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1. Introduction

Measurements of dissolved methane in the ocean have been available for only about 50 years. Methane measurements in sediments, where concentrations are millimolar, were first reported in the mid-1950s, while measurements of methane in ocean waters, where concentrations are nanomolar, were first reported in the late 1960s.

Methane is the most abundant hydrocarbon in the atmosphere, where it plays an important role in tropospheric atmospheric chemistry. Further, methane is an important greenhouse gas. Atmospheric time series observations over the past two decades have documented an increase in the atmospheric mixing ratio of methane, and a great deal of activity has focused on the cause and climate consequences of this increase. The ocean contributes a relatively small amount of methane to the global net atmospheric budget, and it cannot be expected to play a role in the contemporary atmospheric methane increase. Our interest in methane in the ocean is understanding the balance between the enormous reported methane additions from continental shelf and slope sediments and the microbial oxidation reactions that must occur in sediments and the water column to produce the low nanomolar concentrations observed in the bulk of the ocean volume.

A number of poorly quantified external sources contribute methane to the ocean water column. The source processes include microbially-mediated diagenesis of sediment organic matter, abiotic production of methane through the serpentinization reaction, a rock/water reaction occurring in hydrothermal systems associated with the midocean ridges and spreading centers, leaks from near-surface petroleum deposits, and decomposition of methane clathrate hydrates. These contributions enter the ocean water column through coastal runoff, by diffusion from organic-rich anoxic sediments, and...
1.1. Global Methane Budget

Any discussion of oceanic methane biogeochemistry should place the ocean in the context of the global methane budget. A geochemical budget is a flux balance (or a mass balance) that provides a useful means of partitioning and estimating the magnitudes of sources and sinks. Budgets are very useful in exposing our ignorance, but they have no predictive power.

The first global methane budget, a net atmospheric budget, was based on available flux measurements and estimates from a variety of sources. The natural radiocarbon (14C) content of atmospheric methane was used to partition the budget between recent biogenic and fossil sources. Oxidation by OH in the troposphere and destruction in the stratosphere were considered sinks.

Time series observations beginning in the late 1970s showed that the atmospheric methane mixing ratio was increasing by ~1% year⁻¹, and methane measurements in polar ice cores showed that the atmospheric increase started long before it was documented by the atmospheric time series observations. The atmospheric mixing ratio increase and recent field measurements were reviewed by Cicerone and Oremland, who concluded that the atmospheric increase was genuine and proposed a revised methane budget based on new information on sources and sinks. On the basis of a framework of constraints involving the global methane burden, turnover rates, and isotopes, we have high confidence in the total budget, the rate of change, the fraction of modern biogenic methane, and the total source (or sink). How to apportion the individual sources is less certain. By constraining the magnitude of the total, this budget served to limit proliferation of source estimates. Seasonal time series observations at fixed stations were used as a constraint in an inverse model, and several likely global methane budget scenarios were proposed by Fung et al.

1.2. Role of the Ocean in the Global Methane Budget

The role of microbial oxidation in the gross global methane budget is illustrated by Table 1, which is based on Söhngen’s...
Table 1. Global Net CH4 Emission (E), Consumption (C), and Gross Production (P), Tg of CH4 year⁻¹ (E + C = P)\(^f\)

| source/sink term            | E\(^a\) | C\(^b\) | P            |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|--------------|
| animals                    | 80      | 0       | 80           |
| wetlands                   | 115     | 27      | 142          |
| bogs/tundra (boreal)       | 35      | 15      | 50           |
| swamp/alluvial             | 80      | 12      | 92           |
| rice production            | 100     | 477     | 577          |
| biomass burning            | 55      | 0       | 55           |
| termites                   | 20      | 24      | 44           |
| landfills                  | 40      | 22      | 62           |
| oceans, freshwaters        | 10      | 75.3    | 85.3         |
| hydrates                   | 5?      | 5?      | 10?          |
| coal production            | 35      | 0       | 35           |
| gas production             | 40      | 18      | 58           |
| venting, flaring           | 10      | 0       | 10           |
| distribution leaks\(^d\)   | 30      | 18      | 48           |
| **Total Sources**          | 500\(^d\)|         |              |
| chemical destruction       | -450    |         |              |
| soil consumption           | -10     | 40      | 40\(^e\)    |
| **Total Sinks**            | -460\(^d\)| 688.3   |              |
| **Total Production**       |         |         | 1188.3       |

\(^a\) Scenario 7, ref 32. \(^b\) From Table 1, ref 34. \(^c\) Should be considered as the uncertainty suggested by many authors. \(^d\) Emission 500 – 460 = 40 Tg CH4 year⁻¹ = annual atmospheric increment (0.9% year⁻¹). \(^e\) Soil consumption of atmospheric CH4 added to the gross budget as an equivalent production term. \(^f\) Reprinted from ref 2, Copyright 2003, with permission from Elsevier.

observation that methane oxidizing processes (methanotrophy) are frequently in close proximity to methane producing processes (methanogenesis). Thus, emission (E) plus consumption (C) equals production (P). The first column of Table 1, emission (E), gives the source categories and well-constrained magnitudes from Fung et al.\(^32\) The second column gives estimates of microbially-mediated methane oxidation (consumption, C) for each of the source terms,\(^34\) and the third column gives the sum, an estimate of global production (P). Although the consumption estimates\(^34\) are conservative, neither the consumption term nor the production term can be constrained by the framework used for the net budget.\(^1,32\) There are several sources where consumption is zero: these are sources where methane is transported directly to the atmosphere with no opportunity for microbial oxidation. We know that methane clathrate hydrates represent an enormous methane reservoir with the potential to be a large source (see section 6.1.3), but so little is known about hydrate contributions that the hydrate term was proposed as a “placeholder” term.\(^1\)

Note that the net global atmospheric budget (E) is the difference between large uncertain numbers and that microbial oxidation accounts for more than half of the estimated methane production. Microbial methane oxidation has the largest influence on the budget before emission to the atmosphere, yet it has been largely ignored because of the focus on net emissions. The ocean provides an excellent example of consumption before emission, and it is a small (2%) term in the global methane budget. The ocean term was revisited by Ehhalt\(^35\) and was recently re-evaluated,\(^36,37\) including shelf and estuarine areas. These estimates lie within the range of previous values.\(^1\) The entry for ocean consumption is a conservative estimate based on integrated sediment oxidation applied to shelf areas.\(^38\) One recent estimate\(^10\) is much larger but has no effect on the well-constrained emission estimate. One of the goals of this review is to produce an updated estimate of microbially-mediated methane oxidation.

2. Ocean Methane Measurements

Measurements of oceanic methane lagged those in the atmosphere\(^2\) because of the need to separate dissolved methane from the aqueous phase. Measurements in sediments preceded those in open waters because methane concentrations are 10⁻³–10⁻⁷-fold lower in open waters.

2.1. Water Column

Until the introduction of gas chromatography in the early 1950s, dissolved gas measurements, usually on physiological fluids, were made using manometric\(^39\) and microgasometric\(^40,41\) techniques. Swinnerton and Linnenbom\(^42\) stripped hydrocarbons from solution using a 7 cm diameter chamber fitted with a fine glass frit, and they trapped and concentrated the gases in freeze-out traps. The trapped gases were released by warming and were introduced through a sample loop to a gas chromatograph. A modification\(^43\) of the stripping method eliminated the traps and used carrier gas (He) to quantitatively strip gases directly from liquid samples into a gas chromatograph. A further modification\(^44\) involving use of a sampling valve to ensure uniform liquid sample sizes led to wide application. Central to these modifications was the use of a 1 cm diameter stripping chamber equipped with a coarse glass frit. This allowed bubbles of carrier gas to rapidly transit and equilibrate with the liquid sample. The small diameter stripping chamber, combined with the coarse frit, decreased back-pressure, permitted higher flow rates, and resulted in less peak-broadening and tailing than larger diameters. Peak areas were quantified by integration.

The first measurements of natural C₁–C₄ hydrocarbons in individual seawater samples were made using the strip and trap method.\(^43\) The first ocean methane depth distributions were reported by Swinnerton and Linnenbom.\(^45\) Methane depth distributions in anoxic basins were reported by Atkinson and Richards.\(^46\)

2.2. Sediments

Although methane concentrations are much higher in sediments, these measurements involved the additional challenge of extracting gases from semisolid high water content sediment samples. Koyama\(^47\) used CO₂ (generated internally by acidification of marble chips in a gas extraction apparatus) to strip gases from samples of lake sediments into a gas buret. The CO₂ was absorbed with base prior to quantification of the residual gases. Emery and Hoggan\(^48\) produced a sediment/water slurry using a specially-designed fluidizer that allowed addition of water to a sediment core segment, followed by physical mixing to produce the slurry. The fluidized sediment was degassed by introducing it into an evacuated carboy. The extracted gases were measured by mass spectrometry.

Reeburgh used a gas-operated filter press (squeezer)\(^49\) to separate interstitial or pore water from sediment sections, and he introduced the interstitial water directly to a graduated stripping chamber (sampler-stripper),\(^50\) whose dimensions and frit porosity were similar to the Swinnerton et al.\(^52,53\) recommendations. The sampler-strippers contained a small volume of degassed CrSO₄ solution to reduce traces of O₂. This ensured that the unresolved Ar–O₂ peak contained only Ar, and it permitted measurement of Ar, N₂, and CH₄ on a single sample.\(^51\) The interstitial water sample volume was measured and the sampler-stripper was mounted on a gas chromatograph for stripping and quantification of the gases.\(^50\)
The squeezers were loaded with sediment inside a carrier gas-filled glove bag to avoid atmospheric contamination. Martens52 devised an “interlock” for loading squeezers that was less cumbersome than glovebags.

2.3. Headspace Measurements

Headspace equilibration is used today for virtually all oceanic methane measurements. McAuliffe allowed dissolved compounds to equilibrate between an aqueous phase and a gas headspace according to Henry’s Law, and they used headspace measurements to determine the solubilities of a range of organic compounds53,54 as well as concentrations in brines.55 For water samples, serum bottles of known volume are filled and flushed without trapping bubbles and are capped with a crimp-seal serum bottle stopper. A headspace (N₂ or He) of sufficient size to contain >95% of the dissolved methane at equilibrium is introduced to the inverted serum vial using two syringe needles: one to slowly introduce the headspace gas to the top of the inverted bottle and another located near the stopper to remove the displaced water. Following equilibration, the methane concentration of the headspace is measured with gas chromatography. The methane remaining in solution is estimated using seawater methane solubility values.56,57 Sediment samples, usually collected as lateral subcores with cutoff syringes, are slurried with degassed water, and the headspace is analyzed as with water samples.

An adaptation of the headspace technique involves vacuum-ultrasound (VUS) degassing.58,59 This extraction technique involves extraction of liter samples and provides sufficient methane for concentration as well as isotopic analysis. Water samples are drawn into a 1 L sample bottle, which is evacuated using a specialized manifold, placed in an ultrasound bath, and pulsed briefly for several minutes. Gases released as fine bubbles are collected in a gas buret and either sampled for analysis or transferred to an evacuated serum vial. Extraction is not quantitative (60% efficient), so uniform extraction conditions are required. Preservatives are not needed prior to analysis.

2.4. Natural Isotopes

Kinetic isotope effects associated with methane production and oxidation lead to changes in the isotopic composition of methane. These isotopic changes in methane samples make it possible to infer origins as well as chemical and physical processes operating on methane. The isotopic composition of methane in natural gases from various origins was compiled by Schoell,60 and subsequent papers have focused on methane formation61 and microbial formation and oxidation lead to changes in the isotopic composition of methane samples. These isotopic changes in methane samples make it possible to infer origins as well as chemical and physical processes operating on methane. The isotopic composition of methane in natural gases from various origins was compiled by Schoell,60 and subsequent papers have focused on methane formation61 and microbial formation and oxidation62–64 in aquatic and sediment environments. Results from these studies have been presented in C–D diagrams, plots of paired measurements of δ¹³C-CH₄ (C) vs δ²H-CH₄ (D), which can be used to infer origins from broad categories, such as thermogenic and bacterial, and to infer trajectories resulting from isotope fractionation due to oxidation65 and transport.66

Stable isotope measurements are performed with mass spectrometers. Results are reported as isotope ratios rather than absolute abundances or atom percentages, so isotope results are expressed in “del” notation67 as deviations from standards: the PeeDee belemnite (PDB)68 for ¹³C and Standard Mean Ocean Water (SMOW)69 for ²H. Results expressed in del notation are the deviation, expressed in parts per thousand or per mille (‰), of the sample isotope ratio from a standard, where Rsample is the ¹³C/¹²C or the ²H/H ratio and

\[ \delta = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}} - 1}{R_{\text{standard}}} \right) \times 1000 \text{ per mille or } \% \]  

In del notation, samples that contain less ¹³C than the standard have negative values and are referred to as isotopically light or depleted. Biogenic or bacterial methane is generally considered to have a δ¹³C value of less than −50‰,70 while thermogenic and abiotic methane are isotopically heavier, with δ¹³C values of greater than −50‰. Methane oxidation involves preferential oxidation of the light isotope, so the residual methane becomes isotopically heavier.

Stable isotopes of methane have been used as natural internal tracers65,71,72 and, when environments are well-understood, to determine kinetic isotope fractionation factors.65 Recent kinetic isotope fractionation factors for methane oxidation in well-characterized environments are summarized in Table 3 of Reeburgh.2

Mass spectrometry has advanced to a point where compound-specific isotope measurements can be performed by combusting compounds separated by gas chromatography, followed by continuous monitoring of the isotope ratio (GCCIrmMS, gas chromatography–combustion–isotope ratio monitoring mass spectrometry). This technique has the advantage of requiring much smaller samples and no vacuum line preparation.73,74

There are few measurements of natural radiocarbon (¹⁴C) in environmental methane samples. A limited number of measurements have been performed on large atmospheric samples to partition biogenic and fossil contributions to the atmospheric methane budget.75,76 Radiocarbon has a radioactive decay half-life of 5730 years, so it is absent from samples containing carbon older than about 8 half-lives. Radiocarbon results are normalized to a standard δ¹³C value, so reported results show no effects of isotope fractionation. Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS), which measures ¹³C atoms individually, rather than observing decay events, has high sensitivity and accuracy (0.3‰ for samples with contemporary levels of ¹³C) and can utilize very small (∼2 μmol of CH₄) methane samples.77

3. Oceanic Water Column Methane Distributions

Typical methane depth distributions in ocean waters containing oxygen78,79 are shown in Figure 1. The methane concentrations are nanomolar throughout the depth distribution and are maximum in the mixed layer above the pycnocline. The mixed layer maximum and supersaturation with respect to the atmosphere have been observed widely.80–83 A Pacific Ocean methane section (40° N to 5° S along 165° E), well-removed from coastal influence,84 is shown in Figure 2. The mixed layer methane maximum is also evident in the section.

Figure 3 shows methane depth distributions in the water columns of the Earth’s two largest anoxic basins, the Cariaco Basin85 and the Black Sea,86 where methane concentrations in the anoxic water column reach micromolar concentrations.

A large number of underway methane saturation measurements have been reported.87–89 Seiler and Conrad89 report continuous measurements of methane saturation in an Atlantic Ocean section from 36° S to 50° N. A recent Pacific
that the mixed layer maximum is a consistent feature, except near the equator. Most of these saturation measurements preceded reliable seawater solubility measurements, so saturation was assessed by differences in free-air and equilibrator gas-phase concentrations. Methane supersaturation relative to the atmosphere is reported in the open ocean, on continental shelves, near rivers, and near productive upwelling areas. Surface waters are slightly oversaturated, while deeper waters were in equilibrium or undersaturated with respect to the atmosphere. The reanalysis by Bange et al. resulted in a weighted methane supersaturation of 120% for open ocean waters and several hundred percent for shelf regions. Fluxes of methane across the seawater/atmosphere interface were calculated with a laminar film gas transfer model. The global budget term involved extending these fluxes to the global ocean area.

4. Methane Distributions in Sediments

Methane distributions in marine and freshwater sediments are shown in Figure 4. The key difference between marine and freshwater sediment methane distributions is the concave-up methane distribution and the low-methane surface zone observed in marine sediments.

A schematic diagram of CH₄, SO₄²⁻, ΣCO₂, and δ¹³C-CH₄ in an anoxic marine sediment is shown in Figure 5B. This figure is derived from many observations that are summarized in Table 2. Figure 5b shows measurements of CH₄, SO₄²⁻, δ¹³C-CO₂, and the methane oxidation rate in Skan Bay sediments. The concave-up distribution with a low-methane surface zone is characteristic of anoxic marine sediments and is due to anaerobic oxidation of methane in a depth interval that coincides with the intersection of the methane and sulfate profiles as well as lack of methanogenesis in the surface sulfate reducing zone. The thickness of the low-methane surface zone, the sulfate/methane transition (SMT) depth, is determined by the organic carbon flux to the sediments. Figure 6 gives examples of Ocean Drilling Project (ODP) methane and sulfate distributions and shows distributions similar to those observed in the upper meter of organic-rich sediments. This synthesis provides a global map of the distribution of the low-methane surface zone or SMT, and it identifies two provinces of

Figure 1. Water column distributions of methane, methane in air-equilibrated water, and density anomaly in the (a) Atlantic and (b) Pacific Oceans. Note the relationship of the methane maximum to the near-surface change in density anomaly (δₒ) or pycnocline. (a) Atlantic Ocean (35.8° N, 122.6° W). Reprinted from ref 78, Copyright 1977, with permission from Elsevier. (b) Pacific Ocean (9.5° N, 107° W). Reprinted from ref 79, Copyright 1995, with permission from Elsevier.

Ocean transect involving sampling at 2° intervals from 27° S to 5° N was consistent with previous work and showed
subsurface metabolic activity: one (panel B) located on high carbon flux shelves and slopes, where the low-methane surface zone is evident and high methane concentrations are present in deeper sediments, and a second (panel A) restricted to low carbon flux deep ocean basins, where methane is absent and sulfate is dominant. The distributions shown in panel C account for about one-sixth of the open-ocean sites and contain abundant sulfate and above-background methane concentrations. This occurrence is contrary to the kinetic and thermodynamic constraints on methanogenesis (sections 5.1 and 6.1.1) and was taken as evidence of methanogenesis in sulfate-rich open ocean sediments. These methane concentrations (100 μL L⁻¹ or ~4 μM) are lower than the methane concentrations encountered in the low-methane surface zones of the environments anoxic sediments that provided early evidence of anaerobic oxidation of methane.
(the Cariaco Basin,85 Santa Barbara Basin,102 Long Island Sound,103 Cape Lookout Bight,104 and Skan Bay103), so they are hardly evidence of methanogenesis. The methane present has probably escaped oxidation, as in the above environments, and remains in the sulfate reduction zone, where oxidation is less likely. A less likely explanation might be methanogenesis using noncompetitive substrates (section 5.2). The log concentration scale used for methane overemphasizes low concentrations and probably led to overinterpretation of higher sensitivity methane measurements.

5. Water Column Methane Production?

5.1. Thermodynamic, Kinetic, and Physical Constraints on Water Column Methane Production

The reviews by Rudd and Taylor5 and by Keine6 take pains to distinguish between marine and freshwater methane geochemistry. This is principally because sulfate, a major constituent in seawater (29 mM), causes profound differences in methane geochemistry in marine systems.106 This section briefly discusses the thermodynamic, kinetic, and physical constraints that prevent biological and abiotic methane production in the ocean water column. These will be covered in more detail in the discussion in section 6.

Biological production of methane or methanogenesis is the last step in the remineralization of complex organic matter in anaerobic systems.6 Organic matter degradation involves a sequence of reactions in which complex organic matter is hydrolyzed to monomers and these are fermented to H2, low-molecular weight fatty acids, alcohols, and methylated compounds. Methanogens require simple molecules as substrate, the most important being H2 and acetate,5 and are dependent on the activities of other microorganisms to provide these substrates. The principal biologically mediated reactions for methanogenesis are as follows:

\[
\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (\text{CO}_2 \text{ reduction}) \quad (2)
\]

and

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{COOH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{CH}_4 \quad (\text{acetate fermentation}) \quad (3)
\]

Reaction 1 occurs mostly in marine environments because...
of acetate depletion by sulfate reducers; reaction 2 is favored in freshwater environments, where acetate is more abundant due to the absence of sulfate reducers.64

The thermodynamic energy yield from the oxidation of organic matter coupled to various electron acceptors decreases in the order \( \text{O}_2 > \text{NO}_3^- > \text{Mn(IV)} > \text{Fe(III)} > \text{SO}_4^{2-} > \text{CO}_2 \), and these electron acceptors are utilized in the above sequence.107 Studies in sediments have shown that addition of more energetically favorable electron acceptors results in diversion of the electron flow to the favored electron acceptor.108–110 Results from anoxic marine sediments indicate that methanogenesis does not occur until sulfate is nearly exhausted and sulfate reduction rates decrease.103,111 This is not only due to the energy yield constraints above but also because sulfate reducers are very effective in their uptake of \( \text{H}_2 \) and acetate and are capable of maintaining \( \text{H}_2 \) and acetate at concentrations too low for methanogens to function.110 Sulfate reducers thus outcompete methanogens for substrate.

We expect no large-scale methanogenesis in the open ocean water column, primarily because of the presence of \( \text{O}_2 \). Abundant sulfate, as well as the occurrence of sulfate reduction,112 also prevent methanogenesis in the water columns of anoxic basins. Almost all of the 29 mM ocean water column sulfate pool must be reduced before conditions favorable for microbial methanogenesis are obtained. The extent of anoxia in natural anoxic basins is surprisingly small: total sulfide (\( \Sigma \text{S}^{2-} \)) reaches maximum concentrations of 28 \( \mu \text{M} \) in the Cariaco Basin,46,113 400 \( \mu \text{M} \) in the Black Sea,114 and 8.4 mM in Framvaren Fjord.115 Other partially reduced sulfur compounds (\( \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-} \)) could also be important, but their concentrations are very small relative to sulfate. These thermodynamic and kinetic grounds effectively eliminate microbial methanogenesis in the ocean water column and require that its source be anoxic sediments, where restricted mobility permits sulfate reduction to a point where methanogenesis is possible.

Abiotic methane production has recently been identified in association with rock/water reactions (the serpentinization reaction) occurring at and near spreading centers (see section 6.1.2). This methane is produced abiotically at temperatures >300 °C from \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \); as seawater circulates through fractured recent crust. Methane plumes with ~50 nM excursions from ambient concentrations have been observed.116 This methane is clearly produced outside the ocean water column and is transported into the water column by vents.

5.2. Methanogenesis Involving Noncompetitive Substrates

Despite the thermodynamic and kinetic arguments advanced above, methane production can occur in systems involving active sulfate reduction.5 Stimulation of methane production resulted from additions of methanol, methionine, methylated amines, dimethyl sulfide (DMS), DMDS (dimethyl disulfide), and methane thiol (MSH) in laboratory experiments involving lake, estuarine, and marine sediments.117–121 Methanol can be produced by bacterial degradation of lignins or pectin, while methylated amines can be produced by decomposition of choline, creatine, and betaine.117 The production of methane in the presence of active sulfate reduction was interpreted as an example of methanogenesis involving “noncompetitive substrates”, because methane was produced without involving the methanogen/sulfate reducer competition. These studies demonstrate turnover and potential pathways, but unfortunately, they provide no information on the importance of methanogenesis involving noncompetitive substrates in the ocean because the ambient concentrations or pool sizes were not measured. There have been suggestions that methanogenesis involving noncompetitive substrates occurs on or within particles122 and even in oxygenated waters.121–125

5.3. Microenvironments and the Ocean Methane Paradox

Since the surface ocean is supersaturated with respect to the atmosphere,78,79,89 methane must result from addition of high-methane coastal waters or from production in the surface ocean. Coastal additions may account for the supersaturation near coasts,92–95 but they cannot account for supersaturations observed in the open ocean.78–84 Methanogenesis occurs only under strict anoxic conditions,11 so its occurrence and apparent production in oxic waters to an extent that produces methane supersaturation is termed the “Ocean Methane Paradox”.5 Methanogenic bacteria with the potential to produce methane under anoxic conditions were observed in fish intestines and plankton samples,126 and anoxic and low-oxygen interiors were observed in marine snow and fecal pellets using oxygen microelectrodes.127 On the basis of these observations, Sieburth123 acknowledged earlier suggestions78,80,92,96 invoking microenvironments and made a case for anoxic microenvironments in ocean particles as the locus for methanogenesis. Viable methanogenic bacteria128,129 were later found in sinking particulate matter and zooplankton fecal pellets. Particle trap measurements by Karl and Tilbrook130 provided a mechanism for producing methane and transporting it into the ocean mixed layer. Particle-to-seawater methane fluxes were measured in sediment traps deployed in the ocean mixed layer. Poisoned and unpoisoned collector traps, filled with an autoclaved brine solution to minimize diffusive loss and flushing during recovery, allowed distinguishing methane that entered the traps in association with the particles (poisoned) and methane produced in the traps after particle collection (unpoisoned). The particle traps were equipped with screens to prevent contamination by macrozooplankton. The screens reduced trapping efficiency and excluded large fecal pellets, the most likely loci for methanogenesis, so these particle-to-seawater flux estimates are conservative. Nonetheless, the estimated particle-to-seawater methane fluxes (~40–1400 nmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)) are sufficient to produce the methane supersaturations observed in less than a month and to replace the methane in the upper water column in 50 days. Karl and Tilbrook130 hypothesized that methane is formed in zooplankton guts, enters the sinking particle field as fecal pellets, and is released as the particles are disrupted and exchanged with the adjacent water column. Model calculations131–133 indicate that anoxic conditions cannot persist for long in fecal pellets falling through oxic waters, leading Tilbrook and Karl179 to conclude that the most favorable conditions for methanogenesis would occur in the digestive tracts of organisms and immediately after defecation. Thus, fecal pellet-derived solutes and gases must be exchanged within a zone close to the formation of the fecal pellets. Mass balance calculations indicate that the methane supersaturations and losses by air/sea exchange can be maintained with net methane production of 2.3 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \) over a 100 m thick surface layer.130 A recent one-dimensional vertical
advection-diffusion model involving methane release from settling fecal pellets agrees well with the sediment trap data and shows that methane leaking from fecal pellets is sufficient to explain observed open ocean methane concentrations. The model also highlights the importance of methane oxidation, even at specific oxidation rates of $10^{-3}$ day$^{-1}$, in shaping the methane concentration profiles. The particle trap results also show that the methane production process is a surface ocean phenomenon; no accumulation of methane was observed at depths below 500 m.

The fecal pellet microenvironment hypothesis provides a good first-order explanation of the mixed layer methane maximum, but a number of questions remain. The mixed layer particle-to-seawater methane flux measurements cover coastal and open ocean conditions, but there are few measurements and seasonal coverage is missing. Isotopically heavy ($-42\%$e to $-45\%$e) methane has been observed in the subtropical North Pacific and the Sargasso Sea. This could result from isotope fractionation accompanying substantial oxidation. However, methane oxidation rates have not been measured in the ocean mixed layer.

The paradoxical mixed layer methane maximum, resulting from methanogenesis in microenvironments separated by only a hundred microns from impossible thermodynamic and kinetic conditions, contributes to the feature that makes the ocean a small net methane source to the atmosphere because of its proximity to the atmosphere. Paraphrasing Nelson Marshall, Sieburth points out that the slight accumulation of methane in the pycnocline could just be the ashes of a very large fire. For an oxygenated ocean, the “fire” or metabolic process has probably never been larger than present, but the mixed layer methane maximum is a good illustration of how a process occurring at very low rates over vast ocean areas can become an important global biogeochemical budget term.

### 6. External Water Column Methane Sources

#### 6.1. Production Processes

##### 6.1.1. Diagenesis of Organic Carbon

An estimated $50 \times 10^{15}$ gC year$^{-1}$ is fixed photosynthetically by phytoplankton in the ocean euphotic zone. The picophytoplankton fraction of this production is degraded by viral lysis and protozoal grazing, while the production by larger phytoplankton is converted into consolidated fecal pellets by mezzozooplankton. Globally, an estimated 20% of the primary production sinks from the surface ocean in the form of fecal pellets (ref 20, Table 6.5.1). This particulate export flux is highly variable and depends on primary productivity drivers, namely, nutrient supply, water depth and temperature, as well as ecosystem structure. Below 100 m the flux of particulate carbon decreases exponentially so that less than 1% passes a depth of 4000 m. Berner and Hedges estimate a burial rate of organic carbon in marine sediments of 0.13–0.16 $\times 10^{15}$ gC year$^{-1}$, less than 0.5% of global productivity. About 50% of this organic carbon is deposited on high productivity shelves and slopes. Henrichs and Reeburgh summarized available organic carbon flux data in terms of burial efficiency, the ratio of the burial rate of organic carbon below the zone of active diagenesis to the input rate of organic carbon to the sediment surface, and found that burial efficiency is highest in high sedimentation rate sediments. Methanogenesis amounts to about 0.1% of ocean primary productivity and is most prevalent in high sedimentation rate sediments.

This buried complex organic matter is degraded from complex polymers, to monomers, and finally to acetate and other volatile fatty acids, which serve as the primary substrates for methanogenesis. Emerson and Hedges view our understanding of diagenesis as resting on two pillars: one based on energy yield and thermodynamics, and the second based on kinetics and reaction rates. The degradation of organic matter is governed by a sequence of reactions of electron acceptors that are ordered by free energy yield. The electron acceptors commonly considered important in organic matter degradation include $O_2$, $NO_3^-$, Mn(IV), Fe(III), $SO_4^{2-}$, and organic matter itself. The processes associated with reduction of these electron acceptors are microbially mediated and are referred to as aerobic respiration, denitrification, manganese and iron reduction, sulfate reduction, and, finally, methanogenesis, which occurs by either reduction of carbon dioxide (reaction 2) or fermentation of acetate (reaction 3).

This thermodynamic sequence has been quite successful in explaining the zonation of reactions observed in soils and sediments. Typical half-reactions and reactions using hypothetical organic matter are available in textbooks and other publications. While the energy yield determines the sequence of the reactions, the availability (concentration) of the electron acceptors determines the separation between processes as well as the overall system oxidizing capacity. Oxygen and nitrate are present in natural waters in millimolar and micromolar concentrations, and they are rapidly consumed. The oxidizing capacities of Mn(IV) and Fe(III) are difficult to assess. The solubilities of manganese and iron oxides are low in natural waters, so they are probably unimportant, but in sediments and soils they represent a large amount of oxidizing capacity. However, this oxidizing capacity is restricted to the surfaces of particles, and because of rinds and surface coatings, it is probably much smaller than bulk concentrations might suggest. As discussed in section 5.1, the presence of sulfate has a profound influence on the oxidizing capacity of marine sediments as well as methanogenesis. Reeburgh presented a table showing the oxidizing capacity of a hypothetical marine sediment saturated with seawater (Table 2 in ref 38). The table shows that sulfate dominates the oxidizing capacity of marine sediments.

Diagenesis in sediments has also been studied using steady-state advection–diffusion–reaction models. The diagenetic models introduced by Berner provide a means of estimating rate constants from measured distributions, provided sedimentation rates, porosities, and tortuosity-corrected diffusivities are available. Independently measured reaction rates can be compared with models, providing a check on the rate measurements and also permitting estimation of isotope fractionation factors. Most of the work on diagenetic modeling has been done on the upper few meters of sediments, and will require extension to greater depths, where the 100–200 mM methane concentrations required for hydrate formation occur.

##### 6.1.2. Hydrothermal Systems and the Serpentinitization Reaction

Micromolar concentrations of hydrogen and methane were observed in grab samples of East Pacific Rise hydrothermal fluids. On the basis of the ratio of basalt-derived methane
to helium and the $^3$He flux, the hydrothermal methane flux from the worldwide ridge system was initially estimated to be $1.6 \times 10^8$ m$^3$ year$^{-1}$ ($7.4 \times 10^6$ mol year$^{-1}$). This methane flux was sufficient to replace deep-sea methane in $\sim 30$ years, and it implied rapid bacterial oxidation of methane. Further study in the Mariana back-arc spreading center, as well as along the mid-Atlantic ridge, found methane peaks without a corresponding enrichment in $^3$He, suggesting the methane was supplied by chemical reactions, rather than extraction of gases occluded in basalt. These methane peaks occupied the same depth interval and were presumed to be plumes resulting from introduction of methane by hydrothermal systems. Seawater-induced serpentinization of iron and manganese minerals in ultramafic rocks was proposed as a possible source of this methane. Oxidation of Fe(II) in olivine to Fe(III) in magnetite produces hydrogen, which reacts with CO$_2$ in the presence of an iron or iron oxide catalyst at 300 °C and 500 bar, to abiotically form methane by the Fischer–Tropsch reaction:

$$6[\text{Mg}_1.x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{SiO}_4] + 7\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$$

(olivine)

$$3[\text{Mg}_3\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_2] + \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{H}_2$$

(4)

(magnetite)

and

$$\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

(5)

A spectacular example of methane production by serpentinization is provided by the recently discovered Lost City hydrothermal vent system, located in the North Atlantic off the mid-Atlantic ridge system axis. This hydrothermal vent field is unusual because it is located on 1.5 Myr crust nearly 15 km from the spreading axis. The fluids are warm (40 to 75 °C), alkaline (pH 9.0–9.8), have elevated hydrogen (0.25–0.4 mM) and methane (0.18–0.28 mM) concentrations, and are emitted to the surrounding waters by massive white carbonate-brucite structures up to 60 m high. The warm fluids could result from exothermic serpentinization reactions. This system was revisited for detailed biological studies. The fluids are warm (40 to 75 °C), alkaline (pH 9.0–9.8), have elevated hydrogen (0.25–0.4 mM) and methane (0.18–0.28 mM) concentrations, and are emitted to the surrounding waters by massive white carbonate-brucite structures up to 60 m high. The warm fluids could result from exothermic serpentinization reactions. A “consensus value” of 10 000 Gt of C reported in 1991 was revised downward to 500–2500 Gt, and a recent model-derived inventory reports values of 3000 Gt in clathrate and 2000 Gt in methane bubbles. For perspective, 10 000 Gt of C is about twice the amount of all fossil fuels on Earth and 3000 times the amount of methane in the atmosphere. Hydrates have attracted attention as a possible future energy source, as an underground geological hazard, and as a factor in climate change. Several reviews have covered hydrate structure and stability fields, occurrence in nature, and possible future changes. Our concerns here are how much methane they contribute to the present ocean water column (the dissociation/dissolution rate) as well as insights into the time scales of formation and decomposition.

Figure 7 is a schematic phase diagram, which shows the temperature for clathrate stability, $T_d(P)$, through the ocean and sediments. Experimental data fits for $T_d(P)$ are shown for pure water (dashed line) and seawater (solid line). The base of the hydrate stability zone (HSZ) is defined by the intersection of the geotherm and $T_d(P)$. Reprinted from ref 157. Copyright 2004, with permission from Elsevier.
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6.1.3. Methane Clathrate Hydrate Decomposition

Methane clathrate hydrates are solid nonstoichiometric compounds of methane and water that form under specific $P/T$ conditions and methane concentrations. Hydrates have been identified in reflection seismic studies as a bottom simulating reflector (BSR), which is thought to coincide with the base of the region where hydrate is thermodynamically stable. Hydrates occur along continental margins at depths of 600–3000 m and represent an enormous methane reservoir. The $\delta^{13}$C values from $C$ in the Caspian Sea are believed to contain a mixture of biogenic and thermal methane; they have a smaller proportion (21–97%) of methane, contain $C_2$–$C_4$ hydrocarbons, and have heavier $\delta^{13}$C values ($-29‰$ to $-57‰$). Stable isotope measurements ($\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^2$H) on Methane Clathrate Hydrates

$$CH_4 + 3H_2O \rightarrow H_2 + CH_4 + 3H_2$$

(6)

$CH_4$ + 3$H_2O \rightarrow H_2 + CH_4 + 3H_2$

(7)

The presence of salt decreases the $T_d(P)$ by approximately 1.5 °C. Dickens emphasized that since clathrates occur in the hydrate stability zone (HSZ), they must be a dynamic reservoir, forming at the bottom of the HSZ and decomposing at the top. Davie and Buffett point out that the persistence of hydrates requires a continual supply of methane and that hydrates are absent near the sea floor because methane oxidation makes it impossible to sustain the high methane concentrations needed for hydrate stability. What is the origin of the methane trapped in hydrates? Composition and stable isotope measurements on hydrate methane indicate that it has a biogenic origin. The gases are usually $>99\%$ methane, with $\delta^{13}$C values ranging from $-56‰$ to $-73‰$. Hydrates from the Gulf of Mexico and the Caspian Sea are believed to contain a mixture of biogenic and thermal methane; they have a smaller proportion (21–97%) of methane, contain $C_2$–$C_4$ hydrocarbons, and have heavier $\delta^{13}$C values ($-29‰$ to $-57‰$). Stable isotope measurements ($\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^2$H) on Methane Clathrate Hydrates
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indicated the methane is formed by CO₂ reduction, and the absence of ¹⁴C indicated that there are no contributions of recent carbon to the hydrate carbon pool. The absence of radiocarbon cannot be interpreted as a hydrate age but as an indication that the methane trapped in the hydrate is fossil.

Stable carbon isotope records in ODP (Ocean Drilling Program) cores show an excursion in the Late Paleocene Thermal Maximum (50 million years ago) that suggests release of a large quantity of isotopically light carbon. Methane was implicated because of its characteristic light isotopic signature. Isotopically light benthic and planktonic foraminifera have been located in an ODP core from the Santa Barbara Basin. A connection between the termination of the last glaciation and these isotopically light foraminifera has led to the “Clathrate Gun Hypothesis”, which holds that the isotopically light foraminifera could have resulted from a release of methane large enough to have terminated the last glaciation.

The Clathrate Gun Hypothesis has stimulated a debate and research aimed at testing the hypothesis. Variations in the isotopic composition of the biomarker diplopterol suggest large releases of methane on a regional scale. Several recent studies challenge the hypothesis, namely, the finding that warming and methane increases recorded in polar ice cores preceded the events recorded in the Santa Barbara Basin foraminifera, so a hydrate release could not have initiated the end of the last glacial period. A report that organic carbon in sediments adjacent to the foraminifera shows no isotope excursion raises further questions, as does a recent report that the δ²H content of ice core methane from the appropriate time interval is more similar to that of wetland methane than to that of marine methane.

The methane contribution from methane clathrate hydrate dissolution/decomposition is an important unknown in the global methane budget. We know that hydrates are a dynamic reservoir, and the basal rate of decomposition is an important unknown. Direct measurements of the rate of hydrate dissolution are a challenge but were made in a novel experiment that involved in situ observations of the decomposition of cylindrical test specimens of laboratory-synthesized methane and CO₂ hydrate on the sea floor. These measurements were conducted at P/T conditions that lie within the hydrate stability zone, but they were performed in a variable flow field under undersaturated conditions. Since natural hydrates are located within a sediment matrix where diffusion dominates and are presumably surrounded by CH₄-saturated fluids, the reported decomposition rate of 0.37 ± 0.03 mmol of CH₄ m⁻² s⁻¹ (11670 ± mol of CH₄ m⁻² year⁻¹) probably represents an upper limit. This measurement can be compared with a recent estimate of basin-wide methane inputs from seeps to the Black Sea. Assuming that all Black Sea seep fluxes result from decomposing hydrates (which may overestimate the hydrate contribution), the estimated hydrate decomposition rate is 0.53–0.84 mol of CH₄ m⁻² year⁻¹, or 10³-fold smaller. Experimental observations of hydrate decomposition rates under near-natural conditions, as well as realistic models, are needed to resolve this question.

A series of models that reproduce data from ODP cores have been developed over the past decade and have led to major advances in our understanding of hydrates. These models provide important insights into the formation of hydrates, the methane source and methane concentration constraints, ocean methane inventories, and the sensitivity of these inventories to oceanographic conditions and climate forcing. The methane inventory is very sensitive to temperature changes; a 1.5 °C temperature change results in a 2-fold methane inventory change, while a 3 °C increase results in an 85% decrease. Modest deep ocean oxygen changes of 40 μM result in factor of 2 changes in the methane inventory, and a 50% increase in primary production also doubles the inventory. Changes in sea level have a small effect. A 100 m drop in sea level reduces the thickness of the hydrate stability zone by less than 10 m and results in a 3% decrease in the clathrate inventory. At Hydrate Ridge, in the Gulf of Mexico, and on the Angola slope, hydrates occur a few centimeters below the sea floor. Hydrate outcrops at the sea floor have been reported, and evidence of extensive methane oxidation is present in Hydrate Ridge sediments and surrounding waters, but outside of the placeholder term, there are no estimates of hydrate contributions.

### 6.2. Transport Processes: Scope and Scale

#### 6.2.1. Coastal Contributions

There are only a few studies of coastal methane contributions to the ocean, so the processes transporting methane to the ocean water column are not well quantified. Bange et al. summarized recent ocean studies and concluded that coastal sources contribute about 75% of global oceanic methane emissions to the atmosphere, but they proposed no changes to the global budget since the new estimate lay within the range of earlier estimates. A number of methane saturation measurements in rivers have been reported, covering large rivers like the Amazon and Orinoco, as well as rivers with pristine drainages and those with agricultural and urban drainages. Methane oxidation rates were measured in several of these studies, but oxidation was found to be a minor sink compared with diffusive flux across the river/atmosphere interface. Methane distributions on continental shelves frequently have two or more maxima: one associated with the bottom of the euphotic zone, probably associated with a zooplankton fecal pellet source, and the other, well below the euphotic zone and separated from the sediments, suggesting an advective source from continental shelf sediments. Methane oxidation rate measurements in these midwater methane maxima indicate turnover times of months, rather than years, as found for most of the ocean water column.

The Eastern Tropical North Pacific (ETNP), an area located off the Pacific coast of Mexico, is fueled by coastal upwelling and is known for its oxygen minimum zone, which extends almost to the Hawaiian Islands. It also contains the largest dissolved methane reservoir in the ocean. Stable isotope measurements suggest that methane in the upper part of the 600 m thick high-methane zone of the ETNP is associated with locally produced sinking particulate material. The deeper part of the methane pool was suggested and recently confirmed, to have a coastal source. The methane source represents depth intervals of the open-margin sediments where the anoxic waters intersect the bottom. No methane oxidation rate measurements have been conducted here. Coastal upwelling has also been associated with high water column methane off Walvis Bay and the Oregon coast. Hovland and Jude have documented crater-
like features on continental shelves throughout the world ocean, but measurements of methane release are few.

6.2.2. Seeps and Vents

Figure 8 is a schematic diagram showing the range of methane flux to the ocean water column from a variety of sources, the lateral size-scale of the sources, and an indication of the depth of origin of the methane.

The left-hand side of the diagram illustrates diffusion-controlled coastal sediments, where methane formed below the sulfate/methane transition is subjected to anaerobic methane oxidation, so that only a small amount escapes to the water column. The middle of the diagram illustrates seeps, where methane from scarpS, fractures, and decomposing hydrates is introduced in fluids and as gas streams. This methane has a deeper origin, and fluxes are high enough to overwhelm sediment oxidation processes. Methane reaches the ocean surface in only a few examples. The right-hand side of the diagram illustrates methane contributions by large seeps and mud volcanoes. Mud volcanoes are large, rimmed features with kilometer-scale diameters that are fed by deep gas accumulations and hydrates. Emission magnitude, and especially variability, increases from left to right, with diffusion and small seeps being relatively constant and with larger seeps and mud volcanoes showing highly episodic behavior. Direct measurements on all but the smallest methane-emitting features are absent, so the diagram is based on only a few measurements. As mentioned earlier (section 1.1: Global Methane Budget), the natural radiocarbon content of atmospheric methane was used to partition the atmospheric methane budget between recent biogenic and fossil sources. Finding large enough fossil methane sources has been a problem with the atmospheric budget, so most studies of vents and seeps emphasize additions to the atmosphere rather than the ocean water column.

Perhaps the best estimates of seep emission are from the Santa Barbara Channel and the Black Sea, where seeps have received more attention than other locations. Hornafius et al. estimated acoustic data to estimate a mean methane emission rate for the Coal Oil Point seep field of 28 g of CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$. The amount of methane released within the Santa Barbara Basin is believed to rank within the top 1–0.1% of natural seeps. Dimitrov estimated methane flux from the Black Sea shelf by estimating seep numbers and binning them into flux classes with emission rates ranging from 0.4 to 3.5 L min$^{-1}$. The amount of methane that dissolved during ascent to the water surface was estimated and applied as a correction to the atmospheric flux. Dimitrov concluded that between 0.03 and 0.15 Tg of methane enters the atmosphere from this area. Measurements of natural radiocarbon in semienclosed anoxic basins have been used to make basin-wide estimates of seep contributions to the Black Sea and the Cariaco Basin. The bulk of this seep-derived methane is oxidized by microbes in the water column so that only a small amount escapes to the atmosphere.

6.2.3. Mud Volcanoes

Dimitrov provides a useful description of the structure of inland and submarine mud volcanoes. Mud volcanoes are aligned around subduction zones and orogenic belts with thick, rapidly deposited clays and sediment overpressuring due to hydrocarbon formation. Gas hydrates are often associated with deep-water mud volcanoes. Geographic inventories of mud volcano occurrences are presented by Dimitrov and Milkov. Milkov pointed out that most mud volcanoes are submarine and estimated that there are 10$^3$ to 10$^4$ worldwide.

Dimitrov estimates that 10.3–12.6 Tg of CH$_4$ year$^{-1}$ enter the atmosphere by quiescent and eruptive activity. Most of the methane emitted from submarine mud volcanoes deeper than 75 m, particularly during quiescent periods, dissolves before it reaches the atmosphere. Milkov et al. estimate that 5000 submarine mud volcanoes release 13 Tg year$^{-1}$ during quiescent periods and 14 Tg year$^{-1}$ during eruptions, and that most of this remains in the ocean. Etiope and Milkov estimate the atmospheric flux from mud volcanoes as 6–9 Tg CH$_4$ year$^{-1}$. Eruptive activity is infrequent, but spectacular. Dimitrov describes reports of 100–500 m tall flaming pillars that burn for several days. The most recent summary of methane released from geological sources is presented by Kvenvolden and Rogers, who estimate the atmospheric contribution of seeps, mud volcanoes, and miscellaneous sources at 45 Tg of CH$_4$ year$^{-1}$.

The Håkon Mosby mud volcano, located in the Norwegian Sea at a depth of ~1200 m, was recently studied by an international interdisciplinary team using a remotely operated vehicle and instruments measuring in situ microprofiles to study habitats and their relationship to fluid flow and composition. Previous work reported concentric zonation of sea floor morphology as well as geochemical and biological processes related to ejection of sediment, water, and methane from the mud volcano crater. Total methane release was estimated to be 2.0–6.4 × 10$^8$ g of CH$_4$ year$^{-1}$. Some 40% of the total methane was consumed by aerobic (1–3%) and anaerobic (37%) processes. The methane that escapes the Håkon Mosby mud volcano rises to form a plume whose carbon isotope signature is identical to the source methane, suggesting no oxidation. The recent expedition found that the flow in the center of the crater was high and depleted in oxidants, so that aerobic methane oxidation in the surface centimeter of the sediments was the major process. Lower flow resulted in Beggiatoa mats associated with a previously undescribed clade of archaea, ANME-3. Dense colonies of siboglinid tubeworms were associated with the lowest flows on the hummocky perimeter.
Methane-utilizing communities are discussed further in section 8.3.

7. Microbially-Mediated Oxidation of Ocean Methane

7.1. Aerobic Oxidation of Methane

There have been few measurements of methane oxidation rates in oxic ocean waters. The first estimates were made by Scraffon and Brewer,78 who related apparent methane utilization, the difference between actual and air-saturated values, and water mass ages determined with 3H/3He and 14C to determine methane oxidation rates in open ocean waters. They found that methane oxidation is rapid (0.15 nM year\(^{-1}\)) for the first decade and decreases to rates of 10\(^{-4}\) nM year\(^{-1}\) for waters older than about 150 years. Ward and co-workers216–219 used 14C-CH\(_4\) as tracer and determined methane oxidation rates in Cariaco Basin and Saanich Inlet and methane maxima in the Southern California bight. Addition of 14C-CH\(_4\) tracer increases the ambient CH\(_4\) pool size, so it was necessary to perform rate measurements at several levels of tracer addition and to correct back to \textit{in situ} concentrations.216,218 The highest fractional turnover rate for aerobic methane oxidation (0.15 day\(^{-1}\)) observed to date were made using 14C-CH\(_4\) tracer in deep-sea plumes generated by a vent field near the Juan de Fuca Ridge.220 Valentine et al.196 used 3H-CH\(_4\), which has a much higher specific activity than 14C-CH\(_4\), to track and determine methane oxidation rates at a number of stations in the Eel River basin. The specific activity of 3H-CH\(_4\) has the potential to be over 500-fold higher than that of 14C-CH\(_4\). The product H\(_2\)O is easily purified at sea and required only stripping to remove activity than 14C-CH\(_4\), as tracer and determined methane oxidation rates in the North Atlantic of about 50 years. CFC-11 is not oxidized in oxic seawater and serves as a conservative tracer.

Open ocean water column methane oxidation rates are generally viewed as being quite low, but fractional turnover rates of days220 and months196,219 have been observed in maxima with methane concentrations of \(\sim 20\) nM. De Angelis et al.222 measured the effect of hydrostatic pressure on microbial methane oxidizing activity, and they observed rate increases of 21–62% at elevated (~200 atm) pressure. There are very few measurements of open ocean methane oxidation rates using tracers, so our understanding of the kinetics of microbial methane oxidation in the oxic ocean, particularly in subsurface maxima and plumes, is poor.

7.2. Anaerobic Oxidation of Methane

Anaerobic methane oxidation (AMO) is an old, controversial subject that has experienced a recent renaissance in activity (and a name change to anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) as well)223 following application of new observation and sampling technology (remotely operated vehicles (ROVs), submersibles) and an array of new molecular and molecular genetic tools. Because the subject was so controversial, and because it occurred below the sediment surface where it was “invisible”, the early measurements of methane concentration, methane oxidation rate, sulfate reduction rate, and stable isotope distributions were replicated extensively in a wide variety of environments. Table 2 updates previous summaries144,224 to include studies on anaerobic oxidation of methane to date. A number of independent approaches involving diagenetic modeling of measured profiles, radiotracer measurements of reaction rates, thermodynamic calculations, stable isotope measurements, and laboratory inhibition and incubation experiments combine to make a compelling geochemical case for anaerobic oxidation of methane. Anaerobic oxidation of methane was initially regarded as a curiosity restricted to diffusion-controlled anoxic sediments, but studies over the past 5 years demonstrate clearly that AOM is a major geochemical process that functions as an important sink in oceanic methane geochemistry. The earliest points of the AOM controversy, isolation of the responsible organism and demonstration of the biochemical pathway, have not been answered.

7.2.1. Early Observations and the Methane/Sulfate Connection

Thermodynamic calculations on systems with coexisting sulfate and methane showed that free-energy changes were small, but suggested that anaerobic oxidation of methane might be possible at elevated temperatures.225 Methane oxidation rate measurements on waters from the Carrizo (TX) formation using sulfate-reducing bacteria and 14C-CH\(_4\) tracer showed that methane oxidation occurred at “low rates”,226 and studies in anoxic ocean sediments showed that methane could not serve as the sole substrate for sulfate reducers.227

Three papers85,102,103 are frequently cited as early reports of anaerobic methane oxidation. Research prior to these papers is usually not mentioned, but it provided a necessary basis for these papers. First, measurements of sediment methane distributions consistently showed concave-up low-methane surface zones49,50 and raised the question, “What processes control the methane distribution?”51 On the basis of measurements in Chesapeake Bay, Reeburgh51 suggested that the concave-up low-methane surface zone might be caused by addition of O\(_2\) by the irrigating activities of benthic fauna. Differences in methane distributions in marine and freshwater sediments98 as well as time series incubations in sealed canning jars (Mason jar experiments)228 established relationships between methane concentrations, sulfate concentrations, sulfate reduction, methanogenesis, and possibly methane oxidation.

The Barnes and Goldberg102 study was conducted in the Santa Barbara Basin, an intermittently anoxic California Borderland basin, and involved a diagenetic model of sediment methane only. The Martens and Berner103 study focused on near-shore sediments of Long Island Sound and involved field measurements as well as laboratory incubations. Martens and Berner advanced four alternative hypotheses to explain their time series incubations and depth distributions and to guide future work:228 (a) methane is produced throughout the sediment column but is consumed by sulfate-reducing bacteria; (b) methane is produced only in the absence of dissolved sulfate, and the coexistence of sulfate and methane is due to interdiffusion; (c) methane is produced only in the absence of sulfate but, as in hypothesis a, is consumed by sulfate-reducing bacteria; and (d) methane is produced to a limited extent in the presence of sulfate-reducing bacteria but is not utilized by them. On the basis of their measurements, Martens and Berner228 favored hypotheses b and d.

The Reeburgh study85 was conducted in the Cariaco Basin and involved methane concentration measurements in the
| Location                        | Study Date(s) | Water Column | Sediment | Sulfate/Methane Transition Depth | Observations Reported, Ref. |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Chesapeake Bay (MD)            | 1966, 1967    | –            | +        | 30 cm                            | CH₄, Ar, N₂ profiles⁵¹      |
| Long Island Sound (CT)         | 1974, 1977    | –            | +        | 20–60 cm                         | CH₄, SO₄²⁻ profiles, jar experiments⁵²,⁵³ |
| Cape Lookout Bight (NC)        | 1976–         | –            | +        | <10 cm (S)                       | CH₄, SO₄²⁻ profiles, SRR¹⁰⁴,¹⁰⁵,¹⁰⁶ |
|                               |               |              |          | 25 cm (W)                         | CH₄ fluxes²⁵⁴                |
|                               |               |              |          |                                 | org C budget, diageneric model²⁵⁵ |
| White Oak Estuary (NC)         | 1975          | –            | +        | 20 cm                            | CH₄, SO₄²⁻ profiles²⁰¹        |
| Blake Ridge ODP Leg 164        | 1995          | –            | +        | 21.2 m                           | CH₄, SO₄²⁻ profiles, δ¹³CH₄, SRR, MOR²⁵⁶ |
| Gulf of Mexico                 | 1977          | –            | +        |                                 | CH₄, SO₄²⁻ profiles, diageneric model²⁵⁷ |
|                               | 1979          | +            | +        |                                 | CH₄, δ¹⁰CH₄ (Orca Basin)²⁵⁸  |
|                               | 2001–2004     | –            | +        |                                 | CH₄, SO₄²⁻ profiles, SRR, MOR adjacent hydrate mounds²⁵⁹ |
|                               |               |              |          |                                 | CH₄, SO₄²⁻ profiles, SRR, MOR, biomarkers, AMNE-1, ANME-2²⁶⁰ |
| Cariaco Basin (VE)             | 1976          | +            | +        | 60 cm                            | CH₄ profiles, advection-diffusion model⁸⁵ |
|                               | 1986          | +            | –        |                                 | anaerobic CH₄ oxidation rates (¹⁴C-CH₄ tracer)²¹⁶ |
|                               | 1988          | +            | –        |                                 | CH₄ profiles, time-dependent box model²⁶⁸ |
|                               | 2005          | +            | +        |                                 | CH₄ stable isotope, natural ¹³C-CH₄ profiles, time-dep box model²⁶⁹ |
| Amazon Shelf (BR)              | 1995          | –            | +        | 500–800 cm                       | CH₄, SO₄²⁻, ΣCO₂ profiles, δ¹³CO₂,²⁶¹,²⁶² |
| W. Argentine Basin             | 1999–2000     | +            | –        | 4–5 m                            | CH₄, SO₄²⁻, H₂S profiles, SRR²⁶³ |
| Håkon Mosby Mud Volcano        | 1990–2003     | +            | –        |                                 | CH₄ plumes²¹³                 |
|                               |               | +            | +        | 0–3 cm                           | SRR, MOR²⁶⁴                  |
|                               |               |              |          |                                 | ROV observations, microprofiles, fluxes, FISH (ANME-3)²⁷¹,²⁷² |
| Framvaren (NO)                 | 1981          | –            | +        |                                 | incubations of anoxic water²⁶⁵ |
| Kysing Fjord (DK)              | 1979–80       | –            | +        | ~18 cm                           | CH₄, SO₄²⁻, SRR, MOR profiles²⁶⁶ |
| Kattegat/Skagerrak (DK)        | 1981          | –            | +        | 90–140 cm                        | CH₄, SO₄²⁻, SRR, MOR profiles⁴¹⁴⁴ |
| Eckernförde Bay (FRG)          | 1993, 1994    | –            | +        | 150 cm                           | CH₄, SO₄²⁻ profiles⁶⁷⁷        |
|                               |               | +            |          | 40 cm                            | CH₄, SO₄²⁻, ΣCO₂, δ¹⁰H-CH₄, δ¹³C-CH₄, Isotope fractionation αC, αH²⁴⁷ |
| Black Sea                      | 1998          | +            | +        | 10 cm                            | CH₄, MOR (¹⁴C-CH₄, H⁺CH₄), δ¹⁰H-CH₄, δ¹³C-CH₄,²⁵⁸ R,²⁶⁹,²⁷⁰,²⁷¹,²⁷²,²⁷³ biomarkers²⁸⁸,²⁸⁹ |
|                               | 2001          | –            | +        | 160–260 cm                       | CH₄, SO₄²⁻, SRR²⁹⁰          |
|                               |               |              |          |                                 | submersible collections from vents, microbial structures, AOM, SR potential, lipid biomarkers, δ¹³C-CH₄, FISH²⁷⁰ |
|                               | 2004          | –            | +        |                                 | CH₄, δ¹⁰C-CH₄, SO₄²⁻, SRR, FISH (ANME-1) adj, microbial mat.²⁷¹ |
|                               | 2005          | +            | +        |                                 | biomarker,²⁷²,²⁷³ authigenic carbonate studies²²⁴ |
|                               | 2001          | +            | –        |                                 | CH₄, δ¹⁰H-CH₄, δ¹³C-CH₄, natural ¹⁴C-CH₄,²⁷⁶ |
|                               | 2003          | –            | –        |                                 | CH₄, MOR (H⁺CH₄), δ¹³CH₄,¹⁵He, Ne, FISH, bacterial abundance²⁷⁵,²⁷⁶ |
| Namibian Coast                 | 1996          | –            | +        | 3–10 m                           | CH₄, SO₄²⁻, H₂S, alk, nutrient profiles²⁷⁷ |
|                               |               | +            |          | 3–6 m                            | CH₄, SO₄²⁻, H₂S, SRR²⁷⁸      |
| Skan Bay (AK)                  | 1978–2004     | –            | +        | 30 cm                            | CH₄, SRR, MOR²⁸⁴             |
|                               |               |              |          |                                 | ¹³C isotope budget (CH₄, DIC, DOC, PIC, POC)²⁶⁵,²⁰⁰,¹⁴⁴,¹⁴⁴ acetate, acetate turnover²⁷⁹ |
|                               |               |              |          |                                 | MoO₄²⁻, BES inhibition expts,²³⁷,²³⁸ Pb,¹³⁷Cs sed rates,²⁸⁰ isotope fractionation factors, αC, αH²⁸¹,²⁸² natural ¹³C-CH₄,²⁸³ |
|                               |               |              |          |                                 | SO₂⁻, H₂S, Fe, alkalinity, major cations²¹ⁱ |
|                               |               |              |          |                                 | SO₂⁻, SRR,²⁴¹ CH₄, MOR²⁴⁰ coupled SO₂⁻ red/CH₄ oxid. model²³² |
|                               |               |              |          |                                 | CH₄, MOR (¹⁴C-CH₄ tracer)²¹⁷ |
| Hydrate Ridge (OR)             | 2002          | +            | +        | 3 cm                             | SO₂⁻, SRR,¹³C-depleted biomarkers, FISH²⁸²,²⁸³ AOM,²⁸⁴,²⁸⁵ AOM/SRR coupling, in vitro growth²⁸⁶ |
| Eel River Basin (CA)           | –             | –            | –        |                                 | CH₄, δ¹⁰C-CH₄ oxidizing activity,¹⁶S rRNA²⁸⁷ |
|                               | –             | –            | –        |                                 | CH₄, SO₂⁻, FISH²⁸⁸,²⁸⁹ water column (aerobic) CH₄ oxidation rates (H⁺CH₄ tracer)¹⁹⁶ |
|                               | –             | –            | –        |                                 | CH₄, δ¹⁰C-CH₄ production,²⁹⁰ CH₄ production and oxidation²⁹¹ |
| Santa Barbara Basin (CA)       | 1973, 1974    | –            | +        | 200–250 cm                       | CH₄, δ¹⁰C-CH₄ production²⁸⁴,¹⁰²,¹⁰³ δ¹³C of TIC, DIC²⁹³ |
|                               | 1977          | –            | +        |                                 | CH₄, δ¹⁰C-CH₄ production,²⁹⁰ CH₄ production and oxidation²⁹¹ |
| Guaymas Basin (MEX)            | 1998          | –            | –        |                                 | CH₄, SO₂⁻, SRR, MOR, δ¹³C of TIC, DIC²⁹³ |
| Chilean Margin                 | 2001          | +            | –        | 210–350 cm                       | CH₄, δ¹⁰C-CH₄ production,²⁹⁰ CH₄ production and oxidation²⁹¹ |
microbially-mediated reactions in sediments. Tracer was ability to measure rates of sulfate reduction was welcomed. Sulfate reduction was a well-known process, and the range of sulfate concentrations encountered in marine sediments as well as the overlying permanently anoxic water column. The methane distribution in the sediments showed the familiar concave-up methane distribution in the low-methane surface zone. Since the overlying waters were anoxic and benthic fauna are absent, bioturbation and addition of oxygen could be eliminated as possible causes of the low-methane surface zone. Further, fitting the water column methane distribution with a vertical advection—diffusion model showed that methane in the anoxic water column was nonconservative (not governed by physical mixing alone) and that it was clearly being consumed in an anoxic environment. These results supported hypothesis and suggested that a general process might be responsible for the methane distributions observed in all marine sediments.

Diagenetic models were applied to methane and sulfate sediment distributions from a variety of environments (Long Island Sound, Skan Bay, Saanich Inlet, Skan Bay). The diagenetic models provided a useful framework for both interpreting the depth distributions and pointing the way to future measurements. For example, reaction rates and depth distributions predicted by diagenetic models could be confirmed with measurements, and this stimulated direct measurements of methane oxidation and sulfate reduction rates. However, the emphasis on diagenetic models, which can only be applied in diffusion-controlled sediments, led to the incorrect view that anaerobic oxidation of methane was restricted to quiescent anoxic muds.

7.2.2. Rate Measurements

In order to compare measured rates with modeled rates, the measured rates must be environmentally realistic. This requires working with systems that are minimally disturbed, ensuring that true tracer experiments (pool size changes by <1%) are performed, and conducting incubations under realistic temperatures. Adding tracer in quantities large enough to stimulate the reactions being studied results in measurements of “potential”, which cannot be compared with models.

Jørgensen described measurements of the rate of sulfate reduction in anoxic sediments using as tracer. The radiochemical can be obtained carrier-free, so specific activity modifications are of no concern over the range of sulfate concentrations encountered in marine sediments. Sulfate reduction was a well-known process, and the ability to measure rates of sulfate reduction was welcomed as a major advance that stimulated studies of rates of microbially-mediated reactions in sediments. Tracer was injected at intervals in intact sediment cores, and following incubation, and the product were recovered by extraction in an acidic Cr(II) solution before counting. The most common field tracer measurement in the 1970s was the rate of water column photosynthesis (primary production, HCO3− tracer), and many workers believed that homogenization of the tracer before incubation was required for all tracer studies. The Jørgensen papers made an important point, central to sediment studies, that was not widely appreciated at the time: it is not necessary to homogenize the tracer, provided the system analyzed contains all of the added tracer. Rate measurements involving 14C CH4 would have been impossible if homogenization were required.

Studies using 14C CH4 in whole-lake experiments suggested that methane oxidation rates in marine sediment were feasible, so Jørgensen’s sulfate reduction techniques were extended to methane in marine sediments by Reeburgh, using 14C CH4 as tracer and techniques identical to those of Jørgensen. Reeburgh studied intact sediments with millimolar methane concentrations using segmented plastic core-liners. Each core segment contained a silicone rubber septum that permitted injection of the 14C CH4 tracer into the center of the segment. Following incubation, the segmented core was dismantled by inserting metal shims between the segments, and each segment was emptied into a canning jar whose lid was fitted with a gas inlet and outlet and a port for adding degassed water to form a slurry. The slurry was stripped in two stages: First, the sediment slurry was made basic with NaOH and the unrecovered 14C CH4 tracer was removed, oxidized, and trapped for counting. Following stripping at high pH, the sample was made acidic and the product of methane oxidation, 14CO2 was stripped and trapped in a phenethylamine-based scintillation cocktail. It was necessary to remove the H2S released by acidification with a CuSO4-Celite trap prior to trapping the 14CO2 as H2S is a potent quencher in scintillation counting. The depth resolution of these measurements was coarse (3–5 cm), but the rates agreed with diagenetic models and methane oxidation and sulfate reduction rates showed overlapping rate maxima. The depth resolution of the rate measurements was improved by using lateral subcores collected with glass syringes that allowed headspace-free incubation, and a means of removing small amounts of 14CO contamination was described. The original methane oxidation rate measurements in sediments were viewed as reckless by microbiologists, as they involved adding a potential substrate to a complex natural system without controls and without fully understanding the consequences. Methane oxidation rate and sulfate reduction

| location                | study date(s) | water column | sediment | sulfate/methane transition depth | observations reported, ref |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Big Soda Lake (NV)      | 1982–1984     | +            | −        | 50 cm                            | CH4, δ13C-CH4, CH4 production, MOR profiles, SRR profiles |
| Mono Lake (CA)          | 1986          | +            | +        |                                  | CH4, δ3H-CH4, δ13C-CH4, Δ14C-CH4, CH4 production, MOR, SO4²⁻, SRR |
| ODP biogeochemistry legs|               |              |          |                                  |                             |
| DSDP leg 1 through      | −             | +            |          |                                  | ref 101                     |
| ODP leg 182             | −             | +            |          |                                  | ref 297                     |
| ODP biogeochemistry     | −             | +            |          |                                  |                             |
| leg Peru leg 201         | −             | +            |          |                                  |                             |

*SRR = sulfate reduction rate. MOR = methane oxidation rate. FISH = fluorescent in situ hybridization.*
rate depth distributions were replicated in Saanich Inlet and Kategatt/Skagerrak sediments.

Extending methane oxidation rate measurements to water column environments, where methane concentrations are nanomolar, requires attention to the specific activity, which governs the amount of methane added with the tracer. The first ocean water column tracer measurements of methane oxidation were made by Ward et al., who recognized that measurements at nanomolar methane levels would be affected by addition of a tracer. Ward et al. measured rates at several levels of tracer addition and used the linear relationship that resulted to extrapolate back to in situ concentrations. Sandbeck and Reeburgh synthesized tritium-labeled methane ($^3$H-CH$_4$), which, because of its much shorter half-life and 500-fold higher specific activity, can be used without affecting the ambient water column methane pool size, and applied it to water column determinations of AOM rate. Parallel determinations of the rate of anaerobic oxidation of methane in the Black Sea water column were performed using $^{14}$C-CH$_4$ and $^3$H-CH$_4$ tracers, and the determinations agreed within a factor of 2. Large-scale methanogenesis in the Black Sea water column can be eliminated on thermodynamic and kinetic grounds, so these rates are a direct measure of net methane oxidation. The Cariaco Basin and the Black Sea are the only water column environments where measurements of the rate of anaerobic oxidation have been performed, and in both environments, AOM was clearly the major methane sink.

There are several instances of rate measurements that are not environmentally realistic. Griffiths et al. replaced the methane inventory of Bering Sea water column samples with a standard quantity of gas containing $^{14}$C-CH$_4$ and termed these measurements “relative methane oxidation rates”. The difference between the rates of methanogenesis and methane oxidation was used to estimate net methane consumption in the Black Sea. Assuming the methane is produced by both CO$_2$ reduction and acetate fermentation, Ivanov et al. estimated the rate of methanogenesis with experiments using $^{14}$CO$_2$ and $^{14}$C-labeled acetate to determine the turnover of these tracers to methane. The rate of methane oxidation was measured using $^{14}$C-CH$_4$ as tracer. The difference between methanogenesis and methane oxidation yielded a net methane oxidation rate for the Black Sea similar in magnitude to the basin-wide rate reported by Reeburgh. Ivanov et al. did not consider the specific activity and pool size effects discussed above. Regarding the measurements of CO$_2$ turnover to methane, the tracer was swamped or diluted beyond utility by the large seawater dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) pool. Regarding measurements of acetate turnover to methane, ambient acetate concentrations are so low that addition of the tracer overwhelmed the acetate pool and likely led to enhanced rates. These measurements are not geochemically realistic, and they are best viewed as measurements of potential methanogenesis and methane oxidation; their agreement with the Reeburgh et al. result can only be fortuitous.

7.2.3. Natural Isotope Studies

Stable carbon isotope measurements of methane and CO$_2$ in sediments have been reported in a number of marine and salt lake environments. These results were extended by measurement of a stable isotope budget in sediments of Skan Bay. This stable isotope budget involved overdetermining the Skan Bay system by measuring $^13$C in five carbon pools: (a) methane, (b) dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), (c) dissolved organic carbon (DOC), (d) particulate inorganic carbon (PIC), and (e) particulate organic carbon (POC). The approach was to use the characteristic light isotopic signature of methane as an internal tracer to observe isotopic “pushes” and “pulls” between pools driven by anaerobic methane oxidation. These measurements were performed on 3-cm thick sediment segments from three subcores collected from a single box core. The core segments were sliced, placed in steel cans under an N$_2$ atmosphere, sealed, and frozen until analysis. The study involved neither additions nor incubations and considered the isotope distributions as a snapshot of what was occurring naturally in an undisturbed sediment interval. The DIC and methane pools showed the largest isotope changes. Oxidation of isotopically light methane to CO$_2$ resulted in an equivalent shift of the isotopic composition of the DIC pool, producing a $^13$C-CO$_2$ minimum that occurred at the same depth as changes in the sulfate and methane distributions. The remaining methane became isotopically heavier above the methane/sulfate transition, reflecting the fact that methane containing the light isotope was preferentially oxidized. Combined with parallel rate measurements and a diagenetic model, these measurements were used to estimate kinetic isotope fractionation factors, $\alpha_C (=1.0088 \pm 0.0013)$ and $\alpha_M (=1.157 \pm 0.023)$, associated with anaerobic oxidation of methane. A similar study in Eckernförde Bay yielded fractionation factors that agreed within experimental error. Curiously, these seemingly arcane isotope measurements on canned sediment samples provided the key evidence that convinced microbiologists of the existence of anaerobic oxidation of methane, and the controversy over anaerobic oxidation of methane ended.

Recent measurements of natural isotope distributions in waters and sediments of the Cariaco Basin and the Black Sea have provided unexpected insights into methane geochemistry in these environments. The Cariaco Basin was an early focus in studies of anaerobic methane oxidation, and time series measurements of methane documented a methane increase. Finding that the Cariaco Basin water column was not in steady state led to development of a time-dependent model to describe methane distributions. Measurements in the Black Sea consisted of a detailed water column methane concentration profile, water column oxidation rates, and distributions in sediments. A budget based on sink production was derived from this data that included evasion to the atmosphere, water column oxidation, oxidation by abyssal sediments, and outflow at the Bosporus. Anaerobic oxidation of methane in the water column was the largest term by a factor of over 70. Only 15% of the methane source needed to maintain the steady-state Black Sea methane distribution could be identified. Distributions of methane concentration, $^3$H-CH$_4$, and methane oxidation rate were uniform in waters below 600 m. Reports of extensive seeps, hydrate deposits, and mud volcanoes along the northern margin appeared after 1991 and were suspected as the source of the “missing” 85% of the methane source. The methane emitted from these seeps was expected to be of hydrate or thermogenic origin and was expected to contain little or no radiocarbon, so measurements of natural $^{14}$C-CH$_4$ were proposed. Reliable determination of the anticipated low radiocarbon levels required attention to blanks and backgrounds. The variety of seeps suggested multiple origins for methane in the Black Sea, so a second study involving the Cariaco
Basin are too high for hydrate stability, and there were no reports of seeps, so the Cariaco Basin was regarded as a "control" environment with a single (sediment diagenesis) methane source.

Figure 9 shows methane isotope distributions in the Cariaco Basin as well as a panel showing the depth distribution of seep inputs. This same panel shows that the Cariaco Basin water column methane concentration has approximately doubled over the past 30 years. The increase appears to be related to a 1967 earthquake whose epicenter was in the Caribbean Sea. A time-dependent box model indicates that oxidation will increase and that Cariaco Basin water column concentrations will reach steady state by 2065.204 Cariaco Basin sediments have $^{14}$C-CH$_4$ levels that are consistent with diagenesis of particles fixed in the photic zone, so methane in the sediments and methane in the water column clearly have different sources.

Figure 10 shows isotope distributions in the Black Sea as well as a panel showing the depth distribution of seep inputs. Two sets of $\delta^{13}$C-CH$_4$ measurements taken 13 years apart and at different locations are indistinguishable, suggesting that the Black Sea is in steady state with respect to methane.176,251 The methane concentrations, methane oxidation rates, and $\delta^{13}$C-CH$_4$ distributions are uniform below 1000 meters, leading to the suggestion that methane is being added as rapidly as it is being consumed in this depth interval.250

Kessler, Reeburgh, and Tyler251 compared the stable isotope and methane concentration distributions in the Cariaco Basin and the Black Sea. Between-basin differences in the deep parts of the basins are large, 9‰ for $\delta^{13}$C-CH$_4$ and 83‰ for $\delta^2$H-CH$_4$, and the stable isotope distributions are mirror-images of one another. The methane concentration distributions are controlled by the depth distribution of seep inputs. The isotope distributions are controlled by isotope fractionation resulting from anaerobic oxidation of methane under open-system non-steady-state conditions in the Cariaco Basin and open-system steady-state conditions in the Black Sea. Carbon and hydrogen isotope fractionation in the Black Sea water column agrees well with the kinetic isotope fractionation factors determined in Skan Bay65 and Eckernförde Bay247 sediments.
7.2.4. Reaction and Mechanism

Early studies of anaerobic oxidation of methane\(^8\) proposed the following net reaction as governing the process:

\[
\text{CH}_4 + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{HS}^- + \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{CH}_3\text{COO}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{S} \quad (6)
\]

Free-energy calculations using representative environmental concentrations indicate that the free-energy yield is \(\sim 25\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) of \(\text{CH}_4\) oxidized, a value below the commonly accepted biological energy quantum (\(\sim 20\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) organism\(^{-1}\)).\(^298\) Zehnder and Brock\(^299\) suggested that reverse methanogenesis might be responsible for AOM, and they demonstrated that small amounts of \(^{14}\text{C}-\text{CH}_4\) appeared under high methane concentrations and extreme reducing conditions in the presence of \(^{14}\text{C}-\text{CO}_2\) tracer. Net methane oxidation is the rule rather than the exception in natural systems, so these studies were puzzling to field workers. Alperin and Reeburgh\(^237\) performed an inhibition experiment on slurried Skan Bay sediments which involved using 2-bromoethanesulfonic acid (BES), an inhibitor of methanogenesis and methane oxidation by methanogens, molybdate, an inhibitor of sulfate reduction, and fluoroacetate, an inhibitor of acetate utilization. These experiments were conducted on intact and slurried sediments using \(^{14}\text{C}-\text{CH}_4\) and \(^{35}\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) tracers. Methane oxidation was not inhibited by BES, molybdate, or fluoroacetate. The experimental results were consistent with two possibilities: that methane oxidation is mediated either by an unknown methane oxidizer or by a consortium involving an unknown methane oxidizer and a sulfate-reducing bacteria. Reaction rates were much lower in the slurries than in the intact sediments. Hoehler et al.\(^7\) also used inhibitors and extended the idea of a consortium, demonstrating that “reverse methanogenesis” according to the reaction

\[
\text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 \quad (7)
\]

was possible when \(\text{H}_2\) concentrations were maintained below 0.29 nM. Thus, sulfate-reducers, well-known for their ability to outcompete methanogens for \(\text{H}_2\), serve as the means of maintaining \(\text{H}_2\) at low concentrations, and anaerobic methane oxidation occurs at the methane/sulfate transition. Above the methane/sulfate transition, methanogens cannot compete for \(\text{H}_2\), and below the transition, there is too little sulfate to sustain the sulfate-reducers. This mechanism was attractive for several reasons: it involved no new organism, was consistent with all previous studies, and offered an explanation for puzzling results from previous inhibition experiments. The “reverse methanogenesis hypothesis” was tested in the laboratory by Valentine and co-workers,\(^301,302\) who designed an apparatus that could maintain pure cultures of methanogens under low and known \(\text{H}_2\) partial pressures. However, none of the five methanogen strains tested demonstrated sustained \(\text{H}_2\) production, which would be expected if reverse methanogenesis were occurring. Reverse methanogenesis is suggested as the mechanism for anaerobic methane oxidation by the genomics community.\(^303,304\)

Valentine and Reeburgh\(^9\) explored alternative mechanisms consistent with previous observations that also allowed for greater thermodynamic energy yields. One involves formation of acetate and \(\text{H}_2\) from methane:

Mechanism I
\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{COOH} + 4\text{H}_2 \\
4\text{H}_2 + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{H}^+ & \rightarrow \text{HS}^- + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{CH}_3\text{COOH} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} & \rightarrow 2\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{HS}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
2\text{CH}_4 + 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} & \rightarrow 2\text{HCO}_3^- + 2\text{HS}^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (Net) \\
\Delta G & = -50.7 \text{ kJ}
\end{align*}
\]

And the other\(^7,272\) involves a reversal of acetoclastic methanogenesis:

Mechanism II
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_4 + \text{HCO}_3^- & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{COO}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^- + \text{SO}_4^{2-} & \rightarrow 2\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{HS}^- \\
\text{CH}_4 + \text{SO}_4^{2-} & \rightarrow \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{HS}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (Net) \\
\Delta G & = -25.4 \text{ kJ}
\end{align*}
\]

Valentine and Reeburgh favored mechanism I (reactions 8–11), as it provides more energy for each organism involved and may explain isotopically light lipids in sulfate-reducers. Both mechanisms can be tested experimentally, as the light isotopic signature of methane would be reflected in acetate. Under most conditions, however, acetate turns over so rapidly that sampling quantities of acetate sufficient for an isotopic measurement will be difficult. The high sensitivity of accelerator mass spectrometry offers a possible means of determining radiocarbon in micromolar acetate concentrations.

Microbes capable of oxidizing methane anaerobically with nitrate have been reported recently.\(^305,306\) These organisms were recovered from a drainage ditch rich with nitrate from agricultural runoff. Suitable conditions for these organisms probably do not exist in the ocean but could be present in soils.

7.2.5. Isotopically Light Carbonates

Anaerobic oxidation of methane produces another distinctive product: isotopically light calcium carbonate. Anaerobic oxidation of methane according to the reaction

\[
\text{CH}_4 + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \rightarrow \text{HS}^- + \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (15)
\]

results in an alkalinity increase, favoring precipitation of calcium carbonate, so that

\[
\text{CH}_4 + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{Ca}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3\downarrow + \text{H}_2\text{S} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (16)
\]

while aerobic oxidation of methane,

\[
\text{CH}_4 + 2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (17)
\]

results in an increase in acidity, favoring carbonate dissolution. Isotopically light carbonates have been observed as carbonate cements,\(^307–309\) veins,\(^310\) structures,\(^270,244,311\) limestone-shale sequences,\(^312\) and crusts.\(^283,313\) All result from precipitation resulting from alkalinity increases associated with anaerobic oxidation of methane, and all contain isotopically
light carbon as a result of precipitation of some methane-derived \( \text{HCO}_3^- \). Isotopically light biomarkers have been recovered from Black Sea carbonates, further supporting the connection with anaerobic oxidation of methane.\(^{274}\)

### 8. New Tools and Recent Developments

The introduction and improvement of remotely operated vehicles (ROVs) and submersibles over the last two decades has revolutionized studies of the sea floor. In particular, these devices have allowed visual inspection and refined sampling of cold seeps, where methane-rich waters are advected upward through the sediments of geologically active and passive continental margins. New biomarker and culture-independent phylogenetic techniques\(^{282,287,286,314}\) applied in higher methane flux environments\(^{270,286}\) as well as successful laboratory studies in vitro\(^{286,315}\) and in continuous-flow bioreactors\(^{316}\) have led to a renaissance in studies of anaerobic methane oxidation. These studies have played a major role in raising and broadening our awareness of the extent and importance of the anaerobic oxidation of methane. Oremland and co-workers\(^{317}\) emphasize that these studies build on some previous knowledge of anaerobic methane oxidation. At her study sites, the ANME-1 group existed as monospecific aggregates and individual cells, while the ANME-2 cells were present in aggregates associated with particulate matter in the anoxic Black Sea water column\(^{268,273,329}\) occur in the deeper parts of the anoxic water column but are not preserved in sediments. Measurements of diplopterol in Santa Barbara Basin sediments offer support for biological incorporation of regional scale methane releases.\(^{171}\) The isotopic composition of diplopterol, a hopanoid synthesized by aerobic bacteria, including methanotrophs, shows variations consistent with excursions in the carbon isotopic record of planktonic foraminifera.

Anaerobic methane oxidizers have been difficult to culture and are notoriously slow-growing, so there are only a small number of recent reports of successful laboratory\(^{266,330}\) and mesocosm\(^{315,331}\) cultivation. Thus, controlled experiments aimed at determining which biomarkers best reflect AOM have been difficult. A recent study\(^{332}\) involving in vitro labeling \((^{13}\text{C}-\text{enriched CH}_4)\) of a methane-oxidizing Black Sea microbial mat\(^{277}\) showed remarkable differences in individual archaeal and bacterial lipids. Similar studies are needed to better understand the specificity and origin of archaeal and bacterial biomarkers associated with AOM.

Isotopically light biomarkers have proved particularly important in identifying the presence of the archaeal and bacterial members of the consortia believed to be responsible for anaerobic methane oxidation. Initially regarded as a “smoking gun” for anaerobic oxidation of methane because their light isotopic signature suggested anabolism of isotopically light methane carbon by the source biota, biomarkers have been combined with FISH (fluorescent in situ hybridization) experiments to become the primary evidence or “golden standard” for identifying the presence of anaerobic methane oxidation.\(^{314}\) This is particularly so in and adjacent to seeps, where (1) performing reliable direct rate measurements using tracers and (2) obtaining methane distributions suitable for diagenetic modeling are both impossible. We would likely be completely unaware of anaerobic methane oxidation in these seep areas without biomarker and FISH studies. Thus, isotopically light biomarkers and gene probes have played a major role in raising and broadening our awareness of the extent of anaerobic oxidation of methane.

#### 8.1. Biomarkers

Molecular biological markers or biomarkers are natural products, usually lipid cell wall constituents, whose biosynthetic origin is known or can be determined. To be used as proxies in modern as well as ancient geochemical samples, biomarkers should have high taxonomic specificity and be recalcitrant enough to have high potential for preservation.\(^{318}\) Compound-specific isotope analysis has revolutionized biomarker research by providing information on the origin of compounds and isotope fractionation during assimilation and biosynthesis. The feasibility of compound specific isotope analysis was demonstrated in 1978.\(^{319}\) Subsequent improvements have led to the application of this tool to a wide range of geochemical questions.\(^{320}\) Presently, multiple isotope ratios \((\text{C}, \text{H}, \text{O}, \text{N})\)\(^{321}\) and natural radiocarbon\(^{322}\) can be determined on single compounds.

Two recent reviews\(^{9,10}\) summarize work on archaeal biomarkers up to 2002. One of the first described and perhaps the most specific archaeal biomarker of anaerobic methanotrophy is crocetane, \(2,1,1,1,5\)-tetramethylhexadecane, an isomer of phytane, which was isolated from the previously observed methane/sulfate transition\(^{241}\) in Kattegat sediment samples.\(^{326}\) This Kattegat crocetane had a \(\delta^{13}\text{C}\) value of \(-90 \pm 10\%e\). A number of candidate biomarkers for both methanogenic archaea as well as bacteria have been identified with compound specific isotope analysis in cold seep environments.\(^{171,262,264,287,324,327}\) Recent work shows that membrane lipids from two archaeal clusters, ANME-1 and ANME-2, can be distinguished,\(^{328}\) providing a tool for study of recent and fossil methane environments. Archaea-specific ether bound cyclic biphytanes associated with particulate matter in the anoxic Black Sea water column\(^{268,273,329}\) occur in the deeper parts of the anoxic water column but are not preserved in sediments. Measurements of diplopterol in Santa Barbara Basin sediments offer support for biological incorporation of regional scale methane releases.\(^{171}\) The isotopic composition of diplopterol, a hopanoid synthesized by aerobic bacteria, including methanotrophs, shows variations consistent with excursions in the carbon isotopic record of planktonic foraminifera.

Anaerobic methane oxidizers have been difficult to culture and are notoriously slow-growing, so there are only a small number of recent reports of successful laboratory\(^{266,330}\) and mesocosm\(^{315,331}\) cultivation. Thus, controlled experiments aimed at determining which biomarkers best reflect AOM have been difficult. A recent study\(^{332}\) involving in vitro labeling \((^{13}\text{C}-\text{enriched CH}_4)\) of a methane-oxidizing Black Sea microbial mat\(^{277}\) showed remarkable differences in individual archaeal and bacterial lipids. Similar studies are needed to better understand the specificity and origin of archaeal and bacterial biomarkers associated with AOM.

Isotopically light biomarkers have proved particularly important in identifying the presence of the archaeal and bacterial members of the consortia believed to be responsible for anaerobic methane oxidation. Initially regarded as a “smoking gun” for anaerobic oxidation of methane because their light isotopic signature suggested anabolism of isotopically light methane carbon by the source biota, biomarkers have been combined with FISH (fluorescent in situ hybridization) experiments to become the primary evidence or “golden standard” for identifying the presence of anaerobic methane oxidation.\(^{314}\) This is particularly so in and adjacent to seeps, where (1) performing reliable direct rate measurements using tracers and (2) obtaining methane distributions suitable for diagenetic modeling are both impossible. We would likely be completely unaware of anaerobic methane oxidation in these seep areas without biomarker and FISH studies. Thus, isotopically light biomarkers and gene probes have played a major role in raising and broadening our awareness of the extent of anaerobic oxidation of methane.

#### 8.2. Physiological and Culture-Independent Phylogenetic Studies

Using small-subunit ribosomal RNA sequences \((16\text{S} \text{ rRNA})\) from a methane seep in the Eel River Basin, Hinrichs and co-workers\(^{287}\) found a mixture of bacteria and archaea. The archaea consisted of a novel group, ANME-1, peripherally related to \textit{Methanosarcinales}, and a novel species of \textit{Methanosarcinales}. Boetius et al.\(^{282}\) used specific fluorescently labeled \(16\text{S} \text{ rRNA}-\text{targeted oligonucleotide probes as “phylogenetic stains” (FISH, fluorescent in situ hybridization) to visualize aggregates of archaeal cells (ANME-2) surrounded by sulfate-reducing bacteria. Secondary iron mass spectrometry (SIMS) was used on FISH-visualized targets (FISH/SIMS)\(^{314}\) to show that the archaea located in the interior of the aggregates were isotopically light, consistent with the hypothesis that the archaea were mediating anaerobic oxidation of methane. Orphan et al.\(^{288,289}\) showed that distinct multiple groups, ANME-1 and ANME-2, were located at methane seeps and mediated the anaerobic oxidation of methane. At her study sites, the ANME-1 group existed as monospecific aggregates and individual cells, while the ANME-2 cells were present in aggregates associated with \textit{Desulfosarcina}. However, at gas seeps in the Black Sea, ANME-1 cells in consortia with sulfate-reducing bacteria of the \textit{Desulfosarcina} cluster form large methanotrophic mats.\(^{270}\) Nauhaus and co-workers successfully enriched anaerobic methane oxidizers in vitro\(^{286}\) and showed that the ANME-2 dominated community showed higher cell-specific AOM.
rates and was more tolerant of low temperatures than the ANME-1 cells. A new previously undescribed clade of archaea, ANME-3, was associated with Beggiatoa mats in Håkon Mosby mud volcano sediments. Long-term in vitro incubations with a continuous supply of methane and sulfate resulted in a doubling time of approximately 7 months and growth of ANME-2/Desulfosarcina/Desulfococcus clusters with the same morphology as those present in the original sediment inoculum. Hallam and co-workers used environmental genomic techniques on an enrichment of natural anaerobic methanotrophs to show that nearly all genes associated with methane production are present and associated with ANME-1 and ANME-2 organisms. A major finding in this regard is the high abundance of a distinct nickel compound in the Black Sea methanotrophic mats formed by ANME-1, which is related to the nickel cofactor of methylocoenzyme M reductase, the terminal enzyme of methanogenesis. As mentioned earlier, five strains of methanogens exhibited no sign of reverse methanogenesis under low H₂ conditions in a specially designed apparatus so whether the genes are expressed becomes a key issue.

Girguis et al. developed a continuous-flow bioreactor, the anaerobic methane incubation system (AMIS), that simulated in situ conditions and supported the metabolism and growth of anaerobic methanotrophic archaea. The ANME-1 and ANME-2 organisms differed in their response to pore water flow rates: the ANME-2 cells had the highest specific growth rates under low-flow conditions, while the ANME-1 cells had the highest specific growth rates under high flow conditions, corroborating field observations. These continuous flow bioreactors offer the potential for determining the reaction mechanisms as well as determining species-specific isotope fractionation factors.

These culture-independent studies have been applied to hydrothermally active sediments of the Guaymas Basin. This work demonstrated that relatives of the AMME-1 and ANME-2 organisms were present, and emphasized the high diversity among communities capable of anaerobic oxidation of methane. Recent results from Ocean Drilling Program Legs 201 and 204 at Hydrate Ridge and the Peru Margin showed that known methanotrophic archaea were not detectable but that representatives of the Deep-Sea Archaeal Group were the dominant phylotype associated with methane hydrates. Known methanotrophic archaea were also absent from Leg 201 (Peru) sediments, and methane oxidation appeared to be mediated by Marine Benthic Group B and the Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotal Group. Community turnover times of 100–2000 years and maintenance energies orders of magnitude lower than minima from laboratory observations were suggested.

### 8.3. Methane-Utilizing Communities

Levin reviewed the ecology of cold seep sediments and has presented isotopic evidence of chemosynthetic nutrition (anaerobic methane oxidation, aerobic oxidation of sulfate), as well as evidence that spatial distributions and community structure are related to flow rates or methane supply. Studies at Hydrate Ridge have shown that distinct chemosynthetic communities are arranged according to methane flux, as shown schematically in Figure 11. Mats of Beggiatoa, a sulfide-oxidizing bacterium, are present at the highest methane efflux and anaerobic oxidation rates (99 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹), clams of the genus Calyptogena function in AOM rates of 56 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, and bivalves of the genus Acharax reside within the sediments where the methane/sulfate transition is deeper and methane fluxes and oxidation rates are much lower (2.1 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹). Calyptogena and Acharax metabolism is based on sulfide-oxidizing bacteria, which are harbored in their gill tissues. These mollusks penetrate the reduced sediments with their feet to take up sulfide produced by anaerobic oxidation of methane, and the sulfide is oxidized in the gills by commensal sulfide-oxidizing bacteria. The efficiency of methane oxidation ranges from 66% in the Beggiatoa mats to 83% in the clam sites, so a fraction of the advective methane flux escapes to the ocean, where it creates a local oxygen demand. While sulfide-oxidizing benthic fauna are most common, organisms that oxidize methane directly have been observed. High concentrations of dissolved organic carbon observed in seep fluids from Hydrate Ridge raise the possibility that dissolved organic carbon may be an important additional energy and carbon source to “methane seep” communities.

Sea floor oxygen minimum zones typically occur at depths between 200 and 1000 m, where midwater oxygen minimum zones (O₂ < 0.5 mL L⁻¹) intersect the continental margin. The extent of naturally occurring hypoxic sediments has been estimated as 10⁶ km². Benthic organisms adapt to hypoxia, organic matter oxidation is decreased, and burial of organic matter is enhanced in these sediments.

### 9. Summary of Ocean Methane Sources and Sinks

Table 3 is intended as a compilation of recent estimates of ocean methane sources and sinks, methane standing stock, and turnover times derived from a handful of rate measurements. Since the entries are uncertain and also because there is a strong possibility of “double counting” both sources and sinks, no attempt is made to interpret the table entries. Ocean volume and the areas of various ocean depth intervals are from Menard and Smith. Under “Sources”, Table 3 considers fecal pellet disaggregation, escape from benthic methane-oxidizing communities, shelf additions, mud volcanoes, inputs from serpentinization, and inputs from hydrate dissociation. The magnitudes of the shelf addition and mud volcano entries were specifically identified as additions to the water column, not the atmosphere. These additions can dissolve, be oxidized, or be sequestered as hydrates before they reach the sediment/water.
| Table 3. Summary of Ocean Methane Sources, Sinks, Standing Stocks, and Specific Turnover Rates |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **SOURCES** |
| A. Fecal Pellet Disaggregation<sup>79,130</sup> |
| (2.3 μmol m<sup>−2</sup> day<sup>−1</sup>) × ocean area excluding adjacent seas (3.26 × 10<sup>8</sup> km<sup>3</sup>) |
| 2.74 × 10<sup>11</sup> mol year<sup>−1</sup> ⇒ 4.38 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| B. Escape from Methane-Utilizing Communities<sup>285,340,341</sup> |
| (See SINKS C below for consumption estimate) |
| *Beggiatoa* (less than 50% escapes) |
| Calyptogena (less than 15% escapes) |
| Acharax (none escapes) |
| 3.99 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| 0.66 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| 0 |
| C. Shelf Additions |
| (ref 353) (“passes through shelf seabed”) |
| (ref 210) |
| 8–65 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| 20 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| 35 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| D. Mud Volcanoes<sup>205,209</sup> |
| during quiescent periods |
| during eruptions |
| total |
| (added to water column, but subject to dissolution, sequestration as hydrates, and oxidation) |
| 13 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| 14 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| 27 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| E. Mid-Ocean Ridges, Serpentinization<sup>154</sup> |
| escape from Mid-Atlantic Ridge |
| increase 5-fold for world mid-ocean ridge system |
| 10<sup>9</sup> mol year<sup>−1</sup> |
| 5 × 10<sup>9</sup> mol year<sup>−1</sup> |
| 0.08 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| F. Hydrate Dissociation |
| Black Sea<sup>176</sup> |
| Eel River basin<sup>96</sup> |
| 0.53–0.84 mol m<sup>−2</sup> year<sup>−1</sup> |
| 5.2 mmol m<sup>−2</sup> year<sup>−1</sup> |
| **SINKS AND ANAEROBIC OXIDATION OF METHANE** |
| A. Deep Biosphere, Ocean Margins (ref 101) |
| ocean margin sites | SO<sub>4</sub><sup>−2</sup> flux to subsurface (mol cm<sup>−2</sup> year<sup>−1</sup>) | % due to AOM | over 0–4 km ocean area (Tg year<sup>−1</sup>) |
| 798B Japan Sea | 4.2 × 10<sup>−6</sup> | 80 | 9.42 × 10<sup>3</sup> |
| 6811 C Peru Margin | 8.1 × 10<sup>−7</sup> | 85 | 1.81 × 10<sup>3</sup> |
| 1175 Nankai Trough | 1.3 × 10<sup>−6</sup> | 43 | 1.48 × 10<sup>3</sup> |
| (ocean area: 0–4 km = 1.65.57 × 10<sup>8</sup> km<sup>2</sup>) |
| B. Anaerobic Methane Oxidation near the Sediment Surface<sup>10</sup> |
| depth interval |
| inner shelf (0–50 m) | 10<sup>12</sup> mol year<sup>−1</sup> | Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| outer shelf (50–200 m) | 4.0 | 73.6 |
| upper margin (200–1000 m) | 3.5 | 64.0 |
| lower margin (1000–4000) | 6.9 | 56.0 |
| sediment total | 304 | |
| seeps | 4.9 | 78.4 |
| total | 382.4 | |
| C. Consumption by Methane-Utilizing Communities<sup>209,259,285,341</sup> |
| Measured Consumption<sup>285</sup> (mol m<sup>−2</sup> year<sup>−1</sup>) | (Tg year<sup>−1</sup>) |
| *Beggiatoa* | 36.1 | |
| Calyptogena | 20 | |
| Acharax | 2.1 | |
| Consider ocean area within 0.2–4 km depth interval (1.38 × 10<sup>8</sup> km<sup>2</sup>) and 0.1% coverage: |
| Extended Consumption |
| *Beggiatoa* | 4.98 × 10<sup>12</sup> | 7.97 |
| Calyptogena | 2.76 × 10<sup>12</sup> | 4.42 |
| Acharax | 1 × 10<sup>11</sup> | 1.70 |
| D. Oxidation in Anoxic Water Columns |
| Cariaco Basin<sup>204</sup> |
| 14CH<sub>4</sub> budget | 0.25–0.28 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| (0.01 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> oxidized, balance results in water column concentration increase) |
| Black Sea<sup>176</sup> |
| 14CH<sub>4</sub> budget | 3.6–4.28 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| (time-dependent model) |
| 4.95–5.65 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| (Black Sea is in steady state: additions = oxidation) |
| E. Evasion to Atmosphere |
| ref 37 | 11–18 Tg year<sup>−1</sup> |
| **METHANE STANDING STOCK OR BURDEN** |
| open ocean (2 nM × ocean volume (1.35 × 10<sup>9</sup> km<sup>3</sup>)) ⇒ |
| 43.2 Tg |
| Black Sea<sup>176</sup> |
| 96 Tg |
| Cariaco Basin<sup>204</sup> |
| 7 × 10<sup>−4</sup> Tg |
| Eastern Tropical North Pacific<sup>197</sup> |
| ~0.3 Tg |
| **SPECIFIC TURNOVER RATES (AEROBIC)** |
| ref 78 | “apparent methane utilization” 0.15 to 10<sup>−6</sup> nM year<sup>−1</sup> |
| ref 94 | 14C-CH<sub>4</sub> tracer; detection limit 0.005 nM h<sup>−1</sup>; specific oxidation rates range from 0.01 to 0.15 day<sup>−1</sup> |
| ref 92 | 14C-CH<sub>4</sub> tracer; 0.01–0.06 day<sup>−1</sup> |
| ref 96 | 3H-CH<sub>4</sub> tracer; 0.67 year<sup>−1</sup> |
| ref 221 | modeled CFC-11, CH<sub>4</sub> measurements; 0.02 year<sup>−1</sup> |
| ref 154 | model result; 0.05 year<sup>−1</sup> |
interface. The global additions from submarine mud volcanoes are very uncertain because the number of mud volcanoes as well as their gas release is unknown. Additions from dissociating gas hydrates are also uncertain and are especially susceptible to double counting since this flux appears to support methane-utilizing communities. Fluxes estimated from the Black Sea budget and integrated Eel River Basin water column oxidation rates are shown.

Under “Sinks”, Table 3 distinguishes between methane oxidized deep in sediments, methane oxidized near the surface of sediments, methane oxidized by methane-utilizing benthic communities, and methane introduced to and oxidized in the water columns of anoxic basins. Table 3 builds on two previous estimates of the extent of anaerobic methane oxidation in sediments. The first estimate applied an average of depth-integrated measurements of anaerobic methane oxidation in diffusion-controlled systems to the ocean continental shelf area. This estimate was made before the discovery of vents and advective fluxes to the ocean, and it was based on only a few environments. The resulting 70 Tg year$^{-1}$ estimate is of historical interest and can only be considered a conservative end-member. The recent AOM estimate of Hinrichs and Boetius, uses a similar approach, multiplying averaged and depth-integrated methane oxidation rates by areas of four depth intervals. The increase in the number of observations considered in summaries of 1983, 2002, and this paper gives an indication of the attention AOM has received. Given the uncertainty in seep fluxes, the actual consumption flux could easily be larger. For benthic methane-utilizing communities, the measurements reported for Hydrate Ridge are used in Table 3 because they also provide information on methane escaping these communities. Similar communities have been observed in the Gulf of Mexico and adjacent Costa Rican mud extrusions. We have very little information on the areal extent of these methane-utilizing communities, so an areal coverage of 0.1% was used to scale-up the observations. The entries under A, B, and C represent the quantity of methane that is intercepted before it enters the ocean water column; it is removed and never becomes part of the water column methane inventory. The term for evasion to the atmosphere is from a recent comprehensive study.

Table 3 also presents methane standing stocks for the open ocean and two well-studied anoxic basins. Dividing the open ocean standing stock by estimated methane fluxes from mud volcanoes (27 Tg year$^{-1}$) and shelf additions (20 Tg year$^{-1}$) gives an estimated residence time based on additions of between 2 and 3 years. The reciprocal of measured and modeled specific turnover rates for the deep ocean (0.01–0.02 year$^{-1}$) gives a residence time based on removal of 50–100 years, a factor of over 10 and almost 100 lower. Reconciling these large differences in turnover rates on the basis of additions and removals, as well as showing how they result in the nanomolar methane concentrations observed in the ocean are the principal tasks of future work. The following section outlines future work aimed at addressing this mismatch.

### 10. Summary

This review shows that thermodynamic and kinetic constraints largely prevent large-scale methanogenesis in the open ocean water column. One example of open-ocean methanogenesis involves anoxic digestive tracts and fecal pellet microenvironments; methane released during fecal pellet disaggregation results in the mixed-layer methane maximum. However, the bulk of the methane in the ocean is added by coastal runoff, seeps, hydrothermal vents, decomposing hydrates, and mud volcanoes. Since methane is present in the open ocean at nanomolar concentrations, and since the flux to the atmosphere is small, the ultimate fate of ocean methane additions must be oxidation within the ocean. As indicated in the Introduction and highlighted in Table 3, sources of methane to the ocean water column are poorly quantified. There are only a small number of direct water column methane oxidation rates, so sinks are also poorly quantified. We know that methane oxidation rates are sensitive to ambient methane concentrations, but we have no information on reaction kinetics and only one report of the effect of pressure on methane oxidation.

Our perspective on methane sources and the extent of methane oxidation has been changed dramatically by new techniques involving gene probes, determination of isotopically depleted biomarkers, and recent $^{14}$C-CH$_4$ measurements showing that methane geochemistry in anoxic basins is dominated by seeps providing fossil methane. The role of anaerobic oxidation of methane has changed from a controversial curiosity to a major sink in anoxic basins and sediments.

### 11. Future Work

#### 11.1. Natural $^{14}$C Measurements on Ocean Water Column Methane

The recent measurements demonstrating that fossil methane from seeps is the major methane source to the Cariaco Basin and the Black Sea radiocarbon measurements on methane hydrates and observations of methane-utilizing benthic communities suggest that the fossil methane source to the ocean may be much larger than expected. The magnitudes of the vent and mud volcano sources, both fossil methane sources, make this a first-order problem with direct bearing on methane geochemistry as well as the role of the methane subcycle in the ocean CO$_2$ budget. As a first step, the fossil methane contribution can be evaluated with measurements of natural $^{14}$C-CH$_4$ in the coastal and open ocean water columns. The nanomolar methane background in the ocean results from extensive oxidation and extensive isotope fractionation can be expected, but $^{14}$C-CH$_4$ measurements are normalized to the same $\delta^{13}$C value and are unaffected by the extent of oxidation. Measurements of natural $^{14}$C-CH$_4$ will be challenging because of the nanomolar methane concentrations, as well as the requirement for low blanks and backgrounds. Even with the high sensitivity of AMS, samples of at least 10$^3$ L will be required to perform reliable analyses. Samples of 250 L have been extracted previously for measurements of $^{85}$Kr. Provided low $^{14}$C blanks and background values can be obtained, it may be possible to employ commercially available membrane gas exchange technology to extract enough methane for $^{14}$C measurement by AMS.

#### 11.2. Oxidation Rate Measurements

Through most of the brief history of oceanic methane geochemistry studies, the prevailing view has been that observed methane concentrations in the ocean represent a balance between methanogenesis (methane production) and methanotrophy (methane oxidation). A very small number
of direct methane oxidation rate measurements have led to our present view of the importance of methanotrophy. There are several reasons for this situation. Methane oxidation rate measurements do not lend themselves to a “kill and store” approach, and they must be performed at sea. The regulatory environment also plays a role in this situation; rate measurements using radioisotope tracers can only be performed in isolated isotope vans, and these require large ships and major expeditions. International shipment of radioisotopes is possible, but very difficult.

This situation could be improved by development of a technique using accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) technology to determine increases in $^{14}$CO$_2$ resulting from incubating samples spiked with highly diluted $^{14}$CH$_4$ tracer. This approach builds on and extends recent biomedical and terrestrial studies involving pulse—chase experiments with $^{14}$CO$_2$- and $^{14}$C-labeled compounds diluted to levels that do not require handling as radioactive waste ($\leq 50$ nCi/g or 1.85 Bq/g. 10 CFR.20.2005). This approach takes advantage of the high sensitivity and accuracy (0.3% of modern) of accelerator mass spectrometry, which measures $^{14}$C atoms individually rather than observing decay events by counting. Calculations indicate that these rate measurements are feasible in anoxic basins such as the Black Sea and Cariaco Basin with nominal oxidation rates of 0.4 nM day$^{-1}$ and micromolar methane concentrations. Use of tracers with activities of less than 50 nCi/g would simplify shipping and should permit wider application of these measurements in remote locations. Whether methane oxidation is a barophilic process should be confirmed to determine whether oxidation rate measurements will require in situ measurements$^{357}$ or incubation of samples from plumes$^{358}$ at in situ pressures to avoid large biases.

11.3. Mixed Layer Maximum

Processes in the surface methane maximum, particularly the fate of methane as it is transported from the subsurface maximum to a point where it can evade to the atmosphere, remain a key question. Initial approaches should involve methane oxidation rate measurements ($^3$H-CH$_4$ tracer) combined with parallel measurements of a natural conservative gas tracer with a similar removal time scale, such as $^{222}$Rn. We have the ability to make both measurements at concentrations encountered in the surface mixed layer of the ocean.

A recent report of acoustical detection of gas release by Atlantic and Pacific herring$^{359}$ should be examined as a potential methane source. Wild-caught captive herring produce distinctive bursts of pulses, fast repetitive tick (FRT) sounds, which have been associated by video analysis with bubble expulsion from the anal duct. Gulped air was excluded as a possibility, and whether the expelled gas originates in the gut or the swim bladder is not known. Methane could be present in either case, much as it is present in respired air from ruminants.

Measurements of natural $^{14}$C-CH$_4$ also have the potential to discriminate between and possibly quantify fecal pellet and coastal seep methane contributions. A careful study in the Eastern Tropical North Pacific, where fecal pellet contributions (modern?), coastal seep contributions (modern to fossil?), and open ocean (fossil?) conditions occur at a single station, would be invaluable.

11.4. Methane-Consuming Benthic Communities

Observations of methane fluxes around Beggiatoa mats and Calyptogena clam beds show that these communities exist within fairly narrow methane flux ranges. These communities function by oxidizing sulfide that is produced by anaerobic oxidation of methane. High methane fluxes result in a shallow methane/sulfate transition depth and favor the occurrence of Beggiatoa mats and Calyptogena clam beds. These observations are based on relatively new ROV and submersible technologies, and they have naturally attracted attention as individual sites. We need to understand the distribution and areal extent of these communities well enough to scale-up and make realistic methane oxidation and flux estimates. We also need to understand methane leakage from these communities into the water column as well as the role of elevated dissolved organic carbon reported recently in Hydrate Ridge vents supporting benthic methanotrophic communities.$^{346}$

11.5. Hydrate Dissociation

One key piece of missing information, central to understanding oceanic methane biogeochemistry, is the distribution as well as the basal rate of methane clathrate decomposition. Methane hydrates are a dynamic reservoir, and their decomposition is believed to be an important source of methane emissions from convergent margins. Direct observations under in situ conditions in methane-saturated sediments may be possible in the laboratory or field using ROVs. Recent studies of natural stable isotope distributions show that isotope fractionation accompanying oxidation is so large that it is impossible to assess fluxes. It is difficult to distinguish hydrate methane from thermogenic or petroleum-derived methane with measurements of $^{14}$C-CH$_4$. Direct measurements of hydrate dissociation rates are very difficult, so it appears that the most viable approach to estimating the basal hydrate decomposition rate lies in the continued development of heat transfer models.

11.6. Molecular Genetics, Reaction Mechanism, and Biomarkers

Reports of the application of biomarker and genomic techniques (FISH) have become so widespread as documentation of the existence of anaerobic oxidation of methane that they give the impression of being applied almost like litmus paper. These powerful, specific tools document the presence of communities capable of anaerobically oxidizing methane, but they provide no rate information. Fundamental questions remain, though. For example, the spectacular photomicrographs of aggregates of archaea surrounded by sulfate reducers$^{282}$ are comforting because such close proximity would facilitate interspecies transfer, an important, but unknown part of this consortium. But what about the archaea inside these aggregates? What is their substrate, and how is it supplied through a gauntlet of sulfate reducers? Why do the ANME-2 organisms occur in aggregates, while the ANME-1 organisms are solitary or mat-forming? What role do the ANME-3 organisms play? Is it a question of physiological differences or methane supply, or both? Finally, since the application of these techniques has become so widespread, the recent paper by Oremland and co-workers$^{317}$ should be required reading. This thoughtful paper emphasizes that genomic techniques do not provide all of the answers and that parallel geochemical and microbiological evidence is also needed. Absent any previous knowledge of anaerobic oxidation of methane, the methane-oxidizing communities observed solely with a community genomics approach would
seem to be aggregations of normally functioning methanogens. For example, a recent genomic study proved that "reverse methanogenesis" was the mechanism for AOM because the genome investigated contained sequences indicating enzymes common to methanogens. This work, however, failed to consider laboratory work showing the inability to induce reverse methanogenesis (H₂ production) in studies of five selected methanogen cultures under known and carefully controlled low H₂ partial pressures. Knowing the genome alone is fine, but a key question is whether it is expressed. Microbes capable of anaerobically oxidizing methane have not been isolated, but enrichments have been grown in vitro and in continuous-flow bioreactors, and these should facilitate studies aimed at determining the elusive mechanism.

Accelerator mass spectrometry technology could also play a role in elucidating the reaction mechanism for AOM by determining whether acetate is an intermediate in anaerobic oxidation of methane (section 7.2.4). Both of the mechanisms proposed can be tested experimentally, as the light isotopic signature of methane would be reflected in acetate. Under natural conditions, however, acetate turns over so rapidly that sampling quantities of acetate sufficient for analysis is difficult. Analysis of labeled acetate following tracer additions of ¹⁴C-CH₄ would be difficult with conventional counting, but the high sensitivity of accelerator mass spectrometry offers a possible means of determining radiocarbon resulting from methane oxidation in micromolar acetate concentrations.

Measurements of ¹³C-depleted biomarker molecules are also approaching litmus paper status as evidence of the presence of AOM. In particular, they have been regarded as a "smoking gun" and have been cited as proof that methane, which was characteristically depleted in ¹³C (high negative δ¹³C values), is the substrate for the organisms that synthesize the biomarkers. This is probably true, but isotopically light methane is a sufficient condition, not a necessary and sufficient condition, for synthesis of ¹³C-depleted biomarkers. Methanogenesis by noncompetitive substrates could change the interpretation dramatically. The recent papers by Alperin and Hoehler also deserve a place on the required reading list. Laboratory studies with isotopically heavy methane as substrate, similar to the recent work by Blumenberg and co-workers, should continue when opportunities arise.

11.7. Sensors

The early studies of methane plumes observed near spreading centers and mud volcanoes were documented by samples taken from bottle casts—samples collected by essentially "flying blind". More recent studies use remotely operated vehicles to guide and perform sampling. A rapid-response sensor capable of analyzing methane in situ at the ≤5 nM level would be invaluable in documenting the presence and fate of methane emitted from hydrothermal plumes and mud volcanoes. Commercial methane sensors are available, but they involve membranes and have slow response as well as long recovery times following encounters with high methane concentrations. It may be possible to employ an in situ equilibration system or a device involving immobilized enzymes to develop rapid-response, high-sensitivity methane sensors. We presume that methane from plumes is rapidly oxidized, but one study of the Håkon Mosby mud volcano documents physical dilution with undetectable oxidation.

11.8. Mud Volcanoes

So little is known about the numbers of mud volcanoes, that they fall more under the purview of geophysical surveys than methane geochemistry. Enumerating mud volcanoes will require extensive seismic surveys. Once located, monitoring methane emission from representative mud volcanoes should involve long-term deployment of in situ instruments: initially, temperature sensors and, possibly, flow sensors. In situ microprofilers have been deployed and will play a central role in future work. Approaches employing atmospheric measurements that allow budgets and partitioning of emissions should be used when possible. A recent example of this approach applied to a blowout in the Santa Barbara Basin is given by Leifer et al.
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13. Note Added in Proof

A recent study (Karl, D. M.; Beversdorf, L.; Björkman, K.; Church, M.; DeLong, E. F. Aerobic Production of Methane in the Sea, submitted) provides new information on the mixed layer methane maximum (section 5.2 Methanogenesis Involving Noncompetitive Substrates and section 5.3 Microenvironments and the Ocean Methane Paradox). This work documents aerobic production of methane by a novel unrecognized pathway, decomposition of methylphosphonate. Phosphonates contain a carbon-phosphorus (C-P) bond, rather than the more common carbon-oxygen-phosphorus (C-O-P) bond. When methylphosphonate is used as a phosphorus source in phosphate-stressed habitats, principally the tropical ocean mixed layer, methane is quantitatively released. The ocean methane paradox could be resolved if methylphosphonate supplied only 1–2% of the daily organic phosphorus flux.

14. References
Sea Res. A
1983
Coast. Shelf. Sci.
Oceanogr.
Cosmochim. Acta
1802.
Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol.
published before 2000; AOM is used for subsequent work.
German laboratories. AMO is used in this paper for describing work
oxidation of methane, AOM, associates anaerobic mainly with
iron. Microbiol.
Chem. Geol.
2004
35
347.
Nature (London)
1983
1511.
Nature (London)
1957
816.
Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta
1984
1511.
Geochim.
Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
2005
67
627.
Nature (London)
1998
129.
Nature (London)
2000
2069.
Naturwissenschaften
2000
1277.
Nature (London)
2000
490.
Naturwissenschaften
2000
1013.
Nature (London)
2000
235.
Nature (London)
1983
67
69.
Nature (London)
1980
709.
Naturwissenschaften
2000
4267.
Nature (London)
2000
293.
Nature (London)
2000
86
87.
Nature (London)
2000
49.
Nature (London)
2000
69.
Nature (London)
2000
1013.
Nature (London)
2000
2069.
Naturwissenschaften
2000
1277.
Nature (London)
2000
490.
Naturwissenschaften
2000
1013.
Nature (London)
2000
235.
Nature (London)
1983
67
69.
Nature (London)
1980
709.
Naturwissenschaften
2000
4267.
Nature (London)
2000
293.
Nature (London)
2000
86
87.
Nature (London)
2000
49.
Nature (London)
2000
69.
Nature (London)
2000
1013.
Nature (London)
2000
235.
Nature (London)
1983
67
69.
Nature (London)
1980
709.
Naturwissenschaften
2000
4267.
Nature (London)
2000
293.
Nature (London)
2000
86
87.
Nature (London)
2000
49.
Nature (London)
2000
69.
Nature (London)
2000
1013.
Nature (London)
2000
235.
Nature (London)
1983
67
69.
Nature (London)
1980
709.
Naturwissenschaften
2000
4267.
Nature (London)
2000
293.
Nature (London)
2000
86
87.
Nature (London)
2000
49.
Nature (London)
2000
69.
Nature (London)
2000
1013.
Nature (London)
2000
235.
Nature (London)
1983
67
69.
Nature (London)
1980
709.
Naturwissenschaften
2000
4267.
Nature (London)
2000
293.
Nature (London)
2000
86
87.
Nature (London)
2000
49.
Nature (London)
2000
69.
Nature (London)
2000
1013.
Nature (London)
2000
235.
