Comparative efficacy of postbiotic, probiotic, and antibiotic against necrotic enteritis in broiler chickens
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ABSTRACT

Prevention of necrotic enteritis (NE), caused by Clostridium perfringens (C. perfringens), is one of the most important goals to improve the profitability of broiler chickens. This work aimed to compare the efficacy of 2 antibiotic alternatives including a postbiotic (dry feed additive and aqueous nonviable Lactobacillus (L.) species fermentation) and a probiotic (dry feed additive and aqueous Bacillus (B.) subtilis and B. licheniformis mixture) with an antibiotic (amoxicillin in water) against NE. Four hundred, day-old broiler chicks were divided into 8 equal groups (Gs), n = 50 each (5 replicates; 10 each). Chickens of G1 (postbiotic dry-feed additive), G2 (postbiotic and antibiotic in drinking water), G3 (postbiotic dry and aqueous), G4 (probiotic dry-feed additive), G5 (probiotic and antibiotic in drinking water), G6 (probiotic dry and aqueous), and G7 (nontreated) were orally inoculated with a toxigenic C. perfringens type A on the d 19 to 21 of age and predisposed with 3X coccidial vaccine for induction of NE. However, chickens of G8 were kept nontreated or challenged. The severity of NE signs was markedly decreased in G3 in comparison with other challenged treatment groups, and the mortality rates were 22%, 10%, 16%, 22%, 12%, 20%, and 36% in Gs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, respectively. The best significant (P ≤ 0.05) feed conversion ratio was detected in G3 (1.51), G6 (1.54), and G2 and G8 (1.61). In addition, the European production efficiency factor was significantly (P ≤ 0.05) improved in G3 (279.33) and G2 (266.67), but it was decreased in G7 (177.33) when compared with G8 (339.33). An improvement in intestinal and hepatic pathology and liver function tests, as well as a significant (P ≤ 0.05) decrease in bacterial counts were observed in Gs 2, 5, 3, 6, 1, and 4, respectively in comparison with G7. Immunologically, the highest significant (P ≤ 0.05) hemagglutination inhibition antibody titers for Newcastle disease virus vaccine were in Gs 1 and 3 (6.4 log2). In conclusion, the combined feed and water postbiotic treatment demonstrated promising results in ameliorating the severity of NE and improving the hepatic and the immune status of broiler chickens when compared with the commonly used probiotic and antibiotic.
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INTRODUCTION

Necrotic enteritis (NE), induced by Clostridium perfringens (C. perfringens), has been unequivocally recognized as one of the most leading intestinal disease affecting chickens and turkeys. The disease is characterized by decreasing growth rate and poor feed conversion rate as a result of necrosis of the intestinal mucosa and cholangiohepatitis (Hofacre et al., 2018; Zahoob et al., 2018; Eraky and Abd El-Ghany, 2022). Several
predisposing factors such as improper feeding and poor management practices (e.g., high stocking density and high-protein diets) can interrupt gut immune homeostasis and promote \textit{C. perfringens} proliferation and NE infection (Drew et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2014). Additionally, coccidial infection has been implicated as the most important predisposing factor for enhancement of \textit{C. perfringens} multiplication and consequent damage to the intestinal epithelial layer (Wu et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2020). Both clinical and subclinical forms of NE can significantly diminish profits in broiler’s industry. A survey by Timbermont et al. (2011) estimated the annual global poultry industry cost of NE as close to \$6 billion which included applied control measures and the cost of production losses.

After the discovery of NE in England during 1961 (Parish, 1961), many approaches were developed to control the disease. One of these approaches is the application of antibiotics which was used later on to increase the weight gain and feed efficiency as antibiotic growth promoters (AGPs) (Long and Truscott, 1976; Prescott et al., 1978, Durso and Cook, 2014). For instance, avoparcin, lincomycin, amoxicillin, tylosin, virginiamycin, and bacitracin were commonly used for both prevention and treatment of NE (Craven et al., 2001; McDevitt et al., 2006; Abd El-Hamid et al., 2015). Owing to the development of drug-resistant bacteria which consequently effects on public health, people started to reduce the use of antimicrobials in poultry production (Seal et al., 2013; Caly et al., 2015; Karavolias et al., 2018) and many countries have subsequently banned the administration of them in animal feed (Ajuwon, 2015; Kiu and Hall, 2018).

However, withdrawal of AGPs from commercial broiler farms dramatically increased the incidence of economically important diseases such as NE (Casewell et al., 2003; Van Immerseel et al., 2004; Sarson et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2018). In Norway, a spike in NE cases was observed following withdrawal of avoparcin AGP. Although flocks raised in the conventional system had no cases of NE, 27\% of drug-free flocks had clinical NE, and 49\% had subclinical form of the disease (Gaucher et al., 2015). Strict biosecurity practices without application of AGPs can maintain production in some farms (Engster et al., 2002), but, it is difficult to rely on this approach for every farm. Therefore, AGPs alternatives are much needed to control NE and other diseases (Grave et al., 2004).

Several natural alternative feed additives have recently supported profitable production of safe poultry products without use of antibiotics. Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics have been widely used for several years to enhance growth and prevent several enteric pathogens. Recently, postbiotics and paraprobiotics, as derivatives of probiotic cultures, have been used in humans, animals, and poultry to maintain the gut microbiome in healthy conditions and to prevent the attachment of pathogenic organisms, especially at the early life stages (Abd El-Ghany, 2020; Abd El-Hack et al., 2022).

The new term “postbiotics” that has recently been introduced into the poultry industry refers to the soluble factors (stabilized bacteria, cellular products, or metabolic byproducts) which are secreted by living bacteria or released after bacterial lysis (Loh et al., 2010; Cicenia et al., 2014; Klemashevich et al., 2014; Blacher et al., 2017; Johnson et al., 2019). These substances include enzymes, peptides, tetracyclic acids, peptidoglycan-derived muropeptides, polysaccharides, cell surface proteins, and organic acids (Abd El-Ghany, 2020). They are mainly derived from \textit{Lactobacillus}, \textit{Bifidobacterium}, \textit{Streptococcus}, and \textit{Faecalibacterium} species of bacteria (Konstantinov et al., 2008; Tsalengiri et al., 2012) and characterized by clear chemical structure, long shelf life, and safe usage and dosage. Postbiotics have antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, hypcholesterolemic, antiproliferative, and hepatoprotective as well as growth promoter activities which lead to an improvement of the host’s health (Aguilar-Toalà et al., 2018).

Till now, there is a scant information about application of postbiotics in the poultry sector for improving the health and maintaining the immune status of the host as well as controlling disease conditions, especially NE.

Therefore, this research aimed to compare the efficacies of a postbiotic, a probiotic and an antibiotic against experimental infection with \textit{C. perfringens} in commercial broiler chickens.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

All experiments and procedures were complied with the general guidelines of the Cairo University-Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (CU-IACUC), and all efforts were made to minimize suffering.

**Birds and Design of Experimental Infection**

Four hundred, day-old commercial broiler chickens (Ross-308) were purchased from NASCO Egypt poultry company, Alexandria. Birds were divided into 8 equal groups (Gs); each consisting of 50 birds (distributed into 5 replicates; 10 each), and they were floor-reared in separate clean and disinfected rooms for 35 d. Chickens were fed ad-libitum using basal broiler diets (corn-soybean based) that were formulated according to the nutrient requirements for broiler chickens (Ross 308) (Aviagen, 2019) and prepared to meet the National Research Council’s Nutrient Requirements (NRC, 1994) of poultry. Nutrient content of ingredients were evaluated following the instructions of AOAC (AOAC, 2005) (Table 1). These diets were fed as crumbled pellets. A coccidial vaccine “Fortegra” (MSD Animal Health, Inc. Company, New Cairo, Egypt) was used for triggering of a toxigenic \textit{C. perfringens} type A (accession No. NCTC 8237/ATCC©13124). The experimental design, doses, and treatments are presented in the Table 2.
Treatments Used

A postbiotic, Culbac, (a nonviable Lactobacillus acidophilus species fermentation product; TransAgra International Inc., Storm Lake, Iowa) was used in doses of 1 kg/ton of starter and 500 g/ton of grower and finisher feed for the dry form and 4 mL/L drinking water for the aqueous form as manufacturer’s recommendation. A probiotic powder (Immunobacteryne, Kronos Agro PC, Kyiv, Ukraine, Batch No: 01-05.110620.14) containing Bacillus (B.) subtilis (2.5 × 10^{12} colony forming unit [CFU]/kg) and B. licheniformis (2.5 × 10^{12} CFU/kg) was included as 200 g/ton feed and 1 g/5 L drinking water according to manufacturer’s instructions. The used antibiotic was Amoxicillin 20% powder (Ghannam UCCMA, Cairo, Egypt, Batch No. 204/A/2/2018) and it was applied in a dose of 20 mg/kg body weight and 1 g/L drinking water as recommendation.

Birds were routinely vaccinated against Newcastle disease virus (NDV), infectious bronchitis virus (IBV), highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (HPAIV) (H5N1), and infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) using NDV strain Hitchner B1 + IBV strain H120 (Cevac B1-L- Ceva sante animal, Budapest, Hungary, Batch No. 0103F352INKGA) through eye drop. Inactivated NDV + H5 (MEFLUVAC H5+ND, AIV/Chicken/Egypt/2017/Rg H5N1 Classic and variant strains 2.2.1.1 and 2.2.1.2), with a dose of ≥10^{8.5} (embryo infective dose fifty) EID_{50} and NDV (NDV/Chicken/Egypt/11478AF/2011 ND), with a dose of ≥10^{8} EID_{50}, MEVAC, Cairo, Egypt, Batch. No. 2004290101) were simultaneously used at 5 d of age.

Table 1. Ingredients’ percentage and calculated composition analysis of the experimental starter, grower and finisher diets (% as-fed basis for Ross 308) (Aviagen, 2019).

| Ingredients, %          | Starter (0–10 d) | Grower (11–21 d) | Finisher (22–35 d) |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Yellow corn            | 55.07            | 59.08            | 64.09              |
| Soybean meal (44%)     | 33.5             | 29.4             | 24                 |
| Corn gluten (60%)      | 5                | 5                | 5                  |
| Corn oil               | 2                | 2.65             | 3.15               |
| Dicalcium phosphate    | 1.73             | 1.6              | 1.5                |
| Lime stone             | 1.35             | 1                | 1                  |
| Salt                   | 0.4              | 0.4              | 0.4                |
| DL-methionine^{1}      | 0.15             | 0.12             | 0.1                |
| HCl- lysine^{2}        | 0.35             | 0.3              | 0.3                |
| Vitamins and minerals premix^{3} | 0.3               | 0.3              | 0.3                |
| Sodium bicarbonate     | 0.1              | 0.1              | 0.1                |
| Choline chloride       | 0.05             | 0.05             | 0.05               |
| Calculated composition |                  |                  |                    |
| ME, Kcal/Kg diet       | 3,010            | 3,105            | 3,200              |
| CP %                   | 23               | 21.5             | 19.5               |
| Ca %                   | 1                | 0.87             | 0.82               |
| Avail. P %             | 0.47             | 0.44             | 0.41               |
| Methionine %           | 0.56             | 0.51             | 0.47               |
| Lysine %               | 1.44             | 1.29             | 1.14               |
| Meth.+ Cyst. %         | 0.93             | 0.86             | 0.78               |
| Na %                   | 0.20             | 0.20             | 0.20               |

Abbreviations: Av. (P), available phosphorous; CP, crude protein; ME, metabolizable energy; SBM, soybean meal.

^{1}DL-methionine 99% feed grade China.

^{2}L-lysine 99% feed grade.

^{3}Vitamin and mineral premix (Hero mix) produced by Hero pharm and composed (per 3 kg) of vitamin A 12,000,000 IU, vitamin D3 2,500,000 IU, vitamin E 10,000 mg, vitamin K3 2,000 mg, vitamin B1 1,000 mg, vitamin B2 5,000 mg, vitamin B6 1,500 mg, vitamin B12 10 mg, niacin 30,000 mg, biotin 50 mg, folic acid 1,000 mg, pantothenic acid 10,000 mg, manganese 60,000 mg, zinc 50,000 mg, iron 30,000 mg, copper 4,000 mg, iodine 300 mg, selenium 100 mg, and cobalt 100 mg.

Table 2. The experimental design and treatments.

| Group | Experimental Infection (C. perfringens) triple dose at 12-days-old + Toxigenic C. perfringens type A (1 ml of 10^9 Colony Forming Unit [CFU/ml]) at 19, 20, and 21-days-old | No infection |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1     | +                                                                                |              |
| 2     |                                                                  +            |              |
| 3     |                                                                  +            |              |
| 4     | 2 separate doses (Culbac^b aqueous for 12 hrs and amoxicillin for 12 hrs/day at 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 11, 16, 18, 22, 23, 24 days) + Culbac^b aqueous for 12 hrs at 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 11, 16, 18, 22, 23, 24 days |              |
| 5     |                                                                  +            |              |
| 6     |                                                                  +            |              |
| 7     | 2 separate doses (Culbac^b aqueous for 12 hrs and amoxicillin for 12 hrs/day at 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 11, 16, 18, 22, 23, 24 days) + Immunobacteryne^c for 12 hrs at 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 11, 16, 18, 22, 23, 24 days |              |
| 8     |                                                                  +            |              |
Moreover, an intermediate vaccine of IBDV (BG ARRIAH, Federal Centre for Animal Health [FGBL ARRIAH], Simferopol, Russia, Batch No. 3. Dose: ≥ 3.5 EID$_{50}$ of IBDV) was applied at 10-day-old via drinking water and the same vaccine was repeated at 17-day-old via eye drop. Finally, LaSota vaccine (Volvac LaSota MLV, ND vaccine (Boehringer Ingelheim, Mainz-Bingen, Germany, Lot No: 1909063A, Dose: ≥10$^8$ EID$_{50}$) was used at 17-day-old via eye drop. Examination was done by using a digital camera (Leica EC3, Wetzlar, Germany) connected to a microscope (Leica DM500, Wetzlar, Germany) with software (Leica LAS, Wetzlar, Germany) connected to a microscope (Leica DM500, Wetzlar, Germany) with software (Leica LAS, Wetzlar, Germany). Semiquantitative scoring of intestinal and hepatic lesions was calculated (Gibson-Corley et al., 2013). Briefly, lesions in 10 fields from each slide for each bird were randomly chosen and averaged. Sample treatments were blinded for lesion scoring (Score scale: 0 = normal; 1 ≤ 25%; 2 = 26–50%; 3 = 51–75%; 4 = 76–100%).

5. **Reisolation of C. perfringens** Intestinal samples from each group (n = 10) were collected once at 35-day-old and immediately plated. Ten-fold dilutions per each sample were prepared and 0.1 mL was spread (triplicate) on blood agar base containing 5% sheep blood supplemented with 100 mg/L neomycin sulfate for *C. perfringens* enumerations. The plates were anaerobically incubated at 37°C for 16 to 24 h. The α- and β-hemolytic colonies were counted as *C. perfringens*, and the presumptive colonies were randomly picked, Gram stained, and microscopically examined to confirm them as *C. perfringens*. Counts were expressed as log$_{10}$ CFU/g of the intestinal contents (Dahiya et al., 2007).

6. **Intestinal bacterial coliform count** Intestinal samples were collected and prepared as mentioned above. Samples were cultivated on MacConkey agar plates and aerobically incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Plates containing 30–300 bacterial colonies were selected to count. The final number was shown as log$_{10}$ (CFU/g) (Huang et al., 2019).

7. **Immunological parameters for the detection of humoral immune response for NDV and AIV (H5) antibody titers using hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test** Blood samples from each group (n = 6) were collected from the wing vein at 7, 14, 21, 28, and 35-day-old without anticoagulant for serum separation. Samples were centrifuged at 1435 g for 5 min at 4°C to obtain clear sera for hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test against NDV, and HPAI-H5N1. The hemagglutination (HA) units for both standard viral antigens (NDV [LaSota strain] and HPAI [H5N1]) were 8 log$_2$. To detect HI titers for NDV and HPAI (H5N1), a total of 0.025 mL of each serum sample was double-fold serially diluted in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) across a plastic U-bottomed microtiter plate. Four hemagglutinin units (HAU) of virus/antigen were added in the same quantity to each well, allowed to rest for a minimum of 30 min at room temperature (i.e., approximately 25°C), and 0.050 mL of 0.5% (v/v) chicken’s red blood cells (RBCs) was added to each well. After being gently mixed, RBCs were allowed to settle to a distinct button for approximately 40 min at room temperature. Positive and a negative control sera were applied in 2 rows. The HI titer was the highest dilution of serum causing complete inhibition of 4 HAU of antigen. The titers of HI were regarded as positive if there was inhibition at a serum dilution of 1/16 (4 log$_2$ when expressed as the reciprocal) or more against 4 HAU

### The Evaluation Parameters

1. **Clinical observation** After experimental infection, clinically-observable signs, mortalities, and gross lesions were recorded daily for 14 d.

2. **Performance parameters** Final body weight (FBWT), body weight gain (BWG), average feed intake (AFI), and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were recorded on weekly basis for 5 wk (1, 2, 3, 4, and 5-wk-old). Finally, the European production efficiency factor (EPEF) in all chicken groups was calculated.

3. **Macroscopic lesion scoring** The criteria of scoring the intestinal NE lesions (from duodenum to ileum) in dead birds were previously recorded (Shojadoost et al., 2012). The scores were graded as 0 (No gross lesions), 1 (Thin or friable walls, or diffuse superficial but removable fibrin), 2 (Focal necrosis or ulceration, or nonremovable fibrin deposit; 1 to 5 foci), 3 (Focal necrosis or ulceration, or nonremovable fibrin deposit; 6 to 15 foci), 4 (Focal necrosis or ulceration, or nonremovable fibrin deposit; 16 or more foci), 5 (Patches of necrosis 2 to 3 cm long with variable foci), and 6 (Diffuse necrosis typical of field cases with variable, extensive foci). Chickens with lesion scores of 2 or more were identified as NE positive. After comparing scores of duodenum, jejunum, and ileum, the highest score was determined as the final NE lesion score for each chicken.

4. **Histopathological examination and lesion scoring** Samples of 3 cm that obtained from jejunum (1 cm cut from the midpoint) and liver from each group (n = 5) were collected once at 35 d of age (2 wk postchallenge). Tissue specimens were removed, flushed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4), and fixed in neutral buffered formaldehyde for 48 h. The fixed specimens were processed by the conventional paraffin embedding technique including dehydration through ascending grades of ethanol, clearing in 3 washes of xylene and melted paraffin, and finally embedding in paraffin wax at 65°C. Four, one-μm thick sections were stained by Hematoxylin and Eosin (H and E) as previously described (Bancroft and Layton, 2013). Examination was done by using a digital camera (Leica EC3, Wetzlar, Germany) connected to a microscope (Leica DM500, Wetzlar, Germany) with software (Leica LAS, Wetzlar, Germany) connected to a microscope (Leica DM500, Wetzlar, Germany) with software (Leica LAS, Wetzlar, Germany). Semiquantitative scoring of intestinal and hepatic lesions was calculated (Gibson-Corley et al., 2013). Briefly, lesions in 10 fields from each slide for each bird were randomly chosen and averaged. Sample treatments were blinded for lesion scoring (Score scale: 0 = normal; 1 ≤ 25%; 2 = 26–50%; 3 = 51–75%; 4 = 76–100%).

6. **Intestinal bacterial coliform count** Intestinal samples were collected and prepared as mentioned above. Samples were cultivated on MacConkey agar plates and aerobically incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Plates containing 30–300 bacterial colonies were selected to count. The final number was shown as log$_{10}$ (CFU/g) (Huang et al., 2019).

7. **Immunological parameters for the detection of humoral immune response for NDV and AIV (H5) antibody titers using hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test** Blood samples from each group (n = 6) were collected from the wing vein at 7, 14, 21, 28, and 35-day-old without anticoagulant for serum separation. Samples were centrifuged at 1435 g for 5 min at 4°C to obtain clear sera for hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test against NDV, and HPAI-H5N1. The hemagglutination (HA) units for both standard viral antigens (NDV [LaSota strain] and HPAI [H5N1]) were 8 log$_2$. To detect HI titers for NDV and HPAI (H5N1), a total of 0.025 mL of each serum sample was double-fold serially diluted in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) across a plastic U-bottomed microtiter plate. Four hemagglutinin units (HAU) of virus/antigen were added in the same quantity to each well, allowed to rest for a minimum of 30 min at room temperature (i.e., approximately 25°C), and 0.050 mL of 0.5% (v/v) chicken’s red blood cells (RBCs) was added to each well. After being gently mixed, RBCs were allowed to settle to a distinct button for approximately 40 min at room temperature. Positive and a negative control sera were applied in 2 rows. The HI titer was the highest dilution of serum causing complete inhibition of 4 HAU of antigen. The titers of HI were regarded as positive if there was inhibition at a serum dilution of 1/16 (4 log$_2$ when expressed as the reciprocal) or more against 4 HAU
Statistical Analysis

Statistical calculations were made with the SPSS programming tool (IBM SPSS.20) (SPSS Inc., New York, USA) using one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range tests. All significant deviations were based on \( P \leq 0.05 \) (SPSS, 2011).

RESULTS

**Clinical Observation of Signs, Mortalities, and Gross Lesions**

Severe clinical signs of depression, off food, and bloody brownish diarrhea were observed in chickens of G7 (challenged-nontreated), 3 d post challenge with a toxigenic \( C. \) perfringens type A. However, the severity of these signs was markedly decreased in the treated chickens especially those of G3 (Culbac dry feed additive and aqueous in drinking water). The lowest mortality rate was recorded in G2 (Culbac aqueous and Amoxicillin in drinking water) as 10%, followed by G5 (Immunobacteryne and Amoxicillin in drinking water) as 12%, G3 (Culbac in both dry and aqueous forms) as 16%; G6 (Immunobacteryne in feed and drinking water) as 20%, G1 (Culbac dry feed additive) and G4 (Immunobacteryne feed additive) as 22% for both, compared to G7 (challenged-nontreated) as 36%. No mortality was observed in G8 (nonchallenged or treated).

The minimum intestinal lesion scores were 1 in Gs 2, 3, and 5 followed by score 2 in G6, 3 in G1, and 4 in G4 compared with 6 in G7. The score was 0 in G8. In addition, cholangiohepatitis lesion was the minimum in chickens of G3, G2, G5, and G6, respectively.

**The Performance Parameters**

Broiler chickens of the nonchallenged or treated G8 showed the highest significant \( (P \leq 0.05) \) FBWT (1,904 g), followed by the Culbac dry and aqueous treated G3 (1,753 g) compared with the challenged-nontreated G7 (1,603 g). The best significant \( (P \leq 0.05) \) FCR was in G3 (1.51), then the Immunobacteryne in feed and aqueous treated G6 (1.54), and G2 (Culbac aqueous and Amoxicillin in drinking water), and G8 (1.61) compared with all other Gs. Chickens of G8 revealed the highest significant \( (P \leq 0.05) \) EPEF (339.33), followed by G3 (279.33), and G2 (266.67) compared with the lowest significant one in G7 (177.33) \( (P \leq 0.05) \) (Table 3).
Histopathological Assessment of the Intestine and Liver

The intestine of G1 (Culbac dry feed additive) revealed necrotic villi with lymphocytic infiltration (Figure 1A), while Gs 2 (Culbac aqueous and Amoxicillin in drinking water), 5 (Immunobacteryne and Amoxicillin in drinking water), and 6 (Immunobacteryne in feed and drinking water) showed normal villi (Figure 1B, E, and F). Moreover, the intestine of G3 (Culbac in both dry and aqueous forms) had normal villi with slight degeneration (Figure 1C). Moderate degenerated villi with separated enterocytes was observed in G4 (Immunobacteryne feed additive) (Figure 1D), compared with severe submucosal congestion and degenerated villi in G7 (challenged-nontreated) (Figure 1G) and normal villi and enterocytes in G8 (Figure 1H). Regarding the intestinal histopathological lesion scoring (degeneration and congestion), Gs 2, 6, 5, 3, and 4, respectively showed significant improvement of lesions compared with G1, G7, and the nonchallenged or treated G8 (Table 4).

Figure 1. Histopathological examination of chicken’s intestine. (A) Intestine of chicken in G1 showing necrotic villi (arrow). (B) Intestine of chicken in G2 revealing normal villi. (C) Intestine of chicken in G3 revealing normal villi with slight degeneration (arrow). (D) Intestine of chicken in G4 showing moderate degenerated villi (arrow). (E) Intestine of chicken in G5 group revealing normal villi. (F) Intestine of chicken in G6 exposing normal villi. (G) Intestine of chicken in G7 exposing necrotic villi (arrow) and severe congestion (arrowhead). (H) Intestine of chicken in G8 revealing normal villi with normal enterocytes. Scale bar = 200 μm.
The histopathological examination of liver showed severe vascular and sinusoidal congestion and mild hemorrhage in G1 (Figure 2A), but normal hepatocytes in G2 (Figure 2B), normal hepatocytes without hemorrhage in G3 (Figure 2C), and normal hepatocytes with moderate sinusoid congestion in G4 (Figure 2D). In contrast, the liver revealed normal hepatocytes in G5 and G6 (Figure 2E, F), severe congested central veins and sinusoids in G7 (Figure 2G) and normal hepatocytes in G8, (Figure 2H). The histopathological lesion scoring of liver indicated that Gs 2, 6, 5, 3, and 4, respectively had significant ameliorated hepatic congestion compared to G1, G7, and G8 (Table 4).

### Intestinal Bacterial Count

Both *C. perfringens* and total coliform counts were significantly (*P* ≤ 0.05) the lowest in the Culbac aqueous and Amoxicillin treated G2 (3.53 and 1.13 CFU/mL, respectively) and Immunobacteryne and Amoxicillin treated G5 (3.8 and 2.45 CFU/mL, respectively) compared with challenged-nontreated G7 (9.25 and 6.92 CFU/mL, respectively) and other Gs. Furthermore, G3, G6, G1, and G4, respectively showed significant (*P* ≤ 0.05) improvement in the count when compared with G7 (Figure 3). The bacterial counts in G8 showed nondetectable results.

### Hemagglutination Inhibition (HI) Test for NDV and HPAIV V (H5N1)

Regarding the HI results of NDV, there were no significant (*P* > 0.05) differences among all Gs on the d 7th, and 14th of age. However, G3, G5, and G7 showed the highest significant (*P* ≤ 0.05) different results (5.8 log2) on d 21st of age, and also G3 and G1 had the highest significant (*P* ≤ 0.05) titers (6.6 log2 and 6.4 log2, respectively) on d 28th and 35th of age in comparison with other Gs (Figure 4). The results of HI titers for HPAIV (H5N1) indicated highest significant (*P* ≤ 0.05) values in G4, G5, and G7 (8.8 log2) on a d 7th of age, while these titers were the greatest in G3 and G6 (9.8 log2 and 10 log2, respectively) on a d 35th of age in comparison with others (Figure 5).

### Liver Function Tests

The results of AST and ALT are summarized in Figure 6. The best significantly (*P* ≤ 0.05) AST values were in the nonchallenged or treated G8 (53.71 U/L) and the Culbac dry and aqueous treated G3 (55.62 U/L) in comparison with other Gs. In addition, G2, G4, G6, G1, and G5, respectively showed significant (*P* ≤ 0.05) improvement of AST when compared with G7 (85.47 U/L). The results of ALT were most alleviated significantly (*P* ≤ 0.05) in G3 (9.03 U/L), G6 (9.26 U/L), G4 (12.11 U/L), G1 (14.06 U/L), G2 (15.01 U/L), and G5 (15.98 U/L) as compared with G7 (17.09 U/L).

### DISCUSSION

Several nonantimicrobial strategies or AGPs alternatives (e.g., plant extracts, essential oils, organic acids, probiotics, probiotics, synbiotics, and postbiotics) have delivered encouraging outcomes in controlling NE and minimizing acquired antimicrobial resistance of *C. perfringens* (Gadde et al., 2017; Hofacre et al., 2018). However, the protective efficacies of these therapeutics against NE were inconsistent and variable (Dahiya et al., 2006; Thanh et al., 2009; Caly et al., 2015; Agunos et al., 2019; Johnson et al., 2019; Abd El-Swaggerty et al., 2022) and the threat of live probiotics proliferating mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance remains largely untested, but stabilized postbiotics would not carry this threat. Accordingly, the current study was designed to compare the efficacies of 3 antimicrobials (a postbiotic, a probiotic, and an antibiotic) for controlling *C. perfringens* experimental infection in commercial broiler chickens.

Postbiotic or probiotic aqueous plus antibiotic (G2 or G5, respectively) as well as postbiotic dry and aqueous (G3) significantly (*P* ≤ 0.05) diminished the clinical disease, mortality rate, and the intestinal lesion scores compared with challenged-nontreated chickens (G7). These results strongly indicated an improvement in chickens performance especially in the Culbac dry and aqueous treated G3 which had the 2nd significant (*P* ≤ 0.05) highest FBWT and EPEF following G8 (nontreated, nonchallenged) side by side with the best significant (*P* ≤ 0.05) FCR. It has been observed that postbiotics feed additives could improve the health and growth performance in broilers by promoting their immune status, growth gene expression, and gut health (improved intestinal villi, limited population of *Enterobacteriaceae* and fecal pH, with increasing populations of lactic acid bacteria) (Thanh et al., 2009; Loh et al., 2010; Rosyidah et al., 2011; Kareem et al., 2016, 2017). Recent studies concluded that dietary supplementation of postbiotics produced from *L. plantarum* increased FBWT, BWG, FCR, intestinal villus height, immune response, some intestinal mRNA gene

### Table 4. Histopathological lesion scoring of intestine and liver in all chicken groups.

| Group | Intestine |Liver |
|-------|-----------|------|
| G1    | Degeneration 3.4 ± 0.22<sup>a</sup> | Congestion 3.4 ± 0.27<sup>a</sup> |
| G2    | 0.2 ± 0.13<sup>b</sup> | 0 ± 0.1 <sup>c</sup> |
| G3    | 1.6 ± 0.22 | 1.2 ± 0.25<sup>c</sup> |
| G4    | 2.3 ± 0.21<sup>abc</sup> | 2.2 ± 0.2<sup>ab</sup> |
| G5    | 1.1 ± 0.18<sup>d</sup> | 0.8 ± 0.25<sup>d</sup> |
| G6    | 0.7 ± 0.21<sup>d</sup> | 0.5 ± 0.17<sup>d</sup> |
| G7    | 3.8 ± 0.13<sup>d</sup> | 3.9 ± 0.1<sup>d</sup> |
| G8    | 0 ± 0<sup>d</sup> | 0 ± 0<sup>d</sup> |

<sup>*</sup>Means with different superscripts (a, b, c, d, e, f) within the same row are significantly different (*P* ≤ 0.05).
expression, and beneficial bacterial population in the cecum, but reduced Enterococcus (E.) and Escherichia coli (E. coli) populations in heat-stressed broilers (Humam et al., 2020). In the same way, Johnson et al. (2019) tested a postbiotic (fermented product containing organic acids produced from a cocktail of probiotic strains: Pediococcus acidilactici, L. reuteri, E. faecium, and L. acidophilus [Flock Vitality]) against C. perfringens challenge in chickens and concluded that the used postbiotic reduced the lesion scores, mortality, and C. perfringens counts, but increased the BWG. Decreasing the mortality rate and the intestinal lesion scores following C. perfringens challenge were the main modulating features of some microencapsulated blend of organic acids (citric and sorbic), botanicals (thymol and vanillin), and various probiotics and phytobiotic natural compounds (Hussein et al., 2020; Swaggerty et al., 2022).

Here, C. perfringens and total coliform counts were significantly (P ≤ 0.05) lower in chickens of G2 followed by

---

**Figure 2.** Histopathological examination of chicken liver. (A) Liver of chicken in G1 showing congestion of central vein (arrow) and hepatic sinusoids (arrowhead). (B) Liver of chicken in G2 revealing normal hepatocytes with no congestion. (C) Liver of chicken in G3 revealing normal hepatocytes with slight congestion (arrowhead). (D) Liver of chicken in G4 showing normal hepatocytes with moderate congestion in the hepatic sinusoids (arrowhead). (E) Liver of chicken in G5 revealing normal hepatocytes. (F) Liver of chicken in G6 exposing normal hepatocytes. (G) Liver of chicken in G7 exposing central vein congestion (arrow) and congestion in the hepatic sinusoids (arrowhead). (H) Liver of chicken in G8 revealing normal hepatocytes. Scale bar = 50 μm.
G5 (both exposed to traditional antibiotic, Amoxicillin plus Culbac and Immunobacteryne, respectively) compared to G7 and other Gs. In addition, G3, G6, G1, and G4 showed significant ($P \leq 0.05$) improved count when compared with G7. However, the postbiotic, Culbac, in G1, G2, and G3 induced more significant ($P \leq 0.05$) improvement in the total coliform count than probiotics. This improvement may be attributed to the more direct and the faster action of postbiotics than probiotics. Postbiotics contain several antimicrobial components including bacteriocins (short-chain fatty acids, peptides, and proteins) and organic acids which can minimize the pH of the gut, prevent the growth of pathogens, and consequently induce a positive influence on poultry health (Aguilar-Toalá et al., 2018). Several researches reported that postbiotics produced by *Lactobacillus* species have multiple health benefits and an inhibitory effect on different gut pathogens such as *E. coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* and they recommended using of postbiotics as potential alternatives to antibiotics (Thanh et al., 2009, 2010; Van Thu et al., 2011; Tsilingiri et al., 2012; Choe et al., 2013; Cicenia et al., 2014; Kareem et al., 2014; Klemashevich et al., 2014).

**Figure 3.** *C. perfringens* and total coliform counts (Log$_{10}$ CFU/g). Different superscripts (a, b, c, d, e, f, g) within the same bar pattern, are significantly differ ($P \leq 0.05$).

**Figure 4.** Results of HI test detecting humoral antibody response (Log$_{2}$ titers) for Newcastle disease (A: HI titers for all chicken groups on 7-, 14-, and 21-day-old; B: HI titers for all chicken groups on 28- and 35-day-old). Different superscripts (a, b, c, d) within the same bar pattern, are significantly differ ($P \leq 0.05$).
The small intestine of chickens acts as a potential barrier for separation of the internal and external environment of the body. It is relatively short, highly specialized in the digestion and absorption of nutrients, and its absorption area mainly depends on the surface area of the intestinal villi. The structure of the small intestine provides important information on the health status of the digestive tract (Teirlynck et al., 2009). In the current study, the histopathology of *C. perfringens* experimentally infected chickens revealed severe submucosal congestion and degeneration of intestinal villi in G7; however, these histopathological effects were significantly improved in chickens ofGs 2, 6, and 5, respectively and showed significant comparable minimal lesions in chickens of G3. This result may indicate beneficial effects of both postbiotics and probiotics on the absorptive surface of the jejunum. Such improvement in the morphological parameters of the intestinal mucosa increases the absorption rate as a result of the competition for resources and ecological niche between lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and pathogenic microorganisms (Shojadoost et al., 2022). This competition is mainly based on the inhibitory effect of volatile fatty acids and bacteriocins produced by LAB on the growth of pathogenic bacteria (Vieco-Saiz et al., 2019). Here, the use of a probiotic in feed (G4) moderately decreased enterocyte
degeneration; while the use of a postbiotic in feed (G1)-induced severe villus necrosis and lymphocytic infiltration. This result indicates that feed treatment with either probiotic or postbiotic had very low or no effect on the intestinal histopathology of chickens experimentally infected with *C. perfringens*.

Interestingly, the liver of chickens in Gs 2, 6, 5, and 3, respectively demonstrated normal histology which indicates that the combination of the antibiotic and the postbiotic or the probiotic in drinking water, or the combination of the dry and aqueous formula of the postbiotic or the probiotic are effective in restoring the drawbacks of NE on liver. However, chickens that received only feed treatment with the probiotic and the postbiotic showed moderate and severe vascular sinusoidal congestion with mild hemorrhages. This effect may indicate the low ability of these treatments to alleviate liver lesions despite their prolonged use.

Monitoring of liver enzymes such as AST and ALT is essential for evaluating the function and viability of the liver. Accordingly, the significant ($P \leq 0.05$) increase in the activity levels of both AST and ALT reflects the hepatic damage and biliary stasis induced by *C. perfringens* toxins (Fraser et al., 1991; Allam et al., 2013). The greater improvement in the liver function tests in G3 as compared with the antibiotic treated chicken’s points to the ameliorating effects of postbiotics on the damaged hepatocytes of *C. perfringens* challenged chickens (Llanco et al., 2012; Abd El-Hamid et al., 2015).

For the control of NE, another benefit of postbiotics is the immunological enhancement. In this work, significant HI titers were observed for NDV of both G3 (from the 3rd wk of age till the end of experiment) and G1 (at the last 2 wk of age) and also for HPAI-H5N1 of G3, G4, and G6, respectively (from the 3rd wk of age till the end of experiment). Johnson et al. (2019) proved that postbiotics could modulate the immune response in *C. perfringens* challenged chickens in terms of enhancement of innate immunity, reduction of the proinflammatory reaction, and generation of a homeostatic-like response. To the best of our knowledge, the proper function of the mucosa-associated immune system relies on the presence of intestinal bacteria. Bacteriocins as antibacterial compounds produced by LAB enhance the intestinal immune system, improve the resistance to pathogenic bacterial colonization, and maintain specific homeostasis in the gastrointestinal tract (Cheng et al., 2014; Madej and Bednarczyk, 2015; Asgari et al., 2016). Additionally, *Lactobacillus* bacteria or their metabolic byproducts can increase the number of intraepithelial lymphocytes and immunoglobulin A-producing cells in the intestinal tract which lead to development of intestinal resistance and increased the secretion of interleukin (IL-6) (Salah et al., 2012; Jayaraman et al., 2013; Rajput et al., 2013; Ruiz et al., 2015; Khalique et al., 2020). Shojadoost et al. (2022) reported that inoculation of 4 different species of *Lactobacilli* in broiler chickens reduced NE severity, significantly modulated the broilers’ immune responses by alteration of interferon-$\gamma$ (IL-1$\beta$, -2, -12p35, -17, and transforming growth factor beta gene transcription in the intestine, enhanced production of CD8 + T cells and B cells in the cecal tonsil, and modulated the intestinal microbiota composition.

**CONCLUSION**

A combination of an aqueous postbiotic (Culbac) and antibiotic (Amoxicillin) induced the best ameliorating effect on NE in broiler chickens. In comparison with probiotic and antibiotic, the combined feed and water treatment of a postbiotic “Culbac” gave more promising results for controlling NE in terms of enhancing the humoral immune response and the hepatic health of *C. perfringens* experimentally infected broiler chickens.
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