Perfect charge compensation in WTe$_2$ for the extraordinary magnetoresistance: From bulk to monolayer
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Abstract – The electronic structures of the WTe$_2$ bulk and layers are investigated by using the first-principles calculations. The perfect electron-hole ($n$-$p$) charge compensation and high carrier mobilities are found in the WTe$_2$ bulk, which may result in the large and non-saturating magnetoresistance (MR) observed very recently in the experiment (Ali M. N. et al, Nature, 514 (2014) 205). The monolayer and bilayer of WTe$_2$ preserve the semimetallic property, with equal hole and electron carrier concentrations. Moreover, very high carrier mobilities are also found in WTe$_2$ monolayer, indicating that the WTe$_2$ monolayer would have the same extraordinary MR effect as the bulk, which could have promising applications in nanostructured magnetic devices.

Copyright © EPLA, 2015

In the past few decades, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) with the formula MX$_2$ (M = transition metal of group 4–10; X = S, Se, or Te) have received a lot of attention due to their diverse properties. Ranging from insulating to metallic, some of them exhibit behaviors of superconductivity [1] and charge density wave [2]. Most of the MX$_2$ systems crystallize in a layered structure, with the building blocks (X-M-X sandwich layers) stacked along the c-axis. Within the sandwich layer, the atoms are covalently bonded, while the interactions among the layers are much weaker, mainly of the van der Waals type. The recent advances in the experimental techniques have made it possible to exfoliate two-dimensional (2D) ultrathin layers from the MX$_2$ bulk [3,4]. The 2D MX$_2$ materials can largely preserve the versatile properties, but some distinctive characteristics can be introduced due to the quantum confinement effect. For example, the transition of indirect-direct band gap takes place in MoS$_2$ when the bulk structure is exfoliated into a monolayer [5], which opens up new potential applications in the fields of phototransistors [6], photocatalyst [7], electroluminescence [8], etc.

Very recently, extremely large magnetoresistance (MR) without saturation even at very high fields was observed in WTe$_2$ [9]. MR evaluates the change in electrical resistance by the application of a magnetic field. A large MR effect can have promising applications such as magnetic field sensors [10] and magnetic information storage [11]. The main origin of the extraordinary MR effect in WTe$_2$ is ascribed to the perfect $n$-$p$ charge compensation in this material, based on the investigations by the angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES) [12]. When applied in nanoelectronics, it is desirable to obtain nanostructured systems which have the performance as good as, or even better than, their bulk counterparts. Almost at the same time, a huge negative MR effect was reported in the ultrathin layers of TiTe$_2$$_x$I$_x$ due to the frustrated magnetic structures induced by the anionic doping [13]. The excellent MR effect of the WTe$_2$ bulk inspires us to explore how the WTe$_2$ layer will perform, which is very critical in the applications of nanoelectronics.

In this work, the electronic properties of WTe$_2$ layers as well as the bulk structure are investigated based on the first-principles calculations. Our results show that both the monolayer and bilayer of WTe$_2$ maintain the same semimetal properties as the bulk, with equal hole and electron carrier concentrations, suggesting that the
non-saturating MR effect may also exist in the WTe$_2$ layers. Moreover, the high carrier mobilities found in the WTe$_2$ monolayer indicate that the MR effect in the monolayer would be comparable to that in the bulk system.

Our calculations were performed via a projector augmented-wave (PAW) pseudopotential approach within the density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the ABINIT code [14–16]. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional [17] were used for the exchange-correlation energy. For bulk and bilayer, the van der Waals interactions were treated by the vdW-DF1 functional [18]. Spin-orbit coupling was included in the calculations of the electronic properties. The plane-wave cutoff energy was set to be 600 eV in all the calculations. For the case of monolayer and bilayer, a vacuum of 12 Å was added between the layer and its periodic image, so that they can be treated as independent entities. In the self-consistent calculations, the Brillouin zones were sampled with an $8 \times 4 \times 1$ Monkhorst-Pack $k$ mesh. Both the lattice parameters and the atomic positions of all the structures were fully relaxed until the force acting on each atom became less than 0.0005 eV/Å. The Fermi surface was plotted using the XCrySDen software$^1$ [19].

The crystal structure of the WTe$_2$ bulk viewed along different directions are demonstrated in figs. 1(a) and (b), respectively, with the corresponding first Brillouin zone shown in fig. 1(c). The space group of the WTe$_2$ bulk is $Pmm2_1$. Most of the sulfides and selenides are rhombohedral or hexagonal, and the metal atoms are trigonal prismatic or octahedral coordinated by six chalcogen atoms. However, in WTe$_2$, the octahedron of tellurium atoms is slightly distorted and the metal atoms are displaced from their ideal octahedral sites, forming zigzag metal-metal chains along the $a$-axis [20], which is shown in fig. 1(b). The structural difference has endowed this kind of material with properties distinct from the other MX$_2$ system.

$^1$Code available from http://www.xcrysden.org/.

Fig. 1: (Color online) Crystal structure of the WTe$_2$ bulk viewed along (a) the $a$-axis (parallel to the W-W zigzag chains) and (b) the $c$-axis (perpendicular to the stacked layers); (c) the corresponding first Brillouin zone. The red and yellow balls represent W and Te atoms, respectively. Te(i) and Te(o) stand for the atoms shrunk inside and moved outside the sandwich layer, respectively.

Fig. 2: (Color online) (a) Band structure, (b) total and partial density of states (DOS), and (c) Fermi surface (FS) of the WTe$_2$ bulk.

After full relaxation, the obtained crystal constants are $a = 3.54$ Å, $b = 6.34$ Å and $c = 14.44$ Å, which agree well with the experimental results [20]. The nearest distance between W-W atoms along the zigzag chain is 2.87 Å, only 0.14 Å larger than that in the pure metal crystal of tungsten. Because of the distorted octahedral structure, the Te atom layers become buckled (see fig. 1(a)), with Te(i) atoms shrunk a little inside the sandwich layer and Te(o) atoms moved slightly outside.

The electronic band structure and the density of states (DOS) of the WTe$_2$ bulk are shown in figs. 2(a) and (b), respectively, which agree well with the previous reports [9,21]. A strong anisotropic band dispersion is observed in the band structure. The bands along the $\Gamma$-Z direction (perpendicular to the sandwich layers in real space) are much flatter than those along the other directions, reflecting the quasi–two-dimensional layered structure of the WTe$_2$ bulk. The very small DOS at the Fermi energy ($E_F$) signals the semimetallic nature of the WTe$_2$ bulk. The corresponding W-5$d$ and Te-5$p$ partial DOS included in fig. 2(b) show that the DOS at the $E_F$ ($N(E_F)$) is dominated by the W-5$d$ state, followed by the Te(i)-5$p$ state. The Te(o)-5$p$ state contributes little to the $N(E_F)$. The Fermi surface of the WTe$_2$ bulk is demonstrated in fig. 2(c), which exhibits highly anisotropic property. Note that in the band structure, there exists a small overlap between the top of the valence band (hole pocket) and the bottom of the conduction band (electron pocket) along the $X$-$\Gamma$ direction in the vicinity of the Fermi level. The enlarged overlap part of the band structure is shown in the inset of fig. 2(a). Hole and electron pockets with appropriately the same size are found, which agrees well with the ARPES results [12]. In experiment, it was analyzed
that these two pockets may lead to the perfect carrier compensation and therefore the large MR effect in WTe$_2$. However, the exact values of the hole (p-type) and electron (n-type) carrier concentrations are still lacking. To obtain these values, the band-decomposed DOSs are calculated. In particular, to calculate the p-type carrier concentration, we calculate the DOS of the bands in blue color in fig. 2(a) and then integrate the DOS from $E_F$ to the valence band maximum (VBM); to calculate the n-type carrier concentration, the DOS of the bands in red color is integrated from the $E_F$ to the conduction band minimum (CBM). The carrier concentrations, $p = 7.7 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-3}$ and $n = 7.5 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-3}$, are obtained, coinciding perfectly with each other.

In the semiclassical two-band model,

$$\text{MR} = \frac{\sigma p'(\sigma/n + \sigma'/p)^2(B/e)^2}{(\sigma + \sigma')^2 + \sigma^2\sigma'^2(1/n - 1/p)^2(B/e)^2},$$

(1)

where $\sigma$ and $\sigma'$ are the electrical conductivities of electrons and holes without external magnetic field, respectively. $n$ and $p$ are the electron and hole concentrations, respectively. When $n = p$, the MR increases as $B^2$ without saturation. Using this two-band model, we can qualitatively interpret the experimentally observed behavior of MR as a function of the external magnetic field in WTe$_2$.

Next, we focus on the electronic properties of WTe$_2$ ultrathin layers. The calculated electronic structures of the WTe$_2$ monolayer and bilayer are plotted in figs. 3(a) and (b), respectively. The band structures of WTe$_2$ layers are different from that of the bulk, which was also found in WS$_2$ [22]. What is different from the case of the WTe$_2$ bulk is that the VBM of WTe$_2$ layers are located at the $\Gamma$-point. From monolayer to bilayer, more valence (in blue color) and conduction (in red color) bands cross the Fermi energy, thus the overlap of valence and conduction bands becomes larger in the bilayer, which can also be seen from the Fermi surface in figs. 3(e) and (f). Combined with the calculated DOS (see figs. 3(c) and (d)), we can see that the WTe$_2$ monolayer and bilayer remain semimetals. The semimetallic property is very different from that reported in the WTe$_2$ monolayer with the artificial 2H structure [23], which is a direct-band-gap semiconductor. The $N(E_F)$ for both the monolayer and bilayer are dominated by the W-5$d$ state, followed by the Te(i)-5$p$ state, which is the same as in the case of the WTe$_2$ bulk. Interestingly, for the semimetal monolayer and bilayer, equal n- and p-type carrier concentrations are also obtained. The calculated carrier concentrations for the monolayer and bilayer are, respectively, $n = p = 1.6 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and $n = p = 1.4 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-2}$. The perfect charge compensation indicates that the non-saturating MR as a function of the external magnetic field may exist in the WTe$_2$ monolayer and bilayer.

From eq. (1), we can see that when $n = p$, MR obeys

$$\text{MR} = \sigma p'(B/e)^2/n^2 = \mu_e\mu_hB^2,$$

(2)

where $\mu_e$ and $\mu_h$ are the carrier mobilities of electrons and holes, respectively. Therefore, in order to obtain a large MR at a specific magnetic field, high carrier mobility will be desirable. The carrier mobility can be calculated using the deformation potential (DP) model based on the effective mass approximation [24–26]. The mobilities for the bulk ($\mu_{3D}^\beta$) and two-dimensional system ($\mu_{2D}^\beta$) along a certain direction $\beta$ are respectively expressed as

$$\mu_{3D}^\beta = \frac{2\sqrt{2}\pi e^2 C_{3D}^\beta}{3(kB T)^{3/4}(m^*)^{1/4}E_1^{3/2}}$$

(3)

and

$$\mu_{2D}^\beta = \frac{2e^3 C_{2D}^\beta}{3kT(m^*)^2E_1^2}.$$

(4)

Here $C$ is the elastic modulus and can be defined as $C_{3D}^\beta = [\partial^2 E/\partial d^2]/V_0$ and $C_{2D}^\beta = [\partial^2 E/\partial \delta^2]/S_0$ for 3D and 2D systems, respectively, where $E$, $\delta$, $V_0$, and $S_0$ are, respectively, the total energy, the applied strain along the $\beta$ direction, the volume, and the area of the investigated system. $T$ is the temperature and $m^*$ is the effective mass. The DP constant $E_1$ is obtained by $E_1 = \partial E_{\text{edge}}/\partial \delta$, where $\delta$ is the applied strain by a step of 0.5% and $E_{\text{edge}}$ is the energy of the band edges (VBM for the holes and CBM for the electrons). Here we only compare the values of the WTe$_2$ monolayer with those of the bulk. The calculated $m^*$, $C$, $E_1$, and room temperature $\mu$ are summarized in table 1. For the WTe$_2$ bulk, we focus on the two in-plane directions. Along the $a$-axis, the calculated effective masses of the hole and electron are 0.19 $m_0$ and 0.15 $m_0$ ($m_0$ is the mass of an electron), respectively. The very small effective
the WTe masses result in the extremely high carrier mobilities in the WTe2 bulk, 1.12 × 10^4 and 4.42 × 10^4 cm^2V−1s−1 for p- and n-type carriers, respectively. Along the b-axis, however, the effective masses are much larger, 0.52 m0 for the hole and 0.65 m0 for the electron, which result in much smaller carrier mobilities, 5.98 × 10^3 and 5.70 × 10^3 cm^2V−1s−1 for p- and n-type carriers, respectively. The carrier mobility exhibits an obvious anisotropic property. From eq. (2), we can see that the value of MR is proportional to μpμn, therefore, the very high mobilities obtained along the a-axis may be responsible for the large MR measured along this direction; while the strong anisotropic property of the carrier mobility explains why the measured MR is one-dimensional. For the WTe2 monolayer, the effective mass m* of the hole along the a-axis is 0.89 m0, larger than that along the b-axis (0.54 m0), due to the relatively flatter band along the Γ-X direction. Besides, DP constants E1 along the a-axis are much larger than those along the b-axis. Consequently, the mobilities along the b-axis are much larger than those along the a-axis. The electronic transport of the WTe2 monolayer also exhibits a strong anisotropic property. On the other hand, for the direction of b-axis, the mobilities of both the hole and electron as well as their product (μpμn) are comparable to the bulk results, thus we can expect that the large MR effect would also exist along this direction. Our results indicate that not only the WTe2 bulk but also the monolayer may exhibit the extraordinary MR effect.

It should be mentioned that the band structures are calculated in the limit of 0K, which means that the perfect charge compensation can only be obtained at an extremely low temperature. On the other hand, the carrier mobility will be decreased with increasing the temperature, due to the greater scattering from the phonon. Therefore, for both the WTe2 bulk [9] and the monolayer, the large MR effect will only be measured at low temperatures and will be strongly weakened with the increase of the temperature.

In summary, we have investigated the electronic properties of the WTe2 bulk and layers. The perfect charge compensation as well as the large carrier mobilities are found in the WTe2 bulk, which is ascribed to be the source of the large and non-saturating MR observed experimentally. Moreover, the WTe2 monolayer and bilayer preserve the semimetallic property and both of them are found to have equal hole and electron carrier concentrations. Our results indicate that the same extraordinary MR effect as in the WTe2 bulk may also exist in the WTe2 monolayer, which will have promising applications in nanostructured magnetic devices. Further experimental investigations are needed to confirm our computational results.
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