Whole genome sequence analysis of NDM-1, CMY-4, and SHV-12 coproducing Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium isolated from a case of fatal burn wound infection

Abstract: Salmonella species are frequently associated with gastrointestinal infections such as diarrhea. However, extraintestinal Salmonella infections, including burn infections, have been described. Here, we report the first case of a carbapenem-resistant and metallo-β-lactamase (NDM-1), extended-spectrum β-lactamase (SHV-12), and AmpC β-lactamase (CMY-4) coproducing Salmonella Typhimurium isolated from a fatal case of burn wound infection. The publication highlights the necessity for the rational use of antibiotics (particularly the rational use of last-resort antibiotics such as carbapenems) in hospitals and burn units, as well as the need for systematic screening of Salmonella spp. (including Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium) for resistance to carbapenem antibiotics.
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Introduction

Salmonella species are commonly associated with gastrointestinal infections, although extraintestinal Salmonella infections involving sepsis, liver abscesses, surgical wounds, joint infections, and infected burn wounds have been described. Particularly important with respect to the treatment of wounds from severe burns is the application of immediate specialized and effective care, which includes antimicrobial therapy, to minimize morbidity and mortality. Both intestinal and extraintestinal Salmonella infections are often treated with cephalosporins and quinolones, although the bacterium is becoming increasingly resistant to these antibiotics. On the other hand, carbapenem resistance in Salmonella is rarely reported – even though resistance to carbapenem antibiotics continues to be a major public health concern in other pathogens like Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii. Importantly, carbapenem antibiotics are considered “last-resort” antibiotics for use in the treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant isolates of bacteria. Associated with carbapenem resistance are several carbapenemase enzymes, including New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase (NDM-1) and its variants, which hydrolyze a wide range of β-lactam antibiotics. Other nonhydrolyzing mechanisms associated with carbapenem resistance in pathogenic bacteria include enhanced removal of antibiotic via an increase in bacterial efflux pump activity and mutations in bacterial cell envelope porin genes, which hinder the uptake of carbapenem antibiotics into the bacterial cell.
Case report
In this report, the authors report on the first case of a Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium isolate that is carbapenem-resistant, NDM-1-positive, extended-spectrum β-lactamase (SHV-12)-positive, and AmpC β-lactamase (CMY-4)-producing. This strain was isolated from a fatal case following a burn wound infection. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported case of a fatal burn wound infection associated with a NDM-1 carbapenem-resistant (multidrug-resistant) S. enterica serovar Typhimurium.

A 17-year-old male patient with 70% burns was admitted to a private nursing home in Kolkata, India, in 2016. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. The microbial isolate mentioned in this case report was cultured from sample collected during routine care and diagnostic investigations. The single patient was not specifically sampled for this study, and the subject from whom it was isolated deceased after collection of first sample. Culture of the burn wound at the Drs Tribedi and Roy Diagnostic Laboratory, Kolkata, revealed the presence of Salmonella. Stool and blood samples were not available for laboratory diagnosis as the patient had unfortunately passed away by the time the laboratory report was received by the private nursing home. The cultured Salmonella spp. isolate was initially identified biochemically using bioMérieux VITEK® 2 compact system (bioMérieux, Inc., Durham, NC, USA), followed by confirmation using specific antisera (Murex Biotech, Dartford, England). Serovar determination was performed at the Central Research Institute, Kasauli, India, according to the Kauffmann–White scheme.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing by disc diffusion (antibiotic discs purchased from HiMedia Laboratories Ltd, Mumbai, India) and the bioMérieux Vitek® 2 compact system (as per CLSI, 2014) revealed that the isolate was only susceptible to colistin, tigecycline, and polymyxin B. This isolate was resistant to the following antibiotics: ampicillin, cefoxitin, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, cefepime, aztreonam, ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid, levofloxacin, minocycline (tetracycline), cefoperazone–sulbactam, piperacillin–tazobactam, meropenem, imipenem, chloramphenicol, gentamicin, amikacin, tobramycin, and chloramphenicol. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of various antibiotics against Salmonella Typhimurium as determined by the bioMérieux Vitek® 2 compact system are listed in Table 1.

Modified Hodge test for the detection of carbapenemase was negative. However, metallo-β-lactamase (MBL) activity was detected using the double disc synergy test using imipenem and imipenem–EDTA discs (HiMedia Laboratories Ltd). But, repeated disc diffusion testing of the Salmonella isolate after 1 week of storage indicated that the isolate had become susceptible to meropenem, which suggested the potential involvement of bacterial outer membrane proteins (porins and efflux pumps) in the carbapenem-resistant phenotype.8

The isolate was positive for blaNDM by multiplex PCR targeting blaNDM, blaCTX-M4, blaVIM, blaIMP, and blaOXA-48.18 PCRs targeting five blaCTX-M groups and AmpC β-lactamase genes were also performed. The isolate was negative for all blaCTX-M groups, but positive for the CIT Group type of AmpC β-lactamases (primer sequences [Synergy Scientific Services, Chennai, India] as per Table 219 and Pérez-Pérez and Hanson20). Plasmid-based replicon typing indicated that the isolate possessed an FIB replicon type plasmid. In order to investigate the possibility of horizontal transfer of plasmid(s) bearing blaNDM and AmpC β-lactamase CIT, a conjugation assay was performed using the clinical Salmonella Typhimurium isolate as donor and a sodium azide resistant E. coli J53 as

Table 1 MICs of antibiotics against Salmonella Typhimurium as determined by the bioMérieux Vitek® 2 compact system

| Sl no | Antibiotic               | MIC (μg/mL) interpretive criteria for resistance | MIC (μg/mL) of antibiotics against the test isolate | Interpretation |
|-------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1     | Piperacillin–tazobactam  | ≥128/4<sup>4</sup>                               | ≥128/4<sup>4</sup>                               | Resistant      |
| 2     | Ceftazidime              | ≥16                                              | ≥64                                              | Resistant      |
| 3     | Cefepime                 | ≥16                                              | ≥64                                              | Resistant      |
| 4     | Aztreonam                | ≥16                                              | ≥64                                              | Resistant      |
| 5     | Imipenem                 | ≥4                                               | ≥16                                              | Resistant      |
| 6     | Meropenem                | ≥4                                               | ≥16                                              | Resistant      |
| 7     | Amikacin                 | ≥64                                              | ≥64                                              | Resistant      |
| 8     | Gentamicin               | ≥16                                              | ≥16                                              | Resistant      |
| 9     | Ciprofloxacin            | ≥1                                               | ≥4                                               | Resistant      |
| 10    | Levofloxacin             | ≥2                                               | ≥8                                               | Resistant      |

Notes: MIC interpretive criteria for resistance to antibiotics based on CLSI 2014 guidelines. *Denotes values for 2 antibiotics together. Abbreviations: MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; Sl no, serial number.
recipient bacteria. The transconjugants were found to be resistant to cefotaxime and cefoxitin, but sensitive to imipenem. The transconjugates showed a 4 log decrease in MIC to cefotaxime, but were still classified as cefotaxime resistant, indicating that resistance to cefotaxime was not only plasmid mediated, but may also be due to additional mechanisms such as efflux pumps or loss of outer membrane porins. However, an efflux pump inhibition assay, with cefotaxime and reserpine as the efflux pump inhibitor, indicated a lack of efflux pump-mediated resistance to cefotaxime.

As there existed the possibility of multiple genetic resistance mechanisms in the clinical Salmonella Typhimurium isolate, we analyzed the isolate by whole genome sequencing. Whole genome sequencing of the isolate was performed by BGI Co., Ltd. (Shenzhen, People’s Republic of China) and Illumina (100x/sample, Hiseq 4000, PE100, San Diego, CA, USA). The “Phylogenomic Estimation with Progressive Refinement” program (https://github.com/enordber/pepr.git) was used to generate a phylogenomic tree from in silico aligns and concatenates sequences, and finally generates a phylogenomic tree. Subtrees with low bootstrap values are refined by subsequent steps of addition local shared genes.

Table 2: PCR primer sequences used to confirm the presence/absence of CTX-M ESBL-encoding resistance genes

| Target gene | Primer sequence 5′–3′ | Amplicon size (bp) |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| blaCTX-M grp 1 | F: AAAATCAGCCGCGCTCACGC | 415 |
|             | R: AGCTTACGGGCGTGTTTGGTTT | |
| blaCTX-M grp 2 | F: CGACGCAAGGCTGGCTATT | 552 |
|             | R: CCACGGTACAGTTGGTGAGG | |
| blaCTX-M grp 8 | F: TGGGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTG | 666 |
|             | R: AAACACGATCCGTGACGTTC | |
| blaCTX-M grp 9 | F: CAAGAGATGTCTGAGAAGTCG | 205 |
|             | R: ATGGGTTAAGGCTGTACAGCA | |
| blaCTX-M grp 25 | F: GCCAGCATGCACGCG | 327 |
|             | R: ACACCGATGTTGGGACG | |

Note: Data adapted from Woodford et al.16
Abbreviations: ESBL, extended-spectrum β-lactamase; F, sense primer; R, antisense primer.

Discussion
Salmonella infections in burn patients are seldom reported except for an outbreak of Salmonella senftenburg.11 β-lactamase production is the main mechanism associated with antibiotic resistance in Enterobacteriaceae, with ESBL and AmpC β-lactamase production being of particular importance. ESBL- and AmpC β-lactamase producers have not only been isolated from hospital settings, but are also disseminated in the environment, in animals, and in healthy humans.12 The prevalence of global CTX-M-type ESBLs exceeded TEM- and SHV-type enzymes in the early 2000s and plasmid-mediated AmpC β-lactamases of CIT-type are also currently widespread, both being major causes of β-lactam resistance.12

The advent of carbapenemase producing bacteria worldwide, mainly NDM-1 and its variants in Enterobacteriaceae, has been a major public health concern. NDM-1 hydrolyzes wide, mainly NDM-1 and its variants in Enterobacteriaceae, extended-spectrum β-lactamases combined with porin loss has also been detected in nontyphoidal Salmonella.13,14 Huang et al.15 in 2013 isolated a single community-acquired NDM-1-producing Salmonella Stanley strain from a child with acute diarrhea and a diagnosis of bacterial enteritis, which subsequently resolved after treatment with intravenous azithromycin and latamoxef
(an oxacephem antibiotic usually grouped with the cephalosporins). This Salmonella Stanley strain was resistant to all β-lactam antimicrobial drugs tested, including cephalosporins and carbapenems, but susceptible to chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, and fosfomycin, and had azithromycin MICs of 4 µg/mL.15 Irfan et al,16 in 2015 reported two cases of infantile diarrhea caused by MDR, NDM-1 producing, Salmonella Agona from Pakistan. In the first case, a stool culture grew carbapenem-resistant Salmonella spp., which was susceptible only to azithromycin, fosfomycin, and colistin. Treatment with 250 mg oral fosfomycin (three times a day) improved the infant’s condition.16 NDM-1 and NDM-5 were susceptible only to azithromycin, fosfomycin, and colistin.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we report the first case of a carbapenem-resistant and MBL (NDM-1), ESBL (SHV-12), and AmpC β-lactamase (CMY-4) coproducing Salmonella Typhimurium isolated from a fatal case of burn wound infection. The publication highlights the necessity for the rational use of antibiotics (particularly the rational use of last-resort antibiotics such as carbapenems) in hospitals and burn units, as well as the need for systematic screening of Salmonella spp. (including S. enterica serovar Typhimurium) for resistance to carbapenem antibiotics.
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