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ABSTRACT

Background: Patients suffering from osteoarthritis undergo surgery to replace hip joints with hip prosthesis implants. Today most acetabular cups of hip prostheses are made of Ultra-High-Molecular-Weight-Polyethylene. However, these materials acting as acetabular cups of the implant have been recalled since patients have been feeling uncomfortable and abstained from physical activities. A newly introduced material, 30% Carbon Reinforced Polyetherketone, possess better isotropic mechanical properties and lower wear rates.

Objective: The research aims to compare the von-Mises stresses and deformation in static and dynamic loading of Ultra-High Molecular-Weight-Polyethylene to 30% Reinforced Carbon Fiber Polyetherketone using Finite Element Analysis.

Material and Methods: An analytical study was performed to evaluate material selection and their contact performances of acetabular cups. Four pairs have been analyzed under loading conditions following ASTM F2996-13 and ISO 7206-4 standards. The acetabular cups options are made of 30% Carbon Reinforced Fiber Polyetherketone or Ultra-High-Molecular-Weight-Polyethylene. Besides, the femoral head and steam options are either Alumina Ceramic or Cobalt Chrome Molybdenum.

Results: The yield strength of Ultra-High-Molecular-Weight-Polyethylene is considerably small, resulting in the acetabular cup to fail when applied to high loading conditions. Carbon Reinforced Polyetherketone with Alumina Ceramic yielded 65% lower deformation at stumbling phase.

Conclusion: Since the study focuses on linear isotropic material properties, Alumina Ceramic dominates a higher elastic modulus than Cobalt Chrome Molybdenum, nominating it the best fit combination for lower von-Mises stresses, acting on the Carbon Reinforced Polyetherketone acetabular cup.
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Introduction

Physical wellness involves aspects in a human’s life to promote good health and is developed through physical activities such as exercising and walking to maintain an optimal health. However, Osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the most common diseases that turns the span of a human’s life from physical wellness to physical distress. Total Hip Arthroplasty (THA) is a form of surgery, replacing painful and
dysfunctional hip joints with orthopedic prostheses. Since OA is widely spread today, doctors have found a remedy to enhance physical wellness of the patient. In 1960, the first hip replacement was performed and recorded as the most successful treatment during the era [1, 2]. Hip replacement is a surgery where the damaged bone and cartilage are replaced by prosthetic components. Hip prosthetic implants are made up of three major components follows: acetabular component, femoral head, and femoral stem. The acetabular component is the socket bowl shaped component that is considered to refit a patient’s resurfaced socket. The acetabular piece is mostly made using metal, plastic polymer, or ceramic material in certain cases. The most common material used as an acetabular cup in THA is Ultra-High-Molecular-Weight-Polyethylene (UHMWPE). UHMWPE possess low-yield strength resulting in the acetabular cup to break at high load conditions and leading to distress for active patients. Among all polymers, Polyethereterketone (PEEK) is a thermoplastic resin that provides higher toughness and better resistances to abrasive wear. 30 Carbon Reinforced/PEEK (CF/PEEK) laminates show an increase in temperature since the thermoplastic matrix promotes good mechanical and chemical resistance [3].

30 CF/PEEK as a Biomaterial for Hip Prosthesis

30 CF/PEEK has been used historically in spinal cages, bone fixation screws, and even cardiac leads [4]. Recently, 30 CF/PEEK is considered as a biomaterial for implants, including knee replacements. The essential benefit of 30 CF/PEEK as an implant material is that it highly impacts strength to avoid breaking down easily. The elastic modulus of 30 CF/PEEK is identical to that of a human’s bone and can withstand prolonged fatigue strain. In addition, 30 CF/PEEK can be manufactured to match the modulus of both cortical and cancellous bone densities. In 2012, Dickson et al., studied the surface strain measurement in the pelvis using acetabular cups made from cobalt chromium, polyethylene, and 30 CF/PEEK [5]. The material that produced the closest bone strain to the intact hip in the main load path was 30 CF/PEEK. Therefore, the study concluded that CF/PEEK could promote less adverse bone adaption than the current stiffer press-fitted implants in. Also, Wang et al., studied the wear behavior of CF/PEEK bearing couple for both knee replacements and hip prosthesis [6]. The study concluded that CF/PEEK offers greater tensile strength and is denser, showing that 30 CF/PEEK material could be a more suitable material for implants after comparing wear rates with polyethylene.

Loading Conditions

Dynamic loading is time-dependent, which can be accelerated. Static loading is independent of time, resulting in only one response, i.e. displacement. For static condition, the abnormal force of 2300 N perpendicular to the acetabular cup is followed from ASTM F2996-13 and ISO 7206-4 standards [7, 8]. The abnormal static force is the matter of interest more than normal force of 1200 N since it gives insights whether 30 CF/PEEK and UHMWPE acting as an acetabular cup survives the highly exerted force to perform further analysis in dynamic loading condition, which encompasses higher magnitude of forces than static abnormal condition. In dynamic analysis, the magnitude and distribution of stress and displacement during walking is vital to understand the mechanics of an artificial joint [9]. Therefore, a dynamic analysis test is the key point to benefit based on evaluating multiple directional forces to choose the best fit material combination. The gait cycle resembles the dynamic loading condition and is defined as the continuous repetitive pattern of walking or running, which is split into two main phases: stance and swing. El’Sheikh et al., compared the hip prosthesis subjected to a dynamic stumbling load and the peak static load of a similar patient load be-
However, many previous studies conducted only evaluate static loads, where the peak loads during normal gait is measured at a specific time. These studies do not consider hip joints exposed to loads such as climbing the stairs, stumbling, or even jumping. Such types of loads are effective loads, resulting hip joints to fail.

**Material and Methods**

An analytical study was performed to evaluate material selection for acetabular cups in hip prosthetic devices. The materials used in the analysis are as follows: CoCrMo, Alumina, 30 CF/PEEK, and UHMWPE. CoCrMo and Alumina are the most common used materials for femoral stems and heads in hip implants today and UHMWPE is the superior material used for acetabular cups. 30 CF/PEEK is the proposed material selection as a surrogate for UHMWPE. The joints are listed as X-Y, where X is the acetabular cup and Y is the femoral head and stem. The list is shown as follows:

I. UHMWPE-CoCrMo
II. UHMWPE-Alumina
III. 30 CF/PEEK-CoCrMo
IV. 30 CF/PEEK-Alumina

UHMWPE is a linear semicrystalline thermoplastic polyethylene made by a composite of crystalline and amorphous phases with repeat unit $[C_4H_4]_n$, where n denotes the number of polymerizations. Conventional high density polyethylene (HDPE) and UHMWPE differ in the number of polymerizations. The higher the number of polymerizations lead to the stiffer and stronger the polyethylene. 30 CF/PEEK is a thermoplastic semicrystalline polymer in the polyetherketone (PEEK) family with excellent mechanical and chemical resistance properties. The properties of 30 CF/PEEK in terms of strength and stiffness of the material, 30 CF/PEEK can replace UHMWPE acetabular cups in orthopedic applications.

**Theory**

Understanding the theory of stresses acting on hip prosthesis and mechanical properties of materials could lead to an innovation that would eventually diminish recalls and guarantee patient satisfaction. There are three vital elements when testing a simulation of a hip prosthesis, including von-Mises stress, deformation, and life expectancy. The von-Mises stress criterion states if a material under a certain load is equal or greater than the elastic yield limit of the same material under simple tension. This study focused on the acetabular cup’s survivability that the von-Mises stress criterion is applied to check if the acetabular cup material under a certain load is equal or less than the yield limit. The femoral stem is a brittle material that possess an order of magnitude higher yield limit than the acetabular cup material. The acetabular cup will meet the design limit prior to the femoral stem. The von-Mises stress is a criterion used for yield and stating if yielding occurs in a body for the components of stress, which act on it and are greater than the criterion shown in Equation I:

$$\sigma_v = \sqrt{\frac{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)^2 + (\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)^2 + (\sigma_3 - \sigma_1)^2}{2}}$$

where $\sigma_v$ is the von-Mises stress of the material and $\sigma_{1,2,3}$ are the principal stresses. Failure yield occurs when $\sigma_v \geq S_y$ and $S_y$ is the material’s yield strength.

Moreover, mechanical deformation is the physical deformation of a substance from one configuration into another. The relationship between stress and strain is linear in the elastic region and nonlinear in the plastic deformation region. Plastic region is when a deformed material causes change in material’s shape and indicates how much it can elongate until it breaks. Only linear isotropic relationship between stress and strain can be simulated to
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study the elastic region. If a material analyzed in software leads to higher von-Mises stress value than the material’s yield strength ($\sigma_e \geq S_y$), the material conclusively fails, and any further simulation cannot be investigated.

Life expectancy is the most critical element, studied before choosing the best pair. Before failing a hip prosthesis device, the maximum debris is 2 mm. To estimate the wear, Archard’s law can be used [12]:

$$V = K_w S P_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (II)

where $V$ is the total volume of debris produced by the device, $S$ is the sliding distance measured in millimeters (mm), and $P_n$ is the normal pressure. Differentiating equation II wear depth ($dh$) can be calculated:

$$dV / dh = K_w \sigma \times \Delta A \times ds$$  \hspace{1cm} (III)

$$dh = K_w \sigma / \sigma_{fc} \times ds$$

Here the maximum frictional pressure, $\sigma_{fc}$, is measured in megapascal (MPa) and $K_w$ is the wear coefficient and a function of material properties, and obtained experimentally. The aim of this paper is to analyze and introduce 30% Carbon Reinforced Polyetherketone (30 CF/PEEK) as a better new material for acetabular cup in hip implants by implying comparative analysis with today’s best material, (UHMWPE), under static and dynamic loading.

Material Properties and Boundary Conditions

The analytical study focuses on contact analysis of material selection in acetabular cups for hip prosthesis subjected under multiple loading conditions. Linear isotropic material properties chosen for the analysis are shown in Table 1.

The finite element analysis (FEA) setup is based on ASTM F9226-13 and ISO 7206-4 standards. The setup consists of boundary, contacts, loading, and mesh conditions. There is only one boundary condition that the ASTM F9226-13 includes in the analysis of a hip prosthesis in FEA. Since the stem is buried in the human bone, the boundary condition is considered benign. To save computational effort, the buried part of the stem is removed, and the boundary condition is applied on the bottom of the removed piece. By computing the full body hip prosthesis with the buried stem, the results do not vary. To save computational effort, the buried stem of the fixed position is removed in the analysis.

There are two major contacts in the hip prosthesis design. The first contact tool is between the acetabular cup and the femoral head. Contact body is defined as elements constrained against penetrating the target body. However, target body is element that can penetrate the surface. In rigid-flexible contact, the softer and stiffer materials are selected as the contact and the target body, respectively. UHMWPE and 30 CF/PEEK are softer materials than Alumina and CoCrMo in terms of density and strength. The acetabular cup is the contact, acting on the femoral head, which is the target body.

The relationship between the contact and target body is frictional. Frictional contact is

| Material      | Young’s modulus (MPa) | Poisson’s ratio | Yield strength (MPa) | Density (Kg/m$^3$) |
|---------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| UHMWPE       | 2200                  | 0.33           | 21                   | 880                |
| CoCrMo       | $230 \times 10^3$    | 0.3            | 517                  | 7609               |
| Alumina      | $375 \times 10^3$    | 0.3            | 580                  | 872                |
| 30 CF/PEEK   | $252 \times 10^3$    | 0.379          | 363                  | 1306               |

Table 1: Linear isotropic material properties [11, 13].
Carbon Reinforced Polyetherketone Acetabular Cup

a nonlinear contact that allows the bodies to move freely in normal and tangential directions with respect to a frictional coefficient, $F_c$. Bishop et al., studied the relationship between diameter of the acetabular cup and friction coefficient [14]. The study concludes the highest friction factors observed in dry conditions at 33° cup angle is $F_c = 0.08$ and $M = 26.5$ Nm. The acetabular cup is designed with a diameter of 24 mm to meet clinical requirements used today in total arthroplasty. Since maximum von-Mises stresses and deformations are the points of interest, $F_c$ is assigned 0.08 for all iterations in the analysis in both static and dynamic cases.

Another contact was added in the analysis between the femoral head and stem. The assembly is joined by a bonded contact to ensure no movements when analyzing under forces. Bonded contact is a linear contact with restricted normal and tangential movements and bodies are unable to move freely. The components are bonded together to avoid head displacement from the stem. Figure 1 shows the boundary conditions and contact definitions used in the analysis.

The orientation of the force is placed on the acetabular cup to resemble the ASTM F9926-13 load model of the circular face of the hip stem trunnion. For static case, the force is chosen as a vector acting normal to the acetabular cup bottom surface direction on the acetabular cup with $F = 2300$ N equivalent to abnormal force from ISO 7206-4. The directional force follows the local coordinates added to the acetabular cup. The abnormal force is the matter of interest when analyzing static case to ensure a bearing couple survives to pursue ana-

Figure 1: a) Half-body boundary condition b) Contact body acetabular cup c) Target body femoral head d) Bonded contact of femoral head and stem e) Applied normal force
Analyzing further in dynamic condition. If a material fails at an abnormal force, then no further analysis in dynamic condition is required. This is because dynamic forces from the gait cycle varies from one iteration to another, resulting in higher magnitude of forces than the static abnormal force and ASTM F2996-13 only specifies loading condition for static analysis.

Figure 2 shows the dynamics loads from 1-5 seconds in [x, y, z] directions from El’Sheikh et al., where t = 3 seconds is the stumbling phase. The stumbling phase is the peak load.

Table 2 shows the forces of dynamic analysis from the gait cycle from Figure 2.

ASTM F2996-13 endorses hexahedral dominant or tetrahedral elements as the types of mesh that is recommended to use when analyzing hip prosthesis in FEA. A mixture of both recommended types of elements are used in the analysis, tetrahedral elements for the acetabular cup and stem and hexahedral dominant for the femoral head.

When studying the mesh convergence of total deformation, as element size increases,
the corresponding total deformation increases. The smaller the element size, the closer the values are i.e. element size 0.7-0.9 mm. For element increments of 0.1 mm, 0.7-0.9 mm have an average of 3.83% difference in total deformation results, where 0.9 mm is the lowest percent difference of 3.68% and is chosen as an element body size for the analysis.

Results

In static loading condition, the results for both acetabular cups analyzed varied in stresses. UHMWPE von-Mises stress result was 22.2 MPa and is higher than the material’s yield strength. Following the inequality, \( \sigma_e \geq \delta_y \), UHMWPE stress was 22.2 MPa > 21 MPa and is concluded as unsafe to use. Hooke’s law is limited to elastic region and if a material fails the criteria, then the material is assumed to be plastically deforming. 30 CF/PEEK von-Mises stress was higher than UHMWPE but satisfies the survivability criteria because of its superior yield strength 21.8 MPa < 363 MPa.

The maximum von-Mises stress occurs on the surface edge of the acetabular cup where frictional relationship exist between the contact and target bodies. Based on the von-Mises stresses of the acetabular cups, UHMWPE is unable to survive under the static loading condition and deforms because of its low yield strength. In result, 30 CF/PEEK is the only option nominated as safe. 30 CF/PEEK-Alumina and 30 CF/PEEK-CoCrMo are analyzed under dynamic loading in \( F_x \), \( F_y \), \( F_z \) from 1-5 seconds under ASTM F9926-13 conditions. The forces are added as components of \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \) to follow the local coordinates acting on the acetabular cup. Also, the total deformation are analyzed in iterations from 1-5 seconds to evaluate the performance during the gait cycle.

30 CF/PEEK is the only option to evaluate under dynamic loading condition and the results from 1-5 seconds are summarized in Table 3, where \( t = 3 \) seconds is the stumbling phase.

As shown from dynamic von-Mises stress graph from Figure 3, from 1 - 3 seconds the forces increase in all \([x, y, z]\) directions causing the von-Mises to increase proportionally. During stumbling phase, the highest value of von-Mises stress is recorded as 28.3 MPa, lower than 30 CF/PEEK yield strength. Any time beyond 3 seconds, the forces decrease and so do the von-Mises stresses.

The deformation of 30 CF/PEEK-Alumina and 30 CF/PEEK-CoCrMo are analyzed in dynamic loading. The pair with the least deformation will be nominated as the best-pair option. Table 4 summarizes the total deformation of the two pairs under dynamic loading condition.

The results varied between 30 CF/PEEK-Alumina and 30 CF/PEEK-CoCrMo with an average of 0.39 mm higher for CoCrMo combination and approximately twice the average during stumbling phase. CoCrMo has lower elastic modulus than Alumina resulting with higher deformation.

Life Expectancy and Wear Results

In a complete walking cycle, the hip joint rotates 80° [15]. The femoral head of the radius for this present study is 12 mm. For a total hip, rotation in one cycle is equal to 80° and the sliding distance is the acetabular cup head radius multiplied by the rotation angle.

Sliding distance = Head radius \( \times \) Rotation angle

Sliding distance = 12 mm \( \times \) 1.396 = 16.75 mm

In this demonstration, CF/PEEK-Alumina at stumbling phase is calculated using frictional

| Time (s) | von-Mises Stress (MPa) |
|---------|------------------------|
| 1       | 15.4                   |
| 2       | 16.3                   |
| 3       | 28.3                   |
| 4       | 13.9                   |
| 5       | 1.3                    |

Table 3: Dynamic von-Mises stress of 30 Carbon Reinforced (CF)/Polyethereterketone (PEEK) acetabular cup
contact pressure $\sigma_c$. Now the wear depth (dh) can be calculated using Equation III. To obtain the frictional coefficient, a pin-on-disc experiment is required to find $K_w$. Scholes et al., studied the Carbon Reinforced Polyetherketone and OPTIMA Alumina Ceramic (CF/PEEK OPTIMA) articulating against alumina ceramic on pin-on-desk testing and for the four tests the average of wear coefficient is the $K_w = 19.35 \times 10^{-8}$ mm$^3$/Nm [16].

Wear depth = $19.35 \times 10^{-8}$ mm$^3$/Nm $\times$ 642 MPa $\times$ 16.75 mm = 2.08 mm/cycle

assuming if an average person takes one million steps over a year, the wear is found to be 0.295 mm/year. The allowable wear of a hip prosthesis is 2 mm. The life expectancy is always approximately 1 year for a hip prosthesis under stumbling phase i.e. walking the stairs. The assumption is that the person is walking the stairs for 24 h and 365 days of the year for in 10 million steps, the hip will fail in one year. A person would not climb the stairs for 24 h of a day, 365 days of a year, continuously. Evaluating the design choice combination in abnormal cases would give insights how the hip prosthesis could survive such conditions. To extend life expectancy, a larger radius of the acetabular cup is recommended.

**Discussion**

A comparison study is performed between the proposed hip prosthesis following ASTM F2996-13 standards and authors who studied only parts of the hip prosthesis without fol-
lowing any standards. Stumbling phase is a result of interest at highest peak stress to evaluate if a combination survives. Shankar et al., concluded that the best options to choose are UHMWPE-CoCr and UHMWPE-Alumina and the difference between both combinations is only 10 MPa higher for CoCr [17]. Varghese concluded CF/PA 12 and CF/PEEK are both good options when compared to the human bone von-Mises stress. CF/PA 12 was closer [18]. Von-Mises stresses at stumbling for Shankar et al., and Varghese are compared to 30 CF/PEEK-Alumina in the bar graph below (Figure 4).

Conclusion
It is found that 30 CF/PEEK performs better as an acetabular cup than UHMWPE. UHMWPE has a low yield strength causing the material to be brittle when analyzing large forces. UHMWPE deforms at abnormal F = 2300 N from ISO 7206-4 by resulting with von-Mises stress larger than the material’s yield strength. In other hand, 30 CF/PEEK survives the abnormal force due to its higher yield strength. In reference to total deformation, 30 CF/PEEK-Alumina pair always deforms lower than 30 CF/PEEK-CoCrMo due to larger elastic modulus. Even if both Alumina and CoCrMo combinations survived, the lower deformed couple is a better and safer option to choose. CoCrMo is negated since metal alloys tend to cause inflammation in the human body after a while. In conclusion, 30 CF/PEEK-Alumina is the best pair for a hip prosthesis to ensure patient’s comfortability.

30 CF/PEEK-Alumina has been observed as bearing couple materials under static ASTM F9226-13 and dynamic condition of the gait cycle by FEA. After gaining confidence of the materials combination, a vivo test known as AMTI hip wear simulation test is required to meet the ISO 14243-1 standard before taking into consideration the bearing couple as “best-fit” for hip prosthesis joints in surgical procedures.
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