Investigating the nature and properties of MAXI J1810–222 with radio and X-ray observations
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ABSTRACT

We present results from radio and X-ray observations of the X-ray transient MAXI J1810–222. The nature of the accretor in this source has not been identified. In this paper, we show results from a quasi-simultaneous radio and X-ray monitoring campaign taken with the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA), the Neil Gehrels Swift observatory X-ray telescope (XRT), and the Swift Burst Alert Telescope (BAT). We also analyse the X-ray temporal behaviour using observations from the Neutron star Interior Composition Explorer (NICER). Results show a seemingly peculiar X-ray spectral evolution of MAXI J1810–222 during this outburst, where the source was initially only detected in the soft X-ray band for the early part of the outburst. Then, ~200 days after MAXI J1810–222 was first detected the hard X-ray emission increased and the source transitioned to a long-lived (~ 1.5 years) bright, harder X-ray state. After this hard state, MAXI J1810–222 returned back to a softer state, before fading and transitioning again to a harder state and then appearing to follow a more typical outburst decay. From the X-ray spectral and timing properties, and the source’s radio behaviour, we argue that the results from this study are most consistent with MAXI J1810–222 being a relatively distant (≥6 kpc) black hole X-ray binary. A sufficiently large distance to source can simply explain the seemingly odd outburst evolution that was observed, where only the brightest portion of the outburst was detectable by the all-sky X-ray telescopes.
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1 INTRODUCTION

X-ray binaries (XRBs) consist of a compact object, either a stellar-mass black hole (BH) or a neutron star (NS), accreting matter from a companion star. Accretion typically occurs via Roche-lobe overflow, where the infalling, accreted matter forms a differentially rotating accretion disk as it spirals in toward the compact object (e.g., Pringle et al. 1973). However, not all of the infalling material is accreted onto the BH or NS primary star, instead some of it may be ejected from the system via outflows - either as a relativistic jet (e.g., Fender 2006) or disk winds (e.g., Díaz Trigo & Boirin 2016). While the accretion and wind phenomena are best observed in the optical and X-ray bands, the jets are observable at radio to infrared frequencies (and even up to X-rays and even Gamma rays).

Transient XRBs spend the majority of their lifetimes in a low-luminosity quiescent state (with an X-ray luminosity, L_X, of ≤ 10^{33} erg s^{-1}) but they may occasionally go into phases of outburst due to increased mass accretion onto the compact object (thought to possibly arise from disk instabilities; e.g., van Paradijs & Verbunt 1984; Lasota 2001) that can last weeks to years (e.g., Tetarenko et al. 2016). During such outbursts their observable emission increases dramatically, where both the disk and jet emission increase by up to several orders of magnitude. Both BH and NS XRBs exhibit a variety of X-ray spectral states, broadly categorised as either hard or soft X-ray states. However, the outburst evolution for each source (or outburst) may vary (e.g., Done et al. 2007).

For BH XRBs, during a typical outburst the source is initially in a hard X-ray state, where the X-ray emission is dominated by a hard power-law (Γ < 2) with a high-energy cut-off at ~50-100 keV. This component is usually interpreted as thermal Comptonisation of soft disk photons by a population of hot electrons (corona, ~100 keV) (Zdziarski & Gierliński 2004). The hard state is associated with a flat to slightly inverted radio spectrum (with a radio spectral index α ≥ 0, where the radio flux density, S_ν, is proportional to the observing
frequency, $\nu$, such that $S_\nu \propto \nu^p$; e.g., Blandford & Königl 1979), arising from optically thick synchrotron emission originating from a persistent compact jet (e.g., Fender 2001, 2006; Corbel & Fender 2002).

As the outburst progresses, the source brightens as the mass accretion rate increases, but remains in the hard X-ray state. At the same time the radio emission also brightens. At some point, the X-ray emission begins to soften as a thermal component that can be modelled with a multi-temperature black-body (with an inner disk temperature, $kT_{\text{in}}$, of $> 0.3 \text{keV}$), starts to become increasingly important. This emission is thought to be due to an optically thick, geometrically thin, accretion disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973).

As the disk luminosity (and temperature) increases and the X-ray emission softens. During this evolution the source can transition through the hard and soft intermediate states (HIMS and SIMS, respectively) on its way to the thermal dominant soft state (e.g., Del Santo et al. 2008). This progression is accompanied by changes in the X-ray spectral and timing properties (e.g., Belloni & Motta 2016), as well as dramatic changes in the jet emission; during this progression, the compact jet switches off (Corbel et al. 2004; Fender et al. 2004a; Fender 2010; Russell et al. 2020), being quenched by at least 3.5 orders of magnitude (Coriat et al. 2011; Russell et al. 2019; Carotenuto et al. 2021a) and a short-lived transient jet can be launched. The transient jet emission exhibits a steep radio spectrum ($\alpha \approx -0.6$) arising from ejected knots of (optically-thin) synchrotron emitting plasma (e.g., Fender 2001), possibly as they collide with the pre-existing jet or the surrounding environment (e.g., Jamil et al. 2010; Rushton et al. 2017), although that is still debated. These discrete ejecta are launched close in time to the transition from the hard state to the soft state (the precise connection to the changing accretion flow is not well understood; e.g., Fender et al. 2009; Miller-Jones et al. 2012; Tetarenko et al. 2017; Russell et al. 2019; Bright et al. 2020; Wood et al. 2021). Remaining in the soft state, the source then typically begins to fade as the mass accretion rate decreases. During this state, jet emission is generally not detected although the discrete ejecta may be detected away from the object as they travel outwards (e.g., Corbel et al. 2002; Russell et al. 2019; Bright et al. 2020; Carotenuto et al. 2021a).

As the source fades, the X-ray spectrum begins to harden once again, transitioning back to the hard state via the intermediate states in a reverse transition. Following its transition back to the hard state, the compact jet is progressively re-established over a period of several weeks (e.g., Miller-Jones et al. 2012; Corbel et al. 2013b; Kalenczi et al. 2013; Russell et al. 2014). The outburst ends when the source fades further, returning to its quiescent state, showing a fading hard X-ray spectrum as it does so.

Transient NS XRBs generally show a broadly similar pattern of behaviour during their outbursts (e.g., Migliari & Fender 2006; Muñoz-Darias et al. 2014). However, the accretion-ejection picture is not as clear, possibly complicated by the presence of a stellar surface, boundary layer, and magnetosphere. The hard state for NS XRBs is associated with the launching of a compact jet, and these systems are capable of launching a transient jet during the hard-to-soft state transition (e.g., Fomalont et al. 2001; Fender et al. 2004b; Migliari & Fender 2006). However, while the compact jet has been observed to quench in the soft state of some systems (Migliari et al. 2003; Tudose et al. 2009; Miller-Jones et al. 2010; Gusinskaia et al. 2017, 2020), it has not been identified in others (Rutledge et al. 1998; Kuulkers et al. 2003; Migliari et al. 2004; Migliari 2011). The cause for this discrepancy is unclear.

In their hard states, the radio ($L_{\text{radio}}$) and X-ray ($L_X$) luminosities observed from BH XRBs appear to be coupled, showing a non-linear, empirical correlation between the luminosities (e.g., Hannikainen et al. 1998; Corbel et al. 2000, 2003; Gallo et al. 2003, 2012; Corbel et al. 2013a; Gallo et al. 2018). For NS systems, the $L_{\text{radio}}/L_X$ coupling is less straightforward, where individual systems can show various correlations, and not all sources follow a common or even defined track (e.g. Tudor et al. 2017; van den Eijnden et al. 2021). These variations may be a result of having a NS primary (with a surface and magnetic field) impacting the observed emission from the accretion flow (e.g., Maccarone 2008). It has also been suggested that NS XRB jets may show a different geometry or coupling to the accretion flow than in BH systems (Marino et al. 2020). NS XRBs are generally observed to be more radio faint than BH systems at a given X-ray luminosity (Fender & Kuulkers 2001; Migliari & Fender 2006), with the population typically being more radio faint by an average of $\sim 22$ (Gallo et al. 2018). Such a difference has been attributed to a number of different factors, such as jet power, primary mass, spin, magnetic field, and jet launching mechanism, although no direct connection has been identified yet (e.g., van den Eijnden et al. 2021). As such, although limited, a system’s radio and X-ray luminosity has often been used to identify the nature of the accretor in XRBs. Although, we note that some NS systems can be as radio bright as their BH counterparts at similar X-ray luminosities (e.g., Russell et al. 2018; Gusinskaia et al. 2020). NS XRBs can also be identified by the presence of thermoelectronic Type-I X-ray bursts, which are sudden, short X-ray flashes that can be observed from accreting NS XRBs (Levin et al. 1993). Type-I bursts are thought to arise from the ignition and burning of accreted matter that has accumulated on the NS surface (see Galloway & Keek 2021, for review).

### 1.1 MAXI J1810–222

MAXI J1810–222 was discovered on 2018 November 29 (MJD 58451; Negoro et al. 2018) by the Monitor of All-sky X-ray Image (MAXI) X-ray telescope on board the International Space Station (Matsuoka et al. 2009), being identified as a soft X-ray transient. Nustar observation was performed on MJD 58461 (2018-12-09), showing a soft X-ray spectrum with no apparent X-ray pulsations or bursts (Oeda et al. 2019). Due to Sun constraints, pointed X-ray observations did not occur again until the Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory X-ray telescope (XRT) observed MAXI J1810–222 on 2019 February 09 (~MJD 58523). These first Swift-XRT observations measured the source position accurately and reported an X-ray spectrum that was still soft (Kennea & Negoro 2019). Analysis of UV and optical data from the Swift Ultra-Violet and Optical Telescope (UVOT) indicated a possible Gaia Data Release 2\(^1\) counterpart, implying a source distance\(^2\) of $730 \pm 30$ pc (Kennea & Negoro 2019), although this would result in a low-luminosity soft state.

Following the first Swift-XRT observation, MAXI J1810–222 faded steadily over the next ~30 days (until ~MJD 58549). Early in this fading, when the source was still X-ray bright, radio observations taken on 2019 February 16 (MJD 58530) did not detect any radio emission from MAXI J1810–222 down to a 3-$\sigma$ upper-limit of $99 \mu$Jy beam\(^{-1}\) (Carotenuto et al. 2019). Around MJD 58700 (2019 August 05), analysis of BAT survey data with the BAT\(^-\)IAuger software (Segreto et al. 2010) showed an increase in the hard X-ray emission from this source, and we triggered a radio monitoring campaign with the Australia Telescope Compact Array. Over the next ~few years MAXI J1810–222 was reported to brighten and harden.

---

1. Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2018

2. Bailner-Jones et al. 2018
on MJD 58667 (2019 July 03; Negoro et al. 2019), and again in 2020 late-February (from observations taken between MJDs 58906 and 58909; Ducci et al. 2020).

In this paper, we present radio and X-ray monitoring of this source taken over a span of more than 2 years to identify the nature of the accretor. In Section 2 we describe the details the radio and X-ray monitoring campaign. In Section 3, we outline the findings from these observations. In Section 4, we discuss the results, focusing on the nature of the compact object, its seemingly peculiar outburst evolution, and the distance to the source. We summarise our key findings in Section 5.

2 OBSERVATIONS & DATA REDUCTION

2.1 ATCA radio observations

MAXI J1810–222 was observed by the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) fourteen times between late-2019 and late-2021 (see Tab. 1). MAXI J1810–222 was first observed by ATCA on 2019 November 13 (MJD 58800) but not again until 2020 December, following which higher cadence radio observations occurred between 2020 December and 2021 November. ATCA was in various configurations for these observations, but was mostly in its more extended 6 km, 1.5 km, and 750 m configurations.

For all observations, the flux and bandpass calibration was carried out using PKS 1934–638, while the nearby (~3.67° away) J1817–254 was used for phase calibration. The data were edited for instrumental issues (shadowing etc) and radio frequency interference (RFI), calibrated, and imaged following standard procedures in the Common Astronomy Software Application (casa version 5.1.2; McMullin et al. 2007). The calibrated data sets were imaged using the casa task clean. Imaging used a Briggs weighting scheme with a robust parameter of 0, balancing sensitivity and resolution.

The flux density, Sν, of the source was measured using the casa task imfit, where the flux density of the point source was determined by fitting an elliptical Gaussian with full width at half maximum (FWHM) set by the synthesised beam shape. Errors on the absolute flux density scale include systematic uncertainties of 2% for the 5.5/9 GHz ATCA data (e.g., Massardi et al. 2011; McConnell et al. 2012), which were added in quadrature with the root mean square (RMS) of the image noise. The radio luminosity, La, was calculated by

\[ L_a = 4\pi S_\nu v^2, \]

where ν is the observing frequency and D is the distance to the source.

Table 1. Results from our ATCA radio observation. Each frequency band has a bandwidth of 2 GHz. Flux density errors include systematic uncertainties. Upper-limits on the flux density are reported as 3× the RMS above the source position. We also provide the radio spectral index, α, defined as $S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$.

| Date       | MJD      | Central frequency (GHz) | Flux density (µJy) | α     |
|------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| 2019-11-13 | 58800.29±0.06 | 5.5                     | 570 ± 20           | 0.1 ± 0.2 |
| 2020-12-13 | 59196.08±0.04 | 5.5                     | 66 ± 17            | 0.0 ± 0.5 |
| 2020-12-27 | 59210.11±0.09 | 5.5                     | 65 ± 15            | 0.0 ± 0.5 |
| 2021-01-05 | 59219.20±0.09 | 5.5                     | 73 ± 13            | 0.0 ± 0.5 |
| 2021-02-18 | 59263.80±0.06 | 5.5                     | 62 ± 15            | −0.2 ± 0.5 |
| 2021-03-07 | 59280.03±0.09 | 5.5                     | 70 ± 20            | 0.3 ± 0.5 |
| 2021-04-18 | 59322.88±0.04 | 5.5                     | 75 ± 15            | −0.3 ± 0.6 |
| 2021-04-30 | 59334.70±0.06 | 5.5                     | 202 ± 18           | 0.0 ± 0.3 |
| 2021-05-17 | 59351.90±0.03 | 5.5                     | 325 ± 30           | −0.07 ± 0.30 |
| 2021-05-19 | 59353.86±0.04 | 5.5                     | 315 ± 20           | 0.4 ± 0.3 |
| 2021-05-30 | 59365.84±0.06 | 5.5                     | 240 ± 30           | 0.14 ± 0.30 |
| 2021-09-21 | 59478.31±0.05 | 5.5                     | 250 ± 20           | 0.4 ± 0.3 |
| 2021-10-16 | 59503.21±0.08 | 5.5                     | 208 ± 16           | 0.1 ± 0.5 |
| 2021-11-13 | 59531.10±0.12 | 5.5                     | 225 ± 22           | −0.4 ± 0.3 |

R.A. (J2000) = 18°12′39.76″ ± 0.11″
Dec (J2000) = −22°19′24.92″ ± 0.26″,

where the errors are determined from the systematic errors (which are a function of the target’s distance from the phase calibrator) added in quadrature with the larger of either the theoretical error of beam centroiding (beam size/2×SNR, which dominates the R.A. error) or the statistical error on the fit (which dominates the Declination error).

2.2 Swift

2.2.1 XRT

Swift-XRT carried out a monitoring campaign on MAXI J1810–222 starting from 2019 February 16 until November 2021, for a total of 41 visits (Table 2). The XRT observations were downloaded from the HEASARC public archive (source ID: 00011105) and

3 https://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/operations/array_configurations/configurations.html
4 https://casaguides.nrao.edu/index.php?title=Main_Page
5 https://www.atnf.csiro.au/observers/memos/d96783-1.pdf
6 https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/archive.html
processed with xrtpipeline included within the HEASOFT software package (v. 6.26.1). The latest version of CALDB available when these data were released7 was employed. We verified that the MAXI J1810–222 count-rate in the observations performed in window timing (WT) mode was below 100 cts/s, thus resulting in a negligible pile-up impact. Observations in photon counting (PC) mode were moderately affected by pile-up (≥0.5 cts s⁻¹). With ns9 we used circles of 20 pixels⁸ (corresponding to ~47″) for the source and background regions, while when pile-up was an issue (in PC mode) annuli were used where the inner and outer radius were dependent on the count-rate (see https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/lheasoft/ftools/headas/xrtgrbcl.html#discussion).

These regions were centered on the measured XRT position of R.A. (J2000) = 18°12′39.66″, Dec (J2000) = −22°19′25.00″ (with an error radius of 1.8″ after Swift-UVOT enhancement, consistent with our measured radio position). We processed the light curves and spectra by running the task xrtproducts. We rebinned each spectrum with grppha in order to have at least 25 counts per bin, which allows the use of χ² statistics. Due to the relatively high column density and brightness of the source, we excluded data below 0.8 keV. To explore if short-timescale X-ray flares and bursts were present in the observations we carefully checked the light curve of each observation, searching for intra-observational variability.

2.2.2 BAT

Data from the BAT survey were retrieved from the HEASARC public archive and processed using the BAT-IMAGER software (Segreto et al. 2010). This code processes data collected by coded mask telescopes. It computes all-sky maps after modelling and subtracting the background. The last step was to re-bin the spectra, two hard X-ray imaging telescopes on board NuSTAR.

We produced light curves of MAXI J1810–222 in count rate per pixel in the energy range 15 – 50 keV, with 15 days binning time (Figure 1), as well as the 50 – 80 keV range. Spectra were extracted in the range 15 – 90 keV, with logarithmic binning (for a total of 6 bins) and the official BAT spectral redistribution matrix was used. We checked to ensure that no other sources within the BAT field of view contaminated our results.

2.3 NuSTAR

NuSTAR observed MAXI J1810–222 on MJD 58461 (2018 December 9), with two pointings (ObsID 90410366001, 90410351001) of exposures of 0.8 and 12.7 ks, respectively. Among them, we analyzed only the observation with higher exposure. Data were reduced using the standard NUSTARDAS task, incorporated in HEASOFT (v. 6.26.1). The observation was taken in observing mode ‘06’, which corresponds to the case when the on-board star tracker, the Camera Head Unit (CHU) #4, is not available because it was either blinded by a bright source or by the Earth itself, so that the image reconstruction accuracy of NuSTAR becomes profoundly degraded. The image of the source displays multiple centroids, so that an additional procedure is necessary to obtain reliable data. We used the task nusplitsc on cleaned event data in order to split the event files in several event files for each CHU combination (CHUs 3, 1+2 and 2+3 were used). After the treatment, we checked that the profile of the source was well defined and that it displayed a single centroid. For each event file, we extracted scientific products with the command nuproducts by using a circular region with a 60′′ radius as the source region (centered at the radio position of the source), sufficient to encapsulate the source PSF. In order to take into account any background non-uniformity on the detector, for the background region we used four circular areas of 50′′ in radius, placed on areas of the image with negligible contamination from the source. Finally, we summed the spectra corresponding to each CHU combination in a single spectrum. We repeated the whole procedure for both the two hard X-ray imaging telescopes on board NuSTAR, i.e. the focal plane mirror (FPM) A and B. The last step was to re-bin the spectra, in order to have at least 25 counts per bin.

---

7 caldb.indx20190910 8 As suggested by the Swift-XRT guidelines, e.g. https://www.swift.ac.uk/analysis/xrt/spectra.php

---

| ObsID | Date (UTC) | Date (MJD) | Obs. Mode | Exposure (ks) |
|-------|------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| 01    | 2019-02-09 | 58523.27   | PC        | 0.96          |
| 02    | 2019-02-16 | 58530.25   | WT        | 0.98          |
| 04    | 2019-02-19 | 58533.49   | WT        | 0.99          |
| 05    | 2019-02-21 | 58535.95   | WT        | 0.96          |
| 06    | 2019-02-23 | 58537.81   | WT        | 0.99          |
| 07    | 2019-02-24 | 58539.00   | WT        | 0.88          |
| 08    | 2019-03-03 | 58545.86   | WT        | 0.96          |
| 09    | 2019-03-05 | 58547.57   | WT        | 0.97          |
| 10    | 2019-03-07 | 58549.11   | WT        | 1.06          |
| 11    | 2019-03-09 | 58551.49   | WT        | 0.92          |
| 12    | 2019-03-11 | 58553.62   | WT        | 0.38          |
| 13    | 2019-03-13 | 58555.35   | WT        | 0.87          |
| 14    | 2019-07-05 | 58669.76   | WT        | 0.99          |
| 15    | 2019-11-05 | 58792.80   | PC        | 0.99          |
| 16    | 2019-02-09 | 59254.82   | PC        | 1.00          |
| 17    | 2019-02-18 | 59263.84   | PC        | 0.86          |
| 18    | 2019-02-25 | 59270.76   | PC        | 0.93          |
| 19    | 2019-03-10 | 59283.63   | PC        | 0.95          |
| 20    | 2019-03-28 | 59301.03   | PC        | 0.89          |
| 21    | 2019-04-11 | 59315.71   | WT        | 0.55          |
| 22    | 2019-04-15 | 59319.82   | PC        | 0.99          |
| 23    | 2019-04-18 | 59322.39   | PC        | 0.83          |
| 24    | 2019-04-21 | 59325.13   | PC        | 1.00          |
| 25    | 2019-04-25 | 59329.96   | PC        | 0.63          |
| 26    | 2019-04-25 | 59331.95   | WT        | 0.42          |
| 27    | 2019-05-03 | 59337.08   | WT        | 0.10          |
| 28    | 2019-05-09 | 59343.91   | WT        | 0.97          |
| 29    | 2019-05-12 | 59346.51   | PC        | 0.03          |
| 32    | 2019-05-23 | 59357.71   | PC        | 0.09          |
| 33    | 2019-05-26 | 59360.50   | PC        | 0.88          |
| 34    | 2019-05-30 | 59364.68   | PC        | 0.92          |
| 36    | 2019-06-06 | 59371.65   | PC        | 0.90          |
| 37    | 2019-08-20 | 59446.92   | PC        | 0.18          |
| 40    | 2019-09-08 | 59465.96   | PC        | 0.89          |
| 41    | 2019-09-16 | 59473.79   | WT        | 0.20          |
| 43    | 2019-09-21 | 59478.32   | PC        | 0.86          |
| 44    | 2019-09-30 | 59487.28   | PC        | 0.97          |
| 45    | 2019-10-06 | 59493.31   | PC        | 0.91          |
| 46    | 2019-10-13 | 59500.55   | PC        | 1.01          |
| 47    | 2019-10-20 | 59507.58   | PC        | 1.36          |
| 48    | 2019-10-27 | 59514.56   | PC        | 0.87          |
| 49    | 2019-11-03 | 59521.32   | PC        | 1.44          |

---
we selected a number of XRT spectra and combined them with quasi-simultaneous BAT spectra (Table 3). In the following sections, we report on the broad-band spectral fitting results as well radio evolution during the outburst.

3.1 Outburst evolution in the X-ray and radio

3.1.1 Initial discovery in the soft state

The discovery X-ray detection and X-ray follow-up soon after its initial detection implied a soft X-ray spectrum, as reported by both MAXI and NuSTAR teams (Matsuoka et al. 2009; Oeda et al. 2019).

3.1.2 Brightening of the hard X-ray emission

Around MJD 58600, the 15 – 50 keV emission was first detected, brightening considerably over the next few months before levelling off around MJD 58750. The first XRT observation taken during this brightening in hard X-rays occurred on MJD 58670 (obsID 00011105014). We extracted a BAT spectrum averaged over an interval of ~30 days centered at the time of this XRT observation, as no significant hard variability was observed in the BAT light curve during this time. We fitted these XRT and BAT spectra simultaneously. Significant emission is detected up to ~100 keV (Figure 4, middle-left panel) and is well described by thermal Comptonization emission (nthcomp) plus a thermal, standard, disk emission (diskbb).

Table 3. List of the BAT observations of the source used in this work. The MJD ranges represent the time ranges from which survey BAT spectra were extracted. We also report the corresponding XRT obsID performed within the BAT time range, where the XRT obsIDs are given with the prefix of 0001105050 removed (*=00011050). We selected a number of XRT spectra and combined them with quasi-simultaneous BAT spectra (Table 3). In the following sections, we report on the broad-band spectral fitting results as well radio evolution during the outburst.

2.4 NICER

NICER observed MAXI J1810–222 84 times between February 2019 to November 2020. A complete NICER spectral and timing analysis of MAXI J1810–222 is beyond the scope of this work (and will be presented in a forthcoming paper). As such, here we only selected three observations representative of the different spectral states we observed, in order to explore the overall variability properties of the source: we studied NICER observations with the identification numbers 1200560105, 2200560121, 2200560140. These observations were selected to be as close as possible to the XRT spectra with Obs IDs 000110502, 00011105014, 00011105015 (see Section 3).

For each of the above observations we visually inspected the light curves and determined appropriate GTIs to remove instrumental artifacts. Then we extracted power density spectra (PDS) in the 0.5 – 15.0 kHz energy range in segments ∼15 s long. We then averaged the Leahy-normalised PDS created from each segment to produce one averaged PDS per observation with a Nyquist frequency of ∼1 kHz. We did not correct for the contribution of the Poisson noise, but fitted it with a flat power law when modeling the PDS.

3 RESULTS

In Figure 1 we show the X-ray and radio light curves, X-ray hardness (defined as the count rate ratio 2–10 keV / 0.5 - 2 keV) and radio spectral index, α, obtained from our long-term monitoring campaign. The spectral evolution of the system is also shown by the XRT Hardness Intensity Diagram (HID; Figure 2). This HID has been computed by estimating the 0.5–2 keV / 2–10 keV fluxes with a spectral fitting procedure. Using xspec, we modelled each XRT spectrum with either a multi-color disk blackbody (diskbb) or a thermal Comptonization model (nthcomp), or a combination of the two models when required. In the spectral fits which included nthcomp, the np_type parameter was set to 1, i.e. corresponding to disk blackbody seed photons, and the seed photons temperature tied to the $kT_{\text{disk}}$ parameter when diskbb was included or fixed to 0.1 when no disk component was required. For all spectra, the interstellar absorption was left free and fitted with the model tbabs, using photoelectric cross sections from Verner et al. (1996) and element abundances from Wilms et al. (2000). Spectral parameters of each XRT spectrum are shown in Table 4.

As apparent from Figure 2, the system displayed an atypical spectral evolution along the outburst. Based on the spectral variability,
Table 4. Fit results of the Swift/XRT spectra. We used diskbb, nthcomp or both when required by the data. The tbabs model has been applied to all the spectra to take into account the neutral absorption. The electron temperature of the Comptonisation component, $kT_e$, has been fixed at 25 keV. Parameters in round parentheses were kept frozen. Quoted errors reflect 90% confidence level. For the obsID $*$=00011050.

| Obs. | $N_H$ (×10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $kT_{\text{disk}}$ (keV) | $K_{\text{disk}}$ | $\Gamma$ | $F_{0.5-10}$ keV (×10$^{-10}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $\chi^2$ (d.o.f.) |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|------------------|------------------|
| *01  | 1.34±0.14       | 0.53±0.03       | 770±300     | -       | 3.44±0.14        | 0.96(76)         |
| *02  | 1.38±0.07       | 0.49±0.014      | 830±160     | -       | 2.45±0.05        | 1.13(177)        |
| *04  | 1.24±0.09       | 0.45±0.02       | 980±240     | -       | 1.93±0.04        | 0.76(150)        |
| *05  | 1.21±0.08       | 0.45±0.02       | 840±210     | -       | 1.56±0.04        | 1.01(137)        |
| *06  | 1.40±0.20       | 0.42±0.04       | 630±280     | -       | 0.73±0.06        | 0.91(36)         |
| *07  | 1.27±0.10       | 0.43±0.02       | 930±280     | -       | 1.37±0.04        | 1.01(124)        |
| *08  | 1.27±0.13       | 0.41±0.02       | 790±390     | -       | 0.89±0.04        | 1.06(95)         |
| *09  | 1.14±0.12       | 0.40±0.02       | 870±270     | -       | 0.87±0.03        | 0.98(99)         |
| *10  | 1.46±0.16       | 0.41±0.02       | 880±260     | -       | 0.86±0.05        | 0.94(72)         |
| *11  | 1.15±0.09       | 0.45±0.02       | 700±210     | -       | 1.42±0.04        | 0.96(131)        |
| *12  | 1.22±0.15       | 0.43±0.02       | 1360±370    | -       | 2.04±0.08        | 1.07(58)         |
| *13  | 1.43±0.11       | 0.45±0.02       | 850±270     | -       | 1.48±0.05        | 0.87(127)        |
| *14  | 0.74±0.05       | 0.38±0.02       | 1800±920    | -       | 2.1±0.3          | 2.98±0.11        |
| *15  | 0.4±0.01        | -                | -           | 1.6±0.1 | 2.10±0.20        | 0.91(200)        |
| *16  | 1.0±0.3         | -                | -           | 1.38±0.19| 2.50±0.20        | 0.78(28)         |
| *17  | 1.0±0.2         | -                | -           | 1.63±0.07| 1.80±0.20        | 0.81(21)         |
| *18  | 1.3±0.3         | -                | -           | 1.7±0.2  | 1.80±0.15        | 0.95(40)         |
| *19  | 1.04±0.12       | -                | -           | 1.47±0.05| 1.87±0.16        | 0.78(41)         |
| *20  | 0.70±0.20       | -                | -           | 1.58±0.20| 2.00±0.20        | 1.10(38)         |
| *21  | 1.08±0.15       | 0.32±0.02       | 3825±4220   | -       | 1.8±0.1          | 3.60±0.3         |
| *22  | 0.9±0.2         | -                | -           | 1.9±0.2  | 1.70±0.19        | 0.78(28)         |
| *23  | 0.6±0.3         | -                | -           | 1.6±0.2  | 1.50±0.20        | 0.81(21)         |
| *24  | 1.0±0.3         | -                | -           | 2.0±0.2  | 1.62±0.18        | 0.78(28)         |
| *25  | 1.0±0.3         | -                | -           | 2.2±0.3  | 1.80±0.20        | 0.78(28)         |
| *26  | 1.0±0.2         | 0.31±0.08       | 2700±3200   | -       | 1.8±0.4          | 2.70±0.20        |
| *27  | 0.90±0.40       | -                | -           | 2.8±0.5  | 3.60±0.60        | 0.90(14)         |
| *28  | 1.13±0.08       | 0.35±0.03       | 3895±4230   | -       | 2.1±0.3          | 3.67±0.12        |
| *29  | (1.0)           | 0.35±0.07       | 1310±1600   | <2.1     | 2.50±0.30        | 1.02(211)        |
| *33  | 0.9±0.2         | -                | -           | 2.2±0.2  | 1.62±0.18        | 1.00(29)         |
| *34  | 0.8±0.2         | -                | -           | 1.8±0.2  | 1.49±0.18        | 0.89(24)         |
| *36  | 0.7±0.2         | -                | -           | 1.7±0.2  | 1.44±0.16        | 0.98(20)         |
| *40  | 1.43±0.16       | 0.54±0.03       | 990±380     | -       | 4.65±0.18        | 1.21(71)         |
| *41  | 1.02±0.11       | 0.55±0.03       | 660±240     | -       | 4.15±0.16        | 0.99(72)         |
| *43  | 1.41±0.19       | 0.52±0.04       | 1040±570    | -       | 3.77±0.18        | 1.20(55)         |
| *44  | 1.31±0.16       | 0.49±0.03       | 920±380     | -       | 2.59±0.11        | 0.87(56)         |
| *45  | 1.35±0.20       | 0.44±0.03       | 900±350     | -       | 1.42±0.08        | 0.94(37)         |
| *47  | (1.40)          | -                | -           | 1.7±0.2  | 0.72±0.09        | 0.78(15)         |
| *48  | 1.20±0.50       | -                | -           | 1.9±0.3  | 1.10±0.14        | 0.95(15)         |
| *49  | 0.80±0.30       | 0.47±0.18       | 170±870     | 1.8±0.5  | 2.12±0.16        | 1.3(57)          |
Radio and X-ray observations of MAXI J1810−222

Figure 1. X-ray and radio light curves of MAXI J1810−222. First panel: Swift-XRT (red diamonds) and BAT (black circles) X-ray light curves. The horizontal dashed line marks zero and the vertical dashed line represents the timing of the NuSTAR observation. Second panel: X-ray hardness from the Swift-XRT monitoring, where hardness is defined as (2–10 keV)/(0.5–2 keV). As a guide, we arbitrarily place a horizontal line at a hardness of 0.5. Third panel: Multi-frequency radio light curve, where the 5.5 GHz and 9 GHz data are shown as the blue squares and green triangles, respectively. The brown circle also shows the soft-state 1.28 GHz non-detection reported by Carotenuto et al. (2019), where we show the 3-$\sigma$ upper-limit. Fourth panel: Radio spectral index, $\alpha$. The long-term X-ray and radio monitoring shows a seemingly atypical evolution during MAXI J1810−222’s long-lived outburst.

briefly fade (for a few weeks). A single XRT observation showed that the soft emission had also faded around this time, when compared to the previous XRT observation. Despite the decreasing luminosity in both the hard and soft X-ray band, the broad-band X-ray spectrum was harder (Figure 4, bottom-left panel), as shown by the increase in the X-ray hardness (Figure 1). At this time, the disk emission becomes negligible (see Table 5), and the Comptonisation component flattened ($\Gamma \sim 1.6$), suggesting that the source was in a hard X-ray state. In addition, NICER observations showed the fractional X-ray emission variability progressively increased during this period, as expected for a source transitioning from the soft state, towards and then into a hard X-ray spectral state. While no X-ray quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs) were detected from MAXI J1810−222, NICER observations on MJD 58793 (ID 2200560140) showed a RMS of $\approx 25\%$ and a QPO-free flat-top noise PDS, breaking at $\approx 1$ Hz (Figure 4, bottom-right panel).

ATCA observations taken on MJD 58800 detected the relatively bright radio counterpart to MAXI J1810−222 (Table 1). We measured radio flux densities of $570 \pm 20 \mu$Jy at 5.5 GHz and $576 \pm 16 \mu$Jy.
The time sequencing of the data is highlighted with a colormap, from blue to green as shown in the top panel. The inset tracks out the hardness evolution during the outburst. Throughout its outburst, MAXI J1810–222 was observed to transition back and forth between bright softer and harder X-ray states, peculiar for a BH or NS XRB.

Table 5. Fitting results of different spectral states. Parameters in round parentheses were kept frozen. Quoted errors reflect 90% confidence level. Observations are labeled for ObsID, †=90402370, *=00011050. Parameters without a component for that fit are marked by ‘—’.

| Observatory | Observation | \(N_H\) \((\times10^{22}\text{ cm}^{-2})\) | \(kT_{\text{in}}\) (keV) | \(K_{\text{disk}}\) | \(\Gamma\) | \(kT_{\text{c}}\) (keV) | \(F_{\text{unabs,0.1–100keV}}\) \((\times10^{-9}\text{ erg cm}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1})\) | \(\chi^2_{\nu}\) (d.o.f.) |
|-------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| NuSTAR      | *02         | 1.0                              | 0.49 ± 0.01      | 780\(^{+160}_{-130}\) | 3.45\(^{+0.2}_{-0.25}\) | >10              | 0.958±0.020                   | 0.050±0.005       | 1.04(217)          |
| XRT         | *01         | 1.34\(^{+0.14}_{-0.12}\)         | 0.53 ± 0.03      | 770\(^{+300}_{-210}\) | -            | -                | 1.410±0.120                   | -                 | 0.96(76)           |
| XRT+BAT     | *14         | 0.74 ± 0.05                       | 0.38 ± 0.02      | 1800\(^{+920}_{-570}\) | 2.1\(^{+0.3}_{-0.1}\) | >30              | 0.710±0.040                   | 0.320\(^{+0.060}_{-0.030}\) | 0.91(200)          |
| XRT+BAT     | *15         | 0.4±0.1                           | -                | -                | 1.6 ± 0.1 | 24\(^{+16}_{-5}\) | -                | 0.966\(^{+0.180}_{-0.160}\) | 1.17(55)           |
| XRT+BAT     | *17         | 1.0 ± 0.2                         | -                | -                | 1.63\(^{+0.07}_{-0.06}\) | >54              | -                | 0.80\(^{+0.10}_{-0.09}\)     | 0.77(45)           |
| XRT+BAT     | *21         | 1.08\(^{+0.15}_{-0.12}\)         | 0.32 ± 0.02      | 3825\(^{+2250}_{-2250}\) | 1.8\(^{+0.1}_{-0.2}\) | >30              | 0.720\(^{+0.080}_{-0.070}\) | 1.050\(^{+0.200}_{-0.200}\) | 0.92(131)          |
| XRT+BAT     | *28         | 1.13 ± 0.08                       | 0.35 ± 0.03      | 3895\(^{+2330}_{-1330}\) | 2.1\(^{+0.3}_{-0.0}\) | (20)             | 1.170±0.060                   | 0.650\(^{+0.040}_{-0.030}\) | 1.02(211)          |
| XRT+BAT     | *34         | 0.6±0.1                           | -                | -                | 1.90\(^{+0.08}_{-1.0}\) | >48              | -                | 0.60±0.10                  | 0.71(37)           |
| XRT         | *41         | 1.02±0.11                         | 0.55±0.03        | 660\(^{+240}_{-170}\) | -            | -                | 1.32\(^{+0.13}_{-0.12}\)     | -                 | 0.99(72)           |

Table 4 indicating that the system was still in the hard state (see e.g. obs. *17 in Table 5).

We also extracted the BAT lightcurve in the 50 – 80 keV energy band (see Figure 5). While the 50 – 80 keV X-rays typically traced a similar behaviour to the 15 – 50 keV X-rays, we did observe some bright flares in the 50 – 80 keV band that were not seen in the lower X-ray energies. In particular, during the time intervals ~MJD 58850 – 59000 and ~MJD 59150 – 59300 the hardest 50 – 80 keV showed

at 9 GHz, providing a radio spectral index, \(\alpha\), of 0.1 ± 0.2, consistent with a flat to mildly-inverted radio spectrum (Figure 1).

MAXI J1810–222 remained quite stable in the 15 – 50 keV X-rays band over the following ~1.5 years. Towards the end of this phase, higher cadence XRT observations also show relatively stable (albeit faint) 0.5 – 10 keV emission, with hard X-ray spectra (X-ray hardness exceeding 1 and lack of the disk blackbody component, see

Figure 2. XRT light curve (top panel) and hardness intensity diagram (HID, lower panel) of MAXI J1810–222. The time sequencing of the data is highlighted with a colormap, from blue to green as shown in the top panel. The inset tracks out the hardness evolution during the outburst. Throughout its outburst, MAXI J1810–222 was observed to transition back and forth between bright softer and harder X-ray states, peculiar for a BH or NS XRB.
significant brightening above the 15 – 50 keV X-rays. Such flares in only the hardest X-ray bands can arise from either an increase of the electron temperature of the thermal Comptonisation, or from the appearance of an additional high energy component.

It is worth noting that the ATCA radio observations taken during the ~MJD 59150 – 59300 range showed steady, fainter radio emission, with flux densities of ~60 – 80 μJy. Over this time the radio spectrum remained consistent with flat (α ≈ 0), although due to the faintness of the radio counterpart the errors on the radio spectrum were large.

Around MJD 59322 the hard X-ray emission once-again briefly faded, decreasing by a factor of ~2 over a period of a few weeks. This time, however, it was accompanied by a brightening of the soft 0.5–10 keV emission (which increased by a factor of ~4; Figure 1). This X-ray softening is apparent in both the X-ray hardness (Figure 1) and the HID (Figure 2). In the first XRT observation (obsID *21) taken during this phase, the contribution from a cold disk (kT_disk ~0.3 keV) becomes significant again and Γ steepened to ~1.8. The softer X-ray spectral behaviour exhibited during this brightening was considerably variable (see Figure 2), with the system erratically moving back and forth from high flux softer states (such as obs. *28, Table 5) to low flux harder states (obs. *34, Table 5). Only the higher X-ray flux observations require the addition of a cold component in the X-ray spectral models (see Table 4). As the X-rays brightened, the radio emission also increased. Throughout the brightening, the radio spectrum remained flat (α ≈ 0). Interestingly, as the source once-again faded to its pre-brightening levels (from a few weeks earlier) the radio spectrum became increasingly inverted (α = 1.3±0.7).

3.1.3 Hard X-ray decline

The hard X-rays began to fade away after ~MJD 59400. Around the same time, the soft X-rays also brightened considerably, implying a return to a soft X-ray state (Figure 1 and 2). Around the time of the XRT peak (in obs. *41) the hard X-ray emission (beyond 15 keV) became too faint to be detected. The XRT spectrum can be well described by a simple diskbb model, with kT_disk ~0.6 keV, the highest disk temperature observed up to this point. The radio spectrum during the decline was measured to be steep (α = −1.1 ± 0.5).

The XRT emission then faded and the spectrum hardened. This coupled with the X-ray hardness and the source evolution in the HID suggest a return to the hard state. No radio emission was detected from MAXI J1810–222 during this hard state.

An additional ATCA observation taken a few weeks later showed relatively bright radio emission with a radio spectrum consistent with flat (Table 1 and Figure 1). At the same time, BAT monitoring showed that the 15 – 50 keV emission had once again brightened suggesting a return to a bright hard state. However, that return was short-lived and the source faded, becoming undetected by the BAT monitoring suggesting a possible end to the outburst (at least during our monitoring). Although, due to the lack of further monitoring at soft X-rays, it is also possible that the source remained in a bright soft state beyond this point.

3.2 Radio position and reported possible GAIA counterpart

Our measured radio position (Section 3.2) is consistent with the Swift-XRT position (Kennea & Negoro 2019), as shown in Figure 6. From optical and UV observations, Kennea & Negoro (2019) proposed a possible Gaia counterpart to MAXI J1810–222 (labelled as G2 in the lower panel of Figure 6), although this possible counterpart was slightly outside the XRT 90% error region. If G2 is the optical counterpart to MAXI J1810–222, it would imply a relatively low source distance of 730 ± 30 pc. However, our radio source position is not consistent with the potential optical/UV counterpart, G2, or any other source listed in the Gaia catalogue, where the nearest object, labelled G1, in Figure 6, lies ≈3” from our radio position (Figure 6, lower panel). Therefore, our radio position suggests that G2 is not associated with MAXI J1810–222. G1, which does not have a Gaia parallax distance, is consistent with the XRT position but is located ≈3.2” away from our radio position. From the Gaia (early data release 3) catalogue, the probability of finding an unrelated source within 3.2” from any random position is ≈90%. Therefore, we conclude that neither G1 or G2 are associated with MAXI J1810–222. In addition, the line of sight direction of source is towards the Galactic bulge, so it is not unusual for an optical counterpart to not be detected if the source is sufficiently distant, due to extinction close to the Galactic plane.

4 DISCUSSION

We have studied the broadband properties of MAXI J1810–222. In this work, we present X-ray spectra of MAXI J1810–222 with Swift (XRT and BAT) and NuSTAR, as well as the X-ray timing properties during three representative spectral states with NICER. We also provide the result of our coordinated radio campaign with ATCA. While the source was initially detected in the soft state and exhibited a long and complex outburst, the source properties are consistent with an XRB passing through different X-ray spectral states (see, e.g., McClintock & Remillard 2006; Fender 2006). During its hard X-ray states the X-ray spectrum displayed an X-ray photon index of ~1.6 and a persistent flat-spectrum radio jet. On the contrary, during the soft X-ray states the inner disk emission becomes dominant and the radio emission was either quenched or steep, as expected for a soft state (e.g., Fender et al. 2004a). In addition, we have also observed...
a number of intermediate states with spectral parameters in between the two main states. Here we discuss a number of key properties of the system that we can determine from our multiwavelength monitoring campaign.

### 4.1 Nature of the compact object

Without an estimate on the distance to the source, identifying whether the compact object in an XRB is a BH or NS from radio and X-ray monitoring can be challenging (unless X-ray bursts or pulsations are detected). While our results from MAXI J1810–222 may be able to be reproduced by both a BH and NS accretor, below we argue that the X-ray behaviour of MAXI J1810–222 is more consistent with a BH system.
No X-ray pulsations were detected and no trace of Type-I X-ray bursts were found in the X-ray light curves. If detected, these properties would indicate a NS accretor. However, lack of detection of these two phenomena does not necessarily mean the accretor is not a NS. A large number of the known NS XRBs do not display X-ray pulsations, possibly due to the magnetosphere being absent or very weak (see, e.g., Patruno et al. 2018, and references therein) or the polar caps are not favourably aligned. Furthermore, X-ray pulsation behaviour is often intermittent (Casella et al. 2008; Patruno et al. 2010; Patruno 2012; Campana et al. 2013). The exhibited X-ray timing and spectral properties, including the disk temperature and the soft/hard state evolution of MAXI J1810–222 are more suggestive of a BH primary. For NS XRBs in their soft states an additional blackbody component is generally required to account for the presence of a hot stellar surface. This additional component is typically at a higher temperature (∼1–2 keV) with respect to the disk (see, e.g., Barret 2001; D’Ai et al. 2010; Ludlam et al. 2018; Marino et al. 2019). From our monitoring, the highest temperature we derive is ∼0.6 keV and an additional blackbody component was not required (see, e.g., Del Santo et al. 2008).

In addition, even though the estimated values of the X-ray RMS are consistent both with NS and BH systems (Muñoz-Darias et al. 2014), the timing parameters and PDS are more representative of an accreting BH. We do note that the lack of significant QPOs in the PDS may be surprising in the case of a BH binary. However, this could be explained in terms of a low orbital inclination of the source, which would make QPOs seen in the hard and hard intermediate states (i.e. type-C QPOs) much less prominent (Motta et al. 2015). The shape of the PDS continuum and lack of X-ray variability during the soft states are more consistent with a BH accretor (see, for e.g., Ingram & Motta 2019). Therefore, due to the spectral and timing behaviour, we favour a BH accretor in MAXI J1810–222.

4.2 Outburst evolution and state transitions

In a typical outburst, BH XRBs are usually observed to brighten in a hard X-ray state, before transitioning through the hard and soft intermediate states to the soft X-ray state. This hard to soft state transition is usually observed to occur at X-ray luminosities of ≥3% of the Eddington luminosity (Ledd; Dunn et al. 2010). As the outburst decays, the source then typically transitions back to the hard state at X-ray luminosities of between 0.3% and 3% Ledd (Maccarone 2003; Dunn et al. 2010; Vahdat Motlagh et al. 2019). However, some BH XRBs, or specific outbursts from some systems, do not follow this standard pattern of outburst: sources may not transition beyond the hard or intermediate states (showing no soft states, e.g., Harmon et al. 1994; Brocksopp et al. 2004), or can show complex outburst behaviour, such as multiple outburst peaks, re-brightenings, or glitches (e.g., Chen et al. 1997; Homan et al. 2013; Yan & Yu 2017; Zhang et al. 2019; Parikh et al. 2019), and may complete the reverse transition at
exceptionally low X-ray luminosities (Tomsick et al. 2014; Russell et al. 2019; Chauhan et al. 2019).

MAXI J1810−222 was initially detected in the soft state (e.g., Maruyama et al. 2018), before transitioning to an apparent long-lived hard state where it remained for ∼1.5 years (although we do not have good coverage of the low-energy, soft X-rays over this time, which may variability indicating otherwise). After this long-lived phase, MAXI J1810−222 returned to the soft state, before fading at X-ray wavelengths and transitioning once again to the hard state (Figure 2). Afterwards, it appears that the source re-brightened again in both XRT and BAT. However, MAXI J1810−222 was not visible to XRT due to the position of the Sun and our broader monitoring campaign had ceased so we did not monitor the source further.

Despite the seemingly complex and unusual outburst pattern, the explanation could be simple if MAXI J1810−222 is located at a sufficiently large distance. In this scenario, the low/hard states typically observed at the beginning of an outburst were simply not bright enough to be detected by all-sky X-ray monitors. The soft→hard transition and subsequent hard state would then simply be a loop/excursion back to a bright hard X-ray state close to the peak of the outburst. Such loops are not unusual in BH XRBs (e.g., Fender et al. 2004a), and sometimes can appear more X-ray bright than the rising hard state (see Tetarenko et al. 2016, and references therein for further discussion). Although, we note that these loops are usually relatively short lived, lasting weeks and not years like in MAXI J1810−222. This source has remained in outburst for a number of years, and was in a bright harder state for nearly 1.5 years (however, as mentioned previously, without soft X-ray monitoring we cannot exclude soft X-ray flaring driving state transitions). Long-duration bright hard states are not unheard of, for e.g., sources such as 4U 0540−697, GR 1758−258, GR 1915+105, 4U 1956+350, Swift J1753.5−0127, as well as others, have been observed in outburst or within bright hard states for even longer periods of time (see discussions and table 15 in Tetarenko et al. 2016, for a complete list, and references therein). Additionally, the recent outburst of MAXI J1820+070 remained in a bright hard state for more than 100 days during the rise phase of its outburst (e.g., You et al. 2021).

Exploring the system properties of BH XRBs that exhibit long-lived hard states does not reveal any obvious shared properties (e.g., Tetarenko et al. 2016; Corral-Santana et al. 2016), with no clear connection between hard state duration and BH mass, orbital period, inclination, or mass function.

Near the end of our monitoring, MAXI J1810−222 appeared to follow a more standard pattern of outburst decay, where it transitioned to the soft state, began to fade, and then returned to the hard state. Our final XRT observation captured the system brightening once again, which was accompanied by a brightening in BAT. This re-brightening was short-lived however, where the BAT emission faded once again. Although it is possible the source remained bright in the soft X-ray band. Unfortunately, we were not able to follow the subsequent evolution further with XRT due to visibility and telescope constraints, and we did not observe the source again with ATCA.

4.3 Radio emission from MAXI J1810−222

Our radio campaign on MAXI J1810−222 showed radio emission typical of a BH XRB. During the hard states the radio emission was consistent with a flat spectrum, where α ∼ 0, arising from optically-thick synchrotron emission from a self-absorbed compact jet (e.g., Blandford & Königl 1979). During the soft states the radio emission was observed to be either quenched or variable with a steep radio spectrum, indicative of an optically-thin transient jet that is launched around the hard to soft state transition (e.g., Fender 2006).

We also note that the flat spectrum remained throughout the soft X-ray brightening (∼MJD 59322), suggesting that while the X-ray spectrum did soften, the steady jet remained on, suggesting that the source likely only transitioned as far as an intermediate state.

4.3.1 Location on the radio/X-ray plane

Placing our quasi-simultaneous hard state radio and X-ray observations of MAXI J1810−222 on the radio/X-ray plane allows us to compare its inferred radio and X-ray luminosities against typical luminosities of BH (and NS) XRBs. Due to the unknown source distance, the observed radio and X-ray luminosities are consistent with those typically observed from both NS and BH XRBs (and we caution against using this method as the sole method to identify the nature of the compact object). However, as discussed previously, the observed X-ray spectral and timing properties suggest that MAXI J1810−222 is most likely a BH XRB. If that is the case, comparing its hard state radio and X-ray luminosities against those typically observed from other BH systems, to be comparable in luminosity MAXI J1810−222 needs to be located at a distance of ≥ 6 kpc. We note that some systems deviate from the standard radio/X-ray luminosities shown by the broad population of BH XRBs, displaying a hybrid correlation and occupying a different parameter space (for e.g., MAXI J1348−630; Carotenuto et al. 2021b). Therefore, we caution against its use as anything more than a suggestion that MAXI J1810−222 is relatively distant, although that proposition is also supported by the X-ray non-detection during the rising hard state (by Swift-BAT and MAXI).

Out of interest, we also show the line-of-sight direction to the source against a top-down view of the Milky Way showing that MAXI J1810−222 can still be located in the Galactic Bar region out...
Figure 8. The line-of-sight towards of MAXI J1810–222 plotted against the Milky Way in Galactocentric coordinates. The coloured line represents the direction to MAXI J1810–222 for different distances to the source (as shown by the colour bar), indicating that the system can lie at large distances while remaining in the denser regions of the Galactic centre. The background image is an illustration of the Milky Way (illustration credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech/ESO/R. Hurt), image created using the python package **MPL**.

5 CONCLUSIONS

MAXI J1810–222 is a Galactic X-ray transient that was first detected in outburst in 2019. X-ray spectral and timing properties are most consistent with it being BH XRB, although a NS counterpart cannot be ruled out. At first glance, this source has displayed an odd outburst evolution, first being detected in a soft state, before transitioning to a bright hard state where it remained for ~1.5 years. After which, the source returned to the soft state, faded and then transitioned to a hard state as the outburst apparently decayed. However, the seemingly odd outburst evolution can be simply explained with a sufficiently large distance to the source, such that the source was not detectable by all sky X-ray monitors (which primarily work in the hard X-ray bands) during its lower-luminosity rise phase. In this scenario, the initial bright soft→hard state transition could be a result of a loop/excursion back to the hard state from the high soft state, and not a standard reverse transition during the outburst decay. Such excursions are not rare in BH systems. However, it has been observed in at least one low-inclination BH XRB, where large variations in the radio emission could be explained by variable Doppler boosting of the jet emission and a low inclination (Russell et al. 2015). As shown in Figure 5, it is possible that the larger than expected variations in the hard state radio flux densities may be related to flaring observed in the hardest 50–80 keV X-rays, which are not captured in the standard 1–10 keV band used for typical radio/X-ray correlations. Speculatively, there may be a suggestion of an anti-correlation between the 50–80 keV flux and the radio flux density during the bright hard state, where our brightest radio detections occurred during the fainter 50–80 keV periods. Connecting the radio flux to the hardest X-ray emission could help to understand the origin of the additional and variable soft γ-ray component observed by the INTEGRAL X-ray telescope in several BH XRBs during their hard states (e.g., Del Santo et al. 2008, Droulans et al. 2010). However, between ~MJD 59150 and 59300 the 50–80 keV X-rays were bright and exhibiting strong variability. Over the same period, no significant radio flaring was observed, with the radio emission remaining faint and steady. If there was a simple and direct connection between the hard X-rays and the jet, we would naively expect similar changes in the radio emission. Hence, it is likely that such a connection, if there, is more complex and not observed within our data. As such, any connection between the jet and additional (to the thermal Comptonisation) hard X-ray component is speculative. A combination of multi-wavelength observations and physical models would be necessary for such a study (e.g., Bassi et al. 2020).
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