Effect of etch-and-rinse and self-etching adhesive systems on hardness uniformity of resin cements after glass fiber post cementation
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the effects of etch-and-rinse and self-etching adhesive systems on Vickers hardness (VHN) uniformity of dual-cured resin cements after fiber post cementation.

Methods: Fifty glass fiber posts were cemented into bovine roots using the following cementing systems: Prime&Bond 2.1 Dual Cure and Enforce with light-activation (PBDC-LCEN); Prime&Bond 2.1 and Enforce with light-activation (PB-CLEN); Prime&Bond 2.1 Dual Cure and Enforce without light exposure (PBDC-SCEN); ED Primer and Panavia 21 (ED-SCPN); and Clearfil SE Bond and Panavia 21 (CF-SCPN). The roots were stored in distilled water for 72 h and transversely sectioned into thirds (coronal, medium, and apical). The VHN values of the resin cement layers were measured close to the post and to the dentin wall on the transversely sectioned flat surfaces. The results were analyzed by three-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s post-hoc test (pre-set alpha of 5%).

Results: Most resin cements presented higher VHN values near the post than near the dentin wall. The ED-SCPN group showed the highest VHN values regardless of the root third, while the self-cured group PBDC-SCEN exhibited the lowest values. The resin cements from the light-activated groups PBDC-LCEN and PB-CLEN showed lower VHN values at the apical third than at the coronal third. The VHN values were not influenced by the root third in self-cured groups PBDC-SCEN, ED-SCPN, and ED-SCPN.

Conclusion: Depending on the product, bonding agents might promote changes in hardness uniformity of resin cements after post cementation. [Eur J Dent 2012;6:248-254]
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INTRODUCTION

Pre-fabricated posts, such as metallic, carbon and fiber posts, are the first choice for restorative procedures of endodontically treated anterior teeth with severe damage. Among the advantages of fiber posts over the other posts, the most important ones are the esthetics, translucence, and reliable mechanical properties, such as dentin-like elastic modulus. The post translucence allows light from the curing unit to penetrate through the post to reach and polymerize the surrounding bonding material, promoting adhesion of the cementing system to the root dentin walls. In contrast to cast posts, pre-fabricated posts require only direct procedures for cementation, and because of their ease of use, no more than one clinical session is required to cement such posts.

Resin cements have been extensively used in restorative dentistry as they are the best choice to bond porcelain, indirect resin laminated veneers, and aesthetic glass or carbon fiber posts to teeth. Three different types of resin cements are available with regard to their activation modes: chemically cured (self-polymerization), light- and dual-cured (association between auto and light activation) resin cements. Dual-cured resin cements combine the advantages of light- and self-polymerized resin cements as photoinitiators, tertiary amines, and self-curing components are added to their composition to allow polymerization to start even when the curing light is severely attenuated or blocked by the presence of an indirect restoration.

In the cementation of fiber posts into prepared root canals, the curing light is severely attenuated by the post before it reaches the resin cement. Therefore, there are regions at the resin cement layer where curing light is delivered at considerably low intensity to activate photo-sensitive initiators, so the resin cement relies on the self-curing components to ensure optimum polymerization. Effective polymerization is an important factor closely related to resin cement’s mechanical and physical properties, which are crucial to ensure the durability of the post/resin cement/root interface. However, some studies evaluating the hardness of dual-cured resin cements have shown that the self-curing mode of such products is less effective than the dual-curing mode.

Another important issue to consider is the chemical incompatibility between acidic monomers from adhesive systems and the self-curing components of dual-cured resin cements due to the low pH of bonding agents. Previous studies have demonstrated that acidic monomers present in either total etching or self-etching adhesive systems are capable of neutralizing the self-curing components of dual-cured resin cements. Therefore, no monomer conversion is observed when resin cements in contact with adhesive resins rely solely on the self-curing mode. For this reason, manufacturers have developed the so-called “dual cure” adhesive systems, in which co-initiators are added to the adhesive resins to avoid the chemical incompatibility between the adhesive system and resin cement. In this condition, the self-curing components can initiate polymerization when light is attenuated due to the presence of an indirect restoration or completely absent at the apical third during post cementation. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to evaluate the Vickers hardness (VHN) of dual-cured resin cements after post cementation using self-etching and two-step etch-and-rinse adhesive systems. The research hypothesis was that the hardness of resin cements after fiber post cementation is not affected by the adhesive resins applied to the root canal walls.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifty bovine incisors had their crowns and part of the roots removed to obtain standard roots with 17 mm length. The root canals had their pulp tissues removed with a second series K-File (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) and were prepared for post cementation by a #5 Largo bur (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) at low speed.

The roots were assigned to five experimental groups (n = 10) according to the following resin cement products (Table 1 and 2) and curing modes: Prime&Bond 2.1 Dual Cure and Enforce exposed to curing light (PBDC-LCEN); Prime&Bond 2.1 and Enforce exposed to curing light (PB- LCEN); Prime&Bond 2.1 Dual Cure and Enforce without light exposure (PBDC-SCEN); ED Primer and self-cured Panavia 21 (ED–SCPN); Clearfil SE Bond and self-cured Panavia 21 (CF–SCPN). The bonding agents and resin cements were applied to the root dentin walls following the manufacturer’s instructions (Table 1). Glass fiber posts (Reforpost, Angelo Dental Industry Products S/A, Londrina, PR,
Brazilian) were cemented into the roots, and the resin cements were light-activated for 40 s (Power Density: 600 mW/cm², Optilight Plus, Gnatus, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil) in the light-cured groups. The roots with cemented posts were stored in distilled water for 72 h at 37°C and then transversely sectioned into 3-mm thick thirds, which were designated as coronal, medium, and apical thirds. The exposed surfaces were wet-ground with 400-, 600-, 1200-, and 2000-grit SiC papers (3M, St Paul, MN, USA). The specimens were dark-stored under dry condition at 37°C for 7 d in an oven to allow complete resin cement polymerization.

The hardness analysis (HMV-2, Shimadzu Co, Kyoto, Japan) was performed with 50 g load for 30 s. The sectioned surface was virtually divided into quarters, and two indentations were made on the resin cement layer in each quarter: one next to the post and another one next to the dentin wall (Figure 1). The results were expressed in Vickers hardness (VHN).

Statistical analyses were performed by using SAS software version 8.0 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The results were analyzed by three-way repeated measures ANOVA ("product," "root third," and "indentation position" factors) followed by the post-hoc Tukey’s test (preset alpha of 5%) to detect the differences among groups.

Table 1. Composition and manufacturers’ instructions of the adhesive systems used in this study.

| Adhesive Systems (Manufacturer) | Composition | Manufacturer’s Instructions |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Clearfil SE Bond (Kuraray Co. Ltd. Osaka, Japan) | SE-Primer: MDP, HEMA, CQ, N,N-Diethanol p-toluidine, hydrophilic dimethacrylate and water (pH = 2). SE-Bond: MDP, Bis-GMA, HEMA, hydrophobic dimethacrylate, CQ, N,N-Diethanol p-toluidine and silanated colloidal silica. | Apply PRIMER to the entire cavity wall with a disposable brush tip. Leave it in place for 20 seconds. Evaporate the volatile ingredients with a mild oil-free air stream. Apply BOND to the entire surface of the cavity with a disposable brush tip. Create a uniform bond film using a gentle oil-free air flow. Light-cure the BOND for 10 seconds with a dental curing light. |
| Prime and Bond 2.1 Dual Cure (Dentsply Caulk, Milford, DE, USA) | Adhesive: Acetone; Dimethyl Ketone; 2-Propanone; Acrylic Monomer and Elastomer; PENTA; Photoinitiators, Stabilizers Cetylamine Hydrofluoride, Acetone. Self Cure Activator: Acetone (< than 65%W); Ethyl Alcohol (< than 45%W); Sodium p-Toluenesulfinate. Etchant: Water, 34% Phosphoric acid, Silicone Dioxide, Surfactants, Blue Colorant. | Place 1-2 drops of the adhesive and equal number of drops of Self-Cure Activator into a mixing well; Mix contents for 1-2 seconds with a clean, unused brush tip; Using the disposable brush supplied, immediately apply mixed adhesive/activator to thoroughly wet all the tooth surfaces. These surfaces should remain fully wet for 20 seconds and may necessitate additional applications of mixed adhesive/activator; Remove excess solvent by gently drying with a dental syringe for at least 5 seconds. Surface should have a uniform glossy appearance. Cure mixed adhesive/activator for 10 seconds using a curing light unit. |
| ED Primer (Kuraray Co. Ltd. Osaka, Japan) | Primer A: 10-Methacryloyloxydecyl Dihydrogen Phosphate; Hydroxymethyl Methacrylate, Ethylene Glycol Methacrylate; N, N-Di-[2-Hydroxyethyl]-P-Toluidine; N-Methacryloyl 5-Aminosilicic Acid; Water. Primer B: Benzylsulfonic Acid, Sodium Salt; N, N-Di-[2-Hydroxyethyl]-P-Toluidine; N-Methacryloyl 5-Aminosilicic Acid; Water. | Dispense one drop each of Liquid A and Liquid B on the mixing plate and mix. Apply ED PRIMER II to the entire adherent tooth surface (enamel and dentin), metal, or composite resin abutment with a small brush or sponge and leave it in place for 30 seconds. Using a sponge or paper point, remove excess primer to prevent the primer from pooling in the corners of the cavity. Dry the primer completely by using gentle air flow. Remember that a pool of the primer will cause quick polymerization of the adhesive cement. |

PENTA, dipentaerythritol penta acrylate monophosphate; HEMA, 2-Hydroxyethyl Methacrylate; BisGMA, bispheno-a-glycidyl dimethacrylate.

RESULTS

According to the three-way repeated measures ANOVA test, an interaction was detected between “indentation position” and “product” factors (P<.05), and between “root third” and “product” factors (P<.05). Figure 2 shows the comparison between values from the resin cement near the post and the resin cement near the dentin wall. Based on Tukey’s post hoc test, most resin cements presented higher VHN values near the post than near the dentin wall (P<.001, Figure 2). The only exception was observed for PBDC-SCEN group, in which no significant difference in VHN values was observed between the resin cement located near the post and that near the dentin wall.

Table 3 shows the comparison of VHN values among products within each root third as well as the comparison of VHN values among root thirds within each product. ED-SCPN showed the highest VHN values regardless of root third and position, while PBDC-SCEN exhibited the lowest values. No significant difference was noted between the light-
cured groups PBDC-LCEN and PB-LCEN. CF-SCPN showed lower VHN values than ED-SCPN in all root thirds and positions.

In terms of the influence of the root third on cement hardness, the resin cements from light-activated PDDC-LCEN and PB-LCEN groups exhibited lower VHN values at the apical third than at the coronal third (P=.0408 and P=.0001, respectively). For PDDC-LCEN and PB-LCEN, no significant difference in resin cement VHN values was noted between the coronal and medium thirds or between the medium and apical thirds. For the self-cured PBDC-SCEN, ED-SCPN, and CF-SCPN groups, no significant difference in resin cement VHN values was noted among root thirds.

**DISCUSSION**

According to the results of the current study, most resin cements exhibited lower VHN values near the dentin wall than near the post. One could attribute such differences in VHN to the chemical incompatibility for all light-activated groups. However, the lack of significant differences between the cement VHN near the dentin walls and cement VHN near the post in the self-cured group PBDC-SCEN indicates that differences between cement hardness near the dentin walls and cement hardness near the post in light-activated groups of Prime&Bond 2.1 / Enforce are not related to such chemical incompatibility. Similarly to the findings...
from other studies, the inclusion of co-initiators to the bonding agents in that group was apparently effective in neutralizing the chemical incompatibility between acidic monomers from the two-step etch-and-rinse bonding agent and the dual-cured resin cement. Therefore, the presence of acidic monomers from the oxygen-inhibited layer did not affect the polymerization of Enforce when co-initiators were used. Thus, the research hypothesis was validated for Prime&Bond 2.1 / Enforce.

Despite this apparent effectiveness of co-initiators in neutralizing the chemical incompatibility between bonding agent and resin cement, most resin cements exhibited lower VHN values near the dentin wall than near the post. Among the possible reasons to explain such differences in VHN values, one might be related to the curing unit features. According to Price et al, some curing units do not have uniform radiation across the beam, so many may deliver more energy from the center in comparison to the light delivered from the periphery. Another reason can be attributed to the thick resin cement layer around the fiber posts due to the wider diameter of root canals of bovine incisors in comparison to human incisor roots (Figure 1). In this clinical situation, thick resin cement layers are created around the posts, so curing light is not only attenuated by fiber post but also the resin cement layer around the post. As a consequence, lower energy was delivered near dentin wall than near the post.

Similarly to Enforce, Panavia 21 showed higher VHN values close to the post than close to the dentin wall in the self-cured groups ED Primer/Panavia 21 and Clearfil SE Bond/Panavia 21. Once the curing light was not involved in the activation of polymerization of Panavia 21, the association between bonding agent and resin cement interfered with the VHN values of the resin cement layer near the dentin wall. Therefore, the research hypothesis was invalidated for these products. After photoactivation of Clearfil SE Bond, a non-polymerized resin layer with a pH of 1.35 remains on the top of the polymerized adhesive resin layer because of an interaction with oxygen. Such a layer has acidic vinyl monomers with carboxylic or phosphate groups, which interact with binary peroxide-amine catalytic components from the self-cured Panavia 21 as previously demonstrated in other studies. As a consequence, Panavia 21 from group CF-SCP from the lowest VHN values near the dentin wall in contrast to the high VHN values observed near the post.

On the other hand, the use of ED Primer associated with Panavia 21 resulted in higher VHN values than the use of Clearfil SE Bond. Differently from Clearfil SE Bond, ED Primer has T-isopropylbenzenic sodium sulfinate, a co-initiator added to primer liquid B (manufacturer’s information). This salt reacts with the acidic resin monomers present in primer A and with the resin cement itself to produce free radicals that can enhance the polymerization reaction. Such results confirmed the importance of ED Primer on the polymerization effectiveness of Panavia 21, despite the low pH of ED Primer. However, it seems that the low pH of ED Primer may still have some detrimental effects on polymerization of Panavia 21, as the VHN values near the dentin wall were lower than those near the post.

With regard to the comparison in VHN values among products, Enforce from the light-activated group without the inclusion of co-initiators (PB-LCEN) exhibited higher VHN values at the apical third than Enforce from self-cured group with co-initiators added to the bonding agent (PBDC-SCEN). This result was unexpected since the fiber post used in the current study is not capable of transmitting the curing light to the surrounding resinous material at the apical third and the acidic monomers can neutralize the self-curing components when co-initiators are not used along with adhesive systems. According to some authors, the polymerization initiated by light-activation is not impaired by the presence of acidic monomers from bonding agents like when polymerization is initiated by self-curing components. Thus, light-activation of Enforce at the coronal third may have somehow contributed to resin cement polymerization at the apical third. Therefore, further studies are required to explore this speculation.

Regardless of the type of adhesive system associated to the resin cement during post cementation, both light-activated groups exhibited significant decrease in cement VHN values from coronal to apical thirds. This result is similar to others and confirms the deleterious effects of compromised light intensity on resin cement polymer-
However, the effects are product dependent. Based on these findings, clinicians should be aware of not only the possible effects of adhesive systems on hardness uniformity around posts, but also the curing potential of resin cements as well as the total energy delivered to the resin cement before choosing the most appropriate material to cement fiber posts into root canals.

**CONCLUSION**

According to the current results, bonding agents might promote changes in hardness uniformity of resin cements after post cementation. However, the effects are product dependent.
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