Minimally invasive electrochemotherapy procedure for treating nasal duct tumors in dogs using a single needle electrode
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Background. Nasal cavity tumors are usually diagnosed late, when they already have infiltrated adjacent tissues thus requiring very aggressive treatments with serious side effects. Here we use electrochemotherapy (ECT), a well demonstrated treatment modality for superficial tumors.

Materials and methods. In the case of deep-seated tumors, the main limitation of ECT is reaching the tumor with an appropriate electric field. To overcome this limitation we introduce the single needle electrode ($\text{SiNE}$), a minimally invasive device that can deliver an appropriate electric field with a simple procedure. Twenty-one canine patients with spontaneous tumors were selected, eleven were treated using the $\text{SiNE}$ with ECT, and ten with surgery plus adjuvant chemotherapy as a control group.

Results. In the $\text{SiNE}$ group, 27% achieved a complete response, 64% had a partial response, and 9% had a stable disease. This means that 91% of objective responses were obtained. The mean overall survival was 16.86 months (4–32 months, median 16.5 months), with a survival rate significantly higher ($p = 0.0008$) when compared with control group. The only side effect observed was the inflammation of the treated nasal passage, which was controlled with corticosteroid therapy for one week. One year after the treatment, 60% of the canine of the $\text{SiNE}$ group vs. 10% of the control group remained alive, and after the 32 months follow-up, the survival rate were 30% and 0%, respectively.

Conclusions. ECT with the $\text{SiNE}$ can be safely used in canine to treat nasal tumors with encouraging results.
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Introduction

Spontaneous tumors of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses in canine patients account for 1% of all neoplasms. Their etiology is unknown, but animals living in urban areas are at an increased risk, due to the nasal filtering of pollutants. Also, exposure to tobacco smoke and fossil fuel combustion products increase the risk of this type of cancer. The average age of dogs with nasal cancer is around 10 years, predominantly in medium and large breeds. Common clinical signs include epistaxis, mucopurulent nasal discharge, facial deformity, sneezing, dyspnea, local pain, stertorous breathing, exophthalmos, and ocular discharge.1

The diagnostic procedures are the same as in human patients and involve high-resolution imaging procedures such as Computed Tomography (CT) scans or Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) to determine the extension of the tumor and evaluating...
the involvement of neighboring structures such as the palate, the retro-orbital area, the sinuses, and the cranial vault. The most common histologies are adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma and sarcomas. Metastases are rare at the time of presentation, yet they are present at the time of death in around 40–50% of the cases. Lungs and lymph nodes are common metastatic sites.

Electrochemotherapy (ECT) is a new treatment modality which has been gaining territory in oncology since the Standard Operating Procedures were published in 2006. ECT consists of the application of an electric field to a tumor in order to increase the uptake of bleomycin that was previously administered (locally or intravenously) at a very low dose; alternatively, cisplatin can be used locally with equally good results. ECT is mainly indicated for cutaneous and subcutaneous tumors of any histology. As cell membrane permeabilization is produced by a physical phenomenon known as electroporation, it affects all cells, regardless of the histology of the tumor. Recently, a meta-analysis of ECT clinical studies in human oncology showed that the overall objective response (OR) rate vary from 62.6% to 82.2% depending also on the route of administration of the drug, being either intravenous or intratumoral. Great efforts are being made to extend ECT to non-cutaneous locations such as the liver, the brain and the bones. Moreover, an endoscopic electrode was developed to treat the colon. For deep-seated tumors, ECT is performed in an intraoperative manner, thus requiring the patient to be exposed to the risks and complications of an open surgery. Here we explore ECT for treating nasal cavity tumors by means of the Single Needle Electrode (SiNE).

The aim of this work is to perform a validation study of the treatment of nasal cavity tumors using the SiNE with ECT in dogs and compare the overall survival with the standard treatment available in our setting, i.e.: surgery plus adjuvant chemotherapy.

Materials and methods

Consent was obtained from the dog’s owner to perform the treatment and for using the dog’s image in this scientific work. In all cases, all recommendations from the Consejo Profesional de Médicos Veterinarios de Buenos Aires (Buenos Aires Veterinary Council) were observed, as well as the relevant local legislation in Argentina, Act No. 14072 which governs Veterinary Medicine practice. Recommendations from Campana et al. for reporting clinical studies on ECT were followed.

The SiNE pictured in Figure 1 is an electrode specifically designed in our lab for deep-seated tumor treatment with ECT. It consists of an insulated needle with an anode in one side and a cathode in the other. This design provides an electric field of cylindrical shape around it, strong enough to produce the electroporation of the cells.

Twenty-one patients were selected, eleven for the SiNE group and ten for the control group. The patients for the SiNE group were selected according to the following inclusion criteria:

- Canine patient with confirmed diagnosis of nasal duct tumor.
- Life expectancy of more than 1 month.
- Surgery refusal by the owner.

The control group was formed with ten patients whose owners accepted surgery plus adjuvant chemotherapy.

In the SiNE group, rhinoscopy was performed using a flexible or a rigid endoscope of variable diameters according to patient size; nasal neoplasia was diagnosed on the basis of histological findings. A complete blood count, serum biochemical profile, coagulation profile, abdominal ultrasound, and 3-view thoracic radiographs were performed. A CT/MRI was performed to determine the size and extension of the tumor. The patients were staged using Adam’s staging system (Table 1).

TABLE 1. Adam’s modified staging system

| Stage | Tumor characteristics |
|-------|-----------------------|
| T1    | Confined to one nasal passage, paranasal sinus, or frontal sinus with no bony involvement. |
| T2    | Any bony involvement, but no evidence of orbital, subcutaneous, or submucosal mass. |
| T3    | Involvement of orbit or subcutaneous or submucosal mass. |
| T4    | Tumor extension into nasopharynx or cribiform plate. |

FIGURE 1. The SiNE. In (A) the prototype, in (B) the scheme of the tip, zoomed from the squared area in A. The electrode consists of a needle isolated surrounded by two parallel plaques at each side.
In this set of patients (Table 2), 7 were males and 4 females. Four of the males were neutered, and 3 of the females were spayed. The median age of the dogs starting ECT treatment was 9 years (range of 6–13 years). The mean weight was 26 kg (range of 3.5–48 kg). Labrador Retriever (n = 3) and Golden Retriever (n = 3) were the most common breeds in this study. The other breeds were Collie, Old English Mastiff, Miniature Pincher, Spanish Breton, and a crossbreed dog. The clinical findings revealed sneezing in 11 dogs (100%), nasal discharge in 9 dogs (81%), epistaxis in 6 dogs (55%), exophthalmos in 2 dogs (18%), neurological symptoms in 2 dogs (18%) and facial deformity in 1 dog (9%). Regarding clinical Adam’s staging, there was 1 dog with T1 disease (9%), 6 dogs with T2 disease (55%), 2 dogs with T3 disease (18%) and 2 dogs with T4 disease (18%). No dogs had regional lymph nodes or distant metastasis at initial presentation. None of the patients had previous treatments.

The treatment was performed under general anesthesia with premedication with intramuscular administration of xylazine (Xylazine 100®; Richmond, Buenos Aires, Argentina) 0.5 mg/kg and tramadol (Tramadol®, John Martin, Buenos Aires, Argentina) 2 mg/kg. The induction was made with intravenous administration of propofol (Propofol Gemepe®, Gemepe, Buenos Aires, Argentina) 3 mg/kg. The maintenance was performed with inhaled isofluorane (Zuflax®, Richmond, Buenos Aires, Argentina) 2–3% and intravenous fentanyl (Fentanyl Gemepe®, Gemepe, Buenos Aires, Argentina) 2 mcg/kg. This anesthesia scheme provided adequate comfort during the treatment. Prophylactic antibiotics and meloxicam (Meloxivet®, John Martin, Buenos Aires, Argentina) 0.2 mg/kg were administered orally for analgesia after the treatment according to the needs of each patient.

The ECT procedure consisted of an intravenous bolus of bleomycin (Blocamicina®, Gador, Buenos Aires, Argentina) 2–3% and intravenous fentanyl (Fentanyl Gemepe®, Gemepe, Buenos Aires, Argentina) 2 mcg/kg. This anesthetic scheme provided adequate comfort during the treatment. Propylactic antibiotics and meloxicam (Meloxivet®, John Martin, Buenos Aires, Argentina) 0.2 mg/kg were administered orally for analgesia after the treatment according to the needs of each patient.

The ECT procedure consisted of an intravenous bolus of bleomycin (Blocamicina®, Gador, Buenos Aires, Argentina) at a dose of 15 U/m² of body surface area in 30–45 seconds, followed by the delivery of the electric pulses eight minutes later to allow drug distribution according to the Standard Operating Procedures published by Mir et al. [14].

The SiNE was inserted deep into the nasal fossa and a train of pulses was delivered, see Figure 2. For that purpose, a BTX ECM 830 Harvard Apparatus (Holliston, MA, USA) was used. The electric pulses consisted of thirty-two square pulses of 300V, 100 μs long at 1 Hz. The electrode was rotated 90 degrees clockwise and the pulses were repeated. After the rotation, the electrode was removed 2 cm and the whole procedure was repeated until complete coverage of the nasal duct. It was not possible
to treat safety margins due to the location of the tumors.

In order to prevent the SiNE from inadvertently passing through the cribriform plate during the insertion, it was marked to show the maximum insertion depth (following CT/MRI measurements performed before the treatment).

A single ECT treatment session was performed, and no other oncological treatment was indicated previously, concomitantly or afterward.

For the assessment of treatment results, follow-up was performed within 7, 15 and 30 days; during each visit, a complete clinical examination was performed. A second CT/MRI was obtained 60 days after the treatment to determine results. The patients were followed up for 32 months and responses reported according to WHO’s Criteria.15

The control group consisted of 10 cases, 5 males, and 5 females, whose owners accepted surgery as treatment (Table 3). One of the males and three of the females were neutered. The median age of the dogs was 10 years (range of 6–14 years). The mean weight was 22.7 kg (range of 5.6–34 kg). Crossbreed (n = 4) was the most common breed, other breeds were Puddle, Akita Inu, Boxer, Labrador Retriever, Fox Terrier and Cocker Spaniel. The clinical findings revealed sneezing in 10 dogs (100%), weight loss in 7 dogs (70%), epistaxis in 6 dogs (60%), hyporexia in 6 dogs (60%), facial deformity in 4 dogs (40%) and neurological symptoms in 1 dog (10%). Regarding Adam’s staging, there was 1 dog with T1 disease (10%), 5 dogs with T2 disease (50%), 3 dogs with T3 disease (30%) and 1 dog with T4 disease (10%). No dog had regional lymph nodes or distant metastases.

Surgery plus adjuvant chemotherapy was performed since it is the standard treatment available in our setting. The surgical procedure consisted of a dorsal/ventral uni/bilateral rhinotomy depending on the surgeon’s decision, and according to tumor localization and extension. The anesthesia procedure was identical to the one mentioned above. The patients remained in observation for 24 hours with intravenous butorphanol (Torbutrol Plus®, Alpha Farma, Buenos Aires, Argentina) 0.1 mg/kg every 4 hours for one day and oral meloxicam (Meloxivet®, John Martin, Buenos Aires, Argentina) 0.2 mg/kg every 24 hours for 10 days for analgesia. The adjuvant chemotherapy consisted of intravenous carbo-
platin (Carboplatino Delta Farma®, Sidus, Buenos Aires, Argentina) 300 mg/m² of body surface area every 21 days.

Regarding the statistical analysis, Kaplan–Meier curves for survival were compared by the log-rank test with an alpha of 0.05. Age and body weight were compared between groups with the Mann-Whitney U test, with an alpha of 0.05.

Results

From the 21 patients selected, 11 were treated using the SiNE with ECT (SiNE group), and 10 were treated with surgery plus adjuvant chemotherapy (control group). The SiNE and control groups did not show significant differences in age or body-weight (p = 0.46 and p = 0.13, respectively).

In the SiNE group, out of the 11 patients: 27% (3/11) achieved a complete response (CR), 64% (7/11) had a partial response (PR), and 9% (1/11) had a stable disease (SD). In the nasal duct treated, tumor reduction remained stable and the lesions did not grow again during the follow-up period. In the first two patients only one nasal duct was treated, in the non-treated duct, the tumor continued growing according to its natural history. For this reason and because of the highly satisfactory results obtained in the treated duct, both nasal passages were treated from patient number 3 onwards. The only side effect observed was the inflammation of the treated nasal passage, which was very well controlled with corticosteroid therapy for one week. The bleeding through the nasal fossa ceased immediately after the procedure.

The patients remained in follow-up for 32 months, with no relapses in the treated area. This means that 91% objective responses (OR) were obtained. Figure 3, pictures the CT scan of patient 11 showing a complete response obtained with the treatment.

The Kaplan-Meier graph for overall survival in both groups can be seen in Figure 4. The overall survival of the SiNE group was significantly higher (p = 0.0008) than in the control group, with a mean survival time of 16.86 months (4–32 months, median 16.5 months). In the control group, a mean survival time of 5.3 months (3–10 months, median 5.5 months) was obtained. One year after the treatment, 60% of the patients of the SiNE group vs. 10% of the control group remained alive, and after the 32 months follow-up, the survival rate for the SiNE group is 30% vs. 0% for the control group.

Discussion

In an effort to provide a satisfactory treatment modality to canine patients with nasal tumors, and advance in the area of deep-seated tumor treatment using ECT, we developed the SiNE and a protocol for its implementation. We compared the results of this new treatment modality with the standard treatment modality in our setting: surgery plus chemotherapy.

When treating deep-seated tumors, the standard ECT procedure consists of inserting multiple needles to cover the whole tumor with an appropriate electric field. This procedure is very complex and prone to fail because the misplacement of the
needles leaves areas of the tumor untreated. In some cases, open surgery is needed for placing the needles correctly, such as in the case reported by Suzuki et al., where the results were very good though the procedure required open surgery and the filling of the nasal cavity with gel to improve conductivity. This was not needed in our case since the electrode is inserted through the nasal orifice and, secretions and blood present in the nasal duct replaced conductive gel. The most important advantage of the SiNE against standard electrodes is that only a single needle is inserted, thus reducing tissue intrusion and simplifying treatment planning and its guidance by imaging procedures. The disadvantage is that it is restricted to small tumors when compared with multiple needles.

A high percentage of objective responses was obtained. Most of them were partial responses (64%), mainly because these tumors are highly infiltrative, making them very difficult to treat. In these cases, tumor tissue was still seen in CT/MRI scans. This could be due to the fact that the electric field was not enough to cover all the tumor, leaving it untreated, or more likely because those tumor cells are quiescent. In the latter case, bleomycin already acted on those cells by cleaving the DNA strands, and they will die when cell division is attempted. If the electric field would not have been strong enough, we would have seen a rapid growth of the residual tumoral tissue, at least, comparable to the non-treated passage, which did not happen. Although a fraction of the tumor remained, a considerable improvement in the quality of life was achieved due to the reduction in the clinical symptoms. The patients who achieved a complete response (27%), had a complete palliation of the symptoms as was expected.

Regarding short-term side effects, the inflammation and pain of the treated nasal passage proved to be non-problematic and easily manageable with medication. Regarding the bleeding during the procedure it ceased immediately after the treatment was completed, probably because of the “vascular lock” phenomenon, which consists of the sudden stop of blood flow in the area exposed to the electric field used in ECT procedures. We observed no long-term side effects.

The gold standard treatment is surgery plus adjuvant radiotherapy. Although surgery is usually rejected by the owner due to mutilating results, frequently it is impossible to perform, and even procedures such as rhinotomy, can result in acute and chronic morbidity. Hypofractionated or orthovoltage radiation therapy have many side effects such as oral mucositis, rhinitis, moist desquamation, keratoconjunctivitis, and blepharitis. These symptoms can last for up to 8 weeks after therapy, even with medication, but if they persist, esophagotomy or gastrostomy tubes may be needed in the short term for an adequate nourishment of the animal. Long-term side effects include cataracts, keratoconjunctivitis, anterior uvea hemorrhage, brain necrosis, seizures, optic nerve degeneration, osteonecrosis, and fibrosis. Some of these complications are generally non-treatable.

Our results show that mean survival with SiNE group was 16.86 months (4–32 months) with 60% of survival at 1 year and a 30% at 2 years. This is very encouraging since it is better when compared with the standard treatment option in our setting (surgery plus chemotherapy). Also, it is similar to the best treatments described in the literature. As mentioned before, the treatment of choice for nasal tumors is surgery plus radiotherapy. Unfortunately, due to the facilities and resources required, this option is not locally available for veterinary patients. In fact, this situation is replicated in the vast majority of centers around the world. In relation to the data available for this treatment in the literature we found that for radiotherapy alone in its different modalities, the median survival reported is around 8 months. The combination of radiation therapy followed by surgery when it is possible seems to have better results in the overall median survival (47.7 months). The new modalities like intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) report a median survival of 446 days (14.9 months) with 50% of survival at 1 year and a 25% at 2 years.

The prolonged survival in our study may be partially due to the lack of need for euthanasia as the general condition of the patients greatly improved. Further research is needed to confirm this hypothesis. It remains to be seen whether the combination of treatments can improve outcomes. The combination of ECT using the SiNE with radiotherapy or systemic chemotherapy may enable the reduction of the dose in later treatments, reducing their side effects.

Canine patients with spontaneous tumors are excellent models for preclinical validation. In particular, nasal tumors in dogs behave in a similar way to nasal tumors in humans. Dogs are exposed to similar environmental carcinogens. They develop tumors with the same histologies having the same interactions between tumor and stroma to those found in human patients; thus, the tumor grows in the context of an intact immune system.
that has been developed during its lifetime and exposed to different antigens. They show spontaneous metastasis and resistance to different forms of therapy. They have similar druggable targets, angiogenic pathways, and mechanisms of apoptosis. This enables a more accurate assessment of therapeutic approaches compared to rodent models. Other advantages of dogs, particularly for the purpose of this work, is that their size allows the use of the typical imaging procedures performed in humans; there is no need for miniaturization of the electrodes, and it is possible to repeat tissue and fluid sampling over time with more tissue for analysis than that available in rodent models. Also, typical forms of treatment used in humans can be performed in dogs to compare the results of the treatment.27,28

In human patients the situation is similar to canine patients, nasal tumors are a complex pathology in which current treatments do not often provide satisfactory results. The variety of tumor types, its proximity to critical anatomical structures and the late development of symptoms make malignant tumors of the paranasal sinuses and nasal cavity a complex problem. Diagnostic procedures and treatment modalities are also very similar to canine patients.29 Therefore, new therapeutic approaches that improve outcomes and minimize adverse effects are required. These tumors are rare and patients are often asymptomatic until late in the course of the disease. In the United States, nasal tumors account for only 0.2–0.8% of all cancers diagnosed annually. The incidence reported is 0.3–1 per 100,000 populations. They occur most commonly in the fifth decade of life, although they can occur at any age.29 These tumors are usually diagnosed when airway obstruction symptoms are present and the disease is at an advanced stage. Early and late symptoms are similar to those in canine patients.30 These regions are affected by a wide variety of tumor types31, with very similar histologies.32,33,34

In a study conducted by Dulguerov et al. the 5-year survival rate was 40%, and the local control rate was 59%. The prognosis depends on tumor histology, localization, tumor stage, and treatment modality.33 There is a consensus that complete surgical resection should be performed if possible. Surgery and postoperative radiation therapy may result in improved local control, absolute survival and complications when compared with radiation therapy alone.36 Chen et al. have not found improvements in disease control or overall survival for patients treated with radiotherapy in the last five decades.37 Nevertheless, new forms of radiotherapy reduce complications38, and intensity-modulated radiation therapy particularly promises better outcomes when compared to conventional radiotherapy.28 The role of chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant setting and/or postoperatively with irradiation is not clearly defined, but it is generally used for the most advanced cases and requires further investigation.34,40

In such a sensitive region, the proximity of critical normal anatomical structures restricts in many cases the possibility to adequately perform surgery and apply effective radiotherapy.38 Sometimes a tumor is resectable, but the patient’s clinical status may preclude surgery or, very often, patients reject it.41 For these reasons, also in human patients, new approaches for treating nasal tumors are needed.

Besides obvious differences between canine and human nasal tumors, this work shows that nasal tumors can be effectively treated with ECT and further studies should be conducted to validate this treatment in human patients.

Treating nasal tumors in human or canine patients using the ECT with the SiNE could be useful in selected cases where first-line treatments are not possible. It can be especially used to palliate symptoms in patients with short life expectancy, or a poor clinical condition. In any case, it can be performed as a cytoreductive treatment to simplify surgery and does not preclude other treatments.42,43,44 Therefore, it remains to be seen whether the use of the SiNE followed by surgery will improve survival, as happens with radiotherapy.

In conclusion, deep nasal tumors in canine patients can be successfully treated by ECT, and it can be safely and effectively performed using the SiNE, especially in tumors that are difficult or impossible to reach with conventional electrodes.
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