Genome-wide DNA methylation profile of developing deciduous tooth germ in miniature pigs
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Abstract

Background: DNA methylation is an important epigenetic modification critical to the regulation of gene expression during development. To date, little is known about the role of DNA methylation in tooth development in large animal models. Thus, we carried out a comparative genomic analysis of genome-wide DNA methylation profiles in E50 and E60 tooth germ from miniature pigs using methylated DNA immunoprecipitation-sequencing (MeDIP-seq).

Results: We observed different DNA methylation patterns during the different developmental stages of pig tooth germ. A total of 2469 differentially methylated genes were identified. Functional analysis identified several signaling pathways and 104 genes that may be potential key regulators of pig tooth development from E50 to E60.

Conclusions: The present study provided a comprehensive analysis of the global DNA methylation pattern of tooth germ in miniature pigs and identified candidate genes that potentially regulate tooth development from E50 to E60.
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Background

Tooth development is characterized by a sequential and reciprocal series of inductive signals transmitted between the epithelium and mesenchyme [1, 2]. A number of transcription factors, signaling molecules, growth factor receptors, and extracellular matrix molecules have been identified as participating in the regulation of odontogenesis patterning and differentiation processes [1]. Currently, almost all of our understanding of molecular mechanisms controlling tooth formation and mineralization has come from studies in mice [3–5], whose teeth are significantly different from those of humans in regards to both morphology and number, with only one set of dentition and an absence of canines and premolars [6]. Recently, our group used miniature pigs, which resemble humans in anatomy, physiology, pathophysiology, and development, as an animal model to study the complicated mechanism of tooth development. We identified the characteristic patterns of the spatiotemporal morphogenesis of successional teeth in miniature pigs [7] and mapped the mRNA and microRNA expression profiles [8, 9]. Our results identified differential gene expression patterns in different developmental stages and a spatio-temporal pattern of down-regulation during tooth development [8], suggesting that tooth formation is the result of tight control by a sequence of molecular networks that act at particular places and times. Despite numerous studies leading to the discovery of genetic mechanisms underlying the expression of specific genes during different stages of tooth development [3], recent studies suggest that epigenetic mechanisms also participate in tooth development [10–12].

DNA methylation is one of the best-studied epigenetic modifications and regulates a variety of processes, including embryonic development, cellular differentiation, tissue-specific gene expression, genomic imprinting, X chromosome in activation, and chromosome stability...
In vertebrates, DNA methylation occurs predominantly at cytosine residues within CpG dinucleotides [16]. CpG-rich regions are referred to as CpG islands (CGIs), and are located in nearly 40% of the promoters of mammalian genes [17]. CGIs usually have global unmethylated patterns [18]. Unmethylated CGIs in the promoter regions are normally associated with gene expression, whereas methylated CGIs usually result in gene silencing [13, 19]. Although DNA methylation has been widely accepted as a key mechanism of transcriptional regulation and a critical factor in the development of various organs [20–23], little is known about the normal developmental changes in DNA methylation during odontogenesis.

In the present study, we characterized the genome-wide temporal dynamics of DNA methylation in developing deciduous molars in miniature pigs using methylated DNA immunoprecipitation combined with high-throughput sequencing (MeDIP-seq) to further investigate the role of DNA methylation in gene expression during tooth development. We revealed the landscape of the methylome and identified the potential role of DNA methylation in gene expression in developing tooth germ. The results support utilizing the pig as a model organism for tooth development research.

**Results**

**Global mapping of DNA methylation in the tooth germ of miniature pigs**

We mapped the global DNA methylation status of tooth germ collected from E50 and E60 miniature pig embryos. After the removal of low-quality reads from raw MeDIP-seq data, an average of 4.6 Gb of clean reads were obtained per sample. Total reads were mapped to the reference genome, and mapping rates ranged from 84.30 to 85.55%, of which 59.95–62.85% were uniquely mapped to specific regions in the pig genome (Additional file 1: Table S1). Only uniquely mapped reads were used in further analysis.

Uniquely mapped reads were detected in all chromosomes (GGA1-18 and chromosome X) (Additional file 2: Figure S1). The genome coverage of the CG, CHG, and CHH sites negatively correlated with read depth; most regions had low sequencing depth, and a small number of regions had high sequencing depth (Additional file 3: Figure S2). The distribution of reads indifferent CG density regions was also analyzed, demonstrating that low CG density regions covered more uniquely mapped reads than any other region (Additional file 4: Figure S3).

Different genomic regions exhibited different methylation patterns. The majority of reads were present in the intron regions, followed by CGIs (Fig. 1a). Within the gene body, a depletion of or decrease in reads occurred at the transcription start site (TSS) in both E50 and E60 tooth germ. In contrast, a gradual increase in reads occurred in the intragenic region (Fig. 1b).

Methylation peaks, referred to as methyl-cytosine-enriched regions, are important parameters for the identification of global DNA methylation status [24]. Using MACS1.4.0, we obtained 135,043–179,112 peaks, covering 6.97–7.94% of the reference genome (Additional file 1: Table S2). We further analyzed the distribution of peaks in different components of the genome. A major portion of the peaks were present in the CDS region, followed by 2 kb downstream of the transcription termination site and 2 kb upstream of the TSS, whereas the 5’-UTR, intron, and 3’-UTR had fewer peaks (Fig. 2). Here, 2 kb upstream of TSS was considered the proximal promoter.

**MeDIP-seq data validation by bisulfite sequencing**

To validate the MeDIP-seq data, three regions with relatively high methylation were selected at random for bisulfite sequencing. The results obtained for the three gene regions were in accordance with the MeDIP-seq results. Moreover, great consistency was found among individuals in the same group (Fig. 3, Additional file 5: Figure S4).

**Differential DNA methylation in E50 and E60 tooth germ**

A comparison of differentially methylated regions (DMRs) between E50 and E60 tooth germ revealed 10,488 DMRs (Additional file 1: Table S3). Genes with methylation peaks in both the promoter and gene body regions were considered to be methylated genes [24]. Next, we identified genes containing DMRs in the two groups. A total of 2469 differentially methylated genes were identified: 401 differentially methylated in the 2 kb upstream (103 down-methylated genes, 298 up-methylated genes), 181 in the 5’UTR (30 down-methylated genes, 151 up-methylated genes), 1161 in the CDS (211 down-methylated genes, 950 up-methylated genes), 213 in the intron (53 down-methylated genes, 161 up-methylated genes), 129 in the 3’UTR (41 down-methylated genes, 88 up-methylated genes), and 383 2 kb downstream (93 down-methylated genes, 290 up-methylated genes). More genes were up-methylated (n = 1938) than down-methylated (n = 531) in E60 tooth germ compared to E50 tooth germ (Fig. 4, Additional file 6).

**Analysis of functional categories of differentially methylated genes**

To identify the biological functions associated with differentially methylated genes at E60 versus E50, we performed Gene Ontology (GO) analysis using the DAVID program. GO assignments revealed that the up-methylated genes were mainly involved in 301 categories (p < 0.05, Additional file 7). The top 20 GO categories was showed in Fig. 5a including signal transduction, proteolysis, extracellular matrix organization, small molecule metabolic
processes, cell-matrix adhesion, axon guidance, and intracellular signal transduction ($p < 3 \times 10^{-6}$). The down-methylated genes strongly correlated with 180 categories ($p < 0.05$, Additional file 8). The top 20 included transcription (DNA-dependent), negative regulation of apoptosis, regulation of transcription (DNA-dependent), signal transduction, positive regulation of transcription (DNA-dependent), transport, and negative regulation of chromatin silencing at rDNA ($p < 0.001$, Fig. 5b).

**Pathway and path-net analysis of differentially methylated genes**

To determine the significant pathways involved in differential methylation, we used the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway database to predict putative functions. The up-methylated genes were significantly enriched in 79 predicted pathways ($p < 0.05$, Additional file 9), the most significant of which was regulation of the actin cytoskeleton, inositol phosphate metabolism, proteoglycans in cancer, phosphatidylinositol signaling, and focal adhesion ($p < 0.0005$, Fig. 5c). The down-methylated genes were tightly related to 18 pathways, including gastric acid secretion, endocytosis, the wnt signaling pathway, and cell cycle ($p < 0.05$, Fig. 5d, Additional file 10).

To further understand the interactions among the significant pathways involving the up- and down-regulation of differentially methylated genes, an interaction net was built using path-net analysis. As shown in Fig. 6a, some differentially methylated genes were identified as being involved in key pathways during tooth morphogenesis, including the calcium signaling pathway, apoptosis, focal adhesion, pathways in cancer, regulation of the actin cytoskeleton, and ErbB signaling.
Signal-net analysis

Gene signal transduction networks (Signal-net) were built to explore inter-gene signaling between the differentially methylated genes. A total of 104 genes were screened as potential core regulators of tooth development from E50 to E60 (Additional file 11). As shown in Fig. 6b, PLCG2, PLA2G4A, PLD1, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, JAK2, PPAP2C, MAPK10 had higher betweenness centrality, degree, indegree, and outdegree, indicating that they may play crucial roles in odontogenesis. GSK3β, ERBB4, and IGF1R, which are involved in the wnt signaling pathway, ErbB signaling, and insulin-like growth factor (IGF) signaling, respectively, were also identified as core regulators that interact with other molecules in the signaling network.

Discussion

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of genome-wide DNA methylation profiles in the developing tooth germ of miniature pigs. The results revealed analogous DNA methylation patterns to those of other species, from mammals to plants [24–31]. In the tooth germ of miniature pigs, the CGIs and promoters (around TSSs) remain hypomethylated, whereas the methylation levels in gene body regions were relatively high. In mammals, most CpG sites are methylated, and highly methylated sequences are usually found in the gene body, repeats, satellites, and non-repetitive intergenic regions [32]. However, CGIs in approximately 60 % of the promoters of human genes and TSSs are normally hypomethylated [33]. In general, the DNA methylation levels of CGIs and TSSs are associated with gene expression [34, 35]. Unmethylated CGIs in the promoters are essential for tissue-specific expression of corresponding genes in early embryos and later somatic cells [36]. The methylation patterns revealed in this study were consistent with those reported in previous studies.

The comparison of DNA methylation profiles between E60 and E50 tooth germ showed the different DNA methylation patterns in the different developmental stages of pig tooth germ. Pathway analysis of differentially methylated genes indicated that many tooth morphogenesis-related pathways were involved. As expected, several significant pathways were obtained, including those involved in the actin cytoskeleton, focal adhesion, wnt signaling, and calcium signaling. Among these pathways, the wnt pathway is one of the most important during tooth morphogenesis. From E50 to E60, pig tooth germ progressed from the bell stage to secretory stage. At the bell stage, the enamel- and dentine-forming cells differentiate. Initially, the mesenchymal cells differentiate into odontoblasts that secrete the dentine matrix. Subsequently, the adjacent epithelial cells differentiate into ameloblasts and secrete enamel matrix. The odontoblasts and ameloblasts then control the phases of mineralization of enamel and dentine. At the secretory stage, tooth development is characterized by the transformation of soft gel-like extracellular matrices into mineralized structures of the skeleton [37]. The actin cytoskeleton, focal adhesion, and calcium signaling pathway play key roles in cytoskeletal organization [38]; the pathway analysis implied that they likely contribute to tooth biomineralization. The pathway profiles involving differentially methylated genes provided insight into understanding the maturation mechanisms of tooth germ in miniature pigs.

Signal-net analysis screened several key genes that may contribute to the transformation of soft tissue into
hard tissue, including PLCG2, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, GSK3β, MAPK10, ERBB4, and IGF1R. The phospholipase C gamma-2 (PLCG2) gene is important for intracellular signal transduction pathways because it encodes an enzyme that plays a crucial role in the generation of second messengers following the hydrolysis of phosphatidylinositol 4, 5-bisphosphate [39]. GSK3β, a negative regulator of the wnt canonical pathway, plays a crucial role in tooth morphogenesis, and inhibition of GSK3β could delay the differentiation of ameloblasts and odontoblasts [40]. PIK3CB and PIK3CD belong to the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) family. PI3K and MAPK family members are involved in the regulation of many cellular processes, such as proliferation, migration, survival, and apoptosis, as well as tooth development [41–43]. ERBB4 and IGF1R were also identified to be associated with odontogenesis and ameloblast differentiation [44–46]. The results indicate novel epigenetic mechanisms regulating tooth mineralization.

**Conclusions**

In summary, this study provided a comprehensive analysis of DNA methylation profiles in developing tooth germ from miniature pigs during biomineralization and identified 104 differentially methylated genes that may be potential core regulators of tooth development from E50 to E60. The genes and pathways screened suggest strong candidates for in-depth studies of the epigenetic mechanisms underlying tooth development in miniature pigs.
Methods

Animals and tissue collection

The Wuzhishan miniature pigs used in this study were purchased from Kexing Laboratory Animal Company of Beijing, China. This study was conducted in accordance with the recommendations of the Regulations for the Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals (Ministry of Science and Technology, China). All the animal experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committees of Capital Medicine University under the permit (Approval Number CMU-B20100106).

The last deciduous mandibular molar germs at embryonic day 50 (E50) and E60 which corresponded to early and late bell stages were used in this study. Pregnant miniature pigs were anesthetized and sacrificed as previously described [8]. The tooth germs were isolated under a microscope, frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen, and stored separately at −80 °C until DNA extraction.

MeDIP sequencing

For each developmental stage, the tooth germs were isolated separately from three randomly selected embryos with a specific sex (male) as biological replicates. MeDIP DNA libraries were constructed following the protocol as described previously [47]. In brief, genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Five microgram DNA was sonicated to fragments ranging from 100 to 500 bp. Subsequently, DNA
underwent end-repair, the generation of 3’-dA overhangs, and adaptor ligation steps using Paired-End DNA Sample Prep kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Adaptor-ligated DNA was then immunoprecipitated by anti-5-methylcytosine monoclonal antibody (Diagenode, NJ, USA). The enriched methylated fragments and 10% input DNA were purified on DNA Clean & Concentrator-5 columns (Zymo, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s manuals. Enriched fragments were amplified by adaptor-mediated PCR, the products were quantified on Agilent 2100 Analyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and MeDIP library was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 Sequencing System by Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI, Shenzhen, China). After the completion of sequencing run, raw data was processed by the Illumina base-calling pipeline.

Bioinformatic analysis
Raw sequencing data were first processed to filter out low-quality reads that containing more than 5 ‘N’s or over 50% of the sequence with low quality value (Phred score < 5). The MeDIP-seq data were aligned to the UCSC pig reference genome (Sscrofa9.2, http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/susScr2/chromosomes/), allowing up to two mismatches using SOAP2 (Version 2.2.1) [48]. For each sample, we analyzed the distributions of MeDIP-Seq reads on the pig genome, in CGIs, gene region and different CG density regions, as well as the genome coverage of the CG, CHG, and CHH (with H being A, T or C) sites under different sequencing depths. The distribution of peaks in different genome components in each group, including the upstream 2 kb, 5’-untranslated region (UTR), 3’-UTR, CDS, intron, and downstream 2 kb was also analyzed.

The genomic differentially methylated regions (DMRs) between E50 and E60 groups were identified using the method described previously [49]. The number and the distribution of DMRs between two groups were analyzed. All DMR-containing genes were subsequently processed to functional enrichment analysis of GO and KEGG pathway using the DAVID (the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery) web server. For GO and pathway analysis, Parent–child-Intersection method was used for enrichment analysis and Benjamini-Hochberg was used for multiple tests correction.

Bisulfite sequencing PCR (BSP)
There were three individual samples in E50 and E60 groups, respectively. Three relatively high methylated gene regions were chosen to validate MeDIP-seq data with BSP using individual samples. The primers for the three gene regions were listed in Additional file 1: Table S4. Bisulfite modification of 500 ng of genomic DNA was performed using Methyl Code Bisulfite Conversion Kit...
(Invitrogen, USA). The bisulfite-treated DNA was amplified by PCR in 25 µl reaction mixtures. The cycle program was set to 3 min at 95 ºC, one cycle; 30 s at 95 ºC, 40 s at 50 ºC, 40 s at 72 ºC, 40 cycles; and a final extension 10 min at 72 ºC. PCR products were gel-purified with the E.Z.N.A. Gel Extraction Kit (Omega) and cloned into the pMD18-T vector (Takara). Positive clones were randomly collected for DNA sequencing. The sequencing data and non-CpG-C–T conversion rates were analyzed using BiQAnalyzer software. Methylation status of the target sequence was displayed as the percentage of methylated CpGs of the total number of CpGs.

### Availability of supporting data

The data sets supporting the results of this article are included within the article and its additional files.

### Additional files

| File | Description |
|------|-------------|
| Additional file 1: Table S1. | Date generated by MeDIP-seq. |
| Table S2 | Numbers of differentially methylated regions in different gene components. |
| Table S3 | The information of primers for bisulfite sequencing. (DOCX 21 kb) |
| Additional file 2: Figure S1. | Chromosome distribution of reads in the E50 and E60 tooth germ. (TIF 1845 kb) |
| Additional file 3: Figure S2. | Genome coverage of the CG, CHG, and CHH sites under different sequencing depth. (TIF 617 kb) |
| Additional file 4: Figure S3. | Distribution of MeDIP-Seq reads in different CG density regions. (TIF 504 kb) |
| Additional file 5: Figure S4. | The validation of MeDIP-seq data by bisulfite sequencing (BSP). (TIF 1800 kb) |
| Additional file 6: The list of differentially methylated genes. (XLSX 57 kb) |
| Additional file 7: The up-methylated genes and functions on E60 versus E50. (XLSX 376 kb) |
| Additional file 8: The down-methylated genes and functions on E60 versus E50. (XLSX 142 kb) |
| Additional file 9: Significant pathways related with up-methylated genes and the genes involved. (XLSX 81 kb) |
| Additional file 10: Significant pathways related with down-methylated genes and the genes involved. (XLSX 36 kb) |
| Additional file 11: The genes identified by signal-net analysis. (XLS 51 kb) |

### Abbreviations

BSP: Bisulfite sequencing; PCR: Coding sequence; DMR: Differentially methylated region; GO: Gene ontology; KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes; MeDIP: Methylated DNA immunoprecipitation; TSS: Transcription start site.

### Competing interests

The authors have declared that they have no competing interests.

### Authors’ contributions

YYS collected samples, performed the experiments and bioinformatics analysis, interpreted results and drafted the manuscript. ZPF and XSW participated in the design of the study and assisted with experiments. YL and FW helped the animal experiments. CMZ and JSW participated in analyses and interpretation of results. JD designed the experiments and revised the manuscript. SLW designed and supervised the experiments, approved the manuscript, and got financial support. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Acknowledgements

This study is supported by the grants from Beijing Municipality Government (Beijing Scholar Program-PXM 2013_014226_000055, PXM2015_014226_000116, PXM2015_014226_000055, PXM2015_014226_000052, PXM2014_014226_000048, PXM2014_014226_000013, PXM2014_014226_000053, Z12110005212004, PXM 2013_014226_000021, PXM 2013_014226_07_000080 and T2SHG201510025005).

### Author details

1. Molecular Laboratory for Gene Therapy and Tooth Regeneration, Beijing Key Laboratory of Tooth Regeneration and Function Reconstruction, Capital Medical University School of Stomatolgy, Tian Tan Xi Li No.4, Beijing 100050, China.
2. Laboratory of Molecular Signaling and Stem Cells Therapy, Beijing Key Laboratory of Tooth Regeneration and Function Reconstruction, Capital Medical University School of Stomatolgy, Tian Tan Xi Li No.4, Beijing 100050, China.
3. Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Capital Medical University School of Basic Medical Sciences, Beijing 100050, China.
4. Department of Physiology and Pathophysiology, Beijing An Zhen Hospital the Key Laboratory of Remodeling-Related Cardiovascular Diseases, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Capital Medical University, You An Men Wai Xi Tou Tiao No.10, Beijing 100069, China.

### Received

2 September 2015 Accepted: 17 February 2016

Published online: 24 February 2016

### References

1. Jernvall J, Theileff I. Reiterative signaling and patterning during mammalian tooth morphogenesis. Mech Dev. 2000;92(1):19–29.
2. Jernvall J, Theileff I. Tooth shape formation and tooth renewal: evolving with the same signals. Development. 2012;139(19):3487–97.
3. Bei M. Molecular genetics of tooth development. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2009;19(5):504–10.
4. Zhang YD, Chen Z, Song YQ, Liu C, Chen YP. Making a tooth: growth factors, transcription factors, and stem cells. Cell Res. 2005;15(5):301–16.
5. Tucker A, Sharpe P. The cutting-edge of mammalian development, how the embryo makes teeth. Nat Rev Genet. 2004;5(7):499–508.
6. Fleischmannova J, Matalova E, Tucker AS, Sharpe P. Mouse models of tooth abnormalities. Eur J Oral Sci. 2008;116(1):1–10.
7. Wang F, Xiao J, Cong W, Li A, Song T, Wei F, et al. Morphology and chronology of diphyodont dentition in miniature pigs, Sus scrofa. Oral Dis. 2014;20(4):367–79.
8. Wang F, Xiao J, Cong W, Li A, Wei F, Xu J, et al. Stage-specific differential gene expression profiling and functional network analysis during morphogenesis of diphyodont dentition in miniature pigs, Sus scrofa. BMC Genomics. 2014;15:103.
9. Li A, Song TL, Wang F, Liu DY, Fan ZP, Cheng S, et al. MicroRNAome and expression profile of developing tooth germ in miniature pigs. PLoS One. 2012;7(12):e52256.
10. Townsend G, Bockmann M, Hughes T, Brook A. Genetic, environmental and epigenetic influences on variation in human tooth number, size and shape. Odontology. 2012;100(1):1–9.
11. Kamiunten T, Ideno H, Shimada A, Nakamura Y, Kimura H, Nakashima K, et al. Coordinated expression of H3K9 histone methyltransferases during tooth development in mice. Histochem Cell Biol. 2015;143(3):259–66.
12. Zheng LW, Zhang BP, Xu RS, Xu X, Ye L, Zhou XD. Bivalent histone modifications during tooth development. Int J Oral Sci. 2014;6(4):205–11.
13. Bernstein BE, Meissner A, Lander ES. The mammalian epigenome. Cell. 2007;128(4):669–81.
14. Bird A. DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic memory. Genes Dev. 2002;16(16):21.
15. Bogdanovic O, Veenstra GJ. DNA methylation and methyl-CpG binding proteins: developmental requirements and function. Chromosoma. 2009;118(5):549–65.
16. Bestor TH. The DNA, methyltransferases of mammals. Hum Mol Genet. 2009;16(16):2395–402.
17. Takai D, Jones PA. Comprehensive analysis of CpG islands in human chromosomes 21 and 22. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99(6):3740–5.
18. Bird AP. CpG-rich islands and the function of DNA methylation. Nature. 1986;321(6067):209–13.
19. Illingworth RS, Bird AP. CpG islands—“a rough guide”. FEBS Lett. 2009;583(11):1713–20.
et al. BMC Genomics (2016) 17:134

Page 9 of 9

20. Nugent BM, Wright CL, Shetty AC, Hodes GE, Lenz KM, Mahurkar A, et al. Brain feminization requires active repression of masculinization via DNA methylation. Nat Neurosci. 2015;18(5):690–7.
21. Sim CB, Ziemann M, Kaspi A, Harikrishnan KN, Doi J, Khurana J, et al. Dynamic changes in the cardiac methylome during postnatal development. FASEB J. 2015;29(4):1329–43.
22. Keil KP, Abler LL, Laporta J, Altmann HM, Yang B, Jarrard DF, et al. Androgen receptor DNA methylation regulates the timing and androgen sensitivity of mouse prostate ductal development. Dev Biol. 2014;396(2):237–45.
23. Gliklich R, Preissl S, Grünig BA, Schnick T, Burger L, Benes V, et al. Dynamic DNA methylation orchestrates cardiomyocyte development, maturation and disease. Nat Commun. 2015;6:5288.
24. Hu Y, Xu H, Li Z, Zheng X, Iie X, Nie Q, et al. Comparison of the genome-wide DNA methylation profiles between fast-growing and slow-growing broilers. PLoS One. 2013;8(2):e56411.
25. Weber M, Davies JJ, Witty D, Oakeley EJ, Haase M, Lam WL, et al. Chromosome-wide and promoter-specific analyses identify sites of differential DNA methylation in normal and transformed human cells. Nat Genet. 2005;37(8):853–62.
26. Zhang X, Yazaki J, Sundaesan A, Cokus S, Chan SW-L, Chen H, et al. Genome-wide high-resolution mapping and functional analysis of DNA methylation in Arabidopsis. Cell. 2006;126(6):1189–201.
27. Yan H, Kikuchi S, Neumann P, Zhang W, Wu Y, Chen F, et al. Genome-wide mapping of cytosine methylation revealed dynamic DNA methylation patterns associated with genes and centromeres in rice. Plant J. 2010;63(3):353–65.
28. Xiang H, Zhu J, Chen Q, Dai F, Li X, Li M, et al. Single base-resolution methylome of the silkworm reveals a sparse epigenomic map. Nat Biotechnol. 2010;28(5):516–20.
29. Li Q, Li N, Hu X, Li J, Du Z, Chen L, et al. Genome-wide mapping of DNA methylation in chicken. PLoS One. 2011;6(5):e19428.
30. Sati S, Tanwar VS, Kumar KA, Patowary A, Jain V, Ghosh S, et al. High resolution methylome map of rat indicates role of intragenic DNA methylation in identification of coding region. PLoS One. 2012;7(2):e31621.
31. Lee JR, Hong CP, Moon JW, Jung YO, Kim DS, Kim TH, et al. Genome-wide analysis of DNA methylation patterns in horse. BMC Genomics. 2014;15:598.
32. Li E, Zhang Y. DNA methylation in mammals. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2014;6:a019133.
33. Jones PA. Functions of DNA methylation-islands, start sites, gene bodies and beyond. Nat Rev Genet. 2012;13(7):484–92.
34. Lister R, Pelizzola M, Dowen RH, Hawkins RD, Hon G, Tonti-Filippini J, et al. Human DNA methylomes at base resolution show widespread epigenomic differences. Nature. 2009;462(7271):315–22.
35. Laurent L, Wong E, Li G, Huynh T, Tsirigos A, Ong CT, et al. Dynamic changes in the human methylome during differentiation. Genome Res. 2010;20(3):320–31.
36. Meng H, Cao Y, Qin J, Song X, Zhang Q, Shi Y, et al. DNA methylation, its mediators and genome integrity. Int J Biol Sci. 2015;11(5):604–17.
37. Lacruz RS, Smith CE, Bringas Jr P, Chen YB, Smith SM, Snead ML, et al. Identification of novel candidate genes involved in mineralization of dental enamel by genome-wide transcript profiling. J Cell Physiol. 2012;227(5):2264–75.
38. Eapen A, Ramachandran A, George A. DPP in the matrix mediates cell adhesion but is not restricted to stickiness: a tale of signaling. Cell Adh Migr. 2012;6(4):307–11.
39. Argenson AC, Druck T, Veronese ML, Knapf JL, Buchberg AM, Huebner K, et al. Phospholipase C gamma-2 (Plcg2) and phospholipase C gamma-1 (Plcg1) map to distinct regions in the human and mouse genomes. Genomics. 1995;25(1):29–35.
40. Aurrekoetxea M, Lopez J, Garcia P, Ibarretxe G, Unda F. Enhanced Wnt/b-catenin signalling during tooth morphogenesis impedes cell differentiation and leads to alterations in the structure and mineralisation of the adult tooth. Biol Cell. 2012;104(10):653–67.
41. Cantley LC. The phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway. Science. 2002;296(5573):1665–7.
42. Greenblatt MB, Kim JM, Oh H, Park KH, Choo MK, Sano Y, et al. p38 MAPK is required for tooth morphogenesis and enamel secretion. J Biol Chem. 2015;290(1):284–95.
43. Cho KW, Cho SW, Lee JM, Lee MJ, Gang HS, Jung HS. Expression of phosphorylated forms of ERK, MEK, PTK6 and P38 in mouse oral development. Gene Expr Patterns. 2008;8(4):284–90.
44. Fried K, Riling M, Tidcombe H, Gassmann M, Lilleaa C. Expression of ErbB3, ErbB4, and neuregulin-1 mRNA during tooth development. Dev Dyn. 2002;224(3):355–60.
45. Young WG. Growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor-I in odontogenesis. Int J Dev Biol. 1995;39(1):263–72.
46. Matsumoto A, Harada H, Saito M, Taniguchi A. Induction of insulin-like growth factor 2 expression in a mesenchymal cell line co-cultured with an ameloblast cell line. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim. 2011;47(9):675–80.
47. Li N, Ye M, Li Y, Yan Z, Butcher LM, Sun J, et al. Whole genome DNA methylation analysis based on high throughput sequencing technology. Methods. 2010;52(3):203–12.
48. Li R, Yu C, Li Y, Lam TW, Yiu SM, Kristiansen K, et al. SOAP2: an improved ultrafast tool for short read alignment. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(15):1966–7.
49. Li M, Wu H, Luo Z, Xia Y, Guan J, Wang T, et al. An atlas of DNA methylomes in porcine adipose and muscle tissues. Nat Commun. 2013;4:850.