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Composed of a single proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC), a sensor module, and a ZigBee wireless communication module, a fault diagnostic system is proposed in this work to monitor the operation of a fuel cell system. Accordingly, such quantities as cell’s output voltage, current, operating temperature, and pressures of gases supplied are monitored. Subsequently, an extension matter-element model is built according to malfunctions of the fuel cell system, which are further categorized into 7 types, each with 12 sorts of characteristics. An extension evaluation method is then directly applied to diagnose such fuel cell system. A human machine interface built under LabVIEW 2009 is incorporated in such a way that a fault(s) can be detected and fixed in a timely manner such that the life cycle of such fuel cell system can be extended.

1. Introduction

As a significant progress as well as a rapid economic growth is made in human society, a tremendous amount of natural resources had been consumed already, leading to issues of immediate concern, in particular the global oil crisis and warming effect. Hence, the developments of green energy technologies are seen more critical than ever before. Among a number of alternative energy sources, hydrogen is treated as one of the most promising candidates, due to the reason that it can be burnt directly to generate heat and can be even applied to a fuel cell as an input to provide electricity through electrochemical reaction. On top of that, a high conversion efficiency up to 40–60% is seen in a fuel cell. Besides, as a consequence of technology improvement and progress made in material science, the power density of a fuel cell has been elevated largely, and fuel cells have been turned into a competitive product in market to a great extent owing to successful cost reduction activities on electrode catalysts and other key components [1].

As a device designed to convert chemical energy to electricity, a fuel cell is mainly composed of three parts, an anode, a layer electrolyte, a cathode. As such, the nature of a fuel cell is subject to the electrolyte contained and chemical mechanism. Two water molecules are formed as the outcome of a chemical reaction between two hydrogen molecules and an oxygen molecule, that is, a pollution free chemical process. Featuring a low pollution level, the development and applications of fuel cell-related technologies have received global attention [2]. However, a fuel cell performance is found as a function of the fuel purity, flow rate, and operating temperature, among other quantities.

Accordingly, aiming to develop a fault diagnostic system for a fuel cell, this work employs extension theory to precisely locate a fault(s). Through a wireless link via a ZigBee module and a GPRS module, a distant monitoring system is reached by way of Ethernet network.

2. Principles and Models of Fuel Cell

As referred previously, a fuel cell is able to convert chemical energy into electrical form, and chemical formulae are represented in (1) and (2). Illustrated in Figure 1 is an electricity generation system of PEMFC, an electrochemical and thermodynamic model [3–5], according to which a potential fault might be located as follows:

\[
\text{H}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^-, \quad (1)
\]

\[
4\text{H}^+ + 4e^- + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (2)
\]
The output voltage $V$ provided is expressed as

$$V = E_{\text{thermo}} - V_{\text{act}} - V_{\text{ohmic}} - V_{\text{con}}, \quad (3)$$

where $E_{\text{thermo}}$ represents the reversible voltage and $V_{\text{ohmic}}$ represents the ohmic voltage drop. The ohmic loss can be minimized by use of a thinner layer of electrolyte and a high conductivity material as follows:

$$E_{\text{thermo}} = 1.229 - 0.85 \times 10^{-3} (T - 298.15) + 4.31 \times 10^{-5} T \ln(P_{H_2}) + 0.5 \ln(P_{O_2}) \quad \text{[4]}$$

$$V_{\text{act}} = -\left[\xi_1 + \xi_2 T + \xi_3 \ln(CO_2) + \xi_4 T \ln(I_{FC})\right]. \quad \text{[5]}$$

In $\text{(4)}$, $P_{H_2}$ and $P_{O_2}$, respectively, represent the pressures of hydrogen and oxygen gases, and $T$ represents the operating temperature of a fuel cell, while in $\text{(5)}$ $V_{\text{act}}$ denotes the voltage drop across activation of the anode and cathode, $\xi_i$ ($i = 1–4$) is the cell characteristic coefficient, $I_{FC}$ is the cell current, and $CO_2$ (atm) is the oxygen concentration as follows:

$$V_{\text{ohmic}} = I_{FC} (R_M + R_C), \quad \text{[6]}$$

$$R_m = \frac{\sigma M \cdot I}{A},$$

$$\sigma M = \frac{a}{b},$$

$$a = 181.6 \left[1 + 0.03 \left(\frac{I_{FC}}{A}\right) + 0.062 \left(\frac{T}{303}\right)^2 \left(\frac{I_{FC}}{A}\right)^{2.5}\right],$$

$$b = \left[\Psi - 0.634 - 3 \left(\frac{I_{FC}}{A}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left[4.18 \left(T - \frac{303}{T}\right)\right]\right],$$

$$V_{\text{con}} = -B \ln \left(1 - \frac{I}{I_{\text{max}}}\right). \quad \text{[8]}$$

From the above equations, cell’s output voltage is found to increase with the operating temperature. A cooling system would be damaged in case the fuel cell is operated beyond rated temperature [6]. A MATLAB simulation, as presented in Figure 2, demonstrates cell’s performance with the operating temperature $T$ as a parameter. In the case of $\Psi < 23$, the cell is deemed undermoisturized, not optimized for electricity generation. Faults are detected through 12 characteristics from all the above equations.

### 3. The Fault Diagnostic System Architecture

#### 3.1. The Full Cell System Architecture

The fault diagnostic system proposed in this work is made up of an electricity generation system, a sensor module, and a ZigBee wireless communication module. Sensed data, such as cell’s voltage $V$, current $A$, the operating temperature $T$, and the pressure of supplied gas $PH$, are linked via the ZigBee module to a PC, a PDA, or a smart phone for monitoring purpose with a user friendly interface implemented in LabVIEW 2009. Sketched in Figure 3 is a system configuration, and an entity is pictured in Figure 4.

Widely applied to industrial automation, Modbus, developed by MODICON, is an open and standard communication protocol [7]. ZigBee is a wireless communication module stipulated by IEEE 802.15.4 and ZigBee Alliance in both
the hardware and software aspects, mainly applied to wireless sensor networks, industrial automation, health care, and so forth.

3.2. The Fuel Cell Failure Characteristics. Currently, fuel cells can be roughly categorized into two types: the first of which is operated based on the electrochemical reaction between pure hydrogen and oxygen, while the second is based on the reaction between the pure hydrogen and the oxygen contained in the air. The latter is further classified into two types, namely, self-cooled and pressurized. The one adopted in this work belongs to self-cooled for an advantage of being portable due to the absence of bottled oxygen. However, a major disadvantage accompanied is a short life cycle relative to pressurized. As can be found from a prior work [8], faults may be attributed to a number of factors, for example, overheating as a consequence of a cooling fan malfunction. As many as 12 characteristics are detected with a sensor module in this work. Figure 5 is a configuration of the diagnostic system, where sensor spots are marked on the surface of a gas bottle.

The characteristics acquired are applied to the diagnostic system proposed for the fault identification purpose. As tabulated in Table 1, F₂ signifies an exhaust malfunction, giving rise to a drop in cell’s output voltage. Indicating a malfunction in the cooling system, F₃ and F₄ represent an underheated fuel cell system and an overheated one, respectively. F₅ and F₆ denote a malfunction in the gas supply system, while F₇ represents an interrupted wireless network link.

Other than the inlet pressure and the bottle temperature, all the characteristics are applied to (9) for evaluating each change, where Δ𝑖 represents the sampling interval as follows:

\[ n_i = \frac{X(i+1) - X(i)}{\Delta t}. \]  

(9)

It is found that (5) does not as expected provide a satisfactory identification result.

Instead, the 12 characteristics, as tabulated in Table 2, are applied to (10). As illustrated in Figure 6, \( H_i \), evaluated as the mean rates of change at 5 instants, that is, \( m_1, \ldots, m_{i-4} \), is applied to the proposed approach for fault identification. Consequently, a real time monitoring system can be achieved, according to which the faults in a fuel cell system can be precisely identified in a timely manner as follows:

\[ H_i = \frac{m_{i-4} + m_{i-3} + m_{i-2} + m_{i-1} + m_i}{5}. \]  

(10)

The fault diagnostic system proposed is built with an interface implemented in LabVIEW 2009. Pictured in Figure 7 is a window of such monitoring system, and each type of malfunction is indicated by individual indicator. Besides, there are two levels of diagnosis contained in such system: the first of which is built for the system level as shown in Figure 8, the second level of the diagnosis system can show the fault location of the fuel cell as shown in Figure 9. As presented in Figure 9, a blockade of the oxygen supply system is indicated in block 5.

4. The Signal Fault Diagnosis Method

Proposed in 1983 by Tsai Wen, the well-developed extension theorem has been successfully applied to a wide range of research fields, for example, artificial intelligence, decision making skill, biomedical engineering, testing technology, and so forth. It extends the binary logic into a continuous and multivalued form. Besides, a correlation function is employed as a way to represent the nature of a thing, that is, the extent that a element belongs to Characteristics of a thing is represented by a real number between −∞ and ∞, a number referred to as the membership grade for such element related to Things characteristic belongs to a collection. After normalization, a membership grade of 1 indicates that element matches completely thing feature, while −1 indicates the exact opposite, and that between −1 and 1 represents an extent somewhere between the previous two cases [9, 10].

4.1. The Extension Matter Element. The term “thing” in everyday life is referred to as “name” for research purpose. A distinctive nature of a thing is characterized by a characteristic, which is quantized as a number referred to as “value.” A thing is represented by a set of characteristics, name and the value thereof. As a fundamental unit to describe a thing, a matter element, given a characteristic, a name, and a value, is represented as

\[ R = (N, C, V). \]  

(11)

Due to \( V = C(N) \), the relationship between the Value and the Characteristic of a Matter-element, (12) is rewritten as

\[ V = (N, C, C(N)). \]  

(12)
Table 1: Fault types in a fuel cell diagnostic system.

| Fault Type       | Description                                      |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| F1               | Normal system                                    |
| F2               | System exhaust valve failure                     |
| F3               | System operating temperature lose body heat      |
| F4               | Cooling system failure                            |
| F5               | Oxygen holes to plug                              |
| F6               | For the hydrogen system failure                   |
| F7               | Communications system failure                     |

Table 2: Twelve characteristics in a system.

| Feature | Name                  |
|---------|-----------------------|
| C1      | $V_{FC}$              |
| C2      | $I_{FC}$              |
| C3      | 01–05 cell ($V_1$)    |
| C4      | 06–11 cell ($V_2$)    |
| C5      | 12–17 cell ($V_3$)    |
| C6      | 18–23 cell ($V_4$)    |
| C7      | 24–29 cell ($V_5$)    |
| C8      | 30–35 cell ($V_6$)    |
| C9      | 36–40 cell ($V_7$)    |
| C10     | $T_{FC}$              |
| C11     | $T_H$                 |
| C12     | $P$                   |

In extension theory, $R = (N, C, V)$ can be a multidimensional matter element, as expressed in (13). It contains a characteristic vector $C = [C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n]$, corresponding to a characteristic vector $V = [V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_n]$, $R = (N, C, V)$, and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, the submatter element of $R$. Any matter in daily life can be described in a concrete or an abstract manner, modeled as

$$R = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} N & C_1 & V_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ C_n & V_n \end{array} \right\} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} R_1 \\ \vdots \\ R_n \end{array} \right]. \quad (13)$$

A matter-element space is portrayed in Figure 10, with the $x$, $y$, and $z$ axes representing $N$, $C$, and $V$, respectively.
section field $RP$, and $j$ is decomposed into sets of classical field $R_{0j}$, expressed as

$$ R_{0j} = \left( N_{0j}, C_1, X_0j \right) $$

(15)

Step 2. Referred to as the evaluated matter element, a set of characteristics pertains to a matter element $R$, represented as

$$ R = (q, C_j, X_i) $$

(16)

where $q$ denotes a set of characteristic values and $x_i$ the value of $C_j$ in $q$, that is, the specific information available in a evaluated things. In other words, a single thing $R$ can be characterized by multiple sets of characteristic values $q$.

Step 3. Each fault correlation function is evaluated as

$$ K_{ij}(v_j) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{0.5 \rho(v_j, v_{ij})}{|V_{ij}|}, & \text{if } v_{ij} \in V_{ij}, \\
\rho(v_j, v_{ij}), & \text{if } v_{ij} \notin V_{ij}, \\
\rho(v_j, V_{ij}) - \rho(v_{ij}, V_{ij}), & \text{if } v_{ij} \notin V_{ij} 
\end{cases} $$

(17)

$$ i = 1, 2, \ldots, 7; \; j = 1, 2, \ldots, 12. $$

Step 4. The weighting factor $\lambda_j$, ranging from 0 to 1, is defined as a measure of the significance of $C_j$ to $R$, and the total sum of $\lambda_j$ is identically unity, as expressed in

$$ \lambda_j = \sum_{j=1}^{12} W_{ij} K_{ij}; \; i = 1, 2, \ldots, 7. $$

(18)

Step 5. Finally, all the weighted correlation functions $\lambda_j$ $k_i(x_i)$ are summed up, that is, $\lambda_j(q)$. As given in (19), the maximum value of $\lambda_j$ is selected as the evaluation results in type $j$ as follows:

$$ \lambda_{\text{max}} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 7} \{ \lambda_j \}. $$

(19)

Step 6. Normalization is performed in (20) in order that the fault diagnosis value falls within the interval $(-1, -2)$ as intended each time as follows:

$$ \lambda'_i = \frac{3 \lambda_i - \lambda_{\text{min}} - 2 \lambda_{\text{max}}}{\lambda_{\text{max}} - \lambda_{\text{min}}}, \; i = 1, 2, \ldots, 7, $$

(20)

where

$$ \lambda_{\text{max}} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 7} \{ \lambda_j \}; \; \lambda_{\text{min}} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq 7} \{ \lambda_j \}. $$

(21)

Step 7. A fault is identified as belonging to type if $\lambda'_i = 1$. The identification is made totally according to the correlation thereof, due to the presumption that a high level of correlation implies a high possibility that a corresponding type of fault occurs.

Step 8. In case all the parts in a fuel cell system have been diagnosed once, then the diagnostic procedure comes to an end otherwise skip back to Step 2 for another run.

The idea of extension evaluation method is that the experiment data accumulated are classified into a certain number of level collections, to which respective ranges of real
Table 3: Performance and requirement comparison among various approaches.

| Name                              | Times of learning | Learning recognition rate | Test the recognition rate |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Identification method in this paper | 0                 | 99.37%                     | 98.75%                     |
| $K_{\text{means}}$                | 0                 | 69.02%                     | 40%                        |
| Neural network (12-16-7)          | 1000              | 82.81%                     | 66.25%                     |
| Neural network (12-14-7)          | 1000              | 89.37%                     | 78.75%                     |
| Neural network (12-12-7)          | 1000              | 88.75%                     | 70%                        |
| Neural network (12-10-7)          | 1000              | 85.81%                     | 63.75%                     |

5. Experimental Results and Discussion

5.1. The Detector Signal. Underlain by a mathematic model built for a fuel cell and the characteristics thereof, out of which the characteristics of objects is extracted, the type of a fault(s) is identified through extension theory and grey system theory. A malfunction of a fuel cell system is reflected by a drop in the output voltage provided. Not taking proper measures in time may result in a permanent damage to the cell system. In this work, a signal data base is constructed in Excel for futuristic system diagnosis, according to which output voltage signals are plotted against time. Presented in Figures 11 and 12 are the curves indicating faults in the temperature control system ($F_1$ and $F_4$) and the exhaust value ($F_5$), respectively. As many as 100 and 200 data records are made with a sampling interval of 5 seconds, a tunable quantity through the human-machine interface. The trend similarity between such two curves in Figures II and 12 is seen, meaning that there is no way to precisely identify the fault types in the absence of a systematic diagnostic approach. For this sake, this work is proposed as efficient means to identify faults and take required actions in a timely manner against any sort of potential damage to the cell system.

5.2. The Diagnostic Signals. As demonstrated in Figure 13, the curve in red indicates cell’s output voltage versus time, while in blue indicates the corresponding diagnosis result. The $y$ coordinates represent the identification results, namely, fault types $F_1 = 1$, $F_2 = 2$, $F_3 = 3$, $F_4 = 4$, $F_5 = 5$, and $F_6 = 6$, at discrete time instants.

Up to 80% of data records are treated as the training samples, and the rest are as the test samples. As tabulated in Table 3, the approach proposed, not requiring a training process, is found superior to $k$-means classifier in terms of identification rate and superior to a variety of neural network, necessitating a training process, as well.

6. Conclusions

Presented in this work is a fault diagnostic system for a fuel cell, an easy to implement system made up of multiple Modbus modules. On top of that, a user friendly human machine interface is constructed for easy monitoring of the cell system operation. Over others, the approach proposed, not requiring a training process, acquires advantage of high recognition rate, meaning that a fault(s) in an early stage can be identified in a timely manner in order that measures can be taken to extend the life span of the cell system. Integrated with a ZigBee wireless communication module, this system...
can be in the future applied to a distant monitoring system, such as an alter system for a fuel cell–powered vehicle.
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