Copolymer Based on Polyglycerol-Acrylate-Lactate as Potential Water Viscosifier and Surfactant for Enhanced Oil Recovery
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1. Introduction

Polymer flooding is an enhanced oil recovery (EOR) technique that consists in the addition of high molecular weight polymers—water solutions into the reservoir. The goal is to decrease the water/oil mobility ratio by increasing the viscosity of the displacing water leading to the improvement of recovery efficiencies [1, 2]. Partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamide (HPAM) is the most extensively used synthetic and water-soluble polymer for EOR applications in the world and Colombia [3]. Despite HPAM’s favorable performance modifying water viscosity and its tolerance to shear forces during reservoir flooding, its performance is compromised by interactions with charged species in solution and by its sensibility to hydrocarbons and surfactants [4]. Additionally, the use of synthetic polymers in EOR leads to environmental issues since nonbiodegradable polymers remain retained in the reservoir [5]. Consequently, the current work focuses on the use of biopolymer-based EOR formulations.

The burden on the environment of bio-based polymers is lower compared to petroleum-based polymers. In this context, glycerol, a bio-based monomer, arises as an interesting candidate for sustainable polymer production due to its structure, biocompatibility, and biodegradability [6]. The amount of commercialized biofuels had significantly increased in the last decade as a response to the commitments made by the G20 in 2009 and signed for more than 50 countries for the progressive elimination of subsidies for fossil fuels [7]. In the specific case of biodiesel, its production is expected to be approximately 40 billion liters in...
Propitiated by favorable policies in developing countries, i.e., Indonesia, Argentina, and Brazil [8], glycerol, as a coproduct of the transesterification of triglycerides, should be used as the precursor of high-added value products due to its overproduction that exceeds the demand of this chemical [9].

High-value-added products from glycerol are polymers [10]. These include polyglycerol, which is formed by an inert polyether backbone [11, 12], and an abundant number of highly reactive and exposed hydroxyl groups that allow polyglycerol modification for a wide variety of derivative compounds. Salehpour and Dubé [13] evaluated the use of sulfuric acid as homogeneous catalysts for glycerol polymerization using reduced pressures. They synthesized polyglycerols of relatively high molecular weights. Industrially, polyglycerol is produced from the glycidol by a ring-opening polymerization reaction leading to polymers with narrow molecular weight distribution that goes from 1000 to 30000 g/mol [14–16]. However, high molecular weight polyglycerols from glycerol could replace the polymers from glycidol, a toxic monomer [17], opening new applications for this polymer. In this regard, the possible use of polyglycerol-based polymers as water viscosifiers and surfactants for EOR could be an interesting option to afford challenges in EOR related to thermal stability, resistance to multivalent salts, and polymer adsorption on rock surfaces [18–20].

Previous research has studied the effect of synthesis conditions on glycerol polymerization, using sulfuric acid as the catalyst [21]. The obtained polyglycerols exhibited higher molecular weights compared to polymers synthesized using basic catalysts; however, these molecular weights are still low for applications such as EOR flooding. The copolymerization then emerges as an alternative to increase the molecular weight of the base polyglycerol. Indeed, the polyglycerol versatility due to its multiple hydroxyl groups leads feasible modifications that, in addition to increasing the molecular weight of the initial polymers, could add further functional groups.

Previous works have reported the copolymerization from polyglycerol as an approach to increase molecular weight. Wurm et al. [22] synthesized copolymers from polyglycerol and poly(ethylene oxide). Through the controlled addition of the copolymer, they were able to increase the initial molecular weight more than three times. On the other hand, Chen et al. [23] prepare a copolymer with poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) arms within a polyglycerol backbone. The final copolymer exhibited molecular weights around $1.4 \times 10^6$ Da. In this work, polyglycerols are copolymerized with lactic acid and acrylic acid prepolymers.

Lactic acid is a relevant organic acid, which is also a key platform molecule that can be produced from agricultural residues and can be used as a monomer for the poly(lactic}
acid) (PLA) synthesis. These polymeric products exhibit a high potential for a wide range of applications [24]. Several authors had reported the polymerization of poly(lactic acid) with polyglycerol (from glycidol) [25, 26]. In these works, the final polymers displayed multiarms of poly(lactic acid) and a polyglycerol-based backbone with different degrees of hydroxyl functionalities. On the other hand, although acrylic acid is usually synthesized from propylene [27], it can also be from a renewable source, glycerol [28, 29]. Furthermore, different authors reported the synthesis of copolymers based on acrylic acid and glycerol [30] and also polyglycerol [31]. The final polymers are polyelectrolytes with pH response. The copolymerization can be accomplished through microwave irradiation, under mild conditions and short times [32, 33]. Microwave-assisted polymerization had been reported for the synthesis of copolymers using poly(lactic acid) (PLA) [34] or poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) [35] and polysaccharides, in which the terminal hydroxyl groups of the biopolymers are used for the modifications with the mentioned copolymers. Nevertheless, these copolymerization reactions could be successively scaled up in future works with the possibility of using different heat sources.

This work is aimed at developing a bio-based and low-cost polymer from glycerol, acrylic acid, lactic acid, and oleic acid that modifies water viscosity and interfacial tension between water and oil. The developed polymer was synthesized by the copolymerization of polyglycerol, polyacrylic acid, and poly(lactic acid) in an initial stage. Furthermore, we esterified the copolymer using oleic acid as the esterification agent to provide surfactant features. The chemical structure and thermal properties of final polymers were characterized. Additionally, viscosity and interfacial tension of the polymeric solution were tested. This work is the initial step in the development of bio-based and low-cost polymers from polyglycerol for enhanced oil recovery. Further studies are necessary to determine the complete polymer viability in enhanced oil recovery, such as rock-fluid compatibility and mechanical, chemical, and biological degradation tests.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials. Glycerol (98%) was obtained from Laboratorios León S.A. and sulfuric acid (95%) from Merck. The glycerol was roto-evaporated to remove water and impurities. Oleic acid was obtained from Laboratorios León S.A., acrylic acid from Andercol, lactic acid from Carlo Erba, cesium carbonate (99%) from Sigma-Aldrich, and potassium peroxodisulfate from Merck. Acetic anhydride (98%) and pyridine (99%) were obtained from PanReac and phenolphthalein indicator and sodium hydroxide (99%) from Merck.

2.2. Polymerization Processes. Preliminary glycerol polymerization tests were performed according to previous works [21, 36]. Accordingly, the initial glycerol amount and sulfuric acid (1% w/w) were stirred at 500 rpm for 5 min at room temperature. Then, the mixture was put in the microwave reactor (Discover Labmate Reactor, CEM Corporation), varying reaction time until finding the gel point of glycerol polymerization. The CEM microwave system (operated at 2.45 GHz and 100 watts) counts with a 100 mL reactor coupled to a nitrogen inlet, catalyst feeding, and distillation trap to remove water from the reaction mixture continuously. A vacuum pump was attached to the reactor through the condenser.

2.3. Lactic Acid Prepolymerization. For the prepolymerization of lactic acid, the same CEM system mentioned above was used without the use of a vacuum. Thus, 25 g of lactic acid and cesium carbonate (0.5% w/w), the catalyst, was added to the reactor, and the reaction proceeds for 20 min at 140°C, according to Ghadamyari et al. [37].
2.4. Lactic and Acrylic Acids Prepolymerization. This prepolymerization was carried out in a 150 mL glass two-neck round bottom flask. A condenser is connected to one of the necks, and water reflux was guaranteed. The temperature was set at 80°C throughout an oil bath. For the acrylic acid prepolymerization, we followed the protocol established by Fernandes et al. [30] with some modifications. Two different solutions were prepared. 10 g of acrylic acid was added to two 21.42 g of distilled water, solution A. In solution B, 0.4712 g of KPS were added to 15.24 g of distilled water. Solution A is added to the reactor, and then solution B is added. The prepolymerization proceeds for 7 min. Then, the prepolymer was dried at 50°C for 24 h.

In the same way, the prepolymerization of both acrylic and lactic acids was carried out by using the same two solutions A and B of prepolymerization of acrylic acid. Additionally, 10 g of lactic acid prepolymer was added. The reaction proceeded for 28 min, and the reaction mixture was dried in the oven for 24 h at 55°C. The final prepolymer were named as PRE LA, PRE AA, and PRE LA+AA for lactic acid prepolymer, acrylic acid prepolymer, and lactic acid+acrylic acid prepolymer, respectively.

2.5. Polyglycerol Synthesis and Copolymerization. The polyglycerol synthesis was carried out using the CEM microwave system. At first, the initial amount of glycerol was added into the glass reactor of the CEM microwave system with 1 w/w% sulfuric acid. The solution was stirred at 500 rpm for 14 min. During the reaction time, a total of 4% w/w sulfuric acid addition was divided in 4 additions divided at equal quantities (1% w/w) and time. Then, the final polymer is weighted. Afterward, 30 w/w% of prepolymer (acrylic, lactic, or the combination of two acids) was added to the freshly synthesized polyglycerol.

Additionally, 2 w/w% of KPS was added related to the total weight of the reaction mass. The mixture was stirred for 5 min and was put in the CEM reaction system at the same temperature of polyglycerol synthesis until reached the gel point. A schematic representation of the copolymerization process is presented in Scheme 1. The glycerol polymerization is performed before the copolymerization in order to guarantee the growth of polyglycerol chains, before adding other functionalities.

2.6. Copolymer Esterification. For the subsequent esterification process, the copolymerization between polyglycerol and PRE AA+LA time is reduced to 2 min, after which 5% of oleic acid is added. The esterified copolymer using 5% of oleic acid is named COP 30%-5% AO. The reaction mixture is stirred for 5 min and continued the reaction at the CEM system until COP 30%-5% AO reached the gel point. The synthesized polymers were named as listed in Table 1.

2.7. Preparation of Polymer Solutions for EOR Application. For testing the synthesized polymers as viscosifier agents, solutions at 10000 ppm of the polyglycerol copolymer were prepared. For that, the solutions were heating at 60°C for 2 h and stirred at 600 rpm. Afterward, the solutions were maintained under agitation using a Heidolph RZR-2021 for 24 h. The solutions were prepared using distilled water and brine of 700, 900, 1400, 1900, and 3900 ppm. The brine was prepared using the NaCl, KCl, MgCl₂, H₂O, and CaCl₂·2H₂O in the following ratios, respectively: 2.97, 0.13, 0.24, and 0.58 g/L. 1.0 N sodium hydroxide solution was used to neutralize the polymer solutions.

2.8. Polymer Characterization. The infrared analysis was performed using a Thermo Scientific (iS50 ATR) spectrometer. The molecular weight of the synthesized polymers was analyzed through the SEC technique using a TSKgel G3000 PWx1 column with separation range from 100 to 60,000 Da. Deionized water as the mobile phase and polyethylene glycol standards were used. The following conditions were set: 0.5 mL/min flux, 40°C, and 20 μL of volume injection. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) test was carried out to analyze the glass transition temperature, Tg, of the synthesized polymers. A Discovery DSC, TA instrument equipment was used. The following procedure was set: a first heating from -80 to 140°C at 5°C/min, followed by cooling to -80°C at 10°C/min and final heating to 400°C at 5°C/min under 50 mL/min nitrogen atmosphere. Thermogravimetric analysis was performed with a Thermogravimetric Analyzer TGA 5500, TA instruments. A temperature range from 30 to 700°C at a heating rate of 5°C/min was used with a nitrogen purge gas system (25 mL/min). The hydroxyl number was calculated according to the ASTM D4274-16 method. The viscosity was measured using a Brookfield viscosimeter at 30, 50, and 80°C with a shear rate of 7.338 s⁻¹. Finally, spinning drop measurements were tested using a spinning drop M6500 tensiometer with a capillary diameter of 2 mm. A Colombian field oil was used as a less dense phase, and a polymer solution was used as a more dense phase at 60°C.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Infrared Spectroscopy. Infrared spectroscopy analysis was performed for the three synthesized prepolymer: PRE LA, PRE AA, and PRE AA+LA (supporting information (available here)), polyglycerol, and the copolymers at 30% w/w of each of the synthesized prepolymer (COP 30 w/w% LA, COP 30 w/w% AA, and COP 30 w/w% LA+AA).
Figure 1(a) shows the infrared spectra of synthesized polyglycerol, which is in agreement with our previous reports [21, 36]. Accordingly, polyglycerol exhibits its characteristic O-H band from 3600 to 3050 cm$^{-1}$. The C-H stretching is observed from 3000 to 2800 cm$^{-1}$. Peaks in the range from 1750-1700 cm$^{-1}$ are related to the formation of acrolein, a reaction subproduct [13]. The peaks in the range from 1150-1000 cm$^{-1}$ are associated with the C-O stretching from ether groups of polyglycerol backbone [38].

Figure 1(b) shows the COP 30 w/w% AA spectra. Besides the characteristic peaks from polyglycerol, it is observed a defined peak at 1718 cm$^{-1}$ related to the C=O stretching of carbonyl groups of the PRE AA. Furthermore, at 1456 and 1407 cm$^{-1}$ are found the asymmetric and symmetric COO-stretching, respectively, of the carboxylate anion [39].
reaction and the subsequent copolymerization. These values that the hydroxyl groups consume given by the etherification are shown in Table 3. According to the results, it is evident and tested. The obtained values after the acidity correction of the materials (ASTM D4274-16). Polyglycerol and COP 30 w/w% LA were the samples that could be diluted in the acetylating solution. The viscosity measurements of COP 30 w/w% AA+LA copolymer by using different brine concentrations.

| Brine concentration (ppm) | Viscosity at 30°C (cP) | Brine concentration (ppm) | Viscosity at 30°C (cP) |
|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 400                       | 2.67 ± 0.73            | 1900                      | 0.65 ± 0.06            |
| 900                       | 16.22 ± 1.13           | 3900                      | 2.92 ± 0.16            |
| 1400                      | 2.07 ± 0.18            |                           |                        |

Figure 1(c) showed the COP 30 w/w% LA copolymer. Similarly to the copolymer mentioned above, it is observed the C=O stretching at 1750 cm⁻¹ indicating the incorporation of PRE LA segments to the polyglycerol backbone [40]. Accordingly, the incorporation of these individual prepolymer segments to the polyglycerol backbone is also observed for the COP 30 w/w% AA+LA in which is evidenced by the incorporation of the mentioned prepolymer segments to the polyglycerol backbone (see Figure 1(d)) [41]. Finally, Figure 1(e) shows the COP 30%–5% AO spectra in which are observed nearly the same bands of Figure 1(d). However, the proportion of oleic acid (5% related to polyglycerol) is low. Then, the esterification of the copolymer could be reflected just in a slight increase of C=O stretching at 1750 cm⁻¹ that could be related to the oleic acid addition to the copolymer backbone through esterification reactions.

3.2. Polymers Molecular Weight. The molecular weight of the developed bio-based polymers is displayed in Table 2. Accordingly, the polymer with the more considerable molecular weight is the PRE AA-LA, followed by the copolymer using this same prepolymer, COP 30 w/w% AA+LA, and ending with polyglycerol. These results agree with the reported by Wurm et al. [22]. The authors showed that the synthesized copolymer segments increase more than three times their molecular weight compared to the base polymer, a combination of poly(ethylene oxide) and hyperbranched polyglycerol. In our case, the COP 30 w/w% AA+LA increased 2.83 times its weight compared to the base polyglycerol. Furthermore, the molecular weight of prepolymer PRE AA-LA exhibited the same order of magnitude than the similarly synthesized polyacrylic acid copolymers previously reported [30]. As shown in Table 2, the polyglycerol and its derivative copolymers displayed broad molecular weight distributions related to the glycerols’ step-growth polymerization process [11]. Furthermore, the highly polydispersed polymers reported herein agree with previous reports related to the glycerol step-growth polymerization towards the obtaining of polyglycerols of relatively high molecular weights [13, 38]. The variations on molecular weight are also evidenced in the changes in the hydroxyl number of the materials (ASTM D4274-16). Polyglycerol and COP 30 w/w% LA were the samples that could be diluted in the acetylation solution and tested. The obtained values after the acidity correction are shown in Table 3. According to the results, it is evident that the hydroxyl groups consume given by the esterification reaction and the subsequent copolymerization. These values are significantly lower than the glycerol hydroxyl number (1800 mg KOH/g) [42].

3.3. Thermal Analysis. The derivative weight as a function of the temperature of the synthesized PRE AA+LA, COP 30 w/w% AA+LA–5% AO, COP 30 w/w% AA+LA, and polyglycerol samples are disclosed in Figure 2(a). All samples showed an initial weight loss related to the humidity and volatile materials. The second group of derivative weight loss peaks centered around 150°C is exhibited by polyglycerol and its derivative copolymers (COP 30 w/w% AA+LA and COP 30 w/w% AA+LA–5% AO), which is shifted to around 280°C for the PRE AA+LA prepolymer. These weight losses could be related to the unreacted monomers’ removal. The COP 30 w/w% AA+LA and COP 30 w/w% AA+LA–5% AO copolymers displayed a small peak centered around 300°C, which is not presented in the polyglycerol sample. This weight loss could be assigned to the incorporation of the PRE AA+LA into the polyglycerol backbone. Finally, around 350°C starts the decomposition step of materials. According to these results, the synthesized polymers do not decompose in the temperature range used for enhanced oil recovery applications.

The glass transition temperature, $T_g$, of synthesized materials, polyglycerol, PRE AA+LA, COP 30 w/w% AA+LA, and the esterified polymer COP 30 w/w% AA+LA–5% AO, are shown in Figure 2(b). The presented thermograms corresponded to the final heating run (after humidity removal). The glass transition could vary depending on the molecule’s polymerization degree and branching degree [42]. Accordingly, it seems that the polyglycerol-based copolymers exhibited two different glass transition temperatures that could be related to the different chain segments [43, 44], contrary to the polyglycerol and PRE AA, which displayed a single glass transition temperature. Therefore, the glass transition temperature related to the polyglycerol segment of the polyglycerol-based materials increased according to the following trend: -73.19°C, -72.96°C, and -72.64°C for COP 30 w/w% AA+LA–5% AO, COP 30 w/w% AA+LA, and polyglycerol, respectively. A second glass transition is observed at -26.62°C and 3.35°C for the COP 30 w/w% AA+LA and COP 30 w/w% AA+LA–5% AO, respectively. This second glass transition could be related to PRE AA+LA and oleic acid segments’ subsequent addition to the initial polyglycerol material.

3.4. Viscosity Measurement. The viscosity measurements were performed to 10000 ppm of synthesized polyglycerol and copolymers. According to Figure 3, it was found that after copolymerization, there is an increase in the polymer solution viscosity. This growth is more significant using COP 30 w/w% AA+LA copolymer with an average viscosity of 31.3 cP at 30°C. These results are in agreement with the reported by Fernandes et al. [30] in which the final copolymers obtain higher viscosities compared to the polyglycerol. We selected the COP 30 w/w% AA+LA copolymer for further measurements at different temperatures. Figure 4 shows that an increase of temperature from 30°C to 50°C and 80°C decreases polymer solution viscosity by 78.25 and 86.55%,
respectively. High temperatures could change copolymer chain conformation leading to a considerable reduction of its viscosity [45].

The COP 30 w/w% AA+LA copolymer was further tested in viscosity measurements by using different brine concentrations. The results are shown in Table 4. The viscosity measurements do not follow a trend related to brine concentration. The higher viscosities are achieved using 900 ppm of brine with 16.22 cP. Different authors had been studied the effect of different salts in the viscosity and found that it depends on the salt cation. Divalent cations (Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$) have a significant high effect on the decrease of solution viscosity than the monovalent cations (Na$^+$. Lopes et al. [46] found that the salts with more effect on the viscosity decrease of HPAM solutions are NaCl, CaCl$_2$, KCl, MgCl$_2$, and SrCl$_2$. From these five salts, four were used in this work for the brine composition. Thus, it is expected the same effect of COO- groups of copolymers than the one showed on HPAM in which the acrylate moieties are strongly associated with cations [47, 48]. Lastly, the esterified polymer was also tested in viscosity measurements. From the esterified polymers, only the esterified at 5% with oleic acid (COP 30 w/w% AA+LA–5% AO) was effectively dissolved in distilled water. It was not possible to dissolve the esterified polymer with 10% of oleic acid, probably caused by crosslinking reactions between copolymer and the oleic acid [36, 49]. The resulted measurements of esterified samples showed that after the addition of oleic acid, the viscosity decrease to 1 cP.

3.5. Spinning Drop. The interfacial tension was calculated according to equation (1), where $\gamma$ is the interfacial tension (mN/m), $\Delta \rho$ is the density difference of the two phases (g/cm$^3$), $D$ is the drop diameter (mm), and $\theta$ is the rotation rate (rpm). Crude oil was used as the oily phase with a density of 0.89 g/cm$^3$. Figure 5 displayed the deformation of the oil drop of the 10000 ppm COP 30 w/w% AA+LA–5% AO solution in 5000 ppm NaCl, and Table 5 shows the experimental data used in equation (1). It seems that this esterified polymer can down the interfacial tension to 2.5256 mN/m, and this result is comparable, for example, with the results obtained using the bio-based sodium methyl ester sulfonate, which reached a decrease in interfacial tension until a value of 3.92 mN/m [50].

\[
\gamma = 1.44 \times 10^{-7} \times \Delta \rho \times D^3 \times \theta^2.
\]

4. Conclusions

It was developed polyglycerol-based copolymers synthesized in sequential steps for the obtainment of viscosifying and surfactant polymers. The copolymers were synthesized first with the prepolymerization of lactic and acetic acid and the subsequent copolymerization with polyglycerol. Further, esterification of this polymer with oleic acid led to the obtainment of a surfactant. Their performance was investigated by rheological and interfacial analysis at different temperatures and polymer concentrations. After the copolymerization, the resulted polymer increases 2.83 times its molecular weight compared to the initial polyglycerol. This molecular weight increment led to a significant increase of the viscosifying capacity from 1 to 31.3 cP of the 10.000 ppm polymer

| Solution | Weight (g) | Volume (cm$^3$) | Solution density (g/cm$^3$) | Agitation rate (rpm) | Diameter (mm) | Interfacial tension (mN/m) |
|----------|------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| COP 30 w/w% AA+LA–5% AO 10.000 ppm | | 5 | 1,0042 | 7744 | 1.368 | 2.5256 |

Figure 5: Crude oil drop deformation due to the decrease of interfacial tension between it and 5,000 ppm NaCl water phase using the COP 30 w/w% AA+LA–5% AO at 10.000 ppm.
solution at 30°C. However, this viscosifying power is affected at higher temperatures and in the brine presence. Furthermore, the surfactant polymer can down the interfacial tension between the water-based polymer solution (10,000 ppm polymer and 5,000 ppm NaCl) and oil to 2.5256 nN/m at 60°C.

The developed polyglycerol-based polymer materials reveal their high potential for enhancing oil recovery and related applications by tuning viscosifying and surfactant properties through the functionalization of the polyglycerol backbone. It is considered further EOR tests (rock-fluid displacement and mechanical, chemical, thermal, and biological degradation) to continue studying the viability of these low-cost polyglycerol-derived polymers.
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