Incidence, causes and consequences of moderate and severe traumatic brain injury as determined by Abbreviated Injury Score in the Netherlands
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Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a leading cause of death and disability. Epidemiology seems to be changing. TBIs are increasingly caused by falls amongst elderly, whilst we see less polytrauma due to road traffic accidents (RTA). Data on epidemiology is essential to target prevention strategies. A nationwide retrospective cohort study was conducted. The Dutch National Trauma Database was used to identify all patients over 17 years old who were admitted to a hospital with moderate and severe TBI (AIS ≥ 3) in the Netherlands from January 2015 until December 2017. Subgroup analyses were done for the elderly and polytrauma patients. 12,295 patients were included in this study. The incidence of moderate and severe TBI was 30/100,000 person-years, 13% of whom died. Median age was 65 years and falls were the most common trauma mechanism, followed by RTAs. Amongst elderly, RTAs consisted mostly of bicycle accidents. Mortality rates were higher for elderly (18%) and polytrauma patients (24%). In this national database more elderly patients who most often sustained the injury due to a fall or an RTA were seen. Bicycle accidents were very frequent, suggesting prevention could be an important aspect in order to decrease morbidity and mortality.

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a growing global health problem; it is a leading cause of death and life-long disability1,2. In 2012, approximately 57,000 deaths (11.2/100,000) in the European Union were estimated to be related to TBI, in 2010 almost 53,000 deaths (17.7/100,000) were attributed to TBI in the United States2,3. In the Netherlands, there was a significant rise in admissions for and presentations with TBI to the accident and emergency department (A&E) between 1998 and 20124. Furthermore, TBI is the main cause of death in severely injured trauma patients and contributes to at least 30% of deaths caused by trauma2,5,6.

The overall incidence of TBI is increasing with changing epidemiology, where causes of injury seem to depend on the status of development of the country. In low- and middle-income countries road traffic accidents (RTA) are the main cause of TBI, as motorised traffic is more common and safety rules are lacking. However, in high-income countries, the number of elderly patients with a brain injury due to a fall is rising, whereas preventative measures have decreased the number of TBIs due to road traffic accidents5,6,7,9,10. Unfortunately, the precise global incidence is unknown due to a lack of data collection and comprehensive studies on the subject9,11.

Data on epidemiology is important for healthcare policies on where to target prevention strategies. Recent literature on changing epidemiology of TBI in Western Europe4 shows that despite the increase in incidence, mortality rates remain stable. A possible explanation could be that low-energy falls are less likely to cause death than RTAs, which are more likely to cause polytrauma. Furthermore, TBI in elderly is more likely to be caused by a fall5,11. All grades of TBI were included in these studies. Few studies focus on moderate and severe TBI.

Different definitions of severity of TBI, such as Glasgow Coma Scale scores at presentation and Intensive Care
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Unit (ICU) admission, make comparison difficult. Furthermore, pre-hospital intubation and intoxication can complicate these scores, which can lead to inclusion of mild TBI in analysis. The Abbreviated Injury Score (AIS) is an established standardised score for injuries based on probability of survival with this injury, which allows for accurate classification and comparison of TBI.

Moderate and severe TBI are more likely to lead to mortality and poor functional outcomes than mild TBI. Therefore, data on epidemiology of true moderate and severe TBI could provide more insight into where to focus research and prevention methods in order to decrease poor outcome. Especially, when focussed on important groups: polytrauma patients and the elderly, as the hypothesis is that the first seems to contribute mainly to the increasing incidence and the latter to mortality.

The aim of this nationwide study was to describe the incidence, distribution of age, causes, and consequences of moderate and severe TBI for the whole population and in particular polytrauma patients and elderly.

Methods

For this nationwide retrospective cohort study of patients who were admitted with moderate or severe TBI, data was collected from the Dutch Trauma Registry (DTR). The registry is an excellent representation of Dutch trauma care, as 99% of hospitals contribute to the DTR. The aim of the DTR is to uphold and monitor good standard of care for injured patients. The DTR has been used for nationwide retrospective cohort studies before, such as Peek et al. The DTR contains data of all trauma patients who were admitted to hospital through A&E, within 48 h of trauma. Patients who die prior to arrival in A&E or do not have to be admitted, are not kept in the database. This also applies to patients who are admitted, but not due to their traumatic injuries, and only noted if all three parameters (eye, motor and voice) were available. In addition, if the patient was intubated and sedated prior to GCS scoring, their GCS score was not included in analyses. The DTR does not include data on who recorded the GCS score. The Revised Trauma Score (RTS) is a widely used scoring tool to determine the initial trauma severity based on the GCS, systolic blood pressure, and respiratory rate. A lower score reflects a higher severity of injury.

The incidence rate of moderate or severe TBI was 30 per 100,000 person-years. Patients in our cohort had a median age of 65 years (IQR: 47–79). Patients were predominantly male (n = 7,482; 61%). Median American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score was 2 (IQR: 1–2), median AIShead was 3 (IQR: 3–4) (Table 1).

All variables were collected for all included patients and separately for polytrauma patients and the elderly. Frequencies with percentages were used to describe categorical data. The Shapiro–Wilk test and Quantile–Quantile plots confirmed whether data were normally distributed or not. Descriptive data included means with standard deviations (SD) for normally distributed continuous data and medians with interquartile ranges (IQR) for non-normally distributed continuous data. The incidence rate was calculated by dividing the total number of patients with TBI by the total Dutch population ≥ 16 years of age or > 64 for the elderly for the inclusion period. Incidence rates were presented per 100,000 person-years. For statistical analyses, SPSS statistical software (SPSS 23.0; IBM Inc., Armonk, NY, USA) was used.

The Medical Ethical Review Board of the University Medical Center Utrecht approved this study and granted a waiver of informed consent (WAG/mb/18/011,787). All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Results

In total, 12,650 adult patients with moderate or severe TBI were admitted to Dutch hospitals between January 2015 and December 2017. Of all patients, 355 were excluded from analysis due to early transfer, leaving 12,295 for analysis. On 1 January 2016, 16,979,120 people lived in the Netherlands, of whom 13,766,208 (81%) were 17 or older and 3,107,912 (18%) were 65 or older. The incidence rate of moderate or severe TBI was 30 per 100,000 person-years. Patients in our cohort had a median age of 65 years (IQR: 47–79). Patients were predominantly male (n = 7,482; 61%). Median American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score was 2 (IQR: 1–2), median AIShead was 3 (IQR: 3–4) (Table 1).
Falls were the most common trauma mechanism (n = 5,579; 52%), closely followed by road traffic accidents (n = 4,328; 40%). Falls from low height accounted for 76% of all falls. RTAs included cyclists, who accounted for the, by far, largest proportion of this group (n = 2,523; 59% of all RTAs), followed by accidents with mopeds (n = 632, 15%) and motorised vehicles with more than two wheels (n = 627, 14%). Less frequent were accidents where the victim was a pedestrian (n = 355, 8%) or motorcyclist (n = 108, 2%). GCS scores were missing for a quarter of patients. GCS of 15 was noted in 5,483 (60%) patients. Median RTS in A&E was 7.8 (IQR: 6.9–7.8) (Table 1).

MMT and in-hospital trauma team were involved in, 1,856 (15%) and 4,255 (42%) of cases, respectively. Of all patients, 1,045 (10%) underwent an emergency intervention, mostly neurosurgical procedures (n = 716; 69%). Highest level of care was most often the ward (n = 6,323; 56%) (Table 2).

Median ICU-LOS was 0 days (IQR: 0–2), and H-LOS was 5 days (IQR: 2–11). Thirteen per cent of patients died. Cyclists accounted for 49% of all deaths due to an RTA. Median number of days before they died was 3 (IQR: 2–7). Patients who survived had a median GOS of 4 (IQR: 4–5) at discharge, 88% had a GOS of 4 or 5 (n = 7,290), and 20% of GOS scores were missing. Most patients who survived were discharged to their usual place of residence (n = 6,438; 63%) (Table 3).

**Polytrauma.** There were 5,763 polytrauma patients, this was 47% of all moderate and severe TBI patients in our cohort. The incidence of polytrauma patients with moderate or severe TBI was 14 per 100,000 person-years. They had a median age of 64 years (IQR: 43–78), were predominantly male (n = 2,092; 64%), with a median AIShead of 3 (IQR: 2–4) (Table 1).

Both the MMT and in-hospital trauma teams were involved more often for these polytrauma patients, respectively in 1,514 (26%) and 3,161 (62%) of cases. Highest level of care was most often ICU (n = 2,705; 47%) (Table 2).

| Table 1. Baseline variables. IQR = Interquartile range, ASA = American Society of Anaesthesiologists score, AIShead = Abbreviated Injury Scale of the head region, ISS = Injury Severity Score, RTA = Road traffic accident, GCS = Glasgow Coma Scale score, RTS = Revised Trauma Score. |
|---|---|---|
| **Demographics** | **All** | **Polytrauma** | **Elderly** |
| n = 12,295 | n = 5,763 (47%) | n = 6,228 (51%) |
| **Incidence rate person years** | 30/100,000 | 14/100,000 | 67/100,000 |
| **Median (IQR)** | **Median (IQR)** | **Median (IQR)** |
| Male sex | 7,482 (61) | 2,671 (64) | 4,811 (52) |
| N (%) | N (%) | N (%) |
| Median age | 65 (47–79) | 64 (45–78) | 79 (72–85) |
| **Clinical characteristics** | **Median (IQR)** | **Median (IQR)** | **Median (IQR)** |
| Median ASA | 2 (1–2) | 2 (1–2) | 2 (2–3) |
| Missing | 2,093 (17) | 963 (17) | 1,130 (18) |
| Median AIShead | 3 (3–4) | 4 (3–5) | 3 (3–4) |
| RTA A&E | 7.8 (6.9–7.8) | 7.8 (5.0–7.8) | 7.8 (7.6–7.8) |
| Missing | 4,722 (38) | 2,137 (37) | 2,449 (39) |
| N (%) | N (%) | N (%) |
| ISS > 16 | 5,763 (47) | 5,763 (100) | 2,775 (45) |
| **AIShead** | | |
| 3 | 7,778 (63) | 1,731 (30) | 3,856 (62) |
| 4 | 2,486 (20) | 2,001 (35) | 1,262 (20) |
| 5 + 6 | 1,997 (16) | 2,031 (35) | 1,110 (18) |
| **Trauma mechanism** | | |
| Fall | 5,579 (52) | 2,533 (44) | 3,046 (57) |
| Low (% of falls) | 4,245 (76) | 1,662 (63) | 2,583 (85) |
| RTA | 4,328 (40) | 2,249 (39) | 2,079 (25) |
| Bicycle (% of RTAs) | 2,523 (59) | 1,152 (51) | 1,371 (73) |
| Missing | 1,547 (13) | 603 (10) | 944 (14) |
| GCS 15 | 5,483 (60) | 1,746 (45) | 3,737 (63) |
| Missing | 3,067 (25) | 1,926 (33) | 1,141 (22) |
Median ICU-LOS was one day (IQR: 0–5) and H-LOS 7 days (IQR: 3–15), in ICU Fatalities were more frequent (n = 1,386; 24%). Median number of days before death was three (IQR: 2–7). Patients who survived had a median GOS of 4 (IQR: 4–5). Most patients who survived were discharged to their usual place of residence (n = 1,974; 46%) (Table 3).

**Elderly.** There were 6,228 elderly patients, which is 51% of our cohort. The incidence rate of elderly patients is 67 per 100,000 person-years. They had a median age of 79 years (IQR: 72–85), 52% (n = 3,264) were male with a median AIShead of 3 (IQR: 2–4). (Table 1).

Falls were the most common trauma mechanism (n = 3,543; 57%), followed by RTA (n = 1,574; 25%), consisting mostly of bicycle accidents (n = 1,155; 73%), followed by pedestrians (n = 156, 10%), mopeds (n = 149, 9%) and accidents involving motorised vehicles with more than two wheels (n = 109, 7%). Motorcycle accidents were

---

**Table 2.** Treatment variables. MMT = Mobile Medical Team, ICP = Intracranial pressure meter, A&E = Accident and Emergency department, HC = High Care unit, MC = Medium Care unit, ICU = Intensive Care Unit.

|                   | All          | Polytrauma  | Elderly  |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|
| n                 | n = 12,295   | n = 5,763   | n = 6,228|
| (%)               | (%)          | (%)         | (%)      |
| Involvement MMT   | 1,856 (15)   | 1,514 (26)  | 568 (10) |
| Missing           | 526 (4)      | 155 (3)     | 304 (5)  |
| Trauma team activated | 4,255 (42) | 3,161 (62) | 1,549 (31) |
| Missing           | 2,045 (17)   | 653 (11)    | 1,194 (19) |
| Emergency intervention | 1,045 (10) | 989 (18)    | 272 (5)  |
| Craniotomy (% of intervention) | 448 (43) | 418 (42)    | 142 (52) |
| ICP (% of intervention) | 268 (26)  | 248 (25)    | 48 (18)  |
| Missing           | 1,136 (11)   | 404 (7)     | 786 (13) |

**Table 3.** Hospital outcome parameters. H-LOS = Length of stay in hospital, I-LOS = Length of stay in Intensive Care Unit, GOS = Glasgow Outcome Scale score.

|                   | All          | Polytrauma  | Elderly  |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|
| n                 | n = 12,295   | n = 5,763   | n = 6,228|
| Median (IQR)      | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) |
| GOS               | 4 (4–5)      | 4 (4–5)     | 4 (4–5)  |
| Missing           | 394 (4)      | 94 (2)      | 202 (4)  |
| H-LOS             | 5 (2–11)     | 7 (3–15)    | 5 (3–11) |
| Missing           | 257 (2)      | 95 (2)      | 130 (2)  |
| I-LOS             | 0 (0–2)      | 1 (1–5)     | 0 (0–1)  |
| Missing           | 1,517 (12)   | 491 (9)     | 893 (14) |
| LOS until death   | 3 (2–7)      | 3 (2–7)     | 3 (2–7)  |
| Missing           | 13 (1)       | (1)         | 6 (1)    |
| Mortality         | 1,642 (13)   | 1,642 (28)  | 1,122 (18) |

**Discharge destination**

|                   | All          | Polytrauma  | Elderly  |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|
| n (%)             | n (%)        | n (%)       |          |
| Usual place of residence | 6,438 (63) | 1,974 (46) | 2,723 (56) |
| Rehabilitation centre | 937 (9)    | 647 (15)    | 397 (8)  |
| Nursing home      | 933 (9)      | 454 (11)    | 810 (17) |
| Care/residential home | 197 (2)     | 89 (2)      | 167 (3)  |
| Other             | 1,711 (17)   | 1,052 (25)  | 773 (16) |
| Missing           | 437 (4)      | 208 (4)     | 236 (5)  |
Discussion

There were over 12,000 patients with moderate or severe traumatic brain injury in this nationwide cohort, an incidence rate of 30/100,000 person-years. Patients in our cohort had a median age of 65 years, were predominantly male and were most often discharged home. Thirteen per cent of patients died. Patients who survived most often had good outcomes, with a median GOS of 4, this was even true for the elderly and polytrauma patients. Falls occurred more often than RTAs. Bicycle accidents were a commonly found trauma mechanism, especially amongst the elderly population. Moderate and severe TBI is far more common for the elderly, than the overall study population. Mortality in elderly was 18%, which was higher than the overall mortality, but less than for polytrauma patients (24%).

Falls are currently the most common cause of TBI in both the USA and Germany.14 Ever since the introduction of preventative measures, such as the mandatory seatbelt in cars and helmets for motorcyclists, fewer TBI are reported. More than half of RTAs consist of bicycle accidents. Statistics show that deaths due to bicycle accidents have hardly decreased since 1996, as opposed to deaths due to car accidents.23 Amongst older patients, we see relatively more women, even though the stereotypical TBI patient used to be the young male.14,23. The increase in TBI, however, cannot be attributed to aging alone.23. For example, one study showed relatively more (mental) comorbidities and pre-injury hospital admissions amongst TBI patients, when matched for age, sex and postcode suggesting these comorbidities can lead to a sustained increase in the incidence of TBI.23

Perhaps surprisingly, the most common cause of TBI in our polytrauma patients was also falls. RTAs were less common in the elderly, with 25%, but still account for almost 40% of TBI in polytrauma patients. More than half of RTAs consisted of bicycle accidents. Statistics show that deaths due to bicycle accidents have hardly decreased since 1996, as opposed to deaths due to car accidents.23 In addition, almost half of our elderly patients had an ISS over 16 and almost half of polytrauma patients were elderly. This means that elderly patients do not necessarily have less severe injuries. Interestingly, a similar study in Germany found that patients who suffered a motorcycle or motorcycle accident were much younger compared to their cohort overall.15 The elderly in our cohort did suffer more from bicycle accidents than the overall cohort (73% vs 59%), and more than half of deaths due to bicycle accidents in 2018 were over 70 years old, which could indicate a similar phenomenon in the Netherlands.31. Looking at these mechanisms, there are multiple options for prevention of moderate and severe TBI. For example, the large amount of cycling injuries could (re)start the debate on mandatory helmet use. In addition, the e-bike is gaining popularity amongst the elderly in the Netherlands and injuries from e-bike accidents are more severe than for regular bicycles and less than 1% wears a helmet. Helmets could prevent TBI or at least lower the chances of severe TBI and need for neurosurgical intervention for cyclists.27 Furthermore, fall prevention in the elderly population, could also lead to a decrease in the incidence of moderate and severe TBI.1

The incidence of moderate and severe TBI found in this study is high and equates to approximately 30% of the incidence of lung cancer in the Netherlands.32. Incidence is high when compared to the aforementioned German study, as well, which also used a national trauma database. However, they used a Revised Injury Severity Classification score (RISC) score to classify TBI, and only included patients who were admitted to ICU or high-intensity or medium care. Only 34% (n = 3,937) of our cohort received that level of care. This equates to a lower incidence rate of moderate and severe TBI admissions needing ICU admission than in Germany. Possibly, patients in that cohort were more severely injured which could also explain the much higher mortality rate of 23.5% in Germany. However, caution should be exercised in comparing our data to the German data since the RISC score used in the German study was found to be of limited predictive value in patients with moderate to severe TBI.29

Some patients made a full recovery, but remaining dependent on others in daily life is not uncommon.7 Persistent disorders of consciousness, such as unresponsive wakefulness syndrome (UWS), are relatively common in the Netherlands.40 Only 62 (0.5%) patients left hospital in this status in this cohort. Combined with the relatively short length of stay in ICU, this might lead to the conclusion that end of life decisions are taken quite early in admission for TBI patients. However, this seems to lead to a reduction in patients with poor outcomes, as outcome amongst patients who survived TBI were good in our cohort. Median GOS was 4 overall and for patients, especially due to falls.14,22,23. Amongst older patients, we see relatively more women, even though the stereotypical TBI patient used to be the young male.14,23. The increase in TBI, however, cannot be attributed to aging alone.23. For example, one study showed relatively more (mental) comorbidities and pre-injury hospital admissions amongst TBI patients, when matched for age, sex and postcode suggesting these comorbidities can lead to a sustained increase in the incidence of TBI.23

Overall, highest level of care was the ward for most patients, polytrauma patients being the exception. Patients cared for on the ward, however, consist of two groups: patients who did not require ICU admission or patients who are not deemed fit for ICU admission and therefore received ward-based care. Of elderly patients, 69% had the ward as their highest level of care, but their mortality rate was slightly higher than for all patients (18% vs 13%). A ward-based care policy, meaning no cardiopulmonary resuscitation, intubation or ICU admission, may have contributed to this, perhaps as a result of therapeutic nihilism.7. Less frequent involvement of the MMT and trauma team in initial care for the elderly, might also indicate that severity of TBI in the elderly is not always recognised before admission, possibly due to a low-impact trauma mechanism such as a fall from low height.
This is supported by a Dutch study that investigated diagnostic value of pre-hospital emergency medical service providers. Recognition of moderate or severe TBI in mainly elderly patients before admission, could therefore be a target for improvement as well.

The large database, covering all hospitals in the Netherlands is a major strength of this study as it is definitely representative of the whole country. Furthermore, the collected data is relevant to the epidemiology of TBI and most important factors can be found in the data.

This study has some limitations as well. Firstly, we decided not to impute for missing data, as this was a study designed to describe our population and their characteristics and our numbers were large enough to achieve this. In addition, sometimes the fact that data is missing can bring forward a new conclusion, for example, GCSs were poorly collected in this database, with only 75% available. More than half of patients had a GCS of 15, which is most likely not representative of our study population. In addition, even though alcohol and drugs intoxication can be of great impact on the nature of TBI, our database did not account for this. The same is applicable for the use of anticoagulants, as many elderly patients use these and they have a negative effect on TBI. Therefore, we could not identify their role in our study population or recommend regulations, or stricter indication, regarding their use. In addition, the DTR uses the Glasgow Outcome Scale rather than the Glasgow Outcome Scale Extended, even though the latter is more sensitive. Lastly, bicycles are an important mode of transport in the Netherlands. Therefore their contribution to moderate and severe TBI patients may well be different in other countries, as bicycles are not used as much and their position in traffic is different.

The fact that we used the AIS rather than GCS to classify TBI as moderate or severe, could potentially be seen as a limitation. The large amount of patients with a GCS of 15 included in our database, may support the theory that AIS head overscores severity of TBI, rather than poor data collection. However, even a study who only included patients with a GCS of 3, still identified patients with mild or moderate TBI. Furthermore, other studies have shown that AIS does not correlate well with the presence of TBI in elderly patients, who form a big part of our cohort. As stated in our introduction, many external factors can influence GCS, such as intoxication and sedation, which make GCS less reliable. The choice for AIS to determine severity of brain injury, rather than GCS, can make it difficult to compare our results to other studies. It seems injury is classified differently by the two parameters. The AIS can overscore injuries, when compared to the GCS. A different study showed that a GCS of 3–8 predicted death better than an AIS of 5 or above in case of multiple injuries, but worse with isolated TBI. This could be explained by the fact that GCS can be influenced by injuries in other regions of the body as well. The AIS, however, remains one of the most common modes of classifying TBI and the gold standard of classifying traumatic injuries in general and is used commonly in retrospective data research. Lastly, as the DTR does not regulate who will calculate the GCS and when in the resuscitation process this has happened, the AIS head seemed a more objective parameter for this study. Unfortunately, even the AIS is not completely resistant to inter-interpreter variability, as coding can be a difficult process, with interrater variability, although recent research showed that reliability for AIS coding in the DTR was substantial. It would be preferable to have a more accurate system to classify TBI than GCS or AIS and we feel more research in this area is needed to allow for standardised research.

In conclusion, a change in the epidemiology of TBI occurred in the Netherlands, even for moderate and severe TBI as defined by the AIS: a shift to more elderly patients. Most common cause of moderate or severe TBI was falls, followed closely by RTAs. Bicycle accidents were very frequent, even more so amongst the elderly, suggesting prevention could be an important aspect in order to decrease morbidity and mortality by TBI.
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