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In mammals and birds, long episodes of nondreaming sleep (“slow-wave” sleep, SW) are followed by short episodes of dreaming sleep (“rapid-eye-movement” sleep, REM). Both SW and REM sleep have been shown to be important for the consolidation of newly acquired memories, but the underlying mechanisms remain elusive. Here we review electrophysiological and molecular data suggesting that SW and REM sleep play distinct and complementary roles on memory consolidation: While postacquisition neuronal reverberation depends mainly on SW sleep episodes, transcriptional events able to promote long-lasting memory storage are only triggered during ensuing REM sleep. We also discuss evidence that the wake-sleep cycle promotes a postsynaptic propagation of memory traces away from the neural sites responsible for initial encoding. Taken together, our results suggest that basic molecular and cellular mechanisms underlie the reverberation, storage, and propagation of memory traces during sleep. We propose that these three processes alone may account for several important properties of memory consolidation over time, such as deeper memory encoding within the cerebral cortex, incremental learning several nights after memory acquisition, and progressive hippocampal disengagement.

Experience-dependent neuronal reverberation during SW sleep

Exploration of the first lead was prolific: Postacquisition neuronal reverberation during sleep or quiet WK was found to preserve the temporal firing relationships of alert, exploratory WK in the hippocampus (Wilson and McNaughton 1994; Skaggs and McNaughton 1996; Nadasdy et al. 1999; Poe et al. 2000; Hirase et al. 2001; Louie and Wilson 2001; Lee and Wilson 2002) and the cerebral cortex ( Qin et al. 1997; Hoffman and McNaughton 2002), causing a correlated replay of activity patterns across two neurons (Wilson and McNaughton 1994) or many-neuron ensembles (Louie and Wilson 2001). To this date, experience-dependent brain reactivation during sleep has been observed in rodents (Pavlidis and Wilson 1989; Wilson and McNaughton 1994).
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In spite of the positive evidence, the neural reverberation
hypothesis for memory consolidation during sleep still faces sev-
eral objections. First, the neocortical reverberation detected to
this date is extremely subtle and decays rapidly within <1 h of
memory trace formation (Qin et al. 1997; Hoffman and Mc-
Naughton 2002). Such short-lived reverberation falls short of ex-
plaining the disruption of memory traces by sleep deprivation
several hours and even days after initial acquisition (Pearlman
1969, 1973; Leconte and Bloch 1970; Fishbein 1971; Pearlman
and Becker 1974; Linden et al. 1975; Shiromani et al. 1979; Smith
and Butler 1982; Smith and Kelly 1988; Smith and MacNeill
1993; Karmi et al. 1994; Smith and Rose 1996; Stickgold et al.
2000a; Maquet et al. 2003; Mednick et al. 2003). Second, strictu
sensu neuronal reverberation during mammalian sleep has only
been investigated in the hippocampo-cortical loop (Pavlides
and Winson 1989; Wilson and McNaughton 1994; Skaggs and Mc-
Naughton 1996; Qin et al. 1997; Nadasdy et al. 1999; Hirase et
al. 2001; Louie and Wilson 2001; Hoffman and McNaughton 2002;
Lee and Wilson 2002), making it difficult to determine whether
the phenomenon is particular to this neural circuit or whether it
represents global experience-dependent changes in the brain.
Third, neuronal reverberation has mostly been observed in
highly trained animal subjects (Wilson and McNaughton 1994;
Skaggs and McNaughton 1996; Qin et al. 1997; Nadasdy et al.
1999; Dave and Margoliash 2000; Louie and Wilson 2001; Hoff-
man and McNaughton 2002; Lee and Wilson 2002), raising skepti-
cism about its relevance for the acquisition and consolidation of
novel information (Kudrimoti et al. 1999). Finally, experience-
dependent neuronal reverberation has been reported to occur in
all behavioral states (Pavlides and Winson 1989; Wilson and Mc-
Naughton 1994; Skaggs and McNaughton 1996; Qin et al. 1997;
Nadasdy et al. 1999; Hirase et al. 2001; Louie and Wilson 2001;
Hoffman and McNaughton 2002; Lee and Wilson 2002), indicating a neuronal reverberation lasting at
least >1 h after exposure to novel stimulation. Figure 1E shows
the temporal evolution of neuronal ensemble correlations for
two different animals. Despite the marked interanimal variability
in the shapes and magnitudes of these profiles, a significant and
sustained increase of neuronal ensemble correlations after expo-
sure to novel stimulation was observed in all animals. Impor-
tantly, these increases lasted well above 1 h. In order to assess the anatomical distribution of experience-
dependent neuronal reverberation, we performed the neuronal ensemble correlation analysis for each area separately. Significant changes between pre- and postnovelty correlations, indicative of neuronal reverberation, were observed in all areas studied for up to 48 h after exposure to novel stimulation (Fig. 1F). These results indicate that the tactile, gustatory, olfactory, spatial, and motor activities produced by the free exploration of novel objects engage multiple forebrain structures in widespread neuronal rever-
beration. Interestingly, we found that enhanced neuronal rever-
beration (post > precorrelations) is not the only kind of experi-
ence-dependent change possible. Antireverberation, consisting of patterns of activity that were statistically more dissimilar from novel stimulation templates than expected by chance, occurred in the HP (one of four rats), PU (two of four rats), and TH (one of five rats) but not in the CX (Ribeiro et al. 2004). In principle, the novelty-induced reverberation and antireverberation of neuronal firing patterns could play balancing roles in the delineation of new memory traces, embossing high and low relieves in the fore-
brain synaptic landscape where memories are encoded.

To investigate the long-term effects of novel stimulation on the spatiotemporal evolution of ongoing neuronal activity, we took advantage of a neuronal ensemble correlation method previously shown to detect experience-dependent reactivation of rodent hippocampal ensembles during REM sleep (Louie and Wilson 2001). This method generalizes the concept of pairwise neuronal correlations (Wilson and McNaughton 1994; Qin et al. 1997; Hoffman and McNaughton 2002) to an arbitrarily large number of neurons, quantifying the degree of similarity between spatiotemporal patterns of neuronal activity by way of a firing-rate-normalized template-matching algorithm (Fig. 1B). Templates of alert WK neuronal ensemble activity were selected from moments when animals made whisker contact with the novel objects. Control templates were selected from epochs of alert WK 24 h (three rats) or 48 h (two rats) before novel stimulation, during which familiar tactile stimulation was produced by the contact of whiskers with the smooth walls of the recording box, to which animals were habituated. Templates were matched against the entire record of neuronal activity using the neuronal ensemble correlation method (Fig. 1C). The resulting correlation temporal profiles were averaged, aligned with reference to the light/darkness cycle to control for possible circadian effects, and

In order to address these objections, we set out to investigate the effects of a transient novel tactile experience on the long-
term evolution of ongoing brain activity across the major behav-
ioral states of the rat (Ribeiro et al. 2004). We simultaneously
recorded the extracellular neuronal activity of 100–150 neurons
per animal and local field potentials (LFPs) from four different
deforebrain regions: hippocampus (HP), primary somatosensory
“barrel field” cortex (CX), ventral posteromedial thalamic
nucleus (TH), and dorsal putamen (PU). Neural signals were con-
tinuously recorded across the natural sleep-wake cycle for 48–96 h, with a single 1-h exposure to four complex objects placed in
the four corners of the recording box (Fig. 1A). The objects were
strictly novel to the subjects and were presented half-way through the recording time around midnight (lights off), when
WK reached a peak and the drive for whisker-based tactile explo-
ration of the environment was greatest. This paradigm, designed
to maximize novelty induced neuronal changes (as opposed to changes caused by behavioral overtraining), strongly increased

WK relative to sleep during the exposure time and led to robust novel sensory stimulation.

In order to assess the anatomical distribution of experience-
dependent neuronal reverberation, we performed the neuronal ensemble correlation analysis for each area separately. Significant changes between pre- and postnovelty correlations, indicative of neuronal reverberation, were observed in all areas studied for up to 48 h after exposure to novel stimulation (Fig. 1F). These results indicate that the tactile, gustatory, olfactory, spatial, and motor activities produced by the free exploration of novel objects engage multiple forebrain structures in widespread neuronal rever-
beration. Interestingly, we found that enhanced neuronal rever-
beration (post > precorrelations) is not the only kind of experi-
ence-dependent change possible. Antireverberation, consisting of patterns of activity that were statistically more dissimilar from novel stimulation templates than expected by chance, occurred in the HP (one of four rats), PU (two of four rats), and TH (one of five rats) but not in the CX (Ribeiro et al. 2004). In principle, the novelty-induced reverberation and antireverberation of neuronal firing patterns could play balancing roles in the delineation of new memory traces, embossing high and low relieves in the fore-
brain synaptic landscape where memories are encoded.
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Next, we investigated how experience-dependent changes in neuronal ensemble correlations varied across the three major rat behavioral states: WK, SW sleep, or REM sleep. We found that neuronal reverberation consistently increases during SW sleep and decreases during WK, while REM sleep showed variable results across animals. A superimposition of behavioral state classification and neuronal ensemble correlations (Fig. 2A) revealed an exquisite long-term temporal match between SW episodes (red) and epochs of increased neuronal ensemble correlations in the CX. Likewise, neuronal ensemble correlation troughs show a tight correspondence with WK episodes (blue). In this example, such characteristic state-dependence persisted throughout 45 h of postnovelty recording (Fig. 2B). The fact that neuronal reverberation is sustained for long epochs during SW sleep suggests that unconsolidated synaptic changes may be not only recalled but also amplified over time during SW sleep. Indeed, a progressive increase of neuronal ensemble correlations across single SW sleep episodes was often observed (Fig. 2B, white arrows).

In order to assess the contributions of different neurons to total ensemble correlations, we ran the correlation analysis on a neuron-by-neuron basis. We found that no one subset of neurons was particularly responsible for the reverberation effect, as the contribution of individual neurons was highly variable in time and showed no state-dependence (data not shown). This indicates that the neuronal changes caused by novelty were highly distributed through the neuronal populations sampled.

Interestingly, a comparison of the ensemble correlation temporal profile (Fig. 2C, bottom panels) with the concurrent neuronal firing record (Fig. 2C, top panels) reveals that SW correlation peaks correspond to periods of decreased firing rate, while WK correlation troughs match epochs of increased neuronal activity. Thus, although novel stimulation templates of neuronal activity were selected from WK episodes characterized by high firing rates, ensuing reverberation of these neuronal firing patterns was most pronounced during SW sleep, in a regime of lower firing rates. It should be noted, however, that neuronal ensemble reverberation decreased but did not disappear during WK (Fig. 2D), in agreement with the original findings of poststimulus changes in hippocampal firing rates (Pavlides and Winson 1989) and a more recent investigation of the same issue (Hirase et al. 2001). The inverse correlation between neuronal ensemble correlations and concurrent firing rates suggests that reverberating patterns of neuronal activity associated with past novel experience are largely—but not completely—masked dur-

Figure 1. (A) Experimental design. (Top) A representative example of the strong circadian dynamics of the rat sleep-wake cycle. Grey bands indicate lights-off, and white bands indicate lights on; notice the fixed 12-h periods of darkness and light. (Bottom) Animals continuously recorded for up to 96 h were kept undisturbed except for a 1-h period of novel sensory stimulation (white segment) produced by the tactile exploration of four distinct novel objects placed at the corners of the recording box. Our paradigm produced marked and acute exploratory behavior without disrupting the large-scale sleep-wake structure across the many hours of recording. (B) Neuronal ensemble correlation method. Neuronal activity templates (red boxes) were compared with extensive recordings of neuronal action potentials (top, green ticks) by way of an off-line template-matching algorithm (Louie and Wilson 2001) that generalizes the notion of pair-wise correlations to neuronal ensembles of any size. Templates and targets (white boxes) were binned, firing-rate normalized, and correlated (middle). This procedure yields a time series of neuronal ensemble correlations for each template-target sliding match (bottom). (C) Templates of interest (9-sec-long, red boxes) were sampled around the origin of pre- and postnovelty periods during alert WK, and slid against their corresponding neuronal targets so as to sample neuronal correlations every 30 sec for up to 48 h. (D) Two representative examples show that postnovelty neuronal correlations (red) were significantly larger (right-shifted, $P < 0.05$) than prenovelty correlations (black). (E) The temporal profiles of multiple-area neuronal ensemble correlations indicate that large-scale neuronal firing patterns generated during the exploration of novel objects recur for several hours after the reference experience (postnovelty, red), while firing patterns associated with the walls of the recording box are substantially less detectable over time (prenovelty, black). Grey bands indicate lights-off, and white bands indicate lights on. (F) Long-lasting neuronal reverberation occurs in the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, putamen, and thalamus. Shown are temporal profiles of neuronal ensemble correlations calculated for single areas (all panels correspond to the same animal except PU).
Memory propagation during sleep

During SW sleep, the high interanimal variability of neuronal reverberation during REM sleep, and the small contribution of REM sleep to total sleep time suggest a major role for SW sleep in the postacquisition recall of new memory traces. The function of experience-dependent brain reactivation during REM sleep (Pavlidis and Winson 1989; Maquet et al. 2000; Louie and Wilson 2001; Peigneux et al. 2003) remains to be explained. One attractive possibility yet to be tested is that neuronal reverberation during REM sleep, being “noisier” than that of SW sleep, may facilitate memory trace restructuring and insight generation during sleep (Wagner et al. 2004).

In summary, our results (Ribeiro et al. 2004) establish that a poststimulus reverberation of neuronal ensemble firing patterns occurs in rats completely naive with respect to the reference stimuli, directly contradicting the notion that only the performance of highly trained behaviors is followed by neuronal reverberation (Kudrimoti et al. 1999). The new data also demonstrate that sustained experience-dependent neuronal reverberation can be detected in several forebrain areas up to 48 h after exposure to novel stimulation, suggesting that neuronal reverberation is a general property of cortical and subcortical forebrain circuits, such as the thalamus and the dorsal striatum. More recent evidence of neuronal reverberation in the ventral striatum supports this conclusion (Pennartz et al. 2004). Finally, we found strong evidence that neuronal reverberation is state-dependent and peaks during SW sleep in inverse correlation with firing rates. Taken together, the results provide evidence of reactivatory processes compatible with the memory impairment effects of sleep deprivation applied hours or days after training (Fishbein 1971; Pearlman and Becker 1974; Smith and Butler 1982; Smith and Kelly 1988; Karni et al. 1994; Stickgold et al. 2000a; Fenl et al. 2003). In conclusion, novelty-induced neuronal reverberation during SW sleep is capable of implementing the first mnemonic function postulated by Hebb (1949).

Long-term storage of synaptic changes during REM sleep

Action on the second Hebbian front, i.e., the search for a molecular link between plasticity-related protein synthesis and neural activity during sleep, was spurred by the discovery of inducible transcription factors that couple neuronal depolarization to gene regulation (Morgan and Curran 1989). The hypothesis was clear-cut: At least some of these immediate-early genes (IEGs) should be up-regulated during sleep. The first shot belonged to an Italian team, which compared forebrain IEG expression after several hours of sustained WK or sleep (SW and REM combined). Surprisingly, major IEGs were found to be strongly downregulated during sleep (Pompeiano et al. 1994, 1995, 1997). These puzzling results were followed up and extended to other plasticity-related genes (Basheer et al. 1997; Cirelli and Tononi 2001a,b), leading some researchers to conclude that sleep plays no role in synaptic plasticity (Tononi and Cirelli 2001), being in fact related to synaptic downscaling (Tononi and Cirelli 2003). This molecular break in the logical thread that connected neuronal reverberation to the mnemonic effects of sleep provided just enough mechanistic paradox to help the late resurrection of the notion that sleep and memory are not linked (Vertes and Eastman 2000; Siegel 2001). To borrow Thomas Kuhn’s terminology (Kuhn 1962), the paradigm faced an embarrassing anomaly.

Disentangling this controversy involved the use of a curious analogy, proposed by yet another Italian group (Giuditta et al. 1995): Sleep is to information what digestion is to food. According to this view, the best way to understand how the sleeping brain facilitates learning is to contrast neural variables obtained in the presence or absence of recently acquired information. Following this advice, we assessed IEG brain expression in rats that

**Figure 2.** (A) Neuronal reverberation is strongest during SW sleep. Shown is a superimposition of successive neuronal ensemble correlations and concurrent behavioral states for neocortical neurons, illustrating the state-dependency of neuronal ensemble correlations. Nearly all correlation peaks correspond to SW episodes, while almost all troughs match WK epochs. REM sleep showed SW-like increased neuronal correlations in only one out of five animals (depicted here). REM correlations in the remaining animals were either closer to WK than to SW levels, or well in between (Ribeiro et al. 2004). (B) State-dependent neuronal reverberation was sustained throughout the recording period, as shown by segments representing the beginning (3200–3300 min), middle (4700–4800 min), and end (5200–5250 min) of the experimental record. (Left) Notice the progressive increase of neuronal correlations across a single SW sleep episode (white arrows), suggesting a progressive amplification of the memory trace. (C) Blow-up of two selected data segments indicated by asterisks in A. Despite having been sampled from moments of high neuronal firing rates (*), novel stimulation templates reverberate most strongly during SW sleep when firing rates are low (* and **). The high firing rates that characterize WK correspond to decreased neuronal reverberation, probably due to sensory interference. (D) Postnovelty neuronal ensemble correlations decrease during WK but do not reach prenovelty levels. This indicates that novel experience causes sustained neuronal reverberation (Hebb 1949) rather than discrete reactivation (Wilson and McNaughton 1994; Kudrimoti et al. 1999), in the sense that traces of a given salient experience are continuously detectable during subsequent periods across all behavioral states, in a state-dependent manner.
had been exposed to a novel enriched environment for 3 h before falling asleep. Instead of lumping together SW and REM sleep, we singled out individual episodes of each phase and compared the results with those of WK. Unexposed controls showed EGG down-regulation during both sleep states (Fig. 3A, left panels), in agreement with the previous studies (Pompeiano et al. 1994, 1995, 1997; Basheer et al. 1997; Cirelli and Tononi 2000a,b). In contrast, we found the IEG zif-268 to be up-regulated to WK levels during REM—but not SW sleep—in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex of exposed animals (Fig. 3A, right panels; Ribeiro et al. 1999).

As indicated by the name “paradoxical sleep” (Jouvet et al. 1959; Jouvet 1967), REM sleep is characterized by increased neuronal activity in the forebrain, comparable to waking levels (McCleary and Hobson 1970; Destexhe et al. 1999). Such increased activity is necessary but not sufficient to induce zif-268 expression, which also requires calcium inflow via NMDA channels and phosphorylation of the cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) (Changelian et al. 1989; Cole et al. 1989; Wisden et al. 1999). This result indicates that REM sleep fulfills— at least in principle—the second Hebbian postulate for memory consolidation (Hebb 1949).

**Figure 3.** (A) Experience-dependent up-regulation of zif-268 brain expression during REM sleep. Shown are autoradiograms of representative brain sections hybridized with a zif-268 radioactive riboprobe. In controls kept in their familiar home cages before the experiment (left), zif-268 expression decreased from WK to SW and REM sleep. In animals exposed to an enriched environment for 3 h before the experiment (right), zif-268 levels decreased from WK to SW sleep, but increased from the latter to REM sleep. This effect was particularly noticeable in the cerebral cortex and the hippocampus. (B) Hippocampofugal propagation of zif-268 expression during REM sleep. Unilateral LTP induction by high-frequency stimulation (yellow lightning) of the hippocampus (first-row panels) causes unilateral hippocampal up-regulation of zif-268 expression 30 min after stimulation (second-row panels). During the first REM sleep episode after LTP induction (3 h after stimulation), zif-268 expression was up-regulated in the temporal cerebral cortex and amygdala of the stimulated hemisphere, proximal to the hippocampus. Some zif-268 up-regulation was also observed in the non-stimulated hemisphere (third-row panels). During a second REM sleep episode (4 h after stimulation), this effect was even more pronounced and lateralized, reaching dorsal neocortical areas distal from the hippocampus (fourth-row panels). Our results indicate that hippocampal LTP induction during WK leads to a series of zif-268 up-regulation waves that propagate from the hippocampus to cortical areas during the course of WK and REM sleep, but that are terminated during SW sleep. (C) Effect of hippocampal inactivation during REM sleep on extrahippocampal zif-268 expression. First, LTP was bilaterally induced in the hippocampus during WK (left, yellow lightning). Three hours later, upon entering REM sleep, the left hippocampus was inactivated with tetracaine (left, blue X), while the right hippocampus received saline (control). We found a marked reduction of zif-268 expression in the temporal cerebral cortex and the amygdala of the inactivated hemisphere, as compared to the control hemisphere (right panel, arrows). This result indicates that post-LTP extrahippocampal zif-268 expression during REM sleep is under hippocampal control.
REM sleep, we assessed *zif-268* expression in several WK, SW, and REM groups in which the induction of hippocampal LTP substituted for exposure to an enriched environment as presleep stimulus. A comprehensive analysis of these results revealed a sequence of three spatiotemporally distinct waves of *zif-268* up-regulation after the induction of hippocampal LTP (Ribeiro et al. 2002): The first gene expression wave began locally at the stimulation site—30 min after stimulation, reached proximal brain areas relative to the stimulation site after 3 h of sustained wakefulness, and was terminated during SW sleep. A second wave began during ensuing REM sleep in brain regions proximal to the stimulus site, propagated to distal brain regions during subsequent WK, and was terminated during another SW sleep episode. Finally, a third wave of *zif-268* up-regulation began during a second bout of REM sleep in all proximal and distal extrahippocampal regions studied. Altogether, hippocampal regions showed a gradual decrease of *zif-268* expression from the first to the second and third waves. Conversely, the most distal extrahippocampal regions studied (somatosensory and motor cortices), several synapses away from the site of LTP induction, showed an opposite gene expression profile: a gradual increase of *zif-268* expression from the first to the second and third waves. These results indicate that *zif-268* up-regulation after hippocampal LTP induction gradually propagates from the hippocampus to distal neocortical regions, as REM sleep recurs (Fig. 3B).

To test the possibility that neocortical *zif-268* up-regulation during REM sleep is under hippocampal control, we used intracerebral microinjections of a sodium-channel blocker to transiently inactivate the hippocampus during post-LTP REM sleep. Hippocampal inactivation during REM sleep blocked *zif-268* up-regulation in the cerebral cortex (Fig. 3C), indicating that the hippocampus is able to instruct cortical gene expression during REM sleep (Ribeiro et al. 2002). In contrast, diffusion controls injected during WK showed elevated *zif-268* expression levels in both hemispheres (Ribeiro et al. 2002). This shows that post-LTP cortical *zif-268* expression during WK is hippocampus independent, presumably owing to the intense thalamocortical processing that characterizes WK. Taken together, these findings indicate that REM sleep constitutes a privileged window for hippocampus-driven cortical activation, free from waking interference and, in principle, capable of playing an instructive role in the communication of memory traces from the hippocampus to the cortex. To our knowledge, these results provide the first experimental evidence that REM sleep may play a key role in the exodus of memory associations from the hippocampus to the cerebral cortex, uncovering hippocampo-cortical interactions that have been postulated for decades (Scoville and Milner 1957; Marr 1971; McClelland et al. 1995; Izquierdo and Medina 1997; Eichenbaum 2000).

**A model for the complementary roles of SW and REM sleep in memory consolidation**

It has been recently proposed that the neuronal reverberation of newly acquired synaptic changes during SW sleep may lead to the recall and storage of new memories by way of “calcium-mediated intracellular cascades” capable of opening the “molecular gates to plasticity” (Sejnowski and Destexhe 2000; Destexhe and Sejnowski 2003). This hypothesis is partially contradicted by evidence that calcium-dependent gene expression related to synaptic plasticity is shut down during SW sleep (Pompeiano et al. 1994; Ribeiro et al. 1999, 2002). Based on our results and the current literature, we have proposed instead that SW and REM sleep play distinct and complementary roles on memory consolidation, with memory recall (neuronal reverberation) occurring mainly during SW sleep and memory storage (plasticity-related gene expression) taking place during REM sleep (Ribeiro et al. 2004).

Our model proposes that intrinsic pontine activation during SW sleep, being free of sensory interference, would be biased toward previously potentiated synapses, causing neuronal firing patterns originally produced during novel WK experience to reverberate significantly above chance levels during SW sleep (Pavlovides and Winson 1989; Wilson and McNaughton 1994; Ribeiro et al. 2004). At the same time, the large-amplitude slow oscillations typical of SW sleep (Steriade and McCarley 1990; Steriade et al. 1993) would promote marked periodic fluctuations of calcium levels in activated synapses, as suggested by extracellular calcium measurements (Massimini and Amzica 2001). As a consequence, SW sleep would be concomitant with the activation of multiple calcium-dependent kinases with a role in memory formation, such as Ca$^{2+}$-calmodulin–dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) (Deisseroth and Tsien 2002; Lisman et al. 2002) and protein kinase A (PKA) (Fig. 4A; Abel et al. 1997). This would result in a pretranscriptional amplification of synaptic changes encoding novel memory traces during SW sleep, as suggested by recent data (Fig. 2B, arrows in first panel). Finally, such changes would be transcriptionally stored during REM sleep by way of CREB-dependent gene expression (Ribeiro et al. 1999, 2002), able to trigger plasticity-related protein synthesis (Gutwein et al. 1980; Thiel et al. 1994; Petersohn et al. 1995) and hence consolidate newly acquired memory traces in the following hours (Fig. 4A). Experience-dependent plasticity-related gene expression during REM sleep is compatible with the notion of sleep-dependent synaptic downscaling (Tononi and Cirelli 2003), as long as the latter happens in neuronal circuits that were not activated by WK experience. Indeed, we predict that the combination of synaptic upscaling in activated circuits and synaptic downscaling in nonactivated circuits should markedly increase the signal-to-noise ratio of memory consolidation during sleep, carving high-relief memory traces in a background of low synaptic plasticity.

The functional dissociation of the two main sleep phases with regard to memory consolidation implies that they separately satisfy the two Hebbian learning postulates (Hebb 1949). Accordingly, the deleterious effects of sleep deprivation on memory consolidation would be a consequence of the disruption of the underlying neuronal reverberation and gene expression during SW and REM sleep, respectively. Such a mechanism fulfills earlier conceptual notions of a two-step process for memory consolidation during sleep (Giuditta 1985; Giuditta et al. 1995; Stickgold 1998) and is in line with evidence that SW and REM sleep have synergistic effects on human procedural learning (Stickgold et al. 2000b; Mednick et al. 2003) and developmental plasticity (Marks et al. 1995; Shaffery et al. 1998; Frank et al. 2001).

Our model has far-reaching implications. The postsynaptic nature of CREB-dependent gene expression (Lemaire et al. 1990; Thiel et al. 1994; Lonze and Ginty 2002), its putative consequences on synaptic strengthening (Jones et al. 2001; Bozon et al. 2003), and in particular the hippocampofugal waves of *zif-268* gene up-regulation during REM sleep (Ribeiro et al. 2002) led us to propose (Pavlovides and Ribeiro 2003; Ribeiro et al. 2004) that the cyclical reiteration of memory recall during SW sleep and memory storage during REM sleep promotes a postsynaptic propagation of synaptic changes downstream the neuronal circuits first activated by a novel experience (Fig. 4B). One interesting corollary of this propagation is that neuronal reverberation during SW sleep should be most critical for memory consolidation shortly after memory acquisition, because failure to do so would provoke an irreversible loss of the recently acquired and therefore still labile memory traces. By the same token, the
...memory-magnifying effects of REM sleep should become more relevant as the wake-sleep cycle recurs, due to the progressive recruitment of larger neuronal networks over time. This interpretation is in agreement with the fact that early posttraining SW sleep is more important for learning than is late SW sleep (Stickgold et al. 2000b), while the exact opposite is verified for REM sleep (Smith and Rose 1996; Stickgold et al. 2000b). Further support for this interpretation derives from the finding that zif-268 up-regulation is anatomically more extensive in late than in early REM sleep (Ribeiro et al. 2002).

Within a given neuronal network such as the cerebral cortex, sleep-dependent postsynaptic propagation of synaptic changes would cause memory traces to gradually reach farther and farther away from the original neuronal circuits initially involved in memory encoding, becoming progressively more ingrained in the neuronal matrix at every WK–SW–REM cycle (Fig. 4C). Thus, postsynaptic propagation during sleep may fully account for some important findings of psychology, such as deeper memory encoding over time (Hebb 1942; Craik and Lockhart 1972; Cermak and Craik 1979), incremental learning for multiple nights after memory trace acquisition (Stickgold et al. 2000b; Walker et al. 2003), and the gradual change of dream reports—from literal simulations of WK experience into highly abstract metaphors of the same experience—as human subjects go from early to late REM sleep in a single night (Emberger 2001; Stickgold 2003).

The same rationale exposed above, when applied to memory processing across multiple neuronal networks, implies that the repetition of the WK–SW–REM cycle promotes a tidal migration of memory traces within the forebrain. For instance, due to the much larger coding capacity (i.e., number of available synapses) of the cerebral cortex in comparison with the hippocampus, this migration would generate a net hippocampofugal flow of information as sleep recurs, progressively flushing memory traces away from the hippocampus to the cerebral cortex (Fig. 4D). In principle, this mechanism would be able to explain the increased segregation of memory traces in the cerebral cortex over time, because regions not easily accessible at the moment of initial memory encoding would be eventually reached, diminishing the cortical overlap of explicit memories (McClelland et al. 1995). If our hypotheses are correct, the spatiotemporal dynamics of gene regulation during REM sleep (Ribeiro et al. 2002) will prove crucial for the progressive hippocampal disengagement after explicit memory acquisition (Scoville and Milner 1957; Mishkin 1978; Kesner 1982; Buzsáki et al. 1990; Zola-Morgan and Squire 1990; Squire 1992; Kim et al. 1995; Corkin et al. 1997; Izquierdo and Medina 1997; Bontempi et al. 1999; Lavenex and Amaral 2000; Haist et al. 2001; Winocur et al. 2001).

Figure 4. (A) SW and REM sleep trigger different steps of the calcium-dependent metabolic cascade that leads to synaptic plasticity. Neuronal reverberation during SW sleep is likely associated with enhanced calcium inflow and the phosphorylation of multiple signaling kinases at the dendritic level, such as PKA and CaMKII (red). However, CREB-dependent induction of zif-268 gene expression in the cell nucleus only occurs during REM sleep (green). The zif-268 gene encodes a transcription factor that modulates synaptic plasticity by controlling the expression of genes directly involved in synaptic function, such as synapsins. (B) Our model proposes that the recursive reiteration of SW sleep causes a postsynaptic propagation of newly acquired synaptic changes. According to this model, a WK event capable of encoding a new memory triggers calcium-dependent pretranscriptional signaling cascades (represented in red) and plasticity-related gene regulation (represented in green), leading to delayed synaptic plasticity during ensuing WK (schematically represented by the addition of synapses). Next, neuronal reverberation during SW sleep promotes calcium entry in the neuron downstream of the one originally activated during encoding, and ensuing REM sleep then triggers plasticity-related gene regulation. The repetition of this process leads to the further establishment of new synapses downstream the activated circuit, effectively propagating the memory trace at every WK–SW–REM cycle. (C) The postsynaptic propagation of newly acquired synaptic changes caused by the succession of wake–sleep states should result in the progressive ingraining of memory traces (red) within the cortical neuronal matrix (black). Figure adapted from a leaf micrograph, with permission from Marcelo Magnasco. (D) According to our model, new memories are encoded as labile traces during WK in several forebrain sites (dashed lines, top left), amplified during SW sleep (thicker dashed lines, top right), and consolidated during REM by way of plasticity-related gene expression (solid lines, bottom left). Notice that in this model the thalamus does not harbor a consolidated trace, due to the very low expression of CREB-dependent genes in most of the thalamus (Mello et al. 1992; Beckmann et al. 1997; Ribeiro et al. 1999). Due to postsynaptic propagation, the reiteration of WK–SW–REM cycles should promote a tidal migration of memory traces within the forebrain. In particular, due to the much larger coding capacity (i.e., number of available synapses) of the cerebral cortex in comparison with the hippocampus, such migration should generate a net hippocampofugal flow of information, progressively flushing memory traces away from the hippocampus and into the cerebral cortex (bottom right). According to this view, the hippocampus would only keep a record of the most recent memory traces (green and yellow lines), while the cerebral cortex would store a much larger repertoire of traces (lines of various colors). P denotes pontine (intrinsic) activation. (E) We have proposed (Ribeiro et al. 2004) that SW and REM sleep play distinct and complementary roles in the processing of new memory traces, with memory recall (reverberation) occurring during SW sleep and memory storage (plasticity-related gene expression, GE) taking place during REM sleep. Such functional dissociation implies that memory processing progresses in cycles of pretranscriptional amplification of labile traces during SW sleep and transcriptional trace consolidation triggered by REM sleep. According to this scheme, the combined action of SW and REM sleep would cause a progressive increase in the strength and consolidation level of memories, produced over several hours via plasticity-related protein synthesis. The model also predicts that after some time in WK such effects would be completed, and memory strength would then start to decrease due to sensory interference (indicated by asterisks). The recurrence of sleep would therefore prevent sensory interference from further degrading the strength of recently acquired memory traces.
Our model begins with molecular and cellular considerations (Fig. 4A) and generates consequences at the level of local, regional, and global (systemic) neuronal circuitry (Fig. 4B–D, respectively). It predicts that the combined action of SW and REM sleep should determine a gradual increase in the strength and consolidation level of memories, produced over several hours via plasticity-related protein synthesis (Fig. 4E). This increase should develop in a salutary manner, reflecting the boosting effects of sleep cycles. We expect the consolidation dynamics of both explicit and implicit memories to be overall similar; for although they rely on different anatomical substrates (Thompson and Kim 1996), they likely depend on the same cellular mechanisms. However, the consolidation speeds of explicit and implicit memories differ substantially. Explicit memories most often involve the simple association of pre-existing representations, requiring the modification and/or addition of few synapses. As a consequence, the consolidation of explicit memories is usually very fast. In contrast, the acquisition of implicit memories involves a very large number of synaptic modifications, reflected in their typical slow consolidation. This difference alone may explain why sleep deprivation is much more detrimental to implicit than to explicit memory consolidation (Fowler et al. 1973; Karni et al. 1994; Smith 1995, 2001; Stickgold et al. 2000a; Laureys et al. 2002; Walker et al. 2002; Maquet et al. 2003; Mednick et al. 2003). According to this reasoning, more taxing explicit memory tasks, involving the association of novel representations rather than pre-existing ones, should be sensitive to postacquisition sleep deprivation. In fact, support for this hypothesis comes from the now classical experiments of the sleep and learning field, which showed that lack of sleep strongly impairs the retention of newly learned nonsense syllables (Jenkins and Dallenbach 1924).

In conclusion, our results suggest that basic molecular and cellular mechanisms underlie the reverberation, storage, and propagation of memory traces during sleep. We propose that these three sleep processes alone may be sufficient to account for several major hallmarks of memory consolidation over time, such as deeper memory encoding within the cerebral cortex, incremental learning several nights after memory acquisition, and progressive hippocampal disengagement. If corroborated by further experimentation, this model will vindicate the early insight of those that postulated an intimate link between sleep and learning (Jenkins and Dallenbach 1924; Bryson and Schachter 1969; Winson 1972, 1985, 1990, 1993).
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