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Abstract

Introduction: Hepatitis B virus (HBV)-related liver cirrhosis (LC) complicated with severe sepsis (SS) leads to HBV-related acute-on-chronic liver failure (HBV-ACLF). Programmed cell death ligand-1 (PD-L1)-associated immunosuppression is involved in both LC and SS. This study aimed to examine the expression and clinical relevance of PD-L1 on peripheral CD14+ monocytes in sepsis-induced HBV-ACLF.

Material and methods: PD-L1 expression on peripheral CD14+ monocytes among the healthy control (HC), LC and LC + SS groups was examined using flow cytometry analysis and compared. In the LC + SS group, an SS-induced ACLF subgroup was identified. LC + SS patients were followed up for 28 days. The correlations between monocytic PD-L1 expression and illness severity scores and the prognostic value of monocytic PD-L1 expression in SS-induced HBV-ACLF patients was examined.

Results: There were 17, 30 and 70 participants in the HC, LC and LC + SS groups, respectively. The monocytic PD-L1 expression was higher in the LC group compared with the HC group and in the LC + SS group compared with the LC group. The monocytic PD-L1 expression was positively correlated with the illness severity scores in LC + SS patients and predicted 28-day mortality of SS-induced HBV-ACLF patients (n = 59).

Conclusions: Severe sepsis exhibits a superimposed effect of monocytic PD-L1 up-regulation on the basis of liver cirrhosis, and monocytic PD-L1 expression predicts 28-day mortality of SS-induced HBV-ACLF.
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monocytic PD-L1 over-expression was related to 28-day mortality [15], and anti-PD-L1 therapy significantly reversed monocytic dysfunction and inhibited T cell apoptosis in cecal ligation and a puncture (CLP) sepsis model and further improved survival [14]. In HBV-ACLF models, PD-L1 up-regulation in the liver sample sections was established as a marker of HBV-ACLF [15, 17].

However, whether sepsis would bring additional monocytic-related immunosuppression to cirrhotic patients and whether monocytic PD-L1 overexpression is of prognostic value for HBV-ACLF patients is not clear. Thus, we examined PD-L1 expression on CD14+ monocytes in LC + SS patients compared with LC patients, as well as the prognostic value of monocytic PD-L1 expression in HBV-ACLF patients.

Material and methods

Ethical statement

The study protocol was conducted in line with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000. Written informed consent was waived because the blood samples in this study were obtained from residual blood samples which were collected for routine clinical use. The study was approved by the Beijing Chao-yang Hospital ethics committee.

Participants enrollment

All the participants were ≥ 18 years old and were divided into the healthy control (HC) group, the LC group and the LC + SS group. The LC group and the LC + SS group patients were enrolled from the Emergency Department of Beijing Ditan Hospital. Age- and sex-matched healthy controls without obvious infection during the past 3 months were recruited.

According to the medical records from the Beijing Ditan Hospital, all the patients enrolled in the LC group had a history of persistent HBV infection for more than 6 months. Liver cirrhosis was diagnosed previously according to the past medical history, clinical manifestations and laboratory/auxiliary examination results and presented with or had a history of at least one of the following complications of decompensated liver cirrhosis: ascites, esophagogastroduodenal hemorrhage and hepatic encephalopathy.

SS was diagnosed according to the 2012 Surviving Sepsis Campaign guideline [18]. LC + SS group patients should meet the diagnostic criteria of both LC and SS. The diagnosis of ACLF relies on two mandatory conditions: LC with acute decompensation and organ dysfunction. Acute decompensation patients were complicated with at least one of the following acutely developed complications: ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, gastrointestinal hemorrhage and bacterial infection [2]. According to the AD definition, all the LC + SS patients met the AD crite-
ria. The diagnosis of organ dysfunction was in accordance with the Chronic Liver Failure-Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (CLIF-SOFA) grading system [2]. LC + SS patients with CLIF-SOFA grade 0 and CLIF-SOFA grade ≥ 1 were further categorized into the AD subgroup and the SS-induced ACLF subgroup.

The exclusion criteria were age < 18 years, other causes of liver cirrhosis, pregnancy, alcoholism, trauma, hematological diseases, cancers, human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS), autoimmune diseases, and taking steroid/non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or immunosuppressive agents during the previous 3 months.

All the LC and LC + SS patients received standard antiviral therapy, albumin, nutritional support and complication treatment and prevention measures as needed, and no patient had received a liver transplant. The treatment of SS was in accordance with the 2012 Surviving Sepsis Campaign guideline [18].

Data collection

For all the participants, demographic data and medical history were extracted during the enrollment. For the LC and the LC + SS group patients, relevant clinical data, auxiliary examination results and blood samples for flow cytometry analysis were obtained on day 1 of enrollment. For the LC + SS group patients, the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score and the CLIF-SOFA score were calculated according to the data obtained on day 1 of enrollment. All the participants were followed up for 28 days to obtain all-cause mortality data.

Flow cytometry

2 ml of residual peripheral venous blood was obtained on admission from blood samples for clinical use, stored at 4 in a ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) tube and flow cytometry analysis was performed within 2 hours. PD-L1-PE (Cat# 560176) and CD14-APC-H7 (Cat# 560180) and their respective isotype controls recommended were purchased from BD Pharmingen (San Jose, CA, USA). 100 μl of whole blood sample was incubated with anti-CD14 and anti-PD-L1 antibodies for 20 minutes. Red blood cells were lysed. Anti-CD14 and anti-PD-L1 labeled cells and their isotypes labeled cells were obtained and run on a Gallios Flow Cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Inc.). Kaluza analysis version 1.5a (Beckman Coulter, Inc.) software was used for data analysis. Gating protocols of PD-L1 on CD14+ monocytes are shown in Figure 1.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 22.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Quantitative data were presented as medians (M) and interquartile ranges. Qualitative data were reported as frequencies and per-
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centrages. The comparisons of qualitative data and qualitative data were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test and the chi-square test, respectively. Spearman’s test was used to analyze the correlations between the CD14+ monocytic PD-L1 expression and the illness severity scores. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to analyze the value of different parameters for predicting 28-day mortality of SS-induced ACLF patients. We considered all statistical tests with a $p$ value < 0.05 significant.

Results

Participant characteristics

A total of 117 eligible participants including HC ($n = 17$), LC ($n = 30$) and LC + SS ($n = 70$) were enrolled in this prospective observational study from May 1, 2015 until September 30, 2016. No significant difference was observed with respect to age, sex and HBV viral load among the groups (both $p > 0.05$). White blood cell (WBC) count was higher in the LC + SS group compared with the LC group ($p < 0.001$). Lymphocyte count was lower in the LC group compared with the HC group and the LC + SS group (both $p < 0.001$). The positive culture rate was significantly higher in the LC + SS group compared with the LC group ($p < 0.001$). The baseline characteristics of the whole population are shown in Table 1.

After 28 days of follow-up, no death case was observed in the HC and the LC group. Out of 70 LC + SS patients, 59 were diagnosed with SS-induced ACLF. In the SS-induced ACLF subgroup, 37 patients survived. Age, sex, WBC count, lymphocyte count, HBV viral load and

---

**Fig. 1.** Gating protocols of PD-L1 on CD14+ monocytes. A) CD14+ monocyte gating protocol. B-D) Monocytic PD-L1 expression in healthy control (HC), HBV-related liver cirrhosis (LC) and LC combined with severe sepsis (LC + SS) groups respectively. Gate A represents CD14+ monocytes. Gate C2 represents PD-L1 + CD14+ monocytes.
Culture results showed no significant difference between survivors and non-survivors (all p > 0.05). Intra-abdomen was the most frequent site of infection in both the survivors (23/37) and the non-survivors (17/22). The baseline data of SS-induced ACLF patients are shown in Table 2.

### PD-L1 expression on monocytes among the HC, LC and LC + SS groups

The monocytic PD-L1 percentage was higher in the LC + SS group compared with the LC (p = 0.046) and the HC groups (p < 0.001) and lower in the HC group compared with the LC group (p = 0.018). The monocytic PD-L1 mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) was higher in the LC + SS group compared with the LC (p = 0.046) and the HC (p = 0.009) groups. Comparisons of PD-L1 expression on CD14+ monocytes among the HC, LC and LC + SS groups are shown in Table 1.

The percentage and MFI of monocytic PD-L1 were positively correlated in both the whole cohort (r = 0.660, p < 0.001) and the LC + SS group (r = 0.756, p < 0.001). The MFI of PD-L1 on CD14+ monocytes represents the average quantity of PD-L1 molecules expressed on the surface of a single monocyte. The close correlations confirmed the reliability of the experiment. Figure 2A, B represents the relationships between the percentage and the MFI of PD-L1 on CD14+ monocytes in the whole cohort and in the LC + SS group respectively.

### Correlations between monocytic PD-L1 expression and illness severity scores of LC + SS patients

The monocytic PD-L1 percentage was positively correlated with the SOFA score (r = 0.328, p = 0.006) and the CLIF-SOFA score (r = 0.389, p = 0.001). The monocytic PD-L1 MFI was also positively correlated with the CLIF-SOFA score (r = 0.324, p = 0.006). The correlations between the expression of PD-L1 on CD14+ monocytes and illness severity scores are shown Figure 2C-F.

### Comparisons between survivors and non-survivors in the SS-induced HBV-ACLF subgroup during a 28-day follow-up

According to the CLIF-SOFA grading system, LC + SS patients were divided into 4 grades (grade 0-3). 11 patients (15.7%) were categorized in the AD subgroup and 59 patients (84.3%) in the SS-induced HBV-ACLF subgroup. All the deaths cases (22 cases) were reported in the SS-induced HBV-ACLF subgroup. The monocytic PD-L1 percentage (p = 0.004) and MFI (p = 0.008), the SOFA score (p < 0.001), and the CLIF-SOFA score (p < 0.001) were significantly higher in the non-survivors compared with the survivors. The patients with CLIF-SOFA grade 3 exhibited a significantly higher mortality rate compared with the patients with CLIF-SOFA grade 1 + 2 (p < 0.001). The comparisons of PD-L1 expression on CD14+ monocytes between the survivors and non-survivors are shown in Table 2.

---

### Table 1. Comparisons of baseline characteristics of healthy control (HC), HBV-related liver cirrhosis (LC) and HBV-related liver cirrhosis complicated with severe sepsis (LC + SS) groups

| Parameter                  | HC (n = 17) | LC (n = 30) | LC + SS (n = 70) |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| Age (years)                | 58 (48-64)  | 48 (39-57)  | 53 (47-60)       |
| Male/total (%)             | 10/17 (58.8)| 21/30 (70.0)| 49/70 (70.0)     |
| WBC (x 10⁹/l)              | 5.82 (4.89-7.73) | 3.46 (2.55-5.84) | 8.84 (5.60-12.67)* |
| Lymphocytes (x 10⁹/l)      | 2.43 (1.98-3.16) | 1.01 (0.76-1.39)* | 0.83 (0.54-1.21)* |
| SOFA score                 | –           | –           | 10 (8-12)        |
| CLIF-SOFA score            | –           | –           | 13 (10-15)       |
| PD-L1 percentage on CD14+ monocytes (%) | 3.10 (1.40-6.56) | 11.91 (2.24-28.62)* | 20.05 (8.56-41.90)** |
| PD-L1 MFI on CD14+ monocytes (%) | 2.72 (2.46-2.92) | 2.75 (2.55-3.06) | 2.97 (2.64-3.35)** |
| HBV viral load log (IU/ml) (n = 42) | –           | 3.2 (2-4.6)  | 3.6 (2-4.6)     |
| Culture results            |             |             |                 |
| G+ cocci, n (%)            | –           | 3 (10.0)    | 19 (27.1)       |
| G– bacilli, n (%)          | –           | 2 (6.7)     | 11 (15.7)       |
| Mixed infection, n (%)     | –           | 0 (0)       | 8 (11.4)        |
| Fungi, n (%)               | –           | 0 (0)       | 5 (7.1)         |
| Anaerobes, n (%)           | –           | 0 (0)       | 1 (1.4)         |

*Comparing with the HC group with p < 0.05, **comparing with the LC group with p < 0.05. Significance was set at p < 0.05 bilaterally. Quantitative data were presented as medians (M) and interquartile ranges. Qualitative data were reported as frequencies and percentages.
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Monocytic PD-L1 expression for predicting 28-day mortality of the SS-induced HBV-ACLF patients

In the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for predicting 28-day mortality of the SS-induced HBV-ACLF patients (Fig. 3), the area under the curve (AUC) of the monocytic PD-L1 MFI, the SOFA score and the CLIF-SOFA score were 0.727 (p = 0.004), 0.709 (p = 0.008), 0.924 (p < 0.001) and 0.813 (p < 0.001) respectively.

In the ROC curves, according to the maximization of Youden index principle, the optimal cutoff points for monocytic PD-L1 percentage and MFI respectively, the optimal cutoff points for monocytic PD-L1 percentage were 12.35% (sensitivity 0.909, specificity 0.459) and 2.67 (sensitivity 0.909, specificity 0.432) respectively. The optimal cutoff points for monocytic PD-L1 MFI were 1.2 (sensitivity 0.909, specificity 0.432). Patients above the PD-L1 percentage cutoff point exhibited a significantly higher 28-day mortality (OR = 8.50, 95% CI: 1.73-41.72, p = 0.004) and CLIF-SOFA score (p = 0.044) compared with patients under the cutoff point. Patients above the PD-L1 MFI cutoff point also exhibited significantly higher risk of death (OR = 7.62, 95% CI: 1.56-37.45, p = 0.008).

In the present study, monocytic PD-L1 was over-expressed in LC patients and when complicated with SS, especially in SS-induced ACLF patients, and this population may further benefit from PD-L1 blocking agents by reversing PD-1:PD-L1 pathway related immunosuppression.

Discussion

Immunosuppression plays an important role in bacterial infections in cirrhotic patients [4]. PD-L1 overexpression contributes to sepsis-associated immunosuppression. PD-1:PD-L1 pathway blocking restores T cell function and improves sepsis prognosis [19-22]. PD-1 and PD-L1 overexpression were also found in liver cirrhosis patients [23, 24]. Anti-PD-1 therapy in a PD-1 overexpressed CHB mouse model exhibited improved prognosis [25] while anti-PD-L1 agents increased peripheral blood mononuclear cell proliferation and enhanced interferon γ (INF-γ) production [26, 27]. In a sepsis model, PD-L1 up-regulation was associated with monocytic dysfunction and PD-L1 blocking decreased the secretion of interleukin (IL)-10, and increased the secretion of tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) and IL-6, which in turn increased bacterial clearance [14]. These studies imply that monocytic PD-L1 over-expression may be superimposed in LC + SS patients, especially in SS-induced ACLF patients, and this population may further benefit from PD-L1 blocking agents by reversing PD-1:PD-L1 pathway related immunosuppression.

In the present study, monocytic PD-L1 was over-expressed in LC patients and when complicated with SS,
Fig. 2. A, B) The correlations between CD14+ monocyte PD-L1 percentage and MFI in the whole population and in the LC + SS group respectively. C-F) The correlations between PD-L1 expression (percentage and MFI) on CD14+ monocytes and illness severity scores (SOFA score and CLIF-SOFA score)
monocytic PD-L1 expression further increased. It is reasonable to infer that in LC + SS patients, especially LC-induced HBV-ACLF patients, increased expression of monocytic PD-L1 may be involved in the deteriorated overall immunosuppression and contribute to increased mortality. However, this hypothesis needs to be further investigated.

In a previously study by our partners, the investigators examined PD-L1 expression on CD14+ monocytes in sepsis patients and healthy controls using the same protocol [15]. It was found that the monocytic PD-L1 expression rates were 18.5%, 21.5% and 21.0% in the control group, severe sepsis group and septic shock group, respectively. The study enrolled a relatively large population (29 healthy control, 59 severe septic patients, and 76 septic shock patients). Severe sepsis or septic shock patients exhibited only a 20-25% increase of PD-L1 expression on CD14+ macrophages compared with the control group. In the present study, however, we observed a 3-4 fold and 6-7 fold increase of monocytic PD-L1 expression in the LC group and LC + SS group compared with the healthy control group, respectively. Although the baseline characteristics and sampling time differed between our study and the previous study [15], it is speculated that PD-L1 expression on CD14+ monocytes may be significantly higher in LC + SS patients compared with the SS patients. It is important to add an additional SS group to examine whether the elevated monocytic PD-L1 expression was due to the simple summation effect of LC and SS, or the intensification of interactions between LC and SS.

Biomarkers for predicting the prognosis of septic patients has been a clinical focus. Traditional biomarkers were developed largely based on the theories of cytokine storm and pro-inflammatory responses [5]. However, it was observed that immunosuppression may be present from the very start of sepsis and determined the fate of sepsis [28]. Previously, PD-L1 had been proved a prognostic marker of both septic shock and ACLF [15, 17]. Here, we examined the prognostic value of this parameter in the SS-induced HBV-ACLF population. We found that monocytic PD-L1 expression was positively correlated with clinical severity scores in the LC + SS group, in which more than 80% of patients were diagnosed with HBV-ACLF. Also, all the death cases occurred in the patients with initial CLIF-SOFA grade > 0, indicating that SS-induced HBV-ACLF was responsible for the 28-day mortality of LC + SS and AD generally led to a satisfactory prognosis. In the SS-induced HBV-ACLF subgroup, both monocytic PDL-1 percentage and MFI exhibited excellent predictive values. However, the value of this parameters for predicting the clinical outcome of this specific population needs to be further evaluated in a large-scale population.

The following limitations need to be considered: firstly, this was a preliminary study with a relatively small sample size. The predictive value of monocytic PD-L1 expression in SS-induced HBV-ACLF needs further evaluation. Secondly, this study only examined decompensated HBV-related liver cirrhotic patients, which limits the generalization of conclusions. Thirdly, peripheral PD-L1 monocytes could not represent intra-hepatic conditions. Fourthly, this pilot observational study only revealed an additive effect of LC and SS on monocytic PD-L1 expression during admission. It is important to add an SS group to examine the cause of monocytic PD-L1 expression elevation in LC + SS patients and examine monocytic PD-L1 expression at different time points in the future study. Lastly, as the results are markedly influenced by the internal (enrolled population and experimental design) and external factors (experimental instruments, equipment, reagents, experimenters etc.), a large-scale study with detailed subgroup analysis is mandatory to confirm the results.

**Conclusions**

Severe sepsis exhibits an superimposed effect on monocytic PD-L1 up-regulation on the basis of liver cir-
rhosis, and monocytic PD-L1 expression predicts 28-day mortality of SS-induced HBV-ACLF.
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