of radiation therapy, n (%)               | 1 (10)                              | 1 (1.5)                              | 0.13    |
| Ann Arbor stage, n (%)                            |                                      |                                      |         |
| I                                                 | 1 (10)                              | 6 (10)                               | 0.96    |
| II                                                | 0 (0)                               | 16 (25)                              | 0.07    |
| III                                               | 1 (10)                              | 14 (22)                              | 0.38    |
| IV                                                | 8 (80)                              | 27 (43)                              | 0.03    |
| Cumulative doxorubicin dose, mg/m²                 | 279±114                             | 263±107                              | 0.70    |
| Distribution of cumulative doxorubicin dose (mg/m²), n (%) |          |                                      |         |
| 0–99                                              | 0 (0)                               | 8 (13)                               | 0.24    |
| 100–199                                           | 3 (30)                              | 10 (16)                              | 0.28    |
| 200–299                                           | 2 (20)                              | 18 (29)                              | 0.58    |
| 300–399                                           | 4 (40)                              | 25 (40)                              | 0.99    |
| 400–499                                           | 1 (10)                              | 2 (3)                                | 0.32    |
| >500                                              | 0 (0)                               | 0 (0)                                | 1.0     |
| Medications                                       |                                      |                                      |         |
| CCB, n (%)                                        | 1 (10)                              | 17 (27)                              | 0.25    |
| ACEI/ARB, n (%)                                   | 2 (20)                              | 18 (29)                              | 0.58    |
| β-blocker, n (%)                                  | 1 (10)                              | 4 (6)                                | 0.68    |
| Echocardiography                                  |                                      |                                      |         |
| LA diameter, cm                                   | 35±9                                | 35±6                                 | 0.78    |
| LA volume index, mL/m²                            | 32±15.3                             | 25±11                                | 0.06    |
| LV end-diastolic diameter, mm                     | 46±6                                | 45±5                                 | 0.57    |
| LV end-systolic diameter, mm                      | 27±5                                | 26±4                                 | 0.33    |
| IVST, mm                                          | 9.8±0.9                             | 9.4±1.5                              | 0.43    |
| PWT, mm                                           | 9.6±1.1                             | 9.2±1.5                              | 0.43    |
| LV mass index, mL/m²                              | 96±19.5                             | 88±18.2                              | 0.19    |
| LV end-diastolic volume, mL                       | 85±19                               | 69±18                                | 0.01    |
| LV end-systolic volume, mL                        | 33±9                                | 24±7                                 | <0.001  |
| LVEF, %                                           | 60±7                                | 65±5                                 | <0.01   |
| E/A                                               | 0.8±0.2                             | 0.9±0.3                              | 0.31    |
| e', cm/s                                          | 6.8±1.4                             | 7.1±2.1                              | 0.60    |
| E/e'                                              | 9.9±2.6                             | 9.6±2.8                              | 0.73    |
| Speckle-tracking data                             |                                      |                                      |         |
| GLS, %                                            | 18.5±3.4                            | 21.6±2.4                             | <0.001  |

Abbreviations as in Table 1.

The click approach on the LV endocardium at the end-systole phase. The second larger region of interest was then generated outside and carefully adjusted near the epicardium. Finally, 6 strain segments and corresponding time-strain curves were generated. The onset point of the QRS complex was used as a reference for LV strain analysis. GLS was then determined as the peak strain averaged from the 3 standard apical views as expressed as an absolute value in accordance with current guidelines (Figure 1).14
percentages, and categorical data are summarized as frequencies and percentages. The parameters of the 2 subgroups were compared by unpaired t test, and the paired t test was used for comparison of continuous variables. Proportional differences were evaluated with Fisher’s exact test or $\chi^2$ test as appropriate. Optimal cutoff values for the association of baseline GLS with LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy were determined on the basis of receiver-operator characteristics (ROC) curve analysis. Event-free survival curves were determined with the Kaplan-Meier method and cumulative event rates were compared by log-rank test. The initial univariate logistic regression analysis to identify univariate predictors of LV dysfunction was followed by a multivariate logistic regression model using stepwise selection, with P levels for entry set at <0.1. Sequential logistic models were constructed to determine any incremental benefits of baseline GLS compared with clinical and conventional echocardiographic variables. A statistically significant increase in the global log-likelihood $\chi^2$ of the model was defined as an increment in predictive value. No multicollinearity was shown among parameters in this study. The intraclass correlation coefficient was then used to determine inter- and intraobserver reproducibilities for speckle-tracking parameters from 20 randomly selected patients using an identical cine-loop for each view. For all steps, P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed with commercially available software (MedCalc software version 15.11.4; MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium).

### Results

#### Definitions of LV Dysfunction After Anthracycline Chemotherapy and Long-Term Outcome Analysis

After the cessation of anthracycline chemotherapy, echocardiography was performed for all patients. According to the current definition of CTRCD, LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy was defined as the presence of (1) an absolute decrease in LVEF ≥10% to a final value <53% in asymptomatic patients or (2) an absolute decrease in LVEF ≥5% to a final value <53% in symptomatic patients. Long-term unfavorable outcome events were prespecified as the primary endpoint of hospitalization for deteriorating HF. For long-term follow-up, all 73 patients were tracked for 50 months.

### Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean values±SD or percentages, and categorical data are summarized as frequencies and percentages. The parameters of the 2 subgroups were compared by unpaired t test, and the paired t test was used for comparison of continuous variables. Proportional differences were evaluated with Fisher’s exact test or $\chi^2$ test as appropriate. Optimal cutoff values for the association of baseline GLS with LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy were determined on the basis of receiver-operator characteristics (ROC) curve analysis. Event-free survival curves were determined with the Kaplan-Meier method and cumulative event rates were compared by log-rank test. The initial univariate logistic regression analysis to identify univariate predictors of LV dysfunction was followed by a multivariate logistic regression model using stepwise selection, with P levels for entry set at <0.1. Sequential logistic models were constructed to determine any incremental benefits of baseline GLS compared with clinical and conventional echocardiographic variables. A statistically significant increase in the global log-likelihood $\chi^2$ of the model was defined as an increment in predictive value. No multicollinearity was shown among parameters in this study. The intraclass correlation coefficient was then used to determine inter- and intraobserver reproducibilities for speckle-tracking parameters from 20 randomly selected patients using an identical cine-loop for each view. For all steps, P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed with commercially available software (MedCalc software version 15.11.4; MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium).

### Definitions of LV Dysfunction After Anthracycline Chemotherapy and Long-Term Outcome Analysis

After the cessation of anthracycline chemotherapy, echocardiography was performed for all patients. According to the current definition of CTRCD, LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy was defined as the presence of (1) an absolute decrease in LVEF ≥10% to a final value <53% in asymptomatic patients or (2) an absolute decrease in LVEF ≥5% to a final value <53% in symptomatic patients. Long-term unfavorable outcome events were prespecified as the primary endpoint of hospitalization for deteriorating HF. For long-term follow-up, all 73 patients were tracked for 50 months.

### Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean values±SD or percentages, and categorical data are summarized as frequencies and percentages. The parameters of the 2 subgroups were compared by unpaired t test, and the paired t test was used for comparison of continuous variables. Proportional differences were evaluated with Fisher’s exact test or $\chi^2$ test as appropriate. Optimal cutoff values for the association of baseline GLS with LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy were determined on the basis of receiver-operator characteristics (ROC) curve analysis. Event-free survival curves were determined with the Kaplan-Meier method and cumulative event rates were compared by log-rank test. The initial univariate logistic regression analysis to identify univariate predictors of LV dysfunction was followed by a multivariate logistic regression model using stepwise selection, with P levels for entry set at <0.1. Sequential logistic models were constructed to determine any incremental benefits of baseline GLS compared with clinical and conventional echocardiographic variables. A statistically significant increase in the global log-likelihood $\chi^2$ of the model was defined as an increment in predictive value. No multicollinearity was shown among parameters in this study. The intraclass correlation coefficient was then used to determine inter- and intraobserver reproducibilities for speckle-tracking parameters from 20 randomly selected patients using an identical cine-loop for each view. For all steps, P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed with commercially available software (MedCalc software version 15.11.4; MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium).

### Results

#### Baseline Characteristics

The baseline clinical and echocardiographic characteristics of the 73 patients with malignant lymphoma are summarized in Table 1. Their mean age was 64±15 years, 34 were female, LVEF was 65±5%, and the cumulative anthracycline dose was 265±107 mg/m².

#### Predictors of LV Dysfunction After Anthracycline Chemotherapy

Of the 73 patients for whom follow-up echocardiographic data were available, 10 (14%) were diagnosed with LV
after anthracycline chemotherapy were more likely to have a larger LV volume, and lower LVEF and GLS. Moreover, the prevalence of taking angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI), angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARB), or β-blockers was similar between groups. Results of uni-

---

**Figure 3.** Model based on baseline clinical variables including age, sex, previous radiation therapy, posterior wall thickness, relative wall thickness, and cumulative doxorubicin dose improves after the addition of conventional baseline echocardiographic variables including LVEF, and further improves after the addition of baseline global longitudinal strain.

**Figure 4.** Kaplan-Meier curve shows that patients with GLS >19% were hospitalized less frequently for heart failure (HF) than those with GLS ≤19% after anthracycline chemotherapy. GLS, global longitudinal strain.

dysfunction according to the predefined criteria, and the remaining 63 patients (86%) were classified as having non-LV dysfunction, all after anthracycline chemotherapy (Table 2). Baseline clinical and echocardiographic parameters were similar except that patients with LV dysfunction
Table 4. Comparison of Baseline Clinical and Echocardiographic Characteristics in Patients With GLS >19% or ≤19%

| Clinical data                      | Patients with GLS >19% (n=59) | Patients with GLS ≤19% (n=14) | P value |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Age, years                         | 65±15                         | 62±16                         | 0.45    |
| Sex (M/F)                          | 29/30                         | 5/9                           | 0.37    |
| Body surface area, m²              | 1.59±0.2                      | 1.66±0.2                      | 0.21    |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%)         | 6 (10)                        | 4 (29)                        | 0.07    |
| Hypertension, n (%)                | 24 (41)                       | 8 (57)                        | 0.27    |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)           | 16 (27)                       | 5 (36)                        | 0.53    |
| Either hypertension or diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 32 (54) | 9 (64) | 0.50    |
| Dyslipidemia, n (%)                | 15 (25)                       | 6 (43)                        | 0.20    |
| History of another cancer, n (%)   | 7 (12)                        | 4 (29)                        | 0.12    |
| Previous radiation therapy, n (%)  | 1 (2)                         | 1 (7)                         | 0.27    |
| Cumulative doxorubicin dose, mg/m² | 266±103                       | 260±125                       | 0.85    |

Medications

|                              | Patients with GLS >19% (n=59) | Patients with GLS ≤19% (n=14) | P value |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| CCB, n (%)                   | 14 (24)                       | 4 (29)                        | 0.71    |
| ACEI/ARB, n (%)              | 17 (29)                       | 3 (21)                        | 0.58    |
| β-blocker, n (%)             | 4 (6)                         | 1 (7)                         | 0.96    |

Echocardiography

|                              | Patients with GLS >19% (n=59) | Patients with GLS ≤19% (n=14) | P value |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| LV end-diastolic diameter, mm| 45.4±4.5                      | 44.7±5.2                      | 0.60    |
| LV end-systolic diameter, mm | 26.0±4.2                      | 25.7±4.6                      | 0.79    |
| IVST, mm                     | 9.3±1.3                       | 10.1±1.7                      | 0.08    |
| PWT, mm                      | 9.1±1.4                       | 10±1.4                        | 0.03    |
| Relative wall thickness, mm  | 0.41±0.1                      | 0.46±0.1                      | 0.03    |
| LV end-diastolic volume, mL   | 71±19                         | 73±19                         | 0.66    |
| LV end-systolic volume, mL    | 24±7.3                        | 30±9.8                        | <0.001  |
| Left atrial diameter, mm     | 35±5.7                        | 36±9.7                        | 0.37    |
| LVEF, %                      | 66±4.5                        | 60±5.4                        | <0.001  |
| LV SV, mL                    | 46±12                         | 43±10                         | 0.33    |
| E/A                          | 0.9±0.3                       | 0.9±0.2                       | 0.59    |
| e', cm/s                     | 7.1±2.1                       | 6.8±1.9                       | 0.55    |
| E/e'                         | 9.3±2.6                       | 11.0±3.3                      | 0.04    |

Abbreviations as in Table 1.

Varicarate and multivariate analyses using logistic regression analysis for LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy are shown in Table 3. An important finding from the multivariate logistic regression analysis was that GLS was the only independent predictor of LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy (odds ratio: 0.652; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.489–0.869; P=0.004). In addition, ROC curve analysis identified the optimal GLS cutoff for predicting LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy as ≤19%, with a sensitivity of 60%, specificity of 87%, and area under the curve of 0.77 (P=0.008, Figure 2). The incremental benefit using sequential logistic models for the prediction of LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy is shown in Figure 3. A model based on baseline clinical variables including age, sex, previous radiation therapy, posterior wall thickness, and commutative anthracycline dose (χ²=2.9) was improved by including conventional baseline echocardiographic variables, including LVEF (χ²=9.0; P=0.01), and further improved by the addition of baseline GLS (χ²=13.1, P=0.04).

Association of GLS With Long-Term Outcome

The primary endpoint of hospitalization for HF was recorded for 5 of the 73 patients (6.8%). The Kaplan-Meier curve indicated that fewer patients with GLS >19% were hospitalized for HF than among those with GLS ≤19% after anthracycline chemotherapy (log-rank P=0.02; Figure 4). Some patients were taking ACEI, ARB, or β-blockers for hypertension or other reasons (Table 1), but none of medications affected the long-term outcomes.

Comparisons of Baseline Parameters of Patients With GLS >19% and GLS ≤19%

Because GLS ≤19% was associated with LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy and hospitalization for HF during long-term follow-up, patients were divided into 2 groups using a cutoff value of GLS=19% for a comparison of patient characteristics (Table 4). It was noteworthy that patients with GLS ≤19% were more likely to have LV hypertrophy (LVH: end-diastolic thickness of the interventricular septum (IVS): 10.1±1.7 mm vs. 9.3±1.3 mm, P=0.08, end-diastolic thickness of the posterior wall: 10±1.4 mm vs. 9.1±1.4 mm, P=0.03, relative wall thickness: 0.41±0.1 mm vs. 0.46±0.1 mm, P=0.03), and higher early diastolic wave velocity and early diastolic mitral annular velocity ratio (E/e') (11.0±3.3 vs. 9.3±2.6, P=0.04) than those with GLS >19%. Moreover, patients with GLS ≤19% tended to have...
higher prevalence of AF than those with GLS ≥19%, but not statistically significant (29% vs. 10%, P=0.07).

Reproducibility
The intraclass correlation coefficient for interobserver reproducibility of GLS was 0.979 (95% CI: 0.946–0.997), and the intraclass correlation coefficient for intraobserver reproducibility of GLS was 0.926 (95% CI: 0.812–0.9706).

Discussion
We found that baseline GLS was the only independent predictor of LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy for patients with malignant lymphoma and preserved LVEF. In addition, baseline GLS ≤19% was associated with reduced LVEF after anthracycline chemotherapy and hospitalization for HF during long-term follow-up. The lower baseline GLS also yielded significant increments in predictive value compared with conventional clinical echocardiographic variables. This is the first study to demonstrate an association of LV myocardial function before anthracycline chemotherapy with LV systolic dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy and hospitalization for HF during long-term follow-up of a single cancer disease.

Association of LV Longitudinal Myocardial Dysfunction With CTRCD
LVEF is the most common parameter used to assess LV systolic function, and the usefulness of LVEF to detect CTRCD has been previously reported. However, LVEF is an inaccurate parameter of CTRCD because it is insensitive to early changes in cardiac function during a potentially cardiotoxic treatment. Moreover, it is not an accurate predictor of HF of patients who receive anthracycline therapy, because the heart has plenty of reserves and LVEF does not start to deteriorate until the later stages of HF.

Interest has thus been on the possibility of measuring a more sensitive and robust noninvasive, simple parameter for LV function. In the early stages of HF, or in the case of subclinical LV dysfunction, strain imaging by means of echocardiography can be of considerable help in both diagnostic evaluation and determining prognosis. In this respect, the ability of GLS to predict both subclinical LV dysfunction and cardiovascular outcome may be superior to that of LVEF in a number of cardiac disorders.

In fact, some recent investigators have used GLS for the identification of anthracycline-induced early LV longitudinal myocardial dysfunction after chemotherapy. A systematic review of 1,504 patients during or after cancer chemotherapy showed that early changes in GLS were the best measure for predicting cardiotoxicity. Specifically, a 10–15% early reduction in GLS during chemotherapy appears to be the most useful parameter for predicting cardiotoxicity, defined as a reduction in LVEF or HF. The LV wall is not homogeneous and has 3 layers of fibers, with the endocardial layer often the first to be affected by various diseases. Because this layer is mainly responsible for long-axis contraction, a reduction in longitudinal function has been found to be an early and accurate indicator of LV dysfunction in patients with high susceptibility to CTRCD, as well as ischemia, fibrosis, and hypertrophy.

Much earlier, Milei et al used anthracycline-treated rabbits to provide pathologic evidence that anthracycline cardiotoxicity caused progressive vacuolization of the myocardial fibers, leading to severe myocyteosis in the LV subendocardium and the interventricular septum. Our group also previously reported that global area strain detected by 3D speckle-tracking imaging, which can quantify the endocardial area change ratio when it is coupled with the factors of both endocardial longitudinal and circumferential strain obtained from all LV segments, was the only parameter independently associated with the cumulative dose in 55 patients with preserved LVEF after undergoing anthracycline chemotherapy.

Clinical Implications
The association of early LV longitudinal myocardial dysfunction with CTRCD after various types of chemotherapy may have been verified, but the characteristics of LV longitudinal myocardial function before anthracycline chemotherapy in a specific, individual type of cancer disease remain indeterminate. During the long-term follow-up in our study, we found an association of reduced LVEF after anthracycline therapy and with hospitalization for HF in patients with malignant lymphoma and baseline GLS ≤19%. The lower baseline GLS also yielded significant increments in predictive value compared with conventional clinical echocardiographic variables. The cutoff value of GLS of 19% used in our study was close to both the normal GLS value of 20% in the guideline of the American Society of Echocardiography and the mean normal value of 19.7% reported in a meta-analysis. CTRCD may present initially as asymptomatic LV dysfunction and ultimately as symptomatic HF, which can occur even decades after the discontinuation of chemotherapy. Furthermore, Type I CTRCD is believed to be refractory to conventional pharmacological therapy and is associated with a poor prognosis. Therefore, early detection of Type I CTRCD preferably before undergoing anthracyline therapy, is crucial, as it will enable early application of preventive strategies with established cardioprotective medications such as ACEI, ARB or β-blockers for patients with malignant lymphoma and preserved LVEF, but an abnormal baseline GLS. In addition, patients with abnormal baseline GLS are more likely than those with a normal GLS to have LVH, AF, and higher E/e', which are comorbidities significantly associated with LV longitudinal myocardial dysfunction but preserved LVEF. Moreover, LVH, AF, and LV diastolic dysfunction are also considered to be risk factors for the development of CTRCD. Thus, watchful observation after anthracyline chemotherapy or after early preventive strategies with established cardioprotective medications but before anthracyline chemotherapy is recommended for patients with malignant lymphoma and preserved LVEF who have such comorbidities.

Study Limitations
This study had a relatively small number of patients in a retrospective single-center study, so further prospective studies with larger patient populations will be needed to validate our findings. The prevalence of Ann Arbor stage IV in patients with LV dysfunction was significantly higher than in patients without LV dysfunction (80% vs. 43%). Although the association of cancer cachexia with the development of LV dysfunction after anthracycline therapy remains uncertain, its effect may be undeniable in this study. Finally, this study enrolled relatively elderly patients (64±15 years old), but anthracycline is widely used for treatment in various age groups, including young patients.
Thus, it remains unclear if the cutoff value of GLS ≤19% can be applied to younger patients.

Conclusions

Baseline GLS was found to be associated with LV dysfunction after anthracycline chemotherapy and the development of HF during long-term follow-up of patients with malignant lymphoma and preserved LVEF. Because anthracycline causes changes in LV performance over time, watchful observation or early therapeutic intervention with established cardioprotective medications may be necessary for such patients with preserved LVEF but abnormal GLS.
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