The secret symmetries of the AdS/CFT reflection matrices
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ABSTRACT: We find new twisted Yangian symmetries of the AdS/CFT reflection matrices for the Y=0 maximal giant graviton and D5-brane. These new symmetries originate from the known secret symmetries of the Yangian symmetry of the AdS/CFT S-matrix.
1 Introduction

The recent progress in solving the planar limit of AdS/CFT was accelerated a lot by underlying integrable structures (see review [1] and references therein). Some problems that look highly challenging in the perturbative regime, surrender uncompromisingly to the power of underlying symmetries in the planar limit. The striking success in finding the light-cone worldsheet scattering $S$-matrices and reflection $K$-matrices from various boundaries was achieved due to underlying psu$(2, 2|4)$ symmetry which in the scattering theory may be factorized into two copies, left and right, of the centrally-extended $su(2|2)$ algebra and its Yangian extension [2–5].

The Yangian of the centrally-extended $su(2|2)$ is an infinite-dimensional algebra generated by the charges $J^A, \hat{J}^A$, whose co-products are given by expressions

$$\Delta J^A = J^A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes J^A, \quad \Delta \hat{J}^A = \hat{J}^A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \hat{J}^A + \frac{1}{2} f^{ABC} J^B \otimes J^C,$$

(1.1)

of Drinfeld’s first realization [6]. Invariance under the Yangian charges fixes the $S$-matrix up to an overall phase which can further be constrained by the crossing symmetry [7].

The $su(2|2)$ algebra has a $u(1)$ outer automorphism extending the algebra to $u(2|2)$. However, the additional $u(1)$ charge, which acts as a helicity operator,

$$\mathbb{B} |\phi_\alpha\rangle = +I |\phi_\alpha\rangle, \quad \mathbb{B} |\psi_\alpha\rangle = -I |\psi_\alpha\rangle,$$

(1.2)

is not a symmetry of the $S$-matrix and the eigenvalue $I$ is not constrained to any particular value\(^1\). Strikingly, this charge has a Yangian partner $\hat{\mathbb{B}}$ which is known as a ‘secret symmetry’ of the $S$-matrix and is the same for left and right factors [9]. Interestingly, the non-trivial part of the co-product of $\hat{\mathbb{B}}$ appears to be the same as the $\epsilon$-correction of $\Delta \hat{H}$ in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ of the exceptional superalgebra $\mathfrak{d}(2,1;\epsilon)$ [10]. Furthermore, it generates new secret symmetries of the $S$-matrix, which have no Lie algebra analog. These

\(^1\)The charge $\mathbb{B}$ can not be added to the centrally-extended $su(2|2)$ algebra as it would generate infinite series of new charges that do not close under the Lie brackets thus do not constitute a Lie algebra. However it can be related to the algebra by some non-linear commutation relations [8].
hints suggest that the secret symmetries may be regarded as the remnants of some higher, yet-to-be-discovered, symmetry.

Strong support for the last statement also comes from the analysis of the scattering amplitudes of \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theory in the planar limit. It is known that the planar tree-level amplitudes not only enjoy the Yangian symmetry of the superconformal algebra \( \mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4) \), but also respect an additional symmetry, which is referred as a ‘bonus symmetry’ [11]. This additional symmetry, \( \hat{\mathcal{B}} \), is the Yangian partner of the \( u(1) \) outer automorphism \( \mathcal{B} \) of \( \mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4) \) first observed in [12]. Similarly as for the AdS/CFT worldsheet S-matrix, the helicity operator \( \hat{\mathcal{B}} \) is not a symmetry of the planar \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM S-matrix, while \( \hat{\mathcal{B}} \) is, - at least for the tree-level MHV (Maximum Helicity Violating) scattering amplitudes.

Secret symmetry also appears to play a role in the quantum affine algebra \( \hat{\mathfrak{psu}}(1|2) \). In section 2 we briefly review the secret symmetries of the twisted boundary Yangians describing the reflection from the \( Y = 0 \) maximal giant graviton [14, 15] and \( D5 \)-brane [16]. In section 3, based on the considerations on the S-matrix in the section 2, we build the secret symmetries hiding inside the twisted boundary Yangians.

2 Secret symmetries of the S-matrix

It was shown in [9] and confirmed in [17] that the additional charge

\[
\Delta \hat{\mathcal{B}} = \hat{\mathcal{B}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \hat{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{1}{2}(Q_\alpha^a \otimes Q_\alpha^a + G_\alpha^a \otimes Q_\alpha^a), \tag{2.1}
\]

where \( \hat{\mathcal{B}} \) is the level-1 partner of (1.2) with the eigenvalue

\[
\hat{\ell} = \frac{i g}{8}(x^+ - \frac{1}{x^+} + x^- - \frac{1}{x^-}), \tag{2.2}
\]

is a symmetry of the S-matrix. Furthermore, this novel symmetry generates several new secret symmetries of the S-matrix that do not have a Lie algebra analog. They were originally found by computing the commutators \( [\Delta \hat{\mathcal{B}}, \Delta Q_\alpha^a] \) and \( [\Delta \hat{\mathcal{B}}, \Delta G_\alpha^a] \) and taking linear combinations with the Yangian charges \( \Delta \hat{Q}_\alpha^a \) and \( \Delta \hat{G}_\alpha^a \) (see [9] for the details).

These new secret symmetries generated by (2.1) are

\[
\Delta Q_{\alpha,+1}^a = Q_{\alpha,+1}^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_{\alpha,+1}^a - \frac{1}{2}L_\alpha^\gamma \otimes Q_\gamma^a + \frac{1}{2}Q_\gamma^a \otimes L_\alpha^\gamma
- \frac{1}{2}R_c^a \otimes Q_c^a + \frac{1}{2}Q_c^\alpha \otimes R_\alpha^a - \frac{1}{4}H \otimes Q_\alpha^a + \frac{1}{4}Q_\alpha^a \otimes H,
\]

\[
\Delta Q_{\alpha,-1}^a = Q_{\alpha,-1}^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_{\alpha,-1}^a - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} \varepsilon^{ac} G_c^\gamma \otimes C + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} \varepsilon^{ac} C \otimes G_c^\gamma,
\]

\[
\Delta G_{\alpha,+1}^a = G_{\alpha,+1}^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes G_{\alpha,+1}^a + \frac{1}{2}L_\gamma^\alpha \otimes G_\gamma^a - \frac{1}{2}G_\gamma^a \otimes L_\gamma^\alpha
+ \frac{1}{2}R_c^a \otimes G_c^\alpha - \frac{1}{2}G_c^\alpha \otimes R_\alpha^a + \frac{1}{4}H \otimes G_\alpha^a - \frac{1}{4}G_\alpha^a \otimes H,
\]

\[
\Delta G_{\alpha,-1}^a = G_{\alpha,-1}^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes G_{\alpha,-1}^a + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} \varepsilon^{ac} Q_c^\gamma \otimes C^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} \varepsilon^{ac} C^\dagger \otimes Q_c^\gamma, \tag{2.3}
\]
where the new secret charges $Q_{\alpha, \pm 1}^a$ and $G_{\alpha, \pm 1}^a$ are defined as

\begin{align*}
Q_{\alpha, +1}^a &= i\frac{g}{2} Q_a^\alpha (u\Pi_b^{} + v\Pi_f^{}), \\
Q_{\alpha, -1}^a &= i\frac{g}{2} Q_a^\alpha (v\Pi_b^{} + u\Pi_f^{}), \\
G_{\alpha, +1}^a &= i\frac{g}{2} G_a^\alpha (u\Pi_b^{} + v\Pi_f^{}), \\
G_{\alpha, -1}^a &= i\frac{g}{2} G_a^\alpha (v\Pi_b^{} + u\Pi_f^{}),
\end{align*}

(2.4)

with $\Pi_b$ and $\Pi_f$ being the projectors onto bosons and fermions respectively and

\begin{align*}
u &= \frac{1}{2} \left( x^+ + x^- \right), \\
v &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{x^+} + \frac{1}{x^-} \right).
\end{align*}

(2.5)

The charges of such form were first considered in constructing the classical $r$-matrix of AdS/CFT [18]. It is easy to convince ourselves that these charges do not have Lie algebra analog, because the naive Lie algebra limit (i.e. $u \to 1$, $v \to 1$) leads to the usual Lie algebra supercharges $Q_a^\alpha$ and $G_a^\alpha$.

We have checked that all these symmetries are respected by higher order (two-magnon bound-state) $S$-matrices as well.

3 Secret symmetries of the $K$-matrices

In a recent series of works [16, 19–22] reflection $K$-matrices were found for open strings ending on $D3$, $D5$ and $D7$ branes. It was also shown, that some of them respect not only Lie, but also a wider, twisted boundary Yangian symmetry [14–16, 23] (see also [24, 25]) originating from the Yangian of the $S$-matrix [3]. An interesting question is if the secret symmetries (2.1) and (2.3) manifest themselves in the twisted Yangians. And indeed we find them to be present.

We will not present the explicit calculations of the invariance conditions for the secret symmetries we will construct as they are quite straightforward and not very illuminating, but at the same time involve very large computer algebra calculations that we have performed with Mathematica.²

**Maximal giant graviton.** The $Y = 0$ maximal giant graviton preserves a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(2|1)_L = \{I_{\alpha, \beta},\ R_1^1,\ R_2^2,\ Q_{a, \gamma},\ G_{a, \gamma},\ \mathbb{H}\}$ of the bulk algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{psu}(2|2) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^3$. The boundary Yangian symmetry is generated by the twisted charges

\begin{equation}
\tilde{J}^p := J^p + \frac{1}{4} f_{qi}^p (J^q J^i + J^i J^q),
\end{equation}

(3.1)

the co-products of which are of the form

\begin{equation}
\Delta \tilde{J}^p = \tilde{J}^p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{J}^p + f_{qi}^p J^q \otimes J^i,
\end{equation}

(3.2)

where $J^i \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $J^p (q) \in \mathfrak{m} = \{R_1^1,\ R_2^2,\ Q_{a, \gamma},\ G_{a, \gamma},\ C,\ C^\dagger\}$ are the generators of the subset $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{h}$. The boundary is a singlet in the scattering theory, thus only terms of the form $\tilde{J}^p \otimes 1$ in (3.2) need to be considered (see [15] for details).

---

²For the explicit calculations we are using the superspace formalism introduced in [26], in which the secret charge (2.1) is defined as $\hat{B} = \hat{f} \left( w_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\alpha, \beta}} - \theta_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{\alpha}} \right)$ and is equivalent (up to a prefactor) to the charge $\Sigma$ introduced in [27].
The fundamental reflection matrix, describing the scattering of fundamental magnons from the boundary, is diagonal and the helicity generator $B (1.2)$ is a symmetry of it, but the twisted $(3.1)$ partner of the secret Yangian charge $(2.1)$ is not. Higher order reflection matrices are of non-diagonal form and do not respect either additional symmetry $B$, or the twisted partner of $\hat{B}$.

The next step is to check if the twisted $(3.1)$ partners of the additional secret charges $(2.3)$ are symmetries of the reflection matrix. By performing the twist $(3.1)$ we found the new additional twisted secret charges to be

\[
\Delta \tilde{G}_{2,0} = \left( Q_{\alpha,0} + \frac{1}{2} Q_a^2 R_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} R_1^2 Q_\alpha + \frac{1}{4} Q_a^2 \right) \otimes 1,
\]

\[
\Delta \tilde{G}_{2,-1} = \left( Q_{\alpha,-1} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha \gamma} C G_1^\gamma \right) \otimes 1,
\]

\[
\Delta \tilde{G}_{2,1} = \left( G_{2,0} + \frac{1}{2} R_2^1 G_1^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} R_2^2 G_2^\gamma \right) \otimes 1,
\]

\[
\Delta \tilde{G}_{2,-1} = \left( G_{2,-1} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{a \gamma} C^d G_{\gamma}^d \right) \otimes 1,
\]

and checked that they commute with the fundamental and two-magnon bound-state reflection matrices. Hence they are the symmetries of the reflection matrix. (Reflection matrices for general Q-magnon bound-states were constructed in [23] thus the invariance condition could be easily checked.)

The mirror model of the $Y = 0$ maximal giant graviton \cite{15, 23} preserves the subalgebra \( h = \mathfrak{su}(2,1)_R = \{ R_a, L_3^a, L_4^a, Q_a^4, C_a^3 \} \) and the complementary subset is \( m = \{ L_3^a, L_4^a, Q_a^4, C_a^3, C, C^d \} \). The boundary is a singlet and the reflection matrices are diagonal at all orders of the bound-state number; thus $B (1.2)$ is a symmetry at all orders, but the twisted partner of the secret Yangian charge $(2.1)$ is not (at any order). Similarly to the previous case we have checked that the twisted partners

\[
\Delta \tilde{G}_{4,0} = \left( Q_{4,0} + \frac{1}{2} Q_a^4 R_4^c + \frac{1}{2} Q_a^4 L_4^4 - \frac{1}{2} L_4^3 Q_3^a \right) \otimes 1,
\]

\[
\Delta \tilde{G}_{4,-1} = \left( Q_{4,-1} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ac} C G_4^a \right) \otimes 1,
\]

\[
\Delta \tilde{G}_{4,1} = \left( G_{4,1} + \frac{1}{2} G_a^4 R_4^c + \frac{1}{2} G_a^4 L_4^4 - \frac{1}{2} L_4^3 G_3^a \right) \otimes 1,
\]

\[
\Delta \tilde{G}_{4,-1} = \left( G_{4,-1} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ac} C^d G_3^c \right) \otimes 1,
\]

of the secret charges $(2.3)$ are symmetries of the reflection matrix.

**D5-brane.** The $D5$-brane preserves a diagonal subalgebra $\mathfrak{psu}(2,2)_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$ of the bulk algebra $\mathfrak{psu}(2,2) \times \overline{\mathfrak{psu}}(2,2) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ generated by \cite{20, 22}

\[
L^\beta_\alpha = L^\beta_\alpha + L^\beta_\alpha, \quad Q^a_\alpha = Q^a_\alpha + \kappa Q^a_\alpha, \quad G^a_\alpha = G^a_\alpha + \kappa^{-1} G^a_\alpha.
\]
where \( \kappa^2 = \pm 1 \); the notation for the dotted and checked indices is the same as for undotted ones, \( \dot{a}, \dot{b}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{b} = 1, 2 \) and \( \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}, \ddot{\alpha}, \ddot{\beta} = 3, 4 \); the bar above the dotted indices acts as \( \ddot{\beta} = 4 \) and \( \ddot{\beta} = \dot{\beta} \). The generators with the undotted indices generate ‘left’ \( \mathfrak{psu}(2|2) \) and the generators with the dotted indices generate ‘right’ \( \widetilde{\mathfrak{psu}}(2|2) \). The complementary charges are defined as

\[
\mathfrak{L}_{\dot{a}} = L_{\alpha} - L_{\check{\alpha}}, \quad \mathfrak{Q}_{\dot{a}} = Q_{\alpha} - \kappa Q_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \mathfrak{R}_{\ddot{a}} = R_{\dot{a}} - R_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \mathfrak{G}_{\ddot{a}} = G_{\alpha} - \kappa^{-1} G_{\dot{\alpha}},
\]

and in the contrast to (3.5) annihilate the boundary by definition (see [16] for details).

The Yangian symmetry of the \( D5 \)-brane is generated by the twisted charges

\[
\mathfrak{T}^{\bar{A}} := \mathfrak{T}^{\dot{A}} + \frac{1}{8} f_{\bar{B}C} \left( \mathfrak{T}^{\dot{B}} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{C}} + \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{B}} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{C}} \right),
\]

where the indices \( \dot{A}, \dot{B}, \dot{C} \), run through all possible charges. The co-products of (3.7) acquire the form

\[
\Delta \mathfrak{T}^{\bar{A}} = \mathfrak{T}^{\bar{A}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathfrak{T}^{\bar{A}} + \frac{1}{2} f_{\bar{B}C} \mathfrak{T}^{\bar{B}} \otimes \mathfrak{T}^{\bar{C}}.
\]

Based on this construction it is easy to see that the twisted (3.7) partner for the \( D5 \)-brane of the secret charge (2.1) is

\[
\Delta \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{B}} = \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{B}} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{B}} - \frac{1}{2} (\mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{\dot{a}}^{\ddot{\alpha}} + \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\ddot{\alpha}} \otimes \mathfrak{Q}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}}),
\]

while the twisted partners of (2.3) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}} & = \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\ddot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \otimes \mathfrak{R}_{\dot{a}}^{\ddot{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\ddot{\alpha}} \otimes \mathfrak{Q}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\dot{\gamma}} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\ddot{\gamma}} \otimes \mathfrak{C}_{\dot{a}}^{\ddot{\gamma}}, \\
\Delta \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\ddot{\alpha}} & = \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\ddot{\alpha}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\ddot{\alpha}} \otimes \mathfrak{R}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\dot{\gamma}} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\ddot{\gamma}} \otimes \mathfrak{C}_{\dot{a}}^{\ddot{\gamma}}, \\
\Delta \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}} & = \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\ddot{\alpha}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \otimes \mathfrak{R}_{\dot{a}}^{\ddot{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\ddot{\gamma}} \otimes \mathfrak{C}_{\dot{a}}^{\ddot{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\dot{\gamma}} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\bar{a}}^{\ddot{\gamma}} \otimes \mathfrak{C}_{\dot{a}}^{\ddot{\gamma}}.
\end{align*}
\]

This is the general structure of the secret symmetries for the reflection from \( D5 \)-brane. The definitions of \( \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}^{\dagger} \) and \( \mathfrak{H} \) need to be developed a little further (see [16] for complete details). Two inequivalent orientations of the \( D5 \)-brane, horizontal and vertical, that look rather different in the scattering theory were considered in [20, 22]. Thus we will consider the explicit realization of the secret symmetries (3.9) and (3.10) for both orientations separately.
In the case of reflection from the horizontal $D5$-brane ($\kappa = -1$), the boundary is a singlet; thus neglecting the irrelevant terms in (3.9) and (3.10) and with the help of the Lie algebra the remaining parts may be simplified to

$$\Delta \tilde{\mathbb{H}} = \left( \tilde{\mathbb{H}} \circ 1 - 1 \circ \tilde{\mathbb{H}} - \frac{1}{2}(Q_{\alpha}^a \circ G_{\alpha}^a + G_{\alpha}^a \circ Q_{\alpha}^a) \right) \otimes 1,$$

(3.11)

and

$$\Delta \tilde{Q}_{\alpha,+1}^a = \left( Q_{\alpha,+1}^a \circ 1 - 1 \circ Q_{\alpha,+1}^a - \frac{1}{2}L_{\alpha \gamma} \circ Q_{\gamma}^a + \frac{1}{2}Q_{\gamma}^a \circ L_{\alpha \gamma} - \frac{1}{2}Q_{\gamma}^a \circ \gamma \right) \otimes 1,$$

$$\Delta \tilde{Q}_{\alpha,-1}^a = \left( Q_{\alpha,-1}^a \circ 1 - 1 \circ Q_{\alpha,-1}^a - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha \gamma \epsilon} Q_{\epsilon}^c \circ C \circ G_{\epsilon} \circ G_{\gamma}^a + \frac{1}{2}Q_{\gamma}^a \circ \gamma \right) \otimes 1,$$

$$\Delta \tilde{G}_{\alpha,+1}^a = \left( G_{\alpha,+1}^a \circ 1 - 1 \circ G_{\alpha,+1}^a + \frac{1}{2}L_{\alpha}^\gamma \circ G_{\gamma}^a - \frac{1}{2}G_{\gamma}^a \circ L_{\alpha}^\gamma \right) \otimes 1,$$

$$\Delta \tilde{G}_{\alpha,-1}^a = \left( G_{\alpha,-1}^a \circ 1 - 1 \circ G_{\alpha,-1}^a - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha \gamma \epsilon} Q_{\epsilon}^c \circ C \circ G_{\epsilon} \circ G_{\gamma}^a - \frac{1}{2}Q_{\gamma}^a \circ \gamma \right) \otimes 1,$$

(3.12)

here ‘$\circ$’ describes the tensor product of ‘left’ and ‘right’ representations (hereafter ‘reps’) of the bulk magnon and the usual tensor product ‘$\otimes$’ separates the bulk and boundary reps. The central charges in this picture act on the bulk states as $\mathbb{C} := \mathbb{C} \circ 1 + 1 \circ \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{C} := \mathbb{C} \circ 1 - 1 \circ \mathbb{C}$ and analogously for $\mathbb{C}^\dagger, \mathbb{H}$. Note that the secret charges (3.11) and (3.12) effectively differ from (2.1) and (2.3) by a minus sign only (see [16] for the details on this similarity). We have checked that these charges commute with the reflection matrix $K^h$ found in [22], and thus are secret symmetries of the horizontal $D5$-brane.

In the case of reflection from the vertical $D5$-brane ($\kappa = -i$), the boundary carries a field multiplet transforming in the vector representation of the boundary algebra thus the non-local terms in (3.9) and (3.10) may no longer be neglected. Nevertheless the general expressions may be casted in a quite transparent form, as

$$\Delta \tilde{\mathbb{H}} = \left( \tilde{\mathbb{H}} \circ 1 - 1 \circ \tilde{\mathbb{H}} - \frac{1}{2}(Q_{\alpha}^a \circ G_{\alpha}^a + G_{\alpha}^a \circ Q_{\alpha}^a) \right) \otimes 1,$$

$$\Delta \tilde{Q}_{\alpha,+1}^a = \left( Q_{\alpha,+1}^a \circ 1 - 1 \circ Q_{\alpha,+1}^a - \frac{1}{2}L_{\alpha \gamma} \circ Q_{\gamma}^a + \frac{1}{2}Q_{\gamma}^a \circ L_{\alpha \gamma} - \frac{1}{2}Q_{\gamma}^a \circ \gamma \right) \otimes 1,$$

and

$$\Delta \tilde{Q}_{\alpha,-1}^a = \left( Q_{\alpha,-1}^a \circ 1 - 1 \circ Q_{\alpha,-1}^a - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha \gamma \epsilon} Q_{\epsilon}^c \circ C \circ G_{\epsilon} \circ G_{\gamma}^a + \frac{1}{2}Q_{\gamma}^a \circ \gamma \right) \otimes 1,$$

$$\Delta \tilde{G}_{\alpha,+1}^a = \left( G_{\alpha,+1}^a \circ 1 - 1 \circ G_{\alpha,+1}^a + \frac{1}{2}L_{\alpha}^\gamma \circ G_{\gamma}^a - \frac{1}{2}G_{\gamma}^a \circ L_{\alpha}^\gamma \right) \otimes 1,$$

$$\Delta \tilde{G}_{\alpha,-1}^a = \left( G_{\alpha,-1}^a \circ 1 - 1 \circ G_{\alpha,-1}^a - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha \gamma \epsilon} Q_{\epsilon}^c \circ C \circ G_{\epsilon} \circ G_{\gamma}^a - \frac{1}{2}Q_{\gamma}^a \circ \gamma \right) \otimes 1,$$
\[ \Delta \tilde{Q}_{a,-1}^\alpha = \left(Q_{a,-1} \circ 1 \circ Q_{\bar{a},-1}^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha \gamma} \varepsilon^{ac} G^c_{\gamma} \circ C + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha \gamma} \varepsilon^{ac} C \circ G^c_{\gamma} \right) \otimes 1 \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha \gamma} \varepsilon^{ac}(G^c_{\gamma} \circ 1 \circ G^c_{\gamma}) \otimes C + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha \gamma} \varepsilon^{ac}(C \circ 1 \circ C) \otimes G^c_{\gamma}, \]
\[ \Delta \tilde{G}_{a,+1}^\alpha = \left(G_{a,+1} \circ 1 \circ G_{a,+1}^\gamma + \frac{1}{2} L^\gamma_{\alpha} \circ G^\gamma_{a} - \frac{1}{2} G^\gamma_{a} \circ L^\gamma_{\alpha} \right) \otimes 1 \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} R^c_{a} \circ G^c_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} G^c_{\alpha} \circ R^c_{a} + \frac{1}{4} H \circ G^\alpha_{a} - \frac{1}{4} G^\alpha_{a} \circ H \otimes 1 \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} (L^\alpha_{a} \circ 1 \circ L^\alpha_{a}) \otimes G^\gamma_{a} - \frac{1}{2} (G^\gamma_{a} \circ 1 \circ G^\gamma_{a}) \otimes L^\alpha_{a} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} (R^c_{a} \circ 1 \circ R^c_{a}) \otimes G^c_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} (G^c_{\alpha} \circ 1 \circ G^c_{\alpha}) \otimes R^c_{a} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{4} (H \circ 1 \circ H) \otimes G^\alpha_{a} - \frac{1}{4} (G^\alpha_{a} \circ 1 \circ G^\alpha_{a}) \otimes H, \]
\[ \Delta \tilde{G}_{a,-1}^\alpha = \left(G_{a,-1} \circ 1 \circ G_{a,-1}^\gamma + \frac{1}{2} L^\gamma_{\alpha} \circ G^\gamma_{a} - \frac{1}{2} G^\gamma_{a} \circ L^\gamma_{\alpha} \right) \otimes 1 \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha \gamma} \varepsilon^{ac}(Q^c_{\gamma} \circ 1 \circ Q^c_{\gamma}) \otimes C^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha \gamma} \varepsilon^{ac}(C^\dagger \circ 1 \circ C) \otimes Q^c_{\gamma} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha \gamma} \varepsilon^{ac}(Q^c_{\gamma} \circ 1 \circ Q^c_{\gamma}) \otimes C^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha \gamma} \varepsilon^{ac}(C^\dagger \circ 1 \circ C) \otimes Q^c_{\gamma}. \]

Once again we have checked that these new secret charges commute with the reflection matrix $K^v$ found in [22] and the achiral reflection matrix found in [15], thus are the secret symmetries of the reflection from the vertical $D5$-brane.

4 Conclusions

In this letter we have presented the secret symmetries appearing in the twisted boundary Yangians of the AdS/CFT reflection matrices. They originate from the known secret symmetries of the AdS/CFT $S$-matrix and are generated by the secret charge $\Delta \tilde{B}$. These symmetries are called ‘secret’ as they have no Lie algebra analogs, except for the $\Delta \tilde{B}$ charge itself. However, even in this case, the corresponding Lie algebra charge $\mathcal{B}$, which acts as a helicity operator, is not a symmetry of the $S$-matrix.

We found that the twisted boundary Yangian of the $Y = 0$ maximal giant graviton enjoys the twisted secret symmetries $\Delta \tilde{Q}_{a,\pm 1}^2$ and $\Delta \tilde{G}_{2,\pm 1}^\alpha$, but there is no twisted partner of $\Delta \tilde{B}$. The twisted secret symmetry $\Delta \tilde{B}$ is not necessary for the $\Delta \tilde{Q}_{a,\pm 1}^2$ and $\Delta \tilde{G}_{2,\pm 1}^\alpha$ to be present, because in contrast to the Yangian of the $S$-matrix, these charges are acquired from $\Delta Q_{a,\pm 1}^2$ and $\Delta G_{a,\pm 1}^\alpha$, but not from $\Delta \tilde{B}$. A plausible explanation why $\Delta \tilde{B}$ is not a symmetry is because the fundamental reflection matrix is diagonal and respects the helicity operator $\mathcal{B}$; hence the twisted Yangian partner of $\mathcal{B}$ should not be a symmetry. The higher order (bound-state) reflection matrices are of non-diagonal form and helicity symmetry is broken, nevertheless it is easy to see that $\Delta \tilde{B}$ would not be a symmetry at any bound-state number.

Similarly, the mirror model of the $Y = 0$ maximal giant graviton enjoys secret symmetries $\Delta \tilde{Q}_{a,\pm 1}^4$ and $\Delta \tilde{G}_{a,\pm 1}^4$ and has no $\Delta \tilde{B}$. However the reflection matrices are diagonal at all orders; thus helicity charge $\mathcal{B}$ is a proper symmetry. Nevertheless this is not an interest-
ing situation as the reflection is trivial in the sense that at all orders it can be constrained by the Lie algebra alone and Yangian symmetry is redundant.

The twisted Yangian of the D5-brane is the most rich in secrets. It enjoys a complete set of twisted secret symmetries, $\Delta \tilde{Q}_{\tilde{a} \tilde{\alpha}, \pm 1}$, $\Delta \tilde{G}_{\tilde{a} \tilde{\alpha}, \pm 1}$ and $\Delta \tilde{B}$ that may further be simplified to the specific expressions corresponding to the horizontal and vertical orientations of the D5-brane.

Our results show that the twisted Yangians inherit most of the initial Yangian properties, thus indicating that the secret symmetries are indeed a fundamental property of the Yangian of the centrally extended $su(2|2)$, as they appear in various physical models as AdS/CFT worldsheet scattering and reflection matrices, planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills MHV amplitudes, and perhaps shall be rediscovered in some other unexpected context.
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