Association of postoperative nausea/vomiting and pain with breastfeeding success
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Abstract

Background: Successful breastfeeding is a goal set forth by the World Health Organization to improve neonatal care. Increasingly, patients express the desire to breastfeed, and clinicians should facilitate successful breastfeeding. The primary aim of this study is to determine if postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) or postoperative pain are associated with decreased breastfeeding success after cesarean delivery.

Methods: This is a historical cohort study using the Stony Brook Elective Cesarean Delivery Database. Self-reported breastfeeding success at 4 weeks postoperative was analyzed for associations with postoperative antiemetic use and postoperative pain scores. Breastfeeding success was also analyzed for associations with patient factors and anesthetic medications.

Results: Overall, 86% of patients (n = 81) who intended on breastfeeding reported breastfeeding success. Breastfeeding success was not associated with postoperative nausea or vomiting as measured by post anesthesia care unit antiemetic use (15% use in successful vs. 18% use in unsuccessful, \( p = 0.67 \)) or 48-h antiemetic use (28% use in successful group vs 36% use in unsuccessful group, \( p = 0.732 \)). Pain visual analog scale scores at 6, 12 and 24 h postoperatively were not significantly different between patients with or without breastfeeding success. Breastfeeding success was associated with having had at least 1 previous child (86% vs 36%, \( p < 0.001 \)). Patients with asthma were less likely to have breastfeeding success (45% vs 4%, \( p = 0.002 \)).

Conclusions: Efforts to improve PONV and pain after cesarean delivery may not be effective in improving breastfeeding success. To possibly improve breastfeeding rates, resources should be directed toward patients with no previous children and patients with asthma.
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Background

Successful breastfeeding is a goal set forth by the World Health Organization to improve neonatal care (WHO, 2011). The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends that children are exclusively breastfed for the first six months of life (So, 2012). Increasingly, patients express the desire to breastfeed, and clinicians should facilitate successful breastfeeding. Previous studies have reported that cesarean deliveries were associated with a lower breastfeeding rate compared to vaginal deliveries (Prior et al., 2012). This is important because cesarean delivery rates have steadily increased over the past three decades in the United States. Most recently, the Centers for Disease Control reported a cesarean delivery rate of 32.2% in the United States (Osterman & Martin, 2014).

After cesarean delivery, routine postoperative care can delay maternal bonding with the infant. A delay in initial breastfeeding has been associated with less successful breastfeeding (Lin et al., 2011; Wallwiener et al., 2016). Our primary objective was to determine if postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) after cesarean delivery is
negatively associated with breastfeeding success. We also evaluated if postoperative pain affects breastfeeding success. We hypothesized that patients who had more PONV and pain would be less successful at breastfeeding.

Methods

After institutional and ethics approval, a registry was created for patients who presented for prelabor (elective or scheduled) cesarean delivery. Information collected included patient demographics and comorbidities, indications for cesarean delivery, intraoperative management and postoperative outcomes including length of stay, pain scores and use of antiemetics. Patients were contacted by telephone 4 weeks after delivery in order to complete a brief telephone survey. Two questions related to breastfeeding were asked: 1. Did you intend on breastfeeding? 2. Were you successful with breastfeeding? Successful breastfeeding was subjectively defined by each individual patient.

At our institution, patients who present for elective/scheduled cesarean delivery typically receive a spinal anesthetic with bupivacaine (12 mg), fentanyl (10 mcg) and morphine (0.2 mg). A phenylephrine infusion and a fluid bolus of lactated ringer’s are used to decrease the incidence of spinal hypotension. Antiemetics and supplemental intravenous analgesics or sedatives are given as needed. After delivery, patients receive an infusion of oxytocin (20 units over 1 h and then 5 units/h for 4 h). In our post anesthesia care unit (PACU), patients can receive ketorolac (30 mg) for postoperative pain. The postoperative analgesia regimen includes intrathecal morphine from the spinal anesthetic, oral acetaminophen, oral ibuprofen and oxycodone as needed.

The data were collected prospectively by anesthesia providers and entered into a Microsoft Access database. This project was authorized by the Stony Brook Medicine Division of Medical and Regulatory Affairs Office as part of the Surgical Quality Improvement Program (SQIP). Following de-identification and extraction of all SQIP patient records, a data analysis was performed as part of the Surgical Quality Data Users Group (SQDUG). The SQIP/SQDUG protocols were approved by our institution’s investigational review board (Committees on Research Involving Human Subjects [CORIHS] #170753-9 – Stony Brook University).

Fisher’s exact tests and Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to examine association between breastfeeding success and the following variables: comorbidities, ASA classification, intraoperative sedative and analgesic medications, PACU antiemetics, 48 h antiemetics, parity, and Visual analog scale (VAS) score at 6, 12 and 24 h after surgery. All calculations were performed at an alpha of 0.05 using SAS 9.4 Software © (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Results

Information was collected for 391 patients who presented to Stony Brook University Medical Center between October 2013 and September 2014 for elective or scheduled cesarean delivery. Overall, 132 patients completed a post-discharge telephone survey 4 weeks after surgery (34% survey completion). Ninety-four surveyed patients (71%) stated that they intended to breastfeed. Further analysis was performed on this cohort who intended to breastfeed. Eighty one patients (86%) reported successful breastfeeding and 11 patients (11%) reported they were unsuccessful with breastfeeding: two did not report on their success (2%).

With regard to our primary objective, breastfeeding success was not associated with PONV. Breastfeeding success was not associated with PACU antiemetic use (15% use in successful vs. 18% use in unsuccessful, p = 0.67). Breastfeeding success was not found to be associated with 48-h antiemetic use (28% use in successful vs. 36% use in unsuccessful, p = 0.73, Table 1). Pain visual analog scale scores (VAS) at 6, 12 and 24 h postop were not significantly different between patients with or without breastfeeding success (Table 2).

Breastfeeding success was positively associated with having previous children: 86% of those who reported success had at least one previous child; just 36% of those who were unsuccessful had at least one previous child (p < 0.001). Patient comorbidities had a negative affect: 91% of those who were not successful had some type of co-morbidity. This proportion was significantly lower (51%) in those who reported success (p = 0.02). Specifically, a higher proportion of those who reported unsuccessful breastfeeding were asthmatic (46% vs. 6%, p = 0.002). Diabetes also trended toward significance in this direction. Breastfeeding success was not found to be associated with ASA score, or need for intraoperative sedative or analgesic medication (p = 1). However, there was a difference in anesthetic technique; a higher proportion of those who reported unsuccessful breast feeding had a combined spinal/epidural compared to those who reported successful breast feeding (27% vs. 1%, p = 0.005).

Surveyed patients were compared to non-surveyed patients (n = 259) for differences in demographics, pre and post-operative information. Aside from having a lower ASA score in the surveyed group, there were no discernible differences (not shown).

Discussion

Our analysis found no association between breastfeeding success and PONV or postoperative pain scores. We hypothesized that a better recovery profile would
facilitate success at breastfeeding and that PONV and pain might make women more likely to give up trying to breastfeed. A previously published survey study demonstrated that mothers with increased pain after cesarean delivery had increased problems with breastfeeding (Karlstrom et al., 2007). We could find no data in the medical literature that correlated breastfeeding success with maternal PONV.

Our study found that mothers with previous children were more likely to be successful at breastfeeding, which is consistent with prior studies (Sutherland et al., 2012). It is interesting to note that mothers with asthma were much more likely to be unsuccessful at breastfeeding. It is unclear from our study if asthma causes a physical limitation that affects breastfeeding, or if asthma is a surrogate for demographic differences such as tobacco abuse or lower socioeconomic status. Lower socioeconomic status has been associated with lower breastfeeding rates (Brand et al., 2011). Future research should evaluate if improving breastfeeding rates in asthmatic mothers results in improved long-term outcomes for their children. A meta-analysis found that breastfeeding was associated with decreased incidence of asthma in children (Dogaru et al., 2014). Targeting breastfeeding resources toward first time mothers and asthmatic mothers may increase rates of breastfeeding success.

There are a limited number of studies that have looked at the effects of neuraxial anesthesia during childbirth on breastfeeding. Previous studies have suggested that epidural analgesia in labor is associated with lower breastfeeding rates (Baumgarner et al., 2003; Torvaldsen et al., 2006). However, a study by Halpern drew opposite conclusions demonstrating high breastfeeding rates in both patients who received or did receive labor epidural analgesia (Halpern et al., 1999). A systematic review found inconclusive evidence within the medical literature to determine the effect of epidural analgesia on breastfeeding (French et al., 2016).

A systematic review of breastfeeding worldwide reported a lower rate of breastfeeding in patients who had a cesarean versus a vaginal delivery (pooled odds ratio: 0.57 1 95% CI 0.50, 0.64, P, 0.00001). The rates of

| Table 1 Breastfeeding success and antiemetic use, demographics, comorbidities, and delivery characteristics |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Patient Characteristics | Unsuccessful Breastfeeding | Successful Breastfeeding | p value |
| Total Number of Patients | n = 11 | n = 81 | |
| Antiemetic Use | | | |
| PACU Antiemetics | 2 (18.2%) | 12 (14.8%) | 0.67 |
| 48 Hour Antiemetics | 4 (36.4%) | 23 (28.4%) | 0.73 |
| Demographics | | | |
| Age (Years) - Median (IQR) | 33 (28 - 34) | 33 (28 - 36) | 0.66 |
| Height (cm) - Median (IQR) | 160 (157 - 165) | 162 (157 - 167) | 0.44 |
| Weight (kg) - Median (IQR) | 93 (70- 100) | 84.5 (74 - 94.5) | 0.68 |
| BMI (kg/m²) - Median (IQR) | 35.4 (27.1, 39.6) | 32 (28.3 - 36) | 0.53 |
| Ethnicity (Caucasian vs. Non) | 7 (63.6%) | 53 (65.4%) | 1 |
| Parity (≥1) | 4 (36.4%) | 70 (86.4%) | <0.001 |
| ASA Classification | | | |
| ASA I | 2 (18.2%) | 32 (39.5%) | 0.32 |
| ASA II | 8 (72.7%) | 45 (55.6%) | |
| ASA III | 1 (9.1%) | 4 (4.9%) | |
| Gestational Age (Weeks) - Median (IQR) | 39 (38 - 39) | 39 (39 - 39.2) | 0.07 |
| Comorbidities | | | |
| Any Co-Morbidity | 10 (90.9%) | 41 (50.6%) | 0.02 |
| History of PONV | 0 (0%) | 13 (16.5%) | 0.35 |
| Diabetes | 3 (27.3%) | 6 (7.4%) | 0.07 |
| Asthma | 5 (45.5%) | 5 (6.2%) | 0.002 |
| Other Condition | 4 (36.4%) | 31 (38.3%) | 1 |
| Delivery Characteristics | | | |
| Indications for cesarean delivery | | | |
| Repeat Cesarean | 4 (36.4%) | 60 (75.0%) | 0.01 |
| Malpresentation | 1 (9.1%) | 10 (12.7%) | 1 |
| Macrosomia | 2 (18.2%) | 2 (2.5%) | 0.07 |
| Multiple gestation | 1 (9.1%) | 4 (5.0%) | 0.48 |
| Anesthetic technique | | | |
| - Spinal | 8 (72.7%) | 80 (98.8%) | 0.005 |
| - Combined Spinal Epidural | 3 (27.3%) | 1 (1.2%) | |
| Any Intraoperative Sedatives or Analgesics | 2 (18.2%) | 19 (23.5%) | 1 |
| Any Intraoperative Antiemetics | 6 (54.6%) | 50 (63.3%) | 0.74 |

| Table 2 Breastfeeding success and visual analog scale (VAS) pain scores |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Unsuccessful Breastfeeding | Successful Breastfeeding | P-value, Wilcoxon rank sum test |
| VAS 6 Hours | n = 10 | n = 73 | |
| Median (Q1/Q3) | 1.5 (0/4) | 3 (0/4) | 0.56 |
| Mean (Upper/Lower 95%) | 2.0 (0.7-3.4) | 2.73 (2.1-3.3) | |
| VAS 12 Hours | n = 9 | n = 75 | |
| Median (Q1/Q3) | 1 (0/1) | 2 (0/5) | 0.39 |
| Mean (Upper/Lower 95%) | 1.6 (0-3.3) | 2.6 (1.9-3.2) | |
| VAS 24 h | n = 10 | n = 80 | |
| Mean (Upper/Lower 95%) | 4.5 (2/6) | 3 (1/5) | 0.31 |
| Median (Q1/Q3) | 4.1 (2.2-6.1) | 3.2 (2.6-3.8) | |
breastfeeding were also lower in patients who had a pre-
labor (elective/scheduled) cesarean delivery versus an
emergency, in-labor cesarean delivery (prelabor odds ra-
tio: 0.83, 95% CI 0.80, 0.86, P = 0.00001, in labor odds ra-
tio: 1.00, 95% CI 0.97, 1.04; P = 0.86) (Prior et al., 2012).
The authors of this review speculated on several possible
reasons for these findings. The first hours after delivery
are important to establish breastfeeding between a
mother and neonate. Postoperative evaluations and
interventions may impede the creation of this bond be-
tween mother and child. Another idea is that there may
be hormones released in labor that promote breastfeed-
ing that are not released in prelabor cesarean delivery
patients. However, these authors also observed that there
were no differences at 6 months between vaginal
delivery and cesarean delivery in the patients who had
successful early breastfeeding (Thompson et al., 2010).
Patients with greater blood loss due to postpartum
hemorrhage were less likely to initiate and sustain full
breastfeeding. There is speculation that less successful
breastfeeding in these patients may be related to delays
in initial contact/bonding between mother and child.
The World Health Organization reports that the
exclusive breastfeeding rate at 6 months of life for
newborns worldwide is 40%. (Global breastfeeding
scorecard, 2017) In the United States, two thirds of
mothers breastfed in the 1900s. Breastfeeding rates
decreased over the twentieth century reaching a nadir
in 1972 with breastfeeding rates of 22%. Factors that
are associated with the declining rates of breastfeed-
ing include the increased use of breast milk substi-
tutes, the need to return to work away from their
babies, and lack of family support (Global breastfeed-
ing scorecard, 2017; Raffle et al., 2011; Wolf, 2003).
Breastfeeding rates have increased over the last few
decades (Wolff, 2003).
Our high level of breastfeeding success may have little
to do with the anesthetic management and may be more
reflective of institutional policies that encourage breast-
feeding. Our institution has worked toward earning a
“Baby-Friendly” designation by instituting the AAP’s Ten
Steps to Successful Breastfeeding (So, 2012). Examples of
these practices at our hospital include breastfeeding in
the PACU after cesarean delivery, mothers and infants
“room in” remaining together 24 h a day, pacifiers are
not provided to the infants, postpartum lactation
consultant services are available, and breastfeeding train-
ing is completed by all nursing staff. 32% of mothers at
our institution exclusively breastfed during their hospital
admission in 2012. Three years later, the exclusive
breastfeeding rate increased to 56% of mothers in 2015.
Implementation of these breastfeeding policies has
allowed for consistently high rates of breastfeeding over
time at other institutions (Philipp et al., 2003).

There are several limitations of this study. This is a
historical cohort study and is thus subject to bias. Our
breastfeeding analysis was performed in only 94 patients
with completed survey data. Inclusion of more patients
may have influenced our findings. Inclusion of more
patients may have influenced our findings. We
performed a sample size calculation that asked how
many patients we would have needed in our study to ob-
tain statistical significance with regard to breastfeeding,
antiemetic use and pain scores. We calculated that we
would have needed 3000 patients to detect a statistically
significant difference in antiemetic use and breastfeeding
success, and approximately 500-800 patients to detect a
significant difference in pain score and breastfeeding
success. We cannot rule out there being some associ-
ation, however, these large required sample sizes suggest
that any effect is probably small, given the large number
of patients likely needed.

We used antiemetics as a well-established surrogate
measure of PONV, however, it is possible that some
patients may have had nausea but did not receive anti-
emetics (Myles & Wengritzky, 2012). Our definition of
breastfeeding was subjective for each individual patient.
We chose a subjective definition of breastfeeding
success, as there is variability to what constitutes success
between patients. Breastfeeding success could be defined
as 1. successful breastfeed with formula supplementation
(complementary feeding), 2. breastfeeding for 6 weeks
until return to work, or 3. exclusive breastfeeding for
6 months. More objective measures (breastfeeding initi-
ation, exclusive breastfeeding, breastfeeding at 6 months)
may have provided more clinically meaningful informa-
tion. Further investigation into the reasons as to why
29% of our patients did not intend to breastfeed may
provide better insight into how to improve exclusive
breastfeeding rates.

Conclusions
Breastfeeding success was not found to be associated
with postoperative nausea and vomiting or postoperative
pain. Efforts to improve PONV and pain after cesarean
delivery may not be effective in increasing rates of
breastfeeding success. To possibly improve breastfeeding
rates, resources should be directed toward patients with
no previous children and patients with asthma.
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