Diversification of *Cerastium sylvaticum* and *C. subtriflorum* on the margin of the south-eastern Alps
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Abstract
The south-eastern Alps and the southerly adjacent areas are considered an important refugium for plant species during the Pleistocene glaciations; consequently, they harbour many endemic taxa. One of them is *Cerastium subtriflorum*, which in this area occurs sympatrically with morphologically similar, but more widespread *C. sylvaticum*. Here, we used amplified fragment length polymorphisms as well as ITS and plastid DNA sequences to explore phylogenetic relationships between the two species as well as their relationships to other *Cerastium* taxa previously deemed closely related. Our data show that both species are genetically well-differentiated sister taxa, but their relationship to other taxa remains unclear; both species rather form an independent evolutionary lineage within European *Cerastium*. *Cerastium sylvaticum* exhibits low genetic differentiation among populations in the investigated area, suggesting a single Pleistocene refugium in that part of Europe. The much more pronounced genetic differentiation within *C. subtriflorum* is likely a result of multiple Pleistocene refugia or more recent vicariance among the three disjunct partial distribution areas. Phylogenetic sequence data suggest hybridisation between the two taxa, resulting in a discordant phylogenetic position between ITS and plastid trees of one population of *C. subtriflorum*. Flow cytometric and multivariate morphometric analyses show that *C. subtriflorum* and *C. sylvaticum* differ in their genome size as well as in multiple morphological traits. We provide a taxonomic treatment for both species including an identification key and species descriptions.
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Introduction
The south-eastern Alps and the southerly adjacent areas have been considered an important refugium for Alpine biota during Pleistocene glaciations (Tribsch and Schönswetter 2003; Schmitt 2009) as the southern margin of the Eastern Alps was not entirely covered with an ice sheet during the Last Glacial Maximum, thus enabling the survival of plant species (Tribsch and Schönswetter 2003; Schönswetter et al. 2005; Alvarez et al. 2009). As in other glacial refugia in the Alps (Pawłowski 1970; Tribsch and Schönswetter 2003), also the south-eastern Alps harbour many endemic species; more than 60 endemic plant taxa have been listed for this area (Mayer 1960a, b; Wraber 1990), for instance *Aconitum angustifolium* Bernh. ex Rchb. (Ranunculaceae), *Athamanta turbith* (L.) Brot. (Apiaceae), *Campanula zoysii* Wulfen (Campanulaceae), *Cerastium julicum* Schellm. (Caryophyllaceae), *Gentiana froelichii* Jan ex Rchb. (Gentianaceae) and *Moehringia villosa* (Wulfen) Fenzl (Caryophyllaceae). Not only alpine plants survived the glaciations in this part of Europe; there is also evidence for existence of broad-leaved forests in the areas southerly adjacent to the south-eastern Alps, which were suggested as likely refugia for oaks (*Quercus* sp.; Petit et al. 2002) and beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.; Magri et al. 2006) as well as for several beech forest understory herbs (Willner et al. 2009), including *Knautia drymeia* Heuff. (Caprifoliaceae; Rešetnik et al. 2016), *Cyclamen purpurascens* Mill. (Primulaceae; Slovák et al. 2016).
2012) and Veronica chamaedrys L. (Plantaginaceae; Bardy et al. 2010).

One of endemic species of the south-eastern Alps is Cerastium subtriflorum (Rchb.) Pacher (Caryophyllaceae). It has a disjunct distribution similar to some other species, for instance Saxifraga tenella Wulfen (Mayer 1960a). They both can be found in the Julian Alps of Italy and Slovenia, along the southern margins of Kamniške Alpe and in the Sava river valley in central Slovenia (Jalas and Suominen 1983; Poldini 2002; Jogan et al. 2001), growing from the lowlands to the alpine vegetation belt. Cerastium subtriflorum was described by Reichenbach (1841) as a variety, C. lanuginosum Willd. β. subtriflorum, based on specimens collected on Mt. Mangart (Julian Alps, Slovenia) by H. Freyer and distributed under the name “C. latifolium subtriflorum Rchb.” in Reichenbach’s Flora Germanica Exsiccat (nr. 1493). It was raised to the species level by Pacher (1886). Beck (1908) separated lowland populations of C. subtriflorum from the Soča valley in Slovenia as C. sylvaticum Beck, which was later treated conspecific with C. subtriflorum (Mayer 1960a; Jalas 1964). Beck (1908) considered both species closely related to C. sylvaticum Waldst. & Kit., which is a forest species, distributed in the lowlands of Central and Eastern Europe, with isolated disjunct occurrences in Albania, Italy, Romania, south-western Ukraine, Moldova and northern Poland. In Slovenia, its range overlaps largely with that of C. subtriflorum (Jalas and Suominen 1983; Jogan et al. 2001) and both species are difficult to distinguish when not flowering.

Despite the fact that many Cerastium species are high polyploids (e.g. Brysting et al. 2011; Niketić et al. 2013; Rice et al. 2015 and references therein), C. sylvaticum and C. subtriflorum are both tetraploids (Söllner 1954; Rice et al. 2015), which is the lowest ploidy level known in Cerastium; we consider the diploid count for C. lihospermifolium Fisch. by Krogucevich (1971) erroneous, as it was never confirmed again nor discovered in any other species (Niketić et al. 2013; Rice et al. 2015). Two main morphological characters distinguishing the two species are petal size and the geographic position of all inspected herbarium specimens (Jalas 1993; Vreš 1996, 2007), but the phylogenetic relationships between them and in relation to other Cerastium species remain unknown. Graebner and Correns (1918) included both C. subtriflorum and C. sylvaticum with several other, predominantly Alpine species (C. carinthiacum Vest., C. dinaricum Beck & Szyszyl., C. fontanum Baumg., C. latifolium L., C. pedunculatum Gaud. ex Ser., C. rectum Friv., C. utaniflorum Clairv.) in C. sect. Latifolia Borza, which was followed by Niketić (2007), who included C. subtriflorum together with C. carinthiacum, C. dinaricum, C. latifolium, C. runemarckii Möschl & Rech.f., C. theophrasti Merxm. & Strid and C. utaniflorum into C. sect. Latifolia Borza. On the other hand, Božo Friedrich (1969) treated C. subtriflorum and C. sylvaticum together with C. fontanum in C. sect. Caespitosa Pax & Hoffm. (= C. sect. Vulgata Hayek), thus separated from the C. latifolium alliance.

In order to disentangle relationships between the south-eastern Alpine endemic C. subtriflorum and the more widespread C. sylvaticum and to infer their relationships to other taxa, we here used a combination of phylogenetic analyses, relative genome size (RGS) measurements and multivariate morphometrics. More specifically, we (1) used ITS and plastid DNA sequences as well as amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLPs) to explore the relationships between both species and to infer their position within Cerastium, (2) analysed whether the two species differ in their relative genome size despite having the same chromosome number and (3) searched for additional morphological characters to distinguish between both species in vegetative stage using a multivariate morphometric approach. Based on this integrative approach, we provide a taxonomic treatment for both species, including detailed descriptions and an identification key.

**Materials and methods**

**Plant material**

Leaf material of one to five individuals per population from nine populations of C. subtriflorum and five of C. sylvaticum was collected and stored in silica gel for molecular and RGS analyses; the exception is population 5, where freshly collected herbarium material was used for RGS measurements and DNA sequencing. Altogether, ITS and the plastid DNA region ndhJ-trnT was sequenced for 14 populations, for which also RGS data were obtained, and 11 populations were included in AFLP analyses. Some additional populations of C. subtriflorum and C. sylvaticum were collected for morphometric analyses, for which also additional specimens from the herbaria LJU, WU, TSB and ZA were studied, resulting in a data set of 38 individuals of C. subtriflorum and 46 of C. sylvaticum. Voucher data of all studied populations, including the four out-group taxa sequenced, are presented in Online Resource 1. Distribution of all analysed in-group populations is shown in Fig. 1, where also the geographic position of all inspected herbarium specimens of C. subtriflorum from the herbaria IB, LJU, TSB, WU, W and ZA is shown.

**Sequencing and phylogenetic analyses of ITS and ndhJ-trnT**

Extraction of total genomic DNA was performed following the modified CTAB-protocol of Tel-Zur et al. (1999). Four regions (petN(ycf6)–psbM, trnL(UAG)–ndhF, ndhJ–trnT, trnQ–trnK) of the plastid genome have been tested for
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Variation among different *Cerastium* species prior the study. The *ndhJ–trnT* region yielded the highest variability and was thus sequenced in this study. PCR and sequencing of ITS and the plastid *ndhJ–trnT* region were performed for one to two individuals per population (Table 1) as described by Kutnjak et al. (2014), with the exception that sequencing was carried out at Eurofins Genomics (Ebersberg, Germany). Contigs were assembled, edited, and sequences were aligned using Geneious Pro 5.5.9 (Kearse et al. 2012). Base polymorphisms were coded using NC-IUPAC ambiguity codes. ITS and plastid alignments are in Online Resources 2 and 3, respectively. GenBank numbers of *C. subtriflorum* and *C. sylvaticum* sequences are presented in Table 1 and those of the out-group taxa in Online Resource 1.

Gaps (indels) in the plastid data set were coded as binary characters using SeqState version 1.25 (Müller 2005). Maximum parsimony (MP) and MP bootstrap (MPB) analyses of ITS and plastid data sets were performed using PAUP 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). The most parsimonious trees were searched for heuristically with

---

**Fig. 1** Distribution area and sampled populations of *Cerastium subtriflorum* and *C. sylvaticum*. **a** Distribution of *C. subtriflorum* based on revised herbarium material and shown by black dots, and that of *C. sylvaticum* shown by polygons modified from Jalas and Suominen (1983). **b** Populations sampled for genetic (black symbols) and morphological (white symbols) analyses. All populations except population 14 used in genetic analyses were analysed also morphometrically. Population 85 from Bosnia and Herzegovina and populations 81, 82, 83, and 84 from Austria studied in morphometric analyses are not shown. Population numbers correspond to Online Resource 1.
1000 replicates of random sequence addition, TBR swapping and MulTrees on. The swapping was performed on a maximum of 1000 trees (nchuck = 1000). All characters were equally weighted and unordered. The data set was bootstrapped using full heuristics, 1000 replicates, TBR branch swapping, MulTrees option off, and random addition sequence with five replicates. The out-group taxa *C. carinthiacum*, *C. dinaricum*, *C. eriophorum* Kit. ex Rochel, *C. fontanum* Baumg. and *C. latifolium* were used for rooting.

Bayesian analyses were performed with MrBayes 3.2.1 (Ronquist et al. 2012) applying the GTR (ITS) and F81 (plastid dataset) substitution models proposed by the Akaike information criterion implemented in MrAIC.pl 1.4 (Nylander 2004). In the case of the plastid analyses, the data were partitioned into a nucleotide data set and an indel data set; the latter was treated as morphological data according to the model of Lewis (2001). Values for all parameters, such as the shape of the gamma distribution, were estimated during the MrBayes analyses. The settings for the Metropolis-coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo process included four runs with four chains each (three heated ones using the default heating scheme), run simultaneously for 10,000,000 generations each, sampling trees every 1000th generation using default priors. The posterior probabilities (PP) of the phylogeny and its branches were determined from the combined set of trees, discarding the first 1001 trees of each run as burn-in.

In addition, a NeighbourNet was produced with ITS sequences of *C. subtriflorum* and *C. sylvaticum* using SplitStree4 12.3 (Huson and Bryant 2006) and the plastid ingroup sequences were analysed using statistical parsimony as implemented in TCS (Clement et al. 2000) with the connection limit set to 95; gaps were treated as fifth character state. For this analysis, indels longer than 1 bp were reduced to single base-pair columns allowing those structural mutations to be counted as single base-pair mutations only.

### AFLP analyses

The number of individuals per population used in AFLP analyses is presented in Table 1. The AFLP procedure followed Vos et al. (1995) with the modifications described in Schönswetter et al. (2009). In addition, 0.25 U of polymerase was used in the preselective and selective amplifications (0.4 U for the NED-labelled primer combination). The primer combinations for the selective PCR (fluorescent dye in brackets) were EcoRI (6-FAM)ACA/MseI-CAT, EcoRI (VIC)AAG/MseI-CTT, EcoRI (NED)AGC/MseI-CTG (6-FAM-labelled primers: Sigma-Aldrich; NED- and VIC-labelled primers: Applied Biosystems). Purification and visualisation of PCR products were done as described in Rebernig et al. (2010). Analysis of electropherograms and scoring of the AFLP fragments were performed as described in Caković et al. (2017), with the exception that the minimum intensity used in RawGeno was set to 75 relative

| ID  | Taxon               | ITS            | Ndh1-trnT      | N AFLP | N RGS | RGS ± SD  |
|-----|---------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|-------|-----------|
| 1   | *C. subtriflorum*   | MH478076       | MH487895, MH487897 | 3      | 5     | 0.277 ± 0.001 |
| 2   | *C. subtriflorum*   | MH537033       | MH488066       | –      | 1     | 0.271 ± 0.000 |
| 3   | *C. subtriflorum*   | MH537035       | MH487896       | 4      | 5     | 0.275 ± 0.002 |
| 4   | *C. subtriflorum*   | MH478077       | MH487898       | 4      | 2     | 0.258 ± 0.000 |
| 5   | *C. subtriflorum*   | MH537032       | MH488067       | –      | 1     | 0.265 ± 0.000 |
| 6   | *C. subtriflorum*   | MH478080, MH478081 | MH488027, MH488028 | 3      | 4     | 0.253 ± 0.019 |
| 7   | *C. subtriflorum*   | MH537034       | MH488065       | 5      | 5     | 0.243 ± 0.022 |
| 8   | *C. subtriflorum*   | MH478078, MH478079 | MH487900, MH487901 | 5      | 5     | 0.285 ± 0.005 |
| 9   | *C. subtriflorum*   | MH478075       | MH487899       | 5      | 5     | 0.272 ± 0.007 |
| 10  | *C. sylvaticum*     | MH478082       | MH488030       | –      | 3     | 0.297 ± 0.008 |
| 11  | *C. sylvaticum*     | MH478086       | MH488026, MH488029 | 3      | 5     | 0.306 ± 0.002 |
| 12  | *C. sylvaticum*     | MH478084       | MH488031       | 5      | 4     | 0.309 ± 0.001 |
| 13  | *C. sylvaticum*     | MH478085       | MH488033       | 5      | 5     | 0.303 ± 0.007 |
| 14  | *C. sylvaticum*     | MH478083       | MH488032       | 5      | 2     | 0.304 ± 0.006 |

*ID* Population numbers as in Fig. 1 and Online Resource 1; *ITS* ITS GenBank number; *Ndh1-trnT* GenBank number; *N AFLP* number of individuals investigated with amplified fragment length polymorphism; *N RGS* number of individuals included in the relative genome size measurements; *RGS ± SD* relative genome size with standard deviation
Relative genome size (RGS) estimation and statistical analyses

The RGS of silica gel-dried leaves of *C. subtriflorum* and *C. sylvaticum* was estimated using flow cytometry as described by Kutnjak et al. (2014) for one to five individuals per population (Table 1). Despite the fact that the coefficient of variation (CV) of the G0/G1 peak of the sample exceeded the 5% threshold in four populations, we included these data in further analyses as the peaks were clearly visible and the RGS values highly similar to the RGS values obtained in other populations. Statistical analyses of the RGS data were carried out with R-3.3.2 (R Development Core Team 2016) and the visualisation package ‘ggplot2’. Mean RGS values for populations of both species were tested for normality using a Shapiro–Wilk test. Subsequently, RGS values were compared using Welch’s two-sample *t* test.

Morphometric analyses

Material for morphometric analyses of most characters included 56 individuals, 25 of *C. subtriflorum* and 31 of *C. sylvaticum* (Online Resource 1). In some of these plants, fruit and seed characters were missing and were measured on 13 and 15 additional specimens of *C. subtriflorum* and *C. sylvaticum*, respectively, resulting in data set of 84 individuals (Online Resource 1). Altogether, 67 morphological characters were studied (Table 2), including 16 ratio characters calculated on the basis of measured characters. Certain characters were missing in some individuals and were thus replaced with the mean values calculated for the other studied populations of the same species.

Leaf characters with the exception of trichome characters were measured with the program imageJ (Schneider et al. 2012), stem and inflorescence characters were scored manually and the remaining characters were measured under the stereo microscope Olympus SZX9 equipped with an Olympus UC30 camera and SZX9 telephoto lens using the Olympus image analysis software analysis Pro. Petals and sepals were softened in hot water and spread on plastic foil. Analyses of morphometric data were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics (version 24). Capsule and seed characters were analysed separately, because they were partly measured on other individuals as the remaining characters (see above and Online Resource 1), resulting in a data set of 20 *C. subtriflorum* and 22 *C. sylvaticum* individuals. Due to the small number of *C. subtriflorum* individuals with developed stolon leaves (12 *C. subtriflorum* and 24 *C. sylvaticum*; Online Resource 1), we also analysed these characters separately. Correlation among metric characters was tested employing Pearson or Spearman correlation coefficients, which exceed 0.90 in five pairs of characters: width of petal lobe/petal width, petal length/distance from the basis to the widest part of the petal, petal length/petal length from the basis to the incision, length of a mid-stem leaf/distance from the basis to the widest part of a mid-stem leaf, length of a stolon leaf/distance from the basis to the widest part of a lowermost leaf; second listed character was excluded from further analyses.

We produced boxplot diagrams for all characters in order to explore the variation between the two taxa. After standardisation to zero mean and unit variance, a principal component analysis (PCA) was performed. Discriminant analysis (DA) was applied to inspect the separation between *C. subtriflorum* and *C. sylvaticum* and the relative importance of characters discriminating between them. All these three analyses were performed for each of the three data sets separately (capsule and seeds, stolon leaves, other characters). Values presented in the identification key and the species descriptions correspond to the 10 and 90% percentiles, supplemented by extreme values in parentheses.

Results

ITS and ndhJ-trnT sequences

Topologies of the trees inferred by parsimony and Bayesian analyses were congruent in both sequence data sets. In the ITS tree (Fig. 2a), *C. dinaricum* and *C. eriophorum* were most divergent, followed by *C. fontanum*. *Cerastium carin-thiacum* and *C. latifolium* formed a highly supported clade (MPB 85%, PP 1), which was sister to the clade including *C. subtriflorum* and *C. sylvaticum* (MPB 100%, PP 1). Within the latter clade, relationships were poorly resolved; only *C. sylvaticum* was weakly supported in the parsimony
Table 2  Morphometric characters studied in *Cerastium subtriflorum* and *C. sylvaticum*

| No. | Character                                                                 |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Petal**                                                                                       |
| 1   | Petal length, mm                                                          |
| 2   | Petal width, mm                                                           |
| 3   | Width of petal lobe, mm                                                  |
| 4   | Ratio petal length/petal width                                            |
| 5   | Distance from the basis to the widest part of the petal, mm               |
| 6   | Ratio distance from the basis to the widest part of the petal/petal length|
| 7   | Petal length from the basis to the incision, mm                           |
| 8   | Ratio petal length from basis to the incision/petal length                |
| **Sepal**                                                                                       |
| 9   | Sepal length, mm                                                          |
| 10  | Sepal width, mm                                                           |
| 11  | Ratio sepal width/sepal length                                            |
| 12  | Distance from the basis to the widest part of the sepal, mm               |
| 13  | Ratio distance from the basis to the widest part of the sepal/sepal length|
| 14  | Width of hyaline margin of sepal, mm                                      |
| 15  | Ratio petal length/sepal length                                           |
| **Leaf**                                                                                         |
| 16  | Length of a mid-stem leaf, mm                                             |
| 17  | Width of a mid-stem leaf, mm                                              |
| 18  | Ratio length of a mid-stem leaf/width of a mid-stem leaf                  |
| 19  | Distance from the basis to the widest part of a mid-stem leaves, mm       |
| 20  | Ratio distance from the basis to the widest part of a mid-stem leaves/length of a mid-stem leaf |
| 21  | Angle of the apex of a mid-stem leaf, °                                  |
| 22  | Angle of the base of a mid-stem leaf, °                                  |
| **Lowermost leaf**                                                                             |
| 23  | Length of a lowermost leaf, mm                                            |
| 24  | Width of a lowermost leaf, mm                                             |
| 25  | Ratio length of a lowermost leaf/width of a lowermost leaf                |
| 26  | Distance from the basis to the widest part of a lowermost leaf, mm        |
| 27  | Ratio distance from the basis to the widest part of a lowermost leaf/length of a lowermost leaf |
| 28  | Angle of the apex of a lowermost leaf, °                                  |
| 29  | Angle of the base of a lowermost leaf, °                                  |
| 30  | Petiole length of a lowermost leaf, mm                                    |
| **Stolon leaf**                                                                                 |
| 31  | Length of a stolon leaf, mm                                               |
| 32  | Width of a stolon leaf, mm                                                |
| 33  | Ratio length of a stolon leaf/width of a stolon leaf                      |
| 34  | Distance from the basis to the widest part of a stolon leaf, mm           |
| 35  | Ratio distance from the basis to the widest part of a stolon leaf/length of a stolon leaf |
| 36  | Angle of the apex of a stolon leaf, °                                     |
| 37  | Angle of the base of a stolon leaf, °                                     |
| 38  | Petiole length of a stolon leaf, mm                                       |
| **Capsule**                                                                                    |
| 39  | Capsule length, mm                                                        |
| 40  | Capsule width, mm                                                         |
| 41  | Ratio capsule length/capsule width                                        |
| 42  | Distance from the basis to the widest part of the capsule, mm             |
| 43  | Ratio distance from the basis to the widest part of the capsule/capsule length |
Table 2 (continued)

| No. | Character                                                                 |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 44  | Angle of capsule (curved or straight), °                                  |
| 45  | Capsule teeth length, mm                                                 |
| 46  | Capsule teeth width, mm                                                  |
| 47  | Ratio capsule teeth length/capsule teeth width                           |
| 48  | Relationship between C. subtriflorum and C. sylvaticum                   |
| 49  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. fontanum                      |
| 50  | Relationships between C. fontanum and C. subtriflorum                    |
| 51  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 52  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 53  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 54  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 55  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 56  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 57  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 58  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 59  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 60  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 61  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 62  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 63  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 64  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 65  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 66  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |
| 67  | Relationships between C. sylvaticum and C. sylvaticum                    |

For the 172 individuals of the data set including other Cerastium species, 639 fragments were scored. The error rate before the exclusion of unreproducible fragments was 3.4%. Before the neighbour-joining tree of C. subtriflorum and C. sylvaticum was inferred, 311 monomorphic bands or those present or absent in only one individual were excluded. Before the NeighbourNet of C. subtriflorum was constructed, 71 additional monomorphic bands have been excluded.

The neighbour-joining tree (Fig. 3a) showed a clear separation between C. subtriflorum and C. sylvaticum with
were significant (Welch’s two-sample t test, Fig. 4). Differences in mean RGS values of both species between 0.297 and 0.309 (Table 1, C. sylvaticum and that of C. subtriflorum populations ranged between 0.243 and 0.285, p < 0.001). The RGS values of C. subtriflorum (n = 9; Shapiro–Wilk, p = 0.8266) and C. sylvaticum (n = 5; Shapiro–Wilk, p = 0.8434) showed a normal distribution. Mean RGS of C. subtriflorum populations ranged between 0.243 and 0.285, and that of C. sylvaticum between 0.297 and 0.309 (Table 1, Fig. 4). Differences in mean RGS values of both species were significant (Welch’s two-sample t test, p = 1.11 × 10−5, p < 0.001).

Morphological differentiation between Cerastium subtriflorum and C. sylvaticum

For all characters excluding capsule and seed characters, measured values and ratios are presented in Online Resource 4; for capsule and seed character they are given in Online Resource 5. The first three axes of the PCA of all characters excluding capsule and seed characters explained 26.14, 13.04 and 7.76% of the total variation (Fig. 5a). A clear separation between C. subtriflorum (Fig. 3c) and C. sylvaticum (Fig. 3d) was obvious along the first axis, whereas there was no visible pattern along the second and third axes. The characters contributing most to the separation along the first axis, i.e. those having highest component scores (between 0.62 and 0.90), were petal and sepal characters (petal length, width of petal lobe, ratio petal length/sepal length), leaf characters (length of a mid-stem leaf, width of a mid-stem leaf, angle of the base of a mid-stem leaf, width of a lowermost leaf, petiole length of a lowermost leaf), stem height and trichome characters (number of eglandular hairs on the margin of a mid-stem leaf along 1 mm just below the tip of the leaf, length of the longest trichome on the margin of a mid-stem leaf along 1 mm just below the tip of the leaf and number of glandular hairs on the

Relative genome size estimation

The RGS values of C. subtriflorum (n = 9; Shapiro–Wilk, p = 0.8266) and C. sylvaticum (n = 5; Shapiro–Wilk, p = 0.8434) showed a normal distribution. Mean RGS of C. subtriflorum populations ranged between 0.243 and 0.285, and that of C. sylvaticum between 0.297 and 0.309 (Table 1, Fig. 4). Differences in mean RGS values of both species were significant (Welch’s two-sample t test, p = 1.11 × 10−5, p < 0.001).
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1.3

Diversification of Cerastium sylvaticum and Cerastium subtriflorum was studied using a variety of morphological characters. Wilks' Lambda (\(\chi^2 = 114.08; df = 41\)) and another statistical test (significance 0; \(p < 0.0001\)) based on the same set of characters showed a clear differentiation between the two species with the same characters contributing most strongly to the separation. The highest scores (between 0.20 and 0.32) were reached by petiole length of a lowermost leaf, number of glandular hairs on the stem along 1 mm just below a mid-stem leaf, width of petal lobe, petal length, length of the longest trichome on the margin of a mid-stem leaf along 1 mm just below the tip of the leaf and ratio petal length/sepal length, followed by the ratio distance from the basis to the widest part of the petal/petal length, width of hyaline margin of sepal and number of eglandular hairs on the stem along 1 mm just below a mid-stem leaf.

The PCA of stolon leaf (SL) characters also showed a clear separation between Cerastium subtriflorum and Cerastium sylvaticum.

Fig. 3 Relationships between Cerastium subtriflorum (c) and Cerastium sylvaticum (d) inferred with AFLP data. a Neighbour-joining tree. b Neighbour-Net diagram of Cerastium subtriflorum. Population identifiers correspond to Online Resource 1 and Fig. 1. Photographs by M. J. Kocjan

Fig. 4 Relative genome size variation in Cerastium subtriflorum and Cerastium sylvaticum as in Table 1. Population numbers correspond to Online Resource 1 and Fig. 1. Shown are population mean values.

stem along 1 mm just below a mid-stem leaf). Also the DA (Wilks’ Lambda = 0.033; \(\chi^2 = 114.08; df = 41\); significance 0; \(p < 0.0001\); Fig. 5b) based on the same set of characters showed a clear differentiation between the two species with the same characters as in the PCA contributing most strongly to the separation. The highest scores (between 0.20 and 0.32) were reached by petiole length of a lowermost leaf, number of glandular hairs on the stem along 1 mm just below a mid-stem leaf, width of petal lobe, petal length, length of the longest trichome on the margin of a mid-stem leaf along 1 mm just below the tip of the leaf and ratio petal length/sepal length, followed by the ratio distance from the basis to the widest part of the petal/petal length, width of hyaline margin of sepal and number of eglandular hairs on the stem along 1 mm just below a mid-stem leaf.

The PCA of stolon leaf (SL) characters also showed a clear separation between Cerastium subtriflorum and Cerastium sylvaticum.
The first three PCA axes explained 52.73, 22.79 and 12.25% of the total variation (Fig. 5c). The characters contributing most to the separation along the first axis, i.e. those having highest component scores (between 0.77 and 0.88), were width of a stolon leaf, ratio length of a stolon leaf/width of a stolon leaf and petiole length of a stolon leaf. The same variables had the highest scores (between 0.56 and 0.78) in the DA (Wilks’ Lambda = 0.318; $\chi^2 = 34.949; df = 7$; significance 0.00; $p < 0.0001$), even though there was some overlap between the scores of the discriminant functions of *C. subtriflorum* and *C. sylvaticum* (Fig. 5d).

The PCA and the DA of capsule and seed characters (Wilks’ Lambda = 0.405; $\chi^2 = 29.838; df = 14$; significance 0.08; $p > 0.0001$) did not show a separation between the two species (not shown).

**Fig. 5** Morphological differentiation between *Cerastium subtriflorum* (black) and *C. sylvaticum* (white), overlap of both in d is in grey. a Principal component analysis (PCA); b histogram of discriminant analysis (DA) of all characters except stolon leaf, capsule and seed characters. c PCA; d histogram of DA of stolon leaf characters

**Discussion**

South-eastern Alpine endemic *Cerastium subtriflorum* and more widespread *C. sylvaticum* are sister species, which are genetically (Figs. 2, 3) and morphologically (Fig. 5) clearly divergent and differ significantly also in their genome size (Fig. 4). The relationships to other *Cerastium* taxa remain unclear, but they clearly do not belong to the *C. alpinum* L. (= *C. lanuginosum*; tetraploid *C. eriophorum* from this group was included in the analyses) and *C. latifolium* alliances (Fig. 2) as suggested previously (Reichenbach 1841; Graebner and Correns 1918; Niketić 2007). In the ITS tree *C. fontanum* was positioned among the out-group taxa, whereas in the plastid tree it was included in the clade with *C. subtriflorum* and *C. sylvaticum*. However, in a
phylogenetic tree based on a denser taxonomic and population sampling (Frajman, unpublished results) accessions of the _C. fontanum_ alliance appeared more distant. _Cerastium subtriflorum_ and _C. sylvaticum_ thus do not belong to _C_. sect. _Caespitosa_ as suggested by Božo Friedrich (1969), but rather form an independent evolutionary lineage within European tetraploid _Cerastium_.

Whereas _C. sylvaticum_ is a typical forest understory species, _C. subtriflorum_ inhabits exposed cliffs and rocks in the forests, but also rocky habitats above the timberline in the Julian Alps. Whereas the distribution of _C. sylvaticum_ in the central part of its distribution area is more or less continuous, that of _C. subtriflorum_ is split into three main disjunct areas, in the Julian Alps, in the Kamnik area and in the Sava valley (populations 1–2, 5–6 and 7–9, respectively, Fig. 1). The continuous distribution likely enables gene flow in _C. sylvaticum_, resulting in low genetic divergence in ITS sequences and presence of only one haplotype within the central part of the species’ distribution. It is also likely that _C. sylvaticum_ persisted Pleistocene glaciations in a single refugium in this area. Magri et al. (2006) suggested that beech (_Fagus sylvatica_) forests spread to Central and Northern Europe from their refugium in the northernmost Balkan Peninsula and it is plausible that also _C. sylvaticum_ followed the same route, along with other understory species such as _Cyclamen purpurascens_ (Slovak et al. 2012), _Veronica chamaedrys_ (Bardy et al. 2010) and _Knautia drymeia_ (Reštenik et al. 2016). To get a better understanding of the evolutionary history of _C. sylvaticum_, additional populations from the entire distribution area would need to be sampled.

Despite the fact that the area of _C. subtriflorum_ sampled for genetic analyses is comparable to that of _C. sylvaticum_ (Fig. 1), there is much higher diversity of ribotypes and haplotypes in this species (Fig. 2). Whether this difference between _C. sylvaticum_ and _C. subtriflorum_ results from the presently continuous vs. fragmented distribution ranges or rather from survival in one vs. several glacial refugia remains unclear. As populations of _C. subtriflorum_ are small and fragmented, stochasticity of genetic drift (faster fixation or elimination of mutations) is likely more pronounced (Freeland et al. 2011; Masel 2011). On the other hand, multiple Pleistocene refugia have been suggested for several species in the western Balkan Peninsula (e.g. Surina et al. 2011) and the present three disjunct distribution areas might have been a result of vicariance in the Pleistocene. Whereas the sequence data are inconclusive regarding the relationships among the three population groups, the AFLP NeighbourNet shows that population 6 is nested within populations 1–4 from the Julian Alps, whereas the Sava valley populations (7–9) are separated by several shared splits (Fig. 3b). High genetic divergence of population 8 from the Sava valley indicated by a long split from the other populations as well as 100% BS support in the AFLP NJ tree (Fig. 3a, b) is difficult to explain, as the species is rather continuously distributed in this area of the Sava river valley. Some specimens collected from this locality deposited at the herbarium W have intermediate characters between _C. subtriflorum_ and _C. sylvaticum_, and it might be that ancient hybridisation caused the genetic peculiarity of this population, which was probably maintained due to limited gene flow with other surrounding populations.

Both studied species often grow in close vicinity (authors’ personal observation) in the areas where their distribution ranges overlap (Fig. 1). Hybridisation between _Cerastium_ species was suggested to be relatively common (Buschmann 1938; Khalaf and Stace 2000; Niketić 2007) and is evidenced also by our data. Whereas there is no strong indication of hybridisation in the ITS and AFLP data, in the plastid tree (Fig. 2) population 6 of _C. subtriflorum_ from central Slovenia is positioned within the _C. sylvaticum_ clade. Such a discordant pattern between the nuclear and the plastid phylogeny might result from chloroplast capture (Rieseberg and Soltis 1991; Tisitrone et al. 2003; Frajman et al. 2009) via hybridisation, that is, recent introgression of _C. sylvaticum_ into population 6 of _C. subtriflorum_. Also in the AFLP NJ tree this population is the most divergent, which might also be caused by hybridisation with _C. sylvaticum_. There are also some morphological features, which might indicate a hybrid origin of this population. The petal length is close to the minimal length for _C. subtriflorum_ (_C. sylvaticum_ has shorter petals than _C. subtriflorum_), the lowermost leaves on a lateral shoot are slightly petiolate (which is characteristic for _C. sylvaticum_) and glandular hairs on a leaf margin are absent; the latter is characteristic for _C. sylvaticum_, but present also in some _C. subtriflorum_ individuals from other populations.

**Taxonomic treatment**

In agreement with previous studies (Peverina 1982; Jalas 1993; Vreš 1996, 2007), the main morphological characters distinguishing the two species are petal size and the shape of lowermost stem and stolon leaves, which are petiolate in _C. sylvaticum_ and sessile in _C. subtriflorum_. Moreover, _C. subtriflorum_ individuals growing in lowlands have mainly glandular hairs on the stem, but those growing above the timberline usually lack them, having only eglandular ones, which renders them similar to _C. sylvaticum_. Some additional characters with discriminatory value between the two species are shown in Online Resource 6 and are included in the identification key and the species descriptions below. In cases when both length and width are listed, they always appear in this order.
Identification key

Although trait values of some characters overlap, a combination of characters allows straightforward discrimination between the two taxa. The most discriminating characters are bold.

1a. 9.5) 17–41.5 (50) cm high perennial with 0–1 (2) glandular hairs on the stem along a 1-mm section below a mid-stem leaf. Mid-stem leaves (16.3) 25.3–47.2 (56.5) × (6.6) 7.5–16.3 (17.9) mm, with attenuate to cuneate basis. Lowermost leaves petiolate with (2.3) 5.1–13.8 (16.0)-mm-long petioles, (4.1) 4.8–10.4 (13.4) mm wide. Stolon leaves with (1.7) 5.3–10.8 (13.0)-mm-long petioles, (4.5) 5.9–15.3 (18.5) mm wide, (1.3) 1.5–2.5 (2.7) times longer than wider. Petals (5.1) 5.3–8.0 (8.3) mm long, 1.1–1.7 (2.2) times longer than sepals, petal lobes (0.8) 0.9–1.5 (1.6) mm wide .......................................................... C. sylvaticum

1b. (5) 6.5–27.5 (30) cm high perennial with 0–16 (17) glandular hairs on the stem along a 1-mm section below a mid-stem leaf. Mid-stem leaves (8.1) 11.6–31.5 (33.3) × (3.1) 4.1–12.1 (15.0) mm, with rounded to attenuate basis. Lowermost leaves sessile, (2.6) 3.0–7.0 (8.6) mm wide. Stolon leaves with 0–5.6 (5.7)-mm-long petioles, (2.9) 3.0–7.1 (7.2) mm wide, 2.1–3.3 (3.4) times longer than wide. Petals (7.3) 7.4–11.8 (12.4) mm long, (1.4) 1.5–2.6 times longer than sepals, petal lobes (1.0) 1.3–2.6 (2.8) mm wide .......................................................... C. subtriflorum

Cerastium subtriflorum (Rchb.) Pacher, Jahrb. Naturh. Landesmus. Kärnten 18: 104. 1886. ≡ C. lanuginosum var. subtriflorum Rchb., Icon. Fl. Germ. Helv. 5: 38. 1842. ≡ Cerastium latifolium var. subtriflorum (Rchb.) Rchb., Icon. Fl. Germ. Helv. 5: 38. 1842. ≡ Cerastium alpinum var. subtriflorum (Rchb.) Fiori & Paol., Fl. Ital. 1: 353. 1898.—TYPE: [Slovenia] “Krain, Mangart-Berg”, H. Freyer in Fl. Germ. Exsiccata, Centuria 15, collection number 1493 (lectotype designated here: PRC 456663 [photo!]; isolecotypetype: LJM!; no original material found at B, M, W, WU).

= Cerastium sylvaticum Beck, Österr. Bot. Zeitschr. 58: 1. 1908.—TYPE: [Slovenia] “Küst enland, Modreja”, 23 May 1907. G. Beck (lectotype designated here: PRC 456594 [photo!]; isolecotypes: LIU, PR, PRC, TSB, W, WU; several syntypes in PRC [photo!]).

= Cerastium sylvaticum subsp. savense Gartner, Feddes Repert. (Beih.) 113: 17. 1939.—LECTOTYPE (designated here): [Slovenia] “Krain. Mit or_Fall b. Trifail”, May 1910, Arbesser (GZU 000273496 [web!]).—SYNTYPES: [Slovenia] “Steiermark. Graschnitzgraben, zw. Römerbad u. Geirach”, 3 Jun 1911, R. Czegka (GZU 000273494 [web!]); [Slovenia] “Graschnitztal bei Römerbad”, 3 Jun 1911, R. Czegka; [Slovenia] “In rupe stribus udis ad cataractam ‘Mitala’ prope Sagor”, Jul 1907, Hayek (WU 0066047!, WU 0066045 [web!], WU 0066046 [web!], GZU 000273495 [web!]).

= Cerastium sylvaticum subsp. adinense Gartner, Feddes Repert. (Beih.) 113: 18. 1939.

Description: Hirsute and glandular perennial, with ascending stems (5) 6.5–27.5 (30) cm high and 0.5–1.5 mm thick on their basis, having 4–6 (7) internodes below the main dichasium, internode adjacent to a mid-stem leaf (9) 11.8–78.8 (89) mm long. Stem along 1-mm-long section just below a mid-stem leaf with 0–16 (17) glandular and 0–9 (13) eglandular hairs, the longest being (0.3) 0.4–0.8 (1.0) mm long. Mid-stem leaves sessile, elliptical to ovate-lanceolate, (8.1) 11.6–31.5 (33.3) × (3.1) 4.1–12.1 (15.0) mm, (1.9) 2.2–3.4 (3.9) times longer than wide, widest at 0.4–0.5 (0.8) of the length, with rounded to attenuate basis with an angle of (56) 58–108 (125)° and broadly acute apex with an angle of (58) 62–91 (94)°. Upper leaf surface glabrous with 2–12 (15) eglandular hairs per mm². Margin of mid-stem leaves along a 1-mm section just below the tip of the leaf with 0–4 (8) glandular and (4) 5–13 (14) eglandular hairs, the longest being (0.5) 0.6–1.2 (1.3) mm long. Lowermost leaves sessile, elliptical to ovate-lanceolate, sometimes oblancoeurate, (7.0) 8.4–18.1 (25.4) × (2.6) 3.0–7.0 (8.6) mm, (2.0) 2.3–3.6 (4.4) times longer than wide, widest at 0.4–0.7 (0.8) of the length, with attenuate to cuneate, sometimes rounded basis with an angle of (28) 36–77 (93)° and broadly acute apex with an angle of (71) 74–112 (114)°; upper leaf surface glabrous. Stolon leaves elliptical to ovate-lanceolate, (7.2) 7.4–20.6 (20.9) × (2.9) 3.0–7.1 (7.2) mm, 2.1–3.3 (3.4) times longer than wide, widest at 0.5–0.6 of the length, with 0–5.6 (5.7)-mm-long petioles. Leaf blade basis attenuate to cuneate with an angle of (42) 43–87 (91)° and broadly acute apex with an angle of (71) 72–115 (116)°; upper leaf surface glabrous. Inflorescence (18) 22.6–112.6 (134) mm long, with peduncles of terminal flowers (9) 12.1–37.2 (40) mm long, with (10) 11–19 (21) trichomes along 1-mm section. Sepals (3.7) 4.0–5.3 (5.5) × 1.3–2.0 mm, (0.4) 2.1–3.5 (3.8) times longer than wide, widest at 0.4–0.5 (0.6) of the length, with 0.3–0.5 mm wide hyaline margin, hairy with 0.2–0.4-mm-long trichomes. Petals (7.3) 7.4–11.8 (12.4) × (2.0) 2.5–4.6 (5.2) mm, deeply emarginated, petal lobes (1.0) 1.3–2.6 (2.8) mm wide. Petals (1.4) 1.5–2.6 times longer than sepals, (2.0) 2.1–3.2 (3.6) times longer than wide, widest at 0.6–0.8 of the length, from the basis to the incision (3.9) 4.8–7.7 (8.3) mm long, which corresponds to (0.4) 0.5–0.7 of the total petal length. Capsules 6.3–9.7 (10.5) × (2.0) 2.6–3.5 (3.8) mm, (2.2) 2.3–3.2 (3.6) times longer than wide, widest at 0.3–0.5 of the length, slightly curved to straight. Capsule teeth 1.0–1.6 (1.7) mm long and
Habitats: From lowlands to the (sub)alpine belt at around 2000 m, on calcareous substrate. In forests on humid rocky places and on rocky (sub)alpine grasslands and screes.

**Cerastium sylvaticum** Waldst. & Kit., Descr. Ic. Pl. Rar. Hungar. 1: 100, t 97. 1802. — LECTOTYPE: “Hungarn”, s.d., Waldstein (PR 502329/746 [photo!], plate 11, selected/ designed by Chrtek and Škočdopola (1982)). — SYNTYPE: “Ungarn”. *P. Kitaibel* (WU 0066043 [web!]).

≡ *Cerastium microcarpum* Kit., Kanitz, Linnaea 32:523. 1863. — TYPE: “Capsula subrotunda minima. A Wolny cum queastione an Cerastium”, Herbar Kitaibel Mus. Nat. Hung fasc. XIII, no. 389 [https://gallery.hungaricana.hu/en/Herbarium/3535] (lectotype designated here: BP s.n. [web!]).

≡ *Cerastium umbrosum* Kit., Kanitz, Linnaea 32:515–516. 1863. ≡ *Cerastium sylvaticum* subsp. *umbrosum* (Kit.) Beck, Fl. Nieder-Österreich: 368. 1890. — TYPE: [Croatia] “In monte Merszin”, Herbar Kitaibel Mus. Nat. Hung fasc. XIII, no. 350 [https://gallery.hungaricana.hu/en/Herbarium/3561] (lectotype designated here: BP s.n. [web!]).

Description: Hirsute and glandular perennial, with ascending stems (9.5) 17–41.5 (50) cm high and 0.5–2 mm thick on their basis, having (4) 5–7 (8) internodes below the main dichasium, internode adjacent to a mid-stem leaf (14) 26.8–100 (115) mm long. Stem along a 1-mm section just below a mid-stem leaves with 0–1 (2) glandular and 5–12 (13) eglandular hairs, the longest being (0.5) 0.6–0.8 (0.9) mm long. Mid-stem leaves sessile, elliptical to lanceolate-elliptical, (16.3) 25.3–47.2 (56.5) × (6.6) 7.5–16.3 (17.9) mm wide, (2.3) 2.4–3.9 (4.2) times longer than wide, widest at 0.5–0.6 (0.7) of the length, with attenuate to cuneate basis with an angle of (33) 36–71 (89)° and broadly acute to broadly acuminate apex with an angle of (53) 55–91 (104)°. Upper leaf surface glabrous with 2–7 (10) eglandular hairs per mm². Margin of mid-stem leaves along a 1-mm section just below the tip of the leaf with 0–1 glandular and 3–8 eglandular hairs, the longest being (0.2) 0.4–0.7 (0.9) mm long. Lowermost leaves petiolate with (2.3) 5.1–13.8 (16.0)-mm-long petioles, ob lanceolate to spatulate, (12.5) 12.9–25.8 (32.0) × (4.1) 4.8–10.4 (13.4) mm, (1.7) 1.9–3.3 (3.4) times longer than widest, widest at 0.5–0.7 (0.8) of the length, with

attenuate to cuneate basis with an angle of (34) 41–66 (72)° and broadly acute to broadly acuminate apex with an angle of (62) 70–114 (121)°. Stolon leaves oval to ovate, sometimes lanceolate to obo lanceolate, (11.8) 12.0–24.7 (30.6) × (4.5) 5.9–15.3 (18.5) mm, (1.3) 1.5–2.5 (2.7) times longer than wide, widest at 0.4–0.6 of the length with (1.7) 5.3–10.8 (13.0)-mm-long petioles. Leaf blade basis attenuate to cuneate with an angle of (43) 47–95 (116)° and broadly acute to mucronate apex with an angle of (75) 78–121 (124)°. Inflorescence (20) 27.6–138.8 (225) mm long, with peduncles of terminal flowers (9) 13.1–33.4 (49.5) mm long, with (10) 11–19 (24) trichomes along a 1-mm-long section. Sepals (3.1) 3.6–5.8 (6.5) × 1.1–1.8 (1.9) mm, (2.6) 2.7–4.0 (4.6) times longer than wide, widest at (0.3) 0.4–0.5 (0.6) of the length, with (0.1) 0.2–0.4-mm-long trichomes. Petals (5.1) 5.3–8.0 (8.3) × (1.5) 2.0–3.0 (3.6) mm, deeply emarginate, petal lobes (0.8) 0.9–1.5 (1.6) mm wide. Petals 1.1–1.7 (2.2) times longer than sepals, (2.2) 2.3–3.5 (3.9) times longer than wide, widest at (0.4) 0.5–0.7 of the length, from the basis to the incision (3.1) 3.2–4.8 (5.2) mm long, which corresponds to 0.5–0.7 of the total petal length. Capsules (5.7) 6.0–9.4 (10.2) × (2.0) 2.3–3.5 (3.6) mm, (2.0) 2.2–3.3 (3.4) times longer than wide, widest at (0.3) 0.4–0.6 of the length, curved to straight. Capsule teeth (0.7) 0.9–1.6 (1.9) mm long and (0.3) 0.4–0.6 mm wide, (1.8) 2.0–2.9 (3.2) times longer than wide. Seeds 0.8–1.2 (1.4) × (0.7) 0.7–0.9 (1.0) mm, (1.0) 1.1–1.4 (1.5) times longer than wide, with tubercles (0.05) 0.05–0.08 mm long and (0.06) 0.08–0.147 (0.16) mm wide.

Distribution area: Central and eastern Europe (Slovenia, Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia, Montenegro, Austria, Czech Republic, Slovakia, Hungary) and disjunctly in Albania, Italy, Romania, SW Ukraine, Moldova, N Poland (Jalas and Suominen 1983; Fig. 1).

Habitats: From lowlands to 700 m; shady and humid forests and scrublands, forest margins, along streams and rivers.
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