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The UK has a well-established programme of Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths and a national system for research into near-miss maternal morbidities, the UK Obstetric Surveillance System. The addition of a programme of near-miss case reviews, the Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Morbidity, permits a complete examination of the incidence, risk factors, care and outcomes of the severest complications in pregnancy, and enables the lessons learnt to improve future care to be identified more quickly. This in turn allows for more rapid inclusion of recommendations into national guidance and hence the potential of better health for both women and babies.
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Introduction

The UK is uniquely positioned in having not only a world-renowned Confidential Enquiry into Maternal Death (CEMD), which has operated continually since 1952, but also a national system to conduct research into rare and severe pregnancy complications, the UK Obstetric Surveillance System (UKOSS). The CEMD, now run by MBRRACE-UK and described in a linked paper, is an essential part of the patient safety and risk management structure within UK maternity services, and has had important positive impacts on maternal deaths from specific causes, notably thromboembolic disease, and among specific vulnerable groups, such as Black African women. Participation in MBRRACE-UK is mandatory in England. UKOSS is a well-established data collection system that operates throughout obstetric units in the UK, and allows for research and audit covering a changing series of uncommon pregnancy complications, including specifically ‘near-miss’ maternal morbidities. Participation in UKOSS is not mandatory, but is undertaken as part of hospitals’ commitment to ongoing improvement in quality evidence-based care. By collecting tailored information on specific near-miss morbidities, UKOSS can be used to address a wide range of research questions that cannot be answered through studies using routine hospital data, as well as audit care against national guidelines. UKOSS studies can generate information on disease incidence or prevalence, risk and prognostic factors, variations in management as well as maternal and perinatal outcomes. UKOSS therefore complements and extends the utility of surveillance of maternal deaths, providing denominator data and allowing for calculation of case fatality rates and examining risk factors associated with mortality.

UKOSS identifies near-miss cases through a routine monthly mailing to nominated reporting midwives, obstetricians and anaesthetists in all consultant obstetrician-led maternity units in the UK. Clinical staff are asked to indicate on their reporting card whether there have been any women with specific severe morbidities admitted to their unit over the previous month. Notably, they are also asked to return their report card indicating if there have been no cases, in this way participation rates can be monitored, and an average of 93% of cards are returned each month. The majority of cards are returned within a month of mailing, which represents a maximum of two months from the occurrence of the case. It is important to confirm when there have been no cases through this negative surveillance method, as near-miss morbidities are rare, and a few missed cases may impact on incidence estimates. When a clinician reports a near-miss case, they are then sent a data collection form collecting anonymous information on the
woman’s demographic and clinical characteristics, management and outcomes. For some studies, data are also collected on comparison women, providing information representative of the population of women giving birth as a whole, and so allowing for quantification of risk associated with maternal demographic and other characteristics.

The conditions studied through UKOSS change over time, according to the key questions and challenges identified from within UK maternity services. No attempt is made to define severe maternal morbidity as an entity, or to conduct ongoing surveillance of an unchanging list of conditions. This topic-based approach to study of near-miss conditions allows for new studies to be introduced when there are specific clinical questions to be addressed, prevents data collection fatigue, and minimises the data collection burden on reporting clinicians. Examples of near-miss conditions studied through UKOSS include uterine rupture, eclampsia, pulmonary embolism and placenta accreta, and the system was used to introduce a rapid study of pregnant women admitted to hospital with influenza A H1N1 during the 2009 pandemic.

The UK Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Morbidities

The added value of near-miss case reviews to confidential enquiries into maternal deaths

The two UK systems described above allow for surveillance studies of both maternal deaths and near-miss events, and for confidential case reviews of maternal deaths. Confidential case reviews, more commonly known as confidential enquiries, enable detailed examination of the quality of care of individual cases against national guidelines or accepted best practice by a multidisciplinary group of independent experts. In the UK, where maternal deaths are uncommon, it is increasingly recognised that the events surrounding individual maternal deaths may be unique. Near-miss maternal morbidities are more common, and therefore confidential enquiries into maternal morbidity may generate more generalisable messages to improve care, and allow for a more rapid review and reporting cycle. Additionally, the care of women who survive can be compared with that of those who die. It is clear that near-miss complications can have very long-lasting impacts, both mental and physical, on women and their partners, and near-miss case reviews have the potential to allow for the development of recommendations to prevent both short-term and long-term additional morbidity. Because the women concerned survive, near-miss case reviews can also be seen as less threatening by the staff involved, and, perhaps most importantly, allow for the perspectives of women and their partners on their care to be taken into account.
identified, which need to be addressed by high-level policy actions and are unlikely to be identified by simple audits. Lessons learnt to improve future care are drawn together in an annual report together with the lessons from the CEMD.

**Maternal sepsis—a case study**

The topic chosen for the MBRRACE-UK CEMM in 2013 is maternal sepsis, based on its identification as a leading cause of maternal death in the UK, and one of very few causes of maternal death that appears to be increasing in frequency. A UKOSS case–control study of maternal sepsis was carried out between 2011 and 2012, which identified 365 women with severe maternal sepsis, an estimated 5 per 10 000 women delivering. Of these women, 18% had septic shock and survived. A stratified random sample of the women with septic shock who survived has been selected, and data are currently being collected in order that the care of these women may be examined against key standards. The key standards of care against which the care of these women may be examined against selected, and data are currently being collected in order that the care of these women may be examined against key standards. The key standards of care against which cases are assessed have been identified by the Topic Expert Group; the role of the Topic Expert Group additionally is to advise MBRRACE-UK on appropriate evidence-based actions to address the lessons learnt from the confidential case reviews once complete, and to peer review the resulting report and recommendations. Specifically members of the Topic Expert Group do not conduct the confidential case reviews, but provide an additional cadre of expertise to ensure that both messages for care and messages for future research are drawn from the CEMM findings. The contrasting questions addressed by the UKOSS study and by the CEMM are outlined in Box 1.

**Box 1. A comparison of questions addressed by a UKOSS study of severe maternal sepsis and MBRRACE-UK confidential case reviews of cases of maternal septic shock**

**UKOSS study: all women in the UK with severe sepsis**
- What is the incidence of severe maternal sepsis in the UK?
- What are the risk factors for severe maternal sepsis?
- What are the main causative organisms?
- How is severe maternal sepsis managed in the UK?
- What are the outcomes for mother and infant?
- Are there any factors that are associated with poor outcomes?

**MBRRACE-UK CEMM: a sample of women with septic shock**
- Are women cared for according to current guidelines?
- Are there any differences in care for women who die and women who survive?
- Are there any system failures which might be addressed to improve care for women with sepsis?
- Are there any lessons to be learned to improve future care and hence future outcomes for women with severe sepsis?

All maternal deaths from sepsis will also be subject to Confidential Enquiry, and so at the completion of this work we will have a comprehensive picture of the epidemiology, management and outcomes of severe maternal sepsis in the UK. A key advantage of the Confidential Enquiry into sepsis morbidity cases is a detailed examination of care across the whole patient pathway, and a comparison of this with the care of women who die. This will enable identification of public health, hospital and system problems in addition to problematic individual clinical care. The CEMM, coupled with the quantitative information on the burden of disease and population risk factors from the UKOSS study, will therefore provide additional messages to enable changes in high-level health policy and improvement in both hospital and primary-care services.

**The added value of near-miss case reviews to UKOSS studies of severe maternal morbidity**

The added value of assessing cases in a confidential enquiry alongside the UKOSS case–control study is that with the UKOSS quantitative data we can estimate the incidence of sepsis, describe the pathogenesis and management, identify risk factors, and quantify the outcomes. As a qualitative narrative approach the confidential enquiry adds clinical context from real-life situations, enabling us to understand not just the ‘what’ but also the ‘why’. Individual care is assessed against accepted standards and although not generating new evidence to change practice, this process helps us to identify when current practice is not evidence-based, or when evidence to guide practice is lacking. The ‘stories’ generated from confidential enquiries are uniquely persuasive in changing practice and the vignette element of the CEMM report is retained for this reason. Combining the information from the UKOSS sepsis study, an essentially quantitative approach, with the qualitative information from the confidential enquiries will provide powerful evidence on which to base recommendations for future improvements in care.

**Conclusion and future directions**

The UK has a well-established programme of CEMD and a national system for research into near-miss maternal morbidities. The addition of a programme of near-miss case reviews, the CEMM, permits a complete examination of the incidence, risk factors, care and outcomes of the severest complications in pregnancy, and enables the lessons learnt to improve future care to be identified more
quickly. This in turn allows for more rapid inclusion of recommendations into national guidance and hence the potential of better health for both women and babies. Alongside sepsis, psychiatric disorders and cardiac disease have also been identified as leading causes of maternal death in the UK. Further confidential enquiries into severe maternal morbidities are planned to address these key areas, focusing on postpartum psychosis and pregnant women with replacement heart valves. There is clear added value to be obtained through the integration of quantitative, qualitative and confidential enquiry methods to investigate maternal deaths and near-miss events and promote continuing quality improvement in maternity care.
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