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ABSTRACT

A new silicon monoxide \(^{28}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}) line list covering infrared, visible and ultraviolet regions called SiOUVenIR is presented. This line list extends the infrared EBJT ExoMol line list by including vibronic transitions to the \(A^1\Pi\) and \(E^1\Sigma^+\) electronic states. Strong perturbations to the \(A^1\Pi\) band system are accurately modelled through the treatment of 6 dark electronic states: \(C^1\Sigma^–, D^1\Delta, a^3\Sigma^+, b^3\Pi, e^3\Sigma^–\) and \(d^3\Delta\). Along with the \(X^1\Sigma^+\) ground state, these 9 electronic states were used to build a comprehensive spectroscopic model of SiO using a combination of empirical and \textit{ab initio} curves, including the potential energy (PE), spin-orbit (SO), electronic angular momentum (EAM) and (transition) dipole moment curves. The \textit{ab initio} PE and coupling curves, computed at the multireference configuration interaction (MRCI) level of theory, were refined by fitting their analytical representations to 2617 experimentally derived SiO energy levels determined from 97 vibronic bands belonging to the \(X–X, E–X\) and \(A–X\) electronic systems through the MARVEL procedure. 112 observed forbidden transitions from the \(C–X, D–X, e–X,\) and \(d–X\) bands were assigned using our predictions, and these could be fed back into the MARVEL procedure. The SiOUVenIR line list was computed using published \textit{ab initio} transition dipole moments for the \(E–X\) and \(A–X\) bands; the line list is suitable for temperatures up to 10000 K and for wavelengths longer than 140 nm. SiOUVenIR is available from [www.exomol.com](http://www.exomol.com) and the CDS database.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Silicon monoxide (SiO) has been observed in a wide variety of astronomical environments since its original detection in the interstellar medium (Wilson et al. 1971), and has been found in late-type stars (Cudaback et al. 1971) in addition to being a key astrophysical maser (Snyder & Buhl 1974). Recently, there has been speculation that SiO will be present in the atmospheres of exoplanets, notably in hot rocky planets close to their host star where extreme temperatures cause vaporization of the silicate surface of the planet (Schaefer et al. 2012). Significant quantities of SiO can accumulate in a planetary atmosphere and a detection of SiO would shed light on the interaction between the atmosphere and the crust of hot rocky exoplanets at high temperatures (Herbort et al. 2020). Calculations have shown that SiO absorption should dominate the infrared (IR) and ultraviolet (UV) wavelength regions in the atmospheres of hot rocky super-Earths with molten surfaces,
with prominent features at 4, 10 and 100 μm (Ito et al. 2015). SiO can also become a major opacity source at wavelengths shorter than 0.35 μm and dominate the transmission spectra of ultra-hot Jupiters (Lothringer et al. 2020).

Any observation of SiO in exoplanets relies on accurate molecular opacity data for this molecule. Currently, models use the SiO rotation-vibration-electronic (rovibronic) line list of Kurucz (2011), which covers the $X^1Σ^+ - X^1Σ^+$, $A^1Π - X^1Σ^+$ and $E^1Σ^+ - X^1Σ^+$ electronic bands. Computational approaches to construct molecular line lists have undergone considerable development since the Kurucz SiO line list (Tennyson 2016; Tennyson & Yurchenko 2017). Notably, a robust computer program DUO (Yurchenko et al. 2016) has been developed to compute the rovibronic spectra of diatomic molecules and is able to treat multiple interacting electronic states and their couplings, as is the case in SiO. Secondly, an efficient algorithm known as MARVEL (Measured Active Rotational-Vibrational Energy Levels) (Furtenbacher et al. 2007; Császár et al. 2007; Furtenbacher & Császár 2012; Tóbiás et al. 2019) can analyse all the available published spectroscopic data (line positions) on a molecule and convert it into a consistent set of highly accurate empirical-quality energy levels. These can be used to empirically refine the spectroscopic model of a molecule, ultimately yielding line positions that can meet the demands of high-resolution spectroscopy of exoplanets (Birkby 2018) and be incorporated into the line list directly by replacing calculated values. We thus find it worthwhile to generate a new SiO rovibronic line list that exploits these tools within the ExoMol computational framework (Tennyson & Yurchenko 2018). The line list has been produced for the ExoMol Database (Tennyson & Yurchenko 2012; Tennyson et al. 2016b, 2020), which is providing key molecular data on a range of important molecules for the study of exoplanets and other hot atmospheres.

Experimental sources of SiO spectroscopic data selected for this work include those of Törring (1968); Elander & Lagerqvist (1971); Field et al. (1976); Bredohl et al. (1976); Manson et al. (1977); Lovas et al. (1981); Molkovabababa et al. (1991); Wallace & Livingston (1992); Tsuji et al. (1994); Campbell et al. (1995); Wallace et al. (1996); Sanz et al. (2003) and Müller et al. (2013). Experimentally, the $A^1Π - X^1Σ^+$ band of SiO has been extensively studied in the 1970s by Field et al. (1976) and Bredohl et al. (1976). This band undergoes strong perturbations with nearby ‘dark’ electronic states $C^1Σ^–$, $D^1Δ$, $e^3Σ^+$, $b^3Π$, $e^3Σ^–$ and $d^3Δ$, i.e. states with transitions forbidden from the $X^1Σ^+$ state. These perturbations were thoroughly analysed by Field et al. (1976) and Bredohl et al. (1976) with a significant number of transitions to dark states characterised. This valuable information plays an important role in our calculations as it allows us to use experimental constraints for these 6 dark states, which are otherwise not available.

The strong $E^1Σ^+ - X^1Σ^+$ system of $^{28}Si^{16}O$ was studied by Elander & Lagerqvist (1971) who reported rovibronic transitions up to vibrational levels with $v' = 14$. For this system, the perturbations were not reported with the necessary level of detail and are therefore not covered in our work. The $F^1X^1X$, $J^1X^1K$, $J^1X^1J$, $M^1X^1L$, $N^1X^1N$, $P^1X^1P$, $O^1X^1O$ band systems have also been observed experimentally (Lagerqvist et al. 1973), but not at a resolution high enough for our analysis. These data were not included in the present study.

Lifetimes of SiO states were studied experimentally by Smith & Liszt (1972); Elander & Smith (1973) and comparison with these data provides an important test of the transition intensities predicted by our model.

Some of the key ab initio studies of SiO are by Lauger & Bauschlicher (1993); Drira et al. (1998); Chattopadhyaya et al. (2003); Guo-Liang et al. (2008); Shi et al. (2012); Bauschlicher (2016); Feng & Zhu (2019a) and Feng & Zhu (2019b). The most valuable is the recent work by Bauschlicher (2016) who reported high level potential energy and transition dipole moment curves of SiO, which we have made extensive use of in this work.

We present a new $^{28}Si^{16}O$ line list, called SIOUVenIR, built using an accurate spectroscopic model: empirical potential energy curves (PECs), spin-orbit curves, electronic angular momentum curves (EAMCs) and ab initio dipole moment curves (DMCs) and transition dipole moment curves (TDMCs). As part of the construction of the empirical curves, a MARVEL (Measured Active Rotational-Vibrational Energy Levels) set of empirical energies for $^{28}Si^{16}O$ are produced. The line list covers the $X^1Σ^+ - X^1Σ^+$, $A^1Π - X^1Σ^+$ and $E^1Σ^+ - X^1Σ^+$ electronic systems and includes transitions from the ‘dark’ bands. The SIOUVenIR line list is suitable for temperatures up to 10 000 K and spans wavelengths longer than 140 nm (72 000 cm$^{-1}$). The SIOUVenIR line list builds upon the previous ExoMol study of Barton et al. (2013), which produced the EBJT rotation-vibration line lists for the ground electronic state $X^1Σ^+$ of the main isotopologue $^{28}Si^{16}O$ and the four monosubstituted isotopologues $^{29}Si^{16}O$, $^{30}Si^{16}O$, $^{28}Si^{18}O$, and $^{28}Si^{17}O$.

This paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we perform an analysis of all the published spectroscopic literature on SiO using the MARVEL procedure. The underlying potential energy curves (PECs), dipole moment curves (DMCs), and angular momentum coupling curves of our SiO spectroscopic model are discussed in Section 3. The solution of the coupled Schrödinger equations using DUO (Yurchenko et al. 2016), fitting of the curves via deperturbation of states and the production of the rovibronic line list are discussed in Section 4. The line list and its applications, including lifetimes and comparisons with lab and stellar spectra are presented in Section 5. We conclude in Section 6.
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Figure 1. Spread of uncertainties across transition wavenumber for each electronic band within SiO. Forbidden bands are grouped together in the bottom right plot. Note the different y axis for the $X^1\Sigma^+ - X^1\Sigma^+$ subplot (top left panel).

2 MARVEL

All available experimental transition frequencies of SiO were extracted from the published spectroscopic literature and analysed using the MARVEL algorithm (Furtenbacher et al. 2007; Császár et al. 2007; Furtenbacher & Császár 2012; Tóbiás et al. 2019). This procedure takes a set of assigned transition frequencies with measurement uncertainties and converts it into a consistent set of empirical-quality energy levels with the uncertainties propagated from the input transitions. The SiO data extracted from the literature covers the three main bands involving the $X^1\Sigma^+$, $A^1\Pi$, and $E^1\Sigma^+$ electronic states: $X^1\Sigma^+ - X^1\Sigma^+$, $A^1\Pi - X^1\Sigma^+$ and $E^1\Sigma^+ - X^1\Sigma^+$ as summarised in Table 1. Due to interactions with other electronic states, 112 of the measured rovibronic transitions belong to the four forbidden systems $C^1\Sigma^+ - X^1\Sigma^+$, $D^1\Delta - X^1\Sigma^+$, $e^1\Sigma^+ - X^1\Sigma^+$ and $d^3\Delta - X^1\Sigma^+$, which are usually not identified in the experimental data but can be assigned using our variational calculations. It is important for MARVEL that all transitions are interconnected into a single network, which is not always the case. Here we include the empirical energies of SiO from the accurate ExoMol EBJT line list (Barton et al. 2013) for $v \leq 7$ and $J \leq 100$ in order to fill gaps and connect all experimental data into a single network. According to our experience, EBJT calculated energies are accurate enough for this task.

2.1 Description of experimental sources

Experimental transitions from the ground state system $X - X$ are the same as used by Barton et al. (2013) to construct the EBJT line list. The other sources, denoted by the MARVEL tag, are follows:

$13\text{MuSpBi}$ (Müller et al. 2013): reported 29 rotational microwave transitions of the $X - X$ system for a number of isotopologues of SiO, including $^{28}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}$, for vibrational levels with $v$ up to 51. They have also compiled an extensive source
### Table 1. Breakdown of the assigned transitions by electronic bands for the sources used in this study. A is the number of available transitions and \( V \) is the number of validated. The mean and maximum uncertainties obtained using the MARVEL procedure are given in cm\(^{-1}\).

| Electronic Band | Vibrational Bands | \( J \) Range | Wavenumber Range cm\(^{-1}\) | Mean/Max | \( A/V \) |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------|
| 13HaYuTe        | \( X^3\Sigma^+ - X^1\Sigma^+ \) | (0-0), (1-0), (2-0), (3-0), (4-0), (5-0), (6-0), (7-0), (8-0), (9-0) | 0 - 103 | 1.4 - 7216.6 | 7.37e-02/2.28e-01 | 3426/3426 |
| 68Töring        | \( X^3\Sigma^+ - X^1\Sigma^+ \) | (0-0), (1-1), (2-2), (3-3), (4-4), (5-5), (6-6), (7-7), (8-8), (9-9) | 2 - 64 | 49762.1 - 60025.8 | 3.27e-06/4.08e-06 | 4/4 |
| 71EiLa          | \( X^3\Sigma^+ - X^1\Sigma^+ \) | (0-0), (1-1), (2-2), (3-3), (4-4), (5-5), (6-6), (7-7), (8-8), (9-9) | 0 - 1 | 1.4 - 1.4 | 3.87e-06/4.08e-06 | 4/4 |

#### ExoMol

- a: IR sunspot spectra of SiO by Campbell et al. (1995), which were then re-processed by Müller et al. (2013).

- b: From Müller et al. (2013).
compiled by Müller et al. (2013). Here we use the data provided by Müller et al. (2013), which appeared in two parts, as lab and sunspot data. We retain this structure in our analysis.

92WaLi: 507 IR line positions covering the region of the 1st overtone band (2400 cm$^{-1}$) of $^{28}$Si$^{16}$O were extracted from the IR Spectral Atlases of the Sun by Wallace & Livingston (1992), see Wallace et al. (1996). There is a further sunspot Atlas due to Wallace et al. (1994) which contains identified SiO lines. However this Atlas does not provide line positions so it was not used.

03SaMcTh: 46 rotational (microwave) transitions of SiO by Sanz et al. (2003) covering high vibrational excitations, up to $v = 44$.

76FiLaRe,76BrReCo (Field et al. 1976; Bredohl et al. 1976): The $A^1\Pi$ – $X^1\Sigma^+$ system was reported by two main sources, Field et al. (1976), (0-0), (1-0), (2-0), (3-0), (4-0), (5-0), (6-0), (7-0), (8-0), (9-0), 1102 lines, and Bredohl et al. (1976) (0-1), (0-2), (0-3), 84 lines. This study, a significant number of forbidden transitions to these dark states were measured, which were all assigned as additional $A$–$X$ transitions. MARVEL requires that all transitions are uniquely identified. To this end we initially gave a dummy label $A'$ to all duplicates, which were then properly resolved by comparing to the calculated values using Duo (see below). These data are very important as they provide (the only) access to these forbidden states, which strongly affect the behavior of the ‘bright’ states.

71ElLa: UV data covering the $E$–$X$ system by Elander & Lagerqvist (1971), 2079 lines, $v_{\text{max}}^\prime = 14$.

13BaYuTe: 3426 pseudo-experimental values for $v = 0$...7 and $J = 0$...100 constructed from the EBJT energies as ‘transitions’ from the zero-point state, $X^1\Sigma^+\nu = 0$, $J = 0$ to fill gaps in the MARVEL set and connect otherwise disjoint clusters (so called ‘orphans’ Császár & Fürtenbacher (2011)). They play the same role as MARVEL’s ‘Magic numbers’. The uncertainties $\sigma$ of these pseudo-experimental energies were decided based on the following scheme:

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} 0.01 + 0.0005J(J + 1) & v \leqslant 6, \\ 0.2 + 0.0005J(J + 1) & v = 7 \end{cases}$$

The vibrational analysis of the higher $E$–$X$, $G$–$X$ band systems by Barrow & Rowlinson (1954) was not included in the current study as this work does not contain line positions.

In total, 6051 experimental and 3426 pseudo-experimental (13BaYuTe) transitions were processed via the online MARVEL app (available through a user-friendly web interface at http://kkrr.chem.elte.hu/marvelonline) using the Cholesky (analytic) approach with a 0.5 cm$^{-1}$ threshold on the uncertainty of the “very bad” lines. The spread of transition wavenumbers and their uncertainties is shown in Fig. 1, split by the electronic bands of the transitions. Unsurprisingly the $X^1\Sigma^+\rightarrow X^1\Sigma^+$ band has the lowest uncertainties, reaching an uncertainty of 10$^{-7}$ cm$^{-1}$ for rotational transitions from Sanz et al. (2003) and Müller et al. (2013). The final MARVEL process for SiO resulted in one main spectroscopic network, shown in Fig. 3, containing 2617 energy levels with rotational excitation up to $J = 103$ for molecular states below 61881 cm$^{-1}$. The energy levels are described in Table 2, giving the $J$ and energy range for each vibronic state, as well as the sources of data that contribute to these energy levels. The spread of uncertainties for each vibronic state is shown in Fig. 2, clearly showing the higher accuracy and reliability of the $X^1\Sigma^+$ state energy levels. These energy levels were used in Duo to refine our rovibronic spectroscopic model (PECs, SOCs and EAMCs) corresponding to all states but $X^1\Sigma^+$, which was kept unchanged. We also
did not include the EAM coupling into the fit between \( A \) and \( X \) in order not to destroy the integrity of the \( X \) PEC. The MARVEL input transitions and output energy files are given as part of the supplementary material. Figure 3 is an illustration of the MARVEL spectroscopic network where the nodes show the ro-vibrational energy levels of each electronic state and the lines between them represent the transitions. Almost all energy levels from higher electronic states transition to low vibrational states from \( X^1\Sigma^+ \) which leads to the many offshoots of higher ro-vibrational levels of the \( X^1\Sigma^+ \) state.

3 SPECTROSCOPIC MODEL OF SiO

3.1 Potential energy, spin orbit and electronic angular momentum curves

The initial singlet \( A^1\Pi, C^1\Sigma^-, D^1\Delta, E^1\Sigma^+ \) PECs of SiO were taken from Bauschlicher (2016), see Fig. 4. The initial PECs for the triplet states (Fig. 4) and initial SOCs and EAMCs shown in Fig. 5 were computed \textit{ab initio} in this work using the MRCI/aug-cc-pV5Z level of theory. The \textit{ab initio} curves were then refined by fitting to MARVEL energies. For the \( X^1\Sigma^+ \) PEC we used the empirical curve from Barton et al. (2013).

The \textit{ab initio} calculations in this work were performed using the internally contracted multireference configuration interaction (IC-MRCI-F12c) approach with the F12-optimised correlation consistent basis set, QZ-F12 (Peterson et al. 2008) in the frozen core approximation. The active space and state-averaging was chosen as in Bauschlicher (2016): occupied (8,3,3,0), closed (5,1,1,0) with two \( A_1 \) and one \( \Pi \) states.

Calculations employed the diagonal fixed amplitude ansatz 3C(FIX) (Ten-No 2004) and a Slater geminal exponent value of \( \beta = 1.0 \ a_0^{-1} \) (Hill et al. 2009). MOLPRO2015 (Werner et al. 2012) was used for all electronic structure calculations. A dense grid of 130 Si–O bond lengths was used.

In DUO all PECs were represented using an Extended Morse Oscillator (EMO) function (Lee et al. 1999) as given by

\[
V(r) = V_e + (A_e - V_e) \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\sum_{k=0}^{N} B_k \xi_p^k(r - r_e) \right) \right]^2,
\]

where \( A_e = V_e + D_e \) is the dissociation energy, \( A_e \) is the corresponding asymptote, \( r_e \) is an equilibrium distance of the PEC, and \( \xi_p \) is the Surkus variable (Surkus et al. 1984) given by

\[
\xi_p = \frac{r_P - r_e^p}{r_P + r_e^p}
\]

with \( V_e = 0 \) for the \( X^1\Sigma^+ \) state. All states except \( A^1\Pi \) have a common dissociation limit which we fixed to the ground state dissociation energy \( D_e \) 8.26 eV given by Huber & Herzberg (1979); this value was used to define the \( X \) PEC in (Barton et al.
The spin-orbit curves as the EAMC of $A + E$ and $A - E$ are damping factors.

\[ F(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} B_k z^k (1 - \xi_p) + \xi_p B_{\infty}, \]

where $z$ is the damped-coordinate polynomial given by (for SOCs, EAMCs $A - B$, SRCs):

\[ z = (r - r_{ref}) e^{-\beta_2 (r - r_{ref})^2 - \beta_4 (r - r_{ref})^4}, \]

see also Prajapat et al. (2017) and Yurchenko et al. (2018a). Here $r_{ref}$ is a reference position chosen to be close to $r_e$ of $X^{1}\Sigma^+$ and $\beta_2$ and $\beta_4$ are damping factors.

### Table 2: Summary of experimentally-derived MARVEL energy levels, including uncertainties and data sources in SiO. No is the number of energy levels in that vibrionic state; *Mean/Max* are the mean and maximal values of uncertainties.

| $v$ | $J$ range | E-range (cm$^{-1}$) | No | Max/Max | Sources |
|-----|-----------|---------------------|----|---------|---------|
| 0   | 10 - 13   | 0.0 - 7641.7        | 104| 0.001/0.007 | 03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 13BaYuTe, 68Torring, 77MaClDe, 71Ella, 76FiLaRe, 81LoMaOI, 92Walli, 94ToOhH, 95CaKlDu:lab, 95CaKlDu:sunspot |
| 1   | 0 - 102   | 1229.6 - 8675.5     | 102| 0.002/0.028 | 03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 13BaYuTe, 68Torring, 77MaClDe, 81LoMaOI, 91MoGoVr, 95CaKlDu:lab, 95CaKlDu:sunspot, 71Ella, 76FiLaRe, 92Walli, 94ToOhH |
| 2   | 0 - 103   | 2447.3 - 9981.3     | 104| 0.002/0.001 | 03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 13BaYuTe, 68Torring, 77MaClDe, 81LoMaOI, 91MoGoVr, 92Walli, 94ToOhH, 95CaKlDu:lab, 95CaKlDu:sunspot, 71Ella, 76FiLaRe |
| 3   | 0 - 103   | 3653.2 - 11151.1    | 104| 0.003/0.001 | 03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 13BaYuTe, 68Torring, 77MaClDe, 81LoMaOI, 91MoGoVr, 92Walli, 94ToOhH, 95CaKlDu:lab, 95CaKlDu:sunspot, 71Ella, 76FiLaRe |
| 4   | 0 - 103   | 4847.2 - 12275.3    | 104| 0.004/0.002 | 03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 13BaYuTe, 77MaClDe, 81LoMaOI, 91MoGoVr, 92Walli, 94ToOhH, 95CaKlDu:lab, 95CaKlDu:sunspot, 71Ella, 76FiLaRe |
| 5   | 0 - 102   | 6029.5 - 13264.1    | 103| 0.005/0.014 | 03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 13BaYuTe, 81LoMaOI, 91MoGoVr, 92Walli, 94ToOhH, 95CaKlDu:lab, 95CaKlDu:sunspot |
| 6   | 0 - 101   | 7200.0 - 14244.5    | 102| 0.005/0.014 | 03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 91MoGoVr, 92Walli, 95CaKlDu:sunspot |
| 7   | 0 - 100   | 8358.8 - 15216.6    | 102| 0.005/0.014 | 03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 91MoGoVr, 95CaKlDu:sunspot |
| 8   | 3 - 99    | 9514.1 - 16180.3    | 70 | 0.006/0.02  | 03SaMcTh, 91MoGoVr, 95CaKlDu:sunspot |
| 9   | 4 - 58    | 10654.9 - 17135.6   | 60 | 0.007/0.02  | 03SaMcTh, 91MoGoVr, 95CaKlDu:sunspot |
| 10  | 5 - 81    | 11785.4 - 17384.4   | 47 | 0.005/0.02  | 03SaMcTh, 91MoGoVr, 95CaKlDu:sunspot |
| 11  | 5 - 83    | 12997.5 - 17491.7   | 41 | 0.006/0.02  | 03SaMcTh, 91MoGoVr, 95CaKlDu:sunspot |
| 12  | 8 - 80    | 14026.0 - 16289.5   | 22 | 0.010/0.03  | 03SaMcTh, 95CaKlDu:sunspot |
| 13  | 8 - 89    | 15126.7 - 17460.3   | 16 | 0.014/0.03  | 03SaMcTh, 91MoGoVr, 95CaKlDu:sunspot |

2013). A mass spectrometric dissociation energy by Hildenbrand & Murad (1969) gives $D_0 = 7.93$ eV while Langhoff & Arnold (1979) obtained $8.1$ eV computed using an *ab initio* configuration interaction method.
3.2 Dipole moment curves

The dipole moment curve of \( X^1\Sigma^+ \) was taken from Barton et al. (2013). The (transition) DMCs \( A^1\Pi - X^1\Sigma^+ \), \( E^1\Sigma^+ - X^1\Sigma^+ \) (most important for this study), \( A^1\Pi - A^1\Pi \), \( E^1\Sigma^+ - E^1\Sigma^+ \), \( E^1\Sigma^+ - A^1\Pi \), \( C^1\Sigma^- - A^1\Pi \) were taken from Bauschlicher (2016), and the \( D^3\Delta - A^1\Pi \) TDMC has been computed in this work. The phases of these non-diagonal TDMCs were selected to be consistent with the phases of the \textit{ab initio} curves produced in our MOLPRO calculations. The \textit{ab initio} (transitional) dipole moment curves used in this work are shown in Fig. 6.

The \textit{ab initio} DMC of \( X^1\Sigma^+ \) was modelled using the expansion

\[
\mu(r) = (1 - \xi_p) \sum_{k=0}^{N} C_k z^k + \xi_p C_{\infty},
\]

where \( z \) is taken as the damped-coordinate \( z \) from Eq. (4), see also Prajapat et al. (2017) and Yurchenko et al. (2018a). This was done to reduce the numerical errors commonly appearing in simulations of spectra of high overtones, see Medvedev et al. (2016). Here \( C_k \) and \( C_{\infty} \) are adjustable parameters. The expansion centre \( r_{\text{ref}} \) is typically chosen to be close to the equilibrium...
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Figure 6. *Ab initio* (transition) dipole moment curves used in this work are from Bauschlicher (2016) except $X-X$ which is taken from (Barton et al. 2013) and the $D^1\Delta-A^1\Pi$ TDMC which is computed in this work.

Figure 7 illustrates the main perturbations of the $A^1\Pi$ system ($v = 0, \ldots, 9$) caused by the nearby lying electronic states $C^1\Sigma^-, D^1\Delta, a^3\Sigma^+, b^3\Pi, c^3\Sigma^-$ and $d^3\Delta$. This figures shows all empirical and theoretical (Duo) term values as a function of $J$, reduced as

$$\tilde{E}_\alpha = E_\alpha - 0.631J(J+1)$$
to remove the major $J(J+1)$ contribution.

The empirical term values representing the $A-X$ system were obtained by combing the experimental transition frequencies by Field et al. (1976); Bredohl et al. (1976) and the accurate lower state $X$ of SiO as taken from the ExoMol line list EBJT for SiO. All perturbing states are dark, i.e. electric dipole transitions to and from the $X^1\Sigma^+$ state are forbidden, and appear in the experiment only because of the interaction with the ‘bright’ $A^1\Pi$ state, allowed for $X^1\Sigma^+$, via the so-called intensity borrowing. These interactions are very local to the crossing, giving rise to more transitions than would otherwise be expected for the $A-X$ system. The $J$ dependencies of the energies around each crossing break the rovibronic sequences of the $A$ term values. The shape of the crossing is very specific to the character of the crossing state, not only to its multiplicity, but also to the curvature showing the differences between the corresponding rotational constants: the larger the difference of $B$ from 0.631 cm$^{-1}$, the steeper the $J$ curve. These shapes represent signatures of the state in question and are used to reconstruct their relative positions on the energy diagrams as in Fig. 7.

Field et al. (1976) reported a detailed analysis of all perturbations that appeared in the $A-X$ spectra they observed, including assignments of the crossing rovibronic energies and estimates of the corresponding spectroscopic constants. This information was extremely valuable for our analysis. We first used their spectroscopic constants to reconstruct rovibronic energies of the perturbing state $C^3\Sigma^+$, $D^1\Delta$, $a^1\Sigma^+$, $b^1\Pi$, $\epsilon^1\Sigma^-$ and $d^1\Delta$ around crossing points with the program PGOPHER (Western 2017) and then to initially refine the corresponding ab initio curves by fitting to these empirical energies using DUO. This allowed us to correlate the DUO energy levels to the experimental (MARVEL) values and properly assign some of the duplicate transitions from Bredohl et al. (1976) and Field et al. (1976) to forbidden vibronic systems $C-X$, $D-X$, $c-X$ and $d-X$, with the help of the detailed description of interactions provided by Field et al. (1976). This was important because the ab initio spectroscopic model (PECs and SOCs) is not accurate enough for predicting the exact vibronic state, especially when the corresponding rotational quantum numbers are very high ($v = 10–20$). The re-assignments of 112 transitions from Bredohl et al. (1976) and Field et al. (1976) are listed in Tables 4 and 5.

There are no experimental line positions for the $a^3\Sigma^+$ state. Hager et al. (1975) estimated $T_0$ as 33 409 cm$^{-1}$, which we used to adjust the corresponding $T_0$ constant of this state.

Our coupled model significantly improves the description of the perturbations. The residual errors between the observed and calculated values (obs–calc) are illustrated in Fig. 8. In fitting, we used the EMO form in Eq. (1) to represent the PECs and the damped form in Eq. (5) to represent the morphing of SOCs of the couplings with the perturbing states. Owing to the scarcity of information on dark states, only a minimal number of the expansion parameters $B_k$ could be obtained. The assignment of the dark states around state crossings is illustrated in Fig. 7, where the experimental energy values (open red circles) are overlaid with the theoretical ones. Most of the experimental points nicely follow the $A$ state sequences, except around the crossing points where these sequences break and follow the corresponding patterns of the dark states, forming distinct patterns. It should be noted that the rovibronic assignment of interacting levels exactly at their crossing is always ambiguous owing to the mixed nature of the resonating states.

Figure 8 shows the residuals representing our fit. Some of the $A$ state energies still show small perturbations due to interactions with dark electronic states, which are not fully accounted for in our model.
Figure 7. Perturbations of the $A^1\Pi$ state term values by 'dark' states. Reduced energy term values $E = 0.631J(J + 1)$ are shown as a function of $J$. The red open circles represent experimental levels from the $A$ system. The filled symbols represent the calculated (Duo) levels with the red circles indicating the $A$ states.
Table 5. Assignment of forbidden transitions from Field et al. (1976).

| ν (cm⁻¹) | State' | J' v' e/f | Ω | J'' v'' | ν (cm⁻¹) | State' | J' v' e/f | Ω | J'' v'' |
|----------|--------|-----------|---|---------|----------|--------|-----------|---|---------|
| 45912.81 | C 1Σ⁻ | 13 11 f   | 0 | 13 0   | 46677.51 | d 3Δ | 21 15 e | 1 | 20 0 |
| 45906.48 | C 1Σ⁻ | 14 11 f   | 0 | 14 0   | 46647.05 | d 3Δ | 21 15 f | 1 | 21 0 |
| 45899.97 | C 1Σ⁻ | 15 11 f   | 0 | 15 0   | 46615.12 | d 3Δ | 21 15 e | 1 | 22 0 |
| 45893.63 | C 1Σ⁻ | 16 11 f   | 0 | 16 0   | 46673.84 | d 3Δ | 24 15 e | 3 | 23 0 |
| 45882.76 | C 1Σ⁻ | 17 11 f   | 0 | 17 0   | 46639.16 | d 3Δ | 24 15 f | 3 | 24 0 |
| 45875.02 | C 1Σ⁻ | 18 11 f   | 0 | 18 0   | 46602.92 | d 3Δ | 24 15 e | 3 | 25 0 |
| 44940.32 | C 1Σ⁻ | 40 10 f   | 0 | 40 0   | 45753.88 | d 3Δ | 37 14 f | 2 | 37 0 |
| 44914.70 | C 1Σ⁻ | 41 10 f   | 0 | 41 0   | 45698.94 | d 3Δ | 37 14 e | 2 | 38 0 |
| 44898.36 | C 1Σ⁻ | 42 10 f   | 0 | 42 0   | 45243.94 | d 3Δ | 39 9 f  | 0 | 39 0 |
| 44533.07 | D 3Δ   | 36 6 e    | 2 | 37 0   | 42847.91 | d 3Δ | 39 9 f  | 0 | 39 0 |
| 42558.45 | D 3Δ   | 36 6 e    | 2 | 35 0   | 45713.65 | d 3Δ | 41 14 f | 1 | 41 0 |
| 42506.71 | D 3Δ   | 36 6 f    | 2 | 36 0   | 48254.23 | e 3Σ⁻ | 9 15 f  | 1 | 9 0 |
| 46720.58 | d 3Δ   | 12 15 e   | 3 | 11 0   | 48249.98 | e 3Σ⁻ | 10 15 f | 1 | 10 0 |
| 46703.19 | d 3Δ   | 12 15 f   | 3 | 12 0   | 48245.56 | e 3Σ⁻ | 11 15 f | 1 | 11 0 |
| 46684.37 | d 3Δ   | 12 15 e   | 3 | 13 0   | 48230.81 | e 3Σ⁻ | 12 15 f | 1 | 12 0 |
| 46715.29 | d 3Δ   | 13 15 e   | 2 | 12 0   | 48225.27 | e 3Σ⁻ | 13 15 f | 1 | 13 0 |
| 46966.51 | d 3Δ   | 13 15 f   | 2 | 13 0   | 48255.85 | e 3Σ⁻ | 14 15 e | 1 | 14 0 |
| 46876.19 | d 3Δ   | 13 15 e   | 2 | 14 0   | 48213.84 | e 3Σ⁻ | 14 15 e | 1 | 15 0 |
| 47101.09 | D 3Δ   | 36 6 e    | 2 | 14 0   | 48251.55 | e 3Σ⁻ | 15 7 e  | 0 | 14 0 |
| 46689.90 | D 3Δ   | 14 15 f   | 2 | 14 0   | 48206.65 | e 3Σ⁻ | 15 15 e | 0 | 16 0 |
| 46668.11 | d 3Δ   | 14 15 e   | 2 | 15 0   | 48247.24 | e 3Σ⁻ | 16 15 e | 1 | 15 0 |
| 46700.44 | d 3Δ   | 15 15 e   | 3 | 14 0   | 48199.56 | e 3Σ⁻ | 16 15 e | 1 | 17 0 |
| 46787.73 | d 3Δ   | 15 15 f   | 3 | 15 0   | 44272.94 | e 3Σ⁻ | 26 9 e  | 0 | 25 0 |
| 46555.61 | d 3Δ   | 15 15 e   | 3 | 16 0   | 44264.79 | e 3Σ⁻ | 27 9 e  | 0 | 26 0 |
| 46670.75 | d 3Δ   | 16 15 e   | 2 | 16 0   | 44227.74 | e 3Σ⁻ | 29 9 f  | 1 | 29 0 |
| 46646.05 | d 3Δ   | 16 15 e   | 2 | 17 0   | 44215.43 | e 3Σ⁻ | 30 9 f  | 1 | 30 0 |
| 46703.19 | D 3Δ   | 18 15 e   | 3 | 17 0   | 44203.50 | e 3Σ⁻ | 34 9 f  | 1 | 31 0 |
| 46673.70 | D 3Δ   | 18 15 f   | 3 | 18 0   | 44183.19 | e 3Σ⁻ | 34 9 f  | 1 | 32 0 |
| 46649.70 | d 3Δ   | 18 15 e   | 3 | 19 0   | 44170.00 | e 3Σ⁻ | 34 9 f  | 1 | 33 0 |
| 46696.69 | d 3Δ   | 19 15 e   | 3 | 18 0   | 44155.74 | e 3Σ⁻ | 34 9 f  | 1 | 34 0 |
| 46669.20 | d 3Δ   | 19 15 f   | 3 | 19 0   | 44124.53 | e 3Σ⁻ | 36 9 e  | 1 | 35 0 |
| 46640.24 | d 3Δ   | 19 15 e   | 3 | 20 0   | 44110.19 | e 3Σ⁻ | 36 9 e  | 1 | 37 0 |
| 46685.22 | d 3Δ   | 20 15 e   | 3 | 19 0   | 44197.39 | e 3Σ⁻ | 37 9 e  | 1 | 36 0 |
| 46656.19 | d 3Δ   | 20 15 f   | 3 | 20 0   | 44089.06 | e 3Σ⁻ | 37 9 e  | 1 | 38 0 |
| 46625.89 | d 3Δ   | 20 15 e   | 3 | 21 0   | 43958.58 | e 3Σ⁻ | 38 14 f | 1 | 38 0 |

Figure 8. Residuals (Obs.-Calc.) between the experimentally determined energies of SiO (A 1Π and E 1Σ⁺) from our MARVEL analysis and the Dco energies corresponding to our refined spectroscopic model.
Table 6: Extract from the states file of the SiOUnIR line list for $^{28}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}$.

| i  | Energy (cm$^{-1}$) | $g_J$ | $\tau$ | $g$ | Parity | State | $\nu$ | $\Lambda$ | $\Sigma$ | $\Omega$ | $\text{Label}$ | $\text{Calc.}$ |
|----|-----------------|------|-------|----|--------|-------|------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| 1666 | 44144.709385   | 7    | 3     | 8  | 9.20000 | 0.01688E-03 | 1.1217 | + | f | d3Delta | 11 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Ca | 44144.709385 |
| 1667 | 44149.467240   | 7    | 3     | 8  | 9.20000 | 2.6619E-04 | 0.4728 | + | f | d3Delta | 11 | 2 | 0 | 2 | Ca | 44149.467240 |
| 1668 | 44154.189842   | 7    | 3     | 8  | 9.20000 | 1.0477E-04 | -0.2607 | + | f | d3Delta | 11 | 2 | -1 | 1 | Ca | 44154.189842 |
| 1669 | 44209.302522   | 7    | 3     | 8  | 9.20000 | 6.3159E-01 | 0.5301 | + | f | b3Pi | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Ca | 44209.302522 |
| 1670 | 44276.546978   | 7    | 3     | 8  | 9.20000 | 1.0252E-03 | 0.0905 | + | f | b3Pi | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Ca | 44276.546978 |
| 1671 | 44314.520663   | 7    | 3     | 0.050000 | 2.8958E-08 | 0.0835 | + | f | A1Pi | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Ma | 44314.597828 |
| 1672 | 44342.823666   | 7    | 3     | 8  | 9.20000 | 4.8501E-01 | -0.0368 | + | f | b3Pi | 11 | 1 | -1 | 0 | Ca | 44342.823666 |
| 1673 | 44421.259298   | 7    | 3     | 8  | 9.20000 | 1.7643E-05 | 0.1667 | + | f | e3Σ | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | Ca | 44421.259298 |
| 1674 | 44463.770855   | 7    | 3     | 12.120000 | 5.8333E-01 | 0.050000 | 2.8958E-08 | 0.0835 | + | f | A1Pi | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Ma | 44463.770855 |
| 1675 | 44472.524815   | 7    | 3     | 12.120000 | 8.5180E-01 | -0.4164 | + | f | a3Σ | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ca | 44472.524815 |
| 1676 | 44644.518546   | 7    | 3     | 12.120000 | 1.8929E+00 | 0.5833 | + | f | A1Pi | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Ma | 44644.518546 |
| 1677 | 44787.014146   | 7    | 3     | 7.320000 | 3.0209E-01 | 0.3333 | + | f | D1Δ | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | Ca | 44787.014146 |
| 1678 | 44814.070066   | 7    | 3     | 7.320000 | 3.0209E-01 | 0.3333 | + | f | D1Δ | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | Ca | 44814.070066 |
| 1679 | 44818.761972   | 7    | 3     | 7.320000 | 3.0209E-01 | 0.3333 | + | f | D1Δ | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | Ca | 44818.761972 |
| 1680 | 44823.422427   | 7    | 3     | 7.320000 | 3.0209E-01 | 0.3333 | + | f | D1Δ | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | Ca | 44823.422427 |
| 1681 | 45075.695373   | 7    | 3     | 7.320000 | 3.0209E-01 | 0.3333 | + | f | D1Δ | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | Ca | 45075.695373 |

$i$: State counting number.
$E$: State energy term values in cm$^{-1}$, MARVEL or Calculated (Duo).
$g_J$: Total statistical weight, equal to $g/(2J+1)$.
$\tau$: Total angular momentum.
$unc$: Uncertainty, cm$^{-1}$.
$\nu$: Total parity.
$\Lambda$: Projection of the total angular momentum.
$\Sigma$: Projection of the electronic angular momentum.
$\Omega$: Projection of the total angular momentum.
$\text{Label}$: ‘Ma’ is for MARVEL and ‘Ca’ is for Calculated.

5 LINE LIST AND SIMULATIONS OF SPECTRA OF SiO

The SiOUnIR line list was produced with DUO using the refined spectroscopic model of SiO (provided as supplementary material). It contains 91 395 763 transitions and 174 250 states for $X^1Σ^+$, $A^1Π$, $E^1Σ^+$, $C^1Σ^-$, $D^1Δ$, $b^3Σ^+$, $b^3Π$, $e^3Σ^-$ and $d^3Δ$, covering wavenumbers up to 72 000 cm$^{-1}$ ($\lambda < 140$ nm) and $J = 0 \ldots 250$. The line list is provided in State and Transition files, as is customary for the ExoMol format (Tennyson et al. 2020). Extracts from the States and Trans files are shown in Tables 6 and 7, respectively; the full files are available from www.exomol.com and CDS. The lines list contains state uncertainties, Landé-g factors (Semenov et al. 2017), lifetimes (Tennyson et al. 2016a) and a partition function which is very similar to that of EBJT due to the negligible contribution from the energy levels belonging to the excited electronic states.

The calculated energies were replaced with the MARVEL values (MARVELised), where available. We have used the labels ‘Ca’ and ‘Ma’ in the penultimate column of the States file to indicate if the value is calculated (Duo) or MARVELised, respectively.

The uncertainty values in the States file correspond to two cases: the MARVEL uncertainties are used for MARVELised energies, while for the calculated values the following approximate expression is used:

$$unc = av + bJ(J + 1),$$

where $a$ and $b$ are electronic state dependent constant, defined in Table 8.

Figure 9 illustrates the three main bands of SiO compared against a spectrum generated from the Kurucz (2011) line list at 2000 K. We see increased deviation between the spectra with increased energy due to Figure 10 illustrates the evolution of the spectrum with increasing temperature.

Figure 11 shows a spectrum of SiO computed using transitions between the ‘MARVELised’ (i.e. more accurate) states only. It illustrates the completeness of the MARVEL part of the line list for high-resolution spectroscopic applications, such as high-dispersion spectroscopy of exoplanets (Snellen 2014).

As an illustration of of the quality of the SiO line list in the UV, we compare theoretical SiO spectra with existing experimental spectroscopic studies of SiO from this region of two prominent bands, $A-X$ and $E-X$. Figure 12 compares absorption spectra from the $A-X$ bands from two different regions, 234–236 nm and 250–290 nm to the fluorescence spectrum by Coursimault et al. (2003) (Left) and shock-tube absorption spectrum by Park & Arnold (1978) (Right), respectively. To reproduce the fluorescence spectrum at the 235 nm band, a non local thermodynamic equilibrium (non-LTE) population...
Table 7. Extract from the transitions file of the SiOUVenIR line list for $^{28}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}$.

| $f$ | $i$ | $A_{fi}$ (s$^{-1}$) | $\tilde{\nu}_{fi}$ |
|-----|-----|--------------------|------------------|
| 18  | 508 | 2.4093E+01        | 2097.041341      |
| 508 | 16  | 8.0507E+00        | 2099.618181      |
| 818 | 506 | 9.6724E+00        | 2100.876729      |
| 1928| 816 | 1.0376E+01        | 2102.115330      |
| 3528| 1926| 1.0772E+01        | 2103.333959      |
| 7157| 8325| 1.1301E+01        | 2105.711204      |
| 3958| 3526| 1.1372E+01        | 2105.711204      |
| 5128| 3956| 1.1350E+01        | 2105.711204      |
| 5558| 5126| 1.1458E+01        | 2105.711204      |
| 5557| 6725| 1.1455E+01        | 2105.711204      |
| 6727| 7155| 1.1546E+01        | 2105.711204      |

$f$: Upper state counting number;  
i: Lower state counting number;  
$A_{fi}$: Einstein-A coefficient in s$^{-1}$;  
$\tilde{\nu}_{fi}$: transition wavenumber in cm$^{-1}$.

Table 8. $a$ and $b$ constants defining state dependent uncertainties via Eq. (6).

| State     | $a$  | $b$  |
|-----------|------|------|
| $X^1\Sigma^+$ | 0.002| 0.0001|
| $A^1\Pi$    | 0.5  | 0.001|
| $E^1\Sigma^+$ | 0.5  | 0.001|
| All other   | 0.8  | 0.001|

of $T_{\text{rot}} = 1000$ K and $T_{\text{vib}} = 5000$ K was used. Figure 13 provides an illustration for the $E - X$ band by comparing the shock-tube absorption spectrum by Park (1978) a SiOUVenIR synthetic spectrum computed for $T = 3740$ K (LTE). All three cases demonstrate fairly good agreement with experiment.

As an astrophysical application, Fig. 15 shows a simulation of the stellar spectrum of the star HD61913, taken from Lebzelter et al. (2012). This part of the spectrum features the 2–0 IR band, with the band head at 4044 nm. The small satellite bandhead belongs to the 3–1 hot band. The absorption spectrum (air) of SiO was generated from the SiOUVenIR line list using the Lorentzian line profile with a HWHM of 0.2 cm$^{-1}$ with the Beer-Lambert law then applied plotted next to the HD61913 spectrum. In addition to the local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) spectrum calculated with the star’s effective temperature of 3530 K, a non-LTE spectrum (calculated with a rotational temperature of 1800 K and a vibrational temperature of 3530 K) is also plotted. The non-LTE spectrum demonstrates improved similarity to the stellar spectrum, particularly with regard to the satellite 3–1 bandhead next to the 2–0 band head.

Table 9 shows a comparison of these works with the calculated lifetimes for the $A^1\Pi$ and $E^1\Sigma^+$ states. In our work, the output from DUO was used as the input for the ExoCross program (Yurchenko et al. 2018b) to calculate lifetimes, see also Tennyson et al. (2016a). It should be noted that the theoretical lifetimes show slow dependence on $J$ and tend to monotonically increase with $v$ up to about 100 ns for $v = 40$. This means that an observed lifetime for the state will be temperature dependent.

For the $A^1\Pi$ state at $v' = 0$, $J' = 0$ our lifetime value is 28.5 ns, which is in close agreement with some previous theoretical works (Bauschlicher 2016; Feng & Zhu 2019a; Chattopadhyaya et al. 2003), but is twice the calculated lifetime predicted by Langhoff & Arnold (1979) and Drira et al. (1998), which seem to be closer to the experimental value of Smith & Liszt (1972). While it is hard for us to reconcile these large differences, as we use a very high accuracy ab initio model for TDMCs, we attribute the difference with the experimental work Smith & Liszt (1972) to be due to the lower perturbing states.

For the $E^1\Sigma^+$ state at $v' = 0$, $J' = 0$ our value is 11.23 ns, which is in close agreement with some very recent calculations (Bauschlicher 2016; Feng & Zhu 2019a) and experiment (Elander & Smith 1973).
Figure 9. Simulated SiO absorption spectra at 2000 K showing the three main bands of the UV-IR system. A Gaussian profile of half width at half maximum (HWHM) of 1 cm$^{-1}$ was used. The spectrum based on the line list by Kurucz (2011) is indicated for comparison.

Table 9. Comparison of the experimental and calculated lifetimes, $\tau$ (ns), for the $A^1\Pi$ and $E^1\Sigma^+$ vibrational ground states. ‘This work’ values are quoted for $v' = 0, J' = 0$.

| $A^1\Pi$ source | $E^1\Sigma^+$ source |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| This work       | This work            |
| 28.5            | 11.23               |
| 9.6             | Smith & Liszt (1972)| Elander & Smith (1973) |
| 28.9            | Chattopadhyaya et al. (2003) | Chattopadhyaya et al. (2003) |
| 49.5            | Oddershede & Elander (1976) |
| 16.6            | Langhoff & Arnold (1979) |
| 12.5            | Drira et al. (1998) |
| 29.3            | Bauschlicher (2016) |
| 32.34           | Feng & Zhu (2019a) |

6 CONCLUSION

A new IR and UV line list for SiO is presented. The SiOUVenIR line list supersedes the original SiO ExoMol line list from Barton et al. (2013). SiOUVenIR is available from www.exomol.com and the CDS database, via ftp://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/pub/cats/J/MNRAS/, or http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/MNRAS/

As part of the line list construction, a MARVEL analysis for $^{28}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}$ was performed. All experimental line positions from the literature (to the best of our knowledge) covering the IR and UV regions ($X-X$, $A-X$ and $E-X$ systems) were collected and processed to generate a comprehensive set of empirical energies of $^{28}\text{Si}^{16}\text{O}$. Forbidden experimental transitions connecting the $X$ state with the dark electronic states of the $C$, $D$, $e$, and $d$ system were assigned using our fully coupled description of the rovibronic system. An accurate spectroscopic model for SiO was built: $ab$ initio TDMC and empirically refined PECs, SOCs, EAMCs. This allowed us to make full line assignments to transitions associated with perturbing states.

The line list was MARVELised, where the theoretical energies are replaced with the MARVEL values (where available). The line list provides uncertainties of the rovibronic states in order to help in high-resolution applications. Comparisons of simulated UV spectra show close agreement with experiment, similarly with computed lifetimes.

This SiOUVenIR linelist was largely constructed through two online “Hackathon” days during 2020 via the online video-conferencing Zoom platform. The team was split into “Breakout rooms” which each had a particular task ($ab$ initio, MARVEL, fitting, etc.). These tasks were largely independent for initial iterations of this new line list. The online Hackathon days had the advantage of including co-authors who would not normally be able to participate in “in-person” Hackathons.
Figure 10. Temperature dependence of the SiO spectra using the SiOUVenIR line list. A Gaussian profile with half width at half maximum (HWHM) of 1 cm$^{-1}$ was used.

Figure 11. A SiO absorption spectrum at $T = 2000$ K simulated using transitions between MARVEL states only. A Gaussian profile with HWHM of 1 cm$^{-1}$ was used.
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Figure 14. A comparison between cross sections generated from SiOUVenIR and the experimental data from Hermann et al. (2001). SiOUVenIR cross sections are generated using Lorentzian line profiles with HWHM = 4.5 cm$^{-1}$, in simulated non-LTE with a rotational temperature of 3000 K and a vibrational temperature of 4000 K.

Figure 15. A comparison of the SiO spectrum (in air) using the SiOUVenIR line list with a section of the stellar spectrum of HD61913 from Lebzelter et al. (2012) showing the 2-0 (middle) and 3-1 (left) IR band region. A Lorentzian line profile with HWHM of 0.2 cm$^{-1}$ was used. For the LTE spectrum, the equilibrium temperature of 3530 K was used. The non-LTE spectrum was computed for $T_{\text{rot}} = 1800$ K and $T_{\text{vib}} = 3530$ K.

7 DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary material.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

The MARVEL input transitions file and output energy levels file, the DUO input file, which contains all the potential energy, dipole moment and coupling curves of SiO used in this work, and the temperature-dependent partition function of $^{28}$Si$^{16}$O up to 10000 K are given as supplementary only data to this article. The full SiOUVenIR line list is available from www.exomol.com and the CDS database, via ftp://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/pub/cats/J/MNRAS/, or http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/MNRAS/.
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