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**Objective:** Obesity often clusters with other major cardiovascular disease risk factors, yet a subset of the obese appears to be protected from these risks. Two obesity phenotypes are described, (i) “metabolically healthy” obese, broadly defined as body mass index (BMI) $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$ and favorable levels of blood pressure, lipids, and glucose; and (ii) “at risk” obese, BMI $\geq 30$ with unfavorable levels of these risk factors. More than 30% of obese American adults are metabolically healthy. Diet and activity determinants of obesity phenotypes are unclear. We hypothesized that metabolically healthy obese have more favorable behavioral factors, including less adverse diet composition and higher activity levels than at risk obese in the multi-ethnic group of 775 obese American adults ages 40-59 years from the International Population Study on Macro/Micronutrients and Blood Pressure (INTERMAP) cohort.

**Design and Methods:** In gender-stratified analyses, mean values for diet composition and activity behavior variables, adjusted for age, race, and education, were compared between metabolically healthy and at risk obese.

**Results:** Nearly one in five (149/775 or 19%) of obese American INTERMAP participants were classified as metabolically healthy obese. Diet composition and most activity behaviors were similar between obesity phenotypes, although metabolically healthy obese women reported higher sleep duration than at risk obese women.

**Conclusions:** These results do not support hypotheses that diet composition and/or physical activity account for the absence of cardiometabolic abnormalities in metabolically healthy obese.
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**Introduction**

Obesity is associated with higher mortality risks, higher health care costs, impaired physical functioning, lower quality of life, and higher morbidity from major cardiovascular and noncardiovascular causes (1-6). Obesity often clusters with other major cardiovascular disease risk factors including prehypertension and hypertension, dyslipidemia, and impaired glucose tolerance (7,8), yet a subset of the obese appears to be protected from these risks. Two obesity phenotypes have been described, (i) “metabolically healthy” obese and (ii) “at risk” obese (9-11). The metabolically healthy obese phenotype is broadly defined as body mass index (BMI) $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$ and favorable levels of blood pressure, lipids, and glucose.

According to data from the 1994-2004 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) (12), more than 30% of the nearly 61 million obese American adults are metabolically healthy obese, defined as obese with no more than one cardiometabolic risk factor. With metabolically healthy defined as no adverse levels of cardiometabolic risk factors, 17% of obese are metabolically healthy.

Determinants of obesity phenotypes are unclear, particularly role of diet composition, i.e., intake measured either by food groups (food-based categories) or nutrients (macro/micronutrients); and behaviors related to activity levels, i.e., sleep duration, television viewing time, other sedentary behavior, and physical activity (13). To our knowledge, there are no population-based data on diet composition by obesity phenotype; aside from leisure-time activity, behaviors related to activity levels have rarely been assessed in obesity phenotypes. Furthermore, obese phenotypes have rarely been investigated in ethnically diverse groups, including those with inordinately high prevalence rates of obesity and cardiovascular disease risk factors.
Here we present data on these matters for a multi-ethnic cohort of 2,195 adults ages 40-59 years from eight diverse US population samples of the The International Population Study on Macro/Micronutrients and Blood Pressure (INTERMAP) Study (14,15,16). We hypothesized that metabolically healthy obese have more favorable behavioral factors, including less adverse diet composition and higher physical activity levels than at risk obese.

Methods and Procedures

Participants

INTERMAP is a cross-sectional investigation of the relation of dietary factors (foods/nutrients) and urinary metabolites to blood pressure (14,16,17). It includes 4,680 men and women ages 40-59 years from 17 diverse population samples, including 2,195 persons from eight diverse American population samples. Details on eligibility criteria and baseline demographic characteristics have been published (16). Each sample was selected randomly from an age/gender-stratified population list, to give approximately equal numbers of people in each of four 10-year age–gender groups (men ages 40-49 and 50-59 years and women ages 40-49 and 50-59 years).

This study is based on 2,195 participants from US samples. Of these, nonobese persons (BMI < 30 kg/m²) were excluded, leaving a total of 775 obese American adults (398 men and 377 women), i.e., 35% of the American INTERMAP cohort, for analysis.

Data collection

Each participant attended the local INTERMAP research center on four occasions: two visits on consecutive days with a further two visits on consecutive days on average three weeks later. Whenever possible, one visit by each participant included a weekend day (or an equivalent rest day) according to work schedule. All data were collected by trained and certified staff using high quality standardized methods. The protocol was approved by the institutional review board at each research center and written consent was obtained from each participant.

Blood pressure and other data. Blood pressure of the seated participant was measured twice per visit (four different days) with a random zero sphygmomanometer after emptying the bladder and at least 5 min of rest in a quiet room. Pulse was measured three times per visit. At the first and third visit, height was measured without shoes using a vertically placed rule with the base at floor level and weight was measured using a balance beam or weighing scale. BMI was calculated as weight divided by height squared (kg/m²). Data on demographic and other factors, including education, sleep duration, television viewing time, leisure-time, and work-related physical activity (usual hours per day spent sitting or doing light and moderate/heavy activity) smoking (current, former, or never smoker), family and previous medical history, current special diet, and medication use were collected by interviewer-administered questionnaire.

Dietary data. Dietary data were collected at each of the four visits by a trained certified interviewer using the in-depth multipass 24-h recall method. All foods and beverages consumed in the previous 24 h were recorded. To aid accurate recall, fresh foods of varied standardized portion sizes, food and drink models, containers of various types and sizes, and photographs were used. Interviewers used neutral probing techniques to check for completeness of items reported, and details such as brand names of foods, quantities, processing methods, additions in cooking and/or at table, and amounts left on plate (15,16). Dietary information was directly computerized with use of a program to guide on-screen coding. Nutrient intakes of participants were calculated from US specific food tables. Daily alcohol consumption (amount and type of alcoholic beverage) over the previous 7 days and, for abstainers, information on previous drinking were obtained by interview twice, at the first and third visits; these data were in addition to those on alcohol intake from the four 24-h dietary recalls. Abstainers were those who reported no current alcohol consumption in the four dietary recalls, all others were considered current drinkers. A total of 83 nutrient variables, including total energy intake and macro/micronutrients were assessed. Food group analyses were performed using the Nutrition Data System for Research version 2.91 from the Nutrition Coordinating Center (NCC) at the University of Minnesota (18). The Nutrition Data System for Research includes nine generic food groups and 168 subgroups that were considered in these analyses. On the basis of Nutrition Data System for Research groupings, INTERMAP investigators created 16 food groups and 42 food-based subgroups, concentrating on specific food subgroups including meat and fish, dairy, eggs, fruits, vegetables, and grains. The 34 nutrient variables and 14 food group variables selected for this analysis were those putatively associated with healthy diet composition. The food group variables are described in Appendix A. Both individual nutrient and food group variables were analyzed in regard to the outcomes of interest (19,20).

Urinary data. For the optimal assessment of dietary sodium, potassium, and total protein intake, two timed 24-h urine specimens were collected; urinary sodium, potassium, creatinine, urea (index of 24-h total protein intake), and multiple other metabolites were measured (16). Timed collections were started at the research center on the first and third visits, and completed at the center the next day. Urine aliquots were stored frozen at −20 °C before being shipped frozen to a Central Laboratory in Leuven, Belgium, where analyses were performed with extensive internal and external quality control; further analyses were subsequently done at a Central Laboratory in London, England. Individual excretion values were calculated as the product of concentrations in the urine and urinary volume corrected to 24 h. The average of the two excretion values was used.

Definition of obesity phenotypes

As there are no uniform criteria to define obese phenotypes, an INTERMAP specific definition was developed. Metabolically healthy was defined as meeting all of the following criteria: favorable blood pressure (120/80 mm Hg) and no medication or special diet for hypertension; no physician diagnosis, medication, or special diet for other metabolic risk factors (i.e., diabetes and dyslipidemia); no prevalent cardiovascular disease. Any participant not meeting these criteria was classified as at risk obese.

Statistical analyses

Prevalence rates of metabolically healthy obese and at risk obese were calculated separately for the two genders and for the whole sample. Overall mean values for continuous descriptive variables (age, years of education, BMI, and pulse) and proportions of categorical descriptive variables (% female, % nonWhite, % current drinkers, % current smokers, and % with family history of hypertension) were calculated for metabolically healthy and at risk obese. Differences in overall mean values between the metabolically healthy and at risk obese were compared using t-tests; proportions
were compared using \( \chi^2 \) analyses. All other analyses were done separately within gender groups. Means, adjusted for age, race, and education, were calculated for 14 food groups, 34 macro/micronutrients, and five activity behavior variables. Data for food group variables were not normally distributed; adjusted means of log-transformed food group values were calculated and back transformed for presentation as geometric means.

\( t \)-tests were used to compare adjusted means of metabolically healthy and at risk obese by gender. To address potential type 1 error from multiple comparisons of the 53 food group, nutrient, and activity variables, Bonferroni correction was performed; the corrected \( \alpha \) level for significant results was \( 0.05 / 53 = 0.001 \). In a sensitivity analysis, all of the aforementioned analyses were repeated with the criteria for healthy obese also including nonsmoking. Analyses were done by the first author (Hankinson) with SAS statistical software, version 9.2 (SAS, Cary, NC).

### Results

#### Prevalence and characteristics of obese phenotypes

Descriptive statistics for 775 obese American INTERMAP participants, stratified by gender, are shown in Table 1; 19% (149/775) met the definition of metabolically healthy obese. Prevalence of metabolically healthy obese was similar in men and women (20 and 19%, respectively). Compared with at risk obese, metabolically healthy obese adults were significantly younger; there were the following nonsignificant differences: lower BMI, higher proportion of nonWhite race/ethnicity (Black, Hispanic, Asian, and Native American), and lower prevalence of family history of hypertension. In sensitivity analysis with no smoking as an additional criterion for metabolically healthy obese, 127 of the 775 participants (16%) met all criteria; the remaining 648 were considered at risk obese. Results (not tabulated here) were nearly identical to the overall results.

#### Diet composition: food groups and macro/micronutrients

Fourteen food groups and 34 dietary macro/micronutrients were compared between at risk and metabolically healthy obese phenotypes. There were no significant differences in food groups (Table 2) or nutrients (Table 3) between obesity phenotypes. In both genders, metabolically healthy obese reported nonsignificantly lower energy intake as compared with at risk obese. There was no significant difference in type or amount of food group intake, including fruits and vegetables, grains, meats, fish, and sugar sweetened beverages across obesity phenotypes. In women, the metabolically healthy obese reported higher amounts of vegetable protein and starch and lower amounts of total protein (estimated from urinary urea) as compared with at risk obese. These differences had \( P \) values below 0.05, but did not meet the \( \alpha \) level of significance adjusted for multiple comparisons. Intakes of other macro/micronutrients, including total fat, protein, carbohydrate, fiber, iron, and alcohol were similar across the two obesity phenotypes for both men and women.

#### Activity behaviors: sleep, television viewing time, other sedentary activity, and physical activity levels

There were no significant differences in sleep duration, television viewing time, other sedentary activity, and physical activity levels between obesity phenotypes in men (Table 4). In women, metabolically healthy obese reported significantly higher sleep duration than
Discussion

In this population-based study of 775 obese American middle-aged adults, 19% (nearly one in five) met criteria for metabolically healthy obese, defined by favorable levels of cardiometabolic risk factors. To our knowledge, this study is the first to compare diet composition between obesity phenotypes. Diet composition, measured as intake of food groups and macro/micronutrients, and activity behaviors (e.g., television viewing time, other sedentary activity, and physical activity levels) were similar between obesity phenotypes, with the exception of longer sleep duration in metabolically healthy obese women. Other factors, including BMI, energy intake, and sociodemographic characteristics were similar between obesity phenotypes. These results prevailed with no smoking as an additional criterion for metabolically healthy obese adults. Our results indicate that diet composition and activity behaviors do not explain the absence of cardiometabolic abnormalities in the definition of the metabolically healthy obese phenotype. A recent NHANES report estimated the prevalence of metabolically healthy American obese to be 32% based on a definition including one cardiometabolic abnormality; prevalence was 17% when metabolically healthy was defined as absence of any cardiometabolic abnormalities, i.e., a rate similar to our study (12).

No significant associations were observed between obesity phenotypes and diet composition. This absence of significant association between diet composition and obesity phenotypes is relevant in the light of compelling observational study and clinical trial evidence that a healthy diet may be associated with the metabolically healthy obese phenotype regardless of diet quantity (energy intake). For example, in overweight/obese adults, the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial and the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study both reported a 58% reduction in incident diabetes through diet and activity interventions with modest (<10%) weight loss (23,24). The OmniHeart trial demonstrated the beneficial effects on blood pressure, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglycerides with isocaloric replacement of carbohydrates by proteins or unsaturated fat in adults with mean BMI of 30.2 kg/m² (25). INTERMAP and other observational studies have shown the similar associations between diet composition and cardiovascular disease risk factor levels. In particular, prior work in the INTERMAP cohort has demonstrated an inverse relationship to blood pressure of several macro/micronutrients, including calcium, magnesium, phosphorous, total and nonheme iron, vegetable protein, and omega-3 fatty acids (26-31). Of these nutrients, in this study only vegetable protein was noted to be higher in the metabolically healthy obese; the finding prevailed only in women and the P value was not statistically significant after adjustment for multiple comparisons.

### Table 2: Food group intake, at risk and metabolically healthy obese American adults by gender—adjusted geometric means

| Food group (g/1000 kcal) | At risk (n = 323) | Metabolically healthy (n = 75) | P value | At risk (n = 303) | Metabolically healthy (n = 74) | P value |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Total fruits            | 119.4            | 99.8                        | 0.345  | 57.5             | 76.0                        | 0.136  |
| Fresh fruits            | 38.0             | 50.3                        | 0.137  | 25.5             | 30.0                        | 0.414  |
| Total vegetables        | 109.3            | 106.1                       | 0.686  | 140.4            | 139.3                       | 0.921  |
| Whole grains            | 16.0             | 14.8                        | 0.639  | 15.5             | 14.4                        | 0.664  |
| Nuts, nut butters (legumes) | 10.1           | 9.4                         | 0.757  | 7.4              | 10.2                        | 0.189  |
| Low fat dairy products  | 22.4             | 20.4                        | 0.825  | 32.4             | 32.2                        | 0.980  |
| Other dairy products    | 26.1             | 26.7                        | 0.844  | 32.1             | 31.9                        | 0.973  |
| Fish (seafood)          | 19.3             | 13.1                        | 0.072  | 9.0              | 12.6                        | 0.179  |
| Poultry                 | 21.0             | 18.8                        | 0.451  | 23.7             | 23.8                        | 0.973  |
| Fresh meats             | 31.2             | 29.7                        | 0.684  | 28.0             | 21.1                        | 0.027  |
| Processed meats         | 7.6              | 7.6                         | 0.989  | 10.4             | 11.0                        | 0.744  |
| Fats (oils)             | 15.5             | 16.2                        | 0.577  | 17.1             | 17.4                        | 0.826  |
| Snacks (sweets)         | 18.4             | 17.7                        | 0.778  | 13.5             | 14.2                        | 0.701  |
| Sugar sweetened beverages | 134.0          | 129.8                       | 0.822  | 153.1            | 120.9                       | 0.116  |

Geometric means adjusted for age, race, and education; P values calculated using t-test. Significant α level with Bonferroni correction for multiple testing (0.05/53 diet and activity variables) = 0.001. A complete description of food group variables is given in Appendix A.
To our knowledge, although no study has reported sleep duration or sedentary behaviors in obesity phenotypes, a few cross-sectional studies have investigated associations between physical activity levels and obesity phenotypes, with contradictory results. Self-reported activity data showed associations between higher activity and the metabolically healthy obesity phenotype, whereas objective physical activity data did not (12,32). Results of this study for activity levels are concordant with objective physical activity data. Among obese women, the metabolically healthy reported 0.6 h longer sleep duration than at risk obese. Although shorter sleep duration has often been associated with obesity in cross-sectional and prospective studies (33,34), this is the first report of an association with the at risk obesity phenotype. It is hypothesized that shorter sleep duration and obesity may be linked through neuroendocrine changes that influence appetite and favor a positive caloric balance (34), or through behaviors often correlated with short sleep duration, such as television viewing time and consumption of high energy foods (35,36). These hypotheses are not likely to explain the observed association with obesity phenotypes in our cohort of American INTERMAP women, because energy intake, television viewing time, and diet composition were similar in at risk and metabolically healthy obese women. Although measures of sedentary behavior

| Nutrient                              | At risk (n = 323) | Metabolically healthy (n = 75) | P value | Men (n = 398) | Metabolically healthy (n = 74) | P value |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Energy intake (%kcal)                 | 2687.5           | 2533.6                         | 0.082   | 1998.6        | 1981.2                         | 0.811   |
| Keys dietary lipid score              | 38.6             | 37.9                           | 0.537   | 38.5          | 37.4                           | 0.387   |
| Total fat (%kcal)                     | 34.6             | 34.1                           | 0.605   | 34.2          | 33.7                           | 0.577   |
| Cholesterol (mg/1000kcal)             | 142.8            | 144.3                          | 0.842   | 145.2         | 138.6                          | 0.429   |
| Omega-3 PFA (%kcal)                   | 0.7              | 0.8                            | 0.412   | 0.8           | 0.8                            | 0.955   |
| Omega-6 PFA (%kcal)                   | 6.4              | 6.4                            | 0.966   | 6.4           | 6.4                            | 0.746   |
| Total SFA (%kcal)                     | 11.3             | 11.0                           | 0.442   | 11.2          | 11.0                           | 0.534   |
| Total MFA (%kcal)                     | 12.9             | 12.8                           | 0.693   | 12.7          | 12.4                           | 0.468   |
| MFA 18:1 (%kcal)                      | 12.3             | 12.1                           | 0.680   | 12.0          | 11.8                           | 0.592   |
| Total PFA (%kcal)                     | 7.0              | 7.0                            | 0.965   | 7.0           | 7.1                            | 0.813   |
| Total TFA (%kcal)                     | 2.1              | 1.9                            | 0.242   | 2.0           | 2.0                            | 0.832   |
| Total protein (%kcal)                 | 15.9             | 15.8                           | 0.872   | 15.8          | 15.5                           | 0.461   |
| Animal protein (%kcal)                | 10.8             | 10.9                           | 0.811   | 10.9          | 10.2                           | 0.120   |
| Vegetable protein (%kcal)             | 4.8              | 4.7                            | 0.463   | 4.8           | 5.1                            | 0.018   |
| Total protein from urea N (g/day)     | 92.9             | 91.9                           | 0.749   | 71.1          | 65.1                           | 0.020   |
| Sugar from beverages (%kcal)          | 5.7              | 6.0                            | 0.742   | 5.6           | 4.7                            | 0.250   |
| Sugar from fruit (%kcal)              | 2.9              | 3.3                            | 0.268   | 3.2           | 2.9                            | 0.412   |
| Total sugar (%kcal)                   | 25.1             | 26.7                           | 0.144   | 27.2          | 26.7                           | 0.663   |
| Starch (%kcal)                        | 22.0             | 21.5                           | 0.439   | 21.6          | 23.2                           | 0.025   |
| Total carbohydrates (%kcal)            | 47.1             | 48.1                           | 0.298   | 48.8          | 50.0                           | 0.299   |
| Alcohol (%kcal)                       | 3.2              | 2.2                            | 0.137   | 1.7           | 1.2                            | 0.454   |
| Iron (mg/1000 kcal)                   | 7.5              | 7.1                            | 0.237   | 7.4           | 7.6                            | 0.552   |
| Heme iron (mg/1000 kcal)              | 0.6              | 0.6                            | 0.985   | 0.6           | 0.5                            | 0.093   |
| Nonheme iron (mg/1000 kcal)           | 6.9              | 6.6                            | 0.234   | 6.8           | 7.1                            | 0.388   |
| Total fiber (g/1000 kcal)             | 8.2              | 8.3                            | 0.729   | 8.3           | 8.7                            | 0.289   |
| Calcium (mg/1000 kcal)                | 350.6            | 344.2                          | 0.701   | 363.7         | 378.1                          | 0.458   |
| Magnesium (mg/1000 kcal)              | 140.5            | 136.0                          | 0.308   | 137.9         | 141.7                          | 0.404   |
| Phosphorus (mg/1000 kcal)             | 587.7            | 577.0                          | 0.452   | 584.2         | 597.5                          | 0.427   |
| Vitamin A (IU/1000 kcal)              | 3004.1           | 3257.2                         | 0.412   | 3739.7        | 4282.6                         | 0.199   |
| Vitamin C (mg/1000 kcal)              | 46.2             | 51.7                           | 0.212   | 49.3          | 49.9                           | 0.889   |
| Vitamin E (mg/1000 kcal)              | 4.2              | 4.4                            | 0.543   | 4.4           | 4.5                            | 0.771   |
| Urinary Na (mmol/day)                  | 204.6            | 190.5                          | 0.086   | 165.6         | 152.8                          | 0.083   |
| Urinary K (mmol/day)                   | 67.9             | 67.5                           | 0.890   | 52.3          | 48.9                           | 0.152   |
| Urinary Na/K ratio                    | 3.3              | 3.2                            | 0.505   | 3.5           | 3.4                            | 0.428   |

K, potassium; MFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; Na, sodium; PFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; SFA, saturated fatty acids; TFA, trans fatty acids.

Means adjusted for age, race, and education; P values calculated using t-test; significant α level with Bonferroni correction for multiple testing (0.05/53 diet and activity variables) = 0.001.
were not statistically different between obesity phenotypes, metabolically healthy obese men reported fewer hours of television viewing time and metabolically healthy obese women reported less sedentary activity than their at risk obese counterparts, suggesting less sedentary behavior in the metabolically healthy obese as compared with at risk obese.

Mean age of metabolically healthy obese was nearly 3 years younger than the at risk obese, consistent with findings from NHANES (12). Other studies comparing mean age between obesity phenotypes reported discrepant findings, showing significantly older age of healthy obese (12,37), or no significant difference in age (38-41).

Our study has several strengths, including our strict definition of metabolically healthy obese and the high quality diet data, meticulously measured with four separate in-depth multi pass 24-h recalls. We also recorded several measures of activity behavior, including sleep duration, two measures of sedentary behavior, and physical activity levels of varying intensities (light and moderate to vigorous). We corrected for multiple testing using a conservative method to adjust the alpha level, which likely inflated type 2 (false negative) error. Our study is limited by its cross sectional design, which precludes assessment of relationships between antecedent behavioral factors and obesity phenotypes. Another limitation in need of emphasis is the absence of INTERMAP data on blood glucose and lipids for our obesity phenotype classification. Finally, although diet data was collected with rigor, recall bias of dietary data is likely.

In conclusion, nearly one in five (19%) of obese INTERMAP middle-aged American adult participants were classified as metabolically healthy, a prevalence rate similar to that of previous reports using similar definitions. Diet composition, measured by food group and macro/micronutrient intake, was not associated with obesity phenotype. Although sleep duration was associated with obesity phenotype in women, other activity behaviors factors, including television viewing time, other sedentary activity, and physical activity levels were not significantly related to obesity phenotype. These results do not support hypotheses that diet composition and physical activity account for absence of cardiometabolic abnormalities in metabolically healthy obese adults.
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**TABLE 4** Activity levels in at risk and metabolically healthy obese American adults, by gender—adjusted means

| Variable                     | At risk (n = 323) | Metabolically healthy (n = 75) | P value | At risk (n = 303) | Metabolically healthy (n = 74) | P value |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Sleeping (h/day)             | 7.1               | 6.8                            | 0.103   | 7.0               | 7.6                            | 0.001   |
| TV viewing time (h/day)      | 2.6               | 2.5                            | 0.548   | 2.5               | 2.5                            | 0.728   |
| Other sedentary activity (h/day) | 7.2               | 7.5                            | 0.475   | 7.3               | 6.7                            | 0.280   |
| Light activity (h/day)       | 3.6               | 3.7                            | 0.915   | 3.9               | 4.2                            | 0.274   |
| Moderate-heavy activity (h/day) | 3.4               | 3.4                            | 0.970   | 3.3               | 3.0                            | 0.515   |

Means adjusted for age, race, and education; P values calculated using t-test; significant α level with Bonferroni correction for multiple testing (0.05/53 diet and activity variables) = 0.001.

**TABLE APPENDIX A** Fourteen food group variables, adapted from Nutrition Data System for Research, Nutrition Coordinating Center University of Minnesota

| Food groups and subgroups | Description                                                                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Total fruits              | 100% fruit juices and drinks, sweetened and unsweetened fruits, and dried fruits |
| Fresh fruits              | Subgroup of total fruits                                                    |
| Total vegetables          | Raw, cooked (fresh, frozen, or canned), vegetarian meat substitutes, and vegetable recipes |
| Total grains              | Breads, rolls, biscuits, pancakes, ready to eat cereals, and grains and flour |
| Nuts, nut butters, and legumes | Nuts, nut butters, mature dried beans and peas                                |
| Low fat dairy products    | Less than 2% fat content dairy products—i.e., cream, cheese, ice creams, milk/cheese recipes, milk, yogurt, yogurt frozen, and cocoa |
| Higher fat dairy products | 2% or more fat content dairy products (listed above)                          |
| Fish and seafood          | Fish and fish roe, shellfish                                                |
| Poultry                   | Domestic and wild fowl                                                      |
| Fresh meats               | Beef, lamb, pork, veal, and game                                            |
| Processed meats           | Fresh and cured cold cuts and sausage                                       |
| Fats                      | Animal fats, margarines, table spreads, oils, shortening, and salad dressing |
| Sweets                    | Sugar, syrup, honey, jam, jelly, preserves, sweet sauces, and candy         |
| Sugar sweetened beverages | Sweetened noncarbonated drinks (<100% juice), does not include artificially sweetened drinks |
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