Innovative Target for Production of Technetium-99m by Biomedical Cyclotron
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Abstract: Technetium-99m ($^{99m}$Tc) is the most used radionuclide worldwide in nuclear medicine for diagnostic imaging procedures. $^{99m}$Tc is typically extracted from portable generators containing $^{99}$Mo, which is produced normally in nuclear reactors as a fission product of highly enriched Uranium material. Due to unexpected outages or planned and unplanned reactor shutdown, significant $^{99m}$Tc shortages appeared as a problem since 2008. The alternative cyclotron-based approach through the $^{100}$Mo(p,2n)$^{99m}$Tc reaction is considered one of the most promising routes for direct $^{99m}$Tc production in order to mitigate potential $^{99}$Mo shortages. The design and manufacturing of appropriate cyclotron targets for the production of significant amounts of a radiopharmaceutical for medical use is a technological challenge. In this work, a novel solid target preparation method was developed, including sputter deposition of a dense, adherent, and non-oxidized Mo target material onto a complex backing plate. The latter included either chemically resistant sapphire or synthetic diamond brazed in vacuum conditions to copper. The target thermo-mechanical stability tests were performed under 15.6 MeV proton energy and different beam intensities, up to the maximum provided by the available GE Healthcare (Chicago, IL, USA) PET trace medical cyclotron. The targets resisted proton beam currents up to 60 µA (corresponding to a heat power density of about 1 kW/cm$^2$) without damage or Mo deposited layer delamination. The chemical stability of the proposed backing materials was proven by gamma-spectroscopy analysis of the solution obtained after the standard dissolution procedure of irradiated targets in H$_2$O$_2$.
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1. Introduction

Technetium-99m ($^{99m}$Tc) is an extremely important radionuclide, used in the vast majority of traditional diagnostic SPECT (Single Photon Emission Computer Tomography) imaging examinations. The radioisotope is usually available in hospitals from portable generators containing the $^{99}$Mo parent nuclide, a fission product of highly $^{235}$U-enriched uranium. About 95% of the $^{99}$Mo world production is provided by a few ageing nuclear reactors, whose unplanned outages have already caused shortages at the global level, compromising the availability of $^{99m}$Tc. In order to compensate potential $^{99}$Mo shortages in the future, alternative accelerator-based routes for the production of $^{99m}$Tc have been intensively developed.
The theoretical and experimental results obtained at the global level [1–6] confirmed that the direct cyclotron-produced $^{99m}$Tc, through the $^{100}$Mo(p,2n) nuclear reaction route starting from highly $^{100}$Mo-enriched (i.e., >99% at.) molybdenum targets, is the most promising approach. It has been determined that the optimal proton energy range is 10 to 22 MeV since it is able to provide sufficient amounts of the radioisotope for hospital needs with impurity levels within the limits defined by the recently issued European Pharmacopeia [7]. The $^{100}$Mo target material has to be in the range of hundreds of microns [2]. Depending on the irradiation conditions, irradiation time, beam spot size, Mo enrichment level, and target thickness, the activity produced in a single run can vary and reach up to about 350 GBq [3,6,8,9].

For a number of different cyclotron target stations, various target systems have been developed and tested. The use of enriched and natural Mo under metallic, oxide, and carbide forms deposited on different backing has been considered [4,5]. Due to the poor thermal conductivity of molybdenum oxide, which limits the maximum amount of applied beam current, only metallic Mo targets should be considered for high power irradiation needed for large-scale $^{99m}$Tc production.

During the target design process, two critical issues have to be considered to meet the requirements for radiopharmaceutical production: (1) Beam power heat dissipation capacity has to be as high as possible to exploit the available cyclotron beam current in order to achieve maximum production yield and (2) the baseplate has to be inert during the following target dissolution to provide the requested chemical and radiochemical purity of the final product. The design and construction of a solid target prototype that satisfies both requirements is a challenge.

In order to guarantee an efficient dissipation of the heat imported by the beam, the Mo metallic target must have the following properties: good thermal conductivity of Mo and backing material, uniform and controlled thickness of Mo, and high density (bulk-like) and low level of oxidation of Mo.

The LARAMED (LABoratory of RAdioisotopes for MEDicine) group at LNL-INFN (Legnaro National Laboratories, Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics) has proposed the use of magnetron sputtering (MS) as $^{100}$Mo deposition technique onto a backing plate as sputtering is able to produce both high-density target material and good adherence onto a backing plate. MS deposition allows good control of the deposited layer thickness.

MS is a physical vapor deposition (PVD) technique, well-known for deposition of thin metallic films. However, MS is not used for thick film deposition because of tensile or compressive stress always present in the films. In this work, a method to directly deposit dense and stress-free Mo metallic films up to hundreds of microns thick onto a backing plate was developed. This approach mitigates the often under-evaluated problem of the thermal contact between the target and target backing plate.

The most common approach for Mo target processing after irradiation is target dissolution in concentrated $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ at elevated temperatures (70–90°C), followed by the application of one of the already developed methods to separate $^{99m}$Tc from $^{100}$Mo and other radioisotopes [10]. Since concentrated hydrogen peroxide at elevated temperatures is a corrosive agent, a proper backing plate material should be chosen according to its chemical inertness in such conditions in order to minimize possible impurities in the final product.

For this reason, sapphire, a ceramic material with one of the highest thermal conductivities (60 $\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$), and synthetic diamond (CVD), a material with an extremely high thermal conductivity (up to 2000 $\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$), are proposed in the present work as the components of the target backing plate. In order to minimize the thermal resistance related to the ceramic part (again, to optimize the heat exchange of the target), its thickness was minimized. To simultaneously maintain the mechanical rigidity of the system and minimize costs, the ceramic substrates were brazed onto a metallic target holder part (copper). Only one side of the target prototype is exposed to the dissolution agent due to a dedicated bottom-opening dissolution vial.

Both critical issues faced by the cyclotron solid target have been resolved and are presented here as a proposal for innovative target preparation, which consists of the deposition of more than...
100 µm-thick Mo film by magnetron sputtering onto a sapphire or synthetic diamond substrate, brazed to a high thermal conductivity metallic holder.

2. State-of-the-Art: Cyclotron Target for $^{99m}$Tc Production

Although research and development (R&D) activity on $^{99m}$Tc cyclotron production using oxide [4,5,11–13] carbide [4,5] and even aqueous solution [4,5] targets has been extensive, metallic Mo solid targets are considered the most promising, as they are able to provide the highest production yield. That is due to the high level of heat dissipation associated with the higher thermal conductivity of the metal. Even traces of oxygen can drastically reduce the thermal conductivity of the target material.

Two approaches—preliminary Mo pellet preparation followed by subsequent bonding to a backing plate and direct deposition of Mo onto a backing—have been used. $^{100}$Mo-enriched metallic molybdenum is usually available on the market in powder form. Thus, the transformation of refractory metal powders into dense pellet or foil has been studied by different research groups applying a range of different methods, including pressing, sintering, and rolling.

The targets produced using only hydraulic pressing of powder [4,5,14–16] are characterized by much lower density than their corresponding bulk material and can sustain relatively limited cyclotron currents. The sintering of Mo powders [4,9,17] followed by press bonding [4,5,14–16] or vacuum brazing [4,9,17] processes were used to manufacture Mo targets, sustaining beam currents in the order of hundreds of µA.

Electroplating of metals from aqueous solutions is a well-known industrial process. However, refractory metals such as Mo are difficult to deposit using standard electrodeposition methods due to their high affinity for oxygen. Despite this, electroplating from particular alkaline [20] or acetate [21] solutions has been described. Notably, such deposits have thicknesses not exceeding 20 µm and high levels of oxidation. In addition, the described process was found to be very inefficient (<2%). The co-deposition of molybdenum with zinc [22] was reported to be a much more efficient approach. The electrodeposition from ionic liquids or molten salts [4,23,24] has produced better Mo layer quality, although the use of expensive equipment and implementation of a more complicated protocol were required. Electrophoretic deposition from a mixture of Mo powders and molybdate with additives, followed by subsequent sintering at high temperature, has produced sufficient Mo thickness and resistance up to 300 µA [5,25]. Further improvement of the process by sintering in an inert atmosphere [4] has provided targets resistant up to 500 µA. Notwithstanding the much better results in beam power tests, the accumulation of impurities from the electrolyte bath is, however, an important drawback of all electrochemical deposition methods that is hard to overcome in target manufacturing.

The PVD methods for direct Mo deposition described in the literature include thermal spray [26], cathodic arc [4], argon [27] and xenon [28] focused ion beam (FIB) sputtering, but no information about cyclotron beam tests of such targets is available. Even if only less than 1-µm-thick Mo coatings by FIB sputtering have been reported, the method still seems interesting because it allows extremely low target material amounts, low losses of expensive isotope-enriched material during deposition, and high purity target material. The LARAMED group at LNL-INFN has been working on magnetron sputtering as a method for direct Mo deposition since 2013 [5].
A number of materials have been proposed and tested as a backing plate for the cyclotron $^{99m}$Tc production target. Among them, the most popular are copper [4,5,26,29], aluminum [4,5,14–16,18], tantalum [4,5,14–16,19,25], GLIDCOP (Höganäs AB, Höganäs, Sweden) [4,9,17], and platinum [4,5,20].

Considering the reference $^{100}$Mo(p, 2n)$^{99m}$Tc production route, the standard target processing procedure includes dissolution of a Mo and Tc mixture in hot concentrated H$_2$O$_2$. Transition, post-transition, and refractory metals are not perfectly inert at such conditions. In the case of radiopharmaceutical production, even the presence of a very low amount of impurities is critical.

The idea to use an inert baseplate was presented by the group at the University of Alberta during the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) meeting held in 2013 [5] and 2015 [4], devoted to the accelerator-based production of $^{99m}$Tc, including materials such as glassy carbon, quartz, and aluminum oxide. At the same meetings, a Japanese group proposed the use of an inert vessel (aluminum oxide [5], SiC [4]) for direct irradiation of Mo powders by a vertical cyclotron beam.

Table 1 presents a comparative summary of the studies carried out in different countries on targetry and target preparation for $^{99m}$Tc production by a cyclotron, highlighting the main drawbacks of each approach.

Even if progress is being accomplished on Mo solid target design and optimization all over the world, the problem of the target sustaining elevated cyclotron currents and providing few impurities is still a challenge. In this work, we propose solving this problem using Mo direct deposition by magnetron sputtering onto a complex backing plate composed of chemically inert sapphire or synthetic diamond (only this part is exposed during dissolution to H$_2$O$_2$ dissolution media), brazed to a high thermal conductivity metallic holder.
Table 1. State-of-the-art cyclotron targets for production of $^{99m}$Tc (preparation and irradiation).

| Country   | Institution       | Cyclotron Type | Target-holder System | Irradiation Conditions | Target Material | Backing Material | Deposition Process                                                                 | Problems Notes |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Saudi Arabia | KFSHand RC$^1$     | CS-30, 15–23 MeV | Cooled by both water and He, channels, beam at 90° to target. | 1 μA 10 min in 2013; 15 μA already burnt | natMo, oxide, 100Mo | Cu, Al            | Hydraulically pressing $^{100}$Mo, $^{100}$Mo oxides powder into the circular cavity target plates, heating (450–400 °C) | Only the low current test was satisfactory. $^{100}$Mo burns. [4,5] |
| University of Alberta |           | TR24 ACSI$^2$ 500 μA, 24 MeV p+ | Rectangular inclined | 100 μA | Mo metallic | Ta, W, glassy carbon, quartz, Al$_2$O$_3$ | 1600 °C sintering in reducing atmosphere, 600 °C press bonding to a backing | Not tested [5] |
|           | TR19 ACSI, 19 MeV p+ | TR24 standard, rectangular inclined | 150–500 μA | Mo metallic | Al | 1600 °C sintering in reducing atmosphere, 600 °C press bonding to a backing | No information about tests [4] |
|           | TR19 ACSI, 19 MeV p+ | TR24 standard, rectangular inclined | 20–30 μA | Mo metallic | Al | Hydraulically pressed to Al | The backing is not inert as claimed [14] |
|           | TR19/9 ACSI, 19 MeV p+ | Rectangular inclined | 15.5/18 MeV up to 95 μA max 71 μA average on target 360 min | Mo metallic | Al, Ag, Pt, Au, Ta, Ti, V, Ni, Zn, Zr, Nb, Ru, Rh, Pd, Ir | Foils, pressing to a backing, melting on Ta backing, 1600 °C sintering in reducing atmosphere, 400–500 °C press bonding to the backing of Ta, Cu, preliminary oxidized Al in H$_2$O and HNO$_3$ | The backing is not inert as claimed. No clear data on Al pre-oxidized. [14–16] |
| Canada    | TR19 ACSI | Patented ACSI TR19 target station: both inclined to beam with microchannels and perpendicular to the beam | 80–300 μA 16–30 MeV 0.5–8 h; 10.8 kW, 0.6 kW/cm$^2$, 300 μA 18 MeV beam spot 10 × 20 mm$^2$ to beam. (< 500 °C on a plate) | Mo metallic oxidation-reduction-Mo metallic refined | Ta, other transition metals are described but not claimed | Electrophoretic deposition from a mixture of refined Mo powders 10 μm + molybdate + binder onto Ta backing, sintering 1200–1900 °C 38 h in an inert atmosphere (final receipt 1700 °C 5 h) | 85% density; Ta backing is not inert as claimed [4–6,25] |
|           | TR24/TR30 ACSI | TR30 target | 24 MeV, 300 μA, 2.1 kW, 1.2 kW/cm$^2$ | Mo metallic | Ta | Ta | Ta backing is not inert as claimed [4] |
|           | GE PETtrace | GE PETtrace | 16.5 MeV 130 μA, 5.6 kW, 0.3 kW/cm$^2$ | $^{100}$Mo metallic powders | GLIDCOP 3 30 mm D 1.3 mm thick, brazed to 20 mm 0.7 mm thick Mo | Mo sintered at 1300–2100 °C, disc 18.5–19.5 mm diameter 0.6 mm thick, placed in GLIDCOP baseplate and brazed in a vacuum, H$_2$ /Ar at 500–1000 °C by Ag-Cu-P | No chemical inerrntness [4,9,17] |
|           | TR19 ACSI | Inclined | 15–52 μA 1.5–3 h 15.5–17 MeV | $^{100}$Mo powders | Ta | Direct melting onto a backing | Deposition inefficient <2%. [21] |

Notes:

1. [5]....
2. [4]....
3. [14–16]....
4. [4–6,25]....
5. [4]....
6. [9,17]....
7. [21]....
8. [19]....
| Country                  | Institution                          | Cyclotron Type | Target-holder System | Irradiation Conditions         | Target Material                        | Backing Material | Deposition Process                                      | Problems Notes                        | Notes |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Isopor-Isotopos         | Para Diagnostico E Terapeutica S.A.  | Low energy cyclotron | -                   | -                             | $^{100}$Mo metallic or oxide          | -                | 3-layer sandwich: substrate 40–1500 µm/$^{100}$Mo layer 25–500 µm/2nd hard core layer (Al, Ag, Cu) 25–500 µm/1st hard core layer (Nb, Pt, Ta, Ag, Havar) | Strange and unclear target concept [30] |       |
| Japan                   | Fukui Medical University, Matsuoka   | -              | Vertical beam       | -                             | Target vessel for in situ preparation (Alumina) | -                | The production of $^{89}$Zr by $^{89}$Y(p,n) was investigated; not tested for Tc | [5]                                        |       |
| Japan                   | Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Ibaraki  | Nuclear reactor | (n,γ) reaction      | MoO$_3$ pellet                | Irradiation container                | Plasma sintering method + oxidation process | Low thermal conductivity material [11] |                                           |       |
| Japan                   | MIC NIRS                              | -              | Horizontal beam     | -                             | 3–5 mm layered $^{100}$MoO$_3$       | Target vessel for irradiation, dissolution | Preparation of 3–5 mm thick $^{100}$Mo oxide layer: $^{100}$Mo + H$_2$O$_2$ + N$_2$ flow in a target vessel | Enriched powder, lower $^{99m}$Tc yield/higher contaminants [4] |       |
| Armenia                 | A. I Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory | Cyclotron C18 | Nitra commercial solid target system | -                             | Mo/MoO$_3$ powder pellet + Ag powder compound | -                | Limited information [5,12]                               |                                           |       |
| India                   | VECC                                 | Low power cyclotron | Circular target     | $^{100}$Mo foils 25 µm       | Cu spacers                           | A stack of 4–7 25 µm foils with Cu foil monitor | Very low currents [4,5]                              |                                           |       |
| Italy                   | JRC-Ispra                            | Scanditronix MC40 K = 38 | Al cylindrical screw-type target station devoted to stack foil irradiation | 100 nA, 1 h, 8–21 MeV | $^{100}$Mo powders - | 980 MPa pressed powders | Very low currents [4,5] |                                           |       |
| Italy                   | LNL-INFN                             | The first prototype of TEMA solid target | -                  | $^{100}$Mo bulk | Cu | Magnetron sputtering of $^{100}$Mo | For the moment work only with $^{99m}$Mo [5] |                                           |       |

**Table 1. Cont.**
| Country   | Institution                                      | Cyclotron Type | Target-holder System | Irradiation Conditions | Target Material | Backing Material | Deposition Process                      | Problems/Notes                                                                 | Ref.   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Iran      | RRDL, NSTRI 8                                   | Cyclone-30     | Inclined target      | 160 μA, 25 MeV, 1000 μA-h | natMo          | Cu                | Thermal spray 130 μm Mo                  | Not inert backing                                                              | [26]   |
| Poland    | RC POLATOM 9                                    | GE PETtrace 870| 2.5/5.7 μA for 100Mo target | press, sintering pellet, preliminary H2 treatment 166 °C; 50-78% density After H2 treatment was improved | natMo          | Pt                | Electrodeposition from aqueous solutions | Only oxide is deposited                                                          | [3]    |
|           |                                                  |                |                      |                        |                 |                  |                                        | Considerable oxide-low thermal conductivity                                      | [4,5]  |
|           |                                                  |                |                      |                        |                 |                  |                                        | Mechanical reshaping, melting, remelting, rolling, annealing in vacuum. Different thickness 0.25-600 μm. H2 treatment before remelting. Heating in an envelope of SS. | [4]    |
|           |                                                  |                |                      |                        | MoO3 powder     | Cu                | Electrodeposition from molten salts LICl-NaCl-KCl-MoCl3 600 °C on Ni plate in argon gave low oxidation | No data on thickness and quality                                                  | [4]    |
|           |                                                  |                |                      |                        |                 |                  | Electrodeposition from aqueous solution from citrate solutions                      | Not pure Mo                                                                     | [22]   |
| USA       | Washington University CS-15                     |                | 10-15 MeV 3,4,5μA    | 100Mo2C                | Pt              |                  | Electrodeposition from alkaline solutions                                           | A mixture of oxide and metal. Not pure metal                                   | [20]   |
|           | Massachusetts Institute of Technology           |                |                      |                        |                 |                  | Electrodeposition: Pre-electrolysis + Electrodeposition of Mo, K2MoCl6 (source of soluble Mo) and KCl (a principal constituent of the supporting electrolyte) -> thickness 0.5 mm, columnar structure, but the presence of protrusion on the surface | No limitation on the thickness, but the process is complicated and contaminants are present | [23]   |
| Syria     |                                                 |                | Inclined elliptical  | 100 μA, 3 h            | natMoO3 oxides  | Cu                | Electroplating from aqueous solution                                                 | A very thin layer, poor adherence, oxidation                                   | [4,5]  |
| Germany   | GSI 10                                           |                |                      |                        |                 |                  | Pressing, sintering 750 °C 50 μm MoO3                                             | Backing not inert                                                                | [5]    |
|           | Sektion Physik Universitat Munchen              |                |                      |                        |                 |                  | FIB sputtering with Ar+ Sletten-Type apparatus. 0.1/0.14 μm films also self-sustaining | Small thickness reported                                                       | [27]   |
|           |                                                  |                |                      |                        | K-6Mo, 92Mo, 98Mo | C or Cu            | High vacuum sputtering deposition with 10 keV Xe + gun. 100-400 nm thick films/foils | 100-400 nm-thick films/foils                                                 | [29]   |
| Country   | Institution                        | Cyclotron Type | Target-holder System | Irradiation Conditions | Target Material              | Backing Material | Deposition Process                             | Problems Notes                                      | Notes                                      | Ref. |
|-----------|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------|
| UK        | Mallinckrodt Llc                   | –              | –                    | –                      | 100Mo metallic, MoO3 or their combination | –                | Target obtained from commercial supplier (probably material) | No data on irradiation [13]                      |                                            |      |
|           | Daresbury Laboratory               | –              | –                    | –                      | 100Mo                       | –                | Rolling from powders. Thickness is about 0.5 and 10 µm thick | About 0.5 and 10 µm thick [28]                     |                                            |      |
| Romania   | Institute of physical chemistry    | –              | –                    | –                      | 0.01 mm quite dense, adherent Metal | Electrodeposition from molten salts NaCl-KCl-NaF-K2MoO4 1123 K on Ni plate in argon produced low oxidation | Difficult preparation, expensive equipment [24] |                                            |      |

1 KFSH and RC—King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, Saudi Arabia; 2 ACSI—Advanced Cyclotrons Systems Inc. (Richmond, Canada); 3 GLIDCOP (Hoganäs AB, Sweden); 4 MIC NIRS—Molecular Imaging Center of National Institutes of Radiological Science, Chiba, Japan; 5 VECC Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Kolkata, India; 6 JRC-Ispra—Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy; 7 LNL-INFN—Legnaro National Laboratories, Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics, Legnaro, Italy; 8 RRDL, NSTR—Research and Development Lab, Nuclear Science and Technology Research Institute, Tehran, Iran; 9 RC POLATOM—Radioisotopic Centre POLATOM, Otwock, Poland; 10 GSI—GSI Helmholtz Centre for Heavy Ion Research, Darmstadt, Germany; 11 Mallinckrodt Llc, Staines-upon-Thames, United Kingdom.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

Commercial sputtering targets with naturally abundant Mo (99.99% purity; Mateck GmbH, Julich, Germany) and naturally abundant Ti (99.99% purity; Goodfellow Cambridge Ltd., Huntingdon, England) were used for films deposition. Argon at 99.998% purity (SIAD S.p.A., Bergamo, Italy) was used as the sputtering gas during the process.

Copper disks 32 mm in diameter and 1.5 mm thick were used as simple target backing and substrates for direct Mo sputtering. Copper components, which were disks 32 mm in diameter and 1.5 mm thick with a cylindrical recess 0.5 mm deep and diameter corresponding to the size of sapphire/synthetic diamond plus brazing radial clearance, were used for the preparation of complex target prototypes.

The standard procedure for copper substrate preparation included: ultrasonic washing for 20 min with GP 17.40 SUP soap (NGL Cleaning Technology SA, Nyon, Switzerland) and deionized water, chemical etching with SUBU5 solution (5 g/L sulfamic acid, 1 g/L ammonium citrate, 50 mL/L butanol, 50 mL/L H$_2$O$_2$, and 1 L deionized water) at 72 ± 4 °C in order to remove surface oxides, passivation in 20 g/L sulfamic acid, ultrasonic washing with water for 20 min, rinsing with ethanol, and drying with nitrogen.

IR-grade sapphire with C-axis orientation (Meller Optics Inc., Providence, RI, USA) discs 12.7 mm in diameter and 0.5 mm thick, and chemical vapor-deposited (CVD) synthetic diamond substrates (II–VI Advanced materials GmbH, Pine Brook, NJ, USA) 13.5 mm in diameter and 0.3 mm thick of thermal grade, with thermal conductivity 1500 W/(m·K) were used for target backing preparation.

For pre-treatment of all non-metallic substrates, including sapphire, synthetic diamond, and the silicon wafer, the same procedure was used: ultrasonic washing for 20 min with Rodaclean® (NGL Cleaning Technology SA, Nyon, Switzerland) soap and then deionized water for 20 min, rinsing with ethanol, and drying with nitrogen.

Copper disks 13 mm in diameter and 1 mm thick were used as substrates for Mo sputtering for the Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) analysis of Mo film. For the SEM cross-section analysis, the samples were cut by electro-erosion, treated with abrasive paper, and then chemically etched in a “base piranha” solution (H$_2$O + NaOH + H$_2$O$_2$ 1:1:1 vol.) for 1 min at 50 °C.

3.2. Cyclotron

In this study, a GE PETtrace 800S cyclotron (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA), installed at S. Orsola-Malpighi Hospital in Bologna, was used for all irradiation tests. The PETtrace (Figure 1a) is an isochronous cyclotron working at fixed energy (16.5 MeV for protons and 8.4 MeV for deuterons) and a maximum beam intensity of 100 µA. Note, the maximum current available depends on the source and tuning of the magnets.

The solid target station (prototype TEMA Sinergie S.p.A., Faenza, Ra, Italy) used in this work is shown in Figure 1b. The target “coin” is manually placed inside the target station before irradiation. The aluminum water cooling chamber is pressed to the back of the target coin using a ~3 bar air pneumatic piston. After irradiation, the system allows an automatic target transfer outside the cyclotron bunker. Double cooling is used to increase the current allowed on the target: water-cooling from the back, and helium gas cooling from the front side of the target coin. A detailed description of this irradiation unit was reported previously [32].
Figure 1. (a) GE PETtrace cyclotron at S. Orsola-Malpighi Hospital, Bologna; (b) solid target station at Sant’Orsola-Malpighi Hospital, Bologna.

The target prototypes were developed fitting the design of this target station. The typical target coin is a disk at most 32 mm in diameter and 2 mm thick. In the current solid target system, the integer target coin provides maximum heat exchange performance that is limited by the thermal resistance of both the deposited Mo layer and the backing plate, and the contribution due to the thermal contact resistance between them.

For this work, a specific 4-pin target holder was designed (Figure 2) to house the sapphire and synthetic diamond disks for testing chemical inertness. The external dimensions of the target holder were 32 mm diameter and 2 mm thick once assembled.

Figure 2. Four-pin clamping target system.

The target prototypes for thermomechanical stability control were irradiated for one minute (enough to reach thermal equilibrium) with increasing current. After each irradiation, the sample was unloaded and the integrity of the target and the adhesion of the Mo film on the backing were visually controlled. One of two CVD synthetic diamond-based target prototypes was irradiated for 30 min at the maximum current produced by the cyclotron in order to confirm the previously demonstrated performance during short irradiations.

3.3. Unique Vacuum System for Target Preparation

For target prototype preparation, a vacuum system consisting of four vacuum chambers connected through the central zone and separated by pneumatic gates was used in order to continue with both vacuum processes of interest in different chambers: sputter deposition and vacuum brazing (Figure 3). The central zone was connected to a general pumping system including a 360 L/min Pfeiffer turbo molecular pump with a Pfeiffer DCU display and operating unit (Pfeiffer Vacuum, Asslar, Germany), and a 210 L/min Varian (now Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) Tri Scroll Pump as a primary pump. The base vacuum pressure of about $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar was reached without additional backing before each experiment.
The entire system was controlled by a home-made human-machine interface LabVIEW (National Instruments Italy, Roma, Italy)-programmed PLC (Programmable Logic Controller). Figure 3a shows the four-chamber system layout used for PLC control. Chambers 2 and 4 were used for sputter deposition with 2” magnetron sources. Chamber 3 was used for vacuum brazing. The base vacuum pressure was controlled by a full-range Bayard-Alpert (BA) vacuum-meter. The pressure during MS deposition was controlled with a capacitance vacuum-meter since it was not sensitive to plasma as BA. The vacuum-meters were connected to a MaxiGauge™ control box (Pfeiffer Vacuum, Asslar, Germany). The MKS (MKS Inc., Andover, MA, USA) multi-gas mass-flow controllers powered by the MKS 647C four-channel power supply/readout system was used for gas flow control during the deposition process. The entire system is shown in Figure 3b.

### 3.4. Magnetron Sputtering

Sputtering deposition occurs in a vacuum by means of inert gas plasma (Ar). The material to be deposited, called the sputtering target (not to be confused with the cyclotron target), is attached to the cathode. Plasma is created when a difference in potential is applied between the cathode and the substrate (anode). The positive ions of the inert gas are accelerated toward the cathode. When the ions collide with the atoms of the sputtering target, the energy transfer causes the detachment of some atoms, which are then deposited on the substrate. Magnetron sputtering is characterized by elevated plasma use efficiency due to its magnetic confinement. The process is schematically described in Figure 4.
In the current work, the films were deposited by direct current (DC) sputtering with a 2" planar, unbalanced, II Type magnetron cathode source. The magnetron drive model MDX 1.5 kW (Advanced Energy, Fort Collins, CO, USA) was used to complete the sputtering experiments with the possibility of an automatic control in order to set up the pulsing mode to perform multilayer deposition.

Depositions were performed onto a planar substrate holder (SH), which had the ability to heat up the substrate by controlling the temperature with a K-type thermocouple inserted in the SH plate. The distance between the substrate and the cathode was 6 cm. For film deposition, we used the down-top deposition configuration with a magnetron source placed from the bottom of the cylindrical vacuum chamber and substrate holder with substrates from the top of the chamber in order to minimize film delamination caused by metallic dust particles.

Molybdenum was deposited on a spot 10 mm in diameter in the center of each substrate (backing plate) defined by an appropriate mask.

3.5. Thin Film Analysis

FEI (Philips, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) SEM XL–30 was used for sputtered coatings analysis. The contact stylus profiler, model Dektak 8 (Veeco, Plainview, NY, USA), able to measure a surface texture below submicro-inch and film thickness up to 262 µm, was used for sputtered film thickness characterization.

3.6. Vacuum Brazing

The brazing process was performed in a small mobile homemade furnace placed inside a vacuum chamber. The standard vacuum ConFlat flange (nominal diameter 100 mm) with the assembled furnace and all electrical connections was placed downside in the vacuum chamber. The heating source in the furnace was a 450 W infrared (IR) lamp (Helios Italquarz, Cambiago-MI, Italy). The temperature was controlled by a K-type thermocouple placed inside the furnace with an automatic custom-made IR lamp backing control system. This system allowed us to control the heating temperature and the heating and cooling rate, since this aspect is extremely important for successful ceramics brazing. The small furnace reached the maximum temperature of 1050 °C.

In order to provide good thermal and mechanical contact during brazing, ceramic substrates were coated with 1–2 µm titanium deposited by DC magnetron sputtering at a 0.5-A DC current, $8.8 \times 10^{-3}$ mbar Ar pressure at a 6 cm target-substrate distance in 20 min. The appropriate sputtering pressure was chosen in order to minimize the intrinsic stress in the film and avoid titanium film peeling.
Homemade paste was used as the filler material, containing 63% Ag, 35.3% Cu, and 1.7% Ti powder (content corresponding to CuSil active brazing alloy, ABA) mixed by three-dimensional shaker-mixer, model TURBULA® T2F (Glen Mills Inc., Clifton, NJ, USA), water, and a water-soluble glue that was partially hydrolyzed under heating potato starch solution as a binder.

The brazing process was realized in a vacuum at 920 °C in 15 min maintaining 5 °C/min heating and 3 °C/min cooling rate in order to minimize the thermomechanical stress in the final target assembly/system (Figure 5).

3.7. Dissolution Test and Gamma-Spectroscopy

In order to prove the chemical inertness of the ceramic materials used in the target prototype construction (sapphire and CVD synthetic diamond), the targets were exposed to standard dissolution conditions (concentrated hydrogen peroxide at 70 °C) [10] after cyclotron irradiation. The gamma
spectroscopy analysis of an aliquot of the obtained solution was performed at the Research Laboratory of St. Orsola-Malpighi Hospital Medical Physic Department (Bologna).

The spectrometry system is based on a high purity Germanium detector with 30% relative efficiency and a resolution of 1.8 keV at 1332 keV. The samples were counted after a waiting time to allow for a decrease in radioactivity, sufficient to produce a total counting frequency <2000 counts per second in the 10–2000 keV energy range. The waiting time was typically within 1 h. The spectrometry system efficiency was calibrated in the 59–1836 keV range, using a multi radionuclide certified reference solution, obtained from an accredited Standardization Laboratory (LEA CERCA, Pierrelatte CEDEX, France). The calibration process was performed accordingly to the IEC 61452 standard, using Genie 2000 software (Version 3.2.1, Canberra Industries Inc., Canberra, Australia). A dual logarithmic polynomial efficiency curve was used. The propagation of uncertainties in the calibration considers the accuracy of the reference source (1–2% at 1 sigma level, depending on the peak in the mixture), the tabulated yield of peaks (typically <1%), the net peak area (<1% for calibration peaks), and the interpolation of the efficiency values. The latter is evaluated from the covariance matrix of the fitting of the efficiency curve (typically <3%). In total, using a quadratic propagation of the above terms, the calibration uncertainty was about 4–5% at the 1 sigma level.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Optimization of Magnetron Sputtering Parameters

The control of stress in physical vapor-deposited films is important due to its close relationship with material technological properties, adhesion strength to the substrate, and the limit of film thickness without cracking, buckling, or delamination [33]. In particular, for the purpose of this work, it was mandatory to avoid stressed films because poor adhesion between Mo films and the backing plate could drastically increase the thermal resistance of the contact, decreasing the heat exchange efficiency.

In this work, gas sputtering pressure and temperature of the holder were managed and optimized for the system configuration described above.

Theoretically, a certain gas pressure corresponds to the transition between the tensile and compressive stress. At relatively high pressure, the frequency of the gas phase collision increases, reducing the kinetic energy of the sputtered atoms and reflected neutrals bombarding the growing film exhibiting an open porous microstructure; the interatomic attractive forces produce tensile stress. At low pressure, the arriving atoms have high kinetic energy and the resulting film has a dense microstructure, experiencing compressive stress [34]. The optimal pressure was obtained experimentally by performing depositions of Mo onto flexible substrates. The radius of curvature taken by the Kapton is an indicator of the stress (Figure 7).

Figure 7. Stress vs. sputtering pressure.
The substrate temperature influences the kinetic energy of the particles that have already arrived at the substrate. Low temperature promotes the columnar voided microstructure that is associated with tensile stress. High temperature corresponds to an increase in adatom mobility that leads to a bulk-like structure [35]. In this work, we performed the deposition at the homologous temperature \( T_h = \frac{T}{T_m} = 0.2 \), where \( T \) is the temperature during vacuum deposition and \( T_m \) is melting point of material deposited. The high temperature of the holder during the Mo sputtering process provided a microstructure with a density of more than 95% of the bulk material (Figure 8). The Mo film had a columnar microstructure with a grain size from several hundred nm to microns as confirmed by the SEM image in Figure 8a.

![Figure 8. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis of (a) a cross-section and (b) top view of 90 µm Mo sputtered at 500 °C on copper.](image)

A multilayer deposition technique was shown to reduce stress [36], thus the deposition of Mo thick films was fragmented in a thousand consecutive brief depositions of thin films using an automation program to control the power. Each deposition was followed by a “relaxation time” during which the film was annealed (80% duty cycle for a one-minute period).

The best sputtering process parameters to obtain unstressed Mo films onto copper, sapphire, and CVD diamond are listed in Table 2. natMo films of about 98% of bulk density with thickness over 100 µm were successfully deposited onto copper, sapphire, and synthetic diamond, which were further used either as ready target prototypes (Cu-1,2,3 and S-1,2) or for further vacuum brazing to create target prototypes (S-3,4,5 and D-1,2).

| Sputtering Parameter                  | natMo |
|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Argon flux (sccm)                    | 17    |
| Argon pressure (mbar)                | \(1.63 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Power (W)                            | 5–550 |
| Target-substrate distance (cm)       | 6     |
| Substrate temperature (°C)           | 500   |
| Deposition rate (µm/h)               | 11    |
| Program for multilayer               | Yes   |

### 4.2. Irradiation

After irradiation, the targets were visually inspected, looking for any sign of melting, checking the overall integrity of the target, and the adherence of the Mo film to the backing materials. The results of the irradiation are summarized in Table 3 and visual details are shown in Figures 8–11.

The 110–125 µm of Mo sputtered directly onto copper backing targets were irradiated for 1 min at 15.6 MeV energy on the target (after the Havar® foils) and currents 30, 50, 60, and 70 µA. No sign...
of target damage was observed after irradiation at 30 and 50 µA. The oxidation of the Mo and Cu surfaces (observed in Cu-1 and 2) was related to the previous leakage of a small amount of cooling water in the target system. The copper target test proved that sputtered Mo film resists at a 70 µA irradiation current, maintaining excellent contact between the Mo film and Cu backing (Figure 9).

Table 3. Irradiation test (energy 15.6 MeV).

| Prototype Name | Ceramic (Inert) Part | Ceramic Dimensions (mm) | Mo Film Thickness (µm) | Irradiation Current (µA) | Irradiation Time (min) | Notes |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| Cu-1           | –                    | –                       | 110                    | 30                       | 1                      | Resisted |
|                |                      |                         |                        | 50                       | 1                      | Resisted |
|                |                      |                         |                        | 70                       | 1                      | Resisted |
| Cu-2           | –                    | –                       | 125                    | 60                       | 1                      | Resisted |
| Cu-3           | –                    | –                       | 125                    | 60                       | 1                      | Resisted |
| *S-1           | Sapphire             | Ø12.7 × 0.5              | 90                     | 10                       | 1                      | Resisted |
|                |                      |                         |                        | 20                       | 1                      | Resisted |
|                |                      |                         |                        | 30                       | 1                      | Cracked |
| *S-2           | Sapphire             | Ø12.7 × 0.5              | 90                     | 50                       | 1                      | Cracked |
| S-3            | Sapphire brazed      | Ø12.7 × 0.5              | 110                    | 30                       | 1                      | Resisted |
|                |                      |                         |                        | 40                       | 1                      | Resisted |
|                |                      |                         |                        | 60                       | 1                      | Resisted |
| S-4            | Sapphire brazed      | Ø12.7 × 0.5              | 125                    | 60                       | 1                      | Cracked |
| S-5            | Sapphire brazed      | Ø12.7 × 0.5              | 125                    | 60                       | 1                      | Resisted |
| * D-0          | Diamond              | Ø13.5 × 0.3              | –                      | 20                       | 1                      | Resisted |
| D-1            | Diamond brazed       | Ø13.5 × 0.3              | –                      | 40                       | 1                      | Resisted |
|                |                      |                         |                        | 60                       | 1                      | Resisted |
| D-2            | Diamond brazed       | Ø13.5 × 0.3              | 125                    | 60                       | 30                     | Resisted |

* Inside 4-pin target holder.

Figure 9. Mo on copper backing target prototype irradiation test.
We place 90 µm of natural Mo on a sapphire coin (sample S–1 and S–2) inside the four-pin target holder for irradiation at 10, 20, 30, and 50 µA for 1 min. The Mo film on sapphire resisted a beam current of 10 µA and 20 µA and the sapphire remained intact. At 30 µA current, sapphire-backed plate cracked but the Mo film kept the pieces together, without evidence of delamination or oxidation (Figure 10). At 50 µA, the sapphire cracked into two pieces (one of them was used for the dissolution test described below). It is clear that the contact between sapphire and the copper four-pin holder was extremely poor. A better contact is essential in order to perform the production at higher proton beam currents.

![Figure 10. Irradiation test of S01 Mo sputtered on sapphire target prototype inside a four-pin target holder.](image_url)

The prototype S-3, composed of 110 µm Mo sputtered onto 12.7-mm-diameter and 0.5-mm-thick sapphire, brazed onto copper, was tested in several steps by increasing the current in each following run, from 30 µA to the maximum cyclotron current of 60 µA, always at maximum beam energy 15.6 MeV. Each irradiation test lasted for 1 min. No cracking of the sapphire piece occurred. The prototypes S-4 and S-5 were tested directly under the maximum current of 60 µA at 15.6 MeV for 1 min. The systems resisted the proton beam irradiation as shown in Figure 11. Notably, in the time between the prototype S-4 preparation and irradiation test, a defect between the sapphire and brazing filler occurred, which seems to be attributed to a problem with the Ti sputtered film adherence before the brazing process of the sapphire. For the following preparation of diamond-based prototypes, the Ti sputtering parameters were optimized and additional attention was paid to substrate cleaning procedures in order to avoid this problem.

![Figure 11. Irradiation test of Mo sputtered on a sapphire-based complex backing prototype.](image_url)
The first CVD synthetic diamond-based prototype D-1 was tested in several steps by increasing the current in each following run from 20 µA to 40 µA, and finally at maximum cyclotron current of 60 µA. A maximum entrance beam energy 15.6 MeV was used. Each irradiation test lasted 1 min. No cracking or oxidation of the target prototype occurred during irradiation as shown in Figure 12.

One irradiation test on the D-2 CVD synthetic diamond-based prototype was performed under conditions closer to those used in actual production. The irradiation was performed for 30 min at 60 µA and 15.6 MeV. Similar to the first diamond-based prototype, the second one showed excellent performance during the long irradiation test without any signs of damage (Figure 12).

The new cyclotron target prototype composed of natMo sputtered on synthetic diamond brazed to Cu backing produced excellent results from a thermomechanical point of view, remaining stable at heat power densities in the order of 1 kW/cm².

It should be noted that the cyclotron current typically used for electrodeposited solid targets (for example, 64Ni on gold backing for 64Cu production [37–39] and 68Zn on platinum backing for 68Ga production [40]) ranges from 20 to 50 µA, corresponding to a maximum heat power density of about 0.5 kW/cm². Hence, the use of the sputtering process for target preparation can increase the radiopharmaceutical production yield two-fold. This demonstrates the better performance of the sputtered targets in respect to those produced by electrodeposition.

4.3. Dissolution Test and Chemical Inertness Prove

The test of the chemical inertness of the ceramic part of the backing involved dissolution followed by γ-spectroscopy analysis.

A piece of S-2 irradiated target was dissolved and 10 µL of solution were placed in the γ-spectrometer in order to control if any impurities were released by the irradiated sapphire. The range of the γ-ray energy was 80–4096 keV. According to the software, the peaks belonging to 92mNb, 94Tc, 95Tc, 95mTc, 96Tc, 99Mo, and 99mTc were identified (Table 4). All radionuclides are the irradiation products of natural molybdenum. 92mNb is produced by the reaction 95Mo(p,α); all other radionuclides are produced by a set of (p,xn) reactions starting from the natural molybdenum isotopes; 92Mo, 94Mo, 95Mo, 96Mo, 97Mo, 98Mo, and 100Mo [8,41]. Therefore, any contaminant radionuclides produced by the sapphire backing were present in the solution. This proves the chemical inertness of sapphire in the chosen dissolution conditions.
Table 4. Gamma spectrum analysis of proton-irradiated Mo sputtered on sapphire.

| Nuclide Name | ID Confidence | Energy (keV) | Yield (%) |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| Nb–92m       | 0.998         | 934.46      | 99.00     |
|              |               | 702.62      | 99.60     |
|              |               | 849.74      | 95.70     |
|              |               | 871.09      | 100.00    |
|              |               | 1592.10     | 2.25      |
|              |               | 765.79      | 93.82     |
| Tc–94        | 0.902         | 702.62      | 99.60     |
|              |               | 849.74      | 95.70     |
|              |               | 871.09      | 100.00    |
|              |               | 1592.10     | 2.25      |
|              |               | 765.79      | 93.82     |
| Tc–95        | 0.992         | 947.67      | 1.95      |
|              |               | 1073.71     | 3.74      |
|              |               | 204.12      | 63.25     |
|              |               | 582.08      | 29.96     |
| Tc–95m       | 0.999         | 820.62      | 4.71      |
|              |               | 835.15      | 26.63     |
|              |               | 314.34      | 2.43      |
|              |               | 778.22      | 100.00    |
| Tc–96        | 0.985         | 812.58      | 82.00     |
|              |               | 849.93      | 98.00     |
|              |               | 1091.35     | 1.10      |
|              |               | 1126.96     | 15.20     |
|              |               | 140.51      | 89.43     |
| Mo–99        | 0.981         | 739.50      | 12.13     |
|              |               | 777.92      | 4.26      |
| Tc–99m       | 0.936         | 140.51      | 89.00     |

The thermal grade CVD diamond (D-0 sample), Ø 13.5 mm and 0.3 mm thick with thermal conductivity 1500 W/(m·K), was tested under the 15.6 MeV and 20 µA cyclotron accelerated proton beam for 1 min inside the four-pin target-holder. After irradiation, the synthetic diamond piece was placed into concentrated H₂O₂. No change in the mass with respect to the mass of the diamond before dissolution was observed. The piece of synthetic diamond was then used for the γ-spectroscopy analysis to identify the elements created inside the diamond piece under irradiation. The γ-spectrum contained only one peak at 511 keV. The 511 keV is the energy of the photons due to the electron-positron annihilation. Thus, the radioactive isotope produced in the synthetic diamond during the proton irradiation was a positron emitter. The only suitable radioisotope is ¹³N decaying to ¹³C, emitting a positron. In order to prove this, the activity from the sample was measured via dose-calibrator versus time to build a decay curve. The time corresponding to the moment when 50% of activity left was 10 min. This means that T₁/₂ = 10 min. The radioisotope produced in CVD synthetic diamond was ¹³N.

No other products besides ¹³N were detected in the CVD synthetic diamond plate after 15.6 MeV proton beam irradiation. The synthetic diamond plate does not release any foreign radionuclides after irradiation according to γ-spectroscopy analysis.

4.4. Magnetron Sputtering Efficiency and Further Perspectives

The main defect of the magnetron sputtering technique is the low efficiency of the deposition, which means high losses of enriched material. The losses are attributed to two main factors: low sputtering target use in standard configuration and losses of the sputtering chamber. The first can be solved using an advanced technique with High Target Utilization plasma Sputtering (HiTUS) [42]. The method for ultra-thick film sputtering proposed here can be easily applied to different sputtering techniques since it is based on main PVD principles. For the other factor, an efficient method to recover enriched Mo material from the sputtering chamber after deposition is required. The LARAMED group of LNL-INFN has already developed a method for enriched Mo recovery based on dissolution in an ammonium hydroxide and peroxide, precipitation of MoO₃, and further molybdenum oxide reduction in an overpressure hydrogen reactor.
Positive results obtained for natMo targets have created potential for the application of the magnetron sputtering technique for a high current target with non-enriched elements as precursors. As an example, the LARAMED group of LNL-INFN has successfully developed a preparation method for natY target for the production of 89Zr.

5. Conclusions

In this work, we developed a sputtering deposition process of a target material, producing Mo films more than 100 µm thick with bulk-grade density, low oxidation level, and high adherence to the backing plate, in order to create solid targets for medical radioisotope production. Due to the versatility of the magnetron sputtering technique, target prototypes were created by direct deposition on both metallic (copper) and non-metallic (sapphire and CVD synthetic diamond) target backing.

The use of sapphire and CVD synthetic diamond as backing materials guarantees chemical inertness during the dissolution process after irradiation in order to minimize the impurities. In order to reduce the implementation costs, a composite backing plate made of a non-metallic part vacuum brazed to copper was suggested. In order to produce such prototypes, the vacuum brazing method of sapphire and CVD synthetic diamond to copper was successfully developed using home-made brazing paste.

Solid cyclotron target prototypes, both on simple copper backing and on complex backing based on sapphire or CVD synthetic diamond substrates, showed excellent thermomechanical stability under 15.6 MeV and 60 µA (maximum available from used PETtrace cyclotron) proton beam, corresponding to a heat power density of about 1 kW/cm².

6. Patents

From the work reported in this manuscript, an Italian patent application No. 10201700102990, dep. ref. P1183IT00, inventors V. Palmieri, H. Skliarova, S. Cisternino, M. Marengo, G. Cicoria, title “Metodo per l’ottenimento di un target solido per la produzione di radiofarmaci”, was applied by Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare on 14.09.17; and extended to the International patent application PCT/IB2018/056826, dep. ref. P1183PC00, on 07.09.18, title “Method for obtaining a solid target for radiopharmaceuticals production”.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization of the innovative cyclotron solid target prototype described in current work was performed by H.S. and V.P.; Methodology for ultra-thick Mo film deposition by magnetron sputtering, vacuum brazing synthetic diamond and sapphire to copper, preparation of homemade brazing paste was developed by H.S.; Validation of the developed target preparation technique was realized by H.S. with support from S.C.; Investigation of the performance of new target prototypes under cyclotron irradiation was performed by H.S., S.C., M.M., and G.C.; Resources from the material science research lab and cyclotron facility were provided by V.P. and M.M., respectively; Data curation was performed by H.S. and S.C.; Original Draft—H.S.; Writing—Review & Editing, H.S., S.C., M.M.; Visualization—H.S. and S.C.; V.P. was formally responsible for the laboratory and H.S. informally was in charge of work supervision and administration.

Funding: This research was realized in the framework of TECHN_OSP project funded by CSN5 of the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Italy for 2015–2017. National responsible: J. Esposito, LNL-INFN. Funding Acquisition—V.P. and J. Esposito

Acknowledgments: Special thanks to J. Esposito, responsible for the CSN5 INFN project TECHN_OSP for his valuable support, fruitful discussion during paper revision, as well as the funding acquisition. Support of paper revision provided by C. Rossi-Alvarez was greatly appreciated. We are grateful to the staff of the LNL-INFN Laboratories for Surface & Material Treatments for Nuclear Physics and Mechanical workshop for their help.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Boschi, A.; Martini, P.; Pasquali, M.; Uccelli, L. Recent achievements in Tc–99m radiopharmaceutical direct production by medical cyclotrons. Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm. 2017, 43, 1402–1412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Esposito, J.; Vecchi, G.; Pupillo, G.; Taibi, A.; Uccelli, L.; Boschi, A.; Gambaccini, M. Evaluation of and Productions Based on a High–Performance Cyclotron. Sci. Technol. Nucl. Ins. 2013, 2013, 14. [CrossRef]
3. Martini, P.; Boschi, A.; Cicoria, G.; Zagni, F.; Corazza, A.; Uccelli, L.; Pasquali, M.; Pupillo, G.; Marengo, M.; Loriggiola, M.; et al. In–house cyclotron production of high–purity Tc–99m and Tc–99m radiopharmaceuticals. Appl. Radiat. Isot. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 2018, 139, 325–331. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Accelerator–based Alternatives to Non–HEU production of 99Mo/99mTc. In Proceedings of the 3rd Research Coordination Meeting of IAEA, Vienna, Austria, 22–26 June 2015.

5. IAEA Cyclotron Based Production of Technetium-99m; IAEA: Vienna, Austria, 2017; ISBN 978-92-0-102916-4.

6. Bénard, F.; Buckley, K.R.; Ruth, T.J.; Zeisler, S.K.; Klug, J.; Hanemaayer, V.; Vuckovic, M.; Hou, X.; Celler, A.; Appiah, J.P.; et al. Implementation of Multi–Curie Production of (99m)Tc by Conventional Medical Cyclotrons. J. Nucl. Med. 2014, 55, 1017–1022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

7. European Pharmacopoeia 9.3. In Sodium Pertechnetate (99mTc) Injection (Accelerator produced) Monograph No. 2891, January 2018: 2891 ed; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2018; Volume 9.3, pp. 4801–4803.

8. Celler, A.; Hou, X.; Bénard, F.; Ruth, T. Theoretical modeling of yields for proton–induced reactions on natural and enriched molybdenum targets. Phys. Med. Biol. 2011, 56, 5469. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

9. Schaffer, P.; Bénard, F.; Bernstein, A.; Buckley, K.; Celler, A.; Cockburn, N.; Corsaut, J.; Dodd, M.; Economou, C.; Eriksson, T. Direct Production of 99m Tc via 100 Mo (p, 2n) on Small Medical Cyclotrons. Phys. Procedia. 2015, 66, 383–395. [CrossRef]

10. Martini, P.; Boschi, A.; Cicoria, G.; Uccelli, L.; Pasquali, M.; Duatti, A.; Pupillo, G.; Marengo, M.; Loriggiola, M.; Esposito, J. A solvent–extraction module for cyclotron production of high–purity technetium–99m. Appl. Radiat. Isot. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 2016, 118, 302–307. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

11. Nishikata, K.; Kimura, A.; Ishida, T.; Kitagishi, S.; Tsuchiya, K.; Akiyama, H.; Nagakura, M.; Suzuki, K. Method of Producing Radioactive Molybdenum. U.S. Patent 13/675,769, 30 May 2013.

12. Avetisyan, A.; Dallakyan, R.; Sargsyan, R.; Melkonyan, A.; Mkrtchyan, M.; Harutyunyan, G.; Dobrovolsky, N. The powdered molybdenum target preparation technology for 99mTc production on C18 cyclotron. IJEIT 2015, 4, 37–44.

13. Barbosa, L.A.M.M. System and Method for Producing Radiomedical-Grade Tc-99m. WIOP Patent WO/2014/130384, 28 August 2014.

14. Gagnon, K.; Wilson, J.S.; Holt, C.M.B.; Abrams, D.N.; McEwan, A.J.B.; Mitlin, D.; McQuarrie, S. Cyclotron production of 99mTc: Recycling of enriched 100Mo metal targets. Appl. Radiat. Isot. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 2012, 70, 1685–1690. [CrossRef]

15. Gagnon, K.; McQuarrie, S.; Wilson, J. Production of Technetium from a Molybdenum Metal Target. WIOP Patent WO2012139220 A1, 18 December 2012.

16. Wilson, J.; Gagnon, K.; McQuarrie, S. Production of Technetium from a Molybdenum Metal Target. U.S. Patent US20140029710A1, 30 January 2014.

17. Zeisler, S.K.; Hanemaayer, V.; Buckley, K.R. Target System for Irradiation of Molybdenum with Particle Beams. WIOP Patent WO2015161385 A1, 29 October 2015.

18. Manenti, S.; Holzwarth, U.; Loriggiola, M.; Gini, L.; Esposito, J.; Groppi, F.; Simonelli, F. The Excitation Functions of 100 Mo (p, x) 99-Mo and 100-Mo (p, 2n) 99m-Tc. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 2014, 94, 344–348. [CrossRef]

19. Zyyzin, A.; Guérin, B.; van Lier, E.; Tremblay, S.; Rodrigue, S.; Rousseau, J.A.; Dumulon-Perreault, V.; Lecomte, R.; van Lier, J.E. Cyclotron Production of 99m-Tc. In Proceedings of the WTTC13, Roskilde, Denmark, 26–28 July 2010.

20. Fink, C.G. Electroplating and Process of Producing Same. U.S. Patent US1885700A, 1 November 1932.

21. Morley, T.J.; Penner, L.; Schaffer, P.; Ruth, T.J.; Bénard, F.; Asselin, E. The Deposition of Smooth Metallic Molybdenum from Aqueous Electrolytes Containing Molybdate Ions. Electrochim. Comm. 2012, 15, 78–80. [CrossRef]

22. Kazimierczak, H.; Ozga, P.; Socha, R.P. Investigation of electrochemical co-deposition of zinc and molybdenum from citrate solutions. Electr. Acta 2013, 104, 378–390. [CrossRef]

23. Kipouro, G.I.; Sadoway, D.R. The electrodeposition of improved molybdenum coatings from molten salts by the use of electrolyte additives. J. Appl. Electrochem. 1988, 18, 823–830. [CrossRef]

24. Ene, N.; Donath, C. Texture of electrolytic Mo deposition from molten alkali halide. J. Optoelectron. Adv. M. 2006, 8, 708.
25. Schaffer, P.; Benard, F.; Buckley, K.R.; Hanemaayer, V.; Manuela, C.H.; Klug, J.A.; Kovacs, M.S.; Morley, T.J.; Ruth, T.J.; Valliant, J. Processes, systems, and apparatus for cyclotron production of technetium–99m. U.S. Patent US 2013/0301769 A1, 14 November 2013.

26. Jalilian, A.R.; Targholizadeh, H.; Raisali, G.R.; Zandi, H.; Dehghan, M.K. Direct Technetium radiopharmaceuticals production using a 30MeV Cyclotron. *Dara* 2011, 19, 187–192. [PubMed]

27. Fölger, H.; Klemm, J.; Muller, M. Preparation of Nuclear Accelerator Targets by Focused Ion Beam Sputter Deposition. *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* 1983, 30, 1568–1572. [CrossRef]

28. Morrall, P.S. The target preparation laboratory at daresbury. In *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2008; Volume 590, pp. 118–121.

29. Maier, H.J.; Friebel, H.U.; Frischke, D.; Grossmann, R. State of the art of high vacuum sputter deposition of nuclear accelerator targets. In *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1993; Volume 334, pp. 137–141.

30. Johnson, R.R.; Gelbart, W.Z.; Abeysekera, B.; Matei, L.; McRae, G. Target, Apparatus and Process for the Manufacture of Molybdenum–100 Targets. WIOP Patents WO2016023113A1, 18 February 2016.

31. Stolarz, A.; Kovalska, J.A.; Jasiński, P.; Janiak, T.; Samorajczyk, J. Molybdenum targets produced by mechanical reshaping. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 2015, 305, 947–952. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Cioria, G.; Pancaldi, D.; Piancastelli, L.; Giovaniello, G.; Bianconi, D.; Bollini, D.; Menapace, E.; Givollani, S.; Pettinato, C.; Spinelli, A.; et al. Development and operational test of a solid target for the PETtrace cyclotron. In Proceedings of the 13th European Symposium on Radiopharmacy and Radiopharmaceuticals, Lucca, Italy, 30 March 30–2 April 2006; pp. 3–4.

33. Nikiroo, A.; Hodge, A.M.; Chason, E.; Wang, Y.; Xu, H.; Conyers, M.; Nikroo, A.; Hamza, A. Stress and microstructure evolution in thick sputtered films. *Acta Mater.* 2005, 57, 2055–2065. [CrossRef]

34. Vink, T.J.; Somers, M.A.J.; Daams, J.L.C.; Dirks, A.G. Stress, strain, and microstructure of sputter-deposited Mo thin films. *J. Appl. Phys.* 1993, 70, 4301–4308. [CrossRef]

35. Thornton, J.A. Influence of apparatus geometry and deposition conditions on the structure and topography of thick sputtered coatings. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* 1974, 11, 666–670. [CrossRef]

36. Karabacak, T.; Senkevich, J.J.; Wang, G.-C.; Lu, T.-FM. Stress reduction in sputter deposited films using nanostructured compliant layers by high working–gas pressures. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* 2005, 23, 986. [CrossRef]

37. Kume, M.; Carey, P.C.; Gaehle, G.; Madrid, E.; Voller, T.; Margenau, W.; Welch, M.J.; Lapi, S.E. A semi–automated system for the routine production of copper–64. *Appl. Radiat. Isot.* 2012, 70, 1803–1806. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Sun, X.; Anderson, C.J. Production and applications of copper–64 radiopharmaceuticals. In *Methods in Enzymology*; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2004; Volume 386, pp. 237–261.

39. Obata, A.; Kasamatsu, S.; McCarthy, D.W.; Welch, M.J.; Saji, H.; Yonekura, Y.; Fujibayashi, Y. Production of therapeutic quantities of 64Cu using a 12 MeV cyclotron. *Nucl. Med. Biol.* 2003, 30, 535–539. [CrossRef]

40. Lin, M.; Waligorski, G.J.; Lepera, C.G. Production of curie quantities of 68Ga with a medical cyclotron via the 68Zn(p,n)68Ga reaction. *Appl. Radiat. Isot.* 2018, 133, 1–3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

41. Targholizadeh, H.; Raisali, G.; Jalilian, A.R.; Rostampour, N.; Ensaif, M.; Dehghan, M.K. Cyclotron production of technetium radionuclides using a natural metallic molybdenum thick target and consequent preparation of [Tc]–BRIDA as a radio–labelled kit sample. *Nukleonika* 2010, 55, 113–118.

42. Vopsaroiu, M.; Thwaites, M.J.; Rand, S.; Grundy, P.J.; Grady, K.O. Novel sputtering technology for grain–size control. *IEEE Trans Magn.* 2004, 40, 2443–2445. [CrossRef]

**Sample Availability:** Samples of the targets are not available from the authors.