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Development of a MALDI MS-based platform for early detection of acute kidney injury
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Purpose: Septic acute kidney injury (AKI) is associated with poor outcome. This can partly be attributed to delayed diagnosis and incomplete understanding of the underlying pathophysiology. Our aim was to develop an early predictive test for AKI based on the analysis of urinary peptide biomarkers by MALDI-MS.

Experimental design: Urine samples from 95 patients with sepsis were analyzed by MALDI-MS. Marker search and multimarker model establishment were performed using the peptide profiles from 17 patients with existing or within the next 5 days developing AKI and 17 with no change in renal function. Replicates of urine sample pools from the AKI and non-AKI patient groups and normal controls were also included to select the analytically most robust AKI markers.

Results: Thirty-nine urinary peptides were selected by cross-validated variable selection to generate a support vector machine multidimensional AKI classifier. Prognostic performance of the AKI classifier on an independent validation set including the remaining 61 patients of the study population (17 controls and 44 cases) was good with an area under the receiver operating characteristics curve of 0.82 and a sensitivity and specificity of 86% and 76%, respectively.

Conclusion and clinical relevance: A urinary peptide marker model detects onset of AKI with acceptable accuracy in septic patients. Such a platform can eventually be transferred to the clinic as fast MALDI-MS test format.
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1 Introduction

The reported incidence of acute kidney injury (AKI) associated with sepsis is steadily increasing, estimated at 2.8% per annum [1]. This is due to an increase of comorbidity conditions and the severity of illness [1]. Whereas in hospital mortality rate for AKI decreased from 41.3% in 1988 to 28.1% in 2002 [2], there is an increasing concern that AKI is associated with later development of chronic kidney disease (CKD) [3–7].

The severity of AKI also has a direct effect on the outcomes and even small increases in serum creatinine levels are inversely related to long-term survival of patients, length of hospital stay, and costs [8]. Overall, little progress has been made in AKI management and its associated complications so that the overall outcome remains grim [1,9,10].

There are several explanations for the lack of progress in the field of septic AKI. Serum creatinine only starts to rise hours after the initial insult to the kidney [11]. Moreover, it is a functional parameter that can also increase physiologically, e.g. in case of dehydration. During sepsis, creatinine
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Clinical Relevance

There is a clinical need for new biomarkers enabling a more accurate and timely detection of septic acute kidney injury (AKI) which in turn might lead to an improvement in both short and long-term outcome. The reported incidence of sepsis and hence septic AKI is steadily increasing. However, relatively little progress has been made in its management, and outcome remains grim. Relying on existing single component biomarkers for early AKI diagnosis, has proven to be disappointing because these single biomarkers are unable to capture the complex pathophysiological process of septic AKI. A panel of biomarkers might be more appropriate for this purpose and allow for earlier diagnosis of septic AKI compared to single biomarkers and standard parameters such as serum creatinine and urinary output.

In this study, we aimed to use the MALDI-MS platform in a cohort of sepsis patients to identify a marker panel for timely AKI diagnosis. This might lead to a better outcome in sepsis patients, by limiting fluid overload [22,23] and preventing further renal damage through avoidance of nephrotoxic drugs [24].

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Patients and samples

The urinary low molecular weight proteome of 95 out of 195 septic patients enrolled in the clinical trial NCT01981993 and admitted to the Ghent University Hospital between 12/01/2010 and 27/03/2011 was analyzed by MALDI-MS. For patient selection in this nested case–control study, patients of the original study were grouped into AKI cases and controls and within these groups randomly selected for MALDI analysis using the random sample generator of MedCalc 11.4.1.0 (Mariakerke, Belgium). We defined sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock according to the American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine Consensus Conference [25]. AKI was defined according to the risk, injury, failure, loss of kidney function, and end-stage renal disease (RIFLE) guidelines [26] based on both serum creatinine and urinary output criteria within the first 5 days after admission. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and ethical approval was obtained from the Ghent University Hospital ethics committee. The study conformed to the Helsinki Declaration standards.

Urine samples were collected from the urinary catheter within 12 hours after intensive care unit (ICU) admission and after transfer to a 10 mL-Monovette immediately frozen and stored at ~80°C until analysis. From the 95-patient set, 17 patients with and 17 patients without onset of AKI were...
Table 1. Clinical and demographic data of the sepsis patients with (case group) or without (control group) AKI progression included in the training and test sets of the case–control study for MALDI AKI model establishment

| Parameter                                                                 | Training sets | Test sets | \( p^b \) | Training sets | Test sets | \( p^b \) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|----------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| Patients/samples (n)                                                      | 17/17         | 17/17     |          | 44/44         | 17/17     |          |
| Age (years)\(^a\)                                                        | 62 (39–81)    | 58 (18–83)| 0.65     | 62 (24–89)    | 57 (17–77)| 0.33     |
| Gender male (%)                                                           | 52.9          | 64.7      | 0.73     | 40.9          | 52.9      | 0.57     |
| APACHE II score during the first 24h after ICU admission\(^b\)            | 22 (15–32)    | 20 (12–36)| 0.24     | 25 (9–41)     | 19 (1–28)| 0.03     |
| Serum creatinine at ICU admission (mg/dL)\(^b\)                          | 0.83 (0.42–1.32)| 0.85 (0.34–1.79)| 0.58 | 0.85 (0.27–2.20)| 0.90 (0.55–1.31)| 0.32 |
| Historical baseline CKD-EPI (ml/min/1.73m\(^2\))\(^b\)                   | 87.3 (55.8–124.2)| 95.7 (38.4–149.2)| 0.37 | 89.6 (26.2–195.4)| 82.7 (44.1–115.2)| 0.41 |
| AKI as defined by RIFLE at the first day of admission, No AKI|R/I/Fc\(^c\) (%) | 0/41/53/6 | 100/0/0/0 | -0.0001 | 0/23/45/32 | 100/0/0/0 | -0.0001 |
| CKD on admission, CKD-EPI < 60 mL/min/1.73m\(^2\) (%)                    | 5.9           | 11.8      | 1.00     | 9.1           | 11.8      | 1.00     |
| Mortality rate, after 3 mo/1 yr/2 yr (%)                                  | 35.3/35.3/35.3| 29.4/52.9/64.7| 0.04 | 31.8/45.5/59.1| 23.5/41.2/52.9| 0.84 |
| Need for RRT during ICU (%)                                               | 11.8          | 0.0       | 0.48     | 15.9          | 0.0       | 0.17     |
| Sepsis stage, sepsis/severe sepsis/septic shock (%)                       | 0/18/82       | 0/59/41   | -0.0001 | 11/41/48      | 0/53/47   | 0.002    |

CKD, chronic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ICU, intensive care unit; MDRD, modification of diet in renal disease; RIFLE, risk/injury/failure/loss of kidney function/end stage renal disease; RRT, renal replacement therapy.

- a) Given as mean (range).
- b) Two-tailed probability for continuous data and significance level by Fisher’s exact test or chi-square test for categorical data.
- c) Risk/injury/failure of kidney function.

randomly selected as a training group for statistical AKI biomarker search and multimarker model establishment, whereas all remaining patients were used as test groups for validation of the peptide marker model. Clinical and demographic characteristics of the AKI and non-AKI patients included in the training and test groups are given in Table 1.

Urine sample pools from ten normal individuals, seven septic patients without AKI and seven septic patients with AKI were repeatedly analyzed at one defined time point. Replicates of these sample pools were included in the training phase to identify analytically robust AKI markers and for variance stabilization of the MALDI marker pattern. During the test phase, replicates of these sample pools were used to determine test precision and to calculate the variance of a negative and positive test result. Another urine pool from seven AKI case and seven non-AKI control patients was analyzed weekly over an 11-week period to determine long-term reproducibility of the MALDI test. Finally, four case and control samples were analyzed daily to evaluate reproducibility of individual samples.

### 2.2 Sample preparation for MALDI-MS

Urine samples were prepared as previously described [27]. Serial dilutions of each sample, which covered two orders of magnitude (0.35–0.0035 μL equivalents of urine) were prepared with 0.1% TFA. Protein concentration was determined by Bradford analysis to be in the range of 13–35 ng/μL. Twelve dilutions were then spotted on a 384 well MTP Anchorchip (Bruker Daltronics, Bremen, Germany) target plate in quadruplicate [28]. One microliter of sample was left to dry on the target plate, followed by 1 μL of a 5 mg/mL of α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (αCHCA) matrix (Laser Biolabs, Sophia-Antipolis, France). The matrix concentration was previously determined to be the optimum using standard urine dilution series. No internal standards were added for quantification purposes as this has been shown to be ineffective [28].

### 2.3 MALDI MS analysis

Untargeted MALDI-MS peptide profiling was performed on a Shimadzu Axima Confidence (Kratos, Manchester, UK) mass spectrometer in reflectron-positive ion mode. For each sample spot, 36 profiles with 50 laser shots/profile and a laser power between 50 and 56 were carried out. The laser repetition rate was set to 50 Hz that together with an ion gate of 800 Da allowed the detection of peptide signals over a mass range of 100–4000 m/z. For peak processing, the peak clean up settings were based on a peak width of five, a Gaussian smoothing filter width of two and a baseline subtraction filter width of six. The peak detection method utilizes a 25% centroid arched threshold, an offset of 0.2 mV and a onefold response factor. Monoisotopic peak picking was performed.
by the Poisson peptide method [29] with a peak picking minimum and maximum mass of 800 and 3900 m/z, respectively. External mass calibration using bovine serum albumin peptides (Life Technologies) as standards was performed every four spots. For the eight BSA peptides (mass range: 927.493–2045.028 m/z) the maximum allowed mass accuracy variation was adjusted to 10 ppm.

2.4 MALDI-MS data processing, data merging, and regression analysis

MALDI-MS data were exported as peak lists using Shimadzu’s MALDI-MS Launchpad v2.9.3 software. MALDI-MS peak intensity data were analyzed in fully automated mode using an improved version (version v5d) of the IAMA software [28]. Briefly, all sample data were automatically loaded into spreadsheets and normalized by tarrying the intensities by each sample and then dividing each value by the total intensity. Technical replicates were combined using a minimum of three observed peaks per sample and peak as threshold. A regression line was calculated based on the dilutions of every single original sample for every single peak. The algorithm was set to remove any outliers from the dilution rows until a minimum of 20 was reached by recalculation of the regression line and the perpendicular distance of each data point from the regression line. Peaks that were not observed in at least three dilution samples per original sample were automatically rejected. Only peak data dilution series resulting in regression lines with a negative slope were passed. The output was set to report the projected values using a dilution factor of one for each peak in every original sample, and the associated statistical output such as coefficient of determination ($R^2$), F distribution and standard errors were suppressed.

2.5 Biomarker definition and support vector machine (SVM) model generation

$p$ values for peptide distribution differences between the AKI case and non-AKI control training groups of sepsis patients were calculated based on natural logarithm transformed intensities and the Wilcoxon rank sum test. A SVM-based classification model was constructed with a preceding feature selection step based on cross-validation using the MosaCluster software as previously described [30].

3 Results

3.1 Patient characteristics and clinical data

We analyzed urine samples from 95 out of 195 randomly selected sepsis patients from the clinical trial NCT01981993 by MALDI MS. The demographic and clinical data of the AKI case and non-AKI control patients included in the training and test sets is presented in Table 1. Only the severity of sepsis showed markedly significant differences between the AKI and non-AKI groups in both the training and test sets. For the 95 randomly selected patients, the same characteristics as for the whole 195 patient set of the clinical trial were observed for the frequency of AKI occurrence ($p = 0.60$), gender ($p = 0.37$), age ($p = 0.47$), and serum creatinine levels at baseline ($p = 0.32$).

3.2 MALDI analyses and MALDI-MS data processing

Each urine spot on the MALDI plate produced on average 5000 ± 105 features that were filtered to gain a total list of 1149 peptides in the mass range of 800–3100 Da with a frequency distribution in MALDI samples of >10%. In the subsequent statistical analysis for biomarker selection the number of MALDI-MS-identified peptides was further restricted to a number of 937 with a frequency distribution >25% in at least one of the AKI and non-AKI patient groups.

3.3 Peptide marker identification and multimarker model generation

Out of the 51 peptides that were significant in Wilcoxon rank sum statistics 39 were selected via cross-validation. Preferentially those markers were selected that could be detected with low amplitude variability in the 12 replicates of the sample pools. The biomarker model developed by this strategy is presented in Table 2. Based on these 39 peptides, a SVM classifier was constructed in the 17 AKI case and non-AKI control samples of the training set including also 12 replicates of the urine sample pools.

3.4 ROC analysis and model validation

The model’s accuracy to distinguish between AKI cases and non-AKI controls was first evaluated by receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis after leave-one-out total cross-validation on the original training set consisting of 17 AKI case and 17 non-AKI control patients and by including 12 replicates of the sample pools. This resulted in an area under the ROC curve (AUC) value of 0.90 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.77–0.97; $p < 0.0001$). At a classification threshold >–0.05, 14 out of 17 AKI case samples and all four replicates of the AKI patient pool scored positive, whereas 13 out of 17 non-AKI controls and six from eight replicates of the non-AKI patient and normal control pools scored negative for AKI. This resulted in sensitivity and specificity values of 86% and 76%, respectively (Fig. 1A). The criterion for selection of –0.05 as cut-off was based on the rationale to obtain the highest level of sensitivity (>85%) at a still acceptable level of specificity (>75%). The model was subsequently tested in
For the nine peptides identified by cross-reference in CE-MS peptide profiles the p value of the Wilcoxon rank sum test and AUC for the AKI versus non-AKI group difference on the same training cohort of sepsis patients is also given.

For the nine peptides identified by cross-reference in CE-MS peptide profiles the p value of the Wilcoxon rank sum test and AUC for the AKI versus non-AKI group difference on the same training cohort of sepsis patients is also given.
Figure 1. Classification performance characteristics of the MALDI marker pattern for detection of AKI in sepsis patients. (A) ROC curve for the training set of 17 AKI case and 17 non-AKI control samples after total cross-validation (left panel). The 12 replicates of urine sample pools from normal controls, AKI cases and AKI controls (four of each pool) that were used in the training phase for variance stabilization of the classifier were also included in this ROC analysis. ROC curve for the independent test set consisting of 44 AKI case (with balanced distribution of sepsis and AKI stages) and 17 control samples (right panel). The point estimates of the model’s classification scores at different thresholds are given as thick continuous lines, whereas the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) are indicated by thin dashed lines. The table presents the quality characteristics of the ROC analysis for both the training and test sets. For $P$-value calculation the departure of the classifiers AUC from 0.5 (a random classifier) was assessed by using a standard $t$-test. (B) Box-and-Whisker representation of the classification scores for the 19 replicates of urine sample pools of normal controls, AKI cases and AKI controls used in the studies validation phase to evaluate the models classification stability and to calculate the variance in the probability distribution of a negative and positive test result for these patient groups by the MALDI marker pattern. (C) Time-resolved diagram for repeated classification of an AKI control and AKI case sample pool in weekly intervals over an 11-week period. (D) Dot line diagram of four individual AKI cases and AKI controls analyzed one day apart to evaluate the reproducibility of the sample preparation and instrumentation. Duplicates of the individual samples are connected by a dashed line. In (B) to (D) the classification cut-off at −0.05 is shown as dashed line.

In order to test the models classification stability the variance of a negative and positive test result was determined using in total 19 replicates of the urine sample pools of normal controls and of patients with or without AKI (repeatability analysis). As presented in Fig. 1B, the variance for all sample pools is in an acceptable range leading to no misclassification. Along the same line, we were able to correctly classify all replicates of the AKI case pool in the 11-week lasting weekly analysis series. There were two misclassified control replicates; the false-positive rate over the 11-week period was therefore 18% (Fig. 1C). The dot and line diagram presented
in Fig. 1D shows the classification scores of duplicate analysis of eight individual samples, four from AKI case and four from non-AKI control patients at two consecutive days. In this analysis, there was one false positive and no false negative classification.

The sepsis stage is not a confounding factor for AKI detection by MALDI (Fig. 2, Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test). As presented in Fig. 3, the MALDI marker model correctly predicted the onset of AKI without rank differences between the RIFLE stages 1–3.

### 3.5 Sample size justification

Assuming normal distribution of the AUC value it was estimated that inclusion of 17 AKI case and non-AKI control patients in the training set was sufficient to show superiority of the proteome marker model (AUC of 0.82) in comparison to the classical parameters estimated glomerular filtration rate (AUC of 0.58) and serum creatinine levels at baseline (AUC of 0.59) in the test set at a 5%-level of type one errors and 80% statistical power.

### 3.6 Peptide sequence identification

Direct sequencing of peptides in the MALDI MS relies on mass accuracy of the data alone. However, by also analyzing the samples using CE-MS, additional information, which adds to the confidence in matching peptides to previously identified sequences can be obtained.

Therefore, the samples were also analyzed by CE-MS and the CE-MS peptide profiles specifically examined for the occurrence and distribution of the 39 MALDI marker peptides. From the peptides included in the MALDI marker pattern, nine could also be identified to be differentially regulated in CE-MS peptide profiles with p-values below 0.05 in the respective Wilcoxon rank sum-based statistical group comparison. Besides validation of the peptide markers on another MS platform, matching of the peptides to the 2D CE-MS profiles carries the additional advantage that with the CE migration time an additional peptide-characteristic could be determined for the nine AKI marker peptides detected by both MS methods. This is especially advantageous for the identification of the amino acid sequence of the peptides since the CE migration time is related to the number of positive charges and allows by the specific line pattern of a CE-MS spectrum the calculation of the number of basic amino acids inside the peptides amino acid sequence. Via CE-MS cross-reference and by a search in our peptide sequence database [27], it was possible to resolve the sequence of seven out of the 39 peptides included in the MALDI marker pattern. The peptides from the MALDI AKI marker pattern of which the amino acid sequences could be retrieved by this strategy are presented in Table 3.

### 3.7 Comparison to CE-MS-based classification

For a subset of 36 AKI case and 16 non-AKI control samples peptide profiles from both MALDI and CE-MS are available. Classification of this subset by the 20-peptide marker panel for AKI prediction in CE-MS resulted in only poor classification performance with the AUC being at 0.55 (95% CI: 0.40–0.69). In contrast, a better differentiation of AKI versus non-AKI in this sepsis patient cohort was possible if the
Table 3. List of sequence-identified peptide markers in the MALDI-MS AKI detection model

| MALDI peptide identifier | CE-MS protein name | CE-MS sequence information | MS/MS amino acid sequence information | AUC value |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| 714                     | α1(I) collagen    | COL1A1[Ser782–Ile795]       | COL1A1[Ser782–Ile795]                | 0.94      |
| 745                     | α1(I) collagen    | COL1A1[Ile782–Gly795]       | COL1A1[Ile782–Gly795]                | 0.91      |
| 785                     | α1(I) collagen    | COL1A1[Ile772–Gly785]       | COL1A1[Ile772–Gly785]                | 0.89      |
| 1018                    | α1(I) collagen    | COL1A1[Glu1020–Arg1033]     | COL1A1[Glu1020–Arg1033]              | 0.92      |
| 1180                    | α1(I) collagen    | COL1A1[Thr1165–Asp1178]     | COL1A1[Thr1165–Asp1178]              | 0.90      |
| 1265                    | α1(I) collagen    | COL1A1[Ser1246–Gly1259]     | COL1A1[Ser1246–Gly1259]              | 0.89      |
| 1221                    | α1(I) collagen    | COL1A1[Ile1211–Phe1225]     | COL1A1[Ile1211–Phe1225]              | 0.88      |
| 1439                    | α1(I) collagen    | COL1A1[Glu1419–Arg1432]     | COL1A1[Glu1419–Arg1432]              | 0.90      |
| 1669                    | α1(I) collagen    | COL1A1[Ile1551–Arg1564]     | COL1A1[Ile1551–Arg1564]              | 0.90      |

4 Discussion

There is a clinical need for new biomarkers to allow for a more accurate and timely detection of AKI in order to improve short and long-term outcome. In septic AKI, with no clear timing of renal insult, a panel of biomarkers might be more appropriate to capture this complex pathophysiological process and to allow for a more accurate and early diagnosis compared to serum creatinine and urinary output. We identified a marker panel of 39 peptides that was highly predictive of AKI in sepsis by using a MALDI-MS platform.

Establishment of the MALDI peptide marker panel was based on a SVM learning algorithm. Briefly, SVMs for supervised learning recognize patterns, which they can transform to numerical membership values. Given a set of training data, marked as belonging to one of two classes (here the AKI case and the AKI control group), the SVM training algorithm builds a high-dimensional parameter space with one dimension represented by one peptide marker (n peptides thus equals n dimensions). Within this n-dimensional space, the samples are ordered according to the peptide’s log-transformed amplitudes and a separation hyperplane is drawn by maximizing the margin between opposite positions of the case and control data points. After establishment of the SVM classifier, new samples are assigned to either the case or control group according to the degree of similarity in their peptide marker profiles. SVM-based multimarker models have shown promising results, and we previously demonstrated that by using a panel of 20 peptides we could improve classification of AKI versus non-AKI ICU patients with high sensitivity and specificity [19]. However, the CE-MS platform used in this study is currently not suited for daily clinical practice. MALDI-MS theoretically offers the advantage of high throughput, is fast and cost effective and does not require highly trained staff to operate it.

MALDI-MS has previously been used to detect biomarkers for diagnosis and prognosis of a range of clinical disorders such as hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatoblastoma, and pancreatic cancer [31–33]. Furthermore, MALDI instrumentation is becoming more widely available in clinical settings for rapid identification of pathogens [21]. However, a number of studies have identified a range of problems with data quantification and reproducibility of results in protein studies [34, 35], questioning the advantages of this platform for clinical proteomics use.

We have previously reported on a method to improve the relative quantification of MALDI-MS analysis for proteomic biomarker assessment [28]. A further development on the
method used in the original MALDI study was the elution of the peptides from the desalting columns in 0.1% TFA, allowing direct spotting onto the MALDI plate for immediate analysis. The technique of spotting a range of dilutions of the sample onto the MALDI plate and then using an algorithm to identify the linear range of individual peptides for quantification provides a simple solution to the aforementioned problems. In addition, the software for quantitative analysis by MALDI was further developed to allow automated data evaluation. In AKI samples from patients with sepsis, we developed a biomarker model made up of a panel of 39 urinary peptides. Applying this model to the test set containing 44 cases and 17 controls produced an AUC of 0.82 (p < 0.0001) with a sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 76%. Although CE separation of peptides prior to MS analysis would result in higher numbers of detected peptides and would allow via lower interference of signal peaks for a better relative quantification of single peptides [28, 36], the MALDI-MS biomarker developed here compares well alongside the state of the art CE-MS biomarkers.

During development of the MALDI test, it became obvious that replicates of AKI case and non-AKI control sample pools had to be included to ensure reproducibility in sample classification. This was a crucial step in respect to identify the most robust peptide markers and to variance stabilize the multimarker model in the SVM learning phase.

Also of great interest was to determine how well classification by the MALDI-MS marker pattern is associated to the assessment of the patients on admission with their RIFLE scoring. With a cut-off value of −0.05, the MALDI-MS marker correctly predicting AKI even when the RIFLE assessment score is at stage 1.

Only seven out of the 39 peptides making up the model had sequence information available. The identified peptides are fragments from the collagen chains alpha-1(I) (COL1A1) and alpha-1(II) (COL1A2), alpha-1-antitrypsin (SERPINA1), beta-2-microglobulin (B2M), and fibrinogen alpha chain (FGA). In comparison to the 20-peptide marker pattern for AKI prediction in CE-MS [19], COL1A1, SERPINA1, B2M, and FGA could be reproduced by MALDI as AKI-specific peptide marker source. Direct comparison of the two marker patterns revealed identity of the SERPINA1-derived peptide SERPINA1[Thr363-Phe376], whereas the COL1A1-, B2M-, and FGA-derived AKI peptide markers in MALDI are for the most part smaller fragments of the previously described CE-MS-identified peptide markers. This accounts for peptides B2M[Asn62-Lys68], COL1A1[Ser546-Ala559] and FGA[Asp605-Lys620] in MALDI with B2M[Leu60-Ser81], COL1A1[Thr541-Gly560], and FGA[Asp605-Arg621] as CE-MS counterparts. In this context, it must be noted that the detected mass range in MALDI-MS is generally more restricted to smaller sized peptides (0.8–3.2 kDa) compared to the one in CE-MS (0.8–16.0 kDa).

The seven MALDI AKI peptide markers known by sequence were also compared to the 27 CKD-peptide markers described by Good et al. [27]. In this case, there was an overlap of three peptides affecting the peptides SERPINA1 [Thr363-Phe376], COL1A1[Ser546-Ala559], and FGA[Asp605-Lys620].

In accordance to our previous study on AKI peptide markers in urine by CE-MS [19], further support is given to the hypothesis that increased urinary levels of peptide fragments derived from the blood proteins B2M and SERPINA1, and decreased urinary levels of FGA are early signs of AKI. With regard to the collagen alpha-1(I) and alpha-1(II) chain structural proteins, peptide fragments thereof were found to be either down- or upregulated in AKI compared to non-AKI sepsis patients. Differential excretion of collagen alpha chain-derived peptide fragments was already described in a number of other proteomic studies on CKD and AKI [19, 27, 37, 38] and is indicative for alterations in extracellular matrix turnover [39, 40], i.e. via tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced activation of matrix-degrading proteases [41, 42]. Alterations in extracellular matrix turnover in turn were interpreted as a possible trigger factor in the development of CKD [43]. Therefore, the peptides identified in this study link particularly well to the current pathophysiological concept of AKI that might explain their good performance—as a panel—to predict AKI.

Efforts are now underway to resolve the amino acid sequence of all remaining unidentified peptide markers by post-source decay mass spectrometry. If the multimarker model is only restricted to the sequence identified peptide markers this resulted in only moderate classification performance with the AUC being 0.72 (95% CI: 0.59–0.83) demonstrating the requirement to also include the yet unidentified peptides in the classification model.

The use of MALDI-TOF analysis for clinical biomarker assessment has not previously been possible due to the poor quantitative nature of the technique [44]. We previously presented methodology and software solution to these problems [28] and now present data on their application to biomarker model development. The 39-peptide marker panel of sepsis-induced AKI provides a significant improvement in sensitivity and selectivity over single marker tests.

In contrast to the MALDI AKI peptide marker pattern, our previously established 20-peptide marker pattern for AKI prediction of CE-MS peptide profiles failed to reliably detect AKI in this cohort of sepsis patients. Since when applying CE-MS a CKD-specific peptide marker profile enabled better differentiation of AKI from non-AKI, we hypothesize that in the study cohort of sepsis patients those with AKI more likely contain features related to the CKD rather than the AKI CE-MS peptide profile. Even in the initial phase, pathways related to CKD might therefore be active in this sepsis patient collective. According to the finding that the combination of the MALDI and CKD CE-MS tests by logistic regression significantly improves classification accuracy, a strategy where MALDI-positive patients are subsequently reassessed by the CKD CE-MS classifier might be considered in the future to further improve diagnosis of septic AKI and its progression to CKD in the clinical setting.

© 2016 The Authors. PROTEOMICS - Clinical Applications Published by WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA www.proteomics-journal.com
The limitation of the study is that it describes a proof-of-concept study on a relatively small population of 95 sepsis patients evaluating if an AKI-specific peptide marker pattern with performance characteristics similar to the one already established for CE-MS is also possible for MALDI-MS peptide profiles. For this specific aim, the group of selected patients is well defined and the diagnosis of AKI by RIFLE is based on both the serum creatinine and the urinary output criterion. A historical baseline serum creatinine value was available for all patients.

The analytical reproducibility of MALDI analysis in a clinical setting has been questioned and discussed [44]. To access the long-term stability of the biomarker model and also to address the MALDI instrumentation reproducibility we undertook to analyze pools of samples over an 11-week period. In this series, we correctly classified all of the AKI case pool replicates. Two AKI control replicates in the 11-week series were classified positive, which resulted in a false-positive rate of 18%. Although this is still evaluated to be in an acceptable range, efforts were made to identify the reason for this tendency toward false-positive classification. We found that despite frequent calibration checks, the laser output power in the instrument varied over time and was not consistent at the settings selected within the method file. An increase in laser output can increase the noise level in the signal to a point where “noise” peaks were recorded as real peptide signals leading to a higher false-positive rate. Inclusion of the laser output power in the calibration method that is currently under nonmodifiable software control is in our opinion an essential step to further improve the diagnostic accuracy of our MALDI test for its use in a daily clinical setting to repeatedly monitor AKI progression in sepsis patients.

5 Conclusion

We have developed and tested a new urinary biomarker model for septic AKI that demonstrates sufficient sensitivity, specificity, and classification stability to allow for significant improvement in timely detection of AKI. The MALDI-MS platform for this test is similar to the one already available in the nonresearch setting [21], and the timeframe and per sample cost are in keeping with those required for daily clinical use. The next crucial step would be to demonstrate a significant benefit of this biomarker panel in a prospective clinical study, which is currently being planned.
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