A closed Candidatus Odinarchaeum chromosome exposes Asgard archaeal viruses
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Asgard archaea have recently been identified as the closest archaeal relatives of eukaryotes. Their ecology, and particularly their virome, remain enigmatic. We reassembled and closed the chromosome of Candidatus Odinarchaeum yellowstonii LCB_4, through long-range PCR, revealing CRISPR spacers targeting viral contigs. We found related viruses in the genomes of diverse prokaryotes from geothermal environments, including other Asgard archaea. These viruses open research avenues into the ecology and evolution of Asgard archaea.

Asgard archaea are a diverse group of microorganisms that comprise the closest relatives of eukaryotes1–4. Their genomes were first explored seven years ago7 and much of their physiology and cell biology is unknown. While over 200 Asgard archaeal draft genomes are available, most are represented by highly fragmented and incomplete metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs), which has precluded obtaining insights into their mobile genetic elements (mobilome). Given the central role of Asgard archaea in eukaryo-

The LCB_4 genome contains a complex CRISPR–Cas gene system (Fig. 1), including neighbouring type I-A and type III-D Cas gene clusters, separated by a 6.1-kbp-long type I-A CRISPR array and further followed by another 2.7-kbp-long type I-A CRISPR array, with a total of 142 CRISPR 35–42 bp spacers across both arrays. Nine of these spacers targeted (with 100% identity and query coverage) 4 putative mobile element contigs obtained in the same assembly that were not part of the closed chromosome (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2), all of which had Ca. Odinarchaeum predicted as the host by WlsH8. In addition, we identified multiple poorer matches from spacers using SpacePHARER9 (Fig. 1), possibly representing interactions with diverged relatives of these elements. Two of these contigs contained genes encoding common mobile element proteins, such as restriction endonucleases and integrases, but did not contain any obvious viral signature genes (Supplementary Table 3). A third contig represented a complete, circular viral genome (Extended Data Fig. 1d) encoding transcriptional regulators, an endonuclease and a double jelly-roll major capsid protein (MCP), typical of tailessicosahedral viruses (Fig. 1, Extended Data Fig. 3a and Supplementary Table 3). This specific protein was previously found in a study of the double jelly-roll MCP family and tentatively named an ‘Odin group’ of sequences given this protein’s origin in the same metagenome as Ca. Odinarchaeum LCB_4 (ref. 10). The complete recovery of LCB_4’s CRISPR arrays allowed us to confirm that this circular contig indeed represents a virus associated with Ca. Odinarchaeum (Supplementary Table 4), for which we suggest the name ‘Huginn virus,’ in reference to one of two ravens of Odin, Huginn (‘thought’).

Furthermore, 3 spacers yielded full-coverage, identical matches (and a further 3 spacers with 1 mismatch) against a 12.7-kbp-long contig recovered by the Ca. Odinarchaeum LCB_4 reassembly (Fig. 1). All three hits targeted an open reading frame encoding a protein-primed family B DNA Polymerase (pPolB), a gene frequently observed in archaeal viruses. Further inspection of this contig revealed genes encoding a zinc-ribbon protein and a HisI-like family MCP (Extended Data Fig. 3b–d and Supplementary Table 3), conserved in spindle-shaped viruses11. This contig had a coverage over 3 times higher than that of the chromosome, suggestive of viral DNA replication, and was flanked by approximately 80-nucleotide-long terminal inverted repeats, a typical signature of viruses with linear double-stranded DNA genomes replicated by pPolBs12. Thus, this contig represents a complete Asgard archaeal
viral genome for which we suggest the name ‘Muninn virus’ (Supplementary Table 4), in relation to the second raven of Odin, Muninn (‘memory’).

We further queried the pPolB sequence from the Muninn virus genome through phylogenetic analysis, finding that it is closely related to a homologue in Sulfolobus ellipsoid virus 1 (SEV1)13 (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 1), recently isolated from a Costa Rican hot spring. No other genes were shared between Muninn virus and SEV1, which is indicative of recent horizontal transfer of polB in at least one of these viruses. Interestingly, other close homologues included multiple sequences that were likewise obtained from hot springs or hydrothermal vents (Fig. 2a). Two of these hits were part of an Asgard archaeal MAG (QZMA23B3), and a third pPolB homologue (HGY28086.1) belonged to a MAG (SpSt-845) originally classified as Bathyarchaeota. A phylogenomic analysis indicated that QZMA23B3 belonged to the recently described Asgard archaeal class Jordarchaeiaa and that SpSt-845 in fact belonged to the Nitrososphaeria (Extended Data Fig. 4). Closer inspection of the Nitrososphaerial MAG revealed 2 additional pPolB sequences from the same MAG that were highly similar (>80% identity) to HGY28086.1. The five pPolB homologues were encoded in contigs containing Sulfolobus islandicus rod-shaped virus 2 (SIRV2) family MCP genes (Fig. 2b, Extended Data Fig. 3e and Supplementary Table 3), exclusive to archaeal filamentous viruses with linear double-stranded DNA genomes and classified into the realm Adnaviria14. Both the Jordarchaeia and Nitrososphaeria contigs displayed high conservation in synteny and protein sequences, indicating high contig completeness and recent diversification (Fig. 2b). Notably, none of the known archaeal viruses with SIRV2 family MCPs encodes its own pPolB, suggesting that the group identified herein represents a previously undescribed archaeal virus family. However, while we detected CRISPR arrays in the MAGs where these viral contigs were identified, we could not find accurate spacer matches (query coverage >90%, identity >90%) to these viral sequences; therefore, the identity of the hosts of these thermophilic viruses is unclear.

The pPolB phylogeny further suggests that a clade of viral sequences found in MAGs from mesophiles evolved from a likely thermophile-infecting ancestor. While none of the mentioned mobile elements share other proteins in common with Muninn virus, a more distant relative of the Muninn virus pPolB sequence was found in a contig from the same LCB_4 assembly. Like Muninn virus, this sequence encoded a His1-like MCP and a gene encoding a transmembrane protein of unknown function (Fig. 2c). These two genes surrounded another gene encoding a relatively long protein (>550 amino acid residues) with multiple transmembrane helices and complex predicted structures (Extended Data Fig. 3f), with no detectable similarity but possibly related functions. We further queried the His1-like MCPs for detectable homologues, finding only a small Lokjarchaeal contig encoding two His1-like MCPs that are 83–85% identical to the Muninn virus MCP plus a phylogenetically distant pPolB (Supplementary Fig. 1) and a protein of unknown function (Fig. 2c).

The CRISPR–Cas system of Ca. Odinarchaeum yellowstonii LCB_4 is likely its primary antiviral defence system. We could find no homologues for DISARM15 or other recently discovered antiviral systems16,17 in its genome. The retention of many CRISPR spacers against these mobile elements is significant and indicates coevolutionary dynamics with viruses from multiple families.

Two additional studies identifying Asgard archaeal viruses accompany ours. Rambo et al.18 described viruses belonging to the Caudoviricetes class, while Medvedeva et al.19 described three groups of viruses, of which two, skuldviruses and wyrdviruses, are
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...arrays (the latter two were expected due to the conservation of rRNA gene mobile element genes), ribosomal RNA genes from other organisms or CRISPR chromosome because they represented mobile elements (with signatures such as...)

Additional short (<13kb) contigs were not considered part of the main chromosome because they represented mobile elements (with signatures such as differing coverage, circularity, CRISPR spacer hits and/or presence of typical mobile element genes), ribosomal RNA genes from other organisms or CRISPR arrays (the latter two were expected due to the conservation of rRNA gene sequences and CRISPR repeats). After removing these contigs, only 1 additional contig of 10.6kb containing type I-A Cas genes remained. Given that the 1.406 Mbp contig ended in type I-A CRISPR arrays, we hypothesized that these two contigs could represent the entire circular chromosome of Ca. Odinarchaeum LCB_4. In parallel, we assembled the illumina reads with MEGAHIT v.1.1.3 (~147 –kmer 57 –kmax 147 –kstep 12). While highly fractionated, this assembly found an alternative solution for the sequences involved in the contig borders of the previous assembly. Particularly, inspecting the assembly performed with -kmer 141 we observed that the type I-A Cas genes were surrounded by 2 separate CRISPR arrays. Moreover, four consecutive spacers in the innermost side of one of the CRISPR arrays in this assembly were identical to the outermost spacers of the CRISPR array presented at the border of the 1.406 Mbp contig in the Unicycler assembly (Supplementary Fig. 1b). These results suggested a specific disposition for the two aforementioned contigs.

Long-range PCR and Nanopore sequencing. Four regions were selected for long-range PCR: two contig gaps, corresponding to CRISPR arrays, and two control regions spanning approximately 5 kb of the rRNA operon and approximately 10 kb of a ribosomal protein gene cluster (Supplementary Table 2). Primers were designed using OligoEvaluator (http://www.oligoevaluator.com/OligoCalcServlet) (Sigma-Aldrich) and synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies. Multiple displacement amplification-amplified environmental DNA isolated from the Lower Culex Basin at Yellowstone National Park was then amplified with Herculase polymerase (Agilent Technologies). Amplification of control and gap regions was then performed following the parameters shown in Supplementary Tables 5 and 6. Products were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel in 1x Tris-Borate-EDTA buffer stained with SYBR Gold and purified using a QIAGEN Spin purification kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Purified PCR fragments were pooled and used to construct a library with the SBS-LSK109 ligation kit. Sequencing was performed on an Oxford Nanopore MinION MK1c sequencer using an R.9.4.1 flow cell. Raw sequence data were basecalled using Guppy v.4.2.2. Reads were separated in 2 bins at 3–9 kbp (subsampled to 30x) and 9–12 kb and processed to obtain consensus sequences using Decon25 v.0.1.2 (-c 0.85 -w 6 -i -n 25 -M -r). Both control regions, comprising the rRNA and ribosomal protein operons, were 100% identical to the corresponding nucleotide sequences of the published assemblies.

Hybrid assembly. Reads were filtered using NanoFilt v 2.6.0 with the options --q 10 -l 1000. We used these filtered Nanopore reads and the mapped Illumina reads to perform a hybrid assembly with Unicycler v.0.4.4, which resolved both the main chromosomal contig and a viral contig (Huginn virus) as circular (Supplementary Fig. 1d,e). Reading mapping was performed using Bowtie 2 (ref. 2). v.2.3.5.1 for Illumina reads and minimap2 (ref. 3) v.2.17.941 for Nanopore reads. A local cumulative GC skew minimum (Supplementary Fig. 1f), together with low R-Y (purine minus pyrimidine), M-K (amino minus keto) and cumulative AT skew values, was selected as a potential replication origin; the circular contig was permutated to set this position as nucleotide +1. Annotation. CRISPR arrays were detected and classified using CRISPRDetect v.2.4 and Cas genes were detected and classified through CRISPRCasIdentifier v.1.1.0. Spacer similarity searches were assessed against IMG/VR29 v.3 (release 5.1) and against all available databases on the CRISPRTarget webserver on 26 January 2022. Local spacer searches were performed using blastn v.2.10.0+ (task blastn-short) against the Ca. Odinarchaeum assembly, its source metagenome and the nucleotide National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database. SpacePHARER v.5-c2e680a was used to search against the Ca. Odinarchaeum...
assembly and the 2018 GenBank phage and eukaryotic virus databases facilitated by the software, using as control sequences the eukaryotic virus database (with reversed sequences when using this database as target). WISh v.1.1 was used to predict host sequences of mobile element contigs, using Cm. Odoricaeaum and all archaeal representative genome sequences from the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB)\(^{10}\) release 202. VirSorter2 (ref. 9) v.2.2.3 was run with default parameters on the mobile element contigs. Proteins were classified into Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) families\(^{10}\) based on five best local BlastP\(^{19}\) v.2.10.0+ hits to the same COG domain annotation was performed through InterProScan\(^{20}\) v.5.48-83.0. Mobile element protein annotation was performed using HHSearch\(^{3}\) v.3.3.0 against Pfam\(^{11}\) v.38.1, Protein Data Bank\(^{12}\) (16 November 2020), SCOPe\(^{13}\) (01 March 2017), CDD\(^{14}\) v.3.18 and UniProt\(^{15}\) v70 (10 August 2020) viral protein sequence databases. Syntaxy plots were performed with genoPlotR\(^{16}\) v.0.8.11. Structural predictions were performed with RoseTTAFold\(^{17}\) through the Robetta portal.

**Phylogenetics.** Reference pOll sequences were obtained from Kim et al.\(^{12}\) and used for Psi-blast\(^{12}\) v.2.10.0+ against the NR v5 (as of 10 February 2021) database. Sequences with over 70% similarity were removed with CD-Hit\(^{18}\) v.4.7. The remaining sequences were aligned with Mafft-linsi\(^{19}\) v.7.450; columns with over 50% gaps were removed using trimAl\(^{20}\) v.1.4rev22. Additionally, sequences with over 50% gaps in the trimmed alignment were removed. Maximum-likelihood trees were reconstructed using IQ-TREE\(^{21}\) v.2.0-vr1 and its implementation of ModelFinder\(^{22}\) with all combinations of the empirical models LG, JTT, WAG and QPam with the site class mixtures (none, C20, C40, C60), rate heterogeneity (none, G4 and R4) and frequency (none, F) parameters. Using the obtained tree as a guide, a posterior mean site frequency (PMSF)\(^{51}\) approximation of the selected model (Q.pfam+C60+R4+F) was used to reconstruct a tree with 100 non-parametric bootstrap pseudo-replicates, which was then interpreted both as the standard Felsenstein bootstrap proportion (FBP) and as transfer bootstrap expectation (TBE)\(^{23}\). Double jelly-roll and His1-like MCPs were separately searched with Psi-blast using the alignments of query sequences and references from Yutin et al.\(^{24}\) or hits from individual BlastP searches. No further Asgard archaeal double jelly-roll MCPs and only two Lokiarchaeal His1-like MCPs were found.

To assess the taxonomy of selected MAGs with contigs encoding homologues to the Munnin and Huginn viruses, all Thermoproteota, Hadarachaeta and Asgard archaeal GTDB\(^{10}\) representative sequences (as of 1 February 2022) were retrieved and supplemented with Asgard archaeal sequences from the Hermod\(^{11}\), Sif\(^{12}\), Wukong\(^{13}\) and Jord\(^{14}\) groups. Together with the query sequences, GToTree\(^{25}\) v.1.5.45 was then used to reconstruct a tree with the parameters -H Archaed -D -G 0.2.

**Reporting summary.** Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

**Data availability**
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | Obtaining a closed Ca. Odinarchaeum LCB_4 chromosome. (a) Summary methodology for the reassembly, refinement and closing of the Ca. Odinarchaeum LCB_4 genome. (b) Schematic of the assembly status before long-range PCR (lrPCR), indicating the presence of gaps and the agreement between two separate assemblies, which guided primer design. (c) Purified lrPCR products; lane 1: Invitrogen 1 kb Plus DNA ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc), 2: Positive control ca. 5 kbp rRNA gene cluster; 3: Positive control ca. 10 kbp ribosomal protein gene cluster; 4-5: first gap closing, at distances of ca. 5 and 5.5 kbp; 6-8: second gap closing, at distances of ca. 4, 4.5 and 5 kbp. Bands of the same sizes were observed 3 times following different cycling parameters, with the clearest visualization shown in this gel. (d) Comparison between previous assembly and new assembly for Huginn virus, indicating circularity. Similarity lines represent two single BlastN hits with up to 1 mismatches. (e) Genomic patterns of the Ca. Odinarchaeum LCB_4 indicating a potential origin of replication at position 959350.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | Genome map of Ca. Odinarchaeum LCB_4. From inside out: (1) GC skew (line) and cumulative GC skew (histogram); (2) GC content; (3) Crick strand genes; (4) Watson strand genes; (5) Nanopore reads coverage capped at 1500X; (6) Illumina read coverage (light: proper pairs, NM < 3) capped at 50X; (7) repeats; (8) chromosome contig.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | Predicted structure of selected proteins. Comparisons between the structures of (a) DJR-MCPs (left: Huginn virus: OLS18934.1; right: Sulfolobus turreted icosahedral virus 1: 3J31); (b) His1-like MCPs (left: Muninn virus: OLS18630.1; right: His1 virus: YP_529533.1); (e) SIRV2-like MCPs (left: Jordarchaeia QZMA23B3: QZMA23B3_25900; right: Sulfolobus islandicus rod-shaped virus 2 (SIRV-2): 3J9X) and (f) transmembrane proteins (left: Muninn virus: OLS18631.1; right: Ca. Odinarchaeum LCB_4 virus: OLS16720). All structures predicted with RoseTTAFold are color-coded according to their error estimate (Å). (c,d) Given the high error estimates for the predicted structures of His1-like MCPs, we append HHsearch results for (C) OLS18630.1 (Muninn virus) and (D) OLS18934.1 (Ca. Odinarchaeum LCB_4 MAG), the latter of which shows a tandem duplication (Regions 1 and 2) of the His1-like MCP. H(h), α-helix; E(e), β-strand; C(c), coil.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | Taxonomic placement of archaeal MAGs. Phylogenomic tree obtained with FastTree including three archaeal MAGs (arrows) containing viral contigs and GTDB Archaea representatives for the phyla Hadarchaeota, Asgard archaea and Thermoproteota. Branch colors within Asgard archaea (orange) represent Jordarchaeia (pink) and Lokiarchaeia (purple). All placements are supported with branch support values of 1.0. Full tree can be found in data repository (see Data Availability statement).
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