Quantitative Minerological Analysis of Some Granite Rocks of Deoghar Jharkhand
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Abstract

Crystalline minerals in granite rocks has been quantitatively analysed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Scanning Electron Microscope supported with Energy Dispersed Spectroscopy (SEM-EDS). SEM microphotograph reveals that rock is dominated by brightly illuminated quartz imbedded in the matrix with mica and other minor minerals. The X-ray mineral composition data have been plotted with SEM-EDS mineral composition, data shows that the composition obtained by two technique are in consistent within the experimental limit and in good agreement. Further plot of chemical composition of constituent oxides of granite sample by XRD and SEM-EDS confirm the consistency of two technique and similarity with Jharkhand mean granite composition. Silica composition have been plotted with trace element Pb, Ba, Zr, Rb, and Alumina composition with Pb , Ba, Zr and Rb shows that these elements are randomly imbedded in the matrix with almost uniform composition. Al₂O₃ composition have been plotted with Ba, Pb, and Zr shows almost constant composition in all the five samples. Based on XRD and SEM-EDS results, it was reveals that granite sample from the study areas are peraluminious rocks composed of mainly quartz, muscovite, kaolinite, chlorite and albite.
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1. Introduction

Abraham Werner gave the first definition of granite “Granite is an aggregate rock which consist of Quatz , Feldspar and Mica united each other in granular texture ( Middlemost 1986). Jharkhand is one of the leading mineral producing states. It is one of the leading producers of coal, kyanite, gold, silver, bauxite, feldspar, uranium, quartz and colour granite. Jharkhand have high grade metamorphic rocks which have undergone metamorphism under amphibolite to granulite facies (Fisher 1984). Granite rocks(Indian Mineral Year Book 2015) are produced from many quarries located throughout the Jharkhand for mixing and matching stone.Granites are coarse-grained rocks with a mottled appearance. They comprise a mixture of glassy white, pink or red alkali feldspar, minor amounts of dark minerals, and often white, sodic plagioclase (Amral 2006). Their low density and resistance to weathering means that they usually form high, rugged terrains. Faryada and co-worker (1995, 2015, 2020) have studied minerals and their textures in high pressure or ultra-high pressure (U) HP metamorphic rocks provide quantitative evidence about the thermal regime during subduction, the depths that the rocks reached and their rates of exhumation. However, these rocks commonly display a pervasive amphibolite to high-temperature (HT) granulite facies overprint of the (U)HP mineral assemblages that was evidently imposed during exhumation, yet the mechanism causing the warmer thermal regime remains unclear.

Geologists use it either in a restricted sense, or as a blanket term for granitoids of acid or intermediate composition (Klika 2016). Their complex of varied origins give rise to a great variety of types. Granites are normally classified on the basis of their relative model proportions of quartz, alkali feldspar and plagioclase. Chemical classification (Seven 2009) based on the principle of alumina saturation has been discussed. These are peralkaline, meta-luminous, sub-aluminous and peraluminous. Granitoid rocks in bulk chemical compositions also contain distinctive suites of minor minerals. Granites have a broad range of physical and chemical properties. The suitability of a granite for any purpose is decided by its physical properties which meet the specification established for the purpose. Granites are mostly used because of their pleasing appearance and physical strength. Chemical properties are less important than the physical properties. However, the chemical composition determines many physical properties of granites, particularly its resistance to weathering. D B Clarke, (Clarke 1992) was of the opinion that the chemical composition of a granitoid rock or any igneous rock, reflects sum of the effects of the source and subsequent processes. The composition of the source rock (Chirsty 2016) has the most
important influence on the composition of the rock and contain chemical fingerprint of the source material. We determine the mineralogical composition of granite by two different methods. Quantitative characterisation of mineral phases of granite stones are not available in spite of advance analysis instruments and software. Therefore this study with advance analytical instruments will be helpful in deciding the better and efficient applications granite rocks.

2. Experimental

Five samples (approx. 1–3 kg each) from Deoghar district were collected and analysed for major oxides and trace elements. The average chemical index of alteration (CIA) value of all samples is 48 (Shao and Yang 2012, Behzad et.al 2016), which lies within the accepted 30–55 range for unweathered granites. Loss on ignition (LOI) was determined by calcining the samples for 2 hours at 900°C in an electric furnace. Whole rock powders were analysed for major and minor elements using X-Ray diffractometer(Rigaku Japan) and Scanning Electron Microscope supported by Energy Dispersive Spectrophotometer (SEM –EDS) (Jeol, Japan; JSM-6390LV). The Chemical compositions are analyzed by EDS. The accuracy of the analyses (Carton and Lyman 2004) for the major elements is better than 1% for SiO2 and 2% for other major elements, 2–5% for minor elements and better than 10% for trace elements. The precision of the data was expressed as the residual standard deviation (RSD), which in general for all the trace elements is much less than 4%.

3. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

In order to determine the mineral content in rock power samples were characterised by X-ray diffractometer (XRD). The corresponding spectrum of samples S1A to S5A are shown in figure 1-5 and analysed results (Zhoua et.al. 2018, Hubbard et.al. 1988, Omotoso et.al 2006) are recorded in table 1.

| Constituents minerals | Granite standard | % | JH | S1A | S2A | S3A | S4A | S5A |
|-----------------------|------------------|---|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| SiO2                  | 75.01            | 75.50 | 74.05 | 73.60 | 75.20 | 74.45 |
| Al2O3                 | 12.27            | 12.30 | 12.80 | 13.10 | 13.50 | 12.90 |
| Fe2O3                 | 0.8              | 0.82  | 0.89  | 0.76  | 0.72  | 0.82  |
| FeO                   | 2.72             | 1.95  | 2.20  | 2.50  | 2.60  | 1.90  |
| MgO                   | 0.08             | 0.20  | 0.25  | 0.15  | 0.35  | 0.10  |
| CaO                   | 1.87             | 1.82  | 1.75  | 1.65  | 1.30  | 1.50  |
| Na2O                  | 3.36             | 3.35  | 3.25  | 3.50  | 3.28  | 3.50  |
| K2O                   | 2.80             | 2.75  | 2.95  | 2.80  | 2.65  | 2.85  |
| TiO2                  | 0.33             | 0.25  | 0.30  | 2.50  | 2.75  | 2.80  |
| MnO                   | 0.06             | 0.05  | 0.05  | 0.05  | 0.06  | 0.05  |
| Total %               | 99.30            | 99.19 | 98.49 | 100.61 | 102.41 | 100.87 |

Figure 1. X-Ray Diffractogram of sample S1A
Figure 2. X-ray Diffractogram of Sample S2A

Figure 3. X-Ray Diffractogram of Sample S3A

Figure 4. X-Ray Diffractogram of Sample S4A
Figure 5. X-Ray Diffractogram of Sample S5A

The plot of intensity counts against 2θ shows different peaks in 2θ the presence of quartz, muscovite, chlorite, albite, kaolinite, pyrite, K-fieldspars, biotite, amphibole, illite, calcite, plagioclase and apatite in different proportion in some of the samples. Some of the 2θ peaks are very weak and hidden. At least six type of constituents minerals were identified in major to minor quantity. Quartz was dominant 73.60 to 75.50% and muscovite was in between 12.30 – 13.50%. In addition to these eight types of minerals iron titanium oxides zircon and rare earth elements also present in small amount as shown in table 3. X-Ray diffraction studies indicate that most abundant phase in granite sample is quartz SiO2, K-fieldspar-orthoclase (KAlSi3O8), Na-feldspar, albite, mica group muscovite (KAl2(Al Si3 O10)(F,OH)2), biotite, K(Mg, Fe)3(Al Si3 O10)(F,OH)2, Kaolinite (Al2 Si2 O5(OH)4) and hematite (Fe2O3 ) and trace amount of halite gypsum (NaCl) and (CaSO4 2H2O).

4. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) Coupled With Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) Analysis

The morphology of surface of granite samples S1A – S5A are shown in figure 6-10. The SEM- EDS spectra are shown in figure 11-15 and corresponding oxides are shown in table 2. The slight deviation in value than 100% may be attributed to elemental interference, matrix effect and overlap effect. At least, ten types of constituent minerals were identified in the granite sample. Quartz was a dominant constituent with more than 70% and second is muscovite, K-feldspar and alkali feldspar were contained in higher concentration than plagioclase. In addition to these minerals, iron-titanium oxide, zircon and rare earth elements were also observed in a small amount. The present composition was characteristic of the ordinary granite. Iron-titanium oxide, pyroxene, apatite and pyrite were also observed in a small amount.

Figure 6. SEM photograph of samples S1A
Figure 7. SEM photograph of sample S2A

Figure 8. SEM photograph of sample S3A
Table 2. Quantitative chemical analysis of granite by SEM-EDS constituent oxides of sample S1A - S5A and granite % of Jharkhand

| Constituent Oxides | Granite % standard JH | S1A  | S2A  | S3A  | S4A  | S5A  |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| SiO$_2$            | 75.01                 | 70.30| 72.45| 70.40| 72.25| 71.50|
| Al$_2$O$_3$        | 14.21                 | 15.35| 13.60| 15.15| 14.35| 13.95|
| Fe$_2$O$_3$        | 0.8                   | 0.72 | 0.79 | 0.86 | 0.82 | 0.95 |
| FeO                | 2.72                  | 1.55 | 2.25 | 2.30 | 2.50 | 1.75 |
| MgO                | 0.08                  | 0.30 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.25 |
| CaO                | 1.87                  | 1.12 | 1.25 | 1.35 | 1.25 | 1.45 |
| Na$_2$O            | 3.36                  | 3.30 | 3.55 | 2.70 | 2.85 | 2.90 |
| K$_2$O             | 2.80                  | 3.55 | 3.65 | 2.95 | 3.60 | 3.15 |
| TiO$_2$            | 0.33                  | 0.24 | 0.29 | 2.35 | 2.55 | 2.55 |
| MnO                | 0.06                  | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.04 |
| Total %            | 101.24                | 96.26| 98.04| 98.30| 100.43| 98.49|
Figure 11. EDS Spectrum of Sample S1A

Figure 12. EDS Spectrum of Sample S2A

Figure 13. EDS Spectrum of Sample S3A
Figure 11 to 15 shows the results of Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDS) characterization of granite sample S1A –S5A. The spectrum of EDS analysis indicates the presence Oxygen (O), Magnesium (Mg), Aluminium (Al), Silicon (Si), Potassium (K), Calcium (Ca) and Titanium in the granite sample table 2. The sum of the percentages of constituents minerals in samples are not exactly 100%, this is attributed to elemental interference, matrix effect and overlap effect. The highest element contents were shown by samples are silicon. It confirmed that silicate is the major mineral phase followed by alumina.

5. Comparison of Data Measured by XRD and SEM-EDS Two Technique

Oxides compositions in granite by two technique XRD and SEM-EDS for Na, Mg, Al, Si, Ca, Ti, Fe etc. have been plotted in figure 16 - 22. The comparison of % XRD data with % composition determined by SEM-EDS of Al2O3, SiO2, Na2O, K2O, FeO, TiO2, and MnO shows that data are comparable within experimental error and also comparable with mean composition value of Jharkhand granite. All the five samples S1A to S5A shows that both the technique results are comparable within experimental error.
Figure 16. Plot of % Al₂O₃ composition by X-ray against % Al₂O₃ composition determined by SEM-EDS

Figure 17. Plot of % Si₂O₃ composition by X-ray against % Si₂O₃ composition determined by SEM-EDS

Figure 18. Plot of % Na₂O composition by X-ray against % Na₂O composition determined by SEM-EDS
Figure 19. Plot of % K$_2$O composition by X-ray against % K$_2$O composition determined by SEM-EDS

Figure 20. Plot of % FeO composition by X-ray against % FeO composition determined by SEM-EDS

Figure 21. Plot of % TiO$_2$ composition by X-ray against % TiO$_2$ composition determined by SEM-EDS
Figure 22. Plot of % MnO composition by X-ray against % MnO composition determined by SEM-EDS

Table 3. Representation of some trace elements compositions of granite S1A – S5A sample

| Sample No. | Trace Element | 01A Composition (ppm) | 02A Composition (ppm) | 03A Composition (ppm) | 04A Composition (ppm) | 05A Composition (ppm) |
|------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 02A        |                | 800                    | 805                    | 850                    | 750                    | 807                    |
| Cr         |                | 4.07                   | 4.2                   | 4.5                   | 6.0                   | 6.8                   |
| Cu         |                | 34                     | 30                    | 37                    | 41                    | 27.1                  |
| Ni         |                | 24                     | 15                    | 7                     | 15                    | 9                     |
| Rb         |                | 92.5                   | 93.50                 | 87.5                  | 95.4                  | 110                   |
| Sr         |                | 280                    | 224                   | 247                   | 289                   | 257                   |
| Pb         |                | 32                     | 14                    | 37                    | 25                    | 19                    |
| Nb         |                | 4.5                    | 8.4                   | 9.5                   | 9.8                   | 4.7                   |
| Zr         |                | 32.6                   | 111.4                 | 80.6                  | 98.0                  | 60.8                  |
| Zn         |                | 35                     | 36                    | 37                    | 41                    | 40.2                  |
| Sn         |                | 0.75                   | 0.70                  | 0.75                  | 0.72                  | 0.77                  |

The total content of Ba, Cr, Cu, Ni, Rb, Sr, Pb, Nb, Zr, Zn, and Sn have been determined (Pawloski and Calif 1986, Xie et al. 2018 and Regelink 2014) and recorded in table 3. The heavy metals content in the rocks are consistently lower. Heavy metals have low mobility in relation to Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ and high persistent in the environment. Ba is associated with K because of similar in ionic ratio. Low content of heavy metals in rocks are consistent with very low metal content of the granitic parent material. The use of SEM – EDS is important to confirm the presence of elemental composition of the minerals. Among the trace elements the precession and therefore accuracy of Ni, Cr, and Ba is significantly less than for Rb, Sr, Zr, Nb, Cu and Zn. Ba, Ni, Cr, and Ba are regarded as only semiquantitative below 30 ppm level, Pb, Sr, Zr, have satisfactory precession and accuracy down 1to 3 ppm. Figure 23 to 29 shows the plot of trace element with SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ composition. Figure 23 shows that Pb concentration is increasing with SiO₂ concentration. On the other hand all other element shows almost constant composition with respect SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ composition. Figure 27, 28 and 29 shows the variation Ba, Pb and Zr with Al₂O₃ which shows uniform distribution of these metal in all the five samples.
Figure 23. Plot of $\% Si_2O_3$ composition by X-ray against Pb/ppm

Figure 24. Plot of $\% Si_2O_3$ composition by X-ray against Ba/ppm

Figure 25. Plot of $\% Si_2O_3$ composition by X-ray against Zr/ppm
Figure 26. Plot of % SiO$_2$ composition by X-ray against Rb/ppm

Figure 27. Plot of % Al$_2$O$_3$ composition by X-ray against Ba/ppm

Figure 28. Plot of % Al$_2$O$_3$ composition by X-ray against Pb/ppm
Figure 29. Plot of $\% \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ composition by X-ray against Zr/ppm

Figure 30 and 31 shows that plot of constituent oxides against $\%$ oxides determined by XRD and and figure 31 determined by SEM-EDS shows are very close to standard mean value composition of Jharkhand granite.

Figure 30. Plot of chemical composition of constituent oxides with $\%$ oxides of granite sample determined by X-Ray spectrophotometer

JH-Jharkhand average composition of granite
S1A-Sample composition Granite of S1A
S2A-Sample composition Granite of S2A
S3A-Sample composition Granite of S3A
S4A-Sample composition Granite of S4A
S5A-Sample composition Granite of S5A
Figure 31. Plot of chemical composition of constituent oxides with \% oxides of granite sample determined by SEM-EDS

**JH-Jharkhand average composition of granite**

S1A-Sample composition Granite of S1A
S2A-Sample composition Granite of S2A
S3A-Sample composition Granite of S3A
S4A-Sample composition Granite of S4A
S5A-Sample composition Granite of S5A

6. Results and Discussion

Five rocks samples S1A-S5A were subjected for XRD and SEM-EDS analysis. The XRD spectrum are shown in figure 1-5 and data are recorded in table 1. The SEM micro-photographs are shown in figure 6-10, EDS spectra are shown in figure 11-16 and data are tabulated in table 2. It is clear that the photograph that bright portion is composed by quartz. It is clear from the table 1 and 2 that rock are composed of mainly 10 minerals out of which silica have the highest composition 70-75\% followed by alumina 12-14\%. The plot of X-Ray composition against SEM-EDS composition for various minerals shows that these two technique have good agreement within the experimental limits. The variation of heavy metals with respect to silica composition are shown in figure 23 – 26 shows that variation of heavy metals are almost uniform in the granite matrix. Figure 26-28 shows the variation of heavy metals with respect to Al2O3 composition which is also uniform. The trace metals data obtained by X-Ray are recorded in table 3. Figure 30-31 shows that XRD and SEM-EDS plots are in good agreement. On the basis of XRD and SEM-EDS data, rock was classified as Peraluminus rock.

Major element data of five samples are shown in table 1 & 2 indicate that the granite gneiss are high in silica (70-75\%) and alumina 12-14\% content, Na2O (3-4\%) and K2O (2-3\%). The rocks are characterized by total iron content as Fe2O3 from 0 to 1\%, CaO (0-2\%). A typical plot of granite percentage against constituents of oxide with average composition of graniteis shown in figure 30-31. The trace elements were also analysed by X-ray technique and shown in table 3. Granite rocks analysed have been classified as S-type granitoids and have relation with collisional orogenies and have tin, tunguston and silver deposite as valuable minerals. Petrography(Ridley 2012) deals with the identification of minerals, textures and microstructures of the different lithological units of the area figure 6-10. As the major part of the area is covered by quartz and muscovite. The rock is essentially composed of SiO2, Al2O3, FeO and K2O. SiO2 constitutes 70-75 \% of the rock by weight. Alumina constitutes 13 -16 \% of the total weight of the rock. Perthitic intergrowth are common and inclusions of FeO, CaO are observed in microline, K2O constitutes 2-4 \% of the rock. The grains show preferred orientation. Titanite, associated with K2O and Fe2O3 is common. Zircon grains are rounded to sub-rounded.
On the basis of geochemical compositions and petrographic characteristics five samples of granites were collected. Major and trace element data for all granites are listed in table 3. Granite is a medium-to-coarse-grained igneous rock with essential quartz and muscovite. Granites (Cross 1903 & Maitre 2002) can be subdivided on the basis of their chemistry into peralkaline, metaluminous, and peraluminous on the basis of the ratio $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/(\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O} + \text{CaO})$. The related terminology is:

(i) peraluminous: $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 > (\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O} + \text{CaO})$ or $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/(\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O} + \text{CaO}) > 1.1$; Rock will probably have muscovite and may have garnet; will be corundum-normative.

(ii) metaluminous: $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 < (\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O} + \text{CaO})$ but $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 > (\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O})$ or $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/(\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O} + \text{CaO}) > 1.0$; rock may have hornblende

(iii) subaluminous: $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 < (\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O} + \text{CaO})$ but $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 = (\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O})$; $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/(\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O} + \text{CaO}) < 1.0$; rock will probably have hornblende.

(iv) peralkaline: $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 < (\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O})$ or $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/(\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O} + \text{CaO}) << 1.0$; rock will Peraluminous rock probably have lot of K-feldspar. It is clear from the table 1 and table 2 that $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 / (\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O} + \text{CaO}) = 1.77$ This indicate rocks is Peraluminous and will have muscovite and may have garnet and corundum.

Peraluminous rocks (Willner 1990) are igneous rocks that have a molar proportion of aluminium oxide greater than that of sodium oxide, potassium oxide and calcium oxide combined as compared with peralkaline, metaluminous, and subaluminous. Peraluminous minerals include biotite, muscovite, cordierite, andalusite and garnet. Peraluminous rocks are igneous rocks that have a molecular proportion of aluminium oxide higher than the combination of sodium oxide, potassium oxide and calcium oxide (Blatt 1995). This contrasts with peralkaline in which the alkalis are higher, metaluminous where aluminium oxide concentration is lower than the combination, but above the alkalis, and subaluminous in which alumina concentration is lower than the combination.

Peraluminous corresponds to the aluminum saturation index values greater than 1 (Ludington 2008) Peralumineous magmas can form S-type granitoids and have been linked to collisional orogenies and to the formation of tin, tungsten and silver deposits. (Mlynarczyk 2005). The mineral chemical formula have been calculated by CQMA method (Ligarski 2017, Klika 2016) and summarized in table 4.

Table 4. The crystallochemical formulas of Granite Minerals

| Si. No | Mineral names | Chemical Formula |
|-------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1.    | Quartz       | $\text{SiO}_2$  |
| 2.    | Muscovite    | $(K_F)_2(AI_2O_3)_3(SiO_2)_6$ |
| 3.    | Chlorite     | $(Fe,Mg,Al)_6(Si,Al)_4O_{10}(OH)_8$ |
| 4.    | Albite       | $\text{NaAlSi}_3\text{O}_8$ |
| 5.    | Kaolinite    | $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(SiO_2)_2(H_2O)_2$ |
| 6.    | Pyrite       | $\text{FeS}$    |
| 7.    | K-feldspar   | $\text{KAISi}_3\text{O}_8 - NaAlSi}_3\text{O}_8 - CaAl}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_8$ |
| 8.    | Biotite      | $K(Mg,Fe^{+})_3[AlSi}_3\text{O}_10(\text{HF})_2$ |
| 9.    | Amphibole    | $\text{SiO}_4$   |
| 10.   | Illite       | $(KH_2O(AI, Mg,Fe)_2(SiAl)_4O_{10}(OH)_2(H_2O)]$ |
| 11.   | Calcite      | $\text{CaCO}_3$  |
| 12.   | Plagioclase  | $(Na,Ca)(Si,Al)_2O_8$ |
| 13.   | Apatite      | $[Ca_2(PO}_4)_3(OH,F,Cl)$ |
7. Conclusion
Deoghar Jharkhand granite were analysed by two different X-ray and SEM-EDS technique. Remarkable good agreement were found between XRD quantitative analysis and SEM –EDS analysis. Mineral composition of samples investigated by XRD analysis reveal that rocks, granite silica SiO2 (70-75%), Al2O3 (12-14 %) as shown in table 1 and SEM –EDS analysis shown in table 2. It is confirmed that Si is highest composition followed By alumina in the granite rock sample S1A-S5A. Further, rocks have been classified as peraluminus which can form S-type granitoids. The rocks also contain valuable minerals in trace amount in ppm range. The composition of silica and Alumina have been plotted for Na2O, K2O, FeO, TiO2 and MnO determined by two different technique have good agreement, and close to standard mean value of Jharkhand. Further silica and alumina dependence on trace element shows that Pb is increasing with silica on other hand other element shows the constant composition with silica and alumina..
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