The Casimir Force in Randall Sundrum Models with $q + 1$ dimensions
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We evaluate the Casimir force between two parallel plates in Randall Sundrum (RS) scenarios extended by $q$ compact dimensions. After giving exact expressions for one extra compact dimension (6D RS model), we generalize to an arbitrary number of compact dimensions. We present the complete calculation for both two brane scenario (RSI model) and one brane scenario (RSII model) using the method of summing over the modes. We investigate the effects of extra dimensions on the magnitude and sign of the force, and comment on limits for the size and number of the extra dimensions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Theories with extra space dimensions have a long history in physics, at the beginning being motivated by the attempt to unify the fundamental interactions, as in the Kaluza-Klein theories [1]. Recently, they have enjoyed a resurgence with the development of models with warped extra dimensions [2], which provide an explanation of the large gap between the Planck and the electroweak scale (the hierarchy problem) and the cosmological constant. The possibility of the existence of extra dimensions, as well as their geometry and size influence the structure of the vacuum, in particular the evaluation of the vacuum zero-point energy, known as the Casimir effect [3]. The research in this area is motivated in two directions. First, developments in the fundamental area of the structure of the vacuum quantum field theories have been extensively explored, with a view to understand the implications of extra dimensions [4]. Second, several measurements of the attractive force between parallel plates (and other geometries) have firmly established the existence of quantum fluctuations. The level of precision reached by these experiments may be sufficient to test models with different geometries [5]. Casimir forces in space-time with $D > 4$ Euclidean dimensions were first calculated systematically by Ambjørn and Wolfram [6]. Previous studies have analyzed cosmological aspects of the vacuum, such as the cosmological constant as a manifestation of the Casimir energy during the primordial cosmic inflation [7]. The Casimir energy has been investigated in the context of string theories [8], and even in Randall Sundrum models, as means of stabilizing the radion [9]. The dynamical Casimir effect has also been discussed in warped braneworlds [10]. The Casimir force for parallel plate geometry has been calculated in UED [11, 12, 13] and in various other frameworks [14].

The presence of the extra dimensions modifies the dispersion relation $\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} = k_{4D}^2 + \Delta k_{2D}^2$ where $k_{4D}$ is the usual 4 dimensional wave-vector and $\Delta k_{2D}$ would depend on specifics of the model used. By applying strict experimental constraints to the resulting energy density or force, one can restrict the size or curvature of the new space dimensions. In a previous work [15], two of us analyzed the effects of one extra dimension on the Casimir force and energy in 5D dimensional warped space time of models RSI and RSII. In this work we extend our 5D calculation to Randall-Sundrum models extended by one, and then an arbitrary number of extra compact dimensions. Such higher dimensional scenarios are interesting because they allow for localization of gauge and matter fields. For the extra spatial dimensions a common choice is to work in polar coordinates $(R_\theta, \theta)$, where $0 \leq R_\theta < \infty$ and $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. We expand and generalize the calculation of the Casimir force in the Randall-Sundrum model with two branes (RSI) and and one brane (RSII) between two parallel plates with arbitrary numbers of compact dimensions. We use as before the summation of the modes method. As far as we know, there are no alternative investigations of the qD RSI model in the literature. For the one-brane case (RSII) there exists an alternative evaluation using the Green function formalism [17]. We compare our results with theirs and comment on any discrepancies.
Our paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce some general features of the model in 6 dimensions and derive the dispersion relation. In section III we present our analysis of the Casimir force in RS models. We start with the 6 dimensional model (one extra compact dimension) and then generalize to an arbitrary number. We do the same for the RSII model in section IV. The numerical and graphical analysis of our results is included in section V, where we try to restrict the size and number of extra dimensions. We compare our results with others, summarize and conclude in section VI.

II. RANDALL SUNDRUM MODEL WITH EXTRA COMPACT DIMENSIONS

The metric of 5D space-time Randall-Sundrum (RS) model with 4D Poincaré invariance is

$$ds^2_{5D} = e^{-2k|y|} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - dy^2, \quad (1)$$

where $k^{-1}$ is the radius of 5D Anti-de Sitter $AdS_5$ space, $y$ is the spatial orbifolded extra dimension and $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the usual 4D metric with the convention diag $(+,-,-,-)$. The generalization to more than one extra spatial dimension can also be done, and as mentioned earlier, these dimensions must be compact. Localization of graviton on the brane motivates us to consider additional dimensions both compact and warped $[18]$. The metric in generalized $(3+q+2)$ dimensions (where $3+1$ are the usual space-time dimensions, there is one extra $y$ spatial dimension, and the extra $q$ are compact and warped dimensions) is $[16]$

$$ds^2_{5D+n} = e^{-2k|y|} \left( \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - \sum_{j=1}^q R_j^2 \theta_j^2 \right) - dy^2, \quad (2)$$

where $y$ is non-compact and $\theta_j$'s are compact extra coordinates running from 0 to $2\pi R_j$. This metric can be a solution to Einstein equations in $(5+q)$ dimensions with negative bulk cosmological constant $[6, 16]$. In the 6D case, (2) for $n=1$ becomes

$$ds^2_{6D} = e^{-2k|y|} \left( \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - R^2 \theta^2 \right) - dy^2, \quad (3)$$

where we simply use $R$ and $\theta$ for the extra compact warped dimension. Here the 6D metric $g_{MN}$ is

$$ds^2_{6D} = g_{MN} dx^M dx^N, \quad (4)$$

such that $g_{MN} = e^{-2k|y|} \text{diag}(\eta_{\mu\nu}, -1, -e^{-2k|y|})^2$. The Greek indices $\mu, \nu$ run over 0 to 3 while Roman indices $M, N$ run over 0 to 5.

As in our 5D calculation $[15]$, we use the scalar field analogy to represent the photon in 6D. The field equation for a scalar field $\phi$ in 6D with mass $m_6$ can be obtained from the Lagrange density $\mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_M \phi)$,

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_M \phi) = \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{MN} \partial_M \phi \partial_N \phi - \frac{1}{2} m_6^2 \phi^2 \right), \quad (5)$$

where $g$ is the determinant of the metric $g_{MN}$ which is $y$-dependent. (This has discussed previously in $[19]$ and we include it here for completeness.) The field equation for $\phi$ becomes

$$\left( e^{2k|y|} \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu - R^{-2} e^{2k|y|} \partial_y^2 - \sqrt{-g} \left( \sqrt{-g} \partial_y \right) + m_6^2 \right) \phi = 0. \quad (6)$$

By using the separation of variables for $\phi(x^\mu, \theta, y) = X(x^\mu) Y(y) \Theta(\theta)$, one gets for $y > 0$

$$\frac{d^2 Y(y)}{dy^2} - 5k \frac{dY(y)}{dy} + m^2 e^{2k|y|} Y(y) = m_6^2 Y(y) = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{d^2 X(x)}{dx^\mu dx^\nu} + m^2_{eff} X(x) = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\Theta_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} e^{in\theta}, n = 0, 1, 2,... \quad (9)$$

Note that $g^{MN} = e^{2k|y|} \text{diag}(\eta^{\mu\nu}, -1, -e^{-2k|y|})$. 

where \( m_{eff}^2 \equiv m^2 + n^2/R^2 \) is the effective mass in 4D. Since we use the scalar field-photon analogy, we consider the massless scalar field\(^3\).

Equation (2.7) can be solved directly using the master formula for the equation of the form [20]:

\[
y'' + ay' + (be^{\lambda x} + c)y = 0. \tag{10}
\]

The solution for (2.7) with \( m_\ell \neq 0 \), with an appropriate choice of parameters, becomes:

\[
Y = e^{\nu \pi y/2}[C_1 J_\nu \left( \frac{1}{k} m e^{\nu y} \right) + C_2 Y_\nu \left( \frac{1}{k} m e^{\nu y} \right)] \tag{11}
\]

where \( J_\nu(z) \) and \( Y_\nu(z) \) are the Bessel functions of the first and second kind and \( \nu = \frac{1}{2k} \sqrt{25k^2 + 4m_\ell^2} \). For the massless case \( \nu = 5/2 \).

We apply the Neumann boundary conditions \( \partial_y Y(0) = 0 = \partial_y Y(\pi R_0) \), to obtain the masses \( m \) as solutions to the transcendental equation

\[
J_{3/2} \left( \frac{m}{k} \right) Y_{3/2} \left( \frac{m}{k} e^{\nu \pi y R_0} \right) - Y_{3/2} \left( \frac{m}{k} \right) J_{3/2} \left( \frac{m}{k} e^{\nu \pi y R_0} \right) = 0 \tag{12}
\]

Expressing the Bessel functions \( J_{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(x) \) in terms of elementary functions we get, in the limit \( \kappa, m > 0 \) and \( \pi k R_0 \gg 1 \)

\[
\tan \left( \frac{(e^{\nu \pi R_0} - 1)m}{k} \right) = \frac{(e^{\nu \pi R_0} - 1)km}{(k^2 + m^2 e^{\nu \pi R_0})} \tag{13}
\]

This is the exact solution. Following the same technique as in the 5D case, for \( \pi k R_0 \gg 1 \) we can neglect \( Y_{3/2} \) for large arguments and reduce equation [12] to

\[
J_{3/2} \left( \frac{m}{k} e^{\nu \pi R_0} \right) = 0. \tag{14}
\]

The asymptotic form of \( J_\nu(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x^2}} \cos(x - \frac{\nu \pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}) \) is valid for any \( \nu \) as long as \( x \gg |\nu^2 - 1/4| \) is satisfied. Then, the basic idea is to set the argument of cosine function \( (2N - 1)\pi/2, \, N = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \) so that cosine vanishes. Thus, for arbitrary \( \nu \) we have

\[
x - \frac{\nu \pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} = (2N - 1)\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{15}
\]

and get \( x \) as

\[
x = \left( N + \frac{2\nu - 1}{4} \right) \pi. \tag{16}
\]

This reduces to \( x = (N + 1/4)\pi \) for \( \nu = 1 \) in 5D case. In 6D (or any higher dimensions), we obtain \( \nu = 3/2 \) which instead gives \( x = (N + 1/2)\pi \) for the zeros of (2.14). (See the Numerical Analysis section for further details.) Putting the argument of \( J_{3/2} \) from above and using index \( N \) for \( m \), which is now discrete, we get

\[
m_N = (N + \frac{1}{2})\pi k e^{-\nu \pi R_0}, \quad N = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \tag{17}
\]

This is the form of \( m \) which should enter the energy density equation. Note that \( m_N = 0 \) for \( N = 0 \), which will be important later. Also, for the 5D case \( m_N = (N + \frac{1}{4})\pi k e^{-\nu \pi R_0}, \quad N = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \) [15].

### III. THE CASIMIR FORCE IN THE RSI MODEL

In RSI, there are two branes, localized at \( y = 0 \) and \( y = L \), with \( Z_2 \) symmetry \( y \leftrightarrow -y, \, L + y \leftrightarrow L - y \). The 3-branes have equal opposite tensions. The positive tension brane has a fundamental scale \( M_{RS} \) and is hidden; SM fields are

---

\(^3\) There are some issues with the massive case in 6D for RSII since there are no zero modes. See [19] for details.
located on the negative tension brane, which is visible. The exponential warping factor gives rise to an effective scale on the visible brane located at \( y = L \)

\[
M_{\text{pl}}^2 = \frac{M_{\text{RS}}^3}{k} \left[ 1 - e^{-2kL} \right].
\]  

(18)

A mechanism is needed to recover 4-dimensional General Relativity at low-energy, and this corresponds to introducing an extra degree of freedom known as the radion. A suitable choice of \( L \) (often taken to be related to the compactified radius, \( L = \pi R_0 \)) and \( k \) allows the Kaluza-Klein (KK) spectrum to be discrete, and the lowest masses to be of \( \mathcal{O}(\text{TeV}) \), which predicts different collider signatures at low energies from those of large extra dimensions. As consistency with the low energy theory requires \( M_{\text{pl}} \sim M_{\text{RS}} \sim k \), there are no additional hierarchies in this model. The scale of the 4-dimensional physical phenomena space transverse to the 5th dimension is then specified by the warp factor:

\[
\Lambda = M_{\text{pl}} e^{-k\pi R_0},
\]

(18)

A. The 6D RSI Case

The effective dispersion relation in 4D can be obtained from (8) in \( k \)-space. It becomes

\[
\left( (ik_\mu)(ik^\nu) + m_{\text{eff}}^2 \right) X(k) = 0,
\]

(19)

where \( k_\mu = (w/c, k) \). Hence, the following dispersion relation is obtained

\[
w(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + m_{\text{eff}}^2},
\]

(20)

If we further assume two-plates configuration separated by a distance \( a \) and apply Dirichlet boundary conditions along \( z \)-axis, we obtain the Casimir energy density per unit plate

\[
\mathcal{E}_{6D} = V_{\text{orb}} \frac{\hbar}{2} \int \frac{d^2 k_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \left( pc \sum_{n,l=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k_\perp^2 + \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + m_N^2} \right),
\]

(21)

where \( V_{\text{orb}} \) is the volume of the orbifold and \( p \) is the polarization factor in 6D. This is our starting point in calculating the Casimir force.

After finding the discrete \( m \) values corresponding to the RSI model with the above approximation, we can write the total frequency as

\[
w = p \sum_{l,n,N=0}^{\infty'} c \sqrt{k_\perp^2 + \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + m_N^2},
\]

(22)

where prime indicates that \( l = n = N = 0 \) is excluded and \( m_N \) is given as

\[
m_N = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } N = 0 \\
\kappa (N + \frac{1}{2}) & \text{if } N = 1, 2, \ldots
\end{cases}
\]

with \( \kappa \equiv \pi k e^{-\pi k R_0} \). Note that the radius \( R \) of the sixth dimension is in general different than \( R_0 \) of the fifth dimension.

Rewriting the triple sum and rearranging the single and double sums to start with 0, we obtain

\[
w_{l,n,N} = pc \sum_{l,n,N=0}^{\infty'} \sqrt{k_\perp^2 + \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + m_N^2}
\]

\[
= pc \sum_{l,n=0}^{\infty'} \sqrt{k_\perp^2 + \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2}} + pc \sum_{l,n,N=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k_\perp^2 + \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + \frac{\kappa^2}{4}.
\]

(23)
The Casimir energy density per unit plate is given by

\[
\mathcal{E}_{6D} = V_{orbj} \frac{\hbar}{2} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left( pc \sum_{n,l=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k_n^2 + \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + m_N^2} \right). \tag{24}
\]

We obtain

\[
\mathcal{E}_{6D}^I = V_{orbj} \frac{pc\Gamma(-\frac{3}{2})}{8\pi\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})} \left( \sum_{l,n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2} \right)^{\frac{5}{2}} + \sum_{l,n,N=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right)^{\frac{5}{2}} - \sum_{l,n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2 \right)^{\frac{5}{2}} \right).
\]

In order to evaluate this expression we introduce the Epstein Zeta Function \( Z_N(a_1, \ldots, a_N; s) \) \cite{21}:

\[
Z_N(a_1, \ldots, a_N; s) = \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_N = -\infty}^{\infty} (a_1 n_1^2 + \ldots + a_N n_N^2)^{-\frac{s}{2}} \tag{25}
\]

and the inhomogeneous Epstein Hurwitz Function \( E_N^\epsilon(s; a_1, \ldots, a_N; c_1, \ldots, c_N) \) \cite{21}:

\[
E_N^\epsilon(s; a_1, \ldots, a_N; c_1, \ldots, c_N) = \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_N = 0}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j (n_j + c_j)^2 + c \right]^{-s}. \tag{26}
\]

We can write the energy density between the plates in a compact form as

\[
\mathcal{E}_{6D}^I = V_{orbj} \frac{pc\Gamma(-\frac{3}{2})}{8\pi\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})} \left\{ \sum_{l,n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2} \right)^{\frac{5}{2}} + E_3(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{\pi^2}{a^2}, \frac{1}{R^2}, \kappa^2; 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}) - E_2^\epsilon(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{\pi^2}{a^2}, \frac{1}{R^2}; 0, 0) \right\}
\]

\[
= V_{orbj} \frac{pc\Gamma(-\frac{3}{2})}{8\pi\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} Z_2 \left( \frac{1}{R^2}, \frac{\pi}{a}; -3 \right) + \frac{1}{4} Z_1 \left( \frac{\pi}{a}; -3 \right) + \frac{1}{4} Z_1 \left( \frac{1}{R^2}; -3 \right) + E_3(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{\pi^2}{a^2}, \frac{1}{R^2}, \kappa^2; 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}) - E_2^\epsilon(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{\pi^2}{a^2}, \frac{1}{R^2}; 0, 0) \right\} \tag{27}
\]

where the last form is obtained computing the explicit analytic expansion for the sums. Using the reflection formula for the Epstein Zeta function \cite{4}

\[
Z_p(a_1, \ldots, a_p; s) = a_1^{-1} \cdots a_{p-1}^{-1} \frac{\pi^s}{\pi^{p/2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p-s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2})} Z_p(\frac{1}{a_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{a_p}; p-s), \tag{28}
\]

we may write the first double sum on the right side

\[
\frac{1}{4} \left[ Z_2 \left( \frac{1}{R^2}, \frac{\pi}{a}; -3 \right) + Z_1 \left( \frac{1}{R^2}; -3 \right) + Z_1 \left( \frac{\pi}{a}; -3 \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{3 Ra}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} Z_2 \left( \frac{1}{R^2}, \frac{\pi}{a}; 5 \right) + \frac{1}{R^2} \zeta(4) + \frac{\pi^3}{a^2 \zeta(4)}
\]

where in the last term we introduced the Riemann Zeta Function \cite{21}: \( \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \). The triple sum \( E_3(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{\pi^2}{a^2}, \frac{1}{R^2}, \kappa^2; 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}) \) is evaluated using \cite{21}

\[
E_3(s; a_1, a_2, a_3; c_1, c_2, c_3) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} a_1^m c_1 m \zeta_H(-2m, c_1) \Gamma(s + m) E_2(s + m; a_2, a_3; c_2, c_3) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a_1}} \frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s)} E_2(s - \frac{1}{2}; a_2, a_3; c_2, c_3) + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a_1}} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2, n_3=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dt t^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \exp[-\frac{\pi^2 a_1^2}{a_1 t} - t \sum_{j=2}^{3} a_j (n_j + c_j)^2].
\]
to obtain

\[
E_3(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{\pi^2}{a^2}, \frac{1}{R^2}; \kappa^2; 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}) = \zeta_H(0, 0) E_2(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{R^2}; \kappa^2; 0, \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{a}{2} \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{3}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}) \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})} E_2(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{R^2}; \kappa^2; 0, \frac{1}{2})
\]

\[
+ \frac{2}{a \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(-\frac{3}{2})} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ell^{-2} \left( \frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2}) \right) K_2 \left( 2a\ell \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})} \right).
\]

The double sum \( E_{3/2}^{\kappa^2/4} \left( -\frac{3}{2}, \frac{\pi^2}{a^2}, \frac{1}{R^2}; 0, 0 \right) \) can be regarded as a special case of the inhomogeneous Epstein-Hurwitz Zeta function and its expansion [21]

\[
E_{3/2}^{\kappa^2/4} \left( -\frac{3}{2}, \frac{\pi^2}{a^2}, \frac{1}{R^2}; 0, 0 \right) = \frac{a^{-s}}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \Gamma(s + m)}{m!} \left( \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right)^m \zeta_H(-2m, c_1) E_{1/2}^{\kappa^2/4} (s + m; 1; c_2)
\]

\[
+ \frac{a_1^{1/2-s}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a_1}} \Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2}) \left( \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(s)} \right) \zeta_{2/2}^{\kappa^2/4} (s - 1/2; 1; c_2)
\]

\[
+ \frac{2\pi^s}{\Gamma(s)} \cos(2\pi c_1) a_1^{s/2-1/4} \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} n_1^{s-1/2} [a_2(n_2 + c_2)^2 + c]^{-s/2+1/4}
\]

\[
\times \ K_{s-1/2} \left( \frac{2\pi n_1}{\sqrt{a_2}} \sqrt{n_2 + c_2^2 + c} \right), \tag{29}
\]

where \( K_{s}(x) \) is the modified Bessel function \( K_{s}(x) = \frac{x}{2} \left( \frac{s}{\sin \nu \pi} \right) \right)^{2s+\nu} \). The sum is finally obtained as

\[
E_{3/2}^{\kappa^2/4} \left( -\frac{3}{2}, \frac{\pi^2}{a^2}, \frac{1}{R^2}; 0, 0 \right) = \zeta_H(0, 0) E_{1/2}^{\kappa^2/4} (-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{R^2}; 0) + \frac{a}{2} \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}) E_{1/2}^{\kappa^2/4} (-2, \frac{1}{R^2}; 0)
\]

\[
+ \frac{2}{a \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(-\frac{3}{2})} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ell^{-2} \left( \frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2}) \right) K_2 \left( 2a\ell \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})} \right). \tag{30}
\]

Combining the three terms given above, we obtain, for the Casimir energy between the plates:

\[
E_{6D} = V_{orb} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{3}{8\pi^3} \zeta_{2/2}(R, \frac{a}{\pi}; 5) + \frac{\pi^3}{a^3} \zeta(4) \right]
\]

\[
+ \zeta_H(0, 0) E_2(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{R^2}, \kappa^2; 0, \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{a}{2} \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}) E_2(-2; \frac{1}{R^2}, \kappa^2; 0, \frac{1}{2})
\]

\[
+ \frac{2}{a \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(-\frac{3}{2})} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ell^{-2} \left( \frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2}) \right) K_2 \left( 2a\ell \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})} \right). \tag{31}
\]

Before proceeding further the energy without plates must be subtracted. Let’s call the energy density without the plates \( \epsilon_{np} \). The renormalized total energy shift can be given as

\[
E_{6D}^{\text{ren}} = E_{6D} - \epsilon_{np} \cdot A.
\]

where \( A \) is the area of one plate. The energy density without plates \( \epsilon_{np} \) is given by

\[
\epsilon_{np} = V_{orb} \frac{\hbar}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \frac{pc}{\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sqrt{n^2 + m^2}} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{V_{orb} \hbar}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \frac{pc}{\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sqrt{n^2 + m^2}} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{V_{orb} \hbar}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \frac{pc}{\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sqrt{n^2 + m^2}} \right)
\]
This yields, in terms of the previously defined functions
\[
\epsilon_{np}^{6D} = -\frac{V_{orb} \hbar c}{16} \left[ \frac{3}{4 \pi^2} \zeta(5) + \frac{\Gamma(-2)}{\pi^2 \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})} E_2(-2; \frac{1}{R^2}, \kappa^2; 0, \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{\Gamma(-2)}{\pi^2 \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})} E_1^2(-2; \frac{1}{R^2}, 0) \right].
\]

Then the total energy in the 6D RSI is
\[
E_{6D}^{\text{ren}} = A V_{orb} \frac{p c h}{16 \pi^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2 \pi^2} \left[ \frac{3}{8 \pi^2} Z_2(R, a; \frac{a}{\pi}; 5) - \frac{15}{8 \pi^3} \sum_{n,N}^\infty N^2 \left( n^2 R^2 + \kappa^2 (N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right) \right] \right. \\
+ \frac{2}{a^2 \pi^2} \sum_{\ell=1, n=0}^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty \ell^{-2} \left( n^2 R^2 + \kappa^2 (N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right) K_2 \left( 2a\ell \sqrt{n^2 R^2 + \kappa^2 (N + \frac{1}{2})^2} \right) \\
- \frac{2}{a^2 \pi^2} \sum_{\ell=1, n=0}^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty \ell^{-2} \left( n^2 R^2 + \kappa^2 (N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right) K_2 \left( 2a\ell \sqrt{n^2 R^2 + \kappa^2 (N + \frac{1}{2})^2} \right) \\
+ \frac{4aV_{orb} \hbar c}{2 \pi^2} \frac{3}{4 \pi^2} \zeta(5) + (\text{terms independent of } a). 
\]

We note that this expression contains no divergent terms and is finite, as expected, because the divergent terms in \( \epsilon_{np}^{6D} \) cancel exactly the ones in \( E_{6D}^{\text{ren}} \). The Casimir force is given by the derivative of the Casimir energy \( E_{6D}^{\text{ren}} \) with respect to the plate separation \( a \):
\[
F_{6D}^{I} = -\frac{\partial E_{6D}^{\text{ren}}}{\partial a}. 
\]
B. The qD RSI case

We continue by generalizing this expression to q extra compact dimensions. We proceed as before. We calculate first the energy density between the plates, then the energy density without the plates. We use this to evaluate the energy shift and then compute the force.

The energy density between the plates for q compact dimensions (qD) is

\[
w_{qD} = pc \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( k_+^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \ell^2}{a^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + m_N^2 \right) \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( k_+^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \ell^2}{a^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + m_N^2 \right) - pc \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( k_+^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \ell^2}{a^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + \kappa^2 + 1 \right)
\]

which give the energy density as

\[
\mathbb{E}_{qD}^I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( k_+^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \ell^2}{a^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + m_N^2 \right) \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( k_+^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \ell^2}{a^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + m_N^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( k_+^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \ell^2}{a^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + \kappa^2 + 1 \right)
\]

(38)

We obtain, after expressing everything in terms of Epstein Zeta and Epstein Hurwitz functions:

\[
\mathbb{E}_{qD}^I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( k_+^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \ell^2}{a^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + m_N^2 \right) \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( k_+^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \ell^2}{a^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + m_N^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( k_+^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \ell^2}{a^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + \kappa^2 + 1 \right)
\]

(39)

where \( \sum_q \) indicates the sum over a permutation of the set \( q \)-terms of \( \{ \frac{1}{R_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{R_q} \} \), \( \sum_{q-1} \) indicates the sum over
a permutation of \( (q - 1) \)-terms of \( \{ \frac{a}{n_1}, \frac{1}{n_2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n_q} \} \), and so on. Note that \( \sum_{1}^{q} Z_{1} \) is the sum over a permutation of one term of \( \{ \frac{a}{n_1}, \frac{1}{n_2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n_q} \} \).

The energy density without the plate \( \epsilon_{np}^{D} \) is given by

\[
\epsilon_{np}^{D} = V_{orb} \frac{\hbar}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \pi \prod_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + V_{orb} \frac{\hbar}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \pi \prod_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + \kappa^2 \right] \right]
\]

which gives:

\[
\epsilon_{np}^{D} = V_{orb} \frac{p c h \Gamma(-2)}{2^{q+3} \pi^4} \left( Z_q(\{ \frac{1}{R_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{R_q} \}; -4) + \sum_{q-1}^{1} Z_{q-1}(\{ \frac{1}{R_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{R_q} \}; -4) \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{q} \frac{\Gamma(k+3)}{\pi^k} \left( \sum_{(k)} \{ a \}_{R_1, \ldots, R_q} \{ k \}_{(k)} Z_k(\{ \frac{a}{n_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n_q} \}; k + 3) \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{2 a \ell}{4 a \pi^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_q}^{\infty} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{n_i^2}{R_i^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right) K_2 \left( 2 a \ell \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{n_i^2}{R_i^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right)
\]

\[
+ \text{terms independent of } a
\]

As before, the divergent terms in the vacuum energy without plates exactly cancel the divergent terms in the energy density between the plates. This yields, for the energy density, in a compact form

\[
E_{qD}^{(\text{ren})} = V_{qD} A - \epsilon_{np}^{D} A a.
\]

The renormalized energy shift is then given by

\[
E_{qD}^{(\text{ren})} = E_{qD}^{(\text{ren})} A - \epsilon_{np}^{D} A a.
\]

where \( \{ \frac{a}{n_1}, R_1, \ldots, R_q \}_{(k)} \) represents all possible sets with the \( k \) element chosen in each case. So, \( \{ \frac{a}{n_1}, R_1, \ldots, R_q \}_{(0)} \) is an empty set. In the formula above we highlighted the finiteness of the energy density by using the reflection formula, see [6] and [28], allowing us to write:

\[
Z_q(\frac{1}{R_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{R_q}; -4) = \frac{\Gamma(q+4)}{\pi^{q+4}} \Gamma(-2) \prod_{\ell=1}^{q} R_\ell Z_q(R_1, \ldots, R_q; q + 4)
\]

\[
\sum_{q-1}^{1} Z_{q-1}(\frac{1}{R_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{R_q}; -4) = \frac{\Gamma(q+3)}{\pi^{q+3}} \Gamma(-2) \sum_{q-1}^{1} \{ R_1, \ldots, R_q \} Z_{q-1}(\{ R_1, \ldots, R_q \}; q + 3).
\]

For calculating the force, \( \frac{E_{qD}^{(\text{ren})}}{A} = -\frac{\partial(E_{qD}^{(\text{ren})}/A)}{\partial a} \), note that using

\[
Z_{q+1}(\frac{a}{n_1}, R_1, \ldots, R_q; q + 4) = \sum_{\ell, n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_q = -\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a^2 \ell^2}{n_1^2} + R_1^2 n_1^2 + \ldots + R_q^2 n_q^2 \right)^{-q+4}
\]
we obtain
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial a} Z_{q+1}(\frac{a}{\pi}, R_1, \ldots, R_q; q+4) = -\frac{(q+4)a}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell, n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_q = -\infty}^{\infty} \ell^2 \left( \frac{a^2 \ell^2}{\pi^2} + R_1^2 n_1^2 + \ldots + R_q^2 n_q^2 \right)^{-\frac{q+6}{2}}.
\]

Further, for \( \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \sum_{q} \left( \frac{a}{\pi}, R_1, \ldots, R_q \right) Z_q(\frac{a}{\pi}, R_1, \ldots, R_q; q+3) \), we note that only one term that will be independent of \( a \). Thus, we may write it as
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \sum_{q} \left( \frac{a}{\pi}, R_1, \ldots, R_q \right) Z_q(\frac{a}{\pi}, R_1, \ldots, R_q; q+3) = \sum_{q-1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_1, \ldots, R_q}{\pi^{q+3}} \right) \sum_{\ell, n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_q = -\infty}^{\infty} \ell^2 \left( \frac{a^2 \ell^2}{\pi^2} + \frac{R_1^2 n_1^2 + \ldots + R_q^2 n_q^2}{\pi^2} \right)^{-\frac{q+5}{2}}.
\]

Then we obtain the general expression for the force in qD RSI, in compact form
\[
\frac{F_{qD}}{A} = \frac{V_{orb \ pch}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \frac{1}{2^{q+3} \pi^5} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{q+1} \Gamma(k+3) \sum_{(k-1)}^{\infty} R_1, \ldots, R_q \right) \left( Z_k(\frac{a}{\pi}, R_1, \ldots, R_q; k+3) - Z_{k-1}(\{R_1, \ldots, R_q\}, k+3) \right) \right]
- \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell = \infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_q = 0}^{\infty} \frac{3}{2 \alpha^2 \ell^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{n_i^2}{R_i^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right) K_2 \left( 2\alpha R \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{n_i^2}{R_i^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2 \alpha R} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{n_i^2}{R_i^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right) K_1 \left( 2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{n_i^2}{R_i^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right). \tag{45}
\]

In differentiation we always assume that, other than \( \frac{a}{\pi} \) term, there is always at least one term chosen from the rest, \( \{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_q\} \). We include the term with \( k = 1 \) in the sum with the convention that \( \{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_q\}_{(0)} = 1 \) and \( Z_0 = 0 \).

As a check on our result, we verify for the case \( q = 1 \) it reproduces the 6D force found in the previous section. We have, for \( q = 1 \)
\[
\frac{F_{6D}}{A} = \frac{V_{orb \ pch}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \frac{1}{2^{q+3} \pi^5} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{q+1} \Gamma(k+3) \sum_{(k-1)}^{\infty} R_1, \ldots, R_q \right) \left( Z_k(\frac{a}{\pi}, R; 5) - Z_1(R; 5) - \frac{5a^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell, n = -\infty}^{\infty} \ell^2 \left( \frac{a^2 \ell^2}{\pi^2} + n^2 R^2 \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right]
- \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell, N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3}{2 \alpha^2 \ell^2} \left( \frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right) K_2 \left( 2\alpha R \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2 \alpha R} \left( \frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right) K_1 \left( 2\alpha R \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2(N + \frac{1}{2})^2} \right). \tag{46}
\]

Observing that \( Z_1(\frac{a}{\pi}; 4) = -\frac{6\pi^3}{a^4} \zeta(4) \), the expression (46) is identical to (40). Thus the qD result coincides with the 6D in the appropriate limit.

IV. THE CASIMIR FORCE IN RSII MODELS

In the Randall Sundrum model II (RSII), there is only one positive tension brane. It may be thought of as a limiting case of the previous model, where one brane is located at infinity \((R_0 \to \infty)\). If there is a warped extra dimension,
large scales at the Planck brane are shifted at the TeV brane and the relationship between energy scales is given by

$$M_{P1}^2 = \frac{M_{RS}^3}{k}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

Limits on Newton’s law set lower bounds on the brane tension and the fundamental scale of RSII \cite{22}. It has been shown also \cite{23} that massless bulk scalars in the Randall Sundrum background (2.1) have similar properties as gravitons: there exists a localized zero mode and a Kaluza-Klein continuum of arbitrarily light states weakly interacting with matter residing on the brane. The spectrum of RSII is a continuous spectrum of \(m > 0\) KK modes, and there are no \(O(\text{TeV})\) signatures for this model at the colliders. The infinite dimension makes a finite contribution to the 5-dimensional volume because of the warp factor, and the effective size of the extra dimension probed is \(1/k\).

A. The 6D RSII case

We use the same procedure to evaluate the force in the RSII model as in the 5D case. The difference here is that the spectrum is continuous in \(m\) and consists of all \(m \geq 0\). The extra dimensional summation in \(N\) becomes an integral with measure \(dm/k\). We note that in RSII in addition to the continuous mode, there is the zero (discrete) mode which needs to be handled separately. The total energy density is the sum of zero and continuous modes:

$$E^{II}_{6D,\text{tot}} = E^{II}_{6D(0)} + E^{II}_{6D}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

where

$$E^{II}_{6D(0)} = \frac{cp\hbar}{2} \int \frac{d^2k_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \sum_ {l,n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k_\perp^2 + \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2}} \right)$$

$$E^{II}_{6D} = \frac{cp\hbar}{2} \int \frac{dm}{k} \int \frac{d^2k_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \sum_ {l,n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k_\perp^2 + \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + m^2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)

where the first expression is the discrete zero-mode and the second is the continuous \(m > 0\) mode. We deal with the zero-mode first. \(E^{II}_{6D(0)}\) is already calculated in RSI. It can be written as

$$E^{II}_{6D(0)} = \frac{pc\hbar\Gamma(-\frac{3}{2})}{8\pi\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})} \left( \frac{1}{4} Z_2(\frac{1}{R}, \frac{\pi}{a}; 3) + \frac{1}{4} Z_1(\frac{\pi}{a}; 3) + \frac{1}{4} Z_1(\frac{1}{R}; -3) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (50)

We re-write the zero mode energy density by using the reflection formula \cite{23} to give

$$E^{II}_{6D(0)} = -\frac{pc\hbar}{64\pi^3} \left( \frac{3}{4} \frac{R a}{\pi} Z_2(R, a; 5) + \frac{2}{R^3} (1 + \frac{\pi^3 R^3}{a^3}) \zeta(4) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

We calculate the no-plate form of the zero-mode energy as well. The expression is the same as the zero-mass term in the no-plate energy density in the 6D RSI model:

$$\mathcal{E}^{6D(0)}_{\text{np}} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{pc\hbar}{16\pi^2 R^4} \frac{3}{4} \frac{2}{\pi} \zeta(5).$$  \hspace{1cm} (52)

The energy shift for the zero mode becomes (including the area \(A\) and volume \((aA)\) factors for the energy)

$$\frac{E^{II}_{6D(0)}(\text{ren})}{A} = -\frac{pc\hbar}{64\pi^3} \left( \frac{3}{4} \frac{R a}{\pi} Z_2(R, a; 5) + \frac{2}{R^3} (1 + \frac{\pi^3 R^3}{a^3}) \zeta(4) - \frac{3}{4} \frac{2 a}{\pi R^4} \zeta(5) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (53)

yielding the force for the zero mode component

$$\frac{F^{II}_{6D(0)}}{A} = \frac{pc\hbar}{64\pi^3} \left( \frac{3}{4} \frac{R a}{\pi} Z_2(R, a; 5) - \frac{15}{4} \frac{R a^2}{\pi^3} \sum_{n_1, n_2 = -\infty}^{\infty} n_1^2 \left( \frac{a^2 n_1^2}{\pi^2} + \frac{R^2 n_2^2}{\pi^2} \right)^{-7/2} - \frac{6}{4} \frac{\pi^3}{R^4} \zeta(4) - \frac{3}{4} \frac{2 a}{\pi R^4} \zeta(5) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (54)
The continuos mode energy density (4.3) can further be written as

\[ \mathbb{E}^{II}_{6D} = \frac{cph}{2} \int \frac{dm}{k} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \sum_{l,n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + m^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + m^2} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + m^2} \right) \]

yielding, for the energy between the plates,

\[ \mathbb{E}^{II}_{6D} = \frac{cph}{64} \frac{\Gamma(-2)}{k\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})} \left[ \frac{2aR}{\pi^4} \Gamma(-2) Z_2\left(\frac{a}{\pi}, R; 6\right) + \left( \frac{1}{R^4} + \frac{\pi^4}{a^4} \right) \frac{3}{2\pi^4 \Gamma(-2) \zeta(5)} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{cph}{32} \frac{1}{k\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})} \left[ \frac{aR}{\pi^4} Z_2\left(\frac{a}{\pi}, R; 6\right) + \frac{3}{4\pi^4} \left( \frac{1}{R^4} + \frac{\pi^4}{a^4} \right) \zeta(5) \right]. \tag{55} \]

The energy without the plate in RSII models is simply, for continuous modes,

\[ e^{II}_6 = \frac{cph}{2} \int \frac{dm}{k} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + m^2} \right) \]

\[ = - \frac{cph}{64\pi^2 R^4 k(2\sqrt{\pi})^\frac{1}{4}} 2\zeta(6) - \frac{cph}{32\pi^3 R^5 k} \zeta(6). \tag{56} \]

We now compute the force in Casimir 6D including the zero mode contribution as

\[ \frac{\mathcal{F}^{II}_{6D}}{A} = \frac{\mathcal{F}^{II}_{6D(0)}}{A} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}^{II}_{6D(ren)}}{\partial a} = \frac{\mathcal{F}^{II}_{6D(0)}}{A} - \frac{\partial (\mathcal{E}^{II}_{6D(0)} - A e^{II}_{nD})}{\partial a} \]

\[ = \frac{\mathcal{F}^{II}_{6D(0)}}{A} + \frac{cph}{64} \frac{1}{k\pi} \left[ \frac{R}{\pi^4} Z_2\left(\frac{a}{\pi}, R; 6\right) - \frac{6a^2 R}{\pi^3} \sum_{n_1,n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} n_1^2 \left( \frac{a^2 n_1^2}{\pi^2} + R^2 n_2^2 \right)^{-\frac{7}{2}} - \frac{3}{a^3} \zeta(5) - \frac{2}{\pi^6 R^4} \zeta(6) \right]. \tag{57} \]

This is our final expression for the 6D force in RSII models, where

\[ \frac{\mathcal{F}^{II}_{6D(0)}}{A} = \frac{pc\hbar}{64\pi^5} \left[ \frac{3}{4\pi} R Z_2\left(\frac{a}{\pi}, R; 5\right) - \frac{15 R a^2}{4 \pi^3} \sum_{n_1,n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} n_1^2 \left( \frac{a^2 n_1^2}{\pi^2} + R^2 n_2^2 \right)^{-7/2} - \frac{6\pi^3}{a^3} \zeta(4) - \frac{3}{4\pi^4} R^4 \zeta(5) \right]. \]

Comparing this expression with the corresponding one in 5D, we have, for \( R \to \infty \)

\[ \frac{\mathcal{F}^{II}_{5D}}{A} = - \frac{cph}{64\pi^5} \left[ \frac{6\pi^3}{a^4} \zeta(4) \right] - \frac{cph}{64} \frac{1}{k\pi} \left[ \frac{3}{a^3} \zeta(5) \right] \]

\[ = \mathcal{F}_{\text{noRS}} \left( \frac{p}{2} + \frac{45p}{2\pi^3 a k} \zeta(5) \right) \tag{58} \]

where in the last expression we used \( \mathcal{F}_{\text{noRS}} = -\frac{6\pi\hbar}{32\pi^2 a^4} \zeta(4) = -\frac{\pi^2\hbar}{240a^4} \) which is exactly what we obtained in (2.20) of [13].

**B. The qD RSII case**

As we did in 6D, we quote the zero mode renormalized energy and the corresponding force from qD RSI results. We can get them from (44) and (45), respectively. It is sufficient to switch off the term with Hurwitz Zeta functions. We have

\[ \frac{\mathcal{E}^{II(ren)}_{qD(0)}}{A} = \frac{pc\hbar}{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})} \frac{1}{2^{q+3}} \sum_{k=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(k+3)}{2^{k+1}} \left[ \sum_{(k)} \left( \frac{a}{\pi} R_1, \ldots, R_q \right) Z_k\left(\left\{ \frac{a}{\pi} R_1, \ldots, R_q \right\}_q \right)(k+3) \right. \]

\[ - \left. \frac{a}{\pi} \sum_{(k-1)} \left( \frac{a}{\pi} R_1, \ldots, R_q \right) Z_{k-1}\left(\left\{ \frac{a}{\pi} R_1, \ldots, R_q \right\}_{k-1} \right)(k+3) \right] \right) + \text{(terms independent of } a) \tag{59} \]
and
\[
\frac{F_{qD(0)}}{A} = \frac{p c \hbar}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{2^{q+4} \pi^5} \sum_{k=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(k+3)}{\pi^2} \sum_{\langle k-1 \rangle} \{ R_1, ..., R_q \}_{\langle k-1 \rangle} \left( Z_k \left( \frac{a}{\pi}, \{ R_1, ..., R_q \}_{\langle k-1 \rangle}; k+3 \right) \right)
- Z_{k-1} \{ R_1, ..., R_q \}_{\langle k-1 \rangle}; k+3 \right) \frac{(k+3)a^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell, \{ n_i \}_{\langle k-1 \rangle} = -\infty} \ell^2 \left( \frac{a^2 \ell^2}{\pi^2} + \{ R_{ni} \}_{\langle k-1 \rangle}^2 \right) ^{-\frac{k+6}{2}}.
\]

Calculation of the energy and the force for the continuous mode gives
\[
E_{qD} \frac{\hbar}{2} = \int \frac{d m}{d k} \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{q} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \left( k^2 + \frac{\pi^2 \ell^2}{\sigma^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + m^2 \right) \right)

F_{qD} \frac{\hbar}{2} = \int \frac{d m}{d k} \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \left( k^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + m^2 \right) \right)
\]

For the energy without the plate in qD for RSII models, we have
\[
\epsilon_{qD}^{np} = \frac{c \hbar}{2} \int \frac{d m}{d k} \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \left( k^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{n_j^2}{R_j^2} + m^2 \right) \right)
\]

which yields
\[
\epsilon_{qD}^{np} = \frac{c \hbar}{2 \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{q} \frac{R_i}{\pi^2} \Gamma(\frac{q+5}{2}) Z_q(R_1, ..., R_q; q+5) \right.
+ \sum_{q=1}^{q-1} \frac{\{ R_1, ..., R_q \}}{\pi^{q+5}} \Gamma(\frac{q+4}{2}) Z_{q-1}(\{ R_1, ..., R_q \}; q+4)
+ \sum_{q=2}^{q-2} \frac{\{ R_1, ..., R_q \}}{\pi^{q+5}} \Gamma(\frac{q+3}{2}) Z_{q-2}(\{ R_1, ..., R_q \}; q+3) + \ldots + 2 \sum_{q=1}^{q} \frac{\{ R_1, ..., R_q \}}{\pi^{q+2}} Z_1(\{ R_1, ..., R_q \}; 6).
\]

Following the same method as used for the force in qD RSI model, we obtain the force
\[
\frac{\partial E_{qD}^{\text{(ren)}}}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial \langle E_{qD}^{\text{RSI}} \rangle}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial (\langle E_{qD}^{\text{RSI}} - A \epsilon_{np}^{\text{qD}} \rangle)}{\partial a}.
\]

Then the general expression for the force in qD RSII models is, in compact form
\[
\frac{F_{qD}}{A} = \frac{F_{qD(0)}}{A} + \frac{p c \hbar}{2 \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{q} \frac{R_i}{\pi^2} \Gamma(\frac{q+5}{2}) Z_q(R_1, ..., R_q; q+5) \right.
- Z_{k-1} \{ R_1, ..., R_q \}_{\langle k-1 \rangle}; k+4 \right) \frac{(k+4)a^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell, \{ n_i \}_{\langle k-1 \rangle} = -\infty} \ell^2 \left( \frac{a^2 \ell^2}{\pi^2} + \{ R_{ni} \}_{\langle k-1 \rangle}^2 \right) ^{-\frac{k+6}{2}}.
\]

where k in the factor is the curvature of the space and the force corresponding to zero mode is (by reproducing the expression from (60))
\[
\frac{F_{qD(0)}}{A} = \frac{p c \hbar}{2 \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{q} \frac{R_i}{\pi^2} \Gamma(\frac{q+5}{2}) Z_q(R_1, ..., R_q; q+5) \right.
- Z_{k-1} \{ R_1, ..., R_q \}_{\langle k-1 \rangle}; k+4 \right) \frac{(k+4)a^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell, \{ n_i \}_{\langle k-1 \rangle} = -\infty} \ell^2 \left( \frac{a^2 \ell^2}{\pi^2} + \{ R_{ni} \}_{\langle k-1 \rangle}^2 \right) ^{-\frac{k+6}{2}}.
\]
As a check on our result, we verify that in the case \( q = 1 \) it reproduces the RSII 6D force found in the previous section. We have, for \( q = 1 \)

\[
\frac{\mathcal{F}_{6D}^{U}}{A} = \frac{pc\hbar}{2\pi \kappa} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(3)}{\pi^3} R \left[ Z_2(a/\pi, R; 6) - Z_1(R; 6) - \frac{6a^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell, n = -\infty}^{\infty} \ell^2 \left( \frac{a^2 \ell^2}{\pi^2} + n^2 R^2 \right)^{-4} \right] \\
+ \frac{\Gamma(5/2)}{\pi^{7/2}} \left\{ Z_1(a/\pi, 5) - \frac{5a^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{\infty} \ell^2 \left( \frac{a^2 \ell^2}{\pi^2} \right)^{-\frac{7}{2}} \right\} \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{pc\hbar}{2\sqrt{2} \pi^2 \pi^{7/2}} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{5}{2})}{\pi^3} R \left[ Z_2(a/\pi, R; 5) - Z_1(R; 5) - \frac{5a^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{\infty} \ell^2 \left( \frac{a^2 \ell^2}{\pi^2} + n^2 R^2 \right)^{-\frac{7}{2}} \right] \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left\{ Z_1(a/\pi; 4) - 4\frac{a^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{\infty} \ell^2 \left( \frac{a^2 \ell^2}{\pi^2} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right\}. \quad (66)
\]

Observing again that \( Z_1(a/\pi, 4) = -\frac{6\pi^3}{a^4} \zeta(4), \quad -\frac{\Gamma(5/2)}{\pi^{7/2}} \left( Z_1(a/\pi; 5) + 5\frac{a^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{\infty} \ell^2 \left( \frac{a^2 \ell^2}{\pi^2} \right)^{-\frac{7}{2}} \right) = -\frac{3}{4} \frac{8\pi^5}{a^5} \zeta(5) \)

as well as \( RZ_1(R; 6) = \frac{2}{R^3} \zeta(6) \), the expression (66) is identical to (57). We have thus shown that the qD expression for the Casimir force reduces, with the appropriate substitutions, to the previously obtained expression in 6D.

V. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

In the previous two sections we presented complete compact expressions for the Casimir force between two parallel planes in Randall Sundrum Models extended by \( q \) compact dimensions. The models thus contain one non-compact extra dimension \( y \), associated with a curvature parameter \( \kappa \) which is determined by the 5D mass, as well as \( q \) compact ones. In the simplest case, the model will contain one compact (\( \theta \)) and one non-compact dimension (the 6D case). We analyze the 6D model first, then comment on an arbitrary number of compact dimensions by comparing 7D with 6D.

Additionally we distinguish between the two Randall Sundrum scenarios. In the (phenomenologically) more popular version of the model there are two branes, localized at \( y = 0 \) and \( y = \pi R_0 \), with opposite tensions, called RSI. In the numerical analysis we concentrate on the RSI model, as RSII does not set any limits on the number or size of extra dimensions. The only approximation we have used in the derivation of the force is the form of \( m_N \). We obtained \( m_N \) as \((N + 1/2)\kappa \) in 6D by taking \((N + 1/2)\pi\) as the zeros of Bessel function \( J_{3/2}(x_0) \). In 5D the relevant Bessel function was \( J_1(x_0) \) and \( m_N \) was approximated as \((N + 1/4)\kappa \) in our previous study [12]. In Fig. 4 we show the difference between the exact zeros of \( J_{1.3/2} \) and our approximation as a functions of \( N \). As seen, the approximation works better as \( N \) increases, but is already good for small \( N \) values as well.

The contribution of the compact dimensions (\( \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots \)) to the force resemble the one in universal extra dimensions (UED), not surprisingly, as they enter into the dispersion relation in the same way. Whereas a full expression for the Casimir force for an arbitrary number of universal extra dimensions does not exist in the literature, our 6D result is the same as in [11] in the limit \( \kappa \to 0 \). We note that our force expression for qD naturally recovers the q-dimensional generalization of 5D UED as \( \kappa \to 0 \).

The difference between the Casimir force in RSI and RSII models rests in the appearance of the Bessel function terms, which are the contribution from the discrete KK spectrum, absent in RSII due to the lack of the second boundary condition. The Casimir force was calculated for RSII model in both 6D and qD case in [10] and in [17], respectively, using the Green’s function method. We find it rather difficult to compare our results to theirs, as they do not present an expression for the force in terms of analytic functions. In the 6D analysis, they obtain the same effective 4D Casimir force as we do \((p/2)F_{\text{noRS}} \) under appropriate limits. Comparing their results in qD to ours, we both have \( \mathcal{F}_{qD}^{U} = F_{\text{noRS}} (1 + \Delta_{RS}) \), but while in our case \( \Delta_{RS} \) is a dimensionless term, as it should be, their term is of the form [\text{const}/\text{Length}], which is clearly problematic.

We now proceed to analyze the implications of the expressions obtained on the size and number of the extra dimensions in RSI model. We first analyze the effect of one extra compact dimension in Fig. 2 as a function of plate separation \( a \). We fix \( \kappa = 10^{19} \) GeV and the radius of \( \theta \) as \( R_\theta = 0.001 \mu\text{m} \) in the left panel and \( R_\theta = 0.5 \mu\text{m} \) in the right panel. In each case, there are curves for various \( kR_\theta \) values. As we can see, all curves with \( kR_\theta \) values less than 21 coincide and are represented as one curve. In this case there is no contribution coming from RS (Bessel terms)
and our results reduce to those in to 5D UED. This feature can also be seen from our analytical expression given in (36). The RS effects are included only in the Bessel function terms and the rest is the same as for 5D UED for the 6D case and for \((q - 1)D\) for the \(qD\) RS case. We analyzed (36) more systematically using an analytical approach. This method is also helpful when estimating what one would expect numerically by adding more extra dimensions.

We first tried to understand why effects appear from RS at around \(kR_y = 21\). We concentrate on the term with

\[\sum_{\ell,N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ell^{-2} \left( \frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2 (N + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right) K_2 \left( 2a\ell \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{R^2} + \kappa^2 (N + \frac{1}{2})^2} \right). \tag{67}\]

The dominant contributions come when the argument of \(K_2\) is small, thus the first few terms of series are dominant. In addition, as \(R_\theta\) gets smaller and smaller, it increases the argument of \(K_2\) (for non-zero \(n\) of course,) and no matter how small \(\kappa\) is, there is no contribution from terms with Bessel functions. Thus, the \(n = 0\) term in the series is dominant. For simplicity, take \(\ell = N = 1\) and call the argument of \(K_2\) as \(x_{n,\ell,N}\). Then, the dominant term is \(x_{0,1,1} = a\kappa\). The limiting form of \(K_\kappa(x)\) is, for \(0 \ll x \ll \sqrt{\kappa + 1}\)

\[K_\kappa(x) \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(\kappa)}{2} \left( \frac{2}{x} \right)^\kappa. \tag{68}\]

The condition on \(x_{0,1,1}\) gives a critical value where the Bessel terms start being sizable. For example, for \(a = 0.5 \mu m\) and \(\kappa = 10^{19}\) GeV, the critical value of \(kR_y\) becomes \((kR_y)_C = 21.0034\). At any value greater than this critical value, \(\kappa\) becomes exponentially smaller and the Bessel terms completely dominate contributions from the other terms. This is exactly the effect seen in Fig. 2. The same analysis for the \(K_1\) term gives 21.068 for the critical value of \(kR_y\). The limiting form of the \(K_1\) and \(K_2\) terms, including the factors in front (but not the sign) becomes \((\kappa/a)^2\) and \(3/a^4\), respectively.

We need to emphasize another feature. Like the Casimir force in 4D, the force in 6D vanishes as the plate separation increases. The \(\zeta(5)\) term in (36) is independent of \(a\) and blows up as \(R_\theta\) decreases. To see that the force in 6D behaves

![FIG. 1: The approximations used for the zeros of the Bessel functions of first kind \(J_1(x)\) and \(J_{3/2}(x)\) for 5D and 6D, respectively.](image-url)
increases. For example, the force in 6D becomes, as \( \mu \gg 1 \), high numerical precision in the calculation.

In the left panel and \( R = 0.5 \mu m \) in the right panel. The shaded region represents the terms other than the Bessel functions. As \( kR/\mu \approx 1 \), the same type of cancellations hold. Numerically, for small \( \theta \) in \( (36) \). We are left with the dependence of the force. Extra dimensions bring an extra suppression factor for the Bessel terms (when \( 1/R \), and \( \kappa \) are comparable) but these effects will be minor for most cases. The force will feel the effect of extra RS (4D) coming from the terms other than the Bessel functions. As \( kR/\mu \) is not affected, in this limit the force is identical to that in UED in q dimensions. There exist some studies in the literature [11] as well as some debate on whether the

FIG. 2: The Casimir force in 6D as a function of plate separation \( a \) for various \( kR/\mu \) values with \( k = 10^{19} \text{ GeV} \) and \( R = 0.001 \mu m \) in the left panel and \( R = 0.5 \mu m \) in the right panel. The shaded region represents the effect of extra dimensions. There exist some studies in the literature [11] as well as some debate on whether the

like \( 1/a^4 \) for very small \( R \) values, consider the Epstein Zeta function \( Z_2(R, a/\pi; 5) \) term in (60). One can expand \( Z_2(R, a/\pi; 5) \) as, defining \( \mu = a/R \),

\[
Z_2(1, \sqrt{d}; s) = 2\zeta(s) + \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{d(s-1)/2} \frac{\Gamma(s-1)}{\Gamma(s/2)} \zeta(s-1) + Q(s, d)
\]

(69)

where

\[
Q(s, d) = \frac{8\pi^{s/2}}{d(s-1)/4\Gamma(s/2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(s-1)/2} \sum_{m=1}^{n} m^{-s} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi \ell n}{m} \right) K_{s-1}(2\pi \sqrt{dn}).
\]

(70)

In our case, \( d = (\mu/\pi)^2 \) and \( s = 5 \). Thus, we have

\[
Z_2(1, \mu/\pi; 5) = 2\zeta(5) + \frac{8\pi^4}{3} \zeta(4) \frac{1}{\mu^4} + Q(5, \mu^2/\pi^2).
\]

(71)

If \( R \) is small for a fixed \( a \), we have \( \mu \gg 1 \) and \( Z_2(1, \mu/\pi; 5) \) goes to \( 2\zeta(5) \) which exactly cancels the other \( \zeta(5) \) term in (60). We are left with the \( \zeta(4) \) term which is very close to the 4D force term. Note that \( Q(s, d) \) also vanishes as \( \mu \) increases. For example, the force in 6D becomes, as \( \mu \gg 1 \)

\[
\frac{F_{6D}}{A} \rightarrow -\frac{\hbar c \pi^2}{240} \frac{1}{\mu^4 R^4} + \frac{3\hbar c \pi}{128\pi^6} \frac{1}{R^4} \left[ \frac{1}{R^4} + 2\mu^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \right] \frac{Q(5, \mu^2/\pi^2)}{R^4}.
\]

(72)

where the second term vanishes in this limit. This analysis can be generalized to the qD case and it can be showed that the same type of cancellations hold. Numerically, for small \( R \) values, we need the precise cancellation of very large numbers which require high numerical precision in the calculation.

As can be seen from the above discussion, adding more compact dimensions would not affect much the \( kR/\mu \) dependence of the force. Extra dimensions bring an extra suppression factor for the Bessel terms (when \( 1/R \), and \( \kappa \) are comparable) but these effects will be minor for most cases. The force will feel the effect of extra RS (4D) coming from the terms other than the Bessel functions. As \( kR/\mu \) is not affected, in this limit the force is identical to that in UED in q dimensions. There exist some studies in the literature [11] as well as some debate on whether the
The Casimir force in 6D and 7D as a function of plate separation $a$ for $R_\theta = 0.001\mu m$ and $0.5\mu m$ with $k = 10^{19}$ GeV and $kR_y = 15$. Here $R_{\theta_1} = R_{\theta_2} = R_\theta$ is assumed. The shaded region represents $\pm 0.1\mu m$ error for the measurement of plate separation $a$.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We calculate, using dimensional regularization, the Casimir force between two parallel plates in Randall Sundrum models with extra compact dimensions. We include both the one brane scenario (referred to as RSII) and the two brane scenario (RSI). We present explicit analytic expressions for the energy between the plates, the renormalized energy, and finally for the Casimir force for the cases of one extra compact dimension (6D), and finally $q$ extra compact dimensions ($q$D) in each model.

We show consistency of the expressions both from $q$D to 6D and 5D models (RS models with no compact dimensions). We also show that in the limit in which the non-compact dimension of Randall Sundrum models becomes irrelevant, the force is attractive or repulsive in extra universal dimensions. As shown in previous sections, the 5D form of our force in $\kappa \to 0$ limit is identical to the 5D result of [13] in UED and the force is always attractive. In Fig. 4 we display the force in both 6D and 7D as a function of $a$ for two values of $R_\theta$, $0.001\mu m$ and $0.5\mu m$. Here we assume $R_{\theta_1} = R_{\theta_2} = R_\theta$. We chose $kR_y = 15$, where there is practically no RS contribution from the Bessel terms so we can maximize the effects from extra compact dimensions. This can be considered like 6D UED in this limit. As in 5D UED, the force remains negative in 6D as well, thanks to the precise cancellation of $a$-independent $1/R_\theta^4$ terms (or $Z_1$ terms in general) with the ones from the $Z_2$ term (similarly between $Z_3$ and $Z_2$ terms as well), as discussed above in 6D RSI. In 5D UED, the bound from Casimir force on $R_\theta$ is very weak (about $0.01\mu m$) [11] but adding more compact dimensions, as seen from Fig. 3 will strengthen the bound. Conversely, if the limit on the size of extra dimensions is held constant, their number will be restricted. However, unless one introduces a large number of compact dimensions, it would be unrealistic from Casimir force considerations to get bounds on the size or number of extra dimensions as strong as the ones from $b \to s\gamma$, for example [24], which requires $R_\theta$ to be less than $10^{-12}\mu m$ in 5D UED.
our expressions reproduce exactly the known UED expression in one extra dimension. In that limit, our results present the generalization of the Casimir energy in $q$ universal extra dimensions, and we settle the controversy regarding the sign of the force. We also compare our results with a calculation in RSII models in 6D and qD and briefly discuss the discrepancies.

For the RSII model, comparison with the known Casimir force in 4D yields (as in 5D) negligible corrections, making this model not as interesting phenomenologically as RSI. In RSII, we perform an analytical and numerical analysis of our results and discuss the effects of extra compact dimensions on the size of $k R_y$, the relevant parameter for RSII models, as well as the consequences of the RS models on the size of the compact (UED like) dimensions. Finally, we analyze the effects of having additional compact dimensions. Although the bounds on the size of the compact dimensions obtained are not as strong as those coming from other low energy experimental restrictions, our results include a comprehensive range of numerical and analytical explorations of the Casimir force in extra dimensions, and we are consistent with previous calculations in both RS and UED models in the relevant limits.
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