The nitro group is considered an important and unique functional group within medicinal chemistry, owing to its strong electron withdrawing ability and hydrogen bonding ability. The introduction of a nitro group to (hetero)arenes typically has a pronounced effect on the parent molecule's biological activity and generally causes marked changes in their physical (e.g., lipophilicity and solubility) and chemical properties. As the need for more novel drugs becomes paramount, medicinal chemists have begun to reevaluate the use of nitro groups within clinical candidates, and thus their synthesis remains an important area of research. As part of an ongoing program within our group to design and synthesise novel anti-tuberculosis drugs containing nitro groups, we became very interested in 3-nitrobenzothiophenes due to the fact that these scaffolds are privileged structures within drug discovery. A literature search for these compounds showed that the synthetic methods to access 3-nitrobenzothiophenes are not well-developed. The majority of reported strategies rely on the nitration of benzothiazoles through electrophilic substitution reactions in fuming nitric acid. Although successful, this approach has several major disadvantages including poor regioselectivity, low yield, and large amounts of harmful by-products. In particular, the regioselective nitration of benzothiazoles containing other electron-rich (hetero)arenes is difficult, impeding their application in further biological studies. Thus, the development of novel, green, and efficient methods for construction of 3-nitrobenzothiophenes and their derivatives is highly desirable.

Recently, significant progress in the synthesis of nitroalkenes via radical addition to alkenes has been achieved in an atom- and step-economical manner. However, methods for constructing nitroalkenes via 2-nitrovinyl species generated from the addition of a reactive nitrogen dioxide radical to alkynes is much less common. This is almost certainly due to the difficulty of trapping out these 2-nitrovinyl intermediates (σ sp² radicals) due to their inherent instability and subsequent short lifetime. To date, only few examples have described the use of 2-nitrovinyl radicals in the difunctionalisation of alkynes.
through subsequent C–I, C–O, or C–C bond formation.\(^6\) However, to the best of our knowledge, 2-nitrovinyl radicals have not been used for C–S bond-forming reactions. Based on our previous experience in radical cyclisation reactions and preparing nitro-containing heterocycles,\(^6\) we envisaged the possibility of trapping these 2-nitrovinyl radicals using sulfur. This approach would provide the community with ready access to 3-nitrobenzothiophenes in a highly regioselective manner (Fig. 1b). At the outset of this study, we envisaged two major challenges to overcome. Firstly, we have to control the reactivity of the NO\(_2\) radical, allowing it to selectively attack the alkyne. This then produces our desired vinyl radical that undergoes cyclisation and affords the desired product. Secondly, we had to ensure that we used a solvent that inhibited the unproductive oxidation of theioether.

We began our investigation employing methyl(2-(phenyl-ethynyl)phenyl)sulfane (1a) as the model substrate in the presence of 2.5 equiv. of \(t\)-BuONO in \(N,N\)-dimethylformamide (DMF) under air at 110 \(\pm\) 1\(\degree\)C for 12 h (entry 1, Table 1). We obtained 2a in 55% yield with its structure confirmed by X-ray crystallography.\(^{10}\) To get an insight into the reaction, we performed some mass balance experiments and observed large quantities of unwanted sulfoxide by-products. To avoid this, we screened other NO\(_2\) sources in an attempt to optimize the reaction. After some experimentation we observed that treatment of substrate 1a with the heterogeneous nitration system (KNO\(_2\)/K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) or NaNO\(_2\)/K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\)) in CH\(_3\)CN,\(^{11}\) 2a was produced in 80% and 87%, respectively (Table 1, entries 2 and 3). The reaction proceeded equally well under an argon atmosphere. The investigation of the reaction temperature showed that 110 \(\pm\) 1\(\degree\)C was the optimum temperature for this transformation (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). We then examined the effect of other solvent systems on the model reaction (Table 1, entries 6–11) and found that CH\(_3\)CN the optimum choice. Replacing K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) with other oxidants, such as Na\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\), (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\), and O\(_2\) decreased the yield (Table 1, entries 12–14). In addition, decreasing the amount of K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) or NaNO\(_2\) led to a lower yield (Table 1, entries 15–17). Consequently, the optimised conditions were 2.0 equiv. of NaNO\(_2\) and 2.0 equiv. of K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) in CH\(_3\)CN under air at 110 \(\pm\) 1\(\degree\)C for 12 h.

With the optimised conditions in hand, we turned our attention to the scope and generality of the reaction using various 2-alkynylthioanisoles as substrates (Table 2). As shown the method was amenable to a variety of 2-alkynylthioanisoles with an aryl group at the R\(_1\) position, affording the desired products in moderate to good yields (Table 2, 2a–2o). Among them, halogens at various positions on the phenyl rings were compatible, allowing further transformations through traditional cross-coupling reactions. In addition, stronger electron-withdrawing substituents such as –CN or –NO\(_2\) on the phenyl ring gave yields of 55% and 46%, respectively (Table 2, 2j and 2k). The radical cyclisation reaction was also suitable for heterocyclic 2-alkynylthioanisoles and produced the corresponding products in acceptable yields (Table 2, 2l–2o). It is important to note here that in these examples, classical aromatic electrophilic nitration would provide the products

| Entry | Oxidant (equiv.) | NO\(_2\) source (equiv.) | Solvent | Temp. (\(\degree\)C) | Yield (%) |
|-------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| 1     | —               | \(t\)-BuONO (2.5)       | DMF     | 110             | 55      |
| 2     | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2) | KNO\(_2\) (2)            | CH\(_3\)CN | 110             | 80      |
| 3     | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2) | NaNO\(_2\) (2)           | CH\(_3\)CN | 110 (86\(^b\)) | 78      |
| 4     | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2) | NaNO\(_2\) (2)           | CH\(_3\)CN | 120             | 50      |
| 5     | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2) | NaNO\(_2\) (2)           | CH\(_3\)CN | 100             | 0       |
| 6     | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2) | NaNO\(_2\) (2)           | H\(_2\)O  | 110             | 50      |
| 7     | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2) | NaNO\(_2\) (2)           | C\(_2\)H\(_4\)OH | 110      | 0       |
| 8     | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2) | NaNO\(_2\) (2)           | EtOAc   | 110             | 54      |
| 9     | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2) | NaNO\(_2\) (2)           | H\(_2\)O/CH\(_3\)CN | 110      | 38      |
| 10    | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2) | NaNO\(_2\) (2)           | H\(_2\)O/EtOH | 110      | 0       |
| 11    | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2) | NaNO\(_2\) (2)           | H\(_2\)O/EtOAc | 110      | 52      |
| 12    | Na\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2) | NaNO\(_2\) (2)           | CH\(_3\)CN | 110             | 64      |
| 13    | (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2) | NaNO\(_2\) (2)           | CH\(_3\)CN | 110             | 16      |
| 14    | O\(_2\)          | NaNO\(_2\) (2)           | CH\(_3\)CN | 110             | 0       |
| 15    | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (1.5) | NaNO\(_2\) (2)          | CH\(_3\)CN | 110             | 67      |
| 16    | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (1)  | NaNO\(_2\) (2)          | CH\(_3\)CN | 110             | 44      |
| 17    | K\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\) (2)  | NaNO\(_2\) (1.5)        | CH\(_3\)CN | 110             | 58      |

\(^a\) Reaction conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), oxidant (0.4 mmol), nitrate (0.4 mmol), and solvent (1.5 mL) under air at indicated temperature for 12 h.
\(^b\) Under Ar.
with poor selectivity. We also explored the effect the substitution pattern on the aromatic ring of the thiomethyl group had on the reaction. Once again, as shown, these were tolerated, providing the products in synthetically useful yields. (Table 2, 2p–2s). However, substrates bearing n-butyl or trimethylsilyl groups failed to afford the desired products under our optimised conditions (Table 2, 2t and 2u). It is assumed that the resulting vinyl radicals from these two substrates could not be delocalized around the phenyl ring’s π orbital, and thus is highly unstable.

Encouraged by these results, we explored the radical cyclisation reaction of 2-alkynylselenoanisoles (Table 2, 2v–2x). We were surprised to find that upon treating the seleno variants to our conditions, 3-nitrobenzothiophenes were obtained in moderate yields. In addition, we evaluated the reactivity of more substrates such as 1-methoxy-2-[phenylethynyl]benzene and N,N-dimethyl-2-[phenylethynyl]aniline, toward the present reaction conditions. However, these substrates failed to afford the desired products.

The reaction with the model substrates was easily scaled up to 5 mmol, affording 2a in 75% yield. To investigate structure–activity relationships of their anti-tuberculosis activity, further synthetic transformation of the 3-nitrobenzothiophenes were performed (Scheme 1). The NO2 group was easily reduced to afford 2-phenylbenzo[b]thiophene-3-amine (3) in 92% yield, and 2a was transformed to 4 with m-CPBA as an oxidant in 78% yield.

To gain insights into the reaction mechanism, some control experiments were performed (Scheme 2). To rule out the possibility of 2-phenylbenzo[b]thiophene 5 as an intermediate in the reaction we subjected 2-phenylbenzo[b]thiophene 5 to our standard reactions conditions. We observed no product and recovered the majority of starting material. Furthermore, when radical scavengers butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) or (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl (TEMPO) were added to the reaction mixture, the reaction was inhibited (Scheme 2b), and Me-TEMPO (6) was detected by high-resolution mass spectrometry. These results indicate that the released phenyl radical underwent hydrogen abstraction from the reaction mixture. A cationic mechanism involving electrophilic addition of NO2+ to alkynes can therefore be excluded.

Based on these control experiments and previous studies, a plausible reaction mechanism for this radical cyclisation reaction is proposed (Scheme 3). Initially, NaNO2 is converted into a NO2 radical (A) in the presence of K2S2O8. Subsequently, radical A is selectively added to the C–C triple bond of 1a, which provides the vinyl radical (B) that undergoes intramolecular cyclisation with the SMe moiety. Finally, the desired product 2a is obtained along with the release of the methyl radical that further reacts via H-abstraction from the reaction mixture.

In order to confirm if the proposed mechanism is correct, a series of density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out. The reaction pathway with the intermediates and transition states are shown in Fig. 2. Nitrite can be converted to NO2 radical by a single-electron oxidation process.12 Based on

---

**Table 2** Synthesis of 3-nitrobenzothiophenes and benzosenelenophenes by NaNO2/K2S2O8-mediated radical cyclisation

| R' | Yield (%) |
|----|-----------|
| Ph | 2a, 67%   |
| tBu| 2b, 81%   |
| MeS | 2c, 80%  |
| F  | 2d, 78%   |
| Cl | 2e, 74%   |
| Br | 2f, 84%   |
| CN | 2g, 80%   |
| N3 | 2h, 84%   |
| CN | 2i, 70%   |
| NO2| 2j, 55%   |
| NO2| 2k, 46%   |
| NO2| 2l, 57%   |
| NO2| 2m, 81%   |
| NO2| 2n, 71%   |
| NO2| 2o, 78%   |
| NO2| 2p, 85%   |
| NO2| 2q, 90%   |
| NO2| 2r, 77%   |
| NO2| 2s, 80%   |
| NO2| 2t, 6%    |
| NO2| 2u, 9%    |
| NO2| 2v, 65%   |
| NO2| 2w, 60%   |
| NO2| 2x, 68%   |

*a Reaction conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), oxidant (0.4 mmol), nitrate (0.4 mmol), and CH3CN (1.5 mL) under air at 110 °C for 12 h.*
the B3LYP(D3BJ)/6-311G** level of theory\textsuperscript{11} in Gaussian 09
program,\textsuperscript{14} we have found that NO\textsubscript{2} radical is regioselectively
added to the C–C triple bond of \textit{1a} \textit{via TS1} with a barrier of
17.93 kcal mol\textsuperscript{-1}, providing the vinyl-typed radical \textit{B}. An
unreactive NO\textsubscript{2} radical attacking an alkyne to produce a highly
reactive vinyl radical is somewhat disfavoured. To overcome this
barrier, elevated temperature is required, as per our optimised
reaction conditions. The resulting vinyl radical \textit{B} could be
delocalised into the phenyl ring \(\pi\) orbital system, and the \(\Delta G\) of
system drops to 14.16 kcal mol\textsuperscript{-1}. Obviously, the substrates bearing aryl groups are favoured, as observed during our
investigation which is borne out in our experimental observations
that the desired products \textit{2t} and \textit{2u} could not be obtained
under the present conditions. Subsequently, intermediate \textit{B}
undergoes intramolecular cyclisation \textit{via TS2} with the SME
moiety, and the \(\Delta G^2\) is increased to 20.12 kcal mol\textsuperscript{-1}. With the
formation of methyl radical and a benzo-thiophene ring, the \(\Delta G\)
of system drops to \(-3.03\) kcal mol\textsuperscript{-1} due to the decisive ther-
modynamic stabilisation by the aromatic effect of \(\pi\)\textsuperscript{10}.

With this library of compounds in hand, we investigated their anti-tuberculosis activity using the microplate alamarBlue assay.\textsuperscript{15} The details can be found in the ESI (Table S2\textsuperscript{†}). The results showed compounds \textit{2d} and \textit{2l} exhibited the highest inhibitory activities against sensitive and drug-resistant \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis} (\textit{MtB}) strains, with MIC\textsubscript{90} values of 2–4 \(\mu\)g mL\textsuperscript{-1} 3-Nitrobenzoselenophenes \textit{2w} also showed the potent anti-tuberculosis activity against drug-resistant \textit{MtB} strains, with a MIC\textsubscript{90} value of 2 \(\mu\)g mL\textsuperscript{-1}. Moreover, the necessity of the nitro group was supported by the amino-substituted compound \textit{3}, which led to complete loss of activity. These results makes it possible for us to develop novel anti-MtB agents.

In conclusion, we have developed a green and regioselective route to 3-nitrobenzothiophenes and benzoselenophenes through NaNO\textsubscript{2}/K\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{8}-mediated radical cyclisation. Compared to the nitration with fuming nitric acid, this strategy features excellent selectivity, no chromatography, mild reaction condi-
tions, and broad functional group tolerance. The applications of our methodology and further structural modifications for developing the novel anti-tuberculosis drug are currently underway.
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It is difficult to determine its structure by NMR, because compound 2a contains three continuous quaternary carbon centers, and the known data in the literature is incomplete.