GAP PHENOMENA FOR A CLASS OF FOURTH-ORDER GEOMETRIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON SURFACES WITH BOUNDARY
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Abstract. In this paper we establish a gap phenomenon for immersed surfaces with arbitrary codimension, topology and boundaries that satisfy one of a family of systems of fourth-order anisotropic geometric partial differential equations. Examples include Willmore surfaces, stationary solitons for the surface diffusion flow, and biharmonic immersed surfaces in the sense of Chen. On the boundary we enforce either umbilic or flat boundary conditions: that the tracefree second fundamental form and its derivative or the full second fundamental form and its derivative vanish. For the umbilic boundary condition we prove that any surface with small $L^2$-norm of the tracefree second fundamental form or full second fundamental form must be totally umbilic; that is, a union of pieces of round spheres and flat planes. We prove that the stricter smallness condition allows consideration for a broader range of differential operators. For the flat boundary condition we prove the same result with weaker hypotheses, allowing more general operators, and a stronger conclusion: only pieces of planes are allowed. The method used relies only on the smallness assumption and thus holds without requiring the imposition of additional symmetries. The result holds in the class of surfaces with any genus and irrespective of the number or shape of the boundaries.

1. Introduction

Let us consider a complete isometric immersion $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of a smooth surface $\Sigma$ with topological boundary $\partial \Sigma$. We allow for $\partial \Sigma$ to be disconnected, empty, or non-smooth throughout the paper. We do not make any assumptions a-priori on the topology of $\Sigma$ or of its image $f(\Sigma)$. Figures 1–3 illustrate some possibilities.

Suppose we are given a differential operator $\vec{G}$ which acts on $f$ to produce a system of partial differential equations $\vec{G}(f) = 0$. Consider a tensor field $T$ on $\Sigma$. We say that the operator $\vec{G}(f)$ gives rise to a gap phenomenon with respect to $T$ in $L^2$ if the following holds: There exists a universal constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that any solution of $\vec{G}(f) = 0$ with $\|T\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon$ must in fact satisfy $T \equiv 0$.

Gap phenomena are by now classical and prolific throughout the literature [1, 7, 10, 14, 15, 18, 19]. In this paper we are concerned with identifying general conditions under which the operator $\vec{G}$ gives rise to gap phenomena with respect to the tracefree second fundamental form $A^o$ and the second fundamental form $A$. We have chosen to concentrate on the case where $\vec{G}$ is anisotropic and fourth-order, not necessarily arising from a variational principle. On $\partial \Sigma$ we enforce that $|\nabla^\perp A^o| = |A^o| = 0$, which we term umbilic boundary conditions. The derivative $\nabla^\perp$ is the induced connection on the normal bundle. Our main results are gap phenomena with respect to $A$ and $A^o$ so long as $\vec{G}$ has $\Delta^\perp \vec{H}$ as its leading order term and that the remaining nonlinearities may be bounded by an expression which is critical in the Sobolev embedding sense. Here we have used $\Delta^\perp$ for the natural induced Laplacian in the normal bundle ($\Delta$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $(\Sigma, f^* g^{\mathbb{R}^n})$ and $\vec{H}$ for the mean curvature vector corresponding to the immersion $f$. One may think of the growth condition on the reaction terms in $\vec{G}$ as covering the subcritical and critical cases of second order differential operators acting on the curvature of $f$. Certainly, one does not expect to find a gap phenomenon in supercritical cases. This is not strictly true since a certain power of $|A^o|$ must be present for the gap phenomena to hold. Under other boundary conditions, such as the more restrictive flat boundary conditions, one can remove this restriction.
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This result is a perturbation of our main result (Theorem 1) and we also state it. Our precise assumptions and results are detailed in Section 2.

Our primary motivating examples for the operator $\vec{G}$ are the Euler-Lagrange operator $\vec{W}(f) := \Delta_\perp \vec{H} + A_{ij} (A^o)^j, \vec{H}$) for the Willmore functional $W = \frac{1}{4} \int_\Sigma |\vec{H}|^2 d\mu$, giving rise to Willmore surfaces, and the differential operators $\Delta_\perp \Delta_\perp$ and $\Delta \Delta_\perp$, giving rise stationary solitons for the surface diffusion flow and biharmonic immersions respectively.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we set our notation and give precise statements of our results. In Section 3 we establish local estimates in $L^2$ for the differential operator $\vec{G}$ from below. There the umbilic boundary conditions are critical. Section 4 is where we incorporate the various smallness conditions and prove Theorem 1. Here we require a version of the Michael-Simon Sobolev inequality for manifolds with boundary. This is well-known, but a proof is difficult to find in the literature: for the convenience of the reader, we have provided a proof in the appendix.

2. Setting and main results

Suppose $\Sigma$ is a surface with boundary (or boundaries) $\partial \Sigma$ isometrically immersed via a smooth immersion $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n$, so that the Riemannian structure on $\Sigma$ is given by $(\Sigma, f^* g_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ where $g_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ denotes the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $f^* g_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is the pullback metric or metric induced via $f$. Consider the class of fourth-order geometric differential operators $\vec{G}$ which act on immersions $f$ via

$$\vec{G}(f) := a \Delta_\perp \vec{H} + \vec{T},$$

where $\vec{H}$ is the mean curvature vector of $f$, $\Delta_\perp$ is the induced Laplacian on the normal bundle $N\Sigma = (T\Sigma)^\perp$, $a : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function and $\vec{T}$ is a section of the normal bundle. The function $a : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be induced by an ambient function $\tilde{a} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ via the immersion $f$:

$$a(p) = (\tilde{a} \circ f)(p) \quad \text{with} \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{a}(x) = a_0 > 0.$$  

(2)

For the vector field $\vec{T}$, we assume that it is of the form $\vec{T} = \vec{T}(f)$ where $\vec{T}$ is a second order differential operator with image in the normal bundle. We additionally assume that $\vec{T}$ satisfies either the bound

$$|\vec{T}|^2 \leq c_0 (|A|^2 |A^o|^4 + |\nabla_\perp A| A^o|^2)$$

(3)

or

$$|\vec{T}|^2 \leq c_1 (|A|^{6-q} |A^o|^q + |\nabla_\perp A|^2 |A|^2)$$

(4)

with $c_0, c_1, q \in (0, 6]$ given constants. In the above we have used $A$ to denote the second fundamental form of $f$, $A^o$ to denote the tracefree second fundamental form, $\nabla_\perp$ to denote the induced covariant derivative in the normal bundle, and $| \cdot |$ to denote the norm on tensor fields induced via the metric $g$.

The equation $\vec{G}(f) = 0$ when expressed in local coordinates is a strongly coupled system of fourth order degenerate quasilinear partial differential equations. We supplement (1) with umbilic boundary conditions, so called as they ensure that $f$ is umbilic along $\partial \Sigma$:

$$|\nabla_\perp A^o| = |A^o| = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Sigma.$$  

(5)
We obtain a uniqueness theorem for solutions of \( \vec{G}(f) \equiv 0 \) satisfying (1) that are almost umbilic in a weak \( L^2 \)-sense, as well as for solutions of \( \vec{G}(f) \equiv 0 \) satisfying (1) that are almost flat in a weak \( L^2 \)-sense.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose \( \Sigma \) is an abstract two dimensional manifold with boundary (or boundaries) properly immersed via \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n \). Suppose \( \vec{G}(f) = 0 \) with umbilic boundary conditions (5) on \( \partial \Sigma \).

1. If \( \vec{T} \) satisfies (3) then there exists an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that if
   \[
   \int_\Sigma |A^o|^2 d\mu < \varepsilon
   \]
   then \( f \) is the union of pieces of round spheres and flat planes.
2. If \( \vec{T} \) satisfies (4), then there exists an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that if
   \[
   \int_\Sigma |A|^2 d\mu < \varepsilon
   \]
   then \( f \) is the union of pieces of round spheres and flat planes.

We note that of course \( \partial \Sigma = \emptyset \) is allowed. It is also worthwhile to note that Theorem 1 applies to entire immersions and does not require any growth conditions at infinity.

If we impose flat boundary conditions,

\[
|\nabla^\perp A| = |A| = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Sigma,
\]

then we may take \( q = 0 \) in (4). The conclusion of the theorem is also strengthened as we only allow planes. A precise statement is:

**Theorem 2.** Suppose \( \Sigma \) is an abstract two dimensional manifold with boundary (or boundaries) properly immersed via \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n \). Suppose \( \vec{G}(f) = 0 \) with flat boundary conditions (8) on \( \partial \Sigma \).

1. If \( \vec{T} \) satisfies (3) then there exists an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that if
   \[
   \int_\Sigma |A^o|^2 d\mu < \varepsilon
   \]
   then \( f \) is the union of pieces of flat planes.
2. If \( \vec{T} \) satisfies (4), allowing also \( q = 0 \), then there exists an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that if
   \[
   \int_\Sigma |A|^2 d\mu < \varepsilon
   \]
   then \( f \) is the union of pieces of flat planes.

**Remark.** A priori, there are very few restrictions on the immersion \( f \). It may possess arbitrary topology, boundaries, and so on. A posteriori, we know that \( f \) is umbilic, being the union of pieces of planes and spheres. One may thus conclude statements such as there being no toric immersion with \( \vec{G} = 0 \) satisfying the energy condition (4) for example (\( \partial \Sigma \neq \emptyset \) is by no means required).

The proof of Theorem 2 is almost identical to that of Theorem 1. There are two changes. First, one must set \( q = 0 \) throughout and noting that the boundary term in (8) now vanishes. Second, after the conclusion that \( f \) is umbilic, note that \( |A| = 0 \) on \( \partial \Sigma \) rules out spheres.
Figure 3. The image \( f(\Sigma) \) is depicted. This surface with boundary is topologically a half-space. It is smooth, but its boundary has a corner. Here the corner is at the first order scale. Due to the boundary conditions (5), or (8), any corners must appear at the fourth or higher order scale.

Many well-known differential operators are covered by theorems \( \text{1} \) and \( \text{2} \) as special cases. There are in particular three examples which we wish to enunciate: Willmore surfaces, stationary solitons of the surface diffusion flow, and biharmonic surfaces.

1. Willmore surfaces. An immersion \( f \) is a Willmore surface if it satisfies

\[
W(f) := \Delta^\perp \vec{H} + Q(A^o)\vec{H} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma,
\]

where \( Q(A^o) \) acts on normal fields \( \phi : \Sigma \to N\Sigma \) by

\[
Q(A^o)\phi = A^o(e_1, e_2) \langle A^o(e_1, e_2), \phi \rangle,
\]

where \( \{e_1, e_2\} \) is an orthonormal basis of the tangent bundle \( T\Sigma \) of \( \Sigma \) and the Einstein summation convention is used. The differential operator \( W \) fits into the class considered here, with \( \tilde{a} \equiv 1 \) and satisfying (3) with the estimate

\[
|\vec{T}|^2 \leq c|\vec{H}|^2|A^o|^4 \leq c|A|^2|A^o|^4.
\]

Recently, Willmore surfaces with boundary have received quite a bit of attention. For \( \Sigma \) compact, Kuwert and Schätzle proved the following gap phenomenon with respect to \( A^o \) for the Willmore operator.

**Theorem 3 [8, Theorem 2.7].** There is an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that any smooth solution of \( (9) \) with

\[
\int_{\Sigma} |A^o|^2 d\mu < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{\rho \to \infty} \int_{f^{-1}(B_\rho(0))} |A|^2 d\mu = 0
\]

is a union of round spheres and flat planes.

In [11] the growth condition at infinity was removed. Here we further improve this by including the case of surfaces with boundary. Uniqueness theorems for Willmore surfaces with boundary are also known; see Palmer [13] and the recent extension by Dall’Acqua [4]. There techniques inspired by Bryant’s seminal work [2] and the Pohozaev identity are used to obtain uniqueness through the use of symmetry without resorting to any small energy assumption. For the results in [4] to hold, the shape of the boundary and the topology of the base manifold \( \Sigma \) must be specified a priori. Furthermore, as they critically use the classification of Bryant [2], they are restricted to the case of one codimension.

Although the boundary conditions considered here and in the works of Palmer and Dall’Acqua are different, theorems \( \text{1} \) and \( \text{4} \) may nevertheless be viewed as complementing these results in the sense that they confirm one may trade in symmetry and topological assumptions on the boundary and on the surface itself, as well as the restriction to codimension one, for a smallness condition on the tracefree second fundamental form in \( L^2 \).

2. Stationary solitons for the surface diffusion flow. The surface diffusion flow is the steepest descent \( H^{-1} \)-gradient flow for the area functional. An immersed surface is a stationary soliton for the flow if

\[
\Delta^\perp \vec{H} = 0.
\]

The differential operator (10) is the simplest example of the class of operators (1) which we study, with \( \tilde{a} = 1 \) and trivially satisfying (3) with \( |\vec{T}| = 0 \). A result analogous to [8, Theorem 3.2] for surface diffusion flow
was established in one codimension in \[16,17\]. Theorem 1 of this paper generalises these results to arbitrary codimension and to the case of surfaces with boundary.

The case of anisotropic surface diffusion flow has recently received some attention \[5,6\], where one studies the steepest descent $H^{-1}$-gradient flow of the functional $\int_\Sigma a\,d\mu$. Stationary solitons for this flow are also covered by our theorems.

3. Biharmonic surfaces. An immersed surface is termed \textit{biharmonic} or \textit{biharmonic in the sense of Chen} if

$$\Delta^2 H = 0$$

(11)

where $\Delta$ is the metric (or rough) Laplacian. The normal component of this equation must vanish if (11) is satisfied; that is,

$$\Delta^\perp H = H^3 A^\alpha_{ij} A^\beta_{ij} \nu^\alpha .$$

(12)

Classification questions for biharmonic surfaces have a rich history, motivated primarily by the study of Chen's conjecture \[3\], which claims that all biharmonic submanifolds of Euclidean space are minimal. It is easy to check that while round spheres clearly satisfy both (9) and (10), they do not satisfy (12). They certainly satisfy the condition (11) with $q = 0$, and so Theorem 2 gives uniqueness for biharmonic surfaces with $A$ small in $L^2$.

**Remark.** Chen’s conjecture for biharmonic submanifolds claims that submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\Delta^2 H = 0$ are harmonic. Here we have proven that surfaces immersed in $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $\Delta^2 H = 0$ with flat boundary and $\|A\|_2^2 < \varepsilon$ are flat. This is the first progress on Chen’s conjecture for surfaces with boundary.

3. Local estimates for $\mathcal{G}$ from below in $L^2$

The localisation we shall use is the function

$$\gamma(p) = (\tilde{\gamma} \circ f)(p), \quad \gamma(p) \in [0,1],$$

(13)

for $p \in \Sigma$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ a $C^1$ function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with compact support. Suppose $||\nabla \gamma||_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\rho}$ for some $\rho$ depending on $\tilde{\gamma}$ to be set later.

**Lemma 4.** Suppose $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is an immersed surface with boundaries satisfying (5), and $\gamma$ is a function as in (13). Then

$$\int_\Sigma \left( |\nabla^\perp H|^2 + |H|^2 |\nabla^\perp H|^2 \right) \gamma^4 \,d\mu$$

$$\leq c \int_\Sigma |\Delta^\perp H|^2 \gamma^4 \,d\mu + c \int_\Sigma |A^\alpha|^2 |\nabla^\perp H|^2 \gamma^4 \,d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^4} \int_\Sigma |\nabla^\perp A^\alpha|^2 \gamma^2 \,d\mu,$$

where $c$ depends only on $n$ and $\rho$ depends only on $\tilde{\gamma}$.

**Proof.** Interchange of covariant derivatives and the Codazzi equation gives the standard formula

$$\nabla^\perp \Delta^\perp H = \Delta^\perp \nabla^\perp H - \frac{1}{4} |\tilde{H}|^2 \nabla^\perp H + A \ast A^\circ \ast \nabla^\perp H .$$

(14)

In the equation above we have denoted by $\ast$ contraction with the metric $g$ and possible multiplication by a constant. Integrating (11) against $\nabla^\perp H \gamma^4$ yields

$$\int_\Sigma \left( \nabla^\perp \Delta^\perp H, \nabla^\perp H \right)_g \gamma^4 \,d\mu = \int_\Sigma \left( \Delta^\perp \nabla^\perp H, \nabla^\perp H \right)_g \gamma^4 \,d\mu$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \int_\Sigma |\tilde{H}|^2 |\nabla^\perp H|^2 \gamma^4 \,d\mu + \int_\Sigma A \ast A^\circ \ast \nabla^\perp H \ast \nabla^\perp H \gamma^4 \,d\mu .$$

(15)

Using the divergence theorem we have

$$\int_\Sigma \left( \nabla^\perp \Delta^\perp H, \nabla^\perp H \right)_g \gamma^3 \,d\mu = -4 \int_\Sigma \left| \Delta^\perp H \gamma^3 \right| \,d\mu - 4 \int_\Sigma \Delta^\perp H \left( \nabla^\perp H, \nabla \gamma \right)_g \gamma^3 \,d\mu$$

$$= \int_{\partial \Sigma} (\Delta^\perp H) \cdot (\nabla^\perp H) \gamma^4 \,d\mu ,$$

(16)

where $\nu$ is the outward normal to $\partial \Sigma$. From the Codazzi equation it follows that

$$\nabla^\perp H = 2 \nabla^\perp (A^\circ) := 2(\nabla^\perp * A^\circ) ,$$

(17)
where we have slightly abused notation and used $\ast$ as shorthand for the specific metric divergence operation above. Since $\nabla A^o = 0$ on $\partial \Sigma$, we have that $\nabla_v \tilde{H} = 0$ on $\partial \Sigma$ and so the boundary term in (10) vanishes. Applying the divergence theorem once more we find
\[
\int_{\Sigma} \left( \Delta^\perp \nabla^\perp \tilde{H}, \nabla^\perp \tilde{H} \right)_g \gamma^3 d\mu = - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp (\tilde{H})|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu - 4 \int_{\Sigma} \left( \nabla^\perp (\tilde{H}), \nabla \gamma \nabla^\perp \tilde{H} \right)_g \gamma^3 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Sigma} \nabla^\perp (\nabla^\perp \tilde{H})^2 \gamma^4 d\mu. \tag{18}
\]
Note that the boundary term again vanishes due to equation (17). Combining (16), (18) with (15) we obtain
\[
\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp (\tilde{H})|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \tilde{H}|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu = \int_{\Sigma} \Delta^\perp \tilde{H}^2 \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
\[
+ \int_{\Sigma} \nabla^\perp (\tilde{H}) \ast \nabla^\perp \tilde{H} \ast \nabla \gamma \gamma^3 d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} A \ast A^\gamma \ast \nabla^\perp \tilde{H} \ast \nabla^\perp \tilde{H} \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
\[
\leq \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta^\perp \tilde{H}|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp (\tilde{H})|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
\[
+ \frac{c}{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp A^\gamma|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} (A^\alpha \ast g \tilde{H}) \ast A^\gamma \ast \nabla^\perp \tilde{H} \ast \nabla^\perp \tilde{H} \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
\[
\leq \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta^\perp \tilde{H}|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp (\tilde{H})|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \tilde{H}|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
\[
+ \frac{c}{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp A^\gamma|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} |A^\alpha|^2 |\nabla^\perp \tilde{H}|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu.
\]
Absorbing finishes the proof. \hfill \Box

Lemma 5. Suppose $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is an immersed surface with boundaries satisfying (13), and $\gamma$ is a function as in (13). Then
\[
\int_{\Sigma} (|\tilde{H}|^4 |A^\alpha|^2 + |\tilde{H}|^2 |\nabla^\perp A^\alpha|^2) \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
\[
\leq c \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \tilde{H}|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} |A^\alpha|^2 |\nabla^\perp A^\alpha|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} |A^\alpha|^6 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^4} \int_{\gamma > 0} |A^\alpha|^2 d\mu,
\]
where $c$ depends only on $n$ and $\rho$ depends only on $\tilde{\gamma}$.

Proof. Simons’ identity implies
\[
\Delta^\perp A^\alpha = S^\alpha (\nabla^\perp (\tilde{H})^2) + \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{H}|^2 A^\alpha + A^\alpha \ast A^\alpha \ast A^\alpha,
\]
where $S^\alpha(B)$ denotes the tracefree part of the symmetric bilinear form $B$. Integrating (19) against $|\tilde{H}|^2 A^\alpha$ we obtain
\[
\int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 |\nabla^\perp A^\alpha|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu - \int_{\partial \Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 \left( \nabla^\perp A^\alpha, A^\alpha \right)_g \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
\[
= - \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 \left( A^\alpha, \Delta^\perp A^\alpha \right)_g \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
\[
- 2 \int_{\Sigma} \left( \nabla^\perp A^\alpha, \tilde{H} \cdot \nabla^\perp \tilde{H} A^\alpha \right)_g \gamma^4 d\mu - 4 \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 \left( \nabla^\perp A^\alpha, \nabla \gamma A^\alpha \right)_g \gamma^3 d\mu
\]
\[
= - \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 \left( A^\alpha, S^\alpha (\nabla^\perp (\tilde{H})) + \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{H}|^2 A^\alpha + A^\alpha \ast A^\alpha \ast A^\alpha \right)_g \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
\[
- 2 \int_{\Sigma} \left( \nabla^\perp A^\alpha, \tilde{H} \cdot \nabla^\perp \tilde{H} A^\alpha \right)_g \gamma^4 d\mu - 4 \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 \left( \nabla^\perp A^\alpha, \nabla \gamma A^\alpha \right)_g \gamma^3 d\mu
\]
\[
= - \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 \left( A^\alpha, \nabla^\perp (\tilde{H}) \right)_g \gamma^4 d\mu - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^4 |A^\alpha|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 A^\alpha \ast A^\alpha \ast A^\alpha \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
\[
- 2 \int_{\Sigma} \left( \nabla^\perp A^\alpha, \tilde{H} \cdot \nabla^\perp \tilde{H} A^\alpha \right)_g \gamma^4 d\mu - 4 \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 \left( \nabla^\perp A^\alpha, \nabla \gamma A^\alpha \right)_g \gamma^3 d\mu
\]
\[
= \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 \left( \nabla^\perp A^\alpha, \nabla^\perp (\tilde{H}) \right)_g \gamma^4 d\mu - \int_{\partial \Sigma} |\tilde{H}|^2 \left( A^\alpha (\nu, \cdot), \nabla^\perp H \right)_g \gamma^4 d\mu + 2 \int_{\Sigma} \left( A^\alpha, (\tilde{H} \cdot \nabla^\perp \tilde{H}) \nabla^\perp H \right)_g \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
Noting (5) and (17) we estimate the right hand side to obtain for \( \delta > 0 \)

\[
\int_\Sigma |\bar{H}|^2 |\nabla A|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma |\bar{H}|^4 |A|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma |\bar{H}|^2 |\nabla \bar{H}|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + 2 \int_\Sigma \langle A, (\bar{H} \cdot \nabla A) \bar{H} \rangle \gamma^4 d\mu
\]

\[
+ \int_\Sigma |\bar{H}|^2 A^\ast A^\ast A^\ast A^\ast \gamma^4 d\mu - 2 \int_\Sigma \langle \nabla A, \bar{H} \cdot \nabla A \rangle \gamma^4 d\mu
\]

\[
- 4 \int_\Sigma |\bar{H}|^2 \langle \nabla A, \nabla A \rangle \gamma^3 d\mu + 4 \int_\Sigma |\bar{H}|^2 \langle A, \nabla \nabla \bar{H} \rangle \gamma^3 d\mu.
\]

Absorbing the second and third terms from the right hand side into the left finishes the proof. \( \square \)

**Corollary 6.** Suppose \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is an immersed surface with boundaries satisfying (5), and \( \gamma \) is a function as in (13). Then

\[
\int_\Sigma (|A|^4 |A|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2) \gamma^4 d\mu
\]

\[
\leq c \int_\Sigma |\bar{H}|^2 |\nabla \bar{H}|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \int_\Sigma |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \int_\Sigma |A|^6 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^4}, \int_{\gamma > 0} |A|^2 d\mu,
\]

where \( c \) depends only on \( n \) and \( \rho \) depends only on \( \bar{H} \).

**Proof.** Codazzi implies

\[
|\nabla (k) A| \leq c|\nabla (k) A^\ast|
\]

for any integer \( k \geq 1 \). Noting also the decomposition \( A = A^\ast + \frac{1}{2} g \bar{H} \) we compute

\[
|\bar{H}|^4 |A|^2 + |\bar{H}|^2 |\nabla A|^2 = |2A - 2A^\ast|^2 |A|^2 + 2 |2A - 2A^\ast|^2 |\nabla A|^2
\]

\[
= 16 |A|^2 - 2 \langle A, A^\ast \rangle + 4 |A|^2 + 4 |A|^2 - 2 \langle A, A^\ast \rangle |\nabla A|^2
\]

\[
\geq 8 |A|^2 - 4 |A|^2 + 4 |A|^4 |\nabla A|^2 + 2 |A|^2 - 2 |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2
\]

\[
\geq 4 |A|^4 - 8 |A|^4 |\nabla A|^2 + 2 |A|^2 - 2 |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2.
\]

Summarising,

\[
4 |A|^4 |A|^2 + 2 |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 \leq |\bar{H}|^4 |A|^2 + |\bar{H}|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + 32 |A|^6 + 4 |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2.
\]

Combining (21) with Lemma 5 and (20) we find

\[
4 \int_\Sigma |A|^4 |A|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + 2 \int_\Sigma |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu \leq 4 \int_\Sigma |A|^4 |A|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \int_\Sigma |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
Now using (2) and (3)

\[\varepsilon\]

Choosing \(c\) where

\[c\]

as in (13)

Lemma 8. Combining Lemma 4 with Corollary 6 we first obtain

\[\|\vec{T}\|_{\Sigma}\leq c\]

Proof. Assume the operator \(\vec{G}\) is of the form (1) satisfying (2) and (3). Then

\[\int_{\Sigma}((|\nabla_{(2)}^{\perp}\vec{H}|^2 + |A|^2|\nabla_{1} A|^2 + |A|^2|A|^2)|^{2} \gamma \) d\mu \]

\[\leq c\int_{\Sigma}|\vec{G}|^2 \gamma d\mu + c\int_{\Sigma}(\int|A|^6 + |\nabla_{1} A|^2 |A|^2) \gamma d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2}\int_{\Sigma}|\nabla_{1} A|^2 \gamma d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2}\int_{\gamma>0}|A|^2 d\mu ,\]

where \(c\) depends only on \(n, a_0, c_0, \rho\) depends only on \(\bar{\gamma}\).

Proof. Combining Lemma [1] with Corollary 6 we first obtain

\[\int_{\Sigma}((|\nabla_{(2)}^{\perp}\vec{H}|^2 + |A|^2|\nabla_{1} A|^2 + |A|^2|A|^2)|^{2} \gamma \) d\mu \]

\[\leq c\int_{\Sigma}|\vec{H}|^2 \gamma d\mu + c\int_{\Sigma}(\int|A|^6 + |\nabla_{1} A|^2 |A|^2) \gamma d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2}\int_{\Sigma}|\nabla_{1} A|^2 \gamma d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2}\int_{\gamma>0}|A|^2 d\mu .\]

Now

\[\Delta^{\perp} \vec{H} = a^{-1}\vec{G} - a^{-1}\vec{T}\]

(23)

so using (2) and (3)

\[|\Delta^{\perp} \vec{H}|^2 \leq 2a_0^{-1}|\vec{G}|^2 + 2a_0^{-1}|\vec{T}|^2 \]

\[\leq 2a_0^{-1}|\vec{G}|^2 + 2ca_0^{-1}\left(|A|^2|A|^2 + |\nabla_{1} A|^2 |A|^2\right)\]

\[\leq 2a_0^{-1}|\vec{G}|^2 + 2ca_0^{-1}\left(|A|^2|A|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}|A|^6 + c|\nabla_{1} A|^2 |A|^2\right) .\]

(24)

Choosing \(\varepsilon\) small enough and absorbing by combining (22) with (24) finishes the proof.

Lemma 8. Suppose \(f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n\) is an immersed surface with boundaries satisfying (5), and \(\gamma\) is a function as in (13). Assume the operator \(\vec{G}\) is of the form (1) satisfying (2) and (3). Then

\[\int_{\Sigma}((|\nabla_{(2)}^{\perp}\vec{H}|^2 + |A|^2|\nabla_{1} A|^2 + |A|^2|A|^2)|^{2} \gamma \) d\mu \]

where \(c\) depends only on \(n, a_0, c_1, \rho\) depends only on \(\bar{\gamma}\).

Proof. The proof is as above for Lemma 7 except the estimate (22) is modified to

\[|\Delta^{\perp} \vec{H}|^2 \leq 2a_0^{-1}|\vec{G}|^2 + 2a_0^{-1}|\vec{T}|^2 \]

\[\leq 2a_0^{-1}|\vec{G}|^2 + 2ca_0^{-1}\left(|A|^2|A|^2 + |\nabla_{1} A|^2 |A|^2\right) .\]

Due to the weaker condition on \(\vec{T}\) this is the best we can obtain. In this case we throw away the terms on the left hand side of the form \(|A|^2|A|^2 + |\nabla_{1} A|^2 |A|^2\) since they will be of no use. Estimating \(|\nabla_{1} A|^2|A|^2\) and \(|A|^2 \leq |A|\) then proceeding again as in the proof of Lemma 7 finishes the proof.
Lemma 9. Suppose \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is an immersed surface with boundaries satisfying (5), and \( \gamma \) is a function as in (13). Assume the operator \( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \) is of the form (1) satisfying (2) and (3). Then

\[
\int_{\Sigma} \left( |\nabla_{(2)} A|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla_{(1)} A|^2 + |A|^4 |A|^2 \right) \gamma^4 \, d\mu \leq c \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{\mathcal{G}}|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} (|A|^6 + |\nabla_{(1)} A|^2 |A|^2) \gamma^4 \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\gamma > 0} |A|^2 \, d\mu .
\]

Proof. Let us first note that (19) allows us to estimate

\[
|\Delta^\perp A|^2 \leq c |\nabla_{(2)} \tilde{H}|^2 + c |\tilde{H}|^2 |A|^6 + c |A|^6 .
\]

Since \( c \) above is absolute, we obtain for some small \( \varepsilon > 0 \) that

\[
\varepsilon |\Delta^\perp A|^2 \leq |\nabla_{(2)} \tilde{H}|^2 + |A|^4 |A|^6 + |A|^6 ,
\]

and so by combining (25) with Lemma 7 we obtain the improvement

\[
\int_{\Sigma} \left( |\Delta^\perp A|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla_{(1)} A|^2 + |A|^4 |A|^2 \right) \gamma^4 \, d\mu \leq c \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{\mathcal{G}}|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} (|A|^6 + |\nabla_{(1)} A|^2 |A|^2) \gamma^4 \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_{(1)} A|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\gamma > 0} |A|^2 \, d\mu .
\]

We may now deal with the right integral on the right hand side. The divergence theorem and (5) gives

\[
\frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_{(1)} A|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu = \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\Sigma} \langle A^o, \Delta^\perp A \rangle^2_g \gamma^2 \, d\mu - \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla_{\gamma} A^o, \nabla^\perp A^o \rangle^2_g \gamma \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\Sigma} \langle A^o, \nabla_{\gamma} A^o \rangle^2_g \gamma^2 \, d\mu
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta^\perp A|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu + \frac{c}{2\rho^2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_{\gamma} A|^2 |\nabla^\perp A|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\gamma > 0} |A|^2 \, d\mu .
\]

so that we obtain

\[
\frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_{(1)} A|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta^\perp A|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\gamma > 0} |A|^2 \, d\mu .
\]

Combining (27) with (26) above gives

\[
\int_{\Sigma} \left( |\Delta^\perp A|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla_{(1)} A|^2 + |A|^4 |A|^2 \right) \gamma^4 \, d\mu \leq c \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{\mathcal{G}}|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} (|A|^6 + |\nabla_{(1)} A|^2 |A|^2) \gamma^4 \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_{\gamma} A|^2 |\nabla^\perp A|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\gamma > 0} |A|^2 \, d\mu .
\]

Let us now prove

\[
\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_{(2)} A|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu \leq \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta^\perp A|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} |A|^2 |\nabla_{(1)} A|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_{\gamma} A|^2 |\nabla^\perp A|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu .
\]

We begin by using a consequence of the interchange formula for covariant derivatives:

\[
\Delta^\perp \nabla^\perp A^o = \nabla^\perp \Delta^\perp A^o + \nabla^\perp A^o \ast A \ast A.
\]

Testing (31) against \( \nabla^\perp A^o \gamma^4 \) and using (5) with the divergence theorem we find

\[
\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_{(2)} A|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu = - \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla^\perp A^o, \Delta^\perp \nabla^\perp A^o \rangle_g \gamma^4 \, d\mu - 4 \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla \gamma \nabla^\perp A^o, \nabla_{(2)} A^o \rangle_g \gamma^3 \, d\mu
\]

\[
+ \int_{\partial \Sigma} \langle \nabla^\perp \nabla^\perp A^o, \Delta^\perp A^o \rangle_g \gamma^4 \, d\mu
\]

\[
= - \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla^\perp A^o, \nabla \Delta^\perp A^o \rangle_g \gamma^4 \, d\mu - 4 \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla \gamma \nabla^\perp A^o, \nabla_{(2)} A^o \rangle_g \gamma^3 \, d\mu
\]

\[
+ \int_{\Sigma} \nabla^\perp A^o \ast \nabla^\perp A^o \ast A \ast A \, d\mu
\]

\[
= \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta^\perp A|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu + 4 \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla^\perp A^o, \nabla \Delta^\perp A^o \rangle_g \gamma^3 \, d\mu
\]

\[
- \int_{\partial \Sigma} \langle \nabla^\perp A^o, \nabla^\perp A^o \rangle_g \gamma^4 \, d\mu .
\]
As before with (27) we multiply (32) by a small constant and combine with (29) to find

\[ -4 \int \langle \nabla \gamma \nabla A^o, \nabla \gamma \nabla A^o \rangle \gamma^3 d\mu + \int \nabla^\perp A^o \ast \nabla^\perp A^o \ast A \ast A \gamma^4 d\mu \]
\[ \leq \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta^\perp A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} |A^o|^2 |\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu \]
\[ + \int_{\Sigma} \nabla^\perp A^o \ast \nabla^\perp A^o \ast \nabla \gamma^3 d\mu \]
\[ \leq \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta^\perp A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} |A^o|^2 |\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu \]
\[ + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp(2)A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu \]

which by absorption implies (30). Now from (27) we improve (30) to

\[ \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp(2)A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu \leq \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta^\perp A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} |A^o|^2 |\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\gamma > 0} |A^o|^4 d\mu . \]  

(32)

As before with (27) we multiply (32) by a small constant and combine with (29) to find

\[ \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 + |A^o|^2 |\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 + |A^o|^4) \gamma^4 d\mu \]
\[ \leq c \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} |A^o|^6 + |\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 |A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\gamma > 0} |A^o|^2 d\mu . \]  

(33)

Estimating the leading order term in (33) above from below using (20) finishes the proof.

\[ \square \]

Lemma 10. Suppose \( f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is an immersed surface with boundaries satisfying (5), and \( \gamma \) is a function as in (13). Assume the operator \( \mathcal{G} \) is of the form (1) satisfying (2) and (4). Then

\[ \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu \leq c \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} (|A^o|^6 + |\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 |A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} \int_{\gamma > 0} |A^o|^2 d\mu , \]

where \( c \) depends only on \( n, a_0, c_1, \) and \( \rho \) depends only on \( \bar{\gamma} \).

Proof. The proof is as for Lemma 9 above except we again throw away the terms on the left hand side of the form \( |A^o|^4 + |\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 \gamma^4 \) since they will be of no use. The third integral on the right hand side from Lemma 8 is dealt with by an estimate analogous to (27).

\[ \square \]

4. Almost umbilic and almost flat in \( L^2 \)

We shall combine the estimates from Section 3 with the smallness assumptions and the Michael-Simon Sobolev inequality 0 for manifolds with boundary:

Theorem 11. Suppose \( f : M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is a smooth immersion of the \( m \)-dimensional manifold \( M \) with boundary \( \partial M \) into \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Then for any \( u \in C^1(M) \)

\[ \left( \int_M |u|^{m/(m-1)} d\mu \right)^{(m-1)/2} \leq \frac{A^{m+1}}{\omega_1^{1/m}} \left( \int_M |\nabla u| + |H| |u| d\mu + \int_{\partial M} |u| d\mu \right) . \]

The proof of Theorem 11 is a straightforward application of the standard Michael-Simon Sobolev inequality and is a well-known folklore result. As the details are difficult to find in the literature, we have provided a proof in the appendix for the convenience of the reader.

We now need boundary versions of the multiplicative Sobolev inequalities from 9.

Lemma 12. Suppose \( f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is an immersed surface with boundaries satisfying (5), and \( \gamma \) is a function as in (13). Then

\[ \int_{\Sigma} |A^o|^6 \gamma^4 d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^\perp A^o|^2 |A^o|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu \]
\[ \leq c ||A^o||^2_{2,\gamma > 0} \int_{\Sigma} \left( (|\nabla^\perp A|^2 + |\nabla^\perp A|^2 + |A^2|^4) \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^2} ||A^o||^4_{2,\gamma > 0} \right) , \]

where \( c \) depends only on \( n, \) and \( \rho \) depends only on \( \bar{\gamma} \).
Proof. Applying Theorem 11 with $u = |A^0|^2\gamma^2$, estimating and using (35) we find
\[
\int_{\Sigma} |A^0|^\gamma^2 d\mu \leq c \left( \int_{\Sigma} \left( |A^0|^2 |\nabla A^0|^2 \gamma^2 + |\nabla A^0|^2 |A^0|^\gamma \right) d\mu \right)^2 + c \left( \int_{\Sigma} |A^0|^\gamma^2 d\mu \right)^2
\]
where we used
\[
c\gamma^2 \left( \int_{\Sigma} |A^0|^\gamma^2 d\mu \right)^2 \leq c\gamma^2 \left( \int_{\Sigma} |A^0|^4 d\mu \right)^2 + c \left( \int_{\Sigma} |A^0|^\gamma^2 d\mu \right)^2
\]
Now we apply Theorem 11 with $u = |A^0|^2 |A^0|^\gamma^2$ and use (33) again to obtain
\[
\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla A^0|^2 |A^0|^\gamma^2 d\mu \leq c \left( \int_{\Sigma} \left( |A^0|^2 |\nabla A^0|^2 \gamma^2 + |\nabla A^0|^2 |A^0|^\gamma \right) d\mu \right)^2 + c \left( \int_{\Sigma} |A^0|^\gamma^2 d\mu \right)^2
\]
Let us remove the second integral on the right hand side of (35). First estimate
\[
\left( \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla A^0|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right)^2 = \left( -\int_{\Sigma} \langle \Delta A^0, A^0 \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu - 2 \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla A^0, \nabla A^0 \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu \right)^2
\]
so that absorbing gives
\[
\left( \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla A^0|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right)^2 \leq c |A^0|^2 \gamma^2 \int_{\Sigma} \left( |\nabla A^0|^2 + |\nabla A^0|^2 |A^0|^2 \right) \gamma^4 d\mu + c \gamma^2 |A^0|^4 d\mu
\]
Combining (36) with (35) gives
\[
\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla A^0|^2 |A^0|^\gamma^2 d\mu \leq c |A^0|^2 \gamma^2 \int_{\Sigma} \left( |\nabla A^0|^2 + |\nabla A^0|^2 |A^0|^2 \right) \gamma^4 d\mu + c \gamma^2 |A^0|^4 d\mu
\]
Noting Lemma 13, while adding together (34) and (36) gives the result. \[\square\]

**Lemma 13.** Suppose $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is an immersed surface with boundaries satisfying (3), and $\gamma$ is a function as in (13). Let $q \in (0, 6]$. Then
\[
\int_{\Sigma} |A^0|^q |A^6 - q \gamma^4 d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla A|^2 |A^2|^\gamma^4 d\mu
\]
where $c$ depends only on $n, q,$ and $\rho$ depends only on $\gamma$.
\textbf{Proposition 14.} Suppose \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is an immersed surface with boundaries satisfying (5). Assume the operator \( \tilde{G} \) is of the form (11) satisfying (2) and (3). Then there exists a universal \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that if
\[
\int_{\Sigma} |A^0|^2 d\mu \leq \varepsilon
\]
then
\[
\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla^\perp A|^2 |A|^2 + |A|^4 |A^0|^2) \gamma^4 d\mu \leq c \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{G}|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho} \int_{|\gamma| > 0} |A|^2 d\mu.
\]

\textit{Proof.} Combine Lemma 9 with Lemma 12 and absorb. \hfill \Box

\textbf{Proposition 15.} Suppose \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is an immersed surface with boundaries satisfying (5) and \( \tilde{T} \) satisfying (4). Then there exists a universal \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that if
\[
\int_{\Sigma} |A|^2 d\mu \leq \varepsilon
\]
then
\[
\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla^\perp A|^2 |A|^2 + |A^0|^2 |A|^6 - \gamma)^4 d\mu \leq c \int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{G}|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c}{\rho^4} \int_{|\eta| > 0} |A|^2 d\mu.
\]

\textit{Proof.} Combine Lemma 10 with Lemma 13 add the integral
\[
\int_{\Sigma} (|A^0|^2 |A|^6 - \gamma + |\nabla^\perp A|^2 |A|^2) \gamma^4 d\mu
\]
to both sides, and absorb. \hfill \Box

\textit{Proof of Theorem 2.} Choose \( \tilde{\gamma} \) to be a cutoff function on an ambient ball of radius \( r > 0 \). We may guarantee that
\[
\rho = cr
\]
for some constant $c$ depending only on $n$. Using $\tilde{G} = 0$ and taking $r \to \infty$ (recall that all boundary integrals are calculated above to vanish) in each of Propositions 13 and 15 we find $|A^n| = 0$ and so $f$ is an umbilic.

To obtain the full statement in case (7), just note that in order for pieces of spheres to be possible each boundary $\partial \Sigma$ must be compact and must lie on the surface of a 2-sphere sitting in $\mathbb{R}^n$. $\square$

**Remark.** Since $\|A\|^2$ is scale invariant, the only way to satisfy (7) is by each boundary being pulled sufficiently tight. Suppose $P$ is a piece of a sphere with radius $\rho$. Then clearly

$$\int_{f^{-1}(P)} |A|^2 d\mu < 4\pi^2.$$

A positive lower bound is not possible, but it is possible to find a lower bound in terms of the diameter of $\partial P$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This would sharpen the statement of Theorem [1].

**Appendix**

**Proof of Theorem [1]** Let $\Lambda : M \to (0, \infty)$ be the distance to $\partial M$ and consider the family of functions $\sigma_k(p) = \min\{u(p), k\Lambda(p)u(p)\}$ for $p \in M$. Let us now approximate the family $\sigma_k$ in the $C^1$ topology; this approximation we also denote by $\sigma_k$. We have

$$\|u \neq \sigma_k\| \to 0$$

$$\left(\int_M |\sigma_k|^{m/(m-1)} d\mu\right)^{(m-1)/2} \to \left(\int_M |u|^{m/(m-1)} d\mu\right)^{(m-1)/2}$$

$$\int_M |\hat{H}|\sigma_k d\mu \to \int_M |\hat{H}||u| d\mu$$

as $k \to \infty$. We clearly also have $\|\nabla \sigma_k\| \leq \|\nabla u|\min\{1, k\Lambda\}\| + \|u\nabla \min\{1, k\Lambda\}\|$. Using Fermi coordinates on a neighbourhood of $\partial M$ we find for large $k$

$$\int_M |u|\nabla \min\{1, k\Lambda\}|d\mu \leq k\int_0^{\frac{2}{k}} \int_{\partial M} |u| \sqrt{\det(g_{ij}(p, t))} d\mathcal{L}^{m-1} dt \to \int_{\partial M} |u| d\mu^0$$

as $k \to \infty$. Applying the standard Michael-Simon Sobolev inequality [12] to the family $\sigma_k$ and taking $k \to \infty$ finishes the proof. $\square$
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