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Abstract

Let $D$ be a division ring with the center $F$ and $D^*$ be the multiplicative group of $D$. In this paper we study locally nilpotent maximal subgroups of $D^*$. We give some conditions that influence the existence of locally nilpotent maximal subgroups in division ring with infinite center. Also, it is shown that if $M$ is a locally nilpotent maximal subgroup that is algebraic over $F$, then either it is the multiplicative group of some maximal subfield of $D$ or it is center by locally finite. If, in addition we assume that $F$ is finite and $M$ is nilpotent, then the second case cannot occur, i.e. $M$ is the multiplicative group of some maximal subfield of $D$.
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1 Introduction

Let $D$ be a division ring. We denote by $F$ the center and by $D^*$ the multiplicative


group of $D$. In this paper we consider only subgroups of $D^*$ and some time we say


about subgroups in a division ring with understanding that they are in fact subgroups


under multiplication (subgroups under addition could not be considered in this paper).

It is well-known that if $D$ is commutative, then $D$ may contain no maximal subgroups.


One simple example for that is the field of complex numbers. However, in the case of


noncommutativity of $D$, the question on the existence of maximal subgroups in $D$ remains


still open and it seems to be difficult. In Section 2 we study this question for the class





of division rings that are algebraic over their infinite centers. It is well-known that if


a division ring $D$ is algebraic over its center $F$ and $F$ is finite then $D$ is commutative


(see Jacobson’s Theorem in [4, p.219]). So, we restrict our consideration on division




rings, whose centers are infinite. The class of division rings we consider in this section


includes the division ring of real quaternions. We show that such division rings don’t


contain any normal maximal subgroups. As consequence of this fact, we give a series of




fields (including the field of complex numbers) whose multiplicative groups don’t have any




maximal subgroups. We show that such division rings have no locally nilpotent maximal




subgroups. Also, here we investigate some properties of division rings which influence the


existence of maximal subgroups. In Section 3 we give some characterization of locally




nilpotent maximal subgroups in a division ring $D$ that are algebraic over the center $F$ of




$D$. Here we prove that if $M$ is a locally nilpotent maximal subgroup of $D$ that is algebraic


over $F$, then either $M$ is the multiplicative group of some maximal subfield of $D$ or $M$ is


center by locally finite. Furthermore, if $F$ is finite and $M$ is nilpotent, then the second




case cannot occur, i.e. $M$ is the multiplicative group of some maximal subfield of $D$.




Throughout this paper we use the standard symbols and notation. In particular, if


$S \subseteq D$ is a nonempty subset of a division ring $D$ then $C_D(S)$ denotes the centralizer of $S$






in $D$, i.e.






\[ C_D(S) = \{ x \in D | xa = ax \text{ for all } a \in S \}. \]






If $F \subseteq K$ is a field extension and $a \in K$ is algebraic element over $F$, then we denote


by $\text{min}(F, a)$ the minimal polynomial of $a$, i.e. the irreducible monic polynomial in $F[X]$






which has $a$ as its root. If every element of $D$ is algebraic over its center $F$, then we say


that $D$ is algebraic over $F$. An element $a \in D$ is said to be radical over $F$ if there exists






some positive integer $n(a)$ depending on $a$ such that $a^{n(a)} \in F$. A subset $S \subseteq D$ is radical






over $F$ if every its element is radical over $F$.




2 A division ring with infinite center




In the first we consider a special class of division rings including the division ring of real






quaternions. In fact, for a division ring $D$ belonging to this class we assume that $D$






contains some algebraic closure of its center. As some interesting application we shall


give a series of fields whose multiplicative groups don’t have any maximal subgroups.
Lemma 2.1 Let $D$ be a division ring which is algebraic over its center $F$ and suppose that $D$ contains an algebraic closure $L$ of $F$. Then, for any element $a \in D$, there exists some element $b \in D^*$ such that $bab^{-1} \in L$.

Proof. Suppose that $a \in D$ is arbitrary. Denote by $\text{min}(F,a)$ the minimal polynomial of $a$ over $F$. Since $L$ is an algebraic closure of $F$, $\text{min}(F,a)$ has some root, say $\omega$ in $L$. Thus $a$ and $\omega$ have the same minimal polynomial over $F$. By Dickson’s Theorem [4, p. 265], there exists some element $b \in D^*$ such that $bab^{-1} = \omega \in L$.

Since the division ring $H$ of real quaternions satisfies the supposition of Lemma 2.1 above, the following result generalizes Theorem 13 in [1].

Theorem 2.1 If $D$ is a division ring as in Lemma 2.1, then, $D^*$ has no normal maximal subgroups.

Proof. Let $L \subseteq D$ be an algebraic closure of $F$ and suppose that $M$ is a normal maximal subgroup of $D^*$. Then, $D^*/M \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some prime number $p$. Consider an arbitrary element $a \in D^*$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists some element $b \in D^*$ such that $bab^{-1} \in L$. Since $L$ is an algebraic closure of $F$, the polynomial $f(X) = X^p - bab^{-1} \in L[X]$ has some root $c \in L$; hence

$$f(c) = c^p - bab^{-1} = 0.$$ 

It follows that $a = b^{-1}c^p b = (b^{-1}cb)^p \in M$. Hence $D^* = M$ that is a contradiction. ■

The following corollary gives a series of fields (including the field of complex numbers) that contain no maximal subgroups.

Corollary 2.1 Every algebraically closed field contains no maximal subgroups.

Lemma 2.2 Suppose that a finite field extension $F \subset K$ does not have proper intermediate subfields. Then:

(i) Either $K$ is separable over $F$, or

(ii) $K$ is purely inseparable over $F$. Moreover, in this case $\text{char} F = p > 0$, $K$ is radical over $F$ and $[K : F] = p$.

Proof. If there exists a separable over $F$ element $a \in K \setminus F$, then $K = F(a)$ is separable over $F$. Suppose that every element of $K \setminus F$ is inseparable over $F$. Then $K$ is purely inseparable over $F$. Clearly, in this case we have $\text{char} F = p > 0$. Now, consider some element $a \in K \setminus F$. We can find some positive integer $n = n(a)$ depending on $a$ such that $a^{p^n} \in F$. Suppose that $n$ is a minimal positive integer such that $a^{p^n} \in F$. Setting $b = a^{p^n-1}$, we have $b^p \in F$ and $b \not\in F$. It follows that $K = F(b)$ and $\text{min}(F,b) = X^p - b^p$, so $[K : F] = p$. ■

Theorem 2.2 If $D$ is a division ring as in Lemma 2.1, then $D^*$ contains no locally nilpotent maximal subgroups.
Proof. If $D$ is a field, then by Corollary 2.1 $D$ contains no maximal subgroups. Now, suppose that $D$ is noncommutative and $M$ is a locally nilpotent maximal subgroup of $D^*$. By [3, Th. 3.2], $M$ is the multiplicative group of some maximal subfield $K$ of $D$. Moreover, $M$ contains $F$. Since $D$ is noncommutative, $K \neq F$ and by [2, Th. 1], there are no proper intermediate subfields of the field extension $F \subset K$ and $\text{Gal}(K/F) = \{1_dK\}$. If $a \in K \setminus F$, then $K = F(a)$. Since $a$ is algebraic over $F$, it follows that $[K : F] = [F(a) : F] < \infty$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists some element $b \in D^*$ such that $bab^{-1} \in L$ (L is an algebraic closure of $F$, lying in $D$). Therefore, $bKb^{-1} = bF(a)b^{-1} \subseteq L$ and $bK*^{-1} \subseteq L^*$. Since $K^* = M$ is maximal in $D^*$, $bK*^{-1}$ is maximal in $D^*$. This forces $L^* = bK*^{-1}$ and consequently $L = bKb^{-1}$. Hence, one can suppose that $K = L$. In particular, it follows that $F \subset K$ is a normal extension.

By Lemma 2.2, either $K$ is separable over $F$ or $K$ is radical over $F$ and $[K : F] = p = \text{char}F > 0$. In the first case, since $K$ is normal over $F$, it follows that $F \subset K$ is a Galois extension. Therefore, $|\text{Gal}(K/F)| = [K : F] \neq 1$, that is a contradiction. In the last case, for any $u \in D^*$, there exists $v \in D^*$ such that $vuv^{-1} \in L = K$; hence $u \in v^{-1}Kv$. Since $K$ is radical over $F, u$ is radical over $F$ too. Thus, we have proved that $D$ is radical over $F$. Now, by Kaplansky’s Theorem (see [4, p. 259]), $D$ is commutative, that is again a contradiction.

Note that in [2] it was proved that the division ring of real quaternions does not contain nilpotent maximal subgroups. So, the theorem we have proved strongly generalizes this result.

Now, suppose that $M$ is a maximal subgroup of $D^*$ and $P$ is the simple subfield of $F$. Denote by $P(Z(M))$ the subfield of $D$ generated by $P \cup Z(M)$. Clearly $P(Z(M))$ is the minimal subfield of $D$ containing $Z(M)$. It was proved in [2] that $F \subseteq P(Z(M))$. Moreover, if $F$ is infinite then $Z(M) = M \cap F$ iff $P(Z(M)) = F$ (see [2, Pro. 1]). Using this fact we can prove the following result.

**Proposition 2.1** Let $D$ be a noncommutative division ring with infinite center $F$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $Z(M) = M \cap F$ for every maximal subgroup $M$ of $D^*$.

(ii) $D^*$ contains no maximal subgroups that are multiplicative groups of some division subrings of $D$.

**Proof.** Suppose that (i) holds and $M$ is a maximal subgroup of $D$ such that $K := M \cup \{0\}$ is a division subring of $D$. By [2, Pro. 1], $F = P(Z(M)) = P(Z(K)) = Z(K)$. By [2, Lem. 6], $K^*$ is self-normalized in $D^*$. So $C_D(K) = Z(K)$, hence $C_D(K) = F$. By Double Centralizer Theorem we have $C_D(C_D(K)) = K$. It follows that $K = C_D(C_D(K)) = C_D(F) = D$, that is a contradiction in view of the maximality of $M = K^*$ in $D^*$.

Conversely, suppose that $D^*$ contains no maximal subgroups that are multiplicative groups of some division subrings of $D$ and $M$ is a maximal subgroup of $D$. By setting $K := M \cup \{0\}$ we have $K \subseteq C_D(Z(M))$. So by maximality of $K^* := M$ in $D^*$, either $C_D(Z(M)^*) = K^*$ or $C_D(Z(M)^*) = D^*$. Since by supposition, $K$ is not division subring, we have $C_D(Z(M)^*) = D^*$; hence $Z(M) \subseteq F$ and consequently $Z(M) = M \cap F$. 

\[\square\]
Corollary 2.2 Let $D$ be a noncommutative division ring that is algebraic over its center $F$. If $Z(M) = M \cap F$ for every maximal subgroup $M$ of $D^*$, then $D$ contains no locally nilpotent maximal subgroups.

Proof. Suppose that $Z(M) = M \cap F$ for every maximal subgroup $M$ of $D^*$. If $M$ is a locally nilpotent maximal subgroup of $D^*$, then by [3, Th. 3.2], $M \cup \{0\}$ is the maximal subfield of $D$ that is a contradiction to the conclusion of Proposition 2.1 above.

Note that in [2] it was proved that in the division ring of real quaternions for every maximal subgroup $M$ we have $Z(M) = M \cap F$. Hence, in view of Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 above we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.3 The division ring $H$ of real quaternions contains no maximal subgroups that are multiplicative groups of some division subrings of $H$. Also, $H$ contains no locally nilpotent maximal subgroups.

Note that the last assertion of this corollary could be also followed from Theorem 2.2 above.

3 A division ring with finite center

Let $D$ be a noncommutative division ring with finite center $F$. In this section we give some characterization of nilpotent maximal subgroups of $D^*$ that are algebraic over $F$.

Lemma 3.1 Let $D$ be a noncommutative division ring with center $F$ and suppose that $M$ is a locally nilpotent maximal subgroup of $D^*$ that is algebraic over $F$. Then, one of the following cases occurs:

(i) Either $F^* \subseteq M$ and there exists a maximal subfield $K$ of $D$ such that $M = K^*$ or,

(ii) $M$ is center by locally finite.

Proof. Since $M$ is maximal in $D^*$, either $M = F(M)^*$ or $F(M) = D$. If $M = F(M)^*$, then $F^* \subseteq M$ and by [3, Th. 2.2] $K = F(M)$ is the maximal subfield of $D$.

Now, suppose that $F(M) = D$. Since $M$ is algebraic over $F$, we have $D = F(M) = F[M]$; so $M$ is absolutely irreducible. By [5, Th. 5.7.11, p. 215], $M$ is center by locally finite.

Now, we are ready to prove the following result for a division ring with finite center.

Theorem 3.1 Let $D$ be a noncommutative division ring with center $F$ and suppose that $M$ is a nilpotent maximal subgroup of $D^*$ that is algebraic over $F$. If $F$ is finite, then $M$ is the multiplicative group of some maximal subfield of $D$.

Proof. Suppose that $F$ is finite. In view of Lemma 3.1, it suffices to show that the case (ii) cannot occur. Thus, suppose that $F(M) = D$. Since $M$ is maximal in $D^*$, we can show that $F^* \subseteq M$. If not, we have $F^*M = D^*$, so $D^* = M' \subseteq M$. Then $D^*$ is solvable and by Hua’s Theorem (see, for example [4, p. 223]) it follows that $D$ is commutative,
that is a contradiction. Therefore $F^* \subseteq M$, so $F^* = Z(M)$. By Lemma 3.1 (ii) $M/F^*$ is locally finite. Consider arbitrary elements $x, y \in M$. Then, the subgroup $\langle xF^*, yF^* \rangle$ of $M/F^*$ generated by $xF^*$ and $yF^*$ is finite. Suppose that $g$ is the restriction of the natural homomorphism $M \rightarrow M/F^*$ on the subgroup $\langle x, y \rangle$. Then, we have $\text{Ker}g = \langle x, y \rangle \cap F^*$ and $\text{Img} = \langle xF^*, yF^* \rangle$. Since $F^*$ and $\langle xF^*, yF^* \rangle$ are both finite, it follows that $\langle x, y \rangle$ is finite. Therefore $\langle x, y \rangle$ is cyclic and in particular, $x, y$ commute with each other. So, $M$ is abelian and consequently, $D = F(M)$ is commutative, that is a contradiction. $lacksquare$
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