Light charged clusters emitted in 32 MeV/nucleon $^{136,124}$Xe+$^{124,112}$Sn reactions: chemical equilibrium, $^3$He and $^6$He production.
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Nuclear particle production from peripheral to central events is presented. N/Z gradient between projectile and target is studied using the fact that two reactions have the same projectile+target N/Z and so the same neutron to proton ratio for the combined system. Inclusive data study in the forward part of the center of mass indicates that N/Z equilibration between the projectile-like and the target-like is achieved for central collisions. Particles are also produced from mid-rapidity region. $^3$He mean pre-equilibrium character is evidenced and $^6$He production at mid-rapidity implies a neutron enrichment phenomenon of the projectile target interacting zone.

PACS numbers: 21.65.Cd; 21.65.Ef; 25.70.-z; 25.70.Pq

I. INTRODUCTION

The motivation for colliding nuclei at sufficient energy is to understand transport properties of nuclear matter and also study nuclear matter under extreme conditions \[1\]. These are the two inseparable faces of Heavy-Ion reaction research which are related to Dynamical and Statistical Physics.

The knowledge concerning the achieved degree of equilibration between the two main collision partners is connected to both aspects and many degrees of freedom are concerned with equilibration. At low bombarding energy \[2\] it has been shown that the N/Z ratio, isospin, is the fastest to be equilibrated. At higher bombarding energy, few tens MeV/nucleon, chemical equilibrium is driven by isospin diffusion in presence of an isospin gradient between the projectile and the target \[3\] and by isospin drift sparked by a density gradient which occurs when a low density contact zone is created between the two partners \[4\]. The degree of chemical equilibration which is the N/Z balance between the projectile and the target is thus correlated to the interaction time between the two reaction partners, i.e the time left to isospin drift and diffusion mechanisms to be fully efficient. In recent years many studies have been published concerning isospin transport in heavy ion reactions because it is connected to the knowledge of the isospin part of the equation of state and essential to resolve several issues in astrophysics (see \[5\] and references therein).

Our experimental analysis concerning isospin equilibrium may be compared with results obtained with symmetric systems at comparable bombarding energy \[6\] \[7\]. We have here followed a different approach which is based on direct and simple observations: the presented analysis does not contain any data selection and presents mean value behaviors against reaction centrality.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The 4π multi-detector INDRA \[8\] was used to study four reactions with beams of $^{136}$Xe and $^{124}$Xe, accelerated at 32 MeV/nucleon, and thin (530 µg/cm²) targets of $^{124}$Sn and $^{112}$Sn. Recorded event functionality was
activated under a triggering factor based on a minimum number of fired detectors ($M_{\text{trigger}}^{\text{min}}$) over the detector acceptance (90% of 4π). During the experiment, performed at GANIL (Caen, France), minimum bias ($M_{\text{trigger}}^{\text{min}}$=1) and exclusive ($M_{\text{trigger}}^{\text{min}}$=4) data were recorded.

For light charged particle identification, detailed in [8], two types of thresholds for H and He elements are used in this study: (i) for individual isotope characteristics, as multiplicities, only fully identified particles (A and Z) are taken into account, (ii) for global light charged particle variables, as light charged particle total transverse energy, solely Z identified particles are also included. For solely Z identified particles, A=1, 4 is respectively assigned to all H, He elements and this increases by about 4%, 3% respectively the studied $^1$H, $^4$He populations as compared to fully identified one.

This study is limited to the forward part of the center of mass (hereinafter called c.m.) and all figures, tables and measured quantities are related to this half hemisphere. It is thus focused on the evolution of projectile-like fragment isotopic content for which the IN-DRA multi-detector, for these reactions, possesses excellent detection performances. The two studied reactions ($^{124}$Xe+$^{112}$Sn and $^{136}$Xe+$^{112}$Sn) were chosen to study the path towards chemical equilibrium since their projectile+target combined systems are identical.

### III. DETECTED CROSS-SECTIONS

For minimum bias trigger recorded events, the detected reaction cross-section is given in table [II]. The corresponding maximum impact parameter is also indicated for each studied reaction. Events with no detected light charged particle (H and He isotopes, hereinafter called lcp) in the forward part of the center of mass were eliminated. This condition ensures elimination of elastic scattering process but excludes also very peripheral reactions which lead to solely neutron evaporation. Uncharged particles are not detected by the apparatus nevertheless measured values indicate that a large fraction of the reaction cross-section has been recorded when compared to predictions of [9] (see table [I]). N-rich systems are slightly better detected than n-poor systems. This is probably caused by a smaller effect of the beam pipe dead zone for n-rich systems since very peripheral event neutron emission tends to deflect excited projectile prior lcp evaporation.

|       | Detected cross-section (mb) | $b_{\text{max}}$ (fm) | Detected cross-section (%) |
|-------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| $^{124}$Xe+$^{112}$Sn | 3550 mb | 10.6 fm | 64% |
| $^{124}$Xe+$^{124}$Sn | 3870 mb | 11.1 fm | 67% |
| $^{136}$Xe+$^{112}$Sn | 4145 mb | 11.5 fm | 72% |
| $^{136}$Xe+$^{124}$Sn | 4500 mb | 12 fm | 74% |

TABLE I. Detected reaction cross-section and corresponding maximum impact parameter for each studied reaction. Last column corresponds to detected cross-section values relative to predictions of [9]. The minimum bias samples were used.

|       | Detected cross-section (mb) | $b_{\text{max}}$ (fm) | Detected cross-section (%) |
|-------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| $124+112$ | | | |
| $124+124$ | | | |

|       | Detected cross-section (mb) | $b_{\text{max}}$ (fm) | Detected cross-section (%) |
|-------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| $124+112$ | | | |
| $124+124$ | | | |

TABLE II. Forward c.m. detected lcp cross-sections for ($\Sigma E_t^{\text{lcp}}$) > 0. Values are given for each studied reaction ($^{124}$Xe+$^{112}$Sn, etc...) and each type of fully identified lcp. Last line corresponds to the sum of lcp cross-sections for each system. Statistical errors are given in parenthesis. The minimum bias samples were used.

Forward c.m. detected cross-sections are given in table [II] for each type of lcp. The total lcp cross-section values are presented in the last line for each system and they show an almost, within 6%, system independence while the chemistry of lcp production is largely system dependent. As a matter of fact, increasing the neutron richness of the combined projectile-target system, we notice the following points: (i) n-poor particle ($^1$H, $^3$He) production decreases, (ii) $^4$He production is not strongly sensitive to N/Z change and it becomes the most produced particle, (iii) $^2$H production increases while almost an equal value is measured for the two identical combined-N/Z systems, (iv) n-rich particle ($^3$H, $^6$He) production largely increases - the cross-section values are doubled. Thus changing projectile and target N/Z, isotope production cannot be summed up in solely neutron production differences and these chemical modifications are the basic scope of this study.

### IV. IMPACT PARAMETER EVALUATOR AND MIXED SAMPLES

Light charged particle total transverse energy ($\langle \Sigma E_t^{\text{lcp}} \rangle_{FwCM}$) distributions are displayed in figure [II]left for the four reactions under minimum bias triggering condition. For very low $\langle \Sigma E_t^{\text{lcp}} \rangle_{FwCM}$ values, the cross-section is system dependent, it increases with the mass
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FIG. 1. Top: sum of light charged particle transverse energy distribution for the four studied reactions. Light charged particles refer to H and He isotopes detected in the forward part of the center of mass (minimum bias sample). A zoom of the left part of the figure is also shown. Bottom: relationship between the impact parameter evaluator and the reduced impact parameter for the four studied reactions (minimum bias samples).

of the system (see inset panel). For a transverse energy greater than 60 MeV the $^{136}$Xe+$^{112}$Sn and $^{124}$Xe+$^{124}$Sn distributions are identical. $^{124}$Xe+$^{112}$Sn distribution merges the two identical ones at 100 MeV and finally all distributions behaves the same for values greater than 150 MeV. This reflects the behavior of particle production related to nucleon exchanges between the projectile and the target as a function of impact parameter and the $(\Sigma E_t)^{lp}_{FWCM}$ observable has been used, in the following, as an impact parameter evaluator [10].

The relationship between $(\Sigma E_t)^{lp}_{FWCM}$ and the reduced impact parameter is given in figure 1(right) using the technique of reference [11] with minimum bias trigger condition data. It is observed that the 150 MeV value of the lcp transverse energy from which all $(\Sigma E_t)^{lp}_{FWCM}$ distributions are identical corresponds to a 0.3 reduced impact parameter value, thus central collision events.

Figure 2 presents $^2$H Galilean invariant center of mass velocity contour plots for different impact parameter evaluator gates from peripheral to central $^{124}$Xe+$^{124}$Sn collisions. Emission from excited projectile-like fragment (PLF) is observed and is centered on a velocity value which evolves with impact parameter. This emission is increasing with the damping of the PLF velocity indicating an increase of the PLF excitation energy. The particle production whose velocity is located between the Projectile-like and the target-like fragment (TLF) velocities is referred as mid-rapidity production and it reflects the dynamical nature of the process which occurs between the two partners during the collision $^{12}$. At this bombarding energy the mid-rapidity region is populated by PLF and TLF emission and remnants of the contact region between the PLF and TLF where exchange of nucleons occurs between the two main partners. Mid-rapidity, or neck, has been evidenced in heavy-ion collisions ($^{12}$ and references therein) and was studied for Xe+Sn system at different bombarding energies $^{13}$. Projectile/target nucleon exchange and mid-rapidity zone chemical composition is mainly governed by diffusion and drift isospin transport phenomena at this bombarding energy $^{5}$ $^{4}$.

Figure 2 also indicates that focusing on lcp production at different center of mass polar angle ranges it is possible to approximately select projectile-like de-excitation (0°-30°) or mid-rapidity (60°-90°) populations. Whatever the lcp production mode (evaporation, simultaneous production, secondary decay,...) the global production is linked to the (neutron, proton) composition of the concerned angular zone and these angular selections will be used in the following for average behavior of both mentioned populations. More sophisticated selection methods exist for projectile-like de-excitation characterization but they only apply to very exclusive data $^{14}$.

A 4π multi-detector is necessary to study reaction mechanisms but it implies a large flux of data during the experiment. This large flux generally causes large acquisition dead time. This issue is solved by reducing the beam intensity but longer run periods are necessary.
A good compromise is to use exclusive data recording but one has to be able to verify that a correct sampling is achieved.

In our case most of the running period was done with $M_{\text{trigger}}^{\text{min}}=4$. Correct sampling check is done by applying, off-line, the exclusive trigger condition to minimum bias trigger ($M_{\text{trigger}}^{\text{min}}=1$) recorded events and by comparing the selected impact parameter evaluator distribution to the original one. The sampling correctness is thus valued over the whole impact parameter range.

The ratio of the two distributions is presented in figure 3 for the four studied systems. It is seen that for $M_{\text{trigger}}^{\text{min}}=4$, condition, the level of eliminated events is kept below 3% for $(\Sigma E_{t})_{\text{FWwCM}}^{lcp}$ greater than 70 MeV for the four systems. Below this value the $M_{\text{trigger}}^{\text{min}}=4$ sampling depends on impact parameter evaluator and is also system dependent. This implies studying very peripheral (b/b$_{\text{max}}$ greater than about 0.7) events is not possible with $M_{\text{trigger}}^{\text{min}}=4$ running condition.

Most of the data taking was performed with $M_{\text{trigger}}^{\text{min}}=4$ condition and thus for statistics purpose it may be convenient to mix inclusive and exclusive samples. A correct sampling ensemble is realized by mixing $M_{\text{trigger}}^{\text{min}}=1$ sample for $(\Sigma E_{t})_{\text{FWwCM}}^{lcp}$ lower than 70 MeV and $M_{\text{trigger}}^{\text{min}}=4$ sample for $(\Sigma E_{t})_{\text{FWwCM}}^{lcp}$ greater than 70 MeV. In the following this mixed sample will be used when necessary.

V. LIGHT CHARGED PARTICLE PRODUCTION

The forward center of mass lcp production is displayed in figure 4 for each studied system as a function of the impact parameter evaluator (minimum bias samples).

From figure 4, it is possible to extract informations concerning N/Z equilibration selecting forward part center of mass emitted lcp.

The forward center of mass lcp production is largely dominated by $^3\text{He}$ production. $^4\text{He}$ production decreases and is partly replaced by cluster emission for smaller impact parameters while pions lcp production is achieved for more central collisions ($(\Sigma E_{t})_{\text{FWwCM}}^{lcp}$ about 100 MeV). This implies that global cross sections given in table II are largely influenced by lcp production around 0.5 reduced impact parameter. The figure indicates three types of behavior against N/Z: (i) $^3\text{He}$ production is projectile dependent for almost all impact parameters, (ii) symmetric lcp ($^2\text{H}$ and $^4\text{He}$) production evolves from projectile dependence to system independence from peripheral to central reactions, (iii) n-rich lcp ($^5\text{He}$ and $^6\text{He}$) and $^1\text{H}$ production evolves from projectile dependence to combined system dependence from peripheral to central reactions.

Cross-section values reflect the production probabilities folded by the reaction cross-section. Therefore those values cannot be used directly to study chemical composition of the four exit channel reactions. Nevertheless from figure 5, it was noticed an identification of $^{124}\text{Xe}^+{^{124}\text{Sn}}$ and $^{136}\text{Xe}^+{^{112}\text{Sn}}$ system reaction cross sections for $(\Sigma E_{t})_{\text{FWwCM}}^{lcp} > 60$ MeV, whereas all studied system reaction cross sections are the same for $(\Sigma E_{t})_{\text{FWwCM}}^{lcp} > 150$ MeV. This means that from a simple direct measurement, figure 5, it is possible to extract informations concerning N/Z equilibration selecting forward part center of mass emitted lcp.
The lcp yields were presented in figure 4. The production probabilities, thus unfold by the reaction cross-section, are presented in figure 5 supplied as mean multiplicities relative to the impact parameter evaluator. It is seen that all mean multiplicities increase with decreasing impact parameter. Decreasing the impact parameter, the whole system is more and more excited and particle multiplicities increase. Because the impact parameter evaluator and lcp multiplicities are self-correlated, multiplicity increasing never ceases in figure 5. By comparing the values between the four systems it is possible to extract general evolutions. For very peripheral collisions, data are grouped in two categories (black points and white points, \(^{136}\text{Xe}\) and \(^{124}\text{Xe}\) projectiles respectively). In that case, the multiplicity evolution depends on the nature of the projectile. Decreasing the impact parameter, particle production deviates from this first order target independent behavior towards a dependence on the combined (projectile+target) system N/Z. This is evidenced by almost identical production, for central collisions, of most of the isotopes for \(^{124}\text{Xe}+^{124}\text{Sn}\) and \(^{136}\text{Xe}+^{112}\text{Sn}\) systems. This evolution is visible because only forward c.m. lcp production is shown. For \(^2\text{H}\) and \(^4\text{He}\) multiplicities evolve towards almost identical mean values for the four studied systems. \(^3\text{He}\) multiplicity behavior is different since it presents a trend linked to memory of projectile N/Z for all impact parameters.

Figure 6 displays the ratios of mean multiplicities between the two identical global N/Z systems as a function of the impact parameter evaluator. The ratios present the data in two pictures, a constant value (1 or 2) is added to certain ratios (mixed samples).

The evolution from peripheral to central events with the n-rich projectile system in the denominator thus n-rich particle ratios evolve from below unity towards unity values. This evolution is inverted for n-poor particle ratios while symmetric particle ratios do not present an identical evolution as a function of centrality. Except for \(^3\text{He}\), as previously stated, all ratios are close to unity for \((\Sigma E_t)_{\text{lcp}}^{\text{fwcm}}\) greater than about 130 MeV.

For very peripheral collisions the \(^2\text{H}\) and \(^4\text{He}\) ratios present a striking behavior since they first increase and then decrease with the impact parameter evaluator. In this study, peripheral reactions leading to only neutron production are excluded and therefore the mean lcp multiplicities of figure 6 are overestimated if that figure is interpreted as mean multiplicities versus impact parameter. Obviously this overestimation is depending on the neutron richness of the projectile and not only \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^4\text{He}\) multiplicities are affected. The figure 6 is correct, only its representation in term of impact parameter is not pertinent for \((\Sigma E_t)_{\text{lcp}}^{\text{fwcm}}\) below about 30 MeV. Above this value, it is expected that all reaction processes produce at least one charged particle.

VI. CLUSTER ABUNDANCE RATIOS

In the previous section it was indicated a mean multiplicity overestimation problem for very peripheral reactions if we think in terms of impact parameter dependence.
The two reactions \( ^{124}\text{Xe}+^{124}\text{Sn} \) and \( ^{136}\text{Xe}+^{112}\text{Sn} \) leading to the same projectile+target combined system were chosen to study the path towards chemical equilibrium. Comparing mean cluster abundance ratios we did not measure exactly the same values for the two systems. The original idea was neglecting pre-equilibrium particle emission which could be different for the two systems and thus explain the slight measured differences (few %). We will demonstrate this point in the next paragraph using \(^3\text{He} \) production. Nevertheless the fact remains that measured cluster abundance ratio values between the two systems are so close, comparing to \( ^{124}\text{Xe}+^{112}\text{Sn} \) and \( ^{136}\text{Xe}+^{124}\text{Sn} \) systems, that the assumption of chemical equilibrium achievement is justified since abundance ratios are largely global (projectile+target) \( N/Z \) dependent.

### VII. THE HELION CASE

The peculiar characteristic of \(^3\text{He} \), as compared to other lcp, produced in collisions between heavy targets with proton, light or heavy projectiles has been pointed out in \([16, 18, 19, 20, 21]\) and was previously indicated in this article.

Figure 9 shows studied system mean helion multiplicities and abundance ratios for the two center of mass lcp polar angular ranges (projectile-like de-excitation and mid-rapidity populations) as a function of impact parameter evaluator. The figure shows that helion production is very different as compared to other lcp presented in...
First, for all impact parameters the behavior between 0°-30° and 60°-90° populations is not the same. Secondly, when comparing the different system productions it is also observed that:

- The mean multiplicities remain largely projectile dependent for the projectile-like population while it is total system (projectile+target) dependent for the mid-rapidity population. This is true whatever the impact parameter is. In both cases n-poor system favors helium production.

- Looking at $^3$He abundance ratios one observes that (i) projectile-like population remains largely projectile dependent for the whole impact parameter range, (ii) mid-rapidity population is independent of the reaction system for all impact parameters except to a certain extent for very peripheral collisions.

Putting together multiplicity and abundance ratio results, it is then observed that helium production conserves a footprint of initial projectile N/Z for the 0°-30° domain while it depends on the size (not N/Z) of the overlapping region between the projectile and the target for the 60°-90° domain.

Isospin diffusion and drift are driving the chemical equilibrium process. The latter phenomenon causes a neutron enrichment of the mid-rapidity zone ([22], [23] and references therein) while the isospin diffusion tends to N/Z equilibrium between projectile and target collision partners. The observed results imply an average helium production prior chemical equilibrium achievement. For the case of mid-rapidity region, the neutron enrichment which occurs for all studied reactions disadvantages helium production when it becomes efficient. Keeping in mind that mean values are studied, these observations do not imply that all helium are produced before drift and diffusion mechanisms become fully effective, they rather imply that helium production is strongly reduced when those mechanisms acts.

The mean pre-equilibrium character of $^3$He and its production difference between the studied systems for the 0°-30° domain would appear to explain the small mea-
measured abundance ratio differences between $^{124}\text{Xe}+^{124}\text{Sn}$ and $^{136}\text{Xe}+^{112}\text{Sn}$ systems. Other lcp are certainly partly concerned by pre-equilibrium production which gives rise to transparency effect [24] but in average other lcp do not present this character.

VIII. MID-RAPIDITY NEUTRON ENRICHMENT AND THE $^6\text{He}$ CASE

Solid angle independence of cluster abundance ratios permits to compare directly the projectile-like and mid-rapidity mean values previously presented. The fraction between mean values of mid-rapidity and projectile-like angular regions against the impact parameter evaluator are presented in figure 10 for H and He isotopes.

Concerning the system dependence, $^3\text{He}$ fraction values reflect the $0^\circ$-$30^\circ$ abundance ratio behavior since $^3\text{He}$ abundance ratios are system independent for the $60^\circ$-$90^\circ$ populations. It is not the case for the other particles.

• $^2\text{H}$, $^3\text{He}$ and $^4\text{He}$ fractions are system independent for central collisions. Except for peripheral reactions, the $^2\text{H}$ fractions for the two populations ($0^\circ$-$30^\circ$ and $60^\circ$-$90^\circ$) are identical. To a certain extent this is also true for $^3\text{He}$ fractions. For $^4\text{He}$ fractions, some differences are observed at low $(\Sigma E_t)^{\text{lcp}}_{\text{FwCM}}$ values and the increasing behavior with impact parameter evaluator may reflect angular momentum effects since $^4\text{He}$ can remove appreciable angular momentum from the excited projectile-like.

Besides $^3\text{He}$ pre-equilibrium nature, those observations imply two different mean particle production modes for all impact parameters: projectile-like de-excitation and mid-rapidity sources whose N/Z are different for a given system and a given impact parameter. $^3\text{H}$ and $^2\text{H}$ fraction values may imply that, in average, projectile-like de-excitation process is largely dominating the production for reduced impact parameters below around 0.6. The drift phenomenon may explain the system dependence of $^6\text{He}$ fractions: the n-enrichment of mid-rapidity source dry out the projectile-like from sufficient neutron concentration to produce very rich neutron clusters, the more n-poor the system is, the more dramatic is the effect. For $^{124}\text{Xe}+^{112}\text{Sn}$ reaction this cannot be counterbalanced by the diffusion effect since the projectile and target N/Z are similar.

IX. CONCLUSION

We have presented INDRA multi-detector data acquired through 32 MeV/nucleon $^{136,124}\text{Xe}+^{124,112}\text{Sn}$ reactions. The study was restricted to forward part of the center of mass emitted light charged particles because for those particles the excellent detection performance allows to carry out inclusive analysis. Two studied reactions ($^{124}\text{Xe}+^{124}\text{Sn}$ and $^{136}\text{Xe}+^{112}\text{Sn}$) were chosen to study the degree of chemical equilibrium, i.e. the N/Z balance between the projectile and the target. This balance was studied for all type of collisions by estimating the impact parameter. Shown results concern average behaviors and no restricted selection was performed.

Light charged particle productions, multiplicities and abundance ratios dependence against impact parameter indicate that a high degree of chemical equilibrium is achieved in central collisions. This conclusion was established by measuring almost identical mean characteristics for the two $^{124}\text{Xe}+^{124}\text{Sn}$ and $^{136}\text{Xe}+^{112}\text{Sn}$ systems which are different when comparing with $^{124}\text{Xe}+^{112}\text{Sn}$ and $^{136}\text{Xe}+^{124}\text{Sn}$ systems: mean values are projectile+target N/Z dependent.
This high degree of equilibration is of the order of few % difference for $^{124}$Xe+$^{124}$Sn and $^{136}$Xe+$^{112}$Sn systems. This slight difference could be explained by pre-equilibrium particle emission whose intensity may differ for the two reactions. This point has been demonstrated using $^3$He mean characteristics which strongly differ from other lcp behaviors. It has been shown that helion production takes place before chemical equilibrium achievement.

The achieved N/Z balance between the projectile and target does not imply a pure two-body mechanism. Indeed a mid-rapidity source of lcp production does exist and its N/Z is different as compared to the projectile-like one: it is n-enriched. This point has been touched using $^6$He production which is favored by the drift phenomenon.

Our results differ from those of [6] and [7]. We recall that here raw data are used while the cited results are extracted using reconstructing methods or obtained through restricted rapidity region of the phase space.

With the advent of the wide range mass and charge identification FAZIA detector [25], this study could be extended to higher elements.

Finally we think that $^6$He and $^3$He productions may be the key for comparing data to transport model results concerning the knowledge of the equation of state and its isospin dependence.
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