Structure and mechanism of ABC transporters
Stephan Wilkens

Address: Department of Biochemistry, State University of New York Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA
Email: wilkenss@upstate.edu

F1000Prime Reports 2015, 7:14 (doi:10.12703/P7-14)
All F1000Prime Reports articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/legalcode), which permits non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
The electronic version of this article is the complete one and can be found at: http://f1000.com/prime/reports/b/7/14

Abstract
All living organisms depend on primary and secondary membrane transport for the supply of external nutrients and removal or sequestration of unwanted (toxic) compounds. Due to the chemical diversity of cellular molecules, it comes as no surprise that a significant part of the proteome is dedicated to the active transport of cargo across the plasma membrane or the membranes of subcellular organelles. Transport against a chemical gradient can be driven by, for example, the free energy change associated with ATP hydrolysis (primary transport), or facilitated by the potential energy of the chemical gradient of another molecule (secondary transport). Primary transporters include the rotary motor ATPases (F-, A-, and V-ATPases), P-type ATPases and a large family of integral membrane proteins referred to as “ABC” (ATP-binding cassette) transporters. ABC transporters are widespread in all forms of life and are characterized by two nucleotide-binding domains (NBD) and two transmembrane domains (TMDs). ATP hydrolysis on the NBD drives conformational changes in the TMD, resulting in alternating access from inside and outside of the cell for unidirectional transport across the lipid bilayer. Common to all ABC transporters is a signature sequence or motif, LSGGQ, that is involved in nucleotide binding. Both importing and exporting ABC transporters are found in bacteria, whereas the majority of eukaryotic family members function in the direction of export. Recent progress with the X-ray crystal structure determination of a variety of bacterial and eukaryotic ABC transporters has helped to advance our understanding of the ATP hydrolysis-driven transport mechanism but has also illustrated the large structural and functional diversity within the family.

Introduction
The transport of organic and inorganic molecules across cellular membranes is vital to all forms of life, as it allows cells to maintain an off equilibrium condition. In Escherichia coli, for example, ~10% of the entire genome is dedicated to membrane-bound and soluble proteins involved in transport processes [1]. On the timescale relevant for cellular metabolism, the lipid bilayer represents a formidable barrier for most charged and polar molecules while allowing for the passage of hydrophobic organic compounds by passive diffusion [2]. Transport against a chemical gradient (e.g. import of nutrients) requires a source of free energy, either provided by the potential energy of an existing chemical gradient or a coupled enzymatic reaction. Transporters that are driven by the chemical gradient of a “helper” molecule are referred to as secondary transporters, while transporters that generate the driving force by an enzymatic reaction with a “high energy” molecule (mostly ATP) are called primary transporters (see the Transporter Classification Database [www.tcdb.org] for details) [3]. Transporters that use ATP hydrolysis to pump molecules across the membrane are referred to as transport ATPases, a large superfamily that includes the rotary motor F-, A-, and V-ATPases, the P-type ATPases, the P-type ATPases, the P-type ATPases, and the ABC transporters [4]. While transport substrates of the rotary motor and P-type ATPases are, with few exceptions, limited to protons or metal ions, ABC transporters cover a wide spectrum of substrates, from small inorganic and organic molecules, such as amino acids, sugars, nucleosides, vitamins and metal clusters to larger organic compounds, including peptides, lipid molecules, oligonucleotides and polysaccharides. Over the past decade, several moderate-to-high resolution crystal structures have been solved for
a variety of ABC transporters from microorganisms and higher eukaryotes, including mammals. The structural data, together with sophisticated biochemical and biophysical studies, have provided a wealth of information on the catalytic mechanism of ATP hydrolysis-driven transport. This mini-review gives a brief overview of the current understanding of the structure and mechanism of ABC transporters and what some of the remaining and emerging questions are.

**The ABC transporter family**

Early biochemical studies on bacterial nutrient import systems revealed a class of multi-subunit transporters that all contained an essential cytoplasmic factor with ATP hydrolysis activity (reviewed in [5]). As more amino acid sequence information became available, it was recognized that the primary structure of the ATP-binding domains of these transporters was highly conserved, including the presence of a phosphate-binding loop (P-loop or Walker A motif) and a short consensus sequence “LSGGQ”. The family of transporters was subsequently termed ABC transporters in recognition of the “cassette-like” nature of the ATP-binding subunit [6]. Around the same time, biochemical studies on the mammalian multi-drug resistance (MDR) export pump P-glycoprotein revealed the presence of the very same motifs in its ATP-binding domain, demonstrating that the family of ABC transporters was represented not only in bacteria but also higher eukaryotes, including mammals. From the current sequence information of microbial genomes, ABC transporters represent the largest protein family identified to date, highlighted by the fact that between 1 and 3% of bacterial and archaeal genomes encode for subunits of ABC transporters [7]. There are 48 ABC transporters in human [8,9] and many of these have been shown to be responsible for or involved in disease states, including cystic fibrosis, Tangier disease, adrenoleukodystrophy, and cancer (see below).

**General architecture of ABC transporters**

ABC transporters classified so far can be grouped into exporters and importers with the importers further divided into two classes (I and II), depending on details of their architecture and mechanism [10-12]. The related family of energy-coupling factor (ECF) transporters [13,14] (sometimes referred to as class III ABC importers) is structurally and functionally more distinct [15,16], and this class will not be discussed here. While bacteria employ both ABC importers and exporters, eukaryocytes, with very few exceptions, only have exporters. The canonical ABC transporter is organized in four functional units or domains, two NBDs (NBD1, NBD2) and two TMDs (TMD1, TMD2). In bacteria, the four domains can be a combination of individual, pairwise identical subunits, or a combination of fused NBDs and/or TMDs [12]. In eukaryotes, the majority of ABC transporters are constituted by a single polypeptide that contains all four functional units, with some members assembled from “half” transporters with either identical (homodimeric) or different (heterodimeric) halves. Besides the four main domains, bacterial importers require an accessory subunit that is responsible for capturing transport substrate (solute) and delivering it to the binding site in the TMDs. In Gram-negative bacteria, the accessory subunits are 30-50 kDa soluble proteins that are found in the periplasm, while in Gram-positive microorganisms, the accessory subunits are lipoproteins anchored to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane. Figure 1 gives an overview of some of the prominent ABC transporter family members for which high-resolution structural information is available.

**Structure and properties of the NBDs**

Thanks to its high level of similarity and the presence of several conserved motifs, the NBD or ATP-binding cassette is the hallmark of the ABC transporter family. Sequence identity for the NBDs within and between bacterial and eukaryotic exporters is high, with values between 30 and 50%, pointing to a similar three-dimensional fold and a conserved mechanism of energy coupling. The conserved nature of the tertiary structure can be seen in X-ray crystal structures of isolated NBDs and NBDs that are part of intact transporters. Early structures of isolated NBDs, for example, the histidine permease [17], Rad50 [18] (a DNA repair protein), the archaeal ABC transporter MJ0796 [19], and the maltose transporter [20], revealed the presence of a RecA-like domain with a Rossman fold and Walker A motif typical for NBDs, as well as an α-helical domain that contains the signature sequence LSGGGQ that is characteristic for the NBDs of ABC transporters. These crystal structures of isolated NBDs bound to ATP showed the two NBDs engaged in a symmetric dimer with the two ATP molecules sandwiched in the dimer interface (except for the histidine permease NBDs, which crystallized as a non-physiological dimer [17]). In this so-called “sandwich dimer”, ATP is bound to NBD1 coordinated by P-loop residues from NBD1 and from residues of the signature sequence of NBD2 and vice versa for the second ATP (see Figure 1D). The ATP-bound sandwich dimer, together with the observation that nucleotide-free NBDs often crystallized as monomers or non-physiological dimers [21], suggested early on that the nucleotide-dependent dimerization of the NBDs is part of the “power stroke” driving conformational changes in the TMDs [20].

**Properties of the TMDs**

Depending on the transporter class, each TMD has 6 to 10 transmembrane α-helices (with most exporters having 6)
Figure 1. Structural features of ABC transporters

(A) Outward-facing maltose transporter with ADP-VO₄ in catalytic sites and maltose bound to the transmembrane domain ([TMD] 3puv.pdb) [23].
(B) Homodimeric exporter Sav1866 from Staphylococcus aureus in the outward-facing conformation with ADP in catalytic sites (2hyd.pdb) [37].
(C) P-glycoprotein in the inward-facing conformation with an inhibitor molecule bound at the TMDs (4m2t.pdb) [27].
(D) The nucleotide-binding domain (NBD) sandwich dimer of the maltose transporter (MalK) as seen from the cytoplasmic side.
(E) The cavity formed by the TMDs of outward-facing Sav1866. Note that the cavity does not provide access to the outer leaflet of the lipid bilayer.
(F) Cross-section through the TMDs of glycoprotein showing the two inhibitor molecules.

ABC, ATP-binding cassette; MRP, multidrug resistance associated protein; NBD, nucleotide-binding domain; TMD, transmembrane domain.
Transport substrates \([26-28]\). Have been described as having overlapping drug-binding sites \([25]\). The drug-binding pocket of P-glycoprotein has therefore a hydrophobic cavity \([24]\). The situation is again different in the mid-membrane that can be blocked from both sides of the bilayer \([24]\). The topology within a transporter class. The lack of primary structure conservation in the TMDs is likely due to the diverse nature of the transport substrates. Sequence conservation can be high between TMD1 and TMD2 in eukaryotic single-polypeptide transporters \((e.g. ~30\% in P\text{-glycoprotein})\), suggesting that the two TMDs in these transporters are a result of gene duplication originating from homodimeric ancestors. For both importers and exporters, transport substrate has to interact at one point or another with residues of the transmembrane \(\alpha\)-helices that line the transmembrane pore. For bacterial type I importers \((e.g. the maltose importer from \textit{E. coli})\), specific residues in the TMD that are involved in substrate binding have been identified from crystallographic studies and mutagenesis experiments \([22,23]\). For the \textit{E. coli} vitamin B12 importer \((\text{BtuCDF}, \text{a type II importer})\), the translocation path does not seem to provide a specific substrate binding site but there is a hydrophobic cavity mid-membrane that can be blocked from both sides of the bilayer \([24]\). The situation is again different in the multidrug transporter P-glycoprotein, where several overlapping drug-binding sites have been identified \([25]\). The drug-binding pocket of P-glycoprotein has therefore been described as having “polyspecificity” towards its transport substrates \([26-28]\).

**Mechanism of ABC transporters**

With few exceptions, ABC transporters have to pump transport substrates against a chemical gradient, a process that requires ATP hydrolysis as a driving force. Under physiological conditions, ABC transporters operate in a single direction (either import or export), although the drug efflux pump LmrA has been shown to be reversible under certain conditions \([29]\), which means that the membrane domain must operate one or more “turnstile-like” gates that are tightly coupled to the catalytic cycle on the NBDs. To satisfy this condition, the transmembrane domain alternates between outward- and inward-facing conformations, reminiscent of the mechanism originally proposed by Jardetzky for the P-type ATPases \([30]\). The mechanism is also employed by the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) of secondary transporters, in which case the driving force is provided by the potential energy of the chemical gradient of a “secondary” transport substrate, for example, protons or sodium ions \([31]\). In the case of ABC transporters, conformational switching of the membrane domain for providing alternating access is driven by the binding of transport substrate and MgATP, followed by ATP hydrolysis and product release. Based on structural and biochemical data, several models of ABC transporter mechanisms have been proposed, most notably the “alternating site” \([32]\), “switch” \([33]\), and “constant contact” \([34,35]\) models. While all these models share elementary steps, such as ATP-dependent NBD dimerization and the switching of the TMD between outward- and inward-facing conformations, the models diverge with respect to some of the details of the mechanism. However, it should be pointed out that there is little evidence to suggest that all ABC transporters function by the very same mechanism. Among the structurally and mechanistically best-characterized importers are the \textit{E. coli} maltose \([22,23]\) \(\text{(a type I)}\) and vitamin B12 \([24,36,37]\) \(\text{(a type II)}\) uptake systems. For the exporters, a large amount of biochemical and structural data are available for the multidrug resistance pumps from \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} \((\text{Sav1866})\) \([38]\) and higher eukaryotes \((\text{P-glycoprotein, ABCB1})\) \([27,39-41]\), multidrug resistance-associated protein \((\text{MRP1, ABCG1})\) \([42]\), the bacterial lipid flippase MsbA \([43]\), and the transporter involved in antigen processing \((\text{TAP})\) \([44]\).

**The catalytic cycle**

The basic catalytic cycle of ABC transporters starting from the “apo” or ground state consists of a series of steps. These include the binding of substrate-binding proteins \(\text{(for importers)}\) or the direct binding of a substrate \(\text{(for exporters)}\) to the TMDs, binding of two MgATP molecules to the NBDs, dimerization of the NBDs, switching of the TMDs between the in- and outward or out- and inward-facing conformations \(\text{(depending on transporter type)}\), ATP hydrolysis, phosphate, ADP and ATP transport substrate release concomitant with NBD dissociation to reset the transporter to the ground state for the next cycle. The details and order of these steps depend, to some extent, on the transporter type, as illustrated in Figure 2A for exporters, Figure 2B for type I importers, and Figure 2C for type II importers. However, while there is general agreement that all or some of the above steps must happen at some point during the cycle, there is much less understanding as to the exact order of these steps and which step of the ATP hydrolysis reaction on the NBDs provides the “power stroke” that resets the TMDs to the ground state. According to the switch model \([33]\), which was inspired by biochemical studies and early crystal structures that showed \(\text{(on the one hand)}\) ATP-dependent dimerization of isolated NBDs \([20]\) and \(\text{(on the other hand)}\) intact apo \(\text{(ATP and substrate-free)}\) transporters in which the NBDs were seen far apart \([26,27,43]\) \(\text{(see Figure 1D and C, respectively)}\), the NBDs have to dissociate completely for product release and the
Figure 2. Schematic of the mechanism of ABC exporters and importers

(A) The inward-facing exporter binds substrate "D" (drug) from the cytoplasm or the inner leaflet of the bilayer. After binding two molecules of MgATP, the nucleotide-binding domains (NBDs) dimerize and switch the transmembrane domain (TMDs) from the inward- to the outward-facing conformation, followed by the release of the drug to the extracellular milieu. ATP hydrolysis, ADP/Pi release and NBD dissociation resets the transporter to the inward-facing conformation. Note that there are likely intermediate conformations, some asymmetric, that have not yet been resolved by crystallography.

(B) The inward-facing type I transporter (e.g., MalFGK2) binds to the substrate containing periplasmic binding protein and two molecules of MgATP. NBDs dimerize and result in the outward-facing conformation. Substrate leaves the binding protein and binds to the TMDs mid-membrane. ATP is hydrolyzed and product release, together with NBD dissociation, resets the transporter to the inward-facing conformation.

(C) The outward facing type II importer (e.g., BtuCD) binds to substrate binding protein and two molecules of MgATP. Dimerization of the NBDs results in the occluded conformation with substrate confined to a sealed cavity mid-membrane. Subsequent ATP hydrolysis and NBD dissociation allows substrate to escape into the cytoplasm. A fourth, asymmetric, conformation as seen for BtuCDF is not shown.

Abbreviations: ABC, ATP-binding cassette; NBD, nucleotide-binding domain; TMD, transmembrane domain.
start of a new cycle. However, there is experimental evidence that the NBDs operate in an alternating fashion [32], an observation that is difficult to reconcile with the simple switch model. Alternating ATP hydrolysis as well as occlusion of non-hydrolyzable ATPγS at one catalytic site [34,35] and drug-stimulated ATPase activity of P-glycoprotein, in which NBDs were covalently linked via disulfide bond [45], are all consistent with the constant-contact model in which NBDs remain associated during steady-state turnover to allow for sequential ATP hydrolysis. A “reciprocating twin-channel” model of transport that incorporates the constant-contact model has recently been proposed [46]. High-resolution crystal structures of the maltose transporter in pre-hydrolysis and transition state conformations showed that ATP hydrolysis is base catalyzed by a glutamate residue at the end of the Walker B motif that, together with assistance from residues in other conserved motifs (D-, Q-, and H-loops) polarizes a water molecule for the attack on the γ-phosphate [23]. Since the residues involved in ATP hydrolysis are highly conserved, it is reasonable to assume that this part of the mechanism is conserved in the ABC transporter family. Curiously, the pre-hydrolysis and transition state conformations were very similar, suggesting that it is product release (likely phosphate) rather than the ATP hydrolysis step itself that leads to the structural changes in the NBDs that are coupled to the conformational rearrangements in the TMDs. Phosphate release is also part of the power stroke that drives the rotation of the central rotor in the rotary motor F-ATPases [46]. A key structural element for coupling NBD to TMD events is the Q-loop (named so for a conserved glutamine residue) and the so-called “coupling helix” at the NBD-TMD interface [36,38,48]. Crystallographic and EPR spectroscopy experiments have shown that catalysis involves a rotational movement of the RecA-like domain with respect to the NBD helical domain [49,50], and that this motion is likely transferred to the TMDs by the Q-loop and the coupling helix.

**ABC transporters in human disease**

There are 48 ABC transporters in humans that can be subdivided by phylogenetic analysis into seven distinct subfamilies A-G [8,9]. Mammalian ABC transporters are involved in the cellular export of several groups of molecules, including cholesterol and sterols, lipids, retinoic acid derivatives, bile acid, iron, nucleosides, and peptides. The essential nature of these functions is highlighted by the fact that defects in the associated transporters have been observed in a number of genetic conditions, including Tangier (ABCA1) and Stargardt (ABCA4) disease [51], immune deficiency and cancer (ABCB2/3; TAP transporter) [52], cystic fibrosis (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator [CFTR]; ABCC7) [53], and adrenoleukodystrophy (ABCD1) [5], to name only a few. Another prominent group of human ABC transporters are found in the liver, placenta and blood brain barrier where they are involved in the detoxification of hydrophobic organic molecules [54]. The group includes P-glycoprotein (ABCB1), one of the best-studied ABC transporters, the MRP (ABCC1) and ABCG2. These transporters, when found highly expressed in the plasma membrane of tumor cells, can result in the failure of chemotherapy by protecting the cancer cells from the cytotoxic drugs used to fight the disease. Much effort has been spent on identifying selective inhibitors for these MDR transporters and while many compounds have been identified that inhibit P-glycoprotein function in, for example, human cell culture, no broadly applicable inhibitor is in use as of yet, due to significant side effects of the compounds [55].

**Non-canonical ABC transporters**

While the majority of ABC transporters characterized so far are just that, membrane transporters, there are a number of family members that have evolved to perform different functions. CFTR, for example, is a chloride channel, and gating of the channel is regulated by the nucleotide content of the NBDs [53]. CFTR also belongs to a class of ABC transporters in which one of the nucleotide-binding sites is “degenerate”, resulting in a catalytic site that is still able to bind but not hydrolyze ATP efficiently. Another atypical ABC “transporter” with one degenerate ATP-binding site is the sulfonylurea receptor (SUR; ABCC8/9) [56]. SUR forms a large tetrameric complex with an inward-rectifying potassium channel (K<sub>ATP</sub>), and it has been proposed that the ABC transporter in this complex functions in regulation of the activity of the channel by sensing cellular ATP levels.

**Unresolved questions: the to-do list**

Despite the recent progress with understanding ABC transporter mechanism, many questions remain as to some of the details of the catalytic cycle, including how many ATPs are hydrolyzed per transport event and which step of the hydrolysis cycle provides the power stroke, whether ATP hydrolysis in one NBD is sufficient for transport, and whether NBDs remain associated during transport, to name only a few. However, as pointed out earlier, some of these questions may have different answers depending on the nature of the transporter. While we now have a good collection of crystal structures, additional structures of catalytic intermediates will be needed for a more complete understanding of the transport cycle, especially for the class of exporters. Of course, the high-resolution structures will have to be complemented by biochemical and biophysical studies that address the kinetics and dynamics of the transporters.
From studies with the MDR pump P-glycoprotein, for example, it was shown that mutating catalytic residues or trapping ADP-vanadate in only one NBD abolished ATPase and transport activity completely, an observation that leads to the proposal of the alternating site mechanism in which the two catalytic sites hydrolyze ATP in an alternating fashion [32]. Support for such a mechanism was provided by experiments that showed that the non-hydrolyzable ATP analog ATP-S can be stably trapped in one catalytic site, leading to an “occluded” nucleotide state [34,35]. However, most of the crystal structures of ABC transporters or isolated NBDs show symmetric occupancy of the NBDs with either nucleotides alone or transition state analogs bound, and so the question of whether nucleotides are hydrolyzed simultaneously, or in a specific order, remains to be determined. One approach for obtaining real-time mechanistic information is, for example, Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) spectroscopy that allows monitoring of ligand-dependent structural changes during the active turnover of single transporter molecules [57,58]. These studies already showed that the ABC transporter P-glycoprotein is a highly dynamic molecule with rapidly fluctuating NBDs. Performing measurements with immobilized or optically trapped molecules for longer observation times, and including fluorescent transport substrate for three-color FRET experiments, will make it possible to delineate and define the individual steps of the ABC transporter catalytic cycle.
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