Macro- and micro-strain in GaN nanowires on Si(111)
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Abstract

We analyze the strain state of GaN nanowire ensembles by x-ray diffraction. The nanowires are grown by molecular beam epitaxy on a Si(111) substrate in a self-organized manner. On a macroscopic scale, the nanowires are found to be free of strain. However, coalescence of the nanowires results in micro-strain with a magnitude from $\pm (0.015\%)$ to $\pm (0.03\%)$. This micro-strain contributes to the linewidth observed in low-temperature photoluminescence spectra.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

Epitaxially grown semiconductor nanowires (NWs) have attracted large interest in recent years as means to fabricate nano-sized devices. They have been grown using various techniques such as molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) and metal organic chemical vapor phase epitaxy. Often metal catalyst particles are used to initiate the growth. More recently, catalyst-free processes have been developed. The NWs promise to assist in overcoming many of the limitations of heteroepitaxy. Dislocations formed at the NW/substrate interface do not propagate along the NW axis, but remain at the interface or bend toward the free NW sidewalls [1, 2]. Residual strain is released elastically due to the extreme aspect ratio of typical semiconductor NWs [3, 4]. As a specific example, GaN NWs on Si are virtually free of extended defects despite the very large lattice and thermal mismatch between these materials [5]. Furthermore, the spectral position of the donor-bound exciton $((D^0, X_A))$ transition in photoluminescence (PL) spectra of GaN NW ensembles is exactly the same as the one observed in strain-free, bulk GaN [6]. In apparent contradiction, the linewidth of this transition exceeds values of 1 meV and is thus significantly above the value expected for material free of strain [6–8]. Recently, this minimum broadening invariably observed in the PL of GaN NW ensembles has been proposed to arise from the random position of donor sites and the corresponding energy distribution of excitons bound to these donors [6, 9]. However, this intrinsic broadening mechanism cannot account for the fact that the linewidth observed for different samples may vary significantly, and may also approach values in excess of the energy separation of the donor-bound exciton transition in the bulk and close to the surface.

Here, we investigate the morphological and structural properties of two GaN NW ensembles on Si(111) exhibiting a PL linewidth differing by more than a factor of two. Using x-ray diffraction (XRD), we determine the orientation distribution of the NW ensembles as well as their strain state. The position and shape of the x-ray diffraction peaks contain information about the strains and sizes as well as the orientation distribution of the NW ensemble. Both samples are found to be entirely relaxed on a macroscopic scale, but possess a non-negligible micro-strain.

2. Experiment

GaN NWs are grown on Si(111) substrates by plasma-assisted MBE. Atomic N and Ga atoms are supplied by a plasma source operating at 500 W and an N$_2$ flux of 2 sccm. Prior to NW growth, the Si substrate is exposed for 5 min to an atomic N flux for nitridation, which results in the formation of a 5 nm thick Si$_3$N$_4$ film. For sample 1 (2), GaN NWs were subsequently grown for 3 h at a substrate temperature of 780 (820) °C and N rich conditions, resulting in NW arrays with an average length of 1.0 (1.6) $\mu$m [10]. High-resolution
Figure 1. Scanning electron micrographs of (a) sample 1 and (b) sample 2 in top view. High-density arrays of faceted NWs are visible. The regular orientations of the facets point to a narrow distribution of the azimuthal orientation of the NWs. A significant fraction of the NWs constituting the ensembles has undergone coalescence.

XRD measurements were performed at a temperature of 27 °C using a Panalytical X-Pert PRO MRD™ system with a Ge(220) hybrid monochromator (Cu Kα1 radiation with a wavelength of λ = 1.54056 Å). The circles of the diffractometer are explained in [11]. The program Epitaxy™ was used for evaluation of the data. PL spectroscopy was performed using the 325 nm line of a Kimmon He–Cd laser for excitation. The PL signal was dispersed by an 80 cm Horiba Jobin Yvon monochromator. The spectral resolution of the setup was about 250 μeV. The investigations were done at a temperature of 10 K. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) specimens were prepared by mechanical lapping and polishing, followed by argon ion milling. TEM images were acquired with a JEOL 3010 microscope operating at 300 kV.

3. Results and discussion

Figures 1(a) and (b) display top-view scanning electron micrographs of samples 1 and 2, respectively. The azimuthal orientation of the NWs, as seen by the direction of their facets, is quite regular although the interfacial SiNx layer is not expected to grow epitaxially. The NWs of sample 2 are distinctly thinner than those of sample 1. Coalescence of the NWs is obvious for both samples.

Figure 2 shows near-bandgap PL spectra of the NW ensembles displayed in figure 1. For both samples, the dominant line at 3.471 eV is due to the decay of the donor-bound exciton in strain-free GaN [12, 13]. Sample 1 exhibits a linewidth of 3.7 meV, more than twice larger than that observed for sample 2 (1.6 meV). Broadening of bound exciton transitions by their energy dispersion as a function of the distance to the surface is expected to be more important for thin NWs, since the fraction of donors close to the surface increases quadratically with the diameter [6, 9]. In the present case, we would thus expect a narrower transition for sample 1 and not a broader one as observed experimentally. Consequently, the additional broadening observed for this sample has a different origin. As hypothesized in the following, a highly probable source of this broadening is micro-strain caused by coalescence.

The two samples under investigation were fabricated at different substrate temperatures, which is known to affect both the nucleation density and the lateral growth rate. Lower temperatures as used for sample 1 result in a higher nucleation density as well as a more pronounced tendency toward lateral growth during nucleation, thus resulting in larger nuclei. This scenario will inevitably lead to an enhanced coalescence in an early stage of NW growth and significantly larger diameters of the final NWs, as indeed observed in figure 1. The misorientation of the NWs with respect to each other, as well as the dislocations accommodating this misorientation at the tilt or twist boundary [14], will introduce an inhomogeneous elastic distortion of the coalesced aggregate. Simultaneously, the strain introduced at the coalescence junction is more difficult to relax in the thicker NWs of sample 1 [4]. All these arguments would point toward a larger contribution of inhomogeneous strain to the PL linewidth of sample 1, as also observed experimentally. In the following, we examine this hypothesis using high-resolution XRD.

The out-of-plane orientation distribution of the NWs is determined from ω scans near the GaN 00.4 reflection at several azimuthal orientations. The tilt of (2.3 ± 0.2)° [(3.2 ± 0.1)°] for sample 1 [2] is typical for GaN NWs grown
Figure 3. X-ray pole figure of the GaN 00.4 reflection (sample 1) showing a nearly isotropic angular distribution of the tilt of the NWs. In this measurement $\chi$ is restricted to $0^\circ \leq \chi \leq 10^\circ$.

Figure 4. Dependence of the FWHMs (circles) of the maxima of x-ray $\phi$-scans using different reflections (sample 1), each tilted by a certain angle $\chi$ with respect to the sample surface. FWHMs extrapolated by equation (1) are shown as well (full line).

directly on Si(111). A pole figure of the GaN 00.4 reflection (sample 1) shown in figure 3 displays a nearly isotropic angular distribution of the tilt angles of the GaN NWs analogous to a fiber texture. Figure 4 demonstrates the dependence of the full widths at half maximum (FWHMs) of the peaks of $\phi$-scans (circles) performed using different reflections in skew geometry (sample 1) at different tilt angles $\chi$ (see [15] for a sketch of the skew geometry) including in-plane scans for determination of the range of twist of the NWs. The full line shows the FWHMs extrapolated by:

$$W_{h,k,l}(\chi) = W_{90}/(W_{90}/360 + \sin(\chi))$$  (1)

where $W_{h,k,l}(\chi)$ is the FWHM of a peak in the $\phi$-scan for a reflection $h,k,l$ with a given tilt angle $\chi$ and $W_{90}$ is the FWHM of a peak in an in-plane scan revealing the true range of twist of the NWs. Often a $\phi$-scan can be measured in skew geometry more easily than in an in-plane geometry, then $W_{h,k,l}(\chi)$ is measured and $W_{90}$ can be obtained from equation (1).

The in-plane orientation distribution of the NWs as obtained from $\phi$ scans of the GaN 10.0 reflection is about $(2.3 \pm 0.3)^\circ$ [$(3.9 \pm 0.3)^\circ$] for sample 1 [2] and is again characteristic for GaN NWs on Si(111). For both samples, coalescence boundaries will be the source of significant strain due to the broad orientation distribution of the NWs. This is illustrated in figures 5 and 6: figure 5 shows a TEM micrograph of the interface region of sample 1, the GaN NWs on the Si$_x$N$_y$ intermediate film. Strain contrasts are clearly distinguished near the bottom of the NWs and in regions where NWs meet and coalesce. Figure 6 demonstrates a high-resolution TEM micrograph of the coalesced region of two GaN NWs (sample 1). The border acts as a small angle grain boundary and dislocations are formed in the vicinity to accommodate the tilt. The netplanes are locally curved due to the strain field of the defects.
To determine the macroscopic strain state of the NWs, we first measured the lattice parameter of our Si substrates by triple-crystal diffractometry [11, 16]. Using an analyzer crystal in front of the detector, the angular positions of the incident and the diffracted beams are determined precisely in order to obtain the Bragg angle. The necessary calculations for the substrate are performed in dynamical approximation [17]. We thus obtained a value of 5.4299 Å. Thanks to the small diameter of the NWs, the kinematical approximation is sufficient for the calculation of the angular position of their x-ray reflections. The positions of the symmetrical in-plane and out-of-plane reflections in $\omega/2\Theta$ scans yield $a = 3.1887$ (3.1885 Å) and $c = 5.1855$ (5.1854 Å) for the lattice parameters of sample 1 (2). Within the error margin of $\pm 5 \times 10^{-4}$ Å, these values agree with those reported in [16] and [18] for strain-free and pure GaN. This means that our GaN NWs are indeed free of strain on average.

The reciprocal space map shown in figure 8 for sample 1 confirms the fully relaxed state of the GaN NWs, because the diffraction vector $\mathbf{R}$ is pointing in a radial direction from the GaN 10.5 peak toward the origin of reciprocal space. The mosaic spread of the GaN NWs can be calculated from the width of the GaN peak perpendicular to the radial direction. The lateral correlation length is calculated from the reciprocal of the FWHM of the GaN 10.5 peak measured parallel to the interface, i.e. along $Q_x$. Here, $\text{rlu} = \lambda / 2d$ is the reciprocal lattice unit, where $d$ is the corresponding lattice plane distance. From the shape and the orientation of the GaN peak shown in figure 8, we obtain a mosaic spread of $(1.9 \pm 0.2)^\circ$ (i.e. close to the FWHM of the $\omega$ scans) and a lateral correlation length of 76 nm (close to the actual lateral size of the NWs, see figure 1).

The widths of $\omega/2\Theta$ scans across the GaN 00. $n$ reflections with $n = 2, 4, 6$ increase linearly with the reflection order $n$, which is the result expected for broadening governed by micro-strain. The line shapes are nearly Lorentzian, so that we obtain the breadth $\beta_l$ of the physically broadened profile $\beta_l = \beta_h - \beta_e$ where $\beta_e$ is the breadth of the apparatus function and $\beta_h$ that of the measured curve [19]. Small asymmetries of the peaks are neglected here. We assume that each GaN NW is a coherently diffracting domain separated by air or a small angle grain boundary in the case of coalescence. These domains are tilted with respect to the interface and twisted around the surface normal by different angles reflected by broad peaks in the $\omega$ and $\phi$ scans. The $\omega/2\Theta$ scans, instead, are broadened due to the finite size of the NWs and due to the inhomogeneous deformations inside the NWs. The integral breadth of the physically broadened line profile is $\beta_l(2\Theta) = A / I_0$, where $A$ is the integral intensity and $I_0$ the peak intensity of the corresponding maximum. We assume that this breadth $\beta_l$ of our sample consists of size and strain components $\beta_s$ and $\beta_e$. The size component $\beta_s$ does not depend on the diffraction angle. The strain component $\beta_e$ grows linearly with the order of reflection [19]. We apply the reciprocal lattice notation: $|d^*| = 1/d = 2 \sin(\Theta)/\lambda$ is the absolute value of the reciprocal lattice vector and $\beta^* = \beta / \cos(\Theta) / \lambda$. For a Lorentzian peak shape the two contributions have to be added [20]:

$$\beta_l^* = \beta_s^* + \beta_e^* = \beta_s^* + 2e d^*$$

where $e = \beta_e^* / 2d^* = \Delta d / d$ is the strain [20]. We can interpret this strain as the variation of $d$ spacing within the NWs. The plot of $\beta_l^*$ over $d^*$ is expected to give a straight line [20, 21]. Such a plot, as shown in figure 9, is a Williamson–Hall plot in reciprocal lattice representation. The intersection with the ordinate axis allows us to determine $\beta_s^*$, corresponding to the size $l = 1 / \beta_s^*$. This is the size of an average domain in the direction of the diffraction vector, i.e. we essentially probe a length along the NWs. The characteristic size is $l = 1.3 (0.3) \mu m$ for sample 1 (2). For sample 1 this length is comparable to the NW length, but for sample 2 it is lower by a factor of five, pointing to a smaller domain size inside the wires. The micro-strain $e = \pm 2.8 \times 10^{-4} (\pm 1.4 \times 10^{-4})$ in the
GaN NWs of sample 1 (2) is obtained from the slopes of the Williamson–Hall plots. These values describe the fluctuations of the lattice plane distances in the NWs, not their average. We estimate an error of \( \pm 1 \times 10^{-4} \) in the determination of the micro-strain. The inhomogeneous micro-strain is caused by residual defects in the GaN NWs such as dislocations or even small angle boundaries arising after coalescence of the NWs [14].

The important result obtained here is the fact that the micro-strain for sample 1 is indeed considerably larger than that for sample 2, just like the PL linewidths. A quantitative comparison of these values with the linewidth obtained in PL is, however, not straightforward. Coalescence presumably generates a rather complex strain field, including shear strain, while we only measure the \( z \)-component of the complete strain tensor. To give an impression of the range of changes of the bandgap \( \Delta E \) by a general strain with \( \varepsilon_{zz} = \pm 1 \times 10^{-4} \), we follow [22] and obtain \( \Delta E_b = 1.65 \) meV and \( \Delta E_h = 5 \) meV for pure biaxial \( (\Delta E_b) \) and pure hydrostatic \( (\Delta E_h) \) strain, respectively. Considering that at least part of the linewidth is caused by the random distribution of donors and the resulting energy dispersion, it is clear that only a fraction of the strain measured by XRD manifests itself in the linewidth observed in PL. We believe that this finding reflects the presence of dislocations in the coalescence junctions. Dislocations are known to act as nonradiative recombination centers and presumably suppress the PL from the junctions which act as the dominant source of strain measured by XRD.

4. Summary

We have performed structural characterization of MBE grown arrays of GaN NWs on a Si 111 substrate. The average lattice in our GaN NWs is fully relaxed. Typical residual strains in the wires can reach values from \( \pm (0.015\%) \) to \( \pm (0.03\%) \). The local distribution of strain is visible in TEM micrographs. The observed micro-strain contributes to broadening of the lines measured by photoluminescence spectroscopy.
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