Tetrapod swim techniques interpreted from swim trace fossils from the Lower Triassic Baranów Formation, Holy Cross Mountains, central Poland
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Abstract
Swimming tetrapods may leave their traces under water if their digits or limbs stir the bottom sediment. Resulting trace fossils are evidence of a swim behavior. Tetrapods swim techniques depend on the functional morphology of the swimmers. Examination of swim trace fossils may reveal the swim techniques employed and swimmers' functional morphologies behind the behaviors. The present paper analyzes swim trace fossils of tetrapods from the fluvial Lower Triassic Baranów Formation in the Holy Cross Mountains (central Poland). The examination focuses on swim techniques. An attempt is made to correlate the inferred technique with functional morphology of the swimmer. It is concluded that the two types of swim traces occur in the Baranów Formation. These record two different swim techniques—paddling with limbs and body/tail undulation. The distinct types of swim trace fossils point to two types of swimmers: fully terrestrial archosaurs paddling with their limbs and amphibious tetrapods swimming with undulatory movements—likely utilizing their laterally flattened tails.
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Introduction
Terrestrial tetrapods may be occasional swimmers and swim if they need to cross a lake or river—for example, during migrations (see, e.g., Yeager 1991) or exceptionally—during the hunt-escape situation (see, e.g., Sweeney et al. 1971; Nelson and Mech 1984; Jordan et al. 2010). Other tetrapods have amphibious life styles—they stay close to, or in the water and swimming is very much a part of their daily behavioral repertoire (see, e.g., Somers and Purves 1996; Barbraud and Weimerskirch 2001; Seebacher et al. 2003; Willson et al. 2006). In any of those cases, tetrapods may stir the muddy sediment on the bottom with their limbs/digits and produce swim traces. Traces of swim behavior may pass into the fossil record. If they do so, they become a matter of interest for ichnologists who analyze and classify such fossilized traces of locomotion as repichnia (sensu Vallon et al. 2016). Swim trace fossils are meaningful and important piece of information on swim behaviors (e.g., Sarjeant 1975).

The functional morphology puts physical constrains on the way the vertebrates propel themselves in water. Fish, amphibians, amphibious reptiles (e.g., crocodiles), terrestrial and marine mammals swim in various ways (see, e.g., Webb 1988; Fish 1996). The details of swim behavior can be preserved in and deciphered from trace fossil morphology.

The Lower Triassic Baranów Formation from the Holy Cross Mountains (central Poland) is a fluvial formation. Its sediments are rich in trace fossils, including tetrapod swim traces (Kuleta et al. 2005; Bujok et al. 2008). Despite the abundance of those swim ichnites, the detailed analysis of behaviors and trackmakers these traces represent has been lacking. The present paper aims to fill that void and examines the swim techniques and potential identities of the swimmers.
**Geological settings**

The strata constituting the Baranów Formation are Lower Triassic deposits representing a braided fluvial system (Kuleta and Nawrocki 2000; Kuleta et al. 2005; Bujok et al. 2008). The formation outcrops at the northern margin of the Holy Cross Mountains—north of city of Kielce (central Poland, Fig. 1a–c).

The formation comprises two main lithofacies: reddish mudstones and sandstones—representing floodplain and channel subenvironments, respectively (see Kuleta et al. 2005, see fig. 2 therein and Fig. 1 herein). The thicknesses and proportions of the lithofacies are variable—mudstone intervals become thicker in the upper part of the Baranów Formation. Sandstones associated with mud-dominated intervals tend to form thinner beds (Kuleta et al. 2005; Bujok 2007). The contacts between mudstones and sandstones (sandstones-over-mudstones) are sharp to erosive (Fig. 1e–g). Locally, inclined sharp to erosive contacts are observed within sandstone packages (Fig. 1e). Thicker sandstone-dominated intervals display upward thinning trends (Fig. 1e)—an indication of channel evolution (Walker and Douglas 1984). The thickest sandstone intervals show remnants of trough cross bedding (Fig. 1g)—a record of in-channel bed forms migration. Locally, surfaces with pebble-rich lags (mudclasts) and scour-and-fill gutters (cf. Shukla et al. 2006) are also observed (Fig. 3a).

A rich trace fossil assemblage (root traces, invertebrate and vertebrate ichnites; Figs. 1, 2 and 3) occurs in the formation (see, e.g., Kuleta et al. 2005; Bujok 2007; Bujok et al. 2008). Regarding the composition and preservation of trace fossils—e.g., the high abundance of tetrapod swim traces, the strata from Baranów resemble those from the lower Triassic Moenkopi Formation (Utah, USA; Thomson and Droser 2015). The trace fossils are mostly associated with lower surfaces of the sandstone beds (Fig. 1h–i).

The ichnites were photographed, measured and their interpretative drawings were made. The applied terminology follows mostly McAllister (1989); it uses terms like *footmark* and *footmarks* to refer to swim traces. Associated sequence of footmarks is a *traceway* in McAllister (1989) terminology; however, we use more general and widely known term *traceway* to address such a sequence.

**Description**

**Parallel ridges**

These trackways are preserved on lower surfaces of centimeter- to decimeter-thick sandstone beds (convex *hypichnia*), herein referred to as specimens WNoZ/S/7/62 and WNoZ/S/7/258.

**Specimen WNoZ/S/7/62** There are four imprints (I–IV) visible on the specimen WNoZ/S/7/62 (Fig. 2a); measurements of length, width and relief are provided in Table 1. Two distinct morphologies are present (Fig. 2a–f). Footmarks I and II are sets of ridges. These two are almost identical in terms of their morphology and symmetry (Fig. 2b, c). These are described in details below. Tracks marked as III and IV are indistinct imprints, wider than longer (Fig. 2a).

Footmarks I and II are sets of long ridges (Fig. 2a–c). Each set comprises two narrow ridges (21 cm long and 2–5 cm width) and one shorter and slightly wider (18–19 cm long and 5–6 cm width). The ridges are parallel (Fig. 2a–c). The sets appear compact as the ridges contact each other (Fig. 2a–c). The outlines of the footmarks are sharp. The ridges taper on one end (Fig. 2b–c). Longitudinal striations are present on the sides of the ridges (inset in Fig. 2b). There are irregular depressions associated with the ridges (Fig. 2b, c). Simple horizontal to subhorizontal invertebrate trace fossils (small burrows, cf. *Planolites* and shallow burrows/superficial furrows, cf. *Helminthoidichnites*) occur within the depressions and on the surface of sediment around the sets of ridges (Fig. 2a–e). The invertebrate trace fossils are cut, in places, by the sets of ridges (Fig. 2d). Distance between footmarks I and II—measured along the direction of their elongation—is approximately 50 cm (Fig. 2a, f). The distance measured perpendicular to that direction is approximately 19 cm (Fig. 2a, f). Desiccation cracks are cut (postdated) by the set of parallel ridges forming the imprint I (Fig. 2d).

**Specimen WNoZ/S/7/258** There are three imprints (I–III) visible on the specimen WNoZ/S/7/258 (Fig. 2g); measurements of length, width and relief are provided in Table 1. Footmarks I–II are sets of parallel ridges (three per
Fig. 1 Location of Baranów quarry in the Holy Cross Mountains and sedimentological summary of Baranów Formation. a Poland with outline of the Holy Cross Mountains; b The Holy Cross Mountains and location of major city—Kielce; c A map showing road S7 leading from Kielce to the Baranów quarry; d A view of Baranów quarry (a view toward west), main sandstone–mudstone sequences (S–M sequences) as seen in the central part of the quarry and collection/finding points and stratigraphic provenience of the studied specimens (specimen shown in h has been photographed to the north from the main view); e Erosive contact between thick sandstone package and mudstone (es1) and inclined erosive contact within the sandstone package (es2); f Erosive contact between thinner sandstone bed and mudstone (es); g Thick sandstone interval with trough cross bedding (erosive contact with mudstones; es); h A sole of sandstone bed with casts of desiccation cracks and tetrapod track (field photograph); i An example of sandstone sole with multiple trails/shallow burrows of Helminthoidichnites (H), Diplopodichnus (D) and Gordia (G) (field photograph)
footmark) and lie in one line (one after the other). Footmark I is incomplete, it terminates at the slab edge. Footmark II is close to the slab edge. It appears to be nearly complete as compared with footmark I. The distance between footmarks
Fig. 3 Sine-shaped ridges—swim traces of undulatory technique. 

a A sandstone bed fragment (sole view) with sine-shaped ridges (swim traces), fr—footprints rows; the ridges are associated with U-shaped burrows and pebble-rich horizon (both postdate the ridges); 
b Enlarged part seen in a; c A sandstone bed fragment (sole view) with sine-shaped ridges (swim traces); 
d Enlarged part seen in c; 
e Enlarged part seen in a; f An interpretative drawing of swim traces from a (dotted line shows the sine-like shapes of imprints; arrow shows plausible swim direction, not to scale); 
g An interpretative drawing of imprints seen in e (meaning of dotted line as in f; arrow shows plausible swim direction, not to scale); 
h An interpretative drawing showing the limb backstroke movement and the resulting relief of the trace (ridge in the trace fossil; a rowing foot with interdigital web is used on the illustration); the direction of swim is interpreted from changes in relief—lower ends of ridges are thought to be entry spots of limb stirring the sediment; solid arrow shows plausible swimmer’s move direction; dotted arrows show backstroke direction.
I and II is 15 cm (measured between tops of central ridges; Fig. 2g, i). Both sets of ridges are elongated. Their detail description is provided below. Track III is a more isometric imprint (width and length are approximately 3.5 cm) and its digital imprints are curved-backward (Fig. 2g). It has a crescent-like depression at its rear end (Fig. 2g, i). Invertebrate trace fossils occur on the surface of sediment around the imprints (Fig. 2g) and some are cut by the imprints (Fig. 2h).

Footmark I is composed of ends of three ridges. These are separated by narrow groves (groves are narrower than the ridges). Footmark II has also three ridges; its length is 7 cm (Fig. 2g, i) and width is 4 cm (Fig. 2g, i). Footmark II has a crescent-like depression (approximately 1–2 mm deep) at one of its narrow ends (rear end; Fig. 2g, i). Millimeter-scale striation occurs on this footmark (Fig. 2g, h).

Sine-shaped ridges

These footmarks were observed on lower surfaces of decimeter-thick sandstone beds (convex hypichnia). One of the beds (Fig. 3a) shows pebble-grade material and millimeter-scale U-shaped burrows associated with the footmarks. The U-burrows and pebble-grade material postdate the footmarks (Fig. 3a, b).

The footmarks are sine-shaped ridges (Fig. 3a–h). The measurements of length, width and relief are provided in Table 1. The ridges are relatively deep and blunt on one end and pass gradually into the surrounding sediment on the other or show two blunt ends with one end having lower relief. Their surfaces are smooth. The sides of ridges are steep to weakly inclined and asymmetric. Some overlapping of the ridges may be seen locally (Fig. 3d, g). The footmarks form poorly defined trackways (Fig. 3a, f) and locally display lateral repetition (Fig. 3d, g).

Discussion

Swimming vertebrates use a set of movements to propel themselves through the water (Fig. 4). Their functional morphology constrains their swim techniques. Vertebrates swim in undulatory or oscillatory fashion. Fish, tadpoles, salamanders, crocodiles use body/tail lateral flexion—undulatory movements—to swim. Fins of some fish, e.g., tuna tail, as well as limbs of frogs, reptiles, birds and most mammals are propellers being used in an oscillatory fashion (Webb 1988).

Undulatory body movements of axial propulsion may utilize various parts of a vertebrate body length. Based on the utilized part of the fish body, their swim techniques can be classified under four different categories: anguilliform, sub-carangiform, carangiform and thunniform (see McDowall 2003). These different techniques can be reflected in Undichna and Parundichna—sine-shaped trace fossils resulting from undulatory swim behaviors (e.g. Simon et al. 2003; Wisshak et al. 2004; Minter and Braddy 2006). Amphibious swimming tetrapods (e.g., salamander, alligator) may use similar techniques and flex the body/tail to swim (Bartholomew et al. 1976; Fish 1984; Hoff et al. 1989). Such amphibious tetrapods typically keep their limbs folded along their bodies when swimming by undulation of the body/tail (Fish 1984; Ijspeert et al. 2005). In shallow water, they switch from swimming to walking as their limbs get in contact with the substrate (see Ashley-Ross and Bechtel 2004).

| Specimen | Track/footprint | Length (cm) | Width (cm) | Relief (cm) | Figures |
|----------|----------------|-------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| WNoZ/S/7/62 I | 21 | 14 | ≤ 2 | 2a, c–e |
| WNoZ/S/7/62 II | 21 | 12 | ≤ 2 | 2a, b |
| WNoZ/S/7/62 III | 6 | 12 | ≤ 2 | 2a* |
| WNoZ/S/7/62 IV | 9 | 15 | ≤ 2 | 2a* |
| WNoZ/S/7/258 I | Incomplete | Incomplete | ≤ 1 | 2g |
| WNoZ/S/7/258 II | 7 | 4 | ≤ 1 | 2g |
| WNoZ/S/7/258 III | 3.5 | 3.5 | ≤ 1 | 2g* |
| Field specimen 1 | Multiple | ~ 2–20b | ~ 1–3 | ≤ 2 | 3a, b, e |
| Field specimen 2 | Multiple | ~ 4–20b | ~ 1–3 | ≤ 2 | 3c–d |

*These specimens are not considered in detail in the present paper (indistinct morphologies)  
*Measured as straight line end-to-end
Triassic tetrapod trace fossils from central Poland

Tetrapods may swim also using oscillatory technique—they paddle or row with their limbs (Fish 2000; Fish and Baudinette 2008; Provini et al. 2012). The paddling is when limbs move in parasagittal plane—rowing, perpendicular to that plane (Fish 2000) and it may be performed in various ways: quadrupedal, pectoral and pelvic paddling (see Fish 1996, 2000). The paddling, especially its quadrupedal variant, is less efficient than other techniques and it reflects poor aquatic adaptation of terrestrial tetrapods that use it (Williams 1983; Fish and Baudinette 2008). Paddling is utilized by tetrapods with erect limbs, including the large ones, like elephants (Fish 1996). Dinosaurs likely used a paddling swim technique as well (e.g., Whyte and Romano 2001; Ezquerra et al. 2007).

Despite the fact that buoyancy affects the limb walk cycle (Ashley-Ross and Bechtel 2004), trackway pattern and its completeness (e.g., Lockley 1991), the detailed analysis may help to decipher tracemaker movements, their swim techniques and in some cases, anatomical features.

Before a sound analysis may be attempted, vertebrate footmarks created under water must be differentiated not only from inorganic structures (cf. Peabody 1947) but also from tracks and undertracks produced on a dry land (cf. McAllister and Kirby 1998). The criteria for the recognition of subaqueous formation involve features resulting from locomotion under buoyancy, movements of digits within the sediment, and action of currents generated by moving limbs. The criteria include: kick-off scours, z-traces, variable preservation of footmarks, posterior overhangs, longitudinal striations on digit marks, variable footmark lengths and variable/incomplete trackway patterns (see McAllister and Kirby 1998; Thomson and Lovelace 2014). Thomson and Droser (2015) showed that morphologies of underwater footmarks depend also on substrate properties—firmground conditions allow preservation of fine morphological details, like longitudinal striations and displacement rims. Some of the proposed criteria, like kick-off scours, are susceptible to subsequent shallow erosion of mud. Some of the criteria excludes each other as their formation and preservation require different properties—kick-off scours require water-saturated and easily erodible sediment (see McAllister and Kirby 1998); whereas, the longitudinal striations require firmground settings (see Thomson and Droser 2015).

**Oscillatory swim technique**

Imprints left under water may represent walking or swimming. There are a few ichnogenera produced by dinosaurs, turtles and crocodiles while moving under partial buoyancy (e.g., Lockley 1991; Foster and Lockley 1997; McCrea et al. 2004; Lockley and Foster 2006; Ezquerra et al. 2007; Avanzini et al. 2010; Vila et al. 2014). Most of those ichnites (Chelonichnium, Chelonipus, Saltosauripus, Hatcherichnus), convey enough details of the autopodium anatomy to link them with their potential trackmakers. In those cases, the trackmakers’ limbs were used to support, at least partially, their bodies, or to “feel” their way when they sensed they were close to the substrate. However, with increasing
buoyancy only digits’ tips can stir the bottom sediment and leave simple, indistinct scratches assignable to ichnogenera like *Characichnos* or *Albertasuchipes*—such swim traces cannot be associated with a specific tracemaker (Whyte and Romano 2001; McCrea et al. 2004; Vila et al. 2014; Ezquerra et al. 2007).

The sets of parallel ridges shown in Fig. 2 are vertebrate footmarks composed of digital scratches with no foot outline as would be expected from imprints resulting due to weight-bearing phase of walking cycle executed over dry land (cf. Thulborn and Wade 1989). Irregular depressions (Fig. 2b, c) occur within footprints and indicate that these are the casts of elevations within the imprints. Those elevations could result from sediment of footmark edges collapsing or sliding into the footmark. Therefore, their presence and the presence of fine longitudinal striations seen on footmarks sliding into the footmark. Therefore, their presence and the presence of fine longitudinal striations seen on footmarks (inset in Fig. 3b) are taken as indications that the footmarks render undertrack origin unlikely. The morphologies of ichnites, their sedimentological context and ichnological association suggest the footmarks are not undertracks and that they originated under water when the weight-bearing phase of the walking cycle was inhibited by the buoyancy of water.

Footmarks I and II on the specimen WNoZ/S/7/62 are very similar in terms of their morphology and symmetry—both have the shorter digit trace on the same side of their symmetry planes (Fig. 2f) and therefore, they are likely consecutive footmarks left by a single foot of a tracemaker (Fig. 2b, c). A mirror symmetry in morphology would be expected for footmarks and tracks left by opposite feet of the same animal. The footmarks’ long axes are parallel but they do not sit on a single line (dashed lines in Fig. 2f are footmarks axes)—footmarks are separated by 19 cm, measured perpendicular to their axes (see Fig. 2f). This pattern may suggest that the foot scratched the substrate at an angle to the swimming direction (cf. Thomson and Droser, 2015, fig. 3a therein). No such pattern can be assessed for footmarks I and II from the specimen WNoZ/S/7/258. This is because footmark I is incomplete and morphological similarity between the two cannot be fully assessed. Hence, a distinction between consecutive footmarks of opposite vs. the same foot cannot be made. Footmarks I and II on specimen WNoZ/S/7/258 appear similar in size and orientation and, therefore, could be produced by the same tracemaker. Footmarks on the specimen WNoZ/S/7/258 (Fig. 2g–i) differ from those on the specimen WNoZ/S/7/62 (Fig. 2a, g) in the presence of crescent depression associated with the footmark II (Fig. 2g–i). This feature represents likely a cast of sediment displacement rim. The crescent depression and fine, millimeter-scale secondary striation of footmark II (Fig. 2g, h) may suggest a firmground properties of the substrate (cf. Thomson and Droser 2015).

The sets of parallel scratches (see footmarks I and II in Fig. 2a) resemble *Characichnos* with its general morphology and number of scratches in a footmark (see Whyte and Romano 2001; Bujok 2007). However, the studied material differs from *Characichnos* morphology described by Whyte and Romano (2001) with a compact appearance of sets which are composed of scratches contacting each other (Fig. 2). This difference may have behavioral and anatomical significance.

The propulsive effectiveness of the paddling propeller increases with its area—an interdigital web increases foot’s paddling area and drag during propulsive backstroke in semiaquatic tetrapods (Fish 2000, and references therein). To achieve that, digits of webbed foot are spread during the propulsive backstroke (Fig. 4d). Therefore, they would create separated scratches—a typical spread morphology as in type material of *Characichnos* (cf. Whyte and Romano 2001). Crocodiles have interdigital webs on their feet and *Characichnos* assigned to them has not only spread scratches but also may in fact show traces of the web itself (cf. McCrea et al. 2004; Vila et al. 2014).

Contrary, close spacing of the scratches—a compact morphology, may indicate that swimmer lacking the webbed foot held its digits close together during the backstroke to increase the foot paddling area and effectiveness. A human palm can serve as analog—narrow gaps between digits increase slightly and optimize its paddling area and drag. However, they cannot increase over a certain threshold (e.g., 10°–12° of interdigital angle) without compromising the effectiveness of the propulsive backstroke (see Sidelnik and Young 2006; Minetti et al. 2009). Therefore, the compact morphology, as in the present footmarks, may result from action of an un-webbed foot with digits held close during the backstroke phase of the limb movement cycle (Fig. 4d)—this could be an example of behavior making up for the poor design of the propelling limb.

Both morphologies—spread and compact—of *Characichnos* are present in the strata from the Baranów Formation (see, e.g., Kuleta et al. 2005; Bujok et al. 2008). This may
indicate two distinct types of tracemaker produced *Characichnos* from the unit.

The material studied herein suggests tracemakers with feet having no interdigital web—likely terrestrial tetrapods who crossed the body of water (Fig. 2). The tetrapod swim traces occur in strata comprising also ichnites of Chirotheriidae ichnofamily (Kuleta et al. 2005; Bujok 2007; Bujok et al. 2008). Archosaurs who produced such tracks (see Sarjeant 1975; Niedźwiedzki and Ptaszyński 2007) had no interdigital web as evidenced by their track morphology (cf. King et al. 2005). The ichnites of this ichnofamily are common in Triassic deposits (Sarjeant 1975; Leonardi and de Oliveira 1990; Ptaszyński 2000; Nicosa and Loi 2003; Fichter and Kunz 2004; King et al. 2005) and their archosaurian trackmakers are likely producers of the paddling traces described herein.

**Undulatory swim technique**

The sine-shaped ridges (Fig. 3) are likely footmarks produced by limbs stirring the bottom mud. Field specimen illustrated in Fig. 3b shows sections of U-shaped burrows associated with the ridges. The burrows represent *Diplocraterion* preservation style known from subaqueous deposits of Lower Triassic (see Gradiński and Uchman 1994). The burrows occur around the ridges and on the ridges indicating the invertebrate colonization took place after the ridges had been formed. The pebble-rich horizon occurs a few centimeters above the centimeter-thick sandstone layer casting the imprints (Fig. 3a, b). This pebbly horizon suggests the onset of high energy regime with deposition of lag within the channel. Therefore, the sandstone bed illustrates the high energy settings (pebble-grade lag deposition) postdated the period of low energy subaqueous settings when swim traces and U-burrows could form.

The ridges have smooth surfaces. This may suggest traces of individual digits have not been preserved either due to taphonomic filtration, due to blunt morphology of the foot (e.g., foot with extensive interdigital web and/or no claws) and smothering action of turbulent water flow emerging behind the limb during its backstroke movement (cf. Maglischo 2003; Matsuuchi et al. 2009). The flow depositing the casting sandstone could also smooth the imprint’s morphology. The most characteristic feature of individual ridges is their sine-shaped morphology (Fig. 3a–e). Some shallower ridges (Fig. 3a–d) resemble flute casts (cf. Pollard 1985). However, clustering of ridges (Fig. 3a), sine-shaped morphology of larger ridges (Fig. 3b, d), cross-cutting relationships (Fig. 3d, g) and double well-defined ends seen in some ridges (Fig. 3d) indicate these are trace fossils. Closely spaced relatively short ridges may resemble—at a first glance—tracks/footmarks assignable to turtles. However, the ridges representing digital imprints of turtles form well-defined tracks and footmarks with no sine-shaped configuration (cf. Lockley and Foster 2006; Xing et al. 2014).

The sine-shaped component suggests undulation was likely superimposed on the progressive movement of the tracemaker. Regarding this feature, the sine-shaped swim traces resemble *Lunichnium*, which is thought to be a swim trace fossil of tetrapods, e.g., amphibians (Minter and Braddy 2006).

The trackway pattern is not clear. One can attempt to discern two footmark rows in the specimen illustrated in Fig. 3a. However, trackway pattern is much more elusive in specimen from Fig. 3c. Nevertheless, in both specimens, the ridges are closely spaced and locally overlap each other (Fig. 3d, g). Short distances between repetitive foot–substrate contacts may suggest the tracemaker climbed the inclined lake/river bed (cf. Ashley-Ross and Bechtel 2004) or it fought the current and swam upstream. In such a case, the progressive speed would be a resultant vector of tracemaker and the water current speeds.

The sine-shaped morphology suggests that undulatory swim technique was used and an amphibian or amphibious tracemaker produced the studied swim traces. The swimmers had likely a laterally compressed (deep) tails acting as propellers (cf. Fish 1984; Ashley-Ross and Bechtel 2004).

Kuleta et al. (2005) described from the Baranów Formation poorly preserved walking tracks of *?Capitosauroides* and assigned the ichnites to amphibians. The studied swim traces support the evidence for the presence of water-adapted animals in the fluvial ecosystem represented by the Baranów Formation.

**Conclusion**

The tetrapods swim traces were analyzed from the Lower Triassic fluvial Baranów Formation from the Holy Cross Mountains (central Poland). Two main types of fossilized swim traces can be distinguished in these strata (Figs. 2 and 3):

- Parallel scratch sets;
- Sine-shaped discontinuous imprints.

These two types of ichnites are thought to represent different swim techniques and swimmers. The trackways composed of scratch sets were likely produced by swimmers paddling with their limbs. These trackmakers, as all animals leaving their traces in the bottom muds, had to move through water which provided buoyancy to them. The studied footmarks show compact morphology—scratches in a set are in contact. This may indicate the tracemaker’s foot had no interdigital web, contrary to animals responsible for swim
traces composed of widely spaced scratches. Therefore, the tracemakers could be fully terrestrial tetrapods and likely crossed the water body due to their occasional needs (facultative swimmers).

In the case of parallel scratches, the tracemakers were likely the same archosaurs that produced tracks and trackways of Chirotheriidae ichnofamily—the inferred un-webbed foot matches the ichnofamily general track morphology.

Sine-shaped, discontinuous imprints were likely produced by swimmers utilizing the undulatory swim technique. Amphibians or amphibious reptiles with laterally flattened tails are likely candidates for the tracemakers. These swim traces provide evidence for the presence of amphibious tetrapods in the fluvial ecosystem represented by the Lower Triassic Baranów Formation.
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