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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To develop and evaluate a novel non-ECG triggered 2D magnetic resonance fingerprinting (MRF) sequence allowing for simultaneous myocardial T₁ and T₂ mapping and cardiac Cine imaging.

Methods: Cardiac MRF (cMRF) has been recently proposed to provide joint T₁/T₂ myocardial mapping by triggering the acquisition to mid-diastole and relying on a subject-dependent dictionary of MR signal evolutions to generate the maps. In this work, we propose a novel “free-running” (non-ECG triggered) cMRF framework for simultaneous myocardial T₁ and T₂ mapping and cardiac Cine imaging in a single scan. Free-running cMRF is based on a transient state bSSFP acquisition with tiny golden angle radial readouts, varying flip angle and multiple adiabatic inversion pulses. The acquired data is retrospectively gated into several cardiac phases, which are reconstructed with an approach that combines parallel imaging, low rank modelling and patch-based high-order tensor regularization. Free-running cMRF was evaluated in a standardized phantom and ten healthy subjects. Comparison with reference spin-echo, MOLLI, SASHA, T2-GRASE and Cine was performed.

Results: T₁ and T₂ values obtained with the proposed approach were in good agreement with reference phantom values (ICC(A,1) > 0.99). Reported values for myocardium septum T₁ were 1043 ± 48 ms, 1150 ± 100 ms and 1160 ± 79 ms for MOLLI, SASHA and free-running cMRF respectively and for T₂ of 51.7 ± 4.1 ms and 44.6 ± 4.1 ms for T2-GRASE and free-running cMRF respectively. Good agreement was observed between free-running cMRF and conventional Cine 2D ejection fraction (bias = −0.83%).

Conclusion: The proposed free-running cardiac MRF approach allows for simultaneous assessment of myocardial T₁ and T₂ and Cine imaging in a single scan.

1. Introduction

Cardiac Magnetic Resonance (CMR) imaging has become a key non-invasive diagnostic tool to assess anatomical structures, characterize myocardial tissue and evaluate functional and mechanical properties of the heart [1]. CMR is the current gold standard for the assessment of left ventricular function and viability, based on Cine and late-gadolinium enhancement imaging, respectively. A large range of cardiac diseases also involve myocardial fibrosis, inflammation and oedema. Recently quantitative mapping of tissue parameters, such as T₁ and T₂ relaxation times, have been introduced to non-invasively characterize these biological processes in the myocardial tissue, promising to enable early risk assessment and therapy monitoring [2–4].

Conventional quantitative MR relaxometry techniques usually acquire multiple good quality images at different points along the T₁ and T₂ relaxation process. A parametric map is then obtained by fitting the reconstructed images to the corresponding exponential relaxation model on a pixel-wise basis. However, these techniques require longer acquisition times than conventional qualitative (e.g. T₁-weighted, T₂-weighted) MR imaging. Multiple parametric maps, usually required to investigate the variety of clinical manifestations of the disease, are acquired sequentially, further increasing the scan time. Moreover, these measurements are susceptible to system imperfections and other confounding factors (e.g. inter-parameter dependency).
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Recently, simultaneous estimation of $T_1$ and $T_2$ parameters has been proposed to accelerate myocardial tissue characterisation and correct for inter-parameter induced biases in the measurements. A balanced steady state free precession (bSSFP) Look-Locker acquisition (CABIRIA) [5] with joint $T_1$ and $T_2$ fitting has been recently proposed, showing good agreement to MOLLI [6] and $T_2$ prepared bSSFP [7] mapping in terms of accuracy and precision. Another joint fitting model technique, based on saturation and $T_2$ preparation pulses, has been proposed [8] to achieve higher accuracy in the $T_1$ measurement at the cost of precision (comparable to SASHA [9]).

Similarly, Magnetic Resonance Fingerprinting [10] (MRF) has also been proposed to simultaneously map multiple parameters. An MRF experiment includes three main components: 1) a variable acquisition scheme used to generate unique signal evolutions (known as fingerprints) for each tissue; 2) a highly undersampled acquisition trajectory that introduces spatio-temporally incoherent artefacts; and 3) a dictionary-based matching for the simultaneous estimation of multiple parameter maps. MRF with continuous data acquisition was initially proposed for simultaneous $T_1$, $T_2$, $M_0$ and $B_0$ quantification of brain imaging demonstrating reduced scan times relative to conventional methods. However, recently proposed cardiac MRF (cMRF) [11-13] required several adaptations to jointly map myocardial $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation times. Electrocardiogram (ECG) triggering and breath-holding are needed to minimize cardiac and respiratory motion. Variable magnetization preparation with interleaved inversion recovery (IR) and $T_2$ preparation ($T_2$ prep) pulses are employed in cardiac MRF to increase sensitivity to $T_1$ and $T_2$ parameters. Furthermore, whereas dictionaries can be computed once (per sequence) ahead of time for continuous MRF, cardiac MRF requires subject-specific dictionaries that incorporate information about the heart rate variability throughout the scan.

In this study, we investigate the feasibility of a novel continuous “free-running” cardiac MRF approach (free-running cMRF) for simultaneous myocardial $T_1$ and $T_2$ mapping (at a given cardiac phase) and cardiac Cine imaging in a single-scan. Free-running cMRF is based on a bSSFP acquisition [1-4] with interrupting adiabatic IR pulses for continuous $T_1$ encoding and a large range of varying flip angle for continuous $T_2$ encoding. The reconstruction process uses retrospective soft-gating from a simultaneously recorded ECG signal to avoid triggering during acquisition, and a recently introduced multi-contrast patch-based undersampled reconstruction [15].

2. Methods

2.1. Acquisition

The proposed acquisition scheme is depicted in Fig. 1. Free-running cMRF consists of a continuous radial sampling of the k-space with a tiny golden angle increment of 23.63° [18] and bSSFP readouts. The flip angle pattern follows that proposed in Assländer et al. [19], although here the pattern was truncated to obtain a significant inversion of the myocardium’s longitudinal magnetization at every IR pulse. Several repetitions of the same flip angle pattern (730 timepoints) are performed, each preceded by an inversion of the magnetization.

A correction for incoherent phases at the center of k-space ($k = 0$) [20] is performed prior to reconstruction to correct for phase and trajectory errors due to gradient delays and eddy currents. In the context of single contrast imaging an object will have a fixed phase and magnitude. In free-running cMRF experiments, phase variations can be due to varying contrasts and trajectory errors. Assuming smooth contrast variations, the original phase is shifted to a sliding window average phase. At each inversion pulse abrupt contrast and phase changes occur, therefore the sliding window average is applied for each repetition of the flip angle pattern separately (Supporting Information Fig. S1).

2.2. Cardiac gating

The continuously acquired data is retrospectively assigned to different cardiac phases using a simultaneously acquired ECG signal. Soft-gating [21] is performed by weighting the data acquired at time-point $t$ to be assigned to the cardiac phase $p$ with the weights $w_p$ given by:

$$w_p(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & |t - t_p| < \frac{L_p}{2} \\ e^{-a \times \text{dist}(t)} & |t - t_p| > \frac{L_p}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(1)

where $a$ is a scaling factor, $\tau$ a threshold value to discard low weighted time-points, $L_p$ the minimum acquisition window of cardiac phase $p$, dist the normalised distance between time-point $t$ and cardiac phase $p$, and $t_p$ the center of cardiac phase $p$.

2.3. Reconstruction

MRF time-series images for a given cardiac phase are reconstructed using low-rank MRF inversion [17] and a recently introduced multi-contrast patch-based undersampled reconstruction [15].

2.3.1. Low rank inversion reconstruction

Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_x,N_y,N_z}$ be the $N_t$ 2D time-point MRF images (vectorized), of dimensions $N_x$ and $N_y$, to be reconstructed. MRF time-series images are usually highly correlated. This has been demonstrated using a singular value decomposition (SVD) along the temporal dimension of the MRF dictionary $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{USV}^\mathsf{T}$ [16]. The low rank inversion (LRI) [17] method takes advantage of this observation to reconstruct $\mathbf{R}$ (with $\mathbf{R}$ singular images defined as $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{U}_t^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}$ (instead of the entire MRF time-series) where $\mathbf{U}_t \in \mathbb{C}^{N_x \times N_x N_t}$ is the block matrix applying the left singular vector matrix $\mathbf{U}$ truncated to an appropriate rank $R$ to all pixels of the image series $\mathbf{x}$, with $N_t = N_x N_y N_z$ the multi-coil image size and $N_t$ the number of coils. The LRI reconstruction problem is formulated as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \text{argmin}_x \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{A}_t \mathbf{U}_t \mathbf{F} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{k} \|_2^2$$

(2)

where $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_x,N_y,N_z}$ is the undersampled k-space data, $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_x \times N_x N_t}$ the coil sensitivity maps, $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_y \times N_y}$ the Fourier transform and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_x N_y \times N_x}$ is the sampling operator, $N_t = N_x N_y N_z$ the multi-coil k-space size, and $N_t$ and $N_y$ being respectively the number of samples along the frequency and phase encoding directions.

In free-running cMRF a specific dictionary $\mathbf{D}_p$ for a given cardiac phase $p$ is obtained by applying the soft-gating $w_p$ to each fingerprint of the dictionary $\mathbf{D}$ along the time dimension. $\mathbf{U}_p$ is thus obtained from the SVD of $\mathbf{D}_p$ and the LRI reconstruction of $\mathbf{x}_p$ the singular images for a given cardiac phase $p$ is given by:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_p = \text{argmin}_x \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{W}_p (\mathbf{A}_t \mathbf{U}_t \mathbf{F} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x}_p - \mathbf{k}) \|_2^2$$

(3)

Here $\mathbf{W}_p \in \mathbb{C}^{N_x N_y N_z}$ is the diagonal matrix applying the soft-gating vector $w_p$ to all k-space points.

2.3.2. Multi-contrast patch-based reconstruction: HD-PROST

To further improve the reconstruction, a high order patch-based regularization (Fig. 2) was incorporated into the LRI formulation for each cardiac phase [15]. HD-PROST iterates between a 1) multi-contrast regularized LRI reconstruction and 2) a multi-contrast tensor-based denoising/de-aliasing step that is used as prior to regularise the optimization problem in step 1. This denoising step exploits local (within a patch), non-local (between similar patches within a
A free-running cardiac MRF acquisition scheme. A free-running acquisition is performed with an inversion pulse at the beginning of the acquisition and following inversion pulses applied every 2.9 s throughout the scan. The same flip angle train (A) is applied after each inversion pulse. In B) the longitudinal magnetization evolution of a reference myocardium tissue for the first 3 repetitions is shown (different colours represent signal corresponding to different cardiac phases). The signal is continuously sampled with a tiny golden radial (~23°) trajectory (C). The pulse diagram (D) shows the initialization with an adiabatic hyperbolic inversion pulse, and the first four RF pulses from A) showing flip angles θ(1) = θ(2)/2 and readouts balanced along all directions.

neighbourhood) [22,23] and contrast (between different singular images) [24–26] related redundancies for denoising/de-aliasing through a higher order singular value decomposition. Briefly, HD-PROST reconstruction for a given cardiac phase is formulated as:

$$L_T \sum = \ast A U F C k P x x = \arg \min \| ( ) \|_2 \| \lambda p b x p^2 = (4)$$

Fig. 1. Free-running cardiac MRF acquisition scheme. A free-running acquisition is performed with an inversion pulse at the beginning of the acquisition and following inversion pulses applied every 2.9 s throughout the scan. The same flip angle train (A) is applied after each inversion pulse. In B) the longitudinal magnetization evolution of a reference myocardium tissue for the first 3 repetitions is shown (different colours represent signal corresponding to different cardiac phases). The signal is continuously sampled with a tiny golden radial (~23°) trajectory (C). The pulse diagram (D) shows the initialization with an adiabatic hyperbolic inversion pulse, and the first four RF pulses from A) showing flip angles θ(1) = θ(2)/2 and readouts balanced along all directions.

Fig. 2. A) Reconstruction scheme for free-running cardiac MRF with two alternating optimizations. Optimization 1: Low rank inversion with prior-based regularization for reconstruction of compressed singular images $x_p$ for each cardiac phase $p$. Optimization 2 (B): High-order denoising/de-aliasing, where a three-dimensional tensor is created by stacking similar patches within a search window (dashed blue box) for multiple contrasts. A high order low rank assumption is made along 3 dimensions: locally (within a patch), non-locally (between similar patches in a neighbourhood) and along different contrasts of the singular images at iteration $i$ ($x_p^i$). A low-rank tensor, for each patch, is obtained by singular value thresholding. At each iteration the denoised/de-aliased images $\bar{T}_b$ are obtained by re-aggregating the denoised/de-aliased patches and is then used as prior for the low rank inversion reconstruction in Optimization 1. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
where the operator $P_{s\lambda}$ constructs a third order tensor $T_s$ composed of similar multi-contrast patches from the patch centred on voxel $b$ and $\lambda$ is the corresponding regularization parameter promoting low-rank regularization. More details about the implementation of HD-PROST can be found in Bustin et al. [15].

2.4. MRF parameter mapping reconstruction

2.4.1. Signal model

The MRF signal evolution was simulated using a Hybrid-State Free Precession (HSFP) framework [14], assuming a simplified single compartment model and adiabatic transitions between steady states. An analytical solution to the transient behaviour of the magnetization vector $r$ in the spherical coordinates $(\epsilon_e, \epsilon, \epsilon_p)$ is given by:

$$r(t) = a(t)\left(r(0) + \frac{1}{T_1} \int_0^t \cos \delta(t) \, dt \right)$$

and

$$a(\rho) = \exp\left( -\int_0^\rho \frac{\sin^2(\delta) + \cos^2(\delta)}{T_2} \, d\tau \right)$$

where $t$ is the time elapsed since the last inversion pulse, $r$ is the magnitude of the magnetization vector $r$ along $\epsilon_e$, $r(0)$ is the magnitude after the last inversion pulse and $\delta$ is its polar angle with respect to the orientation of the main magnetic field. In HSFP, $\delta(t)$ is determined by the flip angle $\theta(t)$ and is set as $\delta(t) = \frac{\theta(t)}{2}$ due to the 180° phase cycling. Assuming on-resonance spins the evolution of the signal $s$ is given by $s(t) = r(t) \sin(\theta(t))$.

Adiabatic hyperbolic precessant inversion pulses are employed in the proposed free-running cMRF. To correctly describe the signal evolution of low $T_1$ and $T_2$ components, a Bloch simulation of this pulse is considered. The inversion efficiency of the pulse obtained from simulation and defined as $\delta(T_i, T_s) = \frac{r(t)}{T_2 + \frac{h_2}{\tau}}$ where $\tau$ and $t$ are the moments right before and after the inversion pulse, is then incorporated in the HSFP simulations by replacing $r(0)$ accordingly after each inversion. A slice profile correction for accurate representation and matching of the signal [27] was also incorporated by simulating for each RF pulse, $N_i$ isochromats ($N_i = 51$ here) equally spaced along the slice selection axis.

2.4.2. $T_1$/$T_2$ maps generation

Matching between each pixel of the reconstructed singular images $\tilde{x}_{\lambda_\sigma}$ and the temporally compressed dictionary $d_{0\lambda} = U_{0\lambda} \tilde{D}_{\lambda}$ is performed to generate the maps for a given cardiac phase $p$. Compressed time-series and dictionary entries are all normalised and an exhaustive search of $d_{\lambda}$ is performed to obtain the parameter values corresponding to the maximum of the magnitude value of the complex dot product.

2.5. Experiments

Phantom and in-vivo acquisitions in 10 healthy subjects (30 ± 3 years, 4 males) were performed on a 1.5 T MR scanner (Ingenia, Philips Healthcare, Netherlands). Data were acquired with 28-channel cardiac and posterior coils. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before undergoing the MR scans and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board.

2.5.1. Phantom acquisition

Experiments were performed in a $T_1$/$T_2$ standardized (TIMES) phantom [28]. This phantom includes $T_1$ and $T_2$ values typical of cardiac acquisitions such as blood ($T_1 = 1489$ ms, $T_2 = 243$ ms) and myocardium ($T_1 = 1090$ ms, $T_2 = 48$ ms). Free-running cMRF, conventional 2D ECG-triggered MOLLI [5(3)3] [6] and SASHA [9] $T_1$ maps, as well as T2-GRASE [29] maps were acquired with the same resolution ($2 \times 2 \times 10$ mm³) for comparison purposes. Sequences were repeated three times to assess repeatability of the measurements in phantom.

Free-running cMRF imaging parameters were set as followed: spatial resolution $2 \times 2$ mm³, slice thickness 10 mm, field of view (FOV) = 288 $\times$ 288 mm², bandwidth (BW) = 542.5 Hz/pixel, TR = 4 ms, echo time (TE) = 1.99 ms, acquisition time 29.4 s. Inversion recovery spin echo measurements for reference $T_1$ values and spin echo for $T_2$ values are provided by the manufacturer [28]. $T_1$ spin echo (IRSE) acquisition parameters include: TR = 10s, TE = 14.75 ms, 8 inversion delays in the range of 25 ms to 3200 ms. $T_2$ spin echo (SE) acquisition parameters include 8 echo times ranging from 10 to 640 ms. Acquisition parameters for MOLLI included: TR/TE = 2.4/1.19 ms, flip angle (FA) = 35°, SENSE factor = 2, BW = 1085 Hz/pixel. Acquisition parameters for SASHA included: TR/TE = 2.4/1.19 ms, saturation times: 120:60:650 ms (last saturation times only when applicable due to heartrate constraints) and infinity image, FA = 70°, SENSE factor = 2, BW = 1085 Hz/pixel. Acquisitions parameters for T2-GRASE included: TEs = 8.3:8.3:74.7 ms, EPI factor = 7, FA = 90°, SENSE factor = 2.4 and double inversion recovery for blood signal nulling.

2.5.2. In vivo acquisitions

In vivo scans were performed in short-axis orientation. Free-running cMRF acquisition was performed after hyperventilation [30]. Acquisition parameters for free-running cMRF were set as for the phantom experiment. Conventional MOLLI [5(3)3] and SASHA $T_1$ maps, as well as T2-GRASE maps were acquired in diastole for all subjects. A prospectively gated 2D bSSFP Cine scan was obtained for comparison purposes in eight out of ten subjects. Cine acquisition parameters included: TR/TE = 2.8/1.39 ms, FA = 60°, SENSE factor = 2, BW = 1377 Hz/pixel, eight cardiac phases.

2.5.3. Free-running $T_1$ and $T_2$ cMRF reconstruction

The MRF dictionary $D$ was computed once for a range of $T_1$s of [50:10:1400, 1430:30:1600, 1700:100:2200, 2400:200:3000] ms and a range of $T_2$s of [5:2:80, 85:5:150, 160:10:300, 330:30:600] ms. The specific $T_1$ and $T_2$ values of the standardized phantom were also included in the dictionary.

Free-running cMRF $T_1$ and $T_2$ maps were reconstructed at diastole using the recorded or simulated (phantom) ECG signal and by selecting cardiac phase $p = 6$ of 8 with $L_p = 1/8$th of the cardiac cycle. The simulated ECG signal for the phantom experiments corresponded to a fixed heartrate of 65 beats per minutes. Reconstruction parameters were set empirically in one data set and used for the rest of the acquisitions. The rank $R$ was set to 6 and the soft-gating parameters $\alpha$ and $\tau$ were set to 4.5 and 0.5 respectively, resulting in a soft weighted acquisition window of 27% of the cardiac cycle (with 12.5% fully weighted). HD-PROST reconstruction parameters were set empirically following those employed in Bustin et al. [15], i.e. $\lambda = 5 \times e^{-1}$, patch size = $5 \times 5$, search window = $20 \times 20$; number of selected similar patches = 20, ADMM iterations = 6. Reconstruction was performed using MATLAB (The MathWorks Inc) and took ~22 min per cardiac phase, including 21 min for HD-PROST reconstruction and 1.7 s for matching on a Linux workstation with 8 Intel Xeon E5-2687W (3.1 GHz) and 252 GB RAM.

2.5.4. Free-running Cine cMRF reconstruction

A bSSFP contrast was synthetically generated from $T_1$, $T_2$ and $M_0$ maps obtained from free-running cMRF using the bSSFP analytical on-resonance signal equation [31]:

$$S_{\text{bSSFP}} = M_0 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{E_2 (1 - E_1) \sin \theta}}{1 - E_1 E_2 (E_1 - E_2) \cos \theta}}$$

where $E_{1,2} = e^{-TR/T_1}$ and $\theta$ is the nominal flip angle. The synthetic bSSFP was generated using $TR = 2.5$ ms and $\theta = 60\degree$ and 8 cardiac phases.

Other synthetically generated contrasts (e.g. black-myocardium and...
black-blood) can also be generated from T1, T2 and M0 maps obtained with the proposed free-running cMRF, as described in Supporting Information Text S1.

2.5.5. Analysis

Regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn in the 9 phantom vials and in the septum of 10 healthy subjects. Free-running cMRF T1 and T2 measurements were compared to conventional measurements through coefficients of determination ($r^2$), intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC), Bland-Altman plots, boxplots, mean bias and standard deviation (SD) of the measurements. The ICC(A, 1) was used when comparing results to the trusted reference phantom spin echo measurements, and the ICC(A, k) when assessing repeatability (as defined in [32]). An estimated 2D ejection fraction (EF) is computed from the diastolic and systolic areas obtained from the synthetic bsFFP and conventional Cine scans. A Bland-Altman plot, coefficient of determination and ICC(A, k) are reported. Paired t-tests comparing T1, T2 and 2D EF measurements were performed to assess if the results are statistically significant ($p < .05$; or $p < .025$ for T1 comparisons using the Bonferroni correction).

3. Results

3.1. Phantom study

T1 and T2 measurements of the standardized phantom with the proposed and conventional approaches in comparison to the gold standard spin echo measurements are shown in Fig. 3. Correlation analysis ($r^2 > 0.99$, ICC(A,1) > 0.99 for T1 and T2) indicated good agreement of the proposed and conventional methods with the reference methods. Accurate T1 and T2 values were obtained for all but the highest T2 values. When considering all vials small biases ($−11$ ms for T1 and $−3.1$ ms for T2) were observed. Bland Altman plots (Supporting Information Fig. S2) comparing the three free-running cMRF measurements showed very high correlation (ICC(A,k) > 0.99) and low 1.96SD of 1.9 ms for T1 and 0.57 ms for T2, indicating similar repeatability to MOLLI (1.96SD = 2.2 ms) and T2-GRASE (1.96SD = 0.37 ms) in phantom.

3.2. In vivo study

T1 and T2 maps obtained with the proposed free-running cMRF are compared to MOLLI, SASHA and T2-GRASE maps for a healthy subject in Fig. 4. Singular value images and corresponding T1 and T2 maps from LRI and HD-PROST reconstruction are shown in Fig. 5 for a different subject.

Over all subjects, T1 average measurements and mean SD values were $1043 \pm 48$ ms, $1150 \pm 100$ ms and $1160 \pm 79$ ms for MOLLI, SASHA and free-running cMRF respectively with no significant differences between SASHA and free-running cMRF ($p = .7326$). T2 values were $51.7 \pm 4.1$ ms and $44.6 \pm 4.1$ ms for T2-GRASE and free-running cMRF respectively. The negative bias observed in T2 ($−7.1$ ms) was statistically significant ($p = 4.7E^{-3}$). Tukey boxplots (Fig. 6) summarizes the in-vivo T1 and T2 mean and standard deviation measurements for the different methods and statistically significant differences.

Free-running cMRF Cine images are compared to conventional Cartesian Cine imaging in Fig. 7.A. The resulting measured 2D EF was compared using a Bland-Altman plot (Fig. 7.B) showing good agreement (ICC(A, k) = 0.95, mean bias = $−0.83\%$) between the two methods with no statistically significant differences ($p = .38$).

Black-myocardium and black-blood synthetic contrast images
generated from the proposed free-running cMRF acquisition are shown at systole and diastole in Fig. 7 and for eight cardiac phases in Supporting Information Fig. S1. Corresponding free-running cMRF Cine images are also included in Fig. 8 and Supporting Information Fig. S3.

4. Discussion

This study presents a novel non-ECG triggered 2D magnetic resonance fingerprinting (MRF) sequence for simultaneous and inherently co-registered myocardial T1 and T2 mapping and cardiac Cine imaging. Phantom results indicate good agreement with the gold standard.
sequences for both T1 and T2 measurements with accuracy and precision comparable to clinically used methods.

While rewound gradient echo was used in previously proposed ECG-triggered cardiac MRF [11], bSSFP was employed in this study. Balanced acquisitions in the context of MRF presents higher resilience to through plane motion [33] and are intrinsically flow corrected. Balanced sequences also have less diffusion weighting [34], and higher SNR. However, they are more sensitive to B0 and B1 inhomogeneities. A short, fixed TR of 4 ms with smooth flip angle variations was used to mitigate sensitivity to B0. However, corrections for B0 and B1 inhomogeneities were not considered in this study and are possible sources of bias.

In vivo myocardial septal measurements showed no statistically significant differences between free-running cMRF and SASHA (p = .7326) mean T1 and between free-running cMRF and conventional Cine (p = .38) 2D EF measurements (Fig. 6). A statistically significant bias compared to T2-GRASE (-7.1 ms (p = 4.7E-3)) is reported in this study. Similar biases in T2 have been also reported in previous MRF [35,36] and cardiac MRF studies [11,37,38]. In-vivo precision was assessed through standard deviation measured in the septum, which is a suboptimal but accessible surrogate for precision, and indicated lower precision of free-running cMRF in vivo compared to phantom results can be attributed to confounding factors such as partial volume effects [39], higher field inhomogeneities, magnetization transfer [40,41], diffusion [34], flow [42] and to remaining in plane and through plane motion during the scan [33,43]. Through plane motion in 2D MRF brings fresh magnetization into the excited slice which will corrupt the acquired MRF signal irreversibly and bias the estimated parameter maps [33,43]. Blood entering and leaving the imaging slice (potentially crossing the acquisition plane multiple times during a heartbeat) could not be modelled (similar to previous cMRF approaches [11,37]) and larger biases were observed in the blood pools than in the myocardium. In this study, we chose a large slice thickness (similar to conventional triggered cardiac T1 and T2 mapping) to mitigate the bias induced by through-plane motion on the myocardium measurements, however this will always affect 2D scans. To avoid biases due to cardiac motion across the cardiac cycle, free-running 3D MRF approaches will be investigated in future works. The results of this study show the feasibility of 2D free-running cardiac MRF, warranting future extensions to 3D.

In contrast to previously proposed cardiac MRF [11], the proposed approach does not require ECG triggering. The proposed non ECG-triggered cardiac MRF offers the opportunity of evaluating cardiac function and tissue properties simultaneously. Moreover, the proposed approach does not require a patient specific dictionary, which may become computationally demanding as more parameters are considered in the MRF signal model. Consequently, it should be simpler to simulate longer acquisitions (e.g. those needed for 3D scans) and incorporate additional MRF parameters (e.g. MT, diffusion) and corrections into a free-running cardiac MRF acquisition.

One of the limitations of this study is the low temporal resolution of the Cine images. In the proposed reconstruction, the temporal resolution is automatically adapted to the patient’s heartrate and is between 12.5% and 27% of the mean cardiac cycle (i.e. between 125 ms and 270 ms for a heartrate of 60 bpm). This temporal resolution is low in comparison to conventional cardiac Cine functional imaging (usually...
(~50 ms) and leads to some blurring of the images however no statistically significant bias were observed in the 2D EF measurements between the proposed approach and conventional Cine imaging. Another limitation of this study is the long acquisition time. Although long breath-holds are attainable in patient populations using hyperventilation, as shown by Fischer et al. [30], reducing acquisition time and increasing temporal resolution by exploiting anatomical and relaxation information redundancies in the cardiac dimension will be investigated in future works to allow for further undersampling of a given cardiac phase. This could be achieved for example following a similar approach to the recently introduced cardiac MR multitasking [44] that exploits low rankness in both contrast and motion dimensions for reconstruction.

Fig. 7. A) Conventional Cartesian Cine scan in comparison to the proposed free-running cardiac MRF Cine sequence for 8 cardiac phases. B) Bland Altman plot comparing the proposed and conventional 2D ejection fraction measurements in 8 subjects. High correlation (ICC(A, k) = 0.95) and no statistical differences (p = .38) were observed between methods.

Fig. 8. Systolic (top) and diastolic (bottom) synthetic contrasts generated from the proposed free-running cardiac MRF acquisition. From left to right: Cine (bSSFP with 60° flip angle), black myocardium and black blood images (see Supporting Information Text S1).
or extending HD-PROST by modifying the operator $P_{\text{sh}}$ to exploit patch similarities also in the cardiac direction [45]. Moreover, motion alignment [46] or motion correction [43] could be exploited between cardiac phases to allow for a matching on the whole fingerprint. This could enable higher temporal resolution and shorter acquisition times.

In this study free-running cardiac MRF was performed without contrast injection. Post contrast injection applications could potentially offer shorter acquisition times due to shorter relaxation times, additional extra cellular volume (ECV) information and synthetic late gadolinium enhanced contrasts [47,48] different cardiac phases could be obtained. Such an acquisition providing tissue characterisation and function with whole heart coverage could greatly simplify the workflow of CMR exams [44] by providing comprehensive assessment of cardiac health in one acquisition potentially acquired twice (pre and post contrast).

5. Conclusion

A novel free-running cardiac MRF framework for simultaneous and inherently co-registered myocardial $T_1$ and $T_2$ mapping and cardiac Cine imaging was proposed. Accurate and precise $T_1$ and $T_2$ measurements were obtained in phantom and accurate left ventricular 2D ejection fraction was obtained in-vivo. The feasibility of two-dimensional free-running cardiac MRF was investigated, further extensions to three-dimensional free-running acquisitions and validation in patients is warranted.
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