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Preparation of Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes Embedded Electroconductive Multi-Microchannel Scaffolds for Neuron Growth under Electrical Stimulation
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Objectives. To prepare the conductive MWCNT (multiwall carbon nanotube)-agarose scaffolds with multi-microchannel for neuron growth under electrical stimulation. Methods. The scaffolds were produced by gradient freeze and lyophilization methods. The synthesized materials were characterized by SEM and near-infrared spectroscopy, and their microstructure, swelling-deswelling, conductivity, biocompatibility, and shape memory behavior were measured. A three-dimensional culture model by implanting cells into scaffolds was built, and the behaviors of RSC96 cells on scaffolds under electrical stimulation were evaluated. Results. The addition of MWCNT did not affect the pore composition ratio and shape memory of agarose scaffolds, but 0.025% wt MWCNT in scaffolds improved the swelling ratio and water retention at the swelling equilibrium state. Though MWCNTs in high concentration had slight effect on proliferation of RSC96 cells and PC12 cells, there was no difference that the expressions of neurofilament of RSC96 cells on scaffolds with MWCNTs of different concentration. RSC96 cells arranged better along the longitudinal axis of scaffolds and showed better adhesion on both 0.025% MWCNT-agarose scaffolds and 0.05% MWCNT-agarose scaffolds compared to other scaffolds. Conclusions. Agarose scaffolds with MWCNTs possessed promising applicable prospect in peripheral nerve defects.

1. Introduction

The repair and reconstruction of peripheral nerve defects caused by severe trauma, tumor excision, and congenital malformation have been a great clinic problem when gaps exceed 25 mm, because of limited resource and unsatisfactory results of autologous nerve autografting [1–4]. Regenerated nerves with anatomical appearance could not conduct the normal bioelectric signal, which could significantly affect the recovery of nerve function seriously [5]. Thus, strategies remain to be identified to improve the rate and function of nerve regeneration.

Synthetic composites can be a promising tool to guide axonal regeneration when supplying neurotrophic and/or cellular support simultaneously. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are increasingly used as biomedical material due to their excellent mechanical and electrical properties and high stability [6, 7]. CNTs can endow synthetic composites with good biocompatibility [8, 9], shape memory, mechanical properties [10], photothermal conversion ability, antibacterial properties [11], and conductivity which can simulate electrical conduction to guide the growth of nerve cells and promote myelination [12, 13], providing a new strategy for clinical peripheral nerve regeneration and functional reconstruction. However, CNTs were usually used as a component of composite materials, due to cytotoxicity of high concentration of carbon nanomaterials [7].

The use of agarose, with good biocompatibility and biodegradability, is in increasing expansion to satisfy different needs in bioengineering. As a saccharide polymer derived from seaweed, agarose is often used as a substrate for cell growth and bioengineering such as three-dimensional tissue
growth, gene therapy, drug delivery and controlled release, and clinical application for its capacity to carry drugs and cells [14–25]. Combined with other materials to form composite materials, agarose is used to promote regeneration of skin, bone, cartilage, and nerve [22, 26–28]. However, agarose has poor electrical conductivity.

In this study, we reported the use of multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) to enhance the electrical conductivity and biological performance of agarose scaffold. Novel MWCNT-agarose scaffolds with multi-microchannels were synthesized. The pore distribution characteristics, swelling-deswelling behaviors, conductivity, biocompatibility, and shape memory of the scaffolds were evaluated. The suitability of scaffolds loaded with RSC96 cells for neural tissue engineering and electrical stimulation system was also investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Fabrication of MWCNT-Agarose Scaffolds. The agarose solution, composed of 3% wt agarose (Biowest, Spain) and distilled water, was heated to 100°C until completely dissolved, injected to cylinder mold (4.6 mm diameter × 60 mm long) while removed bubbles with a vacuum drier, and then allowed to cool to be solid [21]. The MWCNT’s water suspension (XFM 31) was purchased from Nanjing XFNANO Materials Technology (Nanjing, China). The MWCNTs are 5–15 nm in inner diameter and less than 10 μm in length. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images and further information can be found at XFNANO Inc. For the MWCNT-contained agarose solution, MWCNTs were blended before heating at concentrations of 0.025% wt, 0.05% wt, and 0.1% wt, respectively. The molds containing agarose with or without MWCNTs were placed into insulating Styrofoam except the bottom. The entire unit containing agarose with or without MWCNTs were placed into a cylindrical mold (6 mm diameter × 60 mm long) with di

2.2. Characterization of MWCNT-Agarose Scaffolds

2.2.1. Microstructure Analysis. Cylindrical scaffolds were sectioned into 1 mm thick samples in transverse planes and longitudinal planes by stainless-steel microtome blade for morphological observation. An SEM (HITACHI SU8010) was used to observe the transverse planes, and a stereomicroscope (Leica, M205, Germany) was applied to record the longitudinal planes of those scaffolds. Gold was sputtered onto the surface of scaffolds for 10 s prior to the imaging.

Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRQuest, ocean optics, USA) was used to analyze the chemical composition of linear agarose scaffold with different concentration of MWCNTs. The excitation wavelength ranged from 900 cm⁻¹ to 2000 cm⁻¹.

The hydrated samples were placed on slides to be visualized by an LSM800 confocal microscope (Zeiss), and the area of the pores was directly measured by the Axio-Imager software (Zeiss) to calculate the proportion of the pore area to the total area (porosity) and analyze the proportion of each kind of pore size to the total pore numbers. Three different samples were randomly selected from each kind of scaffolds.

2.2.2. Swelling and Deswelling. The swelling behavior of linear agarose scaffolds with or without MWCNT was observed for 2580 min at a temperature of 25°C and a relative humidity of 65%. The freeze-dried scaffolds were weighed, then soaked in distilled water, and removed at a certain interval. After surface water was absorbed with filter paper, the scaffolds were weighed immediately [10, 30, 31]. There were 5 samples of each scaffold, and each sample was measured 3 times to calculate the swelling rate (SR) by the Equation (1)

\[
SR = \frac{Ws - Wd}{Wd} \times 100\%,
\]

where SR, Ws, and Wd are the swelling rate of scaffolds at a certain time, the weight of scaffolds at a certain time, respectively, and the weight of dry scaffolds.

After the scaffolds reached swelling equilibrium at the temperature of 25°C and the relative humidity of 65%, the excess surface water was removed and weighed at a certain time interval to evaluate the swelling trend of the scaffolds. The measurement for each scaffold was an average of three times to calculate the water retention (WR) by Equation (2)

\[
WR = \frac{Wt - Wd}{We - Wd} \times 100\%,
\]

where Wt is the weight of scaffold at a certain time of deswelling and We is the weight of swollen scaffold at equilibrium state [31, 32].

2.2.3. Conductivity of Scaffolds. The electrical conductivity of scaffolds with or without MWCNT was measured at equilibrium state of PBS absorption at room temperature. The swelling scaffolds were placed between two copper electrodes and measured with a conductivity meter (UT61E) [33]. According to Equation (3), the resistance ρ in (Ω. m) was calculated. [34]

\[
R = \rho \frac{l}{A},
\]

where R is resistance of the scaffolds, l is length, and A is cross-sectional area, respectively.

The conductivity was calculated by using Equation (4)

\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho} \text{mho} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}.
\]

2.2.4. Shape Memory of Scaffolds. The scaffolds which had reached the balance of water absorption reached deswelling equilibrium at room temperature and then were soaked in deionized water. The shape changes were observed by a digital video recorder (SONY) [10].

2.3. In Vitro Biocompatibility Study. The cylindrical scaffolds with a diameter of 4.6 mm were sliced into disc samples with
a height of 2 mm. The samples were sterilized in 95% ethanol for 2 h, soaked in PBS overnight, then vacuum-dried for later use, and prewetted with 37°C complete medium before coculture with cells [35].

The RSC96 cells purchased from the ZhouQiaoXinZhou Biotechnology Co. Ltd (Shanghai, China) were cultured in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco) and 100 μg/ml streptomycin and 100 U/ml penicillin (BI) under a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. The RSC96 cells were seeded into the 96-well plates at a density of 3000 per well, and the prewet scaffolds were transferred into the corresponding wells at the same time. The cells in each group were cultured for 1 d, 3 d, and 5 d with five samples per group at each time point. RSC96 cells were observed in different culture conditions by a phase contrast microscope. 10 μl CCK8 solution (Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) was added to each well and incubated for 2 h at 37°C and then the absorbance OD value at 450 nm was analyzed by Thermo Scientific Multiskan GO Spectrophotometer (USA). RSC96 cells cultured on Glass Bottom Dish with scaffolds for 3 d were washed with PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min, and washed with PBS again. After fixed, 0.15% Triton X-100 for 10 min and blocked in 10% bull serum albumin for 30 min, cells were incubated with antibodies anti-NF-H at 1 : 200 dilution at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation with secondary antibodies conjugated to Flour 488 at 1 : 200 dilution for 2 h in dark and counterstain with DAPI at 1 : 20 000 dilution for 10 min at room temperature. An inverted laser scanning confocal microscope (Leica, Germany) was used to investigate the samples. Quantitative analyses of immunofluorescence were implemented by Image-Pro Plus 4.5 software (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA).

The PC12 cells purchased from the ZhouQiaoXinZhou Biotechnology Co. Ltd (Shanghai, China) were cultured in DMEM/F12 (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco) and 100 μg/ml streptomycin and 100 U/ml penicillin (BI). PC12 cells were cocultured with scaffolds at a concentration of 5000 per well, and the OD value was determined by the CCK8 method as RSC96 cells. The scaffolds cocultured with PC12 cells were fixed by 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 4 h and dehydrated by graded ethanol. After sputtered with gold, scaffolds were imaged by SEM.

2.4. Three-Dimensional Cultured Model of RSC96 Cells. The cylindrical scaffolds with a diameter of 4.6 mm and a height of 12 mm were sterilized in 95% ethanol for 2 h, then soaked in PBS overnight. After vacuum-dried and incubated at 37°C for 1.5 h, scaffolds conducted deswelling-shrinking behavior. RSC96 cell suspension of 10 μl was added to the scaffolds at a dense of 20 000 cells per scaffold and then absorbed into scaffolds evenly due to the shape memory property of scaffolds. After incubation for half an hour, the scaffolds were transferred to a new 6-well plate, and complete medium was added to the plate for cell growth study under a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Medium was changed every other day.

The RSC96 cells on control scaffolds could be observed directly by a phase contrast microscope (Leica, Germany). After stained with DAPI at 1 : 20 000 dilution in PBS for 10 min, cells could be imaged by an inverted laser scanning confocal microscope (Leica, Germany). The scaffolds were divided into electrical stimulation (ES) group and the control group. Our customized electrical stimulator equipment consisted of the pulse stimulator and electrode attached to 6 well plate lid. ES groups were performed by applying voltage of 3-4 V, time of 30 min per day, frequency of 10 Hz, and continue waves in a Clean Bench [13].

Samples cultured for 7 d were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, embedded in paraffin and then cut into 5 μm thick longitudinal sections. These sections were stained with hematoxylin for 5 min, washed
with distilled water for 1 min, stained with 0.5% eosin for 2 min, washed with distilled water, permeabilized, and mounted. Growth features of cells on scaffolds were observed using an optical microscope [36] (DM3000B; Leica).

2.5. Statistical Analysis. All quantitative data were presented as mean ± standard error and analyzed using SPSS software, version 23.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Multiple group comparisons were conducted by one-way analysis of variance followed by LSD post-hoc test. P < 0.05 was considered as statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. Characterization of Multi-Microchannel MWCNT-Agarose Scaffold

3.1.1. Microstructure Analysis. Figure 2 showed the consistent morphology and porosity of scaffolds with or without MWCNT, presenting a microhoneycomb linear channel and penetrating the whole scaffold. It could be seen that MWCNTs and agarose fused well. Raman spectra were used to further characterize the chemical state of MWCNTs encapsulated in agarose scaffolds. Figure 2(j) showed that 0.1% wt MWCNT-agarose scaffolds showed characteristic peaks different from other scaffolds. The graphitized structure of MWCNTs had a Raman displacement called D-band at about 1350 cm⁻¹ in 0.1% wt MWCNT-agarose scaffold. There was no difference in the porosity among these groups (P < 0.05). According to the size of the pore area, pores were divided into six types: 0–10 000 μm², 10 000–20 000 μm², 20 000–30 000 μm², 30 000–40 000 μm², 40 000–50 000 μm², and 50 000–60 000 μm². The largest number proportion of pores with an area of 0–10 000 μm² was 48.38 ± 15.05%, followed by pores with an area of 10 000–20 000 μm² (28.20 ± 9.11%) and 20 000–30 000 μm² (14.44 ± 10.01%). These numbers of pores were higher than all the others (P < 0.05). There was no difference in the pore composition ratio of different pore size among the four kinds of scaffolds (see Figure 3).

3.1.2. Swelling and Deswelling. The swelling behavior of scaffolds with or without MWCNT showed SR of all the scaffolds increased with time and reached a swelling equilibrium state at the 180 min. No difference was found in the increase rate of SR among groups. The 0.025% MWCNT-agarose scaffolds had higher swelling ratio than others at the equilibrium state. The deswelling behavior of scaffolds showed the water retention of each scaffold decreased linearly with time. The 0.025% MWCNT-agarose scaffolds had higher water retention than the others after the 100 min (see Figure 4).

3.1.3. Conductivity of Scaffolds. The conductivity of control scaffolds, scaffolds with 0.025% MWCNT, scaffold with 0.05% MWCNT, and scaffold with 0.1% MWCNT was measured to be 0.944 ± 0.009, 1.170 ± 0.031, 1.252 ± 0.009, and 1.459 ± 0.003 S/m. Figure 5 showed that the conductivity of scaffolds was improved gradually with increasing of MWCNTs concentration.
Figure 3: Light microscopy micrographs, porosity, and pore size distribution of multi-microchannel scaffolds. (a)-(d) showed images of linear oriented scaffolds without MWCNT (a), 0.025% wt MWCNT(b), 0.05% wt MWCNT (c), and 0.1% wt MWCNT (d). (e) showed there was no difference in porosity between scaffolds without MWCNT and with MWCNT. (f) showed the proportion of pores with different area in the respective scaffolds. *P < 0.05 when compared to other groups.

Figure 4: The swelling and deswelling behavior of multi-microchannel scaffolds with or without MWCNT. (a) showed that all the scaffolds reached a swelling equilibrium state at 180 min and the 0.025% MWCNT-agarose scaffolds had higher swelling ratio than others (*P < 0.05). (b) showed the water retention of each scaffold decreased linearly with time. The 0.025% MWCNT-agarose scaffolds had higher water retention than the others after the 100 min (*P < 0.05).
3.1.4. Shape Memory Characterization of Scaffolds. When the scaffolds reached the balance state of deswelling at room temperature, the cylindrical structure of all the scaffolds collapsed. However, 0.1% MWCNT-agarose scaffold deformed more severely than others. After being soaked in distilled water, all the scaffolds absorbed water quickly and recovered completely to their original shape within 180 s (see Figure 6).

3.2. In Vitro Biocompatibility. The cytotoxicity of scaffolds to RSC96 cells and PC12 cells was evaluated by the CCK8 assay (see Figures 7 and 8). The results showed agarose scaffolds without MWCNT and with 0.025% MWCNT were not cytotoxic to RSC96 cells and PC12 cells on day 1 and day 3. Agarose scaffolds with 0.05% MWCNT and 0.1% MWCNT had cytotoxicity to SCs while only agarose scaffolds with 0.1% MWCNT had cytotoxicity to PC12 cells on day 5.
Figure 7: Proliferation of RSC96 cells. (a) Observation of RSC96 cell proliferation in different culture conditions under a phase contrast microscope. (b) RSC96 cell proliferation measured by CCK8 assay, and the result showed that the scaffolds with 0.05% MWCNT and 0.1% MWCNT demonstrated cytotoxicity on day 3 and day 5 (*P < 0.05). (c) showed the neurofilament images of RSC96 cells cocultured with scaffolds by immunofluorescence. Scale bar = 50 μm. (d) showed the relative expressions of NF through cell immunofluorescence intensity on scaffolds with different concentration of MWCNTs and compared with the control scaffolds after cultured for 3 days. No difference was found between any two scaffolds.
However, no difference of the relative expressions of neurofilament was found between agarose scaffolds with MWCNTs of different concentration and without MWCNTs. Besides, the PC12 cells could be observed that they were attached to all the scaffolds by SEM.

3.3. Three-Dimensional Cultured Model of RSC96 Cells. By observing RSC96 cell growth behavior in answer to electrical stimulation, further evaluation of the scaffolds in neural tissue engineering for their potential application was done. Figure 9 showed the integral design of the electrical stimulation installation, and Figure 10 showed the growth of RSC96 cells on the scaffolds. Accompanying by the formation of cell clumps, cells grew robustly on all the scaffolds without electrical stimulation. However, when electrical stimulation was applied on scaffolds, RSC96 cell layers arranged better along the longitudinal axis of scaffolds and showed better adhesion on both 0.025% MWCNT-agarose scaffolds and 0.05% MWCNT-agarose scaffolds than other scaffolds.

4. Discussion

In this study, we prepared multi-microchannel electroconductive neural scaffolds, built a three-dimensional culture model by implanting cells into scaffolds and observed that RSC96 cell layers arranged better along the longitudinal axis of electroconductive scaffolds under ES, and showed better adhesion on both 0.025% MWCNT-agarose scaffolds and 0.05% MWCNT-agarose scaffolds than other scaffolds. Our electroconductive neural scaffolds mimic the native three-dimensional extracellular matrix to provide guidance channels for nerve cells, and ES applied on conductive scaffolds can simulate physiological neuronal activity to promote the directional growth of nerve cells, which might be highly beneficial for peripheral nerve regeneration.

Although a variety of nerve conduits or scaffolds have been developed in the past decades, it remains challenging to repair long peripheral nerve gaps due to the target muscular atrophy for lack of specific signals and cues to guide the axons to the distal stump. Biomimicking concept is one
option in the development of customized tissue engineering. Wu et al. prepare a 3D hybrid scaffold based on NFYs-NET layers within a hydrogel shell for mimicking the native cardiac tissue structure and demonstrated their great potential for engineering anisotropic 3D cardiac constructs [37]. They developed aligned core-shell composite biomimetic scaffolds based on aligned conductive NFYs core and hydrogel shell to mimic the hierarchically aligned 3D structure of the native nerve tissue [38]. Stokols et al. used agarose scaffolds with uniaxial channels to stimulate and guide linear axonal growth in a spinal cord injury model [22]. We evaluated anatomy-based nerve conduits in vitro and in vivo and found that multichannel nerve conduit had improved the nerve regeneration by reducing the mismatch of nerve axons in our previous research [36]. We observed that multichannel guidance contributed to limiting axonal dispersion without decreasing quantitative results of regeneration. Hollow nerve conduit was not suitable for large peripheral nerve gap for lack of axon growth-promoting substrates. Longitudinally oriented microstructure of the multi-microchannel nerve scaffolds mimics some of the natural topographical features of normal peripheral nerves and provides the framework to guide glial cell migration and axonal growth [39]. On the other hand, the multi-microchannel scaffolds can provide 3D environments which are conducive to cell attachment, migration, proliferation, and differentiation and helpful to generate complicated structure of newly formed tissues [40].

Electrical stimulation is well-known for promoting nerve regeneration and functional recovery [41–43]. While cellular behaviors after ES have been widely reported, the results are not yet determined. Some reports revealed ES could promote correct reinnervation and help axons cross the nerve repair site or nerve guidance channels, but it did not increase the speed of axonal elongation [44–50]. Besides, ES was believed to activate neuronal differentiation [35, 51, 52], and robust neuron regeneration was observed in vivo experiments on conductive materials with ES [53, 54]. Recent studies found enhanced neurite outgrowth following ES [41, 55]. Therefore, we observed that RSC96 cell layers arranged along the longitudinal axis under ES in Figure 10(g). This phenomenon was analogous to bands of Büngner, which was essential for nerve regeneration, indicating that our multichannel nerve scaffolds may have potential application in promoting linear axon growth through long-distance nerve defect to improve functional recovery.

As central part of the neural biomimicking concept, the electrical conduction of nerve scaffolds is a factor that cannot be ignored. Bioelectricity plays an indispensable role in sustaining normal biological functions through tissue engineering [56]. Neurons in the nervous system are electrically excitable cells that transmit signals at a quick pace [57]. Conductive scaffolds are developed to enhance the regeneration in peripheral nerve tissue engineering. Wu et al. found that electroactive biodegradable polyurethane significantly enhanced Schwann cells myelin gene expression and neurotrophin secretion by providing suitable microenvironment [58]. Conductive scaffolds were also found to maintain PC12 cells in more active proliferation and neurite growth as well as upregulate GAP43 and SYP protein and gene expression levels [59, 60]. Carbon nanomaterial is also one of the conductive sources for biomaterials to achieve conductivity. CNTs can endow nanocomposites with excellent...
electrical properties, good cell adhesion, and tissue formation at a low concentration, which is determined by their nanoscale structure. Owing to an extremely high-length diameter ratio, CNTs can easily form a conductive network, which helps to improve the conductivity of nanocomposites [61–63]. In our study, we observed that the conductivity of agarose scaffolds was improved with the increased concentration of MWCNT compared with agarose scaffolds alone.

Poor functional recovery after peripheral nerve injury has been generally attributed to inability of denervated muscles to accept reinnervation and recover from denervation atrophy [64]. Ahadian et al. developed gelatin methacrylate hybrid hydrogels containing CNTs and promoted higher maturation of muscle myofibers [65]. Conductive materials have been demonstrated to promote electrical-responsive cell proliferation and enhance the myotube formation [40]. Therefore, conductive materials have shown a promising prospect in muscle tissue engineering. More studies of CNTs need to be performed to avoid atrophy of target muscle tissue.

It is well-known that agarose has good biocompatibility, and CNTs show potential in biomedicine as their low toxicity [9, 14, 15]. High concentration MWCNTs were supposed to have cytotoxicity caused by reactive oxygen in cells and damage of cell member when contacting to MWCNTs [7, 66–68]. It was reported that GelMA-coated CNTs with a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml had significative cytotoxicity [69]. In this study, we found only agarose scaffolds with 0.1% wt MWCNT have limited effect on the proliferation of

---

**Figure 10**: The growth behaviors of RSC96 cells on scaffolds. (a), (b), and (c) showed growth of RSC96 cells on control scaffolds observed by a phase contrast microscope. (d), (e), and (f) showed growth of RSC96 cells stained with DAPI on control scaffolds observed by an inverted laser scanning confocal microscope. (g) showed the behavior of RSC96 cells stained with HE on different culture conditions. Scale bar = 100 μm.
RSC96 cells and PC12 cells. The cytotoxicity of CNTs coated in agarose might be reduced compared to the cytotoxicity of bare CNTs, which may explain why cells proliferation did not decrease obviously in our research.

Swelling and deswelling behaviors of scaffolds in aqueous are essential in biomedical applications because they control the diffusion and release of drugs and nutrients in tissue application [23]. The high swelling and deswelling rate of MWCNT-agarose scaffolds might be ascribed to the hydrophilicity nature of both agarose and water dispersed MWCNTs or/and changes of agarose scaffolds in spatial structure caused by MWCNTs [10, 22, 70]. As the concentration of MWCNT increases, the swelling and deswelling rate of scaffolds reduce may be attributed to the decrease of free space among agarose chains. The 0.025% MWCNT-agarose scaffolds showed higher water retention than the others, demonstrating 0.025% wt MWCNTs were near to the optimum concentration between MWCNTs and agarose. Furthermore, the water-dispersed MWCNTs showed no impact on the expressions of neurofilament, which are neuron structural element [71, 72]. This supports their application in peripheral nerve.

Scaffolds with function of shape memory are convenient for transportation, preservation, and minimally invasive approach [73]. They can regain their initial shape by recruiting extracellular fluid when agarose chains maintain their mobility and recover to their primitive position [31].

The construction of scaffolds is easy to implement, without the employment of chemical crosslinking and organic solvents; therefore, the risk of introducing toxic molecules into the scaffolds is low. The linear pores produced by gradient freeze and lyophilization constitute multi-microchannels which extend through the full length of scaffolds. However, MWCNTs dispersed in the agarose well did not alter the porosity and pore area distribution of agarose scaffold as shown in Figure 3. We evaluated pore size by pore area instead of pore diameter, which displayed the size of pores better than the previous literatures because the pores were not regular. The pore areas of the hydrated scaffolds were distributed around 10 000 μm², which were consistent with previous reports [22] and beneficial for cell attachment [23, 74].

In our study, scaffolds with lower MWCNT concentration had no cytotoxicity effect on cell proliferation compared to any other group on day 5 without electrical stimulation. CNTs show potential in biomedicine as their low toxicity [9]. The cytotoxicity of high concentration MWCNTs is supposed to be caused by reactive oxygen in cells and damage of cell member when contacting to MWCNTs [7, 66–68]. However, no significative cytotoxicity of GelMA-coated CNTs was found up to the CNT concentration of 0.5 mg/ml [69]. The cytotoxicity of CNTs coated in agarose might be reduced compared to the cytotoxicity of bare CNTs, which may explain why cell proliferation did not decrease obviously in our research.

5. Conclusion

We successfully utilized water-dispersed MWCNT and agarose to fabricate multi-microchannel electroconductive neural scaffolds by gradient freeze and lyophilization method in this study. The MWCNT-agarose scaffolds mimic the function and the three-dimensional structure of the peripheral nerve, thereby guiding linear growth of RSC96 cells under electrical stimulations. This study may provide an applicable composite material to fabricate electroconductive nerve guiding scaffolds, which can be used in the repair of the peripheral nerve defects.
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