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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare microdebrider-assisted turbinoplasty versus endoscopic partial turbinectomy in cases of inferior turbinate hypertrophy in allergic rhinitis patients in terms of relief/improvement of nasal obstruction, post operative bleeding, crusting and synechie formation.

Study Design: Quasi experimental study.

Place and Duration of Study: Combined Military Hospital Mardan and Combined Military Hospital Malir, from Jan 2019 to Jan 2020.

Methodology: A total of 90 patients of allergic rhinitis with severe nasal obstruction due to bilateral inferior turbinate hypertrophy fulfilling the inclusion exclusion criteria were selected. Cases were randomly divided into two groups of 45 each. Group A cases underwent microdebrider assisted turbinoplasty and Group B cases underwent partial turbinectomy via endoscopic approach. They were compared in terms of post op bleeding, relief of nasal obstruction, post op crusting & synechie/ adhesions. All the data was entered on SPSS-17 and analyzed.

Results: Out of 90 cases, there were 43 (47.8%) females and 47 (52.2%) males with age range from 15-65, mean age 37.68 ± 11.56 Years. There was only 1 case of post op bleeding after microdebrider assisted turbinoplasty requiring nasal packing in contrast to 6 cases of post op bleeding after endoscopic partial turbinectomy. On one month post op visit, there was no case of nasal crusting in turbinoplasty group in contrast to 7 of mild and 1 of moderate crusting & 3 synechie/adhesions in endoscopic partial turbinectomy group.

Conclusion: Microdebrider-assisted turbinoplasty is associated with less post operative bleeding and synechie formation as compared to endoscopic turbinectomy.
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INTRODUCTION

Allergic rhinitis has been classified into perennial and seasonal types. Perennial allergic rhinitis persists throughout the year in contrast to seasonal variety in which symptoms occur during specific seasons. However, all the patients do not fit into this classification scheme. Therefore, allergic rhinitis is now also classified according to duration of symptoms (intermittent or persistent) and severity (mild, moderate or severe). Rhinitis is called intermittent rhinitis when the duration of the episode of inflammation is less than 6 weeks, and is considered persistent when symptoms continue throughout the year. Prevalence of allergic rhinitis has been reported as 24.62% in Pakistan. However much higher prevalence has been reported in USA and Europe, as high as 40%. Symptoms are classified as mild when patients are generally able to sleep normally and perform normal activities of daily life including work or school. Symptoms are categorized as moderate to severe if they significantly affect sleep and activities of daily routine. Severe allergic rhinitis has been associated with change in quality of life and decreased performance at work. A thorough history and physical examination constitute the basis of diagnosing allergic rhinitis. Nasal obstruction in allergic rhinitis patients is a source of constant discomfort and worry for the individuals. Nasal obstruction is due to inferior turbinate hypertrophy in majority of such cases and is persistent. Inferior turbinate is targeted to amplify and enhance space for airway with medical and surgical options. Alpha agonist including oxymetazoline, xylometazoline etc are prescribed to decongest nasal
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mucosa to relieve nasal obstruction. But they can only be used for a short duration because of rebound congestion and further nasal obstruction after continuous use.\(^8\) Topical corticosteroids are also used with varying results, some cases show slight improvement and others are even refractory to the medical treatment. Surgical resection offers the only permanent solution. Surgical procedures for inferior turbinates include conventional partial & total turbinectomy, submucosal resection, submucosal diathermy, endoscopic turbinectomy, microdebrider assisted turbinoplasty, radio frequency assisted turbinoplasty and lasers assisted turbinectomy.\(^9\)

Previously (before advent of endoscopic technology), turbinatectomy was performed by otorhinolaryngologists as more of a blind procedure, major part of turbinate inside nasal cavity being invisible to naked eye, with turbinectomy scissors. This caused more mucosal damage and resulted in frequent peroperative and post operative nasal bleeding. In addition, post operatively nasal packing had to be done which not only caused discomfort, headache, restlessness, insomnia, frequent post operative sinusitis but also aggravated any comorbid conditions in high risk patients i.e. hypertensives/heart patients.\(^10\)

We have carried out this study to compare these two procedures of inferior turbinate resection/volume reduction i.e. endoscopic partial turbinectomy versus microdebrider assisted turbinoplasty. The study will help otorhinolaryngologists to opt for the better procedure with less post operative discomfort, and morbidities to the patients.

**METHODOLGY**

The quasi experimental study was carried out at Combined Military Hospital (CMH) Mardan and CMH Malir, from January 2019 to January 2020. Total 90 patients of allergic rhinitis with nasal obstruction due to bilateral inferior turbinate hypertrophy fulfilling the inclusion exlusion criteria were selected from outpatient department of hospitals. Epitool software was used to calculate sample size. Using Epitool sample size calculator, estimated population was 0.06%,\(^11\) desired precision was 0.05%, confidence interval 95%. Calculated sample size was 87, we took 90 cases as sample size for the study and divided them into two groups of 45 each. Sampling technique was non probability convenient sampling. Patients of allergic rhinitis of any gender, older than 15 years of age with severe nasal obstruction due to bilateral inferior turbinate hypertrophy were included in study. Patients with nasal obstruction due to deviated nasal septum, nasal adhesions, synechie, septal perforations were excluded. Similarly patients who had undergone surgery for turbinate hypertrophy previously were also excluded along with patients with bleeding disorders, liver or renal failure.

Informed consent was taken from all the cases. The cases were randomly divided into two groups of 45 each. Group A cases underwent microdebrider assisted turbinoplasty and Group B cases underwent partial turbinectomy via endoscopic approach. In group A patients, 2% lignocaine with adrenaline was infiltrated at the anterior end of inferior turbinate. A small incision was made with number 15 blade. Microdebrider unit was set at 3000 rouds per minute oscillating mode and used advanced antero-posteriorly in the inferior turbinate, staying submucosally. Bipolar cautery was used to secure/control bleeding preoperatively, if any. In group B cases, local anesthesia was infiltrated with cotton pledges soaked in 4% lignocaine and 0.1% xylometazoline. Partial turbinectomy was done under vision, working with zero degree endoscope and fine turbinectomy scissors. The medial hypertrophied part of inferior turbinate was resected and hemostasis achieved with bipolar diathermy carefully. No nasal packing was done in patients after both procedures. Post operatively all cases were given oral antibiotic Coamoxiclav, oral paracetamol as pain killer, xylometazine hydrochloride nasal spray and liquid paraffin nasal drops for one week. No nasal splints were placed post operatively in both groups. Total 90 cases were distributed equally in both hospitals i.e out of total 45 cases of group A were done in CMH Malir and 45 cases of group B were done in CMH Mardan.

Postoperatively, both groups were compared in terms of efficacy and complications. All the cases were checked postoperatively; on operation day evening, 1st post operative day, at fortnight and one month post op. Post operative bleeding was considered to be present if sufficient bleed occurred resulting in nasal packing and was said to be absent; if no nasal packing was required. Patients were kept admitted for 24 hours then discharged. They were advised to report immediately if nasal bleeding occurred.

Efficacy was assessed by relief of nasal obstruction. Relief of nasal obstruction was categorized into marked improvement/relief, moderate improvement/relief, mild improvement/relief and no improvement/relief. Patient was asked to categorize the relief in nasal obstruction from grade 0 (no relief), grade 1 (mild
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relief), grade 2 (moderate relief) and grade 3 (marked relief) in nasal obstruction. Efficacy of procedures was checked on 02 weeks post op visit and one month post op visit. Crusting was categorized into mild, moderate and severe depending on post operative nasal crusts cleared from nose during cleansing by patient. Patient was asked to grade the amount of crusting from none (grade 0), mild (grade 1), moderate (grade 2) and marked (grade 3). Crusting was also assessed on 02 weeks post op visit and one month post op visit. Nasal adhesions/synechiae are bands of scars and tissues between nasal septum and lateral nasal wall, formed after nasal surgeries. Postoperative nasal examination via anterior rhinoscopy was done to assess/see the cases with synechiae/adhesions on one month post op visit because it takes >2 weeks for formation of adhesions.

Ethical committee permission was taken for subject study (ERB no. 05-2/19). All the data including, age, gender, efficacy, post operative complications i.e. pain, bleeding, crusting and synechiae/adhesions were analyzed with help of Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 17. Chi square was used to analyze qualitative variables and independent t-test was used for quantitative variables. A p-value less than 0.05 was taken as significant.

RESULTS

A total of 90 cases of allergic rhinitis with inferior turbinate hypertrophy, refractory to medical treatment were operated. There were 43 (47.8%) females and 47 (52.2%) males with age range from 15-65 years. Mean age was 37.68 ± 11.56 years. The cases were randomly divided into two groups of 45 each. Group A cases underwent turbinoplasty with microdebrider and group B cases underwent endoscopic partial turbinectomy. Both the groups were comparable in terms of age and gender as shown in Table-I.

| Gender   | Group A | Group B | Total  | P-value |
|----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| Male     | 24 (53.3%) | 23 (51.1%) | 47 (52.2%) | 1.000   |
| Female   | 21 (46.7%) | 22 (48.9%) | 43 (47.8%) |         |
| Total    | 45 (50%) | 45 (50%) | 90     |         |

Both the groups were compared in terms of post operative bleeding, post operative crusting & improvement/relief of nasal obstruction at two weeks and one month duration. There was only 1 (2.2%) case of post op bleeding after microdebrider assisted turbinoplasty requiring nasal packing. In contrast there were 6 (13.3%) cases of post op bleeding after endoscopic partial turbinectomy requiring nasal packing. There were only 09 (20%) cases of mild nasal crusting after turbinoplasty on 02 weeks post op visit in contrast to 15 (33.3%) cases of mild crusting, 18 (40%) of moderate and 11 (24.4%) of marked crusting on 2 weeks post op visit. There were 02 (4.4%) cases of moderate improvement in nasal obstruction, 43 (95.6%) of marked improvement after turbinoplasty. There were 3 (6.7%) cases of mild improvement, 8 (17.8%) of moderate improvement and 34 (75.6%) of marked improvement/relief in nasal obstruction.

On one month post op visit, there was no case of nasal crusting in Turbinoplasty group in contrast to 7 (15.6%) of mild and 1 (2.2%) of moderate crusting in endoscopic partial turbinectomy group. Similarly, 44 (97.8%) patients said they had marked improvement and 1 (2.2%) had moderate improvement/relief of nasal obstruction after turbinoplasty as compared to 4 (8.9%) of mild improvement, 3 (6.7%) of moderate and 38 (84.4%) of marked improvement after endoscopic turbinectomy as shown in Table-II.

Post operative synechie formation which is a known complication of nasal surgery was also compared in these two procedures. There were 3 (6.7%) cases of post op synechie after endoscopic partial turbinectomy, however there were none after turbinoplasty.

DISCUSSION

Inferior turbinates are important anatomical structures, playing significant role in nasal functions of warming, filtering and humidification of inhaled air. Inferior turbinate hypertrophy causes persistent nasal obstruction which is quite discomforting and worrisome for patients. Chronic nasal obstruction affects quality of life. Conventional turbinectomy also had significantly more chances of post operative crusting and synechiae/adhesions formation because of inadvertent mucosal damage. Newer procedures including endoscopic partial turbinectomy and microdebrider assisted turbinoplasty are gaining popularity because of less mucosal damage and under vision resection. These benefits of minimum mucosal damage and under vision resection offers safety to surrounding tissues in nose and less post operative bleeding and crusting.

Surgical reduction in size of turbinates via endoscopic approach and microdebrider are modern turbinate resection techniques. Older conventional methods caused extensive mucosal loss and damage to surrounding tissues. Endoscopic turbinectomy provides under
vision controlled surgical resection of hypertrophied turbinate tissue. Microdebrider offers resection of intra-turbinate hypertrophied tissue with a minimal scar at proximal end of turbinate with preservation of mucosa, thus reducing side-effects associated with mucosal damage.\textsuperscript{15} In our study, we found turbino-plasty technique better in terms of post operative complications i.e. crusting, bleeding, synechie formation but both procedures equally effective in relieving nasal obstruction.

Joniau\textit{ et al }compared powered turbinoplasty with submucosal diathermy of inferior turbinates. They found powered turbinoplasty significantly better than submucosal diathermy in terms of better long term results i.e improvement in nasal obstruction and less post operative crusting.\textsuperscript{17} Kassab\textit{ et al }, compared turbinoplasty with microdebrider versus diode laser and declared both techniques equally safe, reliable and successful with minimal post-operative complications.\textsuperscript{11}

Thimmaiah\textit{ et al }, had compared turbinectomy with turbino-plasty and turbinectomy in inferior turbinate hypertrophy, they showed less post operative complications after turbinoplasty i.e. bleeding, crusting, synechie and headache but in contrast they showed better long term relief of nasal obstruction after total turbinectomy as compared to turbinoplasty\textsuperscript{18}. Bozan\textit{ et al }, compared turbinoplasty with outfracture and bipolar cautery and found turbino-plasty more effective in reducing inferior turbinate volume.\textsuperscript{19} Turbinoplasty has excellent benefit of preserving turbinate mucosa thus greatly reducing post operative granulation formation on damaged/nude mucosa and tissues which is basis of post operative crusting and synechie/adhesion formation. Turbinoplasty also offers economy of time because of rapid removal of submucosal tissues/bone etc as compared to endoscopic partial turbinectomy which is comparatively time consuming.\textsuperscript{18,19}

**CONCLUSION**

Turbinoplasty technique was found to be better than endoscopic partial turbinectomy in terms of post operative complications i.e., crusting, bleeding, synechie formation but both procedures equally effective in relieving nasal obstruction.
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