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Massimo Tinto
Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA, 91109, U.S.A.
E-mail: Massimo.Tinto@gmail.com

Abstract. The geosynchronous Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (gLISA) is a space-based gravitational wave (GW) mission that, for the past five years, has been under joint study at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Stanford University, the National Institute for Space Research (I.N.P.E., Brazil), and Space Systems Loral. With an arm length of 73,000 km, gLISA will display optimal sensitivity over a frequency region that is exactly in between those accessible by LISA and LIGO. Such a GW frequency band is characterized by the presence of a very large ensemble of coalescing black-hole binaries (BHBs) similar to those first observed by LIGO and with masses that are 10 to 100 times the mass of the Sun. gLISA will detect thousands of such signals with good signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and enhance the LIGO science by measuring with high precision the parameters characterizing such signals (source direction, chirp parameter, time to coalescence, etc.) well before they will enter the LIGO band. This valuable information will improve LIGO’s ability to detect these signals and facilitate its study of the merger and ring-down phases not observable by space-based detectors. If flown at the same time as the LISA mission, the two arrays will deliver a joint sensitivity that accounts for the best performance of both missions in their respective parts of the milliHertz band. This simultaneous operation will result in an optimally combined sensitivity curve that is “white” from about $3 \times 10^{-3}$ Hz to 1 Hz, making the two antennas capable of detecting, with high signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs), BHBs with masses in the range $(10^{-1} - 10^{2})M_{\odot}$. Their ability of jointly tracking, with enhanced SNR, signals similar to that observed by the Advanced Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory (aLIGO) on September 14, 2015 (the GW150914 event) will result in a larger number of observable small-mass binary black-holes and an improved precision of the parameters characterizing these sources. Together, LISA, gLISA and aLIGO will cover, with good sensitivity, the $(10^{-4} - 10^{3})$ Hz frequency band.

1. Introduction
The first direct observation of a GW signal announced by the LIGO project [1] on February 11, 2016 [2], represents one of the most important achievements in experimental physics today and the beginning of GW astronomy [3]. By simultaneously measuring and recording strain data with two interferometers at Hanford (Washington) and Livingston (Louisiana), scientists were able to reach an extremely high level of detection confidence and infer unequivocally the GW source of the observed signal to be a coalescing binary system containing two black-holes.

1 Present address: Center for Astrophysics and Space Sciences, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, California 92039, U.S.A.
In this article we will refer to LISA as being characterized by an arm-length of 5 Mkm, an acceleration noise of $2 \times 10^{-16}$ m/s$^2$ (Hz)$^{-1/2}$ over the years several LISA mission designs were considered, each resulting in a different mission sensitivity. Over this range of frequencies, the best sensitivity level is achieved only over a relatively narrow region of the mHz frequency band. The network of the two LIGO interferometers could constrain the direction to the binary system only to a broad region of the sky because the French-Italian VIRGO detector [4] was not operational at the time of the detection and no electromagnetic counterparts could be uniquely associated with the observed signal.

Ground-based observations inherently require use of multiple detectors widely separated on Earth and operating in coincidence. This is because a network of GW interferometers operating at the same time can (i) very effectively discriminate a GW signal from random noise and (ii) provide enough information for reconstructing the parameters characterizing the wave’s astrophysical source (such as its sky-location, luminosity distance, mass(es), dynamic time scale, etc.) [5, 6]. Space-based interferometers instead, with their six links along their three-arms, have enough data redundancy to validate their measurements and uniquely reconstruct an observed signal [7]. A mission such as LISA$^2$, with its three operating arms, will be able to assess its measurements’ noise level and statistical properties over its entire observational frequency band.

By relying on a time-delay interferometric (TDI) measurement [8] that is insensitive to GWs [9], LISA will assess its in-flight noise characteristics in the lower part of the band, i.e. at frequencies smaller than the inverse of the round-trip-light time. At higher frequencies instead, where it can synthesize three independent interferometric measurements, it will be able to perform a data consistency test by relying on the null-stream technique [6, 10, 11], i.e. a parametric non-linear combination of the TDI measurements that achieves a pronounced minimum at a unique point in the search parameter space when a signal is present. In addition, by taking advantage of the Doppler and amplitude modulations introduced by the motion of the array around the Sun on long-lived GW signals, LISA will measure the values of the parameters associated with the GW source of the observed signal [7].

Although a single space-based array such as LISA can synthesize the equivalent of four interferometric (TDI) combinations (such as the Sagnac TDI combinations $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \zeta)$) [8], its best sensitivity level is achieved only over a relatively narrow region of the mHz frequency band. At frequencies lower than the inverse of the round-trip light time, the sensitivity of a space-based GW interferometer is determined by the level of residual acceleration noise associated with the nearly free-floating proof-masses of the onboard gravitational reference sensor and the size of the arm-length. This is because in this region of the accessible frequency band the magnitude of a GW signal in the interferometer’s data scales linearly with the arm-length. At frequencies higher than the inverse of the round-trip light time instead, the sensitivity is primarily determined by the photon-counting statistics [12]. Note that this noise grows linearly with the arm-length through its inverse proportionality to the square-root of the received optical power and because, in this region of the accessible frequency band, the GW signal no-longer scales with the arm-length. In summary, for a given performance of the onboard science instrument and optical configuration, the best sensitivity level and the corresponding wideness of the bandwidth over which it is reached are uniquely determined by the size of the array.

The best sensitivity level of a space-based interferometer and the corresponding bandwidth over which it is reached become particularly important when considering signals sweeping up-wards in frequency such as those emitted by coalescing binary systems containing black-holes. As recently pointed out by Sesana [13], it is theoretically expected that a very large ensemble of coalescing binary systems, with masses comparable to those of GW150914, will have characteristic wave’s amplitudes that could be observable by LISA over an accessible frequency region from about $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ Hz to about $7.6 \times 10^{-2}$ Hz. These frequency limits correspond to

$^2$ Over the years several LISA mission designs were considered, each resulting in a different mission sensitivity. In this article we will refer to LISA as being characterized by an arm-length of 5 Mkm, an acceleration noise of $3.0 \times 10^{-15}$ m/s$^2$ (Hz)$^{-1/2}$ and a high frequency noise of $12 \text{ pm}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. 
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(i) the assumption of observing a GW150914-like signal for a period of five years (approximately equal to its coalescing time), and (ii) the value at which the signal’s amplitude is equal to the LISA sensitivity. Although one could in principle increase the size of the LISA’s optical telescopes and rely on more powerful lasers so as to increase the upper frequency cut-off to enlarge the observational bandwidth, in practice pointing accuracy and stability requirements together with the finiteness of the onboard available power would result in a negligible gain.

A natural way to broaden the mHz band, so as to fill the gap between the region accessible by LISA and that by ground interferometers, is to fly an additional interferometer with a smaller arm-length. The geosynchronous Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (gLISA) [12, 14, 15], which has been analyzed during the past five years by a collaboration of scientists and engineers at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Stanford University, the National Institute for Space Research (I.N.P.E., Brazil), and Space Systems Loral, would provide this capability.

With an arm-length of about $7.3 \times 10^4$ km, it could achieve a shot-noise limited sensitivity in the higher region of its accessible frequency band that is about a factor of 70 better than that of LISA; gLISA will display a minimum of its sensitivity in a frequency region that perfectly complements those of LISA and aLIGO, resulting into an overall accessible GW frequency band equal to $(10^{-4} - 10^3)$ Hz (See Fig. 1 below).

Regarding the gLISA onboard science payload components (primarily the laser, the optical telescope, and the inertial reference sensor), we will assume them to have a similar noise performance as those of LISA. Other subsystems are regarded to have a noise performance that results in a high-frequency noise spectrum that is essentially determined by the photon-counting statistics. For further details we refer the reader to appendix A of Ref. [12].

2. LISA-gLISA joint sensitivity

To derive the expression of the joint LISA-gLISA sensitivity, we first note that the noises in the TDI measurements made by the two arrays will be independent. This means that the joint signal-to-noise ratio squared, averaged over an ensemble of signals randomly distributed over the celestial sphere and random polarization states, $\langle SNR^2_{L+gL} \rangle$, can be written in the following form

$$\langle SNR^2_{L+gL} \rangle = 4 \int_{f_1}^{f_2} \left\{ \frac{|\tilde{h}(f)|^2}{S^L_h(f)} + \frac{1}{S^{gL}_h(f)} \right\} df,$$

where the functions $S^i_h(f)$, $i = L, gL$ correspond to the squared optimal sensitivities (as defined through the $A$, $E$, and $T$ TDI combinations [18, 8]) of the LISA and gLISA arrays respectively. Note that the factor 4 in front of the integral in Eq.(1) reflects our adopted convention of using one-sided power spectral densities of the noises [19, 20].

From Eq. (1) it is straightforward to derive the following expression of the joint sensitivity

3 Sean T. McWilliams independently proposed a mission concept very similar to gLISA called GADFLI. Both concepts were first (independently and simultaneously) proposed to NASA as part of its 2011 Request For Information (RFI) exercise for a LISA-like GW mission [16].

4 The derivation of the gLISA sensitivity and the related discussion on the magnitude of the noises that define it have been presented in the main body and appendix of Ref. [12]. Although it was stated there that additional technology developments were needed to reduce the optical bench noise level below that of the photon-shot noise, the LISA Pathfinder experiment [17] has demonstrated this noise to be almost three orders of magnitude smaller than its anticipated value.
Figure 1. The optimal sensitivities of LISA (blue) and gLISA (red) averaged over sources randomly distributed over the sky and polarization states. Each of these curves is uniquely determined by the TDI $A$, $E$, and $T$ combinations associated with the data from each mission. (See [18] for the derivation of the $A$, $E$, and $T$ combinations and the resulting optimal sensitivity. Also refer to [8] for the revised expressions of their noise spectra.) The dashed-thick line (black) is the result of optimally combining the sensitivities of LISA and gLISA if they would fly at the same time [21]. As expected, in the lower part of the mHz band, LISA defines the network sensitivity; in the overlapping region the two sensitivities smoothly blend, resulting in a maximum sensitivity gain of $\sqrt{2}$. In the higher part of the accessible band gLISA defines the joint LISA-gLISA sensitivity. For completeness we have included the projected aLIGO sensitivity (magenta) together with the amplitude of GW150914 (dashed-thin black) as a function of the Fourier frequency $f$. Also included are the wave amplitudes of higher-mass black-hole binaries at $z = 1$, and a synthesized population of galactic stellar-mass binaries.

In Fig. 1 we plot the optimal sensitivities of LISA (blue), gLISA (red) averaged over sources randomly distributed over the sky and polarization states, together with the joint LISA-gLISA (black) given by Eq. (2). For completeness, and to visually exemplify the scientific advantages of flying simultaneously two space-based missions of different arm-lengths, we have included the anticipated sensitivity of the third-generation aLIGO detector (green) together with the amplitude of the GW signal GW150914 (magenta) as functions of the Fourier frequency $f$. Shortly before the announcement made by aLIGO of the detection of a second signal emitted by another black-hole binary system, GW151226, with masses roughly half of those of GW150914 [2, 22], Sesana estimated that a large number of such systems [13] could be observed by LISA while they are still spiraling around each other for periods as long as the entire five years duration of the mission. Because of these compelling astrophysical reasons, to quantify the

\[
S_{h}^{L+gL}(f) = \frac{S_{h}^{L}(f) S_{h}^{gL}(f)}{S_{h}^{L}(f) + S_{h}^{gL}(f)}.
\]

(2)
scientific advantages of flying gLISA jointly with LISA we will focus our attention on signals emitted by coalescing black-hole binaries with chirp masses in the range $(10 - 100)M_\odot$.

3. Results

In our analysis we will use the following expressions (valid for circular orbits) of the Fourier transform of the amplitude of the GW signal emitted by such systems, $\tilde h(f)$, and the time, $t_c$, it takes them to coalesce [23]

$$\tilde h(f) = \sqrt{\frac{5}{24}} \pi^{-2/3} \left(\frac{1 + z}{c^3}\right)^{5/6} \frac{c}{D_L} f^{-7/6},$$

$$t_c = \frac{5}{256} \left(\frac{1 + z}{c^3}\right)^{-5/3} (\pi f_{gw})^{-8/3}. \tag{4}$$

In Eqs. (3, 4) $G$ is the gravitational constant, $c$ is the speed of light, $z$ is the cosmological redshift, $D_L$ is the corresponding luminosity distance, $M_c \equiv (M_1 M_2)^{3/5}/(M_1 + M_2)^{1/5}$ is the chirp mass associated with the binary system whose components have masses $M_1$ and $M_2$, $f$ is the Fourier frequency and $f_{gw}$ the instantaneous frequency of the emitted GW.

By using the above expression for the signal amplitude and a time to coalescence of 5 years (which, in the case of the GW150914 signal, defines the lower-limit of integration in the integral of the SNR to be equal to 0.0151 Hz), we have estimated the SNRs achievable by the two interferometers when operating either as stand-alone or jointly. We find LISA can observe a GW150914-like signal with a SNR equal to 10.7, while gLISA with an SNR of 14.4 because of its better sensitivity over a larger part of its observable band (see Fig. 1). As expected, the joint LISA-gLISA network further improves upon the SNR of gLISA-alone by reaching a value of about 18.0. From these results we can further infer that gLISA will achieve a sufficiently high SNR to warrant the detection of a GW150914-like signal by integrating for a shorter time. We find that, by integrating for a period that corresponds to 135 days prior to the moment of coalescence of a GW150914-like system, gLISA can achieve a SNR of 10.7.

The level of SNR achievable by the LISA-gLISA network with an integration time of five years is about 80 percent higher than that of LISA alone. This implies, from the estimated parameter precisions derived by Sesana [13] and their dependence on the value of the SNR [24], that the LISA-gLISA network will estimate the parameters associated with the GW source of the observed signal with a precision that is 1.8 better than that obtainable by LISA alone. It should be said, however, that this is a lower bound on the improved precision by which the parameters can be estimated since it is based only on SNR considerations. As pointed out by McWilliams in an unpublished document [25], the Doppler frequency shift together with the larger diurnal amplitude modulation experienced by the GW signal in the gLISA TDI measurements will further improve the precision of the reconstructed parameters beyond that due to only the enhanced SNR. We will analyze and quantify this point in a follow-up article.

From the expressions of the SNR and of the Fourier amplitude of the GW signal, $\tilde h(f)$ (Eqs. 1, 3), and by fixing the SNR to a specific value for each operational configuration (stand-alone vs. network), it is possible to infer the corresponding average luminosity distance to a BHB in terms of its chirp mass parameter. By assuming a $SNR = 10$, the corresponding three luminosity distances are monotonically increasing functions of the chirp-mass. In [21] it was

\[\text{To derive the redshift, } z, \text{ we have assumed a fiducial } \Lambda\text{CDM flat cosmology with the matter density parameter } \Omega_M = 0.3, \text{ the cosmological constant density parameter } \Omega_L = 0.7, \text{ and the value of the Hubble parameter at the present time } H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}. \text{ To obtain the luminosity distance, } D_L, \text{ in terms of the chirp mass, } M_c, \text{ we have rewritten the SNR as a function of the redshift by expressing } D_L \text{ in terms of } z \text{ (see Eq. (13) in [22]). Then, for a given SNR, we have solved a transcendental equation to obtain } z, \text{ and from it derived the luminosity distance.} \]
shown that, for chirp-masses in the range \([10 - 36) \, M_\odot\), gLISA can see signals further away than LISA because of its better sensitivity at higher frequencies. Systems with chirp-mass larger than \(36 \, M_\odot\) instead can be seen by LISA at a larger luminosity distance than that achievable by gLISA alone. The LISA-gLISA network instead out-performs the stand-alone configurations by as much as 40 percent for BHs with chirp-masses in the interval \((30 - 40) \, M_\odot\). This results into a number of observable events that is about 3 times larger than that detectable by each interferometer alone. Finally, a GW150914-like signal characterized by a chirp mass of \(28.1 \, M_\odot\) can be seen by LISA at an average luminosity distance of about \(400 \, Mpc\), by gLISA out to \(600 \, Mpc\), and by the LISA-gLISA system out to about \(800 \, Mpc\).

4. Conclusions

Significant scientific advantages can be achieved by jointly flying with the LISA mission an additional space-based interferometer such as gLISA. Because of its smaller arm-length, gLISA will be able to survey the region of the GW frequency band that is in between those accessible by LISA and aLIGO. By covering the entire mHz and kHz GW frequency band, LISA, gLISA and aLIGO will detect all known sources emitting in this broad frequency region, and observe signals requiring multi-band detection for understanding the physical nature of their sources.
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