Menopausal Symptoms in Underserved and Homeless Women Living in Extreme Temperatures in the Southwest
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Abstract
Background: Little is known about menopausal symptoms in underserved women.
Aim: To better understand self-reported menopausal symptoms in underserved and homeless women living in extreme heat during different seasons.
Methods: A cross-sectional study, including the Greene Climacteric Scale (GCS), climate-related questions, and demographics was administered June to August of 2017 and December to February 2018 to women 40–65 years of age.
Results: In 104 predominantly Hispanic (56%), uninsured (53%), menopausal (56%), and mid-aged (50.9 ± 9.5) women, 57% reported any bother, while 20% of these women reported “quite a bit” or “extreme” bother from hot flushes. The total GCS score was \( n = 104 \): Mean (SD) 19.8 (15.3); out of 63 indicating significant symptoms, the psychological and somatic clusters were highest. Women did not think temperature outside influenced their menopausal symptoms at either time point (69% in winter vs. 57% in summer, \( p = 0.23 \)). In multivariable analyses after adjusting for race, body mass index, and living situation neither season nor temperature was associated with self-reported hot flush bother. While one-third of women reported becoming ill from the heat, 90% of women reported not seeking care from a doctor for their illness.
Conclusion: Menopausal, underserved, homeless women living in Arizona reported few vasomotor symptoms regardless of season, and endorsed psychological and somatic complaints. Socioeconomic factors may influence types of bothersome menopausal symptoms in this population of women.
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Introduction
Menopause can be associated with emotional, physical, and cognitive changes, including hot flushes and night sweats, also referred to as vasomotor symptoms (VMS).1,2 Oftentimes, bother from VMS is used to characterize degree of symptoms as it is the most common menopausal symptom.3 Women from different geographies and from ethnically diverse populations show different VMS frequency and characteristics, which may be partially explained by regional and geographical differences.4 For example, prevalence of VMS in North America has been reported to differ by ethnicity with the lowest for women of Japanese ethnicity (18%), and higher numbers for Caucasian (31%), Hispanic (35%), and African American women (46%).5 In Europe, the prevalence of hot flushes has been reported at 73%, whereas in Latin America the numbers are less clear and range from no VMS (in Mayan women in Mexico) to 67% of Mayan women in Guatemala reporting hot flushes.5 Season may also
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influence symptoms by contributing to increases in VMS and sleep troubles in summer months due to heat compared to winter months. It is hypothesized that women develop climate-specific thermoneutral zones leading to population-specific frequencies of hot flushes. These climate-specific factors may influence the timing of and characteristics related to menopause.

In addition to climate, intersectional demographic factors such as ethnicity may also play a difference in VMS frequency and characteristics. The Study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN) evaluated menopausal symptoms in multiethnic women and found that Hispanic women reported more VMS than non-Hispanic Caucasians, noting that Central American women were at greatest risk for VMS. Another study also found ethnic differences in VMS among non-Hispanic whites, Hispanic, non-Hispanic African Americans, and non-Hispanic Asians. Women of Brazilian descent were more likely to report VMS with a prevalence more similar to western women, whereas few Asian women reported menopausal symptoms and Mayan women did not report VMS at all. In peri- and postmenopausal women in rural and urban areas of three States of Mexico identified that the most frequent menopausal symptoms reported were headache, anxiety, and muscular pains, with VMS reported by less than half interviewed. Another study found that while the majority of Mayan women reported hot flushes, they do not differentiate or separate expression of hot flashes from their lived experience of the hot climate, possibly because hot flushes are neutralized by the hot and humid environment in everyday life.

Beyond ethnicity, only a few studies have evaluated other socioeconomic factors that may impact menopausal symptoms in women, such as lack of access to health insurance or homelessness. One evaluating socioeconomic status (SES) conducted at an inner-city menopause clinic found that Hispanic postmenopausal women of low SES had a high prevalence of female sexual dysfunction (75.6%), a symptom oftentimes related to the genitourinary syndrome of menopause. Furthermore, a study of 351 postmenopausal women in rural North India demonstrated that many variables such as low SES, as well as low educational attainment, advancing age, later age at menarche, and higher body mass index (BMI), were all associated with more severe menopausal symptoms. No prior studies have evaluated menopausal symptoms in underserved women living in an area of extreme temperature in the Southwest United States. Furthermore, it is unclear if other socioeconomic factors such as homelessness impacts menopausal symptoms.

Our study’s aim was to understand self-reported menopausal symptoms in underserved women in a region that experiences extreme heat. For purposes of this study, underserved women include those who are medically underserved as one or a combination of being uninsured, attending free, and low-income clinics, or currently homeless. In the United States, heat is a leading weather-related cause of human mortality and morbidity. During the summer months, Arizona may experience heat over 100°F Fahrenheit, with the maximum heat being 122°F Fahrenheit. The city of Phoenix specifically has been documented to have had the most extreme case of heat exposure intensity, partially driven by region and climate. Individuals of lower SES experience higher outdoor heat exposure and as a result face increased risk of negative heat-related health outcomes. Homeless individuals—women in particular—are at increased risk of heat-related morbidity and mortality due to greater time outdoors in the heat. Harsh outdoor living conditions and associated hazards to their health and well-being result in such as dehydration, thermal discomfort, fatigue and exhaustion, cardiovascular and respiratory distress, heat stroke, and other heat-related illnesses. Their vulnerability to environmental risks because of exposure to poor living conditions in turn can negatively affect their health.

Evaluating responses to menopausal symptom questions during months of extreme heat, and comparing that to responses during cooler months may help provide insight into how temperature and season may impact menopausal symptoms in this vulnerable population. The season refers to winter or summer, whereas the temperature refers to heat or lack of heat outside. In this study, women in free, low-income, and homeless clinics in downtown Phoenix, Arizona, were surveyed during both winter and summer months using a validated menopause questionnaire.

Methods
A descriptive cross-sectional study was performed using a self-administered written survey during the summer months of June to August of 2017 and the winter months of December to February 2018. The survey was anonymous and no personal identifiers were collected. The Arizona State University Institutional Review Board (IRB) deemed the project exempt before the administration
of surveys (STUDY00005355). Potential harms included personal discomfort in answering sensitive questions relating to menopause symptoms, therefore participants were interviewed in privacy, including in examination rooms. Participant selection and recruitment criteria were based on age. Inclusion criteria included women aged 40–65 years either attending the clinic or accompanying patients who were attending the clinic. Exclusion criteria included women younger than 40 years or older than 65 years of age and men. The reason for the visit was not obtained for each participant and was not dependent on them being there to discuss menopausal symptoms. Prior permission from management at each institution was received as well as informed consent from participants. Participants were clearly informed that their participation was voluntary and that there was no obligation to complete the survey. No informed consent form was sent from participants. Participants were clearly informed at each institution as well as informed consent form was sent from participants. Participants were clearly informed that their participation was voluntary and that there was no obligation to complete the survey. No incentive was provided for participation and it took ~15 minutes to complete the survey. A Spanish speaker from Mexico translated surveys into Spanish and a research coordinator facilitated questionnaire completion. Refusals to participate were not noted and all completed anonymous surveys were recorded in one database for analysis. English and Spanish versions of the questionnaire were available upon patient request.

Surveys were administered on-site by the study coordinator and interpreters to clinic patients at St. Vincent de Paul medical clinic and Parson’s Family Health Center at Circle the City. The St. Vincent de Paul clinic is available to those without health insurance and serves predominantly a Spanish-speaking and undocumented population. The Parson’s Family Health Center serves people who are homeless, and many have health insurance through Medicaid. The Area Deprivation Index (ADI) shows that the neighborhood immediately surrounding the St. Vincent de Paul Clinic falls into the most disadvantaged (10th decile) in Arizona, and the 97th percentile nationally. The neighborhood surrounding the Parson’s Clinic has an ADI score in the 7th decile in Arizona, and nationally is the 72nd percentile. To quantify neighborhood disadvantage, 17 parameters are used in the ADI, including but not limited to education, poverty measures, employment, and housing quality.18,19,22

Demographic and personal health history questions were included. Menopause stage was determined by asking participants if they had a menstrual cycle in the last 12 months (yes or no) or in the last 3 months (yes or no), as well as the date of their last menstrual cycle. Participants were also asked if they are taking birth control pills, using an intrauterine device, on hormone therapy (HT), or taking any medication for menopause symptoms. They were also asked if they had surgery to remove their uterus or surgery to remove both of their ovaries.

The survey included the Greene Climacteric Scale (GCS), a validated menopause survey questionnaire, as well as climate-related questions and demographics. The GCS questionnaire is validated and has been used in both English and Spanish speaking countries to evaluate climacteric-related factors in postmenopausal women.2,7,20,23–25 GCS total and cluster scores (psychological, somatic, vasomotor, and sexual interest) were calculated. Higher scores are indicative of more symptoms. Each symptom is rated according to current severity using a four-point rating scale: not at all (0); a little (1); quite a bit (2); extremely (3). The total GCS score per subject is the sum of all 21 scores.

Climate questions, which refer to all temperature-related questions, were developed based on a literature review and expert input, then revised from staff suggestions to assure clarity and readability. In an open-response and multiple-choice format, participants were asked about the length of time they lived in Arizona, the zip code they resided in, and if they ever experienced medical illness due to heat. If they responded “yes,” they were asked about their symptoms, if and how they self-treated at home, and whether they sought treatment from a physician. The climate portion of the survey also asked participants their opinion on heat risk and whether they believed that the current season and temperature outside influenced their menopausal symptoms.

Sample size was estimated using the Cohen h effect size with the statistical test being a two-tailed 2 independent samples proportions test to detect a statistically significant difference between GCS scores in winter versus summer. It was determined that 63 patients are required to detect an effect of size 0.5 or larger with 80% power, assuming a significant level of 0.05.

Numeric variables were summarized by mean and standard deviation while categorical variables were quantified by frequency and percent. For continuous variables, the equal variance t-test was used to compare the mean between two groups and the one-way ANOVA was used in the case of more than two groups. The distribution of the responses of categorical...
variables was compared between groups using the chi-squared test. Multivariable linear models adjusting for BMI, living situation, and race were used to test the association between temperature and season with GCS scores and degree of bother experienced by hot flushes.

Subanalysis to evaluate responses by living situation (homeless vs. not) and insurance status (yes vs. no) were also conducted to further investigate factors that may be associated with GCS outcomes. All hypotheses tested were two-sided with \( p < 0.05 \) considered statistically significant. There was no adjustment for multiple testing. Analyses were performed in SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

### Results

A total of 104 predominantly Hispanic, uninsured, menopausal, middle-aged women were surveyed. In the summer, 54 surveys were collected, and 50 surveys were collected in the winter, approximately half at each site (Table 1). At the time of the survey, 22% of participants were homeless or living in a shelter. Most women were not taking menopausal HT (95%) or birth control pills (92%). Hispanics were more likely to be uninsured than other ethnicities (91% uninsured, \( p < 0.001 \)).

#### Menopausal symptoms during both winter and summer (GCS)

Twenty percent of women reported, “quite a bit” or “extreme” bother from hot flushes. The total GCS score was \( n = 104 \): Mean (SD) 19.8 (15.3), consistent with a medium level of symptom bother, with the highest scores in the psychological, somatic, depression, and anxiety clusters (Table 1). Table 2 displays patient demographics by self-reported hot flush bother.

When comparing the groups between summer and winter, a few statistically significant differences were demonstrated between the women (Table 1). Women surveyed in the summer were of a higher BMI, more likely to be Hispanic, and differed by housing situation. Reported GCS symptoms were not statistically significant between the summer and winter months.

#### Climate questions

One-third of women reported ever becoming ill from the heat, but most did not seek treatment for their symptoms related to heat (Table 3). More women thought season influenced menopausal symptoms during summer than during winter.
winter (42% vs. 13%, \( p = 0.23 \)) (Fig. 1). However, a majority of women did not think outdoor temperature influenced their menopausal symptoms and that percentage did not statistically differ by season (69% in winter vs. 57% in summer, \( p = 0.23 \)) (Fig. 2). No statistically significant differences were seen for reported VMS between winter and summer. In multivariable analyses after adjusting for race, BMI and living situation neither season nor temperature was associated with self-reported hot flush bother or any of the GCS domains.

### Subanalysis

In a subanalysis that evaluated responses by living situation (homeless vs. not), and insurance status (yes vs. no), statistically significant differences were seen. On the GCS, uninsured women reported fewer symptoms overall compared to insured women (Insurance - Mean (SD): 27.2 (16.0); No Insurance - Mean (SD): 15.6 (13.1); \( p = 0.003 \)). Homeless women reported more symptoms on the GCS compared to non-homeless women (Homeless - Mean (SD): 28.7 (17.8); Not Homeless: Mean (SD): 18.0 (14.0); \( p = 0.05 \)).

### Discussion

In a sample of predominately Hispanic women who are homeless and/or uninsured living in a region that experiences extreme heat, most did not report bothersome hot flushes or night sweats and were not on menopausal HT. The women did not think that the temperature impacted their symptoms during both warm and...
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### Table 2. Participant Demographics and Responses (\( n = 104 \)) by Self-Reported Hot Flush Frequency

|                         | Not at all (\( N = 45 \)) | A little (\( N = 25 \)) | Quite a bit (\( N = 15 \)) | Extremely (\( N = 6 \)) | Total (\( N = 91 \)) | \( p \)  |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| **Season, \( n/\% \)**  |                           |                         |                           |                         |                       |        |
| Cold                    | 24 (53.3%)                | 10 (40.0%)              | 6 (40.0%)                 | 2 (33.3%)               | 42 (46.2%)            | 0.585a |
| Hot                     | 21 (46.7%)                | 15 (60.0%)              | 9 (60.0%)                 | 4 (66.7%)               | 49 (53.8%)            |        |
| **Homeless, \( n/\% \)**|                           |                         |                           |                         |                       |        |
| No                      | 34 (81.0%)                | 20 (90.9%)              | 9 (60.0%)                 | 4 (66.7%)               | 67 (78.8%)            | 0.124a |
| Yes                     | 8 (19.0%)                 | 2 (9.1%)                | 6 (40.0%)                 | 2 (33.3%)               | 18 (21.2%)            |        |
| Missing                 | 3                         | 3                       | 0                        | 0                       | 6                     |        |
| **Living, \( n/\% \)**  |                           |                         |                           |                         |                       |        |
| House                   | 19 (45.2%)                | 13 (59.1%)              | 4 (26.7%)                 | 1 (16.7%)               | 37 (43.5%)            | 0.483a |
| Apartment               | 9 (21.4%)                 | 5 (22.7%)               | 3 (20.0%)                 | 2 (33.3%)               | 19 (22.4%)            |        |
| Other (shelter, roof-top)| 6 (14.3%)                 | 2 (9.1%)                | 2 (13.3%)                 | 1 (16.7%)               | 11 (12.9%)            |        |
| Homeless                | 8 (19.0%)                 | 2 (9.1%)                | 6 (40.0%)                 | 2 (33.3%)               | 18 (21.2%)            |        |
| Missing                 | 3                         | 3                       | 0                        | 0                       | 6                     |        |
| **Race, \( n/\% \)**  |                           |                         |                           |                         |                       |        |
| White                   | 13 (29.5%)                | 4 (16.0%)               | 4 (26.7%)                 | 4 (66.7%)               | 25 (27.8%)            | 0.265a |
| African American        | 2 (4.5%)                  | 2 (8.0%)                | 2 (13.3%)                 | 1 (16.7%)               | 7 (7.8%)              |        |
| Asian                   | 2 (4.5%)                  | 0 (0.0%)                | 2 (13.3%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                | 4 (4.4%)              |        |
| Hispanic                | 24 (54.5%)                | 18 (72.0%)              | 6 (40.0%)                 | 1 (16.7%)               | 49 (54.4%)            |        |
| Other                   | 3 (6.8%)                  | 1 (4.0%)                | 1 (6.7%)                  | 0 (0.0%)                | 5 (5.6%)              |        |
| Missing                 | 1                         | 0                       | 0                        | 0                       | 1                     |        |
| **Insurance, \( n/\% \)**|                           |                         |                           |                         |                       |        |
| No                      | 21 (50.0%)                | 19 (76.0%)              | 6 (42.9%)                 | 2 (33.3%)               | 48 (55.2%)            | 0.077a |
| Yes                     | 21 (50.0%)                | 6 (24.0%)               | 8 (57.1%)                 | 4 (66.7%)               | 39 (44.8%)            |        |
| Missing                 | 3                         | 3                       | 0                        | 0                       | 4                     |        |
| **Heat, \( n/\% \)**  |                           |                         |                           |                         |                       |        |
| 0                       | 0 (0.0%)                  | 1 (50.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)                  | 0 (0.0%)                | 1 (5.9%)              | 0.131a |
| Dizziness               | 1 (12.5%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                | 2 (50.0%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                | 3 (17.6%)             |        |
| Weakness/exhaustion     | 4 (50.0%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                | 0 (0.0%)                  | 2 (66.7%)               | 6 (35.3%)             |        |
| Nauseous/upset Stomach  | 1 (12.5%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                | 1 (25.0%)                 | 1 (33.3%)               | 3 (17.6%)             |        |
| Excessive sweating      | 0 (0.0%)                  | 1 (50.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)                  | 0 (0.0%)                | 1 (5.9%)              |        |
| Hallucination           | 1 (12.5%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                | 0 (0.0%)                  | 0 (0.0%)                | 1 (5.9%)              |        |
| 12                      | 0 (0.0%)                  | 0 (0.0%)                | 1 (25.0%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                | 1 (5.9%)              |        |
| 43163                   | 1 (12.5%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                | 0 (0.0%)                  | 0 (0.0%)                | 1 (5.9%)              |        |
| Missing                 | 37                        | 23                      | 11                       | 3                       | 74                    |        |
| **Vasomotor symptom cluster score by GCS** | | | | | | |
| \( N \)               | 38                        | 18                      | 14                       | 6                       | 76                    | <0.001b |
| Mean (SD)              | 0.2 (0.54)                | 2.2 (0.88)              | 3.9 (0.77)               | 6.0 (0.0)               | 18.0 (1.98)           |        |
| Median                 | 0.0                      | 2.0                     | 4.0                      | 6.0                     | 1.0                   |        |
| Range                  | 0.0, 2.0                  | 1.0, 4.0                | 2.0, 5.0                 | 6.0, 6.0                | 0.0, 6.0              |        |

\( a \) Chi-square \( p \)-value; \( b \) Kruskal–Wallis \( p \)-value; cluster_vas_score used as menopausal status.

GCS, Greene Climacteric Scale.
Table 3. Climate and Health-Related Questions in Relationship to Heat, Season, and Temperature (n = 104)

|                                      | Summer, n (%) | Winter, n (%) | p   |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----|
| Have you been medically ill due to heat? |                |              |     |
| Yes                                  | 15 (27.8)     | 16 (32.0)    | 0.63|
| No                                   | 39 (72.2)     | 34 (68.0)    |     |
| What symptoms did you experience when you were ill due to heat? |                |              |     |
| Dizziness                            | 4 (30.8)      | 0 (0.0)      | 0.12|
| Weakness/Exhaustion                   | 4 (30.8)      | 4 (40.0)     |     |
| Nauseous/Upset Stomach                | 3 (23.1)      | 0            |     |
| Hallucinations                        | 1 (7.7)       | 0            |     |
| Missing                               | 41            | 45           |     |
| Did you seek treatment from a physician due to heat symptoms? |                |              |     |
| Yes                                  | 5 (9.6)       | 4 (8.7)      | 0.87|
| No                                   | 47 (90.4)     | 47 (91.3)    |     |
| Did you seek treatment at the Emergency Room (ER) due to heat symptoms? |                |              |     |
| Yes                                  | 4 (7.7)       | 9 (19.1)     | 0.09|
| No                                   | 48 (92.3)     | 38 (80.9)    |     |
| Do you think the current season influences your menopausal symptoms? |                |              |     |
| Yes                                  | 22 (42.3)     | 6 (12.3)     | 0.23|
| No                                   | 30 (57.7)     | 39 (66.7)    |     |
| Do you think heat is a risk to your health? |                |              |     |
| Yes                                  | 33 (64.7)     | 27 (55.1)    | 0.32|
| No                                   | 18 (35.3)     | 22 (44.9)    |     |
| Do you think the temperature outside influences your menopausal symptoms? |                |              |     |
| Yes                                  | 23 (42.6)     | 14 (31.1)    | 0.23|
| No                                   | 31 (57.4)     | 31 (68.9)    |     |

Bolded answers indicate the majority answer.
*significant p-value.

cooler seasons, which was also supported by multivariable analysis results. Overall, symptom scores were influenced more by factors such as depression, anxiety, and somatic symptoms, which may be related to a compromised quality of life or life stressors. Relationships between increased menopausal symptoms and poorer quality of life have been described, while mindfulness and stress reduction has been shown to be associated with fewer menopausal symptoms.26 A study evaluating menopausal symptoms in Ecuadorian women in a low SES not on menopausal HT found participants frequently reported hot flushes, but, similar to our study, reported many somatic symptoms, including headaches, difficulty concentrating, and feelings of unhappiness and distress (n = 385: 87%, 83.9%, 82%, and 82%, respectively).31 It is plausible that geographic location or even ethnicity may influence VMS perception differently, while socioeconomic factors similarly influence women of lower SES.4

During the summer, underserved and homeless women in Arizona were more likely to report that the current season influenced their menopausal symptoms than in winter, but did not think the temperature outside influenced their menopausal symptoms when asked either in summer or in winter. So, although the women thought that the current season impacted their menopausal symptoms, they did not think the hot temperature influenced the symptoms, which were interesting findings. While both cold temperatures and heat waves have had negative effects on mortality, moderately high temperatures contribute to the majority of the total health burden caused by temperature.27 One may presume that due to the very high temperatures in Arizona during summer that women may notice an impact on symptoms. It has been shown that the influence of unexpected temperature changes may be more impactful, however, than the absolute temperature itself on health,27 which has been demonstrated in studies on the impact of heat exposure in vulnerable populations.21,28–30 Extreme heat has become a leading cause of death due to weather, as well as lack of personal awareness of the physiologic symptoms of heat intolerance. A study of homeless individuals showed that 55% of those surveyed could not identify symptoms associated with heat illness despite being concerned about their health during times of heat.28 Thus, women may get used to or acclimate to the high temperatures during summer and thus do not notice a relationship with symptoms, and indeed changes in temperature may be a factor influencing perception of bother, consistent with prior research that has evaluated relationships of hot flushes and climate.4

Despite most women not reporting bothersome hot flushes or night sweats, scores for other symptoms, including depression and somatic symptoms, were relatively high. Furthermore, homeless women reported more symptoms by GCS compared to nonhomeless women. These findings may be related to unique socioeconomic factors faced by the population of underserved and homeless women who were surveyed. Studies have shown that underserved women face a myriad of different health challenges that are the result of factors, including low SES, governmental policies, mental illness, and low education levels.31–33 These factors not only contribute to homelessness and being underserved, but may also impact a woman’s health.31,33 Homeless women are more likely to have lower levels of education, suffer from poor mental health, and be undernourished.31 Mental illness is a major factor that can contribute to homelessness and can also significantly impact health.32 In western countries, the prevalence of mental illness among the
homeless population, including psychotic illnesses and personality disorders, is higher compared to the age-matched general population in those countries. \cite{34} Homeless mentally-ill women are one of the most deprived populations. A report by Human Rights Watch recognized stigma, discrimination, and a disparity in governmental community-based services and awareness of services as factors that lead to institutionalization. \cite{35} Our findings point to the need for access to comprehensive behavioral and whole person care models, which ultimately may most improve the lives of midlife homeless and underserved women, including for midlife women in the Southwest.

Strengths and limitations
The strengths of this study include that it focused on a group of women who have not been evaluated robustly, specifically underserved and homeless women. There is a dearth of information on this patient population, thus this research adds novel insight to the field. In addition, surveys were available in both English and Spanish to cater to the needs of participants.

One of the limitations of our study is a small sample size, which limits the generalizability of the findings. The differences in results between summer and winter populations could be explained randomly as a result of the small sample size. It can be argued that similar result variance could have been found in two summer groups. Moreover, no physiological measurements of participants were made; and observational, self-reported data were used, so the results are susceptible to recall bias. All health information was also based on self-report, so it cannot be independently confirmed. Finally, confounding variables were limited to what was included in the questionnaire.

Recommended steps for future research include obtaining a larger sample size of geographically diverse participants. In addition, it may be beneficial
to conduct the study in a longitudinal manner following participants who were initially interviewed in the summer months and reinterviewing them in the winter months.

**Conclusion**
Little is known about self-reported menopausal symptoms in homeless and underserved women, especially in the context of the influence of extreme heat. In menopausal, underserved, and homeless women living in Arizona, not many menopausal women reported VMS even during the summer season, which experiences extreme heat. Socioeconomic factors are likely influencing the type of menopausal symptoms that cause bother in this population of women and comprehensive behavioral and whole person care models may most improve the lives of midlife homeless and underserved women.
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