Convection in axially symmetric accretion discs with microscopic transport coefficients
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ABSTRACT
The vertical structure of stationary thin accretion discs is calculated from the energy balance equation with heat generation due to microscopic ion viscosity \( \eta \) and electron heat conductivity \( \kappa \), both depending on temperature. In the optically thin discs it is found that for the heat conductivity increasing with temperature, the vertical temperature gradient exceeds the adiabatic value at some height, suggesting convective instability in the upper disc layer. There is a critical Prandtl number, \( \text{Pr} = 4/9 \), above which a Keplerian disc become fully convective. The vertical density distribution of optically thin laminar accretion discs as found from the hydrostatic equilibrium equation cannot be generally described by a polytrope but in the case of constant viscosity and heat conductivity. In the optically thick discs with radiation heat transfer, the vertical disc structure is found to be convectively stable for both absorption dominated and scattering dominated opacities, unless a very steep dependence of the viscosity coefficient on temperature is assumed. A polytropic-like structure in this case is found for Thomson scattering dominated opacity.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The origin of angular momentum transfer in accretion discs is the key issue in accretion disc theory. The standard accretion disc theory (Shakura 1973; Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Pringle 1981) assumes that turbulent viscosity, which can be parametrized by the dimensionless parameter \( \alpha \), can be responsible for the observed high mass accretion rate in compact X-ray sources, protoplanetary discs and in other astrophysical objects. From purely hydrodynamic point of view, Keplerian flows are stable against small perturbations according to the classical Rayleigh criterion, and various mechanisms giving rise to turbulence in Keplerian accretion discs have been discussed. For example, magnetorotational instability (Balbus & Hawley 1991, 1998) is thought to be responsible for turbulence in various astrophysical discs. Recently, in an attempt to search for purely hydrodynamic mechanisms of turbulence in shear flows, we have revisited the problem of turbulence appearance in thin Keplerian discs from small perturbations in non-ideal fluids with microscopic transport coefficients (viscosity and heat conductivity; Shakura & Postnov 2015a,b; Malanchev et al. 2016). By modal analysis, we have found that both in the simplest Boussinesq and anelastic approximations of hydrodynamic equations, unstable axially symmetric modes can appear in the shear accretion flows, which may serve as seeds for turbulence even in the absence of dynamically significant magnetic fields. In addition to traditional modal analysis of small perturbations, non-modal analysis of transient perturbations can be a powerful tool for searching for possible mechanisms of hydrodynamic turbulence in accretion flows (e.g. Razdoburin & Zhuravlev 2015).

In the modal analysis of perturbations in thin accretion discs, equations for small variations of dynamical variables (density, velocity, pressure) are formulated as a boundary value problem against a given background, which should be solution of unperturbed hydrodynamic equations. In Shakura & Postnov (2015b) this problem was solved using a priori postulated polytropic vertical structure of the disc. Earlier it was conjectured (e.g. Ketsaris & Shakura 1998) that the vertical structure of stationary accretion \( \alpha \)-discs can admit an effective polytropic description. However, it is far from being obvious that this is the case if the microscopic transport coefficients (instead of the effective turbulent viscosity prescription) are used in hydrodynamic equations.

The purpose of this paper is to find solution of vertical structure of stationary shear accretion flows with microscopic transport coefficients — dynamic ion viscosity \( \eta \) and heat conductivity \( \kappa \), which can be characterized by a dimensionless Prandtl number \( \text{Pr} \). The ion viscosity in hot accretion disc was considered already by Paczynski (1978) and was shown to be able to provide, in some cases, sufficiently high mass accretion rate through the disc. However, Paczynski (1978) did not calculate the vertical disc structure.

In Section 2, we find such a solution for optically thin lami-
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near discs and show that with standard microscopic transport coefficients, for a given Prandtl number a convectively unstable layer appears near the upper boundary of the disc, which can encompass the total disc height if the Prandtl number exceeds some critical value (4/9 for a Keplerian disc). The possibility of thermal convection in accretion discs was found earlier in shear-box calculations and discussed in the context of outward angular momentum transfer by Lesur & Ogilvie (2010).

In Section 3, we consider the vertical disc structure with ion viscosity and radiative energy transfer, pertinent to optically thick accretion discs. Here, for completeness, we also calculate the vertical structure of the standard turbulent accretion discs. The knowledge of the vertical structure of such discs, in turn, is needed to calculate their radial structure, which is usually done by averaging accretion disc equations over the disc thickness. The optically thick discs with heat generation due to microscopic ion viscosity and radiation heat transfer are found to be convectively stable for both absorption dominated (Kramer’s opacity) and scattering dominated (Thomson opacity) cases.

2 OPTICALLY THIN DISCS WITH ELECTRON HEAT CONDUCTIVITY

We will consider axially symmetric non-magnetized accretion discs with microscopic transport coefficients — dynamic viscosity η and heat conductivity κ, which are functions of temperature T only. In this section, the disc is assumed to be optically thin in the vertical direction. As in the standard accretion disc theory, the radial velocity ur, is assumed to be much smaller than the azimuth velocity uθ(r), which is a function of radius r only. We assume hydrostatic equilibrium (uθ = 0) and geometrically thin discs, so the dynamical equations are reduced to one equation for pressure P:

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = -\rho g_z = -\rho \Omega^2 z. \quad (1)$$

Here Ω(r) is the angular velocity of the flow, which is determined by the gravitational potential.

The equation of state for a perfect gas is convenient to write in the form:

$$P = K e^{\Theta r} r^\mu, \quad (2)$$

where K is a constant, s is the specific entropy per particle, $c_V = c_P / \gamma = 1 / (\gamma - 1)$ is the specific volume heat capacity, cP is the specific heat capacity at constant pressure and $\gamma = c_P / c_V$ is the adiabatic index (5/3 for the perfect monoatomic gas). We will also use the equation of state in the form

$$P = \frac{\rho R T}{\mu}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mu$ is the molecular weight and $R$ is the universal gas constant.

The energy equation can be written

$$\frac{\rho R T}{\mu} \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) s \right) = \frac{dE_{\text{visc}}}{dt} dV - \nabla \cdot Q, \quad (4)$$

where $dE_{\text{visc}}/dt dV$ is the viscous dissipation rate per unit volume, $R$ is the universal gas constant, $\mu$ is the molecular weight, T is the temperature, and terms on the right stand for the viscous energy production and the heat conductivity energy flux Q, respectively. The energy flux due to the heat conductivity is

$$\nabla \cdot Q = \nabla (-\kappa \nabla T). \quad (5)$$

Note that both electrons and photons, and at low temperatures neutral atoms, can contribute to the heat conductivity. The viscous heat generation in the unperturbed axially symmetric shear flow with angular velocity $\Omega(r)$ is

$$\frac{dE_{\text{visc}}}{dt} = \eta \left( \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right)^2. \quad (6)$$

We are searching for vertical structure of the disc, so only $T(z)$ dependence is important. Noticing that in the axially symmetric case with small radial velocity $(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \cdot z = 0$, the energy equation can be cast to the form:

$$P \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \kappa(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) + \eta(T) \frac{d^2 \Omega}{dr^2}. \quad (7)$$

2.1 Temperature distribution from the energy equation

In the steady-state case, the energy equation (7) enables us to calculate the vertical temperature distribution $T(z)$ in a flow with given $\Omega(r)$ and microscopic transport coefficients $\kappa(T)$ and $\eta(T)$.

It is convenient to introduce the dimensionless temperature $\theta$ and vertical coordinate x:

$$\theta = \frac{T}{T_c}, \quad (8)$$

$$x = \frac{z}{z_0}, \quad (9)$$

where $T_c$ is the temperature in the disc symmetry plane and $z_0$ is its semithickness.

The central temperature $T_c$ can be expressed in terms of the adiabatic sound velocity $v_s$ in the disc symmetry plane:

$$\frac{\kappa(v_s^2)}{\mu} \theta = \frac{v_s^2}{\gamma} \left( \frac{v_s}{v_c} \right)^2 \Omega^2 R^2, \quad (10)$$

where $v_s / v_c$ is the parameter of the model. It is also convenient to introduce the dimensionless parameter $\xi$ characterizing the relative thickness of the flow:

$$\xi \equiv \left( \frac{v_s}{v_c} \right)^{-1} \frac{z_0}{r}. \quad (11)$$

The dynamical viscosity $\eta$ and heat conductivity $\kappa$ are assumed to be power-law functions of temperature:

$$\kappa(\theta) = \kappa_0 \theta^b, \quad (12)$$

$$\eta(\theta) = \eta_0 \theta^b. \quad (13)$$

where values in the disc symmetry plane are related by the Prandtl number:

$$Pr = \frac{\eta_0 \kappa R c_P}{\kappa_0 \mu}. \quad (14)$$

Below we shall consider only the physically relevant case of non-negative $a > 0$, $b > 0$.

With these notations, equation (7) can be written in the dimensionless form:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\kappa(v_s^2)}{\mu} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \rho \frac{Pr \xi^2}{c_P} \left( \frac{d \log \Omega}{d \log r} \right)^2. \quad (15)$$

Let us introduce the dimensionless coefficient $B$:

$$B = \frac{Pr \xi^2}{c_P} \left( \frac{d \log \Omega}{d \log r} \right)^2. \quad (16)$$
For stationary flows \( \partial \theta / \partial t = 0 \), and then the stationary dimensionless temperature vertical distribution \( \theta(x) \) is a solution of the non-linear differential equation:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} + a \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2 + B \theta^{\alpha} = 0 . \tag{17}
\]

By vertical symmetry of the disc relative to the disc plane \( (x = z = 0) \) we have

\[
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} = 0 . \tag{18}
\]

The second boundary condition comes from the definition of the dimensionless temperature:

\[
\theta(x=0) = 1 . \tag{19}
\]

The differential equation (17) can be simplified by introducing new variable \( u(\theta) = (\partial \theta / \partial x)^2 \):

\[
\frac{d u(\theta)}{d \theta} + 2u(\theta) \frac{\partial u(\theta)}{\partial \theta} + 2B \theta^{\alpha} = 0 , \tag{20}
\]

This is an ordinary differential equation and its solution is

\[
u(\theta) = \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2 = \frac{2B}{a + b + 1} \left( \frac{1 - \theta^{\alpha+1}}{\theta^a} \right) . \tag{21}
\]

Taking square root of the last expression yields another ordinary differential equation that becomes linear if \( x \) is a function of \( \theta^a \):

\[
\frac{\partial x(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\sqrt{\frac{2 + a + b + 1}{2B} \frac{\theta^a}{1 - \theta^{\alpha+1}}} \tag{22}
\]

\[
\left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right|_{\theta=0} = 0 . \tag{23}
\]

where the minus sign in the right-hand side of the first relation shows that temperature decreases with height.

The solution of the differential equation (22) with boundary condition (23) is

\[
x(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{a + b + 1}{2B} \frac{1}{a + 1}} \times \left[ F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1; \frac{a + 1}{a + b + 1}; \frac{2a + b + 1}{a + b + 1}; 1 \right) - \theta^{\alpha+1} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1; \frac{a + 1}{a + b + 1}; \frac{2a + b + 1}{a + b + 1}; \theta^{\alpha+1} \right) \right] , \tag{24}
\]

where \( F_1 \) is the Gaussian hypergeometric function.

### 2.2 Convection instability of the background solution

Equation (22) implies that for \( a > 0 \) (heat conductivity increasing with temperature), the vertical temperature gradient \( \partial \theta / \partial x \) goes to negative infinity when \( \theta \) goes to zero. This suggests that if the surface temperature of the flow is small enough, its upper layer of the flow is convective. To see this, apply the local Schwarzschild criterion for convection:

\[
\left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right|_{\text{ad}} > \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right|_{\text{ad}} , \tag{25}
\]

where the right-hand side is the adiabatic temperature gradient:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)_{\text{ad}} = \frac{\delta T}{\delta x}_{\text{ad}} = \frac{\delta P}{\delta T}_{\text{ad}} = \frac{\delta \log P}{\delta \log T}_{\text{ad}} , \tag{26}
\]

and \( (\delta \log T / \delta \log P)_{\text{ad}} = 1/c_p \) is the adiabatic logarithmic temperature gradient for perfect gas.

### 2.3 The critical Prandtl number

Plugging equations (10), (1) and (3) into (26) yields:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)_{\text{ad}} = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{c_v} x . \tag{27}
\]

With the above relation, the criterion (25) for the temperature gradient can be used to find the boundary \( x \), between laminar and convective layers:

\[
-\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \left|_{x=x_c} \right. = \frac{\epsilon^2}{c_v} x_c . \tag{28}
\]

The boundary between the layers \( x \), and corresponding temperature \( \theta_c \), can be found numerically.

### 2.4 Structure of the convective layer

We will not solve the energy equation in the convective layer and simply will assume that the temperature gradient in this layer matches the adiabatic gradient (26) (see Section 4 for the discussion):

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right) = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{c_v} x \theta(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x > x_c . \tag{33}
\]
The solution (24) itself takes the form

$$x(\theta) = 1 - \theta^{a+1} \frac{F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{a+1}{a+b+1}, \frac{a+2}{a+b+1}; \theta^{b+1} \right)}{F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{a+1}{a+b+1}, \frac{a+2}{a+b+1}; 1 \right)} .$$  

Note that in the particular case where $a = b = 0$ and Pr $< Pr_{\text{crit}}$, the criterion for convection to arise (25) does not meet for any $1 > x > 0$. In this case equations (37) and (38) transform to

$$\xi = \sqrt{\frac{2}{Pr}} \theta \frac{\text{d} \ln \theta}{\text{d} x}^{\gamma},$$

$$x(\theta) = \theta - \theta^{2} (x^2 - x^2),$$

where $x_c$ and $\theta_c$ can be found numerically from (28).

### 2.5 Thickness of the accretion flow

To find the upper boundary of the disc, we assume that the temperature vanishes at the disc surface:

$$x_{\text{top},0} = \frac{z_{\text{top},0}}{\rho_{\text{top},0}} = 1.$$  

This condition enables us to find the dimensionless disc thickness $\xi$. We consider two models of the disc structure: laminar flow and flow with laminar and convective layers.

#### 2.5.1 Laminar flow

In this section we will assume that even if the temperature gradient in the layer exceeds the adiabatic one, the temperature distribution follows the background solution (24). Then from the boundary condition (36) and expression (24) we find

$$\xi = \sqrt{\frac{2 \text{c}_V}{\text{c}_V}} \theta_0 \text{F}_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{a+1}{a+b+1}, \frac{2a+b+2}{a+b+1}; 1 \right).$$  

The solution (24) itself takes the form

$$x(\theta) = 1 - \theta^{a+1} \frac{F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{a+1}{a+b+1}, \frac{a+2}{a+b+1}; \theta^{b+1} \right)}{F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{a+1}{a+b+1}, \frac{a+2}{a+b+1}; 1 \right)} .$$  

Note that in the particular case where $a = b = 0$ and Pr $< Pr_{\text{crit}}$, the criterion for convection to arise (25) does not meet for any $1 > x > 0$. In this case equations (37) and (38) transform to

$$\xi = \sqrt{\frac{2}{Pr}} \theta \frac{\text{d} \ln \theta}{\text{d} x}^{\gamma},$$

$$x(\theta) = \theta - \theta^{2} (x^2 - x^2),$$

where $x_c$ and $\theta_c$ can be found numerically from (28).

### 2.6 Density distribution from the hydrostatic equilibrium equation

The solution $\rho(z)$ obtained above allows us to calculate the vertical density distribution $\rho(z)$ from the hydrostatic equilibrium equation (1).

Let us introduce the dimensionless density $\Lambda$:

$$\Lambda \equiv \frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text{c}}}. $$  

Using the equation of state (3) and equations (8), (9), (10), and (11), the hydrostatic equilibrium equation (1) can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial x} = - \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = - \Lambda \epsilon^2 \gamma x. $$  

Dividing this equation through by $\partial \theta/\partial x \partial \Lambda$, we obtain a linear differential equation for the function $\ln \Lambda(\theta)$:

$$\frac{\partial \ln \Lambda}{\partial \theta} = - \frac{1}{\theta - \epsilon^2} \gamma x(\theta) \partial x(\theta),$$

For $a > 0$ the solution of this equation can be straightforwardly found analytically for the laminar layer (24) through generalized hypergeometric functions $F_1$ and gammas-function. For the convective layer using equations (33), (34) and (42) solution of (45) can be obtained:

$$\Lambda = \theta^a \quad \text{for} \quad \theta < \theta_c,$$

which is manifestly polytropic.

The vertical density distribution in the laminar layer generally is not a polytrope but in one special case with constant transport coefficients $a = b = 0$ and Pr $< Pr_{\text{crit}}$ when no convective layer appears and the optically thin disc is fully laminar (see Section 2.5.1 above). In this case equation (45) takes the form:

$$\frac{\partial \ln \Lambda}{\partial \theta} = - \frac{1}{\theta} \left[ \frac{\epsilon^2 \gamma}{2} - 1 \right].$$
The solution of this equation is
\[ \lambda = \theta c_2 r^{2/3} \equiv (1 - x^3) \frac{c_2}{r^{2/3}}. \] (48)
Thus, a laminar disc with constant heat conductivity and dynamical viscosity \( a = b = 0 \) has a polytropic vertical structure with the polytropy index \( n \):
\[ n = \frac{c_2^2 \gamma}{2} - 1. \] (49)
Plugging (39) into (49) with account for (31) leads to the convection stability condition \( n > c_v \), which is, of course, well known for polytropes and can easily be derived from (2).

### 3 RADIATIVE HEAT CONDUCTIVITY

In this section we turn to the case of the radiative heat conductivity in an optically thick shear flow, which is relevant to standard accretion discs.

#### 3.1 Vertical structure equations

The radiative energy transfer equation in the diffusion approximation reads:
\[ \frac{\partial \rho Q}{\partial z} = -3 \kappa(\rho, T) \rho Q, \] (50)
where \( \kappa \) is the radiation constant, \( c \) is the speed of light, \( Q \) is the radiation flux, and \( \kappa(\rho, T) \) is the Rosseland opacity. We will assume the opacity coefficient in the power-law form of density and temperature:
\[ \kappa(\rho, T) = \kappa_0 \rho^\psi \equiv \kappa_0 \frac{\rho^\psi}{\rho c_1}, \] (51)
where \( \rho \equiv \rho/\rho_c \) is the dimensionless pressure. For example, for Kramer’s free-free opacity \( \zeta = 1 \) and \( \psi = 7/2 \), for free-free opacity with solar abundance in the temperature range 10^6 – 10^8 K and densities 10^9 – 10^30 g cm^{-3} \( \zeta \approx 1 \) and \( \psi \approx 2.5 \) (Bell & Lin 1994), and for Thomson scattering \( \zeta = \psi = 0 \).

To be able to compare our equations and results with the standard \( \alpha \)-disc model, in this section we will parameterize the viscosity coefficient in the form different from (13):
\[ \eta = \eta_0 \theta^\rho \rho^\psi. \] (52)
For dynamic viscosity considered up to now \( d = 0 \), and for turbulentized \( \alpha \)-disc \( \eta_0 = \alpha \rho_c/(r - \rho \Omega d \Omega/dt), b = 0 \) and \( d = 1 \).

Introduce the dimensionless mass coordinate \( \sigma \):
\[ \sigma(z) \equiv \int_0^z \rho \, dz / \Sigma, \] (53)
where \( \Sigma \equiv \int_0^z \rho \, dz \) is half the surface density of the flow.

The full system of differential equations for the disc vertical structure can be written as a function of the mass coordinate \( \sigma \) in the form similar to that used in Ketsaris & Shakura (1998):
\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial \sigma} = -\Pi_1 \Pi_2 x, \quad \Pi_1 = \frac{\Omega^2 x_c^3 \mu}{RT_c} \leq \frac{c_2^2}{\gamma}, \] \[ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \sigma} = \Pi_1 \frac{\theta}{\rho}, \quad \Pi_2 = \frac{\Sigma}{\xi_0 \rho_c}, \] \[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial \sigma} = \Pi_1 \theta^{d+1} \rho^{d-1}, \quad \Pi_1 = \frac{\eta_0 \Sigma}{Q_0 \rho_c} \left( \frac{d \Omega}{d \sigma} \right)^2, \] (54)
\[ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \sigma} = -\Pi_4 \frac{\rho^\psi}{\rho c_1}, \quad \Pi_4 = \frac{3}{16} \frac{\rho_c A}{T_d^3}; \]
where \( Q_0 \equiv a c T_d^4/4 \) is the radiative flux at the surface of the flow, \( T_d \) is the effective temperature (assumed to be equal to the surface temperature), \( q \equiv Q/Q_0 \) is dimensionless energy flux, and \( \Pi_{1,4} \) are dimensionless constants. The first equation in (54) is the hydrostatic equation (1), the second equation in (54) follows from the definition of the mass coordinate (53), the third equation in (54) is the viscous energy generation equation (6), and the fourth equation in (54) is the radiation energy diffusion equation (50). In total, four unknown functions \( (\rho, x, q, \theta) \) and four dimensionless constants \( \Pi_{1,4} \) are to be determined from the system (54) subjected to eight boundary conditions.

#### 3.2 Boundary conditions

Six boundary conditions immediately follow from the definitions of the dimensionless unknown functions. Four boundary conditions in the disc symmetry plane (\( \sigma = 0 \)) reads:
\[ \rho_{\sigma=0} = 1, \quad x_{\sigma=0} = 0, \quad q_{\sigma=0} = 0, \quad \theta_{\sigma=0} = 1. \] (55)
Another two boundary conditions are found at the surface of the accretion flow:
\[ x_{\sigma=1} = 1, \quad q_{\sigma=1} = 1. \] (56)

The remaining two boundary conditions for surface values of the dimensionless pressure \( \rho \) and temperature \( \theta \) are determined by the location of photosphere which depends on the opacity law. In this work we examine absorption dominated and scattering dominated cases.

#### 3.2.1 Absorption-dominated atmosphere

In the upper layer of the flow the energy release is small, therefore to locate the photosphere we can use the Eddington approximation:
\[ \frac{T}{T_d} = \left( \frac{1 + \frac{\tau}{2}}{2} \right)^{1/4}, \] (57)
where \( \tau \) is the optical depth counted from the observer to the photosphere.

Setting the photosphere boundary \( \sigma = 1 \) at the point where \( \tau = 2/3 \) and \( T = T_d \), the boundary condition for the dimensionless temperature \( \theta \) is
\[ \theta_{\sigma=1} = \left( \frac{16 \Pi_1}{3 \Sigma} \right)^{1/4}, \] (58)
where \( \Sigma \equiv \kappa_0 \Sigma \) is the dimensionless parameter of the model characterizing the total optical depth of the disc.

Dividing the hydrostatic equilibrium equation (equation (1) or
the first equation in (54)) through the opacity coefficient and using the relation $d\tau = -\kappa \rho d\zeta$, we obtain:

$$
\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial P^{n+1}}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{\kappa_0 \rho} \frac{\Omega^2}{\Pi_1^2} \frac{T^{\theta+1}}{\xi_{\text{Sc}}}. 
$$

Near the photosphere the coordinate $z(\tau) \approx \kappa_0$ is almost constant. Integrating the last equation from $\tau = 0$ to $\tau = 2/3$ yields the boundary condition for dimensionless pressure:

$$
p_{\theta=1} = \left\{ \frac{3(\zeta + 1)}{16 \Pi_1 \Pi_2} \left[ \frac{16 \Pi_1}{3 \tau_0} \right]^{(\theta+4)/(3\theta+4)} \right\}^{1/(\theta+1)},
$$

$$
f(\tau) = \frac{\Omega^2}{\Pi_1^2} \frac{T^{\theta+1}}{\xi_{\text{Sc}}} \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{3/4} \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{\theta/4}. 
$$

### 3.2.2 Scattering dominated atmosphere

If the opacity is dominated by Thomson scattering, the thermalization of photons occurs at the effective optical depth $\bar{\tau}$, which is defined as

$$
d\bar{\tau} = -\sqrt{x_0 \xi_{\text{Sc}} \rho} d\zeta, 
$$

where $x_0 \ll x_T$ is the true absorption coefficient, $x_T = x = x_0$ is the Thompson scattering opacity. Thermalization of radiation takes place at the effective optical depth about one, and therefore

$$
T_{\theta=1} = T_{\bar{\tau}}. 
$$

The actual optical depth $\tau$ is determined by scattering: $d\tau = -\kappa \rho d\zeta$. In the scattering dominated case, at the photosphere $\tau_{\theta=1} \gg 1$. Therefore, the boundary condition at the photosphere for the dimensionless temperature $\theta$ is

$$
\theta_{\theta=1} = \left[ \frac{8}{3} \frac{\Pi_1}{\Sigma} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \right]^{1/4} \left( \frac{4 \Pi_1 \tau_{\theta=1}}{\Sigma} \right)^{1/4}. 
$$

Correspondingly, the boundary condition at the photosphere for the dimensionless pressure is

$$
p_{\theta=1} = \frac{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \tau_{\theta=1}}{\Sigma}. 
$$

Finally, we find it convenient to introduce the new free parameter $\delta$ as the ratio of half the total optical depth to the optical depth at the thermalization depth:

$$
\delta = \frac{\Pi_1}{\tau_{\theta=1}}. 
$$

This parameter will be used below to characterize the flow in the scattering dominated case.

### 3.3 Solution of vertical structure equations (54)

The system of differential equations (54) with boundary conditions described in Section 3.2 was solved numerically. The corresponding gnuplot-code with use of cvrscv module (Jones et al. 01; Zhu et al. 1997; Dierckx 1995) can be freely downloaded from the authors’ home page.1

We use the logarithmic form of the convection stability condition (25) for the perfect monatomic gas:

$$
\frac{d \log T}{d \log P} \geq \frac{d \log T}{d \log P}_{\text{adi}} = \frac{1}{c_p} + \frac{2}{5}. 
$$

We found that $d \log T/d \log P$ reaches maximum in the disc symmetry plane $x = \sigma = 0$. This value can be found from (54):

$$
\frac{d \log T}{d \log P} = \frac{\Pi_1 \Pi_2}{\Pi_1 \Pi_2}. 
$$

To compare our results with Ketsaris & Shakura (1998), we computed the vertical structure of an $\alpha$-disc ($b = 0, d = 1$ in the dynamical viscosity prescription (52)). We found that both absorption dominated and scattering dominated $\alpha$-discs are convectively stable for any values of free parameters $\tau_0$ and $\delta$. Figure 2 shows the vertical distribution of dimensionless functions $\psi, \sigma_\psi, \sigma_\theta$ for $\alpha$-disc with Kramer’s and Thomson opacities for two values of $\tau_0$ and $\delta$.

Next we examine an optically thick Keplerian disc with ion viscosity $b = 5/2, d = 0$ (see Fig. 3). In the Thomson scattering dominated case (right-hand panels of Fig. 3) the disc has a nearly polytropic structure with polytrope index $n = 5/2$. The disc is found to be convectively stable. Clearly, for sufficiently strong dependence of the viscosity (heat generation) on temperature characterized by high power index $b > b_{\text{crit}}$ in (52), the flow should become convectively unstable. We find that for $d = 0$ and Thomson scattering, the critical value $b_{\text{crit}} \approx 7.97$, for Kramer’s opacity $b_{\text{crit}} \approx 18.54$.

Note that the opacity coefficient in general form (51) has been used in many studies of convection disc stability. The most known is the instability condition $2\psi < 3(\nu - 1)$ (see Tayler (1980); Lin & Papaloizou (1980)), which is met if hydrogen is partially ionized (Bell & Lin 1994). For direct dependence of the opacity on temperature (i.e. negative $\beta$) the thermal disc instability takes place (Hoshi 1979; Meyer & Meyer-Hofmeister 1981; Smak 1982). However, in this paper we restrict ourselves to considering only cases with ion viscosity and absorption or scattering dominated opacities discussed above.

## 4 DISCUSSION

When calculating the vertical structure of laminar shear flows with viscous heating and heat thermal conductivity in Section 2.1, a superadiabatic temperature gradient was found to occur at some height above the disc plane. We have used the local Schwarzschild criterion for convection (25) to occur. However, more general criterion for convection requires the dimensionless Rayleigh number $Ra$ to be large. The Rayleigh number compares the effect of buoyancy forces and dissipation processes and can be determined as

$$
Ra = \frac{g \kappa^{-1} \mid \frac{d T}{d \zeta} \mid_{\text{crit}}^2}{v \kappa T}. 
$$

For the typical values of the problem $\xi > 1$ and $Pr \gtrsim 10^{-2}$. Ra turns out to be very large for typical high Reynolds numbers for laminar gaseous flows. Therefore, the appearance of convection due to ion viscous heating is possible.

In the upper convective layer of the flow discussed in Section 2, we have assumed adiabatic convection (33). In fact, the adiabaticity may not hold near the surface because of low density,
i.e. the temperature gradient can be higher than the adiabatic one, which would decrease the size of the convective zone and the disc thickness $z_0$. However, the convective layer can hardly disappear since the temperature in the transition point is found to be rather high, $\sim 0.4$ of the central temperature (see Fig. 1).

In the second part of the paper, we have considered vertical structure of optically thick Keplerian discs with ion viscosity heating and radiation energy transfer. It is easy to see that in this case the radiation energy flux is higher than the electron heat conductivity flux. Indeed, the energy flux due to electron heat conductivity is $Q_e = -\kappa dT/dz$ and the radiative flux is $Q_r = -c/(3\kappa) d(aT^4)/dz$, and their ratio is

$$\frac{Q_r}{Q_e} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{a R^3}{\kappa R} \approx 3 \times 10^4 \left( \frac{\kappa}{\kappa R} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{T}{1 \text{ eV}} \right)^{1/2},$$

(70)

where we have used the heat conductivity coefficient for fully ionized gas from Spitzer (1962). Clearly, in optically thick fully ionized discs this ratio is much larger than one, and electron heat conductivity can be neglected.

5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have calculated the vertical structure of steady-state thin Keplerian accretion discs. The microscopic ion viscosity is assumed to be the only source of heat generation. We considered two cases of vertical energy transfer — due to electron heat conductivity in the optically thin discs and due to radiation conductivity in the optically thick discs.

In the optically thin case, if the microscopic transport coefficients are functions of temperature only, the vertical temperature distribution can be calculated from the energy balance equation. Assuming power-law dependence of these coefficients on temperature (12), (13), we solved the energy balance equation (17) to obtain the vertical temperature gradient (21). If the surface temperature is small enough, the temperature gradient (21) exceeds the adiabatic value at some point, suggesting the appearance of an upper convective layer. If the Prandtl number exceeds some critical value, $Pr > Pr_{\text{crit}}$, the entire disc become convectively unstable. For Keplerian discs $Pr_{\text{crit}} = 4/9$. Solution (24) also enabled us to calculate the vertical density distribution from the hydrostatic equation, which turned out to be non-polytropic in general case. However, in the special case of constant transport coefficients in a fully laminar disc (at $Pr < Pr_{\text{crit}}$) the vertical density distribution is polytropic.

For optically thick stationary Keplerian thin discs with radiative energy transfer, the vertical structure is calculated from system of equations (54) supplemented with eight boundary conditions (see Section 3.2). Two boundary conditions for temperature are set at the photosphere, location of which is found for two opacity laws — absorption dominated atmosphere (Section 3.2.1) and Thomson scattering dominated atmosphere (Section 3.2.2). For completeness, we calculate the vertical structure of standard $\alpha$-discs.
which was earlier considered by Ketsaris & Shakura (1998). These discs are found to be convectively stable (see Fig. 2). Their vertical structure generally cannot be described by a polytrope. Optically thick Keplerian discs with ion viscosity and electron heat conductivity are found to be convectively stable for both opacity laws. A polytropic-like structure with polytrope index $n \approx 5/2$ is recovered for discs with Thomson scattering dominated atmospheres (see Fig. 3, right-hand panels). The four dimensionless parameters $\Pi_{1,4}$ of the vertical disc structure determined from the solution of equations (54) are needed to calculate the radial disc structure (Suleimanov et al. 2007).

The appearance of convection in laminar Keplerian discs can cause turbulence (see, e.g., Lesur & Ogilvie (2010)), which is required for efficient angular momentum transfer. In the convectively stable cases, the vertical structure of laminar flows calculated in this paper can be used as a background solution for further analysis of evolution of small hydrodynamic perturbations, which will be considered elsewhere.
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