New genome assemblies reveal patterns of domestication and adaptation across *Brettanomyces* (*Dekkera*) species.
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Abstract

**Background.** Yeast of the genus *Brettanomyces* are of significant interest, both for their capacity to spoil, as well as their potential to positively contribute to different industrial fermentations. However, considerable variance exists in the depth of research and knowledgebase of the five currently known species of *Brettanomyces*. For instance, *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* has been heavily studied and many resources are available for this species, whereas *Brettanomyces nanus* is rarely studied and lacks a publicly available genome assembly altogether. The purpose of this study is to fill this knowledge gap and explore the genomic adaptations that have shaped the evolution of this genus.

**Results.** Strains for each of the five widely accepted species of *Brettanomyces* (*Brettanomyces anomalus, B. bruxellensis, Brettanomyces custersianus, Brettanomyces naardenensis,* and *B. nanus*) were sequenced using a combination of long- and short-read sequencing technologies. Highly contiguous assemblies were produced for each species. Sweeping and extensive structural variation between the species’ genomes were observed and gene expansions in fermentation-relevant genes (particularly in *B. bruxellensis* and *B. nanus*) were identified. Numerous horizontal gene transfer (HGT) events in all
Brettanomyces species’, including an HGT event that is probably responsible for allowing *B. bruxellensis* and *B. anomalus* to utilize sucrose were also observed.

Conclusions. Genomic adaptations and some evidence of domestication that have taken place in *Brettanomyces* are outlined. These new genome assemblies form a valuable resource for future research in *Brettanomyces*.
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Background

Most commercial alcoholic fermentations are currently performed by yeast from the genus *Saccharomyces* with the most common species being *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The domestication of *S. cerevisiae* is thought to have begun as early as prehistoric times [1]. To date, many commercially available strains are available which have been highly selected for fermentation in harsh conditions, such as those encountered during wine, beer, and industrial bioethanol fermentations [2-4]. In parallel with *Saccharomyces*, a distantly related genus of budding yeasts, *Brettanomyces* (telemorph *Dekkera*), has also convergently evolved to occupy this same niche [5].

There are currently five widely accepted species of *Brettanomyces*: *B. anomalus*, *B. bruxellensis*, *B. custersianus*, *B. naardenensis*, and *B. nanus* [6]. A sixth species that was not included in this study, *Brettanomyces acidodurans*, was recently described and was tentatively assigned to this genus in G Péter, D Dlauchy, A Tóbiás, L Fülöp, M Podgoršek and N Čadež [7]. However, the authors note that it is genetically highly diverged from the other five species and could be considered a new genus.

*Brettanomyces* are most commonly associated with spoilage in beer, wine, and soft drink due to the production of many off-flavour metabolites including acetic acid, and vinyl- and ethyl-phenols [5, 8, 9].
However, *Brettanomyces* can also represent an important and favorable component of traditional Belgian Lambic beers [10, 11], and their use has surged in recent years in the craft brewing industry [12]. Furthermore, *B. bruxellensis* has shown potential in bioethanol production by outcompeting *S. cerevisiae* and for its ability to utilize novel substrates [13, 14].

*B. bruxellensis* and to a lesser extent *B. anomalus*, are the main species encountered during wine and beer fermentation which has led to the majority of *Brettanomyces* research focusing only on these two species. The initial assembly of the triploid *B. Brettanomyces* strain AWRI1499 [15] has enabled genomics to facilitate research on this organism [16-20]. Subsequent efforts have seen the *B. bruxellensis* genome resolved to chromosome-level scaffolds [21]. In contrast, the assemblies that are available for *B. anomalus* [22], *B. custersonianus*, and *B. naardenensis*, are less contiguous, and are mostly un-annotated, while no genome assembly is currently available for *B. nanus*.

Recent advancements in third-generation long-read sequencing have enabled the rapid production of highly accurate and contiguous genome assemblies, particularly for microorganisms (reviewed in S Koren and AM Phillipy [23]). This study sought to fill knowledge gaps for various *Brettanomyces* species by sequencing and assembling genomes using current-generation long-read sequencing technologies [24], and then to use these new assemblies to explore the genomic adaptations that have taken place across the *Brettanomyces* genus.

**Results and Discussion**

**Significant improvements over current *Brettanomyces* genome assemblies**

Information about the strains that were used in this study are shown in Table 1. In the interest of obtaining high-quality and contiguous assemblies, haploid or homozygous strains were favored (the *B. anomalus* strain was the exception), with strains that featured in past studies prioritized. All strains were isolated from commercial beverage products, with three from commercial fermentations.
New genome assemblies for the five *Brettanomyces* species are described, generally exhibiting significant improvements over previous assemblies. Genome assembly summary statistics are shown in Table 2 and MinION sequencing statistics are available in Table S1. Genome sizes for the haploid *Brettanomyces* species ranged from 10.2 Mb (*B. nanus*) to 13.8 Mb (*B. anomalus*). The assemblies were similar in size to currently available assemblies [15, 21, 22], with overall assembly contiguity varying due to differences in heterozygosity and sequencing read lengths. The *B. anomalus* strain is a heterozygous diploid and while read coverage was high, the median read length was relatively low at 4.7 kb. This resulted in the lowest contiguity in the study consisting of 48 contigs with an N50 of 640 kb. The haploid *B. nanus* strain had a much higher median read length of 14.9 kb. As such, this assembly had the best contiguity consisting of only 5 contigs with an N50 of 3.3 Mb. To the best of our knowledge, this makes the *B. nanus* assembly the most contiguous *Brettanomyces* assembly to date. Furthermore, the *B. anomalus*, *B. custersianus*, and *B. naardenensis* assemblies represent 4.7-, 9.4-, and 6.5-fold improvements in contiguity over the currently available assemblies.

The BUSCO results are shown in Table 2. Predicted genome completeness was high for the haploid assemblies, with between 3.8 % (*B. naardenensis*) and 7.2 % (*B. anomalus*) missing BUSCOs. The assemblies were processed with Purge Haplotigs [25] to remove duplicated and artifactual contigs. Duplication was low for not only the homozygous strains but also for the heterozygous *B. anomalus* assembly with between 0.5 % (*B. nanus*) and 1.2 % (*B. anomalus*) duplicate BUSCOs.

Given its heterozygous genome, a diploid assembly was also generated for the *B. anomalus* strain. The resultant diploid assembly was approximately twice the size of the haploid assembly and had a slightly higher N50 of 730 kb. While the genome size doubled, the duplicated BUSCOs only increased from 1.2 % for the haploid assembly to 35.9 % for the diploid assembly. This was mainly a result of BUSCOs having a fragmented gene model on only one of the two haplotomes. It’s possible that this functional hemizygosity
of core genes stabilizes the heterozygous nature of the genome. It should be noted that while the
diploid *B. anomalus* assembly is split into Haplome 1 (H1) and Haplome 2 (H2), these haplomes consist
of mosaics of both parental haplomes as haplotype switching can randomly occur between pairs of
separated phase blocks.

The *B. nanus* strain that was used in this study exhibited a more reduced genome (loss of genes and
reduction of intergenic sequence) when compared to the other species. Loss of genes can occur when a
new environment (such as a nutrient-rich medium) results in genes that were previously indispensable
are no longer required for survival, or gene loss can even confer an adaptive advantage (reviewed in R
Albalat and C Cañestro [26]). Genome compaction can occur via shortening of intergenic regions and
removal of pseudogenes [27]; this is more common in bacteria. The number of predicted genes and the
gene densities (as percent of genome that is genic) for the *Brettanomyces* genomes as well as the
reference genome for *S. cerevisiae* strain S288C are shown in Table S2. *B. nanus* had the highest
compaction with both the fewest genes (5,083) and highest gene density (78.1 %). *B. naardenensis* and
*B. custersianus* both had higher gene densities (75.2 % and 75.4 % respectively) than *B. bruxellensis*, *B.
anomalus*, and *S. cerevisiae* S288C (64.2 %, 62.2 %, and 74.1 % respectively).

### Table 1: Strain details and growth conditions

| ID       | Species         | Other IDs      | Sample origin | Source; Reference |
|----------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|
| AWRI950  | *B. custersianus* | CBS 4805 / IFO 1585 | Beer          | CBS; [28]         |
| AWRI951  | *B. naardenensis* | CBS 6042 / IFO 1588 | Soft drink    | CBS; [29]         |
| AWRI953  | *B. anomalus*    | CBS 8139       | Soft drink    | CBS; [30]         |
| AWRI2804 | *B. bruxellensis* | UCD 2041       | Fruit wine    | UC Davis Collection|
| AWRI2847 | *B. nanus*       | CBS 1945       | Beer          | CBS; [31]         |
Table 2: Assembly and BUSCO summary statistics for the haploid assemblies

|                | B. anomalus | B. anomalus | B. bruxellensis | B. custersianus | B. naardenensis | B. nanus |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| **Contigs**    | 48          | 93          | 12              | 24              | 16              | 5        |
| **Length (Mb)**| 13.77       | 27.07       | 13.20           | 10.73           | 11.16           | 10.19    |
| **N50 (Mb)**   | 0.640       | 0.730       | 2.936           | 0.847           | 1.231           | 3.303    |
| **GC (%)**     | 39.81       | 39.84       | 39.88           | 40.24           | 44.60           | 41.51    |
| **BUSCOs (%)** |             |             |                 |                 |                 |          |
| Complete       | 83.0        | 84.2        | 88.6            | 88.2            | 90.6            | 90.6     |
| Single-copy    | 81.8        | 48.3        | 88              | 87.3            | 90              | 90.1     |
| Duplicate      | 1.2         | 35.9        | 0.6             | 0.9             | 0.6             | 0.5      |
| Fragmented     | 9.8         | 8.8         | 6.1             | 6.4             | 5.6             | 5.2      |
| Missing        | 7.2         | 7.0         | 5.3             | 5.4             | 3.8             | 4.2      |

**Revisiting the taxonomy of Brettanomyces**

Availability these new Brettanomyces genomes allowed for a comprehensive phylogeny to be generated utilizing the entire genome as opposed to extrapolating from ribosomal segments. Codon-based alignments were produced for 3482 single-copy orthologues (SCOs) that were found across the five Brettanomyces species, in addition to Ogataea polymorpha (Brettanomyces’ closest relative) as an outgroup. This data was used to calculate a maximum-likelihood tree (Figure 1a) and to estimate average nucleotide identity (ANI) between pairs of genomes (Table 3). This method was also applied to the Saccharomyces sensu stricto clade (with Naumovozyma castellii, Saccharomyces’ closest relative, as the outgroup) to serve as a comparison (Figure 1b and Table 4). The Brettanomyces whole-genome phylogeny was first compared to trees of Brettanomyces produced in previous studies. The whole-genome phylogeny generally agreed with the trees derived from rRNA sequences as described in Y Yamada, M Matsuda, K Maeda and K Mikata [32], Y Yamada, M Matsuda and K Mikata [33], and C Röder, H König and J Fröhlich [34]. However, these earlier studies were not able to consistently resolve the
placement of *B. nanus*, with conflicting results between phylogenies based on 18S and 26S ribosomal RNA sequences. By utilizing the entire genome, it is now possible to confirm that *Brettanomyces* forms two clades, with *B. nanus* and *B. naardenensis* forming a clade separate from the other species (consistent with the 18S trees in these earlier studies).

There is generally a very large genetic distance separating the *Brettanomyces* species, and this is particularly striking when comparing the phylogenies for *Brettanomyces* and *Saccharomyces*. There is a greater genetic distance between most of the *Brettanomyces* species than there is between any of the *Saccharomyces* species and the *N. castellii* outgroup. The largest separation is between *B. nanus* and *B. bruxellensis* with an ANI of only 60.6%. The closest relation between *Brettanomyces* species is between *B. bruxellensis* and *B. anomalus* with an ANI of 77.1%, followed by *B. nanus* and *B. naardenensis* with an ANI of 66.4%. The remainder are between 60.6% and 61.3% ANI. As a comparison, the ANIs between the *Saccharomyces* species and the outgroup (*N. castellii*) ranged from 61.4% (*Saccharomyces kudriavzeviil*) to 61.6% (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*). Furthermore, the genetic distance between the most distantly related *Saccharomyces* species (*S. cerevisiae* and *Saccharomyces eubayanus*, ANI of 79.9%) is less than the genetic distance between the most closely related *Brettanomyces* species. Given the distinct clades for *Brettanomyces*, together with the relatively very large genetic distances separating them, it may be appropriate for the *Brettanomyces* genus to be divided and a new genus proposed for *B. nanus* and *B. naardenensis*. 
Table 3: Average Nucleotide Identities (percent) between *Brettanomyces* species and *Ogataea polymorpha* concatenated single copy ortholog codon alignments.

|                      | *B. naardenensis* | *B. bruxellensis* | *B. custersianus* | *O. polymorpha* | *B. anomalous* |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| *B. nanus*           | 66.4              | 60.6              | 61.0              | 56.3            | 60.7           |
| *B. naardenensis*    |                   | 60.8              | 61.3              | 56.6            | 60.9           |
| *B. bruxellensis*    |                   |                   | 60.7              | 55.1            | 77.1           |
| *B. custersianus*    |                   |                   |                   | 54.8            | 60.8           |
| *O. polymorpha*      |                   |                   |                   |                 | 55.2           |

Table 4: Average Nucleotide Identities (percent) between *Saccharomyces* species and *Naumovozyma castellii* concatenated single copy ortholog codon alignments.

|                  | *S. eubayanus* | *S. uvarum* | *S. cerevisiae* | *N. castellii* | *S. paradoxus* | *S. mikatae* | *S. kudriavzevii* |
|------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|
| *S. arboricola*  | 82.1           | 82.4        | 81.1            | 61.6           | 81.9           | 81.3         | 83.2              |
| *S. eubayanus*   | 92.8           | 79.9        | 61.6            | 80.6           | 80.1           | 81.8         |                   |
| *S. uvarum*      | 80.1           |             | 61.5            | 80.9           | 80.3           | 82.2         |                   |
| *S. cerevisiae*  |               |             | 61.6            | 89.3           | 84.0           | 81.9         |                   |
| *N. castellii*   |               |             |                 | 61.6           | 61.6           | 61.4         |                   |
| *S. paradoxus*   |               |             |                 | 85.2           | 82.8           |              |                   |
| *S. mikatae*     |               |             |                 |                |                |              | 82.2              |

**Extensive rearrangements are present throughout *Brettanomyces* genomes**

Genomic rearrangements featured extensively between all *Brettanomyces* genomes in this study (Figure 2). There were numerous small and several large translocations visible between the *B. bruxellensis* and the *B. anomalus* assemblies (Figure 2a), and to a lesser extent the *B. bruxellensis* and *B. custersianus* assemblies (Figure S1). Comparing *B. bruxellensis* to the more distantly related species *B. naardenensis* (Figure 2b) and *B. nanus* (Figure 2c), these large and small breaks in synteny appear even more extensively. The chromosomal rearrangements were not limited to a single species or clade; when comparing *B. nanus* to *B. naardenensis* (Figure 2d) there is a similar level of rearrangements to that occurring between *B. bruxellensis* and *B. anomalus*. 
Chromosomal rearrangements, and karyotype and ploidy variability have been reported previously in *Brettanomyces* [17, 35-39]. This genome plasticity is thought to be a mechanism in yeast for adaptation to new environments and niches, and in response to new stressors (see S Marsit, J-B Leducq, É Durand, A Marchant, M Filteau and CR Landry [40] for a review). Another one of these mechanisms—loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH)—is present in the heterozygous *B. anomalus* genome. Three large contigs, comprising 2.14 Mb (15%) of the *B. anomalus* genome, were predicted to be homozygous (0.0353 SNPs/kb) while the rest of the genome is heterozygous (3.21 SNPs/kb) (Figure S2). The strains used in this study as reference for *B. bruxellensis*, *B. custersianus*, *B. naardenensis*, and *B. nanus* appeared homozygous as expected, with heterozygous SNP densities ranging from 0.01 (*B. naardenensis*) to 0.05 (*B. bruxellensis*) SNPs/kb.

**Brettanomyces** species harbor enrichments of fermentation-relevant genes

Species-specific expansion of specific gene families was investigated across the *Brettanomyces* genomes with enriched gene ontologies identified for each of the species (Table 4). Both *B. bruxellensis* and *B. nanus* are predicted to have undergone copy number expansion of ORFs predicted to encode oligo-1,6-glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.10) enzymes (Figure 3a), which are commonly associated with starch and galactose metabolism. *B. nanus* is also predicted to possess an expanded set of genes encoding β-glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.21) (Figure 3b) and β-galactosidase (EC 3.2.1.23) activities (Figure 3c). These specializations for scavenging sugars from complex polysaccharides are a hallmark of the domestication of beer and wine strains of *S. cerevisiae* and suggest that the same may be occurring in *B. nanus* [41-43]. The three known *B. nanus* strains that have been isolated to date were all sourced from beer samples obtained from Swedish breweries in 1952. The *B. nanus* strain AWRI2847 (CBS 1945) was evaluated in V Harris, CM Ford, V Jiranek and PR Grbin [44] and was found to have far less spoilage potential than either *B. bruxellensis* or *B. anomalus*. At the time of this strain’s original isolation, spoilage was determined sensorially and sharing yeast samples between breweries was common practice [45]. Yeast
from a completed beer fermentation is commonly used to inoculate (re-pitch) the next batch of wort. Taken together, it may be possible that *B. nanus* represented a long-term undetected contaminant, surviving successive serial re-pitchings and spreading to multiple breweries, thus allowing these genomic adaptations to manifest.

Both *B. custersianus* and *B. bruxellensis* presented large expansions (10 and 6 copies respectively) of genes encoding sarcosine oxidase / L-pipecolate oxidase (PIPOX) (EC 1.5.3.1/1.5.3.7) and the remaining *Brettanomyces* species also contain multiple copies of this gene. The evolutionary expansion of this gene family is complex, but it appears as though multiple independent duplications occurred (Figure 3d).

PIPOX exhibits broad substrate specificity but primarily catalyses the breakdown of sarcosine to glycine and formaldehyde, as well as the oxidation of L-pipecolate [46]. However, it has been shown to also act on numerous other *N*-methyl amino acids such as *N*-methyl-*L*-alanine, *N*-ethylglycine, and both *L*– and *D*–proline [46-49]. *Brettanomyces* are adapted to grow in nutrient-depleted conditions and this has largely been attributed to the utilization of alternative nitrogen sources such as free nitrates and amino acids [50-52]. Interestingly, proline—a substrate of PIPOX—is one of the more common amino acids in fermented wine and beer. Proline is poorly utilized by *S. cerevisiae* (and is actually produced during fermentation as a means of maintaining redox homeostasis), but is readily metabolized in *B. bruxellensis* [53-56]. PIPOX converts proline to 1-pyrroline-2-carboxylate, which can ultimately be converted to D-Ornithine by the action of a general aminotransferase. As opposed to the redox cofactor-dependent enzymes proline oxidase (EC 1.5.1.2) and proline dehydrogenase (EC 1.5.5.2), PIPOX could therefore represent an avenue for proline utilisation that does not directly impact redox homeostasis.

Beyond PIPOX, *B. bruxellensis* and *B. anomalous* share an expansion of S-formylglutathione hydrolase (EC 3.1.2.12), and *B. anomalous* contains an expansion of formate dehydrogenase (EC 1.17.1.9). While these genes are part of methanol metabolism in other species, a capability that is lost in *Brettanomyces*, both
genes are involved with the metabolism of formaldehyde (a common metabolic byproduct during fermentation). Lastly, *B. naardenensis* contains an expansion of a gene encoding sulfonate dioxygenase (EC 1.14.11.-) activity, which is associated with the utilisation of alternative sulphur sources, in addition to an expansion of acetylnornithine deacetylase (EC 3.5.1.16), a component of the arginine biosynthetic pathway.

In order to identify genes that are important in the evolution of the *Brettanomyces* genus, the *Brettanomyces* genomes were examined to identify single copy orthologs with nonsynonymous mutations under strong selective pressure (residues under site-selection). There were 279 SCOs identified, 182 of which had KEGG annotations (Table S3). These include many ribosomal proteins and transcription factors that are commonly under site-based selection, as well as numerous notable metabolic enzymes. Four enzymes identified are known to be involved in balancing the metabolic needs of the cell during cell proliferation: Pyruvate kinase (EC 2.7.1.40), Ribose-phosphate pyrophosphokinase (EC 2.7.6.1), Pyruvate carboxylase (EC 6.4.1.1), and Thymidylate synthase (EC 2.1.1.45). Further enzymes are involved with the biosynthesis of B-vitamin cofactors related to carbohydrate metabolism: Biotin synthase (EC 2.8.1.6), Pantothenate kinase (EC 2.7.1.33), Phosphopantothenoylcysteine decarboxylase (EC 4.1.1.36), and Thiamine pyrophosphokinase (EC 2.7.6.2). Finally, seven genes in the MAPK signaling pathway were identified, relating to osmotic stress response and pheromone response.
Table 4: Expanded gene families in Brettanomyces

| Species          | Gene Name                               | Count | KEGG ID   | KEGG Pathway(s)                                                                 |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. anomalus      | formate dehydrogenase                   | 4     | K00122    | Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism; Methane metabolism                    |
| B. bruxellensis  | oligo-1,6-glucosidase                   | 4     | K01182    | Galactose metabolism; Starch and sucrose metabolism                           |
|                  | S-formylglutathione hydrolase           | 5     | K01070    | Methane metabolism                                                             |
| B. custersianus  | NADPH2 dehydrogenase                    | 4     | K00354    | -                                                                               |
|                  | sarcosine oxidase / L-pipeolate oxidase | 5     | K00306    | Peroxisome; Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism; Lysine degradation       |
| B. naardenensis  | acetylornithine deacetylase             | 3     | K01438    | Arginine biosynthesis                                                          |
|                  | NADPH2 dehydrogenase                    | 5     | K00354    | -                                                                               |
|                  | sulfonate dioxygenase                   | 5     | K19245    | -                                                                               |
| B. nanus         | oligo-1,6-glucosidase                   | 3     | K01182    | Galactose metabolism; Starch and sucrose metabolism                           |
|                  | β-galactosidase                         | 4     | K01190    | Galactose metabolism; Other glycan degradation; Sphingolipid metabolism        |
|                  | NADPH2 dehydrogenase                    | 6     | K00354    | -                                                                               |
|                  | β-glucosidase                           | 7     | K05349    | Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis; Starch and sucrose metabolism; Cyanoamino acid metabolism |

Horizontal gene transfer enables sucrose utilization in *B. bruxellensis* and *B. anomalus*

Horizontal Gene Transfer (HGT) has been reported as a mechanism of adaptative evolution in fungal species and to have contributed to the domestication of *S. cerevisiae* [57-59]. Consequently, potential HGT events that may have contributed to the evolution of *Brettanomyces* were investigated. Twelve *Brettanomyces* orthogroups could be identified with genes that were predicted to be the result of HGT from bacteria according to protein homology with RefSeqKB database protein sequences (Table 5). Of these bacterially derived gene families, β-fructofuranosidase (also known as Invertase) (EC 3.2.1.26), stands out as having a key phenotypic impact. Invertases are responsible for the conversion of sucrose to fructose and glucose and this enzyme activity is required for the utilization of sucrose as a carbon source.
To confirm the bacterial origins of the *Brettanomyces* invertases, a protein-based phylogeny was created from the known fungal and bacterial invertases in the RefSeqKB database, as well as from the three *Brettanomyces* invertases (Figure 4a). The fungal invertases form one distinct clade, while the bacterial proteins are spread across several distinct groups. Consistent with a bacterial-derived HGT event, the *Brettanomyces* invertase proteins reside within a bacterial clade and are evolutionarily distinct from the fungal group. The invertases present in *B. bruxellensis* and *B. anomalous* appear to reside within subtelomeres (Figure 4b); these are genomic regions that are known to be hotspots for structural rearrangements and HGT events in *Saccharomyces* [60-64]. In *Brettanomyces*, there is significant structural variation and a general loss of synteny that is typical of subtelomeric regions in other species (Figure 4b). For example, in *B. nanus* the NAG gene cluster resides within a different subtelomere relative to *B. bruxellensis* and *B. anomalous*. The NAG genes are also present in *B. naardenensis* but they are not co-located, and they appear to be missing entirely in *B. custersianus*. Likewise, homologues of MPH3 and TIP1 genes are present in all *Brettanomyces* species, but are only found in this specific subtelomeric region in *B. bruxellensis* and *B. anomalous*.

Previous phenotypic testing has shown *B. bruxellensis* and *B. anomalous* to be the only *Brettanomyces* species capable of utilizing sucrose [6] and this phenotype correlates with the presence of the HGT-derived invertases, which are only observed in the *B. bruxellensis* and *B. anomalous* genomes (there are no other invertase encoding ORFs predicted in *Brettanomyces*). Sucrose utilization likely conferred a significant advantage in fruit fermentations, possibly shaping the evolution of the common ancestor of *B. bruxellensis* and *B. anomalous* towards fermentation specialization.
Table 5: Genes predicted to occur in Brettanomyces via Horizontal Gene Transfer

| Orthogroup (Saccharomycetaceae) | Gene name               | KEGG | Species (gene IDs)                                  | Closest genus BLAST hit(s)              |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| OG0000021                       | Glucose 1-dehydrogenase | -    | B. naardenensis (g20, g1494, g1495, g1768, g1785, g1792, g4886, g5231) B. nanus (g5077) | Labilithrix, Methylovulum               |
| OG0000714                       | glutamine amidotransferase | -    | B. nanus (g3549), B. naardenensis (g138)          | Cyanobacterium                         |
| OG0001026                       | α/β hydrolase            | -    | B. naardenensis (g5185)                           | Klebsiella                             |
| OG0003977                       | nitronate monoxygenase   | -    | B. naardenensis (g5218)                           | Bordetella                             |
| OG0003988                       | β-fructofuranosidase (invertase) | K01193 | B. anomalus H1 (g3595), B. anomalus H2 (g273), B. bruxellensis (g1543) | Bacillus, Saccharothrix                 |
| OG0005068                       | NADP oxidoreductase      | -    | B. naardenensis (g4928, g5192, g5211)             | Halomonas                              |
| OG0005439                       | flavodoxin family protein | K08071 | B. naardenensis (g1784)                           | Gluconobacter                          |
| OG0005699                       | cysteine hydrolase       | -    | B. naardenensis (g5191)                           | Pseudomonas                            |
| OG0005912                       | capsule biosynthesis protein CapA | -    | B. anomalus H1 (g1924), B. anomalus H2 (g5929), B. bruxellensis (g4262), B. custersianus (g2790), B. nanus (g654) | Izhakiella                             |
| OG0006075                       | YbjQ family protein      | -    | B. bruxellensis (g4270), B. naardenensis (g4529, g4528, g1637), B. nanus (g1955) | Streptomyces                            |
| OG0006081                       | S-antigen protein        | -    | B. naardenensis (g3365)                           | Symbiodinium                           |
| OG0006556                       | NAD(P)-dependent oxidoreductase | -    | B. anomalus H1 (g109), B. anomalus H2 (g1567), B. bruxellensis (g2456) | Pleomorphomonas                        |

Conclusions

High quality genome assemblies for all five currently accepted Brettanomyces species are described, including the first assembly for B. nanus and the most contiguous assemblies available to date for B. anomalus, B. custersianus, and B. naardenensis. Comparative genome analysis established that the species are genetically distant and polyphyletic. Numerous indicators of domestication and adaptation in Brettanomyces were identified with some notable parallels to the evolution of Saccharomyces.
Extensive structural differences between the genomes of the *Brettanomyces* species and apparent loss of heterozygosity in *B. anomalus* were observed. Enrichments of fermentation-relevant genes were identified in *B. anomalus*, *B. bruxellensis* and *B. nanus*, as well as multiple horizontal gene transfer events in all *Brettanomyces* genomes, including a gene in the *B. anomalus* and *B. bruxellensis* genomes that is probably responsible for these species’ ability to utilize sucrose.

Methods

Detailed workflows, custom scripts for computational analyses and annotations are available in Additional File 1. All sequencing reads and genome assemblies have been deposited at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the BioProject: PRJNA554210. Raw FAST5-format files for all Oxford Nanopore sequencing are available from the European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI) European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under the study: ERP116386.

Strains and media

The five *Brettanomyces* strains selected for sequencing were supplied by the Australian Wine Research Institute’s wine microorganism culture collection. Strains were grown in either MYPG medium (0.3% malt extract, 0.3% yeast extract, 0.2% peptone, 1% glucose) at 27°C or in GPYA+CaCO₃ medium (4% glucose, 0.5% peptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1% calcium carbonate) at 25°C.

Library preparation and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from liquid cultures using a QIAGEN Gentra Puregene Yeast/Bact Kit. *B. bruxellensis* was sequenced using PacBio RS-II SMRT sequencing. The sequencing library for *B. nanus* was multiplexed with other samples (not reported here) using the SQK-LSK109 and EXP-NBD103 kits following the Oxford Nanopore protocol NBE_9065_v109_revA 23MAY2018. For the remaining species,
libraries were prepared using the SQK-LSK108 kit following the protocol GDE_9002_v108_revT_18OCT2016. Sequencing was performed on a MinION using FLO-MIN106 flow-cells. Demultiplexing and base-calling were performed using Albacore v2.3.1.

Illumina sequencing was performed on each strain using a combination of short-insert (TruSeq PCR-free) and mate-pair (2-5kb insert and 6-10 kb insert) libraries. All libraries were barcoded and pooled in a single Miseq sequencing run using 2x300bp chemistry.

**Assembly**

The *B. bruxellensis* genome in this study was assembled with Mira v4.9.3 [65] using a hybrid assembly approach consisting of illumina paired-end and mate-pair reads, and PacBio long-reads. This assembly was manually finished in DNASTAR SeqMan Pro. Haploid assemblies for all other *Brettanomyces* species were generated from FASTQ-format Nanopore reads using Canu v1.7 [66]. The Nanopore reads were mapped to the assemblies using minimap2 [67] and initial base-call polishing was performed with Nanopolish v0.9.2 [68], utilizing the FAST5 signal-level sequencing data. Further base-call polishing was performed with Illumina paired-end, and 2–4 kb and 6–10kb mate-pair reads. Paired-end and mate-pair reads were mapped with BWA-MEM v0.7.12-r1039 [69] and Bowtie2 v2.2.9 [70] respectively; base-call polishing was then performed with Pilon v1.22 [71]. Finally, raw Nanopore reads were mapped to the base-call-polished assemblies and Purge Haplotigs v1.0.1 [25] was used to remove any duplicate or artefactual contigs.

A diploid assembly for AWRI953 (*B. anomalus*) was also generated. Paired-end reads were mapped to the haploid assembly with BWA-MEM, and high-confidence SNPs were called using VarScan v2.3.9 [72]. Nanopore reads were mapped to the assembly using BWA-MEM. Heterozygous SNPs were phased using the mapped Nanopore reads with HapCut2 commit: c2e6608 [73] and converted to VCF format with WhatsHap v0.16 [74]. New consensus sequences were called for each haplotype from the phased SNPs.
and the nanopore reads were binned according to which haplotype they mapped best. The two

*B. anomalus* haplotypes were then independently reassembled from the haplotype-binned nanopore
reads using the method described for the other species.

All other *Brettanomyces* assemblies were aligned to the *B. bruxellensis* assembly using NUCmer
(MUMmer) v4.0.0beta2 [75]. Dotplots were visualized and contigs with split alignments were manually
inspected for indications of mis-assemblies using mapped alignments of Nanopore reads and Illumina
mate-pair reads. Genome metrics were calculated with Quast [76] and completeness, duplication, and
fragmentation were estimated using BUSCO v3.0.2 [77] with the odb9 Saccharomyceta dataset.

**Annotation**

Gene models were predicted with Augustus v3.2.3 [78] using the *S. cerevisiae* S288C configuration. Gene
models were submitted for KEGG annotation using BlastKOALA [79], and GO-terms were annotated
using InterProScan v5.32-71.0 [80]. Orthogroups were assigned with OrthoFinder v2.2.6 [81] using
representative species from Saccharomycetaceae (Table S5) and also using only the haploid

*Brettanomyces* assemblies.

**Phylogeny**

Orthofinder (*Brettanomyces + O. polymorpha*) was used to find SCOs over these genomes. Protein
sequences were aligned with Muscle v3.8.31 [82] and then converted to codon-spaced alignments using
PAL2NAL [83]. Average nucleotide identities were estimated using panito commit: f65ba29
(github.com/sanger-pathogens/panito). A rooted maximum likelihood phylogeny was generated in R
using ape [84] and phangorn [85]. A phylogeny was created using the same method for the

*Saccharomyces sensu stricto* species + *N. castellii* (outgroup) to serve as a comparison.
Whole genome synteny visualization

Pairwise synteny blocks were generated between the reference *B. bruxellensis* assembly and the other haploid assemblies, as well as between the *B. naardenensis* and *B. nanus* assemblies. Contigs were placed in chromosome order using Purge Haplotigs [25] to generate placement files that were then used to rearrange contigs. Alignments between the assemblies were calculated using NUCmer with sensitive parameters (-b 500 -c 40 -d 0.5 -g 200 -l 12). Genome windows (20 kb windows, 10 kb steps) were generated for the assemblies and a custom script was used to pair syntenic genome windows based on the NUCmer alignments. Concordant overlapping and adjacent windows were merged, and overlapping discordant windows were trimmed. The synteny blocks were then visualized using Circos v0.69.6 [86].

Gene enrichment and selection

OrthoFinder (Saccharomycetaceae) annotations were used to identify gene-count differences between the *Brettanomyces* species. The ratio of the gene-count to the average gene-count was calculated for the *Brettanomyces* species over all OrthoFinder orthogroups. All orthogroups with a ratio ≥ 2 for any *Brettanomyces* species were subject to GO-enrichment analysis using BiNGO v3.0.3 [87] using the hypergeometric test with Bonferroni FWER correction. Genes for overrepresented categories (p-value ≤ 0.05) were returned. Multiple sequence alignments were generated for GO-enriched orthogroups using Muscle and phylogeny trees generated using PhyML within SeaView v4.7 [88] using default parameters.

Adaptive selection was predicted for SCOS on an OrthoFinder run consisting only of the haploid *Brettanomyces* assemblies. Protein sequences were initially aligned with Muscle and then converted to codon-spaced alignments using PAL2NAL. Protein alignments were concatenated and used to produce an unrooted species tree using PhyML within SeaView. Transcript alignments were assessed with codeml from PAML v4.9 [89] against the site models M1a (nearly neutral selection), M2a (adaptive selection), M7 (no adaptive selection), and M8 (adaptive selection). Log ratio tests of maximum
likelihoods were used to filter for M2a vs. M1a and M8 vs. M7 models and orthogroups with sites under selection (according to either Naïve Empirical Bayes analysis or Bayes Empirical Bayes analysis, $P > 95\%$) were returned.

**Horizontal gene transfer**

HGT events were predicted for the *Brettanomyces* species. Protein sequences for the assemblies were used in BLAST-P searches against the RefSeqKB non-redundant Fungi and Bacteria datasets [90]. All *Brettanomyces* proteins with a higher scoring hit to a Bacterial protein than a Fungal protein were investigated further. The multiple sequence alignments and trees were retrieved for the HGT candidates’ orthogroups and several candidates were removed following manual inspection. A phylogeny was generated for one HGT prediction of interest. The *Brettanomyces* genes, and the orthologs from the ResSeq Fungal and bacterial datasets were aligned with Muscle, and the phylogeny was generated in R using Ape and Phangorn.

**List of Abbreviations**

**BUSCO**: The name of the pipeline for detecting BUSCOs

**BUSCO(s)**: Benchmarking universal single-copy ortholog(s)

**H1/H2**: Haplome 1/Haplome 2 (*B. anomalus*)

**HGT**: Horizontal gene transfer

**LOH**: Loss of heterozygosity

**SCO**: Single copy ortholog
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Figure Captions

**Figure 1:** Phylogenies of *Brettanomyces* and *Saccharomyces* species. Rooted, maximum likelihood trees were calculated for *Brettanomyces* species with *Ogataea polymorpha* as an outgroup (a) and *Saccharomyces* species with *Naumovozyma castellii* as an outgroup (b). The phylogenies were calculated from concatenated codon alignments of single copy orthologs. Bootstrap values are calculated from 100 replications are shown at branch nodes. The two phylogenies are transformed to the same scale (substitutions per site), indicated bottom right.

**Figure 2:** Synteny between haploid assemblies of *Brettanomyces*, visualized as Circos plots. Reference assembly Contigs are coloured sequentially. Alignments are coloured according to the reference assembly contigs and are layered by alignment length. The query assembly contigs are coloured grey. Alignments are depicted between *B. bruxellensis* and *B. anomalus* (a), *B. bruxellensis* and *B. naardenensis* (b), *B. bruxellensis* and *B. nanus* (c), and *B. nanus* and *B. naardenensis* (d).

**Figure 3:** Phylogenies of several enriched orthogroups in *Brettanomyces*. Broken gene models or pseudogenes are indicated as half circles. The enriched gene orthogroups are: oligo-1,6-glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.10) (a), β-glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.21) (b), β-galactosidase (EC 3.2.1.23) (c), and sarcosine oxidase (EC 1.5.3.1/1.5.3.7) (d).

**Figure 4:** β-fructofuranosidases (invertases) from *Brettanomyces*. Phylogeny of invertases from *Brettanomyces* and the RefSeqKB fungal and bacterial databases, with *Brettanomyces* nodes enlarged for clarity (a). Genomic context of invertases in *Brettanomyces*, showing cluster of conserved genes, orange; NAG gene cluster, green; cluster of metabolic genes, blue; Invertase, red (b).
Supplementary Tables and Figures

Additional File 1: Archive.zip

Workflows, scripts, and annotations for the Brettanomyces genome assemblies. Command lines and descriptions for performing analyses are available in Workflows.pdf. Custom scripts are in the scripts/ directory. Genome annotations are available in the annotations/ directory.

Additional File 2: SupplementaryTables.pdf

Supplementary Tables. Table S1: MinION sequencing metrics for Brettanomyces sequencing, Table S2: Predicted genes and gene density for the Brettanomyces genomes, Table S3: KEGG-annotated genes under site selection across Brettanomyces, Table S4: Saccharomycetaceae species used with Brettanomyces species in OrthoFinder

Additional File 3: Figure_S1.tiff

Figure S1: Synteny between haploid assemblies of B. bruxellensis and B. custersianus, visualized as a Circos plot. Reference assembly Contigs are coloured sequentially. Alignments are coloured according to the reference assembly contigs and are layered by alignment length. The query assembly contigs are coloured grey.

Additional File 4: Figure_S2.tiff

Figure S2: Read-depth and SNP density over haploid assembly of B. anomalus, visualized as a Circos plot. Contigs arranged by length (i), read-coverage histogram (blue, median coverage; red, low/high coverage) (ii), SNP-density (red, low; blue, high) (iii).
