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Summary

A practical Pd-catalyzed carbonylation of (hetero)aryl bromides using a crystalline carbon monoxide (CO) surrogate, 2,4,6-trichlorophenyl formate (TCPF), was developed. This reaction proceeds without the slow addition technique that was previously required and with a low catalyst loading (1 mol%). The utility of this Pd-catalyzed external-CO-free carbonylation using TCPF was demonstrated in the synthesis of a histone deacetylase inhibitor.
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Aromatic carboxylic acid derivatives are important compounds that comprise many drugs, fine chemicals, and their synthetic intermediates. Aromatic halides constitute useful starting materials in the synthesis of aromatic carboxylic acid derivatives. There are mainly two synthetic approaches that can be used to obtain the carboxylic acid derivatives from aromatic halides: metal–halogen exchange using metals or organometallic reagents followed by treatment with carbon dioxide\(^1\)–\(^3\) and derivatization of the resulting carboxylic acids, and Pd-catalyzed carbonylation in the presence of nucleophiles.\(^4\)–\(^7\) The former method involves a strongly basic metalated intermediate that is incompatible with sensitive functional groups, while the latter proceeds smoothly under weakly basic conditions.

Because of the versatility of Pd-catalyzed carbonylation, it has been widely studied since the pioneering work of Heck et al. reported in 1974.\(^8\)–\(^10\) However, because the reaction uses toxic and flammable carbon monoxide (CO) gas, special cautions are required, especially when the reaction is conducted on a laboratory scale. To solve this problem, many research groups have worked on the development of CO surrogates that generate CO in situ, and several safe and facile carbonylation reactions using CO surrogates have been reported.\(^11\)–\(^18\)

Recently, we and Tsuji et al. independently reported Pd-catalyzed carbonylation reactions using a liquid CO surrogate, phenyl formate. It was found to react with weak bases (e.g., NEt\(_3\)) at elevated temperatures to form CO and phenol, and could be employed in external-CO-free carbonylation reactions in situ.\(^19\)–\(^21\) In the course of our investigations on more reactive CO surrogates, we also developed a highly reactive crystalline CO surrogate, 2,4,6-trichlorophenyl formate (1, TCPF).\(^22\) While it is highly air-stable and can be stored under ambient conditions, the generation of CO from 1 occurs rapidly (in ca. 30 min) in the presence of NEt\(_3\), even at room temperature (rt). The utilization of 1 enabled us to conduct the Pd-catalyzed aryloxycarbonylation of iodoarenes and alkenyl triflates at rt. We also reported facile, scalable procedures to prepare TCPF from low-priced starting materials and their applications in gram-scale Pd-catalyzed carbonylation reactions.\(^23\) Furthermore, the resulting aryloxycarbonylated products, trichlorophenyl esters, are electrophilic enough to be easily transformed with various nucleophiles to give other derivatives such as amides and thioesters in high yields.\(^24\),\(^25\) Therefore, carbonylation using 1 and subsequent transformations can be useful for synthesizing various carboxylic acid derivatives.\(^22\),\(^26\)–\(^28\)

Despite the advantages of 1, the carbonylation of bromoarenes, which are considerably
cheaper than iodoarenes and are readily available, requires high temperatures (100 °C) and the slow addition of a solution of 1 (over 3 h) to the reaction mixture (Chart 1a).22) This procedure is not practical, since a syringe pump is required for the slow addition. Herein, we present a practical Pd-catalyzed external-CO-free carbonylation of bromoarenes using TCPF, without the use of a syringe pump (Chart 1b). In addition, this improved procedure can also be conducted with a smaller amount of the Pd catalyst.

(a) Our previous work

(b) This work

Chart 1. Pd-Catalyzed Carbonylation of Bromoarenes Using TCPF (1)

Results and Discussion

To identify the optimal conditions for the carbonylation of bromoarenes using TCPF without the use of a syringe pump, we examined the effects of various bases on the carbonylation of 4-bromotoluene (2a) using 2.0 equiv of 1 and a catalytic amount of Pd(OAc)_2 and Xantphos in toluene at 80 °C (Table 1). The reactions were conducted in a test tube, and all materials were added before the tube was sealed with a screw cap and heated in an oil bath. Organic (entries 1‒7) and inorganic bases (entries 9‒16) were screened. NEt_3 or NBu_3, which were used in the previous work,22) resulted in relatively good yields, albeit not very high (entries 4 and 5). Interestingly, sterically bulky iPr_2NEt was totally ineffective (entry 6). Among all the tested bases, DBU proved to be optimal (entry 7), affording 3a in a high yield. Furthermore, with DBU as a base, the carbonylated product was obtained in 78% yield.
yield with 1 mol% of Pd(OAc)$_2$ (entry 8).

Table 1. Effect of Bases on Carbonylation of Bromoarenes Using 1

| entry | base         | yield (%) |
|-------|--------------|-----------|
| 1     | NaOAc        | trace     |
| 2     | pyridine     | 6         |
| 3     | DABCO        | 26        |
| 4     | NEt$_3$      | 79        |
| 5     | NBu$_3$      | 83        |
| 6     | iPr$_2$NEt   | trace     |
| 7     | DBU          | 93        |
| 8*    | DBU          | 78        |
| 9     | K$_2$HPO$_4$ | trace     |
| 10    | K$_3$PO$_4$  | 19        |
| 11    | NaHCO$_3$    | 13        |
| 12    | Na$_2$CO$_3$ | 58        |
| 13    | Li$_2$CO$_3$ | trace     |
| 14    | K$_2$CO$_3$  | trace     |
| 15    | Rb$_2$CO$_3$ | 48        |
| 16    | Cs$_2$CO$_3$ | 79        |

*a) Pd(OAc)$_2$ (1 mol%) and Xantphos (2 mol%) were used.

Next, we tested various solvents in the carbonylation reaction using 1.5 equiv of 1 in the presence of 1 mol% of Pd(OAc)$_2$, 2 mol% of Xantphos, and 2.0 equiv of DBU (Table 2,
entries 1–8). Less polar solvents appeared to be better suited for this reaction than polar solvents, and toluene was determined to be the optimal solvent. We observed too fast generation of CO during the addition of DBU when polar solvents were used, which might cause a CO leak though the hole made on a septum by piercing the syringe. We also examined the effect of the amount of 1 (entries 8–10), because 1 generates CO rapidly in the reaction with DBU in toluene at 80 °C, therefore the amount of 1 affects the CO pressure inside the sealed reaction vessel. Since high CO pressure often retards the oxidative addition of bromoarenes to the Pd center due to the $\pi$-acidity of CO, the internal CO pressure would affect the Pd-catalyzed process. Two equiv of 1 were optimal for the reaction (entry 9), and 1.5 or 3.0 equiv of 1 gave slightly lower yields of the desired ester (entries 8 and 10). These results suggest that appropriate CO pressure is important for the reaction to proceed smoothly. Pd sources were also screened, and Pd(PhCN)$_2$Cl$_2$ was found to be a more effective catalyst than Pd(OAc)$_2$, affording the desired product in >99% yield (entry 11).
Table 2. Optimization of Reaction Conditions

| entry | solvent     | X (equiv) | yield (%) |
|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| 1     | CH$_3$CN    | 1.5       | 62        |
| 2     | DMF         | 1.5       | 43        |
| 3     | NMP         | 1.5       | 13        |
| 4     | DCE         | 1.5       | 35        |
| 5     | PhCF$_3$    | 1.5       | 67        |
| 6     | THF         | 1.5       | 62        |
| 7     | DME         | 1.5       | trace     |
| 8     | toluene     | 1.5       | 72        |
| 9     | toluene     | 2.0       | 78        |
| 10    | toluene     | 3.0       | 65        |
| 11$^{ab}$ | toluene    | 2.0       | >99       |

$^a$ Pd(PhCN)$_2$Cl$_2$ was used instead of Pd(OAc)$_2$.

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, we examined the substrate scope of the reaction (Table 3). The reaction proceeded in 75–91% yields (3a–g) with substrates bearing a variety of para-substituents such as methoxy, chloro, ethoxycarbonyl, formyl, and cyano groups. In contrast, the substrate bearing a nitro group resulted in a modest yield of 3h (34%). Meta-substituted substrates bearing methyl and methoxy groups reacted with 2 to provide 3i and 3j in 83–91% yield. On the other hand, the carbonylation of ortho-substituted bromoarenes with methyl and chloro groups was sluggish, yielding the product in 24–52% yields (3k, l), probably due to the steric effects of the substituents. However, to our delight, carbonylation of the ortho cyano substrate gave the product in 84% yield (3m). While
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1-bromonaphthalene gave the product in 59% yield (3n), the reaction of 2-bromonaphthalene gave the product in >99% yield (3o). Furthermore, the reactions of electron-deficient bromoheteroarenes, 3-bromopyridine and 5-bromopyrimidine, proceeded smoothly to give the product in 89% (3p) and 67% yields (3q), respectively. Electron-rich bromoheteroarenes such as 3- and 2-bromothiophene reacted with 1 to afford the corresponding esters in 88–89% yields (3r, s). The carbonylation reaction of phenyl triflate afforded the desired product in 79% yield. In addition, the carbonylation reaction was applied to the gram-scale synthesis of 3a, and 1.04 g (66% yield) of the desired product was obtained in a single run. The reaction with 0.5 mol% of the Pd catalyst gave 3a in 79% yield in 48 h.
Table 3. Substrate Scope of Carbonylation Using 1

| R¹        | 3a (>99%) | R¹ = Me  | 3l (83%) | R¹ = Me  | 3k (24%) |
|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Me        | 3a (66%)² | OMe      | 3j (91%) | Cl       | 3l (52%) |
| Me        | 3a (79%)² | CN       | 3m (84%) |
| H         | 3b (90%)  |          |          |          |
| OMe       | 3c (75%)  |          |          |          |
| Cl        | 3d (88%)  |          |          |          |
| CO₂Et     | 3e (93%)  |          |          |          |
| CHO       | 3f (85%)  |          |          |          |
| CN        | 3g (91%)  |          |          |          |
| NO₂       | 3h (34%)  | R¹ = CO₂Ar, R² = H | 3n (59%) | R¹ = H, R² = CO₂Ar | 3o (>99%) |

| Y = CH    | 3p (89%)  | R¹ = CO₂Ar, R² = H | 3r (89%) |
|-----------|-----------|---------------------|----------|
| Y = N     | 3q (67%)  | R¹ = CO₂Ar, R² = H | 3s (88%) |

a) 5.0 mmol scale. 1.04 g of the product was obtained. b) Pd(PhCN)₂Cl₂ (0.5 mol%) and Xantphos (1 mol%) were used. The reaction was performed for 48 h.

Finally, to demonstrate the utility of the reaction, we applied it to the synthesis of a histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor (7) developed by Nielsen et al. (Chart 2) via solid-phase synthesis. Bromothiophene 4, which was prepared from (5-bromothiophen-2-yl)methanamine³²) and 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride, smoothly reacted with 1 to afford trichlorophenyl ester 5 in 93% yield on a gram scale. Ester 5 was then condensed with (S)-O-benzyl-α-alaninehydroxamic acid TFA salt to give amide 6. Deprotection of the benzyl group with BCl₃ gave 7. Thus, the carbonylation reaction using...
TCPF enabled the efficient solution-phase synthesis of HDAC inhibitor 7.

Chart 2. Synthesis of HDAC inhibitor 7. Reagents and conditions: (i) 4 (1.00 g, 3.18 mmol), 1 (2.0 equiv), DBU (2.0 equiv), Pd(PhCN)_2Cl_2 (1 mol%), Xantphos (2 mol%), toluene, 80 °C, 24 h, 93% (1.35 g of 5 was obtained.); (ii) (S)-O-benzyl-α-alaninehydroxamic acid TFA salt, NEt_3, DMAP (5 mol%), THF, 55 °C, 44 h, 92%; (iii) BCl_3, CH_2Cl_2, 0 °C, 1 h, 49%.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we developed the Pd-catalyzed carbonylation of bromoarenes and an aryl triflate using TCPF, an easily accessible crystal CO surrogate, without the previously required slow addition technique. Moreover, the reaction could be conducted with a low catalyst loading (1 mol%) on a gram scale. The developed carbonylation reaction does not require a syringe pump, and can be conducted in a simple test tube or flask. Therefore, this method provides a practical, safe, and easy route for the transformation of bromoarenes into trichlorophenyl esters with a wide viable substrate scope including heteroarenes.
Furthermore, the synthesis of an HDAC inhibitor was realized in only a few short steps from a bromothiophene derivative, highlighting the potential utility of this reaction. Further investigations on other carbonylative reactions using this CO surrogate are underway and will be reported in due course.

**Experimental**

**General Experimental Methods** All reactions were performed in oven-dried or flame-dried glassware under an argon atmosphere. Reactions were monitored by TLC on Merck silica gel 60 F254 plates visualized with a UV lamp at 254 nm. Column chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel 60, and preparative TLC was performed on Merck silica gel 60 F254 0.5 mm plates. NMR spectra were measured on a JEOL AL-400 NMR spectrometer (400 MHz for \(^1\)H spectra and 100 MHz for \(^{13}\)C spectra) or a JEOL ECX-500 NMR spectrometer (500 MHz for \(^1\)H spectra and 125 MHz for \(^{13}\)C spectra) and are quoted in ppm for measurement against a tetramethylsilane (TMS) or residual solvent peak as an internal standard. Infrared spectra were measured on a SHIMADZU IR Prestige-21 spectrometer (ATR). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured on a Bruker MicrOTOF time-of-flight mass spectrometer (ESI) and a JEOL JMS-T100TD time-of-flight mass spectrometer (DART). Melting points were measured using a YAIZAWA MICRO MELTING POINT BY-1.

General experimental procedure for carbonylation of bromoarenes using 2,4,6-trichlorophenyl formate (1)

Pd(PhCN)_2Cl_2 (1.9 mg, 5.00 µmol, 1 mol%), Xantphos (1.9 mg, 10.0 µmol, 2 mol%), bromoarene (0.500 mmol), \(\text{I}^{23}\) (226 mg, 1.00 mmol, 2.0 equiv), and toluene (1.0 mL) were added to a 10 mL test tube with a septum containing a magnetic stirring bar. The tube was evacuated and backfilled with Ar three times. DBU (150 µL, 1.00 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was added to the mixture using a syringe through the septum. The tube was screw-capped and warmed to 80 °C in an oil bath. The mixture was stirred for 24 h. After cooling to rt, the mixture was quenched with aq. citric acid (10% w/v), diluted with CH_2Cl_2 and H_2O, extracted with CH_2Cl_2 from aqueous layer, washed with H_2O, aq. Na_2CO_3 (0.5 M), and brine, dried over Na_2SO_4, filtered, and concentrated. The obtained residue was purified by preparative TLC (SiO_2, hexane/EtOAc 30/1) to afford the desired ester.
2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 4-methylbenzoate (3a)\textsuperscript{22)}
White solid; 158 mg, >99% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl benzoate (3b)\textsuperscript{22)}
White solid; 135 mg, 90% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 4-methoxybenzoate (3c)\textsuperscript{22)}
White solid, 125 mg, 75% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 4-chlorobenzoate (3d)\textsuperscript{22)}
White solid, 147 mg, 88% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 4-ethoxycarbonylbenzoate (3e)\textsuperscript{22)}
Pale yellow solid, 174 mg, 93% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 4-formylbenzoate (3f)\textsuperscript{22)}
Pale yellow solid; 143 mg, 85% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 4-cyanobenzoate (3g)\textsuperscript{22)}
Pale yellow solid, 148 mg, 91% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 4-nitrobenzoate (3h)
White solid; 58.8 mg, 34% yield; m.p. 105.0–105.3 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\)
8.44–8.38 (m, 4H), 7.45 (s, 2H) ppm; \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\)
161.3, 151.4, 142.8, 133.3, 132.8, 131.8, 129.6, 128.9, 124.0 ppm; IR (ATR) 1751, 1524, 1254, 1227, 1180, 1134, 1057, 1011, 980, 845, 802, 710, 667, 571, 498, 451 cm\(^{-1}\), Anal. calcd for
C\(_{13}\)H\(_6\)Cl\(_3\)NO\(_4\) C, 45.06; H, 1.75; N, 4.04. found: C, 44.84; H, 1.71; N, 4.10.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 3-methylbenzoate (3i)
White solid; 132 mg, 83% yield; m.p. 115.3–116.1 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\)
8.05–8.03 (m, 2H), 7.49–7.40 (m, 4H), 2.45 (s, 3H) ppm; \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\)
163.2, 143.4, 138.8, 135.2, 132.1, 131.1, 129.9, 128.8, 128.7, 127.9, 21.4 ppm; IR
(ATR) 1750, 1449, 1270, 1235, 1187, 1139, 1045, 862, 824, 802, 731, 562, 512, 507, 502
cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (DART) [M+H]\(^+\) calcd for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{10}\)Cl\(_3\)O\(_2\): 314.9746; found 314.9753.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 3-methoxylbenzoate (3j)
White solid; 151 mg, 91% yield; m.p. 60.3–61.2 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\)
7.85 (dt, \(J = 7.6, 1.2\) Hz, 1H), 7.72 (t, \(J = 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 1H), 7.42 (s, 2H),
7.22 (ddd, \(J = 8.4, 2.8, 1.2\) Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H) ppm; \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\)
163.0, 159.9, 143.3, 132.2, 129.9, 129.2, 128.7, 123.1, 121.0, 114.8, 55.6 ppm; IR (ATR)
1740, 1273, 1234, 1204, 1177, 1138, 1026, 907, 856, 822, 802, 741, 563, 498, 451, 420 cm⁻¹; HRMS (DART) [M+H]+ calcd for C₁₄H₁₀Cl₃O₃: 330.9696; found 330.9691.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 2-methylbenzoate (3k)²²
White solid; 38.1 mg, 24% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 2-chlorobenzoate (3l)²²
White solid; 86.9 mg, 52% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 2-cyanobenzoate (3m)
White solid; 137 mg, 84% yield; m.p. 156.9–158.3 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.43–8.41 (m, 1H), 7.94–7.92 (m, 1H), 7.82–7.80 (m, 2H), 7.44 (s, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.4, 142.6, 135.4, 134.1, 132.9, 132.7, 132.1, 129.9, 129.7, 128.8, 116.9, 114.0 ppm; IR (ATR) 1753, 1443, 1252, 1227, 1119, 1047, 1028, 853, 820, 789, 760, 727, 685, 658, 565, 552, 527, 509, 501 cm⁻¹; HRMS (DART) [M+H]+ calcd for C₁₄H₇Cl₃NO₂: 325.9542; found 325.9535.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 1-naphthoate (3n)²²
White solid; 104 mg, 59% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl 2-naphthoate (3o)²²
White solid; 156 mg, 89% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl nicotinate (3p)²²
White solid; 135 mg, 89% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl pyrimidine-5-carboxylate (3q)²²
White solid; 96.6 mg, 64% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl thiophene-3-carboxylate (3r)⁶
White solid; 140 mg, 89% yield.

2,4,6-Trichlorophenyl thiophene-2-carboxylate (3s)
White solid; 136 mg, 88% yield; m.p. 63.4–63.8 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.05 (dd, J = 4.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (dd, J = 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (s, 2H), 7.21 (dd, J = 4.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.3, 142.9, 135.9, 134.7, 132.3, 130.8, 130.1, 128.7, 128.4 ppm; IR (ATR) 1732, 1572, 1450, 1408, 1354, 1261, 1227, 1138, 1076, 1053, 999, 856, 802, 725, 698, 563, 478, 451, 440 cm⁻¹; HRMS (DART) [M+H]+ calcd for C₁₁H₆Cl₃O₂S: 306.9154; found 306.9159.

Synthesis of N-((5-bromothiophen-2-yl)methyl)-4-fluorobenzamide (4)
A solution of 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride (1.06 g, 6.69 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2.5 mL) was added to a stirred solution of (5-bromothiophen-2-yl)methanamine$^{32}$ (1.09 g, 5.65 mmol) and iPr$_2$NEt (1.73 mL, 10.2 mmol, 1.8 equiv) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (8.2 mL) in 50-mL round bottomed flask at 0 °C over 30 min, and then the reaction was allowed to warm rt over 19.5 h. The mixture was diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, washed with sat. aq. NaHCO$_3$ (twice), dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude brown solid was purified by column chromatography (SiO$_2$, hexane/EtOAc = 4/1 to 1/1). Since the resulting brown solid was found to be contaminated with 4-fluorobenzoic acid, the solid was dissolved in EtOAc, washed with aq. Na$_2$CO$_3$ (0.5 M), brine, dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield 4 as an off white solid (1.51 g, 4.81 mmol, 85%). M.p. 116.4–117.1 °C; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.80–7.77 (m, 2H), 7.13–7.08 (m, 2H), 6.90 (d, $J = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.48 (br s, 1H), 4.70 (d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H) ppm; $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 166.4, 165.0 (d, $^1J_{C-F} = 253.8$ Hz), 142.6, 130.1 (d, $^4J_{C-F} = 2.3$ Hz), 129.6, 129.5 (d, $^3J_{C-F} = 9.5$ Hz), 126.6, 115.8 (d, $^2J_{C-F} = 22.8$ Hz), 112.0, 39.2 ppm; IR (ATR) 3275, 1624, 1601, 1535, 1501, 1288, 1227, 1204, 1157, 853, 768, 675, 625, 602, 536, 501, 482, 451 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) [M+H]$^+$ calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{10}$BrFNOS: 313.9645; found 313.9645.

Synthesis of 2,4,6-trichlorophenyl 5-((4-fluorobenzamido)methyl)thiophene-2-carboxylate (5)

Pd(PhCN)$_2$Cl$_2$ (12.2 mg, 31.8 µmol, 1 mol%), Xantphos (36.8 mg, 63.6 µmol, 2 mol%), 4 (1.00 g, 3.18 mmol), 1 (1.43 g, 6.37 mmol, 2.0 equiv), and toluene (6.4 mL) were added in a 50 mL round-bottomed flask equipped with an argon balloon. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with Ar three times. DBU (0.95 mL, 6.37 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was added to the mixture through the septum. The flask was warmed to 80 °C in an oil bath, and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. After cooling to rt, the mixture was quenched with aq. citric acid (10% w/v), diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ from the aqueous layer, washed with H$_2$O, aq. Na$_2$CO$_3$ (0.5 M), and brine, dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO$_2$, hexane/EtOAc 2/1) to afford 5 (1.35 g, 2.94 mmol, 93%) as a white solid. M.p. 157.5–158.4 °C; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.90 (d, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.83–7.80 (m, 2H), 7.39 (s, 2H), 7.13–7.09 (m, 3H), 6.77 (br s, 1H), 4.84 (d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H) ppm; $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 166.5,
165.1 (d, $^1J_{C-F} = 253.8$ Hz), 158.2, 151.6, 142.8, 136.2, 132.4, 129.94, 129.85 (d, $^4J_{C-F} = 2.4$ Hz), 129.7, 129.6 (d, $^3J_{C-F} = 9.6$ Hz), 128.7, 127.0, 115.9 (d, $^2J_{C-F} = 21.5$ Hz), 39.2 ppm; IR (ATR) 3316, 3090, 1748, 1641, 1541, 1499, 1445, 1335, 1254, 1229, 1042, 1013, 851, 816, 766, 729, 505 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (DART) [M+H]$^+$ calcd for C$_{10}$H$_{12}$Cl$_3$FNO$_3$S: 457.9588; found 457.9578.

Synthesis of (S)-O-benzyl-$\alpha$-alaninehydroxamic acid TFA salt

TFA (14.6 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of (S)-N-tert-butoxycarbonyl-O-benzyl-$\alpha$-alaninehydroxamate$^{33}$ (1.94 g, 6.79 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (14.6 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min and then at rt for 45 min. The solvents were removed in vacuo. Since the residue did not solidify, the residue was dissolved in CHCl$_3$ (120 mL) and the solvent was removed in vacuo again to yield the desired salt as a white solid (1.96 g, 6.36 mmol, 94%). M.p. 96.1–98.3 °C, $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, D$_2$O) $\delta$ 7.32–7.28 (m, 5H), 4.77 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.74 (q, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.24 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H) ppm; $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, D$_2$O) $\delta$ 167.4, 162.9 (q, $^2J_{C-F} = 35.8$ Hz), 134.3, 129.9, 129.3, 128.7, 116.4 (q, $^1J_{C-F} = 289.8$ Hz), 78.4, 47.0, 16.5 ppm; IR (ATR) 3096, 3015, 2995, 1695, 1522, 1431, 1198, 1177, 1134, 1053, 1009, 949, 839, 793, 733, 721, 694, 615 cm$^{-1}$; MS (DART) [M–CF$_3$CO$_2$]$^+$ calcd for C$_{10}$H$_{15}$N$_2$O$_2$: 195.1134; found 195.1131; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –36.5 (c 1.00, H$_2$O).

Synthesis of (S)-N-(1-((benzyloxy)amino)-1-oxopropan-2-yl)-5-((4-fluorobenzamido)methyl)-thiophene-2-carboxamide (6)

(S)-O-Benzyl-$\alpha$-alaninehydroxamic acid TFA salt (46.2 mg, 0.150 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and DMAP (0.6 mg, 5.00 µmol, 0.05 equiv) were added to a solution of 5 (45.9 mg, 0.100 mmol) and NEt$_3$ (49 µL, 0.352 mmol, 3.5 equiv) in THF (0.3 mL). The mixture was warmed to 55 °C, stirred for 44 h, and cooled to rt. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc and 1 M HCl, extracted with EtOAc from the aqueous layer, washed with brine, dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by preparative TLC (SiO$_2$, CHCl$_3$/MeOH/AcOH = 100/10/1) to afford 6 (41.8 mg, 0.0919 mmol, 92%) as a white solid. M.p. 185.0–185.6 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$OD) $\delta$ 7.91–7.88 (m, 2H), 7.63 (d, $J = 3.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.29 (m, 3H), 7.21–7.18 (m, 2H), 7.04 (d, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.83 (s, 2H), 4.72 (s, 2H), 4.36 (q, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.38 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3H).
ppm; $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CD$_3$OD) $\delta$ 172.0, 168.8, 166.3 (d, $^{1}J_{C-F} = 249.1$ Hz), 164.1, 149.6, 138.5, 136.8, 131.6, 131.0 (d, $^{2}J_{C-F} = 9.5$ Hz), 130.6, 130.2, 129.7, 129.4, 127.3, 116.5 (d, $^{2}J_{C-F} = 22.8$ Hz), 79.0, 39.6, 17.9 ppm (one carbon signal is missing); IR (ATR) 3260, 1668, 1639, 1612, 1537, 1522, 1503, 1285, 1229, 1157, 847, 737, 694, 669, 625, 606, 557, 530, 486, 457 cm$^{-1}$; MS (ESI) [M+Na]$^+$ calcd for C$_{23}$H$_{22}$FN$_3$NaO$_4$S: 478.1207; found 478.1200; $[\alpha]_D^{29}$ –10.1 (c 0.386, MeOH).

Synthesis of (S)-5-((4-fluorobenzamido)methyl)-N-(1-(hydroxyamino)-1-oxopropan-2-yl)thiophene-2-carboxamide (7)

Compound 6 (34.3 mg, 0.0753 mmol) was dissolved in 1.5 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. A solution of BCl$_3$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1 M, 0.52 mL, 0.52 mmol, 6.9 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C. The mixture was then quenched with 1 M HCl and extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by preparative TLC (SiO$_2$, CHCl$_3$/MeOH 10/1) and by filtering through a membrane filter (0.45 µm pore size) to afford 7 (13.6 mg, 0.037 mmol, 49%) as a white solid. M.p. 106.0–107.4 °C; $^{1}$H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-$_d_6$) $\delta$ 10.65 (bs, 1H), 9.24 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 8.82 (bs, 1H), 8.48 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.96–7.93 (m, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 4.34–4.31 (m, 1H), 1.28 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-$_d_6$) $\delta$ 169.1, 165.2, 164.0 (d, $^{1}J_{C-F} = 246.9$ Hz), 160.8, 148.0, 138.1, 130.4 (2 signals), 130.0 (d, $^{3}J_{C-F} = 8.4$ Hz), 128.5, 125.9, 115.4 (d, $^{2}J_{C-F} = 21.5$ Hz), 46.6, 38.2, 18.2 ppm; IR (ATR) 3250, 3213, 3071, 2924, 1624, 1602, 1541, 1499, 1609, 1287, 1231, 1159, 1026, 849, 820, 766, 623 cm$^{-1}$; MS (ESI) [M+Na]$^+$ calcd for C$_{16}$H$_{16}$FN$_3$NaO$_4$S: 388.0743; found 388.0757; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +4.4 (c 0.25, MeOH).
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