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Abstract

We discuss aspects of generic 2-dimensional dilaton gravity theories. The 2-dim geometry is in general conformal to $AdS_2$ and has IR curvature singularities at zero temperature: this can be regulated by a black hole. The on-shell action is divergent: we discuss the holographic energy-momentum tensor by adding appropriate counterterms. For theories obtained by dimensional reduction of the gravitational sector of higher dimensional theories, for instance higher dimensional $AdS$ gravity as a concrete example, the 2-dimensional description dovetails with the higher dimensional one. We also discuss more general theories containing an extra scalar field which now drives nontrivial dynamics. Finally we discuss aspects of the 2-dimensional cosmological singularities discussed in earlier work. These studies suggest that generic 2-dim dilaton gravity theories are somewhat distinct from JT gravity and theories “near JT”.


1 Introduction

Dilaton gravity in 2-dimensions has been under active investigation in recent years, in part following discussions of nearly $AdS_2$ holography [1]-[5] (reviewed in [6]-[8]) and more recently those of Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT) gravity [9, 10] being dual to ensembles [11], with further development in [12]-[21]. It is well-known that $AdS_2$ arises in the near horizon geometry of extremal black holes and branes [6]-[8]. However 2-dim dilaton gravity per se arises quite generically from the 2-dimensional subsector of higher dimensional gravity on spaces of the form $M_2 \times X^d$ upon Kaluza-Klein compactification over the compact space $X^d$.

It is interesting to ask how these generic dilaton gravity theories compare with JT gravity, i.e. if they are “near JT”. Here we study certain aspects of generic 2-dim dilaton-gravity theories of the form

$$ S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi R - U(\phi) \right) ,$$

(1)

containing the 2-dim dilaton coupling to gravity and a general dilaton potential. For JT gravity, the potential is $U = -2\phi$. From the equations of motion,

$$ g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 \phi - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi + \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} U = 0 , \quad R - \frac{\partial U}{\partial \phi} = 0 .$$

(2)

For $U \sim \phi^n$ asymptotically, the curvature diverges when the dilaton is vanishingly small:

$$ R \sim \phi^{n-1} \quad \phi \to 0 \quad \rightarrow \infty , \quad n < 1 .$$

(3)

The 2-dim geometry is generically conformally $AdS_2$ and the conformal factor leads to this (IR) singularity: this is in the zero temperature theory. Another consequence of the dilaton equation of motion is that the bulk on-shell action has a UV divergence where $\phi$ grows large:

$$ S^{o.s.} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi \partial_\phi U - U \right) \sim \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} (n-1)U .$$

(4)

By comparison, in JT gravity the metric is $AdS_2$ with constant curvature, and the potential is linear so the on-shell action vanishes.
In some sense, the theories (1) are reminiscent of certain nonrelativistic theories: e.g. hyperscaling violating (hvLif) theories conformal to AdS (or Lifshitz) exhibit curvature singularities in the zero temperature metric (see [22, 23] for reviews of various aspects of nonrelativistic holography). These are best regarded as effective gravity theories valid in certain intermediate regimes. For theories with gauge/string realizations, a UV-complete description emerges in the latter. For instance some of these can be obtained from the supergravity description of nonconformal $Dp$-branes [24] upon dimensional reduction on the transverse $S^{8-p}$ sphere [25]. While the effective hvLif theories here exhibit such singularities, the higher dimensional description is well-behaved: the singularities arise from the compactification.

So far our discussion of (1) is bottom-up. Looking top-down, similar arguments apply at least when theories (1) arise by dimensional reduction from well-behaved theories in higher dimensions. A prototypical example in this regard is the reduction of higher dimensional gravity with a cosmological constant. Restricting to just this gravity sector alone, and focussing on a negative cosmological constant gives the action

$$S_D = \frac{1}{16\pi G_D} \int d^Dx \sqrt{-g^{(D)}} \left( R^{(D)} - 2\Lambda \right), \quad \Lambda = -\frac{1}{2} d_i(d_i + 1), \quad D = d_i + 2. \quad (5)$$

We use reduction conventions of [26, 27, 28] (see also [29, 30, 31, 32] for reviews). Assuming translational & rotational invariance in the $d_i$ boundary spatial directions (for theories with holographic duals) leads to the form $\mathcal{M}_2 \times X^{d_i}$ for the higher dimensional space. For simplicity, we take $X^{d_i}$ to be a torus $T^{d_i}$ and compactify with the reduction ansatz

$$ds^2_D = g^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \phi^{2\frac{d_i-2}{d_i}} d\sigma_{d_i}^2; \quad g_{\mu\nu} = \phi^{d_i/d_i} g^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (6)$$

The reduction and the Weyl transform $g^{(2)} \rightarrow g$ above give the 2-dim dilaton gravity theory

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi R - U(\phi) \right), \quad U = 2\Lambda \phi^{1/d_i}. \quad (7)$$

See Appendix A for some details. The 2-dim space here contains time and the radial coordinate, while the $d_i = D - 2$ spatial coordinates define the “transverse” part of the bulk spacetime: in theories with holographic duals, $d_i$ is the spatial dimension of the boundary field theory. Note that AdS$_3$ also leads to $U = -2\phi$. The dilaton defined as in (3) is the transverse area in the higher dim space $g^{(D-2)/2}_{ii} = \phi$. The higher dimensional background here is AdS$_D$ (Poincare) with $ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^2}(-dt^2 + dr^2) + \frac{1}{r^2} dx_i^2$, of the general form (6).

The theory (7) is of the general form (1): the 2-dim theory is conformally AdS$_2$ and the zero temperature theory has a curvature singularity (sec. 2) and the on-shell action is of the form (1) with $n = \frac{1}{d_i}$. Of course, operationally both of these are curable in (7) and more generally in (1): the curvature singularity is regulated by introducing a black hole horizon.
and the on-shell action is regulated by adding appropriate counterterms which render calculations of holographic observables reasonable (sec. 3 and sec. 4.1).

These aspects are of course well-known in the extensive studies of higher dimensional \( AdS/CFT \) [33]-[36] over the years: here we simply note that these 2-dim theories exhibit similar features. In particular, some aspects of these sorts of 2-dim dilaton gravity theories have also been studied in \textit{e.g.} [37]. Among other things, this describes the role in these theories of generalized conformal structure [38] (a symmetry under scaling transformations involving Weyl rescaling of the metric along with appropriate scalings of additional scalars representing running couplings), studied for nonconformal branes in [39, 40, 41] where various aspects of the holographic dictionary were described. Recalling our earlier comments on nonconformal branes and nonrelativistic theories, we see that the above features also apply in some of the present discussions stemming from parallels with the nontrivial dilaton profile which from (6) is part of the higher dimensional metric (with the associated higher dimensional symmetries).

Thus overall, although 2-dimensional, (7) and more generally (11) is apparently encoding higher dimensional gravity intrinsically: this is quite different from the near extremal near horizon \( AdS_2 \times X \) throats in extremal objects, where the \( X \)-compactification in fact leads to an intrinsically 2-dim theory with a clear separation of scales. To put this in perspective, we note that the gravity subsector, taken stand-alone, is universal to all string/M theories on \( AdS_D \times X^{10/11-D} \). This is usually understood to be UV complete only if the entire higher dimensional (string/M) upstairs theory is included. From this point of view, it should not be surprising that these 2-dim theories exhibit the divergences above: they are perhaps best regarded as UV-incomplete low energy effective theories universal to all UV completions \( AdS_D \times X \) upstairs, so they are thermodynamic, akin to an ensemble. These features appear generic to 2-dim dilaton gravity theories of the form (11), with general (nonlinear) potentials. They also arise with additional matter, \textit{e.g.} extra scalars that drive dynamics (sec. 4). These studies suggest that generic 2-dim dilaton gravity theories are somewhat distinct from JT gravity and theories “near JT” which appear special. In what follows we will describe this in greater detail, with a Discussion in sec. 5.

2 Aspects of generic 2-dim dilaton gravity

We now describe in more detail aspects of the 2-dim theory (11). Using conformal gauge and combining the various Einstein equation components, the equations of motion (2) become

\[
\begin{align*}
 ds^2 &= g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = e^{f(r)}(-dt^2 + dr^2), \\
 -\partial_r^2 \phi + \partial_r f \partial_r \phi &= 0, \\
 \partial_r^2 \phi + e^f U &= 0, \\
 -\partial_r^2 f - e^f \partial_r U &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
It is adequate to exclude time dependence here: later we will discuss nontrivial time dynamics as well in the presence of an extra scalar. Solutions to these can be expressed in terms of a general “pre-potential \( \int d\phi' U(\phi') \) (see also [33], Appendix E). In cases where \( U(\phi) \) is a \( \phi \)-monomial, solutions can be found by employing a power-law scaling ansatz for \( \phi, e^f \), and then solving the algebraic equations that result from (5): this was found to be useful in [28]. For the theory (1), a monomial potential gives from (8)

\[
U = A\phi^n; \quad \phi = r^m, \quad e^f = r^b \quad \Rightarrow \\
( -m(m-1) + bm )r^{m-2} = 0, \quad m(m-1)r^{m-2} + Ar^{b+mn} = 0, \quad br^{-2} - Anr^{b+m(n-1)} = 0, \\
\Rightarrow \quad m(b - m + 1) = 0, \quad b + m(n-1) + 2 = 0, \quad m(m-1) = -A, \quad b = An. (9)
\]

We require the \( r \)-exponents to match for a nontrivial solution valid for all \( r \); this gives e.g. \( m - 2 = b + mn \), and the remaining equations then follow. (The lengthscale we have suppressed can be reinstated when required) With \( m \neq 0 \), we obtain \( m = b + 1 \) and thereby

\[
m = -\frac{1}{n}, \quad b = -\frac{1}{n} - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad A = -\frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{1}{n} + 1 \right), \quad (10)
\]

as the unique solution, which can be checked to satisfy all the equations in (9). The last equation here is a condition that the parameters \( A, n \), in the potential \( U \) must satisfy for consistency of this solution to the theory. Thus the 2-dim background solution to (1) is

\[
\phi = \frac{1}{r^{1/n}}, \quad ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^{1+\frac{1}{n}}}( -dt^2 + dr^2 ) = \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{n}-1}} ds^2_{AdS_2}. \quad (11)
\]

The curvature (2) is

\[
R = An\phi^{n-1} = -\left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) r^{\frac{1}{n}-1}, \quad (12)
\]

giving an IR singularity in the deep interior at \( r \to \infty \) for \( n < 1 \) and at \( r \to 0 \) if \( n > 1 \). JT gravity is the case \( n = 1 \), the space being \( AdS_2 \), the metric being \( ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^2}(-dt^2 + dr^2) \) with constant curvature \( R = -2 \).

Thus for \( n \neq 1 \), the 2-dim geometry is conformal to \( AdS_2 \): for \( n < 1 \) the conformal factor becomes larger towards the boundary \( r \to 0 \), so the space there “flattens”. As \( \phi \) grows to large field values, the curvature \( R \to 0 \). The conformally \( AdS_2 \) geometry (11) is akin to a nonconformal \( Dp \)-brane geometry [24]: the corresponding Euclidean space is

\[
ds^2_E \sim d\rho^2 + \rho^{\frac{2(1+n)}{1-n}} dt^2_E; \quad \rho \sim r^{\frac{1-1/n}{2}}; \quad R \sim -\frac{1}{\rho^2}. \quad (13)
\]

In general a conformally \( AdS_2 \) metric \( ds^2 = \frac{e^F(r)}{r^2}(dt^2 + dr^2) \) has curvature \( R = -e^{-F}(2 + r^2F'') \) with a singularity as \( e^F \to 0 \) (as \( r \to \infty \)) unless \( F = 2 \log r \) (flat space) or \( F = 0 \) (\( AdS_2 \)). More general dilaton potentials can be used to find classical solutions to the equations (2): in general they will lead to conformally \( AdS_2 \) spaces with singularities.
2.1 Regulating with a black hole

There are parallels with the IR curvature singularity described above for $n < 1$ and similar singularities in hyperscaling violating theories: on the left below is the zero temperature metric

$$ ds^2 = r^{2\theta/d_i} \left( -\frac{dt^2 + dr^2}{r^2} + d\Omega_i^2 \right) \quad \longrightarrow \quad ds^2 = \frac{r^{2\theta/d_i}}{r^2} \left( -f(r) dt^2 + dr_i^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} \right) . \quad (14) $$

Here the exponent $\theta < 0$ and the conformal factor leads to a curvature singularity $\mathcal{R} \sim r^{-2\theta/d_i}$ as $r \to \infty$. More general nonrelativistic theories include a Lifshitz exponent as well in the form $-\frac{dr^2}{r^2} + \ldots$ above and $\theta$ can be positive as well. These also have singularities with either curvatures or tidal forces diverging: see [22, 23] which review various aspects of nonrelativistic holography. In general these are best regarded as effective theories valid in some intermediate regimes: beyond these some further completion is required. In fact nonconformal holography. In general these are best regarded as effective theories valid in some intermediate regimes: beyond these some further completion is required. In fact nonconformal holography. In general these are best regarded as effective theories valid in some intermediate regimes: beyond these some further completion is required. In fact nonconformal holography. In general these are best regarded as effective theories valid in some intermediate regimes: beyond these some further completion is required. In fact nonconformal holography. In general these are best regarded as effective theories valid in some intermediate regimes: beyond these some further completion is required. In fact nonconformal holography. In general these are best regarded as effective theories valid in some intermediate regimes: beyond these some further completion is required. In fact nonconformal holography. In general these are best regarded as effective theories valid in some intermediate regimes: beyond these some further completion is required. In fact nonconformal holography. In general these are best regarded as effective theories valid in some intermediate regimes: beyond these some further completion is required. In fact nonconformal holography.

At the low energy effective level, the metric on the left above can be de-singularized by introducing a black hole/brane: the metric on the right in (14) is at finite temperature with diagrams [24]. Similar features arise in the string realizations in [44].

The first equation implies

$$ \partial_t \phi + f' \partial_r \phi = 0, \quad \partial_r (H \partial_t \phi) + e^f U = 0 , \quad (16) $$

The Einstein equations (2) now give

$$ - \partial^2_\phi + f' \partial_r \phi = 0, \quad \partial_r (H \partial_t \phi) + e^f U = 0 , \quad (16) $$

The first equation implies $\partial_t \phi \propto e^f$. Now since we are thinking of the blackening factor $H(r)$ as a finite temperature regulator to the original space, these equations must hold simultaneously alongwith (8): after imposing the $H$ boundary conditions in (15), this gives

$$ \partial_r (H \partial_t \phi) = -e^f U = \partial^2_\phi \phi \quad \rightarrow \quad \partial_r ((H - 1) \partial_t \phi) = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad H = 1 - e^{-f+f_0} \quad . \quad (17) $$

Near the horizon $r \sim r_0$, the Euclidean space becomes $(H_0' = f_0' < 0)$

$$ ds^2 \xrightarrow{r \to r_0} e^{f_0} \left( H'|_0 (r - r_0) dt^2_E + \frac{dr^2}{H' |_0 (r - r_0)} \right) \rightarrow \frac{f_0^2}{4} \rho^2 dt^2_E + d\rho^2 ; \quad & \phi \rightarrow \phi_h \quad , \quad (18) $$

\footnote{We have $\Gamma_{tr} = \frac{f'}{2} + \frac{H'}{2H} \Gamma_{rr} = \frac{f'}{2} - \frac{H'}{2H} \Gamma_{tt} = \frac{H}{2} (H f'' + H')$, \quad $\mathcal{R} = -e^{-f} (H f'' + H' f' + H')$.}
which has no conical singularity if \( \frac{|f_0|}{2\beta} \) has periodicity \( 2\pi \) giving the Hawking temperature
\[
T = \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{|f_0|}{4\pi}.
\]
This regulates the IR singularity. The Lorentzian geometry as usual has an eternal extension with two asymptotic regions connected by an Einstein-Rosen bridge (wormhole), and a spacelike curvature singularity as \( r \to \infty \) cloaked by the horizon as usual, with \( \mathcal{R} \sim r^{1/n-1} \).

To desingularize (11), we could also add charge and tune to extremality but this requires adding a gauge field, whereas the regulator above is contained within the theory (1).

2.1.1 AdS\(_D\) gravity reduction

The above general discussions are readily seen to hold in the theory (7), with
\[
n = \frac{1}{d_i}, \quad A = 2\Lambda = -d_i(d_i + 1),
\]
which arises from the reduction of AdS\(_D\) gravity. Then the solution (11) becomes
\[
\phi = \frac{1}{r^{d_i}}, \quad ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^{d_i+1}}(-dt^2 + dr^2) = \frac{1}{r^{d_i-1}}ds^2_{AdS_2}.
\]
i.e. \( b = -d_i - 1, \quad m = -d_i \). From (6), we see this to be the reduction of AdS\(_D\) Poincare, i.e.
\[
ds^2_D = \frac{1}{r^2}(-dt^2 + dr^2) + \frac{1}{r^2}dx_i^2,
\]
suppressing the AdS scale. The compactification from higher dimensions gives the conformal factor which leads to the IR curvature singularity in \( \mathcal{R} (13) \) at the horizon \( \rho = 0 \) (i.e. \( r \to \infty \)).

Now the black hole regulator (15), (17), in this case (20), gives the 2-dim space
\[
\phi = \frac{1}{r^{d_i}}; \quad ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^{d_i+1}}\left(-H(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{H(r)}\right), \quad H(r) = 1 - \frac{r^{d_i+1}}{r_0^{d_i+1}}; \quad T = \frac{d_i + 1}{4\pi r_0}.
\]
This is essentially the dimensional reduction of the AdS\(_D\) black brane.

This sort of IR horizon singularity is generic for such compactifications: for instance the reduction of global AdS\(_D\) (see Appendix A) gives
\[
ds^2 = -(1 + r^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 + r^2} + r^2d\Omega_i^2 \rightarrow \phi = r^{1/d_i}, \quad e^f = r^{d_i-1}\left(-(1 + r^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 + r^2}\right),
\]
with a curvature singularity in the deep interior \( r \to 0 \) (here \( r \to \infty \) is the boundary).

Aspects of the torus reduction in (20) above were also discussed in [37] in light of generalized conformal structure: more general reductions can also be carried out where each of the torus directions is associated with a distinct warp factor (see also [11]).

6
3 The on-shell action and the holographic stress tensor

As mentioned earlier, the on-shell action (4) for (1) has a UV divergence for \( n \neq 1 \). For (7), this in fact just descends from the known \( AdS_D \) on-shell action. We will now discuss the holographic energy-momentum tensor focussing on (7) which allows direct comparison with the higher dimensional description: many of the expressions are valid for (1) as well, with \( d_i \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \) as will be obvious from context. Our discussion is of course motivated by the well-known formulations of holographic renormalization \([48, 49, 50, 51]\) in higher dimensional theories: see also \([45]\) in certain 2-dim theories and \([39]\) in nonconformal branes.

For an \( AdS \)-like metric, with timelike boundary \( \partial \mathcal{M} \) at \( r = 0 \) and outward pointing normal \( n_r < 0 \) with \( \mu, \nu \in \partial \mathcal{M} \), the extrinsic curvature at \( \partial \mathcal{M} \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    ds_D^2 &= N^2 dr^2 + \gamma_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad n = -N dr, \\
    K_{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\mu n_\nu + \nabla_\nu n_\mu) = \Gamma_r^{\nu\mu} n_\nu;
\end{align*}
\]

\[
ds^2 = e^f (-dt^2 + dr^2) \implies N^2 = -\gamma_{tt} = e^f; \quad K_{tt} = \frac{1}{2N} \partial_t \gamma_{tt}, \quad K = \gamma^\mu K_{\mu tt} = \frac{f'}{2} e^{-f/2}. \tag{24}
\]

The renormalized action, comprising the bulk and the Gibbons-Hawking boundary terms, is

\[
S_{\text{ren}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \left[ \int d^2 x \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi \mathcal{R} - U(\phi) \right) - 2 \int dt \sqrt{-\gamma} \phi \mathcal{R} - 2 \int dt \sqrt{-\gamma} \phi \frac{d_{i+1}}{d_i} \right], \tag{25}
\]

as well as a holographic counterterm \( S_{\text{ct}} \) (see also \([45]\)), which cancels the divergences as

\[
S_{\text{ren}}^{\text{div}} \propto \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \left[ \frac{(d_i - 1)}{\epsilon^{d_i+1}} + \frac{(d_i + 1)}{\epsilon^{d_i+1}} - \frac{2d_i}{\epsilon^{d_i+1}} \right] \rightarrow 0, \tag{26}
\]

thus regulating the gravitational action. Using the boundary metric from the ansatz (6), the counterterm can be seen to be just the reduction of the familiar \( AdS_D \) counterterm \( \int \sqrt{h^{(d_i+1)}} \rightarrow \int dt \sqrt{-\gamma_{tt}} g_{tt}^{d_i/2} = \int dt \sqrt{e^f} \frac{\phi^{(d_i-1)/d_i}}{\phi}, \) which gives the above. The variation of the regulated action (25) with the metric can be written as \([46, 47]\)

\[
\delta S_{\text{ren}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int d^2 x \sqrt{-g} \left( g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 \phi - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi + \frac{g_{\mu\nu} U}{2} \right) \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int dt \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\rho \phi \delta \gamma^{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta S_{\text{ct}}}{\delta \gamma^{\mu\nu}} \delta \gamma^{\rho\nu}. \tag{27}
\]

The bulk variation term (obtained after a cancellation of second derivative terms between \( \mathcal{R} \) and \( K \)) leads to the bulk equations of motion which vanish on-shell. The boundary terms above satisfy \( n_\mu \delta \gamma^{\mu\sigma} = 0 \). This is consistent with (1). Noting \( n_\tau = -N \) from (24), the variation of the boundary metric then gives the holographic energy-momentum tensor as (after rescaling by the \( \epsilon \)-factors in \( \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma_{tt} \) to match the boundary value \( \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma_{tt} \))

\[
T_{tt}^{\text{ren}} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{ren}}}{\delta \gamma_{tt}} = \frac{\epsilon^{d_i+1}}{8\pi G_2} \left( -\sqrt{g^{tt}} \phi - d_i \phi \frac{d_{i+1}}{d_i} \right) \gamma_{tt}. \tag{28}
\]
This is regarded as an expansion in $\epsilon$ where the divergent term is cancelled by the counterterms leaving behind possible finite pieces with higher order pieces vanishing when the cutoff is removed as $\epsilon \to 0$. For the $AdS_D$ reduction \( [20] \), there are no subleading terms and the counterterms have been engineered to cancel the divergences, so $T_{tt}^{ren}$ vanishes:

$$T_{tt}^{ren} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\epsilon^{d_i+1}}{8\pi G_N} \left( -\epsilon \frac{d_i}{\epsilon^{d_i+1}} \left( \frac{d_i}{\epsilon^{d_i+1}} \right)^{-1} = 0 \right). \tag{29}$$

The vanishing stress tensor indicates that the background metric and dilaton define the “vacuum” of the theory: the stress tensor \( (28) \) essentially encodes excitations about this vacuum. For the black hole in this theory \( [22], [15], [17] \), which is the reduction of the $AdS_D$ black brane, the subleading blackening term in $g^{rr}$ gives a nonzero energy-momentum

$$T_{tt}^{ren} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\epsilon^{d_i+1}}{8\pi G_N} \left( -\epsilon \frac{d_i}{\epsilon^{d_i+1}} \left( \frac{d_i}{\epsilon^{d_i+1}} \right)^{-1} = \frac{d_i}{16\pi G_N \epsilon^{d_i+1}} \right). \tag{30}$$

We will now discuss the holographic energy-momentum tensor in the higher dimensional theory \([48, 49, 50, 51]\), written in the variables of the 2-dim theory \([6]\) obtained after reduction. So consider

$$ds_D^2 = G_{rr} dr^2 + g_{tt}^{(2)} dt^2 + \phi_2^2 dx_i^2 \equiv G_{rr} dr^2 + h_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu,$$

$$K_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^r_{\mu\nu} n_r = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{G^{rr}} \partial_r h_{\mu\nu}, \quad K = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{G^{rr}} \left( h^{tt} \partial_t h_{tt} + 2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\phi} \right), \tag{31}$$

simplifying the $ii$-part in $K$. Then the boundary stress tensor with the usual counterterm

$$\sim \int dt \sqrt{-h} \left( K_{\mu\nu} - K h_{\mu\nu} - d_i h_{\mu\nu} \right) \to \frac{d - d_i}{8\pi G_D} \left( -\sqrt{G^{rr}} \partial_t \phi + d_i \phi \right) h_{tt} \tag{32}$$

Using \( (31) \) and going to the variables in the Weyl-transformed frame \([6]\) gives

$$T_{tt}^{(D)} = \frac{\epsilon^{1-d_i}}{8\pi G_D} \left( -\sqrt{G^{rr}} \partial_t \phi + d_i \phi \right) h_{tt} - d_i \phi \left( h_{tt} - d_i \phi \right) \tag{33}$$

noting $\sqrt{G^{rr}} = \sqrt{g^{rr} \phi \frac{d_i - 1}{d_i}}$ and $\phi|_B = \frac{1}{d_i}$. This matches \( (23) \). Note that reduction of the higher dimensional theory directly gives the holographic stress tensor in the 2-dim theory: using the 2-dim variables \([6]\), we have

$$\delta S^{grav} \sim -\frac{1}{16\pi G_D} \int \epsilon^{d_i+1} x \sqrt{-h} \left( K_{\mu\nu} - K h_{\mu\nu} \right) \delta h^{\mu\nu}$$

$$= -\frac{V_{d_i}}{16\pi G_D} \int \epsilon^{d_i+1} \sqrt{-h} \left( K_{tt}^{(2)} - K h_{tt}^{(2)} \right) \delta h_{tt}^{(2)} + \ldots$$

$$= \frac{1}{16\pi G_D} \int \epsilon^{d_i+1} \sqrt{-h} \partial_t \phi \gamma_{tt} \delta \gamma_{tt}^{(2)} + \ldots \tag{34}$$
noting that the $tt$-terms in $K$ cancel with $K_{tt}$ and the Weyl factors cancel between $\sqrt{G^r}$ and $\sqrt{-\gamma^{(2)}}$, as well as between $h_{tt}^{(2)}$, $\delta h_{tt}^{(2)}$. For the $AdS_D$ black brane, \( [32] \) gives

$$ds^2 = \phi \frac{d\tau - t^\mu dx^\mu}{t^\mu t^\mu}, e^\Phi \left( -H dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} \right) + \phi d\tau d^2 x_i^2 \rightarrow T^{(D)}_{tt} = \frac{d_i}{16\pi G_D r^{d_i+1}},$$

(35)

matching the 2-dim density \( [30] \) upon including the compactification volume $V_0 = \frac{V_d}{G_D} \rightarrow \frac{1}{G_2}$. It is useful to note that the 2-dim energy-momentum tensor calculation is in the Weyl frame where the dilaton kinetic term has been absorbed away: this matches with the higher dimensional calculation above. In a different 2-dim Weyl frame with the dilaton kinetic term present, further holographic counterterms need to be added to cancel divergences, which then will lead to the same conclusions.

### 3.1 Low-lying ("soft") modes

It is interesting to ask if there is any analog of the Schwarzian action for low-lying fluctuations ("soft modes") for the Euclidean theory \( [7] \), i.e. conformally $AdS_2$. To study this, we mimic \( [3] \) and imagine small boundary wiggles with boundary time \( u \) and normal \( n^\mu(u) \): this gives the general expression for any conformally $AdS_2$ metric\( [3] \)

$$K = -\frac{t^\mu t^\nu \nabla_\mu n_\nu}{t^\mu t^\mu} = -\frac{e^{-f/2}}{(r^2 + r'^2)^{3/2}} \left( r'^2 r'' - \tau' \tau'' \right) \right).$$

(36)

The natural boundary conditions on the (Euclidean) conformally $AdS_2$ metric \( [20] \) are

$$\frac{r'^2 + r''^2}{r^{d_i+1}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{d_i+1}} \rightarrow r \sim \epsilon (\tau')^{d_i+1},$$

(37)

to leading order. This gives\( [3] \)

$$K = \epsilon^{\frac{d_i-1}{2}} (\tau')^\frac{d_i-1}{2} \left[ \frac{d_i + 1}{2} + \epsilon^2 \left( \frac{2}{d_i + 1} \right) (\tau')^{-\frac{d_i}{d_i+1}} \left( \tau'^2 - \frac{5d_i + 1}{2(d_i + 1)} (r'') \right) \right] + \epsilon^4 \left( \frac{12}{(d_i + 1)^3} \right) (\tau')^{-\frac{4d_i}{d_i+1}} \left( r''^2 \right) \left( \tau'^2 - \frac{9d_i + 1}{4(d_i + 1)} (r'') \right) + \ldots \right).$$

(38)

Then using $\sqrt{\gamma} = e^{f/2}$, $\phi = 1/r^{d_i}$ and \( [37] \), the Gibbons-Hawking term becomes

$$S_{GH} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G_2} \int d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \phi K = -\frac{1}{8\pi G_2} \int \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{(3d_i+1)/2}} K = -\frac{1}{8\pi G_2} \int \frac{d\tau}{e^{d_i+1} (\tau')^2} \left[ \ldots \right]$$

(39)

\( ^2 \)For the Euclidean space $ds^2 = e^f (d^2 t^2 + dr^2)$, we have $\Gamma^r_{rr} = \Gamma^r_{rr} = 0$, and $t'' = (\tau', r')$, $n^\mu = \sqrt{\gamma^{\mu\nu}} (r'' + \tau' \partial_r)$, with $\eta_{\mu\nu} n^\mu n^\nu = 1$ and $\partial_a = \tau' \partial_r + r' \partial_r$.

\( ^3 \)I thank Kaberi Goswami and Hitesh Saini for correcting an error here.
where [...] here is the term in square brackets in (38). For \( d_i = 1 \) we see that the second term in (38) is the Schwarzian known in \( nAdS_2 \). More generally we see that the second term \((O(\epsilon^2))\) in (38) gives a \( \frac{1}{\epsilon^{d_i+1}} \) divergence after sticking it into (39). Using \( \nabla^2 \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \partial_r (\sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{\tau r} \partial_r \phi) = e^{-f/2} \partial_r (e^{-f/2} \partial_r \phi) \) as well as \( \partial_r = \frac{1}{\tau} \partial_u \) and (37), we note that this can be cancelled by a counterm of the form

\[
\int d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \phi^{d_i+1} \left( - (\nabla \phi)^2 - \phi \nabla^2 \phi \right) \propto \int \frac{d\tau}{\epsilon^{d_i+1}} (\tau')^{d_i+1} \left( \frac{\tau''}{\tau'} - \frac{5d_i+1}{2(d_i+1)} \left( \tau' \right)^2 \right)
\]

in the spirit of holographic renormalization. There is structural similarity with \( \int \mathcal{R} \) in higher dimensions and its reduction (60). For general \( d_i \), there are further subleading divergences. Focussing on \( d_i = 3 \) which is the \( AdS_5 \) reduction, we see that the \( O(\epsilon^4) \) term in the expansion (38) cancels the overall \( \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \) divergence giving a finite term at this order: this is the term in the second line in (38). Note that this \( O(\epsilon^4) \) subleading term is at the same order as the term in (30) which gives the black hole excitation: in some ways this is in sync with the expectation of soft modes arising from the reduction of low lying hydrodynamic modes in the Super Yang-Mills CFT dual to the \( AdS_5 \) black brane upstairs. It would be interesting to understand this systematically.

### 4 An extra scalar \( \Psi \)

Now we add an extra scalar field \( \Psi \) to (1): one way to obtain this consistently is by reduction of the higher dimensional action \( S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_D} \int d^D x \sqrt{-g^{(D)}} \left( \mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \Psi)^2 - V \right) \), which arises \( e.g. \) for nonconformal branes, \( \Psi \) encoding the running gauge coupling. As before, the potential \( V(g, \Psi) \) also contains metric data. The reduction (6) gives [26, 27, 28]

\[
S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int d^2 x \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi \mathcal{R} - U(\phi, \Psi) - \frac{1}{2} \phi (\partial \Psi)^2 \right),
\]

with \( U = V \phi^{1/d_i} \). The Weyl factors cancel in \((\partial \Psi)^2 = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Psi \partial_\nu \Psi \) with \( \sqrt{-g} \). The dilaton potential \( U(\phi, \Psi) \) now possibly couples the dilaton \( \phi \) to \( \Psi \). The equations of motion are

\[
g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 \phi - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi + \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} (\partial \Psi)^2 + U \right) - \frac{\phi}{2} \partial_\mu \Psi \partial_\nu \Psi = 0,
\]

\[
\mathcal{R} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial \phi} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \Psi)^2 = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu \left( \sqrt{-g} \phi \partial^\mu \Psi \right) - \frac{\partial U}{\partial \Psi} = 0.
\]
giving

\[
(\text{tr}) \quad \partial_t \partial_r \phi - \frac{1}{2} f' \partial_r \phi - \frac{1}{2} \dot{f} \partial_r \phi + \frac{\phi}{2} \Psi' = 0 ,
\]

\[
(rr + tt) \quad -\dot{\partial}_r^2 \phi - \partial_r^2 \phi + \dot{f} \partial_t \phi + f' \partial_r \phi - \frac{\phi}{2} (\Psi')^2 - \frac{\phi}{2} (\Psi')^2 = 0 ,
\]

\[
(rr - tt) \quad -\dot{\partial}_r^2 \phi + \partial_r^2 \phi + e^f U = 0 ,
\]

\[
(\phi) \quad (\ddot{f} - f'' - \frac{1}{2} (-\dot{\Psi})^2 + (\Psi')^2) - e^f \frac{\partial U}{\partial \phi} = 0 ,
\]

\[
(\Psi) \quad -\partial_t (\phi \partial_t \Psi) + \partial_r (\phi \partial_r \Psi) - e^f \frac{\partial U}{\partial \Psi} = 0 ,
\]

in conformal gauge \( g_{\mu\nu} = e^f \eta_{\mu\nu} \). There is nontrivial dynamics in the theory (41) driven by the extra scalar \( \Psi \); in particular these equations now admit nontrivial cosmological singularities which can be thought of as the reduction of higher dimensional Big-Crunch singularities [52]-[54]. They were analysed in [28] by using power-law scaling ansatze similar to (9), but with \( \phi = t^k r^m , e^f = a^r b^b , e^\Psi = r^\alpha \beta \), in the time-dependent case. It was shown there that the near singularity behaviour is universal, giving \( k = 1 , \ a = a^2 \), the dilaton potential becoming irrelevant.

For now we will review just time-independent backgrounds: consider the potential

\[
U(\phi, \Psi) = A \phi^n e^{B \Psi} \quad A < 0 , \quad B \geq 0 ,
\]

in the 2-dim theory (41). For \( B = 0 \) and \( A = 2 \Lambda \), this is the same as \( U \) in (9) in the theory (1). For \( B \neq 0 \), the form of the potential arises in \( e.g. \) nonconformal branes and more general nonrelativistic theories. With a power-law scaling ansatz, the equations (43) then give

\[
\phi = r^m , \ e^f = r^b , \ e^\Psi = r^\beta \quad \Rightarrow \quad -m(m-1) + bm - \frac{\beta^2}{2} = 0 , \quad m(m-1) + A = 0 , \quad b + m(n-1) + B \beta + 2 = 0 , \quad b - \frac{\beta^2}{2} - An = 0 , \quad \beta(m-1) - AB = 0 .
\]

For \( B = 0 \), these are the same as (9): we mention that there are nontrivial time-dependent solutions with \( B = 0 \) [28]. For \( B \neq 0 \), we obtain

\[
-m(m-1) + bm - \frac{\beta^2}{2} = 0 , \quad \beta + Bm = 0 , \quad b - \frac{\beta^2}{2} + m(m-1)n = 0 , \quad \rightarrow \quad b = m(n+1) , \quad \beta = \sqrt{2m(mn+1)} , \quad B = \sqrt{\frac{2(mn+1)}{m}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad m = \frac{2}{B^2 - 2n} .
\]

We know that \( \phi \) grows as \( r \) decreases so \( m < 0 \): this is consistent with \( m(m-1) = -A > 0 \) and gives nontrivial consistency conditions for the existence of these backgrounds

\[
B^2 < 2n \ , \quad \frac{2}{B^2 - 2n} \left( \frac{2}{B^2 - 2n} - 1 \right) = -A > 0 .
\]
(The first condition is also consistent with null energy conditions.) Our discussion here is bottom-up. Of course these conditions are all satisfied in the reduction of known higher dimensional theories as noted in [28]: e.g. we have \( n = \frac{1}{d_i} \) and \( B = \sqrt{\frac{2(\theta)}{d_i(d_i - \theta)}} \) with \( \theta \leq 0 \), and \( m = -(d_i - \theta) \), \( b = -(d_i - \theta)(1 + \frac{1}{d_i}) \); also \( A = (d_i - \theta)(d_i + 1 - \theta) \). The higher dimensional space is then (14). As stated there, some of the known reductions include those of nonconformal \( Dp \)-branes: the 2-dim geometries regulated with a blackening factor are then the reductions of black nonconformal \( Dp \)-branes [23].

Now we make a few comments on the energy-momentum tensor in (41). From the 2-dim equations of motion (42), we have \( \mathcal{R} = \partial_\phi U + \frac{1}{2}(\partial \Psi)^2 \). Thus the \( (\partial \Psi)^2 \) terms cancel on-shell: if the potential \( U(\phi) \) does not contain \( \Psi \), then the scalar has entirely disappeared from the on-shell action, which is in fact of the same form as (25): in particular the same counterterm there suffices. We will not discuss more complicated dilaton potentials \( U(\phi, \Psi) \) here.

Now we consider the 2-dim cosmology obtained from the \( AdS_D \) Kasner reduction in [28]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ds}^2 &= \frac{1}{r^2}(dt^2 + dr^2) + \frac{t^{2p_i}}{r^2} dx_i^2, \quad e^\Psi = t^\alpha \\
\Rightarrow \quad \phi &= \frac{t}{r^{d_i}}, \quad \text{ds}^2 = \frac{t^{(d_i-1)/d_i}}{r^{d_i+1}}(-dt^2 + dr^2), \quad e^\Psi = t^{\sqrt{2(d_i-1)/d_i}}. \quad (48)
\end{align*}
\]

Noting that the extra scalar required to drive these cosmologies has disappeared in the on-shell action, we will see from [28] that the energy-momentum tensor \( T_{tt}^{ren} \) vanishes. The scaling ansatz \( \phi = t^{k} r^{m} \), \( e^f = t^{a} r^{b} \), \( e^\Psi = t^{a} r^{b} \), present in these cosmological backgrounds shows that the \( t \)-dependence in (18) appears solely in terms of multiplicative factors over the \( AdS_D \) background profile (20) itself. Thus the holographic energy-momentum tensor (28) is

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ds}^2 &= e^f (-dt^2 + dr^2), \quad e^f = \frac{t^{a}}{r^{d_i+1}}, \quad \phi = \frac{t^{k}}{r^{d_i}}, \quad a = \frac{d_i - 1}{d_i}, \quad k = 1, \\
T_{tt}^{ren} &= \frac{e^{d_i+1}}{8\pi G_2} \left( -e^{d_i+1} (\frac{1}{\epsilon_{d_i+1}}) (t^{-\frac{d_i}{2}+k}) - e^{d_i+1} (t^{-\frac{k(d_i+1)}{2d_i}}) \right)^{-1} = 0. \quad (49)
\end{align*}
\]

The \( t \)-dependences in both factors are in fact identical since the algebraic conditions on the exponents following from the equations of motion [28] give \( a = k(\frac{d_i-1}{d_i}) \) which is seen to be satisfied above. Thus this of the same form as (29) apart from identical \( t \)-factors in each term and leads to \( T_{tt}^{ren} = 0 \). This is also corroborated by (32) in the higher dimensional \( AdS_D \) Kasner spacetime [32]-[34]: we see that the \( t \)-dependence disappears in \( \phi \)-terms and \( g^{rr} \) has none. Further the other counterterms discussed in [33] also cancel in this holographic screen so finally \( T_{tt}^{(D)} = 0 \). Note that each \( t \)-factor has a positive exponent so in the vicinity of the singularity at \( t = 0 \) each term independently becomes vanishingly small. Overall this suggests a kind of fine-tuning to the energy-momentum tensor in these holographic screens: although the bulk fields (in particular the metric) have nonvanishing non-normalizable deformations turned on, the response vanishes suggesting that the state is non-generic. However
this is screen-dependent: a Penrose-Brown-Henneaux transformation recasting the metric schematically as \( ds^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{r^{d+1}}( -dt^2 + dr^2 ) \equiv dR^2 \left( \frac{1}{R^{d+1}} + \ldots \right) + \gamma_{TT}(T, R) dT^2 \) appears to lead to a nonvanishing energy-momentum tensor. A more detailed study of this would presumably yield analogs of the corresponding findings in [53].

4.1 Some comments on scalar probes

Now we will discuss aspects of massless scalars regarded as probes: we will focus on the theory (7) obtained from \( AdS_D \) reduction, so as to facilitate direct comparison of the 2-dim and higher dimensional discussions. To generalize to (1) with a general monomial potential, it is adequate to set \( d_i \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \) in most expressions.

A massless scalar probe \( \psi \) descending from higher dimensions has action and equation of motion

\[
- \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \phi_B ( \partial \psi )^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_\mu ( \phi_B \sqrt{g} g^\mu \nu \partial_\nu \psi ) = 0 ,
\]

focussing on the Euclidean theory: here the background dilaton profile \( \phi_B \) is non-constant.

For a time-independent probe in the background (20), we obtain

\[
\partial_r ( \phi_B \partial_r \psi ) = 0 = \partial_r ( r^{-d_i} \partial_r \psi ) = 0 \rightarrow \partial_r \psi = \frac{C_1}{\phi_B} \rightarrow \psi = C_0 + C_1 r^{d_i+1} .
\]

So for \( d_i > 1 \), the scalar probe dies rapidly towards the boundary. The scalar probe perturbation modifies the background potential which can be read off from the effective action as

\[
\int \left( \phi R - U(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu} ( \partial_\chi_\psi )^2 \right) \Rightarrow U(\phi_B) \rightarrow U(\phi_B) - \frac{C_1^2 e^{-f_B}}{2 \phi_B} .
\]

This can now be used to understand backreaction: defining \( \phi = \phi_B + \varphi \), gives from (43)

\[
\partial_\psi^2 \varphi = -e^{f_B} \delta U = \frac{C_1^2}{2 \phi_B} \rightarrow \varphi \sim C_1^2 r^{d_i+2} ,
\]

which as \( r \rightarrow 0 \) is a small correction to the background dilaton \( \phi_B \sim \frac{1}{r^{d_i}} \) so that the asymptotic background (20) does not suffer large backreaction. Equivalently the background can support small excitations.

Now consider operators dual to scalar probes in the Euclidean space (20): (50) gives

\[
0 = \partial_\mu ( \phi_B \sqrt{g} g^\mu \nu \partial_\nu \psi ) = \partial_\tau ( \phi \partial_\tau \psi ) + \partial_\tau ( \phi \partial_r \psi ) \rightarrow \partial_\tau \left( \frac{1}{r^{d_i}} \partial_\tau \psi_\omega \right) - \frac{1}{r^{d_i}} \omega^2 \psi_\omega = 0 ,
\]

with \( \psi(\tau, r) = e^{-i \omega \tau} \psi_\omega (r) \). This has many similarities with the higher dimensional \( AdS_D \) but it is instructive to look at the simplest nontrivial case \( d_i = 2 \) in detail: then \( (\nu = \frac{d_i+1}{2}) \)

\[
\psi_\omega = \psi_\omega^0 \frac{r^{(d_i+1)/2}}{\epsilon^{(d_i+1)/2}} K_\nu (\omega r) \quad \frac{d_i \rightarrow 2}{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \quad \psi_\omega^0 \frac{(1 + \omega r) e^{-\omega r}}{(1 + \omega \epsilon) e^{-\omega \epsilon}} ,
\]
which is regular at large $r$ although the 2-dim space is singular. Using the bulk equation, the on-shell action gives a boundary term as usual: expanding in $\epsilon$ gives

$$
- S \sim \int d\omega \frac{1}{\epsilon^d} \psi_{-\omega} \partial_r \psi_{\omega} \bigg|_{\epsilon} \to \int \frac{d\omega}{\epsilon^2} \psi^0_{-\omega} \psi^0_{\omega} \frac{-\omega^2 \epsilon}{(1 + \omega \epsilon)} = \int d\omega \psi^0_{-\omega} \psi^0_{\omega} \left( \frac{-\omega^2}{\epsilon} + \omega^3 + \ldots \right)
$$

(56)

The first term can be removed by a local counterterm $\int d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \phi^{5/4} (\partial \Phi)^2$: this in fact arises from the higher dimensional $\int d\tau d^d x \sqrt{\gamma h^{ij} \partial_i \Psi \partial_j \Psi} \to \int dt \sqrt{\gamma} \phi^{(2)} \gamma^{(2) \tau \tau} (\partial_\tau \Psi)^2$ after incorporating the Weyl transformation (5). The second nonlocal term is the same momentum space 2-point function as in $AdS_4$. Fourier transforming, now in 0 + 1-dimensions, gives in position space

$$
\langle O(\tau) O(\tau') \rangle \sim \int d\omega e^{i \omega \Delta \tau} \omega^3 \sim \frac{1}{(\Delta \tau)^4},
$$

(57)

giving the operator dimension $\Delta = 2$ for $O(\tau)$. For $d_i > 1$, the short-time divergence here ($\Delta \tau \to 0$) is more severe than for a dimension $\Delta = 1$ operator as would be the case if the dilaton factor were absent in (50). This can be extended to $d_i > 2$ also: the momentum space answer is identical to the corresponding calculation in higher dimensional $AdS_D$ giving $\omega^{2\nu} (\log \omega \epsilon)$ (including the possible logarithmic piece for $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$) but the 1-dim Fourier transform gives the position space correlator $\frac{1}{(\Delta \tau)^{d_i + 2}}$ and thereby $1 + \frac{d_i}{2}$ for the dimension of the operator $O(\tau)$, which is distinct from $AdS_{d_i + 2}$ where the operator dimension would have been $d_i + 1$, from the $(d_i + 1)$-dim Fourier transform (see also [37]).

It is interesting that the above 2-point correlation function calculation is insensitive to the singularity in the zero temperature 2-dim space, stemming from regularity of the bulk mode functions. It is likely that this is a generic feature, i.e. low point correlators do not see the singularity in the zero temperature 2-dim space. It would be interesting to understand this better.

It would seem overall that we have just scratched the surface here. In light of (53) one might think backreaction effects are small: it would be interesting to study correlation functions in greater detail, incorporating possible time reparametrizations along the lines of [1]. Modes with nontrivial dilaton couplings may also be of interest in understanding aspects of the SYK theory: see e.g. the recent work [55].

## 5 Discussion

We have discussed aspects of generic 2-dimensional dilaton gravity theories bottom-up. The 2-dim geometry is in general conformal to $AdS_2$ and has IR curvature singularities at zero temperature, which are regulated by a black hole. As we saw, the on-shell action is divergent: we discussed the holographic energy-momentum tensor by adding appropriate counterterms.
For theories obtained by dimensional reduction of the gravitational sector of higher dimensional theories, the 2-dimensional description dovetails with the higher dimensional one, as we saw explicitly in the reduction of higher dimensional AdS gravity as a concrete example. The analysis of low-lying ("soft") modes reveals distinct departures from the nAdS2 Schwarzian, stemming from further divergences here (38). Overall, such 2-dim theories appear to encode higher dimensional gravity intrinsically (there are parallels with old work e.g. 56, also reviews e.g. 29). This is quite different from near extremal objects with AdS2 × X throats: here the X-compactification leads to JT gravity which is intrinsically 2-dimensional (and topological in some sense). We also discussed adding an extra scalar field which drives nontrivial dynamics, in particular the 2-dimensional cosmological singularities discussed in [28]: the new dynamical direction that the scalar defines may have similarities with that in backgrounds that include rotation, gauge fields etc e.g. 57, 58. For scalar probes with dilaton couplings, we saw that correlation functions of dual operators (56), (57), are insensitive to the singularity in the zero temperature 2-dim space, a feature likely to extend to more general low point correlators.

We now recall the discussion in [11] of JT gravity as dual to a random matrix ensemble: further developments appear in [12]-[21]. In this light, the perturbative studies here suggest that generic 2-dim dilaton gravity theories (1) are somewhat different from JT gravity and theories “near JT”, e.g. through the behaviour of IR singularities in the zero temperature 2-dim geometry and the divergence in the on-shell action. Nonperturbatively in JT gravity, we recall that the φ path integral leads to a sum over constant curvature surfaces of various topologies (which maps to a corresponding expansion of a matrix integral). By comparison for a more general potential in the Euclidean theory, we have \( \int DgD\phi \exp[\int \sqrt{g}(\phi R - U)] \) which using the the dilaton equation in (2) and noting (1) naively leads to \( \sim \int Dg \exp[\# \int \sqrt{g}(-R)^{-1/(d_i-1)}] \) in the specific case (7), with \# a positive number. By comparison, a simple gaussian potential \( U = \lambda \phi^2 \) gives \( \sim \int Dg \exp[\# \int \sqrt{g} R^2] \) after doing the gaussian φ-integral, which is 2-dim \( R^2 \) gravity. These structures appear more intricate (also, the sign of \( U \) enters nontrivially): it would be interesting to understand them in greater depth, perhaps towards mapping to some effective matrix model.

It would appear that 2-dim theories such as (1) are not “near JT” in the sense of the deformations of JT gravity in [13, 14]: roughly \(|U - U_{JT}| \) here is not sufficiently small asymptotically, unlike there. As a concrete example, the theory (7) for \( d_i > 1 \) in some essential sense faithfully reflects its higher dimensional origins. Ordinarily we regard gravity in dimensions \( D \geq 4 \) as UV completed by a string/M theory: a particular gauge/gravity dual pair is usually pinned down by specifying the precise matter content, including information on \( X \) (so the bulk string theory is then critical). From this point of view, in treating the AdSD gravity reduction (7) as a standalone theory, we have effectively performed some sort
of average or partial trace (loosely speaking) over the UV-information that encodes the identity of the specific gauge/gravity dual pair that it was compactified from (equivalently, with some partial trace over local operators from the dual field theory point of view). In this light, it would perhaps be interesting to understand minimal string theories which are 2-dimensional to begin with and their dual matrix models (see e.g. [59], as well as older reviews e.g. [60] [61]) and the possibly distinct kinds of embeddings of such 2-dim gravity theories obtained thereby (see e.g. [11] [12] [18] for some discussions, as well as e.g. [62]). Since the generic theory \( \Pi \) is structurally similar to \( \Sigma \), this suggests that the generic 2-dim theory \( \Pi \) is a UV-incomplete low energy effective theory, akin to a thermodynamic ensemble in a sense (naively the dual operators are simply \( T^{(1)}_{tt} \) and \( T_\phi \equiv T_{ii} \); in the case of a higher dimensional CFT compactified spatially, these are subject to the tracelessness condition, thus giving \( T^{(1)}_{tt} \) alone in the effective 1-dim dual, with no microstate information). While this is adequate for understanding various holographic (large \( N \)) observables as we have seen, the nature of such a thermodynamic ensemble appears fundamentally different from the ensemble dual to JT. The 2-dim theories here represent some sort of “effective holography”, i.e. some approximate gauge/gravity duality, with a subset of observables: it would be interesting to understand more systematically such incomplete holographic models, as well as their validity and usefulness. There are some parallels with the discussions in \( \Pi \) of higher dimensional gravity. The case \( d_i = 1 \) \( \Pi \) is the AdS\(_3\) reduction, which as we have seen has many parallels with JT gravity: this appears in accord with \( \Pi \). It would be interesting to understand these issues better and gain more insight into quantifying the ensemble nature of such effective generic 2-dim gravity theories (at least those that are adequately well-defined intrinsically or via reductions from reasonable theories upstairs, and not in some sort of swampland) and possible effective matrix models, and the role of replica wormholes \( \Pi \) here.
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A Reduction details

\( T^{D-2} \) reduction: The \( D \)-dimensional space with \( D = d_i + 2 \) is of the form \( \Pi \): we have

\[
\int \frac{d^Dx}{G_D} \sqrt{-g^{(D)}} \left( R^{(D)} - V \right) \rightarrow \int \frac{d^2x}{G_2} \sqrt{-g^{(2)}} \left( \phi R^{(2)} + \frac{D - 3}{D - 2} \frac{(\partial \phi)^2}{\phi} - V \phi \right), \tag{58}
\]
from reduction to the 2-dim space \( g^{(2)} \). A total derivative from the reduction cancels one from the reduction of the Gibbons-Hawking term. As in [1] and [26, 27], noting
\[
\sqrt{-g^{(2)}} \left[ \phi R^{(2)} + \lambda \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\phi} \right)^2 \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Weyl}} \sqrt{-g} \left[ \phi R + \left( \lambda - \alpha \right) \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\phi} \right)^2 \right],
\]
we use the Weyl transformation in (6) to absorb the dilaton kinetic term into \( R \), giving (7); the factor in \( V \) gives \( \phi - D^{-3} \).

**S\(^{D-2}\) reduction:** Here the curvature of the sphere gives additional terms (see e.g. [29, 30, 31, 32]): we have
\[
R^{(D)} = R^{(2)} + \frac{(D - 3)(D - 2)}{\phi} \frac{D - 4}{\phi} + \frac{D - 3}{D - 2} \left( \frac{\partial (\phi)}{\phi} \right)^2 - 2 \nabla^2 (\phi),
\]
from (6) with \( d\sigma^{D-2} \equiv d\Omega_{D-2}^2 \). Then reduction and the Weyl transformation (6) gives
\[
\int d^2 x \sqrt{-g^{(2)}} \left( \phi R^{(2)} + \frac{D - 3}{D - 2} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\phi} \right)^2 + (D - 2)(D - 3) \phi^{\frac{D - 4}{D - 2}} - V \phi \right)
\]
\[
\xrightarrow{V = 2\Lambda} \int d^2 x \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi R + d_i (d_i + 1) \phi^{1/d_i} + d_i (d_i - 1) \phi^{-1/d_i} \right).
\]

For global \( AdS_D \), the reduction (6) of the background gives
\[
ds^2 = -(1 + r^2) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 + r^2} + r^2 d\Omega_i^2 \rightarrow \phi = r^{d_i}, \quad e^l = r^{d_i-1} \left( -(1 + r^2) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 + r^2} \right),
\]
with curvature \( R = -r^{-d_i-1}((d_i + 1)r^2 - (d_i - 1)) \). Thus \( R \sim \frac{1}{r^{d_i}} \) as \( r \to 0 \) (interior) so the singularity structure is similar to that for the \( AdS_D \) Poincare reduction. The \( AdS \) Schwarzschild black hole under the reduction (6) gives
\[
\phi = r^{d_i}, \quad e^l = r^{d_i-1} \left( -(1 + r^2 - \frac{1}{r^{d_i-1}}) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 + r^2 - \frac{1}{r^{d_i-1}}} \right).
\]
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