Single track scanning experiment on the hypereutectic aluminium alloy Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg
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Abstract. Solidification path, hot tearing susceptibility and processability by L-PBF of the hypereutectic aluminium alloy Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg were investigated. It was shown that the alloy has an advantageous hot tearing resistance due to formation of an adequate amount of the [(Al)+Al3Ni] eutectic and a reduced effective solidification range. In that sense, it may be valid for L-PBF. To prepare a feedstock for L-PBF, we produced chips which were subsequently ground by high-energy ball milling into a 100 µm fine powder batch. The powder contained irregular shape particles that may have led to failure in fabrication of regular single tracks at a laser power of 170-250 W and scanning speed of 200-350 mm/s. Whilst from on-top view all the tracks had defects like balling and irregularity, their cross-sections also revealed a high degree of penetration into 5052 alloy substrate. Most structures showed a level of mixing of 5/1 between the substrate and the experimental alloy. The most successful track obtained at 250 W and 250 mm/s showed an inhomogeneity in distribution of the alloy-rich regions. Meanwhile, no defects and no primary Al3Ni phase were observed. The present study serves as an initial one for further research which may be focused on producing of a finer powder, assessment of different layer thicknesses and fabrication of bulk specimens.

1 Introduction

Metal-based laser additive manufacturing is a wide field of material science requiring for careful selection of composition that is considered to be the most critical for synthesis of minimal-defect products. Among a great variety of methods the laser powder bed fusion (hereafter referred to as L-PBF) is an advance process based on laser beam travel along the metallic powder batch presenting an opportunity to produce complex functional parts for various purposes [1-3].

Among numerous compositions for L-PBF investigated through years, the aluminium based materials present some complications. In comparison to steels, titanium or magnesium, aluminium is a good heat conductor, but its laser absorption is lower. In this case a higher laser power has to be applied [4]. Nevertheless they are believed to be the most attractive for light-weight application due to their low density (~2.7 g/cm³), easy conventional manufacturing and possibility of secondary stock usage [5].

It is certainly known that the most studied aluminium alloys for L-PBF are Al-Si alloys, perfect for producing cast products as well [5, 6-9]. A good fusion ability of powder particles is caused by specific solidification path which contains eutectic reaction [L→(Al)+Si] at 577 °C. Non-eutectic alloys (Al-Zn-Mg, Al-Cu, etc.) are not appropriate for L-PBF due to wide solidification range [4]. Attempts to produce L-PBF parts from the high-strength Al-Zn-Mg alloys led to extensive formation of hot cracks [9]. Therefore several works are devoted to preparing silicon-added mixture based on Al-Zn-Mg matrix which provides enough appropriate cracks resistance [10, 11].

However, we suppose that there are some risks related to loss of strength may occur due to possible Mg2Si phase formation. There are some eutectic forming elements which are believed to be promising for replacing silicon [12, 13]. Our attitude toward the issue of L-PBF of Al-Zn-Mg alloys is slightly similar, but we decided to use nickel instead of silicon. Al-Zn-Mg-Ni alloys based on the [(Al) + Al3Ni] are proved to have excellent fluidity and hot tearing resistance [5, 14]. Moreover, since there is no interaction between pair Zn/Mg and Ni it is possible to fabricate a natural particulate-reinforced composite material by an increase in Ni content up to hypereutectic concentration. In favour to this approach, Al-20%Si hypereutectic alloy was fabricated in [15] and after a pseudo-eutectic cellular microstructure was observed as a result. This is due to high cooling rates (more than 107-108 K/s [16]) provided by rapid laser spot movement.

In this initial work we do not consider the cooper addition because of its harmful effect on the fluidity and hot tearing resistance [17]. We chose the strongest Al-Zn-Mg matrix related to commercial alloys (e.g. 7001, 7090, 7055, etc.) [18]. Research on rapid solidification of the Al-Ni hypereutectic alloys reported that the
pseudo-eutectic ultrafine structure can be achieved at 7-8%Ni [19, 20]. Thus the main experimental alloy in the present work is a Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg. Starting from the first principles the experiment was conducted through powder fabrication by high-energy ball milling (hereafter referred to as HEBM). This approach is an advantageous due to lack of necessity to consider expensive gas atomization. Some successful experiences on L-PBF of Al-based and Fe-based alternative powder feedstock are observed in [21, 22]. The core of the present work is to investigate the molten pool behaviour during L-PBF and the microstructure evolution in order to substantiate the prospects of the alloy and tailor a route for further works.

2 Materials and methods

The work was focused on producing of samples and its characterization. Firstly, we conducted a hot tearing test of three alloys Al-8%Zn-3%Mg, Al-8%Zn-4%Ni-3%Mg and Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg in order to substantiate the choice of the alloy composition. Secondly, the analysis of the non-equilibrium solidification was carried out by Scheil-Gulliver simulation in Thermo-Calc software (TTAL5 Al-alloys database) [23] for prediction of the microstructure. Finally, the powder was produced, which was used as a feedstock in a single track experiment.

2.1 Preparation of samples

The alloys were produced via melting of pure aluminium (99.9%Al), zinc (99.9%Zn), magnesium (99.9%Mg) and Al-20%Ni master alloy in an electrical laboratory furnace Nabertherm K 1/13. Hot tearing test was performed via pouring the molten metal into a backbone mould with variation of diameter from 16 mm to 10 mm. The experimental alloy Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg was also poured into a graphite cylindrical mould of 40x280 mm in size. The raw material for the single track experiment was irregular shaped powder produced from the alloy chips (fig. 1). The 150 g batch was milled into powder in air using a 4-reel Retsch PM 400 planetary ball mill at 300 rpm. The weight ratio between the stainless-steel balls and the experimental alloy chips was 10:1. After 10 min of dry grinding, the powder was sieved so to achieve a less than 100 μm size, following which the remaining coarse fraction was milled again. The total milling time was 30 min.

![Fig. 1. Powder fabrication route used in this study](image)

The powder batch put onto 5052 (~Al-5%Mg) alloy substrate was loaded into SLM 280 HL machine. The laser was travelled just one time along the lines of 120 mm length. The powder was melted at a laser power of 170, 200, 250 W and a scanning speed of 200, 250, 300, 350 mm/s (12 tracks in total). The thickness of layer was about 150 μm.

2.2 Characterization

The microstructure, width and height of tracks was examined by optical microscopy (OM, Axio Observer MAT) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM, TESCAN VEGA3) equipped with an electron microprobe analysis system (EMPMA, Oxford Instruments). The metallographic samples were ground using a SiC abrasive paper and polished with a 1 μm diamond suspension. A 1% hydrogen fluoride (HF) water solution was used for etching. The particle-size distribution of the powder was studied using an Analysette 22 laser particle analyser. The parameters D16, D50, D90, which are the cumulative particle diameters for 10%, 50%, and 90% of the entire powder volume, were determined. The SPAN parameter was calculated using the equation [24]:

\[
SPAN = \frac{D_{90} - D_{10}}{D_{50}}
\]

3 Results and discussion

3.1. Hot tearing susceptibility

Hot tearing susceptibility mainly depends on solidification path, which may be described by thermodynamic calculation. A comprehensive description of phase equilibria in Al-Zn-Mg-Ni system is described in our recent paper [25]. It is observed that a Ni content over 3.6% promotes hypereutectic solidification manner including the further reactions [L→Al3Ni], [L+Al3Ni+(Al)] and [L+Al3Ni+(Al)+T]. When considering the Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg alloy, a fractions of primary and eutectic Al3Ni phase are nearly equal and their total amount composes 12.8 vol.%. This phase is reliably indicated by elemental mapping and presented in SEM microstructure (fig.2) as bright constituents of spheroidal agglomerated and rod-like shapes surrounded by grey (Al) dendrites. In the vicinity, dark T phase veins are revealed as a result of

![Fig. 2. As-cast microstructure of the Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg alloy (SEM) and elemental mapping focused on Ni spectre](image)
non-equilibrium solidification. Generally, the phases retained after solidification agree the calculation data.

According to Scheil-Gulliver curves (fig. 3), in Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg the AlNi primary phase occurs prior to (Al) solid solution at the temperature of about 700 °C. Then, after formation of (Al) dendritic network and Al1Ni eutectic-origin phase, the non-equilibrium solidification T phase appears at 478 °C. The latter temperature is mainly constant and does not depend on Ni content. The results of the hot tearing test showed that HTS index (defined as the least diameter of a crack-free sample) for Al-8%Zn-3%Mg is 16 mm and addition of Ni contributes to improvement of hot tearing tendency down to 12 mm for Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg alloy. This improvement can be described by slightly dwindled effective solidification range (ESR). According to [26] the ESR value for Al-Zn-Mg-Ni alloys can be described using Scheil-Gulliver curves as a difference between the temperature at which 85% solid phases were formed (start of the linear shrinkage) and the non-equilibrium solidus. The ESR descends with an increase in Ni content, but the change is negligible (~ 10 °C). The influence of Ni on the improvement of the HTS index of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloys was described in [14]. The key aspect used was the Cracking Susceptibility Coefficient (CSC) developed by Clyne and Davies in [27]. Making the assumption that a time when a solid fraction is at 0.9, 0.99 and 0.4 can be replaced by the temperature, the formula looks as follows:

\[ \text{CSC} = \frac{T_{0.9} - T_{0.99}}{T_{0.4} - T_{0.9}} \]

Thus it can be seen that the CSC value is significantly reduced with addition of Ni (from 0.87 for Al-8%Zn-3%Mg to 0.62 for Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg) even at hypereutectic concentrations.

Another factor contributed to the improvement of hot tearing resistance is an adequate amount of eutectic melt can refill these channels, in case of Ni-rich alloy presence of the sufficient eutectic volume [(Al)+Al1Ni] shows an observable effect in the test samples of up to 12 mm. It is believed that the achievement can be critical for producing quality L-PBF parts at industrial processing parameters.

3.2 Characterization of powder batch

The initial chips were enough brittle for easily milling within a low duration. A ubiquitous issue in HEBM processing is a contamination of the powder from the milling media. In our case the enhanced oxidation may occur and iron may be captured from a grinding bowl and balls. However, we assume that the milling time is relatively low and these factors may be ignored.

On the other hand it is obvious that the quality of powder has a crucial impact on the defects appearing in the L-PBF parts. It is rather necessary to use spherical powder produced by atomization methods. However, we consider these methods as very expensive ones. In order to preliminary estimate the processability of the experimental alloys by L-PBF, we decided to try out to use milled powder. Thus, the flowability is not supposed to be the key parameter in the present study.

Figure 4 represents a particle-size distribution of the powder from the experimental Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg alloy. It can be seen that some occasional particles exceeding 100 µm in size are observed and therefore the experiment promises to be slightly tough. In this case we chose a bit lower scanning speed to provide appropriate melting and fusion of the particles. Nevertheless, the experimental powder has a SPAN parameter of 1.1 which can provide an excellent flowability. Thus, the usage of such powder can be promising in assessment of new alloys for L-PBF.

Fig. 4. Particle-size distribution of the experimental powder

The powder consisted of irregular and coarse particles with rough surfaces (fig. 5a). Each coarse plate of AlNi phase, presented in the as-cast state, was split into fine unevenly distributed particles (fig. 5b). This structure looks like a composite in which the particles play a reinforcing role for aluminum-based matrix.
3.3 Single track scanning experiment

Twelve single tracks were studied by OM and SEM methods. From on-top IMAGES made at the 5 mm distance from the start of laser travelling, it is clearly seen that all tracks have defects such as irregularity and balling (fig. 6a). It is likely that the prolonged light surface is caused by sufficient fusion and consolidation of the melt pool. Since conditions applied are greatly far from common ones (e.g. scanning speed is about 4 times less than that ones for branded Al10SiMg alloy), we suppose that the laser melting of the ball milled powder was accompanied with a significant wetting of the substrate (fig. 6b), associated with low scanning speed.

Analysis of the cross-section images (fig. 7) showed that the width of the molten pool increases with an increase in laser power from 140 μm (for 170 W) to 220 μm (for 250 W). Relatively deep penetration of about 50-100 μm was observed for all samples. It is complicated to reveal any associations between processing parameters and molten pool geometry due to a high degree of randomness. For example, the track obtained at 250 W and 350 mm/s has a width of 330 μm (appeared to be the largest one), that is not reasonable because it must have become slender due to an increase in the scanning speed.

Nevertheless, there is a great difference among the structures of the tracks and some regularity has been found. The traits of the cross-section structures are the evidential inhomogeneity caused by turbulence and Marangoni flow occurred after laser power irradiation. Temperature gradients generated coupled heat- and mass-transfer processes and that’s why the concentration fluctuation is well visible in optical images as dark coloured vortexes. They are most likely indicating the level of interaction between the Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg alloy powder and the 5052 grade alloy substrate.

We chose the most representative types of structures appeared in individual tracks fabricated at 250 W and studied them by SEM. The track obtained at 250 W and 200 mm/s has a structure (fig. 8a) in which the amount of the experimental alloy decreased approximately fivefold due to lower scanning speed and intense mixing with substrate. Some cracks and porosity are also observed. The most successful track was built at 250 W and 250 mm/s. The OM revealed that it has the darkest surface and from the SEM structure (fig.8b) it is clear that the experimental alloy-rich area (designated as Ni-rich area) is concentrated on the right sight of the track. In comparison to the previous track, there is more than 4%Ni, but just about 2%Zn that is probably due to some evaporation. The left side map shows the Substrate/alloy mixing about 5/1 likewise as in the previous track. The widest track fabricated at 250 W and 350 W has a structure without dark vortexes. Only some segregated parts of the cross-sections are indicated to be the experimental alloy (fig. 8c). It is interesting that the displaced region revealed at the up-left side is enough convex and slightly penetrated into the substrate that confirm its remelting during laser travelling. Ultimately, the structure of the Ni-rich area is much finer than in the powder sample, so that it is believed to have a pseudo-eutectic structure.

Fig. 5. Shape of the powder particles (a) and the structure the individual particle (b)

Since the SLM 280 HL industrial machine has its own filter and hopper system (without transfer powder from one to another platform) which require for smooth and spherical powder, we were forced to place the powder manually with its sequent levelling by carriage.
4 Conclusions

In summary, whilst the experiment was relatively tough for arguing that the new Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg alloy is excellent for L-PBF, some important results were drawn:

1) The Al-8%Zn-7%Ni-3%Mg has an advantageous hot tearing resistance due to lower effective solidification range and adequate amount of [(Al)+Al3Ni] eutectic in comparison to the base Al-8%Zn-3%Mg alloy and near-eutectic Al-8%Zn-4%Ni-3%Mg alloy.

2) The feedstock powder material of 100 μm size for L-PBF was prepared via machining of initial cast ingot into chips and further HEBM. The SPAN and D50 values were 1.1 and 79.8 μm respectively. While the powder had mostly irregular shape, the structure contained ground fine unevenly distributed Al3Ni intermetallics.

3) A comparative evaluation of the twelve single tracks fabricated at a laser power of 170-250 W and scanning speed of 200-350 mm/s was carried out. The low quality of powder likely caused the irregularity of tracks. Moreover, it was shown that a relatively low scanning speed led to significant wetting of the substrate and turbulence. The latter represented as vortexes and mixing between the experimental alloy and the substrate.

4) Three types of the single tracks fabricated at 250 W and different scanning speed were studied in detail. The structure of the first track fabricated at 200 mm/s showed cracks and porosity as well as a substrate/alloy mixing of 5:1. Increase of scanning speed up to 250 mm/s promoted the least level of mixing in the second track. It contained no porosity and cracks, but some amount of zinc was evaporated. The third track fabricated at 350 mm/s showed a random displaced region, which contained more than 6%Ni indicating on the initial alloy.

5) Further research will follow the path toward softening of the experimental conditions. The key approach will be focused on more sufficient grinding of the powder and on trying out higher laser velocity and its power. It is believed that the proposed feedstock is promising for assessment of the new alloys for L-PBF.
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