Role of ΔNp63γ in Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition*•S
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Although members of the p63 family of transcription factors are known for their role in the development and differentiation of epithelial surfaces, their function in cancer is less clear. Here, we show that depletion of the ΔNp63α and β isoforms, leaving only ΔNp63γ, results in epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) in the normal breast cell line MCF10A. EMT can be rescued by the expression of the ΔNp63α isoform. We also show that ΔNp63γ expressed in a background where all the other ΔNp63 are knocked down causes EMT with an increase in TGFβ1, -2, and -3 and downstream effectors Smads2/3/4. In addition, a p63 binding site in intron 1 of TGFβ was identified. Inhibition of the TGFβ response with a specific inhibitor results in reversion of EMT in ΔNp63α- and β-depleted cells. In summary, we show that p63 is involved in inhibiting EMT and reduction of certain p63 isoforms may be important in the development of epithelial cancers.

The transcription factor p63 is a member of the p53 gene family. At least six isoforms are expressed as a result of two alternative promoters giving rise to transactivating (TA)2 isoforms, containing a transactivation domain at the amino terminus and ΔN isoforms that lack this domain (1, 2). There are also 3′ splicing events giving rise to α, β, and γ variants. All isoforms contain a DNA binding domain (DBD), but different p63 isoforms have different functional properties. TA variants can bind to p53-responsive elements to activate p53 target genes, and the ΔN variants can act as dominant negative inhibitors of this transcriptional activity (1, 4). In addition, the ΔNp63 isoforms, particularly γ, have been shown to activate certain genes (5). p63 is essential for the development and differentiation of stratified squamous epithelium (5–7). Mice null for the p63 gene show a lack of stratified epithelium and epidermal appendages, as well as absence of lachrymal, salivary, and mammary glands (1, 8). The p63 gene has been implicated in cancer and tumor progression and can act as an oncogene (9, 10) or a tumor suppressor (11–13), depending on the cellular context. Knockdown of p63 has been shown to lead to a loss of cell adhesion, cellular arrest (14, 15), invasion, and metastasis (15), of which the latter are important steps in tumor progression.

A process that has been significantly linked to tumor progression and metastasis in cancer is epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT; 16–18). EMT is the process in which immobile epithelial cells transition into motile fibroblastic-like cells. A hallmark event in EMT is the loss of E-cadherin that leads to the disassembly of tight junction complexes, along with rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton (16, 17). EMT is involved in normal embryogenesis and tissue morphogenesis, and in adults it is required for the maintenance of the epithelium, through wound healing and tissue repair (19). However, aberrant activation of EMT can cause cellular invasion and metastasis in cancer. A variety of specific growth and differentiation factors, such as Wnt and Notch proteins, as well as cytokines, have been shown to induce this EMT (16).

TGFβ, a cytokine that has been highlighted as a potent inducer of EMT, also plays a role in normal development, cellular differentiation, and survival. It is involved in inhibition of cell cycle progression and rearrangement of components of the cytoskeleton, both of which are essential for EMT (16, 20). Here we show a role for ΔNp63γ in EMT of normal breast cells through the regulation of TGFβ. This EMT can be rescued by the expression of ΔNp63α, suggesting that the levels of ΔNp63α and γ are critical for the maintenance of the epithelial phenotype.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Constructs and Site-directed Mutagenesis—TAp63α, TAp63γ, ΔNp63α, and ΔNp63γ constructs were a gift from Kurt Engeland (University of Leipzig, Germany), and the TAp63β and ΔNp63β constructs were a gift from Gerry Mello (Medical Research Council Toxicology Unit, Leicester, UK). p-Super retroviral constructs expressing short hairpin RNAs against the 3′-UTR of p63 (p63-UTR), the p63 DNA binding domain (p63-DBD), and p53 were generated by ligating annealed oligonucleotides (supplemental Table 1) containing 21-mer targeting sequences into p-Super constructs (supplemental Table 1) containing 21-mer targeting sequences into p-Super constructs (puromycin) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines (Oligoengine, Seattle, WA); a scrambled control targeting no annotated gene has been described previously (shSCRAM) (21). Snail expression was analyzed using a Snail overexpression construct and a puromycin retroviral construct expressing short hairpin RNA against Snail (Origene, Rockville, MD). An adenoviral construct expressing ΔNp63α was generated by amplifying ΔNp63α with primers incorporating an HA tag at the carboxyl terminus (supplemental Table 2). This sequence was then TA-cloned into pCDNA3.1V5HisTOPO, according to the manufacturer’s guidelines (Invitrogen,
Site-directed mutagenesis for four silent point mutations (supplemental Table 3) were introduced into the DBD of the ΔNp63γ isoform, using a QuikChange II-E Site-directed Mutagenesis kit according to the manufacturer's guidelines (Stratagene, Wokingham, UK). The ΔNp63α sequence and the ΔNp63γ mutated sequence were subcloned into the pENTR 11 construct (Invitrogen) and recombined into the adenoviral construct p-Ad/CMV/V/5dest, using Gateway® LR Clonase® II enzyme mix (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK). All plasmid constructs were sequenced prior to utilization.

Cell Culture, Transfection, and Transduction—The MCF10A, human mammary epithelial cell line 1 (HME-1), H1299, and phoenix-GP cells were cultured as described previously (21, 23–25). Cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection and passed for no longer than 3 months before obtaining a fresh early pass cells. H1299 cells were transfected using Genejuice (Merck, Whitehouse Station, NJ) according to the manufacturer's instructions. MCF10A cells were transfected using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. siRNA targeted to the 3’-UTR of p63 (UTRα) and a scrambled control were added to cells for 24 h at a concentration of 50 nM and then cultured for 72 h (supplemental Table 4). p-Super MCF10A cells were transfected with 5 μg of the Snail construct or control vector pCMV-Tag 2B using polyethylenimine (Polysciences, Inc., Eppelheim, Germany) for 24 h.

Retroviral production in phoenix-GP cells has been described previously (21). Stable MCF10A and HME-1 cells were selected using 1 μg/ml and 3 μg/ml puromycin, respectively, and then maintained in medium containing 0.5 μg/ml puromycin. Stable SCR and UTR MCF10A cells with a knockdown of Snail or with control vector (p-GFP-V-RS) were selected.

p-Super MCF10A cells were transfected with 5 μg of the Snail construct or control vector pCMV-Tag 2B using polyethylenimine (Polysciences, Inc., Eppelheim, Germany) for 24 h.

p-Super MCF10A cells were transfected with 5 μg of the Snail construct (Addgene, Plasmid 16218: FLAG Snail WT) or control vector pCMV-Tag 2B using polyethylenimine (Polysciences Inc., Warrington, PA) for 24 h. p-Super MCF10A cells with a knockdown of Snail (product number TG309226; Origene, Rockville, MD) were generated by retroviral production in phoenix-GP cells, which has been previously described (21). Stable cell lines were selected using 7 μg/ml puromycin and maintained in 1 μg/ml.

Acini Culture—Acini were cultured from the p-Super retroviral MCF10A cell lines as described previously (26). The acini were cultured for a period of 14 days and maintained in medium containing 2% Matrigel and 0.5 μg/ml puromycin.

Invasion Assay—Invasion chambers were prepared by coating cell culture inserts (PCF, 12-mm diameter, 12-μm pore size Millicell) with 100 μg/cm² of Matrigel. Assays were conducted using 5 × 10⁵ cells/well (p-Super retroviral MCF10A cell lines), as described previously (27). Cells were resuspended in serum-free MCF10A growth medium, and MCF10A full growth medium was used for the chemotactic gradient.

ALK5 (TGFβ-R1) Inhibitor (SB-431542)—Solid anhydrous SB-431542, a gift from Dr. Gareth Inman, University of Dundee, was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide to a stock concentration of 10 mM. SB-431542 was added to p-Super MCF10A retroviral cell lines at a concentration of 10 μM for 48 h. Images were captured using a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope (×10 objective lens; Phase-1) and NIS-Elements BR 3.0 software.

Reverse Transcription-PCR Analysis—RNA was harvested using TRIzol® (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines, and reverse transcription was performed using the transcription high fidelity cDNA synthesis kit (Roche Applied Science, Burgess Hill, UK), according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. PCR analysis was carried out using SYBR Green (Roche Applied Science, Burgess Hill, UK). Primer sets were used for p63α, β, and γ isoforms; TGFβ-1, TGFβ-2, TGFβ-3, Slug, Snail, and Twist are described in supplemental Table 5. RPLPO (human large ribosomal protein) primers were used as a control.

Western Blot Analysis—Protein lysates at a concentration of 50 μg were used for all blots, as described previously (28). The following primary antibodies were used in this study: mouse monoclonal anti-p63 4A4 (1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany), mouse monoclonals for anti-p53 and anti-E-cadherin (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK), mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin (1:10,000; Sigma), goat polyclonal anti-Smad2 (1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), rabbit polyclonals for anti-TGFβ1 (recognizing human recombinant TGFβ1, 2, and 3 and their precursor proteins pan-TGFβ), anti-Smad3, anti-Smad4, anti-phospho-Smad2, anti-phospho-Smad3, anti-Smad2/3, and Slug (1:1,000; Cell Signaling, Hertfordshire, UK). rabbit polyclonals for anti-p63α, anti-p63γ, and anti-β-actin (1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), and rabbit polyclonal for anti-vimentin (1:1,000; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). Secondary antibodies used in this study were goat anti-rabbit HRP, goat anti-mouse HRP, and donkey anti-goat HRP (1:2,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). Protein loading was determined against β-actin. All Western blots were developed using West Femto (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA), apart from those shown in Fig. 2B (all panels) and Fig. 5C (p63 4A4 panel).

Immunostaining of p-Super Retroviral MCF10A Cells—p-Super retroviral-infected MCF10A cells were grown as a monolayer and fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. The cells were then immunostained as described previously (29) with phospho-Smad2/3 antibody (1:100; Cell Signaling) and with anti-rabbit conjugated to fluorophore 488 (1:200; Molecular Probes, Paisley, UK). Prolong® Anti-fade reagent with DAPI (Invitrogen) was used as a mounting agent. Immunofluorescent images were captured using a Leica AF6000 inverted microscope and Leica AF imaging software.

Immunostaining of Acini and Confocal Microscopy—Acini were indirectly stained as described previously (26). The following antibodies were used in this study: rabbit laminin V (1:100; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA), mouse E-cadherin and P-cadherin (1:100; BD Biosciences), mouse vimentin (1:100; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA), and anti-rabbit or anti-mouse conjugated to fluorophore 488 (1:200; Molecular Probes). Cell nuclei were stained using 1 μg/ml Hoechst.
33342 (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) for 5 min. The Hoechst stain was removed by washing the chamber slide in PBS for 5 min. Slides were mounted with Prolong® Antifade reagent without DAPI. Images were captured with a confocal Nikon C1 imaging system mounted on a Nikon Eclipse 90i microscope. Three objective lenses were used as follows: ×10 Plan Apo

**FIGURE 1. Knockdown of p63α and β isoforms results in a change of epithelial phenotype MCF10A retroviral cell lines.**

A, phase-contrast images of the control (SCR), p53-depleted (p53), all p63 isoforms depleted (DBD), and p63α and β isoform-depleted (UTR), showing EMT change in cells with UTR shRNA. B, p63 and p53 protein levels determined by Western blotting (β-actin detected using the mouse anti-actin antibody). C, qRT-PCR analysis of the p63α, β, and γ isoform mRNA expression levels. D, phase-contrast images of MCF10A cells, with knockdown of p63α and β isoforms, using a siRNA targeting a different sequence to the UTR (UTRγ) from that shown in A and B (β-actin detected using the mouse anti-actin antibody). E, confocal microscopy images of MCF10A retroviral cell lines. Blue DAPI nuclear staining and green Alexa Fluor 488 for laminin staining are shown. F, BrDU incorporation of MCF10A retroviral transduced cell lines, showing reduced proliferation of cells with DBD and UTR shRNA. All experiments were carried out in triplicate, and three independent studies were performed. Paired t tests were performed (Fig. 1, C and E), and significant differences with respect to the control, SCR, are shown (*, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.001$).
N.A. 0.45, ×20 Plan Apo N.A. 0.75, and ×60 WI (water immersion) N.A 1.20. Fluorophores were imaged sequentially to minimize bleed-through, and images were collected to photomultiplier tubes through appropriate emission filter sets. Image acquisition and analysis were carried out using Nikon EZ-C1 3.90 software.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) Assays—ChIP assays were carried out by fixing 10^6 MCF10A cells with 1.5% formaldehyde for 10 min, washed twice in ice-cold PBS, pelleted at 2,000 g, and serially resuspended in NCP1 (10 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES pH 6.5, 0.25% Triton X-100) and NCP2 (1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, pH 6.5, 200 mM NaCl) to isolate nuclei. Lysis was carried out in 1 ml of SDS-lysis buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) supplemented with complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche, Burgess Hill, UK) by sonication for 15 min (15 s on, 15 s off) using a bioruptor. Chromatin samples were incubated overnight at 4 °C with 2 μg of primary antibody, 25 μl of pre-blocked Dynabeads® protein G (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) made up to 1 ml final volume with IP buffer (final concentration 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) supplemented with complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche, Burgess Hill, UK) by sonicating for 2 × 15 min (15 s on, 15 s off) using a bioruptor. Chromatin samples were incubated overnight at 4 °C with 2 μg of primary antibody, 25 μl of pre-blocked Dynabeads® protein G (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) made up to 1 ml final volume with IP buffer (final concentration 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0). Precipitated complexes were washed six times with radiolabeled preci-
MCF10A cells were retrovirally transduced with shRNAs targeting the 3'-UTR of p63α and β, the DBD of all p63 isoforms, p53 (p53) or a scrambled control (SCR). Depletion of the Np63α and Np63β isoforms resulted in a change in morphology of MCF10A cells reminiscent of EMT (Fig. 1A, UTR). In contrast, knockdown of all of the Np63 isoforms or p53 caused no phenotypic changes (Fig. 1A, DBD and p53, respectively). Decreased mRNA and protein levels were verified by Western blotting and real time PCR (Fig. 1B and C; supplemental Fig. S1A). A siRNA molecule (UTRsi), to a different region of the UTR of p63α and p63β, was also used and produced a similar change in epithelial phenotype compared with a scrambled control (Fig. 1D). We also investigated the ability of these cells to form acini in Matrigel. Control cells and those with a knockdown of p53 were able to form acini, which expressed E-cadherin and lacked vimentin (supplemental Fig. S2). A phenotypic change in acini formation was seen in cells depleted of Np63α and β (Fig. 1E, UTR), where the cells formed elongated structures without a lumen and expressed vimentin and lacked E-cadherin expression (Fig. 1F and supplemental Fig. S2). However, cells depleted of all the Np63 isoforms (DBD) formed normal smaller acini (Fig. 1E, DBD), but did not express E-cadherin. However, they did express P-cadherin and vimentin (supplemental Fig. S2). In addition, knockdown of p63 isoforms resulted in a reduction in proliferation, particularly with knockdown of p63α and β (Fig. 1F and supplemental Fig. S1B).

To investigate the observed EMT phenotype, the abundance and expression of markers for EMT were examined by Western blotting and quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR). Cells depleted of Np63α and β exhibited a complete loss of E-cadherin and increased vimentin, Slug, Snail, and Twist levels (Fig. 2A, left panel). A siRNA molecule (UTRsi), to a different region of the UTR of Np63α and β, was also used, and this showed a similar change in epithelial phenotype compared with a scrambled control (Fig. 2A, right panel). Cells depleted of all Np63 isoforms showed increases in some of the EMT-associated factors, but no increase in Snail (Fig. 2A, left panel). Similar results were observed in HME-1, when Np63α and β were depleted (supplemental Fig. S3A). qRT-PCR, of mRNA from MCF10A cell lines, correlated with Western blotting, for Slug, Snail, and Twist, with Snail only increased in UTR cells (Fig. 2B). Because EMT has been shown to increase invasive potential, invasion assays were performed and showed that depletion of p53 had no effect on invasion, whereas cells depleted of all Np63 isoforms exhibited a 2-fold increase in the level of invasion (Fig. 2C). However, cells depleted of just Np63α and β were shown to have a 7-fold greater level of invasion compared

![FIGURE 3. Snail is not involved in the EMT phenotype. A, phase-contrast images of SCR and UTR control cells and cells overexpressing Snail, showing that there is no change in phenotype. B, protein levels of pan-TGFβ, E-cadherin, vimentin, Slug, Snail, and Twist analyzed by Western blotting in cells from A. The levels showed no change in morphology when Snail was exogenously expressed. C, phase-contrast images of SCR and UTR control cells and cells with a knockdown in Snail, showing that there is no difference in phenotype. D, protein levels of pan-TGFβ, E-cadherin, vimentin, Slug, Snail, and Twist analyzed by Western blotting, in cells from C. There was no change in morphology when Snail was depleted (β-actin detected using the mouse anti-actin antibody). All experiments were carried out in triplicate, and three independent studies were performed.](image-url)
with control cells (Fig. 2C). A similar trend of invasion was shown in HME-1 cells (supplemental Fig. S3B).

**EMT Phenotype Is Maintained by the TGFβ-Smad2/3-dependent Signaling Complex**—Because the EMT marker Snail was highly expressed in the UTR cells compared with SCR, p53, or DBD cells (Fig. 2A), we investigated further the role of Snail in the EMT phenotype. Snail was exogenously expressed in MCF10A cells depleted of p53 and p63, but no change in morphology was observed (Fig. 3A and supplemental Fig. S4). There was no difference in the level of pan-TGF, ΔNp63α, vimentin, Slug, and Twist as measured by Western blotting, although there was a small increase in E-cadherin (Fig. 3B), perhaps suggesting that some aspects of EMT were affected by expression of Snail. In addition, depletion of Snail in all cells showed similar results, suggesting that either Snail is not a major component of the EMT observed in p63α- and β-depleted cells or that Slug and Twist may maintain the EMT phenotype (Fig. 3, C and D).

The TGFβ pathway is commonly associated with Smad activation, but it can also be Smad-independent (16, 20); therefore, we examined levels of TGFβ, Smad2, phospho-Smad2-, Smad3-, phospho-Smad3-, and Smad4-depleted cell lines. TGFβ protein was present in all MCF10A cells, but was increased in cells depleted of the ΔNp63α and β and all of the ΔNp63 isoforms (Fig. 4A). Similar results were also observed in HME-1 cells (supplemental Fig. S5A). Importantly, in both MCF10A and HME-1 cells with ΔNp63α and β isoforms depleted, Smad2 and 3 were activated, as determined by the phosphorylation status. In addition, Smad4, which forms a complex with Smad2/3 and facilitates transport into the nucleus, was increased at the protein level (Fig. 4A and supplemental Fig. S5A). In contrast, no increased activation of Smad2 or 3 was observed in cells where all the ΔNp63 isoforms were depleted (DBD). Further, immunohistochemistry staining for phospho-Smad2/3 in MCF10A cells depleted of all ΔNp63 isoforms, ΔNp63α and β only or p53, showed that
only in cells depleted of ΔNp63α and β was the phospho-
Smad2/3 complex found in the nucleus, indicating an active
transcriptional complex (Fig. 4B).

To determine whether the TGFβ pathway and not the BMP
pathway was involved in the MCF10A EMT phenotype in
ΔNp63α- and β-depleted cells (UTR), a specific inhibitor (SB-
431542) of the TGFβ pathway (inhibits ALK5/TGFβ-1 recep-
tor activation for Smad2/3-dependent signaling) was added to
control and ΔNp63α- and β-depleted cells. No change in phe-
notype was observed in control cells; however, the inhibitor
partially rescued the EMT phenotype of ΔNp63α and ΔNp63β
cells from single fibroblastic-like cells back to the cobblestone ap-
pearance of epithelial cells (Fig. 4C). Slug and Snail were also
reduced in these cells as well as the Smad2/3 levels (Fig. 4D).

Because protein levels of TGFβ were increased in DBD and
UTR cells, we were interested in determining which isoform
of p63 could transcriptionally activate the TGFβ promotors. In
addition, we independently identified a p63 binding site in
intron 1 of the TGFβ-1 gene using ChIP-seq in human fore-
skin keratinocytes.3 This site was found to be enriched in p63

ChIPs from MCF10A cells compared with an IgG control by
semiquantitative (Fig. 5A) and qRT-PCR (Fig. 5B). There was
no enrichment of a nonspecific region of chromosome 5.

TGFβ-1, TGFβ-2, and TGFβ-3 mRNA expression levels
were determined in control, UTR, and DBD cells. The three
TGFβ isoforms were present in all MCF10A cells, but were
only increased in cells depleted of the ΔNp63α and β and not
when all p63 isoforms were depleted (Fig. 5C). To ascertain
which p63 isoform can induce TGFβ-1, TGFβ-2, and TGFβ-3
signaling, ΔNp63 isoforms were transfected into H1299 cells
(Fig. 5D). Only the ΔNp63γ isoform transcriptionally in-
creased all TGFβ isoforms (Fig. 5E), suggesting that p63 binds
to a region in intron 1 of TGFβ-1 and enhances its

transcription.

Rescue and Induction of EMT Phenotype by p63 Isoforms—
Because our data indicate that depleting only ΔNp63α and β
results in EMT, they imply that the remaining isoform,
ΔNp63γ, up-regulates TGFβ and is required for the EMT
phenotype. To determine whether ΔNp63γ can induce the
observed EMT, ΔNp63γ was expressed in control (SCR) and
cells depleted of all ΔNp63 isoforms (DBD), using an adenovi-
rus expressing ΔNp63γ with silent mutations in the shRNA

3 S. S. McDade and D. J. McCance, unpublished observations.
recognition site. Results indicated that ΔNp63γ expressed in the background of depletion of all the ΔNp63 isoforms results in an EMT phenotype with cells losing their tight cobblestone appearance and exhibiting isolated spindly growth (Fig. 6A, bottom panels, and B). Expression of ΔNp63γ in the control background where all p63 isoforms are expressed had no effect on cell morphology (Fig. 6A, top panels), probably due to the high level of expression of ΔNp63α in MCF10A cells. Therefore, repression of ΔNp63α and β, with only ΔNp63γ expressed, results in EMT.

To establish whether the EMT phenotype was reversible, ΔNp63α or control GFP was exogenously expressed using an adenovirus transduced into SCR control cells or cells depleted of ΔNp63α and β (Fig. 6, C and D). Although the control virus had no effect on the phenotype (Fig. 6C, top panels), the adenovirus expressing ΔNp63α reversed the EMT phenotype (Fig. 6C, bottom panels).

**DISCUSSION**

Approximately 90% of cancers occur in cells of epithelial origin (31), therefore a greater understanding of the sequence of events that allows for epithelial cells to progress along a tumorogenic pathway, is required. The p63 gene has been shown to have a central role in the development of stratified epithelium, and p63 also plays a role in cancer. Breast cancer nonbasal tumors and basal tumors have been shown to have down-regulation of p63, and this can affect other pathways such as BRCA1 and TGFβ (15, 30). However, the role of p63 in cancer has been further complicated by the lack of information on the different p63 isoforms expressed and their functions in cancers. Nevertheless, this complexity is being resolved, and various studies have emerged that focus on the signaling pathways that are regulated by the p63 isoforms and how these p63 isoforms activate and repress transcription (31–33).
Here we report on the gain and loss of expression of the \( \Delta Np63 \) isoforms, using the MCF10A normal human breast epithelial cell line as a model system. We investigated the \( \Delta Np63 \) isoforms because these were, and have been shown previously (14, 32), to be the predominant isoforms in MCF10A cells. We demonstrate that the loss of \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) and \( \beta \) isoforms (UTR) led to an EMT phenotype with loss of E-cadherin and an increase in the abundance of the EMT markers. The phenotype was reversible upon reintroduction of \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) alone. Knockdown of \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) and \( \beta \) isoforms also prevented the cells from forming acini and enhanced invasion activity in vitro. These findings are supported by recent studies, performed in human squamous cell carcinoma where they found that down-regulation of \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) leads to a more invasive phenotype with the expression of markers of EMT (34, 35). They also showed that Snail was able to down-regulate \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) by reducing the CAAT/enhancer-binding protein on the \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) promoter, suggesting that loss of \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) is an important contribution to cancer progression. The results from this study would imply that although the \( \Delta Np63\gamma \) isoform induces EMT and up-regulates Snail, EMT is through the TGF\( \beta \) pathway and is independent of expression of Snail. Indeed, we demonstrate that the \( \Delta Np63\gamma \) isoform when expressed alone in a p63-depleted background produced an EMT phenotype linked to the induction of the TGF\( \beta \)-Smad2/3 pathway, and there are numerous reports on the involvement of TGF\( \beta \) in EMT (17, 20). Our results also show that expression of the \( \Delta Np63\gamma \) isoform can increase TGF\( \beta \)-1, TGF\( \beta \)-2, and TGF\( \beta \)-3 at a message and protein level. In addition, we show that \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) can reverse the EMT phenotype, suggesting that the balance between the isoforms is important for maintaining the epithelial state.

Signaling by BMP, part of the TGF\( \beta \) superfamily, has been previously shown to be regulated by p63, in human keratinocytes, where p63 can suppress transcription of the inhibitory Smad7, increase BMP7, and sustain BMP signaling (36). However, the BMP pathway appeared not to be involved in this EMT phenotype, as we used a potent, specific inhibitor of ALK4, 5, and 7 (SB-431542) (3), which is specific for the TGF\( \beta \) pathway, and this reversed the phenotype and caused a reduction in markers of EMT. However, absolute proof that the BMP pathway is not involved would require further work. Interestingly, knockdown of all p63 isoforms (DBD) resulted in no EMT phenotype, although these cells did have a reduction in E-cadherin levels and had increased levels of vimentin, Slug, and Twist. However, Smad signaling requires the phosphorylation of Smad2/3 complex that then partners with Smad4, and this complex translocates to the nucleus where it exerts effects on target genes (37). The DBD cells showed only a slight increase in phosphorylated Smad3, but they also showed a significant reduction in Smad4. Therefore, in these cells the Smad complex may not be able to affect transcription of target genes, thus we do not observe a total loss of E-cadherin expression in addition to a lack of EMT phenotype.

In conclusion, we have shown that EMT is induced by the \( \Delta Np63\gamma \) isoform, in a background of low expression of \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) in MCF10A cells and coupled with increased TGF\( \beta \) signaling, leads to a more invasive phenotype. Therefore, determination of the levels of each of the p63 isoforms in breast cancer tissue would be an important step in elucidating the importance of p63 in various cancers.
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