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Integrating Study on Qualitative and Quantitative Characterization of the Major Constituents in Shuanghuanglian Injection with UHPLC/Q-Orbitrap-MS and UPLC-PDA
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As a clinically effective traditional Chinese medicine injection (TCMI), Shuanghuanglian injection (SHLI) is widely used in the treatment of upper respiratory tract infection and pneumonia. However, the shortage of quality analysis is a limitation that remains to be improved in the clinical application of SHLI. In this study, taking advantage of ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography tandem Q-Exactive Orbitrap high-resolution mass spectrometry (UHPLC/Q-Orbitrap-MS), 31 chemical components (eight organic acids, eleven flavonoids, five iridoid glycosides, four phenylethanoid glycosides, and three lignans) in SHLI were characterized, among which 22 components were unambiguously identified by reference compounds. The brief prediction results of network pharmacology indicated that the 22 targeted components may have anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, antiviral, and immunomodulatory activities. Using multiwavelength switching method, the 22 targeted components were quantified by ultra-performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array detector (UPLC-PDA) after the methodological validation. Based on the successfully established method, the total content of 22 components in 20 batches of SHLIs was efficiently determined with a slight variation between 10.25 and 11.28 mg/mL, which accounted for 38.7% in total solid of SHLI. This study performed a reliable chemical identification and provided a rapid and effective method for quality analysis, which contributed to the in-depth investigation and application of SHLI.

1. Introduction

Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) has been widely utilized in clinical practice across Asia and gradually attracts more attention in Western countries for its reliable efficacy [1]. As an important innovation of the modernization of TCM, traditional Chinese medicine injections (TCMIs) have the advantages of rapid onset, targeted drug delivery, high bioavailability, and so on [2]. Based on the above perceptions, TCMIs play a pivotal role in the treatment of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, respiratory diseases, and tumors [3–6]. As the complex chemical components and the feature of direct intravenous injection restrict the clinical application of TCMIs, the research on their quality and chemical composition has attracted the attention of numerous researchers and regulators.

As an important TCMI prepared by Flos Lonicerae japonicae, Fructus Forsythiae, and Radix Scutellariae through modern technology, SHLI is commonly used to treat upper respiratory tract infection, pneumonia, and fever [7–9]. SHL preparations involve flavonoids, phenolic acids, phenolic glycosides, and other ingredients, which exhibit
obvious antibacterial, antiviral, and immune-enhancement activities proved by modern pharmacological studies [10–14]. Qualitative and quantitative analyses of SHL preparations have been reported previously. Based on UPLC/Qtof MS² with UNIFI informatics platform, 170 components were characterized in SHL oral liquid, 44 of which were further determined by reference compounds [10]. Wang et al. simultaneously quantified 22 active compounds in SHL preparations (including capsule, granule, and oral liquid) by HPLC-DAD [15]. Up to now, the researches of SHL for injection mainly focused on SHL powder injection (SHLPI). Sun et al. unambiguously or tentatively identified 125 compounds of SHLPI by HPLC-ESI-IT-TOF-MS [16]. Li et al. developed an HPLC method for the simultaneous analysis of baicalin and other 14 compounds to evaluate the quality consistency of SHLPI [17]. By comparison, the relevant reports of SHLI were relatively limited. Only the content of chlorogenic acid, baicalin, and pillyrin is prescribed in the current ministry standard; hence, the comprehensive research on quality control of SHLI is more urgent.

To ensure medication safety for the public, the National Medical Products Administration launched the safety re-evaluation of TCMIs in 2009. Thereinto, clarification of chemical compositions and improvement of the quality evaluation method are the critical problem. The selection of index components is the prerequisite issue. Network pharmacology is based on high-throughput omics data analysis and network database retrieval, which has become a popular tool in the field of TCM research. As a compatible method to predict the complex and holistic mechanism of TCM, network pharmacology has unique advantages in analysing the mechanism of TCM, network pharmacology could build a bridge to study the relationship between qualitative and quantitative analysis, which conduces to identifying the index compounds. With this strategy, now we mainly focus on the secondary metabolites in SHLI to carry out qualitative and quantitative characterization with the aid of network pharmacology.

In this study, 31 chemical components (eight organic acids, eleven flavonoids, five iridoid glycosides, four phenylethanoid glycosides, and three lignans) in SHLI were characterized by UHPLC/Q-Orbitrap-MS. The 22 compounds identified by reference compounds were found to have anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, antiviral, and immune-enhancing activities by the strategy of network pharmacology, which was associated with SHLI function. By taking the great disparity of chemical constituents’ contents into account, the proportional dilution method was employed to quantify the 22 targeted components in 20 batches of SHLIs through UPLC-PDA multiwavelength switching method. Also, the lot-to-lot consistency was assessed among different batches. In short, the established method improved the quality control for SHLI and provided scientific evidence for the clinical application of SHLI.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents and Materials. LC-grade methanol and acetonitrile were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). Formic acid and dimethyl-sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) and Damao Chemical Reagent Factory (Tianjin, China), respectively. Water used in the experiment was purified by Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Twenty batches of SHLIs (20 mL/per ampoule) produced in 2018 and 2019 were provided by Henan Fusen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Henan, China) and numbered as S1–S20.

Chlorogenic acid (Cha, >96.80%), caffeic acid (Caa, >99.70%), scutellarin (Scu, >91.70%), forsythoside A (FoA, >97.20%), pillyrin (Pil, >95.10%), baicalein (Bai, >97.90%), oroxydin (Oro, >98.00%), and wogonin (Won, >97.00%) were obtained from National Institutes for Food and Drug Control (Beijing, China). Secoxyloganin (Seg, >98.00%) was purchased from Chengdu Dexter Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Sichuan, China), Neochlorogenic acid (Nea), forsythoside E (FoE), cryptochlorogenic acid (Cra), secoligoric acid (Sea), isoforsythiaside (Iso), hyperoside (Hyp), luteolin-7-O-β-D-glucoside (Lug), isochlorogenic acid B (IaB), isochlorogenic acid A (IaA), isochlorogenic acid C (IaC), chrysin-7-O-β-D-glucuronide (Chg), oroxylin A-7-O-β-D-glucuronide (Org), baicalin (Bac), and oroxylin A (OrA) with purity above 98% were acquired from Shanghai Yuanye Bio-Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

2.2. Preparation of Reference and Sample Solutions. Twenty-three reference compounds were accurately weighed and respectively dissolved in methanol aqueous solution as stock solutions for the preparation of the reference solutions. Except Cri, each stock solution was accurately measured and then placed in a 25 mL volumetric flask and diluted with 10% methanol aqueous solution. All solutions were stored at 4°C until analysis.

| Compound | Purity (%) |
|----------|------------|
| Cha       | >96.80%    |
| Caa       | >99.70%    |
| Scu       | >91.70%    |
| FoA       | >97.20%    |
| Pil       | >95.10%    |
| Bai       | >97.90%    |
| Oro       | >98.00%    |
| Won       | >97.00%    |
| Nea       | >98.00%    |
| FoE       | >98.00%    |
| Cra       | >98.00%    |
| Sea       | >98.00%    |
| Iso       | >98.00%    |
| Hyp       | >98.00%    |
| Lug       | >98.00%    |
| IaB       | >98.00%    |
| IaA       | >98.00%    |
| IaC       | >98.00%    |
| Chg       | >98.00%    |
| Org       | >98.00%    |
| Bac       | >98.00%    |
| OrA       | >98.00%    |
2.3. UHPLC-MS/MS Conditions for Qualitative Analysis. The qualitative analysis was carried out on a Thermo Scientific UltiMate 3000 instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San José, CA, USA) coupled with a Q Exactive Orbitrap MS equipped with heated electrospray ionization (HESI) source. Using an ACQUITY UPLC® HSS T3 column (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.8 μm, Waters, Milford, MA, USA), the separation was performed with mobile phase composed of 0.1% formic acid aqueous solution (v/v) (A) and acetonitrile (B) in a gradient elution at 50°C. The optimized gradient elution was performed as follows: 0–7 min, 3–13% B; 7–18 min, 13–27% B; 18–20 min, 27%–48% B; 20–21 min, 48–90% B; and 21–24 min, 90% B. The flow rate and injection volume were 0.3 mL/min and 2 μL, respectively. Applying the Full MS and dd-MS² (TopN) modes in both positive and negative ion modes, the sample was analysed in the range of m/z 150–2000 at the resolution of 70000 FWHM in full-scan MS and 17500 FWHM in MS² mode. The optimal MS conditions were as follows: sheath gas flow rate (N₂), 35 Ar b; aux gas flow rate (N₂), 10 Ar b; spray voltage, 3.5 kV; aux gas heater temperature, 350°C; and capillary temperature, 350°C. All the data were acquired and processed by the Xcalibur™ 4.1 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.4. UPLC-PDA Conditions for Quantitative Analysis. An ACQUITY UPLC I-class system (Waters), equipped with a binary solvent manager, an autosampler, and a PDA detector, was used to perform the quantitative analysis. Multiwavelength switching method was adopted on the PDA detector, and timing wavelength switching was employed as follows: 0.00–5.00 min, 327 nm; 5.00–5.60 min, 280 nm; 5.60–6.12 min, 325 nm; 6.12–8.50 min, 240 nm; 8.50–9.50 min, 235 nm; 9.50–9.80 min, 240 nm; 9.80–10.00 min, 250 nm; 10.00–14.00 min, 327 nm; 14.00–14.50 min, 254 nm; 14.50–17.65 min, 276 nm; and 17.65–25.00, 270 nm. Other liquid chromatography parameters were the same as in the qualitative analysis described above.

2.5. Network Pharmacology Analysis. The 2D chemical structures of the targeted compounds were achieved from the PubChem database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) [19]. Based on the reverse pharmacophore matching strategy, the SwissTargetPrediction database (http://www.swisstargetprediction.ch/) was used for predicting the potential molecular targets [20]. The related pathways of key targets were obtained by the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis through the DAVID 6.8 database (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp) [21]. The KEGG database (https://www.kegg.jp/) could identify the potential activities related with candidate pathways. An interactional and visualized network of “decoction pieces—TCMI—compounds—targets—signaling—pathways—pharmacological activity” was constructed by Origin 9.6 software.

2.6. Methodological Validation of the Quantitative Analysis. The quantitative analysis established in this study was validated for linearity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantitation (LOQ), precision (intra- and interday), stability, repeatability, and recovery test. The calibration curves were obtained by fitting the peak area (y) of the analytes and the corresponding concentrations (x) in duplicate. Based on the reference solutions, the LOD and LOQ were determined at the signal-to-noise ratio of 3 and 10, respectively. The intra- and interday precisions were calculated by analysing six repetitive injections in the single day and in three consecutive days, respectively. The sample solution, stashed in the autosampler at 10°C, was analysed by replicate injection at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 h, respectively. Verification of repeatability was conducted on six different samples in duplicate. The recovery test was estimated by analysing six sample solutions that were prepared by adding the corresponding amount of standard solutions into precisely measured 0.5 mL injections.

2.7. Data Analysis. The heat map, box-plot, parallel coordinate plot, and bar graph were performed using Origin 2019 software (OriginLab Ltd., Northampton, MA, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of Chemical Constituents in SHLI by UHPLC/Q-Orbitrap-MS. To characterize the chemical constituents in SHLI, a UHPLC/Q-Orbitrap-MS method was established. Total ion chromatograms of SHLI in positive and negative ion modes were shown in Figures 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. By comparing with the reference compounds and characteristic MS fragmentation pattern, a total of 31 components were identified or tentatively characterized, including eight organic acids, eleven flavonoids, five iridoid glycosides, four phenylethanoid glycosides, and three lignans. Among them, 22 components were unquestionably validated with the reference compounds. The information of all the compounds was summarized in Table 1.

Seg (peak 11) and Bac (peak 23) were chosen as two examples to describe the fragment behaviours of these compounds. As the representative of the iridoid glycosides, Seg has shown a quasimolecular ion at m/z 403.12305 [M–H]⁻ in the negative ion mode. The characteristic fragment ions at m/z 223.05956 [M–H–Glc]⁻, m/z 165.05382 [M–H–Glc–CH₂COO]⁻, m/z 121.02790 [M–H–Glc–CH₂COO–CO₂]⁻, and m/z 95.04853 [M–H–Glc–CH₂COO–CO₂–C₂H₅]⁻ were attributed to the successive loss of glucose, CH₂COO, CO₂, and C₂H₅, which were basically consistent with the mass spectrometry fragmentation law of iridoid glycosides [16]. Bac was not only the flavonoid with the highest content in Radix Scutellariae, but also one of the main active ingredients in SHLI. In the negative ion spectrum of Bac, the dimer quasimolecular ion at m/z 891.16333 [2M–H]⁻ was observed. Meanwhile, due to high-energy collision, the quasimolecular ion at m/z 445.07593 [M–H]⁻ lost a glucuronic acid residue to generate the characteristic fragment ion at m/z 269.04449 [M–H–GlcAr]⁻ with the highest abundance [10]. As shown in Figures S1 and S2, the proposed fragmentation pathways of Seg and Bac in the negative mode were depicted, respectively.
Figure 1: Total ion chromatograms of SHLI in positive ion mode (a) and negative ion mode (b).

Table 1: Characterization of chemical constituents of SHLI by UHPLC/Q-Orbitrap-MS (negative ion mode).

| Serial no. | $t_R$ (min) | Formula | Theoretical value (m/z) | Measured value (m/z) | Fragment ions (m/z) (%) | Error (ppm) | Identification [reference] |
|------------|-------------|---------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| 1          | 0.97        | C$_7$H$_{12}$O$_6$ | 191.05501              | 191.05478            | 191.05478 (100.00), 173.04419 (2.15), 127.03841 (4.55), 85.02785 (24.53) | –1.3        | Quinic acid [16]          |
| 2          | 4.75        | C$_{18}$H$_{22}$O$_{10}$ | 375.12857             | 375.12862            | 213.07541 (75.49), 169.08653 (14.02), 151.07477 (29.82), 125.05906 (100.00), 191.05458 (100), 179.03343 (51.94), 161.02283 (4.36) | 0.2         | Adoxosidic acid [22]     |
| 3          | 4.81        | C$_{18}$H$_{18}$O$_9$ | 353.08671             | 353.08652            | 173.04393 (4.23), 161.02283 (4.36), 135.04344 (64.31) | –0.5        | Neochlorogenic acid       |
| 4          | 6.02        | C$_{20}$H$_{36}$O$_{12}$ | 461.16355             | 461.16486            | 205.07030 (17.21), 163.05919 (8.64), 135.04338 (80.33) | –1.1        | Forsythoside E            |
| 5          | 6.55        | C$_{16}$H$_{18}$O$_9$ | 353.08671             | 353.08649            | 191.05450 (100.00), 179.03336 (1.07), 161.02281 (1.66), 135.04355 (1.19) | –0.6        | Chlorogentic acid         |
| 6          | 6.90        | C$_{16}$H$_{22}$O$_{11}$ | 389.19784             | 389.10764            | 345.11707 (13.92), 209.04453 (8.56), 165.05368 (18.26) | –0.5        | Secoxyloganic acid [16]   |
| 7          | 7.06        | C$_{18}$H$_{18}$O$_9$ | 353.08671             | 353.08659            | 173.04337 (100.00), 179.03344 (69.75), 135.04337 (50.39) | –0.5        | Cryptochlorogenic acid    |
| 8          | 7.13        | C$_{6}$H$_{6}$O$_4$ | 179.03389             | 179.03349            | 135.04337 (100.00) | –2.2        | Caffeic acid              |
| 9          | 7.29        | C$_{18}$H$_{22}$O$_{10}$ | 373.11292             | 373.11246            | 193.04903 (35.66), 179.05476 (4.45), 167.07019 (4.84), 149.05978 (25.30) | –1.2        | Secologanic acid          |
| 10         | 9.06        | C$_{18}$H$_{22}$O$_{12}$ | 435.14970             | 435.14932            | 227.09137 (100.00) | –0.9        | Loganin [16]              |
| 11         | 10.12       | C$_{17}$H$_{20}$O$_{14}$ | 403.12355             | 403.12305            | 223.05956 (14.49), 165.05382 (25.79), 121.02790 (100.00), 95.04853 (35.64) | –1.1        | Secoxyloganic              |
| 12         | 11.58       | C$_{20}$H$_{26}$O$_{15}$ | 623.19705             | 623.19574            | 461.16406 (4.05), 179.03302 (10.81) | –2.1        | Isoforsythiaside          |
| 13         | 11.74       | C$_{20}$H$_{26}$O$_{12}$ | 463.08710             | 463.08684            | 301.03384 (100.00) | –0.5        | Hyperoside                |
| 14         | 11.89       | C$_{20}$H$_{26}$O$_{16}$ | 609.18140             | 609.17975            | 301.03247 (50.01), 300.02603 (100.00), 271.02350 (69.57) | –2.7        | Rutin [23]                |
| 15         | 12.25       | C$_{16}$H$_{18}$O$_{12}$ | 461.07465             | 461.07092            | 285.03891 (6.58) | –1.2        | Scutellarin               |
| 16         | 12.50       | C$_{20}$H$_{26}$O$_{11}$ | 447.09219             | 447.09143            | 285.03851 (100.00) | –0.7        | Luteolin-7-O-β-D-glucoside |
| 17         | 12.52       | C$_{20}$H$_{26}$O$_{15}$ | 623.19705             | 623.19537            | 461.16425 (1.43), 179.03326 (10.44), 161.02277 (100.00), 135.04337 (20.60), 133.02780 (31.88) | –2.6        | Forsythoside A isomer     |
| 18         | 12.67       | C$_{20}$H$_{26}$O$_{15}$ | 623.19705             | 623.19556            | 461.16406 (4.05), 179.03302 (10.81) | –2.5        | Forsythoside A            |
| 19         | 13.22       | C$_{20}$H$_{24}$O$_{12}$ | 515.11840             | 515.11743            | 353.08660 (52.36), 191.05440 (48.98), 179.03325 (77.95), 173.04381 (100.00), 161.02251 (33.59), 135.04329 (87.51) | 1.9         | Isochlorogenic acid B     |
In the UPLC-PDA chromatogram, we run into a noteworthy phenomenon that the retention time of no. 11 chromatographic peak was in accord with Lug, whereas its ultraviolet absorption curve was basically consistent with FoA (no. 12), as shown in Figure 2. Combined with the results of LC-MS analysis (peak 16, Lug, t<sub>r</sub> = 12.50 min; peak 17, FoA isomer (FAi), t<sub>r</sub> = 12.52 min), no. 11 peak displayed the overlap of FAi and Lug. Therefore, the mixture of FAi and Lug should not be considered as an index compound for the quality control of SHLI in the follow-up studies.

### 3.2. Selection of Index Components with Network Pharmacology Strategy.

It is difficult to evaluate the quality of TCM preparations using single-component evaluation model objectively and accurately with the development of TCM modernization. Based on network pharmacology, the study for quality control of TCM starts from the interaction between the chemical components and the disease, and then the “chemical composition-target-disease network” is constructed to obtain the core chemical components as the index compounds.

Aiming at the unequivocal 22 compounds identified by the reference compounds in the qualitative analysis with LC-MS, a network pharmacology research strategy was imitated to briefly predict the potential activities, which provided a basis for the selection of index components for the quality control of SHLI. The chemical structures of the 22 target compounds, achieved from the PubChem database, were uploaded to the SwissTargetPrediction to accurately predict bioactive molecular targets with Homo as a limited species. With the aid of DAVID and KEGG Pathway databases, the correlative pathways were enriched and analysed by selecting the condition “Homo species,” respectively.

### 3.3. Optimization of UPLC-PDA Chromatographic Conditions.

The optimized chromatographic conditions could pave the way for methodological validation. Aiming at the better separation and sensitivity of the tested compounds, the chromatographic column, including ACQUITY HSS T3 column (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.8 μm), BEH C18 column (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.7 μm), and BEH C18 column (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 μm), the mobile phase (acetonitrile–water containing formic acid and methanol–water containing formic acid), the program of gradient elution, the column temperature (30°C, 40°C, and 50°C), and detecting wavelength were systematically optimized. Eventually, the optimal separation was achieved on an HSS T3 column at 50°C with mobile

### Table 1: Continued.

| Serial no. | t<sub>r</sub> (min) | Formula | Theoretical value (m/z) | Measured value (m/z) | Fragment ions (m/z) (%) | Error (ppm) | Identification [reference] |
|------------|-------------------|---------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| 20<sup>*</sup> | 13.59 | C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>12</sub> | 515.11840 | 515.11780 | 353.08609 (52.38), 191.05447 (100.00), 179.03329 (52.09), 173.04396 (5.37), 161.02260 (5.92), 135.04335 (56.91), 353.08663 (70.69), 191.05452 (39.63), 179.03331 (63.38), 173.04388 (100.00), 161.02257 (6.37), 135.04337 (60.85) | −1.1 | Isochorogenic acid A |
| 21 | 13.99 | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>33</sub>O<sub>11</sub> | 519.18609 | 519.18536 | 357.13263 (62.44), 342.10983 (4.01), 136.014483 (68.79), 151.03835 (100.00), 353.08636 (70.69), 191.05452 (39.63), 179.03331 (63.38), 173.04388 (100.00), 161.02257 (6.37), 135.04337 (60.85) | −1.4 | Pinoresinol-4-O-β-D-glucopyranoside [16] |
| 22<sup>*</sup> | 14.75 | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>12</sub> | 515.11840 | 515.11749 | 179.03331 (63.38), 173.04388 (100.00), 161.02257 (6.37), 135.04337 (60.85) | −1.3 | Isochorogenic acid C |
| 23 | 16.44 | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>11</sub> | 445.07654 | 445.07593 | 269.04494 (100.00) | −1.4 | Baicalin [10] |
| 24 | 17.52 | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>11</sub> | 579.20722 | 579.20630 | 371.14874 (100.00), 356.12558 (68.45), 371.14896 (100.00), 356.12558 (68.45) | −1.6 | Pillyrin isomer |
| 25<sup>*</sup> | 18.43 | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>11</sub> | 579.20722 | 579.20657 | 371.14896 (100.00), 356.12558 (68.45), 371.14896 (100.00), 356.12558 (68.45) | −2.0 | Chrysins-7-0-β-D-glucuronide |
| 26<sup>*</sup> | 19.03 | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>10</sub> | 429.08162 | 429.08075 | 253.04904 (100.00), 175.02341 (4.07), 253.04904 (100.00), 175.02341 (4.07) | −2.0 | Chrysins-7-0-β-D-glucuronide |
| 27<sup>*</sup> | 19.33 | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>11</sub> | 459.09219 | 459.09134 | 283.05988 (79.01), 268.03647 (100.00), 175.02272 (5.69) | −1.8 | Oroxylin A-7-0-β-D-glucuronide |
| 28<sup>*</sup> | 19.93 | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>11</sub> | 459.03213 | 459.02913 | 283.05975 (64.17), 268.03641 (100.00), 175.02324 (7.04), 163.00238 (5.15) | −0.1 | Oroxydin |
| 29<sup>*</sup> | 20.40 | C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub> | 269.04445 | 269.04443 | 251.03331 (2.73), 223.03867 (4.85) | −0.1 | Baicalein |
| 30<sup>*</sup> | 20.90 | C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub> | 283.06010 | 283.06003 | 268.03644 (100.00) | −0.2 | Wogonin |
| 31<sup>*</sup> | 20.96 | C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub> | 283.06010 | 283.06003 | 268.03644 (100.00), 239.03375 (4.28) | −0.2 | Oroxylin A |

<sup>*</sup>Adduct ion m/z [M + HCOOH–H]−. <sup>∗</sup>Compared with the reference compounds.
Figure 2: UPLC-PDA chromatograms of SHLI sample solution with low dilution ratio (a), the mixed standard solution (b), the SHLI sample solution with high dilution ratio (c) and the baicalin standard solution (d), the ultraviolet absorption curve of luteolin-7-O-β-D-glucoside (e), forsythoside A (f), no. 11 chromatographic peak (g), and no. 12 chromatographic peak (h).
3.4. Method Validation of UPLC-PDA Analysis for Quantitation of 22 Compounds in SHLI. The favorable validation results of the simultaneous quantitative analysis of 22 compounds in SHLI were exhibited in Table 2. The linear relationship ($r > 0.999$) of the tested components was satisfactory within the corresponding concentration range. And the overall LOD and LOQ values for all analytes were less than 0.1736 and 0.5208 $\mu$g/mL, respectively. In addition, the RSDs of the intra- and interday precisions, stability (Figure 4), and repeatability were proven below 3.5%. Finally, the range from 95.06% to 109.3% was obtained over the average recoveries, which indicated the method had well accuracy with RSD below 2.9%. All the above items demonstrated that the quantitative method could reliably pave the way for the simultaneous determination of the 22 targeted compounds in SHLI.

Due to the complex chemical composition of TCMIs, injections made from multicomponent should be quantitatively analysed as much as possible for the compounds with well-defined structures. Compared to the previously reported analytical methods (Table 3), the established method in our study exhibited advantages of shorter analytical time, higher resolution, and more tested compounds, compared to the previously reported analytical methods (Table 3), the established method in our study exhibited advantages of shorter analytical time, higher resolution, and more tested compounds, which provided a better alternative for evaluating the quality of SHLI.

3.5. Visual Modes of the Quantitative Analysis Results of 22 Components in Different Batches of SHLIs. Plot-to-plot consistency evaluation is the foundational requirement for quality control to ensure the safe use of drugs. Through UPLC-PDA multiwavelength switching method coupled with proportional dilution method that verified by systematic methodology, the 22 targeted components were successfully quantified for 20 batches of SHLIs. As shown in...
Table 2: Collated results for linearity, LODs, LOQs, intra- and interday precisions, repeatability, stability, and recovery test of the 22 detected analytes in SHLI.

| Analytes | Regression equations | $r$ | Linear Range ($\mu$g/mL) | LOQs ($\mu$g/mL) | LODs ($\mu$g/mL) | Precision Intraday (RSD, %, $n=6$) | Interday (RSD, %, $n=6$) | Repeatability (RSD, %, $n=6$) | Stability (RSD, %, $n=6$) | Recovery Test |
|----------|----------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Nea      | $y = 20099x - 428.07$ | 0.999 | 0.5120–32.77             | 0.0569           | 0.0190          | 0.1                           | 0.1             | 0.4                           | 0.4             | 101.7           |
| FoE      | $y = 1432.6x + 741.52$ | 1.000 | 1.563–100.0              | 0.5208           | 0.1736          | 0.3                           | 0.4             | 0.4                           | 0.2             | 102.3           |
| Cha      | $y = 21363x - 3658.0$ | 0.999 | 0.6088–38.96             | 0.0676           | 0.0226          | 0.1                           | 0.1             | 0.4                           | 0.3             | 100.2           |
| Cra      | $y = 9546.6x - 1111.6$ | 0.999 | 0.5895–37.73             | 0.1965           | 0.0655          | 0.1                           | 0.3             | 0.3                           | 0.4             | 100.8           |
| Caa      | $y = 15144x + 618.54$ | 0.999 | 0.0945–6.048             | 0.0945           | 0.0315          | 0.7                           | 3.4             | 1.5                           | 2.7             | 105.4           |
| Sea      | $y = 6601.9x + 461.97$ | 0.999 | 0.5000–32.00             | 0.5000           | 0.1667          | 0.6                           | 2.4             | 1.2                           | 1.8             | 97.39           |
| Seg      | $y = 11802x - 1412.3$ | 0.999 | 0.2813–18.00             | 0.2813           | 0.0938          | 0.2                           | 0.4             | 0.2                           | 0.5             | 101.3           |
| Iso      | $y = 10864x - 3542.2$ | 0.999 | 1.167–74.66              | 0.1296           | 0.0432          | 0.1                           | 0.1             | 0.4                           | 0.3             | 102.2           |
| Hyp      | $y = 10263 - 337.99$  | 0.999 | 0.1037–6.636             | 0.1037           | 0.0346          | 0.3                           | 0.4             | 0.4                           | 0.7             | 102.7           |
| Scu      | $y = 23346x - 2670.7$ | 0.999 | 0.1813–11.60             | 0.0604           | 0.0202          | 0.2                           | 0.2             | 1.0                           | 0.5             | 109.3           |
| FoA      | $y = 9905.5x - 3660.3$ | 0.999 | 1.209–77.40              | 0.1344           | 0.0448          | 0.1                           | 0.3             | 0.4                           | 0.2             | 98.17           |
| IaB      | $y = 20276x - 2334.8$ | 0.999 | 0.2613–16.72             | 0.0871           | 0.0290          | 0.5                           | 0.5             | 0.4                           | 0.4             | 101.4           |
| IaA      | $y = 24072x - 1409.8$ | 0.999 | 0.09375–6.000             | 0.0938           | 0.0313          | 0.5                           | 0.5             | 0.4                           | 0.4             | 107.6           |
| IaC      | $y = 23139x - 3039.9$ | 0.999 | 0.2630–16.83             | 0.0877           | 0.0292          | 0.6                           | 0.3             | 0.3                           | 0.4             | 102.3           |
| Pil      | $y = 4697.3x - 696.84$ | 0.999 | 0.4345–27.81             | 0.4345           | 0.1448          | 1.0                           | 0.3             | 0.8                           | 0.7             | 95.06           |
| Chg      | $y = 25004x - 2952.2$ | 0.999 | 0.3444–22.04             | 0.1148           | 0.0383          | 0.1                           | 0.2             | 0.4                           | 0.2             | 98.76           |
| Org      | $y = 23574x - 7113.5$ | 0.999 | 0.8750–56.00             | 0.0972           | 0.0324          | 0.1                           | 0.1             | 0.3                           | 0.2             | 103.7           |
| Oro      | $y = 29742x - 741.55$ | 0.999 | 0.07225–4.624             | 0.0723           | 0.0241          | 0.5                           | 0.5             | 1.2                           | 0.7             | 103.1           |
| Bai      | $y = 44049x - 6983.7$ | 0.999 | 0.1581–10.12             | 0.1581           | 0.0527          | 0.3                           | 3.5             | 1.5                           | 1.0             | 107.5           |
| Won      | $y = 46125x - 496.68$ | 0.999 | 0.0147–9.386              | 0.0147           | 0.0049         | 0.6                           | 2.0             | 1.1                           | 0.6             | 106.0           |
| OrA      | $y = 48713x - 422.74$ | 0.999 | 0.01291–0.8260             | 0.0129           | 0.0043          | 0.4                           | 1.3             | 1.4                           | 1.1             | 95.52           |
| Bac      | $y = 26701x - 15617$  | 0.999 | 1.875–120.0              | 0.0667           | 0.0222          | 0.1                           | 0.2             | 0.5                           | 0.3             | 100.7           |

Figure 4: The stability study of tested compounds in sample solution during 12h.
Table 3: Comparison between the previously reported analytical methods and our established method.

| Drugs | Analysis instrument | Analytes | Running time (min) |
|-------|---------------------|----------|--------------------|
| SHLI [26] | HPLC | FoA | 35 |
| SHLI [27] | HPLC | Lug | 60 |
| SHLI [28] | HPLC | Cha, Caa, Iac, Bac, Bai, and Won | 50 |
| SHLPI [29] | HPLC | Cha, Caa, FoA, Bac, and Pil | 60 |
| SHLPI [17] | HPLC | Nea, Cha, Cra, Caa, Iso, IaA, Lug, Rutin, Iac, Pil, Bac, Oro, Org, Bai, and Won | 60 |
| SHLPI [30] | UPLC | Cha, Bac, and Pil | 20 |
| SHLI* | UPLC | Nea, FoE, Cha, Cra, Caa, Sea, Seg, Iso, Hyp, Scu, FoA, IaB, IaA, IaC, Pil, Chg, Org, Oro, Bai, Won, OrA, and Bac | 24 |

*The established method in our study.

Figure 5: The heat map (a), the box-plot (b), and the bar graph (c) for the quantitative analysis of 22 targeted compounds in SHLIs of 20 batches.
Table S3, the total content of the tested components ranged from 10.25 to 11.28 mg/mL with RSD below 2.9%, which indicated no significant difference in the sum of targeted components from the different batches of SHLIs. However, the RSDs range of the different targeted components was 1.7–24.1%. Except for Bac with the high content, the RSDs for the rest of components were greater than 3.0%, manifesting that the content of each component in different batches varied to a certain extent.

In order to reveal the content variations of each targeted component in SHLI more intuitively, the normalized content of 22 compounds from 20 batches was used to plot the heat map, which mirrored the fluctuation of 22 compounds in different batches through the similarity of the same horizontal colour gradient, as shown in Figure 5(a). Among the 22 tested components, the colour depth of Nea, FoE, Cra, Sea, Seg, Iso, and FoA fluctuated greatly, indicating the content of these compounds varied highly among the different batches. Simultaneously, the box-plot was shown in Figure 5(b) and employed to reflect the dispersion of measured results for the focused secondary metabolites in 20 batches of SHLIs. Bac clearly exhibited a higher box compared with the others, indicating that Bac was present in higher content. And the above content results indicated that Bac accounts for 59.36–65.31% of all analytes in 20 batches.

The percentage of compounds with well-defined structures in the total solid should not be less than 60% for TCMIs made from multicomponent [31]. Thus, we wanted to calculate the total amount of 22 targeted compounds as a percentage of the total solid of SHLI. Different batches of SHLIs were poured into the evaporating dish and freeze-dried to determine the total solid. Calculation equation (1) was expressed as follows:

\[
PCT = \frac{M_t}{M_s} \times 100\%.
\]

PCT is the mass percentage of the targeted compounds in total solid; \( M_t \) is the total content of the 22 targeted compounds per ampoule (20 mL); and \( M_s \) is the total solid of SHLI per ampoule (20 mL). As shown in Table S4 and Figure 5(c), the results manifested that the content of the 22 targeted components accounted for 38.7% of the total solid in the 20 batches of SHLIs produced in 2018 and 2019.

4. Conclusions

In this study, an accurate and reliable quality control method for SHLI was established. Thirty-one compounds in SHLI were unambiguously or tentatively identified by UHPLC-Q-Orbitrap-MS. Based on the qualitative analysis, a UPLC-PDA multiwavelength switching method coupled with proportional dilution method was established for quantitative analysis of 22 representative compounds in 20 batches SHLIs, which has been demonstrated to have anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, antiviral, and immunomodulatory activities. Results exhibited that it is reliable and applicable for quality control of SHLI and paved the way for the further application of SHLI clinically.
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