Artesunate combined with verteporfin inhibits uveal melanoma by regulation of the MALAT1/yes-associated protein signaling pathway
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Abstract. Uveal melanoma (UM) is the most common ocular malignancy and has no effective clinical treatment. Therefore, novel drugs to suppress UM tumor progression are urgently required. The present study aimed to clarify the underlying mechanism of the inhibitory effects of artesunate on UM. By using plasmid transfection and detecting apoptotic level, the present study identified artesunate as a potential candidate for UM treatment. Compared with those in the vehicle (DMSO)‑treated control cells, artesunate enhanced the apoptotic rate and increased lactate dehydrogenase release, reactive oxygen species and IL1b and IL18 levels in C918 cells. Overexpression of yes‑associated protein (YAP) or metastasis‑associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT1) in C918 cells reversed the effects of artesunate and reduced the apoptotic rate compared with those observed in cells transfected with the negative control plasmid. Notably, verteporfin enhanced the effects of artesunate on C918 cells by increasing the apoptotic rate, indicating that combined therapy was more effective compared with treatment with artesunate alone. In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated that artesunate elevated the apoptotic rate and suppressed C918 cell viability by regulating the MALAT1/YAP signaling pathway, and these effects were enhanced by supplementation with verteporfin. These results suggested that artesunate may exert an inhibitory effect on C918 cells and that the MALAT1/YAP signaling may serve important role in mediating these effects, providing evidence of its potential for treating UM in the clinic.

Introduction

As a common primary tumor in adults, uveal melanoma (UM) accounts for 3.7% of all melanomas (1). Numerous risk factors are associated with UM, including age, sex, genetic or phenotypic predisposition, work environment and dermatological conditions (2). UM has a strong propensity for fatal metastasis (3) and frequently metastasizes to the liver via the hematogenous route; according to data from 2005, 90% of UM metastases occur in the liver (4), which results in a dismal prognosis (5). Additionally, severe inflammation has been identified in UM cells (6,7), particularly those with mutations of G protein subunit α (GNA)11 or GNAQ, which trigger a wide range of cell signaling cascades, including the PI3K/Akt/mTOR and YAP/TAZ pathways (2); however, limited approaches are available to alleviate inflammation in UM, as the eye is an immunologically privileged site, which provides UM with a protective niche (8). To date, several strategies have been adopted to treat UM in the clinic, including surgical resection, immunotherapy (9) and gamma knife radiosurgery (10). For example, ipilimumab, an anti‑cytotoxic T‑lymphocyte‑associated protein 4 antibody, elicits a positive response in 40‑60% of patients with metastatic cutaneous melanoma (2), providing a potential new treatment for UM in the clinic. Recently, small molecules, such as selumetinib (11), nivolumab (12), ipilimumab (12) and selumetinib in combination with dacarbazine (13), have been identified as potential inhibitors of tumor progression able to improve the prognosis of patients with UM, although the underlying mechanisms remain elusive. Overall, there is a need to identify novel drugs for UM treatment and explore their underlying mechanisms.

Artemisinin is a natural product derived from the Chinese herb Artemisia annua (14). As a stable derivative of artemisinin, artesunate exhibits robust antimalarial activity in mammals (15,16), as well as various physiological activities, including inhibiting inflammation (17) and nervous system protection (18). For example, Zeng et al (17) have reported that artesunate inhibits the Toll‑like receptor 4/tumor necrosis factor receptor‑associated factor 6 and phosphoinositide‑specific phospholipase C1/Ca²⁺/nuclear factor of activated T cells 1 signaling pathways and
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improves lipopolysaccharide-induced osteoclastogenesis in RAW264.7 cells and mice. Another study used electrophysiological assays and histopathological examination to demonstrate that topical artesunate treatment has a positive effect on peripheral nerve regeneration (18). In addition, artesunate exhibits antitumor effects against several types of cancer cells, including lymphoma (19,20), head and neck cancer (21) and hepatocellular carcinoma (22), and suppresses the MEK/ERK and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways in HL-60 cells, inducing apoptosis and inhibiting leukemia cell proliferation (23). Additionally, artesunate induces apoptosis by activating reactive oxygen species (ROS)- and p38 MAPK-mediated signaling and suppresses embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma cell proliferation (24); however, a limited number of studies have focused on the effect of artesunate on UM progression (25,26).

Apoptosis is a form of programmed cell death that occurs in multicellular organisms and is characterized by various cellular changes, ranging from nuclear fragmentation to global mRNA decay (27). In mammals, apoptosis exerts positive effects on cell self-renewal (28). In addition, apoptosis serves critical roles in tumor growth, including colon cancer (29,30), pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (31) and acute myeloid leukemia (32). For example, Nangia et al (33) have demonstrated that treatment with a combination of MEK and myeloid cell leukemia-1 (MCL1) inhibitors induces apoptosis by regulating MCL1, leading to inhibition of cell proliferation in a KRAS-mutant non-small cell lung cancer model. In addition, the proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma cells is suppressed by elevating the levels of apoptosis following CBX2 knockdown (34). Notably, artesunate exerts antitumor activity by enhancing apoptosis; Zhou et al (35) have reported that artesunate upregulates ROS levels and activates the AMP-activated protein kinase/mTOR/unc-51-like autophagy-activating kinase 1 axis in T24 cells, resulting in autophagy-dependent apoptosis of human bladder cancer. However, whether artesunate treatment enhances UM cell apoptosis and the potential underlying mechanisms of this effect are poorly understood. The metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT1)/yes-associated protein (YAP) signaling pathway is involved in mediating apoptosis, particularly in tumor cells (36). Zhou et al (36) have demonstrated that the MALAT1/YAP signaling pathway is activated in pancreatic cancer cells, whereas downregulation of MALAT1 suppresses the development of pancreatic cancer by inhibiting the Hippo/YAP signaling pathway and affecting apoptosis, which may be attributed to the inhibition of YAP translocation from the nucleus to the cytoplasm (37). Therefore, whether artesunate exhibits antitumor effects by regulating the MALAT1/YAP signaling pathway warrants further study.

The present study aimed to determine the effects of artesunate on the proliferation of UM cells and to explore the underlying mechanism. First, the effects of artesunate treatment on C918 cell proliferation and apoptosis were assessed. In addition, the role of the MALAT1/YAP axis in mediating the effects of artesunate was evaluated. Furthermore, the present study tested whether combination therapy was more efficient compared with single treatment, and described a potential novel strategy for treating UM in the clinic.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and chemicals. The human UM cell lines C918 and M619 were obtained from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. C918 or M619 cells were incubated in DMEM (cat. no. C11995500BT; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (cat. no. P30-330; PAN-Biotech GmbH) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (cat. no. 15140-122; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). All cells were maintained in an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2 in a water-saturated atmosphere. Artesunate (Fig. 1A) and verteporfin were procured from Selleck Chemicals (cat. nos. S2265 and S1786, respectively) and used to treat the cells for 48 h. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; cat. no. 276855; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) was used as a negative control.

Cell viability assay. Methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT) assays were used to analyze cell viability in the present study. C918 or M619 cells (1×10⁴ cells/well) were cultured in 96-well plates at 37°C with 5% CO2. Following treatment with artesunate (0, 10, 20 and 40 μM) or a drug combination (40 μM artesunate and 5 μM verteporfin), MTT reagent (cat. no. M1020; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) was added to each well and incubated for 4 h at 37°C. A microplate reader was used to measure absorbance at 490 nm. Experiments were repeated three times.

Caspase activity assay. C918 cells were plated at a density of 1×10⁴ cells/well in 6-well plates. Following treatment with artesunate (0, 10, 20 and 40 μM) or a drug combination (40 μM artesunate and 5 μM verteporfin), cells were lysed using RIPA buffer (cat. no. R0020; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.), and protein concentration was determined using a commercial BCA kit (cat. no. P1511-1; Applygen Technologies, Inc.). Caspase-3 and caspase-9 activity levels were detected using Caspase3 and Caspase9 Activity kits (cat. nos. BC3830 and BC3890, respectively; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Absorbance of the resulting solution at 405 nm was determined using a microplate reader.

ROS level detection. C918 cells were exposed to various concentrations (0, 10, 20, and 40 μM) of artesunate for 48 h and resuspended in culture medium (without serum) containing 10 μM DCFH-DA (cat. no. CA1410; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). All cells were maintained in 96-well plates at 37°C with 5% CO2. Following treatment with artesunate (0, 10, 20 and 40 μM) or a drug combination (40 μM artesunate and 5 μM verteporfin), cells were lysed using RIPA buffer (cat. no. R0020; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) and protein concentration was determined using a commercial BCA kit (cat. no. P1511-1; Applygen Technologies, Inc.). Caspase-3 and caspase-9 activity levels were detected using Caspase3 and Caspase9 Activity kits (cat. nos. BC3830 and BC3890, respectively; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Absorbance of the resulting solution at 405 nm was determined using a microplate reader.

LACTATE DEHYDROGENASE (LDH) RELEASE ASSAY. C918 cells (1×10⁴ cells/well) were seeded into 96-well plates and subsequently treated with 0, 10, 20 and 40 μM artesunate for 48 h at 37°C. LDH assays were performed using a commercial assay kit (cat. no. BC0685; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.), according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

ELISA. Following treatment with artesunate (0, 10, 20 and 40 μM) or a drug combination (40 μM artesunate and 5 μM
verteporfin), C918 cells were lysed in RIPA lysis buffer (cat. no. R0020; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.). The lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 30 min at 4°C, and the supernatants were collected. Then, the concentrations of IL-1β and IL18 were determined using ELISA kits, according to the accompanying instructions (cat. nos. ab214025 and ab215539; Abcam). Absorption was determined using a microplate reader, and experiments were repeated three times.

Reverse transcription-quantitative (RT-q) PCR assay. Relative levels of target RNA were determined following treatment with artesunate (0, 10, 20 and 40 µM) or a drug combination (40 µM artesunate and 5 µM verteporfin). Total RNA of C918 cells was extracted from cells using TRIZol® reagent (cat. no. 15596-026; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and the concentration of the extracted RNA was measured using a NanoDrop2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Subsequently, RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using a reverse transcription kit (cat. no. 04896866001; Roche Molecular Systems, Inc.). SYBR® Green (TransGen Biotech Co., Ltd.) was used to perform RT-qPCR on the ABI-Quant Studio 5 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) to determine the relative expression levels of the target genes. Relative expression level of YAP was normalized to β-actin, and MALAT1 was normalized to U6. The primer pairs used in this study are listed in the Table SI. The thermocycling conditions were as follows: Activation at 95°C for 10 min; 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing at 60°C for 30 sec (data collected during each cycle) and extension at 72°C for 30 sec; and a melting curve between 55°C and 95°C (data collected at each temperature).

Cell transfection. Plasmids overexpressing YAP and MALAT1 (constructed in pEGFP-N2) and negative controls (empty pEGFP-N2 vector) were synthesized by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd.. Cells were transfected with 2 µg plasmid using Lipofectamine® 2000 transfection reagent (cat. no. 11668-027, Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions and cultured for 72 h at 37°C. Subsequently, cells were harvested for further analysis.
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Western blot assay. Following treatment with artesunate (0, 10, 20 and 40 µM) or overexpression plasmids, C918 cells were lysed on ice using RIPA lysis buffer (cat. no. R0020, Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.). The lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 30 min at 4˚C, the supernatants were collected, and total protein concentration was determined using a BCA quantitation kit (cat. no. P1511-1; Applygen Technologies, Inc.). Proteins were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes (cat. no. IPVH00010; MilliporeSigma). Following blocking with 5% milk at room temperature for 2 h, the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies at 4˚C overnight. Following three washes with 0.1% SDS-Tween 20, the membranes were incubated with secondary HRP-conjugated antibodies at room temperature for 2 h. ECL High-Signal reagent (170-5060; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) was used to visualize protein bands on a Bio-Rad System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). β-actin served as a loading control. The primary antibodies were diluted 1:2,000, and the secondary antibodies were diluted 1:5,000. The antibody against YAP (cat. no. ab76252) was obtained from Abcam, and the actin antibody (cat. no. AC026) was purchased from ABclonal Biotech Co., Ltd. Secondary antibodies were obtained from Suzhou Biodragon Immunotechnologies Co., Ltd.

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM of ≥3 independent experiments for each assay. All experimental data were analyzed using SPSS software (version 24.0; IBM Corp.). Data were evaluated using an unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test or one-way analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni (for data meeting homogeneity of variance) or Tamhane's T2 (for data demonstrating heteroscedasticity) post hoc tests. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Artesunate alleviates UM cell apoptosis and inflammation, and inhibits UM cell viability. Previous studies have demonstrated that artesunate reduces the number of viable C2C12 cells in a dose-dependent manner following a 48-h treatment (23). Therefore, the present study tested whether artesunate (Fig. 1A) exerted similar effects in UM cells. The results demonstrated that, relative to that in the control group, artesunate significantly reduced the viability of C918 cells, particularly at doses ≥10 µM (Fig. 1B). The effects of artesunate on M619 cells were also determined, and similar inhibition of cell viability was observed (Fig. S1). Due to more
pronounced inhibitory effects of artesunate on C918 cells compared with those on M619 cells, this cell line was selected for further experiments.

The caspase-3 and caspase-9 activity levels were significantly increased in C918 cells following treatment with artesunate (Fig. 1C and D). In addition, cells treated with artesunate exhibited elevated ROS levels and LDH release compared with those in the control group (Fig. 1E and F). Furthermore, the effects of artesunate on the expression levels of inflammatory factors IL1β and IL18, which are positively associated with apoptosis (39), were assessed. Compared with those in the control cells, the levels of IL1β and IL18 were significantly increased following artesunate treatment (Fig. 1G), which suggested that artesunate exerted a proinflammatory effect on C918 cells. Overall, these results demonstrated that artesunate induced elevated apoptosis and an enhanced inflammatory response, particularly at 40 µM, resulting in inhibition of C918 cell viability. Therefore, 40 µM artesunate was selected for use in further experiments.

**Overexpression of YAP ameliorates the effects of artesunate in C918 cells.** YAP is a crucial factor in UM progression (40). Therefore, the present study assessed whether YAP serve a role in mediating the effects of artesunate on UM cell apoptosis. Cells were treated with 40 µM artesunate for 48 h. The results of qPCR and western blot assays demonstrated that YAP expression and phosphorylation levels were significantly decreased following artesunate treatment compared with those in the control cells (Fig. 2A and B). Subsequently, C918 cells were transfected with a plasmid overexpressing YAP to assess the role of YAP in mediating the effects of artesunate. Plasmid transfection significantly enhanced the expression levels of YAP compared with those in the cells transfected with the empty vector (Fig. 2C). Compared with cells treated with artesunate alone, those overexpressing YAP exhibited reversal of the inhibitory effects of artesunate, as elevated C918 cell viability was observed (Fig. 2D). In addition, the caspase-3 and caspase-9 activity levels were decreased following YAP overexpression compared with those in control cells treated with artesunate (Fig. 2E). YAP overexpression plasmid transfection also reduced the levels of intracellular ROS and LDH release (Fig. 2F and G), which were accompanied by decreased levels of IL1β and IL18 (Fig. 2H) compared with those in artesunate-treated control cells. Overall, these results...
demonstrated that YAP was involved in mediating C918 cell apoptosis induced by artesunate.

Upregulation of MALAT1 reverses the effects of artesunate by regulating YAP levels in C918 cells. The present study next analyzed the effects of artesunate treatment on the upstream regulator of YAP MALAT1, which binds to the pro-metastatic transcription factor TEA domain (TEAD), blocking TEAD from associating with its coactivator YAP (41). The results demonstrated that artesunate significantly downregulated the mRNA expression levels of MALAT1 in C918 cells compared with those in the control group (Fig. 3A). MALAT1 was overexpressed by transfecting a plasmid into C918 cells, which significantly enhanced the expression levels of MALAT1 compared with those in the empty vector-transfected cells (Fig. 3B). Compared with those in the control C918 cells treated with artesunate alone, MALAT1 overexpression led to increased cell viability (Fig. 3C), reduced caspase-3 and caspase-9 activity levels (Fig. 3D), and decreased levels of LDH release and intracellular ROS (Fig. 3E and F). In addition, the levels of IL1b and IL18 were assessed following transfection and were significantly reduced following MALAT1 overexpression in C918 cells compared with those in the control cells treated with artesunate (Fig. 3G). Notably, YAP phosphorylation levels were upregulated in response to MALAT1 overexpression compared with those in the control cells treated with artesunate (Fig. 3H). These results suggested that MALAT1/YAP signaling may serve an important role in mediating the effects of artesunate on C918 cells.

Verteporfin enhances the antitumor effects of artesunate in C918 cells. The present study further assessed the ability of combination therapy to treat UM. Combination therapy has strong potential for application in clinical treatment of diseases, such as diabetes (42), glioblastoma (43), and rheumatoid arthritis (44), as well as various types of tumor (45-47). As an inhibitor of YAP, verteporfin suppresses the interaction between YAP and TEAD (48). Therefore, the present study determined the effects of combined artesunate and verteporfin treatment in C918 cells. The results demonstrated that verteporfin enhanced the inhibitory effects of artesunate on C918 cells, further inhibiting cell viability (Fig. 4A), elevating caspase-3 and caspase-9 activity levels (Fig. 4B), and increasing intracellular ROS and LDH release (Fig. 4C and D) compared with those in the cells treated with artesunate alone. In addition, combination therapy significantly increased the levels of IL18 and IL1b compared with those following treatment with artesunate alone (Fig. 4E). In conclusion, combination therapy exerted stronger effects on C918 cells compared with treatment with artesunate alone.

Discussion

UM is a primary malignant intraocular tumor in adults, which represents 5-6% of all melanoma diagnoses (49); however, effective therapies for UM are lacking, although certain advances have been achieved in local ocular treatments, such as chemotherapy and immunotherapy (50). In addition, various small molecules have been demonstrated to exhibit potent antitumor activity in vivo and in vitro, including sorafenib (51), crotepoxide (52) and luteolin (53). Notably, combination therapies exhibit higher efficacy compared with single-treatment approaches (54,55). For instance, Matsunaga et al (55) have...
demonstrated that combination therapy exhibits higher treatment efficacy for Alzheimer’s disease compared with that of a single cholinesterase inhibitor. In the present study, artesunate was identified as a potential candidate to inhibit UM cell viability by enhancing apoptosis. Furthermore, the results of the present study demonstrated that the MALAT1/YAP signaling pathway was involved in mediating the effects of artesunate. In addition, verteporfin enhanced the artesunate treatment-mediated induction of apoptosis in C918 cells, providing evidence for its potential for application in treating UM.

ROS serves dual roles in biological processes, including the maintenance of normal physiological conditions, as well as exerting pathogenic effects by inducing cell damage and destruction during pathophysiology (56). Under physiological conditions, ROS preferentially triggers redox signaling rather than inducing oxidative damage to macromolecules, such as proteins, lipids and DNA (57); however, previous studies have suggested that ROS serves a crucial role in tumor proliferation and progression (57,58). Pelicano et al (59) have demonstrated that moderate mitochondrial ROS levels promote breast cancer cell motility in a CXCL14-dependent manner. Notably, a positive association has also been identified between apoptosis and ROS production (57,58). Pelicano et al (59) have demonstrated that moderate mitochondrial ROS levels promote breast cancer cell motility in a CXCL14-dependent manner. Notably, a positive association has also been identified between apoptosis and ROS production (57,58). Pelicano et al (59) have demonstrated that moderate mitochondrial ROS levels promote breast cancer cell motility in a CXCL14-dependent manner. Notably, a positive association has also been identified between apoptosis and ROS production (57,58).

As a transcriptional regulator of TEAD, YAP activates the transcription of genes involved in cell proliferation, leading to a suppression of apoptosis (65). YAP is regulated by the Hippo signaling pathway to control tumor progression (66). In addition, YAP is an oncogene and serves crucial roles in various types of human cancer (65,67), such as kidney and blood cancer (68,69). White et al (68) have reported that inhibition of the YAP/TAZ signaling pathway suppresses glycolysis-dependent proliferation and enhances mitochondrial respiration as well as ROS buildup, resulting in the death of kidney tumor cells when challenged by nutrient stress. Additionally, neratinib suppresses the proliferation of pancreatic and blood cancer cells by inhibiting the Hippo/YAP signaling pathway and mutant KRAS expression (69). Neratinib upregulates the phosphorylation of YAP and TAZ by 30% and promotes YAP translocation into the cytosol, resulting in a reduction of YAP/TAZ protein levels. In addition, knockdown of YAP enhances the lethality of neratinib (69). These previous studies indicate that YAP serves a crucial role in mediating the antitumor effects of small molecules by regulating apoptosis. Notably, a previous study has demonstrated an activation of YAP in UM compared with that in patients without metastasis (70). Therefore, as an enhanced effect of artesunate on UM cell apoptosis was observed in the present study, we hypothesized that YAP may be involved in mediating the effects of artesunate in C918 cells. The results of the present study demonstrated that overexpression of MALAT1 or YAP reversed the antitumor effects of artesunate on ROS induction and apoptosis in C918 cells, indicating that MALAT1 or YAP may be potential drug targets for UM treatment.

YAP is a transcriptional coactivator that shuttles between the cytoplasm and the nucleus (63). YAP recognizes cognate cis-regulatory elements by interacting with other transcription factors in the nucleus, particularly TEAD family members (64).
studies have reported that it exerts an anti-inflammatory effect (71,72). This may be attributed to enhanced of caspase-1 activity, which is a factor upstream of pyroptosis (73). In the present study, caspase-1 activity was increased following artesunate treatment compared with that in the control cells (data not shown). During the process of pyroptosis, caspase-1 specifically cleaves the linker between the N- and C-terminal domains of gasdermin D (GSDMD), leading to release of GSDMD N-terminal domain (74). GSDMD is an executor of pyroptosis and is required for the secretion of IL1β and IL18 (75). Additionally, YAP is a suppressor of inflammation (76); thus, a high level of inflammation may be associated with low YAP expression levels. In our future studies, the effects of artesunate on the regulation of pyroptosis in UM cells will be assessed.

As an infrequently spliced non-coding RNA, MALAT1 is highly conserved amongst mammals and strongly expressed in the nucleus (77). MALAT1 contributes to various physiological processes, including alternative splicing, nuclear organization, and epigenetic modulation of gene expression (78). In addition, previous studies have provided evidence that MALAT1 is crucial for the regulation of tumor cell proliferation. For example, methyltransferase-like 3 initiates m6A mRNA methylation and promotes YAP mRNA translation by regulating the MALAT1/microRNA (miR)-1914-3p/YAP axis, which increases YAP mRNA stability and induces non-small cell lung cancer drug resistance and metastasis (79). In addition, Sun et al (80) have reported that silencing of MALAT1 upregulates miR-181a-5p levels by activating the Hippo-YAP signaling pathway, leading to inhibition of myeloma cell proliferation and adhesion. These results suggest an association between YAP and MALAT1 in the regulation of tumor cell proliferation (81). Therefore, the present study aimed to determine how MALAT1 may contribute to mediating the effects of artesunate, since YAP expression levels were reduced in response to artesunate treatment. The results demonstrated that artesunate suppressed C918 cell viability by inhibiting the MALAT1/YAP signaling pathway, whereas the inhibitory effect was ameliorated by MALAT1 overexpression, which supported the role of the MALAT1/YAP axis in mediating the effects of artesunate on UM cells. The present study also assessed the feasibility of combination therapy for UM. Compared with cells treated with artesunate alone, cells administered a combination of artesunate and verteporfin exhibited lower viability and higher levels of apoptosis, indicating that combination therapy may be more effective.

However, there were certain limitations to the present study. For instance, the present study did not assess whether artesunate may alleviate UM by regulating the MALAT1/YAP signaling pathway in a mouse UM model. Additionally, how pyroptosis is involved in mediating the effects of artesunate remains elusive. In the future, multiple cell lines will be used to verify the results of the present study, and the antitumor effects of artesunate will be analyzed in animal models of UM. In addition, other drugs with the potential to inhibit UM cell proliferation will be assessed, with the aim of advancing UM treatment in the clinic.

In conclusion, the results of the present study identified artesunate as a potent small molecule that inhibited UM cell proliferation. In addition, the MALAT1/YAP signaling pathway was demonstrated to mediate the effects of artesunate. Notably, combination therapy exerted a stronger inhibitory effect on C918 cells compared with treatment with artesunate alone (Fig. 5). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of assessment of the role of MALAT1/YAP signaling in mediating the effects of artesunate in UM cells, and it provides evidence supporting artesunate combined with verteporfin as a candidate clinical treatment for UM.
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