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ABSTRACT

Anecdotally, wild type (WT) Puerto Rican Holstein cows perform poorly in hot weather compared with their short-haired (SLICK) counterparts, but scientific evidence supporting this claim is limited. Thus, comparisons of their vaginal temperature (VT), sweat gland size (SGS) and milk production (MP) values were made. Data were analyzed by Proc GLIMMIX and REG (SAS). During the cool season (March 2014) VT was similar in WT and SLICK cows (38.71±0.09 and 38.71±0.08 °C, respectively; P=0.9634). However, in the intermediate air temperatures (AT) season (April 2014), WT cows presented VT values 0.25° C higher than their SLICK counterparts from 1900-2100h (P=0.0213). Moreover, in the hot season (August 2015) VT was greater in WT than in SLICK cows by 0.31° C from 1800-0700h and 0900-1600h (P=0.0032), and by 0.20° C at 0800h (P=0.0584) and 1700h (P=0.0619). The VT increased linearly until AT reached 30.5° C in both WT (0.10° C per 1° C of AT; P<0.0001) and SLICK cows (0.08° C per 1° C of AT; P<0.0001). After 30.5° C, no further VT-AT relationship was observed. The WT had smaller SGS (232±12 vs. 315±9 µm perimeter; P=0.0024) and smaller MP (17.11±0.63 vs. 20.26±1.28 kg/d; P=0.0288) than the SLICK cows. The SLICK cows demonstrated superior thermoregulatory capacity, which seems to depend directly on the severity of the AT.

Key words: Puerto Rican slick-haired Holstein cow, thermoregulation, heat stress

$^1$Manuscript submitted to Editorial Board 21 September 2018.
$^2$The author acknowledges the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch initiative (Project H-452) for providing the economic support for this work. The assistance in data collection of Amneris Castro-Ramos, Zully Contreras-Correa, Gladycia Muñiz-Colón, Viviana Colón-González, Jessica Muñiz-Cruz, Jhoan Santiago-Rodríguez, Stacey Ruiz-Ríos, Gabriela Soriano-Varela, Nashaly Cortés-Viruet, Ángel Jiménez-Arroyo and Glorianne Jiménez-Arroyo is highly appreciated. The author also expresses his gratitude to the staff of the Laboratorio de Investigaciones Pesqueras, Departamento de Recursos Naturales y Ambientales in Cabo Rojo, Puerto Rico (Noemí Peña-Alvarado and Wilfredo Torres-Ruiz) for their assistance in preparing the microscopic slides and to José Almodóvar-Rivera (University of Puerto Rico at Mayagüez Campus, Department of Biology) for his assistance in the microscopic analysis.
$^3$Associate Professor, Animal Science Department, University of Puerto Rico at Mayagüez.
RESUMEN
Comparación de la termorregulación y productividad de vacas Holstein puertorriqueñas de pelaje normal y de pelo corto

Las vacas Holstein puertorriqueñas de pelaje normal (REGULARES), a través de anécdotas, han sido asociadas con un desempeño inferior al de vacas similares de pelo corto (PELONAS) cuando se exponen a un ambiente caliente. Sin embargo, existe poca evidencia científica al respecto. Por esto, se compararon sus temperaturas vaginales (TV), tamaño de glándulas de sudor (TGS) y producción de leche (PL). Los datos se analizaron mediante los procedimientos GLIMMIX y REG (SAS). Durante la época fresca (marzo 2014) se observaron valores similares de TV en vacas REGULARES y PELONAS (38.71±0.09 y 38.71±0.08°C, respectivamente; P=0.9634). Sin embargo, en la época con temperatura del aire (TA) intermedia (abril 2014) las vacas REGULARES presentaron TV 0.25°C mayores que las PELONAS de 1900 a 2100h (P=0.0213). Aún más, en la época caliente (agosto 2015) las vacas REGULARES presentaron valores de TV mayores que las PELONAS por 0.31°C de 1800 a 0700h y de 0900 a 1600h (P=0.0032) y por 0.20°C a las 0800h (P=0.0584) y 1700h (P=0.0619). La TV aumentó linealmente hasta que se alcanzaron los 30.5°C de TA, tanto en vacas REGULARES (0.10°C por 1°C de TA; P<0.0001) como en PELONAS (0.08°C por 1°C de TA; P<0.0001). Después de 30.5°C de TA esta asociación desapareció. Las vacas REGULARES tuvieron menores TGS (232±12 vs. 315±9 μm de perímetro; P=0.0024) y de PL (17.11±0.63 vs. 20.26±1.28 kg/d; P=0.0288) que las PELONAS. Las vacas PELONAS mostraron una capacidad termorreguladora superior, la cual parece depender directamente de la severidad de la TA.

Palabras clave: vacas Holstein pelonas puertorriqueñas, termorregulación, estrés por calor

INTRODUCTION
Puerto Rico’s tropical weather is characterized by chronically elevated air temperature (AT) and humidity (Daly et al., 2003). In cattle, such environmental conditions are known to result in heat stress, which negatively impacts feed intake, milk production (Kadzere et al., 2002; West, 2003) and reproduction (Jordan, 2003). Unfortunately, Puerto Rico’s dairy industry relies exclusively on temperate Bos taurus cattle (e.g., Holstein), which are highly susceptible to heat stress, compared with tropically adapted cattle (Sánchez-Rodriguez, 2019). Therefore, attempts to improve productivity under such environmental conditions are imperative.

Fortunately, besides the wild type-haired cows (WT; with long and dense hair coats), a short and sleek-haired phenotype (SLICK) also exists among Puerto Rico’s dairy cattle. These SLICK cattle originated from crossbreeding the Puerto Rican Criollo cows with improved dairy genetics beginning in the 1950s (Sánchez-Rodriguez, 2019). These Criollo cows had the short-haired phenotype (Sánchez-Rodriguez, 2019), which is believed to be a dominant trait (Olson et al., 2003), allowing this characteristic to be inherited until today in a portion of Puerto Rico’s...
dairy cattle population. Since then, an intense artificial insemination program, as well as natural breeding with imported bulls, has continually added more Holstein genes to these animals to the point that today there are SLICK Puerto Rican Holsteins registered under this breed in association herd books in the USA.

During recent years, an enormous interest in SLICK dairy cattle has developed based on the belief of superior performance in hot weather compared with similar WT cattle. In fact, in other breeds or crosses from different countries, having such a short hair coat has been associated with superior thermoregulation (Olson et al., 2003; Dikmen et al., 2008; Dikmen et al., 2014). Moreover, besides presenting a shorter hair coat, tropically adapted cattle also have larger sweat glands (Finch, 1986), hematocrit values (Gaztambide, 1974; Turner, 1980) and milk yields (Olson et al., 2003). In Puerto Rico, however, the assumption of a better performance in the SLICK animals has been based mostly on anecdotes, and the amount of scientific research evaluating such possible differences has been highly limited. Thus, this manuscript aims to present a series of scientific comparisons between both phenotypes in terms of thermoregulation, sweat gland dimensions, hematocrit values and milk production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This manuscript compiles the principal results obtained under project H-452 (USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch funding) and presented preliminarily in posters by Sánchez-Rodríguez et al. (2015), Contreras-Correa et al. (2016), Sánchez-Rodríguez et al. (2016), Contreras-Correa et al. (2017), Sánchez and Domenech (2018) and Muñiz-Cruz et al. (2018). All performed trials were approved by the University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez Campus, Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Proposal number 20140711A).

Vaginal temperature comparisons between phenotypes

Trials

In order to compare the vaginal temperatures (VT; as an index of thermoregulatory capacity) of WT and SLICK cows, three trials were carried out. Trial dates were chosen according to Daly et al. (2003) data [who averaged Puerto Rico’s AT monthly values from 1963-1995 (Figure 1)], and the availability of the animals. In order to compare hair coat type thermoregulation during the cool, intermediate and hot seasons of the year, trials were carried out during March 2014, April 2014 and August 2015, respectively. Table 1 provides the AT ranges and duration of each trial.
Description of the evaluated cows are provided in Table 1. For the cool, intermediate and hot seasons a total of nine (4 WT and 5 SLICK), 10 (5 WT and 5 SLICK) and 24 (11 WT and 13 SLICK) non-pregnant lactating Holstein cows were evaluated, respectively. All cows were obtained from the experimental herd at the Agricultural Experiment Station of the University of Puerto Rico in Lajas. Cows were first phenotypically chosen and then genomically confirmed for hair coat type. Phenotypic classifications were made visually by the author, where cows with a short, sometimes glossy hair coat all around their bodies were classified as SLICK and cows with the normal Holstein hair coat were classified as WT. The genomic classifications were kindly provided by Dr. Melvin Pagán (University of Puerto Rico at Mayagüez) who had already classified the herd based on the procedures previously described by Littlejohn et al. (2014). Cows that visually presented an intermediate hair coat length or whose phenotypic and genomic classifications differed were excluded from the study. Regular management practices at the farm were maintained during all trials. Cows were milked twice daily, at 0300 and 1500h, and commercial concentrate feed was provided before each milking (approximately 3 kg/cow/milk-
Table 1.—Descriptive statistics for animals and environmental conditions in the trials for thermoregulatory evaluations.

| Season     | Hair Coat | n  | Lactations | Days in Milk | Trial Duration, d | Mean Air Temperature, °C | Minimum Air Temperature, °C | Maximum Air Temperature, °C |
|------------|-----------|----|------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Cool       | WT        | 4  | 3.8±2.16   | 169.8±49     | 8                 | 23.52                    | 18.78                       | 29.24                       |
| (March 2014)| SLICK     | 5  | 3.0±2.09   | 146.6±63     |                   |                          |                             |                             |
| Intermediate| WT       | 5  | 3.0±2.10   | 173.2±42     | 8                 | 24.96                    | 20.25                       | 29.97                       |
| (April 2014)| SLICK     | 5  | 2.8±1.72   | 163.8±43     |                   |                          |                             |                             |
| Hot        | WT        | 11 | 2.06±1.44  | 176.31±33    | 7                 | 27.48                    | 20.97                       | 34.05                       |
| (August 2015)| SLICK   | 13 | 2.19±0.96  | 175.62±43    |                   |                          |                             |                             |

WT = wild type-haired Holstein cows.
SLICK = slick-haired Holstein cows.
ing). Cows were brought to the feeding facilities 30 to 60 minutes prior to milking and udders were washed while concentrate was offered. During the remaining daily period, cows were kept in a paddock with native tropical grasses. Water was provided ad libitum in all facilities. Due to the changes in the availability of lactating non-pregnant cows that normally occur as lactation progresses, all trials were carried out with the animals available at the respective trial time.

**Air temperature**

Air temperature was recorded in each trial by two data loggers (HOBO Pro v2 temp/RH Data Logger; Onset Computer Corporation; Bourne, MA, USA) located at the feeding barn. Mean, minimum and maximum observed AT values for each trial are provided in Table 1. Data loggers recorded AT values every 5 minutes for the total duration of the trial (Table 1).

**Vaginal temperature**

The day before the trials began, each cow received a waterproof data logger (TidbiT v2 Water Temperature Data Logger; Onset Computer Corporation; Bourne, MA, USA) tied to a controlled internal drug release device (CIDR; Pfizer Ireland, Dublin, Ireland). Data loggers and CIDRs were implanted intravaginally following aseptic practices. Before attaching the data loggers, CIDRs were washed with alcohol, water and soap and autoclaved. Immediately before intravaginal insertion, the data loggers + CIDRs and external genitalia of each cow were washed with surgical soap (Stone Surgical Soap, Stone Mfg & Supply, KC, MO, USA) and disinfected with a chlorhexidine solution (Nolvasan, Fort Dodge Animal Health, Fort Dodge, IA, USA). Everything was dried with a clean paper towel, and sterile lubricant (K-Y Jelly, Reckitt Benkshire Group, Slough, Berkshire, UK) was applied to the data logger and CIDR immediately before its insertion by means of a CIDR applicator gun. Vaginal data loggers were programmed to record temperature data every 5 minutes in synchrony with the AT data loggers.

The VT data were analyzed by PROC GLIMMIX in SAS (SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Before comparisons between hair coat types were made, the VT data were averaged by hour in order to obtain 24 values/cow/day. The average VT was included as the dependent variable in the model, while time of day and hair coat type were considered

---
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fixed effects. Cow identification numbers were included as a random effect. The CORR procedure was used to evaluate the associations between the AT and VT in each hair coat type group and trial in the raw data (every 5 minutes). Results are reported as mean ± standard error of the mean. Significant differences were detected at a P≤0.05.

**Vaginal and air temperatures regressions**

During the hot season trial (August 2015), the association between the VT and AT was assessed in each hair coat type group by the GLM and REG procedures in SAS. Data were averaged to obtain one value by hair coat group every 5 min. Regressions were made in order to study the critical AT values where cows were not able to further regulate efficiently their VT. First, the curve that best fits the relationship between VT and AT in each hair coat type group in the complete dataset was determined. Second, in the first segment of each hair coat group’s curve, corresponding AT and VT values were progressively added to a linear regression until a considerable change in the coefficient of determination (R²) was observed (the curves are not linear anymore). The AT value where the R² changed considerably was considered critical for thermoregulation.

**Sweat gland comparisons**

Table 2 contains descriptive statistics for the cows used in two different trials for comparing sweat glands between hair coat groups. A total of 14 (7 WT and 7 SLICK) and 17 (8 WT and 9 SLICK) lactating Holstein cows were used in the first and second trials, respectively. Cows were first phenotypically chosen and then genomically confirmed for hair coat type, as aforementioned. All cows were obtained from the experimental herd at the Agricultural Experiment Station of the Uni-

| Trial               | Hair coat | n  | Lactations  |
|---------------------|-----------|----|-------------|
| Trial 1             |           |    |             |
| Sweat glands        | WT        | 7  | 2.28±0.64   |
|                     | SLICK     | 7  | 2.86±0.51   |
| Trial 2             |           |    |             |
| Sweat glands        | WT        | 8  | 2.39±0.17   |
|                     | SLICK     | 9  | 3.71±0.26   |
| Hematocrit and milk production | WT | 35 | 1.94±0.25 |
|                     | SLICK     | 29 | 2.17±0.22   |

WT = wild type-haired Holstein cows.
SLICK = slick-haired Holstein cows.
University of Puerto Rico in Lajas. From each cow, two and one skin biopsies (6 mm in diameter) were collected immediately cranial to the right shoulder after local anesthesia infiltration in Trials 1 and 2, respectively. Biopsies were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned perpendicular to the skin surface (histological sections of 7 µm in thickness), and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (Figure 2). In Trial 1, from each biopsy, one microscopic slide was prepared containing three histological sections. In Trial 2, a total of six histological sections per cow were included in a microscopic slide. Microscopic images (4×) were analyzed by the NIS Element D software (Nikon, Melville, NY), evaluating all the cross-sectional cuts of sweat glands per histological section (39.01±11.97 cuts/section). In Trial 1 the cross-sectional cuts of sweat glands area (SGA) and perimeter (SGP), as well as the skin thickness (ST; from the epidermis to the deep layer of the dermis) were determined. The ST was measured in triplicate from each histological section. In Trial 2, only SGA was recorded. In Trials 1 and 2, data were averaged by microscopic slide and by skin cut, respectively. Averaged data were analyzed using the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS. The sweat gland dimensions and ST were included as dependent

Figure 2. Microscopic image of a histological section of a cow's skin. Note the arrow pointing to one of the sweat gland cross-sectional cuts.
variables of the model, while the hair coat type was considered a fixed effect. The cow identification numbers were included as a random effect. Differences were detected at a significance level of $P \leq 0.05$.

**Hematocrit and milk production values**

The descriptive statistics for the cows included in the hematocrit and milk production comparisons are provided in Table 2. Additionally, WT and SLICK groups were balanced by body weight (538.25±12 vs. 570.31±16 kg; $P=0.5098$), DIM (187.57±16 vs. 186.90±18; $P=0.9992$), and lactation number (1.94±0.25 vs. 2.17±0.22; $P=0.9984$). Cows belonging to the experimental herd at the Agricultural Experiment Station of the University of Puerto Rico in Lajas were phenotypically chosen and genomically confirmed for hair coat type, as previously described.

Coccygeal blood samples (1/cow) were obtained in 6 mL Lithium Heparin collection tubes (BD Vacutainer, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Once sealed, from each vacutainer, three StatSpin® micro-HCT tubes (heparinized glass; StatSpin Technologies, Norwood, MA) were filled with blood by capillary force and spun in a StatSpin® microcentrifuge (120 seconds, 2,500 rpm; StatSpin Technologies, Norwood, MA). The micro-HCT tubes were evaluated in a StatSpin® Illuminated micro-HCT reader. The micro-hematocrit values were determined as the portion of the total blood volume occupied by red blood cells. All blood samples were collected in the morning (0700 - 0800 h), after the first milking and with all cows having ad libitum access to drinking water. Hematocrit data were recorded in triplicate and averaged by cow. Additionally, daily milk production data (averaged from the week before hematocrit sampling) was obtained from the AfiFarm herd management software (S. A. E. Afikim, Kibbutz Afikim, Israel).

Data were analyzed using the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS. The hematocrit and milk production values were included as the dependent variables in the model. Hair coat type and the cow identification numbers were considered the fixed and random effects of the model, respectively. Differences were detected at $P \leq 0.05$.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Vaginal temperature comparisons**

Figure 3 presents the VT trends observed during the cool season. No differences in VT were observed between WT and SLICK cows (38.71±0.09 and 38.71±0.08 °C, respectively; $P=0.9634$). However, during the intermediate season (Figure 4), hair coat type and time of day
interacted to affect VT (P<0.0001) with WT cows presenting VT values 0.25°C greater than SLICK cows from 1900 to 2100 h (P=0.0213). In the remaining daily period (2200 to 1800 h) WT and SLICK cows presented similar VT values (38.68±0.10 and 38.60±0.07°C on average, respectively; P=0.3924). Moreover, during the hot season (Figure 5) there was also an interaction between hair coat type and time of day affecting the VT (P=0.0026) with WT cows presenting, on average, 0.31°C greater VT values than their SLICK counterparts from 1800 to 0700 h and from 0900 to 1600 h (P=0.0032). During the 0800 h (P=0.0584) and 1700 h (P=0.0619) VT values tended to be, on average, 0.20°C greater in WT than in SLICK cows.

Similar to the present cool season trial, another study from our group (Castro et al., 2015) did not find differences in VT between WT and SLICK Puerto Rican Jersey cows in December 2014. In fact, the trials that previously reported body temperature differences between hair coat types in the literature, have always been performed during the hot season of the year. Dikmen et al. (2008) and Dikmen et al. (2014) reported higher VT values in WT than in SLICK Holstein cows (derived from Senepol x Holstein crossbreeds) during the hot season (July - August) in Florida, USA. Also in Florida, Olson et al. (2003) observed greater rectal temperatures in WT beef calves [Angus purebreds or Angus x (Sene-
Figure 4. Vaginal temperature trends in wild type (WT) and slick-haired (SLICK) Holstein cows during the intermediate season (April 2014). *Hair coat type x Daily time ($P<0.0001$).

Figure 5. Vaginal temperature trends in wild type (WT) and slick-haired (SLICK) Holstein cows during the hot season (August 2015). *Time x Hair type ($P=0.0026$); Hair type ($P=0.0032$); Time ($P<0.0001$).
pol x Hereford) crossbred] than in their crossbred SLICK counterparts, during the hot season (July - August). However, even when Castro et al. (2015) did not observe VT differences during the cool season, they reported greater respiration rates in the WT than in the SLICK Jersey cows, suggesting a greater effort to maintain thermal homeostasis in the first group. In fact, in the present study the correlation coefficients between the VT and AT show a greater environmental impact on the WT than on the SLICK cows in all three seasons (Table 3). The physical barrier created between the skin and the environment by the hair coat limits heat dissipation by trapping water vapor in WT *Bos taurus* cattle exposed to hot and humid weather (Finch, 1986). Therefore, WT cows may require additional effort to maintain thermal homeostasis under our environmental conditions during the cool season. However, it seems that during hot weather, such increased respiratory rate may not be enough to avoid an increase in body temperature in WT cows. Thus, the magnitude of the differences in body temperature between hair coat types seems to be directly associated with the severity of the environmental conditions surrounding the animal.

Contrary to our study, however, Olson et al. (2003) reported greater rectal temperatures in WT beef calves than in their SLICK counterparts during the cool season (November and December) in Florida, USA. Since Florida has relatively cold winters (in contrast to Puerto Rico), it is possible that the evaluated WT beef calves had a consider-

### Table 3. Pearson coefficients of correlation between air and vaginal temperature in wild type (WT) and slick-haired (SLICK) Holstein cows during the seasons evaluated.

|                  | Cool season (March 2014) Vaginal temperature | Intermediate season (April 2014) Vaginal temperature | Hot season (August 2015) Vaginal temperature |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                  | WT                                          | SLICK                                             | WT                                          | SLICK                                         |
| **Air temperature** | 0.53                                        | 0.46                                              | 0.64                                        | 0.61                                          |
|                   | 0.0074                                      | 0.0223                                            | <0.0001                                     | 0.0004                                        |
| **Air temperature** | 0.74                                        | 0.66                                              | 0.64                                        | 0.61                                          |
|                   | <0.0001                                     | <0.0001                                           | <0.0001                                     | <0.0001                                        |

The presented values are the Pearson coefficients of correlation over their respective P-Values.
ably limited adaptation to hot weather, allowing further advantages associated with the SLICK phenotype to be observed during the cool season. Bligh and Johnson (1973) defined the term adaptation as “a change which reduces the physiological strain produced by a stressful component of the total environment” that may be “the result of genetic selection”. In this regard, a considerable part of the WT Puerto Rican dairy cattle population has been on the island for generations; thus, although to a smaller degree than in the SLICK cows (Table 3), considerable genetic selection and adaptation to the hot and humid tropical environmental conditions may be reasonably expected in these animals (Sánchez, 2019). This may help to explain the lack of VT differences between hair coat types during the cool season.

**Vaginal and air temperature regressions**

Due to the considerable effects that heat stress exerts on cattle productivity, several authors have evaluated the critical thresholds in the environmental conditions where bovines cannot further maintain thermal homeostasis. In *Bos taurus* dairy cattle under desert conditions (Berman, 1971; Berman et al., 1985) and beef cattle under temperate weather conditions (Lefcourt and Adams, 1996), these studies have concluded that once the AT reaches 25-26°C, the animal cannot further maintain a steady body temperature, and a linear increase is observed in this variable. Figure 6 shows the regressions between

![Figure 6. Regressions between the vaginal and air temperatures in wild type (WT) and slick-haired (SLICK) Holstein cows during the hot season (August 2015).](image)
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\[
y = -0.0063x^2 + 0.4061x + 32.442
\]

R² = 0.4505
VT and AT in the evaluated hair coat groups during the hot season. For WT cows, the relationship between AT and VT was best described by a quadratic curve, where $VT = -0.0098 AT^2 + 0.6026 AT + 30.162$ ($R^2=0.44; P<0.0001$). In the SLICK cows the respective regression was also best explained by the quadratic relationship: $VT = -0.0063 AT^2 + 0.4061 AT + 32.442$ ($R^2=0.45; P<0.0001$). These quadratic trends were the result of two linear segments. The VT values linearly increased in both, WT (0.10°C per 1°C of AT; $P<0.0001; R^2=0.42$) and SLICK cows (0.08°C per 1°C of AT; $P<0.0001; R^2=0.43$) until the AT reached 30.5°C. After this critical value, VT was no longer affected by AT, for neither WT ($P=0.0602; R^2=0.006$) nor SLICK cows ($P=0.6536; R^2=0.0003$). Thus, Puerto Rican Holstein cows seems to withstand AT values considerably higher than those previously reported as critical for thermoregulation in bovines.

Besides the aforementioned considerable adaptation of the Puerto Rican WT cattle, their SLICK counterparts descend from our Criollo cattle, where the Holstein genes have been intensively introduced mostly through artificial insemination for over 70 years (Sánchez, 2019). The Criollo cattle (with Spanish origins) developed after several centuries of mostly natural selection in Puerto Rico and other Hispanic countries in America (Rouse, 1977; McTavish et al., 2013), becoming highly adapted to the tropical weather (Cestero, 1947; Bethancourt and Toribio, 2013; Huson et al., 2014). Thus, the actual SLICK dairy animals would have inherited other genes associated with adaptation to hot weather, and the critical environmental thresholds previously established in the literature for *Bos taurus* cattle thermoregulation do not represent the reality of the Puerto Rican cattle.

*Sweat gland comparisons*

A shorter hair coat may be an important characteristic allowing for a greater heat dissipation in SLICK cows during hot weather. However, such superior thermoregulatory capacity may not only be attributed to a shorter hair coat since it must be multifactorial in nature. In fact, Finch (1986) also established that tropically adapted cattle should be able to increase their sweating rate as body temperature increases in an attempt to maintain thermal homeostasis. In this regard, two trials comparing the sweat gland-related dimensions between hair coat groups are presented in Table 4. The first trial showed smaller values of SGA (3,169±306 vs. 6,009±335 µm²; $P=0.0008$), SGP (232±12 vs. 315±9 µm; $P=0.0024$) and ST (808±23 vs. 1,006±34 µm; $P=0.0027$) in the WT cows, when compared with their SLICK counterparts. This trend was confirmed in the second trial where the SGA values were also smaller in the WT than in the SLICK cows (4,902.67±588 and
These results are consistent with those previously published by others who have established that tropically adapted Bos indicus (Hansen, 2004; Jian et al., 2014) and Bos taurus cattle (Carvalho et al., 1995; Ribeiro, 2008 as reviewed by Fernandes) have larger sweat glands than WT temperate Bos taurus breeds. According to Jian et al. (2014), a larger sweat gland size is accompanied by a greater sweating capacity in Bos indicus cattle. If such a trend exists in SLICK Holstein cattle, as reported by Dikmen et al. (2014) in cows derived from Senepol x Holstein crossbreds, a larger sweat gland may represent a better heat dissipation through evaporation, thus helping to explain the aforementioned differences in body temperature between hair coat groups.

**Hematocrit values**

Several previous authors have suggested a higher hematocrit level as one of the adaptations that make tropical cattle able to withstand difficult environmental conditions (Gaztambide, 1974; Turner, 1980). In their article, Hernández et al. (2002) reviewed two possible explanations for such thermoregulatory capacity. A greater hematocrit may

**Table 4.** Sweat gland, hematocrit and milk production comparisons between wild type (WT) and slick-haired (SLICK) Holstein cows.

| Trial 1 Sweat glands | WT (n=7) | SLICK (n=7) | P-Value |
|----------------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| Skin thickness, μm   | 808 ± 23 | 1,006 ± 34  | 0.0027  |
| Sweat gland area, μm²| 3,169 ± 306 | 6,009 ± 335 | 0.0008  |
| Sweat gland perimeter, μm | 232 ± 12 | 315 ± 9   | 0.0024  |

| Trial 2 Sweat glands | WT (n=8) | SLICK (n=9) | P-Value |
|----------------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| Sweat gland area, μm²| 4,902.67 ± 588 | 6,946.16 ± 623 | 0.0307  |

| Hematocrit trial | WT (n=35) | SLICK (n=29) | P-Value |
|------------------|-----------|--------------|---------|
| Hematocrit, %    | 29.30 ± 0.46 | 29.79 ± 0.49  | 0.4040  |

| Milk production trial | WT (n=35) | SLICK (n=29) | P-Value |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|---------|
| Milk Production, kg/d | 17.11 ± 0.63 | 20.26 ± 1.28 | 0.0288  |

The sweat gland area and perimeter were obtained from cross-sectional cuts of sweat glands on histological sections in a microscopic slide.

6,946.16±623 μm², respectively; P=0.0307). These results are consistent with those previously published by others who have established that tropically adapted Bos indicus (Hansen, 2004; Jian et al., 2014) and Bos taurus cattle (Carvalho et al., 1995; Ribeiro, 2008 as reviewed by Fernandes) have larger sweat glands than WT temperate Bos taurus breeds. According to Jian et al. (2014), a larger sweat gland size is accompanied by a greater sweating capacity in Bos indicus cattle. If such a trend exists in SLICK Holstein cattle, as reported by Dikmen et al. (2014) in cows derived from Senepol x Holstein crossbreds, a larger sweat gland may represent a better heat dissipation through evaporation, thus helping to explain the aforementioned differences in body temperature between hair coat groups.
allow for better respiratory efficiency and lower respiratory rates with a smaller subsequent heat production, or tropically adapted cattle may require a smaller water intake for thermoregulation, thus avoiding hemodilution. However, in our study (Table 4) there were no differences in the hematocrit values between the WT and SLICK cows (29.30±0.46 vs. 29.79±0.49%, respectively; P=0.4040). Thus, this appears not to be an adaptation achieved by the Puerto Rican SLICK cattle.

Milk production

Table 4 also contains a comparison of daily milk production values between WT and SLICK Holstein cows. The WT cows presented smaller milk production values than their SLICK counterparts (17.11±0.63 vs. 20.26±1.28 kg/d; P=0.0288, respectively). These results correspond to those previously reported by others in cattle with diverse genotypes. Delgado et al. (2014) reported lower milk yields in WT than in SLICK cows in both Jersey and Holstein cattle from a commercial dairy farm in Puerto Rico. Dikmen et al. (2014) observed that the decrease in milk production observed during the summer in Florida was larger in WT Holstein cows (resulting from Senepol x Holstein mating) than in their SLICK counterparts. Olson et al. (2003) observed a smaller 305-d milk yield in WT than in SLICK Holstein x Carora cows in Venezuela. Therefore, under hot environmental conditions the SLICK phenotype may be advantageous in terms of productivity. The capacity of the SLICK cows to keep grazing under the tropical sun, while their WT counterparts rest in the shade (Sánchez-Rodríguez, 2019) may help to explain this superiority.

CONCLUSIONS

Even though WT Puerto Rican cattle have achieved considerable adaptation to tropical weather, their SLICK counterparts showed greater thermoregulatory capacity. Such superiority seems to be directly dependent on the severity of the environmental conditions; the higher the AT, the greater the differences in VT between phenotypes. Both hair coat type groups, but especially the SLICK one, were able to avoid a significant relationship between their VT and the environment at considerably higher AT values than those previously reported in the literature as critical for thermoregulation in Bos taurus cattle. Differences in sweat gland size between hair coat groups may help to explain the observed thermoregulatory trends. Concurrently with a higher VT, WT cows presented lower milk production than the SLICK ones. Future studies should be directed to evaluate if the differences in sweat gland size between hair coat groups result in different sweating rates and heat dissipation through evaporation.
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