THE COMPTON-THICK SEYFERT 2 NUCLEUS OF NGC 3281: TORUS CONSTRAINTS FROM THE 9.7 μm SILICATE ABSORPTION
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ABSTRACT

We present mid-infrared (mid-IR) spectra of the Compton-thick Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 3281, obtained with the Thermal-Region Camera Spectrograph at the Gemini-South telescope. The spectra present a very deep silicate absorption at 9.7 μm, and [S iv] 10.5 μm and [Ne ii] 12.7 μm ion lines, but no evidence of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon emission. We find that the nuclear optical extinction is in the range 24 mag ≤ AV ≤ 83 mag. A temperature T = 300 K was found for the blackbody dust continuum component of the unresolved 65 pc nucleus and the region at 130 pc SE, while the region at 130 pc NW reveals a colder temperature (200 K). We describe the nuclear spectrum of NGC 3281 using a clumpy torus model that suggests that the nucleus of this galaxy hosts a dusty toroidal structure. According to this model, the ratio between the inner and outer radius of the torus in NGC 3281 is R0/Rd = 20, with 14 clouds in the equatorial radius with optical depth of τν = 40 mag. We would be looking in the direction of the torus equatorial radius (i = 60°), which has outer radius of R0 ~ 11 pc. The column density is N_H ≈ 1.2 × 10^{24} cm^{-2} and the iron Kα equivalent width (∼0.5–1.2 keV) is used to check the torus geometry. Our findings indicate that the X-ray absorbing column density, which classifies NGC 3281 as a Compton-thick source, may also be responsible for the absorption at 9.7 μm providing strong evidence that the silicate dust responsible for this absorption can be located in the active galactic nucleus torus.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mid-infrared (mid-IR) spectra of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are rich in emission features, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), molecular hydrogen, and prominent forbidden emission lines (Sturm et al. 2000; Weedman et al. 2005; Wu et al. 2009; Gallimore et al. 2010; Sales et al. 2010). Another spectral feature commonly observed in AGNs is silicate at ~9.7 μm and 18 μm, both in emission and absorption.

The AGN unified model proposes the existence of a dense concentration of absorbing material surrounding the central engine in a toroidal distribution, which blocks the broad-line region (BLR) emission from the line of sight in Seyfert 2 (Sy 2) galaxies (Antonucci 1993). However, it is not yet clear if the molecular gas and dust detected in mid-IR spectra are actually associated with the absorbing material required by the so-called torus in the unified model.

Hao et al. (2007) studied the distribution of the silicate feature strengths in a sample of 196 AGNs and ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs). They found that the spectra of quasars and Seyfert 1 (Sy 1) galaxies are characterized by silicate features in emission, with few Sy 1s presenting weak absorptions. In contrast, Sy 2 spectra are dominated by weak silicate absorption. These results suggest that the silicate emission (or absorption) is related to the line of sight, in the framework of the AGN unified model. In addition, most of the ULIRGs show very deep silicate absorption (Hao et al. 2007).

The silicate behavior in AGNs can be explained using Nenkova’s torus models (Nenkova et al. 2002, 2008a, 2008b, 2010), which consider an ensemble of individual clouds instead of the standard “solid doughnut.” Mason et al. (2006) detected the silicate emission feature in the Sy 2 galaxy NGC 2110 and compared it with the Nenkova et al. (2008b) clumpy torus models, demonstrating that the presence of silicate emission can also be explained by an edge-on clumpy distribution. From their best-fit model, they conclude that there would be a small number of clouds along the line of sight, with a distribution that does not extend far above the torus equatorial plane.

According to Hao et al. (2007), the presence of strong silicate absorption and the absence of PAH features in some active galaxies can be interpreted as extremely heavy obscuration in these sources. An example of such an object is NGC 3281. Its spectrum shows a very deep silicate absorption at 9.7 μm, as well as the forbidden emission lines [S iii] 18.7 μm, [S iv] 10.5 μm, [Ne ii] 12.8 μm, [Ne iii] 15.5 μm, [Ne v] 14.3 μm and 24.3 μm, [Ne vi] 7.6 μm, and [O iv] 25.8 μm. No PAH emission was detected in the Spitzer spectrum (Wu et al. 2009). In addition, NGC 3281 is a luminous infrared galaxy (log L_{IR} ~ 10.73 L_{⊙}; Sanders et al. 2003), classified as an Sy 2. Its optical spectrum was studied by Storchi-Bergmann et al. (1992), who found that the kinematics of the kpc extended ionized gas can be described by rotation in a plane, with material outflowing (ν ~ 150 km s^{-1}) from the nucleus within a conical structure. They also suggested that NGC 3281 has dust asymmetrically distributed with respect to the ionized gas.

In addition, using Advanced Satellite for Cosmology and Astrophysics (ASCA) data, Simpson (1998) found that NGC 3281 shows an extremely complex X-ray spectrum, with a prominent iron emission line and a large absorbing column density (N_H ~ 7.1 ± 1.2 × 10^{23} cm^{-2}) that when combined with the nuclear extinction inferred from the near-infrared color analysis (A_V = 22 ± 11 mag) results in an N_H/A_V ratio one
order of magnitude higher than that of the Galaxy (Bohlin et al. 1978). This effect was attributed to an optically thick material along the line of sight of both the X-ray and the infrared emitting regions, which obscures the entire X-ray source but only a fraction of the much larger IR emitting region. Later, Vignali & Comastri (2002) using the Italian–Dutch satellite BeppoSAX found an $N_{HI}/A_V$ ratio about twice that derived by Simpson (1998), adding NGC 3281 to the list of AGNs presenting dust properties different from the Galaxy. The difference in the $N_{HI}/A_V$ values derived by both studies, according to Vignali & Comastri (2002), is due to the limited ASCA bandpass. Vignali & Comastri (2002) also show that its X-ray spectrum ($E < 10$ keV) is reflection-dominated, with a relatively strong Kr ion emission line and a heavily absorbed nuclear continuum ($N_{HI} \approx (1.5-2) \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$). Hence, they classify NGC 3281 as a Compton-thick galaxy. The high values found for the absorption column density in NGC 3281 also explain the extremely high ratio $F_{[OIII]/F_{2-10keV}} \approx 0.3$, when compared to the average ($\approx 0.02$) value obtained for a sample of Sy 2 galaxies (Mulchaey et al. 1994).

It follows from the above discussion that this galaxy has a very heavily obscured nucleus, which makes it a key object to study whether or not the observed dust absorption is associated with the dusty torus of the unified model. Thus, it may provide unambiguous observational evidence of this structure. We present in this paper ground-based mid-IR high-angular-resolution spectra of the galaxy NGC 3281. The Thermal-Region Camera Spectrograph (T-ReCS; Telesco et al. 1998) attached to the Gemini-South telescope gives a spatial resolution of 16 pc pixel$^{-1}$, which is very adequate to study the dust distribution in the $\sim 200$ pc central radius of this galaxy. This paper is structured as follows: in Section 2 we describe the observation and data reduction processes. Results are discussed in Section 3. Our summary and conclusion are presented in Section 4.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Ground-based mid-IR observations of NGC 3281 were obtained with the T-ReCS in queue mode at Gemini-South, in 2009 April 6 UT, as part of program GS-2009A-Q-34. Conditions were clear, with water vapor column in the range 5–8 mm, and image quality of 0.33 $\mu$m in the $N$ band, as measured from the acquisition images of the telluric standards observed right after the science frames. All observations used a standard chop-nod technique to remove time-variable sky background, telescope thermal emission, and the effect of 1/f noise from the array/electronics. The slit was oriented along P.A. = 315$^\circ$, with a chop throw of 15$^\circ$, oriented along P.A. = 225$^\circ$, perpendicular to the slit direction, in order to include only the signal of the guided beam position in the frame and avoid possible nod effects in the spatial direction. The same slit position/nod orientation was used for the telluric standards. The instrument configuration used the low-resolution ($R \approx 100$) grating and the 0.36 slit, for a dispersion of 0.0223 $\mu$m/pixel$^{-1}$ and a spectral resolution of 0.08 $\mu$m. The pixel scale is 0.089 arcsec/pixel$^{-1}$ in the spatial direction, and the slit is 21.6 long. Spectral coverage was of 7.1 $\mu$m centered at 10.5 $\mu$m. The total on-source integration time was 980 s.

The data reduction was performed using the MIRID and gnirs sub-packages of the Gemini IRAF6 package. To extract the final spectrum, we combined the chop- and nod-subtracted frames using the tasks PREPARE and MISTACK in the MIRID package. Wavelength calibration was obtained from the skylines in the raw frames. To remove the telluric absorption lines from the galaxy spectrum, we divided the extracted spectrum for each observing night by that of the telluric standard stars HD 3438 or HD 4786 (Cohen et al. 1999), observed before and/or after the science target. Finally, the spectrum was flux calibrated by interpolating a blackbody function to the spectrum of the telluric standard. For this step we used the task MSTELLIRC in the MIRID package.

Figure 1(a) shows the slit superposed on the NGC 3281 N-band acquisition image. Panel (b) of this figure illustrates the slit on the narrow [O III] maps taken from Schmitt et al. (2003a). Panel (c) shows that the $N$-band galaxy luminosity profile is extended with respect to that of the $N$-band stellar profile of HR3438.

We extracted seven one-dimensional spectra: one centered in the unresolved nucleus ($4 \times 0.36$ arcsec, which corresponds to $\sim 65$ pc for a distance of 43 Mpc, using $H_0 = 74$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$), plus extractions centered at 130 pc, 195 pc, and 260 pc to the northwest (NW) and southeast (SE) directions. Deep silicate absorption is observed at the nucleus and at 130 pc in both NW and SE directions of the nucleus (Figure 2). The extraction at 195 pc NW shows a weak absorption. The remaining extraction does not present silicate absorption. Therefore, we can conclude that the dust is concentrated inside a radius of 200 pc.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. The Mid-IR Spectra

The NGC 3281 T-ReCS spectra (Figure 2) clearly show that a deep silicate absorption, as well as the [S iv] 10.5 $\mu$m and [Ne ii] 12.7 $\mu$m emission lines, is observed up to 130 pc from the nucleus. In addition, PAH emission bands are not detected in the spectra, in agreement with the Spitzer spectrum (Wu et al. 2009). As discussed in the introduction, NGC 3281 is one exception among Sy 2 galaxies, as most (~80%) of the objects in this class present PAH emission bands and no deep silicate absorption in their mid-IR spectra (Sales et al. 2010).

In order to estimate the silicate absorption apparent strength ($S_{sil}$), we use the definition of Spoon et al. (2007):

$$S_{sil} = \frac{f_{obs}(9.7 \mu m)}{f_{cont}(9.7 \mu m)} \times 10^8,$$

where $f_{obs}$ is the observed flux density and $f_{cont}$ is the observed mid-line pseudo-continuum flux density. To determine $S_{sil}$, we avoid telluric bands and emission line regions, using the same methodology as Mason et al. (2006). The values derived from the four spatial positions where the silicate absorption is observed are shown in Column 7 of Table 1.

For the unresolved nucleus, we obtain $S_{sil} \approx -1.3 \pm 0.1$. Similar values of $S_{sil}$ are observed in ULIRGs, while much weaker (average is $\sim 0.61$) absorptions are seen in Sy 2s (see Figure 2 of Hao et al. 2007). The remaining three extractions have similar values to that of the nucleus.

When dealing with dusty sources, a more physical parameter is the line-of-sight depth ($\tau$), which can be estimated from $S_{sil}$ at 9.7 $\mu$m using the equation $\ln(e^{-\tau_{9.7}}) = \tau_{9.7} = \frac{f_{obs}(9.7 \mu m)}{f_{cont}(9.7 \mu m)}$ (Nenkova et al. 2008b). Furthermore, we can derive the apparent optical extinction using $A_V^{pp} = \tau_{9.7} \times 18.5 \pm 2$ mag (Draine...
Table 1

| Position | Label | [S IV]10.5 μm | EW[S IV] | [Ne II]12.7 μm | EW[Ne II] | S_{sil} | A_V^{pp} (mag) | τ_{9.7} | A_V (mag) |
|----------|-------|---------------|----------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| 130 pc SE | -1    | 4.3 ± 0.7     | 0.7      | ...            | ...       | -1.4 ± 0.1 | 26 ± 5          | 5.5 ± 0.8 | 102 ± 26 |
| Center   | 0     | 6.2 ± 1.0     | 0.1      | 1.3 ± 0.3      | 0.02      | -1.3 ± 0.1 | 24 ± 5          | 4.5 ± 0.7 | 83 ± 22 |
| 130 pc NW | 1     | 2.3 ± 0.4     | 0.2      | 2.2 ± 0.4      | 0.06      | -1.5 ± 0.3 | 28 ± 8          | 4.6 ± 0.7 | 85 ± 22 |
| 195 pc NW | 2     | ...           | ...      | ...            | ...       | -1.1 ± 0.1 | 21 ± 4          | ...     | ...     |

Notes. Columns 3 and 5 are values of the emission line integrated fluxes given in units of 10^{-16} W m^{-2}. Columns 4 and 6 are values of the equivalent widths in units of μm. Column 7 is the silicate strengths computed from Equation (1). Column 8 shows the apparent optical extinction values derived from S_{sil} values. Column 9 is the values of optical depth inferred by PAHFit and Column 10 is their optical extinction. In order to determine the optical extinction values, we assume A_V^{pp}/τ_{sil} = 18.5 ± 2 (Draine 2003).

The dust extinction for the unresolved nucleus of NGC 3281 is A_V^{pp} = 24 ± 5 mag, in agreement with the value (A_V = 22 ± 11 mag) derived by combined IR data (Simpson 1998). Note that our uncertainties are much lower than these authors. We note from the values listed in Table 1 that the position labeled as 1 (130 pc from the nucleus in the NW direction) presents a slightly higher extinction than the central one (A_V = 28 ± 8 mag).

To estimate in a more robust way the line-of-sight depth at 9.7 μm (τ_{9.7}) in NGC 3281, we used the PAHFit code (Smith et al. 2007), which assumes that the source spectrum is composed of continuum emission from dust and starlight, prominent emission lines, individual and blended PAH emission bands, and that the light is attenuated by extinction due to silicate grains. PAHFit models the extinction using the dust opacity law of Kemper et al. (2004), where the infrared extinction is considered as a power law plus silicate features peaking at 9.7 μm. For details see Smith et al. (2007).

We used this approach to determine the contribution of the distinct components to the spectral energy distribution (SED) of NGC 3281 as a function of the distance to the galaxy center. As the spectra do not show PAH emission, we have not included...
In order to get additional support for that highly reddened region, we overplot the T-ReCS slit position on the [O iii] 5007 maps taken from Schmitt et al. (2005a). We found that the 130 pc NW region coincides with a region where [O iii] emission is not observed, in agreement with what was found previously from X-ray data. That is, NGC 3281 is a heavily obscured source.

### 3.2. Is the Observed Silicate Absorption Detected at the Unresolved Nucleus Associated with the Dusty Torus Required by the Unified AGN Model?

There are several torus models. Some authors assume a uniform dust density distribution (e.g., Pier & Krock 1992; Granato et al. 1997; Siebenmorgen et al. 2004; Fritz et al. 2006). However, for dust grains to survive in the torus environment, they should be formed by clumpy structures (Krock & Begelman 1988), and new models have been developed where the dust grains are distributed in a clumpy medium (e.g., Nenkova et al. 2002, 2008a, 2008b; Hönig et al. 2006). Therefore, we compare the uncontaminated nuclear spectrum (see below) with theoretical SED obtained from Nenkova’s models (e.g., Nenkova et al. 2002, 2008a, 2008b).

In their modeling, Nenkova et al. assume that the dusty material surrounding the AGN is distributed in clumps forming a toroidal geometry, and determine the following parameters: (1) the number of clouds, \( N_\text{cl} \), in the torus equatorial radius; (2) the optical depth for an individual cloud in the V band \( (\tau_V) \); (3) the radial extension of the clumpy distribution, \( Y = R_0/R_d \), where \( R_0 \) and \( R_d \) are the outer and inner radius of the torus, respectively; (4) the power-law index \( \alpha \) that describes the radial density profile \( (\propto r^{-\alpha}) \); (5) the torus angular width, constrained by a Gaussian angular distribution of \( \sigma \); and (6) the observer’s viewing angle, \( i \).

In order to properly analyze the spectrum from the nuclear dusty structure, it is necessary to first remove the host galaxy contribution. For this, we have subtracted from the central aperture the spectrum obtained from averaging the two adjacent extractions (apertures \( -1 \) and \( 1 \), Figure 1). Noise effects are reduced by smoothing (with a rectangular box of size 5 pixels) the final spectrum. The emission lines were removed by simple interpolation and the region where the telluric band is located (Figure 2) was not used in the fit. Then, the smoothed spectrum was compared with the \( \sim 10^6 \) clumpy theoretical SEDs, and the best fit is obtained by searching for the minimum in the equation

\[
\chi^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{F_{\text{obs}, \lambda_i} - F_{\text{mod}, \lambda_i}}{\delta_{\lambda_i}} \right)^2 ,
\]

where \( N \) is the number of data points in the spectrum, \( F_{\text{obs}, \lambda_i} \), \( F_{\text{mod}, \lambda_i} \), and \( \delta_{\lambda_i} \) are the observed and theoretical fluxes at each wavelength, and their respective uncertainties. The latter were taken as being 10% of \( F_{\lambda} \), as expected ones for the T-ReCS observations (Radomski et al. 2002; Mason et al. 2006). Note that both \( F_{\text{obs}, \lambda_i} \) and \( F_{\text{mod}, \lambda_i} \) were normalized to unity at 9.0 \( \mu \text{m} \), with the uncertainties being properly propagated. The parameter set that provides the best fit is shown in Table 2, and the corresponding theoretical SED is overlotted on the NGC 3281 decontaminated nuclear spectrum (Figure 5). Due to the very large number of models required to cover the parameter space, we estimate the uncertainties for the best model following the approach of Mason et al. (2009; see also Nikutta et al. 2009).

---

8 The models are available from http://www.pa.uky.edu/clumpy/
but instead of using only the three best models, we calculated the mean and standard derivation for the parameters considering all models with a $\chi^2$ value within 10% of the best-fitting result (76 in total). The locus of the corresponding theoretical SEDs is plotted as a gray region in Figure 5. Our approach is similar to that used by Nikutta et al. (2009); thus, we have also tested other $\chi^2$ deviation fractions (5%, 10%, 15%, and 20%, corresponding to 17, 76, 151, and 291 acceptable solutions, respectively).

Table 2

| Parameter                          | Best Fit | Average 5% | Average 10% | Average 15% | Average 20% |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Torus angular width ($\sigma$)    | 70°      | 68° ± 3°   | 62° ± 6°    | 62° ± 7°    | 61° ± 8°    |
| Radial extent of the torus ($Y$)  | 20       | 20 ± 0     | 23 ± 4      | 28 ± 18     | 38 ± 27     |
| Number of clouds in the torus equatorial radius ($N_0$) | 14 | 13 ± 1 | 13 ± 2 | 13 ± 2 | 13 ± 2 |
| Power-law index of the radial density profile ($q$) | 1.5 | 1.5 ± 0 | 1.4 ± 0.2 | 1.4 ± 0.3 | 1.2 ± 0.5 |
| Observer’s viewing angle ($i$)     | 60°      | 69° ± 11°  | 76° ± 11°   | 76° ± 12°   | 72° ± 18°   |
| Optical depth for individual cloud ($\tau_V$) | 40 mag | 40 ± 0 mag | 40 ± 3 mag | 41 ± 8 mag |
| Total number of solutions         | 17       | 76         | 151         | 291         |

Notes. Columns 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 show the best value and the average for 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% variation in $\chi^2$, respectively.
The angular distribution of the clouds is characterized by a pattern we would be looking in a direction close to the torus equator that obscures the BLR in Sy 2 galaxies. From the fitted AGN model, which requires the presence of a dusty structure obtained by Nikutta et al. (2009); however, our methodology tends to produce a locus with less acceptable solutions than Nikutta’s one. The mean parameters derived with the different $\chi^2$ deviations are shown in Table 2.

The physical parameters of the best-fit model to the nuclear uncontaminated SEDs suggest that NGC 3281 hosts a dusty toroidal structure. The dusty clouds, each with an optical depth $\tau_v = 40$ mag, occupy a toroidal volume with $R_0/R_d = 20$. The distribution of the clouds follows a power-law radial behavior ($r^{-1.5}$), and the number of clouds along the equatorial radius is 10. The angular distribution of the clouds is characterized by a width $\sigma = 70^\circ$. These results are in agreement with the unified AGN model, which requires the presence of a dusty structure that obscures the BLR in Sy 2 galaxies. From the fitted models we would be looking in a direction close to the torus equator ($i = 60^\circ$).

In general, the torus parameters derived here are similar to those obtained by Ramos Almeida et al. (2009), except for the number of clouds and optical depth per single cloud, where they find only five clouds with $\tau_v = 10$ in the equatorial radius. This discrepancy may be due to the different constraints available, as Ramos Almeida et al. (2009) used mid-IR photometric data (see also Ramos Almeida et al. 2011; Alonso-Herrero et al. 2011), while the data presented here would allow for a more detailed description of the spectral behavior of the silicate dust, thus providing a better input to the modeling procedure. The Nenkova et al. (2008b) models adopt a standard dust-togas ratio and assume the column density of a single cloud to be $N_{HI} \sim 10^{22} - 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$. In addition, the total number of clouds at a viewing angle $i$ is given by a Gaussian distribution: $N_{obs}(i) = N_0 \exp[-(\theta - \theta_0)^2/\sigma]$; thus, resulting in a column density of $N_{HII}^{(obs)} = N_{obs}(i) N_{HI}$ at the observer’s viewing angle. Using the above, we can then derive a column density of $N_{HI} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$ for the uncontaminated nuclear spectrum of NGC 3281, which is consistent with the values derived by Vignali & Comastri (2002) from X-ray observations ($\sim 2 \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$).

Moreover, Levenson et al. (2002) demonstrated that for heavily obscured AGN the iron Kα equivalent width (EW) values depend on the torus geometry. We compared the torus geometrical parameters derived in our paper with those of Levenson et al. (2002) and found that the present torus aperture ($\sigma = 70^\circ$) corresponds to $\theta = 20^\circ$ in Levenson et al.’s (2002) models. The observer’s viewing angle has the same definition for both models ($i = 60^\circ$). From Figure 2 of Levenson et al. (2002) and using the above parameters, we estimate that the iron Kα EW for NGC 3281 is $\sim 2 - 3$ keV, which is in agreement with that found by Vignali & Comastri (2002), confirming their results that this galaxy would show a large EW for the iron Kα line. We point out that this torus geometry was inferred from the silicate absorption line; this indicates that the X-ray absorbing column density, which makes NGC 3281 a Compton-thick source, may also be responsible for the absorption at 9.7 $\mu$m. Therefore, our results provide strong evidence that the silicate dust responsible for such absorption is located in the AGN torus. Following Nenkova et al. (2008b), and adopting a dust sublimation temperature of 1500 K, we estimate a torus outer radius of $R_0 \sim 11$ pc. This value is consistent with the results of Jaffe et al. (2004), Tristram et al. (2007), and Ramos Almeida et al. (2009).

We also derive the intrinsic bolometric luminosity for the AGN from the best-fit model, finding $L_{bol} = 1.9 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$. This value agrees with the intrinsic luminosity obtained by Vignali & Comastri (2002) from X-ray data, when applying the conversion factor suggested by Elvis et al. (2004), resulting in $L_{bol} \approx 3.2 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. We note that NGC 3281 has a high X-ray luminosity when compared to the objects studied by Ramos Almeida et al. (2009).

4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we present high spatial resolution mid-IR spectra of the Compton-thick Sy 2 galaxy NGC 3281. The ground-based mid-IR data were taken with the T-ReCS spectrograph, and our main results can be summarized as follows.

1. NGC 3281’s spectra show a very deep silicate absorption, as well as [S IV] 10.5 $\mu$m and [Ne II] 12.7 $\mu$m ionic lines. However, the spectra do not present PAH emission bands, which makes this galaxy uncommon among the Sy 2 class (Sales et al. 2010). Furthermore, the dust is concentrated inside a radius of 200 pc.

2. The optical extinction, $A_v$, of the nucleus and its neighborhood was estimated using the $S_{all}$ indicator and the PAHFIT
code. From the $S_3$ indicator, we infer that the nuclear dust extinction $A_V^{nuc} = 24 \pm 2$ mag, while the pahfit code provides $A_V = 83 \pm 22$ mag. We point out that the first value can only be used as a lower limit. This large extinction confirms that this galaxy, if compared with the Seyfert sample of Gallimore et al. (2010) (83 sources), is a heavily obscured source compatible with a Compton-thick galaxy scenario.

3. The blackbody temperature due to dust continuum components for the unresolved nucleus and the region at 130 pc SE is $T = 300$ K. A colder blackbody ($T = 200$ K) was found for the region at 130 pc NW, which also has the highest derived visual extinction ($A_V = 102 \pm 0.2$ mag).

4. The nuclear uncontaminated spectrum follows a clumpy torus model (Nenkova et al. 2008b), which suggests that NGC 3281 has a dusty toroidal structure. The dusty clouds occupy a toroidal volume with $R_0/R_d = 20$, with each cloud having an optical depth $\tau_V = 40$ mag. The distribution of the clouds follows a power-law radial behavior ($r^{-1.5}$) and the number of clouds in the equatorial radius is 14. The angular distribution of the clouds has a width of $\sigma = 70^\circ$. These results agree with the unified AGN model that to block the BLR emission of Sy 2 galaxies requires a dusty structure. According to the best-fit model, we would be looking in the direction close to the torus equatorial radius ($i = 60^\circ$), with an outer radius of $R_0 \sim 11$ pc.

5. We derive a column density of $N_H = 1.2 \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$ for NGC 3281 which indicates that the X-ray absorbing column density, which classifies NGC 3281 as a Compton-thick source, may also be responsible for the absorption at $9.7 \mu$m. In addition, our results provide strong evidence that the silicate dust responsible for such absorption is located in the AGN torus. From Nenkova’s models, we find that the bolometric luminosity of this AGN is $L_{bol} = 1.9 \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which shows that NGC 3281 is a very luminous source.
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