Uninterrupted optical light curves of main-belt asteroids from the K2 Mission
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1 Introduction

The Kepler space telescope revolutionized time-domain astronomy, and its unique capabilities were demonstrated by the detection of short period transiting exoplanets (Kipping et al. 2016), the application of stellar seismology (Chaplin et al. 2011), and the renewed interest in studying classical variable stars (Gilliland et al. 2010). In the latter case e.g. a new dynamical phenomenon was discovered in RR Lyrae stars (Szabó et al., 2010), whose detection had been previously hampered by the diurnal variations affecting ground-based observations.

In 1901 von Oppolzer first noticed the brightness variation of an asteroid, (433) Eros (von Oppolzer 1901), and its first correct period was published in Barley (1913) with several other minor planets. During the more than hundred years since then the light variation of over 10000 main-belt asteroids were measured, but the length of the continuous observations has been always limited by the maximal duration of a winter night. The re-purposed Kepler mission (K2) (Howell et al. 2014) made it possible for the first time to measure the brightness of a large number of main-belt asteroids quasi-continuously. In this paper we show the results obtained from the photometry of close to 1000 main-belt asteroids, most of them followed continuously for up to 3–4 days or longer, a small fraction of them up to 6 days in two large super-stamps of the K2 Mission.

In a series of works we have been investigating the possibilities of high-precision space photometric observations of Solar System objects with the rejuvenated Kepler space telescope (Howell et al. 2014). In Szabó et al. (2015) the effects of main-belt asteroid encounters on K2 photometry of stellar targets were investigated. In Pál et al. (2015) we analyzed two faint Trans-Neptunian Objects, namely 2002 GV₃₁ and 2007 JJ₄₃, measuring their rotation periods. These are among the faintest objects Kepler has measured so far. We also outlined the methodology to deal with these moving targets that Kepler had not been designed for. Special masks were allocated to these targets making their continuous observations possible. By complementing recent K2 observations with archival Herschel data, we analyzed the thermophysical parameters of 2007 OR₁₀ in Pál et al. (2016).
In this work we turn our attention to two special fields that K2 has observed, both of which have been covered by multiple sub-apertures, creating large enough fields to search for asteroids. In Campaign 0 a well-known, bright open cluster, M35 (NGC 2168) was observed with Kepler. It was covered with a mosaic of 154 50×50 pixel small stamps amounting to 800×550 pixels (53′×36′ on the sky), EPIC IDs ranging from 2000000811 to 200000964. The observed field includes the open cluster NGC 2158 as well. By quickly investigating the images it was immediately obvious that hundreds of asteroids crossed this field of view. We choose these observations because of the large, contiguous field and the large number of asteroids available. Campaign 0 covers the period Mar 8 to May 30, 2014, it was implemented as a full-length engineering test to prove that K2 was a viable mission. The Kepler spacecraft was not in fine point for the first 16 days of C0, causing large photometric scatter. Eventually, the Kepler spacecraft went into safe mode that lasted for about 24 days. After that stopping, high-quality, fine-point measurements began, which span 35 days. The data quality improved in the second half of the campaign. We used data from only this part of the campaign. Jupiter was crossing the field, but fell on the dead Module 3, and caused only increased background flux.

Campaign 3 started on 15 November, 2014, and ended on 23 January, 2015. In this campaign Neptune and its satellite, Nereid was observed (GO IDs: 3057, 3060, 3115), their path was also tiled with 305 narrow strips of pixel masks (EPIC IDs 200004468–200004762). We refer to this field as Nereid field henceforth. While Campaign 0, and especially the vicinity of M35 contains a crowded field, this small stripe of the sky gave an opportunity to analyze light curves of asteroids usually free of too large number of stellar sources. However, due to the proximity of Neptune we had to deal with other problems (high background, saturation, etc). The change in bandwidth for pointing control (from 50 to 20 seconds) for C3 resulted in an increase in SNR for short cadence by a factor of roughly 4–9, with the larger improvement seen at the higher frequency end. Campaign 3 had a nominal duration of 80 days, but an actual duration of only 69.2 days. The campaign ended earlier than expected because the on-board storage filled up faster than anticipated due to unusually poor data compression.[1]

A similar study about Jovian Trojan asteroids observed by the K2 Mission will be published in a related paper (Szabó et al., 2016).

2. Data analysis

In Fig. [1] we show the result of stitching the small sub-fields covering the open cluster M35, while Fig. [2] displays the path covered by Nereid in the vicinity of Neptune.

---

[1] http://keplerscience.arc.nasa.gov/k2-data-release-notes.html
Fig. 2. Mosaic covering the paths of Neptune and Nereid observed by the K2 Mission. The length of the mosaic is approximately 20’.

Fig. 3. Photometric errors of the individual K2 asteroid measurements in the M35 field, as a function of the apparent brightness of the asteroids (light blue dots). The red line is the Kepler pre-flight estimate of the photometric precision [Van Cleeve & Caldwell 2016], the purple line is the scaled Combined Differential Photometric Precision data [Christiansen et al. 2013], the black line is the photometry of a faint RR Lyrae star in Leo IV for comparison [Molnár et al. 2015], the dashed line is a linear interpolation between the last two.

2.1. Photometry

We used only long-cadence (29.4-min sampling) observations of both fields. For preparing the light curves and deriving photometry we used the FITSH package [Pál 2012]. Some aspects of obtaining precise photometry of moving targets with the Kepler space telescope during the K2 Mission have already been discussed by our group in Pál et al. (2015, 2016) and in Kiss et al. (2016). Here, due to the main belt asteroid targets we had to deal with more elongated trails during the long cadence observations as opposed to Trans-Neptunian objects in our earlier works. The method is based on a process that fits a circular aperture convolved with the apparent track of the asteroid. The track is approximated with a linear curve. The details of the methodology is given in Szabó et al. (in prep). In Fig. 8 we plot the photometric error of each individual data point for every asteroid in the M35 field as a function of brightness. The error contains the noise from the background and the shot noise. The background noise is determined from a circular or elongated ring around the target, while the shot noise is computed from the known electron/ADU conversion rates. For the brightest targets we added a conservative upper error limit (0.001 mag for the brightest objects, 0.002 mag for slightly fainter observations, etc.), since the error computation gives an unrealistically low error limit due to neglecting systematic errors (e.g., errors caused by passing through bright stellar objects). Our approach is an attempt to compensate for this underestimation. We believe that this choice does not affect the information content of the figure and it affects only a negligible portion of the targets. The precision reaches a few mmag for the brightest targets, $0''001$ for a $18^{th}$ magnitude object and $0''01$ for an asteroid of the $20^{th}$ magnitude. We note that the error values do not contain systematic errors. The precision we achieved is better than the conservative pre-flight estimate of Kepler, but slightly worse than the precision derived from actual photometry of stellar targets. For the latter comparison we scaled the Combined Differential Photometric Precision (CDPP, Christiansen et al. 2013) values of the original Kepler mission to a single long cadence exposure. However, these data only extended to 16 mag, so we also included the measurements of the extragalactic RR Lyrae EPIC 210282474, the only variable star in Leo IV that was not affected by blending [Molnár et al. 2015]. Finally, we added a linear interpolation between the two data sets.

2.2. Period search

We searched for significant periodicities using the Lomb-Scargle periodogram functions of the gatspy Python package. Although Fourier-based methods were considered as well, as implemented in the Period04 program package [Lenz & Breger 2005], we got very similar results in several test cases, therefore we decided to stick to the Lomb-Scargle method. We note that the errors of the individual photometric points are taken into account by the used implementation of the Lomb-Scargle algorithm. Only those signals were considered that were significant on the $3\sigma$-level compared to the background local noise in the Lomb-Scargle periodogram. We phase-folded the light curves with the best period and its double value, then decided which gives a better fit based on a visual inspection. In many cases

\[ \text{http://keplergo.arc.nasa.gov/CalibrationSN.shtml} \]

\[ \text{https://github.com/astroML/gatspy/} \]
Fig. 5. Examples of periodic long cadence (29.4-min sampling) asteroid light curves in decreasing brightness order. Left panels: asteroids crossing M35 field, right panel: asteroids observed in the Neptune-Nereid path. In each case the left panel shows the K2 light curve with error bars folded by the adopted (double) period, the right panel is the Lomb-Scargle plot. The error bars for bright asteroids are smaller than the size of the symbols. The sine plotted in red is fitted to the data to guide the eye. The adopted period and the ID of the asteroid is shown in the middle of the left and right panels, respectively.

M35 field: Out of 924 asteroids crossing the M35 field 867 had more than 12 data points. We retained only these asteroids to have a set of reasonably covered light curves. Although this number might vary from light curve to light curve depending on the distribution of data points, we found that this number gives a good coverage for the short-period asteroids and still gives some information for longer rotational periods. Among the 867 light curves 23 showed clear periodicities, i.e. above the 3σ limit. The periods ranged from 3.89 h to 88.41 h, with a median of 9.83 h. The length of the covered paths ranged from 0.26 days to 6.05 days with a median of 2.06 days. The median number of observations per asteroid was 48.

Nereid field: Out of 96 light curves 88 had more than 12 points in their K2 Campaign 3 light curves, of which 14 showed significant deviations in odd and even cycles. In these cases the two-period folding was chosen. If the inspection was inconclusive, or resulted in a dynamically untenable short period (i.e. less than 2 hours) then we chose the double-period solution. Following this method we did not retain any single-periodic solutions in accordance with other main-belt asteroid works. We note that the chosen 2-hour limit is plausible, since K2 did not observe smaller asteroids in these fields. In order to demonstrate this let’s consider a close-by asteroid of the Hungaria family in the classical Main Belt. If its brightness in opposition is 21 magnitude (it’s fainter than 22 magnitude in the K2 field), this corresponds to H=19.0 absolute magnitude, which translates into a 300-m diameter assuming an albedo of 0.5. We emphasize that this is a conservative estimate.

there were significant deviations in odd and even cycles. In these cases the two-period folding was chosen. If the inspection was inconclusive, or resulted in a dynamically untenable short period (i.e. less than 2 hours) then we chose the double-period solution. Following this method we did not retain any single-periodic solutions in accordance with other main-belt asteroid works. We note that the chosen 2-hour limit is plausible, since K2 did not observe smaller asteroids in these fields. In order to demonstrate this let’s consider a close-by asteroid of the Hungaria family in the classical Main Belt. If its brightness in opposition is 21 magnitude (it’s fainter than 22 magnitude in the K2 field), this corresponds to H=19.0 absolute magnitude, which translates into a 300-m diameter assuming an albedo of 0.5. We emphasize that this is a conservative estimate.

| ID     | start BJD - 2,456,700 | end BJD - 2,456,700 | length [d] | # of obs. | duty cycle [0..1] | USNO R [mag] | per. [h] | ampl. [mag] |
|--------|----------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|--------------|----------|------------|
| 111    | 101.2871             | 103.4326            | 2.1663     | 72        | 0.679            | 13.1         | -        | -          |
| 228    | 104.2704             | 105.1286            | 0.8790     | 25        | 0.581            | 17.5         | 6.437    | 0.158      |
| 767    | 86.3093              | 89.2313             | 2.9428     | 112       | 0.778            | 16.2         | >60.0    | >0.1       |
| 2462   | 78.8102              | 80.7105             | 1.9211     | 60        | 0.638            | 18.1         | -        | -          |

Table 1. Sample table of the observed asteroids in the K2 M35 superstamp. The whole table containing 867 objects with at least 12 photometric points is available electronically.

Table 2. Same as Table 1 but for the asteroids in the Nereid field observed during K2 Campaign 3. The full table containing 88 asteroids with at least 12 data points is available electronically.
periodicities above the 3σ limit. The shortest detected period is 3.71 hours, while the longest is 14.63 hours, the median being 4.96 h. The length of observation varied from a few data points (∼1 hour) to 14.45 days, the latter corresponds to an asteroid that moved parallel to the apparent path of Nereid. The median length was 1.25 days. Compared to the M35 field, here we found less asteroids due to the smaller field, but the light curves are more complete, i.e. less points had to be dropped. To put it quantitatively, the median number of retained observations per asteroid was 57. This is due to the less crowded nature of the field.

To end this section we show the case of (111) Ate, an example where our period search did not result in unambiguous period value. In the left panel of Fig. 4 we show the light curve. The three minima clearly define two periods in our light curve. The periodogram (right panel of the same figure) shows three peaks, the largest one corresponds to a period of 20.63 ± 0.60 h.

3. Results

3.1. Unbiased K2 main-belt asteroid sample

In Table 1 we present the asteroids identified in the M35 superstamp during the second half of Campaign 0. Only those objects are listed that showed at least 12 useful photometric data points. The table gives the identification, the start and end date of the time interval during which the minor planet was passing through the superstamp. The number of useful long cadence observations and the calculated duty cycle is also given. The duty cycle is 1.0 if all the photometric points were retained, and 0 if all had to be discarded. We also provide the median brightness transformed to the USNO R band (Pál et al. 2015). If reliable period and amplitude were found, these values were added to the table(s). In Table 2 the same parameters are given for the main-belt asteroids crossing the Nereid superstamp.

As we discussed in detail in Szabó et al. (2015) no (or very few) new main-belt asteroid discoveries are expected in the K2 campaign fields due to the available limiting magnitude. Indeed, among the 1020 identified asteroids in the two fields, we have not seen unknown objects. The procedure of prediction and identification of the main-belt asteroids as seen from Kepler was described in detail in Szabó et al. (2015) and was followed here, as well.

Fig. 4 shows the duty cycle (percentage of the number of observed 29.4-min cadences with respect to the maximally possible during the length of observation), i.e. how many cadences have been lost due to technical problems originating mainly from the photometric pipeline (outliers, encountering too bright stars, stellar residuals, etc.), versus the length of observations in the case of the M35 asteroids. Most of our objects were observed for 1–4 days, and we were able to follow some of them for 5–6 days. The vast majority of our targets were observed with a duty cycle between 20 and 80%, a handful of them above 80%. Only one asteroid was followed with 100% duty cycle, i.e. in this case no long cadence observations had to be discarded.

Objects that exhibited significant periodicities are shown with red dots in Fig. 4. It is evident that the majority of the minor planets showing periodicities were found among the ones observed with high duty cycle. Namely, we found all the periodicities or long periods (seen as trends) only where the duty cycle was close to or above 60%. Similarly, we found that the more continuous the light curve in the Nereid field, the more the chance to detect variability at a statistically significant level.

Twelve asteroids in the M35 field exhibited long-term trends or incompletely covered half rotation periods. We identified these with longer-period asteroids. One example was found with literature data, namely asteroid (3345), for which we found a rotational period that is longer than 34 hours, and Benishek & Coley (2014) gives 187 hours.

In Tables 3 and 4 we give the format and the content of the files that contain the K2 photometry for all the targets in the M35 and Nereid fields, respectively. The full tables are available online.
3.2. Selected light curves

In the left panels of Fig. 5 we show a few selected main-belt asteroid light curves in the M35 field along with their Lomb-Scargle diagrams, demonstrating the high quality of K2 observations. The right panels of the same figure show asteroids crossing our Nereid field. Both the light curves and the periodograms are of better quality in the latter field, which underpins our suspicions that more crowding precludes obtaining high-quality light curves for main-belt asteroids with Kepler. The fact that we recovered light curves with clear periodicities, incomplete cycles or long-term trends implying long rotational period for 35 out of 867 asteroids (4.0%) in the M35 superstamp, and 14 out of 88 objects (15.9%) in the Nereid field also underlines this finding.

Tables S5-S6 show rotational periods and amplitudes along with literature data where available. In the cases where only trends or partially covered cycles were found we could establish only lower limits for the rotational period. The agreement between our rotational periods and literature values is excellent in each case despite the differences in observational strategies (multiple nights with ground-based telescopes vs. quasi-continuous for a few nights in the K2 mission). One exception is (111) Ate, which we discussed earlier. For more than half of our objects in Tables S5-S6 (26/47 combined) we publish unambiguous rotational parameters for the first time thanks to the continuous coverage of Kepler. The observed amplitudes are also in satisfactory agreement with available literature values. The uncertainty of the rotational period (also given in Tables S5-S6) depends on a number of factors, such as the brightness of the object, the length of observations, and the number of observational points in the light curve.

3.3. Interesting light curves with three maxima

Trimodal (i.e. three maxima during one rotation) and complex light curves are still considered as peculiar asteroid light curve shapes, although they were investigated as early as in the 1950s (Gehrels & Owings 1962). The first known examples of complex light curve were detected among the brightest asteroids e.g. (16) Psyche, (21) Lutetia, (37) Fides, (39) Laetitia, (43) Ariadne, (52) Europa, (532) Herculina (Zappalà et al. 1983; Cellino, Zappalà, & Farinella 1989). It has also been recognized that some asteroids exhibit bimodal or even unimodal light curves at varying phase and aspect angles, therefore, shading effects, phase effects and/or unusual rotational geometry were usually invoked to explain the complex light variations (e.g. Zappalà et al. 1983; Michalowski 1996). More recently, Harris et al. (2014) derived the higher-order harmonics due to polygonal shapes, and concluded that rotating triangles enhance the sixth harmonics, therefore leading to light curves with six maxima and not exceeding 0.156 magnitude full amplitude of the sixth harmonics. They also presented two examples: (5404) Uemura and 2010 RC130, where the composite light curve of six maxima was far more convincing than with three maxima. Moreover, they remarked that the solution with three maxima led to short rotation periods, near the break-up barrier, which is a further argument for the longer periods and a light curve with six maxima.

Two light curves in our data were found to show this kind of complexity. Asteroid (29628) 1998 TX30 was observed in the Nereid field, during 16.7 hours. The peak-to-peak amplitude is 0.072, and the light curve suggests a triple or sextuple symmetry (Fig. 7). Assuming three humps, the rotational period is 8.04 hours. Another solution with 16.08 h period is also possible. The overlapping region in the latter case (P = 16.08 h) extends only to five points, and despite their perfect fit to each other, drawing firm conclusions is not possible. However, the overall shape with six or eight humps is quite resembling to the examples for complex light curves in disfavour of trimodal solutions, by Harris et al. (2014). We note that other scenarios such as tumbling, or albedo variegation may also play a role in such light variation, but the proper investigation would require a longer data set.

We retained 50 photometric data points of asteroid (37201) 2000 WS94, covering 25 hours. The asteroid was also in the Nereid field. The light variation shows three humps with definitely incompatible shape to each other, suggesting that this asteroid also has a complex light curve (Fig. 7 bottom panel). Because of the relatively short coverage, concluding to the period of rotation is not possible. These two asteroids are therefore not included in Table 6.
We found that our sensitivity does not decrease in the relaxation length, the duty cycle, and the rotational period were varied. In the course of these simulations we computed that in what fraction of the cases our algorithm can find the right (injected) period, which was simulated by a pure sinus wave. In these tests the photometric noise (depending on the brightness), the observation length, the duty cycle, and the rotational period were varied. We found that our sensitivity does not decrease in the relevant period range (>2h) due to the long integration times. The most important factors are instead the length of observations and the noise level. With the long cadence sampling the measured amplitude decreases with respect to the true variation for short period signals, but our simulations show that we do not lose asteroids due to this effect. The worst case scenario is a decrease of the amplitude by 37% at a rotation period of 2 hours, which is still detectable in our K2 data in the case of an assumed 0.1 magnitude true variation down to the 20th magnitude.

3.4. Rotation statistics

Fig. 8 shows the period-amplitude diagram of our sample of 35 asteroids with uninterrupted light curves from the M35 field. A comparison we plotted the parameters of over 16000 asteroids taken from the Asteroid Lightcurve Database (Warner et al. 2009) reflecting the status of the database as of 20 February, 2016. We plot only the rotation frequencies above the Nyquist-frequency of K2 data, where the results are comparable. The thick black line shows the binned average of that large sample. The distribution of our limited sample follows nicely that of the underlying bulk sample. The median rotational period in the M35 field (9.83 h) clearly shows that we are sensitive to asteroids with relatively large periods compared to the bulk sample shown in Fig. 8 whose median rotational period is 7.00 h. This hints at the possibility that ground-based observations are usually biased toward shorter rotational periods that are easier to detect from the ground. The average period depends on the size of the asteroids (see e.g. Pravec (2000)). Our sample is composed by some of the smallest known asteroids, where the average rotation period is predicted to be shorter than for the largest bodies. Since we observe the opposite, the difference in periods cannot be explained by such selection biases, but it really shows the power of space observations in the long period range.

We tested whether the K2 long cadence (30-min) sampling has any effect on the period (and amplitude) determination. Since we considered rotational periods that are longer than 2 hours (i.e. below the Nyquist frequency-limit), we expect that despite the long integration, we still can retrieve the periods. This is indeed the case, as is demonstrated by a series of Monte-Carlo simulations. In the course of these simulations we computed that in what fraction of the cases our algorithm can find the right (injected) period, which was simulated by a pure sinus wave. In these tests the photometric noise (depending on the brightness), the observation length, the duty cycle, and the rotational period were varied. We found that our sensitivity does not decrease in the relevant period range (>2h) due to the long integration times. The most important factors are instead the length of observations and the noise level. With the long cadence sampling the measured amplitude decreases with respect to the true variation for short period signals, but our simulations show that we do not lose asteroids due to this effect. The worst case scenario is a decrease of the amplitude by 37% at a rotation period of 2 hours, which is still detectable in our K2 data in the case of an assumed 0.1 magnitude true variation down to the 20th magnitude.

4. Conclusions

We utilized the Kepler space telescope for the first time to derive quasi-continuous light curves of a large number of main-belt asteroids in long cadence mode (29.4 min sampling). The main conclusions of this work are the following:

- Out of 924 (96) asteroids in the M35 (Nereid) field in Campaign 0 (3), 867 (88) had twelve or more useful photometric data points and only 23 (14) exhibited clear periodicities which is attributed to rotation. In addition, 12 (0) objects showed a slow trend or were observed through an incomplete rotational cycle implying a long rotational period.
- By comparing the M35 and Nereid samples we found a remarkable difference regarding the number of main-belt asteroids with detected rotational periods in the two fields. While in the dense M35 field only 4.0% of the asteroids showed clear periodicities or trend, in the Nereid field we recovered periodicities in 15.9% of the observed asteroids. The difference is significant given the large number of observed asteroids in both fields. To explain this difference we propose two arguments:
  - First, we conclude that the dense stellar field precluded the derivation of meaningful photometry in the case of many asteroids, because too many points had to be discarded, due to the disturbing effects of stellar residuals along the paths of the asteroids (see Fig. 3). This is partly explained by the undersampled PSFs delivered by the Kepler spacecraft.
  - Second, in Fig. 9 we plot the magnitude distribution of our full M35 asteroid sample (in blue) as seen from Kepler, and also those that showed periodicities or long-term trends in their light variations (red). The plot convincingly shows that our sample is heavily dominated by faint targets (>20 mag). Together with Fig. 4 this clearly demonstrates that there is a rather low chance to pull out rotational signal of asteroids below the 20th magnitude brightness limit. This reasoning helps to explain the relatively low rate of recovered rotational periods in our fields, especially in the M35 superstamp.
- More sophisticated photometric methods may improve our results and provide more reliable and robust space photometric data of moving objects. Testing of such methods is currently under way.
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### Table 5. Rotational signal detected in asteroids observed in the K2 M35 superstamp.

| ID    | period [h] | ampl. [mag] | ref.            |
|-------|------------|-------------|----------------|
| 228   | 6.437 ± 0.047 | 0.138       | this paper     |
| 647   | 0.27       |             |                |
| 6484  | 0.27       |             |                |
| 767   | > 0.1      |             | this paper     |
| 2785  | 5.479 ± 0.031 | 0.310       | this paper     |
| 5.49  | 0.45       |             | Polishook (2009) |
| 5.478 | 0.15       |             | this paper     |
| 3345  | > 0.7      |             | this paper     |
| 187   | 0.59       |             | this paper     |
| 3512  | 6.67 ± 0.25 | 0.212       | this paper     |
| 6782  | 0.35       |             | Ditteon (2004)  |
| 6784  | 0.25       |             | Skiff (2011)    |
| 3903  | 28.09 ± 0.97 | 0.190       | this paper     |
| 4282  | > 0.6      |             | this paper     |
| 7122  | > 0.6      |             | this paper     |
| 7241  | 59.6 ± 5.7 | 0.606       | this paper     |
| 10269 | 10.81 ± 0.33 | 0.090       | this paper     |
| 10683 | 75.3 ± 3.1 | 1.0         | this paper     |
| 12379 | 50.6 ± 3.1 | 0.378       | this paper     |
| 13243 | 88.4 ± 9.8 | 0.784       | this paper     |
| 14439 | 9.94 ± 0.38 | 0.322       | this paper     |
| 17771 | 15.97 ± 0.26 | 0.456       | this paper     |
| 21207 | > 0.5      |             | this paper     |
| 24192 | 19.9 ± 1.0 | 0.464       | this paper     |
| 25468 | 5.573 ± 0.078 | 0.482       | this paper     |
| 29296 | > 0.9      |             | this paper     |
| 30329 | 28.4 ± 2.1 | 0.708       | this paper     |
| 37750 | 5.15 ± 0.21 | 0.204       | this paper     |
| 42573 | 3.888 ± 0.083 | 0.164       | this paper     |
| 49039 | > 0.8      |             | this paper     |
| 49193 | 5.66 ± 0.55 | 0.400       | this paper     |
| 52876 | > 0.5      |             | this paper     |
| 55949 | 11.16 ± 0.15 | 0.324       | this paper     |
| 57648 | 8.359 ± 0.079 | 0.266       | this paper     |
| 66340 | > 1.0      |             | this paper     |
| 67087 | 17.7 ± 1.5 | 0.316       | this paper     |
| 69759 | > 0.6      |             | this paper     |
| 69853 | 8.17 ± 0.83 | 0.454       | this paper     |
| 109978| > 0.3      |             | this paper     |
| 115554| 8.11 ± 0.91 | 0.402       | this paper     |
| 149686| > 1.0      |             | this paper     |
| 218609| 9.72 ± 0.22 | 0.690       | this paper     |
Table 6. Observed periods and amplitudes of the asteroids in the K2 Nereid field.

| ID    | period   | ampl.  | ref.                  |
|-------|----------|--------|-----------------------|
| 2954  | 4.691 ± 0.010 | 0.174  | this paper            |
| 2954  | 4.690    | 0.21   | Wisniewski et al. (1997) |
| 2954  | 4.68     | 0.25   | Ferrero (2010)         |
| 3785  | 3.782 ± 0.007 | 0.256  | this paper            |
| 3785  | 3.7992   | 0.30   | Behrend (2009)         |
| 9105  | 4.769 ± 0.032 | 0.770  | this paper            |
| 31907 | 3.786 ± 0.081 | 0.076  | this paper            |
| 57575 | 4.641 ± 0.077 | 0.436  | this paper            |
| 87632 | 14.6 ± 2.7   | 0.128  | this paper            |
| 211339| 5.14 ± 0.20   | 0.734  | this paper            |
| 242602| 8.600 ± 0.094 | 0.706  | this paper            |
| 249866| 3.71 ± 0.20   | 0.172  | this paper            |
| 249866| 3.7982      | 0.18   | Waszczak et al. (2015) |
| 250648| 5.95 ± 0.17   | 0.564  | this paper            |
| 311247| 11.94 ± 0.48  | 0.584  | this paper            |
| 314616| 7.81 ± 0.24   | 0.270  | this paper            |