Our society and indeed the world population as a whole is truly at war against a common enemy. That enemy is modern chronic disease. Chronic disease presents a heavy burden to society, in terms of both medical costs and human suffering. It is our perception that: 1) much of the medical community underpractises primary prevention as regards appropriate levels of physical activity for health, and 2) much of the research community undervalues the importance of understanding the physiological, genetic and clinical bases of diseases caused by physical inactivity. For many, exercise is viewed solely as a research or diagnostic tool and not as a true weapon against chronic disease. In reality, however, exercise attacks the roots of chronic disease, i.e. physical inactivity. The first step in a common “battle plan” is to convince the medical community that chronic disease is rooted in physical inactivity. In this review, we focus on the biological evidence to date showing how physical inactivity leads to chronic disease. One purpose of this review is to demonstrate that exercise, such as treadmill testing of humans for cardiac dysfunctions, is more than a diagnostic tool but part of disease management itself.
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have been surprising and remarkable in that novel signalling mechanisms have been discovered that vary with the type and level of physical activity/inactivity at multiple levels of gene expression. It has been hypothesised that the human genome evolved to support higher metabolic rates and strength activities of a physically active lifestyle. But while the human genome has remained largely unchanged during the past 10,000 years, the recent occurrence of a less physically active lifestyle does not maintain – it has been speculated – the required metabolic
fluxes and muscle loading. As a consequence, genes expecting physical activity for normal function have altered their expression such that the resultant phenotype induces a crossing of the threshold of clinical significance whereby overt clinical disorders appear.3

Daily physical activity was an integral, obligatory aspect of our ancestor’s existence.4 Skeletal remains from preagricultural hunter-gatherers showed that they had habitual activity that made them more muscular and stronger than individuals in postagricultural society.5 Today, most Americans and Europeans are quite weak relative to our ancestors, possibly contributing to the premature onset of physical disability.6

Because this area of research is underfunded despite its high impact, the final part of our blueprint for the new millennium calls for the National Health Service to promote and implement major initiatives devoted to the study of the biology of primary prevention of modern chronic diseases.

This would be an investment to avoid bankruptcy of the European health care system and as well would help reduce the extreme human suffering caused by chronic diseases. In short, it would be an investment in the future of health care in the new millennium.

Concept of the polypill

The growing worldwide burden of cardiovascular disease mandates the development and implementation of effective population-based preventive strategies.7 Recently, Wald and Law8 proposed a theoretical cardioprotective “polypill,” based on an analysis of the scientific literature (including >750 trials with 400,000 participants9), as a population strategy to combat cardiovascular disease. The investigators boldly claimed that “it would be acceptably safe and, with widespread use, would have a greater impact on the prevention of disease in the Western world than any other single intervention.”10 The formulation was based on an analysis of the 6 components of the pill, which would include: a statin; 3 blood pressure-lowering drugs (e.g. a thiazide, a blocker, and an angiotensin-converting enzyme [ACE] inhibitor), each at half standard dose; folic acid (0.8 mg); and aspirin (75 mg). The strategy was to simultaneously reduce 3 cardiovascular risk factors (low-density lipoprotein [LDL] cholesterol, hypertension, and serum homocysteine), regardless of pretreatment levels combined with the antplatelet effects of aspirin and the vascular protective effects of an ACE inhibitor and blocker.

The provocative analysis suggested that with a daily dose, this combination therapy would reduce coronary heart disease (CHD) events by 88% (95% confidence interval 84% to 91%) and stroke by 80% (95% confidence interval 71% to 87%). Omitting any single ingredient other than the statin or blood pressure-lowering drugs had a relatively minor impact on the estimated reduction in CHD and stroke events, respectively. Depending on the precise for-mulation of blood pressure-lowering drugs, the proposed intervention would cause symptoms in 8% to 15% of persons taking the pill, warranting withdrawal in 1 to 2 of 100 and causing fatal side effects in <1 in 10,000 users. If this calculation is correct, the benefits would substantially outweigh the risk in persons with documented cardiovascular disease and many others at increased risk. However, proponents acknowledged that components of the pill may be unsuitable for persons with some medical conditions (i.e. asthma) or intolerant to aspirin.

To whom should the proposed radical intervention be offered? The investigators recommend it for virtually all patients who have had a previous myocardial infarction or cerebral thrombosis, as well as for patients with angina pectoris, transient ischemic attacks, peripheral arterial disease, and diabetes mellitus. Moreover, because most fatalities from cardiovascular events occur in people aged ≥55 years, the researchers suggest that treating everyone in this age group would prevent nearly all such deaths. They further contend that baseline and serial risk factor profiling is unnecessary, because the prevalence of risk factors is high in Western societies, and aggressive intervention is effective, regardless of the initial levels of risk factors.10

Pill-popping replaces healthy habits

But the proposed preventive strategy is purely speculative at this time, and it ignores several major risk factors (i.e. obesity, diabetes, cigarette smoking, physical inactivity) while it relies on a plethora of drugs that essentially substitute for a healthy lifestyle and, as a further objection, the cost would be prohibitive. Furthermore, the problem with this “one-size-fits-all” approach is that some people would be undertreated while others would be overtreated. Administering low-dose aspirin (75 mg/day), a component of the polypill, to a large population of healthy people would most likely have serious adverse effects, mainly due to hemorrhage. Although evidence to support the use of aspirin in secondary prevention comes from >100 randomized trials, the use of aspirin in primary prevention is a physician-guided decision that includes consideration of the patient’s age, cardiovascular risk profile, the adverse effects of the drug, and the estimated benefits in reducing the risk of a first myocardial infarction.11 Contemporary guidelines for the use of aspirin in primary prevention suggest that the balance of benefits and disadvantages is most favourable in patients at high risk for acute cardiovascular events (i.e. those with a 10-year risk of ≥10%).12

The major limitation of the polypill, however, is that it represents what is a common response of many in the medical community to modern chronic diseases, i.e. the extrapolation of contemporary pharmacotherapies and modern technologies as a first-line strategy to stabilise overt cardiovascular disease. It also gives the wrong message to the population at large—that there is a “quick fix” for cardiovascular health in the form of a magic bullet. Be-
cause drug therapy and coronary revascularization have been largely unsuccessful in halting and reversing the epidemic, we argue that more emphasis must be placed on novel approaches to enhance current primary prevention guidelines, which require attacking conventional risk factors and their underlying environmental causes: high-fat and cholesterol diets, cigarette smoking, obesity, and physical inactivity. Recent studies challenge the widely held notion that CHD often occurs (≥50% of the time) in the absence of any conventional risk factor, especially when more stringent cut-offs for abnormal blood pressure, cholesterol, and body mass index are used.

Drug therapy per se also fails to address a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease - physical inactivity and/or low aerobic fitness. Epidemiologic data have established that physical inactivity increases the incidence of unhealthy conditions, almost all of which are chronic diseases, resulting in approximately 250,000 premature deaths in US each year. One meta-analysis of 43 studies reported that the relative risk of CHD in relation to physical inactivity ranged from 1.5 to 2.4 (median value 1.9). Low aerobic fitness has also been shown to be an independent and more powerful predictor of fatal cardiovascular events than other conventional risk factors. Although recent studies have identified multiple mechanisms by which regular physical activity may decrease morbidity and mortality rates associated with CHD (table 2), perhaps Roberts summarized it best nearly 2 decades ago when he described exercise (running) as "... an agent with lipid-lowering, antihypertensive, positive inotropic, negative chronotropic, vasodilating, diuretic, anorexigenic, weight-reducing, cathartic, hypoglycemic, tranquillizing, hypnotic and antidepressive qualities." In a randomized trial of 101 male patients with stable CHD and an angiographically documented stenosis amenable to percutaneous coronary angioplasty, compared with the latter, a 12-month exercise training program resulted in superior event-free survival and exercise capacity at lower costs.

**Beneficial effect of exercise conditioning**

Contemporary guidelines suggest that patients should engage in ≥30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity such as brisk walking on most, and preferably all, days of the week. Randomized trials have now shown that a lifestyle approach to physical activity among previously sedentary persons is feasible and has similar effects on aerobic fitness, body composition, and coronary risk factors compared with a traditional structured exercise program. Fortunately, a low aerobic fitness level can be improved by regular endurance exercise, augmented lifestyle activity, or both, with each 1-MET increase in exercise capacity conferring an 8% to 12% reduction in mortality.

**Coronary Artery Disease**

The effects of lifestyle change and drug therapy on cardiovascular risk reduction appear to be independent and additive. Intensive diet and exercise interventions can be highly effective in facilitating coronary risk reduction, complementing and enhancing medications and, in some instances, even outperforming drug therapy. However, the skyrocketing prevalence of obesity, diabetes mellitus, and physical inactivity suggest the need for "real world" interventions that are designed to circumvent and attenuate barriers to sustained lifestyle modification. These efforts go well beyond the physician’s office, and require major paradigm shifts that are supported by adequate patient education and encouragement, especially when individually tailored to the patient’s readiness to change, to serial monitoring, and to extensive environmental change.

**Hypertension**

The impact of lifestyle on the risk of cardiovascular disease has been well established in clinical trials, but these results are often overlooked and underemphasized. In the Dietary Approaches to Stopping Hypertension (DASH) study, a diet that was rich in fruits and vegetables and low-fat dairy products but low in saturated fat significantly lowered systolic and diastolic pressure to the same extent as observed in trials of drug monotherapy for mild hypertension. The higher the initial blood pressure reading, the greater the effect of the diet on lowering blood pressure. Moreover, it is estimated that a population-wide reduction in systolic or diastolic blood pressure of the magnitude observed with the combination diet would reduce the incidence of CHD and stroke by 15% and 27%, respectively. Accordingly, the DASH diet may represent an alternative to drug therapy for persons with hypertension, especially when combined with sodium levels of <100 mmol/day. More recently, the PREMIER clinical trial, which incorporated the DASH diet in 1 of 3 intervention groups, demonstrated the feasibility of comprehensive lifestyle modification in achieving substantial decreases in blood pressure, body weight, and sodium intake, and increases in physical fitness in patients with above-optimal blood pressure, including stage 1 hypertension.

**Diabetes mellitus**

Considerable data also strongly support the role of lifestyle intervention in improving glucose and insulin homeostasis. The effect of changes in lifestyle on the development of type 2 diabetes in
high-risk overweight subjects with impaired glucose tolerance has been well established. A combination of a reduction in body weight (by ≥5%), in fat intake (to <30% of energy intake) and in saturated fat intake (to <10% of energy intake) with an increase in fiber intake (to at least 15 g/1,000 kcal) and in exercise (to ≥30 minutes/day) resulted in a 58% reduction in development of diabetes mellitus compared with the usual-care control group, although the average weight loss in response to the intervention was only modest (3.5 ±5.5 kg by the end of year 2). Similarly, the Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group demonstrated that a lifestyle modification program with the goals of ≥7% weight loss and ≥150 minutes of physical activity per week in overweight patients with impaired fasting glucose resulted in a 58% reduction in development of diabetes compared with placebo, whereas drug therapy with metformin reduced the risk by only 31%.

**Congestive heart Failure**

For many years patients with heart failure were routinely advised to avoid all exertion. Starting from the late 1980s exercise training reports for CHF patients began to surface. These benefits have now been confirmed for many grades and stages of heart failure, and beneficial effects have been shown on symptoms, quality of life, exercise tolerance and many surrogate measures of heart failure severity and complications. In the first randomised controlled trial of exercise training in CHF it was shown that training could increase exercise tolerance and improve the symptoms of dyspnoea and fatigue. In further studies improvements were seen in autonomic, neurohormonal vascular, endothelial, muscular and ventilatory function. Even the heart was improved. A big step forward was made in 1999 when Belardinelli and colleagues showed that in addition to improvements in quality of life and exercise tolerance mortality was lower after training. Moreover, fewer hospital readmissions for heart failure were seen in the training group. Although it demonstrated a significant reduction in mortality and morbidity, the study was not a prospective trial powered and designed to evaluate this effect, and thus the results can not be considered definitive. It was followed, however, by an individual patient data meta-analysis that has confirmed a significant reduction in both the risk of death and number of hospitalisations for heart failure. We coordinated a collaborative meta-analysis with inclusion criteria covering all randomised parallel group controlled trials of exercise training for at least 8 weeks with individual patient data on survival for at least 3 months. Nineteen datasets, totalling 801 patients were identified and analysed; 395 patients received exercise training and 406 were controls. During a mean (S.D.) follow up of 705 (729) days there were 88 (22%) deaths in the exercise arm and 105 (26%) in the control arm. Exercise training significantly reduced mortality (hazard ratio 0.65, 95% p=0.015). The secondary end point of death or admission to hospital was also reduced (0.72; p=0.011). No statistically significant subgroup specific treatment effect was observed. We can summarise that training in selected CHF patients is beneficial and safe and can reduce mortality and morbidity. It should therefore be recommended for all stable class I-III CHF patients.

**Conclusion**

The presumed expense of the polypill, when applied to all subjects aged >55 years, would be staggering. If just a fraction of these funds were, instead, used to greatly enhance effective nation-wide primary prevention efforts (e.g. legislation to stop the proliferation of tobacco smoking, increased physical activity in schools and in the community, and promotion of production and consumption of healthy foods), targeting patients of all ages, the results could have a profound effect on risk reduction for generations to come. Nonetheless, entrepreneurial interests, consumer demand, and the incremental benefits of combination drug therapies (i.e. aspirin, statins, blockers, and ACE inhibitors) may fuel the development of a cardioprotective polypill, which may come to fruition in the next few years. We support the recommendation that treatment with the pill, or treatment with its respective components, individually or in combination, be accompanied by the following “User Directions”: “Take medication each day in the prescribed dosage, followed or preceded by ≥30 minutes of moderate physical activity, in combination with a low-fat, low-cholesterol diet, weight management, and the avoidance or cessation of cigarette smoking.”
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