Microstructural heterogeneity in the electrodeposited Ni: insights from growth modes
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Microstructures of electrodeposited Ni were studied from the perspective of growth modes during electrodeposition. The electrodeposited Ni had a heterogeneous microstructure composed of nanocrystalline- and microcrystalline-grains. Electron backscatter diffraction analyses showed that nanocrystalline- and microcrystalline-grains were preferentially oriented to specific planes. Secondary ion mass spectrometry also revealed that coarse-grained regions had higher S content than that of finer-grained regions. Hence, microstructural heterogeneity in electrodeposited Ni is reflected by the overlap of inhibited and free growth modes. Our discussion surrounding microstructural heterogeneity also provides insight into other electrodeposited nanocrystalline systems.

Nanocrystalline materials often exhibit more favorable properties than coarse-grained materials because of their nano-grain structure1–3. Electrodeposition is a typical process for fabricating nano-grain structures4. In practice, Ni and its alloys are electrodeposited with grain sizes below 100 nm5–8, and these electrodeposited nanocrystalline metals and alloys show high strength and good ductility9–13. However, electrodeposited materials have fiber-like textures, which reflect the preferred crystallographic orientation of their crystallites along the growth direction14,15. The texture formation of electrodeposits is determined by the electrodeposition conditions16–18. Amblard et al.19 explained that electrodeposition conditions change the growth mode during electrodeposition.

Furthermore, they showed three types of growth modes, namely free-lateral growth, inhibited-lateral growth, and inhibited-out growth, and indicated that each growth mode resulted in specifically oriented textures on electrodeposited Ni. In the few cases where growth modes (or texture) have been considered12,20,21, these features have been shown to profoundly affect the microstructure and mechanical properties. Godon et al.20 reported the relationship between grain orientation and the Hall–Petch relationship in electrodeposited Ni. The Hall–Petch plot of hardness was classified into three regions based on specific textures. In a subsequent report21, they found that grain refinement and changes of textures were linked with an increase in impurity content. The incorporation of impurities resulted from the inhibited growth mode. Matsui et al.12 found a relationship between the free-lateral growth mode is suitable for producing ductile electrodeposited nanocrystalline materials.

In previous studies12,20,21, the effects of growth modes have been discussed by comparison of typical samples having different dominant orientations. These comparisons are based on the assumption that typical samples are electrodeposited by a single growth mode. Contrary to this assumption, our belief is that the actual electrodeposited samples form with multiple competing growth modes. Hence, the microstructure of electrodeposited samples is uniform, and a heterogeneous grain structure forms from different growth modes. The main aim of this study is to reveal the microstructural heterogeneity in electrodeposited metals and alloys. We prepared an ideal model sample of electrodeposited Ni with a heterogeneous microstructure composed of nanocrystalline- and microcrystalline-grains. The heterogeneous microstructure was characterized by electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) and secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) to verify whether the heterogeneous structure was attributed to the growth mode.
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To reveal the impurity distribution in the heterogeneous microstructure, we applied NanoSIMS analysis, as shown in Fig. 3. The secondary electron (SE) image after sputtering to remove surface contamination (Fig. 3a), shows that sputtering introduced irregularities onto the surface. The formation of irregularities by the ion beam is confirmed in past reports24,25, and is more remarkable in a polycrystal than in a single crystal26. This is caused by variation of the sputtering rate depending on the crystal orientation. The black and gray parts correspond to finer- and coarser-grain regions, respectively. The irregularities on the surface also changed the intensity of the Ni matrix. As shown in Fig. 3b. C, O, and S (Fig. 3c,e) were also under the same influence. Thus, the secondary ion images of C, O, and S were normalized by Ni intensity and the normalized images are shown in Fig. 3f,h, respectively. Unfortunately, C and O contents were low in content (~10 ppm) and difficult to separate from the background (Fig. 3g). Figure 3h indicates that S was inhomogeneously distributed on the mesoscale of the electrodeposited Ni. Highly intense signals from S correspond to coarser-grains. Notably, S is an impurity derived from addition of saccharin5, and these results indicate that adsorption of saccharin occurred during electrodeposision of coarse grains.

Discussion
Amblard et al.19 described free-lateral growth as a mode in which there is no inhibitor (such as hydrogen gas or adsorbed hydrogen) and no other influences on the electrodeposits. In this study, S was detected in coarse-grains oriented along the <100> direction, which is considered to be formed from free-lateral growth, as shown in Fig. 3. Hence, S adsorption promoted free-lateral growth. Cases in which free-lateral growth was promoted by additives and the solute have been reported in the literature27. If S inhibited Ni growth, in the same way that

Figure 1. (a) XRD patterns of electrodeposited Ni. Electrodeposited Ni shows a strong (200) fiber texture. (b) Representative BSE-SEM image showing microstructure of electrodeposited Ni as-deposited state and heterogeneous microstructure consisting of nanocrystalline and microcrystalline grain regions.

Results
The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of electrodeposited Ni is shown in Fig. 1a. The XRD pattern contains the first three reflections of the face-centered cubic Ni. The electrodeposited Ni for this study had weak (111) and strong (200) peaks, which are consistent with previous reports5,15,22. These peaks corresponded to inhibited lateral growth and free lateral growth, respectively, according to relationship proposed by Amblard et al.19. A backscattered electron (BSE) scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of electrodeposited Ni, is shown in Fig. 1b. The heterogeneous microstructure consisted of nanocrystalline and microcrystalline grains. The grains of electrodeposited Ni were distributed over a very broad range of sizes.

To discuss the growth of these grains, we analyzed the crystal orientations of coarse- and fine-grain regions by EBSD and transmission-electron backscatter diffraction (t-EBSD), respectively (Fig. 2). Inverse pole figure (IPF) maps obtained by EBSD show the microstructure of electrodeposited Ni and the corresponding distributions of grain sizes are shown in Fig. 2a,e, respectively. The grains were detected at a grain detection angle of 15°. In EBSD analysis (Fig. 2a,e), grains with sizes of less than 0.32 μm are not shown, which limits the data of the coarse-grain region and remove noise of only a few pixels. Figure 2a shows the preferred orientation along the <100> direction for coarse-grained regions. The average size of these coarse-grains was 1.7 μm (Fig. 2e). Furthermore, the fraction occupied by the coarse-grains (colored) region in Fig. 2a was estimated to be 57%. Figure 2b shows a high-resolution IPF map obtained by t-EBSD for electrodeposited Ni. The t-EBSD measurements clearly captured the nanocrystalline grains and provide information on the crystal orientation. Unlike the coarse-grain region (Fig. 2a), grains with orientations along the <111> direction were confirmed in the finer-grain region. To clarify the characteristics of grains and their crystal orientations, grains with orientation within 20° of the <111> and <100> directions were selected and are shown in Fig. 2c,d, respectively. The corresponding distributions of grain sizes are shown in Fig. 2f,g. Note that grains less than 30 nm in size are not shown in the t-EBSD analysis (Fig. 2b,d,f,g) because these data were noisy. The sizes of grains with orientations along the <111> direction ranged from 0.03 to 0.23 μm, and the average size was 0.11 μm. In contrast, the sizes of grains with orientations along the <100> direction ranged from 0.03 to 3.59 μm, and the average size was 2.85 μm. The grain size varied greatly depending on the crystal orientation: inhibited lateral growth resulted in finer-grains, whereas free lateral growth resulted in coarser-grains. These results agree with those previously reported20,21,23.

To reveal the impurity distribution in the heterogeneous microstructure, we applied NanoSIMS analysis, as shown in Fig. 3. The secondary electron (SE) image after sputtering to remove surface contamination (Fig. 3a), shows that sputtering introduced irregularities onto the surface. The formation of irregularities by the ion beam is confirmed in past reports24,25, and is more remarkable in a polycrystal than in a single crystal26. This is caused by variation of the sputtering rate depending on the crystal orientation. The black and gray parts correspond to finer- and coarser-grain regions, respectively. The irregularities on the surface also changed the intensity of the Ni matrix. As shown in Fig. 3b. C, O, and S (Fig. 3c,e) were also under the same influence. Thus, the secondary ion images of C, O, and S were normalized by Ni intensity and the normalized images are shown in Fig. 3f,h, respectively. Unfortunately, C and O contents were low in content (~10 ppm) and difficult to separate from the background (Fig. 3g). Figure 3h indicates that S was inhomogeneously distributed on the mesoscale of the electrodeposited Ni. Highly intense signals from S correspond to coarser-grains. Notably, S is an impurity derived from addition of saccharin5, and these results indicate that adsorption of saccharin occurred during electrodeposision of coarse grains.

Discussion
Amblard et al.19 described free-lateral growth as a mode in which there is no inhibitor (such as hydrogen gas or adsorbed hydrogen) and no other influences on the electrodeposits. In this study, S was detected in coarse-grains oriented along the <100> direction, which is considered to be formed from free-lateral growth, as shown in Fig. 3. Hence, S adsorption promoted free-lateral growth. Cases in which free-lateral growth was promoted by additives and the solute have been reported in the literature27. If S inhibited Ni growth, in the same way that
hydrogen gas or adsorbed hydrogen, the addition of saccharin might facilitate the inhibited growth mode and decrease the grain size. Thus, S is unlikely to inhibit Ni growth. Rather, the adsorption of S on the growth surface may suppress the adsorption of hydrogen and hinder the inhibited growth mode. This assumption is consistent with the fact that S does not segregate at grain boundaries (GBs) and exists within the grains, as shown in Figs. 3a,h. Schematic images of the above growth mechanism are summarized in Fig. 4a.

Compared with free-lateral growth, inhibited lateral growth resulted in finer grains, as shown in Fig. 2c,f. This trend has also been reported in the past studies31,32. The grain refinement is attributed to an increase in the impurity content. In fact, in electrodeposited Ni and Ni alloys, correlations have been confirmed between impurity22 and solute contents7,8, and the grain size. Impurities and solute segregation have been proposed as the primary stabilizing mechanism of nanocrystalline structures28,29: the segregation reduces the GB energy and minimizes the thermodynamic driving force for grain growth. From these results, we assume that the incorporated impurities such as hydrogen gas or adsorbed hydrogen were present on the GBs in the inhibited growth modes. Hence, the inhibitor inhibited growth of electrodeposits and promoted formation of GBs. Schematic images of the inhibited growth mode are also shown in Fig. 4b. In Fig. 4b, hydrogen is shown as an inhibitor, but this does not limit the inhibitor to only hydrogen19.

The t-EBSD captured nanocrystalline grains with orientations along with the <100> texture, which formed by the free-lateral growth modes, as shown in Fig. 2d,g. These grains maintained their grain size by being surrounded by grains formed through inhibited growth modes. In the case shown in Fig. 4, there are three kinds of GBs, namely coarse-coarse grains, fine-fine grains, and coarse-fine grains. The GBs between the coarse grains and the fine grains would be at a medium contamination level. In fact, in study30 in which GB segregation of electrodeposits was analyzed by atom probe tomography, the concentration of impurities at the GB varied greatly depending on the location. We suggest that a combination of the crystal orientation analysis (growth mode) and atomic-scale analysis performed in the previous research30 will provide a deeper understanding. At the same time, for example, the bulk H concentration of electrodeposited Ni for this study was only 2 ppm. It is also a technical challenge to be able to accurately detect trace light elements.

We derived the model, as shown in Fig. 4, to explain the connection between growth modes and the microstructure of electrodeposited material: a free growth mode introduced coarser-grains with clean GBs, whereas inhibited growth modes introduced finer-grains with contaminated GBs. In practice, this model is in good agreement with the grain growth behavior of electrodeposited materials. For Ni-based electrodeposits, abnormal grain growth during the heat treatments is a common phenomenon31,32. EBSD analyses of the electrodeposited Ni and Ni alloys after abnormal grain growth, showed that the microstructure orientated along the <111> directions33,35. Many abnormal grain growth theories have been proposed36–38. Although there is no general model to describe abnormal grain growth, in most mechanisms, anisotropic GB features are commonly believed to result in preferential growth. Gaseous hydrogen as an inhibitor is incorporated into the growth of the electrodeposits, and...
Pores are generated in the grains and at GBs. These GB features promote abnormal grain growth. In addition, the driving force of abnormal grain growth is the excess free energy of GBs $\Delta G_{\text{GB}}$, as given by:

$$\Delta G_{\text{GB}} = 2\sigma V/d,$$

where $\sigma$ is the surface energy, $V$ is the volume, and $d$ is the grain boundary thickness.
where $\sigma$ is the GB energy, $V$ is the molar volume, and $d$ is the grain size. The driving force increases as the grain size decreases. As described above, grains formed via the inhibited growth mode are finer and GBs are contaminated. These features are favorable for abnormal grain growth. Therefore, crystal grains oriented along the $<111>$ directions via inhibited-lateral growth become seeds that cause abnormal grain growth, resulting in texture evolution.$^{33-35}$ The model developed in this study (Fig. 4) might explain the behavior of other electrodeposited systems. Thus, electrodeposited materials have a microstructural heterogeneity reflecting the growth mode in the same manner as electrodeposited Ni for this study. The cause of the competition between the growth modes at the cathode would be local bias such as current or bath composition, and the effects should be considered more fully. The discussion of the heterogeneity in terms of meso-scale of electrodeposits gives insight into electrodeposited nanocrystalline metals and alloys. This study also points out the need to consider heterogeneity when performing high-resolution analyses such as atom probe tomography and aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscopy on electrodeposited materials, because the application area of these analyses is very local.

Conclusions
Electrodeposited Ni with a heterogeneous microstructure composed of nanocrystalline- and microcrystalline-grains was characterized by EBSD and SIMS techniques. The combined use of EBSD and t-EBSD revealed the crystallographic orientation of the heterogeneous microstructure: coarse grains were oriented along the $<100>$ direction, whereas fine grains were oriented along the $<111>$ direction. Furthermore, NanoSIMS measurements indicated that S was present in the coarse-grain regions. Our discussion, based on these results, indicates that S promoted free-lateral growth by suppressing adsorption of inhibitors. Because S does not segregate at GBs, coarse-grains were formed. Furthermore, our discussion points to the possibility that inhibited growth modes cause impurities to segregate at GBs. This study indicates the possibility that electrodeposited materials have a heterogeneous microstructure reflecting the growth modes. Thus, it is important to elucidate the mechanism and characteristics based on the mesoscale heterogeneity of electrodeposits.

Methods
Electrodeposition. Bulk samples of Ni, with length of 50 mm, width of 30 mm, and thickness of ~1.2 mm, were prepared by electrodeposition. The deposition bath was composed of 300.0 g/L nickel sulfate tetrahydrate, 5.0 g/L nickel chloride hexahydrate, 20.0 g/L sodium propionate, 4.2 g/L sodium gluconate, 1.0 g/L sodium saccharin dihydrate, and 0.3 g/L sodium lauryl sulfate. All samples were deposited onto copper substrates of commercial purity using nickel plates (99.98%). Electrodeposition was performed using 1-L deposition systems and a cathode would be local bias such as current or bath composition, and the effects should be considered more fully. The discussion of the heterogeneity in terms of meso-scale of electrodeposits gives insight into electrodeposited nanocrystalline metals and alloys. This study also points out the need to consider heterogeneity when performing high-resolution analyses such as atom probe tomography and aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscopy on electrodeposited materials, because the application area of these analyses is very local.

Microstructure analysis. XRD (Rigaku MiniFlex 600) analysis was conducted on the samples in the as-deposited state. The XRD equipment was operated at 15 mA and 40 kV with Cu Kα radiation. The microstructure was observed by a SEM (JEOL JSM-IT300HR) operated at a 15-kV acceleration voltage. EBSD and t-EBSD techniques were used to determine the grain size and characterize the crystal orientation. EBSD maps were acquired at a 15-kV acceleration voltage on a SEM (JEOL JSM-7001F) with a 0.08-μm measurement step, and t-EBSD maps were acquired at an accelerating voltage of 30 kV on a SEM (Carl ZEISS SUPRA40VP) with a 0.01-μm measurement step. For SEM observations and EBSD analyses, the electrodeposited samples were mechanically polished with SiC paper and then mirror-finished with diamond and colloidal silica. For t-EBSD analyses, the thin foils were prepared by a conventional twin-jet electropolishing technique. The electrodeposited samples were cut into disk shapes with a diameter of 3 mm by a punching apparatus. The cut disks were then ground, polished, and completed by a twin-jet electropolishing. The electropolishing was performed as previously reported.$^{12,45}$ The C and S contents, which are major impurities for Ni-based electrodeposits,$^{46}$ were quantified by IR absorption after combustion in a high-frequency induction furnace (LECO CS844). The C and S contents were determined to be 10 ppm (0.01 at%) and 200 ppm (0.04 at%), respectively. IMS (CAMECA NanoSIMS 50 L) was also used to clarify the distribution state of both impurities in the electrodeposited Ni. SIMS measurements were performed with the use of a Cs$^+$ beam and an impact energy of 16 keV. In addition, H content, which is related to the major inhibitors, such as gaseous hydrogen and adsorbed hydrogen, was quantified by inert gas fusion thermal conductivity detection (LECO RHEN602). The H content was determined to be 2 ppm.

Data availability
The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 16 December 2019; Accepted: 16 March 2020;
Published online: 26 March 2020

References
1. Meyers, M. A., Mishra, A. & Benson, D. J. Mechanical properties of nanocrystalline materials. Prog. Mater Sci. 51, 427–556 (2006).
2. Dao, M., Lu, L., Asaro, R. J., De Hosson, J. T. M. & Ma, E. Toward a quantitative understanding of mechanical behavior of nanocrystalline metals. Acta Mater. 55, 4041–4065 (2007).
3. Ovid’ko, I. A., Valiev, R. Z. & Zhu, Y. T. Review on superior strength and enhanced ductility of metallic nanomaterials. Prog. Mater Sci. 94, 462–540 (2018).
4. Erb, U., Aust, K. T. & Palumbo, G. Electrodeposited Nanocrystalline Metals, Alloys, and Composites in Nanostruct. Mater. (ed. Carl C. Koch) 235–292 (William Andrew Publishing, 2007).
5. El-sherik, A. M. & Erb, U. Synthesis of Bulk Nanocrystalline Nickel by Pulsed Electrodeposition. J. Mater. Sci. 30, 5743–5749 (1995).
43. Keller, R. R. & Geiss, R. H. Transmission EBSD from 10 nm domains in a scanning electron microscope.

42. Matsui, I., Yamamoto, T., Omura, N. & Takigawa, Y. Effect of low-temperature annealing on tensile behavior of electrodeposited bulk nanocrystalline Ni–W alloys. Mater. Trans. 52, 37–40 (2011).

41. Matsui, I. Controlling the Growth Mode for Producing Electrodeposited Bulk Nanocrystalline Metals and Alloys with Good Combination of Strength and Ductility. Mater. Sci. Forum 578, 318–322 (2013).

40. Nakahara, S. Microscopic mechanism of the hydrogen effect on the ductility of electroless copper. J. Mater. Sci. 46, 7713–7724 (2011).

39. Nakahara, S. & Okinaka, Y. Microstructure and ductility of electroless copper deposits. J. Mater. Sci. 57, 233–246 (2002).

38. Kim, S. G. & Park, Y. B. Grain boundary segregation, solute drag and abnormal grain growth. Scripta Mater. 62, 403–406 (2010).

37. Godon, A. et al. Characterization of electrodeposited nickel coatings from sulphamate electrolyte without additive. Mater. Charact. 62, 164–173 (2011).

36. Harmer, M. P. Interfacial Kinetic Engineering: How Far Have We Come Since Kingery’s Inaugural Sosman Address? J. Mater. Res. 25, 1669–1681 (2010).

35. Harmer, M. P. Interfaceal Kinetic Engineering: How Far Have We Come Since Kingery’s Inaugural Sosman Address? J. Microsc. 195, 245–251 (1999).

34. Matsui, I. Controlling the Growth Mode for Producing Electrodeposited Bulk Nanocrystalline Metals and Alloys with Good Combination of Strength and Ductility. Mater. Sci. Forum 578, 318–322 (2013).

33. Klement, U., Erb, U., ElSherik, A. M. & Aust, K. T. Thermal stability of nanocrystalline Ni. Scripta Mater. 47, 83–87 (2002).

32. Klement, U., Erb, U., ElSherik, A. M. & Aust, K. T. Thermal stability of nanocrystalline Ni. Mater. Sci. Eng. A 54, 3496–3508 (2010).

31. Hibbard, G. D., McCrea, J. L., Palumbo, G., Aust, K. T. & Erb, U. An initial analysis of mechanisms leading to late stage abnormal grain growth in nanocrystalline Ni. Scripta Mater. 47, 83–87 (2002).

30. Marvel, C. J., Hornbuckle, B. C., Darling, K. A. & Harmer, M. P. Intentional and unintentional elemental segregation to grain boundaries in a Ni-rich nanocrystalline alloy. J. Mater. Sci. 54, 3496–3508 (2019).

29. Detor, A. J., Miller, M. K. & Schuh, C. A. Grain boundary segregation, chemical ordering and stability of nanocrystalline alloys: Atomicistic computer simulations in the Ni-W system. Acta Mater. 55, 4221–4232 (2007).

28. Detor, A. J. & Schuh, C. A. Solute distribution in nanocrystalline Ni–W alloys examined through atom probe tomography. Philosophical Magazine 86, 4459–4475 (2006).

27. Kuma, C. et al. Reduction of impurity contents in aluminum plates electrodeposited from a dimethylsulfoxide-aluminum chloride bath. J. Alloys Compd. 783, 919–926 (2019).

26. Wasekar, N. P., Haridoss, P., Seshadri, S. K. & Sundararajan, G. Influence of mode of electrodeposition, current density and saccharin on the microstructure and hardness of electrodeposited nanocrystalline nickel coatings. Surf. Coat. Technol. 291, 130–140 (2016).

25. Stewart, A. D. G. & Thompson, M. W. Microtopography of surfaces eroded by ion-bombardment. Surf. Coat. Technol. 164, 173–179 (2002).

24. Wehner, G. K. & Hajicek, D. J. Microstructural evolution in pulse plated nickel electrodeposits. Surf. Coat. Technol. 201, 5766–5770 (2007).

23. Matsui, I. Strategy for Electrodeposition of Highly Ductile Bulk Nanocrystalline Metals with a Face-Centered Cubic Structure. Acta Mater. 55, 1859–1866 (2014).

22. Wasekar, N. P., Haridoss, P., Seshadri, S. K. & Sundararajan, G. Influence of mode of electrodeposition, current density and saccharin on the microstructure and hardness of electrodeposited nanocrystalline nickel coatings. Surf. Coat. Technol. 291, 130–140 (2016).

21. Godon, A. J. & Schuh, C. A. Grain boundary segregation, chemical ordering and stability of nanocrystalline alloys: Atomicistic computer simulations in the Ni-W system. Acta Mater. 55, 4221–4232 (2007).

20. Godon, A. J., Erb, U., ElSherik, A. M. & Aust, K. T. Microstructural evolution in pulse plated nickel electrodeposits. Surf. Coat. Technol. 201, 5766–5770 (2007).

19. Ambland, J., Epelboin, I., Froment, M. & Maurin, G. Inhibition and Nickel Electrocrystallization. J. Appl. Electrochem. 9, 233–242 (1979).

18. Gu, C. D., Lian, J. S. & Jiang, Q. Layered nanostructured Ni with modulated hardness fabricated by surfactant-assistant electrodeposition. Scripta Mater. 57, 233–236 (2007).

17. Nakata, H., Schmelzer, M. & Hampelmann, R. Nanocrystalline nickel and nickel-copper alloys: Synthesis, characterization, and thermal stability. J. Mater. Res. 13, 1186–1197 (1998).

16. El-Sherik, A. M., Erb, U. & Page, J. Microstructural evolution in pulse plated nickel electrodeposits. Surf. Coat. Technol. 201, 5766–5770 (2007).

15. Matsui, I. Microstructure and internal stress of Fe–Ni nanocrystalline alloys electrodeposited without a stress-reliever. Electrochim. Acta 44, 667–675 (1998).

14. Czerwinski, F. The microstructure and internal stress of Fe–Ni nanocrystalline alloys electrodeposited without a stress-reliever. Electrochim. Acta 44, 667–675 (1998).

13. Czerwinski, F. The microstructure and internal stress of Fe–Ni nanocrystalline alloys electrodeposited without a stress-reliever. Electrochim. Acta 44, 667–675 (1998).

12. Matsui, I., Takigawa, Y., Uesugi, T. & Higashi, K. Effect of orientation on tensile ductility of electrodeposited bulk nanocrystalline Ni–W alloys. Surf. Coat. Technol. 337, 411–417 (2018).

11. Matsui, I., Kawakatsu, T., Takigawa, Y., Uesugi, T. & Higashi, K. Fabrication of bulk nanocrystalline Fe–Ni alloys with high strength and high ductility by an electrodeposition. Mater. Lett. 116, 71–74 (2014).

10. Nakahara, S. Microscopic mechanism of the hydrogen effect on the ductility of electroless copper. J. Mater. Sci. 46, 7713–7724 (2011).

9. Brooks, I., Palumbo, G., Hibbard, G. D., Wang, Z. R. & Erb, U. On the intrinsic ductility of electrodeposited nanocrystalline metals. J. Mater. Sci. 241–246 (2011).

8. Takigawa, Y., Wakayama, S., Matsu, I., Uesugi, T. & Higashi, K. Application of Electroforming Process to Bulk Amorphous Ni-W Alloy. Mater. Trans. 52, 37–40 (2011).

7. Rofagha, R., Erb, U., Ostrander, D., Palumbo, G. & Aust, K. T. The effects of grain size and phosphorus on the corrosion of nanocrystalline Ni-P alloys. Nanosctruct. Mater. 2, 1–10 (1993).

6. Cheung, C., Djuanda, F., Erb, U. & Palumbo, G. Electrodeposition of Nanocrystalline Ni-Fe Alloys. Nanostruct. Mater. 5, 513–523 (1995).

5. Matsui, I. Controlling the Growth Mode for Producing Electrodeposited Bulk Nanocrystalline Metals and Alloys with Good Combination of Strength and Ductility. Mater. Sci. Forum 578, 318–322 (2013).

4. Matsui, I. Controlling the Growth Mode for Producing Electrodeposited Bulk Nanocrystalline Metals and Alloys with Good Combination of Strength and Ductility. Mater. Sci. Forum 578, 318–322 (2013).

3. Nakahara, S. Microscopic mechanism of the hydrogen effect on the ductility of electroless copper. Acta Metall. 36, 1669–1681 (1988).

2. Matsui, I. Controlling the Growth Mode for Producing Electrodeposited Bulk Nanocrystalline Metals and Alloys with Good Combination of Strength and Ductility. Mater. Sci. Forum 578, 318–322 (2013).

1. Matsui, I. Controlling the Growth Mode for Producing Electrodeposited Bulk Nanocrystalline Metals and Alloys with Good Combination of Strength and Ductility. Mater. Sci. Forum 578, 318–322 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists (Grant Number JP18K14033) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), Japan. The authors are also grateful to Ms. R. Inukai (AIST) and Ms. C. Otomo (AIST) for their technical assistance.
Author contributions
Isao Matsui designed and conducted the experiments, and wrote the manuscript with input from all authors. Atsuya Watanabe and Yorinobu Takigawa assisted in carrying out the EBSD experiments. Naoki Omura made helpful comments on the whole manuscript. Yorinobu Takigawa and Takahisa Yamamoto read through the manuscript and assisted the discussion of results.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests

Additional information
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to I.M.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020