Curcumin-Mediated Sono-Photodynamic Treatment Inactivates *Listeria monocytogenes* via ROS-Induced Physical Disruption and Oxidative Damage
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**Abstract:** Sono-photodynamic sterilization technology (SPDT) has become a promising non-thermal food sterilization technique because of its high penetrating power and outstanding microbicidal effects. In this study, *Listeria monocytogenes* (LMO) was effectively inactivated using curcumin as the sono-photosensitizer activated by ultrasound and blue LED light. The SPDT treatment at optimized conditions yielded a 4-log reduction in LMO CFU. The reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in LMO upon SPDT treatment was subsequently investigated. The results demonstrated SPDT treatment-induced excessive ROS generation led to bacterial cell deformation and membrane rupture, as revealed by the scanning electron microscope (SEM) and cytoplasmic material leakage. Moreover, agarose gel electrophoresis and SDS-PAGE further revealed that SPDT also triggered bacterial genomic DNA cleavage and protein degradation in LMO, thus inducing bacterial apoptosis-like events, such as membrane depolarization.
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1. Introduction

Pathogenic bacterial contamination is the most significant cause of food safety issues and the most widespread public health concern worldwide [1]. *Listeria monocytogenes* (LMO), together with *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Salmonella enterica*, are considered the most important bacterial pathogens commonly implicated in food-borne illnesses [2]. *LMO* has been commonly detected in a variety of foods including frozen meat, aquatic products, and dairy ingredients [3]. Moreover, listeriosis caused by *LMO* infection can be particularly dangerous or even fatal for immune-compromised people. For instance, listeriosis is considered extremely dangerous for pregnant women and their newborn babies, resulting in miscarriage, stillbirth or even death [4,5]. Additionally, *LMO* is highly pathogenic due to its secretion of a variety of virulence factors (internalin, phospholipases, hemolysin, and virulence proteins) [6–12]. Therefore, exploring sanitizing methods against *LMO* has drawn great attention from scholars.

Traditional thermal sterilization techniques transmit large amounts of heat energy to the foodstuff through heat conduction, thereby inactivating microorganisms [13]. Currently, these thermal sterilization techniques have been widely adopted in the food industry [14]. However, thermal sterilization often severely affects the flavor, color and nutrient content of foods [15].
attention [16]. Particularly, SPDT was effectively applied in inhibiting periopathogenic bacteria with numerous advantages, such as ease of operation, low cost, good penetrating power and safety [17].

Similar to the widely-adopted antimicrobial photodynamic inactivation (aPDI), in which photosensitizer absorbs light energy and catalyzes the formation of ROS, SPDT relies on the sono-photosensitizer activated by light and ultrasound simultaneously to generate ROS [18,19]. Notably, SPDT offers numerous advantages over aPDI. For instance, light often has limited penetration depth, therefore, aPDT is thus only suitable for sterilization of food surfaces and some liquid clarified beverages but not opaque liquids and solid foods. In contrast, ultrasound used in the sono-dynamic technology has strong penetrating power [20] and thus can achieve good sterilization effects on opaque liquids and the interior of solid foods. Additionally, the cavitation of ultrasound also contributes to the microbicidal activity of SPDT [20]. Indeed, Niavarzi et al. [21] have compared the killing effects of methylene blue-mediated aPDI and SPDT against Enterococcus faecalis biofilms and found SPDT yields more significant decreases in the survival of faecalis. Drantantias et al. [22] also reported that sono-photodynamic sterilization techniques were more effective in inhibiting S. aureus than sono-dynamic and photodynamic bactericidal techniques alone.

However, searching for a suitable sono-photosensitizer is always a challenge for commercial applications of SPDT in the food industry. Unlike chemically synthesized sono-photosensitizers, curcumin is a naturally-derived polyphenol approved by the WHO and FDA as food additive [23,24]. Therefore, several studies were conducted to explore the potential of curcumin-based SPDT for killing food-borne bacteria and food preservation [25]. For instance, Fernanda et al. [26] demonstrated curcumin-mediated SPDT could achieve a reduction of 3.48-log of S. aureus with bacterial biofilm disruption. Similarly, Maryam et al. [27] showed curcumin-decorated nanophytosomes-mediated SPDT could effectively kill Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans by more than 10-log reduction of CFU and significantly decrease the bacterial metabolic activity. Bhavya et al. [28] also reported the killing effects of curcumin-mediated SPDT on E. coli and S. aureus in orange juice; while SPDT was also found to inhibit the growth of the spoilage microorganisms (Psychrobacter and Brochothrix) in shrimp surimi [29]. However, to the best of our knowledge, the bactericidal activity of curcumin-based SPDT on LMO, as well as its underlying mechanisms, have not been reported. Therefore, in the current study, the killing effects of SPDT against LMO, along with possible mechanisms of action, were explored. Particularly, the excessive ROS generated during SPDT treatment, as well as its disruption activities on the bacterial membrane, were determined, followed by the assessment of ROS-induced oxidative damage to bacterial DNA and proteins.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of Bacterial Suspension and Curcumin Solution

LMO was obtained from the China Microbial Strain Conservation Centre (CMCC, Beijing, China) and stored at −80 °C. A single colony was transferred to TSB-YE broth and cultured at 37 ± 1 °C. The bacteria were harvested at logarithmic growth stage by centrifugation at 5000 × g for 5 min at 4 °C. Harvested LMO pellets were washed with sterilized PBS solution (pH 7.4) and resuspended in PBS at OD_{600} = 0.5 (≈10^9 CFU/mL). Curcumin was purchased from MCE China (Shanghai, China). To prepare the curcumin stock solution (10 mM), 185 mg of curcumin was dissolved in 50 mL of ethanol solution and stirred for 30 min on a magnetic stirrer. The curcumin stock solution was stored at 4 °C, and further diluted using PBS before experiment. Since the maximum concentration of curcumin working solution in the current study was 70 µM, the ethanol concentration in all curcumin working solutions was below 0.7%, which has little impact on the viability of bacteria [30].

2.2. Sono-Photodynamic Sterilization Treatment

For the sono-photodynamic sterilization treatment, curcumin solution was added into the bacterial suspension and incubated in the dark for 30 min. Then the bacterial samples
were exposed to ultrasound treatment (XH300E, XiangHu Technologies, Beijing, China) and blue LED illumination (M425L, Zolix Instruments Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). The distance between sample and ultrasonic probe and LED bulb was 2 and 5 cm, respectively (as illustrated in Figure 1). Thereafter, the bacterial viability was assessed with CFU counting assay using PCA plates. In brief, ten-fold serial dilutions of LMO samples were prepared, and 200 µL of each dilution was incubated on the PCA plate at 37 °C for 24 h. The CFUs were calculated by multiplying the numbers of colonies counted on the plates by the dilution ratio.

**Figure 1.** The schematic diagram (A) and apparatus (B) of sono-photodynamic treatment for inactivating food-borne bacteria *Listeria monocytogenes*.

### 2.3. Detection of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS)

The measurement of ROS was performed using DCFH-DA staining method as described by Akhtar et al. [19]. 2,7-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) was used as a fluorescent probe to detect the ROS content [31]. In brief, 500 µL of bacterial solution in each group (~10⁹ CFU/mL before treatments) was mixed with 25 µL of DCFH-DA solution (10 µmol/L) and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min and then the ROS was determined by fluorescence spectrophotometers (RF-5301PC, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The excitation wavelength (λ<sub>ex</sub>), emission wavelength (λ<sub>em</sub>) and slit width were set as λ<sub>ex</sub> = 490 nm, λ<sub>em</sub> = 520 nm and 5 nm, respectively.

### 2.4. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

SEM images were captured according to the method of Lai et al. [23]. In brief, the bacterial solutions (5 mL, at ~10⁹ CFU/mL before treatments) were centrifuged at 5000 × g for 5 min at 4 °C to harvest the bacteria, which were then loaded on the coverslip and fixed with glutaraldehyde at a concentration of 2.5% for overnight, and then rinsed three times with PBS. The bacteria were fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide for 6 h, followed by stepwise dehydration with 25%, 50%, 75% and 95% ethanol. After drying in a CO₂ desiccator, the bacterial samples were coated by gold spraying. Finally, the cell structure was observed on a high-resolution field emission scanning electron microscope (SIGMA, Carl Zeiss, Rödermark, Germany).

### 2.5. Determination of Cytoplasmic Material Leakage

LMO (at ~10⁹ CFU/mL) was treated as described above. Then the cytoplasmic material leakage was measured according to the method described in the previous studies [23,32].
In brief, 500 µL of bacterial suspension in each group was passed through a 0.22 µm pore size membrane. The OD\textsubscript{260} and OD\textsubscript{280} of the filtrates were measured using an ultra-micro UV-Vis spectrophotometer (ND2000C, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA).

2.6. DNA Agarose Gel Electrophoresis

The bacterial genomic DNA was extracted according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, GA, USA). The extracted genomic DNA was mixed with 6 × DNA Loading Buffer and separated in 1% agarose gel. The electrophoresis apparatus parameters were set at 100 V for 30 min. The gel was visualized using gel imaging system (ChemiDoc MP, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) after electrophoresis [23].

2.7. Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

In brief, the bacterial pellet was resuspended in protein lysis buffer (2×). Upon complete lysis of the bacteria, the lysates were mixed with same volume of Protein Loading Dye (2×) and then heated at 100 °C for 5 min. Samples were centrifuged at 10,000× g for 30 s and the supernatant was loaded into SDS polyacrylamide gel for electrophoresis. After electrophoresis, the gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue Dye (P0017, Beyotime, Shanghai, China) and the images were observed using gel imaging system (ChemiDoc MP, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

2.8. Annexin V-FITC/PI Staining Assay

The bacterial apoptosis-like event membrane depolarization was evaluated using the Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit (C1062M, Beyotime, Shanghai, China) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The bacterial pellets were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in PBS and stained with Annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide at 25 °C for 15 min in the dark, followed by flow cytometric detection using Beckman Coulter CytoFLEX (Indianapolis, IN, USA).

2.9. Data Statistics and Analysis

All experimental data were obtained from at least three replicates. Data were expressed as mean ± SD. SPSS software (version 24.0) was used for performing statistical analysis and significant differences between groups were determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Duncan’s multiple comparisons. The significance level was 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. SPDT Showed Effective Bactericidal Activity against LMO

As shown in Figure 2, the increase in the concentration of curcumin (ranging from 10–50 µmol/L) led to the enhanced killing effects of SPDT against LMO. A 4.12-log CFU/mL decrease was observed with curcumin at a concentration of 50 µmol/L (Figure 2A). However, a further increase in the curcumin concentration only enhanced the antibacterial efficacy of SPDT slightly. Notably, increasing the curcumin concentration beyond 60 µM even resulted in decreased killing effects. This phenomenon may be due to the fact that a higher curcumin concentration led to the increase in opacity of the solution, which may hinder the penetration of the lights. Similarly, the number of LMO colonies gradually decreased with the increasing duration of the sono-photodynamic treatment. The number of colonies decreased by 4.19-logs when the processing time was 25 min; while the number of LMO colonies further decreased slightly when the treatment time was expanded to 30 min (Figure 2B). In addition, ultrasonic power was also identified as an important factor affecting bactericidal activity. The killing effects of SPDT increased rapidly with ultrasonic power rising to 600 W. A 3.91-log decrease in CFU/mL was achieved when the ultrasonic power reached 800 W (Figure 2C). Moreover, the bactericidal effects of negative controls (curcumin only, light-illumination only, and ultrasonic treatment only with their optimized parameters) were next explored. The results also supported that only curcumin-mediated SPDT achieved desirable bactericidal effects against LMO (Figures 2D and S1).
Figure 2. The optimization of curcumin concentration (A), light-ultrasonic processing time (B) and ultrasonic power (C) for the bactericidal activity of curcumin-mediated sono-photodynamic treatment against *Listeria monocytogenes*; (D) The bactericidal effects of curcumin only (50 µM), light-illumination only (25 min), ultrasonic treatment only (800 W) and curcumin-mediated SPDT against LMO. CK: Control group without treatment; Cur: Curcumin-treated group; Lig: Light-illuminated group; US: Ultrasound-treated group; SPDT: Curcumin-mediated sono-photodynamic treated group. The significant differences among samples were denoted by various lowercase letters (*p* < 0.05).

3.2. SPDT Generated Significant Intracellular ROS in LMO

As shown in Figure 3, the fluorescence in the control groups (curcumin-treated only, light-illuminated only, ultrasonicated only) showed increases in fluorescence of 2–3-fold compared to the untreated bacteria, while the SPDT-treatment was found to augment the fluorescence intensity by >5 folds in the treated bacteria compared with untreated bacteria, indicating significantly more ROS generation occurred in LMO upon SPDT treatment (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in *Listeria monocytogenes* cells subjected to
different treatments. (A) Fluorescence intensity of *Listeria monocytogenes* in different treatment groups. (B) The ratio of the fluorescence intensity of each treatment group to CK group. CK: Control group without treatment; Cur: Curcumin-treated group; Lig: Light-illuminated group; US: Ultrasound-treated group; SPDT: Curcumin-mediated sono-photodynamic treated group. Different lowercase letters above the columns indicate the significant difference (*p* < 0.05).

3.3. SPDT Altered Morphology of LMO

As shown in Figure 4A, the untreated *LMO* cells showed the normal shape of bacteria surrounded by intact cell membranes without the obvious release of intracellular components. A fraction of *LMO* with curcumin treatment or blue-light illumination or ultrasonic treatment appeared to have blurred morphology, but the majority of the bacteria still possessed intact cell membranes (Figure 4B–D). In contrast, more obvious disrupted membranes and altered cellular morphologies were observed in the *LMO* with SPDT treatment (Figure 4E), indicating that the SPDT treatment could effectively disrupt the bacteria membrane of *LMO*.

![Figure 4. SEM images of *Listeria monocytogenes*. (A) Control group without treatment; (B) Curcumin-treated group; (C) Light-illuminated group; (D) Ultrasound-treated group; (E) SPDT: Curcumin-mediated sono-photodynamic treated group.](image)

3.4. SPDT Induced Cytoplasmic Material Leakage in LMO

As shown in Figure 5, the OD\textsubscript{260} and OD\textsubscript{280} of bacterial culture filtration were similar among the untreated bacteria and *LMO* in the control groups (Curcumin group; Light group; and Ultrasound group). In contrast, SPDT caused a significant increase in both the OD\textsubscript{260} and OD\textsubscript{280} of bacterial culture filtration, indicating SPDT could destroy the cell structure and cause the leakage of substances to the extracellular area.
3.5. SPDT Induced DNA Fragmentation and Protein Degradation in LMO

As shown in Figure 6, significant DNA degradation occurred in LMO upon SPDT treatment, as evidenced by the weak and smeared DNA bands being detected. Similarly, the protein bands in the SPDT-treated group also became scattered and blurred, with large proportions of the bands even disappearing. These phenomena also implied that SPDT triggered a universal protein degradation in LMO, making it impossible for the bacteria to metabolize properly, and thus leading to its death.
3.6. SPDT Induced Membrane Depolarization in LMO

As shown in Figure 7, the majority of untreated LMO showed the absence of annexin V-binding and propidium uptake (Q4, live status); while light illumination or ultrasound treatment slightly increased the percentage of bacterial cells being PI-negative and annexin V-positive. In contrast, bacterial cells in the SPDT group demonstrated massive outward exposure of phosphatidylserine, which is the biochemical hall mark of bacterial apoptosis-like events.

![Figure 7](image)

Figure 7. Membrane depolarization of *Listeria monocytogenes* detected by flow cytometry with Annexin V-FITC/PI double staining. (A) Control group without treatment; (B) Curcumin-treated group; (C) Light-illuminated group; (D) Ultrasound-treated group; (E) Curcumin-mediated sono photodynamic treated group. The two-parameter dot plot divides the cells into four zones: Q1 indicating mechanically damaged cells (Annexin V-FITC-/PI+), Q2 indicating dead with membrane depolarization (Annexin V-FITC+/PI+), Q3 indicating bacteria with apoptosis-like event membrane depolarization (Annexin V-FITC+/PI−), and Q4 indicating live cells (Annexin V-FITC-/PI−).

4. Discussion

The development of novel sterilization technologies that effectively deactivate bacteria with less impact on sensory and physical characteristics of foods is always a major focus in food science [33]. SPDT is a non-thermal sterilization technology that has already been used in clinical practice over the past few decades [34,35], exhibiting great potential in killing pathogenic bacteria. Recently, a number of studies have revealed that SPDT could effectively inactivate major food-borne pathogens (*E. coli*, *Salmonella*, and *S. aureus*) [26,36]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no report so far to suggest the efficacy of SPDT against LMO. Thus, in the current study, we assessed the effectiveness and mechanism of action of curcumin-mediated SPDT in killing LMO.
The obtained results demonstrated that the sterilization effect of SPDT was significantly enhanced with the extension of the sono-photodynamic processing time and increases in ultrasonic power and curcumin concentration. The optimal sterilization effect was achieved when the curcumin concentration was 50 µmol/L, the sono-photodynamic action time was 25 min, the ultrasonic power was 800 W and the blue LED light wavelength was 425 nm. The total number of LMO colonies under these parameters decreased by about 4.07 ± 0.15 log (equal to a bactericidal rate of 99.99 ± 0.01%), revealing a good bactericidal ability of the SPDT against LMO.

ROS production, which plays a crucial role in bactericidal activity, was investigated in the current study. Excessive production of ROS in bacteria can trigger bacterial death by multiple mechanisms, including damaging cellular components (e.g., bacterial lipids, DNA and proteins) [37], disrupting normal physiological metabolism [38], increasing permeability of cell membranes [38], even speeding up gene mutations [39], which ultimately lead to cell death [40]. Indeed, ROS generation was suggested as an important mechanism underlying the bactericidal activity of SPDT. For instance, hematoporphyrin monomethyl ether (HMME) and rose bengal (RB)-based nanoparticle-mediated SPDT was found to induce ROS generation with obvious bactericidal effects against methicillin-resistant S. aureus and extended-spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL)-producing E. coli [41]. Here, our results also suggested the SPDT induced excessive ROS in LMO, which led to cell membrane rupture and cell crumbling, as shown in the SEM images. Meanwhile, consistent with a number of studies [32,42], bacterial membrane damage can further result in the leakage of low-molecular-weight cytoplasmic constituents that have strong UV absorption at 260 nm and 280 nm. Indeed, these occurrences can also result in abnormal electrical potential across the membrane, thus affecting the physiological metabolism of the bacteria [43,44]. Meanwhile, as highly reactive molecules, ROS-induced large amounts of DNA damage and protein degradation were also observed in the current study. Indeed, ROS-induced oxidative damage to genomic DNA and proteins was also mentioned in previous literature [23,45,46].

Notably, previous studies also reported that DNA damage often led to bacterial apoptosis-like events, such as membrane depolarization [47]. Indeed, Maryam et al. also reported that curcumin-mediated sono-dynamic treatment effectively kills S. mutans via apoptosis-like death [47]. Here, our findings also showed SPDT resulted in the outward exposure of phosphatidylserine, suggesting that bacterial apoptosis-like events may also be involved in the killing effects of SPDT against LMO.

5. Conclusions

In previous studies, SPDT has demonstrated its desirable bactericidal activity against a number of food-borne bacteria (including E. coli [38], S. aureus [22,26] and E. faecalis [21]). Here, our study further demonstrated this non-thermal sterilization technique could effectively kill another important food-borne pathogen, LMO, which indicated that SPDT possessed a wide antimicrobial spectrum and could be a promising approach to efficiently lowered bacterial growth in foods. Admittedly, as a novel sterilization technology, further studies are needed before SPDT could become commercialized in the food industry. Taken together, curcumin activated by blue light and ultrasound is a potential non-thermal sterilization method for sono-photodynamic inactivation against LMO.
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