The Black Sea Dating Game and Holocene Marine Transgression
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ABSTRACT

Dating of major sea-level changes using shells or calcareous microfossils is prone to errors in semi-enclosed marine environments where inputs of seawater and river water vary over time and space. The need to refine mollusc-based age estimates for the rate of the Holocene marine transgression in the Black Sea is the focus of multiple palaeoceanographic and archaeological studies. This ongoing “dating game” seeks to clarify conflicting evidence for a hypothetical catastrophic marine flood that forced the emigration of Neolithic farmers from the shores of a Holocene freshwater lake in the Black Sea. The potential importance of confirming or rejecting this megaflood hypothesis has led to multiple attempts at refining the chronology of the marine transgression and quantifying the palaeosalinity of the Black Sea surface water during the Holocene. Here we report that six new AMS radiocarbon ages of 8890 ± 50 to 8450 ± 40 yr BP were obtained for wood, grass and sedge leaves from peat layers in Core 342 at 33.16 - 32.71 m below present sea level on the Ukrainian Shelf. These plant materials provide critical new ages for quantifying Black Sea carbon reservoir issues. The accuracy of our new AMS wood/peat ages is independently supported by palynochronological correlation. The ages of our plant materials have ~100 years precision and are ~420 - 520 years younger than those previously reported for unsorted detrital peat in Core 342. Paired mollusc—wood ages for brackish—freshwater *Dreissena polymorpha* shell from detrital peat also shows that an inaccuracy of >1120 yr can arise for shells during times when carbon reservoir values in the semi-isolated, brackish-water Black Sea could depart significantly from global average. Our revised sea level curve shows a gradual early Holocene transgression from water depths of −45.9 to −32.8 m, with initial Mediterranean inflow by 8.9 ka BP.

KEYWORDS

Radiocarbon Age; Carbon Reservoir; Delta Peat; Brackish Molluscs; Palynology

1. Introduction

For two decades, the timing and rate of Holocene marine transgression in the Black Sea (Figure 1) has been the focus of palaeoecological and archaeological studies [1,2]. The idea of a catastrophic marine flood that forced the rapid emigration of Neolithic farmers from exposed shelves of a Holocene freshwater lake was equated with the legend of Noah’s Flood [3,4], despite lack of direct archaeological evidence [5]. The potential importance of confirming or rejecting this hypothesis by refining the chronology of the marine transgression and quantifying the surface water palaeosalinity [6] is the aim of many recent Black Sea palaeoceanographic studies [1,7-19]. The center of the scientific controversy largely involves validation of the *in-situ* positions and the carbon reservoir age corrections used to date molluscs and to determine an extremely rapid (catastrophic) or “prompt” Holocene flooding of the Ukrainian Shelf [3,4] and the paleo-Danube Delta [16].

Related critical issues are 1) determining the depth of the Black Sea water level at the time of the marine reconnection, and 2) measuring the palaeosalinity of the
surface water layer (0 - 100 mbsl) to determine the amount of marine inflow and mixing of “old” bottom water and “new” surface water in this strongly stratified marine basin. Today, the microtidal Black Sea is a >2 km-deep, almost land-locked anoxic basin connected to the Eastern Mediterranean Sea and global ocean (Figure 1) only through the ~2 km-wide, shallow Bosphorus Strait (59 - 38 mbsl depth), the Marmara Sea, and the Dardanelles Strait (sill depth 72 m). A positive estuarine water circulation is driven by inflow of saline (~35 psu) bottom water of Mediterranean origin and outflow of low salinity (~18) surface water [6] formed by mixing of bottom water with freshwater runoff [9], primarily from the Danube, Dniester, Dnieper and Don rivers (totaling 294 - 474 km³·a⁻¹). However, throughout the period of low global sea level during and for several millennia after the last glaciation, the Black Sea was an isolated lacustrine environment with surface salinity less than 8 - 10 [2,6] until Mediterranean waters began breaching the Bosphorus ~10 - 9 ka BP where BP = before present, taken as calendar year 1950 [6,10]. It is frequently assumed that before the event Soulet et al. labeled as “initial marine inflow” (IMI) of Mediterranean water at ~8400 yr BP (~9400 cal yr BP) [12], the Black Sea surface water (0 - 400 m) was fully mixed, with a fluvialite geochemical signal [11,12] and that it supported freshwater-brackish benthic faunas [2,11], thus requiring no reservoir age, correction. However, divergent AMS (Accelerator Mass Spectrometry) ages for an unspecified peat sample and single valve of a brackish-water mollusc, *Dreissena polymorpha*, at 31.95 mbsl (1.1 m in Core 342, Figure 1; Table 1) suggest a possible carbon reservoir age (R) of 600 years for the shell [7] and indicate a strong influence of carbonate dissolution from surrounding watersheds.

In a region-wide review of radiocarbon ages, Soulet et al. [11] determined a mean reservoir age of 300 ± 125 years for the Black Sea at the start of the IMI. Between the IMI and the “disappearance of lacustrine species” (DLS event) around 7580 yr BP [11], the salinity of surface water measured quantitatively from dinoflagellate cysts [6] increased gradually, not abruptly, as did the bottom-water microfaunal salinities [2,8]. Comparison of paired mollusc and peat ages from the Sakarya coastal plain suggested that a mean R of 350 ± 260 years was an appropriate regional reservoir age for shells during the DLS [11]. After 7 100 yr BP, when salinity reached essentially modern values, a marine reservoir value of 415 years is uniformly applied to the dating of Black Sea molluscs [6,13], while modern shell ages range from 498 - 308 years [14].

Correct reconstruction of the timing of the marine reconnection and transgression depends on the validity of the estimated differences between Black Sea water reservoir values and the contemporary atmospheric radiocarbon content. Evaluations of Black Sea mollusc carbon reservoir ages rely mainly on calibration against wood or peat samples presumed to be *in situ* on a shore
Table 1. Core 342 AMS radiocarbon dating results for plant material selected from peat samples, in conventional years BP with 1 standard deviation (68% probability), δ^13C values relative to PDB-1, and cal yr BP determined from the INTCAL09 record.

| LAB No. | Material and Core depth (cm) | Material Pretreatment | Measured Age (yr BP) | ^13C/12C‰ | Conventional Age ± 1 s.d. | Cal yr BP 2 Sigma Calibration |
|---------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| BETA    | WOOD 237                    | acid/alkali/acid      | 8860 +/- 40 BP       | -25.9      | 8850 +/- 40 BP           | 10160 – 9740                 |
| BETA    | SILTY PEAT 235              | Acid washes           | 9040 +/- 40 BP       | -27.8      | 8990 +/- 40 BP           | 10230 – 10160                |
| BETA    | WOODY PEAT 235              | Acid/alkali/acid      | 8780 +/- 50 BP       | 27.7       | 8740 +/- 50 BP           | 9910 – 9550                  |
| BETA    | WOOD 212                    | Acid/alkali/acid      | 8910 +/- 50 BP       | -26.2      | 8890 +/- 50 BP           | 10190 – 9770                 |
| BETA    | WOOD 190                    | Acid/alkali/acid      | 8470 +/- 40 BP       | -26.1      | 8450 +/- 40 BP           | 9530 – 9430                  |
| BETA    | LEAF 190                    | Acid/alkali/acid      | 8570 +/- 40 BP       | -26.2      | 8550 +/- 40 BP           | 9550 – 9490                  |
| OZL 581 | Detrital PEAT 165           | n.d.                  | 8920 ± 70 BP         |            |                          |                              |
| OZL 579 | Dreissena polymorpha 115    | n.d.                  | -8.7                 | 9620 ± 60 BP |                          |                              |
| OZL 577 | Detrital PEAT 115           | n.d.                  | -24.9                | 9020 ± 70 BP |                          |                              |

line [7,11,13-15], although there are other attempted calibration methods: tuning by cross-correlation of geochemical records [12], thermoluminescence [16] and amino acid racemisation (AAR) dating [1,7]. In our new study, we have made use of sediments from the top section of the 72.5 m-long Core 342 from 30.8 mbsl on the Ukrainian Shelf (Figure 1) which is extraordinarily valuable because it contains several peat and muddy peat beds, each up to ~10 cm thick, inter-layered in a coastal succession with mud, clay, and shell coquina [8]. We have used these peat samples to separate identifiable wood and terrestrial plant materials for AMS dating of materials in equilibrium with the early Holocene atmosphere and free from problems of uncertain marine reservoir correction values (Table 1). Presence of shells from sediments enveloping the peats provides a chance to measure the difference in radiocarbon ages of paired plant-shell materials which provides an index of the regional HWE reservoir values. We have also assembled data from other Black Sea shelf/estuarine cores (Figure 1) with apparently in-situ peat or wood, including the eastern Sukhumi Bay Core 721 (~42 mbsl; 1,2], the southern Sakarya coastal plain [13], and from ~5 - 7 mbsl off Veleka Estuary in the west [17,18]. These new data (see Supplementary Table) are then compared with other published mollusc and detrital peat ages used by Nicholas et al. [1] to compile a composite sea level curve for the Black Sea and to calibrate AAR ages for 66 Black Sea shell samples. The two curves allow us to re-evaluate the Nicholas et al. conclusion that the Holocene marine transgression in the Black Sea was prompt (~400 years).

2. Methods

Core 342 was recovered from the inner Ukrainian Shelf by rotary drilling, as described by Yanko-Hombach et al. [8] who also outlined the geomorphological setting and lithology. For our new study of Core 342, we made palynological and micropaleontological analyses of the peats and associated sediments, and obtained AMS ages for plant materials carefully separated from the organic mud/clay matrix (Table 1). Palynological analyses were made on samples of 5g dry weight which were processed for optimal recovery of pollen, terrestrial plant spores and dinoflagellate cysts using standard methods for marine palynology [8,20]. Pollen records were analysed using constrained cluster analysis statistics (CONISS) and palynostratigraphic correlation was made using the chart of Filipova-Marinova et al. [18,21]. We also examined algal and fungal spores, zoomorphs, micro-charcoal and other kerogen to assist in determining the paleosalinity of the peat deposits and the sources of associated clastic sediment (Figure 2). Plant material for AMS dating was extracted by sieving at mesh sizes 2 mm, 250 and 63 μm, then thoroughly washing the extracted sand-sized plant fragments which were sorted into leaves (predominantly grass and sedge) and woody twig fragments. The plant fragments [8] were identified by transmitted light microscope studies, using illustrations and descriptions of Gale
Figure 2. Core 342 palynological data. A. Pollen diagram, showing main indicator tree pollen taxa as % total pollen, and fern spores as % total pollen + spores, zoned by CONISS cluster analysis, and related to regional palynozones [18,21]; Quercus-s and -w: summegreen and wintergreen oaks; B. Sketch of changes in abundances/microgram of sporomorphs and other refractive particulate organic matter accompanying rising water level and salinity at Site 342, from 33.8 mbsl at ~9.0 ka (when water depth at the coastal site was very shallow) to present. AOM = amorphous organic matter; IMI = initial marine inflow; DLS = disappearance of “lacustrine” species.

and Cutler [22]. Radiocarbon dating was carried out on samples of >250 mg weight by Beta Analytical Laboratory and results are reported in Table 1 as both conventional yrs BP and calibrated ages (using the IntCal 09 record).

For micropaleontological studies of foraminifera and ostracodes, samples (50 g weight) were soaked and washed in distilled water, sieved on a 63 µm-mesh, dried at room temperature, and split by microsplitter. About 300 fossil foraminifera and ostracoda were hand-picked for ecoinstratigraphic analysis; sources of identification and illustrations of key species are given by Yanko-Hombach et al. [8]. The microfossils are grouped by ecological preference as oligohaline (1 - 5 psu), strictoeuryhaline (11 - 26 psu), polyhaline (18 - 26 psu), and holeuryhaline (1 - 26 psu).

3. Results and Discussion

Our study of the herb pollen assemblages and associated leaf material study shows that the peat from 3.05 - 1.7 m in Core 345 generally represents sedge and sedge-cattail marsh with an understory of marsh fern (Thelypteris palustris), and areas of open water with floating aquatics, as found in the modern Danube Delta [23]. CONISS cluster analysis of the tree pollen assemblages (Figure 2) reveals three palynozones: preBoreal Pinus-Picea woodland older than ~9 ka [18,20] from 3.05 - 1.90 m; Boreal phase Pinus-Picea-Quercus-Ulmus forest until ~8 ka (~1.9 - 0.8 m); and Atlantic forest with thermophilic trees Carpinus, Castanea, Tilia, Juglans and Olea. Dinoflagellate cysts of Spiniferites cruciformis and Pyxidinopsis psilata (the brackish assemblage) and Pinus-Picea-Ul-
peats [25]. Comparison of ages from the wood and detrital organic silt extracted from the peat at 250 cm, however, reveals that a the organic silt is 250 years older than the plant fragments from the same sample, despite repeated acid treatment to remove a whitish coating (possibly indicating calcareous residue).

At ~1.90 m, the aquatic pollen assemblages record cattail swamp peat and open water with *Myriophyllum; Salicornia* pollen and $\delta^{13}C$ values of $-26.15‰$ indicate a slightly brackish (2 - 4 psu) coastal pond environment bordered by saltflats. AMS ages for the leaves and wood are 8920 ± 60 and 9020 ± 70 yr BP respectively, and displayed an age reversal of up to 230 years over this ~50 cm depositional interval (uncorrected for compaction). Our palynological inspection of the peat at 1.70 m shows sedge, grass and wood fragments which are consistent with a freshwater $\delta^{13}C$ $-28.1‰$ signal [7]; however, the clastic matrix of the plant materials contained abundant *Glomus* chlamydospores which are indicative of terrigenous sediment erosion [22], hence old carbon contamination. Our study of the uppermost detrital peat at 1.11 m shows fragments of sedges, spike rush, *Phragmites* reeds, and again, common *Glomus* chlamydospores which suggest influx of eroded sediment from upstream sources. This peat has a $\delta^{13}C$ value of $-24.9‰$ [7] which is high for C3 plant taxa such as sedges and rushes, and suggests contamination from marine carbon. A *Dreissena* shell valve from this peat layer at ~1.1 m core-depth was dated 9620 ± 60 yr BP [11]. If in place, comparison of the paired shell versus peat ages implies a 600 yr hard-water effect (HWE) for the pre-DLS time, which is at the upper limit of the average HWE range determined by Soulet et al. [11].

In summary, our AMS age of 8450 - 8550 yr BP for leaves and wood at 32.7 mbsl on the Ukrainian shelf provides a new datum for evaluating the Black Sea shelf water HWE in shells during the lacustrine pre-IMI phase and it also shows that the radiocarbon ages of undifferentiated muddy peat samples can be too old by 250 - 425 yr. A *Dreissena* shell from this peat layer at ~1.1 m core-depth was dated 9620 ± 60 yr BP [11]. If in place, comparison of the paired shell versus peat ages implies a 600 yr hard-water effect (HWE) for the pre-DLS time, which is at the upper limit of the average HWE range determined by Soulet et al. [11].

Further discussion focuses on comparison with the work of Nicholas et al. [1] who used >50 conventional $^{14}C$ and AMS ages from bulk undifferentiated peat and mollusc ages 1) to produce a composite sea level curve for the Black Sea; 2) to calibrate their AAR ages; and 3) to conclude that the Holocene marine transgression was “prompt” but not catastrophic. Here we show that their curve fits within the 95% confidence prediction interval for a regression line fitting 43 ages obtained for peat and selected liman shell/organic mud samples from the Ukrainian Shelf (Figure 3). Note that the Nicolas et al. [8] record is strongly skewed towards the oldest bulk peat
ages at the edge of our 2 s.d. prediction envelope from −8 - 4 ka. Our new record more accurately represents the average gradual rise of water in the Black Sea from 45.9 mbsl at 10,600 ± 300 yr BP to 4 mbsl at 1200 ± 70 yr BP, excluding intervals −5 - −3.5 ka and the Phanagorian regression (−2.8 - 2 ka) where we have no peat data. Our microfossil records 8 also indicates that the Bosphorus sill was deeper than 30 mbsl around 8.9 ka at the Holocene IMI, as also reported for the SW Black Sea shelf [6,10] and at the time of initial liman formation [19]. Our new data, combined with previous shelf-wide studies 8 show that from 9.5 - 8.5 ka, water rose gradually (−1.7 cm a−1) over the Ukrainian shelf from 45.9 - 32.8 mbsl, at rates similar to historical values and without evidence of early Holocene catastrophic flooding, and it requires re-setting of Soulet’s [11] IMI age of −8.4 ka BP to 8.9 ka BP.

4. Conclusion

We have contributed to the refinement of the Black Sea scientific “dating game” by obtaining six new AMS radiocarbon ages of 8890 ± 50 to 8450 ± 40 yr BP on wood and sedge leaves from the paleo-Dniester Delta, from 33.17 - 32.71 mbsl on the Ukrainian Shelf. The plant materials from outer delta coastal settings provide new reference points for re-evaluation of shell and bulk peat ages reported for early Holocene Black Sea sediments. The accuracy of our AMS wood/peat ages is independently supported by palynochronological correlations which are tied to vegetation-based chronologies in regional upland lakes. Our AMS ages of identified plant materials from Core 342 have −100 years precision and are −420 - 520 years younger than previously reported ages from unspecified detrital peat located higher in the same core. Paired peat-shell ages for brackish-freshwater Dreissena polymorpha shell in the detrital peat, also show that an inaccuracy of >1120 yr can arise for shells during times when carbon reservoir values in the semi-isolated, brackish-water Black Sea could depart significantly from global average. We advocate that careful extraction and assessment of suitable plant materials needs to be applied to peat samples selected for AMS dating, and we provide a new composite Black Sea sea-level record which shows that from 10.6 - 8.5 ka BP, water rose gradually (−1.7 cm a−1) from 45.9 - 32.8 mbsl over the Ukrainian shelf, at rates similar to modern recorded values. Microfossil data from Core 342 also indicate a need to reset the Black Sea IMI of Soulet et al., [11] to 8.9 kyr BP.
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