INTRODUCTION

One of the urgent tasks facing humanity is the efficient and rational use of available natural resources and the conservation and improvement of the ecological state of the natural environment. Soil protection plays a special role in maintaining the ecological condition of the environment. De-facto, that humanity receives the food production it needs for itself, mainly at the plants that grown in the soil, herein, its importance becomes clear. It is not limited to food production, the cultivation of raw materials for industry, because the ecological role of soil in the biogeoecosystems and biosphere is incomparable.

Currently, soil contamination with various harmful chemicals is becoming more common. This is mainly due to the irrational use of various chemical fertilizers and pesticides used in nuclear power plants, oil and gas production and processing enterprises, vehicles, railways, air transport, agriculture. As a result, the contamination of soils, which are one of the main components of the biosphere, with various harmful chemical compounds and elements, especially by heavy metals, is becoming more common.

Under the influence of various factors, heavy metals falling into the soil are added to biochemical flows and disturb the environmental sustainability of natural systems. Soil content, its physicochemical and microbiological properties change significantly negatively. As a result, it has a negative impact on living organisms and their ecological environment, including soil biogeoecosystems. The most hazardous heavy metals for such the environment are mercury, lead, cadmium, arsenic. The study of changes in their distribution and quantity is important in improving soil properties and ecological condition.

It is known that heavy metals accumulate more in the soil than in other parts of the biosphere and have a negative impact on the ecosystem in the soil-plant-animal-human system. Therefore, it is important to scientifically study the toxic effects of heavy metals and protect the ecosystem. An increase in the concentration of heavy metals in the soil has a negative impact on plant growth, development and productivity. Because they are protoplasmic poisons. Their toxicity increases with the increasing atomic mass of the elements. Therefore, the study of the...
amount of heavy metals in soils and the impact of various factors on it is a topical issue.

The development of industries and transport around the world, the man-made degradation of soils is the main reason. Several scientific studies are being conducted to study the contamination of soils with heavy metals, their harmful effects on soil properties, groundwater and surface water, plants, animals and the human environment.

In particular, the distribution of heavy metals in grassy podzol and black soils in relation to the level of man-made pollution was studied, and it was noted that cations of copper and lead elements inhibit the strong absorption of zinc and cadmium in the soil, which themselves are strongly absorbed [9, 10].

In the soils distributed around the copper processing plant in the Middle Urals (Russia), Cu 1375.0 mg/kg, Zn 400 mg/kg, Cd 4.0 mg/kg, Pb 215 mg/kg, and in the Southern Urals Cu 45.0 mg/kg, Zn 196 mg/kg, Cd 1.7 mg/kg were determined [8]. The presence of heavy metals (vanadium and nickel) in the waste from the combustion of gas industry torches has been noted [11].

Studying the ecological condition of the soils around the Ulyanovsk cement plant in the Russian Federation [5, 6], it was noted that the total zinc content ranged from 20.8 to 35.2 mg/kg. It was observed that the accumulation of zinc in the soil has a negative effect on soil formation processes, reduces its biological activity, as well as affects its physical and physicochemical composition.

It was studied that the soil cover of recreational areas in the city of Vladimir is damaged by heavy metals such as lead, zinc, manganese, cobalt, chromium, nickel. In the Central Park of the city, in the top 0–10 cm layer of soil, lead 67.2 mg/kg, zinc 130.0 mg/kg, manganese 1311 mg/kg, cobalt 14.7 mg/kg, chromium 94.2 mg/kg, nickel was found to be up to 43.1 mg/kg [4].

Studies in the soils of Cherdaklin district of Ulyanovsk region (Russia) show that in 10 years in the studied has been reported to increase following elements (from – to): Zn 20.6–30.6 mg/kg, Ni 12.1–23.5 mg/kg; Cr 10–19.9 mg/kg each; the mobile forms of the elements studied were Zn 6.2–6.4 mg/kg and Cu 2.8–2.9 mg/kg; Cr 0.7–3.6 mg/kg [2].

Many studies have been conducted to study the amount of heavy metals around industrial enterprises in the territory of the Republic of Uzbekistan. In particular, a study in Almalyk city found that heavy metals around industrial plants were several times higher than their Clark, such as zinc 314 times and lead 300 times higher. It has been reported that the amount of heavy metals decreases with distance from enterprises [1].

The total lead content in the ore of the Almalyk deposits was 160–280 mg/kg, cadmium 14.8 mg/kg, fluorine 180–230 mg/kg, copper 90–110 mg/kg, and zinc 170–270 mg/kg. The total amount of lead in the waste around the Navoi Mining and Metallurgical Complex will increase to 60 mg/kg. In the light serozem, serezo-meadow and meadow soils around these enterprises, lead was 40 mg/kg, zinc 30 mg/kg, copper 30 mg/kg, and fluorine 40–60 mg/kg [17].

The amount of lead in the heavy traffics in Tashkent is 100–200 mg/kg, in the soils around the aircraft plant is 250–300 mg/kg, and in the soils of industrial enterprises in the Karakamysh region is 300–350 mg/kg [18].

The amount of heavy metals in the coarse-grained fractions of the soil around the Almalyk Mining and Metallurgical Complex were Cu 4875 mg/kg; Zn 54800 mg/kg; Pb 16300 mg/kg; Cd 561 mg/kg. As we move away from the source of pollution, the amount of heavy metals in the coarse-grained and very fine-grained fractions of the contaminated soils equalizes, and then the amount of heavy metals increases gradually in the very fine-grained fraction [22].

Experiments on heavy metal contamination in the soils of Syrdarya, Jizzakh and Tashkent regions [13, 14, 15] show that in the irrigated meadow and newly irrigated meadow soils of Jizzakh region, nickel decreases along with the soil profile towards the parent rock. In the tillage layer of the soil, its content is 14–84 mg/kg, and the amount of cadmium is 0.26–1.85 mg/kg. Some soils of Syrdarya region are contaminated with lead, the amount of which is 120–420 mg/kg. The soils of Bostanlyk district of Tashkent region are not contaminated with heavy metals. Irrigated soils of Samarkand region are slightly contaminated with heavy metals. The amount of lead in the top layer of irrigated meadow-alluvial soils in the region was 93 mg/kg, while in the layer at a depth of 50–80 cm it was 68 mg/kg. The amount of chromium was 63–93 mg/kg at a depth of 72 cm and 32–55 mg/kg at a depth of 130 cm [25].

In Pastdargom district of Samarkand region, studies have been conducted to improve the agro-ecological status of toxic substances (chromium and nickel) in irrigated typical serozem soils containing 0.85% and 1.75% humus.
using the phytoremediation method. According to the results of the experiment, in the control variant of low humus soils, chromium 16.2 mg/kg, nickel 17.3 mg/kg, in the control variant of very humus soils chromium 22.0 mg/kg, nickel 7.6 mg/kg formed [24].

The total manganese content in the ploughing layer of the typical serozem soils (Pastdargom district, 7/16 and Payarik district, sections 8/16) on the left and right banks of the 3rd terrace of the Zarafshan river fluctuates from 497.7 to 656.3 mg/kg. It is noted that its amount is related to the grain-size composition, pH, parent material and the amount of humus of soil [12, 16].

The waste of the Samarkand Chemical Complex, one of the sources of heavy metal pollution of the irrigated soils of the Zarafshan oasis, has been studied by heavy metal pollution of typical serozem soils in the region [20, 21, 26]. It is noted that the area around the chemical plant is contaminated with copper, zinc, lead, arsenic, cobalt elements in the radius of 1500–2000 meters, as well as in the western and north-western directions in the wind direction. In the top 0–30 cm layer of soil, the maximum total amount of heavy metals studied: copper 1240 mg/kg (250 meters west of the plant), zinc 1450 mg/kg (700 meters west), lead 526 mg and arsenic 410 mg/kg (250 meters to the west), cobalt 550 mg/kg (750 meters to the northwest). Moving away from the complex, the amount of heavy metals decreases.

From the cited sources, it can be seen that the effect of gas industry wastes on the amount of heavy metals in soils is not almost investigated. Taking into account the above, in our research, we studied the effect of emissions into the environment as a result of the activities of the Shurtan gas chemical complex on the amount of heavy metals in light serozem soils in the region.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The object of the study was light serozem soils distributed around the Shurtan gas chemical complex. The study examined the total amount of nickel, lead, zinc and copper elements in these soils. The observations of this study were conducted on the surroundings Shurtan gas chemical complex between 2018 and 2020 (Fig. 1).

Soil sampling for the experiment was carried out based on the method recommended by V.V. Kovalsky and A.D. Gololobov [7]. In total, 402 samples were taken by hand auger. To determine the total amount of heavy metals, the soil in the air-dry state is burned in the “wet” method using a mixture of perchlorate and sulfuric acid. After filtration, the extract was dried and the precipitate obtained was transferred to a decinormal solution of hydrochloric acid. The total amount of elements was then determined on the DFS-8 spectrograph by evaporation at the lower electrode in the emission spectral analysis method [20]. Statistical analysis of the results of the experiment was carried out by the method of Dospekhov [3].

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

It is known that Kashkadarya region accounts for 88% of Uzbekistan’s natural gas and 92% of oil. Therefore, in 2001, the Shurtangas chemical complex was built and put into operation in Guzar district of Kashkadarya region. The production capacity of the complex is 3.5 billion cubic meters of natural gas per/year. It allows the production of 125 thousand tons of polyethylene granules, 100 thousand tons of compressed gas, 100 thousand tons of gas condensate, 2.5 thousand

Figure 1. Geographical overview of the study area
tons of sulfur granules and 3.2 billion cubic meters of purified gas.

The climate of the study area is continental, the average annual air temperature is 16.4 °C, and the coldest temperature is -6 °C. The average January temperature is 1.9 °C. The average temperature of summer is +27 °C, the maximum temperature of +30 °C is +43 °C, +45 °C. The hottest months are June-July. The wind regime has a specific effect on the climate of the region. Wind mode occurs under the influence of synoptic conditions. The wind regime is influenced by the specificity of the local relief. Figure 1 shows the “Wind rose” diagram, which shows the wind direction and average annual return in the region as follows (in percent) N-14, NE-10, E-8, SE-3, S-3, SW-56, W-20, NW-36.

Figure 2 shows that the wind blows more from the northwest and west sides of the Shurtan gas chemical complex. If we look at the geological structure of the area, it is covered with deposits of eras such as Paleozoic, Mesozoic and Cenozoic. Palaeozoic deposits are located at a depth of 4–5 m according to geophysical data. The Mesozoic deposits are located above the Paleozoic deposits. Jurassic, Cretaceous, and Paleogene deposits are located at the base of Neogene deposits, and Quaternary deposits are common.

Shurtan gas chemical complex is located in the zone of light serozem soils. The parent rock of these soils consists of chalk deposits. It is characterized by the fact that groundwater is located at depth [23].

It was found that light serozem soils around the Shurtan gas chemical complex are mainly of light mechanical composition, the content of humus in the top layer of the soil is 0.6–0.7% and in some places 1.0–1.1%. The amount of humus in the bottom layer from the grass layer decreases sharply. The amount of total nitrogen in the top layer exceeds 0.08–0.10%, total phosphorus 0.07–0.11%, and total potassium - more than 2.0%. The absorption capacity of the soil is not very large. The sum of the absorption capacity is 5–8 mg/eqv here of, 77–90% is related to calcium.

The studied ecosystem consisted of a formation of grasses, ephemerals, and brownish-brown plants that grow thickly and form dense grasses to a depth of 5–8 cm. The growth period of plants belonging to the group of ephemerals is 30–45 days. These plants dry out during the summer drought. In the zone mainly ephemeral plants with ephemerals: Gagea stipitata, Ixiolirion taratice, astragalus filicaulis, papaver pavoninum, Hordeum spontaneum, Salicornia herbacea, Alhagi sparsifolia, Tamarix hispida.

According to the study, the total amount of copper in one kg of soil in the area of the complex is 42 mg/kg, zinc is 72 mg/kg; lead is 142 mg/kg; the nickel was 197 mg/kg (Figs. 2–5). It was noted that the amount of elements studied varies in different directions as they move away from the complex. For example, copper is 42 mg/kg of soil at a distance of 100 m north of the complex; zinc is 72 mg/kg; lead is 151 mg/kg; if the nickel was found to be 184 mg/kg (Fig. 2), the
Amount of these elements in the western direction was 44 mg/kg, respectively: 73 mg/kg; 168 mg/kg; 197 mg/kg; 44 mg/kg (Fig. 5). In the southern direction: 68 mg/kg; 160 mg/kg; 197 mg/kg (Fig. 4); to the east direction is respectively: 43 mg/kg; 67 mg/kg; 148 mg/kg; 178 mg/kg (Fig. 3). As we moved away from the complex, the amount of heavy metals studied was observed to increase to a certain distance along the wind direction. The studied elements were mainly observed in the soils of the western, north-western and northern directions of the complex in comparison with other directions. This is mainly because of the wind in the area blows in these directions.

Figure 3. Total amount of heavy metals in the soil around the complex (North direction), mg/kg (in layers of 0–30 cm)

Figure 4. Total amount of heavy metals in the soil around the complex (East direction), mg/kg (in layers of 0–30 cm)

Figure 5. Total amount of heavy metals in the soil around the complex (South direction), mg/kg (in layers of 0–30 cm)
If we analyze the amount of heavy metals studied in the composition of light serozem soils distributed around the complex separately, we obtained the following results. That is, the highest amount of the copper element was determined in the southern direction of the complex at a distance of 500 m (55 mg/kg) (Figs. 2–5). After this distance, the amount of copper is decreased. After a distance of 1000–1500 m from the complex, the amount of copper in all directions was equal to or close to the background. The amount of total copper in the background was 32 mg/kg of soil. That is, when we compare the maximum amount of this element (55 mg/kg of soil) with the background, we see that the amount of total copper around the complex is 1.7 times higher. The maximum allowable concentration (MAC) for total copper element is 55 mg/kg of soil, it can be concluded that the perimeter of the complex is unpolluted with the total form of copper.

In the light serozem soils pervasive around the complex, the total zinc was determined at 98 mg/kg 1000 m south of the complex and 1250 m south-east. These values are almost 2.1 times higher than its background value (47 mg/kg). After this distance, the amount of zinc decreases again. After a distance of 2000 m from the Shurtangas chemical complex, the amount of zinc in all directions decreases sharply and almost approaches the background. Given that the maximum allowable concentration (MAC) set for this element is 100 mg/kg of soil, it can be concluded that the light serozem soils around the complex are not contaminated with total zinc either.

In the light serozem soils around the complex, the lead element was noted to be several times higher than the maximum allowable concentration (MAC) (30 mg/kg) and background value (20 mg/kg) of this element. The highest levels of lead were found in the study at a distance of 1000 m in the southern direction of the complex (232 mg/kg of soil), 1250 m in the south-west (240 mg/kg of soil) and 1500 m in the south-east (276 mg/kg of soil). These figures show that lead is 8–9 times higher than MAC and 12–13 times higher than MAC.

In addition to the waste from the complex, the activity of vehicles moving in the area may have had a specific effect on the contamination of light serozem soils around the complex with lead. That is, the amount of lead is relatively high around the Karshi-Nuristan-Shurtan highway, located in the south-western direction of the complex.

As mentioned above, in the south-western direction of the complex at a distance of 1250 m (the amount of lead is 202 mg/kg of soil) is the area of this road. In other areas, a sharp decrease of lead element was observed after 1500–2000 m, while in the south-eastern direction at a distance of 2500–3000 m it was observed that the amount of lead was more than 100 mg/kg of soil. In other directions, less than MAC after distances of 4000–5000 m, areas close to the background, in this direction the total lead content at these distances was recorded around 50–60 mg/kg, and after 6000 m approached the background (20 mg/kg). Hence, the distribution of total lead in the light serozem soils around the complex shows that it depends not only on the activity of the complex but also on the transport activity.

Our studies have shown that the soils around the complex are also contaminated with total nickel (Figs. 2–5). The highest amounts of the nickel element were observed mainly at a
The distribution of total nickel in the light serozem soils around the complex can be explained by the wind effect the spread of the wastes generated by the complex activities. To reduce the contamination of the soils of the study area with heavy metals, phytoremediation [31], biochar [32], enrichment of soils with organic matter, use of mineral fertilizers, including phosphorus fertilizers [28, 29, 30] are recommended. In particular, high results were obtained by the combined use of organic and mineral fertilizers [21, 27].

CONCLUSION

According to the study of the content of heavy metals in the irrigated light serozem soils around the Shurtangas chemical complex, the soils around the complex are mainly contaminated with lead and nickel elements. The maximum amount of the element lead is 8–9 times its allowable level, and nickel is more than 3 times. Pollution was mainly recorded on the southern, south-eastern, and southeastern sides of the complex. The main reason for this is the spread of complex wastes in these directions under the influence of wind. Traffic may also have contributed to the spread of heavy metals in the soil.
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