LAPTM5–CD40 Crosstalk in Glioblastoma Invasion and Temozolomide Resistance
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Background: Glioma therapy is challenged by the diffuse and invasive growth of glioma. Lysosomal protein transmembrane 5 (LAPTM5) was identified as an invasion inhibitor by an in vivo screen for invasion-associated genes. The aim of this study was to decipher the function of LAPTM5 in glioblastoma and its interaction with the CD40 receptor which is intensively evaluated as a target in the therapy of diverse cancers including glioma.

Methods: Knockdown of LAPTM5 was performed in different glioma cell lines to analyze the impact on clonogenicity, invasiveness, sensitivity to temozolomide chemotherapy, and tumorigenicity in vitro and in vivo. An expression array was used to elucidate the underlying pathways. CD40 knockdown and overexpression were induced to investigate a potential crosstalk of LAPTM5 and CD40.

Results: Knockdown of LAPTM5 unleashed CD40-mediated NFκB activation, resulting in enhanced invasiveness, clonogenicity, and temozolomide resistance that was overcame by NFκB inhibition. LAPTM5 expression correlated with better overall survival in glioblastoma patients depending on CD40 expression status.

Conclusion: We conclude that LAPTM5 conveyed tumor suppression and temozolomide sensitation in CD40-positive glioblastoma through the inhibition of CD40-mediated NFκB activation. Hence, LAPTM5 may provide a potential biomarker for sensitivity to temozolomide in CD40-positive glioblastoma.
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INTRODUCTION

Despite relevant improvements in the molecular understanding of glioma, treatment options remain limited for glioma patients. Glioma cells infiltrate diffusely throughout the whole brain, forming a functional tumor network that contribute to therapy resistance and recurrence after standard multimodal therapy including surgery, irradiation, and chemotherapy with temozolomide (1, 2). The complex processes underlying tumor cell migration and invasion have been studied intensively, but effective treatments targeting the pro-invasive mechanisms are still required (3–6).

Screening for invasion-associated genes identified lysosomal protein transmembrane 5 (LAPTM5) as a relevant gene involved in the invasion of glioblastoma. LAPTM5, which is localized in the lysosomal membrane (7), was downregulated in a variety of human cancer cells, suggesting that the inactivation of LAPTM5 plays a role in tumorigenesis (8). Low LAPTM5 expression was significantly correlated with poor prognosis in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (8). Furthermore, LAPTM5-mediated programmed cell death was involved in the spontaneous regression of neuroblastoma cells (9). In HeLa cells, the ectopic overexpression of LAPTM5 induced apoptosis via LAPTM5-mediated lysosomal pathways (10). In addition, LAPTM5 was rapidly and transiently repressed by treatment with CD40 ligand in B-cells (11), which resulted in the alternative name CD40-ligand activated transcript. Recently, CD40 was discovered as an emerging target in cancer immunotherapy, and CD40 agonistic therapies are being evaluated in antitumor trials for different cancer types including non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, advanced urothelial carcinoma, malignant melanoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, renal cells carcinoma, NSCLC, and glioma (12). The interaction of CD40 and the CD40 ligand (CD40L or CD154) has been shown to exert a plethora of immune-stimulating effects in the innate and the adaptive immune system (Supplemental Figure 1) (13–19). In addition, substantial CD40 expression was detected in a variety of common solid cancer types including bladder cancer (102/131, 78%), melanoma (41/71, 57.7%), breast cancer (53%), lung cancer (67/129, 51.9%), colon cancer (87/110, 79%) as well as B-lineage malignancies (14–19). CD40 expression was frequently shown to be associated with prolonged survival; however, contrary results were evident in lung and esophageal cancer where CD40 expression correlated with poor prognosis (17–20). In glioma, only scarce and partially contradictory data exist about LAPTM5 and CD40 (20, 21). Therefore, the aim of this study was to characterize the role of LAPTM5 in glioblastoma, to elucidate its interaction with CD40, and to analyze the underlying signaling pathways involved in tumorigenicity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Glioblastoma Cells and Culture Conditions

All glioblastoma cell lines [LN-229 (RRID:CVCL_0393), LN-308 (RRID:CVCL_0394), U-87MG ATCC (RRID:CVCL_0022), LN-428 (RRID:CVCL_3959), and T98G (RRID:CVCL_0556)] were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured under standard conditions. Glioblastoma-initiating cells (T325, T269, and S24) were established from freshly dissected glioblastoma tissue from adult patients after informed consent and cultured in neurosphere medium (DMEM/F12 medium, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with B27 supplement, heparin (5 μg/ml), basic fibroblast growth factor (20 ng/ml), and epidermal growth factor (20 ng/ml) (22). All cell lines tested have an isocitrate dehydrogenase wild-type status. The SNP profiles matched known profiles or were unique. The cell lines were authenticated using Multiplex Cell Authentication by Multiplexion (Heidelberg, Germany) as previously described (23). Absence of mycoplasma infection is screened for on a regular basis.

Knockdown of Gene Expression

The knockdown of LAPTM5 gene expression was induced using a commercial set of five lentiviral small hairpin RNAs (shRNA) targeting the 3’UTR region of the LAPTM5 transcript. The most effective construct was used for the experiments (Mission®, shRNA TRCN0000123149, Clone ID: NM_006762.1-1614s1c1) (Sigma Aldrich, Taukirchen, Germany). The non-targeting shRNA lentiviral construct (Mission® SHC002, Sigma Aldrich) was used as a control (24). Furthermore, to reevaluate the experiments with another shRNA system, the knockdown of LAPTM5 was also performed with pZIP-SFFV-RFP (shERWOOD-UltrimiR shRNA Target Gene Set, cat. no.: TLHSU1400-7805-pZIP-SFFV-RFP-GVO-TRI, Transomic Technologies, Huntsville, AL 35806, USA). The knockdown of CD40 gene expression was induced using a commercial green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged lentiviral shRNA interfering system (Mission shRNA TRCN000038715, ID: NM_011611). After transduction, the cells were selected by treatment with neomycin and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) of GFP-positive cells. The non-targeting GFP-shRNA lentiviral construct (Mission® SHC004, Sigma Aldrich) was used as a control.

The transient knockdown of CD40 was performed with siRNA transfection (Sigma Aldrich) using lipofectamine (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. All knockdown experiments were controlled with the respective non-targeting shRNA lentiviral construct. In double-knockdown experiments, two respective non-targeting shRNA lentiviral constructs were used as control. All different control knockdown constructs were named v-control (vector control).

CD40 Overexpression

CD40-overexpressing cells were generated after the transfection of cells with pcDNA3 control or pcDNA3 CD40 plasmid, as generously provided by M. Weller (Zurich, Switzerland). The
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CD40-vector was generated by the amplification of cDNA prepared from EJ cells using CD40-specific primers (forward primer: 5′-CTGTTCTAAGTGGCATTGTC-3′ and reverse primer: 5′-TGGGTGGCGGCGCCTACT-3′) which were inserted into the HindIII/NotI sites of pcDNA3. After transfection, the CD40-positive cells were sorted by flow cytometry. In cells with combined CD40 overexpression and LAPTM5 knockdown, the control cells were transfected with empty pcDNA3 and transduced with the respective non-targeting shRNA lentiviral construct. All control constructs were named v-control (vector control).

**Quantitative Real-Time PCR**

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) were performed as previously described (26). All results were normalized to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase serving as a housekeeping gene (27). The primer sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

**Whole-Exome mRNA Analysis**

Gene expression analysis was performed to compare the LAPTM5 knockdown in U87MG cells with the respective controls (28). From each cell line, three independent total RNA samples were used for the microarray. The sample analysis was performed on an illumina HT 12 microarray chip at the genomics core facility (German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany). The differentially expressed genes were identified after adjustment for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg correction and a significance threshold of \( p < 0.05 \). Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Ingenuity Systems, Redwood City, CA, USA) was used for protein network and pathway analysis. The experiment ArrayExpress accession code is E-MTAB-6316. For the gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA), the GSEA software tool was downloaded from the homepage of the Broad Institute (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea). The hallmark gene sets from the Molecular Signature Database v6.1 were used for the exploratory testing of pathway enrichments in the full dataset of genes in the microarray. The number of gene set permutations was set to 1,000.

**Matrigel Invasion Assay**

Glioblastoma cell invasion was measured in vitro with the Boyden chamber assay (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) (29). The migrated cells were stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindol (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA), scanned with Cell Observer (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany), and counted using ImageJ software (Bethesda, MD, USA). The number of migrated cells was corrected by proliferation control, which was assessed in parallel by crystal violet staining.

**Limiting Dilution Assay**

The clonogenic potential of glioblastoma cells was evaluated with the Limiting Dilution Assay as previously described (22, 30). Four different dilutions (300, 50, eight, and one cell per well) were seeded in a 96-well plate and cultured for 28 days (24 wells per dilution). The number of wells with colony formation was counted. The cells were treated with 2.5 \( \mu \)g/ml JSH-23 and 10 \( \mu \)M temozolomide (U87MG) or 5 \( \mu \)M temozolomide (LN229 and LN308) depending on the intrinsic sensitivity to the temozolomide treatment of the respective cell lines.

**Immunoblot Analysis**

Whole cell lysates were prepared as described previously (26). The antibodies used are listed in Supplemental Table 2. Equal protein loading was controlled with mouse α-tubulin (1:5,000, Sigma Aldrich, USA) staining.

**Flow Cytometry Analysis**

For flow cytometry, the cells were dissociated with accutase, washed, stained with CD40-FITC or the respective isotype control, and analyzed with a BD-FACS Canto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, USA); final data were processed with the FlowJo flow cytometry analysis software (Tree Star Inc., USA). Specific fluorescence intensity was calculated by using the mean fluorescence signal of CD40 divided by the mean fluorescence isotype signal.

**Xenograft Mouse Model**

All works involving animals were approved by the governmental authorities (Aktenzeichen: 35-9185.81 G-136/12 Regierungspräsidium Karlsruhe, Germany) and performed in accordance with the German animal protection law. To test the tumorigenicity in vivo, a 200-μl suspension of \( 1 \times 10^7 \) tumor cells in 100 μl phosphate-buffered saline and 100 μl Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) was injected s.c. in the flank of 8-week-old female CD1 nu/nu mice (Charles River Laboratories, Sulzfeld, Germany) (31). The tumor volume was calculated by (length × width × length)/2. The mice were sacrificed in accordance with the German animal protection law when they showed symptoms of disease or when the maximal tumor diameter reached 1.5 cm. Treatment (oral gavage) with 21 mg/kg temozolomide in methylcellulose vs. methylcellulose alone in controls started on day 14 after tumor implantation and was given for 7 days every 24 h.

**Methylation and mRNA Expression Correlation Analysis**

The CpG sites in proximity to the LAPTM5 gene location were identified using the UCSC Genome Browser available at https://genome.ucsc.edu/. The dataset including 27k methylation data and mRNA expression data was downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), available at http://cancergenome.nih.gov/. The Pearson correlation coefficient of methylation level at the indicated CpG site and LAPTM5 mRNA expression was calculated using the software R.

**5-Azacytidin Demethylation**

Human glioma-initiating cell lines T325, T269, and T1 were incubated with 5 μM 5-azacytidin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for 5 days with a complete change of the growth medium and with fresh 5-azacytidin added every day. The respective control cells were incubated with DMSO only. The cells were then harvested and LAPTM5 mRNA expression was measured using qRT-PCR.
Clinical Survival Analysis
To assess the prognostic value of LAPTM5 in high CD40-expressing tumors, methylation array (450 k) and RNASeq data from the TCGA-Glioblastoma Multiforme (GBM) project were used. For the selection of a reasonable cutoff for CD40 expression, similarity of patients was derived from methylation-based correlation (cluster bootstrap of the correlation matrix, pvclust package) using CpG probes significantly associated with CD40 gene expression (linear model, Bonferroni adjusted p < 0.05, n = 24), resulting in two main clusters. Using a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis, a cutoff between both clusters was selected based on maximization of the Youden index. The respective cutoff was then applied to the RNASeq cohort to select CD40 high-expressing tumors. Survival analysis was performed with parametric survival regressions, assuming a Weibull distribution.

CIBERSORT Analysis
CIBERSORT allows the estimation of immune cell fractions from bulk expression data (32). Preprocessed RNASeq data was used to calculate immune cell fractions.

Statistical Analysis
All in vitro experiments reported represent at least three replicated independent experiments containing triplicates or more. A statistical analysis of differences between mean values was performed using Student’s t-test. The differences between estimated clonogenic cell frequencies analyzed by the ELDA web tool were compared using output of tests for pair-wise differences in active cell frequency between groups (33). A two-way ANOVA model was used for interaction analysis between two factors, e.g., gene knockdown and inhibiting agent. If not stated otherwise, all statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.00 for MacOS X, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA. All p-values were two-tailed. A p < 0.05 was considered significant, with asterisks marking the different levels of significance: ns, p ≥ 0.05; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01. TCGA data analyses were performed in R (v3.6.1) (34). Survival analyses and ROC analyses were performed using the survival (35) and dataAnalysisMisc packages (36).

RESULTS

LAPTM5 Inhibits Tumorigenicity
An in vivo screen for invasion-associated genes in glioblastoma (Figure 6A, further unpublished data) identified LAPTM5 as a highly anti-invasive gene in glioblastoma. Briefly, 47,400 transcripts by ~200,000 shRNA sequences were transduced in U87MG cells to identify new invasion-associated genes. Here LAPTM5 was found to be downregulated in the invasive front of U87MG-derived orthotopically implanted tumors.

LAPTM5 was relevantly expressed in all glioblastoma cell lines and primary glioblastoma cells tested (Figure 1A). Methylation in silico analysis further revealed that LAPTM5 expression was negatively regulated by methylation of the CpG sites Cg10001720 and Cg12732155, which was substantiated by treatment with the demethylating agent 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine. This treatment induced >6-fold upregulation of LAPTM5 mRNA expression in primary glioblastoma cell lines (Supplemental Figure 2).

Silencing of LAPTM5 (Supplemental Figure 3A) confirmed its anti-invasive function in U87MG cells in vitro. The LAPTM5 knockdown (shLAPTM5) cells demonstrated significantly increased invasiveness by a factor of ∼3 compared to the respective control cells (v-control) (Figure 1B). Additionally,
FIGURE 2 | Tumor-suppressive functions of LAPTM5 were dependent on CD40 expression. (A) Flow cytometric analysis after CD40 surface staining illustrates that only U87MG v-control and shLAPTM5 cells are positive for CD40, whereas the other glioblastoma cell lines were negative for CD40 membrane expression and did not show a specific fluorescence index clearly > 1 when dividing the mean fluorescence of the CD40 staining by the mean fluorescence of the respective isotype control. (B) Clonogenicity in the CD40-negative LN229 cells did not relevantly differ between v-control control and shLAPTM5 cells and was slightly higher in the CD40-negative T98G cells silenced for LAPTM5 compared to the respective v-control control cells. The graphs show the clonogenicity of shLAPTM5 normalized to the respective v-control control cells. (C) Knockdown of LAPTM5 led to a slightly reduced invasiveness of LN229 cells and did not relevantly alter the invasiveness in T98G cells compared to the respective LAPTM5-expressing (v-control) cells. The graphs visualize the invasiveness of shLAPTM5 cells normalized to the respective v-control control cells. (D,E) Graphs show the clonogenicity (D) and invasiveness (E) depending on CD40 expression status in relation to the respective v-control.
LAPTM5 inhibited the clonogenicity of U87MG glioblastoma cells as LAPTM5 knockdown cells demonstrated a significantly higher clonogenic capacity compared to the respective vector control cells (Figure 1C). This pro-clonogenic phenotype of LAPTM5 knockdown cells was confirmed with two different knockdown constructs (Supplemental Figure 3B).

LAPTM5 Function Is CD40 Dependent

LAPTM5 was previously shown to be regulated by the CD40 receptor in immune cells and CD40 is highly expressed in up to 40% of glioblastoma (11). In order to identify the underlying molecular mechanisms of LAPTM5 function, we aimed to explore the CD40–LAPTM5 interaction in glioblastoma. For that, established glioblastoma cell lines were examined for membrane CD40 expression by flow cytometry, revealing only U87MG cells to be clearly positive for CD40 expression (Figure 2A). To test whether this is an artifact due to medium-related conditions or a problem of established cell lines in general, the glioma-initiating cells S24, T1, T269, T325, ZH305, ZH161, WJ, KNG002, MM, and PJ (22) were also tested for CD40 expression by flow cytometry but were all negative (data not shown).

Interestingly, in contrast to CD40-expressing U87MG cells, LAPTM5 hardly influenced the clonogenicity and the invasiveness in the CD40-negative LN229 and T98G cells. Clonogenicity was not enhanced in LAPTM5-depleted LN229 cells and only by ~15% in LAPTM5-knockdown T98G cells (Figure 2B). Invasiveness even had a tendency to be reduced in LAPTM5-knockdown LN229 and T98G cells (Figure 2C), suggesting that CD40 expression might be required for LAPTM5-mediated effects. Indeed the knockdown of CD40 in U87MG v-control and shLAPTM5 cells (Supplemental Figure 4A) resulted in enhanced clonogenicity by ~100% and invasiveness by ~50% in U87MG, but the difference between the control and the shLAPTM5 cells vanished (Figures 2D,E, left row) and thereby mirrored the situation of the CD40-negative LN229 and T98G cells (Figures 2B,C).

To further substantiate the CD40 dependency of LAPTM5-mediated anti-tumorigenic effects, CD40 was overexpressed in the CD40-negative LN229 and T98G v-control and shLAPTM5 cells (Supplemental Figures 4B,C). Indeed CD40 overexpression resulted in higher clonogenicity and enhanced invasiveness of shLAPTM5 LN229 (clonogenicity ~100%; invasiveness ~25%) and T98G cells (clonogenicity ~140%; invasiveness ~75%) compared to the respective v-control vector control cells which became less clonogenic and invasive (Figures 2D,E). These results were consistent with the effects observed in U87MG cells. In summary, LAPTM5-mediated anti-tumorigenic effects were dependent on CD40 expression: CD40 knockdown blocked and CD40 overexpression unleashed LAPTM5-mediated anti-tumorigenic effects in three different cell lines.

LAPTM5 Sensitizes to Temozolomide in CD40-Expressing Glioblastoma Cells

To examine the relevance of LAPTM5 in the mediation of treatment resistance to standard therapy for glioblastoma patients, the efficacy of temozolomide chemotherapy was further analyzed according to LAPTM5 status in CD40-expressing U87MG cells. The LAPTM5-expressing U87MG cells were more sensitive to temozolomide treatment compared to the LAPTM5 knockdown cells. Temozolomide reduced clonogenicity by 68% in v-control control cells but only by 23% in shLAPTM5 U87MG cells (Figure 3A).

These LAPTM5-mediated effects were further confirmed in vivo. LAPTM5 inhibited the tumorigenicity of implanted U87MG cells. The tumor volumes of shLAPTM5 tumors already exceeded the size of 500 mm³ after 27 days, which was taken as an undoubted evidence of tumor growth, whereas tumors with LAPTM5 expression did not reach this tumor size earlier than 56 days after tumor cell implantation. Furthermore, temozolomide treatment relevantly and sustainably inhibited tumor growth in LAPTM5-expressing tumors without any evidence for tumor recurrence within the observation time of 100 days. In contrast, tumor recurrence was observed in one out of five shLAPTM5 tumors after initial shrinkage due to temozolomide treatment (Figure 3B), supporting that LAPTM5 sensitized the CD40-positive U87MG tumor cells to temozolomide.

LAPTM5 Conveys Tumor-Suppressive Effects via Inhibition of CD40-Mediated NFκB Signaling

To understand the molecular mechanisms by which LAPTM5 inhibited invasion and tumorigenicity and sensitized to temozolomide treatment, a gene set enrichment analysis of whole-exome expression profiled U87MG cells was performed and compared with U87MG cells silenced for LAPTM5 expression. Here gene network and pathway analysis showed a strong upregulation of TNF-α signaling via the NFκB pathway in shLAPTM5 cells (Figure 4A; Supplemental Table 3), suggesting an inhibitory effect of LAPTM5 on NFκB signaling. An immunoblot analysis confirmed the activation of the NFκB pathway in shLAPTM5 U87MG cells, demonstrated by an increased expression of phosphorylated IkBα (Figure 4B). Of note is that CD40 expression was mandatory in inducing NFκB signaling in shLAPTM5 U87MG cells as pathway activation was no longer observed after the additional knockdown of CD40 in these cells (Figure 4B). On the functional level, JSH-23, a specific inhibitor of the NFκB pathway, particularly reduced the cell invasiveness in shLAPTM5 but did not alter the invasiveness of v-control U87MG cells, indicating that LAPTM5 knockdown
promotes higher cell invasiveness via NFκB pathway activation (Figure 4C).

Similarly, only U87MG LAPTM5 knockdown cells were highly sensitive to treatment with the NFκB inhibitor JSH-23, resulting in a significant inhibition of clonogenicity, which was not demonstrated in control cells (Figure 4D, left panel).

As LAPTM5 was shown to sensitize CD40-positive U87MG glioblastoma cells to temozolomide and inhibited the NFκB pathway, the functional role of potential NFκB-mediated temozolomide resistance in LAPTM5 knockdown cells as well as the connection with CD40 expression was further investigated.

Consistent with the previous data (Figure 3A), temozolomide relevantly reduced clonogenicity in v-control U87MG cells, whereas shLAPTM5 cells were more resistant against temozolomide treatment. Moreover, NFκB inhibition specifically sensitized shLAPTM5 U87MG cells to temozolomide treatment, resulting in a comparable inhibitory efficacy of temozolomide on clonogenicity in LAPTM5 knockdown and v-control control cells (Figure 4D, left panel).

Importantly, the differences in response to temozolomide and JSH-23 treatment vanished after knockdown of CD40 in U87MG v-control and shLAPTM5 cells. After CD40 knockdown, not only the higher clonogenicity but also the temozolomide resistance effect of the LAPTM5 knockdown cells could not be observed anymore. Furthermore, no relevant response to the inhibition of the NFκB pathway with JSH-23 treatment was seen in LAPTM5 and CD40 double-knockdown cells (Figure 4D, left panel).

Aiming to exclude potential cell line artifacts, CD40-negative LN229 as well as LN308 control and shLAPTM5 cells were further examined with respect to responsiveness to temozolomide and NFκB inhibition. Consistent with the data in CD40-silenced U87MG cells, the knockdown of LAPTM5 did not confer resistance against temozolomide. Moreover, NFκB inhibition had no relevant effect on shLAPTM5 or v-control control cells regardless of co-treatment with temozolomide. However, the overexpression of CD40 induced a marked resistance against temozolomide in LN229 and LN308 shLAPTM5 cells, but not in v-control control cells. Of note is that again only CD40-positive shLAPTM5 cells showed relevant sensitivity to NFκB inhibition, which was able to level the shLAPTM5-induced temozolomide resistance (Figure 4D, middle and right panel). In conclusion, these data demonstrate that LAPTM5 mediates the sensitivity to temozolomide by the inhibition of CD40-induced NFκB pathway activation in CD40-positive glioblastoma cells.

The Prognostic Value of LAPTM5 Is Dependent on CD40 Abundance

To validate our hypothesis that LAPTM5 acts as a potential tumor suppressor in CD40 high-expressing tumors, we evaluated its prognostic value in the TCGA-GBM cohort (Figure 5A). Methylation array data were used to identify similar patients based on associations between methylation patterns and CD40 expression (Figures 5B–D), which were then used to define reasonable cutoffs for CD40 expression based on a ROC analysis (Figure 5F). For the cohort with both methylation and RNASeq data, a survival analysis showed a significant interaction term between the methylation-derived CD40 cluster and LAPTM5 expression: increasing expression leads to a risk decrease in CD40 tumors in contrast to CD40 low tumors (Figure 5E). Next, the complete RNASeq cohort was evaluated by utilizing the previously derived classification into CD40 low/high tumors. Importantly, neither CD40 nor LAPTM5 expression alone was able to prognostically separate the patients (Figure 5G, Supplemental Figure 5). In CD40 high tumors, a higher LAPTM5 expression is associated with better prognosis, while it is associated with a worse prognosis in CD40 low-expressing tumors (Figure 5I, Supplemental Figure 5). To exclude confounding of survival analysis by immune effects, CD40 and LAPTM5 expression was correlated with the signature of different immune cells. Here higher LAPTM5 expression was
associated with a higher amount of M2-polarized macrophage levels as compared to LAPTM5 low tumors in CD40 high tumors analyzed by CIBERSORT (32) (Figure 5H).

DISCUSSION

This study describes the inhibiting effects of LAPTM5 on tumorigenicity in CD40-positive glioblastoma and its role as a negative regulator of CD40-mediated NFκB signaling. LAPTM5 relevantly inhibits the clonogenicity and the invasiveness of CD40-positive glioblastoma cells. In addition, as shown in vitro and in vivo, LAPTM5 sensitizes CD40-positive glioblastoma cells to temozolomide treatment, the standard chemotherapy for glioblastoma patients. These LAPTM5-induced tumor-suppressing effects are mediated by the inhibition of the CD40-dependent NFκB pathway activation. Finally, a TCGA analysis revealed that a higher expression of LAPTM5 has a positive effect on survival in CD40-positive glioblastoma patients.

Previous studies in other tumor entities already suggested the potential role of LAPTM5 in tumorigenesis (8). However, the role of LAPTM5 had not been assessed in the prototypical invasive tumor glioblastoma so far. We here demonstrate that LAPTM5 inhibits tumorigenicity in glioblastoma based
FIGURE 5 | The prognostic value of LAPTM5 is dependent on CD40 abundance. (A) Evaluated The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA)-glioblastoma multiforme methylome (450 k array) and RNASeq datasets. (B) Associations between CD40 expression and methylation array probes (linear model) with Bonferroni adjusted (Continued)
on functional in vitro and in vivo experiments and in silico analysis. Importantly, we show that the observed LAPTM5-mediated tumor-suppressive and temozolomide-sensitizing effects are exclusively observed in CD40-expressing glioblastoma cells, indicating an intact CD40–LAPTM5 axis as a prerequisite for the tumor-suppressive activity of LAPTM5. Silencing of CD40 in CD40-positive U87MG cells abolished the pro-tumorigenic and temozolomide-resistant properties of LAPTM5 knockdown cells, whereas CD40 overexpression in primarily CD40-negative glioblastoma cells recreated the aggressive phenotype and temozolomide resistance of LAPTM5 knockdown cells. An interaction between LAPTM5 and the CD40 receptor was previously shown in B cells (11). Here, for the first time, we could demonstrate the link between CD40 and LAPTM5 in glioblastoma and characterize the role of the CD40–LAPTM5 axis in tumorigenicity.

CD40 represents an emerging immune-modulating target in cancer treatment (12). In glioblastoma, CD40-based immunotherapy showed an inhibitory effect on tumor growth in preclinical glioblastoma models in vivo (21). Beyond these immune-modulating effects, CD40 signaling was shown to be involved in apoptosis and the neovascularization of glioma cells (37, 38). In this study, we demonstrated that CD40 signaling plays a key role for LAPTM5-mediated effects on invasion, clonogenicity, and sensitivity to temozolomide treatment. A further pathway analysis demonstrated that LAPTM5 mediates these tumor-suppressing and temozolomide-sensitizing effects by the inhibition of CD40-induced NFκB pathway activation. The activation of the NFκB pathway by CD40 signaling has been previously reported in B cells and is consistent with our data (39, 40). Our results indicate that CD40 activates the NFκB pathway in glioblastoma cells only in the absence of LAPTM5 expression, leading to enhanced tumorigenicity and resistance against temozolomide. As CD40-mediated NFκB activation seems to be required for specific LAPTM5-mediated effects, these molecular mechanisms also explain the absence of LAPTM5-dependent effects in CD40-negative glioblastoma cells as summarized in Figure 6.

In addition, the results are in line with previous studies supporting the role of NFκB pathway activation in promoting glioblastoma cell invasiveness and resistance to alkylating chemotherapies (41, 42).

Personalized therapies based on molecular alterations are increasingly in the focus of neuro-oncological treatment strategies requiring optimal patient selection guided by biomarkers for effective treatment allocation. In this regard, the presented data reasonably suggest LAPTM5 expression status as a potential biomarker for sensitivity to temozolomide treatment in CD40-positive glioblastoma.

It has been shown that most high-grade gliomas express CD40 with an observed high CD40 expression in about 40% of the tumor samples in patient-derived tumor tissue (37). Although the screening of our glioblastoma cell lines and primary glioblastoma-initiating cell lines revealed only U87MG glioblastoma cells to be positive for CD40 membrane expression, which is in line with previously published data and might be due to a cell culture artifact (37), the expression data of the tumor tissue suggest that CD40 signaling might be relevant for a large subgroup of patients with high-grade glioma. Furthermore, we demonstrated that high LAPTM5 expression is associated with improved overall survival in CD40 high-expressing glioblastoma. As CD40 is known to be involved in several immune effects, we excluded that the observed association of CD40 high-expressing and LAPTM5 high-expressing gliomas with better prognosis is related to differences in the signature of immune cells. Here we only found a stronger gene signature for M2-polarized macrophages which are known to be associated with even a worse prognosis (43, 44). Therefore, the positive prognostic value of high CD40 and LAPTM5 expression is attributed to the tumor tissue and not to the immune cells. Previous studies demonstrated contradicting results about the correlation of CD40 expression with the survival of glioma patients (20, 21). This might be explained by the differences of LAPTM5 expression in the tumors which were not analyzed in these studies. Of note is that the in silico analysis did not reveal a relevant association of CD40 or LAPTM5 alone with overall survival.

In addition, our data indicate that enhancing CD40 signaling might even have undesirable pro-tumorigenic effects in LAPTM5-negative tumors or parts of the tumors such as the invasive front where low LAPTM5–expressing cells might cluster due to the pro-invasive phenotype. Here, CD40 induction might lead to a further activation of the NFκB pathway and thereby to resistance toward temozolomide treatment and fostering the aggressiveness of gliomas.

In conclusion, we identified LAPTM5 as a negative regulator of CD40-mediated NFκB signaling in glioblastoma. CD40 activated the NFκB pathway in cells depleted for LAPTM5 expression, promoting tumor growth and resistance to temozolomide, which could be overcome by NFκB inhibition. The in silico analysis revealed that CD40 high-expressing and LAPTM5 high-expressing gliomas had a better prognosis, which was attributed to the tumor tissue and not to the immune cells. Based on patient survival data and functional in vitro and in vivo experiments, we concluded that LAPTM5 acts as a tumor suppressor in CD40-positive gliomas (Figure 6). In addition,
FIGURE 6 | CD40–LAPTM5 crosstalk in glioblastoma invasion and temozolomide resistance. (A) LAPTM5 was found to be downregulated in the invasive front of U87MG cells in an in vivo screen for invasion-associated genes. A total of 47,400 transcripts by ∼200,000 shRNA sequences were transduced in U87MG cells to

(Continued)
we demonstrated an important role of CD40 in $\text{LAPTM5}$-mediated effects on tumorigenicity and sensitivity to alkylating chemotherapy beyond the known immune-modulating effects of CD40. Therefore, the data indicated that the focus of CD40-stimulating anti-tumor therapies should not exclusively be on the immune compartment.

As CD40 expression was frequently detected in glioblastoma tissue samples and is a prerequisite for the tumor-suppressive activity of $\text{LAPTM5}$, these data provide relevant molecular insights into a potentially new mechanism of resistance against temozolomide in a relevant proportion of glioblastoma patients. Furthermore, based on the presented results, the $\text{LAPTM5}$ expression status provides a potential new biomarker for response to temozolomide treatment in CD40-positive glioblastoma, which merits further evaluation in clinical practice.
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