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Abstract

Objective The study aimed to determine the burden of obesity, using three commonly employed metrics in the hospital outpatient setting of a developing country as predictors of hypertension through a non-inferiority study.

Design A cross-sectional study design was adopted.

Setting This study was conducted in Health Promotion and Risk Factor Screening Service in a tertiary Eye and ENT hospital in a semi-urban area of Nepal.

Participants 2,256 randomly selected 40-69 years outpatients.

Outcome measures Correlation analysis, the area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic curve, Odds ratios (OR) were calculated between three obesity metrics and hypertension.

Results The prevalence of obesity and overweight by BMI was 16.09% and 42.20%, respectively; by WHtR was 32.76%, which is two times higher than obesity measured by BMI. High WC was observed among 66.76% of participants. Female participants had a greater prevalence of high WC (77.46%) than males (53.73%) (p<0.001). Prevalence of hypertension and pre-hypertension was 40.67% and 36.77%, respectively. The areas under the curve were significantly higher than 0.5 for BMI (0.593), WHtR (0.602), and WC (0.610).

Conclusion WC correlated well with obesity and hypertension. It also had a higher predicting ability than WHtR and BMI to predict hypertension. WC thus proved to be non-inferior to two other commonly used metrics. It proved superior in detecting obesity in female. This inexpensive and simple non-tension tape measurement may play an important role in the future diagnosis of obesity and the prediction of HTN in resource-constrained settings of developing countries.

Strengths And Limitations Of The Study

- This is the first study to find out the association between different obesity metrics for screening of hypertension in hospital out patients
- The greater value of this study lies in its ability to signal out that very high proportion of people coming to hospital have overweight / obesity and hypertension that is being missed on a day to day basis in a clinical setting.
- This observational, cross-sectional study cannot infer causal relationship between increase in weight over optimal level and raised blood pressure.
- This hospital based study can not be generalized to represent the whole puaption

Introduction

The world is rapidly becoming obese.\(^1,2\) According to the World Health Organization (WHO), obesity rates have tripled since 1975. In 2016, more than 1.9 billion people above 17 years were overweight, and of those,
over 650 million were obese.\textsuperscript{3} The trends in adult BMI in 200 countries showed that the age-standardized prevalence of obesity increased from 3.2\% to 10.8\% in men, from 6.4\% to 14.9\% in women from 1975 to 2014.\textsuperscript{4} Obesity is known to be strongly associated with hypertension (HTN), heart disease, and diabetes.\textsuperscript{5, 6} Worldwide, 23\% of Ischemic heart disease and and 44\% of diabetes have been reported to be associated with overweight and obesity.\textsuperscript{7} Moreover, obesity and HTN are a significant cause of premature death worldwide.\textsuperscript{8, 9} WHO has estimated that 1.13 billion people worldwide have HTN; among them, two-thirds are living in LMICs. The rise in burden of NCDs – major killers of the world’s population- (such as cardiovascular disease (CVD), diabetes, cancer, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) closely parallels rise with the burden of obesity.\textsuperscript{10, 11} Most NCDs have obesity as an important risk factor.\textsuperscript{12, 13} Recently, obesity has also been reported to be a high risk for morbidity and mortality from COVID-19.\textsuperscript{14} COVID-19 has also been reported to have resulted in a higher incidence of obesity.\textsuperscript{15}

Long believed to be a condition affecting affluent countries, obesity is rising even in LMICs.\textsuperscript{16-18} Although it co-exists with malnutrition, more people die of obesity in LMICs than under-nutrition\textsuperscript{19}, which is also true for Nepal.\textsuperscript{20} These three pandemics - obesity, under-nutrition, and climate change—represent a Global Syndemic that affects most people in every country and region worldwide.\textsuperscript{21} If the same trend continues, the health system in LMICs would no longer be able to support the future burden of combined onslaught of NCDs and emergent infectious diseases and the effects of climate change.\textsuperscript{22} The fragility of LMICs to control infectious diseases has been well exposed by COVID-19 pandemic. The weak existing health systems have failed in their ability to cope with a new challenge. The emerging epidemics have put patients with NCD at greater risk of death due to their inability to access treatment. The estimated cost of obesity and NCDs to the countries has been estimated to be up to 9.3\% of Gross Domestic Product (GDP).\textsuperscript{23}

Nepal has, for long, suffered from undernutrition among its children\textsuperscript{24}. However, recent years’ overweight and obesity data show an increasing trend for obesity.\textsuperscript{25-29} This is not entirely surprising given that the country is rapidly undergoing urbanization, change in lifestyle, and dietary pattern.\textsuperscript{25, 30} Based on the global burden of disease data, the cause of death from NCDs in Nepal has reached 66\% in 2017 from 36.1\% in 2009.\textsuperscript{31, 32} Additionally, it is also reported that overweight, obesity, and NCDs occur more frequently among adults who have been undernourished in childhood.\textsuperscript{33, 34} This makes children in LMICS more vulnerable to develop obesity in adult life.

Non-communicable diseases country profiles 2018 estimated NCDs account for 66\% of all deaths in Nepal, in which CVD causes 30\% of deaths.\textsuperscript{35} The prevalence of HTN tripled in 25 years between 1981 and 2006 and increased to more than double in 10 years (2008 to 2019).\textsuperscript{29, 36} A similar trend was seen in the prevalence of overweight or obesity, which increased from 7.20\% to 24.30\% between 2008 to 2019.\textsuperscript{27, 29} The regional prevalence of HTN, overweight, and obesity in Eastern Nepal in 2011 was 34\%, 28\%, and 32\%, respectively.\textsuperscript{37}
Like many LMICs, Nepal is battling a triple burden of diseases: communicable, NCDs, and injuries with CVDs being the most common.\textsuperscript{38} Research done in the last decade shows that the conventional risk factors for CVDs are present in a high proportion in the Nepalese population.\textsuperscript{27} In the absence of a routine surveillance or registry system, the actual burden and trend of CVDs in Nepal remains uncertain. Early detection of individuals at high CVD risk is the cornerstone of primary prevention. Simple routine screening methods such as measuring WHtR, WC, blood pressure (BP), which help detect CVDs, are not routinely practiced in LMICs because of heavy patient load and staff shortage.

A simple anthropometric measurement could be used to determine the risk of having hypertension. Several studies show that the different anthropometric measurements of obesity can predict CVDs risk, such as HTN, though the best anthropometric measurement as a predictor of HTN remains contentious and controversial. BMI, WC, and WHtR are commonly used anthropometric screening tools to predict HTN and other CVDs.\textsuperscript{39} Some studies have suggested that WC and WHtR may be better predictors for HTN and CVD risk,\textsuperscript{40-45} while other studies suggest that BMI and WC as better predictors of HTN.\textsuperscript{46-48} A meta-analysis suggested that WC is a better predictor for CVDs risks such as HTN and recommended that it should be used in clinics and research.\textsuperscript{49}

Most reported studies on obesity, HTN, and their association had been conducted in community settings. There is a paucity of hospital data on the burden of obesity, HTN, and an association between them in outpatient hospital settings of LMICs. So, this study was designed to find out burden of obesity and HTN among patients attending hospital and compare the ability of three different currently available anthropometric measurements to predict HTN in the hospital outpatients in low-income setting.

**Methods**

**Study design and study population**

This hospital-based cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted from June to December 2019 at Hospital for Children Eye, ENT and Rehabilitation Services (CHEERS), Bhaktapur, Nepal. We used systematic random sampling to select the participants. Every third consecutive participant aged 40 to 69 visiting the Health Promotion and Risk Factor Screening (HP-RFS) service of CHEERS during the study period constituted the study population. The sample size calculation was based on hypertension’s prevalence of 46.7% (P)\textsuperscript{28}. Margin of error considered as 5% (D), 95% confidence level (Z=1.96) and 80% response rate. The formulae used for sample size calculation is $N=(Z^2*P(1-P))/(D^2)$. The calculated sample size is multiplied by the number of domains to obtain the final sample size. The numbers of domains were decided to be two age groups and two genders. Based on the calculation, the minimum sample size required was 1,913. All participants were informed about the purpose of the screening service; informed consent was
obtained before anthropometric measurements. Pregnant women and people unable to stand properly were excluded from data analysis for this study.

**Anthropometric measurements**

A standardized protocol is followed at the hospital for anthropometric measurements. A community medicine auxiliary (CMA) was trained on an existing protocol for obtaining anthropometric measurements for height, weight, WC, and BP. The height, weight, and WC were measured on a portable digital weighing scale (Equinox weighing scale), stadiometer (Prestige stadiometer), and constant tension tape, respectively. The participants were asked to remove bulky clothes, shoes, and cap before taking measurements. The WC in cm was measured at the midpoint between the lower edge of the rib cage and the iliac crest after a full expiration. BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height in meter squared (m²). The WHtR was calculated as WC in cm divided by height in cm. Besides anthropometric measurements, socio-demographic information and history of HTN (anti-HTN medication) was also enquired.

The standard value for WHtR was considered as ‘no increased risk’ (WHtR <0.5); ‘increased risk’ (WHtR ≥ 0.5 to <0.6) and ‘very high-risk’ (WHtR ≥ 0.6). Similarly, for WC, WC=90 cm for male and WC=80 cm for females was considered as ‘cutoff value’. The standard weight status categories associated with BMI range of 18.5 - 24.9 kg/m² were considered normal, whereas 25.0 to 29.9 kg/m² and 30 kg/m² were considered overweight and obese.

BP of the participants was measured by aneroid sphygmonanometer with adult cuff size. Participants were asked to sit quietly for 15 mins, leg uncrossed in a comfortable position. BP was measured in the left arm, placing the cuff at the heart level, placing the artery mark in the cuff at the level of the brachial artery. Blood pressure in participants with initial high blood pressure was re-measured after 15 minutes rest.

**Statistical analysis**

The participants were classified as hypertensive if their systolic blood pressure (SBP) was 140 mmHg or higher and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was 90 mm Hg or higher and pre-hypertensive (Pre-HTN) if SBP levels were between 120-139 mmHg and/or DBP levels were between 80-89 mmHg. The participants were also considered hypertensive if they were taking antihypertensive medication, even though their BP was normal at the time of measurement. The participants who did not fit in all of the above categories were considered as normotensive.

Collected data were instantly entered in Excel (MS Office 2010). Data analysis was done using R language, version 4.0.0. Continuous variables are shown as the mean, standard deviation (SD), and categorical variables as frequency and percentage. Independent sample t-test was done to compare mean values of continuous variable between different groups. We used logistic regression analysis to find the effect of socio-demographic variables, different obesity metrics separately, and adjusted odds ratio (AOR) for hypertensive compared to the non-hypertensive group was analyzed by entering age and sex in a model with
socio-demographic variables in separate different analysis models. Odds ratios (OR) were also reported in 95% Confidence Interval. We calculated correlation analysis and calculated Spearman’s product-moment correlation coefficient. The area under Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve of BMI, WHtR and WC on predicting hypertension with 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated. The CI, which did not include 0.5 were considered to indicate significant results. The p-value <0.05 was considered significant for all tests.

Results

This study included 2,256 randomly selected participants from 6,769, persons 40-69 years visiting Health Promotion and Risk factors assessment (HP-RFS) service at CHEERS from June to December 2019. The mean (SD) age of the participants was 51.75 years. The visitors to this specialty hospital came to the hospital for eye and ENT consultations.

The mean (SD) of the measurement in different domains are shown in table 1. The mean (SD) BMI was 25.29 (3.81) kg/m$^2$ and 26.72 (4.44) kg/m$^2$ for male and female participants respectively (p<0.01). The mean BMI was observed to gradually decrease with advancing age in both male and female participants. The mean (SD) WHtR was 0.56 (0.06) and 0.58 (0.08) for male and female (p<0.01) respectively. Similarly, the mean (SD) WC was 90.96 (10.32) cm and 88.24 (10.94) cm for males and females (p<0.01) respectively.

Table 1: Mean (SD) of different anthropometric measurements according to age and gender

| Age group (Years) | Gender | p-value | Male | Mean | SD | Female | Mean | SD | p-value* |
|-------------------|--------|---------|------|------|----|--------|------|----|---------|
| 40-54             |        |         |      | Mean | SD |        | Mean | SD |         |
|                   | 118.46 | 14.96   | 122.77 | 16.49 | <0.01 | 121.47 | 15.50 | 118.90 | 15.74 | <0.01 |
|                   | 79.21  | 10.74   | 79.39 | 10.25 | 0.69 | 79.98 | 10.67 | 78.69 | 10.43 | <0.01 |
|                   | 65.55  | 10.81   | 61.58 | 11.41 | <0.01 | 67.41 | 11.15 | 61.32 | 10.48 | <0.01 |
|                   | 157.60 | 8.84    | 155.47 | 9.15 | <0.01 | 163.19 | 7.23 | 151.54 | 6.62 | <0.01 |
|                   | 89.51  | 10.38   | 89.40 | 11.36 | 0.83 | 90.96 | 10.32 | 88.24 | 10.94 | <0.01 |
|                   | 0.57   | 0.07    | 0.58 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.56 | 0.06 | 0.58 | 0.08 | <0.01 |
|                   | 26.41  | 4.08    | 25.50 | 4.41 | <0.01 | 25.29 | 3.81 | 26.72 | 4.44 | <0.01 |

* independent sample t-test

Prevalence of Obesity and overweight

The prevalence of obesity (BMI $\geq$ 30 kg/m$^2$) and overweight (BMI= 25.0 to 29.9 kg/m$^2$) was 16.09% and 42.20% respectively. Female participants had higher prevalence of obesity (21.4%) than male (9.6%) (p-value<0.001). The observed prevalence of overweight using BMI, among males and females, was 42.8% and
41.7%, respectively, was statistically not significant (p-value = 0.6121). Younger age groups had a significantly higher prevalence (p-value < 0.001) of overweight and obesity in both genders. Table 2 summarizes obesity and overweight according to gender and age groups. The odds ratio for being obese, comparing females to males was 2.58 (95% CI:2.01-3.31), and that of being overweight was 0.95 (95% CI:0.81-1.13).

The prevalence of obesity by WHtR was 32.76%, which is higher than obesity measured by BMI. Female participants had a higher prevalence (40.1%) than male participants (23.8%), and the difference is statistically significant (p-value < 0.001). Age group 40 – 54 years had a significantly higher prevalence of abdominal obesity among female participants (37.59% vs 44.52%, p=0.0195), which was not different among male participants (23.96% vs 23.66%, p=0.974).

High WC was observed among 66.76% of participants; female participants had much higher prevalence (77.46%) than males (53.73%) (p<0.001). Thus, WC detected the highest prevalence of obesity compared to the other two metrics; the prevalence is not significantly different in-between age groups.

Table 2: BMI, WHtR and WC classification according to age and sex

| Characteristic | n   | BMI          | WHtR       | WC# (CM) |
|----------------|-----|--------------|------------|----------|
|                |     | ≥ 30.0 kg/m² | 25.0 - 29.9 kg/m² | < 25.0 kg/m² | > 0.60 | 0.50 - 0.59 | < 0.50 | ≥ cut off | < cut off |
| Age Group (Years) | | | | | | | | | |
| All            | 2,256 | 16.09 | 42.20 | 41.71 | 32.76 | 53.19 | 14.05 | 66.76 | 33.24 |
| Male           | All age | 1,048 | 9.60 | 42.80 | 47.50 | 23.80 | 60.00 | 16.20 | 53.73 | 46.27 |
|                | 40-54 | 634  | 10.88 | 47.48 | 41.64 | 23.65 | 60.25 | 16.09 | 55.68 | 44.32 |
|                | 55-69 | 384  | 7.55  | 35.16 | 57.29 | 23.96 | 59.63 | 16.41 | 50.52 | 49.48 |
| Female         | All age | 1,238 | 21.40 | 41.70 | 36.90 | 40.10 | 47.60 | 12.30 | 77.46 | 22.54 |
|                | 40-54 | 782  | 21.74 | 44.37 | 33.89 | 37.59 | 50.00 | 12.40 | 77.75 | 22.25 |
|                | 55-69 | 456  | 20.83 | 37.06 | 42.10 | 44.52 | 43.42 | 12.06 | 76.97 | 23.06 |

# cut off value of WC for male is 90 cm and for female is 80 cm

Prevalence of Hypertension

The prevalence of pre-HTN and HTN, including unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios of different categories, are shown in table 3. The overall prevalence of HTN and pre-HTN was 40.67% and 36.77%, respectively. Male participants had a slightly higher prevalence of HTN (42.72%) than female participants (39.00%). The prevalence of HTN for both genders was found to increase as the age group increased. Prevalence of Pre-HTN was found to be 36.77 % and 35.11% among male and female participants. A staggering 81.50% of male and 74.11% of female participants had either established HTN or pre HTN (HTN suspects). A
concerning finding was that among 916 participants with HTN, 57.4% didn't know they had raised BP before this study.

Age-adjusted AOR for being hypertensive for females compared to males was 0.86 (95% CI:0.72-1.02), and sex sex-adjusted AOR for being hypertensive was 1.61 (95% CI: 1.35-1.91) for the age group 55-69 compared to age group 40-54.

Table 3: HTN, socio-demographic variables, and risk factors

| Pre HTN | HTN | Unadjusted Odds Ratio for HTN (95% CI) | Adjusted Odds ratio* for HTN (95% CI) |
|---------|-----|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| All     | 828 (36.77) | 916 (40.67) | 1 | 1 |
| Sex     |       |                                       |                                       |
| Female  | 434 (35.11) | 482 (39.00) | 0.86 (0.72-1.01) | 0.86(0.72-1.02) |
| Male    | 394 (38.78) | 434 (42.72) | 1 | 1 |
| Age group (Years) |       |                                       |                                       |
| 40-54   | 544 (38.50) | 514 (36.38) | 1 | 1 |
| 55-69   | 284 (33.85) | 402 (47.91) | 1.61 (1.35-1.91) | 1.61(1.35-1.91) |
| BMI     |       |                                       |                                       |
| < 25 kg/m² | 337 (35.93) | 312 (33.26) | 1 | 1 |
| ≥ 25 kg/m² | 491 (37.37) | 604 (45.97) | 1.74 (1.43-2.03) | 1.89 (1.58-2.26) |
| WHtR    |       |                                       |                                       |
| < 0.5  | 93 (31.74) | 83 (28.33) | 1 | 1 |
| ≥ 0.5  | 735 (37.52) | 833 (42.52) | 1.87 (1.43-2.45) | 1.92 (1.46-2.52) |
| WC# (CM) |       |                                       |                                       |
| < cutoff | 281 (37.57) | 235 (31.42) | 1 | 1 |
| ≥ cutoff | 547 (36.37) | 681 (45.28) | 1.81 (1.50-2.17) | 2.02 (1.66-2.45) |

# cut off value of WC for male is 90 cm and for female is 80 cm

* AOR were adjusted for age and sex variables

Obesity and HTN

The prevalence of HTN among the participants with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², WHtR ≥ 0.5, and WC ≥ cutoff value was 45.97%, 42.52%, and 45.28%, respectively. Not surprisingly, a higher prevalence of HTN was found among participants with either overweight or obesity than participants with average weight (P-value<0.001). Age and sex-adjusted AOR for being hypertensive among high BMI, WHtR, and WC than normal; were 1.89 (1.58-2.26), 1.92 (1.46-2.52), and 2.02 (1.66-2.45), respectively indicating WHtR and WC's ability to predict HTN than BMI better.

Table 4 shows the correlation between BMI, WC, WHtR, BP, and Age, including level of significance. A strong positive correlation (r=0.682, P<0.01) was found between WC and BMI. WC had highest positive correlation (r=0.188, p<0.01) with SBP than other two metrics. However, BMI had highest positive correlation (r=0.214,
p<0.01) with HTN than other metrics. The relationship between all three anthropometric metrics and SBP was found higher than with DBP.

| Table 4. Correlation between BMI, WHtR, WC, SBP, DBP, and Age |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | BMI             | WC (CM)         | WHtR            | SBP (mmHg)      | DBP (mmHg)      |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| BMI             | 1               | 0.682**         | 0.770**         | 0.154**         | 0.214**         |
| WC (CM)         | 0.682**         | 1               | 0.884**         | 0.188**         | 0.192**         |
| WHtR            | 0.770**         | 0.884**         | 1               | 0.168**         | 0.183**         |
| SBP (mmHg)      | 0.154**         | 0.188**         | 0.168**         | 1               | 0.726**         |
| DBP (mmHg)      | 0.214**         | 0.192**         | 0.183**         | 0.726**         | 1               |
| Age (Years)     | -0.120**        | -0.004          | 0.054*          | 0.137**         | -0.007          |

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analyses were used to determine the relative ability of the three-obesity metrics to predict HTN as depicted in Fig. 1 and Table 5. The areas under the curve (AUC) were significantly higher than 0.5 for BMI (0.593, 95% CI: 0.569-0.616), WC (0.610, 95% CI: 0.586-0.633) and WHtR (0.602, 95% CI: 0.578-0.625). In both gender and all age groups, AUC is significantly higher than 0.5 (P<0.01)

| Table 5. Sex and Age-specific comparisons of the area under ROC curves of BMI, WC, and WHtR |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | BMI             | WC (CM)         | WHtR            |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| All             | 0.593 (0.569-0.616)* | 0.610 (0.586-0.633)* | 0.602 (0.578-0.625)* |
| Sex             |                  |                 |                 |
| Male            | 0.620 (0.585-0.654)* | 0.620 (0.586-0.655)* | 0.629 (0.595-0.664)* |
| Female          | 0.581 (0.549-0.614)* | 0.598 (0.566-0.630)* | 0.590 (0.558-0.623)* |
| Age (Years)     |                  |                 |                 |
| 40-54           | 0.600 (0.570-0.631)* | 0.606 (0.563-0.625)* | 0.594 (0.563-0.625)* |
| 55-69           | 0.610 (0.572-0.648)* | 0.620 (0.583-0.658)* | 0.609 (0.572-0.647)* |

* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

**Discussion**

This descriptive study is an outcome of an opportunistic Risk Factor Screening (RFS) for NCDs, obesity, and HTN at the Health Promotion Unit of a tertiary Eye and ENT hospital in Bhaktapur, Nepal.

The overall prevalence of obesity using BMI in this study was 16.09%, which is higher than obesity prevalence reported in the 2019 NCD Risk Factors STEPS survey Nepal, where only 5.42% of the same age-group were reported be obese²⁹. This might be due to different due to settings of the two studies as this study was done in semi-urban area of Kathmandu valley, whereas STEP survey covered both urban and rural areas. Additionally, using BMI, we found that more than two in ten (21.40%) women and nearly one in ten (9.60%) men coming to the hospital were obese, which is nearly double the previously reported values in the
The prevalence of HTN in our study was 40.67%, and men had a slightly higher prevalence of HTN (42.72%) than women (39.00%). Our findings are comparable to the 2019 STEPS survey Nepal and 2016 Nepal DHS, where the prevalence of HTN was 40.91% and 32.6%, respectively. In the present study and the other two nation-wide surveys, the prevalence of HTN increased with increasing age. One in three participants with pre-
HTN detected in this study would be an important finding indicating the possible group of patients who could turn into hypertensive in near future, if not intervened. A disturbing finding of this study was that 57.6% of hypertensive patients, who presumably had better health-seeking behavior, didn’t know that they had raised BP before this study. This should alert hospital leaders to launch health promotion programs to raise awareness about HTN in hospitals and their surrounding community.

Although the prevalence of obesity was higher in the present study than nation-wide survey; however, the prevalence of HTN is almost similar to community-based national surveys. Obesity is an earlier event in the evolution of HTN, which develops over a period of time with progressive deposition of atheromatous materials in the blood vessels of people who, by and large, have increased body fat, although HTN can occur in thin people as well. It would, therefore be reasonable to assume that obesity is a precursor of non-genetic HTN and therefore develops earlier than HTN. In this regard, we propose to follow a cohort of our participants who have obesity but did not have raised BP.

At our hospital, we refer persons found to be overweight or obese to a counselor in the next room who advises them for appropriate life style modifications and if necessary, refers to Exercise Medicine unit and to an in-house General Practitioner for any associated disease conditions.

**Obesity and Hypertension**

In the present study, using all metrics, a significantly higher prevalence of HTN was found among participants with either overweight or obesity compared to participants with normal weight. Also, WC participants greater than the cutoff value were twice (2.02; 95% CI: 1.66-2.45) likely to be hypertensive than people with normal WC. This is supported by several studies in different countries (Italy, USA, India).\(^{52-55}\)

This study, also found a statistically significant positive correlation between all the anthropometric metrics and both SBP and DBP. These findings are in agreement with other studies with different populations which support a strong relationship between different obesity metrics and BP across developed and developing countries. The Olivetti Heart Study also showed a weak, but significant and positive correlation between WC and SBP \(r = 0.191, P < 0.001\), and DBP \(r = 0.166, P < 0.001\) as well.\(^{56}\)

An important finding of the present study is that while BMI, WC, and WHtR were all predictors of HTN, WC was the best predictor overall and BMI the least, WHtR being better predictor for males. However, WC was better for females, both genders combined, and the age-group in the present study. Other studies have also shown that both WC or WHtR is a better predictor for HTN than BMI. A Brazilian cohort study also showed that WC and WHtR were better predictors of HTN in adults over 18, with an AUC value of 0.66 and 0.64,
respectively, while BMI was 0.62. However, the associations were only significant for women as in our study.\textsuperscript{55} The study in India showed AUC values as 0.694, 0.667 and 0.634 for WHtR, WC and BMI respectively.\textsuperscript{57} The study done in eastern India also showed AUC values of BMI, WC, and WHtR were 0.654, 0.676, and 0.693, respectively, indicating WC and WHtR as a better predictor for HTN than BMI.\textsuperscript{58}

The greater value of this study lies in its ability to signal out very high prevalence of obesity and HTN in people coming to a tertiary care hospital, that is being missed on a day to day basis in clinical setting of busy hospitals of LMICs with inadequate health resources.

Although prospective, this is still a single-center, observational, cross-sectional study design, hence a causal relationship between an increase in weight over the optimal level and raised BP can not be established. The study was performed with the limited objective of finding an inexpensive, simple enough measure of obesity which could be conducted by even non-medical personnel.

**Conclusions**

The present study showed that WC measured with an inexpensive non-tension tape was not inferior to BMI and WHtR as metrics for obesity detection. Obesity thus detected, correlated well with HTN; had higher predicting ability for HTN than two other metrics (WHtR and BMI). Because of its low cost, simplicity of measurement, and its better ability to predict HTN, it may become a more frequently used tool in health facilities.

However, validation through larger studies in different settings (multicenter studies) is required for further confirmation before becoming a universal tool for routine and research use at the national level. Regardless of the anthropometric metrics to measure obesity, the hospital setting is an opportune venue to screen overweight, obesity, and hypertension, which are major risk factors of NCDs. This is not standard practice as yet in many LMICs.

Finally, this study is an outcome of CHEERS’ evolving practice model of proactive, person-centered care, followed at this hospital, which is an approach to reorienting the health system by incorporating health promotion activities in contrast to the mainstream health system’s current practice of disease-focused, organ-centered, fragmented care.
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**Figure 1**

Comparison of the ROC curves of WC, WHR, and BMI in total participants