Introduction

The respiratory system includes the lung and the chest wall, in series, and the overall mechanical behavior depends on the mechanical characteristics of its components and their interactions [1]. The common increase in the elastance (decrease in compliance) of the whole respiratory system in acute lung injury (ALI) and in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) has traditionally been attributed to the lung component. It has long been reported, however, that the chest wall elastance was also altered in many cases [2–5]. Recently, mainly due to the increased concern for the abdominal pressure, more attention has been paid to the chest wall mechanics in critically ill patients [6,7]. The problems of the mechanical impairment of the chest wall and its consequences are now widely recognized. The present review will focus on the dimension of these problems and their consequences in the critically ill patient.

Respiratory mechanics: general concepts

When partitioning the respiratory mechanics into its lung and chest wall components, it is convenient to refer to elastance instead of compliance. The total elastance of the respiratory system is the pressure required to inflate it 1 l above its resting position. This is, the applied airway pressure, is spent in part to inflate the lung and in part to inflate the chest wall. The chest wall comprises the anterior and posterior thoracic cage walls and the diaphragm, which is the ‘abdominal component’. Indeed, in static conditions, when the airway resistance is nil:

$$P_{aw} = P_{l} + P_{pl}$$ (1)

and

$$E_{tot} = E_{l} + E_{cw}$$ (2)
where $P_{aw}$ is the (static) airway pressure, $P_l$ is the transpulmonary pressure, $P_{pl}$ is the pleural pressure, $E_{tot}$ is the total respiratory system elastance, $E_l$ is the lung elastance, and $E_{cw}$ is the chest wall elastance.

On the basis of these classical equations it is easy to grasp the mechanical interaction between the lung and the chest wall. First, however, it is important to recall that the concept of ‘transmission’ of alveolar pressure to the thoracic cavity is misleading [8]. Let us assume that we inflate an isolated lung at an alveolar pressure of 10, 15 or 20 cmH₂O. The pressure measured at the pleural surface will always be 0 cmH₂O (i.e. atmospheric pressure) because the alveolar pressure is not ‘transmitted’. When the thoracic cage surrounds the lungs as they are inflated, however, the cage has to change its volume. The lungs ‘push’ the thoracic cage, and the pressure generated by the interaction between the lung and the chest wall, which may have different elasticities, is the pleural pressure. If we consider that the pressure is ‘transmitted’, then the pleural pressure would depend on the airway pressure and the lung elasticity (the stiffer the lung, the lower the transmission). However, this approach ignores the contribution of the chest wall.

If the thoracic cage is ‘soft’ the pleural pressure generated to drive it will be low, but if the thoracic cage is ‘stiff’ then a higher pleural pressure will be needed (Fig. 1). The distending force of the lung is the pressure difference between the alveoli and pleural pressure (the transpulmonary pressure), while the distending force of the thoracic cage is the pleural pressure to which all of the intrathoracic structures, such as the heart and the intrathoracic vessels, are subjected. In mathematical terms, because of and by rearranging equations 1 and 2, it follows that:

$$P_{pl} = P_{aw} \times \frac{E_{cw}}{E_{tot}} \quad (3)$$

and

$$P_l = P_{aw} \times \frac{E_l}{E_{tot}} \quad (4)$$

The pleural pressure depends on the pressure applied to the airways, and on the ratio between the chest wall elastance and the total elastance of the respiratory system, which is the sum of the chest wall elastance and the lung elastance (see equation 2). In normal conditions this ratio is about 0.5 at functional residual capacity, because the chest wall elastance and the lung elastance are similar. In ARDS, however, the elastance ratio may vary from 0.2 to 0.8 [6,8,9]. It is thus clear that, for the same applied airway pressure (let us say 30 cmH₂O) and with a chest wall elastance/tot respiratory system elastance ratio of 0.5, the transpulmonary pressure will be 15 cmH₂O and the pleural pressure will be the same. If the ratio is 0.2, however, the transpulmonary pressure will be 24 cmH₂O but the pleural pressure will be 6 cmH₂O, while if the ratio is 0.8 the transpulmonary pressure will only be 6 cmH₂O and the pleural pressure will be 24 cmH₂O.

These simple calculations illustrate the importance of knowing the mechanical characteristics of both the lung and the chest wall. The same airway pressure may generate dramatically different transpulmonary pressures and pleural pressures, with marked consequences on lung distension (mainly a function of transpulmonary pressure) and on hemodynamics (partly a function of pleural pressure).

We shall now look at the available tools to measure the pleural pressure, the causes of pleural pressure increases and the clinical consequences of elevated pleural pressure.

### Measuring pleural pressure and intra-abdominal pressure

The only method available in clinical practice to measure the pleural pressure is the measurement of the changes of esophageal pressure as detected by an esophageal balloon [10,11]. Unfortunately, the pressure measured in the esophageal balloon does not reflect the absolute value of the pleural pressure. In animal experiments in which we measured the pleural pressures directly by wafers in non-dependent lung regions, in middle lung regions and in dependent lung regions in the supine position, in both healthy lungs and in edematous lungs, we found that esophageal pressure was a good estimate of the real pleural pressure in the middle lung but that it overestimated the nondependent pleural pressure and underestimated the dependent pleural pressure [12]. It is worth pointing out, however, that the differences in pressure recorded in the esophageal balloon closely match the differences in pleural pressure [13]. Although it must always be remembered that the esophageal pressure is only an estimate of the real pleural pressure, which varies in the different lung regions, we strongly believe that esophageal pressure measurement is sufficiently informative in the clinical scenario (i.e. to estimate the change in pressure).

We may also wonder when this measurement is indicated in ALI/ARDS patients. As the chest wall impairment in these patients is usually due to an abnormal increase in intra-abdominal pressure [6,14], in clinical practice we measure the esophageal pressure when the intra-abdominal pressure is altered. We in fact found that chest wall elastance increases linearly with the intra-abdominal pressure according to the following equation: $E_{cw} = 0.47 \times$ intra-abdominal pressure (cmH₂O) $+ 1.43$ [6].

Accordingly, the pleural pressure/intra-abdominal pressure relationship may be estimated as:

$$P_{pl} = P_{aw} \times \frac{(0.47 \times \text{intra-abdominal pressure} + 1.43) / (0.47 \times \text{intra-abdominal pressure} + 1.43 + \text{lung elastance})}$$

It is perhaps appropriate at this stage to briefly discuss the relationship between the intra-abdominal pressure and the pleural pressure. It is important to understand what the
The independent variable is, because the relationship is changed when the independent variable is the intra-abdominal pressure or when it is the intrathoracic pressure (pleural pressure). In addition, the diaphragm elastance plays a key role in this relationship.

We routinely measure intra-abdominal pressure in critically ill patients admitted to the intensive care unit, because the incidence of an abnormal increase in intra-abdominal pressure occurs in 24–30% of these patients [7,15]. As the intra-abdominal pressure levels that start to impair the chest wall elastance cannot be clinically assessed [16], we estimate the intra-abdominal pressure by measuring the bladder pressure [7,17,18], which is considered the best approach in clinical practice.

The ‘normal’ intra-abdominal pressure during spontaneous breathing in healthy subjects is approximately 0 mmHg, while in mechanically ventilated patients this pressure is higher (range, 5–8 mmHg) [7,19].

**Causes of chest wall impairment**

Several factors may affect the chest wall mechanics. The anatomical configuration of the thoracic cage, being overweight or pleural effusion can all increase, to various degrees, the chest wall elastance in sedated and paralyzed patients [19–23]. However, the most common causes of increased chest wall elastance in ALI/ARDS patients are abdominal diseases (such as bowel distension, ascites, hemoperitoneum).

Along with other workers [14], we have found striking differences in the chest wall mechanics between patients with pulmonary ARDS, usually due to diffuse pneumonia, and those with extrapulmonary ARDS, usually due to abdominal diseases [6]. Although the total respiratory system elastance was similar in both groups, the pleural pressure was normal in pulmonary ARDS patients but abnormally high in extrapulmonary ARDS patients. This was linearly correlated with the increase of intra-abdominal pressure [2]. The presence of abdominal diseases (as well as obesity) in critically ill patients with ALI/ARDS should be a drive for careful investigation of their respiratory mechanics.

Moreover, the differences we found in chest wall elastance in pulmonary ARDS patients and in extrapulmonary ARDS patients do represent a general trend. An individual patient with pulmonary ARDS may have a concomitant increase in intra-abdominal pressure.

**Physiopathological consequences**

For a given applied airway pressure, as previously mentioned, the pleural pressure increases when the chest wall elastance is elevated. Accordingly, the transpulmonary pressure (the distending force of the lung) drops. We shall now discuss the respiratory and hemodynamic consequences of high pleural pressure.

**Respiratory system**

The key point is that, for a given applied pressure, the transpulmonary pressure falls when the pleural pressure rises (see equation 1). This may have important implications in understanding some of the differences in the presentation of ALI/ARDS and in setting the ventilator in these patients.
Patients with pulmonary ARDS and patients with extra-
pulmonary ARDS have different mechanical behavior, different lung morphology and different positive end-expiratory pressure response [6,14,2,24–26]. The chest wall elastance differences explain most of these different behavioral patterns. Extrapulmonary ARDS patients have diffuse lung edema due to inflammatory mediators originating in extrapulmonary foci [24]. The increase in lung weight causes compression atelectasis of the dependent lung regions [27]. Pulmonary ARDS patients, on the contrary, tend to have less homogeneous lung alteration. The main feature in these patients is the consolidation of some lung regions instead of lung collapse.

As an example, the ‘safe’ airway plateau pressure between 30 and 35 cmH₂O may give widely differing transpulmonary pressures [28–30] in patients with normal or increased chest wall elastance. In the extrapulmonary ARDS patients, the elevated pleural pressure caused by increased chest wall elastance will cause the transpulmonary pressure to be far lower than in pulmonary ARDS patients with normal elastance.

Two factors contribute to the lung collapse in extrapulmonary ARDS patients. The first is due to the nature of the main pathological alteration (interstitial edema [31]), and the second factor is due to the high chest wall elastance, leading to a lower transpulmonary pressure. This diffuse collapse associated with interstitial edema and lower transpulmonary pressure leads to a different morphological pattern (with the prevalence of ground glass opacification) in extrapulmonary ARDS patients compared with the consolidation usually prevalent in pulmonary ARDS patients [25,26]. The potential for recruitment is also greater in extrapulmonary ARDS patients than in pulmonary ARDS patients [6,32,33].

The increased chest wall elastance may also be important in the pathogenesis of ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI). As this is probably due to the excessive and unphysiological strain on the lung structures, which in turn depends on the applied transpulmonary pressure [34], we may expect more VILI for a given applied pressure when the chest wall elastance is normal. VILI is in fact worse with an open chest (zero chest wall elastance [35]) than in the conditions in which the chest wall elastance is increased (as in experiments in which the thoracic cage was artificially constrained) [36]. It is possible, however, that the collapsed tissue would also be less prevalent in the presence of high transpulmonary pressure. Consequently, the average strain might be the same or lower.

As the transpulmonary pressure is the trigger of VILI, if we take into account the chest wall elastance then the differences between barotrauma and volotrauma vanish. The barotrauma was in fact attributed to the applied airway pressure. What is important, however, is not this pressure but the transpulmonary pressure applied to the lung structures \( (P_{aw} - P_l) \), which in turn causes the strain.

Some contradictory data arising from randomized studies with different tidal volumes [29,37–39] can be explained if we take into account the transpulmonary pressure. The same tidal volume, depending on the total elastance of the respiratory system and on the chest wall elastance/total respiratory system elastance ratio, might result in a completely different transpulmonary pressure [34], and consequently result in different VILI.

The chest wall elastance has to be taken into account when performing recruitment maneuvers. What is also important for lung opening in this case is the transpulmonary pressure and not the airway pressure. If the opening pressure of some lung regions are of the order of 25–30 cmH₂O transpulmonary pressure (sticky atelectasis [25,33]), then the airway pressure applied to reach this target will be completely different in patients with normal or abnormal chest wall elastance [8,32,33].

Changes in chest wall elastance also dictate the oxygenation response to the prone position. Pelosi and colleagues [40] and Guerin and colleagues [41] showed that the greater the decrease in chest wall compliance during the prone position, the greater the increase in oxygenation. Moreover, it has been shown that extrapulmonary ARDS patients have a greater potential for oxygenation improvement in the prone position than do pulmonary ARDS patients [42]. These findings are plausible in the light of the chest wall elastance changes; an increase of chest wall elastance in the prone position presumably leads to a more even distribution of the ventilation in pulmonary ARDS patients, while the changes in regional transpulmonary pressure in extrapulmonary ARDS patients explain the lung density redistributions and the better oxygenation in the prone position [43].

**Hemodynamics and lung edema**

The increased pleural pressure may lower the cardiac output by reducing the venous return and the cardiac volume [44]. The evaluation of hemodynamics therefore calls for special care in cases of increased intra-abdominal pressure [7,45]. Moreover, both the central venous pressure and the wedge pressure may appear ‘falsely’ elevated in the presence of increased pleural pressure [7].

In a recent series of experiments in pigs in which edema was induced by oleic acid and the pleural pressure was changed by pneumoperitoneum, we found a decrease in gas volume due to a decreased transpulmonary pressure [46]. However, this was associated with an almost 100% increase of pulmonary edema. This effect may possibly be due to the blood shift induced by the abdominal pressure increase, which in turn may favor edema formation in a ‘leaking’ lung. A decrease of the edema clearance due to the increased pleural pressure is another coexisting possibility.
Conclusion
We suggest that a rational approach to the treatment of ALI/ARDS requires the knowledge of both lung elastance and chest wall elastance. Although not routinely carried out, we firmly believe that the measurement of the intra-abdominal pressure, the leading cause of chest wall impairment, should be performed.
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