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1. Introduction

The evolution of wireless system technology has enabled not only the miniaturization but also the multiband applicability of antenna systems of portable communication devices such as smartphones and laptops (notebooks (NBs)) [1–5]. In particular, not only laptops support wireless LAN (WLAN) but also developments have occurred with respect to 4G/5G wireless systems [6–9]. A system with long-term evolution (LTE) and sub-6G (FR1) applications is thus required. The antennas inside portable devices must cover BW% (bandwidth percentage) 31.3% for low band; this antenna covered LTE 700 (0.698–0.787GHz), GSM 850 (0.824–0.894GHz), and GSM 900 (0.88–0.96GHz). At the middle band, the bandwidth percentage was 44.5%, and it equalled GSM 1800 (1.71–1.88GHz), GSM 1900 (1.85–1.99GHz), UMTS (1.92–2.17GHz), and LTE 2500 (2500–2690MHz). At the high band, BW% was 21% for C band (3.4–4.2GHz) and BW% = 12.7% for license-assisted access (LAA) (5.15–5.85GHz) [2–4, 10]. In current commercial communication equipment of STBR (screen-to-body ratio) is significant for user impression; thus, limited space is reserved for antennas. In particular, NBs and tablets have multiple small-dimension antennas above the screen such as two WIFI and two LTE antennas [6, 11]; it is challenged for small-size antenna design. Thus, the antennas used in wireless equipment are required to be small as well as to exhibit a low profile. Previously executed research [9, 12] has proposed an approach for designing small antennas with a meander architecture. In other research studies [3, 8, 10, 13], meander structures with loop-type antennas were used to achieve a small equipment size. In addition, a bent arm was used by other researchers to design an antenna for multiband applications [12, 14]. The present study was executed to design an antenna exhibiting low as well as high operating bands achieved by applying direct-fed and coupled-fed mechanisms; the derived bands can encompass the LTE, WWAN, C band (n77 and n78), and LAA application bands. In the proposed antenna, the arms have a small size and planar structure.

2. Antenna Design

An FR4 substrate constituted the basis for constructing the proposed antenna in Figures 1(a) and 1(b) and optimized dimensions in Table 1. Fabricated prototype antenna is shown in Figure 2 for measurement; the substrate’s dielectric constant, thickness, and loss tangent were determined to be 4.4, 0.4 mm, and 0.02, respectively. An NB and tablet’s
ground plane were simulated by a 13 in screen measuring 200 × 260 mm². For the developed antenna, the space it would occupy was determined to measure 65 × 13 mm². Accordingly, the antenna can suitably be placed on the top side of the compartment of an NB or tablet. The aforementioned direct-fed arm implemented can excite a 1/4 λ fundamental resonant mode at 0.85 GHz as well as upper 3/4 λ and 5.4 λ resonant modes at 2.69 and 4.0 GHz, respectively.

Table 1: Developed antenna’s dimensions.

| Parameter | L  | L₁ | L₂ | L₃ | L₄ | L₅ | L₆ | W  | W₁ | W₂ | W₃ | W₄ | W₅ | G  |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Value (mm)| 65 | 23 | 8  | 14.5| 21.75| 14.25| 15 | 13 | 3  | 3  | 3  | 4  | 7  | 30 |

Figure 1: (a) Schematic of developed antenna’s geometry; (b) definition of component.
on the right side. In addition, it can excite a $1/4 \lambda$ resonant mode at 5.5 GHz on the left side. Moreover, the coupled-fed arm could excite a fundamental resonant mode at 0.76 GHz and upper resonant modes of $3/4$ and $5.4 \lambda$ at 2.34 and 3.4 GHz, respectively. Through the integration of the two wide modes at 0.76 and 0.85 GHz, an operating range of 0.698–0.96 GHz (bandwidth of 0.262 GHz or 31.7%) can be obtained. This range covers the GSM 850 and 900 as well as the LTE 700 application bands. The higher modes at 0.76 and 0.85 GHz achieve an operating range of 1.7–2.7 GHz (bandwidth of 0.99 GHz or 45.1%) for the DCS 1800, PCS 1900, UMTS 2100, and LTE 2500 bands as well as the C band range of 3.3–4.2 GHz. The direct-fed arm on the left side has a fundamental resonant mode of $1/4 \lambda$ at 5.5 GHz for the LAA (5.15–5.85 GHz). For antenna testing in this study, one side of a coaxial cable was connected to the direct-fed arm and the other side was connected to the contact system ground plane, which was used to provide the RF signal input.

3. Experimental Results and Parametric Study

The derived $S_{11}$ values from the simulation executed through ANSYS HFSS software, and measurement in this study is displayed in Figure 3, indicating good agreement. The testing frequencies obtained for an $S_{11}$ value of −6 dB covered the 2G, 3G, 4G, and 5G systems. Figure 4(a) displays the simulated $S_{11}$ values for different coupled-fed arm lengths. Shortening 10 mm and 15 mm of the coupled-fed arm induced the fundamental mode at 0.76 GHz move to high levels of frequency as well as 0.76 GHz higher modes of 2.34 and 3.4 GHz move to a higher frequency. Figures 4(b)–4(d) display the coupled-fed arm current distribution at 0.76, 2.34, and 3.4 GHz, respectively. For the mode at 0.76 GHz, one null was observed for the coupled-fed arm, as shown in Figure 3(b). Thus, the 0.76 GHz fundamental mode was $1/4 \lambda$. For the mode at 2.34 GHz, two null values were observed for the coupled-fed arm, as shown in Figure 4(c). Thus, 2.34 GHz is a higher mode of $3/4 \lambda$. For the mode at 3.4 GHz, three null values were observed for the coupled-fed arm, as shown in Figure 4(d). Thus, 3.4 GHz is a higher mode of $5/4 \lambda$.

Figure 5(a) displays the simulated $S_{11}$ values derived when different lengths were considered for the right-side direct-fed arm implemented on the right side. This direct-fed arm had a resonant fundamental mode at 0.85 GHz. When this arm was shortened 5 mm and 8 mm, a 0.85 GHz shift and mismatch were caused, and 0.85 GHz higher mode of 2.69 and 4.0 GHz moved to higher frequencies. Figures 5(b)–5(d) illustrate the simulated current distribution of the right-side direct-fed arm at 0.85, 2.69, and 4.0 GHz, respectively. For the mode at 0.85 GHz, one null was observed for the direct-fed arm, as shown in Figure 5(b). Thus, $0.76 \text{ GHz fundamental mode was } 1/4 \lambda$. For the mode at 2.69 GHz, two null values were observed for the direct-fed arm, as shown in Figure 5(c). Thus, 2.69 GHz is a higher mode of $3/4 \lambda$. For the mode at 4.0 GHz, three null values were observed for the direct-fed arm, as shown in Figure 5(d). Thus, 4.0 GHz is a higher mode of $5/4 \lambda$.

Figure 6(a) displays the simulated $S_{11}$ values when the left-side direct-fed arm was adjusted in terms of length. The fundamental mode of this arm was 5.5 GHz. 5.5 GHz fundamental mode moved toward higher frequencies as the arm was shortened. Figure 6(b) displays the simulated current
distribution of the left-side direct-fed arm at 5.5 GHz. The 5.5 GHz fundamental mode was \( \frac{1}{4} \lambda \).

Figure 7(a) displays the simulated \( S_{11} \) values obtained with and without a ground strip (matching element). Without a ground strip, the low and high modes changed with impedance. With a ground strip, the low operating band ended at 0.96 GHz and the high operating band ended at 5.85 GHz. Figure 7(b) presents the simulated \( S_{11} \) values obtained when an inverted L-shaped matching element was and was not used. This element influenced the direct-fed arm at 4 GHz. Without the aforementioned matching element, a significant shift to high frequency occurred. With the matching, the operating band covered the frequency range from 3.3 to 4.2 GHz.

Figure 8 displays the 2D antenna radiation patterns in the \( x-z \), \( x-y \), and \( y-z \) planes at 760 MHz, 850 MHz, 2340 MHz, 2690 MHz, 3400 MHz, 4000 MHz, and 5500 MHz. The \( x-y \) plane pattern is shown to be omnidirectional because 760, 850, and 5500 MHz represent the base modes. Figures 9–11 display the measured efficiency and gain in the lower band as well as in the upper band. The antenna gain was determined to be 0.43–1.94 dBi (1.51 dBi variation) in the lower band (Figure 9), with the...
corresponding radiation efficiency exceeding 55%. The gain was noted to be 0.83–5.67 dBi in the middle band (at 1.71–2.69 GHz; Figure 10), with the corresponding efficiency being 55–83.6%. The gain was determined to be above 3 dBi and 0.9 dBi at 3.2–4.2 and 5.15–5.85 GHz, respectively, in Figure 11 with the corresponding efficiency ranging from 60% to 86.4%. Measured resonant, gain, efficiency, and bandwidth are arranged in Table 2. The proposed antenna has suitable and stable radiation efficiency.

Performance was compared between present antennas with reference to some portable device antenna. Table 3 displays dimension, volume, bandwidth, \( S_{11} \), and RLC element. In [1, 12, 14], LC element is used and bent-type structure greatly reduces dimension, while the volume is larger, and cover bandwidth is less than present antenna. In [4, 5, 9, 10], LC element is not used, and antenna occupies a smaller area, but volume and cover bandwidth are less than present antenna. Furthermore, present antenna can cover...
Figure 7: (a) $S_{11}$ values derived from simulation of developed antenna without and with a ground strip; (b) $S_{11}$ values derived from simulation of developed antenna without and with an inverted L-shaped matching element.

Figure 8: Continued.
Figure 8: Measured 2D antenna radiation patterns at x-y, x-z, and y-z planes: (a) 760 MHz; (b) 850 MHz; (c) 2340 MHz; (d) 2690 MHz; (e) 3400 MHz; (f) 4000 MHz; (g) 5500 MHz.
Figure 9: Gain and radiation efficiency measured for the developed antenna at 700–960 MHz.

Figure 10: Gain and radiation efficiency measured for the developed antenna at 1710–2690 MHz.

Figure 11: Gain and radiation efficiency measured for the developed antenna at 3300–5850 MHz.

Table 2: Arrangement of measured resonant, gain, efficiency, and bandwidth of proposed antenna.

| Resonance frequency (GHz) | Variation gain (dBi) | Variation efficiency (%) | Variation BW (GHz) | Resonance frequency (GHz) | Variation gain (dBi) |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| 0.76 and 0.85             | 1.51 (0.43–1.94)     | 0.3 (55.8–56.1)          | 0.262 (0.698–0.96) | 0.76 and 0.85             | 1.51 (0.43–1.94)    |
| 2.34 and 2.69             | 4.84 (0.83–5.67)     | 28.6 (55.0–83.6)         | 0.98 (1.71–2.69)  | 2.34 and 2.69             | 4.84 (0.83–5.67)    |
| 3.4 and 4.0               | 0.97 (4.45–5.42)     | 18.4 (68.0–86.4)         | 0.9 (3.3–4.2)     | 3.4 and 4.0               | 0.97 (4.45–5.42)    |

Table 3: Comparison between the present antenna and reference antennas.

| Reference | Area (mm) | Volume (mm$^3$) | Bandwidth (MHz) | $S_{11}$ (dB) | LC element |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| [1]       | 15 × 28 × 4 | 1680            | 804–967/1665–3280 | −6            | Yes        |
| [2]       | 60 × 15 × 2.8 | 2520          | 698–1100/1640–2830 | −6            | No         |
| [4]       | 55 × 12 × 5 | 3300            | 794–962/1710–2730 | −6            | No         |
| [10]      | 32 × 18 × 3 | 1728            | 824–960/1710–2170/ | −6            | No         |
| [12]      | 60 × 8 × 5 | 2400            | 800–960/1710–2580 | −6            | Yes        |
| [11]      | 75 × 12 × 4 | 3600            | 798–787/2305–2400/2500–2690/3400–3600 | −6 | No         |
| [14]      | 30 × 10 × 3 | 900             | 698–960/1710–2170/3400–3800 | −6 | Yes        |
| [5]       | 28 × 15 × 4 | 1500            | 824–960/1710–2170/2300–2690 | −6 | No         |
| [9]       | 60 × 7 × 3 | 1260            | 698–960/1710–2170/2300–2690 | −6 | No         |
| Presents antenna | 65 × 13 × 0.4 | 845             | 698–960/1710–2170/2300–2690/3300–4200/5150–5850 | −6 | No         |
bandwidth (698–960 MHz, 1710–2690 MHz, 3300–4200 MHz, and 5150–5850 MHz) as well as smaller size and planer structure than other reference antennas.

4. Conclusions
In this paper, a low profile, planar, and small-size antenna design is proposed for 5G portable communication equipment. The proposed antenna uses seven resonant modes to cover the frequency ranges of 0.69–0.96, 1.71–2.69, 3.2–4.3, and 5.15–5.85 GHz. The operating range of the antenna covers the LTE 700/2500, GSM, UMTS, C band (n77 and n78), and license-assisted access (LAA). The proposed antenna has small dimensions, two wide bands of operation, omnidirectional radiation in low-frequency bands, and reasonable antenna radiation efficiency and gain. The measured results of the proposed antenna signify its suitability for portable devices.
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