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Mensuration of articular facets of hip bone and its clinical implication
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ABSTRACT

Background: The vertebral column, sacrum, iliac bones and the lower limbs form a co-ordinated articular system with the two joints i.e., the hip joint and sacroiliac joint (SIJ). Our current understanding of biomechanics of this region is still quite inadequate, particularly with regard to multidirectional activities i.e. sports involving twisting and turning.

Materials and Methods: We have examined 160 normal adult hip bones. In each hip bone the outline of symphyseal, auricular and lunate acetabular surface area was marked on tracing paper. The surface area was then measured by digital planimeter.

Aim: An attempt has been made to correlate the various articular areas of hip bone and to find if any correlation exists between them applying Karl Pearson’s correlation coefficient formula.

Results: The average surface area of auricular surface for the two sides was 9.88cm²; that of lunate articular part of acetabulum 17.20cm² and of pubis symphysis was found to be 2.60cm². Further, differences between the two sexes have also been evaluated. In general, all the surface areas were found to be larger in males and more on the right side as compared to left.

Conclusion: There is a positive correlation seen between auricular and acetabular area; acetabular and symphyseal area and auricular and symphyseal area on both the sides. The findings of the present work can help in the better understanding of the normal kinematics of these joints and the resultant effects due to their dysfunction. Further it can help physiotherapists to properly plan out the treatment.

Ⅰ. Introduction

During the changeover from the pronograde to the orthograde posture there has been a great change in the anatomy and functions of hip and pelvis as a whole. In terms of posture and locomotion, the pelvis is designed to support the downward and forward thrust transmitted to it by the weight of the trunk. Weight bearing and propulsive forces are also transmitted through the pelvis in reciprocal direction, as body weight is transferred alternately to each hip joint during gait.¹

The weight from the fifth lumbar vertebrae (L5) is distributed equally along the alae of sacrum and through the ilium towards the acetabulum; the rest is transmitted across the horizontal ramus of the pubis and is counteracted at the symphysis pubis by a similar force from the opposite side. These lines of forces form a complete ring along the pelvic brim and there exists a complex system of bony trabeculae in the pelvic bones corresponding to these lines of force.²

During evolution of erect posture of man, the weight of the body is transferred from the fifth lumbar vertebra to the base of the sacrum and thence through the acetabulum to the femoral head and ultimately to the lower limbs. The other concurrent change seen is that the ilia now lie vertically instead of horizontally (as in the the quadrupeds); sacrum being wedged between the two ilia, there is wider pelvis with acetabular cavities widely separated and the depth of the pubis is reduced.¹

Regardless of the sports activity, normal function of the pelvis and hip is a prerequisite for normal athletic performance.³ There is complete interdependence of the
various elements of the pelvic brim so that any impairment at any level affects the structure as a whole and decreases the mechanical resistance. Palastanga et al. (2002) have estimated hip joint forces in normal subjects and stated that it ranged up to nearly six times body weight.\(^4\)

The articular areas play a very important role in transmission of various forces including compression and reactionary forces, and also in the performance of various movements. The semilunar articular area of acetabulum is not equal in all places and in man it is widest where the head of femur is in maximum contact during erect posture and here the upper lip of acetabulum shows a general hypertrophy. This is located in the posterosuperior part (iliac) through which weight-cum-thrust bearing line passes and this area (the ‘dome’) is the widest and most extensive and it is narrowest in its pubic region.\(^5\)

The articular surface of the ilium (auricular surface) lies on the posterosuperior part of medial aspect of hip bone. It is crescent shaped, concave posterosuperiorly. Its edges are well defined but the surface, though articular is rough and irregular. Its long axis contains a long crest lying between two furrows. This curved crest corresponds to an arc of circle whose centre lies approximately at the sacral tuberosity. This tuberosity is the point of insertion of the powerful sacroiliac ligaments. At the junction of the two segments of the iliac articular facet there is a slight elevation known as Bonnaire’s tubercle.\(^2\)

The symphyseal surf ace is elongated and oval, covered by cartilage. The bony surface is irregular and shows small ridges and furrows or nodular elevations varying considerably with age and these features are of forensic value. At the pubis symphysis motion occurs vertically, with a shear type displacement of one pubic bone on the other, and in an anteroposterior rotational direction as one hemipelvis rotates forward on the other in gait (vertical motion-2 mm; rotatory movement-both in frontal and sagittal plane—generally less than 1.5mm).\(^3\) The pubic symphysis is responsible for the integrity of pelvic ring.

The mechanics of pelvis does not lend itself to its critical analysis of complex movements. The variations described in the literature and seen in the specimens prompted us to measure the variations in size, length and shape of various articular facets of hip bone and to try and find if any correlation exists between these various facets.

2. Material and Methods

One hundred and sixty dry (Table 1), fully ossified apparently normal adult hip bones which did not show any congenital or pathological abnormalities, available in our department were utilized for this study. Side was determined by the two authors separately and side (right and left) was determined based on the external features of the bone. In each hip bone the outline of symphyseal, auricular and lunate acetabular surface areas were traced on tracing paper [Figures 1, 2 and 3] and using digital planimeter KP-90N PLACOM (Lawrence and Mayo (India) pvt. Ltd. 274, Dr. D.N. Road, Mumbai -400001) [Figures 4 and 5]. The surface areas were calculated separately for right and left side, as also for the male and female bones. The data so obtained was used to determine the correlation coefficient using Karl Pearson’s formula.

### Table 1: Showing total number of bones used in present study (right & left side)

| Sex   | Total | Right | Left |
|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Male  | 129   | 58    | 71   |
| Female| 31    | 15    | 16   |
| Total | 160   | 73    | 87   |

3. Results

As seen in Table 2, the area of auricular surface in males was greater than in females on both the sides. The left auricular area in the male and right auricular area in female was greater. There was great variation observed in the size, shape and surface of auricular areas in the hip bones studied. The symphyseal articular area, which was mostly oval in outline, was greater in males on both sides as compared to females. In both male and female it was greater on right side. Acetabular surface area was distinctly greater in the males compared to females and it was greater on the right side in both sexes.

3.1. Statistical analysis

The values of the various articular surface areas were used to find the Karl Pearson correlation efficient (r).\(^6\)

As seen in Table 3, acetabular lunate articular area was the largest articular area in all the bones examined of both sides and in both the sexes, contributing more than fifty percent of the surface area. The auricular area contributed approximately one third of the total surface area, whereas, symphyseal contribution was less than ten percent.

An attempt was made to establish correlation between auricular (A) & symphyseal (B) [AB(r)]; auricular (A) & acetabular (C) [AC(r)] and symphyseal (B) & acetabular (C)
Table 2: Showing measurement of surface areas of various articular facets of Hip bone (in cm$^2$)

| Surface          | Right Male | Right Female | Left Male | Left Female |
|------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| Auricular        | 10.23      | 9.44         | 10.96     | 8.73        |
| Acetabular       | 19.04      | 15.84        | 18.55     | 15.40       |
| Symphyseal       | 2.46       | 2.42         | 2.38      | 1.96        |
| Total surface area cm$^2$ | 31.73   | 27.70        | 31.89     | 26.09       |

Fig. 2: Showing the articular surface of auricular and symphyseal surface of hip bone

Fig. 3: Showing the tracing of A): Lunate surface of acetabulum; B- Auricular surface of ilium, C- Symphyseal surface of pubis and of left hip bone.

Fig. 4: Showing digital planimeter KP-90N Placom (Lawrence and Mayo (India) pvt. Ltd. 274, Dr. D.N. Road, Mumbai -400001)

Fig. 5: (A & B): Showing a reading in digital planimeter and tracing of the articular surfaces (Lunate acetabular, Auricular & Symphyseal)

surface area [BC(r)]as shown in Table 4. All the values of ‘r’ were less than 1.00.

4. Discussion

For more than a century the type and movements at the sacroiliac joints (SIJs) had been shrouded in mystery. It is an enigmatic joint. The controversy has raged for centuries,
most significantly in its classification, cartilage type, innervation, propensity for movement, and predilection for causing pain. Schafer et al. (1987) have stated that like fingerprints, their exact design is unique to the individual. Interest in these joints was generated first by obstetricians. Attention devoted to them as a primary source of mechanical dysfunction and pain has waxed and waned. The SIJs especially fell into disfavor as producers of pain when key role of the Inter Vertebral Disc (IVD) in back pain gained ground. It is capable of limited motion and therefore subject to mechanical dysfunction. Antagonists of these arguments contend that motion at these joints is nearly impossible, considering the complexity of its topography, the magnitude of force required for its disruption, the referred nature of the pain attributed to it, and the flawed nature of the analysis of its motion. Earlier the SIJ was classified variably as a cartilaginous joint (amphiarthrosis), a synchondrosis that is ultimately replaced by bone, a diarthroamphiarthrodial joint, a cross between a synarthrosis and diarthrosis. Some concluded that the joint is synovial (diarthroidal) but becomes an amphiarthrosis under certain pathological conditions. The trend today is to include both the iliac and sacral auricular surfaces and tuberosities in the make-up of the SIJ. The auricular surfaces form a synovial joint and the tuberosities connected by an interosseous ligament constitute fibrous form of a synarthrosis. Although the synovial part of the joint is usually classified as plane, the congruency of the opposite joint surface. The congruency of the opposite joint surfaces is usually high; eminences are more frequent on the ilium, and ‘almost every conceivable combination of grooves, ridges, eminences and depressions’ is apparent. Auricular surfaces of female are smaller and flatter than those in males. In the middle of the third decade, surfaces begin to show signs of degeneration. Joint degeneration progresses from the fourth through the eighth decade. The ilium significantly antedates the sacrum in development. Joint cavitation, which is complete by 12 weeks begins later and progresses more slowly in the SIJ. A joint cavity appears by 7th week and reaches its full extent in 7th or 8th month; a joint capsule is lined by synovial membrane at 37th week. At birth the joint surfaces are flat and smooth, and the capsule is thin and pliable. During the first 10 years, the auricular surfaces remain flat and permit gliding motions in all directions. In the teen years, the complementary unevenness starts to develop on two auricular surfaces. By early twenties, a convex iliac ridge and a concave sacral depression have formed and run centrally along the length of the joint surface. The congruency of the opposite joint surfaces is usually high; eminences are more frequent on the ilium, and ‘almost every conceivable combination of grooves, ridges, eminences and depressions’ is apparent. Auricular surfaces of female are smaller and flatter than those in males. In the middle of the third decade, surfaces begin to show signs of degeneration. Joint degeneration progresses from the fourth through the eighth decade. The degenerative changes that develop on the iliac side appear first and are more severe than those on the sacral side.

Acetabulum is not always of the same shape, width, or depth. Minor anatomical abnormalities in the acetabular shape and joint congruences are frequent which may have some bearing on the acetabular reconstruction and femoro-acetabular impingement. Acetabular fossa may have an irregular cloverleaf shape.

Pal (1989) measured the surface areas of body of sacrum, superior articular facets and the mean surface area of two auricular surfaces and found a significantly high correlation between the vertebral and iliac areas. The value given by Pal for the two auricular surfaces (18.4 +/- 2.19 cm²) is

| Surface  | Right         | Male | Female | Left         | Male | Female | Average |
|----------|---------------|------|--------|--------------|------|--------|---------|
| Auricular| 32.28         | 32.28|        | 34.36        | 33.46|        | 33.03   |
| Acetabular| 60.08         | 57.10|        | 59.02        | 59.03|        | 58.80   |
| Symphyseal| 7.63          | 8.86 |        | 7.52         | 7.51 |        | 7.88    |

Table 3: Showing individual contribution of the three articular areas of Hip bone in [male and female hip bones of both sides] (in%)

| Correlation | Male | Female | Left  | Female |
|-------------|------|--------|-------|--------|
| AB (r)      | 0.87 | 0.88   | 0.89  | 0.78   |
| AC (r)      | 0.95 | 0.92   | 0.93  | 0.94   |
| BC (r)      | 0.92 | 0.87   | 0.98  | 0.78   |

Table 4: Showing values of correlation coefficient (r)
comparable if we add up the surface areas of the auricular surfaces in the male (21.19 cm²) and in the female (18.17 cm²).  

Anatomical knowledge of the articular surfaces if hip joint is of utmost importance to orthopaedic surgeons as articular surface injuries are a significant problem for which current treatments is uncertain. They are common and many of these injuries initiate progressive joint degeneration, considered as post-traumatic osteoarthritis. Risk of post-traumatic osteoarthritis can be reduced by surgeons efforts by restoring joint stability, congruity, and alignment following injury. Surgeons also use periosteal and perichondral grafts and autologous chondrocytes to promote repair and remodeling of damaged articular surfaces. Still researches are going on that would help to maintain chondrocyte viability and function, restore joint stability and congruity, and promote articular surface repair and remodeling following joint injury.

5. Conclusion

The findings of our study can help in the better understanding of the normal kinematics of various joints of hip bone and the resultant effects due to their dysfunction. No work of similar nature has been carried out earlier and hence the findings of the present work can not be compared with any other work and prior to this, no attempt has been made to find the correlation coefficient between the various articular surfaces of hip bone. The present findings may be of value to orthopedic surgeons and physiotherapists in designing and planning of therapy for low backache, and SIJ dysfunction.
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