Optimization of culture media to produce *Bacillus subtilis* strain QST 713 in a handcrafted bioreactor

Valera-Montero, L.L.; González-Delgadillo, L.; Amador-Rodríguez, K.A.; Perales-Segovia, C.; Flores-Benítez, Silvia

1 Tecnológico Nacional de México/Instituto Tecnológico El Llano Aguascalientes. Km 18, Carretera Aguascalientes-San Luis Potosí, El Llano, Aguascalientes, CP 20330. Aguascalientes, México.

* Correspondence: silvia.fb@llano.tecnm.mx

**ABSTRACT**

**Objective.** To optimize a nutrient medium based on fertilizers for the cultivation of *Bacillus subtilis* in an Airlift-type handcrafted bioreactor.

**Design/Methodology/Approach.** Twenty-seven nutrient media, fixed by combining five factors with three levels, including sucrose, ammonium sulfate, triple superphosphate, UltraK® formula, and *B. subtilis* inoculum (Serenade® Max) were tested in a 50L handcrafted by the authors. The variables monitored in the media were absorbance, dissolved oxygen, pH, and temperature. The first was the one that was considered for optimization as it is the indirect indicator of bacterial growth. On the statistical analysis, the option "Larger is better" was chosen for Signal/Noise for the ANOVA of the main effects according to the Taguchi method.

**Results.** The highest level of sucrose, together with the lowest level of triple superphosphate were determinants for maximum growth of *Bacillus* in the time studied. On the other hand, the components such as ammonium sulfate, UltraK® formula, or the amount of inoculum were not significant, which means that they can be added from the mid to low levels.

**Study limitations/Implications.** This new information can be scaled to bioreactors of 2500 L for *B. subtilis* that we have previously developed.

**Finding/Conclusions.** Maximum bacterial growth depends on a good supply of sucrose, limiting triple superphosphate. Additionally, it is prudent to decrease additions of ammonium sulfate because it reduces dissolved oxygen in the nutrient medium.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Bioreactors used for experimentation tend to be expensive equipment, even those with a capacity of 10 or fewer liters since their cost usually exceeds € 20,000; and the possibility of its acquisition is usually remote for laboratories in general, for which researchers implement their designs, but they are usually handcrafted and low-capacity models (Lamping, 2004; Serrat-Díaz & Méndez-Hernández, 2015).
There are examples of the performance of small factory-built bioreactors, with tests using \textit{E. coli} and media prepared from basic reagents that are not economical for application outside of medicine (Schirmer \textit{et al.}, 2019). Others, who are the vast majority, prefer simplicity when using bioreactors that work by shaking, with even smaller culture volumes (Carvalho \textit{et al.}, 2010). In these cases, researchers carry out 90% of the culture experiments in these bioreactors and less than 2% of the publications mention the engineering aspects of the equipment, with some exceptions (Bourne \textit{et al.}, 1992; Büchs, 2001).

However, massive, or commercial production of beneficial microorganisms for agriculture from the practical point of view occurs in fermenters or bioreactors, and to achieve this, it is necessary to previously make a good choice of the microorganism, its culture medium or its optimization and a good start-up of the fermentation process (Seletzky \textit{et al.}, 2007). And if the production, of beneficial microorganisms, is approached from the economic point of view, then its application to crops becomes exclusive to a small sector of farmers who have enough money to do it. These high prices for both the reactor, its operation, and its culture media, have led some to consider the need to lower costs based on the concept “\textit{Do it yourself}”. Under this condition, control focuses on simple monitoring of optical density, fluorescence, pH, and dissolved oxygen; all of this at affordable prices. These alternatives have a high degree of flexibility for different applications and requirements in the design and construction of simple bioreactors (Pilizota & Yang, 2018; Theodore \textit{et al.}, 2019). At the same time, this type of reactor can also enhance what has been called “synthetic biology”, as it includes genetically modified microorganisms that can be used in the development of new products, with a regulated expression of metabolic routes or with the introduction of new routes, becoming in factories of biological products (Pleiss, 2006; Scognamiglio \textit{et al.}, 2015). Then, the task of bioengineers is to grow microorganisms of interest, at higher speeds than those that occur in nature in containers that contain the nutrients and the optimal aeration and temperature conditions (Galindo \textit{et al.}, 2007).

Therefore, the objective of this work was to optimize a nutrient medium based on fertilizers for the cultivation of \textit{Bacillus subtilis} in an Airlift-type bioreactor. This handcrafted Airlift-type bioreactor is of our own design with a volume of 50 liters of capacity, in which performance tests were carried out with different media considering the basic variables previously mentioned. Although there are typical batch Airlift bioreactors (Katoh & Yoshida, 2009) described as internal lift (IL) and external lift (EL) of turbulent motion, it is important to note that the bioreactor presented in this work is a variant of the first case and that promotes excellent aeration and movement of the culture medium.

**MATERIALS Y METHODS**

Our group has experience in the construction of a fully operating 2500-liter Airlift-type bioreactor to produce \textit{Bacillus subtilis} for direct application in the irrigation of chili pepper cv. ‘Sequoia’ for the control of \textit{Phytophthora capsici} in an agricultural company in the municipality of Pabellón de Arteaga, Aguascalientes (Paulino-Martínez, 2017). In this study, the goal was to optimize the materials used for the preparation of the culture medium in a small-scale artisanal bioreactor.
Bioreactor characteristics

The present study was intended to optimize the use of material inputs to reduce broth costs; and to carry out experiments, a 50-liter reactor was used under laboratory conditions. This was devised in a 60-liter container with metal supports to fix four PVC pipes of ½” diameter by 50 cm long submerged and in an inclined position. The pipes were fed separately in the lower part using fish tank tubing so that each of the four pipes would bubble freely. This feeding was carried out using two double-outlet air pumps for fish tanks (Elite 799®, Hagen HA799).

Raw materials for the nutritive broth

To make the estimates of the basic nutrient requirements, several studies were consulted that report the maximum biomass and the dry matter obtained in bioreactors, as well as the elemental composition of the bacteria (Matar et al., 2009; Bratbak, & Dundas, 1984; Glazyrina et al., 2010; Novoselov et al., 2013). In addition, the appropriate materials for the preparation of the broth were reviewed (Hernández-Bustos, 2003). Based on the above, it was determined to use commercial sucrose, ammonium sulfate, triple superphosphate powder (ground), and UltraK®. All of these, except for sucrose, are fertilizers or agrochemicals that are easily available and cheaper than laboratory reagents. These materials proved to be suitable for the rapid growth of *B. subtilis*.

Bacterial strain

Previously, in the use of our artisanal Airlift reactors in the field (Paulino-Martínez, 2017), *Bacillus subtilis* strain QST 713 (Serenade® Max, Bayer; AgraQuest, 2001) was used since according to farmers it provides adequate protection against *P. capsici*. For this reason, this strain was kept being part of this study to optimize the factors of its production.

Data recorded

Variables measured were turbidity (Absorbance at $\lambda=600$ nm) using a visible light spectrophotometer (Spectronic® 20D, Milton Roy Co.), dissolved oxygen using a sensor (LAQUA act, DO120, Horiba), pH (pH meter WT-40, AMPROBE) and temperature using a glass thermometer. Each variable was measured every hour during 12 h for the 27 runs.

Treatment design

The treatment design was done under the Taguchi method with five factors and three levels per factor. Table 1 shows the factors and levels, defined in grams/50 L. The data analyzed were those of the last measurement.

The resulting data were subjected to the analysis of variance of means and signal-to-noise using Minitab® software (version 16) to determine the importance or contribution of the studied factors in the measured variables. The analysis was performed with the Signal/Noise option “Larger is better”

\[
\left( \frac{S}{N} \right)_L = -10 \log_{10} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{10} \left( \frac{1}{y_j^2} \right) \right) \frac{1}{n},
\]

(Cruz-Trejos 2015)
et al., 2012). The 27 combinations or runs generated by Minitab16® according to the Taguchi design in g/50 L appear in Table 2.

### Table 1. Levels for raw materials used for the preparation of the nutritive broth of the 50 L bioreactor.

| Material (Factor)          | Low (g) | Medium (g) | High (g) |
|----------------------------|---------|------------|----------|
| Sucrose (commercial type)  | 154.5   | 463.5      | 772.5    |
| Ammonium Sulfate           | 60.5    | 181.5      | 302.5    |
| Triple Superphosphate      | 6.3     | 18.9       | 31.5     |
| Ultra K®                   | 1.05    | 3.15       | 5.25     |
| Inoculum (Serenade® Max)   | 1.1     | 3.3        | 5.5      |

### Table 2. Runs or combinations of the nutrient broth for the bioreactor (g/50 L).

| Run | Sucrose (g) | Ammonium sulfate (g) | Triple Superphosphate (g) | Ultra K® (g) | Serenade® (g) |
|-----|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| L1  | 154.5       | 60.5                 | 6.3                       | 1.05         | 1.1           |
| L2  | 154.5       | 60.5                 | 6.3                       | 1.05         | 3.3           |
| L3  | 154.5       | 60.5                 | 6.3                       | 1.05         | 5.5           |
| L4  | 154.5       | 181.5                | 18.9                      | 3.315        | 1.1           |
| L5  | 154.5       | 181.5                | 18.9                      | 3.315        | 3.3           |
| L6  | 154.5       | 181.5                | 18.9                      | 3.315        | 5.5           |
| L7  | 154.5       | 302.5                | 31.5                      | 5.25         | 1.1           |
| L8  | 154.5       | 302.5                | 31.5                      | 5.25         | 3.3           |
| L9  | 154.5       | 302.5                | 31.5                      | 5.25         | 5.5           |
| L10 | 463.5       | 60.5                 | 18.9                      | 5.25         | 1.1           |
| L11 | 463.5       | 60.5                 | 18.9                      | 5.25         | 3.3           |
| L12 | 463.5       | 60.5                 | 18.9                      | 5.25         | 5.5           |
| L13 | 463.5       | 181.5                | 31.5                      | 1.05         | 1.1           |
| L14 | 463.5       | 181.5                | 31.5                      | 1.05         | 3.3           |
| L15 | 463.5       | 181.5                | 31.5                      | 1.05         | 5.5           |
| L16 | 463.5       | 302.5                | 31.5                      | 3.315        | 1.1           |
| L17 | 463.5       | 302.5                | 31.5                      | 3.315        | 3.3           |
| L18 | 463.5       | 302.5                | 31.5                      | 3.315        | 5.5           |
| L19 | 772.5       | 60.5                 | 31.5                      | 3.315        | 1.1           |
| L20 | 772.5       | 60.5                 | 31.5                      | 3.315        | 3.3           |
| L21 | 772.5       | 60.5                 | 31.5                      | 3.315        | 5.5           |
| L22 | 772.5       | 181.5                | 6.3                       | 5.25         | 1.1           |
| L23 | 772.5       | 181.5                | 6.3                       | 5.25         | 3.3           |
| L24 | 772.5       | 181.5                | 6.3                       | 5.25         | 5.5           |
| L25 | 772.5       | 302.5                | 18.9                      | 1.05         | 1.1           |
| L26 | 772.5       | 302.5                | 18.9                      | 1.05         | 3.3           |
| L27 | 772.5       | 302.5                | 18.9                      | 1.05         | 5.5           |
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The bioreactor worked perfectly for the 27, 12 h runs causing the culture medium to move in a spiral-upward way, creating a clockwise movement bubbling through the pipes. In none of the cases was the accumulation of sediment or precipitate observed inside the bioreactor (Figure 1).

Turbidity

All the media studied were translucent at the time of preparation and subsequently, their maximum turbidity increased at the end of 12 h in which each of the broth was being monitored (Figure 2). This means that there was sustained bacterial growth in all cases, but not in all cases the same growth was obtained. The treatments that obtained the highest turbidity at the end of 12 h were: L22, L26, L23, and L24 (Table 2, Figure 2). In a subsequent analysis of the turbidity, taking into account the last measurement, significance was found $p=0.001$ for the commercial sugar factor (sucrose) and $p=0.054$ for the triple

Figure 1. Arrangement of the artisanal Airlift bioreactor.

Figure 2. Evolution of the turbidity of the 27 media in 12 h of culture.
superphosphate factor (Figure 3). The rest of the factors seemed not to have significance. Taking the matching levels of these treatments, all have the maximum amount of sucrose, and triple superphosphate mainly at the lowest or medium values. The addition of UltraK® or the dosage did not result in notable differences.

Checking the graphs of the main effects of each of the factors and taking into account the analysis of variance of the turbidity means, it was observed that the maximum value was achieved when the sugar level was the highest (772.5 g/50L). On the other hand, triple superphosphate had a negative influence at medium and high levels, since the highest bacterial growth was observed when 6.3 g/50L were added (Figure 4).

For non-significant main effects (Figure 3), the lowest or medium levels can be taken without influencing the results. In this case, the criterion for selecting the best level turns out to be economic, that is, it is better to take lower levels for ammonium sulfate, UltraK®, and the inoculum dose without diminishing bacterial growth.

Figure 3. Analysis of variance of means of the absorbance variable (\(\lambda=600\) nm).

Figure 4. Graphical analysis of the turbidity means (absorbance \(\lambda=600\) nm) from nine replications.
Confirmation of the above results is also observed in the analysis of variance of the relationships \(\left(\frac{S}{N}_L\right)\), as mentioned by Cruz-Trejos et al. (2012). Again, the significant factors were only for sugar (sucrose) \(p=0.006\) and triple superphosphate \(p=0.047\); meanwhile, the rest of the factors were not significant (Figure 5). Coincidentally with the previous graph of the main effects of the means, in the analysis for the S/N values, the highest level of sucrose induced the highest turbidity, and the lowest level of triple superphosphate was the one that allowed growth bacterial and, therefore, the highest turbidity. In the rest of the factors, there are no major changes in the main effects.

By selecting the media that showed the highest turbidity 12 h after starting the culture, it was found that they contained the highest level of sucrose and most contained the lowest level of triple calcium superphosphate, matching with the graphic analysis of the main effects both for means and for Signal/Noise (Table 3).

**Dissolved oxygen**

Dissolved oxygen dropped close to zero in most of the treatments, to the point that a proper analysis using the final values cannot be made through the Taguchi method. However, taking the graph as a reference, the evolution of the phenomenon can be observed (Figure 7). The treatments that initially had little dissolved oxygen and that fell more quickly to values close to zero, were those that had the highest content of ammonium sulfate, such as L16, L17, L18, L26, and L27. On the other hand, those with the highest sucrose content were the next to drop their values close to zero. And finally, those treatments that remained with slightly higher values at the end of the run were mainly those that contained the lowest values of ammonium sulfate, such as L10, L11, and L12.

| Run | Sucrose (g) | Ammonium sulfate (g) | Triple Superphosphate (g) | Ultra K\(^\circ\) (g) | Serenade\(^\circ\) (g) | Absorbance (\(\lambda=600\) nm) |
|-----|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| L22 | 772.5       | 181.5                | 6.3                      | 5.25             | 1.1              | 0.137                         |
| L26 | 772.5       | 302.5                | 18.9                     | 1.05             | 3.3              | 0.103                         |
| L23 | 772.5       | 181.5                | 6.3                      | 5.25             | 3.3              | 0.09                          |
| L24 | 772.5       | 181.5                | 6.3                      | 5.25             | 5.5              | 0.09                          |

**Figure 5.** Analysis of variance of S/N for Absorbance (\(\lambda=600\) nm).
Figure 6. Analysis of the main effects of S/N means on the absorbance variable ($\lambda=600$ nm) from nine replications.

Figure 7. Evolution of dissolved oxygen in the media prepared for the bioreactor.

Temperature and pH

These two variables did not show appreciable changes throughout the runs. Most of the media were in the 7.0 to 7.5 pH range, with two exceptions that were 6.8 (L17 and L18). Regarding the temperature of the media, it ranged between 17 and 19 °C in each run without differences among all the cases. This was, perhaps, due to the little variation of temperature within the laboratory.
CONCLUSIONS

The media prepared in the selected combinations allowed good discrimination of those that produced greater turbidity derived from cell growth inside the bioreactor, finding that the combinations having the high level of sucrose combined with the low level of triple superphosphate generated the best response. On the other hand, the other factors such as ammonium sulfate, a formulation with potassium (UltraK®) and the amount of inoculum can be added at their mid or lowest levels because there was no significance for these components of the medium. The presence of high levels of ammonium sulfate caused the content of dissolved oxygen in the medium to drop precipitously, which is why supplying this type of excess should be avoided for a bioreactor of this type. Also, the high amount of sucrose led to a reduction in dissolved oxygen at approximately nine h after the run, but this may be due to the high bacterial growth as we detected in previous works (De la Cruz-De la Cruz et al., 2016). Sensitivity to oxygenation of B. subtilis under fermentation conditions is decisive for generating adequate bacterial populations (Bourne et al., 1992), and this is important for its application in the field for fertigation, as it is currently performed in a local farm in Pabellón de Arteaga, Aguascalientes (Paulino-Martínez, 2017).

Finally, the 50 L bioreactor was as efficient as the field bioreactors of which we have experienced since the accelerated growth of B. subtilis was achieved, ensuring that the components of the nutrient medium remained in constant agitation, without “dead zones” that usually affect bacterial growth (Galindo et al., 2007).
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