RADIATION-DRIVEN IMPLOSION AND TRIGGERED STAR FORMATION
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ABSTRACT

We present simulations of initially stable isothermal clouds exposed to ionizing radiation from a discrete external source, and identify the conditions that lead to radiatively driven implosion and star formation. We use the smoothed particle hydrodynamics code SEREN and a HEALPix-based photoionization algorithm to simulate the propagation of the ionizing radiation and the resulting dynamical evolution of the cloud. We find that the incident ionizing flux, $\Phi_{\text{LyC}}$, is the critical parameter determining the cloud evolution. At moderate fluxes, a large fraction of the cloud mass is converted into stars. As the flux is increased, the fraction of the cloud mass that is converted into stars and the mean masses of the individual stars both decrease. Very high fluxes simply disperse the cloud. Newly formed stars tend to be concentrated along the central axis of the cloud (i.e., the axis pointing in the direction of the incident flux). For given cloud parameters, the time, $t_\ast$, at which star formation starts is proportional to $\Phi_{\text{LyC}}^{-1/3}$. The pattern of star formation found in the simulations is similar to that observed in bright-rimmed clouds.
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1. INTRODUCTION

When an expanding H$\textsc{ii}$ region overruns a pre-existing cloud, it drives an ionization front and a shock wave into the cloud (Sandford et al. 1982; Bertoldi 1989; Lefloch & Lazareff 1994). As a consequence, the inner parts of the cloud are compressed, and may become gravitationally unstable (Esquivel & Raga 2007), collapsing to form new stars. At the same time, the outer parts are “boiled off” by the ionization front, and the rest of the neutral gas is stretched out into a cometary globule, with a dense head surrounding the newly formed stars, a bright rim pointing toward the ionizing star, and a cometary tail pointing away from the ionizing star.

There are many observations suggesting that star formation has been triggered inside cometary globules and bright-rimmed clouds (e.g., Lefloch & Lazareff 1995; Lefloch et al. 1997; Sugitani et al. 1999, 2000, 2002; Walborn et al. 2002; Morgan et al. 2008; Smith et al. 2010). However, the extent to which star formation has actually been triggered by the shock front that precedes a $D$-type ionization front—as opposed to simply being revealed by the dispersal of residual gas—is not always clear (e.g., Dale et al. 2007; Indebetouw et al. 2007; Guarcello et al. 2010; Elmegreen 2011). There is some evidence that the young stellar objects deeply embedded inside a bright-rimmed cloud are the youngest ones, while those close to the rim of the cloud or distributed inside the expanding H$\textsc{ii}$ region are older (e.g., Ikeda et al. 2008; Chauhan et al. 2009); this implies sequential triggering. In addition, Sugitani et al. (1999, 2000) report that the young stellar objects detected inside bright-rimmed clouds tend to lie close to the line joining the center of mass of the cloud to the ionizing star.

Simulations of the interaction of ionizing radiation with self-gravitating clouds have been presented by various authors. Kessel-Deynet & Burkert (2003) show that including self-gravity leads to greater compression, and may therefore trigger star formation. Gritschneder et al. (2009) show that stable Bonnor–Ebert spheres can be driven into gravitational collapse, and that the final mass and age of the collapsed core depend on the incident flux of ionizing radiation. Miao et al. (2009) find that the morphological evolution of a cloud is very sensitive to the relative importance of self-gravity. Mizuta et al. (2005, 2006), Gritschneder et al. (2010) and Mackey & Lim (2010) have evaluated the roles played by turbulence, hydrodynamical, and thermal instability in the formation of pillar-like structures. Henney et al. (2009) and Arthur et al. (2011) have explored the way in which magnetic fields influence the evolution of an H$\textsc{ii}$ region, and conclude that they may inhibit fragmentation of the neutral gas swept up by the expanding H$\textsc{ii}$ region; their simulations include both a proper treatment of the thermal microphysics and detailed predictions of diagnostic line emission, but not self-gravity, so they are focusing on different issues from us. As noted by Deharveng et al. (2005), “no model explains where star formation takes place (in the core or at its periphery) or when (during the maximum compression phase, or earlier).”

In this paper, we perform simulations of pre-existing clouds exposed to ionizing radiation from a discrete source, and identify the circumstances that lead to triggered star formation. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define the initial conditions. In Section 3 we describe the numerical methods. In Section 4 we present and analyze the results. We summarize our main conclusions in Section 5.

2. INITIAL CONDITIONS

We define the initial conditions with reference to two coordinate systems, as illustrated schematically in Figure 1.

The first coordinate system is centered on the ionizing star. Distances from the ionizing star are designated by the variable $D$. In particular, the position of the ionization front in the direction of the unit vector $\mathbf{n}$ is given by $D_{\text{cloud}} \hat{\mathbf{k}}$, where $\mathbf{k}$ is a unit vector...
along the z-axis (see Figure 1). The ionizing star is characterized by the rate, \( \dot{N}_{\text{LyC}} \), at which it emits hydrogen-ionizing photons.

The second coordinate system is centered on the initial center of mass of the cloud. Distances from this point are designated by the variable \( R \). The fiducial cloud is modeled as a Bonnor–Ebert sphere, i.e., an equilibrium isothermal sphere (Bonnor 1956; Ebert 1957). It can therefore be characterized by its mass, \( M_{\text{CLOUD}} = 5 M_\odot \), its isothermal sound speed, \( a_0 = 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), and its Bonnor–Ebert parameter, \( \xi_{\text{BE}} = 4 \) (the dimensionless radius). By choosing \( \xi_{\text{BE}} < 6.451 \) we ensure that the cloud is initially stable, and therefore that its subsequent collapse is due to the incident ionizing radiation. With this choice of parameters, the fiducial cloud initially has central density and radius given by

\[
\rho_{\text{CENTER}} = \frac{a_0^6}{4\pi G^2 M_{\text{CLOUD}}} \simeq 10^{-20} \text{ g cm}^{-3},
\]

\[
R_{\text{CLOUD}} = \frac{G M_{\text{CLOUD}} \xi_{\text{BE}}}{a_0^2 \mu(\xi_{\text{BE}})} \simeq 0.3 \text{ pc},
\]

where \( \mu(\xi_{\text{BE}}) \) is the dimensionless mass obtained by solving the isothermal equation (Chandrasekhar 1939; Chandrasekhar & Wares 1949).

The ionization routine is designed to treat the \( D \)-type expansion of an H\(_2\) region. Therefore, each simulation starts when the ionization front switches from \( R \) type to \( D \) type (Kahn 1954). We assume that at this juncture the matter has not had time to move. In Figure 1 the ionization front is denoted by a dashed line.

We have performed three suites of simulations. The first suite explores the consequences of changing \( N_{\text{LyC}} \), and hence the flux of ionizing photons, \( \Phi_{\text{LyC}} = \dot{N}_{\text{LyC}}/4\pi D_{\text{CLOUD}}^2 \), incident on the fiducial cloud. In the second suite, we explore the consequences of changing \( M_{\text{CLOUD}} \), keeping \( a_0, \xi_{\text{BE}}, \) and \( R_{\text{CLOUD}}/D_{\text{CLOUD}} \) fixed, with a view to determining the maximum ionizing flux that triggers star formation. In the third suite, we explore the effect of numerical noise, by invoking different random—but smooth—initial distributions of smoothed particle hydrodynamic (SPH) particles. In all simulations, the cloud is placed at distance \( D_{\text{CLOUD}} = 10 R_{\text{CLOUD}} \) from the ionizing star, so that the divergence of the ionizing radiation impinging on the cloud, measured by \( R_{\text{CLOUD}}/D_{\text{CLOUD}} = 0.1 \), is invariant.

3. NUMERICAL METHODS

3.1. SPH Code

We use the SPH code SEREN, which has been designed to investigate star and planet formation problems, and extensively tested on a wide range of standard problems, as described in Hubber et al. (2011) and on the SEREN Web site. In the present work we use the following SEREN options: standard SPH (Monaghan 1992) with a fixed (and exact) number of neighbors \( N_{\text{NEIB}} = 50 \); standard artificial viscosity with \( \alpha_{\text{AV}} = 1 \), \( \beta_{\text{AV}} = 2 \), and no switches; an algorithm based on HEALPix\(^7\) for following ionizing radiation (see Section 3.3 below; Bisbas et al. 2009); and a barotropic equation of state for the neutral gas (see Section 3.4 below). The SPH equations of motion are solved with a second-order Leapfrog integrator, in conjunction with a hierarchical block time-stepping scheme. Gravitational forces are calculated using an octal-spatial tree (Barnes & Hut 1986), with monopole and quadrupole terms and a standard geometric opening-angle criterion. The tree is also used to generate neighbor lists.

3.2. Initial Equilibrium Cloud

The initial cloud configuration is created by first settling a cube of SPH particles of size \( 2 \times 2 \times 2 \), using hydrostatic forces and periodic boundary conditions, but no self-gravity, to obtain a uniform-density glass-like distribution of particles. Next a unit-radius sphere is cut from this cube, the number of particles in the sphere, \( N_{\text{SPHERE}} \), is counted, and the particles are given equal masses \( m_{\text{SPH}} = M_{\text{CLOUD}}/N_{\text{SPHERE}} \). Finally, the particle positions are stretched radially to reproduce a Bonnor–Ebert density profile; for a particle initially at radius \( r \), we solve the equation

\[
\mu(\xi) = r^3 \mu(\xi_{\text{BE}})
\]

for \( \xi \), and then displace the particle radially according to

\[
r \rightarrow \frac{R_{\text{CLOUD}} \xi}{\xi_{\text{BE}}},
\]

In all simulations, we arrange that the mass of an SPH particle is \( m_{\text{SPH}} \simeq 5 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot \). Given the barotropic equation of state that we invoke (Equation (6)), the minimum Jeans mass is \( M_{\text{MIN}} \simeq 0.005 M_\odot \), and is therefore just resolved with \( \sim 2 N_{\text{NEIB}} \approx 100 \) SPH particles (Bate & Burkert 1997; Whitworth 1998; Hubber et al. 2006).

3.3. Propagation of the Ionizing Radiation

The ionization routine uses the HEALPix algorithm (Górski et al. 2005) to create a hierarchy of rays emanating radially from the ionizing star (cf. Abel & Wandelt 2002). In the immediate vicinity of the star there are only 12 rays, each at the center of an approximately square element of solid angle \( \Omega_0 = 4\pi/12 \simeq 1 \) sr. Each ray is then split adaptively into four child rays, and the splitting is repeated recursively so that the separation between neighboring rays is always less than one-half of the local smoothing length (i.e., always less than one-eighth of

---

\(^6\) http://www.astro.group.shef.ac.uk/seren.

\(^7\) http://healpix.jpl.nasa.gov/.
the diameter of the local SPH particles). Thus, after \( \ell \) splittings each ray is at the center of an approximately square element of solid angle \( \Omega_c = 4^{-\ell} \text{sr} \), and is separated from its neighbors by an angle \( \theta_c \approx 2^{\ell} \text{rad} \). In the present simulations there are up to eleven levels of splitting, so the rays on the finest level are \( \sim 1.5 \text{ arcmin} \) apart. At each step we randomize the orientation of the 12 rays on level 0 (and hence also the orientation of rays on higher levels), in order to avoid numerical artifacts (cf. Krumholz et al. 2007).

Along a ray with direction given by the unit vector \( \mathbf{n} \), the ionization front is located at position \( D_{\text{IF}}(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n} \), where

\[
\int_{D=0}^{D=D_{\text{IF}}} \rho^2(D\mathbf{n}) D^2 dD = \frac{\mathcal{N}_c S^2 \alpha B}{4\pi \alpha_{\text{HB}}} = I_{\text{MAX}}.
\]

Here, \( \rho(D\mathbf{n}) \) is the mass density at position \( D\mathbf{n} \), \( m = m_p/X \) is the mean mass associated with each hydrogen nucleus, \( m_p \) is the proton mass, \( X = 0.7 \) is the fraction by mass of hydrogen, and \( \alpha_B \) is the recombination coefficient into excited stages only (i.e., we invoke the On-The-Spot Approximation; Osterbrock 1974). The integral in Equation (5) is evaluated using a second-order integration scheme, with an integration step that is one-quarter of the local smoothing length (i.e., one-sixteenth of the diameter of the local SPH particles).

Further details of the method are given in Bisbas et al. (2009).

### 3.4. Thermodynamics

**Neutral gas.** The temperature, \( T_N \), of the neutral gas is calculated using a barotropic equation of state,

\[
T_N(\rho) = T_0 \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text{CRIT}}} \right) \gamma^{-1} \right),
\]

where \( T_0 = 10 \text{ K} \), \( \rho_{\text{CRIT}} = 10^{-13} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), and \( \gamma = 5/3 \) is the ratio of specific heats. This equation of state is presumed to mimic the gross behavior of protostellar gas: at low densities, \( \rho \ll \rho_{\text{CRIT}} \), the gas tends to be optically thin to its own cooling radiation and therefore approximately isothermal at \( T \sim 10 \text{ K} \); at high densities, \( \rho \gg \rho_{\text{CRIT}} \), the gas tends to be optically thick to its own cooling radiation and therefore heats up adiabatically. Since the gas dynamics is only followed to densities \( \sim 10^{-11} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \) (thereafter sink particles are introduced; see below), the temperature is always \( T \lesssim 200 \text{ K} \). Therefore, we can assume that \( \gamma \sim 5/3 \), even for \( \text{H}_2 \), because the rotational degrees of freedom of \( \text{H}_2 \) are only weakly excited.

**Ionized gas.** The temperature, \( T_i \), of the ionized gas is assumed to be \( T_i = 10^4 \text{ K} \), except in the immediate vicinity of the ionization front, where the temperature changes smoothly from \( T_i \) to \( T_N \) over a region with a width of two smoothing lengths (i.e., half the diameter of an SPH particle; see Bisbas et al. 2009).

Figure 2 shows initial temperature cross-sections through the fiducial cloud for three representative ionizing fluxes, at the time when the ionization front switches from \( R \) to \( D \) type.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** False-color maps of the initial gas temperature on slices through the middle of the fiducial cloud, for three different ionizing fluxes. From left to right, \( \Phi_{\text{LyC}} = 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Simulation 1), \( \Phi_{\text{LyC}} = 3 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Simulation 4), and \( \Phi_{\text{LyC}} = 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Simulation 7). The color bar gives log\( T/(\text{K}) \), and the axes are in pc. The snapshots are taken after the first iteration of the code; the time on each map denotes the moment when the ionization front switches from \( R \) to \( D \) type.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

3.5. Sink Particles

We follow Bate et al. (1995) in replacing the densest regions with sink particles. Specifically, if the density of SPH particle \( i \) exceeds \( \rho_{\text{SINK}} = 10^{-11} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), then SPH particle \( i \) and its neighbors are excised from the simulation and replaced by a sink particle having the same mass, center of mass, and momentum as the sum of the SPH particles it replaces. Any SPH particle which subsequently passes within \( R_{\text{SINK}} \approx 4 \text{ AU} \) of a sink, and is gravitationally bound to it, is accreted by the sink, i.e., it is excised from the simulation and its mass and momentum are assimilated by the sink. The presumption is that the material in a sink will inevitably collapse to form a single star or a close multiple system. We therefore hereafter refer to sinks as stars. However, we do not take account of radiative feedback from these newly formed stars.

The value of \( \rho_{\text{SINK}} \) is chosen so that any protostellar condensation is already well into its Kelvin–Helmholtz contraction phase (and therefore well relaxed), but not yet into the second collapse phase, when it is replaced with a sink. With \( \rho_{\text{SINK}} = 10^{-11} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), the temperature inside a protostellar condensation has increased from \( \sim 10 \text{ K} \) to \( \sim 200 \text{ K} \), by the time it becomes a sink. The sink radius is not specified, but is calculated on-the-fly to ensure that a protostellar condensation that becomes a sink is resolved; this gives \( R_{\text{SINK}} \approx (3M_{\text{MIN}}/4\pi\rho_{\text{SINK}})^{1/3} \approx 4 \text{ AU} \).
3.6. Magnetic Fields

The SEREN code is not able to handle magnetic fields, and so our results must be interpreted as exploring what can happen when the magnetic field is dynamically unimportant. It will be important to explore this aspect of the problem, but even if a uniform magnetic field were assumed, it would necessarily introduce two further free parameters (field strength and direction). Previous studies of irradiated clouds embedded in a uniform magnetic field suggest that a sufficiently strong magnetic field may seriously affect the cloud evolution (Williams 2007; Henney et al. 2009; Mackey & Lim 2010). Depending on the initial field orientation with respect to the direction of ionizing photons, the cloud is either flattened (perpendicular orientation) or the radiative implosion may be prevented (parallel orientation). However, these findings were not confirmed by Arthur et al. (2011), who studied formation and evolution of globules at the border of an H II region expanding into a turbulent, magnetized molecular cloud, and found that the magnetic effects are weak. Further studies are needed to resolve this discrepancy. A turbulent field and/or non-ideal effects would complicate matters still further.

4. RESULTS

4.1. The Fiducial Cloud Exposed to Different Ionizing Fluxes

In the first suite of simulations, we treat the fiducial cloud (with mass $M_{\text{CLOUD}} = 5 M_\odot$, isothermal sound speed $a_0 = 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, Bonnor–Ebert parameter $\xi_{BE} = 4$, and hence radius $R_{\text{CLOUD}} = 0.3 \text{ pc}$; we place it at distance $D_{\text{CLOUD}} = 10 R_{\text{CLOUD}} = 3 \text{ pc}$ from the ionizing star; and we simply vary the ionizing output of the star, $N_{\text{LyC}}$, and hence the flux of ionizing photons incident on the cloud, $\Phi_{\text{LyC}}$). Table 1 gives the ID, $N_{\text{LyC}}$ and $\Phi_{\text{LyC}}$ for each simulation in this suite.

| Simulation ID | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $N_{\text{LyC}}/\text{s}^{-1}$ | $10^{48}$ | $3 \times 10^{48}$ | $10^{49}$ | $3 \times 10^{49}$ | $10^{50}$ | $3 \times 10^{50}$ | $10^{51}$ | $3 \times 10^{51}$ |
| $\Phi_{\text{LyC}}/\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ | $10^9$ | $3 \times 10^9$ | $10^{10}$ | $3 \times 10^{10}$ | $10^{11}$ | $3 \times 10^{11}$ | $10^{12}$ | $3 \times 10^{12}$ |

Table 1: The ID, Output of Ionizing Photons from the Central Star, $N_{\text{LyC}}$, and Ionizing Flux Incident on the Cloud, $\Phi_{\text{LyC}}$, for the Simulations Involving the Fiducial Cloud

In all simulations, the ionizing radiation erodes the cloud from one side, and the ionized gas flows away into the surrounding space. There is a range of ionizing fluxes that trigger star formation in the cloud; for the fiducial cloud this range is $10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \lesssim \Phi_{\text{LyC}} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$. If the ionizing flux is very low, the ionization front is $R$ type, and the cloud is just slowly eroded. If the ionizing flux is $\gtrsim 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$, the ionization front becomes $D$ type, and is preceded by a shock front, which propagates into the cloud, compressing it. There is then a competition between erosion of the cloud by
Bisbas et al.

Figure 4. Time sequence of surface-density images showing the evolution of the fiducial cloud when it is exposed to a relatively high ionizing flux (Simulation 6, $\Phi_{\text{LyC}} = 3 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$). Note the overall narrow V-shape of the ionization front bounding the exposed side of the cloud, and the sharp density peak at the apex of the cloud pointing toward the ionizing star. $t/\text{Myr}$ is given in the top right-hand corner of each frame. The color bar gives $\log_{10}(\Sigma/\text{g cm}^{-2})$, where $\Sigma$ is the surface density, and the axes are in pc.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 5. Surface-density images of the fiducial cloud at the moment the first star forms, $t^\star$, for different ionizing fluxes, as given in Table 1: top row, left to right, Simulations 1, 2, and 3; bottom row, left to right, Simulations 4, 5, and 6. $t^\star/\text{Myr}$ is given in the top right-hand corner of each frame. The location of the first star is marked with a dark blue dot. The color bar gives $\log_{10}(\Sigma/\text{g cm}^{-2})$, where $\Sigma$ is the surface density, and the axes are in pc.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

the ionization front and collapse of the cloud triggered by the shock front. Provided the ionizing flux is not too large, $\Phi_{\text{LyC}} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, at least some of the cloud ends up in newly formed stars. However, if the ionizing flux is very large, the cloud is rapidly dispersed, with no star formation. All simulations are followed until all the cloud gas is either in newly formed stars, or has been ionized and dispersed. The time at which the first star forms is denoted by $t^\star$. We limit further discussion to the cases in which star formation occurs, namely those with IDs 1–6 in Table 1.

4.1.2. Phenomenology of Star Formation for Low Ionizing Fluxes

If the incident ionizing flux is at the low end of the range for triggered star formation, the shock front driven into the exposed side of the cloud is weak, and it sweeps up matter rather slowly. Consequently, long before the shock front reaches the center of the cloud, the pancake-shaped layer of swept-up gas becomes sufficiently massive to contract laterally due to its own self-gravity. As the material in the layer converges on the axis of symmetry, the increase in pressure deflects the inward flow toward the center of the cloud, creating a dense filament down the axis of symmetry. It is in this filament that star formation first occurs, usually at a point well behind the ionization front and toward the center of the cloud. For these relatively low ionizing fluxes, the ionization front on the exposed side of the cloud develops a U-shaped structure. Figure 3 is a time sequence of column-density images for Simulation 1, illustrating these morphological features, and terminating at the moment the first star forms.

4.1.3. Phenomenology of Star Formation for High Ionizing Fluxes

If the incident ionizing flux is at the high end of the range for triggered star formation, the shock front driven into the exposed side of the cloud is strong, and it sweeps up matter rather rapidly. As a result the swept-up layer becomes sufficiently massive to fragment, before it has had time to undergo much lateral contraction under its own self-gravity, and therefore before there...
Figure 6. Logarithmic plot of the time at which the first star forms, \( t_\star \), against the ionizing flux, \( \Phi_{\text{LyC}} \). The solid line is the best-fit power law given by Equation (7).

Figure 7. Left panel: snapshot of Simulation 1 at \( t_\star \), illustrating schematically the definitions of \( w_\star \) and \( d_\star \). Middle panel: \( w_\star \) as a function of \( \log_{10}(\Phi_{\text{LyC}}) \) for Simulations 1–6. Right panel: \( d_\star \) as a function of \( \log_{10}(\Phi_{\text{LyC}}) \) for Simulations 1–6.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

4.1.4. Formation Time of First Star

Figure 5 shows column-density images of all the simulations involving the fiducial cloud and resulting in star formation, at the moment star formation occurs, \( t_\star \). This sequence demonstrates how, as the ionizing flux is increased, the length of the central filament shortens, the location of star formation moves closer to the ionization front, and the shape of the ionization front morphs from a broad U-shape to a narrower V-shape. As noted by Gritschneder et al. (2009), star formation occurs earlier for higher ionizing fluxes. Figure 6 is a plot of \( t_\star \) against \( \Phi_{\text{LyC}} \), for the fiducial cloud. The dashed line shows the numerical results, and the solid line shows the best power-law fit to these results,

\[
t_\star \simeq 0.19 \text{ Myr} \left( \frac{\Phi_{\text{LyC}}}{10^{50} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}} \right)^{-1/3}.
\]  

(7)

4.1.5. Cloud Morphology at \( t_\star \)

The morphological evolution of the cloud and the location of star formation can be quantified coarsely by defining two metrics at \( t_\star \) (see the left-hand panel of Figure 7).
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Figure 9. Log–linear plot of the ionizing flux, ΦLyC, against the total mass of stars formed, MTOT, from the fiducial cloud in Simulations 1–6.

The first metric is the width, w⋆, of the cloud at t⋆, i.e., the maximum extent of the neutral gas perpendicular to the direction of the ionizing star. The variation of w⋆ with log10(ΦLyC) is shown in the middle panel of Figure 7. On average, w⋆ decreases with increasing ΦLyC, from w⋆ > 0.3 pc at the low fluxes corresponding to broad U-shaped ionization fronts, to w⋆ < 0.2 pc at the high fluxes corresponding to narrow V-shaped ionization fronts.

The second metric is the distance, d⋆, from the ionization front to the first star, at t⋆. The variation of d⋆ with log10(ΦLyC) is shown in the right-hand panel of Figure 7. d⋆ decreases monotonically with increasing ΦLyC, from d⋆ > 0.08 pc at low ΦLyC to d⋆ < 0.02 pc at high ΦLyC.

4.1.6. Star Formation After t⋆.

After t⋆, further star formation is usually confined to the region between the first star and the ionization front. Once the shock front has passed, any neutral gas that has not yet been incorporated into stars is overpressured, and tends to re-expand, as noted previously by Sandford et al. (1982), Bertoldi (1989), Lefloch & Lazareff (1994), Gritschneder et al. (2009), and Miao et al. (2009).

For low ionizing fluxes, the expanding neutral material forms a single massive pillar protruding into the H II region, with a dense head pointing toward the ionizing star; this is where most of the additional stars are formed. Because of their location, they are quickly overrun by the ionization front. This terminates accretion, and therefore they tend to have low masses. The external appearance of these stars as they emerge into the H II region is very reminiscent of the Evaporating Gaseous Globules (EGGs) seen in M16 (Hester et al. 1996) and elsewhere.

Figure 8 illustrates the remnants of the single pillar formed in Simulation 2, at t = 0.68 Myr. The three stars marked A have already been overrun by the ionization front, and so their accretion has been terminated. The close binary system marked B is an EGG, just emerging from the head of its nascent pillar; its accretion rate is rapidly declining. The star marked C is still embedded in the pillar, and growing by accretion; at the end, it is the most massive star.

For higher ionizing fluxes, a fragmented bundle of smaller pillars is formed. The individual pillars do not contain sufficient mass to spawn many additional stars, and they are quickly dispersed by the ionization front.

4.1.7. Star Formation Efficiency

Figure 9 shows that the total mass of stars, MTOT, formed from the fiducial cloud is a monotonically decreasing function of the ionizing flux, ΦLyC. Figure 10 shows that a range of stellar masses is formed, from 0.01 M⊙ to 1.44 M⊙, with the upper envelope on the individual masses being a decreasing function of ΦLyC.

When the ionizing flux is low, the evolution of the cloud is relatively slow. Consequently, there is more time for stars to form, and—once they have formed—to accrete, because they remain embedded longer. As a result the total mass converted into stars is large (∼0.8 M_{CLOUD} in Simulation 1), and at the end there are some relatively high-mass stars.

When the ionizing flux is high, the evolution of the cloud is relatively rapid, and a large fraction of the mass is eroded by the ionization front before it can become dense enough to collapse and form stars. Moreover, stars that do form enjoy only a brief embedded phase during which they can grow by accretion. The total mass converted into stars is therefore small (∼0.06 M_{CLOUD} in Simulation 6), and the individual stellar masses are low.

There are too few simulations here to infer more detailed constraints on the statistics of stars formed by radiatively driven implosion, but extended protostellar disks, with radii ≥100 AU, appear to be common, and there are several multiple systems. Figure 11 shows a face-on projection of a typical protostellar disk, taken from Simulation 3. The star is represented by the white dot with diameter 10 AU at the center of the

Figure 10. Log–log plot of the ionizing flux, ΦLyC, against the masses, M⋆, of the individual stars formed from the fiducial cloud in Simulations 1–6. For Simulation 4 we have also plotted—at slightly displaced positions—the masses of the stars formed in the three additional simulations performed to evaluate the influence of numerical noise (Simulations 4a, 4b, and 4c; see Section 4.3); because of the intrinsic variance in the outcomes of these different realizations, this produces a small bulge in the upper envelope on the individual masses.
Figure 11. Surface-density image of a protostellar disk, taken from Simulation 3 at time $t = 0.17$ Myr. See Section 4.1.7 for commentary. The color bar gives $\log_{10}(\Sigma / \text{g cm}^{-2})$, where $\Sigma$ is the surface density, and the axes are in pc. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 2

| Simulation ID | $M_{\text{CLOUD}}/M_\odot$ | $R_{\text{CLOUD}}$/pc | $D_{\text{CLOUD}}$/pc | $N_{\text{LyC}}/\text{s}^{-1}$ | $\Phi_{\text{LyC}}/\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 9             | 2.5                           | 0.15                   | 1.5                    | $3 \times 10^{12}$              | $3 \times 10^{10}$              |
| 10            | 10                            | 0.60                   | 3                      | $2.7 \times 10^{12}$              | $3 \times 10^{10}$              |
| 11            | 15                            | 0.90                   | 9.0                    | $1.3 \times 10^{12}$              | $3 \times 10^{10}$              |
| 12            |                               |                        |                        | $9.7 \times 10^{10}$              |                                  |
| 13            |                               |                        |                        | $2.9 \times 10^{10}$              |                                  |
| 14            |                               |                        |                        | $2.9 \times 10^{10}$              |                                  |

disk—although the corresponding sink is actually half this size, with diameter 5 AU. At this juncture, the star is in a wide binary system with separation $\sim 1000$ AU. This binary subsequently hardens, due to dissipation between the circumstellar disks attending its individual components, and at the same time it moves toward a third star and forms a hierarchical triple.

4.2. Non-fiducial Clouds Exposed to Different Ionizing Fluxes

In the second suite of simulations, we treat clouds with different masses from the fiducial cloud, namely $M_{\text{CLOUD}} = 2.5 M_\odot$, $10 M_\odot$, and $15 M_\odot$, but the same isothermal sound speed, $a_0 = 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, the same Bonnor–Ebert parameter, $\xi_{\text{BE}} = 4$, the same flux divergence $R_{\text{CLOUD}}/D_{\text{CLOUD}} = 0.1$, and hence radii $R_{\text{CLOUD}} = 0.15$ pc, $0.6$ pc, and $0.9$ pc and distances $D_{\text{CLOUD}} = 1.5$ pc, $6$ pc, and $9$ pc, respectively. Here we are only concerned with locating the separatrix between ionizing fluxes that do trigger star formation and those that do not. Therefore, for each cloud mass we only report the results for two ionizing fluxes (one either side of the separatrix), and we terminate the simulations either at $t_*$, when the first star forms, or when only 20% of the cloud mass remains. Table 2 gives the ID, $M_{\text{CLOUD}}$, $R_{\text{CLOUD}}$, $D_{\text{CLOUD}}$, $N_{\text{LyC}}$, and $\Phi_{\text{LyC}}$, for the simulations in this suite (Simulations 9–14).

Figure 12 shows, for each of four cloud masses, pairs of ionizing fluxes separated by 0.5 dex, for which the lower flux (marked with a cross) triggers star formation and the upper one (marked with an open triangle) does not, but simply disperses the cloud. This plot shows that it requires a higher flux to disperse a less massive cloud without also triggering star formation. This is because the emission measure through a Bonnor–Ebert sphere with fixed isothermal sound speed and fixed Bonnor–Ebert parameter is proportional to $M_{\text{CLOUD}}^{-3}$. Consequently, a less massive cloud is denser, and only a very high ionizing flux can penetrate right through it before the ionization front switches to $D$ type and starts compressing it.

In support of this contention, we also plot on Figure 12 the critical ionizing flux for which the ionization front has just reached the central, approximately uniform-density part of the
Figure 12. Log–linear plot of ionizing flux, $\Phi_{\text{LyC}}$, against cloud mass, $M_{\text{CLOUD}}$, locating the separatrix between configurations that produce stars (SF) and those that do not (no-SF). All other critical parameters are invariant, viz. isothermal sound speed in the cloud, $a_{O} = 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, Bonnor–Ebert parameter, $\xi_{\text{BE}} = 4$, and flux divergence, $R_{\text{CLOUD}}/D_{\text{CLOUD}} = 0.1$. The crosses mark configurations that result in star formation and the open triangles mark configurations that do not. The solid line shows the locus predicted by Equation (10).

cloud ($\xi < 1$) when it switches to $D$ type. This is obtained by evaluating the emission-measure integral

$$\zeta(\xi) = \int_{\xi'=0}^{\xi} e^{-2\psi(\xi')} d\xi',$$

where $\psi(\xi) = \ln(\rho/\rho_{\text{CENTRE}})$ is the isothermal function (Chandrasekhar & Wares 1949). The critical ionizing flux is then

$$\Phi_{\text{CRIT}} = \frac{\alpha_{B} a_{O}^{10} \mu^{-1} (\xi_{\text{BE}}) \left[ \zeta(\xi_{\text{BE}}) - \zeta(1) \right]}{G^{5} [4\pi m]^{2} M_{\text{CLOUD}}^{3}} \rightarrow 6 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \left( \frac{M_{\text{CLOUD}}}{M_{\odot}} \right)^{-3},$$

where the final expression (Equation (10)) has been evaluated for the fiducial parameters $a_{O} = 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $\xi_{\text{BE}} = 4$. For ionizing fluxes in excess of $\Phi_{\text{CRIT}}$, the cloud is simply dispersed, without any star formation being triggered.

4.3. Influence of Numerical Noise

In the third suite of simulations, we treat three additional realizations of the simulation involving the fiducial cloud and an ionizing flux $\Phi_{\text{LyC}} = 3 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. These simulations are identical to Simulation 4 (presented in Section 4.1), except that in each case the distribution of SPH particles representing...
the cloud has been rotated through three random angles. Consequently, the particle noise—which influences the details of when and where star formation occurs—and therefore what the final stellar masses are—is different.

Table 3 summarizes the global metrics for Simulations 4, 4a, 4b, and 4c, and Figure 13 shows surface-density images for the same simulations at $t_\ast = 0.06$ Myr (top row) and at $t = 0.5$ Myr (bottom row). All the global metrics ($t_\ast$, $d_\ast$, $w_\ast$, and $\Phi_{\text{TOT}}$) are broadly similar, as is the morphology of the cloud at $t_\ast$ and the location of star formation. However, as would be expected for a chaotic nonlinear process, the details of the final state (masses and locations of individual stars at $t = 0.5$ Myr) show a large spread, and this should be interpreted as a measure of the intrinsic variance of the process.

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

We present the results of simulations of star formation triggered by radiatively driven implosion, i.e., stable clouds which are driven into collapse and fragmentation by being overrun by an H II region. The clouds are all modeled as stable Bonnor–Ebert spheres (i.e., equilibrium isothermal spheres) with isothermal sound speed $d_0 = 0.2 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ and Bonnor–Ebert parameter $\beta_{\text{BE}} = 4$. The ionizing star is always placed at distance $R_{\text{CLOUD}} = 10 R_{\text{CLOUD}}$ from the initial center of the cloud, but its ionizing output, $N_{\text{13C}}$, and hence the ionizing flux incident on the cloud, $\Phi_{\text{13C}}$, are varied through over three orders of magnitude.

There is a range of ionizing fluxes that trigger star formation. In this range, the time at which the first star forms is given approximately by $t_\ast = 0.19 \, \text{Myr} \left( \Phi_{\text{13C}} / 10^6 \, \text{cm}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1} \right)^{-1/3}$.

For ionizing fluxes at the low end of this range, the evolution of the cloud is quite slow. When star formation starts at $t_\ast$, the ionization front on the exposed side of the cloud has a broad U-shape, and star formation is concentrated in a dense filament along the axis of symmetry of the cloud, some distance behind the ionization front. This finding is in agreement with observations of star formation in bright-rimmed clouds, as reported by Sugitani et al. (1999, 2000). Although compression of the cloud is relatively weak, star formation is quite efficient (a large fraction of the cloud mass is converted into stars) and the individual stars have relatively high mass, because there is a long period during which star formation can occur; also, once formed, the stars remain embedded in the neutral gas for a long time and can therefore continue to grow by accretion. During the later stages of cloud dispersal, the remnants of the cloud appear as a pillar protruding into the H II region; the newly formed stars emerge from this pillar, and into the H II region, as EGGs (see Hester et al. 1996).

For ionizing fluxes at the high end of this range, the evolution of the cloud is more rapid. When star formation starts at $t_\ast$, the ionization front on the exposed side of the cloud has a narrow V-shape, and star formation is concentrated at the tip of the V, just behind the ionization front. Although compression of the cloud is relatively strong, star formation is quite inefficient (a small fraction of the cloud mass is converted into stars) and the individual stars have relatively low mass, because there is very limited time available for star formation before the cloud is dispersed by being ionized; also, once formed, the stars do not remain embedded in the neutral gas for long, and so they cannot grow much by accretion. During the later stages of cloud dispersal, the cloud breaks up into a bundle of small pillars which do not have enough mass to spawn further stars. Once the stars emerge into the H II region, their growth ceases.
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