Separation of variables for the quantum $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ spin magnet: eigenfunctions of Sklyanin $B$-operator
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Abstract

The quantum $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ invariant spin magnet with infinite-dimensional principal series representation in local spaces is considered. We construct eigenfunctions of Sklyanin’s $B$–operator which define the representation of separated variables of the model.
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1 Introduction

The method of separation of variables has a long-standing history as a tool for treating both classical and quantum-mechanical models. Its essence is the reduction of multidimensional equation to the set of one-dimensional ones.

The quantum separation of variables has been developed by E.K. Sklyanin for the Toda chain in [4] and for integrable spin chain models in [5–8]. The complete set of SoV states and corresponding scalar products for some models associated with finite-dimensional representations is known explicitly [14,15]. The SoV for the the quantum integrable models having infinite dimensional local spaces is considered in [16–21].

The main idea of the method is the construction of the unitary transformation from the initial coordinate representation to the new representation (SOV representation) so that a multi-dimensional and multi-parameter spectral problem for the transfer matrix in coordinate representation is re-expressed in terms of a multi-parameter but one-dimensional spectral problem. This unitary transformation is realized as integral operator and its kernel is defined by the system of generalized eigenfunctions of some special Sklyanin operator $B(u)$. In the simplest case of the symmetry group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ operator $B(u)$ coincides with the one of the matrix elements of the monodromy matrix [5–8].

For the symmetry group $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ its construction is more complicated [6–8]. The classical SOV in this case worked out in [6,9,10] and the algebraic scheme for the quantum SOV in [7,8,11]. It was conjectured in [12] and proven in [13] that the Sklyanin B-operator can be used as analog of creation operator (in closed analogy with algebraic Bethe Ansatz [1,2]) for construction of the eigenstates of transfer-matrix in the case of finite-dimensional representations of the symmetry group.

A quantum inverse scattering [1,2] based method for the iterative construction of the generalized eigenfunctions of the B-operator for noncompact $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$-magnet [22–25] is proposed in [26] and then generalized to any matrix element of the monodromy matrix in [27]. It results in a pyramidal Gauss-Givental [28] representation for the integral kernel of the separation of variables transform.

In the present paper we generalize the iterative construction of the eigenfunctions of the B-operator for noncompact $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$-magnet. The whole construction follows the main line of [26,27] and [29] where worked out the technique of iterative construction of eigenfunctions of the quantum minors of the $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$-invariant monodromy matrix. The eigenfunctions are constructed in an explicit form for infinite-dimensional principal series representations of symmetry algebra. This case is simpler than the case of finite-dimensional representations. Namely, there are equivalent representations which differ by the permutation of representation parameters and the corresponding intertwining operators play crucial role in our construction.

The paper is organised as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we summarize the needed definitions and formulae about $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ spin magnet and principal series representations of the group $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$. The Section 4 is devoted to the algebraic formulation of the SOV method [5–8] for the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$- and $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$-magnets. In Section 5 we present the construction of the generalized eigenfunction of the B-operator in the case of inhomogeneous $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$-magnet; this chapter generalizes results of [26,27] obtained for the homogeneous $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$-magnet. Construction of the generalized eigenfunction of the B-operator in the case of inhomogeneous $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$-magnet is considered in Section 6.

2 $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ magnet: description of the model

In this section we will give the general definition of the quantum magnet associated with $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ group with special attention to $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ cases.
The $L$–operator \[1–3\] for the quantum $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ magnet has the form
\[
L(u) = u + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} e_{ij} E_{ji}, \tag{2.1}
\]
where $u$ is the spectral parameter, $e_{ij}$ are the standard matrix units in auxiliary space $\mathbb{C}^n$: $(e_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_k \delta_{jl}$, and $E_{ij}$ are the generators of $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ acting on representation space $V$. They satisfy the standard commutation relations
\[
[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} E_{il} - \delta_{il} E_{kj}, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n; \tag{2.2}
\]
with a constraint
\[
E_{11} + E_{22} + \cdots + E_{nn} = 0. \tag{2.3}
\]
The set of the commutation relations can be combined into the well-known Yang-Baxter relation for the product of $L$–operators with different auxiliary spaces and common quantum space $V$:
\[
R(u - v)L^{(1)}(u)L^{(2)}(v) = L^{(2)}(v)L^{(1)}(u)R(u - v), \tag{2.4}
\]
where $R(u)$ is Yang R-matrix $R(u)$ defined on $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ as
\[
R(u) = u + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}. \tag{2.5}
\]
For each site $k = 1, \ldots, N$ of the magnet one defines a local $L$–operator $L_k(u)$ by \[2.1\] with local $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ generators $E_{ij}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $k = 1, \ldots N$. The global object for the magnet with $N$ sites is the monodromy matrix
\[
T(u) = L_N(u + \delta N)L_{N-1}(u + \delta_{N-1}) \cdots L_2(u + \delta_2)L_1(u + \delta_1), \tag{2.6}
\]
where $\delta_k$ are arbitrary shifts of the spectral parameter. We will consider the general (nonhomogeneous) case $\delta_k \neq 0$. From \[2.1\] and \[2.5\] it follows that $T_{ij}^k(u)$ satisfy the set of commutation relations
\[
(u - v)[T_{ij}^k(u), T_{pq}^l(v)] = T_{ij}^k(v)T_{pq}^l(u) - T_{ij}^l(u)T_{pq}^k(v), \tag{2.7}
\]
which define the associative algebra – Yangian $Y(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$.

The Hilbert space of the model is $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N$, where $V_k$ is $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ representation space in $k$–th site. Operators $L_k(u)$ acts nontrivially only on $V_k \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$. Then $T(u)$ is defined on $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ and can be presented as a matrix in auxiliary space $\mathbb{C}^n$:
\[
T(u) = \begin{pmatrix}
T_{11}^1(u) & T_{12}^1(u) & \cdots & T_{1n}^1(u) \\
T_{21}^1(u) & T_{22}^2(u) & \cdots & T_{2n}^2(u) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
T_{11}^n(u) & T_{21}^n(u) & \cdots & T_{nn}^n(u)
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.8}
\]
where each entry $T_{ij}^k(u)$ acts on $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N$. We use the similar notations for the entries of $L(u)$ too: $L_k(u)^{ij}$ is the element in $i$–th row and $j$–th column of $L_k(u)$, and due to \[2.1\] $L_k(u)^{ij} = u \delta_{ij} + E_{ij}^{(k)}$. Note that leading coefficients in $u$ of $T_{ij}^k(u)$ can be determined by \[2.3\]:
\[
T_{ij}^k(u) = u^N \delta_{ij} + u^{N-1}E_{ij} + O(u^{N-2}), \tag{2.9}
\]
where $E_{ij}$ (without superscripts) are generators of the global $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ symmetry:
\[
E_{ij} = E_{ij}^{(1)} + E_{ij}^{(2)} + \cdots + E_{ij}^{(N)}. \tag{2.10}
\]
We shall use principal series representation of $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$, which has anti-holomorphic generators $\bar{E}_{ij}$ in addition to holomorphic $E_{ij}$. They satisfy the same relations as $E_{ij}$ and commute with them. One can define the anti-holomorphic $L$–operators $\bar{L}_k(\bar{u}) = \bar{u} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{ij} \bar{E}_{ji}$, which depend on the anti-holomorphic spectral parameter $\bar{u}$, and the monodromy matrix $\bar{T}(\bar{u})$ by

$$\bar{T}(\bar{u}) = \bar{L}_N(\bar{u} + \bar{\delta}_N)\bar{L}_{N-1}(\bar{u} + \bar{\delta}_{N-1}) \cdots \bar{L}_2(\bar{u} + \bar{\delta}_2)\bar{L}_1(\bar{u} + \bar{\delta}_1).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

From now on in most part of the paper we will omit formulas concerning anti-holomorphic sector since they are one-to-one with formulas for the holomorphic part.

Commuting hamiltonians of the model are expressed in terms of quantum minors $T_{j_1\cdots j_m}^{i_1\cdots i_m}(u)$ of matrix $T(u)$ (see [30][31] for details):

$$T_{j_1\cdots j_m}^{i_1\cdots i_m}(u) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (\text{sign } \sigma) T_{\sigma(j_1)}^{i_1}(u)T_{\sigma(j_2)}^{i_2}(u-1) \cdots T_{\sigma(j_m)}^{i_m}(u-m+1),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

where $\sigma$ is the permutation of indices $j_1 \cdots j_m$ and sign $\sigma$ is its sign. By the defining relation (2.6) of the Yangian, (2.11) can be brought to another form

$$T_{j_1\cdots j_m}^{i_1\cdots i_m}(u) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (\text{sign } \sigma) T_{j_1}^{\sigma(i_1)}(u-m+1) \cdots T_{j_m}^{\sigma(i_m)}(u-1)T_{j_1\cdots j_m}^{\sigma(i_1)\cdots \sigma(i_m)}(u).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.12)

Quantum minors are antisymmetric under the permutations of lower and upper indices:

$$T_{j_1\cdots j_s\cdots j_r\cdots j_m}^{i_1\cdots i_r\cdots i_m}(u) = -T_{j_1\cdots j_s\cdots j_r\cdots j_m}^{i_1\cdots i_r\cdots i_m}(u) = -T_{j_1\cdots j_r\cdots j_s\cdots j_m}^{i_1\cdots i_m}(u).$$

Quantum determinant

$$d(u) = T_{1\cdots n}^{1\cdots n}(u)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.13)

commutes with all $T_j^i(u)$ and hence is constant on the whole representation space $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N$.

The set of commuting hamiltonians of the $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ spin magnet is generated by: the set of quantum minors

$$t_k(u) = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k} T_{i_1\cdots i_k}^{1\cdots k}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n-1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.14)

elements $E_{ii}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ of Cartan subalgebra of the global $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ algebra (2.9), and by their antiholomorphic counterparts $\bar{t}_k(\bar{u})$ and $\bar{E}_{kk}$. In the present paper we will consider only particular cases $n = 2$ and $n = 3$.

For $n = 2$ we will use more customary notations for the generators of the algebra

$$L(u) = \begin{pmatrix} u + E_{11} & E_{21} \\ E_{12} & u + E_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u + S_3 & S_- \\ S_+ & u - S_3 \end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.15)

Generating function of the commuting operators is the transfer-matrix

$$t(u) \equiv t_1(u) = T_1^1(u) + T_2^2(u).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.16)

It is polynomial in spectral parameter of degree $N$

$$t(u) = u^N + \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} t^{(k)} u^k,$$
and coefficients $t^{(k)}$ commute due to $[t(u), t(v)] = 0$. To form the complete set of $N$ integrals of motion we add to $(N - 1)$ operators $t^{(k)}$ a global operator $S_3 = S_3^{(1)} + S_3^{(2)} + \ldots + S_3^{(N)}$.

For $n = 3$ family (2.14) consists of transfer matrix

$$t_1(u) = T_1^1(u) + T_3^2(u) + T_3^3(u),$$

which gives rise to $(N - 1)$ integrals of motion, and operator

$$t_2(u) = T_{12}^2(u) + T_{22}^2(u) + T_{13}^3(u),$$

which has $(2N - 1)$ independent integrals of motion in its decomposition. To complete the set of $3N$ commuting operators we add the global generators $E_{11}$ and $E_{22}$.

### 3 Unitary series representations of the $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ group

#### 3.1 General concepts

In this section we describe the construction of principal series unitary representations of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$. In generic situation these infinite-dimensional representations are irreducible, but at some special values of parameters appears finite-dimensional invariant subspace and the representation becomes reducible. In the rest of the paper we will consider only infinite dimensional unitary representations.

Consider two subgroups of $GL(n)$: group $Z$ of the lower-triangular complex matrices of the $n$-th order, and the group $H$ of upper-triangular complex matrices

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ z_{21} & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{n1} & z_{n2} & \ldots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in Z, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & \ldots & h_{1n} \\ 0 & h_{22} & \ldots & h_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & h_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \in H. \quad (3.1)$$

For almost all $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ matrices there exists a Gauss decomposition: matrix $a \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ can be presented uniquely as $a = z h$.

The representation space for operators $T(g)$ is the space of functions $\Phi(z)$, where $z \in Z$, i.e. $\Phi(z)$ is a function of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ variables: $\Phi(z) = \Phi(z_{21}, z_{31}, \ldots, z_{n,n-1})$. These functions are not assumed to be holomorphic and they also depends on the conjugate variables $\bar{z}_{21}, \bar{z}_{31}, \ldots, \bar{z}_{n,n-1}$. To make formulas more comprehensible we will specify only the holomorphic part of the variables. Action of operator $T(g)$ on function $\Phi(z)$ is defined by

$$T(g) \Phi(z) = [h_{11}]^{\sigma_1 + 1}[h_{22}]^{\sigma_2 + 2} \ldots [h_{nn}]^{\sigma_n + n} \Phi(\bar{z}),$$

where $\bar{z}$ and $\bar{h}$ are defined by the Gauss decomposition of the matrix $g^{-1}z \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ : $g^{-1}z = \bar{z} \bar{h}$. For the sake of simplicity we will use the compact notation

$$[h]^\sigma = h^\sigma \bar{h}^\overline{\sigma},$$

where $\bar{h}$ is complex conjugate of $h$, and complex numbers (representation parameters) $\sigma$ and $\overline{\sigma}$ differs only by an integer: $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$. The last conditions provides that function $[h]^\sigma$ is single-valued.

For the group $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ we have $\det h = 1$, and (3.2) becomes:

$$T(g) \Phi(z) = [\Delta_1]^{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - 1}[\Delta_2]^{\sigma_2 - \sigma_3 - 1} \ldots [\Delta_{n-1}]^{\sigma_{n-1} - \sigma_n - 1} \cdot \Phi(\bar{z}).$$

where $\Delta_k$ is a minor of $h$, generated by first $k$ rows and columns. As one can see from (3.4), representation is purely determined by differences $\sigma_i - \sigma_{i+1}$ (together with $\bar{\sigma}_i - \bar{\sigma}_{i+1}$). But it is convenient to use the
symmetric parametrization \( \sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) \) of the representation \( T^\sigma \) of \( SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \), imposing additional constraint
\[
\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) \ ; \ \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \ldots + \sigma_n = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} .
\] (3.5)
The scalar product in representation space is defined by
\[
\langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_2 \rangle = \int \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} d^2z_{ik} \Phi_1^*(z_1) \Phi_2(z) ,
\] (3.6)
where \( d^2z = dx dy \) for \( z = x + iy \) and the requirement of unitarity of the operator \( T^\sigma(g) \)
\[
\langle T^\sigma \Phi_1 | T^\sigma \Phi_2 \rangle = \langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_2 \rangle
\]
leads to the restriction on parameters
\[
\sigma_k - \sigma_{k+1} = \bar{\sigma}_k - \bar{\sigma}_{k+1} \ ; \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 .
\] (3.7)
Combining it with \( \bar{\sigma}_k - \sigma_k \in \mathbb{Z} \) we arrive at the following parametrization for the unitary representations:
\[
\sigma_k - \sigma_{k+1} = -\frac{n_k}{2} + i\lambda_k , \quad \bar{\sigma}_k - \bar{\sigma}_{k+1} = \frac{n_k}{2} + i\lambda_k , \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 .
\] (3.8)
where \( n_k \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R} \). Representation \( T^\sigma \) is irreducible; two representations \( T^\sigma \) and \( T^\sigma' \) are unitary equivalent if and only if \( [32, 34] \) there is a permutation \( s \), which transform the set \( \sigma_k \) to \( \sigma'_k \): \( s \sigma = \sigma' \).
Intertwining operator \( S \)
\[
T^\sigma S = ST^\sigma ,
\]
realizes unitary equivalence of representations and depends on permutation \( s \). All intertwining operators can be constructed from \( (n - 1) \) basis operators \( S_i \) which intertwine representations \( T^\sigma \) and \( T^{s_i \sigma} \):
\[
T^\sigma S_i = S_i T^{s_i \sigma} ,
\]
where \( s_i \sigma \) differs from \( \sigma \) by the transposition of two adjacent parameters:
\[
s_i (\ldots, \sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}, \ldots) = (\ldots, \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_i, \ldots)
\]
and the same for \( \bar{\sigma} \)-parameters: \( s_i (\ldots, \bar{\sigma}_i, \bar{\sigma}_{i+1}, \ldots) = (\ldots, \bar{\sigma}_{i+1}, \bar{\sigma}_i, \ldots) \). These intertwining operators are well known \( [32, 34] \) and we shall use the following convenient explicit expressions for them \( [36] \)
\[
S_i(\sigma)\Phi(z) = A(\sigma_{i+1} - \sigma_i) \int d^2w |w|^{-1-\sigma_{i+1}+\sigma_i} \Phi \left( z \left( 1 - w e_{i+1,i} \right) \right) ,
\] (3.9)
\[
A(\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} , \quad (e_{ik})_{nm} = \delta_{in} \delta_{km}
\]
Generators of the corresponding Lie algebra can be calculated in a usual way: for an infinitesimal group element \( g = 1 + \varepsilon e_{ij} \) its representation \( T^\sigma(g) \) produces the action of the generator \( E_{ik} \) on the function of the representation space:
\[
T(g) \Phi(z) = \Phi(z) + (\varepsilon E_{ik} + \bar{\varepsilon} \bar{E}_{ik}) \Phi(z) + O(\varepsilon^2) .
\] (3.10)
They obey the commutation relations \( [22] \) as far as \( e_{ij} \) do. Generators \( E_{ij} \) are differential operators of the first order by construction. Their explicit form for \( n = 2 \) and \( n = 3 \) will be given in next section.
where \( \Phi(3.16) \) of functions of three complex variables: \( \Phi(z) = \Phi(x, y, z) \) with scalar product \( \langle 3.5, 0 \rangle \), i.e. \( L_2(\mathbb{C}) \). We omit dependence on antiholomorphic variables to simplify notations, as it was explained earlier.

For the group \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) we have

\[
T^{\sigma_1}(g) \Phi(x) = (d - bx)^{2(\sigma_1 - 1)} \Phi \left( \frac{-c + ax}{d - bx} \right),
\]

where we have used \( \langle 3.3, 5 \rangle \), \( \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = 1 \). Hence its representations are labeled by the only parameter \( \sigma_1 \).

Generators of the group can be computed by using \( \langle 3.10 \rangle \):

\[
S_+ = x^2 \partial_x - 2(\sigma_1 - 1)x; \quad S_3 = x \partial_x - (\sigma_1 - 1); \quad S_- = -\partial_x,
\]

where \( \partial_x \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \) and operator \( L(u) \) is then

\[
L(u) = \begin{pmatrix}
    x \partial_x + u_1 + 1 \\
    x(x \partial_x + u_1 - u_2 + 1) \\
    u_2 - x \partial_x
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
    1 & 0 & -\partial_x \\
    u_1 & 0 & u_2 \\
    -x & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(3.13)

Note that it is useful to introduce new parameters \( u_1 = u - \sigma_1, \quad u_2 = u + \sigma_1 - 1 = u - \sigma_2 \) instead of \( u \) and \( \sigma_1 \) and we shall use the following uniform notation for the parameters in L-operator

\[
L(u) = L \begin{pmatrix}
    u_1 \\
    u_2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(3.14)

Equivalence of \( GL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) representations \( T^{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)} \) and \( T^{(\sigma_2, \sigma_1)} \) leads to equivalence of \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) representations \( T^{(\sigma_1)} \) and \( T^{(1 - \sigma_1)} \). In terms of our new parameters this means that corresponding intertwining operator \( S_1 = S_1(u) \) \( \langle 3.3 \rangle \) interchanges \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \):

\[
S_1(u) \Phi(x) = A(u_1 - u_2) \int d^2w [w]^{-1 - u_1 + u_2} \Phi(x - w)
\]

(3.16)

For the group \( GL(3, \mathbb{C}) \), matrix \( z \) has three nontrivial entries and we shall denote the matrix elements of \( z \) by \( x, y, z : z = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 & 0 \\ y & z & 1 \end{pmatrix} \). Representation is defined on space \( L_2(\mathbb{C}^3) \) of functions of three complex variables: \( \Phi(z) = \Phi(x, y, z) \) with scalar product \( \langle 3.5, 0 \rangle \). Explicit form of \( \langle 3.3 \rangle \) becomes rather cumbersome and is not presented here. However, we will extensively use corresponding L-operator and the following uniform notation for the parameters in L-operator

\[
L(u) = L \begin{pmatrix}
    u_1 \\
    u_2 \\
    u_3
\end{pmatrix} ; \quad u_1 = u - \sigma_1, \quad u_2 = u - \sigma_2, \quad u_3 = u - \sigma_3,
\]

(3.17)

where \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \) is the set of representation parameters

\[
L(u) = \begin{pmatrix}
    u_1 + 2x \partial_x + y \partial_y \\
    -\partial_x \\
    -\partial_y
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
    u_1 + 2x \partial_x + y \partial_y \\
    u_2 + 1 - x \partial_x + z \partial_z \\
    -y \partial_y + z \partial_z \\
    u_3 - y \partial_y - z \partial_z
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(3.18)

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
    1 & 0 & 0 \\
    x & 1 & 0 \\
    y & z & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    u_1 & -\partial_x - z \partial_y & -\partial_y \\
    u_2 & -\partial_z & -x & 1 & 0 \\
    u_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(3.13)
Intertwining operators $S_1, S_2$ satisfy the defining relations

$$L\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix} S_1(u) = S_1(u) L\begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ u_1 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix} ; \quad L\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix} S_2(u) = S_2(u) L\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.19}$$

and have the following explicit form

$$S_1(u)\Phi(x, y, z) = A(u_1 - u_2) \int d^2w \Phi(w)^{-1-\bar{u}_1+u_2} \Phi(x - w, y - zw, z) , \tag{3.20}$$

$$S_2(u)\Phi(x, y, z) = A(u_2 - u_3) \int d^2w \Phi(w)^{-1-\bar{u}_2+u_3} \Phi(x, y, z - w) . \tag{3.21}$$

4 \ SOV for the quantum $SL(2)$ and $SL(3)$ models

In this section we review the general idea of separation of variables for the quantum integrable systems suggested by E.K. Sklyanin [4–8] and its application to models related to Yangians $Y[sl(2)]$ and $Y[sl(3)]$ [7].

4.1 $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ magnet

Consider the magnet with $N$ sites, where in each site $L-$operator is given by (2.15), and spin operators at $k-$th site are realized as differential operators (3.12) with respect to variable $x_k$. Then the state of the magnet is determined by square-integrable function $\Phi(x) \equiv \Phi(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N)$.

The set of commuting hamiltonians is generated by the coefficients of the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic transfer matrices (2.16) and operators of the total spin $S_3, \bar{S}_3$. The problem under consideration is to find their common eigenfunctions and corresponding eigenvalues:

$$t(u)\Phi(x) = \tau(u)\Phi(x); \quad S_3\Phi(x) = s_3\Phi(x), \quad \bar{S}_3\Phi(x) = \bar{s}_3\Phi(x), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\tau(u), \bar{\tau}(\bar{u})$ are polynomials with complex coefficients, and $s_3, \bar{s}_3 \in \mathbb{C}$.

This problem allows separation of variables if there exists the representation, defined by eigenvalues $q_i$ of some set of operators $\hat{q}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ in which the eigenfunction $\Phi(q_1, \ldots, q_N)$ factorizes into the product of one-variable functions. In what follows this representation will be called $q-$representation, in contrast to the original $x-$representation.

The construction of such operators $\hat{q}_i$ for models related to the Yangian $Y[sl(2)]$ was presented in [4] and it was adapted to the case of principal series representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ in [26].

Following these papers, we introduce eigenfunctions $\Psi_p(q|x)$ of operators

$$B(u) = T^1_\pm(u); \quad \bar{B}(\bar{u}) = \bar{T}^1_\pm(\bar{u}), \tag{4.3}$$

which are polynomials of the spectral parameter $u$ ($\bar{u}$ respectively) of degree $N - 1$. They are parameterized by roots $q_i$ of their eigenvalues:

$$B(u)\Psi_p(q|x) = -ip(u - q_1)\ldots(u - q_{N-1})\Psi_p(q|x); \tag{4.4}$$

$$\bar{B}(\bar{u})\Psi_p(q|x) = -ip(\bar{u} - \bar{q}_1)\ldots(\bar{u} - \bar{q}_{N-1})\Psi_p(q|x). \tag{4.5}$$

The main achievement of [4][26] is the proof of the fact that $\Psi_p(q|x)$ performs the transformation to the representation of separated variables, i.e. $\hat{q}_i$ are “operator zeroes” of $B(u)$:

$$B(u) = S_-(u - \hat{q}_1)\ldots(u - \hat{q}_{N-1}).$$
The set of “coordinates” in $q$–representation consists of $p, \bar{p}$, and $q = (q_1, \bar{q}_1, \ldots q_{N-1}, \bar{q}_{N-1})$. It will be shown that function $\Psi_p(q|x)$ is well-defined only if they satisfy conditions similar to (3.7):

$$q_k = \frac{m_k}{2} + i\nu_k, \quad \bar{q}_k = -\frac{m_k}{2} + i\nu_k, \quad m_k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \nu_k \in \mathbb{R}.$$  

(4.6)

Note that by (2.3) $p$ is the eigenvalue of global generator $S_- : S_-\Psi_p(q|x) = -ip\Psi_p(q|x)$.

Here we assume that the spectrum of $B, \bar{B}$ is non-degenerate and $\Psi_p(q|x)$ form a complete orthogonal set on the Hilbert space of the model; there is no exact proof of these statements, but it is strongly believed that they are fulfilled for the wide class of representations. Orthogonality and completeness relations read

$$\int d^{2N}x \Psi_p(q|x)\Psi'_p(q'|x) = \mu^{-1}(p, q) \delta^2(\bar{p} - \bar{p}') \delta_{N-1}(q - q');$$  

(4.7)

$$\int d^2 p \int D_{N-1}q \mu(p, q) \Psi_p(q|x)\Psi_p(q|x') = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \delta^2(\bar{x}_i - \bar{x}'_i),$$  

(4.8)

where delta-functions and integration measures should be understood as follows. In $x$–representation integration with measure $d^2Nx = \prod_{i=1}^{N} d^2x_i$ is by definition the scalar product (3.6), and $\delta^2(\bar{x} - \bar{x}') = \delta(x - x')\delta(\bar{x} - \bar{x}')$. Integration in $q$–representation due to (4.6) is understood as

$$\int D_{N-1}q = \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left( \sum_{m_k = -\infty}^{\infty} \int d\nu_k \right)$$

and delta-function in $q$–representation is defined as symmetrized expression

$$\delta_N(q - q') = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{s \in S_N} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \delta^{(2)}(q_k - q''(k)),$$  

(4.9)

where the sum goes over all permutations of $N$ elements, and

$$\delta^{(2)}(q - q') \equiv \delta_{mm'}\delta(\nu - \nu').$$  

(4.10)

Integration over $\bar{p} = (p_1, p_2)$, where $p = p_1 + ip_2, \bar{p} = p_1 - ip_2$, goes over the whole complex plane, and $d^2p = dp_1 dp_2$; corresponding delta-function $\delta^2(\bar{p} - \bar{p}') = \delta(p - p')\delta(\bar{p} - \bar{p}')$.

In this notations transition from one representation to another has the following form:

$$\Phi(x) = \int d^2 p \int D_{N-1}q \mu(p, q) \Psi_p(q|x)\Phi(p, q),$$  

(4.11)

$$\Phi(p, q) = \int d^{2N}x \Psi_p(q|x)\Phi(x),$$  

(4.12)

The weight function $\mu(p, q)$ is the Sklyanin measure and here we do not need its explicit form [26]. To prove the fact that in $q$–representation the eigenfunction of hamiltonians $\Phi$ factorizes

$$\Phi(p, q) = \phi_0(p)\phi_1(q_1)\ldots\phi_{N-1}(q_{N-1}),$$  

(4.13)

we need the following three relations connecting $B(u)$ and operator $A(u) = T^1_1(u)$.

**Proposition 1**

$$[B(u), B(v)] = 0,$$  

(4.14)

$$(u - v + 1)A(u)B(v) = (u - v)B(v)A(u) + A(v)B(u),$$  

(4.15)

$$A(u + 1)A(u) - t(u + 1)A(u) + d(u + 1) = -T_1^2(u + 1)B(u).$$  

(4.16)
Similar formulas hold for antiholomorphic operators as well. Relations \((4.14)\) and \((4.15)\) are particular cases of commutation relations \((2.6)\) and \((4.16)\) follows from one of the forms of quantum determinant \((2.13)\):

\[
d(u) = T_2^2(u)T_1^1(u - 1) - T_1^2(u)T_2^1(u - 1),
\]

which is a constant on the whole representation space.

Due to \((4.14)\) coefficients \(b_k\) in decomposition of \(B(u)\), \(B(u) = \sum b_k u^k\) form a commuting family of operators, \([b_k, b_m] = 0\). Applying the rhs and lhs of \((4.15)\) to the function \(\Psi_p(q|x)\) and taking \(u = q_i\), we get

\[
B(v)A(q_i)\Psi_p(q|x) = -i p(v - q_1) \cdots (v - q_i - 1) \cdots (v - q_{N-1})A(q_i)\Psi_p(q|x).
\]

Moreover, \(\bar{B}(\bar{v})A(u) = A(u)\bar{B}(\bar{v})\), and hence

\[
\bar{B}(\bar{v})A(q_i)\Psi_p(q|x) = -i p(\bar{v} - \bar{q}_1) \cdots (\bar{v} - \bar{q}_i) \cdots (\bar{v} - \bar{q}_{N-1})A(q_i)\Psi_p(q|x).
\]

From \((4.17)\) and \((4.18)\) follows that \(A(q_i)\Psi_p(q|x)\) is proportional to \(\Psi_p(E_i^+ q|x)\), where \(E_i^+ q\) stands for the set \(q\) with element \(q_i\) shifted by +1 and other elements unchanged: i.e if

\[
q = (q_1, \ldots, q_i, \ldots, q_N; \bar{q}_1, \ldots, \bar{q}_N),
\]

then

\[
E_i^+ q = (q_1, \ldots, q_i + 1, \ldots, q_N; \bar{q}_1, \ldots, \bar{q}_N).
\]

In analogous way one can show that \(\bar{A}(\bar{q}_i)\Psi_p(q|x) \sim \Psi_p(E_i^+ \bar{q}|x)\), where the set

\[
E_i^+ \bar{q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_N; \bar{q}_1, \ldots, \bar{q}_i + 1, \ldots, \bar{q}_N).
\]

By the choice of normalization for \(\Psi\) we can make proportionality coefficient equal to unity, i.e.

\[
A(q_i)\Psi_p(q|x) = \Psi_p(E_i^+ q|x), \quad \bar{A}(q_i)\Psi_p(q|x) = \Psi_p(E_i^+ \bar{q}|x).
\]

Now let us show that \((4.16)\) leads to separated equations for the function \(\Psi\). Applying both sides of it to \(\Psi_p(q|x)\) and putting \(u = q_i\) with the help of \((4.19)\) we get

\[
\Psi_p(E_i^+ q|x) - \tau(q_i + 1)\Psi_p(E_i^+ \bar{q}|x) + d(q_i + 1)\Psi_p(q|x) = 0
\]

(rhs is zero due to \((4.4)\)). Multiplying \((4.20)\) by \(\bar{\Phi}(x)\) and integrating over \(x\), with the use of \((4.12)\) we obtain equation for conjugated function \(\Phi(p, q)\):

\[
\bar{\Phi}(p, E_i^+ q) - \tau(q_i + 1)\Phi(p, E_i^+ \bar{q}) + d(q_i + 1)\Phi(p, q) = 0, \quad \forall k = 1, \ldots, N - 1,
\]

where \(\tau(q_i + 1)\) is the eigenvalue of the transfer-matrix \(t(u)\) at \(u = q_i + 1\). This set of equations can be solved by the ansatz \(\Phi(p, q) = \phi_0(p)\phi_1(q_1) \cdots \phi_{N-1}(q_{N-1})\), which leads to separated equations

\[
\phi_k(q_k + 2) - \tau(q_k + 1)\phi_k(q_k + 1) + d(q_k + 1)\phi_k(q_k) = 0.
\]

Now let us write the equation for the function \(\phi_0(p)\). Expanding \((4.15)\) in powers of \(u\) one gets

\[
[S_3, B(v)] = -B(v),
\]

from which it follows that

\[
\lambda S_3 B(u)\lambda^{-S_3} = \lambda^{-1}B(u),
\]

where \(\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\), and \(S_3\) is understood as the formal power series in \(\lambda\). Applying the last operator equation to the function \(\lambda S_3\Psi_p(q|x)\) we see that \(\lambda S_3\Psi_p(q|x) \sim \Psi_{\lambda p}(q|x)\), i.e. global transformations of the form
$\lambda^{S_3}$ generate the scaling of the parameter $p$. From the fact that these transformations form a one parameter group, one derives that

$$
\lambda^{S_3} \Psi_p(q|x) = \lambda^m \Psi_{\lambda p}(q|x),
$$

where parameter $m$ is determined by $p$–dependent part of the normalization coefficient of $\Psi_p(q|x)$. The change of normalization $\Psi_p(q|x) \rightarrow p^k \Psi_p(q|x)$ changes $m \rightarrow m + k$ and measure $\mu(p,q) \rightarrow [p]^{-k}\mu(p,q)$, but does not affect other properties of eigenfunction including \((4.19)\).

For infinitesimal transformations $\lambda = 1 + \epsilon$ one has

$$
S_3 \Psi_p(q|x) = (\rho \partial \rho + m) \Psi_p(q|x).
$$

It allows us to derive the equation for the function $\phi_0(p)$:

$$
s_3 \phi_0(p) = (\rho \partial \rho + m) \phi_0(p).
$$

### 4.2 $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ magnet

Similar to $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ case, operator that performs the transfer to representation of separated variables ($q$–representation) is generated by eigenfunctions of the certain operator $B(u)$, and there exists an operator $A(u)$ that acts as a shift operator on these functions (see \((4.19)\)). But expressions for $A(u)$ and $B(u)$ now are more involved. Namely,

$$
B(u) = T_3^2(u)T_{23}^{12}(u+1) + T_3^2(u)T_{13}^{12}(u+1),
$$

$$
A(u) = T_{13}^{12}(u+1)\left( T_3^2(u+1)\right)^{-1},
$$

We deal with the complex algebra $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ and, as usual, define anti-holomorphic operators $\bar{B}(\bar{u})$ and $\bar{A}(\bar{u})$ in terms of $T_3^2(u)$ by \((4.28)-(4.29)\).

Using defining relations of the Yangian together with commutators of matrix elements and minors, it was shown in \([7]\) that $A(u)$ and $B(u)$ have properties analogous to \((4.13)-(4.16)\) of previous section.

**Proposition 2.**

$$
[B(u), B(v)] = 0, \quad [A(u), A(v)] = 0,
$$

$$
(u + v - 1)A(u)B(v) - (u - v)B(v)A(u) = (T_3^2(u + 1))^{-1} T_3^2(v) (T_3^2(u))^{-1} T_3^2(v + 1) A(u) B(u),
$$

$$
A(u + 1)A(u + 1)A(u) + t_1(u + 2)A(u + 1)A(u) + t_2(u + 2)A(u) + d(u + 2) = (T_3^2(u + 2)T_{13}^{23}(u + 2) - T_3^2(u + 2)T_{13}^{12}(u + 2)) (T_3^2(u)T_3^2(u + 1)T_3^2(u + 2))^{-1} B(u).
$$

The last equation involves two transfer matrices $t_1(u)$ and $t_2(u)$ given by \((2.17)-(2.18)\), and quantum determinant $d(u)$. The proof of \((4.30)-(4.32)\) is much more complicated in comparison to $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ case. We refer reader to the original paper \([7]\) for details.\(^3\)

It follows from \((4.28)\) that $B(u)$ is the polynomial on $u$ of degree $3N - 3$ and its eigenfunctions are characterized by $3N - 2$ parameters $p, q_i$:

$$
B(u) \Psi = ip \prod_{i=1}^{3N-3} (u - q_i) \Psi.
$$

\(^3\)Equations \((4.31)\) and \((4.32)\) differs from those presented in \([7]\) since we use different rule for defining operator-valued functions. See \([7]\) for details.
The same holds for its anti-holomorphic counterpart \( \tilde{B}(\tilde{u}) : \tilde{B}(\tilde{u}) \Psi = i\bar{p} \prod_{i=1}^{3N-3} (\tilde{u} - \tilde{q}_i) \Psi \) with anti-holomorphic parameters \( \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}_i \).

Coefficients of \( B(u) \), \( B(\tilde{u}) \) form a commutative family of \( 6N - 4 \) operators, while the quantum system has \( 6N \) degrees of freedom. To have the complete set of commuting operators, we have to add two more operators both in holomorphic and antiholomorphic sector. We choose them to be generators of global \( sl(3, \mathbb{C}) \) algebra \( E_{32}, E_{31} \) (see [23]) and \( E_{32}, E_{31} \). One can check that they commute with \( B(u) \), \( B(\tilde{u}) \) and among themselves. So, variables in \( x \)–representation are \( x_i, y_i, z_i \) \( (i = 1, \ldots, N) \), or, in short \( x, y, z \), where \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \) etc. together with their antiholomorphic counterparts \( \tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i \). In \( q \)–representation variables are \( p_1, p_2, p, q \), where \( q = (q_1, \ldots, q_{3N-3}) \) and their antiholomorphic counterparts \( (p_1 \text{ and } p_2) \) are eigenvalues of global generators \( E_{32}, E_{31} \). All eigenfunction will be well-defined if \( q_i \) are of the form \([4,3]\). Eigenfunctions under consideration will be denoted by \( \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z) \). They satisfy the set of equations

\[
B(u)\Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z) = i\bar{p} \prod_{i=1}^{3N-3} (u - q_i) \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z)
\]

\[
E_{31}\Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z) = -ip_1\Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z)
\]

\[
E_{32}\Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z) = -ip_2\Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z),
\]

and similar equations for the antiholomorphic part. Integral transformations that bring the function \( \Phi \) from \( x \)– to \( q \)–representation and back read (cf. \([4.11\text{ and }4.12\]):

\[
\Phi(p_1, p_2, p, q) = \int d^{2N}x d^{2N}y d^{2N}z \Phi(x, y, z) \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z); \tag{4.34}
\]

\[
\Phi(x, y, z) = \int d^2p_1 d^2p_2 d^2p_1 \Phi(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i) \Phi(p_1, p_2, p, q) \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z), \tag{4.35}
\]

where the integration in \( q \)–representation due to \([4.6]\) is understood as

\[
\int \mathcal{D}_{3N-3}q = \prod_{k=1}^{3N-3} \left( \sum_{m_k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int dq_k \right) \tag{4.36}
\]

Function \( \mu(p_1, p_2, p, q) \) originates from the normalization condition for eigenfunctions:

\[
\int d^{2N}x d^{2N}y d^{2N}z \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q'|x, y, z) \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z) =
\]

\[
= \mu^{-1}(p_1, p_2, p, q) \delta^2(\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_1') \delta^2(\vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_2') \delta^2(\vec{p} - \vec{p'}) \delta_{3N-3}(q - q'). \tag{4.36}
\]

with symmetrized delta function \( \delta_{3N-3}(q - q') \) of the form \([4.9]\).

In full analogy to \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) case, \([4.31]\) implies that operator \( A(u) \) is a shift operator for \( \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z) \) :

\[
A(q_i)\Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z) = \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(E_i^+ q|x, y, z), \quad i = 1, \ldots, 3N - 3. \tag{4.37}
\]

Now consider \( \Phi \) – eigenfunction of hamiltonians:

\[
t_1(u)\Phi = \tau_1(u)\Phi; \quad t_2(u)\Phi = \tau_2(u)\Phi. \tag{4.38}
\]

Applying both sides of \([4.32]\) to \( \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x, y, z) \), integrating with \( \Phi(x, y, z) \) over \( x, y, z \) we arrive at the equation for \( \Phi \) in \( q \)–representation

\[
\Phi(p_1, p_2, p, E_i^+ q) + t_1(q_i + 2)\Phi(p_1, p_2, p, E_i^+ q) +
\]

\[
\quad + t_2(q_i + 2)\Phi(p_1, p_2, p, E_i^+ q) + d(q_i + 2)\Phi(p_1, p_2, p, q) = 0. \tag{4.39}
\]
It can be reduced to the set of one-dimensional equations

$$\varphi(q_i + 3) + t_1(q_i + 2)\varphi(q_i + 2) + t_2(q_i + 2)\varphi(q_i + 1) + d(q_i + 2)\varphi(q_i) = 0, \quad (4.40)$$

if we take the ansatz

$$\tilde{\Phi}(p_1, p_2, p, q) = \varphi_{11}(p_1)\varphi_{22}(p_2)\varphi_0(p)\varphi_1(q_1)\cdots\varphi_{3N-3}(q_{3N-3}).$$

Separated equations for $\phi_{11}(p_1)$, $\phi_{22}(p_2)$ follow from

$$\lambda^{E_{11}}E_{31}\lambda^{-E_{11}} = \lambda^{-1}E_{31}; \quad \lambda^{E_{22}}E_{32}\lambda^{-E_{22}} = \lambda^{-1}E_{32} \quad (4.41)$$

and read

$$e_{11} \phi_{11}(p_1) = (p_1\partial_{p_1} + m_1) \phi_{11}(p_1); \quad (4.42)$$
$$e_{22} \phi_{22}(p_2) = (p_2\partial_{p_2} + m_2) \phi_{22}(p_2), \quad (4.43)$$

where $e_{11}$, $e_{22}$ are values of the integrals of motion $E_{11}$, $E_{22}$ on $\Phi$. Parameters $m_1, m_2$ are arbitrary and are defined by the $p_1$- and $p_2$-dependent part of normalization coefficient of $\Psi_{p1p2}(q|x, y, z)$.

## 5 Eigenfunctions of the operator $B(u)$ for the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ magnet.

### 5.1 Permutation of parameters in the monodromy matrix

In this section we will construct the manifest form of functions $\Psi_p(q|x)$ satisfying (4.3)-(4.5). Our construction heavily relies on the existence of the intertwining operator (3.15)-(3.16) which interchanges parameters $u_1$ and $u_2$ inside L-operator

$$L \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} S_1(u) = S_1(u) L \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and an operator $S$ which interchanges parameters $u_1 \leftrightarrow v_2$ in the product of two $L-$operators:

$$L_2 \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} L_1 \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} S = S L_2 \begin{pmatrix} v_2 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} L_1 \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.1)$$

In this formula $L-$operators have the same auxiliary space and different quantum spaces, i.e. matrix elements of $L_2(u_1, u_2)$ and $L_1(v_1, v_2)$ are differential operators with respect to variables $x_2$ and $x_1$ correspondingly. It can be shown [30] that $S$ is the operator of multiplication by the simple function

$$S(u_1 - v_2) = |x_2 - x_1|^{v_2-u_1} \quad (5.2)$$

We shall use the following uniform notation for the parameters in L-operator for the k-th site

$$L_k(u_k) = L_k \begin{pmatrix} u_{1k} \\ u_{2k} \end{pmatrix}; \quad u_{1k} = u - \sigma^{(k)}_1 + \delta_k; \quad u_{2k} = u - \sigma^{(k)}_2 + \delta_k, \quad (5.3)$$

where $(\sigma^{(k)}_1, \sigma^{(k)}_2)$ is the set of representation parameters in the k-th site. Elements of the monodromy matrix from site k to site n

$$T(U) = L_n(u_n)L_{n-1}(u_{n-1})\cdots L_{k+1}(u_{k+1})L_k(u_k), \quad (5.4)$$

depend on the set of parameters $u_i$, where $k \leq i \leq n$ and we combine all parameters in the matrix $U$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{1n-1} & \ldots & u_{1k+1} \\ u_{2n} & u_{2n-1} & \ldots & u_{2k+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.5)$$
As a result, we get \( B_{\alpha}(u) \) intertwining operators \((5.6), (5.8)\). It can be demonstrated by the following sequence of transformations:

\[
L_k \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{1k} \\ u_{2k} \end{array} \right) S_k(u_k) = S_k(u_k) L_k \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{2k} \\ u_{1k} \end{array} \right) \tag{5.6}
\]

Next we introduce the operators \( S \) for each pair of two adjacent sites. We will denote them \( S(u_{k+1}, u_k) \) and each of them interchanges parameters \( u_{1k+1} \rightleftharpoons u_{2k} \) inside the product of L-operators at two adjacent sites

\[
T \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{1k+1} \\ u_{2k+1} \end{array} \right) S(u_{k+1}, u_k) = S(u_{k+1}, u_k) T \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{2k+1} \\ u_{1k+1} \end{array} \right) \tag{5.7}
\]

\[
T \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{1k+1} \\ u_{2k+1} \end{array} \right) S(u_{k+1}, u_k) = S(u_{k+1}, u_k) T \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{2k} \\ u_{1k} \end{array} \right) \tag{5.8}
\]

The explicit formulae for these elementary intertwining operators are

\[
S_k(u_k) \Phi(x_k) = S_1(u_{1k} - u_{2k}) \Phi(x_k) = A(u_{1k} - u_{2k}) \int d^2w \left[ w \right]^{-1-u_{1k}+u_{2k}} \Phi(x_k - w) \tag{5.9}
\]

\[
S(u_{k+1}, u_k) = S(u_{2k} - u_{1k+1}) = [x_{k+1} - x_k]^{u_{2k} - u_{1k+1}} \tag{5.10}
\]

Clearly, \([S_k(u_k), L_i(u_i)] = 0\) for \( i \neq k \) and \([S(u_{k+1}, u_k), L_i(u_i)] = 0\) for \( i \neq k, k+1 \) so that for the complete monodromy matrix from the first site to the N-th site we obtain

\[
T \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{1N} \\ u_{2N} \\ \end{array} \right) S(u_k) = S(u_k) T \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{1N} \\ u_{2N} \\ \end{array} \right) \tag{5.11}
\]

\[
T \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{1N} \\ u_{2N} \end{array} \right) S(u_{k+1}, u_k) = S(u_{k+1}, u_k) T \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{2N} \\ u_{k+1} \end{array} \right) \tag{5.12}
\]

Hence, taking products of \( S_1(u_k) \) and \( S(u_{k+1}, u_k) \) with suitable arguments, we can construct operator which performs any permutation of elements in \( U \).

\subsection{5.2 Change of parameters in operator \( B(u) \)}

Let us find out parameter dependence of \( B(u) = T^1_2(u) \). Being matrix element of \( T(U) \), \( B(u) = B(U) \) with \( U \) defined for the complete monodromy matrix

\[
U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{12} & u_{11} \\ u_{22} & \cdots & u_{22} & u_{21} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.6}
\]

From \(3.13\) we see that first line of \( L(u) \) does not contain \( u_{22} \). By \(2.5\)

\[
B(u) = \sum_{a,b,c=1,2} L_N(u)^4_a L_{N-1}(u)^6_b \cdots L_1(u)^8_c \tag{5.14}
\]

hence \( u_{22} \) is not present in \( B(u) \) and we have

\[
B \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{11} \\ u_{22} \end{array} \right) = B \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{11} \\ u_{22} \end{array} \right) \tag{5.15}
\]

with arbitrary new parameter \( v \). This parameter can be transferred to any position in \( U \) with the use of intertwining operators \((5.6), (5.8)\). It can be demonstrated by the following sequence of transformations:

\[
B \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{11} \\ u_{22} \end{array} \right) S_1(u_{1N} - v) = B \left( \begin{array}{c} u_{11} \\ u_{22} \end{array} \right) S_1(u_{1N} - v) = = S_1(u_{1N} - v) B \left( \begin{array}{c} v \\ u_{22} \end{array} \right) = S_1(u_{1N} - v) B \left( \begin{array}{c} v \\ u_{22} \end{array} \right) \tag{5.16}
\]

As a result, we get \( B \left( \begin{array}{c} v \\ u_{22} \end{array} \right) \) with parameter matrix which element \((1N)\) is now arbitrary. This idea can be used to substitute any element (and any number of elements) of \( U \) by arbitrary parameter(s).
5.3 Eigenfunctions of \( B(u) \)

Consider operator \( W(U, V) \) which intertwines \( B(U) \) with \( B(V) \)

\[
B(U) W(U, V) = W(U, V) B(V),
\]

(5.17)

where

\[
U = \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} & u_{1N-1} & \cdots & u_{12} & u_{11} \\
  u_{2N} & u_{2N-1} & \cdots & u_{22} & u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} ;
V = \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} & u_{1N-1} & \cdots & u_{12} & u_{11} \\
  u_{21} & u_{2N-1} & \cdots & u_{22} & u_{21}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(5.18)

Note that due to (5.15) there is not any dependence on the parameters \( u_{2N} \) and \( u_{21} \), so that the operator \( W(U, V) \) effectively contains \((N-1)\) arbitrary parameters \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{N-1} \) and it can be constructed from the elementary intertwining operators in a many equivalent ways. We give a more or less canonical construction using operators \( R_{k+1} \) [29,35,36] each of them interchanges parameters \( u_{2k+1} \rightleftharpoons u_{2k} \) at two adjacent sites inside the product of L-operators

\[
T \left( \ldots \ u_{1k+1} \ u_{1k} \ \ldots \right) R_{k+1} \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1k+1} \\
  u_{2k}
\end{pmatrix} \right) = R_{k+1} \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1k+1} \\
  u_{2k}
\end{pmatrix} \right) T \left( \ldots \ u_{1k+1} \ u_{1k} \ \ldots \right)
\]

Note that the parameters in R-matrix mimic exactly parameters in the monodromy matrix in the right hand side of the considered relation. The chain of the elementary transpositions

\[
\left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1k+1} \\
  u_{2k}
\end{pmatrix} \right) \rightarrow \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1k} \\
  u_{2k+1}
\end{pmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{2k-1} \\
  u_{1k+1}
\end{pmatrix} \right) \rightarrow \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{2k-2} \\
  u_{1k+2}
\end{pmatrix} \right) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1k+1} \\
  u_{2k+1}
\end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

results in a needed permutations of parameters so that we obtain

\[
R_{k+1} \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1k+1} \\
  u_{2k}
\end{pmatrix} \right) = S_1(u_{1k+1} - u_{2k+1}) S(u_{2k} - u_{2k+1}) S_1(u_{2k} - u_{1k+1})
\]

(5.19)

The product of R-operators

\[
\Lambda_v \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{2N-1} \ldots \ u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right) = R_{NN-1} \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{2N-1} \\
  u_{12} \ldots \ u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right) R_{N-1N-2} \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{2N-2} \\
  u_{12} \ldots \ u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right) \cdots R_{21} \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{12} \\
  u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

(5.20)

and due to (5.15) it is equivalent to the following intertwining relation for B-operators

\[
B \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{2N} \ldots \ u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right) \Lambda_v \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{2N} \ldots \ u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right) = \Lambda_v \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{2N} \ldots \ u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right) B \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{2N} \ldots \ u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

(5.21)

The needed operator \( W(U, V) \) is constructed step by step

\[
W(U, V) = \Lambda_v \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{2N-1} \ldots \ u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right) \Lambda_v \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{2N-2} \ldots \ u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right) \cdots \Lambda_v \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{2N-2} \ldots \ u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right) R_{NN-1} \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

and intertwines the B-operators

\[
B \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{2N} \ldots \ u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right) W(U, V) = W(U, V) B \left( \begin{pmatrix}
  u_{1N} \\
  u_{2N} \ldots \ u_{21}
\end{pmatrix} \right).
\]
If \( \Psi_0(x) \) is eigenfunction of \( B(V) \)
\[
B(V)\Psi_0(x) = -ip(u - q_1) \cdots (u - q_{N-1})\Psi_0(x),
\]
then \( W(U, V)\Psi_0 \) is eigenfunction of \( B(U) \) with the same eigenvalues. Since \( V \) is arbitrary, it is sufficient to construct only one eigenfunction of \( B(V) \) and it will give rise to the family of eigenfunctions of \( B(U) \).

Let us consider such "particular" eigenfunction \( \Psi_0 = e^{ipxN} \).

Due to (3.13) we have
\[
T \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\ u_{21} & v_2 & v_1 \end{pmatrix} e^{ipxN} = e^{ipxN} \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} + 1 + ipxN & -ipxN \\ ipxN + (u_{21} - u_{11} - 1)xN & u_{21} - ipxN \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (u_{N-1} - u_{11} - 1)x_{N-1} & v_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} u_{1N-1} + 1 & 0 \\ (v_1 - u_{11} - 1)x_1 & v_1 \end{pmatrix}
\]
and therefore
\[
B(V)\Psi_0 = -ipv_1v_2 \cdots v_{N-1} \Psi_0.
\]

Hence, if we put \( v_k = u - q_k \) we arrive at desired form (5.22). Finally, eigenfunction \( \Psi_p(q|x) \) satisfying
\[
B(U)\Psi_p(q|x) = -ip(u - q_1) \cdots (u - q_{N-1})\Psi_p(q|x)
\]
has the form
\[
\Psi_p(q|x) = W(U, V) e^{ipxN},
\]
where \( v_k = u - q_k \) and \( W(U, V) \) is constructed in an explicit form. This formula presents known result for \( \Psi_p(q|x) \) [23][27] in a little bit different form. The idea of this construction can be implemented to the case of \( SL(3, \mathbb{C}) \) magnet.

6 Eigenfunctions of the operator \( B(u) \) for the \( SL(3, \mathbb{C}) \) magnet.

The initial expression for the operator \( B(u) \) can be rewritten in a useful matrix form
\[
B(u) = (T_3^1(u), T_3^2(u)) \begin{pmatrix} T_{13}^{12}(u + 1) \\ T_{23}^{12}(u + 1) \end{pmatrix} = (T_3^1(u), T_3^2(u)) \begin{pmatrix} T_1^1(u) & T_2^2(u) \\ T_1^2(u) & T_2^1(u) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{13}^{12}(u + 1) \\ T_{23}^{12}(u + 1) \end{pmatrix},
\]
where in the last line we use relation
\[
\begin{pmatrix} T_{13}^{12}(u + 1) \\ T_{23}^{12}(u + 1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_1^1(u) & T_2^2(u) \\ T_1^2(u) & T_2^1(u) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{13}^{12}(u + 1) \\ T_{23}^{12}(u + 1) \end{pmatrix}
\]
which is simply matrix form of the expression for the quantum minors
\[
T_{13}^{12}(u + 1) = T_1^1(u)T_3^2(u + 1) - T_2^2(u)T_3^1(u + 1),
\]
\[
T_{23}^{12}(u + 1) = T_1^2(u)T_3^1(u + 1) - T_2^1(u)T_3^2(u + 1).
\]

\(^4\)We remind that this function \( e^{-ipxN+iipxN} \) also contains antiholomorphic part, but it is not shown to simplify formulas.
6.1 Example: $N = 1$.

To start with let us consider the simplest example of the one site $N = 1$ when the monodromy matrix coincides with the L-operator: $T^j_2(u) = L^j_1(u)$. Substitution of the explicit matrix elements for the L-operator \( (3.18) \) gives

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
L^1_{12}(u + 1) \\
L^1_{23}(u + 1)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{u_1 + 2 + x \partial_x + y \partial_y}{-\partial_x} & \frac{y \partial_x + u_1 - u_2 + 1}{x \partial_x + y \partial_y - z \partial_y + u_1 - u_2 + 1 - x \partial_x + z \partial_x} \\
\frac{-\partial_x - x \partial_y}{\partial_y}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(6.5)

and expression for the operator $B(u)$ explicitly reads

\[
B(u) = - (\partial_y, \partial_z + x \partial_y) \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{u_1 + 2 + x \partial_x + y \partial_y}{-\partial_x} & \frac{y \partial_x + u_1 - u_2 + 1}{x \partial_x + y \partial_y - z \partial_y + u_1 - u_2 + 1 - x \partial_x + z \partial_x} \\
\frac{-\partial_x - x \partial_y}{\partial_y}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Let us search for the eigenfunction of $B(u)$ of the form

\[
\Psi = e^{i p_1 (y - x z) + i p_2 x} \varphi(x),
\]

(6.6)

where $\varphi$ is yet undefined function, and $p_{1,2}$ are parameters. The direct calculation gives

\[
B(u) \Psi = -e^{i p_1 (y - x z) + i p_2 x} (p_1, p_2) \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{u_1 + 2 + x \partial_x + y \partial_y}{-\partial_x} & \frac{y \partial_x + u_1 - u_2 + 1}{x \partial_x + y \partial_y - z \partial_y + u_1 - u_2 + 1 - x \partial_x + z \partial_x} \\
\frac{-\partial_x - x \partial_y}{\partial_y}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_2 \\ -p_1 \end{pmatrix} \varphi(x)
\]

(6.7)

Note that the matrix in the middle coincides up to transposition and shift of the spectral parameter $u \to u + 1$ with the L-operator \( (3.18) \) for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$

\[
L(u) = \begin{pmatrix}
x \partial_x + u_1 + 1 \\
x (x \partial_x + u_1 - u_2 + 1) - \partial_x \\
x (x \partial_x + u_1 - u_2 + 1) + \partial_x
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(6.8)

Of course it is possible to diagonalize the operator

\[
(p_1, p_2) L^1(u + 1) \begin{pmatrix} p_2 \\ -p_1 \end{pmatrix} = (p_2, -p_1) L(u + 1) \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}
\]

directly but we apply some trick which will be used later in a general case of $N$ sites.

Note that the matrix $E^\sigma = \sum_{ij} E_{ij} e_{ij}$ coincides up to additive constant with the quadratic Casimir operator in the tensor product of representation $T^\sigma$ and fundamental representation so that it commutes with the operators $T^\sigma(g) \otimes g$

\[
(T^\sigma(g) \otimes g) E^\sigma = E^\sigma (T^\sigma(g) \otimes g) \rightarrow T^\sigma(g) E^\sigma T^\sigma(g)^{-1} = g^{-1} E^\sigma g
\]

In terms of $L(u)$ it reads

\[
T^\sigma(g) L(u) T^\sigma(g)^{-1} = g^{-1} L(u) g,
\]

(6.9)

i.e. the matrix similarity transformation of L-operator $L(u) \to g^{-1} L(u) g$ can be performed using the operator $T^\sigma(g)$ acting on the quantum space. The operator \( (6.8) \) coincides with the matrix element $\tilde{B}(u)$ of unitary transformed monodromy matrix for one site:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{A}(u) & \tilde{B}(u) \\
\tilde{C}(u) & \tilde{D}(u)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
p_2 & -p_1 \\
0 & p_2^{-1}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
A(u) & B(u) \\
C(u) & D(u)
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
p_2^{-1} & p_1 \\
0 & p_2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(6.10)

Eq. \( (6.9) \) states that this transformation can be written as operator (which we will denote $\Omega$) acting in quantum space, i.e.

\[
\tilde{B}(u) = \Omega B(u) \Omega^{-1},
\]

(6.11)
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and \( \Omega \) is defined by (3.11) with \( g = \begin{pmatrix} p_2^{-1} & p_1 \\ 0 & p_2 \end{pmatrix} \): 

\[
\Omega \phi(x) = [p_2 - p_1 x]^{u_2 - u_1 - 1} \phi \begin{pmatrix} p_2^{-1} x \\ p_2 - p_1 x \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.12}
\]

If \( \varphi \) is eigenfunction of \( B(u) \) then \( \Omega \varphi \) is eigenfunction of \( \bar{B}(u) \). But eigenfunctions of \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) operators \( B(u) = -\partial_x \) and \( \bar{B}(u) = -\partial_x \) are simply exponentials:

\[ \varphi_p(x) = e^{ipx}. \]

This system of functions is orthogonal and complete

\[
\int d^2x \overline{\varphi_p(x)} \varphi_{p'}(x) = \pi^2 \delta^2(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') ; \quad \int \frac{d^2p}{\pi^2} \overline{\varphi_p(x)} \varphi_p(x') = \delta^2(\vec{x} - \vec{x'}). \tag{6.13}
\]

The function \( \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x, y, z) \)

\[ \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x, y, z) = e^{ip_1(y-xz)+ip_2z} \Omega e^{ipx} = [p_2 - p_1 x]^{u_2 - u_1 - 1} e^{ip_1(y-xz)+ip_2z+ip_2 x p_2 - p_1 x} \tag{6.14} \]

satisfy

\[ B(u) \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x, y, z) = ip \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x, y, z) , \tag{6.15} \]

\[ E_{31} \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x, y, z) = (- \partial_y) \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x, y, z) = -ip_1 \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x, y, z) , \tag{6.16} \]

\[ E_{32} \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x, y, z) = (- \partial_z - x \partial_y) \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x, y, z) = -ip_2 \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x, y, z) . \tag{6.17} \]

The corresponding orthogonality and completeness relations

\[
\int d^2x \ d^2y \ d^2z \overline{\Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x, y, z)} \Psi_{p_1'p_2'p'}(x, y, z) = \pi^6 \delta^2(\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_1') \delta^2(\vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_2') \delta^2(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \tag{6.18}
\]

\[
\int \frac{d^2p_1}{\pi^2} \frac{d^2p_2}{\pi^2} \frac{d^2p}{\pi^2} \overline{\Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x, y, z)} \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(x', y', z') = \delta^2(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \delta^2(\vec{y} - \vec{y}') \delta^2(\vec{z} - \vec{z}') \tag{6.19}
\]

can be proven with the help of (6.13). Now we are going to the general situation of \( N \) sites.

### 6.2 Permutation of parameters

For the group \( SL(3, \mathbb{C}) \) the \( L \)-operator depends on three parameters

\[
L_k(u_k) = L_k \begin{pmatrix} u_{1k} \\ u_{2k} \\ u_{3k} \end{pmatrix} ; \quad u_{ik} = u - \sigma^{(k)}_i + \delta_k ; \quad i = 1, 2, 3 , \tag{6.20}
\]

and, similar to \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) case we introduce matrix of parameters

\[
U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1n} & u_{1n-1} & \ldots & u_{1k+1} & u_{1k} \\ u_{2n} & u_{2n-1} & \ldots & u_{2k+1} & u_{2k} \\ u_{3n} & u_{3n-1} & \ldots & u_{3k+1} & u_{3k} \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.21}
\]

for the monodromy matrix from site \( k \) to site \( n \)

\[ T(U) = L_n(u_n) L_{n-1}(u_{n-1}) \cdots L_{k+1}(u_{k+1}) L_k(u_k) . \tag{6.22} \]

\(^5\text{We understand all exponent here as the product of holomorphic and antiholomorphic part, i.e. } e^{ipx} \text{ stands for } e^{ipx+ip\bar{x}}.\)
An essential role will be played by operators $S_1(u_k)$ and $S_2(u_k)$ (3.20) which perform the parameters permutations $u_{1k} \rightarrow u_{2k}$ and $u_{2k} \rightarrow u_{3k}$ inside the L-operator at $k$-th site

$$L_k \begin{pmatrix} u_{1k} & u_{3k} \\ u_{2k} & u_{4k} \end{pmatrix} S_1(u_k) = S_1(u_k) L_k \begin{pmatrix} u_{1k} & u_{3k} \\ u_{2k} & u_{4k} \end{pmatrix} ; \quad L_k \begin{pmatrix} u_{1k} & u_{3k} \\ u_{2k} & u_{4k} \end{pmatrix} S_2(u_k) = S_2(u_k) L_k \begin{pmatrix} u_{1k} & u_{3k} \\ u_{2k} & u_{4k} \end{pmatrix},$$

(6.23)

and operator $S(u_{k+1}, u_k)$ which interchanges parameters $u_{1k+1} \rightarrow u_{3k}$ inside the product of L-operators at two adjacent sites

$$T \begin{pmatrix} u_{1k+1} & u_{3k} \\ u_{2k+1} & u_{4k} \end{pmatrix} = L_{k+1}(u_{k+1}) L_k(u_k),$$

(6.24)

$$T \begin{pmatrix} u_{1k+1} & u_{3k} \\ u_{2k+1} & u_{4k} \end{pmatrix} S(u_{k+1}, u_k) = S(u_{k+1}, u_k) T \begin{pmatrix} u_{3k} & u_{1k} \\ u_{2k+1} & u_{4k} \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(6.25)

Direct calculation shows that it is again multiplication operator

$$S(u_{k+1}, u_k) = S(u_{3k} - u_{1k+1}) = [y_{k+1} - y_k - z_k(x_{k+1} - x_k)]^{u_{3k}-u_{1k+1}}$$

(6.26)

Clearly, $[S_1(u_k), L_i(u_i)] = 0$ for $i \neq k$ and $[S(u_{k+1}, u_k), L_i(u_i)] = 0$ for $i \neq k, k + 1$ so that its commutation relations with the complete monodromy matrix from the first site to the N-th site simply mimics considered local commutation relations. These three operators can be used to perform any permutation of elements in $U$.

### 6.3 Parameter dependence of $B(U)$.

From the explicit form of $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ L–operator (3.18) we see that $L^i_j(u)$ does not depend on $u_{1,2,3}$ for $i < j$ and $L^i_j(u) = L^i_j(u, \ldots, u_i)$ for $i \geq j$. For quantum minors of L–operator then $L^{i_1 i_2}_{j_1 j_2}(u) = L^{i_1 i_2}_{j_1 j_2}(u_j, \ldots, u_i)$ if $i_2 < i_1$ and $j_1 < j_2$ (it can be always fulfilled since is $L^{i_1 i_2}_{j_1 j_2}(u)$ antisymmetric under the permutation of $i_1, i_2$ and $j_1, j_2$, see sec. 2).

The quantum minor of monodromy matrix can be expressed in terms of quantum minors of corresponding L–operators [30,31]:

$$T^{i_1 i_2}_{j_1 j_2}(u) = \sum_{a_1 < a_2, b_1 < b_2, \ldots} L_N(u)^{i_1}_{a_1 a_2} L_{N-1}(u)^{a_1 a_2}_{b_1 b_2} \ldots L_1(u)^{i_2}_{j_1 j_2}$$

(6.27)

which is similar to definition (2.5) written in terms of matrix elements:

$$T^i_j(u) = \sum_{a,b} L_N(u)^i_a L_{N-1}(u)^a_b \ldots L_1(u)^i_j.$$  

(6.28)

From (6.27) we conclude that $T^{i_1 i_2}_{j_1 j_2}(u)$ does not depend on parameters $u_{j1}$ for $j < j_1$ and $u_{iN}$ for $i > i_2$. Hence $T^{i_2}_{j_2}(u)$ and $T^{i_2}_{j_2}(u)$ does not depend on parameter $u_{3N}$. The same is valid for $T^1_{3}(u)$ and $T^1_{3}(u)$ and we conclude that the whole operator $B(u)$ (6.1) does not depend on $u_{3N}$. This is in the full analogy with $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ case.

Using similar idea as in (5.16) we can construct operator $W(U, V)$ which satisfy

$$B(U) W(U, V) = W(U, V) B(V),$$

(6.29)

i.e. it changes the parameter matrix $U$ to the parameter matrix $V$:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1N} & \cdots & u_{12} & u_{11} \\ u_{2N} & \cdots & u_{22} & u_{21} \\ u_{3N} & \cdots & u_{32} & u_{31} \end{pmatrix} \quad \rightarrow \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} v_{1N} & \cdots & v_{12} & v_{11} \\ v_{2N} & \cdots & v_{22} & v_{21} \\ u_{1N} & \cdots & u_{12} & u_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

(6.30)
containing arbitrary parameters in the first two rows. We shall suppose that \( v_{ik} \) are linear functions of the spectral parameter \( u \). The reason is that all operators \( S_1, S_2 \) and \( S \) should not depend on \( u \) to satisfy \((6.23), (6.25)\) with \( L(u) \) and \( L(u + 1) \).

The operator \( W(U, V) \) can be constructed from the elementary intertwining operators in a many equivalent ways. We present the construction which is the direct generalization of the ones used for the case \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) in the section \( 5.3 \) and the whole transformation will be performed in a two steps

\[
U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{11} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{11} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow V = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{11} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix}
\]

The main building blocks are operators \( R_{k+1} \) each of them interchanges parameters \( u_{3k+1} \leftrightarrow u_{3k} \) at two adjacent sites

\[
T \begin{pmatrix} \ldots & u_{1k+1} & u_{1k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{3k-1} & u_{3k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{2k-1} & u_{2k} & \ldots \\ \end{pmatrix} R_{k+1} \begin{pmatrix} \ldots & u_{1k+1} & u_{1k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{2k+1} & u_{2k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{3k+1} & u_{3k} & \ldots \\ \end{pmatrix} = R_{k+1} \begin{pmatrix} \ldots & u_{1k+1} & u_{1k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{2k+1} & u_{2k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{3k+1} & u_{3k} & \ldots \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1k+1} & \ldots & u_{1k} & \ldots \\ u_{2k+1} & \ldots & u_{2k} & \ldots \\ u_{3k+1} & \ldots & u_{3k} & \ldots \\ \end{pmatrix} T \begin{pmatrix} \ldots & u_{1k+1} & u_{1k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{3k-1} & u_{3k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{2k-1} & u_{2k} & \ldots \\ \end{pmatrix}
\]

Note that the parameters in \( R \)-matrix mimic exactly parameters in the monodromy matrix in the right hand side of the considered relation. The chain of the elementary transpositions

\[
S_{u_{3k-1} \leftrightarrow u_{3k+1}} \begin{pmatrix} \ldots & u_{1k+1} & u_{1k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{3k-1} & u_{3k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{2k-1} & u_{2k} & \ldots \\ \end{pmatrix} S_{u_{3k-1} \leftrightarrow u_{3k+1}} \begin{pmatrix} \ldots & u_{1k+1} & u_{1k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{3k-1} & u_{3k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{2k-1} & u_{2k} & \ldots \\ \end{pmatrix} S_{u_{3k-1} \leftrightarrow u_{3k+1}} \begin{pmatrix} \ldots & u_{1k+1} & u_{1k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{3k-1} & u_{3k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{2k-1} & u_{2k} & \ldots \\ \end{pmatrix} S_{u_{3k-1} \leftrightarrow u_{3k+1}} \begin{pmatrix} \ldots & u_{1k+1} & u_{1k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{3k-1} & u_{3k} & \ldots \\ \ldots & u_{2k-1} & u_{2k} & \ldots \\ \end{pmatrix}
\]

results in a needed permutations of parameters so that we have

\[
R_{k+1} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1k+1} & u_{1k} \\ u_{2k+1} & u_{2k} \\ u_{3k+1} & u_{3k} \end{pmatrix} = S_2(u_{2k+1} - u_{3k+1}) S_1(u_{1k+1} - u_{3k+1}) S(u_{3k} - u_{3k+1}) S_1(u_{3k} - u_{1k+1}) S_2(u_{3k} - u_{2k+1})
\]

The product of \( R \)-operators

\[
\Lambda_v \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{12} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix} = R_{NN-1} \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{12} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix} R_{N-1N-2} \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{12} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix} \cdots R_{21} \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{12} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix}
\]

intertwines the following monodromy matrices

\[
T \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{12} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix} \Lambda_v \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{12} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda_v \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{12} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix} T \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{12} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix}
\]

and then we apply the appropriate intertwining operators

\[
S_{21} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ u_{21} \end{pmatrix} = S_2(u_{21} - v) S_1(u_{11} - v)
\]

at the first site

\[
T \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{12} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix} S_{21} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ u_{21} \end{pmatrix} = S_{21} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ u_{21} \end{pmatrix} T \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \ldots & u_{12} \\ u_{22} & \ldots & u_{22} \\ u_{33} & \ldots & u_{33} \end{pmatrix}
\]

where again the parameters in operator \( S_{21} \) mimic exactly parameters in the last column of the monodromy matrix in the right hand side of the considered relation.
The operator \(W(U, V_1)\) is constructed step by step

\[
W(U, V_1) = \Lambda_{v_{11}} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{u}_{1N} & \cdots & \text{u}_{12} \\
\text{u}_{2N} & \cdots & \text{u}_{22} \\
\text{u}_{3N} & \cdots & \text{u}_{31}
\end{array} \right) S_{21} \left( \begin{array}{c}
v_{11} \\
v_{12} \\
v_{11}
\end{array} \right) \Lambda_{v_{12}} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{u}_{2N} & \cdots & \text{u}_{23} \\
\text{u}_{3N} & \cdots & \text{u}_{31}
\end{array} \right) S_{21} \left( \begin{array}{c}
v_{12} \\
v_{12} \\
v_{11}
\end{array} \right) \Lambda_{v_{1N-2}} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{u}_{1N} & \text{u}_{1N-1} & \text{u}_{12} \\
\text{u}_{2N} & \text{u}_{2N-1} & \text{u}_{22} \\
\text{u}_{3N} & \text{u}_{3N-1} & \text{u}_{31}
\end{array} \right) S_{21} \left( \begin{array}{c}
v_{1N} \\
v_{1N} \\
v_{1N}
\end{array} \right) \right) \cdots
\]

and intertwines the operators \(B(V_1)\) and \(B(U)\)

\[
B \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{u}_{1N} & \text{u}_{1N-1} & \cdots & \text{u}_{12} & \text{u}_{11} \\
\text{u}_{2N} & \text{u}_{2N-1} & \cdots & \text{u}_{22} & \text{u}_{21} \\
\text{u}_{3N} & \text{u}_{3N-1} & \cdots & \text{u}_{32} & \text{u}_{31}
\end{array} \right) W(U, V_1) = W(U, V_1) B \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{u}_{1N} & \text{u}_{1N-1} & \cdots & \text{u}_{12} & \text{u}_{11} \\
\text{u}_{2N} & \text{u}_{2N-1} & \cdots & \text{u}_{22} & \text{u}_{21} \\
\text{u}_{3N} & \text{u}_{3N-1} & \cdots & \text{u}_{32} & \text{u}_{31}
\end{array} \right).
\]

In a similar way one constructs the operator \(W(V_1, V)\)

\[
W(V_1, V) = \Lambda_{v_{21}} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{v}_{1N} & \cdots & \text{v}_{12} \\
\text{v}_{1N} & \cdots & \text{v}_{12}
\end{array} \right) S_{22} \left( \begin{array}{c}
v_{21} - v_{21} \\
v_{22} - v_{22}
\end{array} \right) \Lambda_{v_{22}} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{v}_{2N} & \cdots & \text{v}_{23} \\
\text{v}_{2N} & \cdots & \text{v}_{23}
\end{array} \right) S_{22} \left( \begin{array}{c}
v_{22} - v_{22} \\
v_{22} - v_{22}
\end{array} \right) \Lambda_{v_{2N-2}} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{v}_{1N} & \text{v}_{1N-1} & \text{v}_{12} \\
\text{v}_{2N} & \text{v}_{2N-1} & \text{v}_{22}
\end{array} \right) S_{22} \left( \begin{array}{c}
v_{1N} - v_{1N} \\
v_{2N} - v_{2N}
\end{array} \right) \right) \cdots
\]

which intertwines the operators \(B(V)\) and \(B(V_1)\)

\[
B \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{v}_{1N} & \text{v}_{1N-1} & \cdots & \text{v}_{12} & \text{v}_{11} \\
\text{v}_{1N} & \text{v}_{1N-1} & \cdots & \text{v}_{12} & \text{v}_{11} \\
\text{v}_{2N} & \text{v}_{2N-1} & \cdots & \text{v}_{22} & \text{v}_{21}
\end{array} \right) W(V_1, V) = W(V_1, V) B \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{v}_{1N} & \text{v}_{1N-1} & \cdots & \text{v}_{12} & \text{v}_{11} \\
\text{v}_{1N} & \text{v}_{1N-1} & \cdots & \text{v}_{12} & \text{v}_{11} \\
\text{v}_{2N} & \text{v}_{2N-1} & \cdots & \text{v}_{22} & \text{v}_{21}
\end{array} \right).
\]

The needed operator \(W(U, V)\) is the product \(W(U, V_1)W(V_1, V)\)

Again, it is sufficient to find one eigenfunction \(\Psi\) of \(B(V)\) which eigenvalues depend on \(v_{ik}\), and it will give rise to the set \(W(U, V)\) of eigenfunctions of \(B(U)\). This particular eigenfunction \(\Psi\) can be found in recursive way by the reduction to \(SL(2, \mathbb{C})\) case. This construction will be described in the next section.

### 6.4 Reduction to the \(SL(2, \mathbb{C})\) case

Let us search the eigenfunction of \(B(V)\)

\[
B(V) = \left( T^1_3(V), T^2_3(V) \right) \left( T^1_{13}(V + 1) \right.
\]

in the form

\[
\Psi = e^{i\phi_1 (y_1 - x_1 z_1) + ip_2 z_1} (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N).
\]

Note that in the matrix \(V\) all matrix elements \(v_{ik}\) are linear function of the spectral parameter \(u\) and we shall use compact notation \(V + 1\) for the matrix with matrix elements \(v_{ik} + 1\) which corresponds to the shift of the spectral parameter \(u \to u + 1\).

**Proposition 1.** The action of the column in the rhs of (6.33) on \(\Psi\) is given by the formula

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
T^1_{13}(V + 1) \\
T^2_{13}(V + 1)
\end{array} \right) \Psi = \prod_{k=2}^N (v_{ik} + 2)(v_{2k} + 2) e^{i\phi_1 (y_1 - x_1 z_1) + ip_2 z_1} \left( \begin{array}{c}
v_{11} + 2 + x_1 \partial_{x_1} \\
v_{11} + 2 + x_1 \partial_{x_1} \\
v_{21} + 1 - x_1 \partial_{x_1}
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
x_1(x_1 \partial_{x_1} + v_{11} - v_{21} + 1) \\
x_1(x_1 \partial_{x_1} + v_{11} - v_{21} + 1) \\
-v_{21} + 1 - x_1 \partial_{x_1}
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
-v_1 p_1 \\
-i p_1
\end{array} \right) \varphi
\]

**Proof.** For the minors of \(L\)-operators for the sites \(k = 2, \ldots, N\) one has (see (6.5))

\[
L_k(v_k + 1)^{12}_{23} \Psi = L_k(v_k + 1)^{12}_{13} \Psi = 0; \quad L_k(v_k + 1)^{12}_{13} \Psi = (v_{1k} + 2)(v_{2k} + 2) \Psi,
\]
and at the first site we obtain
\[
\left( \frac{L_1(v_1 + 1)_{13}^{12}}{L_1(v_1 + 1)_{21}^{12}} \right) \Psi = e^{i p_1 (y_1 - x_1 z_1)) + i p_2 z_1} \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} + 2 + x_1 \partial_{x_1} & x_1 (x_1 \partial_{x_1} + v_{11} - v_{21} + 1) \\ -\partial_{x_1} & v_{21} + 1 - x_1 \partial_{x_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -i p_2 \\ i p_1 \end{pmatrix} \varphi
\]
and this formula is very similar to (6.7). Using (6.27) one arrives at desired formula (6.35). □

**Proposition 2.** The following formula holds:
\[
\left( \frac{T_3^1(V)}{T_3^2(V)} \right) \Psi = e^{i p_1 (y_1 - x_1 z_1)) + i p_2 z_1} \begin{pmatrix} v_{1N} + 2 + x_N \partial_{x_N} & -\partial_{x_N} \\ x_N (x_N \partial_{x_N} + v_{1N} - v_{2N} + 1) & v_{2N} + 1 - x_N \partial_{x_N} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} -i p_2 \\ i p_1 \end{pmatrix} \varphi
\]  
(6.36)

**Proof.** For the \( L \)-operators for the sites \( k = 2, \ldots, N \) all matrix elements are acting on the function which depends on the \( x \)-variables only and we obtain
\[
L(u) \varphi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 + 2 + x \partial_x \\ x (x \partial_x + u_1 - u_2 + 1) \\ y (x \partial_x + u_1 - u_3 + 2) - x \partial_x (u_2 - u_3 + 1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_x \\ u_2 + 1 - x \partial_x \\ -y \partial_x + z (u_2 - u_3 + 1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix} \varphi(x).
\]
Being substituted in (6.28) together with
\[
L_1(v_1)_{13} \Psi = -i p_1 \Psi; \quad L_1(v_1)_{23} \Psi = -i p_2 \Psi,
\]
it gives the desired expression (6.36). □

Combining results of two Propositions we get the formula for the action of \( B(V) \) on \( \Psi \):
\[
B(V) \Psi = -e^{i p_1 (y_1 - x_1 z_1)) + i p_2 z_1} \prod_{k=2}^{N} (v_{1k} + 2)(v_{2k} + 2) \begin{pmatrix} a(V) & c(V) \\ b(V) & d(V) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a(V) & c(V) \\ b(V) & d(V) \end{pmatrix}^t \begin{pmatrix} p_2 \\ -p_1 \end{pmatrix} \varphi, \quad (6.37)
\]
where matrix in the middle is the transposed monodromy matrix for the \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) invariant spin chain with shifted spectral parameter and sites ordered as \( 2, \ldots, N, 1 \) from the right to the left
\[
\begin{pmatrix} a(V) & c(V) \\ b(V) & d(V) \end{pmatrix}^t = [L_1(v_1 + 1)L_N(v_N + 1) \cdots L_2(v_2 + 1)]^t \quad (6.38)
\]
where
\[
L_k(v_k + 1) = \begin{pmatrix} x_k \partial_{x_k} + v_{1k} + 2 \\ x_k (x_k \partial_{x_k} + v_{1k} - v_{2k} + 1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{x_k} \\ v_{2k} + 1 - x_k \partial_{x_k} \end{pmatrix}
\]
As a result, the function \( \Psi \) (6.34) is eigenfunction of \( B(V) \) if and only if the function \( \varphi \), depending only on one variable \( x_k \) in each site, is the eigenfunction of the operator
\[
(p_2, -p_1) \begin{pmatrix} a(V) & c(V) \\ b(V) & d(V) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_2 \\ -p_1 \end{pmatrix} = (p_2, -p_1) \begin{pmatrix} a(V) & b(V) \\ c(V) & d(V) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}
\]
Such function \( \varphi \) will be constructed with the use of eigenfunctions of \( b(V) \) in the next section.
6.5 Unitary transformations of monodromy matrix

We shall use the $SL(3, C)$ invariance of the L-operator

$$T^\sigma(g)L(u)T^\sigma(g)^{-1} = g^{-1} L(u) g$$ (6.39)

in a closed analogy with section 6.1. Right-hand side of (6.37) in square brackets contain matrix element $\tilde{b}(u)$ of unitary transformed monodromy matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}(V) & \tilde{b}(V) \\ \tilde{c}(V) & \tilde{d}(V) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_2 & -p_1 \\ 0 & p_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a(V) & b(V) \\ c(V) & d(V) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_2^{-1} & p_1 \\ 0 & p_2 \end{pmatrix}$$ (6.40)

Eq. 6.39 states that this transformation can be written as operator (which we will denote $\Omega$) acting in quantum space, i.e.

$$\tilde{b}(V) = \Omega b(V) \Omega^{-1},$$ (6.41)

and $\Omega$ is defined by (3.11) with $g = \begin{pmatrix} p_2^{-1} & p_1 \\ 0 & p_2 \end{pmatrix}$ in each site. We have

$$\Omega \varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = [p_2 - p_1 x_1]^{v_{21} - v_{11} - 1} \ldots [p_2 - p_1 x_N]^{v_{2N} - v_{1N} - 1} \varphi \left( \frac{p_2^{-1} x_1}{p_2 - p_1 x_1}, \ldots, \frac{p_2^{-1} x_N}{p_2 - p_1 x_N} \right).$$ (6.42)

If $\varphi$ is eigenfunction of $b(V)$ then $\Omega \varphi$ is eigenfunction of $\tilde{b}(V)$. Eigenfunctions of $SL(2, C)$ operator $b(V)$ were found earlier in sec. 5 so that we reduced the eigenproblem for $B(V)$ to the analogous problem for the algebra with lower rank.

Let us combine together all the parts of the answer. In last few sections we were constructing eigenfunction for $B(V)$ and now we should substitute $v_{ik} = u - 2 - r_k$ and $v_{2k} = u - 2 - s_k$. We have

$$\Psi_{p_1 p_2 b}(q|x, y, z) = W(U, V) \Omega \varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_N),$$ (6.43)

where $W(U, V)$ is constructed explicitly, $\Omega$ is defined by (6.42) and $\varphi$ is eigenfunction of the operator $b(V)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(V) & b(V) \\ c(V) & d(V) \end{pmatrix} = L_1(v_1 + 1)L_N(v_N + 1) \ldots L_2(v_2 + 1),$$ (6.44)

from the monodromy matrix of the $SL(2, C)$ magnet with matrix of parameters

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} + 1 & v_{1N} + 1 & \ldots & v_{12} + 1 \\ v_{21} + 1 & v_{2N} + 1 & \ldots & v_{22} + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and sites ordered as 2, $\ldots$, $N$, 1 from the right to the left. If for $\varphi$ eigenvalues are $p, q_i$ :

$$b(V)\phi = -ip \prod_{i=1}^{N} (u - q_i) \phi$$ (6.45)

then the corresponding eigenvalues of (6.43) are

$$B(u)\Psi_{p_1 p_2 b}(q|x, y, z) = ip \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (u - q_i)(u - r_i)(u - s_i) \Psi_{p_1 p_2 b}(q|x, y, z)$$ (6.46)

and

$$E_{31} \Psi_{p_1 p_2 b}(q|x, y, z) = -ip_1 \Psi_{p_1 p_2 b}(q|x, y, z)$$ $$E_{32} \Psi_{p_1 p_2 b}(q|x, y, z) = -ip_2 \Psi_{p_1 p_2 b}(q|x, y, z).$$
7 Conclusions

The main result of the present paper is the construction of the generalized eigenfunctions of the operator $B(u)$. The system of these eigenfunctions define the kernel of the integral operator, which provides transformation to the representation of separated variables. We have presented the algebraic part of the construction only and the main idea is the following. Elements of the monodromy matrix

$$T(U) = L_N(u_N)L_{N-1}(u_{N-1})\cdots L_2(u_2)L_1(u_1)$$

depend on the set of parameters $u_i$, where $1 \leq i \leq N$ and we combine all parameters in the matrix $U$. The Sklyanin B-operator depends on the whole set of parameters in monodromy matrix $B = B(U)$ but it appears that operators with different sets of parameters are unitary equivalent

$$B(U) = W(U,V)B(V)W^{-1}(U,V)$$

where operator $B(V)$ depends on the new set of parameters $V$. Then the generalized eigenfunction of the operator $B(U)$ can be represented in the form

$$\Psi = W(U,V)\Psi_0$$

where $\Psi_0$ is some particular eigenfunction of the operator $B(V)$. The matrix $V$ is generic, so that we obtain a sufficiently rich set of eigenfunctions. The construction of the intertwining operator $W(U,V)$ which allows to change the set of parameters $U \to V$

$$B(U)W(U,V) = W(U,V)B(V)$$

extensively uses the intertwining operators from the representation theory of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ [32,34].

We have presented only algebraic part of the construction and there remain many open questions which we hope answer in the future. Among problems that attracts attention are the following:

- The investigation of the symmetry of the eigenfunction with respect to permutations of $\{q_i\}$.
- Calculation of the proper normalization coefficient for $\Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x,y,z)$ that provides

$$A(q_i)\Psi_{p_1p_2p}(q|x,y,z) = \Psi_{p_1p_2p}(E_i^+ q|x,y,z)$$

and makes eigenfunctions symmetric with respect to permutations of $\{q_i\}$.

- Proof of the orthogonality of the set of eigenfunctions. Explicit calculation of the scalar product which gives the Sklyanin measure.

- Proof of the completeness of the set of eigenfunctions.

In the case of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ algebra all such problems except to the completeness were considered in [26,27]. The main computational tool for the calculation of scalar products was the Feynman diagram technique. The calculation of integrals is reduced to the transformation and simplification of the diagrams according some graphic rules (chain integration rule, star-triangle relation). At the moment this Feynman diagrams technique is not worked out in the $SL(3,\mathbb{C})$ case.

A quantum inverse scattering based method for proving completeness was developed in [37,38]. We should note that for proving completeness the Mellin-Barnes integral representation [17,19] is well-suited [37,38] but the Gauss-Givental representation is more useful for proving orthogonality. In the present paper we have constructed the Gauss-Givental representation for eigenfunctions of the Sklyanin’s operator for $SL(3,\mathbb{C})$ magnet. The construction of the Mellin-Barnes integral representation is a separate open problem.
Finally, one should mention the recent works [39] where the unitarity of the b-Whittaker transform is proven and [40] where the unitarity of the SOV-transformation for the modular XXZ magnet is proven.

Note added.
When this paper was written, we learned about the recent work by J.M. Maillet and G. Niccoli [41] in which an alternative approach to SOV is suggested. In the paper [41] all representations in the quantum space are finite-dimensional in contrary to our case of infinite-dimensional principal series representations. It seems that the detailed investigation of the possible interrelations will be very instructive.
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