Increased Risk of Hospital Admission for Asthma in Children From Short-Term Exposure to Air Pollution: Case-Crossover Evidence From Northern China
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Background: Previous studies suggested that exposure to air pollution could increase risk of asthma attacks in children. The aim of this study is to investigate the short-term effects of exposure to ambient air pollution on asthma hospital admissions in children in Beijing, a city with serious air pollution and high-quality medical care at the same time.

Methods: We collected hospital admission data of asthma patients aged ≤18 years old from 56 hospitals from 2013 to 2016 in Beijing, China. Time-stratified case-crossover design and conditional Poisson regression were applied to explore the association between risk of asthma admission in children and the daily concentration of six air pollutants [particulate matter ≤2.5 µm (PM2.5), particulate matter ≤10 µm (PM10), sulfur dioxide (SO2), nitrogen dioxide (NO2), carbon monoxide (CO), and ozone (O3)], adjusting for meteorological factors and other pollutants. Additionally, stratified analyses were performed by age, gender, and season.

Results: In the single-pollutant models, higher levels of PM2.5, SO2, and NO2 were significantly associated with increased risk of hospital admission for asthma in children. The strongest effect was observed in NO2 at lag0-6 (RR = 1.25, 95%CI: 1.06-1.48), followed by SO2 at lag0-5 (RR = 1.17, 95%CI: 1.05–1.31). The robustness of effects of SO2 and NO2 were shown in two-pollutant models. Stratified analyses further indicated that pre-school children (aged ≤6 years) were more susceptible to SO2. The effects of SO2 were stronger in the cold season, while the effects of NO2 were stronger in the warm season. No significant sex-specific differences were observed.

Conclusions: These results suggested that high levels of air pollution had an adverse effect on childhood asthma, even in a region with high-quality healthcare. Therefore, it will be significant to decrease hospital admissions for asthma in children by controlling air pollution emission and avoiding exposure to air pollution.
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INTRODUCTION

Asthma is a common chronic respiratory disease without curable treatment. It has a substantial burden of disease, and ~273,000,000 people are afflicted worldwide (1). As the Global Burden of Disease Study reported, there were 495,100 deaths due to asthma at the global level and 22,800,000 disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) attributable to asthma in 2017 (2, 3). Compared with adults, children are more prone to suffering from asthma. According to the Global Burden of Disease Study, asthma approximately accounted for 2.29% of the global total DALYs among children aged 5–14 years in 2019 (4), implying that efficiently reducing childhood asthma hospital visits will bring about great public health benefits.

As a heterogeneous disease caused by genetic and environmental factors, asthma can be controlled by medicines and avoiding exposure to risk factors. In children, several environmental risk factors have been identified to be correlated with asthma exacerbation, such as viral infection, allergen exposure, cigarette smoking, and outdoor air pollution (5, 6). Children have relatively immature lungs and immune systems and inhale a larger volume of air per body weight (7), so they are more susceptible to the adverse respiratory effects of air pollution than adults.

Over the past few years, numerous researches showed that air pollution was associated with a significantly increased risk of outpatient and inpatient visits for asthma in children (8, 9). Even though the air quality has been improved in the past decades, air pollution remains one of the most serious public environmental problems worldwide. The effect of air pollution exposure on childhood asthma still requires constant attention.

As the capital of China, Beijing is one of the most economically developed cities with high-quality healthcare in China, and asthma was better controlled and had a lower hospitalization rate than other cities in China (10, 11). At the same time, Beijing is located in the northern region of China (39°56’N, 116°20’E), with a serious condition of air pollution. To reveal how air pollution affects childhood asthma attack on the condition of poor air quality and high-quality medical care, it will be worthwhile to explore the impact of air pollution on hospital admissions for asthma in children in Beijing.

This study aimed to investigate the short-term effects of ambient particulate matter ≤ 2.5 µm (PM2.5), particulate matter ≤ 10 µm (PM10), sulfur dioxide (SO2), nitrogen dioxide (NO2), carbon monoxide (CO), and ozone (O3) on hospital admissions for childhood asthma in Beijing, a city with poor air quality and high-quality medical care at the same time. Additionally, gender, aged-, and season-stratified analyses were performed to explore susceptible populations and the potential effect of modification.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population and Data Collection

This study enrolled all Beijing residents aged ≤18 years old who were admitted to a hospital in Beijing from 1st January 2013 to 31st December 2016 with a primary diagnosis of asthma (ICD-10, J45-46). The hospital admissions data were collected by the Beijing Municipal Health Commission Information Center (http://www.phic.org.cn/) from all public and private hospitals in Beijing. Each record includes hospital name, patient’s gender, age, birthplace, current address, registration address, hospital admission date, the main discharge diagnoses, etc. All population data were collected anonymously in this study.

Air pollution data were obtained from China National Environmental Monitoring Centre. Continuous hourly concentrations of PM2.5, PM10, SO2, NO2, CO, and O3 were collected by 12 national air quality monitoring stations, which were widely distributed in Beijing and provided high-quality air monitoring data. Daily 24-h average concentrations were calculated for each pollutant. To control the effects of meteorological conditions, daily mean temperature (Tmean), relative humidity (RH), wind speed (WS), and air pressure (AP) were obtained from Beijing Meteorological Service (http://bj.cma.gov.cn/).

Many different respiratory viruses are associated with asthma exacerbations, and influenza viruses are frequently associated with those requiring hospitalization (12, 13). We adjusted influenza epidemic in this study, and influenza epidemic was defined as when the positive rate of influenza isolation in any given week exceeded 20% of the maximum weekly positive rate of influenza isolation in the whole surveillance season (from the 27th week of the previous year to the 26th week of the following year) in northern China (14). Data on influenza surveillance was accessed from the Chinese National Influenza Center (CNIC) (http://www.chinaivdc.cn/cnic/), which reports weekly influenza isolation positive rates in the northern and southern in China separately.

Study Design

Case-crossover design has unique advantages in controlling time-invariant individual confounders such as individual metabolic and behavioral factors, as the cases themselves serve as their own controls (15). It has been widely used for investigating acute effects of air pollution on various health outcomes (16, 17).

A time-stratified case-crossover design was applied to assess the effect of short-term exposure to ambient air pollution on daily childhood asthma hospital admissions as a result of moderate and severe asthma attacks. In this study, the case day was defined as the date of asthma hospital admission. The control days were selected from the same day of the week during the same calendar year and month to control for potential confounding effects of day of the week, long-term trend and seasonality. This strategy resulted in 3 or 4 control days for each case. For example, if the case day (7th January 2013) was Monday, the remaining Mondays in the same month (14th, 21st, and 28th January 2013) would be matched as control days.

Statistical Analysis

The correlations among the air pollutants and meteorological factors during the study period were analyzed using Spearman’s correlation coefficient.

Conditional Poisson regression model, which has the advantage of readily allowing for overdispersion and autocorrelation (18), was used to evaluate the effect of exposure
to air pollution on childhood asthma. In order to select the best-fit model, all meteorological factors, influenza epidemic, and public holiday were adjusted one by one in the following basic model:

\[ \log[E(Y_t)] = \beta Z_t + ns(Z_t, 3) + \ldots + \text{factor}(X_t) + \text{intercept} \]

where, \( t \) is the day of observation; \( Y_t \) refers to the number of hospital admissions for childhood asthma on day \( t \); \( \beta \) refers to the vector of coefficients for \( Z_t \); \( Z_t \) refers to the daily average level of the air pollutant on day \( t \); \( ns() \) indicates natural cubic spline with 3 degrees of freedom (df) to control for non-linearity of meteorological factors \( X_t \); \( \text{factor}(X_t) \) indicates a classification variable to adjust for the effect of influenza epidemic and public holiday; intercept is the constant term. We selected the final model based on the minimum Akaike information criterion for quasi-Poisson (Q-AIC) value (19).

Based on the minimum Q-AIC value, the final single-pollutant model adjusted for daily mean temperature, influenza epidemic, and public holiday was shown as follows:

\[ \log[E(Y_t)] = \beta Z_t + ns(T_{\text{mean}}, \text{df}=3) + \text{factor}(\text{influenza}) + \text{factor}(\text{holiday}) + \text{intercept} \]

where, \( t \) is the day of observation; \( Y_t \) refers to the number of childhood asthma admissions on day \( t \); \( \beta \) refers to the vector of coefficients for \( Z_t \); \( Z_t \) refers to the daily average level of air pollutant on day \( t \); \( ns() \) indicates natural cubic spline with 3 df to control for non-linearity of daily mean temperature; \( T_{\text{mean}} \) is daily mean temperature on the same day in the original model; \( \text{factor}(\text{influenza}) \) indicates a classification variable to adjust for the effect of influenza epidemic; \( \text{factor}(\text{holiday}) \) indicates a classification variable to adjust for the effect of public holiday; intercept is the constant term.

Previous studies have shown that air pollution has lag effects on asthma (20, 21). In this study, the single-day lags from the current day up to the previous 1–6 days (lag0 to lag6) and multi-day moving average lags of current and previous 1–6 days (lag01 to lag06) were applied to evaluate the lag effects of air pollutants. In this study, the strongest single lag day of a specific pollutant was the day with the strongest effect of this pollutant in single-day lag models. In other words, we obtained the strongest single lag day of each pollutant according to the maximum values of RRs when the RRs were >1. If the RRs of a pollutant were <1 and statistically significant, this pollutant’s strongest single lag day was obtained according to the minimum values of RRs.

We performed stratified analysis by: (1) gender: male vs. female; (2) age: preschool children vs. school-aged children (age under 6 years as preschoolers, 7–18 years as school-aged children in most cities of China including Beijing); (3) season: cold season (from November to March of next year, during which the daily mean temperature was below \( 0^\circ \)C at most time and the urban city burned coal for central heating) vs. warm season (from April to October). The lag effects (from lag0 to lag6 and lag01 to lag06) were also evaluated in stratified analyses. The statistical differences between effect estimates for stratified analyses were examined by calculating 95% confidence interval as shown below:

\[ \hat{Q}_1 - \hat{Q}_2 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\hat{S}^2_1 + \hat{S}^2_2} \]

where \( \hat{Q}_1 \) and \( \hat{Q}_2 \) were the effect estimates for the two categories, and \( \hat{S}^2_1 \) and \( \hat{S}^2_2 \) were their respective standard errors, respectively (22).

### Sensitivity Analysis

Air pollutants usually have a high interaction effect, so we built two-pollutant models for the sensitivity analysis. We changed the df of natural cubic spline function from 4 to 6 for temperature and adjusted the lag effect of temperature for single-day lags (from lag0 to lag6) and multi-day moving average lags (from lag01 to lag06) to explore the robustness of the associations between air pollutants and hospital admissions for childhood asthma.

All analyses were performed using the “gnm” and “splines” packages in R software (version 4.1.1). The results were expressed as the relative risk (RR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of daily hospital admission for childhood asthma associated with per interquartile range (IQR) increase in PM\(_{2.5}\), PM\(_{10}\), SO\(_2\), NO\(_2\), CO, and O\(_3\). Statistical significance was defined as a two-tailed \( p \)-value < 0.05.

#### RESULTS

A total of 779 hospital admissions for childhood asthma between 1st January 2013 and 31st December 2016 from 56 hospitals in Beijing formed our study (Table 1). Most patients were male (65.3%), aged \( \leq 6 \) years (65.5%), and in the warm season (66.1%). Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics of air pollutant concentrations and meteorological factors in our study. During the study, the daily mean concentrations of PM\(_{2.5}\), PM\(_{10}\), SO\(_2\), NO\(_2\), CO, and O\(_3\) were \( 80.94, 108.16, 17.07, 50.80 \) \( \mu \)g/m\(^3\), 1.27 mg/m\(^3\), and 71.37 \( \mu \)g/m\(^3\), respectively. The concentrations of SO\(_2\), NO\(_2\), and CO showed clear seasonal trends (i.e., higher in the cold seasons and lower in the warm seasons).

| Characteristics                | Number of patients | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Total patients                | 779                | -             |
| Gender                        |                    |               |
| Male                          | 509                | 65.3          |
| Female                        | 270                | 34.7          |
| Age                           |                    |               |
| Preschool children            | 510                | 65.5          |
| School-aged children          | 269                | 34.5          |
| Season                        |                    |               |
| Cold season                   | 264                | 33.9          |
| Warm season                   | 515                | 66.1          |
TABLE 2 | Descriptive statistics of daily air pollutants concentrations and meteorological factors in Beijing, China (2013–2016).

|          | Mean   | SD     | Min    | P(25)  | Median | P(75)  | Max    | IQR    |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| PM_{2.5} | 80.94  | 67.44  | 5.53   | 32.00  | 62.13  | 109.5  | 475.43 | 77.50  |
| PM_{10}  | 108.16 | 74.22  | 7.08   | 53.41  | 90.41  | 142.35 | 518.86 | 88.94  |
| SO_2     | 17.07  | 19.38  | 2.01   | 4.43   | 9.36   | 21.83  | 138.37 | 17.40  |
| NO_2     | 50.80  | 24.31  | 6.69   | 33.83  | 44.75  | 62.19  | 156.08 | 28.36  |
| CO       | 1.27   | 0.97   | 0.22   | 0.64   | 0.98   | 1.52   | 8.04   | 0.88   |
| O_3      | 71.37  | 55.33  | 3.18   | 30.78  | 59.85  | 94.93  | 331.08 | 64.15  |
| Tmean (°C)| 12.88  | 11.17  | -16    | 2      | 14     | 23     | 32     | -      |
| RH (%)   | 53.43  | 19.86  | 8      | 38     | 53     | 69     | 97     | -      |
| WS (m/s) | 9.29   | 4.75   | 3      | 6      | 8      | 11     | 34     | -      |
| AP (hPa) | 1016.56| 10.17  | 994    | 1008   | 1016   | 1025   | 1044   | -      |

SD, standard deviation; Min, minimum; Max, maximum; P(25), 25th percentile; P(75), 75th percentile; IQR, interquartile range; Tmean, daily mean temperature; RH, relative humidity; WS, wind speed; AP, air pressure.

TABLE 3 | Spearman’s correlations between air pollutants and meteorological factors.

|          | PM_{10} | SO_2   | NO_2   | CO     | O_3    | Tmean  | RH     | WS     | AP     |
|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| PM_{2.5} | 0.91    | 0.55*  | 0.73*  | 0.86*  | -0.22* | -0.07* | 0.48*  | -0.57* | -0.02  |
| PM_{10}  | -       | 0.58*  | 0.73*  | 0.74*  | -0.20* | -0.05  | 0.29*  | -0.49* | -0.06* |
| SO_2     | -       | -      | 0.70*  | 0.67*  | -0.45* | -0.56* | -0.22* | -0.20* | 0.42*  |
| NO_2     | -       | -      | -      | -      | -0.53* | -0.35* | 0.21*  | -0.62* | 0.26*  |
| CO       | -       | -      | -      | -      | -0.47* | -0.31* | 0.41*  | -0.59* | 0.21*  |
| O_3      | -       | -      | -      | -      | -      | 0.70*  | -0.06* | 0.23*  | -0.62* |
| Tmean    | -       | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | 0.33*  | -0.06* | -0.88* |
| RH       | -       | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -0.59* |
| WS       | -       | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | 0.04   |

*S indicates p value < 0.05; Tmean, daily mean temperature; RH, relative humidity; AP, air pressure; WS, wind speed.

However, the concentration of O_3 showed an inverse trend (Supplementary Figure 1).

The Spearman’s correlations between the different pollutants and meteorological factors are presented in Table 3. The daily concentrations of PM_{2.5}, PM_{10}, SO_2, NO_2, and CO were positively correlated with each other, especially the concentrations of PM_{2.5} and PM_{10} had a strong correlation (r = 0.91). In contrast, the concentration of O_3 was negatively correlated with other pollutants. Moreover, the temperature was positively correlated with O_3 and negatively correlated with SO_2, NO_2, and CO.

In the single-pollutant model (Table 4), higher levels of air pollutants except O_3 were associated with increased risk of asthma hospital admission in children. The statistically significant positive associations with increased risk of asthma admission were observed in: PM_{2.5} at lag2; SO_2 from lag2 to lag4 and lag03 to lag06; NO_2 from lag3 to lag5 and lag04 to lag06. No significant association was found in PM_{10} and CO. The strongest effect was observed in NO_2 at lag06 (RR = 1.25, 95%CI: 1.06–1.48), followed by SO_2 at lag05 (RR = 1.17, 95%CI: 1.05–1.31). For O_3, statistically significant negative associations were detected from lag0 to lag6 and lag01 to lag06, with the strongest effect at lag06 (RR = 0.74, 95%CI: 0.64–0.87).

According to the forementioned definition of strongest single lag day, the strongest single lag days were as follows: lag2 in PM_{2.5} (RR = 1.10, 95%CI: 1.01–1.19), lag3 in PM_{10} (RR = 1.10, 95%CI: 1.01–1.19), lag4 in NO_2 (RR = 1.14, 95%CI: 1.06–1.23), lag3 in NO_2 (RR = 1.13, 95%CI: 1.03–1.25), lag0 in CO (RR = 0.92, 95%CI: 0.84–1.00) and lag1 in O_3 (RR = 0.81, 95%CI: 0.71–0.91).

Tables 5–7 present the association between air pollutants and childhood asthma hospital admissions stratified by gender, age, and season. Higher levels of PM_{10} and CO were significantly positively associated with increased risk of asthma admission in males [PM_{10} at lag2 (RR = 1.12, 95%CI: 1.01–1.25) and CO at lag2 (RR = 1.11, 95%CI: 1.02–1.20)] and in preschool children [PM_{10} from lag2 to lag3, with the maximum effect at lag2 (RR = 1.11, 95%CI: 1.00–1.23)]. However, among overall patients, higher levels of PM_{10} and CO were positively but insignificant associated with increased risk of asthma admission. More detailed results of stratification by gender, age, and season in multi-day moving-average lag models can be found in Supplementary Tables 1–3, respectively.

According to gender-stratified analysis (Table 5; Supplementary Table 1), for males, significant positive associations with increased risk of asthma admission were
TABLE 4 | The relative risk (RR) of hospital admission for asthma in children per interquartile range (IQR) increase in different air pollutants in different lag days:
single-pollutant model.

| PM$_{2.5}$ | PM$_{10}$ | SO$_2$ | NO$_2$ | CO | O$_3$ |
|------------|-----------|--------|--------|----|-------|
| Lag0       | 0.93      | 0.91   | 1.00   | 0.99 | 0.92* | 0.84* |
| (0.85, 1.02)| (0.83, 1.00)| (0.92, 1.09)| (0.90, 1.09)| (0.84, 1.00)| (0.74, 0.95)|
| Lag1       | 1.02      | 1.00   | 1.05   | 1.04 | 0.99  | 0.81* |
| (0.93, 1.11)| (0.91, 1.10)| (0.97, 1.14)| (0.94, 1.14)| (0.91, 1.07)| (0.71, 0.91)|
| Lag2       | 1.10*     | 1.08   | 1.11*  | 1.09 | 1.07  | 0.85* |
| (1.01, 1.19)| (0.99, 1.18)| (1.03, 1.20)| (0.99, 1.20)| (0.99, 1.15)| (0.75, 0.95)|
| Lag3       | 1.08      | 1.09   | 1.13*  | 1.09 | 1.04  | 0.86* |
| (0.99, 1.17)| (1.00, 1.19)| (1.05, 1.22)| (1.03, 1.25)| (0.97, 1.13)| (0.77, 0.97)|
| Lag4       | 1.08      | 1.06   | 1.14*  | 1.13*| 1.05  | 0.81* |
| (0.99, 1.17)| (0.97, 1.16)| (1.06, 1.23)| (1.03, 1.24)| (0.97, 1.13)| (0.72, 0.91)|
| Lag5       | 1.05      | 1.04   | 1.11*  | 1.02 | 1.02  | 0.82* |
| (0.97, 1.15)| (0.95, 1.14)| (0.98, 1.16)| (1.01, 1.23)| (0.94, 1.10)| (0.73, 0.92)|
| Lag6       | 1.05      | 1.03   | 1.05   | 1.06 | 1.00  | 0.84* |
| (0.96, 1.14)| (0.94, 1.13)| (0.97, 1.14)| (0.96, 1.17)| (0.93, 1.09)| (0.75, 0.94)|
| Lag01      | 0.97      | 0.94   | 1.03   | 1.02 | 0.94  | 0.79* |
| (0.87, 1.07)| (0.84, 1.05)| (0.94, 1.14)| (0.91, 1.14)| (0.86, 1.03)| (0.69, 0.91)|
| Lag02      | 1.03      | 0.99   | 1.08   | 1.07 | 0.99  | 0.78* |
| (0.92, 1.15)| (0.88, 1.12)| (0.98, 1.19)| (0.94, 1.21)| (0.90, 1.09)| (0.68, 0.90)|
| Lag03      | 1.06      | 1.04   | 1.12*  | 1.13 | 1.01  | 0.78* |
| (0.94, 1.20)| (0.91, 1.19)| (1.02, 1.24)| (0.99, 1.29)| (0.91, 1.13)| (0.67, 0.90)|
| Lag04      | 1.10      | 1.07   | 1.16*  | 1.19*| 1.04  | 0.76* |
| (0.96, 1.26)| (0.93, 1.24)| (1.05, 1.29)| (1.03, 1.38)| (0.92, 1.16)| (0.65, 0.88)|
| Lag05      | 1.13      | 1.10   | 1.17*  | 1.24*| 1.04  | 0.75* |
| (0.97, 1.30)| (0.94, 1.28)| (1.05, 1.31)| (1.06, 1.45)| (0.92, 1.18)| (0.64, 0.87)|
| Lag06      | 1.15      | 1.11   | 1.17*  | 1.25*| 1.04  | 0.74* |
| (0.98, 1.34)| (0.94, 1.31)| (1.04, 1.31)| (1.06, 1.48)| (0.91, 1.19)| (0.64, 0.87)|

* and bold indicate p value < 0.05. The interquartile range (IQR) of daily concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, CO, and O$_3$ were 77.50, 88.94, 17.40, 28.36 µg/m$^3$, 0.88 mg/m$^3$ and 64.15 µg/m$^3$, respectively.

found in: PM$_{2.5}$ at lag2; PM$_{10}$ at lag2; SO$_2$ from lag2 to lag 5, and from lag 3 to lag6; NO$_2$ from lag2 to lag5, and from lag04 to lag06; CO at lag2. For females, higher levels of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, and CO were positively but insignificantly associated with increased risk of asthma admission. Both in males and females, higher level of O$_3$ was significantly negatively associated with increased risk of asthma admission. Although the effects of all six pollutants (especially SO$_2$ and NO$_2$) in males seemed to be stronger than in females, we did not detect any significant differences between the two groups.

According to age-stratified analysis (Table 6; Supplementary Table 2), for preschool children, we only observed significant positive associations with increased risk of asthma admission in: PM$_{2.5}$ at lag2; PM$_{10}$ from lag2 to lag3; SO$_2$ from lag2 to lag4 and lag02 to lag06; NO$_2$ from lag3 to lag4 and lag04 to lag 06. Both in preschool children and school-aged children, higher level of O$_3$ was significantly negatively associated with increased risk of asthma admission. No significant association was found in CO in all age groups. Except CO, the effects of pollutants in preschool children were stronger than in school-aged children, while significant differences were detected only in SO$_2$ and O$_3$ between the two groups.

According to season-stratified analysis (Table 7; Supplementary Table 3), for the cold season, significant positive associations with increased risk of asthma admission were found in: SO$_2$ from lag1 to lag6 and lag02 to lag06; NO$_2$ at lag3. For the warm season, significant positive associations were found in: PM$_{2.5}$ from lag05 to lag06; NO$_2$ from lag4 to lag6, at lag01 and from lag03 to lag06. Both in the cold season and the warm season, higher level of O$_3$ was significantly negatively associated with increased risk of asthma admission. The effects of NO$_2$ in the warm season were significantly stronger than in the cold season. While the effects of SO$_2$ was significantly stronger in the cold season than in the warm season. The effects of PM$_{2.5}$ seem to be stronger in the warm season, while no statistically significances were detected between two seasons. The maximum protective effects of O$_3$ were found in the cold season, but it had no statistically significant differences compared with in the warm season.

The results of the two-pollutants models are presented in Table 8. Strongest single lag days for each pollutant were determined in the single-pollutant model, and then we added additional air pollutants for adjustment. Because the concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ were strongly correlated, we analyzed all pollutants except for PM$_{10}$ in two-pollutant...
TABLE 5 | The relative risk (RR) of hospital admission for asthma in children per interquartile range (IQR) increase in different air pollutants in different lag days: single-pollutant model, stratified by gender.

|       | PM$_{2.5}$ | PM$_{10}$ | SO$_2$ | NO$_2$ | CO | O$_3$ |
|-------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|----|-------|
| Male  |            |           |        |        |    |       |
| Lag0  | 0.91       | 0.89      | 1.00   | 0.98   | 0.90* | 0.83* |
|       | (0.82, 1.02)| (0.79, 1.00)| (0.91, 1.11)| (0.87, 1.10)| (0.81, 0.99)| (0.72, 0.97)|
| Lag1  | 1.02       | 0.99      | 1.07   | 1.05   | 0.99 | 0.82* |
|       | (0.92, 1.13)| (0.89, 1.11)| (0.97, 1.17)| (0.94, 1.17)| (0.90, 1.08)| (0.71, 0.95)|
| Lag2  | 1.14*      | 1.13      | 1.12*  | 1.14*  | 1.11*| 0.90  |
|       | (1.04, 1.25)| (1.01, 1.20)| (1.03, 1.23)| (1.02, 1.27)| (1.02, 1.20)| (0.79, 1.04)|
| Lag3  | 1.06       | 1.10      | 1.14*  | 1.15*  | 1.05 | 0.90  |
|       | (0.96, 1.18)| (0.99, 1.22)| (1.04, 1.24)| (1.03, 1.29)| (0.96, 1.15)| (0.79, 1.03)|
| Lag4  | 1.09       | 1.07      | 1.14*  | 1.14*  | 1.06 | 0.80* |
|       | (0.98, 1.20)| (0.96, 1.19)| (1.05, 1.25)| (1.02, 1.27)| (0.98, 1.16)| (0.69, 0.92)|
| Lag5  | 1.08       | 1.05      | 1.10*  | 1.14*  | 1.04 | 0.78* |
|       | (0.98, 1.19)| (0.94, 1.17)| (1.01, 1.20)| (1.02, 1.27)| (0.95, 1.14)| (0.68, 0.90)|
| Lag6  | 1.06       | 1.04      | 1.07   | 1.09   | 1.02 | 0.77* |
|       | (0.96, 1.18)| (0.94, 1.16)| (0.97, 1.17)| (0.98, 1.22)| (0.93, 1.12)| (0.67, 0.89)|
| Lag06 | 1.18       | 1.14      | 1.20*  | 1.32*  | 1.08 | 0.74* |
|       | (0.99, 1.42)| (0.95, 1.38)| (1.06, 1.37)| (1.09, 1.61)| (0.93, 1.26)| (0.62, 0.89)|
| Female|            |           |        |        |    |       |
| Lag0  | 0.98       | 0.95      | 1.00   | 1.02   | 0.96 | 0.85  |
|       | (0.84, 1.14)| (0.80, 1.11)| (0.87, 1.16)| (0.87, 1.21)| (0.84, 1.11)| (0.69, 1.04)|
| Lag1  | 1.01       | 1.01      | 1.02   | 1.02   | 0.98 | 0.78* |
|       | (0.87, 1.17)| (0.86, 1.18)| (0.88, 1.18)| (0.86, 1.20)| (0.86, 1.12)| (0.63, 0.96)|
| Lag2  | 1.00       | 0.99      | 1.09   | 0.99   | 0.98 | 0.75* |
|       | (0.88, 1.17)| (0.84, 1.16)| (0.95, 1.25)| (0.84, 1.17)| (0.85, 1.13)| (0.61, 0.92)|
| Lag3  | 1.10       | 1.07      | 1.11   | 1.09   | 1.03 | 0.79* |
|       | (0.95, 1.27)| (0.92, 1.25)| (0.97, 1.27)| (0.92, 1.28)| (0.90, 1.18)| (0.65, 0.97)|
| Lag4  | 1.06       | 1.05      | 1.13   | 1.11   | 1.02 | 0.83  |
|       | (0.91, 1.22)| (0.9, 1.22)| (0.99, 1.30)| (0.94, 1.31)| (0.89, 1.16)| (0.69, 1.01)|
| Lag5  | 1.00       | 1.02      | 0.99   | 1.06   | 0.96 | 0.89  |
|       | (0.88, 1.16)| (0.87, 1.19)| (0.86, 1.15)| (0.90, 1.25)| (0.83, 1.11)| (0.74, 1.08)|
| Lag6  | 1.01       | 1.00      | 1.02   | 1.00   | 0.97 | 0.95  |
|       | (0.87, 1.18)| (0.85, 1.18)| (0.88, 1.18)| (0.84, 1.19)| (0.84, 1.12)| (0.79, 1.14)|
| Lag06 | 1.07       | 1.05      | 1.10   | 1.12   | 0.95 | 0.75* |
|       | (0.82, 1.41)| (0.79, 1.39)| (0.90, 1.34)| (0.84, 1.50)| (0.75, 1.21)| (0.58, 0.97)|

* and bold indicate p value < 0.05. The interquartile range (IQR) of daily concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, CO, and O$_3$ were 77.50, 88.94, 17.40, 28.36 µg/m$^3$, 0.88 mg/m$^3$ and 64.15 µg/m$^3$, respectively.

models. The effects of SO$_2$, NO$_2$, and O$_3$ were still robust and significant in two-pollutant models. However, the estimate of PM$_{2.5}$ became not statistically significant after adjustment for O$_3$, and the estimate of CO became not statistically significant after adjustment for PM$_{2.5}$.

Supplementary Tables 4, 5 show the RRs of asthma hospital admission per IQR increase in different pollutants from lag0 to lag6 and lag01 to lag06 after adjusting the lag effects of temperature and using different dfs of nature cubic spline, respectively. The estimates of PM$_{2.5}$, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, and O$_3$ were robust and remained statistically significant. The estimates of CO at lag2 became significant after adjusting the lag effect of temperature, while the estimate of CO at lag0 became not statistically significant after adjustment for the lag effects of temperature or using different dfs of nature cubic spline.

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study on the association between short-term exposure to six air pollutants and asthma hospital admissions in children covering all districts in Beijing, a mid-high latitude region with serious air pollution and high-quality healthcare at the same time. By employing a time-stratified case-crossover design, we observed exposure to air pollutants (PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_2$, and SO$_2$) is associated with increased risk of hospital admission for asthma in children. In stratified analyses, preschool children (0–6 years) were more susceptible to SO$_2$ than school-aged children (7–18 years old). SO$_2$ showed a stronger adverse effect in the cold season, and NO$_2$ showed a stronger adverse effect in the warm season. Conversely, O$_3$ showed a protective effect in our study.
Particulate matter can deposit in the airway and directly elicit airway inflammation, mucosal edema, and cytotoxicity (23). Our study found that PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ had adverse effects on daily childhood asthma hospital admissions. Previous studies also showed that PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ had adverse effects on asthma development and attacks in children (8, 24). In this study, the level of PM$_{10}$ was only significantly associated with childhood asthma admissions in males and preschool children, and the effects of PM$_{2.5}$  were stronger than PM$_{10}$, which was consistent with previous studies (8). Compared with PM$_{10}$, the smaller size of PM$_{2.5}$ may lead to a greater possibility of reaching the small airways and the alveoli of the lung, and greater absorption of allergens (25), thus induced the stronger effect.

SO$_2$ is a well-known inhalable air pollutant, which can be a potential trigger factor for asthma exacerbation. In our study, SO$_2$ showed a persistently strong effect on childhood asthma, which remained significant after adjusting the effects of meteorological factors and other pollutants. Previous studies also found a positive association between SO$_2$ and childhood hospital admissions (8, 9). SO$_2$ can exacerbate asthma by giving rise to airway inflammation and local oxidative stress (26, 27). Compared with non-asthmatic subjects, subjects with asthma had greater susceptibility to the effects of SO$_2$ and were prone to developing bronchoconstriction after exposure to lower concentrations of SO$_2$ (28, 29). These might explain the positive correlation between SO$_2$ and asthma hospital admissions detected in our study.

NO$_2$ is mainly generated from fossil fuel combustion and has been proved as a risk factor of asthma, which causes a substantial global burden of disease (30). Our study found that NO$_2$ had a

### TABLE 6

|                  | PM$_{2.5}$ | PM$_{10}$ | SO$_2$ | NO$_2$ | CO       | O$_3$       |
|------------------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|-------------|
| **RR (95%CI)**   |            |           |        |        |          |             |
| Preschool children |           |           |        |        |          |             |
| Lag0             | 0.94       | 0.93      | 1.02   | 0.99   | 0.91     | 0.81*       |
| (0.84, 1.05)     | (0.83, 1.05)| (0.92, 1.12)| (0.89, 1.11) | (0.82, 1.00) | (0.69, 0.95) |
| Lag1             | 1.04       | 1.04      | 1.09   | 1.06   | 1.00     | 0.77*       |
| (0.94, 1.16)     | (0.94, 1.16)| (1.00, 1.19)| (0.95, 1.19) | (0.92, 1.09) | (0.65, 0.90) |
| Lag2             | 1.11*      | 1.11*     | 1.15*  | 1.12   | 1.08     | 0.81*       |
| (1.01, 1.23)     | (1.00, 1.23)| (1.05, 1.25)| (1.00, 1.25) | (0.99, 1.17) | (0.70, 0.95) |
| Lag3             | 1.08       | 1.11*     | 1.15*  | 1.11*  | 1.05     | 0.83*       |
| (0.98, 1.20)     | (1.00, 1.23)| (1.25)   | (1.02, 1.28) | (0.96, 1.14) | (0.71, 0.96) |
| Lag4             | 1.09       | 1.10      | 1.19*  | 1.16*  | 1.05     | 0.73*       |
| (0.99, 1.20)     | (0.99, 1.22)| (1.29)   | (1.04, 1.29) | (0.96, 1.15)| (0.62, 0.86) |
| Lag5             | 1.05       | 1.05      | 1.08   | 1.10   | 0.99     | 0.75*       |
| (0.95, 1.16)     | (0.94, 1.17)| (0.99, 1.18)| (0.98, 1.23) | (0.91, 1.09)| (0.64, 0.88) |
| Lag6             | 1.05       | 1.04      | 1.05   | 1.03   | 0.98     | 0.78*       |
| (0.95, 1.16)     | (0.93, 1.16)| (0.96, 1.15)| (0.92, 1.15) | (0.89, 1.07)| (0.67, 0.91) |
| Lag06            | 1.19       | 1.20      | 1.23*  | 1.29*  | 1.04     | 0.67*       |
| (0.99, 1.43)     | (0.99, 1.45)| (1.08, 1.39)| (1.06, 1.56) | (0.89, 1.21) | (0.54, 0.82) |

* and bold indicate p value <0.05. The interquartile range (IQR) of daily concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, CO, and O$_3$ were 77.50, 88.94, 17.40, 28.36 μg/m$^3$, 0.88 mg/m$^3$, and 64.15 µg/m$^3$, respectively.
strong adverse effect on childhood asthma, which was consistent with previous studies (8, 31, 32). It has been demonstrated that NO2 could cause airway inflammation in healthy individuals (33) and enhance the airway response to inhaled allergen in asthmatics (34–36), which could be the potential mechanism of causing asthma development and attacks.

The existing evidence on the associations between O3 exposure and childhood asthma is controversial. A study in Taiwan, China (37) and our study found that O3 had a protective effect on children with asthma, which was opposite to the results in some other studies (31, 38–40). O3 can impact pediatric asthma in two opposite ways. O3 could cause asthma exacerbation by evoking oxidative stress and airway inflammation and increasing the reactivity to allergens in asthmatics (41). On the other hand, O3 shows a protective effect by protecting lungs from some respiratory viral infections (42). This could be a possible explanation of the protective effect of O3 revealed in this study. Additionally, the protective effect of O3 might be a result of the negative correlation of O3 with temperature and other pollutants, which could be a probable explanation of the maximum protective effect of O3 in the cold season in our study.

The incomplete combustion of fossil fuel can produce CO, which could successfully compete with oxygen in binding to hemoglobin and result in tissue hypoxia. In our study, CO showed a significant protective effect in lag0, which was not robust and might be caused by misclassification of using admission date as the date of an asthma attack. On other
lag days, we only found a significant positive association between CO and increased risk of asthma admission in males at lag2. The weak effect of CO might attribute to the low concentration of CO in Beijing. The evidence on CO and asthma is limited. Several systematic reviews and meta-analysis studies showed that the concentration of CO was positively associated with higher prevalence and hospital utilization of asthma in children (7–9). There was a significant association between CO and childhood asthma hospital visits in a study in Shanghai (40). Further studies required to explore the effect of CO on childhood asthma.

In the stratified analyses, the associations between air pollution and asthma hospital admissions varied significantly by age, but no significant gender-specific differences were observed. Preschool children (0–6 years old) were more susceptible to SO2. Studies in Shijiazhuang (43), Chongqing (20), and Taiwan, China (37) found that air pollutants (PM2.5, SO2, and NO2) showed stronger effects in young children (0–5 years) as well. It is generally recognized that immature lungs, higher breathing rate (44), and predominantly oral breathing characteristics (45) are attributed to the high vulnerability among young children. Conversely, a study in Hefei found that school-aged children (6–18 years) were more susceptible to NO2 (31), which could be plausibly explained by more exposure to traffic-related air pollutants such as NO2 in school-aged children. For gender-specific results, there is a lack of consistent results as well (31, 32, 37). Some of the inconsistencies between those studies could be attributed to distinct regions, pollution characteristics, and unadjusted potential confounders.

We found that the effect of SO2 was stronger in the cold season, while the effect of NO2 was stronger in the warm season. Previous studies have shown inconsistent seasonal patterns of ambient air pollutant effects (20, 39, 46–49), which could be related to the temporal-spatial variation of pollutants and different patterns of activity in different seasons and regions. In the region Beijing locate in, fossil fuel combustion are the main sources of SO2 and NO2. Burning of solid fuels especially bulk coal is an important contributor to SO2 emissions, which could significantly increase the concentration of SO2 during winter (50). And NO2 emissions were mainly contributed by Diesel and gasoline combustion (51). The seasonal variability of air pollutants concentrations and sources could explain part of the seasonal differences in SO2 and NO2 in our study.

The study has several strengths. Firstly, we applied a time-stratified case-crossover design to minimize the effects of long-term and seasonal trends and control the influence of time-invariant confounders, including genetics, secondhand smoke, nutrition, socioeconomic status, and so on. Secondly, as many as six kinds of air pollutants and all districts of Beijing were enrolled in our study. Since Beijing is a special city with serious air pollution and high-quality healthcare, our study emphasizes the importance of reducing air pollution from a new perspective. Thirdly, we considered the interaction effects between different air pollutants and the lag effect of meteorological factors, which were comprehensively adjusted in our analysis to accurately determine associations between each pollutant and childhood asthma hospital admissions. Additionally, stratified analyses were performed by gender, age, and season, which is essential for analyzing air pollution and asthma precisely.

The limitations of this study should be acknowledged. Firstly, similar to other ecological studies, we used city-wide average air pollutant concentrations from multiple fixed monitoring stations rather than personal exposure measures, which might not precisely represent the personal exposure dosage and caused exposure misclassification (52, 53). Secondly, although we applied a case-crossover design to control time-invariant confounders, it is still possible that unmeasured time-varying confounders contributed to our results. Finally, data from only one city were used in this study, which may limit the generalizability of our results. Multi-city analyses are required for further investigation on these pollutants.

### TABLE 8 | The relative risk (RR) of hospital admission for asthma in children per interquartile range (IQR) increase in different air pollutants in different strongest single lag days: two-pollutant model.

| Pollutant | Unadjusted | +PM2.5 | +SO2 | +NO2 | +CO | +O3 |
|-----------|------------|--------|------|------|-----|-----|
| RR (95%CI) | RR (95%CI) | RR (95%CI) | RR (95%CI) | RR (95%CI) | RR (95%CI) | RR (95%CI) |
| PM2.5 | 1.10* (1.01, 1.19) | 1.10* (1.01, 1.19) | 1.10* (1.01, 1.19) | 1.11* (1.02, 1.20) | 1.08 (0.99, 1.18) |
| SO2 | 1.14* (1.06, 1.23) | 1.14* (1.06, 1.23) | 1.14* (1.06, 1.23) | 1.14* (1.06, 1.23) | 1.13* (1.05, 1.22) |
| NO2 | 1.13* (1.03, 1.25) | 1.14* (1.03, 1.25) | 1.13* (1.03, 1.25) | 1.14* (1.03, 1.25) | 1.11* (1.01, 1.23) |
| CO | 0.92* (0.84, 1.00) | 0.90 (0.77, 1.05) | 0.87* (0.78, 0.97) | 0.79* (0.69, 0.92) | - (0.81, 0.97) |
| O3 | 0.81* (0.71, 0.91) | 0.80* (0.71, 0.91) | 0.81* (0.71, 0.91) | 0.80* (0.71, 0.91) | 0.79* (0.69, 0.89) |

* and bold indicate p value < 0.05.

The interquartile range (IQR) of daily concentrations of PM2.5, SO2, NO2, CO, and O3 were 77.50, 17.40, 28.36 µg/m3, 0.88 mg/m3 and 64.15 µg/m3, respectively. The strongest single lag days of PM2.5, SO2, NO2, CO, and O3 was lag2, lag4, lag5, lag0, and lag1, respectively.
CONCLUSIONS

Our study assessed the effects of six air pollutants on childhood asthma hospital admissions in Beijing, a developed city with serious air condition in Northern China. This study observed adverse effects of PM$_{2.5}$, SO$_2$, and NO$_2$ on hospital admissions for childhood asthma. Preschool children (0–6 years) were more vulnerable to SO$_2$. These results suggested that high levels of air pollution had an adverse effect on childhood asthma even in a region with high-quality medical care. Therefore, it will be of great significance for governments to decrease childhood asthma admissions by controlling outdoor air pollution emission, and both particulate matter and gaseous pollutants should be concerned. Caregivers of children with asthma need to realize the seriousness of air pollution and reduce exposure to air pollution by taking protective measures, such as wearing anti-dust respirators or reducing outdoor activities when outdoor air quality is poor.
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