1. Introduction

Pyrochlore oxides are a topic of great interest because of the intriguing magnetic properties that originate from their frustrated magnetic lattice [1–7]. Pyrochlores are compounds of the general formula $A_2B_2O_7$ (where $A$ and $B$ are metals) and have a face-centred cubic structure with space group $Fd\overline{3}m$ (no. 227). The majority of pyrochlore oxides belong to the $(3+, 4+)$ type $A^{3+}_2B^{4+}_2O_7$, where the $A$ sites are occupied by trivalent cations located in the centre of scalenohedra (distorted cubes) of oxygen anions, and the $B$ sites are occupied by tetravalent transition metal ions situated in the centre of oxygen octahedra [8]. Depending on the nature and strength of the magnetic moment and interactions, pyrochlore oxides can display a wide variety of magnetic ground states [4], ranging from spin ice [2, 9, 10], where the spin correlations lead to a Coulomb phase [11, 12] with emergent magnetostatics [13, 14], through spin frozen states [15–17], to long-range ordered states (see [4] and references therein).

In addition, one of the most intriguing areas of current research concerns materials which exhibit quantum spin liquid (QSL) ground states, as has been pointed out in recent studies on pyrochlores based on $Yb^{3+}$ [18–20] (although this case is under debate [21, 22]), $Pr^{3+}$ [23–27] and $Ce^{3+}$ [28]. The candidate materials for the realization of QSL states are based on rare-earth ions that develop relatively small magnetic moments. The reason for this is that, for small magnetic moments, the transverse terms in the effective spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ Hamiltonian on the pyrochlore lattice [18, 29], which are responsible for the stabilization of quantum phases [7, 24, 30–32], are not overwhelmed by the dipolar interaction that leads to classical spin ice when the dipolar interaction dominates.

The synthesis of large, high quality single crystals of pyrochlore oxides, and in particular rare-earth titanates [33–35],
and some rare-earth molybdates [36, 37] and zirconates [24] [38–40] has been accomplished using the floating-zone technique. This success has allowed real and rapid progress to be made in the investigation of frustrated magnets, with some very interesting magnetic properties being unearthed. All the members of the titanate pyrochlore family have been thoroughly investigated in recent years, while the molybdate [36, 37, 41, 42] and zirconate [24, 27, 38, 43, 44] series have only recently come to the attention of the research community. Recent studies have reported on the availability of large crystals of the frustrated pyrochlore magnet Nd$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ [45]. The floating zone technique is ideal to produce crystals of other members of the rare-earth hafnate pyrochlores. This is particularly appealing, since the structural and magnetic characteristics of the hafnate family have not yet been investigated in great detail.

Recent studies of the intriguing magnetic properties of the praseodymium based pyrochlores Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ [27] and Pr$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ [46] motivated us to embark upon the study of the analogous compound in the hafnate pyrochlore series, Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$. We succeeded in preparing, for the first time, single crystals of praseodymium hafnate pyrochlore using the floating-zone technique. The growth of large high quality single crystals of this oxide represents an important step in the field, and opens up a route to further investigation of this novel class of pyrochlores, with the potential to lead to an in-depth understanding of the effects of frustration in pyrochordium containing pyrochlores [25]. In this paper, we report the synthesis, structural characterization and preliminary study of the magnetic properties of single crystals of praseodymium hafnate pyrochlore, Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$.

2. Experimental section

Polycrystalline samples of Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ were prepared by conventional solid state reaction. Stoichiometric quantities of the starting materials, Pr$_6$O$_{11}$ (Chempur, 99.999%) and HfO$_2$ (Chempur, 99.95%), were mixed, ground and heated to 1300 °C for 10 h and 1550 °C for 10 h with intermediate grinding. The synthesized powder was thoroughly reground and then isostatically pressed into cylindrical rods (6–8 mm in diameter and about 60–70 mm long). The resulting rods were sintered for several days in air at 1450 °C in preparation for crystal growth experiments.

Single crystals of Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ were grown by the floating-zone technique using a four-mirror xenon arc lamp optical image furnace (CSI FZ-T-12000-X, VI-VP, Crystal Systems, Inc., Japan). The growths were performed in high purity argon at a pressure of ∼2 bars, using a growth rate of 18 mm h$^{-1}$. The feed and the seed rods were counter-rotated at around ∼20–30 rpm. Initially, a crystal boule of Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ was used as seed and once good quality crystals of Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ were obtained, the subsequent growths were carried out using crystal boules of Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ as seeds.

Powder x-ray diffraction experiments were carried out at the Swiss Light Source (SLS) using the MS beamline (powder station) [47]. A diffraction pattern of the Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ polycrystalline material (the starting material for the growth) was measured in a quartz capillary (ϕ = 0.1 mm) with the Debye–Scherer geometry and a multistrip MYTHEN II detector. The incident beam had an energy of ∼22 keV (λ = 0.564 914 Å) and the diffracted beams were measured up to 60° 2θ, with a step size of ∼0.0036° 2θ. Powder neutron diffraction experiments were carried out on the starting polycrystalline material at the Swiss Spallation Neutron Source (SINQ) using the HRPT diffractometer (λ = 1.155 Å). Diffraction was measured between 5 and 162° 2θ, with a step size of 0.05° 2θ, in a standard ‘orange’ helium cryostat. A joint Rietveld [48] refinement with equal weighting factors for the synchrotron and neutron data was performed using the FULLPROF software suite [49]. The instrumental resolution functions were determined experimentally from the measurements of small linewidth standards. A total of 23 parameters were refined: two sets of parameters independently refined for the two diffraction patterns (scale factors, zero-shifts, lattice parameter and sample contributions to the peak shapes), and 12 parameters defining the structural model (x coordinate of the 48f oxygen atom, anisotropic displacement parameters of all atoms and occupancy factors of three atomic positions).

In addition to the powder synchrotron x-ray diffraction pattern measured on the starting polycrystalline material, a measurement was also performed on a sample obtained by grinding a tiny crystal fragment from the middle of a single crystal. The drawbacks of using a powdered crystal fragment were the small amount of the resulting powder and the difficulty of grinding the crystal sample into very fine particles. The aforementioned factors hindered the realization of a full Rietveld analysis, because of insufficient powder averaging and poorly modelled lineshapes. Instead, these data were analyzed by a Le Bail decomposition [50] that was good enough to provide a precise estimate of the lattice parameter. The agreement factors for the refinement of the powder diffraction data given in the manuscript are defined in [51].

A Laue x-ray imaging system with a Photonic-Science Laue camera was used to investigate the quality of the crystal boules and orient single-crystal samples for selected experiments. A rectangular prism-shaped sample with dimensions of 2.73 × 1.81 × 1.96 mm$^3$ was cut from the Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ boule for magnetization measurements. The sample was cut so that the [1 1 0] (rhombic) and [0 0 1] (tetragonal) directions would be perpendicular to the faces of the rectangular prism. The demagnetizing factors were calculated using expressions derived by Aharoni [52].

Magnetization measurements were carried out using a Quantum Design Magnetic Property Measurement System MPMS-5S superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer, together with an i-Quantum $^3$He insert. The magnetic susceptibility, which is equal to the magnetization $M$ divided by the magnetic field $H$ in the linear field regime, was evaluated as a function of temperature in a constant applied magnetic field of 1 kOe from 0.5 to 300 K. Magnetization measurements were also performed as a function of magnetic field up to 70 kOe directed along specific crystallographic axes at various temperatures.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Crystal chemistry

Firstly, we investigated the crystal structure of \( \text{Pr}_2\text{Hf}_2\text{O}_7 \) in detail in order to confirm the relevance of this material as a model pyrochlore magnet. We used the polycrystalline sample prepared as the starting material for the crystal growth for these experiments. Diffraction patterns were measured using synchrotron x-ray (figure 1(a)) and neutron (figure 1(b)) radiation and refined together against the pyrochlore structure (space group \( \text{Fd}3\text{m} \), origin choice 2). The Rietveld procedure converges rapidly and the conventional agreement factors for Rietveld refinements [51] are \( R_p = 1.70 \); \( R_{wp} = 2.04 \); \( R_{\text{Bragg}} = 2.66 \); and \( R_F = 7.45 \). Conventional Rietveld factors for pattern (c) (%): \( R_p = 3.88 \); \( R_{wp} = 5.19 \); \( R_{\text{Bragg}} = 4.24 \); and \( R_F = 2.58 \). Conventional Rietveld factors for pattern (c) (%): \( R_p = 3.88 \); \( R_{wp} = 5.19 \); \( R_{\text{Bragg}} = 3.91 \); and \( R_F = 2.55 \).

Figure 1. Rietveld refinement of powder: (a) synchrotron x-ray and (b), (c) neutron diffraction data collected at 300 K ((a) and (b)) and 1.5 K ((c)). Red, black and green represent the experimental data, the fit and the difference between the data and the fit respectively, while the blue ticks indicate the Bragg positions. The incident wavelengths are \( \lambda = 0.621\,418 \,\text{Å} \) ((a)) and \( \lambda = 1.155 \,\text{Å} \) ((b) and (c)). Patterns (a) and (b) are jointly refined against the common structural model given in table 1. The low-temperature structure corresponding to pattern (c) is presented in table 2. Conventional Rietveld factors for pattern (a) (%): \( R_p = 1.70 \); \( R_{wp} = 2.04 \); \( R_{\text{Bragg}} = 2.66 \); and \( R_F = 7.45 \). Conventional Rietveld factors for pattern (b) (%): \( R_p = 3.88 \); \( R_{wp} = 5.19 \); \( R_{\text{Bragg}} = 4.24 \); and \( R_F = 2.58 \). Conventional Rietveld factors for pattern (c) (%): \( R_p = 3.88 \); \( R_{wp} = 5.19 \); \( R_{\text{Bragg}} = 3.91 \); and \( R_F = 2.55 \).
neutron scattering lengths \(b_{\text{Pr}} = 4.58(5)\) fm, \(b_{\text{Hf}} = 7.77(14)\) fm and \(b_{\text{O}} = 5.805(4)\) fm. The results are summarized in table 1 and are in good agreement with previously published data [53, 54].

The crystal structure at 300 K is shown in figure 2. The lattice parameter obtained from the powder synchrotron x-ray data is 10.684 11 Å, obtained from the refinement of the neutron diffraction pattern. The results of the refinement at 1.5 K (which is presented in figure 1(c)) are summarized in table 2. At 1.5 K the Pr–O(48f) bond length is 2.5937(6) Å and the Pr–O’(8b) bond has a length of 2.309 179(6) Å.

### Table 1. Structural parameters for a polycrystalline sample of \(\text{Pr}_2\text{Hf}_2\text{O}_7\) determined from a joint Rietveld refinement of synchrotron x-ray and neutron diffraction data measured at 300 K (space group \(Fd\bar{3}m\), origin choice 2).

| \(T = 300\text{ K}\) | \(x\) | \(y\) | \(z\) | Occupancy |
|------------------------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Pr (16d) | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.994(12) |
| Hf (16c) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| O (48f) | 0.375 | 0.375 | 0.375 | 1.024(15) |
| \(O'\) (8b) | 0.332 47(7) | 0.125 | 0.125 | 1.012(27) |

### 3.2 Crystal growth

Crystals of \(\text{Pr}_2\text{Hf}_2\text{O}_7\) were successfully grown by the floating-zone method, using similar growth conditions to those used for preparing \(\text{Pr}_2\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_7\) crystal boules [38, 55]. One of the difficulties associated with the growth of praseodymium related compounds is the evaporation of \(\text{Pr}_2\text{O}_3\) during the crystal growth process, which can cause a decrease in the Pr content in the single crystals [24, 38, 55]. This phenomena can be avoided by employing a high growth rate and performing the growth in a pressurized gas atmosphere (inside a quartz tube) to suppress the evaporation [38]. The crystal growth of \(\text{Pr}_2\text{Hf}_2\text{O}_7\) was performed in high purity argon gas in order to facilitate the reduction of the \(\text{Pr}^{4+}\) ions to \(\text{Pr}^{3+}\) (see [38] and [39], and references therein). The \(\text{Pr}_2\text{Hf}_2\text{O}_7\) crystals obtained were typically 5–7 mm in diameter and 60–85 mm long. The crystals developed well defined facets within the first few
millimetres of the growth and the boules obtained were free of any cracks. No deposition was observed on the quartz tube surrounding the sample during the growth process, suggesting that no evaporation occurred during any of the growths. All the praseodymium hafnate boules were transparent to light, with a bright green colour. A photograph of an as-grown crystal of $\text{Pr}_2\text{Hf}_2\text{O}_7$ is shown in figure 3(a). The crystal quality of the boules was investigated by Laue x-ray diffraction, and Laue photographs were taken along the length of the boule, on the faceted sides (see figure 3(a)). The Laue patterns were identical along the whole length of the faceted faces and, in most cases, the [1 1 0] direction was almost orthogonal to one of the facets. A Laue photograph taken on an aligned sample of $\text{Pr}_2\text{Hf}_2\text{O}_7$ used for magnetic properties measurements is shown in figure 3(b).

The powder synchrotron x-ray diffraction pattern of a ground fragment taken from the middle of the specimen shown in figure 3(a) was refined against the pyrochlore lattice (figure 4). The pattern matches very well with the cubic pyrochlore phase and no impurity peaks were present. Furthermore, the super-lattice reflections that are the characteristic trademarks of the pyrochlore structure are clearly visible in the x-ray diffraction pattern. The lattice parameter (10.677 04(3) Å) was found to be slightly smaller than the value of 10.684 11(2) Å obtained at the same temperature and using the same method for our polycrystalline material (figure 1(a)). A difference in the value of the lattice parameter between the polycrystalline and single crystal samples has also been reported for the $\text{Pr}_2\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_7$ pyrochlore [38]. The smaller lattice parameter observed in the single crystals may be attributed to a very small difference in the stoichiometry of polycrystalline and single crystal samples. We note, however, that we could not find evidence of different physical behaviour in the powder and single crystal samples, which appears to be consistent with the good agreement between our heat capacity data taken on single crystal samples [56] and other data recently reported for powder samples [57].

| $T = 1.5\,\text{K}$ | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| $\text{Pr}(16d)$    | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| $\text{Hf}(16c)$    | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| $\text{O}(48f)$     | 0.375 | 0.375 | 0.375 |
| $\text{O'}(8b)$     | 0.33301(7) | 0.125 | 0.125 |

Table 2. Structural parameters for a polycrystalline sample of $\text{Pr}_2\text{Hf}_2\text{O}_7$ obtained from a Rietveld refinement of powder neutron diffraction data measured at 1.5 K (space group $Fd\overline{3}m$, origin choice 2). The results are given assuming all the occupancy factors are equal to unity.

| ADPs in Å$^2$ | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{23}$ |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| $\text{Pr}(16d)$ | 0.00334  | 0.00334  | 0.00334  | 0.00062  | 0.00062  | 0.00062  |
| $\text{Hf}(16c)$ | 0.00116  | 0.00116  | 0.00116  | −0.00015 | −0.00015 | −0.00015 |
| $\text{O}(48f)$ | 0.00480  | 0.00460  | 0.00460  | 0         | 0         | 0.00008  |
| $\text{O'}(8b)$ | 0.00419  | 0.00419  | 0.00419  | 0         | 0         | 0         |

Figure 3. (a) Crystal of $\text{Pr}_2\text{Hf}_2\text{O}_7$ grown in a high purity argon atmosphere, at a pressure of $\sim 2$ bars and a translation rate of 18 mm h$^{-1}$. Also shown above the image of the crystal are the Laue patterns of one of the facets, taken along the crystal length at $\sim 2$ cm intervals, between the end (left) and the beginning (right) of the boule. The corresponding Laue patterns taken on the facet at 180 degrees are mirror images of these patterns. (b) Laue back reflection x-ray photograph of an aligned sample (showing the [001] orientation) used for the magnetic properties measurements discussed in this work.
3.3. Magnetic properties

Field-cooled (FC) and zero-field-cooled (ZFC) magnetization versus temperature data were collected on a Pr$_3$Hf$_2$O$_{22}$ rectangular prism-shaped single crystal aligned along the three high symmetry crystallographic directions ([1 0 0], [1 1 0] and [1 1 1]). The data were corrected for demagnetization effects \[ N = 0.38, 0.35 \text{ and } 0.25 \], respectively, where $H = H_{\text{applied}} - 4\pi MN$. Figure 5 shows the temperature dependence of the dc magnetic susceptibility, $\chi(T)$, and the reciprocal dc magnetic susceptibility $\chi^{-1}(T)$. The data measured along the different crystallographic axes in 1 kOe reveal a monotonic and highly isotropic (within experimental error) increase upon cooling from $T = 300$ to 0.5 K, and the absence of any anomaly that might indicate a magnetic transition. The $\chi^{-1}(T)$ data do not obey a Curie–Weiss law in the temperature range 0.5–300 K, although fits could be made over a reduced temperature range (0.5–10 K) (see figure 5(b) (inset)). It was found that the results of the fits depend on the exact temperature range over which the fit is performed. These results highlight the importance of investigating the crystal electric field (CEF) scheme in these systems. The crystal field splitting of Pr$^{3+}$ in Pr$_3$Hf$_2$O$_{22}$ was determined and the results are described elsewhere [56]. In Pr$_3$Hf$_2$O$_{22}$ the first excited level is about 9.2 meV $\sim$107 K above the ground state doublet, meaning that information concerning the magnetic interactions can be deduced from a Curie–Weiss analysis well below this temperature. Accordingly, a fit of the magnetic susceptibility to a Curie–Weiss law was made in the temperature range from 0.5 to 10 K, yielding a Curie–Weiss temperature of $\theta_W = -0.43(1)$ K for a magnetic field applied along the [1 1 1] direction. This indicates the presence of antiferromagnetic interactions that are slightly weaker than in Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$, where $\theta_W = -1.4(1)$ K [27]. The Pr$^{3+}$ effective moment is estimated to be $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.51(1)\mu_B$, a similar value to the one found in Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ [27, 38]. The calculated values of the Curie–Weiss temperature $\theta_W$, and of the effective moment $\mu_{\text{eff}}$, are in

![Figure 4. Powder synchrotron x-ray diffraction pattern collected on a ground crystal of Pr$_3$Hf$_2$O$_{22}$ at 300 K. The experimental profile (red) and a Le Bail decomposition (black) are shown, with the difference given in green. The Bragg positions are indicated by the blue ticks.](image)

![Figure 5. (a) Temperature dependence of the dc magnetic susceptibility, $\chi$, versus $T$, in the temperature range 0.5–300 K for a crystal of Pr$_3$Hf$_2$O$_{22}$, with a magnetic field applied along the [1 0 0] (red), [1 1 0] (black) and [1 1 1] (orange) directions. (b) Temperature dependence of the reciprocal of the bulk dc susceptibility, $\chi^{-1}$ versus $T$, for a field applied along the three high symmetry directions. The inset shows $\chi^{-1}$ versus $T$ and the linear fit (using the Curie–Weiss law) to the data in the temperature range 0.5–10 K for a magnetic field applied along the [1 1 1] direction.](image)
agreement with those determined by detailed investigation of the low temperature magnetic properties of our Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ crystals [56]. We note the apparent discrepancy between the negative Curie–Weiss temperature and the fact that the physics of Pr-based pyrochlores appears to be related to spin ice [27, 56, 58]. However, using inelastic neutron spectroscopy [58], it was recently established that in Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ the parameters of the Hamiltonian for Pr-based pyrochlores [25] lead to a phase where quadrupolar correlations can overcome the antiferromagnetic exchange and account for the spin ice-like structure factor.

The magnetization measured along the three directions as a function of applied magnetic field $M(H)$ at various temperatures is shown in figure 6. The data collected at 1.8 K or below (see figures 6(a) and (b)) reveal a nonlinear response of the magnetization as the applied field increases. Furthermore, the field dependence of the magnetization appears to be reversible, with no hysteresis between the field-increasing and field-decreasing $M(H)$ curves. The magnetization measured with a magnetic field applied along the [1 0 0] direction is the highest in strong magnetic fields, whilst the [1 1 0] direction gives the lowest magnetization values. These results suggest similarities in terms of the local anisotropy of the magnetic moments between the Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ pyrochlore and classical spin ice systems such as Dy$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ and Ho$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ [59, 60]. However, the values of the magnetic moments measured for the three crystallographic directions at the maximum applied field are smaller than the values of the expected saturated moments for a classic spin ice configuration [59]. A similar local Ising behaviour was also observed in the related praseodymium zirconate pyrochlore, Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ [27, 38, 43]. In Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ the magnetization response is temperature dependent (see figures 6(a)–(f)), with the strongly anisotropic response only observed below about 10 K. Finally, we note the absence of evidence for a magnetization plateau developing in the $M(H)$ curve when the field is applied along the [1 1 1] direction, as opposed to observations made at much lower temperature [56]. The discrepancy only arises from the difference in temperature, because the apparent ferromagnetic correlations only appear below 0.5 K in this system [56].

4. Summary

We successfully prepared large, high quality single crystals of the novel frustrated pyrochlore magnet Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ by the floating-zone technique, using a growth rate of 18 mm h$^{-1}$ in a high purity argon atmosphere, at a pressure of ∼2 bars. Powder x-ray diffraction studies confirmed that the crystal boules were of a single-phase pyrochlore $Fd3m$ structure. The quality of our Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ single crystals appeared to be very high according to several criteria (colour homogeneity, absence of cracks, transparency, and quality and spatial homogeneity of the x-ray Laue diffraction patterns). The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility measured in a low magnetic field showed an isotropic behaviour without any sign of long-range magnetic ordering down to 0.5 K. The field dependence of the isothermal magnetization revealed an anisotropic behaviour at low temperature, indicating a spin ice type of anisotropy. This magnetic response is similar to that seen in the related pyrochlore praseodymium zirconate, Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$. However, in contrast to the Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ pyrochlore in which recent results point to the existence of a certain degree of disorder [58], the investigations performed on our Pr$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ crystals showed a structure with no cationic or...
anionic deficiencies. A recent determination of the crystal field scheme in Pr$_5$Hf$_4$O$_{22}$ using neutron spectroscopy on polycrystalline samples [56] confirmed the nature of the anisotropy deduced for the bulk measurements presented here. The Pr$_5$Hf$_4$O$_{22}$ crystals produced are ideal for further investigation of the low temperature magnetism via neutron scattering techniques.
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