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Abstract
Consider a mosaic image, the edges of the tesseræ being unrelated to pictorial content. Depending upon grout color, the picture is seen as uninterrupted “behind bars” or divided into tiles by “cracks” as in an ancient oil painting. The phenomenology is explored.
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We serendipitously discovered a visually striking effect that we so far have not heard mentioned before—but we keep asking around.

In a number of experiments (Koenderink, Richards, & van Doorn, 2012a, 2012b) and demonstrations (e.g., ECVP 2012 Illusoria Mente; see Koenderink, 2012), we have used grids of lines that were apparently overlaid over images, but actually served to mask spatial discontinuities of piecewise local offsets. The grout was a medium gray and the line-thickness thin or moderate. Recently, it became desirable to vary the grout gray level, which is how we discovered the present effect.

Consider the images juxtaposed in Figure 1. The pictures have chromatic variations, whereas the grout is achromatic in both cases. This is one reason why the grout tends to be perceived as alien to the picture. Another reason for this is that the shape of the tile boundaries is not related to the pictorial content. This is no doubt that this is also an
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important factor. We explore such influences here. The effects work just as well for pictures that show abstract gray-level configurations (Figure 2).

The following generic forms of visual awareness could conceivably arise:

– the picture could disintegrate into mutually unconnected, although related, tiles. Here, we concentrate on the case of *craquelure* as it often occurs in paintings. These are *cracks* that are unrelated to the pictorial content;

![Figure 1. Top row: Detail from the painting “Vicomtesse Louise-Albertine d’Haussonville” by Ingres (1845, public domain). We superimposed random Poisson-Voronoi lattices in various gray tones. Note that in the image at left, where the lattice is white, the portrait is seen as unfragmented and extending behind the lattice, whereas the lattice appears to subtend a frontoparallel, open trelliswork in front of the painting. In contradistinction, in the image at right, where the lattice is black, the portrait is seen as fragmented by the mesh, whereas the lattice appears to partake in the picture plane in the form of a pattern of cracks. Bottom row: The “style” of the lattice is not too important. Here, we used a texture pattern of cracked leather. The painting is a detail of “Blue horse” by Franz Marc (1911, public domain). The black mesh at left appears as a craquelure fragmenting the picture plane. In contradistinction, the white lattice at right appears as a trelliswork in front of an integral image. This is perhaps more remarkable than the case of the top row, because the mesh-style explicitly depicts a craquelure texture.](image-url)
the picture could appear as a mosaic, perhaps like a stained glass window. The pieces would indeed be fragments, but “glued together” by the grout. The seams may or may not relate to the pictorial content;

– the picture could appear as unscathed, running on behind a trelliswork so to speak, the lines not appearing as grout, but as an open trellis in front of the picture. There would be an obvious depth relation where the trelliswork and the picture would appear unrelated and mutually disconnected.

In our previous work (Koenderink et al., 2012a, 2012b), we invariably encountered the latter case, perhaps surprisingly, even when the visible tiles were mutually offset. This effect shows that the tendency to perceive the pictorial content unbroken behind an open trellis is very strong and not easily counteracted.

The second and third cases are realized for the same picture, and the same mesh, merely depending upon the gray level of the grout. With the black grout, we perceive a “cracked” picture a bit like an old oil painting showing craquelure of the varnish. With the white grout, the picture apparently has an integral surface that runs on behind a trelliswork in front of it. At first blush, the difference is striking. However, with some effort, one is sometimes able to switch between the two appearances. We found that for some observers, the effect is bistable. Some observers are able to voluntarily “flip.”

In Figure 3, the fragments are fitted to the figural content. The effect becomes considerably weaker and depends on the way one views the image. Apparently the fit of the cracks to the figural edges is important. However, this is not obviously related to the influence of the color of the cracks.

The finding has various potential applications in visual arts and design, and in art history. Cracks due to technical constraints (mosaics, Dunbabin, 1999; stained glass windows,
Sowers, 1965) or artistic intention (cloisonnism in painting, Dujardin, 1888) as well as cracks due to aging (craquelure in aged oil paintings, Bucklow, 1999) and Japanese kintsugi (the repair of broken pottery with gold-colored cement, Jobson, 2014) come to mind.

Various speculations as to the origin of the effect readily come to mind, but for this short communication we leave it at that.
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