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**Abstract**

**Objective:** The induction of immunity against cancer stem cells (CSCs) can boost the efficiency of cancer vaccines. Heat shock proteins (HSPs) are required for the successful activation of anti-tumor immune responses. Glycoprotein 96 (gp96) is a well-known HSP that promotes the cross-presentation of tumor antigens. The aim of the present study was to optimize the temperature for induction of gp96 in grade 3 breast cancer spheres.

**Materials and Methods:** In the experimental study, CSCs were enriched from breast tumor tissue samples and cultured in DMEM-F12 with epidermal growth factor (EGF), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), B27, and bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 22 days. The expression level of CD24 and CD44 as CSC markers was measured by flow cytometry in secondary mammospheres, and the expression of \( \text{NANOG} \), \( \text{SOX2} \), and \( \text{OCT4} \) genes in CSCs was also analyzed using the real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR). To find the optimal temperature regulation of gp96, the mammosphere was incubated at different temperatures for 1 hour, and gp96 expression was measured using the western blotting assay.

**Results:** Primary mammospheres were obtained after seven days of culture, and secondary spheres formed 22 days after passage. Flow cytometry analysis showed that cells with CD24-CD44+ phenotype were enriched in the culture period (from 2.6% on day 1 to 32.6% on day 22). Real-time PCR indicated that \( \text{OCT4} \), \( \text{NANOG} \), and \( \text{SOX2} \) expression in mammospheres were increased by 3.8 ± 0.6, 17.8 ± 0.6, and 7.7 ± 0.8 fold respectively in comparison to the MCF-7 cell line. Western blot analysis showed that gp96 production was significantly upregulated when mammospheres were incubated at both 42°C and 43°C in comparison to the control group.

**Conclusion:** Altogether, we found that heat-induced upregulated expression of gp96 in CSCs enriched mammospheres from breast tumor tissue might be used as a complementary procedure to generate more immunogenic antigens in immunotherapy settings.
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**Introduction**

Four decades ago, the cancer stem cell (CSC) concept was proposed. It was stated that tumor growth, like the renewal of healthy tissues, is fueled by small numbers of so-called stem cells. It has gradually become clear that many tumors harbor CSCs in dedicated niches, and their identification has not been as obvious as was initially hoped. Recently developed lineage tracing has provided insights into CSC therapeutic response.

Breast cancer is the most common female neoplastic malignancy and the most important cause of female mortality in the world (1). Although this malignancy’s highest incidence is in developed countries, studies showed that its incidence in developing countries has an increasing trend, and patients have a shorter life expectancy (2). Most of the deaths associated with breast cancer are due to metastasis and multiple drug resistance (3). Studies on the tumor microenvironment have revealed a rare cancer cell population with stemness features that seems to be the leading cause of the malignancy. This population is called CSCs, and they have the ability to self-renewal and differentiation into other progenies of cancer cells (4).

CSCs first were detected in acute myeloid leukemia (5), however, their footprint was discovered in all types of cancers later (6-9). They are assumed to be responsible for a tumor’s main malignant characteristics, including invasion, metastasis, drug resistance, and relapse. Although CSCs can be distinguished by surface markers (e.g. CD24) and expression of stemness genes (e.g. OCT4, NANOG, SOX2), efforts to derivate a pure cell line of CSCs from tumor specimens have failed till now (10). Nevertheless, it has been proved that the culture of tumor cells in non-adherent vessels
would form spheroids, which are CSCs enriched, so, currently, tumor-derived spheres would prepare the most available models for CSCs examinations (11).

Heat shock proteins (HSPs) are necessary molecular chaperones that play an essential role in cells. They also play a crucial role in immunizing against tumors by interfering with the function of professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs), T lymphocytes, and NK cells (12-16). Hsp90B1 (gp96) is a type of HSP that plays a vital role in directing and delivering antigens through MHC class I and inducing CD8+ T cells response. This role of HSPs has been used as a basis for clinical trials to develop anticancer vaccines (17, 18).

Despite the efforts that have been made to develop the cancer vaccines, reaching therapeutic success still is still far-fetched. In recent years, immunotherapy methods have hopefully increased the survival of patients (19). To improve the efficacy of these new treatments, boosting the immune response against cancer cells, specifically CSCs, is of the utmost importance. Therefore, upregulating the expression of HSPs in CSCs seems to increase the immunogenicity of these cells, and HSP-upregulated CSCs would be a better tumor antigen source for immunization and cancer vaccine production. In this study, we tried to set up an optimized protocol for the derivation of CSCs-enriched spheres from tumor tissue of breast cancer (which is called mammospheres) and upregulation of glycoprotein 96 (gp96) in these cells.

Materials and Methods

In the experimental study, the optimal temperature for induction of gp96 in grade 3 breast cancer spheres was investigated using mammosphere generation, immunohistochemistry, flow cytometry, real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), and western blot techniques.

Preparing tumor sample and generation of mammospheres

Several biopsy samples of tumor tissue were obtained from a female patient with breast cancer during mastectomy and stored in sterile containers containing DMEM/F12 medium (Gibco, USA) with streptomycin-penicillin antibiotics (Sigma, USA), then delivered to the lab. Written consent was obtained from three patients before the surgery for using their tissue samples in the research. Approval of the ethics committee of Urmia University of Medical Sciences was obtained in advance (P6/97/4/25493). At least three tumor samples were applied to successfully generate mammospheres and the gp94 induction process as described below.

Breast tumor tissues were first minced mechanically by a scalpel and then digested enzymatically using collagenase type IV for 18 hours. Cells obtained from the digested tissue were cultured in 24-well plates (Biofil, China) coated with a thin layer of 1.5% agarose solution, in a low glucose DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium) medium supplemented with epidermal growth factor (EGF, 20 ng/ml), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF, 20 ng/ml), B27 (2%), bovine serum albumin (BSA, 0.5 mg/ml) and penicillin-streptomycin at 37°C and 5% CO2 (20). The culture medium was refreshed every two days, and primary spheres were harvested on day 7, single cells of each sphere were then transferred into new 24-well plates to form secondary spheres which were then used to perform relevant tests on day 22.

Immunohistochemistry

For immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis tissue sections were cut at 6 µm thickness, mounted on slides, and antigen retrieval was performed. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by immersing the slides in 1.0% H2O2, and 0.1% NaN3 in tris-buffered saline (TBS, Sigma, Germany) for 10 minutes. Nonspecific antibody binding was inhibited by incubating the sections in 4% commercial nonfat skim milk powder (Sigma, Germany) in TBS for 15 minutes; the slides were transferred to a humidified chamber and incubated with primary anti ER (2.5 µg/ml), PR (2.5 µg/ml) and Herr-2/neu (5 µg/ml) antibodies (Abcam, UK) overnight at room temperature. The sections were washed by TBS and incubated with biotinylated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulins (Abcam, UK) for 45 minutes and then with streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase conjugate (Abcam, UK) for 15 minutes. Antigenic sites were identified using 0.05% 3,3-diaminobenzidine with H2O2 (Sigma, Germany) as substrate and were then lightly counterstained with hematoxylin before being examined with light microscopy (21).

Flow cytometry

To confirm CSCs enrichment in mammospheres, the flow cytometry technique was used to determine the percentage of CSCs population in trypsinized secondary mammospheres on the 22nd day of culture. For this assessment, CD44+/CD24+ cells were considered as CSCs, and the percentage of this population was compared with single cells isolated from digested tumor tissue on the first day of culture.

Real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

Real-time RT-PCR was carried out according to the method described by Park et al. (22). Briefly, single cells of trypsinized secondary mammospheres were used for total RNA extraction by a commercially available kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Real-time RT-PCR was then performed on the synthesized cDNA to evaluate the expression level of stemness genes including OCT4, NANOG, and SOX2, resultant cDNA amplified by Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Germany) in a Rotor-gene 3000 thermalycler device (Corbett, Australia). The Syber Green probe (Qiagen, Germany) was used for the detection of DNA amplification signals. The expression level of each gene was normalized to the GAPDH expression as a housekeeping gene, and breast cancer cell line (MCF7) as a control to calculate the relative expression (2-ΔΔCt) of stemness genes.
Western blot

To induce upregulation of gp96 expression, mammospheres were incubated for 60 minutes at 42°C and 43°C in experimental groups and at 37°C in the control group; these spheres were then trypsinized, and single cells were used for Western blot analysis. Cells were lysed with lysing buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH=7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% NP-40) supplemented with complete protease inhibitors (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany). Cell lysates (20 mg) were separated by electrophoresis on 10% sodium dodecyl-sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The blot was blocked with TBST (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH=7.6, 136 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Tween-20) containing 5% skim milk and then incubated with primary antibody against gp96 (2 µg/ml) and Actin (as a housekeeping protein, Santa Cruz, USA) at 4°C overnight. The next day, after washing with TBST, the membrane was incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 hour at room temperature. The bands were amplified using a chemiluminescent solution and photographed with the ECL kit (GE/Amersham Healthcare, UK) and Documentation Gel (SYNGENE, UK) (23).

Statistical analysis

Data from at least three independent experiments were expressed as means ± standard deviation, SD. Each data point of real-time PCR was run at least in triplicates and independent experiments were performed at least three times. Student’s t tests or ANOVA (SPSS, version 21, IBM, USA) were used to determine statistically significant differences and P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant unless otherwise specified.

Results

Immunohistochemistry characteristics of the tumor sample

The histopathology report of the tumor biopsy indicated that the patients had undergone a stage IV, grade 3 invasive ductal carcinoma breast cancer (data not shown). Tumor size was 3.5×2cm and involvement of axillary lymph node was reported. IHC study showed that the tumor was triple positive for Estrogen, Progesterone, and Her2-neu receptors (Fig.1).

Tumor-derived mammospheres generation

The culture of tumor single cells with described protocol led to the formation of spheres after 7 days. The morphology of spheres was similar to what has been previously reported. The sphere trypsinized and passaged into subsequent plates, which led to the formation of secondary mammospheres on day 22 (Fig.2).

Flow cytometric analysis of cancer stem cells in tumor-derived spheres

To determine the percentage of CSCs among other cancer cells, the population of CD44-CD24− cells as CSC phenotype was measured with flow cytometry. The percentage of CSCs on the first day of culture was 2.6%, whereas this population on the 22nd day of culture was 33.2% in trypsinized mammospheres (Fig.3).

Stemness genes expression

Relative expression of stemness genes, including OCT-4, NANO& SOX2 were measured in trypsinized mammospheres in comparison with the MCF-7 breast cancer cell line as the control by real-time RT-PCR. Relative expression of these genes was significantly higher in mammospheres, which was 3.83 ± 0.62 fold for OCT-4, 17.83 ± 0.6 fold for NANO& and 7.73 ± 0.78 fold for SOX2 (P≤0.001, Fig.4).
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Fig. 4: Stemness genes expression. Expression of OCT-4, NANOG, and SOX2 was measured in single cells of mammospheres in comparison to MCF-7 cell line. The expression level of genes in the MCF-7 cells was considered as 1 and the expression fold of them in single cells of cultured mammospheres was reported (P<0.001).

Glycoprotein 96 expression

Incubation of mammospheres for a short time (60 minutes) at 42°C and 43°C led to the upregulation of gp96 protein expression detected by Western blot. However, the viability of mammospheres did not decline after such incubation conditions. The sharpness of gp96 bands at 42°C and 43°C incubated mammospheres were significantly higher than 37°C (P≤0.001), but they have no considerable difference from each other (Fig. 5).

Fig. 5: Expression levels of gp96 protein. Mammospheres were incubated in 42°C and 43°C as well as 37°C (control) for 1 hour and the expression of gp96 protein was measured using Western blotting test. Different letters indicate significant differences between mean values (P=0.03).

Discussion

CSCs are a group of cancerous cells within the tumor bulk with stem cell-like characteristics, including self-renewal properties, due to which the term Tumor Initiating Cells (TIC) has been used for them (11). It seems that the stemness properties of CSCs could be an explanation for cancer recurrence and chemoresistance. Among the other described characteristics for CSCs, the expression of some markers like CD44, CD133, and CD24, and on the transcription level, the expression of SOX2, NANOG, and OCT4 is crucial (24).

One of the assays that can be applied to isolate CSCs, besides surface markers, is the Spheroid formation assay, which is based on the capability of these cells to generate multicellular three-dimensional (3D) spheres in vitro (25). So far, culture methods, including organotypic multicellular spheroid model, multicellular tumor spheroids, tissue-derived tumorspheres, and tumorspheres assay, have been developed (26). In this study, the tumorspheres assay was applied, which is based on dissociating tumor tissue to the suspension of single cells and culturing the obtained cellular suspension in a low adherent surface in a serum-free media which is supplemented with EGF and b-FGF growth factors to enrich CSCs. This condition can provide the establishment of spherical colonies. However, the formation of tumor structure cannot be fully mimicked by using this method (27).

In the present study, we successfully achieved mammospheres from breast tumor tissue. Our tumor-derived mammospheres were typical in morphology. We also passaged primary mammospheres to form secondary ones by trypsinization. The CSC population was enriched in mammospheres, which were 2.6% on the first day of culture compared to 33.2% on the 22nd day of culture.

SOX2 gene belongs to the family of SRY-related high mobility group (HMG) which is located on chromosome 3 and implicated in the cell development process by determining their fate and preserving stemness phenotype. It is well-known that SOX2 takes part in different molecular mechanisms and states of diseases including cancer. It has been shown that dysregulated and increased expression of SOX2 can impact proliferation, migration, invasion, resistance to apoptosis, and colony formation features in CSCs and tumor cells (28). NANOG is another master transcription factor of embryogenesis, located on chromosome 12, and engaged in conserving pluripotency and self-renewal potential in stem cells through the Insulin-like growth factor1 receptor (IGF1R) pathway. Overexpression of this transcription factor has been detected in different cancers, leading to inhibiting apoptosis and establishing chemoresistance (29). OCT4, also recognized as POU5F1, belongs to the POU family of transcription factors on chromosome 6, and along with NANOG and SOX2, plays a vital role in developmental pathways and tumorigenesis. Since the enhanced expression of these genes has been linked to
poor prognosis in patients with cancer and resistance to chemotherapy (30), in the present study, we analyzed their expression levels in ex vivo generated mammospheres and found that stemness genes, including OCT-4, NANOG, and SOX2, overexpressed in mammospheres compared to a regular breast cancer cell line (MCF-7).

Another purpose of this study was to optimize incubation conditions for the upregulation of HSPs in mammospheres, which would lead to an increase in the immunogenicity of CSCs for immunotherapy settings. We showed that incubation of mammospheres for 60 minutes at 42-43°C upregulated GP96 protein expression (a member of the HSP90 family) without affecting the viability of mammospheres cells.

Homologous members of the HSP family are found in all parts of the cytosol, nucleus, mitochondria, endosomes, lysosomes, endoplasmic reticulum, intracellular membranes, and plasma membrane (31). Thus, HSPs isolated from tumor cells are potentially rich in tumor antigens (32). Given the role of HSP-peptide complexes in the activation and maturation of APCs, this complex may activate the polyclonal T lymphocyte response against tumor antigens. Under these conditions, even if the tumor loses some antigens under the selective pressure of the immune system, multiple T-cell clones will still be available to destroy the tumor cells (32, 33). The application of this approach makes it unnecessary to find tumor-specific antigens for each patient. Among the most critical tumor-derived HSPs facilitating the entry of antigenic peptides into MHC class I molecules are HSP70, and gp96 (17, 34, 35), however, the distribution of HSP molecules within the cell follows different prototypes. Gp96 molecules are typically present in the endoplasmic reticulum (36). Studies have shown that targeting HSPs, including HSP90, induces apoptosis in cancer cells (37), thus, it is necessary to evaluate the optimal conditions causing induction of these molecules to obtain the maximum potential of tumor antigenicity.

According to our findings, the best temperature and incubation time to induce maximal gp96 in breast cancer mammospheres were 42-43°C for 1 hour. In 1998, Madersbacher et al. (38) indicated that expression of HSP27 in LNCaP cells treated with heat shock from 43-49°C for 60min could increase in a temperature-dependent manner, though this study was performed on a cell line and expression of HSP27 was the primary purpose. Schueller et al. in 2001 showed that in hepatocellular carcinoma cell line HepG2, treating cells at 41.8°C for 60 minutes, increased the expression level of HSP70 and HSP90, which could substantially escalate the immunogenicity of the tumor, as well as the immune response to heat-shocked HepG2 cells. Although the cell lines used in these two studies, were different, both of them had an epithelial origin and applying almost the same temperature resulted in rising expression levels of HSP70 and HSP90, which was consistent with the result of our study (39, 40).

This study had some limitations; first, the difficulty of preserving mammospheres for a more extended period without causing differentiation to investigate long term effects of heat treatment on the gp96 expression, Second, in this study, the samples were from the same patients of the same stage while obtaining tissue samples from patients of different stages could impact the percentage of the presence of CSCs and expression of gp96. Moreover, we did not study the influence of higher temperatures than 43°C on the structure and the expression of gp96.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we showed that tumor-derived mammospheres are CSCs-enriched, and the expression level of stemness genes is higher than regular breast cancer cell lines. It was also revealed that incubation of these mammospheres at a temperature between 42-43°C for 60 minutes would upregulate gp96 protein expression and make mammospheres a potent tool for preparing more immunogenic tumor antigens for use in immunotherapy modalities.
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