Phytoene Synthase: The Key Rate-Limiting Enzyme of Carotenoid Biosynthesis in Plants

Xuesong Zhou1,2†, Sombir Rao1,3†, Emalee Wrightstone1,3, Tianhu Sun1,3, Andy Cheuk Woon Lui1,3, Ralf Welsch4 and Li Li1,3*

1 Robert W. Holley Center for Agriculture and Health, USDA-Agricultural Research Service, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, United States, 2 State Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics and Germplasm Enhancement, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China, 3 Plant Breeding and Genetics Section, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, United States, 4 ScreenSYS GmbH, Freiburg, Germany

Phytoene synthase (PSY) catalyzes the first committed step in the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway and is a major rate-limiting enzyme of carotenogenesis. PSY is highly regulated by various regulators and factors to modulate carotenoid biosynthesis in response to diverse developmental and environmental cues. Because of its critical role in controlling the total amount of synthesized carotenoids, PSY has been extensively investigated and engineered in plant species. However, much remains to be learned on its multifaceted regulatory control and its catalytic efficiency for carotenoid enrichment in crops. Here, we present current knowledge on the basic biology, the functional evolution, the dynamic regulation, and the metabolic engineering of PSY. We also discuss the open questions and gaps to stimulate additional research on this most studied gene/enzyme in the carotenogenic pathway.
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INTRODUCTION

Carotenoids are a group of lipophilic isoprenoid metabolites. They play diverse roles in plants as essential photoprotective and light-harvesting pigments in photosynthesis, color agents, and precursors of phytohormones, aroma/flavor compounds, and signaling molecules. Carotenoids are also important to human nutrition and health as dietary precursors of vitamin A and antioxidants in preventing vitamin A deficiency and reducing the risk of various chronic diseases (Eggersdorfer and Wyss, 2018). The critical roles of carotenoids to plants and humans have provoked significant efforts to understand carotenoid metabolism in plants and to generate carotenoid enriched crops (Nisar et al., 2015; Giuliano, 2017; Rodriguez-Concepcion et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2022).

Carotenoids are de novo synthesized in nearly all kinds of plastids and are abundant in chloroplasts and chromoplasts in plant cells (Sun et al., 2018). Carotenoid biosynthesis occurs primarily in dependence of plastid membrane association and involves a group of nuclear-encoded enzymes (Shumskaya and Wurtzel, 2013). Phytoene synthase (PSY) catalyzes the first committed step of carotenogenesis by condensation of two molecules of geranylgeranyl diphosphate (GGPP) derived from the methylerythritol phosphate (MEP) pathway to produce the C40 hydrocarbon 15-cis-phytoene (Figure 1). Consecutive modifications of 15-cis-phytoene by desaturases and isomerases form all-trans-lycopene, which is cyclized by two cyclases to convert into either
β-carotene or α-carotene. The subsequent hydroxylation and epoxidation by hydroxylases and epoxidase produce xanthophylls (Hermanns et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020). Being a major rate-limiting and highly regulated enzyme, PSY has been extensively investigated and engineered in plant species (Burkhardt et al., 1997; Shewmaker et al., 1999; Ye et al., 2000; Ducreux et al., 2005; Paine et al., 2005; Diretto et al., 2007; Fraser et al., 2007; Maass et al., 2009; Naqvi et al., 2009; Rodriguez-Villalón et al., 2009; Welsch et al., 2010, 2018; Zhou et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2018; Cao et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2021).

THE BASIC BIOLOGY OF PHYTOENE SYNTHASE

The first gene encoding PSY from land plants was identified from tomato. A tomato fruit cDNA, pTOM5, which was previously shown to be highly upregulated during fruit ripening, was cloned (Slater et al., 1985). Sequencing of pTOM5 revealed homology to the bacterial crtB (Ray et al., 1987) and the pTOM5 antisense tomato plants showed strongly reduced carotenoid levels in the fruit (Bird et al., 1991). Further evidence that pTOM5 encodes a phytoene synthase came from complementation of carotenoid biosynthesis in the crtB mutant of *Rhodobacter capsulatus* by pTOM5, which finally confirmed its identity as fruit-specific PSY1 (Bartley et al., 1992).

Phytoene synthase enzyme (EC 2.5.1.32) was characterized as a bifunctional enzyme, which catalyzes two tightly coupled reactions of dimerization of GGPP into prephytoene diphosphate and its subsequent conversion into phytoene (Dogbo et al., 1988). Enzymatic activity of PSY is strictly dependent on Mn$^{2+}$ cofactor, which is believed to regulate its competition with other GGPP-consuming enzymes in plastids (Dogbo et al., 1988; Fraser et al., 2000). PSY also has a specific galactolipid and membrane association requirement for its catalytic activity (Schledz et al., 1996; Welsch et al., 2000). In general, plant PSY proteins are unstable (Mukherjee and Mukhopadhyay, 2020). This is especially true in photosynthetically active tissues, where
PSY protein amounts are extremely low and subjected to a relatively high protein turnover rate. Many PSYs are known to require chaperone proteins for maintaining their stability and carotenogenic functions within plastids (Zhou et al., 2015; Park et al., 2016; Chayut et al., 2017; D’Andrea et al., 2018; Welsch et al., 2018; Ahrazem et al., 2020).

Phytoene synthase belongs to the class 1 superfamily of isoprenoid biosynthetic enzymes and shares a conserved prenyltransferase domain with squalene synthase (Summers et al., 1993). PSY proteins of land plants generally contain 380–450 amino acid residues (Han et al., 2015). The active site of PSY enzymes is comprised of six conserved motifs, i.e., substrate binding pocket, catalytic residues, active lid-residues, two aspartate-rich regions, and substrate Mg$^{2+}$ binding site (GGPP is usually complexed with Mg$^{2+}$) (Figure 1). Most residues of the active site are identical and conserved even with the bacterial and fungal orthologs (Mukherjee and Mukhopadhyay, 2020). The three-dimensional structure model of PSY shows an identical structure of the active site region of PSY enzymes from evolutionarily distant lineages, despite that their global structure vary (Cao et al., 2019; Mukherjee and Mukhopadhyay, 2020).

**FUNCTIONAL EVOLUTION OF PHYTOENE SYNTHASE**

Gene duplication plays a prominent role in generating evolutionary novelty, facilitating acclimation and adaptation to adverse environments and contributing to the emergence of new agronomic traits (Conant and Wolfe, 2008; Van De Peer et al., 2017). Gene duplication events and a separate evolutionary history in land plants formed a small gene family and produced a various number of PSY genes in plant genomes.

In many plant species, there are two or more PSY paralogs belonging to three subgroups (Figure 1). Numbering of PSY gene nomination in tomato followed the chronological order of their identification and PSY paralogs identified subsequently in other plant species followed the same principle or are based on homologies to previously identified PSY genes. Thus, PSY numbering does not always expresses similarity of functional roles. Various PSY paralogs were recruited with overlapping functions in carotenogenesis during evolution. They acquired tissue-specific expression patterns and subfunctionalizations to fine-tune carotenoid biosynthesis in response to developmental and environmental cues (Li et al., 2008b). For instance, tomato, maize, and wheat genomes harbor three PSY genes, with PSY1 primarily responsible for carotenoid accumulation in fruit or grains, PSY2 functioning in green tissues for photosynthesis, and PSY3 in roots to regulate ABA biosynthesis under abiotic stress or mycorrhizal symbiosis (Fraser et al., 1999; Giorio et al., 2008; Li et al., 2008a; Dibari et al., 2012; Stauder et al., 2018). In rice, both OsPSY1 and OsPSY2 contain light responsive cis-acting elements and play predominant roles in carotenogenesis in green tissues, whereas OsPSY3 is induced in roots by high salt and/or drought stress (Welsch et al., 2008). The expression and functional evolution of PSY paralogs have been also observed in many other plant species. Interestingly, although Arabidopsis has experienced four gene duplication events (Bowers et al., 2003), there is only a single PSY gene. Perhaps the alternative splicing of PSY in Arabidopsis allows encoding multiple PSY isozymes to adapt to the changing environments (Alvarez et al., 2016).

While the key sites or motifs of plant PSYs are highly conserved during the enzyme evolution (Han et al., 2015; Cao et al., 2019), evolutionary processes with gene duplication, divergence, and allelic variations generated PSY enzymes with different activities among and even within the same plant species. An example is the finding that an alteration of evolutionarily conserved neighboring aromatic-aromatic amino acid combination in the PSY active site gives variable activities of PSY isoforms in tomato (Cao et al., 2019). These isoforms also evolved with different biochemical properties for the requirements of Mn$^{2+}$ cofactor, optimal pH, and substrate affinity (Fraser et al., 2000). In cassava, a divergence of one amino acid residue in a highly conserved structure region of PSY2 altered its catalytic activity and is associated with root carotenoid content in cassava (Welsch et al., 2010). Allelic variations resulting in loss of PSY activity led to white-fleshed cultivars in loquat (Fu et al., 2014) and white petal varieties of the California poppy (Pollack et al., 2019). Moreover, allelic variation was found to give PSY isozymes distinct plastid suborganellar localization and presumably altered activity with different carotenoid sequestration structures in maize (Shumskaya et al., 2012). Uneven evolution of domains and regions of PSY was noticed in grass species (Fu et al., 2010). These examples underscore the profound impact of evolutionary processes on PSY activity and in shaping agronomic traits.

**MULTIFACETED REGULATION OF PHYTOENE SYNTHASE**

**Transcriptional Regulation**

Phytoen synthase is a major rate-limiting enzyme in carotenoid biosynthesis and its activity effectively determines the metabolic flux to carotenoids. It is therefore not surprising that multiple mechanisms at various levels are utilized to regulate the spatiotemporal expression and activity of PSY in plants (Figure 1; Ruiz-Sola and Rodriguez-Concepción, 2012; Sun and Li, 2020; Sun et al., 2022). Transcriptional regulation is central to the control of PSY activity for carotenogenesis. Various signals and factors, such as development, phytohormone, retrograde, light, temperature, drought, salt, and circadian, as well as allelic variation, mutation, and feedback/feedforward are all known in the literature to regulate PSY gene expression. However, there is a large gap for the mechanistic understanding of their regulatory roles.

A number of transcription factors (TFs) were found to directly bind to the promoters of PSY and regulate its transcript levels in photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic tissues. During seedling de-etiolation, PSY transcript level is greatly induced by light (Von Lintig et al., 1997; Welsch et al., 2000). Two key photomorphogenetic regulators, Phytochrome-Interacting Factors (PIFs, repressors) and LONG HYPOCOTYL5 (HY5, activator) form a dynamic repression-activation module. They
directly bind to the same G-box motif in the PSY promoter in dark or light to regulate PSY transcription in response to light, temperature, and circadian cues (Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2010, 2014). A different repression-activation module involving PIF1 and Phytochrome Rapidly Regulated 1 (PAR1) is recruited to regulate PSY expression in response to shade (Bou-Torrent et al., 2015). In addition, RAP2.2 binds to the ATCCTA element in the PSY promoter with modest regulatory activity, suggesting RAP2.2 being one element of the complex regulatory network (Welsch et al., 2007).

Many fruit-specific PSYs are transcriptionally upregulated during fruit ripening (Lu P. et al., 2018). Several TFs including Ripening Inhibitor (RIN), Tomato AGAMOUS-LIKE1 (TAGL1), FRUITFULL1 (FUL1), SBBX20, SWRKY, SYMB72, and SlPIF1 directly bind to the SlPSY1 promoter to positively or negatively regulate its expression in tomato fruit (Itkin et al., 2009; Vrebakov et al., 2009; Fujisawa et al., 2013, 2014; Llorente et al., 2016; Xiong et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020). In citrus, both CsMADS5 and CsMADS6 bind and regulate CsPSY transcription and these two TFs physically interact with each other, possibly forming an enhancer complex to promote carotenogenic activity (Lu S. et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2021). While multiple TFs are shown to directly activate PSY gene expression in non-photosynthetic tissues, it remains unclear whether they are the primary regulators, function across plant species, form regulatory modules with others, and/or represent bona fide regulators of PSY.

Modulation of PSY expression has also been documented by other mechanisms. Uncharacterized carotenoids or degraded products were found to negatively regulate SlPSY1 in tomato or PSY protein level in carrot root, constituting a negative feedback regulation of PSY activity (Kachanovsky et al., 2012; Arango et al., 2014; Enfissi et al., 2017). Perturbation of carotenoid biosynthesis has also been observed to activate PSY2 activity in tomato and pepper fruits when PSY1 is not functional (Jang et al., 2020; Gupta et al., 2022; Karmiel et al., 2022). Epigenetic regulation such as histone modification as well as DNA methylation and demethylation modifies SlPSY1 mRNA levels during tomato fruit ripening (Liang et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2022).

Post-transcriptional and Post-translational Regulation

Additionally, PSY expression and enzymatic activity are also regulated at post-transcriptional and post-translational levels (Figure 1). Mechanisms comprising of alternative splicing, protein-protein interactions, and multi-enzyme complexes constitute efficient, rapid, and dynamic regulation to fine-tune PSY activity and carotenogenesis (Ruiz-Sola and Rodríguez-Concepción, 2012; Nisar et al., 2015; Sun and Li, 2020; Sun et al., 2022).

Alternative splicing changes PSY transcript sequence length, producing variants with different translation efficiency and/or distinct enzyme activity to control the functional PSY in Arabidopsis leaves (Alvarez et al., 2016), tomato fruit (Chen et al., 2019), saffron stigmata (Ahrazem et al., 2019), and bread wheat endosperm (Howitt et al., 2009). Such post-transcriptional regulatory mechanisms may also provide an alternative toward employing multiple copies of a gene. Moreover, regulation of translational activity via the 5'UTR of PSY transcripts might represent a regulatory mode that allows a fast adaptation of PSY protein abundance and thus biosynthetic activity toward carotenoid requirement. Considering that PSY translation takes place in the cytoplasm while (lipophilic) carotenoids are present in plastids, an attractive hypothesis includes shuttling of regulatory, hydrophilic carotenoid degradation products via plastid membranes (Alvarez et al., 2016).

Protein-protein interaction is fundamentally important to maintain and fine-tune metabolic processes in plant cells (Struk et al., 2019). The interactions of PSY protein with OR chaperones and Clp proteases exemplify the crucial role of protein-protein interactions in regulating carotenogenic enzyme activity, proteostasis, and carotenoid biosynthesis. PSY physically interacts with OR proteins to maintain its activity through OR chaperone activity (Yuan et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2015; Park et al., 2016; Chayut et al., 2017; Welsch et al., 2020). PSY directly interacts with Clp protease to mediate its degradation (D’andrea et al., 2018; Welsch et al., 2018). Through the protein-protein interactions, OR and Clp proteins counterbalance each other to adjust the functional form and proteostasis of PSY in plant cells. In addition, PSY is associated with GGPPS to facilitate channeling of precursor for carotenoid biosynthesis in Arabidopsis, pepper and tomato (Ruiz-Sola et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018; Barja et al., 2021) SGR was found to physically interact with CsPSY protein to regulate carotenogenesis in citrus (Zhu et al., 2021). Post-translational modification via ubiquitination-mediated turnover of non-imported PSY precursors outside of plastids was noted via direct interaction between SIPSY1 and a E3 ubiquitin ligase (Wang et al., 2020).

Enzyme complexes and metabolons facilitate metabolic flux. As lipophilic carotenoids are synthesized from small hydrophilic precursors (IPP, DMAPP), but include amphiphilic intermediates like GGPP, free substrate diffusion can be excluded and metabolite channeling between different enzymes of the pathway plays an essential role. Importantly, the PSY substrate GGPP is shared with other pathways (Figure 1), such as chlorophyll and tocopherol biosynthesis in chloroplasts. However, there are mainly one or two GGPPS isoenzymes that serve all GGPP-consuming pathways (Ruiz-Sola et al., 2016; Barja et al., 2021). This situation raises the question whether competitive interaction between enzymes of off-branching pathways regulates flux into various pathways. Interestingly, a synthetic GGPPS-PSY metabolon has been demonstrated with increased efficiency in channelizing GGPP into carotenogenesis (Camagna et al., 2019). An early study also suggests that PSY is associated with a large enzyme complex containing IPI and GGPPS in chloroplasts of tomato plants for active carotenogenesis (Fraser et al., 2000).

GENETIC ENGINEERING OF PHYTOENE SYNTHASE

Since carotenoids, as important nutrients in human diets, are deficient or low in many food crops, enormous endeavors have been made to engineer crops with enriched carotenoid content
The intrinsic properties of PSY determine its efficiency. Numerous examples exemplify a simple change in PSY amino acid sequences that profoundly alters its activity (Welsch et al., 2010; Shumskaya et al., 2012; Cao et al., 2019), which provides the potential to rationally design PSY with high enzyme activity. Various approaches are available to facilitate rapid screening of PSY variants with improved efficiency, such as via heterologous expression in bacterial test system and in callus system, which seems transferable to various plant systems (Paine et al., 2005; Bai et al., 2014; Schaub et al., 2018; Camagna et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2019; Camagna and Welsch, 2020). Application of improved PSY variants is expected to effectively develop carotenoid enriched crops.

PSY is known to be the key rate-limiting enzyme of carotenogenesis. However, the availability of precursors and products following altering pathway enzyme expression and activities can create new metabolic bottlenecks in the pathway (Bai et al., 2016, 2017). Indeed, metabolic control analysis reveals that the flux control coefficient of PSY is predominant in the wild type fruit but reduces in the PSY transgenic tomato, and consequently the flux control is likely shared by several enzymes in the carotenoid pathway (Rios-Estepa and Lange, 2007). Thus, effective engineering of carotenoid metabolic pathway may benefit from multistep manipulation in some cases.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Because of its critical role in carotenogenesis, PSY is the most well characterized and studied gene/enzyme in the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway. The accelerated sequencing of numerous plant genomes and transcriptomes makes it straightforward to identify PSY gene family members and to reveal their tissue-specific expression patterns in plant species. Extensive studies of PSY in carotenogenesis have also established various regulatory tiers acting at transcriptional, post-transcriptional, post-translational, and epigenetic levels. At the same time, great success has been made in engineering PSY expression to enhance crop nutritional quality. However, considerably more efforts are still required to delineate the mechanistic details of various regulatory controls and to develop/select highly efficient PSY enzyme (Figure 1). Open questions and gaps for the most studied enzyme in the pathway remain, including the followings.

What are the additional regulators that govern PSY gene expression, protein stability, and activity? The crucial role of PSY in carotenogenesis and multiple signals/factors affecting its expression suggest a complex regulatory control machinery and network. Although a number of TFs have been shown to bind directly to the cis-motifs in the promoters of PSY, additional regulators that may have dominant or additive roles in transcriptionally regulating PSY remain uncovered. In addition to a few post-translational regulators identified, many more are expected to be identified for rapid regulation of PSY activity and fine-tune of carotenogenesis.

What are the key amino acid residues that give high PSY activity? The intrinsic enzymatic efficiency of PSY enzymes from various plant species varies greatly to give different biosynthetic capacity as exemplified with daffodil and maize PSY used for Golden Rice 1 and 2, respectively. Single amino acid sequence changes can profoundly alter PSY activity. Therefore, identification and optimization of the key amino acid residues will not only unravel the intrinsic features of PSY activity, but also facilitate the design of highly efficient PSY for development of carotenoid enriched crops.

How does PSY work with other enzymes in the pathway to drive the metabolic flux and what are the mechanisms
controlling metabolon assembly? It has long been hypothesized that carotenogenic enzymes form enzyme complexes although solid evidence is still lacking. GGPS-PSY metabolism can efficiently drive GGPP substrate into the carotenogenic pathway, supporting an important role of the complexes for carotenogenesis.

One interesting phenomenon observed in many studies is that overexpression of PSY is often associated with increased β-carotene accumulation in many non-photosynthetic tissues or organs. What are the regulatory mechanisms for this favorable phenomenon? Identification of the mechanisms involved might further help to develop additional approaches for crop nutritional quality improvement.

Phytoene synthase activity needs to be precisely coordinated with carotenoid end products. Of special interest for future research also includes how the rate-limiting function of PSY is dynamically regulated with the total carotenoid content and the relative levels of individual carotenoids. The fast advance in techniques and multi-omics information from many plant species will be promising in elucidating the unknown regulators of PSY and unraveling the intrinsic features underlying its activity and function. The information obtained would greatly enrich our current knowledge of the regulatory mechanisms and lay down novel strategies for predictable carotenoid improvement in crops. Integrating both key regulators and targeted carotenogenic genes into a synthetic biology framework will allow us to achieve the targeted biofortification goals.
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