Engineering many-body quantum dynamics by disorder
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Going beyond the currently investigated regimes in experiments on quantum transport of ultracold atoms in disordered potentials, we predict a crossover between regular and quantum-chaotic dynamics when varying the strength of disorder. Our spectral approach is based on the Bose-Hubbard model describing interacting atoms in deep random potentials. The predicted crossover from localized to diffusive dynamics depends on the simultaneous presence of interactions and disorder, and can be verified in the laboratory by monitoring the evolution of typical experimental initial states.
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While well-controlled experiments in solid-state systems are lacking, the recent advances in atom and quantum optics allow the experimentalist to study minimal models where single-particle dynamics, many-body interactions, and disorder can be engineered at will. Ultracold bosons or fermions loaded into optical lattices, which realize spatially periodic potentials [1], are optimally suited to study, e.g., quantum transport across disorder potentials and possible manifestations of Anderson localization in the mean-field regime [2,3]. Moreover, modern experiments reach the regime of strong atom-atom correlations in the mean-field regime [2,3]. Further, modern experiments reach the regime of strong atom-atom correlations in the mean-field regime [2,3]. More precisely, interactions in a many-body system can substitute for disorder in the diffusive regime of quantum transport [6].

Here we show how to engineer the dynamical properties of a many-body Bose-Hubbard system by varying the strength of static disorder. We predict that, for an intermediate regime of disorder strength, the system shows clear signature of global quantum chaos. The latter is quantified by spectral measures of quantum chaos [6,7,8,9,10] and transport [11,12,13]. Complexity arises in our systems from the simultaneous presence of atom-atom interactions and disorder.

We consider a disordered Bose-Hubbard system on a 1D lattice [14] comprising $L$ sites, defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \left[ U a_\ell^\dagger a_\ell^2 + J \left( e^{i\theta} a^\dagger_\ell a_{\ell+1} + h.c. \right) + \epsilon_\ell a^\dagger_\ell a_\ell \right]. \quad (1)$$

The operators $a_\ell^\dagger$, $a_\ell$ create and destroy bosons at lattice site $\ell$, respectively. The random on-site potentials, $\{\epsilon_\ell\}$, are chosen from a box distribution in $[-\epsilon/2,\epsilon/2]$. The deterministic Peierls phase $\theta$ in the kinetic term corresponds to a finite (angular) momentum of the lattice or, equivalently, to the presence of a (effective) magnetic potential [13], and mathematically to imposing different boundary conditions, twisted as opposed to simply periodic, onto the standard model, Eq. (1) with $\theta = 0$ [13]. Phases could be controlled experimentally as described in [16], while the periodic boundary conditions assumed for (1) in the following could be implemented in optical ring lattices [16,17]. The effects of $\{\epsilon_\ell\}$ and $\theta$ are in some sense complementary. This can be understood switching to the Fock basis of the quasimomentum (QM) operators $b_\ell = L^{-1/2} \sum_\ell \epsilon_\ell e^{i2\pi \kappa \ell / L}$, diagonalizing the kinetic term in (1). In this reciprocal basis, the interaction term is block-diagonal, the blocks being labeled by the total QM $\kappa\eta$ [15]. Phases could be controlled experimentally as described in [16], while the periodic boundary conditions assumed for (1) in the following could be implemented in optical ring lattices [16,17]. The effects of $\{\epsilon_\ell\}$ and $\theta$ are in some sense complementary. This can be understood switching to the Fock basis of the quasimomentum (QM) operators $b_\ell = L^{-1/2} \sum_\ell \epsilon_\ell e^{i2\pi \kappa \ell / L}$, diagonalizing the kinetic term in (1).

We are interested in the global dynamics generated by (1). Our approach to characterize the quantum transport in the system is twofold: first, we study the spectral properties of (1), and secondly, we present results of the time-evolution of initial states which are not eigenstates of (1). The evolution of typical experimental observables, such as the spatial population and the mean momentum of the condensate particles [1,3,4], allows one to directly probe the here predicted diffusion-localization transition (DLT). In contrast to [14], we do not just focus on the regime of small disorder $\epsilon \ll J$, and we include $\theta$ to generalize the assumed periodic boundary conditions.

For the case without disorder and filling factors of order one, a cross-over between regular and quantum chaotic spectra was predicted in [8] when varying the...
ratio $U/J$. Quantum chaotic spectra are identified by their statistics, more precisely the distribution $P(s)$ of the normalized level spacings $s = \Delta E/\Delta E^*$ follows a Wigner-Dyson (WD) distribution [8]. Also by adding a linear force to the Hamiltonian, a transition between regular and chaotic motion can be identified by a crossover from Poisson to WD statistics [6, 7], corresponding to a regime of strong (Stark) localization or of quantum chaos, respectively. Based on translational invariance, most previous results [6, 7, 8] concern a subset of states with constant (conserved) QM. Since in the experiment it is generally hard to focus on a subset of states taking part in the dynamical evolution, it is desirable and more general to search for global quantum chaos, which is not just restricted to independent subsets of the system’s eigenvalue spectrum, e.g. corresponding to constant QM. Disorder (which cannot be completely avoided in any real system) naturally breaks the translation invariance [19], and we will show that the dynamics of a dilute boson system (induced by its spectral properties) can be controlled by the combined action of atom-atom interactions and the random potential in (1).

For a complex spreading of the system’s eigenfunctions in the eigenbases of the integrable cases ($J = 0 = \epsilon$) and ($U = 0 = \epsilon$), the energy scales defined by the terms in (1) should be roughly of the same order of magnitude, i.e., $J \sim U \sim \epsilon$. For such a situation and small filling, we indeed found clear signatures of global quantum chaos in our results. As shown in Fig. 1 which collects avoided crossing scenarios of the energy levels (a), the cumulative distribution $C(s) \equiv \int_0^s ds' P(s')$, and the number variance $\Sigma^2$ of the energy levels (which measures the long-range correlations in the spectrum [10]) in (b,c). Both $C(s)$ and $\Sigma^2$ well agree with the WD predictions for a Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble of random matrices [3, 11]. Residual symmetries of (1) (e.g., a reflection symmetry for special values of the number of atoms $N$ and the system size $L$) can be destroyed by $\theta \neq 0$.

Our results of Figs. 1 and 2 depend on the procedure chosen to unfold the energy spectrum (i.e., to make the density of states approximately constant), which is necessary to compare to the normalized theoretical predictions [6, 10]. We used a rescaling of the levels by the numerically obtained local density of the raw data, which is independent of further assumptions on the original level density. Choosing a small window (over 5...10 levels) for computing the local average permits an optimal match on smaller scales, whilst larger windows (over 20...40 levels) are chosen to compute the number variance. Our analysis considered all levels of a given spectrum at fixed parameters, and we checked that excluding levels at the band edges does not change our results, as long as we stay in the dilute limit of $UN/L \leq 1$.

For $\epsilon \lesssim 0.5$ and $\epsilon \gg 1$, we observed a trend towards globally regular dynamics, a consequence of good, yet not perfect Poisson statistics. In the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, the various QM blocks uncouple, and as exercised in [6, 7, 8] one has to concentrate just on one of these blocks in the spectral analysis. Any small $\epsilon \neq 0$, however, destroys the translational invariance, making an analysis of the full spectrum quite intricate. We, therefore, concentrate for a moment on the case of large disorder. As shown in Fig. 1(c), this limit is well characterized by a Poisson distribution. This result is expected, since the eigenstates become pinned at the randomly distributed minima of the potential, leading to a small residual overlap between them. Of course, for finite $U$ and $L$, such a localization cannot be perfect [14]. Indeed, we observe a better correspondence in our system with the Poisson prediction (see inset of Fig. 1(c)) for smaller fillings, consistent with single-particle localization theory [20]. This trend was observed when increasing $L < 20$ at fixed $N = 3$ and 4, or when decreasing $1 < N \leq 4$ at fixed $10 < L < 20$.

The DLT occurs for all data sets shown in Fig. 2 at a critical value $\epsilon_{cr} \approx 4$. We characterize this crossover by a $\chi^2$ statistical test [22], which measures the deviation from WD. The saturation of our $\chi^2_{WD}$ measure for large $\epsilon$, at the right of the graphs in Fig. 2 signals the convergence to a Poisson distribution, an example of which is explicitly shown in Fig. 1(c). For fixed $N$ and $L$, $\epsilon_{cr}$ scales linearly with $U = J$, as expected since our Hamiltonian in (1) is scale invariant for a given realization of disorder (i.e., $U = J$ defines the energy scale for $\epsilon$). At fixed $U = J$, the crossover to the localized regime depends on the filling, and our results from Fig. 2 suggest the following functional form $\chi^2_{WD}(x)$, with $x = \epsilon \sqrt{L/N}$, in the range $\epsilon \approx 4...15$. It is numerically hard to obtain a full scaling function for interacting systems with larger $N$ and $L$, since it is necessary to diagonalize the full system, not just one QM block as in [6, 7, 8].
Interestingly, our spectral analysis of Figs. 1 and 2 does not show a dependence on \( \theta \) in the localized regime (\( \epsilon \gtrsim 4 \)), whilst especially for \( \epsilon \lesssim 1 \) (see inset of Fig. 2(a)) both couplings by \( \theta \neq 0 \) and by \( \epsilon \neq 0 \) can conspire to enhance the quantum chaotic properties of the full spectrum (i.e., not only of a subblock of fixed QM). We therefore can use, to some extent, both parameters as independent handles to change the global spectral properties.

The dependence of the spectrum on the choice of the boundary conditions defined by \( \theta \) is reminiscent of the Thouless conductance, another prominent measure to characterize the transition between extended and localized states \[12\]. We computed the Thouless conductance, which essentially is given by the curvature \( C_T = \langle |d^2E/d\ell^2| \rangle \approx \langle |2E(\ell) - E(0)|/\ell^2 \rangle \) for \( \theta \to 0 \) \[11,13\], which was geometrically averaged \[11\] over the full spectrum and 40 realizations of disorder. Our results are shown in Fig. 3. In contrast to the distributions of nearest level spacings, where the small but finite mixing of QM blocks at \( \epsilon \to 0 \) does not allow to well characterize the true type of dynamical regime, the curvature is a local property of the spectrum. Hence, in the diffusive limit \( \epsilon \to 0 \), we find the expected divergence \( C_T \propto \epsilon^{-\alpha} \), with \( \alpha \approx 2...1.8 \) \((N = 2...4, L = 15)\) and \( \alpha \approx 1.3 \) \((N = 4, L = 10)\). In analogy to Fig. 2 the crossover between the diffusive (quantum chaotic) and the localized regime sets in at \( \epsilon_{cr} \approx 4 \). For \( \epsilon \gtrsim 4 \), our results confirm an exponential scaling (typical of finite-size localized systems) \( C_T \propto \exp(-\text{const} \cdot \epsilon^\beta) \), with \( \beta \approx 0.8 \) \((N = 2...4, L = 15)\) and \( \beta \approx 0.5 \) \((N = 4, L = 10)\). The systematic deviation of both exponents from the single-particle predictions \((\alpha = 2 \text{ and } \beta = 1)\) with increasing filling factor highlights the structural change of the level dynamics in the presence of atom-atom interactions.

In the following, we focus on experimentally observable consequences of the spectral analysis presented so far. Fig. 4 shows the temporal evolution of initial states, typically prepared in experiments with Bose-Einstein condensates. Panel (a) presents the mean momentum on the lattice \[7,12,19\], defined as

\[
p(t) = \frac{1}{2N\langle \psi(t) \rangle} \sum_{\ell} \left( a_\ell a_{\ell-1} - h.c. \right) |\psi(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{N\langle \psi(t) \rangle} \sum_\kappa \sin(\frac{2\pi\kappa}{\ell}) b_\kappa^\dagger b_\kappa |\psi(t)\rangle ,
\]

in the direct and reciprocal space, respectively, for \(|\psi(t = 0)\rangle = (b_\kappa^\dagger)^N |0\rangle / \sqrt{N}\), with \( \kappa = 2 \). For strong disorder, the momentum decays almost instantaneously to zero, and is further characterized by small, random fluctuations. The quantum chaotic behavior for \( \epsilon = 1 \) is visible in the strongly correlated large-scale fluctuations, characterized by a slowly, algebraically decaying Fourier transform of the time series \( p(t) \), c.f. Fig. 4(b). The latter implies a large number of modes being present in the evolution of \( p(t) \), a standard signature of complex dynamics \[24\]. Complex transport behavior was predicted also in \[25\] by analyzing the power spectrum of oscillations in a three-well system. In contrast to (a, b), Figs. 4(c,d) show the real space dynamics of a box-distributed initial state with one atom in wells \( \ell = 7,8,9 \) and none elsewhere. Whilst the chaotic mixing of all wells dominates for \( \epsilon = 2 \), in the localized regime \( \epsilon = 10 \) we observe the expected strong pinning at the random minima of the potential (particularly in the 7th well in Fig. 4(d)). The fluctuations in the latter case arise from our finite values

---

**Fig. 2:** (color online) (a,b) \( \chi^2 \) statistical test \[23\] (with values close to zero for good WD statistics) as a function of a state that was previously extracted for it.
of $U$ and $L$. For a typical realization of $\{\epsilon_{\ell}\}$, the evolution will be asymmetric as seen in (c), which allows one to distinguish it from the $\epsilon = 0$ case. Equivalently, whilst for $\epsilon = 0$ QM is conserved, for $\epsilon \neq 0$ QM starts to deviate from its initial value, which is 0 for the data in (c,d). Fig. 4 presents the limits of fully developed global quantum chaos (without any residual symmetry in the system) and strong localization. Yet, the crossover between the two regimes is systematic, and as exemplified, even the evolution of single, reduced experimental observables of our many-body problem can be used to directly visualize the change in the quantum spectra analyzed in Figs. 1-3.

Of experimental relevance is in particular the difference in the short-time evolution of $p(t)$ for experimental detection times $< 1$ s.

In summary, we showed how one can scan between the different dynamical regimes of the Bose-Hubbard system, characterized by global quantum chaos and by essentially localized bosons, by varying the strength of static disorder. The dynamics of initial states which are far from eigenstates of the system could be used as a clear experimental signature of this crossover. Moreover, our analysis of the many-body level curvatures opens a new link to transport problems in mesoscopic solids[11, 12] and photonic lattices[22], where global chaotic properties are accessible by conductance measurements. As adumbrated in[9], the here presented, extended and unifying characterization of the spectral properties of a disordered many-body problem, may be useful to obtain, for instance, experimentally accessible estimates for the localization properties for such complex systems. However, the necessary scaling arguments[12] as a function of $N$ and $L$ make such an approach challenging for up-to-date computational resources.
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