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Abstract

Multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* have emerged worldwide. In many countries and regions, these resistant strains constitute a serious threat to the efficacy of tuberculosis control programs. An important element in gaining control of this epidemic is developing an understanding of the molecular basis of resistance to the most important antituberculosis drugs: isoniazid, rifampin, and pyrazinamide. On the basis of this information, more exacting laboratory testing, and ultimately more appropriate and timely treatment regimens, can be developed.
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Introduction

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and other members of the *M tuberculosis* complex use several strategies to resist the action of antimicrobial agents. First, the mycobacterial cell is surrounded by a specialized, highly hydrophobic cell wall that results in decreased permeability to many compounds (Fig. 1) [1,2]. Active drug efflux systems and degrading or inactivating enzymes, and the genes that are associated with these functions, have been found in *M tuberculosis* [3,4]. However, genetic studies have shown that resistance of *M tuberculosis* to antitubercular drugs is the consequence of spontaneous mutations in genes that encode either the target of the drug, or enzymes that are involved in drug activation. Resistance-associated point mutations, deletions, or insertions have been described for all first-line drugs (isoniazid, rifampin, pyrazinamide, ethambutol, and streptomycin), and for several second-line and newer drugs (ethionamide, fluoroquinolones, macrolides, nitroimidazopyrins) [5–8]. However, no single genetic alteration has yet been found that results in the MDR phenotype (defined as resistance at least to isoniazid and rifampin). Rather, MDR develops by sequential acquisition of mutations at different loci, usually because of inappropriate patient treatment. Because MDR strains are the result of cumulative mutations, growth of *M tuberculosis* can successfully be controlled in the host by concomitant treatment with more than one drug. Thus, treatment regimens that consist of three to four drugs are used routinely to treat patients with tuberculosis.

The World Health Organization [9] has recently recommended the following terminology changes for the different types of resistance to antituberculosis drugs. Isolation of drug-resistant *M tuberculosis* from patients without a history of previous treatment should be referred to as ‘drug
resistance among new cases’ (instead of ‘primary resistance’). Isolation of a drug-resistant strain from patients who have been treated for tuberculosis for at least 1 month should be referred to as ‘drug resistance among previously treated patients’ (instead of ‘acquired resistance’). The more common of these occurrences is ‘drug resistance among previously treated patients’, in which inadequate treatment or lack of adherence by the patient results in the selection of naturally occurring resistant mutants [10]. Less common is cross-resistance, in which resistance occurs between drugs that are chemically related and/or have a similar target within the mycobacterial cell (ie rifampin and other rifamycin derivatives, or isoniazid and ethionamide) [11,12]. However, the in vivo relevance of the cross-resistance seen in vitro is not always clear.

The present review gives a concise summary of the mechanism of action and the molecular basis of resistance to isoniazid, rifampin, and pyrazinamide, the three most important antituberculosis drugs. In addition, the importance of using molecular assays for the rapid detection of drug-resistant strains of M tuberculosis is emphasized.

**Isoniazid**

Isoniazid is a pro-drug that requires activation in isoniazid-susceptible mycobacterial species. Based on in vitro experiments, it has been proposed [13] that the activation of isoniazid results in a number of highly reactive species that are capable of either oxidizing or acylating groups in proteins. However, the actual form of isoniazid that is active in vivo is still unknown. It was observed soon after
isoniazid was introduced in the 1950s that isoniazid-resistant clinical isolates frequently lost catalase and peroxidase activity [14]. However, the association of this enzyme with isoniazid activation was not proven until the early 1990s, when the primary mycobacterial catalase-peroxidase gene (katG) was cloned and sequenced [15]. That study and others [8,16] revealed that mutations in this gene are found in 42–58% of isoniazid-resistant clinical isolates. A large number of different mutations have been described thus far; however, the Ser315Thr mutation is found most often, occurring in approximately 40% of all isoniazid-resistant strains [8,16,17]. The Ser315Thr mutation results in an enzyme without the ability to activate isoniazid, but retains approximately 50% of its catalase-peroxidase activity [18]. Thus, the altered catalase-peroxidase provides high-level resistance to isoniazid, while retaining a level of oxidative protection that is sufficient to enable the organism to maintain detoxifying activity against host antibacterial radicals. Isolates that carry other, less frequently occurring mutations in katG have been described as exhibiting varying levels of isoniazid resistance and catalase-peroxidase activity [8,16–18].

Significant evidence supports the concept that isoniazid blocks the synthesis of cell-wall mycolic acids, the major components of the envelope of M tuberculosis (Fig. 1). Two intracellular targets for the drug are currently being actively investigated [19,20]: the fatty-acid enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase (InhA), and a complex of an acyl carrier protein (AcpM) and a β-ketoacyl-ACP synthase (KasA). These enzymes are involved in synthesis of mycolic acids, and mutations have been found in the promoter regions, or less commonly in the genes that encode these proteins (inhA, acpM, and kasA), in clinical isolates that exhibit low-level resistance to isoniazid (for review [8]). It is proposed that over-expression of one or more of these target proteins may be the reason for isoniazid resistance in these strains. However, the role of kasA mutations in isoniazid resistance is presently unclear, because similar mutations were also found in isoniazid-susceptible isolates, and, in cases of isoniazid resistance, mutations were also found in katG or inhA [21,22].

Mutations in the promoter region of a gene that encodes an alkyl hydroperoxidase reductase (ahpC) have been found in approximately 10% of isoniazid-resistant isolates, but mutations in katG were also found in these isolates [8,16,23]. The resulting over-expression of alkyl hydroperoxidase reductase may compensate for the loss of catalase-peroxidase activity in these mycobacteria [24].

**Rifampin**

One of the main reasons for treatment failure and fatal clinical outcome in tuberculosis patients is resistance to rifampin [25]. In addition to a significant early bactericidal effect on metabolically active M tuberculosis, rifampin also exhibits excellent late sterilizing action on semidormant organisms undergoing short bursts of metabolic activity. The recognition of this late effect of rifampin, and the additional effectiveness of pyrazinamide, has allowed for the reduction of routine tuberculosis treatment from 1 year to 6 months [26,27]. Whereas monoresistance to isoniazid is quite common, monoresistance to rifampin is rare. Instead, rifampin resistance occurs most often in strains that are also resistant to isoniazid; thus, rifampin resistance can be used as a surrogate marker for MDR.

The mechanism of action of rifampin is to inhibit mycobacterial transcription by targeting DNA-dependent RNA polymerase (Fig. 1). The development of resistance to rifampin is due to mutations in a well-defined, 81 base pair (bp) (27 codons) central region of the gene that encodes the β-subunit of RNA polymerase (rpoB) [28]. More than 96% of the rifampin-resistant strains contain a mutation in this 81 bp region of rpoB, thus facilitating a straightforward approach to detecting rifampin resistance and/or MDR rapidly [8,16]. The most common mutations (65–86%) alter either codon 526 or codon 531, and result in high-level resistance to rifampin (minimal inhibitory concentration [MIC] >32 μg/ml). However, not all mutations within the 81 bp region exhibit the same level of resistance. For example, alterations in codons 511, 516, 518, and 522 result in organisms that have low-level resistance to rifampin and another rifamycin derivative (rifampentin), but remain susceptible to two other rifamycins (rifabutin and rifalazyn) [29,30] (Parsons LM, unpublished data). Rare mutations associated with rifampin resistance have also been found in the amino-terminal region of rpoB [8,31]. Most reference laboratories that use molecular methods examine only the 81 bp region. However, it is advisable to screen for amino-terminal mutations in cases in which rifampin resistance is suspected, but no mutation is found in the 81 bp region.

**Pyrazinamide**

Pyrazinamide has an excellent sterilizing effect on semidormant tubercle bacilli and, when used in combination with rifampin, shortens the duration of treatment of tuberculosis patients from 1 year to 6 months [26]. Pyrazinamide, a nicotinamide analog that is believed to target an enzyme involved in fatty-acid synthesis [32], is a pro-drug that is converted to its active form (pyrazinoic acid [POA]) by the mycobacterial enzyme pyrazinamidase. In M tuberculosis, an accumulation of intracellular POA occurs when the extracellular pH is acidic. Experimental evidence suggests that pyrazinamide diffuses into M tuberculosis in a passive manner, is converted into POA by pyrazinamidase, and, because of an inefficient efflux system, accumulates in huge amounts in the bacterial cytoplasm [33,34]. The accumulation of POA lowers the intracellular pH to a suboptimal level that is likely to inactivate a vital target enzyme such as fatty acid synthase I [32].
It has been observed that the pyrazinamide-resistant *M. tuberculosis* isolates usually lose their pyrazinamidase activity [35]. After cloning and sequencing the gene that encodes pyrazinamidase (pncA), it was found that 72–97% of all pyrazinamide-resistant clinical isolates tested carry a mutation in the structural gene or in the putative promoter region of the gene [8,36]. However, the involvement of other mechanisms (ie those that involve pyrazinamide uptake, pncA regulation, or POA efflux) is indicated by the existence of isolates that exhibit a high level of pyrazinamide resistance without mutations in the pncA gene [37]. Two other members of the *M. tuberculosis* complex, *M. bovis* and *M. bovis* BCG, are naturally resistant to pyrazinamide. In these organisms, pyrazinamide resistance is due to a unique C to G point mutation in codon 169 of pncA. In contrast, mutations in pyrazinamide-resistant *M. tuberculosis* have been found scattered throughout pncA [36].

**Conclusion**

The action and mechanism of resistance to the three most important antituberculosis drugs are still not fully understood. However, current molecular evidence indicates that routine application of rapid molecular tests in the clinical management of drug-resistant tuberculosis is essential. Many approaches have been used successfully to detect and identify the most common mutations associated with drug resistance [8,16,38]. In many instances, the knowledge gained from determining the particular mutation can provide significant information on the following: drug resistance, the level of resistance, cross-resistance to similar drugs, relatedness of strains, and virulence.

Total dependence on results that are provided 2–4 weeks (or even months) later by the conventional ‘gold standard’ susceptibility methods might not be sufficient for optimal patient outcome. The tuberculosis laboratory should no longer allow its pace to be dictated by the slow growth of the pathogenic mycobacteria. Rather, the laboratory is a vital part of a renewed global commitment that is aimed at the elimination of tuberculosis [39]. The ultimate goals are provision of timely, appropriate, and adequate services. These must be provided, and continually evaluated and updated. A highly infectious tuberculosis patient must have access to state-of-the-art laboratory services, even if the patient resides in an area where a local laboratory is not capable of providing those services. Innovative ideas, such as centralized testing by a large public health laboratory, may be required to achieve this goal. District, state, or national boundaries should not limit access to these laboratory services. The benefits of providing new and more clinically relevant assays to a larger population, especially in high-incidence regions of the world, would be of great public health significance to all populations.

**References**

1. Jarlier W, Nikaido H: Mycobacterial cell wall: structure and role in natural resistance to antibiotics. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 1994, 123:11–18.
2. Lee RE, Brennan PJ, Besra GS: *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* cell envelope. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 1996, 215:1–27.
3. Kwon HH, Tomioka H, Saito H: Distribution and characterization of beta-lactamases of mycobacteria and related organisms. *Tuber Lung Dis* 1995, 76:141–148.
4. Cole ST, Brosch R, Parkhill J, Garnier T, Churcher C, Harris D, Gordon SV, Eiglmeier K, Gas S, Barry CE III, Tekaia F, Badcock K, Barrell BG, Churcher C, Davies R, Devlin K, Feltwell T, Gentles S, Hamlin N, Holroyd S, Hornsby T, Kasas J, Kuhr A, Liang J, Mungall A, Norrie J, Parkhill J, Parkes E, Seeger K, Skarzynski H, Squizzato S, Sykes A, Whitehead S, Wilkins M, Young I: The genome sequence of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: from the complete genome sequence. *Nature* 1998, 393:537–544. (Published erratum appears in *Nature* 1998, 396:190.)
5. Stover CK, Warren P, VanDevanter DR, Sherman DR, Arain TM, Langhorne MH, Anderson SW, Towell JA, Yuan Y, McMurray DN, Kreiswirth BN, Barry CE, Baker WR: A small-molecule nitroimidazopyran drug candidate for the treatment of tuberculosis. *Nature* 2000, 405:962–966.
6. Vester B, Douthwaite S: Macrolide resistance conferred by base substitutions in 23S rRNA. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001, 45:1–12.
7. Heym B, Honore N, Truffot-Pernot C, Banerjee A, Schurra C, Jacobs WR Jr, van Embden JD, Grosset JH, Cole ST: Implications of multidrug resistance for the future of short-course chemotherapy of tuberculosis: a molecular study. *Lancet* 1994, 344:293–298.
8. Zhang Y, Telenti A: Genetics of drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. In: *Molecular Genetics of Mycobacteria*. Edited by Hatful GF, Jacobs WR Jr. Washington DC: ASM Press; 2000:235–254.
9. World Health Organization Global Tuberculosis Programme and International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease: *Anti-tuberculosis Drug Resistance in the World*. Report No. 2. Prevalence and Trends. WHO/CD/CDS/TB/2000.278. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2000.
10. International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease: *Guidelines for surveillance of drug resistance in tuberculosis*. WHO Geneva/IAUATLD Paris. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1998, 2:54–89.
11. DeBarber AE, Mdluli K, Bosman M, Bekker LG, Barry CE III: Ethionamide activation and sensitivity in multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000, 97:9677–9682.
12. Yang B, Koga H, Ohno H, Ogawa K, Hirakata Y, Maesaki S, Tomono K, Tashiro T, Kohno S: Relationship between antimycobacterial activities of rifampicin, rifabutin and KRM-1648 and rpoB mutations of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1998, 42:621–628.
13. Johnson K, Schultz PG: Mechanistic studies of the oxidation of isoniazid by the catalase peroxidase from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Am Chem Soc* 1994, 116:7425–7426.
14. Middlebrook G: Isoniazid resistance and catalase activity of *tubercle bacilli*. *Am Rev Tuberc* 1954, 69:471–472.
15. Zhang Y, Heym B, Allen B, Young D, Cole S: The catalase-peroxidase gene and isoniazid resistance of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Nature* 1992, 358:591–593.
16. Ramaswamy S, Musser JM: Molecular genetic basis of antimicrobial agent resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: 1998 update. *Tuberc Lung Dis* 1998, 79:3–29.
17. Marttila HJ, Soini H, Eerola E, Vahvanen E, Vahvanen K, Olten TF, Vaisy Y, Viljanen MK: A *Ser315Thr* substitution in KatG is predominant in genetically heterogeneous multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates originating from the St. Petersburg area in Russia. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998, 42:2443–2445.
18. Rouse DA, DeVito JA, Li Z, Byer H, Morris SL: Site-directed mutagenesis of the katG gene of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: effects on catalase-peroxidase activities and isoniazid resistance. *Mol Microbiol* 1996, 22:583–592.
19. Vilčíček C, Morbidoni HR, Weisbrod TR, Iwamoto H, Kuo M, Sacchetti NC, Jacobs WR Jr: Inactivation of the inhA-encoded fatty acid synthase II (FASII) enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase induces accumulation of the FASII end products and cell
Physiological and genotypic analysis of rifampin- and isoniazid-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains isolated in Japan. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1999, 43:219–226.

18. Ohno H, Koga H, Kohno S, Tashiro T, Hara K: Relationship between rifampin MICs for and rpoB mutations of Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains isolated in Japan. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1996, 40:2655–2657.

19. Moghazeh SL, Pan X, Arain TM, Stover CK, Musser JM, Kreiswirth BN: Comparative antimycobacterial activities of rifampin, rifapentine, and KRM-1648 against a collection of rifampin-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates with known rpoB mutations. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1996, 40:2655–2657.

20. Teleki AS, Telenti A, Murray MR, El-Hajj H, Jacobs WR Jr, Kramer DM: Antisense RNA to ahpC, an oxidative stress defence gene involved in isoniazid resistance, indicates that AhpC of Mycobacterium bovis has virulence properties. Microbiology 1998, 144:2687–2695.

21. Teleki AS, Telenti A, Murray MR, El-Hajj H, Jacobs WR Jr, Kramer DM: Antisense RNA to ahpC, an oxidative stress defence gene involved in isoniazid resistance, indicates that AhpC of Mycobacterium bovis has virulence properties. Microbiology 1998, 144:2687–2695.

22. Wilson T, de Lisso GW, Marcinkevici JA, Blanchard JS, Collins DM: Antisense RNA to ahpC, an oxidative stress defence gene involved in isoniazid resistance, indicates that AhpC of Mycobacterium bovis has virulence properties. Microbiology 1998, 144:2687–2695.

23. Mitchison DA, Nunn AJ: Influence of initial drug resistance on the response to short-course chemotherapy of pulmonary tuberculosis. Am Rev Respir Dis 1986, 133:423–430.

24. Gross J: The sterilizing value of rifampicin and pyrazinamide in experimental short-course chemotherapy. Bull Int Union Tuberc 1978, 53:5–12.

25. Mitchison DA: The Garrod Lecture. Understanding the chemotheraphy of tuberculosis: current problems. J Antimicrob Chemother 1992, 29:477–493.

26. Telenti A, Imboden P, Marchesi F, Lowrie D, Cole S, Colston MJ, Matter L, Schopfer K, Bodmer T: Detection of rifampicin-resistance mutations in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Lancet 1993, 341:647–650.

27. Mitchison DA, Nunn AJ: Influence of initial drug resistance on the response to short-course chemotherapy of pulmonary tuberculosis. Am Rev Respir Dis 1986, 133:423–430.

28. Gross J: The sterilizing value of rifampicin and pyrazinamide in experimental short-course chemotherapy. Bull Int Union Tuberc 1978, 53:5–12.

29. Mohgazeh SL, Pan X, Arain T, Stover CK, Musser JM, Kreiswirth BN: Comparative antimycobacterial activities of rifampin, rifapentine, and KRM-1648 against a collection of rifampin-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates with known rpoB mutations. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1996, 40:2655–2657.

30. Ohno H, Koga H, Kohno S, Tashiro T, Hara K: Relationship between rifampin MICs for and rpoB mutations of Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains isolated in Japan. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1996, 40:1053–1056.

31. Heep M, Rieber U, Beck D, Lehn N: Mutations in the beginning of the rpoB gene can induce resistance to rifamycins in both Helicobacter pylori and Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000, 44:1075–1077.

32. Zimonyi O, Cox JS, Welch JT, Vilcheze C, Jacobs WR Jr: Pyrazinamide inhibits the eukaryotic-like fatty acid synthetase I (FASI) of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nature Med 2000, 6:1043–1047.

33. Salfinger M, Crowle AJ, Reller LB: Pyrazinamide and pyrazinoic acid activity against tubercle bacilli in cultured human macrophages and in the BACTEC system. J Infect Dis 1990, 162:201–207.

34. Zhang Y, Scorpio A, Nikaido H, Sun Z: Role of acid pH and deficient efflux of pyrazinoic acid in unique susceptibility of Mycobacterium tuberculosis to pyrazinamide. J Bacteriol 1999, 181:2044–2049.

35. Konno K, Feldman FM, McDermont W: Pyrazinamide susceptibility and amidase activity of the tubercle bacilli. Am Rev Respir Dis 1967, 95:461–469.

36. Scorpio A, Zhang Y: Mutations in pncA, a gene encoding pyrazinamidase/nicotinamidase, cause resistance to the antituberculous drug pyrazinamide in tubercle bacilli. Nature Med 1996, 2:662–667.

37. Raynard C, Laneelle MA, Senaratne RH, Draper P, Laneelle G, Daffe M: Mechanisms of pyrazinamide resistance in mycobacteria: importance of lack of uptake in addition to lack of pyrazinamidase activity. Microbiology 1999, 145:1359–1367.

38. Van Rie A, Warren R, Mshanga I, Jordan AM, van der Spuy GD, Richardson M, Simpson J, Gie RP, Eason DM, Beyers N, van Helden PD, Victor TC: Analysis for a limited number of gene codons can predict drug resistance of Mycobacterium tuberculo-