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Abstract

Background: Impairment of hand function in myelopathy patients is commonly observed. However, no objective and effective evaluation method has been widely accepted in clinical practice. The study aimed to evaluate the validity, reliability and effectiveness of a new assessment tool, Myelopathy-hand Functional Evaluation System (MFES), in evaluating the hand dysfunction of myelopathy-hand in the 10-s hand grip-and-release test (10s G-R test).

Methods: MFES mainly consists of a pair of wise-gloves and a computer with software. All included patients received optimal surgery treatment. The Japanese Orthopedic Association (JOA) scores of each patient were marked and the hand function was evaluated using MFES before, and 6 months after surgery. All patients were asked to perform the 10s G-R test and the hand movements were simulated and converted into waveforms by MFES. The waveform parameters were measured and analyzed. The validity and test-retest reliability were assessed.

Results: The Bland-Altman showed significant agreement with a low bias and narrow limits of agreement. The test-retest reliability was high with a significant value (r=0.911). The JOA scores and the number of G-R cycles significantly increased after surgery. Correspondingly, the waveforms of ulnar three fingers were significantly higher and narrower, along with the significantly declined average time per cycle in postoperative. The number of the first five-second segment (N1) was significantly higher than the second-five segment (N2) in postoperative, indicating the recovery of spinal cord function after surgery. The preoperative number of cycles was positively correlated with the improvement rates of JOA scores. And the increased number of cycles was significantly correlated with the improvement rates of JOA scores.

Conclusion: MFES is a reliable and valid assessment tool for myelopathy hand, which can detect small changes of neurological function after decompression surgery.

Background

Cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) is a common chronic progressive spinal disease that mainly caused by spinal cord compression secondary to the degenerative changes of intervertebral discs [1]. Impairment of hand function in patients with CSM is commonly observed, characterized by loss of strength and inability to grip and release rapidly with the fingers [2, 3]. This hand dysfunction is also termed as myelopathy hand. Despite its high incidence, little is known about the underlying neuromechanical deficits. In a recent study, Smith et al. [4] believed that hyperreflexia and proprioceptive deficits were likely the primary drivers of hand dysfunction in CSM patients. The clinical significance of myelopathy hand is considerable [3]. Firstly, the hand function had been reported to be positively correlated with the severity of myelopathy. Secondly, the early improvement of postoperative hand function was widely recommended as one of the objective measurements to reflect the efficacy of decompression surgery [3]. Thirdly, accurate assessment of hand function is helpful for the diagnosis of myelopathy and the formulation of optimal treatment.
Several symptom-based grading scales and performance tests had been developed in order to objectively and quantitatively assess the impaired hand function or predict the clinical outcomes of decompression surgery [2, 3, 5–15]. However, these are commonly complained of low sensitivity or low practicability for clinical use. Currently, no objective and effective evaluation method is widely accepted in clinical use. Hence, our team designed a new assessment tool, termed as Myelopathy-hand Functional Evaluation System (MFES), which combined virtual reality technology with artificial intelligence. It offers possibilities of quantitative and automatic measurement of the hand motor dysfunction. In this study, we aimed to preliminarily evaluate its validity, reliability and effectiveness, serving as the first step toward the development of this new assessment tool.

**Methods**

1. **Myelopathy-hand Functional Evaluation System (mfes)**

   The MFES is mainly composed of a pair of wise-gloves, a light, a computer with software, and two mutually perpendicular cameras (Fig. 1A). The wise-gloves could collect and record the dynamic signals during the metacarpophalangeal joint movement. Then, it could convert analog signals to digital signals, and transmit them to the software (Fig. 1B). Ultimately, the motor movements of the fingers were converted into waveforms by MFES. In addition, the MFES could automatically count the number of G-R cycles, calculate the average time per cycle and support playback. The hand motor movements were recorded by two cameras in real-time from front and side directions and showed them on the software, as references for subsequent comparison. The software interface mainly contains three regions: Waveforms; Basic information; Real-time video (Fig. 1C). It was compiled by Microsoft object-oriented visible integrated programming system-Visual C++. The detailed design of MFES was submitted as supplementary material.

2. **Study Design And Participants**

   This is a prospective study. One hundred and thirty myelopathy patients (75 men and 55 women) in Renji Hospital from November 2017 to December 2019 were included in this study. The age of patients ranged from 34–83 years (mean age: 59.03 ± 10.18). All patients received detailed information of this study and gave written informed consent. This study was approved by the Renji Hospital Ethics Committee. The demographic information of included patients was shown in Table 1, and the inclusion/exclusion criteria were as follows: Inclusion criteria: (1) Patients diagnosed as CSM with hand motor dysfunction as the chief complaint or presenting with clinical symptoms of cervical myelopathy in the upper limbs and mechanical compression of cervical spinal cord identified by Magnetic Resonance Imaging; (2) Conservative treatment was ineffective for more than three months; (3) Age from 18 to 90 years; (4) Able to give informed consent; (5) Males and females; Exclusion criteria: (1) Patients with cervical myelopathy but had received related surgical treatments; (2) CSM patients only with sensation dysfunction but without complaints of hand motor dysfunction; (3) Patients with other causes of weakness of the hand, such as brain paralysis, rheumatoid arthritis (RA), congenital malformation, tumor, cervical spine trauma
and so on; (4) Patients with other causes of weakness of grip which may be associated with myelopathy, such as C8 radiculopathy; (5) Patients only with lower limb symptoms.

| Table 1 | The demographic data of included patients with CSM. |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
|         | Total | Male | Female |
| Number  | 130   | 75   | 55 |
| Mean Age| 59.03 ± 10.18 | 59.41 ± 10.04 | 58.51 ± 10.44 |
| One Level| 25    | 11   | 14 |
| Two Level| 57    | 31   | 26 |
| Three Level| 40    | 28   | 12 |
| Four Level| 8     | 5    | 3 |
| ACDF    | 42    | 22   | 20 |
| ACCF    | 35    | 23   | 12 |
| PCL     | 21    | 11   | 10 |
| PCLF    | 32    | 19   | 13 |

*Mean age ± standard deviation; CSM: Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy; ACDF: Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion; ACCF: Anterior Cervical Corpectomy and Fusion; PCL: Posterior Cervical Laminoplasty; PCLF: Posterior Cervical Laminectomy and Fusion.

3. Surgery Treatment And Postoperative Management

All patients were treated with Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion (ACDF), Anterior Cervical Corpectomy and Fusion (ACCF), Posterior Cervical Laminoplasty (Laminoplasty) or Posterior Cervical Laminectomy and Fusion (Laminectomy), which were operated by different teams at the same center. Neurotrophic therapy was performed after surgery, and each patient was required to wear a cervical collar for one month after surgery.

4. Japanese Orthopedics Association (JOA) Scores

As an appropriate reference measurement, the severity of CSM was evaluated by Japanese Orthopedic Association scoring (JOA) system before, and 6 months after surgery [8]. Improvement rate of JOA score = (preoperative scores – postoperative scores) / (17-preoperative scores) x 100% [14], which was divided into four levels: excellent (≥ 75%); good (50–74%); general (25–50%); and poor (< 25%).

5. Testing Process
Before starting the measurement, each patient was given a brief instruction of the testing procedures, and the operator needed to input basic information for each patient and assisted them wearing gloves. Then, with left palm pronated, each patient was asked to fully grip and release their fingers as rapidly as possible in 10 s. The same process was repeated on the right hand (Fig. 1D). At the day before operation, all patients performed two tests, with a 1-h interval: (1) 10-s test to assess validity using Bland-Altman method; (2) 10-s test to assess test-retest reliability using Pearson correlation coefficient analysis. Three observers independently counted the number of G-R cycles through the first 10-s video recorded by the two cameras. The average mean of the three was compared with that automatically counted by MFES to evaluate the validity, using Bland-Altman method. And test-retest reliability was assessed by comparing the two 10-s tests using Pearson correlation coefficient analysis.

6. Measurement Of Waveform Parameters

The following waveform parameters were measured: Wave height (a, 0 ≤ a ≤ 20 mm); Wave width (b ≤ 0 mm); The ratio of wave height to wave width (a/b). (Fig. 2)

7. Statistical Analysis

The paired T test (SPSS 24.0) was used to evaluate the differences of the number of G-R cycles, the average time per cycle, the waveform parameters between preoperative and postoperative. The two-way mixed Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) was used to assess the inter-rater reliability of the three video observers. The validity of the MFES in capturing the number of G-R cycles was assessed using the Bland-Altman method, with human observation of the first 10-s G-R test as the reference measurement. The test-retest reliability of MFES in capturing the number of G-R cycles was evaluated using Spearman correlation coefficient analyses. IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 24.0) and Graphpad Prism (Version 8.0) were used to analyze all data. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).

Results

The mean number of G-R cycles counted by three observers in the first video was 13.93 ± 4.20. The average preoperative number of G-R cycles automatically counted by MFES was 13.58 ± 4.31 in the first 10-s test, and 13.38 ± 4.10 in the second 10-s test.

1. Inter-rater reliability, validity and reliability.

The interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) showing agreement between three observers was high: ICC = 0.996 (95%CI = 0.994−0.998, P = 0.0001). The correlation between the mean number of G-R cycles automatically counted by MFES and the mean number of G-R cycles counted by human observers were shown in Fig. 3A (r = 0.967, P = 0.0001). The Bland-Altman plot (Fig. 3B) showed a bias of 0.354 cycles (SD = 1.070). The upper and lower limits of agreement were 2.451 and −1.744 cycles. Test-retest
reliability with a 1-h interval was performed, which indicated a significant value ($r = 0.911, P = 0.0001$) in Spearman ranking correlation coefficient (Fig. 3C).

2. Comparison of the preoperative and postoperative JOA scores.

The mean JOA score significantly increased from $10.48 \pm 1.51$ to $13.22 \pm 1.89$ after decompression surgery ($P = 0.0001$). The mean improvement rates of JOA score was $45.01\% \pm 17.04\%$. The excellent and good rate reached $47.69\%$, 12 of which were excellent, 50 of which were good, 59 of which were general, and 9 of which were poor.

3. Comparison of the preoperative and postoperative number of cycles.

The average number of G-R cycles significantly increased from $13.59 \pm 4.30$ to $16.00 \pm 4.92$ after surgery treatment ($P = 0.0009$). Correspondingly, the frequency of waveforms in postoperative was faster, and the bottom of the wave shapes was narrower than those in preoperative (Fig. 4A). There were significant differences of the number of cycles between the first five-segment (N1) and the second one (N2) in postoperative ($P = 0.0002$), but not in preoperative ($P = 0.074$), which could be directly observed through the waveforms (Fig. 4B, C).

4. Correlation analysis between the number of cycles and the JOA scores.

Correlation analysis between the number of cycles in preoperative and the improvement rates of JOA scores were performed, which indicated a significant value ($r = 0.628, P = 0.0001$) in Spearman ranking correlation coefficient (Fig. 4D). Significant positive correlation between the increased number of cycles and the improvement rates of JOA scores was found ($r = 0.585, P = 0.0001$, Fig. 4E).

5. Comparison of the waveform parameters in preoperative and postoperative.

The wave shapes of the ulnar three fingers in postoperative were significantly higher ($P_1 = 0.0001$, $P_4 = 0.0001$, $P_7 = 0.0088$) and narrower ($P_2 = 0.0001$, $P_5 = 0.0001$, $P_6 = 0.0001$) than those in preoperative (Table 2, Fig. 4A). The waveforms of the thumb and index fingers in postoperative were significantly narrower ($P_{11} = 0.0001$, $P_{14} = 0.0001$) than those in preoperative, but not higher ($P_{10} = 0.553$, $P_{13} = 0.762$).

The ratio ($a/b$) of five fingers were significantly higher in postoperative than that in preoperative ($P_3 = 0.0001$, $P_6 = 0.0001$, $P_9 = 0.0001$, $P_{12} = 0.0001$, $P_{15} = 0.0001$, Table 2). Correspondingly, there were significant declines of the average time per cycle in postoperative ($0.74 \pm 0.12$ s) than that in preoperative ($0.61 \pm 0.14$ s) ($P = 0.001$). The average time per cycle could be directly calculated by MFES (Fig. 5).
Table 2
Comparison of waveform parameters in preoperative and postoperative.

|                | Preoperative       | Postoperative      | P value  |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| a              | 13.08 ± 1.78 mm    | 15.19 ± 2.04 mm    | $P_1 < 0.0001$ |
| Little finger b| 14.57 ± 1.33 mm    | 12.29 ± 1.46 mm    | $P_2 < 0.0001$ |
| a/b            | 0.899 ± 0.18       | 1.24 ± 0.29        | $P_3 < 0.0001$ |
| a              | 14.49 ± 0.75 mm    | 16.32 ± 1.15 mm    | $P_4 < 0.0001$ |
| Ring finger b   | 14.41 ± 1.82 mm    | 12.24 ± 1.32 mm    | $P_5 < 0.0001$ |
| a/b            | 1.01 ± 0.13        | 1.34 ± 0.19        | $P_6 < 0.0001$ |
| a              | 15.53 ± 2.23 mm    | 16.48 ± 2.30 mm    | $P_7 = 0.0088$ |
| Middle finger b| 14.54 ± 1.81 mm    | 12.31 ± 1.36 mm    | $P_8 < 0.0001$ |
| a/b            | 1.07 ± 0.17        | 1.35 ± 0.15        | $P_9 < 0.0001$ |
| a              | 18.53 ± 1.25 mm    | 18.75 ± 1.18 mm    | $P_{10} = 0.553$ |
| Index finger b  | 14.48 ± 1.76 mm    | 12.26 ± 1.24 mm    | $P_{11} < 0.0001$ |
| a/b            | 1.28 ± 0.25        | 1.53 ± 0.28        | $P_{12} < 0.0001$ |
| a              | 17.76 ± 2.15 mm    | 17.42 ± 2.34 mm    | $P_{13} = 0.762$ |
| Thumb b        | 14.25 ± 1.40 mm    | 12.22 ± 1.24 mm    | $P_{14} < 0.0001$ |
| a/b            | 1.25 ± 0.23        | 1.43 ± 0.28        | $P_{15} < 0.0001$ |

*a: Height of the waveform (0 ≤ a ≤ 20 mm); b: Width of the waveform;

Discussions

The purpose of this study was to preliminarily evaluate the validity, reliability and effectiveness of MFES in evaluating the hand function of myelopathy patients in the 10 s G-R test. And the results showed that MFES was valid, reliable and effective in the 10 s G-R test.

In this study, the high ICC and 95%CI values were consistent with the previous studies [2, 16], indicating that there was no significant discrepancy between three independent observers in counting the number of G-R cycles, and demonstrating that video observation was an appropriate reference measurement for this validation [17].
Compared with human observation, the MFES showed good consistency in counting the number of G-R cycles over 10 s, with a significant value ($r = 0.967$). The Bland-Altman plot showed a bias of 0.354 cycles (SD = 1.070), indicating that the MFES tended to underestimate the number of cycles with an observation deviation of 0.354 and 0.462 cycles. This means just 2.54% measurement errors in contrast to human observations. The incomplete and nonstandard G-R cycle may be counted by observers, but not by MFES, which may account for this result. The uniform distribution of data points across the Bland-Altman scatter plot indicated no systematic difference across the range of number of cycles. Furthermore, the 95% limits of agreement were relatively narrow as well, which indicated that for probably 95% of patients, the number of cycles counted by MFES would differ from human observation by between −1.744 and 2.451 cycles. And the results also showed that the MFES was reliable in counting the number of G-R cycles, with a significant value in Spearman ranking correlation coefficient analysis. Lacking of relevant literature evidence about the most appropriate time interval, a 1-h interval was chosen to prevent fatigue phenomenon according to Alagha M A [17]. Too short or too long would affect the results [18].

Surgery is widely recognized as the most direct way to decompress cervical spinal cord [19]. And as a widely used scale for the severity of cervical myelopathy, JOA scores can also be used to assess the surgical efficacy. In our study, the increased JOA scores and average number of cycles in postoperative suggested the recovery of spinal cord function after decompression surgery. Furthermore, significant positive correlation was found between the improvement rates of postoperative JOA scores and the preoperative number of cycles. It suggested that the better the preoperative hand function was, the better the surgical efficacy would be. Besides, the improvement rates of JOA scores was positively correlated with the increased number of cycles in postoperative, which might be the result of restoration of blood circulation in spinal cord after decompression surgery [20]. The fatigue phenomenon in healthy individuals and the freezing phenomenon in patients have been observed by Hosono, N. et al [2]. In our study, we found that there were significant differences between N1 and N2 in patients after surgery. The reappearance of fatigue phenomenon in postoperative indicated the recovery of impaired neurological function, which could also be a measurement for evaluating the efficacy of surgery treatment.

Compared with the original 10 s G-R test, the MFES can show more details of the movements of the fingers and can provide more endpoints for the comprehensive evaluation of postoperative hand function recovery. The results showed that the waveforms of ulnar three fingers in postoperative were significantly higher and narrower than those in preoperative. And the ratios of wave height to wave width of five fingers were significantly higher in postoperative than those in preoperative. The increased wave height indicated increased range of motions of affected fingers and the declined wave width indicated increased hand dexterity of affected fingers after decompression surgery. These all indicated the recovery of spinal cord function after decompression surgery. Furthermore, MFES can simultaneously convert the movements of five fingers into waveforms, allowing direct observation of abnormal waveforms and which fingers are severely affected, and it can store every patient's data for future comparison with their own performances, allowing direct observation and objective assessment of which fingers recovered or worsened after surgery. This is an advantage of MFES compared with previous methods.
Ono [3] firstly developed the original 10 s G-R test, which was simple and easy to conduct in clinical settings and its effectiveness was later demonstrated [21]. Hence, the 10 s G-R test was chosen as primary movement of hands for MFES evaluating. However, it is subject to inter-observer variability and requires manual counting for subsequent analysis, which declines its practicability for clinical use. Further, visual observation and manual counting may not guarantee the standard of patients’ movements and may count the incomplete flexions and extensions and then influence its accuracy. MFES addressed these issues by replacing manual counting with automatic counting, replacing human observations with characteristic waveforms. Moreover, previous performance tests had only roughly assessed hand motor function, unable to clearly record finger movements, which were inaccurate and insufficient, while the MFES firstly recorded the detailed finger movements by using virtual reality, and supported playback at different speeds, allowing more detailed analysis of fingers movement. In addition, some patients may try to move their fingers quickly without full flexions and/or extensions, while others may move their fingers slowly and focus more on complete flexions and extensions, which noted that using the number of cycles as the only endpoint was insufficient. However, the measurement of relevant waveform parameters (especially the ratio of wave height to wave width) contributes to eliminate such interferences and provides more endpoints for comprehensive evaluation of hand dysfunction. In the end, we have to point out the limitations of MFES. Firstly, it’s not suitable for myelopathy patients presented only with lower limb symptoms and/or only with sensation disorders of the hand [22]. Secondly, the device looks complicated and inconvenient for clinical use. We’ll simplify it further, keeping the main components (the wise-gloves and the computer with software) and removing the redundant ones (cameras); Thirdly, we only evaluated the preoperative and the postoperative hand function but without comparison data from healthy individuals. Because our main purpose in this study was to report this new assessment tool and preliminarily evaluated its value in detecting the postoperative motor function of the hand. Finally, the sample size of this study is small, and large-scale clinical evaluation is required to further analyze the complicated waveforms.

**Conclusions**

MFES is a reliable and valid assessment tool for myelopathy hand, which can detect small changes of neurological function after decompression surgery.
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Figures
Figure 1

A: Picture showing MFES and its components. It mainly consists of a pair of gloves, a computer with a software, a light, and two mutually perpendicular cameras. B: Picture showing a pair of gloves and its components. It is made up of five resistive and flexible sensors, one transverter and elastic materials. C: The interface of software mainly consists of three modules: waveform, real-time video and basic information. D: Imaging of testing
Figure 2

Measurements of relevant waveform parameters.
Figure 3

A: Relationship between MFES and human observations of video recordings for the number of cycles ($r = 0.967, P \leq 0.0001$). B: Bland-Altman plot showing the limits of agreement for the number of G-R cycles between MFES and human observations of video recordings. C: Relationship between the first 10s G-R test and the second test for the number of cycles.
Figure 4

A: The preoperative and postoperative waveforms. B: The freezing phenomenon in preoperative and the fatigue phenomenon in postoperative. C: Comparison of N1 and N2 in preoperative and postoperative (P_pre=0.074, P_post=0.0002). D: Correlation analysis between the number of cycles in preoperative and the improvement rates of JOA scores (r=0.628, P<0.0001). E: Correlation analysis between the improvement rates and the increased number of cycles (r=0.585, P<0.0001).
Figure 5

The average time per cycle in preoperative and postoperative.
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