Introduction

The degradation of the buried-metallic materials due to different soil parameters is known as soil corrosion (Bhattarai, 2010; Revie & Uhlig, 2008). There is a high degree of environmental and economic consequences of the soil corrosivity due to a failure of the buried-metallic pipes used to supply drinking water all over the world. In general, the corrosivity of the buried-metallic pipes can be explained on the basis of two categories of soil; one is disturbed soil and the next is undisturbed soil. However, it was reported that the corrosive nature of the undisturbed soil is negligible as compared to that of the disturbed soil (Norin & Vinka, 2003). The corrosion rate of the buried-metallic pipes in the disturbed soil is influenced by a number of corrosion related soil parameters like resistivity or conductivity, pH, chloride, sulfate, sulphide ions, soil moisture, oxidation-reduction (redox) potential, or organic matters and so on. Quantitatively, actual corrosion rate of such buried-materials cannot be predicted by measuring one of these main soil parameters, because of its complex nature. Hence, relative corrosion risk of soil towards the buried-metallic materials could be determined by analyzing the most important soil parameters.

Corrosion of the metallic materials in soil is a multi-scale process, which is highly influenced by film/droplet formation on the metal or alloy, the geometry and liquid phase chemistry of such films as well as the development of oxide layers on the metal or alloy surface (Cole & Marney, 2012). Acidic soil having pH less than 5 represents serious corrosion risk to the buried-metallic materials such as galvanized-steel, cast-iron and zinc coating and so on. Neutral pH around 7 is the most desirable to minimize the corrosion damage of the most buried-metallic materials by soils. It was reported that the soil pH ranges from 5 to 8.5 is not usually considered to be a problem for corrosion for the buried-metallic materials (Bhattarai, 2010; Revie & Uhlig, 2008).

The amount of moisture content in soil is one of the key parameters for showing high corrosivity towards the buried-metallic materials. Soil with the poorest drainage was reported to be the most corrosive for the buried-metallic materials, while a well drained soil was found to be less corrosive (Logan & Growsky, 1931; Logan, 1945; Denison & Romanoff, 1952; Romanoff, 1957). On the other hand, dry or almost dry soil shows very high resistivity or low conductivity and hence it is considered to be less corrosive for the buried-metallic materials. It was reported that the soil resistivity was decreased rapidly with increasing the moisture content until the saturation point was reached (Romanoff, 1957; Booth et al., 1967; Benmoussa et al., 2006). This may be the reason for not
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showing change of resistivity with moisture content more than 60% in soil (Romanoff, 1957).

Soil resistivity is the inverse of soil conductivity and it is one of the broad indicators for the soil corrosivity towards the buried-metallic materials (Revie & Uhlig, 2008). There is good correlation between the soil resistivity and corrosion rate of the buried-metallic materials. Higher the concentration of salts of ions present in soil, higher is the electrical conductance and hence the soil resistivity is low. The corrosion rate of the buried-metallic materials by soil is generally high, if the soil shows low resistivity. The soil resistivity is also affected by the presence of the moisture content in soil. Dry soil has extremely high resistivity and hence the sandy soils that easily drain water away are typically less corrosive while the clay-like soils that hold more water have low resistivity and are typically corrosive for buried-metallic materials.

The oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) or redox potential of the soils is significant, because it determines the stability of the buried-metallic materials. The ORP value greater than about +100 mV (SHE) indicates a high oxygen level in soil. The ORP values less than about +100 mV (SHE) may indicate that soil condition is favourable for anaerobic microbial activity due to less oxygen available in soil. Iron pipe buried in an anaerobic soil (low ORP) will tend to not rust, because the soil will not contain any free oxygen, which is needed for the formation of rust on the surface of iron and its alloys. On the other hand, the combination of anaerobic conditions and sulphur in the form of sulfate or sulfide can lead to soil corrosion. Soil microbes can convert the sulfide formed from sulfite into sulfuric acid, if conditions become more oxidized (Thierry & Sand, 2002). The ORP value generally affects the types of microbiologically induced corrosion (MIC) or biocorrosion that occurs in soils (Arzola et al., 2006; Li, 2003; Li et al., 2001).

Chloride content in soil plays a major role in the corrosivity of buried-metallic materials (Jack & Wilmott, 2011; Maslehuddin et al., 2008). It destroys the stable protection layer that can naturally form on the surface of the buried-metallic materials, exposing the unprotected material surface for further corrosion. High concentrations of sodium chloride in poorly drained soil make the soil very corrosive towards the buried-metallic materials. The sulfate ion is the naturally occurring form of sulphur in soils, although it is less corrosive as compared to the chloride ion. It can be readily converted into highly corrosive sulphides by anaerobic sulfate reducing bacteria, SRB (Hamilton, 2010; Jack, 2002; Shreir et al., 1994). It is meaningful to mention here that the soils are generally considered to be mildly corrosive if the sulfate and chloride contents are below 200 ppm and 100 ppm, respectively, for soils with 5.0-8.5 pH and the resistivity greater than 3,000 Ohm.cm (Bhattarai, 2010; Revie & Uhlig, 2008). Study of corrosion behaviour of the underground pipelines in soil is of major importance in the field of corrosion science, because millions of kilometres of the buried-pipelines are used to supply drinking water, petroleum products and other hazardous chemicals all over the world (Ricker, 2010). USA has over 3.7 million kilometres of pipelines crossing the country transporting natural gas and hazardous liquids from sources to consumers (Ricker, 2010). Similarly, it was reported that about 150,000 km of ferrous pipelines used to supply the drinking water in Australia were also affected by localized corrosion leading to leakage (WSAA, 2009). A total buried-pipeline lengths of about 2000 km, valued at almost 700 million Euro used in Gutenberg of Sweden alone were reported that the annual cost of three million Euro, almost 50 % of the damage can be related directly or indirectly to soil corrosion (Norin & Vinka, 2003). Every year, $ 200 million is spent on renewing iron water mains in Canada. The most common corrosion failure mechanism for the buried-ferrous pipes is localized cor rosion leading to leakage (Ricker, 2010; WSAA, 2009). There is therefore a great need to determine the causes of soil corrosion, and to establish a quick and easy method of evaluating the corrosivity of soils.

In Nepal, the supply of drinking water from water reservoirs to distribution terminals and to consumers is mostly through the buried-galvanized steel and cast-iron pipes. In this context, it is very urgent to investigate the effects of different soil parameters that affect the corrosive nature of soil on the buried-galvanized steels and cast-iron pipelines used to supply city water in Kathmandu Valley and other big cities of Nepal. Therefore, the main objective of this research work is to establish the corrosive nature of soils collected from three residential areas, i.e., Tikathali, Imadol-KA and Imadol-KHA of Lalitpur district of Kathmandu Valley by measuring most effective six soil parameters: pH, moisture content, resistivity, ORP, chloride and sulfate contents. An attempt was made to correlate these soil parameters with the standard values established by ASTM and NACE for comparing the soil corrosivity towards the buried-metallic pipes.

**Materials and methods**

Total 23 soil samples (seven samples from Tikathali, eight from each Imadol-KA and Imadol-KHA areas) were collected from depth of about 1 m from the ground level in the months of February and May. The soil sample was taken in an air tight poly vinyl bag so that the moisture remained same till the time of moisture content analysis in the laboratory. All three sampling sites are located in Lalitpur district of Nepal (Fig. 1).

A digital pH meter was used to determine the pH at 1:2 soil-water extract of each soil samples in accordance with the ASTM G51-95 (2012) standards. Moisture content in soil was determined using weight loss method in accordance with the ASTM D4959-07 (2007) standards. The soil resistivity is actual bulk resistivity of soil influenced by types of soil, moisture content, concentration of different dissolved salts, degree of compactness and temperature.
Since the soil resistivity was not measured at the sampling sites, all these affecting factors except types, moisture content and dissolved salts are different from their in-situ values. Hence, in this work, all efforts were made to ensure uniformity among the resistivity tests performed in the laboratory. All soil samples were tested at room temperature at (25±1°C) which remained constant and an effort was made to compact the soils to the same degree into the square soil box. The conductivity bridge was used to determine the electrical conductivity at 1:2 soil-water extract in accordance with the ASTM G187-05 (2005) standards. The soil resistivity (bulk/saturated paste) was calculated from the conductivity measurement.

The oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) of the soil samples was measured with the help of a digital potentiometer in accordance with the ASTM G200-09 (2009) standards. The platinum wire and saturated calomel electrodes (SCE) were used as working and reference electrode, respectively. The recorded ORP values vs SCE was converted to reference value of the saturated hydrogen electrode (SHE). Argentometric titration was used to determine the amount of chloride content in soil. Chloride content, in the 1:2 soil-water extract, was determined by titrating the soil extract against standard silver nitrate solution using potassium chromate as an indicator. Gravimetric method was used to estimate the amounts of sulfate content in soil samples. The details of these all methods are also discussed elsewhere (Dahal et al., 2014; Dhakal et al., 2014; Bhandari et al., 2013; Bhattarai, 2013a, 2013b; Gautam & Bhattarai, 2013; Bhattarai et al., 2016).

Results and discussion

Moisture content in soil

The moisture content in all 23 soil samples collected from present sampling areas was found in the range of 11-37% (Fig. 2). In general, clay-like and humus soils hold maximum moisture content than sandy and rocky soils. Among 23 soil samples, 10 samples contained 20% or less moisture content, while remaining 13 samples contained 21-40 % moisture content. These results revealed that the soil samples collected from the sampling sites in this study are assumed to be mildly corrosive and less corrosive towards the buried-galvanized steels and cast-iron pipelines.

Soil pH

All 23 soil samples were found to be slightly acidic, neutral or slightly alkaline in nature having the pH values ranges from 6.1-8.4 (Fig. 3). It is meaningful for mentioning here that soil samples having the pH range of 6.5-7.5 are considered to be less corrosive towards the buried galvanized-steel and cast-iron pipes. Therefore, all soil samples are assumed to be less corrosive to mildly corrosive for the buried galvanized-steel and cast-iron pipes based on the observed soil pH values.
Soil resistivity

The resistivity of all 23 soil samples collected from Tikathali and Imadol areas of Lalitpur district was found to be ranged from 3.33 x 10^3 to 4.762 x 10^4 Ohm.cm (Fig. 4). Among these 23 soil samples, six soil samples have the soil resistivity more than 2.000 x 10^4 Ohm.cm, eight samples have the soil resistivity between 1.000 x 10^4 and 2.000 x 10^4 Ohm.cm, five samples have the soil resistivity between 5.000 x 10^3 and 1.000 x 10^4 Ohm.cm, while remaining four soil samples from Imadol-KHA area have less than 5,000 x 10^3 Ohm.cm resistivity (Fig. 4). These results revealed that most of the soil samples collected from Tikathali and Imadol areas of Lalitpur district are considered to be mildly to less corrosive in nature for the buried-metallic materials according to the ASTM classifications (Table 1) (Escalante, 1989; Robinson, 1993). However, four soil samples (IML-10, IML-12, IML-14 and IML-15 from the Imadol-KHA area) are considered to be corrosive having the soil resistivity less than 5.000 x 10^3 Ohm.cm.

Oxidation-reduction potential of soil

It was found that the ORP of all 23 soil samples found in the range of +317 to +553 mV vs SHE (Fig. 5). Among the collected soil samples, 13 samples have ORP value in the range of +200 to +400 mV vs SHE are considered to be mildly corrosive towards the buried galvanized-steel and cast-iron pipes used to supply drinking water in the study areas of Kathmandu Valley. On the other hand, the remaining 10 soil samples are considered to be less corrosive, because they showed the ORP more than +400 mV vs SHE (Fig. 5). These results are drawn on the basis of Johes' classification (Table 2) (Jones, 1996; Starkey & Wight, 1983).

Chloride content in soil

The chloride content in all soil samples was found in the range of 14-75 ppm (Fig. 6). Among these 23 soil samples, 18 samples have less than 50 ppm, while five samples have chloride content in the range of 50-100 ppm (Fig. 6). These results revealed that all the soil samples collected from Tikathali, Imadol-KA and Imadol-KHA areas of Lalitpur district are less corrosive and mildly corrosive towards the buried galvanized-steel and cast-iron pipes used to supply drinking water in the study areas, because the soils containing less than 100 ppm chloride content and more than 5,000 Ohm.cm soils resistivity are categorized as the mildly corrosive and less corrosive soils towards the buried-metallic materials based on the ASTM classification (Table 1) (Escalante, 1989; Robinson, 1993).
Table 2 Rating of soil corrosivity towards the buried-metallic pipes based on ORP of soil (Jones, 1996; Starkey & Wight, 1983)

| ORP (mV vs SHE) | Soil Corrosivity |
|----------------|------------------|
| > 400          | Less Corrosive (LC) |
| 201 - 400      | Mildly Corrosive (MiC) |
| 100 - 200      | Moderately Corrosive (MoD) |
| < 100          | Corrosive (C) |

Conclusions
Following conclusions are drawn from the above results and discussion on the corrosive nature of the 23 soil samples collected from Tikathali, Imadol-KA and Imadol-KHA areas of Lalitpur district.

1. All the collected soil samples contained less than 40% moisture content which is assumed to be mildly corrosive and less corrosive nature towards the buried galvanized-steel and cast-iron pipes.
2. The soil pH value of all the soil samples was found to be within the limits of 6.1-8.4 showing mildly corrosive and less corrosive nature towards the buried galvanized-steel and cast-iron pipes.
3. A very high soil resistivity of $5.0 \times 10^3$ Ohm.cm or more was found for 19 soil samples except four samples from Imadol-KHA area supports the moderately corrosive to less corrosive nature of soils collected from the present study areas.
4. All soil samples have the oxidation-reduction potential values above 200 mV (SHE), which shows the mildly corrosive and less corrosive nature of soil towards the buried galvanized-steel and cast-iron pipes.
5. All the soil samples contained less than 100 ppm chloride, less than 200 ppm sulfate and hence they are considered to be mildly corrosive and less corrosive in nature towards the buried galvanized-steel and cast-iron pipes used to supply drinking water.
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