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Abstract

Research has found that the rate of female students is higher than the rate of male students. Where this level will change in the work as teaching in the university level.

These facts about women in the university could affect the academic movement and can result in a stereotype that women are always behind, and this sometimes makes a women work very aggressively to present her effort, which can also have a negative effect.

The main idea of this paper is to introduce women in the university setting and answer some questions related, such as has feminization reduced the professional status of teaching? Do Teacher-Student Interactions Vary As a Function of Student Sex?

The study showed that there is a gender stereotype in the level of academic setting that make female students and female teachers feel that male receive more tension and care, and this occurred clearly in different cultures such as Arabic countries and America.
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Introduction

Can females teaching in the university transform academic structure?

Research has found that women are receiving more doctoral degrees than men, and women are dominant on campus. The research also shows that about 58 percent of the nation's about 13 million undergraduates are female students [1].

Even though the number of females is higher on campus, the actual number of tenured female assistant professors who become associate professors is less than the number of men who achieve this goal [2].

Also, Valian proved by his findings that women progress in part-time positions in the university more slowly than men, and they receive fewer awards and prizes compared to men [3].

Some changes did occur after the feminist movement with regard to authority and the institutions of the academic sector, however. For instance, in 1969 there were only 16 women's studies course syllabi in the United States, whereas in 1982 there were some 20,000 courses and 450 certificate or degree-granting programs. However, although there were well over 600 academic women's studies programs in 2004 [4,5], the masculine ethic still dominates the academic structure.

These facts about women in the university could affect the academic movement and can result in a stereotype that women are always behind, and this sometimes makes a women work very aggressively to present her effort, which can also have a negative effect.

The main idea of the paper is to study the major factors that related to the feminist structure in the university level.

The Ideal Professor

Students usually expect their professors to reflect gender stereotypes.

Many studies have found that students are judging their professors based on stereotypes. Rubin found that students identified the ideal professor on the basis of knowledge/intellect/ability, professionalism, ability to communicate, openness, and nurturing.

Other studies have reported similar findings, such as Bennett's [6] study college students' responses about the quality of their professor according to many characteristics.

For instance: a warm interpersonal style, personal charisma, self-assurance, and professionalism in instructional approach were seen as central attributes of instructors. Another study by Downs et al. [7], examined the behaviors that reflect a teacher's competence (e.g., clarity, knowledge ability), control of the classroom, the quality of interaction and participation in and out of the classroom (e.g., availability, feedback, humor), and affect reflecting concern and support for students' needs. These studies found that the ideal teacher embodies some characteristics judged as stereotypically male such as professionalism and control, as well as those that are stereotypically female, such as nurturing, understanding and warmth.

Teacher gender

Is most important that gender of teacher is affected the student's reflection about the teacher performance and how this related to the evaluation of teachers. Many studies have support this such as [8-10].
Individual student and teacher factors

Student behavior, student achievement and student race consider an individual students factors that affect the interactions between students and their teacher. I think this factor is partial to cultural aspects that differentiate between race to race, which majority of races are supporting the masculine dominate in University teaching.

Gender and the Evaluation of Teaching

Evaluation is a main point that students can judge their teachers based on gender criteria. In fact, reliance upon student evaluations has been steadily increasing.

Seldin [11] found from his survey that of the academic deans of all 598 of the 740 non-university-related undergraduate liberal arts colleges in the Higher Education Directory of 1997 that responded regarding their practices for evaluating faculty, about 97 percent identified classroom teaching as a major factor in evaluating overall faculty performance at their institutions.

Increasingly, administrators are assessing classroom performance through the use of quantitative student ratings: 88.1 percent reported that they “always used” systematic student ratings of teaching in evaluating teachers, up from 80.3 percent in 1988 and only 54.8 percent in 1978.

The only two papers by Kenneth Feldman [12,13] supported this claim, and they report separate meta-analyses of experimental studies and studies of students’ evaluations of actual professors. Feldman conducted a study and found that there were direct effects of gender on evaluation which favored women over men when they evaluated actual professors.

Personnel decisions might raise the following question: (How) does gender enter into students’ evaluations of their teachers?

In a research review on the evaluation of teaching, researchers concluded that gender is not a critical factor in the process [12-15].

Gender could be the dominant cause in other factors. For instance, that the men are more vulnerable when women's professional role is evaluated. Another reason that makes students rate professors and studies of students' evaluations of actual professors. Feldman conducted a study and found that in evaluating teachers, up from 80.3 percent in 1988 and only 54.8 percent in 1978.
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Becker [22] found that male students engaged to the conversation with their teachers’ more than female students. These findings are similar to those of She [23], who found that the majority of teacher-initiated interactions engage male students.

She [23] found from the Brophy-Good Dyadic Child Interaction System, that of 355 teacher-initiated questions, 78.7% from boys responded to the questions, given a 50:50 sex distribution in the class.

It also found that teachers ask more questions of boys comparing with girls (60.7%and 39.3%, respectively). As well Becker [22], also Sikes [24] found that more open and process questions are asked to boys significantly from their teacher than girls do.

Hall et al. [25], found that approximately 50% of all teacher do consists feedback of acceptance comments (e.g., “okay,” “uh-huh”); the findings suggested that male students receive the majority of teacher feedback.

Whether boys actually receive more interaction in the form of praise or criticism is debatable. However, Irvine [26,27] found teacher give less feedback to female students. Hall et al. [25] claim that this sex disparity continued through graduate school.

The study of qualitative study based on ten interviews with graduate students, by Holstrom have concluding similar results; which indicated that female students having the feeling that faculty often did not deal with female graduate students as seriously as their male counterparts. In addition, Schroeder et al. [28] male students are usually meet male professors outside class.

We have identified one contextual factor (school subject), as well as several student factors (classroom behavior, achievement, and race) and two teacher factors (sex and sex-role), that may moderate the relationship between teacher–student interactions and student sex. Undoubtedly, the list of moderating factors influencing sex differences in teacher-student interactions is not exhaustive, but our review suggests that the relationship between student sex and teacher-student interactions may be less clear-cut than it appears to be in some empirical research.

Personal reflection

According to my personal perspective, students can evaluate the teaching faculty depending on their gender for these reasons. First, the students in my community like the masculine form in many fields like hair dressing and cooking and other women’s professions. In Arabic culture, in general, men dominate in all the dimensions that relate to teaching at higher levels such as universities. Second, women, because of this cultural discrimination, tend to focus on having an aggressive presence in most fields. Sometimes this leads women to negatively treat the students, or sometimes to judge the male students harshly and support the female students. This cultural discrimination is very common because families in Arabic culture are built on the idea that men are everything. The thinking is that the man is the only one who can carry the family name and heritage on to the next generation, whereas the name of the women could disappear if the woman marries a “foreign” man, which means he is not from her family. This family oriented discrimination makes female have lower voting power in many fields, not just teaching in an academic field. As I noticed from the above literature review, the favored gender is not consistent as shown in the studies.

**Recommendations for Minimizing the Effects of Gender on Student Evaluations of Teachers**

First, the administration should develop workshops to educate about gender influence by designing activities that promote gender awareness, and promote interaction both inside and outside the classroom, also highlighting the connections between student evaluations and professors. For instance, female teachers spend more time in after-class/office consultations than male teachers [6].

Second, encourage students to think critically about these practices and to consider how they could be evaluating faculty unfairly due to pre-formed ideas about members of certain social categories. This will increase gender awareness for individual instructors and raise concerns about gender and evaluation in the classroom [29].

Third, providing special techniques for interpreting student evaluations will improve the level of the evaluation and provide more accurate results free from gender impact.

**Conclusion**

The administration should search and collect data on the race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, and/or social class backgrounds of teachers and/or students to make available the context for the interpretation of their findings. Betsy LuCal and her colleagues note the there is a shortage of information about the influence of race/ethnicity, social class, and sexual orientation on teaching assessments [30]. The researcher insists that further research on the interaction of these factors is needed.
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