Nodal structure of quasi-2D superconductors probed by magnetic field
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We consider a quasi two-dimensional superconductor with line nodes in an in-plane magnetic field, and compute the dependence of the specific heat, \( C \), and the in-plane heat conductivity, \( \kappa \), on the angle between the field and the nodal direction in the vortex state. We use a variation of the microscopic Brandt-Pesch-Tewordt method that accounts for the scattering of quasiparticles off vortices, and analyze the signature of the nodes in \( C \) and \( \kappa \). At low to moderate fields the specific heat anisotropy changes sign with increasing temperature. Comparison with measurements of \( C \) and \( \kappa \) in CeCoIn\(_5\) resolves the contradiction between the two in favor of the \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) gap.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Fy, 74.20.Rp, 74.25.Bt

Introduction. Remarkable properties of superconductors below the transition temperature, \( T_c \), are due to formation of the Cooper pairs and opening of the energy gap in the single particle spectrum. The structure of the gap is intimately related to the nature of the pairing interaction, and therefore its experimental determination is of much importance. In simple metals the phonon-mediated electron attraction and the gap are isotropic around the Fermi surface. In contrast, in the majority of recently discovered superconductors the gap is strongly anisotropic, and often vanishes (has nodes) for selected directions in the momentum space.

While the existence and topological structure (line vs. point) of the nodes can be inferred from the power laws in directions in the momentum space. Around the Fermi surface. In contrast, in the majority of recently discovered superconductors the gap is strongly anisotropic, and often vanishes (has nodes) for selected directions in the momentum space.

Low energy quasiparticles only exist in the near-nodal regions. They are excited by temperature, \( T \), at all nodes, and by the field, predominantly at the nodes where the QP velocity is normal to \( \mathbf{H} \). Consequently the QP density changes with the relative orientation of the field with respect to the nodes. It was predicted that the low-energy density of states (DOS), and the electronic specific heat, \( C \), have minima when the magnetic field is aligned with the nodes \( \mathbf{H} \).

Due to challenges in measuring the electronic contribution to \( C(T, \mathbf{H}) \), so far experimental results exist for few materials \( \mathbf{H} \). At the same time the anisotropy of the electronic thermal conductivity, \( \kappa_{xx} = \kappa \), under a rotating field was determined in several systems \( \mathbf{H} \). The orientational dependence of the in-plane \( \kappa(\mathbf{H}) \) is more complex than that of the specific heat as it combines the anisotropy due to nodal structure with that due to the difference in scattering normal to and parallel to the vortices. Theoretical interpretation of the anisotropy of transport properties proved elusive as the existing theories have difficulties accounting for the two modulations, and cannot unequivocally determine whether a local minimum or a maximum occurs when the field is along a node. Consequently, more theoretical work is needed to examine the experimentally conjectured gap anisotropy \( \mathbf{H} \). Moreover, in heavy fermion CeCoIn\(_5\), where data on both \( C \) and \( \kappa \) anisotropy exist, the conclusions appear contradictory: \( d_{xy} \) (\( d_{x^2-y^2} \)) gap symmetry was inferred from \( C(\mathbf{H}) \) \( \mathbf{H} \). \( \mathbf{H} \).

In most approaches the effect of \( \mathbf{H} \) on the QPs is included via the Doppler energy shift due to supercurrents around the superconducting vortices \( \mathbf{H} \), and the QP lifetime is not affected directly \( \mathbf{H} \). In reality, vortices scatter quasiparticles carrying the heat current and not just shift their energy. Consequently, the behavior of \( \kappa(T, \mathbf{H}) \) is determined by the competition between the enhancement of the DOS and the vortex scattering. There are indications that vortex scattering affects transport already at moderate, compared to the upper critical field \( H_{c2} \), fields \( \mathbf{H} \), but its effect for the in-plane field and on the anisotropy in \( C(\mathbf{H}) \) and \( \kappa(\mathbf{H}) \) has not been studied.

In this Letter we present a unified microscopic approach to computing the anisotropy of thermodynamic and transport properties of nodal superconductors in the vortex state at moderate to high magnetic field. We apply the method to quasi two-dimensional superconductors with line nodes, and consider the field rotated in the \( xy \) plane. We are able to account for both twofold and fourfold variations of \( \kappa(T, \mathbf{H}) \) with angle. For \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) gap, the competition between the transport scattering rate and the DOS leads to switching from minima to maxima for \( \mathbf{H} \) along the nodes in the fourfold part of \( \kappa(T, \mathbf{H}) \) upon increasing temperature. We find that, due to the field dependence of the single particle lifetime, the minima and the maxima in the specific heat also switch at higher \( T \) and \( \mathbf{H} \). Hence in a wide \( T-H \) range the maxima (rather than minima) in \( C(T, \mathbf{H}) \) indicate a nodal direction. Our results for the \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) gap are in a quantitative agreement with experiment on CeCoIn\(_5\).

Model and approach. We consider a quasi-two dimensional system with a model Fermi surface (FS) \( p_f^2 = p_x^2 + p_y^2 - (r^2 p_z^2)/(2 s p_f) \). The parameters, \( r \) and \( s \), determine the corrugation amplitude along the
z-axis and the ratio $v_{f,z}/v_{f,\perp}$ (at $p_x^2 + p_y^2 = p_T^2$) respectively. We assume a separable pairing interaction, $V(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = v_0 \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{p}) \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{p}')$, where $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{p})$ are the normalized basis functions for the angular momentum eigenstates, so that $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{2} \cos 2\phi_p (\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{2} \sin 2\phi_p)$ for $d_{x^2-y^2}$ gap. Here $\phi_p$ is the angle between the projection of the momentum $\mathbf{p}$ onto the $xy$ plane and the $z$-axis. The magnetic field, $\mathbf{H}$, is in the $xy$ plane, at an angle $\phi_0$ to the $x$-axis. We ignore Zeeman splitting, which is justified for most systems, see below.

We rotate $x$ and $y$ axes around $z$ to choose the field direction as the new $x$-axis, and model the spatial dependence of superconducting order parameter by an Abrikosov-like solution, $\Delta(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{p}) = \Delta(\mathbf{R}) \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{p})$, where $\Delta(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_n \xi_n (\mathbf{R} | n)$. Here $(\mathbf{R} | n) = \sum_k C_{kn}^*(\mathbf{R}) \Phi_n(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{K}) \exp(ik_\mathbf{p} \mathbf{R})$, and $\Phi_n(z)$ is the eigenfunction of the $n$-th state of a harmonic oscillator, $\Lambda^2 = \hbar c/2|e|H$, and normalized $C_{kn}^*(\mathbf{R}) \sum_k |C_{kn}|^2 = 1$ determine the structure of the vortex lattice. The factor $\sqrt{2}$ ensures that the functions $\Phi_n$ are the solutions to the linearized equations for the order parameter.

We use the quasiclassical Green’s function approach [17], where the equation for the retarded (index $R$) anomalous (Gor’kov) Green’s function is given by

\[
\left[ -2i\tilde{\epsilon} + v_f(\mathbf{p}) \left( \nabla_R - i \frac{2|e|}{\hbar c} A(\mathbf{R}) \right) \right] f^R(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{R}; \tilde{\epsilon}) = 2\Delta g^R(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{R}; \tilde{\epsilon}).
\]

Here $\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon - \Sigma^R(\mathbf{R}; \epsilon)$, $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta(\mathbf{R}; \epsilon) + \Delta_{imp}^R$, and we treat the self-energies $\Sigma^R$, $\Delta_{imp}^R$ due to impurity scattering in the self-consistent $T$-matrix approximation, and focus here on the unitarity limit (scattering phase shift $\pi/2$) for clean systems (normal state scattering rate $T \ll T_c$).

The normal electron Green’s function $g^R(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{R}; \epsilon)$ is determined via the normalization condition $(g^R)^2 f^R f^R = -\pi^2$, where $f^R(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{R}; \epsilon) = f^R(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}; \mathbf{R}; -\epsilon)^*$. Eq. (1) is complemented by the self-consistency condition for $\Delta$.

To solve the quasiclassical equations we make use of the approximation due to Brandt, Pesch, and Tewordt (BPT) [10], and replace $g^R(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{p}; \epsilon)$, by its spatial average. If $\mathbf{K}$ is a vector of the reciprocal vortex lattice, the Fourier components $g^R(\mathbf{K}) \propto \exp(-\Lambda^2 \mathbf{K}^2)$, hence the spatial average $\mathbf{K}$ is 0 dominates. The method is nearly exact at $H \ll H_\perp$, but gives semi-quantitatively correct results down to much lower fields. In extreme type-II [17], and in the nodal superconductors [2] [14] the method remains valid over almost the entire range $H_{c1} \ll H < H_{c2}$ [18], and was used to study unconventional superconductors in the vortex state [3] [11] [21].

With averaged $g^R$ we solve Eq. (1) by expanding $\Delta, f^R, f^R$ in the orthonormal set $\{ (\mathbf{R} | n) \}$, and using the ladder operators for the oscillator states, $a$ and $a^\dagger$, [11] [21] to rewrite $v_f(\mathbf{p}) (\nabla_R - i(2|e|/\hbar c) A(\mathbf{R})) = v_a - v_{e\perp} a \dagger$, where $v_{\perp} = |v_c/\sqrt{\pi} + iv_0\sqrt{\pi} (\Lambda/\sqrt{2})$. Lengthy but straightforward calculation gives the closed form expressions for functions $f^R, f^R$, and $g^R$ for a set $\Delta_n$, which is then determined self-consistently. For $n = 0$ only we find

\[
g^R(\mathbf{p}; \epsilon) = \frac{-i\pi}{\sqrt{1 - i \sqrt{\pi} (\frac{2\Delta_0}{|\epsilon|})^2 \mathcal{Y}^2(\mathbf{p}) W(\frac{2\pi A}{|\epsilon|})}} \quad \text{for } \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}
\]

similar to Refs. [3] [11]. Here $W(\epsilon) = \exp(-\epsilon^2) \text{erfc}(-i\epsilon)$, and the dependence on the field direction is via the rescaled component of the Fermi velocity normal to $\mathbf{H}$, $|v_{f,\perp}(\mathbf{p})|^2 = v_{f,\perp}^2/s + s v_{f,\perp}^2$, $C = T \partial S/\partial T$, by numerical differentiation, and verified that far from the transition $T_c(H)$ the expression

\[
C(T, H) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\epsilon \epsilon^2 N(T, H; \epsilon) \frac{2T}{T^2 \cosh^2(\epsilon/2T)}
\]

gives accurate results. We use Eq. (3) below.

The thermal conductivity tensor can be obtained using Keldysh technique [22], and has a particularly simple form for the Born and unitarity scattering limits [22] [23],

\[
\kappa_{ij}(T, H) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\epsilon \epsilon^2 \cosh^2(\epsilon/2T) \frac{N(T, H; \epsilon)}{N_T} \tau_H(T, H; \tilde{\epsilon})
\]

Here $N$ is the angle-dependent DOS, and $\tau_H$ has the meaning of the transport lifetime due to both impurity and vortex scattering. For $n = 0$ channel [2] [11] [24],

\[
\frac{1}{2\tau_H} = -\text{Im} \Sigma^R + \frac{2\sqrt{\pi} \Lambda \Delta_0^2}{|\tilde{\epsilon}|^2} \text{Im} \left[ g^R W(\frac{2\pi A}{|\tilde{\epsilon}|}) \right] \frac{2\pi A}{|\tilde{\epsilon}|^2} \Delta_0^2 \text{Im} g^R
\]

and addition of other channels results in a more complex combination of the $W$-function and its derivatives [12].

Results. We show the results for $s = 0.5$, $r = 0.5$, which gives $H_{c1}^0/H_{c2}^0 \approx 2.45$ similar to CeCoIn$_5$, and for $\Gamma/(2sT_c) = 0.007$ [23]. The main qualitative difference from previous results is already clear from the behavior of
the DOS shown in Fig. 1(a). In the Doppler shift method the DOS anisotropy, \( N(\varepsilon, H||antinode) - N(\varepsilon, H||node) \), increases as \( \sqrt{H} \) at \( \varepsilon = 0 \), and vanishes at \( \varepsilon \sim v_F \sqrt{\Delta / \Lambda} \) [1, 20]. In contrast, the anisotropy in the residual (\( \varepsilon = 0 \)) DOS has a maximum at \( H \sim 0.1 H_c \), and reverses above the field \( H \sim 0.5 H_c \). Below this field the anisotropy also changes sign at a finite \( \varepsilon(H) \), see Fig. 1(a).

Since \( W(\varepsilon) \) and its derivatives in Eq. 2 are complex functions, our \( N(\varepsilon) \) cannot be obtained from the BCS DOS by a simple energy shift: there is an anisotropic single particle scattering rate due to scattering from vortices (vanishingly small along \( H \), largest normal to \( H \)). This occurs since in the BPT method \( g^{MF} \) is averaged incoherently in different unit cells of the vortex lattice. Vortex scattering is pairbreaking, and hence enhances the DOS.

Consider the contribution to the DOS from different FS regions and focus first on \( \varepsilon = 0 \), Fig. 1(b),(c). At low fields the vortex scattering rate is small, and the unpaired states emerge only near the nodes. When \( H||node \) the number of such states at the nodes aligned with the field is small, while \( H||antinode \) produces states at all nodes, see Fig. 1(c), and \( N(0, H||antinode) > N(0, H||node) \) as in the Doppler shift method [1]. At higher fields the pair-breaking is stronger, and, for \( H||antinode \), it generates the unpaired states in all directions on the FS except close to the field direction, see Fig. 1(b). For \( H||node \) the field-induced states appear everywhere on the FS [ Fig. 1(b)], leading to the anisotropy reversal [24].

Now consider \( 0 < \varepsilon < \Delta_0 \), Fig. 1(d). In a pure system at zero field the dominant contribution to \( N(\varepsilon) \) is from sharp peaks at directions \( \hat{p}_z \), close to the nodes, such that \( |\Delta(\hat{p}_z)| \) is \( \varepsilon \). Scattering redistributes the spectral weight from the peak. Vortex scattering at \( \hat{p}_z \), increases with \( H \), and is stronger for \( H \perp \hat{p}_z \) than for \( H||\hat{p}_z \). For \( \varepsilon > \varepsilon(H) \) \( H||node \) fills the near-nodal states, but does not broaden the peak nearest to \( \hat{p}_z \), significantly, Fig. 1(d).

For \( H||antinode \) most of the weight in the peak is shifted away. This leads to a higher DOS at \( \varepsilon > \varepsilon(H) \) for the field along the node, see Fig. 1(a).

Fig. 2 shows the specific heat anisotropy. The dominant contribution to \( C(T, H) \) is from the DOS at energies \( \varepsilon \sim 2.4T \), see Eq. 8. Therefore even at low fields, when \( N(0, H||antinode) > N(0, H||node) \), the anisotropy of the specific heat is reversed at \( T_0 \sim 0.1-0.2 T_c \) [28]. Below \( T_0 \), \( C(T, H) \) has a minimum when the field is applied along a nodal direction, while at higher \( T \) it has a maximum for this field orientation. At higher fields \( H \) along a node gives a maximum in the angle-dependent specific heat at all but the lowest \( T \). This result directly affects the experimental determination of the nodal directions.

The measurements on CeCoIn5 were carried out for \( 0.18 \leq H/H_c < 0.5 \), and for \( T > 0.1 T_c \) [28]. For this system \( H_c \) is Pauli limited [26], and the orbital critical field, \( H_c^{(orb)} \), may be as high as \( 2.5H_c \). We find that \( T_0(H) \) in Fig. 2 is weakly field dependent for \( 0.1 \leq H/H_c^{(orb)} < 0.3 \). Therefore our results indicate that maxima, rather than minima in \( C(T, H) \) occur for \( H||node \) in this regime, and the data of Ref. [28] support the \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) gap symmetry (rather than the \( d_{xy} \) order inferred by the authors from the low \( T \), low \( H \) theory [1]). While the BPT approach likely overestimates the vortex scattering at low \( H/H_c \), the extended range of this shape of \( C(T, H) \) is also in favor of \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) pairing.

This conclusion is supported by the analysis of the thermal conductivity, shown in Fig. 4 for the heat current \( j_q || \hat{x} \). Comparison can be made with \( \kappa(T, H) \) as shown in Ref. [28] for \( T \leq 0.5T_c \) and fields \( H \leq 0.3H_c \). In this range we find the overall shape of the curve and
that our approach provides a reliable tool to be used alongside experimental measurements for determination of the nodal directions in novel superconductors.
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FIG. 3: Thermal conductivity anisotropy for different $T$ at a $H = 0.26 H_{c2}$ (left panel), and for different $H$ at $T = 0.25 T_c$ (right panel). Curves are shifted for clarity by the amount indicated. Left panel: notice the reversal of the $0^\circ - 90^\circ$ anisotropy and the change from minimum to maximum at $45^\circ$. Right panel provides direct comparison with Ref. 6.

the amplitude of the peak ($\sim 1-3\%$) at the nodal angle always similar to those in the right panel Fig. 3. We also confirmed that $d_{xy}$ gap is inconsistent with the results of Ref. 4. The orientational dependence of $C(T, H)$ for the $d_{xy}$ gap is obtained by a $45^\circ$ degree rotation in Fig 2. In contrast, the variation of $\kappa(T, H)$ with angle is different for the two cases since the heat current $j_q(\vec{q})$ is along the antinodal (nodal) direction for the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ ($d_{xy}$) gap [12].

The fourfold angle dependence of $\kappa(H)$ is superimposed on the twofold variation due to relative orientation of $j_q$ and $H$. The field is strongly pairbreaking for $p \perp H$, and QPs which contribute the most to $\kappa(T, H)$, are created for $H \parallel j_q$ [31]. Consequently, at low $T$, $\kappa(T, H \parallel j_q) > \kappa(T, H \perp j_q)$. At higher $T$ the QPs are thermally excited, and $\kappa(T, H \parallel j_q) < \kappa(T, H \perp j_q)$ due to vortex scattering, see Fig. 3. $\kappa(T, H \parallel \text{node})$ has a local minimum at low $T, H$, but develops a maximum at higher $T$. At yet higher $T$, $\kappa(T, H)$ is essentially twofold.

Conclusions. We developed a fully self-consistent microscopic calculation of the magnetic field induced anisotropy of thermal and transport properties of nodal superconductors. We applied the method to quasi-two dimensional systems with line nodes. We 1) found that, while at very low temperatures and fields the specific heat anisotropy is in agreement with the Doppler shift analysis, at higher $T$ and $H$ it changes sign, and, over a wide range of fields and temperatures, exhibits a maximum, rather than a minimum, for the field applied along a nodal direction; 2) accounted simultaneously for the two-fold and fourfold pattern in the angle-dependence of the thermal conductivity; 3) used the approach to resolve a controversy regarding the symmetry of the order parameter in CeCoIn$_5$ in favor of $d_{x^2-y^2}$ gap. We believe

that our approach provides a reliable tool to be used alongside experimental measurements for determination of the nodal directions in novel superconductors.
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