Electron-tracking Compton camera imaging of technetium-95m
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Abstract

Imaging was conducted using an electron tracking-Compton camera (ETCC), which measures γ-rays with energies in the range of 200–900 keV from 95mTc. 95mTc was produced by the 95Mo(p, n)95mTc reaction on a 95Mo-enriched target. A method for recycling 95Mo-enriched molybdenum trioxide was employed, and the recycled yield of 95Mo was 70%-90%. Images were obtained with the gate of three energies. The results showed that the spatial resolution increases with increasing γ-ray energy, and suggested that the ETCC with high-energy γ-ray emitters such as 95mTc is useful for the medical imaging of deep tissue and organs in the human body.

Introduction

Technetium-99m is the most widely adopted radioisotope for medical diagnostic scans such as single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) [1, 2]. There are over 31 commonly used radiopharmaceuticals based on 99mTc for diagnostic imaging and functional studies of the human body. Various 99mTc-labeled compounds are injected into the patient’s body as radioactive tracers, and subsequently, γ-rays emitted from the accumulated 99mTc are measured using position-sensitive γ-ray detectors. The half-life of 99mTc (6 h) is suitable for handling in hospitals and for use in short time scans. One of the notable features of 99mTc is that it emits a γ-ray with an energy of 141 keV. Because photon absorption through the photoelectric effect at this energy dominates in the interaction between photons and materials, it is possible to determine the incident direction of the γ-rays by collimation with high-Z materials. Multi-segmented scintillation detector arrays coupled with heavy metal pine-hole collimators are generally used in SPECT. However, the use of a collimator decreases the detection efficiency of γ-rays from 99mTc, and requires flames to support the heavy γ-ray detector system.

If one uses a medical isotope that emits γ-rays with energies in the range of 200 keV to 2 MeV, it is possible to image deep positions in the patient’s body with relatively high spatial resolution. If a Tc isotope that emits high-energy γ-rays is used as an alternative to 99mTc, all radiopharmaceuticals developed for 99mTc can be, in principle, used because the Tc chemistry is the same. Therefore, 99pTc, 95mTc, and 96Tc are potential candidates for high-energy γ-ray emitters.
Hayakawa et al. have quantitatively estimated the relative $\gamma$-ray intensities and production rates using a compact medical cyclotron for various Tc isotopes [3]. Although the relative $\gamma$-ray intensity of $^{95m}$Tc is lower than that of $^{99m}$Tc, its relatively long half-life of 61 d enables its use to study the behavior of Tc isotopes in the human body. In 1976, the biological half-life of Tc was measured using $^{95m}$Tc instead of $^{99m}$Tc [4]. However, in the MeV energy region, Compton scattering is the dominant process in the interactions between $\gamma$-rays and materials; thus, it is difficult to effectively obtain clear images using the conventional SPECT detector system.

Over the last decades, the Compton camera, which was originally developed to observe stellar gamma-ray bursts [5, 6], was applied to medical uses such as medical diagnostic scanning or the monitoring of radiation therapy [7–17]. Even if the $\gamma$-ray energy is in the MeV region, one can measure $\gamma$-ray images using the Compton camera. The Compton camera provides an additional advantage in that the size and weight of the detection device system can be reduced due to the large viewing angle of the camera and absence of a collimator. To determine the direction of an incident $\gamma$-ray, both the angles of the scattered $\gamma$-ray and scattered electron should be measured. However, conventional Compton cameras can only measure the angle of the scattered $\gamma$-ray.

Recently, the electron-tracking Compton camera (ETCC) was developed for astronomical observations [18, 19], the measurement of radioactivity in fields [20, 21], and medical scans [22–25]. Tanimori et al. [18–21, 23] have developed the ETCC based on a gaseous time-projection chamber (TPC). This type of ETCC consists of two parts: a TPC coupled with a micro pattern gas detector to precisely measure the track of the electron recoiled by Compton scattering on materials inside the TPC, and a position-sensitive scintillation camera to detect the scattered photon. Thus, the ETCC can measure the angles of both the scattered $\gamma$-ray and electron, thereby obtaining the direction of the incident $\gamma$-ray.

Hatsukawa et al. have first demonstrated an ETCC imaging of $^{95m}$Tc [24]. The 204-keV $\gamma$-ray, which is the most intense $\gamma$-ray from $^{95m}$Tc, was measured by an ETCC, and an image of the isotope distribution was reconstructed by employing the 204 keV $\gamma$-ray emitted. However, because the cross section of the photoelectric absorption at the energy of 204 keV is relatively strong, a part of incident $\gamma$-rays are absorbed inside TPCs. In this case, Compton camera cannot work. The energy of the final photon in Compton scattering is not high, the detection efficiency of scintillation detectors is relatively low. Thus, the previous experiment [24] was not optimized for ETCC imaging. Gamma-rays with energies as high as 582 and 835 keV are also radiated from $^{95m}$Tc. At these energies, Compton scattering dominates, and the energies of scattered photons are higher than those at 204 keV. Thus, clearer images are expected to be obtained than that at 204 keV.

A point for consideration in the economical production of $^{95m}$Tc radiotracers is the recycling of the enriched $^{95}$Mo targets after the chemical separation of $^{95m}$Tc. The chemistry for the separation of $^{95}$Tc from a large excess of $^{95}$Mo isotopes is the same as the method developed for recycling $^{100}$Mo in $^{100}$Mo(p, 2n)$^{99m}$Tc production [26, 27]. Thus, it is expected that the previously developed recycling method is applied to $^{95m}$Tc/$^{95}$Mo.

In this paper, three $\gamma$-rays with energies of 204, 582, and 835 keV from a $\gamma$-ray source of $^{95m}$Tc, which was produced by the (p, n) reaction, were measured using an ETCC system, and the image of the $\gamma$-ray source was reconstructed for each energy to study the energy dependence of the spatial resolution. Additionally, we demonstrated a recovery method for the enriched Mo isotope irradiation target.

Materials and methods

Beam irradiation and chemical separation

The experiment was carried out at the tandem accelerator facility at the Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA). The target was made of $^{95}$Mo-enriched molybdenum trioxide powder.
($^{95}$MoO$_3$ 94.80% isotopic enrichment; ISOFLEX, San Francisco, USA) with a weight of 100 mg. This enriched powder was placed in a cylinder case with a diameter of 10 mm and was pressed at 65 MPa for 30 min. The pressed $^{95}$MoO$_3$ tablet was wrapped with high-purity aluminum foil and was used as an irradiation target. The proton beam with an energy of 15 MeV was provided from the tandem accelerator. The averaged beam current measured with a Faraday cup was typically 1.2 $\mu$A. After six weeks of cooling time, $^{95m}$Tc was extracted from the irradiated $^{95}$MoO$_3$ target via the chemical procedure shown in Fig 1. The irradiated target was dissolved in 10 ml of 2.5 mol/l NH$_3$OH. By adding 4 ml of 6N HCl, an insoluble precipitate was appeared. The molybdenum oxide precipitate was isolated by filtration, and the solution containing the Tc ions was purified by an Al$_2$O$_3$ column and an anion exchange column [24]. $^{95m}$Tc with a radioactivity of 500 kBq was obtained in solution.

**Recycling enriched MoO$_3$ target material**

The molybdenum oxide precipitate, which was separated from the Tc ions, could be recycled. To repeatedly utilize precious enriched isotopes, a recycling procedure method of the enriched molybdenum isotope sample was developed. A method was previously developed for the recovery of $^{100}$MoO$_3$ from molybdenum oxide deposits obtained from $^{99m}$Tc preparation [28]. This method was applied to the recycling of $^{95}$MoO$_3$ isotope-enriched target materials. Fig 2 shows the chemical procedure used in the present study. The enriched Mo ions separated from the Tc ions were collected as a molybdenum oxide deposit, which was subsequently

![Chemical separation procedure of $^{95m}$Tc from the irradiated $^{95}$MoO$_3$ target.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208909.g001)
dissolved in 20% H_{2}O_{2} solution and evaporated to dryness. The remaining Mo powder was heated to 600 °C for 30 min in a muffle furnace.

**X-ray diffraction analysis**

The Mo material obtained from the recycling procedure was examined by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis. XRD experiments were performed with a D8 ADVANCE diffractometer (Bruker AXS corp.) using Cu Kα radiation in the diffraction angle range 2θ = 25° to 150°. Molybdenum trioxide (MoO_{3}; 99.5% Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used as a reference material. The obtained Mo powder and reference molybdenum trioxide were pulverized in an agate mortar. Both pulverized materials were analyzed by the XRD measurements.

**γ-ray imaging**

The γ-rays from ^{95m}Tc were measured using an ETCC system [29]. ^{95m}Tc contained in a φ10 mm × 50 mm plastic vial was used as a radiation source. The radioactivity of ^{95m}Tc was 170 kBq. This source was placed at a 48.4-cm distance from the top of the ETCC. As shown in Fig 3, there are two possible gamma-ray emitters of an isomer with a half-life of approximately 61 d and the ground state with a half-life of 20.4 h. However, the unstable ground states decay over 6 weeks of cooling time. The isomer in ^{95m}Tc partly decays to excited states in the daughter nucleus, ^{95}Mo by electron capture; subsequently, the excited states decay to the ground state of ^{95}Mo by emission of γ-rays. In this decay, γ-rays with energies of 204.1, 582.5, and 835.1 keV are emitted. These values are within the wide energy range of the ETCC, which is 200–1300 keV.

The ETCC [29] consists of two detector parts, as shown in Fig 4. A γ-ray from ^{95m}Tc is scattered in the first detector, which is a micro gaseous time projection chamber (μ-TPC) with a volume of 10 × 10 × 10 cm^{3} filled with a gas mixture of 90% Ar and 10% C_{2}H_{6} in mass ratio and sealed at 1 atm. The readout of this μ-TPC consists of a gas electron multiplier and a
micro-pixel chamber (μ-PIC). The μ-TPC can measure the 3-dimensional recoil electron track. The scattered γ-ray is measured by the second detector, which is composed of 9 pixel

Fig 3. Decay scheme of $^{95m}$Tc. Three gamma rays, 204.1 keV, 582.1 keV and 835.1 keV, are emitted from $^{95m}$Tc. The gamma energies are shown by traditional arrows, and the level energies from the ground state are shown in parentheses.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208909.g003

micro-pixel chamber (μ-PIC). The μ-TPC can measure the 3-dimensional recoil electron track. The scattered γ-ray is measured by the second detector, which is composed of 9 pixel

Fig 4. Schematic diagram of the electron-tracking Compton camera (ETCC). The detector comprises a micro-TPC and a scintillation array module. The micro-TPC (timing projection chamber) which is made from a micro pixel chamber, detects the recoil electron tracks, and the scintillator array detects the scattered gamma-rays.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208909.g004
scintillator arrays (PSA). One PSA consists of \(8 \times 8\) Gd\(_2\)SiO\(_5\):Ce scintillator pixels with a pixel size of \(6 \times 6 \times 13\) mm\(^3\). Multi-anode photomultiplier tubes (Hamamatsu Photonics, Flat-Panel H8500) are used as photon sensors of the scintillator pixels; a tube consists of \(8 \times 8\) anode pixels with a size of \(6 \times 6\) mm\(^2\). The energy resolutions of the ETCC are 20 ± 2% in Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) at 366 keV, 15 ± 1% at 511 keV, and 11 ± 2% at 835 keV. The angular resolution is defined by two parameters the angular resolution measure (ARM) and scatter plane deviation (SPD). The shape of the image is made by a combination of the resolution of the Compton scattering angle (ARM) and directional angular resolution of the Compton scatter plane (SPD). The ARMs are 8.82 ± 0.14 deg, 6.14 ± 0.19 deg, and 5.13 ± 0.18 deg at 366, 511, and 835 keV, respectively. The SPDs are 93.5 ± 0.6 deg, 97.9 ± 1.2 deg, and 105.1 ± 1.7 deg at 366, 511, and 835 keV, respectively. These parameters are listed in Table 1.

The ETCC can determine the \(\gamma\)-ray direction, event by event, from the electron track as well as the detection position of the scattered \(\gamma\)-rays and their energies. The \(\mu\)-TPC can measure both the energy deposited by Compton scattering inside the \(\mu\)-TPC and length of the recoiled electron track. The length of the recoiled electron track depends on its initial energy, namely, the deposited energy by Compton scattering. If a scattered electron escapes from the \(\mu\)-TPC, we cannot know the energy deposited. Thus, we selected events in the case that the electron stopped in the \(\mu\)-TPC from the length and deposited energy. In this experiment, approximately 13% of the total events were accepted. The direction of an incident \(\gamma\)-ray is determined, event by event, from the energies and directions of the scattered electron and the scattered \(\gamma\)-ray by the kinematics of Compton scattering. The energy of the incident \(\gamma\)-ray is obtained by summing the energies of the scattered \(\gamma\)-ray and electron. A \(\gamma\)-ray image is constructed with a gate of the incident \(\gamma\)-ray energy. We use the list-mode maximum-likelihood expectation-maximization (list-mode ML-EM) method, which is commonly employed in medical algorithm [30]. The detailed analysis method is described in previous papers [20, 29, 31].

### Results and discussion

#### Beam irradiation and chemical separation

Approximately 1.2 MBq of \(^{95m}\)Tc is produced by irradiating a 15-MeV proton beam onto 100 mg of the \(^{95}\)MoO\(_3\) target for 7 h. Although the beam energy is higher than the threshold energy of the \(^{95}\)Mo(p, 2n)\(^{94}\)Tc reaction, the abundance of \(^{94}\)Tc is much lower than that of \(^{95m}\)Tc. The irradiated MoO\(_3\) target is dissolved in 2.5 M NH\(_4\)OH solution after 6 weeks of cooling time in which the radioactivity of \(^{95m}\)Tc decreases to 830 kBq. Finally, approximately 500 kBq of \(^{95m}\)Tc is obtained after chemical separation. In this separation scheme, a chemical yield of approximately 60% is obtained. Note that contaminated Tc isotopes, which are produced by (p, n) reactions on Mo isotopes (except for \(^{95}\)Mo), decay almost completely during the cooling time.
Recycling enriched MoO$_3$ target material and XRD analysis

The recovery of MoO$_3$ (see Fig 2) was repeated three times, and recovery yields of 70%-90% were obtained. The powder XRD spectra of the recovered Mo compound (a) and standard MoO$_3$ sample as the reference (b) are shown in Fig 5. These two spectra are very similar in that the positions of strong peaks that correspond to the reflection angles are almost identical. The differences in the XRD peak intensities may arise from the small difference between the crystal orientations of the sample powders. According to this result, we concluded that the two compounds (a) and (b) are identical.

$\gamma$-ray imaging

$\gamma$-rays with energies of 204 keV, 582 keV, and 835 keV are predominantly emitted from $^{95m}$Tc. Using the ETCC, we obtained two-dimensional images of the $^{95m}$Tc source. The total number of events obtained in this measurement was $1.025 \times 10^6$ events, whereas the number of events accepted was $1.299 \times 10^5$. Approximately 13% of the total events were accepted. Fig 6 shows a summed energy spectrum of the energy deposited in a gaseous TPC and energy measured by a scintillator detector for each Compton scattering. When both the TPC and the scintillator

---

**Fig 5.** Powdered XRD spectra obtained from (a) recycled Mo oxide material (upper; dashed line) and (b) the MoO$_3$ standard (lower; solid line). Both samples show the same reflect angles, indicating the recycled Mo material is MoO$_3$.  
[https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208909.g005](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208909.g005)
measured simultaneously an electron (or a photon), we recorded it. The horizontal axis represents the total energy of the recoiled electron and scattered γ-ray. The total energy is, in principle, identical to the incident photon energy. The three peaks that appear in the spectrum correspond to the three γ-ray energies from $^{95m}$Tc. The energy windows of the ETCC were set at (a) $204.0 \pm 20.4$ keV, (b) $582.0 \pm 58.2$ keV, and (c) $835.0 \pm 83.5$ keV. Two-dimensional images with the gate of these γ energies were reconstructed by an iterative reconstruction technique, the ML-EM method, using list-mode data.

The white circles in Fig 7 show the position of the vial containing the $^{95m}$Tc solution. The point spread function of ETCC is limited by the uncertainty of the initial moment of the Compton-recoil electron and multiple scattering of the Compton-recoil electron in the gas. The uncertainty of the initial electron moment appears as a Lorentz distribution [32], and multiple scattering occurs as a Gaussian-like distribution [33]. The histograms of sliced images of Fig 7 are shown in Fig 8. The upper three panels are sliced within ±15 mm along the X-axis. The energies of these histograms are 204, 582, and 835 keV, respectively, from left to right. The lower panels are sliced within ±15 mm along the Y-axis, and each energy is same as those on the X-axis. In such a complicated case, circles in which 50 or 68% of the events contained are
often used as the definition of the angular resolution (for example, see references [34, 35]). In the present study, to evaluate the relative spatial resolutions of the three images, we also plotted

![Compton camera images](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208909.g007)

**Fig 7.** Compton camera images obtained from $^{95m}$Tc contained in a 10-mm-diameter vial. Energy windows are set at (a) 204 keV, (b) 582 keV, and (c) 835 keV. These images were reconstructed using an interactive reconstruction technique. The solid line shows a vial size of 10 mm in diameter. Fifty percent of all events exist inside of the dashed line circle.

![Histograms](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208909.g008)

**Fig 8.** The histograms of sliced images of Fig 7 are shown. The upper three panels are sliced within ±15 mm along the X-axis. The energies of these histograms are 204, 582, and 835 keV, respectively, from left to right. The lower panels are sliced within ±15 mm belongs along the Y-axis and each energy is same as those on the X-axis.
dotted line circles within which 50% of all events exist. The diameters of the dotted line circles were approximately 49.3 mm, 50.5 mm, and 56.7 mm for 835 keV, 582 keV, and 204 keV, respectively. This result shows that the spatial resolution of ETCC mapping depends on the γ-ray energy. The diameter of the dotted line circles decreased with increasing γ-ray energy. This trend is consistent with the energy resolution dependence that the energy resolution of the measured γ-rays increases with an increase in the γ-ray energy. In our previous study [24], γ-rays at 204 keV from 95mTc were measured by an ETCC, in which most γ-rays are located in a circle of a diameter with 30 mm. However, we did not estimate the circle within which 50% of all events exist in the previous study; thus, we cannot directly compare the present result at 204 keV with the previous one. Again we noted that the energy resolution at high energies of 582 keV and 835 keV is higher than that at 204 keV, corresponding to previous studies [36, 37].

In the present case, the background level strongly affects the diameter of the dotted line circle. As shown in Fig 6, the background level in the low-energy region is higher than that in the high-energy region. The ETCC system cannot measure the total energy in all cases. Because Compton scattering in the second γ-ray detector may occur, high-energy γ-rays increase the background level in the low-energy region. The present results show that the ETCC system can measure the images for different γ-ray energies even if γ-ray tracers emit various energy γ-rays and that the image in the high-energy region is clearer than that in the low-energy region.

**Conclusion**

In the present study, we produced 95mTc using the 95Mo(p, n)95mTc reaction to measure images of 95mTc using an ETCC. After chemical separation, 500 kBq of 95mTc was obtained. The recycling procedure for the 95MoO₃ isotope-enriched target material was examined, and the recovery yields of 95Mo were 70%-90%. The ETCC images were obtained for γ-rays with three different energies, 204 keV, 582 keV, and 835 keV, emitted from 95mTc. The spatial resolution increased as the γ-ray energy increased, indicating that the ETCC system can measure images even in the presence of γ-rays with various energies.

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16K05025. We thank the operating crew of the JAEA tandem accelerator facility for providing the proton beam used in the experiment.

**Author Contributions**

Data curation: Shigeto Kabuki, Atsushi Takada.  
Formal analysis: Shinya Sonoda, Seiya Takaki.  
Funding acquisition: Yuichi Hatsukawa.  
Investigation: Yuichi Hatsukawa, Kazuaki Tsukada, Kazuyuki Hashimoto, Tetsuya Sato, Atsushi Toyoshima, Shinya Sonoda, Shigeto Kabuki, Hiroyuki Kimura, Tetsuya Mizumoto, Seiya Takaki.  
Methodology: Kazuaki Tsukada, Kazuyuki Hashimoto, Tetsuya Sato, Masato Asai, Shinya Sonoda, Shigeto Kabuki, Tetsuya Mizumoto.  
Project administration: Masato Asai.  
Supervision: Yuichi Hatsukawa, Toru Tanimori, Hiroyuki Kimura, Atsushi Takada.  
Validation: Seiya Takaki.
References

1. Banerjee S, Pillai MRA, Ramamoorthy N. Evolution of Tc-99m in diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals. Semin. Nucl. Med. 2001; 32:260–277.
2. Eckelman W. Unparalleled Contribution of Technetium-99m to Medicine Over 5 Decades. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. Img. 2009; 2:364–368.
3. Hayakawa T, Hatsukawa Y, Tanimori T. $^{95}\text{Tc}$ and $^{99}\text{Tc}$ as alternatives to medical radioisotope $^{99m}\text{Tc}$. Heliyon. 2017; 3:e00497.
4. Davis L, Straw J, Dixon RS, Benedetto A, Sass NL. Biological half-life of a $^{95m}$-technetium labeled bone imaging agent. Health Phys. 1976; 31:521–522. PMID: 1021560
5. Herzo D, Koga R, Millard RW, Moon S, Ryan J, Wilson R, et al. A large double scatter telescope for gamma rays and neutrons, Nucl. Instr. Methods. 1975; 123:583–597.
6. Schönfelder V, Aarts K, Bennet K, deBoer H, Clear J, Collmar W, et al. Instrument description and performance of the imaging $\gamma$ ray telescope COMPTEL aboard the Compton $\gamma$-Ray Observatory. Astrophys. J. Supp. 1993; 86:657–692.
7. Singh M. An electronically collimated gamma camera for single photon emission computed tomography. Part I: Theoretical considerations and design criteria. Med. Phys. 1983; 10(4):421–427. https://doi.org/10.1118/1.595313 PMID: 6604216
8. Le Blanc JW, Clinkhome NH, Hua C, Rodgers WL, Wehe DK, Wilderman SJ. A Compton camera for nuclear medicine applications using $^{113}\text{In}$. Nucl. Instr. Meth. 1999; A 422:735–739.
9. An SH, Seo H, Lee JH, Lee CS, Lee JS, Kim CH. Effect of detector parameters on the image quality of Compton camera for $^{99m}\text{Tc}$. Nucl. Instr. Meth. 2007; A 571:251–254.
10. Phillips G.W. Gamma-ray imaging with Compton Cameras. Nucl. Instr. Meth. 1995; B 99:674–677.
11. Peterson SW, Robertson D, Polf J. Optimizing a three-stage Compton camera for measuring prompt gamma rays emitted during proton radiotherapy. Phys. Med. Biol. 2010; 55:6841–6856. https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/55/22/015 PMID: 21048295
12. Richard M-H, Chevallier M, Dauvergne D, Freud N, Henriquet P, Le Foulier F, et al. Design Guidelines for a Double Scattering Compton Camera for Prompt-$\gamma$ Imaging During Ion Beam Therapy: A Monte Carlo Simulation Study. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 2011; 58:87–94.
13. Kormoll T, Fiedler F, Shöne S, Wüstemann J, Zuber K, Enghardt W. A Compton imager for in-vivo dosimetry of proton beams-A design study. Nucl. Instr. Meth. 2011; A 626–627:114–119.
14. Llosa G, Cabello J, Callier S, Gillam JE, Lacasta C, Rafecas M, et al. First Compton telescope prototype based on continuous LaBr$_3$-SiPM detectors. Nucl. Instr. Meth. 2013; A 718:130–133.
15. Ortega PG, Torres-Espallardo I, Cerutti F, Ferrari A, Gillam JE, Lacasta C, et al. Noise evaluation of Compton camera imaging for proton therapy. Phys. Med. Biol. 2015; 60:7085–7099. https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/60/7/7085 PMID: 26317610
16. Hueso-González F, Golinik C, Berthel M, Dreyer A, Enghardt W, Fiedler F, et al. Test of Compton camera components for prompt gamma imaging at the ELBE bremsstrahlung beam. J. Instrum. 2014; 9: P05002.
17. Tomono D, Mizumoto T, Takada A, Komura A, Matsuoka Y, Mizumura Y, et al. First on-site true gamma-ray imaging-spectroscopy of contamination near Fukushima Plant. Sci. Rep. 2017; 7:41972. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep41972 PMID: 28158883
22. Frandes M, Zoglauer A, Maxim V, Prost R. A tracking Compton-scattering imaging system for hadron therapy monitoring. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 2010; 57:144–50.
23. Kabuki S, Kimura H, Amano H, Nakamoto Y, Kubo H, Miuchi K, et al. Electron-tracking Compton gamma-ray camera for small animal and phantom imaging. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys Res. 2010; A623:606–607.
24. Hatsu kawa Y, Hashimoto K, Tsukada K, Sato T, Asai M, Toyoshima A, et al. Production of $^{95m}$Tc for Compton camera imaging. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 2015; 303:1283–1285.
25. Aldawood S, Thiroll PG, Miami A, Böhmer M, Dedes G, Germainhuser R, et al. Development of a Compton camera for prompt-gamma medical imaging. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 2017; 140:190–197.
26. Gagnon K, Wilson JS, Holt CM, Abrams DN, McEwan AJ, Mitlin D, et al. Cyclotron production of $^{95m}$Tc: Recycling of enriched $^{100}$Mo metal targets. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 2012; 70:1685–1690. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2012.04.016 PMID: 22750197
27. Trac P, Vandegrift GF. Recycle of enriched Mo targets for economic production of $^{96}$Mo/$^{99m}$Tc medical isotope without use of enriched uranium. J. Radioanal. Nucl Chem. 2016; 308:205–212.
28. Izumo M, Matsuoka H, Sorita T, Nagame Y, Sekine T, Hata K, et al. Production of $^{95m}$Tc with proton bombardment of $^{95}$Mo. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 1991; 42:297–301.
29. Takada A, Kubo H, Nishimura H, Ueno K, Hattori K, Kabuki S, et al. Observation of Diffuse Cosmic and Atmospheric Gamma Rays at Balloon Altitudes with an Electron-tracking Compton Camera. Astrophy. J. 2011; 733:13.
30. Wilderman SJ, Rodger WL, Knoll GF, Engdahl JC. Fast Algorithm for List Mode Back-Projection of Compton Scatter Camera Data. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 1998; NS-45: 957–962.
31. Caucci L, Hunter WCJ, Furenlid LR, Barret HH. List-mode MLEM image reconstruction from 3D ML position estimate. IEEE NSS & MIC 2010 Conference Record (2010), 2643–2647
32. Zoglauer A, Kanbach G. Doppler broadening as a lower limit to the angular resolution of next-generation Compton telescopes. Proceedings of SPIE, X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Telescopes and Instruments for Astronomy. 2013; 4851:1302–1309.
33. Bethe HA. Molière's Theory of Multiple Scattering. Phys. Rev. 1953; 89:1256–1266.
34. Atwood WB, Bagagli R, Baldini L, Bellazzini R, Barbiellini G, Belli F, et al. Design and initial tests of the Tracker-converter of the Gamma-ray Large Area Space Telescope. Astropart. Phys. 2007; 28:422–434.
35. Tawara Y, Yamashita K, Kunieda H, Tamura K, Furuzawa A, Haga K, et al. Development of a multilayer supermirror for hard x-ray telescopes. Proceedings of SPIE, X-Ray Optics, Instruments, and Missions. 1998; 3444:569–575.
36. Muñoz E, Barrio J, Etxebeite A, Ortega PG, Lacasta C, Oliver JF, et al. Performance evaluation of MACACO: a multilayer Compton camera. Phys. Med. Biol. 2017; 62:7321–7341. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/aa8070 PMID: 28718772
37. Taninori T, Kubo H, Takada A, Iwasaki S, Komura S, Kurosawa S, et al. An Electron-Tracking Compton Telescope for a Survey of the Deep Universe by MeV Gamma-Rays. Astrophys. J. 2015; 810:28(12pp).