Reconstruction of the Ptotic Breast Using Wise Pattern Skin Deepithelialization

Michael K. Newman, MD

Summary: Reconstruction of ptotic or large breasts is challenging due to skin redundancy after skin-sparing mastectomy. Skin reduction can be performed with a long horizontal ellipse, but this often flattens the breast and leaves conspicuous scars medially on the chest. Wise pattern skin reduction is an effective technique for shaping, but excision of skin within the Wise pattern can lead to high rates of skin necrosis and implant exposure or infection. This study describes a technique where the Wise pattern skin is preserved, but deepithelialized, allowing apparent reduction of the skin with preservation of the subdermal plexus. This study reviews data for case series of 26 breasts in 15 patients who have undergone this technique with simultaneous prosthetic reconstruction using an expander.

METHODS

A prospective database of patients undergoing this procedure was kept starting in 2010 when this procedure was first performed in our practice until the present time.
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Retrospective review of the patient records was then performed to obtain data regarding chemotherapy, radiation, tobacco use, body mass index, expander fill volume, follow-up interval, and complications.

Surgical Technique

The patient is marked while standing for a traditional Wise pattern skin reduction, as if the patient was having a standard breast reduction procedure (Fig. 1). The skin-sparing mastectomy is performed via either a circumareolar incision or a vertical oval incision located within the Wise pattern skin markings.

After the skin-sparing mastectomy has been completed, expander reconstruction is performed in a subpectoral pocket with a sling of acellular dermal matrix or mesh along the inferior lateral aspect of the pocket as described by other authors.2,3 Our general preference is to use an 8 cm × 20 cm sheet of AlloDerm of a thickness of less than 1.5 mm for each breast, but sometimes other products were used in these patients including FlexHD and SERI Surgical Scaffold. The expander is filled to full capacity under the pectoralis major muscle-acellular dermal matrix pocket. The expander is filled to full capacity to allow for accurate assessment of the skin redundancy.

The skin is tailor tacked with staples along the Wise pattern skin markings placed before surgery (Fig. 2). Often the markings represent a slight overestimation of the skin redundancy so adjustments are made as necessary. Markings are made along the staple lines and the staples are removed. The skin within the new Wise pattern markings is deepithelialized (Fig. 3). Sometimes the mastectomy flaps need to be thinned under the area of deepithelialization to avoid excess bulk along the inframammary fold during closure.
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A single drain is placed in each breast between the mastectomy skin flaps and the pectoralis major muscle–acellular dermal matrix layer. The circumareolar or oval mastectomy skin defect is closed primarily in a vertical line. Sometimes this vertical closure is tacked down to the underlying dermal allograft or muscle to suspend it. This can prevent ptosis and bunching of the excess skin inferi- orly along the inframammary fold. The Wise pattern skin closure is performed along the vertical and horizontal lines (Fig. 4). At the end of the procedure, the expander is accessed to remove some of the volume to take any tension off of the mastectomy skin.

**RESULTS**

Fifteen patients (26 breasts) were included in this study. This included 11 bilateral patients and 4 unilateral patients. The average age was 51 with a range of 36 to 65. The average body mass index was 27 with a range of 21 to 37. Average follow-up time was 38 months with a range of 7 to 82. Seven patients had postmastectomy radiation. Six patients had neoadjuvant chemotherapy and 4 patients had postmastectomy chemotherapy. Three patients were active tobacco users (defined as smoking within 1 month before surgery), 2 bilateral, and 1 unilateral. Initial expander fill volume averaged 77% of expander capacity and ranged from 61% to 100%. All reconstructions were two-stage expander/implant reconstructions.

Complications included skin necrosis that required surgical revision (23%), seroma requiring aspiration (8%), expander removal (8%), and cellulitis that resolved with intravenous antibiotics (4%). The most common complication was skin necrosis, which occurred in
6 breasts (23%), 3 of which were in patients that were active tobacco users. All 6 breasts with skin necrosis were treated with operative excision of the necrotic area within 2 weeks after the mastectomy procedure. One of these breasts developed an infection requiring removal of the expander. This patient had unilateral reconstruction. She was an active smoker with a body mass index of 27 who developed skin necrosis followed by infection with Serratia marcescens.

The second most common complication was seroma occurring in 2 breasts (8%) after removal of the drains. The seromas were treated with ultrasound-guided drain placement in 1 breast and ultrasound-guided aspiration without drain placement in 1 breast. The breast that had a drain placed developed late pseudomonas infection while on chemotherapy, leading to removal of the expander. This patient had bilateral reconstruction and she was not a smoker. Her body mass index was 37.

**DISCUSSION**

Our series of 26 reconstructions of ptotic breasts using a Wise pattern deepithelialization technique showed a skin necrosis rate of 23% (6 breasts in 4 patients). This included 3 breasts (2 patients) that were active tobacco users. Our prosthesis removal rate was 8% (2 breasts in 2 patients). One patient was an active smoker who developed an infection. The other had an elevated body mass index of 37 who developed a seroma followed by an infection. Although these factors likely contributed to their complications, it is difficult to assess the significance due to the small size of this study.

Other techniques to reconstruct the ptotic breast include the use of standard Wise pattern skin excision, Wise pattern skin excision with autograft sling of deepithelialized dermis over the lower pole, Wise pattern skin excision with dermal autograft and acellular dermis, circumvertical skin deepithelialization, and staged Wise pattern skin excision. These studies report complication rates varying from 0% to 27%, but details regarding body mass index and tobacco use were often not included.
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**Fig. 3.** Same patient as in Figure 1. The staples have been removed and the skin within the Wise pattern markings has been deepithelialized bilaterally. The acellular dermal allograft and a small portion of the pectoralis major muscle can be seen through the circular mastectomy incisions.

**Fig. 4.** Postoperative view of the same patient after the expanders have been replaced with implants, but before nipple reconstruction.