Evaluating geometric queries using few arithmetic operations
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Abstract

Let \( P := (P_1, ..., P_s) \) be a given family of \( n \)-variate polynomials with integer coefficients and suppose that the degrees and logarithmic heights of these polynomials are bounded by \( d \) and \( h \), respectively. Suppose furthermore that for each \( 1 \leq i \leq s \) the polynomial \( P_i \) can be evaluated using \( L \) arithmetic operations (additions, subtractions, multiplications and the constants 0 and 1). Assume that the family \( \mathcal{P} \) is in a suitable sense generic. We construct a database \( \mathcal{D} \), supported by an algebraic computation tree, such that for each \( x \in [0, 1]^n \) the query for the signs of \( P_1(x), ..., P_s(x) \) can be answered using \( hdO(n^2) \) comparisons and \( nL \) arithmetic operations between real numbers. The arithmetic-geometric tools developed for the construction of \( \mathcal{D} \) are then employed to exhibit example classes of systems of \( n \) polynomial equations in \( n \) unknowns whose consistency may be checked using only few arithmetic operations, admitting however an exponential number of comparisons.
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1 Introduction

By \( \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q} \) and \( \mathbb{R} \) we denote the natural, the integer, the rational and the real numbers. Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) be indeterminates over \( \mathbb{R} \) and let \( X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \). Furthermore let \( \mathcal{P} := (P_1, \ldots, P_s) \) be a family of polynomials of \( \mathbb{Z}[X] \). A sign condition \( \sigma \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^s \), with \( \sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s) \), determines a polynomial inequality system of the form

\[
\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq s} \text{sign}(P_i) = \sigma_i. \tag{1.1}
\]

We call \( \sigma \) consistent if there exists a point \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) satisfying Condition (1.1).

The consistent sign conditions on the family \( \mathcal{P} = (P_1, \ldots, P_s) \) define a semi-algebraic partition \( \mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P}) \) of \( \mathbb{R}^n \), called an arrangement. This arrangement induces a query \( Q_{\mathcal{A}} \) which determines, for each \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) the (unique) element \( A \in \mathcal{A} \) with \( x \in A \). This query is called the sign condition query for \( P_1, \ldots, P_s \).

In 1984, Meyer auf der Heide [13] presented a fast solution to the sign condition query for a family of linear polynomials with integer coefficients (see also Theorem 3.27 in [4]). His strategy was to find, given a family of affine linear polynomials in \( \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \) of logarithmic height bounded by \( h \in \mathbb{N} \), a real number \( \delta > 0 \) depending only on \( n \) and \( h \), called the coarseness of the given family, such that any hypercube in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) of side-length \( \delta \) has the following property: all the affine hyperplanes defined by the given family that cut the hypercube have a common intersection point. This fact allowed him to design a non-uniform polynomial-time algorithm which solves the Knapsack Problem.

If the given family of linear polynomials is generic in the sense defined below, then no \( n+1 \) polynomials have a common zero. Thus, any hypercube in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) of side-length \( \delta \) satisfies a stronger condition: it is cut at most \( n \) hyperplanes defined by the given family. In view of this observation we shall extend Meyer auf der Heide’s argumentation to semi-algebraic subsets of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) defined by certain generic families of polynomials of arbitrary degree.

Notations and assumptions. Let \( X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \) and let \( P \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \) be a polynomial; we denote by \( \deg(P) \) its total degree and by \( h(P) \) its logarithmic height defined as the maximum of the logarithmic heights of its coefficients. Given a semi-algebraic set \( S \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), if the sign of \( P \) is not constant on \( S \), we say that \( P \) cuts \( S \).

Let \( \mathcal{P} := (P_1, \ldots, P_s) \) be a family of distinct polynomials in \( \mathbb{Z}[X] \) of total degree and logarithmic height bounded by \( d \) and \( h \) respectively. We remark
that this implies a bound on the cardinality $s$ of $\mathcal{P}$: since each polynomial is determined by its $\binom{n+d}{d}$ coefficients and each coefficient by its $h$ bits, we obtain $s \leq 2^{h \binom{n+d}{d}} \leq 2^{h(d+1)n}$.

We further remark that this bound on the cardinality of $\mathcal{P}$ makes the parameter $s$ disappear from the complexity bounds we are going to exhibit in this paper. Since $s$ is bounded by $2^{h(d+1)n}$, a bound of the kind $(hd^n \log(s))^O(1)$ equals $(hd^n)^O(1)$, where $s$ does not intervene.

Given a first-order formula in the language of real closed fields $\varphi(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ with $n$ free-variables, we denote by $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ the realization of $\varphi$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, namely $\mathcal{R}(\varphi) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbb{R} \models \varphi(x) \}$. Analogously, for a given polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, we denote by $\mathcal{R}(P)$ the set $\mathcal{R}(P) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid P(x) = 0 \}$.

We shall say that the family $\mathcal{P} = (P_1, \ldots, P_s)$ is generic if for any $1 \leq r \leq n$ and any $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq s$, the polynomials $P_{i_1}, \ldots, P_{i_r}$ form a regular sequence in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ or generate the trivial ideal. Since this notion of genericity is too restrictive for the results of the second part of this paper, we define a weaker notion.

Let $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a polynomial of total degree and logarithmic height bounded by $d$ and $h$ respectively. Given any semi-algebraic set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $S_\Delta$ the set

$$S_\Delta := \{ x \in S \mid \Delta(x) \geq 1 \}.$$  

We remark that for any $\Delta$ and any closed $S$ the set $S_\Delta$ is closed.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that the family $(P_1, \ldots, P_s)$ is generic outside $\mathcal{R}(\Delta)$ if for any $1 \leq r \leq n$ and any $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq s$, the polynomials $P_{i_1}, \ldots, P_{i_r}$ form a regular sequence in $\mathbb{Q}[X]_\Delta$ or generate the trivial ideal.

We observe that both notions of genericity agree for $\Delta = 1$.

**Model of Computation.** In this paper we analyse the complexity of the sign condition problem from a constraint database point of view. We use algebraic computation trees to represent constraint databases (see [12, 19, 4] for the background on constraint databases and algebraic complexity theory). We measure the number of arithmetic operations and comparisons that our algorithms perform on a given input. While divisions are not allowed in our model, the arithmetic operations of addition, subtraction and multiplication can be performed among any two real numbers. In particular all straight-line programs we are going to consider in this paper are supposed to be division-free. We remark that if we restrict our attention to rational inputs, the bit complexity of any algorithm in this paper can be bounded.
by a polynomial depending on its algebraic complexity and the logarithmic
height of the input, \( i.e., \) it remains controlled.

**Outline of the article.** Let \( \Delta, P_1, ..., P_s \) polynomials in \( \mathbb{Z}[X] \) of total degree and logarithmic height bounded by \( d > 1 \) and \( h \) respectively and assume that the family \( \mathcal{P} := (P_1, ..., P_s) \) is generic outside \( \mathcal{R}(\Delta) \). We decompose the hypercube \([0, 1]^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) in small closed hypercubes with mutually disjoint interiors and side length of order \( 2^{-hd^{O(n^2)}} \). We shall prove that if \( R \) is one of these hypercubes, the set \( R_\Delta \) is cut by at most \( n \) polynomials in \( \mathcal{P} \); the remaining polynomials in \( \mathcal{P} \) have constant, namely positive or negative, sign on it. The indices of the polynomials of \( \mathcal{P} \) that cut \( R_\Delta \) for each hypercube \( R \) and the signs of the remaining polynomials on it are stored, at a preprocessing stage, in a constraint database.

In Section 2 we present an algorithm that solves the sign condition problem for this family in the set \( [0, 1]^n_\Delta = \{ x \in [0, 1]^n \mid \Delta(x) \geq 1 \} \). It works as follows. Given a point \( x \in [0, 1]^n_\Delta \), the pre-constructed database allows us to determine a small hypercube \( R \) containing the point \( x \), the polynomials in \( \mathcal{P} \) that cut the set \( R_\Delta \) and the (constant) signs of the remaining polynomials in \( \mathcal{P} \) performing \( hd^{O(n^2)} \) comparisons. Evaluating at \( x \) the (at most \( n \)) polynomials of \( \mathcal{P} \) that cut the set \( R_\Delta \), we solve the sign condition problem for the family \( \mathcal{P} \) performing only \( d^{O(n)} \) arithmetic operations.

Finally, in Section 3 we discuss applications of our method. Our results show that, admitting branchings in our complexity model, the number of algebraic operations necessary to answer the query for the consistency of two classes of simply structured equation systems of \( n \) polynomials in \( n \) unknowns may drop dramatically with respect to traditional methods based on the evaluation of elimination polynomials or their coefficient representation.

In [7] we have shown, without any genericity assumption, that the sign condition query for \( P_1, ..., P_s \) can be evaluated using only \( \mathcal{O}((L + n)^5 \log(s)) \) arithmetic operations and comparisons, where \( L \) is the number of essential multiplications (additions and scalar operations are free) necessary to evaluate \textit{all} polynomials \( P_1, ..., P_s \). However this result cannot be applied in Section 3.
2 The sign condition algorithm for polynomials with integer coefficients

2.1 Mathematical tools

The Arithmetic Relation between Distance and Height. We extend the notion of height to rational numbers. If \( r = \frac{p}{q} \neq 0 \) is a rational number with \( p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \) coprime, we define its height as \( H(r) := \max\{H(p), H(q)\} \) and its logarithmic height as \( h(r) := \max\{h(p), h(q)\} \).

From Theorem 1.3.1 in [1] (see alternatively Theorem 14.21 in [2]) we immediately obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.1. Let \( \varphi(Y_1, \ldots, Y_l) = \exists X_1 \ldots \exists X_k \psi(X_1, \ldots, X_k, Y_1, \ldots, Y_l) \) be an existential first-order formula with \( l \) free variables, where \( \psi \) is a boolean combination of polynomial equalities and inequalities involving polynomials with integer coefficients of degree bounded by \( d \) and logarithmic height bounded by \( h \). Then, there exists an equivalent quantifier-free formula involving polynomials with integer coefficients of degree bounded by \( d^{O(k)} \) and logarithmic height bounded by \( h d^{O(k)} \).

The following lemma is a form of Cauchy’s bound on the roots of a univariate polynomial.

Lemma 2.2. Let \( \psi \) be a quantifier-free first-order formula over the reals with only one free variable and involving polynomials with integer coefficients of height bounded by \( H \). Let \( \mu \neq 0 \) belong to the border of the realization of \( \psi \), \( R(\psi) \subset \mathbb{R} \). Then, \( |\mu| > \frac{1}{\pi+1} \).

Proof. Since the formula \( \psi \) is quantifier free, \( \mu \) must be a root of some of the polynomials involved in \( \psi \), say \( P = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i T^i \in \mathbb{Z}[T] \). The height of \( P \) is bounded by \( H \). We assume, without loss of generality, \( a_0 \neq 0 \).

Thus, by Cauchy’s bound for the zeroes of a polynomial [13], the absolute value of any root of \( P \) is at least \( \frac{1}{\pi+1} \). In particular, \( |\mu| > \frac{1}{\pi+1} \).

Definition 2.3. For \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n, \delta \in \mathbb{Q} \), \( \delta > 0 \), the set

\[
[\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \delta] \times \ldots \times [\alpha_n, \alpha_n + \delta] \subset \mathbb{R}^n
\]

is called a rational hypercube with coordinates \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \alpha_1 + \delta, \ldots, \alpha_n + \delta \). The logarithmic height of the hypercube is defined as the maximal logarithmic height of its coordinates. Its side length is \( \delta \).
Proposition 2.4. There exists a universal real constant $c > 0$ that satisfies, for any three positive integers $h, n$ and $d > 1$ the following condition.

Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rational hypercube of logarithmic height $h$ and let $P_1, ..., P_r, Q, \Delta \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be polynomials of degree at most $d$ and of logarithmic height bounded by $h$. Consider the subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$S := \{P_1 = 0, ..., P_r = 0\} \cap R_\Delta \text{ and } T := \{Q = 0\} \cap R_\Delta.$$ 

If $S$ and $T$ are disjoint then their Euclidean distance is strictly greater than $2 - h d^\Omega(n)$.

Proof. Let us assume that $S$ and $T$ are disjoint and non-empty. Since they are closed and bounded, the distance between them is positive, say $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_0^>$. Denoting by $\chi_{R_\Delta}$ the canonical first-order formula that expresses the set $R_\Delta$, we consider the following first-order formula with $\varepsilon$ as its only free-variable:

$$\varphi(\varepsilon) := \exists X_1 ... \exists X_n \exists Y_1 ... \exists Y_n \chi_{R_\Delta}(X) \land \chi_{R_\Delta}(Y) \land$$

$$P_1(X) = 0 \land ... \land P_r(X) = 0 \land Q(Y) = 0 \land \|X - Y\|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2.$$ 

Clearly, a positive real number $\varepsilon$ satisfies this formula if and only if $\varepsilon \geq \mu$. The formula $\varphi$ contains $\varepsilon$ as the only free variable, $X_1, ..., X_n, Y_1, ..., Y_n$ as bounded variables and involves polynomials of degree at most $d$ and logarithmic height at most $h$. Hence, by Proposition 2.1, there exists an equivalent quantifier-free first-order formula $\psi$ involving polynomials of degree bounded by $d^\Omega(n)$ and height bounded by $2^{h d^\Omega(n)}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, $\mu$ is at least $2^{h d^\Omega(n)}$.

Semi-algebraic $k$-determined sets. Given a semi-algebraic set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a natural number $1 \leq k \leq n$, we say that the family $\mathcal{P}$ is $k$-determined over $S$ if at most $k$ polynomials of the family $\mathcal{P}$ cut $S$ (in other words, the signs of all the polynomials of $\mathcal{P}$ are determined over $S$, except for at most $k$ of them).

The next statement shows that under our genericity assumption (see Definition 1.1) the family $\mathcal{P}$ is $n$-determined over $R_\Delta$ for any hypercube $R \subset [0, 1]^n$ of side length $2^{-h d^\Omega(n)}$.

Proposition 2.5. There exists a universal real constant $c' > 0$ that satisfies, for any three positive integers $h, n$ and $d > 1$ the following condition.

Let $\Delta, P_1, ..., P_s$ be polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ of total degree and logarithmic height bounded by $d > 1$ and $h$ respectively. Assume that the family $\mathcal{P} :=$
$(P_1, ..., P_s)$ is generic outside $R(\Delta)$. Then, given any hypercube $R \subset [0,1]^n$ of side length $\delta := 2^{-hd^n}+1$, the family $\mathcal{P}$ is $n$-determined over the set $R_\Delta$.

In the spirit of [13], we call the rational number $\delta$ a coarseness for the family $\mathcal{P}$.

**Proof.** Let $c$ be the universal constant of Proposition 2.4 and let $c' := c + 1$. Remark that the following condition is satisfied

$$h \frac{d^n(c' - c)}{\log(n)^n} \geq 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $R^0 := R \subset [0,1]^n$ be an hypercube of side length $\delta := 2^{-hc'2^n}+1$. We will show that the family $\mathcal{P}$ is $n$-determined over the set $R_\Delta$. Assume to the contrary and with out loss of generality that $P_1, ..., P_{n+1}$ are different polynomials of $\mathcal{P}$ that cut the set $R_\Delta$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, we define inductively a hypercube $R^i$ with the following properties:

- its logarithmic height is $h_i := h \frac{d^n(c' - c)}{\log(n)^n} \geq 1$.
- its side length is $2^{-h_i} < 1$,
- $R^{i-1} \subset R^i \subset [0,1]^n$,
- the polynomials $P_1, ..., P_{n+1}$ cut the set $R^i$ and
- the polynomials $P_1, ..., P_1$ have a common intersection point in $R^i$.

Let $h_1 := 2\delta$ and let $R^1 \subset [0,1]^n$ be an hypercube of side length $\delta_1 := 2^{-h_1} = 2\delta$ and logarithmic height $h_1$ that contains $R^0$. Since $P_1, ..., P_{n+1}$ cut $R^0$, the polynomial $P_1$ cuts also $R^1$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, assume that a hypercube $R^i \supset R^{i-1}$ of side length $2^{-h_i}$ satisfying the conditions stated above has been defined. In particular, the polynomials $P_1, ..., P_i$ have a common intersection point in $R^i$ and $P_{i+1}$ cuts $R^i$. Let $R^{i+1}$ be a hypercube contained in $[0,1]^n$ of logarithmic height $h_{i+1}$ and side length $2^{-h_{i+1}}$ that contains the hypercube $R^i$. By construction, it satisfies the first four conditions stated above. We claim that the polynomials $P_1, ..., P_{i+1}$ have a common intersection point in $R^i$.

Since $R^{i+1} \supset R^i$, the induction hypothesis implies that $S := \{P_1 = 0, ..., P_i = 0\} \cap R^i$ and $T := \{P_{i+1} = 0\} \cap R^i$ are not empty.
By Proposition 2.4, if \( S \) and \( T \) are disjoint then their Euclidean distance is strictly greater than \( \rho_i := 2^{-h_i + d^{-n}} \). Since both sets have points inside \( R^i_\Delta \) and the diagonal of the hypercube \( R^i \) measures \( \sqrt{n}2^{-h_i} \leq 2^{-h_i + \log(n)} \leq 2^{-h_i / \log(n)} \leq \rho_i \) we conclude that \( S \) and \( T \) are not disjoint. Thus the polynomials \( P_1, ..., P_{i+1} \) have a common intersection point in \( R^i_\Delta \) what concludes the induction step.

After \( n \) steps, we obtain a hypercube \( R^{n+1} \subset [0, 1]^n \) such that \( P_1, ..., P_{n+1} \) have a common intersection point in \( R^{n+1}_\Delta \). This contradicts the genericity of the family \( \mathcal{P} \) outside \( \mathcal{R}(\Delta) \). We conclude that the family \( \mathcal{P} \) is \( n \)-determined over the set \( R_\Delta \). \( \square \)

We remark that in the case of affine hyperplanes (\( d = 1 \), the affine linear case) Proposition 2.1 and hence Proposition 2.4 can be improved to obtain logarithmic heights and distances which are simply exponential in the dimension \( n \). However, the logarithmic height resulting from the inductive proof of Proposition 2.5 is of order \( 2^{hO(n)} \) and therefore doubly exponential in the dimension \( n \). Thus, our method does not represent a proper generalization of Meyer auf der Heide’s method for the linear case.

### 2.2 The Sign Condition Algorithm

In this section we assume given \( \Delta, P_1, ..., P_s \) polynomials in \( \mathbb{Z}[X] \) of total degree and logarithmic height bounded by \( d > 1 \) and \( h \) respectively. We further assume that the family \( \mathcal{P} := (P_1, ..., P_s) \) is generic outside \( \mathcal{R}(\Delta) \).

Based on the results of the last paragraph, we construct a big database \( \mathcal{D} \) of size \( 2^{hO(n^2)} \) that allows, for any \( x \in [0, 1]^n_\Delta \), a fast determination of the sign condition satisfied by the polynomials of \( \mathcal{P} \) at \( x \).

First we describe the following algorithm which is a form of multidimensional binary search, with \( m \) as a parameter.

**Algorithm 2.6.**

**Name of the algorithm:** \( \mathcal{D}_m^m \)

**Context:** There are fixed positive integers \( m \) and \( n \).

**Input:** A point \( x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in [0, 1]^n \).

**Description of the algebraic computation tree:**

The algebraic computation tree performs, for \( j = 1, ..., n \), a unidimensional binary search to determine \( i_j \), defined as the first \( i \) such that \( x_j \in [\frac{i}{m}, \frac{i+1}{m}] \).

The computation finishes in a leaf labeled \( (i_1, ..., i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \) such that \( x \) belongs to \( \Pi_{j=1}^n [\frac{i_j}{m}, \frac{i_j+1}{m}] \).

**Complexity analysis:** Let \( \mu = h(m) \) be the logarithmic height of \( m \).
Each unidimensional binary search requires $O(\mu)$ comparisons. Hence, the algorithm has algebraic complexity $O(\mu n)$.

See for instance [10] for the definition and complexity analysis of the unidimensional binary search. We remark that the algebraic computation tree $D^m_0$ uses only comparisons and no arithmetic operations.

A direct instantiation of the decision procedure for the existential theory of the reals given in [2], leads to the following result, which is useful for the cost analysis of the construction of the database $D$ below.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a hypercube, let $P, \Delta \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be polynomials of degree at most $d > 1$ and suppose that $h$ bounds the logarithmic heights of $R$, $P$ and $\Delta$. Then, it is possible to decide whether $P$ cuts $R_{\Delta}$ using $d^{O(n)}$ arithmetic operations between rational numbers of logarithmic height bounded by $hd^{O(n)}$.

**Proof.** Let $\chi_{R_{\Delta}}$ the canonical first-order formula that describes the set $R_{\Delta}$. Clearly, the formula

$$\varphi := \exists X \ (P(X) = 0 \wedge \chi_{R_{\Delta}}(X))$$

is true if and only if $P$ cuts $R_{\Delta}$. By Theorems 13.11 and 13.13 in [2], this sentence can be decided within the stated bounds. \qed

**Theorem 2.8.** Let $\Delta, P_1, ..., P_s$ be polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ of total degree and logarithmic height bounded by $d > 1$ and $h$ respectively. Assume that the family $P := (P_1, ..., P_s)$ is generic outside $R(\Delta)$ and that for any $1 \leq k \leq s$ there is given a straight-line program $\beta_k$ in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ of length $L \leq d^{O(n)}$ which evaluates the polynomial $P_k \in P$.

Then, there exists a database $D$, represented by an algebraic computation tree of size $2^{hd^{O(n^2)}}$, that can be constructed in time $2^{hd^{O(n^2)}}$ and that allows to determine, for any point $x \in [0, 1]_\Delta^n$, the sign conditions satisfied by the polynomials of $P$ at $x$, performing $hd^{O(n^2)}$ comparisons and $nL = d^{O(n)}$ arithmetic operations in $\mathbb{R}$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.5, there exists a universal constant $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $m := 2^{hd^{O(n^2)}}$ and $\delta := \frac{1}{m}$ the family of polynomials $P$ is $n$-determined over $R_{\Delta}$ for any hypercube $R \subset [0, 1]^n$ of side length $\delta$. With other words, $\delta$ is a coarseness for the family $P$. We assume that the constant $c$ is known.

Based on the Algorithm 2.6 the database $D$ becomes constructed as an algebraic computation tree obtained from $D^m_0$ in the following way: to a leaf
of $D_0^m$ as above with label $(i_1, ..., i_n)$, a straight-line program is added. The straight-line program evaluates at the input of $D$ the (at most $n$) polynomials of $P$ that cut the set $(\Pi_{j=1}^n[i_j \cdot m, i_j \cdot m + 1])_\Delta$ and outputs $(i_1, ..., i_n)$ and the sign condition $\sigma$ resulting from these evaluations. The cost of the construction of $D_0^m$ is proportional to its size: $2^{O(n^2)}$. Then we determine, for each $1 \leq k \leq s$, if the polynomial $P_k$ cuts the hypercube $\Pi_{j=1}^n[i_j \cdot m, i_j \cdot m + 1]$ following Proposition 2.7. In this way, the complete construction of the algebraic computation tree $D$ requires $smn \cdot d^{O(n)} = 2^{O(n^2)}$ arithmetic operation between rational numbers of logarithmic height bounded by $h^{O(n^2)}$.

We claim that $D$ computes a partition of $[0, 1]_\Delta^n$ which is finer than the partition induced by the sign conditions realized by the family $P$. To prove this claim let $x, x' \in [0, 1]_\Delta^n$ be such that $D$ outputs the same result $(i_1, ..., i_n, \sigma)$ for both $x$ and $x'$. Hence, $x$ and $x'$ belong to the same small hypercube $R = \Pi_{j=1}^n[i_j \cdot m, i_j \cdot m + 1]$ and satisfy the same sign condition $\sigma$ for the polynomials that cut $R_\Delta$. Since the remaining polynomials in $P$ do not cut $R_\Delta$, their signs remain invariant on it. In particular, $P$ realizes the same sign condition at $x$ and at $x'$.

Finally, let us analyze the complexity of querying the database $D$. Following the complexity analysis of Algorithm 2.6 the binary search requires $h^{O(n^2)}$ comparisons. The evaluation of a polynomial $P_k \in P$, $1 \leq k \leq s$ using the straight-line program $\beta_k$ costs $L$ arithmetic operations. Since at most $n$ polynomials of $P$ cut the set $R_\Delta$, the complete evaluation phase costs at most $nL \leq nd^{O(n)} = d^{O(n)}$ arithmetic operations. Thus, the algebraic computation tree $D$ determines, for any input point $x \in [0, 1]_\Delta^n$, the sign conditions satisfied by the polynomials of $P$ at $x$ performing $h^{O(n^2)}$ comparisons and $nL = d^{O(n)}$ arithmetic operations.

\section{Applications}

Let $X_1, ..., X_n$ and $U_1, ..., U_m$ be indeterminates over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $X := (X_1, ..., X_n)$ and $U := (U_1, ..., U_m)$.

Let $d, h \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d > 1$ and suppose that there are given polynomials $G_1, ..., G_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree and logarithmic height at most $d$ and $h$, which form a regular sequence in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$. Let $\xi_1, ..., \xi_s \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the integer zeros of the polynomial equation system $G_1 = 0, ..., G_n = 0$.

From the geometric and the arithmetic Bézout Inequalities \cite{KriPaSo01} and \cite{KriPaSo01} we deduce $s \leq d^n$ and that the sum of the logarithmic heights of $\xi_1, ..., \xi_s$ is bounded by $(h + 2n^2) d^n$ (see also [KriPaSo01], Section
Finally, let $H \in \mathbb{Z}[U,X]$ and $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}[U]$ be polynomials of degree and logarithmic height at most $d$ and $h$ respectively.

We assume that the family of polynomials $\mathcal{H} := \{H(U, \xi) \mid \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n, G_1(\xi) = 0, \ldots, G_n(\xi) = 0\}$ is generic in the following sense: for any $1 \leq r \leq n$ and any $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq s$ the polynomials $H(U, \xi_{i_1}), \ldots, H(U, \xi_{i_r})$ form a regular sequence in $\mathbb{Q}[U]_\Delta$ or generate the trivial ideal.

Observe that for each $1 \leq i \leq s$ the degree and logarithmic height of $H(U, \xi_i)$ is bounded by $d$ and $(hm)^dO(n)$, respectively.

Therefore, we may, for $\delta := 2^{-hd^{O(m^2+n)}}$, apply the Proposition 2.5 to the family $\mathcal{H}$. Thus any hypercube $R \subset [0,1]^m$ of side length $\delta$ has the following property: there exist $0 \leq r \leq m$ indices $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq s$ such that $H(U, \xi_{i_1}), \ldots, H(U, \xi_{i_r})$ are the only polynomials of $\mathcal{H}$ which change their signs in $R_\Delta$. According to Theorem 2.8 this implies the following complexity result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let notations and assumptions be as before and suppose that the polynomial $H$ can be evaluated by a straight-line program $\beta$ of size $L$ in $\mathbb{Z}[U,X]$. Then there exists a database $D$ represented by an algebraic computation tree of size $(mL)^{2hd^{O(m^2+n)}}$ such that for any point $u \in [0,1]^m$ the query whether the polynomial equation system $G_1(X) = 0, \ldots, G_n(X) = 0, H(u,X) = 0$ has an integer solution can be evaluated in the database $D$ performing $hd^{O(m^2+n)}$ comparisons and $mL$ arithmetic operations in $\mathbb{R}$.

**Proof.** By Algorithm 2.6 there exists a decision tree $D_0$ (see Algorithm 2.6) of size $2hd^{O(m^2+n)}$ which for any $u \in [0,1]^m$ determines a hypercube $R \subset [0,1]^m$ of side-length $\delta$ to which $u$ belongs, using for this task $hd^{O(m^2+n)}$ comparisons in $\mathbb{R}$. Each leaf of $D_0$ corresponds to such an hypercube $R$ and determines therefore an integer $1 \leq r \leq m$ and a set of indices $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq s$ as before. The algebraic computation tree $\mathcal{D}$ is obtained from $D_0$ in the following way: to a leaf $l$ of $D_0$ as above, a straight-line program is added. This program consists of $r$ sequential copies of the labeled directed acyclic graph of $\beta$ with $X$ instantiated to $\xi_{i_1}, \ldots, \xi_{i_r}$. The output nodes of these copies of $\beta$ are followed by decision nodes connected in a way such that the algebraic computation tree accepts an input $u \in [0,1]^m_\Delta$ which belongs to the hypercube $R$ above if there exists an index $1 \leq k \leq r$ with $H(u, \xi_{i_k}) = 0$. This is exactly the case when the polynomial equation system $G_1(X) = 0, \ldots, G_n(X) = 0, H(u,X) = 0$ has an integer solution. \qed
4 Examples

Let \( X := (X_1, ..., X_n), \ U := (U_1, ..., U_m) \) and \( d, h \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( d > 1 \) be as in Section 3.

4.1 Example 1

Suppose \( d \geq m \) and let \( H \in \mathbb{Z}[U, X] \) be a polynomial of degree and logarithmic height at most \( d \) and \( h \). Let \( \deg_X(H) \geq 1 \) and let \( \Delta \) be a non-zero coefficient of \( H \) belonging to a monomial in \( X_1, ..., X_n \) of degree not less than one. Then the logarithmic height and the degree of \( \Delta \) are also bounded by \( d \) and \( h \).

Suppose the \( H \) can be evaluated by a straight-line program \( \beta \) of size \( L \) in \( \mathbb{Z}[U, X] \).

For \( 1 \leq i \leq d \) let \( Y_1^{(i)}, ..., Y_n^{(i)} \) be new indeterminates over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and let \( Y_i := (Y_1^{(i)}, ..., Y_n^{(i)}) \). There exist polynomials \( \Gamma_1, ..., \Gamma_n \in \mathbb{Z}[Y_1, ..., Y_d, X] \) of degree and logarithmic height at most \( (nd)^{O(n)} \), such that the polynomial equation system \( \Gamma_1 = 0, ..., \Gamma_n = 0 \) defines over the algebraic closure of \( \mathbb{Q}(Y_1, ..., Y_d) \) the points \( Y_1, ..., Y_d \).

This can be seen as follows. The product \( \mathfrak{a} \) of the ideals of \( \mathbb{Q}(Y_1, ..., Y_d) \) generated by the polynomials \( X_k - Y_1^{(i)} - Y_n^{(i)}, 1 \leq k \leq d \) has only the zeroes \( Y_1, ..., Y_d \) in the algebraic closure of \( \mathbb{Q}(Y_1, ..., Y_d) \). Therefore, the ideal \( \mathfrak{a} \) is zero-dimensional and generated by polynomials of the form \( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} X_k - Y_k^{(i)}, 1 \leq k_1, ..., k_d \leq n \) which have all degree \( d \). Applying to these polynomials a suitable effective version of the Shape Lemma (see e.g. [11], Theorem 2.2 and its proof), one obtains the polynomials \( \Gamma_1, ..., \Gamma_n \) as generators of the radical ideal of \( \mathfrak{a} \).

Lemma 4.1. Let \( 1 \leq k \leq m \). Then there exists a non-empty Zariski open set \( O_k \) of \( \mathbb{C}^{k \times n} \) such that for any \( y \in O_k \) with \( y := (y_1, ..., y_k) \) and \( y_1, ..., y_k \in \mathbb{C}^n \) the locally closed subvariety of \( \mathbb{C}^m \) defined by the conditions \( H(U, y_1) = 0, ..., H(U, y_k) = 0 \) and \( \Delta(U) \neq 0 \) is empty or equidimensional of dimension \( m - k \).

Proof. In \( \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^{k \times n} \) we consider the locally closed subvariety \( W \) defined by the conditions

\[ H(U, Y_1) = 0, ..., H(U, Y_k) = 0 \text{ and } \Delta(U) \neq 0. \]

Let \( \pi_1 : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^{k \times n} \to \mathbb{C}^m \) and \( \pi_2 : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^{k \times n} \to \mathbb{C}^{k \times n} \) be the canonical projections and let \( C \) be an irreducible component of \( \overline{W} \). Then
\(\pi_1(C)\) is an irreducible closed subvariety of \(C^m\) and hence of dimension at most \(m\). Moreover \(\Delta\) does not vanish identically on \(\pi_1(C)\). Therefore we may choose generically a point \(u \in \pi_1(C)\) with \(\Delta(u) \neq 0\). Observe that \(\pi_1^{-1}(u) \cap C\) is a closed subvariety of \(C\) of dimension at most \(k(n-1) = nk-k\).

The Theorem on the Dimension of the Fibers \([18, \text{Theorem 7}]\) implies now \(\dim(C) \leq m-k+nk\). Suppose first that \(\pi_2(C)\) is strictly contained in \(C^k \times C^n\). Then \(\mathcal{O}_{k,C} := C^k \times C^n - \pi_2(C)\) is a non-empty Zariski open subset of \(C^k \times C^n\) and for \(y \in \mathcal{O}_{k,C}\) we have \(\pi_2^{-1}(y) \cap C = \emptyset\).

Now suppose \(\pi_2(C) = C^k \times C^n\). By the Theorem on the Dimension of the Fibers there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset \(\mathcal{O}_{k,C} \subset C^k \times C^n\) such that for any \(y \in \mathcal{O}_{k,C}\) the variety \(\pi_2^{-1}(y) \cap C\) is empty or equidimensional of dimension \(\dim(C) - kn \leq m-k\). Let

\[ \mathcal{O}_k = \bigcap_{C \text{ irreducible component of } W} \mathcal{O}_{k,C}. \]

Then \(\mathcal{O}_k\) is a non-empty algebraic subset of \(C^{k \times n}\) and for any \(y \in \mathcal{O}_k\) the irreducible components of \(\pi_2^{-1}(y) \cap W\) are of dimension at most \(n-k\).

Let \(y \in \mathcal{O}_k\) with \(y = (y_1, \ldots, y_k)\) and \(y_1, \ldots, y_k \in C^n\) and let \(D\) be an irreducible component of \(\pi_2^{-1}(y) \cap W\). Observe that \(D\) is an irreducible component of a locally closed subvariety of \(C^m \times C^{k \times n}\) which is definable by \(k(n+1)\) equations and the open condition \(\Delta(U) \neq 0\).

Therefore, \(D\) has dimension at least \(m-k\). From our previous argumentation we conclude now that the dimension of \(D\) is exactly \(m-k\). In particular \(\pi_2^{-1}(y) \cap W\) is empty or equidimensional of dimension \(m-k\). Since \(\pi_2^{-1}(y) \cap W\) is isomorphic to the locally closed subvariety of \(C^m\) defined by the conditions \(H(U, y_1) = 0, \ldots, H(U, y_k) = 0\) and \(\Delta(U) \neq 0\), the lemma follows. \(\square\)

Lemma 4.1 implies the following result.

**Corollary 4.2.** There exists a non-empty Zariski open subset \(\mathcal{O}\) of \(C^{d \times n}\) such that any point \(y \in \mathcal{O}\) with \(y = (y_1, \ldots, y_d)\) and \(y_1, \ldots, y_d \in C^n\) satisfies the following condition:

for any \(1 \leq r \leq m\) and any \(1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq d\) the polynomials \(H(U, y_{i_1}), \ldots, H(U, y_{i_r})\) form a regular sequence or generate the trivial ideal in \(Q[U]_\Delta\).

From [5], Proposition 1.12 and its proof we deduce that there exists a polynomial \(Q \in Q[Y]\) of degree at most \(d^{O(m^2)}\) such that any point \(y \in C^{d \times n}\)
with \( y = (y_1, \ldots, y_d), y_1, \ldots, y_d \in \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( Q(y) \neq 0 \) satisfies the condition of Corollary 4.2.

Therefore, there exists such a point \( y \in \mathbb{Z}^{d \times n} \) of logarithmic height at most \( O(m^2 \log d) \). This implies that the logarithmic heights of \( G_1 := \Gamma(y, X), \ldots, G_n := \Gamma(y, X) \) and \( H(U, y_1), \ldots, H(U, y_d) \) are bounded by \((m^2 + h)(nd)^{O(n)}\). We may now apply Theorem 3.1 to this situation to conclude that there exists a database \( D \) represented by an algebraic computation tree of size \((mL)^{2h}d^{O(m^2 + n)}\) such that for any point \( u \in [0, 1]^\Delta \) the query whether the polynomial equation system \( G_1(X) = 0, \ldots, G_n(X) = 0, H(u, X) = 0 \) has a complex solution can be evaluated in the database \( D \) performing \( hd^{O(m^2 + n)} \) comparisons and \( mL \) arithmetic operations in \( \mathbb{R} \).

### 4.2 Example 2

For \( 0 \leq j < 2^n \) we write \([j] \in \{0, 1\}^n\) for the representation of \( j \) by \( n \) bits.

Let \( G_1 := X_1^2 - X_1, \ldots, G_n = X_n^2 - X_n \) and

\[
H := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} (1 + (U_k^2 - 1)X_i).
\]

Then, we have for \( 0 \leq j < 2^n \) the identity \( H(U, [j]) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} U_k^j \). The degree and the logarithmic height of \( H(U, [j]) \) are bounded by \( 2^n \) and one, respectively. Furthermore \( H \) may be evaluated by a straight-line program in \( \mathbb{Z}[U, X] \) of size \( L = O(mn) \). For \( 1 \leq r \leq m \) and \( 1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r < 2^n \) let

\[
\Delta_{i_1, \ldots, i_r} := \det \begin{pmatrix}
U_1^{i_1-1} & \ldots & U_r^{i_r-1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
U_1^{i_r-1} & \ldots & U_r^{i_r-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and observe \( \Delta_{i_1, \ldots, i_r} \neq 0 \). Thus

\[
\Delta := \prod_{1 \leq r \leq m} \Delta_{i_1, \ldots, i_r}
\]

is a non-zero polynomial of \( \mathbb{Z}[U] \) of degree and logarithmic height at most \( 2^{O(mn)} \).

For \( 1 \leq r \leq m \) and \( 1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r < 2^n \), the Jacobian of \( H(U, [i_1]), \ldots, H(U, [i_r]) \) is

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
i_1 U_1^{i_1-1} & \ldots & i_r U_r^{i_r-1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
i_1 U_1^{i_r-1} & \ldots & i_r U_r^{i_r-1}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Hence, the hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{C}^m$ defined by the polynomials $H(U, [i_1]), \ldots, H(U, [i_r])$ intersect transversally at any common point $u \in \mathbb{C}_{\Delta}^m$.

We may again apply Theorem 3.1 to this situation to conclude that there exists a database $D$ represented by a computation tree of size
\[(mL)2^{O(m^3n^2)} = 2^{O(m^3n^2)}\]
such that for any point $u \in [0, 1]^m_{\Delta}$ the query whether the polynomial equation system $X_1^2 - X_1 = 0, \ldots, X_n^2 - X_n = 0, H(u, X) = 0$ has a complex solution can be evaluated in the database $D$ performing $2^{O(m^3n^2)}$ comparisons and $mL = O(m^2n)$ arithmetic operations in $\mathbb{R}$.

**Observation:** Suppose that the ceiling function is available at unit costs. Then, the queries of Theorem 3.1, Example 1 and Example 2 can be evaluated using $mL + n$ and $O(m^2n)$ arithmetic operations in $\mathbb{Q}$, respectively.

The aim of Theorem 3.1 and Examples 1 and 2 is not to promote new upper complexity bounds for the queries under consideration. Our complexity model is purely algebraic and our algorithms cannot be translated efficiently to the bit model, at least not with the actual knowledge about the relationship between these two models.

However, Examples 1 and 2 show that, admitting branchings in our complexity model, the number of algebraic operations necessary to answer the queries may drop dramatically with respect to traditional methods based on the evaluation of elimination polynomials or their coefficient representation. In Theorem 3.1 and Example 1 and Example 2 these bounds are of order $Ld^n$, $Ld$ and $O(mn2^n)$, respectively (see [9] for a detailed discussion of this issue).
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