Eco-panels Based on Wastes from Urban Trees and Castor Oil Polyurethane Resin
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Abstract Urban trees have aesthetic functions and mitigate high temperatures, however their maintenance requires constant pruning. This work aimed to apply the tailings resulting from tree management in particleboard production. Particles from urban tree pruning of Jatobá, Canelinha and mixture of these species were used, in addition to castor oil resin as adhesive. Particleboard was evaluated according to NBR 14810:3 and results compared with the main standards. Panels presented satisfactory values for water absorption and thickness swelling. For mechanical properties, particleboards made from Jatobá showed better results. Thus, it’s possible employ residues from pruning high density urban tree in particleboard.
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1. Introduction

Brazilian cities have, in their urban landscape, a relatively large quantity of trees, planted in general not only for aesthetic purposes but also to alleviate the high temperatures occurring during summer and spring in a wide extension on the country.

Most species needs periodic branches pruning, primarily to: - fulfill the essences characteristic requirements with regard to their development and longevity and; - ensure the safety of electric power transmission wires.

The amount of waste generated by tree branches pruning can reach significant values. Although there is no bibliographic record this sense, observations made in this work turned possible quantify in approximately 0.01 m³ per capita per year. Regarding the city of São Carlos, State of São Paulo, Brazil, in 2010 its population exceeded 200,000 habitants and the volume generated could reach 2,000 m³/year.

These residues are usually deposited in the areas of urban waste disposal. While do not constitute serious threats to environmental damage (due to the ease of decomposition), such waste should be studied to establish the potential for its use in applications that add value to the raw material and come to benefit the community.

Production of particleboard based on lignocellulosic residues is characterized as a sustainable alternative, bringing benefits not only to chain-generating waste, but to consumer market of this product.

In this sense, the present study aimed to evaluate the technical viability of using waste of urban tree pruning, city of São Carlos, in particleboards production.

1.1. Literature Review

Trees in urban areas have aesthetic functions and mitigate high temperatures, however their maintenance requires constant pruning, resulting in waste like leaves and twigs.

Some studies have been developed to waste tree volume evaluation in order to guide for appropriate applications. Sajdak and Veláquez-Martí[1] quantified the biomass resulting from annual pruning of urban trees of the species Sophora japonica, core fairly employed for this purpose throughout Europe. Authors obtained up to 18 kg of dry biomass per tree, based on the volume of branches (no leaves), moisture about 45%. It was also determined a significant association between the amount of biomass and tree diameter, by linear regression, $R^2 = 0.60$. Thus, authors could estimate the waste tree volume, for example, to applications such as solid fuel (conservation of energy)[1].

Although designed to achieve energy, this biomass has great potential to panel manufacturing. Particleboards (Medium Density Particleboard) stand out among other wood base products, with significant production worldwide, about 90 million m³ in 2009[2].

Peredo[3] produced particleboards employing wood (83%), skin (14%) and leaves (3%), comparing them to panels of the same specie (Pinus silvestris), 100% wood
particles, using phenol formaldehyde, isocyanate and mixing melamine, urea and phenol formaldehyde as adhesives. Values of strength in bending of panels with biomass exceeded the requirement set by DIN 68 763, reaching values greater than 25MPa.

Iwakiri et al.[4] used waste slabs of *Eucalyptus saligna*, *Eucalyptus citriodora*, *Eucalyptus pilularis* and *Eucalyptus* formaldehyde resin to manufacture such panels. Bending and internal bond properties obtained with residues of *Eucalyptus saligna* and 12% of adhesive were greater than requirements of Code CS 236-66 (1968).

Gupta[5] used only *Pinus* bark to produce panels by plasticization and polymerization technique, i.e. by self-adhesion chemical constituents at high temperatures (170, 200 and 230°C) and long times pressing (20 minutes). Plates with pressing temperature of 230°C achieved MOR and MOE values respectively 7.2 N/mm² and 1684 N/mm², thereby demonstrating the feasibility of using these wastes.

Poleto et al.[6] produced panels with 100% *Pinus elliottii* bark particles and castor oil based polyurethane resin. Good performance was obtained when compared to panel produced under the same conditions, but with only wood in its composition.

In addition to particular features of waste constituents (bark, twigs, etc.), must be considered the species properties used in particleboard production, which will influence the final characteristics of this product.

Genus *Hymenaea* occurs throughout Brazil and at least thirteen of its species present high commercial value. They are woods with high density and mechanical properties as well as medium to high durability and low natural shrinkage values. Commonly, they’re used in manufacture of floors with great value-added[7].

*Nectandra lanceolata* Nees, commonly known as Canela-Amarela or Canelinha, has occurred in the South and Southeast of Brazil, as well as in countries like Argentina and Uruguay. It’s characterized by yellowish color and medium density, easy workability and has been used mainly in civil construction (indoor use) and furniture industry[8].

Considering the cited references, it can be observed the relevance of researches aiming to the application the tailings resulting from tree management (such as from pruning) in medium density particleboard production.

2. Materials and Methods

Panel production and characterization was preceded in Wood and Timber Structures Laboratory, Structural Engineering Department, São Carlos Engineering School, University of São Paulo, Brazil.

Urban tree pruning of Jatobá (*Hymenaea stilbocarpa*) and Canelinha (*Nectandra lanceolata*) were used, once performed leaves separation. The remaining material was processed in knife mill with a 2.8 mm sieve, so obtaining the particles to panel production. Adhesion among particles was reached using castor oil based polyurethane resin (bi-component, consisting of prepolymer and polyol) at a ratio of 16% (based on wood mass).

Production parameters were based on previous work of Nascimento[9], Dias[10] and Bertolini[11].

Particles and adhesive were homogenized in a special adhesion set (laboratory scale). Mixture was subjected to compression in order to form the mattress and after to hot pressing, as showed in "Figure 1". Press cycle was performed at 90°C and pressure of 3.5 MPa. Nominal dimensions of the panels were 40cm x 40cm and 10 mm of thickness.

Six panels were produced for each species and for the two essences mixture, totaling 3 treatments: J-Jatobá; C-Canelinha and JC- mix of species. The characterization was performed as required by NBR 14810:3[12]. The following properties were determined: density; moisture content; thickness swelling and water absorption (both in 2 hours); modulus of rupture (MOR) and modulus of elasticity (MOE) in static bending; and internal bond.

Values of each property were analyzed by: ANOVA test; mean comparison by Tukey test, at 95% probability. Performance of panels was compared to the main regulatory requirements for these products ANSI A208.1[13], CS 236-66[14], NBR 14810:2[15] and EN 312[16].

![Figure 1. Compression in order to form the mattress (a e b) and hot pressing (c)](image)

3. Results and Discussion

Physical properties of panels: density, moisture content, thickness swelling (2 hours) and water absorption (2 hours) are presented in Table 1.

| Treatment | Density (g/cm³) | Moisture Content (%) | Thickness Swelling - 2 hours (%) | Water Absorption - 2 hours(%) |
|-----------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|           | Mean | VC | Mean | VC | Mean | VC | Mean | VC |
| J         | 0.97 a | 0.02 | 6.18 a | 0.06 | 4.95 a | 0.08 | 6.55 a | 0.13 |
| C         | 0.86 c | 0.01 | 5.5 b | 0.03 | 4.65 a | 0.2 | 7.13 a | 0.13 |
| JC        | 0.89 b | 0.01 | 6.72 a | 0.08 | 5.58 a | 0.12 | 7.22 a | 0.07 |

Jatobá (J), Canelinha (C) and mixture of species (JC); variation coefficient of the means (VC)

Means followed by same letter do not differ from one another in Tukey test at 95% probability

Panel density values allow classify them as high density (≥ 0.80 g/cm³), as described in ANSI A208.1[13] and CS 236-66[14].

It is observed that moisture content of the panels with a mixture of species (JC) was above those samples using only one species (J and C). This may be related to the possibility
of lower water loss as vapor, during pressing, imposed by mixing particles of two species. Another possibility may be related to the presence of higher percentage of bark particles in JC panels and, consequent, higher moisture content. For this property, just the panels C showed a statistical difference compared to the other treatments.

In cases of thickness swelling and water absorption, both within 2 hours, all treatments presented statistical equivalence. Considering thickness swelling (2 hours) requirement up to 8%, established by NBR 14810:2[15] and ANSI A 208.1[13], all treatments showed up accordingly. Satisfactory values of these properties can be related to the use of materials (as polyurethane resin and bark particles) with high hydrophobicity. In similar studies with castor oil based polyurethane resin presented by Poleto et al.[6], the following results were obtained: 2.3% in water absorption (2 hours) for panels containing 100% bark particles of Pinus elliottii and; 8.7% for panels containing only wood particles of the same species.

Table 2 shows the values obtained for the mechanical properties in static bending and internal bond (in tests perpendicular to panel faces).

| Treatment | MOR (MPa) | MOE (MPa) | IB (MPa) |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| J         | Média     | 17.30 a   | 0.07     |
| C         | 15.85 a   | 16.8 a    | 0.07     |
| JC        | 16.8 a    | 1673 a    | 0.06     |

Means followed by same letter do not differ from one another in Tukey test at 95% probability.

Regarding the MOR, treatments with mixed species (JC) and Jatobá particles (J) showed superior performance to 16N/mm², as required by EN 312[16]; 16.5 N/mm², as stipulated by ANSI A 208.1[13]; and 168 kg/cm², as required by CS 236-66[14]. For MOE, all treatments were not in accordance with referenced Codes. All treatments showed no statistical difference between static bending properties.

Considering internal bond, it is observed that all samples were above the 0.4 N/mm² (or 0.4 MPa), required by NBR 14810:2[15] and EN 312[16]. Only particleboards made of Jatobá (J) performed better than the 0.90 N/mm², as stipulated by ANSI A 208.1[13]. Jatobá panels showed superior results to other species and mix, as evidenced by statistical analysis.

Usually, low to medium density wood species are employed to panel production[17], such as Canelinha (0.70 g/cm³) used in this work, to obtain efficient compression and interaction particles-adhesive. Although a high density essence, Jatobá (0.96 g/cm³) has been also used, a superior performance in internal bond was observed comparing to panels produced with lowest density species.

Nascimento[9] produced MDP with native species from northeastern Brazil, as Jurema Preta (Mimosa tenuiflora), Algaroba (Prosopis juliflora) and Angico (Anadenanthera macrocarpa), density ranging from 0.95 to 1.04 g/cm³. High values of internal adhesion were obtained to these products: 2 MPa; 1.7 MPa and 1.6 MPa, respectively. This clearly shows the potential of employing high-density essences for MDP production purpose.

4. Conclusions

Considering the obtained results, it is possible to point out these conclusions:
- All produced panels can be classified as high density MDP;
- Hydrophobic nature of adhesive and bark particles collaborate to obtaining low values of water absorption and thickness swelling (both within 2 hours);
- Particleboards of Jatobá particles and those with mix species showed high static bending performance (MOR);
- All treatments conducted to high values of internal bond (in tests perpendicular to panel faces), exceeding codes requirements, and highlighted the superiority of Jatobá panels.

All results in this paper demonstrate the possibility of employing particles obtained from pruning high density urban trees, including their bark in the production of MDP.
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