Fatty foods and calorie intake of cardiovascular patients

Abstract

Background: Cardiovascular diseases are rapidly spreading almost all parts of the planet. Faulty food habit, high calorie intake and sedentary life style are responsible for this gloomy picture.

Objective: To observe the effect of fatty foods and calorie intake on cardiovascular patients was aim of this study.

Material and methods: This cross-sectional observational study was undertaken among 100 cardiovascular patients of 25-79 years old in different selected areas in the Dhaka city during the period of August 2015 to January 2016.

Results: About 15.7% respondents removed visible fat of meat and 84.3% didn’t remove visible fat. Moreover, 47.1% respondents consumed oily foods about 2-3 times a day. Data shows 19.8% were in best LDL range, 41.6% were in near optimal range, 28.7% were in borderline high range, 8.9% were in high range and 1% were in very high range. Result shows 13.9% were in desirable TG range, 48.5% were in borderline high range, 37.6% were in high range. About 28.7% had taken below 1200 kcal followed by 1200-1499 kcal 24.8%, 1500-1799 kcal 29.7% and 1800-2099 kcal 16.8%.

Conclusion: LDL and TG intake are still high among study subjects. Health awareness programme can be constituted immediately.
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Introduction

Death due to cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) is high (80%) in low and middle-income countries.1 Food habit, food system and policy solution are changing globally.1 Besides diet is considered as major factor in order to rising tendency of non-communicable diseases particularly CVD components.2 Poor quality diets are high in refined grains and added sugars, salt, unhealthy fats and animal-source foods; and low in whole grains, fruits, vegetables, legumes, fish and nuts. They are often high in processed food products – typically packaged and often ready to consume – and light on whole foods and freshly-prepared dishes. According to the American Heart Association one adult should consume a low-fat diet of 55% of total calories from carbohydrates, 30% from fat, and 15% from protein, with cholesterol restricted to <300mg/day to prevent cardiovascular diseases risk.3 This paper is an attempt to explore fatty foods and calorie consumption among cardiovascular patients.

Methodology

It was a cross sectional observational study carried out among 100 cardiovascular patients of 25-79 years old in different selected areas in the Dhaka city during the period of August 2015 to January 2016. The study subjects were from National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases and Shaheed Suhrawardy Medical College Hospital after approval of institutional heads.

Development of tools

Development of the questionnaire: A semi-structured questionnaire was developed to collect data through face-to-face interview with the respondents. This questionnaire was developed to obtain the recent information regarding the personal information, household information, socio-economic information.

Assessment of fat and calorie rich dietary intake

i. Fat and calorie rich dietary practices of the respondents were assessed through 24-hour recall method

ii. There was about 25 questions in the questionnaire regarding intake of fatty food and calorie rich diet, green leafy vegetables, fruits, cereals, salt, salty food, sugar, etc

iii. By using 24-hour recall method, dietary history and frequency of fatty food intake among the cardiovascular patients were assessed

iv. In order to estimate the amount of usual fat and calorie intake, the fractional portion size of each food consumed daily was multiplied by its fat and calorie content

v. The value was then summed up to obtain an estimate of an individual’s total daily fat and calorie intake

Data verification: Questionnaires were checked each day after interviewing and again these were carefully checked after completion of all data collection and coded before entering into the computer. The data was edited if there was any discrepancy (double entry, wrong entry etc).

Statistical analysis: All of the statistical analysis and other data processing were done by using SPSS 20 Windows Program. For tabular, charts and graphical representation Microsoft Word and Microsoft Excel were used.
Ethical implication: No data or any information was collected without permission of the patient. Participation in this research was fully voluntary. The respondents were remained entirely free to withdraw their participation at any stage or at any time of the study. Written informed consent was taken from each patient. Prior to consent they were explained the aim and purpose of the research. Confidentiality was assured and anonymity was maintained; no participants were identified in any report or publication under this study.

Results (Table 1-6) and (Figures 1-8)

Table 1 Age distribution of the study population (n=100)

| Age group (Years) | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| 25-29             | 7         | 7          |
| 30-45             | 32        | 32         |
| 46-65             | 51        | 51         |
| 66-80             | 10        | 10         |
| Total             | 100       | 100        |

It shows that half of the respondents belonged to 46-65 years of age followed by 32% from 30-45 years, 10% from 66-80 years and 7% from 25-29 years.

Table 2 Distribution of the population by gender (n=100)

| Category | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------|-----------|------------|
| Male     | 87        | 87         |
| Female   | 13        | 13         |
| Total    | 100       | 100        |

It shows that 87% of the respondents were male and 13% were female.

Table 3 shows that labor, service holder, business, teacher and housewife were 25%, 18%, 15%, 14% and 13% respectively.

Table 3 Distribution of the population by educational qualification (n=100)

| Category          | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| Labor             | 25        | 25         |
| Business          | 15        | 15         |
| Garments worker   | 4         | 4          |
| Retired           | 8         | 8          |
| Service holder    | 18        | 18         |
| Housewife         | 13        | 13         |
| Teacher           | 14        | 14         |
| Student           | 3         | 3          |
| Total             | 100       | 100        |

Table 3 shows that labor, service holder, business, teacher and housewife were 25%, 18%, 15%, 14% and 13% respectively.

Table 4 Distribution of the population by educational qualification (n=100)

| Category | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------|-----------|------------|
| Below SSC| 23        | 23         |
| SSC      | 21        | 21         |
| HSC      | 17        | 17         |

This table shows the educational qualification of the respondents. It was found that 23% of the study population had educational qualification below SSC followed by 21% SSC, 17% HSC, 20% Graduate and 19% post-graduate.

Table 5 Distribution of the population by income (n=100)

| Category in BDT | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|
| <10000          | 15        | 15         |
| 10000-20000     | 33        | 33         |
| 21000-35000     | 40        | 40         |
| >36000          | 12        | 12         |
| Total           | 100       | 100        |

The table 5 shows that 15% respondents had monthly income below 10000 BDT followed by 33% had 10000-20000 BDT, 40% had 21000-35000 BDT and 12% had >36000 BDT as well.

Table 6 Fatty foods intake and heart diseases

| Fat consumption range (%) | Frequency | No. of people suffering from heart disease | Percentage of people suffering from heart disease |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| ≥30                       | 56        | 31                                         | 54.36                                           |
| <30                       | 44        | 24                                         | 50.54                                           |

About 54.36% consumed ≥30 percent fat and suffered from heart diseases whereas ≤30 percent consumed fat respondents had 50.54 percent Heart Diseases.

Figure 1 Distribution of participants by removing visible fat of meat or chicken (n=100).

Figure shows 15.7% respondents removed visible fat of meat and 84.3% didn’t remove visible fat.

Figure 2 Distribution of participants by consuming oily foods (n=100).
This pie chart shows 47.1% respondents consumed oily foods about 2-3 times a day, 29.4% consumed 2-3 times per week, 19.6% consumed every day and 3.9% never consumed.

Figure 3 Distribution of participants by suffering from what presently (n=100).

Data represented in above figure shows 39.6% respondents suffered from hypertension, 55.4% heart diseases and 5.0% surgical procedure.

Figure 4 Distribution of participants by Cholesterol (n=100).

Figure shows 44.6% respondents were in normal cholesterol range, 48.5% were in borderline high cholesterol range and 6% were in high cholesterol range.

Figure 5 Distribution of participants by HDL (n=100).

Data represented in above figure shows 17.8% respondents were in best HDL range, 35.7% were in better HDL range and 46.5% were in poor range.

Figure 6 Distribution of participants by LDL (n=100).

Data represented in above figure shows 19.8% were in best LDL range, 41.6% were in near optimal range, 8.7% were in borderline high range, 8.9% were in high range and 1% were in very high range.

Figure 7 Distribution of participants by TG (mg/dl)

Data represented in above figure shows 13.9% were in desirable TG range, 48.5% were in borderline high range, 37.6% were in high range.

Figure 8 Distribution of participants by Kcal (n=100).

Figure shows 28.7% had taken below 1200 kcal followed by 1200-1499 kcal 24.8%, 1500-1799 kcal 29.7% and 1800-2099 kcal 16.8%.

Discussion

The way people eat has changed greatly across the globe; moreover the tendency of change in is quickening in low and middle-income countries. Bangladesh is not escaped from this changing pattern which results full of bed occupancy in cardiovascular hospitals. Evidence suggests that snacking and snack foods have grown in frequency and number; eating frequency has increased; away-from-home-eating in restaurants, in fast food outlets, and from take-out meals is increasing dramatically in low and middle-income countries; both at home and away-from-home-eating increasingly involve fried and processed food; and the overall proportion of highly processed food in diets has grown. In our day to day life we observe this scenario. Technology dependent life style makes this situation more vivid and complex. The present study found that about one-sixth respondents removed visible fat of meat whereas nearly half of the respondents consumed oily foods 2-3 times a day. LDL and TG intake are still high among study subjects. Research shows that at very low levels of intake animal food consumption may not induce harm, providing high quality protein and iron, whereas excess animal food intake in high income countries may be linked to adverse health outcomes, particularly from processed meats. Meat consumption has increased considerably worldwide. But what about in our country; needs up-to-date data. It is evident that high consumption of vegetables (leafy and non-leafy) and fruits reduces chronic heart diseases as well as stroke. We know that vegetable oils appear to reduce chronic heart disease risk. If we replace saturated fat with monounsaturated or polyunsaturated fat which in turn reduces low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and preserves high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Trans-fatty acids increase CHD risk compared with other macronutrients, with strong evidence of adverse effects of small amounts of trans-fats on lipids and CVD risk.
Conclusion

Intake of low-density lipoprotein and triglyceride is still high among study subjects. Health awareness programme can be constituted immediately to prevent fatty foods and calorie intake related risk factors of cardiovascular diseases.
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