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Abstract

Introduction

Distal radius fracture is a common type of fracture in clinical practice. Over recent years, Volar locking plate internal fixation remains the most commonly used surgical treatment method in the treatment of distal radius fractures. To better expose the distal radius and fracture segment, the surgeons have to cut the pronator quadratus (PQ) muscle. However, whether the PQ muscle need to be repaired remains controversial. This study aims to provide a plan to evaluate the clinical efficacy whether to repair the PQ muscle in volar plate fixation of distal radius fractures.

Methods and analysis

We will search PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), and Wanfang databases from the beginning to February 2021 to compare clinical outcomes whether to repair the PQ muscle. Quality assessment will be evaluated using the risk of bias tool recommended by the Cochrane Handbook 5.2.0. Subsequently, Revman software version 5.3 will be used for data analysis when a meta-analysis is allowed including subgroup and sensitivity analysis.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42020206959

Highlights

This study will systematically evaluate the clinical efficacy, and applicability of repairing and non-repairing the PQ muscle in volar plate fixation of distal radius fractures.

► The research results will provide a reference for clinicians to choose an appropriate surgery for distal radius fractures.

► This study provides improved clinical applicability and comparability of derived results through subgroup analysis.

► The results of this study may change and need to be updated when new high-quality controlled trials are reported.

► We only include English and Chinese literatures, which may lead to a selection bias.

Introduction

Distal radius fractures are responsible for high incidence worldwide, mostly due to the anatomy of distal radius, which is located between cortical and cancellous bone\textsuperscript{[1,2]}. According to relevant research statistics, distal radius fractures are the most common upper limb fractures\textsuperscript{[3]}. Currently, open reduction and volar plate internal fixation of distal radius fractures is the best treatment option\textsuperscript{[4–6]}. To better place
on the deep surface of the PQ muscle, it is necessary to be dissected during the operation\cite{7-9}. However, whether to repair the PQ muscle remains controversial. 83% (608/753) members of the American Society of Hand Surgery of a previous study recommended that they tried to repair the PQ muscle\cite{10}. The main reason is that it is more beneficial to the recovery of the PQ strength, the stability of the distal radioulnar joint, and avoid the damage caused by the long-term friction between the volar flexor tendon and the plate. Another part of the researchs believe that repairing the PQ muscle will further aggravate the ischemic contracture of the muscle, which will eventually affect the range of motion of the forearm\cite{11}. Two studies published in 2013 found that there is no correlation between the repairing of the PQ muscle and the postoperative efficacy and functional recovery of the distal radius fractures\cite{12,13}. The results of another study showed that repairing the PQ muscle can reduce the short-term pain of postoperative patients\cite{14}. Many experiments have compared the effect of repairing and not repairing the PQ muscle on the postoperative efficacy of distal radius fractures\cite{7,12-14}. However, there is still a lack of high-quality systematic reviews. Therefore, this study uses a meta-analysis to systematically evaluate and compare the curative effect and applicability of repairing and not repairing the PQ muscle, so as to provide a basis for orthopedic trauma surgeons to choose appropriate surgical methods.

**Methods**

**2.1 Inclusion criteria**

**2.1.1 Type of study**

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and comparative experimental trials will be included in this SR. Patients should be randomly assigned into with PQ repair and without PQ repair groups. The language of the literature will be limited in English or Chinese.

**2.1.2 Participants**

All patients were clearly diagnosed as fresh closed distal radius fractures by X-ray or CT. Patients with metachronous fractures, open fractures, or combined with vascular and nerve injury were excluded.

**2.1.3 Interventions**

Under brachial plexus anesthesia or general anesthesia, the patient is in a supine position, and the radial styloid process is taken from the transverse carpal striae, and an incision extending about 8 cm proximally is exposed from the approach between the flexor carpi radialis muscle and the radial artery and vein. The lateral flexor carpi tendon is pulled to the ulnar side, and the radial artery and vein are properly pulled to the radial side. Properly bluntly separated along the direction of the muscle fibers to expose the distal and proximal ends of the PQ muscle covering the distal radius, and run longitudinally in 1/3 of the radial side\cite{9,13}. The PQ muscle was incised to expose the fractured radius for reduction and internal fixation with plate screws. After the internal fixation operation is completed, the incision is flushed with saline. In group A, the incised PQ muscle was sutured carefully with absorbable sutures, and
then the skin was sutured layer by layer, while in group B, the incised PQ muscle was stretched to cover the steel plate and the tissue was directly sutured layer by layer\textsuperscript{[12-14]}.

Postoperative treatment generally does not require plaster fixation. After 24, the extension and flexion function exercises of the affected metacarpophalangeal joint and interphalangeal joint will be started. After 2 days, postoperative X-ray photography will be performed to check the fracture reduction and internal fixation\textsuperscript{[7, 12]}.

2.1.4 Outcomes

The primary outcomes will include the wrist pain intensity measurement via a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) or a Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) within 2 weeks after surgery.

The range of motion (ROM) of the wrist on the affected side and the disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) score will be collected within 1 year after surgery. Secondary results include operation time, intraoperative blood loss, and adverse events (vascular and nerve damage and compartment syndrome).

2.2 Date sources

We will search the following databases from establishment to February 2021: PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), and Wanfang databases from the beginning to February 2021.

2.3 Search strategy

Search strategy will follow the Cochrane handbook. The search strategy for PubMed is shown in Table 1, and similar strategies will be built and applied for other electronic databases. The search strategy is listed in Table 1.

Table 1 search strategy used in PubMed database

| Number | Search termse |
|--------|---------------|
| #1     | distal radi*[Title/Abstract] |
| #2     | volar plat*[Title/Abstract] |
| #3     | pronator quadratus[Title/Abstract] |
| #4     | #1 AND #2 AND #3 |

2.4 Study selection and data extraction

After selecting the study based on the inclusion criteria according to the above-mentioned, two independent reviewers will read the full texts of each article to extract pertinent data using a data extraction form. Any disagreements will be solved by discussion.
or consultation with another researcher. If the primary data is missing, incomplete, or reported in the form of graphs, we will contact the author by email or phone calls for raw data. If the author of the paper has lost the relevant data, or does not agree to provide data, we will give a statistical description in the results section instead of meta-analysis. The research summary of the screening flow chart is shown in Fig. 1.

2.5 Quality assessment of included studies

Two independent assessment of risk of bias researchers will individually use the risk of bias (RoB) tool proposed by Cochrane Handbook V.5.2.0 to assess the quality risk of bias (RoB) for randomized controlled trials (RCTs), which included six aspects: (1) Random sequence generation, (2) Allocation concealment, (3) Blinding of patients and personnel, (4) Incomplete outcome data, (5) Selective reporting, (6) Other bias. The RoB in each field is divided into “Low risk,” “High risk,” or “Unclear risk.” If the RoB of the research included in the meta-analysis is different, we will conduct a hierarchical analysis based on the RoB to show readers different results under different RoBs.

2.6 Subgroup analysis

If heterogeneity is detected, a subgroup analysis will be performed to explore differences in methodological quality, age, and AO fracture classification.

2.7 Data synthesis and analysis

The meta-analysis in this review will use RevMan 5.3 and Stata 13.0 software. For the outcome index of two categorical variables, relative risk will be used, and for the outcome index of continuous variables, the average difference or standardized average difference will be used, with a confidence interval of 95%. The heterogeneity test will be used for the included studies, and these studies will be tested by the Higgins $I^2$ test. If there is a low heterogeneity ($I^2 \leq 25\%$), a fixed-effect model will be used; otherwise, a random effects model ($I^2 > 25\%$) will be used$^{[15]}$. If the $I^2$ value of the combined results is greater than 75%, we will abandon the meta-analysis and only give a general statistical description of the results$^{[15]}$. Further analyze the source of heterogeneity and, if necessary, perform subgroup analysis. There are clinical and methodological differences in experimental research. Therefore, this study will choose the random effects model. Finally, a funnel chart will be drawn to assess the publication bias of the literature. If enough research is included, sensitivity analysis will be performed to test the robustness of the results. We will conduct a sensitivity analysis by exclusion. Studies with high risk of bias and outliers that are numerically far away from the rest of the data.

2.8 Publication deviation

If the results of the meta-analysis include more than 10 articles, we will use a funnel chart to test whether there is publication bias. If the number of articles included in the study is less than 10, the publication bias is not significant.

2.9 Quality of evidence
The quality evidence of the included studies will use the Grading of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach, classified evidence as high, moderate, low or very low quality based on considerations of RoB, consistency, directness, precision and publication bias\textsuperscript{[16]}.

2.10 Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public were not involved in the design or planning of systematic review protocol.

Discussion

A fracture of the distal radius refers to a fracture within 3 cm of the articular surface of the distal radius\textsuperscript{[17]}. With the aging of the population, the incidence of distal radius fractures in elderly patients is increasing\textsuperscript{[1, 4]}. The PQ muscle is close to a quadrilateral and is divided into a deep head and a shallow head\textsuperscript{[18]}. The shallow head plays a major role in the forearm pronation process, the deep head plays a major role in the stability of the distal radioulnar joint, and the deep and shallow head work together to maintain the pronation function of the forearm\textsuperscript{[19]}. Therefore, repairing the PQ muscle should help the functional recovery of patients after internal fixation of distal radius fractures. However, some clinical studies compared cases with and without PQ repair after the volar plate of the distal radius, and did not show a significant difference in pronation strength. Therefore, there is controversy about repairing or not repairing the PQ muscle. Unfortunately, there is a lack of high-quality systematic reviews or meta-analysis publications, and no systematic and scientific evaluation of them.

We will conduct this research strictly in accordance with the Cochrane Systematic Review Manual, and report in accordance with the preferred reporting items of the guidelines for systematic review and meta-Analysis. We hope to evaluate and compare the with repair and without repair the PQ muscles through a systematic review, and provide some suggestions for its reasonable and effective clinical application.

TRIAL STATUS

- Preliminary searches: started.
- Piloting of the study selection process: started.
- Formal screening: started.
- Data extraction: started.
- RoB assessment: not started.
- Data analysis: not started
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Figure 1

Flow diagram of studies identified.
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