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Abstract

Among the five known SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern, Delta is the most virulent leading to severe symptoms and increased mortality among infected people. Our study seeks to examine how the biophysical parameters of the Delta variant correlate to the clinical observations. Receptor binding domain (RBD) is the first point of contact with the human host cells and is the immunodominant form of the spike protein. Delta variant RBD contains two novel mutations L452R and T478K. We examined the effect of single as well as the double mutations on RBD expression in human Expi293 cells, RBD stability using urea and thermal denaturation, and RBD binding to angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor and to neutralizing antibodies using isothermal titration calorimetry. Delta variant RBD showed significantly higher expression compared to the wild-type RBD, and the increased expression is due to L452R mutation. Despite their non-conservative nature, none of the mutations significantly affected RBD structure and stability. All mutants showed similar binding affinity to ACE2 and to Class 1 antibodies (CC12.1 and LY-CoV016) as that of the wild-type. Delta double mutant L452R/T478K showed no binding to Class 2 antibodies (P2B-2F6 and LY-CoV555) and a hundred-fold weaker binding to a Class 3 antibody (REGN10987), and the decreased antibody binding is determined by the L452R mutation. These results indicate that the immune escape from neutralizing antibodies, rather than increased receptor binding, is the main biophysical parameter that determined the fitness landscape of the Delta variant RBD.

Introduction

In late 2019, a novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV), later renamed as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), was discovered in Wuhan, China and quickly became the center of the ongoing pandemic coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19). SARS-CoV-2 enters human host cells with its spike protein interacting with the angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) located on the cell surface.1–5 A specific structural region within the spike protein, known as the receptor binding domain (RBD), binds to the ACE2 receptor. SARS-CoV-2 has been shown to continuously mutate in multiple regions of the spike protein leading to new variants of interest (VOI) and more severe variants of concern (VOC). VOCs in general have been shown to have increased infectivity, enhanced ACE2 binding,10–12 escape from the human immune system, and evade FDA-approved monoclonal antibody therapies.4,6,9 To date, there have been five known VOCs, which include Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, and the Omicron.
Out of all the five VOCs, Omicron is the most transmissible variant, whereas Delta is the most virulent leading to more severe symptoms and an increased mortality among infected patients. Delta variant arose from the B.1.617 lineage, and is specifically labeled as variant B.1.617.2. It was first identified in India and has since been accounted for the majority of COVID-19 deaths worldwide.\cite{14,15} Delta variant has been shown to have higher viral titers in COVID-19 patients compared to previous variants.\cite{15–17} Prior to the emergence of Omicron variant, increased breakthrough infections of COVID-19 in vaccinated patients have been attributed to the Delta variant.\cite{16–19} A single dose of vaccination was found to be only 33% effective in protecting against the Delta variant as opposed to 48.7% against the Alpha variant, and two vaccination doses were only 88% effective for the Delta variant compared to 93.7% for the Alpha variant.\cite{20}

Delta variant introduces several mutations in the N-terminal domain (NTD), RBD, and the furin cleavage site of the spike protein that makes it distinct from the unmutated, wild-type (WT) virus.\cite{21} Unlike previous variants, which have had mutations that have been predicted by in vitro evolution through biophysical parameters such as ACE2 binding, the mutations in the Delta variant, particularly in the RBD, have not previously been predicted to lead to a more dangerous variant.\cite{12,22} Delta RBD contains two mutations which change the characteristic nature of the amino acid: a hydrophobic amino acid leucine mutated to a positively charged amino acid arginine at position 452 (L452R) and an uncharged amino acid threonine mutated to a positively charged lysine at position 478 (T478K) in the primary structure of the protein.\cite{6,9,14,23,24} None of these two mutations are part of the RBDS of previously discovered VOCs that include Alpha (N501Y), Beta (K417N/E484K/N501Y), or Gamma (K417T/E484K/N501Y). The newly discovered Omicron VOC RBD contains only the T478K mutation and not the L452R mutation.\cite{25}

Analyzing the biophysical parameters that determine the fitness landscape of viruses is of considerable interest in recent years, particularly in the case of HIV, influenza, dengue, hepatitis C, and other retroviruses.\cite{26–31} In the case of SARS-CoV-2 RBD, we along with others have recently shown that increased receptor binding, escape from neutralizing antibodies, and maintaining protein structure, stability, and expression despite the non-conservative nature of amino acid mutations are important parameters that direct the natural selection of mutations and determine the biophysical fitness landscape of emerging variants.\cite{10,32–37}

These biophysical analyses were done on Alpha, Beta, and Gamma VOCs before the Delta variant has emerged. Whether the two novel mutations of the Delta RBD, which were not part of the previous VOCs, follow similar natural selection principles is not clear. This study examined the effect of the two single amino acid mutations L452R, T478K and the double mutant L452R/T478K on the biophysical properties (structure, stability, receptor binding, and binding to neutralizing antibodies) of the RBD. Our results indicate that the Delta RBD does not show increased ACE2 receptor binding compared to the WT RBD unlike the previous VOCs (Alpha, Beta, and Gamma), but shows increased protein expression, consistent with increased spike protein expression and viral titers in Delta patients, and escapes multiple neutralizing antibodies. Increased expression and antibody escape is determined by the L452R mutation.

**Results**

**L452R mutation enhances Delta variant RBD expression**

VOCs including Delta are known to have decreased levels of neutralization titers in both vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals.\cite{15–17,38} In addition, Delta variant COVID-19 patients have viral titers ten times higher than that of the other variants.\cite{15} A plausible explanation is that the mutations in the Delta variant might have a selective advantage in terms of increased expression of viral proteins over the wild-type virus. Higher quantities of the viral proteins could allow for more virus particles to be created.\cite{38} In order to test if this clinical observation could be correlated with the increased expression of RBD, which is a major part of the spike protein, expression of the wild-type (WT) RBD and its Delta mutants (L452R, T478K, and L452R/T478K) was tested in human embryonic kidney cells (Expi293 HEK). HEK cells can be used to test the mutation effects on protein expression levels, since protein expression profiles and the quality control mechanisms in different human cells are similar. In addition, SARS-CoV-2 has been shown to infect the kidney cells,\cite{39–42} and HEK cells naturally express the ACE2 receptor. After 48 hours of transfection, secreted proteins in the supernatants were analyzed using SDS-PAGE (Figure 1(A)) and the expression levels were quantified as a ratio of the mutant over WT RBD (Figure 1(B)). Both the L452R single mutant and the Delta double mutant L452R/T478K showed ~70% higher expression compared to WT RBD (Figure 1(B)). No significant differences in expression were observed for the T478K mutant compared to the WT RBD. These results indicate that the L452R mutation is responsible for the increased expression of Delta variant RBD and possibly the spike protein expression.

None of the Delta mutations significantly affect global protein structure

Both mutations L452R and T478K are non-conservative mutations where one type of amino acid was mutated to another type of amino acid, and these mutations are located within the RBD, which is critical for binding to the ACE2 receptor and for the viral entry. The missense mutations L452R and T478K were predicted to lead to a more dangerous virus.\cite{47} The L452R mutation enhances Delta variant RBD expression tenfold compared to the WT RBD, and the double mutant L452R/T478K shows ~70% higher expression compared to WT RBD. These results indicate that the L452R mutation is responsible for the increased expression of Delta variant RBD and possibly the spike protein expression.
acid is mutated to another type of amino acid with differing physical properties. Such mutations tend to destabilize proteins if the amino acid prior to mutation is involved in stabilizing the protein structure. To test the effect of mutations on RBD structure, we used far-UV circular dichroism and fluorescence spectroscopy. Figure 2(A) shows SDS-PAGE of purified proteins, and the single bands on the gel show the high purity of protein samples used for biophysical analyses reported in this manuscript. Figure 2(B) shows the far-UV circular dichroism (CD) and Figure 2(C) shows the intrinsic protein fluorescence spectra of the WT RBD and its Delta single mutants and the double mutant. Spectra of the WT match those reported in the literature. More importantly, none of the mutations caused significant changes in the spectra, implying that the Delta mutations do not significantly affect the global protein structure.

None of the Delta mutations enhance RBD stability

Protein stability could provide valuable insight into both the viability and flexibility of proteins and has been shown to play a big role in the fitness of viruses. To evaluate how the Delta mutations alter the RBD stability, both thermal and urea denaturation melts were utilized. Change in protein structure with increase in temperature (Figure S1) was fit
to a two-state unfolding model (Eq. (1) in Materials and Methods) to obtain the midpoint melting temperature \((T_m)\) of the proteins. Since the thermal melts are not reversible, \(T_m\) values can only be used as a qualitative measure of protein stability.\(^{10,47}\) Table S2 lists the mean fit parameters obtained from three independent batches of protein expression. Compared to WT RBD, which showed a \(T_m\) of 56.1 ± 0.7 °C, L452R displayed a similar stability of 56.5 ± 0.2 °C, while T478K displayed a slightly decreased \(T_m\) of 54.1 ± 0.1 °C. However, the Delta double mutant L452R/T478K exhibited a \(T_m\) of 56.6 ± 0.2 °C, similar to that of the WT (Figure S1 and Table S1).

Urea denaturation melts of RBD variants are completely reversible (Figure S2). The native signal showed a large change with denaturant concentration, which might indicate partial unfolding and non-2-state unfolding behavior that needs to be further probed. However, since we observed only a single sigmoidal transition, denaturant melts were fit to a 2-state unfolding model (Eq. (2) in Materials and Methods) to obtain Gibbs free energy of unfolding in the absence of denaturant \((\Delta G_{\text{unf}})\) and the slope of linear variation of \(\Delta G_{\text{unf}}\) with urea concentration (m-value) for each variant. Table S2 lists the mean fit parameters obtained from three independent batches of protein expression. WT RBD showed a \(\Delta G_{\text{unf}}\) of 8.1 ± 0.3 kcal/mol with a m-value of −1.24 ± 0.06 kcal/mol/M [urea]. Both single mutants L452R and T478K showed similar stability as that of the WT RBD. L452R displayed a \(\Delta G_{\text{unf}}\) of 8.1 ± 0.2 kcal/mol with a m-value of −1.43 ± 0.04 kcal/mol/M [urea], while T478K showed \(\Delta G_{\text{unf}}\) and m-values of 7.9 ± 0.5 kcal/mol and −1.37 ± 0.09 kcal/mol/M [urea], respectively. Delta double mutant L452R/T478K was also found to have similar stability as that of the WT RBD, with a \(\Delta G_{\text{unf}}\) of 8.6 ± 0.3 kcal/mol and an m-value of −1.53 ± 0.06 kcal/mol/M [urea] (Table S2). These equilibrium stability values obtained from urea denaturation melts agree quite well with the trends observed with thermal denaturation melts (Table S1) and indicate that none of the Delta mutants significantly affect RBD stability.

Delta mutations do not show increased affinity for ACE2 receptor

Since SARS-CoV-2 enters host cells with its RBD binding to ACE2, the relative binding affinity of the RBD can play a key role in how variants are evolving. An increase in the affinity of the Delta variant to ACE2 could allude to a potential mechanism where the VOC allows more viral variant to ACE2 could allude to a potential mechanism where the VOC allows more viral receptor

Delta RBD mutations do not escape Class 1 antibodies

Clinical observations associated with Delta variant could be related to SARS-CoV-2 escaping the human immune system. Neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 RBD have been found to belong to four major classes depending on the mechanism of action and the location of their epitopes on the RBD.\(^{48,49}\) SARS-CoV-2 spike protein is a trimer in its native state and exists in multiple conformations, mainly RBD in “up” position that is accessible for binding to ACE2 or in “down” position in which RBD is buried and not accessible for ACE2 binding.\(^{50–52}\) Class 1 antibodies bind to RBD in the up conformation and compete with ACE2 binding. Class 2 antibodies bind to RBD both in the up or down conformations, and their epitopes partially overlaps with the ACE2 binding site and hence compete against ACE2 binding. Class 3 antibodies bind to RBD in both up or down positions with their epitope on RBD far away from ACE2 binding site, and hence can neutralize the RBD through an uncompetitive mechanism. Class 4 antibodies are relatively rare as their epitope is close to the hinge region connecting RBD to the rest of the spike protein, which is relatively buried compared to other epitopes, and none of the VOCs contain mutations in this region. Since the first step in neutralization is binding of antibodies to RBD, we examined how the Delta mutations affect RBD binding to the three major classes of antibodies.

One of the first Class 1 antibodies that was identified from patients recovered from WT SARS-CoV-2 infection was CC12.1.\(^{53}\) Location of the two Delta mutants L452 and T478 in RBD with respect to the CC12.1 binding interface is shown in Figure 3 (B). Binding of WT RBD and its Delta mutants to CC12.1 single chain variable fragment (ScFv) was measured using ITC (Figure 5), and the average thermodynamic parameters obtained from fitting the data from three independent batches of protein expression was included in Table 2. All proteins showed similar exothermic binding profiles. WT RBD binds to CC12.1 with a \(K_d\) of 23.9 ± 5.7 nM. Both Delta single mutants L452R and T478K as well as the double mutant L452R/T478K either bind with
a similar affinity (similar $K_d$ value for T478K) or with a higher affinity (lower $K_d$ values for L452R and L452R/T478K), implying that the Delta variant RBD does not escape from Class 1 antibodies. ITC binding data is also consistent with the location of these two residues L452 and T478 with respect to the epitope of CC12.1 on RBD (Figure 3(B)). The two mutations in the Delta variant RBD are located far from the CC12.1 epitope, and do not affect the interactions between RBD and CC12.1.54,55

As of January 2022, FDA approved four therapeutic antibodies for emergency use authorization (EUA): Eli Lilly’s LY-CoV016 (Etesevimab) and LY-CoV555 (Bamlanivimab), and Regeneron’s REGN10933 (Casirivimab) and REGN10987 (Imdevimab). LY-CoV016 and REGN10933 are Class 1 antibodies whose epitopes are very similar on RBD.56,57 LY-CoV555 is a Class 2 antibody, whereas REGN10987 is a Class 3 antibody. To determine whether Delta variant escapes FDA-approved Class 1 antibodies, we tested WT RBD and its Delta mutants binding to LY-CoV016 in ScFv format. Figure 3(C) shows the location of the two residues L452 and T478 with respect to the RBD interface with LY-CoV016. Figure 6 shows the ITC binding data for the single

---

**Figure 3.** Structural analysis of the location of Delta mutants L452 and T478 (red colored) with respect to RBD (gray colored) complexes with (A) ACE2 receptor (orange colored; PDB ID 6moj), (B) Class 1 antibody CC12.1 (blue colored; PDB ID 6xc2), (C) FDA-approved Class 1 therapeutic antibody LY-CoV016 (blue colored; PDB ID 7c01), (D) Class 2 antibody P2B-2F6 (teal colored; PDB ID: 7bwj), (E) FDA-approved Class 2 antibody LY-CoV555 (teal colored; PDB ID: 7kmg) and (F) FDA-approved Class 3 antibody REGN10987 (green colored; PDB ID 6xdg). Left panels show the complex structures and right panels show the location of the interacting residues in RBD with respect to the binding interfaces.
and double mutants. All interactions displayed exothermic binding profiles. Table 3 lists the average parameters obtained from fitting the ITC data from three independent batches of protein expression to a one-site binding model. WT RBD binds to LY-CoV016 with a $K_d$ of 49.3 ± 10.1 nM. Both single mutants L452R and T478K and the double mutant L452R/T478K bind to LY-CoV016 with a stronger affinity, implying that none of the Delta mutations escape LY-CoV016. This is consistent...
with the location of the two residues L452 and T478 with respect to the RBD binding interface with LY-CoV016 (Figure 3(C)), and also consistent with binding of Delta mutants to another Class 1 antibody CC12.1 described above (Figure 5 and Table 2).

| RBD Variant | $K_d$ (nM) | N   | $\Delta H$ (kcal/mol) | $\Delta G$ (kcal/mol) | $-\Delta S$ (kcal/mol) |
|------------|------------|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| WT         | 23.9 ± 5.7 | 0.9 ± 0.1 | -5.2 ± 0.5           | -10.2 ± 0.1            | -5.1 ± 0.4             |
| L452R      | 13.0 ± 3.4 | 0.9 ± 0.0 | -4.6 ± 0.1           | -10.6 ± 0.2            | -5.9 ± 0.2             |
| T478K      | 23.1 ± 2.3 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | -6.2 ± 0.1           | -10.3 ± 0.1            | -4.1 ± 0.1             |
| L452R/T478K| 12.4 ± 7.3 | 0.9 ± 0.0 | -4.7 ± 0.2           | -10.6 ± 0.3            | -6.0 ± 0.4             |

**Figure 5.** ITC analysis of WT RBD and its Delta mutants binding to Class 1 antibody CC12.1 ScFv. Top panels represent the raw differential power vs. time thermographs, while bottom panels represent the integrated heat plots.

**Table 2** Thermodynamic parameters of WT RBD and its Delta mutants binding to Class 1 antibody CC12.1 ScFv.

The L452R mutation determines Delta variant escape from Class 2 antibodies

While the Delta variant does not evade Class 1 antibodies, a large amount of clinical data suggests that neutralizing antibodies discovered
against WT SARS-CoV-2 are not effective in neutralizing emerging VOCs. Similar to CC12.1, P2B-2F6 is one of the first neutralizing antibodies discovered in recovered COVID-19 patients. P2B-2F6 is a Class 2 antibody. We examined whether Delta variant escapes from Class 2 antibodies by determining the binding of WT RBD and its Delta mutants to P2B-2F6 ScFv. Location of
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**Figure 6.** ITC analysis of WT RBD and its Delta mutants binding to FDA-approved Class 1 therapeutic antibody LY-CoV016 ScFv. Top panels represent the raw differential power vs. time thermographs, while bottom panels represent the integrated heat plots.

| RBD Variant | $K_d$ (nM) | N   | $\Delta H$ (kcal/mol) | $\Delta G$ (kcal/mol) | $-T\Delta S$ (kcal/mol) |
|-------------|------------|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| WT          | 49.3 ± 10.1| 0.9 ± 0.0 | $-11.7 ± 0.1$     | $-9.8 ± 0.1$       | 1.9 ± 0.2              |
| L452R       | 23.4 ± 2.8 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | $-11.9 ± 0.1$     | $-10.2 ± 0.1$      | 1.7 ± 0.1              |
| T478K       | 15.4 ± 3.0 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | $-11.4 ± 0.1$     | $-10.5 ± 0.1$      | 0.9 ± 0.2              |
| L452R/T478K | 10.8 ± 1.4 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | $-12.0 ± 0.4$     | $-10.7 ± 0.1$      | 1.3 ± 0.4              |
the two residues L452 and T478 in RBD with respect to its binding interface with P2B-2F6 is shown in Figure 3(D). Figure 7 shows the ITC binding curves and Table 4 lists the thermodynamic parameters obtained from fitting ITC data from three independent batches of protein expression to a one-site binding model. Both WT RBD and T478K show similar $K_d$ values of 81.4 ± 6.2 nM and 80.5 ± 6.3 nM, respectively. However, L452R resulted in a complete loss of binding (Figure 7). Similar results were observed for the Delta double mutant L452R/T478K. These results indicate that the Delta variant escapes Class 2 antibodies, and the escape is determined by the L452R mutation. Our results on the effect of L452R mutation on RBD binding to P2B-2F6 are also consistent with a deep mutational scanning analysis that indicates L452R as one of the possible immune-escaping hotspots.61

We further examined whether Delta variant escapes FDA-approved Class 2 antibody LY-CoV555.62,63 Location of the two residues L452 and T478 in RBD with respect to its interface with LY-CoV555 is shown in Figure 3(E). Figure 8 shows the ITC binding curves for WT RBD and its Delta mutants, and Table 5 lists the mean thermodynamic parameters obtained from fitting ITC data from three independent batches of protein expression to one-site binding model. WT RBD binds to LY-CoV555 ScFv with a $K_d$ of 3.8 ± 1.9 nM. T478K mutant shows a similar binding affinity to LY-CoV555 with a $K_d$ of 9.0 ± 4.6 nM. This was however not the case for the L452R mutation and the Delta double mutant L452R/T478K. Both showed
These results indicate that Delta variant escapes Class 2 antibodies, and the escape is determined by the L452R mutation, which is consistent with escape from another Class 2 antibody P2B-2F6 described above (Figure 7 and Table 4).

Table 4 Thermodynamic parameters of WT RBD and its Delta mutants binding to Class 2 antibody P2B-2F6 ScFv.

| RBD Variant | $K_d$ (nM) | N  | $\Delta H$ (kcal/mol) | $\Delta G$ (kcal/mol) | $-T\Delta S$ (kcal/mol) |
|-------------|------------|----|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| WT          | 81.4 ± 6.2 | 0.9 ± 0.0 | -8.0 ± 0.5            | -9.5 ± 0.1            | -1.5 ± 0.5             |
| L452R       | No binding | –              | –                      | –                     |
| T478K       | 80.5 ± 6.3 | 0.9 ± 0.0 | -8.7 ± 0.4            | -9.5 ± 0.0            | -0.8 ± 0.4             |
| L452R/T478K | No binding | –              | –                      | –                     |

Figure 8. ITC analysis of WT RBD and its Delta mutants binding to FDA-approved Class 2 therapeutic antibody LY-CoV555 ScFv. Top panels represent the raw differential power vs. time thermographs, while bottom panels represent the integrated heat plots.

L452R mutation determines Delta variant escape from Class 3 antibodies

We also examined whether Delta variant escapes Class 3 antibodies. FDA-approved antibody therapeutics contain a Class 3 antibody
REGN10987. Location of the two residues L452 and T478 in RBD with respect to its interface with REGN10987 is shown in Figure 3(F). Figure 9 shows the ITC binding curves of WT RBD and its Delta mutants, and Table 6 lists the mean thermodynamic parameters obtained from fitting ITC data from three independent batches of protein expression to a one-site binding model. Both WT RBD and T478K mutant showed similar binding affinity with $K_d$ values of $34.3 \pm 8.1$ nM and $15.9 \pm 1.9$ nM, respectively. This was not the case for both the L452R mutant and the Delta double mutant L452R/T478K. Both proteins showed a $\sim 100$ fold weaker binding affinity with $K_d$ values of $1,340 \pm 100$ nM for the L452R mutant and $1,150 \pm 100$ nM for the Delta double mutant (Table 6). These results indicate that the Delta variant escapes Class 3 antibodies, and the escape is determined by the L452R mutation.

**Discussion**

SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant has adapted unlike any other previous VOCs (Alpha, Beta, and Gamma). It is the VOC which is responsible for more severe symptoms and the maximum mortality among infected patients compared to other VOCs including Omicron. Deep mutational scanning has been able to predict that the previous VOCs had a high probability of becoming dominant strains, yet these studies were unable to predict the two novel mutations in the Delta
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Figure 9. ITC analysis of WT RBD variant and its Delta mutants binding to FDA-approved Class 3 therapeutic antibody REGN987 ScFv. Top panels represent the raw differential power vs. time thermographs, while bottom panels represent the integrated heat plots.
variant with high probability. None of the two mutations L452R and T478K were part of Alpha, Beta, and Gamma VOCs, and only T478K (and not L452R) is present in the recently discovered Omicron VOC. In this manuscript, we examined the effect of these two novel mutations on the biophysical fitness landscape of Delta variant RBD.

Delta VOC displays unique biophysical characteristics unlike the previous VOCs Alpha, Beta, and Gamma. Biophysical data on Omicron VOC is not yet available, and hence we will be comparing the results on Delta VOC with other VOCs discovered prior to Delta. Table 7 lists the summary of biophysical parameters we have examined. Delta mutations do not significantly alter the binding affinity of RBD towards the ACE2 receptor (Figure 4 and Table 1). While a common belief is that VOCs should result in increased binding to ACE2, which would correlate with increased viral entry, data on Delta variant shows that this cannot be a ubiquitous thought. VOC having no effect on ACE2 binding affinity is unique to the Delta variant, as all previous VOCs showed increased affinity to ACE2.

Delta VOC does not contain N501Y mutation, whereas all other VOCs including Omicron contains the N501Y mutation. In addition, neither L452 nor T478 have a direct interaction with ACE2 (Figure 3(A)). ACE2 binding has been one of the most common factors when attempting to predict emerging VOCs, which would explain why the two novel RBD mutations that resulted in the Delta variant have not been predicted by earlier studies. Thus, it is important to consider a more robust system for predicting variants that is not so heavily weighted towards ACE2 binding, as our results show that immune escape rather than increased receptor binding compared to the WT RBD determines the fitness of Delta VOC. Since the full-length spike protein exists in multiple conformations with RBDs in up or down positions, measured binding affinity for isolated RBD towards ACE2 represents the upper value of the binding affinity. Any conformation with RBD in down position in equilibrium will only decrease the relative population of RBDs in up conformation, and hence will result in decreased affinity of the complete spike protein towards ACE2.

Compared to other VOCs, patients contracted with Delta have increased viral titers. Results show that the two Delta mutations did not affect either the secondary (far-UV CD; Figure 2(B)) or tertiary structure (intrinsic protein fluorescence of aromatic sidechains; Figure 2(C)) of the RBD. This is consistent with the three-dimensional structural alignment of the two RBDs using the Multiprot program. Delta RBD (PDB ID: 7v8b) has similar structure as that of WT RBD (PDB ID: 6m0j) with an RMSD of 0.82 Å. Additionally, the two mutations L452R and T478K did not alter the stability of the RBD when measured by both thermal denaturation (Figure S1 and Table S1) or urea denaturation (Figure S2 and Table S2) experiments. However, Delta RBD showed ~70% higher expression in human Expi293 (modified HEK293) cells compared to the WT RBD (Figure 1). Similar high expression of RBD was not seen in the case of Alpha, Beta, and Gamma VOCs. Increased expression of RBD is determined by the L452R mutation (Figure 1 and Table 7), and this mutation is not present in other VOCs. One single mutation increasing the native protein expression by 70% is very rare in protein lit-
Increased expression of viral proteins can lead to increased viral titers, although whether L452R results in increased expression of the complete spike protein needs to be examined. If that is the case, relative expression levels of viral protein mutants need to be considered as a criterion in predicting the emergence of future VOCs.

Another crucial factor to consider when evaluating viral fitness is the ability of mutations to escape neutralizing antibodies generated by the human immune system in response to WT SARS-CoV-2 infection or vaccination, or those authorized by FDA for treating infected patients. Determining whether the variants escape FDA-approved antibodies, which are derived either from patients recovered from WT SARS-CoV-2 infection (Eli Lilly) or from humanized mouse models (Regeneron), will tell us whether the current therapies work against the emerging variants or new antibody therapies need to be developed.

Neutralizing antibodies have been broadly classified into different classes depending on the location of their epitopes on RBD. Identifying mutations in the structures of RBD-antibody complexes (Figure 3) and analyzing the stabilizing interactions in which they participate can sometimes predict which antibodies the VOCs can escape. However, experiments have to confirm such predictions based on protein structure because long-range mutation effects and the dynamics of various protein regions can play a critical role in protein function. The two mutations L452R and T478K are far away from the binding interface of two Class 1 antibodies we examined (CC12.1 (Figure 3(B)) and FDA-approved Eli Lilly Class 1 antibody LY-CoV016 (Figure 3(C))), and both mutations are not part of the RBD interface with Class 1 antibodies. Consistently, Delta mutants did not escape Class 1 antibodies (Figure 5, Figure 6, Table 2, Table 3 and Table 7). In contrast, L452 stabilizes the RBD interactions with Class 2 antibodies by forming a hydrophobic cluster with I103 and V105 of the variable heavy chain of P2B-2F6 and I54 and L55 residues of the variable heavy chain of LY-CoV555. Replacing the hydrophobic residue leucine with a positively charged arginine in the middle of these hydrophobic clusters is expected to destabilize the RBD interactions with Class 2 antibodies. Accordingly, after L452R mutation, RBD did not bind to Class 3 antibodies (Figure 7, Table 4 and Table 7). In the case of RBD binding to Class 3 antibodies (Figure 3(F)), L452 does not form any direct contacts with the antibody REGN10987, and thus might explain the decrease in binding affinity by ~100-fold upon L452R mutation (Figure 9, Table 6 and Table 7).
T478 residue in RBD is far away from any of the binding interfaces with neutralizing antibodies and do not participate in any stabilizing inter-molecular interactions, and hence do not contribute to the immune escape potential of the Delta variant (Table 7).

Our results indicate that L452R mutation determines the antigenic drift of the Delta VOC against Class 2 and Class 3 antibodies. Since L452R also occurs in other variants such as Epsilon, Iota, and Kappa, \(^{71}\) we expect these variants also escape Class 2 and Class 3 antibodies. Despite the number of infected cases with T478K mutation are increasing \(^{72,73}\) its role in the viral fitness is quite elusive. Our results indicate that it does not offer any fitness advantage to SARS-CoV-2 RBD in terms of receptor binding or immune escape from neutralizing antibodies compared to the WT. Some studies have suggested that T478K mutation might have evolved from previously infected Beta VOC patients, since it escapes an antibody specific to the Beta VOC. \(^{74}\) In addition, the newest Omicron VOC contains S477N mutation in addition to T478K mutation, and whether T478K mutation enhances the antibody escape determined by S477N needs to be examined. Further, our study has examined the role of T478K mutation on the biophysical properties of RBD, and its specific role needs to be examined in the context of the full-length spike protein, particularly in controlling the up/down conformations of the spike protein and long-range allosteric effects on other important structural regions of the spike protein.

Results presented here indicate that the Delta variant has evolved towards escape from Class 2 and Class 3 antibodies, rather than enhancing the receptor binding or escape from Class 1 antibodies. Class 1 antibodies bind to RBD only in up conformation where RBD is accessible to ACE2 binding, whereas Class 2 and Class 3 antibodies bind to RBD irrespective of whether it is in up conformation (accessible to ACE2) or down conformation (inaccessible to ACE2). \(^{46}\) Escape from Class 2 antibodies mainly contributes to escape from polyclonal plasma \(^{56}\) which might be more important for virus survival than escape from Class 1 antibodies that target only a sub-population of the spike protein trimers with their RBDs in up conformation. Further, since Class 2 and Class 3 antibodies can bind to RBD irrespective of whether it is in up (ACE2 accessible) or down (ACE2 inaccessible) conformation, these antibodies can recognize adjacent RBDs in the spike trimer and once bound they can lock RBDs in down conformation thereby restricting binding to ACE2. \(^{75}\) Hence, the virus escaping from Class 2 and Class 3 antibodies might be more relevant for the spike protein of the variants to bind to ACE2 leading to increased infection. In terms of the efficacy of the current FDA-approved antibody therapies, both Eli Lilly’s Class 1 antibody LY-CoV016 and Regeneron’s Class 1 antibody REGN10933 should be effective in neutralizing the Delta variant, since the Delta mutations did not affect RBD binding to Class 1 antibodies (Table 7). However, Eli Lilly’s Class 2 antibody LY-CoV555 will be completely ineffective in neutralizing the Delta variant as L452R completely abolished RBD binding to Class 2 antibodies, whereas Regeneron’s Class 3 antibody REGN10987 will be much less effective and requires much higher concentration to neutralize the virus as the RBD binding affinity is reduced by ~100 fold upon Delta mutations (Table 7).

The immune escape and high expression capabilities of the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant requires a necessity for robust therapeutic options. As the virus adapts, every successive VOC has shown increased immune escape potential. Thus, new vaccines need to be developed and administered based on the variant sequences existing at the time of vaccination, and it is necessary for the vaccination rates to continue to rise in order to combat the emergence of future VOCs. Simultaneously, it is necessary for improved monoclonal antibody therapeutics to be developed against future variants. Delta VOC is clearly distinct from other VOCs. Our previous work has shown that other VOCs can escape Class 1 antibodies, while expression is not significantly different from that of the unmutated WT. \(^{10}\) Delta does not escape Class 1 antibodies and shows higher protein expression. In addition, all previously studied variants showed enhanced ACE2 binding which is not the case for the Delta variant. These results also point to the fact that the virus is still under continuous evolution, as none of the VOCs is still able to escape all classes of neutralizing antibodies. Any combination of mutations that confer immune escape potential to SARS-CoV-2 against all classes of neutralizing antibodies will be of a major concern. In terms of applicability of our results to the new Omicron variant, Omicron might still show higher ACE2 binding because of the presence of N501Y mutation. Since Omicron lacks L452R mutation, it might not escape Class 2 and Class 3 antibodies, unless a separate set of amino acid mutations confer the ability to escape these neutralizing antibodies. Since Omicron is the most transmissible and Delta is the most virulent out of the five known VOCs, if Omicron picks up the critical Delta mutations resulting in a Deltacron variant, it could turn out to be a more dangerous variant.

**Note added during Revision:** As predicted above, recent results show that Omicron VOC has higher ACE2 binding \(^{78}\) It escapes Class 2 and Class 3 neutralizing antibodies, \(^{74,77}\) and the escape has to be due to a different set of mutations since initial Omicron variants lacked L452R mutation. Recent Omicron subvariants BA.4 and BA.5 have picked up the L452R mutation that was specific to Delta VOC, and the number of infected cases are increasing again.
Materials and Methods

Cloning

Sequences for RBD and human ACE2 were obtained from Uniprot (RBD ID: P0DTC2; hACE2 ID: Q9BYF1). Sequences for neutralizing antibodies were obtained from Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics (RCSB) Protein Data Bank (PDB). CC12.1, LY-CoV016, P2B-2F6, LY-CoV555, and REN6 10987 had PDB IDs of 6XC2, 7C01, 7BWJ, 7KMG, and 6XDG respectively. Antibody constructs were designed in ScFv format, linking the heavy chain (VH) and light chain (VL) via a glycine serine linker. Final ScFv constructs were VH – (GGGGS)3–VL. All sequences were codon optimized for expression in mammalian cells by Twist Biosciences. Final constructs included the protein of interest, SUMOstar protein attached to a His-tag, and a human immunoglobulin heavy chain secretory sequence from 5’ to 3’ position. RBD variants were created by site-directed mutagenesis. Sequences were cloned into a pcDNA3.4-TOPO vector. Expi293 HEK cells were transfected at a concentration of 3×10^6 cells/mL with polyethyleneimine. Proteins were expressed over a 5-day period, and the culture media was centrifuged and the supernatant was filter sterilized with a 0.22 μm PVDF filter. The expression levels for individual mutants were compared after running the culture supernatants on SDS-PAGE, staining with Coomassie blue R-250 dye, and quantifying the band intensities corresponding to the target protein normalized to cell density using the ImageLab software from Bio-Rad.

Purification

All proteins were purified using a Ni-NTA column, and the protein was eluted with 200 mM imidazole. The eluted protein was dialyzed to remove imidazole and stored in a buffer consisting of 50 mM Tris, 200 mM NaCl, pH 8.0. The proteins were cleaved using SUMOstar protease at 4 °C overnight. Proteins were once again passed through a Ni-NTA column, and the digested proteins were collected in the flow-through and wash. Proteins were dialyzed into a buffer solution of 50 mM sodium phosphate, 20 mM NaCl, pH 7.0. Purity was confirmed by SDS-PAGE.

CD spectroscopy

An Applied Photophysics Chirascan Plus spectrometer was used to record the CD spectra and thermal denaturation melts for all variants. CD Spectra were obtained for each RBD variant from 190 nm to 260 nm. Protein spectra were recorded with a 0.5 mm cuvette at 5 μM protein concentration in a buffer consisting of 10 mM sodium phosphate, 4 mM NaCl, pH 7.0. Spectra were recorded every 1 nm wavelength and averaged over 2 seconds. Runs were repeated 5 times and averaged.

Thermal denaturation melts were performed using an Applied Photophysics Chirascan Plus spectrometer. All experiments were performed in a 0.5 mm cuvette at a protein concentration of 20 μM in buffer containing 50 mM sodium phosphate, 20 mM NaCl, pH 7.0. Temperature scan rate was 1 °C/min, sample was equilibrated for 30 sec at each temperature increment, and the CD signal at 222 nm was averaged over 2 seconds. Data was plotted and analyzed using the equation.47

\[
S_T = \frac{(S_N + m_N T) + (S_U + m_U T) e^{-\frac{(\Delta G_{m+\mu D})}{RT}}}{1 + e^{-\frac{(\Delta G_{m+\mu D})}{RT}}}
\]

where \(S_T\) is the measured signal as a function of temperature \(T\), \(S_N\) and \(S_U\) are the signals corresponding to the native and unfolded baselines, \(m_N\) and \(m_U\) are the slopes of linear dependence of \(S_N\) and \(S_U\) with temperature, \(T_m\) is the midpoint melting temperature, \(\Delta H_m\) is the enthalpy change at the \(T_m\) and \(R\) is the universal gas constant, and \(T\) is the absolute temperature in Kelvin, respectively.

Fluorescence spectroscopy

A CCD detector was used with the Applied Photophysics Chirascan Plus spectrometer to record the fluorescence spectra and chemical denaturation for all variants. An excitation wavelength of 280 nm was used, and fluorescence emission was recorded in a 1 cm cuvette at 2 μM protein concentration in a buffer containing 50 mM sodium phosphate, 20 mM NaCl, pH 7.0. Urea was utilized as the denaturant for equilibrium protein unfolding measurements, and was dissolved in 50 mM sodium phosphate, 20 mM NaCl, pH 7.0 buffer. The concentration of the urea solution was determined using refractive index measurements.78,79 Two end solutions were made (protein in buffer with no denaturant and in ~9 M urea), equilibrated for 1 hour, and were mixed at urea concentration intervals of 0.2 M using a Hamilton dual syringe automated titrator attached to the spectrometer. Samples were equilibrated for 10 min in between titrations, and the change in spectral data was analyzed using the equation.80

\[
S_D = \frac{(S_N + m_N[D]) + (S_U + m_U[D]) e^{-\frac{(\Delta G_{m+\mu D})}{RT}}}{1 + e^{-\frac{(\Delta G_{m+\mu D})}{RT}}}
\]

where \(S_D\) is the signal at a denaturant concentration \([D]\), \(S_N\) and \(S_U\) are the signals corresponding to the native and unfolded proteins without denaturant, \(m_N\) and \(m_U\) are the slopes of linear dependence of \(S_N\) and \(S_U\) with \([D]\), \(\Delta G_{m+\mu D}\) is the Gibbs free energy change of unfolding, and \(m\) is the slope of linear dependence of \(\Delta G_{m+\mu D}\) with \([D]\), \(R\) is the universal gas constant, and \(T\) is the absolute temperature in Kelvin, respectively.
ITC binding analysis

ITC was performed on the Malvern Microcal PEAQ-ITC. All experiments were performed in a buffer solution of 50 mM sodium phosphate, 20 mM NaCl, pH 7.0 at 20 °C and consisted of eighteen 2 μL injections spaced every 150 seconds. For RBD-ACE2 interactions, ACE2 was used at a concentration of 15 μM in the cell, while RBD and all variants were injected from a stock solution of 150 μM in the syringe. For RBD-CC12.1 ScFv interactions, RBD was used at a concentration of 25 μM while CC12.1 was injected from a stock solution of 250 μM. RBD-LY-CoV016 interactions were studied at a concentration of 30 μM RBD and LY-CoV016 was injected from a stock solution of 250 μM. RBD-LY-CoV555, RBD-P2B-2F6, and RBD-REGN10987 ScFv interactions were studied using a RBD concentration of 30 μM, while LY-CoV555, P2B-2F6, and REGN10987 ScFvs were injected from a syringe stock concentration of 300 μM. All data was collected and analyzed using the Microcal PEAQ-ITC Data Analysis Software. Errors on ΔG and -TΔS were calculated using error propagation formulae.  

Statistical analyses

In order to determine the significance of differences between the biophysical properties of WT RBD and mutant proteins, an unpaired t-test was used. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (Version 9.3.1).
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