The PolyA tail length of yeast histone mRNAs varies during the cell cycle and is influenced by Sen1p and Rrp6p
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ABSTRACT

Yeast histone mRNAs are polyadenylated, yet factors such as Rrp6p and Trf4p, required for the 3’-end processing of non-polyadenylated RNAs, contribute to the cell cycle regulation of these transcripts. Here, we investigated the role of other known 3’-end processing/transcription termination factors of non-polyadenylated RNA in the biogenesis of histone mRNAs, specifically the Nab3p/Nrd1p/Sen1p complex. We also re-evaluated the polyadenylation status of these mRNAs during the cell cycle. Our analysis reveals that yeast histone mRNAs have shorter than average PolyA tails and the length of the PolyA tail varies during the cell cycle; S-phase histone mRNAs possess very short PolyA tails while in G1, the tail length is relatively longer. Inactivation of either Sen1p or Rrp6p leads to a decrease in the PolyA tail length of histone mRNAs. Our data also show that Sen1p contributes to 3’-end processing of histone primary transcripts. Thus, histone mRNAs are distinct from the general pool of yeast mRNAs and 3’-end processing and polyadenylation contribute to the cell cycle regulation of these transcripts.

INTRODUCTION

The production of histones, the core components of the nucleosome, is a highly regulated process in all eukaryotic cells. Progression through the cell cycle requires the precise temporal expression of histone mRNAs and proteins, with maximum expression occurring in the S-phase of the cell cycle, coincident with DNA synthesis. This precise expression requires stringent regulation at the levels of gene transcription, transcript processing, nuclear export and both histone mRNA and protein degradation (1,2).

Despite evolutionary conservation of the temporal expression of histone mRNAs during the cell cycle, an intriguing structural difference exists between histone mRNAs in fungi, protozoa, plants and metazoans. While replication-dependent histone mRNAs in lower eukaryotes and plants possess 3’ PolyA tails, those in higher eukaryotes lack PolyA tails and instead contain a highly conserved stem-loop structure at the 3’-end of the mRNA. Regulation of 3’-end processing of the mammalian histone mRNAs contributes significantly to the cell cycle expression of these mRNAs (3). Processing of the 3’-ends of these transcripts requires a number of unique factors including a stem-loop binding protein (SLBP), the U7 snRNP and a zinc-finger-like protein ZFP (1), all of which are absent in lower eukaryotes, with the exception of the identification in silico of a protein sharing sequence homology with SLBP in a number of protozoal species (4).

Histone mRNAs in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae have been described as being polyadenylated (5). We have previously shown that components of the 3’-end processing and polyadenylation machinery, specifically CFIA, are required for the biogenesis of yeast histone mRNAs (6). Additionally, Rrp6p, a component of the nuclear exosome, a complex of 3’–5’ exoribonucleases, contributes to the cell cycle regulation of these mRNAs (6). Deletion of RRP6 leads to continued accumulation of the HTB1 histone mRNA during the S-phase of the cell cycle and results in a delay in the S- to G2-phase transition. A role for the TRAMP (Trf4/5p, Air1/2p, Mtr4p) complex in histone mRNA regulation has also been identified (7). The nuclear exosome and the TRAMP complex primarily function in the post-transcriptional processing of non-coding, non-polyadenylated RNAs such as 5.8S rRNA, small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs) and small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs). They also form part of a surveillance system that degrades aberrantly synthesized or processed mRNAs, tRNAs and rRNAs (8). Both routine processing of the 3’-ends of sn/snoRNAs and the degradation of aberrant transcripts start by the addition of a
short PolyA tail mediated by the PolyA polymerase activity (Trf4p or Trf5p) present in the TRAMP complex (9,10) or by the canonical PolyA polymerase (11,12). The polyadenylated sn/snoRNAs are then trimmed to generate the correct 3'-end, while aberrant transcripts are degraded by the exosome. The exosome, and more specifically Rrp6p, also play a role in the biogenesis of some mRNAs such as Ctb2 and Nab2 (13,14).

How the exosome discriminates between transcripts to be processed or degraded is currently not known although this most likely involves competition between the 3’-end processing machinery and the exosome for substrates, regulated by auxiliary cofactors. One such group of cofactors is the Nab3p/Nrd1p/Sen1p complex. This complex primarily plays an essential role in the 3’-end processing and transcription termination of sn/snoRNAs, mediated in part by interactions of Nrd1p with the C-terminal domain (CTD) of Pol II and with the exosome (15,16). Nrd1p has also been shown to mediate transcription termination of some protein encoding genes (17) and Sen1p can influence the RNA Polymerase II occupancy on protein encoding genes with an ORF size of <600 bp (18). Thus, the picture emerging is one of cross-communication between the 3’-end processing/transcription termination machinery of both polyadenylated and non-polyadenylated mRNAs.

The involvement of factors such as Rrp6p and Trf4p in the regulation of yeast histone mRNA levels prompted us to explore the role of the Nab3p/Nrd1p/Sen1p complex in the biogenesis of yeast histone RNAs and to re-evaluate the polyadenylation status of these mRNAs. Our analysis reveals that in asynchronous cells, histone mRNAs have shorter than average PolyA tails. Inactivation of either Sen1p or Rrp6p leads to a decrease in the average PolyA tail length of histone mRNAs and Sen1p is required for efficient 3’-end processing of the transcripts. Additionally, we show that the histone mRNA PolyA tail length varies during the cell cycle; in S-phase transcripts possess very short PolyA tails, while in G1 the tail length is relatively longer. HTB1 transcripts appear to be retained in the nucleus in G1. The reduction in the steady state levels of histone mRNAs after S-phase involves to a large extent active deadenylation and degradation of the mRNAs in a 3’→5’ direction although concomitant 5’→3’ nuclelease activity cannot be ruled out. The results show for the first time that histone mRNAs are distinct from the general pool of mRNAs in yeast cells and are regulated during the cell cycle by 3’-end processing and polyadenylation. The results further strengthen the connectivity between transcription termination and 3’-end processing of PolyA and non-PolyA RNAs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains

The yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Strains were cultured in YEPD medium [1% (w/v) yeast extract, 2% (w/v) bacto peptone, 2% (w/v) dextrose] to an optical density (OD600) of 0.6–1.8. The sen1, nab3-11 and nrd1-5 strains were kindly provided by David Brow, University of Wisconsin, USA. The rrp6KO strain was previously provided by Domenico Libri, CNRS, France.

RNA extraction

Total cellular RNA from yeast strains was extracted and DNase I-treated as previously described (19). Cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were prepared using a protocol adapted from the Hahn laboratory (http://labs.fhcrc.org/hahn/Methods/biochem_meth/polii_nuc_ext.html). The cell lysis buffer was supplemented with the ribonuclease inhibitor Vanadyl ribonucleoside vanadyl complex, at a final concentration of 1 mM, (Sigma Chemical Co., Poole, UK). Following lysis, cellular debris was removed by two rounds of low speed centrifugation at 4000 rpm in a Sorvall SS-34 rotor. The nuclei were pelleted from the supernatant fraction by centrifugation at 10 000 rpm for 20 min. The integrity of the nuclear/cytoplasmic fractionation was ascertained by semi-quantitative RT–PCR analysis of a predominantly nuclear transcript, snR37. RNA was extracted from the cytoplasmic supernatant and nuclear pellet fractions as previously described (19). The RNA was treated with DNase I (10 U/ml), in the presence of Placental Ribonuclease Inhibitor (40 U/ml), to remove any contaminating DNA, and the RNA was recovered through binding and elution from silica columns (Epoch Biolabs, TX, USA) as per manufacturer’s instructions.

Reverse–transcription of RNA and PCR amplification

DNase-treated RNA samples (2 μg) were reverse transcribed in a 20 μl reaction using ImProm-II™ system (Promega Co., WI, USA) as per manufacturer’s instructions. In addition to the gene-specific reverse primers, a random nonamer was also included in the cDNA synthesis reaction. PCR reactions were carried out as previously described (6), generally using one-tenth of the cDNA reaction unless otherwise indicated in the

Table 1. Strains

| Strain | Genotype |
|--------|----------|
| 46a    | MATa, cup1, AURA3, his3, trp1, lys2, ade2, leu2 |
| 46x    | MATa, cup1, AURA3, his3, trp1, lys2, ade2, leu2 |
| 46a sen1-E159K | MATa, cup1, AURA3, his3, trp1, lys2, ade2, leu2, sen1-E159K |
| 46a nrd1-5 | MATa, cup1, AURA3, his3, trp1, lys2, ade2, leu2, nrd1-V368G |
| 46x nab3-11 | MATa, cup1, AURA3, his3, trp1, lys2, ade2, leu2, NAB3:: KANMX [pRS313-NAB3-11(F317L, P374T)] |
| W303 rrp6KO | MATa, his3, ade2, ura3, ade2, trp1, rrp6::KAN |
figure legends and forward and reverse gene-specific primers at a final concentration of 125 nM. The primers used for cDNA synthesis and PCR amplification are listed in Table 2. For semi-quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (RT–PCR), reactions were carried out as described above except that the concentrations of RNA and/or cDNA were varied and only 20 rounds of amplification were carried out to ensure that the reactions were in the log phase. The relative efficiency of RT–PCR was verified as previously described (19). Hybrid selection of HTB1 mRNA

DNase-treated total RNA (2 mg) was denatured at 65°C in the presence of HTB1 biotinylated primer (RevB; 600 nM; Table 2) and renatured slowly to 22°C following addition of SSC to a final concentration of 5x SSC (750 mM NaCl, 0.075 mM sodium citrate). The biotin primer-HTB1 mRNA hybrid was captured with 100 µl of 500 nM biotinylated primer (RevB; Table 2) and renatured slowly to 22°C (winMDI software; http://facs.scripps.edu). FACS sorting (FACS) was carried out on a Beckman Coulter Epics XL Flow Cytometer. The data was analysed using WinMDI software (http://facs.scripps.edu). FACS analysis of asynchronous cells was carried out in the same manner. Cell populations were gated to quantify the number of 1n and 2n cells.
RESULTS

Sen1p is required for 3'-end processing of histone mRNAs

A number of independent studies have demonstrated that histone mRNA levels are influenced by components of both the nuclear exosome and the TRAMP complex (6,7). Since both complexes interact with the Nab3p/Nrd1p/Sen1p complex (15,20), we first tested if these factors might be exerting a similar affect on histone 3'-end processing and transcription termination. We have previously demonstrated that the majority of HTB1 transcripts (up to 80%) terminate within 280 bases of the most frequently used 3'-end cleavage site [Figure 1A, transcription termination region; TT; (19)]. Inefficient 3'-end processing or transcription termination leads to read-through transcription beyond the normal 3'-end cleavage sites (21). To capture unprocessed HTB1 read-through transcripts, RT–PCR was carried out using primers (R1–R7), complementary to sequences downstream of the known 3'-end cleavage sites, and a second primer (For1) complementary to a region in the open reading frame (Figure 1A, Table 2). In wild-type (WT) cells, grown at the permissive temperature, correctly cleaved and polyadenylated transcripts were detected using primer R1 (Figure1C, lane 2; panel 46a, 25°C, band denoted by an asterisk). No HTB1-specific read-through products were detected using primers R2–R7 that are located downstream of the 3'-end cleavage site (Figure 1C, lanes 3–8) with the exception of a few non-specific PCR products which on sequencing were identified as originating from non-specific priming from rRNAs (data not shown).

A similar pattern of RT–PCR products was also detected from RNA extracted from WT cells incubated at 37°C for 2 h (Figure 1C, panel 46a, 37°C) except that a small amount of read-through product was detected with primer R2 (Figure 1C, panel 46a, 37°C lane 3, denoted by an asterisk). This indicates that 3'-end processing per se was not adversely affected by the conditions required to inactivate temperature sensitive (ts) mutants. Similarly, RNA extracted from the ts-mutants mrd1-5 or nab3-11 (Table 1), following incubation at the non-permissive temperature, did not lead to increased read-through beyond the 3'-end cleavage sites (Figure 1C, panels mrd1-5 and nab3-11, 37°C, respectively). However, in the sen1 ts-mutant (Table 1) incubated at the non-permissive temperature (37°C), HTB1 read-through transcripts up to 280nt downstream of the 3'-end cleavage sites were detected using reverse primers R1-R5 (Figure 1C; panel sen1 37°C, bands denoted by a asterisk, lanes 2–6). Transcription beyond the previously mapped transcription termination region (TT) was not observed with reverse primers R6-R7 (lanes 7 and 8) suggesting a 3'-end processing rather than a transcription termination defect as a result of inactive Sen1p. To confirm that these primary read-through transcripts were HTB1-specific, the relevant RT-PCR products from the sen1 ts mutant were Southern blotted and hybridized to a digoxigenin-labeled HTB1 probe spanning from the forward primer (For1) to the farthest reverse primer 7 (R7; Table 2 and Figure 1A). As shown in Figure 1E and F, only products of the expected sizes were detected by the HTB1-specific probe. DNA sequencing of the RT–PCR products confirmed the conclusions of Southern blot analysis (data not shown). Furthermore, Sen1p inactivation led to similar read-through transcription for a number of the other core histone RNAs including HTA1, HTB1, HTB2, HHF1 and HHT1 (data not shown), indicating the Sen1p is influencing the 3'-end processing of all core histone mRNAs.

To verify this finding and to ensure that all three temperature sensitive mutants had been sufficiently inactivated by incubation at the non-permissive temperature, the effects of each mutant on the 3'-end formation of the small nucleolar RNA snR13 was also examined. The 3'-ends of snoRNAs, such as snR13 are generated by specific transcription termination and have previously been shown to be sensitive to mutations of all three genes encoding the components of Nab3p/Nrd1p/Sen1p complex (22). Read-through transcripts of snR13 were detected at the non-permissive temperature in all three mutants (Figure 1D, panels mrd1-5, nab3-11 and sen1) using primers located downstream of the 3'-end of the snR13 gene (Figure 1B, primers snR13 Rev2 and Rev3, bands denoted by a asterisk), while only mature transcripts were evident in the WT cells incubated at both 25 and 37°C (Figure 1D, panels 46a 25 and 37°C). Taken together, the data clearly shows that Sen1p contributes to the 3'-end processing of histone mRNAs independent of its role in the Nab3p/Nrd1p/Sen1p complex.

Mutations in Sen1p affect cell cycle progression

We have previously shown that deletion of RRP6 leads to continued accumulation of HTB1 mRNAs in S-phase of the cell cycle and results in a delay of entry of cells into G2 phase (6). Likewise mutations in TRF4, a component of the TRAMP complex, resulted in elevated levels of histone mRNAs and also displayed cell cycle progression defects (7). We therefore next asked if cell cycle progression is affected in sen1 mutants. Both sen1 and its parental wild-type strain were grown asynchronously at both the permissive and non-permissive temperatures and analysed by flow cytometry to identify the percentage of replicating cells. As shown in Figure 2A and B, ~80% of WT cells grown at the permissive temperature are actively replicating and present in the 2n population. Following incubation of the WT cells at the non-permissive temperature, the number of actively replicating cells decrease to ~60%. At the permissive temperature, sen1 cells display DNA replication levels similar to WT cells. However, at the non-permissive temperature, the percentage of replicating cells drops significantly, concomitant with an increase in the number of 1n cells. These results indicate that, as with deletion of RRP6 and mutations in TRF4, mutations in SEN1 interfere with the efficient progression of the cell cycle.

Sen1p and Rrp6p influence the Poly A tail length of HTB1 transcripts

The finding that Sen1p contributes to histone mRNA 3'-end processing and cell cycle progression, prompted us to re-evaluate the polyadenylation status of yeast
histone mRNAs, which was first established in 1980 (5). We have previously shown that histone mRNAs are poorly retained on oligo dT or oligo U matrices compared to other mRNAs such as ACT1 (S. Campbell and U. Bond, unpublished results), suggesting that the PolyA status of these transcripts may be different from the general population of mRNAs.

To examine the PolyA tail length, HTB1 mRNAs from WT, sen1 and Drrp6 grown at the permissive and non-permissive temperatures were first enriched from the total RNA pool by hybrid selection using a biotinylated antisense HTB1 oligonucleotide, (Table 2; RevB). This selection process generated a RNA population enriched in HTB1 transcripts but still contained small amounts of other RNAs as evidenced by the presence of trace amounts of ACT1 RNA and rRNA in this pool (data not shown). The selected RNA was G-tailed using PolyA polymerase and cDNAs were synthesized using an oligo C anchor reverse primer and the cDNA was PCR amplified with the addition of a HTB1 specific primer inclusive of the start codon (Table 2; For1-22). DNA sequence analysis of the cloned cDNAs indicated that ~70% were HTB1-specific, thus demonstrating the enrichment of HTB1 transcripts by this method.
Sequence analysis of the cloned HTB1 cDNAs allowed for the identification of the major cleavage sites and quantification of the PolyA tail length in the WT and mutant strains. An average of 8–10 clones were analysed per mutant, with the exception of sen1 for which 16 clones were analysed. In WT cells, four major cleavage sites were identified for HTB1 mRNAs (sites 2–5) with site 4 being the preferred site (Figure 1A and Table 3). Three of the four cleavage sites (indicated by an asterisk in Figure 1A) have previously been identified by S1 mapping and/or cDNA cloning of HTB1 mRNAs, although their relative usage had not been determined (19,23). For comparison with the ts-mutants, the pattern of cleavage in WT cells incubated for 2 h at 37°C was also examined. At this temperature, cleavage site 4 was almost exclusively used and one additional cleavage site was identified (Figure 1A, site 1; Table 3), indicating that incubation at higher temperatures does not significantly alter 3’-end cleavage site selection.

In the case of the sen1 mutant, a different distribution of PolyA tail length was observed. At the permissive temperature, we observe a mean 3’-end PolyA tail length of 33 nt. Thus, HTB1 transcripts appear to have much shorter PolyA tails than the general pool of mRNAs in WT cells, which have PolyA tails of ~70–100 nt (24). In the case of the sen1 mutant, a different distribution of PolyA tail length was observed. At the permissive temperature, we observe an increase in the percentage of RNAs with polyA tails of <25 nt and a further increase in this pool at the non-permissive temperature (45% compared to 10% in WT cells; Figure 3A). The mean HTB1 mRNA PolyA tail length was 33 nt. Thus, HTB1 transcripts appear to have much shorter PolyA tails than the general pool of mRNAs in WT cells, which have PolyA tails of ~70–100 nt (24). In the case of the sen1 mutant, a different distribution of PolyA tail length was observed. At the permissive temperature, we observe an increase in the percentage of RNAs with polyA tails of <25 nt and a further increase in this pool at the non-permissive temperature (45% compared to 10% in WT cells; Figure 3A). The clear trend toward shorter PolyA tails in sen1 cells is even more apparent when the distribution of PolyA tails on cDNA clones is examined in more detail (Figure 3B). A bimodal distribution about the mean is observed in all samples with the exception of WT 37°C. The median

| Cleavage site | WT 30°C | WT 37°C | sen1 25°C | sen1 37°C | Arrp6 30°C |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| 1 (+487)     | 10      | 62.5    | 10      | 10      | 10        |
| 2 (+504)     | 25      | 10      | 10      | 20      | 20        |
| 3 (+513)     | 12.5    | 10      | 10      | 10      | 10        |
| 4 (+522)     | 37.5    | 70      | 100     | 37.5    | 60        |
| 5 (+533)     | 25      | 6.25    | 6.25    | 10      | 10        |
| A (+475)     | 6.25    | 6.25    | 6.25    | 10      | 10        |
| B (+561)     | 6.25    | 6.25    | 6.25    | 10      | 10        |

Table 3. Cleavage site usage (%)
HTB1 PolyA tail length in WT cells is 36 and 33 nt at 25 and 37°C, respectively while in sen1, the median tail length is 28 and 26 nt at 25 and 37°C, respectively. Furthermore, the median PolyA tail length in the 1st quartile of the population is 17 nt in sen1 compared to 32 nt in WT cells at the non-permissive temperature. Thus, there is a substantial increase in the pool of shorter tailed transcripts in sen1 cells ($P = 0.08$).

A pool of transcripts bearing tails <25 nt is also apparent in Arrp6 cells. Here up to 60% of transcripts had PolyA tails <25 nt (Figure 3A). A median HTB1 PolyA tail length of 23 nt and a 1st quartile tail length of 16 nt is observed in this mutant. HTB1 transcripts with PolyA tails longer than 50 nt are relatively rare and were only encountered in a small percentage of cDNAs in WT cells incubated at 37°C and in sen1 and Arrp6 mutant cells (Figure 3A and B).

**PolyA tail length of HTB1 mRNAs varies during the cell cycle**

The identification of distinct pools of HTB1 transcripts with different PolyA tail lengths in asynchronous cells, specifically in the sen1 and Arrp6 mutants, prompted us to examine the PolyA tail lengths on HTB1 transcripts at different stages of the cell cycle. Yeast cells were synchronized at the G1 checkpoint by incubation with $\alpha$-factor and RNA was isolated from samples taken every 15 min following $\alpha$-factor removal. Cell cycle progression was monitored by FACS (Figure 4A) and the levels of HTB1 mRNAs were determined at each stage of the cell cycle (Figure 4B). Increased levels of HTB1 were evident at 30, 45 and 60 min, coinciding with DNA synthesis (Figure 4A) and thereafter decrease, as cells enter into G2-phase (Figure 4B). We have previously shown that HTB1 mRNA levels increase 4- to 5-fold as cells switch from G1 to S-phase (6,19).

The cleavage site usage and the PolyA tail length of HTB1 mRNAs from the cell cycle staged samples were determined as described above. In total 81 individual cDNA clones were analysed, ~8–10 per time point (Figure 5A). The HTB1 mRNA population in cells captured in G1 (0 min) appears extremely homogeneous, with cleavage site 4 being exclusively used (Figure 5B). As cells enter S-phase (30–60 min) there is an increase in the diversity of 3'-end cleavage sites, with a decrease in the usage of site 4 and an increase in the use of sites 1–3. The decrease in HTB1 mRNA levels detected at 75 min (Figure 4B) is accompanied by an increase in transcripts with upstream non-canonical 3'-ends (other than sites 1–5; Figure 5A and C). By 75 min, almost 50% of cDNAs represent RNAs with 3'-ends further upstream of the canonical cleavage sites (Figure 5C). A small amount of transcripts with non-canonical 3'-ends are also observed at 15 and 30 min (Figure 5B). By 90–120 min, when mitosis has occurred, cell cycle synchrony is decreased and therefore 3'-end usage at these latter stages are difficult to interpret, although cleavage site usage appears to revert back to an almost exclusive use of site 4, similar to what is observed at 0 min.

We also observed that the PolyA tail length varies significantly as cell progress through the cell cycle. Compared to the asynchronous cell population, the PolyA tails on HTB1 mRNAs in G1 cells (0 min) are relatively long with a mean length of 46 nt (Figure 6A). As shown in Figure 3 above, tails of >50 nt are rarely encountered in asynchronous cells, yet in G1 >60% of RNAs have tails >50 nt (Figure 6B). The mean tail length of HTB1 mRNAs decreases as cells progress through the cell cycle (Figure 6A) from 46 nt at 0 min to 22 nt at 45 min. The median tail length was 54 and 19 nt at these time points, respectively. The percentage of RNAs (cleaved at the canonical 3'-ends) with PolyA tails <25 nt increases from 10% to 55%,...
respectively between 0 and 45 min post cell cycle arrest and thereafter decreases with the exception of the 90-min sample (Figure 6B) where the cell population is becoming asynchronous thus making it difficult to assess the true relevance of lengths of PolyA tails in these latter samples.

As mentioned above, a substantial proportion of HTB1 RNAs at times 60 and 75 min have non-canonical 3'0-ends, all of which lie upstream of the normal cleavage sites (Figure 5A and B) and therefore most likely represent degradation intermediates. The vast majority of these (>90%) do not contain polyA tails. One of the clones from the 75-min sample contained two additional non-encoded A residues at the 3'0-end (Figure 5C, indicated by double dagger).

HTB1 transcripts in G1 cells are predominately nuclear

Our analysis of HTB1 levels during the cell cycle revealed a correlation between PolyA tail length and the steady state levels of HTB1 transcripts. At early stages of the cell cycle (0–15 min) when HTB1 steady state levels are low (Figure 4), transcripts bearing long PolyA tails are observed. As cells enter S-phase (30–45 min), HTB1 transcript levels increase and their PolyA tail length decreases. Since histones are preferentially synthesized during S-phase, concomitant with DNA replication, we questioned whether the longer polyA tailed HTB1 transcripts are retained in the nucleus during G1. To test this, WT cells were synchronized with α-factor and cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were prepared from samples taken at 0, 15 and 30 min after release from α-factor arrest as described in the Methods section. To directly compare the levels of HTB1 transcripts in the nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions, semi-quantitative RT–PCR was carried out using equal amounts of total RNA from each fraction. As shown in Figure 7A, the level of HTB1 mRNA detected in the nuclear fraction at both 0 and 15 min is significantly higher than the level in the cytoplasmic fraction (compare

|        | 0 mins | 15 mins | 30 mins | 45 mins | 60 mins | 75 mins | 90 mins | 120 mins |
|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Canonical | 8      | 7       | 7       | 9       | 6       | 9       | 10      | 10       |
| Non-canonical | 0      | 2       | 1       | 0       | 4       | 7       | 1       | 0        |
| Total   | 8      | 9       | 8       | 9       | 10      | 16      | 11      | 10       |

Figure 5. The 3'-end cleavage site usage of HTB1 mRNAs during the cell cycle. (A) Number of G-tailed HTB1 cDNAs analysed at 15-min intervals during the cell cycle. The number of cDNAs displaying canonical and non-canonical 3'-ends is also shown. (B) Location of the 3'-ends of HTB1 cDNAs as a percentage of total at intervals (in min) following release from α-factor. Diagonal stripe; site 1, white; site 2, dots; site 3, gray; site 4, black; non-canonical cleavage sites. (C) Schematic of HTB1 mRNA showing the major canonical and non-canonical 3'-ends detected during the cell cycle. ζ denotes the 3'-end of a cDNA containing two additional non-encoded A residues.
lanes 1 and 2 with lanes 4 and 5, respectively). By 30 min, 
HTB1 levels in the cytoplasmic fraction have increased 
significantly (lane 6). The same pattern of 
HTB1 nuclear 
retention at 0 and 15 min was observed in multiple inde-
pendent experiments. PCR analysis of cDNAs prepared in 
the presence or absence of reverse transcriptase and using 
primers for HTB1 or 18S rRNA indicated that the higher 
levels detected in the nucleus at 0 and 15 min do not 
result from contaminating nuclear DNA (data not 
shown). The quality of the nuclear/cytoplasmic frac-
tionation was monitored by analyzing the levels of the 
nucleolar snRNA, snR37, which was completely 
retained in the nuclear fractions at the three time 
points examined (Figure 7B, lanes 2, 4 and 6). Real-time 
RT–PCR analysis quantified the fold-difference of HTB1 
transcripts between the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions 
at 4.5- and 6.5-fold for 0 and 15 min, respectively (data not 
shown).

DISCUSSION
As a continuation of our previous studies, here we 
investigated if the Nab3p/Nrd1p/Sen1p complex contrib-
utes to the biogenesis of yeast histone mRNAs. Our results 
demonstrate that Sen1p, plays a role in 3'-end formation 
of histone mRNAs independent of its association with 
Nrd1p and Nab3p. Additionally, inactivation of Sen1p 
leads to the accumulation of histone transcripts with 
very short PolyA tails.

In addition to its central role in 3'-end processing and 
transcription termination of non-polyadenylated sn/
snoRNAs as part of the Nab3/Nrd1/Sen1p complex 
(25–27), recent studies have demonstrated an expanded 
role for Sen1p in other cellular processes. Sen1p is found 
associated with a number of protein encoding genes, par-
ticularly those transcribing small PolyA-dependent tran-
scripts (18) and in association with Nrd1p/Nab3p, can 
provide a ‘fail safe’ transcription termination mechanism 
for PolyA-dependent transcripts in the event of incorrect 
or inefficient 3'-end processing (17). Such transcripts are 
then rapidly degraded by the nuclear exosome. In the case 
of histone mRNAs, Sen1p appears to be influencing the 
3'-end processing step under conditions when general 
PolyA-dependent 3'-end processing/transcription termina-
tion is not impaired. Since histone mRNA metabolism 
is temporally regulated during the cell cycle, it is possible 
that Sen1p may exert its influence on histone mRNA bi-
ogenesis in a cell cycle stage-specific manner. Mischo et al.
(28) recently demonstrated a role for Sen1p in the resolution of DNA/RNA hybrid structures, referred to as R-loops. Such structures form preferentially at regions of transcription termination possibly due to changes in the processivity of RNA Polymerase II. It has been suggested that the helicase activity of Sen1p may promote transcription termination through its role in resolving R-loops and thereby release the RNA transcript from the site of transcription. Genetic interactions between SEN1 and genes involved in homologous recombination and DNA repair suggests that Sen1p also plays a broader role in maintaining genome integrity (26,28). Our finding that Sen1p influences 3′-end processing of histone mRNAs and Poly A tail length, adds to the growing list of activities of this DNA/RNA helicase.

The polyA tail length of histone mRNAs was also affected by deletion of RRP6, therefore it is possible that both Rrp6p and Sen1p are required for efficient 3′-end formation of histone mRNAs and defects in either protein leads to inefficient recruitment or activity of PolA polymerase leading to transcripts with shorter PolyA tails. A previously identified genetic association between PAPI, the gene encoding PolA polymerase, and RRP6 lends support to this view (29).

**Inactivation of Sen1p alters the progression of cell cycle**

A further link between Rrp6p and Sen1p in histone mRNA biogenesis was uncovered in our finding that, as with deletion of RRP6, mutations in SEN1 also influences cell cycle progression. These effects on cell cycle progression may be a secondary effect due to the influence of Sen1p on many aspects of cell cycle regulation, particularly in light of its role in maintenance of genome integrity (28) and preventing DNA damage (26), however, its concomitant role in histone mRNA biogenesis suggests a more immediate link between cell cycle progression and histone mRNA biogenesis. Thus, there is growing body of evidence for a link between the tightly controlled histone mRNA metabolism and cell cycle progression, leading us to postulate that histone mRNA levels within the cell may act as a checkpoint for cell cycle progression.

**PolyA tail length of HTB1 varies during the cell cycle**

We also re-evaluated the polyadenylation status of the histone mRNA HTB1 both in asynchronous and synchronized populations of yeast cells. Previous analysis had indicated that histone mRNAs are polyadenylated although tail length was not determined (5,23). Here we find that HTB1 mRNAs contain PolyA tails which are much shorter than the average tail length of the general yeast mRNA pool, which contain tails of ~70–100 nt. The shorter tail length of HTB1 mRNAs is consistent with data from a genome-wide study of PolyA tail length in *S. cerevisiae*, which demonstrated an enrichment of transcripts encoding cell cycle related functions in a pool of mRNAs with short PolyA tails (30).

We also found that the Poly A tail length of HTB1 transcripts varies as cells progress through the cell cycle. Three distinct cell cycle-stage dependent pools of transcripts were identified. In G1, HTB1 transcripts bear longer PolyA tails (mean length 46 nt). As cells proceed into S-phase, the PolyA tail length decreases (mean length 22 nt) and finally as cells enter G2-phase, transcripts with no Poly A tails with non-canonical 3′-ends are found.

The longer tailed transcripts encountered at the early stages of the cell cycle correspond to the period when HTB1 steady state levels are relatively low. It is possible that longer tailed transcripts encountered in G1 phase are not export-competent and are degraded in the nucleus via the exosome mediated nuclear surveillance mechanism. Since inactivation of Sen1p leads to accumulation of shorter-tailed transcripts, it is possible that such nuclear degradation of longer-tailed transcripts is linked to its role in histone mRNA 3′-end processing. Our finding that HTB1 transcripts are predominately nuclear in G1 phase supports this argument. Further detailed genetic studies will be required to elucidate the exact molecular mechanism of this process.

Paradoxically and contrary to convention, the accumulation of transcripts with shorter PolyA tails is correlated with increased steady state levels of HTB1 in S-phase. It is possible that this shift in PolyA tail length results from an alteration in 3′-end processing/transcription termination of histone genes to generate nuclear export competent transcripts, thus ensuring the presence of histone transcripts in the cytoplasm in S-phase when translation of histones is at its maximum. In fact we did observe higher levels of HTB1 mRNA in the cytoplasmic fraction in S-phase compared to the levels observed in the G1 phase. Alternatively, since polyA tail shortening is associated with active translation of mRNAs (31), the observed shortening of PolyA tails in HTB1 mRNA in S-phase may be a consequence of their association with polysomes.

The identification of transcripts with non-canonical 3′-ends upstream of the canonical 3′-end cleavage sites after S-phase, points to active degradation of histone mRNAs in a 3′–5′ direction. Of the cDNA clones containing non-canonical 3′-ends from the 60–90 min timepoints, only one had non-encoded A residues, a hallmark of nuclear degradation via the exosome, therefore the bulk of this degradation is most likely occurring in the cytoplasm. Due to the cloning method employed in this study, whereby a single forward primer located at nucleotides 1–22 of the HTB1 ORF was used for amplification of G-tailed cDNAs, we were not able to examine the 5′ untranslated region (UTR) of the transcripts. Therefore, we cannot rule out a role of concomitant 5′-3′ exonucleolytic degradation as part of the turnover of HTB1 transcripts at this stage of the cell cycle. Since both 5′–3′ and 3′–5′ degradation of mRNAs occur simultaneously during general mRNA degradation, transcripts with shortened 5′-ends may also be present after S-phase. Further studies will be required to unravel the contribution of both 5′ to 3′ and 3′ to 5′ degradation of histone mRNAs at this stage of the cell cycle. Recent studies have identified histone mRNAs as a category of transcripts particularly sensitive to mutations in CCR4, the major deadenylase required for the initialisation of cytoplasmic degradation of mRNAs (32). While playing a major role in cytoplasmic mRNA degradation, the CCR4-NOT complex has recently been
shown to physically interact with both TRAMP and the exosome complex, indicating that this deadenylase complex may also play an important role in nuclear mRNA degradation (32). Separately, a role for CCR4 in cell cycle progression has also been identified (33).

Finally, it is interesting to note that deletions or mutations in Senataxin, the human homologue of Sen1p, are associated with the development of the neurological disorder ataxia-ocular apraxia 2 (AOA2) (34). Likewise, mutations in a protein termed NPAT (nuclear protein ataxia-telangiectasia), which is required for the recruitment of specific 3′-end processing factors to replication-dependent histone genes in human cells (35), are associated with the autosomal recessive disease ataxia-telangiectasia, AOA1, which leads to cerebellar ataxia and oculocutaneous telangiectasia. Both AOA1 and AOA2 share several clinical features, but can be distinguished by the age of onset. The observation that both the yeast homologue of Senataxin, Sen1p and NPAT play important roles in regulating histone mRNA 3′-end processing, may suggest a role for cell cycle dependent histone mRNA metabolism in the development of ataxia-related diseases.
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