Monitoring Invasion Process of *Spartina alterniflora* by Seasonal Sentinel-2 Imagery and an Object-Based Random Forest Classification
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Abstract: In the late 1990s, the exotic plant *Spartina alterniflora* (*S. alterniflora*), was introduced to the Zhangjiang Estuary of China for tidal zone reclamation and protection. However, it invaded rapidly and has caused serious ecological problems. Accurate information on the seasonal invasion of *S. alterniflora* is vital to understand invasion pattern and mechanism, especially at a high temporal resolution. This study aimed to explore the *S. alterniflora* invasion process at a seasonal scale from 2016 to 2018. However, due to the uncertainties caused by periodic inundation of local tides, accurately monitoring the spatial extent of *S. alterniflora* is challenging. Thus, to achieve the goal and address the challenge, we firstly built a high-quality seasonal Sentinel-2 image collection by developing a new submerged *S. alterniflora* index (SAI) to reduce the errors caused by high tide fluctuations. Then, an object-based random forest (RF) classification method was applied to the image collection. Finally, seasonal extents of *S. alterniflora* were captured. Results showed that (1) the red edge bands (bands 5, 6, and 7) of Sentinel-2 imagery played critical roles in delineating submerged *S. alterniflora*; (2) during March 2016 to November 2018, the extent of *S. alterniflora* increased from 151.7 to 270.3 ha, with an annual invasion rate of 39.5 ha; (3) *S. alterniflora* invaded with a rate of 31.5 ha/season during growing season and 12.1 ha/season during dormant season. To our knowledge, this is the first study monitoring *S. alterniflora* invasion process at a seasonal scale during continuous years, discovering that *S. alterniflora* also expands during dormant seasons. This discovery is of great significance for understanding the invasion pattern and mechanism of *S. alterniflora* and will facilitate coastal biodiversity conservation efforts.
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1. Introduction

*Spartina alterniflora* (*S. alterniflora*) was introduced to China from North America in 1979 for the purpose of stabilizing seashore, reclaiming tidal land, and improving soil quality [1]. However, during the past three decades, *S. alterniflora* has been aggressively invading native coastal vegetation with an invasion rate of 137 km² per decade [2]. According to recent studies, *S. alterniflora* posed a great threat to many native communities and coastal environments by competing with native plants, altering feeding habitats of shorebirds in open mudflats, and transforming characteristics of native species [3–5].
increasing awareness of the negative impacts of *S. alterniflora*, local and central governments are paying close attention to managing *S. alterniflora* invasion. Comprehensive management relies on detailed continuous information of *S. alterniflora* distributions, especially at a high temporal resolution [6]. However, obtaining such information is a great challenge due to the high spatiotemporal variation of *S. alterniflora* in complex coastal environments [1].

Remote sensing has been shown as a viable tool in monitoring dynamics of invasive plants [7]. Landsat imagery with moderate spatial resolution (30 m) has been widely used in mapping *S. alterniflora* invasions [7–9]. However, due to Landsat’s spatial resolution, spatial details of newly colonized *S. alterniflora* patches were usually omitted. In the past two decades, high-resolution satellite images, such as those of WorldView-2/3, SPOT-5/6, and Gaofen-1/2, have been used to monitor *S. alterniflora* changes [4,6,10,11]. However, all these images are commercial products, which are costly and make it difficult to guarantee long-term regular observations [12,13]. In recent years, Sentinel-2, which gives continuity to the multispectral fine-resolution optical observations, has received more and more attention in vegetation monitoring [14,15]. With Sentinel-2, it is possible to capture a detailed spatiotemporal process of a vegetation community, because it carries a state-of-the-art sensor of 13 spectral bands and a 2–5 day re-entry cycle [16]. The visible and near-infrared (NIR) bands have finer spatial resolution (10 m) than other medium-resolution satellite images. In addition, compared to commonly used high-resolution satellite images, such as WorldView-2/3, SPOT-5/6, and Gaofen-1/2, Sentinel-2 has more detailed spectral information (three red edge bands and 1 narrow NIR band). These bands are essential to increase the capability of vegetation detection. Accordingly, Magnus Persson et al. found the classification accuracy of common species over a mature forest was improved by using Sentinel-2 imagery in central Sweden [17]. Grabska et al. showed that the use of the Sentinel-2 time series instead of single date imagery significantly improved forest tree species mapping by approximately 5%–10% of overall accuracy [18]. Furthermore, David et al. (2017) highlighted that wavelength regions in red edge bands, narrow NIR, and short-wave infrared reflectance (SWIR) are characterized by a sharp increase in vegetation reflectance, while water shows strong absorption in these spectral ranges [19]. Wang et al. (2018) and Jia et al. (2019) suggested using these spectral bands and derived indices in Sentinel-2 imagery for accurately mapping the extent of coastal and aquatic vegetation [20,21]. Their results showed that the freely accessed 10 m spatial resolution Sentinel-2 imagery has made it possible to implement robust and efficient monitoring of *S. alterniflora* invasions.

For years, various remote-sensing-based methodologies have been employed to monitor the extents of *S. alterniflora* [2,11,22–24]. Recently, machine learning algorithms, including support vector machines (SVM), random forest (RF), and K-nearest neighbor (KNN), have been used to map *S. alterniflora* [25–28]. However, most of these studies were conducted with pixel-based classification method; spatial characteristics, such as shape and texture, which are important to improve classification accuracy, were not applied to identify *S. alterniflora*. In contrast, the object-based image analysis (OBIA) fully considers texture, shape, and geometric features, so that more accurate and robust results are obtained than those of pixel-based method [29–31]. The OBIA can effectively address the “salt-and-pepper” effect and reduce within-class spectral variation. Therefore, in recent years, more and more studies have introduced OBIA and machine learning algorithms to delineate *S. alterniflora* [2]. For example, SVM is based on the principle of support vector classifier, a linear classifier. It was developed by using different kernel functions to solve nonlinear problems, which also leads to the training process of SVM usually being more time-consuming [32]. In contrast, RF algorithm has unique advantages in remote sensing classification. As a kind of ensemble learning classification algorithm, RF algorithm not only addresses the problem of overfitting found in previous machine learning algorithms such as SVM, but also can be successfully used to select and rank the variables with the greatest ability to discriminate between the target classes [33]. In remote sensing image classification, the use of RF algorithm has received increasing attention due to the excellent classification results obtained and the speed of processing [26,27,34]. The combined method has greatly contributed to monitoring invasion processes of *S. alterniflora* [4].
Although there have been studies in the past to monitor the distribution of *S. alterniflora*, results that contain accurate and timely interpretation of these relatively small patches have been rare, due to the lack of full consideration of tidal conditions [35–37]. *S. alterniflora* are periodically submerged by the rising tides, especially in regions with high tidal fluctuations and newly colonized lower *S. alterniflora* patches [37,38], as this species is found in near-shore zones. Ideally, it is better to use images acquired during low tides; however, such data are difficult to obtain due to the uncertainties of local instantaneous tidal conditions during the predetermined times that satellites pass over. Unlike terrestrial ecosystems, *S. alterniflora* is difficult to monitor because of the uncertainties caused by periodic inundation of local tides, especially in regions with high tide fluctuations [39,40]. Thus, the aims of this study are to (1) remove the influence of tidal fluctuations to build a high-quality seasonal Sentinel-2 image collection; (2) accurately map seasonal status and distributions of *S. alterniflora* by Sentinel-2 images and combined method (machine learning algorithm and OBIA); (3) analyze *S. alterniflora* invasions at a seasonal scale. This study aims to identify the advantages and potential of Sentinel-2 imagery in mapping of *S. alterniflora* and provide a more effective monitoring method for intertidal vegetation changes. The seasonal invasion process of *S. alterniflora* detailed by this study will provide a new perspective for ecologists and environmental managers to understand the invasion mechanism of *S. alterniflora*.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The study area is the core zone of Fujian Zhangjiang Estuary Mangrove National Nature Reserve, which has an area of 2.5 km² and is located in the estuary of Zhangjiang River, Yunxiao County, Fujian Province, China (117°24′07″–117°30′00″E, 23°53′45″–23°56′00″N; Figure 1). This reserve was listed as a national nature reserve in 2002 and added to the Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar site No. 1726) in 2008. Zhangjiang Estuary has a semidiurnal tide type with 0.43 m minimal tidal range, 4.67 m maximal tidal range, and 2.32 m annual mean tidal variation. The region has a monsoon-influenced marine subtropical climate, with temperature varying from 0.2 to 38.1 °C and precipitation varying from 1348 to 2493 mm. The study area is characterized by native species of *Avicennia marina*, *Aegiceras corniculatum*, and *Kandelia obovata*. *S. alterniflora* has been invading this region since the early 1990s, now, it is a common species with the largest area in the reserve [41].

![Figure 1. Location of study area and spatial distributions of ground survey points.](image-url)
According to the phenological characteristics of *S. alterniflora*, we divided each year into the two seasons of growing season and dormant season [42]. The growing season is from March to October, and the residual months (November–February) are defined as the dormant season [43].

### 2.2. Sentinel-2 Imagery and Ground References

Cloud-free Sentinel-2 imagery was downloaded from the website of Copernicus Sentinels Scientific Data Hub (https://scihub.copernicus.eu/) and was delivered orthorectified with top-of-atmosphere reflectance in Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) projection with the World Geodetic System (WGS 84). The Sentinel-2 mission is comprised of two satellites, Sentinel-2A and Sentinel-2B. Both carry a state-of-the-art MultiSpectral Instrument (MSI) sensor which offers 13 spectral bands, spanning from the visible, through the NIR and red edge, to the SWIR. They have great potential for various applications of earth observation [44]. Bands acquired at 60 m (coastal aerosol band 1, water vapor band 9 and cirrus band 10) spatial resolution are dedicated primarily for detecting atmospheric features and were therefore excluded from the analysis [16]. Table 1 lists the general characteristics of the Sentinel-2 imagery.

**Table 1.** General characteristics of Spectral bands for the Sentinel-2 MultiSpectral Instrument (MSI) sensors.

| Sentinel-2 MSI Bands                  | Central Wavelength (nm) | Bandwidth (nm) | Spatial Resolution (m) |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Coastal aerosol (Band 1)              | 443                     | 20             | 60                     |
| Blue (Band 2)                         | 490                     | 65             | 10                     |
| Green (Band 3)                        | 560                     | 35             | 10                     |
| Red (Band 4)                          | 665                     | 30             | 10                     |
| Vegetation red edge (Band 5)          | 705                     | 15             | 20                     |
| Vegetation red edge (Band 6)          | 740                     | 15             | 20                     |
| Vegetation red edge (Band 7)          | 783                     | 20             | 20                     |
| Near-infrared (Band 8)                | 842                     | 115            | 10                     |
| Narrow near-infrared (Band 8A)        | 865                     | 20             | 20                     |
| Water vapor (Band 9)                  | 945                     | 20             | 60                     |
| Cirrus (Band 10)                      | 1380                    | 30             | 60                     |
| Short-wave infrared reflectance       |                         |                |                        |
| (SWIR1 (Band 11))                     | 1610                    | 90             | 20                     |
| SWIR2 (Band 12)                       | 2190                    | 180            | 20                     |

To monitor seasonal invasion process of *S. alterniflora*, we downloaded cloud-free level 1C (radiometric and geometric corrections) Sentinel-2 images from the beginning of growing seasons (February 2016, March 2017, March 2018) and the dormant seasons (December 2016, November 2017, November 2018) over Zhangjiang Estuary from 2016 to 2018. Detailed information and tidal levels of these images are presented in Table 2. Geometric and radiometric corrections with subpixel accuracy, such as spatial and orthorectification registration on a global reference system, were made by the Level-1C product. In the toolbox of Sentinel Application Platform (SNAP), the atmospheric correction (converting top-of-atmosphere reflectance into top-of-canopy reflectance) of the Sentinel-2 image was performed using the atmospheric correction tool of SEN2COR (version 2.05.05). After atmospheric correction, bands with 60 m spatial resolution (Bands 1, 9, 10) were abandoned. All other bands had a resampled pixel size of 10 m × 10 m to standardize different spatial resolutions of bands in Sentinel-2 images.

Ground surveys were conducted in November 2016, November 2017, and November 2018. The location of each sampling point was measured by a global positioning system (GPS). To collect enough samples, unmanned aerial vehicle (UVA) flights were also used to access muddy areas. In total, 306, 301, and 301 samples were collected in 2016, 2017, and 2018, respectively. These samples contained 103, 102, and 106 points of *S. alterniflora* in 2016, 2017, and 2018, respectively. Two-thirds of the ground survey points were randomly selected as training samples, and the others were assumed as validation...
samples. A confusion matrix that contained producer’s accuracy, user’s accuracy, overall accuracy, and Kappa coefficient was used to measure the accuracy of *S. alterniflora* delineation results.

| Mission      | Observation Date     | Transit Time | Transit Tidal Height/m | Tidal Level |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Sentinel-2A  | 7 February 2016      | 10:49:02     | 0.22                   | low         |
| Sentinel-2A  | 13 December 2016     | 10:46:52     | 2.57                   | high        |
| Sentinel-2A  | 13 March 2017        | 10:45:41     | -1.16                  | low         |
| Sentinel-2B  | 10 November 2017     | 10:47:39     | -1.45                  | low         |
| Sentinel-2B  | 10 March 2018        | 10:35:39     | 0.06                   | low         |
| Sentinel-2A  | 23 November 2018     | 10:48:19     | -1.67                  | low         |

2.3. Building a Submerged *S. alterniflora* Index (SAI)

As shown in Table 2, there is a high tide (2.57 m) image in the Sentinel-2 image collection because low-tidal cloud-free images did not exist in November 2016. According to our field surveys, large areas of *S. alterniflora* could be submerged during high tide levels. In order to remove tide influences and build a high-quality seasonal Sentinel-2 image collection, we developed a new vegetation index that could help to extract submerged *S. alterniflora* from water background.

Figure 2A shows the spectral reflectance curves of the typical land cover types in Sentinel-2 image, namely submerged *S. alterniflora*, exposed *S. alterniflora*, mangrove forest, and water. The water surfaces characteristically showed strong absorptions in the NIR (770–890 nm) and SWIR (1600–2370 nm) spectra. The exposed *S. alterniflora* and mangrove forest showed typical spectral reflectance of green vegetation, with reflection valleys at approximately 675 nm, a sharp reflectance increase at approximately 700 nm, and high reflection in the NIR band (770–890 nm) [45]. In addition, there was a reflectance peak in the spectral regions of about 810–830 nm, even in the curves of vegetation located below the water surface (Figure 2). This peak results from the competing effects between the chlorophyll reflectance plateau and the absorption effects of water located within submerged vegetation and in the surrounding water background [46]. By comparing the submerged *S. alterniflora* reflectance curve and the water reflectance curve, we developed a new vegetation index called submerged *S. alterniflora* index (SAI). The SAI is defined as the average reflectance value of the four red edge bands above the linear baseline constructed with red and SWIR bands. The SAI is calculated as follows:

\[
SAI = \left| (\rho_{\lambda_1} - \rho_{\text{BA}_1}) + (\rho_{\lambda_2} - \rho_{\text{BA}_2}) + (\rho_{\lambda_3} - \rho_{\text{BA}_3}) + (\rho_{\lambda_4} - \rho_{\text{BA}_4}) \right| / 4
\]

\[
\rho_{\text{BA}_i} = \rho_{2190} + (\rho_{665} - \rho_{2190}) \times \frac{2190 - \lambda_i}{2190 - 665}
\]

where \( \rho_{\lambda} \) is the reflectance of the central wavelength of \( \lambda \), and \( i \) ranges from 1 to 4. \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \) and \( \lambda_4 \) represents the center wavelengths of bands 5, 6, 7, and 8A, respectively. \( \rho_{\text{BA}_i} \) is the baseline reflectance in \( \lambda_i \). \( \rho_{665} \) and \( \rho_{2190} \) are the reflectance values of bands 4 and 12, respectively. To extract *S. alterniflora* from water, we calculated the SAI of the high-tidal image (acquired in 13 December 2016; Figure 2B).
2.4. Multiscale Optimal Segmentation

In this study, eCognition Developer version 9.2 was used to conduct OBIA. Segmentation is the most important process in OBIA and divides images into series of homogeneous and contiguous pixels (image objects) regarding spectral or spatial characteristics [47]. Segmented boundaries that are more highly consistent with real land patterns result in more accurate feature extraction results [48]. In order to obtain the optimal segmentation results, it is necessary to find the most appropriate segmentation parameters.

To quantify the optimal segmentation scale for different objects in the image, the tool of Estimation of Scale Parameter (ESP) was used in this study [29]. This tool determined whether the segmentation effect was optimal by calculating local variance (LV) of image object homogeneity under different segmentation scale parameters as the mean standard deviation of segmentation object layer, using the rate of change (ROC) of LV to indicate the optimal segmentation scale parameters [31]. The (ROC) of LV was calculated by the following formula:

\[ R_{oc} = \frac{L_i - L_{i-1}}{L_{i-1}} \times 100 \]  

where \( R_{oc} \) is the rate of change of LV, \( L_i \) is the mean standard deviation of the layer \( i \) object of the target layer, and \( L_{i-1} \) is the mean standard deviation of the layer \( i-1 \) object in the target layer.

In the ESP’s parameter settings, shape and compactness factors are the most important parameters [49]. In combination with the shape of small patches of S. alterniflora, in this study, the shape parameter was set to 0.15 and the compactness parameter was set to 0.5. This study selected a series of scale parameters starting with 5, with the step size increasing by 1, to segment the image and calculate the LV and ROC; the calculation was stopped when the scale parameter reached 100. Figure 3A depicts changes in LV and ROC with increasing scale parameter. Optimal scale parameters are indicated by dotted vertical lines for different land cover types. For six land cover types (mangrove forest, S. alterniflora, intertidal mudflat, aquaculture pond, water, other), we selected the peaks as marked in Figure 3A and performed segmentation using the corresponding scale parameters. In detail, the ESP tool indicated a scale of 81 to remove effects of aquaculture pond and water on classification accuracy of S. alterniflora. When the segmentation scale was set to 12, small patches of S. alterniflora...
were separated from mudflats and mangrove forests. Figure 3B,C shows the segmentation results of two optimal scales.

**Figure 3.** The optimal segmentation scales for different objects. (A) Changes in local variance (LV) and rate of change (ROC) with increasing segmentation scale. (B) Segmentation effects with scale parameter of 81. (C) Segmentation effects with scale parameter of 12.

### 2.5. Random Forest Algorithm

RF algorithm is a powerful ensemble machine learning algorithm that is composed of a cluster of decision trees [50]. The trees are created through bagging or bootstrap aggregating, which is an approach for drawing training data subsets by selecting randomly resampled variables with replacements [27]. The original training samples are usually divided into in-bag samples and out-of-bag (OOB) samples. Each bagging subset usually contains approximately two to three of the samples (in-bag samples) to form a training set. The nonselected dataset (out-of-bag samples) is used to evaluate the RF algorithm performance error. The OOB error is calculated to measure feature importance, which is estimated using the out-of-bag (OOB) samples [51].

In classification process, the quality of input features was important for classification performance [52]. Sentinel-2 offers multispectral bands that are very effective for monitoring vegetation information. The complementarity between spectral and spatial features can improve the classification results. In this study, original spectral bands, texture features, spectral indices, and geometry features (Table 3) were selected as object features based on their previous performances in vegetation studies [21,53]. The spectral features consisted of NIR indices, red edge indices, and SWIR indices. The normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) represents vegetation growth status [54,55]. The enhanced vegetation index (EVI), for example, enhances vegetation signals by adding blue bands to correct soil background and aerosol scattering effects, which is suitable for areas with high leaf area index values [56]. Detailed references for each index are listed in Table 3. Texture is an effective representation of spatial relationship and contextual information [57,58]. Texture features comprised homogeneity, contrast, entropy, and correlation, because gray level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) derived features are sensitive to texture boundaries [59]. In addition to the use of the input images and adopted features, the geometry features have obvious impacts on the final classification results [52,60]. Variables were selected and optimized through the RF algorithm. The optimal number and the importance of these features were obtained according to the OOB error. The OOB errors are shown in Figure 4A. According to the curve in Figure 4A, it was observed that the inclusion of object features
gradually decreased the OOB error rate until the first 13 features were used in the classification. At this point, the curve stabilized at a minimum level and the OOB error value was 13.9%, reaching the lowest point. OOB error became larger as new variables were added. That is, when the number of selected features is greater than the first 13, the classification accuracy will decline. Thus, the top 13 features were used to classify \textit{S. alterniflora}.

Subsequent to optimizing the number of features, the relative importance of the input features was measured. Figure 4B shows the importance of the top 13 features in classifying \textit{S. alterniflora}. According to Figure 4B, the most important feature was the reflectance of narrow NIR (band 8A). The second most important feature was the reflectance of SWIR2 (band 12), followed by NIR (band 8). NDVIre2 index derived from the red edge band (band 6) was ranked fourth. Additionally, NDVIre1 and NDVIre3 indices derived from the red edge bands (bands 5 and 7) were also important features. Thus, it is proved that the red edge bands and their derived indices in Sentinel-2 imagery were important in \textit{S. alterniflora} classification.

| Object Features | Formula for Sentinel-2 |
|-----------------|------------------------|
| **Spectral bands** | \text{Individual Bands} |
| B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, B7, B8, B8a, B11, B12 |
| **Conventional NIR indices** | |
| DVI [61] | \((B8 / B3) - 1\) |
| Clg [62] | |
| SR [61] | \((B8 / B4)\) |
| NDVI [63] | \((B8 - B4) / (B8 + B4)\) |
| EVI [64] | \((2.5 \times (B8 - B4)) / (B8 + 6 \times B4 - 7.5 \times B2 + 1)\) |
| **Red edge indices** | |
| CIre1 [65] | \((B5 / B3) - 1\) |
| CIre2 [65] | \((B6 / B3) - 1\) |
| CIre3 [65] | \((B7 / B3) - 1\) |
| NDVIre1 [66] | \((B8 - B5) / (B8 + B5)\) |
| NDVIre2 [66] | \((B8 - B6) / (B8 + B6)\) |
| NDVIre3 [66] | \((B8 - B7) / (B8 + B7)\) |
| MSRren [65] | \(\frac{(B8a / B5) - 1}{\sqrt{B8a / B5} + 1}\) |
| **SWIR indices** | |
| MDI1 [21] | \((B8 - B11) / B11\) |
| MDI2 [21] | \((B8 - B12) / B12\) |
| **Geometry features** | |
| Density | \(D = \sqrt{\frac{n}{1 + \sqrt{\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y)}}}\) |
| Shape index | \(\text{SI} = \frac{p}{4 \times \text{Area}}\) |
| Area | – |
| Border length | – |
| Length | – |
| Length/width | – |
| **Texture information** | |
| Homogeneity [67] | \(\sum_{i,j=1}^{N_t} \frac{\text{GLCM}(i,j)}{1 + |i - j|}\) |
| Contrast [67] | \(\sum_{i,j=1}^{N_t} (i - j)^2 \text{GLCM}(i,j)\) |
| Entropy [67] | \(\sum_{i,j=1}^{N_t} (\text{GLCM}(i,j))^2\) |
| Correlation [67] | \(\sum_{i,j=1}^{N_t} \frac{[i,j] \times \text{GLCM}(i,j) - [\mu_x - \mu_y] [\sigma_x - \sigma_y]}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}\) |
3. Results

3.1. Accuracy Assessment

Table 4 presents classification accuracies of *S. alterniflora* and other land covers. The overall accuracies were 94%, 93%, and 92% at the beginning of the growing seasons in February 2016, March 2017, and March 2018, respectively. The overall accuracies were 95%, 93%, and 94% at the beginning of dormant seasons in December 2016, November 2017, and November 2018, respectively. The minimum value of Kappa coefficient is 0.89 on 10 March 2018, and the Kappa coefficients of other images are higher than 0.90. Specifically, the overall accuracy of *S. alterniflora* classification of the high tide image (13 December 2016) reached 95% with a Kappa coefficient of 0.93. The confusion matrix shows that our classification results are in accordance with those obtained from the field surveys.

| Time               | Producer | User | Overall | Kappa |
|--------------------|----------|------|---------|-------|
| 7 February 2016    | 0.94     | 0.91 | 0.94    | 0.92  |
| 13 December 2016   | 0.93     | 0.95 | 0.95    | 0.93  |
| 13 March 2017      | 0.94     | 0.92 | 0.93    | 0.91  |
| 10 November 2017   | 0.94     | 0.91 | 0.93    | 0.92  |
| 10 March 2018      | 0.93     | 0.91 | 0.92    | 0.89  |
| 23 November 2018   | 0.92     | 0.94 | 0.94    | 0.91  |

3.2. SAI Image and the Distribution of *S. alterniflora* in the High Tide

Submerged *S. alterniflora* in the high tide Sentinel-2 image (acquired 13 December 2016) was detected from the water background by SAI algorithm. As shown in Figure 2A, pixels of submerged *S. alterniflora* have positive values in the SAI image. The distribution of *S. alterniflora* on 13 December 2016 (high tide) is shown in Figure 5. According to our spatial statistics, on 13 December 2016, the total area of *S. alterniflora* was 174.8 ha in the high tide image, including 16.2 ha of submerged and 158.6 ha of exposed *S. alterniflora*.

3.3. Temporal and Spatial Changes of *S. alterniflora*

Spatial dynamics of *S. alterniflora* from February 2016 to November 2018 are shown in Figure 6. Large patches of *S. alterniflora* were mainly located in front of mangrove forests along the southern coasts of Zhangjiang Estuary, while a number of small patches were located close to aquaculture ponds. During 2016 to 2018, *S. alterniflora* patches were found to become more and more aggregated. Newly colonized *S. alterniflora* clumps are observed among mudflats and mangrove forests.
Figure 5. The distribution of *S. alterniflora* at high tide, including predicted *S. alterniflora* derived from SAI (*S. alterniflora*) and the exposed *S. alterniflora* (E *S. alterniflora*).

Figure 6. *S. alterniflora* distribution maps of the Zhangjiang Estuary from February 2016 to November 2018. Band combination: R:G:B = Sentinel-2 Band 5:4:3.
Temporal changes of *S. alterniflora* are shown in Figure 7. From 2016 to 2018, *S. alterniflora* increased dramatically, at a rate of 39.5 ha/year (26.1%). Notable invasions of *S. alterniflora* are observed both in growing seasons and dormant seasons (Table 5). During the growing seasons of 2016, 2017, and 2018, *S. alterniflora* increased by 23.1 ha (15.2%, from February to December 2016), 34.3 ha (18.1%, from March to November 2017), and 37.0 ha (15.9%, from March to November 2018), respectively. During the dormant seasons of 2016 to 2017 and 2017 to 2018, *S. alterniflora* increased by 14.7 ha (8.4%, from December 2016 to March 2017) and 9.5 ha (4.2%, from November 2017 to March 2018), respectively.

**Figure 7.** Coverage area of *S. alterniflora* from the beginning of the growing season in 2016 to the beginning of the dormant season in 2018.

| Stage                | Change of Area (ha) | Change Rate (%) |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Growing seasons      |                     |                 |
| 2016/02/07-2016/12/13| 23.1                | 15.2            |
| 2017/03/13-2017/11/10| 34.3                | 18.1            |
| 2018/03/10-2018/11/23| 37.0                | 15.9            |
| Dormant seasons      |                     |                 |
| 2016/12/13-2017/03/13| 14.7                | 8.4             |
| 2017/11/10-2018/03/10| 9.5                 | 4.2             |

4. Discussion

4.1. Advantages of the Data and Methods

Since the late 1990s, monitoring *S. alterniflora* invasion has received extensive attention [2,9,14,16,23,68]. According to literature review, the overall classification accuracies obtained by this study (ranging from 92% to 95%) are much higher than those of previous research (Table 6). There are two advantages of this study.

First, Sentinel-2 MSI, with fine spatial resolution (up to 10 m), multispectral images (13 bands), and high temporal frequency (2–5 day revisit cycle), improved the capability of detecting *S. alterniflora* [20,69]. Due to the patchy and narrow pattern of *S. alterniflora* patches, *S. alterniflora* derived from 10 m spatial resolution imagery must be more accurate than those from 30 m spatial resolution Landsat imagery. Compared to other high-resolution imagery (for example, SPOT 5), Sentinel-2 imagery has many more spectra bands (10 bands) that can be used in vegetation monitoring, with four red edge bands. Several authors highlighted that separability among vegetation categories has been increased with the introduction of red edge bands and narrow NIR [66,70–72]. In particular, some researchers have
established indices based on the red band, NIR, and SWIR of remote sensing images to distinguish floating vegetation from water background. One such index is the land surface water index (LSWI), which was built based on the reflectance of NIR and SWIR and is widely used for the remote sensing of surface water from space [73]. The floating algae index (FAI) was defined based on the reflectance of a red band, SWIR, and NIR; it is used to characterize the intense blooms of cyanobacteria [46]. However, these indices are not suitable for discriminating submerged vegetation from water, because there are small variations in the reflectance of submerged vegetation which are suppressed by surrounding water. Compared to these indices, the SAI is a more sensitive index for separating submerged *S. alterniflora* from water, and it can reduce the impact of unexpected noises from a certain band by using three red edge bands and a narrow NIR of a Sentinel-2 image, according to analysis in Section 2.3. Thus, in this study, the SAI established by the red edge bands successfully extracted submerged patches of *S. alterniflora* that were overlooked in other studies. Furthermore, repetition cycle of Sentinel-2 imagery provides great opportunities in acquiring dense time series images, which are ideal for monitoring seasonal invasions of *S. alterniflora*.

### Table 6. Overall accuracy of *S. alterniflora* obtained from different sensors and classification methods.

| Research | Overall Accuracy | Study Area          | Data Source            | Classification Method                      |
|----------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| This study | 92%–95%          | Zhangjiang Estuary  | Sentinel-2             | Multiscale Optimal Segmentation and Random Forest (RF) |
| Wang et al., 2015 [4] | 87.4%         | Yueqing Bay, China | SPOT 6                 | Object-Based Image Analysis (OBIA)         |
| Wang et al., 2015 [4] | 80%–90%       | Yueqing Bay, China | Landsat TM             | Support Vector Machine (SVM)               |
| Liu et al., 2017 [11] | 87%            | Zhangjiang Estuary | SPOT 5                 | OBIA and Visual Interpretation SX         |
| Liu et al., 2017 [11] | 86%–90%       | Zhangjiang Estuary | Google Earth and Gaofen-1 | OBIA and Visual Interpretation SX         |
| Liu et al., 2017 [11] | 87%            | Zhangjiang Estuary | Google Earth and Gaofen-1 | OBIA and Visual Interpretation SX         |
| Ai et al., 2016 [74]  | 84.42%         | Chongming island   | Landsat 8 OLI          | Pan-sharpening and Classifier Ensemble Techniques |
| Lin et al., 2015 [75] | 87.71%         | Jiuduansha Wetland | ZiYuan1 and ZiYuan3    | Decision Tree Classification               |

Second, based on multiscale optimal segmentation model, we obtained better boundary consistencies between the segmented image objects and real land cover types. Previously, most studies used single-scale optimal segmentation model to identify the spatiotemporal distribution of coastal ecosystems [22]. However, the spatial pattern of land cover in Zhangjiang Estuary is more complex. *S. alterniflora* patches on the mudflats are patchy and fragmented, while the patches of mangrove forest, water, and aquaculture pond are large and concentrated. Thus, a single segmentation scale is not suitable for land cover delineation. The multiscale optimal segmentation model used in our study gave different optimal segmentation scales for different land covers so that the classification results were more accurate.

#### 4.2. New Findings of *S. alterniflora* Invasion Process

For the first time, we found that *S. alterniflora* invaded significantly during dormant seasons. According to literature, when *S. alterniflora* entered into a new habitat, in addition to widespread dispersal of seeds, it was able to use the rhizome diffusion to expand the population and enter new pieces of habitat [76]. That means that, although *S. alterniflora* turns brown during dormant season, it does not stop growing, because the roots are still developing [77]. Our discovery reaffirmed the above knowledge on the *S. alterniflora* invasion mechanism. To our knowledge, this study is the first attempt to monitor continuous *S. alterniflora* invasion at multiyear seasonal scales. Most previous studies monitored the extent of *S. alterniflora* at a chosen day to represent *S. alterniflora* status for a year.
In fact, *S. alterniflora* follows distinct growth states in different seasons. Thus, our high-temporal-scale spatial dynamics information is of great significance to accurately reflect *S. alterniflora* invasion process and reveal its potential invasion mechanism.

In addition, by comparing our results with other existing studies of the Zhangjiang Estuary, we concluded that invasion of *S. alterniflora* has been largely accelerated. According to Liu et al. (2017), the areal extent of *S. alterniflora* in Zhangjiang Estuary only increased by 3.42 ha from 2003 to 2012, while the invasion accelerated during 2012 to 2015 with an increasing rate of 18.25 ha/year [11]. Results of this study consistently showed that, from 2016 to 2018, the rate of *S. alterniflora* invasion was 39.5 ha/year. The accelerated invasion has also been found in coastal areas such as Beihai in Guangxi province from 2009 and 2011 and Yueqing in Zhejiang province over the past 21 years [4,36]. Thus, urgent efforts should be taken to manage *S. alterniflora* invasions.

4.3. Uncertainties

Satellite monitoring has become the major means to map the distribution of *S. alterniflora* by comparing the spectral discrimination among *S. alterniflora* and other land cover types [2,4,8,23]. Due to the uncertainties of local instantaneous tidal conditions during predetermined times that satellites pass over, the extent of *S. alterniflora* may not fully exposed [6]. In this case, the spectral characteristic of the submerged *S. alterniflora* will be altered and the effectiveness of spectral discrimination for *S. alterniflora* will be weakened. Thus, high-tide stage can reduce the extent of *S. alterniflora* mapped because submerged *S. alterniflora* will be confused with water [38]. In this study, the area of submerged *S. alterniflora* was determined to be 16.2 ha, meaning that 9.3% of the total area of *S. alterniflora* is lost due to tidal effects. Therefore, if tidal conditions are not taken into account in the mapping of *S. alterniflora*, we believe that the monitoring of *S. alterniflora* will be inaccurate.

In this study, the submerged *S. alterniflora* was identified by SAI. However, SAI was derived based on the reflectance peak of chlorophyll; as a result, other submerged vegetation and floating vegetation (for example, algae) may be misclassified as *S. alterniflora* [46,78]. In this case, *S. alterniflora* may be overestimated. In contrast, it was found that it was difficult to detect typical NIR peaks of vegetation spectra depths of 0.5 m with high turbidity (50 nephelometric turbidity units) and 1 m with low turbidity (0.5 nephelometric turbidity units), [79]. Hence, *S. alterniflora* submerged by high-turbidity water may be underestimated.

In addition, *S. alterniflora* shows different spectral characteristics in different seasons, which means that the characteristics of *S. alterniflora* may be confused with different vegetation in different seasons [75]. For this study, in the dormant seasons, some *S. alterniflora* were completely withered. Thus, it is difficult to separate *S. alterniflora* from surrounding mudflats, especially for the small clumps (less than 100 m²) of newly colonized patches. Fortunately, with the production of higher resolution image data, it is possible to solve these problems.

5. Conclusions

In this study, multiyear seasonal Setinel-2 imagery was combined with RF algorithm and OBIA classification method and used to monitor the *S. alterniflora* invasion process at a continuous seasonal scale during 2016 to 2018. To our knowledge, this is the first study to extract submerged *S. alterniflora* from the water by developing an SAI derived from reflectance peaks between red edge bands, narrow NIR, and SWIR2 in Sentinel-2 images to remove tide influences. Additionally, a multiscale optimal segmentation was applied to delineate objects during OBIA classification.

Our results showed that: (1) The SAI provided an effective method to extract submerged *S. alterniflora* from water and increased the overall accuracy of *S. alterniflora* mapping at high tide to 95%, with a Kappa coefficient of 0.93. (2) Sentinel-2 imagery and multiscale optimal segmentation significantly improved the classification accuracies of *S. alterniflora*. (3) *S. alterniflora* dramatically expanded in Zhangjiang Estuary during the period of March 2016 to November 2018, in which the total area increased by 118.6 ha, accounting for 78% of the original areal extent. (4) *S. alterniflora*
spread both in growing seasons and dormant seasons; the average growth rate was 31.5 ha/season during growing seasons and 12.1 ha/season during dormant seasons. In addition, we concluded that the invasion process of *S. alterniflora* has been largely accelerated by comparing to other existing studies of Zhangjiang Estuary. Methods presented by this study bring great benefits to remote sensing communities of coastal and aquatic vegetation studies. New findings about the *S. alterniflora* invasion process will contribute to controlling invasion and protecting coastal ecosystems.
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