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Abstract Sports training is a complex phenomenon which, to be effective for the planned purposes, must consider all the aspects that make it up. Agility, rapid strength, and reactive strength are factors that contribute, at various levels and in an integrated mood, to the achievement of sporting performance. Often, these aspects are considered separately and not always satisfactory results in the competitive phase, as there is not sufficient knowledge of the relationship between agility and levels of explosive and reactive strength. The aim was to show how these factors (agility, explosive and reactive strength) had interactions with each other that could be exploited in the development and refinement phase. The study was conducted on a sample of 30 students from the University of Salerno who were administered a battery of instrumental tests through the Optojump platform to recruit quantitative data regarding explosive strength, reactive strength, and agility. One way, Anova and post hoc Bonferroni were performed as statistical tools to analyze data. The results showed a significant difference between the groups (p <0.001) regarding the jump height in the tests performed. Bonferroni's test for multiple comparisons found insignificant differences between only two variables: SJ and CMJ. The results may be useful to sports training professionals and athletes to improve sports training programs.
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1. Introduction

Rapid strength, reactive strength, and agility represent complex psychophysical abilities [1]. They are important characteristics present in most physical and sports actions that presuppose a good level of development of structural and coordinative elements. The development of these characteristics is fundamental for achieving success in both individual and team sports [2]. Rapid strength represents the ability of the neuromuscular system to develop tension in a short time. Explosive strength, a component of rapid force, refers to the acceleration or rate of force development, or the ability of the neuromuscular system to generate high levels of force in a short period [3, 4]. Reactive strength represents the body's ability to achieve the maximum level of concentric force in a very short time after a braking or eccentric movement [5]. Finally, agility is defined as the ability to start, stop and change direction quickly, or the ability to change direction quickly and accurately [6, 7] in response to a stimulus [8]. It can take many forms, from simple leg movement actions to full-body movement actions in the opposite direction while running at high speed. Therefore, agility represents a complex feature that involves not only speed but also balances, coordination, and the ability to react to a change in the environment [9, 10, 11]. This ability is an important component in most sports, such as field sports [12, 13]. It is therefore clear that both the explosive and the reactive strength, linked to intramuscular and intermuscular coordination mechanisms, could influence the development and expression of agility.

It is therefore important for performance scholars,
coaches, and athletes to have more knowledge on the relationships between these characteristics that allow sports actions, to develop adequate training protocols. Training programs do not always guarantee the simultaneous improvement of the three parameters and understanding the possible reasons would lead to the optimization of the programs themselves. Several authors who have conducted studies on youth sports athletes have significantly enriched the knowledge about the improvement of strength and agility. In particular, Sporiš et al. [14] were interested in the correlation between speed, agility, and rapidity in young elite footballers divided by role, showing different values for each role. Horička et al. [15], on the other hand, demonstrated differences in agility levels between different sports specialties. However, research on the correlation between agility and levels of rapid and reactive strength is limited. Many authors [16] agree that the possible cause could lie in the fact that the training methods for these three skills are specific to each of them and therefore not very transferable between them. As agility is a transversal ability related to both structural (muscle strength levels, stiffness, etc.) and coordinative characteristics, its development is linked to the correct identification of exercises and workloads.

However, the possible relationship between these three parameters, rapid strength, reactive strength, and agility, is still not understood. This relationship may change among different target populations, athletes, active, and inactive, and thus should be approached more gradually and carefully. The aim of the following study was a greater comprehension of the variables that most influence the expression of agility and, therefore, finding the relationship between the dependent variable, the agility, and the independent variables, rapid and reactive strength in the population of active students.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants’ Characteristics

The study took into consideration a sample of 30 students, randomly selected, of the second year of the Bachelor’s degree in sports sciences at the University of Salerno. These students were aged between 20 and 25 years (M ± SD = age, 21.97 ± 1.37 years old; height, 1.77 ± 0.9 meters, weight, 73.8 ± 15.03 kg; BMI 23.13 ± 3.13 kg/m²). They all played sports at various levels in different disciplines (volleyball, swimming, soccer, martial arts). None of them was a professional athlete. Before undergoing the study, the sample subjects signed informed consent for the processing of personal data.

2.2. Test Procedures

A battery of four tests was administered to the samples to enroll data relating to explosive strength, reactive strength, coordination, and agility. The collected raw data were processed and described using specific processing tools. The test battery was administered after an adequate warm-up phase, in the following order:

- Squat Jump test (SJ), to measure explosive strength, which consisted in making a vertical jump starting from a squatting position and keeping the hands on the hips.
- Countermovement jump squat test (CMJ) to measure reactive strength, which consisted of a vertical jump performed immediately after a squat movement. This test was performed in two different ways to enroll two types of data. In the first case, it was performed by placing the hands on the hips which must be held for the entire duration of the test. This test measured the explosive strength referring only to the action of the lower limbs. The second case always involved a vertical jump after a squat phase, but with the difference that the arms were free. In this way, coordinative elements of the arms were added to the reactive action of the lower limbs, which determined, more often than not, an advantage that improved the gesture in terms of results.
- BFS 5 Jump Test - Dot Drill protocol to measure agility. It consisted in completing in the shortest possible time a series of leaps without interruption in various directions. Specifically, the subject entered the area bounded by the bars, placing one foot on circle A and one foot on circle B; at the start beep, the subject quickly jumped with feet together on C, then spreading his feet jumped on D and E and retraced the path backward until the conclusion beep. The recorded data represented the heights of the jumps. For the BFS test, the average of the jump heights was recorded.

2.3. Equipment

The instrumentation used to recruit the above data is represented by an optical sensing system, namely Optojump Microgate. It was of an optical system consisting of a transmit bar and a received bar, each of which was composed of 96 LEDs. The two bars were arranged in parallel to create an area within which the sample units performed tests. The system detected any interruptions in the beam by detecting their duration. Flight and contact times could thus be recorded with an accuracy of 1/1000th of a second. These data, processed by dedicated software, allowed to obtain a series of parameters related to the performance of the tested subject.

The area delimited by the bars consisted of a rectangle measuring 90 cm x 60 cm; inside the rectangle, there were 5 circles of size 10 cm as in Fig. 1.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the data
by calculating the central tendency and dispersion indices. Before proceeding with the other elaborations, a Shapiro Wilk test was used to verify if the data were normally distributed, to apply parametric tests. A one-way ANOVA was performed to compare the data of groups and to determine if there were significant differences between the means. In addition, a Bonferroni post-hoc test was performed to verify which averages differed from the others. The significance level was fixed at $P < 0.05$. All statistical tests were conducted through IBM SPSS.

**Figure 1.** Test area
3. Results

The jump heights relative to each variable were measured. Table 1 showed the data obtained from the four tests administered to the 30 samples. The data referred to jump heights for each trial expressed in centimeters (cm).

The normality of the data was shown through the Shapiro-Wilk test. Table 2 showed the results of the one-way variance analysis conducted with SPSS. These results led to the rejection of the null hypothesis of equality of the means between the groups with a high ratio between variances \( F = 147.151 \) and a \( p < 0.05 \).

### Table 1. Test results with Optojump (values in cm)

| Subjects | Jump Squat Test (JS) | Countermovement Jump Squat (CMJ) | Counter Movement Jump Squat free arms (CMJ-FA) | BFS 5 JUMP TEST (average height jumps) |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1        | 19.1                 | 19.7                             | 24.3                                          | 4.19                                   |
| 2        | 20.3                 | 22.4                             | 29.8                                          | 4.72                                   |
| 3        | 23.7                 | 25.6                             | 30                                            | 6.56                                   |
| 4        | 32.9                 | 32.6                             | 39.1                                          | 5.16                                   |
| 5        | 15                   | 15.7                             | 18.2                                          | 3.30                                   |
| 6        | 20.6                 | 23.4                             | 25.2                                          | 7.29                                   |
| 7        | 26.2                 | 26.7                             | 28.2                                          | 5.53                                   |
| 8        | 27.3                 | 28.2                             | 31.8                                          | 6.82                                   |
| 9        | 17.9                 | 18.3                             | 21.8                                          | 5.30                                   |
| 10       | 26.9                 | 285                              | 40                                            | 4.17                                   |
| 11       | 23.5                 | 24.4                             | 32.1                                          | 2.61                                   |
| 12       | 33.2                 | 35.5                             | 41.2                                          | 4.51                                   |
| 13       | 32.1                 | 33.3                             | 41.7                                          | 4.01                                   |
| 14       | 16.5                 | 17.6                             | 22.5                                          | 3.44                                   |
| 15       | 30                   | 315                              | 36                                            | 3.95                                   |
| 16       | 19.6                 | 20.7                             | 27.5                                          | 4.07                                   |
| 17       | 25.2                 | 27.5                             | 36.1                                          | 2.78                                   |
| 18       | 21.9                 | 23.2                             | 33.5                                          | 2.68                                   |
| 19       | 25.9                 | 26.5                             | 27.4                                          | 7.44                                   |
| 20       | 18.2                 | 20.1                             | 31.3                                          | 4.14                                   |
| 21       | 33.6                 | 35.6                             | 45.6                                          | 2.96                                   |
| 22       | 26.1                 | 25.5                             | 22.4                                          | 6.55                                   |
| 23       | 25.2                 | 27.3                             | 26.2                                          | 6.66                                   |
| 24       | 26.3                 | 27.2                             | 27.5                                          | 4.74                                   |
| 25       | 24.3                 | 27                               | 28.8                                          | 5.08                                   |
| 26       | 24.1                 | 25.6                             | 35.1                                          | 4.21                                   |
| 27       | 34.5                 | 353                              | 44.7                                          | 4.19                                   |
| 28       | 30.2                 | 32.1                             | 43.3                                          | 3.41                                   |
| 29       | 29.8                 | 31.6                             | 461                                           | 5.12                                   |
| 30       | 28.3                 | 30.3                             | 32.3                                          | 4.10                                   |

Mean ± SD 25.28 ± 5.35 26.3 ± 5.44 32.32 ± 7.69 4.66 ± 1.37

### Table 2. ANOVA results

| Source       | df   | SS      | MS      | F          | p         |
|--------------|------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Between groups | 3    | 13181,14 | 4393,71 | 147,151    | <.001     |
| Within groups  | 116  | 3463,58  | 29,86   |            |           |
| Total         | 119  | 16644,73 |         |            |           |

### Table 3. Post-hoc Bonferroni

| (I) TEST | (J) TEST | Sig. |
|----------|----------|------|
| SJ       | CMJ      | 1.000|
| SJ       | CMJ-FA   | <.001|
| CMJ      | SJ       | 1.000|
| CMJ-FA   | SJ      | <.001|
| BFS 5    | CMJ     | <.001|
| BFS 5    | CMJ-FA  | <.001|
| BFS 5    | SJ      | <.001|
| BFS 5    | CMJ     | <.001|
| BFS 5    | CMJ-FA  | <.001|

The analysis of variance, however, did not allow conclusions to be reached about which and how many
Many studies [17, 18, 19] confirmed that combined and coordinative aspects (strength, speed, reactivity, transversal improvement, where both structural and transversal ability, should include planning for its various physical and sports skills. Agility, being a transversal ability, should include planning for its transversal improvement, where both structural and coordinative aspects (strength, speed, reactivity, coordination, perception) are considered in the right dose. Many studies [17, 18, 19] confirmed that combined and integrated training in different contexts produced greater effects on agility improvement than single-mode training with little variable environments. Markovic et al., for example, showed that sprint training improves the strength, power, and agility of the leg extensors [20]. The programs for the development of explosiveness, a component of a rapid force and reactive force, according to the results of the study, follow parallel paths, each with its characteristics. These expressions of force have different characteristics, and therefore, different strategies are used for their development. Exercises that provide acceleration are used for explosive strength to stress maximum force development in a short time. One strategy is represented by the improvement of the rate of force production [21] with neural adaptations that allow a greater speed of recruitment of motor units, especially those with rapid contraction [22]. Reactive strength training exploits the stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) that allows the accumulation of elastic energy within the muscle and its elastic components during a braking movement that allows a subsequent greater concentric contraction as long as this occurs in a short time [23, 24].

4. Discussion

The purpose of the study was to identify the difference between the results of the four tests and to verify if this difference had a statistical significance. The results showed a significant difference between the mean results of almost all tests. Only the Squat jump test and Counter Movement Jump Squat used to test explosive strength and reactive strength, respectively, showed no significant difference. These results may suggest useful insights for programming various physical and sports skills. Agility, being a transversal ability, should include planning for its transversal improvement, where both structural and coordinative aspects (strength, speed, reactivity, coordination, perception) are considered in the right dose. Many studies [17, 18, 19] confirmed that combined and integrated training in different contexts produced greater effects on agility improvement than single-mode training with little variable environments. Markovic et al., for example, showed that sprint training improves the strength, power, and agility of the leg extensors [20]. The programs for the development of explosiveness, a component of a rapid force and reactive force, according to the results of the study, follow parallel paths, each with its characteristics. These expressions of force have different characteristics, and therefore, different strategies are used for their development. Exercises that provide acceleration are used for explosive strength to stress maximum force development in a short time. One strategy is represented by the improvement of the rate of force production [21] with neural adaptations that allow a greater speed of recruitment of motor units, especially those with rapid contraction [22]. Reactive strength training exploits the stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) that allows the accumulation of elastic energy within the muscle and its elastic components during a braking movement that allows a subsequent greater concentric contraction as long as this occurs in a short time [23, 24].

5. Conclusions

The study showed significant differences in almost all parameters, except for Jump Squat and Counter Movement Jump Squat. The study gave useful ideas for application in the physical and sports fields for better training planning and programming of training. In planning and programming training, the professional performance must consider the greatest number of variables that can affect the training result to improve performance and limit injuries. This study can represent an aid for the choice of exercises and methodologies to be introduced in a program within athletic training.
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