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Abstract

Using the near-IR spectroscopy of the MOSFIRE Deep Evolution Field survey, we investigate the role of the local environment in the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies. The local environment measurements are derived from accurate and uniformly calculated photometric redshifts with well-calibrated probability distributions. Based on rest-frame optical emission lines, [N II]λ6584 and Hα, we measure gas-phase oxygen abundances of 167 galaxies at $1.37 \leq z \leq 1.7$ and 303 galaxies at $2.09 \leq z \leq 2.61$, located in diverse environments. We find that at $z \sim 1.5$, the average metallicity of galaxies in overdensities with $M_*>10^{9.8} M_\odot$, $10^{10.2} M_\odot$, and $10^{10.8} M_\odot$ is higher relative to their field counterparts by $0.094 \pm 0.051$, $0.068 \pm 0.028$, and $0.052 \pm 0.043$ dex, respectively. However, this metallicity enhancement does not exist at higher redshift, $z \sim 2.3$, where, compared to the field galaxies, we find $0.056 \pm 0.043$, $0.056 \pm 0.028$, and $0.096 \pm 0.034$ dex lower metallicity for galaxies in overdense environments with $M_*>10^{9.8} M_\odot$, $10^{10.2} M_\odot$, and $10^{10.7} M_\odot$, respectively. Our results suggest that, at $1.37 \leq z \leq 2.61$, the variation of mass–metallicity relation with local environment is small (<0.1 dex), and reverses at $z \sim 2$. Our results support the hypothesis that, at the early stages of cluster formation, owing to efficient gas cooling, galaxies residing in overdensities host a higher fraction of pristine gas with prominent primordial gas accretion, which lowers their gas-phase metallicity compared to their coeval field galaxies. However, as the universe evolves to lower redshifts ($z \lesssim 2$), the shock-heated gas in overdensities cannot cool down efficiently, and galaxies become metal-rich rapidly due to the suppression of pristine gas inflow and re-accretion of metal-enriched outflows in overdensities.

*Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Metallicity (1031); Galaxy environments (2029); Galaxy evolution (594); High-redshift galaxies (734); Large-scale structure of the universe (902)*

1. Introduction

Over the last few years, we have made significant progress toward developing a comprehensive and self-consistent model for the formation of galaxies. At each step, however, the model is compounded by nonlinear effects regarding the feedback processes involved and the parameters driving them. Gas accretion from the intergalactic medium (IGM) supplies cold gas for a galaxy to build up its stellar population through the gravitational collapse of molecular clouds. As the stars form, heavy elements are produced in their hot cores, resulting in the chemically enriched material that will be expelled to the interstellar medium (ISM) through feedback processes such as stellar winds (Garnett 2002; Brooks et al. 2007) and/or supernovae explosions (e.g., Steidel et al. 2010; Martin et al. 2012; Chisholm et al. 2018). Furthermore, feedback processes can remove part of the enriched material from galaxies into the IGM (e.g., Heckman et al. 1990; Tremonti et al. 2004; Chisholm et al. 2018). Thus, gas-phase metallicity is expected to be connected with most of the galaxy evolutionary processes (e.g., gas inflow/outflow and star formation) and can be considered as one of the fundamental characteristics of galaxies that encodes information regarding galaxy evolution over cosmic time.

A tight correlation has been observed between the gas-phase metallicity and stellar mass of galaxies (mass–metallicity relation, hereafter MZR) out to $z \sim 3.5$, such that galaxies with lower stellar masses have lower metallicities (e.g., Tremonti et al. 2004; Erb et al. 2006; Mannucci et al. 2009; Finkelstein et al. 2011; Steidel et al. 2014; Sanders et al. 2015, 2020). Moreover, it has been found that the MZR evolves with redshift so that galaxies at a given stellar mass have a lower metallicity at high redshifts (e.g., Steidel et al. 2014; Maiolino & Mannucci 2019; Sanders et al. 2020).

Although a tight correlation has been found between stellar mass and gas-phase metallicity spanning a wide range of stellar masses, other physical properties of galaxies could also contribute to the observed scatter in this relation. For example, the star formation rate (SFR) in a galaxy controls the metallicity. At a given stellar mass, galaxies with lower SFRs have higher metallicities (e.g., Mannucci et al. 2010;
Sanders et al. 2018). Gas accretion from the IGM adds chemically poor gas into the ISM of a galaxy, which lowers the galaxy’s gas-phase metallicity. On the other hand, cold gas infall that provides additional fuel for star formation increases the SFR. Therefore, cold gas accretion changes the metallicity content of the ISM in a complicated way. Lilly et al. (2013) introduced a gas regulator model that expresses the gas-phase metallicity of a galaxy in terms of the properties of the accreted gas (metallicity and rate of infalling gas) and SFR. Their model explains the observationally confirmed dependence of the MZR on SFR.

However, the evolution of the ISM and its metal content does, to a large extent, depend on the properties of the infalling gas that, in turn, is affected by the environment where the galaxy resides (Peng & Maiolino 2014; Gupta et al. 2018). Chartab et al. (2020) studied the environmental dependence of star formation activity of galaxies in the five widely separated fields of the Cosmic Assembly Near-IR Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS; Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011) out to $z \sim 3.5$. They found that environmental quenching efficiency evolves with stellar mass such that massive galaxies in overdense regions become quenched more efficiently than their low-mass counterparts. This suggests that, besides the stellar mass quenching, which is the dominant quenching mechanism at high redshift, the environmental quenching is also effective as early as $z \sim 3$ for massive galaxies (i.e., the quiescent fraction of galaxies with $M_* \sim 10^{11} M_\odot$ are 20% higher (Chartab et al. 2020) in overdensities than that of field counterparts at $z \sim 2.8$). They also suggest that the growth of environmental quenching efficiency with stellar mass can be explained by the termination of cold gas accretion in an overdense environment. In the absence of cold gas accretion, massive galaxies exhaust their remaining gas reservoirs in shorter timescales compared to low-mass galaxies (also see Balogh et al. 2016; Fossati et al. 2017; Kawinwanichakij et al. 2017; Old et al. 2020). Using the Evolution and Assembly of GaLaxies and their Environments simulation, van de Voort et al. (2017) found a suppression of the cold gas accretion rate in dense environments, mostly for satellite galaxies. Zavala et al. (2019) reported Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array observations of 68 spectroscopically confirmed galaxies within two protoclusters at $z \sim 2.2$ and found that protoclusters contain a higher fraction of massive and gas-poor galaxies compared to those residing in the field environment. They concluded that the environmental quenching exists during the early phases of cluster formation (also see Darvish et al. 2016; Nantais et al. 2017; Ji et al. 2018; Pintos-Castro et al. 2019; Ando et al. 2020; Contini et al. 2020). However, Lemaux et al. (2020) recently observed an enhanced star formation for star-forming galaxies in overdensities at $z > 2$ (also see Elbaz et al. 2007; Tran et al. 2010). All of these observations reveal the importance of the local environment in gas accretion rate and SFR that govern the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies.

Moreover, the metallicity of the infalling gas varies in different environments. In the local universe, it is observed that the metallicity of IGM gas in cosmic voids is $<0.02 Z_\odot$ (Store et al. 2007), while this number is $\sim 0.3 Z_\odot$ for a cluster-like environment (e.g., Mushotzky & Loewenstein 1997). This is consistent with results from the IllustrisTNG simulations (Gupta et al. 2018) that predict a metal-enhanced infalling gas in a dense environment out to $z \sim 1.5$. Both pieces of evidence of lower gas accretion rate and higher metallicity of IGM gas in denser environments hint that a part of the scatter on the MZR could be due to the difference in the environment of galaxies.

The metallicity of galaxies in diverse environments is extensively studied in the local universe. Small but significant environmental dependence of the MZR is found, especially for satellite galaxies, such that at a given stellar mass, galaxies in overdensities have higher gas-phase metallicities (e.g., Cooper et al. 2008; Ellison et al. 2009; Peng & Maiolino 2014; Wu et al. 2017; Schaefer et al. 2019). Cooper et al. (2008) found that $\gtrsim 15\%$ of the measured scatter in the MZR is caused by environmental effects. Furthermore, Peng & Maiolino (2014) found that galaxies with high metallicities favor denser environments at $z \sim 0$. They conclude that higher metallicity of infalling gas in dense environments is responsible for the environmental dependence of the MZR.

Beyond the local universe (at $z \gtrsim 1$), the situation is unclear. Some studies found evidence of enhanced gas-phase metallicity in low-mass cluster galaxies at $z \gtrsim 1.5$ (e.g., Kulas et al. 2013; Shimakawa et al. 2015; Maior et al. 2019). Kulas et al. (2013) used Keck/MOSFIRE observations to compare the gas-phase metallicity of 23 protocluster members ($z \sim 2.3$) with 20 field galaxies. They found that the mean metallicity of low-mass galaxies ($M_* \sim 10^{10} M_\odot$) in the protocluster is $\sim 0.15$ dex higher than that in the field. On the other hand, Valentino et al. (2015) reported 0.25 dex lower gas-phase metallicity for the members of a $z \sim 2$ protocluster ($M_* \sim 10^{10.5} M_\odot$) compared to field galaxies at the same redshift. Conversely, some other studies have not observed significant environmental dependence of the MZR at high redshift (e.g., Kacprzak et al. 2015; Tran et al. 2015; Namiki et al. 2019).

With the wealth of near-IR spectroscopy for the star-forming galaxies ($1.37 \lesssim z \lesssim 2.61$) in the MOSFIRE Deep Evolution Field (MOSDEF) survey (Kriek et al. 2015), combined with the local environment measurements (Chartab et al. 2020) derived from accurate and uniformly calculated photometric redshifts with well-calibrated probability distributions, in this paper we investigate the effect of the local environment on the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies at $z \sim 1.5$ and $z \sim 2.3$. In Section 2, we present the details of the MOSDEF sample used in this work. We then briefly describe the local number density measurements, as a proxy for the environment, based on the photometric observations of the CANDELS fields. We describe sample selection procedure in Section 3. In Section 4, we investigate the role of the environment in the MZR, followed by the physical interpretation of our observations. We discuss our results in Section 5 and summarize them in Section 6.

Throughout this work, we assume a flat $\Lambda$CDM cosmology with $H_0 = 100h \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$. All magnitudes are expressed in the AB system, and the physical parameters are measured assuming a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function.

### 2. Data

#### 2.1. The MOSDEF Survey

MOSDEF is an extensive near-IR spectroscopic survey conducted over 48.5 nights using the Keck/MOSFIRE spectrograph (McLean et al. 2012). As a part of the survey, $\sim 1500$ galaxies in five CANDELS fields (EGS, COSMOS, GOODS-N, UDS, and GOODS-S) were observed in three
redshift ranges where strong rest-optical emission lines fall within windows of atmospheric transmission (400 galaxies at $z \sim 1.5$, 750 at $z \sim 2.3$, and 400 at $z \sim 3.4$). These galaxies are selected from the 3D-HST photometric and spectroscopic catalogs (Skelton et al. 2014; Momcheva et al. 2016) to a limiting Hubble Space Telescope magnitude of 24.0, 24.5, and 25.0 at $z \sim 1.5, 2.3,$ and 3.4, respectively. Based on these magnitude limits, the MOSDEF sample is roughly mass-complete down to $M_b \sim 10^{9.5} M_\odot$ (Shivaei et al. 2015). For a full description of the survey strategy, observations, and data reduction, we refer readers to Kriek et al. (2015).

The spectral energy distributions (SED) of MOSDEF galaxies are fitted using the multiwavelength photometric data from the 3D-HST survey (Skelton et al. 2014; Momcheva et al. 2016). Briefly, the photometric fluxes are corrected for contamination caused by strong nebular emission lines measured from the MOSDEF rest-frame optical spectra. The flexible stellar population synthesis model of Conroy et al. (2009) is adopted to build a library of synthetic SEDs. Star formation histories are modeled with a delayed exponentially declining function ($SFR \propto t e^{-t/\tau}$), where $t$ is the age of a galaxy and $\tau$ is the star formation timescale. Dust attenuation is applied using the Calzetti et al. (2000) law and solar metallicity is assumed for all galaxies. Then, the SED fitting is performed using the FAST code (Kriek et al. 2009), which uses a $\chi^2$ minimization method to find the best-fit stellar population model and corresponding properties such as stellar mass and SFR. Corresponding confidence intervals are computed by perturbing the photometry using the photometric errors. Redshifts are fixed to their spectroscopic values for the SED fitting. The spectroscopic redshifts and emission line fluxes are measured from the extracted 1D spectra, presented in Kriek et al. (2015).

### 2.2. Measuring Local Environment of Galaxies

To quantify the environment of MOSDEF galaxies, we utilize the publicly available catalog of Chartab et al. (2020), which includes measurements of local density for galaxies brighter than HST/F160W $\leq 26$ AB mag in all of the five CANDELS fields: GOODS-S (Guo et al. 2013), GOODS-N (Barro et al. 2019), COSMOS (Nayyeri et al. 2017), EGS (Stefanon et al. 2017), and UDS (Galametz et al. 2013).

As described in Chartab et al. (2020), including both the spectroscopic and photometric redshifts for density measurements can bias the estimates in favor of galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts. Therefore, despite the availability of spectroscopic redshifts for $\sim 12\%$ of galaxies in the CANDELS fields, the environment catalog relies on uniformly calculated photometric redshifts (normalized median absolute deviation $\sigma_{\text{NMAD}} \sim 0.02$), with well-calibrated redshift probability distribution functions (PDF; Kodra 2019, D. Kodra et al. 2021, in preparation). The environment catalog has been constructed using the full photometric redshift PDFs adopting the technique of boundary-corrected, weighted von Mises kernel density estimation (Chartab et al. 2020). Here, we provide a brief explanation of density measurements.

Taking advantage of the well-calibrated and tested photometric redshift PDFs of CANDELS galaxies, the positions of galaxies are treated in a probabilistic way, such that all of the information regarding the position of a galaxy in redshift space is embedded in its photometric redshift PDF. The data set within the CANDELS fields is sliced to constant comoving width, $\Delta z = 35 h^{-1}$ Mpc (e.g., $\Delta z = 0.035$ at $z \sim 2$), which is greater than both redshift space distortion (Fingers-of-God effect; Jackson 1972) and the photometric redshift PDF resolution over the redshift range $0.4 \leq z \leq 5$. Each galaxy is distributed over all redshift slices ($z$-slice) based on its photometric redshift PDF, such that the galaxy has a specific weight in each $z$-slice. Then, to calculate the local density in each $z$-slice, the weighted von Mises kernel density estimation is employed. The von Mises kernel is the spherical analog of the Gaussian kernel where variables are angles (e.g., R.A. and decl.) instead of linear data (García-Portugal et al. 2013). To quantify the environment, density contrast $\delta$ is defined as

$$\delta = \frac{\Sigma}{\bar{\Sigma}} - 1,$$

where $\Sigma$ is the number density of galaxies at the desired point and $\bar{\Sigma}$ is the average density in the corresponding $z$-slice. Figure 1 shows an example of the density map for one of the $z$-slices at $z \sim 2.13$ in the COSMOS field. One should note that the probabilistic nature of the method allows us to take into account the contribution of all galaxies (based on their
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photometric redshift PDFs) when we create density maps. In Figure 1 we also include six spectroscopically confirmed overdensities at $z \sim 2.1$ (Yuan et al. 2014), which were initially discovered from the Magellan/FOURSTAR Galaxy Evolution survey (ZFOURGE; Spitler et al. 2012). We find that all six confirmed overdensities in Yuan et al. (2014) are correctly predicted in the density map.

To assign a density contrast for each galaxy, the weighted integration of the local densities over $z$-slices has been performed, since a galaxy with a photometric redshift is not localized in redshift space but is distributed over all $z$-slices based on its PDF. The densities are also corrected for a systematic underestimation near the edge of the survey footprint using the re-normalization technique.

In the following section, we cross-match the MOSDEF galaxies with the CANDELS photometric catalogs to find their associated local density measurements. Although we have spectroscopic redshifts for the MOSDEF galaxies, we do not pin them in density maps based on spectroscopic redshifts to measure their environments. The density measurements trace the relative position of galaxies in the survey and are not sensitive to systematic biases that may exist in the photometric redshifts, whereas measuring densities based on the photometric redshifts but defining positions of the MOSDEF galaxies in density maps by their spectroscopic redshifts leads to inconsistencies.

3. Sample Selection

We select MOSDEF galaxies that have H$_\alpha$ line luminosities with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) $\geq$ 3. We only include the star-forming galaxies based on the UVJ rest-frame color selection (Muzzin et al. 2013) derived from SED fitting. Objects that are flagged as an active galactic nucleus (AGN) in the MOSDEF catalog are excluded. The AGNs are identified based on X-ray emission or IRAC colors. We also require that \(\log([N \text{ II}]/H_\alpha) < -0.3\) to exclude optical AGNs (Coil et al. 2015; Azadi et al. 2017, 2018; Leung et al. 2017, 2019). To have a mass-complete sample, we only consider galaxies with $M_*$ $\geq 10^{9.5} M_\odot$. These criteria result in a total of 560 galaxies at $0.78 \leq z \leq 2.64$. We then cross-match these objects with the local environment catalog of Chartab et al. (2020) within a radius equal to the FWHM size of HST/F160w band point-spread function, $\sim 0.7''$. For 23 galaxies, we could not find a source within the radius of $\sim 0.7''$ in the CANDELS photometry catalogs due to the difference in the source identification and photometry extraction between the CANDELS and the 3D-HST. Also, 15 galaxies were not included in the environment catalog since the catalog is constructed based on specific selection criteria. Each object must have (1) an SExtractor stellarity parameter $<0.95$, (2) 95% of the photometric redshift PDF fall within the redshift range of $0.4 \leq z \leq 5$, and (3) an AB magnitude brighter than 26 in HST/F160W band. Fourteen out of 15 missing galaxies in the environment catalog were incorrectly identified as low-$z$ galaxies or had very broad photometric redshift PDFs that have not satisfied the second criterion, and the other missing galaxy was identified as a galaxy with HST/F160W $> 26$ AB mag in the CANDELS photometric catalogs that has not satisfied the third criterion.

Figure 2 shows the comparison between the spectroscopic and the CANDELS photometric redshifts of the sample. The photometric redshift is defined as a probability-weighted expectation value of the redshift based on the photometric redshift PDF (Kodra 2019). We find a value of 0.03 for the normalized median absolute deviation of photometric redshifts. This revalidates the accuracy of photometric redshifts, which results in reliable local density measurements. Furthermore, we have removed outlier galaxies with the error $>0.5$ in their photometric redshifts and galaxies out of the desired redshift range (shown with open circles in Figure 2). The final sample is divided into two redshift bins, 167 galaxies at $1.37 \leq z \leq 1.70$ and 303 galaxies $2.09 \leq z \leq 2.61$.

To investigate if our sample is representative of the full dynamic range of the local environment in the CANDELS data, we compare the local environmental density of CANDELS star-forming galaxies with $M_*$ $\geq 10^{9.5} M_\odot$ at the same redshift range with our sample (the MOSDEF galaxies). We use rest-frame UVJ colors computed in Chartab et al. (2020) to select a star-forming subsample of CANDELS galaxies. Figure 3 shows the histogram of density contrast for MOSDEF galaxies and for all of the star-forming CANDELS galaxies at the same redshift ranges. We find that MOSDEF galaxies cover a wide range of environments, making them unique for studying the environmental dependence of spectroscopic properties of galaxies. There is slight evidence that MOSDEF galaxies reside in relatively denser environments than the CANDELS star-forming sample, which is expected for most spectroscopic surveys, as they usually maximize the number of sources per mask. However, this effect is minimal in MOSDEF since it is an extensive program that covers $\sim 600$ arcmin$^2$ at $z \sim 2.3$ and $\sim 300$ arcmin$^2$ at $z \sim 1.5$ (Kriek et al. 2015). Given the total area of the CANDELS fields ($\sim 960$ arcmin$^2$), MOSDEF covers $\sim 30\%$ and $\sim 60\%$ of the CANDELS area at $z \sim 1.5$ and $z \sim 2.3$, respectively. This wide areal coverage translates to diverse environments in our sample, as shown in Figure 3.
We divide the sample into three bins of environment. Although we find that the local environment distribution of our sample is well representative of the full CANDELS galaxies, we do not set the environment binning thresholds based on our sample. Instead, we calculate them from all of the star-forming CANDELS galaxies at desired redshift ranges. We find the tertiles which divide the CANDELS sample into three bins of environments, each containing a third of the sample. We consider galaxies in the lowest tertile ($\delta < \delta_1$) as field galaxies, those within the second tertile ($\delta_1 \leq \delta < \delta_2$) as intermediate densities, and those in the highest tertile ($\delta \geq \delta_2$) as overdensities. Table 1 shows the density contrast thresholds to divide the environment at each redshift bin. Our sample includes $\sim 55$ and $\sim 100$ galaxies in each bin of the environment at $z \sim 1.5$ and $z \sim 2.3$, respectively (see Table 1).

Fossati et al. (2015) linked the observational local number density of galaxies to their parent halo masses using a stellar mass–limited sample of galaxies ($M_* > 10^{9.5} M_\odot$) in semianalytic models of galaxy formation. We estimate an average halo mass $M_{\text{halo}} \gtrsim 10^{13} M_\odot$ for overdensities (the highest tertile in present work) at $z \sim 2$ (also see Fossati et al. 2017). Present-day descendants of these overdensities have $M_{\text{halo}} \gtrsim 10^{14} M_\odot$ (Behroozi et al. 2013) and are associated with rich clusters. Massive core halos of these present-day rich cluster progenitors at $z \sim 2$ (protoclusters) have virial radii of $\lesssim 1$ comoving Mpc (Chiang et al. 2017), which can be observed within the CANDELS fields. Thus, our last environment bin, so-called overdense, traces these massive cores of protoclusters at high redshift that will grow into $z = 0$ clusters. However, all of the dark matter and baryons that will assemble into a $z = 0$ cluster may be very extended at $z \sim 2$, $\sim 50$ comoving Mpc (Muldrew et al. 2015), which cannot be captured in small CANDELS fields.

We also estimate an average halo mass $M_{\text{halo}} \lesssim 10^{12.5} M_\odot$ (Fossati et al. 2015) for the lowest tertile of the environment bins, so-called field galaxies. These halos will grow into halos with $M_{\text{halo}} \lesssim 10^{13} M_\odot$ at $z = 0$ (Behroozi et al. 2013). Thus, field galaxies in the present work are the progenitor of galaxies residing in very poor $z = 0$ groups/clusters (like the Local Group).

In the following section, we model the MZR for our environment-selected samples to understand how metal enrichment processes of galaxies change with their respective environments.

4. Results

4.1. The MZR in Diverse Environments

Here we use H$\alpha$ and [N II] $\lambda \lambda 6584$ lines to estimate oxygen abundances of galaxies as an indicator for their gas-phase metallicities. However, the [N II] $\lambda \lambda 6584$ emission line is not detected at $S/N \geq 3$ for 48 out of 167 and 118 out of 303 galaxies at $z \sim 1.5$ and $z \sim 2.3$, respectively. As we discuss later in Appendix, requiring an [N II] $\lambda \lambda 6584$ detection biases our sample toward higher gas-phase metallicities. Therefore, to include [N II] $\lambda \lambda 6584$ nondetected galaxies in metallicity measurements, we create composite spectra by stacking the spectra of galaxies in bins of stellar mass and environment.

Following Shivaei et al. (2018), we shift individual spectra to the rest frame and then normalize them by the H$\alpha$ luminosity. Composite spectra are computed by averaging the normalized spectra in bins of 0.5 Å considering the weights of $1/\sigma_i^2$, where $\sigma_i$ is the standard deviation of the $i$th spectra. The uncertainty in the weighted average is also obtained using $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

The resultant composite spectra are normalized to the H$\alpha$ luminosity. Therefore, the average flux ratio of $\frac{\text{flux}_{[\text{N II}] \lambda \lambda 6584}}{\text{flux}_{\text{H} \alpha}}$ is determined by fitting a triple Gaussian function for [N II] $\lambda \lambda 6584$ and H$\alpha$ lines and extracting the area underneath the Gaussian function for the normalized [N II] $\lambda \lambda 6584$ line. We
Notes.

a Best-fit parameters for 12 + log(O/H) = β + α log(M∗/M⊙).

b Best-fit parameters from Sanders et al. (2018) for MOSDEF galaxies at z ∼ 2.3 without any constraint on their environments.

Table 2. To estimate errors, we perturb metallicities according to their uncertainties and repeat the fitting process 500 times. We also include best-fit parameters from Sanders et al. (2018) for the MOSDEF galaxies at z ∼ 2.3. Their sample is the same as ours except that they have one more constraint on the detection (S/N > 3) of the Hβ emission line.

The resulting MZRs in different environments are shown in Figure 4 along with the metallicity and stellar mass of individual galaxies. The metallicity measurements for the composite spectra are shown with diamonds. We find an enhancement in the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies in dense environments at z ∼ 1.5 compared to the field galaxies, while the trend reverses at z ∼ 2.3 such that galaxies in the dense environment tend to have lower metallicities compared to their counterparts in lower-density environments.

At z ∼ 1.5, the average galaxies with 10^{9.5} M⊙ < M∗ < 10^{11} M⊙ that reside in overdense environments have enhanced metallicities by ∼0.07 dex compared to their field counterparts. At the low stellar mass end of the MZR, this enhancement is less significant, mostly due to a higher fraction of [NII] λ6584 nondetection galaxies in the low-mass end. At z ∼ 2.3, the average galaxies with 10^{9.5} M⊙ < M∗ < 10^{11} M⊙ in the dense environment are ∼0.11 dex metal deficient relative to the field galaxies at the same stellar mass range.

A notable caveat for studies with a small sample size, which is the case for most of the high-redshift spectroscopic samples, is the presence of dissimilar stellar mass distributions among the galaxies in different environments. It is crucial to have the same stellar mass distributions in different environments to properly study the effect of the environment on the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies at a given stellar mass. As we bin the data to find the average MZR in diverse environments, different stellar mass distributions can result in different average metallicities. This difference can be misinterpreted as an environmental imprint on the MZR. Therefore, before proceeding to a discussion of our results, in the next section, we first perform an analysis on a mass-controlled sample of galaxies where we carefully match the stellar mass distribution of galaxies in different environments and find the composite spectra for the mass-controlled sample. This allows us to properly disentangle the effect of stellar mass from that of the local environment, providing an unbiased measurement of environmental trend.

4.2. Mass-controlled Sample

The stellar mass distribution of our sample is shown in Figure 5. As expected, galaxies in diverse environments have different stellar mass distributions. We find that overdensities tend to have a higher fraction of massive galaxies compared to underdense regions. For instance, the median stellar mass of our field sample at z ∼ 1.5 is 10^{9.93} M⊙, while this value for the overdense sample is 10^{10.25} M⊙. In the highest redshift bin, z ∼ 2.3, the median stellar masses are 10^{9.93} M⊙ and 10^{10.17} M⊙ for field and overdense galaxies, respectively. It is, therefore, important to match the stellar mass distribution of galaxies in different environments to properly disentangle the effect of the local environment on the gas-phase metallicity from that of the stellar mass. As shown in Figure 5, we match the stellar mass distribution of galaxies in three environment bins by subsampling the galaxies such that each environment has the same number of galaxies at a given stellar mass. We adopt a resolution of log(M*/M⊙) = 0.1 dex when matching the stellar mass distribution.
mass distributions. In other words, we draw a fraction of galaxies in different environments such that they have the same stellar mass distributions with 0.1 dex resolution. As there are not unique mass-matched subsamples in different environments, we repeat subsampling 500 times. For each trial, we measure the composite spectra in the bins of environment and stellar mass using the same procedure described in Section 4.1. The stellar mass bins of 

\[ < \log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) < 9.5, \ 10 < \log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) < 10.5, \ \text{and} \ \log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) \geq 10.5 \] are adopted. We perturb the resultant composite spectra of each trial according to their uncertainties. Ultimately, we construct the final mass-controlled composite spectra and their errors, using the average and standard deviation of the 500 measurements, respectively.

We do not take into account stellar mass uncertainties when matching the stellar mass distribution of galaxies in different environments, since the median uncertainty of stellar masses in our sample is \( \sim 0.05 \) dex, which is smaller than the desired resolution in the mass-controlled sample, 0.1 dex.

4.2.1. Metallicity of Mass-controlled Sample

The composite spectra for the nine bins of stellar masses and environments for the mass-controlled sample are shown in Figure 6. The \([\text{NII}]\lambda 6584\) estimates and gas-phase metallicity (oxygen abundance) for mass-controlled composite spectra in the nine bins of stellar masses and environments are presented.
Figure 6. Composite spectra for the mass-controlled samples in the bins of stellar mass and environment at $z \sim 1.5$ (top) and $z \sim 2.3$ (bottom). Errors are represented with the shaded regions, which are around the weighted average spectra. To build the composite spectra for the mass-controlled samples, we use the subsampling technique. Every time we subsample the data such that they have similar stellar mass distributions in three bins of environment, we build the composite spectra and perturb them according to their uncertainties. In the end, using the average and standard deviation of 500 trials, we construct mass-controlled composite spectra and their errors, respectively.
in Table 3. We follow the same procedure as described in Section 4.1 to measure the metallicity of galaxies in the bins of environment and stellar mass from the composite spectra using the N2 indicator.

We also measure the average stellar masses and SFRs of the mass-controlled samples in stellar mass and environment bins. We use SFRs derived from SED fitting since the dust-corrected Hα luminosity is not available for 40% of our sample. We avoid imposing a constraint on the detection of the Hβ emission line needed for dust correction, as it decreases our sample size significantly. The variation of metallicity at fixed $M_*$ in different environments is small (<0.1 dex) and thus requires large sample sizes to be detected. Previous studies found that the SFR-derived SFRs for MOSDEF galaxies are in general agreement with SFRs derived from dust-corrected Hα luminosities (Reddy et al. 2015; Shivaei et al. 2016). The average SFR-derived SFRs listed in Table 3 suggest that even though there is evidence for a weak environmental dependence of the SFRs for our star-forming sample at a given stellar mass, it is not significant due to large uncertainties in the SFR measurements. Errors of SFRs listed in Table 3 include uncertainty in the SFR of individual galaxies as well as sample variance. A detailed study of the environmental imprints on specific SFRs of MOSDEF galaxies can properly constrain this relation. Old et al. (2020) have used [O II]-derived SFRs to investigate this relation at 1.0 < z < 1.5 in Gemini Observations of Galaxies in Rich Early Environments Survey (GOGREEN; Balogh et al. 2017). They find no significant difference between the specific SFR of the cluster and the field sample at $z > 1.3$. The MZR for the mass-controlled sample at $z \sim 1.5$ and $z \sim 2.3$ are presented in Figure 7. We emphasize that the stellar mass distributions in the environment bins are similar. This allows us to remove the effect of stellar mass on metallicity to properly investigate the environmental effects. Also, although the mass-controlled sample has a smaller number of galaxies than does the whole sample, we subsample the data 500 times to incorporate the contribution of the full sample in measurements. As shown in Figure 7, we find that at a given stellar mass, the metallicity of galaxies changes with their respective environments at both redshift intervals considered here.

At $z \sim 1.5$, the average metallicity of galaxies in overdensities is higher than that of field galaxies, with enhancements of 0.094 ± 0.051 (1.8σ significance), 0.068 ± 0.028 (2.4σ significance), and 0.052 ± 0.043 (1.2σ significance) dex for the mass-controlled sample with $M_\star \sim 10^{9.8} M_\odot$, $10^{10.2} M_\odot$, and $10^{10.8} M_\odot$, respectively. For galaxies residing in the intermediate density, the metallicity enhancements are 0.090 ± 0.052 (1.7σ significance), 0.007 ± 0.029 (insignificant), and 0.077 ± 0.042 (1.8σ significance) dex at the same stellar masses mentioned above.

In contrast, at $z \sim 2.3$, the average metallicity of galaxies in overdensities is lower than that of their field counterparts. Galaxies in the mass-controlled sample that reside in overdensities with $M_\star \sim 10^{9.8} M_\odot$, $10^{10.2} M_\odot$, and $10^{10.7} M_\odot$, are metal deficient by 0.056 ± 0.043 (1.3σ significance), 0.056 ± 0.028 (2σ significance), and 0.096 ± 0.034 (2.8σ significance) dex relative to the field sample, respectively. At this redshift, the metal deficiencies are 0.017 ± 0.032 (insignificant), 0.022 ± 0.025 (insignificant), and 0.085 ± 0.034 (2.5σ significance) dex for galaxies located in the intermediate density with the same stellar masses as above.

Analysis of the mass-controlled samples confirms the trends already observed for the unmatched sample in Figure 4; however, the significance of observed trends is more reliable when we control the stellar mass distribution of the sample. Considering all stellar mass ranges ($10^{9.5} M_\odot \lesssim M_\star \lesssim 10^{11} M_\odot$), at $z \sim 1.5$, on average galaxies in overdensities are ∼0.07 dex richer in metals compared to their field counterparts.

| Redshift   | Environment   | $N_{\text{Field}}$ | $N_{\text{Intermediate}}$ | $N_{\text{Overdense}}$ | $(\log_{10} \frac{M_\star}{M_\odot})_{\text{mean}}$ | $(\log_{10} \text{SFR})_{\text{year}^{-1}}$ | $(\log_{10} \frac{[\text{NII}]_{6584}}{\text{H}})_{\text{mean}}$ | $(\log_{10} \text{O} / \text{H})_{\text{mean}}$ |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1.37–1.70 | Field         | 27.21            | 9.79 ± 0.03              | 9.81 ± 0.03              | 0.89 ± 0.24                                         | 0.75 ± 0.18                     | 1.31 ± 0.36                                | 9.77 ± 0.03                       |
|           | Intermediate  | 22.17            | 9.81 ± 0.03              | 9.20 ± 0.03              | 1.15 ± 0.23                                         | 1.08 ± 0.32                     | 1.31 ± 0.36                                | 10.21 ± 0.03                      |
|           | Overdense     | 18.17            | 10.75 ± 0.05             | 10.75 ± 0.05             | 0.90 ± 0.16                                         | 0.85 ± 0.16                     | 0.90 ± 0.16                                | 10.79 ± 0.05                      |
| 2.09–2.61 | Field         | 53.36            | 9.77 ± 0.02              | 9.76 ± 0.02              | 0.92 ± 0.16                                         | 1.09 ± 0.24                     | 1.09 ± 0.24                                | 10.65 ± 0.06                      |
|           | Intermediate  | 42.36            | 10.22 ± 0.02             | 10.21 ± 0.02             | 1.27 ± 0.16                                         | 1.28 ± 0.16                     | 1.28 ± 0.16                                | 10.66 ± 0.02                      |
|           | Overdense     | 45.36            | 9.77 ± 0.02              | 9.77 ± 0.02              | 0.99 ± 0.13                                         | 1.31 ± 0.14                     | 1.31 ± 0.14                                | 10.66 ± 0.02                      |

Notes.

- Total number of galaxies in the bins of environment and stellar mass which is subsampled 500 times.
- Number of galaxies in each subsample (shaded region in Figure 5).
In contrast, at \( z \sim 2.3 \), average galaxies in overdensities have \( \sim 0.07 \) dex lower metallicities compared to the field galaxies. Before discussing the physical origin of the observed trends in detail, we compare our results with previous works in the following section.

5. Discussion

5.1. Comparison with Previous Works

Only a handful of studies have been conducted to investigate the role of the environment in the MZR at \( z > 1 \). The bottom panels in Figure 7 show the offset between the average metallicity of galaxies in overdensities (protocluster/cluster) and that of field galaxies as a function of stellar mass at both redshift bins, \( z \sim 1.5 \) and 2.3. In these figures, we also include the aforementioned offsets from the literature. Our finding at \( z \sim 1.5 \) is in full agreement with the recent work of Maier et al. (2019). They studied a massive cluster at \( z \sim 1.5 \) and found that, at a given stellar mass, the metallicities of galaxies in the inner part of the cluster are higher by \( \sim 0.1 \) dex than those of infalling and field galaxies. They suggest that strangulation in the dense cores of clusters results in a cold gas removal that enhances the metallicity. However, Namiki et al. (2019) and Tran et al. (2015) found no significant environmental dependence of the MZR around \( z \sim 1.5 \). Namiki et al. (2019) compared the MZR of narrowband H\( \alpha \)-selected cluster members with the field MZR of Stott et al. (2013). Tran et al. (2015) considered the field sample of Zahid et al. (2014) for the comparison. Therefore, we also utilize the field samples of Stott et al. (2013) and Zahid et al. (2014) to measure the metallicity offset (shown in Figure 7) for the studies of Namiki et al. (2019) and Tran et al. (2015), respectively.

In the studies regarding the environmental dependence of the MZR, the sample selection bias needs to be handled properly to ensure that both field and cluster samples are selected in the same way. For example, Stott et al. (2013) found that H\( \alpha \) emitting galaxies selected from the High-Z Emission Line Survey (HiZELS; Sobral et al. 2013) have remarkably higher metallicity at a given stellar mass compared to a rest-frame UV-selected sample of Erb et al. (2006). They argued that the UV-selected sample of Erb et al. (2006) tends to have a higher average SFR compared to the HiZELS narrowband-selected sample, resulting in a bias against metal-rich galaxies. Therefore, the comparison between the MZR of two different works can be biased due to the selection criteria. However, this is not an issue when the sample is selected uniformly in different environments and measurements are performed consistently. Shimakawa et al. (2015) studied narrowband-selected galaxies...
in two rich overdensities at \( z = 2.2 \) and 2.5. They found that the metallicity of protocluster galaxies \( (M_* < 10^{11} M_\odot) \) is \( \sim 0.15 \) dex higher than that of field galaxies, which contrasts with our result at \( z \sim 2.3 \). According to Stott et al. (2013) and discussions included in Shimakawa et al. (2015), selection bias is a potential concern in their study since different criteria are used for the selection of protocluster (narrowband selected) and field (UV selected, Erb et al. 2006) galaxies. This concern can be addressed in future studies by comparing the protocluster MZR of Shimakawa et al. (2015) with a field MZR of a narrowband-selected H\( \alpha \) emitters at \( z \sim 2.2 \).

Kacprzak et al. (2015) and Alcorn et al. (2019) studied the MZR of a protocluster (Yuan et al. 2014) at \( z = 2.1 \) and reported no significant environmental effect on the MZR. As shown in Figure 1, we also captured this protocluster in the present work. We speculate that the lack of environmental dependence of the MZR in their studies can originate from the consideration of all the protocluster members at the same density contrast. As seen in Figure 1, the protocluster includes different components, and considering that all the members are located in an overdensity weakens any existing environmental dependence of the MZR.

Valentino et al. (2015) found that cluster star-forming galaxies \( (10^{10} M_\odot < M_* < 10^{13} M_\odot) \) are \( \sim 0.25 \) dex poorer in metals than their field counterparts at \( z \sim 2 \). We find a similar trend at \( z \sim 2.3 \) with a lower average metal deficiency of \( \sim 0.1 \) dex. Moreover, Z. Sattari et al. (2021, in preparation) studied a massive protocluster at \( z = 2.2 \) (Darvish et al. 2020) and found that protocluster galaxies with \( M_* \sim 10^{9.6} M_\odot \) and \( M_* \sim 10^{10.4} M_\odot \) are 0.03 \( \pm 0.06 \) and 0.10 \( \pm 0.04 \) dex metal deficient, respectively, compared to field galaxies. Our findings at \( z \sim 2.3 \) are in agreement with their results.

In the presence of limited sample size, an unbalanced stellar mass distribution of galaxies between cluster members and the field sample can affect the environmental trends. In other words, even in each stellar mass bin (e.g., \( 9.5 < \log(M_*/M_\odot) < 10 \)), the stacked spectra are biased toward massive galaxies, which are usually detected with higher S/N. Therefore, one needs to match the shape of stellar mass distributions in different environments as described in Section 4.2, and having the same average/median stellar mass in the bins of the environment does not guarantee that the effect of stellar mass on gas-phase metallicity is removed properly. Kulas et al. (2013) have studied the MZR of a protocluster at \( z \sim 2.3 \) and reported a 0.1 dex metallicity enhancement with respect to the field galaxies. We do not confirm such trends in the present work. Their field and protocluster samples are selected consistently (UV selected). However, based on Figure 2 of Kulas et al. (2013), we speculate that the metallicity enhancement seen in their work can be affected by the significantly different stellar mass distributions of their protocluster and field samples.

Beyond the local universe, all of the previous works regarding environmental dependence of gas-phase metallicity are conducted by comparing MZR for a cluster/protocluster and a sample of field galaxies. However, within a given cluster/protocluster, galaxies may have different density contrasts. Thus, quantifying the environment using local density is a better approach to study environmental effect rather than considering all of the members of a cluster/protocluster residing in an overdensity. For instance, Maier et al. (2019) found that the metallicity enhancement at \( z \sim 1.5 \) is only observed for the core (inside half of \( R_{200} \)) of a cluster, not for infalling protocluster members. Assuming that all of the cluster/protocluster members have similar local densities weakens any underlying environmental dependence of the MZR.

In the present work, for the first time, we study the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies as a function of their local density and its evolution with cosmic time beyond the local universe. Similar works have been conducted by Mouchine et al. (2007), Cooper et al. (2008), and Peng & Maiolino (2014) with a Sloan Digital Sky Survey sample at \( z \sim 0 \).

### 5.2. How Does the Environment Affect MZR?

In this work, we find that galaxies in overdense regions have lower metallicities than do their field counterparts at \( z \sim 2.3 \), but they become more metal rich as they evolve to \( z \sim 1.5 \). In other words, the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies in a dense environment increases by \( \sim 0.15 \) dex as they evolve from \( z \sim 2.3 \) to \( z \sim 1.5 \) \( (\sim 1.5 \) Gyr), but the metallicity of field galaxies is almost unchanged over this period. It implies that, at high redshift, metal enrichment processes are affected by the environment in which galaxies reside.

Previous studies observed that dense environments at the early stage of galaxy cluster formation \( (z \geq 2) \) contain a significant fraction of pristine gas (e.g., Cucciati et al. 2014). In the absence of gravitational heating processes, gas accretion in overdensities should be more prominent due to their deep potential well. However, infalling gas in overdensities with massive halos gets shock heated and needs to radiate its kinetic energy to accrete into the halo. At high redshifts, where the average density of the universe is higher by a factor of \((1 + z)^3\), gas cooling is very efficient (van de Voort & Schaye 2012). It is also observed that overdense regions in the early universe are not only overdense in galaxies but also contain a large fraction of dense gas (e.g., Hennawi et al. 2015). Denser gas can cool down faster, facilitating the accretion of the metal-poor primordial gas into galaxies (Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel & Birnboim 2006). As a result, the prominent accretion of cold metal-poor gas in overdensities dilutes the metal content of ISM in galaxies at high redshifts. This results in the metal-poor gas in the galaxies residing in dense environments at high redshifts, as observed in the present work at \( z \sim 2.3 \). Valentino et al. (2015) also observed the same metal deficiency at \( z \sim 2 \) and concluded that the accretion of pristine gas from cluster-scale reservoirs lowers the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies in dense environments compared to their coeval field galaxies.

In contrast, at the lower redshift, \( z \lesssim 2 \), the gas cannot cool down efficiently in overdensities, so the galaxies in those regions start to experience cosmological starvation. The lack of pristine metal-poor gas accretion in cluster members has been observed in different simulations out to \( z \sim 2 \) (van de Voort et al. 2017; Gupta et al. 2018). Moreover, Gupta et al. (2018) studied chemical preprocessing of cluster galaxies in the IllustrisTNG cosmological simulation and found that at \( z = 1.5 \), cluster galaxies receive \( \sim 0.05 \) dex more metal-rich infalling gas than galaxies in the field. But, this metallicity enhancement disappears at higher redshifts \( (z > 1.5) \). At \( z \sim 2 \), when galaxies actively form their stars, feedback processes should be strong enough to expel part of the processed gas into the IGM through the outflows. As a result, crowded regions contain preprocessed and metal-enriched gas, which can be then re-accreted to the galaxies at lower redshifts. As preprocessed gas has a higher metallicity, it can cool down faster, which facilitates its
accretion (Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel & Birnboim 2006). Therefore, we speculate that both effects, suppressed primordial metal-poor gas infall and preprocessed metal-enriched gas accretion, are essential in ramping up metal production in a dense environment around \(z \lesssim 2\). This can explain our result at \(z \sim 1.5\), where we find metallicity enhancement for galaxies in overdensities compared to field galaxies.

In the absence of cold gas accretion, galaxies could maintain their SFR unchanged for a period of time as they start to consume their gas reservoirs. This time ranges from a few hundred megayears for most massive galaxies up to a few gigayears for low-mass galaxies (McGee et al. 2014; Balogh et al. 2016). However, the dilution of the ISM’s metal content will cease immediately after the termination of cold gas accretion. Therefore, the absence of SFR suppression in overdense regions at \(z \sim 1.5\) for our star-forming sample does not contradict the observed metal enhancement in galaxies residing in overdensities at that redshift. Given the relatively short cosmic time interval between \(z \sim 2.3\) and \(z \sim 1.5\) (\(\sim 1.5\) Gyr), galaxies in the stellar mass range probed in the present work \(M_\star < 10^{11} M_\odot\) do not have enough time to consume their remaining gas reservoirs after the halt of cold gas accretion.

Sanders et al. (2018) showed that the MZR varies with SFR at \(z \sim 2.3\), such that the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies at fixed \(M_\star\) is anticorrelated with their SFRs. Using SED-derived SFRs, we also find slight evidence of enhanced SFR for star-forming galaxies located in overdensities. Therefore, the prominent gas accretion in overdense regions at high redshifts can explain both lower gas-phase metallicity and higher SFR of galaxies residing in overdensities at \(z \sim 2.3\). It is worth noting that gas outflows can also play a significant role in lowering the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies located in overdensities and actively forming stars. These outflows can re-accrete into galaxies at lower redshifts and increase the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies as found in the present work at \(z \sim 1.5\).

6. Summary

Using a large near-IR spectroscopic sample drawn from the MOSDEF survey, combined with the local density measurements from the CANDELS photometric survey, we study the environmental dependence of the MZR at \(z \sim 1.5\) and \(z \sim 2.3\). We cross-match MOSDEF galaxies with the publicly available catalog of local density measurements in five CANDELS fields (Chartab et al. 2020) and use the N2 = \(\frac{[\text{N} \text{II}] 6584}{H\beta}\) indicator to measure the gas-phase oxygen abundances of 167 galaxies at 1.37 \(\leq z \leq 1.7\) and 303 galaxies at 2.09 \(\leq z \leq 2.61\).

The samples are labeled as overdense, intermediate density, and field based on their local density measurements. We matched the stellar mass distribution of our sample in three different environments to properly disentangle the effects of stellar mass from those related to the environment. Massive galaxies are mostly found in overdensities and unmatched underlying stellar mass distributions between different environments can affect the strength of the trends or even change the observed trends in the presence of a limited sample size. For the mass-matched sample, our findings can be summarized as follows:

1. At \(z \sim 1.5\), the average metallicity of galaxies in overdensities with \(M_\star \sim 10^{9.8} M_\odot\), \(10^{10.2} M_\odot\), and \(10^{10.8} M_\odot\) is higher relative to their field counterparts by 0.094 \(\pm 0.051\) (1.8\(\sigma\) significance), 0.068 \(\pm 0.028\) (2.4\(\sigma\) significance), and 0.052 \(\pm 0.043\) (1.2\(\sigma\) significance) dex, respectively. Also, the metallicity enhancements for \(M_\star \sim 10^{9.8} M_\odot\) and \(10^{10.3} M_\odot\) galaxies in intermediate densities are 0.090 \(\pm 0.052\) (1.7\(\sigma\) significance) and 0.077 \(\pm 0.042\) (1.8\(\sigma\) significance) dex, respectively, and are insignificant for \(M_\star \sim 10^{10.4} M_\odot\) galaxies.

2. At \(z \sim 2.3\), galaxies that reside in overdensities with \(M_\star \sim 10^{9.8} M_\odot\), \(10^{10.2} M_\odot\), and \(10^{10.7} M_\odot\) have a lower gas-phase metallicity by 0.056 \(\pm 0.043\) (1.3\(\sigma\) significance), 0.056 \(\pm 0.028\) (2\(\sigma\) significance), and 0.096 \(\pm 0.034\) (2.8\(\sigma\) significance) dex compared to their coeval field sample, respectively. This metal deficiency is insignificant for galaxies residing in intermediate densities except for the massive galaxies \(M_\star \sim 10^{10.7} M_\odot\), for which we found 0.085 \(\pm 0.034\) (2.5\(\sigma\) significance) dex metal deficiency compared to field counterparts.

3. Our results suggest that the efficient gas cooling mechanisms at high redshifts result in the prominent accretion of primordial metal-poor gas into the galaxies in overdensities. This cold metal-poor gas can dilute the metal content of ISM gas and lowers the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies, as seen in the present work (\(z \sim 2.3\)). However, as galaxies evolve to the lower redshifts (\(z < 2\)), the shock-heated gas in overdensities with massive halos cannot cool down efficiently, which prevents it from accreting into the galaxy. The termination of pristine gas accretion in overdensities, along with the accretion of preprocessed gas due to the strong outflows, increases the metallicity of galaxies at lower redshifts, \(z < 2\). This scenario can explain our result at \(z \sim 1.5\), where we find a metallicity enhancement for galaxies in overdensities compared to that for coeval field galaxies.
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Appendix A

The MZR with [N II] 6584 Detection Requirement

We perform linear regression for individual galaxies (nonstacked) to calculate the best-fit MZR for galaxies with a significant detection \((S/N > 3)\) in [N II] 6584. We note that requiring a detection in [N II] 6584 introduces a bias to our sample toward higher gas-phase metallicities, especially in the low-mass end of the MZR where nondetections are prevalent; however, it is worth investigating the offset between the best-fit MZRs in different environments without considering the contribution of [N II] 6584 nondetections; We fit a linear model, \(12 + \log(O/H) = Z_0 + \alpha \log(M_\star/M_\odot) - 10\), to the MZR of the samples in two extreme environment bins (underdensity and overdensity) considering both measurement errors in stellar mass and gas-phase metallicity. Figure A1
shows the best-fit lines along with 2D-posterior distributions of the slope and the intercept for the bins of environment. A significant distinction ($>2\sigma$) between the posterior of fit parameters for the field galaxies and those located in overdensities suggests that the metallicity is enhanced at $z \sim 1.5$ and suppressed at $z \sim 2.3$ for galaxies in overdensities compared to field counterparts. Based on the best-fit models and their corresponding uncertainties, on average, galaxies in overdensities at $z \sim 1.5$ have $0.050 \pm 0.024$ dex higher gas-phase metallicity compared to coeval field galaxies. The trend reverses at higher redshift, $z \sim 2.3$, such that galaxies residing in overdensities are metal deficient by $0.055 \pm 0.025$ dex compared to the field counterparts. These results are in general agreement with our findings using mass-matched stacked spectra (Figure 7). Moreover, we estimate the intrinsic scatter of the MZR ($\sigma_{\text{int}}$) in both extreme environments at $z \sim 1.5$ and $z \sim 2.3$. We assume that the observed scatter ($\sigma_{\text{obs}}$) around the best-fit MZR is $\sigma_{\text{obs}}^2 = \sigma_{\text{int}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{meas}}^2$, where $\sigma_{\text{meas}}$ is the average measurement uncertainty. We estimate that the intrinsic scatter of the MZR at $z \sim 1.5$ ($z \sim 2.3$) is $0.07$ ($0.07$) and $0.07$ ($0.10$) dex for the field galaxies and those residing in overdensities, respectively, which are consistent with the intrinsic scatter of $z \sim 0$ MZR in different environments (Cooper et al. 2008). We note that, although ignoring [N II] λ6584 nondetections does not change our conclusions, the bias is evident in the low-mass end of the MZR by comparing best-fit lines in Figure A1 with gas-phase metallicities derived from mass-matched stacked spectra (Figure 7). Therefore, the stacking technique employed in Section 4 is the preferred method as it provides an unbiased MZR where the contribution of [N II] λ6584 nondetections are taken into account properly.
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