TSPAN8 Expression Distinguishes Spermatogonial Stem Cells in the Prepubertal Mouse Testis
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ABSTRACT

Precise separation of spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs) from progenitor spermatogonia that lack stem cell activity and are committed to differentiation remains a challenge. To distinguish between these spermatogonial subtypes, we identified genes that exhibited bimodal mRNA levels at the single-cell level among undifferentiated spermatogonia from Postnatal Day 6 mouse testes, including Tspan8, Epha2, and Pvr, each of which encode cell surface proteins useful for cell selection. Transplantation studies provided definitive evidence that a TSPAN8-high subpopulation is enriched for SSCs. RNA-seq analyses identified genes differentially expressed between TSPAN8-high and -low subpopulations that clustered into multiple biological pathways potentially involved in SSC renewal or differentiation, respectively. Methyl-seq analysis identified hypomethylated domains in the promoters of these genes in both subpopulations that colocalized with peaks of histone modifications defined by ChIP-seq analysis. Taken together, these results demonstrate functional heterogeneity among mouse undifferentiated spermatogonia and point to key biological characteristics that distinguish SSCs from progenitor spermatogonia.

INTRODUCTION

Conventional gene expression analyses yield aggregate results from thousands or millions of cells, and therefore obscure specific subtypes within a heterogeneous cell population. This poses a particular challenge for studies of rare stem cells, such as the spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs) that support the seminiferous epithelium in the mammalian testis. SSCs are undifferentiated male germ cells that facilitate ongoing sperm production and male fertility by producing progeny cells that will either self-renew to maintain the stem cell pool or initiate differentiation yielding committed progenitors that will ultimately produce spermatozoa [1-5]. Mechanisms underlying distinctions between these cell fates remain poorly understood, in part because SSCs are extremely rare (~3000 per adult mouse testis [6]) and no endogenous marker has been described that can effectively facilitate their prospective purification (reviewed in [7]). However, experimental confirmation of SSC activity can be accomplished retrospectively using a functional transplantation assay that measures the ability of transplanted cells to seed spermatogenesis in a recipient testis [8, 9].

Recently, Chan et al. [10] reported a transgenic mouse line in which Id4 gene regulatory sequences restrict EGFP expression to a small fraction of undifferentiated spermatogonia enriched for SSCs. However, even this ID4-EGFP+ subpopulation of spermatogonia appears to be heterogeneous for SSCs and progenitors committed to differentiation. We reasoned that further resolution of differential gene expression among cells within the ID4-EGFP+ spermatogonial subpopulation could be used to further enhance enrichment of SSCs. Our recent single-cell analysis of gene expression among spermatogonia in the Postnatal Day 6 (P6) mouse testis revealed three clusters of spermatogonia displaying distinct gene expression signatures [11]. Here, we exploited these gene expression differences to recover two discrete subpopulations of ID4-EGFP+ spermatogonia based on high or low expression of TSPAN8, a sortable cell surface marker. Transplantation analysis showed that these subpopulations differ in SSC content (enriched in ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8High cells), and subsequent studies of gene expression, histone modification, and DNA methylation patterns provided unprecedented insight into molecular characteristics of the SSC-enriched subpopulation. Our results indicate that functionally distinct subtypes of undifferentiated spermatogonia are present in the P6 mouse testis, and that gene expression differences between these spermatogonial subtypes reflect developmentally relevant...
differences in cell fate characteristic of SSCs and committed progenitor spermatogonia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and Testis Cell Isolations

All experiments utilizing animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the University of Texas at San Antonio (Assurance A5392-01), East Carolina University (Assurance A3469-01), or Washington State University (Assurance A3485-01), and were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Testes from P6 F1 offspring (at least two animals per experiment) from a cross between ldd-eGFP (LT-11B6 [10]) and either C57BL/6J or B6;129S-Gt (ROSA) 26Sor/J [12]; both from The Jackson Laboratory) were used to generate suspensions of cells following enzymatic digestion, as described previously [11, 13–15].

Flow Cytometry and Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting

Testis cell suspensions were used for flow cytometry and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), essentially as described previously [11]. Briefly, cells were suspended (5–20 × 10⁶ cells/ml) in ice-cold Dulbecco PBS (DPBS) containing 10% FBS (DPBS + S), labeled with antibodies (Supplemental Table S1); Supplemental Data are available online at www.biorxiv.org, and subjected to flow cytometry using an LSRII cytomter (BD) or FACS using either a FACS Aria (BD) or SY3200 (Sony). Positive antibody labeling was determined by comparison to staining with isotype control antibodies (Supplemental Table S1). Positive ID4-EGFP epifluorescence was determined by comparison to testis cells from P6 ldd-eGFP littermates. For discrimination of dead cells, we used either propidium iodide (Biologend) or LIVE/DEAD Fixable Violet or Near-IR Dead Cell Stain Kits (ThermoFisher Scientific).

Cell Cycle Analyses

For cell cycle analysis, cells were suspended in DPBS + S and treated with 50 μM verapamil (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min at 37°C. Subsequently, cells were labeled with 5–10 μM Vybrant DyeCycle Violet Stain (ThermoFisher Scientific) for an additional 30 min at 37°C. Afterward, cells were incubated with 5–10 μM Vybrant DyeCycle Violet Stain. Cells were then cooled on ice for 5 min and labeled with antibodies, as noted above, except that all washes and antibody incubations were performed with ice-cold DPBS + S containing 50 μM verapamil and 5 μM Vybrant DyeCycle Violet Stain. Evaluation of cell staining was performed utilizing an LSRII cytomter and cell cycle stage was determined from these data using FlowJo v10.10.7 with the Cell Cycle Univariate analysis [16]. Results were from four independent labeling experiments.

Immunostaining of ldd-eGFP testes

P6 ldd-eGFP testes were stained for TSPAN8 and EPHA2 proteins, as described previously [10]. Primary and secondary antibodies are noted in Supplemental Table S1, and sections were counterstained with either phalloidin-635 (Thermofisher Scientific) or 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Vector Laboratories). Primary antibodies were omitted as a negative control.

SSC Transplantation

Cells from ldd-eGFP/RosalacZ F1 hybrid pups were sorted and transplanted into the seminiferous tubules of busulfan-treated recipient mice as described previously [15]. Briefly, sorted cell suspensions were diluted in medium to 1 × 10⁶ cells/ml and ~10 μl was microinjected into the seminiferous tubules of each adult 129/C57 F1 hybrid busulfan-treated (60 mg/kg) recipient mouse testis. One testis of each recipient received TSPAN8High cells, and the contralateral testis received TSPAN8Low cells. Presence of donor-derived colonies of spermatogenesis was detected ~2–3 mo posttransplantation by staining with X-Gal, and spermatogenic colonies were counted. Results shown are from 30 recipient testes and four replicate sorting and transplant experiments.

RNA-Seq

Sorted cells were pelleted, counted (Supplemental Table S2), and subjected to direct cDNA synthesis using the SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA Kit (Clontech Laboratories, per manufacturer recommendations, with nine cycles of amplification (Supplemental Table S3). Using 250 pg input cDNA, we prepared Nextera XT dual-index libraries with modifications from manufacturer recommendations: a) tagmentation was performed with 2.5 μl Tagmentation DNA buffer, 1.25 μl Amplification Tagmentation Mix, and 1.25 μl cDNA for 10 min at 55°C, ramp to 10°C, and immediate addition of 1.25 μl NT buffer; and b) PCR amplification with index primers was performed with the entire 6.25 μl of Tagmentation reaction mix plus 3.75 μl Nextera PCR Mix with recommended cycling conditions and 60-sec extension. Libraries were qualified for fragment size and distribution on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (522 ± 6 bp; Table S3), pooled at equal molarity, and subjected to rapid-mode Illumina HiSeq2500 sequencing (paired-end 100 bp) at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Genomics and Microarray Core. Resulting FASTQ files from each sample were merged, trimmed, and quality was confirmed with FASTQC. Trimmed FASTQs were aligned to the mouse genome (mm9) with TopHat v2.0.12 and Bowtie v2.2.3.0, and transcript abundance was determined with Cufflinks [17]. Raw and processed data were submitted to NIH Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and Sequence Read Archive (SRA) databases under accession number GSE83311. Transcript abundance values (FPKM) for each gene in each sample were normalized and used for differential gene expression analysis, as described previously [18], producing normalized expression counts. We considered genes with normalized expression counts of >2 to be expressed above the detection threshold. Statically significant differences in transcript abundance between samples were determined using an associative t-test [18]. For gene ontology (GO) analyses, lists of differentially expressed genes were analyzed by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (all tested 377306M, content version 27/12/2016; Qiagen). Hierarchical clustering heatmaps were generated using ComplexHeatmap (v1.10.2) and R (v3.3.0) with genes clustered on Ward linkage based on the Euclidean distance [19] and samples clustered based on the Spearman rank correlation coefficient [20]. For some analyses, the gene dendograms were split into six clusters according to k means.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Sorted cells were pelleted and suspended in lysis buffer for the RNAspray-Aqueous-Micro Total RNA Isolation kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) and RNA was extracted according to manufacturer recommendations. Genomic DNA was removed with the Turbo DNA-free kit (ThermoFisher Scientific). Complementary DNA was synthesized from DNase-treated RNA, as described previously [21], using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (ThermoFisher Scientific) and oligo-dT18 priming. All Taqman assays and primer sets were validated for 90%–100% efficiency (Supplemental Table S4). For quantitative PCR, reactions were carried out in triplicate for each sample and primer set using Power SYBR green PCR master mix (ThermoFisher Scientific) on a 7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). For two transcripts, Adam2 and Dmr1, TaqMan assays (Mm00474049_m1 and Mm01332852_m1) were used as described previously [15]. The relative mRNA abundance for each gene of interest was calculated using the ΔΔCt method, where Actb cDNA amplification was used for normalization to determine the fold-change value (2-ΔΔCt), and significant differences between samples were identified using t-tests.

Reduced-Representation Bisulfite Sequencing

Snap-frozen cell pellets from five independent sorts of P6 ID4-eGFP+/ TSPAN8High and TSPAN8Low subpopulations were pooled for genomic DNA isolation and reduced-representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS) using the Methyl-MidiSeq service (Zymo Research). Libraries were prepared from 300 ng of genomic DNA digested with the BfaI, Msel, and MspI restriction enzymes, and the fragments produced were ligated to preannealed adapters containing 5-methylcytosine instead of cytosine. Adapter-ligated fragments were filled in and 3′-terminal-A extended, and purified. Bisulfite treatment of the fragments was done using the EZ DNA Methylation–Lightning kit (Zymo Research). PCR was performed and the size and concentration of the fragments were confirmed on an Agilent 2200 TapeStation, then sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 (paired-end 50 bp). Sequence reads were analyzed using a Zymo Research proprietary analysis pipeline. The methylation level of each sampled cytosine was estimated as the number of reads reporting a C, divided by the total number of reads reporting a C or T. Fisher exact test or t-test was performed for each CpG site that has at least five reads covered. In addition, promoter, gene body, and CpG island annotations were added for each CpG. Raw and processed data were submitted to NIH GEO and SRA databases under accession number GSE83422.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation-Seq

Snap-frozen cell pellets from eight independent sorts of the ID4-eGFP+/ TSPAN8High and TSPAN8Low subpopulations were pooled and subjected to
low-input chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-seq service (Active Motif). Cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 15 min, quenched with 0.125 M glycine, and cell lysates were sonicated to shear the chromatin to an average length of 300–500 bp. Genomic DNA (input) was prepared by treating aliquots of chromatin with RNase, proteinase K, and heat for decrosslinking, followed by ethanol precipitation. ChIP reactions contained chromatin from either 9000 cell equivalents (H3K4me3) or 34 000 cell equivalents (H3K27me3 and H3K27ac), assuming 6.6 pg chromatin/cell. Chromatin was precleared with 600 bp [22]. For comparative tracks, genomic positions were converted to the mm10 assembly/annotation by liftOver. Raw and processed data were submitted to NIH GEO and SRA databases under accession number GSE83422.

RESULTS

Genes with Bimodal Expression Patterns Define Subpopulations of Undifferentiated Spermatogonia

Previously reported single-cell quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) data from 229 individual P6 ID4-EGFP+ undifferentiated spermatogonia [11] were examined for genes exhibiting bimodal expression patterns indicative of subgroups within the EGFP+ spermatogonial population. For any given gene, this bimodal pattern was characterized by a population of cells with detectable mRNA levels and another population without detectable mRNA. While many of the 189 genes we previously examined exhibited uniform mRNA levels (see examples highlighted in [11]), 38 genes exhibited bimodal mRNA abundance patterns (Fig. 1A), 10 of which encoded cell surface proteins, and for three of which (EPHA2, PVR, and TSPAN8), robust antibodies could be used with flow cytometry and FACS to further fractionate the EGFP+ spermatogonial population (Fig. 1B, Supplemental Fig. S1). Importantly, none of these markers was restricted to ID4-EGFP+ spermatogonia in the testis (Fig. 1B, vi–vii, bottom-right quadrants, Supplemental Fig. S2). Immunofluorescence staining for TSPAN8 and EPHA2 in sections of P6 Id4-eGFP+ testes confirmed heterogeneous expression of these proteins among EGFP+ spermatogonia in vivo (Supplemental Fig. S2). Thus, these sortable markers can be used to subdivide ID4-EGFP+ spermatogonia into multiple subpopulations.

Cell Cycle State Does Not Account for Bimodal Expression and Heterogeneity among Undifferentiated Spermatogonia

One possible explanation for gene expression heterogeneity among cells in a population is variance as a function of the cell cycle [23–25]. To address this possibility, we performed DNA content cell cycle analyses of live P6 ID4-EGFP+ spermatogonia (Fig. 2A) exhibiting higher or lower expression of TSPAN8 (TSPAN8High vs. TSPAN8Low; Fig. 2, B–D), EPHA2 (EPHA2High vs. EPHA2Low; Fig. 2, E–G), or PVR (PVRHigh vs. PVRLow; Fig. 2, H–J). All subpopulations showed a greater proportion of cells in G0/G1 than any other phase of the cell cycle (Fig. 2, B–J). Small, but statistically significant, differences in the proportion of cells in G1/G0 and G2/M phases were observed between members of each subpopulation pair (Fig. 2, D, G, and J). Specifically, the subpopulation exhibiting higher marker expression also exhibited proportionally fewer cells in G0/G1 phase of the cell cycle (Fig. 2, D, G, and J). However, despite exhibiting opposing marker staining/expression levels, the greatest difference in cell cycle state was 1.67-fold (proportion of PVRHigh flow cells in G2/M). Thus, the relatively subtle differences in cell cycle state do not account for the significant, bimodal gene expression differences between each spermatogonial subpopulation pair (e.g., presence vs. absence of mRNA for a given gene). This result supports our contention that gene expression heterogeneity is not simply the product of stochastic differences in cell cycle phase [26], but rather is indicative of bona fide subpopulations within the ID4-EGFP+ population.

TSPAN8 Expression Correlates with Stem Cell Activity among P6 ID4-EGFP+ Spermatogonia

Differences in gene expression often correlate with differences in cellular function. The most significant function of SSCs is the capacity to initiate, maintain, and/or regenerate spermatogenesis. To determine if subpopulations of P6 ID4-EGFP+ spermatogonia differ in SSC content, we performed transplantation studies using TSPAN8High and TSPAN8Low subpopulations (Fig. 3A) to assess the capacity of each to seed spermatogenesis following transplant into testes of busulfan-treated recipients, as described previously [15]. We selected the TSPAN8 marker for sorting, because it yielded the most equitable subpopulations (Fig. 1) that showed the least difference in cell cycle state between subpopulations that might otherwise confound interpretation of transplant data (Fig. 2; only 1.3-fold difference in G1/G0; [26]).

Testicular cells were recovered from P6 F1 hybrid pups hemizygous for the Id4-eGfp and Rosa-LacZ transgenes to facilitate purification of spermatogonia and tracking donor-derived spermatogenesis following transplantation, respectively. FACs was used to recover subpopulations made up of the highest (TSPAN8High) and lowest (TSPAN8Low) thirds (based on cell number) across the range of TSPAN8 marker expression from a population of undifferentiated spermatogonia marked by ID4-EGFP (Fig. 3A). Subpopulations of ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8High and ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8Low spermatogonia were sorted and transplanted into contralateral testes of busulfan-treated 129×C57 F1 hybrid recipient mice to evaluate the regenerative capacity of each. At 2 mo after transplantation, X-gal staining of the recipient testes was used to quantify colonies of donor-derived spermatogenesis, as described previously [15]. The ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8High subpopulation demonstrated a statistically significant, nearly 2-fold greater colonization capacity than the ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8Low subpopulation (Fig. 3, B and C).

The P6 ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8High and TSPAN8Low Spermatogonial Subpopulations Are Distinguished by Differential Gene Expression Patterns

To identify gene pathways or networks associated with SSC function, we performed RNA-seq on sorted ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8High and ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8Low spermatogonial subpopulations (Fig. 4A, Supplemental Tables S2 and S5). Expression of an average of 9494, 9588, and 9519 genes was detected above threshold (counts ≥2) in unfracionated ID4-EGFP+ spermatogonia, ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8High, or
As expected, pairwise comparisons of average gene expression counts revealed considerable similarity between the sorted cell populations (Supplemental Fig. S3). However, the three replicate preparations of ID4-EGFP<sup>+</sup>/TSPAN8High spermatogonia clustered together and apart from the three replicate preparations of ID4-EGFP<sup>+</sup>/TSPAN8Low spermatogonia (Fig. 4B). With the exception of *Pax7*, expression of every previously reported spermatogonial marker that we examined was detected in both the TSPAN8<sup>High</sup> and TSPAN8<sup>Low</sup> subpopulations (Fig. 4, C).

TSPAN8<sup>Low</sup> subpopulations, respectively (Supplemental Table S5). As expected, pairwise comparisons of average gene expression counts revealed considerable similarity between the sorted cell populations (Supplemental Fig. S3). However, the three replicate preparations of ID4-EGFP<sup>+/TSPAN8</sup><sup>High</sup> spermatogonia clustered together and apart from the three replicate preparations of the TSPAN8<sup>Low</sup> spermatogonia (Fig. 4B). With the exception of *Pax7*, expression of every previously reported spermatogonial marker that we examined was detected in both the TSPAN8<sup>High</sup> and TSPAN8<sup>Low</sup> subpopulations (Fig. 4, C).

**FIG. 1.** Bimodal mRNA abundance predicts subpopulations of P6 ID4-EGFP<sup>+</sup> undifferentiated spermatogonia. **A** Violin plots depict bimodal patterns of mRNA abundance among individual P6 ID4-EGFP spermatogonia based on single-cell qRT-PCR analyses (data are from [11]; the original publication details examples of genes not exhibiting bimodal abundance [e.g., *Ddx4*, *Dazl*, *Itga6*, *Zbtb16*]). Each violin is a histogram that shows log2-transformed Ct values for each replicate cell preparation (three violins per gene), where width (x-axis) at each expression level (y-axis) is indicative of the relative proportion of cells with that degree of mRNA abundance. Shown are results from 38 genes (from a total of 189 examined) that exhibited this bimodal pattern of mRNA abundance. Of the 10 bimodal genes encoding cell surface proteins, 7 (orange label bars) lacked suitable antibodies for flow cytometry or had already been investigated (e.g., GFRA1, THY1), but robust antibodies were available for the proteins encoded by *Epha2*, *Pvr*, and *Tspan8* (blue label bars). **B** Flow cytometry was used to characterize antibody staining for EPHA2, PVR, and TSPAN8 among testis cells from P6 *Id4-eGfp* mice. Density dot plots show sequential cell pregating: cells were selected based on light scatter characteristics (FSC-A × SSC-A; ii and vi and iv) and viability (propidium iodide negative; iii and iv). 4%–5% of viable single cells exhibited positive EGFP<sup>+</sup> epifluorescence (compared with *Id4-eGfp*-negative littermates, not shown). EGFP<sup>+</sup> spermatogonia exhibited either minimal background staining with isotype control antibodies (iii and iv) or abundant positive staining with antibodies against EPHA2 (v), PVR (vi), or TSPAN8 (vii). Dots denote individual cells and blue-to-red coloring scale is indicative of increasing cell number/density. The proportion of cells in gates and quadrants are noted.

**FIG. 1.** Bimodal mRNA abundance predicts subpopulations of P6 ID4-EGFP<sup>+</sup> undifferentiated spermatogonia. **A** Violin plots depict bimodal patterns of mRNA abundance among individual P6 ID4-EGFP spermatogonia based on single-cell qRT-PCR analyses (data are from [11]; the original publication details examples of genes not exhibiting bimodal abundance [e.g., *Ddx4*, *Dazl*, *Itga6*, *Zbtb16*]). Each violin is a histogram that shows log2-transformed Ct values for each replicate cell preparation (three violins per gene), where width (x-axis) at each expression level (y-axis) is indicative of the relative proportion of cells with that degree of mRNA abundance. Shown are results from 38 genes (from a total of 189 examined) that exhibited this bimodal pattern of mRNA abundance. Of the 10 bimodal genes encoding cell surface proteins, 7 (orange label bars) lacked suitable antibodies for flow cytometry or had already been investigated (e.g., GFRA1, THY1), but robust antibodies were available for the proteins encoded by *Epha2*, *Pvr*, and *Tspan8* (blue label bars). **B** Flow cytometry was used to characterize antibody staining for EPHA2, PVR, and TSPAN8 among testis cells from P6 *Id4-eGfp* mice. Density dot plots show sequential cell pregating: cells were selected based on light scatter characteristics (FSC-A × SSC-A; ii and vi and iv) and viability (propidium iodide negative; iii and iv). 4%–5% of viable single cells exhibited positive EGFP<sup>+</sup> epifluorescence (compared with *Id4-eGfp*-negative littermates, not shown). EGFP<sup>+</sup> spermatogonia exhibited either minimal background staining with isotype control antibodies (iii and iv) or abundant positive staining with antibodies against EPHA2 (v), PVR (vi), or TSPAN8 (vii). Dots denote individual cells and blue-to-red coloring scale is indicative of increasing cell number/density. The proportion of cells in gates and quadrants are noted.
FIG. 2. Cell cycle state of ID4-EGFP+ spermatogonial subpopulations. A) Cell cycle state among P6 ID4-EGFP+ subpopulations was characterized using flow cytometry and density dot plots demonstrate sequential cell pregating based on: light scatter characteristics (FSC-A × SSC-A; i), viability (propidium iodide negative; ii), single cells (iii), and ID4-EGFP+ (iv). Cells stained with antibodies against TSPAN8 (B–D), EHPA2 (E–G), and PVR (H–J) were used for DNA content analysis with the Vybrant DyeCycle Violet Stain. Histograms indicating cell number (y-axis) and DNA content (x-axis) are shown for EGFP+ spermatogonia with the top one-third (based on cell number) most intensely stained cells (B, E, and H) and marker-positive cells with the bottom one-third TSPAN8 ENRICHES MOUSE SSCs.
and D, Supplemental Tables S6 and S7). None of the nine somatic cell-specific genes that we examined were detected at detectable levels above threshold in either spermatogonial subpopulation (Fig. 4E, Supplemental Tables S6 and S7), confirming the purity of these sorted subpopulations.

To determine if the differences in gene expression that we detected between ID4-EGFP/TSPAN8High and TSPAN8Low subpopulations correlated with their significant differences in stem cell activity, we first compared mRNA levels of known markers of undifferentiated and differentiating spermatogonia in each subpopulation. This included genes previously reported to be functionally linked with SSC activity. Thus, among the 20 markers of undifferentiated spermatogonia examined, eight were significantly up-regulated in the TSPAN8High subpopulation relative to the TSPAN8Low subpopulation (Fig. 4C). These included Bcl6b and Id4, which are required for SSC self-renewal [27–29], Nanos2, which is required to inhibit SSC differentiation [30–32], Giral and Ret, which form the signaling-compotent GNDF receptor required for SSC self-renewal [33–35], and Meam, which encodes a protein that enriches for SSCs [36]. Reciprocally, transcripts encoding three markers of spermatogonial differentiation were significantly elevated in the TSPAN8Low subpopulation relative to the TSPAN8High subpopulation (Fig. 4D), including Kit, Sohlh1, and Stra8 [37–43]. Expression of Rhox10, which was recently reported to be essential for normal SSC specification [44], mirrored markers of spermatogonial differentiation (Fig. 4C). These gene expression differences align with the difference that we observed in stem cell capacity within each subpopulation, as indicated by the functional transplantation assay (Fig. 3).

Differentially-Expressed Pathways Distinguish P6 ID4-EGFP Subpopulations

In total, we detected differential expression (≥2-fold expression difference) of 289 genes between the two subpopulations, including 132 genes expressed at higher levels in the ID4-EGFP/TSPAN8High subpopulation and 157 genes expressed at higher levels in the ID4-EGFP/TSPAN8Low subpopulation (Fig. 5, A and B, Supplemental Table S7). A subset of these differentially expressed genes was validated by independent qRT-PCR studies (Supplemental Fig. S4). We used k-means clustering to group these differentially expressed genes by similarity in absolute abundance, as well as direction and amplitude of differences between the subpopulations (Fig. 5B, Supplemental Table S7). We then used GO analyses to interrogate biologically significant differences indicated by the groups of genes differentially expressed in the ID4-EGFP/TSPAN8High and TSPAN8Low subpopulations. In particular, we sought to identify mechanistic clues that might help explain the observed difference in regenerative activity (donor-derived spermatogenic colony formation from transplanted SSCs) between these subpopulations. Among the 132 genes that were significantly more abundant in the TSPAN8High subpopulation, actin cytoskeleton signaling was the top (lowest P value) canonical pathway (Actn2, Fgf9, Fgf12, Ppp4c2a, Nckap1, and Matk; Table 1, Supplemental Table S8). Elevated expression of Dpysl2 and Upb1 was also observed in TSPAN8High cells. These genes encode enzymes that catalyze the last two steps of the pyrimidine degradation pathway, which is responsible for thymidine degradation and reductive uracil degradation (Table 1, Supplemental Table S8). This aligns with previous results showing rare spermatogonia immunostained with antibodies for DPYSL2 in adult thersus monkey testes [45]. Genes associated with the integrin-linked kinase (ILK) signaling pathway, involved in cell adhesion signaling, proliferation, and motility, were also upregulated in the TSPAN8High dataset.
FIG. 4. Transcriptome characteristics of P6 ID4-EGFP$^+$ spermatogonia and TSPAN8$^{\text{High}}$ and TSPAN8$^{\text{Low}}$ subpopulations. RNA-seq was performed to further characterize P6 ID4-EGFP$^+$ spermatogonia and subpopulations using cells isolated from Id4-eGfp transgenic testes. A) FACS was used to isolate all EGFP$^+$ or subpopulations based on antibody staining for TSPAN8. Sort gates for subpopulations are shown in the density dot plot. Total EGFP$^+$ selection was as shown in Figure 2A, iv. Three replicate preparations of Id4-eGfp testis cells were used for these experiments. B) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering was performed to examine the similarity between samples using normalized gene expression data results. The heatmap shows global Z-score
somatic cell types (Expression counts of ID4-EGFP populations. Genes with statistically significant differences in levels between populations (P < 0.0001) and at least 2-fold difference in levels between ID4-EGFP+ spermatogonial populations were considered to be differentially expressed. A) The top differentially expressed genes (fold-change) are shown for comparisons between TSPAN8High and TSPAN8Low. Graphs portray the mean ± SD mRNA levels (normalized expression counts) of the noted genes for each ID4-EGFP+ subpopulation. All bar pairs are significantly different (P < 0.0001) between the TSPAN8High and TSPAN8Low subpopulations. B) Hierarchical clustering using only the differentially expressed genes was used to confirm separation between individual sample replicates and group differentially expressed genes. Heatmaps of global Z-score for the differentially expressed genes are shown for comparisons between the three replicates of each of the ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8High (TH1, TH2, TH3) and ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8Low (TL1, TL2, TL3) subpopulations. GO analyses of these differentially expressed genes are found in Table 1 and Supplemental Table S8. Horizontal clustering (samples) is based on Euclidean distance (dendrogram not shown) and vertical clustering (genes) is based on Spearman correlation coefficient. K means clustering (six clusters) was then used to group genes with like expression patterns. Cluster labels (1–6) denote gene groups that can be found in Supplemental Table S7. GO analyses of clusters are found in Supplemental Table S10. Note: a parallel analysis with 1.5-fold differences in mRNA abundance is shown in Supplemental Figure S5 and Supplemental Table S9.

To investigate potential molecular mechanisms that might contribute to the regulation of differential gene expression in these two spermatogonial subtypes, we investigated genome-wide expression patterns. Cluster labels (1–6) denote gene groups that can be found in Supplemental Table S7. GO analyses of clusters are found in Supplemental Table S10. Note: a parallel analysis with 1.5-fold differences in mRNA abundance is shown in Supplemental Figure S5 and Supplemental Table S9.

In the TSPAN8Low subpopulation, several genes involved in D-myo-inositol-5-phosphate metabolic pathway were upregulated in the TSPAN8High subpopulation (Table 1, Supplemental Table S8). Loss of heterozygosity for a series of protein phosphatases and phosphatase regulators that are involved in varied cellular processes, including signal transduction and mitochondria permeability transition pore function [47–50]. Our finding that genes encoding different regulators of phospho-inositide metabolism were upregulated in each subpopulation points to the potential for functional reciprocity in this pathway between SSC-enriched cells (Supplemental Table S10). Genes involved in the phospho-inositide metabolism pathways elevated among cells in the ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8High subpopulation were divided between clusters 3 and 4 (Fig. 5B, Supplemental Table S10). This expression pattern mirrored genes involved in retinoic acid biosynthesis and signaling (Crabp1, Rbp1, Tnfsf10), which promotes spermatogonial differentiation [5, 40, 41, 51, 52], suggesting a role for D-myo-inositol- and 3-phosphoinositide metabolism in spermatogonial differentiation.

Epigenetic Programming Targets Promoters of Genes Differentially Expressed in ID4-GFP+/TSPAN8High and ID4-GFP+/TSPAN8Low Cells

To investigate potential molecular mechanisms that might contribute to the regulation of differential gene expression in these two spermatogonial subtypes, we investigated genome-wide expression patterns. Cluster labels (1–6) denote gene groups that can be found in Supplemental Table S7. GO analyses of clusters are found in Supplemental Table S10. Note: a parallel analysis with 1.5-fold differences in mRNA abundance is shown in Supplemental Figure S5 and Supplemental Table S9.

(see legend scale) for the top 500 genes with an average expression >2 and the lowest P-adjusted value are shown for comparisons between the three replicate samples of total ID4-EGFP+ (G1, G2, G3) and three replicates each of ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8High (TH1, TH2, TH3) and ID4-EGFP+/TSPAN8Low (TL1, TL2, TL3) subpopulations. The gene dendrogram (vertical) indicates Ward linkage (Euclidean distance) and the sample dendrogram (horizontal) represents the Spearman correlation coefficient. Mean ± SD mRNA levels (normalized expression counts) are shown for each ID4-EGFP+ subpopulation for undifferentiated spermatogonial marker genes (C), pan germ cell and differentiating spermatogonial marker genes (D), and markers of testicular somatic cell types (E). Note that the y-axis is presented in Log10 scale. Statistically significant differences (P < 0.0001) between the TSPAN8High and TSPAN8Low subpopulations are noted above the adjacent pairs of bars: A (greater mRNA levels in TSPAN8High), B (greater mRNA levels in TSPAN8Low). Expression counts of <2 were considered undetectable.
FIG. 6. Epigenetic programming of genes differentially expressed between TSPAN8\textsuperscript{High} and TSPAN8\textsuperscript{Low} subpopulations. Genes that were found to have significantly different mRNA levels between the TSPAN8 subpopulations of P6 ID4-EGFP\textsuperscript{+} spermatogonia were subjected to analysis of DNA methylation and posttranslational histone modifications using genome-wide sequencing approaches. A) Reduced representation bisulfite methyl-Seq was used to profile ~17 million CpGs in genomic DNA from either pooled TSPAN8\textsuperscript{High} (horizontal axis) or pooled TSPAN8\textsuperscript{Low} (vertical axis) spermatogonia. Shown is a scatter plot of promoter CpG methylation over a 1-kbp window spanning the transcriptional start sites (TSSs) of differentially expressed genes (~0.5 kb from the TSS) in both cell populations. The percent CpG methylation (0% = unmethylated; 100% = fully methylated) was determined by averaging the methylation state for any detected CpGs falling within the promoter window. A total of 12 genes for which CpGs were not detected in at least one sample were excluded from this plot. Plotted lines indicate 50% methylation in each sample. Each dot represents one differentially expressed gene promoter. Of the 277 differentially expressed genes for which CpG methylation data were available for both populations, many were hypomethylated (238 genes, bottom left quadrant), and some were hypermethylated (32 genes, upper right quadrant) in both spermatogonial subtypes. Very few of the differentially expressed genes (seven genes) showed corresponding differential levels of DNA methylation in their promoter regions. B) Heatmaps showing stacked ChIP-seq reads centered on TSSs of differentially expressed genes (~10 kbp) are shown for H3K4me3, H3K27ac, and H3K27me3 using chromatin isolated from TSPAN8\textsuperscript{High} (High) and TSPAN8\textsuperscript{Low} (Low) subpopulations. Genes are grouped by clusters based on differential expression, as shown in Figure 5. Heatmap scale is shown at the right. C and D) Histogram tracks showing ChIP-seq (H3K4me3, H3K27ac, and H3K27me3) ChIP\textsuperscript{d} DNA abundance and methyl-seq (CpG methylation, % 5meC) results for exemplary genes. Results from TSPAN8\textsuperscript{High} (green or blue) and TSPAN8\textsuperscript{Low} (red) subpopulations are shown and sample identity is indicated to the right. Detected CpGs are noted by black tick marks below the methyl-seq data. Gene annotations are noted below the tracks (TSS = bent arrow; UTR = short bar; coding exon = tall bar). Gray scale bar = 1 kbp. C) Three different patterns of histone modifications are shown: i) peaks of H3K4me3 only (e.g., A530072M11Rik); ii) peaks of H3K27me3 only (e.g., Aldh1a3); and iii) simultaneous peaks of H3K4me3 and H3K27ac (e.g., Dusp6). Each occurred in numerous differentially expressed genes in both spermatogonial subtypes, regardless of the subtype in which the gene was up- or downregulated. In each case, peaks of histone modifications colocalized with hypomethylated promoter domains. D) Sox18 exemplified genes with simultaneous peaks of H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 characteristic of bivalent genes; Fam129c was a rare example of a gene that displayed
wide DNA methylation and histone modification patterns in populations of ID4-GFP⁺/TSPAN8High and TSPAN8Low cells. Sorted subpopulations were prepared by FACS, as described above, and analyzed for genome-wide DNA methylation patterns by Methyl-MidiSeq (Zymo Research). This RRBS-based approach used multiple restriction enzymes to provide coverage of approximately 17 million Cpg dinucleotides in the mouse genome (Supplemental Table S11), including those in gene promoters, gene bodies, and Cpg islands and intergenic regions (Supplemental Fig. S6). Overall, we observed very few differences in either genome-wide (Supplemental Fig. S7) or promoter region-specific DNA methylation patterns between the two subpopulations (Fig. 6A). Notably, a preponderance of genes differentially expressed between the two spermatogonial subpopulations (237/289) were hypomethylated in the promoter region in both subpopulations, regardless of the specific subpopulation in which each gene was upregulated (Fig. 6A, bottom left quadrant; Supplemental Table S12).

ChIP-seq (Active Motif) detected genome-wide patterns of three histone modifications, H3K4me3, H3K27ac, and H3K27me3, and revealed thousands of peaks for these marks in both TSPAN8High and TSPAN8Low cells (Fig. 6B, Supplemental Table S13). We detected ChIP-Seq peaks indicative of one or more of these three histone modifications in the promoter regions of a majority of the 289 genes differentially expressed in the TSPAN8High and TSPAN8Low spermatogonial subtypes (Fig. 6B). In the promoter regions of a majority of the differentially expressed genes, we detected similar histone modification patterns in both spermatogonial subtypes (Fig. 6, C and D). For instance, some gene promoters were marked uniquely by peaks of H3K4me3 (active gene mark; e.g., A3s0072M11Rik; Fig. 6C), while other gene promoters were marked only by peaks of H3K27me3 (repressive gene mark; e.g., Aldh1a3; Fig. 6C), and still other gene promoters were marked by both H3K4me3 and H3K27ac (both active marks; e.g., Dusp6; Fig. 6C). We also observed genes with simultaneous peaks of H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 characteristic of bivalent genes (e.g., Sox18; Fig. 6D). In a small number of the differentially expressed genes, we observed subtle differences with respect to the preponderance of one or more of these histone modifications (e.g., at the Fami129c gene [Fig. 6D], which showed higher peaks for H3K4me3 and H3K27ac in the TSPAN8Low cells, in agreement with higher mRNA levels in TSPAN8Low cells). Lastly, genes that were repressed in both spermatogonial subpopulations typically exhibited an absence of any peaks of histone modifications in the promoter regions (e.g., Cyp11a1; Fig. 6D). There was also significant concordance between regions of DNA hypomethylation and histone modification peaks over gene promoters in a large majority of the differentially expressed genes (Fig. 6, C and D).

**DISCUSSION**

Spermatogenesis is maintained throughout adulthood by SSCs that must balance self-renewal and differentiation to sustain the pool of stem cells and simultaneously meet the biological demand for sperm production required for normal male fertility in mammals. However, the mechanisms leading to formation of the SSC pool and the subsequent response of these cells to signals that induce self-renewal or differentiation are poorly understood, largely because of technical challenges that have prevented precise, selective investigations of SSCs. In part, this is due to the extreme rarity of SSCs (~0.1% of adult mouse spermatogonia are SSCs [6, 53]), but this also reflects the fact that it has been impossible to precisely and prospectively identify and selectively recover purified subpopulations of SSCs and progenitors, respectively, from among the heterogeneous pool of undifferentiated spermatogonia in the testes of any mammalian species (reviewed in [7]). Based on experimental procedures that have been optimized for use with the mouse (e.g., transgenesis, gene knockouts, genome editing), there has been recent progress toward methods to cleanly separate SSCs and progenitor spermatogonia in the mouse testis [11, 29, 54]. Ultimately, however, methods to purify spermatogonial subtypes from domestic animals or humans will be needed to facilitate translation of this technology to agricultural or clinical settings, respectively. To this end, endogenously expressed markers offer the most promising option, because, to the extent that expression patterns of these markers are conserved, translation of sorting technology from the mouse to other mammalian species should be straightforward.

In the present study, we mined our recently published data describing gene expression in single, undifferentiated spermatogonia from the P6 mouse testis [11] to identify novel endogenous markers that can facilitate a more precise and complete subdivision of SSC and progenitor subpopulations, and that have the potential to be used to select subpopulations of SSCs and progenitors from testes of other mammalian species, including humans. We focused on a marker encoded with an antibody to this cell surface protein defined subsets of P6 ID4-EGFP⁺ spermatogonia. Differential labeling with an antibody to this cell surface protein defined subsets of P6 ID4-EGFP⁺ spermatogonia, and these subpopulations displayed differing phenotypic characteristics, the most critical of which was differential enrichment for regenerative capacity indicative of functional SSCs that can seed spermatogenesis following transplantation to a recipient testis. A functional
assay of this sort provides the only reliable approach to confirm the accuracy of any putative marker that might be used to identify or selectively recover SSCs. Here, we have shown that different levels of the endogenous cell surface protein, TSPAN8, can be used in conjunction with ID4-EGFP<sup>+</sup> labeling to selectively recover subpopulations of mouse undifferentiated spermatogonia that are relatively enriched for (TSPAN8<sup>High</sup>) or depleted of (TSPAN8<sup>Low</sup>) functional SSCs.

In the P6 testis, prospermatogonia that were present at earlier developmental stages (as late as P4) have entirely converted to either undifferentiated or differentiating spermatogonia that will contribute to the first wave of spermatogenesis [55–57]. We have previously reported that Kit mRNA is detectable in most P6 ID4-EGFP<sup>+</sup> spermatogonia, yet the presence of Kit mRNA was not predictive of the presence of Kit protein [11]. In the present study, TSPAN8<sup>High</sup> subpopulation of P6 ID4-EGFP<sup>+</sup> spermatogonia investigated here exhibited enhanced Kit levels, consistent with a relatively more differentiated phenotype than the TSPAN8<sup>High</sup> subpopulation. However, it is impossible to discern whether this enhanced Kit mRNA among ID4-EGFP<sup>+</sup>/TSPAN8<sup>Low</sup> spermatogonia is indicative of spermatogonial descendants that are contributing to the first wave or spermatogonia initiating differentiation from a stem cell state. Additional lineage-tracing studies would be necessary to provide more definitive evidence of the developmental origin and trajectory of these cells.

It should be noted that TSPAN8 expression was detected in both germ and somatic cell types in mouse pup testes. Thus, because TSPAN8 is not a germ cell-specific marker, we used coselection with ID4 as a spermatogonia-specific marker plus the TSPAN8 marker (high or low) to recover undifferentiated spermatogonia subdivided into SSC-enriched and SSC-depleted subpopulations. In addition, while the TSPAN8<sup>Low</sup> subpopulation was depleted of regenerative activity relative to the TSPAN8<sup>High</sup> subpopulation, SSCs were not absent. This subpopulation was depleted of regenerative activity relative to the TSPAN8<sup>High</sup> or depleted of (TSPAN8<sup>Low</sup>) functional SSCs.

One possible contributor to differential regenerative activity by SSCs upon transplantation is cell cycle state. Previously, cultured spermatogonia in G1/G0 were shown to have enhanced colonization activity than those in S/G2/M due to enhanced transit across the blood-testis barrier in transplant studies [26]. While it is not known if freshly isolated spermatogonia exhibit these same characteristics, we observed 1.9-fold more regenerative activity among TSPAN8<sup>High</sup> spermatogonia, despite 1.3-fold fewer cells in G0/G1 (compared with the TSPAN8<sup>Low</sup> subpopulation). Thus, it is possible that differential cell cycle state may have partially muted our detection of differences in SSC content between TSPAN8 subpopulations.

The distinct subpopulations of undifferentiated spermatogonia selected using the TSPAN8-based approach we report here were further defined by our gene expression analysis, which allowed us to detect significant differential gene expression (289 genes) between these subpopulations. In particular, we observed upregulation of genes involved in SSC self-renewal (Bcl6b and Id4 [27–29]) and inhibition of SSC differentiation (Nanos2 [30, 31, 61]) in the TSPAN8<sup>High</sup> spermatogonial subpopulation, and upregulation of genes associated with spermatogonial differentiation (Kit, Sövh1 [37–39]) in the TSPAN8<sup>Low</sup> subpopulation. These results align with our observation that the TSPAN8<sup>High</sup> subpopulation is enriched for SSC activity relative to the TSPAN8<sup>Low</sup> subpopulation, because SSC regenerative capacity is found in self-renewing spermatogonia, but lost from differentiating spermatogonia (reviewed in [4]). Thus, the differences in gene expression that we have delineated between the TSPAN8<sup>High</sup> and TSPAN8<sup>Low</sup> subpopulations provide unique signatures that can potentially distinguish self-renewing (SSC) from differentiating (committed progenitor) spermatogonia, and this distinction can facilitate future studies to more thoroughly define the molecular mechanisms underlying these alternative cell fates. A potential contributor to regulation of spermatogonial fate is TSPAN8 itself, given that cell selection on the basis of high TSPAN8 expression distinguished an SSC-enriched population. TSPAN8 is known to interact with other cell surface proteins that are expressed by undifferentiated spermatogonia, including α6-integrin [62], E-cadherin [63], and EpCAM [64]. It is tempting to speculate that TSPAN8 may somehow interface with signals mediated by these proteins to affect spermatogonial fate or function, similar to its role in other systems [65, 66].

GO analyses of differentially expressed genes between these two subpopulations identified several specific pathways up-regulated in the ID4-EGFP/TSPAN8<sup>High</sup> subpopulation including ILK signaling. ILK signaling has been implicated in promotion of regenerative capacity and inhibition of
Given that TSPAN8 is an endogenously produced cell surface protein, our results raise the possibility that TSPAN8 selection might form the basis of a useful strategy for sorting highly enriched populations of SSCs and/or committed progenitor spermatogonia in mammalian species for which transgenic reporters (such as Id4-eGfp) are not available. For instance, the endogenous TSPAN8 marker might afford a novel opportunity to determine if differential expression of this cell surface protein also marks distinct subpopulations of undifferentiated spermatogonia in the primate testis. Thus, based on our finding that the TSPAN8 marker can be used to distinguish spermatogonial subtypes in the immature mouse testis, we can now initiate more thorough analyses of the analogous spermatogonial subtypes in the developing testis in nonhuman primates and humans. Definitive assignment of a functional relevance to selection of TSPAN8+ or negative cells in nonrodent species would require transplantation of the selected cells to measure their functional capacities. Autologous transplant of spermatogonia has been achieved in nonhuman primates [74], and could be used to assess potential enrichment of regenerative SSCs in TSPAN8-selected subpopulations. Alternatively, conserved transcriptomes shown to be differentially associated with SSC or progenitor spermatogonia in the mouse should provide insight into the development and functional capacity of SSCs in other species, including humans.

Overall, the results of our study define a novel strategy based on the use of antibody staining for TSPAN8 to fractionate populations of ID4-eGFP+ spermatogonia that can be used to select the most highly enriched population of SSCs from the mouse pup testis reported to date. This strategy also facilitated identification of novel gene expression differences distinguishing SSCs from other undifferentiated spermatogonia, which point to biological pathways that distinguish spermatogonia with regenerative capacity (SSCs) from those that have initiated, or are about to initiate, differentiation (progenitors). Finally, our studies may open the door to interrogate the functional significance of spermatogonial heterogeneity broadly among nonrodent mammalian species, including primates, which may facilitate selection of SSCs in the clinic for treatment of male infertility [75].
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