Prucalopride-associated acute tubular necrosis
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic constipation is very common and affects 14% of the general population[1]. The incidence rises with age, and is higher in women and those with lower socioeconomic status[2]. It is characterized by infrequent bowel and often associated with abdominal discomfort, bloating and cramps. Patients are susceptible to complications such as hemorrhoids and anal fissures. The consequences on quality of life, health care costs and activity impairment are also significant[3].

The treatment of constipation requires a multifaceted approach which includes lifestyle changes, dietary adjustments, stool softeners, osmotic agents and laxa-
A 75 years old male developed chronic constipation following a Whipple’s pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic cancer 19 mo earlier. Over this period, he had multiple emergency room visits for abdominal cramps and pain which were on occasion related to severe constipation and obstipation. He required regular cleansing regimens in hospital, and repeated upper and lower endoscopies revealed no significant pathology. He was referred to a gastroenterologist and his pain resolved with discontinuation of his pancrealipase preparation. A complete work up for other renal disease including glomerular based diseases was negative and the patient did not have peripheral edema, or volume overload. His blood work on examination, with no signs of a rash, peripheral swelling, or shortness of breath. He also denied any irritative or obstructive urinary symptoms. There were no recent changes to his medications, or any use of over the counter medications such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. His candesartan was held and he was referred to a nephrologist for an urgent assessment.

At this appointment he was found to have a normal blood pressure on examination, with no signs of a rash, peripheral edema, or volume overload. His blood work now demonstrated an elevated creatinine of 285 μmol/L at 4.5 mo following prucalopride administration. A complete work up for other renal disease including glomerular based diseases was negative and the patient did not have peripheral cosinophilia. Urinalysis showed +1 proteinuria, trace blood, and urine microscopy revealed many white blood cell casts. An ultrasound of his kidneys showed no signs of obstructive uropathy and Doppler examination of his renal arteries and veins were normal. He was diagnosed with acute interstitial nephritis secondary to his exposure to prucalopride and was instructed to stop this medication. He was started on prednisone 40 mg daily exposure to prucalopride and was instructed to stop this medication. At this time were clopidogrel, pantoprazole, candesartan, indapamide, gabapentin, sennoside, as well as 30 g of fiber daily.

The patient was seen four months after the initiation of prucalopride and was now having regular bowel movements for the first time since his Whipple’s surgery. He required no further admissions to hospital and his quality of life significantly improved while using prucalopride as the sole agent for management of his constipation. It was however noted that his creatinine was had risen from a baseline of 103 (eGFR baseline 61 mL/min per 1.73 m², stable for at least 4 years) to 165 μmol/L (eGFR 35 mL/min per 1.73 m²) in two months, and further to 270 μmol/L (eGFR 19 mL/min per 1.73 m²) by four months (Figure 1). He endorsed no symptoms of decreased oral intake, oliguria, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, peripheral swelling, or shortness of breath. He also denied any irritative or obstructive urinary symptoms. There were no recent changes to his medications, or any use of over the counter medications such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. His candesartan was held and he was referred to a nephrologist for an urgent assessment.
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was performed within one week.

The core biopsy specimen from the left kidney showed 11 of 39 glomeruli globally sclerosed, while the remainder of the glomeruli showed no increase in mesangial matrix or cellularity (Figure 2A). There was minimal interstitial inflammation, with moderate degenerative and regenerative changes within the tubules. There was moderate (40%) interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy. There was no arteriolar hyalinosis and moderate arterial sclerosis. Many of the tubules also contained oxalate and calcium phosphate crystals (Figure 2B). Immunofluorescence was negative for immunoglobulin A, G and M, as well as C3, C1q, kappa or lambda. Electron microscopy of the non-sclerosed glomeruli revealed no immune-type deposits, nor any tubuloreticular inclusions. The glomerular basement membranes were mildly wrinkled and within normal limits of thickness. There was moderate effacement of the podocyte foot processes (30%). These findings were consistent with acute tubular necrosis with no evidence for interstitial nephritis.

According to the Naranjo probability score of adverse drug reactions, our patient’s case was classified as a ‘probable adverse drug reaction’ of prucalopride induced kidney injury. Points were given for temporal causality, lack of an alternative cause of the reaction, lack of progression with drug discontinuation, and objective confirmation of kidney injury with the renal biopsy. The patient remained on prednisone at 20 mg daily until seen in follow-up three weeks later. Repeat creatinine remained elevated at 309 μmol/L. His prednisone taper was resumed at 5 mg per week and was ultimately discontinued since there were no signs of ongoing inflammation in the biopsy specimen. The patient unfortunately did not have any further renal recovery and his symptoms of constipation returned while off prucalopride. The search for alternative regimen to treat his chronic constipation is ongoing.

**DISCUSSION**

Prucalopride is a novel highly selective 5-HT4 receptor agonist developed for the treatment of chronic constipation among patients with an inadequate response to laxatives. The safety of this medication was assessed in all the Phase II trials, and in three Phase III pivotal trials. A total of 1974 patients were evaluated in the phase III trials, with 1313 receiving prucalopride. The most frequent adverse events reported were headache, abdominal pain, nausea and diarrhea, with most symptoms occurring on the first day. None of the phase III trials reported changes in renal function as measured by blood work at baseline and throughout the study. Two smaller placebo-controlled randomized trials in elderly patients with a mean age of 76 and 83, randomized a total of 301 patients to prucalopride. The same profile of adverse events were seen in these trials with elderly patients as the larger phase III trials. However, in both trials, prucalopride was only administered for 4 wk and while no kidney injury was reported after short-term use, there is a lack of long-term data in the elderly. Numerous other smaller randomized trials with prucalopride also found no associated reports of renal impairment. Elderly patients are at increased risk for baseline renal dysfunction. In the patient described in this report, although stable for least 4 years, the eGFR of 61 mL/min per 1.73 m², likely reflected some degree of underlying chronic kidney disease. The elderly patient demographic and potential for underlying chronic kidney disease emphasize the importance of including this group in study trials for safety outcomes.

Our case demonstrates the first report of acute tubular necrosis associated with prucalopride administration. A thorough search on PubMed, Embase and Medline demonstrated no other reports of acute kidney injury secondary to prucalopride. A search for an association with alternative serotonin receptor agonists, such as cisapride or tegaserod, with kidney injury also found no previous case reports. Whether the acute tubular necrosis was due to acute interstitial nephritis or hemodynamic insult cannot be definitively known in this case, since the patient was treated empirically with steroids based on the prominent white blood cell casts on urinalysis. However it remains likely that interstitial inflammation was suppressed by steroid administration prior to the renal biopsy and the working clinical diagnosis was therefore acute interstitial nephritis causing acute tubular necrosis.

The key feature which differentiates prucalopride from other 5-HT4 receptor agonists such as cisapride and tegaserod is its increased selectivity for its receptor. The lack of selectivity of the other older agents resulted...
Cases of oxalate nephropathy reported in the literature suggest that acute kidney injury is less probable. In addition, the crescent formation of oxalate crystals within tubules after acute interstitial nephritis and interstitial fibrosis may result in progressive tubular atrophy caused by calcium oxalate crystals, or by direct tubular necrosis as well as in other chronic renal impairments. The characteristic of high selectivity is important as these receptors are found throughout the body, including the kidney. The primary receptors in the kidney are the 5-HT1A receptors on smooth muscle cells and the 5-HT1D receptors on endothelial cells[19]. Stimulation of the 5-HT1D receptors directly causes renal vasoconstriction, while activation of 5-HT1A receptors leads to vaso-dilation indirectly via nitric oxide[20]. It has been found that administration of serotonin impairs autoregulation of the glomerular filtration rate of the kidney, leaving it vulnerable to ischemic damage[8]. While prucalopride has agonistic effects on the serotonin receptor, given that it has not yet been shown to activate the specific subtypes of 5-HT1A and 5-HT1D, this mechanism of kidney injury is less likely. It is not known whether the current use of can-desartan in this patient may have also played a role in the development of acute tubular necrosis, since angiotensin II blockade can also cause impaired renal autoregulation and a decline in glomerular filtration rate through post-glomerular vasodilation.

Our patient’s renal biopsy also demonstrated increased deposition of crystals, with predominantly oxalate crystals as well as calcium phosphate crystals. Increased absorption of oxalate from the colon occurs in fat malabsorption states, such as pancreatic insufficiency[9]. In such instances, calcium preferentially binds to free fatty acids instead of oxalate, which allows the free soluble oxalate to be absorbed through the colon. Other factors which can increase oxalate absorption include the presence of bile salts[21] and the absence of bacteria such as Oxalobacter formigenes and certain strains of Enterococcus fecalis which are able to degrade oxalate[22]. Our patient had discontinued his pancrelipase preparation at the time prucalopride was started due to side effects of abdominal pain. Given his history of Whipple’s pancreatomegaly and the discontinuation of his pancreatic replacement enzymes, this fat malabsorption state may have induced hyperoxaluria.

Oxalate nephropathy can occur from tubular obstruction caused by calcium oxalate crystals, or by direct tubular injury which results in progressive tubular atrophy and interstitial fibrosis[23]. It is also common to see small numbers of oxalate crystals within tubules after acute tubular necrosis as well as in other chronic renal impairment conditions. Given the mixture of both oxalate and calcium phosphate crystals in our patient’s renal biopsy, an underlying oxalate nephropathy as the etiology of the acute kidney injury is less probable. In addition, the creatinine stabilized with cessation of prucalopride and the patient did not yet resume his pancrelipase preparation. Cases of oxalate nephropathy reported in the literature are often associated with oliguria and a marked decline in renal function requiring hemodialysis[24]. Fortunately, our patient’s renal failure was not as severe. Follow up urinalyses have failed to demonstrate crystals of any type, further suggesting that a crystal nephropathy is not playing an important contribution to the patient’s renal failure. Furthermore, high-fluid intake and low oxalate diet recommendations along with calcium carbonate supplements have not been associated with improved renal function.

In conclusion, given the lack of literature to support prucalopride and other serotonin receptor agonists as nephrotoxins, our patient’s case of acute renal failure was treated initially as allergic interstitial nephritis. However, when his renal function did not improve with discontinuation of the medication and prednisone therapy, a renal biopsy was performed to confirm the diagnosis. This case demonstrates the importance of a renal biopsy when the diagnosis is unclear or when there is lack of improvement with therapy. In addition, this case also highlights the importance of routine blood work to follow cell count, biochemistry and renal function when starting a medication which is new to both the patient and the medical community. Adverse effects which were not documented by clinical trials may still occur in our patients and reporting of such outcomes is required for ongoing drug safety and monitoring. In addition, given the limited long-term data available for elderly patients, and unreliability of serum creatinine in estimating renal function, a lower 1 mg of prucalopride should be initiated in this population. Without routine blood work, this case of renal failure may have been missed until the patient presented with more significant symptoms related to renal failure such as oliguria, vomiting, volume overload or uremia.

**COMMENTS**

**Case characteristics**

A 75 years old gentleman initiated on prucalopride for chronic constipation with subsequent elevation of serum creatinine from 100 μmol/L to 270 μmol/L within four months.

**Clinical diagnosis**

He was treated with prednisone for presumed acute interstitial nephritis and a subsequent renal biopsy demonstrated acute tubular necrosis secondary to acute interstitial nephritis.

**Differential diagnosis**

Acute interstitial nephritis secondary to a drug allergic reaction, oxalate nephropathy, and acute tubular necrosis following hemodynamic insult, angiotensin II blockade or interstitial nephritis.

**Laboratory diagnosis**

Serum creatinine rose from a baseline of 103 μmol/L to a peak of 310 μmol/L and urine microscopy revealed many white cell casts.

**Imaging diagnosis**

Abdominal ultrasound showed no signs of obstructive uropathy, and Doppler examination was negative for renal artery stenosis.

**Pathologic diagnosis**

A renal biopsy was performed after cessation of prucalopride and administration of prednisone revealing moderate interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy with deposition of oxalate and calcium phosphate crystals.

**Treatment**

Therapy with prednisone was initiated once white cell casts were seen on urinalysis. Without routine blood work, this case of renal failure may have been missed until the patient presented with more significant symptoms related to renal failure such as oliguria, vomiting, volume overload or uremia.
nary microscopy and prucalopride was discontinued resulting in stabilization of the serum creatinine but no further recovery of renal function.

Related reports
This is the first case of acute renal failure reported in the literature, with no previous occurrences documented from several previous Phase II and III trials.

Term explanation
Prucalopride is a novel highly selective 5-hydroxytryptamine-4 receptor agonist developed for the treatment of chronic constipation after failure of laxative therapy.

Experiences and lessons
This case highlights the need for monitoring of routine blood work with cell count, biochemistry and renal function when using medications new to both the patient and the medical community as previously undocumented adverse events may develop.

Peer review
This is an important case report in regard to clinical use of prucalopride.
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