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Abstract

We predict the branching ratios of $Z \to e^\pm \mu^\pm$, $Z \to e^\pm \tau^\pm$ and $Z \to \mu^\pm \tau^\pm$ decays in the model III version of the two Higgs doublet model, with the inclusion of one and two spatial non-universal extra dimensions. We observe that the the branching ratios are not sensitive to a single extra dimension, however, this sensitivity is considerably large for two extra dimensions
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1 Introduction

The lepton flavor violating (LFV) interactions are interesting in the sense that they are sensitive the physics beyond the standard model (SM) and they ensure considerable information about the restrictions of the free parameters, appearing in the new models, with the help of the possible accurate measurements. Among LFV interactions, the Z decays with different lepton flavor outputs, such as $Z \rightarrow e\mu$, $Z \rightarrow e\tau$ and $Z \rightarrow \mu\tau$, are rich enough to study and there is an extensive work related to these decays in the literature [1]-[12]. The Giga-Z option of the Tesla project which aims to increase the production of Z bosons at resonance [13] stimulates one to make theoretical works on such Z decays.

In the framework of the SM the lepton flavor is conserved and, for the flavor violation in the lepton sector, there is a need to extend the SM. One of the candidate model is so called $\nu_{SM}$, which is constructed by taking neutrinos massive and permitting the lepton mixing mechanism [14]. In this model, the theoretical predictions for the branching ratios (BRs) of the LFV Z decays are extremely small in the case of internal light neutrinos [1, 2]

$$BR(Z \rightarrow e^\pm \mu^\mp) \sim BR(Z \rightarrow e^\pm \tau^\mp) \sim 10^{-54},$$
$$BR(Z \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp) < 4 \times 10^{-60}. \quad (1)$$

They are far from the experimental limits obtained at LEP 1 [15]:

$$BR(Z \rightarrow e^\pm \mu^\mp) < 1.7 \times 10^{-6},$$
$$BR(Z \rightarrow e^\pm \tau^\mp) < 9.8 \times 10^{-6},$$
$$BR(Z \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp) < 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \quad (2)$$

and from the improved ones at Giga-Z [6]:

$$BR(Z \rightarrow e^\pm \mu^\mp) < 2 \times 10^{-9},$$
$$BR(Z \rightarrow e^\pm \tau^\mp) < f \times 6.5 \times 10^{-8},$$
$$BR(Z \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp) < f \times 2.2 \times 10^{-8} \quad (3)$$

with $f = 0.2 - 1.0$. Notice that these numbers are obtained for the decays $Z \rightarrow \bar{l}_1l_2 + \bar{l}_2l_1$, namely

$$BR(Z \rightarrow l_1^\pm l_2^\pm) = \frac{\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \bar{l}_1l_2 + \bar{l}_2l_1)}{\Gamma_Z}. \quad (4)$$

To enhance the BRs of the corresponding LFV Z decays some other scenarios have been studied. The possible scenarios are the extension of $\nu_{SM}$ with one heavy ordinary Dirac neutrino [2],
the extension of νSM with two heavy right-handed singlet Majorana neutrinos [2], the Zee model [7], the model III version of the two Higgs doublet model (2HDM), which is the minimal extension of the SM [8], the supersymmetric models [9, 10], top-color assisted technicolor model [11].

The present work is devoted to predictions of the BRs of $Z \to e^\pm \mu^\pm$, $Z \to e^\pm \tau^\pm$ and $Z \to \mu^\pm \tau^\pm$ decays in the model III version of the 2HDM, with the inclusion of one and two spatial extra dimensions. Our motivation is to check whether there is an enhancement in the BRs of these decays due to the extra dimensions. The possible existence of new dimensions reach great interest recently and there is a large amount of work done in the literature [16]-[32]. The idea of extra dimensions was originated from the study of Kaluza-Klein [33] which was related to the unification of electromagnetism and the gravity and the motivation increased with the study on the string theory which was formulated in a space-time of more than four dimensions. Since the extra dimensions are hidden to the experiments at present (for example see [30]), the most favorable description is that these new dimensions are compactified to the surfaces with small radii, which is a typical size of corresponding extra dimension. This leads to appear new particles, namely Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes of the particles in the theory. In the case that all the fields feel the extra dimensions, so called universal extra dimensions (UED), the extra dimensional momentum, and therefore, the KK number at each vertex is conserved. The compactification size $R$ has been predicted as large as few hundereds of GeV [17, 18, 19, 20], in the range $200 - 500 GeV$, using electroweak precision measurements [21], the $B - \bar{B}$-mixing [22, 23] and the flavor changing process $b \to s\gamma$ [24] for a single UED.

The assumption that the extra dimensions are at the order of submilimeter distance, for two extra dimensions, the hierarchy problem in the fundamental scales could be solved and the true scale of quantum gravity would be no more the Planck scale but in the order of electroweak (EW) scale [16,17]. In this case, the gravity is spreading over all the volume including the extra dimensions, however, the matter fields are restricted in four dimensions, called four dimensional (4D) brane, or in 4D surface which has a non-zero thickness in the new dimensions, called fat brane (see for example [25]). This type of extra dimensions, accessible to some fields but not all in the theory, are called non-universal extra dimensions (NUED). Contrary to the UED, in the NUED, the KK number at each vertex is not conserved and tree level interaction of KK modes with the ordinary particles can exist. The study in [26] is devoted to the appearence of a very light left handed neutrino in the NUED where only the right handed neutrino is accessible to the extra dimension. In the another work [27], the effect of brane kinetic terms for bulk scalars,
fermions and gauge fields in higher dimensional theories, have been studied. In \[28\] the electric
dipole moments of fermions and some LFV decays have been analyzed in the framework of
NUED.

Here, we predict the BRs of the LFV Z decays in the model III with the assumption that
the extra dimensions are felt by the new Higgs doublet and the gauge sector of the theory. The
Z decays under consideration are induced by the internal neutral Higgs bosons \(h^0\) and \(A^0\) and
their KK modes carry all the information about the new dimensions, after the compactification
of the single (double) extra dimension on the orbifold \(S^1/Z_2\) (\(S^1 \times S^1\))/\(Z_2\). Here, the KK
number in the vertices is not conserved, in contrast to the UED case. The non-zero KK modes
of neutral Higgs fields \(H\) have masses \(\sqrt{m_H^2 + m_n^2} (\sqrt{m_H^2 + m_n^2 + m^2_k})\) with \(m_k = k/R\) in one
(two) extra dimension. We observe that the BRs of the processes we study enhance almost two
orders larger compared to the ones without the extra dimensions, in the case of two NUED,
since the neutral Higgs KK modes are considerably crowded.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we present the effective vertex and the BRs
of LFV Z decays in the model III version of the 2HDM with the inclusion of NUED. Section 3 is
dedicated to discussion and our conclusions. In appendix section, we give the explicit expressions
of the form factors appearing in the effective vertex.

## 2 $Z \rightarrow l^-_1 l^+_2$ decay in the model III with the inclusion of
non-universal extra dimensions.

The extension of the Higgs sector in the SM brings new contributions to the BRs of the processes
and makes it possible to obtain the flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) at tree level, which
plays an important role in the existence of flavor violating (FV) interactions. Therefore, the
multi Higgs doublet models are worthwhile to study. The 2HDM is one of the candidate for
the multi Higgs doublet models. In the model I and II versions of the 2HDM, the FCNC at
tree level is forbidden, however, those type of interactions are possible in the model III version
of the 2HDM. The lepton flavor violating (LFV) Z decay \(Z \rightarrow l^-_1 l^+_2\) can be induced at least in
the one loop level in the framework of the model III.

The addition of possible NUED, which are experienced by the gauge bosons and the new
Higgs particles, brings new contributions to the BRs of the decays under consideration. In
the model III, the part of lagrangian which carries the interaction, responsible for the LFV
processes in 5 (6) dimension, reads

\[
\mathcal{L}_Y = \xi_{(6)ij} D \bar{l}_i (\phi_2 \mid \phi_2) E_j + h.c., \tag{5}
\]
where the couplings $\xi^{D}_{5(6)ij}$ are 5(6)-dimensional dimensionful Yukawa couplings which induce the LFV interactions. These couplings can be rescaled to the ones in 4-dimension as $\xi^{D}_{5(6)ij} = \sqrt{2\pi R} (2\pi R) \xi^{D}_{ij}$ with lepton family indices $i, j$. Here, $\phi_2$ is the new scalar doublet, $R$ is the compactification radius, $l_i$ and $E_j$ are lepton doublets and singlets, respectively. The scalar and lepton doublets are the functions of $x^\mu$ and $y(y, z)$, where $y(z)$ is the coordinate represents the 5(6)'th dimension. Here we assume that the Higgs doublet lying in the 4 dimensional brane has a non-zero vacuum expectation value to ensure the ordinary masses of the gauge fields and the fermions, however, the second doublet, which is accessible to the extra dimensions, has no vacuum expectation value, namely, we choose the doublets $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ and the their vacuum expectation values as

$$\phi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + H^0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{i}\chi^0 \\ \chi^0 \end{pmatrix} ; \phi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}H^+ \\ H_1 + iH_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

(6)

and

$$\langle \phi_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} ; \langle \phi_2 \rangle = 0.$$  

(7)

This choice ensures that the mixing between neutral scalar Higgs bosons is switched off and it would be possible to separate the particle spectrum so that the SM particles are collected in the first doublet and the new particles in the second one. Here we consider the gauge and $CP$ invariant Higgs potential in two extra dimensions

$$V(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \delta(y) \delta(z) c_1 (\phi_1^+ \phi_1 - v^2/2)^2 + c_2 (\phi_2^+ \phi_2)^2 +$$

$$+ \delta(y) \delta(z) \left( c_3 ([\phi_1^+ \phi_1 - v^2/2] (\phi_2^+ \phi_2)) + c_4 ([\phi_1^+ \phi_1](\phi_2^+ \phi_2)) - (\phi_1^+ \phi_2)(\phi_2^+ \phi_1) \right) + c_5 [Re(\phi_1^+ \phi_2)]^2 + c_6 [Im(\phi_1^+ \phi_2)]^2 + c_7,$$

(8)

with constants $c_i, i = 1, ..., 7$.

Since, only the new Higgs field $\phi_2$ is accessible to extra dimensions in the Higgs sector, there appear KK modes $\phi_2^{(n,r)}$ of $\phi_2$ in two spatial extra dimensions after the compactification of the external dimensions on an orbifold $(S^n \times S^1)/Z_2$, where

$$\phi_2(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi R)^{d/2}} \left\{ \phi_2^{(0,0)}(x) + 2^{d/2} \sum_{n, r} \phi_2^{(n,r)}(x) \cos(ny/R + rz/R) \right\},$$

(9)

where $d = 2$, the indices $n$ and $r$ are positive integers including zero, but both are not zero at the same time. Here, $\phi_2^{(0,0)}(x)$ is the 4-dimensional Higgs doublet which includes the charged

\[1\]In the following, we replace $\xi^D$ with $\xi^D_N$ where "N" denotes the word "neutral"

\[2\]Here $H^1 (H^2)$ is the well known mass eigenstate $h^0 (A^0)$.
Higgs boson $H^+$, the neutral CP even (odd) Higgs bosons $h^0 (A^0)$. The KK modes of the charged Higgs boson (neutral CP even (odd) Higgs $h^0 (A^0)$) have the mass $\sqrt{m_{H^\pm}^2 + m_n^2 + m_r^2} (\sqrt{m_{h^0}^2 + m_n^2 + m_r^2})$, where $m_n = n/R$ and $m_r = r/R$. Furthermore, we assume that the compactification radius $R$ is the same for both new dimensions. Notice that the expansion for a single extra dimension can be obtained by setting $d = 1$, taking $z = 0$, and dropping the summation over $r$. In addition to the new Higgs doublet, also the gauge fields feel the extra dimensions, however, there is no additional contribution coming from the KK modes of $Z$ boson in the process under consideration since the $Z$ boson does not enter into calculations as an internal line. The $Z - h^0$ KK mode-$A^0$ KK mode vertex is the same as the 4-dimensional one after the integration over extra dimensions, except a small correction of the coupling due to the gauge field 0-mode-KK mode mixing (see section 6 for details).

Now, we would like to present the general effective vertex for the interaction of on-shell $Z$-boson with a fermionic current:

$$\Gamma_\mu = \gamma_\mu(f_V - f_A \gamma_5) + \frac{i}{m_W} (f_M + f_E \gamma_5) \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

where $q$ is the momentum transfer, $q^2 = (p - p')^2$, $f_V$ ($f_A$) is vector (axial-vector) coupling, $f_M$ ($f_E$) magnetic (electric) transitions of unlike fermions. Here $p$ ($-p'$) is the four momentum vector of lepton (anti-lepton) (see Fig. 2 for the necessary 1-loop diagrams due to neutral Higgs particles). Since the LFV $Z$ boson decay exists at least in the loop level, the KK modes of neutral Higgs particles $h^0$ and $A^0$ contribute to the self-energy and vertex diagrams as internal lines. The leptons live in the 4D brane and therefore they do not have any KK modes. Notice that, in the case of non-universal extra dimension, the KK number needs not to be conserved and there exist lepton – lepton – $h^0$ KK mode ($A^0$ KK mode) vertices which can involve two zero modes and one KK mode.

The vector (axial-vector) $f_V$ ($f_A$) couplings and the magnetic (electric) transitions $f_M$ ($f_E$) including the contributions coming from a single extra dimension can be obtained as

$$f_V = f_V^{(0)} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_V^{(n)} ,$$

$$f_A = f_A^{(0)} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_A^{(n)} ,$$

$$f_M = f_M^{(0)} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_M^{(n)} ,$$

$$f_E = f_E^{(0)} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_E^{(n)} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$
where $f^{(0)}_{V,A,M,E}$ are the couplings in the 4-dimensions and $f^{(n)}_{V,A,M,E}$ are the ones due to the KK modes of the scalar bosons $S = h^0, A^0$. The KK mode contributions $f^{(n)}_{V,A,M,E}$ can be easily obtained by replacing the mass squares $m^2_S$ in $f^{(0)}_{V,A,M,E}$ by $m^2_S + m^2_n$, with $m_n = n/R$ and the compactification radius $R$. We present the explicit expressions for the couplings $f^{(0)}_{V,A,M,E}$ in the appendix, by taking into account all the masses of internal leptons and external lepton (anti-lepton).

If we consider two NUED, the couplings $f^{(n)}_{V,A,M,E}$ appearing in eq. (11) should be replaced by $f^{(n,r)}_{V,A,M,E}$ and the summation would be done over $n, r = 0, 1, 2...$ except $n = r = 0$. Here $f^{(n,r)}_{V,A,M,E}$ can be obtained by replacing the mass squares $m^2_S$ in $f^{(0)}_{V,A,M,E}$ by $m^2_S + m^2_n + m^2_r$, with $m_n = n/R, m_r = r/R$. Furthermore, the number 2 in front of the summations in eq. (11) would be replaced by 4.

Finally, the BR for $Z \to l^+_1 l^-_2$ can be written in terms of the couplings $f_V, f_A, f_M$ and $f_E$ as

$$BR(Z \to l^+_1 l^-_2) = \frac{1}{48\pi} \frac{m_Z}{\Gamma_Z} \left\{ |f_V|^2 + |f_A|^2 + \frac{1}{2\cos^2\theta_W}(|f_M|^2 + |f_E|^2) \right\}$$

where $\alpha_W = \frac{g^2}{4\pi}$ and $\Gamma_Z$ is the total decay width of $Z$ boson. In our numerical analysis we consider the BR due to the production of sum of charged states, namely

$$BR(Z \to l^+_1 l^-_1) = \frac{\Gamma(Z \to (\bar{l}_1 l_2 + \bar{l}_2 l_1))}{\Gamma_Z}.$$ (13)

### 3 Discussion

The LFV $Z$ decays $Z \to e^\pm \mu^\pm$, $Z \to e^\pm \tau^\pm$ and $Z \to \mu^\pm \tau^\pm$ strongly depend on the Yukawa couplings $\bar{\xi}_{N,ij}^D$, $i, j = e, \mu, \tau$ in the model III version of 2HDM and these couplings are free parameters which should be restricted by using the present and forthcoming experiments. At first, we assume that the couplings which contain at least one $\tau$ index are dominant similar to the Cheng-Sher scenario [34] and, therefore, we consider only the internal $\tau$ lepton case among others. Furthermore, we assume that the Yukawa couplings $\bar{\xi}_{N,ij}^D$ are symmetric with respect to the indices $i$ and $j$. As a result, we need the numerical values for the couplings $\bar{\xi}_{N,e\tau}^D$, $\bar{\xi}_{N,\mu\tau}^D$ and $\bar{\xi}_{N,\tau\tau}^D$.

The upper limit of $\bar{\xi}_{N,\tau\mu}^D$ is predicted as 30 GeV (see [35] and references therein) by using the experimental uncertainty, $10^{-9}$, in the measurement of the muon anomalous magnetic moment and assuming that the new physics effects can not exceed this uncertainty. Using this upper limit, the dimensionful Yukawa couplings $\bar{\xi}_{N,ij}^D$ are defined as $\bar{\xi}_{N,ij}^E = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\xi}_{N,ij}^D}$. 

---

3 The dimensionfull Yukawa couplings $\bar{\xi}_{N,ij}^E$ are defined as $\bar{\xi}_{N,ij}^E = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\xi}_{N,ij}^D}$. 

---
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limit and the experimental upper bound of BR of $\mu \to e\gamma$ decay, $BR \leq 1.2 \times 10^{-11}$, the coupling $\bar{\xi}_{N,te}$ can be restricted in the range, $10^{-3} - 10^{-2} \text{GeV}$ (see [36]). For the Yukawa coupling $\bar{\xi}_{N,\tau\tau}$, we have no explicit restriction region and we use the numerical values which are greater than $\bar{\xi}_{N,\tau\mu}$. Furthermore, the addition of the extra dimensions bring new parameter, namely the compactification radius $R$ which arises from the compactification of the a single (double) extra dimension on the orbifold $S^1/Z_2$ ($(S^1 \times S^1)/Z_2$).

In the present work, we study the prediction of the NUED on the BR of the LFV processes $Z \to l^+l^-$, in the framework of the type III 2HDM. We see that the contribution coming from two extra dimensions are considerably large compared to the one coming from a single extra dimension, due to the crowd of neutral scalar Higgs boson KK modes.

Throughout our calculations we use the input values given in Table 1.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| $m_\mu$  | 0.106 (GeV) |
| $m_\tau$ | 1.78 (GeV)  |
| $m_W$    | 80.26 (GeV) |
| $m_Z$    | 91.19 (GeV) |
| $G_F$    | $1.1663710^{-5}(\text{GeV}^{-2})$ |
| $\Gamma_Z$ | 2.490 (GeV) |
| $\sin \theta_W$ | $\sqrt{0.2325}$ |

Table 1: The values of the input parameters used in the numerical calculations.

Fig. 3 is devoted to $\bar{\xi}_{N,\tau e}$ dependence of the BR $(Z \to \mu^\pm e^\pm)$ for $\bar{\xi}_{N,\tau\mu} = 1 \text{GeV}$, $m_{h^0} = 100 \text{GeV}$ and $m_{A^0} = 200 \text{GeV}$. The solid-dashed-small dashed lines represent the BR without extra dimension-including a single extra dimension for $1/R = 500 \text{GeV}$-including two extra dimensions for $1/R = 500 \text{GeV}$. It is observed that the BR is not sensitive to the extra dimension effects for a single extra dimension. However, for two NUED, there is a considerable enhancement, almost two orders, in the BR compared to the one without extra dimensions, even for the small values of the coupling $\bar{\xi}_{N,\tau\mu}$. This is due to the crowd of neutral Higgs boson KK modes. This enhancement can be observed also in Fig. 4 where the BR is plotted with respect to the compactification scale $1/R$ for $\bar{\xi}_{N,\tau e} = 0.05 \text{GeV}$, $\bar{\xi}_{N,\tau\mu} = 1 \text{GeV}$, $m_{h^0} = 100 \text{GeV}$ and $m_{A^0} = 200 \text{GeV}$. In this figure the solid-dashed-small dashed lines represent the BR without extra dimension-including a single extra dimension- including two extra dimensions. It is seen that in the case of two extra dimensions the BR reaches almost twice of the one without extra dimensions, for the values of the compactification scale, $1/R = 2000 \text{GeV}$. This enhancement becomes negligible for the larger values of the compactification scales, $1/R > 5000 \text{GeV}$. The
possible enhancement due to the effect of two NUED on the theoretical value of the BR of the corresponding Z decay is worthwhile to study.

In Fig. 5 we present $\xi_D^{\tau\tau}$ dependence of the $\text{BR}(Z \to \tau^\pm e^\pm)$ for $\xi_D^{\tau e} = 0.05 \, \text{GeV}$, $m_{h^0} = 100 \, \text{GeV}$ and $m_{A^0} = 200 \, \text{GeV}$. The solid-dashed-small dashed lines represent the BR without extra dimension-including a single extra dimension for $1/R = 500 \, \text{GeV}$-including two extra dimensions for $1/R = 500 \, \text{GeV}$. Similar to the previous process, the BR is not sensitive to the extra dimension effects for a single extra dimension and this sensitivity increases for two NUED. The enhancement of the BR of two NUED is more than two orders larger compared to the one without extra dimensions. Fig. 6 is devoted to the compactification scale $1/R$ dependence of the BR for $\xi_D^{\tau\tau} = 10 \, \text{GeV}$, $\xi_D^{\tau\mu} = 1 \, \text{GeV}$, $m_{h^0} = 100 \, \text{GeV}$ and $m_{A^0} = 200 \, \text{GeV}$. In this figure the solid-dashed-small dashed lines represent the BR without extra dimension- including a single extra dimension- including two extra dimensions. The enhancement in the BR for the intermediate values of the compactification scale, namely $1/R \sim 1000 \, \text{GeV}$, is more than one order. Similar to the previous decay, this enhancement becomes small for the larger values of the compactification scales, $1/R > 5000 \, \text{GeV}$.

Finally, Fig. 7 (8) is devoted to the $\xi_D^{\tau\tau}$ (the compactification scale $1/R$) dependence of the BR of the decay $Z \to \tau^\pm \mu^\pm$ for $\xi_D^{\tau\mu} = 1 \, \text{GeV}$, $\xi_D^{\tau\tau} = 10 \, \text{GeV}$), $m_{h^0} = 100 \, \text{GeV}$ and $m_{A^0} = 200 \, \text{GeV}$. In Fig. 7 the solid-dashed-small dashed lines represent the BR without extra dimension-including a single extra dimension for $1/R = 500 \, \text{GeV}$-including two extra dimensions for $1/R = 500 \, \text{GeV}$. In the case of two extra dimensions, even for small Yukawa couplings, it is possible to reach the experimental upper limit of the BR of the corresponding decay, since the enhancement in the BR is two order larger compared to the case without extra dimensions. In Fig. 8 the solid-dashed-small dashed lines represent the BR without extra dimension-including a single extra dimension-including two extra dimensions. It is observed that, in the case of two extra dimensions, the BR reaches almost twice of the one without extra dimensions, even for intermediate values of the compactification scale, $1/R = 2000 \, \text{GeV}$. For the larger values of the compactification scales, $1/R > 5000 \, \text{GeV}$, there is no enhancement in the BR of the present decay.

At this stage we would like to present our results briefly.

- With the inclusion of a single NUED, the enhancement in the BR of the LFV Z decays is small for the intermediate values of the compactification scale $1/R$.

- In the case of two NUED, even for the small values of the Yukawa couplings, it is possible to reach the experimental upper limits of the BRs of the LFV Z decays, since the en-
hancement in the BR is two order larger compared to the case without extra dimensions for the intermediate values of the compactification scale $1/R$. This enhancement is due to the crowd of the KK modes and it is an interesting result which may ensure an important information to test the existence of the NUED, and if it exists, to decide its number and to predict the lower limit of the compactification scale, with the help of more accurate experimental results.

As a summary, the effect of two NUED on the BRs of LFV $Z$ decays $Z \rightarrow l_1^+ l_2^-$ is strong and the more accurate future experimental results of these decays will be useful to test the possible signals coming from the extra dimensions.
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5 The explicit expressions appearing in the text

Here we present the explicit expressions for $f^0_V$, $f^0_A$, $f^0_M$ and $f^0_E$ (see eq. (11)):

$$f^0_V = \frac{g}{64 \pi^2 \cos \theta_W} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \left\{ c_V (m_{l_2^+}^2 + m_{l_1^-}^2) 
+ (m_i \eta_i^+ + m_{l_1^-}^- (-1 + x) \eta_i^+ \ln \frac{L_{1, h_0}^{self}}{\mu^2} + (m_i \eta_i^- - m_{l_2^+}^- (-1 + x) \eta_i^- \ln \frac{L_{2, h_0}^{self}}{\mu^2}) 
+ c_A (m_{l_1^-}^2 - m_{l_1^-}^-) 
+ (m_i \eta_i^- + m_{l_2^+}^- (-1 + x) \eta_i^- \ln \frac{L_{1, A_0}^{self}}{\mu^2} + (m_i \eta_i^+ + m_{l_2^+}^+ (-1 + x) \eta_i^+ \ln \frac{L_{2, A_0}^{self}}{\mu^2}) \right\}$$

$$- \frac{g}{64 \pi^2 \cos \theta_W} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \left\{ m_i^2 \left( c_A \eta_i^A - c_V \eta_i^V \right) \left( \frac{1}{L_{A_0}^{ver}} + \frac{1}{L_{h_0}^{ver}} \right) 
- (1 - x - y) m_i \left( c_A (m_{l_2^+}^2 - m_{l_1^-}^-) \eta_i^- \left( \frac{1}{L_{h_0}^{ver}} - \frac{1}{L_{A_0}^{ver}} \right) + c_V (m_{l_2^+}^+ + m_{l_1^-}^-) \eta_i^+ \left( \frac{1}{L_{h_0}^{ver}} + \frac{1}{L_{A_0}^{ver}} \right) \right) 
- (c_A \eta_i^A + c_V \eta_i^V) \left( -2 + \left( g^2 x y + m_{l_1^-}^- m_{l_2^+}^+ (-1 + x + y)^2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{L_{h_0}^{ver}} + \frac{1}{L_{A_0}^{ver}} \right) - \ln \frac{L_{h_0}^{ver} L_{A_0}^{ver}}{\mu^2} \right) \right\}$$
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\[- (m_t^+ + m_{l_1^-}) (1 - x - y) \left( \frac{n_i^A (x m_{l_1^-} + y m_{l_1^+}) + m_i \eta_i^-}{2 L^\text{ver}_{A_0 h^0}^A} + \frac{n_i^A (x m_{l_1^-} + y m_{l_1^+}) - m_i \eta_i^-}{2 L^\text{ver}_{h^0 A_0}^A} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \eta_i^A \ln \frac{L^\text{ver}_{A_0 h^0}^A L^\text{ver}_{h^0 A_0}^A}{\mu^2} \right) , \]

\[ f_A^0 = \frac{-g}{64 \pi^2 \cos \theta_W} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \left\{ \frac{m_i^2 (c_V \eta_i^A - c_A \eta_i^V)}{\mu^2} \right\} \]

\[ + \frac{g}{64 \pi^2 \cos \theta_W} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \left\{ \left( m_i (1 - x - y) \left( c_V (m_{l_1^+} - m_{l_1^-}) \eta_i^- + c_A (m_{l_1^+} + m_{l_1^-}) \eta_i^+ \right) \right) \left( \frac{1}{L^\text{ver}_{A_0 h^0}^A} + \frac{1}{L^\text{ver}_{h^0 A_0}^A} \right) \right\} \]

\[ = \frac{g m_W}{64 \pi^2 \cos \theta_W} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \left\{ (1 - x - y) (c_V \eta_i^V + c_A \eta_i^A) \left( x m_{l_1^-} + y m_{l_1^+} \right) \right\} \]

\[ + m_i (c_A (x - y) \eta_i^- + c_V \eta_i^+ (x + y)) \left( \frac{1}{L^\text{ver}_{h^0}^A} \right) \]

\[ + \left( (1 - x - y) (c_V \eta_i^V + c_A \eta_i^A) \left( x m_{l_1^-} + y m_{l_1^+} \right) - m_i (c_A (x - y) \eta_i^- + c_V \eta_i^+ (x + y)) \right) \left( \frac{1}{L^\text{ver}_{A_0}^A} \right) \]

\[ (1 - x - y) \left( \frac{n_i^A (x m_{l_1^-} + y m_{l_1^+})}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{L^\text{ver}_{A_0 h^0}^A} + \frac{1}{L^\text{ver}_{h^0 A_0}^A} \right) + m_i \eta_i^- \left( \frac{1}{L^\text{ver}_{h^0}^A} \right) \]

\[ f_M^0 = \frac{-g m_W}{64 \pi^2 \cos \theta_W} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \left\{ (1 - x - y) \left( c_V \eta_i^V + c_A \eta_i^A \right) \left( x m_{l_1^-} + y m_{l_1^+} \right) \right\} \]

\[ \left( m_i \left( c_A (x - y) \eta_i^- + c_V \eta_i^+ (x + y) \right) \right) \left( \frac{1}{L^\text{ver}_{h^0}^A} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{-g m_W}{64 \pi^2 \cos \theta_W} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \left\{ (1 - x - y) \left( - (c_V \eta_i^A + c_A \eta_i^V) \left( x m_{l_1^-} - y m_{l_1^+} \right) \right) \right\} \]

\[ f_E^0 = \frac{-g m_W}{64 \pi^2 \cos \theta_W} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \left\{ (1 - x - y) \left( - (c_V \eta_i^A + c_A \eta_i^V) \left( x m_{l_1^-} - y m_{l_1^+} \right) \right) \right\} \]

\[ - m_i (c_A (x - y) \eta_i^+ + c_V \eta_i^- (x + y)) \left( \frac{1}{L^\text{ver}_{h^0}^A} \right) \]
\[ + \left(1 - x - y\right) \left( - (c_V \eta_i^A + c_A \eta_i^V) (x m_{l_1} - y m_{l_2}) \right) + m_i (c_A (x - y) \eta_i^+ + c_V \eta_i^- (x + y)) \right) \frac{1}{L_{A^0}^{ver}}
\]
\[ + \left(1 - x - y\right) \frac{\eta_i^V}{2} \left( m_{l_1} x - m_{l_2} y \right) \left( \frac{1}{L_{A^0}^{ver} h^0} + \frac{1}{L_{h^0 A^0}^{ver}} \right) + m_i \eta_i^- \right) \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{L_{A^0 h^0}^{ver}} - \frac{1}{L_{h^0 A^0}^{ver}} \right) \right), \]

where

\[
L_{1, h^0}^{self} = m_{h^0}^2 (1 - x) + (m_i^2 - m_{l_1}^2 (1 - x)) x,
\]
\[
L_{1, A^0}^{self} = L_{1, h^0}^{self} (m_{h^0} \rightarrow m_{A^0}),
\]
\[
L_{2, h^0}^{self} = L_{1, h^0}^{self} (m_{l_1} \rightarrow m_{l_2}^+),
\]
\[
L_{2, A^0}^{self} = L_{1, A^0}^{self} (m_{l_1} \rightarrow m_{l_2}^+),
\]
\[
L_{h^0 A^0}^{ver} = m_{h^0}^2 (1 - x - y) + m_i^2 (x + y) - q^2 x y,
\]
\[
L_{h^0 A^0}^{ver} = m_{A^0}^2 x + m_i^2 (1 - x - y) + (m_{h^0}^2 - q^2 x) y,
\]
\[
L_{A^0 h^0}^{ver} = L_{h^0 A^0}^{ver} (m_{h^0} \rightarrow m_{A^0}),
\]

and

\[
\eta_i^V = \xi_{N,i,l_1}^D \xi_{N,i,l_2}^D + \xi_{N,i,l_1}^D \xi_{N,i,l_2}^D,
\]
\[
\eta_i^A = \xi_{N,i,l_1}^D \xi_{N,i,l_2}^D - \xi_{N,i,l_1}^D \xi_{N,i,l_2}^D,
\]
\[
\eta_i^+ = \xi_{N,i,l_1}^D \xi_{N,i,l_2}^D + \xi_{N,i,l_1}^D \xi_{N,i,l_2}^D,
\]
\[
\eta_i^- = \xi_{N,i,l_1}^D \xi_{N,i,l_2}^D - \xi_{N,i,l_1}^D \xi_{N,i,l_2}^D.
\]

The parameters \( c_V \) and \( c_A \) are \( c_A = -\frac{1}{4} \) and \( c_V = \frac{1}{4} - \sin^2 \theta_W \). In eq. (16) the flavor changing couplings \( \xi_{N,i,l_j}^D \) represent the effective interaction between the internal lepton \( i \), \( (i = e, \mu, \tau) \) and outgoing (incoming) \( j = 1 (j = 2) \) one. Here the couplings \( \xi_{N,i,l_j}^D \) are complex in general and they can be parametrized as

\[
\xi_{N,i,l_j}^D = |\xi_{N,i,l_j}^D| e^{i\theta_{ij}},
\]

where \( i, l_j \) denote the lepton flavors and \( \theta_{ij} \) are CP violating parameters which are the possible sources of the lepton EDM. However, in the present work we take these couplings real.
6 Gauge boson mass matrix and gauge coupling

Here we study an abelian model in the case of a single extra dimension (two extra dimensions) with two Higgs fields, where one of Higgs field, $\phi_1(x)$, is localized at the $y = 0$ ($y = z = 0$) boundary of the $S^1/Z_2$ ($S^1 \times S^1)/Z_2$ orbifold and the other one $\phi_1(x, y)$ ($\phi_1(x, y, z)$) is accessible to the extra dimension(dimensions). Furthermore, we choose that only the first Higgs field has a non-zero vacuum expectation value, and, including a single extra dimension, the Higgs fields read:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_1(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( v + h_1(x) + i \chi_1(x) \right), \\
\phi_2(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( h_2(x, y) + i \chi_2(x, y) \right).
\end{align*}
$$

(18)

Our aim is to obtain the gauge boson mass matrix, which is not diagonal in the case of the non-universal extra dimensions where the the gauge sector and the Higgs field $\phi_2$ is accessible to the extra dimensions but the first Higgs field does not. In the case of a single extra dimension, the detailed analysis on this issue has been done in [31] and both Higgs fields assumed to have vacuum expectation values in that work. We will present the crucial steps of this work briefly and we repeat the same analysis for two extra dimensions.

The part of Lagrangian which carries the gauge and Higgs sector in a single extra dimension reads

$$
L(x, y) = -\frac{1}{4} F^{MN} F_{MN} + (D_M \phi_2)^* (D^M \phi_2) + \delta(y) (D_\mu \phi_1)^* (D^\mu \phi_1) \\
- V(\phi_1, \phi_2) + L_{GF}(x, y),
$$

(19)

where $V$ is the CP and gauge invariant Higgs potential, $D_M = \partial_M + ie_5 A_M(x, y)$, $(M = \mu, 5)$ is the covariant derivative in 5 dimension and $L_{GF}(x, y)$ is the gauge fixing term.

Now, we will present the sources of the gauge boson mass matrix in the lagrangian eq. (19):

1. $F^{5\mu} F_{5\mu}$ in the part $F^{MN} F_{MN}$ ,

2. $(A_\mu A^\mu)$ in the part $(D_\mu \phi_1)^* (D^\mu \phi_1)$ ,

where $F^{MN} = \partial^N A^M - \partial^M A^N$, and, after compactification, the gauge fields $A^N$ read

$$
\begin{align*}
A_\mu(x, y) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi R)^{1/2}} \left\{ A_\mu^{(0)}(x) + 2^{1/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_\mu^{(n)}(x) \cos(ny/R) \right\}, \\
A_5(x, y) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi R)^{1/2}} \left\{ 2^{1/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_5^{(n)}(x) \sin(ny/R) \right\}.
\end{align*}
$$

(20)
Notice that the \((D_M \phi_2)^* (D^M \phi_2)\) term in the lagrangian (see eq. (19)) does not produce any mass term for the gauge field since the scalar field \(\phi_2\) does not have any vacuum expectation value. The integration over the extra dimension \(y\) results in the mixing of zero mode and KK mode gauge fields and the gauge boson mass matrix is obtained as (see [31]):

\[
M_A^2 = \begin{pmatrix}
m^2 & \sqrt{2} m^2 & \sqrt{2} m^2 & \cdots \\
\sqrt{2} m^2 & 2 m^2 + (1/R)^2 & 2 m^2 & \cdots \\
\sqrt{2} m^2 & 2 m^2 & 2 m^2 + (2/R)^2 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(m^2 = e^2 v^2\). Using the determinant equation

\[
\det \left( M_A^2 - \lambda I \right) = \left( \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( n^2/R^2 - \lambda \right) \right) \left( m^2 - \lambda - 2 \lambda m^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n/R)^2 - \lambda} \right) = 0
\]

the eigenvalues of the matrix is obtained by solving the transcendental equation

\[
m_{A(n)} = \pi m^2 R \cot(\pi m_{A(n)} R),
\]

and KK mass eigenstates \(\hat{A}_\mu^{(n)}\) are given by

\[
\hat{A}_\mu^{(n)} = \left( 1 + \pi^2 m^2 R^2 + \frac{m_{A(n)}^2}{m^2} \right)^{-1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{2 m_{A(n)} m}{m_{A(n)}^2 - (j/R)^2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{\delta_{j,0}} A_\mu(j).
\]

For the non-abelian case the gauge field mass spectrum is analogous to the abelian one presented above and the transcendental equation for \(Z\) boson is

\[
m_{Z(n)} = \pi m_Z^2 R \cot(\pi m_{Z(n)} R),
\]

and the corresponding coupling reads

\[
g_{Z(n)} = \sqrt{2} g \left( 1 + \frac{m_Z^2}{m_{Z(n)}^2} + \frac{\pi^2 R^2 m_{Z(n)}^4}{m_Z^4} \right)^{-1/2}.
\]

At this stage we try to make the same analysis for two extra dimensions. The part of Lagrangian which carries the gauge and Higgs sector in two extra dimensions is

\[
\mathcal{L}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{4} F^{MN} F_{MN} + (D_M \phi_2)^* (D^M \phi_2) + \delta(y) \delta(z) (D_\mu \phi_1)^* (D^\mu \phi_1)
\]

\[-V(\phi_1, \phi_2) + \mathcal{L}_{GF}(x, y, z),
\]

\[
D_M = \partial_M + ie_6 A_M(x, y, z), (M = \mu, 5, 6)\) is the covariant derivative in 6 dimension. In this case the sources of the gauge boson mass matrix in the lagrangian (eq. (27)) are:
1. $F^{5\mu} F_{5\mu}$ and $F^{6\mu} F_{6\mu}$ in the part $F^{MN} F_{MN}$

2. $(A_\mu A^\mu)$ in the part $(D_\mu \phi_1)^* (D^\mu \phi_1)$, and, after compactification, the gauge fields $A_N$ read

$$A_\mu(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi R)} \left\{ A^{(0,0)}_\mu(x) + 2 \sum_{n,r} A^{(n,r)}_\mu(x) \cos(ny/R + rz/R) \right\},$$

$$A_{5(6)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi R)} \left\{ 2 \sum_{n,r} A_{5(6)}^{(n,r)}(x) \sin(ny/R + rz/R) \right\},$$

(28)

The integration over the extra dimensions $y$ and $z$ results in the mixing of zero mode and KK mode gauge fields similar to the one extra dimension case and the gauge boson mass matrix is obtained as:

$$M_A^2 = \begin{pmatrix}
  m^2 & 2m^2 & 2m^2 & 2m^2 & 2m^2 & \cdots \\
  2m^2 & 4m^2 + 2/R^2 & 4m^2 & 4m^2 & 4m^2 & \cdots \\
  2m^2 & 4m^2 & 4m^2 + 2/R^2 & 4m^2 & 4m^2 & \cdots \\
  2m^2 & 4m^2 & 4m^2 & 4m^2 + 4/R^2 & 4m^2 & \cdots \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

(29)

with $m^2 = \varepsilon^2 v^2$. Here, the mass spectrum is richer compared to a single extra dimension case.

Now the determinant equation reads

$$\det \left( M_A^2 - \lambda I \right) = \left( \prod_{n,r} \frac{2(n^2 + r^2)}{R^2} - \lambda \right) \left( m^2 - \lambda - 4\lambda m^2 \sum_{n,r} \frac{1}{2(n^2 + r^2)} - \lambda \right) = 0,$$

(30)

where the indices $n$ and $r$ are all positive integers including zero, but both is not zero at the same time, and the transcendental equation to obtain the eigenvalues of the matrix is

$$m_{A^{(p)}}^4 = -(\frac{2m^2}{R})^2 + (\frac{2}{R^2} + 10m^2) m_{A^{(p)}}^2 - (m_{A^{(p)}}^2 m^2 \pi R') \left( \frac{1}{R'^2} - m_{A^{(p)}}^2 \right) \cot(\pi m_{A^{(p)}} R')$$

$$- (m_{A^{(p)}}^2 m^2) \left( \frac{1}{R'^2} - m_{A^{(p)}}^2 \right) \sum_{r=1} \frac{\pi R'}{\sqrt{\lambda_r}} \cot\left( \pi \sqrt{\lambda_r} R' \right),$$

(31)

where $\lambda_r = m_{A^{(p)}}^2 - r^2/R'^2$, $R' = R/\sqrt{2}$ and $p$ is the positive integer. Similar to the one extra dimension, for the non-abelian case, the gauge field mass spectrum is analogous to the abelian one presented above and the transcendental equation is obtained by replacing the mass $m_{A^{(p)}}$ in eq. (31) by $m_{Z^{(a)}}$. For two extra dimensions there appears a new gauge coupling due to the complicated mass mixing, however, in our numerical calculations, we used the one obtained in the single extra dimension case by expecting that the new contributions do not affect the behaviors of the physical parameters we study. Notice that this coupling enters in the calculations only for the zero mode $Z$ boson case, since there is no diagram which includes the KK mode virtual $Z$ bosons.
7 The vertices appearing in the present work

In this section we present the vertices appearing in our calculations. For a single extra dimension the Z boson gauge coupling is given in eq. (26) and, in the present work, this coupling reads

$$g_Z = \sqrt{2} g \left( 1 + \frac{m_Z^2}{m_{Z(0)}^2} + \frac{\pi^2 R^2 m_Z^4}{m_{Z(0)}^4} \right)^{-1/2},$$

(32)

where $m_{Z(0)}$ is obtained by solving the eq. (25) for $n = 0$. Furthermore, since there is no mixing between neutral scalar Higgs bosons $H^0$ and $h^0$ due to the our choice (see the section 2), the tree level interaction $Z^\mu - H^0 - A^0$ does not exist. Here $L$ and $R$ denote chiral projections $L(R) = 1/2(1 \mp \gamma_5)$, the parameters $c_{L(R)}$ read, $c_L = -1/2 + s_W^2$, $c_R = s_W^2$ and $c_W = \cos \theta_W$, $s_W = \sin \theta_W$, where $\theta_W$ is the weak angle.
\[ \frac{i g Z}{c_W} \gamma^\mu \left[ c_L L + c_R R \right] \]

\[ \frac{g Z}{2 c_W} (p_2 + p_1)^\mu \]

\[ \frac{-i g Z}{2 \sqrt{2}} \left[ (\xi_D^{ij} + \xi_{ji}^{*}) + (\xi_D^{ij} - \xi_{ji}^{*})\gamma_5 \right] \]

\[ \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \left[ (\xi_D^{ij} - \xi_{ji}^{*}) + (\xi_D^{ij} + \xi_{ji}^{*})\gamma_5 \right] \]

Figure 1: The vertices used in the present work.
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Figure 2: One loop diagrams contribute to $Z \to k^+ j^-$ decay due to the neutral Higgs bosons $h_0$ and $A_0$ in the 2HDM. $i$ represents the internal, $j$ ($k$) outgoing (incoming) lepton, dashed lines the vector field $Z$, $h_0$ and $A_0$ fields. In the case 5 (6) dimensions the vertices are the same but there are additional contributions due to the KK modes of $h_0$ and $A_0$ fields.
Figure 3: $\xi_{N,\tau e}$ dependence of the $BR(Z \rightarrow \mu^\pm e^\pm)$ for $\xi_{N,\tau \mu} = 1\,GeV$, $m_{h^0} = 100\,GeV$ and $m_{A^0} = 200\,GeV$. The solid-dashed-small dashed lines represent the BR without extra dimension-including a single extra dimension for $1/R = 500\,GeV$-including two extra dimensions for $1/R = 500\,GeV$. 
Figure 4: The compactification scale $1/R$ dependence of $BR(Z \rightarrow \mu^\pm e^\pm)$ for $\xi_{N,\tau\mu}^D = 0.05 \, GeV$, $\xi_{N,\tau\mu}^D = 1 \, GeV$, $m_{h^0} = 100 \, GeV$ and $m_{A^0} = 200 \, GeV$. The solid-dashed-small dashed lines represent the BR without extra dimension-including a single extra dimension-including two extra dimensions.
Figure 5: $\xi^D_{N,\tau\tau}$ dependence of the $BR(Z \to \tau^\pm e^\pm)$ for $\xi^D_{N,\tau e} = 0.05$ GeV, $m_{h^0} = 100$ GeV and $m_{A^0} = 200$ GeV. The solid-dashed-small dashed lines represent the BR without extra dimension-including a single extra dimension for $1/R = 500$ GeV-including two extra dimensions for $1/R = 500$ GeV.
Figure 6: The compactification scale $1/R$ dependence of the $BR(Z \rightarrow \tau^\pm e^\pm)$ for $\xi_D^{N,\tau\tau} = 10 \text{GeV}$, $\xi_D^{N,\tau\mu} = 1 \text{GeV}$, $m_{h^0} = 100 \text{GeV}$ and $m_{A^0} = 200 \text{GeV}$. The solid-dashed-small dashed lines represent the BR without extra dimension-including a single extra dimension-including two extra dimensions.
Figure 7: The $\xi_{N,\tau\tau}$ dependence of the BR of the two decay $Z \rightarrow \tau^\pm \mu^\pm$ for $\xi_{N,\tau\mu} = 1 \, \text{GeV}$, $m_{h^0} = 100 \, \text{GeV}$ and $m_{A^0} = 200 \, \text{GeV}$. The solid-dashed-small dashed lines represent the BR without extra dimension-including a single extra dimension for $1/R = 500 \, \text{GeV}$-including two extra dimensions for $1/R = 500 \, \text{GeV}$. 
Figure 8: The compactification scale $1/R$ dependence of the BR of the two decay $Z \rightarrow \tau^{\pm} \mu^{\pm}$ for $\bar{\xi}_{N,\tau\mu} = 1 \text{ GeV}$, $\bar{\xi}_{N,\tau\tau} = 10 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{h^0} = 100 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{A^0} = 200 \text{ GeV}$. The solid-dashed-small dashed lines represent the BR without extra dimension-including a single extra dimension-including two extra dimensions.