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Abstract
The aging population is growing exponentially worldwide. Associated with this greater life expectancy is the increased burden of chronic health conditions, many of which are exacerbated by the continued rise in obesity. In the US, the prevalence of obesity in adults aged 60 years and older increased from 23.6% to 37% in 2010.

Objectives: This review examines bariatric surgery as a treatment option for obese adults > 60 years old. The most common types of weight-loss surgery are laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding, vertical sleeve gastrectomy, Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, and the duodenal switch.

Methods: A comprehensive literature search found 349 articles that referred to bariatric surgery in older adults. Of these, 70 relevant articles on bariatric surgery for older adults were utilized for this article.

Results: Weight-loss surgery procedures were found to be equally safe for both older adults and their younger counterparts. Pre-surgical psychological assessment is critical for positive outcomes for older adults. Benefits of bariatric surgery include a decrease in comorbidities, chronic disease risk, and medication use coupled with improved mobility and quality of life outcomes. Side effects include surgical failure, changes in psychological status, and increased physical and mental stress.

Conclusions: Bariatric surgery can offer patients an effective and long-lasting treatment for obesity and related diseases. There does not appear to be any one bariatric procedure that is recommended for older adults, so individual needs should be taken into consideration when exploring options. Costs range from US$17,000 for laparoscopic procedures to US$26,000 for open gastric surgeries. Estimated savings start accruing within 3 months of surgery making bariatric surgery a serious cost saving consideration.
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Introduction
This review examines bariatric surgery as a treatment option for obese adults ≥60 years old. The prevalence of obesity in older adults is rapidly expanding due to the aging of the baby boomer generation. Estimates of obesity in older Americans increased from 9.9 million (24%) in 1990 to 14.6 million (32%) in 2000 to 22.2 million (37%) in 2010. Since the baby boomers, those born between 1946 and 1964, are reaching their sixth decade heavier than previous generations, there is a public health concern that these overweight adults will become obese leading to greater risk of chronic disease. The baby boomers weighed more and became obese at younger ages than previous generations. When the silent generation members, those born between 1926 and 1945, were aged 35–44 years, 14%–18% were obese, but when the baby boomers were that age, those percentages doubled to 28%–32%.

Given the increased obesity rates for older adults, increased life expectancy does not necessarily mean an increase in healthy years. Instead, obese elderly may be facing additional years of discomfort, lack of mobility, and chronic ill health. The most common obesity-related chronic diseases are type II diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, stroke, certain types of cancers, metabolic syndrome, respiratory disease, sleep apnea, fatty liver disease, osteoarthritis, gall bladder disease, pulmonary embolism, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), urinary incontinence, chronic renal failure, gout, and depression. A literature search was conducted to retrieve relevant articles on bariatric surgery for older adults using EBSCO,
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Table 1. Bariatric key findings for patients aged 60 years and above.

| Author/year | Number of participants >60 years | Key findings |
|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Adams et al.8 | 7925 surgery group compared 7925 control group, 3 age ranges 33–44, 45–54, and >55+ years | No significant difference in age groups. Indicated that patients who have RYGB had decreased long-term mortality from any causes and from disease specific causes but have increased mortality from non-disease causes as compared with control subjects. |
| Dorman et al.9 | N = 43,378 with 1994 >65 years | Patients >65 years did not experience major complications for either open or laparoscopic procedures but likely to have a longer length of hospital stay for either procedure. |
| Dunkle-Blatter et al.10 | 76 >60 years and 989 <60 years | Same length of stay of 2.9 days in hospital. Significant improvement for diabetes and hypertension after RYGB. Weight loss was less but greater reductions in medications. |
| Hallowell et al.11 | 46 >60 years; 31 Medicare | No difference was found in the occurrence of complications in Medicare patients and patients >60 years. Results indicate that bariatric surgery should not be denied based on age or Medicare status. |
| O’Keefe et al.12 | 197 >65 years | Weight-loss surgery is effective in patients >65 years of age, producing EWL, reduction in daily medication use and morbidities. |
| Perry et al.13 | 476 >65 years | Bariatric surgery appears to increase survival even in high-risk Medicare population. Diagnosed prevalence of weight-related comorbid conditions declined after bariatric surgery. |
| Quebbemann et al.14 | 27 >65–73 years | Bariatric surgery can be performed safely in patients >65 years. RYGB procedure is significantly more effective than LAGB. |
| Sugerman et al.15 | 83 >60 years | Bariatric surgery was effective for older patients with low morbidity and mortality. Older patients had more pre- and post-operative comorbidities and lost less weight than younger patients. But weight loss and improvement in comorbidities in older patients were clinically significant. |
| Van Rutte et al.16 | 73 in the range of 55–59 years, 50 in 60–64 years; 12 in 65 years or older | LSG as a primary treatment for older morbidly obese is an effective and relatively safe procedures in terms of weight loss and remission of comorbidities with an acceptable low complication rate. |
| Varela et al.17 | 1339 >60 years | Older adults had longer lengths of stays in hospitals, but bariatric surgery is considered as safe as other gastrointestinal procedure. Mortality is better than expected. |
| Wool et al.18 | 47males >50–59 years; 13 >60 years males | Despite a higher early morbidity rate, obese males >60 years perform as well as male patients aged 50–59 years with respect to excess weight loss, mortality. Length of hospital stay and improvement of diabetes at 1 year postoperatively. |
| Yuan et al.19 | 27 males >65 years | Weight loss and mortality is similar to younger males. Older males had slightly better resolution of both hypertension and diabetes. |

LSG: laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy; EWL: excess weight loss; RYGB: Roux-en-Y gastric bypass; LAGB: laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding.

MEDLINE, ProQuest, and Web of Science with the forward option. A total of 349 articles were evaluated, and 70 were deemed relevant and utilized for this review. Relevant articles described various types of weight-loss surgeries with the benefits and side effects, postoperative changes in comorbidities and quality-of-life outcomes, and costs of surgery with related cost savings after surgery (Table 1).

Types of bariatric surgery

Even though obesity is very difficult to treat with lifestyle changes, the medical community continues to encourage people to lose weight by diet and exercise.7 As a result of these recommendations, overweight and obese people attempt multiple diets, medications, and exercise regimens resulting in limited success over the long term.7,9,20 Increasingly, a viable option for obese patients has been surgery as a means to aid weight loss.3–7 Bariatric surgery that either restricts caloric intake or absorption has been found as the most effective method to lose weight and maintain a healthy lifestyle.3,6–8 There are various bariatric procedures available,20 and generally, the more complex the procedure, greater the weight loss. But more complex/extensive surgery also has greater complications with higher morbidity and mortality rates.9 In the order of frequency performed are the Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB), laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB), and vertical sleeve gastrectomy (VSG) (Figure 1). Biliopancreatic diversion, with a duodenal switch (BPD-DS) is least commonly performed but is often a consideration for extremely obese individuals20 (Figure 1).

RYGB. RYGB is the most common type of weight-loss surgery worldwide and is considered permanent.21 The stomach is
divided into two sections, the upper part is a small pouch which holds about 1–2 ounces of food initially. The pouch is connected to the jejunum using a Y-shaped limb of the small intestine bypassing the duodenum. Gastric and pancreatic secretions as well as bile mix with chime at the juncture of the jejunum and the duodenum. This procedure permanently changes how food is digesting resulting in fewer calories and nutrients being absorbed. Weight loss is swift and dramatic, usually 30% of excess weight loss (EWL) in the first 6 months post-surgery, but may continue for up to 2 years. Long-term RYGB results show many patients keep weight off for 10 years or longer. As an added benefit of the rapid weight loss, health conditions affected by obesity such as diabetes, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, arthritis, sleep apnea, heartburn, and other conditions often improve quickly.

Nevertheless, all is not necessarily without challenges. RYGB impairs the body’s ability to absorb calories, dramatically increasing the risk for nutrient deficiencies. The most common nutrient deficiencies after RYGB include the vitamins thiamin, vitamin B12 and D, and the minerals iron, copper, and calcium. Deficiencies of these nutrients can lead to anemia, fatigue, and osteoporosis; therefore, RYGB patients should plan to take vitamin and mineral supplements the rest of their lives. Thiamin deficiency has occurred after all bariatric procedures and can be present within weeks or years after surgery. Wernicke’s encephalopathy is related to severe thiamin deficiency resulting in cognitive dysfunction and eye disorders such as nystagmus and ocular palsy. Vitamin B-12 deficiency results from an intolerance to animal protein after RYGB and lack of intrinsic factor;
which provides for the normal process of stomach emptying irreversibly VSG procedure (8), usually laprascopically. 
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A common side effect of LAGB is vomiting, which is often a result of eating too much too quickly. If the band is too tight, acid reflux can result. 
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Sometimes further laparoscopic surgeries are necessary to re-position the band or repair a band leak. Follow-up visits are also required in order to “tighten or loosen” the band to fine-tune how fast food empties from the upper pouch into the residual stomach. The process can result in weight fluctuations. LAGB has a 50% failure rate in the long term, as defined by poor weight loss and percentage of band removal. 

Reasons for band removal ranged from inadequate weight loss (76%), gastric pouch dilation (64%), intolerance (21%), and band slippage (12%). 

VSG. About 75% of the stomach is surgically removed in the irreversible VSG procedure (8), usually laprascopically. What remains of the stomach is a narrow tube or sleeve which provides for the normal process of stomach emptying and the pyloric valve remains intact. Not only is the appetite reduced, but also consuming very small amounts of food generate early and lasting satiety. Because the small intestine is unchanged (not shortened), VSG does not usually affect the absorption of food, so nutritional deficiencies are less of a problem compared to RYGB or BPD-DS. In people with very high body mass index (BMI), VSG as a stand-alone procedure results in an average weight loss of greater than 50% of EWL. In patients with very high BMI, the VSG may be followed by a modified RYG or BPD-DS if significant weight loss is still needed. Since many adults who are morbidly obese have multiple comorbidities, RYGB or BPD-DS may be too risky as an initial procedure. Usually, 12–18 months post-VSG, once the patient’s health has improved and some weight has been lost, a second surgery may be undertaken. 

Since VSG is a relatively new procedure, long-term benefits and risks are still being evaluated. Typical surgical risks such as infection and blood clots apply; moreover, the sleeve itself can have leakage. Leakage occurs in less than 2.4% of patients for all sleeve procedures which can be successfully treated by operative or percutaneous drainage and endoscopic stenting. Sleeve leakage symptoms include fever, pain in chest/shoulder area, heart palpitations, dizziness, nausea and vomiting. VSG is associated with greater weight loss than LAGB, but subsequent weight gain has been seen in nearly all studies where follow-up exceeds 5 years. 

BPD-DS. BPD-DS or more commonly referred to as the “duodenal switch” is a more complicated, invasive version of the RYG and is performed less frequently. Even though as much as 70% of the stomach is removed during the BPD-DS, the remaining pouch is still larger than those formed during RYGB or LAGB. As a result, larger meals can be consumed in a sitting; however, there is also a greater, more serious risk of nutritional deficiencies. Since much of the small intestine is bypassed, digestive enzymes cannot mix with food until it reaches the distal ileum. The need for dietary supplements is higher among patients with BPD-DS compared to RYG. 

This surgery both restricts intake and reduces absorption area thereby resulting in rapid weight loss with an average long-term EWL of 70%–80%. In addition to the rapid weight loss, remission rate for type II diabetes is impressive at 100% within 1 year of surgery. Comorbidities such as hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and cardiovascular disease were also significantly reduced. Even with all these positive outcomes, BPD-DS is not without risks. BPD-DS poses many of the same risks as RYG, including dumping syndrome, GERD, gallstone formation, and hernias. Comparing BPD-DS with RYG, post-surgery BPD-DS required longer hospital stays and more frequent early reoperation than RYG. Nevertheless, overall, there were no differences in late reoperation rates between the two
groups. Despite the complications associated with BPD-DS, one study reported better weight and comorbidity control than RYGB, with even more pronounced benefits among super-obese patients. Because of infection, sepsis, and nutrition deficiencies, mortality rates are greater, ranging from 2.5% to 5% regardless of age.

**Bariatric surgery candidate selection**

The medical community recommends that surgical treatment of obesity should only be considered after all nonsurgical methods are exhausted. Potential bariatric patients are required to have attempted and failed several traditional diet methods. In 1991, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) first established bariatric patient selection guidelines which included a BMI of 40 or more or a BMI of 35–39 with one or more obesity-related comorbidities for people aged 18–50 years. Age restrictions were initially in place because it was believed that the health risks of bariatric surgeries surpassed beneficial outcomes for aging patients. In 2006, the NIH recommendations changed, and Medicare reversed their policy to deny bariatric requests based solely on age and age restrictions were eliminated.

**Outcomes of bariatric surgery for older persons**

Bariatric surgery often results in effective and enduring weight loss with complete resolution or significant improvement in obesity-related comorbidities (Wool et al. 2009). After the Medicare-authorized approval of bariatric surgery for older adults in 2006, 2.7% of all bariatric operations were performed on patients older than 60 years old in that year. Younger patients may have a greater EWL and have a more complete resolution of their comorbid conditions, but older people reduced the number of prescribed medications that they took. Age did not influence the rate of occurrence of postoperative complications and outcomes between older and younger patients.

Physical outcomes of bariatric surgery have steadily improved during the past decade. The most common obesity-related chronic diseases are type II diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, stroke, certain types of cancers, metabolic syndrome, respiratory disease, sleep apnea, fatty liver disease, osteoarthritis, gall bladder disease, pulmonary embolism, GERD, urinary incontinence, chronic renal failure, gout, and depression, which can all be improved by weight loss from bariatric surgery. Bariatric surgery for older patients has been shown to be safe and effective for EWL and in improvement of obesity comorbidities, especially type II diabetes and blood pressure with trends in greater improvement for older patients than younger patients after RYGB. Individuals who underwent bariatric surgery had a significantly reduced number of total and fatal cardiovascular events compared with matched obese controls who did not undergo surgery. Furthermore, cancer rates have been reduced to nearly 50% in post-surgical bariatric patients.

**Quality of life measures and physical mobility.** Regardless of age, improved mobility, reduced comorbidities, pain reduction, and enhanced psychological functioning such as improvements in mood, self-esteem, social functioning, and sexuality led to improved quality of life enrichment in bariatric patients. In all, 10 years after weight loss surgery, patients had significantly better health perceptions, social interactions, psychosocial functioning, and reduced depression. Improved mobility and less medications alone led many participants who underwent bariatric surgery to state they had experienced improved mood, regardless of whether all weight-loss goals were met, and would opt to have the surgery again.

Wheelchair-bound older patients were often fully ambulatory within months post-surgery. Even modest weight-loss improved overall physical functioning of older adults. For example, patients with lower extremity arthritis experienced reduced knee and hip pain. Many obese patients who have type II diabetes experience normalization of blood sugars within days post-surgery. Patients can frequently stop taking diabetes medications before leaving the hospital after surgery. Being able to reduce or eliminate daily diabetes glucose testing and insulin injections leads to improved quality of life. Currently, research on glucose metabolism is underway to better understand this outcome phenomenon. However, nutrient deficiencies negatively affect quality of life by requiring extra doctor visits, vitamin supplements, iron infusions, B-12 injections, and physical symptoms of lower energy.

**Food intolerances and physical mobility challenges.** Food intolerance and lack of vitamin and mineral absorption can be a problem for all patients after weight loss surgery, regardless of type, and can result in osteoporosis and anemia. This can be a more critical concern for the elderly. Since there is an increased risk of bone fractures for those who developed osteoporosis, recovery from hip fracture can prove to be problematic for aging patients. If left untreated, low intake of heme iron foods can increase the risk of anemia, which can lead to frailty and malnutrition in older patients. Patients report that they have a sense of control of food intake that they never had before but certain food intolerances such as meat and coarse vegetables can make choosing foods difficult. Since weight loss post-surgery is initially rapid, losing muscle and fat mass is a valid concern in older patients. As one ages, the loss of muscle mass, known as sarcopenia, usually happens as part of the aging process. But losing additional muscle mass through rapid weight loss has the potential to result in more mobility issues for older adults. Post-op diet and exercise regimes are recommended for all surgeries and all patients, but certain health challenges may
make it difficult for older adults to adhere to strict programs. Obesity was once believed to be bone protective, but more recent research has introduced evidence of greater risk for metabolic bone disease due to lack of vitamin D and inadequate calcium intake, sedentary lifestyle, chronic dieting, and underlying diseases. After bariatric surgery, the risk of bone-related diseases increases due to restrictive intake, malabsorption, and poor compliance to vitamin and mineral supplements and dramatic weight loss.

**Costs of bariatric surgery**

Healthcare utilization and healthcare costs for the morbidly obese are 81% above those of the nonobese population. Analysis of bariatric surgery cost of 3651 patients showed a strong return on investment up to 5 years post-operatively. Estimated costs in 2010 of laparoscopic and open gastric bypass are US$17,000 and US$26,000, respectively. Even with these high surgical expenditures, cost savings start accruing by the third postoperative month. The short-term return on investment associated with bariatric surgery is consistent with decrease in multiple comorbid conditions, including diabetes, coronary artery disease, hypertension, and sleep apnea. The cost reductions in these diseases take into account prescription drug usage, hospital stays, and physician visits. Type II diabetes is greatly improved by bariatric surgery and estimated annual costs of managing a diabetes patient (US$13,243) are five times more than a patient without diabetes (US$2,560). People age 65 years and older represent 10.9 million Americans. Approximately 27% of all people in this age group have diabetes but not all of these older dietetics will necessarily improve with bariatric surgery. It is estimated that one-third of all Medicare dollars are spent on the cost of care of people with diabetes.

**Conclusion**

Bariatric surgery can offer patients an effective and long-lasting treatment for obesity and its related diseases. There does not appear to be any one bariatric surgical procedure that is recommended for older adults, so individual needs should be taken into consideration when exploring options. Literature is scarce on the long-term success of older adults and bariatric surgery. This may be due to the NIH removal of age limitations and Medicare inclusion of bariatric surgery since 2006. A number of studies have demonstrated that bariatric surgery is safe for the aging population; additionally, comorbidities improve. Many other research opportunities remain. Research questions should delve into motivating older adults and their younger counterparts to choose bariatric surgery and the effects of that surgery on their relationships. Questions remain concerning side effects of bariatric surgery on social relationships, the role of social support, quality-of-life issues post-surgery, and predictor differences for bariatric success in older versus younger adult patients. Other questions might include the effect of retirement as a help or hindrance on bariatric surgery recovery, older patients and diet adherence, the effect of nutritional deficiency complications, or weight regain concerns. Specific for older adults, research questions may include the effects of muscle and bone loss as a result of bariatric surgery and the long-term outcome for mobility for older adults.
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