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Gestational age at birth and morbidity, mortality, and growth in the first 4 years of life: findings from three birth cohorts in Southern Brazil
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Abstract

Background: We assessed anthropometric status, breastfeeding duration, morbidity, and mortality outcomes during the first four years of life according to gestational age, in three population-based birth cohorts in the city of Pelotas, Southern Brazil.

Methods: Total breastfeeding duration, neonatal mortality, infant morbidity and mortality, and anthropometric measures taken at 12 and 48 months were evaluated in children of different gestational ages born in 1982, 1993 and 2004 in Southern Brazil.

Results: Babies born <34 weeks of gestation and those born between 34–36 weeks presented increased morbidity and mortality, were breastfed for shorter periods, and were more likely to be undernourished at 12 months of life, in comparison with the 39–41 weeks group. Children born with 37 weeks were more than twice as likely to die in the first year of life, and were also at increased risk of hospitalization and underweight at 12 months of life. Post-term infants presented an increased risk of neonatal mortality.

Conclusion: The increased risks of morbidity and mortality among preterm (<37 weeks of gestation) and post-term (>41 weeks) are well known. In our population babies born at 37 also present increased risk. As the proportion of preterm and early term babies has increased markedly in recent years, this is a cause for great concern.
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Background

During pregnancy, every week counts for growth and development, and the decision to interrupt gestation should be based on weighing the risks that the baby is facing in utero against the risks of preterm delivery.

The current definition of preterm births – less than 37 completed weeks of gestation, or three weeks before the date of delivery – was adopted by the World Health Organization [1] in 1975. The increased risks for preterm babies born before 34 weeks have long been established, and a number of studies have also shown late preterms (babies born between 34–36 weeks) present 3–5 times higher risk of dying than those born at term [2,3]. In this group, survivors are more likely to present cognitive deficiencies and neurologic impairments than children born at term [4-6]. At the other extreme of the scale, babies born after 41 weeks also present increased fetal and neonatal mortality, when compared with those born at term [7,8].

However, a number of recent studies from the United States and Europe show that babies born with 37 and 38 weeks of gestation also run increased risk, in comparison with those born with 39–41 weeks [9-15].

Because we were unable to find any studies from low or middle income countries on this topic, we decided to study the distribution by gestations age of several indicators of mortality, morbidity, anthropometric status and breastfeeding duration, with special emphasis on children born with 37 and 38 weeks of gestation. These
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outcomes were measured during the first four years of life in three population-based birth cohorts in the city of Pelotas in Southern Brazil.

**Methods**

**Research setting and study design**

During 1982, 1993 and 2004, birth cohorts representing all birth to mothers residing in the urban area of Pelotas, Southern Brazil, were enrolled. The three studies relied on primary data collection with the same methodology, [16-18] including recruitment of all hospital deliveries in the city (over 98% of all deliveries). Eligible mothers were interviewed soon after delivery using structured questionnaires covering socioeconomic variables, characteristics of pregnancy, labor, delivery and health care utilization. Similar variable definitions were used in all three studies. Non response rates at recruitment were below 1% in the three perinatal studies.

Data from the perinatal study and from follow-up home visits at 12 and 48 months were used in the present study. In the 1982 and 2004 cohorts, we attempted to locate all babies recruited in the perinatal phase. In the 1993 cohort we sought all low birth weight babies and a 20% sample of the remained; weighted data analyses were used to reproduce the total cohort [19].

Follow-up losses at 12 and 48 months visits were equal to 20.7% and 15.9% for the 1982 cohort, 6.6% and 12.8% for the 1993 cohort, and 5.9% and 8.2% for the 2004 cohort, respectively.

During each home visit mothers were interviewed by trained personnel who also conducted anthropometric measurements of the children. Tanita electronic scales (Tanita, Tokyo, Japan) with 100g precision were used for weight, the scales being calibrated on a weekly basis. Lengths and heights were measured with portable infantometers with 1mm precision. Birth length was not available for the 1982 birth cohort.

**Principal exposure (gestational age)**

Gestational age estimation was based on the date of the last menstrual period (LMP). Cases of unknown or implausible LMP were treated as missing information. They corresponded to 15.6% of the births – 21% in 1982, 11% in 1993, and 7.3% in 2004. Gestational age was categorized as <34, 34–36, 37, 38, 39–41 and ≥42 completed weeks.

**Outcome data**

Mortality surveillance was carried out actively through regular visits to all hospital, cemeteries, state vital registration services and the city’s health department. Infant and neonatal mortality were defined as the deaths of live-born infants in the first 364 or28 days of live, respectively. Deaths in these periods were expressed per thousand live births: the infant (IMR) and neonatal mortality rates (NMR).

Information regarding breastfeeding was reported by the mother. Total breastfeeding duration (in months and days) was collected at each follow-up. The earliest available information on breastfeeding cessation was used to reduce recall bias.

Information on hospital admissions in the first 12 months of life was obtained from the mothers and was restricted to re-admissions after the newborn had been discharged from the maternity hospital.

The following anthropometric indices were calculated: weight-for-age (W/A), height-for-age (H/A) and weight-for-height (W/H) z-scores at 12 and 48 months, using the World Health Organization (WHO) growth standards [19]. Underweight was defined as W/A z-score below –2; stunting, as H/A z-score below –2; wasting, as W/H z-score below –2; and overweight, as W/A z-score above +2 standard deviations.

**Data on potential confounding factors**

The following factors, measured in the perinatal period, were considered to be potential confounders of the association between gestational age and each outcome. Family income in the month prior to delivery was analyzed in quintiles; maternal schooling (completed years of formal education), maternal age (completed years) and height (in centimetres) were analyzed as continuous variables. Women who were single, widowed, divorced or lived without a partner were classified as single mothers. Parity was defined as the number of previous pregnancies resulting in a live birth or a late fetal death. Smoking during pregnancy, regardless of the number of cigarettes, was categorized as yes or no. Breastfeeding was not considered as a confounder, because it could not influence gestational age; in fact, it constitutes a potential mediator in the association between gestational age and the other outcomes.

**Statistical analyses**

We tested heterogeneity between the three cohorts in terms of the association between gestational age and each outcome. Because no significant interactions were found (p<0.10), we pooled the data from the three cohorts.

We used t-test or x² statistics to study crude associations between gestational age and each outcome. Confounder-adjusted analyses included logistic regression analysis for categorical outcomes and multiple linear regression for continuous outcomes. Analyses were carried out using Stata software, v. 11.0.

**Ethics**

The study protocols of the three cohort studies were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the
Federal University of Pelotas, which is affiliated with the Brazilian Federal Medical Council. In the 1982 and 1993 cohort studies, verbal consent to participate in the study was obtained from mothers. In the 2004 cohort study, mothers were provided written informed consent.

Results
We examined separately the outcomes for each birth cohort, and the tables can be found as additional material files (Additional files 1, 2, 3). The results of the interactions between cohorts, in relation to each outcome are also available (Additional file 4).

Table 1 presents crude analyses of neonatal and infant mortality, hospitalizations in the first year of life, breastfeeding duration, and anthropometric measures at 12 and 48 months of age for different gestational age groups. Children born with less than 34 weeks of gestation presented the poorest outcomes in all respects, and those born between 39–41 weeks of gestation the best outcomes. Children born between 34–36 weeks presented increased neonatal and infant morbidity and mortality, increased rates of hospitalization, and greater weight and height deficits at 12 months of age. Children born at 37 weeks of gestation presented neonatal and infant mortality more than as high as the reference group of 39–41 weeks. Children born post-term children presented a neonatal mortality more than twice as high as that for reference group. Finally, we did not find any disadvantages in children born with 38 weeks of gestation, as compared to those with 39–41 weeks.

Discussion
Our study evaluated several outcomes in the first four years of age in three population-based birth cohorts in Southern Brazil. Although children in each cohort were born 11 years apart, we performed pooled analyses of the three cohorts because statistical tests did not show any evidence of interaction between each cohort and gestational age groups.

One limitation of this study, which is common in birth cohorts, was attrition rates in the follow-up visits. However, with the exception of the 12 months visit of the 1982, in which we failed to trace 20.7% of the children, we were able to locate at least 85% of the children in all other visits. Losses in 1982 were more frequent among the poorest and the richest strata of the population, as middle-class families were more easily found [17,20]. Also, as the estimation of gestational age was based on the date of the last menstrual period, we had 15.6% of missing cases.

We found that preterm babies, even those born between 34–36 weeks of gestation, children born with 37 weeks of gestation were breastfed for a shorter period, were more hospitalized in the first year of life, and presented weight and height deficits at 12 months of life. Differences in weight and height were no longer observed at 48 months of age. Regarding children born with 37 weeks of pregnancy, neonatal and infant mortality rates were more than twice as high as those of babies with gestational ages between 39–41 weeks. This group was also more likely to be hospitalized in the first year of life, and to be underweight at 12 months of age. Post-term children presented a neonatal mortality more than twice as high as that for reference group. Finally, we did not find any disadvantages in children born with 38 weeks of gestation, as compared to those with 39–41 weeks.

Table 1 Frequency of different outcomes during the first four years of life according to gestational age

| Outcome                                      | Cohort | Number in the analyses | Gestational age in completed weeks | All | P value |
|----------------------------------------------|--------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|---------|
|                                              |        | <34                    | 34-36                | 37  | 38      | 39-41 | 42+  |
| Neonatal mortality/1,000                     | All    | 13273                  | 168                  | 19  | 11      | 8     | 4    | 9     | 12   | <0.001 |
| Infant mortality/1,000                       | All    | 13273                  | 189                  | 40  | 23      | 14    | 10   | 17    | 21   | <0.001 |
| Total breastfeeding (months) (mean)          | All    | 9453                   | 6.1                  | 7.5 | 8.4     | 8.3   | 8.1  | 7.7   | 8.0  | 0.004  |
| Hospitalization 0–12 mo (%)                  | All    | 6071                   | 38.1                 | 26.1| 18.0    | 16.6  | 14.3 | 15.2  | 17.1 | <0.001 |
| WAZ < –2 at 12 mo (%)                        | All    | 6059                   | 6.8                  | 4.5 | 2.7     | 2.0   | 1.5  | 2.8   | 2.3  | <0.001 |
| HAZ < –2 at 12 mo (%)                        | All    | 6048                   | 14.5                 | 10.3| 6.6     | 6.1   | 5.6  | 6.3   | 6.6  | <0.001 |
| WHZ > 2 at 12 mo (%)                         | All    | 6048                   | 4.7                  | 6.4 | 10.4    | 8.5   | 8.4  | 7.8   | 8.2  | 0.06   |
| WAZ < –2 at 48 mo (%)                        | All    | 8442                   | 3.3                  | 2.7 | 1.6     | 1.7   | 1.8  | 1.7   | 1.9  | 0.27   |
| HAZ < –2 at 48 mo (%)                        | All    | 8442                   | 7.9                  | 6.8 | 5.4     | 5.4   | 6.5  | 7.5   | 6.4  | 0.29   |
| WHZ > 2 at 48 mo (%)                         | All    | 8442                   | 9.2                  | 7.9 | 10.1    | 10.8  | 9.6  | 9.2   | 9.7  | 0.45   |

Number of births in the 3 cohorts All 869 1497 1434 2431 8287 1259 15777

Pelotas (Brazil) Birth Cohorts 1982, 1993, 2004.
Abbreviation: WAZ: weight for age z-score; HAZ: height for age z-score; WHZ: weight for height z-score.
gestation, and those born with 42 or more weeks were at increased risk of death in the first month of life, relative to children born between 39–41 weeks. Even after adjusting for possible confounders, compared to the reference groups of 39–41 weeks, the relative risks of neonatal death were more than 30 times higher for babies born before 34 weeks, 3.4 times higher for those born between 34–36 weeks, nearly three times higher for babies born at 37 weeks, and the double for postterm children. Preterm children and those born with 37 weeks of gestation were also of increased risk of infant mortality.

In addition to increased mortality risks, we found that all preterm children - including those born between 34–36 weeks - were more likely to present with stunting and underweight at 12 months of age, but this was no longer observed at 48 months of age. We also found that children born at 37 weeks were more likely to present with underweight at 12 months of age. Post-term children presented increased risk of death in the first month of life.

Our finding of an increased risk of mortality and deficits in growth not only babies currently defined as preterm (<37 weeks), but also amongst those born at 37 weeks is a cause for concern. In Pelotas, where the whole gestational age curve has shifted to the left in the last three decades, and the prevalence of preterm births increased from 6.2% in 1982 to more than 15% in 2004 [21]. Births with 37 weeks also increased from 7.1% in 1982 to 11.4% in 2004. The causes for this increase in preterm births are not clearly elicited yet, but it is possible that interruption of pregnancies may have played an important role, as the proportion of cesarean sections doubled between 1982 and 2004 [21,22].

Finally, it is not possible to ascertain in an observational study if the increased risks observed among infants born before full maturity were due to early exposure to the extra-uterine environment, or damages produced by maternal conditions that may also have produced the untimely birth, or even a combination of both situations. A previous study suggests that preterm birth and exposure to maternal medical conditions are independent risk factors for neonatal mortality, with the former playing a stronger role [11].

**Conclusions**

This study supports the literature from high-income countries [9-15] in strongly suggesting that the current definition of preterm births - namely, deliveries occurring before 37 completed weeks of gestation - is no longer acceptable as an international standard to guide clinical practice. The need for a change in the cut-off has been already indicated [23,24]. After all, important researchers in the past, such as Lubchenco [25] and Tanner [26], proposed 38 weeks as the cut-off for preterm births.
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