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Abstract. $\delta$-Shock wave type solutions in the multidimensional system of conservation laws
\[\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho F(U)) = 0, \quad (\rho U)_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho N(U)) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\]
are studied, where $F = (F_j)$ is a given vector field, $N = (N_{jk})$ is a given tensor field, $F_j, N_{kj} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $j, k = 1, \ldots, n$; $\rho(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}, U(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The well-known particular cases of this system are zero-pressure gas dynamics in a standard form
\[\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho U) = 0, \quad (\rho U)_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho U \otimes U) = 0,\]
and in the relativistic form
\[\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho C(U)) = 0, \quad (\rho U)_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho U \otimes C(U)) = 0,\]
where $C(U) = \frac{\rho U}{\sqrt{\rho U^2 + c_0^2}}$, $c_0$ is the speed of light. We introduce the integral identities which constitute definition of $\delta$-shocks for the above systems and using this definition derive the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions for curvilinear $\delta$-shocks. We show that $\delta$-shocks are connected with transportation processes and concentration processes and derive the $\delta$-shock balance laws describing mass and momentum transportation between the volume outside the wave front and the wave front. In the case of zero-pressure gas dynamics the transportation process is the concentration process. We also prove that energy of the volume outside the wave front and total energy are nonincreasing quantities. The possibility of the effect of kinematic self-gravitation and the effect of dimensional bifurcations of $\delta$-shock in zero-pressure gas dynamics are discussed.

1. Introduction

1.1. $L^\infty$-type solutions. As is well known, even in the case of smooth (and, certainly, in the case of discontinuous) initial data $U^0(x)$, we cannot in general find a smooth solution of the one dimensional system of conservation laws:
\[
\begin{aligned}
U_t + (F(U))_x &= 0, & &\text{in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty), \\
U &= U^0, & &\text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \{t = 0\},
\end{aligned}
\]
where $F : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is called the flux-function associated with (1.1); $U^0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ are given smooth vector-functions; $U = U(x, t) = (u_1(x, t), \ldots, u_m(x, t))$ is the unknown function, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq 0$.

Quoting from Evans’ book, “the great difficulty in this subject is discovering a proper notion of weak solution for the initial problem (1.1)” [19 11.1.1.]. “We must devise some way to interpret a less regular function $\tilde{U}$ as somehow “solving” this
initial-value problem. But as it stands, the PDE does not even make sense unless \( U \) is differentiable. However, observe that if we temporarily assume \( U \) is smooth, we can as follows rewrite, so that the resulting expression does not directly involve the derivatives of \( U \). The idea is to multiply the PDE in (1.1) by a smooth function \( \varphi \) and then to integrate by parts, thereby transferring the derivatives onto \( \varphi' \) [19 3.4.1.a.]. According to the above reasoning, the following definition is introduced: it is said that \( U \in L^\infty (\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^m) \) is a generalized solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) if the integral identities

\[
\int_0^\infty \int \left( U \cdot \varphi_t + F(U) \cdot \varphi_x \right) \, dx \, dt + \int U^0(x) \cdot \varphi(x, 0) \, dx = 0 \tag{1.2}
\]

hold for all compactly supported test vector-functions \( \varphi : \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^m \), where \( \cdot \) is the scalar product of vectors, and \( \int f(x) \, dx \) denotes the improper integral \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx \).

Using Definition (1.2), one can derive the classical Rankine-Hugoniot conditions for shocks (see, e.g., [19, 11.1.1.]).

1.2. \( \delta^{(n)} \)-Shock wave type solutions, \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \). It is well known that there are “nonclassical” situations where, in contrast to Lax’s and Glimm’s classical results, the Cauchy problem for a system of conservation laws does not possess a weak \( L^\infty \)-solution or possesses it for some particular initial data. In order to solve the Cauchy problem in this “nonclassical” situation, it is necessary to introduce new singular solutions called \( \delta \)-shocks (see [1], [8]–[10], [13]–[17], [22], [24]–[31], [39]–[41], [44]–[49] and the references therein), which is a solution such that its components contain Dirac measures.

To illustrate the above remark, we consider the Riemann problem for the one-dimensional system of zero-pressure gas dynamics

\[
\rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \quad (\rho u)_t + (\rho u^2)_x = 0. \tag{1.3}
\]

If we seek a shock solution of this problem

\[
u(x,t) = u_+ + [u]H(-x + \phi(t)), \quad \rho(x,t) = \rho_+ + [\rho]H(-x + \phi(t)),
\]

we can easily verify that the velocity of the shock is

\[
\dot{\phi}(t) = \left[ \frac{[pu]}{[\rho]} \right]_{x=\phi(t)} = \left[ \frac{[pu^2]}{[pu]} \right]_{x=\phi(t)},
\]

where \([u] = u_- - u_+, [\rho] = \rho_- - \rho_+\). The last relations imply that

\[
\rho_+ \rho_- (u_- - u_+)^2 = 0.
\]

Thus, in general, if \( u_- \neq u_+ \) the Riemann problem has no shock solutions. As was written in the excellent paper by A.N. Kraiko [26 page 502], to construct a solution for this case, it is necessary to introduce nonclassical discontinuities which may carry mass, momentum, energy. It is in the above-mentioned above “nonclassical” situations where we have to introduce \( \delta \)-shocks to solve this Riemann problem for general initial data. Indeed, according to the above quoted papers, in the class of \( \delta \)-shock type solutions this Riemann problem has a solution for general initial data (in particular, see [14 Sec. 4]).

The theory of \( \delta \)-shocks has been intensively developed in the last ten years.

Recently, in [30], [37], [43], a concept of \( \delta^{(n)} \)-shock wave type solutions was introduced, \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \). It is a new type of singular solution of a system of conservation laws such that its components contain delta functions and their derivatives up to \( n \)-th order. In [30] the theory of \( \delta' \)-shocks was established. The results [30], [37], [43]
show that systems of conservation laws can develop not only Dirac measures (as in the case of \( \delta \)-shocks) but their derivatives as well.

The above singular solutions are connected with *transportation processes and concentration processes* [1, 9, 10, 36, 45].

\( \delta \) - and \( \delta^{(n)} \)-shocks, \( n = 1, \ldots \), do not satisfy the standard \( L^\infty \)-integral identities (1.2). Consequently, to deal with these singular solutions, we need

- to discover a proper notion of a singular solution and to define in which sense it may satisfy a nonlinear system;
- to devise some way to define a singular superposition (product) of distributions (for example, the product of the Heaviside function and the delta function).

Unfortunately, using the above cited instructions from the Evans’ book [19, 3.4.1.a.], \( \delta^{(n)} \)-shock wave type solutions cannot be defined. Indeed, if by integrating by parts we transfer the derivatives onto a test function \( \varphi \), under the integral sign there still remain nonlinear terms undefined in the distributional sense, since the components of a solution may contain Dirac measures and their derivatives.

Thus we need to develop a special technique. Several approaches to solving \( \delta \)-shock problems are known (see [1, 8–10, 13–17, 22, 24, 28, 31, 39] and the references therein). One of them was proposed in [12–16]. In these papers the weak asymptotics method for studying the dynamics of propagation and interaction of different singularities of quasi-linear partial differential equations and systems of conservation laws was developed. It appears that the weak asymptotics method is a proper technique to deal with \( \delta \)- and \( \delta' \)-shocks.

In the framework of the weak asymptotics method, in [14–16, 44], definitions of \( \delta \)-shock wave type solutions by integral identities for systems of conservation laws and in [36, 37] the corresponding definition of \( \delta' \)-shock wave type solutions were introduced. These definitions give natural generalizations of the classical definition of the weak \( L^\infty \)-solutions (1.2) relevant for the structure of \( \delta \)- and \( \delta' \)-shocks. If a solution of the Cauchy problems contains no \( \delta \) and \( \delta' \)-terms then these definitions coincide with the classical definition (1.2). In [1, 12–16, 36, 37, 44], by using this technique, some Cauchy problems admitting \( \delta \)- and \( \delta' \)-shocks were solved. As far as we know, some problems related to \( \delta \)- and \( \delta' \)-shocks can be solved only by using the weak asymptotics method.

In the numerous papers cited above \( \delta \)-shocks in the system of zero-pressure gas dynamics were studied. This is related with the fact that this system has a physical context and is used in applications (see below).

In [17], for the one-dimensional case of zero-pressure gas dynamics (1.3) a global \( \delta \)-shock wave type solution in the sense of Radon measures was obtained. In [22], for this system the uniqueness of the weak solution is proved for the case when the initial value is a Radon measure.

The multidimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics has the form

\[
\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho U) = 0, \quad (\rho U)_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho U \otimes U) = 0, \tag{1.4}
\]

where \( \rho = \rho(x, t) \geq 0 \) is the density, \( U = (u_1(x, t), \ldots, u_n(x, t)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the velocity, \( \nabla = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) \), \( \cdot \) is the scalar product of vectors, \( \otimes \) is the usual tensor product of vectors.

In [29, 31, 46, 49] the planar \( \delta \)-shock wave type solution in (1.4) is defined as a measure-valued solution. The measure-valued solution is defined in the following way. Let \( BM(\mathbb{R}^n) \) be the space of bounded Borel measures on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). A pair \( (\rho, U) \), where \( \rho(x, t) \in C(BM(\mathbb{R}^n), [0, \infty)) \), \( U(x, t) \in \left( L^\infty \left( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), [0, \infty) \right) \right)^n \), and \( U \) is measurable with respect to \( \rho \) at almost all \( t \geq 0 \), is said to be a measure-valued
solution of (1.4) in the sense of measure if

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \varphi_t + U \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) d\rho \, dt = 0,$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U \left( \varphi_t + U \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) d\rho \, dt = 0,$$

(1.5)

hold for all \( \varphi(x, t) \in D(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)) \).

In this approach a smooth discontinuity surface \( \Sigma \) is parametrized as

\[ X(s) = X(s), \quad t = t(s) \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}^n), \]

separating \((X, t)\)-space into two infinite parts \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \), \( N = (NX, Nt) \) is the space-time normal to the surface \( \Sigma \). The delta-shock solution takes the form

\[
(r, U)(X, t) = \begin{cases}
(r_1, U_1)(X, t), & (X, t) \in \Omega_1, \\
(w(s, t)\delta(X - X(s, t), U_\delta(s, t)), & (X, t) \in \Sigma, \\
(r_2, U_2)(X, t), & (X, t) \in \Omega_2.
\end{cases}
\]

(1.6)

Here \( U_\delta \) is the velocity at the points of discontinuity, \( (r_1, U_1) \) and \( (r_2, U_2) \) are smooth solutions of (1.4) in the regions \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \) respectively.

In [39], [40], for the 2-D case of system (1.4) a notion of generalized solutions in terms of the Radon measures is introduced, and the problem of the propagation of \( \delta \)-shock waves is considered. The existence of a global weak solution for the multidimensional system of “zero-pressure gas dynamics” is obtained in [41]. The approach of the latter paper is based on introducing of Lagrangian coordinates and on the Dafermos entropy condition. In [4], for a multidimensional system of zero-pressure gas dynamics in non-conservative form

\[
\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho U) = 0, \quad U_t + (U \cdot \nabla)U = 0,
\]

(1.7)

the Cauchy problem related with propagation of a \( \delta \)-shock wave was solved. In [11], for multidimensional continuity equation (the first equation in system (1.4)) the possibility of existence of \( \delta \)-shock was considered.

1.3. The physical context of zero-pressure gas dynamics. Study of zero-pressure gas dynamics and its generalization is important for applications. The zero-pressure gas dynamics can be considered as a model of the “sticky particle dynamics”. These models are used in many different areas of physics. Zero-pressure gas dynamics was used to describe the formation of large-scale structures of the universe [22], [50]; in a mathematical modeling of pressureless mediums, in models of dusty gases (see the excellent papers by A.N. Kraiko and collaborators [25], [26]), in modeling two-phase flows with solid particles or droplets (see the well-known papers by A.N. Osiptsov and collaborators [33], [34]). The presence of particles or droplets may drastically modify the flow parameters. Moreover, large number of phenomena that are absent in pure gas flow are inherent in two-phase flows. Among them there are local accumulation and focusing of particles, the inter-particle and particle-wall collisions resulting in particle mixing and dispersion, the surface erosion due to particle impacts, and particle-turbulence interactions which govern the dispersion and concentration heterogeneities of inertial particles. The dispersed phase is usually treated mathematically as a pressureless continuum. Zero-pressure gas dynamics was also used for modeling the formation and evolution of traffic jams [9] (F. Berthelin, P. Degond, M. Delitala, M. Rascle).

1.4. Contents of the paper. In this paper we study the problems related with the \( \delta \)-shock in multidimensional system of conservation laws

\[
\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho F(U)) = 0, \quad (\rho U)_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho N(U)) = 0,
\]

(1.8)
where \( F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \) is a given vector field, \( N = (N_1, \ldots, N_n) \) is a given tensor field, \( N_k = (N_{k1}, \ldots, N_{kn}) \), \( k = 1, \ldots, n \); \( F_j, N_{kj} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \); \( \rho = \rho(x,t), U = (u_1(x,t), \ldots, u_n(x,t)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) are the unknown functions; \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( t \geq 0 \). System (1.8) can be rewritten as

\[
\rho_t + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho F_j(U)) = 0, \quad (\rho u_k)_t + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho N_{kj}(U)) = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

The well-known particular cases of this system are zero-pressure gas dynamics in the standard form (1.4) (here \( F(U) = U, \ N(U) = U \otimes U \)) and in the relativistic form

\[
\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho C(U)) = 0, \quad (\rho U)_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho U \otimes C(U)) = 0,
\]

(1.9)

(here \( F(U) = C(U), \ N(U) = U \otimes C(U) \)), where \( C(U) = \frac{c_0 U}{\sqrt{c_0^2 + |U|^2}} \), \( c_0 \) is the speed of light. The relativistic form (1.9) of zero-pressure gas dynamics was presented in [38].

In Sec. 2 we introduce the integral identities (2.2) which constitute Definition (2.1) of \( \delta \)-shocks for system (1.8). Next, using this definition, the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions (2.6) for curvilinear \( \delta \)-shocks are derived.

In Sec. 3 geometric and physical aspects of \( \delta \)-shocks in system (1.8) are studied. It is well-known that if \( U \in L^\infty \) is a generalized solution of the Cauchy problem compactly supported with respect to \( x \), then the integral \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U(x,t) \, dx \) is independent of time. For \( \delta \)-shock wave type solutions this fact does not hold. Nevertheless, by Theorems 3.1 “generalized” analogs of these conservation laws are derived. We prove that the “mass” and “momentum” transportation processes between the volume outside the moving \( \delta \)-shock front \( \Gamma_t \) and the front \( \Gamma_t \) are going on. Moreover, we derive the \( \delta \)-shock balance relations (3.3) which show that the total “mass” \( M(t) + m(t) \) and “momentum” \( P(t) + p(t) \) are independent of time, where \( M(t), P(t) \) are “mass” and “momentum” of the domain outside the wave front, and \( m(t), p(t) \) are “mass” and “momentum” of the wave front \( \Gamma_t \).

In Sec. 4 we study the case of zero-pressure gas dynamics. The Rankine–Hugoniot conditions (4.1) for zero-pressure gas dynamics (1.4) and the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions (4.2) for its relativistic form (1.9) are particular cases of (2.6). For zero-pressure gas dynamics system (1.8), “mass” and “momentum” have a sense of real mass and momentum. In this case the mass transportation process described by Theorem 3.1 is the mass concentration process on the moving front \( \Gamma_t \) (see Theorem 4.1). According to Theorem 4.2 for zero-pressure gas dynamics energy of the volume outside the wave front and the total energy are nonincreasing quantities. We consider the possibility of the effects of kinematic self-gravitation and dimensional bifurcations of \( \delta \)-shock.

In this section we also consider a spherically symmetric case of zero-pressure gas dynamics (4.3) and present the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions (4.4) for \( \delta \)-shocks in system (4.3). Recall that a spherically symmetric case of the gas dynamics admits a solution which describes the heavy shock. This solution related with the investigation of atomic bomb explosion was found by L. I. Sedov, J. von Neumann, and G. I. Taylor (see [47, 6.16]). It seems natural that a \( \delta \)-shock type solution of system (4.3) can model a super explosion with a growing amplitude of the wave front.

In Appendix A some auxiliary facts are given. In particular, we give results related with moving surfaces and distributions defined on these surfaces, and prove the surface transport theorems.
In the author's opinion, the multidimensional system of conservation laws (1.8) and its generalizations can be used in physical models which can be treated mathematically as a pressureless continuum, e.g., dusty gas, granular media (for example, see [25], [26], [27], [33]–[35], [2], [32], [21] and Subsec. [13]).

2. δ-Shock type solutions and the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions

2.1. δ-Shock type solutions. Let $\Gamma = \{(x, t) : S(x, t) = 0\}$ be a hypersurface of codimension 1 in the upper half-space $\{(x, t) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $S \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$, $\nabla S(x, t)|_{S=0} \neq 0$ for any fixed $t$, where $\nabla = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})$.

Let $\Gamma_t = \{x : S(x, t) = 0\}$ be a moving surface in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by $\nu$ the unit space normal to the surface $\Gamma_t$ pointing (in the positive direction) from $\Omega^- = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S(x, t) < 0\}$ to $\Omega^+_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S(x, t) > 0\}$ such that $\nu_j = \hat{S}_j / |\nabla S|$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

The direction of the vector $\nu$ coincides with the direction in which the function $S$ increases, i.e., inward the domain $\Omega^-$. Denote by $-G = \hat{S} / |\nabla S|$ the normal $\nu$ of the moving wave front $\Gamma_t$ (see Appendix A.1).

For system (1.8) we consider the $\delta$-shock type initial data

$$(U^0(x), \rho^0(x), U^0_\delta(x), x \in \Gamma_0), \quad \text{where} \quad \rho^0(x) = \bar{\rho}^0(x) + e^0(x)\delta(\Gamma_0),$$

$U^0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\bar{\rho}^0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$, $e^0 \in C(\Gamma_0)$, $\Gamma_0 = \{x : S^0(x) = 0\}$ is the initial position of the $\delta$-shock front, $\nabla S^0(x)|_{S^0=0} \neq 0$, $U^0_\delta(x), x \in \Gamma_0$ is the initial velocity of the $\delta$-shock, $\delta(\Gamma_0)$ (= $\delta(S_0)$) is the Dirac delta function concentrated on the surface $\Gamma_0$. The facts related to distributions defined on surfaces can be found in Appendix A.2.

Let us introduce a definition of a $\delta$-shock wave type solution for system (1.4).

Definition 2.1. Distributions $(U, \rho)$ and a hypersurface $\Gamma$, where $\rho(x, t)$ has the form of the sum

$$\rho(x, t) = \bar{\rho}(x, t) + e(x, t)\delta(\Gamma),$$

and $U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\bar{\rho} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$, $e \in C(\Gamma)$, is called a $\delta$-shock wave type solution of the Cauchy problem (1.8), (2.1) if the integral identities

$$\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \bar{\rho}(\varphi_t + F(U) \cdot \nabla \varphi) \, dx \, dt + \int_\Gamma e \frac{\delta \varphi}{\delta t} \frac{d\mu(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}}$$

$$+ \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \bar{\rho}^0(x)\varphi(x, 0) \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_0} e^0(x)\varphi(x, 0) \, d\mu(x) = 0,$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \bar{\rho}(U \varphi_t + N(U) \cdot \nabla \varphi) \, dx \, dt + \int_\Gamma eU \frac{\delta \varphi}{\delta t} \frac{d\mu(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}}$$

$$+ \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \bar{\rho}^0(x)U_\delta(\varphi(x, 0) \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_0} e^0(x)U_\delta^0(x)\varphi(x, 0) \, d\mu(x) = 0,$$

hold for all $\varphi \in D(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$, where $\int f(x) \, dx$ denotes the improper integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \, dx$;

$$U_\delta = \nu G = -S \frac{\nabla S}{|\nabla S|^2}$$

is the $\delta$-shock velocity, $-G = \frac{S}{|\nabla S|}$, $\frac{d\mu}{dt}$ is the $\delta$-derivative with respect to the time variable (A.5).

It is easy to verify that for $n = 1$ Definition 2.1 coincides with the definition of $\delta$-shocks for one-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics (1.3) introduced in [14] Definition 1.2.]
Let \( S^0 \) be a given smooth function. Denote by \( \Omega^0_0 = \{ x : S^0(x) < 0 \} \) and \( \Omega^0_\delta = \{ x : S^0(x) > 0 \} \) the domains on the one side and on the other side of the hypersurface \( \Gamma_0 = \{ x : S^0(x) = 0 \} \). In order to study the \( \delta \)-shock front-problem, i.e., to describe the propagation of a singular front \( \Gamma \) starting from the initial position \( \Gamma_0 \), we need to solve the Cauchy problem for system \( \text{(1.8)} \) with the initial data

\[
(\rho^0, U^0, \delta^0), \quad \text{where} \quad \rho^0(x) = \rho^{+0}(x) + [\rho^0(x)]H(\Gamma_0^+ - \Gamma_0^0) + e^0(x)\delta(\Gamma_0), \quad U^0(x) = U^{+0}(x) + [U^0(x)]H(\Gamma_0^+ - \Gamma_0^0),
\]

Here \( [U^0(x)] = U^{-0}(x) - U^{+0}(x) \) is a jump of the function \( U^0 \) across the discontinuity hypersurface \( \Gamma_0 \); \( U^0 = U^{0+} \), \( \rho^0 = \rho^{0+} \) if \( x \in \Omega^0_0 \), and \( U^0 = U^{0-} = \rho^{0-} + [U^0] \), \( \rho^0 = \rho^{0-} = \rho^{0+} + [\rho^0] \) if \( x \in \Omega^0_\delta \); \( e^0 \) and \( \rho^{0\pm} \) are given functions, \( U^{0\pm} \) are given vectors; \( H(\Gamma_0^+) \equiv H(-S^0) \) is the Heaviside function defined on the surface \( \Gamma_0 \), \( H(\Gamma_0^-) = 1 \) if \( S^0(x) < 0 \), \( H(\Gamma_0^-) = 0 \) if \( S^0(x) > 0 \). We assume that for the initial data \( \text{(2.4)} \) the geometric entropy condition

\[
U^{0+}(x) \cdot \nu^0|_{\Gamma_0} < U^{0+}_0(x) \cdot \nu^0|_{\Gamma_0} < U^{0-}(x) \cdot \nu^0|_{\Gamma_0} \quad \text{(2.5)}
\]

holds, where \( \nu^0 = \frac{\nabla S^0(x)}{\sqrt{\nabla S^0(x)^2}} \) is the unit space normal of \( \Gamma_0 \) oriented from \( \Omega_0^- = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S^0(x) < 0 \} \) to \( \Omega_\delta^+ = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S^0(x) > 0 \} \).

2.2. Rankine–Hugoniot conditions. Using Definition 2.1, we derive the \( \delta \)-shock Rankine–Hugoniot conditions for system \( \text{(1.8)} \).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let us assume that \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) \) is a region cut by a smooth hypersurface \( \Gamma = \{ (x,t) : S(x,t) = 0 \} \) into a left- and right-hand parts \( \Omega_\pm \). Let \((U, \rho) \), \( \Gamma \) be a \( \delta \)-shock wave type solution of system \( \text{(1.8)} \) (in the sense of Definition 2.1), and suppose that \((U, \rho) \) is smooth in \( \Omega_\pm \) and has one-sided limits \( U^\pm, \rho^\pm \) on \( \Gamma \). Then the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions for the \( \delta \)-shock

\[
\frac{\delta e}{\delta t} + \nabla \Gamma \cdot (eU_\delta) = ([\rho F(U)] - [\rho \nu]) \cdot \nu,
\]

\[
\frac{\delta (eU_\delta)}{\delta t} + \nabla \Gamma \cdot (eU_\delta \otimes U_\delta) = ([\rho N(U)] - [\rho U]U_\delta) \cdot \nu,
\]

hold on the discontinuity hypersurface \( \Gamma \), where \( \nu = (\nu_x, -G) = \frac{\nabla S(x)}{\sqrt{\nabla S(x)^2}} \) is the space-time normal to the surface \( \Gamma \), \( \nabla (x,t) = (\nabla_x, \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial t}) \), \([f(U, \rho)] = f(U^-, \rho^-) - f(U^+, \rho^+) \) is a jump of the function \( f(U, \rho) \) across the discontinuity hypersurface \( \Gamma, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \) is the \( \delta \)-derivative \((\text{A.3})\) with respect to \( t \), and the tangent gradient \( \nabla \Gamma = \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\delta}{\delta x_n} \right) \) to the surface \( \Gamma_t \) is defined by \((\text{A.3}), (\text{A.6})\). The equivalent forms of \text{(2.6)} are the following:

\[
\frac{\delta e}{\delta t} + \nabla \Gamma \cdot (eU_\delta) = ([\rho F(U)] - [\rho \nu]) \cdot \nu,
\]

\[
\frac{\delta (eU_\delta)}{\delta t} + \nabla \Gamma \cdot (eU_\delta \otimes U_\delta) = ([\rho N(U)] - [\rho U]U_\delta) \cdot \nu,
\]

or

\[
\frac{\delta (eU_\delta)}{\delta t} - 2KGeU_\delta = ([\rho F(U)] - [\rho \nu]) \cdot \nu,
\]

where \( K \) is the mean curvature \((\text{A.7})\) of the moving wave front \( \Gamma_t \).

**Proof.** For any test function \( \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \) we have \( \varphi(x,t) = 0 \) for \( (x,t) \notin G, \overline{G} \subset \Omega \). Selecting the test function \( \varphi(x,t) \) with compact support in \( \Omega_\pm \), we deduce from \((\text{2.2})\),
that \([18]\) hold in \(\Omega_{\pm}\), respectively. Now, if the test function \(\varphi(x, t)\) has the support in \(\Omega\), then
\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_{\pm} \cap G} \rho \left( \varphi_t + F(U) \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) dx \, dt
= \int_{\Omega_{\pm} \cap G} \rho \left( \varphi_t + F(U) \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) dx \, dt + \int_{\Omega_{\pm} \cap G} \rho \left( \varphi_t + F(U) \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) dx \, dt.
\]
Using the integrating-by-parts formula, we obtain
\[
\int_{\Omega_{\pm} \cap G} \rho \left( \varphi_t + F(U) \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) dx \, dt = - \int_{\Omega_{\pm} \cap G} \left( \rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho F) \right) \varphi(x, t) dx \, dt
\]
\[
\mp \int_{\Gamma \cap G} \left( \frac{\dot{\rho}^+ S_t}{|S_{\nabla (x, t)|}} + \frac{\dot{\rho}^- F(U_{\pm}) \cdot \nabla S}{|S_{\nabla (x, t)}|} \right) \varphi(x, t) d\mu(x, t) - \int_{\Omega_{\pm} \cap G \cap \mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\rho}(x) \varphi(x, 0) dx,
\]
where \(d\mu(x, t)\) is the surface measure on \(\Gamma\). Next, adding the latter relations and taking into account that \(\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho F) = 0\), \((x, t) \in \Omega_{\pm}\), we have
\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_{\pm} \cap G} \rho \left( \varphi_t + F(U) \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) dx \, dt + \int_{\Omega_{\pm} \cap G} \rho \left( \varphi_t + F(U) \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) dx \, dt
= \int_{\Gamma_{\pm} \cap G} \left( - [\rho] G + [\rho F(U)] \cdot \nu \right) \varphi(x, t) \frac{d\mu(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}}.
\]
(2.9)

Now, using the second integrating-by-parts formula in \(\text{A.13}\), one can see that
\[
\frac{\delta \varphi}{\delta t} \frac{d\mu(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}} + \int_{\Gamma_{\pm} \cap G} e^0(x) \varphi(x, 0) d\mu(x)
= - \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} \frac{d\mu(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}},
\]
where the adjoint operator \(\frac{\delta e}{\delta t}\) is defined in \(\text{A.14}\). Thus
\[
\frac{\delta \varphi}{\delta t} \frac{d\mu(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}} + \int_{\Gamma_{\pm} \cap G} e^0(x) \varphi(x, 0) d\mu(x)
= - \int_{\Gamma_{\pm} \cap G} \left( \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} + \nabla_{\Gamma_{\pm} \cap G} \cdot (eG\nu) \right) \varphi \frac{d\mu(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}}.
\]
(2.10)

Adding \(\text{2.9}\) and \(\text{2.10}\), we derive
\[
\int_{\Gamma_{\pm} \cap G} \left( - [\rho] G + [\rho F(U)] \cdot \nu - \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} \frac{d\mu(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}} - \nabla_{\Gamma_{\pm} \cap G} \cdot (eG\nu) \right) \varphi(x, t) \frac{d\mu(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}} = 0,
\]
for all \(\varphi(x, t) \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)\). Taking into account formula \(\text{2.3}\) for the \(\delta\)-shock velocity, one can see that the last relation implies the first relation in \(\text{2.6}\).

In the same way as above, we obtain the second relation in \(\text{2.6}\).

In view of \(\text{2.3}\) and \(\text{A.14}\), the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions \(\text{2.6}\) can be rewritten as \(\text{2.7}\). Since, according to the proof of Lemma \(\text{A.1}\) (see formula \(\text{A.14}\)),
\[
\nabla_{\Gamma_{\pm} \cap G} \cdot (e U_{\delta}) = -2K Ge, \quad \nabla_{\Gamma_{\pm} \cap G} \cdot (e U_{\delta}) = -2K Ge U_{\delta},
\]
(2.11)
the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions \(\text{2.7}\) can also be rewritten in the form \(\text{2.8}\), where \(K\) is the mean curvature \(\text{A.7}\) of the surface \(\Gamma_{\pm}\).

The right-hand sides of the first and second equations in \(\text{2.6}\) or \(\text{2.7}\) are called the Rankine–Hugoniot defects in \(\rho\) and \(\rho U\), respectively.

**Remark 2.1.** (a) The Rankine–Hugoniot conditions \(\text{2.6}\) constitute a system of second-order PDEs. According to this fact, to solve the Cauchy problem for system \(\text{1.8}\), we use the initial data \(\text{2.1}\) which contain the initial velocity \(U_{\delta}^0(x)\) of a \(\delta\)-shock. It is similar to the fact that in the measure-valued solution approach \(\text{30}, \text{31}, \text{49}\) (see Subsec. 1.2) the velocity \(U\) is determined on the discontinuity surface.
It remains to note that according to our Definition 2.1, a \( \delta \)-shock wave type solution is a pair of distributions \((U, \rho)\) unlike the Definition 1.3, where \(\rho(x, t)\) is a measure and \(U(x, t)\) is understood as a measurable vector-function which is defined \(\rho(x, t)\) a.e.

(b) For system \((1.4)\) the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions \((2.6)\) have the form \((3.1)\). The Rankine–Hugoniot conditions \((4.1)\) are analogous to the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions

\[
\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = U_{\delta}(s, t), \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = ([\rho U], [\rho]) \cdot (N_X, N_t),
\]

\[(2.12)\]

in the measure-valued solution approach [30], [31], [39] (see Subsec. 1.2), where \((N_X, N_t)\) is the space-time normal to the \(\delta\)-shock front.

3. Geometrical aspects of \(\delta\)-shocks: volume balance relations

It is well known that if \(U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^m)\) is a generalized solution of the Cauchy problem \((1.1)\), compactly supported with respect to \(x\), then the integral of the solution on the whole space

\[
\int U(x, t) \, dx = \int U^0(x) \, dx, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.1}
\]

is independent of time. These integrals can express the conservation laws of total area, mass, momentum, energy, etc. For a \(\delta\)-shock wave type solution the classical conservation laws \((3.1)\) do not hold. However, there is a “generalized” analog of conservation laws \((3.1)\). In the one-dimensional case these “generalized” analogs were derived in [11], [36], [45]. Now we derive multidimensional generalization of these laws.

Let us assume that a moving surface \(\Gamma_t = \{x : S(x, t) = 0\}\) permanently separates \(\mathbb{R}^n\) into two parts \(\Omega_t^\pm = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \pm S(x, t) > 0\}\). Denote \(\Omega_0^\pm = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \pm S^0(x) > 0\}\). Let \((U, \rho)\) be compactly supported with respect to \(x\). Denote by

\[
M(t) = \int_{\Omega_+^0 \cup \Omega_0^t} \rho(x, t) \, dx, \quad M(0) = \int_{\Omega_+^0 \cup \Omega_0^0} \rho^0(x) \, dx,
\]

\[
P(t) = \int_{\Omega_+^0 \cup \Omega_0^t} \rho(x, t) U(x, t) \, dx, \quad P(0) = \int_{\Omega_+^0 \cup \Omega_0^0} \rho^0(x) U^0(x) \, dx,
\]

and

\[
m(t) = \int_{\Gamma_t} e(x, t) \, d\mu(x), \quad m(0) = \int_{\Gamma_0} e^0(x) \, d\mu(x),
\]

\[
p(t) = \int_{\Gamma_t} e(x, t) U_\delta(x, t) \, d\mu(x), \quad p(0) = \int_{\Gamma_0} e^0(x) U_\delta^0(x) \, d\mu(x),
\]

“masses” and “momentums” of the domains \(\Omega_+^0 \cup \Omega_0^t, \Omega_0^0 \cup \Omega_0^t\) and the “masses” and “momentums” of the moving wave front \(\Gamma_t, \Gamma_0\), respectively, where \(d\mu(x)\) is the surface measure on \(\Gamma_t\). The quantities \(M(t)\) and \(P(t)\) can be interpreted as the volumes under the graphs \(y = \tilde{\rho}(x, t)\) and \(Y = \tilde{\rho}(x, t) U(x, t)\), \(x \in \Omega_t^+ \cup \Omega_0^t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S(x, t) \neq 0\}\).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \((U, \rho)\) and the discontinuity hypersurface \(\Gamma = \{(x, t) : S(x, t) = 0\}\) be a \(\delta\)-shock wave type solution (in the sense of Definition 2.1) of the Cauchy problem \((1.3), (2.1)\), compactly supported with respect to \(x\), where \(\rho(x, t) = \tilde{\rho}(x, t) + \)

*...*
e(x,t)δ(Γ). Suppose that (U, ρ) is smooth in Ω± and has one-sided limits U±, ̂ρ± on Γ. Then the following “mass” and “momentum” balance relations hold:

\[ \dot{M}(t) = -\dot{m}(t), \quad \dot{P}(t) = -\dot{P}(t); \]  

\[ M(t) + m(t) = M(0) + m(0), \quad P(t) + p(t) = P(0) + p(0). \]  

(3.2)  

Thus the “mass” and “momentum” transportation processes between the volume Ω− t ∪ Ω+ t = \{x ∈ \mathbb{R}^n : S(x,t) \neq 0\} and the moving front Γ t are going on. Moreover, the total “mass” M(t) + m(t) and “momentum” P(t) + p(t) are independent of time.

Proof. Let us assume that the supports of U(x,t) and ρ(x,t) with respect to x belong to a compact K ∈ \mathbb{R}^n bounded by ∂K. Let K± t = Ω± t ∩ K. By ν denote the space normal to Γ t pointing from Ω− t to Ω+ t. Differentiating M(t) and using the volume transport Theorem A.1, we obtain

\[ \dot{M}(t) = \int_{K^- t \cup K^+ t} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \, dx + \int_{\partial K^- t \cup \partial K^+ t} G \rho \, dµ(x), \]

where G = \(-\hat{\delta}_\nu\). Since \(\rho^± + \nabla \cdot (\rho^± F(U^±)) = 0\), x ∈ K± and the vectors U± and functions ρ± are equal to zero on the surface ∂K± t except Γ t, applying Gauss’s divergence theorem, we transform the last relation to the form

\[ \dot{M}(t) = -\int_{K^- t} \nabla \cdot (\rho^− F(U^−)) \, dx - \int_{K^+ t} \nabla \cdot (\rho^+ F(U^+)) \, dx + \int_{\Gamma t} G[\rho] \, dµ(x) \]

\[ = -\int_{\Gamma t} \rho^− F(U^−) \cdot ν \, dµ(x) + \int_{\Gamma t} \rho^+ F(U^+ \cdot ν \, dµ(x) + \int_{\Gamma t} G[\rho] \, dµ(x) \]

\[ = -\int_{\Gamma t} (|\rho F(U)| \cdot ν - |\rho G|) \, dµ(x). \]  

(3.4)

Using the first Rankine–Hugoniot condition (2.7) and taking into account that G = U\delta \cdot ν, relation (3.4) can be rewritten as

\[ \dot{M}(t) = -\int_{\Gamma t} (|\rho F(U)| - |\rho| U\delta) \cdot ν \, dµ(x) = \int_{\Gamma t} \left( \frac{δe}{δt} + \nabla Γ t \cdot (eU\delta) \right) \, dµ(x). \]

According to the surface transport Theorem A.2, we have

\[ \dot{m}(t) = \int_{\Gamma t} \left( \frac{δe}{δt} + \nabla Γ t \cdot (eU\delta) \right) \, dµ(x). \]

Thus the first balance relation in (3.2) is proved.

Repeating the proof of the first balance relation in (3.2) almost word for word, we derive the second balance relation in (3.2).

To complete the proof of the theorem, it remains to integrate (3.2) with respect to t. □

4. Zero-pressure gas dynamics

4.1. Rankine–Hugoniot conditions. According to (2.4) and (2.7), for zero-pressure gas dynamics (1.4) and (1.9) the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions have the form

\[ \frac{δe}{δt} + \nabla Γ t \cdot (eU\delta) = \left( |\rho U| - |\rho| U\delta \right) \cdot ν, \]

\[ \frac{δ(eU\delta)}{δt} + \nabla Γ t \cdot (eU\delta \otimes U\delta) = \left( |\rho U \otimes U| - |\rho| U\delta \right) \cdot ν \]  

(4.1)  

and

\[ \frac{δe}{δt} + \nabla Γ t \cdot (eU\delta) = \left( |\rho C(U)| - |\rho| U\delta \right) \cdot ν, \]

\[ \frac{δ(eU\delta)}{δt} + \nabla Γ t \cdot (eU\delta \otimes U\delta) = \left( |\rho U \otimes C(U)| - |\rho| U\delta \right) \cdot ν. \]  

(4.2)
respectively. Here according to (2.11), \( \nabla_{\Gamma_t} \cdot (eU_\delta) = -2\mathcal{K}G e, \nabla_{\Gamma_t} \cdot (eU_\delta \otimes U_\delta) = -2\mathcal{K}G e U_\delta \).

In this case the Rankine–Hugoniot deficits in \( \rho \) and \( \rho U \) are the currents of mass and momentum, respectively.

Spherically symmetric case. It is easy to see that the solution of (1.4) with spherical symmetry \( \rho = \rho(r,t), U = u(r,t)\frac{x}{r} \), where \( r = |x|, x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), satisfies the following system of equations

\[
\rho_t + (\rho u)_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho u = 0, \quad (\rho u)_t + (\rho u^2)_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho u^2 = 0. \tag{4.3}
\]

In this case \( \Gamma = \{ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) : S(r, t) = 0 \} \), \( \Gamma_t = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S(r, t) = 0 \} \); \( \nabla S(r, t) = S_r \frac{x}{r}, |\nabla S(r, t)| = |S_r| \); \( \nu = \frac{S_r}{|S_r|} \); \( G = -\frac{S_r}{|S_r|} \); the \( \delta \)-shock velocity \( 2.3 \) is represented as \( U_\delta = \nu G = -\frac{S_r}{|S_r|} x; x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). It is easy to see that if \( f = f(r,t) \) then formulas (2.11) read

\[
\frac{\delta f}{\delta t} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} - S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{4.4}
\]

Now formulas (2.11) take the form

\[
\nabla_{\Gamma_t} \cdot (eU_\delta) = -\frac{S_t}{S_r} n - 1 \frac{r}{r}, \quad \nabla_{\Gamma_t} \cdot (eU_\delta \otimes U_\delta) = e \frac{S_t^2}{S_r^2} (n-1) \frac{x}{r^2}, \quad (x, t) \in \Gamma.
\]

Taking into account the above formula, we observe that the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions (4.3) can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{e_t - S_t}{S_r} e_r - \frac{S_t}{S_r} n - 1 \frac{r}{r} + e \left( \frac{S_t}{S_r} \right)^2 \frac{n-1}{r} = \frac{[\rho u]}{|S_r|} + \frac{[\rho]}{|S_r|} \tag{4.5}
\]

for \( (x, t) \in \Gamma \).

If \( S(r, t) = -r + \phi(t) \), the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions (4.5) can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{e_t + \phi(t) e_r + \phi(t) n - 1 \frac{r}{r}}{r} = -[\rho u] + [\rho \phi(t)],
\]

\[
\frac{(e \phi(t))_t + \phi(t) (e \phi(t)) + e \left( \phi(t) \right)^2 \frac{n-1}{r}}{r} = -[\rho u^2] + [\rho \phi(t)]. \tag{4.6}
\]

4.2. Physical aspects of \( \delta \)-shocks: mass, and momentum balance relations.

In this case \( \rho \geq 0 \) and \( U \) can be considered as the gas density and gas velocity, respectively. Here “masses” \( M(t) \), \( m(t) \) and “momentums” \( P(t) \), \( m(t) \) which were introduced in Sec. 3 have the sense of real masses and momentums.

To solve the Cauchy problem, we assume that for its solution the geometric entropy condition

\[
U^+(x, t) \cdot \nu |_{\Gamma_t} < U_\delta(x, t) \cdot \nu |_{\Gamma_t} < U^-(x, t) \cdot \nu |_{\Gamma_t}, \tag{4.7}
\]

holds, where \( U_\delta \) is the velocity \( 2.3 \) of the \( \delta \)-shock front \( \Gamma_t \), \( U^\pm \) is the velocity behind the \( \delta \)-shock wave front and ahead of it, respectively. Condition (4.7) implies that all characteristics on both sides of the initial discontinuity \( \Gamma_t \) must overlap. For \( t = 0 \) the condition (4.7) coincides with (2.10).

Theorem 4.1. In the case of zero-pressure gas dynamics (1.4) the transportation process described by Theorem 3.4 is the mass concentration process on the moving front \( \Gamma_t \):

\[
\dot{M}(t) = -\dot{m}(t), \quad \dot{m}(t) > 0, \quad \dot{P}(t) = -\dot{P}(t), \quad M(t) + m(t) = M(0) + m(0), \quad P(t) + p(t) = P(0) + p(0). \tag{4.8}
\]
Proof. It remains to prove the inequality $\dot{m}(t) > 0$. Since the solution $(U, \rho)$ of the Cauchy problem (1.4), (2.1) satisfies the entropy condition (4.7) and $\rho^\pm \geq 0$, we have for the first relation in (4.1)

\[
\frac{\delta e}{\delta t} + \nabla \Gamma_i \cdot (eU_\delta) = \left( [\rho U] - [\rho U_\delta] \cdot \nu \right)_{\Gamma_i} \\
= (\rho^-(U^- - U_\delta) \cdot \nu + \rho^+(U_\delta - U^+) \cdot \nu)_{\Gamma_i} \geq 0.
\]

This inequality and Theorem 3.2 imply that $\dot{m}(t) = \int_{\Gamma_i} \left( \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} + \nabla \Gamma_i \cdot (eU_\delta) \right) d\mu(x) > 0$ and $\dot{M}(t) < 0$. In view of these inequalities, in the case of “zero-pressure gas dynamics” mass transportation from the volume $\Omega^- \cup \Omega^+$ to the moving wave front $\Gamma_t$ takes place.

4.3. Energy in zero-pressure gas dynamics (1.4). Let us assume that a moving surface $\Gamma_t = \{ x : S(x, t) = 0 \}$ permanently separates $\mathbb{R}^n$ into two parts $\Omega^\pm_t = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \pm S(x, t) > 0 \}$. Let $(U, \rho)$ be compactly supported with respect to $x$. Denote by

\[
W(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^+_t \cup \Omega^-_t} \rho(x, t)|U(x, t)|^2 \, dx, \quad w(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_t} e(x, t)|U_\delta(x, t)|^2 \, d\mu(x),
\]

energies of the domain $\Omega^+_t \cup \Omega^-_t$ and of the moving wave front $\Gamma_t$, respectively (see Sec. 3). The function $W(t)$ is the total energy.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $(U, \rho)$ and the discontinuity hypersurface $\Gamma = \{ x : S(x, t) = 0 \}$ be a $\delta$-shock wave type solution (in the sense of Definition 2.1) of the Cauchy problem (1.4), (2.1), compactly supported with respect to $x$, where $\rho(x, t) = \hat{\rho}(x, t) + e(x, t)\delta(\Gamma)$. Suppose that $(U, \rho)$ is smooth in $\Omega^\pm$ and has one-sided limits $U^\pm$, $\rho^\pm$ on $\Gamma$. Then energies $W(t)$ and $W(t) + w(t)$ are nonincreasing quantities:

\[
\frac{d}{dt}W(t) \leq 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt}(W(t) + w(t)) \leq 0.
\]

**Proof.** Let us assume that the supports of $U(x, t)$ and $\rho(x, t)$ with respect to $x$ belong to a compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ bounded by $\partial K$. Let $K^\pm_t = \Omega^\pm_t \cap K$. By $\nu$ we denote the space normal to $\Gamma_t$ pointing from $\Omega^-_t$ to $\Omega^+_t$. Differentiating $W(t)$ and using the volume transport Theorem 3.1 we obtain

\[
\dot{M}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{K^- \cup K^+_t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(x, t)|U(x, t)|^2 \, dx \\
+ \int_{\partial K^- \cup \partial K^+_t} \rho(x, t)|U(x, t)|^2 \, d\mu(x) \right),
\]

where $G = \frac{\delta}{\delta S}$. Since for $x \in K^\pm$ system (1.3) has a smooth solution $(\rho^\pm, U^\pm)$, this solution also satisfies non-conservative form (1.7). One can easily verify that (1.4) and (1.7) imply that

\[
(\rho^\pm |U^\pm|^2)_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho^\pm |U^\pm|^2 U^\pm) = 0, \quad x \in K^\pm.
\]

Next, using the last relation, taking into account that the vectors $U^\pm$ and functions $\rho^\pm$ are equal to zero on the surface $\partial K^\pm_t$ except $\Gamma_t$, and applying Gauss’s divergence theorem to relation (4.10), we transform it to the form

\[
\dot{W}(t) = -\int_{K^-} \nabla \cdot (\rho^-(|U^-|^2) U^-) \, dx - \int_{K^+_t} \nabla \cdot (\rho^+ |U|^2 U^+) \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_t} G[\rho|U|^2] \, d\mu(x)
\]

\[
= -\int_{\Gamma_t} \rho^- |U^-|^2 U^- \cdot \nu \, d\mu(x) + \int_{\Gamma_t} \rho^+ |U^+|^2 U^+ \cdot \nu \, d\mu(x) + \int_{\Gamma_t} G[\rho|U|^2] \, d\mu(x)
\]

\[
= -\int_{\Gamma_t} \rho^- |U^-|^2 U^- \cdot \nu \, d\mu(x) + \int_{\Gamma_t} \rho^+ |U^+|^2 U^+ \cdot \nu \, d\mu(x) + \int_{\Gamma_t} G[\rho|U|^2] \, d\mu(x)
\]
Let us represent the velocity on the wave front $G$

where

According to (4.1) and (2.11), we have

$$
([\rho|U|^2U] - [\rho|U|^2]U_\delta) \cdot \nu \geq 0,
$$

$$
([\rho|U|^2U] - [\rho|U|^2]U_\delta) \cdot \nu = (\rho^-|U^-|^2(U^- - U_\delta) \cdot \nu + \rho^+|U^+|^2(U_\delta - U^+) \cdot \nu)|_{\Gamma_t} \geq 0.
$$

(4.12)

Formulas (4.11), (4.12) imply that $\tilde{W}(t) \leq 0$, i.e., the first inequality in (4.9) holds.

Now we will calculate $\dot{w}(t)$. Taking into account formula (4.11), due to the surface transport Theorem (4.2) we obtain

$$
\dot{w}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_t} \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta t} \left( e(x,t)|U_\delta(x,t)|^2 \right) + \nabla_{\Gamma_t} \cdot (e(x,t)|U_\delta(x,t)|^2 U_\delta) \right) d\mu(x)
$$

$$
\dot{w}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_t} \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta t} \left( e(x,t)|U_\delta(x,t)|^2 \right) - 2K\Gamma e(x,t)|U_\delta(x,t)|^2 \right) d\mu(x)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_t} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \left( u_{sk} \frac{\delta(eu_{sk})}{\delta t} + u_{sk} e \frac{\delta u_{sk}}{\delta t} \right) - 2K\Gamma e(x,t)|U_\delta(x,t)|^2 \right) d\mu(x).
$$

(4.13)

According to (4.1) and (2.11), we have

$$
\frac{\delta e}{\delta t} u_{sk} + \frac{\delta}{\delta t} \left( u_{sk} \frac{\delta(eu_{sk})}{\delta t} - 2K\Gamma e u_{sk} \right) = [\rho u_k U \cdot \nu] - [\rho u_k] U_\delta \cdot \nu,
$$

$$
\frac{\delta e}{\delta t} u_{sk} - 2K\Gamma e u_{sk} = [\rho U \cdot \nu] u_{sk} - [\rho] U_\delta \cdot \nu u_{sk},
$$

(4.14)

where $u_{sk}(x,t)$ is the $k$-th component of the vector $U_\delta$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Now, subtracting one equation from the other in (4.13), we obtain

$$
\frac{\delta u_{sk}}{\delta t} = [\rho u_k U \cdot \nu] - [\rho u_k] U_\delta \cdot \nu - [\rho U \cdot \nu] u_{sk} + [\rho] U_\delta \cdot \nu u_{sk}.
$$

(4.15)

Substituting equations (4.11) into (4.13), one can easily calculate

$$
\dot{w}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_t} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( [\rho u_k U \cdot \nu] - [\rho u_k] U_\delta \cdot \nu \right) u_{sk}
$$

$$
= - (\rho U \cdot \nu) |U_\delta(x,t)|^2 + [\rho]|U_\delta(x,t)|^2 U_\delta \cdot \nu \right) d\mu(x).
$$

Taking into account that $U_\delta = G \nu$, we rewrite the above relation in the form

$$
\dot{w}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_t} \left( -2[\rho|U|^2 \cdot \nu] + 3[\rho|U|^2]G^2 + [\rho]G^3 \right) d\mu(x),
$$

(4.16)

where $G = -\frac{s}{|\nabla S|}$.

Adding (4.11) and (4.16), we obtain

$$
\tilde{W}(t) + \dot{w}(t) = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_t} \left( [\rho|U|^2 U \cdot \nu] - [\rho|U|^2]U_\delta \cdot \nu \right.
$$

$$
- 2[\rho|U|^2 \cdot \nu] G + 3[\rho|U|^2]G^2 - [\rho]G^3 \right) d\mu(x).
$$

(4.17)

Let us represent the velocity on the wave front $U|_{\Gamma_t}$ as the sum of the normal component $U \cdot \nu$ and the component $U_{tan}$ tangential to the surface $\Gamma_t$. Since $|U|^2|_{\Gamma_t} = (U \cdot \nu)^2 + U_{tan}^2$, and $G = U_\delta \cdot \nu$, one can represent the integrand in (4.17) as

$$
[\rho|U|^2 U \cdot \nu] - [\rho|U|^2]U_\delta \cdot \nu - 2[\rho|U|^2] G + 3[\rho|U|^2]G^2 - [\rho]G^3
$$

$$
= \rho^- (U_{tan}^-)^2(U^- \cdot \nu - U_\delta \cdot \nu) + \rho^+ (U_{tan}^+)^2(U_\delta \cdot \nu - U^+ \cdot \nu)
$$

$$
+ \rho^- (U^- \cdot \nu - U_\delta \cdot \nu)^3 + \rho^+ (U_\delta \cdot \nu - U^- \cdot \nu)^3.
$$

(4.18)
Since the solution \((U, \rho)\) of the Cauchy problem (1.4), (2.1) satisfies the entropy condition (4.7) and \(\rho \pm \geq 0\), we deduce that the last expression is non-negative. Formulas (4.17), (4.18) imply that \(\dot{W}(t) + \dot{w}(t) \leq 0\), i.e., the second inequality in (4.9) holds.

Remark 4.1. In [10], \(\delta\)-shock type solutions of the Riemann problem for one-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics were studied as the vanishing pressure limit of solutions to the Euler equations for nonisentropic fluids. As stated in [10, p.142], the limit system formally is becomes the system of transportation equations (1.3) with the additional conservation law

\[(\rho E)_t + (\rho u E)_x = 0.\]  

(4.19)

Next, it is stated [10, p.143] that the additional conservation law (4.19) actually yields the entropy inequality

\[(\rho u^2)_t + (\rho u^3)_x \leq 0.\]  

(4.20)

in the sense of distributions for the Riemann solutions to (1.3).

According to Theorem 4.2 (for \(n = 1\)), the inequality (4.20) is not the entropy inequality, it reflects the fact of energy nonincreasing.

4.4. Two possible effects. By analyzing the \(\delta\)-shock Rankine–Hugoniot conditions (4.1) for zero-pressure gas dynamics (1.4), one can deduce the possibility of the following interesting effects.

The effect of kinematic self-gravitation. According to (4.8), in zero-pressure gas dynamics (1.4) the mass concentration process on the moving discontinuity surface \(\Gamma_t\) is going on. Moreover, the second \(\delta\)-shock Rankine–Hugoniot condition in (4.1) is the momentum conservation law. Taking this fact into account and using Newton’s second law of motion, one can introduce an “effective” gravitational potential in a neighborhood of the discontinuity surface and describe the concentration process in terms of gravitational interaction. Since in system (1.4) there is no term related with gravitational interaction, this “gravitational effect” is of a purely kinematic nature.

Dimensional bifurcations of \(\delta\)-shock. It follows from Theorem 4.1 that in the \(n\)-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics (1.4) the mass transportation process from the volume \(\Omega^{-}_t \cup \Omega^{+}_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S(x, t) \neq 0\}\) onto the \((n - 1)\)-dimensional moving \(\delta\)-shock front \(\Gamma_t\) is going on. Let us suppose that in a finite time period \(t\) the whole initial mass \(M(0)\) may be concentrated on \(\Gamma_t\). Then, according to (4.1), for \(t > \tilde{t}\), instead of the whole “initial” \(n\)-dimensional system of zero-pressure gas dynamics (1.4) we obtain a “surface” \((n - 1)\)-dimensional version of this system

\[
\frac{\delta e}{\delta t} + \nabla_{\Gamma_t} \cdot (eU_\delta) = 0, \quad \frac{\delta (eU_\delta)}{\delta t} + \nabla_{\Gamma_t} \cdot (eU_\delta \otimes U_\delta) = 0,
\]  

(4.21)

where instead of the gas velocity \(U\) we have the velocity \(U_\delta\) of the moving \(\delta\)-shock front \(\Gamma_t\), and instead of the gas volume density \(\rho\) we have the surface density of the front mass \(e\). Moreover, the quantities \(U_\delta, e\) are defined only on the moving front \(\Gamma_t\). Since system (4.21) is an \(n - 1\)-dimensional analog of system (1.4) on the \((n - 1)\)-dimensional surface \(\Gamma_t\) as on a Riemannian manifold, therefore its solution can develop singularities within a finite time period, and the whole mass will be concentrated at a singular point.

A description of the above effect prompts to generalize Definition 2.1 and introduce a new concept of a multidimensional \(\delta\)-shock type solution to system (1.8) as
a pair \((U, \rho)\), where \(\rho(x, t)\) has the form of the sum
\[
\rho(x, t) = \tilde{\rho}(x, t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j(x, t) \delta(\Gamma^{(j)}),
\]
(4.22)

\(U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty); \mathbb{R}), e_j \in C(\Gamma^{(j)}), \Gamma^{(j)}\) is a hypersurface of codimension \(j\), \(\delta(\Gamma^{(j)})\) the Dirac delta function concentrated on the hypersurface \(\Gamma^{(j)}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\). For this purpose we need to derive special integral identities analogous to (2.2) and develop the theory of such type of solutions. In the framework of such type definition one can solve the above problem of dimensional bifurcations of \(\delta\)-shock.

**Appendix A. Some auxiliary facts**

A.1. Moving surfaces of discontinuity. Let us present some results concerning moving surfaces of codimension 1 in the space \(\mathbb{R}^n\). Such a surface can be represented locally either in the form \(\Gamma_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S(x, t) = 0\}\), or in terms of the curvilinear Gaussian coordinates \(s = (s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1})\) on the surface:

\[x_j = x_j(s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}, t), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.
\]

We also consider the surface \(\Gamma = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : S(x, t) = 0\}\) as a submanifold of the space-time \(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}\). We shall assume that \(\nabla S(x, t)\big|_{\Gamma_t} \neq 0\) for all fixed values of \(t\), where \(\nabla = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})\). Let \(\nu\) be the unit space normal to the surface \(\Gamma_t\) pointing in the positive direction such that \(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_j} = |\nabla S|\nu_j, j = 1, \ldots, n\).

Let \(f(x, t)\) be a function defined on the surface \(\Gamma_t\) for some time interval, and denote by \(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\) the derivative with respect to time as it would be computed by an observer moving with the surface. This derivative has the following geometrical interpretation. Let \(M_0\) be a point on the surface at the time \(t = t_0\). Construct the normal line to the surface at \(M_0\). At the time \(t = t_0 + \Delta t\), \(\Delta t\) is an infinitesimal, this normal meets the surface \(\Gamma_{t+\Delta t}\) at the point \(M = M(t + \Delta t)\). Then the \(\delta\)-derivative is defined as
\[
\frac{\delta f(M_0, t_0)}{\delta t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{f(M) - f(M_0)}{\Delta t}.
\]
(4.1)

If \(\Delta s\) is the distance between \(M_0\) and \(M\), then
\[
G = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\Delta s}{\Delta t}
\]
(4.2)
is the normal velocity of the moving surface \(\Gamma_t\) and
\[
\frac{\delta x_j}{\delta t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\Delta x_j}{\Delta t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\Delta s \Delta x_j}{\Delta t \Delta s} = G\nu_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n.
\]
(4.3)

Since it is essential that the \(\delta\)-derivative is computed on a surface, and \(S\) remains constant on this surface then \(\frac{\delta S}{\delta t} = 0\). Thus we have
\[
0 = \frac{\delta S}{\delta t} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\delta S}{\delta x_j} \frac{\delta x_j}{\delta t} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} G|\nabla S|\nu_j^2
\]
i.e.,
\[
S_t = -G|\nabla S|.
\]
(4.4)

From this formula we can see that \(-G = \frac{\delta S}{\delta t} / |\nabla S|\) can be interpreted as the time component of the normal vector.
The space-time unit normal to the surface $\Gamma$ is given by $n = \frac{(\nu \cdot C)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}}$, where $\sqrt{1 + G^2} = \frac{|\nabla (x,t)\cdot S|}{|\nabla S|}$, $\nabla (x,t) = (\nabla, \frac{\partial}{\partial t})$.

If $f(x,t)$ is a function defined only on $\Gamma$, its first order $\delta$-derivatives with respect to the time and space variables are defined by the following formulas [23 5.2.15, 16]:

$$\frac{\delta f}{\delta t} \overset{def}{=} \frac{\delta f}{\delta t} + G \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu}, \quad \frac{\delta f}{\delta x_j} \overset{def}{=} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} - \nu_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $\tilde{f}$ is a smooth extension of $f$ to a neighborhood of $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} = \nu \cdot \nabla f$ is a normal derivative. Thus the gradient tangent to the surface $\Gamma_t$ is defined as

$$\nabla_{\Gamma_t} = \nabla - \nabla_\nu = \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\delta}{\delta x_n} \right),$$

where $\nabla_\nu = \nu (\nu \cdot \nabla)$ is the gradient along the normal direction to the surface $\Gamma_t$.

Note that the $\delta$-derivatives (A.5) depend only on the values of $f$ on $\Gamma$, i.e., if $f = 0$ on $\Gamma$ then $\frac{\delta f}{\delta t}$ and $\frac{\delta f}{\delta x_j}$ on $\Gamma$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Indeed, let $(x_0, t_0) \in \Gamma$. If $\nabla (x,t) f(x_0, t_0) = 0$ then $\nabla_{\Gamma_t} f(x_0, t_0) = 0$ and $\frac{\delta f}{\delta t}(x_0, t_0) = 0$, where $\nabla (x,t) = (\nabla, \frac{\partial}{\partial t})$. If $\nabla f(x_0, t_0) \neq 0$ then in a neighborhood of the point $(x_0, t_0)$ the surface $\Gamma_t$ has the unit space normal $\nu = \frac{\nabla f}{\sqrt{\nabla f}}$ and $G = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu}$. Consequently, $\nabla_{\Gamma_t} f(x_0, t_0) = 0$ and $\frac{\delta f}{\delta t}(x_0, t_0) = 0$. In the sequel we shall drop tilde from $f$.

For a vector $A(x,t) = (A_1(x,t), \ldots, A_n(x,t))$ defined only on $\Gamma_t$, we introduce the surface (tangent) divergence by the following formula

$$\text{div}_{\Gamma_t} A = \nabla_{\Gamma_t} \cdot A = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\delta A_j}{\delta x_j}.$$

The mean curvature of the surface $\Gamma_t$ is defined as

$$K \overset{def}{=} -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\Gamma_t} \cdot \nu = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\delta \nu_j}{\delta x_j} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \nu.$$  

(A.7)

A.2. Distributions defined on a surface. Consider some facts concerning distributions defined on a surface [23 5.2.1, 24 ch.III.3.1, 21, 25]. The Heaviside function $H(S)$ is introduced by the following definition:

$$\langle H(S), \varphi(x,t) \rangle = \int_{S \geq 0} \varphi(x,t) \, dx \, dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}).$$

According to [23 5.3.1, 2], we now introduce the delta function $\delta(S)$ on the surface $\Gamma$, whose action on a test function $\varphi(x,t) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$\langle \delta(S), \varphi(x,t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_t} \varphi(x,t) \, d\mu(x) \, dt = \int_{\Gamma} \varphi(x,t) \, d\mu(x,t) \sqrt{1 + G^2},$$

(A.8)

where $d\mu$ is the surface measure on the corresponding surface. According to [23 5.5.1, 1], we have

$$\frac{\partial H(S)}{\partial x_j} = \nu_j \delta(S), \quad \frac{\partial H(S)}{\partial t} = -G \delta(S).$$

Now we introduce the derivative of the delta function $\partial_{\nu} \delta(S)$ along the space normal $\nu$ by the formula [23 5.3.7]

$$\langle \partial_{\nu} \delta(S), \varphi \rangle = -\langle \delta(S), \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} \rangle = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} \, d\mu(x) \, dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}).$$

(A.9)
where \( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \nu \cdot \nabla \varphi \) is the normal derivative of \( \varphi \). If \( f(x,t) \) is a continuous function defined on \( \Gamma \) which is a restriction of some continuous function defined in a neighborhood of \( \Gamma \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \), then the distribution \( \partial_t (f \delta(S)) \) (the so-called double layer) is a functional acting by the rule

\[
\langle \partial_t (f \delta(S)), \varphi \rangle = -\langle \delta(S), \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}).
\]

According to [23, 5.3.(6)], we have

\[
\delta'(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \delta(S) = 2K \delta(S) + \partial_t \delta(S)
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta(S) = -G(2K \delta(S) + \partial_t \delta(S)), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \delta(S) = \nu_j (2K \delta(S) + \partial_t \delta(S)), \quad (A.10)
\]

where \( K \) is the mean curvature \([A.7]\) of the surface \( \Gamma_t \).

If \( f(x,t) \) is a differentiable function, using \((A.3), (A.10)\), one can prove the following relations \([23, 12.6.(15),(16)]\)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (f \delta(S)) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} - \nu_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} + 2K \nu_j f \right) \delta(S) + \nu_j f \partial_t \delta(S), \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \quad (A.11)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (f \delta(S)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + G \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} - 2KGf \delta(S) - Gf \partial_t \delta(S). \quad (A.12)
\]

### A.3. One integrating-by-parts formula.

**Lemma A.1.** Suppose that \( e(x,t) \) is a compactly supported smooth function defined only on the surface \( \Gamma = \{ (x,t) : S(x,t) = 0 \} \), and \( e(x,t) \) is the restriction of some smooth function defined in a neighborhood of \( \Gamma \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \), and \( \Gamma_0 = \{ x : S(x,0) = 0 \} \). Then the following formula for integration by parts holds:

\[
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\delta \varphi}{\delta t} \frac{d\mu(x,t)}{\sqrt{1+G^2}} = -\int_{\Gamma} \delta^* e \frac{d\mu(x,t)}{\sqrt{1+G^2}} - \int_{\Gamma_0} e(x,0) \varphi(x,0) \, d\mu(x), \quad (A.13)
\]

for any \( \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,\infty)) \), where \( \delta^* \) is the adjoint operator defined as

\[
\frac{\delta^* e}{\delta t} = \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} - 2KG e = \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} + \nabla_{\Gamma_t} \cdot (eG \nu), \quad (A.14)
\]

\( K \) is the mean curvature \([A.7]\) of the surface \( \Gamma_t \).

**Proof.** With the help of formulas \([A.8], [A.9], [A.10], [A.11], [A.12]\), we derive by simple calculations

\[
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\delta \varphi}{\delta t} \frac{d\mu(x,t)}{\sqrt{1+G^2}} = \langle e \delta(S), \frac{\delta \varphi}{\delta t} \rangle = \langle e \delta(S) H(t), \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + G \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} \rangle
\]

\[
\quad = -\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (e \delta(S) H(t)), \varphi \rangle - \langle \partial_t (G e \delta(S)) H(t), \varphi \rangle
\]

\[
\quad = -\langle \delta(S) e - eG(2K \delta(S) + \partial_t \delta(S)), \varphi \rangle - \langle e \delta(S) \delta(t), \varphi \rangle
\]

\[
\quad - \langle \delta(S) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta(G e \nu_k + e G \partial_x \delta(S), \varphi \rangle
\]

\[
\quad = -\langle \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} - 2KG e \delta(S), \varphi \rangle - \langle e(x,0) \delta(S(x,0)), \varphi(x,0) \rangle,
\]
where $H(t)$ is the Heaviside function. Here we use the obvious relation
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\delta(Ge)}{\delta x_k} \nu_k = 0.
\]
Using the last relation and formula (A.7), we calculate
\[
\frac{\delta e}{\delta t} - 2KGe = \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\delta \nu_j}{\delta x_j} Ge = \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\delta(eG\nu_j)}{\delta x_j}.
\]

A.4. Transport theorems. Here we give the following transport theorems.

**Theorem A.1.** ([23, 12.8.(3)], [4], [6], [7]) Let $f(x, t)$ be a sufficiently smooth function defined in a moving solid $\Omega_t$, and let a moving hypersurface $\partial \Omega_t$ be its boundary. Let $\nu$ be the outward unit space normal to the surface $\partial \Omega_t$ and $W(x, t)$ be the velocity of the point $x$ in $\Omega_t$. Then the volume transport theorem holds:
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} f(x, t) \, dx = \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega_t} fW \cdot \nu \, d\mu(x) = \int_{\Omega_t} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \text{div}(fW) \right) \, dx. \tag{A.15}
\]

**Theorem A.2.** ([23, 12.8.(9)]) If $e(x, t)$ is a smooth function defined only on the moving surface $\Gamma_t = \{ x : S(x, t) = 0 \}$ (which is the restriction of some smooth function defined in a neighborhood of $\Gamma_t$), then the surface transport theorem holds:
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma_t} e(x, t) \, d\mu(x) = \int_{\Gamma_t} \left( \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} - 2KGe \right) \, d\mu(x) = \int_{\Gamma_t} \left( \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} + \nabla_{\Gamma_t} \cdot (eU_\delta) \right) \, d\mu(x), \tag{A.16}
\]
where $U_\delta$ is the velocity of $\Gamma_t$.

**Proof.** Since according to definition (A.8),
\[
m(t) = \int_{\Gamma_t} e(x, t) \, d\mu(x) = \langle e(x, t)\delta(S), \ 1 \rangle_x,
\]
using (A.12), we obtain
\[
\dot{m}(t) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (e(x, t)\delta(S)), \ 1 \right)_x = \left( \left( \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} - 2KGe \right)\delta(S) - Ge\dot{\nu}_\delta\delta(S), \ 1 \right)_x
\]
\[
= \left( \left( \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} - 2KGe \right)\delta(S), \ 1 \right)_x = \int_{\Gamma_t} \left( \frac{\delta e}{\delta t} - 2KGe \right) \, d\mu(x).
\]
To complete the proof of the theorem, it remains to use formulas (A.14) and (2.3).
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