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Summary
Background An unprecedented global monkeypox outbreak started in May, 2022. No data are yet available about the kinetics of the immune response against monkeypox virus. The aim of this study was to describe kinetics of T-cell response, inflammatory profile, and pox-specific T-cell induction in patients with laboratory-confirmed monkeypox.

Methods 17 patients with laboratory-confirmed monkeypox admitted at the Lazzaro Spallanzani National Institute for Infectious Diseases (Rome, Italy), from May 19, to July 7, 2022, were tested for differentiation and activation profile of CD4 and CD8 T (expression of CD38, PD-1, and CD57 assessed by flow cytometry), frequency of pox-specific T cells (by standard interferon-γ ELISpot), and release of interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, IL-8, and tumour necrosis factor (TNF) in plasma (by ELISA). All patients were tested 10–12 days after symptoms onset. In a subgroup of nine patients with a laboratory-confirmed monkeypox, the kinetics of the immune response were analysed longitudinally according to timing from symptoms onset and compared with ten healthy donors (ie, health-care workers recruited from the same institution).

Findings Among the 17 patients, ten were HIV negative and seven HIV positive, all with good viro-immunological status. On days 0–3 from symptom onset, patients with laboratory-confirmed monkeypox were characterised by a statistically significant reduction in CD4+ T cells (p=0·0011) and a concurrent increase of CD8+ T cells (p=0·0057) compared with healthy donors. A lower proportion of naive (CD45RA+CD27+) CD4+ T cells was observed in six (67%) of nine patients and a concomitant higher proportion of effector memory (CD45RA-CD27-) CD4+ T cells in all patients; this skewed immune profile tended to normalise over time. A similar differentiated profile was also observed in CD8+ T cells with a consistent expansion of terminally differentiated CD8+ T cells. Patients with monkeypox had a higher proportion of CD4+CD38+ and CD38+CD8+ T-cells than healthy donors, which normalised after 12–20 days from symptom onset. The expression of PD-1 and CD57 on CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells showed kinetics similar to that observed for CD38. Furthermore, the inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF) were higher in patients with monkeypox than in healthy donors and, although they decreased over time, they remained elevated after recovery. Almost all patients (15 [94%] of 16) developed a pox-specific Th1 response. No differences in immune cells profile were observed between patients with and without HIV, whereas paucysymptomatic patients (without systemic symptoms, with less than five skin lesions, and no other mucosal localisation of monkeypox) showed a less perturbed immune profile early after symptom onset.

Interpretation Our data showed the immunological signature of monkeypox virus infection, characterised by an early expansion of activated effector CD4+ and CD8+ T cells that persisted over time. Almost all patients, even regardless of HIV infection, developed a poxvirus-specific Th1 cell response. These results might have implications on the expected immunogenicity of monkeypox vaccination, suggesting that it might not be necessary to vaccinate people who have already been infected.
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Introduction Since May, 2022, more than 60000 human cases of monkeypox have been reported in non-endemic countries. The clinical picture of the current outbreak is quite different from the previously described human monkeypox virus infections in west and central Africa because of the asynchronous evolution of lesions, and the more prevalent genital and perianal localisation. The unprecedented outbreak of monkeypox virus induced the scientific community to discuss vaccination strategies for populations at high risk, considering that 92–98% of people involved in the current outbreak were men who have sex with men. Although the smallpox vaccination has been estimated to be 85% cross-protective
Evidence before this study
Since May, 2022, an unprecedented spread of monkeypox in humans has been reported in non-endemic countries. The dynamics of the immune response against monkeypox virus are currently under investigation and very few data are available about the kinetics of immune cellular response. We searched PubMed, medRxiv, bioRxiv, Research Square, and WHO with the terms “Monkeypox” or “Orthopox virus” and “immune response” or “cellular immunity” or “inflammatory profile” or “poxvirus specific T cell” for articles published in English before Aug 22, 2022.

Although data on the immune response to monkeypox virus in humans are limited, experiments in animal models suggest that all immune players contribute to viral clearance, with CD8+ T cells playing the main part. In humans, the few described cases of convalescent subjects developed orthopoxvirus-specific IgM, IgG, T cell, and B cell responses, as well as cases of asymptomatic contacts. Several points are under investigation and the current monkeypox epidemic can give a unique possibility to address some of them: the strength of the immune response in human monkeypox infection and its association with the clinical course of the disease and with its severity; the relationship between previous smallpox vaccination and the type of clinical presentation; the presence of asymptomatic infections that can have a deep impact on public health control measures; and the impact of immunodeficiency (eg, HIV) on the clinical presentation and on the ability to mount an effective and long-lasting antiviral immune response or to boost a previous vaccination-induced immunity. To date, no evidence is available on the dynamics of the immune response against monkeypox virus in humans in the current epidemic, which is characterised by a clinical picture that differs from previously described cases (fewer number of skin lesions and their asynchronous evolution, and higher proportion of mucosal tissue involvement) and is mostly spread in young men who have sex with men.

Add value of this study
In the context of the emergence of a new infection, our study attempts to shed light on the kinetics of the T-cell response, in particular on the differentiation and activation profile, the inflammatory cytokine production, and the induction of poxvirus-specific T-cells that develops during monkeypox virus infection.

Our data implement current knowledge on the inflammatory and adaptive immune response to monkeypox virus and their dynamic over time. By describing a rapid and potent T-cell response even in people with HIV, this study proposes this response as the immunological counterpart of a positive clinical prognosis, which has already been observed in other clinical series. The analysis on the short-term specific T-cell response to stimulation with MVA peptide also fits in the context of the known findings on vaccination of the high-risk target population, setting the stage for models of long-term immune protection.

Implications of all the available evidence
Further studies on the kinet and durability of immune response to monkeypox infection and vaccination are important to better define surrogate of protection to manage the new current global outbreak. The extension of these data over time and the characterisation of the long-term cellular response will be important in creating the prerequisites for vaccine schedules for patients previously infected with monkeypox.

Methods
Study population
17 participants with a laboratory-confirmed monkeypox virus positivity admitted at the Lazzaro Spallanzani National Institute for Infectious Diseases (INMI; Rome, Italy), from May 19, to July 7, 2022, were prospectively enrolled in this study. All patients were tested 10–12 days after monkeypox virus infection.
| Age, years | 39 | 38 | 32 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 46 | 43 | 38 | 40 | 46 | 42 | 33 | 35 | 46 | 42 | 47 |
|Sex | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male |
|Men who have sex with men | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
|HIV status | Pos | Pos | Neg | Neg | Pos | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg | Pos | Pos | Neg | Neg | Pos | Neg | Pos |
|CD4 count in the past 3 months* (cells/µl) | 884 | 413 | NA | NA | 686 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 787 | 792 | NA | NA | 162 | NA | 828 |
|CD4/CD8 ratio | NA | 2.13 | NA | NA | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.2 | 1.0 | NA | NA | 0.8 | NA | NA |
|Last viral load (copies per mL) | ND | ND | – | – | ND | – | – | – | – | ND | ND | – | – | ND | – | ND | – |
|Recept of antiretroviral therapies | TC plus DTG | TAF plus FTC plus BIC | – | – | TC plus DTG | – | – | – | – | TAF plus FTC plus BIC | TC plus DTG | – | – | TAF plus FTC plus BIC | – | TC plus DTG |
|Recept of pre-exposure prophylaxis | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
|Total lymphocytes count, cells/µl | NA | 890 | 1630 | 2140 | NA | 2960 | 3440 | 4660 | NA | NA | 1870 | 3200 | 2880 | 2880 | 4280 | NA | NA |
|Transmission route | SCC | SCC | SCC | SCC | SCC | SCC | SCC | SCC | SCC | Household | Household | SCC | SCC | SCC | SCC | SCC | SCC | SCC |
|Systemic symptoms | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
|Number of lesions >20 | 11-20 | >20 | <5 | >20 | 11-20 | >20 | 5-10 | <5 | >20 | 11-20 | 11-20 | <5 | <5 | 5-10 | 5-10 | 5-10 |
|Lesions in face or body skin | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
|Lesions on palms or soles | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
|Genital lesions | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No |
|Anal lesions | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No |
|Nasal or oral lesions | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No |
|PCR positive | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
|Skin lesions | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
|Throat swab | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
|Monkeypox treatment | No | No | No | Cidofovir | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Tecovirimat | Tecovirimat | Tecovirimat | No | Tecovirimat |
|Smallpox vaccination history | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |

BIC=bictegravir. DTG=dolutegravir. FTC=emtricitabine. NA=not available. ND=not detected. Neg=negative. Pos=positive. SCC=sexual close contact. TAF=tenofovir. TC=lamivudine. *Most recent CD4 count in the 3 months before monkeypox diagnosis.

Table: Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with monkeypox virus infection (n=17)
after symptoms onset. In a subset of these patients (n=9),
samples were obtained over time allowing the analyses of
the kinetic of the immune response. Specifically, samples
were divided into four groups based on the timing
from the symptoms onset: T0–T3, T4–T7, T8–T11, T12–T20 days, and compared with ten healthy donors.
Healthy donors were health-care workers from the same
hospital matched by age. The study was approved by the
ethical committee of the Lazzaro Spallanzani Institute,
as part of biological studies on emerging infections
(approval number 14/2015). All participants provided
their written informed consent. Epidemiological,
demographic, clinical, and laboratory data of patients, as
well as therapy prescribed, were collected. Single reported
cases were previously described from clinical and
virological profiles, whereas several cases were
included in a large international clinical series; here, we
describe data on the T-cell immune response of these
participants.

**Procedures**

To evaluate the impact of monkeypox infection,
differentiation and activation of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells
were analysed by flow cytometry in nine patients (only
those with available samples), using a dried reagent
tube (DuraClone IM T cell subsets tube, Beckman
Coulter, Hialeah, FL, USA). A DuraClone tube contains
the following antibodies: CD45RA-FITC, CCR7-PE,
CD28-ECD, PD1-PC5.5, CD27-PC7, CD4-APC, CD8-A700,
CD3-APC-A750, CD57-Pacific Blue, and CD45-Krome
Orange. Briefly, 100 µL of fresh whole blood was added to
the DuraClone tube and incubated for 15 min, at room
temperature. After incubation, 2 mL of VersaLyse Lysing
Solution (Beckman Coulter) was added and incubated for
15 min. Finally, the tubes were washed with 3 mL 1x PBS,
fixed with 1x paraformaldehyde, and then the samples
acquired by CytoFLEX LX (Beckman Coulter).

In a group of five patients and four healthy donors
whose peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were
still available, a wide flow cytometry analyses was
performed using using a dried reagent tube (DuraClone
IM T cell subsets tube) and several drop-in monoclonal
antibodies. ViaKrome 808 dye (Beckman Coulter, Hialeah,
FL, USA) was used as viability marker. PBMCs were
stained at 37°C for 20 min with anti-chemokine

---

**Figure 1: Kinetic of the differentiation profile of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells during monkeypox virus infection**

(A) Comparison of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell frequency between patients with
monkeypox (n=9) and healthy donors (n=10); statistical analysis was performed
by Mann-Whitney test. (B, C) Analysis of naive (CD45RA+CD27+), central
memory (CD45RA–CD27+), effector memory (CD45RA–CD27–), and terminally
differentiated (CD45RA+CD27–) CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells was performed by flow
cytometry. The dashed line identified the median proportion of cells of healthy
donors sampled at a single timepoint (n=10). Green dots identify paucisymptomatic patients, the red dots identify HIV-positive patients.

Statistical analysis was done with Wilcoxon test. The number next to the dots
represent the participant identification number as shown in the table.
Figure 2: Kinetic profile of the activation or senescence profile of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells during monkeypox virus infection

Expression of activation or senescence markers on CD4+ (A) and CD8+ T cells (B; CD38, PD-1, CD57) was performed by flow cytometry in patients with monkeypox. The dashed line identified the median frequency of CD38, PD-1, and CD57 in healthy controls (n=10). Green dots identify paucisymptomatic patients, whereas the red dots identify patients with HIV.
necrosis factor (TNF) produced after specific stimulation was performed by automated ELISA assay in supernatants of stimulated cultures. The detection limit of these assays was 0·17 pg/mL for interferon-γ, 0·54 pg/mL for IL-2, and 0·3 pg/mL for TNF.

The amount of IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF in plasma of patients was quantified using automated multiplex immunoassays on Ella (San José, CA, USA). The detection limit of these assays was 0·16 pg/mL for IL-1β, 0·28 pg/mL for IL-6, 0·19 pg/mL for IL-8, and 0·30 pg/mL for TNF.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical methods for differential discovery analyses in high-dimensional cytometry data were based on a combination of high-resolution clustering and empirical Bayes moderated tests adapted from transcriptomics. Analysis of differential cell populations abundances was performed using generalized linear mixed model implemented within diffeR package applying a false discovery rate cutoff of 0·05.

The correlation analysis between the concentrations of inflammatory cytokines was performed by use of Spearman test. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the frequency of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells between healthy controls and patients with laboratory-confirmed monkeypox; and the frequency of differentiation and activation markers between patients infected with monkeypox with or without HIV. Finally, the longitudinal analysis of T differentiation subsets between T0–3 and T12–20 in patients with laboratory-confirmed monkeypox was performed with the Wilcoxon test. Data representation was done with GraphPad Prism (version 8).

**Role of the funding source**

The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

**Results**

The 17 participants with laboratory-confirmed monkeypox were men who had sex with men with a median age of 39·5 years (IQR 33·5–45·25). Seven (41%) were HIV-positive, all on antiretroviral therapy with undetectable HIV-RNA, and a CD4+ T-cell count greater than 350 cells/µl. Among the ten participants who were HIV negative, seven were on pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP). 14 (82%) reported sexual intercourse as a possible transmission route. Only one patient received smallpox vaccination during childhood. Systemic symptoms (eg, fever, headache, and fatigue) occurred in 14 (82%) participants. Patients who presented without systemic symptoms (eg, fever, headache, and fatigue) occurred in 14 (82%) participants. Patients who presented without systemic symptoms (eg, fever, headache, and fatigue) occurred in 14 (82%) participants. Patients who presented without systemic symptoms (eg, fever, headache, and fatigue) occurred in 14 (82%) participants. Patients who presented without systemic symptoms (eg, fever, headache, and fatigue) occurred in 14 (82%) participants. Patients who presented without systemic symptoms (eg, fever, headache, and fatigue) occurred in 14 (82%) participants. Patients who presented without systemic symptoms (eg, fever, headache, and fatigue) occurred in 14 (82%) participants. Patients who presented without systemic symptoms (eg, fever, headache, and fatigue) occurred in 14 (82%) participants. Patients who presented without systemic symptoms (eg, fever, headache, and fatigue) occurred in 14 (82%) participants. Patients who presented without systemic symptoms (eg, fever, headache, and fatigue) occurred in 14 (82%) participants. Patients who presented without systemic symptoms (eg, fever, headache, and fatigue) occurred in 14 (82%) participants. Patients who presented without systemic symptoms (eg, fever, headache, and fatigue) occurred in 14 (82%) participants.

(Figure 3 continues on next page)
symptoms, with less than five skin lesions, and no other particular localisation of disease were considered paucisymptomatic. Five patients were treated with an antiviral drug (tecovirimat or cidofovir). Clinical recovery was considered at the time of the fall of all the scabs. Median time to recovery was 15 days (IQR 13–21). The demographical and clinical characteristics of the enrolled patients are summarised in the table.

The healthy donors were ten health-care workers: six men and four women with a median age of 39 years (IQR 35–54). Healthy controls were tested negative for HIV, hepatitis C virus, hepatitis B Virus, and were unvaccinated for smallpox. None were taking PrEP.

Early after infection (day T0–T3), a significant lower frequency of CD4+ T-cells and a concurrent higher percentage of CD8+ T cells was measured in patients with confirmed monkeypox virus than in healthy donors (figure 1A). Moreover, six (67%) of nine monkeypox cases had a lower frequency of naive (ie, CD45RA+CD27+) CD4+ T cells than healthy donors; an increase of effector memory (CD45RA–CD27–) CD4+ T cells was observed in all patients (figure 1B). A similar differentiated profile was also observed in CD8+ T cells, with a reduction of naive and an increase of terminally differentiated CD8+ T cells in all patients (figure 1C). This T-cell profile, skewed toward a terminal differentiation, normalised over after 12–20 days of symptoms onset, and the frequency of CD4+ effector memory T cells in patients with monkeypox reached a similar proportion measured in healthy donors after this timeperiod.

To evaluate the activation profile of T cells, we analysed the expression of CD38, PD-1, and CD57 markers on both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (figure 2A–B). Early after infection, a significant higher frequency of CD4+CD38+ (p=0·0061) and CD8+CD38+ T-cells (p=0·0024) has been observed in the large majority of patients (eight [89%] of nine) with monkeypox respect to healthy donors, reaching the highest values in patients showing an expansion of effector cells. The analysis of the T-cells kinetics showed a decrease over time, which tended to normalise 12–20 days from symptoms onset. Accordingly, a similar kinetic was observed also for the expression of PD-1 and CD57 cells (figure 2A–B). Effector cells expanded in some patients with monkeypox, including the most activated cells respect to naive compartments (figure 2C). No differences in immune profile were observed between HIV-positive and HIV-negative patients infected with monkeypox virus. Paucisymptomatic patients showed a less altered immune profile. Early after symptoms onset the inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF) were higher in patients with monkeypox than in healthy donors and, although the cytokine concentrations decreased over time, they remained elevated after recovery (appendix 2 p 2). Moreover, the concentration of inflammatory cytokines correlated positively with each other (interferon-γ vs IL-2: Spearman test r=0·78, p=0·0044; interferon-γ vs TNF: Spearman test r=0·67, p=0·0021).

To characterise the landscape of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells during monkeypox virus infection in the post-acute phase (ie, 8–10 days from symptom onset), a subgroup of four healthy donors and five patients with monkeypox was analysed by unsupervised cluster analysis. 25 metaclusters represented the different subpopulations within CD4+ T cells. The main populations have been identified according to the
expression of CD4RA, CCR7, CD27, CD28, CD95, CXC3R, CCR6, CXC5R, and CD69 (figure 3A–B). We were able to identify naïve T cells, T cells stem memory, central memory, central memory expressing CCR6, circulating follicular helper T cells expressing CD69, and different subsets of effector memory, some of which expressing senescent marker (CD57) and activation marker (PD1). A population of transitional memory and effector memory expressing CD69, CXC3R, and CCR6 have been found. These populations are activated and likely skewed toward Th1/Th17 profile and the percentage of these clusters (C17, C2, C13) was higher in patients with monkeypox than in healthy donors (figure 3C). Similar frequency of all other clusters (all identified CD4 clusters except C17, C2, and C13) have been reported (appendix 2 pp 3–6). Regarding CD8+ T cells, besides the presence of naïve T-cells, T cells stem memory, and effector memory, the most abundant population was that representing terminally differentiated effector memory T cells re-expressing CD45RA (EMRA; figure 4A–B).

Patients with monkeypox had higher percentages of EMRA expressing PD1 (C8), CD57 (C9), or both (C11) than healthy donors (figure 4C). Similar percentages of all other clusters (all identified CD8 clusters except C8, C9, and C11) were found between patients with monkeypox and healthy donors (appendix 2 pp 7–9).

The analysis of the monkeypox-specific T-cell response showed that monkeypox virus infection was able to induce poxvirus-specific T cells in all patients but one (figure 5A).

Regarding the Th1 cytokine profile (IFN-γ, IL-2, and TNF), although a wide variability in the immunological response was observed, all responders were able to produce the three cytokines. Patients with a paucisymptomatic infection showed a very low concentration of cytokines (figure 5B).

**Discussion**

In this study, we described the engagement of T-cell response in patients infected with monkeypox virus during the 2022 outbreak. To date, scarce information is available about the in-vivo kinetics of T-cell responses in monkeypox virus infection. It was previously observed that T-cells expressing a Vγ9Vδ, T-cell receptor underwent in-vivo long expansion after monkeypox virus challenge in macaque model, but consistent data on the kinetics of T cells in human monkeypox virus infection are still lacking. In men aged between 28 and 40 years, mostly not previously receiving vaccinia virus vaccination, we found, very early after symptoms onset, a marked reduction of naïve CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, with a rapid expansion of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells expressing an effector memory phenotype, suggestive of a highly engaged immune system. Confirming this suggestion, both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells showed a strong immune activation, with an increased expression of CD38, PD-1, and CD57 markers, and this activation profile was associated with the differentiation in effector cells.

This immune perturbation and activation profile decreased with recovery and clinical evolution. Moreover,
in most patients, specific T cells produced several Th1 inflammatory cytokines, already early after clinical onset, and pro-inflammatory cytokines production persisted until, and even after, clinical recovery. Interestingly, our paucisymptomatic patients monkeypox showed less differentiated and activated T cells, suggesting a possible link with the clinical severity and immune activation and T-cell differentiation.

Previously reported data suggested that several viral proteins of orthopoxvirus modulate the host immune response, affecting cytokines such as IL-1, TNF, and type I interferons. Genetic differences in these immune-modulating viral genes (eg, BR-203, BR-209, and COP-C3L) might contribute to virulence diversity between monkeypox clade I and clade II (central Africa and west Africa, respectively), through a different modulation of the innate immunity.22 In our patients, although with a high variability, we showed an early inflammatory response, suggestive of an effective innate immunity. We therefore speculate that the magnitude and the effectiveness of innate and adaptive immune response could protect from the onset of the severe clinical picture observed in our cases.

Importantly, this rapid and potent T-cell engagement was observed regardless of the presence of the immune dysregulation due to HIV infection. People living with HIV in the present work, all with well controlled viraemia and high CD4+ T-cell recovery, did not display a specific immune signature due to monkeypox virus infection. In a large series of clinical cases of monkeypox in the current outbreak,3 the clinical presentation and severity of monkeypox did not significantly differ among people with or without HIV infection. Interestingly, in almost all HIV-infected people plasma viraemia was well controlled, with a median CD4+ T-cell count largely higher than 500 cells/mm³. Our data showed a prompt and powerful T-cell response also in patients with monkeypox infected by HIV, and they might represent the immunological counterpart for the positive clinical prognosis. The effect of advanced HIV disease, as well as of poor CD4+ recovery after prolonged viral suppression, on the immune response and the clinical outcome might be a very interesting issue to be addressed.

To characterise the specific T-cell response against poxvirus, we measured IFN-γ T-cell production by ELISpot assay after stimulation with MVA peptides. Our results indicate that monkeypox virus infection was able to induce a potent antigen-specific T-cell response in nearly all patients, already 10–12 days away from symptoms onset, and this response did not seem to be affected by clinical severity or presence of HIV infection. During natural monkeypox virus infection, it has been previously demonstrated the occurrence of immune evasion mechanisms able to block T-cell recognition process that can reduce the strength of the immune response.23 Nevertheless, patients who recover from

Figure 5: Poxvirus-specific T-cell response
(A) Poxvirus-specific T-cell analysis in patients with monkeypox 8–10 days after symptoms onset (n=16). (B) Th1 cytokine profile in selected patients with monkeypox (n=12). Green numbers identify paucisymptomatic patients. PMBC=peripheral blood mononuclear cells. PHA=phytohaemagglutinin.
monkeypox virus infection were able to mount antiviral T-cell responses similar or stronger than that elicited by vaccinia virus infection probably through alternative antigen presentation, cross-priming mechanisms, or both. Moreover, immunological studies on survivors of monkeypox virus infection after the 2003 outbreak in the USA showed that they had strong cell mediated responses up to at least one year after infection, suggesting a prolonged persistence of memory CD4+ T cells after natural infection.24 Comparable results were also obtained after smallpox vaccination, providing a long-lasting CD4+ T-cell help that might be crucial for long-lived B-cell memory.25 Even if we could not confirm this long-time immune protective pattern, our result on short-term specific T-cell response to MVA peptide stimulation might be considered relevant in the light of monkeypox vaccination of high-risk target population.

This hypothesis is in agreement with the observation that patients with a history of chickenpox, another poxvirus, have a recognisable T-cell response measured by cytokine-producing and polyfunctional CD4+ T cells.26 Unlike chickenpox, which confers permanent immunity, the duration of natural immunity conferred by monkeypox virus infection is currently unknown. Long-term results on the characteristics and durability of the immune response to monkeypox virus, both humoral and T cell-mediated, might be useful in defining the possible need for a vaccination strategy even in people with previous infection.

People living with HIV represent a consistent part of those infected by monkeypox virus and of those at risk of acquiring infection. HIV infection might play a part on the function or senescence of the B and T immune compartments and contribute to a reduced level or persistence of protective response to natural infection, as reported for other viral diseases.27 An important concern has been raised about a possible poor functional response to MVA vaccination in people living with HIV,28 according to previously reported data on vaccines for other viruses, such as influenza,29 hepatitis B,30 or even SARS-CoV-2.13,14 Our observation that people living with HIV had a poxvirus-specific T-cell response after natural monkeypox virus infection might suggest a comparable response of people living with HIV also to MVA vaccine, avoiding a differentiated vaccination schedule for this target population.

Our analysis has some limitations. First, we lack data on humoral response analysis, and used only three poxvirus proteins as antigens for T cells. Second, this is an observational study, conducted in a single centre, hence we do not have a randomised selection of patients. Finally, the study has a limited number of patients, and the proportion of cells within each cluster could change if additional patients with monkeypox are included. Thus, some aspects should be considered exploratory and hypothesis generating, although our data are consistent over different assays.

In conclusion, our data show the immunological signature of monkeypox virus infection, characterised by an early expansion of activated effector CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, persisting over time. Almost all participants, regardless of HIV infection, developed a strong poxvirus-specific Th1 cell response. These results might have implications on the expected immunogenicity of anti-monkeypox virus vaccination by MVA vaccine in high-risk population. The extent of the immune response to natural infection suggests that it might not be needed to administer a booster dose of vaccine in recently infected individuals, although data on prolonged immunity are needed to definitively support this hypothesis.
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