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Abstract

Several authors have examined connections between permutations which avoid 132, continued fractions, and Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. In this paper we prove analogues of some of these results for permutations which avoid 1243 and 2143. Using tools developed to prove these analogues, we give enumerations and generating functions for permutations which avoid 1243, 2143, and certain additional patterns. We also give generating functions for permutations which avoid 1243 and 2143 and contain certain additional patterns exactly once. In all cases we express these generating functions in terms of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind.
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1 Introduction and Notation

Let $\mathcal{S}_n$ denote the set of permutations of \{1, \ldots , n\}, written in one-line notation, and suppose $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_k$. We say a subsequence of $\pi$ has type $\sigma$ whenever it has all of the same pairwise comparisons as $\sigma$. For example, the subsequence 2869 of the permutation
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214538769 has type 1324. We say \( \pi \) avoids \( \sigma \) whenever \( \pi \) contains no subsequence of type \( \sigma \). For example, the permutation 214538769 avoids 312 and 2413, but it has 2586 as a subsequence so it does not avoid 1243. If \( \pi \) avoids \( \sigma \) then \( \sigma \) is sometimes called a pattern or a forbidden subsequence and \( \pi \) is sometimes called a restricted permutation or a pattern-avoiding permutation. In this paper we will be interested in permutations which avoid several patterns, so for any set \( R \) of permutations we write \( \mathfrak{S}_n(R) \) to denote the elements of \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) which avoid every pattern in \( R \) and we write \( \mathfrak{S}(R) \) to denote the set of all permutations (including the empty permutation) which avoid every pattern in \( R \). When \( R = \{ \pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_r \} \) we often write \( \mathfrak{S}_n(R) = \mathfrak{S}_n(\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_r) \) and \( \mathfrak{S}(R) = \mathfrak{S}(\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_r) \).

Several authors \[4, 8, 9, 12, 16\] have shown that generating functions for \( \mathfrak{S}(132) \) with respect to the number of subsequences of type 12\ldots k, for various collections of values of \( k \), can be expressed as continued fractions. The most general result along these lines, which appears as \[4, \text{Theorem 1}\], states that

\[
\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}(132)} \prod_{k \geq 1} x_{\tau_k(\pi)} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x_1}{1 - \frac{x_1 x_2}{1 - \frac{x_1 x_2^2 x_3}{1 - \frac{x_1 x_2^3 x_4}{1 - \frac{x_1 x_2^4 x_5 x_6}{1 - \cdots}}}}}}. \tag{1}
\]

Here \( \tau_k(\pi) \) is the number of subsequences of type 12\ldots k in \( \pi \). Generating functions for \( \mathfrak{S}(132) \) have also been found to be expressible in terms of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind \[5, 9, 12, 13\]. One result along these lines, which appears as \[9, \text{Theorem 2}\], \[12, \text{Theorem 3.1}\], and \[5, \text{Theorem 3.6, second case}\], states that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathfrak{S}_n(132, 12\ldots k)| x^n = \frac{U_{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)}{\sqrt{x}U_k\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)}. \tag{2}
\]

Here \( U_n(x) \) is the \( n \)th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind, which may be defined by \( U_n(\cos t) = \frac{\sin((n + 1)t)}{\sin t} \). Another result along these lines, which appears as \[4, \text{Theorem 3}\], states that

\[
\sum_{\pi} x^{||\pi||} = \sum_{i=2}^{b} \left( l_{i-1} + l_i - 1 \right) \left( \frac{U_{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)}{U_k\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)} \right)^{l_i - 1} \left( \frac{U_{k-2}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)}{U_k\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)} \right)^{l_{i+1} - 1} \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)^{l_i + 1 - \frac{1}{2}(l_i - 1) + \sum_{j=2}^{b} l_j}. \tag{3}
\]

Here the sum on the left is over all permutations in \( \mathfrak{S}(132) \) which contain exactly \( r \) subsequences of type 12\ldots k, the quantity \( ||\pi|| \) is the length of \( \pi \), and the sum on the right is over all sequences \( l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_b \) of nonnegative integers such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{b} l_i \frac{k!+i-2}{k-1} = r \). For other results involving \( \mathfrak{S}(132) \) and continued fractions or Chebyshev polynomials, see \[15\] and the references therein.

Permutations which avoid 1243 and 2143 are known to have many properties which are analogous to properties of permutations which avoid 132. For instance, it is well known that
\[ |\mathcal{S}_n(132)| = C_n \text{ for all } n \geq 0, \text{ where } C_n \text{ is the } n\text{th Catalan number, which may be defined by } C_0 = 1 \text{ and } \]
\[ C_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i-1}C_{n-i} \quad (n \geq 1). \]

(The Catalan number \( C_n \) may also be defined by \( C_n = \binom{2n}{n}/(n+1) \).) As a result, for all \( n \geq 0 \), the set \( \mathcal{S}_n(132) \) is in bijection with the set of Catalan paths. These are the lattice paths from \((0,0)\) to \((n,n)\) which contain only east \((1,0)\) and north \((0,1)\) steps and which do not pass below the line \( y = x \). Kremer \([10, \text{Corollary 9}] \) has shown that \( |\mathcal{S}_n(1243,2143)| = r_n - 1 \) for all \( n \geq 1 \), where \( r_n \) is the \( n\text{th Schr"oder number, which may be defined by } r_0 = 1, r_n = r_{n-1} + n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} r_{i-1}r_{n-i} \quad (n \geq 1) \). As a result, for all \( n \geq 0 \), the set \( \mathcal{S}_{n+1}(1243,2143) \) is in bijection with the set \( \mathcal{S}_n \) of Schr"oder paths. These are the lattice paths from \((0,0)\) to \((n,n)\) which contain only east \((1,0)\), north \((0,1)\), and diagonal \((1,1)\) steps and which do not pass below the line \( y = x \). We write \( S \) to denote the set of all Schr"oder paths (including the empty path). In view of this relationship, we refer to permutations which avoid 1243 and 2143 as Schr"oder permutations. (For more information on pattern-avoiding permutations counted by the Schr"oder numbers, see \([3, 7, 10, 19]\). For generalizations of some of these results, see \([3, 11]\). For a partial list of other combinatorial objects counted by the Schr"oder numbers, see \([18, \text{pp. 239–240}]\).)

Motivated by the parallels between \( \mathcal{S}(132) \) and \( \mathcal{S}(1243,2143) \), in this paper we prove analogues of (1), (2), (3), and several similar results for \( \mathcal{S}(1243,2143) \). We begin with some results concerning \( \mathcal{S}(1243,2143) \) and continued fractions. We first define statistics \( \tau_k \), \( k \geq 1 \), on \( S \) and \( \mathcal{S}(1243,2143) \). On \( \mathcal{S}(1243,2143) \), the statistic \( \tau_k \) is simply the number of subsequences of type 12...k. On \( S \), the statistic \( \tau_k \) is a sum of binomial coefficients over east and diagonal steps. We then give a combinatorial definition of a bijection \( \varphi : S \to \mathcal{S}(1243,2143) \) with the property that \( \tau_k(\varphi(\pi)) = \tau_k(\pi) \) for all \( k \geq 1 \) and all \( \pi \in S \). Using \( \varphi \) and a result of Flajolet \([6, \text{Theorem 1}] \), we prove the following analogue of (1).

\[
\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}(1243,2143)} \prod_{k \geq 1} x_k^{\tau_k(\pi)} = 1 + \frac{x_1}{1 - x_1 - \frac{x_1x_2}{1 - x_1x_2 - \frac{x_1x_2x_3}{1 - x_1x_2x_3 - \cdots}}}. \tag{4}
\]

By specializing the \( x_i \)s in (4), we obtain continued fraction expansions for several other statistics on \( \mathcal{S}(1243,2143) \). In particular, we show that for all \( k \geq 1 \),

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{S}_n(1243,2143,12...k)|x^n = 1 + \frac{x}{1 - x - \frac{x}{1 - x - \frac{x}{1 - x - \cdots}}}. \tag{4a}
\]

Here the continued fraction on the right has \( k - 1 \) denominators. Following \([4]\), we then
define a Schröder continued fraction to be a continued fraction of the form 

\[
1 + \frac{m_0}{1 - m_0 - \frac{m_1}{1 - m_1 - \frac{m_2}{1 - m_2 - \frac{m_3}{1 - m_3 - \cdots}}}},
\]

where \( m_i \) is a finite monic monomial in a given set of variables for all \( i \geq 0 \). We prove that the multivariate generating function for a countable family of statistics on \( S(1243, 2143) \) can be expressed as a Schröder continued fraction if and only if each statistic is a (possibly infinite) linear combination of the \( \tau_k \)s and each \( \tau_k \) appears in only finitely many of these linear combinations. This result is an analogue of [4, Theorem 2].

We then turn our attention to analogues of (2) and (3). For any \( k \geq 2 \) and any \( \sigma \in S_{k-1} \) we give the generating function for \(|S_n(1243, 2143, k\sigma)|\) in terms of the generating function for \(|S_n(1243, 2143, \sigma)|\). Using this result, we show that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |S_n(1243, 2143, 12\ldots k)| x^n = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{x} U_{k-2} \left( \frac{1-x} {2\sqrt{x}} \right)} {U_{k-1} \left( \frac{1-x} {2\sqrt{x}} \right)}
\]

and

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |S_n(1243, 2143, 213\ldots k)| x^n = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{x} U_{k-2} \left( \frac{1-x} {2\sqrt{x}} \right)} {U_{k-1} \left( \frac{1-x} {2\sqrt{x}} \right)}
\]

for all \( k \geq 1 \). Both of these results are analogues of (2). We then use \( \varphi \) and some well-known results concerning lattice paths to show that

\[
\sum_{\pi} x^{\pi} = \sum_{i=0}^{b} \left( \frac{l_i + l_{i+1} + m_i - 1}{l_{i+1} + m_i} \right) \frac{(U_{k-2} \left( \frac{1-x} {2\sqrt{x}} \right))^{l_0-1}} {U_{k-1} \left( \frac{1-x} {2\sqrt{x}} \right)} \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)^{l_0+1} x^{\sum_{j=0}^{b}(l_j+m_j)}
\]

Here the sum on the left is over all permutations in \( S(1243, 2143) \) which contain exactly \( r \) subsequences of type 12\ldots k and the sum on the right is over all sequences \( l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_b \), and \( m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_b \) of nonnegative integers such that \( r = \sum_{i=0}^{b}(l_i + m_i)(k+i-1) \). This result is an analogue of (3).

In the next two sections of the paper we give enumerations and generating functions for various sets of permutations in \( S(1243, 2143) \). For instance, we show that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |S_n(1243, 2143, 2134\ldots k)| x^n = 1 + x \frac{f_{k-1}(x)} {f_k(x)}
\]

and

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |S_n(1243, 2143, 3214\ldots k)| x^n = 1 + x \frac{g_{k-1}(x)} {g_k(x)}
\]
for all $k \geq 3$. Here $f_2(x) = (x - 1)^2$,
\[
f_k(x) = (1 - 2x)^2(\sqrt{x})^{k-3}U_{k-3}\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right) - (1 - x)^2(\sqrt{x})^{k-2}U_{k-4}\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)
\]
for all $k \geq 3$, and
\[
g_k(x) = -(1 + 2x - x^2)(\sqrt{x})^{k+2}U_k\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right) + (x^4 - 4x^3 + 2x^2 + 1)(\sqrt{x})^{k-1}U_{k-1}\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)
\]
for all $k \geq 2$. Setting $k = 3$ in (5) and (6), we find that
\[
|\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, 231)| = (n + 2)2^{n-3} \quad (n \geq 2)
\]
and
\[
|\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, 321)| = \begin{pmatrix} n-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} n-1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (n \geq 1).
\]
It is an open problem to provide combinatorial proofs of (4) and (5). We also show that
\[
\sum \pi x^{\ell(\pi)} = \frac{x(1+x)(1-x)^2}{U_{k-1}\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)^2},
\]
where the sum on the left is over all permutations in $\mathfrak{S}(1243, 2143)$ which contain exactly one subsequence of type $213\ldots k$. It is an open problem to give the sum $\sum \pi x^{\ell(\pi)}$ in closed form when it is over all permutations in $\mathfrak{S}(1243, 2143)$ which contain exactly $r$ subsequences of type $213\ldots k$, where $r \geq 2$.

We conclude the paper by collecting several open problems related to this work.

## 2 Statistics and a Product for Schröder Paths

In this section we define a family of statistics on Schröder paths. We then recall the first-return product on Schröder paths and describe the behavior of our statistics with respect to this product. We begin by recalling the height of an east or diagonal step in a Schröder path.

**Definition 2.1** Let $\pi$ denote a Schröder path, let $s$ denote a step in $\pi$ which is either east or diagonal, and let $(x, y)$ denote the coordinates of the left-most point in $\pi$. We define the height of $s$, written $ht(s)$, by setting $ht(s) = y - x$.

We now define our family of statistics on Schröder paths.

**Definition 2.2** For any Schröder path $\pi$ and any positive integer $k$ we write
\[
\tau_k(\pi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{s \in \pi} \begin{pmatrix} ht(s) \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
where the sum on the right is over all east and diagonal steps in $\pi$. Here we take $\binom{i}{j} = 0$ whenever $j < 0$ or $i < j$. For notational convenience we set $\tau_0(\pi) = 0$ for any Schröder path $\pi$. 
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Example 2.3 Let π denote the Schröder path given in Figure 1, so that π is given by π = NDEDNNNDNENDEEE. Then τ₁(π) = 12, τ₂(π) = 28, τ₃(π) = 35, τ₄(π) = 24, τ₅(π) = 8, τ₆(π) = 1, and τₖ(π) = 0 for all k ≥ 7.

Figure 1: The Schröder path of Example 2.3.

Before we recall the first-return product for Schröder paths, we make an observation regarding those paths in $S_n$ which begin with a diagonal step.

Proposition 2.4 (i) For all $n ≥ 1$, the map

$$ S_{n-1} \rightarrow S_n $$

$$ \pi \rightarrow D, \pi $$

is a bijection between $S_{n-1}$ and the set of Schröder paths in $S_n$ which begin with a diagonal step.

(ii) $\tau_1(D, \pi) = 1 + \tau_1(\pi)$ for all $\pi \in S$.

(iii) $\tau_k(D, \pi) = \tau_k(\pi)$ for all $k ≥ 2$ and all $\pi \in S$.

Proof. (i) This is immediate.

(ii),(iii) From (9) we find that for all $k ≥ 1$,

$$ \tau_k(D, \pi) = \binom{0}{k-1} + \binom{0}{k-1} + \sum_{s \in \pi} \binom{ht(s)}{k-1} $$

$$ = \binom{0}{k-1} + \tau_k(\pi). $$

Now (ii) and (iii) follow. □

We now define the first-return product on Schröder paths.

Definition 2.5 For any Schröder paths $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$ we write

$$ \pi_1 * \pi_2 = N \pi_1 E \pi_2. $$
Proposition 2.6 Let $i$ and $n$ denote positive integers such that $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the following hold.

(i) The map

$$(\pi_1, \pi_2) \mapsto \pi_1 \ast \pi_2$$

is a bijection between $S_{i-1} \times S_{n-i}$ and the set of Schröder paths in $S_n$ which begin with a north step and first touch the line $y = x$ at $(i, i)$.

(ii) For all $k \geq 1$, all $\pi_1 \in S_{i-1}$, and all $\pi_2 \in S_{n-i}$ we have

$$\tau_k(\pi_1 \ast \pi_2) = \tau_k(\pi_1) + \tau_{k-1}(\pi_1) + \tau_k(\pi_2).$$

Proof. (i) This is immediate.

(ii) Fix $k \geq 1$. Using (9) we have

$$\tau_k(\pi_1 \ast \pi_2) = \binom{0}{k-1} + \sum_{s \in \pi_1} \binom{ht(s) + 1}{k-1} + \binom{1}{k-1} + \sum_{s \in \pi_2} \binom{ht(s)}{k-1}$$

$$= \binom{0}{k-1} + \sum_{s \in \pi_1} \binom{ht(s)}{k-1} + \sum_{s \in \pi_1} \binom{ht(s)}{k-2} + \binom{0}{k-2} + \binom{0}{k-1} + \sum_{s \in \pi_2} \binom{ht(s)}{k-1}$$

$$= \tau_k(\pi_1) + \tau_{k-1}(\pi_1) + \tau_k(\pi_2),$$

as desired. \(\square\)

3 Statistics and a Product for Schröder Permutations

In this section we define a natural family of statistics on Schröder permutations which is analogous to the family of statistics we have defined on Schröder paths. We then describe a “product” on Schröder permutations which behaves nicely with respect to our statistics. This product is analogous to the first-return product for Schröder paths given in the previous section. We begin with our family of statistics.

Definition 3.1 For any positive integer $k$ and any permutation $\pi$, we write $\tau_k(\pi)$ to denote the number of increasing subsequences of length $k$ which are contained in $\pi$. For notational convenience we set $\tau_0(\pi) = 0$ for any permutation $\pi$.

Observe that for any permutation $\pi$, the quantity $\tau_1(\pi)$ is the length of $\pi$; we sometimes write $|\pi|$ to denote this quantity.

Example 3.2 If $\pi = 71824356$ then $\tau_1(\pi) = 8$, $\tau_2(\pi) = 16$, $\tau_3(\pi) = 16$, $\tau_4(\pi) = 9$, $\tau_5(\pi) = 2$, and $\tau_k(\pi) = 0$ for all $k \geq 6$.

Observe that we have now defined $\tau_k(\pi)$ when $\pi$ is a Schröder permutation and when $\pi$ is a Schröder path. This will not cause confusion, however, since it will always be clear from the context which definition is intended.

Before we describe our product for Schröder permutations, we make an observation regarding those Schröder permutations whose largest element appears first.
Proposition 3.3  (i)  For all $n \geq 1$, the map

$$\pi \mapsto (n, \pi)$$

is a bijection between $\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}(1243, 2143)$ and the set of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143)$ which begin with $n$.

(ii) $\tau_1(n, \pi) = 1 + \tau_1(\pi)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and all $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}(1243, 2143)$.

(iii) $\tau_k(n, \pi) = \tau_k(\pi)$ for all $k \geq 2$, all $n \geq 1$, and all $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}(1243, 2143)$.

Proof.  (i) It is clear that the given map is one-to-one and that if $n, \pi$ is a permutation in $\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143)$ then $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}(1243, 2143)$, so it is sufficient to show that if $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}(1243, 2143)$ then $n, \pi$ avoids 1243 and 2143. To this end, suppose $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}(1243, 2143)$. Since $\pi$ avoids 1243 and 2143, in any pattern of either type in $n, \pi$ the $n$ must play the role of the 4. But this is impossible, since the $n$ is the left-most element of $n, \pi$, but 4 is not the left-most element of 1243 or 2143. Therefore $n, \pi$ avoids 1243 and 2143.

(ii) This is immediate, since $\tau_1(\pi)$ is the length of $\pi$ for any permutation $\pi$.

(iii) Since $n$ is both the largest and the left-most element in $n, \pi$, it cannot participate in an increasing subsequence of length two or more. Therefore any such subsequence in $n, \pi$ is contained in $\pi$, and (iii) follows. $\square$

We now describe our product for Schröder permutations. To do so, we first set some notation.

Let $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$ denote nonempty Schröder permutations. We write $\tilde{\pi}_1$ to denote the sequence obtained by adding $|\pi_2| - 1$ to every entry in $\pi_1$ and then replacing $|\pi_2|$ (the smallest entry in the resulting sequence) with the left-most entry of $\pi_2$. We observe that $\tilde{\pi}_1$ has type $\pi_1$. We write $\tilde{\pi}_2$ to denote the sequence obtained from $\pi_2$ by removing its left-most element.

Definition 3.4  For any nonempty Schröder permutations $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$, we write

$$\pi_1 \ast \pi_2 = \tilde{\pi}_1 n \tilde{\pi}_2,$$

where $n = |\pi_1| + |\pi_2|$ and $\tilde{\pi}_1$ and $\tilde{\pi}_2$ are the sequences described in the previous paragraph.

Example 3.5  If $\pi_1 = 3124$ and $\pi_2 = 15342$ then $\tilde{\pi}_1 = 7168$, $\tilde{\pi}_2 = 5342$, and $\pi_1 \ast \pi_2 = 716895342$.

Proposition 3.6  Let $i$ and $n$ denote positive integers such that $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Then the following hold.

(i) The map

$$\mathfrak{S}_i(1243, 2143) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-i}(1243, 2143) \mapsto \mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143)$$

$$(\pi_1, \pi_2) \mapsto \pi_1 \ast \pi_2$$

is a bijection between $\mathfrak{S}_i(1243, 2143) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-i}(1243, 2143)$ and the set of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143)$ for which $\pi(i + 1) = n$.  
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(ii) For all \( k \geq 1 \), all \( \pi_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_i(1243, 2143) \), and all \( \pi_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-i}(1243, 2143) \) we have

\[
\tau_k(\pi_1 * \pi_2) = \tau_k(\pi_1) + \tau_{k-1}(\pi_1) + \tau_k(\pi_2).
\]

**Proof.** (i) It is routine to verify that if \( \pi_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_i(1243, 2143) \) and \( \pi_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-i}(1243, 2143) \) then \( \pi_1 * \pi_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_i(1243, 2143) \), so it is sufficient to show that the given map is a bijection. We do this by describing its inverse.

Suppose \( \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143) \) and \( \pi(i + 1) = n \). Let \( f_1(\pi) \) denote the type of the subsequence \( \pi(1), \pi(2), \ldots, \pi(i) \) of \( \pi \) and let \( f_2(\pi) \) denote the permutation of \( 1, 2, \ldots, n - i \) which appears in \( \pi \). Since \( \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143) \) and \( \pi \) contains subsequences of type \( f_1(\pi) \) and \( f_2(\pi) \) we find that \( f_1(\pi) \in \mathfrak{S}_i(1243, 2143) \) and \( f_2(\pi) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-i}(1243, 2143) \). We now show that the map \( \pi \mapsto (f_1(\pi), f_2(\pi)) \) is the inverse of the map \( (\pi_1, \pi_2) \mapsto \pi_1 * \pi_2 \).

It is clear from the construction of \( \pi_1 * \pi_2 \) that \( f_1(\pi_1 * \pi_2) = \pi_1 \) and \( f_2(\pi_1 * \pi_2) = \pi_2 \), so it remains to show that \( f_1(\pi) * f_2(\pi) = \pi \). To this end, observe that since \( \pi \) avoids 1243 and 2143 and has \( \pi(i + 1) = n \), exactly one of \( 1, 2, \ldots, n - i \) appears to the left of \( n \) in \( \pi \). (If two or more of \( 1, 2, \ldots, n - i \) appeared to the left of \( n \) then two of these elements, together with \( n \) and some element to the right of \( n \), would form a subsequence of type \( 1243 \) or \( 2143 \).) Therefore, the remaining elements among \( 1, 2, \ldots, n - i \) are exactly those elements which appear to the right of \( n \). It follows that \( f_1(\pi) * f_2(\pi) = \pi \). Therefore the map \( \pi \mapsto (f_1(\pi), f_2(\pi)) \) is the inverse of the map \( (\pi_1, \pi_2) \mapsto \pi_1 * \pi_2 \), so the latter is a bijection, as desired.

(ii) Let \( \tilde{\pi}_1 \) be as in the paragraph above Definition 3.4. Observe that since \( \tilde{\pi}_1 \) consists of exactly those elements of \( \pi_1 * \pi_2 \) which are to the left of \( n \), there is a one-to-one correspondence between increasing subsequences of length \( k - 1 \) in \( \tilde{\pi}_1 \) and increasing subsequences of length \( k \) in \( \pi_1 * \pi_2 \) which involve \( n \). Since \( \tilde{\pi}_1 \) and \( \pi_1 \) have the same type, there are \( \tau_{k-1}(\pi_1) \) of these subsequences. Now observe that if an increasing subsequence of length \( k \) in \( \pi_1 * \pi_2 \) does not involve \( n \), and involves an element of \( \tilde{\pi}_1 \) other than the smallest element, then it is entirely contained in \( \tilde{\pi}_1 \). Similarly, observe that if an increasing subsequence of length \( k \) in \( \pi_1 * \pi_2 \) involves an element of \( \pi_2 \) other than the left-most element, then it is entirely contained in \( \pi_2 \). Therefore every increasing subsequence of length \( k \) in \( \pi_1 * \pi_2 \) which does not involve \( n \) is an increasing subsequence of length \( k \) in \( \tilde{\pi}_1 \) or in \( \pi_2 \). Since \( \tilde{\pi}_1 \) and \( \pi_1 \) have the same type, there are \( \tau_k(\pi_1) + \tau_k(\pi_2) \) of these subsequences. Now (ii) follows. \( \Box \)

Although the results we have given in this section are sufficient for our current purposes, we remark that there are more general results along the same lines. For example, following [9] and [11], let \( T_k \) \( (k \geq 3) \) denote the set of all permutations in \( \mathfrak{S}_k \) which end with \( k, k - 1 \). Observe that \( T_3 = \{132\} \) and \( T_4 = \{1243, 2143\} \). Then there are natural analogues of all of the results in this section for \( \mathfrak{S}(T_k) \), where \( k \geq 5 \).

### 4 A Bijection Between \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) and \( \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143) \)

Comparing Propositions 3.3 and 3.5 with Propositions 2.4 and 2.6 respectively, we see that for all \( n \geq 0 \) there exists a bijection \( \varphi : \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143) \) such that \( \tau_k(\pi) = \tau_k(\varphi(\pi)) \) for all \( \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n \). So far, we have only seen how to compute this bijection recursively. In this
To begin, label each upper triangle (i.e., each triangle whose vertices have coordinates of the form \((i-1, j-1), (i-1, j), (i, j)\)) which is below \(\pi\) and above the line \(y = x\) with an \(s_i\), where \(i\) is the \(x\)-coordinate of the upper right corner of the triangle. In Figure 3 below we have labeled the appropriate triangles for \(\pi\) with the subscripts for the \(s_i\)s. Now let \(\sigma_1\) denote the sequence of \(s_i\)s which begins with the \(s_i\) furthest up and to the right and extends diagonally to the lower left, immediately above the line \(y = x\), proceeding until it reaches a north step. Let \(\sigma_2\) denote the sequence of \(s_i\)s which begins immediately to the left of the beginning of \(\sigma_1\) and extends diagonally to the lower left, immediately above \(\sigma_1\), until it reaches a north step. Construct \(\sigma_3, \sigma_4, \ldots\) in this fashion until all of the \(s_i\)s above and to the left of \(\sigma_1\) have been read. Repeat this process with the part of the path below the last east step before the north step which ended \(\sigma_1\). In this way we obtain a sequence \(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k\) of maps on \(S_n\). In Figure 4 below we have indicated the sequences \(\sigma_1, \sigma_3, \sigma_4, \text{ and } \sigma_5\) for our example path \(\pi\). In this example we have \(\sigma_1 = s_8s_7s_6s_5, \sigma_2 = s_7, \sigma_3 = s_6s_5, \sigma_4 = s_4s_3s_2s_1, \sigma_5 = s_3s_2,\) and \(\sigma_6 = s_2\).

We this set-up in mind, we can now define \(\varphi(\pi)\).

**Definition 4.1** For any Schröder path \(\pi\), let \(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_k\) denote the maps determined as in the discussion above. Then we write

\[
\varphi(\pi) = \sigma_k\sigma_{k-1}\ldots\sigma_1(n+1, n, n-1, \ldots, 3, 2, 1).
\]

Summarizing our running example, we have the following.
Figure 3: The Schröder path $\pi$ with triangles labeled.

Example 4.2 Let $\pi$ denote the Schröder path in Figure 2 above, so that $\pi$ is given by $\pi = NDNNEENNDENE$. Then $\sigma_1 = s_8s_7s_6s_5, \sigma_2 = s_7, \sigma_3 = s_6s_5, \sigma_4 = s_4s_3s_2s_1, \sigma_5 = s_3s_2, \sigma_6 = s_2$, and $\varphi(\pi) = 836791425$.

Example 4.3 Let $\pi$ denote the Schröder path given by $\pi = DNEDDNNENDEE$. Then $\sigma_1 = s_8s_7s_6s_5, \sigma_2 = s_7s_6, \sigma_3 = s_5, \sigma_4 = s_2$, and $\varphi(\pi) = 978624135$.

Example 4.4 Let $\pi$ denote the Schröder path given by $\pi = NNENDNNEDEEDE$. Then $\sigma_1 = s_8s_7s_6s_5s_4s_3s_2s_1, \sigma_2 = s_6s_5s_4s_3s_2, \sigma_3 = s_5s_4s_3, \sigma_4 = s_3, \sigma_5 = s_1$, and $\varphi(\pi) = 683425719$.

Our goal for the remainder of this section is to show that the map $\varphi$ is a bijection which preserves the statistics $\tau_k$ for all $k \geq 1$. To do this, we first consider the value of $\varphi$ on a Schröder path which begins with a diagonal step.

Proposition 4.5 For all $n \geq 0$ and all $\pi \in S_n$ we have

$$\varphi(D, \pi) = n + 1, \varphi(\pi).$$

Proof. Observe that by the construction of $\varphi(D, \pi)$ no $s_1$ will appear in $\sigma_k\sigma_{k-1}\ldots\sigma_1$. Therefore the left-most element of $n + 1, n, n - 1, \ldots, 2, 1$ will not be moved by $\sigma_k\sigma_{k-1}\ldots\sigma_1$. Now the result follows. $\square$

Next we consider the value of $\varphi$ on a product of two Schröder paths.

Proposition 4.6 For all Schröder paths $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$ we have

$$\varphi(\pi_1 * \pi_2) = \varphi(\pi_1) * \varphi(\pi_2).$$

Proof. In the construction of $\varphi(\pi_1 * \pi_n)$, let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_i$ denote the strings of $s_i$s constructed from triangles below $\pi_2$, and observe that the next string constructed will be $s_i s_{i-1} \ldots s_1$. 

Figure 4: The Schröder path $\pi$ with $\sigma_1$, $\sigma_3$, $\sigma_4$, and $\sigma_5$ indicated.

where $\pi_1$ ends at $(i - 1, i - 1)$. Now let $\sigma_{j+2}, \ldots, \sigma_k$ denote the remaining strings and let $a$ denote the left-most element of $\varphi(\pi_2)$. Then we have

$$
\sigma(\pi_1 \ast \pi_2) = \sigma_k \ldots \sigma_{j+2} s_i s_{i-1} \ldots s_1 \sigma_j \ldots \sigma_1 (n + 1, n, \ldots, 2, 1)
$$

$$
= \sigma_k \ldots \sigma_{j+2} s_i s_{i-1} \ldots s_1 (n + 1, n, \ldots, n - i + 1, a, \varphi(\pi_2))
$$

$$
= \sigma_k \ldots \sigma_{j+2} (n, n - 1, \ldots, n - i + 1, a, n + 1, \varphi(\pi_2))
$$

$$
= \varphi(\pi_1), n + 1, \varphi(\pi_2)
$$

$$
= \varphi(\pi_1) \ast \varphi(\pi_2),
$$

as desired. $\blacksquare$

We now show that $\varphi$ is a bijection from $S_n$ to $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143)$.

**Proposition 4.7**

(i) For all $\pi \in S$, we have $\varphi(\pi) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143)$.

(ii) For all $n \geq 0$ the map $\varphi : S_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143)$ is a bijection.

**Proof.** (i) Observe that $\varphi(\emptyset) = 1$, $\varphi(D) = 21$, and $\varphi(NE) = 12$, so (i) holds for all $\pi \in S_0$ and all $\pi \in S_1$. Arguing by induction, suppose (i) holds for all $\pi \in S_i$, where $0 \leq i < n$, and fix $\pi \in S_n$. If $\pi$ begins with a diagonal step then $\pi = D, \pi_1$ and by (12) we have $\varphi(\pi) = n + 1$, $\varphi(\pi_1) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143)$. If $\pi$ does not begin with a diagonal step then by Proposition 2.6(i) there exist $\pi_1 \in S_{i-1}$ and $\pi_2 \in S_{n-i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $\pi = \pi_1 \ast \pi_2$. Then by (13) we have $\varphi(\pi) = \varphi(\pi_1) \ast \varphi(\pi_2) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143)$. Now (i) follows.

(ii) First observe that by (i) and since $|S_n| = |\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143)|$ for all $n \geq 0$, it is sufficient to show that $\varphi$ is surjective. To do this, we argue by induction on $n$. 

It is routine to verify that \( \varphi \) is surjective for \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \), so suppose by induction that \( \varphi \) is surjective for \( 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \) and fix \( \pi \in S_{n+1}(1243, 2143) \). If \( \pi(1) = n + 1 \) then by Proposition 3.3(i) there exists \( \pi_1 \in S_n(1243, 2143) \) such that \( \pi = n + 1, \pi_1 \). By induction there exists \( \alpha_1 \in S_{n-1} \) such that \( \varphi(\alpha_1) = \pi_1 \). We now have

\[
\varphi(D, \alpha_1) = n + 1, \pi_1 \quad \text{(by (12))}
\]

\[
= \pi.
\]

If \( \pi(1) \neq n + 1 \) then there exists \( i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \) such that \( \pi(i + 1) = n + 1 \). By Proposition 3.6(i) there exist \( \pi_1 \in S_i(1243, 2143) \) and \( \pi_2 \in S_{n-i}(1243, 2143) \) such that \( \pi = \pi_1 * \pi_2 \). By induction there exist \( \alpha_1 \in S_{i-1} \) and \( \alpha_2 \in S_{n-i} \) such that \( \varphi(\alpha_1) = \pi_1 \) and \( \varphi(\alpha_2) = \pi_2 \). We now have

\[
\varphi(\alpha_1 * \alpha_2) = \pi_1 * \pi_2 \quad \text{(by (13))}
\]

\[
= \pi.
\]

It follows that \( \varphi \) is surjective, as desired. \( \Box \)

We now show that \( \varphi \) preserves the statistics \( \tau_k \) for all \( k \geq 1 \).

**Proposition 4.8** For any \( k \geq 1 \) and any Schröder path \( \pi \) we have

\[
\tau_k(\varphi(\pi)) = \tau_k(\pi). \tag{14}
\]

**Proof.** Suppose \( \pi \in S_n \); we argue by induction on \( n \). The cases \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \) are routine to verify, so suppose the result holds for all \( \pi \in S_i \), where \( i \leq n-1 \), and fix \( \pi \in S_n \). If \( \pi \) begins with a diagonal step then \( \pi = D, \pi_1 \) for some \( \pi_1 \in S_{n-1} \) and we have

\[
\tau_k(\varphi(\pi)) = \tau_k(\varphi(D, \pi_1))
\]

\[
= \tau_k(n + 1, \varphi(\pi_1)) \quad \text{(by (12))}
\]

\[
= \delta_{k1} + \tau_k(\varphi(\pi_1)) \quad \text{(by Proposition 3.3(ii),(iii))}
\]

\[
= \delta_{k1} + \tau_k(\pi_1) \quad \text{(by induction)}
\]

\[
= \tau_k(D, \pi_1) \quad \text{(by Proposition 2.4(ii),(iii))}
\]

\[
= \tau_k(\pi).
\]

If \( \pi \) does not begin with a diagonal step then \( \pi = \pi_1 * \pi_2 \) for some \( \pi_1 \in S_{i-1} \) and \( \pi_2 \in S_{n-i} \) and we have

\[
\tau_k(\varphi(\pi)) = \tau_k(\varphi(\pi_1 * \pi_2)) \quad \text{(by Proposition 2.6(i))}
\]

\[
= \tau_k(\varphi(\pi_1) * \varphi(\pi_2)) \quad \text{(by (13))}
\]

\[
= \tau_k(\varphi(\pi_1)) + \tau_{k-1}(\varphi(\pi_1)) + \tau_k(\varphi(\pi_2)) \quad \text{(by (11))}
\]

\[
= \tau_k(\pi_1) + \tau_{k-1}(\pi_1) + \tau_k(\pi_2) \quad \text{(by induction)}
\]

\[
= \tau_k(\pi_1 * \pi_2) \quad \text{(by (10))}
\]
as desired. □

In view of Proposition 4.8, the map \( \varphi \) also relates the Schröder paths of height at most \( k - 2 \) with Schröder permutations which avoid \( 12 \ldots k \). In particular, we have the following result.

**Corollary 4.9** Fix \( k \geq 2 \) and let \( \mathcal{S}_{n,k} \) denote the set of Schröder paths in \( \mathcal{S}_n \) which do not cross the line \( y - x = k - 2 \). Then the restriction of \( \varphi \) to \( \mathcal{S}_{n,k} \) is a bijection between \( \mathcal{S}_{n,k} \) and \( \mathcal{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143, 12 \ldots k) \).

**Proof.** Observe that \( \pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143) \) avoids \( 12 \ldots k \) if and only if \( \tau_k(\varphi^{-1}(\pi)) = 0 \), which occurs if and only if \( \varphi^{-1}(\pi) \) does not cross the line \( y - x = k - 2 \). □

For all \( n \geq 0 \), let \( \mathcal{D}_n \) denote the set of all Schröder paths from \((0,0)\) to \((n,n)\) which contain no diagonal steps. (Such paths are sometimes called *Catalan paths*, because \( |\mathcal{D}_n| \) is the well-known Catalan number \( C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \) for all \( n \geq 0 \).) In [9] Krattenthaler gives a bijection \( \phi : \mathcal{S}_n(132) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n \) such that

\[
\tau_k(\pi) = \tau_k(\phi(\pi))
\]

for all \( k \geq 1 \), all \( n \geq 0 \), and all \( \pi \in \mathcal{S}_n(132) \). Krattenthaler’s bijection \( \phi \) is closely related to our bijection \( \varphi \). For any permutation \( \pi \), let \( \hat{\pi} \) denote the sequence obtained by adding one to every entry in \( \pi \). Observe that the map \( \omega : \mathcal{S}_n(132) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143) \) given by \( \omega(\pi) = 1, \hat{\pi} \) for all \( \pi \in \mathcal{S}_n(132) \) is a bijection between \( \mathcal{S}_n(132) \) and the set of permutations in \( \mathcal{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143) \) which begin with 1. The bijections \( \phi \) and \( \varphi \) are related in that

\[
\phi(\pi) = \varphi^{-1}(\omega(\pi))
\]

for all \( n \geq 0 \) and all \( \pi \in \mathcal{S}_n(132) \).

## 5 The Continued Fractions

In this section we will encounter several continued fractions, for which we will use the following notation.

**Definition 5.1** For any given expressions \( a_i \ (i \geq 0) \) and \( b_i \ (i \geq 0) \) we write

\[
\frac{a_0}{b_0} + \frac{a_1}{b_1} + \frac{a_2}{b_2} + \frac{a_3}{b_3} + \cdots
\]

to denote the infinite continued fraction

\[
\cfrac{a_0}{b_0 + \cfrac{a_1}{b_1 + \cfrac{a_2}{b_2 + \cfrac{a_3}{b_3 + \cdots}}}}.
\]

We use the corresponding notation for finite continued fractions.
Several authors [4, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16] have described how to express the generating function for \( S_{n}(132) \) with respect to various \( \tau_{k} \) \((k \geq 1)\) as a continued fraction. The most general of these results is the following, which appears explicitly as [4, Theorem 1]. A special case of this result appears as [16, Theorem 1], the result is implicit in [12, Proposition 2.3], and it can be proved by modifying slightly the techniques of [8, Corollary 7] and [9, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 5.2** (Brändén, Claesson, and Steingrímsson [4, Theorem 1]) For all \( i \geq 1 \), let \( x_{i} \) denote an indeterminate. Then we have

\[
\sum_{\pi \in S_{n}(132)} \prod_{k \geq 1} x_{\tau_{k}(\pi)} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x_{1}}{1 - \frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{1 - \frac{x_{1}x_{2}^{2}x_{3}}{1 - \cdots}}} - \cdots - \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{x_{i}^{(n)}}{1 - \cdots}}
\]

In the same paper, Brändén, Claesson, and Steingrímsson define a Catalan continued fraction to be a continued fraction of the form

\[
\frac{1}{1 - \frac{m_{0}}{1 - \frac{m_{1}}{1 - \frac{m_{2}}{1 - \cdots}}}}
\]

where for all \( i \geq 0 \), the expression \( m_{i} \) is a monic monomial in a given set of variables. Roughly speaking, Brändén, Claesson, and Steingrímsson show [4, Theorem 2] that the multivariate generating function for \( S_{n}(132) \) with respect to a given countable family of statistics may be expressed as a Catalan continued fraction if and only if each statistic in the family is a (possibly infinite) linear combination of the \( \tau_{k} \)s and each \( \tau_{k} \) appears in only finitely many of these linear combinations.

In this section we prove analogues of these results for permutations which avoid 1243 and 2143. We begin by adapting Krattenthaler’s approach in [9], using our bijection \( \varphi \) and a result of Flajolet to express the generating function for \( S_{n}(1243, 2143) \) with respect to \( \tau_{k}, k \geq 1 \), as a continued fraction. For convenience, we first recall the relevant specialization of Flajolet’s result.

**Theorem 5.3** (Flajolet [4, Theorem 1]) For all \( i \geq 1 \), let \( x_{i} \) denote an indeterminate. Then we have

\[
\sum_{\pi \in S_{n}(1243, 2143)} \prod_{k \geq 1} x_{\tau_{k}(\pi)} = 1 + \frac{x_{1}}{1 - \frac{x_{1}}{1 - \frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{1 - \frac{x_{1}x_{2}^{2}x_{3}}{1 - \cdots}}} - \cdots - \prod_{i=0}^{n} \frac{x_{i}^{(n)}}{1 - \cdots}}
\]

Combining \( \varphi \) with Theorem 5.3, we obtain the following analogue of Theorem 5.2.

**Theorem 5.4** For all \( i \geq 1 \), let \( x_{i} \) denote an indeterminate. Then we have

\[
\sum_{\pi \in S_{n}(1243, 2143)} \prod_{k \geq 1} x_{\tau_{k}(\pi)} = 1 + \frac{x_{1}}{1 - \frac{x_{1}}{1 - \frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{1 - \frac{x_{1}x_{2}^{2}x_{3}}{1 - \cdots}}} - \cdots - \prod_{i=0}^{n} \frac{x_{i}^{(n)}}{1 - \cdots}}
\]
Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 5.3, in view of Propositions 4.7 and 4.8. □

Using (16), we can express the generating function for $|\mathcal{S}_n(1243, 2143, 12\ldots k)|$ as a (finite) continued fraction.

**Corollary 5.5** For all $k \geq 1$ we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{S}_n(1243, 2143, 12\ldots k)|x^n = 1 + \frac{x}{1-x} - \frac{x}{1-x} - \cdots - \frac{x}{1-x}. \tag{17}
$$

Proof. In (16) set $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = x_3 = \ldots = x_{k-1} = 1$ and $x_i = 0$ for all $i \geq k$. □

Curiously, as we prove in Proposition 6.10, the continued fraction on the right side of (17) is also equal to the generating function for $|\mathcal{S}_n(1243, 2143, 213\ldots k)|$.

Using (16), we can also express the generating function for $\mathcal{S}_n(1243, 2143)$ with respect to the total number of increasing subsequences as a continued fraction.

**Corollary 5.6** For any permutation $\pi$, let $m(\pi)$ denote the number of nonempty increasing subsequences in $\pi$. Then

$$
\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}(1243, 2143)} q^{m(\pi)}x^{|\pi|} = 1 + \frac{x}{1-x} - \frac{x}{1-x} - \cdots - \frac{x}{1-x}. \tag{18}
$$

Proof. In (16), set $x_1 = x_2 = q$ and $x_i = q$ for all $i \geq 2$ and use the fact that $m = \sum_{k \geq 1} \tau_k$. □

By specializing the $x_i$s in (16) in various ways, one can obtain continued fractions of many other interesting forms. As an example, we have the following result.

**Corollary 5.7** For any permutation $\pi$, let $m(\pi)$ denote the length of $\pi$ plus the number of noninversions in $\pi$. Then

$$
\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}(1243, 2143)} q^{m(\pi)}x^{|\pi|} = 1 + \frac{q}{1-q} - \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} - \frac{q^3}{1-q^3} - \frac{q^4}{1-q^4} - \cdots. \tag{19}
$$

Proof. In (16), set $x_1 = x_2 = q$ and $x_i = 1$ for all $i \geq 3$ and use the fact that $m = \tau_1 + \tau_2$. □

We now turn our attention to the question of which statistics on $\mathcal{S}(1243, 2143)$ have generating functions which can be expressed as continued fractions like the one in (16). We begin by specifying which continued fractions we wish to consider.

By a **Schröder continued fraction** we mean a continued fraction of the form

$$
1 + \frac{m_0}{1-m_0} - \frac{m_1}{1-m_1} - \frac{m_2}{1-m_2} - \cdots,
$$

in which $m_i$ is a monic monomial in a given set of variables for all $i \geq 0$. Observe that if $f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots$ are (possibly infinite) linear combinations of the $\tau_k$s with the property that each $\tau_k$ appears in only finitely many $f_i$, then by specializing the $x_i$s appropriately in (16) we can express the generating function

$$
\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}(1243, 2143)} x^{|\pi|} \prod_{k \geq 1} q^{f_k(\pi)}_k
$$

in the form of a Schröder continued fraction.
as a Schröder continued fraction. For instance, when only $f_1$ is present, we have the following corollary of Theorem 6.5.

**Corollary 5.8** Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots$ denote nonnegative integers and let $f$ denote the statistic

$$f = \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k \tau_k$$

on $S(1243, 2143)$. Then

$$\sum_{\pi \in S(1243, 2143)} q^{f(\pi)} x^{|\pi|} = \frac{xq^{f(1)}}{1 - xq^{f(1)}} - \frac{xq^{f(12)} - f(1)}{1 - xq^{f(12)} - f(1)} - \frac{xq^{f(123)} - f(12)}{1 - xq^{f(123)} - f(12)} - \cdots$$

**Proof.** In (16) set $x_1 = xq^{\lambda_1}$ and $x_i = q^{\lambda_i}$ for all $i \geq 2$ to obtain

$$\sum_{\pi \in S(1243, 2143)} q^{f(\pi)} x^{|\pi|} = 1 + \frac{xq^{\lambda_1}}{1 - xq^{\lambda_1}} - \frac{xq^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}}{1 - xq^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}} - \frac{xq^{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \lambda_3}}{1 - xq^{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \lambda_3}} - \cdots$$

Now the result follows from the fact that $f(123 \ldots k) - f(12 \ldots k - 1) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} \lambda_i$ for all $k \geq 2$. □

Modifying the proof of [4, Theorem 2] slightly, we now show that linear combinations of the $\tau_k$s are the only statistics on $S(1243, 2143)$ whose generating functions can be expressed as Schröder continued fractions. In order to do this, we first set some notation.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the ring of matrices with integer entries whose rows and columns are indexed by the positive integers, and which have the property that in any row only finitely many entries are nonzero. Then each element of $\mathcal{A}$ corresponds to an infinite family of statistics on $S(1243, 2143)$.

**Definition 5.9** For all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \geq 1$, let $\tau_{A,n}$ denote the linear combination of the $\tau_k$s whose coefficients appear in the $n$th column of $A$. That is,

$$\tau_{A,n} = \sum_{k \geq 1} A_{kn} \tau_k$$

(18)

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \geq 1$.

Since each element of $\mathcal{A}$ corresponds to a family of statistics on $S(1243, 2143)$, each element also has an associated multivariate generating function.

**Definition 5.10** For all $i \geq 1$, let $q_i$ denote an indeterminate. For all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we write $F_A(q)$ to denote the generating function given by

$$F_A(q) = \sum_{\pi \in S(1243, 2143)} \prod_{k \geq 1} q_k^{\tau_{A,k}(\pi)}.$$

(19)
We also associate with each element of \( A \) a Schröder continued fraction.

**Definition 5.11** For all \( i \geq 1 \), let \( q_i \) denote an indeterminate. For all \( A \in A \), we write \( C_A(q) \) to denote the continued fraction given by

\[
C_A(q) = 1 + \prod_{k \geq 1}^{q_{1k}} - \prod_{k \geq 1}^{q_{2k}} - \prod_{k \geq 1}^{q_{3k}} - \ldots \tag{20}
\]

We now give our analogue of \([4, \text{Theorem 2}]\). Although the proof is nearly identical to the proof of \([4, \text{Theorem 2}]\), we include it here for completeness.

**Theorem 5.12** Let \( B \) denote the matrix in \( A \) which satisfies \( B_{ij} = (i-1)_j \). (Here we use the convention that \( (i)_j = 0 \) whenever \( i < j \).) Then for all \( A \in A \),

\[
F_A(q) = C_{BA}(q) \tag{21}
\]

and

\[
C_A(q) = F_{B^{-1}A}(q). \tag{22}
\]

In particular, the set of Schröder continued fractions is exactly the set of generating functions for countable families of statistics on \( \mathcal{S}(1243, 2143) \) in which each statistic is a (possibly infinite) linear combination of the \( \tau_k \)'s and each \( \tau_k \) appears in only finitely many statistics.

**Proof.** To prove (21), we apply (16) with \( x_i = \prod_{j \geq 1}^{q_{ij}} \) for all \( i \geq 1 \). We have

\[
C_{BA}(q) = 1 + \frac{x_1}{1 - x_1} - \frac{x_1 x_2}{1 - x_1 x_2} - \frac{x_1 x_2^2 x_3}{1 - x_1 x_2 x_3} - \ldots \tag{by 20}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}(1243, 2143)} \prod_{k \geq 1}^{x_{1k}^{\tau_k(\pi)}} \tag{by 13}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}(1243, 2143)} \prod_{k \geq 1}^{\left( \prod_{j \geq 1}^{q_{jk}^{A_k}} \right)_{\tau_k(\pi)}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}(1243, 2143)} \prod_{j \geq 1}^{\sum_{k \geq 1}^{A_k \tau_k(\pi)}} \tag{by 18 and 13}
\]

= \( F_A(q) \)

as desired. To prove (22), observe that \( (B^{-1})_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j}(i-1)_j \) so \( B^{-1} \in A \). Therefore we may replace \( A \) with \( B^{-1}A \) in (21) to obtain (22). \( \square \)

**Remark** There is a result for finite Schröder continued fractions which is analogous to Theorem 5.12. Specifically, fix \( k \geq 2 \) and let \( A_k \) denote the ring of \( k - 1 \) by \( k - 1 \) matrices with integer entries. If we replace \( A \) with \( A_k \) and \( \mathcal{S}(1243, 2143) \) with \( \mathcal{S}(1243, 2143, 12 \ldots k) \) in Definitions 5.9 and 5.9 then the resulting analogue of Theorem 5.12 follows by an argument almost identical to the proof of Theorem 5.12.
6 Generating Functions Involving Chebyshev Polynomials

In this section we will be interested in connections between pattern-avoiding permutations and Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. We begin by recalling these polynomials.

**Definition 6.1** For all \( n \geq -1 \), we write \( U_n(x) \) to denote the \( n \)th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind, which is defined by \( U_{-1}(x) = 0 \) and \( U_n(\cos t) = \frac{\sin((n+1)t)}{\sin t} \) for \( n \geq 0 \). These polynomials satisfy

\[
U_n(x) = 2xU_{n-1}(x) - U_{n-2}(x) \quad (n \geq 1). \tag{23}
\]

We will find it useful to reformulate the recurrence in (23) as follows.

**Lemma 6.2** For all \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
U_n \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right) = \frac{1-x}{\sqrt{x}} U_{n-1} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right) - U_{n-2} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right). \tag{24}
\]

**Proof.** This is immediate from (23). \( \square \)

Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind have been found to have close connections with \( \mathcal{S}(132) \); see, for instance, [5, 9, 12, 13, 15]. Two such connections are given in the following results.

**Theorem 6.3** (Krattenthaler [9, Theorem 2], Mansour and Vainshtein [12, Theorem 3.1], Chow and West [5, Theorem 3.6, second case]) For all \( k \geq 1 \) we have

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{S}_n(132, 12 \ldots k)| x^n = \frac{U_{k-1} \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}{\sqrt{x} U_k \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}. \]

**Theorem 6.4** (Krattenthaler [3, Theorem 3], Mansour and Vainshtein [13, Theorems 3.1 and 4.1]) Let \( r \geq 1, b \geq 1 \), and \( k \geq 2 \) satisfy \( \binom{k+b-1}{k} \leq r < \binom{k+b}{k} \). Then the generating function for the number of 132-avoiding permutations which contain exactly \( r \) subsequences of type 12\ldots k is given by

\[
\sum b \prod_{i=2} \binom{l_i-1 + l_i - 1}{l_i} \left( \frac{U_{k-1} \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}{U_k \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)} \right)^{l_i-1} x^{\frac{1}{2}(l_i-1) + \sum_{j=2}^{l_i} l_j},
\]

where the sum on the left is over all sequences \( l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_b \) of nonnegative integers such that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{b} l_i \binom{k+i-2}{k-1} = r.
\]

In this section we prove analogues of Theorems 6.3 and 6.4 for \( \mathcal{S}_n(1243, 2143) \). We begin with an analogue of Theorem 6.3.
Theorem 6.5 For all $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{G}_n(1243, 2143, 12 \ldots k)|x^n = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{x}U_{k-2} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}{U_{k-1} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}$$

(25)

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{G}_n(1243, 2143, 213 \ldots k)|x^n = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{x}U_{k-2} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}{U_{k-1} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}.$$  

(26)

Before pressing on to the proof of Theorem 6.5 we observe that when we set $k = 3$ in (25) and (26) we find that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{G}_n(2143, 123)|x^n = 1 + x \frac{1-x}{1-3x+x^2}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{G}_n(1243, 213)|x^n = 1 + x \frac{1-x}{1-3x+x^2}.$$

These generating functions were originally found by West [20, Example 9 and Table 1], using generating trees.

Our next result is the key to our proof of Theorem 6.5. To state it, we first set some notation.

Definition 6.6 For any set $R$ of permutations, let $R_n$ denote the set of permutations obtained by appending the smallest possible positive integer to each permutation in $R$.

Example 6.7 If $R = \{42531, 4231, 312\}$ then $R_n = \{425316, 42315, 3124\}$.

Theorem 6.8 Let $R$ denote a nonempty set of permutations and set

$$P(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{G}_n(1243, 2143, R)|x^n$$

(27)

and

$$Q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{G}_n(1243, 2143, R_n)|x^n.$$  

(28)

Then

$$Q(x) = \frac{2 - P(x)}{2 - x - P(x)}.$$  

(29)

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.3(i), we find that for all $n \geq 0$ the map

$$\mathcal{G}_n(1243, 2143, R_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{n+1}(1243, 2143, R_n)$$

$$\pi \quad \mapsto \quad n + 1, \pi$$
is a bijection between $\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, Rn)$ and the set of all permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}(1243, 2143, Rn)$ which begin with $n+1$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.6(i), we find that for all $i$ and all $n$ such that $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, the map

$$\mathfrak{S}_i(1243, 2143, R) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-i}(1243, 2143, Rn) \mapsto \mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, Rn)$$

is a bijection between $\mathfrak{S}_i(1243, 2143, R) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-i}(1243, 2143, Rn)$ and the set of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, Rn)$ for which $\pi(i+1) = n$. Combining these two bijections, we find that

$$Q(x) = 1 + xQ(x) + (P(x) - 1)(Q(x) - 1).$$

Solving this last equation for $Q(x)$, we obtain (29). ◻

As we show next, if $P(x)$ is a rational function then $Q(x)$ has a simple form.

**Corollary 6.9** Let $R$ denote a nonempty set of permutations. If

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, R)|x^n = 1 + x\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$$

then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, Rn)|x^n = 1 + x\frac{g(x)}{(1-x)g(x) - xf(x)}.$$

**Proof.** By (29) we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, R)|x^n = \frac{1 - x\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}}{1 - x + x\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}} = 1 + x\frac{g(x)}{(1-x)g(x) - xf(x)},$$

as desired. ◻

Using Corollary 6.9 it is now routine to prove Theorem 3.3.

**Proof of Theorem 6.3.** We argue by induction on $k$. It is routine to verify that (23) holds for $k = 1$ and $k = 2$, so we assume (23) holds for $k - 2$ and $k - 1$. Then by Corollary 6.9 and (24) we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, 12\ldots k)|x^n = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{xU_{k-1}} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}{\frac{1-x}{\sqrt{x}} U_{k-1} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right) - U_{k-2} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{\sqrt{xU_{k-2}} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}{U_{k-1} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)},$$
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as desired. The proof of (20) is similar to the proof of (25). ☐

We now have a corollary of Theorem 6.5 involving the continued fraction which appears in (17).

**Corollary 6.10** For all \( k \geq 2 \) we have

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |G_n(1243, 2143, 2134 \ldots k)| x^n = 1 + \frac{x}{1-x} - \frac{x}{1-x} - \cdots - \frac{x}{1-x}. 
\]

**Proof.** This is immediate from Theorem 6.5 and Corollary 5.5. ☐

Next we turn our attention to our analogue of Theorem 6.4.

**Theorem 6.11** Fix \( r \geq 1, k \geq 2, \) and \( b \geq 0 \) such that \( \frac{k+b}{k} \leq r < \frac{k+b+1}{k} \). Then we have

\[
\sum x^{\pi} = \sum b \prod_{i=0}^{b} \left( l_i + l_{i+1} + m_i - 1 \right) \left( l_{i+1} + m_i \right) \left( \frac{U_{k-2} \left( \frac{1-x}{2 \sqrt{x}} \right)}{l_0 - 1} \right) \left( \frac{1-x}{2 \sqrt{x}} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{b} (l_j + m_j). 
\]

Here the sum on the left is over all permutations in \( G(1243, 2143) \) which contain exactly \( r \) subsequences of type \( 12 \ldots k \). The sum on the right is over all sequences \( l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_b \), and \( m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_b \) of nonnegative integers such that

\[
r = \sum_{i=0}^{b} (l_i + m_i) \left( \frac{k+i-1}{k-1} \right). 
\]

Throughout we adopt the convention that \( \binom{a}{0} = 1 \) and \( \binom{a}{a} = 0 \) for any integer \( a \).

To prove this theorem, we first need to set some notation and prove some preliminary results. We begin with a certain matrix.

**Definition 6.12** For all \( k \geq 0 \), we write \( A_k \) to denote the \( k+1 \) by \( k+1 \) tridiagonal matrix given by

\[
A_k = \begin{pmatrix}
x & \sqrt{x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sqrt{x} & x & \sqrt{x} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{x} & x & \sqrt{x} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{x} & x & \sqrt{x} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sqrt{x} & x & \sqrt{x} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{x} & x \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The matrix \( A_k \) is closely related to generating functions for various sets of Schröder paths. To describe this relationship, we let \( S(r, s) \) denote the set of lattice paths involving only east (1,0), north (0,1), and diagonal (1,1) steps which begin at a point at height \( r \), end at a
point at height $s$, and do not cross the lines $y - x = k$ and $y = x$. For any such path $\pi$ which begins at $(x_1, y_1)$ and ends at $(x_2, y_2)$, we write $l(\pi) = \frac{1}{2}(x_2 + y_2 - x_1 - y_1)$. The next lemma summarizes the relationship between $A_k$ and the generating function for $S(r, s)$ with respect to $l$.

**Lemma 6.13** For all $k \geq 0$ and all $r$ and $s$ such that $0 \leq r, s \leq k$ we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in S(r, s)} x^{l(\pi)} = \frac{(-1)^{r+s} \det(I - A_k; s, r)}{\det(I - A_k)}.$$  

Here $I$ is the identity matrix of the appropriate size and $\det(I - A_k; s, r)$ is the minor of $I - A_k$ in which the $s$th row and $r$th column have been deleted.

For the sake of brevity we omit the proof of Lemma 6.13. An outline of this proof can be obtained by modifying slightly the outline of the proof of [9, Theorem A2].

The matrix $A_k$ is also closely connected with Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. In particular, we have the following result.

**Lemma 6.14** For all $k \geq 0$,

$$U_{k+1} \left( \frac{1 - x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right) = \det(I - A_k).$$

**Proof.** We argue by induction on $k$. It is routine to verify that the result holds for $k = 0$ and $k = 1$, so we assume the result holds for $k - 2$ and $k - 1$. By expanding $\det(I - A_k)$ along the bottom row, one can show that $\left( \sqrt{x} \right)^{-k+1} \det(I - A_k)$ satisfies (24). By our induction assumption we find the result holds for $k$, as desired. $\square$

As a warm-up for our proof of Theorem 6.11, we use Lemmas 6.13 and 6.14 to give another proof of (25).

**Another proof of (25).** First observe that if $F(x)$ is the generating function on the left side of (25) and $G(x)$ is the generating function for Schröder paths from $(0, 0)$ to $(n, n)$ which do not exceed height $k - 2$, then in view of (14) we have $F(x) = 1 + xG(x)$. Combining Lemmas 6.13 and 6.14 we find that $G(x) = \frac{U_{k-2} \left( \frac{1 - x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}{\sqrt{x}U_{k-1} \left( \frac{1 - x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}$. Now (25) follows. $\square$

We now prove Theorem 6.11.

**Proof of Theorem 6.11.** First observe that if $F(x)$ is the generating function on the left side of (30) and $G(x)$ is the generating function for the set of Schröder paths from $(0, 0)$ to $(n, n)$ for which $\tau_k(\pi) = r$, then in view of (14) we have $F(x) = xG(x)$. With this in mind, we find $G(x)$.

To compute $G(x)$, we observe that any Schröder path $\pi$ with $\tau_k(\pi) = r$ can be constructed by the following procedure in exactly one way.

1. Choose $l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_b$, and $m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_b$ such that (31) holds. Construct a sequence of east and diagonal steps which contains exactly $l_i$ east steps at height $k + i - 1$ and $m_i$ diagonal steps at height $k + i - 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq b$ and which satisfies both of the following.
(a) The step immediately preceding a step at height \( j \) is either an east step at height \( j + 1 \) or less, or a diagonal step at height \( j \) or less.

(b) All steps after the last east step at height \( j \) are at height \( j - 1 \) or less.

2. After each east step at height \( k - 1 \) except the last, insert an (possibly empty) upside-down Schröder path of height at most \( k - 2 \).

3. Before the first step insert a path from height 0 to height \( k - 2 \) which does not exceed height \( k - 2 \).

4. After the last step insert a path from height \( k - 2 \) to height 0 which does not exceed height \( k - 2 \).

Since the choice at each step is independent of the choices at the other steps, and since every sequence of choices results in a path of the type desired, \( G(x) \) is the product of the generating functions for each step.

To compute the generating function for step 1, suppose we have fixed \( l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_b \), and \( m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_b \); then each of the resulting partial paths will have generating function \( x^{\sum_{i=0}^b (l_i+m_i)} \).

To count these paths, we construct them from the top down. That is, we first arrange the \( m_b \) diagonal steps at height \( b \); there is one way to do this. We then place the \( l_b \) east steps at height \( b \) so that one of these steps occurs after all of the diagonal steps. There are \( \binom{l_b+m_b-1}{m_b} \) ways to do this. We then place the \( m_{b-1} \) diagonal steps at height \( b - 1 \) so that none of these steps immediately follows a diagonal step at height \( b \). There are \( \binom{l_b+m_b-1}{m_{b-1}} \) ways to do this. Proceeding in this fashion, we find that the generating function for step 1 is

\[
\sum \prod_{i=0}^b \left( \frac{l_i + l_{i+1} + m_i - 1}{l_{i+1} + m_i} \right) \left( \frac{l_i + l_{i+1} + m_i}{m_i} \right)^{\sum_{i=0}^b (l_i+m_i)} x^{\sum_{j=0}^b (l_i+m_i)}, \tag{32}
\]

where the sum is over all sequences \( l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_b \), and \( m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_b \) of nonnegative integers which satisfy (31). Using Lemmas 6.13 and 6.14, we find that the generating function for step 2 is equal to

\[
\left( \frac{U_{k-2} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}{\sqrt{x}U_{k-1} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)} \right)^{l_0-1} \tag{33}
\]

and the generating functions for steps 3 and 4 are both equal to

\[
\frac{(-1)^{k-2}}{\sqrt{x}U_{k-1} \left( \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} \right)}. \tag{34}
\]

Taking the product of \( x \), the quantities in (32) and (33), and the square of the quantity in (34), we obtain (30), as desired. \( \square \)

The following special case of Theorem 6.11 is of particular interest.
Corollary 6.15 For all $k \geq 2$ we have
\[
\sum_{\pi} x^{\mid \pi \mid} = \frac{x}{(U_{k-1} \left(\frac{1-x}{2x}\right))^2}.
\] (35)
Here the sum on the left is over all permutations in $S(1243, 2143)$ which contain exactly one subsequence of type $12\ldots k$.

Proof. Set $r = 1$ in Theorem 6.11. ⊓⊔

7 Permutations in $S(1243, 2143)$ Which Avoid Another Pattern

In Section 6 we used Theorem 6.8 to obtain generating functions for $S_n(1243, 2143, \sigma_34\ldots k)$, where $\sigma \in S_2$. In this section we use Theorem 6.8 to obtain generating functions for $S_n(1243, 2134, \sigma)$ for other interesting choices of $\sigma$; in some cases we give explicit enumerations. We begin with $\sigma = 231$.

Proposition 7.1 For all $n \geq 2$,
\[
|S_n(1243, 2143, 231)| = (n + 2)2^{n-3}.
\] (36)
Moreover,
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |S_n(1243, 2143, 231)|x^n = 1 + x \left(\frac{x - 1}{2x - 1}\right)^2
\] (37)

Proof. We first prove (37). Let $S(x)$ denote the generating function on the left side of (37). Observe that if $\pi \in S_n(1243, 2143, 231)$ then $\pi^{-1}(n) = 1$, $\pi^{-1}(n) = 2$, or $\pi^{-1}(n) = n$. Therefore we may partition $S(1243, 2143, 321)$ into the following four sets:

\[
T_1 = \{ \pi \in S(1243, 2143, 231) \mid |\pi| \leq 3 \};
\]
\[
T_2 = \{ \pi \in S(1243, 2143, 231) \mid |\pi| \geq 4 \text{ and } \pi(1) = |\pi| \};
\]
\[
T_3 = \{ \pi \in S(1243, 2143, 231) \mid |\pi| \geq 4 \text{ and } \pi(2) = |\pi| \};
\]
\[
T_4 = \{ \pi \in S(1243, 2143, 231) \mid |\pi| \geq 4 \text{ and } \pi(|\pi|) = |\pi| \}.
\]

The generating function for $T_1$ is $1 + x + 2x^2 + 5x^3$. The map from $S_{n-1}(1243, 2143, 231)$ which carries $\pi$ to $n$, $\pi$ is a bijection between $S_{n-1}(1243, 2143, 231)$ and the set of permutations in $T_2$ which have length $n$, so the generating function for $T_2$ is $x(S(x) - 1 - x - x^2)$. Now observe that if $n \geq 4$ and $\pi \in S_n(1243, 2143, 231)$ has $\pi(2) = n$ then $\pi(1) = 1$. It follows that the map from $S_{n-2}(132, 231)$ to $S_n(1243, 2143, 231)$ which carries $\pi$ to $1, n, \hat{\pi}$, where $\hat{\pi}$ is the sequence obtained from $\pi$ by adding one to every entry, is a bijection between $S_{n-2}(132, 231)$ and the set of permutations in $T_3$ which have length $n$. By [17, Proposition 9], the generating function for $S_n(132, 231)$ is $1 + x \left(\frac{x}{1 - 2x}\right)$, so the generating function for $T_3$ is $x^2 \left(1 + \frac{x}{1 - 2x} - 1 - x\right)$. 
Finally, the map from $\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}(1243, 2143, 231)$ to $\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, 231)$ which carries $\pi$ to $\pi, n$ is a bijection between $\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}(1243, 2143, 231)$ and the set of permutations in $T_4$ which have length $n$. Therefore the generating function for $T_4$ is $x(S(x) - 1 - x - 2x^2)$. Combine these observations to find that

$$S(x) = 1 + x + 2x^2 + 5x^3 + x(S(x) - 1 - x - 2x^2) + x^2 \left(1 + \frac{x}{1 - 2x} - 1 - x\right) + x(S(x) - 1 - x - 2x^2).$$

Solve this equation for $S(x)$ to obtain (37).

Line (36) is immediate from (37). $\Box$

Combining Proposition 7.1 with Theorem 6.8 and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 7.3, we find the generating function for $\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, 2314 \ldots k)$ for any $k \geq 4$.

**Proposition 7.2** Set $r_2(x) = (x - 1)^2$ and

$$r_k(x) = (1 - 2x)^2(\sqrt{x}^{k-3}U_{k-3} \left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)) - (1 - x)^2(\sqrt{x}^{k-2}U_{k-4} \left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)) \quad (k \geq 3).$$

Then for all $k \geq 3$ we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, 2314 \ldots k)|x^n = 1 + x \frac{r_{k-1}(x)}{r_k(x)}.$$

Next we consider $\sigma = 321$. We begin with a lemma concerning which products $\pi_1 \ast \pi_2$ avoid 321.

**Lemma 7.3** Fix $i$ and $n$ such that $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$, fix $\pi_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_i(1243, 2143, 321)$, and fix $\pi_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-i}(1243, 2143, 321)$. Then $\pi_1 \ast \pi_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, 321)$ if and only if all of the following hold.

(i) At least one of $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$ begins with 1.

(ii) The entries $2, 3, \ldots, i$ are in increasing order in $\pi_1$.

(iii) The entries $\pi_2(2), \pi_2(3), \ldots, \pi_2(n - i)$ are in increasing order in $\pi_2$.

**Proof.** ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose $\pi_1 \ast \pi_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_n(1243, 2143, 321)$. If (i) does not hold then the entries $(\pi_1 \ast \pi_2)(1), n - i, 1$ form a subsequence of type 321 in $\pi_1 \ast \pi_2$. If (ii) does not hold then the smallest entry to the right of $n$, together with two of the entries among $2, 3, \ldots, i$ which are in decreasing order, form a subsequence of type 321 in $\pi_1 \ast \pi_2$. If (iii) does not hold then the $n$, together with two of the entries among $\pi_2(2), \pi_2(3), \ldots, \pi_2(n - i)$ which are in decreasing order, form a subsequence of type 321 in $\pi_1 \ast \pi_2$. Since we have a contradiction in each case, we find (i), (ii), and (iii) hold.

($\Leftarrow$) By Proposition 7.1(i), the permutation $\pi_1 \ast \pi_2$ avoids 1243 and 2143. Now observe that by (i)–(iii), one of the following holds.

1. There exists $k$, $1 \leq k \leq i - 1$, such that

$$\pi_1 \ast \pi_2 = n - i + 1, n - i + 2, \ldots, n - i + k, 1, n - i + k + 1, \ldots, n - 1, n, 2, 3, \ldots, n - i.$$
2. There exists \( k \), \( 1 \leq k \leq n - i \), such that
\[
\pi_1 \ast \pi_2 = k, n - i + 1, n - i + 2, \ldots, n - 1, n, 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1, k + 1, \ldots, n - i.
\]
It is routine to verify that in either case, \( \pi_1 \ast \pi_2 \) avoids 321. Therefore \( \pi_1 \ast \pi_2 \in S_n(1243, 2143, 321) \), as desired. \( \square \)

We can now enumerate \( S_n(1243, 2143, 321) \).

**Proposition 7.4** For all \( n \geq 1 \) we have
\[
|S_n(1243, 2143, 321)| = \binom{n-1}{0} + \binom{n-1}{1} + 2\binom{n-1}{2} + 2\binom{n-1}{3}.
\]  
Moreover,
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |S_n(1243, 2143, 321)| x^n = 1 + x \frac{1 - 2x + 3x^2}{(1 - x)^4}.
\]

**Proof.** We first prove (38). Let \( S(x) \) denote the generating function on the left side of (38). By Lemma 7.3, we may partition \( S_n(1243, 2143, 321) \) into the following four sets:
\[
T_1 = \{ \pi \in S(1243, 2143, 321) \mid \pi = \emptyset \text{ or } \pi(1) = n \};
\]
\[
T_2 = \{ \pi \in S(1243, 2143, 321) \mid |\pi| \geq 2 \text{ and } \pi(|\pi|) = |\pi| \};
\]
\[
T_3 = \{ \pi \in S(1243, 2143, 321) \mid \pi = \pi_1 \ast \pi_2, |\pi_2| \geq 2, \pi_1(1) = 1 \};
\]
\[
T_4 = \{ \pi \in S(1243, 2143, 321) \mid \pi = \pi_1 \ast \pi_2, |\pi_2| \geq 2, \pi_1(1) \neq 1, \pi_2(1) = 1 \}.
\]
Observe that \( T_1 \) consists of exactly those permutations of the form \( n, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n - 1 \), so the generating function for \( T_1 \) is \( \frac{1}{1 - x} \). Observe that the map from \( S_{n-1}(1243, 2143, 321) \) to \( S_n(1243, 2143, 321) \) which carries \( \pi \) to \( \pi, n \) is a bijection from \( S_{n-1}(1243, 2143, 321) \) to the set of permutations in \( T_2 \) which have length \( n \), so the generating function for \( T_2 \) is \( x(S(x) - 1) \). Observe that if \( \pi = \pi_1 \ast \pi_2 \) and \( \pi \in T_3 \) then \( \pi_1 \) has the form \( 1, 2, \ldots, |\pi_1| \) and \( \pi_2 \) has the form \( k, 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1, k + 1, \ldots, |\pi_2| \) for some \( k, 1 \leq k \leq |\pi_2| \). It follows that the generating function for \( T_3 \) is \( \left( \frac{x}{1 - x} \right) \left( \frac{x}{(1 - x)^2} - x \right) \). Finally, observe that if \( \pi = \pi_1 \ast \pi_2 \) and \( \pi \in T_4 \) then \( \pi_1 \) has the form \( 1, 2, \ldots, |\pi_1| \) and there exists \( k, 2 \leq k \leq |\pi_1| \), such that \( \pi_1 \) has the form \( 2, 3, \ldots, k, 1, k + 1, \ldots, |\pi_1| \). It follows that the generating function for \( T_4 \) is \( \left( \frac{x^2}{(1 - x)^2} \right) \left( \frac{x^2}{1 - x} \right) \). Combine these observations to find that
\[
S(x) = \frac{1}{1 - x} + x(S(x) - 1) + \frac{x^2}{(1 - x)^3} - \frac{x^2}{1 - x} + \frac{x^4}{(1 - x)^3}.
\]
Solve this equation for \( S(x) \) to obtain (39). Line (38) is immediate from (39). \( \square \)

Combining Proposition 7.4 with Theorem 6.8 and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 7.3, we find the generating function for \( S_n(1243, 2143, 3214, \ldots k) \) for any \( k \geq 4 \).
Proposition 7.5 For all \( k \geq 2 \), set

\[
\begin{align*}
r_k(x) &= -(1 + 2x - x^2)(\sqrt{x})^{k+2}U_k\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right) + (x^4 - 4x^3 + 2x^2 + 1)(\sqrt{x})^{k-1}U_{k-1}\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right).
\end{align*}
\]

Then for all \( k \geq 3 \) we have

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |S_n(1243, 2143, 3214 \ldots k)| x^n = 1 + \frac{r_{k-1}(x)}{r_k(x)}.
\]

At the end of Section 3 we remarked that the results of that section can be generalized to \( S(k) \), where \( T_k \) is the set of all permutations in \( S_k \) which end with \( k, k-1 \). Our results in this section build almost exclusively on the results of Section 3, so they can also be generalized to \( S(T_k) \).

8 Permutations in \( S(1243, 2143) \) Which Contain Another Pattern

In Section 7 we used Theorem 6.8 to obtain generating functions for \( S_n(1243, 2143, \sigma) \) for various \( \sigma \); these results are elaborations on Theorem 6.5. In this section we prove an analogue of Theorem 6.8 for permutations in \( S_n(1243, 2143) \) which contain a given pattern \( \sigma \) exactly once. We then use this result to prove several results which elaborate on Theorem 6.11. We begin by setting some notation.

Definition 8.1 Fix a nonempty permutation \( \tau \in S(1243, 2143) \) and set \( k = |\tau| + 1 \). We write \( G_{\tau}(x) \) to denote the generating function for those permutations in \( S(1243, 2143) \) which contain exactly one subsequence of type \( \tau \). We write \( H_{\tau}(x) \) to denote the generating function for those permutations in \( S(1243, 2143) \) which avoid \( \tau \). We write \( J_{\tau}(x) \) to denote the generating function for those permutations in \( S(1243, 2143) \) which avoid \( \tau, k \) and contain exactly one subsequence of type \( \tau \).

Our analogue of Theorem 6.8 is the following result, which gives \( G_{\tau,k}(x) \) in terms of \( J_{\tau}(x) \) and \( H_{\tau}(x) \).

Theorem 8.2 Fix a nonempty permutation \( \tau \in S(1243, 2143) \) and set \( k = |\tau| + 1 \). Then

\[
G_{\tau,k}(x) = \frac{xJ_{\tau}(x)}{(2-x-H_{\tau}(x))^2}.
\] (40)

Proof. Observe that we may partition the set of permutations in \( S(1243, 2143) \) which contain exactly one subsequence of type \( \tau, k \) into the following three subsets.

\[
T_1 = \{ \pi \mid \pi \text{ begins with } |\pi| \};
\]

\[
T_2 = \{ \pi \mid \pi = \pi_1 \ast \pi_2; \pi_1 \text{ contains exactly one } \tau \text{ and no } \tau, k; \pi_2 \text{ avoids } \tau, k \};
\]

\[
T_3 = \{ \pi \mid \pi = \pi_1 \ast \pi_2; \pi_1 \text{ avoids } \tau; \pi_2 \text{ contains exactly one } \tau, k \}.
\]
The generating function for $T_1$ is $xG_{\tau,k}(x)$, the generating function for $T_2$ is $J_\tau(x)(H_{\tau,k}(x)-1)$, and the generating function for $T_3$ is $G_{\tau,k}(x)(H_{\tau}(x)-1)$. Combine these observations to find that

$$G_{\tau,k}(x) = xG_{\tau,k}(x) + J_\tau(x)(H_{\tau,k}(x)-1) + G_{\tau,k}(x)(H_{\tau}(x)-1).$$

Solve this last equation for $G_{\tau,k}(x)$ and use Theorem 6.8 to eliminate $H_{\tau,k}(x)$. Simplify the result to obtain (40).

Theorem 8.2 is particularly useful when $J_\tau(x) = G_\tau(x)$; next we describe for which $\tau$ this occurs.

**Proposition 8.3** Fix a permutation $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}(1243, 2143)$ such that $|\tau| \geq 1$. Then $J_\tau(x) = G_\tau(x)$ if and only if the last entry of $\tau$ is $|\tau|$. 

**Proof.** ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose $J_\tau(x) = G_\tau(x)$ and observe that this implies that if $\pi$ contains exactly one subsequence of type $\tau$ then $\pi$ avoids $\tau, |\tau|+1$. However, if the last entry of $\tau$ is not $|\tau|$ then $\tau, |\tau|+1$ itself contains exactly one subsequence of type $\tau$ and does not avoid $\tau, |\tau|+1$. This contradiction implies that the last entry of $\tau$ is $|\tau|$.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose the last entry of $\tau$ is $|\tau|$. If $\pi$ contains $\tau$ and $\tau, |\tau|+1$ then $\pi$ contains at least two subsequences of type $\tau$. Therefore if $\pi$ contains exactly one subsequence of type $\tau$ then it avoids $\tau, |\tau|+1$; it follows that $J_\tau(x) = G_\tau(x)$. □

Using Theorem 8.2 and Proposition 8.3, one can compute $G_\sigma(x)$ for several infinite families of permutations. Nevertheless, we content ourselves here with computing $G_{12\ldots k}(x)$ and $G_{213\ldots k}(x)$. We begin by computing $G_{12\ldots k}(x)$, which amounts to giving another proof of Corollary 6.15.

**Another Proof of Corollary 6.15** We argue by induction on $k$. To prove the result for $k = 2$, set $\tau = 1$ in (40) and observe that $J_1(x) = x$ and $H_1(x) = 1$. Now suppose the result holds for $k - 1$. Using (40), Proposition 8.3, (25), and (24) we find

$$G_{12\ldots k}(x) = \frac{xG_{12\ldots k-1}(x)}{\left(1 - x - x\frac{U_{k-3}\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)}{\sqrt{x}U_{k-2}\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)}\right)^2}.$$

$$= \frac{x}{\left(\frac{1-x}{\sqrt{x}}U_{k-2}\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right) - U_{k-3}\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)\right)^2}.$$

$$= \frac{x}{\left(U_{k-1}\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)\right)^2},$$

as desired. □

We now compute $G_{213\ldots k}(x)$.

**Proposition 8.4** For all $k \geq 3$ we have

$$G_{213\ldots k}(x) = \frac{x(1+x)(1-x)^2}{U_{k-1}\left(\frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}}\right)^2}, \quad (41)$$
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Proof. First observe that the only permutations in $S(1243, 2143)$ which contain exactly one subsequence of type 21 and do not contain a subsequence of type 213 are 21 and 132, so $J_{21}(x) = x^2 + x^3$. Now the result follows from (40), Proposition 8.3, and (24) by induction on $k$. 

We conclude this section by showing that in a certain sense, there is no analogue of $\varphi$ which behaves as nicely with respect to $213 \ldots k$ as $\varphi$ does with respect to $12 \ldots k$.

**Corollary 8.5** There is no bijection $\omega : S_n \rightarrow S_{n+1}(1243, 2143)$ such that for every $\pi \in S_n$, the quantity $\tau_k(\pi)$ is equal to the number of subsequences of type $213 \ldots k$ in $\omega(\pi)$.

**Proof.** If such a bijection existed then we would have $G_{12 \ldots k}(x) = G_{213 \ldots k}(x)$ for all $k \geq 3$, which contradicts (35) and (41). 

We remark that one can also prove Corollary 8.5 by observing that if such a bijection existed, then there would be the same number of permutations in $S_n(1243, 2143)$ with exactly one subsequence of type 123 as there are containing exactly one subsequence of type 213. However, there are 6 of the former (2314, 1423, 2341, 1342, 4123, 3124) and only 5 of the latter (3241, 2413, 1324, 3142, 4213). As this example illustrates, subsequences of type $213 \ldots k$ are more plentiful in $S_n(1243, 2143)$ than subsequences of type $12 \ldots k$.

## 9 Open Problems

We conclude with some open problems suggested by the results in this paper.

1. In view of (25), (26) and Corollary 4.3 there exists a bijection $\rho : S_n \rightarrow S_{n+1}(1243, 2143)$ such that for all $k \geq 2$, the Schröder path $\pi$ has height $k - 2$ or less if and only if $\rho(\pi)$ avoids $213 \ldots k$. Give a combinatorial description of such a bijection. Then give a combinatorial description of the statistic $\alpha_k$, where $\alpha_k(\pi) = \tau_k(\rho^{-1}(\pi))$ for all $\pi \in S_n(1243, 2143)$. Alternatively, give a bijection $\omega : S_n(1243, 2143) \rightarrow S_n(1243, 2143)$ such that for all $k \geq 0$ and any permutation $\pi \in S_n(1243, 2143)$, we have that $\pi$ avoids $12 \ldots k$ if and only if $\omega(\pi)$ avoids $213 \ldots k$.

2. For any permutation $\pi \in S_n(1243, 2143)$ let $T_\pi(x)$ denote the generating function given by

$$T_\pi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |S_n(1243, 2143, \pi)| x^n.$$

Then the case of Theorem 6.8 in which $|R| = 1$ amounts to a recurrence relation giving $T_{\pi_1}(x)$ in terms of $T_\pi(x)$. Find similar relations for $T_{\pi_1 \pi_2}(x)$ in terms of $T_{\pi_1}(x)$ and $T_{\pi_2}(x)$ and for $T_{n \pi}(x)$ in terms of $T_\pi(x)$. Alternatively, find an analogue of [14, Theorem 2.1] for $S(1243, 2143)$.

3. Give a combinatorial proof of the fact that

$$|S_n(1243, 2143, 231)| = (n + 2)2^{n-3} \quad (n \geq 2).$$
4. Give a combinatorial proof of the fact that

\[ |\mathcal{S}_n(1243, 2143, 321)| = \binom{n-1}{0} + \binom{n-1}{1} + 2\binom{n-1}{2} + 2\binom{n-1}{3} \quad (n \geq 1). \]

5. For \( k \geq 3 \) and \( r \geq 2 \), find the generating function for the number of permutations in \( \mathcal{S}_n(1243, 2143) \) which contain exactly \( r \) subsequences of type 213\ldots k.
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