Developmental processes are highly dependent on transcriptional regulation by RNA polymerase II, which initiates transcription at the core promoter. The dorsal-ventral gene regulatory network (GRN) includes multiple genes that are activated by different nuclear concentrations of the Dorsal transcription factor along the dorsal-ventral axis. Downstream core promoter element (DPE)-containing genes are conserved and highly prevalent among Dorsal target genes. Moreover, the DPE motif is functional in multiple Dorsal target genes, as mutation of the DPE results in the loss of transcriptional activity. Furthermore, analysis of hybrid enhancer-promoter constructs reveals that the core promoter composition plays a pivotal role in the transcriptional output. Importantly, we provide in vivo evidence that expression driven by the homeotic Antennapedia P2 promoter during Drosophila embryogenesis is dependent on the DPE. Taken together, we propose that transcriptional regulation results from the interplay between enhancers and core promoter composition, thus establishing a novel dimension in developmental GRNs.

Introduction

Developmental processes are highly dependent on transcriptional regulation by RNA polymerase II. Multiple sequence-specific DNA binding transcription factors and co-regulators control gene expression, but the ultimate target of the transcription machinery is the initiation of transcription at the core promoter, which could hence be referred to as the gateway to transcription. The RNA pol II core promoter is typically ~80 nucleotides in length (-40 to +40 relative to the +1 transcription start site) and encompasses the transcription start site. Core promoters may contain one or more functional DNA sequence elements, termed core promoter elements or motifs, such as the TATA box, TFIIB recognition elements (BREa and BREb), initiator (Inr), the TCT motif, motif 10 element (MTE), and downstream core promoter element (DPE), which confer specific properties to the core promoter. In addition, it is well documented that certain basal transcription factors can bind specific core promoter motifs, suggesting the regulation of transcription through specific core promoter motifs. Specific core promoter motifs have previously been implicated in the regulation of specific regulatory networks. The polypyrimidine initiator (TCT) was demonstrated to direct the transcription of genes encoding factors involved in protein synthesis, including ribosomal proteins and other protein synthesis factors. The downstream core promoter element (DPE) has previously been identified in multiple developmentally-regulated genes. The homeotic (Hox) genes determine the identity of the segments along the anterior-posterior axis in the developing embryo. Most of the Drosophila Hox gene promoters (all of which lack the TATA box element), contain functionally important DPE motifs. Moreover, Caudal, a master regulator of the Hox genes, exhibits preferential activation of
DPE-containing promoters as opposed to TATA-containing promoters. It is likely that the distribution of specific core promoter elements in the genome is not random, but rather is clustered within functional groups.

Dorsal-ventral patterning of the Drosophila embryo is one of the most critical events during early embryogenesis. The regulation of dorsal-ventral axis formation is mediated through DNA elements, referred to as cis-regulatory modules, which can be bound by transcription factors. The overall interactions between the modules regulating the same process can be mapped to generate a gene regulatory network (GRN).5,18 The dorsal-ventral patterning network includes multiple genes that are activated by different nuclear concentrations of the Dorsal transcription factor along the dorsal-ventral axis (e.g., refs. 19–23). Activation of Dorsal target genes is achieved by the recruitment of Dorsal to the enhancers of these genes, which contain Dorsal-binding sites hundreds or even thousands of base pairs upstream of the transcription start site.

We have recently discovered that the dorsal-ventral developmental GRN is dependent on the presence of the DPE motif.24 We demonstrated that over two-thirds of Dorsal target genes contain DPE sequence motifs, which is significantly higher than the proportion of DPE-containing promoters in Drosophila genes. Furthermore, we showed that multiple Dorsal target genes are evolutionarily conserved and functionally dependent on the DPE. Here, we discuss our recent findings and provide additional evidence that supports the concept of specialized transcription systems, which are dependent on specific core promoter elements.

**Activation of DPE-Containing Dorsal Target Genes Differs from Activation of TATA Box-Containing Target Genes**

The TATA box is the first core promoter motif identified.25 It is conserved from archaeabacteria to humans.26 The TATA box is bound by the TATA box-binding protein (TBP) subunit of TFIID, which was shown to be necessary for TATA-dependent transcription. The DPE was originally discovered as a TFIID recognition site that is located downstream of the initiator element (precisely from +28 to +33 relative to the A+1 of the Inr) and is conserved from Drosophila to humans.27,28 Interestingly, TBP downregulates DPE-dependent transcription.17 NC2 and MOT1, which have been shown to be positive regulators of DPE-dependent transcription, do so by counteracting TBP, thus relieving its inhibition of DPE transcription.29-31 While the overall frequency of a TATA box among Drosophila promoters is 18%, less than 8% of the Dorsal target genes appear to contain a TATA box without a DPE motif.24 In the mesoderm, where Dorsal nuclear concentration is the highest, there are no Dorsal target genes containing solely the TATA box motif. To examine Dorsal activation of TATA-containing promoters, we mapped the putative Dorsal DNA binding sites within the enhancers of Dorsal target genes Aspartyl β-hydroxylase (asph) and Actin 5C (act5C). Consensus Dorsal DNA-binding sites (GGG(W)nCCM, where (W)n is 4–5 repeats of T/A29), were identified using JASPAR (http://jaspar.genereg.net). The asph promoter contains a TATA box, an Inr and a DPE motif. We examined the
activation of an asph genomic fragment encompassing from -1373 to +114 relative to the A+1 of the Inr. The genomic fragment, which contains 8 putative Dorsal binding sites, was cloned upstream of a firefly luciferase reporter gene to generate a wt asph reporter. In addition, we generated an asph reporter with a mutation in the TATA box (mTATA) and an asph reporter with a mutation in the DPE motif (mDPE). The activation of these constructs by co-transfected Dorsal (kindly provided by Prof Albert Courey, UCLA) was analyzed by dual luciferase reporter assays in Drosophila S2R+ cells. A mutation of the TATA box of asph causes a substantial reduction in basal activity (in the absence of transfected Dorsal), whereas a mutation of the DPE motif does not result in reduced basal activity (Fig. 1A). Mutation of either the TATA box or the DPE motif does not significantly reduce the activation of asph by Dorsal. Hence, Dorsal is able to activate the asph promoter either through the DPE or the TATA box, and each motif is sufficient to allow activation of the asph promoter by Dorsal.

It has previously been reported that Dorsal activates the actin5C gene, which contains both a TATA box and an initiator (Inr) motif. To analyze the activation of the actin5C gene, we used an actin5C genomic fragment encompassing from -2560 to +88 relative to the A+1 of the Inr that was cloned upstream of the Renilla luciferase gene (kindly provided by Prof Norbert Perrimon, Harvard Medical School). This genomic fragment contains 7 putative enhancer-promoter constructs. We have shown that both twist and tinman are DPE-dependent promoters. We suggested that the core promoter has a major impact on the transcriptional output, as hybrid enhancer-promoter constructs of tinman enhancer and twist core promoter resulted in an expression pattern similar to the native twist enhancer-promoter constructs. These results were obtained by in vitro transcription reactions with Drosophila embryo nuclear extracts followed by primer extension analysis. To further explore this effect of the core promoter, we have generated the complementary hybrid constructs, which contain the twist enhancer upstream of the tinman core promoter. Similarly to the already published experiments, we generated a series of constructs with three different core promoter variations—wt, mDPE, and mDPE, to which a TATA box was added (mDPE+TATA). The activity
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of the natural tinman enhancer-promoter construct is reduced to 10% following a mutation of the DPE (Fig. 2A). Interestingly, the addition of a TATA box to a mDPE-containing tinman promoter results in an alternatively initiating transcript (Fig. 2A). It is fascinating that the transcription activities of the hybrid reporter constructs using Drosophila embryo nuclear extracts are very similar to the activities of the natural enhancer-promoter constructs (Fig. 2B), suggesting that even though the enhancers are present in these constructs, the activity of the constructs in the embryo nuclear extracts is dictated by the core promoters. The alternatively initiating transcript that was detected for the tinman enhancer-promoter mDPE+TATA can also be detected in the hybrid twist enhancer-tinman core promoter mDPE+TATA construct (Fig. 2), implying that the TATA box directs alternative transcription initiation. Collectively, the analysis of the hybrid enhancer-promoter constructs in nuclear extracts derived from Drosophila embryos highlights the contribution of the core promoter to the transcriptional output both in terms of the transcription levels and start site selection.

**The DPE Motif Is Necessary for Transcriptional Regulation in Vivo**

To examine the importance of the DPE motif in vivo, we have used the φC31 site-specific integration system\(^{33,34}\) to generate transgenic flies expressing the EGFP reporter gene driven by the natural enhancer and downstream promoter of one of the Hox genes, Antennapedia (Antp P2). The Antp P2, as well as the majority of the promoters of the Hox genes, was previously analyzed using Drosophila embryo nuclear extracts and Schneider cells and was shown to be regulated in a DPE-dependent manner.\(^{17}\) We have generated flies containing either the natural enhancer of Antp P2 promoter (wt) or an Antp P2 promoter with a mutation in the DPE motif (mDPE). EGFP expression, which was detected by immunostaining of 2–16 h embryos with anti-GFP antibodies, is typical for the Antp genomic fragment used\(^{35,36}\) and is highly dependent on the DPE, as mDPE-Antp P2-EGFP flies do not express EGFP (Fig. 3). Hence, the DPE motif is necessary for transcription of the Antp gene in the developing Drosophila embryo.

The next challenge would be to investigate the effects of mutations in different core promoter elements of multiple developmental regulators, in order to decipher the in vivo importance of the core promoter’s composition. It would be exciting to compare the in vivo expression driven by promoters in which the TATA box could compensate for the loss of a DPE and examine whether in vivo DPE-driven transcription is different than TATA-driven transcription. Such analysis may also provide new insights into the spatial and temporal expression of different core promoter variants.

**The Core Promoter Is a Pivotal Dimension of Gene Regulatory Networks**

Overall, these findings, together with previously published data\(^{4,37,38}\) strongly advocate that the core promoter itself is a critical regulatory component for gene expression. We envision the core promoter composition as an additional component of the dorsal-ventral gene regulatory network, which contributes to the combinatorial transcriptional output (Fig. 4). The enrichment of the DPE in Dorsal target promoters, as compared with the TATA box motif, is most
striking in the mesodermal genes, which respond to the highest Dorsal nuclear concentrations. The enrichment of the DPE motif in the promoters of Dorsal target genes is decreasing with Dorsal nuclear concentration. The correlation between Dorsal nuclear concentration and core promoter composition, as well as the regulation of multiple key mesodermal Dorsal target genes via the DPE motif, reinforce this model. Further support for this model is provided by the expression pattern of hybrid enhancer-promoter constructs that recapitulate the same pattern detected for the relevant natural core promoter, and not the enhancer.

Taken together, the core promoter composition is an integral part of transcriptional regulation. We believe that developmental gene regulatory networks that are assembled based on transcription factors and cis-regulatory modules should be revisited to incorporate the additional dimension, that of the core promoter composition.
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