Antibacterial Effect of Cinnamon Oil against Uropathogenic Multidrug Resistant
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ABSTRACT

Cinnamon is known for its antimicrobial activity and the aim of this study was to investigate the effect of its essential oil against ten of the multidrug-resistant uropathogenic by agar well diffusion assays. The diameters of the inhibition zone to the Cinnamon oil were 27 mm for S. aureus, 24 mm for E.coli, 20 mm for P. aeruginosa, 22 mm for K. pneumoniae, 23 mm for E.aerogenes & P. mirabilis, 24 mm for E. faecalis, and 27 mm for N. gonorrhoeae, A. baumannii, S. epidermis. In this study, the antimicrobial effects of the cinnamon essential oil are evaluated by determining the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), the inhibition zone, and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC). Cinnamon was the most effective agent in inhibiting A. baumannii, N. gonorrhoeae, S. epidermis, E. faecalis and E. coli with the lowest MIC (0.0313%) while S. aureus, E. aerogenes and P. aeruginosa with MIC (0.125%) while P. mirabilis was 0.0625% in our study. The MBC was 0.25% for A. baumannii, 0.5 % for N. gonorrhoeae, S. epidermis, E. faecalis, and K. pneumonia, while 1% S. aureus, E. aerogenes, P. mirabilis, E. coli, and P. aeruginosa.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Cinnamon is a common spice that has been used for centuries by different cultures around the world. Cinnamon oil is extracted from the shredded cinnamon tree's dried inner bark. It is native to Sri Lanka and India's Malabar Coast. Jamaica and Brazil are also home to this species.
[1]. There has been a constant increase in the search for alternative and efficient compounds from cinnamon oil for multidrug-resistant bacteria, aimed at partial or total replacement of antimicrobial chemical additives where is Cinnamon oil has been shown to have numerous health benefits, especially as an anti-inflammatory. Its phytochemical elements, such as phenolic and volatile compounds, are primarily responsible for this [2]. Various types of extraction methods are used to obtain cinnamon oil, which are solvent extraction, ultrasonic extraction, hydro-distillation, shaking, and stirring with organic solvents [3]. The chief significance of the above the study was therefore to test the antimicrobial activity of cinnamon oil against uropathogenic bacteria where is urinary tract infection (UTI) is the second most common infection next to respiratory tract in human body. The disease affects people of all ages and both genders, about 150 million people are diagnosed with UTI yearly. As well as Enterobacteriaceae, gram-negative facultative anaerobic bacilli cause UTI. The most common of these bacteria is Escherichia coli which forms about 90% of all Urinary tract infections. The other one is Klebsiella and Proteus, additionally, Pseudomonas that cause a complicated infections, especially in women. Staphylococci may cause 5-10% of UTIs in many populations. E. coli usually causes a child’s first infection [4]. Staphylococcal infections, especially those due to Staphylococcus saprophyticus are common causes of urinary tract infection among female adolescent.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Essential Oils Distillation

Cinnamon commercial essential oils (purity ≥98%) was purchased from Bluray Nutritional Products runs under the brand name Bluray Nutritional. Essential oils (EO) were obtained by water-steam distillation for 6 hours by Clevenger apparatus at 100°C for 6 hours.

2.2 Antimicrobial Activity Test

Agar Well Diffusion Method (AWD): Bacterial suspension (1-2x 10⁶ cells/mL) was spread on Mueller Hinton agar plates using sterile cotton swab, then wells with a diameter of 6 mm were made on the surface and filled with (20μL), of cinnamon Standard Ciprofloxacin (20μL) were added to the 5mm well on agar plates. The treated plates with E.coli, P.aeruginosa, K.pneumoniae, P.mirabilis, E.aerogenes, E.faecalis, A.baumannii, N.gonorrhoeae, S.aureus and S.epidermis were incubated at 37°C for 24hrs. After incubation the treated plates were observed for zone of inhibition around the wells were recorded in millimeters [5].

2.3 Determination of Minimal Inhibitory and Bactericidal Concentrations

To facilitate the dilution of the oil and the reading of the results, Brain-Heart Infusion broth supplemented with 0.15% agar was used [6,7]. The micro dilution broth technique was performed as follows: double serial dilutions of selected EOs were prepared ranging from 1%, 0.5%, 0.25%, 0.125%, 0.0625%, 0.03125% & 0.015625% in 280μl tryptone broth. Standard Ciprofloxacin (8µg): 8, 4, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.25 and 0.125 µg in 280 μl tryptone broth. Control-Tryptone broth was inoculated with respective cultures and without a test sample. Then, the plate was incubated at 35°C for 20–24 hr under aerobic conditions. After incubation O.D @ 590 nm was measured using plate reader Resazurin (0.015%) was added to all wells (30 µL per well) and further incubated for 2–4 h for the observation of colour change. On completion of the incubation, columns with no colour change (blue Resazurin colour remained unchanged) were scored as above the MIC value. Metabolism of Resazurin by active bacterial cells leads to reduction of Resazurin (Purple-blue) to resorufin (pink-colorless) pink color. Determine MIC as Minimum concentration of drug giving 50% inhibition of OD as compared with control. Turbidity indicates growth of the microorganism and the MIC is the lowest concentration where no cell metabolism is observed visually. To determine the MBC, the dilution representing the MIC and at least two-four of the more concentrated test product dilutions are plated (0.1mL) on SCDA plates and incubated at 37°C for 24hrs and enumerated to determine viability of cells CFU/mL. The dilution concentration that produces no growth is recorded as the MBC. The MBC is the lowest concentration that demonstrates a pre-determined reduction (such as <99.9%) in CFU/mL when compared to the MIC.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cinnamon essential oil was screened for their antibacterial activity by two techniques. The target cultures used were Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella.
pneumonia, Proteus mirabilis, Enterobacter aerogenes, Enterococcus faecalis, Acinetobacter baumannii, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermis. Our research exhibited a strong variation in the anti-bacterial activity selected essential oil against ten bacterial species is summarized in Table 1 and Plate 1. The results revealed that the selected essential oil showed antibacterial activity with varying magnitudes. Cinnamon has been employed for centuries as food preservatives and as medicinal plants due to their antioxidant and antimicrobial activities. Nowadays, many reports confirmed the antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, and anticarcinogenic properties of spice plants [8]. In the present study, the antibacterial effect of cinnamon oil against some important uropathogens studied and their potencies were qualitatively assessed by the presence or the absence of inhibition zone diameter. The results indicated that the essential oil of cinnamon had substantial antibacterial activity against E.coli, P.aeruginosa, K.pneumoniae, P.mirabilis, E.aerogenes, E.faecalis, A.baumannii, N.gonorrhoeae, S.aureus, and S.epidermis (≥20 mm inhibition zone diameter). (Table 2 and plat 2). The results of the present study agree with those reported by Braga et al. [9], Bayoub et al., [10] who stated that against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. In our study was find the results of showed antimicrobial activity against all of the bacterial strains used in this study: Staphylococcus aureus with an inhibitory zone of 27 mm, E.coli with an inhibitory zone of 24 mm P.aeruginosa with an inhibitory zones of 20 mm, K.pneumoniae with an inhibitory zone of 22 mm, P.mirabilis with an inhibitory zone of 23 mm, E.aerogenes with an inhibitory zone of 23 mm, E.faecalis with an inhibitory zone of 24 mm, A.baumannii with an inhibitory zone of 27 mm, N.gonorrhoeae with an inhibitory zone of 27 mm, and S.epidermis with an inhibitory zone of 27 mm.

Table 1. Inhibitory activity of test cinnamon oil against uropathogens

| Z   | Test Compounds      | Conc.per well | Zone of inhibition (mm) |
|-----|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| E.coli | Ciprofloxacin (Std)(20µl) | 2µg          | 21                     |
|      | Cinnamon oil (20µl)   | 2mg           | 24                     |
| P.aeruginosa | Ciprofloxacin (Std)(20µl) | 2µg          | 20                     |
|      | Cinnamon oil (20µl)   | 2mg           | 20                     |
| K.pneumoniae | Ciprofloxacin (Std)(20µl) | 2µg          | 19                     |
|      | Cinnamon oil (20µl)   | 2mg           | 22                     |
| P.mirabilis | Ciprofloxacin (Std)(20µl) | 2µg          | 22                     |
|      | Cinnamon oil (20µl)   | 2mg           | 23                     |
| E.aerogenes | Ciprofloxacin (Std)(20µl) | 2µg          | 19                     |
|      | Cinnamon oil (20µl)   | 2mg           | 23                     |
| E.faecalis | Ciprofloxacin (Std)(20µl) | 2µg          | 23                     |
|      | Cinnamon oil (20µl)   | 2mg           | 24                     |
| A.baumannii | Ciprofloxacin (Std)(20µl) | 2µg          | 19                     |
|      | Cinnamon oil (20µl)   | 2mg           | 27                     |
| N.gonorrhoeae | Ciprofloxacin (Std)(20µl) | 2µg          | 20                     |
|      | Cinnamon oil (20µl)   | 2mg           | 29                     |
| S.aureus  | Ciprofloxacin (Std)(20µl) | 2µg          | 22                     |
|      | Cinnamon oil (20µl)   | 2mg           | 27                     |
| S.epidermis | Ciprofloxacin (Std)(20µl) | 2µg          | 21                     |
|      | Cinnamon oil (20µl)   | 2mg           | 27                     |
Plate 1 (a) - *E.coli*  
Plate 1 (b) - *P.aeruginosa*  
Plate 1 (c) - *K.pneumoniae*  
Plate 1 (d) - *P.mirabilis*  
Plate 1 (e) - *E.aerogenes*  
Plate 1 (f) - *E.faecalis*  
Plate 1 (g) - *A.baumannii*  
Plate 1 (h) - *N.gonorrhoeae*  
Plate 1 (i) - *S.aureus*  
Plate 1 (j) - *S.epidermis*  

Plate 1 (a-j). Inhibitory activity of cinnamon oil against test organisms S – Standard (Ciprofloxacin); C - Control (distilled water)
Table 2. Minimum inhibitory activity of samples against uropathogenic bacteria

| Test Organism | OD & % | Cinnamon oil concentration % |
|---------------|--------|------------------------------|
|               | OD at 590nm | 0.0000 | 0.0156 | 0.0313 | 0.0625 | 0.1250 | 0.2500 | 0.5000 | 1.0000 | MIC % |
| E.coli        |          | 0.5335 | 0.3591 | 0.2601 | 0.0929 | 0.0297 | 0.0085 | 0.0021 | 0.00019 | 0.0313% |
| P.aeruginosa  | OD at 590nm | 0.5835 | 0.4725 | 0.3816 | 0.3130 | 0.2446 | 0.1405 | 0.0098 | 0.0034 | 0.125% |
| K.pneumoniae | OD at 590nm | 0.7033 | 0.4809 | 0.2809 | 0.0858 | 0.0404 | 0.0158 | 0.0028 | 0.0006 | 0.0313% |
| P.mirabilis   | OD at 590nm | 0.6434 | 0.4812 | 0.3441 | 0.2603 | 0.0690 | 0.0102 | 0.0102 | 0.0102 | 0.0625% |
| E.aerogenes   | OD at 590nm | 0.6492 | 0.5207 | 0.4805 | 0.3715 | 0.2527 | 0.1527 | 0.0270 | 0.0001 | 0.1250% |
| E.faecalis    | OD at 590nm | 0.6985 | 0.4352 | 0.2649 | 0.0904 | 0.0413 | 0.0069 | 0.0007 | 0.0005 | 0.0313% |
| A.baumanni    | OD at 590nm | 0.6981 | 0.4060 | 0.3010 | 0.2060 | 0.0251 | 0.0030 | 0.0025 | 0.0010 | 0.0313% |
| N.gonorrhoeae | OD at 590nm | 0.6865 | 0.4408 | 0.3080 | 0.0899 | 0.0469 | 0.0079 | 0.0019 | 0.0001 | 0.0313% |
| S.aureus      | OD at 590nm | 0.6745 | 0.4079 | 0.2776 | 0.0710 | 0.0297 | 0.0071 | 0.0002 | 0.0001 | 0.0313% |
| S.epidermis   | OD at 590nm | 0.6855 | 0.4189 | 0.2886 | 0.0820 | 0.0307 | 0.0082 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0313% |
### Table 3. Minimum inhibitory activity of standard (Ciprofloxacin) against uropathogenic bacteria

| Test Organism   | OD & % | Std (Cipro) Conc. 8µg/well | 0     | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8   | MIC% |
|-----------------|--------|----------------------------|-------|-------|------|-----|---|---|---|-----|------|
| E.coli          | OD at 590nm | 0.533                        | 21.326| 34.360| 52.445| 63.767| 81.446| 90.582| 97.657| 0.5% |
| % Inhibition    | 0.000  | 21.326                      | 34.360| 52.445| 63.767| 81.446| 90.582| 97.657|        |      |
| P.aeruginosa    | OD at 590nm | 0.541                        | 0.433 | 0.349 | 0.241 | 0.171 | 0.089 | 97.657| 0.034 | 0.5% |
| % Inhibition    | 0.000  | 20.054                      | 35.582| 55.445| 60.342| 68.482| 83.582| 93.715|        |      |
| K.pneumoniae    | OD at 590nm | 0.685                        | 0.586 | 0.422 | 0.350 | 0.291 | 0.202 | 0.079 | 0.039 | 1%   |
| % Inhibition    | 0.000  | 14.359                      | 38.417| 48.866| 57.546| 70.470| 88.533| 94.376|        |      |
| P.mirabilis     | OD at 590nm | 0.642                        | 0.463 | 0.374 | 0.296 | 0.196 | 0.089 | 0.045 | 0.013 | 0.5% |
| % Inhibition    | 0.000  | 27.852                      | 41.708| 53.897| 69.483| 86.191| 93.033| 97.974|        |      |
| E.aerogenes     | OD at 590nm | 0.667                        | 0.631 | 0.496 | 0.295 | 0.254 | 0.157 | 0.051 | 0.020 | 0.5% |
| % Inhibition    | 0.000  | 5.424                       | 25.697| 55.769| 61.912| 76.551| 92.433| 97.048|        |      |
| E.faecalis      | OD at 590nm | 0.724                        | 0.620 | 0.526 | 0.361 | 0.225 | 0.087 | 0.068 | 0.025 | 0.5% |
| % Inhibition    | 0.000  | 14.432                      | 27.413| 50.146| 68.955| 87.985| 96.534|        |      |
| A.baumanni      | OD at 590nm | 0.695                        | 0.657 | 0.612 | 0.505 | 0.335 | 0.211 | 0.109 | 0.023 | 1%   |
| % Inhibition    | 0.000  | 5.413                       | 11.863| 27.296| 51.828| 69.565| 84.308| 96.743|        |      |
| N.gonorrhoeae   | OD at 590nm | 0.666                        | 0.613 | 0.517 | 0.349 | 0.269 | 0.134 | 0.092 | 0.013 | 1%   |
| % Inhibition    | 0.000  | 7.944                       | 22.471| 47.658| 59.637| 79.886| 86.205| 97.989|        |      |
| S.aureus        | OD at 590nm | 0.772                        | 0.651 | 0.551 | 0.340 | 0.268 | 0.181 | 0.088 | 0.045 | 0.5% |
| % Inhibition    | 0.000  | 15.693                      | 28.642| 55.950| 65.311| 76.602| 88.580| 94.160|        |      |
| S.epidermis     | OD at 590nm | 0.686                        | 0.560 | 0.464 | 0.337 | 0.173 | 0.088 | 0.053 | 0.016 | 0.5% |
| % Inhibition    | 0.000  | 18.379                      | 32.313| 50.896| 74.803| 87.203| 92.231| 97.639|        |      |
| Organisms          | Cinnamon oil Test conc. (%) | MBC  |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|------|
|                   | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.0625 |
| A.baumanni        | -    | -    | +    | +     | +      | 0.25  |
| N.gonorrhoeae     | -    | -    | +    | +     | +      | 0.5   |
| S.aureus          | -    | +    | +    | +     | +      | 1     |
| S.epidermis       | -    | -    | +    | +     | +      | 0.5   |
| E.faecalis        | -    | -    | +    | +     | +      | 0.5   |
| E.aerogenes       | -    | +    | +    | +     | +      | 1     |
| P.mirabilis       | -    | +    | +    | +     | +      | 1     |
| K.pneumoniae      | -    | -    | +    | +     | +      | 0.5   |
| E.coli            | -    | +    | +    | +     | +      | 1     |
| P.aeruginosa      | -    | +    | +    | +     | +      | 1     |
The effect of Cinnamon against tested pathogenic bacteria using Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) as the lowest concentration of an antimicrobial to prevent the visible growth of bacteria and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) is the lowest concentration of an antibacterial agent required to kill a bacterium. The results of in vitro antibacterial activity by crude Cinnamon at five concentrations (1, 50, 25, 125, and 0.00625 conc. (%)) against E. coli, P. aeruginosa, K. pneumonia, P. mirabilis, E. aerogenes, E. faecalis, A. baumannii, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, S. aureus and S. epidermis by broth dilution technique are summarized in Table 2.

We carried out antibiotic susceptibility testing to determine the activity of cinnamon essential oil against growing uropathogens. As shown in Table 2, Cinnamon was the most effective agent in inhibiting A. baumannii, N. gonorrhoeae, S. epidermis, E. faecalis and E. coli with the lowest MIC (0.0313%) while S. aureus, E. aerogenes and P. aeruginosa with MIC (0.125%) while Proteus mirabilis was 0.0625% in our study. The MBC was 0.25% for A. baumannii, 0.5% for N. gonorrhoeae, S. epidermis, E. faecalis, and K. pneumonia, while 1% S. aureus, E. aerogenes, Pr. mirabilis, E. coli, and P. aeruginosa. Therefore the growth of uropathogenic was efficiently suppressed by Cinnamon oil at the concentration of 0.03%, 0.0625% and 0.125. Clinical drug Ciprofloxacin included as a control inhibited the growth of uropathogenic growing cells with MIC of 1 and 0.5 μg/mL (Table 3).

Therefore, Cinnamon were highly effective against uropathogenic bacteria more Ciprofloxacin. The main reason for inhibiting the growth of bacteria is the active constituents in cinnamon oil, the variation in the concentration of the active compounds in each extract contribute to prevent the normal growth of the pathogenic bacteria [11,12]. Essential oil are potential source of novel antimicrobial activity especially pathogenic bacteria, cinnamon essential oil contain cinnamaldehyde and eugenol as major component [13]. Cinnamon oil also contain other active compounds which participate in antimicrobial activity as alkaloids, terpens, Cumarine and flavones [14]. The MIC results in this study agreed with [15], who mentioned that cinnamon oil gave (MIC) against some pathogenic bacteria (such as E. coli, K pneumonia, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, Proteus spp and Brucellaspp) and observed that gram positive bacteria was more sensitive than gram negative to cinnamon oil. The result of this study were corresponding with [16,17], they reported that S. aureus, E. coli and salmonella typhimurium were inhibited by essential oil of cinnamon. Friedman et al., [18] found that essential oil of cinnamon was active against E. coli and Campylobacter jejuni. In another study [19] recorded that essential oil of cinnamon showed high antibacterial activity against S. aureus. Trajano et al. [20] analyzed antimicrobial activity of 11 essential oils, including cinnamon, mint, black pepper, rosemary and ginger, against 10 strains of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. They reported that among the oils tested, cinnamon showed greater inhibitory act.

According to [21] natural compounds such as essential oils can act on bacterial cells by disintegration of the cell membrane by destabilising the proton force, electron flow, active transport and coagulation of cell contents. However, considering that essential oils have many different groups of chemical compounds, the antibacterial activity may be related to its composition and the mechanism of action cannot be assigned to a specific mechanism. Besides, there may be other targets in the cell, not only the cytoplasmic membrane. The direction for proper use of essential oil may be closely related to its composition. High concentrations can denature proteins and low concentrations can interfere with the activity of enzymes involved in the energy production of the cell [22]. Silva et al. [23] analyzed the effect of essential oils on E. coli and Salmonella spp. isolated from humans and ATCC cultures. Cinnamon essential oil showed the lowest MIC values for the studied microorganisms thereby confirming its high inhibitory activity. The active compounds present in the essential oil of cinnamon such as eugenol and cinnamaldehyde are responsible for causing damage to the structure of bacterial cell wall and has the capacity to interfere with the synthesis of certain bacterial enzymes [24].

4. CONCLUSION

The antimicrobial effects of the cinnamon essential oil was evaluated by determining the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), the inhibition zone, and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC). Cinnamon have strong effective agent in inhibiting A. baumannii, N. gonorrhoeae, S. epidermis, E. faecalis and E. coli with the lowest MIC (0.0313%) while S. aureus, E. aerogenes and P. aeruginosa with MIC
(0.125%) while Proteus mirabilis was 0.0625% in our study. The MBC was 0.25% for
A. baumannii, 0.5 % for N. gonorrhoeae, S. epidermis, E. faecalis, and K. pneumonia, while 1% S. aureus, E. aerogenes, Pr. mirabilis, E. coli, and P. aeruginosa. Therefore, the growth of uropathogenic was efficiently suppressed by Cinnamon oil at the concentration of 0.03%, 0.0625% and 0.125. Clinical drug Ciprofloxacin included as a control inhibited the growth of uropathogenic growing cells with MIC of 1 and 0.5 μg/mL. From the result we can conclude that cinnamon oil showed better inhibitory activity against uropathogenic multidrug resistant
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