Olfactory and gustatory abnormalities in COVID-19 cases
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Abstract

Purpose At the time of writing, there is a pandemic affecting virtually every country on Earth. There is considerable discussion amongst clinicians as well as lay people about anosmia and ageusia in COVID-19 sufferers. We aimed to report the results from comprehensive olfactory and gustatory testing in a series of hospital in-patients.

Methods The prospective study evaluated 81 individuals with a COVID-19 infection, as confirmed by 2019 n-cov Real-Time PCR laboratory testing. The control group consisted of forty individuals with COVID-19 negative. Olfactory and gustatory testings were carried out by an examiner utilizing stringent safety standards and wearing full personal protective equipment. The results obtained in the case group were then compared with those obtained for the controls.

Results In the case group, 41 (50.6%) of patients were male and 40 (49.4%) were female, mean age of 54.16 ± 16.98 years (18–95). In the control group, 21 (52.5%) of subjects were male and 19 (47.5%) were female, and mean age was 55 ± 15.39 years (18–90). Fifty (61.7%) COVID-19-positive patients had complaints related to olfaction. The distribution of olfactory symptoms in the case group differed at the level of statistical significance from the control group (p < 0.001). Turning to gustatory abnormalities, within the case group, 22 individuals (27.2%) had taste malfunction. A statistically significant difference was found in the distribution of gustatory abnormalities between cases and controls (p < 0.001).

Conclusions Olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions are strongly associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Hyposmia with or without hypogeusia is potentially a reliable indicator of latent COVID-19.
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Introduction

Coronaviruses are capable of producing a wide range of systemic infective diseases, both in humans and animals. In humans, these pathogens can produce the full spectrum of respiratory infective disease, from mild coryza to severe or fatal pneumonia [1]. Two coronaviruses of high pathogenic potential in humans have been responsible for widespread epidemics or pandemics within the last 20 years: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome CoV (SARS-CoV) and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome CoV (MERS-CoV) [2, 3]. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a beta coronavirus within group 2b of the coronaviruses. It possesses around 70–80% genetic similarity with SARS-CoV [4]. COVID-19 is a novel coronavirus infective syndrome due to SARS-CoV-2 which has caused widespread fatalities on a global scale [5, 6]. SARS-CoV-2 has been noted as the 7th pathogenic coronavirus variant in humans and the 3rd responsible for a severe clinical syndrome, following SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV [7, 8].
There is wide variety in the clinical presentation of COVID-19 infection, on a spectrum from asymptomatic cases through mild to severe disease, which may or may not be accompanied by pneumonia [9]. A number of studies reveal that characteristic signs of COVID-19 include pyrexia, coughing, diarrhoea and lethargy [10]. Lately, it has been noted by numerous researchers that hyposmia or anosmia plus hypoguesia or ageusia (reduced or absent taste sensation) may occur. Acute loss of smell and taste was observed in 15.3% in the early stage of COVID-19-positive patients by Lee at al [11]. They also found that a higher prevalence in younger and female patients. They also declared that anosmia and ageusia may occur as the first symptom of COVID-19.

At the time of writing, there is a pandemic affecting virtually every country on Earth. There is considerable discussion amongst clinicians as well as lay people about anosmia and ageusia in COVID-19 sufferers. However, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, a systematic evaluation of olfactory and gustatory testing in such individuals has yet to be published. In this paper, we report the results from comprehensive olfactory and gustatory testing in a series of hospital in-patients with viral lower and upper respiratory infections in whom COVID-19 was confirmed by PCR (polymerase chain reaction).

Materials and methods

Study design and study groups

This prospective study was undertaken at two centres: Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Medical Faculty, ENT Department and HSU Haseki Training and Research Hospital, ENT Department. Both centres are hospitals designated by the Turkish state for the treatment of patients suffering from COVID-19. The study evaluated a consecutive series of patients between 25 March 2020 and 20 April 2020. All the patients enrolled in the study were diagnosed with COVID-19, following criteria used by the WHO interim guidance documentation [12].

Eighty-one hospitalized patients were included in the analysis. In all such cases, SARS-CoV-2 was confirmed by laboratory testing. The presence of COVID-19 was considered confirmed where high-throughput sequencing or real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction analysis of throat and nasopharyngeal swab specimens produced a positive result. After collection, swab samples were put into a collection tube with a viral preservative solution. Confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 infection depended on real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction assay utilizing a SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid detection kit (2019 n-cov Real-Time PCR) with adherence to the protocol supplied by the kit manufacturer.

Prior to enrolment, either the participants themselves or a suitable relative in incapacitated patients gave consent to enter the study. Informed consent was obtained in written form for all participants, both cases and controls. The principles contained in the Declaration of Helsinki were followed throughout. The Ethics Committee Approval was obtained from Turkish Ministry of Health with the file number 2020-05-01T15_12_20.

Data collection

The study involved both a case and control group. Eighty-one individuals formed the case group. These individuals all had a COVID-19 infection, as confirmed by 2019 ncov Real-Time PCR laboratory testing. They were all questioned for any previous smell and taste disorders. Patients with previous history of smell and taste disorder were excluded from the study. All COVID-19 positive patients without any previous smell and/or taste disorders were included in this study group. The control group consisted of forty healthy individuals having the same age and sex distribution with the study group. None of the control group individuals had symptoms suggestive of influenza or coryza at the time of the study. As far as was practicable, the cases were matched for sex and age with a control subject. In the case group, the entire cohort reported symptoms affecting smell and in every case these symptoms had their onset either simultaneously with the onset of other symptoms of COVID-19 (pyrexia, coughing or dyspnea) or shortly thereafter. These olfactory symptoms had not been present in any of the case group prior to COVID-19 infection. There was a complete absence of olfactory complaints amongst the controls. Olfactory and gustatory testings were carried out by an examiner utilizing stringent safety standards and wearing full personal protective equipment. The results obtained in the case group were then compared with those obtained for the controls.

Olfactory function testing

The sense of smell was evaluated in all participants by means of the “Sniffin’ Sticks” (Sniffin’ Sticks, Burghart GmbH, Wedel, Germany) [13, 14] test battery, which tests psychological and physical aspects of olfactory function with felt-tipped pens. The various odours were presented as follows: the examiner took off the cap of each stick and presented it at a distance of around 1–2 cm away from the participant’s nostrils. Sixteen commonly encountered odours were chosen. The participants had to choose from a list of four possible descriptive terms for each odour. Between each odour, a gap of at least 30 s was present as a precaution against olfactory desensitisation. The participants had control over how long...
they needed to decide on the odour presented. Scoring for the test depended on adding up the number of odours appropriately described by the test subjects [13, 14].

Gustatory testing

Gustatory testing involved identification of four solutions in water representing different types of taste: sweet, salty, sour and bitter. The sweet-tasting solution was 50% sucrose. Saltiness was tested using 0.9% saline solution. For sour taste, 4.2% vinegar was given. Finally, a 20% coffee mixture with no sweetening represented bitter taste [15]. The testing hours were during the morning to prevent any confounding effects from circadian alterations. The subjects had not eaten or drunk anything within the hour preceding the test. Each participant rinsed his or her mouth with distilled water first. They were supplied with a stopwatch in their right hand with which to record how long it took to identify the taste. Paper strips of identical dimensions were dipped into the previously prepared solutions and applied to the anterior two-thirds of the lingual dorsum. The duration of time in seconds between strip placement and identification of the taste was recorded for each taste and for each subject. The participants used distilled water to flush out their mouths completely between the application of the paper strips [15, 16].

Statistical analysis

The IBM SPSS for Windows 21.0 application was used for all statistical analyses. Shapiro Wilk’s normality test was used to determine the distribution of each variable. Chi-square testing was employed for the analysis of the various cross-tabulations produced (Group vs gender, group vs smell complaint, group vs taste complaint). In intergroup comparisons, the t test (independent samples t test) was used for samples independent of parametric tests, such as age. The Mann–Whitney U test (non-parametric test) was used for the variables sweet, salty, sour and bitter. Number (as percentage), mean (±SD) and median (Q1; Q3) were used to summarize the data. A p value below 0.05 values was taken to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Eighty-one hospitalized patients with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection represented the cases, whilst forty individuals in whom COVID-19 testing produced a negative result made up the controls. In the case group, 41 (50.6%) of patients were male and 40 (49.4%) were female, with a mean age of 54.16±16.98 years (range 18–95). In the control group, 21 (52.5%) of patients were male and 19 (47.5%) of patient were female, whilst the mean age was 55±15.39 years (range 18–90). The sex distribution within the cases and controls is given in Table 1. There was no difference in terms of sex distribution between the case and control groups (Chi-square = 0.38, p> 0.05). Furthermore, no difference was observed in terms of age distribution between the case and control groups (t = −0.265, p = 0.792, p> 0.05).

In the case group, 50 (61.7%) patients had complaints related to olfaction, whilst no individual in the control group complained of olfactory symptoms. The Sniffin’ Sticks’ test indicated that COVID-19 infection was associated with significant impairment of olfactory function, when results were compared with the data on the controls or with the previously published normative data. The distribution of olfactory symptoms in the case group differed at the level of statistical significance from the control group (Chi-square = 39.57, p<0.001). The distribution of olfactory symptoms in both the cases and controls is provided in Table 2.

Turning to gustatory abnormalities, within the case group, 22 individuals (27.2%) had taste malfunction. Most of the patients stated that they had difficulties to identify the odours and tastes of the food they had consumed during their illness. A statistically significant difference was found in the distribution of gustatory abnormalities between cases and controls (Chi-square = 11.515, p=0.0069, p< 0.001). Similarly, gustatory testing demonstrated marked abnormalities

| Case group (count, % within the group) | 31 (38.3%) | 50 (61.7%) |
| Control group (count, % within the group) | 40 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Total (count, % within combined groups) | 71 (58.7%) | 50 (41.3%) |

Table 1 Gender and age distribution of study and control group

| Group | Sex | Male | Female | Total |
|-------|-----|------|--------|-------|
| Case group, (count, % within group) | 41 (50.6%) | 40 (49.4%) | 81 (100%) |
| Control group, (count, % within group) | 21 (52.5%) | 19 (47.5%) | 40 (100%) |
| Total (count, % within combined groups) | 62 (51.2%) | 59 (48.8%) | 121 (100%) |
in the cases compared both to the study controls and when
the results were compared with the published normative
data. The distribution of gustatory abnormalities in the case
and control groups is given in Table 3.

Fifty individuals (61.7%) within the case group reported
the loss of either olfactory or gustatory function, with 29
(35.80%) reporting olfactory loss alone, 1 (1.23%) gustatory
loss alone, and 20 (24.69%) both olfactory and gustatory
loss.

Details of the results of olfactory and gustatory testing
and the statistical significance of the results for the study
are provided in Table 4. This table was created with Median
Q1–Q3 and Mann–Whitney \(U\) statistics. The results of these
tests were generally in agreement.

**Discussion**

COVID-19 is the disease caused by the novel coronavirus
SARS-CoV-2, which has resulted in a high level of fatalities
across the entire globe [5, 6]. COVID-19 infections cover a
range of possible presentations, from asymptomatic cases
to moderate through severe disease. Pneumonia may or may
not occur [9]. The study cohort contained greater numbers
of males than females, which reflects the previously reported
demographic and clinical character of the disease [17]. In
our study, there was no difference in sex distribution between
the case and control groups (Chi-square = 0.38 \(p > 0.05\)).

From the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, it has been
proposed that acute onset olfactory +/- gustatory mal-
function may represent an early marker of probable infec-
tion [18–21]. Olfactory and gustatory malfunction may
occur even in the absence of other symptoms suggestive of
COVID-19. Although it is known that coronaviruses cause
the common cold, many individuals presenting to ENT with
a common cold accompanied by olfactory or gustatory mal-
function are given a diagnosis of post-viral anosmia [21].
Nonetheless, despite histopathological studies indicating
that direct trauma to the olfactory mucosa does take place,
the precise point at which this occurs has not yet been
established [22]. A longstanding abnormality of olfactory
function has also been linked to the previous SARS-CoV
pandemic, which occurred in 2004–2005. Abnormalities of
olfactory and gustatory function may also be due to SARS-
CoV-2, the agent causing COVID-19 [23]. The present
study’s aim was to further elucidate the relationship between
COVID-19 and olfactory or gustatory malfunction.

Numerous studies have now identified the most likely
receptor the SARS-CoV-2 virus uses and hence may explain
how infection occurs: the angiotensin-converting enzyme II
(ACE2) receptor [24]. Thus, cell populations which express
ACE2 at elevated levels (pulmonary, intestinal, cardiac
and renal) will be most at risk from infection by the virus
[25]. Xu et al. [24] looked at how the ACE2 receptor was
expressed in the oral cavity by examining RNA transcripts.
Lingual expression of ACE2 was higher than in the cheek
or gingiva. This differential expression may partly account
for the gustatory loss seen in SARS-CoV-2 infection. Our
results indicate that ageusia occurred in 27.2% (22 individu-
als) of confirmed cases of COVID-19.

Moein et al. [26] propose that the low incidence of
gustatory abnormalities in COVID-19 is more reasonably

| Table 3 | Distribution of gustatory abnormalities |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
|         | Gustatory abnormality                  |
|         | Absent | Present |
| Case group (count, % within the group) | 59 (72.8%) | 22 (27.2%) |
| Control group (count, % within the group) | 40 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Total (count, % within the group) | 71 (58.7%) | 22 (18.2%) |

| Table 4 | Comparison of results obtained in the case and control groups |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Smell and taste tests | Groups | \(n\) | Median | Q1–Q3 | \(z\) statistics (Mann–Whitney \(U\)) | \(p\) |
| Smell tests | Study group | 81 | 6.00 | 4.00–8.00 | −7.29 | \(<0.001^*\) |
| Smell tests | Control group | 40 | 10.00 | 10.00–10.75 | | |
| Taste test: sweet | Study group | 81 | 7.40 | 4.70–17.50 | −1.36 | 0.17 |
| Taste test: sweet | Control group | 40 | 6.40 | 4.40–16.20 | | |
| Taste test: salty | Study group | 81 | 8.70 | 6.30–16.90 | −1.83 | 0.07 |
| Taste test: salty | Control group | 40 | 6.90 | 5.30–15.53 | | |
| Taste test: sour | Study group | 81 | 12.30 | 5.75–17.55 | −1.94 | 0.052 |
| Taste test: sour | Control group | 40 | 7.25 | 5.10–16.48 | | |
| Taste test: bitter | Study group | 81 | 7.50 | 5.40–16.25 | −1.08 | 0.28 |
| Taste test: bitter | Control group | 40 | 6.50 | 5.45–13.05 | | |

*Statistically significant
attributed to traumatic injury to the olfactory system than to damage to the gustatory apparatus itself (such as the lingual papillae or the sensory fibres). Indeed, gustatory loss is virtually always accompanied by olfactory abnormalities, whether secondary to viral infection or some other cause. Our results indicated that 22 (27.2%) of COVID-19 cases complained of alteration in taste. Ageusia was distributed differently between cases and controls, a result with statistical significance (Chi-square = 11.515, p = 0.0069, p < 0.001). Likewise, gustatory testing in COVID-19 cases indicated a marked degree of gustatory abnormality when compared with either the study control group or the normative data available for the tests.

It is rare to encounter dysgeusia as the sole presenting complaint, as it generally occurs in association with anosmia, as it does in COVID-19 cases. Klopfenstein et al. [27] report that anosmia and dysgeusia co-occurred 85% of the time and where a nasal discharge was present, in over 50% of cases. The results of the present study indicate an occurrence of either anosmia or dysgeusia in 61.7% of COVID-19 cases (50 individuals), and of anosmia alone in 35.8% (29 individuals). Dysgeusia without anosmia was only present in one case (1.23%), whilst co-occurrence of the two symptoms was present in 24.69% (20 individuals). These results support the notion that mechanical blockage of the nose is not the cause of anosmia in COVID-19 cases.

Changes in the sense of smell in COVID-19 sufferers remain a matter of debate. The literature so far contains no comprehensive account regarding hyposmia. In late March 2020, the American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery announced that anosmia and dysgeusia had been identified in individuals who went on to be positive for COVID-19. They made the suggestion that anosmia and dysgeusia be included amongst the candidates used to screen for cases of the disorder [28]. An initial study examining hyposmia and dysgeusia has been carried out on in-patient cases of SARS-CoV-2 at Hospital Sacco (Milan, Italy) [29]. Amongst 59 individuals questioned about taste or smell problems, 33.9% admitted to alteration in either taste or smell, whilst 18.6% complained of both symptoms. An Iranian preliminary survey has brought to light a peak incidence in de novo anosmia dating from after the period SARS-CoV-2 became an epidemic infection [21]. Anosmia is already attributed to a post-viral aetiology in approaching 40% of adult cases; hence, it would be reasonable to conclude that COVID-19 may also lead to this complication [30]. That SARS-CoV can result in the death of neurones in a mice model has already been proven experimentally. This occurred when the pathogen was able to gain access to the CNS via the olfactory epithelium [31]. Previous research has already ascertained expression of the ACE2 receptor in nervous tissue (glia and neurones) [32]. The present study found olfactory dysfunction in 50 (61.7%) individuals, and taste dysfunction in 22 (27.2%).

The precise mechanism by which SARS-CoV-2 infection produces anosmia is not yet known, since a complete objective assessment of olfaction is not currently feasible given the contagious nature of COVID-19, and the contraindications to endonasal endoscopy that exist in such cases [33]. Two potential mechanisms need to be considered: mechanical blockage of olfactory function secondary to inflammation, as seen in the olfactory cleft syndrome [34]; or neuro-trauma produced when the olfactory epithelium and sensory neurones are destroyed by infection [33].

Anecdotal evidence favours considering hyposmia or hypogeusia as early indicators of SARS-CoV-2 infection before the clinical syndrome fully develops. At present, nonetheless, there is a lack of clarity regarding how far such symptoms are a risk factor for hospital admission, are a result of the virus or are just due to observer bias (i.e. seeing what it is expected will be seen). Establishing the temporal relationship between COVID-19 and hyposmia/hypogeusia is essential if these symptoms are of value as markers of otherwise asymptomatic disease and hence as a way to identify contagious individuals.

Once an individual has recovered from SARS-CoV-2 infection, it is expected that one of two outcomes may occur: if the disorder is due to mechanical obstruction, complete resolution will occur; if, however, the problem is neurological, resolution is more complex. Up to now, there are insufficient data to know which the actual situation is. The present study would require extension over a longer period to provide data on resolution of hyposmia or hypogeusia. However, the evidence so far points to hyposmia and hypogeusia as indicators of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The present study, as far as we are aware, is the validated olfactory measures that confirm the prevalence of smell/taste loss.

The cases enrolled in this study were hospital in-patients at the time of assessment but data regarding their clinical outcome were not available to the researchers at the time of writing. The study needs to be extended to assess the duration of symptoms and their resolution. The study was undertaken when COVID-19 was pandemic and the use of advanced imaging and diagnostic modalities, such as endoscopy, paranasal sinus tomography and/or magnetic resonance imaging, was contraindicated due to the risk of cross-infection by the virus.

**Conclusion**

Olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions are strongly associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Hyposmia with or without hypogeusia is potentially a reliable indicator of latent COVID-19. The majority of cases up to the time of writing...
have featured temporary olfactory dysfunction with restoration of normal olfaction occurring from days to weeks after COVID-19 has passed. It is not yet clarified what percentage of cases are characterized by permanent olfactory dysfunction, and more detailed and long-term studies are needed in this regard. The results of this study support the contention that hyposmia or hypogeusia is important symptom to screen for in the context of identifying presymptomatic cases that may go on to infect others.
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