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**Anaerobaculum mobile** Menes and Muxí 2002 is one of three described species of the genus *Anaerobaculum*, family *Synergistaceae*, phylum *Synergistetes*. This anaerobic and motile bacterium ferments a range of carbohydrates and mono- and dicarboxylic acids with acetate, hydrogen and CO₂ as end products. *A. mobile* NGAT is the first member of the genus *Anaerobaculum* and the sixth member of the phylum *Synergistetes* with a completely sequenced genome. Here we describe the features of this bacterium, together with the complete genome sequence, and annotation. The 2,160,700 bp long single replicon genome with its 2,053 protein-coding and 56 RNA genes is part of the Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea project.

**Introduction**

Strain NGAT (= DSM 13181 = ATCC BAA-54 = JCM 12221) is the type strain of *Anaerobaculum mobile* [1]. The genus, described for a thermophilic, citrate-fermenting anaerobe with *A. thermoterrenum* as the type species [2], was emended twice [1,3]. The third species is the recently described *A. hydrogeniformans*, forming H₂ and acetate from glucose [3] while the other two species form CO₂. *A. mobile* was isolated from a 10⁻⁷ dilution of an oleic acid-degrading consortium, originating from the sludge of a wool-scouring wastewater. Enrichment was performed in BCYT medium (basal BC medium [4] with tryptone and yeast extract), supplemented with crotonate [1]. Here we present a summary classification and a set of features for *A. mobile* NGAT together with the description of the complete genomic sequencing and annotation.

**Classification and features**

**16S rRNA gene sequence analysis**

A representative genomic 16S rRNA gene sequence of *A. mobile* NGAT was compared using NCBI BLAST [5,6] under default settings (e.g., considering only the high-scoring segment pairs (HSPs) from the best 250 hits) with the most recent release of the Greengenes database [7] and the relative frequencies of taxa and keywords (reduced to their stem [8]) were determined, weighted by BLAST scores.
The most frequently occurring genera were *Anaerobaculum* (81.9%), *Acetomicrobium* (9.9%), *Thermovirga* (4.5%) and *Dethiosulfovibrio* (3.6%) (12 hits in total). Regarding the two hits to sequences from members of the species, the average identity within HSPs was 99.9%, whereas the average coverage by HSPs was 100.0%. Regarding the seven hits to sequences from other members of the genus, the average identity within HSPs was 97.1%, whereas the average coverage by HSPs was 99.1%. Among all other species, the one yielding the highest score was *Acetomicrobium flavidum* (FR733692), which corresponded to an identity of 99.8% and an HSP coverage of 100.0%. (Note that the Greengenes database uses the INSDC (= EMBL/NCBI/DDBJ) annotation, which is not an authoritative source for nomenclature or classification.) The highest-scoring environmental sequence was FN436106 (Greengenes name 'Molekularbiologische Charakterisierung der bakteriellen Populationsdynamik eines thermophilen betriebenen Biogasfermenters zur Vergärung nachwachsender Rohstoffe thermophilic biogas reactor fed renewable biomass clone HAW-R60-B-745d-BC'), which showed an identity of 99.9% and an HSP coverage of 99.9%. The most frequently occurring keywords within the labels of all environmental samples which yielded hits were 'digest' (7.0%), 'anaerob' (4.6%), 'thermophil' (4.3%), 'microbi' (3.4%) and 'mesophil' (3.3%) (238 hits in total). The most frequently occurring keywords within the labels of those environmental samples which yielded hits of a higher score than the highest scoring species were 'thermophil' (12.3%), 'reactor' (8.8%), 'bioga, fed' (7.0%), 'bakteriellen, betriebenen, biogasferment, biomass, charakterisierung, molekularbiologisch, nachwachsend, populationsdynamik, renew, rohstoff, vergaerung' (5.3%) and 'corn, microbi, silag, structur' (1.8%) (4 hits in total).

*A. flavidum* is a species that was originally described without 16S rRNA gene sequencing [9]. The sequence FR733692 was only recently been generated by DSMZ staff in the course of the Living-Tree Project [10], yielding an unexpected placement of the species within the *Synergistetes*. An assessment of whether a contamination or confusion of strains has occurred, or whether the currently available culture deposits are biologically identical to the original description but the classification of *A. flavidum* needs to be revised. This work is currently in progress. (R. Pukall (DSMZ), personal communication).

Figure 1 shows the phylogenetic neighborhood of *A. mobile* in a 16S rRNA gene based tree. The sequences of the two identical 16S rRNA gene copies in the genome differ by one nucleotide from the previously published 16S rRNA gene sequence (AJ243189).

**Morphology and physiology**

The Gram-negative rod-shaped cells of *A. mobile* are straight (0.5-1.0 × 2.0-4.0 µm; Figure 2), occurring singly or, predominantly in the exponential growth phase, in pairs. Longer cells (4.0-8.0 µm) are observed in older cultures. Spores or sheath formation were never observed. Cells are moderately thermophilic; motile by means of a single flagellum inserted in the lateral region of the cell. On BCT agar, colonies (1.0-1.5 mm in diameter) are white, lens shaped and with entire margins. Temperature and pH range for growth is between 35-65°C (optimum between 55 and 60°C) and between 5.4 and 8.7 (optimum between 6.6 and 7.3), respectively. Growth occurs at NaCl concentrations of up to 1.5%, with an optimum of 0.8%.

Cells are strictly anaerobic, fermenting glucose, organic acids (pyruvate, tartrate and malate) and glycerol to acetate, H₂ and, presumably, CO₂ (the authors of [1] assumed that 2 mol CO₂ was produced per mol glucose, tartrate and malate degraded, and 1 mol CO₂ was produced per mol pyruvate and glycerol degraded). Gluconate, L-malate, glycerol, tryptone, L-arginine, L-leucine, L-phenylalanine and starch are utilized [3]. No growth occurs on citrate, 2-oxoglutarate, glutamate, mannose, pectin, lactose, xylose, galactose, maltose, sucrose, rhamnose, raffinose, malonate, lactate, succinate, xylan, dextrin, inulin, melibiose, adonitol, cellobiose, arabinose, polygalacturonate, cellulose, gelatin, butyrate or oleate [1], nor lactate, maltose, malonate, mannose, inositol and inulin [3].

In co-culture with *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* glucose and malate degradation were enhanced. Crotonate is not fermented but used as an electron acceptor, reducing it to butyrate in the presence of yeast extract and tryptone, glucose, Casamino acids and leucine. Fumarate, acetate, sulfate, sulfite and nitrate are not used as electron acceptors in PY broth. The addition of crotonate, sulfur, cystine and thiosulfate enhances growth from tryptone and yeast extract, Casamino acids and glucose, as indicated by an increase in OD and by the production of reduction compounds (butyrate and sulfide, respectively) [1].
Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree highlighting the position of *A. mobile* relative to the type strains of the other species within the phylum 'Synergistetes'. The tree was inferred from 1,360 aligned characters [11,12] of the 16S rRNA gene sequence under the maximum likelihood (ML) criterion [13]. Rooting was done initially using the midpoint method [14] and then checked for its agreement with the current classification (Table 1). The branches are scaled in terms of the expected number of substitutions per site. Numbers adjacent to the branches are support values from 1,000 ML bootstrap replicates [15] (left) and from 1,000 maximum-parsimony bootstrap replicates [16] (right) if larger than 60%. Lineages with type strain genome sequencing projects registered in GOLD [17] are labeled with one asterisk, those also listed as 'Complete and Published' with two asterisks [18-20]; the non-contiguous finished draft genomes of *Aminomonas paucivorans* [21] and *Dethiosulfovibrio peptidovorans* [22] lack the second asterisk.

Figure 2. Scanning electron micrograph of *A. mobile* NGAT
Anaerobaculum mobile type strain (NGAT)

Chemotaxonomy

The cell wall is of the Gram-negative type as judged by transmission electron micrography [1]. Polar lipid composition includes diphosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylethanolamine, three unknown phospholipids and four unknown aminophospholipids [3]. Major fatty acids (>20%) are iso-C_{15:0} and iso-C_{11:0}; iso-C_{13:0} 3-<OH> is found in smaller amounts (<10%) [3]. The DNA G+C content was previously reported with 51.5 mol% [1].

Table 1. Classification and general features of A. mobile NGAT according to the MIGS recommendations [23].

| MIGS ID | Property                                      | Term                                      | Evidence code |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------|
| MIGS-7  | Subspecific genetic lineage (strain)          | NGA¹                                      | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-12 | Reference for biomaterial                     | Menes and Muxí 2002                       | TAS [1]       |
|         | Gram stain                                    | Gram-negative                             | TAS [1]       |
|         | Cell shape                                    | rod-shaped                                | TAS [1]       |
|         | Motility                                      | motile                                    | TAS [1]       |
|         | Sporulation                                   | non-sporulating                           | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-22 | Temperature range                             | 35-65°C                                   | TAS [1]       |
|         | Optimum temperature                           | 55-60°C                                   | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-22 | Relationship to oxygen                        | obligate anaerobe                          | TAS [1]       |
|         | Carbon source                                 | organic acids and carbohydrates           | NAS           |
|         | Energy metabolism                             | chemoorganotroph                          | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-6  | Habitat                                       | wastewater                                | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-6.2| pH                                            | optimum 6.6 - 7.3                         | TAS [19]      |
| MIGS-15 | Biotic relationship                           | free living                               | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-14 | Known pathogenicity                           | none                                      | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-16 | Specific host                                 | not reported                              |               |
| MIGS-14 | Biosafety level                               | 1                                        |               |
| MIGS-19 | Trophic level                                 | not reported                              |               |
| MIGS-23.1| Isolation                                    | wool-scouring wastewater treatment lagoon | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-4  | Geographic location                           | Trinidad, Uruguay                         | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-5  | Time of sample collection                     | November 1998 or earlier                 | NAS           |
| MIGS-4.1| Latitude                                      | -33.506                                   | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-4.2| Longitude                                     | -56.889                                   | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-4.3| Depth                                        | not reported                              |               |
| MIGS-4.4| Altitude                                      | not reported                              |               |

Evidence codes - TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). Evidence codes are from of the Gene Ontology project [28].
Genome sequencing and annotation

Genome project history

This organism was selected for sequencing on the basis of its phylogenetic position [29], and is part of the Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea project [30]. The genome project is deposited in the Genomes On Line Database [17] and the complete genome sequence is deposited in GenBank. Sequencing, finishing and annotation were performed by the DOE Joint Genome Institute (JGI) using state of the art sequencing technology [31]. A summary of the project information is shown in Table 2.

| Table 2. Genome sequencing project information |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| MIGS ID | Property                      | Term                                                                 |
|--------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MIGS-31| Finishing quality             | Finished                                                             |
| MIGS-28| Libraries used                | Three genomic libraries: one 454 pyrosequence standard library, one 454 PE libraries (11.0 kb insert size), one Illumina library |
| MIGS-29| Sequencing platforms          | Illumina GAii, 454 GS FLX Titanium                                    |
| MIGS-31.2| Sequencing coverage       | 1,109.0 × Illumina; 38.3 × pyrosequence                               |
| MIGS-30| Assemblers                    | Newbler version 2.3-PreRelease-6/30/2009, Velvet version 1.0.13, phrap version SPS - 4.24 |
| MIGS-32| Gene calling method           | Prodigal 1.4, GenePRIMP                                               |
|        | INSDC ID                      | CP003198                                                             |
|        | GenBank Date of Release       | June 14, 2012                                                        |
|        | GOLD ID                       | Gc02248                                                              |
|        | NCBI project ID               | 53351                                                                |
|        | Database: IMG                 | 2509601011                                                           |
| MIGS-13| Source material identifier    | DSM 13181                                                            |
|        | Project relevance             | Tree of Life, GEBA                                                   |

Growth conditions and DNA isolation

A mobile strain NGAT, DSM 13181, was grown anaerobically in DSMZ medium 104b (PYX, medium) at 55°C. DNA was isolated from 1-1.5 g of cell paste using a Jetflex Genomic DNA Purification Kit (GENOMED 600100) and following the standard protocol as recommended by the manufacturer with modification (an additional 10 µl proteinase K digestion for cell lysis was added; 40 min incubation at 58°C). DNA is available through the DNA Bank Network [32].

Genome sequencing and assembly

The genome was sequenced using a combination of Illumina and 454 sequencing platforms. All general aspects of library construction and sequencing can be found at the JGI website [33]. Pyrosequencing reads were assembled using the Newbler assembler (Roche). The initial Newbler assembly, consisting of 31 contigs in one scaffold, was converted into a phrap [34] assembly by making fake reads from the consensus, to collect the read pairs in the 454 paired end library. Illumina GAii sequencing data (2,649.5 Mb) was assembled with Velvet [35] and the consensus sequences were shredded into 1.5 kb overlapped fake reads and assembled together with the 454 data. The 454 draft assembly was based on 113.8 Mb 454 draft data and all of the 454 paired end data. Newbler parameters are -consed -a 50 -l 350 -g -m -ml 20. The Phred/Phrap/Consed software package [34] was used for sequence assembly and quality assessment in the subsequent finishing process. After the shotgun stage, reads were assembled with parallel phrap (High Performance Software, LLC). Possible mis-assemblies were corrected with gapResolution [33], Dupfinisher [36], or sequencing cloned bridging PCR fragments with subcloning. Gaps between contigs were closed by editing in Consed, by PCR and by Bubble PCR primer walks (J.-F. Chang, unpublished). A total of 68 additional reactions and 3 shatter libraries were
Anaerobaculum mobile type strain (NGAT) necessary to close gaps and to raise the quality of the finished sequence. Illumina reads were also used to correct potential base errors and increase consensus quality using a software Polisher developed at JGI [37]. The error rate of the completed genome sequence is less than 1 in 100,000. Together, the combination of the Illumina and 454 sequencing platforms provided 1147.3 × coverage of the genome. The final assembly contained 237,629 pyrosequence and 32,103,764 Illumina reads.

Genome annotation
Genes were identified using Prodigal [38] as part of the DOE-JGI genome annotation pipeline [39], followed by a round of manual curation using the JGI GenePRIMP pipeline [40]. The predicted CDSs were translated and used to search the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) non-redundant database, UniProt, TIGR-Fam, Pfam, PRIAM, KEGG, COG, and InterPro databases. Additional gene prediction analysis and functional annotation was performed within the Integrated Microbial Genomes - Expert Review (IMG-ER) platform [41].

Genome properties
The genome statistics are provided in Table 3 and Figure 3. The genome consists of one chromosome with a total length of 2,160,700 bp and a G+C content of 48.0%. Of the 2,109 genes predicted, 2,053 were protein-coding genes, and 56 RNAs; 34 pseudogenes were also identified. The majority of the protein-coding genes (85.7%) were assigned a putative function while the remaining ones were annotated as hypothetical proteins. The distribution of genes into COGs functional categories is presented in Table 4.

| Table 3. Genome Statistics | Number | % of Total |
|-----------------------------|--------|------------|
| Genome size (bp)            | 2,160,700 | 100.00     |
| DNA coding region (bp)      | 1,982,774 | 91.77      |
| DNA G+C content (bp)        | 1,036,446 | 47.97      |
| Number of replicons         | 1       |            |
| Extrachromosomal elements   | 0       |            |
| Total genes                 | 2,109   | 100.00     |
| RNA genes                   | 56      | 2.66       |
| rRNA operons                | 2       |            |
| tRNA genes                  | 48      | 2.28       |
| Protein-coding genes        | 2,053   | 97.34      |
| Pseudo genes                | 34      | 1.61       |
| Genes with function prediction | 1,808   | 85.73      |
| Genes in paralog clusters   | 881     | 41.77      |
| Genes assigned to COGs      | 1,796   | 85.16      |
| Genes assigned Pfam domains | 1,809   | 85.78      |
| Genes with signal peptides  | 276     | 13.09      |
| Genes with transmembrane helices | 487 | 23.09 |
| CRISPR repeats              | 3       |            |
Figure 3. Graphical map of the chromosome. From outside to the center: Genes on forward strand (color by COG categories), Genes on reverse strand (color by COG categories), RNA genes (tRNAs green, rRNAs red, other RNAs black), GC content, GC skew (purple/olive).
Table 4. Number of genes associated with the general COG functional categories

| Code | Value | %age | Description                                                      |
|------|-------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| J    | 149   | 7.51 | Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis                  |
| A    | 0     | 0.00 | RNA processing and modification                                  |
| K    | 86    | 4.34 | Transcription                                                    |
| L    | 102   | 5.14 | Replication, recombination and repair                             |
| B    | 0     | 0.00 | Chromatin structure and dynamics                                  |
| D    | 31    | 1.56 | Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning       |
| Y    | 0     | 0.00 | Nuclear structure                                                |
| V    | 16    | 0.81 | Defense mechanisms                                               |
| T    | 56    | 2.82 | Signal transduction mechanisms                                   |
| M    | 117   | 5.90 | Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis                           |
| N    | 63    | 3.18 | Cell motility                                                    |
| Z    | 0     | 0.00 | Cytoskeleton                                                     |
| W    | 0     | 0.00 | Extracellular structures                                         |
| U    | 41    | 2.07 | Intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport    |
| O    | 61    | 3.08 | Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones     |
| C    | 176   | 8.88 | Energy production and conversion                                 |
| G    | 131   | 6.61 | Carbohydrate transport and metabolism                            |
| E    | 263   | 13.26| Amino acid transport and metabolism                               |
| F    | 69    | 3.48 | Nucleotide transport and metabolism                              |
| H    | 85    | 4.29 | Coenzyme transport and metabolism                                |
| I    | 34    | 1.71 | Lipid transport and metabolism                                   |
| P    | 92    | 4.64 | Inorganic ion transport and metabolism                           |
| Q    | 36    | 1.82 | Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism      |
| R    | 218   | 10.99| General function prediction only                                 |
| S    | 157   | 7.92 | Function unknown                                                 |
| -    | 313   | 14.84| Not in COGs                                                      |
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