Natural history of the ERVWE1 endogenous retroviral locus
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Abstract

Background: The human HERV-W multicopy family includes a unique proviral locus, termed ERVWE1, whose full-length envelope ORF was preserved through evolution by the action of a selective pressure. The encoded Env protein (Syncytin) is involved in hominoid placental physiology.

Results: In order to infer the natural history of this domestication process, a comparative genomic analysis of the human 7q21.2 syntenic regions in eutherians was performed. In primates, this region was progressively colonized by LTR-elements, leading to two different evolutionary pathways in Cercopithecidae and Hominidae, a genetic drift versus a domestication, respectively.

Conclusion: The preservation in Hominoids of a genomic structure consisting in the juxtaposition of a retrotransposon-derived MaLR LTR and the ERVWE1 provirus suggests a functional link between both elements.

Background

The infectious retrovirus founding the contemporary HERV-W family [1] entered the genome of a Catarrhine ancestor 25–40 million years ago [2,3]. The spread of the HERV-W family into the genome essentially results from autonomous and non-autonomous events of intracellular retrotransposition of transcriptionally active copies [4,5]. The HERV-W family contains a unique locus, termed ERVWE1, which encodes an envelope glycoprotein expressed in the placenta [3,6]. This envelope, also dubbed Syncytin, exhibits fusogenic properties in vitro and is directly involved in trophoblast differentiation [6-8]. The functional conservation of the ERVWE1 locus among Hominoids [9] and the identification of selective constraints on the env gene [10] strongly suggest that this retroviral locus has been recruited to play a role in placental physiology. In order to decipher the natural history of the ERVWE1 locus, we performed a comparative genomic analysis of the eutherian chromosomal regions syntenic to a portion of human chromosome 7q21.2 containing the (H)ERVWE1 locus. We observe in this region that the content in transposable elements varies between species, notably with a progressive enrichment of LTR-elements in the Platyrrhine and Catarrhine lineages. Based on an ancestral mosaic of LTR-elements, this retroviral cluster followed two opposed evolutionary pathways, a genetic drift versus a domestication, in Cercopithecidae and Hominidae lineages, respectively.
Results and Discussion

The initial failure to isolate the ERVWE1 integration site in Old World Monkeys [9] suggested that this region was shaped by complex recombination events. The comparative analysis of human ERVWE1 flanking sequences with the mouse genome has revealed two syntenic anchor points in the ERVWE1 provirus vicinity. Thus, the peroxisome biogenesis factor 1 gene (PEX1) and the ocular development-associated gene (ODAG) are located upstream and downstream from ERVWE1, respectively. In genomic databases, the genetic linkage between both boundary genes was found in 14 mammals and 2 birds (Figure 1a). In addition, to fill in the evolutionary gap of this dataset, we PCR amplified and sequenced the intergenic region of two primates, Macaca mulatta and Ateles fusciceps robustus.

The length of the PEX1-ODAG intergenic region varies among species (17.8 ± 7.9 kb), ranging from 2.6 kb to 30.9 kb for rat and human, respectively (Figure 1a). The length variation of the intergenic region is generally due to the presence of various transposable elements (TEs) (Figure 1b). The particularly short intergenic regions of rodents may result from the general deletion mechanisms previously proposed to account for rodent small genome size [11]. The herein described region suggests that the rodent deletion process show no bias towards TEs (Figure 1b). In comparison, the length of PEX1 and ODAG intronic regions is homogenous (PEX1 : 38.5 ± 13.4 kb ; ODAG : 8.1 ± 2.5 kb), the variability relying mostly upon one species for each gene (Figure 1b). For example, the largest intronic region of PEX1 orthologous gene is observed in Bos taurus and corresponds to the presence of about 40 kb of TEs as compared to 10–20 kb in other species (Figure 1b).

TEs contents differ quantitatively and qualitatively between lineages and between intergenic and intronic regions (Figure 1b). In introns, SINEs then LINEs represent the majority of TEs among all species. The singular large LINE content of Bos taurus PEX1 introns is compatible with the huge amount of specific LINE elements in the genome of this species [12]. The absence of such specific LINE elements in Bos taurus ODAG introns may be due to the shorter length of this gene. Within the intergenic regions, first LINEs and second SINEs predominate in Carnivores, Artiodactyls and Rodents. In primates, the intergenic regions consist largely of LTR elements and Alus. The LTR-elements are clustered in a 20 kb region just downstream from the PEX1 gene and the Alu elements are spread within the 10 kb region upstream from the ODAG gene. This local LTR concentration in primates is particularly high as compared to previous comparative analysis over several megabases [12]. The 30 kb human PEX1-

ODAG intergenic region contains 11%, 2% and 64% of Alus, LINE-1s and LTR-elements, respectively.

The picture obtained from the comparison of the syntenic PEX1-ODAG intergenic regions between mammalian species is informative about the putative composition of this region in common ancestors, depicted at the nodes of the phylogenetic tree (Figure 2). In addition, LTR-element flanking sequences indicate whether the retrotransposition process was autonomous, i.e. mediated by an HERV-specific reverse transcriptase (RT), or non-autonomous, i.e. mediated by the LINE RT which contributes to pseudogene formation. The autonomous events leads to the duplication of a genomic 4–6 bp sequence, flanking consequently the proviral 5’ and 3’ LTRs. In the case of LTR retrotransposition, a longer flanking repeat of 10–16 bp is observed together with an mRNA typical structure (absence of promoter element and presence of a 3’ poly(A) tail) [13,14]. By merging all this information, we infer the natural history of this region.

The first step of the parsimonious scenario consists in the integration of mammalian apparent LTR-retrotransposon (MaLR) element in the PEX1-ODAG intergenic region of a primitive mammalian ancestor, followed by a local recombination between the 5’ and 3’ paired LTRs][15], generating the MaLR isolated LTR. However, the absence among species of flanking duplicated sequences as a vestige of the original integration does not support this hypothesis, although this 100 million years-old signature may have vanished. In human, only two short 57 bp and 106 bp segments were identified (Figure 3), presenting 75.4 % and 67.9% similarity with MLT1J2 and MLT1J1 subfamilies of MaLR elements][15], respectively. The 260 bp remaining parts of the MaLR LTR exhibits no similarity with previously defined MaLR consensus sequences, suggesting the identification of a new MaLR subfamily named MaLR-e1. In addition, similarity search (threshold 60%) of MaLR-e1 human and dog sequences on their respective genomes indicate only one other full-length element and a vast majority of elements consisting roughly in either the 5’ or the 3’ half part of MaLR-e1. The location of one end of these MaLR partial sequences within a 40 bp region (Figure 3) bordered on each side by the MLT1J1 and MLT1J2 identified regions suggests an authentic chimerical origin for this MaLR-e1 LTR. The paucity of the MaLRs bipartition reflect an unsuccessful propagation of this form. Strikingly, the deduced junction area of both parts in the chimera corresponds to a functional sequence consisting of a trophoblast specific enhancer (TSE) [16].

Second, a 633 bp ERV-P element was acquired by the common ancestor of the Platyrrhines and Catarhines more than 40 million years ago [17]. As for the MaLR-e1 element, the absence of trivial duplication of the
Comparative analysis of PEX1-ODAG orthologous locus.

Figure 1
Comparative analysis of PEX1-ODAG orthologous locus. (a) Length of PEX1-ODAG intergenic region. Species with an identified PEX1-ODAG intergenic region (either extracted from databases or sequenced in the lab) are indicated on the tree. Clades are redrawn from a previous mammalian phylogeny [23]. Branches are not drawn to scale. The length of the PEX1-ODAG intergenic region is indicated for each species. (b) Length and TEs composition of PEX1 and ODAG intergenic and intronic regions. Species were selected regarding the quality of TEs description in RepBase) [18].
integration site shades the origin of the contemporary isolated ERV-P LTRs. In any case, the putative primary recombination between paired LTRs may have occurred rapidly after integration as no ERV-P internal sequence can be detected in any of the studied species. The LTR sequence is complete as referred to the consensus sequence[18], although the 5' first ten nucleotides largely diverged. Third, ERV-H and ERV-W proviruses integrated in the germ line of a Catarrhine ancestor, within the ERV-P and MaLR-e1 LTRs, respectively. Note that an ERV-H sequence is identified in the Platyrrhines (ERV-H(p)), distinct from the Catarrhines ERV-H provirus (ERV-H(c)) described above, as located about 2 kb upstream from the ERV-P LTR. The ERV-W element corresponds to the ERV-W provirus as it contains the locus-specific signature (a 12 bp deletion in the 3' end of the env gene) previously identified by comparing (H)ERVWE1 and paralogous HERV-W copies[10]. The presence in several species of degenerated direct repeat at both ends of ERV-H(c) [A(C/T)(G/A)AC] and ERVWE1 [CA(A/G)(C/T)] proviruses attests that retrovirus-like integration events occurred. Whether these proviral insertions derived from re-infection or cis- or trans-retrotransposition processes remains unknown. Nevertheless, the duplication of the integration site indicates the existence at that time of functional H- and W-specific reverse transcriptases. The accumulation of independent substitutions in 5' and 3' paired LTRs, identical when the provirus integrated, is informative about the chronology of these events. Thus, the comparison of paired LTRs distances between the ERV-H(c) and the ERVWE1 proviruses (0.84 and 0.65, respectively) suggests that ERV-H(c) integrated earlier than ERVWE1.

Then the Catarrhine ancestor genomic structure followed two divergent evolutionary pathways in Cercopitheques and Hominoids (Figure 2). An about 9 kb fragment was deleted in the Cercopitheque lineage, consisting of a 3.8 kb pol-env-LTR ERV-H(c) sequence, a 4.3 kb LTR-gag-pol ERVWE1 sequence and the 0.9 kb inter-proviral region. This large deletion produced an hybrid ERV-(H/W) defective proviral structure. Surprisingly, as both ERV-H(c) 5' and ERVWE1 3' flanking sequences were also deleted, the Cercopitheque lineage is devoid of MaLR-e1 and ERV-P LTRs elements. This global inactivation of all four LTR elements was followed by the genetic drift of the env gene as revealed by the presence of different inactivating substitutions in the baboon and macaque ERVWE1 remnants, a stop codon in position 181 and a frameshift in position 498, respectively. In Hominoids, the overall 30 kb structure was preserved as confirmed by overlapping LD-PCR amplification of gorilla, orangutan and gibbon genomic

Figure 2
Phylogenetic analysis of the PEX1-ODAG intergenic region in 9 mammal species. Flanking black boxes correspond to the 24th exon and the 5th exon of the PEX1 and ODAG genes, respectively. LTR elements are depicted as red boxes (MaLR-e1 LTR), green boxes (ERV-P LTR) and empty boxes (ERVWE1 and ERV-H proviruses). The ERVWE1 provirus is labeled W, ERV-H Platyrrhini and Catarrhini lineage specific proviruses are labeled H(p) and H(c), respectively, env smaller boxes refer to the ERVWE1 env gene. Proposed ancestral chromosomal structure are drawn in grey cartouches. The cross-box within the H(c) ancestor represents a pol/env deletion as referenced to the HERV-H repbase consensus. Dash lines represent the evolutionary processes leading to Cercopitheque vs. Hominoid lineages. The double slashes indicate the truncation of longest sequences. Clades are derived from previous phylogeny [23] and branches are not drawn to scale.
Figure 3
Alignments of orthologous and paralogous MaLR-e1 LTR sequences from mammalian species. Sequences were assembled using the human sequence (HOM) as reference. Orthologous sequences are from the following origin: HOM: Homo sapiens, PAN: Pan troglodytes,GOR: Gorilla gorilla,ORA: Pongo pygmaeus, GIB: Hylobatides pileatus, ATE: Ateles fusciceps robustus, CAL: Callicithrix jacchus, OTO: Otolemur garnetti, CAN: Canis familiaris, DAS: Dasypus novemcinctus. Hs12, hs5 and hs20 correspond to MaLR-e1 putative paralogous sequences isolated in the human genome. 5' and 3' openboxes corresponds to MLT1J2 and MLT1J Repbase consensuses, respectively. The region with grey background indicates the 5' or 3' boundaries of most partial MaLR-e1 in human and dog genomes. Four putative 3' boundaries of the MaLR-e1 LTR are shown as vertical bars. The double head arrow delimits the trophoblastic specific enhancer (TSE). * correspond to the location of the omitted ERVWE1 provirus. Direct repeats flanking the ERVWE1 integration site are underlined. Bold gray characters in the 3' end of DAS and CAN sequences precede large insertions (1.3 kb and 4.5 kb, respectively) omitted in the alignment.
ERVWE1 was shown to be a bona fide gene involved in hominoid placental physiology [9]. The concomitant conservation in Hominoids of the surrounding LTR elements suggests that they were either required for ERVWE1 activity or hitchhiked during the purifying ERVWE1 selection process [10]. The substitution profile along the whole region does not rule out any hypotheses. Nevertheless, it reveals the strict identity of the MaLR-e1 portion located upstream from ERVWE1 in human, chimpanzee and gorilla, as opposed to a MaLR-e1 3’ part different for each species. The regulation of the expression of ERVWE1 env was shown to be a bipartite element [16] composed of (i) a cyclic AMP (cAMP)-inducible retroviral promoter, the ERVWE1 5’ LTR, and (ii) a 436 bp upstream regulatory element (URE), encompassing the MaLR-e1 5’ part, that contains the trophoblast specific enhancer (TSE) cited above, conferring high level of expression and placental tropism [16]. Although efficient, the cooperation between the URE and the LTR seemed complex due to an interference phenomenon, probably resulting from the presence of AP-2 and Sp-1 binding sites on the TSE and the cAMP-responsive elements of the LTR [16]. Interestingly, the gibbon transcriptional regulatory elements shows an in vitro biased behavior as compared to human, chimpanzee, gorilla and orangutan orthologous elements, i.e. the ERVWE1 5’ LTR exhibits a higher placental promoter activity [9] and the URE is deficient in enhancer activity [16]. This feature of the gibbon URE seems associated with two specific mutations in AP-2 and Sp-1, an enhancer activity equivalent to the human one being restored after the modification of the two corresponding residues [16]. Although we cannot exclude the possibility that these observations are partially due to the specific context of a human trophoblastic cell line, this functional analysis supports the very recent recruitment of the elderly MaLR-e1 5’ half as proposed in this work. Thus, a LTR of retrotransposon MaLR element and a LTR of a (H)ERV-W proviral locus were co-opted to regulate syncytin expression in placenta. Interestingly, the newly identified murine syncytin-B env gene which triggers cell-cell fusion in vitro and is expressed specifically in placenta in vivo displays an upstream MaLR LTR [19]. Whether this represents an additional element to the puzzling convergent physiological role of primate and rodent syncytins remains to be determined.

Conclusion

We observe in the region syntenic to a portion of human chromosome 7q12.2 containing the (H)ERVWE1 locus a progressive enrichment of LTR-elements in the Platyrhine and Catarrhine lineages. Based on an ancestral mosaic of LTR-elements, two opposed evolutionarily pathways are followed, a genetic drift versus a domestication, in Cercopithecidae and Hominidae lineages, respectively. The domestication process includes the ERVWE1 locus in Hominoid species, and putatively a retrotransposon-derived MaLR LTR strictly conserved in the Homo/Pan/ Gorilla subgroup. We propose that both elements were recruited to achieve the regulation of syncytin expression in placenta.

Methods

Syntenic sequences to PEX1-ODAG intergenic regions are extracted from the high throughput genomic sequences (HTGS) division of GenBank using BLAST [20]. The query sequence is composed of exons of PEX1 and ODAG genes, as described in the ensembl repository http://www.ensembl.org as vega transcript OTTHUMT00000060247 and OTTHUMG00000023913, respectively. We obtain the following GenBank accession nos., [GenBank:AC092951.2]: Papio anubis, [GenBank:AC148267.2] and [GenBank:AC148269.3]: Callithrix jaccus, [GenBank:AC148127.3] and [GenBank:AC149006.1]: Otolemur garnetti, [GenBank:AC147739.3]: Dasyus novemcinctus, [GenBank:AC148524.3]: Rhinolophus ferrumequinum, [GenBank:AC145009.2] and [GenBank:AC108896.2]: Bos taurus, [GenBank:AC105371.2]: Sus scrofa, [GenBank:AC147729.2]: Oryctolagus cuniculus, [GenBank:AC147332.2]: Sorex araneus, [GenBank:AC097829.7]: [GenBank:AC079989.2], [GenBank:AC127809.3] and [GenBank:AC079998.2]: Rattus norvegicus, [GenBank:AC029872.2]: Pan troglodytes, [GenBank:AC143353.3]: Canis familiaris, [GenBank:AC148249.3]: Otolemur garnetti, [GenBank:AC148380.2] and [GenBank:AC148379.2]: Taela pygmaea, [GenBank:AC148423.3] and [GenBank:AC148421.2]: Meleagris gallopavo, [GenBank:AC138736.2]: Gallus gallus.

We use RepeatMasker (Smit, AFA, Hubley, R & Green, P. RepeatMasker Open-3.0. 1996–2004 http://www.repeat...
masker.org) to identify transposable elements in all the studied species. Sequence alignments were computed with ClustalW [21] and refined manually using Seaview [22].

We have sequenced Ateles fusciceps robustus and Macaca mulatta genomic PEX1-ODAG region. Sequences are provided in genomic databases with the following accession number: [GenBank:AY925147] for Ateles fusciceps robustus and [GenBank:AY925148] for Macaca mulatta.
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