Observation of Road Salt Aerosol Driving Inland Wintertime Atmospheric Chlorine Chemistry
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1. INTRODUCTION

In coastal urban areas, dinitrogen pentoxide (N₂O₅), formed by NO₂ reaction with the photolabile nitrate radical (NO₂⁻ reaction R1), reacts on the surface of chloride (Cl⁻)-containing sea spray aerosol particles to produce nitryl chloride (ClNO₂, R2). Upon sunrise, ClNO₂ photolysis releases chlorine atoms (Cl) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) (R3), altering pollutant fate, ozone levels, and particulate nitrate formation.

\[
\text{NO}_2 + \text{NO}_3 + \text{M} \rightleftharpoons \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{M} \quad (R1)
\]

\[
\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{Cl}^-(aq) \rightarrow \text{ClNO}_2 + \text{NO}_3^-(aq) \quad (R2)
\]

\[
\text{ClNO}_2 + \nu \rightarrow \text{Cl} + \text{NO}_2 \quad (R3)
\]

While this chlorine chemistry was originally thought to only occur in marine and coastal locations, Thornton et al. first showed observations of ClNO₂ far inland (near Boulder, Colorado, 1400 km inland). Model predictions, constrained to measurements of particulate chloride across the United States, indicate that the majority of ClNO₂ formation occurs over land, particularly during winter. However, the source(s) of inland particulate chloride are highly uncertain.

The wintertime application of road salt for deicing purposes is ubiquitous, with tens of millions of tons of salt applied globally each year. In the United States, over 20 million tons of salt were applied to roadways in 2014 alone. This road salt contributes to chloride-containing atmospheric particles, and urban grime. Road salt is mechanically aerosolized by vehicular traffic, with deposition observed hundreds of meters away from roadways. Observed increases in wintertime PM₂.₅ (particulate matter <2.5 μm) chloride concentrations are often correlated with snowfall, due to the application of deicing salts before, during, and after snowfall events. These wintertime inland PM₂.₅ chloride concentrations can even rival those of coastal areas influenced by sea spray. For flights downwind from New York City, NY, after a snow storm, Haskins et al. reported observations of gas phase Cl, (≡ HCl + ClNO₂ + HOCl + 2Cl₂) that could not be not explained by sea salt displacement, suggesting road salt influence. In Calgary, Alberta, where road salts are used, increasing ClNO₂ was observed following the first snowfall in November 2010, suggesting that road salt could be an important chloride source for ClNO₂ production. Further, the slower thermal dissociation of N₂O₅ (R1, reverse) under the lower winter temperatures, when road salts are used, leads to
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greater importance of this chemistry in wintertime environments. However, the contribution of road salt chloride to ClONO₂ formation is unknown, despite being hypothesized for several decades.¹

To model ClONO₂ production in the atmosphere, laboratory-based measurements of NO₃⁻ uptake (γ(NO₃⁻)) and ClONO₂ yields (φ(ClONO₂)) have informed the current parametrization based on aerosol mass concentrations of chloride, nitrate, and liquid water content.¹ This “bulk” parametrization ² assumes that all aerosol chemical components are equally distributed across the entire aerosol size distribution and that all particles contain chloride.²² Yet, even in the polluted marine atmosphere, chloride is not homogeneously mixed across the entire aerosol population.²³,²⁴ The γ(NO₃⁻) and φ(ClONO₂) values are often overestimated when compared to field-derived values²⁵–²⁹ including recent comprehensive assessments by McDuffie et al.³⁰,³¹ Further, observed variability and trends in γ(NO₃⁻) and φ(ClONO₂) are often not reproduced, pointing to an inaccurate parametrization and reducing the accuracy of associated air quality simulations.²⁶,²⁷,³⁰,³¹

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Winter Measurements of ClONO₂ and Identification of Road Salt Aerosol. From February 1 to March 10, 2016 in Ann Arbor, Michigan, ClONO₂ production was investigated using a comprehensive suite of atmospheric trace gas and particle measurements. Using chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS),³² NO₃⁻ and ClONO₂ were continuously measured at 12 m above ground level, with average nighttime levels of 141 ± 7 ppt (pmol mol⁻¹, 95% confidence interval) and 23 ± 1 ppt, respectively (Figure S1 and Section S2.1 of the Supporting Information). Nocturnal ClONO₂ reached a maximum of 220 ppt. Lower temperatures in the winter favor N₂O₅ (reducing thermal dissociation),²⁰ and the application of road salt for deicing provides a unique chloride source. Previously, in Calgary, Alberta, Mielke et al.¹⁹,³³ observed elevated ClONO₂ in March and April (up to 338 and 250 ppt, respectively), compared to September (max. 203 ppt), prior to road salt application. Similarly, in Ann Arbor, N₂O₅ and ClONO₂ levels were lower in October 2016, with average nighttime levels of 71 ± 5 ppt and 3.5 ± 0.5 ppt, respectively, and maximum ClONO₂ of 29 ppt (see Section S2.2).

To test the hypothesis that road salt aerosol chloride contributes to ClONO₂ production, we present comprehensive observations of individual particle chemical composition with source attribution, complemented by modeling of two representative case periods (February 17–18 and March 7–8, 2016). These case periods encompass a range of observed ClONO₂ levels, and no precipitation or rapid changes in wind speed and direction occurred, making them well-suited for numerical modeling. Although surface-level observations can vary from those made aloft,³⁵,³⁶ ClONO₂ and N₂O₅ mole ratios during the case periods were not impacted by N₂O₅ depletion from NO₃⁻ titration,³⁶ or morning ClONO₂ enhancement due to entrainment from the residual layer³⁷ (Figure S1). The model results, using our new parametrization, show that the ClONO₂ observed in Ann Arbor was predominantly produced from N₂O₅ reaction with particulate chloride from widespread road salt application, thereby quantifying the link between wintertime deicing practices and chlorine activation (Figure 1).

Individual particle measurements of chemical composition and morphology were conducted using computer-controlled scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (CCSEM-EDX)³⁸ and aerosol time-of-flight mass spectrometry (ATOFMS).³⁹ with 8,052 and 83,597 individual particles analyzed by each method, respectively. These methods identified and quantified the chloride-containing particles. This analysis complemented bulk PM₂.₅ inorganic ion measurements using ambient ion monitor-ion chromatography (AIM-IC).⁴⁰ Concurrent measurements of atmospheric PM₂.₅ chloride ranged from 0.01 to 0.35 μg m⁻³ (Section S2.1), similar to concentrations during elevated ClONO₂ levels in Boulder, CO.⁵

Five individual particle types—road salt, aged road salt, biomass burning, soot, and road dust (Figures 2 and S2, and discussed in Section 4.3)—were observed by ATOFMS and CCSEM-EDX. Only road salt particles contained significant chloride. Road salt particles were primarily composed of sodium and chloride (average Cl/Na atomic ratio of 0.87 ± 0.03, 95% confidence interval, determined by CCSEM-EDX), consistent with road salt used by the city of Ann Arbor and the University of Michigan for winter maintenance (Section S2.3). Sulfate, known to suppress aerosol ClONO₂ production,⁴¹ was not detected in the individual nascent road salt particles. Aged road salt particles were depleted in chloride (average Cl/Na atomic ratio of 0.10 ± 0.01) and enriched in nitrate and sulfate, compared to the nascent road salt particles. Biomass burning particles have been suggested as a possible ClONO₂ source, depending on their fuel chloride content.⁴² However, these particles were identified as a mixture of mainly organic carbon, nitrate, sulfate, ammonium, and potassium (Figure S2),⁴³ and contained less than 2% chlorine (atomic percentage), representing a minor chloride source. Therefore, the observed ammonium was primarily in the form of ammonium nitrate and sulfate, rather than ammonium chloride (see Section S2.4). Less than 3% of road dust particles, by number, and no soot particles contained chloride (Section 4.5.2). The frequent nightly observations of elevated N₂O₅ and ClONO₂, concurrent with nascent and aged road salt particles, suggest that ClONO₂ is mainly formed from the multiphase reaction of N₂O₅ on road salt aerosols, as confirmed by the model simulations described below.

2.2. Considering Aerosol Heterogeneity in Model Simulations of ClONO₂ Production. A one-dimensional
atmospheric numerical model simulated ClNO₂ production constrained by measurements of N₂O₅, HCl, and aerosol surface area and composition for the February 17–18 and March 7–8 cases. The influence of aerosol chemical composition on the uptake of N₂O₅ (γ\textsubscript{N₂O₅}) and yield of ClNO₂ (φ\textsubscript{ClNO₂}) was accounted for through two different model scenarios: (1) using the “traditional” parametrization by Bertram & Thornton,\textsuperscript{21} which is based on bulk particulate inorganic ion mass concentrations, here constrained by hourly PM\textsubscript{2.5} measurements using AIM-IC, and (2) a new parametrization developed based on measured single-particle chemical composition. Our single-particle measurements showed that chloride-containing particles, dominated by nascent and aged road salt, only contributed 20–26% of the aerosol surface area concentration (Figure 2), suggesting that the bulk parametrization should overestimate ClNO₂ by assuming that all particles contain chloride. Indeed, for southeast Michigan, previously simulated mean wintertime mass spectra of individual (a, b) nascent and (c, d) aged road salt particles. *Al and Si peaks in the EDX spectra are from substrate and detector backgrounds. Aged road salt is characterized by chloride depletion and nitrate and/or sulfate enrichment. (e) Average aerosol surface area fractions (0.015–20 μm) attributed to the five particle types identified by CCSEM-EDX and ATOFMS for the February 17–18 and March 7–8 cases.

With knowledge of the distribution of chloride among individual particles, the new single particle parametrization, described in Section 4.5, considers that N₂O₅ reacts with a heterogeneous aerosol population, producing ClNO₂ only from particles that contain chloride. We assigned chemically specific γ\textsubscript{N₂O₅} and φ\textsubscript{ClNO₂} values to the five observed particle types using the most relevant laboratory model systems\textsuperscript{20,21,44–46} (Table S1). Since N₂O₅ reacts on the surface of particles,\textsuperscript{3} these γ\textsubscript{N₂O₅} and φ\textsubscript{ClNO₂} values were then weighted by the fraction of the measured temporally varying aerosol surface area concentration corresponding to each particle type. This method results in significantly lower estimates of γ\textsubscript{N₂O₅} and φ\textsubscript{ClNO₂} compared to the bulk parametrization, by directly accounting for additional chemical components that alter γ\textsubscript{N₂O₅} and φ\textsubscript{ClNO₂}, such as sulfate, carboxylate, and nitrate,\textsuperscript{21,41} within the heterogeneous individual particle population. A primary advantage of this single-particle approach is that only chloride-containing particles can produce ClNO₂, and that aerosol components that suppress production are accounted for within the individual particle types. The main uncertainty in the single-particle method comes from the choice of laboratory proxy γ\textsubscript{N₂O₅} and φ\textsubscript{ClNO₂} values for the observed particle types, and this uncertainty can be reduced with further laboratory studies of varying aerosol composition.

The average γ\textsubscript{N₂O₅} values calculated for the single-particle method (γ\textsubscript{N₂O₅} = 0.018 and 0.013 for February 17–18 and March 7–8) were approximately half of those predicted by the bulk method (γ\textsubscript{N₂O₅,bulk} = 0.030 and 0.031, respectively). Likewise, the average φ\textsubscript{ClNO₂} values for the single-particle method (φ\textsubscript{ClNO₂} = 0.28 and 0.20 for the two cases, respectively) were about one-third of the bulk chemical compositions (φ\textsubscript{ClNO₂,bulk} = 0.8 ± 0.1 and 0.7 ± 0.2, respectively). Notably, the single-particle-weighted γ\textsubscript{N₂O₅} and φ\textsubscript{ClNO₂} are both closer to previous wintertime field-based estimates (γ\textsubscript{N₂O₅} = 0.02; φ\textsubscript{ClNO₂} = 0.005–0.15) in Calgary, Alberta,\textsuperscript{19,33} where road salting is also commonplace.

By constraining to measurements of N₂O₅, two model simulations of ClNO₂ production were conducted: using the (1) traditional bulk parametrization and (2) new single-particle parametrization, which employs the chemically dependent γ\textsubscript{N₂O₅} and φ\textsubscript{ClNO₂} values. The predicted ClNO₂ mole ratios using the single-particle parametrization generally agree within the measurement uncertainty of the observations, while the bulk parametrization overpredicts ClNO₂ by an average of 120...
± 20 ppt (340%) and 12 ± 3 ppt (150%), respectively, for the February and March cases (Figure 3). The results of the single-particle method identify aerosolized road salt as the dominant ClNO₂ source, accounting for 80−100%, on average, of the total simulated ClNO₂ (Figure 3). This study demonstrates road salt as the dominant source of photolyzable chlorine in the wintertime inland environment, despite only representing a fraction (20−26%) of the aerosol surface area available for N₂O₅ uptake and ClNO₂ production (Figure 2). Application of this new parametrization to modeling in both marine and inland environments will improve predictions of ClNO₂ production because chloride is typically not distributed equally among all particles, even in the coastal marine atmosphere.²³

3. ATMOSPHERIC IMPLICATIONS

For decades, road salt use for wintertime deicing has been increasing across North America⁸,⁹ and has led to increased salinity of surface and ground waters with severe ecosystem impacts.⁴⁷ Here, this common deicing practice is linked to wintertime air quality due to the role of road salt chloride as a large and potent source of photolyzable chlorine. Single-particle measurements identified aerosolized road salt as the dominant source of particulate chloride. This road salt aerosol was calculated to be responsible for 80−100% of atmospheric ClNO₂ in this inland wintertime environment. The key to the improved modeling of ClNO₂ is for only the chloride-containing particle surface area to produce ClNO₂, as was achieved with our single-particle parametrization. Laboratory studies of additional model aerosols, as well as authentic road salt aerosol, are needed to measure γN₂O₅ and φClNO₂ to improve the accuracy of the application of the single-particle parametrization. Although the chloride-containing particles were primarily fresh road salt in this study, environments influenced by other particle types may need to consider additional single-particle properties. For example, different classes of organic compounds can result in particle phase separation.⁴⁸

Figure 3. Single-particle parametrization of N₂O₅ uptake (γN₂O₅) and ClNO₂ yield (φClNO₂) improves model agreement with measured ClNO₂ in Ann Arbor, Michigan. (a) Schematic comparing the bulk and single-particle methods to parametrize N₂O₅ uptake and ClNO₂ yield. For both (b) February 17−18 and (c) March 7−8 cases, the modeled ClNO₂ using γN₂O₅ and φClNO₂ from the single-particle chemical composition and surface area parametrization (solid green lines), agrees well with the magnitude and shape of the ClNO₂ measurements (black lines), while the traditional bulk aerosol composition parametrization (gray lines) significantly overpredicts ClNO₂. The dashed blue lines represent modeled ClNO₂ from road salt aerosol (nascent + aged) only, demonstrating that road salt aerosol is the dominant ClNO₂ source (80−100%).
N₂O₅ uptake,⁴⁹,⁵⁰ and/or limit chloride availability,⁵¹ thereby altering ClNO₂ production. If additional properties are known or can be modeled, then appropriate laboratory γ₅NO₂ and η_CINO₃ can be applied through the single-particle, surface area-weighted approach, which can also be applied to other multiphase reactions. While additional measurements of single-particle chemical composition are needed for other environments influenced by chlorine chemistry, modeling approaches that describe the distribution of chemical components across the aerosol population, from zero-dimensional to regional/global model levels, are now available⁵² and should be used to further evaluate the single-particle, surface area-weighted approach presented here.

The chlorine radicals produced from ClNO₂ photolysis, which contributed 44–99% of the daytime Cl production rate (Figure S3), impact the fate of atmospheric hydrocarbons, particularly in the winter when hydroxyl radicals are less abundant due to reduced sunlight and water vapor.⁵² In addition, ClNO₂ photolysis also significantly alters the levels of NO₃ −, O₃, and particulate matter,⁵³−⁵⁵ impacting downwind areas struggling with wintertime air quality.⁵⁶ Other road salt contaminated surfaces, including buildings, road surfaces, and snowpacks, which were not explored in this study, likely also support ClNO₂ production and should be examined in future work. Future efforts are also needed to quantify road salt emissions and generate inventories for models, as this substantial chloride source is currently not included in emissions inventories for atmospheric modeling of nitryl chloride,⁶⁰ thereby limiting the quantitation of the broad implications of this chlorine chemistry.

4. METHODS

Atmospheric sampling of trace gases and particles was conducted at 12 m above ground level (agl) in Ann Arbor, MI, at the University of Michigan (UM, 42.2786°N, 83.7369°W) from February 1 to March 10, 2016. ClNO₂, N₂O₅, HNO₃, and Cl₂ were monitored using CIMS (Section 4.1 and Section S1.1), and soluble inorganic trace gases, including HCl and SO₂, and PM₂.₅ Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻ were measured using the AIM-IC system (Section S1.2). Measurements of individual particle chemical composition were conducted online using ATOFMS (Section 4.2.1) and offline using CCSEM-EDX (Section 4.2.2). Sections S1.3–S1.6 of the Supporting Information contain additional methods details, including measurements of NO₂, volatile organic compounds, and aerosol size distributions. Single-particle identifications are described in Section 4.3. Measurements and calculations pertaining to the single-particle parametrization for ClNO₂ formation are described in Sections 4.4 and 4.5. Two 24 h case study periods (February 17–18 and March 7–8, 2016), each starting and ending at 12:00 EST, were investigated using a one-dimensional atmospheric model described in Section 4.6.

Roadway and sidewalk deicing maintenance using road salt and brine was regularly conducted adjacent to the sampling site by the city of Ann Arbor and UM. Meteorological data (temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, and wind direction) were obtained from a weather station (42.2769°N 83.7655°W) located 2.3 km west of the measurement site. Wind speed and direction were measured at ~12 m agl. Temperature and relative humidity were measured at ~5 m agl. No unexpected or unusually high safety hazards were encountered.

4.1. Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry. A chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS, THS Instruments)⁵⁵ quantified ambient ClNO₂, N₂O₅, and HNO₃ from February 1 to March 10, 2016, and again from October 23–28, 2016 for comparison to autumn conditions prior to road salting. The CIMS used I(H₂O)⁺, reagent ions to react with analytes, forming iodide ion adducts that were subsequently detected by a quadrupole mass analyzer. Ambient air was pulled through a heated (25–30 °C), 0.95 cm I.D., 2.5 m long FEP tube at 7.1 L min⁻¹, at atmospheric pressure, into a custom three-way valve used for calibration and background measurements, as described by Liao et al.⁵² The CIMS ion molecule reaction region (IMR, maintained at 19 Torr) sampled 0.9 L min⁻¹, and an ozone monitor (model 205, 2B Technologies) sampled 1.7 L min⁻¹, with the remaining flow sent to exhaust. The I⁺(H₂O)₄ reagent ions were formed by passing 5 L min⁻¹ of CH₄ (5 ppm in N₂, Scott-Marrin, Inc.) through a ²¹⁰Po source (20 mCi), forming I⁺, and combining with humidified N₂ (from a room temperature 1 L bubbler) in the CIMS IMR. Water vapor was added, as in previous work,⁵⁷ to prevent fluctuations in CIMS sensitivity due to ambient humidity. The following ions were monitored (with dwell times noted): ClNO₂ as I⁺ClNO₂⁻ at m/z 208 (1 s) and I⁺ClNO₂⁻ at m/z 210 (0.5 s), N₂O₅ as IN₂O₅⁻ at m/z 235 (0.5 s), HNO₃ as IHNO₃⁻ at m/z 190 (0.2 s), and Cl₂ as I⁺(³⁵Cl³⁵Cl) at m/z 197 (1 s) and I⁺(³⁵Cl³⁵Cl) at m/z 199 (0.5 s). The measured isotopic ratio of ³⁵ClNO₂ to ³⁵ClNO₂ (0.31) confirmed the identity of the observed ClNO₂ (theoretical ratio = 0.32). Ambient Cl₂ was not observed above the detection limit during the study and was therefore not quantified. Reagent ions were monitored at m/z 147 (IH₄O°). Considering all monitored masses, the total measurement time for the CIMS was 14.6 s. Background measurements were conducted for 2 min every 15 min by passing the airflow through a glass wool and stainless steel wool scrubber heated to 120 °C, removing ClNO₂ and N₂O₅ with >95% efficiency, and HNO₃ with 88% efficiency.

Online Cl₂ calibration was completed every 2 h by adding 200 mL min⁻¹ of 190 ± 10 ppb Cl₂ (in N₂) from a permeation source (VICI Metronics) to the ambient airflow. The Cl₂ permeation rate (110 ng min⁻¹) was confirmed every 1–2 days using the optical absorption method described by Liao et al.⁵² The Cl₂ sensitivity at m/z 197 was 3.0 ± 0.4 Hz ppt⁻¹. Calibrations of HNO₃, N₂O₅, and ClNO₂ were completed offline using calibration factors relative to Cl₂ (Section S1.1). Limits of detection (LODs, 3σ), corresponding to 2 min background periods, were 0.9, 4, 34, and 2 ppt for ClNO₂, N₂O₅, HNO₃, and Cl₂, respectively. Taking into account counting statistics,⁵² we report 10 min averaged LODs of 0.4, 2, 15, and 1 ppt for ClNO₂, N₂O₅, HNO₃, and Cl₂, respectively. Measurement uncertainties, which include the propagated calibration uncertainties and fluctuations in CIMS background signals, for 10 min averaged ClNO₂, N₂O₅, and HNO₃ were 17% ± 0.4 ppt, 17% ± 2 ppt, and 27% ± 15 ppt, respectively. Following the study, the CIMS sampling line was characterized for wall losses of ClNO₂, N₂O₅, and HNO₃ by flowing each trace gas through the sampling line and comparing to its direct injection into the CIMS inlet. Measured line losses for each species (ClNO₂ = 20 ± 7% (+standard deviation), N₂O₅ = 14 ± 15%, and HNO₃ = 3 ± 9%) were used to adjust the CIMS measured values to ambient mole ratios. The CIMS sampling line was tested postcampaign for potential inlet artifacts, and little to no ClNO₂ generation was observed following the
addition of N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and Cl<sub>2</sub> into the sampling line, as discussed in Section S1.1.

### 4.2. Measurements of Individual Particle Chemical Composition and Morphology

#### 4.2.1. Aerosol Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry (ATOFMS)

An aerosol time-of-flight mass spectrometer (ATOFMS), based on the design of Pratt et al., measured individual particle size and chemical composition for particles 0.1−1.5 μm (vacuum aerodynamic diameter) from February 3 to March 9, 2016. Air was sampled from a manifold (shared with additional aerosol instruments, Section S1.4) through a 0.17 cm ID copper sampling line at 0.1 L min<sup>−1</sup>. The operation of the ATOFMS is described in detail elsewhere. Positive and negative ion mass spectra were collected for 83,597 individual particles during the study. Mass spectral peak lists were generated using custom LabVIEW software and imported into Matlab for analysis with YAADA, a custom toolkit. Individual particle mass spectra were clustered using an ART-2a algorithm with a vigilance factor of 0.8 and a learning rate of 0.05 for 20 iterations. Particle types were identified based on the most likely m/z from previous and laboratory studies (Section 4.3).

#### 4.2.2. Computer-Controlled Scanning Electron Microscopy with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (CCSEM-EDX)

Atmospheric particles were impacted onto transmission electron microscopy (TEM) grids (Formvar Type-B Copper grids, Ted Pella, Inc.) and aluminum foil substrates (MSP Corp.) using a rotating 10-stage micro-orifice uniform deposit impactor (MOUDI, model 110R, MSP Corp.). Ambient air flowed at 20 L min<sup>−1</sup> through a 3.7 m long, 1.1 cm ID unheated, insulated copper sampling inlet with 10 L min<sup>−1</sup> of particle-free dilution air sampled through a HEPA capsule (Pall Laboratory), resulting in a 30 L min<sup>−1</sup> flow into the MOUDI. Particles analyzed herein were collected on stages with 50% efficiency upper-limit size cuts of 3.2, 1.0, 0.32, and 0.10 μm (aerodynamic diameter, d<sub>a</sub>). Individual particles were analyzed by computer-controlled scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (CCSEM-EDX) using FEI Quanta SEM and FEI Helios SEM instruments. Both instruments were equipped with a field emission gun operating at 20 keV and high angle annular dark field scanning TEM detectors and Everhart-Thornley secondary electron detectors, for analysis of morphology, including projected area diameter, of particles on TEM grids and aluminum foil substrates, respectively. An EDX detector (EDAX, Inc.) collected X-ray spectra for individual particles to determine relative atomic abundances of C, N, O, Na, Mg, P, S, Cl, K, Ca, Ti, Fe, and Ni. Samples from the two case days were analyzed by CCSEM-EDX: February 17−18, 2016 19:00−07:45 EST (2,915 particles analyzed) and March 7−8, 2016 19:30−07:15 EST (5,137 particles analyzed). K-means cluster analysis of the individual particle EDX spectra resulted in 50 clusters, which were grouped into particle classes based on elemental composition. Particle classes were identified based on similarity to individual particle EDX spectral signatures in published literature. The CCSEM-EDX relative atomic percentages for Cl and Na were used to calculate individual road salt particle Cl/Na atomic ratios.

### 4.3. Identification of Atmospheric Particle Types from Single-Particle Measurements

The clustering analyses of ATOFMS and CCSEM-EDX individual particle spectra (Section 4.2) resulted in the identification of five major particle types: road salt, aged road salt, biomass burning, soot, and road dust (Figure 2 and Figure S2). Road salt was characterized by intense Na and Cl peaks in the EDX spectra by ATOFMS, road salt was identified by an intense m/z 23 (Na<sup>+</sup>) peak, as well as less intense ion peaks at m/z 39 (K<sup>+</sup>), 40 (Ca<sup>+</sup>), 81 (Na<sub>2</sub>Cl<sup>−</sup>), −35−37 (Cl<sup>−</sup>), and −93/95 (NaCl<sub>2</sub>−) (Figure 2). The road salt mass spectra were consistent with previous ATOFMS ambient observations, as well as our laboratory measurements of local road salt and brine samples, as discussed in Section S2.3. Aged road salt also contained N and/or S, in addition to Na, and was depleted in Cl, as determined by EDX. Through ATOFMS analysis, aged road salt was also characterized by positive ions at m/z 23 (Na<sup>+</sup>), 39 (K<sup>+</sup>), and 40 (Ca<sup>+</sup>), with negative ion peaks at m/z −46 (NO<sub>2</sub>−), −62 (NO<sub>3</sub>−), and −97 (HSO<sub>4</sub>−), indicative of atmospheric processing. Biomass burning particles, attributed to residential wood burning, identified by ATOFMS by an intense peak at m/z 37 (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) and 39 (K<sup>+</sup>), with less intense organic carbon peaks at m/z 27 (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 43 (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O<sup>+</sup>), and 50 (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>−), as well as ammonium (m/z 18 (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sup>+</sup>) (Figure S2). The EDX spectra of these particles were characterized by intense C and O peaks, in addition to S, N, and/or K. Road dust particles were identified by intense Fe and Al and/or Si peaks, in addition to O, in the EDX spectra; by ATOFMS, road salt was identified by an intense peak at m/z 56 (Fe<sup>2+</sup>), with less intense peaks at m/z 72 (FeO<sup>+</sup>) and 88 (FeO<sup>2+</sup>), with iron likely from vehicular brake wear consistent with local road dust (Figure S2). Using CCSEM-EDX, soot particles were primarily composed of carbon and characterized by chain-like agglomerate morphology (Figure S2). Corresponding soot particle mass spectra contained elemental carbon peaks at m/z 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 (C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>5</sub>, C<sub>6</sub>); these particles are consistent with local vehicle combustion.

### 4.4. Calculation of Chemically Resolved Surface Area Concentrations

Combined aerosol size distributions were obtained, using the method of Khlystov et al., assuming a shape factor of 1 and a density of 1.5 g cm<sup>−3</sup>, using measurements from a scanning mobility particle sizer spectrometer (SMPS) for 15−600 nm particles (mobility diameter, d<sub>m</sub>) and an aerodynamic particle sizer (APS) for 0.60−20 μm (d<sub>a</sub>) particles (Section S1.4). This results in continuous particle size distributions from 0.015 to 20 μm (d<sub>a</sub>). Total particle surface area concentrations were then calculated based on these size-resolved number concentrations for the February 17−18 and March 7−8 cases. These surface area concentrations were then scaled by the size-resolved number fractions of the five particle types (identified in Section 4.3) to calculate time-resolved fractions of the aerosol surface area corresponding to each particle type using the following method.

First, particle diameters measured by CCSEM-EDX were converted from projected area diameter to d<sub>a</sub> using the method of Wagner & Leibt (Section S1.5). Then, the number fractions of the five particle types, determined by CCSEM-EDX for 0.13−2.29 μm, were applied to each size bin of the full aerosol size distribution, measured by SMPS and APS for 0.015−20 μm, to calculate size-resolved number fractions for each particle type. Since the measurements of aerosol size distributions extended down to 0.015 μm, the number fractions of each particle type below 0.13 μm were assumed to be the same as for the smallest CCSEM-EDX size bin (0.13−0.17 μm), which consisted of 20% road salt, 25% biomass burning, 2% aged road salt, 29% soot, and 24% road dust. Likewise, the aerosol size distribution measurements

---
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extended up to 20 μm; therefore, particles larger than 2.29 μm were assumed to be the same as for the number fractions of particle types from the largest CCSEM-EDX size bin (1.84–2.29 μm), which consisted of 70% road salt, 3% biomass burning, 10% aged road salt, 0% soot, and 18% road dust. Particles smaller than 0.13 μm composed 46 ± 11% and 36 ± 3%, on average, of the total particle surface area concentrations during the February 17–18 and March 7–8 case days, respectively. The percentage of total surface area corresponding to 2.29–20 μm particles was an average of 3 ± 2% during February 17–18 and 2 ± 1% during March 7–8.

For each case period, the size-resolved particle type number fractions were scaled by the time-varying particle number distributions (0.015–20 μm, Section S1.4) using the method described by Reinard et al. This generated number concentrations for each of the five particle types as a function of time. These chemically resolved, time-dependent number fractions were then used to determine the surface area concentrations for each particle type, with particles assumed to be spherical. The resulting chemically resolved, time-dependent surface area concentrations were used to calculate the single-particle weighted N2O5 uptake and ClNO2 yield (Section 4.5).

4.5. Determination of the Single-Particle Weighted γN2O5 and φClNO2. Reactions between particles and gas-phase N2O5 (γN2O5), and the yield of ClNO2 (φClNO2), are dependent on particle chemical composition. To generate time-resolved γN2O5 and φClNO2 values used for the new single-particle parametrization, previously determined laboratory values of γN2O5 and φClNO2 for laboratory proxies were weighted by the time-resolved fractions of aerosol surface area for each ambient particle type (Section 4.4). These laboratory-based γN2O5 and φClNO2 are provided in Table S1, and the selection criteria are discussed below.

4.5.1. Road Salt Particles (Nascent and Aged). There are no reported studies of N2O5 uptake onto road salt. Given the observed RH range for the case days (Section S2.5) and the mechanism of road salt aerosolization (spray generated from vehicular traffic on wet roads), the road salt particles were likely deliquesced at the relative humidities observed for February 17–18 and March 7–8: 54–87% and 58–78%, with minimum temperatures of −12 and 10 °C, respectively (Section S2.5). For reference, the deliquesence and efflorescence RH points for NaCl particles were previously determined to be 75–80% and 40%, respectively, over a wide range of temperatures (253–298 K). Since the road salt aerosol was primarily NaCl (Cl/Na atomic ratio of 0.87 ± 0.03; Figure 2), the corresponding γN2O5 and φClNO2 values for road salt aerosol were assumed to be that of NaCl. However, the aged road salt particles were largely depleted in chloride and enriched in nitrate, as observed by ATOFMS; CCSEM-EDX showed that 64% of these particles contained little chlorine (2–5% atomic percentage), with an overall average Cl/Na atomic ratio of 0.10 ± 0.01 (Figure 2). The particulate nitrate suppresses N2O5 uptake, and the lack of available chloride reduces the ClNO2 yield. Therefore, the γN2O5 and φClNO2 values for aged road salt were chosen based on studies of mixed nitrate and NaCl particles.

4.5.2. Biomass Burning, Road Dust, and Soot Particles. Recently, Ahern et al. confirmed the production of ClNO2 from reaction of N2O5 on biomass burning particles, showing that it is a function of the chloride mass fraction, but γN2O5 and φClNO2 values were not quantified in that study. However, only 8–18%, by number, of the observed biomass burning particles contained chloride (determined by ATOFMS), with measured atomic percentages of less than 2% chlorine (determined by CCSEM-EDX). It is likely that these particles corresponded to both fresh and aged residential wood burning emissions. Therefore, the uptake of N2O5 onto malonic acid (RH = 50–70%) was used as a proxy for the primarily organic biomass burning particles. The φClNO2 of the biomass burning particles was assumed to be the same as the aged road salt particles, approximately five times lower than that for nearly pure NaCl (nascent road salt), likely representing an upper limit of ClNO2 production. For the road dust particles, γN2O5, values are based on literature review recommendations, and since <3% of these particles, by number, contained Cl, φClNO2 values were set to zero. For the soot particles, γN2O5, values are based on chamber studies by Saathoff et al., and φClNO2, values were set to zero, since no chloride was observed by CCSEM-EDX or ATOFMS. Surface area fractions of the single-particle types (Figure 2) were then used to calculate the single-particle surface area-weighted γN2O5 and φClNO2 values.

4.6. One-Dimensional (1-D) Atmospheric Model Description. A one-dimensional (1-D) numerical model simulated ClNO2 mole ratios for the February 17–18 and March 7–8 case study periods. The 1-D model incorporates turbulent transport, dry deposition, and multiphase aerosol chemistry in the urban atmospheric boundary layer, building upon the 0-D model described by Wang & Pratt. The model consists of 22 vertical atmospheric layers (heights: 0.02, 0.3, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 20, 30, 60, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, and 1,000 m aql). The differential equations describing the temporal evolution of chemical species are solved using IGOR Pro software (https://www.wavemetrics.com/).

Temporal evolution of each chemical species is described by

$$\frac{dC}{dr} = \frac{d}{dx}\left(K \frac{dc}{dz}\right) + P - L - D + \sum_k k_{i,p} \left(C_{LCW_p} - \frac{C_p}{HRT}\right)$$

(E1)

The term $\frac{d}{dx}\left(K \frac{dc}{dz}\right)$ describes the vertical transport, where $z$ is the layer height (m aql), and $K$ is the turbulent eddy diffusivity (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$), described in Section S1.6. $P$, $L$, and $D$ are chemical production, loss, and deposition terms, respectively (all in molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$). Chemical reactions are based on those in the 0-D model described by Wang & Pratt and from the Master Chemical Mechanism (C1–C4 hydrocarbon precursors from the MCM version 3.2, http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM/), except that bromine chemistry is not included. Time-dependent photolysis frequencies were calculated using the Tropospheric Ultraviolet and Visible Radiation Model (https://www2.acom.ucar.edu/modeling/tropospheric-ultraviolet-and-visible-tuv-radiation-model). Trace gas dry deposition was calculated using resistance-analog methods, with the exception of N2O5 and NO2, for which deposition velocities previously determined for snow-covered ground.
O3, HCl, and PM2.5 chloride and nitrate were constrained in (molecules cm\(^{-1}\)) in the gas-phase. \(C_p\) is the corresponding particle-phase concentration of liquid water, and \(k_{tp}\) (s\(^{-1}\)) is the phase-transfer coefficient for particles.

A number of measurements were used as model inputs: (1) Daily sounding data and ground-based meteorological data (Section S2.5) were used to calculate altitude- and time-varying eddy diffusivities. (2) Ambient measurements of \(N_2O_5\), \(O_3\), HCl, and PM\(_{2.5}\) chloride and nitrate were constrained in the corresponding model layer (12 m agl). Measurements of NO (Figure S4) and select volatile organic compounds (VOCs, Table S2) were also used as constraints. (3) Measured aerosol total surface area (Figure S5) was constrained and assumed to be the same throughout all model atmospheric layers. (4) PM\(_{2.5}\) inorganic composition was used as inputs for the thermodynamic model (E-AIM Model IV, http://www.aim.env.uea.ac.uk/aim/model4/model4a.php) to calculate aerosol liquid water content. When ambient temperatures were below 265 K, the input temperature was set to 265 K due to the specified limits of the E-AIM model. The traditional parametrization of \(\gamma_{N_2O_5}\), \(\varphi_{ClNO_2}\) based on Bertram and Thornton\(^{21}\) and constrained by hourly measurements of PM\(_{2.5}\), Cl\(^-\), and NO\(_3^-\) and our new parametrization, constrained to measured single-particle chemical composition (Section 4.5), were employed in the model simulations.
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