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**ABSTRACT** The objective of this study was to investigate the efficacy and safety of 10-day bismuth quadruple therapy with amoxicillin, tetracycline, or clarithromycin and different doses of rabeprazole for first-line treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. This multicenter, randomized, parallel-controlled clinical trial was conducted between March 2013 and August 2014. A total of 431 *H. pylori*-infected patients with duodenal ulcers were enrolled and randomized into four treatment groups (1:1:1:1) for 10 days, as follows: (i) a group receiving a low dose of rabeprazole of 10 mg twice a day (b.i.d.) (LR dose) plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin (LR-BAC); (ii) a group receiving LR plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline (LR-BAT); (iii) a group receiving a high dose of rabeprazole of 20 mg b.i.d. (HR dose) plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin (HR-BAC); and (iv) a group receiving HR-BAT. Antimicrobial susceptibility was assessed by the Etest method. The primary outcome was *H. pylori* eradication at 4 weeks after the treatment. The per-protocol (PP) eradication rates in the LR-BAC, LR-BAT, HR-BAC, and HR-BAT groups were 94.1%, 91.9%, 94.8%, and 91.9%, respectively, while the intention-to-treat (ITT) eradication rates in those groups were 87.2%, 87.2%, 87.7%, and 86%, respectively. There was no significant difference between the four groups in PP analysis \(P = 0.799\) and ITT analysis \(P = 0.985\). The efficacies of four-treatment therapy were not affected by antibiotic resistance. The adverse events in the four treatment groups were similar; central nervous system (CNS) and gastrointestinal symptoms were the most common reported. Bismuth-containing quadruple therapy with low-dose rabeprazole, amoxicillin, and tetracycline is a good option for first-line treatment of *H. pylori* infection in a population with high antibiotic resistance. (This study is registered at Chinese Clinical Trials Registry [www.chictr.org.cn] under number ChiCTR1800014832.)
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*Helicobacter pylori* is the major cause of chronic gastritis, peptic ulcers, gastric adenocarcinoma, gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma, and various other digestive system diseases (1–3). Eradicating the pathogen is crucial for the prevention and treatment of these diseases (4). In China, resistance to commonly used antibiotics recommended for *H. pylori* eradication is increasing; for example, 20 to 50% of cases are resistant to clarithromycin (5, 6). With such high resistance rates, the eradication rate of the standard triple therapy for *H. pylori* infection has declined to <80%, which is unacceptable for clinical practice (7–9). The Maastricht V consensus recommends bismuth quadruple therapy as the first-line treatment protocol in regions with high rates of clarithromycin resistance (>15 to 20%) (10). In addition, tetracycline has been recommended as an anti-*H. pylori* drug worldwide as it is a cost-effective antibiotic with a low rate of resistance. Regarding the efficacy of quadruple therapy containing tetracycline and amoxicillin, results have been inconsistent. Some clinical studies have indicated that combining tetracycline and amoxicillin may reduce their eradication benefits. Nevertheless, all of these studies have some limitations, such as small sample size and lack of a control group, that compromise their reliability for assessing the value of that drug combination in *H. pylori* eradication therapy (11, 12).

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) play a critical role in *H. pylori* eradication protocols (13). However, different PPIs display various acid-suppressing effects and antibacterial activities. Rabeprazole is a type of PPI that is stable, highly efficient, and only slightly affected by the *CYP2C19* gene polymorphism. Its acid-suppressing effect is dose dependent. However, different dosages of this drug have been recommended for *H. pylori* eradication worldwide. High-dose rabeprazole (HR) (20 mg twice daily [b.i.d.]) is recommended in the Maastricht V consensus, whereas both low-dose rabeprazole (LR; 10 mg b.i.d.) and high-dose regimens are employed in China (10). Some studies have indicated that increasing the PPI dose in triple therapy containing omeprazole or lansoprazole can improve the eradication efficacy (14–16), whereas another study reported similar efficacies between low-dose and high-dose rabeprazole in triple-drug therapy (17). Thus, the appropriate dose of rabeprazole for eradication therapy remains controversial. Furthermore, it has not yet been reported whether increasing the dose of rabeprazole in quadruple-drug therapy can improve the efficacy of treatment. In this study, we investigate the efficacy and safety of a quadruple-drug therapy combining different dosages of rabeprazole, bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline for *H. pylori* infection in China.

RESULTS

**Demography.** A total of 436 eligible patients were assigned randomly to four groups (a group of 110 cases receiving LR plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin [LR-BAC]; a group of 109 cases receiving LR plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline [LR-BAT], a group of 107 cases receiving HR plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin [HR-BAC]; and a group of 110 cases receiving HR-BAT). Of these 436 patients, 5 patients were excluded from the intention-to-treat (ITT) population as they refused to take the study drugs. Thus, 431 patients received the randomized drug treatment and were enrolled in the ITT population (109 patients in the LR-BAC group, 109 in the LR-BAT group, 106 in the HR-BAC group, and 107 in the HR-BAT group). During the study, a total of 36 patients (8.4%) were excluded from the per-protocol (PP) analysis: 8 in the LR-BAC group (7.3%), 10 in the LR-BAT group (9.2%), 10 in the HR-BAC group (9.4%), and 8 in the HR-BAT group (7.5%). They were excluded primarily because of poor compliance, withdrawal of consent, or loss to follow-up (Fig. 1). The demographic and baseline characteristics of the patients involved in the ITT analysis are shown in Table 1.

**H. pylori eradication rate.** Table 2 displays the major outcomes of eradication therapies. PP analysis showed that the overall eradication rate was 93.2%, and the *H. pylori* eradication rate of each treatment group was as follows: 94.1% in the LR-BAC group, 91.9% in the LR-BAT group, 94.8% in the HR-BAC group, and 91.9% in the
HR-BAT group (Table 2). ITT analysis showed that the overall eradication rate was 87%, and the H. pylori eradication rate of each treatment group was as follows: 87.2% in the LR-BAC group, 87.2% in the LR-BAT group, 87.7% in the HR-BAC group, and 86% in the HR-BAT group (Table 2). The four therapies had comparable eradication rates (\(P = 0.7990\) in PP analysis and \(P = 0.9850\) in ITT analysis).

**Adverse events and compliance.** Patients who received at least one dose of eradication drugs were included in the adverse-event analysis. The frequencies of adverse events are summarized in Table 3. A total of 57 adverse events were recorded in 33 of 431 (7.7%) patients, representing 8 of 109 (7.3%) in the LR-BAC therapy, 5 of 109 (4.6%) in the LR-BAT therapy, 13 of 106 (12.3%) in the HR-BAC therapy, and 7 of 107 (6.5%) patients in the HR-BAT therapy. Central nervous system (CNS) symptoms, including dizziness, headache, and dysgeusia, and gastrointestinal symptoms were the most common reported adverse events. All of the adverse events were graded as mild. The differences in the overall incidence of gastrointestinal disorders among the four groups were statistically significant (\(P = 0.0370\)); the incidence of gastrointestinal disorders was highest in the HR-BAC group and lowest in the LR-BAT group.

The overall compliance rate of the four groups was 98.1% (423/431), while the compliance rates in the LR-BAC, LR-BAT, HR-BAC, and HR-BAT groups were 99.1% (108/109), 97.2% (106/109), 97.2% (103/106), and 99.1% (106/107), respectively.

**Impacts of antibiotic resistances on eradication rates.** H. pylori strains were successfully isolated from 288 patients. Drug sensitivity testing was undertaken at baseline, and the rates of resistant strains to amoxicillin, clarithromycin, and tetracycline were 4.5% (13/288), 18.4% (53/288), and 0.7% (2/288), respectively. Table 4 shows no...
differences in rates of resistance to amoxicillin, clarithromycin, and tetracycline among four treatment groups in the ITT and PP populations (P > 0.05).

Table 5 shows the impacts of antibiotic resistance on the eradication rates of each therapy in the PP population. No differences in eradication rates existed between clarithromycin-resistant and -sensitive strains and between amoxicillin-resistant and -sensitive strains in the four treatment groups. In the LR-BAC group, although patients with isolated clarithromycin resistance achieved a higher cure rate than those with isolated clarithromycin resistance (96.3% versus 85.7%), the differences failed to reach statistical significance (P = 0.185). The eradication rate of strains dually resistant to amoxicillin and clarithromycin was 100% (7/7).

**DISCUSSION**

This study performed the first multicenter, randomized, controlled trial to test whether 10-day quadruple therapy comprising low-dose rabeprazole, bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin; LR-BAT group, rabeprazole at 10 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline; HR-BAC group, rabeprazole at 20 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin; HR-BAT group, rabeprazole at 10 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline.

**TABLE 1** Baseline characteristics

| Parameter                              | Value for the groupa                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                        | LR-BAC (n = 109) | LR-BAT (n = 109) | HR-BAC (n = 106) | HR-BAT (n = 107) | P               |
| Gender                                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Male                                   | 64              | 75              | 67              | 64              | 0.4123          |
| Female                                 | 45              | 34              | 39              | 43              |                 |
| Age (yr [range])                       | 38.9 ± 12.0 (20–65) | 41.8 ± 13.1 (18–70) | 38.8 ± 12.8 (18–69) | 41.4 ± 13.5 (18–69) | 0.1895          |
| Smoking status                         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Former                                 | 1 (0.9)         | 2 (1.8)         | 0               | 0               | 0.2980          |
| Current                                | 31 (28.4)       | 39 (35.8)       | 34 (32.1)       | 43 (40.2)       | 0.3021          |
| Alcohol use                            |                 |                 |                 |                 | 0.2572          |
| Never                                  | 66 (60.6)       | 68 (62.4)       | 61 (57.5)       | 68 (63.6)       |                 |
| Sometimes                              | 40 (36.7)       | 39 (35.8)       | 36 (34.0)       | 32 (29.9)       |                 |
| Often                                  | 3 (2.8)         | 2 (1.8)         | 9 (8.5)         | 7 (6.5)         |                 |
| Drug use history                       |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Clarithromycin                         | 5 (4.6)         | 2 (1.8)         | 0               | 2 (1.9)         | 0.1300          |
| Amoxicillin                            | 47 (43.1)       | 45 (41.3)       | 45 (42.5)       | 45 (42.1)       | 0.9943          |
| Tetracycline                           | 1 (0.9)         | 4 (3.7)         | 5 (4.7)         | 0               | 0.0703          |
| Bismuth                                | 2 (1.8)         | 5 (4.6)         | 5 (4.7)         | 4 (3.7)         | 0.6576          |
| Peptic ulcer history                   | 7 (6.4)         | 14 (12.8)       | 5 (4.7)         | 9 (8.4)         | 0.1486          |
| Upper gastrointestinal bleeding history| 1 (0.9)         | 4 (3.7)         | 3 (2.8)         | 1 (0.9)         | 0.3846          |
| Chronic gastritis history              | 12 (11.0)       | 13 (11.9)       | 10 (9.4)        | 7 (6.5)         | 0.5626          |
| Family history of gastric cancer       | 2 (1.8)         | 1 (0.9)         | 0               | 4 (3.7)         | 0.1636          |

aUnless otherwise stated, values are number of patients (percentage of patients). LR-BAC group, rabeprazole at 10 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin; LR-BAT group, rabeprazole at 10 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline; HR-BAC group, rabeprazole at 20 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin; HR-BAT group, rabeprazole at 10 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline.

**TABLE 2** H. pylori eradication rate of each group in PP and ITT analysis

| Population | Eradication by group (%)b |
|------------|---------------------------|
|            | LR-BAC | 95% CI | Rate | 95% CI | Rate | 95% CI | Rate | 95% CI | Rate | 95% CI | P   |
|            |        |        | Ratec |        | Ratec |        | Ratec |        | Ratec |        |     |
| PP         | 94.1 (95/101) | 87.5–97.8 | 91.9 (91/99) | 84.7–96.4 | 94.8 (91/96) | 88.3–98.3 | 91.9 (91/99) | 84.7–96.4 | 0.7990 |
| ITT        | 87.2 (95/109) | 79.4–92.8 | 87.2 (95/109) | 79.4–92.8 | 87.7 (93/106) | 79.9–93.3 | 86.0 (92/107) | 77.9–91.9 | 0.9850 |

bPP, per protocol; ITT, intention to treat.

cPP group, rabeprazole at 10 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin; LR-BAT group, rabeprazole at 10 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline; HR-BAC group, rabeprazole at 20 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin; HR-BAT group, rabeprazole at 10 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline.

dValues in parentheses are the number of patients with a negative result on the urea breath test/total number of patients.
and 86% for the ITT population and 94.1%, 91.9%, 94.8%, and 91.9% for the PP population for the LR-BAC, LR-BAT, HR-BAC, and HR-BAT groups, respectively). These results were similar to the efficacy (ITT, 88.8%; PP, 94.9%) of a 14-day quadruple-therapy regimen (including lansoprazole, bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin), as reported recently in China (18). The eradication rates of all the groups in the ITT population were higher than 85%, indicating the acceptability of these eradication regimens. The resistance rates of \textit{H. pylori} to tetracycline and amoxicillin were low in many regions (6), but the combination of these two drugs is not recommended according to the current consensus, which may be partially due to their mutual pharmacological antagonism; the results of this study, however, suggest that the combination achieves a high eradication rate. In addition, more than 95% of patients were compliant with the study medication in the four therapy groups. Therefore, the results indicate that 10-day quadruple therapy comprising tetracycline and amoxicillin can be recommended for the first-line treatment of \textit{H. pylori} infection in the areas of high clarithromycin resistance.

Recent studies have revealed that increasing the dose of PPIs can increase the \textit{H. pylori} eradication rate (19, 20), which may be associated with the following factors: (i)
a high dose of PPI can raise the pH of the stomach and efficiently inhibit the growth of \textit{H. pylori}; (ii) some antibiotics used for \textit{H. pylori} eradication therapy, such as amoxicillin, clarithromycin, tetracycline, and levofloxacin, are pH dependent in that their antibacterial activity is significantly affected by a low-pH environment; (iii) a high dose of PPI can improve the concentrations of antibiotics in gastric mucus. Rabeprazole, a second-generation PPI, is a benzimidazole-based compound, characterized by a large dissociation constant and rapid onset. Hence, it can increase the pH value in the stomach within a short time and strengthen the effects of antibiotics in an acidic environment, and its acid-suppressing effect is dose dependent. The Maastricht V consensus recommends a dose of 10 to 20 mg b.i.d. for \textit{H. pylori} eradication therapy (10). A national multicenter study in Japan demonstrated that low-dose rabeprazole (10 mg b.i.d.) was likely to achieve efficacy similar to that of a higher dose (20 mg b.i.d.) in a rabeprazole-based triple-therapy regimen (17). Our previous study concerning a low-dose rabeprazole-based quadruple-therapy regimen (bismuth plus amoxicillin plus furazolidone) also reported a high eradication rate (PP, 92.3%; ITT, 86.1%) (21). Nevertheless, whether high-dose rabeprazole achieves a higher eradication rate and similar adverse events in a quadruple-therapy regimen has not yet been reported. In this study, we found that a quadruple regimen containing low-dose rabeprazole (LR-BAC and LR-BAT groups) was able to achieve efficacy similar to that of a regimen with a high dose (PP, >90%; ITT, >85%). Therefore, quadruple-therapy eradication regimens containing low-dose rabeprazole are applicable for \textit{H. pylori} eradication.

Antibiotic resistance is an important factor for the failure of \textit{H. pylori} eradication. A national multicenter study in Japan showed that the eradication rate of the standard triple-therapy eradication regimen containing clarithromycin was 95% (360/379) for clarithromycin-susceptible strains but only 50% (30/60) for clarithromycin-resistant strains, which suggested that the eradication regimen containing clarithromycin had substantially compromised efficacy against clarithromycin-resistant strains (22). Our results revealed that 18.4% (53/288), 4.5% (13/288), and 0.7% (2/288) of the patients were resistant to clarithromycin, amoxicillin, and tetracycline, respectively. The Maastricht V consensus recommends bismuth quadruple therapy as the first-line treatment protocol in regions with high rates of clarithromycin resistance (>15 to 20%) (10). Ideally, clarithromycin should be avoided, and a combination of alternative antibiotics for which resistance is low (e.g., amoxicillin, tetracycline, and furazolidone) should be used. Clarithromycin resistance was about 20% in each treatment group of our study. In the LR-BAC group, although clarithromycin resistance did not affect the efficacy significantly, there was trend toward a lower eradication rate (96.3% cure rate for clarithromycin-susceptible strains and 85.7% for clarithromycin-resistant strains). However, the eradication rate was high and not influenced by clarithromycin resistance in the LR-BAT and HR-BAT groups (100% cure rate for clarithromycin-resistant strains). It is not surprising that these two therapies have high eradication rates as they do not contain clarithromycin, and the rates of resistance to amoxicillin and tetracycline are low. Interestingly, HR-BAC therapy also achieved a 100% (14/14) cure rate for clarithromycin-resistant

| Group (n) | Amoxicillin | Clarithromycin | Tetracycline | Dual |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|--------------|------|
|           | Yes | No | P  | Yes | No | P  | Yes | No | P  | Yes | No | P  | Yes | No | P  |
| LR-BAC (68) | 2/2 (100) | 62/66 (93.9) | 1.000 | 12/14 (85.7) | 52/54 (96.3) | 0.185 | 0 | 64/68 (94.1) | 1 (100) | 63/67 (94.0) |
| LR-BAT (63) | 2/2 (100) | 54/61 (88.5) | 1.000 | 14/14 (100) | 42/49 (85.7) | 0.333 | 0 | 56/63 (88.9) | 2/2 (100) | 54/61 (88.5) | 1.000 |
| HR-BAC (66) | 1/1 (100) | 61/65 (93.8) | 6/6 (100) | 56/60 (93.3) | 1.000 | 1/1 (100) | 61/65 (93.8) | 1/1 (100) | 61/65 (93.8) |
| HR-BAT (64) | 5/5 (100) | 55/59 (93.2) | 1.000 | 14/14 (100) | 46/50 (92.0) | 0.568 | 1/1 (100) | 59/63 (93.7) | 3 (100) | 57/61 (93.4) | 1.000 |

\(^{a}\)PP, per protocol.

\(^{b}\)LR-BAC group, rabeprazole at 10 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin; LR-BAT group, rabeprazole at 10 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline; HR-BAC group, rabeprazole at 20 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin; HR-BAT group, rabeprazole at 10 mg b.i.d. plus bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline.

\(^{c}\)Values are the number of eradications/total number of patients (percentage).
strains, which suggests that high-dose rabeprazole helps to overcome clarithromycin resistance, but more clarithromycin-resistant strains are needed to verify this possibility. A 100% (7/7) cure rate was achieved in patients with dual resistance to amoxicillin and clarithromycin. The high eradication efficacy for \textit{H. pylori} strains with dual antibiotic resistance might be owing to the combined use of tetracycline and bismuth and to the long treatment duration of amoxicillin; in addition, the small sample size of \textit{H. pylori} strains with dual antibiotic resistance is one of the important reasons. Although the resistance rate of amoxicillin in our study was slightly higher than that of other previous studies (6, 10), the resistance rate was still low (4.5% resistance). In addition, tetracycline resistance (0.7%; 2/288) is very low in our study, and dual resistance to amoxicillin and tetracycline was zero in each treatment group. The high eradication rate of the treatment for amoxicillin-resistant strains in our study might have resulted from the combined use of tetracycline and bismuth and from the long treatment duration. Therefore, bismuth-containing quadruple therapies with tetracycline may be a good treatment choice in areas of low tetracycline resistance.

Our study showed that the treatment was well tolerated. In our study, the incidence of adverse events was 7.7% (33/431) in the overall population, which was similar to the incidence in a 10-day furazolidone-based quadruple regimen with low-dose rabeprazole (9.4%, 17/180) (21). CNS symptoms, including dizziness, headache, and dysgeusia, and gastrointestinal symptoms were the most common reported adverse events, most of which were mild even without treatment. The incidence of adverse events was highest in the HR-BAC group (12.3%, 13/106) and lowest in the LR-BAT group (4.6%, 5/109), which indicates that the quadruple regimen containing tetracycline and low-dose rabeprazole is the safest of the four therapies that we tested. In this study, no mortality was recorded. Therefore, the safety of these four therapies was well accepted.

The advantages of this study include comparisons with randomized controlled trials and a multicenter study covering the east, west, north, south, and central regions of China (seven tertiary hospitals). Additionally, this study determined the impact of antibiotic resistance on eradication results. However, there are several limitations of this study. First, the \textit{H. pylori} culture was positive in just about 68% of the cases. Second, the sample size of resistant strains was small for analyzing the impact of antibiotic resistance on the eradication rate. Third, there was no long-term follow-up on the impact on gut microbiota, which is the research focus at present.

In conclusion, a high \textit{H. pylori} eradication rate could be achieved using quadruple therapy with a combination of rabeprazole, bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline in areas of high clarithromycin resistance. In addition, low-dose rabeprazole shows efficacy comparable to that of a high dose while having fewer adverse events. Therefore, 10-day quadruple therapy consisting of low-dose rabeprazole, bismuth, amoxicillin, and tetracycline can be recommended for first-line empirical treatment of \textit{H. pylori} infection in China, especially in areas of high clarithromycin resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

\textbf{Study design.} This study was a multicenter, randomized, parallel-controlled study conducted at seven tertiary hospitals in China from March 2013 to October 2014, including the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Zhongshan Hospital Affiliated to Fudan University, the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Nanjing No. 1 Hospital, Shanghai Renji Hospital, and the Central Hospital of Xi’an City. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University. Consecutive \textit{H. pylori}-infected adult patients (≥18 years old) with active duodenal ulcers were recruited for this study. Each patient signed an informed consent. This study is registered at the Chinese Clinical Trials Registry (www.chictr.org.cn) under clinical trial number ChiCTR1800014832.

\textbf{Eligibility criteria.} Consecutive \textit{H. pylori}-infected patients with duodenal ulcers were prospectively recruited for this study. \textit{H. pylori} infections were diagnosed by the [13C]urea breath test. Exclusion criteria included the use of antibiotics and bismuth in the preceding 4 weeks or histamine 2 (H2) receptor agonists and PPIs in the preceding 2 weeks, pregnancy or lactation at the time of the study, presence of clinically significant associated conditions (hepatic, cardio-respiratory or renal disease; neoplastic disease; coagulopathy), allergy to any of the medications in the regimens (especially penicillin allergy), and previous gastric surgery.

\textbf{Interventions.} The eligible patients were randomly assigned in a 1:1:1:1 ratio to receive one of the following four regimens for 10 days: LR-BAC, LR-BAT, HR-BAC, or HR-BAT. Randomization was conducted...
by a computer-generated random number sequence, and the assignments were sealed in opaque envelopes. This study was an open-label trial, and both the physicians and patients were aware of the treatment received.

The four regimens were as follows: LR-BAC (10 mg of rabeprazole and 220 mg of bismuth b.i.d., plus 1,000 mg of amoxicillin and 500 mg of clarithromycin b.i.d.), LR-BAT (10 mg of rabeprazole and 220 mg of bismuth b.i.d., plus 1,000 mg of amoxicillin and 750 mg of tetracycline b.i.d.), HR-BAC (20 mg of rabeprazole and 220 mg of bismuth b.i.d., plus 1,000 mg of amoxicillin and 500 mg of clarithromycin b.i.d.), and HR-BAT (20 mg of rabeprazole and 220 mg of bismuth b.i.d., plus 1,000 mg of amoxicillin and 750 mg of tetracycline b.i.d.).

The drugs and the manufacturers were as follows: rabeprazole (Pariet; China Eisai, Shuzhou, China), amoxicillin (Zhuhai United Laboratories, Zhuhai, China), clarithromycin (Klacid; Shanghai Abbott Laboratories, Shanghai, China), bismuth potassium citrate (Lizvon Dele; Lizvon Pharmaceutical Group, Zhuhai, China), and tetracycline (Guangdong Huanan Pharmaceutical Group, Dongguan, China).

In this study, rabeprazole and bismuth potassium citrate were given 30 min before the morning and evening meals. Antibiotics were given 30 min after the morning and evening meals. The patients kept a diary to record any side effects or discomforts that occurred during the 10-day treatment period. After H. pylori eradication therapy, all of the patients were administered 10 mg of rabeprazole once a day (q.d.) for 11 days. A staff member who was blinded to the eradication performed the urea breath tests 4 weeks after completion of therapy. Eradication was defined as a negative result on the urea breath test.

Culture and antimicrobial resistance. Two biopsy specimens were harvested from the gastric antrum and corpus for H. pylori culture and antibiotic susceptibility testing (23, 24). Antibiotic susceptibility was assessed by the Etest method (bioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France). H. pylori subculturing was done by rubbing the specimens on the surface of a Campylobacter agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) supplemented with 5% sheep’s blood (Bio-kont, Zhejiang, China) containing vancomycin, trimethoprim, polymyxin B, and amphotericin B (Duly Biotech, Nanjing, China), followed by incubation at 37°C under microaerobic conditions (5% O₂, 10% CO₂, and 85% N₂) for 3 to 5 days. H. pylori strains were tested for tetracycline, clarithromycin, and amoxicillin susceptibility using the Etest (bioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France). H. pylori strain ATCC 43504 was included as an antibiotic susceptibility testing quality control. All antibiotic susceptibility tests were conducted at the Institute of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University. Tetracycline resistance was defined as a MIC of >2 μg/ml against H. pylori, while clarithromycin resistance and amoxicillin resistance MICs were >1 μg/ml and >1 μg/ml, respectively (25).

Outcomes. The primary outcome of the study was the eradication rate of H. pylori in each treatment group. The secondary outcomes were the frequency of adverse events, the compliance rate, the antibiotic resistance rates, and the effect of antibiotic resistance on the eradication rate in each group.

Sample size estimation and statistical analysis. The necessary sample size was calculated using the software nQuery. Assuming an H. pylori eradication rate of 90% for each treatment group, an equivalence cutoff of 15%, a one-sided significance level of 2.5%, and power of at least 90%, each group requires at least 88 patients. Assuming that 20% of subjects would be lost to follow-up, we planned to recruit at least 440 participants (110 subjects per group) for the study. Statistical analyses were performed using the software SAS, version 9.2. All the statistical tests were two-sided with a cutoff alpha (α) of 0.05, and the two-sided 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. The count variables were analyzed using the χ² test, Fisher’s exact test, or a multiple-group rank sum test, whereas the measurement data were evaluated by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or a multiple-group rank sum test. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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