### Prenatal Vitamin Use and Vitamin D Status during Pregnancy, Differences by Race and Overweight Status

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters

| Citation       | Burris, Heather H., Ann Thomas, Chloe A. Zera, and Thomas F. McElrath. 2014. “Prenatal Vitamin Use and Vitamin D Status during Pregnancy, Differences by Race and Overweight Status.” Journal of perinatology : official journal of the California Perinatal Association 35 (4): 241-245. doi:10.1038/jp.2014.198. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jp.2014.198. |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Published Version | doi:10.1038/jp.2014.198                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Citable link    | http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:23474135                                                                                                                                                |
| Terms of Use    | This article was downloaded from Harvard University’s DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA |
Prenatal Vitamin Use and Vitamin D Status during Pregnancy, Differences by Race and Overweight Status

Heather H. Burris, MD, MPH1,2,* , Ann Thomas, MS3, Chloe A. Zera, MD, MPH2,3, and Thomas F. McElrath, MD, PhD2,3

1Department of Neonatology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Division of Newborn Medicine, Boston Children’s Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
2Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
3Division of Maternal Fetal Medicine, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

Abstract

Objective—We aimed to study whether prenatal vitamin (PNV) use protects against low 25(OH)D levels in all women and particularly in obese and black women who are both at risk of vitamin D deficiency and poor pregnancy outcomes.

Study design—We studied 1019 women enrolled in a prospective study at Brigham and Women’s Hospital in Boston, 2007–2009. We used multivariable logistic regression to analyze associations of PNV use and odds of vitamin D deficiency defined as 25(OH)D levels < 50 nmol/L.

Results—56% of black and 86% of white women reported pre- and/or post-conceptional PNV use. 75% of black and 19% of white women were vitamin D deficient in the first trimester. PNV use among black women was not associated with vitamin D deficiency (adjusted OR 1.0, 95%CI 0.4, 2.3) but was among white women (3.5, 95%CI 2.1, 5.8)(Interaction P<0.01).

Conclusions—Ongoing trials of vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy should consider potential effect modification by race/ethnicity.
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Introduction

Perinatal health outcomes vary dramatically by race in the United States.1 African American women develop preeclampsia more often than their white counterparts2 and are more likely to develop preterm labor.3 The result is that black infants are 60% more likely be preterm
and four times more likely to die due to prematurity than white infants. Because of less efficient vitamin D synthesis in the skin of darker pigmented individuals, African Americans are at higher risk than white Americans of vitamin D deficiency. Black women are also more likely than white women to be overweight or obese which is of concern because obesity is another risk factor for vitamin D deficiency and poor perinatal outcomes. In observational studies, 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25[OH]D) levels have been shown to be associated with higher risk of several adverse perinatal outcomes including gestational diabetes, preeclampsia, preeclampsia, preterm birth and small-for-gestational age status. Because of the paucity of vitamin D trial data with respect to perinatal outcomes, whether differences in vitamin D status during pregnancy explains or partially explains perinatal disparities is plausible but remains unknown. Furthermore, while multivitamin intake has been shown to vary by race, it remains unknown whether multivitamin intake improves vitamin D status among the highest risk groups (African Americans and overweight/obese) during pregnancy. The object of this study was to examine whether women who reported taking a prenatal vitamin had lower risk of low 25(OH)D levels. Our hypothesis was that lack of prenatal vitamin (PNV) use would be associated with vitamin D deficiency (defined as <50 nmol/L).

Methods

Study Design and Participants

Between 2007 and 2009, 2246 pregnant women enrolled in a three site, observational cohort study of the prevention of preeclampsia in Boston and Philadelphia. Women provided written, informed consent to participate and the Institutional Review Board of participating institutions approved the study. This substudy includes women enrolled from Brigham and Women’s Hospital, a high-risk tertiary perinatal center in Boston, MA who had complete exposure (PNV use) and outcome data (vitamin D status at two time points during pregnancy). Details of study participation are shown in Figure 1. Women enrolled at a median (interquartile range) gestational age of 9.6 weeks (8.4–11.4). We collected baseline demographics using a questionnaire including race/ethnicity and education obtained data from the medical record. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated from measurements obtained during the first trimester visit (kg/m²). PNV use was ascertained by questionnaire that asked women, “Prior to pregnancy, did you take a multivitamin?” and “Since getting pregnant have you taken a multivitamin?” We categorized women as PNV users if they reported any PNV use. We performed secondary analyses restricting to women who initiated PNV use before pregnancy only and after pregnancy only and compared each group to women with no reported PNV use.

Laboratory analysis

Maternal blood was obtained at the initial visit (median gestational age 9.6 weeks, IQR 8.4–11.4) and again in the late second/early third trimester (mean 26.0 weeks’ gestation, IQR 25.0–26.9). Approximately 10 mL of blood was drawn in ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid plasma tubes at each study visit; the samples were kept at 4°C until processing for storage within 4 hours of venipuncture. The specimens were centrifuged for 20 minutes and stored at −80°C. The plasma samples were analyzed for 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration.
(25(OH)D) using chemiluminescence, an automated radioimmunoassay\textsuperscript{21} in the Weiss laboratory at the Channing Laboratory, Boston, MA. We defined vitamin D deficiency as <50 nmol/L, a common cut-point in the literature.\textsuperscript{5, 22, 23}

**Statistical analyses**

First, we analyzed bivariate associations between 25(OH)D category and PNV use as well as covariates including maternal age, race/ethnicity, smoking, education and BMI. We then performed multivariable logistic regression models to estimate adjusted associations of PNV use and vitamin D deficiency. We subsequently stratified by race/ethnicity and obesity status to examine whether PNV was associated with vitamin D deficiency in high risk groups. Women with missing covariates were not included in final multivariable models. All statistical analyses were run using SAS version 9.3, Cary, NC.

**Results**

Most women reported multivitamin 763/1019 (74.9\%) use before and/or early in pregnancy. Of PNV users, 477 (62.5\%) reported use before and after pregnancy diagnosis, 238 (31.2\%) reported use only after pregnancy was diagnosed, and just 48 (6.3\%) of women reported using PNVs prior to pregnancy but discontinuing PNV use after diagnosis of pregnancy. Older, white, lean and better educated women were more likely to report PNV use (Table 1). At the earlier time point, 34.5 \% of women had vitamin D levels < 50 nmol/L; at the later time point, 29.1 \% did. In unadjusted bivariate analyses, black women, overweight/obese women, less educated women, and younger women were more likely to have low 25(OH)D levels (Table 2).

Women who reported no PNV use (versus any reported PNV use) had significantly higher odds of 25(OH)D levels < 50 nmol/L at the time of the first sample (OR 3.9, 95\% CI 2.9, 5.2) and second sample (OR 2.1, 95\% CI 1.6, 2.9) (Table 3) in models adjusting for season. Further adjustment for maternal age, education, smoking status, BMI and race/ethnicity attenuated these estimates but women reporting no PNV use still had significantly higher odds of 25(OH)D levels < 50 (OR 2.1, 95\% CI 1.5, 3.0). However, lack of reported PNV use was not associated with increased odds of low 25(OH)D levels later in pregnancy (OR 1.1, 95\% CI 0.7, 1.6). In other words, early PNV use was associated with vitamin D sufficiency earlier in pregnancy but not later in pregnancy (Table 4). Because we were concerned that ever-users included a heterogeneous category of PNV users including women who only used before pregnancy or only after pregnancy diagnosis, we compared this estimate to an analogous model comparing women who used both before and after pregnancy diagnosis (always users, n=477) to never users (n=256). In fully adjusted models, women with no PNV use (vs. use before and after pregnancy diagnosis) had higher odds of 25(OH)D levels < 50 nmol/L (adjusted OR 2.8, 95\% CI: 1.9, 4.3) earlier in pregnancy, and no difference later in pregnancy (adjusted OR 1.3, 95\% CI: 0.8, 2.0).

When then we stratified by overweight/obesity status, we found that among women with BMI > 25 kg/m\(^2\) lack of PNV use was associated with higher odds of 25(OH)D levels < 50 nmol/L during the first trimester (seasonally-adjusted OR 4.1, 95\% CI 2.7, 6.3) and later in pregnancy (OR 2.5, 95\% CI: 1.6, 3.7). Further adjustment for race did not substantially
change these estimates (Table 3). Among women with BMI < 25 kg/m2, lack of PNV use was associated with higher odds of 25(OH)D levels <50 nmol/L during the first trimester (seasonally adjusted OR 3.0, 95% CI: 1.9, 4.8), but not at the later time point (OR 1.4, 95% CI 0.9, 2.3). Further adjustment for race in lean women attenuated the odds ratio in the first trimester (OR 1.8, 95% CI 1.0, 3.0).

When we stratified by race/ethnicity status, we found that for black women, lack of PNV use was not associated with significantly higher seasonally-adjusted odds of 25(OH)D levels <50 nmol/L early in pregnancy (OR 1.0 (0.4, 2.3) (Table 3). In contrast for white women, lack of PNV use was associated with significantly higher seasonally-adjusted odds of 25(OH)D levels <50 nmol/L early (OR 3.5, 95% CI 2.1, 5.8) (Interaction P value 0.01). Further adjustment for BMI did not change this estimate. The interaction of race with the association between PNV use and low 25(OH)D levels was not significant later in pregnancy (interaction P value= 0.3).

**Discussion**

Our data suggest that while prenatal PNV use is associated with lower odds of vitamin D deficiency, the association is not uniform. Both overweight/obesity as well as darker skin pigmentation are both well-established risk factors for vitamin D deficiency. While lack of PNV use among overweight/obese pregnant women was associated with higher odds of low 25(OH)D levels, this was not the case for black women, who were less likely to take PNV’s compared to white women.

Our data are consistent with other similar cohort data. In another study of Massachusetts women, black women reported taking periconceptional multivitamins half as often as white women. Additionally, our prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is similar to that reported in NHANES and in another Boston cohort, Project Viva. Others have analyzed the association between PNV use and vitamin D status. Shea et al studied 2500 elderly adults and found that lack of PNV use was associated with higher odds of vitamin D insufficiency (<75 nmol/L) in both black (5.2 (95% CI: 3.5, 7.7)) and white participants (OR 2.6, 95% CI: 2.0, 3.2), however these subjects were much older and not pregnant. A small Turkish study of 79 pregnant women showed significantly higher 25(OH)D levels among women reporting PNV use in the third trimester, but this study did not include women of African descent and did not have the power to address potential interaction by BMI. Similarly Holmes et al reported higher 25(OH)D levels among pregnant women taking PNV in a longitudinal study, but only included Caucasian women.

Our study has several strengths including 25(OH)D concentrations at two separate time points, self-reported race/ethnicity, and measured height and weight during the first trimester and weight at subsequent visits. Our study has limitations associated with self-report of PNV use which could differ from actual use. Additionally we lacked data on sun exposure, actual skin pigmentation or food intake which contribute to 25(OH)D concentration. However, our data remain relevant because PNV use is likely to be more modifiable than latitude of residence, skin pigmentation, sun exposure which should be limited due to skin cancer risk, or even dietary patterns which can be difficult to change. Our study is also limited by lack of
detailed data about PNV use. We had no information as to the duration of use or the vitamin D dose in the PNV which typically is 400–600 IU per tablet, but can vary. We did not have data on whether women were taking separate calcium or vitamin D supplements beyond what is contained in PNVs. We also had no information on frequency of use which could have varied from daily to sparse use. Our findings could be affected by unmeasured or inadequately measured confounding variables such as socioeconomic status which could vary by weight and race and could possibly affect self-report of PNV use. We also lacked data on vitamin D binding protein which may differ by race/ethnicity and could affect the association between PNV use and 25(OH)D measured in the plasma. The optimal method of vitamin D measurement remains controversial, but our laboratory uses U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology level 1 and this method is commonly used clinically and in the literature. Lastly, we are not suggesting the futility of PNV use in black women.

In contrast, our data support investigation into higher doses of vitamin D in subgroups at high risk if benefits are demonstrated by ongoing trials in pregnancy of achieving higher 25(OH)D levels. Future analyses of health effects of vitamin D status in this population are planned but beyond the scope of this analysis.

In conclusion, we found that lack of PNV use during pregnancy was associated with vitamin D deficiency early in pregnancy, but not among black women. In light of two recent meta-analyses/systematic reviews concluding that low 25(OH)D levels during pregnancy are associated with several morbidities in pregnancy \(^{15,16}\), optimizing vitamin D status during pregnancy may be important. Ongoing trials of vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy should consider effect modification by race/ethnicity.
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Figure 1.
Participant selection.
Table 1
Multivitamin use and characteristics among pregnant women, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, n=1017.

| Characteristics (column %) | Prenatal Vitamin Use | Chi Square P value |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
|                           | Yes (n=763, 74.9%)    | No (n=256, 25.1%) |
|                           | n  | Column % | n  | Column % |<0.0001 |
| Maternal Age              |    |          |    |          |        |
| < 25 (12%)                | 45 | 5.9%     | 79 | 30.9%    |        |
| 25 – <30 (58%)            | 473| 62.0%    | 122| 47.7%    |        |
| >= 30 (29%)               | 245| 32.1%    | 55 | 21.5%    |        |
| Race/Ethnicity            |    |          |    |          |<0.0001 |
| Black (13%)               | 63 | 8.3%     | 71 | 27.7%    |        |
| Hispanic (14%)            | 66 | 8.7%     | 72 | 28.1%    |        |
| White (62%)               | 541| 70.9%    | 89 | 34.8%    |        |
| Other (12%)               | 93 | 12.2%    | 24 | 9.4%     |        |
| Prepregnancy BMI Categoryb|    |<0.0001   |    |          |        |
| Normal weight (< 25kg/m²) (55%) | 451| 59.3%    | 110| 43.0%    |        |
| Overweight 25–<30(kg/m²) (25%) | 185| 24.3%    | 70 | 27.3%    |        |
| Obese >= 30 (kg/m²) (20%) | 124| 16.3%    | 76 | 29.7%    |        |
| History of smoking        | 0.63 |          |    |          |        |
| Yes (26%)                 | 194| 25.4%    | 69 | 27.0%    |        |
| No (74%)                  | 569| 74.5%    | 187| 73.0%    |        |
| Maternal Education        |    |<0.0001   |    |          |        |
| Higher education >12 years (86%) | 709| 92.9%    | 163| 63.7%    |        |
| <= 12 years (14%)         | 54 | 7.1%     | 93 | 36.3%    |        |
| Vitamin D Category (nmol/L) |<0.0001 |          |    |          |        |
| Time 1 (median 9.6 weeks) |    |          |    |          |        |
| < 50 nmol/L (34%)         | 203| 26.6%    | 148| 57.8%    |        |
| 50 – < 75 (42%)           | 361| 47.3%    | 65 | 25.4%    |        |
| >= 75 (24%)               | 199| 26.1%    | 43 | 16.8%    |        |

Vitamin D Category (nmol/L)
| Time 2 (median 26.0 weeks) | Yes (n=763, 74.9%) | No (n=256, 25.1%) | Chi Square P value |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| < 50 nmol/L (29%)         | 194 25.4%          | 103 40.2%          | <0.0001           |
| 50 – < 75 (39%)           | 303 39.7%          | 96 37.5%           |                   |
| >= 75 (32%)               | 266 34.9%          | 57 22.3%           |                   |

*Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding.*

*BMI Missing n=3.*
# Table 2

Associations of Maternal Characteristics and Vitamin D concentration <50 nmol/L among pregnant women, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, n=1019.

| Characteristics          | All Participants | 34.4% | Time 1 (Median 9.6 weeks’) | Time 2 (Median 26.0 weeks’) | 29.1% |  | Chi Square P value | Chi Square P value |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------| |                        |                        |
| Maternal Age             |                  |       |                            |                            |       | |                        |                        |
| < 25                     |                  | 61.3% |                            |                            | 47.6% | | < 0.0001                | < 0.0001                |
| 25 – <30                 |                  | 33.8% |                            |                            | 29.4% | |                        |                        |
| >= 30                    |                  | 24.7% |                            |                            | 21.0% | |                        |                        |
| Race/Ethnicity           |                  |       |                            |                            |       | |                        |                        |
| Black                    |                  | 74.6% |                            |                            | 58.2% | | < 0.0001                | < 0.0001                |
| Hispanic                 |                  | 52.2% |                            |                            | 39.9% | |                        |                        |
| White                    |                  | 18.9% |                            |                            | 17.8% | |                        |                        |
| Other                    |                  | 51.3% |                            |                            | 44.4% | |                        |                        |
| Prepregnancy BMI Category|                  |       |                            |                            |       | | <0.0001                 | < 0.0001                |
| Normal weight (< 25kg/m²) |                 | 25.7% |                            |                            | 23.2% | |                        |                        |
| Overweight 25–<30(kg/m2) |                 | 37.3% |                            |                            | 30.6% | |                        |                        |
| Obese >= 30 (kg/m2)      |                 | 55.5% |                            |                            | 44.0% | |                        |                        |
| Smoking status           |                  | 0.11  |                            |                            | 0.79  | |                        |                        |
| Yes                      |                  | 30.4% |                            |                            | 28.5% | |                        |                        |
| No                       |                  | 35.8% |                            |                            | 29.4% | |                        |                        |
| Maternal Education       |                  |       |                            |                            |       | | <0.0001                 | <0.0001                |
| Higher education >12 years |              | 29.7% |                            |                            | 26.1% | |                        |                        |
| <= 12 years              |                 | 62.6% |                            |                            | 46.9% | |                        |                        |
| Season (at time of first blood sample) |            |       | < 0.0001                  | <0.0001                  |       | |                        |                        |
| Winter                   |                 | 40.8% |                            |                            | 40.6% | |                        |                        |
| Spring                   |                 | 40.8% |                            |                            | 32.7% | |                        |                        |
| Summer                   |                 | 22.2% |                            |                            | 15.2% | |                        |                        |
| Fall                     |                 | 30.6% |                            |                            | 29.4% | |                        |                        |
| Prenatal Vitamin Use     |                  |       | <0.0001                    | <0.0001                    |       | |                        |                        |
| Yes                      |                 | 26.6% |                            |                            | 25.4% | |                        |                        |
| No                       |                 | 57.8% |                            |                            | 40.2% | |                        |                        |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index
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Table 3
Odds of 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels <50 nmol/L among Women Reporting No (vs. any) Prenatal Vitamin Use

| All Participants: (n=1017, 75% PNV use) | Time 1 (Median 9.6 weeks’ gestation) | OR  | (95% CI) | OR  | (95% CI) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|---------|-----|---------|
| Model 1: Seasonally-adjusted         | 3.9                                                | 2.1 | (1.6, 2.9) |
| Model 2: Model 1 + maternal age, education and smoking | 2.8                                                | 1.5 | (1.1, 2.1) |
| Model 3: Model 2+ BMI               | 2.5                                                | 1.3 | (0.9, 1.9) |
| Model 4: Model 3 + maternal race/ethnicity | 2.1                                                | 1.1 | (0.7, 0.9) |
| Overweight/Obese Participants (BMI >= 25kg/m^2)(n=461, 67% PNV use) |                                    |     |         |     |         |
| Model 1: Seasonally-adjusted         | 4.1                                                | 2.5 | (1.6, 3.7) |
| Model 2: Model 1 + Race              | 2.8                                                | 1.6 | (0.99, 2.6) |
| Lean (BMI <25 kg/m^2)(n=561, 80% PNV use) |                                    |     |         |     |         |
| Model 1: Seasonally-adjusted         | 3.0                                                | 1.4 | (0.9, 2.3) |
| Model 2: Model 1 + Race              | 1.8                                                | 0.8 | (0.5, 1.4) |
| Black Participants (n=137, 56 % PNV use) |                                    |     |         |     |         |
| Model 1: Seasonally-adjusted         | 1.0                                                | 1.0 | (0.5, 2.1) |
| Model 2: Model 1 + BMI               | 1.0                                                | 1.0 | (0.5, 2.2) |
| White Participants (n= 630, 86% PNV use) |                                    |     |         |     |         |
| Model 1: Seasonally-adjusted         | 3.5                                                | 1.4 | (0.8, 2.5) |
| Model 2: Model 1 + BMI               | 3.3                                                | 1.3 | (0.7, 2.4) |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; PNV, prenatal vitamins.
Table 4

Vitamin D status at 2 time points in pregnancy and percent prenatal vitamin use.

|                     | Sufficient Time 1 | Deficient at Time 1 |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| **Sufficient at Time 2** | n = 581 (84% PNV use) | n = 141 (57% PNV use) |
| **Deficient at Time 2** | n = 87 (83% PNV use) | n = 200 (61% PNV use) |

Sufficiency defined as 25(OH)D level ≥ 50 nmol/L, deficiency defined as <50 nmol/L.

Time 1: median 9.6 weeks', IQR 8.4 – 11.4; Time: median 26.0 weeks', IQR 25.0 – 26.9; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D.