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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Neonates treated with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation are at risk for brain injury and subsequent neurodevelopmental compromise. Advances in MR imaging and improved accessibility have led to the increased use of routine MR imaging after extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. Our objective was to describe the frequency and patterns of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation–related brain injury based on MR imaging findings in a large contemporary cohort of neonates treated with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This was a retrospective study of neonatal patients treated with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation from 2005–2015 who underwent MR imaging before discharge. MR imaging and ultrasound studies were reviewed for location and type of parenchymal injury, ventricular abnormalities, and increased subarachnoid spaces. Parenchymal injury frequencies between patients treated with venoarterial and venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation were compared by \( \chi^2 \) tests.

RESULTS: Of 81 neonates studied, 46% demonstrated parenchymal injury; 6% showed infarction, mostly in vascular territories (5% anterior cerebral artery, 5% MCA, 1% posterior cerebral artery); and 20% had hemorrhagic lesions. The highest frequency of injury occurred in the frontal (right, 24%; left, 25%) and temporoparietal (right, 14%; left, 19%) white matter. Sonography had low sensitivity for these lesions. Other MR imaging findings included volume loss (35%), increased subarachnoid spaces (44%), and ventriculomegaly (17% mild, 5% moderate, 1% severe). There were more parenchymal injuries in neonates treated with venoarterial (49%) versus venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (29%, \( P = .13 \)), but the pattern of injury was consistent between both modes.

CONCLUSIONS: MR imaging identifies brain injury in nearly half of neonates after treatment with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. The frontal and temporoparietal white matter are most commonly affected, without statistically significant laterality. This pattern of injury is similar between venovenous and venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, though the frequency of injury may be higher after venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

ABBREVIATIONS: CUS = cranial ultrasound; ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; VA = venoarterial; VV = venovenous

Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) is a therapy for neonates with severe cardiac and/or respiratory failure caused by conditions such as congenital diaphragmatic hernia, persistent pulmonary hypertension, meconium aspiration syndrome, and sepsis. Intracranial injury is a major complication and cause of mortality from treatment with ECMO. ECMO-related brain injury can be attributed to hypoxic-ischemic insults caused by cardiopulmonary instability in the pre-ECMO period, sequelae of carotid artery ligation, and hemorrhagic injury related to systemic anticoagulation. \(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) The incidence of brain injury after ECMO varies in the literature, but has been estimated to range between 10%–59%. \(^4\) However, these estimates are limited because of the variability in neuroimaging modalities used to identify injuries, the small sample sizes included in most reports, and the description of historical cohorts who underwent care decades ago.

Initial studies focusing on the documentation and description of neurologic injury from ECMO focused mainly on cranial ultrasound (CUS). More recently, the added utility of head CT over
CUS for identifying brain injury in neonates treated with ECMO has been reported. Although advances in MR imaging and improved accessibility have led to increased use of routine posttreatment MR imaging, few studies have described patterns of post-ECMO brain injury on MR imaging. Furthermore, most studies have imaged a relatively small cohort of patients and do not reflect the current era of care, when time changes and advances have been made with regard to equipment (eg, centrifugal pump, hollow-fiber oxygenator, and cerebral oximetry) and management strategies (eg, approach to anticoagulation) used to provide ECMO life support. Current estimates of the amount of post-ECMO cerebral injury are essential for counseling families regarding the risk-benefit ratio of this life-saving therapy.

The main objective of our study was to describe the frequency and patterns of ECMO-related brain injury based on MR imaging in a relatively large contemporary cohort of neonates treated with ECMO. Secondly, we aimed to compare the sensitivities of MR imaging and CUS for post-ECMO brain injury and to evaluate whether the type and frequency of brain injury differ between patients undergoing venoarterial (VA) ECMO and those treated with venovenous (VV) ECMO.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Population and Data Collection**

This institutional review board–approved, Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act compliant retrospective study included neonatal patients treated with ECMO, admitted to a single-center level IV neonatal intensive care unit in a free-standing academic children’s hospital, between July 2005 and February 2015, who underwent brain MR imaging before discharge according to unit protocol. At our institution, all neonatal patients treated with ECMO undergo MR imaging before discharge when they are deemed clinically stable enough to tolerate the procedure. Demographic and clinical data were compiled from the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization registry and medical records including demographic and presenting characteristics as well as mode and duration of ECMO.

**Assessment of Brain Injury with MR Imaging**

MRIs were reviewed for location and type of parenchymal injury by using a method similar to that described by Bulas et al. Infarctions were classified as minor (small [\(<1\) cm] foci of signal abnormality [Fig 1A, -B]) or major (>1 cm, including large vascular territories [Fig 1C, -D]). Hemorrhages classified as minor included extra-axial hemorrhages without mass effect, parenchymal hemorrhages (single or multiple) smaller than 1 cm (Fig 1E), and grade 1 or 2 intraventricular hemorrhage. All other hemorrhages were classified as major (Fig 1G). Lesions were also assessed based on their acuity, taking into account the proximity to ECMO decannulation. Specifically, attention was paid to signal characteristics on diffusion, T1, and T2 sequences to classify infarcts and hemorrhages as acute, subacute (early and late in the case of hemorrhage), or chronic by methods previously described. Enlargement of the subarachnoid spaces (Fig 1H, -I) was assessed by measuring the space anterior to the frontal poles. If greater than or equal to 6 mm, the spaces were labeled “enlarged.”

All MR images were performed on either a 1.5T or 3T MR scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin). Scans were performed with a “feed and bundle” protocol during the patients’ natural sleep. Sedation was used at the discretion of the clinical provider, typically in cases where the baby was weaning from sed-
Table 1: Clinical characteristics of patient population

| Characteristics          | Total (n = 81) | VA ECMO (n = 67) | VV ECMO (n = 14) | P Value |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Gestational age (wk)    | 38.12 ± 3.32   | 37.98 ± 2.82    | 38.79 ± 4.35    | .382    |
| Birth weight (kg)       | 3.16 ± 0.76    | 3.12 ± 0.72     | 3.35 ± 0.94     | .303    |
| Male, no. (%)           | 48 (59)        | 39 (81)         | 9 (63)          | .674    |
| Race, no. (%)           |                |                 |                 |         |
| White                   | 16 (20)        | 13 (81)         | 3 (19)          | .804    |
| Black                   | 41 (50)        | 35 (85)         | 6 (15)          |         |
| Other                   | 24 (30)        | 19 (79)         | 5 (21)          |         |
| Apgar scorec            |                |                 |                 |         |
| 1 minb                  | 5 (5)          | 5 (4)           | 4 (5)           | .699    |
| 5 mind                  | 7 (3)          | 7.5 (2)         | 7 (3)           | .691    |
| Diagnosis, no. (%)      |                |                 |                 |         |
| MAS                     | 34 (42)        | 25 (37)         | 9 (64)          | .033    |
| CDH                     | 17 (21)        | 17 (25)         | 0 (0)           |         |
| PPHN                    | 13 (16)        | 12 (18)         | 1 (7)           |         |
| Sepsis                  | 11 (14)        | 7 (10)          | 4 (29)          |         |
| Other                   | 6 (7)          | 6 (9)           | 0 (0)           |         |
| Age on ECMO (d)c        | 2 (2)          | 2 (3)           | 1.5 (1.5)       | .645    |
| ECMO hoursd             | 168 (127)      | 190 (128)       | 103 (98)        | .006    |
| Age at MRI (d)e         | 26 (24)        | 32 (24)         | 16 (10)         | .560    |
| Time from decannulation to MRI (d)f | 12 (16) | 13 (19) | 8 (4) | .034 |

Note: CDH indicates congenital diaphragmatic hernia; MAS, meconium aspiration syndrome; PPHN, persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn.

*Data presented as mean ± SD or proportions except where noted.
*bComparison between VA and VV.
*cData presented as median (interquartile range).
*dData available for 79/81 patients.
*eData available for 67/81 patients.

RESULTS

Study Population

One hundred sixty-one neonates underwent ECMO in the period from July 2005 to February 2015. Overall ECMO mortality was 13%. Of 140 total survivors, 81 (58%) underwent imaging with both CUS and MR imaging. Of the 81 neonates who underwent imaging, mean birth weight was 3.16 ± 0.76 kg, gestational age at birth was 38.0 ± 3.12 weeks, and 59% were male. The most common diagnosis on admission was meconium aspiration syndrome (42%), followed by congenital diaphragmatic hernia (21%), persistent pulmonary hypertension of the neonate (16%), and sepsis (14%). Most neonates were started on ECMO within 1 week of birth, most commonly on the second day of life. Median duration of ECMO was 7 days (range, 2–18 days), and median age at MR imaging was 26 days (Table 1).

Frequency, Type, and Location of Brain Injury by MR Imaging

Of the 81 neonates studied, 37 (46%) demonstrated imaging evidence of intracranial injury. Hemorrhagic lesions were identified in 19 patients (23%). Of these, most (84%) were classified as minor and 16% were classified as major. Most hemorrhages demonstrated signal intensities that were not specific for timing in terms of association with ECMO. In other words, these hemorrhages could have occurred either before ECMO, during ECMO, or in the interim between ECMO decannulation and MR imaging. Infarction was seen in 18 patients (22%), mostly in vascular territories (5% anterior cerebral artery, 5% MCA, 1% posterior cerebral artery). Of these, 56% were classified as minor and 44% were classified as major. Only approximately half of these lesions could
be definitively associated with ECMO based on timing from signal intensity. Distribution of hemorrhagic and ischemic injuries are described in Table 2.

Overall, the highest frequency of injury occurred in the frontal (right, 24%; left, 25%) and temporoparietal (right, 14%; left, 19%) white matter (Fig 2A). There was a slight left-sided predominance of injury, but this difference was not statistically significant ($P > .05$). Other MR imaging findings included volume loss (35%), increased subarachnoid spaces (44%), and ventriculomegaly (17% mild, 5% moderate, 1% severe; Table 3).

### MR Spectroscopy and Arterial Spin-Labeling

MR spectroscopy was performed on 25 neonates. Fifteen underwent intermediate TE only (144 ms). Nine underwent short TE (35 ms) and long TE (270 or 288 ms). One underwent only long TE (288 ms). Six (24%) had evidence of lactate. Age at MR imaging was similar between infants with and without lactate (median age, 17 days [interquartile range, 4 days] versus 17 days [interquartile range, 23 days], respectively; $P > .05$), as was proximity of scan to day of decannulation (median, 7 days [interquartile range, 16 days] versus 8.5 days [interquartile range, 9 days]; $P > .05$). Of the 6 infants with lactate who underwent MR spectroscopy, 2 had histories of perinatal asphyxia and 3 had significant postnatal events requiring cardiopulmonary resuscitation. All neonates had normal ratios of NAA/Cr and Cho/Cr for their ages.

A total of 24 neonates underwent arterial spin-labeling perfusion imaging. Most images were normal ($n = 18; 75\%$). Three infants (13\%) had symmetrically increased perfusion, and 1 infant had asymmetrically decreased perfusion. Asymmetric perfusion (left side greater than right) was observed in 2 infants.

### Comparison of MR Imaging and CUS Assessments of Brain Injury

Compared with MR imaging, CUS had low sensitivity for intraparenchymal lesions (Fig 2B). CUS missed 15 of 16 (94\%) minor hemorrhages and 1 of 3 (33\%) major hemorrhages. The missed major hemorrhage was located in the right parietotemporal cortex and subcortical white matter. CUS missed all minor infarctions and 3 of 8 (38\%) major infarctions. Of the major infarctions missed, all 3 involved MCA territories.

### Comparison of Brain Injury in VA ECMO Versus VV ECMO

Most (82\%) patients were treated with VA ECMO. Distribution of diagnoses differed between the 2 groups, with babies with congenital diaphragmatic hernia being exclusively treated with VA ECMO. Patients treated with VA ECMO spent significantly more time on ECMO compared with those treated with VV ECMO (7.9 days versus 4.3 days, respectively; $P = .006$). Otherwise, baseline characteristics were similar between the 2 groups (Table 1).

Comparison of MR imaging findings based on mode of ECMO is summarized in Table 3. More parenchymal injuries were seen in patients treated with VA ECMO (49\%) compared with those treated with VV ECMO (29\%), though this difference was not statistically significant ($P = .16$). The pattern of injury was consistent between the 2 modes (Fig 2C, D). All major hemorrhages and major infarcts were seen in patients on VA ECMO. Patients treated with VA ECMO had higher frequency of increased subarachnoid spaces (51\%) compared with those treated with VV ECMO (14\%; $P = .01$). Right ICA narrowing was present in 73\% of patients ($n = 59$). However, as expected, narrowing was much more common in patients treated with VA ECMO than those treated with VV ECMO (85\% versus 14\%; $P < .001$). Of the patients on VA ECMO who had arterial spin-labeling as part of their scan, most (14/17 [82\%]) showed symmetric perfusion.

### DISCUSSION

In the largest, most comprehensive study to date, we used MR imaging to describe the patterns and frequency of brain injury in neonates who have undergone therapy with ECMO. Nearly half of surviving neonatal patients treated with ECMO had intracranial injury detected by MR imaging, and these lesions were largely missed by CUS. We describe both structural changes (such as parenchymal hemorrhage and infarction, enlarged subarachnoid spaces, and ventriculomegaly) and physiologic changes (such as differences in cerebral perfusion by arterial spin-labeling and metabolic profiles by MR spectroscopy). There was a higher frequency of injury in patients treated with VA ECMO compared with those treated with VV ECMO, but the differences were not statistically significant. The patterns of injury were similar between the 2 modes of cannulation. These data can serve as important benchmarks that can be used when counseling families about the risks, benefits, and potential outcomes of ECMO support in the modern era of care.

Our findings are overall in concert with, and serve to complement, those of prior studies examining ECMO-associated patterns of intracranial injury on CUS, CT, and MR imaging. The prevalence of injury reported in the current study (46\%) is similar compared with prior CT-based studies performed at our institu-

### Table 2: Distribution of hemorrhagic and ischemic brain injuries by MRI

| Description of Injury | Hemorrhagic Injury ($n = 19$) | Ischemic Injury ($n = 18$) |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Focality              |                              |                           |
| Unifocal (total)      | 4 (21)                       | 4 (22)                    |
| Unilateral right      | 3 (16)                       | 3 (17)                    |
| Unilateral left       | 1 (5)                        | 1 (6)                     |
| Multifocal (total)    | 15 (79)                      | 14 (78)                   |
| Unilateral right      | 1 (5)                        | 1 (6)                     |
| Unilateral left       | 2 (10)                       | 4 (22)                    |
| Bilateral             | 12 (63)                      | 9 (50)                    |
| Supratentorial        | 16 (84)                      | 16 (89)                   |
| Infratentorial        | 6 (32)                       | 1 (6)                     |
| Anatomic location     |                              |                           |
| Cortex and white matter | 2 (10)                      | 12 (67)                   |
| White matter only     | 10 (52)                      | 5 (28)                    |
| Deep gray nuclei      | 2 (10)                       | 7 (39)                    |
| Brain stem            | 3 (16)                       | 1 (6)                     |
| Cerebellum            | 5 (26)                       | 0 (0)                     |
| Vascular territory    |                              |                           |
| ACA                   | NA                           | 4 (22)                    |
| MCA                   | NA                           | 4 (22)                    |
| PCA                   | NA                           | 1 (6)                     |
| Extra-axial           |                              |                           |
| Minor (no mass effect)| 7 (37)                       | NA                        |
| Major (mass effect)   | 1 (5)                        | NA                        |

Note: --ACA indicates anterior cerebral artery; NA, not applicable; PCA, posterior cerebral artery.

* Data presented as no. of patients (%).
Likewise, similar rates of injury have been reported by prior studies evaluating injury on MR imaging.\(^5,6,9\) We used a classification scheme previously devised by our group that specifically describes injuries known to occur in patients with ECMO.\(^5\) It should be noted that studies that did not include volume loss or increased subarachnoid spaces as a form of injury have described lower rates of injury (17%–26%).\(^15,16\) That CUS is less sensitive than MR imaging (or CT) is also consistent with prior studies.\(^5,6,9,14-16\) Although we used the cumulative assessment of brain injury across serial CUS to optimize the sensitivity of this technique,\(^16\) it is acknowledged that the direct comparison of sensitivities between CUS and MR imaging is limited because these studies were not performed at the same time. We cannot exclude that injuries occurring between the last CUS and performance of the MR imaging could impact our estimates of injury frequencies.

The most common type of injury involved intraparenchymal ischemic or hemorrhagic lesions, most frequently in the frontal, parietal, and temporal subcortical white matter, without significant laterality. Ischemic lesions or infarctions likely result from a combination of risk factors, including pre-ECMO hemodynamic instability and hypoxia as well as potential cerebral blood flow alterations associated with cannulation. The increased risk of hemorrhage in patients treated with ECMO may relate to treatment with systemic anticoagulation as well as to increased cerebral venous pressure resulting from cannulation/ligation of the right internal jugular vein causing venous outflow obstruction.\(^17\) The same alteration in venous physiology has been implicated in causing the enlarged subarachnoid spaces in these patients as a result of decreased CSF resorption.\(^18\) The relatively high frequency of increased subarachnoid spaces in our study (44%) is overall similar to the prevalence reported in prior studies.\(^5,6\)

**FIG 2.** Location and frequency of brain injury. Heat maps demonstrate frequency of parenchymal injury on MR imaging (A) and sonography (B) in addition to comparison between VA (C) and VV (D) ECMO based on MR imaging lesions. MR imaging region key: 1, frontal cortex (left); 2, frontal cortex (right); 3, temporoparietal cortex (left); 4, temporoparietal cortex (right); 5, occipital cortex (left); 6, occipital cortex (right); 7, frontal white matter (left); 8, frontal white matter (right); 9, temporoparietal white matter (left); 10, temporoparietal white matter (right); 11, occipital white matter (left); 12, occipital white matter (right); 13, caudate (left); 14, caudate (right); 15, putamen (left); 16, putamen (right); 17, globus pallidus (left); 18, globus pallidus (right); 19, thalamus (left); 20, thalamus (right); 21, cerebellum (left); 22, cerebellum (right); and 23, brain stem. Sonography region key: 1, frontal (left); 2, frontal (right); 3, temporoparietal (left); 4, temporoparietal (right); 5, occipital (left); 6, occipital (right); 7, basal ganglia (left); 8, basal ganglia (right); 9, thalamus (left); 10, thalamus (right); and 11, posterior fossa.
It is important to future studies are needed in larger populations of neonatal ischemic encephalopathy and in other high-risk populations, it is uncertain why most patients treated with VA ECMO have normal, symmetric cerebral blood flow. This finding may relate to compensation by collateralization, such as from the vertebrabasilar system. Both of the neonates with asymmetric perfusion in our study demonstrated left side greater than right. It is possible that these infants had compromise to their right hemispheric perfusion related to carotid ligation. Some patients were external carotid to ICA collateral vascularization beyond the point of ligation.

Table 3: Comparison of intracranial injuries seen on MRI by type of ECMO

| MRI Findings                                      | Total (n = 81) | VA ECMO (n = 67) | VV ECMO (n = 14) | P Value\(^b\) |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Any injury (hemorrhage, infarction, volume loss, increased subarachnoid spaces) | 37 (46)        | 33 (49)          | 4 (29)           | .577         |
| Any hemorrhage                                   | 19 (23)        | 15 (22)          | 4 (26)           | .247         |
| Major hemorrhage                                 | 3 (4)          | 3 (4)            | 0 (0)            | .420         |
| Minor hemorrhage                                 | 16 (20)        | 12 (18)          | 4 (29)           | .362         |
| Any infarction                                   | 18 (22)        | 16 (24)          | 2 (14)           | .432         |
| Major infarction (total including arterial, venous infarcts, and others) | 8 (10)         | 8 (12)           | 0 (0)            | .173         |
| Arterial: ACA territory                          | 4 (5)          | 4 (6)            | 0 (0)            | .879         |
| Arterial: MCA territory                          | 4 (5)          | 4 (6)            | 0 (0)            | .879         |
| Arterial: PCA territory                          | 1 (1)          | 1 (1)            | 0 (0)            | .646         |
| Minor infarctions (total)                        | 10 (13)        | 8 (12)           | 2 (14)           | .808         |
| Cerebellar injury                                | 5 (6)          | 5 (7)            | 0 (0)            | .291         |
| Volume loss                                      |                |                  |                  |              |
| Any                                              | 28 (35)        | 25 (37)          | 3 (21)           | .256         |
| Cortical                                         | 5 (6)          | 5 (7)            | 0 (0)            | .291         |
| White matter                                     | 27 (33)        | 24 (36)          | 3 (21)           | .299         |
| Deep gray nuclei                                 | 3 (4)          | 3 (4)            | 0 (0)            | .420         |
| Cerebellum                                       | 3 (4)          | 3 (4)            | 0 (0)            | .420         |
| Brain stem                                       | 3 (4)          | 3 (4)            | 0 (0)            | .420         |
| Ventricular size                                  |                |                  |                  |              |
| Normal                                           | 60 (74)        | 49 (73)          | 11 (79)          | .673         |
| Silt                                             | 2 (3)          | 2 (3)            | 0 (0)            | .513         |
| Mild ventriculomegaly                            | 14 (17)        | 11 (16)          | 3 (21)           | .652         |
| Moderate ventriculomegaly                        | 4 (5)          | 4 (6)            | 0 (0)            | .879         |
| Severe ventriculomegaly                          | 1 (1)          | 1 (1)            | 0 (0)            | .646         |
| Increased subarachnoid spaces                    | 36 (44)        | 34 (51)          | 2 (14)           | .012         |

\(^a\) Data presented as no. of patients (%)

\(^b\) Comparison between VA and VV.

\(^c\) There was overlap among arterial territories on some studies.

Of the neonates who had MR spectroscopy of the left basal ganglia included as part of their MR imaging protocol, 24% demonstrated evidence of anaerobic metabolism with the presence of lactate. NAA/Cr and Cho/Cr ratios were normal in all patients, suggesting retained neuronal/cell membrane integrity within the areas of interest. Only 1 prior study evaluated MR spectroscopy in 9 neonates who had undergone ECMO and reported similar results regarding normal NAA/Cr and Cho/Cr ratios, though only 1 of their patients had mildly elevated lactate.\(^6\) It is important to note that observation of lactate may be dependent upon the temporal proximity of imaging to the precipitating hypoxic-ischemic event. Because the presence of lactate on MR spectroscopy has been associated with poor outcomes in neonates with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy and in other high-risk populations, evaluating infants with MR spectroscopy after ECMO may allow for identification of a subset of infants with the most significant brain injury.

Similarly, although most neonates had normal perfusion by arterial spin-labeling, 3 (13%) had symmetrically increased perfusion, which can be seen as a postischemic reperfusion response.\(^21\) It is uncertain why most patients treated with VA ECMO had normal, symmetric cerebral blood flow. This finding may relate to compensation by collateralization, such as from the vertebralbasilar system. Both of the neonates with asymmetric perfusion in our study demonstrated left side greater than right. It is possible that these infants had compromise to their right hemispheric perfusion related to carotid ligation. Some patients were sedated for MR imaging. However, given the small number of patients with abnormal arterial spin-labeling results who were sedated (4 of 25 total neonates), the exact impact is difficult to determine. Furthermore, the perfusion pattern in these neonates was quite variable. Of these 4 neonates, 2 had asymmetric perfusion (left side more pronounced than right), 1 had symmetrically increased perfusion, and 1 had symmetrically decreased perfusion.

Our findings of increased number and severity of injuries seen with VA ECMO are in accordance with prior literature.\(^22\) It should be noted that those neonates who undergo VA ECMO generally demonstrate inherently increased disease severity compared with those on VV ECMO, necessitating both cardiovascular and respiratory support. We have shown that decreasing caliber of the distal right ICA is a common finding in those neonates who have undergone VA ECMO, likely as a result of altered vascular anatomy after carotid cannulation. It is uncertain why some patients treated with VA ECMO had no evidence of ICA narrowing. This finding may relate to collateralization of flow (such as external carotid to ICA collateral vascularization beyond the point of ligation).

Neuroimaging findings may prove useful in the prediction of neurodevelopmental outcomes in neonates who have undergone ECMO. Unfortunately, we were unable to collect robust long-term neurodevelopmental outcome data in this retrospective study, and therefore, we were not able to assess the relationship of reported imaging findings with functional deficits. Prior studies have shown that intracranial injury diagnosed on neuroimaging studies can predict future neurologic deficits in other high-risk neonatal patients,\(^23\) including patients treated with VA ECMO.\(^7,24\) However, a recent study questioned the prognostic value of MR imaging in neonatal patients treated with ECMO, though this study included a relatively small number of patients with outcome data.\(^6\) Future studies are needed in larger populations of neonatal ECMO survivors to establish functional correlates of specific MR imaging findings because this information can help guide family counseling and the direction of rehabilitative care.

Our study has several limitations. Our results have an inherent survivorship bias in that only those neonates who survived ECMO were included in our study. Therefore, it is more than likely that overall injury from ECMO is worse than what we have reported. However, it is this survivorship data that will be most useful for counseling of families of ECMO survivors. Another limitation is the fact that the MR imaging protocols were not stan-
dardized across our research population. The variability in the timing of MR imaging after ECMO cannulation precluded the ability to precisely time lesions to determine acuity and relationship to ECMO. This study spanned a 10-year period, practically an eternity when it comes to the rapid pace of innovation in radiology. In keeping pace with such innovation, MR imaging protocols and sequence parameters were updated on a continuous basis. Although our cohort of patients was larger than that of most previous studies, our study remains limited by the relatively small overall sample size, especially in the evaluation of arterial spin-labeling and MR spectroscopy.

CONCLUSIONS
In a large contemporary cohort of neonatal patients treated with ECMO, MR imaging identified brain injury in nearly half of the patients after treatment, with increased sensitivity compared with sonography. The frontal and temporoparietal white matter are most commonly affected, without significant laterality. This pattern of injury is similar between VV ECMO and VA ECMO, though frequency of injury may be higher after VA ECMO. Future studies should strive to correlate post-ECMO injury with neurodevelopmental outcomes.
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