Fungal LysM effectors that comprise two LysM domains bind chitin through intermolecular dimerization
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SUMMARY

Chitin is a polymer of β-(1,4)-linked N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc) and a major structural component of fungal cell walls that acts as a microbe-associated molecular pattern (MAMP) that can be recognized by plant cell surface-localized pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) to activate a wide range of immune responses. In order to deregulate chitin-induced plant immunity and successfully establish their infection, many fungal pathogens secrete effector proteins with LysM domains. We previously determined that two of the three LysM domains of the LysM effector Ecp6 from the tomato leaf mould fungus Cladosporium fulvum cooperate to form a chitin-binding groove that binds chitin with ultra-high affinity, allowing to outcompete host PRRs for chitin binding. In this study, we describe functional and structural analyses aimed to investigate whether LysM effectors that contain two LysM domains bind chitin through intramolecular or intermolecular LysM dimerization. To this end, we focus on MoSlp1 from the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae, Vd2LysM from the broad host range vascular wilt fungus Verticillium dahliae, and ChElp1 and ChElp2 from the Brassicaceae anthracnose fungus Colletotrichum higginsianum. We show that these LysM effectors bind chitin through intermolecular LysM dimerization, allowing the formation of polymeric complexes that may precipitate in order to eliminate the presence of chitin oligomers at infection sites to suppress activation of chitin-induced plant immunity. In this manner, many fungal pathogens are able to subvert chitin-triggered immunity in their plant hosts.
INTRODUCTION

Chitin is a homopolymer of β-(1,4)-linked N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc) and a major structural component of fungal cell walls (Free, 2013; Lenardon et al., 2010). Additionally, chitin has been characterized as a fungal microbe-associated molecular pattern (MAMP) that can be recognized by plant cell surface-localized pattern recognition receptors that contain extracellular LysM domains (LysM-PRRs) (Rovenich et al., 2016; Sanchez-Vallet et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2007; Zipfel, 2008). Upon recognition of chitin by such receptors, plants evoke a broad range of immune responses including the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), the activation of mitogen-associated protein kinases (MAPKs), the generation of ion fluxes and the expression of defence-related genes that include those encoding hydrolytic enzymes such as chitinases in order to halt fungal invasion (Altenbach and Robatzek, 2007; Boller and Felix, 2009; Felix et al., 1993; Jones and Dangl, 2006; Sanchez-Vallet et al., 2015). LysM-PRRs have been functionally characterized in several plants, including the model plant Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) in which the LysM receptor AtLYK5 binds chitin with high affinity (1.72 µM) and recruits AtLYK4 and AtCERK1 upon chitin elicitation to form a tripartite receptor complex to initiate chitin signalling (Cao et al., 2014). AtCERK1 was found to bind chitin directly as well, albeit with approximately 200-fold lower affinity than AtLYK5 (Cao et al., 2014; Miya et al., 2007; Petutschnig et al., 2010). Moreover, a crystal structure of the ectodomain of AtCERK1 revealed that only one out of its three LysMs (LysM2) binds chitin (Liu et al., 2012).

To avoid chitin-induced immune responses, successful fungal pathogens evolved various strategies to either protect fungal cell wall chitin against hydrolysis by host enzymes, or prevent the activation of plant immunity by fungal cell wall-derived chitin oligomers (de Jonge et al., 2010; Rovenich et al., 2014; Sanchez-Vallet et al., 2015). A
well-studied fungus for which several strategies to deal with chitin-triggered immunity have been characterized is *Cladosporium fulvum*, the fungus that causes leaf mould disease of tomato. *C. fulvum* secretes the Ecp6 effector protein during host colonization, which contains three LysMs and binds chitin oligosaccharides with ultra-high affinity, to prevent the activation of chitin-induced plant immune responses (Bolton *et al.*, 2008; de Jonge *et al.*, 2010). A crystal structure of Ecp6 revealed that two of its three LysMs cooperate to form a composite chitin-binding groove that binds chitin through intrachain LysM dimerization (Sanchez-Vallet *et al.*, 2013). The genome of another host-specific fungus, *Zymoseptoria tritici*, the causal agent of Septoria tritici blotch (STB) of wheat, encodes a close homolog of Ecp6 known as Mg3LysM that similarly suppresses chitin-triggered immunity (Marshall *et al.*, 2011). Additionally, the *Z. tritici* genome encodes two secreted effectors that carry a single LysM only. Of these, Mg1LysM was characterized to protect hyphae against hydrolysis by plant chitinases (Marshall *et al.*, 2011). An Mg1LysM crystal structure showed that two Mg1LysM monomers form a chitin-independent homodimer via the β-sheet that is present in the N-terminus of Mg1LysM (Sánchez-Vallet *et al.*, 2019). Furthermore, Mg1LysM homodimers undergo ligand-induced polymerization in the presence of chitin, leading to a polymeric structure that is able to protect fungal cell walls (Sánchez-Vallet *et al.*, 2019). In contrast to Ecp6 and Mg3LysM, Mg1LysM cannot suppress chitin-triggered immune responses in host plants (Marshall *et al.*, 2011).

Suppression of chitin-triggered immunity by secreted fungal effectors that only carry LysM domains, collectively referred to as LysM effectors, has been demonstrated for various phytopathogenic fungi by now. For instance, *Magnaporthe oryzae*, the causal agent of rice blast disease, secretes the LysM effector Slp1 to bind chitin and suppresses chitin-triggered immune responses (Mentlak *et al.*, 2012). Similarly, the Brassicaceae
anthracnose fungus *Colletotrichum higginsianum* secretes Elp1 and Elp2, while the broad
host-range vascular wilt fungus *Verticillium dahliae* secretes Vd2LysM (Kombrink *et al.*, 2017; Takahara *et al.*, 2016). While these examples are from plant-associated
Ascomycete fungi, also plant-associated fungi that belong to other phyla utilize LysM
effectors to suppress chitin-triggered immunity. For instance, the Basidiomycota soil-
borne broad host-range pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani* secretes RsLysM, while the
Glomeromycota arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Rhizophagus irregularis* secretes RiSLM
to suppress chitin-triggered immunity (Dolfors *et al.*, 2019; Zeng *et al.*, 2020). The latter
example demonstrates that also non-pathogenic fungi utilize LysM effectors in their
interaction with host plants. Moreover, the finding that LysM effectors contribute to the
virulence of the Ascomycete fungus *Beauveria bassiana* by evasion of immune responses
in insect hosts demonstrates that LysM effectors play roles in fungal interactions beyond
plant hosts (Cen *et al.*, 2017; Kombrink and Thomma, 2013). Intriguingly, almost all
characterized LysM effectors that were shown to suppress chitin-triggered immunity in
plant hosts contain two LysM domains, except for Ecp6 and Mg3LysM that possess three
LysMs, and RiSLM that possesses only one LysM.

Based on the functional analysis of *C. fulvum* Ecp6, it has been proposed that the
ability to suppress chitin-triggered immunity resides in the ability to bind chitin with
ultrahigh affinity, such that host chitin receptors can be outcompeted for substrate
binding (Sanchez-Vallet *et al.*, 2013; Sanchez-Vallet *et al.*, 2015). In Ecp6, and most likely
also in Mg3LysM, the ultrahigh affinity is mediated by intramolecular LysM dimerization
of two of the three LysM domains. However, it remains unclear whether LysM effectors
that comprise two LysMs are able to similarly undergo intramolecular LysM
dimerization, which then would allow for ultrahigh chitin-binding affinity. Thus, in order
to understand how these LysM effectors suppress chitin-triggered immunity, we
performed functional and structural analysis using several representatives of this group of LysM effectors, namely MoSlp1 from *M. oryzae*, Vd2LysM from *V. dahliae*, ChElp1 and ChElp2 from *C. higginsianum.*
RESULTS

Three-dimensional structure prediction of LysM effectors with two LysM domains

It has previously been determined that MoSlp1 from *M. oryzae*, Vd2LysM from *V. dahliae*, and ChElp1 and ChElp2 from *C. higginsianum* contain two LysM domains, bind chitin and suppress chitin-induced host immunity (Kombrink *et al.*, 2017; Mentlak *et al.*, 2012; Takahara *et al.*, 2016). Their length varies from a minimum of 145 aa (Vd2LysM) to a maximum of 176 aa (ChElp2), with the molecular weight of the mature proteins ranging from 14.24 to 16.14 kDa (Fig. 1A). An amino acid sequence alignment of the LysM domains of the LysM effectors with two LysM domains with those of *C. fulvum* Ecp6 displayed a significant conservation of the domains, and of the residues involved in chitin binding in particular (Fig. S1). Structural analysis of Ecp6 has previously revealed that the first and third LysM domain cooperate to form a composite ultra-high affinity chitin-binding groove, enabled by a long and flexible linker between these domains (Sanchez-Vallet *et al.*, 2013). To assess whether intramolecular LysM dimerization could also occur in MoSlp1, Vd2LysM, ChElp1 and ChElp2, their overall three-dimensional structure was predicted using two software packages, I-TASSER and Phyre2 (Kelley *et al.*, 2015; Roy *et al.*, 2010; Yang and Zhang, 2015). Interestingly, the predicted three-dimensional structures by the different methods resulted in protein models with different substrate-binding possibilities (Fig. 2). The four structures modelled by I-TASSER are predicted to have confidence (C) scores of -0.92, -0.86, -0.99 and -0.91 for MoSlp1, Vd2LysM, ChElp1 and ChElp2, respectively on a scale between -5 and 2, where models with C-scores > -1.5 are considered reliable (Roy *et al.*, 2010). It is important to note that the surface-areas with amino-acid residues involved in chitin binding are facing outward in these structures (Fig. 2), and that the linker regions between the two LysM domains are much more tightly packed and thus do not straightforward permit for
A structural reorganisation of the two domains to enable intramolecular LysM dimerization. In contrast, Phyre2 presents a model where the two LysM domains of...
MoSlp1 are facing inward and intramolecular LysM dimerization is possible by maximally stretching the linker in between the two LysM domains. However, for the
three additional LysM effectors Phyre2 is only able to allow intramolecular LysM
dimerization by interrupting this linker domain, suggesting that intramolecular LysM
dimerization is normally not possible. Thus, except for MoSlp1 for which the two
software tools disagree, both tools agree that chitin binding through intramolecular
dimerization is highly unlikely. Based on these predictions, we decided to further pursue
investigations into the substrate-binding mechanisms of fungal effectors that contain
two LysM domains.

**Heterologous LysM effector production**

The most direct method to reveal the chitin-binding mechanism of a LysM effector is by
determination of a three-dimensional protein structure in the presence of chitin, for
instance by X-ray crystallography. This strategy requires a protein crystal of sufficient
size and quality to be used in an X-ray diffraction experiment, which in turn requires
highly pure protein of a sufficiently high concentration. To this end, heterologous
production of each of the LysM effectors as N-terminally 6×His-FLAG-tagged fusion
protein was performed using *Pichia pastoris* as a yeast expression system. After
purification from the culture filtrate, the LysM effectors were subjected to protein
polyacrylamide gel analysis, revealing that only Vd2LysM migrated as expected based on
its predicted molecular weight (Fig. 1AB). Interestingly, the three other proteins
(MoSlp1, ChElp1 and ChElp2) migrated slower than expected based on their calculated
molecular weights (Fig. 1AB), suggesting the presence of post-translational
modifications, such as glycan decorations, on these proteins (Haltiwanger and Lowe,
2004; Moremen *et al.*, 2012; Nagashima *et al.*, 2018; Xu and Ng, 2015). On the one hand,
however, glycans can greatly hamper crystal packing since they may prevent or reduce
favourable molecular contacts between protein molecules. Moreover, glycosylation may
cause microheterogeneity in protein solutions that affects protein ordering as well (Baker et al., 1994; Davis et al., 1993; Tang et al., 2019). On the other hand, glycosylation may be explicitly required for proper protein folding and/or aid in crystal growth by forming critical intermolecular contacts and thus, does not a priori hinder crystallization (Mesters et al., 2007).

To assess the potential for posttranslational modifications to occur on LysMs, we performed N-linked protein glycosylation site prediction. MoSlp1 was predicted to possess four potential glycosylation sites (N$^{48}$DT, N$^{94}$IS, N$^{130}$LS and N$^{104}$NT) on four asparagine residues (Asn, N) that match the glycosylation consensus sequence Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr (N-X-S/T), where X can be any amino acid except proline (Pro, P) or glutamate (Glu, E) (Fig. 1C). ChElp1 as well as ChElp2 contains only a single potential glycosylation site, namely N$^{105}$TS and N$^{111}$TS, respectively (Fig. 1C). Consistent with our protein polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis observation, Vd2LysM is not predicted to possess any glycosylation site (Fig. 1C). These predictions were matched by a glycoprotein staining assay, revealing that Vd2LysM is the only one out of the four proteins that does not react with the dye (Fig. S2), and confirming that MoSlp1, ChElp1 and ChElp2 were indeed glycosylated during yeast production.

In an attempt to increase protein homogeneity and possibly promote crystallization success, enzymatic deglycosylation was pursued based on mannosidase treatment. However, treatment of MoSlp1 and ChElp2 with mannosidase failed to decrease the observed molecular weights of the proteins in polyacrylamide gel analysis (Fig. 3), suggesting that high-mannose-type N-glycans do not form the most important glycan decorations on these proteins. To further pursue enzymatic deglycosylation of the LysM proteins, the peptide:N-glycosidase F (PNGase F) amidase that cleaves between the innermost GlcNAc and asparagine residues of high mannose, hybrid, and
complex oligosaccharides from N-linked glycoproteins was used on MoSlp1 and ChElp2. Unfortunately, also this treatment did not decrease the observed molecular weights (Fig. 3).
As an alternative strategy to reduce glycosylation of the protein preparations, site-directed mutagenesis was conducted on ChElp1 and ChElp2 such that the asparagines in the single potential glycosylation sites, N\textsuperscript{105} and N\textsuperscript{111} respectively, were replaced by glutamines (Gln, Q). Unfortunately, however, production of the mutated proteins failed repeatedly due to protein instability. As we have previously successfully crystallized Ecp6 protein that was produced in the same manner despite containing two spatially close glycosylation sites that were indeed found to be glycosylated in the crystal structure (Sanchez-Vallet et al., 2013), we decided to arrest our efforts to prevent glycosylation of the proteins.

Solubility and homogeneity of the LysM protein preparations

Since the isoelectric point (pI) is an important indicator of protein solubility, the pI of the four proteins was calculated. Whereas MoSlp1, ChElp1 and ChElp2 were determined to be acidic proteins with pI of 4.48, 3.73 and 4.64, respectively, Vd2LysM was calculated to have a rather neutral pI of 7.76. Based on the pIs, all four LysM proteins were dissolved in a buffer with pH 8.5 (20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol), and concentrated (>7 mg/mL) without occurrence of visible precipitation (Table S1).

Next, dynamic light scattering (DLS) was employed to determine the molecular homogeneity of the protein solutions (Dessau and Modis, 2011; Proteau et al., 2010). The DLS heatmaps exhibited extremely heterogenous particle size distributions for each of the LysM proteins. In particular, the particle size distribution for MoSlp1 and ChElp2 was quite heterogenous and ranged from 10 nm to 100 nm (Fig. 4A), which is significantly larger than the expected size of 1-3 nm for a protein with a molecular weight of approximately 16 kDa. Although less heterogenous, ChElp1 mostly occurred as
particles of around at 100 nm, which again points towards a significant degree of aggregation (Fig. 4A). Finally, Vd2LysM occurred as a heterogenous population of
particles of 100 nm and larger. The heterogeneity of the four protein preparations together with the relatively large particle size is likely to negatively impact crystal formation (Niesen et al., 2008; Price 2nd et al., 2009).

In order to improve protein solubility and particle size distribution, gel filtration and mild detergent treatment were pursued for all four LysM effectors. However, eventually, we only successfully improved the homogeneity of Vd2LysM and ChElp2 by gel filtration combined with the treatment with the nonionic detergent decyl β-D-maltopyranoside (DM). These protein samples were tested by DLS, which revealed uniform particle distributions for both proteins with main molecular populations at around 10 nm (Fig. 4B). Therefore, both protein preparations were used for crystallization screenings.

Attempts to obtain protein crystals failed for all four LysM effectors

Primary protein crystallization is a screening experiment where a concentrated solution of target protein is subjected to a variety of conditions that cover a wide range of buffers, salts, precipitating agents, pH, additives and even ligands (Bergfors, 2009; Chayen and Saridakis, 2008; Skarina et al., 2014). The ultimate aim is to reach a protein's supersaturation state, where protein molecules may self-assemble into a periodically repeating pattern that extends in three dimensions, yielding protein crystals. For protein crystallization, there is no systematic analysis or comprehensive theory to guide efforts to directions that can increase the success rate. Consequently, macromolecular crystal growth largely remains empirical (McPherson and Gavira, 2014). Both structures of C. fulvum Ecp6 and Z. tritici Mg1LysM were determined using protein crystals obtained from P. pastoris-produced protein preparations without additional chitin treatment. However, chitin molecules were found to be already present in the Ecp6 and Mg1LysM crystals, suggesting that they were
derived from the cell wall of yeast. In this study, four *P. pastoris*-produced LysM proteins were directly subjected to initial screening using commercial crystallization kits PACT premier™, SaltRX, Index™, PEGRX and PEG/Ion screen (96 conditions/kit) with the original concentrations (Table S1). Because we observed instant heavy precipitations in more than half of the conditions, the four LysM protein preparations were diluted to half the original concentrations and subjected to the initial screening again. Unfortunately, none of these attempts yielded any genuine protein crystals. Subsequently, we pre-incubated the LysM proteins with chitinhexaose in molar ratios of 3:1 and 1:1 (protein:chitin) and subjected them to the initial crystallization screening again. However, even after one year, none of the conditions developed genuine protein crystals.

To promote crystallization, active small molecules, traditionally referred to as "additives", can be added to promote the formation of favourable lattice contacts (McPherson *et al.*, 2011; McPherson and Cudney, 2006). Therefore, we conducted further screenings by adding 96 additives into two different buffers, namely i) 0.1 M HEPES, 30% PEG 3350, pH 7.0; ii) 50% Tacsimate, which is a mixture of organic acids with pH 7.0, for all four LysM proteins at their original concentrations as well as at half-diluted concentrations. Unfortunately, none of these attempts yielded any genuine protein crystals.

Finally, Vd2LysM and ChElp2 were produced in *E. coli* and subjected to an initial screening in the absence of exogenously added chitin and after pre-treatment with chitinhexaose in molar ratios of 3:1 and 1:1 (protein:chitin) using the five commercial kits, and also subjected to the additive screen kit in the two different buffers. Unfortunately, also these attempts were in vain.
Chitin-induced polymerisation suggests intramolecular LysM dimerization

As all our crystallization attempts for the four different LysM effectors failed, we pursued other strategies to provide evidence for the occurrence of either inter- or intramolecular LysM dimerization. We reasoned that treatment with chitin oligomers would lead to higher order oligomeric or polymeric protein complexes if intermolecular LysM dimerization occurs (Fig. 5, hypothesis I), while such complexes will not be formed in case of intramolecular LysM dimerization (Fig. 5, hypothesis II). To address these hypotheses, ChElp2 was selected as a representative and was expressed in *Escherichia coli* to obtain protein that is devoid of chitin. After purification and concentration, the aggregation status of the two protein preparations was tested with DLS. Interestingly, the addition of chitin resulted in a clear shift in particle size distribution in a concentration-dependent manner. Whereas a 3:1 protein:chitin molecular ratio shifted the particle size distribution of ChElp2 towards larger complexes of 10 nm to 100 nm (Fig. 6), further addition of chitin to a protein:chitin ratio of 1:1 fully shifted the dominant ChElp2 particle size towards 100 nm (Fig. 6). This finding strongly suggests that chitin addition mediates intermolecular LysM dimerization, leading to the formation of polymeric protein complexes.

As a second, independent line of evidence for polymerization, we hypothesized that if ChElp2 undergoes chitin-induced polymerization, we should be able to precipitate polymeric complexes during centrifugation. Thus, with Ecp6 as a negative control, we incubated ChElp2 overnight with chitohexaose and subsequently centrifuged the samples at 20,000 g in the presence of 0.002% methylene blue to visualize the protein. Indeed, a clear protein pellet appeared when ChElp2 was incubated with chitin, but not in the control treatment without chitin, nor in the Ecp6 samples (Fig. 7). Next, we
assessed whether a similar precipitation in the presence of chitin, as evidence for polymerisation, could be obtained for MoSlp1 and Vd2LysM as well. Indeed, this appeared
to be the case (Fig. 7). Collectively, these data confirm the occurrence of chitin-induced polymerisation of LysM effectors that comprise two LysMs, and prove that
intermolecular dimerization (Fig. 5, hypothesis I) rather than intramolecular
dimerization (Fig. 5, hypothesis II) occurs in the presence of chitin.

**Fig. 7 Chitin-induced polymerization of LysM effectors with two LysM domains.**

The LysM effector proteins ChElp2, MoSlp1 and Vd2LysM, together with Ecp6 as
negative control, were incubated with chitohexaose (chitin) or water. After overnight
incubation, methylene blue was added and protein solutions were centrifuged, resulting
in protein pellets (red arrowheads) as a consequence of polymerization for ChElp2,
MoSlp1 and Vd2LysM, but not for Ecp6.
DISCUSSION

To address the question whether LysM effectors that comprise two LysM domains bind chitin through inter- or intramolecular dimerization, we heterologously expressed four such LysM proteins and pursued the determination of 3D-protein structures based on X-ray crystallography. We screened the four *P. pastoris*-produced LysM effectors in two different concentrations with five different commercial kits that amount to a total of 480 conditions, in absence and presence of chitin in two different ratios, as well as with an additive screening in two different buffers with a total of 192 conditions. Moreover, for Vd2LysM and ChElp2, *E. coli*-produced protein was screened under the above-mentioned conditions as well. Although we tested this large amount of conditions on four homologous proteins, no protein crystals developed. Generally, if crystallization of a protein fails, it can be attributed to many factors, ranging from insufficient purity and homogeneity of the protein, to the fact that some proteins are simply naturally or biologically unable to crystalize (Dessau and Modis, 2011; Wlodawer et al., 2017). In this study, we tried to address as many factors with respect to protein quality as possible, but our attempts to obtain protein crystals failed nonetheless.

Obviously, absence of crystal formation does not prove that crystal formation is impossible. However, the lack of crystal formation inspired our further thoughts about LysM effector chitin binding. Theoretically, we anticipated that two possible substrate-binding mechanisms may occur for our LysM effectors (Fig. 5): chitin binding through inter- (hypothesis I) or intramolecular (hypothesis II) chitin binding. If intramolecular chitin binding would occur, it can be expected that chitin molecules reduce protein flexibility and promote protein homogeneity in solution, theoretically promoting crystal formation. However, arguably, if intermolecular chitin binding is prevalent, polymerization is likely to occur, which may involve chains of polymers of variable
lengths. As a consequence, homogeneity in protein solution may be severely compromised, leading to precipitation rather than to crystallization. The finding that exogenously added chitin can induce the formation of oligomeric complexes of ChElp2 as determined in DLS experiments (Fig. 6) suggested that oligomers indeed occur, pointing towards the occurrence of intermolecular dimerization as proposed in hypothesis I (Fig. 5). However, solid proof was subsequently obtained by performing centrifugation experiments upon incubation with chitin hexamers, revealing that protein pellets as a consequence of chitin-induced polymerisation were obtained not only for ChElp2, but also for MoSlp1 and VdLysM2 (Fig. 7). The finding that such pellets were not obtained with Ecp6 is important, as it demonstrates that the pellets are associated with intermolecular dimerization of LysM effector molecules, a process that is not supposed to occur with Ecp6 that undergoes intramolecular LysM dimerization (Sanchez-Vallet et al., 2013).

The initial prediction of the three-dimensional protein structures with I-TASSER as well as with Phyre2 could not support the occurrence of intramolecular dimerization of LysMs to mechanistically explain chitin binding by LysM effectors that comprise two LysMs. Our experimental evidence further supports this notion. Taken together, we propose that fungal LysM effectors that comprise two LysM domains bind chitin through intermolecular dimerization, contributing to fungal virulence through formation of polymeric complexes that have the propensity to precipitate in order to eliminate the presence of chitin oligomers at infection sites that may otherwise alarm the host immune system.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sequence alignment and three-dimensional protein structure prediction

LysM domains of proteins were predicted by InterPro (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/; Finn et al., 2017) and the alignment of amino acid sequences was performed by ClustalX2. Protein structures were predicted with I-TASSER (https://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/; Roy et al., 2010) and with Phyre2 (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/~phyre2/html/page.cgi?id=index; Kelley et al., 2015). Structures were viewed by the PyMOL molecular graphics system, version 2 (Schrodinger LLC, 2015).

Heterologous protein production in Pichia pastoris

Protein sequences were analysed using SignalP4.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP; Petersen et al., 2011) and the coding sequences of mature proteins without signal peptide were amplified with primers listed in Table S2, fused with an N-terminal 6×His-tag and cloned into expression vector pPIC9 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, California, USA). Correctness of the resulting constructs was confirmed by DNA sequencing prior to introduction into Pichia pastoris strain GS115 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, California, USA). Fermentation was conducted in approximately 3 L of culture in a bioreactor BioFlo120 (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) at 30°C for 5 days, including 3 days of methanol induction. Next, P. pastoris cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 3800 g at 4°C for 50 min and the supernatant was concentrated to 200 ml using a Vivaflow 200 Cross Flow Cassette (5000NWCO; Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) at 4°C for approximately 20 h. The concentrated supernatant was purified using His60 Ni Superflow resin (TaKaRa, California, USA) on a BioLogic LP system (Bio-Rad, California, USA). Purified protein was analysed by protein
polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis followed by staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) and dialyzed against 5 L of 50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl to remove imidazole. Finally, proteins were further concentrated using Amicon Ultra-15 Centrifugal Filter Units (MERCK, Carrigtohill, Ireland) and stored at -20°C.

**Heterologous protein production in *E. coli***

Coding sequences of mature proteins without signal peptide were amplified with primers listed in Table S2 and cloned into expression vector pETSUMO (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA). Correctness of the resulting constructs pETSUMO-ChElp2 and pETSUMO-Vd2LysM were confirmed by DNA sequencing and introduced into *E. coli* strains BL21 and Origami, respectively. Both proteins were produced at 28°C with 0.2 mM IPTG. Cell culture was pelleted by centrifugation at 4000 g for 40 min at 4°C, and the pellet was resuspended in 20 mL lysis buffer (Table S2), shaken at 4°C for at least two hours and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 1 h. The supernatant was collected and purified using His60 Ni Superflow resin (TaKaRa, California, USA) on a BioLogic LP system (Bio-Rad, California, USA). The resulting protein was dialyzed 3 L of 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, pH 8.0 while 5 µL of cleavage protein ULP1 was added into the dialysis membrane to cleave-off the 6×His-SUMO tag. Next day, protein solution was collected and subjected to purification using His60 Ni Superflow resin (TaKaRa, California, USA) to remove 6×His-SUMO tag from the protein preparations. Eventually, LysM proteins were dissolved in 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, pH 8.0 and concentrated to a high concentration.
**Glycoprotein staining assay**

1 µL of concentrated LysM protein solution was tested using a protein polyacrylamide gel followed by CBB and glycoprotein staining with the Pierce Glycoprotein Staining Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, California, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions, including the addition of horseradish peroxidase and soybean trypsin inhibitor as positive and negative control, respectively.

**Mannosidase and PNGase F treatments**

Deglycosylation was conducted with α-Mannosidase from *Canavalia ensiformis* (MERCK, New Jersey, USA) and PNGase F (MERCK, New Jersey, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 5 µl of concentrated LysM protein solution was treated with 10 µl of α-mannosidase (1 mg/ml, pH 4.5) at 25°C or 1 µl PNGase F (one unit, pH 7.5) at 37°C. Protein samples were collected after 1, 4 and 8 h of incubation for α-mannosidase treatment, and after 2, 6 and 12 h of incubation for PNGase F treatment. Subsequently, protein samples were analysed using protein polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis followed by CBB staining.

**Crystallization conditions**

Commercial kits PACT premier™ (Molecular dimensions, Sheffield, UK) and SaltRX, Index™, Shotgun, PEGRX, PEG/Ion screen (Hampton Research, California, USA) were used for initial screening. 96-well protein crystallization plates were prepared using a Crystal Phoenix robot (Art Robbins Instruments, California, USA). Chitohexaose (Megazyme, Wicklow, Ireland) was added in molar ratios of 3:1 and 1:1. The additive screening was conducted using the Additive Screen HR2-428 (Hampton Research,
California, USA) and Tacsimate pH 7.0 (Hampton Research, California, USA) according to
the manufacturer's instructions.

**Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements**

LysM proteins were dialyzed overnight against 100 mM NaCl and used for particle size
distribution measurement using a SpectroSize 300 machine (Xtal Concepts, Hamburg,
Germany). For the chitin-induced polymerization measurements, proteins were
dissolved in 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0 and treated with 0.1 % Triton X-100.
Chitohexaose (Megazyme, Wicklow, Ireland) was added in molar ratios of 1:1 and 1:2
(protein:chitin) and incubated for 4 hours.

**Polymerization assay**

LysM effector proteins were adjusted to a concentration of 200 µM and 200 µL of each
protein was incubated with 200 µL of 2 mM chitohexaose (Megazyme, Wicklow, Ireland),
or 200 µL water as control, at room temperature overnight. The next day, 2 µL of 0.2%
methylene blue (Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, USA) was added and incubated for 30 min
after which protein solutions were centrifuged at 20,000 g for 15 min. Photos were
taken with a ChemiDoc MP system (Bio-Rad, California, USA) with custom setting for
RFP.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1 Characteristics and heterologous production of four LysM effectors. (A) Schematic representation of four fungal LysM effectors that contain two LysM domains. Signal peptides (grey boxes) were predicted with SignalP 4.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP-4.0/) and LysM domains (green boxes) with InterPro (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/). The numbers in the boxes indicate the amino acids that compose the motif. (B) Protein polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of 1 µl of purified and concentrated preparation of the effectors produced in Pichia pastoris followed by CBB staining. (C) Primary amino acid sequence of the four LysM effectors with signal peptides in bold, LysMs underlined, and putative N-glycosylation sites as predicted with the NetNGlyc 1.0 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc/) in blue. N-glycosylation sites are composed of asparagine-X-Serine/Threonine (N-X-S/T) triads, with the asparagines that may be N-glycosylated in bold.

Fig. 2 In-silico prediction of the three-dimensional structures of four LysM effectors with two LysM domains with I-TASSER and Phyre2 software. Residues proposed to be involved in chitin binding are indicated in orange and red. Structures were visualized using the PyMOL molecular graphics system (Schrodinger LLC, 2015).

Fig. 3 Treatment of the P. pastoris-produced LysM effectors MoSlp1 and ChElp2 with mannosidase and PNGase F in an attempt to remove putative N-glycans. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of the LysM effectors MoSlp1 (top panels) and ChElp2 (bottom panels) after incubation with mannosidase (left panels) and PNGase F (right panels). Protein samples were collected at different time points after incubation and subjected to gel electrophoresis followed by CBB staining.
Fig. 4 Particle size distribution of four LysM effectors as measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS). The particle size distribution is shown as a colour scale heat map ranging from blue (lowest abundance) to red (highest abundance) for a particle size range of 1 nm to 100 µM. (A) Heat maps of the four *Pichia pastoris*-produced LysM effectors after initial purification and concentration. (B) Heat maps of Vd2LysM and ChElp2 after gel filtration and decyl β-D-maltopyranoside (DM) treatment.

Fig. 5 Two hypotheses for chitin binding by fungal effectors containing two LysM domains. LysM effectors that contain two LysMs may bind chitin through (I) intermolecular dimerization, which should not lead to polymerisation, or through (II) intramolecular dimerization, in which LysM effectors may undergo ligand-induced polymerization.

Fig. 6 Particle size distribution of ChElp2 in absence and presence of chitin as measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS). The particle size distribution is shown as a colour scale heat map ranging from blue (lowest abundance) to red (highest abundance) for a particle size range of 1 nm to 100 µM.

Fig. 7 Chitin-induced polymerization of LysM effectors with two LysM domains. The LysM effector proteins ChElp2, MoSlp1 and Vd2LysM, together with Ecp6 as negative control, were incubated with chitohexaose (chitin) or water. After overnight incubation, methylene blue was added and protein solutions were centrifuged, resulting in protein pellets (red arrowheads) as a consequence of polymerization for ChElp2, MoSlp1 and Vd2LysM, but not for Ecp6.
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