Emerging functional similarities and divergences between Drosophila Spargel/dPGC-1 and mammalian PGC-1 protein
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Homeothermic mammals utilize the Peroxisome Proliferator Activated Receptor Gamma Co-activator-1 (PGC-1) as a thermogenic regulator (maintains body temperature) to protect against excessive cold or excess calorie intake (Puigserver et al., 1998). Thus PGC-1 is expressed in tissues with high metabolic requirement and it is linked to multiple metabolic pathways such as gluconeogenesis, adipogenesis, myogenesis, and mitogenesis (Handschin and Spiegelman, 2006). Apart from metabolism, PGC-1 might play a central role in maintaining oxidative homeostasis (Austin and St-Pierre, 2012). Homologs of PGC-1 were found in all chordates including the fish genomes (Lin et al., 2005) where body temperature regulation isn’t necessary (with the exception of a few marine species). Among invertebrate models, only Drosophila carries a single PGC-1 homolog in its genome (Gershman et al., 2007) whereas other invertebrate models such as yeast and C. elegans do not carry any PGC-1 homologous sequence (Lin et al., 2005). Drosophila PGC-1, designated as spargel/dPGC-1 (Tiefenbock et al., 2010), is well conserved in distinctly related Drosophila species subgroups with its C-terminal RS and RRM domains (Figure 1A). Two notable differences between PGC-1 and Spargel/dPGC-1 are: the C-terminal FDSL domain of PGC-1 is replaced with FEALL in all Drosophila species (Gershman et al., 2007; Tiefenbock et al., 2010; Bugger et al., 2011), and the larger size of Spargel/dPGC-1 protein as it carries ~300 more amino acids than PGC-1 (Figure 1A). Although nuclear receptors are generally known to interact with the leucine rich motifs (LXXLL) (Matsuda et al., 2004), the FEALLL variant of this motif in Drosophila is able to interact with the nuclear receptors as well (Wang et al., 2007). In light of the fact that significant functional overlap exists between the three PGC-1 homologs in mice PGC-1α, PGC-1β and PRC, which makes it difficult to tease apart their relative roles in vivo, we propose that the presence of a single Drosophila PGC-1 homolog will provide an enormous advantage to study the function of this essential transcriptional coactivator in an alternate model. Within the last few years, significant functional homologies have surfaced between mammalian PGC-1 and Drosophila Spargel/dPGC-1, which called for a discussion of this topic in greater detail.

ENERGY METABOLISM
As a transcriptional coactivator PGC-1 activates many nuclear receptor, which in turn regulate the transcription activity of variety of nuclear genes (Puigserver and Spiegelman, 2003). Similarly, Spargel/dPGC-1 regulates the expression of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) genes through NRF1 (Nuclear Respiratory Factor) homolog delg (Tiefenbock et al., 2010). Spargel/dPGC-1 gain of function (overexpression) correlates with an increased rate of mitochondrial oxygen consumption (Rera et al., 2011) and ATP production (Mukherjee and Duttaroy, 2013), enhanced mitochondrial DNA content, increased enzyme activity and protein production in the mitochondrial matrix (Rera et al., 2011). These observations are reminiscent of the effect of PGC-1α on mitochondrial biogenesis, functional capacity and energy metabolism (Liu and Lin, 2011). Thus, regulation of
Mitochondrial function is truly an ancestral function for PGC-1 group of proteins.

**INTRACELLULAR LOCALIZATION**

Serine-Arginine (SR) repeats and RNA Recognition Motifs (RRM) are classical hallmarks of “RNA processing domains.” Furthermore, localization of PGC-1 in the nuclear compartment where it is concentrated in nuclear speckles along with splicing factor α-U1 and SR splicing factor SC-35 are irrefutable evidence that PGC-1 is involved in the splicing complex (Monsalve et al., 2000). We recently demonstrated that a Spargel-GFP fusion protein also localizes itself in the nucleus forming distinct punctate structures (Mukherjee and Duttaroy, 2013). An authentic Nuclear Localization Signal (NLS) was uncovered in Spargel/dPGC-1 with the help of the NLS predict software (Mukherjee and Duttaroy, 2013) that most likely assists in Spargel/dPGC-1 localization into the nucleus. With the help of the same software we now located a NLS between the amino acids 311–334 in mammalian PGC-1 (score 0.93) (Figure 1B), which should also justify the presence of PGC-1 protein in the nucleus (Monsalve et al., 2000).

An earlier study claimed that activation of Insulin signaling is important for transport of Spargel/dPGC-1 protein from cytoplasm to the nucleus (Tiefenbock et al., 2010) and Spargel/dPGC-1 is now established as a member of the
Insulin-TOR signaling pathway (Mukherjee and Duttaroy, 2013). Nutrient availability controls the Insulin/TOR signaling pathway from TOR downstream (Takano et al., 2001) therefore, under starvation condition, Insulin mediated signal transduction is reduced. We rationalized that if Insulin signaling is essential for nuclear localization of Spargel/dPGC-1 then reduction of this signal should sequester the protein to the cytosol. To test this prediction, gut malphigian tubule preparations were obtained from flies following two days of starvation (only water given to prevent dehydration) and analyzed for Spargel expression. Since the localization of Spargel/dPGC-1 is still restricted to the nucleus following starvation (Figures 1C–F) this supports that cellular localization of Spargel/dPGC-1 occurs independent of Insulin signaling.

Spargel/dPGC-1 DOESN’T INFLUENCE ANTI-OXIDANT ENZYMES

The apparent involvement of PGC-1 in oxidative metabolism has been established from the following: PGC-1 activates Nuclear Respiratory Factor1 (NRF1); muscle specific overexpression of PGC-1α induces specific antioxidants like Sod2 and Gpx transcription activity (St-Pierre et al., 2006) whereas ablation of PGC-1α in cultured cell cause down regulation of a whole panel of antioxidants including SOD1, SOD2, Gpx, UCP1, and UCP2, resulting in hypersensitivity to hydrogen peroxide induced oxidative stress (St-Pierre et al., 2006). Another interesting observation is that cells from patients with Friedrich’s Ataxia show coordinated suppression of PGC-1 and antioxidant enzymes (Coppola et al., 2009). It was inferred from these observations that PGC-1 controls mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) by regulating the antioxidant defense system (Austin and St-Pierre, 2012).

We attempted to validate this prediction in a whole animals model by utilizing the Drosophila spargel mutant hypomorph, srl1 (Tiefenbock et al., 2010) and a spargel transgenic line that is capable of overexpressing Spargel/dPGC-1 (Mukherjee and Duttaroy, 2013). For systemic oxidative stress generation, we used methyl violgen (commercially known as “paraquat”) that is stable at room temperature. Male and female flies overexpressing Spargel were exposed to 20 mM paraquat and both survived better than the control (Figures 2A,B). After 48 h of paraquat treatment, Spargel/dPGC-1 overexpression helped 50% males to remain viable, whereas as in control flies 50% survival was attained within 24 h. Female flies appear slightly more sensitive to paraquat treatment although a significant difference still persists with respect to the control (Figures 2A,B). This increased resistance to oxidative stress in Spargel/dPGC-1 overexpressing flies makes it tempting to conclude that Spargel/dPGC-1 is also involved in oxidative stress resistance and imposes the same effect on antioxidant defense enzymes like PGC-1. However, this expectation may not be true since the expression of two front line antioxidant defense enzymes SOD2 and SOD1 remain unchanged when Spargel/dPGC-1 is overexpressed (gain of function) or reduced in srl1 hypomorphs (Figure 2C). Since these experiments were performed on whole animals as opposed to cultured cells, it might be necessary to check the status of these antioxidant enzymes in PGC-1KO mice. Secondly, since it is involved in the Insulin-TOR signaling (Mukherjee and Duttaroy, 2013), Spargel/dPGC-1 might utilize other stress resistance pathways (which remain to be tested) such as FoxO or Jnk as opposed to antioxidant enzymes. Incidentally, a recent study in Drosophila showed that Wolbachia induced metabolic stress promotes mitogenesis through activation of Spargel/dPGC-1 (Chen et al., 2012). Clearly, more needs to be done to understand the relationship between Spargel/dPGC-1 and stress resistance.

GROWTH, LONGEVITY, AND AGING

Insulin-TOR signaling pathway is involved in systemic regulation of growth, longevity and aging in all taxa (Hietakangas and Cohen, 2009; Partridge et al., 2011). In the absence of Insulin, PGC-1 expression is elevated in liver and gluconeogenesis is initiated (Puisys et al., 2003). Therefore liver-specific knock down of PGC-1 shows higher insulin sensitivity in mice (Koo et al., 2004). On the contrary, absence of PGC-1 in skeletal muscles imposes no effect on insulin sensitivity (Zechner et al., 2010). Thus one can assume that the metabolic role of PGC-1 and its relationship with Insulin/TOR signaling became more tissue specific during the course of evolution.

The requirement of Spargel/dPGC-1 in the Insulin-TOR signaling pathway (Tiefenbock et al., 2010; Mukherjee and Duttaroy, 2013) automatically connects it to the cell growth process. Spargel/dPGC-1 is a terminal effector of this pathway hence reduced spargel expression results in growth retardation with smaller body size and developmental delays,
through Spargel/dPGC-1 overexpression has no immediate effect on growth (Rera et al., 2011; Mukherjee and Duttaroy, 2013).

With respect to longevity, members of the Insulin-TOR signaling pathway extend lifespan in *C. elegans* (Vellai et al., 2003; Kenyon, 2010; Partridge et al., 2011), *Drosophila* (Clancy et al., 2001; Tatar et al., 2001; Kapahi et al., 2004) and mice (Fontana et al., 2010; Kenyon, 2010) by delaying the aging process. Mutant forms of *Insulin receptor* (*InR*), the Insulin receptor substrate *chico* and TOR all extend longevity, whereas for FoxO lifespan happens through its overexpression. Spargel/dPGC-1’s action on longevity is apparent as reduced Spargel/dPGC-1 expression cause significant shortening of life span (Figure 2D). Reduced ROS production and stem cell over proliferation were cited as two major reasons for Spargel/dPGC-1 mediated extension of lifespan (Rera et al., 2011), though the gain of function effects are specific to the intestinal stem cells as opposed to the Insulin and TOR mediated lifespan extensions, which are largely ubiquitous. So, research on *Drosophila* is pioneering for understanding the aging aspects of the PGC-1 group of proteins, and we are anxiously awaiting studies on how the mammalian PGC-1 protein influences aging.

To summarize, the effects of Spargel/dPGC-1 on growth and survival are positive, like all the other members of the Insulin/TOR signaling pathway (Mukherjee and Duttaroy, 2013). So, *spargel/dPGC-1* loss of function is lethal (Duttaroy et al., in preparation), *spargel/dPGC-1* hypomorphs have a much shorter life span (Figure 2D) where as ubiquitous gain of function Spargel/dPGC-1 function does not extend lifespan (Rera et al., 2011). Thus, Spargel/dPGC-1 completes the function of Insulin-TOR pathway leading to survival.

**IS Spargel/dPGC-1 ESSENTIAL FOR FEMALE FERTILITY?**

Reduced Spargel/dPGC-1 expression causes only a few viable adults to appear from *srl¹* homozygous mothers, indicating that fecundity is seriously compromised in *srl¹* hypomorphic females though the fertility of *srl¹* males remain unchanged (Table 1). The growth retardation effect of *srl¹* could be the reason for the appearance of dysgenic ovaries, which carry about half the number of ovarioles compared to the wild type (Figures 3A,B). A simple time course analysis of oogenesis revealed that *srl¹* ovaries develop slowly. In 24 h post eclosion (PE) wild type ovarioles reach up to stage 10/11 whereas ovarioles in *srl¹* are lagging behind in stage 6/7. By 48 h mature oocytes appear in the wild type ovarioles, whereas most *srl¹* ovarioles are observed around stage 10 during this time (Figure 3C). Efforts are underway to pin down the requirement of Spargel/dPGC-1 during oogenesis through its selective ablation in the ovaries. Interestingly, decreased female fecundity results from oogenesis defects in *InR* and *chico* mutants (Tatar et al., 2001; Partridge et al., 2011). These observations suggested a correlation between Insulin signaling and reproductive aging. Incidentally, the effect of *srl¹* mutant on

**Table 1 | Fertility of *srl¹* females.**

| Genotype | Fecundity (# of adults) |
|----------|-------------------------|
| y w     | 361                     |
| y w; *srl¹* female X y w male | 8             |
| y w; *srl¹* male X y w female | 296            |
| y w; *srl¹* female X y w; *srl¹* male | 0             |

**FIGURE 3 | Possible requirement of Spargel/dPGC-1 during oogenesis.** (A) Mature ovaries from *spargel* hypomorph *srl¹* females are much smaller in size with respect to the control ovaries of same age, (B) Quantification of number of ovarioles suggest *srl¹* ovaries carry about 40% less number of ovarioles with respect to the wild type ovaries. (C) Time course analysis of oogenesis shows *srl¹* ovaries matures more slowly in comparison to the wild type ovaries (PE, post eclosion). ***P < 0.001.**

**FIGURE 4 | Spargel/dPGC-1 plays a central role in maintaining homeostasis.** Spargel/dPGC-1 is acting downstream of Insulin/TOR signaling pathway. At this position, Spargel/dPGC-1 is regulating the mitochondrial energy flow into the system leading to cell growth. In addition, Spargel/dPGC-1 provides oxidative stress resistance. Though unlike mammals, this stress resistance does not confer SOD1 and SOD2 protein expression. Spargel/dPGC-1 also affects *Drosophila* fecundity possibly through oogenesis. Moreover, Spargel/dPGC-1 regulates tissue homeostasis by controlling over proliferation of intestinal stem cells in older flies.
female fecundity and oogenesis appears to suggest that Spargel could be important for reproductive aging.

In summary, the PGC-1 group of proteins retained many important biological functions between vertebrates and invertebrates, though many are still unknown. The overarching hypothesis of this article is that Spargel/dPGC-1 can pave the way to uncover newer biological functions, which can be tested in mammalian PGC-1 (Figure 4). Given the amount of functional overlaps already existing between PGC-1 and Spargel/dPGC-1, some of these similarities may be of immediate interest, including the role of the PGC-1 group of proteins on transcription and RNA processing and finding PGC-1 interacting proteins involved in growth and metabolism. Available genetic tools and genomic reagents in Drosophila should come in handy for exploring the functionality of this omnipotent transcription co-activator.
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