Structure, Mössbauer, electrical, and γ-ray attenuation-properties of magnesium zinc ferrite synthesized co-precipitation method
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For technical and radioprotection causes, it has become essential to find new trends of smart materials which used as protection from ionizing radiation. To overcome the undesirable properties in lead aprons and provide the proper or better shielding properties against ionizing radiation, the tendency is now going to use ferrite as a shielding material. The co-precipitation method was utilized to prevent any foreign phases in the investigated MZN nano-ferrite. X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Fourier transmission infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) methods were used to analyze the manufactured sample. As proven by XRD and FTIR, the studied materials have their unique spinel phase with cubic structure Fd3m space group. The DC resistivity of Mg–Zn ferrite was carried out in the temperature range (77–295 K), and its dependence on temperature indicates that there are different charge transport mechanisms. The Mössbauer spectra analysis confirmed that the ferrimagnetic to superparamagnetic phase transition behaviour depends on Zn concentration. The incorporation of Zn to MZF enhanced the nano-ferrite density, whereas the addition of different Zn-oxides reduced the density for nano-ferrite samples. This variation in density changed the radiation shielding results. The sample containing high Zn (MZF-0.5) gives us better results in radiation shielding properties at low gamma, so this sample is superior in shielding results for charged particles at low energy. Finally, the possibility to use MZN nano-ferrite with various content in different ionizing radiation shielding fields can be concluded.

Although technological advancements have made life easier for humans, they have also had negative consequences, such as the rapid expansion of nuclear waste storage sites nuclear radiation use in our daily lives, which includes industries, medical diagnostic centers, nuclear reactors, food irradiation, nuclear research institutions, and medical diagnosis as well as therapy. Because of their magnetic, electrical, optical, and mechanical properties, oxide-based samples such as nano-ferrites have received a great deal of attention in recent years. As a result, these materials have the capacity to be used in a many applications, including medical diagnostics, rechargeable lithium batteries, high-frequency media, solar energy devices, magnetic fluids, and radiation shielding materials. In this study, researchers used ferrites in the form of nanoparticles to discover that the physical and chemical characteristics of these ferrites in the nano-range are influenced by factors such as crystal size, energy band gap, surface, and bulk morphology, amongst other things. One of the most famous magnetically soft spinel materials, Magnesium Zinc ferrite (MZF) in nano size, is an ecologically benign, non-toxic substance that absorbs visible light owing to its tiny bandgap, and that may be used...
as an attenuated material for gamma rays. A diverse collection of past studies demonstrates a continuing interest in radiation shielding against ionizing radiation\textsuperscript{11–13}. Likewise, the pollution created by gamma-ray radiation is a severe concern in electronic, networking, and wireless equipment, demanding the study and development of radiation absorption materials\textsuperscript{14–16}. The general idea of using spinel soft magnetic materials is depending on the magnetic and electric properties of this materials, such as the higher value of electrical conductivity, permittivity ($\sigma_r$), and permeability ($\mu_r$) of the material\textsuperscript{17}. Although, the use of a composite between polymer or a block of cement with magnetic ferrite as a filler is a smart way forward to enhance EMI shielding performance\textsuperscript{18,19}.

It is necessary to limit the undesired emissions from materials/devices and external media in order to regulate and postpone the negative effects of ionizing radiation, as well as the detrimental influence on people. The capacity of shielding materials to deflect and absorb radiation is the primary function of these materials. We know that charge carriers in electrically conductive shielding materials cause reflection, and we can predict how this happens\textsuperscript{20–22}. The absorption process is carried out by the usage of the magnetic and electric dipoles of shielding materials. Several shielding materials are used to conduct the absorption radiation; these materials were selected for their intelligent and promising radiation shielding properties, and they include carbon nanotubes\textsuperscript{23}, graphene\textsuperscript{24}, polymers\textsuperscript{25}, BaTiO$_3$\textsuperscript{6,26}, PZTiO$_3$\textsuperscript{27}, and nano ferrite\textsuperscript{28}.

This work involves synthesizing Mg$_{(1-x)}$Zn$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ (MZF) in Nanoscale via a chemical method and developed to use the magnetic ferrite to be used as filler materials which added to attenuate the gamma radiation, which has a fundamental contribution on absorption decay that lead to high-shielding effectiveness with high attenuation of ionizing radiation.

Materials and methods

In the presence investigation of Mg$_{(1-x)}$Zn$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ samples where ($x = 0.0, 0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.40$ and $0.50$) ferrite system were prepared using the Co-precipitation method\textsuperscript{29,30}. The starting materials were MgCl$_2$·6H$_2$O, ZnCl$_2$, and FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (1:2 molar-ratio) by addition 25% amonia-solution. The whole substance utilized was brought in from Oxford Lab and was of very high chemical purity (99.99%). Reagent. The ferrite system was prepared in a typical reaction,

\[
(1 - x)\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + x\text{ZnCl}_2 + 2\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 8\text{NaOH} \\
\rightarrow \text{Mg}_{(1-x)}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4 + 8\text{NaCl} + (22 - 6x)\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

The volume of the reaction mixture was combined under magnetic stirring during a continual gradual addition of 25 ml to a 25% ammonia solution, with the heating continuing for thirty minutes. A black precipitate was decanted and washed with 500 ml distilled water in a changing magnetic field (Scheme 1).

The linear attenuation coefficients ($\mu$) of ferrite samples have been measured experimentally using the narrow beam method in conjunction with a Pb-collimator. The collimated photons, which have varying energy, have interacted with several types of glass samples. Radiation measurements were performed with a NaI (TI) scintillation detector (Oxford model) with a 3–3-in. detection window, which was coupled to a multichannel analyzer\textsuperscript{31}. The radioactive sources that were employed in the experiment were Ba-133 (81 and 356 keV, 1 $\mu$Ci), Cs-137 (662 keV, 5 $\mu$Ci), Co-60 (1173 and 1332 keV, 10 $\mu$Ci), and Th-233 (911 and 2614 keV, 20 $\mu$Ci). Figure 1 depicts the experimental setup, which includes the source, sample, and detector. The area beneath photopeak has been used to determine the photon intensity without and with absorber for each gamma-line in the experiment. The uncertainties were fewer than 1% of the total number of uncertainties. The spectra were analyzed utilize the Genie-2000 software, which was developed by Canberra.

Results and discussions

Structure properties. X-ray diffraction patterns of the investigated samples annealed at 1100 °C were illustrated in Fig. 2. XRD patterns indicate single-phase cubic spinel structure with the main peak (311)\textsuperscript{32–35}. It can confirm from the broad XRD peaks that the samples consist of ultrafine nano-sized particles. The crystallite size

Scheme 1. Schematic view of synthesis of Mg$_{(1-x)}$Zn$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ by Co-precipitation method.
was estimated from XRD from the most intense peaks using Scherer's Eq. (1) and found in the range 35–45 nm. The calculated values of the lattice parameter (a), the crystallite size (t), interplanar distance (d), the X-ray density (d_x) and the bulk density (d_B), and the percentage of porosity (P) are also summarized in Table 1.

The matching high score plus of Mg(1−x)ZnxFe2O4 samples are shown in Fig. 3. Diffraction peaks corresponding to diffraction planes (111), (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), (440), (533) and (202) are indexed to single-phase with space group Fm-3m.

The structural and lattice parameter of Mg(1−x)ZnxFe2O4 samples were determined based on the full width at half maximum-FWHM (β), Bragg angle (θ in radians), and Miller indices of each plane (h k l) of the diffraction peak. With the help of the following equations, we can determine the interplanar distance (d'), microstrain (ε), interchain separation (R), the crystallite size (d), dislocation density (δ), and distortion parameters (g)36:
where $k = 0.89$; and $\lambda$ wavelength of the X-ray for Cu–$\kappa$ radiation = 1.541178 Å

$$ t = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta \cos(\theta_B)} $$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$ a_{\text{exp}} = \sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2} $$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$ d' = \frac{\lambda}{2\sin(\theta)} $$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Table 1. Values of Lattice parameter $a_{\text{exp}}$ (Å), crystallite size $t$ (nm), interplanar distance $d$ (nm), X-ray density $d_x$ (g cm$^{-3}$), Bulk density $d_B$ (g cm$^{-3}$), Porosity $P$ (%), Interchain separation $R$ (nm), micro strain $\varepsilon$, dislocation density $\delta$ (nm$^{-2}$), and distortion parameters $g$ for prepared Mg$_{(1-x)}$Zn$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ samples.

| $x$ | $a_{\text{exp}}$ | $t$ | $d$ | $d_x$ | $d_B$ | $P$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\delta$ | $g$ |
|-----|-----------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------------|------|-----|
| 0   | 8.43            | 35.9| 4.86| 5.17  | 3.38  | 34.62| 3.32        | 0.133| 1.868 |
| 0.1 | 8.49            | 41.2| 2.98| 5.29  | 3.44  | 34.97| 2.44        | 0.147| 0.0018 |
| 0.2 | 8.52            | 44.8| 2.54| 5.03  | 3.31  | 34.19| 2.21        | 0.182| 1.6722 |
| 0.3 | 8.53            | 39.3| 2.43| 4.92  | 3.25  | 33.94| 2.35        | 0.238| 2.305 |
| 0.4 | 8.47            | 37.3| 2.15| 4.74  | 3.20  | 32.48| 2.41        | 0.197| 0.0032 |
| 0.5 | 8.37            | 36.0| 1.72| 4.54  | 3.11  | 31.49| 2.49        | 0.195| 1.928 |

Figure 3. The matching high score plus for XRD patterns for all samples.
The structural parameters \( R \), \( \varepsilon \), \( d \), \( \delta \), and \( g \) are calculated and tabulated in Table 1. The calculated data, shown in Table 1, shows that lattice parameter (a) values decrease with decreasing Mg content\(^3\(^7\). This decrease can be attributed to replacing \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) ion with a smaller ionic radius (0.066 nm) with \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) ion with a larger ionic radius (0.082 nm). Also, the unusual density behavior that grows up to \( x = 0.3 \) and then decreases may be attributed to the replacement of lighter Mg by heavier Zn atoms and the distribution of zinc concentration among sublattice and, therefore, the influence of condensation on the crystal structure\(^3\(^8\). The assessed values in Table 1 show that the Mg-Zn ferrite composition significantly reduces both XRD and bulk density. This is related to the replacement of \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) ion with lower ionic radius (0.066 nm) by \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) ion with a larger ionic radius (0.082 nm) \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) ions in a spinel ferrite, on the other hand, have a significant affinity for tetrahedral interstitial spaces (A-sites) and may therefore replace both \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) ions in A-sites as given from the cation distribution. All of this demonstrates that the proportion of vacancies in the materials is increasing, which has an impact on packing density.

Figure 4 illustrates the FTIR spectra in the wavenumber range (400–1500 cm\(^{-1}\)) at room temperature for \( \text{Mg}_{(1-x)}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4 \) samples prepared using the co-precipitation method. It can notice that the higher frequency

\[
R = \frac{5\lambda}{8 \sin (\theta)} \quad (4)
\]

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{\beta \cos (\theta)}{4} \quad (5)
\]

\[
g = \frac{\beta}{\tan (\theta)} \quad (6)
\]

\[
d = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta \cos (\theta)} \quad (7)
\]

\[
\delta = \frac{1}{d^2} \quad (8)
\]
Table 2. Values of Frequency ($\nu_{\text{Octa}}$, $\nu_{\text{Tetra}}$), (Velocity' Octa $\times 10^8$, Velocity' Tetra $\times 10^8$), (n) A and B-site, E (Octa) $\times 10^{-4}$, E (Tetra) $\times 10^{-4}$, P (Octa) $\times 10^{-8}$, and P (Tetra) $\times 10^{-8}$ for prepared Mg$_{(1-x)}$Zn$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ samples.

| x     | $\nu_{\text{Octa}}$ | $\nu_{\text{Tetra}}$ | Velocity' Octa | Velocity' Tetra | (n) B-site (Tetra) | (n) A-site | E (Octa) $\times 10^{-4}$ | E (Tetra) $\times 10^{-4}$ | P (Octa) $\times 10^{-8}$ | P (Tetra) $\times 10^{-8}$ |
|-------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0     | 464.108              | 609.66                 | 2.541          | 3.000          | 3.4723            | 5.1767     | 2.31                     | 2.73                     | 2.532                    | 2.986                    |
| 0.1   | 467.45               | 616.49                 | 2.694          | 2.755          | 4.1752            | 6.1659     | 2.43                     | 2.51                     | 2.684                    | 2.744                    |
| 0.2   | 470.96               | 622.0055               | 2.602          | 2.969          | 7.1967            | 8.7087     | 2.37                     | 2.70                     | 2.593                    | 2.957                    |
| 0.3   | 472.62               | 623.15                 | 2.633          | 3.000          | 3.59618           | 3.35327    | 2.39                     | 2.73                     | 2.623                    | 2.987                    |
| 0.4   | 482.9634             | 622.0055               | 2.572          | 3.030          | 3.59623           | 3.3533     | 2.34                     | 2.76                     | 2.563                    | 3.019                    |
| 0.5   | 484.2367             | 624.8857               | 2.663          | 3.092          | 1.477             | 2.0519     | 2.42                     | 2.81                     | 2.654                    | 3.079                    |

It was possible to compute the activation-energy of each sample within the observed temperature range at the slope of linear plots of resistivity. According to the results, the activation energy was determined to be ranged 0.21–0.76 eV, as shown in Table 4 and Fig. 7. It was discovered that increasing the Zn content in the system Mg$_{(1-x)}$Zn$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite up to x = 0.2 resulted in an increase in activation energy, and then decreases can be attributed to the theory of can be attributed to the presence of different charge transport mechanisms and the decrease this can be attributed to the theory of a change in activation energy is due to the splitting of the conduction band and the valence bands below (Tc) the higher value of activation energy at higher concentration of Zn indicate the strong blocking of the conduction mechanism between Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions.

Mössbauer spectroscopy. For all samples weighing 0.015 g, homogenous and well milled Mössbauer spectroscopy measurements were performed. The sequential decay of the $^{57}$Co source produced 14.4 keV rays (5 mCi). All measurements were performed over a speed range of ±10 mm s at room temperature (RT), and spectral data were fitted using Lorentzian line shapes. The Mössbauer spectra of Mg$_{(1-x)}$Zn$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ were acquired at (RT) and fitted using were fitted using Lorentzian line shapes (Fig. 8). Illustrated the hyperfine parameters, isomer shift (I.S.), magnetic hyperfine field (HHF), quadrupole shift (Q.S.), relative area (A0), and line width (T). Analyzing the Mössbauer spectra for all recorded spectra (x = 0–0.5) is characteristic by splitting doublet, which attributed to the presence of Fe$^{3+}$ ion at the tetrahedral and octahedral site and confirmed the superparamagnetic behavior of the Mg–Zn ferrite samples.
A single sextet (B) in addition to superparamagnetic doublet were observed; this indicates relaxation effects, i.e., the presence of ions only in the octahedral B site whereas the magnetic sextet of A site vanishes. However, the possibility of occupying Fe$^{3+}$ ions in both A and B sites can slightly affect the magnetic hyperfine field values, quadrupole shift isomer shift, and connection to the substitution of Zn in the Mg-ferrite composition. For all samples, the centers of the Zeeman lines are not changed (0.446 for sextet (B) and 0.431 for doublet), denoting that replacement of Mg$^{2+}$ by Zn$^{2+}$ ions did not change the site symmetry.

Figure 5. Gaussian deconvolution of FTIR spectrum of Mg$_{(1-x)}$Zn$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ samples where (x = 0.0, 0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.40 and 0.50).
The fitted parameters given in (Table 5) show the fitted Mossbauer parameters isomer shift ($\delta$), quadrupole splitting ($\Delta Q$), and Area ($A$). The isomer shift of sextet (B) is assigned to the iron ions at the B site, due to difference in Fe$^{3+}$–O$^{2-}$ internuclear separation$^{51–53}$. Area of under Mössbauer spectra for sextet (B) systematically decrease as the Zn-content increases in B site. Attributed to the increase in the weak paramagnetic character (Zn ions) while the ferromagnetic character is decreasing (Fe ion), i.e., weakens the inter sublattice (AB) interactions between Fe ions. As the particle sizes are small, the crystallization will be imperfect. The $\Delta Q$ values decrease with increasing Zn content indicating less local distortion at the B sites of ferrite structure$^{54}$. The growth of superparamagnetic doublet due to decreased particle size with increasing Zn content which means a reduction in the bulk magnetization. Due to a large number of nonmagnetic nearest neighbors, the central doublet can be attributed to the magnetically isolated ions which do not contribute to the long-range magnetic ordering$^{55,56}$.

|  | X = 0.0 | X = 0.10 | X = 0.20 | X = 0.30 | X = 0.40 | X = 0.50 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| G-1 C | 468 | 467 | 470 | - | 492 | 493 |
| A | 6.07 | 4.21 | 6.64 | - | 9.62 | 10.02 |
| G-2 C | 512 | 507 | 552 | - | 491 | - |
| A | 4.75 | 2.84 | 1.95 | - | 8.17 | - |
| G-3 C | 569 | 610 | - | 603 | 604 | 604 |
| A | 0.61 | 0.016 | - | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.18 |
| G-4 C | 667 | 660 | - | - | 678 | - |
| A | 1.76 | 0.144 | - | - | 1.11 | - |
| G-5 C | 724 | 730 | 708 | 710 | 723 | 718 |
| A | 3.53 | 2.19 | 1.56 | 1.9 | 1.06 | 3.68 |
| G-6 C | 795 | 780 | 785 | 784 | 774 | 780 |
| A | 5.28 | 3.56 | 3.06 | 4.08 | 4.78 | 1.29 |
| G-7 C | 906 | 908 | 899 | 897 | 896 | 898 |
| A | 7.88 | 3.08 | 3.54 | 6.58 | 10.1 | 13.23 |
| G-8 C | 946 | 948 | - | 953 | 964 | 966 |
| A | 9.11 | 3.91 | - | 4.95 | 1.87 | 0.65 |
| G-9 C | 1016 | 1000 | 1020 | 1062 | 1002 | 1004 |
| A | 3.76 | 3.02 | 11.8 | 11.62 | 5.26 | 0.138 |
| G-10 C | 1065 | 1065 | - | - | 1065 | 1066 |
| A | 9.62 | 7.88 | - | - | 6.20 | 15.30 |
| G-11 C | 1139 | 1150 | 1123 | 1147 | 1154 | 1157 |
| A | 3.73 | 1.33 | 0.65 | 1.86 | 9.15 | 1.12 |
| G-12 C | 1246 | 1253 | 1234 | 1229 | 1251 | 1225 |
| A | 12.82 | 7.64 | 6.20 | 7.3 | 2.84 | 6.16 |
| G-13 C | 1397 | 1387 | - | 1385 | 1384 | 1381 |
| A | 1.85 | 0.61 | - | 0.49 | 1.12 | 1.12 |
| G-14 C | 1466 | 1462 | - | 1463 | 1462 | 1462 |
| A | 0.83 | 0.36 | - | 0.166 | 1.00 | 0.98 |

Table 3. The deconvolution parameter of the IR-spectra of the samples under investigation (C) represents the component band center, and (A) represents the relative area (percentage) of the component band.
Radiation shielding properties. Transmissions (T) have been calculated using the following formula based on photon intensities (I) and glass thickness (t) for a variety of ferrite samples at various energies:

\[ I = I_0 e^{-\mu t} \]  

(10)
T values for ferrite samples containing 0.0, 0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.40 and 0.50 Zn are depicted in Figs. 9, 10, and 11 at 0.356, 0.662, 0.911, 1.332, and 2.614 MeV. (An example).

T values of ferrite samples fall at a particular energy as Zn content and ferrite samples thickness rise, as illustrated in these figures. T values for MZF0.0, MZF0.3, and MZF0.5 ferrite samples are 0.10012086, 0.116960243, and −0.12909263 at 0.356 MeV and 0.29 cm, respectively. The Beer–Lambert law can be used to determine the linear attenuation coefficient (μ), which is an important feature for measuring the interaction of photons with ferrite samples59:

![Fitted Mössbauer spectra for samples Mg(1−x) Zn(x)Fe2O4.](image)

Figure 8. Fitted Mössbauer spectra for samples Mg(1−x) Zn(x)Fe2O4.

| X Component | Isomer shift δ (mm s⁻¹) | ΔEQ (mm s⁻¹) | Area (%) |
|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------|
| 0.0 Sextet (B) | 0.349 | 0.371 | 79.1 |
| 0.0 Double | 0.392 | 1.358 | 17.9 |
| 0.10 Sextet (B) | 0.349 | 0.354 | 78.9 |
| 0.10 Double | 0.362 | 1.346 | 18.9 |
| 0.20 Sextet (B) | 0.349 | 0.351 | 83.9 |
| 0.20 Double | 0.358 | 1.281 | 13.8 |
| 0.30 Sextet (B) | 0.349 | 0.349 | 75.8 |
| 0.30 Double | 0.377 | 1.281 | 22.7 |
| 0.40 Sextet (B) | 0.349 | 0.341 | 84.9 |
| 0.40 Double | 0.367 | 1.339 | 13.8 |
| 0.50 Sextet (B) | 0.352 | 0.341 | 87.6 |
| 0.50 Double | 0.424 | 1.339 | 11.2 |

Table 5. Mössbauer parameters for Mg(1−x) Zn(x)Fe2O4. (All values in the table are in units of mm s⁻¹ and Relative errors ± 0.01 mm s⁻¹).

T values for ferrite samples containing 0.0, 0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.40 and 0.50 Zn are depicted in Figs. 9, 10, and 11 at 0.356, 0.662, 0.911, 1.332, and 2.614 MeV. (An example).

Values of ferrite samples fall at a particular energy as Zn content and ferrite samples thickness rise, as illustrated in these figures. T values for MZF0.0, MZF0.3, and MZF0.5 ferrite samples are 0.10012086, 0.116960243, and −0.12909263 at 0.356 MeV and 0.29 cm, respectively. The Beer–Lambert law can be used to determine the linear attenuation coefficient (μ), which is an important feature for measuring the interaction of photons with ferrite samples59:
Figure 9. Transmission variation against thickness (x) values for MZF0.0 nano-ferrite sample.

Figure 10. Variation of transmission against thickness-(x) values for MZF0.3 nano-ferrite sample.

Figure 11. Variation of transmission against thickness (x) values for MZF0.5 nano-ferrite sample.
The mass attenuation coefficient ($\mu_m$) values of for MZF0.0, MZF0.1, MZF0.2, MZF0.3, MZF0.4, MZF0.5 ferrite samples at 0.081, 0.356, 0.662, 0.911, 1.173, 1.332, and 2.614 MeV are shown in Fig. 12. With rising photon energy, the values fall. Photons interact with matter in three different ways, depending on their energy. When it comes to interactions, the photoelectric effect, Compton scattering, and pair creation are all phenomena that occur at different energy levels: low, medium, and high, respectively.

At 0.081, 0.356, 0.662, 0.911, 1.173, 1.332, and 2.614 MeV, values versus ferrite composition are shown in Fig. 13. There was an exception to this rule in Fig. 13, where mass attenuation values for all samples except for that at 0.081 MeV decrease as Zn content increases from 0 to 0.5 wt%. This may attribute to dominate the Compton scattering in this energy region. Where the probability of a Compton reaction occurring is proportional to Z and photon energy (E) according to $Z/E$.

Radiation shielding design relies heavily on the ($T_{0.5}$) half-value layer. The thickness of the material required to reduce the incident photon intensity to 50% of its starting value is referred to as this characteristic:

$$T_{0.5} = \frac{\ln(2)}{\mu}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

The $T_{0.5}$ values of the ferrite samples at 0.081, 0.356, 0.662, 0.911, 1.173, 1.332, and 2.614 MeV have been measured and plotted in Fig. 14. Ferrite samples were found to have lower $T_{0.5}$ values when Zn content increased from 0 to 0.5 wt%. For example, at 0.356 MeV, 1.87, 1.70, 1.67, 1.65, 1.62, and 1.56 cm are the $T_{0.5}$ values of the MZF0.0, MZF0.1, MZF0.2, MZF0.3, MZF0.4, and MZF0.5 ferrite samples, respectively. Also, the $T_{0.5}$ values of all ferrite samples increase as the photon energy increase. For MZF0.5 sample, 0.36, 1.56, 2.00, 2.37, 2.70, 2.88, and 3.97 cm are the $T_{0.5}$ measured values at 0.081, 0.356, 0.662, 0.911, 1.173, 1.332, and 2.614 MeV. The results show that the MZF0.0 and MZF0.5 ferrite samples have the highest and lowest $T_{0.5}$ values, respectively.

**Conclusion**

Magnesium Zinc ferrite was successfully synthesized using the Co-precipitation method and characterized using XRD and FTIR techniques. The XRD patterns confirm the formation of a single phase. XRD data was employed to explore structural properties such as Lattice parameter $a_{exp}$ (Å), crystallite size $t$ (nm), interplanar distance $d$ (nm), X-ray density $d_{x}$ (g/cm³), Bulk density $d_{b}$ (g/cm³), Porosity $P$ (%), Interchain separation $R$ (nm), microstrain ($\varepsilon$), dislocation density $\delta$ (nm⁻²), and distortion parameters (g). it was found strongly depending on structural parameters with replacement Zn with Mg ions. From FTIR spectra, both $v_1$ and $v_2$ vibration frequencies for tetrahedral and octahedral sites increased in the range of 609–624 cm⁻¹ and 461–482 cm⁻¹, respectively, which further employed to calculate force constants. The magnetic hyperfine field and isomer shift strongly depending on Zn in the Mg-ferrite composition. Adding Zn to Magnesium Zinc ferrite MZF-nano-ferrite enhanced density and improved the gamma shielding properties. The $\mu_m$ properties were determined experimentally at 0.081, 0.356, 0.662, 0.911, 1.332, and 2.614 MeV. The gamma shielding properties for the MZF-nano-ferrite sample are highest compared with other samples at low energy. For example, the MAC values at 0.081 MeV are 0.283, 0.311, 0.340, 0.368, 0.396, and 0.425 cm²/g for MZF0.0, MZF0.1, MZF0.2, MZF0.3, MZF0.4, and MZF0.5 ferrite samples; while, the MAC values at 2.614 MeV are 0.0385, 0.03894, 0.03848, 0.03847, 0.03846, and 0.03845 cm²/g for MZF0.0, MZF0.1, MZF0.2, MZF0.3, MZF0.4, and MZF0.5 ferrite samples. The MZF0.5 results showed
Figure 13. Variation of mass attenuation coefficient ($\mu_m$) against investigated nano-ferrite samples.

Figure 14. Variation of half-value layer ($T_{0.5}$) against photon energy for all samples.
superior results for MZF-nano-ferrite at the low-energy and MZF0.0 high-energy. From the obtained results, we can nominate the MZF-nano-ferrite to be a radiation shielding material for γ-rays.

Data availability
All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Received: 4 February 2022; Accepted: 25 July 2022
Published online: 15 September 2022

References
1. Singh, H. et al. ZnO–PbO–B2O3 glasses as gamma-ray shielding materials. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. Atoms. 207, 257–262 (2003).
2. Khirade, P. P., Chavan, A. R., Somvanshi, S. B., Kounsaly, J. S. & Jadhav, K. M. Tuning of physical properties of multifunctional Mg–Zn spinel ferrite nanocrystals: A comparative investigations manufactured via conventional ceramic versus green approach sol-gel combustion route. Mater. Res. Express 7, 116102 (2020).
3. Lokhande, R. M., Vinayak, V., Mukhamale, S. V. & Khirade, P. P. Gamma radiation shielding characteristics of various spinel ferrite nanocrystals: A combined experimental and theoretical investigation. RSC Adv. 11, 7925–7937 (2021).
4. Henaish, A. M. A., Issa, S. A. M., Zakaly, H. M., Tekin, H. O. & Abouhashwa, A. Characterization of optical and radiation shielding behaviors of ferric oxide reinforced bismuth borate glass. Phys. Scr. 96, 75801 (2021).
5. Hemeda, O. M., Tawfiq, A., Mostafa, M., Zaki, M. & Abd El Ati, M. I. Structural and magnetic properties of nano ferrite for magnetoelectric applications. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1253, 012026 (2019).
6. Hemeda, O. M., Hamad, M. A. & Henaish, A. M. A. Tailored dielectric, magnetic and magnetoelectric properties of Cu6Zn3Fe4O12-BaTiO3 composites. Mater. Res. Express 5, 076102 (2018).
7. Andersen, H. L. et al. Crystalline and magnetic structure-property relationship in spinel ferrite nanoparticles. Nanoscale 10, 14902–14914 (2018).
8. Ramakrishna, K. S. et al. Structural and magnetic investigations: Study of magnetocrystalline anisotropy and magnetic behavior of 0.1% Cu2+ substituted Ni–Zn ferrite nanocrystals. Ceram. Int. 44, 1193–1200 (2018).
9. Pandav, R. S., Patil, R. P., Chavan, S. S., Mulia, I. S. & Hankare, P. P. Magneto-structural studies of sol-gel synthesized nanocrystalline manganese substituted nickel ferrites. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 417, 407–412 (2016).
10. Salem, B. I., Hemeda, O. M., Henaish, A. M. A., Mostafa, N. Y. & Mostafa, M. Modified copper zinc ferrite nanoparticles doped with Zr ions for hyperthermia applications. Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process. 128, (2022).
11. Kilic, G. et al. Ytterbium (III) oxide reinforced novel TeO2–B2O3–V2O5 glass system: Synthesis and optical, structural, physical and thermal properties. Ceram. Int. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2021.03.175 (2021).
12. El-Taher, A. et al. A comparative study between fluoro and microshield modeling calculations to study the radiation-shielding of nanoparticles and plastic waste composites. Zeitschrift fur Anorg. und Allg. Chemie 647, 1083–1090 (2021).
13. Zakaly, H. M. H. et al. Glass fabrication using ceramic and porcelain recycled waste and lithium niobate: Physical, structural, optical and nuclear radiation attenuation properties. J. Mater. Res. Technol. 15, 4074–4085 (2021).
14. Pullar, R. C. Hexagonal ferrites: A review of the synthesis, properties and applications of hexaferrite ceramics. Prog. Mater. Sci. 57, 1191–1334 (2012).
15. Henaish, A. M. A. et al. Spectral, electrical, magnetic and radiation shielding studies of Mg-doped Ni–Cu–Zn nanoferrites. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 31, 20210–20222 (2020).
16. Zakaly, H. M. H. et al. Alteration of optical, structural, mechanical durability and nuclear radiation attenuation properties of barium borosilicate glasses through BaO reinforcement: Experimental and numerical analyses. Ceram. Int. 47, 5587–5596 (2021).
17. Ahmed, I., Khan, A. N., Jan, R. & Gul, I. H. Structure–properties relationships of graphene and spinel nickel ferrites based poly(vinylidene fluoride) hybrid polymer nanocomposites for improved dielectric and EMI shielding characteristics. Mater. Res. Bull. 148, 111687 (2022).
18. Batoo, K. M. et al. Improved microwave absorption and EMI shielding properties of Ba-doped Co–Zn ferrite. Ceram. Int. 48, 3328–3343 (2022).
19. Kumar, S., Kumar, P., Gupta, R. & Verma, V. Electromagnetic interference shielding behaviors of in-situ polymerized ferrite-polyaniline nano-composites and ferrite-polyaniline deposited fabrics in X-band frequency range. J. Alloys Compd. 862, 158331 (2021).
20. Ali, A. M. et al. Structural and shielding properties of NiO/Co2O3-Nano ferrite synthesized by microwave irradiation method. Results Phys. 19, 103488 (2020).
21. RoopasKiran, S. & Madhuri, W. Investigations on transport, impedance and electromagnetic interference shielding properties of microwave processed NiMg ferrites. Mater. Res. Bull. 89, 123–128 (2019).
22. Ali, A. M. et al. Structural, surface morphology and radiation shielding properties of barium ferrite powder. Phys. Scr. 96, (2021).
23. Balachandra, A. M., Corporation, T., Co, M., Darsanasiri, N. & Corporation, M. Fabrication of carbonnanotube/polymer nano-composite sheets and its mechanical performance. Adv. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 2, (2018).
24. Cheng, H. et al. Textile electrodes woven by carbon nanotube-graphene hybrid fibers for flexible electrochemical capacitors. Nanoscale 5, 3428–3434 (2013).
25. More, C. V., Alavian, H. & Pawar, P. P. Evaluation of gamma-ray attenuation characteristics of some thermoplastic polymers: Experimental, WinXCom and MCNPX studies. J. Non. Cryst. Solids 546, 120277 (2020).
26. Issa, S. A. et al. Structure, optical, and radiation shielding properties of PVA–BaTiO3 nanocomposite films: An experimental investigation. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 180, 109281 (2021).
27. Hemeda, O. M., Tawfiq, A., Dorgham, A. M. & Hamad, M. A. The effect of Zr content on the thermal stability, dielectric and pyroelectric behavior for lead zirconate prepared by tartrate precursor method. Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process. 125, 1–7 (2019).
28. Lodhi, M. Y. et al. New Mg0.5Co0.5Zn0.5Fe2O4 nano-ferrites: Structural elucidation and electromagnetic behavior evaluation. Curr. Appl. Phys. 14, 716–720 (2014).
29. Gaikwad, S. P., Dhage, S. R., Potdar, H. S., Samuel, V. & Ravi, V. Co-precipitation method for the preparation of nanocrystalline ferroelectric SrBi4Nb2O15 ceramics. J. Electroceram. 14, 83–87 (2005).
30. Kumar, D. R., Ahmad, S. I., Lincoln, C. A. & Ravinder, D. Structural, optical, room-temperature and low-temperature magnetic properties of Mg–Zn nanocrystalline ferrite. J. Asian Ceram. Soc. 7, 53–68 (2019).
31. Zakaly, H. M. H. et al. Estimate the absolute efficiency by MATLAB for the NaI (Tl) detector using IAEA-314. in in
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-17311-y
35. Pradhan, S. K., Bid, S., Gateshki, M. & Petkov, V. Microstructure characterization and cation distribution of nanocrystalline magnesium ferrite prepared by ball milling. Mater. Chem. Phys. 93, 224–230 (2005).

36. Henaish, A. M. A., Mostafa, M., Salem, B. I. & Hemeda, O. M. Improvement of magnetic and dielectric properties of magnetoelectric BST-NZCMF nano-composite. Phase Transitions 93, 470–490 (2020).

37. Sinha, M., Dutta, H. & Pradhan, S. K. X-ray characterization and phase transformation kinetics of ball-milled prepared nanocrystalline Mg-Zn ferrite at elevated temperatures. Phys. E Low-Dimensional Syst. Nanostruct. 33, 367–369 (2006).

38. Podzioch, Z., Bucko, M. M., Krölkowski, M., Bakalarska, M. & Babiarz, J. Microstructure and properties of Mg-Zn ferrite as a result of sintering temperature. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 24, 1053–1056 (2004).

39. Singh, S. & Ch, S. Structural and FTIR spectroscopic studies of Mg–Zn ferrite nanoparticles synthesized by co-precipitation technique. Int. J. Sci. Res. 5, 1524–1528 (2016).

40. Dora, B. B., Kumar, S. & Sahu, M. C. Size controlled synthesis and magnetic behaviour of mg-zn nano ferrites by using aloe vera gel extract solution. Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res. 29, 307–311 (2014).

41. Hemeda, O. M., Henaish, A. M. A., Mansour, S. F., Sharshar, T. & Hamad, M. A. Electrical properties and positron annihilation studies of nano-crystalline CoLaFe2O4 prepared by ceramic method. Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process. 126, 1–9 (2020).

42. Kassabova-Zhetcheva, V., Pavlova, I. & Pospova, V. Preparation of magnetic Mg–Zn ferrite ceramic and evaluation of some important magnetic characteristics. J. Univ. Chem. Technol. Metall. 44, 243–248 (2009).

43. Mazen, S. A., Mansour, S. F. & Zaki, H. M. Some physical and magnetic properties of Mg-Zn ferrite. Cryst. Res. Technol. 38, 471–478 (2003).

44. Zaki, H. M. The influence of Zn ions substitution on the transport properties of Mg–ferrite. Phys. B Condens. Matter 404, 3356–3362 (2009).

45. Bhosale, D. N., Sawant, S. R., Gangal, S. A., Mahajan, R. R. & Bakare, P. P. Synthesis of copper-magnesium-zinc ferrites and correlation of magnetic properties with microstructure. Mater. Sci. Eng. B Solid State Mater. Adv. Technol. 65, 79–89 (1999).

46. Henaish, A. M. A. et al. The role of flash auto-combustion method and Mn doping in improving dielectric and magnetic properties of CoFe2O4. Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process. 126, 1–8 (2020).

47. Arrasheed, E. A. et al. Rietveld refinement, cation distribution, morphological and magnetic study of NiAl2Fe3–xO4 nanoparticles. Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process. 127, 1–10 (2021).

48. Lin, Q. et al. Magnetic and Mössbauer spectroscopy studies of zinc-substituted cobalt ferrites prepared by the sol-gel method. Mater. Materials (Basel). 11, 1799 (2018).

49. Battle, J., Clark, T. & Evans, B. J. 57Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy of zinc ferrite prepared by a variety of synthetic methods. J. Phys. IV 7, C1–257 (1997).

50. Mostafa, A. G., El-Dosokey, A. H., Idrees, K. H. & Gounaa, H. M. Study the effect of Mn2+ ions on the ac electrical properties of some iron doped phosphate glasses. Int. J. Res. Eng. Sci. ISSN Online. 2, 2320–9364 (2014).

51. Abd-Allah, W. M., Saudi, H. A. & Fahim, R. A. Mössbauer studies and gamma irradiation effects on some physicochemical proper-

52. Zakaly, H. M. H.

53. He, Y., Yang, X., Lin, J., Lin, Q. & Dong, J. Mossbauer spectroscopy, structural and magnetic studies of Zn2+ substituted magnesium ferrite nanomaterials prepared by sol–gel method. J. Nanomater. 2015, (2015).

54. Zaki, H. M. et al. Synthesis, optical, structural and physical properties of newly developed dolomite reinforced borate glasses for nuclear radiation shielding utilizations: An experimental and simulation study. Opt. Mater. Amst. 114, 110942 (2021).

55. Alharschan, G. A. et al. A comparative study between nano-cadmium oxide and lead oxide reinforced in high density polyethylene as gamma rays shielding composites. Nucl. Technol. Radiat. Prot. 35, 42–49 (2020).

56. Kiani, M. A., Ahmadi, S. J., Outokesh, M., Adeli, R. & Kiani, H. Study on physico-mechanical and gamma-ray shielding characteristics of new ternary nanocomposites. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 143, 141–148 (2019).

Acknowledgements
This work was funded by Princess Nourah bint, Abdulrahman University, Research Supporting Project number (PNURSP2022R173) Princess Nourah bint, Abdulrahman University Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Author contributions
H.M.Z., S.A.I., and A.M.H.: wrote the main manuscript text; H.A.S., M.A.U., G.A.A., and S.A.I.: prepared and drown all figures; H.A.S., G.A.A., and H.M.Z.: Contributing to preparing the used composites materials; All authors reviewed and revised the manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.M.H.Z.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.
Open Access  This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022