Mild Ischemic Mitral Regurgitation: Is Revascularization Enough for Every Patient?
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ABSTRACT

Background: The progress of mild ischemic mitral regurgitation (MR) after isolated coronary artery bypass is not clear. We aimed to determine the proportion of patients with mild ischemic MR undergoing isolated coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) presenting with regression of or persistent MR one year after CABG and to identify the significantly different echocardiographic variables between regressing and persistent MR.

Methods: Sixty-three patients with preoperative mild ischemic MR were categorized into MR-regression or MR-persistence group one year after isolated CABG. The echocardiographic indices, indicating mitral leaflet configuration and remodeling of the left ventricle (LV), were measured before and one year after the surgery.

Results: One year after CABG, MR regressed in 60% (38/63) and persisted in 40% (25/63) of the patients. The left ventricular diameter, volume, and sphericity and anteroposterior diameter of the mitral annulus improved only in the MR-regression group, while the ejection fraction improved in both groups (47.7% ± 12.4% from 40.1% ± 11.3%, \(P < .001\) in the regression group and 43.2% ± 14.0% from 39.3% ± 11.6%, \(P = .035\) in the persistence group). A >15% decrease in the LV end-systolic volume was noted more frequently in the MR-regression group (60.5% versus 30%, \(P = .027\)). The leaflet angle did not show asymmetry or significant changes in both groups.

Conclusions: Isolated CABG improved mild MR in most patients with mild ischemic MR. These patients showed greater reverse remodeling after revascularization than the patients with persistent MR after isolated CABG. Additional tests, which can predict LV reverse remodeling, are needed to predict persistent MR.

INTRODUCTION

A mild degree of ischemic mitral regurgitation (MR) often is considered a minor issue during surgical planning, despite concern for its progression to heart failure. Heart failure from ischemic MR increases mortality, even when mild [Aronson 2006; Lehmann 1992; Feinberg 2000; Grigioni 2001]. Currently, the most plausible mechanism for ischemic MR is tethering of the mitral valve resulting from local or global ventricular wall motion abnormalities, due to previous myocardial infarction. However, this tethering is not the sole problem related to the underlying mechanism, due to the complex interplay of various vectors influenced by left ventricle (LV) function, dilatation, and remodeling. Although the tethering and tenting of the mitral leaflet is the final common pathway mediating leaflet malcoaptation and incomplete closure, patients with coronary artery disease, especially those referred for surgery, present with a wide spectrum of pathologic changes in the LV, annulus, and mitral leaflets. In this context, completely abolishing the MR is difficult using the simplified common strategy. Hence, differentiating patients is important to maximize the effectiveness of surgical treatment.
Unfortunately, the current guidelines for ischemic MR do not reflect this complexity; this ambiguity led us to conduct the present study. The aim of our study was: 1) to determine what percentage of patients with mild ischemic MR undergoing isolated coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) show regression of MR versus persistent MR one year after CABG, and 2) to find variables that significantly differ between an MR-regression and an MR-persistence patient group, which might provide additional clues to understand the mechanisms underlying ischemic MR.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

Study subjects: The records of 63 patients with mild ischemic MR who underwent isolated CABG between January 2007 and December 2017 were reviewed. Eligible patients had a documented history of myocardial infarction more than 16 days before their baseline assessment and/or an electrocardiogram (ECG) with a significant Q-wave. Patients were excluded from the study if they had a history of previous heart surgery, a non-sinus rhythm at follow-up, organic abnormalities in the mitral leaflet, or other associated cardiac diseases other than tricuspid regurgitation. Patients without available echocardiography images allowing quantitative analysis of the intracardiac structures also were excluded. Lovastatin and carvedilol were administered postoperatively to all patients. Captopril was added for patients with ejection fractions (EFs) ≤40%. The institutional review board approved this retrospective study and waived the need for written informed consent.

Echocardiographic measurements: Two-dimensional and Doppler transthoracic echocardiography were performed within seven days and one year after CABG. MR quantitatively was assessed by measuring the ratio of the MR color flow jet area to the left atrium area using color mapping of the apical four-chamber view during cardiac systole, graded as mild (<20%), moderate (20%-40%), or severe (>40%).

Mitral valve configuration: The mitral valve configuration was assessed in the apical four-chamber view during mid-systole. The coaptation height was defined as the distance from the coaptation point of the mitral leaflets to the annular plane, while the tenting area was measured as the area created by the mitral leaflets and annular plane. The anterior and posterior leaflet angles were the angles created by the annular plane and the line from the anterior and posterior leaflet hinge points to the coaptation point, respectively (Figure). The ratio of the anterior to posterior leaflet angles was calculated to measure symmetry: the closer the ratio to 1, the more symmetric the tethering.

Local LV remodeling and function: LV volumes and EFs were calculated using the modified biplane Simpson method. The LV sphericity index was defined as the ratio of the long-axis length divided by the LV short-axis length in the four-chamber view, both during end-diastole and end-systole. LV mass was calculated from the septal and posterior wall thicknesses and the LV internal dimension at end-diastole and then divided by the body surface area to calculate the LV mass index. A reduction in LV end-systolic volume (LVESV) of >15% at one year after discharge was used as a surrogate of LV reverse remodeling.

| Variables                  | Regressed MR (N = 38) | Persistent MR (N = 25) | Total (N = 63) | P   |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----|
| Age, years                 | 59.9 ± 10.0           | 65.2 ± 5.9             | 62.0 ± 8.9     | .010|
| Male                       | 33 (86.8%)            | 15 (60.0%)             | 48 (76.2%)     | .032|
| Body surface area, mm²     | 1.9 ± 0.3             | 1.8±0.4                | 1.9 ± 0.3      | .198|
| Hypertension               | 20 (52.6%)            | 16 (64.0%)             | 36 (57.1%)     | .441|
| Diabetes                   | 24 (63.2%)            | 16 (64.0%)             | 40 (63.5%)     | 1.000|
| Dyslipidemia               | 8 (21.1%)             | 9 (36.0%)              | 17 (27.0%)     | .249|
| CRF                        | 4 (10.5%)             | 3 (12.0%)              | 7 (11.1%)      | 1.000|
| PAD                        | 6 (15.8%)             | 5 (20.0%)              | 11 (17.5%)     | .741|
| OPCAB                      | 28 (73.7%)            | 20 (80.0%)             | 48 (76.2%)     | .565|
| No. of distal anastomoses  | 4.5 ± 1.4             | 4.4 ± 1.4              | 4.4 ± 1.4      | .703|
| LAD grafted                | 36 (94.7%)            | 25 (100%)              | 61 (96.8%)     | .514|
| LCX grafted                | 34 (89.5%)            | 24 (96%)               | 58 (92.1%)     | .640|
| RCA grafted                | 38 (86.8%)            | 22 (88%)               | 55 (87.3%)     | 1.000|
| Three vessels grafted      | 31 (81.6%)            | 22 (88%)               | 53 (84.1%)     | .727|

CRF = chronic renal failure; LAD = left anterior descending artery; LCX = left circumflex artery; OPCAB = off-pump coronary artery bypass; PAD = peripheral artery disease; RCA = right coronary artery
Papillary muscle (PM) displacement: A line between the LV septal insertion points on the right ventricle and another right-angled line originating from the center of the first line were created as references. The lateral and inferior displacements of each papillary muscle were measured as the distances from these lines. The interpapillary muscle distance directly was measured. Apical displacement was measured, during mid-systole using the mitral anterior leaflet hinge point as a reference. The lengths from the anterolateral and posteromedial PM tips to the reference were measured in the apical four- and three-chamber views, respectively (Figure).

Statistical analyses: Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to assess the group-wise normality of the data distributions. Categorical variables are presented as frequencies and percentages, and continuous variables as means ± standard deviation (SD). Differences between groups in postoperative MR were evaluated using chi-square tests for categorical variables. Differences in continuous variables between groups were assessed with independent t-tests. Paired sample t-tests were used to evaluate changes in echocardiographic parameters pre-operation and at one year after the operation. A P-value <.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics: The patient characteristics at preoperative baseline are summarized in Table 1. (Table 1) Of the 63 patients, MR had regressed in 38 patients (60.3%, MR-regression group) and persisted (30.2%) or progressed (9.5%) in 25 patients (39.7%, MR-persistence group) at one year after surgery. There were no significant differences between the two groups except for age and sex. Age was lower and male sex was dominant in the MR-regression group. Patients mainly underwent off-pump CABG in both groups (76.2%).

The left anterior descending artery was grafted in 96.8% of patients, while the left circumflex and right coronary arteries were grafted in 92.1% and 86.8% of patients, respectively. All three vessels were grafted in 84.1% of patients. The mean number of distal anastomoses was 4.4 ± 1.4, representing global ischemia.

Changes in LV geometry and function: There was significant reverse LV remodeling in the MR-regression group, as illustrated by decreases in diameter, volume, AP diameter, and mass as well as improvements in LV systolic function at one year. LV sphericity was improved only during diastole even in this group. In contrast, patients in the MR-persistence group did not show any improvements in LV geometry, despite significantly improved LV systolic function (Table 2). A 15% reduction in LVESV at one year of follow up occurred in 60.5% of patients in the MR-regression group and in 32% of patients in the MR-persistence group (P = .027).

PM displacement: The posterior displacement of the posteromedial PM decreased significantly (P = .005) with decreased interpapillary muscle distance (P = .024) in the MR-regression group. In the MR-persistence group, the posterior displacement of the anterolateral PM improved (P = .035). The interpapillary muscle distance also decreased in this group (P = .012). The apical displacement of each PM did not change in either group (Table 3).

Mitral valve configuration: The leaflet configuration data are shown in Table 4. There was no specific finding in terms of leaflet configuration except for the tenting area. The tenting areas were reduced in both groups (P < .001 in both). The asymmetries were minimal and had not changed in either group at one year of follow up. The anterior and posterior leaflet angles were less than 25° and less than 45°, respectively, and remained the same at follow up. The tenting height was less than 1 cm in both groups and did not change in either group.

---

Table 2. LV remodeling and sphericity

| Variables                  | Regressed MR (N = 38) | Persistent MR (N = 25) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
|                           | Pre-OP 1 year         | P                      | Pre-OP 1 year |
| LVEDD (mm)                | 58.3 ± 5.2            | 37.7 ± 7.2             | <.001        | 56.5 ± 9.6 | 57.2 ± 9.5 | .544 |
| LVESD (mm)                | 43.7 ± 6.8            | 37.9 ± 6.8             | <.001        | 42.0 ± 10.7 | 42.5 ± 13.0 | .647 |
| LVEDV (mm)                | 149.6 ± 45.0          | 125.1 ± 43.2           | .001         | 138.0 ± 58.8 | 136.7 ± 60.5 | .840 |
| LVESV (mm)                | 93.0 ± 41.1           | 73.3 ± 37.7            | .001         | 89.8 ± 51.8 | 84.6 ± 53.6 | .273 |
| LVEF (%)                  | 40.1 ± 11.3           | 47.7 ± 12.4            | <.001        | 39.3 ± 11.6 | 43.2 ± 14.0 | .035 |
| LVMI (g/m²)               | 129.2 ± 24.1          | 113.0 ± 35.6           | .006         | 112.4 ± 32.4 | 115.8 ± 38.4 | .644 |
| Systolic LV sphericity     | 1.8 ± 0.3             | 1.9 ± 0.3              | .108         | 1.7 ± 0.3 | 1.8 ± 0.4 | .074 |
| Diastolic LV sphericity    | 1.3 ± 0.2             | 1.6 ± 0.2              | .047         | 1.5 ± 0.3 | 1.6 ± 0.2 | .380 |
| AP diameter (mm)          | 25.5 ± 2.0            | 23.7 ± 1.6             | <.001        | 25.1 ± 2.3 | 24.2 ± 1.8 | .055 |

AP = Anteroposterior; LV = left ventricle; LVEDD = left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEDV = left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD = left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVESV = left ventricular end-systolic volume; Pre-OP = preoperative.
Table 3. Papillary muscle displacement

| Variables                  | Regressed MR (N = 38) | Persistent MR (N = 25) | P    | Pre-OP | 1 year | P    |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------|--------|--------|------|
| Anterolateral papillary muscle |                       |                        |      |        |        |      |
| Posterior displacement (mm) | 24.5 ± 6.0            | 21.9 ± 5.8             | .012 | 24.7 ± 6.9 | 22.1 ± 5.7 | .085 |
| Lateral displacement (mm)   | 10.1 ± 2.4            | 8.9 ± 2.7              | .014 | 10.0 ± 3.0 | 9.4 ± 3.4 | .314 |
| Apical displacement (mm)    | 42.1 ± 5.9            | 40.8 ± 4.5             | .094 | 40.2 ± 5.9 | 40.0 ± 6.2 | .749 |
| Posteromedial papillary muscle |                       |                        |      |        |        |      |
| Posterior displacement (mm) | 15.0 ± 4.6            | 12.6 ± 4.0             | .015 | 15.7 ± 5.1 | 14.1 ± 5.4 | .207 |
| Lateral displacement (mm)   | 7.7 ± 2.3             | 7.1 ± 2.7              | .207 | 7.9 ± 2.5 | 7.1 ± 2.7 | .077 |
| Apical displacement (mm)    | 42.8 ± 5.6            | 42.0 ± 5.5             | .290 | 41.7 ± 6.6 | 41.2 ± 7.3 | .671 |
| Interpapillary distance (mm)| 18.8 ± 4.4            | 16.8 ± 5.0             | .024 | 18.6 ± 4.6 | 16.3 ± 6.1 | .012 |

MR = mitral regurgitation; Pre-OP = preoperative

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study can be summarized as follows. Following isolated CABG, 1) regurgitation disappeared in the 60.3% of patients with mild ischemic MR, 2) the mitral leaflet angle had not changed one year after surgery in either group, and 3) all parameters indicating LV remodeling improved in the patients with regressed MR, whereas most of these parameters did not improve in patients with persistent MR. Concomitant mitral valve surgery at the time of CABG is considered a high-risk cardiac surgery, and the current AHA/ACC guideline recommends it for secondary MR in patients undergoing CABG only in cases with symptoms of severe MR [D’Agostino 2018; Nishimura 2017]. The approach to ischemic MR of moderate degree in patients undergoing CABG remains controversial [Michler 2016; Smith 2014]. Although CABG may be able to eliminate moderate MR in selected patients, if reverse LV remodeling by viable myocardium, which affects papillary muscle geometry, does not occur, MR seems to remain [Salmasi 2018; Ji 2019; Penicka 2009]. In this condition, a mild degree of MR often is overlooked when CABG is performed. However, even mild MR increases the risk for future heart failure and short- and long-term mortality [Aronson 2006; Lehmann 1992; Feinberg 2000; Grigioni 2001]. Although the underlying pathophysiology of ischemic MR is presumably the same regardless of the degree of MR, the natural history of regression versus persistence of mild ischemic MR is not clearly known. We therefore need to investigate how many and which patients will have persistent MR after isolated CABG in order to implement a surgical strategy. Our study observed MR irreversibility in 40% of patients after revascularization. Generally, incomplete closure of the mitral valve arises from an imbalance between the increase in tethering force caused by LV remodeling and the decrease in closing force due to LV dysfunction after remote myocardial infarction [Agricola 2004; He 1997]. Our results are in line with this theory, showing significant reverse remodeling and improved ejection fraction in patients with regressed MR after isolated CABG, while LV remodeling parameters did not significantly change in patients with persistent MR, even though ejection fractions mildly improved. LVEDV and AP diameter indicating LV dilatation were improved only in the MR-regression group. However, not only LV dilatation but also additional geometric changes in the mitral apparatus are required to create chord tension [Otsuji 2002]. The papillary muscle, especially the posteromedial papillary muscle, is displaced toward the posterior direction in ischemic cardiomyopathy, which is indicated by increased sphericity [Otsuji 1997; Kwan 2003]. Kono et al. found that sphericity was correlated with MR severity [Kono 1992; Sabbah 1993; Sabbah 1992]. These findings are concordant with our results, in that sphericity and posteromedial papillary muscle displacement improved only in patients without MR after isolated CABG.

The symmetry of the mitral leaflet is another important factor in the evaluation of ischemic MR, because asymmetry exaggerates MR severity irrespective of global LV remodeling [Zeng 2014]. Our patients showed a symmetry of roughly 1.3, which is within the normal limits; this value did not change in either group after CABG. This finding also supports the notion that MR is caused by an imbalance in the competing forces between tethering from global LV dysfunction and closing by LV contractility rather than by unilateral leaflet tethering from focal LV dyskinesia. Given that our patients were referred for surgery because of multi-vessel disease and that an average of 4.5 target vessels were grafted, it is possible these patients had global LV lesions. In this regard, our study reflects the situation in real-world medical practice.

The overall results showing differences in LV remodeling parameters between the two groups indicate that the amount of viable myocardium was smaller in the MR-persistence group.
than in the MR-regression group. Therefore, performing viability tests before the operation may potentially be useful in determining if a patient with mild ischemic MR would benefit from concomitant MR repair rather than isolated CABG.

Prophylactic MR repair might be required for patients who are not expected to show reverse remodeling in preoperative viability tests. Lehmann et al. reported a nearly fourfold increase in the 1-year for patients with acute myocardial infarction and mild MR compared with that for the patients without MR, a result reproduced by Feinberg, who reported a hazard ratio of 2.31 (95% confidence interval 1.03–5.20) for 1-year mortality [Lehmann 1992; Feinberg 2000]. Since only a part of the patient population underwent revascularization in their study, we expected better outcomes in our study, where all patients showed complete revascularization. However, MR still adversely affected mortality and should, thus, be eliminated. Anuloplasty with a ring remains the gold standard among several surgical options. However, the high failure rate of anuloplasty with ring in ischemic MR raises doubts on whether any patient group could be considered a candidate group for this technique [Michler 2016; Acker 2014; Goldstein 2016; McGee 2004]. Several studies have reported that echocardiography measures of increased tethering, such as anterior and posterior leaflet angles, predict recurrent MR. Magne et al suggested that a posterior leaflet angle of ≥45º increases the probability of failed mitral repair [Magne 2007]. As anuloplasty shifts the coaptation zone more anteriorly, the posteromedial PM location can be further distorted and lie outside the annulus ring, and the tethering effect on the posterior leaflet makes it less likely to coaptate at the anteriorly shifted coaptation zone [Hung 2012]. Kuwahara et al. also reported an increased posterior leaflet angle after anuloplasty [Kuwahara 2006]. The posterior leaflet angle in our study was 31.1±6.9º, far smaller than the known predictor. Some authors have suggested an anterior leaflet angle of 25–39.5º as an independent predictor for MR recurrence [Dal-Bianco 2009; Hsuan 2013; Meris 2012; Nesta 2003; Tihayen 2003] and the anterior leaflet angle in the present study, at 25.1º, was also in the lower limit of this range. Our patients were not at risk with respect to these aspects as most patients referred for surgery had three-vessel disease, which shows global LV remodeling, including that of the anterior wall infarct. Accordingly, we believe that concomitant annuloplasty may be performed in these patients without concern for tethering augmentation.

Limitations: This study has the inherent limitations of retrospective studies and a small sample size and presents a single-center experience. In addition, we were not able to establish a direct association between our results and preoperative viability test findings. Since the institutional strategy was to perform preoperative viability tests only for patients with LV ejection fractions of less than 30%, we were unable to obtain data on these tests. Future studies will include preoperative viability tests for all cases of ischemic MR and will be able to report a direct association between the study findings and preoperative viability test results.

## Conclusion

Regurgitation resolved by complete revascularization in 60% of the patients with mild ischemic MR. Compared to these 60%, the remaining 40% with persistent MR did not show significant reverse remodeling or asymmetric leaflet tethering. In patients with mild ischemic MR, preoperative viability testing may be useful in sorting out candidates who may potentially benefit from prophylactic MR repair. Our findings suggest that anuloplasty should be performed in such patients without concern for augmentation of tethering.
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