The Usefulness of the C2HEST Risk Score in Predicting Clinical Outcomes among Hospitalized Subjects with COVID-19 and Coronary Artery Disease
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Abstract: Background: Even though coronary artery disease (CAD) is considered an independent risk factor of an unfavorable outcome of SARS-CoV-2-infection, the clinical course of COVID-19 in subjects with CAD is heterogeneous, ranging from clinically asymptomatic to fatal cases. Since the individual C2HEST components are similar to the COVID-19 risk factors, we evaluated its predictive value in CAD subjects. Materials and Methods: In total, 2183 patients hospitalized due to confirmed COVID-19 were enrolled onto this study consecutively. Based on past medical history, subjects were assigned to one of two of the study arms (CAD vs. non-CAD) and allocated to different risk strata,
based on the C\textsubscript{2}HEST score. Results: The CAD cohort included 228 subjects, while the non-CAD cohort consisted of 1956 patients. In-hospital, 3-month and 6-month mortality was highest in the high-risk C\textsubscript{2}HEST stratum in the CAD cohort, reaching 43.06%, 56.25% and 65.89%, respectively, whereas in the non-CAD cohort in the high-risk stratum, it reached: 26.92%, 50.77% and 64.55%. Significant differences in mortality between the C\textsubscript{2}HEST stratum in the CAD arm were observed in post hoc analysis only for medium- vs. high-risk strata. The C\textsubscript{2}HEST score in the CAD cohort could predict hypovolemic shock, pneumonia and acute heart failure during hospitalization, whereas in the non-CAD cohort, it could predict cardiovascular events (myocardial injury, acute heart failure, myocardial infarct, carcinogenic shock), pneumonia, acute liver dysfunction and renal injury as well as bleedings. Conclusions: The C\textsubscript{2}HEST score is a simple, easy-to-apply tool which might be useful in risk stratification, preferably in non-CAD subjects admitted to hospital due to COVID-19.
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1. Introduction

In March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared that coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), had become a global pandemic. Since the outbreak, COVID-19 has had an undeniable impact on most healthcare systems around the world and put them under unprecedented pressure. In the face of limited resources, considering that clinical manifestations of COVID-19 remain unclear—with a variety of presentations, from asymptomatic disease to lethal acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [1,2]—early identification of subjects at high risk is essential for optimizing resources and saving human lives.

During the initial phase of the pandemic, numerous risk factors (including age, male sex, chronic pulmonary diseases, diabetes and cardiovascular disorders) for COVID progression were identified. Coronary artery disease (CAD) has been postulated as an independent risk factor for an unfavorable outcome of the SARS-CoV-2 infection [3]. Furthermore, CAD is associated with a high burden of comorbidities, which additionally increases vulnerability to COVID-19 and obscures the relevant risk prognosis. There is an urgent unfulfilled need for developing a diagnostic tool focused on supporting the process of patient segregation in the initial stage of infection, especially in the high-risk population with a history of CAD.

The C\textsubscript{2}HEST score is a well-validated scoring system, initially designed to predict the development of atrial fibrillation (AF) in the all-comers population [4], or long-term clinic outcomes [5]. Considering that individual components of the C\textsubscript{2}HEST score (coronary artery disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, hypertension, advanced age, chronic heart failure and thyroid disease) are the risk factors for unfavorable COVID-19 clinical course [6], and given that the C\textsubscript{2}HEST score can predict adverse outcomes in SARS-CoV-2 positive individuals [7–10], we assumed that it could predict clinical outcomes among subjects with CAD suffering from COVID-19.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Population

This study was a part of the CORonavirus in LOwer Silesia study (COLOS). The COLOS research project is an observational, retrospective registry of patients with COVID-19 hospitalized in the University Hospital in Wroclaw between February 2020 and June 2021. The study received local ethics committee approval (No. KB-444/2021), and due to legal restrictions (retrospective, observational nature), written informed consent of participation was waived.

Anonymized medical data of 2184 consecutive patients with an initial diagnosis of COVID-19 (confirmed with a reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for
viral RNA of nasopharyngeal swab specimens) admitted to the University COVID Center between February 2020 and June 2021 were collected. From all the pre-screened cases, we carefully selected 228 subjects with confirmed CAD (past medical history of myocardial infarction (MI) and/or percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and/or coronary artery bypass graft (CABG)). This population of patients was qualified for the CAD arm of the study. Data of patients (1956) without prior diagnosis of CAD and signs/symptoms suggesting the presence of CAD were pooled into the non-CAD arm.

All patients, in both study arms (CAD and non-CAD), were allocated to the 3 separate groups depending on their C2HEST score. The C2HEST score value was defined by using data from past medical history and interviews.

The C2HEST score was calculated according to six different variables: coronary artery disease (1 point), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (1 point each), hypertension (1 point), elderly (age ≥75 years, 2 points), systolic HF (2 points) and thyroid disease (1 point). All subjects were assigned to separate groups regarding the calculation result—the low-risk stratum of 0 or 1 point, the medium-risk stratum of 2 or 3 points, and the high-risk stratum of 4 or more points.

2.2. Study Outcomes

The primary outcome of this study was all-cause mortality. The data regarding death were collected in the in-hospital period, 3 months after discharge and 6 months after index hospitalization.

Additional, non-fatal outcomes included the need for mechanical ventilation support, development of pneumonia, pulmonary embolism, acute heart failure, myocardial injury, stroke, acute kidney injury, acute liver dysfunction, active bleedings, shock, multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS), systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), sepsis and also the data regarding end of hospitalization (discharge home, transfer to another hospital because of deterioration and need for post-COVID-19 ambulatory rehabilitation). All the secondary outcomes were monitored during the whole hospitalization period and 6 months following hospitalization.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed by trained Medical Statisticians with R version 4.0.4 using packages time-ROC, pROC [11], survival [12], coin [13] and odds ratio [14]. A significance level of 0.05 was selected for all statistical analyses.

Descriptive data are presented as numbers and percentages for categorical variables, and as mean with standard deviation range (minimum–maximum) and number of non-missing values for numerical variables. As omnibus test, chi-square test was used for categorical variables with more than 5 expected cases in each group, whereas Fisher’s exact test was used for cases with lower cell counts. Welch’s ANOVA was performed for continuous variables due to unequal variances between risk strata and sample size large enough for appropriateness of asymptotic results. Post hoc analysis for continuous variables was performed using the Games–Howell test with Tukey correction. For categorical variables, the post hoc test was the same as the omnibus test but performed in subgroups with Bonferroni correction.

In-hospital mortality and all-cause mortality were available as right-censored data, so time-dependent ROC analysis with inverse probability of censoring weighting (IPCW) estimation was performed for those variables. The C2HEST score was assessed through the time-dependent area under the curve (AUC). Log-rank test was used to confirm differences in survival curves between risk strata. Proportional hazard assumption was verified using the Grambsch–Therneau test. A Cox proportional-hazards model was used to analyze the hazard ratio (HR) for C2HEST score, its components and risk strata.

For secondary outcomes, due to their dichotomic nature, a logistic regression model was fitted. Classical ROC analysis was performed, and AUC measurement was used for
assessing predictive capabilities. Odds ratio (OR) was reported as effect size for influence of C2HEST score, its components and risk strata.

3. Results

3.1. Study Population Characteristics and Clinical Features

The first study group was composed of 228 subjects with confirmed significant CAD, allocated to three C2HEST risk strata: low risk with 12 subjects, medium risk 72 patients and high risk 144 participants. After initial allocation, the non-CAD group was composed of 1955 subjects. In this study arm, the most numerous C2HEST risk stratum was low risk with 1405 participants; medium risk consisted of 420 patients and the high-risk stratum comprised 130 subjects.

The baseline characteristics of both study arms are summarized in Table 1. In both study groups, higher C2HEST risk stratum was associated with more advanced age of subjects, along with a higher prevalence of comorbidities. This was observed in both study groups regarding the following comorbidities: hypertension, atrial fibrillation/flutter, heart failure, moderate-to-severe valvular heart disease, peripheral artery diseases and chronic kidney disease (COPD). The relationship of the C2HEST risk stratum with the prevalence of cardiovascular disorders (such as diabetes mellitus, history of myocardial infarction and stroke/TIA, history of thyroid disorders) was observed only in the non-CAD arm.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics after C2HEST stratification in the CAD and non-CAD study cohorts.
Table 1. Cont.

| Variables, Units (N) (CAD/non-CAD) | Low Risk (0–1) | Medium Risk (2–3) | High Risk (>4) | OMNIBUS p Value | p Value (For Post Hoc Analysis) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Comorbidities                     |                |                   |               |                 |                                |
| Previous coronary revascularization (228/1955) | 6/12 (50%) | 0/1405 (0%) | 37/72 (51.39%) | 0/420 (0%) | 111/144 (77.08%) | 0/130 (0%) | 0.0002 <0.0001 | 1.0a 0.2238b 0.0005c <0.0001 abc |
| Previous myocardial infarction (228/1955) | 11/12 (91.67%) | 0/1405 (0%) | 63/72 (87.5%) | 0/420 (0%) | 117/144 (81.25%) | 0/130 (0%) | 0.4723 <0.0001 | N/A <0.0001 abc |
| Heart failure (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 0/1405 (0%) | 6/72 (8.33%) | 47/420 (11.19%) | 112/144 (77.79%) | 90/130 (69.23%) | <0.0001 <0.0001 | 1.0a 0.9 <0.0001 abc |
| Moderate/severe valvular heart disease or previous valve heart surgery (228/1955) | 6/72 (8.33%) | 4/1405 (2.86%) | 24/72 (33.33%) | 58/420 (13.81%) | 108/144 (76.02%) | 62/130 (47.71%) | <0.0001 <0.0001 | 1.0a 0.0016b |
| Peripheral artery disease (228/1955) | 2/12 (16.67%) | 24/1405 (1.71%) | 6/72 (8.33%) | 25/420 (5.95%) | 35/144 (24.31%) | 8/130 (6.15%) | 0.0113 <0.0001 | 0.9599a 1.0b 0.0195c <0.0001 ab |
| Previous stroke/TIA (228/1955) | 1/12 (8.33%) | 46/1405 (3.27%) | 11/72 (15.28%) | 48/420 (11.43%) | 37/144 (26.59%) | 21/130 (16.15%) | 0.1331 <0.0001 | N/A <0.0001 abc 0.6124a |
| Chronic kidney disease (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 70/1405 (4.98%) | 16/72 (22.22%) | 54/420 (12.86%) | 54/144 (37.5%) | 37/130 (28.46%) | 0.0022 <0.0001 | 0.3325a 0.025b 0.0915c <0.0001 ab |
| Haemodialysis (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 19/1405 (1.35%) | 8/72 (11.11%) | 12/420 (2.86%) | 15/144 (10.42%) | 4/130 (3.08%) | 0.7478 0.0488 | N/A 0.1505a 0.3709b 1.0c |
| Asthma (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 54/1405 (3.84%) | 2/72 (2.78%) | 18/420 (4.29%) | 6/144 (4.17%) | 3/130 (2.35%) | 0.8207 0.9184 | N/A N/A |
| COPD (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 6/1405 (0.43%) | 3/72 (4.17%) | 22/420 (5.24%) | 21/144 (14.58%) | 23/130 (17.69%) | 0.0317 <0.0001 | 1.0a 1.0b 0.0657c <0.0001 ab |
| Hypothyroidism (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 76/1405 (5.41%) | 6/72 (8.33%) | 62/420 (14.75%) | 22/144 (15.28%) | 42/130 (32.31%) | 0.4809 <0.0001 | N/A <0.0001 ab |
| Hyperthyroidism (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 4/1405 (0.28%) | 2/72 (2.78%) | 8/420 (1.9%) | 5/144 (3.47%) | 2/130 (1.54%) | 0.0003 <0.0001 | N/A <0.0001 ab |

Continuous variables are presented as: mean ± SD, range (minimum–maximum) and number of non-missing values. Categorized variables are presented as a number with a percentage. Information about the numbers with valid values is provided in the left column. Abbreviations: CAD—coronary artery disease, OMNIBUS—analysis of variance, N—valid measurements, n—number of patients with parameter above cut-off point, SD—standard deviation, BMI—body mass index, DM—diabetes mellitus, TIA—transient ischemic attack, COPD—chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, N/A—non-applicable, a—low risk vs. medium risk, b—low risk vs. high risk, c—medium risk vs. high risk.

The differentiation between C2HEST risk groups in terms of therapy applied before admission to hospital concerned almost all classes of considered drugs in the non-CAD arm. However, among patients with coronary artery diseases, statistically, significant differences were observed only between low-risk and high-risk strata regarding the use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI), beta blockers and oral antidiabetics.
Table 2. Baseline characteristics of the study cohort—treatment applied before hospitalization.

All data relating to the treatment applied prior to the index hospitalization are presented in
other than sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT2-inhibitors) and metformin.
Abnormalities during an initial physical examination in the CAD cohort differences among C2 HEST strata were examined for diastolic blood pressure and wheezing. On the other hand, in the non-CAD arm, significant differences among different C2 HEST strata were observed in initial physical examination findings (crackles, wheezing, pulmonary congestion and peripheral edema), vital signs—systolic blood pressure, blood oxygen saturation (SpO2 on room air), and patient-reported symptoms (cough, dyspnoea and smell dysfunction). Table 3 collects patient-reported symptoms, vital signs and abnormalities measured during physical examination in both study groups.

### Table 2. Cont.

| Variables, Units (N) (CAD/non-CAD) | Low Risk (0–1) | Medium Risk (2–3) | High Risk (≥4) | OMNIBUS p Value (For Post Hoc Analysis) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|
|                                   | n/N (% of Risk Category) | n/N (% of Risk Category) | n/N (% of Risk Category) | p Value |
| CAD                               | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD |
| Treatment Applied before Hospitalization |
| SGLT2 inhibitor (228/1955)        | 0/12 (0%) | 11/1405 (0.78%) | 2/72 (2.78%) | 5/420 (1.19%) | 5/144 (3.48%) | 4/130 (3.08%) | 1.0 | 0.0446 | N/A | 0.0964 b c |
| Oral antidiabetics other than SGLT2 inh. and metformin (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 27/1405 (1.92%) | 9/72 (12.5%) | 25/420 (5.95%) | 17/144 (11.81%) | 11/130 (8.46%) | 0.5948 | 0.0001 | 0.1727 a c | 0.0002 b c |
| Proton pump inhibitor (228/1955)  | 3/12 (25.0%) | 86/1405 (6.12%) | 22/72 (30.56%) | 53/420 (12.62%) | 51/144 (35.42%) | 35/130 (26.92%) | 0.676 | 0.0001 | N/A | <0.0001 a b c |
| Oral corticosteroid (228/1955)    | 0/12 (0%) | 62/1405 (4.41%) | 5/72 (6.94%) | 16/420 (4.52%) | 5/144 (3.47%) | 1/130 (0.77%) | 0.429 | 0.1303 | N/A | N/A |
| Immunosuppression other than corticosteroid (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 49/1405 (3.49%) | 10/72 (13.89%) | 12/420 (2.86%) | 2/144 (1.39%) | 0/130 (0%) | 0.0007 | 0.0502 | 1.0 a b | 0.291 a b c |

Categorized variables are presented as a number with a percentage. Information about the numbers with valid values is provided in the left column. Abbreviations: CAD—coronary artery disease, OMNIBUS—aanalysis of variance, N—valid measurements, n—number of patients with parameter above cut-off point, ACEI—angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, ARBs—angiotensin receptor blockers, MRAs—mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists, LMWH—low molecular weight heparin, VKA—vitamin K antagonists, NOAC—novel oral anticoagulants, SGLT2 inh.—sodium glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors, N/A—non-applicable, a—low risk vs. medium risk, b—low risk vs. high risk, c—medium risk vs. high risk.

### Table 3. Patient-reported symptoms, vital signs and abnormalities measured during physical examination at hospital admission in the CAD and non-CAD study cohorts after C2 HEST risk stratification.

| Variables, Units (N) (CAD/non-CAD) | Low Risk (0–1) | Medium Risk (2–3) | High Risk (≥4) | OMNIBUS p Value (For Post Hoc Analysis) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|
|                                   | Min–Max (% of Risk Category) | Min–Max (% of Risk Category) | Min–Max (% of Risk Category) | p Value |
|                                   | n/N | n/N | n/N | n/N | n/N | n/N | n/N | n/N | n/N | n/N | n/N |
| CAD                               | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD |
| Patient-Reported Symptoms |
| Cough (228/1955) | 1/12 (9.1%) | 493/1405 (35.0%) | 20/72 (27.98%) | 108/420 (25.78%) | 51/144 (35.41%) | 36/130 (26.95%) | 0.1055 | 0.0066 | N/A | 0.0002 a b c |
| Dyspnoea (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 507/1405 (36.67%) | 30/72 (41.08%) | 177/420 (42.14%) | 76/144 (52.78%) | 70/130 (53.85%) | 0.0216 | 0.0113 | 0.628 b c | 0.016 b c |
| Chest pain (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 302/1405 (21.6%) | 8/72 (11.11%) | 26/420 (6.19%) | 17/144 (11.81%) | 10/130 (7.69%) | 0.0279 | 0.723 | N/A | N/A |
| Hemoptysis (228/1955) | 3/12 (2.1%) | 9/1405 (0.64%) | 0/72 (0%) | 2/420 (0.48%) | 4/144 (2.78%) | 0/130 (0%) | 0.44 | 10 | N/A | N/A |
Table 3. Cont.

| Variables, Units (NI) (CADno=CAD) | Low Risk (0–1) | Medium Risk (2–3) | High Risk (≥4) | OMNIBUS µ Value | p Value (For Post-Hoc Analysis) |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Measured vital signs             |                |                  |                |                |                               |
| Body temperature °C              | 37.5 ± 0.96    | 36.4 ± 0.93      | 36.6 ± 0.98    | 36.92 ± 0.9    | 37.55 ± 0.93                  |
| (115/153)                        | (2)            | (2)              | (2)            | (194)          | (72)                          |
| Heart rate beats/minute          | 94 ± 23.56     | 70 ± 14.01       | 88 ± 15.57     | 82.6 ± 14.83   | 84.4 ± 16.85                  |
| (196/1475)                      | (7)            | (6)              | (6)            | (60)           | (50–160)                     |
| Respiratory rate breaths/minute  | 12 ± 22        | 12.12 ± 5.57     | 15.8 ± 5.43    | 16.5 ± 5.96    | 15.5 ± 6.42                  |
| (23/280)                        | (1)            | (20)             | (13)           | (55)           | (59)                          |
| Systolic BP (106/1475)          | 130.6± 16.3    | 108± 15.3        | 134.6± 23.9    | 134.17 ± 25.7  | 133.67 ± 23.5                |
| (130–144)                      | (7)            | (7)              | (59)           | (59)           | (59)                          |
| Diastolic BP (106/1475)         | 78.5 ± 12.7    | 40–130           | 78.83 ± 13.61  | 78.91 ± 12.9   | 7.95 ± 0.00                   |
| (75–97)                         | (6)            | (6)              | (59)           | (60)           | (60)                          |
| SpO2 on room air, % (1/FiO2 = 25%) | 89.45 ± 1.85 | 80–99            | 82.87 ± 2.11   | 90.63 ± 4.45   | 99.9 ± 10.27                 |
| (12/1853)                     | (7)            | (7)              | (80)           | (80)           | (80)                          |

Abnormalities detected during physical examination

| Crackles                         | 1/12 (8.5%)    | 155/1405         | 17/22 (23.6%)  | 82/420          | 57/144 (25.8%)               |
| (22/991)                        | (5)            | (106/96)         | (31)           | (1952)         | (2395)                       |
| Wheezing                         | 1/12 (8.5%)    | 95/1405          | 8.72          | 40/420          | 42/144 (20.5%)               |
| (22/991)                        | (5)            | (664/63)        | (11.1%)        | (11.43)        | (29.17%)                     |
| Pulmonary congestion (22/991)   | 1/12 (8.5%)    | 185/1405         | 15/27 (20.5%)  | 90/420          | 37/144 (25.9%)               |
| (22/991)                        | (5)            | (2103)           | (20.5%)        | (29.17%)        | (25.9%)                      |
| Peripheral edema (22/991)       | 1/12 (8.5%)    | 75/1405          | 10.72         | 30/420          | 30/144 (20.93%)              |
| (22/991)                        | (5)            | (2103)           | (13.99%)       | (15.19%)        | (20.93%)                     |

Continuous variables are presented as: mean ± SD, range (minimum–maximum) and number of non-missing values. Categorized variables are presented as a number with a percentage. Information about the numbers with valid values is provided in the left column. Abbreviations: SD—standard deviation, CAD—coronary artery disease, OMNIBUS—analysis of variance, N—valid measurements, n—number of patients with parameter above cut-off point, SBP—systolic blood pressure, DBP—diastolic blood pressure, a—low risk vs. medium risk, b—low risk vs. high risk, c—medium risk vs. high risk.

The detailed characteristics of the laboratory assay measured at the time of admission and discharge from hospital in both studied cohorts are presented in Table 4. Regarding the complete blood count parameters, statistical differences among the C2-HEST strata were observed only in admission level of hemoglobin (in the higher C2-HEST stratum, a lower mean level of hemoglobin was measured) in the non-CAD cohort. On the other hand, in terms of arterial blood gases (ABG) and acid–base balance parameters, significant differences between low-risk and high-risk C2-HEST subjects were noticed for PaO2 and PaCO2 but only in the CAD cohort. Interestingly, no significant differences between groups in terms of initial inflammatory parameters (CRP, procalcitonin, IL-6) along with liver function markers (AST, ALT) were noted. In both study cohorts with an increase in the C2-HEST score value, we observed a rising level of cardiac injury markers (troponin, BNP). When we analyzed parameters of renal function, a high C2-HEST score correlated with high creatinine, urea level and decreased eGFR level at admission and discharge time in the
Table 4. Laboratory parameters measured during hospitalization in the studied cohort.

| Parameter/Time of Assessment | Low Risk | Mean ± SD | Medium Risk | Mean ± SD | High Risk | Mean ± SD | OMNIBUS p Value | p Value (For Post Hoc Analysis) |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
|                            | CAD      | Non-CAD   | CAD         | Non-CAD   | CAD       | Non-CAD   |                 |                                |
| Leucocytes (22±1023)        | ×10⁹/µL  | 6.95±4.59 | 6.0±3.28    | 7.71±3.46 | 9.64±3.24 | 9.42±0.97 | 9.1±2.67       | 0.1152 N/A                       |
| Hemoglobin (22±1023)        | g/dl     | 12.25±3.05 | 13.29±3.15 | 13.25±2.83 | 12.97±2.23 | 11.96±2.41 | 11.29±2.56      | <0.001 a,b 0.155 c                |
| Platelets (22±1023)         | ×10⁹/µL  | 186.02±8.08 | 225.01±12.52 | 230.01±11.27 | 204.18±8.10 | 253.7±10.45 | 0.314 0.6054 N/A |                                |
| Urea                        | mg/dl    | 147.21     | 124.21      | 124.21     | 119.69     | 131.6       | 15.4±20.36      |                                |
| Na⁺                         | mmol/l   | 147.56     | 147.56      | 147.56     | 147.56     | 147.56     | 0.022 0.0319 N/A |                                |
| K⁺                          | mmol/l   | 3.9±0.6    | 3.9±0.6     | 3.9±0.6    | 3.9±0.6   | 3.9±0.6    | 0.011 0.011 b    |                                |
| Cr                           | mg/l     | 1.09±0.15  | 1.09±0.15   | 1.09±0.15  | 1.09±0.15 | 1.09±0.15 | 0.002 0.005 b    |                                |
| fTP (207±1409)              | s        | 1.72       | 2.77±1.21   | 2.77±1.21  | 2.77±1.21  | 2.77±1.21  | 0.0879 0.2945 N/A |                                |

**Acid-base balance in arterial blood gas**

| pH (96±2120)                | 7.39±0.12 | 7.39±0.12 | 7.39±0.12 | 7.39±0.12 | 7.39±0.12 | 7.39±0.12 | 0.7113 0.2784 N/A |                                |
| PaO₂ (96±2120)              | 98±20     | 98±20      | 98±20      | 98±20      | 98±20      | 98±20      | 0.0356 0.7092 N/A |                                |
| PaCO₂ (96±2120)             | 36±11     | 36±11      | 36±11      | 36±11      | 36±11      | 36±11      | 0.0103 0.004 b    |                                |
| HCO₃⁻ (56±216)             | 22.1±1.7  | 22.1±1.7   | 22.1±1.7   | 22.1±1.7   | 22.1±1.7   | 22.1±1.7   | 0.0193 0.663 a    |                                |
| Lactate (53/192)            | 2.23±0.85 | 2.23±0.85  | 2.23±0.85  | 2.23±0.85  | 2.23±0.85  | 2.23±0.85  | 0.001 0.0435 c    |                                |

**Electrolytes, inflammatory and biomarkers**

| Value                        | CAD      | CAD      | CAD      | CAD      | CAD      | CAD      |                 |                                |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|                                |
| Inflammation markers         | 0.001±0.0 | 0.001±0.0 | 0.001±0.0 | 0.001±0.0 | 0.001±0.0 | 0.001±0.0 | 0.001±0.0       |                                |

**Statistical significance**

- CAD: 0.0001
- Non-CAD: 0.0001
- CAD vs. Non-CAD: <0.0001

**Note:** All values are reported as mean±standard deviation. Significant differences are denoted with asterisks: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
| Parameter (SN) | ON/AD/Non-CAD | Time of Assessment | Units | CAD | Noto CAD | CAD | Noto CAD | CAD | Noto CAD | CAD | Noto CAD | CAD | Noto CAD | CAD | Noto CAD | CAD | Noto CAD | OMNIBUS p Value | p Value (For Peol Hoc Analysis) |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| **Biochemistry** |               |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |                |                             |
| Creatinine    |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.002 a        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.005 b        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.006 c        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.006 a        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.031 b        |                           |
| eGFR          |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.004 a        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.004 b        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.004 c        |                           |
| **Total protein (90/83)** | |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.006 a        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.004 b        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.004 c        |                           |
| Albumin       |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.008 c        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.012 c        |                           |
| AST            | (172/103)    |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.029 a        |                           |
| ALT           | (183/1395)   |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.05 b         |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.05 b         |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.429 b        |                           |
| **Cardiac biomarkers** | |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |               |                             |
| NT-proBNP    | (90/299)     |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.004 a        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.004 b        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.004 c        |                           |
| Teosinopeptide I (159/2015) | |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.141 a        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.146 b        |                           |
|              |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.531 c        |                           |
| On discharge  |              |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.019 a        |                           |
| LDL-cholesterol (72/129) | mg/dl |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.031 c        |                           |
| HDL-cholesterol (72/129) | mg/dl |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.225 c        |                           |
| Triglycerides (104/535) | mg/dl |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.673 c        |                           |
| TSH (114/766) | mU/L         |                   |       |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |            | 0.019 a        |                           |

Continuous variables are presented as: mean ± SD (range)(minimum–maximum) and number of missing values. Categorized variables are presented as a number with a percentage. Information about the numbers with valid values is provided in the left column. Abbreviations: CAD—coronary artery disease, OMNIBUS—analysis of variance, N/A—valid measurements, n—number of patients with parameter above cut-off point, SD—standard deviation, N/A—non-applicable, a—low risk vs. medium risk, b—low risk vs. high risk, c—medium risk vs. high risk.
3.2. Applied Treatment

In the CAD cohort, no significant differences in pharmacological management between the C2HEST strata were observed. However, in the non-CAD cohort subjects in the low-risk stratum were more prone to receive convalescent plasma and less often antibiotics (Table 5).

The need for oxygen supplementation increased with the C2HEST score, including the high-flow nasal cannula and the invasive ventilation, in the non–CAD cohort. Consequently, the oxygenation parameters from the period of qualification for advanced respiratory support decreased. On the other hand, in the CAD’s arm, no significant differences in oxygen supplementation occurred. Analogous no differences in catecholamine support, hemodialysis, and coronary revascularization procedure, in both study groups (Table 6).

Table 5. Therapies applied during hospitalization in the studied cohort.

| Variables, Units | Low Risk (0–1) | Medium Risk (2–3) | High Risk (>4) | OMNIBUS p Value | p Value (For Post Hoc Analysis) |
|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Systemic corticosteroid | 7/12 (58.33%) | 165/1405 (11.74%) | 10/72 (13.89%) | 0.6586 | N/A |
| Convalescent plasma | 2/12 (16.67%) | 2/12 (16.67%) | 0/12 (0%) | 0.0436 | N/A |
| Tocilizumab | 0/12 (0%) | 2/12 (16.67%) | 2/12 (16.67%) | 1.0 | N/A |
| Remdesivir | 2/12 (16.67%) | 2/12 (16.67%) | 2/12 (16.67%) | 0.0318 | N/A |
| Antibiotic | 8/12 (66.67%) | 738/1405 (52.53%) | 52/72 (72.22%) | 0.0321 | N/A |

Table 6. Applied treatment and procedures.

| Applied Treatment and Procedures | Low Risk | Medium Risk | High Risk | OMNIBUS p Value |
|----------------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|
| The most advanced respiratory support during hospitalization: no oxygen | 5/12 (41.67%) | 736/1405 (52.46%) | 30/72 (41.67%) | 0.0799 | <0.0001 |
| Low-flow oxygen support | 2/12 (16.67%) | 449/1405 (31.94%) | 23/72 (31.94%) | 0.0799 | <0.0001 |
| High-flow nasal cannula non-invasive ventilation | 2/12 (16.67%) | 63/1405 (45.94%) | 6/72 (8.55%) | 0.0799 | <0.0001 |
| Invasive ventilation | 2/12 (16.67%) | 139/1405 (97.15%) | 14/72 (19.44%) | 0.0799 | <0.0001 |

Categorized variables are presented as a number with a percentage. Information about the numbers with valid values is provided in the left column. Abbreviations: CAD—coronary artery disease, OMNIBUS—analysis of variance, N/valid measurements, n—number of patients with parameter above cut-off point, SD—standard deviation, N/A—non-applicable, a—low risk vs. medium risk, b—low risk vs. high risk, c—medium risk vs. high risk.
### Table 6. Cont.

| Applied Treatment and Procedures | Low Risk (0–1) n/N (% of Risk Category) | Medium Risk (2–3) n/N (% of Risk Category) | High Risk (≥4) n/N (% of Risk Category) | OMNIBUS p Value (For Post Hoc Analysis) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Oxygenation parameters during qualification for advanced respiratory support: 3p<0.05% (66/765) | 92 ± 0.0 | 90.62 ± 0.8 | 86.02 ± 0.9 | 86.02 ± 0.7 | 86.49 ± 0.9 | 84.97 ± 0.9 | 86.58 ± 0.0 | 0.002 ^a |
| (1) (3) | 10/100 | 9/100 | 5/100 | 5/100 | 5/100 | 5/100 | 5/100 | 0.016 ^b |
| Therapy with catecholamines (228/1955) | 1/12 (8.33%) | 130/1405 (9.27%) | 11/72 (15.28%) | 34/420 (8.1%) | 26/144 (18.6%) | 16/130 (12.31%) | 0.0038 | N/A | <0.0001 ^a |
| (0–1) | 10/1405 (0.77%) | 5/72 (4.17%) | 7/420 (1.68%) | 7/144 (4.88%) | 2/130 (1.54%) | N/A | 0.014 ^c |
| Coronary revascularization or an indication for coronary revascularization (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 10/1405 (0.77%) | 5/72 (4.17%) | 9/420 (2.18%) | 7/144 (4.88%) | 2/130 (1.54%) | N/A | 0.045 ^b |
| (0–1) | 0/1405 (0%) | 0/72 (0%) | 0/420 (0%) | 0/144 (0%) | 0/130 (0%) | N/A | N/A | 1.0 ^d |
| Hemodialysis (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 4/1405 (0.27%) | 5/72 (4.17%) | 34/420 (8.1%) | 30/144 (2.13%) | 2/130 (1.54%) | 0.0269 | N/A | N/A |

Continuous variables are presented as: mean ± SD, range (minimum–maximum) and number of non-missing values. Categorized variables are presented as a number with a percentage. Information about the numbers with valid values is provided in the left column. Abbreviations: CAD—coronary artery disease, OMNIBUS—analysis of variance, N—valid measurements, n—number of patients with parameter above cut-off point, SD—standard deviation, N/A—non-applicable, a—low risk vs. medium risk, b—low risk vs. high risk, c—medium risk vs. high risk.

#### 3.3. Association of C2HEST Score Results with Mortality

##### 3.3.1. Mortality

The in-hospital, 3-month and 6-month mortality was highest in the high-risk C2HEST stratum in the CAD cohort, reaching 43.06%, 56.25% and 65.89%, respectively, and in the non-CAD cohort, in the high-risk stratum reached 26.92%, 50.77% and 64.55%, respectively. Statistically significant differences between C2HEST strata in the CAD arm were observed in the post hoc analysis only when comparing the medium vs. high-risk strata. For comparison, in the non-CAD cohort, post hoc analysis revealed significant differences between low-risk and medium-risk, and low-risk and high-risk C2HEST strata. All the data regarding mortality in both study groups are presented in Table 7.

### Table 7. Total and in-hospital all-cause mortality in the C2HEST risk strata in CAD and non-CAD cohorts.

| Variables, Units (CAD/non-CAD) | Low Risk (0–1) n/N (% of Risk Category) | Medium Risk (2–3) n/N (% of Risk Category) | High Risk (≥4) n/N (% of Risk Category) | OMNIBUS p Value (For Post Hoc Analysis) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| All-Cause Mortality Rate | CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD | CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD | CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD | CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD CAD Non-CAD |
| In-hospital mortality (228/1955) | 4/12 (33.33%) | 115/1405 (8.19%) | 15/72 (20.83%) | 95/420 (22.62%) | 62/144 (43.06%) | 35/130 (26.92%) | 0.0038 | <0.0001 | 1.0 ^a |
| 3-month mortality (228/1955) | 5/12 (41.67%) | 197/1405 (14.02%) | 24/72 (33.33%) | 174/420 (41.4%) | 81/144 (56.25%) | 66/130 (50.77%) | 0.0058 | <0.0001 | 1.0 ^b |
| 6-month mortality (228/1955) | 5/12 (55.56%) | 209/1405 (24.36%) | 26/72 (42.62%) | 182/420 (56.35%) | 85/144 (65.89%) | 71/130 (64.55%) | 0.0085 | <0.0001 | 1.0 ^c |

Continuous variables are presented as: mean ± SD, range (minimum–maximum) and number of non-missing values. Categorized variables are presented as a number with a percentage. Information about the numbers with valid values is provided in the left column. Abbreviations: CAD—coronary artery disease, OMNIBUS—analysis of variance, N—valid measurements, n—number of patients with parameter above cut-off point, SD—standard deviation, N/A—non-applicable, a—low risk vs. medium risk, b—low risk vs. high risk, c—medium risk vs. high risk.
3.3.2. Discriminatory Performance of the C2HEST Score for Total All-Cause Mortality

The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves revealed that C2HEST poorly predicts 1-, 3- and 6-month mortality in the CAD cohort. However, the C2HEST score revealed higher sensitivity in the non-CAD group. The AUCs for C2HEST predictive value for all-cause mortality in the CAD and non-CAD cohorts are presented in Figure 1. The 1-month AUC = 58.5 vs. 68.9%; 3-month AUC = 60.5 vs. 70.7%; 6-month AUC = 60.9 vs. 69.5%.

![Figure 1. Time-dependent ROC curves for all-cause mortality in both study cohorts.](image)

In the next step, we performed the time-ROC analysis in order to assess the predictive value of C2HEST scale for all-cause mortality at a particular time from admission to hospital in both cohorts. Figure 2 presents the time-dependent changes in predictive value of the C2HEST score.

![Figure 2. Time-dependent ROC analysis for the C2HEST predictive abilities of all-cause death in both study cohorts.](image)
Additionally, we used Kaplan–Meier functions to estimate the survival curves for the C2HEST strata in both study cohorts (Figure 3).

![Survival curves](image)

**Figure 3.** Survival curves for the C2HEST strata in both study cohorts estimated by Kaplan–Meier function.

Analysis based on the Cox models was performed to assess the effect of the C2HEST score stratification on COVID-19 mortality. The overall model takes an uncategorized value of the C2HEST score, and the assumption of proportional hazard was met. An additional point in the C2HEST score increased the total-death intensity in approximately 47.6% of the non-CAD cohort subjects (HR 1.4764, 95% CI 1.404–1.553 p < 0.0001). However, in the CAD cohort, the results did not reach statistical significance. Furthermore, considering the categorized model, the change in the CAD cohort while transferring from the low-risk stratum to the high-risk stratum resulted in an increased all-cause death intensity of 4.847 times (Table 8). Interestingly, in the non-CAD cohort, switching from the low-risk to the medium-risk stratum and from the low-risk to the high-risk stratum did not achieve statistical significance (Table 9).

| Risk Strata            | Total Death |          |          |       |
|------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|-------|
|                        | HR          | 95% CI   | p Value  |       |
| Overall                | 1.1494      | 1.0340–1.2776 | 0.0099  |       |
| Low vs. medium risk    | 0.7874      | 0.3038–2.0407 | 0.6228  |       |
| Low vs. high risk      | 1.4685      | 0.5961–3.6177 | 0.4035  |       |

| Risk Strata            | Total Death |          |          |       |
|------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|-------|
|                        | HR          | 95% CI   | p Value  |       |
| Overall                | 1.4764      | 1.4038–1.5527 | <0.0001 |       |
| Low vs. medium risk    | 3.5959      | 2.9546–4.3764 | <0.0001 |       |
| Low vs. high risk      | 4.8465      | 3.7188–6.3163 | <0.0001 |       |

Tables 10 and 11 present the association level between individual C2HEST score components and mortality in both study cohorts. The highest prognostic value for all-cause death in both study groups was recorded for age (in CAD arm 1776 vs. 2946 in non-CAD cohort).
Table 10. Associations of individual $C_2$HEST score components with mortality in CAD cohort.

| Component             | HR       | CI Min. | CI Max. | $p$ Value |
|-----------------------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Coronary artery disease | N/A      | N/A     | N/A     | N/A       |
| All-cause mortality    |          |         |         |           |
| COPD                  | 1.1924   | 0.6803  | 2.0899  | 0.5388    |
| Age > 75              | 1.7757   | 1.2366  | 2.5499  | 0.0019    |
| Thyroid disease       | 0.7839   | 0.4726  | 1.3003  | 0.3457    |
| Hypertension          | 0.8471   | 0.5337  | 1.3445  | 0.4814    |
| HFrEF                 | 1.2207   | 0.8390  | 1.7760  | 0.2972    |

COPD—chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, HFrEF—heart failure with reduced ejection fraction.

Table 11. Associations of individual $C_2$HEST score components with mortality in non-CAD cohort.

| Component             | HR       | CI Min. | CI Max. | $p$ Value |
|-----------------------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Coronary artery disease | N/A      | N/A     | N/A     | N/A       |
| All-cause mortality    |          |         |         |           |
| COPD                  | 1.7620   | 1.1896  | 2.6098  | 0.0047    |
| Age > 75              | 2.9460   | 2.4086  | 3.6033  | <0.0001   |
| Thyroid disease       | 0.7333   | 0.5298  | 1.0149  | 0.0614    |
| Hypertension          | 1.4256   | 1.1666  | 1.7422  | 0.0005    |
| HFrEF                 | 1.7073   | 1.3160  | 2.2149  | 0.0001    |

COPD—chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, HFrEF—heart failure with reduced ejection fraction.

As in the previous analysis, it has been shown that age was among the most important and significant components of the $C_2$HEST score in terms of survival analysis (in the Cox model), for which a bifactorial Cox model was built. The analysis of the influence of age in the CAD and non-CAD groups on all-cause mortality as well as the predictive value of $C_2$HEST components on the endpoints in the CAD and non-CAD groups are presented in the Supplementary Materials.

Subsequently, we verified whether the original $C_2$HEST score risk groups are the best possible stratification system. Due to the difference in Kaplan–Meier survival curves, all the possible $C_2$HEST intervals were analyzed in both study cohorts, and for each, we calculated the log-rank statistics (Tables 12 and 13). The results suggest that in both study subpopulations, the original $C_2$HEST-score risk strata were not best matched. In the CAD cohort, the highest value of the log-rank corresponded with risk strata estimated as follows: 0–3 low, 4 medium and 5–8 high. On the other hand, in the non-CAD cohort, the highest value was achieved for 0 low, 1 medium and 2–8 high.

Table 12. Log-rank statistics for matching the $C_2$HEST risk strata for all-cause hospital mortality in CAD cohort.

|   | $h_2$ | $h_3$ | $h_4$ | $h_5$ | $h_6$ | $h_7$ | $h_8$ |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| m1 | 0.4262 | 2.7269 | 2.9888 | 2.2412 | 1.26  | $-0.0248$ | N/A   |
| m2 | 7.9148 | 9.2053 | 5.0264 | 1.6577 | 0.1843 | 0.4262 |       |
| m3 | 9.2833 | 8.8761 | 7.576  | 7.6551 | 2.7269 |       |       |
| m4 | 9.5121 | 8.9332 | 9.3478 | 2.9888 |       |       |       |
| m5 | 5.6817 | 5.9157 | 2.2412 |       |       |       |       |
| m6 |       | 2.1085 | 1.2600 |       |       |       |       |
| m7 |       |       |       |       |       |       | $-0.0248$ |

m—medium, h—high.
Table 13. Log-rank statistics for matching the C2HEST risk strata for all causes mortality in non-CAD cohort.

|       | h2      | h3      | h4      | h5       | h6       | h7       | h8      |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| m1    | 237.344 | 193.6023| 170.6614| 158.5977 | 132.5961 | 11.5029  | 11.5029 |
| m2    | 226.8814| 232.9099| 232.4957| 225.913  | 15.0212  | 15.0212  |
| m3    |         | 151.9224| 151.6698| 146.3188 | 12.0786  | 12.0786  |
| m4    |         |         | 79.4099 | 79.9497  | 8.8469   | 8.8469   |
| m5    |         |         |         | 53.0824  | 7.0857   | 7.0857   |
| m6    |         |         |         |         | 1.3654   | 1.3654   |
| m7    |         |         |         |         |         | 2.769    |

m—medium, h—high.

3.4. Association of C2HEST Score Results with Non-Fatal Clinical Outcomes

A summarized discriminatory performance of the C2HEST score for non-fatal clinical outcomes is presented in Table 14. The significant correlation between C2HEST risk score in the CAD cohort and prevalence of clinical events occurred for the duration of hospitalization: hypovolemic shock, pneumonia and acute heart failure. In the non-CAD cohort, in terms of non-fatal events, significant differences between the C2HEST risk score strata were observed for cardiovascular events (myocardial injury, acute heart failure, myocardial infarction, cardiogenic shock), pneumonia, acute liver dysfunction and renal injury along with bleedings. Additionally, the overall odds ratio for the discriminatory performance of the C2HEST score for clinical non-fatal events is presented in Figure 4 (CAD) and Figure 5 (non-CAD).

Figure 4. Overall odds ratio for the discriminatory performance of the C2HEST score for clinical non-fatal events in CAD cohort. p-value: Significance code. **** p < 0.0001, *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05.
Table 14. Clinical non-fatal events and hospitalization outcomes in the C2HEST risk strata in CAD and non-CAD cohorts.

| Variables, Units (N) (CAD/non-CAD) | Low Risk (0–1) | Medium Risk (2–3) | High Risk (≥4) | OMNIBUS p Value | p Value (For Post Hoc Analysis) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                                   | Mean ± SD Min–Ma | Mean ± SD Min–Ma | Mean ± SD Min–Ma |                     |                 |
|                                   | x(N) or n/N (%)   | x(N) or n/N (%)   | x(N) or n/N (%)   |                     |                 |
| Admission to ICU (228/1955)       | 1/12 (8.33%)     | 149/1405 (10.61%) | 11/72 (15.28%)   | 27/420 (6.43%)    | 19/144 (13.9%) |
|                                   | 6.67 ± 5.43 (12) | 11.53 ± 13.7 (1405) | 13.86 ± 13.32 (72) | 13.06 ± 13.66 (420) | 15.39 ± 15.52 (144) | 16.85 ± 16.29 (130) |
| Discharge to home—full recovery   | 4/12 (33.33%)    | 115/1405 (8.18%)  | 15/72 (20.83%)   | 95/420 (22.62%)   | 62/144 (43.06%) |
|                                   | 3/12 (25.0%)     | 900/1405 (70.46%) | 40/72 (55.56%)   | 180/420 (42.86%)  | 45/144 (31.25%) |
| Transfer to another hospital—worsening | 2/12 (16.67%) | 164/1405 (11.67%) | 8/72 (11.11%)    | 57/420 (13.57%)   | 17/144 (11.81%) |
| Aborted cardiac arrest (228/1955) | 1/12 (8.33%)     | 14/1405 (0.99%)   | 1/72 (1.39%)     | 2/420 (0.48%)     | 4/144 (2.78%)   |
| Shock (228/1955)                  | 0/12 (0%)        | 108/1405 (7.69%)  | 9/72 (12.5%)     | 37/420 (8.81%)    | 19/144 (13.9%) |
| Hypovolemic shock (228/1955)     | 0/12 (0%)        | 22/1405 (1.57%)   | 0/72 (0%)        | 7/420 (1.67%)     | 0/144 (0%)     |
| Cardiogenic shock (228/1955)     | 0/12 (0%)        | 7/1405 (0.5%)     | 2/72 (2.76%)     | 9/420 (2.14%)     | 9/144 (6.25%)  |
| Septic shock (228/1955)          | 0/12 (0%)        | 88/1405 (6.26%)   | 6/72 (8.33%)     | 24/420 (5.71%)    | 16/144 (11.11%) |
| Pulmonary embolism (228/1955)    | 0/12 (0%)        | 78/1405 (5.55%)   | 1/72 (1.39%)     | 27/420 (6.43%)    | 8/144 (5.55%)  |
| Myocardial infarction (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%)        | 8/1405 (0.57%)    | 2/72 (2.79%)     | 8/420 (1.9%)      | 5/144 (3.47%)  |
| Myocardial injury (159/1015)     | 3/8 (37.5%)      | 110/670 (16.42%)  | 13/44 (29.55%)   | 85/261 (32.57%)   | 49/107 (45.79%) |
| Acute heart failure (228/1955)   | 1/12 (8.33%)     | 7/1405 (0.5%)     | 0/72 (0%)        | 22/420 (5.24%)    | 27/144 (18.75%) |
| Stroke/TIA (228/1955)            | 0/12 (0%)        | 18/1405 (1.28%)   | 3/72 (4.17%)     | 16/420 (3.81%)    | 4/144 (2.78%)  |
| New cognitive disorders (228/1955)| 0/12 (0%)       | 38/1405 (2.7%)    | 3/72 (4.17%)     | 48/420 (11.43%)   | 17/144 (11.81%) |
| Pneumonia (228/1955)             | 5/12 (41.67%)    | 670/1405 (48.19%) | 39/72 (54.17%)   | 266/420 (60.33%)  | 99/144 (68.75%) |

Hospitalization

| Hospitalization | CAD | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD | CAD | Non-CAD |
|-----------------|-----|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|
| Duration of hospitalization, days (228/1955) | 6.67 ± 5.43 (12) | 11.53 ± 13.7 (1405) | 13.86 ± 13.32 (72) | 13.06 ± 13.66 (420) | 15.39 ± 15.52 (144) | 16.85 ± 16.29 (130) | 0.0003 | 0.0005 | 0.007 a | 0.019 a |
| Admission to ICU (228/1955) | 1/12 (8.33%) | 149/1405 (10.61%) | 11/72 (15.28%) | 27/420 (6.43%) | 19/144 (13.9%) | 8/130 (6.15%) | 0.8945 | 0.0154 | N/A | 0.043 a |
| Discharge to home—full recovery | 4/12 (33.33%) | 115/1405 (8.18%) | 15/72 (20.83%) | 95/420 (22.62%) | 62/144 (43.06%) | 35/130 (25.92%) | 0.0076 | <0.0001 | 0.5166 a | <0.0001 ab |
| Transfer to another hospital—worsening | 3/12 (25.0%) | 900/1405 (70.46%) | 40/72 (55.56%) | 180/420 (42.86%) | 45/144 (31.25%) | 58/130 (44.62%) | 0.0076 | <0.0001 | 0.5166 a | <0.0001 ab |
| Transfer to another hospital—in recovery | 2/12 (16.67%) | 164/1405 (11.67%) | 8/72 (11.11%) | 57/420 (13.57%) | 17/144 (11.81%) | 13/130 (10.0%) | 0.3288 | 0.4044 | N/A | N/A |

Note: Values are presented as n/N or mean ± standard deviation (SD). Significant p values are indicated by asterisks: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
Table 14. Cont.

| Variables, Units, (N) (CAD/non-CAD) | Low Risk (0–1) | Medium Risk (2–3) | High Risk (>4) | OMNIBUS p Value | p Value (For Post Hoc Analysis) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
|                                   | Mean ± SD Min–Ma (CAD/non-CAD) | Mean ± SD Min–Ma (CAD/non-CAD) | Mean ± SD Min–Ma (CAD/non-CAD) |                  |                                 |
| Complete respiratory failure (56/220) | 1/3 (33.33%) | 56/118 (47.46%) | 7/14 (50.0%) | 39/74 (52.7%) | 30/39 (76.92%) | 13/28 (46.43%) | 0.0824 | 0.7439 | N/A | N/A |
| SIRS (228/1886) | 2/12 (16.67%) | 140/1340 (10.45%) | 4/72 (5.56%) | 38/417 (9.11%) | 19/144 (13.19%) | 17/129 (13.18%) | 1.0 | 0.0077 | N/A | N/A |
| Sepsis (91/793) | 0/2 (0%) | 9/574 (1.57%) | 6/155 (3.87%) | 2/61 (3.28%) | 5/64 (7.81%) | 0.1331 | 0.4035 | N/A | N/A |
| Acute kidney injury (228/1955) | 1/12 (0%) | 110/1405 (7.83%) | 13/72 (18.06%) | 54/420 (12.86%) | 38/144 (26.39%) | 21/130 (16.15%) | 0.053 | 0.0002 | N/A | 0.0065 * |
| Acute liver dysfunction (216/1758) | 0/12 (0%) | 30/1244 (2.41%) | 2/68 (2.94%) | 20/397 (5.04%) | 9/136 (6.62%) | 5/117 (4.27%) | 0.4767 | 0.0221 | N/A | 0.0343 b |
| MODS (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 21/1405 (1.49%) | 1/72 (1.39%) | 7/420 (1.67%) | 5/144 (3.47%) | 3/130 (2.31%) | 0.7959 | 0.6267 | N/A | N/A |
| Lactic acidosis (53/192) | 1/3 (33.33%) | 8/102 (7.85%) | 0/13 (0%) | 5/66 (7.58%) | 6/37 (16.22%) | 2/24 (8.33%) | 0.1835 | 1.0 | N/A | N/A |
| Bleedings (228/1955) | 0/12 (0%) | 64/1405 (4.56%) | 5/72 (6.94%) | 20/420 (4.76%) | 12/144 (8.33%) | 13/130 (10.0%) | 0.8366 | 0.0232 | N/A | 1.0 * |

Continuous variables are presented as: mean ± SD, range (minimum–maximum) and number of non-missing values. Categorized variables are presented as a number with a percentage. Information about the numbers with valid values is provided in the left column. Abbreviations: CAD—coronary artery disease, OMNIBUS—analysis of variance, N—valid measurements, n—number of patients with parameter above cut-off point, SD—standard deviation, ICU—intensive care unit, TIA—transient ischemic attack, SIRS—systemic inflammatory response syndrome, MODS—multiple organ dysfunction syndrome, N/A—non-applicable, a—low risk vs. medium risk, b—low risk vs. high risk, c—medium risk vs. high risk.

Figure 5. Overall odds ratio for the discriminatory performance of the C2 HEST score for clinical non-fatal events in non-CAD cohort. p-value: Significance code. **** p < 0.0001, *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05.
4. Discussion

This is the first study to show the usefulness of C\textsubscript{2}HEST score analysis in predicting outcomes in subjects hospitalized due to SARS-CoV-2 infection with concomitant coronary artery disease vs. outcomes in those without documented CAD.

Predominantly, the initial clinical manifestations of COVID-19 are related to the respiratory system. However, with ongoing infection, severe cardiovascular damage can occur, affecting mortality [15]. In addition, coronary artery disease is one of the major risk factors for an unfavorable outcome of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Furthermore, the prevalence of CAD in subjects hospitalized with COVID-19 is higher compared to the general population [16]. Possible explanations for the higher incidence in COVID-19 patients with CAD include comorbidity with co-occurring senescence, resulting in decreased immune system function predisposition to severe course of the disease [17,18].

The dynamics of the infection and probability of complications rate are unpredictable even in patients with a strong risk factor such as CAD, which often co-occurs with other risk factors—diabetes mellitus, hypertension arterials, male gender. Due to limited resources, there is a strong need for developing a simple, fast triage tool focused on selecting the most vulnerable patients, particularly in a high-risk cohort of patients with “classical” risk factors of unfavorable outcomes such as CAD during admission time. Several laboratory features [19] along with some recently proposed novel prognostic scales [20] have been postulated to predict clinical outcomes in patients with COVID-19 at the time of hospital admission.

However, most of them have a high level of complexity, not allowing for their smooth implementation in clinical practice. Moreover, data dedicated to subjects with CAD are missing. Given that the results of our previous study suggest that the C\textsubscript{2}HEST score has a good ability to predict adverse outcomes in the general population of COVID-19 patients, we decided to re-evaluate this scale in patients with CAD.

Analysis of the prevalence of all-cause death in both cohorts revealed significant differences between the C\textsubscript{2}HEST score stratum. However, in the CAD arm, statistically significant differences were noticed only between medium-risk and high-risk strata. Moreover, the time-ROC analysis confirmed the poor predictive value of the C\textsubscript{2}HEST scale for all-cause mortality in the CAD cohort. Furthermore, the Cox-model-based analysis did not reach statistical significance in predicting COVID-19 mortality. This fact is probably related to previous reports [8,21,22], which highlight cardiovascular disease as a strong independent risk factor of mortality in COVID-19. Therefore, CAD probably abolished the resolving power of the C\textsubscript{2}HEST score in this subpopulation, which is reflected in the poor discriminatory performance of the C\textsubscript{2}HEST score in terms of six-month survival probability evaluated in survival curves. Similarly, we combined high mortality in the high-risk stratum in both study arms with coexisting significantly higher mortality in the CAD cohort in low- and medium-risk strata. Additionally, the better predictive value of the C\textsubscript{2}HEST score in the non-CAD cohort suggests that CAD per se determines high COVID-19 mortality, as well as in the post-hospital follow-up period. Furthermore, outcomes of the log-rank statistics performed in the CAD cohort suggest that other comorbidities have a lower impact on the predictive value of the C\textsubscript{2}HEST score.

Similar observations (higher mortality rate, lower differences in predictive value of individual C\textsubscript{2}HEST score stratum) had been previously described in the diabetic COVID-19 population [8]. It needs to be emphasized that not treating coronary artery diseases in CAD-related patients is an independent risk factor [23].

Analysis of the non-fatal secondary outcome provides other interesting findings: in the CAD cohort, the C\textsubscript{2}HEST score had a predictive value only in terms of acute heart failure and hypovolemic shock. However, in the non-CAD cohort, it allowed for predicting cardiovascular disorders (cardiogenic shock, myocardial injury, infarct, acute heart failure episode, stroke or TIA), all types of bleedings, acute renal injury and acute liver dysfunction together with infection complications (pneumonia sepsis). Considering that, in this cohort, there were no significant differences between the C\textsubscript{2}HEST strata in terms of laboratory
assays considered as “classic markers of severity”—CRP, leucocytes level, procalcitonin, PaO₂ and LDH—we can assume that C₂ HEST score might be a valuable triage tool in this subpopulation of COVID-19 patients. When we compile the obtained results and compare them with other studies focused on the evaluation of a C₂ HEST score in subjects with COVID-19 [5–8], we can conclude that C₂ HEST has the highest predictive value in patients without a high burden of cardio-metabolic disorders. On the other hand, combined results confirm that cardiovascular disorders are a strong independent risk factor of mortality in C₂ HEST score and can provide a foundation for creating a new predictive scale for COVID-19 subjects’ outcomes.

Several scales focused on predicting unfavorable COVID-19 outcomes have been introduced to clinical practice [24–26]. However, most of them were based on numerous clinical data, limiting their implementation as a triage tool. Concerning previous [5–8] along with presented results, it might be advisable to combine the C₂ HEST score with other mentioned predictions in selected subpopulations (diabetes and/or CAD) to achieve a triage tool with better discriminatory performance. This concept might be useful in high-risk COVID-19 subpopulations; however, future evaluation is required.

5. Conclusions

The present study is the first demonstration of the differences between patients with and without coronary artery diseases in the predictive value of the C₂ HEST score in subjects hospitalized due to COVID-19. The C₂ HEST score in the non-CAD cohort showed a better performance in terms of prediction of adverse outcomes in both in-hospital and 6-month-mortality and other non-fatal clinical outcomes such as cardiovascular events (myocardial injury, acute heart failure, myocardial infarction, carcinogenic shock), pneumonia, acute liver dysfunction and renal injury along with bleedings. The C₂ HEST-score is a simple, easy-to-apply tool which might be useful in risk stratification in CAD and non-CAD subjects admitted to hospital due to COVID-19.

6. Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, the retrospective structure along with single-center evaluation could partially affect the results. Additionally, data collection and clinical management were performed despite limited resources, which might have a negative impact on the outcomes. Finally, some clinical data and baseline laboratory assays are incomplete, hindering appropriate interpretation of the results.
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