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ABSTRACT

The choice for a polyadenylation site determines the length of the 3′-untranslated region (3′-UTRs) of an mRNA. Inclusion or exclusion of regulatory sequences in the 3′-UTR may ultimately affect gene expression levels. Poly(A) binding protein nuclear 1 (PABPN1) is involved in polyadenylation of pre-mRNAs. An alanine repeat expansion in PABPN1 (exp-PABPN1) causes oculopharyngeal muscular dystrophy (OPMD).

We hypothesized that previously observed disturbed gene expression patterns in OPMD muscles may have been the result of an effect of PABPN1 on alternative polyadenylation, influencing mRNA stability, localization and translation. A single molecule polyadenylation site sequencing method was developed to explore polyadenylation site usage on a genome-wide level in mice overexpressing exp-PABPN1. We identified 2012 transcripts with altered polyadenylation site usage. In the far majority, more proximal alternative polyadenylation sites were used, resulting in shorter 3′-UTRs. 3′-UTR shortening was generally associated with increased expression. Similar changes in polyadenylation site usage were observed after knockdown or overexpression of expanded but not wild-type PABPN1 in cultured myogenic cells.

Our data indicate that PABPN1 is important for polyadenylation site selection and that reduced availability of functional PABPN1 in OPMD muscles results in use of alternative polyadenylation sites, leading to large-scale deregulation of gene expression.
INTRODUCTION

Poly(A) binding protein nuclear 1 (PABPN1) is a ubiquitous protein involved in polyadenylation of pre-mRNAs (1–4). An expansion mutation in the polyalanine repeat in the N-terminus of PABPN1 causes oculopharyngeal muscular dystrophy (OPMD) (5). OPMD is an autosomal dominant, late-onset and progressive muscle disorder. The expanded PABPN1 (exp-PABPN1) accumulates in insoluble nuclear inclusions in affected muscles of OPMD patients (6). We have previously shown that the muscle mRNA expression profiles of OPMD patients and animal models are widely different from controls (7,8). However, it is not clear if this is directly related to the function of PABPN1 in polyadenylation.

Polyadenylation of mRNAs requires a range of multi-subunit protein complexes. The cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor (CPSF), the cleavage stimulation factor (CstF)n and other proteins are involved in the endonucleolytic cleavage at the poly(A) cleavage site (polyadenylation site) preceding the addition of the poly(A) tail (9). Poly(A) polymerase (PAP), PABPN1 and CPSF are involved in the addition of the poly(A) tail itself (10,11). The assembly of the 3′-end processing machinery is directed by specific RNA sequences: the polyadenylation signal (consensus sequence AAUAAA, recognized by CPSF), the downstream sequence element (recognized by CstF (12,13)) and the upstream sequence element (14–16). So far, two major roles for PABPN1 in polyadenylation have been established. PABPN1 increases the processivity of PAP during the elongation of the tail (10,17), and it controls the length of the poly(A) tail to ~250 nucleotides (1–3). Polyadenylation at different positions in the mRNA increases the variety of transcripts (18). Polyadenylation sites within different exons or introns give rise to alternative 3′-terminal exons and transcripts coding for different protein isoforms (19).

Polyadenylation sites located at different positions in the same 3′-untranslated region (3′-UTR) give rise to transcript variants that differ in the length of the 3′-UTR. Shortening or lengthening of the 3′-UTR may result in the loss or gain of regulatory elements, such as miRNA binding sites or binding sites for proteins that can stabilize or destabilize the transcript (20,21). This may affect mRNA stability and overall gene expression. Alternative polyadenylation is a common regulatory mechanism in various developmental and physiological processes such as the immune response (21–24), and it may also contribute to carcinogenesis (25).

To investigate the role of PABPN1 in alternative polyadenylation, we developed a single molecule sequencing approach for genome-wide detection of polyadenylation sites and studied alternative polyadenylation in A17.1 mice, which overexpress exp-PABPN1 in muscle (26). We further investigated the effects of mutation and modulation of PABPN1 expression levels on polyadenylation site selection in a myogenic cell model. We found that manipulation of PABPN1 expression levels lead to changes in polyadenylation site usage and that reduced PABPN1 levels lead to a general shortening of 3′-UTRs. We suggest an involvement of PABPN1 in polyadenylation site selection and a novel molecular mechanism for OPMD, where sequestering of exp-PABPN1 in insoluble inclusions interferes with normal polyadenylation and disrupts gene expression patterns.
CHAPTER 2

MATERIALS AND METHODS

RNA isolation

Total RNA was extracted from quadriceps muscles of mice overexpressing the exp-PABPN1 (A17.1 mouse model) (26) and FBV mice using RNA Bee solution (Tel-Test, Bio-Connect) after homogenization of the tissue with glass beads (diameter: 1.0 mm) on the BeadBeeper (BioSpec) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quadriceps have been isolated from A17.1 and FVB mice aged 6 and 26 weeks (N = 3 per group). RNA quality and concentration was determined on the Bioanalyzer (Agilent) with RNA 6000 Nano kit (RIN > 8).

Sample preparation and polyadenylation site single molecule sequencing method

Poly(A)+ RNAs were isolated from 2 μg of total RNA using oligo(dT)25 magnetic beads (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. First strand cDNA synthesis (SuperScript III, Invitrogen) was performed on the beads primed by oligo(dT)25 following manufacturer’s protocol. RNase H (Invitrogen) treatment and second strand synthesis were carried out at 16°C for 2.5 h. dsDNA was digested with NlaIII (New England Biolabs (NEB)) for 1h at 37°C. During Poly(A)+ RNAs capture, first and second strand cDNA synthesis, and dsDNA digestion, RNA and DNA molecules were washed as described in the Tag Profiling Sample Prep Kit (Illumina), using respectively GEX binding and washing buffers, GEX cleaning solution and GEX buffer C and D. dsDNA was heat denatured at 95°C for 2 min in 100 μl of elution buffer (10 mM Tris–Cl, pH 8.5), and the eluted second strand cDNA was precipitated with 0.1 volumes of sodium acetate (3 M, ph 4.8–5.2), 1 μl of co-precipitant Pellet-Paint (EMD4Biosciences) and 2.5 volumes of ethanol 100% overnight at -20°C. Poly(A) tailing reaction and blocking reaction were performed using Terminal Transferase kit (NEB) with the following modifications. Poly(A) tailing reaction was carried out for 30 min at 42°C using 5 units of Terminal Transferase enzyme and 4 μl of 50 μM dATPs (Helicos PolyA tailing dATP) in presence of 2 μl of 2.5 mM CoCl2 and 0.2 μl of BSA (NEB). Blocking reaction was performed with 0.5 μl of 200 μM biotinylated ddATP (PerkinElmer) at 37°C for 1 h, followed by 20 min at 70°C. Biotinylated ddATPs are used to measure the concentration of the samples by biotin–streptavidin approach (OptyHyb assay, Helicos). Seventy-five microliters of each sample at a concentration of 200 pM was directly hybridized to the flow cell and ssDNA was sequenced on the HeliScope platform according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A total of 12 samples were sequenced in individual lanes.

Data analysis

Microarray analysis

Differential expression analysis of A17.1 and wild-type mice was described previously (8). The expression profiles were generated on the Illumina Mouse Sentrix-6 v2 Beadchip platform. This platform generally contains one probe per transcript and for genes with multiple transcript variants, multiple probes may be present. Only genes with at least two probes were included. The number of genes with at least one significantly upregulated and at least one significantly downregulated probe was counted (false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05). We evaluated two control mouse datasets profiled on the exact same Beadchip platform using the same analysis procedure (normalization, differential expression and probe annotation) (27, 28).
Sequencing analysis

During the sequencing process on the HeliScope platform some nucleotides can remain unlabelled and appear as deletions. Therefore, standard alignment software is not suitable for Helicos data. Preprocessing of raw reads, including filtering and alignment to the mouse genome (mm9), was performed using the basic pipeline (version 1.1.498.63) from the Helicos, described at http://open.helicosbio.com/helisphere_user_guide/ch04s07.html. We ran the alignment with a maximum of 100 possible mapping locations per read. A second filtering step after alignment was performed, filtering for the best location of each read and setting as threshold a minimum score of 4 and a minimum sequence length of 25 nucleotides. Downstream analysis was carried out using Custom Perl scripts to report the estimated number of tags in each region.

Polyadenylation site assignment and annotation

After alignment, we used the 5′-end of the reads to identify the position of the polyadenylation site and generated wiggle files using a Custom Perl script. Polyadenylation sites within a distance of 10 nt were clustered together and assembled into regions. Clustering of regions containing polyadenylation sites (Poly(A) clusters) was carried out in repeating cycles until every region was separate by a gap of at least 10 nucleotides. Regions were annotated based on the ENSEMBL GENE 63 (Sanger, UK) database, NCBI mouse genome build 37. Further statistical analysis focused only on reads mapping to the expanded 3′-UTR, up to 2 kb downstream of the annotated 3′-UTR. To this end, we used a Custom R script to filter out reads that were not mapping within the expanded 3′-UTR.

Differential expression analysis and functional annotation

The statistical programming language R (version R 2.12.0) was used for analysis of differential expression between A17.1 and control mice. The analysis was performed using the R Bioconductor package edgeR (29) (version 2.0.4). A negative binomial model was fitted and a common dispersion was estimated for all the tags prior testing procedures. Exact P-values were computed using the exact test and adjusted for multiple testing according to Benjamini and Hochberg method (30). Only reads mapping in the expanded 3′-UTR of a transcript were used for expression analysis. Poly(A) clusters containing just one read were filtered out prior any statistical analysis to reduce noise. KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathway analysis was performed using DAVID Functional Annotation Tool (31).

Statistical model to identify transcripts with usage of alternative polyadenylation sites

We fitted a logistic generalized linear mixed model to the counts for each polyadenylation site in a particular 3′-UTR using the R Bioconductor package lme4 (http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lme4/index.html). The counts nij for polyadenylation site j of mouse i were modeled as binomial with parameters Ni and pij. Here, Graphic, where k is the number of polyadenylation sites for a particular 3′-UTR, and Ni is the total number of reads for all polyadenylation sites for that 3′-UTR in mouse i. The log odds of the parameter pij was modeled using fixed effects for polyadenylation site and OPMD status, with their interaction, combined with a random intercept and polyadenylation site effect within a mouse. We tested for the presence of an OPMD effect with a chi-squared likelihood ratio test, using as the null hypothesis the same model, but with the OPMD effect and the OPMD-polyadenylation site
interaction set to zero. Modeling the fraction for each polyadenylation site within the total counts of all polyadenylation sites of the same transcript allows assessment of changes in relative, rather than absolute frequency of the polyadenylation site.

**Sequence motif analysis**

We used DREME (32) to identify enriched sequence motifs. From the list of transcripts showing changes in polyadenylation site usage, we expanded the sequence of every polyadenylation site up to 50 nucleotides upstream (accounting for the genomic strand on which the polyadenylation site was located), and grouped the sequences into two categories, one containing only the most distal (3') polyadenylation site and the other containing all the other more proximal (5') polyadenylation sites. All sequences were masked for repeats. We first ran DREME limiting the search to a maximum width of six bases for each motif, according to the length of known polyadenylation signals sequences with the full 3'-UTR sequences as background (18). Subsequently, a discriminative motif search was performed using the sequences upstream the distal polyadenylation site as negative background for the proximal polyadenylation site and vice versa.

**Cell culture**

Mouse myoblasts C2C12 were grown on collagen-coated plates in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% glucose and 2% glutamax (Invitrogen). Differentiation was induced by serum deprivation for 7 days, by culturing in DMEM supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum, 1% glucose and 2% glutamax. Cells were grown under 10% CO2.

**Lentiviral transduction**

Overexpression of the human wild-type PABPN1 and the exp-PABPN1 in C2C12 cells was achieved by transduction of lentiviruses expressing CFP-Ala10-PABPN1 and YFP-Ala16-PABPN1, which were generated from expression vectors previously described (33). For the downregulation of the endogenous Pabpn1, C2C12 were transduced with Short Hairpin (shRNA)-expressing lentiviruses. The shRNA lentiviral plasmids (pLKO.1-puro) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (TRCN0000102536 and TRCN0000000121). Lentiviral particles were produced as previously reported (34). C2C12 myoblasts were plated in either a 6-well (100000 cells/well, overexpression) or 12-well (35000 cells/well, knockdown) plate, and transduction was carried out in the presence of polybrene (8μg/ml) after an initial wash with EDTA (0.54 mM EDTA in PBS). The titers of the lentiviruses were determined by the p24 elisa kit (Retro-Tek, ZeproMetrix Corp.). A virus titer in the range of 35 ng p24 per 105 cells was used for YFP-Ala16-PABPN1, a range of 35–70ng p24 per 105 cells for CFP-Ala10-PABPN1, and a titer of 80ng p24 per 105 cells was used for shRNA-TRCN0000102536 and shRNA-TRCN0000000121. Culture medium was replaced after 48h by differentiation medium and RNA was extracted after 7 days from C2C12 myotubes transduced with CFP-Ala10-PABPN1 and YFP-Ala16-PABPN1. CMV-GFP vector was used as negative control. The levels of CFP and YFP mRNA in transduced cells were checked by quantitative reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (qRT–PCR). C2C12 transduced with shRNA were treated with puromycin (40ng/ml) in fresh proliferating medium after 48h of transduction, and RNA was extracted after 24h from myoblasts. Experiments were performed in three independent wells and repeated on different days.
RNA isolation, reverse-transcription, qRT–PCR

RNA was extracted from C2C12 cells using the NucleoSpin RNA II kit (Macherey-Nagel) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized from 1μg of RNA using BioScript MMLV Reverse Transcriptase (Bioline) with 40ng of random hexamer and oligo(dT)18 primers following manufacturer’s instructions. qRT–PCR was performed on the LightCycler 480 (Roche) using 2X SensiMix reagent (Bioline). Each measurement was performed in duplicates or triplicates. mRNA expression levels and PCR efficiency were determined using the LinRegPCR program (35) v.11.1 according to the described method (36). Relative expression levels of Pabpn1 were normalized to the geometric mean of two housekeeping genes, Gapdh and Hprt. Ratios between short and long variants were then calculated. Primers for qRT–PCR were designed using Primer3Plus program. Primers for poly(A) site switches validation in qRT–PCR were designed in the sequences proximal to the detected polyadenylation site of six candidate genes (Arih1, Atp1b1, Psmd14, Psme3, Tmod1 and Vldlr). Primers for Pabpn1 were designed to detect both the human exogenous and mouse endogenous mRNA. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

RNA immunoprecipitation

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) was performed using C2C12 myoblasts extracts. Experiments were performed in 6-well plates 90% confluent. Cells were trypsinized (0.05% Trypsin–EDTA (Invitrogen)) and cell pellets were recovered by centrifugation (10 min at 290 rcf). One-sixth of the cell pellet was used for total RNA extraction (input RNA) using NucleoSpin RNA II kit (Macherey-Nagel) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Five-sixth of the cell pellet were resuspended in 1 ml lysis buffer (100mM KCl, 5mM MgCl2, 10mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 0.5% NP40, 1mM DTT, 80 U RNAse Inhibitor (Roche), Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche)). The lysate was passed five times through a 29G needle and incubated for 10 min on ice. The lysates was then clarified by centrifugation at 16000 rcf for 5 min at 4°C and supernatant was recovered. Protein concentration was determined using Bradford assay. Immunoprecipitation of PABPN1 was conducted with 700 μg of protein extract using the VHH-3F5 antibody (37) (overnight incubation at 4°C) and immunocomplexes were isolated with Protein A Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) pre-coated with sperm-DNA. Following extensive washing with lysis buffer, RNA was isolated from the immunocomplexes using the NucleoSpin RNA II kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To validate the RIP, a parallel immunoprecipitation was conducted with 150 μg of protein extract for western blot analysis. The immunocomplexes were heat denatured (95°C for 5 min) and resolved by sodium dodecyl sulphate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis on a 10% polyacrylamide gel followed by western blot. PABPN1 was detected with rabbit anti-PABPN1 (LSBio, 1:10000) using goat anti-rabbit as secondary antibody (IRDye800CW, Licor, 1:10000) and Tubulin was used as loading control and negative control for immunoprecipitation (tubulin was detected with mouse monoclonal anti-tubulin, Sigma Aldrich, 1:2000, and goat anti-mouse IRDye680CW, Licor, 1:8000). Signals were visualized with the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-Cor Biosciences).
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RESULTS

Microarray analysis show alternative polyadenylation events in A17.1 mice

In a previous microarray expression profile study comparing A17.1 mice with FVB parental mice (8), we noticed that probes in the same gene frequently detect discordant changes in expression. We determined that for ~8% of the genes which are represented by at least two probes on the microarray, opposite changes in expression levels were detected (Table 1). In contrast, two disease models and age-matched mouse datasets, where RNA was profiled on the same microarray platform, showed 10–20-fold fewer genes with probes showing opposite changes in expression direction (Table 1). This gave a first indication for frequent alternative polyadenylation events in A17.1 mice.

| Mouse model | A17.1 PABPN1 overexpression | A17.1 PABPN1 overexpression | TNX (27) knockout | TBX3b (28) overexpression |
|-------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Age (weeks) | 6                            | 26                           | 32               | 8                        |
| Total number of deregulated genes       | 6012                         | 4010                         | 1111             | 1758                     |
| Number of deregulated genes with multiple probes | 2819                         | 1890                         | 488              | 908                      |
| Number of deregulated genes with probes showing opposite direction in expression level | 239                          | 118                          | 3                | 3                        |
| Percentage of deregulated genes with probes showing opposite direction in expression level | 8.5%                         | 6.2%                         | 0.6%             | 0.3%                     |

Table 1. Frequency of alternative polyadenylation events identified on microarrays

Polyadenylation site single molecule sequencing

The Illumina microarray platform contains only a limited number of genes where alternative transcripts from the same gene are interrogated by different probes and is therefore not suited for comprehensive analysis of alternative polyadenylation. To identify polyadenylation sites on a genome wide level in a largely unbiased way, we developed a polyadenylation site sequencing method based on the amplification-free HeliScope single molecule sequencer technology (Figure 1). To retain only the 3’-ends of the mRNAs and reduce internal priming events, poly(A)+ RNAs were captured on oligo(dT) beads, followed by first and second strand cDNA synthesis on the beads and a restriction enzyme digestion. Double stranded molecules were denatured, and the poly(A) stretch downstream of the polyadenylation site made the cDNA molecules directly amenable for sequencing on the HeliScope flow cell. Sequencing started directly after the poly(A) tail, and thus at the polyadenylation site. This method enables strand-specific mapping of alternative polyadenylation sites at single nucleotide resolution. In contrast to other recently developed methods for genome-wide assessment of alternative polyadenylation events (24,38), our method is amplification- and ligation-free, resulting in lower quantification bias.

Alternative polyadenylation sites in mouse transcripts

We used the single molecule sequencing method to investigate polyadenylation site usage in mouse muscles. Sequencing was performed on RNA isolated from quadriceps muscles of six individual A17.1 mice and six control mice (FVB). Mice of two different age groups were combined since there were no
significant differences in polyadenylation site usage between young (6 weeks) and adult (26 weeks) mice. On average, we obtained 24 million reads per sample. An average of ~4.8 million aligned reads per sample remained after filtering for low quality reads inherent to single molecule sequencing, and after applying a stringent threshold on the confidence with which the reads could be aligned to a position in the genome. Approximately 60% of those mapped to annotated 3′-UTRs or sequences up to 2 kb downstream of annotated 3′-UTRs (expanded 3′-UTR) and included many not yet annotated transcript ends. A summary of the sequencing results is shown in Supplementary Table S2. To assign the location of every polyadenylation site, we considered the biological heterogeneity of the cleavage site (18,39). Therefore, we clustered together polyadenylation sites located within a window of 10 nt and continued with multiple rounds of clustering until all poly(A) clusters were separated by a gap of at least 10 nucleotides. The median width of the clusters of polyadenylation sites was 12 nucleotides, suggesting considerable variation in the exact position of the polyA site (Figure 2A). The width of the clusters is larger in case of mitochondrial RNAs, which may be polyadenylated at nearly any position in the transcript (Supplementary Figure S1) probably due to the polyadenylation-dependent degradation mechanism of mitochondrial RNAs (24,40). After removing noise by requiring a coverage
of minimum two reads per polyadenylation site, we detected a total of 32,820 polyadenylation sites. 28,853 polyadenylation sites (88%) located in the expanded 3′-UTR of 11,529 different transcripts. Our analysis showed that 56% of the detected transcripts have multiple polyadenylation sites (Figure 2B).

Widespread changes in polyadenylation site usage in A17.1 mice

A17.1 mice showed large differences in the relative polyadenylation site usage compared with control mice. An illustrative example of a transcript showing a change in polyadenylation site usage is given in Figure 3A. We detected two major polyadenylation sites in the 3′-UTR of Psmd14, a distal site at the annotated 3′-end of the transcript and a more proximal site. The distal and the proximal polyadenylation sites, giving rise to variants with long or short 3′-UTRs, can be observed in both FVB and A17.1 mice, but at different levels. In FVB mice, the majority of the reads mapped to the distal polyadenylation site, while in A17.1 mice, the majority mapped to the proximal polyadenylation site. To analyse how widespread this type of switches in preferred polyadenylation site usage in A17.1 mice were, we evaluated the statistical significance of differences in the relative polyadenylation site usage using a generalized linear mixed model on the binomial distribution of the counts for each polyadenylation site in a transcript. From 11,529 transcripts detected with our polyadenylation site sequencing method, 6,506 transcripts contained at least two polyadenylation sites. Out of those, 31% showed significant differences (FDR<0.05) in polyadenylation site usage between A17.1 and FVB mice (Supplementary Table S3). We observed a strong preference for the proximal polyadenylation site in A17.1 mice, because transcripts variants with proximal polyadenylation site were mainly upregulated and transcript variants with distal polyadenylation site were mainly downregulated in A17.1 mice (Figure 3B).

To validate the changes in relative polyadenylation site usage observed by sequencing analysis, qRT–PCR was performed using primer pairs designed just upstream of the detected polyadenylation site. We tested a panel of six genes (Arih1, Atp1b1, Psmd14, Psme3, Tmod1 and Vldlr), identified from the transcriptome study in the mouse model (8) and from the cross-species study for OPMD (7). The ratio between the proximal PCR product (representing the shorter and longer isoforms) and the distal PCR product (representing only the level of the longer isoforms) was elevated for all six genes (Figure 3C and D), confirming the shortening of 3′-UTRs in A17.1 mice observed by
polyadenylation site sequencing.

**Figure 3.** Altered polyadenylation site usage in A17.1 mice. (A) A screenshot of USCS Genome Browser displaying polyadenylation sites in Psdm14 gene. The y-axis represents the coverage of the peaks, corresponding to the number of reads mapping at each polyadenylation site. A control (FVB) and an A17.1 mouse are shown in independent traces. Below the coverage tracks, the four annotated transcripts are shown. The longest transcript (ENSMUST00000028278) contains a 3’-UTR of 297 nucleotides. There are two major polyadenylation sites in this region (indicated by arrows), a distal one (peak location chr2:61,638,431-61,638,432) at the annotated 3’-end of the transcript and a proximal one located 276 nucleotides upstream and just 23 nucleotides downstream of the stop codon (peak location chr2:61,638,155-61,638,156). (B) Volcano plots showing transcripts variants containing the distal polyadenylation site (left panel) or more proximal polyadenylation sites (right panel). The y-axis represents the -10log of the multiple testing adjusted p-values, while the x-axis represents the ratio of expression in A17.1 over wild-type mice on a logarithmic scale (base 2). The blue line represents a p-value threshold of 0.05. The outliers present in the graphs represent data points were the total counts in one of the two groups is zero. (C) Pabpn1 expression level in quadriceps muscles of A17.1 mice and FVB mice, as measured by qRT-PCR with primers measuring both endogenous and exogenous Pabpn1. (D) The ratio of proximal PCR over distal PCR products in A17.1 mice (black bars) and FVB mice (white bars), as measured by qRT-PCR. Values are means ± standard deviation for n=6 mice per group (*P<0.05, **P<0.01).
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Alteration of the length of the 3′-UTR results in disturbed gene expression patterns

A largely deregulated gene expression pattern in A17.1 mice has already been shown using microarray technology (8). Our polyadenylation site sequencing method can be used to identify differentially expressed transcripts, because every read uniquely identifies a transcript. To investigate the impact of changes in relative polyadenylation site usage on transcript levels, we performed a differential expression analysis using the R Bioconductor package edgeR (29) on the sum of all reads mapping to the expanded 3′-UTR of a transcript. With this procedure, we analysed the combined expression levels of short and long transcripts. From a total of 11,529 transcripts included in the analysis, 3,441 were significantly deregulated (FDR < 0.05) (Supplementary Table S4). Approximately 60% of the deregulated transcripts were upregulated. The proteasome and ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis pathways were the most significantly deregulated KEGG pathways (Supplementary Table S4), confirming our previous microarray-based results (7,8,41). To assess whether changes in polyadenylation site usage resulted in differences in transcript expression levels, we determined the overlap between deregulated transcripts in A17.1 mice and transcripts showing changes in relative polyadenylation site usage. Out of the 6,506 transcripts with two or more polyadenylation sites, 2,263 transcripts were differentially expressed between A17.1 and FVB mice (Supplementary Table S6), of which 1,249 (55%) were upregulated. The overlap between transcripts with differential polyadenylation site usage and those which were upregulated is highly significant (Figure 4A and B). This suggests that shortening of 3′-UTRs generally results in the loss of negative regulatory elements, such as miRNA binding sites, and higher transcript stability.

Alternative polyadenylation sites used in A17.1 mice contain primarily non-canonical polyadenylation signals

To obtain further insight into the mechanism leading to a preferential use of proximal polyadenylation sites in the A17.1 mice, we performed a sequence motif analysis to examine the sequences 50 nucleotides upstream of the distal and proximal polyadenylation sites. Most sequences with distal polyadenylation site contained one of the two canonical polyadenylation signals. The frequency of canonical polyadenylation signals in proximal sequences was lower (Table 2, 43% vs. 83%). We then performed a discriminative motif analysis using DREME (32), contrasting the motifs in sequences located upstream of the distal or the proximal polyadenylation site directly. The use of a discriminative approach enables the identification of motifs, which are enriched in only one of the two subsets of sequences. Distal polyadenylation site were enriched for the two canonical polyadenylation signals (Table 3). Proximal polyadenylation sites showed very moderate enrichment for nine hexamers, mainly GC-rich. These results indicate that the proximal polyadenylation sites preferentially used in A17.1 mice are predominantly non-canonical and do not contain a strong consensus sequence. We also performed a motif analysis on the sequences 50 nucleotides downstream of the detected polyadenylation site, but did not find enriched motifs there.

PABPN1 levels affect 3′-end processing

To confirm that expression of the exp-PABPN1 alters polyadenylation site usage in muscle cells, we expressed the expanded human PABPN1 in C2C12 myoblasts by transduction of lentiviral particles containing YFP-Ala16-PABPN1. Myoblasts were then fused into myotubes. The overexpression level was assessed by measuring total (endogenous and exogenous) Pabpn1 mRNA levels by qRT–PCR.
Pabpn1 was ∼4-fold overexpressed (Figure 5A), much lower than in the A17.1 mice (Figure 3C). Overexpression at this level did not affect cell differentiation or fusion, as assessed by the expression levels of the myogenic markers Myog, Tnnc1, Myh7 and Myf5 (Supplementary Figure S2). We analysed proximal and distal polyadenylation site usage in the muscle cells with the same qRT–PCR assay as used for the A17.1 mice. The ratio between shorter and longer transcripts was significantly increased for five out of six tested genes (Figure 5B). Our in vitro data therefore confirm the effect of overexpression of exp-PABPN1 on polyadenylation site usage observed in the A17.1 mouse model. The differences, however, were smaller than those found in the A17.1 mice, likely due to lower overexpression levels. We next addressed whether overexpression of wild-type PABPN1 would also affect alternative polyadenylation. C2C12 myoblasts were transduced with lentiviral particles expressing the CFP-Ala10-PABPN1 construct. We did not succeed in obtaining 4-fold overexpression level of wild-type PABPN1. To be able to compare the effects of exp-PABPN1 and wild-type PABPN1, we overexpressed both forms 1.5-fold (Figure 5C). At this low level, overexpression of exp-PABPN1 caused significant changes in the length of 2 out of the 6 tested 3′-UTRs, whereas no significant increases were observed when transducing with wild-type PABPN1 (Figure 5D and Supplementary Figure S3A and B). Following these findings, we asked whether reduction of Pabpn1 expression...
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would also affect polyadenylation site usage. PABPN1 knockdown by siRNAs is known to decrease myoblast differentiation in vitro (1). Thus, we downregulated endogenous Pabpn1 in C2C12 myoblasts by lentiviral transduction with shRNAs. We used two different constructs which downregulated the expression of Pabpn1 to 70% and 40% of control levels (Figure 5E). Interestingly, 40% downregulation of Pabpn1 did not result in consistent alterations in polyadenylation site usage, whereas 70%
downregulation resulted in shortening of the 3′-UTRs of 4 out of 6 genes tested (Figure 5F). These results suggest that polyadenylation site usage is affected by PABPN1 expression levels. To support the notion that PABPN1 enhances the use of distal polyadenylation sites, we performed RIP experiments to investigate the binding abundance of transcripts with short and long 3′-UTRs to PABPN1. We investigated the same panel of genes previously used in Figure 5 and calculated the ratio between shorter and longer transcripts, comparing total RNA extracts and PABPN1 immunoprecipitates. Experiments were performed on C2C12 myoblasts. The proximal:distal ratio was significantly lower in PABPN1 immunoprecipitated-RNA compared with input RNA for five out of six genes (Figure 6A and B). This suggests that PABPN1 preferentially binds to transcripts with distal polyadenylation sites.

**DISCUSSION**

Alternative polyadenylation is important to fine-tune gene expression levels, but it is currently unclear how the choice for alternative polyadenylation sites is regulated. The choice of polyadenylation site may depend on the proper orchestration of cleavage and polyadenylation factors (9,22,23,42–44), splicing (45–47) and general transcription factors (22). In this study, we provide evidence that PABPN1 regulates alternative polyadenylation in addition to its role in regulating poly(A) tail length (1–4). To study alternative polyadenylation events on a genome wide level, we developed the polyadenylation
site single molecule sequencing method. Our method is different from the recent SAPAS and PAS-Seq methods (24,38) because it is amplification and ligation free, allowing a more direct and therefore likely less biased, quantitative analysis of polyadenylation site usage. The noise in our data is low and internal priming events are rare as evident from the large majority of reads mapping to the 3′-UTR and having a canonical polyadenylation signal within a distance of 50 nucleotides. Moreover, our method has more power to detect alternative polyadenylation events than general RNA-seq technology (45), which usually comes with low coverage at the 3′-ends. A direct RNA sequencing method would also avoid a possible reverse transcription bias (48), but is not yet available for customers. This study detected many not yet annotated polyadenylation sites and provides an extensive catalogue of alternative polyadenylation events in mouse skeletal muscle. Our results showed more widespread alternative polyadenylation in the mouse transcriptome compared to previous studies (18,24). This indicates that the number of alternative polyadenylation events identified depends very much on the technology used and suggests that alternative polyadenylation frequencies are high in most eukaryotes (49). We investigated alternative polyadenylation events in A17.1 mice overexpressing exp-PABPN1. Our analysis showed a widespread change in relative polyadenylation site usage, with an increased use of proximal polyadenylation sites and thus a shortening of 3′-UTRs. Interestingly, we found that 45% of the transcripts showing changes in polyadenylation site usage were also deregulated. We found an increase in expression level of transcripts with shorter 3′-UTRs, which could be a result of increased stability of transcripts lacking certain miRNA binding sites or other destabilizing elements. Combined with a reduced potential for fine tuning of gene expression due to 3′-UTR shortening, this may contribute to the disturbed gene expression patterns observed in OPMD animal models and patient muscles (7,8). Recently, Jenal et al. (50) published similar findings using the amplification-dependent Illumina sequencing technology. We both show an increase in proximal polyadenylation site usage after overexpression of Exp-PABPN1 or knock down of endogenous Pabpn1. In addition to the results presented by Jenal et al., we directly compared the overexpression of wild-type and expPABPN1 in muscles cells. We demonstrated similar effects of the knockdown of endogenous Pabpn1 and the overexpression of the expanded but not with wild-type PABPN1 in muscle cells. These results are in line with a reduction in the availability of functional PABPN1 in exp-PABPN1 expressing cells and OPMD muscle as a consequence of the higher aggregation potential of exp-PABPN1 compared with its wild-type counterpart, which will drain the nucleus from soluble PABPN1 (41). Importantly, OPMD muscles show myogenic defects (51) which are similar to knockdown of PABPN1 in mouse cells (1). The exact molecular mechanism by which alteration in PABPN1 expression affects site selection is still not fully elucidated. Jena et al. excluded an effect of PABPN1 on the stability of long versus short transcripts and suggested that PABPN1 binds proximal polyadenylation signals, masking those sites and protecting them from cleavage. However, Jenal et al. only considered binding of PABPN1 to proximal polyadenylation sites. Our RNA-immunoprecipitation experiments provide evidences for a preferential or stronger binding of PABPN1 to transcripts with distal polyadenylation sites. This suggests that PABPN1 enhances the 3′-end processing at the stronger, canonical sites but future studies with targeted mutagenesis should formally prove this. Moreover, Wahle (2) demonstrates a role for PABPN1 in conferring specificity to CPSF for canonical polyadenylation sites. Based on this, the observations in our paper, and the proven physical interaction between PABPN1 and RNA polymerase II (52), it may be postulated that PABPN1 and CPSF comigrate with the RNA polymerase II complex. PABPN1 may then subsequently restrict the site in the RNA where CPSF dissociates from the RNA polymerase II complex to distal, canonical polyadenylation sites. Reduced soluble PABPN1 levels may alter the stoichiometry of the RNA polymerase II/CPSF/PABPN1 complex, leading to premature
dissociation of CPSF at proximal, non-canonical poly(A) sites, resulting in general shortening of the 3′-UTRs of transcripts. An alternative mechanism that might be considered is that lower levels of PABPN1 directly or indirectly reduce the RNA Pol II-mediated transcriptional elongation rate, which has been shown to result in the preferred use of proximal poly(A) sites (53). In any case, these alternative polyadenylation events resulting from reduced PABPN1 levels may affect mRNA stability and partly explain the observed aberrant muscle gene expression patterns and muscle weakness in OPMD animal models and patients (7).
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Supplementary Figure 2. Effect of exp-PABPN1 overexpression on myotubes. Expression level of myogenic markers in myotubes transduced with YFP-Ala16-PABPN1 (black) compared to CMV-GFP control (grey) and myoblasts (white).

Supplementary Figure 3. Effects of low overexpression of wild-type and expanded PABPN1 on polyadenylation sites usage in C2C12 cells. Differently from Figure 5D, ratio of proximal and distal PCR products are calculated as absolute.