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Abstract
The brain harbors billions of neurons that form distinct neural circuits with exquisite specificity. Specific patterns of connectivity between distinct neuronal cell types permit the transfer and computation of information. The molecular correlates that give rise to synaptic specificity are incompletely understood. Recent studies indicate that cell-surface molecules are important determinants of cell type identity and suggest that these are essential players in the specification of synaptic connectivity. Leucine-rich repeat (LRR)-containing adhesion molecules in particular have emerged as key organizers of excitatory and inhibitory synapses. Here, we discuss emerging evidence that LRR proteins regulate the assembly of specific connectivity patterns across neural circuits, and contribute to the diverse structural and functional properties of synapses, two key features that are critical for the proper formation and function of neural circuits.

Molecular correlates of synaptic specificity and diversity
A rich diversity of neuronal cell types is found in the brain, with each neuron participating in its own specific network of connections. Synaptic connections allow for information to not only be conveyed from one cell type to another, but also to be differentially processed. This ability is made possible because synapses between different types of neurons have distinct structural and functional properties, as well as synaptic plasticity dynamics1–4. Synapse type-specific properties include, but are not limited to, neurotransmitter type, dendritic spine shape, short- and long-term plasticity (LTP) properties, pre-synaptic release probability, and post-synaptic receptor composition.

The molecular logic that underlies the specificity and diversity of synaptic connectivity is poorly understood. Recent single-cell sequencing studies reveal that only a few key gene classes determine cell identity, including transcription factors and cell-surface proteins5–10. These studies have also found that many proteins that confer particular synaptic properties, such as ion channels, are differentially expressed in discrete neuronal cell types. Cell type-specific repertoires of cell-surface and synaptic proteins provide the building blocks for the synapses a neuron makes and receives, but how they instruct specific connectivity and diversity of synapses is largely unknown. What has become clear is that these building blocks do not distribute evenly across the neuron and all of its synapses. The differential expression of synaptic proteins, including vesicular transporters, receptor subunits, and scaffolding proteins, at particular synaptic connections, is well-documented11. Thus, cell type-specific expression of cell-surface and synaptic proteins might contribute to defining the specificity, and the particular properties, of the synaptic connections that neuron makes. However, the mechanisms by which synaptic proteins are differentially localized to specific connections, or how they orchestrate the diverse properties of synapses, are largely unknown.
Synaptic adhesion proteins are a class of cell-surface proteins with an essential role in shaping neural connectivity. These molecules organize synaptic development by forming select trans-synaptic complexes and recruiting diverse synaptic proteins. One family of synaptic adhesion molecules, the leucine-rich repeat (LRR)-containing proteins, has emerged as key organizers of both excitatory and inhibitory synapses. These proteins are so named because of the LRR structural motifs they each contain, which array in tandem and curve to form a horseshoe-shaped structure, the LRR domain. The concave side of the curved motif stack, which constitutes a continuous β-sheet, makes the LRR domain an excellent protein-binding motif. Variation in the length and number of LRR repeats, as well as in secondary structures on the convex side, affects the domain curvature, permitting its interaction with a diversity of ligands. Through interactions with various synaptic proteins mediated by their extracellular LRR domains and cytoplasmic tails, LRR-containing proteins exert their roles as synaptic organizers. In addition to these molecular properties, LRR proteins display cell type-specific expression patterns. Recent single-cell sequencing studies of retinal bipolar neurons and cortical GABAergic interneurons revealed differential, cell type-specific expression patterns of LRR proteins, suggesting that different neuronal cell types express their own repertoires of LRR proteins. Together, these various attributes make LRR-containing synaptic adhesion molecules candidates for regulating synaptic specificity and diversity in neural circuits.

In this review, we discuss the role of different LRR-containing adhesion molecules, which for simplification purposes we will refer to here as LRR proteins, in synaptic connectivity and diversity. We focus on the mammalian nervous system and refer the reader to several excellent reviews that address the issue of synaptic specificity in invertebrates. We first provide an overview of the major synaptogenic LRRs that have been identified in recent years. We consider the evidence that indicates a role for LRR proteins in the development of specific synaptic connectivity in a variety of neural circuits, focusing on those in cortex, hippocampus, and retina. We then discuss emerging evidence that suggests that LRR proteins might contribute to the specification of synaptic diversity in mammalian neural circuits. Finally, we briefly consider the role of LRR proteins in brain disorders, as several genes encoding LRR-containing synaptic adhesion molecules have been shown to have associations with neuropsychiatric disease, including autism and schizophrenia.

**Synaptogenic LRR proteins and their synaptic ligands**

The main focus of this review will be on five major LRR protein subfamilies with synapse formation-promoting, or synaptogenic, capacity in cultured neurons. Here, we first provide a brief overview of these subfamilies and describe what is currently known regarding the extracellular synaptic binding partners of these adhesion molecules.

**NGLs**

The netrin-G ligand (NGL) protein family comprises three members, NGL1–3, also known as the LRRC4 genes. NGLs localize to the post-synaptic membrane and form trans-synaptic complexes with their presynaptic binding partners. NGL-1 binds lipid-anchored netrin-G1, and NGL-2 binds netrin-G2. NGL-3, on the other hand, does not bind netrin-Gs, but binds all leucocyte common antigen-related (LAR) family receptor protein tyrosine phosphatases (RPTPs), including LAR, PTPδ, and PTPσ. When expressed on the surface of heterologous cells, all NGLs induce presynaptic differentiation in contacting axons from co-cultured neurons. The fact that netrin-Gs lack a cytoplasmic domain suggests the existence of an interacting coreceptor that would allow NGL-1 and NGL-2 to induce presynaptic differentiation. Indeed, NGL-1 binding to netrin-G1 induces recruitment of presynaptic LAR to the complex. Netrin-G2 must operate via another, as-of-yet unidentified, transmembrane co-receptor.

**LRRTMs**

The LRR transmembrane neuronal protein (LRRTM) family comprises four members, LRRTM1–4. LRRTMs are post-synaptic proteins that form trans-synaptic complexes with presynaptic neurexins. LRRTM1, LRRTM2, and LRRTM3 interact with neurexins in a splice site-dependent manner, as they bind neurexins specifically lacking an insert at splice site 4. LRRTM4 differs in that it binds neurexins with or without a splice site 4 insert, and preferentially binds heparan sulfate proteoglycans, most prominently glypicans, in trans. LRRTM1, LRRTM2, LRRTM4, and to a lesser degree, LRRTM3, are all capable of inducing presynaptic differentiation in contacting axons. Since glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored glypicans lack a cytoplasmic domain, the LRRTM4-triggered recruitment of the presynaptic co-receptor PTPδ is required to induce presynaptic differentiation in culture.

**Slitrks**

Slit and NTRK-like family members (Slitrk) proteins comprise a larger LRR protein subfamily, with six members, Slitrk1–6. Post-synaptic Slitrks engage in trans-synaptic interactions with PTPδ and PTPσ from the LAR-RPTP family, but not with LAR itself. All Slitrk family members are capable of inducing presynaptic differentiation. While Slitrk1, −2, −4, −5, and −6 have
primarily been implicated in the regulation of excitatory synapse development in vitro, Slitrk3 is an inhibitory synapse-specific LRR protein41,43.

SALMs
The family of synaptic adhesion-like molecules (SALMs), also known as LRR and fibronectin type III domain-containing proteins (LRFNs), comprises five members, SALM1-544–46. The molecular interactions that SALM proteins form both in cis and in trans are complex and are yet to be fully understood. SALM1-3 form homo-and heteromeric complexes in cis47, and SALM4 inhibits SALM3 function in cis48. SALM4 and −5 participate in homophilic adhesion in trans49, and both SALM3 and SALM5 bind to LAR-RPTP50. Only SALM3 and SALM5 have synaptogenic activity in co-cultures of heterologous cells and neurons51.

FLRTs
The fibronectin LRR transmembrane (FLRT) protein family comprises three members, FLRT1–352. FLRTs bind latrophilins (LPHN) 1–3 in trans, with promiscuity between isoforms53. However, FLRTs also engage in other important molecular interactions, as will be discussed later in this review. Unlike LRRTMs, Slitrks, NGLs, and SALMs, FLRTs expressed in heterologous cells do not induce pre-synaptic differentiation in contacting axons. However, FLRT3 knockdown (KD) in cultured hippocampal neurons decreases excitatory synapse density51.

Control of synaptic protein composition by LRR proteins
In addition to their interactions with binding partners across the synaptic cleft, LRR proteins also recruit specific components of the synaptic proteome, largely via their cytoplasmic tails. A common theme has emerged of LRR proteins interacting with, or influencing the localization of, post-synaptic scaffolding proteins, as well as glutamate receptor subunits.

Artificial LRR protein clustering assays allow for the analysis of LRR protein-mediated post-synaptic protein recruitment. Aggregation of either NGL-2 or NGL-3 on the surface of dendrites induces NMDA glutamate receptor (NMDAR) clustering, while NGL-3 also induces AMPA glutamate receptor (AMPAR) clustering28,29. Clustering of LRRTM1 or LRRTM2 on the dendrites of hippocampal neurons induces co-clustering of NMDAR subunit GluN1 and AMPAR subunit GluA1, as well as PSD-95 and SynGAP, a PSD-95-associated post-synaptic density (PSD) protein31. SALM2 clustering on cultured neuron dendrites induces co-clustering of PSD-95 as well as the PSD protein GKAP46. SALM3 aggregation on dendrites also induces clustering of PSD-9551. LRR proteins are, therefore, not only able to mediate pre-synaptic differentiation, but also post-synaptic differentiation.

Studies that have investigated the consequences of LRR protein knockout (KO) also provide insight into how these molecules influence synaptic protein composition. SALM1 KO increases expression of NMDAR subunit GluN2A, but decreases expression of PSD-95 in all hippocampal regions54. GluA1 expression was also found to be reduced in SALM1 KO hippocampus, suggesting a role of SALM1 in enhancing synaptic incorporation of AMPARs in cooperation with PSD-95. On striatal neurons, Slitrk5 localizes to dendritic spines, where it regulates the expression of glutamate receptors55. In Slitrk5 KO mice, expression of NMDAR subunits GluN2A and GluN2B, as well as AMPAR subunits GluA1 and GluA2, are decreased in striatum, while PSD-95 amounts are unchanged. LRRTM4 KO results in a reduction in the expression of PSD-95 family proteins in dentate gyrus (DG) synaptosome fractions, while basal levels of GluA1 and GluA2 are unaffected39.

In conclusion, LRR proteins organize synapses via interactions with binding partners across the synaptic cleft, as well as by interacting with essential synaptic proteins. In the next section, we discuss the roles of LRR proteins in shaping synaptic connectivity in neural circuits.

Role of LRR proteins in circuit development
LRR proteins have been implicated in all steps of circuit formation, from neuronal migration and neurite outgrowth, to the formation and functional assembly of synaptic contacts. Here, we focus on three main brain regions—cortex, hippocampus, and retina—to highlight the diverse roles of LRR proteins in the control of neural circuit development.

Cortical development: cell migration and neurite outgrowth
FLRT proteins play an important role in cortical neuron migration. FLRT2 and FLRT3 ectodomains are shed from neurons during cortical development56. By binding to Unc5-receptors on cortical neuron axons or somata, FLRTs act as repulsive guidance cues. KO of FLRT2, or of its receptor, Unc5D, results in an accelerated radial migration of cortical neurons56. FLRT1/3 double KO also affects cortical neuron migration, but with a more dramatic effect, as this results in the unusual formation of macroscopic sulci during embryogenesis in what would normally be a smooth mouse neocortex57. Reduced intercellular adhesion following loss of FLRT1 and FLRT3 allows for a larger proportion of immature neurons to reach the upper cortical plate, as it permits accelerated radial migration of neurons as
well as enhanced neuron clustering along the tangential axis. Interestingly, endogenous FLRT1/3 expression levels are lower in human neocortex compared to mouse neocortex\(^57\), suggesting that FLRT-regulated intercellular adhesion might be involved in species-specific differences in cortical folding.

LRR proteins regulate neurite outgrowth in the thalamocortical system. NGL-1 is highly expressed in embryonic striatum and cortex, which both receive innervation from thalamocortical axons (TCAs)\(^27\). Binding of NGL-1 to netrin-G1 on developing TCAs stimulates neurite growth toward their target regions. Thalamic axon growth is blocked upon application of soluble NGL-2 or upon treatment with phosphatidylinositol phosphatase C, which cleaves GPI-anchored surface proteins, including netrin-Gs. FLRTs also contribute to the correct formation of thalamocortical connectivity. FLRT3, which is highly expressed in rostral TCAs, determines the Netrin-1 responsiveness of the Robo1 receptor, also expressed in TCAs\(^58\). In the presence of Slit1, Robo1 and FLRT3 receptors induce Netrin-1 attraction by upregulating surface DCC receptors. Intermediate TCAs that normally lack FLRT3 are insensitive to Netrin-1. This Netrin-1 sensitivity adjustment, governed by FLRT3 expression, allows rostral and intermediate TCAs to target different regions of cortex. Consequently, loss of FLRT3 from TCAs that normally express it results in axon guidance defects\(^58\).

Hippocampal circuits: development of synaptic connectivity

The hippocampus is an attractive system for the investigation of the role of LRR proteins in regulating synaptic connectivity because of the accessible laminar organization of synaptic afferents in this brain region. Multiple studies have focused on the Schaffer collateral (SC) synapses that form in CA1 stratum radiatum (SR), where CA3 axons terminate on the proximal apical dendrites of CA1 pyramidal neurons. Several LRR proteins regulate the development of SC-CA1 synapses. NGL-2 localizes to the dendritic segments of CA1 pyramidal neurons that receive SC input, while the pre-synaptic binding partner of NGL-2, netrin-G2, localizes to SC axons\(^59\). NGL-2 KD or KO selectively reduces the density of spines in the CA1 dendritic segment receiving SC input, while the density of spines on the distal tuft of CA1 pyramidal neurons is unaffected\(^60\) (Fig. 1), demonstrating that the NGL-2–netrin-G2 complex regulates synapse development in an input-specific manner. Unlike DeNardo et al.\(^60\), Matsukawa et al.\(^61\) found no differences in CA1 pyramidal neuron spine densities in either netrin-G2 KO or NGL-2 KO mice, neither in juveniles nor adults, possibly due to differences in genetic backgrounds of the mice used in these studies.

LRRM1 KO results in a small decrease in SC-CA1 synapse density in electron microscopic (EM) analysis, although the same study found no change in spine density using Golgi staining of CA1 dendrites\(^62\). Similarly, triple KD of LRRM1, LRRM2, and neuroligin-3 (NLGN3) in a NLGN1 KO background had no significant effect on dendritic spine density in CA1 SR compared to NLGN1 KO mice\(^63\), suggesting that the role of LRRMs in regulating SC-CA1 synapse density is relatively minor.

Multiple members of the SALM family regulate synapse density in CA1 neurons. SALM1 KO mildly reduces dendritic spine number in CA1 SR, based on Golgi
staining of CA1 dendrites (Fig. 1)\(^{54}\). SALM5 KD in CA1 neurons in organotypic hippocampal slice cultures strongly decreases the frequency, but not the amplitude, of miniature excitatory post-synaptic currents (mEPSCs), suggesting a reduction in excitatory synapse density following loss of SALM5\(^{59}\). SALM3 KO also decreases mEPSC frequency, and in addition reduces PSD density as measured by EM analysis\(^{50}\), indicating that loss of SALM3 reduces excitatory synapse density. SALM4 KO, on the other hand, increases mEPSC frequency and PSD density in CA1 neurons, indicating increased excitatory synapse number (Fig. 1)\(^{48}\). Interestingly, this increase occurs because SALM4 normally \textit{cis}-inhibits SALM3, which has synaptogenic activity in culture\(^{51}\), resulting in a suppression of excitatory synapse development\(^{48}\). Consistent with these observations from cell culture, double KO of SALM3 and SALM4 normalizes excitatory synapse number in CA1 pyramidal neurons\(^{48}\).

LRR proteins also contribute to the development of inhibitory synaptogenic connectivity in CA1 pyramidal neurons. Slitrk3 KO mice display a reduced density of inhibitory synapses, in CA1 SR, as well as in the CA1 cell body layer (Fig. 1)\(^{45}\). Slitrk3 physically interacts with neuroligin-2 (NLGN2), a major organizer of inhibitory synapses\(^{64}\). NLGN2 KO selectively impairs perisomatic inhibitory synapses in CA1 neurons\(^{65}\). Targeted disruption of the Slitrk3–NLGN2 interaction, by engineering a mutant mouse that lacks the Slitrk3 LRR critical for the interaction with NLGN2, reduces inhibitory synapse density in CA1 SR and in stratum pyramidale\(^{64}\), demonstrating that Slitrk3 and NLGN2 interact to synergistically promote inhibitory synapse development in CA1 neurons.

Another hippocampal circuit that has been utilized for the study of LRR proteins in regulating synaptic connectivity is found in the DG, where perforant path (PP) afferents from entorhinal cortex synapse on the middle and distal portion of DG granule cell dendrites. FLRT3 KD in DG granule cells mildly reduces spine density in the middle dendritic segment receiving PP input (Fig. 2)\(^{53}\). LRRTM3 KO also results in a modest decrease in dendritic spine density in the same dendritic segment of DG granule cells\(^{35}\). LRRTM4 KO mice show a similar reduction in spine density on the outer segment of DG granule cell dendrites\(^{39}\) (Fig. 2), indicating a contribution of LRRTM3 and LRRTM4 in regulating the density of PP-DG granule cell synapses.

**Retinal circuits: photoreceptor synapse formation and laminar targeting**

LRR proteins are important regulators of pathway-specific laminar organization in retinal circuits. In retinal horizontal cells (H Cs), NGL-2 localizes to the tips of axons\(^{66}\), rather than to dendrites as in hippocampal neurons (Fig. 3a). HC axons synapse onto rod photoreceptors (PRs) in the outer plexiform layer (OPL), which express netrin-G2. HC axons from NGL-2 KO mice overshoot their laminar target, the OPL, and form fewer synapses with rod PRs. Consequently, rod-mediated signaling in the outer retina, as recorded by in vivo electroretinograms, is impaired. Connections between HC dendrites and cone PRs are unaffected in NGL-2 KO mice, and laminar targeting of rod PR-contacting dendrites of another cell type, bipolar cells (BCs), to rod PRs is also intact in the absence of NGL-2\(^{66}\), showing a rod PR pathway-specific role for NGL-2 in HCs in regulating connectivity of the first retinal synapse.

Extracellular LRR fibronectin type III domain-containing protein 1 (Elfn1) also plays a critical role in the formation of PR synapses (Fig. 3a). Elfn1 is selectively expressed by rod PRs, is absent from cone PRs, and localizes to rod PR axon terminals, where it forms a trans-synaptic complex with mGlur6 on ON-BC dendrites\(^{67}\). Expression and synaptic targeting of Elfn1 is mediated by α2δ4, an extracellular auxiliary subunit of Cav1.4, which is a pre-synaptic voltage-gated \(Ca^{2+}\) channel\(^{58}\). Once synaptically localized, Elfn1 aligns glutamate release-directing Cav1.4 with the post-synaptic, glutamate-sensing mGlur6 receptor (Fig. 3a). Elfn1 KO specifically disrupts the formation of rod synapses on ON-BCs, while neighboring cone PR synapses on ON-BCs are unaffected. Consequently, synaptic transmission between rod PRs and ON-BCs is selectively impaired\(^{67}\), indicating that Elfn1 plays a critical role in rod PR synaptogenesis and function. FLRTs may play a role in mediating laminar targeting in the inner plexiform layer (IPL) of the retina (Fig. 3b).
FLRTs, as well as the Unc5 proteins they bind, exhibit differential, laminar-restricted expression patterns in the developing IPL, and elicit repulsion or attraction in subpopulations of retinal neurons in ex vivo stripe assays. FLRT2 and Unc5C display striking, alternating laminar patterns in the IPL, suggesting that repulsive interactions between these proteins may contribute to laminar organization. FLRT2 is expressed by both starburst amacrine cells (SACs) and ON–OFF direction-selective ganglion cells (oDSCG), synaptic partners whose neurites co-stratify in the same IPL laminae. Neurites from both cell types are repelled by Unc5C in vitro, which is prominently expressed in the neighboring laminae, suggesting that repulsive interactions between FLRT2-expressing SAC or oDSCG neurites and neighboring Unc5C-expressing neurites causes laminar restriction of SAC and oDSCG neurites in the IPL.

**Role of LRR proteins in synaptic diversity**

In contrast to the multiple studies that have addressed the development of specific synaptic connectivity following manipulation of LRR proteins in various neural
circuits, little is known about the role LRR proteins might play in the regulation of synapse type-specific structural and functional properties. However, their specific expression patterns in the brain, and their ability to interact in trans across the synaptic cleft, as well as recruit specific proteins to the synapse, makes them interesting candidates for regulating synaptic diversity.

Regulation of synaptic shape and ultrastructural organization

Few studies have described an effect of LRR protein loss on synaptic shape, but in SALM1 KO CA1 neurons, dendritic spines look oddly shaped, with thin protrusions rising from the spine heads (Fig. 1)\textsuperscript{54}. Moreover, analysis of synaptic ultrastructure in CA1 SR using EM reveals an increase in the ratio of perforated to total asymmetrical synapses, but a reduced PSD length\textsuperscript{54}. SALM4 KO, on the other hand, increases PSD length\textsuperscript{68}, suggesting that SALMs maintain normal spine morphology and synaptic ultrastructure. LRRTM1 KO also affects synapse morphology in CA1 neurons, as spines appear to be longer, and possibly less mature\textsuperscript{62}, and EM ultrastructural analysis suggests a more dispersed distribution of synaptic vesicles following loss of LRRTM1\textsuperscript{62}. With regard to inhibitory synapses in CA1, loss of Slitrk3 increases presynaptic bouton size and PSD length at symmetric perisomatic synapses on CA1 pyramidal neurons (Fig. 1)\textsuperscript{64}.

Regulation of pre-synaptic release properties

Arguably, the best example of an LRR protein that instructs specific synaptic functional properties is Elfn1, which controls pre-synaptic release probability in a target cell-dependent manner. The axons of CA1 pyramidal neurons form synapses onto two types of interneurons: somatostatin (SOM)-positive and parvalbumin (PV)-positive cells. These synapses are facilitating or depressing, depending on the target cell: CA1-SOM synapses are facilitating and have a low release probability, whereas CA1-PV synapses are depressing and have a high release probability. Elfn1 is selectively expressed in SOM cells and localizes to the excitatory post-synaptic compartment of these cells where it binds to the pre-synaptic mGluR7 receptor on CA1 axons to instruct a low release probability\textsuperscript{70,71}. Loss of Elfn1 increases release probability in CA1-SOM synapses and, conversely, Elfn1 overexpression in PV cells decreases release probability at CA1-PV synapses\textsuperscript{70,71}.

Regulation of short- and long-term plasticity properties

In addition to influencing properties of basal synaptic transmission, LRRs have been shown to act as regulators of synaptic efficacy by influencing short- or long-term synaptic plasticity. The best example for a role of LRR proteins in regulating synapse type-specific plasticity properties comes from work on NGLs/netrin-Gs in CA1 and DG neurons. Loss of netrin-G1 impairs post-tetanic potentiation (PTP), a form of pre-synaptic plasticity, at temporoammonic (TA) synapses formed on distal CA1 dendrites (Fig. 1)\textsuperscript{61}. This defect is phenocopied in NGL-1 KO mice, indicating that the netrin-G1–NGL-1 interaction is required for PTP at this synapse. Loss of netrin-G2, on the other hand, facilitates PTP at SC-CA1 synapses\textsuperscript{61} (Fig. 1), demonstrating that netrin-G - NGL interactions differentially influence short-term plasticity at specific hippocampal synapses. This can also be seen at PP-DG synapses in DG granule cells, where loss of netrin-G1 from synapses in the outer molecular layer does not affect synaptic facilitation in response to paired-pulse stimuli, but loss of either netrin-G2 or NGL-2 from synapses in the middle molecular layer results in an attenuated paired-pulse depression (Fig. 2).

NGL protein interactions also differentially affect LTP at different CA1 inputs. LTP at TA-CA1 synapses in netrin-G1 KO mice is attenuated, whereas LTP at SC-CA1 synapses in netrin-G2 KO mice is facilitated (Fig. 1)\textsuperscript{61}. Furthermore, LTP is not affected at SC-CA1 synapses in netrin-G1 KO and at SC-CA1 synapses in netrin-G2 KO, again demonstrating an input-specific regulation of synaptic plasticity properties by NGL proteins and their pre-synaptic binding partners.

The most dramatic effect of LRR proteins on LTP is observed following double KD (DKD) of LRRTM1 and LRRTM2 in CA1 pyramidal neurons. LRRTM1/LRRTM2 DKD blocks LTP at SC-CA1 synapses in neonatal hippocampus, and severely impairs it in young adult hippocampus (Fig. 1)\textsuperscript{72}. Analysis of surface GluA1 levels following chemical LTP induction in cultured neurons reveals that LRRTM DKD does not impair the initial NMDAR-triggered delivery of AMPARs to the plasma membrane. However, newly inserted AMPARs are not maintained at the synaptic surface, resulting in a greater proportion of extrasynaptic surface AMPARs, at the expense of synaptic ones. However, overall surface GluA1 content is decreased following induction of LTP, indicating that extrasynaptic AMPARs may also be more unstable in the absence of LRRTMs\textsuperscript{72}. Finally, SALMs have recently been implicated in LTP, as SALM1 KO results in enhanced LTP at SC-CA1 synapses (Fig. 1)\textsuperscript{54}.

LRR proteins in behavior and neurological disease

Many genes encoding LRR proteins are linked to neurological disorders, including autism, schizophrenia, Tourette’s, obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD), and even Alzheimer’s disease\textsuperscript{12,26,73,74}. Resolving the molecular mechanisms of LRR proteins will therefore allow us to determine not only how they contribute to synaptic diversity in healthy circuits, but also how loss or alteration of LRR proteins results in synaptic dysfunction as well as behavioral irregularities.
While many LRR protein genes exhibit cell type-specific expression patterns, they are still often expressed in multiple cell types across brain regions. This suggests that most LRR proteins operate in different circuits, implying that their respective contributions to circuit dysfunction in cases of disease are both divergent from one another and complex. This is emphasized by the fact that loss of particular LRR proteins is known to manifest in distinctive behavioral phenotypes. For instance, Slitrk5 KO mice display OCD-like behavior, as they present with severe facial lesions associated with excessive grooming. In relation to this, it has also been shown that rare functional mutations in SLITRK5 contribute to the genetic risk for OCD in humans. SALM1 KO mice, on the other hand, exhibit autism-like behavioral abnormalities, such as social withdrawal and increased stereotyped activities. Functionally defective SALM1 mutations have also been found in human autism patients. LRRTM1 is an emerging candidate gene for schizophrenia. LRRTM1-deficient mice show behavioral impairments that partly resemble the signs of schizophrenia, such as social discrimination and spatial memory deficits. These diverse examples indicate the importance of understanding how LRR proteins operate in neural circuits throughout the brain.

Conclusion

LRR proteins have emerged as major regulators of neural circuit development. A wealth of studies has shown that these proteins regulate every step in the development of precise connectivity: from cell migration and neurite outgrowth, to targeting and synapse development. In addition, LRR proteins regulate synapse type-specific features, such as synaptic morphology, ultrastructural organization, pre-synaptic release probability, and short-term plasticity and LTP properties, suggesting that these proteins also contribute to the diversity of synapses. Recent insights suggest that distinct neuronal cell types express different combinations of LRR proteins. A major challenge will be to decipher how cell type-specific repertoires of LRR proteins regulate the specificity of connectivity, as well as the structural and functional diversity of a neuron’s synapses. Determining how different LRR proteins collaborate in this process, even with other cell-adhesion molecules at the synapse, is another challenge. Addressing these challenges will require an extensive analysis of LRR protein function in neural circuits in vivo. As many LRR proteins have been associated with brain disorders, this effort will not only permit a deeper understanding of the molecular mechanisms that specify synaptic connectivity and diversity in neural circuits, but will also help us understand how specific synaptic impairments can manifest in various neurological disorders.
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