Chemoprevention in Patients with Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome: Lessons Learned
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LESSONS LEARNED

• Motivating patients to enroll in chemopreventive studies is challenging.
• Chemoprevention with toxic drugs is not feasible.

ABSTRACT

Background. LKB1 mutations are the underlying genetic abnormality causing Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS) and are a potential target for everolimus. In this phase II study, the efficacy of everolimus on polyp and tumor growth in PJS patients was investigated.

Methods. Adult patients with a proven LKB1 mutation and who were suitable for everolimus treatment were included in two different PJS cohorts: (a) patients with unresectable malignancies and (b) patients with high-risk polyps. Treatment in both groups was oral everolimus, 10 mg daily. Response rates were primary endpoints for both cohorts.

Results. Between October 2011 and April 2016, only two patients were enrolled, one in each cohort. A 49-year-old patient with advanced pancreatic cancer in cohort 1 was progressive after 2 months. A 52-year-old male patient in cohort 2 experienced severe toxicity and refused treatment after 4 months, even though endoscopy suggested stabilization of polyps. Adverse events included dental inflammmations, mucositis, and rash. In 2016, the trial was aborted for lack of accrual, despite extensive accrual efforts in an area where PJS is highly prevalent and care is highly centralized.

Conclusion. Due to accrual problems, no conclusions can be drawn about the value of everolimus in PJS treatment, questioning the feasibility of this agent for chemoprevention.

DISCUSSION

Peutz-Jeghers syndrome is caused by a mutation in the LKB1 gene, a tumor suppressor gene located on chromosome 19. This mutation results in a decreased inhibition of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), with uncontrolled cell growth as a result, manifesting as intestinal polyps (Fig. 1) and malignancies. Oral selective mTOR inhibitors such as rapamycin and everolimus have been successfully used in several exploratory studies [1, 2]. The report of a successful treatment of a PJS patient with pancreatic cancer with everolimus was the starting point for a more comprehensive study: the EVAMP trial [3]. Our hypothesis was that treating PJS patients with everolimus would result in reduced growth of intestinal polyps and tumors. In 2011, researchers from the University of Utah initiated a similar study on the role of chemoprevention agents in PJS, which was stopped prematurely because of poor accrual [4].

Due to the rare nature of the disease, the intent was to start with a pilot study including 15 patients, executed in the two largest PJS centers in The Netherlands (Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, and Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam). To obtain relevant information about activity of everolimus, we selected a high-risk population consisting of patients with either fast-growing gastric or small bowel polyps requiring therapeutic enteroscopy at least once every 2 years with resection of >4 polyps larger than 15 mm, or patients suffering from PJS-related malignancies.
Despite the clear study design, the selection of high-risk patients, and well-targeted medication, our study met some major obstacles. First, it turned out to be very difficult to find enough relevant patients, and secondly, the chosen treatment turned out to have both poor tolerability and (although in only one patient) a disappointing lack of efficacy. Even in two areas where PJS is highly prevalent (The Netherlands and Utah), researchers were not even close to reaching enough patients to perform a trial. Also, extensive accrual efforts, including the provision of additional trial information in national medical journals and during two patient information days in the accrual period, did not lead to increased patient participation. We presume that the currently existing intense surveillance programs do already diminish the rate of symptomatic polyps and malignancies and, therefore, are considered by patients to be efficacious, which probably hampers the willingness of these patients to enroll in chemoprevention treatment studies. In addition, everolimus often induces cumbersome side effects, which further decreases long-term use in prevention. Furthermore, the need for dose reduction in both The Netherlands and Utah confirmed the poor tolerability of everolimus therapy. Therefore, it is not surprising that both experiences raise the question of whether use of this drug for chemoprevention in PJS patients is feasible. Potential future options are a lower dose of everolimus, or another targeted agent.

---

**Trial Information**

| Disease                           | Advanced cancer                        |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Disease                          | Peutz-Jeghers syndrome                 |
| Stage of Disease/Treatment        | Prevention                             |
| Prior Therapy                     | None                                   |
| Type of Study - 1                 | Phase II                               |
| Type of Study - 2                 | Single arm                             |
| Primary Endpoint                  | Overall response rate                  |
| Secondary Endpoint                | Toxicity                               |
| Investigator’s Analysis           | Poorly tolerated/not feasible          |

**Drug Information for Phase II Advanced Malignancies**

| Drug 1                           | Everolimus                             |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Generic/Working Name             | Everolimus                             |
| Trade Name                       | Afinitor                               |
| Company Name                     | Novartis                               |
| Dose                             | 10 mg per flat dose                    |
| Route                            | p.o.                                   |

**Patient Characteristics for Phase II Advanced Malignancies**

| Number of Patients, Male         | 1                                       |
| Number of Patients, Female       | 0                                       |
| Age                              | Median (range): 48                      |
| Number of Prior Systemic Therapies| Median (range): 0                      |
| Performance Status: ECOG         | 0 — 1                                   |
|                                  | 1 — 0                                   |
|                                  | 2 — 0                                   |
|                                  | 3 — 0                                   |
|                                  | Unknown —                               |
### Patient Characteristics for Phase II High-Risk Polyps

| Characteristic               | Value          |
|------------------------------|----------------|
| Number of Patients, Male     | 1              |
| Number of Patients, Female   | 0              |
| Age                          | Median (range): 53 |
| Number of Prior Systemic Therapies | Median (range): 0 |
| Performance Status: ECOG     | 0 — 0, 1 — 1, 2 — 0, 3 — 0, Unknown — |

### Primary Assessment Method for Phase II Advanced Malignancies

| Title                                      | Total patient population |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Number of Patients Screened               | 1                        |
| Number of Patients Enrolled               | 1                        |
| Number of Patients Evaluable for Toxicity | 1                        |
| Number of Patients Evaluated for Efficacy | 0                        |
| Evaluation Method                          | RECIST 1.1               |
| Response Assessment PD                     | n = 1 (100%)             |
| (Median) Duration Assessments PFS         | 7 weeks                  |
| (Median) Duration Assessments TTP         | 7 weeks                  |
| (Median) Duration Assessments OS          | 6 months                 |
| (Median) Duration Assessments Duration of Treatment | 7 weeks |

### Primary Assessment Method for Phase II High-Risk Polyps

| Title                                      | Total patient population |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Number of Patients Screened               | 1                        |
| Number of Patients Enrolled               | 1                        |
| Number of Patients Evaluable for Toxicity | 1                        |
| Number of Patients Evaluated for Efficacy | 1                        |
| Evaluation Method                          | RECIST 1.1               |
| Response Assessment SD                     | n = 1 (100%)             |
| (Median) Duration Assessments PFS         | 8 months                 |
| (Median) Duration Assessments Response Duration | 8 months |
| (Median) Duration Assessments Duration of Treatment | 4 months |
| Adverse Events: Phase II Advanced Malignancies | None           |
| Adverse Events: Phase II High-Risk Polyps  | Root cavity inflammation, three times |

### Assessment, Analysis, and Discussion

**Completion**
- Study terminated before completion
- Did not fully accrue
- Poorly tolerated/not feasible

**Mutation spectrum:** Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS) is an autosomal dominant condition characterized by multiple hamartomatous polyps of the gastrointestinal tract, the presence of mucocutaneous hyperpigmentation, and an elevated lifetime risk to develop cancer, varying between 37% and 93% [1]. The genetic abnormality responsible for the syndrome is a mutation in the LKB1/STK11 gene, which maps to 19p13 [2]. This LKB1/STK11 gene activates adenine monophosphate-activated protein kinase, a necessary element in cell metabolism that is required for maintaining energy homeostasis, which in turn activates tumor suppressors tuberous sclerosis complex 1 and 2, leading to mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibition. Two well-characterized downstream targets of mTOR are S6 kinase and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1. In genetic analysis of PJS families, around 150 different mutations in the LKB1 gene have been found, ranging...
from truncation to missense mutations [3, 4]. The kind of mutation does not clearly correlate with the disease course, although loss of heterozygosity is observed more frequently in PJS carcinomas than in PJS hamartomas. No data on specific LKB1 mutations and the sensitivity to mTOR inhibition is available.

Suitability of everolimus: Elevated levels of phospho-S6 (pS6) kinase and pS6 are detected in the polyps from LKB1+/− mice, suggesting that hyperactivation of mTOR signaling accounts for the development of the PJS-characteristic hamartomatous lesions. These observations suggested that mTOR inhibitors such as rapamycin and its analogues could be useful for the treatment of polyposes arising from patients with PJS. Wei et al. investigated in 2008 the benefit of mTOR inhibition in the development of polyposes in PJS mice [5]. During this trial, rapamycin was given in LKB1+/− mice at 9 months of age (after the onset of polyposes) at the dose of 2 mg/kg per day for a 2-month period. The efficacy of rapamycin was assessed by measuring polyposes sizes and tumor burden. It was found that rapamycin effectively suppresses PJS polyposes in a mouse model, suggesting that mTOR inhibitors may represent a new targeted therapy for the treatment of PJS.

Shackelford et al. analyzed 11-month-old LKB1+/+ and LKB1+/− mice by fludeoxyglucose (FDG)-positron emission tomography (PET) to scan for the presence of gastrointestinal (GI) polyposes [6]. Images showed increased FDG uptake in focal masses located in the LKB1+/− mice where the stomach and pylorus are located, whereas the LKB1+/+ were negative (p = .06) for FDG signal in this area. Several of the LKB1+/− mice were killed after imaging, and it was confirmed that these animals had large polyposes in the pylorus and stomach corresponding exactly to the regions of greatest FDG uptake. Treatment of animals with rapamycin for 4 weeks abolished the FDG-PET signal. Immediate autopsy of the animals imaged by FDG-PET revealed that rapamycin-treated mice had minimal detectable GI polyposes, whereas the vehicle-treated mice all exhibited the presence of large GI polyposes. These results suggest that rapamycin reverses polypose growth in LKB1+/− mice.

Our study is based on the observation by Franz et al. that rapamycin, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved for use in orthotopic transplant recipients, was successfully used in an off-label study of five individuals with tuberous sclerosis [7]. This disease, which is related to PJS, is caused by germline mutations in the tuberous sclerosis complex 1 or 2, downstream of LKB1, also leading to aberrant mTOR activation. All of the patients in this study had subependymal giant cell astrocytomas, which exhibited regression and necrosis on well-tolerated treatment with oral rapamycin. Faire et al. suggested in 2006 the benefit of mTOR inhibitors in the treatment of patients with PJS [8]. In a recent case of a patient with PJS suffering from advanced pancreatic cancer, we observed an impressive response to oral treatment with everolimus monotherapy [9]. Therefore, mTOR inhibition might be a potential anticancer treatment in Peutz-Jeghers-related malignancies and needs confirmation in a larger patient cohort.

Toxicity: Several trials with everolimus have already been performed. Yee et al. performed a phase I/II study with everolimus 10 mg daily in hematological malignancies and observed the following adverse events in more than 15% of the patients, in descending order of frequency: anorexia, oral aphthous ulcers, diarrhea, fatigue, dermatologic, dysgeusia, constipation, and cramps [10]. Blood tests also showed hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia, elevated hepatic parameters, hypophosphatemia, hypomagnesemia, and hypocalcemia in more than 15% of the patients. Motzer et al. executed a phase III trial in patients with renal-cell cancer [11]. Stomatitis, rash, fatigue, asthenia, diarrhea, and anorexia occurred in more than 15% of the participants, using 10 mg everolimus per day. Most of the aforementioned adverse events are grade 1 or 2. Toxicities of grade 3 and 4 are rare, with stomatitis and fatigue in less than 10%. In the latter study, everolimus toxicity led to treatment discontinuation for 10% of the patients. Furthermore, 34% of the patients required a dose interruption and 5% had a dose reduction.

Chemoprevention: The use of chemoprophylaxis is not a new phenomenon. The ability to prevent cancer has been demonstrated before, and some agents are already administered for cancer prevention in clinical practice, with aspirin as the best-known example. Low-dose aspirin is proven to be successful in reducing cancer incidence by about 10% in men and 7% in women [12]. Because the side-effect profile is favorable, the benefits of aspirin treatment outweigh the adverse events in patients without risk factors for gastrointestinal bleeding. Another chemoprophylactic drug used is tamoxifen, which is effective against breast cancer [12]. Serious adverse events such as endometrial cancer and venous thromboembolism are rare, making this drug suitable for therapeutic prevention in patients with an elevated breast cancer risk.

In the setting of a preventive intervention with potential severe adverse events, the selection of high-risk patients is essential. The presence of a mutation resulting in an enhanced cancer risk might be a suitable target for chemoprevention. Squire et al. investigated the use of a chemopreventive agent targeting a directly associated mutation, a situation comparable to the one in our trial [13]. The administration of rapamycin in mice with the Cowden’s disease mutation resulted in a decrease of tumor growth and prolonged survival. A chemoprevention trial on patients with PJS, executed in 2011, did not lead to statistical analysis due to insufficient polyp burden and poor accrual [14].
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