Metabolomics for Agricultural Waste Valorization: Shifting Toward a Sustainable Bioeconomy

Gholamreza Khaksar1, Mongkon Sirijan2, Nithiwat Suntichaikamolkul1 and Supaart Sirikantaramas1,3*

1Center of Excellence for Molecular Crop, Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand, 2Faculty of Agriculture Natural Resources and Environment, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok, Thailand, 3Omics Sciences and Bioinformatics Center, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

Agriculture has been considered as a fundamental industry for human survival since ancient times. Local and traditional agriculture are based on circular sustainability models, which produce practically no waste. However, owing to population growth and current market demands, modern agriculture is based on linear and large-scale production systems, generating tons of organic agricultural waste (OAW), such as rejected or inedible plant tissues (shells, peels, stalks, etc.). Generally, this waste accumulates in landfills and creates negative environmental impacts. The plant kingdom is rich in metabolic diversity, harboring over 200,000 structurally distinct metabolites that are naturally present in plants. Hence, OAW is considered to be a rich source of bioactive compounds, including phenolic compounds and secondary metabolites that exert a wide range of health benefits. Accordingly, OAW can be used as extraction material for the discovery and recovery of novel functional compounds that can be reinserted into the production system. This approach would alleviate the undesired environmental impacts of OAW accumulation in landfills, while providing added value to food, pharmaceutical, cosmetic, and nutraceutical products and introducing a circular economic model in the modern agricultural industry. In this regard, metabolomics-based approaches have gained increasing interest in the agri-food sector for a variety of applications, including the rediscovery of bioactive compounds, owing to advances in analytical instrumentation and data analytics platforms. This mini review summarizes the major aspects regarding the identification of novel bioactive compounds from agricultural waste, focusing on metabolomics as the main tool.
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INTRODUCTION

For centuries, the agricultural industry has been vital for providing food and materials to humankind. Traditional and local agriculture utilize available plants and resources (water, soil, land, etc.) in a sustainable way, ensuring the subsistence of the local community. Practically no waste is produced in these approaches as waste and unexploited products are utilized further as fertilizers, which are easily absorbed by soils (Harris and Hillman, 2014). In the
wine production industry, the generated grape marc would be further utilized in the production of other alcohols, and the final product would be used to fertilize the soil (Nerantzis and Tataridis, 2006). However, population growth and its consequent need to produce large amounts of food, as well as globalization and the pursuit of individual economic benefits have promoted the emergence of a linear-producing modern agricultural system. Unlike traditional and local agriculture, which are based on circular sustainability models, the modern system aims to meet global demand by increasing the profitability of production. To achieve this aim, modern agriculture overexploits natural resources by using the soil extensively along with large amounts of water and energy, applying pesticides to eliminate insects, and choosing monocultures over mixed production (Rockström et al., 2017; Ramankutty et al., 2018; Duque-Acevedo et al., 2020). The world population is predicted to reach ~10 billion by 2050 (data from Department of Economic and Social Affairs, United Nations (UN), n.d.; Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), n.d.). Accordingly, agricultural production also needs to grow, albeit in a sustainable way (Ramankutty et al., 2018). However, a major drawback of this modern system is the increased production of organic agricultural waste (OAW) from crops (Gustavsson et al., 2011), including rejected or inedible plant tissues such as pruning, fruit trimming, shelling or forestall residues, and food processing wastes such as rice husk and wheat straw. Notably, fruit pulp is also considered a major OAW because fruit juice production generates tons of squeezed pulp. In addition, huge quantities of fruit pulp may be rejected owing to post-harvest loss, as is commonly seen for climacteric fruits that possess a strikingly limited shelf life after harvesting. Generally, OAWs that are not further utilized accumulate uncontrollably in landfills. If poorly managed, the accumulated OAW would generate various biotic and abiotic by-products that would negatively impact the environment, health, and economy (El-Haggar, 2007; Nagendran, 2011; He et al., 2019). Moreover, such landfills generate considerable amounts of methane, nitrous oxide, sulfur dioxide, and smoke when the OAW is burned in open air, a practice which is common in many landfills and leads to atmospheric pollution with significant emissions of carbon dioxide (Wang et al., 2019).

TOWARD A SUSTAINABLE BIOECONOMY USING ORGANIC AGRICULTURAL WASTE

The question arises as to why OAW is not reutilized. Different reutilization approaches for these residues have been described, including as animal feed and subjection to anaerobic digestion and composting. Although the effectiveness of these strategies has been described by several studies, such as those demonstrating the beneficial effects of using OAW as fertilizer (Sud et al., 2008; Meng et al., 2017), OAWs continue to accumulate. The reason for this is profitability. For instance, farmers are not willing to risk replacing synthetic fertilizers, which deliver a precise quantity of nutrients, with OAW, which provides an imprecise quantity (Innes, 2013). Moreover, these strategies do not typically generate significant economic value (Garcia-Garcia et al., 2019). Therefore, a shift toward a more sustainable approach is vital. Over the last decades, the development of novel value-added products based on the exploitation of bioactive compounds from OAW has gained considerable interest, which makes OAW a suitable feedstock for valorization. The plant kingdom is extensively rich in metabolic diversity, harboring over 200,000 structurally distinct metabolites (Wurtzel and Kutchan, 2016) that are naturally present in plants, especially under stress and/or damage conditions, which also magnifies their presence in OAWs. Hence, OAW is a rich source of bioactive compounds, including phenolic compounds (PCs) and secondary metabolites, which exert a wide range of health benefits such as antioxidant, anti-cancer, anti-inflammatory, cardioprotective, anti-microbial, and anti-allergenic activities (Coman et al., 2020; Jimenez-Lopez et al., 2020). PCs are a large group of secondary metabolites generated by plants in response to multiple environmental stimuli. Owing to the numerous health-beneficial properties associated with PCs and their abundance in OAW, PCs from OAW are increasingly attracting industrial interest. Moreover, since some of these compounds are difficult and/or expensive to synthesize, their availability from OAW makes chemical synthesis unnecessary (Burri et al., 2017; Jimenez-Lopez et al., 2020).

The importance of converting OAW into value-added products has been incorporated into various market sectors. According to a recent study, the market value of agricultural waste products peaked at USD 63.3 billion in the beverage industry, followed by USD 48 billion in the medical industry and approximately USD 46 billion in the food and consumer goods sector (Beltrán-Ramírez et al., 2019). Taken together, the valorization of OAW generates a significant economic value by increasing income per harvest and improving the livelihood of the local communities, while reducing the excessive costs for waste disposal and minimizing the carbon footprint (Lucarini et al., 2018; Singh et al., 2019).

SHIFT TOWARD SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE: VALORIZATION OF OAW AS A POTENTIAL SOURCE OF BIOACTIVE COMPOUNDS

Moving toward a systemic, circular model of “reuse, recycle, and regenerate” is vital for developing a sustainable agricultural industry. In this context, OAW biomass should be considered a sustainable resource rather than a waste product. OAW valorization is based on the concept that any residual material or by-product can be used as an extraction material, and the recovered bioactive compounds be reinserted into the production chain. The reutilization of these functional compounds not only represents various potential applications, including in the preparation of functional foods, food and feed additives, and nutraceutical and cosmeceutical products, but also alleviates certain negative effects of OAW accumulation in landfills, thus representing a favorable measure for the environment.
The extraction of these functional bioactive compounds is an important aspect of OAW valorization both in the context of economic benefit, owing to the recovery of valuable compounds, and in the context of waste detoxification, owing to the removal of some compounds which could be undesirable in subsequent biological post-treatments (Serrano et al., 2017; Negro et al., 2018). Over the last few decades, researchers have focused on optimizing the extraction processes. Different parameters have been investigated to optimize the extraction yields of bioactive compounds available in OAW (Kareem and Rahman, 2013; Dorta et al., 2014; Wong et al., 2014). Table 1 summarizes the different OAWs from various crops, the amounts generated in Asia (tons/year) as of 2020, the bioactive compounds extracted from them, and the main analytical platforms utilized according to the literature published in the last 5 years (2017 until now).

### METABOLOMICS IN AGRI-FOOD SECTOR: CURRENT PRACTICES FOR VALORIZATION OF OAW

Metabolomics is the comprehensive characterization of small molecules or metabolites present in a biological sample. Owing to the development of chemometrics and advanced analytical platforms, metabolomics has deepened our understanding of various metabolomic and pathway networks (Hollywood et al., 2006). Numerous high-throughput analytical platforms, including liquid chromatography and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS and GC–MS), and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, have been extensively utilized for this purpose (Johanningsmeier et al., 2016). Metabolomics studies use untargeted or targeted approaches, and the selection of the analytical approach depends mainly on the research question and expected outcomes. Targeted analyses focus on a class of metabolites of interest based on our pre-existing knowledge. However, untargeted analyses utilize unbiased metabolite fingerprinting to profile the global metabolome of diverse chemical classes of metabolites associated with various known and/or unknown pathways (Scalbert et al., 2009; Patti et al., 2012). As shown in Table 1, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) has been extensively utilized as the main analytical platform for the identification and/or discovery of various bioactive compounds from OAW using a targeted approach. However, over the past few years, a combination of both targeted and untargeted approaches (HPLC coupled with LC–MS and/or GC–MS) has been utilized to obtain a complete profile of the metabolites present in OAWs (as seen in Table 1).

Over the past few decades, metabolomics has been extensively applied to the valorization of different OAWs from various crops. Owing to recent advances in analytical instrumentation and data analytics platforms (Putri et al., 2013; Rubert et al., 2015), metabolomics-based approaches have gained significant interest in the agri-food sector for the identification and/or rediscovery of diverse high-value bioactive compounds, especially PCs, from OAWs. Over 10,000 different PC structures with diverse natures are currently known, the most well-known of which include phenolic acids, flavonoids, and tannins (Kennedy and Wightman, 2011). These exist naturally in various concentrations in different plant parts, from roots to shoots, as well as in fruits. Accordingly, they are also present in OAWs. Recently, numerous studies have focused on the research and development of natural compounds as substitutes for synthetic additives because synthetic substances are strongly associated with various health risks, such as the appearance of allergies or even carcinogenesis (Zheng and Wang, 2001). In this context, OAWs are considered as suitable source materials for the extraction of numerous natural bioactive compounds, such as PCs, and metabolomics can be considered as an ideal approach for the identification and/or rediscovery of these compounds from OAWs.

Numerous value-added products have been generated in the food, pharmaceutical, cosmetic, and nutraceutical industries by reinserting these high-value compounds into the production chain, as in a circular economic model. Typical examples include thickening, gelling, and food stabilizing agents from tomato and citrus waste (John et al., 2017; Morales-Contreras et al., 2017); food preservatives (meat and oil product preservatives), food stabilizers, and bactericidal agents from potato peel (Sampaio et al., 2020); essential oils with anti-cancer agents from orange waste (Yang et al., 2017); biobutanol from rice husk (Quipe et al., 2017); hydrogel from durian rind (Cui et al., 2021); and single-cell protein (SCP) from corn stover and orange peel (Diwan et al., 2018).

These value-added products, produced through OAW valorization, generate significant economic value. However, further research and development is vital to fully convert the still-evolving valorization process into a sustainable approach. By integrating metabolomics into this process, we can gain a deeper understanding of the metabolic profiles of OAWs, and this can further promote the valorization process and add greater value to such products. To the best of our knowledge, only a few studies have utilized metabolomics to fully profile the metabolome of OAW and enhance the value of such products. These include studies on the production of pineapple wine and vinegar from pineapple peel and pulp (Rodà et al., 2017), essential oils from the aerial parts of plants belonging to the genus Lavandula, mainly L. angustifolia (LA) and L. × intermedia (LI; Truzzi et al., 2022), supplements with therapeutic applications from Passiflora mollissima seeds (Ballesteros-Vivas et al., 2020), and functional foods and nutraceuticals from bean (Vicia faba L.) by-products (Abu-Reidah et al., 2017).

Taken together, the recovery of functional bioactive compounds can be achieved by obtaining products that can be reinserted into the economy as new raw materials within a circular and sustainable bioeconomy. Figure 1 presents a schematic overview of the application of metabolomics in OAW valorization using a circular economy concept. OAW was considered as the input material for the valorization process in this overview. To gain a deeper understanding of the metabolic profile of OAW, we can take advantage of metabolomics to identify and/or rediscover bioactive compounds that can then be reinserted into the production chain to generate value-added products. Notably, for non-edible wastes such as peels and seeds, more studies, including toxicity tests and/or animal model studies, are needed to ensure their safety.
| Crop | Agricultural waste | Amount of waste produced in Asia (tons/year) in 2020* | Bioactive compounds | Analytical platform | References |
|------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|
| Almond | Skin from seed | 648,111 | Catechin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, naringenin, quercetin | HPLC | Chen et al., 2019 |
| Apple | Pomace, seed, peel | 55,707,264 | Anthocyanins, catechin, caffeic acid, phloretin glycosides, quercetin glycosides, rutin | HPLC | Cailiou et al., 2017; Gunes et al., 2019; Nile et al., 2019 |
| Avocado | Peel, seed | 943,327 | Catechin, chlorogenic acid, cyanidin, epicatechin, gallic acid, hydroxybenzoc acid, procyanidins, 1-caffeoylquinic acid, 3-glucosidic acid, 3-O-p-coumaroylquinic acid, 4-caffeoylquinic acid | LC–MS/MS, HPLC | Tremocodi et al., 2018 |
| Banana | Peel, stalk, pulp | 64,730,743 | Anthocyanins, auroxanthin, catecholamine, cyaniding, delphinidin, gallocatechin, hydroxycinnamic, flavonoids, isolutein, lutein, neoxanthin, α-carotene, β-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin | LC–MS/MS | Kraithong and Issara, 2021 |
| Barley | Husk | 25,516,523 | Anthocyanins, carotenoids, α-carotene, β-carotene | LC–MS, HPLC | Nigam, 2017 |
| Carrot | Peel | 26,126,853 | Anthocyanidin, carotenoids, α-carotene, β-carotene | HPLC | Gulsunoglu et al., 2019 |
| Cauliflower | Stem, leaves | No data | Caffeic acid, ferulate, glycoferulate | HPLC | Xu et al., 2017; Gunes et al., 2019; Nile et al., 2019 |
| Coffee | Cherry pulp | No data | Anthocyanins, caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, di-caffeoylquinic acid | HPLC | Campos-Vega et al., 2018; Heeger et al., 2017 |
| Corn | Bran | 365,305,747 | Anthocyanins, caffeic acid, ferulate, p-coumaric acid | LC–MS, HPLC | Luna-Vital et al., 2017 |
| Date | Pulp, seed | 370,583,855 | Phenolic acids, flavonols, fatty acids, hydroxylapic acids, steroids | LC–MS, NMR | Otty et al., 2019 |
| Durian | Peel, pulp, rind, seed | 1,111,928 (in Thailand) | Glutathione, γ-glutamylcysteine, pyridoxamine, cysteine, leucine | CE-MS, HPLC, GC–MS, HPAEC-PAD | Pinsorn et al., 2018; Cui et al., 2021; Panpetch and Sirikantaramas, 2021; Raml et al., 2021; Sangpong et al., 2021 |
| Grape | Stalk, seed, pulp | 29,824,812 | Anthocyanins, caffeic acid, catechins, coumarate, epicatechin | HPLC–MS/MS, HPLC | Mattis et al., 2017 |
| Grapefruit | Peel, pulp, seed | No data | Neohesperidosides, naringenin | HPIEC, LC–MS, GC–MS | Ahmed et al., 2019; Fernandez-Fernandez et al., 2020; Dorado et al., 2021 |
| Lemon | Seed, pulp | 920,592 | Apigenin-6, caffeic acid, coumarate, ferulate | LC–MS, HPLC | Sharma et al., 2017; Long and Mohan, 2021 |
| Mango | Skin, pulp, seed | 39,742,461 | Flavonoids, galates, hydrolysable tannins, methyl galate, phenolics | LC–MS | Baddi et al., 2018; Bernal-Mercado et al., 2018; Wall-Medrano et al., 2020; Pacheco et al., 2018 |
| Orange | Peel, seed, pulp | 28,366,264 | Anthocyanins, caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, cinnamic, ferulate, p-coumaric acid, heperetin, hesperidin, hesperetin-7-O-rutinoside, naringenin-7-O-rutinoside | GC | |
| Papaya | Seed, peel | 7,814,260 | Carotene, cryptoxanthin, lutein | HPLC | Siddique et al., 2018 |
| Pineapple | Stem, pulp | 12,500,507 | Catechin, epicatechin, ferulate, gallic acid, phenolics | LC–MS, HPLC | Campos et al., 2020 |
| Pomegranate | Pulp, seed, peel | No data | Anthocyanins, flavonoids, gallic acid, punicalagin | HPLC–MS/MS, HPLC | Sandhya et al., 2018; Mesehy et al., 2020; Scharf et al., 2020 |
| Potato | Peel, tuber, leaf | 178,599,864 | Anthocyanin, caffeic acid, carotenoid, lutein, 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 4,5-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3,3-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3,4,5-tri-O-caffeoylquinic acid | HPLC | Perez-Temero et al., 2017; Bodie et al., 2019; Peanparkdee and Iwamoto, 2020 |
| Rice | Husk, straw | 676,610,485 | Anthocyanins, caffeic acid, ferulate, niacon, pantothenic, pyridoxine, phytosterols, tricine, tocopherol, tocotrienols, thiamine | HPLC | Carneiro et al., 2020 |
| Soybean | Husk | 33,560,440 | Caffeic acid, ferulate, gallic acid | HPLC | |
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVE

Owing to population growth and current market demands, modern agricultural systems are linear in nature and generate millions of tons of OAW. These wastes accumulate in landfills and create adverse environmental impacts. Since OAWs are rich in bioactive compounds, including secondary metabolites and PCs, which have various health benefits, their valorization will provide us with numerous exploitable economic, environmental, and social opportunities. To develop a circular and sustainable bioeconomy, OAW can be used as an extraction material, and the recovered
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**TABLE 1** | Continued

| Crop       | Agricultural waste | Amount of waste produced in Asia (tons/year) in 2020* | Bioactive compounds                                                                 | Analytical platform | References                      |
|------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| Sweet potato | Peel, tuber, leaf | 55,979,599                                        | Anthocyanin, caffeic, lutein, 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 4,5-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3,4-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3,4,5-tri-O-caffeoylquinic acid | HPLC              | Akoetey et al., 2017            |
| Tomato     | Peel, pulp, seed  | 116,993,632                                       | Caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, ferulate, β-carotene, lycopene                     | HPLC, LC–MS/MS    | Szabo et al., 2018; Coelho et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2019 |
| Wheat      | Bran               | 347,921,349                                       | Caffeic acid, ferulate, gallic acid, p-coumaric acid                              | HPLC, LC–MS/MS    | Seifdavati et al., 2021; Sisti et al., 2021 |

*retrieved from https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home.

**FIGURE 1** | A schematic overview of the application of metabolomics in OAW valorization in a circular economy concept. The dotted line represents the multiple steps that might be needed to generate the final product.
bioactive compounds can be reinserted into the production chain. In this regard, metabolomics-based approaches have gained increasing interest in the agri-food sector for the identification and/or rediscovery of these bioactive compounds. Additionally, OAW valorization can be used as a powerful and effective approach for tackling current global issues, including food shortages, waste disposal, and landfill reserves. However, further investigation is still vital to optimize extraction techniques to obtain increased product yields in an eco-friendly and economical manner. Moreover, further developments are required to fully integrate the currently evolving valorization system into a sustainable and efficient industrial tool. In this context, metabolomics can be utilized as a powerful tool to obtain a complete metabolic profile of OAWs. An important risk factor in this process could be the presence of contamination from chemicals in the crop residues owing to excessive use of pesticides and synthetic fertilizers, which should be taken into consideration. There is a dilemma among gardeners and/or orchard owners whether to use pesticides and chemical fertilizers as much as needed to avoid any yield loss and gain profit from higher quality products, or to minimize or, if possible, avoid the use of pesticides and provide the valorization industry with non-contaminated OAWs and gain profit from the OAW valorization process. For the latter to occur, we need to increase public awareness regarding the importance and need to shift toward a circular and sustainable bioeconomy in which OAW is considered a natural resource for the valorization process. In addition, more companies should dedicate themselves to the valorization of OAW and production of value-added products. Taken together, it can be concluded that, although metabolomics can be used as an effective tool to improve the valorization potential of OAWs, the question as to which approach to follow remains open-ended.
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