Targeting the CD27-CD70 Pathway to Improve Outcomes in Both Checkpoint Immunotherapy and Allogeneic Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation
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Immune checkpoint inhibitor therapies and allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant (alloHCT) represent two distinct modalities that offer a chance for long-term cure in a diverse array of malignancies and have experienced many breakthroughs in recent years. Herein, we review the CD27-CD70 co-stimulatory pathway and its therapeutic potential in 1) combination with checkpoint inhibitor and other immune therapies and 2) its potential ability to serve as a novel approach in graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) prevention. We further review recent advances in the understanding of GVHD as a complex immune phenomenon between donor and host immune systems, particularly in the early stages with mixed chimerism, and potential novel therapeutic approaches to prevent the development of GVHD.

Keywords: CD27, CD70, immunotherapy, allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant (alloHCT), graft-versus-host disease (GVHD)

INTRODUCTION

Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant (alloHCT) provides the greatest probability for long-term cure in many hematologic malignancies where few other effective therapeutic options exist. However, despite the obvious life-saving benefits of alloHCT, graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), a significant toxicity of alloHCT, can be devastating and lead to multi-system tissue damage including the skin, liver, GI tract, and eyes potentially leading to significant morbidity and mortality including liver failure, systemic sclerosis, and severe ocular surface disease (1, 2). The treatment paradigm in alloHCT has evolved rapidly in the last three decades, largely due to a better mechanistic understanding of the complex interactions between donor and host immune cells and host organ systems. This understanding has revolutionized care and dramatically improved patient outcomes. This is well demonstrated by a retrospective analysis comparing alloHCT recipients with grade III and IV acute GVHD from 1997-2006 and 2007-2012 where 12-month treatment related mortality decreased from 58% to 38% in this period of time (3). These improved
clinical outcomes have occurred as a result of an improved understanding of the pathogenesis of GVHD. However, despite advances, GVHD remains a significant cause of morbidity and non-relapse related mortality in alloHCT.

The framework of classical acute GVHD occurring in the first 100 days of transplant due to alloreactivity driven by donor T-cells has more recently been supplanted by a more robust understanding involving the intricate interplay of donor and host immune cells with host tissue (4). The initiation phase of GVHD is believed to be mediated by both surviving host and donor Antigen Presenting Cells (APCs) (5, 6). The insult of conditioning chemotherapy and Total Body Irradiation (TBI) has been shown to cause significant changes in hematopoiesis, activation of host APCs, and host tissue damage, leading to an inflammatory environment, which sets the stage for the development of acute GVHD (7–10). This inflammatory milieu includes cytokine release in both hematopoietic and non-hematopoietic compartments, leading to both host and donor T-cell activation and proliferation and alloreactivity which subsequently damages host tissue as GVHD manifests (9–13). A multitude of diverse therapies to alter these underlying mechanisms of GVHD have been adopted into standard clinical practice. As the current standard of care, this has included post-transplant T-cell depletion with cyclophosphamide as well as corticosteroids, calcineurin and Inosine-5′-monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH) inhibitors, and Janus kinase inhibitors; while many others, including checkpoint inhibitors (CPI) and co-stimulatory receptors CD28 and 4-1BB (CD137) have been investigated in GVHD models (6, 14–18).

Another realm of treatment modality in the arena of cancer therapy that has revolutionized the field has been the adoption of CPI therapies, which are now utilized in the treatment of a diverse array of advanced stage malignancies, from non-small cell lung cancer to classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma (19, 20). Despite their successes in a diverse array of malignancies, overall response to CPI therapy remains low, with reported response rates of 12-24% in solid tumors to date (21, 22). The adoption of CPI therapy is based on the premise of the importance of the immune system, particularly the tumor microenvironment and more specifically cytotoxic CD8+ T-cells, in regulating tumor pathogenesis and progression. An important mechanism of tumor immune escape is the attenuation of cytotoxic T-cell activity and proliferation by T-cell exhaustion. Exhaustion occurs by a multifactorial etiology due to persistent tumor antigen exposure, loss of effector cytokine secretion/stimulation [Interleuken-2 (IL-2), Interferon (IFN)-gamma], immunosuppressive cell types (e.g. myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSCs)), and immunophenotypic changes, including increased checkpoint inhibitor expression [programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1), cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen number 4 (CTLA-4), T-cell immunoglobulin mucin-3 (TIM-3), and Lymphocyte-activation gene 3 (LAG-3)] (23, 24).

While CPI targeting agents derive their function by countering an inhibitory signal, an alternate and possibly synergistic approach has been agonizing T-cell stimulatory co-signaling pathways. Co-stimulatory pathways are broadly speaking, either part of the B7/CD28 or tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family (25). Clinically significant co-signaling pathways include CD26, CD27, CD28, CD40, 4-1BB (CD137), OX40 (CD134), glucocorticoid-induced TNF receptor family-related protein (GITR), herpes virus entry mediator (HVEM) (CD270), and inducible T-cell co-stimulator (ICOS) (26–29). Although a significant oversimplification, this is analogously described as CPI therapy being akin to “pulling the foot off of the brake”, while agonizing co-signaling pathways are “pressing down on the accelerator” (See Figure 1).

Thus far, the clinical use of co-stimulatory signaling pathways have lagged behind that of CPIs. However, given the need for improved response rates in those undergoing CPI therapy, the use of co-stimulatory pathways has been explored as a potential therapeutic intervention to increase responses. Additionally, the co-stimulatory receptors CD28 and 4-1BB (CD137) have been utilized in the development of both experimental and commercially available second generation chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapies leading to significantly greater activation, expansion, and persistence of CAR-T cells (30, 31). More recently, these pathways have also been studied.
and exploited as potential therapeutic targets for attenuating GVHD. Ultimately, however, the concern remains that any immunosuppressive GVHD-targeted therapy may adversely impact the graft-versus-tumor (GVT) effect as there is a strong correlation between incidence and severity of GVHD and disease free survival (32).

Thus, it is critical to identify co-stimulatory pathways which when blocked decrease GVHD but do not interfere with GVT. One potential way to decrease the incidence of GVHD would be by inhibiting a co-stimulatory receptor thereby attenuating CD4+ and CD8+ cytotoxic T-cell activity. CD26 has been studied in both pre-clinical and clinical models, while the CD27-CD70 pathway has been studied extensively in pre-clinical murine models. In murine models, inhibition of CD26 [also known as dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP4)] by a monoclonal antibody has been demonstrated to decrease GVHD incidence without compromising GVT (33). In a small, non-randomized clinical trial, the diabetic mediation and DPP4 inhibitor, sitagliptin, was administered from day -1 to day +14 of alloHCT, resulting in a low incidence (5%) of grades II-IV GVHD followed to day +100 (34). CD27-CD70 has also been studied in murine and cellular models. Cao et al. and colleagues demonstrated that antagonism of the host CD27-CD70 co-stimulatory pathway significantly increased, rather than decreased, the development of murine GVHD (35, 36).

Herein, we conduct an in-depth review of the CD27-CD70 pathway and its application in both GVHD attenuation following alloHCT and its use in the treatment of numerous malignancies in combination with CPI therapies.

**CD27-CD70 PATHWAY**

CD27, a member of the TNF receptor superfamily is constitutively expressed on naïve T-cells, memory B-cells, NK-cells, and hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and progenitor cells (37–40). CD27 is a transmembrane phosphoglycoprotein expressed on both CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells with increased expression upon T-cell activation and shedding from the cellular surface and formation of soluble CD27 (sCD27) upon activation (41, 42). CD70 (CD27L), the only ligand for CD27, is a tightly regulated transmembrane glycoprotein expressed on both B and T-lymphocytes and APCs (43). CD70 has structural similarity to other TNF superfamily members (TNFα, FasL, receptor activator of NF-κB ligand (RANKL), TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), 4-1BBL, CD30L, and CD40L) (44). Upon binding of CD70, CD27 is bound to TNF receptor-associated factors (TRAFs) leading to intracellular signaling which potentiates survival and activation of T, B, and natural killer (NK) cells via Traf2 and Traf5 signaling and activation of the NF-κB pathway (45). The interaction of CD27-CD70 is tightly regulated to prevent overexpression and subsequent excessive lymphocyte activation. In a normal physiologic state, CD70 is only expressed in the thymus and lamina propria (46). However, stimulation by interaction with toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands and dendritic cells (DCs), the most prominent of APCs, results in increased expression of CD70 on DCs, albeit transiently (47).

Although exceedingly rare, human CD27 deficiency has been associated with Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) associated lymphoproliferative disorders [lymphoma and hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH)], and recurrent infections (48, 49).

Under pro-inflammatory conditions (infection, malignancy, autoimmune conditions) CD27-CD70 activity is increased, leading to proliferation and survival of lymphocytes with multiple downstream effects (50). CD27-CD70 signaling has also been shown to promote B-cell activation and terminal differentiation to plasma cells, increase cytotoxic CD8+ T-cell activity, promote TNFα production by T-cells, and increase NK-cell activity with production of IFNγ and IL-2 (44). In response to IFN-γ secretion due to CD27-CD70 stimulation, C-C motif chemokine ligand 10 (CXCL10) [also known as interferon gamma-induced protein 10 (IP-10)] has been demonstrated to increase the CD8+ T-cell effector pool (51). Additionally, CD27 expression was noted in a subset of IFNγ producing γδ T-cells following infection, while CD27 negative γδ T-cells did not produce IFNγ, suggesting a role for CD27 in regulation of interferon and specific cytokine production in immune responses (52). The CD27 co-stimulatory response has also been shown to be key for acute effector CD8+ T-cell expression of IL-7Rα, an important cytokine for the generation of CD8+ T-memory cells (53).

In the bone marrow, HSCs are a heterogeneous population serving as precursors to all myeloid and lymphoid lineage cell types (54). In contrast to their mature counterparts, HSCs have limited surface antigen expression and lack lineage specific cell surface markers. However, interestingly, HSCs have been shown to exhibit high CD27 expression (90% of HSCs in murine models express CD27) (38, 55). In murine in vitro models, CD27 agonism of bone marrow progenitor cells decreased monocytic differentiation and overall inhibited leukocyte differentiation, while in competitive transplantation assays CD27 agonism decreased donor B and T lymphocytes, suggesting the CD27-CD70 pathway’s ability to influence hematopoiesis and immune cell differentiation (56).

**CD27-CD70 FOR CANCER IMMUNOTHERAPY**

At the time of writing, the study of the CD27-CD70 pathway in GVHD remains confined to murine and cellular models, with ongoing studies seeking to better understand the effect of CD27 agonism on donor hematopoietic cell differentiation, engraftment, and GVT effect. However, a CD27 agonizing monoclonal antibody, varulimumab, has been extensively studied both in in vitro and in vivo in phase I/II clinical trials for a number of hematologic and solid tumor types, including Hodgkin’s lymphoma, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL), glioblastoma, melanoma, renal cell carcinoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, and ovarian cancer (57–60). (See Table 1 for further details of previous and ongoing registered clinical trials.) The rationale behind these trials has been to study the impact of CD27 agonism alone as a T-cell co-stimulator as well as to determine if it functions in a synergistic
manner in combination with checkpoint inhibitor therapy and cancer vaccines to improve antineoplastic response. Additionally, many B-cell lymphomas express CD27, which may serve as a direct target in a fashion similar to CD20 targeting with Rituximab. In multiple in vitro and murine tumor models, PD1/PDL1 blockade in combination with an agonist CD27 monoclonal antibody was shown to enhance CD8+ cytokotoxic T-cell expansion and function in an IL-2 dependent manner with gene expression changes promoting T-cell proliferation (66). In various syngeneic tumor murine models, varilumab was shown to have two predominating anti-tumor mechanisms of action by its co-stimulatory effect and Treg depletion (67).

The recent development of a bispecific antibody, CDX-527, has sought to improve the efficacy of the CD27 agonism and PD1/ PDL1 blockade by combining CD27 agonism with cross-linking through PDL1 and Fc receptors (68). CDX-527 was demonstrated to have potent T-cell activation by increasing IL-2 and IFNγ production and anti-tumor activity to CD27-expressing lymphoma cells in an immunodeficient mouse model, with comparable anti-tumor activity to separate CD27 agonizing and PDL1 inhibiting monoclonal antibodies. Similarly, a hexavalent TNF receptor agonist (HERA) targeting CD27 has been developed and demonstrated to cause an increased proliferative response to CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells when compared to CD27L in vivo with healthy human T-cells and in vitro in murine models (69).

In addition to combination with checkpoint inhibitor therapy, the combination of anti-CD20 and CD27 agonizing monoclonal antibodies has been investigated in an immunocompetent murine B-cell lymphoma and B-chronic lymphocytic leukemia models with a 100% tumor remission rate noted at 100 days (70). The combination antibody group was noted to have significantly increased CD8+ cytotoxic T-cells and Treg cells compared to CD20 monoclonal antibody alone. Additionally, the combination was shown to promote tumor infiltration and activation of myeloid cells and macrophages towards an anti-tumor phenotype. The efficacy of this combined therapy is currently being investigated in humans in the RIVA study, a phase IIa open-label clinical trial of CD27-CD70 IN alloHCT AND GVHD

Traditionally, the prevailing thought behind the etiology of GVHD rested solely with donor immune cells, particularly T-cells becoming activated upon alloreactivity to host antigens. However, more recently, the complex interaction between donor and host immune systems leading to GVHD has been noted, particularly in the early stages of alloHCT, where a mixed chimerism exists (75, 76). While the pre-alloHCT conditioning regimen clears the peripheral blood of most host T-cells, they often persist for many months in the tissues most effected by acute GVHD—the skin and gastrointestinal tract. The role of persistent host T-cells mediating acute GVHD by interaction with donor APCs has been noted in murine models and in alloHCT transplant patients with increased IFNγ-secreting CD4+ T-cells in skin GVHD biopsies compared to healthy controls, as well as an increased monocyte population with upregulation of chemoattractant receptors and IFN-response genes (IFITM1 and GBP1) compared with healthy controls (77). Conversely, the interaction between host APCs and donor T-cells had been reported earlier to be associated with the development of acute GVHD (7, 11). These findings underscore the complexity of immune interactions between a diverse array of both donor and host immune cells that may ultimately result in the development of GVHD (see Figure 2).

The most commonly employed conditioning regimens in alloHCT are given with myeloablative or reduced intensity/non-myeloablative intensity consisting of a combination of myeloactive chemotherapeutic agents with or without TBI (78–80). The conditioning regimen acts as a profound insult to the marrow microenvironment leading to increased cytokine and interferon levels. This also impacts the function of HSCs, akin to emergency hematopoiesis seen in other stressful states such as severe infection and radiation exposure where pro-inflammatory...
### TABLE 1 | Clinical trials with CD27 agonizing monoclonal antibody.

| Study Title | Trial identifier | Status | Sponsor | Phase | Conditions | Intervention | Results* | Adverse Events** | Related Publications |
|-------------|-----------------|--------|---------|-------|------------|-------------|----------|------------------|---------------------|
| A Dose Escalation and Cohort Expansion Study of Anti-CD27 (Varilimumab) and Anti-PD-1 (Nivolumab) in Advanced Refractory Solid Tumors | NCT023235918 | Completed | Celldex Therapeutics | I/II | Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Head and Neck, Ovarian Carcinoma, Colorectal Cancer, Renal Cell Carcinoma, Glioblastoma multiforme | varilimumab and nivolumab | Colorectal cancer- 2/41 patients PR, 7/41 patients SD | Ovarian cancer- 5/49 patients PR, 19/49 patients SD | (61, 62) |
| A Study of CDX-1127 (Varilimumab) in Patients With Select Solid Tumor Types or Hematologic Cancers | NCT01460134 | Completed | Celldex Therapeutics | I | CD27 Expressing B-cell Malignancies (Hodgkin's Lymphoma, Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia, Mantle Cell Lymphoma, Marginal Zone B Cell Lymphoma, Amy T-cell Malignancy, Solid Tumors (Metastatic Melanoma, Renal Clear Cell Carcinoma, Hormone-refractory Prostate Adenocarcinoma, Ovarian Cancer, Colorectal Adenocarcinoma, Non-small Cell Lung Cancer), Burkitt's Lymphoma, Primary Lymphoma of the Central Nervous System | CDX-1127 (varilimumab) | Colorectal cancer- 1/10 patients CR, 1/10 patients PR | Any adverse event-9/34 patients | Grade 2 cytopenias- 3/34 patients | Grade 2 fatigue- 5/34 patients | Grade 2 neurologic symptoms- 2/8 | Grade 2 hypotension- 1/34 patients |
| Study of ONT-10 and Varilimumab to Treat Advanced Ovarian or Breast Cancer | NCT02270372 | Completed | Cascadian Therapeutics Inc. | I | Advanced Breast Carcinoma, Advanced Ovarian Carcinoma | ONT-10 and varilimumab | None Posted | None Posted | None Posted |
| A Study of Varilimumab and IMA950 Vaccine Plus Poly-ICLC in Patients With WHO Grade II Low-Grade Glioma (LGGS) | NCT029624038 | Recruiting | Nicholas Butowski, MD,University of California San Francisco | I | Glioma, Malignant Glioma, Astrocytoma, Grade II, Oligodendroglioma, Glioma, Astrocytic, Oligoastrocytoma, Mixed Grade | IMA950 vaccine, poly-ICLC vaccine, and varilimumab | None Posted | None Posted | None Posted |
| A Combination of Rituximab and Varilimumab Immunotherapy in Patients With B-cell Lymphoma (RIVA) | NCT031431762 | Recruiting | National Cancer Institute | II | Numerous subtypes of Non-Hodgkin lymphoma | varilimumab and nivolumab | None Posted | None Posted | None Posted |
| A Study of Varilimumab and IMA950 Vaccine Plus Poly-ICLC in Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Aggressive B-cell Lymphomas | NCT03307746 | Recruiting | University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust | I/II | CD20+ B-Cell Lymphomas | varilimumab and rituximab | None Posted | None Posted | None Posted |
| A Study of Varilimumab and IMA950 Vaccine Plus Poly-ICLC in Patients With Stage II-IV Melanoma | NCT04081688 | Recruiting | Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey | I | Refractory Lung Non-Small Cell Carcinoma, Stage IV Lung Cancer | varilimumab, atezolizumab, and stereotactic radiation therapy | None Posted | None Posted | None Posted |
| A Combination of Radiation Therapy for NSCLC Vaccination With 6MHP, With or Without Systemic CDX-1127, in Patients With Stage II-IV Melanoma | NCT03617328 | Recruiting | Craig L Slingluff, Jr MD,University of Virginia | I/II | Melanoma | CDX-1127 (varilimumab), 6MHP, Montanide ISA-51, polyICLC | None Posted | None Posted | None Posted |
| DC Migration Study to Evaluate TReg Depletion In GBM Patients With and Without Varilimumab (DERIVE) | NCT02688178 | Recruiting | Gary Archer Ph.D., Duke University | II | Glioblastoma | Human CMV pp65-LAMP mRNA-pulsed autologous DCs, temozolomide, varilimumab, Td, 111In-labeled DCs, Unpulsed DCs | None Posted | None Posted | None Posted |
| A Study of Varilimumab (Anti-CD27) and Ipilimumab and CDX-1401 in Patients With Unresectable Stage III or IV Melanoma | NCT02413827 | Terminated | Celldex Therapeutics | I/II | Unresectable Stage III or Stage IV Melanoma | varilimumab and ipilimumab; varilimumab, ipilimumab, CDX-1401, and poly-ICLC | None Posted | None Posted | None Posted |
| A Study of Varilimumab (Anti-CD27) and Sunitinib in Patients With Metastatic Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma | NCT02386111 | Terminated | Celldex Therapeutics | I | Carcinoma, Renal Cell, Urogenital/Urologic Neoplasms | varilimumab and sunitinib | None Posted | None Posted | None Posted |
| A Study of Varilimumab and Atezolizumab in Patients With Advanced Cancer | NCT02543645 | Terminated | Celldex Therapeutics | I/II | Carcinoma, Renal Cell, Urogenital/Urologic Neoplasms, Melanoma, Triple negative breast cancer, Head and neck cancer, Non-small cell lung cancer | varilimumab and atezolizumab | None Posted | None Posted | None Posted |
| Pilot Study of SBRT and CDX-1127 in Prostate Cancer (Prostate-04) | NCT02284971 | Terminated | James Lamer, MD, University of Virginia | I | Prostate cancer | Stereotactic Body Radiation and varilimumab | None Posted | None Posted | None Posted |

(Continued)
signals (IFNα/β, IFNγ, TNFα, IL1-R, IL-5, and IL-6) encourage HSC response and subsequent downstream maturation and differentiation (10, 12, 13). In a study of the bone marrow microenvironment in 28 patients undergoing alloHCT for hematologic malignancies, dramatic changes were noted over the course of one year. In six patients undergoing a myeloablative conditioning regimen, bone marrow samples were obtained on the day of transplantation (day 0) to determine the effect of conditioning, which demonstrated a statistically significant increase in Tregs and a 30-fold increase in IFNγ concentration (9). However, the concentration of IL-2, IL-6, IL-10, and IL-17A were not significantly different, while IL-1β, IL-4, IL-11, and TNFα were mostly undetectable. By day +100 (the timeframe for classical acute GVHD), the percentage of Tregs and concentration of IFNγ was comparable to healthy donors, suggesting a normalization of the bone marrow microenvironment by day +100.

Collectively, these findings suggest the importance of alterations in the bone marrow microenvironment following the noxious insult of the conditioning regimen leading to emergency hematopoiesis and the complex interaction of host and donor immune cells which may persist for many months following alloHCT, during the time acute GVHD is most likely to occur.

Given its ability to broadly influence hematopoietic differentiation and lymphocyte activity, the CD27-CD70 pathway presents itself as an attractive and novel target in the development of a future GVHD targeted therapy. Similar to the inhibition of CD26, it has been hypothesized that inhibition of CD27 would result in attenuated GVHD, namely by decreasing cytotoxic T-cell alloreactivity. However, in murine models, the administration of an anti-CD70 monoclonal antibody following alloHCT resulted in significantly increased GVHD in a dose dependent fashion (35). This was an unexpected finding, suggesting an alternative and more vital mechanism relating to the pathogenesis and development of GVHD. In further study, while APC-expressed CD70 provides a co-stimulatory signal, T-cell-expressed CD70 serves an inhibitory role in T-cell response, akin to C1Ps PD-1 and TIM-3, leading to decreased inflammatory response and GVHD in murine models (36). To better elucidate the mechanism of the CD27-CD70 pathway and its impact on GVHD pathogenesis, cytokines associated with GVHD were measured in CD70 knockout host mice which showed significantly higher levels of pro-inflammatory IFNγ, TNFα, IL-2, and IL-17 when compared to WT mice (see Figure 3) (35). This was noted to result in significant changes in host and donor immunophenotype with expansion of donor, but not host, CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells. Furthermore, CD70 knockout was studied in host hematopoietic and non-hematopoietic compartments, with CD70 knockout in hematopoietic compartments shown to result in greater GVHD, indicating that CD70 expression in host hematopoietic cells was the main contributor to the development of GVHD in these models. Meanwhile, interestingly, T-cell derived CD70 was shown to have an inhibitory role by inhibiting allogeneic CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell responses via caspase-dependent T-cell apoptosis and upregulation of inhibitory immune checkpoint inhibitor pathways (36). Thus, based on these findings, the
CD27-CD70 pathway has multiple immunomodulating effects, both activating and inactivating, depending on the environment and cell type expressing CD27 or CD70. This further suggests that the CD27-CD70 pathway also has an impact on host hematopoiesis and immune cell differentiation, impacting the development of GVHD, perhaps by promoting a decrease in inflammatory cell types in favor of less inflammatory ones, although more studies are required to develop an understanding of the underlying mechanisms.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

More recently, with the evolution of CPI and other T-cell concentrated therapies in other fields of Oncology, co-stimulatory mechanisms involved in the activation and proliferation of T-cells have been explored. Of notable importance, agonism of the co-stimulatory CD27-CD70 pathway, a member of the TNF superfamily, has been studied as a potential therapeutic intervention as an oncologic therapy for multiple tumor cell types as well as a therapeutic intervention to attenuate GVHD. Thus, agonism of the CD27-CD70 pathway presents itself as a novel future therapeutic target, particularly with the availability of a CD27 agonizing monoclonal antibody that has completed phase I/II study and been shown to be quite safe and well tolerated with minimal high-grade toxicities reported.
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