Identification of potential biomarkers in cervical cancer with combined public mRNA and miRNA expression microarray data analysis
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Abstract. Cervical cancer is the fourth most prevalent malignancy in females worldwide. Early diagnosis is key to improving survival rates. Molecular biomarkers are an important method for diagnosing a number of types of cancer, including cervical cancer. The present study utilized public data from three mRNA microarray datasets and one microRNA dataset to analyze the key genes involved in cervical cancer. The mRNA and microRNA expression profile datasets (GSE9750, GSE46857, GSE67522 and GSE30656) were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus database (GEO). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and microRNAs (DEMs) were screened using the online tool GEO2R. By using the DEGs consistent across the three mRNA datasets, a functional and pathway enrichment analysis was performed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery. A protein-protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed and module analysis performed using the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes. Validated target genes of the DEMs were identified using the miRecords website. Using the identified target genes of the DEMs, a survival analysis was performed using the OncoLnc online tool. A total of 73 DEGs and 19 DEMs were screened from the microarray expression profile datasets. ‘Integrin-mediated’, ‘proteolysis’ and ‘phosphoinositide 3 kinase-protein kinase 3’ signaling pathways were the most enriched in the DEGs. Three of the DEGs, including Ras homolog family member B (RhoB), stathmin 1 (STMN1) and cyclin D1 (CCNB1) were validated DEM target genes. The OncoLnc survival analysis identified that RhoB was associated with a significantly longer overall survival, whereas STMN1 was associated with a significantly reduced overall survival time in patients with cervical cancer. Finally, data from The Cancer Genome Atlas revealed an association between the mRNA expression levels of RhoB and STMN1, and the overall survival time for patients with cervical cancer. In conclusion, RhoB and STMN1 were identified as key genes that may provide potential targets for cervical cancer diagnosis and treatment.

Introduction

Cervical cancer is the fourth most prevalent type of malignancy in females worldwide (1). It is responsible for an estimated 520,000 new diagnoses and 270,000 mortalities annually (2). In China, it ranks as the eighth most frequent type of cancer in females, and the second most frequent cancer in females aged between 15 and 44. A previous study reported that 43% of diagnosed patients are <45, and 20-28% are <40 years old (3). In recent years, there has been a decrease in the incidence and mortality rates for cervical cancer in developed countries, which has been attributed to the effectiveness of screening tests. However, the incidence rate remains high in developing countries, accounting for 85% of all cases (4). Surgery is the curative treatment for cervical cancer. The earlier in disease progression a diagnosis is achieved, the better the prognosis and overall patient survival time are likely to be.

Sophisticated online tools combined with high-throughput analysis and general data availability enable the scientific community to uncover novel information regarding genes associated with cancer development at an unprecedented rate (5). Molecular biomarkers are associated with the detection/diagnosis of the disease, whereas prognostic biomarkers offer information about its course and the likelihood of recurrence (6). Predictive biomarkers estimate the response to treatment. The presence, absence or change in specific cell biomarkers may indicate the development of cancer. Ultimately, the identification and detection of these cancer-specific biomarkers may be of use in the early diagnosis and monitoring of the disease (7-9).

Microarrays, including DNA, microRNA, protein and antibody microarrays, are multiplex labs-on-a-chip. They can be used to assay significant amounts of biological material using high-throughput screening. This screening uses...
miniaturized and multiplexed parallel processing and detection methods. It is popular technology in recent years (10,11) and is commonly used to obtain data regarding genetic alterations during tumorigenesis (12,13). This data may be critical in the identification of biomarkers. In the present study, data from three mRNA microarray datasets and a microRNA dataset were used to analyze the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and microRNAs (DEMs) in cervical cancer compared with normal cervical tissue. Bioinformatics methods, including function and pathway enrichment analysis using Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and Gene Ontology (GO), and survival analysis using the OncoLnc tool, were used to identify the key genes in cervical cancer.

Materials and methods

Microarray datasets and data processing. A total of 3 human cervical cancer mRNA expression datasets [GSE9750 (14), GSE46857 (15) and GSE67522 (16)] and 1 human cervical cancer microRNA expression dataset [GSE30656 (17)] were selected randomly and downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus database (GEO). All the datasets contained a comparison between cervical cancer and normal cervix tissue samples. The three gene expression datasets included 54 cervical cancer tissue samples, and 38 normal cervix tissue samples from women without cervical cancer. The miRNA dataset comprised of 10 normal cervix tissue samples, and 19 squamous cell carcinoma or adenocarcinoma tissue samples. All four datasets were produced and uploaded to GEO by independent research groups. These datasets were based on 4 different Affymetrix platforms, including GPL96, GPL16690, GPL10558 and GPL6955 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA); these are all preferred platforms for biomarker cancer research.

GEO2R, an online tool comparing groups in GEO datasets, was used to identify DEGs and DEMs between cervical cancer and normal tissue samples. Genes were selected with the criteria of Benjamini and Hochberg adjusted P<0.01, and log fold-change >1 or <1. Finally, the ‘Calculate and draw custom Venn diagrams’ online tool (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/) was used to identify the significantly upregulated or downregulated genes across all three GEO mRNA datasets.

Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network construction and functional pathway enrichment analysis. The Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) database was used to identify and visualize the functional interactions between proteins (18). The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID), an online program that aids in the understanding of the biological function of genes, was used to perform a functional enrichment analysis of the DEGs, including Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses (19). A false discovery rate threshold was set at P<0.05.

Validation of miRNA targets. The miRecords tool was used to identify the validated target genes of the DEMs. The miRecords tool provides a comprehensive search for scientific papers regarding miRNAs and their target genes from the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (20). The ‘Calculate and draw custom Venn diagrams’ tool was used to identify the target genes of the identified DEMs within the list of identified DEGs.

Survival analysis. The OncoLnc tool (www.oncolnc.org) was used to conduct an overall survival analysis for patients with cervical cancer. OncoLnc is an online tool for interactively exploring the survival data of 8,647 patients from 21 cancer studies in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), along with mRNA and microRNA RNA-Seq expression data from TCGA. The tool allows the production of Kaplan-Meier plots stratified by gene expression levels. Log-rank P-values in survival analysis were recorded. 80th (upper) percentiles and 20th (lower) percentiles were considered as high and low groups. The OncoLnc tool was also used to conduct an overall survival analysis for other types of cancer, including breast, lung, colorectal and prostate cancer. To research further into the association of cervical cancer with the DEGs regulated by DEMs, including Ras homolog family member B (RhoB) and Stathmin 1 (STMIN1), all cervical cancer data were downloaded from TCGA. Using this data, the association between RhoB/STMIN1 expression and survival time for patients with cervical cancer was analyzed.

DEGs basic expression state in different organs. The Human Protein Atlas (HPA; www.proteinatlas.org), an open-access database of the genome-wide expression of genes in various organ types (21), was used to identify the basic expression level of DEGs in different human organs. In the present study, three datasets were used; the HPA dataset (RNA-seq data mean values for different individual samples from each tissue reported as mean transcripts per million), the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEX) project dataset (RNA-seq data reported as median reads per kilobase per million mapped reads) and the Functional Annotation of the Mammalian Genome (FANTOM5) dataset (obtained through cap analysis of gene expression, reported as tags per million).

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and Microsoft Excel 2017 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). Kaplan-Meier analysis and log-rank test were used in overall survival analysis (by OncoLnc) and pathway enrichment analysis (by DAVID). ANOVA and a Student-Newman-Keuls-q post hoc test were used for multiple group comparisons. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Identification of DEGs in microarray datasets and PPI network construction. A total of 2,496, 1,425 and 1,184 DEGs were identified in cervical cancer tissue compared with normal tissue samples in the GSE9750, GSE46857 and GSE67522 datasets, respectively. The 73 genes with a consistent trend in three microarrays towards being either upregulated or downregulated were considered DEGs in all three datasets (Fig. 1; Table 1). Specifically, 45 genes were consistently upregulated and 28 genes were consistently...
Table I. List of 84 genes, 11 of which exhibited an inconsistent trend, that were identified as upregulated or downregulated using the GSE9750, GSE46857 and GSE67522 microarray datasets.

A, Upregulated DEGs

| DEG | Function |
|-----|----------|
| IGF1 | Growth factor |
| KRT1 | Keratin |
| AHNAK | - |
| FHL1 | F-box and leucine-rich repeat-containing protein 1 |
| PRRX1 | - |
| ZFP36 | Zinc finger protein 36 |
| CCND1 | Cyclin D1 |
| IGFBP5 | Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5 |
| PALMD | - |
| APOD | Apolipoprotein D |
| PIK3R1 | Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase regulatory subunit 1 |
| PGM5 | Phosphoglucomutase 5 |
| FOSB | - |
| GSTM5 | Glutathione-S-transferase mu 5 |
| DCN | Decorin |
| BAIAP2 | - |
| SYNGR1 | Synapse-associated protein |
| HOPX | - |
| CXCL14 | Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 14 |
| MPZL2 | Myeloid progenitor zincfinger protein |
| LAMA2 | Laminin subunit alpha 2 |
| CDH13 | Cadherin 13 |
| CRYAB | Cryopyrin |
| MAL | Malignancy-associated lymphoma |
| EGR1 | Early growth response 1 |
| ARG1 | Arginase 1 |
| DUSP1 | Dual-specific mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase 1 |
| TGM3 | Transglutaminase 3 |
| DPT | - |
| RGS5 | Regulator of G protein signaling 5 |
| SDPR | - |
| SORBS1 | - |
| FOS | FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog |
| RHOB | RhoB |
| CXCL12 | Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 12 |
| JAM2 | Junctional adhesion molecule 2 |
| SPARCL1 | - |
| AQP1 | Aquaporin 1 |
| PTGS1 | Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 1 |
| PTGS2 | Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 |

B, Downregulated DEGs

| DEG | Function |
|-----|----------|
| BRCA1 | Breast cancer 1 |
| LAMP3 | Laminin, A4, and migration associated protein 3 |
| ASF1B | - |
| RYR1 | Ryanodine receptor 1 |
| SMG4 | - |
| AURKA | Aurora kinase |
| CKS1B | checkpoint kinase 1 |
| PCNA | - |
| SPP1 | Secreted phosphoglycoprotein 1 |
| TYMS | Thymidylate synthase |
| APOL1 | Apolipoprotein L1 |
| PLOD2 | - |
| STMN1 | Stathmin 1 |
| CKS2 | Checkpoint kinase 2 |
| LYN | - |
| AGRN | Apolipoprotein C precursor |
| CCNB2 | Cyclin B2 |
| PRC1 | - |
| TOP2A | Topoisomerase 2, alpha, DNA-dependent |
| SYNGR1 | Synapse-associated protein |
| HOPX | - |
| CXCL14 | Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 14 |
| MPZL2 | Myeloid progenitor zincfinger protein |
| LAMA2 | Laminin subunit alpha 2 |
| CDH13 | Cadherin 13 |
| CRYAB | Cryopyrin |
| MAL | Malignancy-associated lymphoma |
| EGR1 | Early growth response 1 |
| ARG1 | Arginase 1 |
| DUSP1 | Dual-specific mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase 1 |
| TGM3 | Transglutaminase 3 |
| DPT | - |
| RGS5 | Regulator of G protein signaling 5 |
| SDPR | - |
| SORBS1 | - |
| FOS | FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog |
| RHOB | RhoB |
| CXCL12 | Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 12 |
| JAM2 | Junctional adhesion molecule 2 |
| SPARCL1 | - |
| AQP1 | Aquaporin 1 |
| PTGS1 | Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 1 |
| PTGS2 | Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 |

C, Genes with an inconsistent trend

| DEG | Function |
|-----|----------|
| PEG3 | - |
| NTRK2 | - |
| BNIP3 | - |
| MYH11 | - |
| SVEP1 | - |
| TRIM13 | - |
| UNC93A | - |
| ARM1CX1 | - |
| IL17RC | - |
| KRT7 | - |
| SLC6A8 | - |

miRNA-DEG pairs. A total of 21 DEMs were identified in cervical cancer tissue compared with normal tissue in the GSE30656 dataset. Specifically, 19 miRNAs were upregulated and 2 were downregulated (Table III). By reference to miRecords, 246 validated gene targets for these miRNAs were identified. A PPI network was constructed for the targets (Fig. 3). It was identified that three of the validated DEM targets were DEGs (Fig. 4); cyclin D1 (CCND1) was among the validated gene targets of miR-1, while RhoB and STMN1 were among the predicted gene targets of miR-223.

Overall survival analysis. OncoLnc was used to analyze the effect of CCND1, RhoB and STMN1 on the survival of patients with cervical cancer. It was identified that the high mRNA expression of RhoB was associated with a poor survival rate (log-rank P=0.0322; Fig. 5A) whereas the low mRNA expression of STMN1 was associated with a poor survival rate (log-rank P=0.0046; Fig. 5B). However, there was no significant association between CCND1 and overall survival time (Fig. 5C). An overall survival analysis was also conducted for the expression of these genes in other types of cancer, including breast, lung, colorectal and prostate cancer (Table IV). TCGA data from 263 patients with cervical cancer was divided into high (>50% expression level) and low (<50% expression level) groups based on the expression of RhoB and STMN1. There were 64 patients in the RhoB high and STMN1 low group, which was referred to as group B. There were also 64 patients in the RhoB low and STMN1 high group, which was referred to as group D. The remaining patients were placed into either group A (RhoB high and STMN1 high) or group C (RhoB low and STMN1 low) (Fig. 6A and B). The patient survival time for group B was significantly decreased compared with group D (P<0.05; Fig. 6C). Additionally, the patient survival time for group B was the least out of the four groups, and it was significantly decreased compared with groups A, C and D (P<0.05; Fig. 6C). Furthermore, the patient survival time for group C was the greatest out of the four groups, and it was significantly increased compared with groups A and B (P<0.05; Fig. 6C). There was no significant difference between groups C and D.

Basic expression state of DEGs in different organs. The Human Protein Atlas was used to assess the basic
The expression level of RhoB and STMN1 in different organs. The results revealed that the expression level of RhoB in the cervix was relatively high compared with >30 human organ sample types. The expression of STMN1 in the cervix was low relative to the other sample types. However, the expression of RhoB and STMN1 in the cervix was not particularly high or low in comparison with normal organ samples (Fig. 7).

Table III. Differentially expressed miRNAs in cervical cancer screened out from miRNA expression microarray GSE30656 and their target genes which have been reported and validated using miRecords.

| miRNA       | Adjusted Log fold change | Validated target genes                                                                 |
|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| miR-106b    | 2.05x10^−7               | E2F1, CDKN1A, VEGFA, E2F1, CDKN1A, ITCH                                               |
| miR-125b    | 4.82x10^−4               | ERBB2, ERBB3, LIN28, BAK1, NTRK3, C10orf104, H3F3B, ADAMTS1, PERP                      |
| miR-149     | 1.04x10^−4               | N/A                                                                                     |
| miR-15b     | 1.42x10^−3               | BCL2, CCNE1, RECK, MKK4, RECK, BMI                                                     |
| miR-16      | 5.47x10^−4               | TPPP3, BCL2, VEGFA, CCND1, PDCD4, RAB21, CADM1, SKAP2, WT1, BCL2                       |
| miR-192     | 3.98x10^−2               | DHRF, WNK1, RB1                                                                         |
| miR-193b    | 2.69x10^−4               | PLAU, ESR1                                                                              |
| miR-194     | 2.24x10^−2               | N/A                                                                                     |
| miR-200a    | 9.84x10^−3               | ZEB2, ZEB1 FOG2, ERBB2IP, BAP1, KLHL20                                                 |
| miR-203     | 1.57x10^−5               | N/A                                                                                     |
| miR-205     | 2.16x10^−2               | ZEB2, ZEB1, VEGFA, INPP1, ERBB3, PRKCE, MED1                                           |
| miR-21      | 1.69x10^−5               | TPM1, NFIB, PDCD4, SERPINB5, CDKN1A, FAS, FAM3C, HIPK3, PRRG4, ACTA2                  |
| miR-223     | 1.38x10^−2               | NFIA, LMO2, LMO2, STMN1, RHOB, IRS1, FBXW7, EPB41L3                                      |
| miR-370     | 1.04x10^−3               | MAP3K8                                                                                   |
| miR-494     | 2.18x10^−2               | PTEN                                                                                     |
| miR-565     | 1.61x10^−2               | N/A                                                                                     |
| miR-572     | 1.23x10^−2               | N/A                                                                                     |
| miR-575     | 1.02x10^−3               | N/A                                                                                     |
| miR-630     | 1.34x10^−2               | N/A                                                                                     |
| miR-638     | 1.21x10^−2               | N/A                                                                                     |
| miR-99a     | 6.46x10^−4               | RAVER2, mTOR, IGF-IR, RPTOR, FGFR3                                                      |

N/A, not applicable.
Figure 2. Protein-protein interaction network of all differentially expressed genes from the three mRNA expression profile datasets. The red boxes indicate potentially positive differentially expressed genes. CCND1, cyclin D1; STMN1, stathmin 1; RhoB, Ras homolog family member B.

Figure 3. Protein-protein interaction network of differentially expressed genes based on one miRNA expression profiling dataset validated target genes. The red boxes indicate potentially positive differentially expressed genes. CCND1, cyclin D1; STMN1, stathmin 1; RhoB, Ras homolog family member B.
Table IV. The prognostic value of two differentially expressed genes identified in patients with other types of cancer.

| Cancer type   | Ras homolog family member B | Stathmin 1 |
|---------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| Breast        | 0.158                       | 0.569      |
| Gastric       | 0.046                       | 0.431      |
| Lung          | 0.339                       | 0.127      |
| Ovarian       | 0.062                       | 0.293      |

The verified target genes of the DEMs were then obtained by reference to existing studies using miRecords. By comparing the verified target genes of the DEMs and the DEGs identified from the miRNA microarray, three potential genes were identified that may serve a key function in cervical cancer. Finally, it was identified through survival analysis that the high mRNA expression of RhoB was associated with poor overall survival (log-rank \(P=0.0322\); Fig. 5A), while the low mRNA expression of STM1 was associated with poor overall survival (log-rank \(P=0.0046\); Fig. 5B). CCND1 expression was not significantly associated with overall survival (Fig. 5C).

Further analysis was performed using the survival data from TCGA. Data from 263 patients was divided into high or low groups based on the expression of RhoB and STM1. It was identified that patients with higher RhoB and lower STM1 expression experienced a significantly shorter overall survival time than patients with lower RhoB and higher STM1 expression (\(P<0.05\); Fig. 6C).

RhoB is a member of the Rho GTP-binding protein family located at 2p24.1 (24). RhoB may be a tumor suppressor as its expression level is decreased in a number of tumor cell types (25). Its expression is more downregulated in increasingly aggressive tumors, and the loss of RhoB is associated with a decreased overall survival time in certain types of cancer (26-28). RhoB is not mutated in all types of cancer; however, its altered expression and activity may be critical in cancer progression and the response to therapy. For example, RhoB expression is predictive of an epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor (EGFR-TKI) response; an EGFR-TKI/Akt inhibitor combination provides a clinical advantage in preventing resistance to EGFR-TKI for RhoB-positive tumor patients (26). A number of studies have identified a loss of RhoB expression in head, neck, gastric, renal and lung cancer (29-31). Studies of RhoB gene knockout in mice demonstrated that the frequency of chemically induced neoplastic transformation increased, and that the overexpression of RhoB in human cell lines results in the inhibition of signal transduction pathways associated with oncogenesis and tumor survival. The function of RhoB in cervical cancer has yet to be reported.

STM1 is located at 1p36.11 and is also known as oncoprotein 18. It is a 19-kDa cytosolic protein that destabilizes microtubules in a phosphorylation-dependent manner and has been reported to be abundantly expressed in various types of cancer cell (32-34). STM1 is also a biomarker in certain types of neoplasm. It serves important functions in cell cycle progression, mitosis, signal transduction and cell migration (35-37). There is no study reporting directly on the effect of STM1 in cervical cancer.

In the present study, upregulated RhoB was significantly associated with poor overall survival time in patients with cervical cancer, and that downregulated STM1 was also significantly associated with poor overall survival. By comparing survival time with TCGA data, it was revealed that patients with higher levels of RhoB and lower levels of STM1 have significantly shorter survival times. This supports that high RhoB and low STM1 are associated with a worse prognosis, and that RhoB and STM1 may be suitable for use in diagnosis and prognosis as biomarkers of cervical cancer. Furthermore, the basic expression levels of RhoB and STM1 were assessed.

Discussion

The majority of cervical cancer patients live in economically underdeveloped areas, where the mortality rate is higher (22). Although cervical screening programs based on cervical cytology and human papilloma virus testing effectively reduce the incidence of cervical cancer, it is common for women in these areas not to accept standardized screening. This is largely due to social, religious and psychological factors (23). Therefore, novel circulating biomarkers to monitor critical molecular events common in cervical cancer may improve the detection of malignant lesions in primary screening and triage settings.

In the present study, three mRNA and one miRNA expression profile datasets were used to identify potential biomarkers in cervical cancer. Four of these datasets were based on microarrays produced by the same company, with four different platforms. Firstly, a comprehensive analysis of the DEGs and DEMs was performed, including GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis and PPI network analysis. Secondly, the DEGs were screened from the previously validated targets of the DEMs. A total of 73 DEGs were common to all three mRNA datasets, and 18 DEMs were identified from the microRNA dataset. Compared with existing studies, this screening was larger, and more comprehensive and random, due to it being conducted with a greater number of samples from different areas and a greater number of expression profiles.
Figure 5. Analysis of the prognostic value of three differentially expressed genes in cervical cancer patients using The Cancer Genome Atlas data. (A) Prognostic value of RhoB, (B) STMN1, (C) CCND1. STMN1, stathmin 1; RhoB, Ras homolog family member B.

Figure 6. Comparison of survival times between the different expression level of differentially expressed genes. The grouping of cervical cancer patients with (A) high RhoB and low STMN1 and (B) low RhoB and high STMN1 using The Cancer Genome Atlas dataset. (C) Comparison between these groups with regard to survival time. STMN1, stathmin 1; RhoB, Ras homolog family member B.

Figure 7. Basic expression state of differentially expressed genes in the cervix and other organs. STMN1, stathmin 1; RhoB, Ras homolog family member B; TPM, tags per million; RPKM, reads per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads.
in different tissue types using data mining methods. The results revealed that in normal cervical tissue samples, RhoB and STM1 were not overexpressed or underexpressed in comparison with other sample types (Fig. 7). This indicates that it may be possible to perform a gain/loss of function analysis with normal cervix and cervical cancer cell lines in future studies. This would provide a more in-depth understanding of RhoB and STM1 gene functions in cervical cancer.

In summary, mRNA and miRNA microarray expression datasets were screened for the identification of biomarkers in cervical cancer. In the present study, RhoB, STM1 and the associated miR-223 may be critical in cervical cancer. We hypothesize that these genes and miRNA may serve as a key biomarker for predicting cervical cancer progression, and that the described method is used in clinical practice to improve the chance for an early diagnosis. For increased reliability and reproducibility, the method outlined in the present study will be repeated and further improved. Furthermore, we will study the functions and mechanism of the DEGs and DEMs identified in the present study. This will allow the greater understanding of broader clinical application prospects.
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