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Abstract
In this paper, we present an overview of the MedVidQA 2022 shared task, collocated with the 21st BioNLP workshop at ACL 2022. The shared task addressed two of the challenges faced by medical video question answering: (i) a video classification task that explores new approaches to medical video understanding (labeling), and (ii) a visual answer localization task. Visual answer localization refers to identification of the relevant temporal segments (start and end timestamps) in the video where the answer to the medical question is being shown or illustrated. A total of thirteen teams participated in the shared task challenges, with eleven system descriptions submitted to the workshop. The descriptions present mono-modal and multi-modal approaches developed for medical video classification and visual answer localization. This paper describes the tasks, the datasets, evaluation metrics, and baseline systems for both tasks. Finally, the paper summarizes the techniques and results of the evaluation of the various approaches explored by the participating teams.

1 Introduction
With the increasing interest in using artificial intelligence (AI) to support clinical decision-making, improving patient engagement, patient health and well-being (HHS, 2021), there is a need to explore the efficient algorithms for medical language-video understanding. Further, the recent surge in availability of online educational videos on diverse medical and health-related topics demands the development of effective systems that can understand medical videos to provide the best possible answers to consumers’ first aid, medical emergency, and medical educational questions.

Video Question Answering (VQA) is an emerging and challenging task that requires the understanding of video, language, and their interaction to correctly provide the answer to the question. The majority of the existing studies (Lei et al., 2018; Xue et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020a; Chadha et al., 2020) on video question answering are focused on open-domain videos such as movies (Tapaswi et al., 2016), TV shows (Lei et al., 2018, 2020a), and games (Mun et al., 2017). Moreover, the primary objective of the existing VQA studies is to develop a system that can provide natural language answers to the users’ questions about the video. Some works, such as Anne Hendricks et al. (2017); Lei et al. (2020b); Wang et al. (2020) focus on natural language frame/video localization, but most of them aim to find the video segment that has semantic understanding equivalent to the natural language query. The existing VQA approaches, however, do not take into account the real-world scenarios, where people interact through natural language questions and expect relevant and concise temporal segments from the videos as answers to their questions. Consider a health-related question “How can I ease my neck pain?”. The textual answer (cf. Fig. 1) to the given health-related question will be hard to understand and act upon without visual assistance. In order to provide a visual answer to the question, the first step is to identify the most relevant medical video that has a series of steps describing the detailed visual answer to the question. The second and most important step is to locate the relevant temporal segment in the video that is suitable to be a visual answer (cf. Fig. 1) to the question.

Towards solving these challenges, we introduced the MedVidQA 2022 shared task\textsuperscript{1}, which aims to explore and develop efficient algorithms for video question answering that remain understudied in the medical domain. In the first task (medical video classification) of the MedVidQA 2022 shared task, participants are asked to develop a system that can categorize the video into medical instructional, medical non-instructional, and non-medical. The

\textsuperscript{1}https://medvidqa.github.io/
second task (medical visual answer localization) aims to effectively localize the visual answer to the given medical or health-related question in a given video.

2 MedVidQA 2022 Task Descriptions

Following creation of the dataset for video question answering (Gupta et al., 2022), we consider the following tasks:

2.1 Task 1: Medical Video Classification (MVC)

Given an input video, the task is to categorize the video into one of the following classes:

• Medical Instructional: A medical instructional video for non-professionals should clearly demonstrate a medical procedure, providing enough details to reproduce the procedure and achieve the desired results without prior training. The accompanying narrative should be to the point, and should clearly describe the steps in the visual content. A video is medical instructional if a valid medical or health-related question is aligned with it, and it explains/answers the medical question with a demonstration. The demonstration should be a tutorial/educational video where someone (e.g., a doctor or a medical professional) demonstrates a procedure related to the medical question or a how-to video about the medical or health-related question.

• Medical Non-instructional: A medical video can be categorized into a medical non-instructional if it discusses medical-related topics without any visual demonstration.

• Non-medical: A video can be categorized as non-medical if the video is neither medical instructional nor medical non-instructional.

We have provided the link to the sample videos for each class in Fig. 2.

2.2 Task 2: Medical Visual Answer Localization (MVAL)

Given a medical or health-related question and a video, the task aims to locate the temporal segments (start and end timestamps) in the video where the answer to the medical question is being shown, or the explanation is illustrated in the video. A similar task in the literature is established as natural language frame localization (Anne Hendricks et al., 2017; Miech et al., 2019), where the task is to find the video segment that has equivalent semantics as to the natural language. In contrast, the introduced task seeks to find a video segment with a visual answer to the natural language query. The MVAL task can be considered as finding a series of
“medical instructional activity-based frame localization” where a potential solution first searches for all medical instructional activity for a given medical question and then localizes a particular activity that is aligned to medical or health-related question in an untrimmed medical-instructional video. The sample health-related question and the visual answer are shown in Fig. 1.

3 Data Description

3.1 MVC Dataset

The MedVidCL\(^2\) (Gupta et al., 2022) training and validation datasets are provided to train and validate the system for MVC task. A human-assisted two-stage approach was used to construct the MedVidCL dataset. In the first stage, human-annotated videos were used to train a machine learning model that predicts the appropriate category for the input video. In the second stage, only high-confidence (classifier probability $\geq 0.8$) videos from HowTo100M (Miech et al., 2019) and YouTube8M (Abu-El-Haija et al., 2016) dataset are selected and manually validated. The automatically predicted video category is then updated, if needed.

This strategy was used to construct the MedVidCL dataset. The videos in the training dataset are taken from YouTube\(^3\); however, the validation and test dataset contain the videos from HowTo100M and YouTube8M datasets. We have provided the detailed statistics of the datasets used for the MVC task in Table 1.

3.2 MV AL Dataset

The MedVidQA datasets are created from the top-4 videos returned by the YouTube search in response to the WikiHow\(^4\) health-related query. The dataset contains 800 medical instructional videos in the training and 50 medical instructional videos in the validation set. MedVidQA contains medical-informatics expert-curated instructional questions and timestamps in the video, which serve as the visual answer to the questions. For the test dataset, we followed the dataset creation strategy similar to MedVidQA creation. We selected 50 YouTube videos from the search results in response to the diverse set of WikiHow queries. The instructional questions and visual answer timestamps were manually created by watching these 50 videos. We have

---

2https://osf.io/pc594/

3https://www.youtube.com/

4https://www.wikihow.com/Main-Page
provided the detailed statistics of the dataset used for the MVAL task in Table 2.

4 Evaluation

4.1 Evaluation Metrics

4.1.1 MVC Evaluation

To evaluate the performance of the MVC task, we use the following evaluation metrics:

Medical-Inst Precision: It measures the proportion of Medical Instructional class predictions that are actually correct.

\[
\text{Med-Inst Precision} = \frac{TP_{medinst}}{TP_{medinst} + FP_{medinst}}
\]

where, \(TP_{medinst}\) and \(FP_{medinst}\) are the True positive and False positive corresponding to the Medical Instructional class.

Medical-Inst Recall: It measures the proportion of actual Medical Instructional class video that were predicted correctly.

\[
\text{Med-Inst Recall} = \frac{TP_{medinst}}{TP_{medinst} + FN_{medinst}}
\]

where, \(TP_{medinst}\) and \(FN_{medinst}\) are the True positive and False negative corresponding to the Medical Instructional class.

Medical-Inst F1-score: It is the harmonic mean between precision \(P_{medinst}\) and recall \(R_{medinst}\) for the Medical Instructional video category.

\[
\text{Med-Inst F1-score} = \frac{2 \times P_{medinst} \times R_{medinst}}{P_{medinst} + R_{medinst}}
\]

Macro-averaged F1-score: It is the average harmonic mean between precision and recall, where the precision and recall are calculated per video category.

\[
\text{Macro-F1} = \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{2 \times P_l \times R_l}{P_l + R_l}
\]

where, \(P_l\) and \(R_l\) are the precision and recall corresponding to the class \(l \in \mathcal{L}\).

Since the goal of the MVC task is to effectively predict Medical Instructional video, we consider Medical-Inst F1-score as our primary metric to rank the submission. We used the Scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2011) implementation of the precision, recall and macro-averaged F1-score metrics.

4.1.2 MVAL Evaluation

Following Gupta et al. (2022), we evaluated the performance of the MVAL task using the following metrics:

Mean Intersection over Union (mIoU): For a given question \(q_i\), IoU is computed as the ratio of intersection area over union area between predicted and ground-truth temporal visual answer segments. It ranges from 0 to 1. A larger IoU means the predicted and ground-truth temporal visual answer segments match better, and IoU = 1.0 denotes exact match. The mIoU is defined as the average temporal IoUs for all questions \(N\) in the test set. Formally,

\[
mIoU = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} IoU(q_i)
\]

\(R_{\alpha}, IoU = \mu\) is another metric used to evaluate the performance of the MVAL system. It denotes the percentage of questions for which, out of the top-\(n\) retrieved temporal segments, at least one predicted temporal segment having IoU with ground-truth is larger than \(\mu\). We asked the participants to submit only the top-1 temporal segment as the visual answer to the question; therefore, we have \(n = 1\). Formally,

\[
< R_{\alpha1}, IoU = \mu > = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} s(q_i, \mu), \text{ and }
\]

\[
s(q_i, \mu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } IoU(q_i) \geq \mu \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

We evaluated the participants’ submission by considering \(\mu = \{0.3, 0.5, 0.7\}\) and for brevity, we denote the \(< R_{\alpha1}, IoU = \mu >\) metric with IoU=\(\mu\). Since the IoU=0.7 is the most restrictive metric amongst all the MVAL metrics, we use IoU=0.7 as our primary metric to rank the participants’ submissions. The implementation of the evaluation metric is released here\(^6\).

\(\text{https://github.com/deepaknlp/MedVidQACL/blob/master/MedVidQA/runner_utils_t7.py}\)

\(\text{https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.classification_report.html}\)

---

\(\text{https://github.com/deepaknlp/MedVidQACL/blob/master/MedVidQA/runner_utils_t7.py}\)
4.2 Baseline Systems

4.2.1 MVC Baselines

Monomodal (Language) Baseline: In the first baseline, we consider extracting the English subtitles from the videos using the `pytube` library. The extracted subtitles are used to fine-tune the BERT-Base-Uncased (Devlin et al., 2019) pre-trained language model (PLM) to classify the video category.

Monomodal (Vision) Baseline: The monomodal vision-based baseline is built upon the video frames features (extracted from ViT) to predict the video category. Following video frames, we follow the experimental setup introduced by Zhang et al. (2020), in the VSL-BASE task, the video frames features are extracted from each video at a uniform time interval. In order to extract the frame features, we considered the pre-trained ViT (Dosovitskiy et al., 2021) model as the feature extractor. The sequence of frame features is passed to the LSTM network to learn their sequence representation. We then concatenated the language and vision representation and passed the concatenated features to a feed-forward layer to predict the video category.

Multimodal Baseline: For the multimodal baseline, we consider utilizing both video subtitles and video frames features (extracted from ViT) to predict the video category. The features are passed to the LSTM network to learn their sequence representation. We then concatenated the language and vision representation and passed the concatenated features to a feed-forward layer to predict the video category.

4.2.2 MVAL Baselines

VSL-BASE: Following Gupta et al. (2022), we consider the VSL-BASE as the first baseline for MVAL task, where the visual answer span is predicted using a multimodal fusion-based technique introduced by Zhang et al. (2020). In the VSL-BASE a Transformer-based encoder is used to encode the question, and video frames features (obtained from I3D (Carreira and Zisserman, 2017)), and thereafter, both features are fused with the help of attention mechanism. The joint feature representation is used to predict the start and end timestamps of the visual answer.

VSL-QGH: This baseline is the extension of the VSL-BASE introduced by Zhang et al. (2020), where the target temporal segment in the video is considered as the foreground and the rest of the video as the background. With the VSL-QGH technique, the network is trained by extending the span of the foreground to cover its preceding and following video frames. We follow the experimental details discussed in Gupta et al. (2022) to obtain the results on the test dataset.

5 Participating Teams and Methods

5.1 Participating Teams

We use the CodaLab platform to release the datasets, registration, and submissions of the participating teams. In total, 13 teams from Asia (China), Europe (Germany), and North America (USA) continents participated in the MedVidQA 2022 shared task and submitted 30 and 43 individual runs for the MVC and MVAL task, respectively. We have provided (cf. Table 3) the team name, affiliations and their participation in MVC and MVAL tasks. We also summarize (cf. Table 4) the participating teams and their submissions considering the language (video subtitles) and vision (video frames) to build their approaches for MedVidQA 2022 shared task.

---

Table 3: Participating teams and their task participation at MedVidQA 2022 shared task

| Team Name         | Team Affiliations | MVC | MVAL |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----|------|
| ALIBABA_DAMO      | Alibaba Damo Research | ✓   | ✓   |
| Baidu AI team     | Baidu AI Team     | ✓   | ✓   |
| SFTU_YITU         | SITU_YITU         | ✓   | ✓   |
| Tencent AI Research| Tencent AI Research | ✓   | ✓   |
| CMU_HKUST         | CMU/HKUST         | ✓   | ✓   |
| VPAI_Lab (Li et al., 2022) | Human University/CAS | ✓   | ✓   |
| CHICHEALTH        | Chic Health       | ✓   | ✓   |
| PAHT              | Pinge Health Tech | ✓   | ✓   |
| IAM BERT          | No Information Available | ✓   | ✓   |
| LINGJING          | Human University/CAS | ✓   | ✓   |
| UWASHINGTON       | University of Washington | ✓   | ✓   |
| CS                | No Information Available | ✓   | ✓   |
| SOSSIER (Kisa et al., 2022) | TU Wien | ✓   | ✓   |

Table 4: Participating teams and their submissions considering the language (video subtitles) and vision (video frames) to build their approaches for MedVidQA 2022 shared task

| Team Name         | Language | Vision | Language | Vision |
|-------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| ALIBABA_DAMO      | ✓        | ✗      | ✓        | ✗      |
| Baidu AI team     | ✓        | ✗      | ✓        | ✗      |
| SFTU_YITU         | ✓        | ✗      | ✓        | ✗      |
| Tencent AI Research| ✓        | ✗      | ✓        | ✗      |
| CMU_HKUST         | ✓        | ✗      | ✓        | ✗      |
| VPAI_Lab (Li et al., 2022) | ✓    | NA    | ✓        | NA    |
| CHICHEALTH        | ✓        | NA    | ✓        | NA    |
| PAHT              | NA       | NA    | ✓        | ✓      |
| IAM BERT          | NA       | NA    | NA       | NA    |
| LINGJING          | NA       | NA    | ✓        | ✓      |
| UWASHINGTON       | ✓        | NA    | ✓        | ✓      |
| CS                | NA       | NA    | NA       | NA    |
| SOSSIER           | NA       | NA    | ✓        | ✓      |

---

7https://pypi.org/project/pytube/  
8https://codalab.lisn.upsaclay.fr/competitions/1058  
9https://codalab.lisn.upsaclay.fr/competitions/1078
Table 5: Official results of the MVC task. Here L and V denotes the Language and Vision respectively.

| Rank | Team Name               | Med-Inst Precision | Med-Inst Recall | Med-Inst F1-score | Macro F1-score |
|------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 1    | VPAI_L                  | 99.74              | 97.75          | 98.74             | 99.01          |
| 2    | ChicHealth              | 98.73              | 97.25          | 97.98             | 98.46          |
| 3    | Baidu AI team          | 99.23              | 96.75          | 97.97             | 98.46          |
| 4    | PAHT                    | 97.76              | 98.00          | 97.88             | 98.46          |
| 5    | Tencent AI Research    | 97.75              | 97.75          | 97.75             | 98.04          |
| 6    | SJTU_YITU               | 98.47              | 96.50          | 97.47             | 98.04          |
| 7    | CMU_HKUST               | 98.72              | 96.25          | 97.47             | 98.03          |
| 8    | ALIBABA_DAMO            | 96.02              | 96.50          | 96.26             | 97.22          |
| 9    | UWashington             | 97.65              | 93.50          | 95.53             | 96.86          |
| 10   | I AM BERT               | 92.21              | 91.75          | 91.98             | 94.01          |

- Monomodal (L) – Baseline  | 94.67 | 88.75 | 91.61 | 94.37 |
- Monomodal (V) – Baseline  | 90.97 | 68.00 | 77.83 | 82.24 |
- Multimodal (L+V) – Baseline | 84.97 | 69.25 | 76.31 | 81.06 |

5.2 MVC Submissions

5.2.1 Methods

All participants utilized pre-trained language models to develop the video classification methods to categorize the videos into one of the pre-defined categories. The earlier studies by Gupta et al. (2022) show that information obtained from the video subtitles features is more useful for the MVC task compared to the video frame features; therefore, the video subtitles remained the primary information considered by all participants to develop their approaches for the MVC task. To build the MVC models ALIBABA_DAMO and SJTU_YITU fine-tuned the Clinical-Longformer (Li et al., 2022b) on video subtitles. SJTU_YITU also used the Longformer (Beltagy et al., 2020) to build another MVC model by utilizing the video subtitles from the videos. Baidu AI TEAM utilizes video title and subtitles to form a concatenated sequence and fine-tuned the hierarchical-BERT (Zhang et al., 2019) to predict the video category.

Team Tencent AI Research build their MVC models by fine-tuning the Longformer, Performance (Choromanski et al., 2021) and Big-Bird (Zaheer et al., 2020) pre-trained language models. Team CMU_HKUST built an ensemble approach for the MVC task with the predictions from hierarchical-BERT and Transformer-XL (Dai et al., 2019) pre-trained language models. Team VPAI_LAB built an ensemble approach by considering the predictions from monomodal and multimodal approaches for MVC tasks. They used DeBERTa (He et al., 2020) and I3D (Carreira and Zisserman, 2017) to encode the video subtitles and frames respectively. Team ChicHealth also proposes the ensemble models with the pre-trained Big-Bird and Longformer language models. Instead of encoding the entire subtitles from a video, they split the subtitles into multiple pieces and used the max and average pooling layer to aggregate the representations into a fixed-size representation vector. Team UWashington adopt the Big-Bird as the backbone network. They used the contrastive learning loss and the cross-entropy loss to build their approach for the MVC task.

5.2.2 Results

We have provided the official results for the MVC task and baseline models in Table 5. We rank the submissions based on the Med-Inst F1-score. Team VPAI_LAB achieved the first rank with the 98.74 Med-Inst F1-score and also reported the highest Med-Inst Precision (99.74) and Macro F1-score (99.01). Team PAHT submission reported the highest Med-Inst Recall (98.00) value from the best-ranked participants’ system. The best-submitted run of each team outperformed the baseline scores on the primary metric of Med-Inst F1-score. We observed that top-4 teams achieved near-perfect Macro F1-score within a difference of 0.47 points. In terms of the primary metric (Med-Inst F1-score), the team ChicHealth (rank #2), Baidu AI TEAM (rank #3), PAHT (rank #4) and Tencent AI Research (rank #5) achieved near-same performance ranging between 97.75 to 97.98. BERT-based monomodal baseline achieved the highest Med-Inst F1-score amongst all the baseline approaches.

5.2.3 Findings

The video subtitles are dominant features to predict the category of the video. The pre-trained language models (Longformer, Hierarchical BERT, Big-Bird) having the capability of effectively process the longer sequences, outperformed the tra-
Table 6: Official results of the MVAL task

| Rank | Team Name              | IoU=0.3 | IoU=0.5 | IoU=0.7 | mIoU  |
|------|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| 1    | PAHT                   | 90.85   | 84.97   | 73.20   | 75.83 |
| 2    | SJTU_YITU              | 88.89   | 83.01   | 71.24   | 74.06 |
| 3    | UWASHINGTON            | 85.62   | 81.05   | 69.93   | 72.07 |
| 4    | LINGJING               | 84.31   | 73.20   | 62.75   | 67.53 |
| 5    | CMU_HKUST              | 75.82   | 72.55   | 62.09   | 63.86 |
| 6    | Baidu AI TEAM          | 75.16   | 71.90   | 61.44   | 63.21 |
| 7    | CHICHEALTH             | 74.51   | 67.97   | 53.59   | 61.34 |
| 8    | Wagening AI RESEARCH   | 69.26   | 62.09   | 49.67   | 57.31 |
| 9    | ALIBABA_DAMO           | 60.13   | 52.94   | 38.56   | 48.21 |
| 10   | cs                     | 30.07   | 14.38   | 5.88    | 19.97 |
| –    | VSL-QGH – Baseline     | 21.56   | 10.45   | 5.88    | 17.60 |
| –    | VSL-BASE – Baseline    | 20.91   | 9.15    | 5.22    | 19.44 |
| 11   | DOSSIER                | 31.37   | 13.07   | 4.58    | 18.80 |

5.3 MVAL Submissions

5.3.1 Methods

We briefly describe the approaches used by each participating team for the MVAL task.

**ALIBABA_DAMO** The video subtitles and questions were encoded with BERT, and the vector representations were obtained. The video features from consecutive three-second interval video frames were pooled to form a vector representation. The subtitles, question, and video features were aligned and concatenated to form a multimodal representation. Thereafter, two two-layer feed-forward was used to predict whether the three-second multimodal representations are inside the answer boundary.

**Baidu AI TEAM** The team adopted the negative sampling NER method from Li et al. (2020b) to train the answer localization system. The team formulated atomic unit spans in the subtitles, i.e., the tokens in subtitles that belong to the start and end timestamps of the visual answer. The hidden state representations for each token of the span and question were obtained using BERT. The span representation was obtained using the approach discussed in Chen et al. (2017). The question representation and span representation were fused together with the feed-forward network to get the question-span representation. The question-span representation was used to predict whether the given span is an answer to the question or not. Following Li et al. (2020b), the team randomly sampled a small subset of the training data for each topic.
Table 7: The summary of the participants approaches used for MVAL task.

| Team Name          | Pre-trained LM | Modality | Approach                                                                 |
|--------------------|----------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PAHT               | BigBird        | Language | Sequence labeling with PLM and CRFs (Lafferty et al., 2001) on video subtitles to detect the answer span. |
| SJTU_YITU          | BERT           | Language | The relevant subtitle sentences are classified with BERT. Thereafter, the semantic relatedness scores is computed between the question and the subtitles sentences. |
| UWASHINGTON        | DeBERTa        | Language | Utilized the PLM to score the question-sentence pair. After that, the high-scoring contiguous sequence are considered as the visual answer. |
| LINGJING            | DeBERTa       | Both     | Utilized visual highlight features as the visual token, which concatenates with the question, and video subtitles. Sequence labeling framework is adopted with PLM on video subtitles to detect the answer span. |
| CMU_HKUST          | Big-Bird       | Language | Utilized machine reading framework to localize the span in the video that could serve as the visual answer to the health-related question. |
| Baidu AI TEAM      | BERT           | Language | Negative sampling approach (Li et al., 2020b) is used to incorporates randomness into the training loss for span recognition. |
| ChicHealth         | NA             | Language | Sequence labeling with PLM on video subtitles to detect the answer span. |
| Tencent AI Research | NA           | Language | The Mutual Matching Network (MMN) (Wang et al., 2021) is trained with the auxiliary task of mutual matching to guide the network. |
| Alibaba DAMO       | BERT           | Both     | Sequence labeling with PLM on video subtitles to detect the answer span. |
| DoSSIER            | RoBERTa, MPNet | Both     | First, the similarity scores between question and subtitle are computed. After that, similarity scores are used to detect the answer by utilizing a random forest regressor and unsupervised peak detection method. |

of unlabeled spans as the negative instances to induce the training loss. A span-level cross-entropy loss was used for training.

**SJTU_YITU** Team SJTU_YITU used a two-step approach to localize the answer in the video. In the first step, they fine-tune the BERT model to tag whether a given sentence from subtitles will be part of the answer sentence or not. In the second step, they compute the semantic relatedness between the question and the answer sentences (predicted in the first step) to refine the predictions of the previous step further. Finally, they transform the selected sentences from subtitles into corresponding time intervals.

**Tencent AI Research** Mutual Matching Network (MMN) (Wang et al., 2021) was used for visual answer localization. MMN is a metric-learning approach that is based on the auxiliary task of mutual matching, which guides the network to select the additional correct sentence in a constructed negative sentence set for video moments retrieval in addition to gold-standard supervision. Their approach uses subtitles and question as input to train the MMN by considering a binary cross-entropy loss for regressing the IoU and a pair discrimination loss for learning discriminative features.

**CMU_HKUST** The team adopted a machine reading framework (Cui et al., 2022) to localize the span in the video that serves as the visual answer to the question. They utilize the subtitles and their timestamps to transform them into a span in the subtitles text. To encode the subtitles and the question, they used the Big-Bird model (Zaheer et al., 2020).

**ChicHealth** The team formulated the task as a sequence tagging problem. The query and the subtitle of a given video were concatenated as “[CLS] QUESTION [SEP] SUBTITLES [SEP]”. The concatenated sequence served as input to the Transformer network. A pointer network was used to find the text spans that correspond to the video spans that answers the query. During prediction, they select the spans that have the highest
span probability. They used multiple transformer-based networks and ensemble the predictions to find the appropriate span that is considered as the visual answer to the question.

**PAHT** The team formulated the visual answer localization task as a sequence labeling problem. They concatenated the question and subtitles to form a sequence. They utilized the pre-trained BigBird with Conditional Random Fields (Lafferty et al., 2001) head to tag each subtitle timestamps either B-ANSWER, I-ANSWER, or Other.

**LINGJING** The team proposed the visual-prompt text span localizing (VPTSL) method for visual answer localization by utilizing the pre-trained language model and visual highlight features. They fuse the question and visual features using cross-modal attention. The highlight features are used to provide the visual prompt to textual span predictor.

**UWASHINGTON** The team formulated the visual answer localization problem as question-sentence pair scoring task. They split the subtitles into multiple sentences and computed the scores for each sentence using the pre-trained DeBERTa model. They considered the timestamps associated with the high-scoring contiguous sequence of sentences as the visual answer to the question.

**DoSSIER** Team DoSSIER (Kusa et al., 2022) utilized the textual information in the form of subtitles and optical character recognition from video frames. They computed the similarity scores (using BM25, RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019), and MPNet (Song et al., 2020)) between each video subtitles and the question. With the similarity matrix, they utilized random forest regressor\(^\text{10}\) and unsupervised peak detection model to detect the answer indices.

We have provided the summary of each participants’ approach for the MVAL task in Table 7.

### 5.3.2 Results

The official results for the MVAL task, along with the baseline scores, are provided in Table 6. We rank the team submissions based on the primary metric (IoU=0.7). Team PAHT achieved the highest 73.20 IoU=0.7 score. Their best submission also achieved the maximum IoU=0.3, IoU=0.5, and mIoU, which are 90.85, 84.97, and 75.83, respectively. Most of the participants’ runs outperformed the multimodal learning-based baseline scores obtained from VSL-BASE and VSL-QGH.

### 5.3.3 Findings

The majority of the participating teams only use the video subtitles to locate the visual answer in the video. The video subtitles and their appearance timestamps are aligned to locate the start and end indices of the visual answer. Unimodal semantic relatedness between the question and video subtitles was computed with the pre-trained language models and proved to be more effective than the multimodal semantic relatedness as in VSL-BASE and VSL-QGH baselines. The top-3 participating systems built their approaches, similar to the text-based machine reading comprehension, by only utilizing the video subtitles features to locate the visual answer. However, team LINGJING proposed the multimodal approach for the MVAL task and achieved 62.75 IoU=0.7 that placed them in the 4th rank in the leaderboard.

It is observed that video subtitle features have proven to be effective compared to video features. The video subtitles are derived from commentary in videos. When a speaker in the video starts discussing a specific topic, they introduce the topic at the start of their commentary and make concluding remarks at the end of the commentary on the particular topic. The health-related questions in the MVAL task are formulated by watching the videos and identifying the span in the video, which could serve as the visual answer to the health-related questions. The video subtitle feature-based approaches exploit this structure and consider training the model to localize the span in the video subtitle sequence, which is semantically associated with the given question. This act of localizing the span from video subtitles is closely related to the machine reading comprehension (MRC) task; therefore, the participants use video subtitle features and treat the MVAL task similar to the approaches which have been used in the literature for the MRC task.

### 6 Conclusion

This paper describes the overview of MedVidQA 2022 shared task organized as part of the BioNLP 2022 workshop. We discussed the tasks, datasets, evaluation metrics, and baseline systems. We also provided a summary of the participating systems for both tasks. For the MVC task, the approach utilizing the attention-based fusion of the pre-trained...
language model features and video features outperformed all the competitive methods. Overall, the MVC task with the coarse-grained category was relatively easy compared to the classical video classification task, where the model has to detect and learn the specific action to classify the video into the fine-grained category. We observe that video subtitles are key information to localize the visual answer in the video for the medical instructional question. We are optimistic that introducing these tasks and datasets will foster research toward designing systems that can understand medical videos and effectively provide visual answers to natural language questions.
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