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ABSTRACT

The urban-rural difference in cardiovascular risk factors and stroke mortality throughout Japan was examined in a cohort by using hierarchical data structure. The subjects were 9,309 men and women aged ≥30 years who were residents of 294 areas in 211 municipalities of Japan in 1980; they were followed up until 1999. The population sizes of the municipalities in which the aforementioned areas were located were used to distinguish between urban and rural areas. We applied multilevel modeling to take into account the hierarchical data structure of individuals (subjects) (level 1) nested within areas (level 2). Statistically significant differences were observed in the case of medium (30,000-300,000) and small (<30,000) municipality populations compared with large (≥300,000) municipality populations with regard to the following parameters: body mass index in men, serum total cholesterol in both men and women, and daily alcohol drinking in women. The values or frequencies of these cardiovascular risk factors were significantly higher in large populations. Meanwhile, age-adjusted odds ratios for stroke mortality in the areas in the medium and small municipalities compared with those in the areas in the large municipalities were 1.31 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.81-2.13) and 1.40 (95% CI 0.87-2.24) in men, and 1.32 (95% CI 0.79-2.20) and 1.62 (95% CI 0.99-2.65) in women, respectively. The results of multivariate analyses adjusted for age, body mass index, total cholesterol, diabetes, hypertension, current smoking, and daily alcohol consumption did not change materially. In conclusion, stroke mortality tended to be higher in rural areas than in urban areas in Japan, especially among women.
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INTRODUCTION

From the 1950s to the 1970s, stroke was the leading cause of death in Japan.1 Geographical variation in stroke mortality was first recognized in the 1950s, and standardized mortality ratios for cerebral hemorrhage and cerebral infarction were found to be high in the northeastern part of the country, especially the Tohoku region.2-4 Stroke mortality started to decline in the 1970s as a result of community efforts such as the detection and control of hypertension and the reduction of dietary sodium intake.5-8 Cohort studies on stroke have mainly focused on rural areas, with few studies comparing stroke mortality between urban and rural areas in Japan. From 1964 to 1995, Kitamura et al. conducted cohort studies in a rural town in the Tohoku region and in an urban community in western Japan and reported that stroke mortality in the rural town was consistently higher than that in the urban community, especially among younger men aged 40-59 years.9 However, their comparison was based on data from 2 areas in vastly different regions and did not reflect the overall difference in stroke mortality between urban and rural areas in Japan.

The National Integrated Project for Prospective Observation of Non-communicable Diseases And its Trends in the Aged, 1980 (NIPPON DATA80) is suitable for exploring urban-rural differences in stroke mortality throughout Japan because of its hierarchical data structure. This paper shows the urban-rural difference in stroke mortality over 19 years of follow-up conducted as a part of NIPPON DATA80 as well as the baseline cardiovascular risk factors.
NIPPON DATA80 AND MUNICIPALITY POPULATION SIZE

Study population
NIPPON DATA80 is an observational cohort study on a representative sample of the general Japanese population aged 30 years or older, with a 19-year follow-up conducted over the last 2 decades of the 20th century; the details of which have been reported elsewhere. In brief, 10,546 community residents (4,640 men and 5,906 women) aged 30 years or older from 300 randomly selected areas participated in the National Survey on Circulatory Disorders 1980.

Survey area and administrative boundary of Japan
Each survey area was located in a public health center district, and the chief of the public health center was responsible for the conduct of the survey. Public health centers have been founded by all 47 prefectures and designated cities (municipalities with large populations) since 1937 and by special wards in the Tokyo Metropolitan Area since 1946, and these have played a pivotal role in the administration of public health in Japan. The establishment of a public health center is closely related to the municipality population size. A public health center founded by a prefecture might include several small municipalities such as villages, towns, and (small) cities, whereas a designated city or special ward might include 1 or more public health centers. Thus, a public health center district is designated either by combining small municipalities or by dividing large municipalities, to ensure that each public health center covers approximately 100,000 people. A total of 855 public health centers and about 3,250 municipalities existed in Japan in 1980. The 300 study areas in our study were located in 300 public health center districts in 211 municipalities.

The data were characterized using a three-level structure: individuals at level 1 were nested within areas at level 2, which in turn were nested within regions at level 3. Regions (level 3) were defined by dividing Japan’s 47 prefectures into 6 groups: Hokkaido-Tohoku (7 prefectures), Kanto-Koshin (9), Hokuriku-Tokai (8), Kinki (6), Chugoku-Shikoku (9), and Kyushu (8). Areas (level 2) were classified as urban or rural based on the population size of the municipality in which they were located. As mentioned above, each survey area was located in a public health center district, but the population size of the municipality proved to be more reliable for defining a given area as urban or rural. This was because municipalities in Japan are designated by the government as *mura* (village), *machi* (town), or *shi* (city) according to their level of development (special wards in the Tokyo Metropolitan Area are called *ku*), and a key determinant of this classification is population size. In our study, 294 areas in the 6 regions were categorized into 3 groups according to the population size of the municipalities: small municipality with less than 30,000 people, medium municipality with 30,000-300,000 people, and large municipality with 300,000 or more people. These categories were used because a population of 30,000 is required for a city designation, while a population of 300,000 is required for a core city designation, in accordance with Japan’s Local Autonomy Law.

Endpoint determination
The procedure used for endpoint determination has been reported elsewhere. In brief, the underlying causes of death reported in Japan’s National Vital Statistics were coded according to the 9th International Classification of Disease (ICD-9) until the end of 1994 and the ICD-10 from the beginning of 1995. Codes 430-434 and 436-438 in ICD-9 and 160-169 in ICD-10 were defined as death from total stroke, which included death from cerebral infarction (codes 433, 434, 437.7a, and 7b in ICD-9, and 163 and 169.3 in ICD-10) and from cerebral hemorrhage (codes 431 and 432 in ICD-9, and 161 and 169.1 in ICD-10).

The present study is based on the outcome information obtained until November 1999, making the observation period 19 years long. Of the 10,546 participants, 1,237 were excluded because of past history of coronary heart disease or stroke at baseline survey (n = 280), missing information at baseline survey (n = 84), or designation of “lost to follow-up” due to failed efforts to locate certain subjects (n = 873). This left 9,309 participants (4,080 men and 5,229 women) from 294 areas in 211 municipalities.

The numbers and percentages of areas and subjects by municipality population size in the 6 regions are shown in Table 1. The numbers of municipalities with large, medium, and small population sizes were 40, 104, and 67, respectively, while the numbers of areas in these municipalities were 114, 113, and 67, respectively. This information indicates that municipalities with large population size had 1 or more public health center. The percentages of municipalities with large, medium, and small population sizes differed significantly by region (P < 0.001). The regions were listed from the northeastern (Hokkaido-Tohoku) to the southwestern (Kyushu) part of the Japanese archipelago, and the percentage of municipalities with large population size was lower in the Hokkaido-Tohoku region and higher in the Kanto-Koshin and Kinki regions.

Statistical analysis
To illustrate the model of a three-level structure with individuals at level 1 nested within areas at level 2 that were nested within regions at level 3, we used multilevel logistic regression procedures. For a binary variable, we used multilevel logistic regression on a logit-link function. Models were fitted using MLwiN software, version 2.0. The results were expressed as regression coefficients and standard error, and odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The significance of the regression coefficients was tested using J Epidemiol 2008; 18(4) 135-143
Wald statistic. Intraclass correlation coefficient was estimated from the two-level null random intercept model as $r_0^2/(r_0^2 + 3.29)$, where $r_0^2$ is the unexplained random variance at level 2.}

**CARDIOVASCULAR RISK FACTORS BY MUNICIPALITY POPULATION SIZE**

**Baseline characteristics**

Table 2 shows the baseline characteristics of the subjects by municipality population size. Body mass index was calculated by dividing the weight (kg) by the square of the height (m). Diabetes was defined as a serum glucose level of ≥11.1 mmol/l or higher, history of diabetes, or both. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure of ≥140 mmHg or higher, diastolic blood pressure of ≥90 mmHg, or antihypertensive drug use.

Municipality population size: large (≥300,000), medium (30,000-300,000), and small (<30,000)

**Diabetes** was defined as a serum glucose level of ≥11.1 mmol/l or higher, history of diabetes, or both.

**Hypertension** was defined as systolic blood pressure of ≥140 mmHg or higher, diastolic blood pressure of ≥90 mmHg or higher, use of antihypertensive agents, or any combination of these.

The subjects in the small populations were significantly older, both men and women, as determined using analysis of variance (ANOVA) ($P < 0.001$). Differences in the levels of...
Table 3. Regression coefficients (SE) of cardiovascular risk factors by municipality population size assessed using two-level multilevel logistic regression analysis at the baseline of a 19-year follow-up of Japanese men and women aged 30 years and older in 1980 (NIPPON DATA80)

|                         | Body mass index (kg/m²) | Serum total cholesterol (mg/dl) | Diabetes (yes/no) | Hypertension (yes/no) | Current smoking (yes/no) | Daily alcohol drinking (yes/no) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Men**                 |                         |                                 |                   |                       |                          |                               |
| Fixed parameter         |                         |                                 |                   |                       |                          |                               |
| Individual level        |                         |                                 |                   |                       |                          |                               |
| Age                     | -0.03 (0.003)**         | -0.09 (0.04) *                  | 0.04 (0.005)**    | 0.06 (0.003)**        | -0.02 (0.003)**          | -0.006 (0.002) **             |
| Area level              |                         |                                 |                   |                       |                          |                               |
| Municipality population size |                   |                                 |                   |                       |                          |                               |
| Medium                  | -0.29 (0.13) *          | -3.82 (1.70) *                  | -0.19 (0.16)      | 0.05 (0.10)           | 0.23 (0.09) *           | -0.03 (0.09)                 |
| Small                   | -0.33 (0.14) *          | -9.62 (1.62) **                 | -0.04 (0.16)      | 0.08 (0.11)           | 0.17 (0.10)             | 0.08 (0.10)                 |
| Random parameter        |                         |                                 |                   |                       |                          |                               |
| Between areas           | 0.23 (0.07) **          | 62.7 (11.5) **                  | 0.06 (0.09)       | 0.14 (0.04) **        | 0.08 (0.03) **          | 0.09 (0.03) **               |
| **Women**               |                         |                                 |                   |                       |                          |                               |
| Fixed parameter         |                         |                                 |                   |                       |                          |                               |
| Individual level        |                         |                                 |                   |                       |                          |                               |
| Age                     | 0.01 (0.004)**          | 0.79 (0.03) **                  | 0.05 (0.005)**    | 0.08 (0.003)**        | 0.01 (0.004) *          | 0.02 (0.006) **              |
| Area level              |                         |                                 |                   |                       |                          |                               |
| Municipality population size |                   |                                 |                   |                       |                          |                               |
| Medium                  | 0.13 (0.15)             | -3.29 (1.51) *                  | 0.12 (0.19)       | 0.03 (0.11)           | -0.25 (0.14)            | -0.49 (0.21) *               |
| Small                   | 0.26 (0.16)             | -8.64 (1.62) **                 | -0.18 (0.20)      | -0.03 (0.11)          | -0.81 (0.16) **         | -0.90 (0.24) **              |
| Random parameter        |                         |                                 |                   |                       |                          |                               |
| Between areas           | 0.45 (0.09) **          | 51.3 (9.18) **                  | 0.15 (0.12)       | 0.22 (0.04) **        | 0.27 (0.08) **          | 0.31 (0.18)                 |

Municipality population size: large (≥300,000), medium (30,000-300,000), and small (<30,000)

*P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 (Wald test)
cardiovascular risk factors according to the municipality population size were not tested using two-way ANOVA because they were tested in a subsequent two-level multilevel analysis (Table 3).

**Results of multilevel analysis**

Table 3 shows the regression coefficients of the cardiovascular risk factors at the baseline, as determined using two-level multilevel analysis. Age at individual level and municipality population size at area level were entered into the models as fixed parameters. Statistically significant variance between areas was observed in the following parameters: body mass index (kg/m\(^2\)), serum total cholesterol (mg/dl), hypertension (yes/no), current smoker (yes/no) for both men and women, and daily alcohol drinking (yes/no) for men. Age (years) was significantly associated with all the cardiovascular risk factors examined. In the medium and small municipality populations versus the large municipality populations, statistically significant differences were observed in the following: body mass index in men, serum total cholesterol in men and women, and daily alcohol drinking in women. Negative regression coefficients indicated that the values or frequencies of these cardiovascular risk factors were significantly higher among the subjects in the municipalities with large populations. Regarding current smokers, a significant positive regression coefficient was observed among men in municipalities with medium population sizes, and a significant negative regression coefficient was observed among women in municipalities with small population sizes, indicating that the percentage of male current smokers was high in the former and that of female current smokers, low in the latter. Thus, a clear inverse relationship was not observed between the baseline cardiovascular risk factors of NIPPON DATA80 and municipality population size.

Fukuda et al. demonstrated that after adjustments for individual socioeconomic status, higher per capita income was significantly associated with current smoking and excessive alcohol drinking in women, as determined using multilevel analysis of the data from the 2001 Comprehensive Survey of the Living Conditions of People on Health and Welfare. It seems that the same tendency persisted for at least 20 years after the baseline survey of the NIPPON DATA80.

### STROKE MORTALITY BY MUNICIPALITY POPULATION SIZE

**Crude and age-adjusted mortality rates**

Table 4 shows the numbers of persons, person-years, numbers of deaths, and mortality rate from total stroke categorized by municipality population size. In both men and women, the crude mortality rates were higher in municipalities with smaller population sizes, but this tendency was not observed in the case of age-adjusted mortality rate in men. Age-adjusted mortality rate from total stroke was highest among men in large municipalities; however, the age-specific mortality rate in the oldest age group, i.e., ≥85 years, markedly increased the overall age-adjusted mortality rate (only 1 person belonged to this age group, and he died of a stroke after a relatively short time). When the age groups 80-84 years and ≥85 years were combined, the age-adjusted mortality rates from total stroke in municipalities with large, medium, and small population sizes were 1.3, 1.5, and 1.6 in men and 0.8, 0.9, and 1.1 in women, respectively.

**Results of multilevel analysis**

In the three-level model where 6 regions of Japan were entered at level 3, no variance was observed at level 3, and the parameters at level 2 (areas) and level 1 (individuals) differed only slightly from those in the two-level model (individuals at level 1 nested within areas at level 2). The results of the two-level multilevel analyses are therefore shown in Table 5.

The following variables were added successively to the

| Municipality population size | Men | Women |
|------------------------------|-----|-------|
|                              | No. of persons | No. of person-years | No. of deaths from total stroke | Mortality rate from total stroke (per 1,000) | Crude | Age-adjusted |
|------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|--------------|
| Large                        | 1,082          | 18,719              | 30                             | 1.6                             | 2.4   |
| Medium                       | 1,570          | 26,869              | 59                             | 2.2                             | 1.4   |
| Small                        | 1,428          | 23,502              | 73                             | 3.1                             | 1.7   |
| Large                        | 1,459          | 26,086              | 25                             | 1.0                             | 0.9   |
| Medium                       | 1,992          | 35,196              | 52                             | 1.5                             | 1.1   |
| Small                        | 1,778          | 30,464              | 73                             | 2.4                             | 1.3   |

Municipality population size: large (≥300,000), medium (30,000-300,000), and small (<30,000)

Age-adjusted mortality rate was standardized in accordance with the world population.
null model (Model 1), municipality population size (medium and small population sizes compared with large population size), age (Model 2), and body mass index, serum total cholesterol, diabetes (serum glucose ≥ 11.1 mmol/l, history of diabetes, or both), hypertension (systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg, or antihypertensive drug use), current smoking, and daily alcohol consumption (Model 3). In Model 1 (null model), statistically significant variance between areas was not observed in men (P = 0.12) but was observed in women (P = 0.04). The intraclass correlation coefficients were 7.3% and 10.6% in men and women respectively. In the age-adjusted model (Model 2) and the multivariate-adjusted model (Model 3), women had higher regression coefficients for municipality population sizes. Odds ratios and 95% CIs were calculated in the age-adjusted and the multivariate-adjusted models and significantly elevated odds ratio for small population size compared with large population size was observed for women in the multivariate-adjusted model. In multivariate analyses, we used a dichotomous variable for hypertension. We then analyzed the data using a continuous variable for systolic and diastolic blood pressure, but similar results were obtained.

As our study areas were randomly selected from across Japan, the results are considered to reflect the general urban-rural difference in stroke mortality in Japan. As no variance was found between regions (level 3) in the three-level model, the geographical variation in stroke mortality reported a few decades ago in several ecological studies2–4 might have been partly a reflection of the unbalanced distribution of urban and rural areas by region. This is a plausible explanation because the distributions of municipalities by population size were significantly different (Table 1), and the northeastern part of Japan in particular was characterized as a region with a higher proportion of municipalities with small population sizes, that is, rural areas.

The urban-rural difference in total stroke was more pronounced in women than in men. To examine these results in further detail, we also analyzed the data for cerebral infarction, which is a dominant stroke type in our cohort. Although statistically significant variance in the two-level null model was observed only among women, the odds ratios of deaths from cerebral infarction in the municipalities with medium and small population sizes compared with those in the municipalities with large population sizes were higher than unity only among women.17 This contrast between men and women in the urban-rural difference in cerebral infarction-related deaths seems to have contributed to the gender difference in the urban-rural gradient.

Gender difference was also observed in the difference in the odds ratios for total stroke between the age-adjusted and the multivariate-adjusted models. Multivariate analyses revealed that the urban-rural difference in stroke mortality persisted after adjustment for risk factors, and statistically significant risk factors other than age were hypertension and current smoking in men and hypertension in women. The odds ratios in the multivariate-adjusted model by

Table 5. Regression coefficients and odds ratios of deaths from total stroke categorized by municipality population size and determined using two-level multilevel logistic regression analysis in 19-year follow-up of Japanese men and women aged 30 years and older in 1980 (NIPPON DATA80)

|                | Age-adjusted (Model 2) | Multivariate-adjusted (Model 3) |
|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                | Regression coefficients (SE) | Odds ratios (95% CI) | Regression coefficients (SE) | Odds ratios (95% CI) |
| **Men**        |                        |                                |                              |                        |
| Fixed parameters |                        |                                |                              |                        |
| Individual level |                        |                                |                              |                        |
| Age            | 0.10 (0.01)            | 1.11 (1.09-1.12)              | 0.10 (0.01)                  | 1.11 (1.09-1.12)      |
| Area level     |                        |                                |                              |                        |
| Municipality population size |            |                                |                              |                        |
| Medium         | 0.27 (0.25)            | 1.31 (0.81-2.13)              | 0.26 (0.25)                  | 1.29 (0.80-2.10)      |
| Small          | 0.33 (0.24)            | 1.40 (0.87-2.24)              | 0.30 (0.24)                  | 1.36 (0.84-2.18)      |
| Random parameters |                    |                                |                              |                        |
| Between areas  | 0.15 (0.15)            | 0.12 (0.15)                   |                              |                        |
| **Women**      |                        |                                |                              |                        |
| Fixed parameters |                        |                                |                              |                        |
| Individual level |                        |                                |                              |                        |
| Age            | 0.11 (0.01)            | 1.11 (1.10-1.13)              | 0.10 (0.01)                  | 1.11 (1.09-1.12)      |
| Area level     |                        |                                |                              |                        |
| Municipality population size |            |                                |                              |                        |
| Medium         | 0.28 (0.26)            | 1.32 (0.79-2.20)              | 0.29 (0.26)                  | 1.34 (0.80-2.23)      |
| Small          | 0.48 (0.25)            | 1.62 (0.99-2.65)              | 0.52 (0.25)                  | 1.68 (1.02-2.77)      |
| Random parameters |                    |                                |                              |                        |
| Between areas  | 0.09 (0.15)            | 0.10 (0.15)                   |                              |                        |

Municipality population size: large (≥300,000), medium (30,000–300,000), and small (<30,000)

Model 1, null model (data not shown); Model 2, age-adjusted; and Model 3, adjusted for age, body mass index, serum total cholesterol, diabetes, hypertension, current smoking, and daily alcohol drinking.
municipality population size were slightly lower in men and slightly higher in women. This result may be attributable to the fact that smoking was differently associated with municipality population sizes in the case of men and women. Among the baseline characteristics, body mass index in men and serum total cholesterol in both men and women were statistically positively associated with municipality population size, but they were neither positively or negatively associated with the risks of total stroke or cerebral infarction.

Differences in medical resources might also have affected the urban-rural difference in stroke mortality in the study. For many years rural areas faced a lack of medical resources, and to remedy this shortage, many medical schools were founded throughout Japan in the 1980s. However, Kobayashi and Takaki revealed that a doubling of the number of physicians due to the increased number of medical schools failed to improve the disproportionate urban-rural distribution of physicians. It is not surprising that areas with fewer medical resources are disadvantaged with respect to the early detection and treatment of stroke.

Findings in other countries
Stroke incidence and mortality have been compared between urban and rural areas in several countries. Some studies have reported higher rates in rural areas. Others have reported similar rates in the 2 areas or even lower rates in rural areas. Correia et al. reported higher stroke incidence among a rural population compared with that in an urban population in northern Portugal. In Shanghai, China, the stroke incidence among rural men and the mortality rate among rural men and women aged 65-74 years were higher than those of their urban counterparts in the period from 1984 to 1991. As our data only involved stroke mortality, it is necessary to further investigate the difference in stroke incidence and case fatality between urban and rural areas.

Limitations
There were some limitations to our study. First, individual socioeconomic factors were not taken into account because data for income and level of education were not available and data for occupation were limited to working subjects. Second, the use of Japan’s National Vital Statistics for stroke deaths where stroke subtypes may generally be misclassified on death certificates is considered a possible shortcoming. However, most stroke cases in Japan are referred to hospitals. Moreover, computerized tomography scanning was performed in over 85% of stroke patients in the 1980s, even in the rural areas, throughout Japan. Finally, we applied a frailty model of Cox regression hazard ratios for the medium and small population sizes compared with the large population size were slightly higher than the respective odds ratios from the present study, but the results did not change materially.

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, in a cohort established in 1980 and followed up until 1999, mortality from stroke was higher in rural areas than in urban areas, especially in the case of women. This gradient remained even after adjustment for traditional risk factors. Therefore, we next need to investigate the difference in stroke incidence and case fatality between urban and rural areas.
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