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ABSTRACT

Truckers in the United States (U.S.) and Canada are at high risk for noncommunicable disease. Although trucking built environments have been highlighted for intervention, no systematic review has assessed aspects of trucking environments that may influence food, physical activity (PA), and smoking patterns/practices. The purpose of this systematic review was to characterize the state of the science on trucking food, PA, and tobacco environments and to examine truckers’ food, PA, and tobacco patterns/practices. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines were used. Five databases were selected for searching in April 2020 and 2021 using key terms constructed by a librarian. Peer-reviewed research with data about U.S. and Canadian truckers’ food, PA, and/or tobacco environments and related patterns/practices were included. Quality was assessed using the Mixed Method Appraisal Tool. Thirty-eight studies were identified. Results included data from at least 16,600 truckers and 282 trucking settings in the U.S. (n = 32) and Canada (n = 6). Most studies were classified as quantitative descriptive and of poor quality (average score 3 of 7). The few studies (n = 4) that measured trucking food and PA environments characterized trucking sites as poor. Fifteen (47%) presented data about truckers’ perceptions of food or PA environments and highlighted prominent environmental barriers. Truckers’ food, PA, and smoking patterns and practices suggested poor diet quality, sedentary practices, and a high prevalence of smoking. The science of trucking food, PA, and tobacco environments is underdeveloped and requires much more focus using validated measures.

1. Background

There are more than 2.1 million long-haul heavy and tractor-trailer drivers (herein ‘truckers’) traveling between 2,000 to 3,000 miles each week to haul freight (AllTrucking.com, 2016) in the United States (U.S.) and Canada (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2019; Gill and Macdonald, 2013). Truckers can be on the road for two consecutive nights to several continuous weeks and rely on truck terminals, warehouses, truck stops, highway rest areas, and truck cabs (Hill et al., 2015) for daily needs due to time and tractor-trailer parking constraints (Hege et al., 2017; Abbreviations: PA, Physical activity; U.S., United States; SNAP, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program; NEMS, Nutrition Environment Measures Survey; NEMS-V, Nutrition Environment Measures Survey-Vending; HEATWAI, The Healthy Trucking Worksites Audit Instrument; HEATS, Healthy Trucker Survey; HPLP II, The Health-Promoting Lifestyle Profile II; NAS, Nutrition Attitude Survey; FCI, Food Choices Index; MET, Metabolic equivalent tasks; BMI, Body Mass Index; CI, Confidence Interval; Kcal, Kilocalorie; SE, Standard error.
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Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Grizzle et al., 2020). Trucking populations are two times more likely to be medically classified as having obesity (kg (weight)/m(height))² ≥ 30) than non-trucking populations and to report food, physical activity (PA), and tobacco patterns/practices misaligned with public health guidance (Health Canada, 2019; U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2020; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2018). However, trucking-focused interventions have primarily focused on individual determinants of health (Ng et al., 2015), which are approaches less likely to show long-term and large-scale effectiveness amid unsupportive environments (Frieden, 2010).

While the need to transform built environments to promote truckers’ health is not a new concept (Ng et al., 2015; Grizzle et al., 2017), no systematic reviews have assessed aspects of trucking environments that may influence diet, PA, and tobacco use in relation to public health guidelines. For example, dietary patterns with adequate amounts of fruits and vegetables and limited saturated and trans-fat, added sugars, and sodium-nutrients typically found in ultra-processed products such as confections, sodas, and packaged meals—are recommended for the prevention of noncommunicable diseases in both the U.S. and Canada, dietary guidelines (Health Canada, 2019; U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2020). Additionally, engaging in 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic PA with two days of strength training each week (HHS, 2018) and entirely refraining from using tobacco products is suggested (Schane et al., 2010). This gap is notable given environmental aspects like availability, affordability, marketing, and/or safety, are well recognized to influence population food (Glanz et al., 2005; Story et al., 2008; Swinburn et al., 2011), PA (Kahn et al., 2002; McCormack and Shiell, 2011; Sallis et al., 2012), and tobacco (Robertson et al., 2015; Paynter and Edwards, 2009) patterns and practices.

Using the terminology “patterns and practices” in place of “behaviors” acknowledges the structural forces that influence health-related choices to emphasize the need for solutions that target up-stream factors (Olstad and Kirkpatrick, 2021). This framing seems especially relevant for truckers who may be disproportionately exposed to less healthy products and spaces and at the same time may have limited resources to overcome salient, unhealthy environments due to high levels of stress and poor sleep habits as a condition of their work (Cohen and Babey, 2012; Apostolopoulos et al., 2014). Regarding the food environment, food-away-from-home typically has higher amounts of saturated fat, added sugars, and sodium than food prepared for home consumption (Cooksey-Stowers et al., 2017; Saksena et al., 2018) and “food swamps” or areas with high concentration of convenience/corner stores and restaurants that offer less healthy foods and beverages have been linked with negative health outcomes (Cooksey-Stowers et al., 2017; Houghtaling et al., 2021) and may characterize trucking settings (Hill et al., 2015; Apostolopoulos et al., 2012). Similarly, PA has been linked to infrastructure availability, safety, and connectedness (e.g., sidewalks, bike lanes) (Kahn et al., 2002; Heath et al., 2006) indicating lighting, traffic, and available amenities at truck and travel stops likely influence truckers’ PA patterns and practices. Last, point-of-sale strategies are one of the most dominant forms of current tobacco marketing (Lee et al., 2015; Ribisl et al., 2017) and exposure to these types of promotions has been associated with increased risk of smoking, impulse tobacco purchasing, and urges to buy tobacco (Robertson et al., 2015).

There is a need to examine the state of the science regarding trucking food, PA, and tobacco environments and truckers’ related patterns and practices to inform future research needs and policy, systems, and environmental change efforts to improve truckers’ workplace environments while on the road. Therefore, the purpose of the present systematic review was twofold: 1) to characterize the state of the science on trucking food, PA, and tobacco environments using data from both objective and subjective investigations; and 2) to examine truckers’ food, PA, and tobacco patterns/practices as initial evidence of truckers’ interaction with their environments.

2. Methods

The 2009 and 2020 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed as appropriate, given the review was initiated prior to the publication of the 2020 PRISMA version (Page et al., 2020; Moher et al., 2009). A protocol was pre-registered on Open Science Framework (doi https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/JRMAH). Review members are U.S. and Canadian public health scholars with an emphasis on social and built environment determinants of health, as well as a library partner with reviewing expertise. Theory used to inform the present review included the Social-Ecological Model (Glanz et al., 2008) and Social Cognitive Theory (Glanz et al., 2008) in recognition of environmental influences on health patterns/practices and the reciprocal nature of human-environment interactions.

2.1. Search strategy

Key terms were developed in collaboration with the librarian (RM) and focused on population (e.g., truck*, “long-haul truck drivers”), location (i.e., U.S. states and Canadian provinces), health patterns/practices (e.g., diet*, exercise*, tobacco), and settings (e.g., “truck stop”, workplace*). The complete search strategy is shown in supplementary file 1. Five databases (Medline, PubMed, Web of Science, CINHAL, and APA PsychInfo) were selected for systematic searching in April of 2020 and 2021. Medline, CINHAL Complete, and APA PsychInfo are hosted on the EBSCOhost platform. Medline’s coverage ranges from 1950 to present, CINAHL Complete coverage ranges from the mid-1930’s to current, and APA PsychInfo coverage dates back to the 17th century. PubMed is hosted through the U.S. National Library of Medicine with coverage ranging from the 1940s to present day. The Web of Science Core Collection, hosted through Clarivate Analytics, ranges from 1900 to the present. The lead author completed database searching and extracted all results to EndNote X9. Two authors (BH and KK) reviewed source titles and abstracts independently and reached a consensus regarding those requiring full-text review. The full-text reviewing was also conducted independently among the two authors with consensus reached for review inclusion. Ongoing Google searches and a review of source references were also used to identify articles for review inclusion.

2.1.1. Inclusion criteria

Populations operating 18-wheelers or tractor-trailers were considered ‘truckers’ in this research. Peer-reviewed, original research published in English was a requirement. No date limitations were used. Sources needed to have data about food, PA, and/or tobacco patterns and practices and/or food, PA, and/or tobacco environments in settings accessed by U.S. or Canadian truckers while on the road, such as truck terminals, warehouses, truck stops, highway rest areas, and truck cabs (Hill et al., 2015). The decision to focus only on research conducted in the U.S. and Canada was determined by co-author expertise regarding food, PA, and tobacco policy, systems, and environmental research in both the U.S. (BH, LB, CBS) and Canada (LM). Both objective (e.g., results of an environmental assessment) and subjective (e.g., truckers’ perceptions of environments) data were of interest. Baseline data from intervention studies were included, when applicable. “Food” included alcohol (a non-essential macronutrient with seven kilocalories per gram) and other beverages (e.g., coffee, water, sugar-sweetened beverages).

2.1.2. Exclusion criteria

Sources did not meet review scope if: 1) in a language other than English; 2) not peer-reviewed (e.g., gray literature) or original research (e.g., commentaries); 3) among populations not located in the U.S. or Canada; 4) among non-trucking populations as defined in this research, such as bus or local parcel delivery drivers; and 5) not including results about food, PA, and/or tobacco environments or patterns/practices (e.g., body mass index, chronic disease diagnosis, marijuana use).
2.1.3. Review outcomes
Microsoft® Excel® (2018 Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) was used to guide data extraction for article characteristics (study objective, design, location), environmental data (setting, measurement, and food, PA, and/or tobacco environment results), and data about related perceptions and patterns/practices (recruitment strategy, participant characteristics, qualitative or quantitative measures, and results). Categories for data extraction are presented in the below Tables. A graduate research assistant with a background in community health extracted data from all sources (KK) and co-authors (BH, LB, LM, and CBS) each reviewed extractions for suggested changes. A consensus was reached between two co-authors for all data points. Three topic experts (BH, LB, and LM) constructed a narration of results by topic area for food, PA, and tobacco, respectively, using evidence tables.

2.1.4. Study quality
Research quality was assessed independently between two authors (BH and LB) using the 2018 Mixed-Method Appraisal Tool (Hong et al., 2019; Souto et al., 2015). This tool allows for the assessment of quality among quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-method studies (Pluye and Hong, 2014), which were all captured in the review scope. For each study type, the 2018 Mixed-Method Appraisal Tool prompts seven “Yes”, “No”, or “Can’t Tell” responses to questions about study rationale, design, methods, and conclusions (Hong et al., 2019; Souto et al., 2015). Discrepancies in ratings were discussed until a consensus was reached. Quality ratings are communicated below based on the number of “Yes” determinations regarding study quality indices.

3. Results
Thirty-eight original research articles published between the years 1993 and 2021 were identified (Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Crizzle et al., 2020; Apostolopoulos et al., 2012; Angeles et al., 2014; Apostolopoulos et al., 2016; Apostolopoulos et al., 2011; Bachmann et al., 2018; Birdsey et al., 2015; Van Hemel and Rogers, 1998; Heaton and Griffin, 2015; Hege et al., 2019; Holmes and Power, 1996; Korelitz et al., 1993; Layne et al., 2009; Lemke et al., 2016; Lincoln et al., 2018; McDonough et al., 2014; McGuirt et al., 2019; Mullins et al., 2013; Olson et al., 2009; Olson et al., 2016; Olson et al., 2016; Passey et al., 2014; Ronna et al., 2016; Sieber et al., 2014; Stasko and Neale, 2007; Thiese et al., 2015; Turner and Reed, 2011; Versteeg et al., 2018; Wenger, 2008; Whitfield Jacobson et al., 2007; Gay Anderson and Riley, 2008;
3.2. Perceptions of trucking environments

Fifteen of 38 studies (39%) included data about truckers’ perceptions of their food or PA environments (Table 3) (Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Crizzle et al., 2020; Hege et al., 2019; Holmes and Power, 1996; Layne et al., 2009; Lemke et al., 2016; McDonough et al., 2014; Passey et al., 2014; Stasko and Neale, 2007; Johnson et al., 2021; Shattell et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2017; Turner and Reed, 2011; Versteeg et al., 2018; Wenger, 2008; Whitfield Jacobson et al., 2007). No studies explored truckers’ perceptions of tobacco environments.

3.2.1. Food environment perceptions

Thirteen studies had results about truckers’ perceptions of the trucking food environment (Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Crizzle et al., 2020; Hege et al., 2019; Holmes and Power, 1996; Lemke et al., 2016; McDonough et al., 2014; Passey et al., 2014; Stasko and Neale, 2007; Johnson et al., 2021; Williams et al., 2017; Versteeg et al., 2018; Wenger, 2008; Whitfield Jacobson et al., 2007). Environmental barriers to healthy eating were, in majority, described regarding the wide accessibility of less healthy food and beverage products with few healthy options in comparison (Holmes and Power, 1996; Lemke et al., 2016; McDonough et al., 2014; Stasko and Neale, 2007; Johnson et al., 2021; Williams et al., 2017; Versteeg et al., 2018; Wenger, 2008; Whitfield Jacobson et al., 2007). Job-related stress or time barriers (Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Hege et al., 2019; Holmes and Power, 1996; Stasko and Neale, 2007; Versteeg et al., 2018; Whitfield Jacobson et al., 2007) and a lack of nutrition knowledge (Holmes and Power, 1996; Lemke et al., 2016; McDonough et al., 2014; Passey et al., 2014; Versteeg et al., 2018) were also described as barriers to meeting dietary guidance recommendations in the food environment. Other barriers to healthy eating included cost (Holmes and Power, 1996; Lemke et al., 2016; McDonough et al., 2014), the ability to carry or prepare food in truck cabs (Holmes and Power, 1996; Lemke et al., 2016; Passey et al., 2014), and limited parking space or availability (Crizzle et al., 2020; McDonough et al., 2014; Passey et al., 2014). In one study conducted in Canada, a dearth of environmental options to access food/beverages while on the road was described (Crizzle et al., 2020). See Table 3.

3.2.2. Physical activity environment perceptions

Twelve studies assessed truckers’ perceptions of PA environments (Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Crizzle et al., 2020; Holmes and Power, 1996; Layne et al., 2009; Lemke et al., 2016; McDonough et al., 2014; Passey et al., 2014; Stasko and Neale, 2007; Shattell et al., 2012; Turner and Reed, 2011; Versteeg et al., 2018; Wenger, 2008). Although truckers believed a lack of exercise contributed to weight gain and poor health (Holmes and Power, 1996; Whitfield Jacobson et al., 2007) and recognized the importance of PA (McDonough et al., 2014; Versteeg et al., 2018), there were many reported barriers to PA including lack of time, long and inflexible work hours, and fatigue (McDonough et al., 2014; Passey et al., 2014; Turner and Reed, 2011; Wenger, 2008). Poor or no access to PA facilities and equipment was another primary barrier (Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Passey et al., 2014; Turner and Reed, 2011; Wenger, 2008). In one study, limited parking resulted in needing to stop in unsafe locations and a lack of showering facilities at available rest stops was described (Crizzle et al., 2020), which may influence the likelihood of PA. Finally, some truckers expressed interest in PA and explained they would use exercise equipment, gym memberships, and walking paths if available in the environment (Lemke et al., 2016; Stasko and Neale, 2007; Turner and Reed, 2011; Versteeg et al., 2018).

3.2.3. Food, PA, and tobacco patterns or practices

Twenty-seven of 38 studies (71%) had data about truckers’ food, PA, and/or tobacco patterns or practices (Table 4).

3.2.4. Food patterns/practices

Twenty-one studies had data about truckers’ dietary patterns,
Table 1
Original Research Included in the Systematic Review of Trucking Food, Physical Activity (PA), and Tobacco Environments and Truckers’ Related Patterns and Practices in the United States and Canada (n = 38).

| First Author, Year | Study Objective | Location | Recruitment or Sampling Strategy | Inclusion Criteria | Sample size |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Angeles, 2014      | To examine risk factors and health needs of Canadian truck drivers | Southwestern Ontario, Canada | Companies were identified through the Hamilton Chamber of Commerce and the Ontario Trucking Association | Drivers at companies with at least 10 short haul truck drivers employed | 406 truck drivers |
| Apostolopoulos, 2011 | To examine trucking environments and their relationships to dietary behaviors and choices in truckers at high risk of obesity and weight gain | South Central North Carolina, USA | Truck sites were chosen to represent varied geographies and sizes close to the highway and areas where truckers spent time | Truck stops including underserved trucking areas | 8 trucking terminals, 7 warehouses, 8 highway rest areas |
| Apostolopoulos, 2012 | To examine how the environmental attributes of trucking workplaces influence the physical and recreation activity patterns of truckers | North Carolina, USA | Truck sites were chosen to represent sites of varied geographies and sizes that were close to the highway and areas where truckers spent time | Trucking settings located near highways I-85 and I-40 | 8 truck stops, 8 trucking terminals, 7 warehouses, and 2 highway rest areas |
| Apostolopoulos, 2013 | To explore the connection between truck drivers’ work environments and their physical health, access to healthcare services and medical treatment, and participation in health promotion programs | Central North Carolina, USA | 316 truckers randomly selected from truck stops and trucking terminals in North Carolina and invited to participate in the Healthy Trucker Survey | Male long-haul truck drivers | 316 truck drivers |
| Apostolopoulos, 2016 | To examine the resources and barriers of trucking workplaces that can influence health behaviors | North Carolina, USA | Based on geographic and corporate representativeness, proximity to the highway, and worksite size | Trucking settings located near highways I-85 and I-40 | 8 truck stops, 8 trucking terminals, 7 warehouses, and 2 highway rest areas |
| Bachmann, 2018     | To assess the general and sexual health of long-haul drivers in the U.S. | North Carolina, Tennessee, and Mississippi, USA | Discrete multiple-day site visits for recruitment of eligible participants | Long-haul truckers (a driver, full or part-time, drove a truck across state lines-interstate), English speaking, age 21+ | 266 long-haul truck drivers |
| Birdsey, 2015      | To compare selected health behaviors and body mass index (BMI) of US long-haul truck drivers to the US working population by sex | 48 contiguous states, USA | Researchers used a weighted sampling process with three stages: first, selecting highway sections based on geographical region and traffic volume; second, selecting truck stops located in the chosen highway sections; third, recruiting long-haul drivers who entered the truck stops | Male long-haul truck drivers | 1,265 long-haul truck drivers |
| Crizzle, 2020      | To examine risk factors associated with and predictive of depressive symptoms | Saskatoon, Saskatchewan; Lloydminster, Edmonton, Red Deer, Calgary, and Alberta, Canada | Truck drivers who spent one or more nights away from home on each delivery run, age 21+ | Long-haul drivers who were Canadian and carried a Class 1 or equivalent driver’s license, and spent one or more nights away from home | 238 long-haul truck drivers |
| Crizzle, 2020      | To understand where fatigue-related accidents occur and long-haul truck drivers’ perceptions about fatigue and truck stop access | Saskatchewan and Alberta, Canada | Truck drivers were approached for interviews by researchers | Long-haul truckers who were Canadian and carried a Class 1 or equivalent driver’s license, and spent one or more nights away from home | 67 long-haul truck drivers |
| Gay Anderson, 2008 | To explore aspects and prevalence of violence experienced by truck drivers while working | Boston, MA; Dallas, TX; Louisville (and two other sites in Kentucky), KY; Las Vegas, NV; Portland, OR; Chicago, IL; and Des Moines, IA in the USA | Truck drivers who spent one or more nights away from home, age 21+ | Carried a Commercial Driver’s License, were 21 years or older and spoke English | 987 long-haul truck drivers |
| Heaton, 2015       | To describe caffeine consumption among truck drivers in the on- and off duty conditions and explore the associations between caffeine use and critical safety events in habitual caffeine-consuming drivers by age in the naturalistic work setting | Alabama (study location was not directly specified), USA | Participants recruited from four trucking companies | Long-haul truck drivers and line haul (e.g., ‘out and back’ routes) truck drivers | 97 participants |
| Hege, 2019         | To explore the connection between work characteristics, job stress, sleep outcomes, and health in the United States and Canada (n = 38). | Central North Carolina, USA | Signs about the study were distributed around a large truck stop. Researchers used an | Truck stops were chosen based on location to major interstate | 260 long-haul truck drivers |

(continued on next page)
| First Author, Year | Study Objective | Location | Recruitment or Sampling Strategy | Inclusion Criteria | Sample size |
|-------------------|----------------|----------|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Holmes, 1996      | To design and implement a driver nutrition program | Iowa (study location was not directly specified), USA | Researchers mailed surveys (response rate was 21%) | Truck drivers | 300 truck drivers |
| Johnson, 2021     | To explore long-haul truck drivers’ healthcare experiences and their relationship with health care providers | Toronto, Canada | Researchers recruited truckers from truck stops of interest and using social media postings and snowball sampling | Drivers of long-haul routes with trucks having 3 or more axles. Having driven as a main job for the preceding year and residing in Ontario. | 13 long-haul truck drivers |
| Korelitz, 1993    | To assess personal characteristics, health status, and health interests reported by long-haul truck drivers | 29 states, USA | A convenience sample, consisting of truck drivers who visited a health booth at one of 65 truck stops participating in the trucker trade show | Male and female long-haul truck drivers. Truckers were away from home overnight each week, and able to read, write, and speak English | 2,945 long-haul truck drivers |
| Layne, 2009       | To determine if health conditions and health care access differ between male and female long-haul truck drivers | London, Beaverdam, and Jeffersonville, Ohio, USA | Researchers visited truck sites 5 or more times to recruit 25 males and 25 females. Flyers were used to engage truckers to participate and direct eligible participants to the nearby recreational vehicle and were posted on the fuel islands | All truckers were licensed long-haul truck drivers, away from home overnight each week, and were able to read, write, and speak English | 50 long-haul truck drivers |
| Lemke, 2016       | To investigate truckers’ difficulties of engaging in favorable health behaviors | Texas, USA | Participants were identified via contact with a key informant who served as a gatekeeper, social media websites (Facebook), and snowball sampling | Currently employed long-haul truck drivers | 12 long-haul truck drivers |
| Lincoln, 2018     | To collect data on the built environment of trucking settings and the contribution to the emotional and physical wellbeing of drivers | Minnesota, Ohio, Louisiana, Arkansas, and California, USA | A national list of truck stops located near high-volume traffic highways was used to select stops that had a restaurant, at least 5 paved parking spaces, proximity to facilities, and the ability to combine multiple truck stops into single travel events | Truck stops and nearby venues | 16 truck stops, including 2 full-service restaurants, 3 fast-food restaurants, and 2 grocery stores |
| McDonough, 2014   | To design and administer a health and wellness survey that describes lifestyle issues affecting health and disease risk factors among truck drivers and perceived by their managers in the truck driving occupation | Hamilton, Ontario, Canada | The transport company occupational health and safety representatives invited focus group participants | Company drivers | 16 truck drivers |
| McGuint, 2019     | To determine the availability, accessibility, and healthfulness of foods and beverages along highway rest areas | North Carolina, USA | Researchers used the North Carolina Department of Transportation website to identify all rest areas in North Carolina and selected locations offering food or beverages for sale | Only toll-free highway rest areas were examined (24 rural, 6 urban) | 241 vending machines |
| Mullins, 2013     | To validate the Health Promoting Lifestyle Profile II with Hispanic male truck drivers and assess differences based on occupational driving responsibilities | Southern New Mexico, USA | Data collected at one large truck stop, two smaller truck stops, and a university parking lot. Potential participants were approached and informed about the study | Both long-haul truck drivers (spend most of the year away from home) and short-haul truck drivers (return home in the evening, have a regular schedule, and work 50 or more hours/week) | 48 drivers |
| Olson, 2009       | To evaluate the effectiveness of a new health promotion model, the Safety & Health Involvement for Truckers to reduce body weight and increase healthy behaviors | Pacific Northwest, USA | Recruitment by posters and company communications in the regional terminal of each of 4 trucking companies | Truck drivers were prescreened for cardiac risk and had a BMI greater than 26 | 29 truck drivers |
| Olson, 2016       | To evaluate the effectiveness of the Safety and Health Involvement for Truckers model that includes weight loss competition, behavior and body weight self-monitoring, | Oregon (study location was not directly specified), USA | Trucking companies had a driver employment level ranging from 500 to greater than 2000, provided interstate transportation services, and operated at least 2 larger | Employed commercial truck drivers with a BMI ≥ 27 | 452 drivers |

(continued on next page)
| First Author, Year | Study Objective | Location | Recruitment or Sampling Strategy | Inclusion Criteria | Sample size |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Olson, 2016        | To identify clusters of drivers with similar patterns, affecting energy balance (sleep, diet, and exercise) behaviors and test for clusters’ differences in health and psychosocial factors | Western, Midwestern, and Southeastern USA | Drivers were recruited from company terminals using printed advertisements, mailings, announcements at safety meetings, and direct satellite messages | A BMI ≥ 27, an interest in managing or losing weight, and the absence of contraindicating health conditions | 452 drivers |
| Passey, 2014       | To explore truck drivers’ views on diet, PA, and health care access to inform the development of a weight loss intervention | USA (Not specified) | Participants were approached at a local truck stop to participate in focus groups. | Required to be an actively employed long-haul truck driver with a current Commercial Driver’s License and ≥ 18 years old | 30 long-haul truck drivers |
| Ronna, 2016        | To assess the relationship between the Framingham Cardiovascular Disease Risk Score and the prevalence of U. S. Department of Transportation reportable crashes in commercial motor vehicle drivers, after controlling for potential confounders | Utah, Wisconsin, Kentucky, Texas, Nevada, and Iowa, USA | Convenience sampling used at truck stops and professional truck shows | Commercial truck driver with a current Commercial Driver’s License at the time of study enrollment | 797 commercial truck drivers |
| Shattell, 2010     | To identify occupational stressors and the availability of mental health promotion/prevention services | Southeastern region, USA | Truck drivers meeting the inclusion criteria were selected from information collected as part of a larger study | Long-haul truck drivers involved in illicit behaviors | 59 long-haul truck drivers |
| Shattell, 2012     | To explore mental health risk factors and preventative services and a possible link between mental health illness and truckers’ environments | Greensboro, North Carolina, USA | Researchers asked initial screen questions to every 5th truck driver entering a truck stop | Male truck drivers that were at least 20 years of age | 316 truckers |
| Sieber, 2014       | To complete a formative evaluation of long-haul truck drivers’ demographics, working conditions, health risks, and lifestyle related chronic diseases | New Hampshire, New York, Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Minnesota, Virginia, South Carolina, Tennessee, Florida, Arkansas, Louisiana, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Texas, Oregon, California, and Arizona, USA | Truck drivers were recruited during a 3-day interview period in different 8 h shifts between 7am and 10 pm. Recruiters were instructed to approach all individuals entering when interviewers were available, so each truck driver who entered during a recruitment period had an equal chance of selection | Long-haul truck drivers who drive a truck with ≥ 3 axles as their main job for ≥ 12 months and take at least one mandatory 10-hr rest period away from home during each delivery | 1,265 Long-haul truck drivers |
| Solomon, 2004      | To assess health care access | Knoxville, TN; Glade Spring, VA; Girard, OH; Rochelle, IL; Portage, WI; Walcott, IA; Des Moines, IA; Grand Island, NE; Big Springs, NE; Belgrade, MT; Laramie, WY; Commerce City, CO; Oak Grove, MO; Effingham, IL; Carlisle, PA; and Elkhart, MD in the USA | Researchers approached truck drivers in truck stops | Long-distance drivers with overnight routes, who resided in the U.S. and drive multiple routes, i.e., “not a dedicated run” | 521 truck drivers |
| Stasko, 2007       | To explore health care access problems faced by long-distance truck drivers | Michigan, USA | Truck stops were identified and telephoned to determine if the truck stop had an eating area. Letters were mailed to truck stops to describe the study and ask for permission to interview a sample of truckers who met the inclusion criteria. Interviews with truckers were performed while truckers ate their meals | The truck stop needed to have a booth for sitting and eating to ensure a confidential setting. Drivers were required to be long-haul truck drivers | 30 long-haul drivers |
| Thiese, 2015       | To assess feasibility of a 12-week weight loss intervention for truck drivers with a weight loss goal of 10% of initial body weight | Utah, USA | Recruitment strategies included hanging flyers at local truck stops, contacting drivers from a previous study, and working with a local truck company to approach eligible drivers | Drivers were current long-haul commercial motor vehicle drivers with a BMI ≥ 30 and age 21 or older | 12 long-haul drivers |
| Turner, 2011       | To examine exercise habits and perceived barriers to exercise | Kentucky, USA | A convenience sample of 300 commercial long-distance drivers who operate heavy trucks or working as a commercial truck driver for at least 2 years, 23 years or older, English | 297 commercial long-distance drivers | (continued on next page) |
Truckers reported consuming around 1–2 meals per day in one study (Korelitz et al., 1993), and another found dinner was the most common meal consumed during weekdays on the road (breakfast was less common) (Holmes and Power, 1996). Snacking was frequently reported among drivers (Holmes and Power, 1996; Korelitz et al., 1993).

Truckers reported frequent consumption of meats, salty snacks, fruit, and sweets (Holmes and Power, 1996). Truckers reported consuming sugary snacks, sugar-sweetened beverages, and fast-food at least 2 times per week on average, with sugary drinks reported most frequently (Olson et al., 2009). In another study truckers reported consuming these products about 4 times/week (Olson et al., 2009). Caffeinated beverage intake, including coffee, was frequent (Heaton and Griffin, 2015; Stasko and Neale, 2007). For example, the majority of truckers interviewed by Stasko et al. (2007) (Stasko and Neale, 2007) reported drinking up to or more than 5 cups of coffee each day. Caffeinated beverages and snacking were reported to help fight fatigue (Van Hemel and Rogers, 1998).

Eleven studies assessed alcohol intake (Angeles et al., 2014; Hege et al., 2019; Korelitz et al., 1993; Wenger, 2008; Gay Anderson and Riley, 2008). In another study truckers reported consuming sugary snacks, sugar-sweetened beverages, and fast-food at least 2 times per week on average, with sugary drinks reported most frequently (Olson et al., 2009). In another study truckers reported consuming these products about 4 times/week (Olson et al., 2009). Caffeinated beverage intake, including coffee, was frequent (Heaton and Griffin, 2015; Stasko and Neale, 2007). For example, the majority of truckers interviewed by Stasko et al. (2007) (Stasko and Neale, 2007) reported drinking up to or more than 5 cups of coffee each day. Caffeinated beverages and snacking were reported to help fight fatigue (Van Hemel and Rogers, 1998).
Table 2
Trucking Food and Physical Activity (PA) Environment Results in the United States and Canada (n = 4 studies).

| Author, year | Food | PA | Measure | Key Results |
|--------------|------|----|---------|-------------|
| Apostolopoulos, 2011 | X | | The Healthy Trucking Worksites Audit Instrument (HEATWAI) is a 250-item tool developed from existing measurements used to measure environmental aspects of trucking settings related to food and physical activity behaviors. | Food: Among all trucking sites measured, 18.1% were classified as ‘not at all supportive’ of healthy options. Healthy choices available included vegetarian dishes, 100% ’natural’ juices, and lower priced reduced portions compared to full portions. Fast-food settings available in truck stops scored 22 of 144 possible points (15.3%) for healthy item availability such as salads, vegetable-based soups, and reduced kcal/fat-free condiments. There was an average of 3.1 vending machines at truck stops, 5.2 at trucking terminals, 4.7 at warehouses, and 7.5 at highway rest stops. Vending scores were 225 out of 1,250 possible points (18%). Healthy items in vending machines included nuts, seeds, 100% juices, low-fat milk, and yogurt. Food stores and mini marts at truck stops scored 20 out of 168 possible points (11.9%). Lunch-break rooms and driver lounges that were in trucking terminals, warehouses, and truck stops scored 69 out of 334 possible points (20.7%). Social and informational environments among trucking work settings and in surrounding communities scored 41 out of 209 possible points (19.8%). |
| | | | PA: Trucking worksites scored 94 of 325 (28.9%) on physical and recreational areas in natural environments (wooded areas and grass spaces), 86 of 330 (26.7%) on physical and recreational areas in built environments, 126 of 469 (26.9%) on resources and facilities that encouraged physical and recreational activities, 0 of 437 on exercise and fitness facilities, 23 of 276 (8.2%) on social environments, 24 of 125 (19.2%) on the promotion of physical and recreation activities (bulletin boards, posters, etc. containing informational messages or opportunities), and 46 of 325 (14%) on physical and recreational amenities and opportunities in nearby community settings. |
| | | | |
| Lincoln, 2018 | X | X | A checklist was developed to measure availability of convenience store healthy food options and energy products (e.g., energy drinks, shots, or pills), restaurant/fast-food healthy food options, and indoor and outdoor truck stop amenities. | Food: Truck stops were found deficient regarding promotions for and availability of healthy items. All truck stops had at least 1 convenience store and either a full-service or fast-food restaurant on site. Of truck stop restaurants, 16 (94%) offered a healthy animal protein and 12 (75%) offered a healthy vegetable. White-meat poultry was the most common healthy item offered in 14 (88%) truck stops, with fish or shellfish less common in comparison. 12 (75%) offered both vegetarian dishes and healthy animal protein dishes and 1 (6%) had no healthy items available. Among convenience stores, 12 (75%) offered a healthy fruit, 7 (44%) offered a healthy snack, 7 (44%) had frozen/canned/dried fruit with no sugar or fat added, 1 (6%) offered healthy vegetable, and none offered a healthy prepared entree. 1 truck stop stop (6%) offered a healthy vegetable or prepared entree or both a healthy fruit and vegetable entree, 3 truck stops or (continued on next page) |

Table 2 (continued)

| Author, year | Food | PA | Measure | Key Results |
|--------------|------|----|---------|-------------|
| | | | 126 of 469 (26.9%) on resources and facilities that encouraged physical and recreational activities, 0 of 437 on exercise and fitness facilities, 23 of 276 (8.2%) on social environments, 24 of 125 (19.2%) on the promotion of physical and recreation activities (bulletin boards, posters, etc. containing informational messages or opportunities), and 46 of 325 (14%) on physical and recreational amenities and opportunities in nearby community settings. |
| | | | (continued on next page) | |
Table 2 (continued)

| Author, year | Food PA Measure | Key Results |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| McGuirt, 2019 | X | The Nutrition Environment Measures Survey-Vending (NEMS-V) measures type, price, and size of foods and beverages and the healthfulness of items offered in vending machines. The scoring criteria used a medal for vending machines based on healthy properties: bronze, at least 30% of food or 55% of beverage items were yellow or green; silver, at least 40% of food or 65% of beverage items were yellow or green; or gold, at least 50% of food or 75% of beverage items were yellow or green. Green foods represented healthy choices, yellow foods were less healthy choices, and red foods were the least healthy choices. The most common “green” beverage was plain bottled water, and the most common “yellow” beverage was Diet Pepsi. Among 19 hot beverage machines, 70.2% were categorized as red due to coffee drinks with both added sugars and creamers. Among five combination machines (e.g., refrigerated and nonrefrigerated beverages and snacks), 55.6% were categorized as red. Among four ice cream machines, 98.0% of items were categorized as red. Among 88 snack machines, 88.6% were categorized as red. Most (99%) snack machines received no award. Most (88%) food items were categorized as red. The most common “green” food was salted peanuts, followed by trail mix, and Goldfish crackers. The 5 most common “red” foods were Skittles, Lays potato chips, Doritos, Snickers, and Peanut M&Ms. |

3.2.5. Physical activity patterns/practices

Twenty studies assessed truckers’ PA patterns or practices (Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Angeles et al., 2014; Hege et al., 2019; Korelitz et al., 1993; Layne et al., 2009; Ronna et al., 2016; Sieber et al., 2014; Thiese et al., 2015; Turner and Reed, 2011; Wenger, 2008; Whitfield Jacobson et al., 2007; Crizzle et al., 2020; Solomon et al., 2004; Bachmann et al., 2018; Birdsey et al., 2015; Van Hemel and Rogers, 1998; Mullins et al., 2013; Olson et al., 2009; Olson et al., 2016; Olson et al., 2016). Nineteen assessed whether truckers engaged in aerobic activity (Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Angeles et al., 2014; Hege et al., 2019; Korelitz et al., 1993; Layne et al., 2009; Ronna et al., 2016; Sieber et al., 2014; Thiese et al., 2015; Turner and Reed, 2011; Whitfield Jacobson et al., 2007; Crizzle et al., 2020; Solomon et al., 2004; Bachmann et al., 2018; Birdsey et al., 2015; Van Hemel and Rogers, 1998; Mullins et al., 2013; Olson et al., 2009; Olson et al., 2016; Olson et al., 2016). Of these, 13 found that a majority were inactive or insufficiently active (Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Birdsey et al., 2015; Van Hemel and Rogers, 1998; Korelitz et al., 1993; Layne et al., 2009; Thiese et al., 2015; Turner and Reed, 2011; Whitfield Jacobson et al., 2007; Mullins et al., 2013; Olson et al., 2009; Olson et al., 2016; Olson et al., 2016), while the remaining six found that a majority of truckers were active (but did not differentiate between insufficient or sufficient levels of PA) (Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Bachmann et al., 2018; Ronna et al., 2016; Sieber et al., 2014; Crizzle et al., 2020; Solomon et al., 2004). Two of the studies assessed whether truckers engaged in strength training, and both found that most truckers to not meet strength training recommendations (Olson et al., 2016; Turner and Reed, 2011). Finally, in one qualitative assessment, truckers mentioned getting little exercise (Wenger, 2008).

3.2.6. Tobacco patterns/practices

Sixteen studies reported tobacco use among truckers (Angeles et al., 2014; Bachmann et al., 2018; Birdsey et al., 2015; Crizzle et al., 2020; Hege et al., 2019; Korelitz et al., 1993; Layne et al., 2009; Olson et al., 2016a,b; Ronna et al., 2016; Sieber et al., 2014; Solomon et al., 2004; Statko and Neale, 2007; Van Hemel and Rogers, 1998; Versteeg et al., 2018; Wenger, 2008). Nine of these only assessed self-reported current smoking (Angeles et al., 2014; Hege et al., 2019; Korelitz et al., 1993; Olson et al., 2016; Olson et al., 2016; Crizzle et al., 2020; Ronna et al., 2016; Sieber et al., 2014; Statko and Neale, 2007), and estimates of
### Table 3
Results About Truckers’ Perceptions of Trucking Food and Physical Activity (PA) Environments in the United States and Canada (n = 15).

| Author, year | Food | PA | Tobacco | Measures                                                                 | Key Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------|------|----|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Apostolopoulos, 2013 | X    | X  |         | Healthy Trucker Survey (HEATS) that included question on work history, physical and mental health, and healthcare access. | Food: Of truckers interested in receiving health information, about half (53.8%) were interested in healthy eating. PA: Of truckers interested in receiving health information, about half (49.5%) were interested in exercise guidelines. Fitness facilities were reported unavailable for over 70% of truck stops, 70% of trucking terminals, and 88% of trucking warehouses. |
| Crizzle, 2020 (7)  | X    | X  |         | Interviews using open-ended questions about availability and access to truck/travel stops and driver fatigue. | Food: Drivers indicated a lack of rest areas regarding limited breaks for coffee. At times, truckers reported parking in unsafe locations (e.g., near the highway) and walking for food. PA: Parking limitations caused needing to utilize unsafe or not well-lit locations for rest stops. Many truck stops were described as not having showers or running water or were described as dirty. |
| Hege, 2019     | X    |     |         | Self-reported daily time spent cooking/eating. | Food: 74.2% reported having a few minutes to less than an hour for eating and cooking and 25.8% reported having an hour or more. |
| Holmes, 1996   | X    | X  |         | Situation survey that asked about drivers’ current health and nutrition | Food: 89% of drivers agreed that they should eat healthier on the road and 83% noted they would order a healthier food choice if available. Only 54% indicated an ability to eat healthfully while on the road. To eat healthier, most drivers indicated needing more time, followed by more healthy foods available, higher income, lower food prices, more nutrition knowledge, knowledge of where to eat, and information about carrying food in the cab. Barriers to maintaining a healthy weight were the abundance of high-fat options and limited healthy food availability. |
| Johnson, 2021  |   | X  |         | Semi-structured interviews included questions about healthcare access and healthcare experiences. | Food: Drivers reported difficulty in obtaining healthy foods as a barrier to a healthy lifestyle. PA: Lack of exercise was a noted barrier to weight management. |
| Lemke, 2016    | X    |     | X       | Semi-structured interview guide included questions to understand health habits, including healthy food availability on the road along with several nutrition attitude questions. | Food: Job stress was perceived to influence food purchasing decisions and prevented engaging in (continued on next page)
### Table 3 (continued)

| Author, year | Food | PA | Tobacco | Measures | Key Results |
|--------------|------|----|---------|----------|-------------|
| McDonough, 2014 | X    | X  |         |          |              |
| Semi-structured interview questions based on modifiable chronic disease risk factors in truck drivers. |        |    |         |          |              |
| healthy behaviors, including barriers to consuming nutritious foods and exercising in truck settings. |        |    |         |          |              |
| restaurants were perceived to have healthy options on menus; however, were overpowered by unhealthy options. |        |    |         |          |              |
| “Right where the salads are, right above it, are huge slices of pizza … When you get a sale on two slices of pizza, you are going to grab that instead of the four-dollar salad that’s below it.” |        |    |         |          |              |
| The abundance of unhealthy food in truck stops was a noted barrier. |        |    |         |          |              |
| Factory-installed appliances in truck cabs (e.g., refrigerator/freezer) were a noted facilitator that could enable drivers to carry their own healthy food. |        |    |         |          |              |
| PA: Drivers perceived making time for exercise as important for health. Drivers recommended resources like workout facilities and walking trail maps to improve PA. One driver suggested “[Truck stops] can have maps with walking trails … it wouldn’t cost much money for them to put that on the wall and maybe it would inspire a culture.” |        |    |         |          |              |
| Food: Drivers perceived the limited accessibility, availability, and affordability of healthy foods to be related to poor nutrition. Time pressure, parking issues, and overpriced healthy options were also perceived to reduce truckers’ diet quality. Truck stop food options were described as lacking in fruit and vegetables with many products that were high in saturated fat, sodium, and kals. One driver noted, ‘Parking is an issue so you can’t get proper food. You go to a truck stop you know… and look at the menu. Everything is like ‘drips with grease’ …… and if they do offer fresh fruit… its over-priced.” |        |    |         |          |              |
| PA: Drivers recognized the importance of PA, but described time constraints, long working hours, and fatigue as barriers. One driver said, ‘it’s hard to have a healthy lifestyle when you’re working 16 hours a day…” |        |    |         |          |              |
| Passey, 2014 | X    | X  |         |          |              |
| Focus group discussion guide based on PRECEDE-PROCEED model with questions about access to nutrition information and barriers to eating healthy and PA. |        |    |         |          |              |
| Food: Drivers described difficulty storing healthy food in trucks without a refrigerator and noted the vibrations in the cab bruised or damaged fresh produce. One driver noted, ‘You can find anything you want on the road, some drivers don’t have microwave, and some don’t have coolers. You can go anywhere you want it’s just the storage.” Parking was a major concern, due to the |        |    |         |          |              |
| (continued on next page) |        |    |         |          |              |
Table 3 (continued)

| Author, year | Food | PA | Tobacco | Measures | Key Results |
|--------------|------|----|---------|----------|-------------|
| Difficulty in parking in smaller spaces to access healthy options (e.g., near grocery stores). For example, "a lot of supermarket parking lots are not truck friendly." Limited time for food shopping and preparation were also barriers due to long working hours. | | | | |
| PA: Most drivers described time constraints and a lack of energy or motivation for PA. Gym membership costs and parking spaces near gyms also prevented PA on the road. Truck cabs were described as too constrained for doing exercises (e.g., "you can’t do them in the truck, there’s not enough room.") and parking lots were perceived unsafe or dirty. Poor access to showers and gym facilities in truck stops were also reported barriers. Threat of injury during exercise was also a concern, given long drives to destinations. Irregular sleep schedules also were perceived to unfavorably influence energy for engaging in PA. | | | | |
| Turner, 2011 | X | | | Obesity Risk Factor Questionnaire including prompts about barriers to exercise, availability of exercise equipment while traveling, and the use of equipment. |
| Shattell, 2010 | X | | | Interview questionnaire with open-ended questions about health care on the road. |
| Stasko, 2007 | X | X | | Food: Drivers expressed interest in healthier food options and nutrition education. |
| | | | | PA: Drivers expressed interest in access to exercise rooms. |
| | | | | PA: 75% of drivers rated the exercise environment in a typical work week as ‘never available/terrible’ and 75% also reported exercise equipment was not available on the road. 59% reported they would use an exercise room with weight-lifting equipment and aerobic machines if available at truck stops. When exercise equipment was available, 59.7% reported never using it, 30.7% used it some of the time, and only 9% used it always or most of the time. 72.7% said home exercise was easier than when traveling. Barriers to PA were lack of time (66.7%), lack of exercise facilities (45.3%), safety concerns (7.3%), physical health limitations (6.3%), cost (4.3%), and crowded exercise facilities (3.7%). 71% reported not having enough time when exercise facilities were available. Drivers with obesity who | | | |
| | | | (continued on next page) |
### Table 3 (continued)

| Author, year | Food | PA | Tobacco | Measures | Key Results |
|--------------|------|----|---------|----------|-------------|
| Versteeg, 2018 | X | X | | | perceived exercise equipment as not available reported no availability of exercise facilities and rated the exercise environment as terrible or bad (p = 0.01) and reported difficulty exercising due to personal health (p = 0.03) in comparison to drivers without obesity. Drivers who perceived that exercise equipment was available were 2.58 times more likely to exercise 30 minutes a day for 5 days while traveling (p = 0.001). Drivers who exercised 5 days or more were 0.30 times less likely to report not having time to exercise (p = 0.001). Drivers who exercised at least 1 day in the past week were 0.49 times less likely to have been traveling for work for 5 days or more in the past week (p = 0.01). |
| Wenger, 2008 | X | X | | | Eating strategies, and cooking on the road. Many posted about barriers to healthy eating, including unhealthy food in truck stops, ‘For starters stay away from the truck stop food. That’s where most gain weight from all the greasy garbage truck stops call food.’ The need for a lot of caffeine to stay awake on long drives and limited time on the road to cook were noted. There were a high number of posts about food (indicating topic importance). PA: Many forum posts were about exercising in the trucks, gym memberships, and suggestions from other drivers regarding running or walking trails near truck parking lots. Some were interested in learning about PA options, ‘I have been jumping around this forum looking for practical ideas. Unfortunately, most threads seem to lose steam rather quickly.’ Truckers were also looking for quick and effective PA options and demonstrated a lack of knowledge about exercise. Food: A lack of healthy food options relative to unhealthy options was expressed, ‘A |

A content analysis was used to assess posts to the Driver Health Forum from the Truckers Report website.
**Table 3 (continued)**

| Author, year | Food | PA | Tobacco | Measures | Key Results |
|--------------|------|----|---------|----------|-------------|
| Whitfield Jacobson, 2007 | X | Self-reported days per week engaged in 30 minutes sustained duration of exercise; self-reported average fruit/vegetable consumption per day. Food Choices Index (FCI) was used to measure the importance of healthy food options in restaurants if available ($p < 0.001$). Drivers perceived taste as more important than nutrition when making dietary choices. Regarding the importance of healthy choices, truckers’ mean FCI score (higher number, higher importance) was $56.94 \pm 7.66$ (range 15–75). NAS scores about healthy restaurant options were on average $44.84 \pm 5.68$ (range 12–60). No differences were found between drivers with normal weight or overweight/obesity regarding reported importance of healthful food choices ($p = 0.193$). Drivers noted policy and environmental opportunities for improving dietary patterns: promoting healthful menu items in truck stop restaurants; achieving richer flavor without added fat or salt; using nutritious ingredients and lower kcal, fat, and cholesterol options; increasing low kcal menu items; centering nutrition concerns in menu development; and nutrition education. Food: Drivers reported less access to healthy food as a barrier to increasing self-reported average fruit/vegetable consumption per day. Food Choices Index (FCI) was used to measure the importance of healthy food choices. The Nutrition Attitude Survey (NAS) was used to measure attitudes about truck stops restaurant provision of healthful foods. | | |
| Williams, 2017 | X | X | | | | | | | | | |
current smoking ranged from 30% (Olson et al., 2016; Olson et al., 2016) to 50% (Korelliz et al., 1993; Romna et al., 2016; Sieber et al., 2014) or more of truckers. Two studies compared current smoking by gender, and both found that female truckers had higher rates of current smoking relative to their male counterparts (46% among men vs. 55% among women in one national U.S. study (Birdseye et al., 2015); 40% among men and 60% among women in a pilot study that collected data from truckers at three rural Ohio truck stops (Layne et al., 2009). Another study found no significant difference in smoking between drivers with depressive symptoms (46% smoked every day) vs. those without (43% smoked every day) (Crizzle et al., 2020).

One study compared smoking rates between independent and company drivers and found no significant differences between groups (though both had high rates of smoking: 47% of company and 56% of independent truckers reported current smoking) (Bachmann et al., 2018). One study assessing sleep behaviors and fatigue among truckers reported that less than 1% of truckers reported smoking or using other tobacco products to stay awake on the road (Van Hemel and Rogers, 2018). One study assessing sleep behaviors and fatigue among truckers reported that driving tends to encourage picking up fast-food and drinking lots of caffeine. PA: Drivers reported limited time to exercise when being on the road for a long time. “Some do choose to exercise frequently, but the demands placed on an over-the-road trucker tend to limit these activities.”

### 3.4. Future directions

#### 3.4.1. Trucking food environments

Both objective and perceived assessments of the food environment are widely applied in the public health nutrition literature (Neve et al., 2021; National Collaborative on Childhood Obesity Research, 2019) and are promising approaches to improve the state of the science regarding trucking food environments. For example, details about the development and reliability and validity of the HEATWAI tool used to measure food and PA environments in two studies (Apostolopoulos et al., 2012; Apostolopoulos et al., 2011) and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health checklist used in one study (Lincoln et al., 2018) were not able to be identified. To build a coherent evidence base to inform future trucking food environment interventions, the use of standard tools assessed for reliability and validity is critical. As one example, the Nutrition Environments Measures Survey (NEMS) variants (e.g., for convenience stores, restaurants, vending machines) (Center for Health Behavior Research, 2021) are publicly available, accompanied by training, and have standardized scoring protocols for analysis. Additionally, standardized survey metrics for assessing dietary patterns and practices (e.g., Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (diet and alcohol) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019), National Cancer Institute Fruit and Vegetable screener (National Cancer Institute, 2021), or the Beverage Intake Questionnaire for Habitual Beverage Intake (Fausnacht et al., 2020) were not consistently applied and are also recommended for trucking research moving forward. Improving the use of standard measures will be especially useful for correlational research linking food environment properties to truckers’ dietary purchases.

#### 3.4.2. Physical activity environments

Measures of the PA environment were also limited. This is unsurprising, as most of the evidence on the association between environment and PA behavior comes from data on individuals’ perceptions of the environment rather than objective assessments (Brownson et al., 2009). However, more information about characteristics of existing

| Author, year | Food | PA | Tobacco | Measures | Key Results |
|--------------|------|----|---------|----------|-------------|
| “Can you tell me about your experience as a truck driver?” | choosing healthy choices when being on the road for a long time. | Truck drivers reported that driving tends to encourage picking up fast-food and drinking lots of caffeine. | Drivers reported limited time to exercise when being on the road for a long time. “Some do choose to exercise frequently, but the demands placed on an over-the-road trucker tend to limit these activities.” | Bachmann et al., 2018; Lincoln et al., 2018; McGuirt et al., 2019 |}

Available data about trucking food, PA, tobacco environments, and truckers’ related patterns and practices were synthesized in this review to inform needed opportunities for high-impact interventions that target factors beyond individual health knowledge and skills (Ng et al., 2015; Frieden, 2010; Crizzle et al., 2017). Only four reviewed studies used environmental measures (Apostolopoulos et al., 2012; Apostolopoulos et al., 2011; Lincoln et al., 2018; McGuirt et al., 2019) to examine trucking settings and only one study used validated environmental tools (McGuirt et al., 2019). A higher number of studies explored perceptions about environmental factors that influence health patterns and practices (Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Hege et al., 2019; Holmes and Power, 1996; Layne et al., 2009; Lemke et al., 2016; McDonough et al., 2014; Passey et al., 2014; Stasko and Neale, 2007; Turner and Reed, 2011; Versteeg et al., 2018; Wenger, 2008; Whitfield Jacobson et al., 2007); however, these perceptions did not consider truckers’ views of possible environmental change strategies to improve health. Last, there was no evidence about trucking tobacco environments. Thus, a main contribution of this review is a highlighted dearth of empirical information about attributes of trucking environments requiring intervention that negatively influence truckers’ reported food, PA, and tobacco patterns and practices.

While not directly related to the specific focus of our review, several reviewed research studies described an unsupportive trucking workplace culture that was at times implicated for food, PA, and/or tobacco practices (Apostolopoulos et al., 2013; Apostolopoulos et al., 2016; Apostolopoulos et al., 2011; Lemke et al., 2016; McDonough et al., 2014; Wenger, 2008; Johnson et al., 2021; Shattell et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2017). In general, the structure and nature (sedentary, stressful, remote) of the job as well as characteristics of trucking settings (limited health care) were reported barriers to positive health patterns and practices. This indicates future steps to build the research base and inform policy, systems, and environmental change efforts to improve truckers’ workplace environments also need to account for truckers’ views and sociocultural contexts and “begin with the end in mind” by understanding the acceptability, appropriateness, and feasibility of potential built environment interventions (Weiner et al., 2017; Klesges et al., 2005; Proctor et al., 2011). Specific recommendations to move the state of the science forward are described in more detail below.
### Table 4
Results About Truckers Food, Physical Activity (PA), and Tobacco Patterns or Practices in the United States and Canada (n = 21 studies).

| Author, year | Food | PA | Tobacco | Measures | Key Results |
|--------------|------|----|---------|----------|-------------|
| Angeles, 2014 | X    | X  | X       | 2008 Canadian Community Health Survey assessed general health, sleep and tobacco use, diet and salt intake using the Healthy Eating Index, and PA through the Metabolic Equivalent Task (low, moderate, or high). | Food: 48% of truckers were characterized as having a poor diet. 82% reported very high salt intake (above 2300 mg/day) and over 96% consumed above 1,500 mg/day. 42% reported high alcohol intake. PA: 31.1% were physically inactive. Tobacco: 31.5% reported cigarette smoking. PA: 70% of drivers reported not participating in some form of regular exercise. |
| Apostolopoulos, 2013 | X    |     |         | Healthy Trucker Survey (HEATS), based on Long-Haul Trucker Interview Guide, the Health Appraisal Survey, and the Health Survey of the NSW Transport Industry, included questions on work history, workplace conditions, physical health, wellness, mental health, healthcare access and medical treatment history. | Food: Differences between company and independent drivers were not statistically significant. 62.8% of company and 50% of independent drivers reported exercising in the past 30 days (p = 0.10). 64.5% of all truckers reported feeling out of shape. Tobacco: Differences between company and independent drivers were not significant. Most company (68.3%) and independent drivers (64.6%) reported not smoking (p = 0.61). 47.1% of company and 56.3% of independent drivers reported trying to quit smoking within the last year (p = 0.58). PA: 73.8% of men (95% CI 68.8–78.9) and 80.5% of women (95% CI 68.0–93.0) reported less than 5 days in the past 7 with 30 min of PA. 28.4% of men (95% CI 24.7–32.2) and 25.2% of women (95% CI 12.0–38.3) reported no exercise. Tobacco: The prevalence of current smoking was 46.2% (95% CI 40.3–52.2) among men and 54.9% (95% CI 42.8–67.1) among women drivers. 65.3% of men and 68.3% of women reported smoking at some point in their lives. 19.1% of men (95% CI 15.6–22.6) and 13.4% of women (95% CI 3.4–23.4) were former smokers. Average age at first time smoking was 16.4 years among men (95% CI 16.0–16.7) and 15.4 years among women (95% CI 14.1–16.8). Men reported smoking an average of 18.5 cigarettes/day (95% CI 16.5–20.6) and women 19.2 cigarettes/day (95% CI 15.8–22.6). Mean pack of cigarette/years in men 27.3 (95% CI 24.1–30.5) and women 23.1 (95% CI 18.3–28.0). |
| Bachmann, 2018 | X    | X  |         | Self-reported health including PA and smoking status. | PA: Differences between company and independent drivers were not statistically significant. 62.8% of company and 50% of independent drivers reported exercising in the past 30 days (p = 0.10). 64.5% of all truckers reported feeling out of shape. Tobacco: Differences between company and independent drivers were not significant. Most company (68.3%) and independent drivers (64.6%) reported not smoking (p = 0.61). 47.1% of company and 56.3% of independent drivers reported trying to quit smoking within the last year (p = 0.58). PA: 73.8% of men (95% CI 68.8–78.9) and 80.5% of women (95% CI 68.0–93.0) reported less than 5 days in the past 7 with 30 min of PA. 28.4% of men (95% CI 24.7–32.2) and 25.2% of women (95% CI 12.0–38.3) reported no exercise. Tobacco: The prevalence of current smoking was 46.2% (95% CI 40.3–52.2) among men and 54.9% (95% CI 42.8–67.1) among women drivers. 65.3% of men and 68.3% of women reported smoking at some point in their lives. 19.1% of men (95% CI 15.6–22.6) and 13.4% of women (95% CI 3.4–23.4) were former smokers. Average age at first time smoking was 16.4 years among men (95% CI 16.0–16.7) and 15.4 years among women (95% CI 14.1–16.8). Men reported smoking an average of 18.5 cigarettes/day (95% CI 16.5–20.6) and women 19.2 cigarettes/day (95% CI 15.8–22.6). Mean pack of cigarette/years in men 27.3 (95% CI 24.1–30.5) and women 23.1 (95% CI 18.3–28.0). |
| Birdsey, 2015 | X    | X  |         | National Survey of U.S. Long-Haul Truck Driver Health and Injury, including questions about cigarette smoking status, age at which smoking began, cigarettes smoked per day, pack-years of smoking, and total PA including work and leisure time. | Food: Drivers with depressive symptoms (n = 47) consumed alcohol 0.63 ± 1.21 days/month (range 0–4 days) p = 0.828. 79% consumed 0 drinks/day, 15% consumed 1–2, 6% consumed 3–4. 66% consumed a healthy diet and 44% consumed an unhealthy diet. The 60 drivers without depressive symptoms consumed alcohol 0.77 ± 1.99 days/month (range 0–10 days) p = 0.628. 69% consumed 0 drinks/day, 22% consumed 1–2, 7% consumed 3–4, 2% consumed 5–6. 70% consumed a healthy diet and 30% consumed an unhealthy diet. PA: Of the 47 drivers with depressive symptoms, PA was reported 3.0 ± 2.3 days/week. Of the 60 drivers without depressive symptoms, PA was reported 2.8 ± 2. days/week (p = 0.638). Tobacco: Of the 47 drivers with depressive symptoms, 46% smoked every day, 10% some days, 44% not at all. Of the 60 drivers without depressive symptoms, 43% smoked every day, 7% some days, 50% did not at all (p = 0.774). |
| Crizzle, 2020 | X    | X  | X       | Self-administered survey about health characteristics and depressive symptoms | Food: Drivers with depressive symptoms (n = 47) consumed alcohol 0.63 ± 1.21 days/month (range 0–4 days) p = 0.828. 79% consumed 0 drinks/day, 15% consumed 1–2, 6% consumed 3–4. 66% consumed a healthy diet and 44% consumed an unhealthy diet. The 60 drivers without depressive symptoms consumed alcohol 0.77 ± 1.99 days/month (range 0–10 days) p = 0.628. 69% consumed 0 drinks/day, 22% consumed 1–2, 7% consumed 3–4, 2% consumed 5–6. 70% consumed a healthy diet and 30% consumed an unhealthy diet. PA: Of the 47 drivers with depressive symptoms, PA was reported 3.0 ± 2.3 days/week. Of the 60 drivers without depressive symptoms, PA was reported 2.8 ± 2. days/week (p = 0.638). Tobacco: Of the 47 drivers with depressive symptoms, 46% smoked every day, 10% some days, 44% not at all. Of the 60 drivers without depressive symptoms, 43% smoked every day, 7% some days, 50% did not at all (p = 0.774). |
| Gay Anderson, 2008 | X    |     |         | Work related safety and violent victimization survey | Food: 63.04% of drivers drank alcohol (beer, wine, liquor) within the past 12 months. 38.79% drank alcohol less than once/month, 36.82% 1–2 times/month, 11.13% several times/month, 11.29% 1–2 days/week, 1.29% daily. Tobacco: Of the 47 drivers with depressive symptoms, 46% smoked every day, 10% some days, 44% not at all. Of the 60 drivers without depressive symptoms, 43% smoked every day, 7% some days, 50% did not at all (p = 0.774). |
| Heaton, 2015 | X    |     |         | Self-reported log of caffeinated beverage consumption (ounces/day). | Food: Drivers reported drinking an average of 16.4 oz of caffeine drinks when on duty and 15.1 oz when off duty. Tobacco: Of the 47 drivers with depressive symptoms, 46% smoked every day, 10% some days, 44% not at all. Of the 60 drivers without depressive symptoms, 43% smoked every day, 7% some days, 50% did not at all (p = 0.774). |
| Hege, 2019 | X    | X  | X       | Self-reported health behaviors regarding the number of alcohol drinks on non-workdays, caffeine intake, smoking status, and daily exercise habits. | Food: Drivers reported drinking an average of 16.4 oz of caffeine drinks when on duty and 15.1 oz when off duty. Tobacco: Of the 47 drivers with depressive symptoms, 46% smoked every day, 10% some days, 44% not at all. Of the 60 drivers without depressive symptoms, 43% smoked every day, 7% some days, 50% did not at all (p = 0.774). |

(continued on next page)
| Author, year | Food | PA | Tobacco | Measures |
|-------------|------|----|---------|---------|
| Holmes, 1996 | X    |     |         | Situation Survey that asked about drivers’ current health and nutrition habits, including the number of daily servings of meats, proteins, fruits, vegetables, cereals, breads, and milk products consumed. Also included questions on how often they ate out on the road, what meals were consumed on the road, what determined their meal choice, and their favorite meals and snacks. |
| Korelitz, 1993 | X    | X  | X       | Self-administered, close-ended questionnaire that measured participants’ personal characteristics, health status, and health interests. |
| Layne, 2009  | X    | X  |         | Four-section questionnaire asking about self-perceived health status, health care access, work experience, and health care needs. |
| Mullins, 2013 | X    | X  |         | The Health-Promoting Lifestyle Profile II (HPLP II) survey, based on Pender’s Health Promotion model, was offered in both English and Spanish and included questions about nutrition, exercise, and health. For all items, a 4-point scale is used (1 = never; 4 = routinely). |
| Olson, 2009  | X    | X  |         | Dietary behaviors measured using validated measures of daily fruit and vegetable consumption and using “Gear Up for Health” to assess dietary fat and sugar consumption. Exercise behaviors measured using the 7-day PA Recall interview, which was used to convert to active kcals/kg/week of moderate to vigorous PA. Other measures were used to assess fitness: strength (maximum pushups and timed curl-ups; grip strength measured with a hand dynamometer); flexibility (sit-and-reach test); and the 6-minute walk test. |
| Olson, 2016  | X    | X  | X       | Self-reported daily fruit and vegetable intake, percent of calories from fat, and frequency of sugary food, sugary drink, and fast-food consumption. The healthy PA scale was used to measure days/week with 30 min of PA. Demographic |

**Key Results**

**Food:** 55% of drivers ate dinner while on the road Mondays-Fridays (lowest frequencies of all meals on Saturdays/Sundays). 48% of drivers stopped for breakfast on Mondays-Fridays. 44% of drivers ate lunch on the road during weekdays. Snacks were also high during weekdays (range 45-60% of drivers). Drivers reported their favorite meals on the road were steak (29%), burgers (25%), chicken (17%), and a buffet (13%). Drivers most frequently reported snacks were chips, then fruit, followed closely by candy, donuts, and cookies. Less frequently reported snacks in comparison were popcorn, crackers, pop, and ice cream. Most drivers were found to meet daily protein and dairy requirements and only a minority met servings for fruits, vegetables, and cereals and breads.

**PA:** 49.6% reported never engaging in PA, 39.5% reported sometimes engaging in PA, and 8% reported regular PA.

**Tobacco:** 37.7% never smoked, 6.1% were ex-smokers, 17.3% smoked less than 1 pack/day, and 36.7% smoked more than 1 pack/day.

**Food:** More than 80% reported eating only one or two meals per day and 36% reported consuming three or more snacks each day. 59.2% were current drinkers.

**Tobacco:** Current smoking was reported among 40% of male and 60% of female drivers. 24% of male and 4% of female drivers were described as formal smokers. 9 or 36% of male and female drivers reported never smoking.

**Food:** Scaled responses for eating 6–11 servings of bread, cereal, rice, and pasta/day was 3.28 ± 0.72 (Spanish version) and 1.77 ± 0.69 (English version) (P = 0.02). The study concluded the HPLP II nutrition subscale may not adequately assess behavior among the study population.

**PA:** Scaled responses for exercising vigorously for 20 or more minutes at least three times per week (brisk walking, bicycling, aerobic dancing, using a stair climber) were on average 2.64 ± 1.00 (Spanish version) and 2.00 ± 0.91 (English version) (P = 0.04). Responses for taking part in light-to-moderate PA (sustained walking for 30–40 min 5 or more times/week) were on average 3.14 ± 0.89 (Spanish version) and 2.00 ± 1.00 (English version) (P = 0.012). Responses for doing stretching exercises at least 3 times a week were on average 2.57 ± 1.01 (Spanish version) and 1.81 ± 0.87 (English version) (P = 0.02 less than 0.05). The study concluded the HPLP II physical activity subscale may not adequately assess behavior among the study population.

**Food:** 75% of drivers reported consuming a mean of 36.6% kcals from fat over the past month. Mean sugar snack consumption was reportedly 2.55 times/week, mean sugary drink consumption was reportedly 2.32 times/week, and mean fast-food consumption was reportedly 2.32 times/week. 69% reported consuming an average of 3.05 fruit and vegetables servings per day and 76% consumed an average of 2.51 meals from home per week. Among most participants (75%), the frequency of sugary drinks was “3 or 6 times a week,” the frequency of sugary snacks was “1 or 2 times a week,” and the frequency of fast-food was reportedly “1 or 2 times a week.”

**PA:** The mean reported active kcals/kg/week among all drivers was 23.32. Mean active kcal/kg/week for drivers who reported a typical week before interviews (n = 7) was 13.14. Around a quarter of drivers (27%) reported engaging in 40 min of moderate exercise most days each week. On average, the 6-minute walking test results were 525.05 m, the push-up results were 3.36, the valid curl ups were 6.41, and the flexibility results were 12.59 in.

**Food:** Average daily fruit and vegetable servings/day was 2.9 (SE 0.8) (control group) and 2.63 (SE 0.8) (intervention group). Daily %kcals from fat was reportedly 33.26 (SE = 0.35) (control group) and 33.03 (SE = 0.33) (intervention group). Average (continued on next page)
| Author, year | Food | PA | Tobacco | Measures | Key Results |
|--------------|------|----|---------|----------|-------------|
| Sieber, 2014 | X    | X  | X       | National Cancer Institute screeners for daily fruit and vegetable servings, dietary fat, and daily sugary snacks and drinks consumed. The Healthy PA Scale measured days/week with moderate to vigorous PA and strength training. | information on health behaviors was collected, including smoking. |
| Olson, 2016   | X    | X  | X       | A self-reported questionnaire to assess smoking, drinking, and PA behaviors. Metabolic Equivalent for Tasks (MET) levels were derived from the amount of time and frequency drivers spent in specific activities (e.g., running, walking). | Food: Mean fruit and vegetable consumption was reported as 2.6 ± 2.3 servings/day. The proportion of drivers meeting the “5-A-Day” recommendation was 0.09. |
| Shattell, 2012| X    |    |         | The Healthy Trucker Survey that included questions about occupational stress, and access to care. | Tobacco: 51% reported current cigarette smoking. |
| Solomon, 2004 | X    | X  | X       | Self-administered survey included questions on access to health care, access to health-related educational material, health problems, and recent health complaints. | Food: 33.5% of drivers reported the frequency of alcohol use as once a month or less, 20.6% 2-4 times/month, 5.7% 2-3 times/week, 2.2% 4 or more times a week. 11.7% reported 1-2 drinks/day, 5.1% reported 3-4 drinks/day, 1.9% reported 5-6 drinks/day, 0.9% reported 7-9 drinks/day, 2.8% reported 10 or more drinks/day. For drivers who had 6+ drinks at one sitting, 24.7% indicated once a month or less, 7.6% noted 2-4 times/month, 3.2% noted 2-3 times/week, and 0.3% noted 4 or more times a week. 0.9% reported no or <1 drinks first thing in the morning, 0.3% reported 2-4 times/month, and 0.3 reported 2-3 times/week. |
| Stasko, 2007  | X    | X  |        | Interview question that included questions about blood pressure. | Tobacco: 61% (31%) of truckers reported quitting smoking. |
| Thiese, 2015  | X    |    |         | Automated Self-Administered 24-hour Recall by the National Cancer Institute. Minute/week of PA was self-reported. | Tobacco: 30% of drivers reported having smoked in the past month. |
environments is needed to guide the selection, adaptation, and implementation of built environment interventions to promote PA. For example, assessing the presence or absence and quality of features that affect PA (e.g., streets, sidewalks, public spaces, signage, litter, lighting, incivilities, shade) (Brownson et al., 2009) can identify barriers and aid in the selection of built environment interventions to improve PA patterns and practices among trucking settings. Moving forward, the Workplace Walkability Audit could be adapted and pilot tested for various trucking settings to assess PA attributes (Dannenberg et al., 2005).

Comparing PA patterns and practices across studies to inform PA interventions was also difficult due to the different measures used in each study. Only two studies (Ronna et al., 2016; Turner and Reed, 2011) that assessed PA used measures aligned with meeting the national PA guidelines (i.e., 150 min per week of moderate-intensity aerobic activity or 75 min of vigorous intensity aerobic activity or an equivalent combination of each, and two sessions of full-body strength training per week) (HHS, 2018). For example, one study assessed whether truckers engaged in 30 min of “sustained” PA three times per week (Whitfield Jacobson et al., 2007). This is difficult to align with national PA recommendations, as aerobic activity does not need to be done in 30-minute periods. The most recent version of the PA guidelines specifies that any duration counts, while the 2008 version specified that aerobic activity needed to be done 10 minutes or more at a time (HHS, 2018). Additionally, only two studies fully assessed compliance with PA guidelines, including the strength training portion of the recommendations. Two studies assessed frequency of stretching (Mullins et al., 2013; Turner and Reed, 2011), which is not included in the guidelines and is not associated with chronic disease prevention (HHS, 2018).

Last, in 1998 a reporter for Overdrive noted truck stop gyms were “popping up around the country” to address truck drivers’ health (Cox, 1998). Truckers in some of the reviewed research described truck stop gyms (when available) as “ratty” or time a barrier to their use (Lemke et al., 2016). Evidence-based interventions, such as built environment

Table 4 (continued)

| Author, year | Food | PA | Tobacco | Measures | Key Results |
|--------------|------|----|---------|----------|-------------|
| Turner, 2011 | X    |    |         | Obesity Risk Factor Questionnaire including 13 questions on exercise habits. | PA: Baseline reported PA was 0.0 min/week (SD = 120). PA: 20% reported not engaging in any type of exercise during the past 7 days. More than half reported aerobic exercise 3 days or less during the past week. More than 25% of drivers engaged in no aerobic exercise at all during the past week. The majority (56.7%) reported no stretching or (58%) strengthening exercises in the past week. Nearly half (48.7%) reported not engaging in 30 minutes of continuous exercise on any of the previous weeks. Drivers with a BMI of less than 30 reported more days of stretching exercises on average than drivers with a BMI of 30 or more (p = 0.01). |
| Van Hemel, 1998 | X | X | X | Survey questions about safety, work scheduling, substance use, and overcoming fatigue on the road. Survey included 2 open-ended questions: 1) 'What do you do to fight fatigue on the road?; and 2) What works best for you?’ | Food: To fight fatigue on the road 27.9% of drivers reported eating snacks, meals, or candy, drinking beverages or water, and chewing gum. 21.2% reported consuming caffeine containing foods/drinks (e.g., coffee, tea, Coke, Pepsi, Mountain Dew, Jolt or chocolate) and 5.5% reported eating healthy foods and taking vitamins. PA: 34% reported exercising (extent ranged from stretching in the truck to getting out and kicking tires, to running) and 5.5% reported maintaining fitness (e.g., watch weight, get enough exercise). |
| Versteeg, 2018 | X | X | | Driver Health Forum posts from the Trucker Report Website were coded and analyzed. | Tobacco: Less than 1% of drivers reported smoking or using other tobacco products (e.g., chewing tobacco, snuff, dip) to fight fatigue. Food: There were 289 (16%) food-related posts, which was the highest number of posts by category. Typical foods consumed were categorized as energy dense and ultra-processed foods. PA: There were 85 (4.8%) exercise-related posts. Drivers wanted more opportunities to exercise in trucks and suggested building walking or running trails around truck stops. Offering gym memberships was also a recommendation. |
| Wenger, 2008 | X | X | | Truckers responded to three questions through interviews and written responses: 1) What made you choose your profession?; 2) What are your concerns (if any) as a driver?; and 3) What do you like most and least about your job? | Pennsylvania: Drivers described a lack of PA and smoking more than usual, “In the 15 plus years I was over the road, I have to say it was good for me in many ways, but I neglected myself in others. I gained weight, got little exercise, and smoked more than I ever did.” |
| Whitfield Jacobson, 2007 | X | X | | Self-reported average fruit and vegetable consumption/day and days per week engaged in 30 min sustained exercise. | Food: Average servings of fruits/vegetables was 1.72 per day (median 1.5/day). About 12% of drivers reported consuming no fruit or vegetable servings/day, 40.2% reportedly consumed 1 serving/day, 22.8% reportedly consumed 2 servings/day, 18.5% reportedly consumed 3 servings/day, and 6.5% reportedly consumed more than 3 servings/day. PA: Mean days/week exercised for 30 min sustained duration was reported 2.19 (median 2). 36% reported no exercise, 8.7% reported exercising 1 day/week, 22.8% reported exercising 2 days/week, 8.7% reported exercising 3 days/week, and 24% reported exercising 4 days/week. |
changes to facilitate PA (Kahn et al., 2002; McCormack and Shiel, 2011; Sallis et al., 2012), may fail to be effective initially and over time if end-users’ perceptions are not considered (Weiner et al., 2017; Klesges et al., 2005; Proctor et al., 2011). Truckers’ buy-in will be critical for future interventions.

3.4.3. Tobacco

No studies to date have examined tobacco environments (either objective or perceived) for truckers (e.g., tobacco availability and marketing in truck stops). The lack of objective and perceived environmental data on retail tobacco environments for truckers represents a considerable gap in the literature given the high prevalence of smoking reported among truckers (Angeles et al., 2014; Hege et al., 2019; Korelitz et al., 1993; Olson et al., 2016; Olson et al., 2016; Crizzle et al., 2020; Ronna et al., 2016; Sieber et al., 2014; Stasko and Neale, 2007). Methods to assess the retail tobacco marketing environment have been developed (Lee et al., 2014; Henrikson et al., 2016). Given the high prevalence of smoking and the frequency with which truckers patronize truck stops, these settings may be an ideal location to identify opportunities to support policy and environmental changes conducive to smoking cessation. Globally, point-of-sale tobacco display bans have been found to reduce adult daily smoking by approximately 7% (Ribisl et al., 2017) and a menthol sales ban was found to reduce retail sales by 93% in Ontario (Page et al., 2020). Additionally, a 2019 study by Rust et al. found stores authorized by the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) – a federal nutrition assistance program to promote food security among Americans with lower incomes (Tiehen, 2020) – had almost 3 times greater odds of interior tobacco marketing than comparison stores (Rust et al., 2019). Of note, many truck stop locations are SNAP-authorized (USDA, 2021), indicating these sites may have more tobacco advertisements than other locations and thus may be prime sites for feasible policy solutions. Similar environmental approaches to identify smoking cessation intervention opportunities may also increase gender equality, given that women truckers have substantially higher rates of smoking relative to their male counterparts (Birdsey et al., 2015; Layne et al., 2009).

3.5. Health disparities, health equity, and the COVID-19 pandemic

More information about trucking population differences in access to and experiences with trucking food, PA, and tobacco environments is needed by sociodemographic characteristics, given this was a limited focus among reviewed studies. The trucking workforce is diversifying (Cheesman Day and Hait, 2019) and health inequities experienced by truckers in general (Sieber et al., 2014) are likely more severe for women and racial and ethnic minority groups in this occupation (Singh et al., 2017). Understanding how trucking populations navigate their environments is critical, including experiences such as safety concerns (Gray and Lindsay, 2019) which may disproportionately affect certain populations and influence how truckers interact with truck stop food, PA, or tobacco amenities. Also, review results may not be reflective of the current trucking food, PA, or tobacco environment. Since the COVID-19 pandemic truckers, who are essential workers, have reported lower pay and closed travel stops and restaurants (or, in some cases, a shift to drive-through ordering) (Hennessy-Fiske, 2020; Cole, 2021; Dills, 2021; Maiden, 2020), which suggests opportunities for healthy eating and PA while on the road may be further reduced.

3.6. Limitations

This review has several important contributions: 1) the review scope is a novel characterization of trucking environments and truckers’ patterns and practices related to food, PA, and tobacco that have not been investigated previously; 2) review results demonstrate the state of the science regarding trucking food, PA, and tobacco environments is underdeveloped and requires more focus using better measures; and 3) several future directions are highlighted that introduce public health concepts that could be applied to complement and build on the work of occupational health and safety scientists to improve the state of the science in this regard. However, review results should be interpreted with respect to limitations. Results are limited by the search databases used, which may have been inadequate to identify all articles meeting the inclusion criteria. Five research databases, Google searches, and reference searching were used to minimize this limitation. Some articles identified for review inclusion had a specific field focus (i.e., mental health), so relevant articles may have been overlooked during the title/abstract or reference searching processes due to a lack of perceived focus on food, PA, or tobacco. However, researchers were liberal in flagging sources for full-text review. The exclusion of gray literature is also a limitation given industry reports may have included important information but were not included. Given a source quality assessment was a priority, gray literature was outside the scope of this work. Last, results in majority are reflective of U.S. trucking settings and more investigations are warranted in Canada.

4. Conclusion

The science of trucking food, PA, and tobacco environments is underdeveloped and requires much more focus using validated measures. Future steps to build the research base and inform policy, systems, and environmental change efforts to improve truckers’ workplace environments should account for truckers’ views and socio-cultural contexts and “begin with the end in mind” by understanding the acceptability, appropriateness, and feasibility of potential built environment interventions aimed at improving truckers’ food, PA, and tobacco patterns and practices.
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