Ubiquitin-specific proteases 7 and 11 modulate Polycomb regulation of the INK4a tumour suppressor
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An important facet of transcriptional repression by Polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) is the mono-ubiquitination of histone H2A by the combined action of the Posterior sex combs (Psc) and Sex combs extra (Sce) proteins. Here, we report that two ubiquitin-specific proteases, USP7 and USP11, co-purify with human PRC1-type complexes through direct interactions with the Psc orthologues MEL18 and BM1, and with other PRC1 components. Ablation of either USP7 or USP11 in primary human fibroblasts results in de-repression of the INK4a tumour suppressor accompanied by loss of PRC1 binding at the locus and a senescence-like proliferative arrest. Mechanistically, USP7 and USP11 regulate the ubiquitination status of the Psc and Sce proteins themselves, thereby affecting their turnover and abundance. Our results point to a novel function for USPs in the regulation and function of Polycomb complexes.
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Introduction

Ubiquitination of chromosome-associated proteins is important for many aspects of DNA repair and transcriptional regulation (Vissers et al, 2008; Weake and Workman, 2008). In yeast, for example, dynamic cycles of ubiquitination and de-ubiquitination of H2B appear to be a pre-requisite for active transcription, whereas in higher eukaryotes, mono-ubiquitination of H2A on lysine 119 is associated with transcriptional repression. There is, therefore, a great deal of interest in the enzymes that catalyse the addition and removal of these histone marks. The first H2A ubiquitin ligases to be identified belonged to the Polycomb group (PcG) of transcriptional repressors, which are important for developmental patterning and maintenance of pluripotency (Sparmann and van Lohuizen, 2006; Schwartz and Pirrotta, 2007). Originally characterised in Drosophila, PcG proteins participate in two distinct multi-protein complexes termed Polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) and PRC2. PRC2 functions as a histone methyl transferase that trimethylates histone H3 on lysine 27 (H3K27me3) (Cao and Zhang, 2004). This mark acts as a recruiting platform for the PRC1 or maintenance complex, which catalyses the ubiquitination of histone H2A on Lys119 (de Napoles et al, 2004; Wang et al, 2004; Sparmann and van Lohuizen, 2006; Schwartz and Pirrotta, 2007).

In Drosophila, the PRC1 complex is composed of stoichiometric amounts of the Polycomb (Pc), Posterior sex comb (Psc), Polyhomeotic (Ph) and Sex combs extra (Sce) proteins (Shao et al, 1999; Saurin et al, 2001). Expansion of the Pc genes has led to a more complicated picture in mammalian cells in which there are five Pc (CBX2, CBX4, CBX6, CBX7 and CBX8), six Psc (MEL18, BM1, MBLR, RNF159, NSPC1 and RNF31), three Ph (HPH1, HPH2 and HPH3) and two Sce proteins: p16INK4a, which activates the pRb pathway by inhibiting the cyclin D-dependent kinases CDK4 and CDK6, and p14ARF (p19ARF in mice), which activates the p53 pathway by inhibiting the MDM2 ubiquitin ligase. The INK4a/ARF locus has an important function in cellular senescence, the state of irreversible growth arrest engaged by various forms of stress, including telomere erosion, DNA damage, cytotoxic...
drugs and oncogenic signalling (Campisi and d’Adda di Fagagna, 2007; Collado et al., 2007). Importantly, cellular senescence is now recognised as a major facet of tumour suppression in vivo (Collado and Serrano, 2006) and has recently been shown to impair the reprogramming of somatic cells into pluripotent stem cells (Banito et al., 2009; Hong et al., 2009; Kawamura et al., 2009; Li et al., 2009; Marion et al., 2009; Utkal et al., 2009).

There are several lines of evidence linking PcG proteins to the regulation of INK4a/ARF. For example, mice lacking particular PcG genes display defects in stem and progenitor cell renewal that is attributable to de-repression of Ink4a/Arf (Jacobs et al., 1999; Lessard and Sauvageau, 2003; Molofsky et al., 2003; Park et al., 2003; Isono et al., 2005; Cales et al., 2008). In human fibroblasts, on the other hand, ectopic expression and shRNA-mediated knockdown approaches have implicated a number of PRC1 components, notably CBX7, CBX8, BMI1, MEL18 and RING2, as regulators of INK4a (Jacobs et al., 1999; Itahana et al., 2003; Gil et al., 2004; Dietrich et al., 2007; Kotake et al., 2007; Maertens et al., 2009). Indeed, we recently reported that several distinct PRC1 complexes bind simultaneously and interdependently to the same region of the locus (Maertens et al., 2009).

To try to understand the reasons for this complexity, we affinity purified the PRC1 complexes formed by the Psc proteins MEL18 and BMI1. In addition to known PcG proteins, we found two de-ubiquitinating enzymes, USP7 and USP11. Here, we show that USP7 and USP11 are associated with chromatin and bind to several PRC1 components in high-molecular-weight complexes. Importantly, shRNA-mediated knockdown of either USP results in de-repression of INK4a and displacement of PRC1 proteins from the locus. Knockdown of USP7 or USP11 causes increased turnover of chromatin-bound MEL18 and BMI1, whereas over-expression of the USPs reduces the levels of mono- and poly-ubiquitination of these proteins. Our findings underscore the importance of ubiquitination in the regulation and function of Polycomb complexes and provide new insights into the function of de-ubiquitinases in these events.

Results

Two de-ubiquitinating enzymes co-purify with MEL18 and BMI1

To gain a better insight into the mechanisms underlying PRC1-mediated repression, we isolated human PRC1 complexes by tandem affinity purification of the Psc homologues, MEL18 and BMI1, and identified co-purifying proteins by mass spectrometry. A full list of the identified proteins can be found in Supplementary Table S1 and images of the Coomassie-stained gels have appeared elsewhere (Elderkin et al., 2007; Maertens et al., 2009). As previously reported, the MEL18- and BMI1-based PRC1 complexes contained several known PcG proteins, the most prominent of which were CBX8, the short isoform of HP1H2, and RING1 and RING2 (Elderkin et al., 2007). Importantly, MEL18 and BMI1 did not co-purify with one another and in several independent preparations as well as reconstruction experiments, we have never observed more than one representative of the Pc, Psc, Ph or Sce families within the same soluble complex (Maertens et al., 2009). As anticipated for PRC1 function, the purified MEL18 and BMI1 complexes were capable of ubiquitinating H2A on K119 (Elderkin et al., 2007). It was, therefore, intriguing to find that two ubiquitin-specific proteases, USP7 and USP11, co-purified in the MEL18 complex and that USP11 was also present in the BMI1 complex.

Although neither of these proteins was detected in the negative control (parallel purification from cells expressing the TAP-only vector), it was important to confirm their association with PcG proteins. To this end, HA-tagged versions of USP7 and USP11 were transiently co-expressed with Flag-tagged versions of MEL18 and BMI1 in HEK293T cells. Cell lysates were then analysed directly, to establish the expression levels of the input proteins, or immunoprecipitated with monoclonal antibodies against the HA or Flag epitopes (Figure 1). As indicated, Flag-tagged MEL18 (Figure 1A) and BMI1 (Figure 1B) were precipitated with anti-HA beads only when HA-tagged USP7 or USP11 were co-expressed. In the reciprocal precipitation of Flag-tagged MEL18 (Figure 1C) or BMI1 (Figure 1D) with anti-Flag beads, HA-tagged USP7 and USP11 were co-precipitated only when either MEL18 or BMI1 were present. Thus, although no USP7-derived peptides were detected by mass spectrometry of the gel bands that co-purified with TAP-tagged BMI1, we could show that these two proteins do associate when ectopically expressed.

USP7 and USP11 associate with other PRC1 components

Although the co-precipitation data implied that both USP7 and USP11 can interact with MEL18 and BMI1, they did not address whether this occurred within the context of a canonical PRC1 complex. We, therefore, investigated whether the HA-tagged USPs were able to interact with Flag-tagged versions of CBX8, RING1 and RING2; BMI1 and MEL18 served as positive controls with Flag alone as a negative control. HA-USP7 (Figure 2A) and HA-USP11 (Figure 2B) were efficiently co-precipitated with all PRC1 proteins tested. In the reciprocal immunoprecipitations, we confirmed that CBX8 and RING1 co-precipitated with HA-tagged USP7 and USP11, but only detected RING2 in the HA-USP7 precipitate (Supplementary Figure S1). Note that Flag-RING2 was expressed at significantly lower levels compared with Flag-RING1 or Flag-CBX8 (Figure 2B), which might explain the poor recovery in the HA immunoprecipitates. Importantly, by using a commercial USP7 antibody and a rabbit antisera that we generated against USP11, it was possible to confirm that the endogenous USP7 and USP11 in 293T cells co-precipitated with Flag-tagged PRC1 proteins (Figure 2C).

In these co-precipitation analyses, the interactions with CBX8 seemed particularly robust, and in a direct comparison between Pc family members, USP7 displayed a clear preference for CBX8, whereas USP11 showed the strongest binding to CBX6 and CBX8 (Supplementary Figure S2). Taking a cue from these observations, we used an affinity-purified anti-serum (Maertens et al., 2009) to precipitate the endogenous CBX8 from nuclear extracts of 293T cells. As shown in Figure 2D, immunoblotting revealed that endogenous USP7 and USP11 co-precipitated with CBX8 under these conditions. BMI1 and TFIID were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.
USP7 and USP11 are bound to chromatin and form part of the PRC1 complex

To consolidate the idea that these interactions take place in the context of PRC1 complexes, we next asked whether endogenous USP7 and USP11 have an appropriate intracellular localisation. These experiments were conducted in foetal dermal fibroblasts (FDFs), in which the functions of PRC1 complexes are less likely to have been perturbed (Figure 3A) and in 293T cells (not shown). A standard cell fractionation protocol was used to recover cytosolic (S1), nuclear (S2) and chromatin fractions (Chr), and the proteins were analysed by sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)–PAGE and immunoblotting. TFIIID (TBP) was used as a control for the soluble fractions, S1 and S2. As anticipated, the bulk of the MEL18, BMI1 and other PRC1 components, such as CBX8 and RING1, were bound to chromatin (Figure 3A). In FDFs, virtually all of the USP7 was found in the nuclear fraction with almost 50% bound to chromatin. In contrast, USP11 was equally distributed in all three fractions. Interestingly, 293T cells showed a somewhat different pattern with a higher proportion of each USP in the soluble fractions. The reasons for these differences remain unclear, but it was previously reported that USP7 and USP11 are nuclear proteins (Ideguchi et al., 2002; Fernandez-Montalvan et al., 2007) and that Drosophila USP7 is bound to polytene chromosomes (van der Knaap et al., 2005). Our data suggested that a substantial proportion but not all of the USP7 and USP11 are in the same nuclear compartment as PRC1 proteins.

To assess whether USP7 and USP11 associate with bona fide PRC1 complexes, nuclear extracts from FDFs (Figure 3B) and 293T cells (not shown) were subjected to size exclusion chromatography on a Superose 6 10/300 column, and individual fractions were analysed by SDS–PAGE and immunoblotting. Most of the USP7 and USP11 eluted in high-molecular weight complexes of between 400 and 700 kDa (Figure 3B). The majority of the MEL18, BMI1 and CBX8 were also present in these fractions, whereas CBX7 peaked in complexes of around 400–500 kDa.

USP7 and USP11 bind directly to multiple PcG proteins

In view of the co-precipitation and size fractionation data, it was of interest to know whether USP7 and USP11 interact directly with MEL18 and BMI1 or other PRC1 components. To address this issue, we purified recombinant GST-USP7- and GST-USP11-fusion proteins from bacteria and asked whether they interact with purified FlagHis12-tagged Bmi1, Mel18 and Ring1b produced in insect cells using baculovirus vectors (Cao et al., 2005; Buchwald et al., 2006; Li et al., 2006; Elderkin et al., 2007) or with CBX8-Flag purified from bacteria. Note that our baculovirus constructs encode the mouse homologues of the Psc and Sce proteins, but the findings were confirmed using 35S-labelled versions of human CBX8, BMI1, MEL18, RING1 and RING2 produced by coupled transcription and translation (Supplementary Figure S3A). Strikingly, both GST-USP7 and GST-USP11 were able to pull down all of the PRC1 components tested, suggesting that they interact directly with multiple proteins (Figure 3C; Supplementary Figure S3A).

Using a series of deletion mutants of MEL18, we further showed that both USP7 and USP11 associate with the amino terminal region of the protein, which includes the RING domain (Supplementary Figure S3B and C). Importantly, USP7 and USP11 have also been shown to associate with one another (Sowa et al., 2009), and we have confirmed this both in transfected cells and with the recombinant proteins (Figure 3D and data not shown). It is, therefore, conceivable that they bind simultaneously within the same complex.

USP7 and USP11 contribute to the regulation of p16<sub>INK4a</sub>

We previously showed that BMI1 and MEL18 associate directly with and are required for the repression of the INK4a tumour suppressor gene in human fibroblasts (Maertens et al., 2009). The presence of USP7 and USP11 in the MEL18 and BMI1
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complexes prompted us to ask whether these USPs have a function in the regulation of INK4a. To this end, we ablated the expression of USP7 and USP11 in FDFs by lentiviral delivery of shRNAs targeting their respective transcripts; an shRNA that has no known target in the human genome was used as a negative control. Knockdown of USP7 (Figure 4A) or USP11 (Figure 4B) with two independent shRNAs caused a demonstrable increase in the p16INK4a transcript and protein levels, with no corresponding changes in ARF mRNA; the low levels of p14ARF in FDFs preclude detection with the available antibodies. Importantly, knockdown of either USP7 or USP11 resulted in decreased binding of MEL18 to the INK4a locus, as judged by chromatin immunoprecipitation (Figure 4C), consistent with loss of PcG-mediated repression (Bracken et al., 2007; Dietrich et al., 2007; Maertens et al., 2009). Similar effects were observed for the association of BMI1, CBX8, RING1 and EZH2 with the INK4a locus, as well as the extent of H3K27 trimethylation (Supplementary Figure S4). Cells transduced with the USP shRNAs also displayed characteristics of senescence, including proliferation arrest, an enlarged flattened appearance, single prominent nucleoli and SA-β-Gal activity (Figures 4D, E and 5E).

To assess whether the senescence-like arrest was wholly dependent on the de-repression of INK4a, a similar experiment was conducted in the Leiden strain of human fibroblasts. As we have previously reported, these cells were derived from an individual who is homozygous for a germ-line mutation that inactivates p16INK4a, but not p14ARF (Brookes et al., 2002). As Leiden cells stain weakly for SA-β-Gal activity (Jacobs et al., 1999; Itahana et al., 2003; Brookes et al., 2004), we used the appearance of single prominent nucleoli as a simple, non-invasive marker of senescence. USP11 knockdown did not cause senescence in these cells, despite the up-regulation of mutant p16INK4a, implying that the effects of USP11 knockdown are p16INK4a dependent (Figure 5). In contrast, knockdown of USP7 with two independent shRNAs caused senescence in both the Leiden and control fibroblasts. The effects of USP7 knockdown would be consistent with its predicted impact on the MDM2-p53 pathway (Li et al., 2002, 2004; Cummins and Vogelstein, 2004;
USP7 and USP11 affect the ability of Bmi1 to repress INK4a

We next asked whether the previously documented ability of mouse Bmi1 to down-regulate INK4a (Jacobs et al., 1999; Itahana et al., 2003; Brooks et al., 2004) is dependent on USP7 or USP11. FDF cells were first infected with a vesicular stomatitis virus G (VSV-G) pseudotyped retroviral vector encoding mouse Bmi1, or an empty vector control (pBABE-Hygro). After selection in hygromycin, the two cell populations were infected with lentiviral vectors encoding shRNAs against either USP7 (USP7sh2) or USP11 (USP11sh2) and selected in puromycin. Non-specific shRNA was included as a negative control. As expected, ectopic expression of Bmi1 in FDFs resulted in down-regulation of p16\textsuperscript{INK4a} at both the protein and RNA level. However, this effect was negated after knockdown of either USP7 (Figure 6A) or USP11 (Figure 6B) and these findings were reproduced with independent shRNAs against each USP (data not shown).

Effects of USP7 and USP11 on ubiquitination of histones H2A and H2B

The effects of USP7 and USP11 shRNAs on p16\textsuperscript{INK4a} invite different interpretations. One scenario would be that PRC1 proteins recruit the USPs to modulate the ubiquitination of a specific target protein, such as H2A or H2B. Indeed, when tested in vitro, Flag-USP7 was capable of de-ubiquitinating both H2Aub and H2Bub (Supplementary Figure S6A and B). However, Flag-USP11 had no effect on H2Aub or H2Bub under these conditions. We also performed de-ubiquitination assays using nucleosomes purified from cells stably expressing Flag-H2A. The purification was carried out in the...
presence of iodoacetamide to protect H2Aub from de-ubiquitination during purification. Again, USP7 was capable of de-ubiquitinating H2Aub, but USP11 was not (Supplementary Figure S6C). However, we were unable to establish an equivalent assay for H2B de-ubiquitination because of the relatively low levels of H2Bub in mammalian cells (West and Bonner, 1980). As an alternative approach, we transfected the cells with a plasmid encoding His6-tagged ubiquitin and recovered ubiquitinated proteins on Ni⁺-agarose beads (Supplementary Figure S6D). Immunoblotting for H2A showed that over-expression of either active or catalytically inactive USP7 or USP11 did not result in a significant change in the levels of H2Aub. There did not appear to be any discernible reduction in the low levels of H2Bub, although there was an obvious increase in the cells expressing USP7C223S, suggesting that it might be having a dominant negative effect. Conversely, we could not observe an increase in H2Aub or H2Bub on knockdown of either USP7 or USP11 in human fibroblasts (data not shown). Taken together, we found no evidence that USP7 or USP11 directly affects the steady state levels of H2Aub or H2Bub.

**Knockdown of USP7 or USP11 affects the levels of PRC1 components**

An alternative scenario would be that the USPs influence the functionality of the PRC1 complex. A striking feature of the data in Figure 6 was that knockdown of either USP7 or USP11 had a dramatic effect on the total levels of Bmi1, detected with an antibody that recognises both the mouse and human homologues of the protein. This suggested that USP7 and USP11 might directly or indirectly affect the stability of Bmi1.

To focus on the effects of USP knockdown on the endogenous PRC1 proteins, FDFs transduced with USP7 or USP11 shRNAs were used to prepare different subcellular fractions as described above. Knockdown of either USP7 or USP11 caused a substantial decrease in the chromatin-associated
pool of BMI1 and MEL18 (Figure 7A and B). This effect was specific for the PRC1 proteins as the association of TFIID with chromatin was unaffected. As there did not appear to be a corresponding increase in the soluble pool of BMI1 or MEL18, the most likely scenario would be that knockdown of USP7 or USP11 caused a reduction in the total levels of endogenous BMI1 and MEL18, presumably through increased turnover. To explore this possibility, FDFs were transduced with two independent shRNAs against USP7 and USP11 and the levels of BMI1 and MEL18 protein, and RNA were monitored by immunoblotting and qRT–PCR, respectively. Knockdown of USP7 and USP11 resulted in a reduction in the total BMI1 and MEL18 protein levels with no equivalent change in the transcript levels (Supplementary Figure S7).

This implied that USP7 and USP11 were influencing the stability of MEL18 and BMI1. Treating the FDFs with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 significantly increased the total levels of MEL18 and BMI1 indicating that they are subject to proteasomal degradation (Figure 7C). Although the control for these experiments, cyclin D1, was clearly stabilised by MG132, it was not affected by knockdown of USP7 or USP11 (Supplementary Figure S5), suggesting that the effects were specific.

**USP7 and USP11 regulate the ubiquitination status of MEL18 and BMI1**

To determine whether USP7 and USP11 were directly affecting the ubiquitination status of Psc proteins, 293T cells that stably express MEL18-Flag were transfected with a plasmid

---

**Figure 5** Effects of USP7 or USP11 knockdown in INK4a-deficient cells. FDFs or the Leiden strain of INK4a-deficient human fibroblasts (Brookes et al., 2002) were infected with lentiviruses encoding two independent USP7 or USP11 shRNAs (sh1 and sh2) or a control shRNA (Ctrl). The effects on INK4a and the respective target genes were assessed by qRT–PCR (A, B) and immunoblotting (C, D) using an antibody that detects the mutant form of p16<sup>INK4a</sup> present in Leiden cells. (E) Phase contrast images showing that FDFs transduced with either USP7 or USP11 shRNAs display a senescence-like phenotype with single prominent nucleoli (arrowheads). Whereas Leiden cells transduced with USP7 shRNAs also display this phenotype, Leiden cells expressing USP11 shRNAs continued to proliferate and resembled cells expressing the control shRNA. (F) Semi-quantitative assessment of the senescence phenotype in FDFs and Leiden cells based on the percentage of cells with a single nucleolus.
encoding His6-ubiquitin, and the ubiquitinated proteins were purified on NiNTA beads under denaturing conditions (Figure 7D, lane 3). Under these conditions, MEL18 is both mono- and poly-ubiquitinated. Importantly, over-expression of HA-tagged USP7 or USP11 reduced the overall ubiquitination of MEL18 (Figure 7D, lanes 2 and 4). This seemed to be a direct effect because the catalytically inactive point mutants, USP7C223S and USP11C275S, respectively, had little impact on the ubiquitination status of MEL18 (Figure 7D, lanes 1 and 5). Analogous results were obtained for de-ubiquitination of BMI1 and RING1 (Supplementary Figure S8).

Discussion

In this study, we identify two ubiquitin-specific proteases that are physically and functionally associated with human PRC1 complexes and provide novel insights into their modes of action. At first sight, it seemed odd to find two distinct USPs associated with a complex whose principal function is to act as an E3 ubiquitin ligase for histone H2A (Cao et al., 2005; Buchwald et al., 2006; Li et al., 2006; Elderkin et al., 2007). USP7 and USP11 show no obvious similarities in their primary sequence apart from the catalytic domains common to all USPs (Nijman et al., 2005), and although they have been classified within the same group of USPs based on the number and functional diversity of their binding partners (Sowa et al., 2009), there are few overlaps among the interacting proteins.

Curiously, PcG proteins were not identified in these screens, although there have been previous reports that USP7 co-purifies with PRC1 components in both human and insect cells (van der Knaap et al., 2005; Sanchez et al., 2007). Our data indicate that USP7 or USP11 significantly reduced the amount of chromatin-associated BMI1 and MEL18, but had little effect on the distribution of TFIID or β-tubulin. '*' Indicates a non-specific band. (C) FDFs were treated with MG132 or the solvent control (DMSO) for 4 h and the levels of the indicated proteins were analysed by immunoblotting. (D) 293T cells that stably express MEL18-Flag were transfected with plasmids encoding His6-ubiquitin alone or in combination with HA-USP7WT, HA-USP7C223S, HA-USP11WT or HA-USP11C275S. Ubiquitinated proteins were recovered on NiNTA beads under denaturing conditions and analysed by SDS–PAGE and immunoblotting. In the absence of USPs, MEL18 is clearly ubiquitinated (lane 3), whereas co-expression of wild-type USP7 or USP11 removes the ubiquitin marks (lanes 2 and 4). The catalytically inactive USP7 and USP11 mutants (USP7C>5 and USP11C>5) were unable to remove the ubiquitin mark from MEL18.
data strongly suggest that USP7 and USP11 bind directly to several components of the PRC1 complex, as confirmed using purified recombinant proteins. It will be interesting to determine whether the USPs associate simultaneously with representatives of the Pc, Psc and Sce families and if so through which domains, but there is currently very little information about the interactions between PRC1 components. As a first step, we showed that USP7 and USP11 both associate with the amino terminal region of MEL18, which contains the RING domain. This region of MEL18 (residues 1–121) shares 77% similarity with equivalent regions in BMI1, RING1 and RING2, and it is, therefore, possible that the RING domain represents a common binding site for the two USPs. However, it is not clear whether they bind simultaneously within the same complex and the situation is complicated by the fact that USP7 and USP11 also interact with one another (Sowa et al, 2009; Figure 3D). Interestingly, knockdown of USP7 caused a concomitant decrease in the levels of chromatin-bound USP11, underscoring the close association between these two proteins (Supplementary Figure S7C).

The physiological relevance of this interaction remains unclear as USP7 and USP11 can function independently and have different target specificities. Although USP11 has been shown to interact with a diverse array of cellular proteins (Bouwmeester et al, 2004; Yamaguchi et al, 2007), its de-ubiquitination activity has only been shown for a few substrates (Ideguchi et al, 2002; Lin et al, 2008). Here, we show that USP11 is capable of removing ubiquitin from several components of the PRC1 complex, including BMI1, MEL18 and RING1, but had no apparent effect on the ubiquitination status of H2A and H2B under the assay conditions used.

In contrast, recombinant USP7 was able to de-ubiquitinate both H2A and H2B in vitro. Given the precedents in Drosophila (van der Knaap et al, 2005; Sanchez et al, 2007), we initially thought that human USP7 might be contributing to PcG-mediated silencing by reversing the mono-ubiquitination of histone H2B. However, in the in vitro assay, we did not observe specificity for H2Bub over H2Aub. As the purified USP7 used in these experiments was extracted under high salt conditions, it is possible that an essential co-factor, such as GMP synthetase (van der Knaap et al, 2005), was missing. To try to circumvent the possible limitations of in vitro assays, we also asked whether knockdown of USP7 in FDFs would change the global levels of H2Aub and H2Bub. However, we saw no discernible increase in the extent of ubiquitination on these histones (data not shown). Conversely, over-expression of USP7 did not result in a significant decrease in H2Aub and H2Bub levels. As the human genome encodes at least four times as many deubiquitinating enzymes as Drosophila, it is possible that a different USP has assumed the specialised function of regulating the ubiquitination of H2B in human cells.

Similar to USP11, ectopically expressed USP7 was able to reverse the ubiquitination of the PRC1 proteins themselves. Although complexes formed between recombinant BMI1 or MEL18 with RING1 or RING2 become auto-ubiquitinated (Cao et al, 2005; Buchwald et al, 2006; Li et al, 2006; Elderkin et al, 2007; Supplementary Figure S6), it is not clear whether this accounts for the mono- and poly-ubiquitination of these proteins observed in vivo. Other E3 ligases might be involved, such as the CULLIN3/SPOP complex, which has been shown to ubiquitinate BMI1 (Hernandez-Munoz et al, 2005) or the putative E3 ligase HECD1, which was among the proteins identified in our mass spectrometry analyses of the BMI1 complex (Supplementary Table S1).

It is conceivable that mono- and poly-ubiquitination have different effects in this setting, but the simplest explanation of our findings would be that USP7 and USP11 control the ubiquitin-mediated turnover of the PRC1 proteins. Importantly, knockdown of either USP7 or USP11 reduces the total and chromatin-bound pool of BMI1 and MEL18, and the effects can be mitigated by inhibiting proteasome function. From a functional standpoint, this reduces the availability of PRC1 components resulting in de-repression of target genes such as INK4a. Thus, knockdown of either USP7 or USP11 in primary human fibroblasts resulted in loss of PRC1 and PRC2 occupancy at the INK4a promoter and up-regulation of p16INK4a. The effects were consistently observed with independent shRNAs and the obvious phenotypic consequence was a senescence-like proliferative arrest. In the case of USP11, the arrest appeared to be entirely explained by de-repression of p16INK4a because the Leiden strain of INK4a-deficient fibroblasts were unaffected by USP11 shRNAs. USP11 might participate in DNA damage repair within the BRCA2 pathway (Schoenfeld et al, 2004), but had no apparent effect on p53 (Li et al, 2002; Supplementary Figure S5). In contrast, USP7 (also known as HAUSP), which was originally identified through an interaction with a Herpes simplex virus immediate-early gene (Everett et al, 1997), is credited with a pivotal role in the complex function in the interplay between p53 and MDM2 (Li et al, 2002, 2004; Cummins and Vogelstein, 2004; Cummins et al, 2004; Brooks et al, 2007). Although these effects are generally interpreted in terms of the auto-ubiquitination of MDM2 and the ubiquitin-mediated turnover of p53, MDM2 has also been reported to ubiquitinate H2B (Minsky and Oren, 2004), albeit on residues that may not be relevant for transcriptional activation. Other studies suggest that USP7 influences the nuclear accumulation of PTEN (Song et al, 2008), an effect that is opposed by the PML–DAXX complex. These links have provided an attractive rationale for the over-expression of USP7 commonly seen in human cancers (reviewed in Hussain et al, 2009). On the basis of the findings we report here, the function of USP7 in PcG-mediated repression of INK4a offers an alternative explanation. However, the senescence-like arrest caused by knockdown of USP7 did not appear to be INK4a dependent.

The initial motivation for this study was to better understand the transcriptional regulation of the INK4a tumour suppressor, and in this regard, we have added two ubiquitin-specific proteases, USP7 and USP11, to the extensive list of proteins that are directly or indirectly involved. A future priority will be to explore the physiological contexts in which USP7 and USP11 might be deployed to regulate PRC1 function. The central function of ubiquitination in the regulation of INK4a and the possibility that USPs can promote the bypass of oncogene-induced senescence by stabilising the PRC1 complex provide opportunities for using specific USP inhibitors in a variety of therapeutic contexts.

Materials and methods

Tissue culture

Human dermal fibroblasts (DFD and Leiden strains), 293T- and 293T-derived cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco modified Eagle’s...
medium supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum, 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Lentiviral vector production and infections were performed as described (Maertens et al., 2009). Stably expressing cell lines were made by infecting 293T cells with VSV-G– pseudotyped retrovirus carrying the pQME18-Flag-puro, pQBM1-Flag-puro, pQFlag-USP7-puro, pQFlag-USP11-puro, pQFlag-H2A-puro vectors. The cells were selected with 2.5 μg/ml puromycin. In some experiments, cells were treated with 20 μM MG132 for 4 h to inhibit proteasome function. The control cells were treated with DMSO. Cell proliferation assays were performed by staining with crystal violet, as previously described (McConnell et al., 1998). Five days post-selection, 5 × 10^4 cells were plated out into each well of a 24-well plate and 6 wells were used per time point.

**Plasmid construction**

 Primer sequences used for PCR are listed in Supplementary Table S2. To make pGM-Flag-RING1 and pGM-Flag-RING2, the open-reading frames (ORFs) were PCR amplified from cdNA obtained from 293T cells using primer sets GM207/107 and GM36/109, respectively. The PCR products were sub-cloned between the BamHI and EcoRI sites of pGM-Flag vector (Maertens et al., 2006). pQFlag-puro was engineered by ligating annealed primers GM66/GM67 in NotI- and BamHI-digested pQCXIP (Clontech), giving the following MCS: NotI-Kozak-MfeI-Flag-Agel-Xhol-BamHI. To clone pQFlag-puro in each vector, the encoding sequence was replaced by the HA encoding sequence by ligating the annealed oligonucleotides GM68 and GM69 with MfeI- and AgeI-digested pFlag-puro. To express HA- or Flag-tagged USP7, the USP7 ORF was PCR amplified from 293T-derived cdNA using primers GM131 and GM132, digested with AgeI and Tagged-BamHI and ligated with similarly digested pQH4-puro or pQFlag-puro. A similar approach was taken for USP11 ORF using primers GM135 and GM136 and ligation into with AgeI- and Xhol-digested vectors. Catalytic site mutations were introduced into pQFlag-USP7-puro and pQFlag-USP11-puro using primer sets GM142/143 and GM148/149, respectively. To express USP7 and USP11 as GST-fusion proteins in bacteria, the CBX8-Flag ORF was amplified from pGM-CBX8-Flag (Maertens et al., 2007) using primers GM153 and GM169. The PCR product was digested with BglI and XhoI-digested pCPH6P-BIV IN (Cherepanov, 2007), replacing the BIV IN sequence, giving pGMH6P-CBX8-Flag. The constructs used for expression of Bmi1, Mel18 and Ring1b were described elsewhere (Elderkin et al., 2013). All constructs were sequence verified. USP7 and USP11 were sub-cloned in pGEX-6P-3 in-frame with GST giving pGM-GST-USP7, pGM-GST-USP1122385, pGM-GST-USP11 and pGM-GST-USP1122759.

**Immunoprecipitation**

 Cells were harvested by trypsinisation and washed extensively in ice-cold PBS. All further procedures were performed on ice or at 4°C. The proteins were extracted for 10 min in five volumes of lysis buffer (1% NP-40, 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 30 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 10% glycerol and Roche protease inhibitors) and insoluble debris was removed by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 30 min. In some experiments, the analyses were conducted with nuclear extracts prepared as described (Wysocka et al., 2001). Flag- and HA-tagged proteins were precipitated with 30 μl of anti-Flag-agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich) or anti-HA affinity resin (Covance). Protein complexes were allowed to precipitate by end-over-end rocking for 1 h. After extensive washing, bound proteins were eluted in Laemmli sample buffer or by rocking for 30 min in lysis buffer supplemented with 0.1 mg/ml Flag-peptide (Sigma-Aldrich). Chromatin immunoprecipitations were performed as described in Maertens et al. (2009).

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

 RNA was isolated using the RNA purification kit (Roche) and reverse transcribed using random hexamers and MultiScribe RT (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative PCR was performed using SYBR GREEN master mix (ABI) as advised by the manufacturer. Primer pairs USP7/Q5/AS and USP11/Q5/AS were used to detect USP7 and USP11, respectively (Supplementary Table S5). The primers used to detect the p16INK4a, MEL18, BMI1, and GAPDH were conserved in previous studies (Maertens et al., 2009). Amplification/extension was performed at 60°C apart from the detection of p16INK4a mRNA, which was at 66.5°C.

**Cellular fractionation and size exclusion chromatography**

 Cellular fractionation was as described (Wysocka et al., 2001). For gel filtration, nuclear and cytosolic fractions were isolated as before (Wysocka et al., 2001) and proteins were extracted in the buffer used for gel filtration: 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% CHAPS supplemented with complete, EDTA-free protease inhibitors (Roche) and 1 mM PMFS. Samples (200 μl corresponding to ~2 mg of protein) were loaded on a Superose 6 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) at 0.5 ml/min. Chromatin was eluted in the buffer used previously (Maertens et al., 2009). Annulation/extension was performed at 60°C apart from the detection of p16INK4a mRNA, which was at 66°C.
Laemml buffer. Samples (10 μl) of the relevant fractions were separated on 10% SDS–PAGE gels and the proteins were detected by immunoblotting.

**Purification of recombinant proteins and glutathione-S-transferase pull downs**

To produce GST, GST-USP7 and GST-USP11, the Rosetta (DE3) strain (Novagen) was transformed with the corresponding recombinant-expression vectors. Expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG at 18°C overnight. The cells were harvested and lysed in ice-cold sonication buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA, 0.25% Triton, 1 mM PMSF) supplemented with lysozyme. All further procedures were performed at 4°C. The sonicated sample was cleared by centrifugation at 16 000 g for 30 min and the supernatant was run over a column of 1 ml pre-equilibrated glutathione Sepharose resin (GE Healthcare). The column was washed extensively in 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF and the proteins were eluted in 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 0.1 mM glutathione. Positive fractions were pooled, dialysed overnight in 25 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, 10% glycerol and concentrated on Microcon spin columns with a MW cutoff of 10 kDa. Glycerol was added to final concentration of 20%, and the proteins were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C.

**UBIQUITINATION ASSAYS**

To enrich for ubiquitinated proteins, 293T- or 293T-derived cells were transfected with pMT107 (Treier et al., 1994) to express His6-ubiquitin. After 36h, the cells were washed and harvested by scraping in ice-cold PBS. After centrifugation, the cells were resuspended in 0.5 ml PBS and an input sample (10 μl) was mixed with 50 μl 2 x Laemml sample buffer and boiled for 10 min. The remaining cells were resuspended in 1 ml buffer A (6 M guanidinium hydrochloride, 0.1 M Na2HPO4/NaH2PO4 pH 8.0, 10 mM imidazole) and sonicated. The extract was incubated with 50 μl NINTA agarose (Qiagen) for 3 h at room temperature. The beads were washed twice in buffer A, twice in buffer A/TT (3 volumes buffer A and 1 volume buffer A) and once in buffer B (1 mM DTT). The ubiquitinated proteins were eluted by boiling for 5 min in Laemmli sample buffer supplemented with 5 mM EDTA, separated in 10% SDS–PAGE gels and detected by immunoblotting.

**DE-UBIQUITINATION ASSAYS**

The 293T-derived cell lines that stably express Flag-tagged USP7, USP11 or their active site mutants were harvested by trypsinisation and washed extensively in PBS. Nuclei were prepared by hypotonic lysis and the proteins were extracted with nuclear extraction buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 6.8, 450 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM PMSF and Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitors (Roche)). All procedures were performed on ice or at 4°C. The extracts were cleared by centrifugation at 16 000 g for 30 min and the supernatant was further pre-cleared by end-over-end rocking in the presence of Protein G Sepharose beads. The pre-cleared extracts were then allowed to bind pre-equilibrated 200 μl anti-Flag resin (Sigma) for 3 h. After extensive washes in the nuclear extraction buffer, the beads were washed once in low salt buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 6.8, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM MgCl2), and the proteins were eluted in low salt buffer supplemented with Flag peptide (0.1 mg/ml).

Ubiquitinated H2A and H2B substrates were made as described in Elderkin et al. (2007). After a 1 h incubation, the ubiquitination reactions were stopped by addition of EDTA to a final concentration of 2 mM and held at 37°C for an additional 15 min. Approximately 125 ng of purified Flag-USP was then added to each reaction and the de-ubiquitination was allowed to occur for 1 h at 37°C. The reactions were stopped by boiling the samples in Laemmli buffer. The proteins were separated on a 15% SDS–PAGE gel, and detected by staining with Coomassie R-250.

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Supplementary data are available at The EMBO Journal Online (http://www.embojournal.org).
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