Renouncing care in French Guiana: the national health barometer survey

Astrid Van Melle¹, Claire Cropet¹, Marie-Claire Parriault¹, Leila Adriouch², Hélène Lamaison³, Francine Sasson⁴, Hélène Duplan⁴, Jean-Baptiste Richard⁵ and Mathieu Nacher¹,²*

Abstract

Background: In French Guiana, health inequalities are patent for a broad range of pathologies for all age groups. The objective of the present study was to quantify the proportion of the population that had renounced care in the past year, to study predictive factors, and to compare results with other French territories.

Methods: A two-stage random sample of 2015 individuals aged 15 to 75 years was surveyed by telephone. A descriptive analysis of variables relative to renouncing care, use of health care, screening, and vaccination was initially performed. Multivariate analysis was then used to determine variables associated with renouncing care for financial reasons and renouncing for reasons linked to time were directly estimated using a Poisson model on weighted data. Variables with a significance level < 0.2 in the bivariate analysis were included in the full multivariate model.

Results: In French Guiana, during the past 12 months, 30.9% of surveyed persons renounced care whatever the type for financial reasons. Results of the multivariate analysis showed that gender, perceived financial situation, perceived health and complementary insurance status were independent predictive factors of care renouncement for financial reasons. Overall, 24% of the surveyed population declared having renounced to care for time-related motives. The independent predictors for time-related renouncing were different than those for renouncing care for financial reasons: a higher education level and a poor perceived health were independently associated with time-related renouncement; retired persons and students were found to renounce care less frequently than persons with a job.

Conclusions: Renouncing for financial reasons, a major target of the 2016 health law, represented a public health problem in French Guiana. Renouncing for lack of time was an important motive for renouncing, which is aggravated by the insufficient number of health professionals, but may benefit from organizational solutions. There are avenues for improvement of health for the most vulnerable: promote health, act on risk factors, and facilitate the readability and accessibility of the health system. Recent reforms to stabilize health insurance may however have some adverse consequences for migrants.
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Background

Health inequalities remain salient between different countries and within a given country [1]. The absolute and relative wealth levels are important but relative differences are prominent [2, 3]. Income inequalities are compounded by health inequalities, with rich countries generally having better health indicators than poor countries [4]. However, there is a great heterogeneity between situations [5]. Technical progress in medicine, quantitative or qualitative increases in health services do not necessarily translate in the reduction of health inequalities. Universal health care may contribute to the reduction of health inequalities, but not necessarily, the average health may improve without eliminating the social gradient. There may be several explanations for this: diverse population categories may, at a constant health level, have different preoccupations relative to health; there may be informational barriers that lead the poorest and least educated to have a different relation to health and their body, and to have less knowledge of the health care system. Hence, health-seeking behavior tends to be late and more oriented towards curative care in this sub-population; in addition, financial barriers (remaining health costs, transport...) may also explain the social gradient for health. The reasons why patients are tested late, and/or let serious diseases without treatment and care are complex. Certain persons do not seek care, in other words they do not use the available public health-services [6] but others will renounce care, which suggests that obstacles ultimately lead them to renounce health care despite recognizing a need. The difference between not using and renouncing may be fuzzy. Renouncing care can be classified in 3 causal categories [7]: acceptability, availability, and accessibility. Acceptability corresponds to a personal choice, leading persons to renounce care because it is personally or culturally not acceptable. Availability and accessibility directly pertain to the health system and are endured by the patient. The notion of availability concerns the presence of infrastructures and/or delays to access them. Accessibility concerns transport and cost. For accessibility and availability public policies have the power to improve things.

Studies of the use of health services may aim for immediate application in the formulation and implementation of public policy [8] but they may have the broader and more challenging aim to understand why services are used or not used [9]. Researchers have attempted to link different variables to the individual’s likelihood of perceiving an event as a symptom or to his mode of responding to a symptom. There is a social class gradient in terms of the likelihood of interpreting a particular sign as a symptom [10]. Ethnic values also affect the decision to seek medical attention and the differential interpretation of objectively similar symptoms [11, 12].

On the opposite end of this equation lies a highly variable and crucial element: behavior. Health behavior has been defined as “any activity undertaken by a person who believes himself to be healthy, for the purpose of preventing disease or detecting disease in an asymptomatic stage.” Illness behavior has been defined as “any activity undertaken by a person who feels ill, for the purpose of defining the state of his health and of discovering suitable remedy.” Finally, sick-role behavior “is the activity undertaken by those who consider themselves ill for the purpose of getting well” [13].

Health or illness behavior refer to the subjective world of the behaving individual and not with the “objective” world of medical science [13]. The two are only partly correlated. Thus, persons unconcerned with a certain aspect of their health will not be likely to perceive any health services or information pertaining to that aspect of their health. Without concern or motivation for action, the environment will be preferably perceived in accordance with the person’s motives [14]. Moreover, underlying emotional aspects have greater influence for behavior than cognitive aspects. Perceived susceptibility, perceived seriousness, and perceived benefits of taking action and barriers to taking action, and readiness to take action vary widely between individuals. Depending on the various levels of these elements, and the presence of sufficient cues to trigger action, individuals may or may not use health services [13, 15].

However, the above individual aspects of behavior should not occult the fundamental importance of the social context in decision processes. Xenophobia, lack of transport, for instance, may thus selectively hamper access to care of vulnerable populations. Hence, the perception of an inclusive social system (education, health...) or a hostile system may have far reaching consequences of behavior towards the system, even before persons become ill.

French Guiana is a French territory located between Brazil and Suriname. It has the administrative status of a French “Departement” and Region, as found in mainland France. Persons (French citizens or documented foreigners) have access to a universal health care system with health insurance, the “sécurité sociale”, for employed persons, for low resource persons, and undocumented foreigners who have been staying for over 3 months on the territory have access to health insurance (Aide Médicale Etat). The GDP per capita is the highest in Latin America, and French Guiana is thus attractive for populations in search for better economic opportunities. French Guiana is a multicultural territory with populations of African, Asian, or European ancestry. Regarding health care, the level of care is often much better than elsewhere in Latin America, with rights and public funding that are unmatched on the
continent. Paradoxically, in comparison with other French territories, French Guiana faces numerous challenges [16]: demography, with the highest population growth rate on the continent, socio-economic problems, with a high unemployment rate, with 30% of immigrants generally living in poverty and not speaking French, and frequent illiteracy [16, 17]. A large proportion of the population does not have a valid health insurance, which restricts their access to care. Hence, although the Emergency department is always accessible for acute problems, structures dealing with chronic conditions may be more complicated to access in the absence of health insurance.

In French Guiana, health inequalities are patent for a broad range of pathologies for all age groups, from infectious diseases to non-communicable diseases. Thus undocumented immigrants reported a worse health when compared to others immigrants, and reported health declined over time [18]; immigrants were also diagnosed later for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) [19], they were more susceptible to interrupt HIV follow-up and treatment [20]; for cancer, immigrants had more advanced stages and a poorer prognosis for cervical cancer [21] and a much lower survival for breast cancer [22]. At the Emergency ward in Saint Laurent du Maroni, the severity and the hospitalization rate were higher among undocumented immigrants [23]. An epidemic of Beriberi was recently reported among illegal gold miners in French Guiana [24]. In all the above studies, being an immigrant is used as a proxy to poverty, vulnerability and difficulties in accessing care leading to patent health inequalities. A recent study in the poorest neighborhoods around Cayenne showed that although three quarters of this population (73.4%) declared that it was easy to see a physician, 21% had renounced care in French Guiana [25]. The main reasons identified by multivariate analysis were « shyness » to ask questions when interacting with the administration or health structures, having previously been denied care by a physician. On the contrary, having previously had a family doctor reduced the likelihood of renouncing care. Thus, for the most vulnerable, negative experiences seemed to be generalized and perceived as a sign of non-eligibility or exclusion. However, counter-intuitively, 66.4% of persons having renounced care said it was easy to see a doctor. This study was based on a convenience sample in the precarious areas and did not allow making inferences beyond the sampled population.

The Health barometer survey is conducted by Santé Publique France, the French National public health agency, among the general population living in metropolitan area. For the first time, in 2014, this survey was conducted in four overseas territories, including French Guiana. The objective of the present study was to quantify the proportion of the randomly selected population that had renounced care in the past year, to study predictive factors in this socially and culturally complex isolated territory, and to compare results with other French territories.

Methods

The 2014 Health Barometer survey uses a telephone and computer assistance and the overseas survey relies on a method similar to that of the « Health Barometer » study conducted in mainland France [25]. Health Barometer survey uses a two-stage random sample: sampling telephone numbers and sampling a single respondent within the eligible persons using one telephone number. First, phone numbers, fixed and cellular, were randomly generated. Then one person was randomly selected among eligible persons living in the household. To be eligible, a household had to include at least one person aged 15 to 75 years, residing in French Guiana, speaking French or Creole. Anonymity and respect of confidentiality were guaranteed using a procedure erasing the phone number. This procedure was approved by the French regulatory authority, the Commission Nationale de l’Informatique et des Libertés (CNIL). The study was conducted between April and November 2014. The refusal rate seemed lower than in mainland France (9% vs 25%), but a greater proportion of numbers remained unreachable (39% vs 18%). The participation rate was thus 49, 3% of interviews were abandoned. The mean duration of the questionnaire administration was 37 min. Data from French Guiana were weighed using sampling weights, taking into account the probability of drawing the phone number, the number of eligible individuals, and of phone lines within the household, then calibrated with reference data from the French National Institute for Statistics (2011 French Guiana population census). This calibration takes into account gender, age, education level, and household structure. The sample consisted of 2015 individuals aged 15 to 75 years [26]. More details concerning the survey methodology of the Health Barometer have been published elsewhere [27].

All analyses were performed with Stata® 13.0 software (College Station, Texas), using survey commands.

A descriptive analysis of variables relative to renouncing care, use of health care, screening, and vaccination was initially performed. The test used for bivariate analyses was Pearson’s Chi² for weighted data using the second order Rao-Scott correction.

Multivariate analysis was then used to determine which variables were associated with renouncing care for financial reasons and which variables were associated with renouncing for reasons linked to time. As the
prevalence of the outcomes of interest were greater than 10%, the common approximation of prevalence ratios by odds ratios using a logistic model was not appropriate; this would have led to overstate the effects size. Thus, prevalence ratios were directly estimated using a Poisson model on weighted data. Variables with a significance level < 0.2 in the bivariate analysis were included in the full multivariate model. This broad threshold allowed taking into account variables for which measures of association were potentially confounded. Variance inflation factors (VIF) were studied to avoid collinearity between variables included in the full multivariate model: the VIF for all included variables were < 1.5. A backward regression method was then used to keep in the final multivariate model only factors significant at the 0.05 level. Interactions between explanatory variables were then tested and removed if non-significant. Complementary analyses were performed to interpret factors associated with renouncing care for reasons linked to time. Indeed, although there was no multicolinearity, there were relations between the predictor variables. The analysis of interaction terms showed several significant interactions complicating the interpretation of the model. Thus we performed a multiple correspondences analysis to characterize patient profiles associated with renouncing care for reasons linked to time.

Results

Use of the health system

In 2014, 79% of persons had consulted a general practitioner for themselves at least once (71% of men, 85% of women), 43% had consulted a dentist at least once (36% of men, 49% of women); these levels were lower than those of other French territories (85% for general practitioners and 56% for dentists) (Fig. 1). Half of French Guianese women consulted a gynecologist in the past year, a proportion which was similar to other overseas territories but lower than in mainland France (57%) (Fig. 1). This difference was strongly linked to age: the frequencies of gynecologic consultations were comparable before 45 years (55% vs 60%), but in women over 45 years, gynecologic consultations were much less frequent in French Guiana relative to mainland France (37% vs 54%) [26].

When looking at the use of the health system in the subpopulation expected to require consultations by a health professional, diabetic persons (declaring having been told by their doctor to be diabetic) were less likely to have consulted a general practitioner or a specialist in the past 12 months relative to the French territory of La Réunion, the only territory with comparable data (Table 1).

Similarly, persons declaring frequent or very frequent dental problems were less likely to have consulted a dentist in French Guiana than in other overseas French territories (Table 2).

There was no significant difference regarding consultations of an ophthalmologist for persons declaring visual problems despite their glasses or contact lenses.

Renouncing care for financial reasons

In French Guiana, during the past 12 months, 30.9% of surveyed persons renounced care whatever the type (dental, ophthalmology, general practitioner or other care) for financial reasons.

Results of the multivariate analysis showed that gender, perceived financial situation, perceived health and complementary insurance status were independent predictive factors of care renouncement for financial reasons (Table 3).

---

![Fig. 1](image_url) Use of health services in the 12 months prior to the survey in French Guiana and in Mainland France. Legend: Consultation in the 12 months prior to the survey.
24% of the surveyed population declared having because appointment delays were too long. In French Guiana, however, there were a greater proportion of persons renouncing for transport difficulties: 12% vs 8% in other French overseas territories and 6% in mainland France. This motive was often cited by younger age groups: 15% of 15–30 years (7%), 12% of the 31–45 years (5%), 7% among persons aged over 45 years (6%).

### Non financial motives for renouncing care

Regarding the non-financial motives for renouncing care, 9% renounced because of the distance of the health care professional (7% in mainland France) and 23% (vs 22% in mainland France) because the delay to obtain an appointment was too long. In French Guiana, however, living alone and residence location (coastal, Western, Eastern, Savannas) were not statistically associated with renouncing care for financial reasons. When distinguishing between types of care, 19% of persons declared renouncing dental care (19%), 14% renounced optical devices, and 12% renounced a consultation with a doctor (general practitioner: 8%, specialist: 5%).

#### Table 1 Use of health service in the 12 months prior to the survey among diabetic persons

| Among diabetic persons | In the 12 months prior to the survey | Have consulted a general practitioner | Have consulted a specialist |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
|                        |                                   | Yes | Ch²        | Yes | Ch²        |
| French Guiana (N = 118) | %                                  | 83.2 | p < 0.01   | 29.8  | p < 0.05   |
| La Réunion (N = 166)    | %                                  | 95.4  | 45.8       |
| Total                  | %                                  | 91.7  | 39.7       |
| N                      | 263                                | 121 |

Living alone and residence location (coastal, Western, Eastern, Savannas) were not statistically associated with renouncing care for financial reasons. When distinguishing between types of care, 19% of persons declared renouncing dental care (19%), 14% renounced optical devices, and 12% renounced a consultation with a doctor (general practitioner: 8%, specialist: 5%).

### Non financial motives for renouncing care

Regarding the non-financial motives for renouncing care, 9% renounced because of the distance of the health care professional (7% in mainland France) and 23% (vs 22% in mainland France) because the delay to obtain an appointment was too long. In French Guiana, however, there were a greater proportion of persons renouncing for transport difficulties: 12% vs 8% in other French overseas territories and 6% in mainland France. This motive was often cited by younger age groups: 15% of 15–30 years (7%), 12% of the 31–45 years (5%), 7% among persons aged over 45 years (6%).

Among those having declared renouncing for financial reasons in the past year, 37.5% also declared renouncing because appointment delays were too long.

When pooling all the different motives linked to time, 24% of the surveyed population declared having

#### Table 2 Use of health service in the 12 months prior to the survey among people who have dental problems or who have difficulty with their glasses or contact lenses

| In the 12 months prior to the survey | Among people who often or very often have dental problems | Among people who have difficulty with their glasses or contact lenses |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                    | Have consulted a dentist (%)                           | Have consulted an ophthalmologist (%)                                |
|                                    | Yes | Ch²        | Yes | Ch²        |
| Martinique                         | 70.1 | p < 0.001 | 52.9 | p = 0.47   |
| Guadeloupe                         | 64.3  | 52.3       |
| French Guiana                      | 45.8  | 44.7       |
| La Réunion                         | 71.7  | 55.1       |
| Total                              | %   | 60.8       | Yes | 50.9       |
| N                                  | 431 | 452        |
| Variable                          | Having renounced care for financial reasons (%) | \(p^*\) | Prevalence ratio** | \(p^{**}\) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|----------|
| Gender (\(N = 2015\))           |                                               |        |                   |          |
| Male                             | 25.3                                          | < 0.001| 1                 | 0.001    |
| Female                           | 36.2                                          |        |                   |          |
| Age (\(N = 2015\))              |                                               |        |                   |          |
| 15–24 years                      | 23.6                                          | 0.010, |                   |          |
| 25–34 years                      | 35.9                                          |        |                   |          |
| 35–44 years                      | 32.0                                          |        |                   |          |
| 45–54 years                      | 35.9                                          |        |                   |          |
| 55–64 years                      | 29.2                                          |        |                   |          |
| 65–75 years                      | 26.3                                          |        |                   |          |
| Birth place (\(N = 2015\))      |                                               |        |                   |          |
| Born in France                   | 27.1                                          | < 0.001|                   |          |
| Foreign-born                     | 37.7                                          |        |                   |          |
| Educational level (\(N = 1994\))|                                               |        |                   |          |
| None                             | 36.8                                          | 0.002  |                   |          |
| Below High school graduation     | 27.4                                          |        |                   |          |
| High school graduation           | 30.2                                          |        |                   |          |
| first college degree             | 30.0                                          |        |                   |          |
| Equal or above to a bachelor degree| 23.1                                          |        |                   |          |
| Language most often spoken (\(N = 2015\)) |                                        |        |                   |          |
| French                           | 26.9                                          | < 0.001|                   |          |
| Creole                           | 38.6                                          |        |                   |          |
| Portuguese                       | 45.4                                          |        |                   |          |
| English                          | 31.9                                          |        |                   |          |
| Nengue tongo                     | 26.1                                          |        |                   |          |
| Amerindians languages            | 33.8                                          |        |                   |          |
| Others                           | 19.0                                          |        |                   |          |
| Perceived Financial Status (\(N = 2000\)) |                                            |        |                   |          |
| You are really comfortable       | 15.6                                          | < 0.001| 1                 | 0.000    |
| Your are comfortable             | 21.7                                          |        | 1.36 [0.96;1.93]  |          |
| It is tight                      | 32.2                                          |        | 1.96 [1.37;2.81]  |          |
| It’s really difficult            | 49.1                                          |        | 2.78[1.99;3.89]   |          |
| You do not make ends meet        | 55.4                                          |        | 2.90 [1.98;4.25]  |          |
| without making debts             |                                               |        |                   |          |
| Professional Status (\(N = 2014\))|                                               |        |                   |          |
| Employed                         | 29.4                                          | < 0.001|                   |          |
| Student                          | 19.4                                          |        |                   |          |
| Unemployed                       | 39.1                                          |        |                   |          |
| Retired                          | 21.4                                          |        |                   |          |
| Other inactive                   | 42.5                                          |        |                   |          |
| Living conditions (\(N = 2014\))|                                               |        |                   |          |
| Having water at home             | 29.7                                          | 0.020,| 0.020,            |          |
| No water at home                 | 41.3                                          |        |                   |          |
| Residence location (\(N = 2015\))|                                               |        |                   |          |
| Coastal                          | 33.1                                          | 0.137  |                   |          |
| Savanas                          | 25.4                                          |        |                   |          |
| Western                          | 27.6                                          |        |                   |          |
| Eastern                          | 26.5                                          |        |                   |          |
| Living alone (\(N = 2007\))     |                                               |        |                   |          |
| Yes                              | 30.6                                          | 0.369  |                   |          |
| No                               | 34.1                                          |        |                   |          |
| Having a religion (\(N = 2007\))|                                               |        |                   |          |
| Yes                              | 33.9                                          | 0.001  |                   |          |
| No                               | 25.1                                          |        |                   |          |
Guiana, the health system often uses comparisons with mainland France as a national benchmarking reference to evaluate the performance, and often to point at perceived national inequities in the allocation of resources in the republic. The question of renouncing care reveals another subjective side to the state of health care. Thus, in French Guiana, during the past 12 months, 30.9% of surveyed persons had renounced care for financial reasons (12.2% a consultation, 19% dental care, and 13.8% optical care). The level of renouncement to a medical consultation was higher than in mainland France (12.2% vs 7.6%). The level of renouncement to optical care was significantly higher than in mainland France (14% vs 12%). The level of renouncement for dental care was similar than in mainland France (19% vs 17%).

After multivariate analysis, the variables remaining independently associated with renouncing care for financial reasons were classical [23, 28]: women were more likely to renounce, those with a poor perception of their health, those with the lowest incomes, those without a complementary health insurance; the multivariate analysis also showed that those who were retired or students were less likely to renounce for financial reasons. Women may renounce more for individual reasons (often a poorer perception of their health) but in addition to individual reasons, there may be important contextual and cultural reasons, such as the constraints of school schedules, family roles, which may not be necessarily taken into account in the health services’ organization. It was counter intuitive and thus noteworthy that residence location was not associated with any significant differences regarding renouncing care for financial reasons. However, the underrepresentation of certain remote areas may be an explanation.

The concern for equity in access to health and healthcare, and the evaluation of social programs make renouncing for financial reasons an important indicator. Moreover, renouncing care or not seeking care for non-financial reasons may also have dramatic health consequences. The present study showed that 22.9% of persons declared renouncing care because delays for an appointment were too long. Among those who had declared renouncing for financial reasons, 37.5% also declared renouncing because the delays for an appointment were too long. When considering all motives for renouncing (appointment delays, waiting time, time in general), 24% of the surveyed population declared renouncing for reasons pertaining to discrepancy between delays to get care and patient’s available time. In addition transport problems were also a motive for renouncing care, and more so in French Guiana (12%) relative to mainland France (5.8%).

Since a variety of personal, interpersonal and situational factors influence health decisions, inducing modifying health behavior may be attempted at various points in the decision process: minimizing barriers, maximizing convenience, providing effective cues to action may increase acceptance of health programs [13]. However, despite these attempts, some will remain unresponsive to the efforts of the health system. For these persons efforts will need to be focused directly on their beliefs or their behavior. Educational programs designed to increase life skills and the acceptance of the beliefs as well as the adoption of preventive health behavior should be directed primarily to the most vulnerable groups. However, a process of self-selection often leads these target groups to not to consume scientific and health information transmitted through the mass media, which have not been particularly effective in changing health beliefs and behavior. Personal influence in face-to-face contacts may be more effective in educating members of the affected groups. It is not clear whether school health programs have a lasting effect on the acquisition of health beliefs and behaviors. Research

| Variable | Having renounced care for financial reasons (%) | p* | Prevalence ratio** | p** |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------|----|-------------------|-----|
| Perceived health (N = 2003) | | | | |
| Very good | 23.1 | < 0.001 | 1 | 0.0002 |
| Good | 27.9 | 1.06 [0.82;1.37] |
| Fairly good | 34.9 | 1.17 [0.90;1.51] |
| Poor / Very poor perceived health | 63.1 | 1.71 [1.27,2.29] |
| Having complementary health insurance (N = 1991) | | | | |
| Yes | 28.8 | < 0.001 | 1 | 0.000 |
| No | 40.1 | 1.44[1.20,1.74] |

*Pearson’s chi-square test in bivariate analysis
**Final multivariate model

The variable "Religion" and "Housing condition" were not included in the multivariate model because they describe various situations and profiles that did not allow interpretation.
| Variables                      | Have renounced for time-related reasons (%) | $p^*$ | Prevalence ratio** | $p^{**}$ |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------|---------|
| Gender (N = 2012)             | Male                                       | 21.4  | 0.031             |         |
|                               | Female                                     | 26.5  |                   |         |
| Age (N = 2012)                | 15–24 years                                | 20.5  | 0.011             |         |
|                               | 25–34 years                                | 26.9  |                   |         |
|                               | 35–44 years                                | 29.3  |                   |         |
|                               | 45–54 years                                | 25.4  |                   |         |
|                               | 55–64 years                                | 15.9  |                   |         |
|                               | 65–75 years                                | 16.3  |                   |         |
| Birth place (N = 2012)        | Born in France                             | 25.2  | 0.205             |         |
|                               | Foreign-born                               | 21.9  |                   |         |
| Educational level (N = 1991)  | None                                       | 19.9  | < 0.001           | 1       |
|                               | Below High school graduation               | 23.06 | 1.21 [0.90;1.62]  |         |
|                               | High school graduation                     | 31.2  | 1.64 [1.23;2.18]  |         |
|                               | first college degree                       | 26.8  | 1.36 [0.94;1.96]  |         |
|                               | Equal or above to a bachelor degree        | 33.7  | 1.70 [1.25;2.32]  |         |
| Perceived Financial Status (N = 1997) | You are really comfortable               | 17.7  | 0.031             |         |
|                               | Your are comfortable                       | 24.01 |                   |         |
|                               | It is tight                                | 25.6  |                   |         |
|                               | It’s really difficult                      | 23.8  |                   |         |
|                               | You do not make ends meet without making debts | 35.5  |                   |         |
| Language most often spoken (N = 2012) | French                                   | 26.5  | 0.239             |         |
|                               | Creole                                     | 19.3  |                   |         |
|                               | Portuguese                                 | 28.7  |                   |         |
|                               | English                                    | 20.4  |                   |         |
|                               | Nengue tongo                               | 19.2  |                   |         |
|                               | Amerindians languages                      | 21.5  |                   |         |
|                               | Others                                     | 20.4  |                   |         |
| Professional Status (N = 2012) | Employed                                  | 27.9  | 0.013 [1         | 0.02    |
|                               | Student                                    | 18.8  | 0.73 [0.53;1.00]  |         |
|                               | Unemployed                                 | 23.7  | 0.96 [0.72;1.29]  |         |
|                               | Retired                                    | 13.8  | 0.50 [0.31;0.80]  |         |
|                               | Other inactive                             | 19.7  | 0.80 [0.51;1.26]  |         |
| Living conditions (N = 2011)  | Having water at home                       | 24.6  | 0.238             |         |
|                               | No water at home                           | 19.1  |                   |         |
| Residence location (N = 2012) | Coastal                                    | 24.7  | 0.539             |         |
|                               | Savanas                                    | 20.8  |                   |         |
|                               | Western                                    | 25.2  |                   |         |
|                               | Eastern                                    | 17.7  |                   |         |
Table 4  Percent and prevalence ratio for having renounced care for time-related reasons (Continued)

| Variables                              | Have renounced for time-related reasons (%) | \( \rho^* \) | Prevalence ratio** | \( \rho^{**} \) |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|
|                                       |                                             |             |                    |               |
| Having a religion \((N = 2005)\)       |                                             |             |                    |               |
| Yes                                   | 23.9                                        | 0.834       |                    |               |
| No                                     | 24.4                                        |             |                    |               |
| Perceived health \((N = 2000)\)       |                                             |             |                    |               |
| Very good                             | 19.9                                        | 0.067       | 1                  | 0.0043        |
| Good                                  | 24                                           |             | 1.19 [0.89;1.58]   |               |
| Fairly good                           | 25.4                                        |             | 1.35 [0.99;1.84]   |               |
| Poor / Very poor                      | 34.3                                        |             | 2.06 [1.37;3.12]   |               |
| Having complementary health insurance \((N = 1989)\) |                                             |             |                    |               |
| Yes                                   | 23.9                                        | 0.791       |                    |               |
| No                                     | 24.8                                        |             |                    |               |

*Pearson’s chi-square test in bivariate analysis

**Final multivariate model

Fig. 2  Multiple Correspondence Analysis: renouncing care for time-related reasons. Legend: Educational level: 1: Equal or above to a bachelor degree; 2: First college degree or ordinary college degree; 3: High school graduation; 4: Below High school graduation; 5: None; Perceived health: 1: Very good; 2: Good; 3: Fairly good; 4: Poor/Very poor. Renouncing care for time-related reasons: 0 = No; 1 = Yes
suggests the potential value of emotionally arousing factors in education and the importance of the conditions affecting the effects of emotionally arousing messages on attitude and behavior change [13]. Community action is more efficient, in health education and developing life skills, but also in empowering the population and enhancing the participation of patients in the health care system.

The present results suggest different action possibilities to avoid health care delays and their potential complications. Renouncing for reasons of excessive delays affected different social strata. This was an unsurprising fact given the relative lack of health professionals in French Guiana [16]. The association of renouncement for reasons linked to time with professional status and educational level suggested that among those who are employed, taking half a day off to consult is often problematic. This raises questions. Indeed, with internet, as it is the case for hotels, flights and a number of products in real time, it would be technically easy to know the availability of all health professionals. Regarding health, with a few exceptions, it remains difficult to guess where one would see a doctor with the least possible delay in order to conciliate medical availability and patient availability. This consumer patient vision clashes with the situation of the family practitioner in the French system, but it would potentially allow reducing a non-negligible proportion of renouncing care across all socio economic strata. However, this would presumably not reduce the social inequalities of health and would mostly concern the urban areas which have the most health professionals.

As for renouncing for financial reasons, as elsewhere in France, the improvement in access to complementary health insurance was associated with less renouncing for financial reasons. It is tempting to impute this to the fact that complementary health insurance allows persons to access care. However, it is not possible to exclude a bias where those who undertake the administrative procedures to access complementary insurance are also the most persistent persons who will also persist to access care. Concerning the fuzzy area of non demand (not requesting health insurance, not requesting care), which also leads to health care delays and potentially more advanced diseases, local social development actions have been recommended for the concerned populations [29].

In the USA, patient navigators are used in oncology, HIV disease and other chronic pathologies [30]. These approaches are officially recommended notably by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and in France by the “Haut Conseil de la Santé Publique” which refers to mediators of the health care trajectory. This question is regularly debated in French Guiana but remains fragmented, disease-specific, poorly funded, with no clear professional status for mediators. The 2016 health law has reemphasized the importance of health inequalities and has recommended health mediators to reduce social inequalities of health [31]. Measures are now taken to stabilize health care insurance, and to precisely define the required curriculum of health mediators. However, despite the general aim of stabilizing health insurance, for migrants with short term residence permits the new reforms may make matters much worse by further accelerating the need to apply for health insurance, every time the residence status changes. This is therefore unlikely to result in less renouncement to care in this vulnerable group.

Conclusion

There are marked health inequalities in French Guiana. There is a social gradient of risk factors, of health knowledge and preoccupations, of the capacity to understand and navigate the health system, and thus for the accessibility of the health system. There are avenues for improvement of health for the most vulnerable: promote health, act on risk factors, and facilitate the readability and accessibility of the health system. Not soliciting the health care system and renouncing healthcare are more frequent than in mainland France, the national benchmark for performance of French territories. Renouncing for lack of time was an important motive for renouncing, which is aggravated by the insufficient number of health professionals, but may benefit from organizational solutions. The proposed solution to enhance the link with the poorest populations raises the question of the required organization and adequate scaling up of health mediators. Strategic choices will be needed to allocate scarce resources to the health problems causing the highest burden of disease.
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