Authentication markers in habanero pepper (Capsicum chinense) by the quantification of mineral multielements through ICP-spectroscopy
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Abstract
The aim of this work was to determine in Capsicum chinense the 1) concentration of heavy metals (Al, As, Cd, Pb), majority (Ca, K, Mg, Na) and essential (Cu, Fe, Mn, Se, Zn) mineral elements, 2) effects of ripening stage, harvest number and type of soil on the mineral content and 3) authenticity markers. Peppers grown in black and red soils of this Mayan region, were harvested in two ripening stages (ripe and ripening peppers [orange and green peppers, respectively]) and in four post-transplant dates: I (132 post-transplant days, PTD), II (160PTD), III (209PTD) and IV (265PTD). These samples were analyzed by ICP-Spectroscopy. The ripening stage affected the Al, Cu and Zn contents in ripe peppers while As presented the highest content in ripening peppers. Harvests I y III presented the highest Al, Ca, K contents while harvests II y III presented the highest As and Pb contents. Nine and ten elements developed authenticity markers according to the maturation and harvest number, respectively. The results obtained will help the producers and industrialists to focused on the production and agronomic management of high quality habanero peppers.
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Practical Application: Know the mineral concentration of the habanero pepper and its relationship with the maturation, number of cultivation and type of soil.

1 Introduction
Chili peppers are considered an important ingredient for gastronomy worldwide due to its sensory properties that influence the acceptance by consumers (Guzmán & Bosland, 2017; Solleiro-Rebolledo & Mejía-Chávez, 2018). These fruits contain different bio-functional compounds (e.g., capsaicinoids, vitamins A, E and C and carotenoids) and antioxidants (e.g. catechin, quercetin and kaempferol) that help preventing cardiovascular diseases, cancer and neurological disorders (Guzmán & Bosland, 2017; Rodríguez-Buenfil et al., 2020). In Mexico, the production of chili peppers contributes with 20.2% of the national vegetables production, reporting more than 3 million ton of chili peppers in 2019 and a productive area of 147,000 ha destined for planting/harvesting a vast variety of chili peppers (e.g. serrano, de arbol, jalapeno, guajillo, pasilla, ancho, piquin, manzano and habanero) (Servicio de Información Agroalimentaria y Pesquera, 2020). In Mexico the production of habanero pepper (Capsicum chinense Jacq.) reached 20,829.61 tons in 2019, while in the Yucatan region was of 6,287.70 tons in the same year (Oney-Montalvo et al., 2020). An 80% of the production of habanero pepper is consumed fresh and the rest is used in the preparation of ancestral mayan foods and sauces (Castillejos-Alegria & Porte-Morales 1997). Furthermore, habanero pepper has the distinction of Denomination of Origin (DO) associated with a specific Official Mexican Standard (NOM-189-SCFI) (Mexico, 2017) where its characteristics are state. Due to its economical and social importance, it is necessary to quantify the content of mineral multi-elements in habanero pepper to verify its safety and quality (Herman-Lara et al., 2019). In this sense, the habanero chili with DO is grown mainly in two types of soils in Yucatan, the Box lu’um (black soil) and K’áankab lu’um (red soil), these correspond to the mayan language names (Rodríguez-Buenfil et al., 2020). However, there are other factors of great importance such as temperature, humidity, harvest number and ripening that may contribute to changes in the concentration of some mineral elements (e.g., Ca, K, Mg, Na, Cu, Cr, Mn, Se and Zn) necessary for the correct metabolic functioning of the human being (Sevgi-Kirdar et al., 2015). From the point of view of the producers and industrialists, it is necessary to know the mineral concentration of the habanero pepper and its relationship with the maturation, number of cultivation and type of soil.
of consumer protection, it is critical to know the concentration of heavy metals (e.g., Al, As, Cd and Pb) that may be present in habanero pepper, to find strategies to minimize them and largely avoid clinical conditions such as cardiovascular toxicity, instability of the central nervous system, neurological problems, among others (Herman-Lara et al., 2019). Currently, the accumulation of heavy metals in soils is a cause for concern in agricultural production due to adverse effects (e.g., poor harvest and crop development) on food safety and consequently to the market economical behavior (Nagajyoti et al., 2010; Khanlari & Jalali 2008). The identification of authenticity markers is currently carried out determining mineral elements of peppers produced in given regions as Tenerife in Spain or Oaxaca in Mexico, differentiating them from other similar peppers grown in other regions of the world (Herman-Lara et al., 2019). The currently research has been developed on the content of mineral elements in peppers focused on macro and microelements considering only the ripening state or the time of harvest (Rubio et al., 2002; Pérez-López et al., 2007; Khan et al., 2019). The effects of two heavy elements, Se and Al, have been analyzed in isolation to determine its influence on the human body as well as tolerance and toxicity levels in plants used for human consumption (Navarro-Alarcon & Cabrera-Vique, 2008; Nagajyoti et al., 2010; He et al., 2019). Currently there is no scientific evidence on the behavior of the concentration of heavy, macro, and micro mineral elements based on factors as harvest number and type of soil related to the ripening state; this understanding could be helpful in the cultivation of habanero pepper. The aforementioned factors have been studied from the instrumental perspective of color (Ramírez-Sucre et al., 2018), carotenoid content (Zamacona-Ruiz et al., 2018), volatile compounds and antioxidant activity (Gómez-Rincón et al., 2018). For all the above, the objective of this work was to determine the authenticity markers in habanero peppers (Capsicum chinense) by means of plasm atomic emission spectroscopy depending on the state of maturation, harvest number and soil type.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Obtaining samples of habanero pepper

Samples of habanero peppers were obtained from plants grown in greenhouses of the Centro de Investigación y Asistencia en Tecnología y Diseño del Estado de Jalisco, A.C (CIATEJ) Southeast Unit. Three hundred seedlings of Capsicum chinense Jacq of 40 days of germination were acquired from a certified local supplier. The seedlings were transplanted to containers with 12 kg of black (Box hu’um) and red (K’ának hu’um) soils. These two soils indicated that, red soil has the highest concentration of calcium (2075.28 ± 29.70 mg/kg), magnesium (779.23 ± 12.71 mg/kg), phosphorus (11.00 ± 2.38 mg/kg) and iron (6.27 ± 0.21 mg/kg), but the lowest concentration of organic matter (5.16 ± 0.04%) (Oney-Montalvo et al., 2020). On the other hand, the black soil is characterized by having the highest concentration of nitrogen (52.01 ± 7.05 mg/kg), manganese (5.24 ± 0.45 mg/kg), organic matter (10.93 ± 0.23%) and the best electric conductivity (2.32 ± 0.16 d S/m). The crop was established in March 2018 in a white Gothic greenhouse with 2 ogival arches of polyethylene mesh (measurements: 26’12”7 m [L*a*h]) with 5 beds (1’24 m).

The plants were irrigated every 3 days with water from a local well (electrical conductivity of the water oscillated from 2.8 to 3.4 mS.). The environmental conditions in the greenhouse were a relative humidity (RH) > 91% and temperatures between 24 and 47 ºC. Habanero peppers cultivated in black and red soils (type of soil where it was cultivated), ripening (green coloration) and ripe (orange coloration) peppers (ripening stage) and harvested four times over 6 months (from July to December, every 2 months approximately) with 132, 160, 209 and 265 post-transplant days (PTD) (number of harvests corresponding to harvests I, II, III, and IV) were selected to reach a total of 16 samples of chili peppers. The number of samples analyzed was similar to other investigations focused on the determination of content of multielements and authenticity markers in DO or regional products (Ibrahim & Mehanna, 2015; Herman-Lara et al., 2019).

2.2 Conditioning samples for mineral determination

The conditioning of the samples was carried out in three successive stages: 1) A paste made from mechanically crushed Habanero peppers was frozen to -50 ºC for 24 h. Subsequently, dehydration was performed by lyophilization (FreeZone6 Liter Benchtop, Labconco, USA) under operating conditions of -50 ºC with a pressure of 0.200 mbar for a period of 72 h according to Zamacona-Ruiz et al. (2018); 2) these samples were calcined at 550 ºC for 5 h in a muffle (Felisa, FE-340, Feligneo, Mexico) according to method 935.42 of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (2012); 3) finally, 0.025 g of ashes were taken from each sample and dissolved in 10 mL of a mixture (1.2 v/v ratio) of HCl and HNO3 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) in a volumetric flask and finally adjusted to 50 mL with ultra high purity water (Pérez-López et al., 2007).

2.3 Analytical processing

The concentrations of the: 1) heavy metals as aluminum (Al), arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), and lead (Pb), 2) majority elements as calcium (Ca), potassium (K), magnesium (Mg) and sodium (Na) and 3) essential elements as copper (Cu), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), selenium (Se), and zinc (Zn), were quantified using a microwave induced Plasm Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (MP-AES, 4200MP-AES, Agilent Technologies, New Castle, Delaware, USA) connected to a nitrogen generator (Peak Genius 3055, Agilent Technologies, New Castle, Delaware, USA). The operating conditions (nebulizer flow and wavelength per element) of the MP-AES equipment were previously stablished by Herman-Lara et al. (2019). All multielement solutions were diluted in a range of concentrations of 0.1-5 mg/L. The calibration curves (coefficient of correlation R² = 0.99 per element) using multielement standard solutions (Agilent Technologies, Delawere, USA) were carried out for Al, As, Cd, Cu, Mn, Pb, Se, and Zn (50 mg/L) and Ca, K, Mg, and Na (500 mg/L). The determinations were carried out six times for reproducible and reliable results (Herman-Lara et al., 2019).

2.4 Statistical analysis

The sampling was carried out by the split–split plot design reported by Oney-Montalvo et al. (2021). During the four
harvesting periods samples of the same plants were taken, and three replications were analyzed by each combination of soil, maturity stage and harvest date. The data analysis strategy consisted of three phases. Phase 1) application of a variance analysis model (ANOVA) to a factor (sample) with $\alpha = 0.05$ and a Tukey post hoc test to determine significant difference based on the content of the elements. Phase 2) application of a three-way model (ripening state, harvest number and type of soil) analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine the importance of the factors and their influence on the content of mineral multi-elements. Phase 3) determination of authenticity markers according to the most influential factors (significant effect $p < 0.05$) obtained in phase 2. Heavy elements were also considered as part of the analysis of authenticity markers of habanero pepper since they have critical relevance due to health implications in other products such as wine and cheese (Wadood et al., 2020; Herman-Lara et al., 2019). For this purpose, a Discriminant Analysis (DA) stepwise procedure was used (Flores et al., 2013; Chávez-Servia et al., 2016). In addition, the following statistical indicators served stepwise, were interpreted: A) Wilk’s Lambda test ($\lambda$) and probability values ($p$) to determine the significance of discriminant functions was applied (Shintu & Caldarelli, 2006); B) the distances of Mahalanobis and confidence ellipses with a confidence level of 95% were used to determine the separation of the sample of habanero pepper (according to most factors with significant effect obtained in phase 2) in the factorial plane of the DA and C) the percentage (%) of classification was applied to check the discriminatory capacity of the model generated based on the content of elements (Moreno-Rojas et al., 2010; Wadood et al., 2020). All statistical tests were performed with the software STATGRAPHIC PLUS version 5.2 (Statistical Graphics Corp, U.S.A.).

3 Results

3.1 Phase I: analysis of the content of heavy, major and minor elements

The results per mineral element are shown in Table 1. It is observed that all the elements exhibited significant differences ($p < 0.05$) according to their content, except for Cd (undetectable).

Table 1. Average concentration (ppm) of each mineral element analyzed for the different samples of habanero pepper.

| Sample     | Al (ppm) | As (ppm) | Pb (ppm) | Ca (ppm) | Mg (ppm) | Na (ppm) | Cu (ppm) | Fe (ppm) | Mn (ppm) | Se (ppm) | Zn (ppm) |
|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| RSRPI      | 25.30 ± 4.80 ± 62.80 ± 54.90 ± 5.3900 ± 49.60 ± 2,175.88 ± 4.00 ± 32.00 ± 8.00 ± 100.00 ± 12.00 ± 1.80 |
| RSRNI      | 35.00 ± 100.00 ± 50.00 ± 5.0860 ± 903.00 ± 1,220.00 ± 4.00 ± 23.00 ± 6.00 ± 92.00 ± 10.60 ± 1.40 |
| BSRPI      | 127.30 ± 84.30 ± 121.60 ± 731.00 ± 5,820.00 ± 849.33 ± 317.00 ± 4.00 ± 30.30 ± 6.30 ± 71.90 ± 68.30 ± 0.90 |
| BSRNI      | 16.60 ± 87.30 ± 95.30 ± 573.00 ± 5,400.00 ± 918.66 ± 4.00 ± 21.60 ± 4.00 ± 114.30 ± 10.00 ± 0.90 |
| BSRPII     | 22.60 ± 106.60 ± 118.00 ± 560.00 ± 5,277.00 ± 906.00 ± 1,620.00 ± 4.00 ± 25.30 ± 6.00 ± 127.00 ± 10.30 ± 0.90 |
| BSRPIII    | 22.00 ± 99.00 ± 110.60 ± 700.00 ± 4,958.00 ± 960.00 ± 1,656.66 ± 4.00 ± 18.60 ± 6.00 ± 119.30 ± 10.00 ± 0.00 |
| BSRPIIV    | 17.90 ± 100.00 ± 94.20 ± 557.70 ± 4,884.00 ± 889.44 ± 1,836.80 ± 3.98 ± 17.90 ± 5.90 ± 104.00 ± 11.20 ± 0.00 |
| RSRPII     | 15.00 ± 106.30 ± 107.00 ± 680.00 ± 5,594.00 ± 958.00 ± 1,299.33 ± 4.00 ± 22.00 ± 6.00 ± 100.00 ± 8.00 ± 0.47 |
| RSRPIII    | 87.00 ± 118.00 ± 107.60 ± 597.30 ± 4,598.80 ± 1040.00 ± 2,001.00 ± 5.30 ± 46.00 ± 6.30 ± 107.00 ± 200.00 ± 1.41 |
| RSRPIV     | 41.50 ± 134.40 ± 103.50 ± 597.60 ± 4,181.80 ± 978.75 ± 1,513.94 ± 1.90 ± 19.00 ± 7.90 ± 120.50 ± 8.63 ± 0.46 |
| BSRPII     | 98.30 ± 128.00 ± 94.60 ± 628.00 ± 4,918.70 ± 1020.00 ± 1,640.00 ± 10.00 ± 105.80 ± 11.00 ± 121.60 ± 282.66 ± 0.47 |
| BSRPIII    | 34.80 ± 129.40 ± 4.90 ± 359.50 ± 2,829.60 ± 734.06 ± 856.57 ± 1.90 ± 15.9 ± 3.90 ± 90.63 ± 4.30 ± 1.40 |
| BSRPIIV    | 56.00 ± 11.90 ± 36.60 ± 317.00 ± 2,700.00 ± 658.00 ± 897.90 ± 2.00 ± 32.00 ± 4.60 ± 120.10 ± 3.00 ± 2.83 |
| BSRPIV     | 26.80 ± 30.80 ± 72.30 ± 571.92 ± 3,768.93 ± 993.00 ± 966.00 ± 2.00 ± 50.80 ± 8.90 ± 5.90 ± 6.90 ± 1.40 |
| BSRPIV     | 34.00 ± 40.00 ± 84.00 ± 441.00 ± 3,864.00 ± 979.00 ± 999.00 ± 2.00 ± 25.00 ± 6.00 ± 7.50 ± 7.00 ± 0.00 |
| BSRPIV     | 17.30 ± 54.00 ± 65.30 ± 559.00 ± 4,000.00 ± 1,240.00 ± 1,097.90 ± 3.50 ± 36.00 ± 8.60 ± 35.60 ± 10.60 ± 0.94 |

*aDifferent literals in columns indicates significant difference according to Tukey test at $p < 0.05$; BS = Black soil (mayan name: Box lu’um); RS = Red soil (mayan name: K’aankab lu’um); RN = ripening habanero pepper of green coloration; RP = ripe habanero pepper of orange coloration; Numbers I, II, III and IV indicate the harvests that correspond to 132, 160, 209 and 265 PTD.*
15-127.3; 30.8-134.4 and 4.9-121.6 ppm, respectively; while concentrations of the major elements Ca, Mg, K and Na were quantified between 317-731; 734-1240; 2700-5820 and 317-2175 ppm, respectively. The concentrations of the minor elements Cu, Fe, Mn, Se and Zn were in the ranges 1.9-10; 17.9-105.8; 3.9-11; 5.9-127; 3-682.3 ppm, respectively.

3.2 Phase II: effect of ripening, harvest number and type of soil in the concentration of multi-elements

Table 2 shows the results of probability obtained for the factors ripening stage, harvest number and type of soil. It is observed that ripening stage was significant in the mineral elements Al, As, Cu and Zn; while harvest number influences the concentration of the elements Al, As, Pb, Ca, K, Na and Se. In Table 3 the average results of each mineral element analyzed are shown. The ripening factor demonstrated the existence of significant differences (p < 0.001) in heavy metals (Al and As) as well as in minority elements (Cu and Zn); the ripening peppers obtained a higher concentration of Al 58.57 ± 39.6, Cu 4.41 ± 2.4 and Zn 151.07 ± 36.70 ppm (Table 3), respectively. Only As presented the highest content in ripening peppers 93.18 ± 32.2 compared to ripe peppers 84.23 ± 38.30 ppm (Table 3). For the harvest number factor, significant differences (p < 0.05) were found in heavy metals (Al, As and Pb), in the major elements (Ca, K and Na) and in the minor mineral element Se. The highest contents of the heavy mineral elements Al (65.42 ± 29.55 ppm) and As (127.48 ± 6.75 ppm) were found in harvest III; in contrast, for Pb was found in harvest II (107.48 ± 9.2 ppm) (Table 3). The highest concentrations of the major elements Ca and Na were obtained in harvest II (624.42 ± 70.49 and 1603.20 ± 207.05 ppm, respectively) while in the case of K the highest concentrations were observed in harvest I (5,426.25 ± 278.89 ppm) and II (5,329.26 ± 259.60 ppm) with a subsequent decrease (Table 3) due to an expected effect of the K depletion in soils; Mg seems to accumulate until reaching a maximum content in harvest IV (967.50 ± 220.93 ppm). In the case of minority elements, Se exhibited the highest contents in harvest II and III (112.8 ± 11.6 and 109.95 ± 13.40 ppm, respectively).

3.3 Phase III: determination of authenticity markers according to the most influential factors

The results of the determination of the authenticity markers according to the maturation stage and the harvest number factors show that for the maturation factor, the elements As, Pb, Ca, Na, Cu, Fe, Mn, Se and Zn contributed to differentiate the peppers according to their ripening stage while the classification percentage of the model used

| Element | Ripening stage | Harvest (post-transplant days) | Soil |
|---------|----------------|--------------------------------|------|
|         | Ripening (green coloration) | Ripe (orange coloration) | I (132) | II (160) | III (209) | IV (265) | Black (Box l/um) | Red (K/ank/able/um) |
| Heavy   |                 |                                |      |        |          |          |       |                  |                        |
| Al      | 26.15 ± 9.60a  | 58.57 ± 39.6a                 | 51.08 ± 47.50 | 19.39 ± 3.35 | 65.42 ± 29.55 | 33.55 ± 15.25 | 45.18 ± 41.70 | 39.54 ± 21.60 |
| As      | 93.18 ± 32.2a  | 84.23 ± 38.3a                 | 90.16 ± 8.60 | 103.00 ± 3.82 | 127.48 ± 6.75 | 34.19 ± 16.39 | 91.18 ± 30.90 | 86.23 ± 41.30 |
| Cd      | 0.00 ± 0.00a   | 0.00 ± 0.00a                  | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 |
| Pb      | 82.40 ± 34.1a  | 92.90 ± 25.7a                 | 100.80 ± 13.90 | 107.48 ± 9.20 | 77.72 ± 45.10 | 64.59 ± 18.60 | 84.30 ± 34.40 | 91.90 ± 25.60 |
| Major   |                 |                                |      |        |          |          |       |                  |                        |
| Ca      | 575.8 ± 102.70a| 547.63 ± 119.60              | 618.25 ± 74.75 | 624.42 ± 70.49 | 545.62 ± 115.61 | 458.73 ± 98.90 | 566.16 ± 291.10 | 557.34 ± 107.60 |
| K       | 4,477.31 ± 911.50 | 4,758.19 ± 995.10  | 5,426.25 ± 278.89 | 5,329.26 ± 259.60 | 4,132.36 ± 851.10 | 3,583.23 ± 552.16 | 4,739.30 ± 1054.40 | 4,496.20 ± 883.20 |
| Mg      | 960.68 ± 134.50 | 908.76 ± 116.70          | 901.16 ± 34.08 | 927.02 ± 34.47 | 943.20 ± 131.22 | 967.50 ± 220.93 | 948.56 ± 142.50 | 920.88 ± 112.10 |
| Na      | 1,286.19 ± 298.90 | 1,435.95 ± 641.80 | 1,347.97 ± 731.79 | 1,603.20 ± 207.05 | 1,502.88 ± 442.31 | 990.23 ± 77.06 | 1,215.70 ± 487.80 | 1,506.44 ± 443.10 |
| Essential |            |                                |      |        |          |          |       |                  |                        |
| Cu      | 3.18 ± 0.90a   | 4.41 ± 2.40a                 | 4.00 ± 1.00 | 3.99 ± 0.07 | 4.82 ± 3.50 | 2.37 ± 0.70 | 4.18 ± 2.40 | 3.41 ± 1.20 |
| Fe      | 26.16 ± 11.20a | 39.29 ± 27.10                | 27.08 ± 4.40 | 20.98 ± 3.10 | 46.91 ± 38.30 | 35.94 ± 10.10 | 34.45 ± 28.50 | 31.00 ± 11.50 |
| Mn      | 6.69 ± 1.60a   | 6.78 ± 1.90a                 | 6.58 ± 0.90 | 5.99 ± 0.01 | 7.32 ± 2.70 | 7.07 ± 2.00 | 6.74 ± 2.10 | 6.74 ± 1.40 |
| Se      | 84.92 ± 45.0a  | 94.89 ± 37.90                | 94.55 ± 16.40 | 112.80 ± 11.60 | 109.95 ± 13.40 | 42.31 ± 49.60 | 80.82 ± 38.80 | 98.99 ± 38.00 |
| Zn      | 8.65 ± 2.17a   | 151.07 ± 36.70               | 178.75 ± 51.89 | 9.90 ± 1.20 | 123.90 ± 51.89 | 6.91 ± 2.90 | 127.03 ± 36.70 | 32.70 ± 36.70 |

***Significant at p < 0.0001; **Significant at p < 0.001; *Significant at p < 0.05; ns = not significant.
was 100%. The discrimination between ripening and ripe peppers in the DA factorial space was determined by the distance of Mahalanobis (24.17, \( p < 0.0001 \)). Figure 1a shows the first two discriminant functions (\( \lambda \) of Wilk’s = 0.13, \( p < 0.0001 \)) that explain 100% of the data variation with only two components. In this sense, ripening peppers are related to the authenticity markers As and Ca while ripe peppers are related to the elements Fe, Cu, Pb, Se, Mn, Mg, Na and Zn (Figure 1a). In the case of the harvest number factor, only the elements Al, As, Pb, Ca, K, Mg, Na, Cu, Fe and Mn are considered as authenticity markers (Figure 1b), this constitutes the 76.92% of the mineral elements used. The percentage of discrimination of the model for the harvest number factor was 93.75%. The different distances of Mahalanobis between chilies of harvests I and II (13.20, \( p < 0.001 \)), I and III (156.14, \( p < 0.001 \)), I and IV (939.19, \( p < 0.001 \)), II and III (148.74, \( p < 0.001 \)) and III and IV (1250.09, \( p < 0.001 \)) are shown in Figure 1b. This shows that peppers from harvests II and III are related to the authenticity markers Ca, K and Pb. The peppers of harvest III are related to the elements Al, As, Cu and Na while the peppers of harvest IV are related to Fe, Mg and Mn.
4 Discussion

4.1 Phase I: analysis of the content of heavy, major and essential elements

The non-detection of the Cd element could be since its concentration was below the previously established detection limits (Moreno-Rojas et al., 2010; Herman-Lara et al., 2019). The values found for the heavy elements exceeded the maximum permitted limits of 15, 0.2 and 0.5 ppm (mg/kg) for Al, As and Pb, respectively, indicated in the international standards CODEX-STAN 193 (Food and Agriculture Organization, 1995), Commission Regulation (EC)-1881 (European Union, 2006) and NOM-243-SSA1-2005 (México, 2010). The possible sources of this metal contamination in peppers may be due to 1) industrial waste and environmental pollution caused by the proximity to industries and communication routes (400 and 4,750 m approximately far away from the highway and a chicken farm, respectively), 2) use of foliar fertilizers and pesticides used during the cultivation of habanero pepper, 3) natural sources as soils (Nagajyoti et al., 2010). It is currently estimated environmentally, more than 1.5 million tons of Pb are used for different industrial applications where the concentrations of Cd and As in the environment and water range from 0.1 a 1.0 mg/kg and 20 to 100 ng/m³, respectively (Tchounwou et al., 2012; Fischer, 2005; Herman-Lara et al., 2019). On the other hand, the habanero pepper plant can absorb those elements from the soil due to the use of foliar fertilizers and pesticides. Wang et al. (2017) and Elloumi et al. (2016) mentioned that heavy metal ions in soil-plant systems can enter the plant by the roots and accumulate, considering this as another source of contamination. In other studies, Komárek et al. (2013) and Hamzenejad-Taghlidabad & Sepehr (2018) commented that high soil pH can also facilitate both, the absorption of metal cations in soils and the precipitation of heavy metals, which influences the uptake of heavy metals by plants. However, from the nutrimental point of view, Khan et al. (2019) commented that the consumption of habanero pepper can serve as a supplement for supplying major elements (Ca, Mg, K and Na) required in the daily diet since vegetables represent the main source of Ca; although, its concentration varies from one vegetable to another. In this sense, Ca together with other compounds such as Mg, Vitamin A, D and ascorbic acid are involved in bone formation (Fleck, 1976). Nevertheless, Mg participates in the release of parathyroid hormone, as a catalyst to convert vitamin D into an active state and is also an important cofactor for carrying out various enzymatic reactions considered of great importance for the generation of energy from Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) (Elingle et al., 2012; Qin et al., 2017). On the other hand, the consumption of K can contribute to lower blood pressure and urinary excretion of calcium that may favor the risk of cardiovascular disease and the development of osteoporosis (Zou et al., 2015). Moreover, Na is an element that contributes to the regulation of body fluids and helps maintaining the electrical potential in the human body as well as the proper functioning of muscles and nerves (Elingle et al., 2012; Ikpeme et al., 2014).

The content of Cu, Fe and Mn would be originated mainly because the pepper is exposed to the environment for a long time where these elements may precipitate (Khan et al., 2019). From the metabolic point of view, the mineral Cu is involved in the development of red blood cells, Fe absorption, glucose metabolism, cholesterol and protein and enzyme synthesis (Khan et al., 2019). Fe mineral element is associated with blood production and blood color and its deficiency is related to malnutrition in infants and pregnant women (Chávez-Servia et al., 2016). Element Mn is used in different physiological processes such as energy metabolism, antioxidant defense, immune function, among others (Materska & Perucka, 2005). The Se mineral is an element that acts as a cofactor of various enzymes that protect from oxidative stress, whose antioxidant function can reduce the damage caused by ultraviolet-β radiation in humans (Navarro-Alarcon & Cabrera-Vique, 2008). Mineral element Zn is present in all body tissue, acts as an enzymatic cofactor of RNA polymerase, is essential for the immune system and plays a key role in numerous transcription factors (Nagajyoti et al., 2010). The concentrations of Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn and Ar obtained in this work, would inform to consumers the daily needs of essential minerals covered when consuming the habanero pepper. In accordance with Rubio et al. (2002) and Chávez-Servia et al. (2016) 100 to 150 g of dry weight or 1.6 to 2.7 g of fresh chili pepper should be consumed daily to cover the daily nutritional requirements of the mineral elements mentioned above. Peppers are considered as an ingredient of potential use for the elaboration of diets in regions where the lack of other plant (e.g., nuts, lentils, chickpeas, cabbage) or animal origin sources is prominent, being highly recommended by nutritionists (Chávez-Servia et al., 2016). According to Institute of Medicine (US) Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000, Bou-Khouzam et al. (2011) and Sevgi-Kirdar et al. (2015) a healthy adult requires an ingestion of 3-5, 8-15, 2-5, 11 and 0.055 mg/day of Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn and Se, respectively. However, the differences found in heavy, major and minor metals considered in this research may be corrected by agricultural practices that involve the use of fertilizers, soil type, herbicide and pesticides (Sevgi-Kirdar et al., 2015; Khan et al., 2019).

4.2 Phase II: effect of ripening, harvest number and type of soil in the concentration of multi-elements

The significance of the ripening factor in the mineral content of peppers was also demonstrated by Rubio et al. (2002) who evaluated ripe peppers (Capsicum annuum) (red coloration) of the Island of Tenerife, Spain. These authors reported higher contents of Cu and Zn (0.7 and 1.7 ppm, respectively) compared to ripening peppers (green coloration). For its part, Pérez-López et al. (2007) found low concentrations of Cu (2.2 - 4.4 ppm) and Zn (10.8-17.2 ppm) in ripe sweet peppers (Sweet Peppers, cv. Almuden) from Spain compared to ripening peppers Cu (3.3-11.7 ppm) and Zn (6.2-50.7 ppm). In the case of ripening pepper, the high concentrations of AI could be due to the accumulation of this element in the roots or in the cellular vacuoles of the plant (He et al., 2019). The high content of As in ripening peppers may be due to the fact that the environment becomes more alkaline due to the use of fertilizers that contributes to a decrease in the absorption of other heavy metals in the developing pepper (Nagajyoti et al., 2010). The amounts of Ca, Na, K and Mg could be caused by a greater
metabolic regulation of carbohydrates and proteins in the root of the plant (Wang et al., 2012). Navarro-Alarcon & Cabrera-Vique (2008) mentioned that differences in the concentrations of elements could be a result of the redox potential of the soil, the existence of some organic and inorganic compounds or to the climatic conditions that influence the distribution, condition and availability of the elements.

4.3 Phase III: determination of authenticity markers according to the most influential factors

The results obtained from the discriminant functions are consistent with those obtained by Chávez-Servia et al. (2016) who reported significance in the first two discriminant functions (λ of Wilk’s = 0.014 and p < 0.01) of DA for the analysis of peppers from the state of Oaxaca, Mexico. The mineral elements identified as authenticity markers found in the present work have also been reported by Qin et al. (2017) to the differentiation of spinach from Indian, Holland, Macedonia, Turkey, Afghanistan, Italy, China, Japan and Iran, this work reports the following elements B, Ca, Co, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, P, S, and Zn as markers in vegetables. For its part, Flores et al. (2013) reported that the mineral elements Cu, K, Mg, Mn and Zn were considered as authenticity markers of sweet peppers (Capsicum annuum L.) from Spain, grown in organic, conventional and mixed systems. The percentages of classification obtained in this work were consistent with those obtained by Flores et al. (2013) and Chávez-Servia et al. (2016) who reported classification percentages of 90.4 and 91% in the differentiation of sweet peppers and native peppers, respectively, from the State of Oaxaca, Mexico. Although investigations related to the identification of authenticity markers of foods such as dairy products (Moreno-Rojas et al., 2010), peppers with DO or regional and local importance (Flores et al., 2013; Chávez-Servia et al., 2016; Qin et al., 2017; Mexico, 2017) do not consider heavy metals as part of authenticity markers in these final products. Moreover, the soil origin, agricultural techniques applied and technological procedures followed (Iонете et al., 2016) are related to the content of metals (including heavy metals) in fruits and vegetables. These elements should be included with the aim of seeking strategies that minimize its contents in food products and thereby improving the food quality and food safety of consumers (Wuana & Okieimen, 2011).

5 Conclusions

The concentration of heavy metals in habanero pepper exceeded the limits allowed by official national and international standards. This allows to focus new research in determining the sources of pollution of habanero pepper by heavy metals. However, the found contents of macro and micro elements can contribute to reduce the deficit of these elements in the consumer's diet because they are nutritionally valuable and necessary for the organism. It is also concluded that ripening and harvest factors had an influence on the content of mineral elements in habanero peppers; where ripening peppers had the highest concentrations of Al, Cu and Zn while Ar was presented with higher content in ripening peppers. Regarding the factor harvest number, it is concluded that at 132 PTD (harvest I) and 209 PTD (harvest III) the highest contents of Al, Ca, K were found while at 160 PTD (harvest II) and 209 PTD (harvest III) the highest Ar and Pb contents were observed. It was also observed that the mineral elements Cu and Se exhibited high concentrations in all harvests. Only the type of soil did not have a significant impact on any of the elements analyzed. Regarding the authenticity markers, nine elements (As, Pb, Ca, Na, Cu, Fe, Mn, Se and Zn) allow to differentiate the habanero peppers according to their ripening stage and ten elements (Al, As, Pb, Ca, K, Mg, Na, Cu, Fe and Mn) were considered as authenticity markers based on the harvest number factor. This was confirmed with the discriminatory capacity of the applied model, with 100 and 93.75% for ripening stage and harvest number factors, respectively. The results obtained in the present work can be very useful for the producers and industrialists focused on the production of habanero peppers and as a reference for new investigations that need to evaluate other factors such as agronomic management, type of production, among others.
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