Confocal laser scanning microscopy to estimate nanoparticles’ human skin penetration in vitro
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Objective: With rapid development of nanotechnology, there is increasing interest in nanoparticle (NP) application and its safety and efficacy on human skin. In this study, we utilized confocal laser scanning microscopy to estimate NP skin penetration.

Methods: Three different-sized polystyrene NPs marked with red fluorescence were applied to human skin, and Calcium Green 5N was used as a counterstain. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and ethanol were used as alternative vehicles for NPs. Tape stripping was utilized as a barrier-damaged skin model. Skin biopsies dosed with NPs were incubated at 4°C or 37°C for 24 hours and imaged using confocal laser scanning microscopy.

Results: NPs were localized in the stratum corneum (SC) and hair follicles without penetrating the epidermis/dermis. Barrier alteration with tape stripping and change in incubation temperature did not induce deeper penetration. DMSO enhanced NP SC penetration but ethanol did not.

Conclusion: Except with DMSO vehicle, these hydrolyzed polystyrene NPs did not penetrate intact or barrier-damaged human “viable” epidermis. For further clinical relevance, in vivo human skin studies and more sensitive analytic chemical methodology are suggested.
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Background
Nanotechnology, a rapidly emerging field, provides new techniques and tools.1 Nanomaterials including nanoparticles (NPs), nanoemulsions and nanosomes are widely used in pharmacology, cosmetics, medicines, etc.2 NPs, defined as particles at least one dimension smaller than 100 nm, have been engineered for carrying drug payloads, imaging contrast agents, or gene therapeutics for diagnosing and treating diseases, and ingredients in cosmetics.3–5 With increasing NP applications, investigations focus on optimization in therapeutic/cosmetic use and their health hazards. Since skin is a major target tissue for the exposure of NPs, the assessment of NP skin penetration has attracted great attention.2

General pathways of skin absorption occur via appendages and through stratum corneum (SC) to underlying layers.6 Skin conditions and NP properties, such as size, shape and charge, are crucial for skin permeability.7 Investigation of skin penetration versus different parameters should provide valuable knowledge on promotion or minimization of NP skin penetration.

Qualitative microscopy visualization techniques, including scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), fluorescence microscopy and confocal and multiphoton laser scanning microscopy, offer opportunities of non-invasiveness, high sensitivity and high spatial resolution analysis of NP skin penetration.7
Conventional microscopy such as light microscopy, SEM and TEM have limitation of artifacts due to sample staining and/or mechanical section, whereas confocal and multiphoton laser scanning microscopy enable researchers to obtain three-dimensional image of NP distribution at micrometer resolution by way of “optical sectioning.” Occupied fluorescence confocal laser scanning microscopy can detect NP distribution in lifetime information.

Despite increasing studies on penetration and mechanism of NP distribution in skin, behavior of NPs remains sub judice with conflicting results reported. Factors affecting NP skin penetration, including physicochemical NP properties, formulation and environmental and skin conditions, make it difficult to draw general conclusions on NP skin penetration.

In the present study, penetration pathway of fluorescence-marked NPs in ex vivo human skin samples was tracked utilizing spectral confocal microscopy; the impact of skin condition, incubation temperature, NP size and vehicles on NP distribution in skin were assessed visually.

**Materials and methods**

Calcium Green 5N (CG5N; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was employed as skin staining for autofluorescence. Polystyrene NPs sized 25 nm, 50 nm and 100 nm, namely red fluorescent polymer microspheres R25/R50 and R100 in water, were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Acros, Morris Plains, NJ, USA) and 99% ethanol (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA) were used as alternative vehicles for NP dissolution. DMSO and ethanol concentration in NP dispersion was 80%.

Human skin was excised from five donors (age 42–55 years) with no medical history of dermatological disease undergoing abdominal plastic surgery after their written informed consent was completed. The procedures were performed under protocols approved by the University of California, San Francisco, and in accordance with the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was approved by the University of California Institutional Review Board. After excision, the subcutaneous fatty tissue was removed by surgical scalpel.

After cutting into rectangular pieces, each sample was incubated with a 50 μM solution of CG5N with the dermal side in contact with dye solution. Samples were incubated overnight in the dark at 4°C to enable dye penetration. Samples were rinsed in PBS three times to remove excess dye and dried with paper tissue. After cutting into 2×2 cm² pieces, specimens were fixed flatly on diffusion cells (PermeGear Inc., Hellertown, PA, USA) with the dermal side in contact with media.

Red fluorescent polymer microspheres of varied size and/or dissolved in different vehicles were dosed on the surface of samples from the dosing hole on diffusion cell and then incubated at 4°C for 24 hours. After clearing excess NPs with PBS, specimens were mounted with SC in contact with a glass coverslip and secured on the stage for imaging. For each experiment, a sample without NP dosing was used as blank control. Imaging of NPs in DMSO and ethanol was compared with that of original NPs.

To observe the impact of incubation temperature, higher temperature (37°C) was compared with routine one (4°C), both incubated for 24 hours. For skin barrier-damaged studies, the specimens were tape stripped 20 times on the area of interest before securing on the perfusion chamber. The intact skin without tape stripping was used as control.

Imaging was performed using a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscopy system (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). About 488 nm and 561 nm laser lines were used as excitation sources for CG5N and NP fluorescence, respectively. The emitted fluorescence was detected in two separate spectral channels centered at 550 nm and 637 nm, respectively, for CG5N and NPs.

**Results**

**NPs of different size distributions in human skin (water vehicle)**

Figure 1 depicts the pattern of NP distribution in human skin. About 24 hours after topical application, red fluorescence of NPs was clearly observable and especially pronounced on the topmost skin layers. No penetration was observed in stained “viable” epidermal layers (green channel). A prominent NP signal was noticeable tracing hair follicles without spreading to the neighboring cells and tissues.

**Vehicles (DMSO and ethanol)**

Figure 2 shows NP distribution in human skin when 80% DMSO and 80% ethanol are used as vehicles. NPs dissolved in DMSO penetrated deeper; red fluorescence was detected in stratum granulosum layer but not in stratum spinosum. Imaging of specimens dosed with NPs in ethanol showed NPs deposited in SC layer without entering “viable” epidermis.

**Incubation temperature**

Figure 3 shows NP distribution in dosed specimens incubated at 4°C or 37°C for 24 hours. Higher temperature did not enhance the penetration depth of NPs.
NP distribution in barrier-damaged skin

Figure 4 shows the image of skin penetration after tape stripping. Tape stripping permitted deeper SC penetration. The no-NP location gap between SC layer and granulosum layer became smaller in tape stripped skin than intact skin, but no traces of NPs presented in granulosum or deeper.

Discussion

NPs have wide applications in cosmetics, pharmaceutics and biomedicine. Penetration studies provided conflicting data. It is crucial to understand the skin permeability of NPs and its behavior in different skin layers. Clarification of factors that either hinder or enhance NP skin penetration may benefit the design of an “ideal” carrier or agent of NP for drugs and cosmetics. Moreover, since not only intentional applications of drugs and cosmetics were the routes for the exposure of NPs but also non-intentional ways and environmental exposure could introduce NPs on the skin, there is increasing focus on health risks of NPs. In spite of studies on skin penetration of NPs, the mechanism and relative factors remain unclear with some conflicting results reported.

Several factors may affect skin penetration such as physicochemical properties of NPs, formulation (vehicle) and experimental factors.

Physicochemical properties, such as size and surface charge of NPs, are key factors of NP skin penetration. Negatively charged NPs had a greater diffusion coefficient and penetrated skin more rapidly, while positive charges acted in an opposite way. Since there is negatively charged electrostatic interaction on skin surface, the potential incentive of charge effect could be a repulsive or attractive force between skin surface and negatively or positively charged NPs, respectively. This study focused on the effect of particle size on NP skin penetration; neutrally charged polystyrene NPs were chosen to eliminate influences of surface charge.

Converse results were reported on skin permeability of NPs with different sizes. Studies using animal skin rather
Figure 2 Distribution of NPs dissolved in DMSO and ethanol.
Notes: Red fluorescence of DMSO-dissolved NPs (size 25 nm) is detected in stratum granulosum layer. Arrows show the penetration of NPs to deeper layer in longitudinal section (A, left) and 3D images (B). No red fluorescence of ethanol-dissolved NPs (size 25 nm) was observed in granulosum and deeper layers (A, right). Scale bars = 50 μm.
Abbreviations: 3D, three-dimensional; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; NPs, nanoparticles.

Figure 3 Distribution of NPs incubated in different temperatures.
Notes: Three different-sized NP distributions are shown. NPs (shown in red fluorescence) distribute in SC after incubation under both 4°C and 37°C. No red fluorescence is shown in deeper layers. Scale bars = 50 μm.
Abbreviations: NPs, nanoparticles; SC, stratum corneum.
than human skin showed a positive result of skin penetration. Zvyagin et al suggested that animal skin represents a poor model for human skin for the studies on NP transdermal penetrability. Differences between these skins, especially hair follicle density, SC thickness, whole skin and skin lipid mass, could lead to different results. Due to structural and morphological differences between human and animal skin, excised human skin is regarded as a “gold standard” for in vitro skin penetration studies. Therefore, we employed excised human skin in this study. Three different-sized polystyrene NPs (25 nm, 50 nm and 100 nm) were assayed on their distributions in SC and hair follicles. Permeability of each sized NP made no difference in excised human skin, and no “viable” epidermal penetration was observed. The results were consistent with some reports using human skin and animal skin.

Barrier function of SC against molecule penetration in general depends on its protein, lipid and water compartments. Vehicle may alter the nature of skin barrier or even the physical state of NPs, which makes it another factor affecting NP penetration. Labouta et al studied the effect of DMSO on the penetration of gold NPs (AuNPs, \( \phi = 10 \) nm) in human skin and showed enhanced NP transport in the presence of DMSO. Kuo et al demonstrated ethanol as an enhancer of zinc oxide NPs (\( \phi = 10 \) nm) in animal skin. Our study evaluated the effect of DMSO and ethanol on aqueous NP penetration. NPs dissolved in DMSO penetrated into deeper skin layers. However, ethanol did not alter NP penetration in the size range of 25–100 nm in human skin. Being absorbed into corneocytes, DMSO may change keratin conformation and then enhance NP penetration.

Temperature is considered a critical factor in chemical penetration; however, no previous study investigated the influence of temperature on NP penetration to our best knowledge. In the current study, skin samples were incubated at 4°C and 37°C after dosage. No further penetration was detected at higher temperature, indicating that NP penetration was stable under different temperature conditions.

There are two general pathways for skin absorption: through SC and the underlying layers and along skin appendages. No NP penetration through SC was observed in this study, except when DMSO was used as an enhancer. Via hair follicles, NPs may reach deep into subcutaneous fat; however, hair follicle also contains an efficient barrier, which is similar to SC in upper part and features tight junction in lower part. This barrier inhibits NPs invading into viable cells. Thus, traces into hair follicles are not yet a deep absorption process, as they remain on the outside of the body by definition.

NPs stored in hair follicles could also be extruded by hair growth and sebum flow. Lademann et al found that NPs located in SC were removed after 24 hours, while that in hair follicles remained more than 10 days, whereas non-particles only stored in hair follicles up to 4 days. Therefore, hair

Figure 4 Distribution of NPs in barrier-damaged skin.

Notes: Three different-sized NP distributions in both intact and barrier-damaged skins are shown. The no-NP location gap between SC layer and granulosum layer became smaller in barrier-damaged skin than in intact skin, but no red fluorescence of NPs presented in granulosum and deeper layer. Scale bars =50 μm.

Abbreviations: NPs, nanoparticles; SC, stratum corneum.
follicles, representing an efficient reservoir for NPs, can be a potential target of NP carrier system for drug delivery, topical vaccination and allergenic potential.29,30

To investigate the permeability of NPs in barrier-damaged skin, we tape stripped samples mimicking barrier disruption. Tape stripping removed SC partially or totally, and it is a simple standard technique for the establishment of skin barrier-damaged model.39 However, NP penetration through tape stripped skin did not always lead to marked penetration enhancement. It varied qualitatively in magnitude from none11–14 to some NPs detected.15,35,36 Differences may be affected by skin species, number of strips and type of tape used and/or NP characteristics. Our results noted that tape stripping (20 times) did not facilitate penetration of aqueous NPs (size 25–100 nm), thus NP skin penetration, even through barrier-impaired skin, is limited.

Limitations in this study include the following: 1) quantification method in visual measurement was not established; 2) reported data were applicable to experimental condition and may not be readily extracted to other NPs; and 3) verification in human in vivo would be a next step. To understand NP penetration of a barrier altering skin condition would be meaningful to NP application for diseased skin. More systemic studies are needed to clarify the biodistribution of NPs.

Conclusion
This study combined fluorescence of NPs and fluorescent counterstaining of epidermis to image NP transdermal pathway with different parameters, using confocal laser scanning microscopy. Hydrolyzed NPs in the size ranging from 25 nm to 100 nm confirmed their SC and hair follicle location. Barrier alteration with tape stripping and change in incubation temperature did not induce deeper penetration. DMSO enhanced NP penetration, but ethanol did not. There remain many important questions and technical challenges in nanotechnology, especially in dermatological science.
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