Spatial correlations of trapped 1D bosons in an optical lattice
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We investigate a quasi-one dimensional system of trapped cold bosonic atoms in an optical lattice by using the density matrix renormalization group to study the Bose-Hubbard model at $T = 0$ for experimentally realistic numbers of lattice sites. It is shown that a properly rescaled one-particle density matrix characterizes superfluid versus insulating states just as in the homogeneous system. For typical parabolic traps we also confirm the widely used local density approach for describing correlations in the limit of weak interaction. Finally, we note that the superfluid to Mott-insulating transition is seen most directly in the half width of the interference peak.

During the last years enormous progress was made in the experimental manipulation of cold atoms in optical lattices. Recently, Greiner et al. [1] succeeded in driving a transition between a superfluid (SF) and a Mott-insulating (MI) state in a system of ultracold bosonic atoms in an optical lattice as predicted by Jaksch et al. [2]. In contrast to solid state realizations the experimental setup involves the application of an additional parabolic trapping potential that causes a state in which the two phases, though spatially separated, coexist [3]. Due to the inhomogeneity the usual characterization of the SF to MI transition by the asymptotic behaviour of the one-particle density matrix does not apply. Motivated by this, we use the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [4] to study how the parabolic confining potential influences the one-particle density matrix and its Fourier transform, which is related to the interference pattern observed in the experiments [3]. We find that by a simple rescaling, the decay of the correlations can be used to characterize the occuring states, just as in the homogeneous case. We further confirm the applicability of the standard local density approximation to the inhomogeneous system [3] for weak interactions by comparing it to the DMRG results for the correlation functions. Studying experimentally accessible quantities we find that the half width of the interference peak contains the essential information about the state of the system.

Model: Ultracold bosonic atoms in an optical lattice [3] can be described by a Bose-Hubbard model

\[ H = -J \sum_j (b_j^\dagger b_{j+1}^\dagger + h.c.) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_j \hat{n}_j (\hat{n}_j - 1) + \sum_j \varepsilon_j \hat{n}_j, \]

where $b_j^\dagger$ and $b_j$ are the creation and annihilation operators on site $j$ and $\hat{n}_j = b_j^\dagger b_j$ is the number operator [7]. This Hamiltonian describes the interplay between the kinetic energy due to the next-neighbour hopping with amplitude $J$ and the repulsive onsite interaction $U$ of the atoms. By tuning the lattice depth in the experiment, the parameter $u = U/J$ can be varied over several orders of magnitude. To investigate the properties of the 1D Bose-Hubbard model, we apply the DMRG, a quasi-exact numerical method, very well suited to study strongly correlated quasi 1D quantum systems with a large number of sites at zero temperature [5]. It has been successfully applied to spin, fermionic and bosonic quantum systems including the homogeneous [6] and the disordered [10] Bose-Hubbard model. We used the finite size DMRG algorithm [8] which is better suited for an inhomogeneous system, since it gives the system the possibility to evolve further after the final length of the system is reached. Additionally some tricks are applied to circumvent problems which arise due to the sparse filling at the boundaries. The numerical results were tested to be convergent in the cut-offs used for the length of the system, the number of states kept for the Hilbert space, and the number of states allowed per site. Uncertainties given below are determined by comparing data of different parameter sets.

State diagram: The confining trap of the experiment [1] which consists of a magnetic trap and the confining component of the laser which generates the optical lattice, can be modeled by setting $\varepsilon_j = V_{\text{trap}}^0 (a(j - j_0))^2$ in Eq. (1), where $a$ is the lattice constant. We choose the strength of the trap proportional to the onsite interaction, i.e. $V_{\text{trap}}^0 = a_0 U$, since this guarantees that when the optical lattice depth, corresponding to the parameter $u$ in the Bose-Hubbard model, is changed, the size of the system does not vary much for a fixed particle number. This is consistent with the experimental realization, in which the total size of the condensate is essentially independent of the lattice depth. In the presence of a parabolic trap at average filling of approximately one particle per site, one can distinguish three states of the system (see [2, 3]): (a) for $u < u_{c1}$, the particle occupancy is incommensurate over the whole system; (b) for $u_{c1} < u < u_{c2}$, regions with incommensurate and commensurate occupancy coexist; and (c) for $u > u_{c2}$, the main part of the system is locked to commensurate filling and only at the boundaries small incommensurate regions exist. For small particle numbers, state (b) does not occur. A sketch of the state diagram is presented in Fig. (4)(A). The insets show the characteristic shape of the particle distribution for the three states. For state (b) the exact locations of the interface between the commensurate and the incommensurate regions are difficult
to determine. This is due to the fact that these sites correspond in the homogeneous system to the critical parameter regimes at the phase transition, where strong fluctuations and extreme sensitivity to boundary conditions make a numerical investigation very difficult.

Rescaled correlations: To get a better understanding of the three states (a)-(c), we study the properties of the rescaled one-particle density matrix,\

$$C_j(r) = \langle b_j^* b_{j+r} \rangle / \sqrt{n_j n_{j+r}}, \quad (2)$$

in which the leading density dependence of $b_j \propto \sqrt{n_j}$ is divided out. In the absence of density fluctuations $C_j(r)$ is just the pure phase correlation function $\langle \phi_j \phi_{j+r} \rangle$. At the two particle level, the equivalent step is going from the two-particle density $\rho^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$ to the dimensionless two particle distribution function $g^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \rho^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)/\rho^{(1)}(x_1)\rho^{(1)}(x_2)$. Remarkably, we find that by this simple rescaling, the signatures of the SF and MI phases in the homogeneous system, namely an algebraic or exponential decay, $C_j(r) \propto |r|^{-K/2}$ and $\propto \exp(-|r|)/\xi$, respectively, can be recovered approximately even in the presence of a parabolic confining potential. For weak interactions, $u \leq u_{c1}$, [Fig. 2 (a)] $C_j(r)$ decays approximately algebraically with $r$. In the intermediate regime, $u_{c1} < u < u_{c2}$, [Fig. 2 (b)] the decay in the regions where the density is incommensurate is still algebraic, whereas in the regions where the density is locked, it shows an exponential behaviour. Increasing the interaction further, $u \geq u_{c2}$, [Fig. 2 (c)] the incommensurate regions disappear and the correlations decay exponentially.

Hydrodynamical approach: It is instructive to compare the numerically exact DMRG results to a hydrodynamical treatment of the interacting 1D Bose gas combined with a local density approximation. In the hydrodynamical approach the low-energy fluctuations of the system are described by two conjugate fields, the phase fluctuations $\phi(x)$ and the density fluctuations $\theta(x)$.

This approach can be generalized to the case of inhomogeneous systems by taking the density fluctuations around a smooth, spatially dependent density profile $n(x)$. An equivalent procedure was used for 1D Fermionic gases by Recati et al. [12]. The Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \int dx \left\{ \nu_j(x)(\partial_x \phi)^2 + \nu_N(x)(\partial_x \theta - \pi n(x))^2 \right\} ,$$

precisely as in the homogeneous case, except that $n(x)$, and therefore $\nu_j(x) = \pi h n(x)/m$ and $\nu_N(x) = (\pi h)^{-1}(\partial n(x)/\partial x)|_{n=n(x)}$, now depend on $x$. To account for the inhomogeneity, the local density approximation $\mu[n(x)] + V(x) = \mu[n(0)]$ was used to obtain the mean density profile [13]. Based on this approximation Gangardt and Shlyapnikov [4] have shown that the normalized matrix elements of the one-particle density matrix are given by:

$$C(x) := \frac{\langle b_j^* b_{j+(-x)} \rangle}{\sqrt{n(x)n(-x)}} = \left( \frac{|2x|}{l_c(x)} \right)^{-K/2} , \quad (3)$$

where $K$ is the exponent and $l_c$ the longitudinal correlation length. Eq. (3) is derived assuming $|2x| \gg l_c$. Specializing to weak interaction, i.e. $\gamma \equiv 1/dn \ll 1$, the approximations $l_c(x) \approx \sqrt{d/\pi n(x)}$ and $K(x) \approx 1/(\pi \sqrt{d n(x)})$ hold, where $d \propto l_\perp^2/a_{3D}$ is the characteristic length of the interaction. $d$ depends on the 3D scattering length $a_{3D}$ and the amplitude $l_\perp$ of the transverse zero point oscillation. The condition $|2x| \gg l_c$ breaks down at the
boundaries, where \( n(x) \) vanishes causing a divergence in \( l_c(x) \). Comparing [Eq. (3)] to the quasi-exact results of DMRG, it turns out that the local density approach describes very well the rescaled correlations in the inhomogeneous systems for \( \gamma \leq 2 \). To this end we fitted the function \( C(x) \) [Eq. (3)] to the corresponding DMRG results, using only \( d \) as fitting parameter [Fig. 2]. We find very good agreement in the bulk of the SF states in both, the purely SF state [Fig. 3 (a)] and the coexistence state [Fig. 3 (b)]. The quality of the agreement is somewhat surprising, because the pure state (\( \gamma = 0.6 \)) and the coexistence state (\( \gamma = 1.7 \)) are in an intermediate regime between the Thomas-Fermi limit \( (\gamma \ll 1) \) and the Tonks gas \( (\gamma \gg 1) \), where the density profile is no longer parabolic [13].

Interference pattern: We investigate how the information contained in the interference pattern is influenced by the confining potential. If the interaction between the atoms after switching off the confining potential is weak, i.e. \( E_{\text{pot}} \ll E_{\text{kin}} \), the measured absorption images reflect the momentum distribution obtained from the Fourier transform of the one-particle density matrix [5]

\[
I(k) \propto \rho(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j,j'=1}^M e^{i(j-j')ak} \langle b_j^\dagger b_{j'} \rangle, \tag{4}
\]

where \( M \) is the number of sites in the chain and \( N \) the total number of particles. For the parameters studied here, the approximation of a negligible contribution of the interaction energy to the time of flight images is valid for all momenta in the second or in higher Brillouin-zones. Indeed, these momenta are of order \( 2\hbar \pi s/L \), where \( s \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( s > M \). Thus \( E_{\text{pot}}/E_{\text{kin}} \propto n_{3D}(4\pi^2 s^2 a^3)/(\pi^{3/2} s L) \propto a^{5/2} \propto 10^{-2} \) for \( n_{3D} < 1.5/\pi^2 \) and \( a_s/a \) like in [11].

The function \( \rho(k) \) has been studied for very small systems numerically [14], with the hydrodynamical approach [15] for a 1D homogeneous system and for the confined system in 3D [5] and 1D [16]. In Fig. 3 we plot the DMRG results (symbols) for the function \( \rho(k) \) for several values of the parameter \( u \), comparing the homogeneous system \((\epsilon_1 = 0)\) with open boundary conditions (A) to the parabolic system (B). In the homogeneous system with commensurate filling, \( n = N/M = 1 \) [Fig. 3 (A)] we find a very sharp peak at small momenta for \( u < u_c \). If \( u \) is increased, the peak height decreases smoothly. The half width \( w \) [Fig. 3 (A)], however, shows a clear upturn. This upturn signifies a phase transition, since it stems from the behaviour of the correlation length \( \xi (\propto w^{-1}) \), which diverges in the SF phase \((\xi \propto L)\) and becomes finite in the MI phase \((\xi \propto \Delta^{-1}, \Delta \text{ is the energy gap})\). For the parabolic system [Fig. 3 (B)], the interference pattern for small and large \( u \) is similar to the interference pattern in the homogeneous system. In the intermediate regime, however, it shows a more complex behaviour, which is most clearly evident in \( w \) [Fig. 3 (B)]. For small particle numbers \((N = 40)\), \( w \) is very small for \( u < u_c \) and rises continuously for \( u > u_c \). In contrast, for larger particle numbers \((N = 50, 60)\) three different regimes corresponding to the three different states in Fig. 3 are observed: (a) for \( u < u_c \), \( w \) is very small, (b) for \( u_c < u < u_{c2} \), \( w \) rises slowly, until at \( u \sim u_{c2} \) it shows a sudden jump-like increase, (c) for \( u > u_{c2} \), it continues to rise strongly. That means that in the SF (A) and the MI (C) state the behaviour of \( w \) resembles that of the homogeneous system. This is as expected, since the rescaled correlations show the same decay as in the corresponding homogeneous phases. In the intermediate regime (B), however, it shows a new behaviour, a slow increase, which is due to the coexistence of the SF and the MI state. The SF region determines mainly the height of the interference peak, while its broadening is due to the presence of the MI region. In the crossover region between the totally incommensurate and the coexistence region, the interference pattern shows additional oscillations with period
characteristic quantities $C_j(r)$ in the inhomogeneous system. Once the characteristic quantities $K$ and $\xi$ have been identified (in this case by fitting to DMRG results), our simple rescaling procedure captures most of the essential observable physics. To illustrate this we show in Fig. 4(A) in addition to the DMRG results, results (lines) obtained by approximating $\langle b_j^\dagger b_{j'} \rangle$ in Eq. (4) by $A|j - j'|^{-K/2} \text{ and } Be^{-|j - j'|/\xi}$ for small and large $u$, respectively. The values of $K$ and $\xi$ are determined by fitting $\langle b_j^\dagger b_{j} \rangle$ to DMRG results (not shown here). The constants $A$ and $B$ are chosen such that the value at $k = 0$ agrees with the DMRG results. In Fig. 4(B) the approximation (lines) are obtained analogously by taking the density scaling into account, i.e. replacing $\langle b_j^\dagger b_{j} \rangle$ by the algebraically and the exponentially decaying functions times the scaling factor $\sqrt{n_j n_{j'}}$. We use the density distribution $n_j = n_0(1 - (j - j_0)^2/R^2)$ for $u = 1$, and $n_j = 1$ for $u = 9$. The parameters $K$ and $\xi$ are determined by fitting the rescaled correlation functions. Comparing the DMRG data to the approximation we see in Fig. 4 that this simple approximation works very well for small values of $ku$; in particular, it reproduces the correct shape of the peak [even including the small non-monotonities which are due to the finite sum in Eq. (4)]. This underlines that $\rho(k)$ is mainly determined by the decay of the (un)scaled correlations. Clearly our calculations in 1D cannot be compared quantitatively with the experiments in a 3D lattice [18]. Recently, however, an array of truly 1D Bose systems has been created [17]. With an additional lattice potential our predictions can then be tested quantitatively [15]. In the experimental realization one typically has several 1D systems next to each other with different particle number, hence the location of the sharp upturn in the half width [Fig. 4(B)], will be smeared out, since the critical value $u_c$ depends on the particle number. Nevertheless, we expect in particular the strong, jump-like increase between the coexistence state and the MI state to remain observable.

In conclusion, we have found that the correlation functions of a parabolically confined system, after a remarkably simple rescaling, show approximately the familiar algebraic and exponential behaviour of the SF and MI phases in the homogeneous system. We investigated as well the applicability of the local density approximation in a parabolic system in the limit of weak interaction and find good agreement with the DMRG results. Moreover, if the experimental system consists of 1D tubes with almost the same average filling, the half width of the interference peak can be used to distinguish the different types of states that occur experimentally.
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