Abstract—The rapid development of information technology and Internet technology has played a positive role in enriching classroom teaching forms and improving teaching effectiveness. To study the effects of the innovative English classroom teaching in rural primary and middle schools, this paper adopts the literature analysis method, case study method and observation method, theoretically analyzes urban-rural distance teaching and establishes an urban-rural synchronous distance teaching model based on online computer technology. Then it gives detailed design of the urban-rural synchronous distance teaching process based on online computer technology and uses a specific teaching case to investigate and analyze the effects of urban-rural synchronous distance teaching. The results prove that this teaching model can promote the effects of English classroom teaching in rural middle and primary schools, facilitate the urban-rural integrated teaching and enriches the English classroom teaching forms in rural middle and primary schools.

Keywords—urban-rural synchronous distance teaching, urban-rural integration, teaching design, teaching effects

1 Introduction

At present, the basic education is not balanced in China. The problems are quite prominent in terms of the unevenly distributed urban and rural education resources and the poor quality of rural teachers, especially in English teaching. In rural areas, there are only a small number of English teachers, who have very different teaching abilities. The backward teaching methods and poor pronunciation have greatly affected the students in rural schools. Fortunately, information technology represented by computer technology and the Internet has made it possible for urban and rural areas to share educational resources and for teachers and students to communicate with each other. The urban-rural integrated teaching now can be implemented.

Many countries in the world have used online computer technology to promote sharing of educational resources, enrich teaching forms, and realize distance learning. As early as 1886, Japan formed a distance education model, while the United States mainly used the Internet as a medium to realize distance education and training [1]. MOOC is
a synchronous distance education platform that has been widely used in recent years, but due to the lack of interactions, the teaching effects are not so good, and besides, many distance education platforms abroad focus on higher education, and few cases have been found in basic education [2].

The earliest synchronous classroom in China was based on television [3], but it only realized synchronous teaching progress without any exchange or interaction. In 2002, the application of satellite synchronous classroom brought synchronous distance teaching to people's attention, but it was seldom applied in basic education [4]. The rapid development of Internet technology has led to the rapid development of synchronous distance education based on network technology. Guangdong, Hebei, Anhui and other provinces have invested a great deal of manpower, material and financial resources in the IT-based education, applied information technology in urban-rural integrated teaching and obtained great results [5]. Meanwhile, many scholars have done a lot of research and practices on urban-rural interactive teaching, synchronous distance teaching and synchronous classroom, but these researches are still at the initial and experimental stage.

According to statistics, about 91% of primary and middle schools already have the capacity to use multimedia teaching equipment to conduct online learning [6]. Online computer technology can promote sharing of educational resources, deliver more educational resources to rural primary and middle schools and enrich the English classroom teaching forms in these schools.

Based on the above analysis, by summarizing and learning from domestic and foreign distance education models, this paper uses online computer technology to construct an urban-rural synchronous distance teaching model. Then with Lesson 10 of the English course in the fourth grade of primary school as an example, this paper provides detailed design of the innovative English classroom teaching based on online computer technology and analyzes the teaching effects of this model in terms of the video and audio transmission quality and teaching effect investigation. The results prove that the teaching model can achieve urban-rural integrated teaching, improve students’ interest in learning, and enrich the English classroom teaching forms in rural primary and middle schools.

2 Urban-rural synchronous distance teaching model based on online computer technology

2.1 Urban-rural synchronous distance teaching model

Urban-rural synchronous distance teaching [7] is a form of teaching implemented based on distance education theory, education equity theory and social constructivist theory under the modern network technology environment for the purpose of sharing educational resources. In this teaching form, either an urban school or a rural school is taken as the main classroom and the other as the receiving classroom. Through network and multimedia technologies, the two teaching activities are finally implemented.
synchronously. Fig. 1 shows the urban-rural synchronous distance teaching model based on online computer technology [8].

In the urban-rural synchronous distance teaching model, either urban or rural school can serve as the main classroom for output of the course. Through this teaching mode, both sides can share their own featured course and teaching resources. Since English teachers at urban middle and primary schools have generally higher teaching levels and better pronunciation and teaching methods than the rural ones, this paper mainly takes the urban school as main teaching field for the urban-rural synchronous distance teaching model.

Fig. 1. Urban and rural synchronous education model based on computer online technology

2.2 Components of the urban-rural synchronous distance teaching model based on online computer technology

From the concept of urban-rural distance teaching, it can be known that it can be divided into the main classroom and the receiving classroom, as shown in Fig.2 [9]. The classrooms should be equipped with projectors that display the teaching courseware, as well as the main classroom and the receiving classroom, cameras used to film the students in the main classroom and the receiving classroom, microphones used by students to answer questions, multimedia computers and speakers [10]. The entire teaching model consists of the following four elements:

1. Leading teacher: the main implementer of the course task, who needs to design how to implement the course, lead the progress of the course and organize students to conduct classroom learning and discussions [11].
2. Teacher at the receiving end: responsible for assisting the leading teacher in completing the teaching task. Before the class, the teacher needs to communicate with the leading teacher to understand the entire teaching process; in the class, the teacher needs to maintain the order of the class at the receiving end and organize students to complete the course learning task; after the class, the teacher needs to understand how well students master the knowledge and give tutoring if necessary, and also communicate with the leading teacher about the learning status of the students so that the teaching plan can be adjusted in a timely manner.

3. Students in the main classroom: obeying and coordinating with the teaching activities of the leading teacher and taking on good attitudes to reduce the gaps with the students at the receiving end.

4. Students at the receiving end: following the arrangement by the teacher at the receiving end, actively participating in the teaching activities of the leading teacher, sharing learning experience with the teacher at the receiving end after class, and asking questions on difficult points.

![Primary and secondary classroom diagram of venue](image)

Fig. 2. Primary and secondary classroom diagram of venue

3 Practice of innovative English classroom teaching in rural middle and primary schools and teaching effect analysis

In order to verify whether the urban-rural distance teaching model can help improve the effectiveness of English teaching in rural primary and middle schools, the author selected one urban primary school and one rural primary school in Hebei Province and
conducted teaching design and implementation using Lesson 10 of the fourth-grade English course for primary school as an example. The author selected two classes and recorded their classroom teaching status and carried out questionnaire survey among the teachers and students involved in the experiment [12]. Then the author summarized and analyzed the observations and survey results and reached the conclusions.

3.1 Teaching design for the urban-rural synchronous distance teaching case

In the urban-rural synchronous distance teaching design with the urban school as the main teaching field, the urban teacher, as the leading teacher, should consider not only the learning situation of local students, but also the differences between rural and urban students in learning methods and progress when designing the teaching plan, especially when designing the interactive part of the teaching activity [13], in which case, the teacher should motivate the enthusiasm of the students on both sides through appropriate ways. Figure 3 shows the process where students on both sides ask questions to each other using projection and whiteboard. Based on the analysis of the students’ learning status, the author appropriately reduced the amount of vocabulary task and decided to take the application of the sentence pattern “what do you do in the morning?” as the teaching objective. Before class, the leading teacher will give students a picture depicting the things to do in the morning after getting up, and in the class, the teacher will ask the students to describe the picture in English. The teacher will also use PPT courseware and audio reading materials as supporting teaching resources [14] to complete the teaching. After the class, the teacher will assign homework to the students. The teaching evaluation consists of teacher evaluation, self-evaluation, and student peer review [16]. Table 1 shows the designed teaching process of this lesson.

![Fig. 3. Students ask each other through projection and whiteboard](image)
### Table 1. English teaching process

| Teaching session   | Teacher behavior                          | Student behavior                          | Activity target                                      |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Course import      | Teacher asks both students                | Students answer questions and show their work | Attract students’ attention and make it easy for both students |
| Teachers show      | Teachers show PPT courseware, play recordings, teachers read | Students read the word                     | Master the pronunciation and spelling of new words  |
| Import sentence pattern | The teacher plays the animation, eliciting sentences | Students read and act accordingly | Make students familiar with sentence patterns      |
| Group competition  | Both groups of students conduct connection games | Students actively raise their hands to participate in the contest | Inspire students’ interest in learning and deepen their memory |
| Class test         | Both classmates answer questions on the electronic whiteboard | Students answer questions by listening to the recording | Deepen the memory of words and sentences |
| Teachers summarize the assignment | Teachers summarize what they have learned and arrange assignments | Follow teacher’s thinking to comb the knowledge learned | Summarize the course and evaluate students |

3.2 Analysis of the urban-rural synchronous distance teaching effects

**Video and audio transmission quality**

### Table 2. Video, audio quality class record table

| Time   | Main site video and audio transmission | Vice venue video and audio transmission |
|--------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|        | Whether the video is smooth | Whether the audio is smooth | Whether video and audio are synchronized | Whether the video is smooth | Whether the audio is smooth | Whether video and audio are synchronized |
|        | F | S | F | S | F | S | F | S | F | S |
| 1-3    | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 4-6    | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 7-9    | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 10-12  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 13-15  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 16-18  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 19-21  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 22-24  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 25-27  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 28-30  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 31-33  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 34-36  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 37-39  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 40-42  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| 43-45  | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| Proportion% | 73 | 92 | 86 | 93 | 60 | 92 | 80 | 93 | 79 | 100 | 60 | 93 |

*F here represents first round; S here represents second round.*
The smooth and clear video and audio transmission is the prerequisite for the successful implementation of the urban-rural synchronous distance teaching [16] and also the most basic requirement for guaranteeing the effect of classroom teaching. Therefore, the author recorded the quality of video and audio transmission in classroom teaching, as shown in Table 2.

According to regular observation, in the two experimental classes with the urban school as the main teaching field, the time of smooth video and audio transmission took up more than 73% of the whole class time in the urban classroom, and over 80% in the rural classroom, which basically met the teaching requirements, but the video and audio synchronization time in the first experimental class only accounted for 60% of the whole class time, a lot less than the percentage 93% in the second experimental class, as shown in Fig.4 and Fig.5. This shows that the video and audio synchronization problem need to be further addressed in the urban-rural distance teaching; otherwise, it will affect the effects of classroom teaching.

![Fig. 4. Main site video and audio transmission changes](http://www.i-jet.org)

![Fig. 5. Vice venue video and audio transmission changes](http://www.i-jet.org)
Interactions between teachers and students. Table 3 records the teacher-student interactions in the main and receiving classrooms in the urban-rural synchronous distance teaching. It can be seen that the leading teacher tutored individual students in the main classroom for 3 and 4 times, respectively, while the teacher in the receiving classroom gave 0 tutoring. This shows that teachers at the receiving end should improve their tutoring in the urban-rural distance teaching. In terms of students answering teachers’ questions, the students on both sides had similar performance, but overall, urban students answered more questions. In addition, in terms of raising hands, rural students were more active than urban ones, indicating that rural students have great enthusiasm for this learning model, but as it was distance teaching, they got few chances to answer the questions. Therefore, in the future teaching process, teachers should balance and coordinate the participation rates of the students in urban and rural areas in order not to discourage rural students [17].

Table 3. Teacher-student interaction level record

| Interaction situation                  | The main venue | Vice venue |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
|                                       | First round    | Second round| First round | Second round |
| Number of tutoring students individually | 3              | 4          | 0           | 0            |
| Students answer teacher questions      | 38             | 33         | 26          | 27           |
| Number of student teacher questions   | 27             | 24         | 25          | 22           |
| The number of students raising their hands | 985            | 789        | 1022        | 853          |

Questionnaire on the teaching effects of urban-rural interactive teaching on rural students

Whether the students like this class. From the survey results in Fig.6, it can be seen that 89% of the students expressed their preference for this kind of urban-rural interactive teaching mode. They believed that urban teachers taught more interesting content and used better methods than rural teachers, and 85% of students expressed that they would like to receive this kind of teaching again in the future.

![Fig. 6. Do you like today's class?](image)

Whether there is any change in their interest. Regarding the question of whether the urban-rural distance teaching has any influence on your interest in learning, the survey
results show that 70% of students thought that they were more interested in this learning model and that only 11% of them believed that such learning model would diminish their learning interest, as shown in Fig. 7. This is probably because these students did not get used to communicating with the teacher across the screen or felt that they got few chances to participate in the classroom interaction, but most students indicated that this teaching model could improve the activity level of the class and increase their learning interest.

![Fig. 7. Whether learning interest changes](http://www.i-jet.org)

### 4 Conclusions

This paper attempts to study the effects of innovative English classroom teaching based on online computer technology. Through research and analysis of related literatures at home and abroad, this paper establishes an urban-rural synchronous distance teaching model based on online computer technology. The specific conclusions are as follows:

1. On the basis of the theoretical research on urban-rural distance teaching, this paper establishes an urban-rural synchronous distance teaching model based on online computer technology and describes its components in detail.
2. According to the urban-rural synchronous distance teaching model, it designs the detailed teaching process for the English course at the fourth grade in primary school, and implements this process to verify the teaching effect of the model.
3. According to the teaching case and the investigation results of the classroom and post-class teaching effects, this model is proved to have good teaching effects and can promote the enthusiasm of the students in rural middle and primary schools in learning English.
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