CYP4A in tumor-associated macrophages promotes pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis

Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) play an essential role in metastasis. However, what enables TAMs to have a superior capacity to establish pre-metastatic microenvironments in distant organs is unclear. Here we have begun to uncover the effects of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 4A in pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis. CYP4A+ TAM infiltration was positively associated with metastasis, pre-metastatic niche formation and poor prognosis in breast cancer patients. The pharmacological inhibition of CYP4A reduced lung pre-metastatic niche formation (evidenced by a decrease in vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1 positive (VEGFR1+) myeloid cell recruitment and pro-metastatic protein expression) and metastatic burden, accompanied with TAM polarization away from the M2 phenotype in spontaneous metastasis models of 4T1 breast cancer and B16F10 melanoma. Depletion of TAMs disrupted lung pre-metastatic niches and thereby prevented metastasis. Treatment with the CM from CYP4A+ TAMs increased pre-metastatic niche formation and metastatic burden in the lungs, whereas CYP4A inhibition attenuated these effects. In vitro TAM polarization away from the M2 phenotype induced by CYP4A inhibition decreased VEGFR1+ myeloid cell migration and fibronectin expression, accompanied with downregulation of STAT3 signaling. Conversely, overexpression of CYP4A or exogenous addition of 20-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid promoted M2 polarization and cytokine production of macrophages and thereby enhanced migration of VEGFR1+ myeloid cells which were reversed by siRNA or pharmacological inhibition of STAT3. Importantly, a combined blocking M2 macrophage-derived factors TGF-β, VEGF and SDF-1 abolished VEGFR1+ myeloid cell migration and fibroblast activation induced by CYP4A. In summary, CYP4A in TAMs is crucial for lung pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis, and may serve as a potential therapeutic target in human cancer.
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INTRODUCTION

Metastasis remains the leading cause of cancer-related deaths.1 Tumor metastasis needs to modify the local environment at future metastatic sites. Accordingly, a so-called pre-metastatic niche is induced by factors derived from the primary tumor before tumor cells arrive at the target organs.2 The recruitment of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1 (VEGFR1)-positive hematopoietic bone marrow progenitors, CD11b+ myeloid cells and suppressive immune cells, initiates the pre-metastatic niches and thereby promotes metastasis.3,4 Targeting the pre-metastatic niche-promoting molecular and cellular components to prevent metastasis may be an attractive approach for cancer therapeutics.

The tumor microenvironment is deeply engaged in determining the metastatic fate of the tumor.5 Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), one of the most abundant inflammatory stromal cells in the tumor microenvironment,6 exhibit predominantly M2-like pro-tumor phenotype rather than M1-like anti-tumor phenotype,6 and their importance in metastasis is well established.7 Importantly, targeting TAMs prevents tumor metastasis through inhibiting production of various factors including chemokines, inflammatory and growth factors.8–10 Different types of immune cells, including myeloid-derived suppressor cells, regulatory T cells, and neutrophils, have been identified as contributing to pre-metastatic niche.3,11 Recruitment of monocytes/macrophages or stimulation of pulmonary alveolar macrophages by tumor-derived factors is essential for lung pre-metastatic niche establishment.12,13 However, the role of TAMs in forming a sanctuary for tumor cells in distant organs before tumor cell arrival has only begun to be appreciated.

20-Hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (20-HETE) is a novel lipid mediator contributing to tumor growth and angiogenesis.14 The 20-HETE-producing enzymes of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 4A families are upregulated in various human cancer tissues, including breast cancer, colon cancer and ovary cancer.15,16 Inhibition of 20-HETE synthesis by N-(4-butyl-2-methylphenyl)-N'-hydroxyformamidine (HET0016) decreases pro-angiogenic factors and inhibits breast cancer growth.17 Our previous study showed that CYP4A11 overexpression in A549 human non-small-cell lung cancer cells enhances experimental lung metastasis.18 Downregulation of COX-2 and CYP4A signaling in human breast cancer cells inhibits metastasis through preventing anoikis resistance, migration and invasion.19 However, the effect of CYP4A/20-HETE...
in not only TAMs but also likely other types of stromal cells on tumor metastasis and its mechanism has not been elucidated.

In this study, CYP4A expression in TAMs and its correlations with clinical outcome of patients with invasive breast carcinoma or melanoma were analyzed using human tumor tissue microarrays and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) cohort. The effects of CYP4A inhibition by HET0016 and dibromododecyl methylsulfonyl fluoride (DDMS) (two relatively specific inhibitors of CYP4A) on pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis were evaluated in spontaneous metastasis models of 4T1 breast cancer and B16F10 melanoma. In particular, we investigated whether CYP4A in TAMs contributes to pre-metastatic niche formation through promoting production of M2 macrophage-derived cytokines. Our results could lead to a novel strategy against tumor metastasis.

RESULTS

CYP4A protein is overexpressed in human breast cancer and melanoma

We first analyzed CYP4A protein expression in human breast cancer and melanoma tissue microarrays, and found that CYP4A protein expression was higher in the invasive breast carcinoma than in the noninvasive breast carcinoma and benign tissues (Figures 1a and c). Consistently, CYP4A protein expression in the melanoma was elevated compared with the benign and normal skin tissues (Figures 1b and d). The correlations between CYP4A11/22 and clinical outcome of patients with invasive breast carcinoma (n = 780) or melanoma (n = 71) in TCGA cohort were explored. We found that high CYP4A22 expression was correlated with poor recurrence-free survival (RFS) but not overall survival (OS) in patients with invasive breast carcinoma (Figure 1e and Supplementary Figure 1a), while CYP4A11 expression had no significant correlation with OS and RFS (Supplementary Figures 1a and b). In the melanoma cohort, high expression of CYP4A11 was correlated with poor RFS (Figure 1f), but not correlated with OS (Supplementary Figure 1c). By using a Cox proportional hazards model, we examined the relationships between RFS and known prognostic factors, and found that CYP4A22 was a negative prognostic factor in human breast cancer (Supplementary Table 1). In the melanoma cohort, the patients with age > 64 years, lymph node metastasis and tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage III–IV did tend to have poor RFS, though the difference did not reach significance (Supplementary Table 2). Importantly, CYP4A11 was a valuable prognostic factor in human melanoma (Supplementary Table 2).

The correlations of CYP4A expression in TAMs with metastasis, pre-metastatic niche formation and poor prognosis

Similar to previous study,21 CD68+ TAMs from human breast cancer and melanoma tissues mostly expressed M2 marker CD206, and accumulated at the tumor margin or within the peri-tumoral area (Supplementary Figure 1d). The co-expression of CYP4A with CD206+ macrophages showed that CYP4A protein mainly expressed in TAMs of M2 phenotype in tumor microenvironment (Supplementary Figure 1e). In addition, CYP4A11/22 mRNA expression and 20-HETE production were higher in breast TAMs (BCa TAMs) and melanoma TAMs (MEL TAMs) compared with monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) (Figures 2a and b). Consistently, robust expression of CYP4A11/22 and production of 20-HETE were observed in THP-1 macrophages induced by human breast cancer cells MDA-MB-231 (MDA-231-TAMs) or A375 human melanoma cells (A375-TAMs) compared with THP-1 macrophages (Figures 2a and b).

To establish the pathological significance and clinical relevance of CYP4A expression in TAMs, a double immunofluorescent staining of CYP4A and CD206 was performed in a tissue microarray containing 140 human breast cancer tissues (Figure 2c). CYP4A+ TAM infiltration was positively associated with higher tumor burden as defined by T stage as well as with aggressive tumor biology defined by pathological grade, lymph node metastasis and TNM stage (Table 1). Furthermore, reduced OS and RFS were observed in breast cancer patients with a high level of CYP4A+ TAM infiltration in tumor tissues, which was also an independent prognostic parameter regarding RFS indicated by multivariate analysis (Figure 2d, Tables 2 and 3). The pre-metastatic niche plays a critical role in the metastatic process.2 Thus, we investigated the correlations of CYP4A+ TAM infiltration with pre-metastatic niche markers in uninvolved (tumor cell-free) lymph nodes from 30 patients with invasive breast cancer. As shown in Figures 2e and f, CYP4A+ TAM infiltration in the primary tumor was significantly correlated with VEGFR1+ cell infiltration or typical pre-metastatic niche factor (S100A8 and fibronectin) levels

Figure 1. CYP4A protein is overexpressed in human breast cancer and melanoma. (a, b) Representative images of immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of CYP4A protein in human breast cancer and melanoma tissue microarrays. Scale bar, 100 μm. (c) IHC scores of CYP4A staining in benign breast disease (n = 5), noninvasive breast carcinoma (n = 6) and invasive breast carcinoma tissues (n = 87). (d) IHC scores of CYP4A staining in normal skin (n = 4), benign (n = 4) and melanoma tissues (n = 27). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (e) Kaplan–Meier recurrence-free survival (RFS) curves for breast carcinoma patients according to CYP4A22 expression in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) cohort (n = 780). (f) Kaplan–Meier RFS curves for melanoma patients according to CYP4A11 expression in the TCGA cohort (n = 71).
Figure 2. The correlations of CYP4A expression in TAMs with pre-metastatic niche markers and poor prognosis. (a) CYP4A11/22 mRNA expression in human monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs), human breast TAMs (BCa TAMs), human melanoma TAMs (MEL TAMs), THP-1 macrophages, MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cell-induced THP-1 macrophages (MDA-231-TAMs), or A375 human melanoma cell-induced THP-1 macrophages (A375-TAMs) (n = 8). (b) 20-HETE production in MDMs, BCa TAMs, MEL TAMs, THP-1 macrophages, MDA-231-TAMs and A375-TAMs was assayed by LC-ESI-MS/MS (n = 8). The value is presented as the mean ± s.e.m. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs MDMs, #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01 vs THP-1 macrophages. (c) Representative images of double immunofluorescence staining of CD206 (red) and CYP4A (green) in the human breast cancer tissue microarray. Scale bar, 20 μm. (d) Kaplan–Meier overall survival curves and recurrence-free survival curves for breast cancer patients according to the number of CYP4A+ TAMs (n = 140). (e) Immunostaining of CYP4A, CD206, VEGFR1, S100A8 and fibronectin in human breast cancer tissues and matched uninvolved lymph nodes (n = 30). Scale bar, 50 μm. (f) The correlations of CYP4A+ TAM number in the primary tumor with VEGFR1, S100A8 and fibronectin levels in uninvolved lymph nodes from 30 patients with breast cancer.
in uninvolved lymph nodes. These results together support the notion that CYP4A in M2-polarized TAMs plays an essential role in pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis in human cancer.

CYP4A in TAMs promotes lung pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis

A common route of tumor metastasis occurring is to the lung. Similar to previous study,13 the 4T1-green fluorescent protein (GFP) cells and B16F10-GFP cells arrived to the mouse lungs, at the earliest, on days 18–20 after tumor implantation as a result of spontaneous metastasis of 4T1 breast cancer and B16F10 melanoma (data not shown). On day 28 after tumor implantation, CYP4A inhibition by HET0016 (5.0 mg/kg) and DDMS (7.5 mg/kg) decreased lung weight and 20-HETE production in TAMs (Figures 3a–e, Supplementary Figure 6a). On day 14 after tumor implantation, HET0016 (5.0 mg/kg) and DDMS (7.5 mg/kg) inhibited VEGFR1+ myeloid cell cluster formation in the pre-metastatic lungs, accompanied with the decreased production of 20-HETE in TAMs (Figures 3f and g). Circulating VEGFR1+ myeloid cells are potential clinical indicators of pre-metastatic niche formation.22,23 We found that VEGFR1+ myeloid cells were increased in the circulation on day 14 after tumor implantation, while CYP4A inhibition decreased these mobilizations (Supplementary Figure 2a). Several factors such as matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9), S100A8 and fibronectin mediate the pre-metastatic niche establishment.24 We found that HET0016 and DDMS inhibited MMP-9, S100A8 and fibronectin expression in the pre-metastatic lungs without significantly influencing tumor growth (Figure 3h and Supplementary Figure 6a), suggesting that lung pre-metastatic niche formation might be independent of the size of primary tumors. In another experiment, we analyzed the VEGFR1+GFP+ myeloid cells in the lungs from the B16F10-bearing mice treated with or without HET0016 (5.0 mg/kg) or DDMS (7.5 mg/kg) by flow cytometry, and found that B16F10 melanoma promoted the recruitment of VEGFR1+ myeloid cells to the lungs, whereas, CYP4A inhibition by HET0016 and DDMS decreased this recruitment (Supplementary Figure 2b). These results suggest that the inhibition of CYP4A most likely in TAMs by HET0016 and DDMS reduces lung pre-metastatic niche formation, and consequently prevents metastasis.

To elucidate the direct effect of CYP4A in TAMs on pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis, we injected 4T1 cells mixed with wild-type macrophages (control), negative control lentivirus macrophages (LV-NC) or CYP4A10 overexpressed (CYP4A10hi) macrophages into the right mammary fat pad of BALB/c mice. As shown in Figures 4a–c, CYP4A10hi in TAMs (Supplementary Figure 4d) increased tumor weight and lung metastatic nodules with an increase in 20-HETE production compared with the LV-NC group on day 28. On day 14, CYP4A10hi significantly elevated the number of VEGFR1+

### Table 1. The relationships between CYP4A+ TAM counts and clinicopathological features in samples from 140 patients with breast cancer

| Clinicopathological features | Cases | CYP4A+ TAM counts | χ² | P  |
|------------------------------|-------|-------------------|----|----|
| Low                          | High  |                   |    |    |
| Age (years)                  |       |                   |    |    |
| ≤54                          | 71    | 35                | 36 | 0.029 0.866 |
| >54                          | 69    | 35                | 34 |    |
| T stage                      |       |                   |    |    |
| T1                           | 57    | 36                | 21 | 6.658 0.010* |
| T2+T3                        | 83    | 34                | 49 |    |
| Lymph node metastasis        |       |                   |    |    |
| 0                            | 75    | 45                | 30 | 6.462 0.011* |
| ≥1                           | 65    | 25                | 40 |    |
| Pathological grade           |       |                   |    |    |
| I–II                         | 98    | 55                | 43 | 4.898 0.027* |
| III                          | 42    | 15                | 27 |    |
| TNM stage                    |       |                   |    |    |
| I                            | 33    | 25                | 8  | 11.459 0.001* |
| II–III                       | 107   | 45                | 62 |    |
| ER status                    |       |                   |    |    |
| Negative                     | 41    | 26                | 15 | 4.702 0.030* |
| Positive                     | 95    | 41                | 54 |    |
| PR status                    |       |                   |    |    |
| Negative                     | 64    | 41                | 23 | 11.043 0.001* |
| Positive                     | 73    | 26                | 47 |    |
| Her-2 status                 |       |                   |    |    |
| Negative                     | 61    | 34                | 27 | 3.784 0.052 |
| Positive                     | 58    | 22                | 36 |    |

*P < 0.05.

### Table 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of CYP4A+ TAM counts for overall survival of breast cancer patients in the tissue array

| Characteristics          | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                          | HR (95% CI)         | P-value               | HR (95% CI)         | P-value   |
| Age (≤54 vs >54)         | 1.957 (0.924–4.146) | 0.080                 | 1.097 (0.420–2.865) | 0.850     |
| Pathological grade       | 2.170 (1.044–4.514) | 0.038*                | 1.097 (0.420–2.865) | 0.850     |
| ER (negative vs positive)| 1.312 (0.610–2.822) | 0.487                 | 0.989 (0.461–2.110) | 0.912     |
| PR (negative vs positive)| 0.319 (0.153–0.662) | 0.002*                | 0.361 (0.150–0.868) | 0.023*    |
| Lymph node metastasis    | 0.724 (0.348–1.504) | 0.386                 | 0.361 (0.150–0.868) | 0.023*    |
| TNM stage (I vs II–III)  | 0.381 (0.159–0.913) | 0.030*                | 0.405 (0.166–0.989) | 0.047*    |
| CYP4A+ TAMs counts (low vs high) | 2.789 (1.270–6.128) | 0.011*               | 1.835 (0.793–4.245) | 0.156     |
|                          | 2.954 (0.899–9.764) | 0.076                 | 1.097 (0.420–2.865) | 0.850     |
|                          | 2.227 (1.035–4.791) | 0.041*                | 2.135 (0.809–5.637) | 0.126     |

*P < 0.05.
myeloid cells and the levels of MMP-9, S100A8 and fibronectin in the pre-metastatic lungs with an increase in 20-HETE production (Figures 4c–e) without significantly affecting tumor growth (Figure 4b). Our findings suggest that CYP4A in TAMs contributes to pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis.

CYP4A in TAMs is crucial for tumor-polarized macrophage phenotype switch

Given that TAM polarization plays a pivotal role in tumor metastasis, we first determined macrophage phenotypes in the murine models of 4T1 breast cancer and B16F10 melanoma. By double staining with anti-CYP4A antibody (red) and anti-CD206 antibody (green), we demonstrated a high level of CYP4A expression in TAMs of M2 phenotype in 4T1 and B16F10 tumor tissues (Supplementary Figure 3a), which is consistent with the data from human breast cancer and melanoma tissues. Intriguingly, significantly decreased F4/80+CD206+ (M2) macrophage infiltration and elevated F4/80+inNos+ (M1) macrophage infiltration were observed in the HET0016- and DDMS-treated tumors compared to the model group (Figures 5a and b, Supplementary Figures 3b and c), while there was no difference in F4/80+ macrophage infiltration among these groups (Figure 5b). We then measured representative M1 marker (iNos) and M2 markers (CD206 and arginase-1) by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) and western blot, and found that CYP4A inhibition by HET0016 and DDMS decreased the levels of M2 markers, but increased the level of M1 marker in TAMs isolated from 4T1 and B16F10 tumor tissues (Figures 5c and d). Consistently, HET0016 and DDMS increased TNF-α and IL-12 (Th1 cytokines) production, whereas, decreased TGF-β and IL-10 (Th2 cytokines) production in TAMs (Figures 5e and f). These data suggest that TAMs were predominantly of the M2-like, tumor-promoting phenotype, whereas CYP4A inhibition by HET0016 and DDMS skewed TAMs away from the M2 phenotype to the M1 phenotype.

We found that CYP4A expression and 20-HETE production were increased during in vitro polarization of human MDMs, RAW264.7 cells and mouse peritoneal macrophages (PNMS) (M0 macrophages) to the M2 macrophages (Figures 6a and b). By contrast, HET0016 (5 μM) and DDMS (10 μM) downregulated the gene expression of M2 markers (CD206 and arginase-1) and inhibited secretion of Th2 cytokines (TGF-β and IL-10), accompanying with a decrease in 20-HETE production (Figures 6a–d), without affecting the proliferation of MDMs, RAW264.7 cells and PNMS (Supplementary Figures 4a–c). Moreover, CYP4A overexpression enhanced M2 marker expression and Th2 cytokine production (Figures 6c and d), accompanied with the increased 20-HETE production (Supplementary Figure 4d). Consistently, immunofluorescence analysis showed that CD206 expression was significantly increased in CYP4A10high M2 macrophages, but decreased in HET0016- and DDMS-treated M2 macrophages (Figure 6e). These results suggest that CYP4A in TAMs is crucial for tumor-polarized macrophage phenotype switch.

CYP4A promotes TAM-induced pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis

To clarify whether TAMs participate in pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis, and such a role is related to their M1/M2-like phenotype regulated by CYP4A, we treated 4T1 tumor-bearing mice with Clodronate (Clod) and Zoledronic acid (ZA) liposomes to chemically deplete TAMs (using vehicle liposomes as control), and compared their effects in control tumors (containing more M2-polarized TAMs) with HET0016-treated tumors (containing more M1-polarized TAMs). Flow cytometry analysis of CD11b+ cells in peripheral blood and immunohistochemical analysis of F4/80+ macrophages in tumor tissues showed depletion of TAMs by Clod and ZA liposomes (Supplementary Figures 5a–d). On day 14 after tumor implantation, depletion of TAMs significantly decreased the number of metastatic nodules in the lungs (Supplementary Figure 5g). However, TAM depletion failed to further affect the inhibition of lung pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis by HET0016 (Supplementary Figure 5e–g). These data indicate that TAMs may contribute to pre-metastatic niche formation and thereby promote metastasis, and M1-polarized TAMs in HET0016-treated tumors did not (prominently) regulate lung pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis.

To support the results obtained in these in vivo depletion experiments, as depicted schematically, we used the conditioned medium (CM) from M2 macrophages (M2), HET0016 or DDMS-treated M2, and CYP4A10high M2 for further experiments (Figure 7a). As shown in Figures 7b–d, the CM from M2 or CYP4A10high M2 increased the number of VEGFR1+ myeloid cells and the levels of pro-metastatic proteins in the lungs, whereas CYP4A inhibition by HET0016 and DDMS attenuated these effects. We then treated mice with the CM described above for 2 weeks following by 4T1 cells challenge, and found that lung metastatic nodules were decreased in the HET0016- and DDMS-treated groups, but increased in the CYP4A10high group compared with the M2 CM-treated group (Figure 7e). Next, we determined whether TAMs could have a substantial impact on in vitro...
fibroblast activation and myeloid cell migration, crucial steps for pre-metastatic niche formation. Figure 7f showed that CYP4A inhibition by HET0016 and DDMS decreased the M2 CM-induced migration of VEGFR1+ myeloid cells. Furthermore, treatment of L929 mouse fibroblast and primary fibroblast derived from mouse lungs (LF) with the M2-CM induced α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) (a marker of fibroblast activation) and fibronectin expression, whereas, CYP4A inhibition attenuated these effects (Figure 7g). These data suggest that CYP4A promotes TAM-induced pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis.
Signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 (STAT3) is crucial for pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis. STAT3 activation promotes tumor cell survival, proliferation, and migration, leading to the formation of pre-metastatic niches. CYP4A in TAMs initiates pre-metastatic niche formation and promotes metastasis by inducing 20-HETE production and STAT3 signaling.

**DISCUSSION**

Our previous study suggested that overexpression of CYP4A11 in human non-small-cell lung cancer cells promotes metastasis. However, isoliquiritigenin prevents metastasis through down-regulation of COX-2 and CYP4A in human breast cancer cells. In the present study, two novel observations have been made. First, we have provided direct evidence that CYP4A in TAMs initiates pre-metastatic niche formation and consequently promotes metastasis. Second, we have demonstrated for the first time that CYP4A induces the polarization of macrophages to M2 phenotype via STAT3 signaling. These results implicate CYP4A/20-HETE–STAT3 signaling as a critical mechanism for macrophage M2 polarization and pre-metastatic niche formation.
pre-metastatic niche formation and poor prognosis in patients with breast cancer. CYP4A overexpression in the TAMs promotes pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis. Conversely, the pharmacological inhibition of CYP4A by HET0016 and DDMS decreased VEGFR1+ myeloid cell recruitment, pro-metastatic protein expression and lung metastatic burden. The focus on initiating distant organ metastasis through myeloid cells has been on tumor cell- and myeloid cell-produced factors. A variety of tumor-derived factors have been shown to drive the pre-metastatic niche formation. However, little has been done in the way of investigating the processes occurring at the primary tumor to stimulate their initial production. We demonstrated that CYP4A/20-HETE in TAMs regulates M2 macrophage-derived factors (TGF-β, VEGF and SDF-1), priming the microenvironment at future metastatic sites and thereby promotes metastasis. Given that CYP4A/20-HETE mediates the metastatic signals triggered by multiple of cytokines, including VEGF, SDF-1 and TGF-β, novel targeted therapies founded on CYP4A not any single cytokine may represent a potential strategy for prevention of metastasis.

Constitutive activation of STAT3 has been recognized as a crucial signal in macrophage M2 polarization. The inhibition of STAT3 signaling exerts anti-tumor immunity. A crucial role of
STAT3 in tumor metastasis has been well documented, and recent studies further indicated the importance of STAT3 signaling in formatting pre-metastatic niches in future metastatic sites. We demonstrated that CYP4A/20-HETE–STAT3 signaling was enhanced during the polarization of macrophages to an M2 phenotype. Conversely, CYP4A inhibition decreased p-STAT3 levels, accompanied with a decrease in M2 marker expression and VEGFR1+ myeloid cell migration. Importantly, siRNA and pharmacological inhibition of STAT3 attenuated the enhancing effects of CYP4A/20-HETE on macrophage M2 polarization and VEGFR1+ myeloid cell migration. These data suggest that CYP4A/20-HETE–STAT3 signaling in TAMs is crucial for lung pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis.

Taken together, CYP4A/20-HETE in TAMs promotes pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis through M2 macrophage-derived factors TGF-β, SDF-1 and VEGF via STAT3 signaling (Figure 10). Importantly, our study identifies a previously unknown crosstalk of TAMs with VEGFR1+ myeloid cells regulated by CYP4A/20-HETE–STAT3 axis. Our findings suggest that CYP4A in TAMs plays a key role in pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis, and may serve as a potential target for prevention and treatment of metastasis: target pre-metastatic niches before clinical detection of metastasis.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Human tissue microarrays**

Tissue microarrays for breast carcinoma (BRC1021) and melanoma (MEL961) were from Fanpu Biotech Company (Guilin, China). Another independent breast cancer tissue microarray (HBreD140Su03, Outdo Biotech Co., Shanghai, China) contained 140 patients in which overall survival data and RFS data were available. Each sample dot with a diameter of 1.5 mm and a thickness of 4 μm was prepared according to a standard method. The histological diagnosis for each sample was reconfirmed using microscopic examination of hematoxylin/eosin-stained sections. Informed consent was obtained for all patients.

**Patients and tissue samples**

The breast tumor and matched uninvolved lymph nodes samples were acquired from 30 consenting breast cancer patients. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients, and the Institutional Review Board of the Wuhan University approved the study. None of the patients had received chemotherapy or radiotherapy at the time when tissue samples were collected. Tumors were histologically classified as invasive breast cancer according to World Health Organization (WHO) criteria.

**TCGA data**

We used independent cohort from TCGA, which was a publically available, open-access and clinical data (update to 24 February 2015).
Figure 7. CYP4A promotes M2 macrophage-induced pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis. (a) Schematic representation of the experimental approach. (b, c) BALB/c mice were intraperitoneally injected with the conditioned medium (CM) from M2 macrophages (M2), HET0016 or DDMS-treated M2, and CYP4A10high M2 daily for 2 weeks. Serum-free medium as control. VEGFR1+ myeloid cells in the lungs were analyzed by flow cytometry (n = 5). (d) MMP-9, S100A8 and fibronectin in the lungs were determined by western blot (n = 8). (e) In another experiment, the mice treated as described above were followed by tail vein injection of 4T1 cells (2 × 105). The number of lung metastatic nodules was counted on day 10 after tumor implantation (n = 8). (f) RAW264.7 cells were treated with IL-4/IL-13 for 12 h, followed by incubation with or without HET0016 (5 μM) or DDMS (10 μM) for another 24 h. The CM prepared from the culture supernatants was added to the lower chamber. VEGFR1+ myeloid cells were added to the upper chamber, and then incubated over night. The cells migrated to the lower chamber were counted. (g) L929 fibroblast (L929) and lung-derived fibroblast (LF) were stimulated with above CM for 48 h. Serum-free medium as control. α-SMA and fibronectin were measured by western blot. The value is presented as the mean±s.e.m. #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01 vs M2, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs control.

Figure 8. M2 macrophage-derived factors regulated by CYP4A drive lung pre-metastatic niche formation. (a) TGF-β, IGF-1, VEGF, SDF-1, PDGF-B, MMP-2 and MMP-9 in M2 macrophages (M2) and CYP4A10high M2 were determined by qPCR. (b) VEGF and SDF-1 from the culture supernatants were determined by ELISA. (c) The conditioned medium (CM) from M2 or CYP4A10high M2 incubated with or without neutralizing anti-VEGF (20 μg/ml) or anti-SDF-1 (10 μg/ml) antibodies for 48 h was added to the lower chamber. VEGFR1+ myeloid cells were added to the upper chamber, and then incubated over night. The cells migrated to the lower chamber were counted. (d) L929 fibroblast (L929) and lung-derived fibroblast (LF) were stimulated with the CM from CYP4A10high M2 with or without neutralizing anti-TGF-β (10 μg/ml), anti-VEGF (20 μg/ml), anti-SDF-1 (10 μg/ml), anti-PDGF-B (10 μg/ml) or anti-MMP-9 (20 μg/ml) antibodies for 48 h. α-SMA was determined by qPCR. (e) L929 and LF were pre-treated with or without TGF-β receptor inhibitor (A83-01, 10 μM) for 1 h, and then incubated with the CM from CYP4A10high M2 for another 48 h. α-SMA and fibronectin were measured by western blot. (f) L929 and LF were stimulated with the CM from M2 or CYP4A10high M2 with or without a combination of neutralizing anti-TGF-β (10 μg/ml), anti-VEGF (20 μg/ml) and anti-SDF-1 (10 μg/ml) antibodies for 48 h. α-SMA was determined by qPCR. (g) L929 and LF were stimulated with the CM from M2 or CYP4A10high M2 with or without a combination of neutralizing anti-TGF-β (10 μg/ml), anti-VEGF (20 μg/ml) and anti-SDF-1 (10 μg/ml) antibodies for 48 h. α-SMA was determined by qPCR. (h) L929 and LF were stimulated with the CM from M2 or CYP4A10high M2 plus VEGF (800 pg/ml) or SDF-1 (60 pg/ml) or TGF-β (200 pg/ml) for 48 h. α-SMA and fibronectin were measured by western blot. The value is presented as the mean±s.e.m. ##P < 0.01 vs CYP4A10.
The data sets analyzed were the TCGA invasive breast carcinoma and cutaneous melanoma gene expression by RNAseq (IlluminaHiSeq, Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The invasive breast carcinoma cohort contained 780 patients in which overall survival data and RFS data were available. The cutaneous melanoma cohort contained 71 patients in which overall survival data and RFS data were available. Data from the TCGA were analyzed using the UCSC Cancer Genome Browser (http://genome-cancer.soe.ucsc.edu/). Invasive breast cancer and melanoma patients were grouped by CYP4A11/22 gene levels in primary tumor tissues: the 50% of patients top ranked for CYP4A11/22 levels were placed in the CYP4A11/22-high group and the bottom ranked 50% of patients in the CYP4A11/22-low group.

Figure 9. CYP4A/20-HETE–STAT3 signaling is crucial for M2 polarization of macrophages and migration of VEGFR1+ myeloid cells in vitro. (a, b) Mouse peritoneal macrophages (PNMS) or human monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) were stimulated with IL-4/IL-13 (20 ng/ml) for 12 h or the tumor-conditioned medium (CM) for 72 h to generate M2 macrophages, and then treated with HET0016 (5 μM), DDMS (10 μM) or vehicle for 24 h. STAT3 and p-STAT3 was determined by western blot. (c) Immunostaining analysis of CD206 (red) and p-STAT3 (green) in PNMS from the above treated groups was shown. Scale bars, 20 μm. (d) The effect of siRNA against STAT3 on the protein levels of STAT3 was assayed by western blot. (e) The effect of Static on p-STAT3 was assayed by western blot. (f) MDMs and PNMS were pre-treated with or without STAT3 inhibitor (Stattic, 20 μM) for 1 h, and then incubated with IL-4/IL-13 for 12 h or the tumor CM for 72 h, followed by treatment with or without 20-HETE (1 μM) for another 24 h. In another experiment, MDMs and PNMS were pre-treated with or without STAT3 siRNA (STAT3-siRNA) and scramble siRNA for 24 h, and then incubated with IL-4/IL-13 for 12 h or the tumor CM for 72 h, followed by treatment with or without 20-HETE (1 μM) for another 24 h. Th2 cytokines (TGF-β and IL-10) were determined by ELISA. (g) VEGF and SDF-1 from the culture supernatants in the above groups were determined by ELISA. (h) The CM in the above treated groups was added to the lower chamber. VEGFR1+ myeloid cells were added to the upper chamber, and then incubated over night. The cells migrated to the lower chamber were counted. Each value presents the mean ± s.e.m. of three independent triplicate experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs control, #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01 vs CYP4A, ^P < 0.05, ^^P < 0.01 vs 20-HETE.

The data sets analyzed were the TCGA invasive breast carcinoma and cutaneous melanoma gene expression by RNAseq (IlluminaHiSeq, Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The invasive breast carcinoma cohort contained 780 patients in which overall survival data and RFS data were available. Data from the TCGA were analyzed using the UCSC Cancer Genome Browser (http://genome-cancer.soe.ucsc.edu/). Invasive breast cancer and melanoma patients were grouped by CYP4A11/22 gene levels in primary tumor tissues: the 50% of patients top ranked for CYP4A11/22 levels were placed in the CYP4A11/22-high group and the bottom ranked 50% of patients in the CYP4A11/22-low group.

Chemicals and reagents
HET0016, DDMS and 20-HETE were purchased from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Human recombinant macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), mouse recombinant IL-4 and IL-13 were purchased from Pepro Tech (Rocky Hill, NJ, USA). Mouse recombinant VEGF, SDF-1 and TGF-β were purchased from R&D Systems (Oxford, UK). Static (a STAT3 inhibitor) was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Clodronate (Clod) was purchased from Roche Diagnostics (Indianapolis, IN, USA). Zoledronic acid (ZA) and A83-01 (a TGF-β receptor inhibitor) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). Neutralizing antibodies against TGF-β (MAB7346), VEGF (AF-493) and SDF-1 (MAB310) were purchased from R&D Systems. Neutralizing antibody against PDGF-B
To confirm that any beneficial effects observed reflect TAM depletion rather than off-target effects of the drug, we used two complementary methods to deplete macrophage. The Clod (100 mg/kg) liposomes were administrated intraperitoneally 24 h after 4T1 cells (1 x 10^6) were injected into the mammary fat pad of BALB/c mice, followed by repeated injections of 50 mg/kg every fourth day. In another experiment, 2A (100 μg/kg) liposomes were injected intravenously once a week, and then HET0016 (5.0 mg/kg) was administrated intraperitoneally five times a week. Clod and 2A doses were based on the literature [34,35] and the efficiency of macrophage depletion was assessed by flow cytometry analysis of CD11b^+ cells in peripheral blood and immunohistochemical analysis of tumor sections for F4/80.

In order to investigate the effects of macrophage M2 polarization regulated by CYP4A on pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis, the mice were treated by intraperitoneal injection of the CM (300 μl) from control, M2 macrophages (M2) treated with or without HET0016 (5 μM), DDMS (10 μM) or CYP4A10high M2 daily for 2 weeks, followed by tail vein injection of 4T1 cells (2 x 10^6). The lungs were harvested and analyzed by flow cytometry on day 14. Lung metastasis was measured by hematoxylin/eosin staining on day 24.

For the in vivo co-injection model, 6 x 10^5 4T1 cells were mixed with 2 x 10^2 wild-type RAW264.7 cells, negative control lentivirus (LV-NC) RAW264.7 cells or CYP4A10high RAW264.7 cells at a ratio of 3:1 and orthotopically injected into BALB/c mice. On day 14 or day 28, tumors and lungs were collected and analyzed.

Cell culture, lentiviral transduction, preparation of CM, cell proliferation assay, migration assay, flow cytometry, gene expression analysis, immunoblotting assay, measurement of 20-HETE, immunohistochemistry and tissue microarray analysis, immunofluorescence, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and statistical analysis were described in Supplementary Materials and Methods.
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